demise of the said 3 Individuals their annual ceremonies &c. were performed by Venkatarawmy, the son of the said Sreetal Naidoo Achiah Naidoo had during his life time purchased a boy named Maratee Timmaiah, who in consequence lived with him after the demise of Achiah Naidoo, his 2d Wife, the said Nursammah, had performed at one and the same time, matrimonial ceremonies of the said Venkatarawmy and that of the marriage of the man at Hydrabad, Venkatarawmy having died prior to 7 or 8 years, the annual ceremonies of Achiah Naidoo were performed from that time by them - Nursammah, the wife of Achiah Naidoo, and Ranjeya Lutchmumah Venkatarawmy's wife, had lived as one family in Bunder, and the wife of Paupiah Naidoo, the Brother of Achiah Naidoo was at Masulipatam Nursammah sent away from her house Ranjeya Lutchmumah 3 years back - Achiah Naidoo - Paupiah Naidoo and

Paupiah

Pauperiah Naidoo, and after them of Venkatasawamy Naidoo had not separated themselves.

2 Do you know the circumstance of the said Narasimmah having adopted the son of Summeta Ranganna Naidoo?

A Samarata Rajaniah is not nearest cousin, either to Narasimmah or to myself. Having understood that Narasimmah had intended to adopt the said boy, I went to her one month before that boy's marriage, and informed her, that that boy is our distant cousin. She then replied that she would nourish that boy, and perform his marriage by way of Charity. The daughter in law of Narasimmah's younger father in law having died about the time of the marriage of the said boy, Narasimmah was under mourning. I informed this to Narasimmah, she replied that the said boy being a distant relation

there was no necessity for him to go into mourning, and she accordingly performed his marriage. This took place 3 years ago. Previously to that period she performed the marriage of some others also for charity sake. But she did not adopt that boy as a son. The mother of the said boy died on her way to Golcondah (Hydrabad) previously to Narsummah having admitted that Boy into her family. And I heard from Kasavaroydoo my younger Uncle's son, that the said boy had performed his mother's funeral rites. Narsummah only supported that boy in the same manner as she did Maratee Venkummah viz. but did not, as I heard, adopt him as son with the usual ceremonies of Dattahoramma viz. The year succeeding that in which Narsummah had performed the Boy's marriage, I went to her house on the occasion of her husband Acchiah's Naidoo's annual Ceremony, I observed

her performing the ceremony, but n
the boy.-

2 How many sons Summeta
Rajunnah had.?

A. She said boy is the only so
to him; he had no other sons but a
daughter, who is now at Hydraba

2 Who performed the funerab rit
of Nursunnah after her demise.-

A. Being absent from this pl
on the day of her death I know
not who burnt her.- She 17th day
after her death being the last day
of her funeral rites. I and my
younger Uncles son I Ramaniah, ca
to Masulipatam, and performe
the deceaseds' funeral rite on behal
of the said Ranjeyalutchummanna
who lived in a new house at R
-bertson's Petta. I know not whether
that house belonged to a Bramin
or a Potmaker, and we afterwards
bathed together, with our several Cousin
who came that day.-

D

2. Do you know on what day the
marriage of Rajunnahs son who is
said to have been adopted by the said
Nursunnah, was performed.-?

A. I know not the date.-

2 Did you go to Nursunnahs house
on the wedding day, or the day preceding
or the 3d day.-?

A. I did not go, but the 5th day
after that Boys marriage, I went to
the house of Kopporla Venkataswamy
at Masulipatam.-

2 Do you know whether Nursunnah
had adopted the boy by performing
Homum or burnt offerings & ceremonies
on the day before his marriage.-?

A. I did not see, nor heard of her
having performed Homum & Ceremony.-

2 Do you know the date of N.
Nursunnahs death.-?

A. I know not the date, but it
now past 3 months.-

2. When did you hear the cir-
-cumstance of her death.-

A

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

A. I heard it on the next day of her death.

2. Do you know where was Kolapilly Venkiah on the day of her death?

A. The said Venkiah was at the Village Mooccollee in the day preceding that of Nursummahs death, and also until the afternoon of the day she died. Having received news that her sickness had become dangerous in the afternoon of the day she died, he then set out from thence, and came to Masulipatam.

2 How could you know that he was in the Village Mooccollee on the day preceding that of her death, as also until the afternoon of the day she died?

A. I saw the said Venkiah reaping the Paddy Produce in the South fields of Mooccollee after 4 o'clock in the morning, and also at 4 o'clock before Sun set on Saturday the day preceding

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

preceding that of Nursummahs death when he was going to Masulipatam Via Shacoorlapollem on the Sunday on which Nursummah died, I was near the field called Corlumbha on the east side of my Village, and saw him and I then asked Coonapareddy Appiah, who was then with me "Where would Colapilly Venkiah go" He then replied that C. Venkiah was going to Masulipatam, as he received news that Nursummahs sickness became dangerous. At that time I and Coonapareddy Appiah only were there.

2 Where were you at the time you saw Colapally Venkiah on the morning and evening of the day preceding that of Nursummahs death?

A. In the morning I saw the said Venkiah while washing his face near the Tank on the west side of the Village Mooncholoo, at that time there were no body besides me and himself.

Bab

But I did not see him reaping the
Paddy Produce in the morning, on my
way home on the evening of that da
from my field on the west side o
the Village, I saw him reaping the
Paddy Produce. At that time ther
were the said Venkiah, two female
belonging to labourers and one m
person, the name of whom is Sung
Naugadoo. I know not the names
the females.-

2 How came you to unders
that Summata Achiah Naidoo, Tau
Naidoo, Paupiah Naidoo, and Tau
Naidoo's son, Venkatasawmy, had n
separated themselves, and that Ven
sawmy had used to perform th
annual ceremonies of Achiah Naid
Paupiah Naidoo, and Tautiah Naid

A. My Grand father and
Grandfather of Achiah Naidoo, Tautia
Naidoo, and Paupiah Naidoo were
sons of Brothers. I therefore know
the above particulars. At the time

when Venkatasawmy was at Poccollo,
I used to cause him to perform the
annual ceremonies of Tautiah Naidoo,
Achiah Naidoo, and Paupiah Naidoo.-

2 You have said that S. Nurs
summah did not adopt Rajemah
now after performing the ceremony
of "Duttahommum" From whom did
you hear.-

A. I cannot recollect the names
of my informers.-

2 you have stated above that
Summata Rajemah has no other
sons than the one said to have
been adopted by Nursummah, how do
you know this.-

A I know this as Rajemah
is the inhabitant of my Village.

Questions by Gajapoor Venkiah
Naidoo, Vakeel of S. Venkummah.-

2 What was the religion of S.
Achiah Naidoo, and what was that
of his brothers, Tautiah Naidoo, and
Paupiah Naidoo.-

A. The Religion of Achiah Naidoo,
Tautiah Naidoo, and Paupiah
Naidoo

Naidoo was cross Mar
But after the death
Achiah Naidoo's eldest wife Ba
madwaru, Sivaswamah, caused
to pull long mark in his forehea
at the time she gave her younger
Brother's daughter in marriage
him. since that time Venkatasw
also used to put the long ma
Paupiah and Lautiah Naidoo, th
younger Brothers of Achiah Naid
died before that period.-

2 After Achiah Naidoo di
did the wives of his Brothers an
sisters Vizt receive money from Su
summah according to his will. a
pass receipts to her after registerin
the same in the Court.-

A. At the death of Achia
Naidoo there were large sums o
money due to him and he havin
left no issue it was doubted whethe
the said money would be possibl
collected or not, Narsummah ha
therefo

therefore forged a will after Achiah
Naidoo's death, as if the same
was executed by Achiah
naidoo himself, shewing therein that
so large a sum of money as 80,000
Rupees was due to his sisters, and his
Brother's wives Vizt.-

2 Do you know how much sum
was received according to that will
and by whom?-

A. Achiah Naidoo's mother and
Lautiah Naidoo's son Venkataswamy.
did not receive money according to the
said Paper, nor did they pass receipts.
The other persons received money and
passed receipts.-

2 Was any suit passed between
you and Summeta Narsummah.?-

A. I gave the Palmira Tree on
the bank of the Naidoo-chervoo a Tank
at Mooccollo in Charity. Thereupon
Narsummiah presented a charge to the
Head of Police at Masulipatam against
me. Summata Streeramoolo and
Venkataroyoodoo

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Vencatarayapodoo my younger Uncles
son each of us was then fined at
Rupees - we thereupon made an appe

Questions by C. Chetumbero
Vakeel of Ranjyalutchummah.

2. What was the age of Venca
swamy at the time Achiah Nai
died.

He was then aged 16 or 17 ye
as he was born and grown up
Village I know th
11th March 1840. /Signed/ L. Naganmah.

Deposed and oath before me at M
lipatam this 11th day of March 184
/Signed/ P. Grand
Collector.

No. 32.

Summatta Casavaraidoo. Son of Chelv
caste Balija religion Cross marks ag
32 years, by Profession a Cultivator
Inhabitant of Mooccollos.

Is examined as follows, after
sworn according to his religion.

Q. Do you know that the late Ra
Summ

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Summata Nursuimmah Proprietress
of the Village Bullapparro adopted
a Son Vz.

A. I know not the Circumstance
of her having adopted a boy, Summ-
-ata Nursummah had 3 Sons namely,
Achiah Naidoo, Tautiah Naidoo, and
Rauptiah Naidoo, who, during their
life time, had lived unseparated after
their death, Nursummah, the Widow
of Achiah Naidoo and Vencatasawmy
the Son of Tautiah Naidoo, had lived
in a house at Masulipatam - after
the death of Achiah Naidoo, Venkata-
sawmy used to perform his annual
Ceremony after Vencatasawmy's death,
Nursummah was in the habit of
performing the Annual Ceremonies of
Achiah Naidoo - Ranggyahchummah,
the widow of Vencatasawmy, was living
with Nursummah at Masulipatam,
till before the last 3 Years, taking
me also together, but afterwards she
has lived separately at the Village
Talacator

Fort St. George 15th April 184

Salacatoor, since I these 3 years, but
I do not know the cause, as Bro
Naidoo, Ramiah Naidoo, and Sauth
Naidoo and afterwards Venkatasw
had never separated themselves.

Q. Do you know that the Sa
Nursummah had adopted the son
Rayenna Naidoo?

A. She has not adopted Raye
Naidoo's son, but I hear that she
has only performed the marriag
that boy. It was not to him alo
but she has also performed the
Marriage of his father and many
others also. Previously to the late
famine, that is, 7 or 8 years back
the said S. Rayenna Naidoo had
lived at Hydrabad with his so
and having no means of subsist
felt himself under the protectio
S. Nursummah who had then be
there on some business. She kept
him for some time. On my way
Masulipatam from Hydrabad, I hea

take

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

taken baths at the village Sakara-
-gadda, where I met with the wife
and daughter of Rayenna Naidoo
on their way to Hydrabad. Rayenna's
wife died of faintness, and her ob-
-sequies were performed by Rayenna's
son at Hydrabad. afterwards Rayen-
-jenah and his son came to Ma-
-sulipatam as also S. Narasummah
some time afterwards. One day Nur-
-summah said, "I have nourished
"Rayennah's son, and it is therefore
"better for me to perform his marriage
"also. Then some people advised
her to do so. I cannot exactly re-
-collect the date, but 2 years ago
she accordingly performed the marri-
-age of Rayennah's son. Nursummah's
father in law was the son of my elder
Grandfather. I was then under
mourning as the Daughter in law of my
elder uncle died. Some people asked
her how she can perform the marriage
of that boy while in Mourning, then

the

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

She replied that Rayeswah being a distant relation, do not observe mourning more than 3 days, and that the 3 days having expired, there was no objection to Commence with the Matrimony, which was accordingly done. This is the fact, but that boy was never adopted by Kursummah, nor the burnt offerings necessary on the occasion of adoption, were performed. After the Marriage was performed, I was twice invited on the occasion of the annual Ceremony of ackiah Naidoo, and accordingly went at the two times, and observed Kursummah herself performing the annual Ceremony but not the boy.

Q. How many Children S. Rayeswah has?

A. She has only the said boy and a daughter, but no other Sons were born to them.

Q. Who performed the funeral rites of S. Kursummah after her death.

A.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

A. I was not here on the day she died. Know not therefore who burnt her. 16 or 18 days after her death, the said Runyya Lutchammah called me to say, that she would perform her funeral rites and Caused S. Bauspaniah to perform on her behalf the funeral rites in the house rented by her. (Rayalutchammah). Bauspaniah alone performed the funeral rites. And Summatto Sangunnah &c. bathed.

Q. Did you come to Masulipatam on the day when Kursummah performed the Marriage of Rayeswah's Son?

A. I did not come to Masulipatam.

Q. Did you come to Masulipatam on the day before that date?

A. No.

Q. Do you know whether S. Kursummah adopted the said boy on the day preceding that of the Marriage, and performed the Dattahoamum or the burnt offerings necessary on

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

on the occasion of adoption?

A. She did not perform the Datta-Swammum on that date. The 3d day after the boy was married, I came to Masulipatam and asked Nursummah whether she had performed the Marriage of the boy by adopting him or by way of Charity only. She replied that it was in Charity.

Q. You have said that acheah Naidoo, Tautiah Naidoo and Ramiah Naidoo had not separated themselves, and that their Annual Ceremony was performed by Venkatasawmy, how came you to know this?

A. I and they are the inhabitants of the Village Nuncheilloo, and their houses are adjacent to mine. We are likewise close relations. I therefore know it.

Q. You have said that S. Ranjen-nah has no other son than this one said to have been adopted by Nursummah, how come you to know it?

A. I and he lived in the same Village,

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Village, I know him since my infancy, therefore, I know it.

Q. You have said that after S. Ranjannah's wife died, the boy said to have been adopted by Nursummah was caused to perform her (S. Ranjan-nah's wife's) funeral rites at Hydrabad, how come you to know this?

A. I proceeded from Nuckaragalla to Jaggiahpettah, and thence I carried salt to Hydrabad. At that time I saw the said boy performing the funeral rites of Ranjannah's wife.

Q. Do you know who is Summata Venkumah?

A. She was called Summata Venkumah is Maraty Venkoo. I heard acheah Naidoo brought him from Salnah with a view to nourish him. He was married to the daughter of the Concubine of Venkoo Pundalier at Hydrabad.

Q. Do you know on what date S. Nursummah died.

A. I know not what day she died. Three Months back, on the evening of

a Sunday some people told me in the field of my Village that Kuraparreddy apsriah, who went to Masulipatam to sell Brattles in dry dung and returned back to the said Village of Mocklloon informed that S. Sursummah's illness had become dangerous, and that thereupon Colapully Venkiah went to Rundee. I cannot tell the names of the persons who gave me this information. She died that night or the nexet day. [illegible] was in the Village of Mocklloon the day preceding that date, that is, Saturday

2 Where did you see Kolapully Venkiah on Saturday?

A. While I was going from my Village into the South field at about one 3am before Sunset, he was coming into the Village from the field. I then saw him outside of the Village Likewise, after four Ghurries in that night he was speaking to his labourers before his house, which is opposite to

to mine. I then saw him.

2 Who were present at the time when you saw the said Colapally Venkiah outside of the Village at about 3 Cams on the Saturday, and again after four Ghurries past on the night of that day?

A. I was there alone, but there were no body else.

Questions by Gopaar Venkiah

Q. Naidoo, Cabeeb of Summata Vencannah.

1 What is the religion of Ackiah Naidoo, and what is that of his Younger brothers namely, Pautiah Naidoo and Pauperiah Naidoo?

A The said 3 people had formerly used to put Cross mark on their foreheads after the death of Pautiah Naidoo and Pauperiah Naidoo. Bomadavarah Sashummah Caused Ackiah Naidoo to put the long Mark. Pautiah Naidoo's Son Vencatasowmy Naidoo also put the long mark.

2. How many years ago Ackiah Naidoo, Pautiah Naidoo and Pauperiah Naidoo

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Raidoo died.

A. It is about 10 years since Ackiah Raidoo died, and it was about 8 years before that period Tautia Raidoo and Pauphiah Raidoo died.

Q. Did or not the Brothers & wives and Sisters &c. of Ackiah Raidoo receive Money from S. Sursummah in accordance with the will left by Ackiah Raidoo, and pass & Receipts to her after registering them?

A. There was a Considerable sum of Money due in the Rajaun's Country to Ackiah Raidoo. After his death his wife Sursummah, forged a paper as if it was written by Ackiah Raidoo, stating that some Money was to be paid to his (Ackiah Raidoo) sisters &c. & lest otherwise, the said Amount Could not have been Collected. I heard that Ackiah Raidoo's Sisters received the Amount from Sursummah according to the said Document, and gave her Receipt after its registry, but the said Tautiah Raidoo's Son Vencatasawmy and

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

and Ackiah Raidoo's Mother have neither received Money according to that paper, nor passed their receipts for the same.

Q. What was the age of the above mentioned boy at the time Rajeennah took him to Hydrabad, and how many years did they both live in the house of Sursummah?

A. The said boy was aged 7 or 8 years at that time. He and Rajeennah Raidoo had Remained with Sursummah at Hydrabad for 10 days only.

Q. What is now the age of that boy?

A. It is about 13 years.

Q. At the time when S. Sursummah performed the annual Ceremonies of Ackiah Raidoo in two years after the boys adoption, who had gone there besides yourself, and who was the present that Caused the Ceremonies to be performed.

A. After the boy's marriage was performed, I went first on the occasion of the first annual Ceremony of Ackiah Raidoo

naidoo, which the family Priest Called Danaraconda Sobbunnah, Caused S- Nursummah to perform- on the 2d occasion of the 2d annual Ceremony, I proceeded there in the evening after it was done, and I heard that it was also performed by Nursummah- I alone went there on the two times, but none of my relations Came there-

Questions put by Chavendra Chedumbarum, Cakeel on the part of Pauyglochamiamah.-

Q. How many annual Ceremonies of ackiah Naidoo did happen after Pau=jennah's Son was Married, and how many times did you go there on those occasions?

A. Three annual Ceremonies did happen- I went there on the occasion of the first two Ceremonies-

Q. What was the age of Tautiah Naidoo's Son Vencatasawmy, at the time he died?

A. It was about 16 or 18 years-

12th March 1840- (Signed) S, Hasuwanaidoo.

Deposed on Oath before me at Masulipatam this 12th day of March 1840-

(Signed) P. Grant.
Collector.

(True Translation)
(Signed) P. Grant
Collector
Gundloo

N. 33.

Gundla Casawaraidoo, Son of Mootyalo, Caste Talaga, long mark religion, aged 45 years, Profession by Cultivation and Inhabitant of Tiraicatoor.-

id examined in the following manner after being Sworn according to his Caste.-

Q. Do you know whether S. Nursummah the late Proprietress of Balepursoo, adopted any Son-

A. I did not hear that She adopted any boy- Summata Narsoo had 3 Sons and Tautiah Naidoo, one of them, had one Son Tautiah Naidoo died first, afterwards Paupeah Naidoo, and afterwards Ackiah Naidoo. The annual Ceremonies of these 3 persons were performed by Sawmy Naidoo, who is the Son of Tautiah Naidoo, as an heir after Ackiah Naidoo's death, his Wife Nursummah took possession of his Estate, and kept the said Sawmy Naidoo with herself, and managed the affairs as in the life time of Ackiah Naidoo- afterwards Swamy Naidoo died - Nursummah and

Vencatasawmy

Vencatasawmy Naidoo's Wife, Rajeya Latchmamah, lived together, and they both made Pilgrimages to Streerungum &c., and had again returned to Masulipatam. Some time afterwards the said Rajeya Latchmamah was according to her wish sent to her mothers house at Saracatoor. When she again returned, Nursammah did not allow her to enter her house, lest she would become an heiress to a share in property. Afterwards it is understood, that Rajyalutchamah made a Complaint in the Provincial Court for the recovery of the property.

2. Do you know whether Nursamah adopted the son of Rajenna Naidoo.

A. Summata Ackiah Naidoo had formerly purchased a boy named Vencannah, whose caste is different from that of Ackiah Naidoo at Hiderabad, for 12 Rupees, and kept him with himself after Ackiah Naidoo's death,

Summata

Summata Rajennah and his Son were in the habit of going to Hyderabad &c. as a Cart driver on behalf of Colapilly Veeranah. But the said boy having no mother, Nursamah paid a Sum of 50 Rupees to his father Rajennah and kept him with herself. When Nursamah was at Hyderabad, Rajennah took there the said boy, and Rajennah's Wife died on her Way to Hyderabad. While the said boy was performing his mother's funeral rites at Hyderabad, Nursamah understood this, and said that after coming to Masulipatam, She would foster the said boy. Six Months after Nursamah came to Masulipatam, Rajennah also came there with his Son. Five or Six Months afterwards Nursamah lent a Sum of 50 Rupees, after receiving a bond from Rajennah. Six Months afterwards the said Rajennah said to Nursamah, that his Son had no food, and that she

should

should therefore nourish him.- She accordingly fostered the said boy. She paid the sum of 50 Rupees in loan for his /Rajennah's/ marriage but not as a present for taking the boy under her protection.- After the said Sivamy Naidoo died, the annual ceremonies of Ackiah Naidoo were performed by Nursamah as long as she lived.- Prior to about 3 years, Nursamah performed the marriage of the said boy.- Before that period the annual Ceremony of Ackiah Naidoo happened.- At the time when I went to the Marrig I said to Narasamah that She may cause the said boy to perform the annual Ceremony of Ackiah Naidoo.- She then answered that "the boy is a distant relation to us, that he observes the mourning for 3 days only.- There is no Connection between him and us." She also said that she herself would perform the annual Ceremony.- I do not recollect

recollect the date of Nursamah's death, but 3 Months ago, on a Saturday at 7 O'Clock in the morning, I went to Nursamah's house Collapully Puttiah, Vada Ramasawmy, 3 Hasedasooloo or Singers, whose names I knew not, were remaining near the Cot on which Nursamah was lying down. Colapatapoo Madhawaraidoo was then making some prayers with some ashes in his hand.- After I sat down near that Cot, Colapilly Puttiah gave medicine to Nursamah.- But as she had no sense at that time, She had not taken the medicine.- Puttiah then said to Madhawaraidoo that Gundla Casava-raidoo came, then he said that the females of Gajjalawar would come down, and that he /Casawaraidoo/ should therefore be sent away.- Upon hearing this word, I went away.- Puttiah told to an old Sentinel at Nursamah's gate not to allow any one from

Casacatoor

Taracatoor to come inside.- I informed this to Coppoola Vencatasawmy, and he also said to me that he could not be admitted in to see Nursamah.- while I was going to Taracatoor that evening I saw Collapully Vincannah coming from Mockolloo, near the bridge, at Robertson Pettah at half past 6 O'Clock the same evening.- He then asked me how Nursamah was, and I replied that she was out of her Senses, and that Puttiah said that any relations should not be admitted inside.- He afterwards said that he was not informed of this news until 2 Sams of that day, and that he having then received news set out immediately.- The next morning I heard at Taracatoor, that Nursamah died at 12 O'Clock that night.-

2. Who were the brothers of Samata Ackiah Naidoo, and had they separated themselves.-

A. Ackiah Naidoo had

2 younger Brothers namely, Pauliah Naidoo, and Tautiah Naidoo.- These 3 had not separated themselves.- Tautia Naidoo's Son Samy Naidoo, also had not separated himself.- S. Narasamah used to say now and then, that she and Samenaidoo had not separated, and the latter only being alive among her family, would become her heir.-

2. You have stated above that Rajennah's Son performed the funeral rites of Rajennah's wife, how came you to know this?.-

A. I heard from S. Kasawaraidoo.

2. How many Sons S. Rajennah had?.-

A. I heard from Samata Naganah, Baupaniah, Vencatraidoo, and Casawaraidoo, that Rajennah had the only Son whose marriage was performed by S. Narasamah and that he had no any other

other else.-

Q. After Narasamah's death, who performed her funeral rites?

A. I knew not who performed the funeral rites after her death.- The 17th day after her death, the said Raujya Lutchmamah sent me a message to say that she would perform the said Narasamah's obsequies, and that I should therefore come to her.- I then went to her accordingly. That day S. Raujya Lutchammah caused Samata Baupaniah to perform the obsequies on her behalf.-

Q. How came you to know that Vencatasawmy had performed the annual ceremonies of S. Ackiah Naidoo, Tautiah Naidoo and Paupiah Naidoo, and that after Vencatasamy's death, Narsammah performed the annual ceremony of Ackiah Naidoo.

A. I saw it whenever I went to Naradamiah's house.-

Q. Did you go to Narasamah's house

house on the day she caused the marriage of Rajennah's son to be performed? and did you go there the day preceding that?

A. I went there the first day of the marriage, but I did not go there the day preceding that.-

Q. Do you know whether Narasamah adopted the said boy and made the ceremony called Dottahomam on the day preceding the 1st day of the Marriage?

A. I did not come to Masulipatam that day, and knew not therefore that circumstance.- Nor did I hear that the adoption was made.-

Q. Did you see Narsamah at Masulipatam on the day she died?-

A. I saw her in the morning of the day she died.- I remained at Masulipatam until that evening.-

Q. Do you know how many days was Narasamah ill?-

A.

A I heard that she was ill for 20 days before her death - I came on the said day and saw her: but I did not see her at any time while Sick.-

Q. Do you know how many days was Narasamah out of her senses previously to her death?

A. At the time when I went to Narasamah's house on the said date, some peons and some others at that place informed me that she was out of her senses from 4 days prior to that date - I knew not their names.-

Question by O.J. Venkia Naidoo Vakeel of S. Vencunnah.-

Q. What was the religion of Ackiah Naidoo, and what was that of his brothers Pauliah Naidoo, and Paupiah Naidoo?

A. Formerly they 3 had used to put Cross marks on their foreheads - Bommadavada Sashamma caused Ackiah Naidoo to put long mark, and appointed her priest of Vashnava religion, as the spiritual guide to Ackiah Naidoo.- At the time she had thus done

done, Paupiah Naidoo and Pauliah Naidoo were alive - After Ackiah Naidoo put the long mark, Sawmy Naidoo was born, and the latter also put the same long mark.-

Q. After S. Ackiah Naidoo died, did his younger brothers wives, and his Sisters &c receive money from Narasamah according to the Will said to have been left by him, and then pass receipts to her after getting them registered in the Court?

A. Ackiah Naidoo's Sisters only received money according to the Will, but I knew not whether they have given receipts or not.- Ackiah Naidoo's mother Seetammah, and Sawmy Naidoo did not receive money according to that will. I knew not also whether Voodayatatchy, wife of Paupiah, the younger brother of Ackiah Naidoo received the Money or not.-

Q. You have said that

that S. Ranjennah carried his Son to Hydrabad, what was the age of that boy at that time?

A. I cannot recollect his age.-

Q. You have said above that, at the time when you came on account of the marriage of the Son adopted by Nursammah, you have said to her, that the said boy may be caused to perform the annual Ceremonies of Ackiah Naidoo; that thereupon, she replied "There is no connection between me and him, and therefore I myself will perform it" who were present at that time?-

A. S. Nayanah, Cadawaraidoo and some of the people of the Village Mockalloo, and their relations were present. I. S. Narasama and her Goomastah, Audey-ranje, Parraza, were near her, and so had spoken these words.- These words were not heard by the said Nayanah &c.

These

These words had passed on the 5th or last day of the marriage.-

Q. Is S. Ranjylutchamah related to you?

A. She is a distant relation to me in the same manner as Narasamah was?-

Q. Is Ranyaluchmeed Elder Sister a wife to your elder brother?

A. Yes. She is the Wife of my elder Brother.-

Questions by C. Chetumbe-ram Vakeel of Ranjyaluchammah.-

Q. When S. Banjaniah's wife died?-

A. She died three days before the marriage of the said Ranjennah's Son.- He observed the mourning for 3 days.- and was afterwards married.- Narasamah observed it for 15 days.-

Q. Did Samata Nayanah and Cadavaraidoo observe the mourning?-

A. They observed it for 15 days.-

Did

Q. Did they come to the marriage with that mourning?

A. They came.

Q. Is Ackiah Naidoo's mother a Sister to your Mothers father?

A. Yes.

Q. What was the age of Swamy Naidoo alias Vencatasawmy at the time he died and what was his age at the time Ackiah Naidoo died?

A. Swamy Naidoo was about 16 or 17 years old at the time he died. He was about 11 or 12 years old at the time of Ackiah Naidoo's death.

Q. It appears from the will said to have been executed by Ackiah Naidoo that a Sum of one thousand Rupees was to be paid to S. Vencanah Naidoo. Do you know whether the amount was paid to him?

A. I heard that the said Vencanah Naidoo received the said amount at the

the same time when Ackiah Naidoo's Sisters received their amount.

Q. You have said that Bomma-dawara Sasha-ma fostered the said Ackiah Naidoo, and that Ackiah Naidoo used to put their mark and accepted the Spiritual guide of her family. Did Ackiah Naidoo receive any share in her property?

A. I did not understand that he received any share in the property of Bommadavaraava

13th March 1840. /Signed/ Gundla Casawaraidoo.

Deposed on oath before me at Masulipatam this 13th day of March 1840.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Collector.

No. 34.

Deposition given by Koocheebotla Goorroovava-danoolo, Son of Kamavadanoolo, Cadt. Bramin, Religion Smartavum, aged 36 years, by profession an Enamdar and inhabitant of Reddy Naidoo Agraharum, an Hamlet of Mullavole is Examined as follows after being sworn according to his Caste.

Dated 13th March 1840.

Q. Do you know when Summata

Summata Nursamah had died?

A. On the 11th Decreasing moon of Kaurteekum of this year, I was carried in to the house of Summata Nursamah for the purpose of making Japum or prayers to avert the Sickness, with which as I understood, Nursamah was attacked. While I was accordingly offering prayers from that day in that house, she died at 2 O'Clock in the night of Sunday the 10th Increasing moon of Margaderum.

Q. Did you ever see Nursamah during these 15 days?

A. I and Pasoomurty Lingavoodanoloo were in the habit of going to Nursamah, and putting Veebhody (a kind of holy ashes) in her hand, every evening up to Saturday preceding the day of her death. Davaraconda Ramaswamy, who went to give her Teertum or Sanctimonious water, as usual on the Sunday morning.

morning told us that she was not in her senses, and did not take "Teertum" we did not in consequence carry to her the holy ashes on Sunday evening.

Q. Do you know up to what time she was in good senses before her death?

A. On the evening of Thursday we carried holy ashes to her, when she, tho' shivering was in good senses. - when we went to her for the same purpose in the evenings of Friday and Saturday, we found her to be out of her senses.

Q. How did you know that she was out of her senses from the evening of Friday?

A. When we put ashes in her hand, she was not able to apply it to her body, but let it fall down, we therefore thought that she lost her senses.

Q. Did she speak any word

word, when you went to her on Friday and Saturday?

A. She was not able to speak - She was laying down upon a Cot senseless.-

Q. Did Nursamah move her hands and feet when you went there on the evening of the Friday and Saturday?

A. She moved her hands and feet by faintness.-

Q. Do you know the circumstance of Summata Nursamah having executed a Will?

A. Dawurcondah Ramanah the family Priest of Nursamah, having informed me at 2 O'Clock in the night of the said Sunday with the Circumstance of her death, I and Padoomurty Rungavadanoo went into the Cutcherry Hall of Nursamah at 3 O'Clock in the same Night, when Kalapata poo Madavaroydoo, Kolapully Puttiah, Maranty

Mariauty Venkanah and Poolapauka Nursiah were at that place, in addition to 5 or 6 others who were lying down there - Kalapatapoo Madavaroydoo was then writing a paper, and after it was completed he read it - the persons who were there at that time heard and approved it - Whereupon Madavaroydoo was reading that paper, and Poolapaka Nursiah had made a fair Copy of it - A Will was in the foregoing manner drawn out, as if it was executed by Nursamah stipulating that a sum of Rupees 3000, in ready Cash, and jewels valued at Rupees 1,000. and the house, which was purchased from Kistnasawmy Moodelliar, should be given to Martee Venkoo, as also Rupees 1,000 to Nursamah's Mother. Atchemmah, Rupees 500 to Kolapully Puttiah, and Rupees 2000. for the construction of the Pagoda of Stree Runganaikoolo Swamyar.

Swamywar - I heard these words while Mada-
=varaidoo was reading that paper - Afterwards
the Signature of Nursamah was affixed
thereto by Nursiah - Mandavaroydoo
took the Seal from Maura ty Venkunnah
and affixed it thereon - the paper was then
witnessed by Kolapully Venkiah who was
present at that time, they afterwards looked
for another Witness, when Mandavaroydoo
having said that Sevalunka Raujamalliah
was lying down there at a distance, and that
he should be called - Poolapanka Nursiah
called the said Raujahmulliah who accordingly
came and witnessed the paper -

Q. From whence Kollapully
Venkiah had come at that time?

A. He was walking from
and to the inside of the house - Poolepankah
Nursiah has affixed Signature to the
above paper, after seeing certain papers
which he took out from the Dufter -

Did

Q. Did Sevalunka Raujah=
=mulliah and Kollapully Venkiah read that
paper at the time they signed it?

A. Poolepaka Nursiah read
that paper and these persons heard and
signed it -

Q. What became of that
paper afterwards?

A. It was secured by
Nursiah in the Dufter -

Questions put by Yaparry Venkiah Naidoo
Vakeel of Summata Venkennah -

Q. Are you a dependant
on Nursamah or was you recommended by
any body for offering prayers in her house?

A. D. Ramaswamy and
D. Soobanah who were the dependants of
Nursamah and Madavaroydoo her Goomas=
=tah have introduced me into her house -

Q. Did they pay you any
thing every day for offering prayers?

A. Davurcondah Ramaswamy
told

told that they will give us a remunera-
tion after the completion of the prayers.
but she having died before its Completion
we received nothing —

2 How many persons
have offered prayers?

A Four individuals Viz.t —
Rapanarapoo — Lutchmunnah, Davurcondah
Ramasawmy, Passoonurty Lingadanoolo
and myself have offered prayers —

2 Did you and Passoonurty
Lingavadanoolo alone serve holy ashes
to Nursamah every day during the period
the prayers were offered?

A We both used to serve
Ashes — Davurcondah Ramaswamy had
served her holy water in the mornings —

2 Do you know the —
Circumstance of Summata Nursamah having
performed the donation Called "Navagrah Dan
at 10 O'Clock A. M. on Saturday? Were —
I. Venkataswamy Naidoo and M. Nagabhooshana
Naidoo

Naidoo and V. Ramaswamy present at
the time or not?

A I was told that Nursamah
had performed the donation Called "Navagraha
Daanum" on the morning or at 2 Ghanas on
saturday. but I did not see its performance
and I know not wether the above persons
were present at the time or not, nor did
I hear it —

2 Where were you lying
down at nights during the period you
offerd prayers?

A We used to lie down
in the same house —

2 In what Cutcherry Hall
was the said Will perpared?

A In the out hall of the
house which was purchased by Nursamah
from Krosshnaswamy Moodely —

2 Why did you then go
into the Hall where the will was
drawn out?

J.

A I went out to a call of nature and having heard of the death of Nursamah was went to and sat down in the Cutcherry Hall, having no business to go into that house.—

2 Have you any Mauniem land in the Villages of Mullavole?

A Yes, there is—

2 Is the Village of Mullavole a Mokasah belonging to B. Saunummah or not?

A Yes it is the Mokasha Village of Saunummagaroo—

Questions put by Chavendra Chedumbaram, Vakeel of Summata Rayya Lutchmummah.

2 Have you Mauniem lands only in the Reddy Naidoo Agraharum the hamlet of Mullavole or have you any other Mauniem lands in Mullavole also?

A. I have Mauniem lands only in the Reddy Naidoo Agraharum

but

but not in the Village of Mullavole

2 Has Bummadavara Jaw-
-nummah any right whatever to that Mau-
-neyem land?

A. The said Agraharum being granted as surva Mokasa, Jaunummah has no right whatever to this Mauneyam land—

2 How long was you in Nursamah's house on the day on which She died?

A I was in that house until Gun fire at the day break, and afterwards we went away—

2. What is the cause of your having remained in that house until Gun-fire after the death of Nursamah?

A. Madavaroydoo and Nursiah having refused to open the door at that time, on the plea that the daugh-
-ter-in-law of Nursamah would enter into the house, and having promised that they would therefore send us away

after

after Gunfire we came out accordingly
after Gun fire—

/Signed/ Roockybutla Goorooswamadanaloo

Deposed on Oath before me at Masulipa-
tam this 13th day of March 1840—

/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector.

No. 35.

Pasoomarty Lingawadanialoo son of Ramawada-
=naloo. Caste Vidaeky, religion Smartaumni.—
aged 28 years, by profession an Enandar and Inha-
=bitant of Cristnapooram, in the Madoor Purgunah
is Examined as follows: after being sworn according to his Caste.

Q.— How you know when S. Nursamah died?

A.— It was on Sunday the
10th Increasing moon of Margaseram of this
year.—

2 How do you know that
she died on that date?

A Nursamah being sick
sent for me, Coochebottloo Goorooswadhanaloo,
Dowrcondah Ramaswamy and Carparapas
Latchamah

Latchamah; for the purpose of our mak-
=ing prayers for the recovery of her health.
I accordingly entered her house on the 11th decreasing
moon of Karteekum of this year. We all offered
our prayers until 10th increasing Moon of Marga-
=serum of this year. When we were lying down in
the prayer room that night. Nursamah's family
Priest, by name Dasarcondah Caumannah,
told us at 2 O'Clock that she died. I
therefore knew this circumstance. I know not
when she died that night, but D. Kaumannah
informed me of the event at 2 O'Clock in
the night.—

2 During the said 15 days
did you ever see Nursamah?

A During the time we were
making prayers Dowrcondah Ramaswamy
used to go every day to Nursamah at
one Jam in the morning and give her
the sanctimonious water I and Goorooswamy
=dansoloo also were in the habit of going
to her house every day in the evening

or

or at half a gurry before sun set, except on the day she died, and giving her some holy ashes on the evening of sunday on which she died. Dawurcondah Ramaswamy said to us that he went to Nursamah in the morning of that day, but she being out of her senses, he himself put the sanctimonious water in her mouth, and that there would be no use of our tak= =ing the holy ashes to her - We did not therefore go to her that evening -

2 Until what time she was in her senses previously to her death?

A Four days before her death that is on Thursday and Friday she had a trembling in her legs and hands, and She was reduced to such a state — that she said, "Ah" upon being called for one Ghurry and she repeated the same on saturday and Sunday after a call of 10 time. She had a respiration - She had a little sense on saturday - She had

had no sense on Sunday -

2 You have said above that you did not see her on Sunday, than how could you understand that she had no sense on that day?

A I heard it from Dawurcondah Ramaswamy -

2 How could you understand that she had a little sense on Saturday?

A At the time when we put the pious ashes in her hands she dropped it down from trembling. On Satur= =day evening we said to her that we brought the holy Ashes, and she made a sign with her hand to put it on her forehead.

2 - Do you know whether Nursamah had executed a will?

A After Dawurcondah Cawmunnah said to us that Nursamah was dead, Dawur-condah Ramaswamy got up thence and sat down in the Cutcherry hall - I and Yeeruvandanoo felt — sorry

sorry for some time that nothing was paid to us; and afterwards went to the Cutcherry hall and sat down there. There were at that time Calapatapia Madhawa= =raidoo, Poolepaca Nursiah, Colapally Puttiah, Maraty Vencanniah and some other persons whose names I knew not. Then Cala= =patapia Madawaraidoo wrote something on a paper and produced it to Poolepaca Nursiah, Mauraty Vencanah and Colapally Puttiah. The three latter saw it, approved, and gave it back to the hand of C. Madawaraidoo - who afterwards read it. and P. Nursiah made a fair Copy of the same. In this Paper it is stated that the house purchased by Nursanah from Cristnaswamy Modalyar, the sum of Rupees 3,000 in read Cash and 1,000 Rupees worth jewels, should be paid to Maraty Vencanah, as also 1,000 to Nursanah's mother Atchenmah. Rupees 500 to Colapully Puttiah and Rupees 2,000. to the

the Pagoda of Runganadaswamy swar. We supposed this paper to be a will. Mada= =waraidoo took a paper out of a Dufter and handed it to Nursiah, saying it was right. The latter accordingly signed that paper, Madhawaraidoo took the seal from Maraty Vencoo and affixed it — on the said paper. They all consulted as to the persons by whom the said paper was to be attested, as witnessed. when Madhawaraidoo desired Sevalunka Rau= =jannulliah and Colapully Venkiah to put their signatures thereto. and they accordingly attested it as Witnesses.

Q. In whose name did Poolepara Nursiah sign that paper.?

A. He signed it in the name of Nursanah. Madawaraidoo shewed a paper to Nursiah, and pointing out to him the signature of Nursanah thereon, desired him to sign according to that signature. Nursiah accordingly signed it.

Whence

Q. Whence Colapilly Venkiah and Sewalumba Ranjamalliah then came to that place?

A. Colapully Venkiah was coming from the inner part of the house to the Cutcherry hall, and vice versa, Ranjamalliah was lying down in a corner in the Cutcherry hall.

Q. What had become of the Will afterwards?

A. It was put by Nursiah in the Duftar.

Q. At the time when S. Ranjamalliah and Colapully Venkiah were made to sign the said paper as Witnesses, was it read to their hearing?

A. After making the fair Copy, Nursiah had read it once, but Venkiah and Ranjamalliah did not hear it at that time as they were not present there. At the time when they were made to sign it, Nursiah did not

not read it to their hearing, but only informed them of the contents thereof.

Questions by V. Venkiah Naidoo, Vakeel of S. Achunnah.

Q. Is it mentioned in that Will that the two houses, and one upstair house purchased from Cristnaswamy Modaliyar, should be made over to Maraulty Venkiannah or only one house?

A. It is mentioned "the house purchased from Cristnaswamy Modaliyar."

Q. To come to Nursamahs house for making prayers, are you dependants of Nursamah or did any one recommend you to her?

A. Davurcondah Soobbunnah, Nursamah's family Priest, Calapatapoo Madhawaraidoo, her Goomastah, and Davurconda Ramadswamy her dependant have carried me and Goornawadanadoo into her house for the purpose of making prayer.

Q. Was any thing paid to

to you for making prayers?

A. Nothing was paid to us.

Q. Did you and Gooruswa-
=damooloo only give the holy ashes to
Nursamah during all the days the prayers
were made, and who were then with her
at that time?

A I and Gooruswamadamooloo
only gave her the holy ashes. At that
time there was a slave maid with
her, whose name I knew not.

Q Do you know whether
Nursamah had made the Donation called
Navagrahadanum, at 10 O'Clock A. M.
on Saturday preceding the date of her
death, and were there at that time
Tanjanoor Venkatadreamy Naidoo, Mana-
=pauca Nagabhooshana Naidoo and
Vadah Ramaswamy, or not?

A I heard on the evening of
Saturday that the donation Navagraha
=danum was done on the morning of that

that day - but I did not here who made
it, nor did I see it. I do not know who
were there at that time.

Q During the period you
were making supplications, where did you
lie down during the nights?

A We used to lay down
in the room where we had made our
prayers; that is to say, in a room of
the house purchased by Nursamah from
Cristnaswamy Modulyar.

Q In which Cutcherry hall
was that Will written?

A It was in the Cutcherry
hall adjoining the room where we had
made the prayers.

Q Why did you go to the
Cutcherry hall where the will was written.

A. For the purpose of
going out, I and Gooruswamadamooloo went
to the Cutcherry hall, and asked Polepauca
Nursiah.

Nursiah and Calapatapos Madawaraidoo, for permission, then they said to themselves "Nursamah's" daughter-in-law would perhaps be at the gate, if the door is now opened she would enter inside, therefore the door ought not to be opened" they afterwards told us to remain inside until down - we accordingly remained there.

2 How Coochcebottoo Goorwawadanadoo is related to you?

A He is my material uncle Chawendra Cheturnberum Vakeel of S. Rayyaluchmy said he has no questions to put -

14th March 1840. /Signed/ P. Lingwadanadoo.

Deposed on Oath before me at Masulipatam this 14th day of March 1840.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Coll.r

No. 36.

Autmoor Veerammah, son of Venkiah, Caste Talagah, long mark Religion, age 30 years by Profession a Cultivator and trade, and inhabitant of Masckaloo. Is examined as follows after being sworn according to his Caste.

2 Do you know that the late

late Summata Nursummah, Proprietress of the Village Balleeparroo, has adopted any boy?

A She adopted Summata Ranjemmah's son 3 years ago and performed his marriage one year afterwards - I cannot recollect the dates. On the day of adoption the Father and Mother of the boy put him in the hands of Nursummah. At that time many relations were present - It is usual among us to perform the adoption in that manner. I went to Nursammah's house at the time of adoption and marriage of the said boy and presented Cloths. Likewise Catragadda Vallabharaidoo and Bommadawara Iaunammah went to S. Nursummah's house at the two different times abovementioned and presented clothes.

2 How many sons S. Ranjemmah has, what are their names, and who of the sons was adopted by S. Nursummah?

A Ranjemmah has 3 sons

the

the name of the eldest is Vallabaraidoo, that of the 2d one Lutchmenarsimhanaidoo, and that of the 3d Paupiah - Nursummah has adopted the 2d one namely Latchmenarsimhanaidoo. I and Rayennah are inhabitants of the Village of Maockalloo I therefore knew this.—

2 Are Paupiah and Vallabahraidoo, the sons of Rayennah alive.?

A. I was told by L. Streeramoolloo who came here from Hyderabad with bandies, that the said two sons went two years ago to Rayennah's younger brother at Hyderabad, and died there.—

2 Did the said two sons die after the Marriage of the said Lutchmenarasimmahnaidoo.?

A Yes. It was afterwards.

2 Did Achiah Naidoo, the late husband of Nursummah live separate from his brothers, Pautiah Naidoo and Paupiah Naidoo.

Paupiah Naidoo.?

A I here that they lived separately.—

2 Did Venkatasawmy the sons of Pautiah Naidoo live separate from Achiah Naidoo or did they live together.?

A Achiah Naidoo was with the army and Venkatasawmy was living at the Village Maockalloo. I did not see them live together, but I do not know whether they had separated or not.—

2. Did Nursummah the widow of Achiah Naidoo live together with the said Venkatasamy or separated from him.?

A They lived separately.—

2 Did Rayyalatchammah the wife of Venkatasawmy live with Nursammah together or had they separated.?

A. They were living together for

for some period, subsequently a dispute having arisen between them they had separated - During the time they lived together Rayyalatchamma was living at the Village Saracatoor and Nursammah at Bunder - They were in the habit of frequenting the house of one another.-

16th March 1840: / Signed / Veeranah.

Deposed on oath before me at Masulipatam this 16th day of March 1840. / Signed / P. Grant Coll.

Further Examination.-

2 Who of S. Achiah Naidoo, Tautiah Naidoo, Paupiah Naidoo and Venkatasawmy, died first.-2

A Venkatasawmy died last I knew not who of the first mentioned 3 persons died first and who last.-

2 Do you know the date on which S. Nursummah died.-2

I knew not - I heard of her death the next day.-

Do

2. Do you know where was Colapilly Venkiah the day on which Nursummah died, and the preceding day.-2

A. I heard from him and from Colapally Puttiah that he was living at Bunder on the date of Nursuminah's death, as well as on the 3 preceding days - Calapatapoo Madawaraidoo and Poolapauca Nursiah also informed me of this.-

Questions by Y. Venkiah Naidoo Vakeel of Summata Vencammah.-

2. Were you or not a witness to the charge brought by Rayyalachamma before the Head of Police of Bunder against S. Nursummah, accusing the latter of having ejected her from home?

A. I and Cautragudda Vallabaraidoo and some others were witnesses to that Charge.-

2. You have said that you came on the occasion of the marriage of the son said to have been adopted by

by

by S. Nursummah; Did S. Naugummah Hasawaraidoo, and Goondla Hasawaraidoo also come there or not.-?

A. On the first day of that boy's marriage, Summata Haswaraidoo and Goondla Casavaraidoo came at that very moment I arrived, and they made a present of cloths. S. Naugamma did not come. He had not come also on the occasion of the boy's adoption, but the other two persons have come.-

Questions by C. Chedumbarum Vakeel of S. Rayyalachamana.-

2. How long ago you came as a witness in the present enquiry; where do you take your meals, and where do you live?

A. I take rice in the house of a Bramin at Ramanaapettah and live in the same place. I know not that Bramins name. 7- or 8 days ago I came here-

2. What is the complexion

of the Bramin of that house?

A. I Knew not who was owner of that house; there were about 10 people in that house of the bamboo and dew black colour.-

2. Who of them did serve rice to you?

A. A female widow of bamboo colour and of shaved head-

2. In the Village Moochellio do you live at the house of your own brotherinlaw C. Nursunnah; or in a own separate house of your own?

A. I have built a house in that Village. We both live in that house, and which is the property of us both.-

2. How the said Nursunnah's wife is related to the wife of the Son of H. Venkatasawmy who is now in the interest of the above said own S. Lutchmee Nursunhanaraidoo?

A. They are Sisters-

Hane

Q. Have you and the said Cs Nursunnah a joint interest in the cultiv: vation in the Village Moscholloo, and are the names of you both entered in the accounts.?

A. We have a joint cultiva: tion, and our names are entered in the accounts.

Q. Are you taking rice in Nursummah's house since you have come here in the present enquiry?

A. No. The first day I came here in enquiry I took rice in Coppoola Venkatasamy's house.

Q. You have said that one year after S. Nursummah adopted S. Lutchmenarsimhana Naidoo, according to your Caste his marriage was performed, did the annual ceremony of S. Acriah Naidoo happen in that year and who performed it.?

A. I cannot recollect whether any such ceremony did happen during

during that time, or who performed it. The Cs said boy has been performing the annual Cs ceremony of S. Acriah Naidoo since he was adopted.

Q. You have stated above that S. Nursummah and Veneatasawmy were living separately; where Nursummah has lived and where Veneatasawmy?

A Veneatasawmy was living at Moscholloo, and Nursummah was living some time at Jaulnah, some time Nagapoor, some time at Hyderabad, some time at Madras, some time at Streerungum and some time at Masulipatam.-

Q. Did or not Nursummah take Veneatasawmy with her to any one of the above named places, during the latter's life time, did Veneatasawmy use to live with Nursummah when at Masulipatam or not; and where Veneatasawmy died?

A. When Nursummah was at Masulipatam, Veneatasawmy was in the habit of resorting to her house from Cs Moscholloo

Moorkollo, but I knew not whether she took him to the other places or not. When Venkatasawmy was sick, he came to Nursummah's house, as there was no Doctor at Moorkollo, and died there.-

2. You have declared that the parents of Lutchmenarsummanaidoo gave him to Nursummah in adoption, was that boy's Mother then alive?

A. The boy's own Mother was not alive, but his step Mother.-

2. You have said above that the said boy had one elder brother and one younger brother, and they both went two years back to Hyderabad and died there? did they go to Hyderabad with their father and Mother; how many days did they co stop there for that time?

A. The said two boys were in the habit of going to Hyderabad with their father and Mother. When they at one time co went to Hyderabad, they both as well as their Mother, who is Rayennah's wife, died. Afterwards Rayennah came into this Country and married himself

himself again.-

2. Is it after Rayennah's co return at that time from Hyderabad, that the said Lutchmenarsunhma Naidoo was adopted by Nursummah?

A. Nursammah had adopted the said boy previously to Rayennah's departure to Hyderabad with his wife.

2. How many days did Rayennah's wife and sons stop at Hyderabad, at the last time when they died there?

A. I cannot recollect it.-

17th March 1840. /Signed/ Veerannah.

Deposed on oath before me at Masulipatam this 17th day of March 1840.-

/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector.

No. 37.

Pooppala Soobbaroydoo son of Gungiah. Soodra Caste, long Mark religion aged 18 years, by profession a Peon in the Sayer of Deevy Talook is examined as follows after being sworn according to his caste.-

2. Do you know where Atmoory co Veerunnah the witness taking his meals since he came for the present enquiry?

A. The evidence of this individual not having been completed yesterday, I was directed to keep him separately. when he was about going last evening into the

the house of summata Nursummah. I prevented him from so doing, and caused him to take his meals last night and this morning, in a Bramin's house at Ramanah Pettah, and then brough him to the Cutcherry. I knew that house but Knew not the name of the Bramin or the owner there of. What I heard from the conversation that took place between the persons on behalf of the boy said to have been adopted by L. Nursummah, was that this Veerunnah has used to live with the said persons and take his meals with them.-

17th March 1840- /Signed/ Soobaroydoo.

Deposed on Oath before me at Masulipatam this 17th day of March 1840.

/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector.

No. 38.

Tungellamoody Pedda Seetaramdoo Son of Veerunah Wudda caste, long marks religion aged 30 years, inhabitant of Machawaram. by profession a Sibbendy Peon is examined as follows after being sworn according to his caste.-

Q.- Do you Know where Atmoory Veeranah the witness taking his meals since he came for the present enquiry?

A.- He told me that he is taking his meals in a Bramin's house, but I did not see that house. He used to come after me to Summata Nursummah's house, where I was in the habit of going daily for the purpose of bringing the Witnesses in this case I used to bring him daily to the Cutcherry and I did not see him in the house of L. Nursummah-

/Marked/ Pedda Seetaramdoo.

17th March 1840.

Deposed on oath before me at Masulipatam this 17th day of March 1840.-

/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector-

Translation

No. 39.

Translation of the Questions put to, and the Replies received from the Pundit of the Northern Provincial Court.-

Questions.	Replies
1st. In what manner should the adoption of a Boy of the Soodra Caste, by a widow of the same caste, be celebrated?	1st. The widow of Soodra caste, in adopting a son agreeably to the Authority received from her husband, is never allowed by law to make the burnt offerings called Veyahu Hoomum and rootee Hoomum ordained to the brahmins- However, in respect to the Soodra Caste, the law Directs that the natural father and mother of the son, must willingly give him to the woman for adoption in the presence

presence of their relation-
=ons &.ca and the woman
must receive him ac-
=cordingly and that the
woman in taking the son
in adoption, must at
that time, present the
natural parents with
Cloths, and Gold ear-
rings called Coonda-
=lums &.ca with refer-
=ence to their circum-
=stances in life, and
drink water mixed
with the powder of
Turmeric and then
give victuals to
her relations - This
is the only form
of adoption pre-
=scribed for the
Soodras, but they
need not make
burnt offerings
called Dutta

Homum

Homum &.ca like bra-
=mins - Likewise, by
the widow's causing the
son so adopted, to per-
=form the annual
Ceremony &.ca of her
husband, such adop-
=tion is valid ac-
=cording to the Law-

2.d Is such widow at liberty to adopt a son without the per-
=mission of her husband.?

2.d The widow is not allowed to use her own discretion to adopt a son without her husband's Autho-
=rity.-

3.rd Is the adoption, of the only son of his natural father allowed by Law -?

3.rd If the natural father has only one son at the time of adoption neither the natural parents, nor the person wishing to adopt, are allowed by the Law, the former

to

to give their only son, and the latter to take him in adoption, because he is the only heir to perform his natural father's ceremony.-

4th Is an adoption where the ceremony is not performed with all the necessary formalities, valid.- 2

4th. In all cases of adoption by Soodra, the essential ceremony which ought to be observed in accordance with the Law, is that, in the presence of relations, the natural parents of the boy to be adopted, should willingly give him in adoption, and the person who is in want of a boy should receive him accordingly - afterwards the boy should perform all the ceremonies to his adoptive father

father and mother, and this is considered according to law as the important Ceremony. If therefore, such ceremonies are regularly performed the adoption is undoubtedly considered to be valid although other Ceremonies are not performed.-

5th Can the adoption so made by a widow, and without the permission of her husband, or where the adopted is the only son to his natural father, be legal to all intents and purposes. 2

5th If the boy is given or received in adoption, either without the Authority of the husband, or without any other son to the natural father of the boy at the time of adoption for the purpose of performing the natural father's ceremonies and if there be any informalities in the adoption

adoption and if the pres-
=cribed ceremonies
are not performed by
the adopted son such
an adoption is not
valid agreeable to
Law.-

The texts of Manoo,
Vasista, Yagnavalky
&c. in the Chapter of
adoption in the books
called Viggyaneswa-
=ram, Smrooste Chen-
=drica, Saraswatee
Valasum, Vevada-
=bhumegarnavum,
Dutta Chendrica,
Dutta Meemamsa
&c. ordain to the
above effects.
11th May 1840.-

(Signed) C. Vencata-
=raghava Charlu-
Pundit Sudr Ameen
of the Northern Provl
Court.-

(True Translation)
(Signed) P. Grant
Collector.-
Translation

No 43.

Translation of an acquittal executed to
Summeta Ackiah Naidoo by his brothers named
Pantiah and Paupiah.-

Dated Friday the 2nd
increasing Moon of Ade-
=ka (Leap) Sravanum
year Akshiah.-

As our parents are far ad-
=vanced in age and nourished by us, and
as some disputes have arisen in our fa-
=mily, we according to our father's directions
should divide among us our Estate and debts
which are forthcoming upto this day, in the
presence of Mediators and live separately
hereafter. For this purpose, the following con-
=ditions are entered into.-

1- Of the 9 cutties of dary land
belonging to us situated in Moockollo
and Moonapully Villages, a quantity of
3 cutties has fallen to your share, the
remaining 6 cutties being taken for
our two shares Vizt.-

In

In the Village of Moorkodoo.

2. Dry fields situate at Pada Lunka and yielding Somna produce } 4-12-4 C. V. P.

4. Wet Dº fit for transplantation. 4-12-4

2. Dry Dº yielding Black Paddy 4-12-4

24-4

In the Village of Moonioopulla.

2. Dry fields yielding Black Paddy 4-8-4

2. Dº of wet Dº fit for transplantation 4-4-4

4 12-4

3-4-4

As you have caused the boundaries of the above 3 Cuttles of land to be defined, and now left them in our possession, we hereby engage to deliver over to you the said land belonging to you whenever you may wish to Cultivate it.-

2- As the Ground on which the thatched House now occupied by us in Moorkodoo stands, as also the Cow House on the north side of the said House, will be required by you hereafter for the purpose of building Houses Vca for your own use, we hereby agreed to put the said Ground in your possession, together with the Cow House

House whenever you wish to erect House Vca

after unroofing all the materials of that thatched House, and to erect Houses for our own use on the remaining Grounds situate on the North and South sides.-

3- As you have agreed not to ask for a share in the Utensils, Cattle Vca per-sonal property now remaining at Moorkol-loo, and to leave them for the use of our parents, and after them to allow both of us to possess the said property, you shall not at any time bring claim against us for the same

The Jewels now in the use of our mother will be disposed of as she may please - The elder and younger Daughters-in-law should have Jewels, of the value of 50 Pagodas each - But none of us need not wish to divide them.-

4- As you are employed at Hydrabad and thereabouts by Rajah Bauma-davaria Nagunnah Naidoo, and as the matrimonial ceremonies of Pauliah one of us

us and Kammunnah our younger Sister are not as yet performed you shall pay a sum of Rupees 500 Viz. Rupees 200 for Jewels to the wife of Paupiah at the time of his marriage and Rupees 300 on account of the marriage ceremony of the said Kammunnah in the event of these expenses exceeding or falling below the said sum for the above purpose we both of us shall manage it, and you shall have nothing to do with it.-

5- The sum of 30 Pagodas per Annum shall be paid for the support of our Parents.-

6- We shall not hereafter lay a claim to the estate you may acquire nor you for ours- As we discharged the debts formerly contracted, all loans you may hereafter contract in our own and other Countries, shall be exclusively discharged by you, but not by us, and all loans which may be contracted by us shall be discharged by us exclusively and not by you- As we have thus interchanged acquittals with each other, we shall not

not fail to conform to the conditions herein set forth.-

This acquittal is executed with our free will and consent.-

(Signed) Sautiah
(a) Paupiah

Witnesses-

(Signed) Summata Juggapah.-
The mark of Summata Ackiah

(Signed) Vaimarauze Rajanna Curnum-
(a) Boddapaudy Bootcheyrauze Curnum-

This Acquittal is executed in the presence of the above persons, and in the hand writing of Capavarapoo Soobarauze.-

(True translation)
(Signed) P. Grant
Collector.

No. 644.

Translation of a Will executed at Madera in the Cumbummettoo Circar by the late Rajah Summata ackiah Naidoo.-

Dated Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum year Vaya corresponding the 13th August 1826.-

As I feel sick, as the same is daily increasing

increasing, and as I think that I can live no longer, I execute this Will with my free will and consent, in order that all persons may become acquainted with the conditions in clear terms regarding the Disposal after me, of the property acquired by myself.—

1. It is known to Colonel Macleod that a sum is due to me on account of Promissary Notes twice issued by the Bengal Government as also that due from Mr. Palmer's House - Colonel Macleod being my intimate friend, and as my protector, well interested in my welfare from a long time I in consideration of the long existing friendship between us, hope that, that Gentleman, as my Attorney will be pleased to collect with interest and pay to my wife, all sums due to me after making a reference to the correspondence I had with several individuals, the receipts and the accounts & Documents.—

2. I hereby appoint Chendoo Nagannah naidoo, my father-in-law as Vakeel to manage my private affairs and

and as such to collect the sums due to me from several individuals, reference being made to the Bonds and accounts current, and secure the property in his hands.—

3. The sums to be paid from my own Estate to different individuals are particularized below.—

	Chalamany Rupees
To Colonel Macleod	10,000——
To Seetummah my Mother	8,000——
To My Sisters Viz.	
Rungamah	3,000——
Audey Lutchmy	3,000——
Casmunnah	3,000——
Vencammah	3,000 — 12,000——
To Vencatasawmy son of Jautiah, my younger brother	2,000——
To Woodia Lutchmy the widow of my younger brother	4,000——
To Vallabaroydoo my sister's son	2,000——
To Chundoo Nagunnah Naidoo my father in-law	5,000——
To Vencannah the young man whom I purchased	1,000——
On account of Charity institutions to be endowed in my name	20,000——
	82,000——

The

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

4 The above sum of Rupees 82,000 should be paid to the respective individuals above stated after collecting the whole amount due to me on Company's Bonds &c..

5 The whole sum which may remain after payment of the amount to the above individuals respectively; the precious stones, Gold and silver, as well cattle such as Horses, Elephants, Camels, as the Gardens &c my whole Estate should be possessed by my wife and none shall have any right whatever to the same..

6 My wife shall have possession of my whole Estate and manage every affair regularly according to her wishes. None shall bring any claim whatever against my wife for my estate..

7 My wife shall nourish Venkatasawmy, son of my younger brother, until he attains the age of 20 years, and afterwards pay the young man the abovementioned sum of Rupees 20,000.-

My

Comp. T.K.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

8 My wife shall retain in her possession the sum of Rupees 20000 allotted for the charitable institutions to be endowed in my name and perform the Charity from the interest accruing thereon..

9. Rajah Chundoolal having placed the Madura Purganah under my management by granting a Cowle for the period of 20 Years, my wife shall obtain a fresh Cowle in her own name for the said Purganah, nominate the young man, appoint my father-in-law Chundoo Naganah Naidoo as manager to the Purganah and thus carry on the whole affairs at her discretion..

10 Either the profits or loss arising from the Talook, after deducting all charges from the Revenues thereof shall be participated in 3 equal shares by my fatherinlaw named Chundoo Naganah Naidoo; my wife and Venkatasawmy son of my younger brother until the said Venkatasawmy shall obtain the age of 20 Years..

11 If Sawmy son of my younger brother

brother after his attaining the age of 20 years be in amicable terms with my wife, they may continue accordingly. In the event of not so doing, my wife shall pay him the sum of Rs. 20,000 allotted by me and they shall thence forward live separately, and there shall not be any concern whatever with each other. The provisions contains in the foregoing Paras 10 in number shall be duly acted upon.

(Signed) Rajah Atchiah Naidoo

Witnesses.

The Mark of Chundoo Nagannah Naidoo.

The Mark of Syed Meirvenayes Ally
Resalder.

This Will is executed in the presence of the above mentioned witnesses and in the hand writing of Gooraj adah Basaviah Sheristadar.

(True translation)

(Signed) P. Grant
Coll.

No. 45

Translation of a Raujeenamah conjointly presented to the Masulipatam Auxiliary Audalat

Udalut Court by Cotraguddah Vullabha=
=roydoo the Plaintiff and inhabitant of Tava=
=gutloor in the Purganah of Goomdoor and —
Narasamah, widow of Rajah Summata Atchiah
Naidoo inhabitant of Bootapetta and Defen=
=dant in Cause No. 658 of 1831 of the said —
Courts file.

Dated 3d January 1832.

I the Defendant, beg to state — that the Plaintiff having preferred a suit to — your Court against me for the recovery of the sum of Rupees 2,000. Due by me to him ac=
=cording to the Will executed by my husband on Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum, — Year Vya. corresponding with 13th August 1826. I satisfied him by the payment of Rupees — 2127-8 thro'. the Mediam of Bajeeda Gere=
=darad of Jadaboyee sait Brejee Mohanadoss — Sowcar Viz.t —

Amount of the Complaint	2000-0-0
Value of the Stampt paper on which the Complaint was written	100-0-0
Do. Do. on which the power of attorney was written	8-0
Do. Do. on which Motion was written	1-0-0
Carried Over	2,101-8-0

Brought Over 2,101-8-.

Value of the stampt paper on which this Rajeenamah was written . . . } 1-.-.

One fourth of the fees due to the pleader on behalf of the Plaintiff } 25-.-.

2,127-8-.

I also paid to Jundala-Chelmiah the Pleader on my behalf the Sum of Rupees 25 due to him on account of the 1/4 of the Amount of fees.-

I the Plaintiff beg to state that the Defendant having as above stated, paid to me thro' the Medium of Bajeea Geredaradoss Tadaboyee Sait Brojee Mohanadoss Sowcar, the sum of Rupees 2,127-8-. being the amount of the Complaint as also the costs of the suit. I duly received the same. Having agreed to all the conditions offered by the Defendant as above set forth. I beg that

that your Court will be pleased to pay from the Amount Deposited, the sum of Rupees 25 to Coorroomuddaly Chinna-Munsiah the Pleader on my behalf, being the sum due to him on account of 1/4th of the fees, and to return me the remaining sum of Rupees 75-.-. thro' the Pleader.- We the both Medium of parties beg your Court will be pleased to suspend the execution of the warrant issued at my instance against the Defendants property. We beg that this Rajeenamah may be considered as your Courts Decree and that your Court will grant an attested Copy to either of us if applied for.-

We executed this Rajeenamah with

with our free will and Consent.-

(Signed) Vullabharoydoo

the Plaintiff

" Narasamah

the Defendant.-

(True Translation)

(Signed) P. Grant

Collector.-

No. 26.

Translation of a receipt executed by Cottragudda Audy Lutchmy an Inhabitant of Taragatoor. in the Goomdoor Purganah, to Narasummah Widow of the Rajah Summata Ackiah Naidoo.-

Dated Monday the 7th increasing Moon of Poosheyem year Khara corresponding with 9th January 1832.-

The late Rajah Ackiah Naidoo my elder brother and your

your husband having in his Will dated Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum year Vaya, corresponding with the 13th August 1826 directed you to pay me a sum of Chalamany Rupees 3,000, you have accordingly by this day caused the payment of the said sum of Chalamany Rupees to be made to me by Baujeeda Gheredarad of Manakajee Sadahoyee Saitor Berjee Mohandoss Sowcar an Inhabitant of Bunder Town, thro' the Medium of Redroul Vencatasawmy Naidoo. I have therefore duly received the same and have no claim whatever against you or any account. I executed this with my free will and consent.-

The

5308 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

The Mark of Cottragudda
Audy Lutchmy.-

Witnesses.

(Signed) Davaracondah Soobhanah
Calaiportapoo Madavaroydoo.

This Receipt is executed in the presence of the above witnesses and in the hand writing of Calapatapoo Lutchmypaty.—

I do hereby certify that this Receipt has been duly sworn to and Registered by me in Book No. 5 Page 2 on the 10th January 1832 at half past two P. M.

(Signed) C. P. Brown
Pr Judge

(True translation)
(Signed) P. Grant
Collector.—

Translation

Compt. J. R.

5309 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

No 47.

Translation of a Receipt executed by Cottragudda Kamunnah an inhabitant of Mookalloo in the Goomdoor Purgunah and residing at Rajapettah, to Nuwamah widow of Rajah Summata Acteiah Naidoo.-

Dated Monday the 7th increasing moon of Poorhyeem year Khara corresponding with 9th January 1832.

The late Rajah Acteiah Naidoo, my Elder brother, and your husband having in his will dated Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum, year Veya, corresponding with the 30th August 1826. directed you to pay me a sum of Chalamony Rupees 3000.- you have accordingly caused Bajeesa Ghereddaradoo's Manakajee Sadaboyee Sait Birjee Mohundoo's Sowcar, an inhabitant of Bunder Town, to pay me this day the said sum of Chalamony Rupees 3000, thro' the Medium of Reddyravoo Venedta-dawmy Naidoo, I have therefore duly received the same, and have no claim whatever against you

Fort St. George 15th April 1841-

you on any account - I execute this with my free will and consent --

/Signed/ Cuttredoy Ramanah.

/Witnesses/ Davaracondah Soobanah.

" Calapatapoo Madavaroydoo.

This receipt is Executed in presence of the above witnesses and in the hand writing of Calapatapoo Lutchmipaty --

I do certify that this Receipt has been duly sworn to and Registered by me in Book No. 5. Page 3. on the 10th January 1832 at half past two P. M.

/Signed/ C. P. Brown.

A. J.

No. 48.

Translation of a Receipt executed by Badarla Venkumnah inhabitant of Cottapollem a Hamlet of Vijavanadapully in the Deuce Purgenah, residing at Rajapettah, to Nuvvamah Widow of the late Rajah Summetta Achiah Naidoo --

Dated Monday the 7th increasing moon of Poosheyem year Khara, Corresponding with 9th January 1832 --

The late Rajah Achiah Naidoo

Fort St. George 15th April 1841-

my elder brother, and your husband, having in his Will ~~dated~~ Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum, year Vaya, corresponding with the 13th August 1826 directed you to pay me a sum of Chalamany Rupees 3,000, you have accordingly caused Bajeeda Ghieradarado/o Manakajee Paraboyee Sastroo Bayee Mohendo/o Somear, an Inhabitant of Bunder Town, to pay me this day the said sum of Chalamany Rupees 3,000 in current coins, thro' the medeum of Redrout Venkatasawmy Naidoo - I have therefore duly received the same, and have no claim whatever against you on any account - I executed this with my free will and consent --

The mark of Badarla, Venkumnah --

Witnesses.

/Signed/ Davaracondah Soobbanah.

Calapatapoo Madavaroydoo.

This receipt is executed in the presence of the above witnesses and in the hand writing of Calapatapoo Lutchmypatty --

I

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

I do hereby Certify that this Receipt has been duly sworn to and Register by me in Book No. 5. Page 1. on the 10th January 1832 at half past two P. M.

(Signed) C. P. Brown.
Assistant Judge.

No. 49.

Translation of an agreement executed and delivered to Nursamah the widow of the late Rajah Summata Ackiah Naidoo by Venkatasawmy son of the late Santiah Naidoo brotherinlaw to the said Nursamah and inhabitant of Mookollo in the Purganah of Goomdoor, and residing at Chakeravoopollem in Boothalapetta.

Dated thursday 2d decreasing moon of Poocheyem year Khara.

Ackiah Naidoo my elder father and your husband, having in his will dated sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum year Vaya, corresponding with 13th August 1826. directed you to pay me a sum of Chalamany Rupees 20,000 after my

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

my attaining the age of 20 years and I having accordingly passed the 20th and entered on the 21st year, you have paid to me this day, thro the mediators, the sum of Chalamany Rupees 13,000 in Current Coins being the remainder of the sum of Rupees 20,000 mentioned in the 7th Para of the said will, after deducting therefrom the sum of Rupees 7,000 which was disbursed by you with my consent on account of my matrimonial ceremony - Therefore neither I nor my heirs, shall hereg forward claim at any time as provided for in the 5 Paras 5 and 6 of the will, either against you or your heirs or executors, for the said sum, or for the remaining real and personal property or for the ready money and Jewels acquired by my elder father - Besides this, I shall never bring a claim for a share in the different kinds of property which belonged to

to your husband and now in your possession, or for my heirship - I have no claim whatever regarding your Estate - nor you for mine, you may dispose as your please of the said real and personal property placed in your possession - Moreover, in the Para 10th of the will, it is stated that I shall be entitled to a share either of the profits or loss arising from the Zemindary Estate of Madera managed by your husband on a Coulee which he had obtained from Rajah Chendoolal Bahader. But as the said Zemindary was on his (Ackiah Naidoo's) demise attached by the Circar by dispossessing us, I shall not at all bring a claim against you even for the same. This agreement is executed with my free will and consent.—

(Signed) Venkatasawmy.

Witnesses.
(Signed) Chullah Nursappah.
Do. Davaraconda Subbanah.

This agreement is written in the presence of the above witnesses and in the hand writing of Sooja Poodliah

(True Translation) (Signed) P. Grant Coll. & Sessions Judge

No. 50.

Translation of an Acquittal executed by Woodya Lutchmy, the widow of Summata pautiah Naidoo, and inhabitant of Mockelloo in Goomdoo Purganah, to Nurasummah the widow of Rajah Ackiah Naidoo.—

Dated Tuesday the 13th of Decreasing moon of Maughum year Khara.—

I the said Ackiah Naidoo your husband, and my elder brother-in-law, having in his will executed at Madera in the Cummum-metloo Circar under date Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Srauvanum year Vaya, corresponding with 13th August 1826, or at the time of his demise directed that a sum of Chalamony Rupees 4000 be paid to me, you have accordingly this day caused the payment of the said sum of Chalamony Rupees 4000, to be made to me by sailor Brajee Mohana-dass Sowcar at Bunder thro' the Medium of Redrout Venkatasawmy Naidoo.

I

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

I have therefore duly received the same. as you have an exclusive right to the whole real property, inclusive of the House situate at Mookalloo, and which is now occupied by me, as also to the whole Estate in your native place and other countries, It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the house and real property situate at Mookalloo, as well as the personal property consisting of 34 Articles mentioned in the list this day drawn out in the hand writing of Doolla Streeneevasoo loo and witnessed by Redrout Venkatasawmy Naidoo and Poolepauka Bhaumniah, should remain in my possession during my life time according to the custom hitherto observed, and after me to be possessed by you, and that you are at liberty to come occasionally to Mookalloo as usual. Therefore either myself nor my heirs shall not at any time bring forward claim against you or your heirs about any property whatever.

I

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

I execute this acquittal with my free will and Consent.—

The mark of Woodaya Lutchmy

Witnesses.

Signed Pannaroo Igasawmy.

" Pamedy Patty Cotappah.

This acquittal was written in the hands writing of Calapatapoo Lutchmypaty.—

This is to Certify that this acquittal has been duly sworn to and registered by me Book No. 5 Page 7 at two P. M. this 28th day of February 1832.

(Signed) C. P. Brown.
assistant Judge.

No. 51.

Translation of a Receipt executed by Cottra-gudda Rungunnah an inhabitant of Moocko-lloo in the Pergunnah of Goondoor to Nursamah the widow of the late Rajah Summata Ackiah Naidoo.—

Dated Friday the 7th increasing moon of Paulgoonum year Khara. Corresponding with 9th March 1832.

The said Ackiah Naidoo my

my younger brother, having in his will executed by him at Madera in the Cumbum-nett Circar, under date Sunday the 10th increasing moon of Sravanum year Vaya, that is prior to his demise; directed you to pay me a sum of Chalamony Rupees 3000. you have accordingly caused, Sait Birjee Mohendoss Sowcar to pay me this day the said sum of Chalamony Rupees 3000. thro' the medium of Reddy-rauloo Venkatasawmy Naidoo, I have therefore duly received the same.-

As I am resolved to live during my life time in the same house at Mockalloo, which is determined to be in the posseseion of Summata Wooday a Lutchmy, no claim shall be brought by either of us against each other on any account whatever. I executed this with my free will and Consent.—

The mark of Rungummah

Witnesses
1 Signed Davaracondah Soobbanah.
" Ponnaroo Vijayasawmy.

This receipt is executed in the presence of the above witnesses and in the hand writing of Doolla Streeneevasadoo.

1 True Translation
1 Signed P. Grant, Coll.

Translation

No. 52.

Translation of a Complaint preferred to the Magistrate in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Summeta Rajeya Lutchmy, an inhabitant of Buttiah pett, against 1 Narasummah the widow of Achiah Naidoo, and 2 Anderauze Parraze, inhabitant of the said pett.-

Dated 8th October 1830.-

Achiah Naidoo my father in law had in the Will executed by him at the time of his demise directed certain sums to be paid Viz.t; to his 5 sisters at Rupees 3000 each and Rupees 20,000 to my late husband Sawmy Naidoo, and certain sums to others From the time of my marriage I and the 1st. Defendant lived together in one and the same house - While thus circumstanced about two months ago, the 1st and 2d Defendants consulted together, and without my knowledge adopted a son at Mockalloo.-

Being dissatisfied with this adoption, I used to say to the 1st Defendant that I cannot live with her and that my property should be put in my possession, when she said that she

she had not my property.

Then the 1st and 2d Defendants Combined together taken from me forcibly Sumpoola Gajoolos or Gold hand rings set with diamonds and other Jewels valued at Rupees 5,000 and which were given to me at the time of my marriage ceremony, and caused me to be thrasted out after sun set, I again entered into the House on the same night at the time when the door was opened at 2 Ghodies before sun rise. As soon as they saw me said "Did you come again" and at 6 o'clock this morning forced me into a Palankeen in the presence of the Cotwal of the Town, Duffadar, Gomastah Peons &c. As the Palankeen was carried out to the main Gate I jumped out of it, and while again entering the House saying "whither can I go I shall die at this very Gate" they (Nursumah &c.) directed their servants to prevent me, when the Cotwall &c. said that I may obtain redress by preferring a charge. I have therefore preferred this to your Honor The 1st and 2d Defendants had with a view to usurp my property dispelled me from the

the house. The 1st Defendant being a rich woman commits several irregularities and evades any notice being taken of them.

The 1st Defendant entertaining spite towards Parummah, wife of the 2d defendant and sister to Shamurty Ramaranze, promised to the 2d Defendand to perform his marriage and committed on the said Parummah great atrocity by burning her whole body with a blazed spoon. Charges were preferred at that time. Fearing to what she may do to me and being dispelled from the House, I re preferred the charge to your Honor and took my lodging in the House belonging to a Sudra Caste man near Nursumah's house. I therefore pray your honor will be pleased to examine the Witnesses who were acquainted with the circumstances of this case, issue Summonses to the Defendants without loss of time and inflict strict punishment on the Defendants. according to regulation restore me to my House, and issue orders to the Head of Police of the Town to protect me from any injury which

which she may do.

The mark of Ranjeya Lutchmy.

Witnesses.

1 Sravannm Veeraragavooloo.
Cutwall of the Town.

2 Allumsitty Daniah. Police Goomastah

3 Soonkara Sawmy. Duffadar.

4 Woodagherry Rammah.

5 Tooraga Gungaranze

6 Cottaragudda Sawmy

7 Packa Banpaniah

8 Do. Swamy

9 Antmoory Veeramah

10 Cantragadda Vullabaroydoo

This Copy was written on these two Stampt papers of half Rupee value each, presented on the 25th Augst agreeably to the order dated 18th of that month on Petition No. 4 presented to the Judge on Circuit of the 3d quarterly sessions of 1838. and given to Vamoolapanty Hishniah the Vakeel on behalf of the Petitioner

[Signed] Poopala Venkatroyloo.
Shereastar.

Decision

No. 53

Decision of the Assistant Magistrate

No. 71 of 1840.

Complainant Summittee Rajalutchmee

Defendant. 1 Summittee Nurasamah.

2 Auderange Paranze

Charge. For taking forcibly from the Complainant jewels valued about 5000 Rupees and for having ejected her from the house while the 1st Defendant and the Complainant were residing in a house together from their being related.-

The Vakeel on behalf of the 1st Defendant states that the Complainant and the 1st Defendant were not living together and that no such dispute has taken place.-

The Vakeel on behalf of the 2d Defendant denies the Charge.-

Ten witnesses for the Prosecution were examined, and none of them depose to prove the above Charge.-

The Assistant Magistrate in the Course of the Investigation observed that

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

that the complaint arose from a Family affair and the evidence of two respectable witnesses, Nos. 9 and 10, proves that the Complainant quitted the 1st. Defendants house without any quarrel - The charge was therefore dismissed.

Masulipatam, Magistrates' Court, 20th October 1830.

/Signed/ R. M. Binning.
Assistant Magistrate.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ Hugh Montgomerie.
3d. Judge for Register

No. 54.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Circuit from the 4th. Quarterly Sessions of 1836 Dated 10th January 1837.

Extra Petition No. 5.
Summata Ranjeya
Lutchemmah - Petitioner.

Read again Petition presented on behalf of Rajeya Lutchemmah.

Read also Return dated the 7th

Ultimo

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

ultimo received from the Acting Magistrate of Masulipatam to the Court's Precept of the 1st. of the same month, calling for information relative to the case of the Petitioner.

Read also the whole of the Proceedings relative to this Case received with the above return - The 1st. Judge on a Consideration of these Proceedings sees no Cause for interfering with the decision of the Assistant Magistrate in this Case - The Complaint appears to have originated in some

family

family dispute relative to a claim to property, and Petitioner is referred to the adalut Courts for the recovery of such property from the 1st Defendant as she may conceive she has a claim to.-

(True Extract.)

(Seal)

/Signed/ C. B. G. D. Paske.

1st Judge.

N. D. C.

Translation

No 55.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to D. Grant Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Chender Atchemmah the mother of the late Samatee Nursummah and Grand Mother of Rajah Latchmenarasimmah Naidoo, the adopted minor son aged 12 years of the said Nursummah and her late husband Achiah Naidoo the Proprietor of the Village Bulle-purroo in the Masulipatam District and Tillaroo in the Rajahmundry District 2 Summata Rayenna Naidoo the natural father of the said minor 3 Jonnaluguddda Gorakpa Naidoo the father of the minor's wife, 4 Colapally Puttiah Naidoo the mater-nal uncle of Narsummah and 5 Samma-ta Venkumah Naidoo who was nourished from his infancy by the said Achiah Naidoo and Nursummah.-

Dated 30th October 1840.

Presented 3d November 1840.

/Signed/ D. Grant.-

The Court of Sudr Adalut having issued a precept to the Northern Provincial Court on the 16th September 1839 directing investigation of the suit brought by Ranjgalutchmumah widow of Venkatasawmy Naidoo the

the Nephew of the said Achiah Naidoo against the said Nursummah in forma pauperis for the re= =covery of one-third share in the property the Provincial Court issued a Notice to the said Nur= =summah who saw it on the 24th November and died on the 15th December last. Whereupon Ran= =jayalutchmummah brought a complaint before your honor saying that she is the sole heir to the whole of Nursummahs property. As we apprehend that unless this dispute is settled the minor would sustain great loss - we have reconciled the said Ranjyalutchmummah as follows - In the Will left by Achiah Naidoo before his death that is on the 13th August 1826 a sum of 20,000 Rupees was bequeathed in favor of S Venkatasawmy Naidoo from which deducting 7000 Rupees said by Nur= =summah to have been expended on account of his marriage the remaining Amount Viz. 13000 was said by Nursummah to have been paid to that man - As however Ranjyalutchmummah disputes this allegation, it is agreed that your honor should pay a Sum of 13,400 Company's Rupees to her Viz. 1300 Company's Rupees on account of the remaining 1300 Challa= =nums Rupees inclusive of the profits and 400 Rupees on account of a

a house to be built in any place she may prefer for her lodging. The minor should be the heir to all the property left by Nursummah after deducting the sums &c. bequeathed to the several persons = mentioned in the Will left by Nursummah. The said Sum of Rupees 13,400 should be paid by your honor to Ranjyluchmummah's Vakil out of the amount of debts which may be collected within two months after the receipt of the Boards sanction and if the same be inadequate, by the sale of a sufficient portion of the property under your attachment. The property so derived to Ranjy= = alutchmummah should be disposed off by her at her pleasure - She should not dispute in future with the said minor, his heirs, assigns &c. on any account whatever - After receiving the said amount she should withdraw the Pauper compluint from the Northern Provincial Court. Under these conditions we hope your honor will be pleased to settle the dispute between the minor and Ranjyalutchmummah in which case alone the both parties should be bound to the said stipulations but otherwise either of these parties cannot bring this into force. It is further hereby stipulated

stipulated that the appeal suit pending in the Provincial Court in No. 48 of 1838 between Bommidawara Janummah the sister of Cottraguddu Vullabharoy=doo Naidoo who stands as personal bail to the said Raujyalachonumah and Summatah Nur=summah should be withdrawn and that the Costs thereof should be borne by each party=

The mark of Chendoo Atchemmah

Do. of Summatah Rajamma Naidoo

Signed Conalaguddee Gooruppa Naidoo

" Colapully Puttiah Naidoo

" Sumomata Veneunna Naidoo

(True Translation)

(Signed) P. Grant.
Collector.

No. 50

Translation of an Arzee addressed to P. Grant Esqr. Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Rajah Samata Raujyalutchamammah the relict of Veneatasawmy Naidoo the son of the younger brother of S. Nursummah's husband the proprietors of Bullepurro in the Zillah of Masulipatam and Tolauro in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

Dated 2d November 1840.
Presented 3d Do.

The Court (Signed) P. Grant.
of Foujdy Udalut having issued

ipued a Precept to the Northern Provincial Court on the 16th September 1839 on the subject of my suit in Formâ pauperis against Sammata Nursanah for the recovery of my right to the property, the latter Court began to investigate into my Pauperism at which time that is on the 13th December 1839 Nursammah departed this life and the whole of the Estate was taken under attachment by honor. As regards the dispute existing between me and Sammata Vencanah the guardian of S. Lutchme Narsimmah Naidoo the adopted Son of Nursamah and which is under enquiry before your honor, the said Vencanah &c. produced a Will and some other documents, said to have been executed by Nursamah and your honor having set about to examine them, I and the said Minor's relations namely 1 Vencanah 2 S. Rajenna the natural father of the Minor 3 Gooruppa the father-in law of the Minor 4 Chandoo Achemma mother of the said Nursamah & C. Puttiah the maternal uncle of Nursamah have entered into the following terms.

In the Will said to have been executed

executed by Rajah Sriah Naidoo the said Kursamah's husband and Paternal Grand Uncle of my husband previously to his death that is on the 13th August 1826, it is stated that a sum of 29000 Chalamany Rupees should be paid to my husband from which deducting 7000 Rupees said to have been expended by her on account of his marriage the remaining sum of 13000 Rupees was not paid by her to my husband. The said people have therefore agreed to pay me a sum of Arcot Rupees 13400 Viz. 13000 Rupees on account of the said balance inclusive of the difference and 400 Rupees on account of the expense of a house to be built in any place I like for my lodging and we agreed among ourselves that the said sum of 13400 should be paid by your honor from the amount of debts due to Kursamah which may be collected by your honor within 2 months after the receipt of the Board's sanction and also from the sale of an adequate

portion

portion of her property under attachment in the event of the sum collected on account of her debts being insufficient, I therefore pray that your honor will be pleased to pay the amount in charge of my Vakil Chawendra Chetumbaram - We have likewise stipulated that I should conform to the said conditions only in case of the said amount being paid to me in the above-mentioned manner and that otherwise this Arza should be considered null and void by the both parties. I have further agreed that upon my receiving the said amount I would communicate the same to the Provincial Court and withdraw my Pauper Plaint, and also that the Minor's relations should relinquish their claim to the amount of the appeal suit pending in the Northern Provincial Court in No 548 of 1838 between the said Kursamah and Rajah Bommadavarah Ganamah the sister of Catragudda Vallabanaidoo who tendered a Personal Bail for one in that Court and that the Costs incurred by each party should be borne by them respectively

respectively - If your honor pay me the above-
-mentioned sum of Arcot Rupees 13,100, I
shall never dispute with the said Lutch-
-menarsimma Raidoo, his heirs or his assigns
that I am an heir or successor entitled
to a share in the property of Narsamah -
Under these circumstances I most earnestly
pray that your honor will be pleased to
pay me the said amount soon -

Signed/ Chavandra Chetamberam Vakeel

/Signed/ Ranjyalutchmy

/True translation/

/Signed/ P. Grant

Collector.

No 57.

Dawara conda Soobbamah, son of Lin-
-gumbottoo of the Bramin caste, cross mark
religion - aged 55 years, by profession an
umdar, and priest, and inhabitant of Ino-
-kollo, at present residing at Godoor is examined as
follows: the Cararnamah executed to Sunnatah
Narsamah by Venkatasawmy, the son of the younger brother
of her husband, on Thursday 2d Decreasing Moon of Poothjam
year Khara, being shewn to him -

12 - The signature of Witness on this yours, and what do you
know

know of it?

I cannot recollect the date one day
in the Soobkeya Bahoolum, year Khara Venkata-
-sawmy the son of the younger brother of
Narsummah's husband being sick was lying
down in a Bungalow at Narsamanah's house
in Masulipatam - Then Soraga Sadiah drew out
the said Cararnamah to the effect of Narsamah's
husband Akka Raidoo executed a Will stipula-
-ting to pay a sum of 20,000 Rupees to Ven-
-catasawmy Raidoo that 7,000 having been
already paid to the latter the remaining
sum of 13,100 Hydrabad Rupees was at that
time paid by Narsamah to him. Afterwards
Venkatasawmy signed it and asked me and Challa
Narsappa - to attest it as Witnesses - The signature
of Witness on this Cararnama is mine - Ven-
-catasawmy put his signature in this in our
presence and gave it to the hand of Narsam-
-mah - From the house situated close to the said Bun-
-galow Narsummah caused her servant Narsala
Nagaiah to bring 5 or 6 bags of Rupees and kept
the same near Venkatasawmy - At the time
when

when she caused this money to be brought
from inside, her Goomasta Poolepauka
Brammiah was also present. Then Vencatasawmy
said "I have received the money but I cannot
secure it because I am sick. you will there-
fore keep it with yourself" and give me a Bond"
She consented to this, caused her Goomasta
Poolepauca Brammiah to draw out a Bond
in the name of Vencatasawmy for that sum,
signed it herself, asked me and Chulla Nar-
sappa to attest the same as Witnesses and then
gave the Bond to the hand of Vencatasawmy who
kept it under his Head and laid down. Afterwards
Nursammah caused the said Samala Raganna
to carry the money bags inside and she
put them in her chest. At the time when the
said Cararnamah and Bond were executed
there were S. Nursamah, Vencatasawmy myself,
Tooraga Pooliah, Chella Narsappah Poolipauka
Brammiah, Poolipauka, Narsiah, present at
the said Bungalow. Afterwards she having
sent me Chellah Narsappa & Tooragoo
Pooliah

Pooliah to the Court to get the said Cararnamah
registered, we went there and got the document
registered the same day. At the dawn of the
said day Vencatasawmy died. At or 9 am
after Sun-rise the next day while I and
Chulla Narsappa were present near Na-
-sammah Tooraga Pooliah came there to
condole her and asked her what is be-
-come of the Document executed by you
to Vencatasawmy yesterday. She then replied
to Pooliah "Here are there for him and who
for me. He gave the said Bond to me
delivered his wife to me, and then died".

2 Is there any entry in Narsammah's
account to shew that the payment of the
amount of the said Cararnamah or Bond
was made?

A I know not, it must be known
to their Goomastas.

2 Do you know whether the amount of
the Bond executed by Narsammah to Vencatasawmy
was paid to his heirs or not?

A Subsequently Ranjyalachammah, who is the
wife

wife and heir of Vencatasawmy remained at Narsamma-
=mah's house for four years. The money was
not paid by Narsammah to Ranjyalachmee.-

2 After Nursammah executed the
Bond to Vencatasawmy, did she defray any
extra expenses. ?

A She went on a pilgrimage to Stree
=rungum.-

2 Where Vencatasawmy died ?

A He died at the Bungalow in
Narsammah's house at Masulipatam-

2 Do you know what is the amount
of the money which Narsammah had caused
to be placed near Vencatasawmy ?

A The bags were not opened, nor the
money was counted. The amount must
have been equivalent to 13000 Hyderabad Rupees-

/Signed/ Soobbannah

Solemnly affirmed before me on this 28th
October 1840.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Collector

No 58

Chulla Narsappah, Son of Nagaswarawadhanooloo of Bramin
caste

caste, crops mark religion 50 years, by profession
an Enamdar, Inhabitant of Ramanayyadepetta
Agraharum is examined as follows, the Cararnamah
executed by Vencatasawmy, Son of the younger
brother of Summata, Narsammas husband
to Narsurmmah on Thursday 2d Decreasing
Room of Poosh yam, year Khara being shewn
to him.-

2 Is the signature of Witness on this
yours, and what do you know of this
document ?

A I cannot recollect the date but 8
years ago, I went one day to Summata
Narsummah's house at 8 O'clock in the
morning one named Tooraga Poolliah drew
out this Cararniamah at that time and
the said Vencatasawmy signed this before us
Afterwards I and Dawaracondah Soobbannah attes-
=ed the same as Witnesses. The signature on this as
Witness is mine. After Vencatasawmy gave this paper
into the hand of Narsammah, the latter and Pollia-
pauca Beammiah her Soomasta went inside
caused some of her servants whose names I cannot recollect to

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

to bring 4 or 5 bags, and placed them near Venca-
-tasawmy. Each of the bags may contain above
2000 Rupees. There were inscriptions on the bags
The bags were not opened and the money was
not counted. Afterwards Venkatasawmy said to
the said Narsammah, I have received three Rupees
but I cannot secure them. I am sick, you thre-
-fore keep the money with yourself, give me
a Bond for the same, and continue paying
interest thereon. Narsammah consented to
this, executed a Bond to Venkatasawmy in the
handwriting of her Goomastah Poolepauea Bramiah
signed it, caused me and Dawaraeendah Soob-
-banah to attest the same as Witnesses and then
gave the Bond to the hand of Venkatasawmy
who afterwards put it under his head, and laid
down. Narsammah caused the said Money bags to
be carried into her house and she kept them
there. Having understood that the said Venkata-
-sawmy died at 4 o'clock A.M. of that night
I went to Narsammah's house at one Yham after Sun rise
next day with a view to condole her. Thru Tooraga Pollia also came thre and
asked

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

asked Narsammah "What is become of the Bond
"which Venkatasawmy received from you." To this
she answered "He are there for me and who for
him. He delivered his wife to me, gave the
Bond to me, and then died." Subsequently
Narsummah and Venkatasawmy's widow Ranj-
-galachmamah lived together in the same house
for some time, and also performed pilgrimages
to Sreecangum &c.

2 What is the amount of the Bond
executed by Narsammah to Venkatasawmy?

A She executed the Bond for 13000
Hyderabad Rupees. For the same the amount
the Cararnamah now produced was written.

2 Is there an entry in Narsummahs
accounts to shew that the amount of either the
Cararnamah or the Bond was repaid?

A I know not what was mentioned
in those accounts.

2 Do you know whether the
amount of the Bond executed to Venkata-
-sawmy by Narsammah was repaid to his heirs or not?

J

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

A I did neither see, nor hear that Narsammah repaid the Amount of that Bond.

Q After Narsammah executed the Bond to Venkatasawmy, did she defray any extra Charges?

A She caused the funeral rights of Venkatasawmy to be performed, and subsequently went to Sreerungum on a Pilgrimage.

Q Where was Venkatasawmy at the time the said Bond and Carranamah were executed and where this translation took place?

A Venkatasawmy laid down in the room to the South of the Bungalow in Narsammah's house at Masulipatam. This Translation took place there.

Q From what place did Narsammah bring the said Money bags, and where she again took them?

A She caused these bags to be brought from the room to the north of the house behind the said Bungalow and she again took them to the same place.

Q Who were present at the time when the said bond and Carapramah were executed?

Comp. S. R.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

A I, Dawaracondah Soobbannah, Tsanaga Pilliah, and Narsummah's Gumastahs Poolepauee Brammiah and Narsiah, as also Narsummah and Venkatasawmy were present.

/Signed/ Challa Narsappah.

Solemnly affirmed before me this 28th October 1840.

/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector.

No. 59.

Tsoraga Pooliah. Son of Soobbaraz of Brawin Caste long mark religion, aged 40 years, by Profession a Goomastah to M. Salaputty Naidoo and Inhabitant of Boottiah pettah at Masulipatam, is examined as follows; the Carar-namah executed to Narsummah by Venkatasawmy the son of the younger brother of her husband, on Thursday 2d Decreasing moon of Paashyam, year Khara being shewn to him.

Q What do you know of this Carar-namah?

A I cannot recollect the date. In the year Khara, I Narsummah sent for me to her house one day, after 4 Ghudies in the morning. In a Bungalow at her house was Venkatasawmy Naidoo, son of the younger brother of her husband, and who was then sick. Then Narsummah said to me that here husband executed a Will stipulating to

to pay a sum of 20,000 Hyderabad Rupees to Vencatasawmy Naidoo, that a sum of 7,000 Hydrabad Rupees was expended for his marriage out of the said amount, that 13,000 Hyderabad Rupees were still due to him that she had a desire to pay off that amount to him and obtain a Carrarnamah from him, that a rough draft of it was prepared, and that I should make a fair copy of the same on a stamp paper. upon my asking Venkatasawmy Naidoo on the subject, he expressed his consent to execute the Cararnamah - I therefore drew out the Cararnamah. Afterwards Nursammah caused the Gardener Saamala Nagunnah to bring 4 or 5 bags of money from a Room of the said Bungalow and place them near the said Vencatasawmy Naidoo. Then Nursammah's Goamasta Poolepanca Brammiah made a calculation and said that the sum of 13,000 Hyderabad Rupees would be equivalent to upwards of 10,000 Company's Rupees, and said that the money was in the said bags - The bags were not opened nor the money counted. Vencatasawmy put his signature on the said Cararnamah, caused the same to be attested by Witnesses and

and gave it to the hand of Nursummah. Then Vencatasawmy said to Nursummah "I am sick and "cannot secure the Money, keep therefore the Money "with yourself and execute to me a Bond stipulat "ing to pay me the same with interest." Nursummah consented to this, caused her Goamasta P. Brammiah to execute a Bond for the said sum in the name of Vencatasawmy on a stampt paper which was then with her. She put her signature to this Bond, caused Challa Narasappa and Dasaracondah Soobbanah to attest it as Witnesses, and gave the same to Venkatasawmy, he then put it under his head. I cannot recollect whether I witnessed the Bond or not. Afterwards Nursammah caused the bags to be carried in and put them again in the chests - Narsammah having said that the Cararnamah executed by Vencatasawmy should be got registered in the Court. I Challa Narasapah, Dasaracondah Soobbanah went to the Court, got the Cararnamah registered and returned it to Narasammah. The same Cararnamah is the one now produced to me. At the time when the said Cararnamah and the Bond were written I, Dasaracondah Soobbana, Challa Narsappa, Nursimmah, Vencatasawmy the Narasummahs Goamashta-

Goomasta, Poolepauka Brummiah, and his alleged brotherinlaw, whose name I know not, were present there at day break of that night, the said Venkatasawmy died, and I went the next day to Narsummah's house after 4 Ghudies in the morning to condole her - There were then Davaracondah Soobbanah, Challa Narsappa and some of her relations - after my consoling her I asked her what was become of the Bond. She answered saying that "Venkatasawmy gave that Bond to me, delivered his wife to me, and died. Who are there for her and who for me, I am for her, and she is for me. This is all that I know -

2 Is there any entry in the acounts of Nursammah, to shew that the amount of either the Bond or Cararnamah was repaid?

A I know not.-

2 Do you know whether the amount of the Bond executed by Narsummah to Venkatasawmy was paid to his wife Rayyalachmamma?

A I hear that the amount was not paid

paid to her -

2. Do you know where the said Venkasawmy died?

A He died in the room of the Bungalow at the house where Narsummah lived -

Solemnly affirmed before me this 28th October 1840.

/Signed/ P. Grant -
Collector

No. 60.

Translation of a Petition addressed to P. Grant Esqre Collector Vza in the Zillah of Masulipatam. by 1 Chendoo Achammah, maternal Grand mother to Rajah Lutchmy Nursimha Naidoo aged 12 years, who is the adopted son of the late Rajah Summata ackiah Naidoo and his wife S. Nursammah. the proprietrss of the Village Balleparroo in the Masulipatam District and the Village Talaroo in the Rajahmundry District, 2 Chandoo Rajamma Naidoo the natural father of the said Minor, 3 Jonnalagudda Goorappah Naidoo the father of the minors wife, 4 Colapally Pattiah Naidoo, maternal Uncle of

of Narasammah, and 5 Summeta Vencanna Naidoo who was from infancy nourished by the said Ackiah Naidoo and Narsamma

Dated 30th Octobe 1840.

As the said Ackiah Naidoo and Nursammah have admitted the said Venkunna Naidoo into their family since his infancy nourished him, and also per= =formed the Marriage Vth ceremonies to him; and as he is a man of experience and of good conduct. Narsammah before her death appointed him as manager and Guardian to the said minor Lutchmenarsimma Naidoo, and also left a Will on the 14th December 1839 to that effect.—

This appointment tho' agreeable to Clause V. Sec XIX Regulation V of 1804 is contrary to Sec IX of the same Re= =gulation which declares that two duties should not be vested in one man. Besides this, it is provided in the same Regulation that such per= =sons as are well interested in the welfare

welfare of the Minor, shall exclusively be appointed as Guardian to the Minor, and this rule has been in operation. Under these circumstances we all have agreed that a near relation to the Minor by name Goo= =rappah Naidoo, above mentioned, and who is the father of the Minors wife, as also the side Vencanna Naidoo who is attached to Nursummah's family, should be res= =pectively appointed one as Guardian to the minor, and the other as Manager of the Estate, according to the pleasure of the authorities. These two persons should jointly manage any business tho' holding distinct Of= =fices. Any document or any account whatever, should bear the Sig= =natures of these two persons. They both should consult with each other on= every business. As Boalepaca Brama= =miah and his younger brother Narsiah have been conducting the business of the Account Department in this Samastha= =nam since the time of Ackiah Naidoo the said Nursiah should

be

be employed in making out the Accounts Current of the Minor Zemindar. These Accounts should be signed by the said Gos= =rappa Naidoo and Venkiah Naidoo.—

As we the relatives of the — Minor all have consented to this on behalf of the Minor, we hope your honor will be pleased to obtain sanc:= = tion from the higher au= = thorities to the arrangement —

The Mark of Chandoo Achemmah

The Mark of Rajenna Naidoo.

/Signed/ Jonnalagudda Goorappa Naidoo.

" Colapully Puttiah Naidoo

" Summata Vencanah Naidoo

/True Translation/

/Signed/ P. Grant

Collector

Translation.

6.

Translation of an arzee addressed by — Coppoola Vencatasawmy Naidoo of Boottiah Pettah to P. Grant Esqre. Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam.—

Dated 3rd. November 1840.

At the time Rajah Summata Nursum= =mah was dying, Summata Venca=mah, cala= =pully Pattiah, Jonnalagudda Goorappa — Goomastah Poolepanca Narsiah, calapata= =poo Madawaraidoo, were all near her, and — after she breathed her last, they usurped all her property and kept the corpse — without burning.— Whereupon Ramaraz Cristniah the then Tahsildar and Head of Police of this Town came to Nursimmah's House, and as there was none to burn her he sent word to me. The deceased being my relation, I then went to him, and sent for the persons related to us and caused — the corpse to be burnt according to the Custom of our caste; as no property belong= =ing to her was found; an inquiry was — instituted

instituted. Nursummah when alive, thought that she would live no longer and told her Gomastah Poolepauca Nursiah to call me. but he without informing this to me pretended to her that I was absent from home with the deceitful view that it would be incovenient for him to embezzle her pro=perty were I present. After her death, I understood this from her servants and inform=ed the same to the said Head of Police Ramaraz Cristniah, and Tata Bummiah Naidoo who was appointed for inspection. These two persons having desired me to pay some money as there was nothing for defray=ing the expences of Nursummah's fune=ral rites and for the expences of her adopted minor saw and others, I paid some money accordingly and caused her funeral rites to be performed. after=wards I again paid some money for expen=ces. While this is the case, Goodemella Varadachaloo who

who has lived in the Minor's House since two Months, has combined with Summata Ranja=lutchmi the enemy of the minor, and siding with him. the minor and Vencummah got a Rayenamah to be entered into between the minor and I Ranjyalutchmy, with a view to embezzle the minor's property. This Rayenamah was signed by Chendoo Atchummah, Colapally Pattiah, Sonnalagudda Goorappa, Poolepauca Nursiah. For this purpose the said G Varada=charloo has deceitfully caused the said Ven=cannah to execute a Bond to Chundoo Atchum=mah as if a sum of 2,000 Rupees was due to her by the minor, and stipulating that this amount should in future be paid from the minor's Estate. In addition to this Bond, he also caused a letter to be written by Vencammah to the said Atchummah as a Voucher to that effect. a Rayenamah aryee was likewise prepared under the advice of the said G Varadacharloo; stating that

aut

out of the Minors property, calapally Pattiah, Sonnalagadda Goorappa, Poolepauca Nursiah should be paid @ 1,000 Rupees each; that 13,000 Rupees should be paid to the minors enemy S. Rajyalutchme according to the Razeenamah, that 3,000 Rupees should be paid to the said Varadacharloo, that Summata Vencannah, Collapally Puttiah and Sonnalagadda Goorapah should be the managers and Guardians to the minor, and that P. Nursiah should be the Serastadar. This Razeenamah arzee would be submitted by them to your honor with an arzee praying the enforcement of the former. Now I have to represent that if the property be put in their possession, in compliance with the said Razeenamah, they will embezzle all the property. If the property be kept under your attachment as guardian to the minor, in which case the minor will be in safety. I therefore pray that your honor will be pleased to keep the Estate under your management and make

yourself the Guardian of the minor. If any thing is to be paid to Rajyalutchme your honor will be pleased to make an enquiry and pay to her. your honor will. I trust perceive that it is improper to put the property in their possession in compliance with their Razeenamah above mentioned. The said Varadacharloo made many intrigues in the Samustanam of Bommadawarawar where he was for some time. He was therefore turned out from that Samustanam in consequence of his intriguing character. as he practises intrigues in the same manner wherever he may be, your honor will adopt the best means of preserving the minors safety.

(signed) C. Venkatasawmy.

True Translation

62. (signed) P. Grant. collector.

Translation of an arzee addressed to P. Grant Esquire, Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam, by Chandoo Atchemmah, the mother of the late Summata Nursamah and

and Grand mother of Rajah Lutchmeenursinha naidoo, the minor aged 12 years, who is the adopted son of the late summata Ackiah naidoo and his wife (since deceased) the said Nursumah, the proprietress of the Village Bullepara in the Masulipatam District and Polara in the Rajahmundry District. 2 Summata Rajenna naidoo, the natural father of the said minor. 3 Jonnalagudda Goorapah naidoo the father of the minors wife. 4 Calapully Puttiah naidoo, the maternal uncle of Nursummah. 5 Summata Vencanah naidoo, who was nourished since his infancy by the said Ackiah naidoo and Narsamah.

Dated 8th November 1840

During the life time of the said Narsamah, the daughterinlaw of her husbands younger brother, namely, Rauyyalutchamah brought a claim before the public authorities and in the courts: subsequently, Narsamah departed this life. she having incurred a large expense about that claim we

we thought that the minor also would not with a great loss if the said dispute is not settled. We therefore reconciled the said Rauyyalutchamma to relinquish her said claim by receiving a sum of 13,400 Rupees including the expense of a house to be erected by her, and we the both parties have accordingly presented argzes before your honor requesting that / the property being under attachment / your honor should obtain sanction from the Board of Revenue, and Enforce the said conditions. But our motive of effecting this arrangement is not with a view either to embezzle or injure the minors property, while we are chiefly interested in his welfare. Having understood that Coppoola Vencatasawmy of Boottiahpett at Masulipatam, combining himself with some others, presented a petition before your honor stating that we entertain a fraudulent disposition towards the minor, and entering into a

league

league with his adversaries, presented a false Razeenamah, we beg to state as follows - As your honor required proof to the fact of the amount of the registered Cararnamah or agreement dated Thursday 2d. Decreasing moon of Pooshyem year Khara not having been already paid to Raujeya-lutchammah, we beg to adduce our reasons to the fact of the said amount being due to Raujeyalutchammah, as also of C. Vencatasamy's not having any concern to interfere with the affairs of the minor. We assuredly knew that the said Narasimah obtained an agreement from the late Vencatasawmy, husband of Raujeyalutchammah, on Thursday 2d. Decreasing moon of Pooshyem year Khara, acknowledging the receipt of 13,000 Rupees by him, that 7,000 Rupees were already escpended for his marriage - on account of the 2,0000 chalamany Rupees bequeathed by the late Ackiah naidoo in his favor, that afterward she

she executed a Bond to Vencatasawmy naidoo on a stampt paper on the same date for the above sum of Rupees 13,000, that that Vencatasawmy naidoo befor his death delivered his wife Raujeyalutchammah to Narsamah together with the said Bond, that she purchased 2 stampt papers in your Office on the said date for 64 Rupees each of 32 Rupees value, one in the name of Vencatasawmy for executing an agreement, and the other in the name of Narsamah for executing a Bond. This fact will be known to your honor by a reference to the Register of stampt papers sold in your Office on Thursday 2 Decreasing moon of Pooshyem year Khara. your honor will thereby be convinced that the said amount was not paid either to Raujeyalutchammah or her husband, that the Razeenamah, entered into by us is beneficial to the minor,

and

and that the allegations of the said Ven-
=catasawmy and other intriguing characters
are untrue. The said Vencatasawmy is not
in any way related to the said Ackiah
naidoo, Nursamah, minor, or his wife, —
or to us, nor he has any concern with us.
Besides this, it was the minor's priest
Goodemella Varadachar loo alone, but not
the said C. Vencatasawmy, who advanced
money for the subsistence of the minor
and the said Venkanah, together with
his family and other retinue since the —
death of Nursamah, and this fact will
be verified by the minor and the Police
Peons kept in the, Narsammahs, —
house. The said Vencatasawmy has no
reason at all to interfere with the —
duties of either Guardian or manager
of the minor, nor we, who are chiefly
interested, should admit of the same,

nor

nor it is agreeable to the Regulations. —
C. Vencatasawmy and his younger brothers
Seetiah told us to give our consent to —
their holding the offices of Guardian —
and manager to the minor, and present
a petition to your honor to that effect.
But as we did not consent to this, they
have entertained a great spite, and the
said Vencatasawmy presented an arzee
to your honor stating therein some falsities
to the prejudice of the minor. If your
honor take the said arzee into your —
consideration, many people in this —
Town who have no any concern with
the minor Zemindar would also bring
similar arzees, in which case, the —
property of the minor will be injured.
If your honor send for and enquire
our relations and other respectable
persons, whether the said Vencatasawmy

had

had ever any concern with the ancestors of the minor, either in the public affairs or in relationship. Vencatasawmy or his younger brother Seetiah is not a man of good behaviour - we therefore most earnestly pray that your honor will be pleased to bring into force the arzee we had address to your honor on the 30th October 1840. on the subject of the Guardian and Manager of the minor, and reject the false arzee of the said Vencatasawmy and Seetiah - as regards the Rajeenamah we hope you will be pleased to do as you may think proper -

The mark of Chendoo Atchana
" Summata, Rajunna Naido
/Signed/ Sunalagudda Goorapah Na
" Calapilly Puttiah Naidoo.
" Summata Venkanah Naido

/True Translation./
/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector.

To

No 63

To P. Grant Esqr
Collector and Magistrate in the
Zillah of Masulipatam.

The humble Representation
of Cossala Vencatasawmy
Naidoo, Bullock agent
of Minor Zemindar Rajah
Bommadavara Nagamah
Naidoo, and, Contractor
in the Masulipatam Mi=
=litary Abkarry limits.

Honored Sir.

Para 1. With due respects and submis=
=sion I beg leave to bring the following circumstan=
=ces to your notice, that when the last moments
of late Rajah Summettah Nursummah hap=
=pened, Summetah Venkiah, Calapully Puttiah
and Sonnalagadda Goorapah and Goomastahs
Poolepauka Nursiah and Calapantapoo Mar=
=davarayoodoo, were all by her at that hour,
and as soon as she departed, they took advan=
=tage of the opportunity, and embezzled such
of her property, as convenience admitted, and

were

were quite without adopting means even for burning the Corpse.

2. Ramaraujze Kistiah, the Tahsildar and Head of Police of this Town, having come to the spot, and finding no one, that could engages to cause the dead body to be burnt, desired me to come, I went there as I stand near in relationship with her / the nature of relationship is that my son, and her nephew are both joint sonsinlaw. / I called for an assembly of such of our relations, as were necessary on the occasion, and caused the Corps to be burnt according to the Customs of our cast. After which the Tahsildar though immediately made inquiries to ascertain the whole extent of her property, yet he could not find the whole at that time until severe measures were adopted by Keeping some of the above named people in guard, who at first feigned to have known nothing about the Estate, and afterwards convicted themselves and disclosed jewels

jewels of inferior value, depriving of valuable ones, as reported by Totah Brummiah Naioo — who was appointed as a Supervisor upon this — busenefs. I was informed by the Servants of late Rajah Nursamah, that she desired her — Goomastah Poolepauka Nursiah to call me, in finding herself that her death approched, but he and the above named people Summetah Vencamah, Coolapully Puttiah &c misreport=ed her, that I was not at home, and I went somewhere or other, with a view that my presence would be prejudicial for their de=frauding the property, which circumstance I have reported to Ramaraujze Kistiah and Totah Bramiah Naidoo. There being no money for defraying the expenses for the obsequious of deceased Nursummah and for the victualling expenses of the Minor, her adopted son, they advised me to supply them with funds. I have therefore paid to the Minor certain sums for the above expenses keeping particular accounts of the same.

While

3- While the case is in this manner, one named Goodemullah Verdacharlo an inhabitant of Cutter Goodoor in the Purgannah of Goomoordoor, who was formerly expelled from Rajah Bommadavoravaroo Summastanum as an intriguing man, has been here since two Months in the minor's house, and being combined with Summetah Rajealutchemie, the enemy of the Minor, with Venaamah the son of late Nursummah's slave and at present the companion of the Minor, and with Chendoo Achemah, Colapully Puttiah Sonnalagudda Goorapah, Polepauka Nursiah, Summetah Rajammah, Bommadavara Saunarrah, Enamdar of Mullavole, forged a Rauzeenamah between Minor and Rajealutcheme for 13,000 Rupees to be paid to her from the Minor's Estate, executing privately a bond by the Minor for 2,000 Rupees to Chendoo Utchemmah for having signed the forged Rauzeenamah and forwarding a letter to her on that subject with the signature of the Minor and Vencamah, as a

a voucher in support of the Bond as well as making an arrangement for 5,000 Rupees to be paid to Summetah Venaamah Colapully Puttiah Sunnalagudda Goorapah, Polepauka Nursiah, Summetah Rungamah at 1,000 Rupees for each, as well as 3,000 Rupees to be paid to himself as a reward, or bribe for having affixed all their signatures on the above forged Rauzeenamah, as also to remit to Sanamah the Enamdar of Mullavole for the above purpose the sum of 4000 Rupees decreed against her by the Zillah Court upon a complaint instituted by late Nursummah, and to withdraw the suit and also executing private deeds for this arrangement with a view to defraud the Minor's Estate in this manner-

4th Moreover they have submitted to your honor the Rauzeenamah with an arzee for obtaining your sanction as well as another arzee requesting your honor to appoint Summetah Vencamah

Fort St.e George 15th April 1841-

Vencanah, Tomalaguddah Goorapah, Colapilly Puttiah as Manager, and Guardians to the Minor's Estate, and Poolepauka Nursiah as Sheristadar, I therefore beg to state that, by the undermentioned circumstances it will be manifest to your honor, that the above named people have with malicious intention of defrauding Minor's Estate, forged this Rayzeenamah-

5- Late Vencatasawmy Naicken the Husband of Rayeelutcheme being the brothers son of late Nursummahs Husband Ockiah Naidoo, she paid to him while he was alive a legacy of 20,000 Rupees according to the tenor of the Will left by her husband and got from him a "Fareekhutt" or an acquittance, which was registered in the Zillah Court.

6th The above Fareekhut deed is among the papers of late Nursummah now under Circars Zuft, and the Book in which

Fort St.e George 15th April 1841-

which this deed was registered, is still existing in the Zillah Court, and while the case is in this manner, Rayeelutcheme though she has no even a little claim on the Estate, yet being connived with Chundoo Atchama Ven.a the above mentioned people as also with the Minor's own father who was a quiet man and inexperienced in affair of management, and unintellectual, presented to your honor a forged Rayzeenamah with intention to defraud the Minor's Estate, but which is quiet irregular and should not deserve to be approved of.

7th Should it be your resolution to sanction the Rayzeenamah presented by Rayeelutcheme Ven.a and to appoint Sunnetah Veneanah, Colapilly Puttiah, Tomalaguddah Goorapah as managers and guardians; and Poolepauka Nursiah as Sheristedar, the Estate of the Minor will be deprived off within a short period, and the Minor will

will be subjected to a great loss. It will be clear to your honor that the above people have a wicked intention of defrauding the Minor merely by the Arzee presented to your honor requesting to appoint so many Officers to an Estate of a Minor Zemendar of only two tittle Villages.

8. The reason of my concerning in this Matter, is inconsequence of late Nursummah being a nearest relation to me, Having lent about 700 Rupees for her obsequies Vea. and as a Rauzeenamah was presented to your honor by Rayeas Lutcheme Vea. to deprive of unjustly the Minor's Estate, he being a bad, and also I know every particular concerning their Estate since long being a neighbourer, and I have therefore represented the same for your information

9- I also beg to state that it is not in any way my wish to be appointed either as manager or Guardian to the Estate, nor I have intention of depriving of the Minor's Estate, and what

what my earnest desire is that the Minor should prosper and that no injury should happen to his Estate, moreover for the better security of the Minor. 10th. As the comfort and the security of the Minor's Estate rests in the Circar. I trust your honor will perusse my humble Petition and be pleased to submit this to the Board of Revenue with your recommendation to continue the Minor's Estate to be kept under the Circar's management, until the Minority is over, affording every assistance for bringing up the Minor, in the meantime, or otherwise I request your honor will be pleased to recommend to the Board, to receive into the Honorable Company's Treasury the 30,000 Rupees due to late Nursummah by Mr. Linares which he is about to remit, and to grant a bond for the same payable at the regulated rate of Interest to enable the Minor to defray his vicuatting expenses Vea without trouble during his life

Fort St.George 15th April 1841.

life and to prevent the above named people depriving off the same keeping under Crears Zuft, the other real & personal property to be made over to the Minor after he attains manhood.

Masulipatam, 9th Novr. 1840. } /Signed/ Bobbili Venkatasawmy.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ P. Grant.
Coll.r

64.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 16th September 1839.

Read Summary Appeal Petition presented on the 15th July last by the Vakeel S Kistnamahcharry on behalf of Summetah Rayeatutcherne Ammah of Masulipatam

/Heretofore S. A. P. No. 15 of 1839/.

Read also miscellaneous Petition presented on the 12th ultimo by the Vakeel Hanoorrumtarow on behalf of Sree Raja Summetah Nursummah of Masulipatam being a Counter petition to summary appeal Petition No. 15 of 1839.

/Heretofore M. P. No. 157 of 1839/

The Petitioner in No. 15 represents that the

Fort St.George 15th April 1841.

she submitted an application No. 334 of 1837 to the Provincial Court in the Northern Division to be admitted to institute a suit in formâ pauperis against a female named Nursummah / Petitioner / to the Court of Sudr Udalut in No. 157 / to recover the sum of Rupees 153929-5-4- being the one third part of Rupees 461788-0-0 the Value of the family property, real and personal, left by three undivided brothers of one of whom the Petitioner married the Son, who died before attaining his majority, that the case having been considered by a Vakeel of the Court as required by clause second Section V Regulation VIII of 1818, he recorded his opinion that the suit was sustainable, and the Provincial Court thereupon proceeded in accordance with clause fourth of the same Section, to call upon the Petitioner to swear to the declaration and schedule

chedule mentioned in that Provision which she
ded that subsequently the Provincial Court
in place of proceeding in the manner enjoin-
ed by Sanse fifth, issued Precepts to the
Auxiliary Court of Masulipatam requiring
it to ascertain the competency of the sure-
ties produced by the Petitioner, that these
Sureties having been finally admitted,
The Provincial Court issued a notice to
the Defendant under date the 27th
July 1838. requiring her, should she
see fit, to show cause against the Petitio-
ner's admission, that the defendant
did accordingly present a Petition No 595
of 1838 wherein she did not attempt to in-
validate the Petitioner's alleged pauperism
but applied herself to discuss the merits
of the case and that the 3d Judge of the
Provincial Court on perusing the said Petition
passed

passed proceedings under date the 9th Janu-
ary 1839 rejecting the Petitioner's application to
be admitted to sue in formâ pauperis and
returned to her, her Petition of Plaint-

The Petitioner then goes on to ar-
2.
gue at considerable length against the
legality of the Proceedings of the 3d Judge
of the Provincial Court and concludes by
praying that a Precept may be issued
to the Provincial Court commanding them
to admit her to institute her suit as a
pauper and to hear and determine the
action on its merits.

The Party in Counter Petition
3
No 157 goes into a very long argument
to induce the Court of Sudr Udalut to
reject the prayer of the summary Appeal
Petition No 15. much of which however appears
to the Court to be irrelevant to the real point
for

for determination when the order of the Provincial Court of the 9th January 1839 was passed, Viz.t the extent of the Property possessed by the applicant for leave to sue in formâ pauperis.

4. It appears to the Court of Sudr Udalut that the Proceedings of the Provincial Court under date the 9th January 1839 were altogether irregular, and improper in as much as under the provisions of the fifth and following clauses of Section V Regulation VII of 1818 the opposite party is entitled to be heard solely and exclusively on the point of the extent of the property of

of the pauper applicant, and not at all upon the merits of the claim.

5. The Court of Sudr Udalut concur also with the Petitioner that she call on a pauper under Section VI of the same provision made in this case for security presupposes the recognition of the claim as sustainable, if therefore the Proceedings of the Provincial Court in such cases are regularly conducted, the order of the third Judge must

Fort St George 15th April 1841.

must be at variance with a previous
resolution of the Provincial Court.

6- The Court of Sudr Adalut
direct that these observations be communi-
cated to the Provincial Court in the
Northern Division, and that authority
be given to that Court to revise the said
proceedings of the 9th January 1839.

7- No. 157. Ordered that an Extract
from these Proceedings be sent to the
Provincial Court in the Northern
Division for their information
and guidance.

Ordered

Ordered that
the prayer of the
Petitioner No. 157 be
rejected.

Statement.

5380

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the amount of Bonded Debt due

Vouchers found among the effects and now in charge of the

15th April 1841

to the late S. Nurs

Collector of Masul

the Bonds and other

amount of the Bond
& interest

Number marked on the Bonds	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds	Bonds, Agreement	Year, & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest	Total
	Pagodas	Pagodas			Principal Pagodas		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					6Rs as ₰	6Rs as ₰	
1	Madaur Buksh Saun Mahomed Samadar of ombeed Purganah	Rajahackiah naidoo	Bond	25th November 1819	25,000	" "	" 25,000
2	Yalamuncheelee Rayapah & his sons Lutchmiah, Lutchmenaraina & Vencatady Defendants in Suit No 62 of 1837 of the Auxiliary Court & file & inhabitant of Cannoomoor in Madoora Purganah	S. Nursamah Plaintiff	Postponed Petition	4th May 1839	1,673	13 1	" 1,673
3	Yaloomuncheelee Rayeenah inhabitant of Do in Do	Rajahackiah naidoo	Bond	6th July 1820	100	" "	" 100
4	Saanamud Samadar	Do Do	Contract Paper of Bengal Gram	21st February 1823	3650	" "	" 3,650
5	Coma Rayeinnah inhabitant of Hydrabad	Do Do	Bond	21st March 1820	600	" "	" 600
6	Seetumrauze Sooliah	Rajahackiah naidoo	Bond	2nd May Do	100	" "	" 100
7	Seerum Lutchiah inhabitant of Hydrabad	Do Do	Bond and Agreement	20th August 1820	20,000	" "	" 20,000
				Carried forward	50,923	13 1	" 50,923 1

which 7,000 Rupees is credited on the
mon Paper—

count on 4 Stamp Papers that y
se Petition in the said Suit and
ence of Rayapah
nd the amounts of which

Telooguo & Maharatta languages
d Paper does not bear any

ee Rupees 600.

is Rupees 100

5380

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the amount of Bonded Debt due to the late S. Nursamah Proprietress of Bullepurroo in the Zillah of Masulipa

Vouchers found among the effects and now in charge of the Collector of Masulipatam.—

15th April 1841

No. 65

Number marked on the Bonds ofea	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds ofea	Names of the holders of Bonds ofea	Bonds, Agreement ofea	Year, & Date of the Bonds ofea	Principal ofea	amount of the Bonds & interest: amount received on account of interest	amount of the Bonds & interest: Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds ofea	Remaining Balance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	Principal amount due on the Bonds ofea 6	7	8	9	10	
					6Rs as ℔	6Rs as ℔	6Rs as ℔	6Rs as ℔	6Rs as ℔	
1	Madana Bukh Saun Mahomed Samadar of ombeed Purganah	Rajahackiah naidoo	Bond	25th November 1839	25,000 " "	" " "	25,000 " "	7,000 " "	18,000 " "	1 The original Bond is for chalamanee Rupees 25,000 back of the Bond on three different dates viz
2	Yalamunchelee Rayapah & his sons Lutchmiah Lutchmenarainah and two others Defendants in Suit No. 82 of 1838 of the Auxiliary Courts file & inhabitants of Cannoomoor in Madoor Purganah	S. Nursamah Plaintiff	Postphone Petition	6th May 1839	1,673 13 1	" " "	1,673 13 1	" " "	1,673 13 1	2 It appears by the attested Copy of a document Lutchmiah Lutchmenarain & Venkatadry pro agreed to pay the amount sued for by instalments
3	Yalamunchelee Rayennah inhabitant of D° in D°	Rajahdckiah naidoo	Bond	6th July 1820	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	3 This Bond was drawn out on common Paper was not endorsed on the back of it as pa
4	Saanamud Samadar D° D°	Contract Rupees of Bengal Gram		21st February 1825	3,650 " "	" " "	3,650 " "	" " "	3,650 " "	4 The deed of contract for Bengal Gram wa no part of the money appears to have been re endorsement. It is written on Common
5	Coma Rayinnah inhabitant of Hydrabad	D° D°	Bond	21st March 1820	400 " "	" " "	400 " "	" " "	400 " "	5 This Bond was written on Common Pape
6	Seetumrauze Soobiah	Rajahdckiah naidoo	Bond	2d May D°	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	6 The Bond was drawn out on common Pap
7	Seeram Lutchiah inhabitant of Hydrabad	D° D°	Bond and Agreement	20th august 1820	20,000 " "	" " "	20,000 " "	" " "	20,000 " "	7 The Bond and agreement were drawn out
				Carried forward	50,923 13 1	" " "	50,923 13 1	7,000 " "	43,923 13 1	

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds agreements &c.	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal amount of the Bonds &c. & of interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bond &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			C Rs as ps	C Rs as ps	C Rs as ps	C Rs as ps	C Rs as ps	
	Brought forward ----		50,923 13 1	" " "	50,923 13 1	7,000 " "	43,923 13 1	
Rajah Achiah Naidoo	Bond	Sunday the 10th Baboolum of the month Jaista & year Vikrama made ----	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " " 8	The Bond was written on Common Paper
Do Do	Do	11th April 1821	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	" " "	100 " " 9	Do Do on Do Do for Chalamanee Rupees 100
Do Do	Do	26th August 1821	1135 " "	" " "	1135 " "	" " "	1135 " " 10	Do Do on Do Do for Do Do 1135
Do Do	Do	17th December Do	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	" " "	150 " " 11	Do Do on Do Do for Do Do 150
Do Do	Do	Sunday the 14th Baboolum of Jeistum & Year Vikrama	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " " 12	Do Do on Do Do
Do Do	Do	Do Do	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " " Ps 13	Do Do
Do Do	Do	6th May 1822	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	30 " " 14	Do Do on Do Do for Chalamanee Rupees 30
Do Do	Do	Do Do	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	30 " " 15	Do Do
Rajah Achiah Naidoo	Bond	23rd April 1823	25 " "	" " "	25 " "	" " "	25 " " 16	The Bond is drawn out on Common Paper for Chalamanee Rs 25
	Carried forward		52,438 13 1	" " "	52,438 13 1	7,000 " "	45,438 13 1	

5381

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds	Bonds agreements	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds	amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bond
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔
			Brought forward		50923 13 1	" " "	50923 13 1	7000 " "
8	Deevee Guntadoo inhabitant of Moonapully	Rajah Achiah Naidoo	Bond	Sunday the 6th Baschoolum of the month Jaista 4th year Parabamade	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "
9	Abdoollah Saheb	D° D°	D°	11th April 1821	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	" " "
10	Sashum Narayanapah inhabitant of Tuticatoor	D° D°	D°	26th August 1821	1135 " "	" " "	1135 " "	" " "
11	Automoody Veeranah D° of Mookallo	D° D°	D°	17th December D°	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	" " "
12	Chevakoola Mootialoogah chuckler D° of D°	D° D°	D°	Sunday the 14th Baschoolum of Jeistum 4th year Vikrama	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "
13	B Condadoo D° D° of D°	D° D°	D°	D° D°	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "
14	C Lutchegandoo	D° D°	D°	6th May 1822	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "
15	M. Samalagandoo	D° D°	D°	D° D°	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "
16	C. Nursiah inhabitant of Vanootooroomilly	Rajah Achiah Naidoo	Bond	23 April 1823	25 " "	" " "	25 " "	" " "
			Carried forward		52438 13 1	" " "	52438 13 1	7000 " "

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

St.

Number marked on the Bonds ofca	Bonds agreements ofca	Year, & Date of the Bonds ofca	Principal amount of the Bonds ofca & of interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds ofca	amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bond ofca	Remaining Balance	Remarks
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs as ∂	Rs as ∂	Rs as ∂	Rs as ∂	Rs as ∂	
	Brought forward ----		50,923 13 1	" " "	50,923 13 1	7,000 " "	43,923 13 1	
1	Bond	Sunday the 6th Bahoolum of the month Saista & year Rikrama made ----	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " " 8	The Bond was written on Common Paper
1	D°	11th April 1821	100 " "	" " "	100 " "	" " "	100 " " 9	D° D° on D° D° for Chalamanee Rupees 100
2	D°	26th August 1821	1135 " "	" " "	1135 " "	" " "	1135 " " 10	D° D° on D° D° for D° D° 1135
	D°	17th December 8°	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	" " "	150 " " 11	D° D° on D° D° for D° D° 150
3	D°	Sunday the 14th Bahoolum of Srietum & Year Vikrama	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " " 12	D° D° on D° D°
4	D°	D° D°	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " " Rs 13	D° D°
5	D°	6th May 1822	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	30 " " 14	D° D° on D° D° for Chalamanee Rupees 30
6	D°	D° D°	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	30 " " 15	D° D°
7	Bond	23rd April 1823	25 " "	" " "	25 " "	" " "	25 " " 16	The Bond is drawn out on Common Paper for Chalamanee Rs 25
	Carried forward		52,438 13 1	" " "	52,438 13 1	7,000 " "	45,438 13 1	

Number marked on the Bonds &c.a	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.a	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.a	Bonds Agreements &c.a	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.a	Bonds Agreements &c.
1	2	3	4	5	4
			Brought forward		Brough
	Gopaul Naick and Seevanah do &c.a	Rajah Achiah Naidoo	Bond	26th May 1824	Bond
	Gopaul Naick and Seevanah do &c.a	Do &c.a	Do &c.a	Do &c.a	Do &c.
17	Gopaul Puntoolu and Seevanah do &c.a	C. Venkanna Naidoo	Do &c.a	6th May 1826	Do &c.
	C. Venkanah Naidoo agent on behalf of Nursamah at Nagapoor		Letter & Lists of Payment	10th August 1838	6 Vouchers atte by Mr. Palme without any a
				Carried forward	82

Bonds Agre ments &c.

ment on China Paper given
y 1826 that they borrowed
oo making in all 75000 Chala
im for money transactions
m they re paid 65000 Rupees
ds D.y agreements in all 21
last liquidation of the sum of
e remaining balance Gopaul
ents an agreement on the
is on China Paper agreeing
From Copies of a letter sent
Kamptee on the 10th August
e number of Bonds discharged
as to
1-9-9 was Collected from
ted on account of the Amount
inder is 6,101-9-9 & the Balance
s shewn in this account
t must be hereafter ascertain
Nos. 104-115-& 139 are enclosed

Total of Bonds
of Achiah N
Carried fo

Fort St. George

15th April 1841

ee of persons Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds ₹ca	Bonds Agreements ₹ca	Year & Date of the Bonds ₹ca	Principal amount of the Bonds ₹ca & of interest - Principal amount due on the Bonds ₹ca	amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds ₹ca	Remaining Balance	Remarks
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
				₹ as ♁	₹ as ♁	₹ as ♁	₹ as ♁	₹ as ♁	
		Brought forward		52,438 13 1	" " "	52,438 13 1	7,000 " "	45,438 13 1	17 It appears from the copy of an agreement on China Paper given by Seevandoss ₹ca on the 26th May 1824 that they borrowed 45,000 Rupees & on a Bond ₹ 30,000 making in all 75,000 Chalaneance Rupees for opening a Firm for money transactions that on account of the said sum they received 45,000 Rupees that they transferred 14 Bonds & 7 agreements in all 21 Documents for the sum 130911 in part liquidation of the sum of 30,000 Rupees and that for the remaining balance Gopaloo Puntoaloo & Seevandoss entered into an agreement on the 6th May 1826 the Copy of which is on China Paper agreeing to pay the money themselves From Copies of a letter sent by V. Venkanah Naidoo from Kamptee on the 10th August 1838 as well as list shewing the number of Bonds discharged it further appears that ₹ 44-9-9 as ♁ was collected from which 40 Rupees being deducted on account of the Amount Collected in excess the remainder is ₹ 4,101-9-9 & the Balance still due is Rupees 23,598-6-3 as shewn in this account the correctness of this account must be hereafter ascertained. The former documents Nos 104-115 & 139 are enclosed in the Original Bond ---
Seevanah Naidoo	Rajah Ackiah Naidoo	Bond	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	
and Seevandoss	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	
Gopaloo and Seevandoss	C. Venkanna Naidoo	Do	6th May 1826	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	
Venkanah Naidoo agents		Letter & lists of Payment	10th August 1838	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	
			Carried forward	82,438 13 1	" " "	82,438 13 1	7,000 " "	75,438 13 1	

5383

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds	Bonds Agreements	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & Interest — Principal amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p
			Brought forward		82,438 13 1	" " "	82,438 13 1	7,000 " "
18	N. Polliah inhabitant of Cautoor	Rajahckiah naidoo	Bond	13th December 1825	212 " "	" " "	212 " "	" " "
19	S. Ramacroostnamah &c of Moocolloo	&c	&c	Friday the 8th Ba: shoolum of the Month Ashadum & Year Paurdeeva	45 " "	" " "	45 " "	" " "
20	Mahomed Nooroolla Khan	&c	&c	15th Jamadee: laval 1240 Higiree	10,000 " "	" " "	10,000 " "	" " "
21	William Palmer Esqr late Firm at Hydrabad	Sackiah naidoo & Nursamah	6 Vouchers attested by Mr Palmer without any date		" 128,120 6 "	" " "	128,120 6 "	" " "
			Total of Bonds &c in the name of ackiah naidoo Carried forward		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "

Fort St. George 15th " April 1841

Year & Date of the Bonds ₹ca "	Principal amount of the Bonds ₹ca " & interest - Principal amount due on the Bonds ₹ca "	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds ₹ca "	Remaining Balance	Remarks	
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	C Rs as ℔	C Rs as ℔	C Rs as ℔	C Rs as ℔	C Rs as ℔		
Bt forward ------	82,438 13 1	" " "	82,438 13 1	7000 " "	75,438 13 1		
13th December 1825	212 " "	" " "	212 " "	" " "	212 " "	No. 18	The Bond was drawn out on Common Paper for Chalamanee Rupees 212
Friday the 8th Ba: shoalum of the Month Ashadum & Year Pardeeva	45 " "	" " "	45 " "	" " "	45 " "	19	D" D" for Rs. 45
15th " Jamadee: laval - 1240 Higiree ----	10,000 " "	" " "	10,000 " "	" " "	10,000 " "	20	The Bond was drawn out on common Paper in Persian for Rs. 10,000-
						21	It appears from an account current attested by Wm Palmer Esqr that Rajah Sachiah Naidoo and Nara: :mah deposited a sum of Rs. 128,120-6- for interest in the Bank of Messrs Palmer & Co at Hydrabad: that in part payment there of Rs 5,424-13 - " was paid by him in December 1825 Rs 10,249-11 - in February 1826 Rs 5,124-13 - " in February 1827 Rs 5,124-13 - " in December 1828 Rs 5,124-13 - " in November 1830 Rs 5,124-13 - " in January 31st Rs 10,249-10 in June 1832 & Rs 10,249-10 - " in June 1833 making in an aggregate to Rs 56,373 & the balance due is Rs 71,747-6 - From Copies of 5 letters it moreover appears that Mr Palmer wrote 2 English letters on the 19th March 1836 one to Nara: :mah Vanother to Mr Linares wherein he states that with regard to
ted: r- late	" 128,120 6 "	" " "	128,120 6 "	" " "	128,120 6 "		
16th in the name Naidoo ------- rwarde ------	2,29,816 3 1	" " "	2,29,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,12,816 3 1		

5384 . | 5385 Fort St. George 15th April 18

Number marked on the Bonds Pcas	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Pcas	Names of the holders of Bonds Pcas	Bonds agreements Pcas
1	2	3	4
22	C. Sameega Shepherd inhabetant of Vaugavarum	Rajah harsamah	Bond
23	S. Jugguppah D.o of Mookolloo	D.o D.o	D.o
24	S Goorlingum D.o of D.o	D.o D.o	D.o
25	S. Gunteah D.o of D.o	D.o D.o	D.o
26	S. Achiah brother of Juggappah D.o of D.o	D.o D.o	D.o
27	N. Chenna Venkiah D.o of Vanootoormilly	D.o D.o	D.o

Carried forward

Year & Date of the Bonds Pcas

5	4
Brought forward to several individuals & to their	
1836 informs her that with a view of effecting	
appointed Lt. Colonel Fletcher Pcas four	
of that year acquaints her that Mrs Linares	
dividend of 20 per Cent. and the letter	
dated 10th December 1834 desires	
clair her agent such amount of the	
share.	
Monday the 11	
Sooddee of the mon Common Paper for Rs. 30-12-	
Poosheum and y	
Veya	
Saturday the 15	
Sooddee of the mo Rs. 20-12-	
Soistum & year	
Survajittoo	
D.o D.o Rs. 5-4-	
D.o D.o 12-3-	
D.o D.o D.o	
Wednesday the 9th	
Bahoolun of the mon 8.	
Ravanum & year	
Survajittoo	

Fort St. George

15th April 1841

...ce of the ...ndered	Names of the holders of Bonds Dca	Bonds agree-ments Dca	Year & Date of the Bonds Dca	Principal amount of the Bonds Dca & interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds Dca	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Dca	Remaining Balance	Remarks
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	
			Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	to the debts due by that Firm to several individuals & to the proposed settlement some person on her behalf may be deputed to attend the letter of the 20th April 1836 informs her that with a view of a final adjustment of the debts he has appointed Lt. Colonel Hetchen Dca for Gentlemen the letter of the 11th February of that year acquaints her that Mr. agreed to receive his debt at a Dividend of 10 per Cent. and the L of Narasamah to Mr. Palmer dated 10th December 1834 de him to pay to Venkoo Moodaliar her agent such amount of dividend as may fall to her share --
Shepherd Ingavarum	Rajah Narsamah	Bond	Monday the 11th Soodden of the Month Poosheum and year Veya	30 12 " " " "	30 12 " " " "	30 12 "	22nd	This Bond was written on Common Paper for Rs. 30	
D" of	D" "	D" "	Saturday the 15th Soodden of the Month Poosheum & year Survajittoo	20 12 " " " "	20 12 " " " "	20 12 "	23 D"	D" for Rs. 20. 12 - "	
D" off	D" "	D" "	D" " D"	5 4 " " " "	5 4 " " " "	5 4 "	24 D"	D" for Rs. 5 - 4 -	
D" of D"	D" "	D" "	D" " D"	12 3 " " " "	12 3 " " " "	12 3 "	25 D"	D" for Rs. 12 - 3 - "	
Ingavarum D"	D" "	D" "	D" " D"	12 3 " " " "	12 3 " " " "	12 3 "	26 D"	D" for D" D"	
Subbiah D" Ally	D" "	D" "	Wednesday the 9th Bahoolum of the Month Ingavarum & year Survajittoo	8 " " " "	8 " " " "	8 " "	27 D"	D" for Rs. 8.	
				89 2 " " " "	89 2 " " " "	89 2 "			
		Carried forward		2,20,816 3 1 " " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1		

Fort St. George

Number marked on the Bonds	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds	Bonds, agreements	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest — Principal amount due on the Bonds	amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					Rs as ᵈ	Rs as ᵈ	Rs as ᵈ	Rs as ᵈ
			Brought forward		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	4,000 " "
28	S. Soobiah son of Ka: noomanah inhabitant of Mookolloo	Rajah Narasamah	Bond on Stamp Paper	Wednesday the 9th Baboolun of the Month Sravanum & Year Suwajeittoo	89 2 "	" " "	89 2 "	" " "
29	S. Soobiah S. Chelmiah S. Govindapah and S. Abbiah	D. D.	D. on common Paper	Saturday the 11th Baboolum of the month D. & D.	60 " "	" " "	60 " "	" " "
30	Pendiala Mahomed Hoosain	D.	D.	9th November 1829	204 " "	" " "	204 " "	" " "
31	Pendiala Jaffer Mahomed Hussain	D.	D.	Monday the 14th Baboolum of the Month Poohiem & Year Survadary	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "
32	S. Peddajuggapah inhabitant of Mookolloo	D.	D.	Thursday the 13th Baboolum of the Month Aswejam & Year Vikrooty	13 14 9	" " "	13 14 9	" " "
33	C. Mootialoogah Suckler D. of D.	D.	D.	Bond	Saturday the 13th D. of the D. Chytrum & year Manmadanah	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	" " "
			Carried forward		481 " 9	" " "	481 " 9	" " "
					2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	4,000 " "

Comp. 4 B

Fort St. George

15th April 1841.

Number marked on the Bonds ffca —	Year & Date of the Bonds ffca —	Principal amount of the Bonds ffca — & interest — Principal amount due on the Bonds ffca —	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds ffca —	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Pc as ꝑ	Pc as ꝑ	Pc as ꝑ	Pc as ꝑ	Pc as ꝑ	
		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	4,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
	ward ----------	89 2 "	" " "	89 2 "	" " "	89 2 "	
	Wednesday the 9th Bahoolum of the Month Sravanum & Year Swvajittoo	60 " "	" " "	60 " "	" " "	60 " "	No. 28. This Bond was written on Stamp Paper for 60 Pc. Mortgaging a Palmira Tope. It appears by the list sent to the Puzzoor that the amount of the Bond was cleared by the purchase of the said Tope & a Bill of Sale obtained for it.
	Saturday the 11th Bahoolum of the Month Do. & Do.	60 " "	" " "	60 " "	" " "	60 " "	No. 29. This Bond was written on Common Paper for 60 Pc. Do. Do. Do.
22	9th November 1829	204 " "	" " "	204 " "	" " "	204 " "	No. 30 This Bond was drawn out on Common Paper for Secundra Aud Chelamanee Rupees 204.
23	Monday the 14th Bahoolum of the Month Poohiem & Year Survadary	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	No. 31. Do Do on Do Do for Chelamanee Rupees 50.
24	Thursday the 13th Bahoolum of the Month Aswejam & Year Nikkalay	13 14 9	" " "	13 14 9	" " "	73 14 9	No. 32. This agreement is on account of an original Bond given by S Rajagopaly dated Sunday the 3d Suhadu Bahoolum, year Survajittoo for Rupees 1, and that the Principal and interest thereof amount to Pc 73-14-9. and on account of the Principal and Interest of a Bond for 23. amounting to Pc 29-2-3- was agreed to be paid by instalments — The Bond for 10 Rupees is not forthcoming.
25	Saturday the 13th						
26	Do. of the Do. Chytrah & year Manmadanah ----------	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	No. 33 Drawn out on Common Paper for Pc 4 — " —
27	do.	481 " 9	" " "	481 " 9	" " "	481 " 9	
		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	4,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

Comp. J. R.

Fort St George

15th " April 1841.

Names of the holders of Bonds Vz.	Bonds, agreements Vz.	Year & Date of the Bonds Vz.	Principal amount of the Bonds Vz. & interest- Principal Amount due on the Bonds Vz.	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount Recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Vz.	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
	Brought forward		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
			481 " 9	" " "	481 " 9	" " "	481 " 9	
Rajah Nar= =samah.	Bond on Stamp Paper Rs. 2,000	Wednesday the 2nd Roy =sakha Bahoo= =Lum, year Run= =dana - - -						
Ds. Plaintiff	Ds. Ranzee =namah	23rd July 1835	2936 " "	" " "	2,936 " "	" " "	2,936 " "	
Rajah Nar= =samah - -	Agreement	Saturday the 15th Sonddum of Sravanum year Rundana.	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	
		Carried forwards	31427 " 9	" " "	31427 " 9	" " "	31427 " 9	
			2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

No 34. The Genindar of Durgarayanpettah borrowed 2,000 Pagodas from Sursamah on the 1st May 1832, and gave a Bond on a Stamp Paper of 4 annas value; a Bararnamah under date 24th January 1833 on a Stamp Paper of 1 Rupee value, and on account of debts due by him to several individuals on Bengal Paper resident in Sursamah presented to the Sudder Court in 1834 of which was filed in that Suit on the 23rd July 1835 a Copy of the Bond on 3 Stamp Papers where from it does not appear that any part of the Money to have been recovered. The Bonds formerly numbered 18 and the agreement now numbered 34. numbered 18, are put together and sent on China Paper.

No 35. The Bond was drawn for Pags. 10.

5387

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Number Marked on the Bonds Vide	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Vide	Names of the holders of Bonds Vide	Bonds, agreements Vide	Year & Date of the Bonds Vide	Principal amount of the Bonds Vide & interest - Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Vide	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
			Brought forward		220816 3 1		220816 3 1	7000	213816 3 1
					3427 9		3427 9		3427 9
36	S. Somarauze and D. Ra- gaparauzo inhabitants of Calumka	Rajah Nav Sannah	Moochilka	Sunday the 7th Bahoolum of Badrajadum year Pundana	540		540		540
37	S. Linguum Do. of Neelapully	Do.	Copy of a Bond	Saturday the 7th Loodium of Pushum year Do.	200		200		200
38	G. Naidoo Lo. of Lo.	Do.	Do.	Bond	Monday the 15th of ma- Do. year Do.	200		200	
39	V. Veeranah Lo. of Poo- =voolanka	Do.	Do.	Do.	7th June 1833.	333		333	
40	C. Badroodoo Lo. of Lo.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do. Do.	167		167	
	C. Veeranah and Badroo =doo Lo. of Lo.	Do.	Do.	Agreement Rs. 500	Do. Do.				
41	R. Mehennah and Veera Soo Soobiah, Defendants & inhabitants of Streeramapooram a Shantlet of Curinga in Suit No. 202 of 1835 of the Ambapoor District Moonsiffs Courts file.	Lo.	Copy of a Rauzeenamah	13th February 1836.	800 13 6		800 13 6		800 13 6
			Carried forward		5667 14 3		5667 14 3		5667 14 3
					220816 3 1		220816 3 1	7000	213816 3 1

36. This Moochi... with a Sist... on the Raja...

37. This Copy of... for Rs. 200 A. P. The Bond was... Condiah Ma... Summudu to Rao... Money of the Pa...

39. A. Veeranah... the 7th June 1... a Bond for... entered into a... of Rupees 500 by... are mamboo...

41. The Copy... on Bengal... Rauzeenamah... Money due...

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Number & Marks on the Bonds	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal Amount of the Bonds & interest - Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.
1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
		220816 3 1	" " "	220816 3 1	7000 " "	213816 3 1	
		3427 " 9	" " "	3427 " 9	" " "	3427 " 9	Do. 36. This Moochilka was drawn out on Common Paper with a List of Rs. 5140 fixed on the village of Pullepollum in the Rajahmundry District for fasly 1242-
	Sunday the 7th Ballorlum Badrapaduru & Rundanda	5140 " "	" " "	5140 " "	" " "	5140 " "	
34-8	Sunday the 7th addition of thirteen years Do.	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	37. This Copy of the Bond was written on Common Paper for Rs. 200 - a - p. -
	Monday the 15th of March year Do.	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	38. The Bond was drawn out on Common Paper, & Condiah Naidoo, G. Venkatareddy & Co. passed a Summud to Narasannah promising to pay to her the Money of the Bond-
	7th June 1833.	333 " "	" " "	333 " "	" " "	333 " "	39. A. Veeranah tendered a Bond on Common Paper on the 7th June 1833 for Rupees 333 and b. Badrudoo a Bond for Rupees 167- The said 2 persons jointly entered into an agreement to pay the said amount of Rupees 500 by two instalments, the said 2 Bonds are numbered separately and enclosed in the agreement-
	Do. Do.	167 " "	" " "	167 " "	" " "		
35.							Do. 41. The Copy of the Kauzeenamah was written on Bengal Paper, the Bond mentioned in the Kauzeenamah is not to be found, nor is the Money due on this account credited on the
	4th February 1836.	800 13 6	" " "	800 13 6	" " "	800 13 6	
		5667 14 3	" " "	5667 14 3	" " "	5667 14 3	
		220816 3 1	" " "	220816 3 1	7000 " "	213816 3 1	

back &c.

5388

Fort St. George

15th April 1841.

Number marked on the Bonds Vide	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Vide	Names of the holders of Bonds Vide	Bonds, agreements Vide	Year & Date of the Bonds Vide	Principal Amount of the Bonds Vide & interest- Principal Amount due on the Bonds Vide	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the Bonds Vide	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	— — —	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 — —	2,13,816 3 1
					5,667 14 3	— — —	5,667 14 3	— — —	5,667 14 3
42	Rakkada Soobanah inhabitant of Seetaramapooram	Rajah S. Narasamah	Bond	Tuesday the 4th Sooddum of Vijabadrapadayear Vijaya-	400 — —	— — —	400 — —	— — —	400 — —
43	P. Ramasawmy and S. Ramasawmy Setty	Do	Do	Saturday the 1st Da of Be of Do	150 — —	— — —	150 — —	— — —	150 — —
44	C. Baulapah inhabitant of Ranoottoormilly	Do	Document of wholesale grant	4th March 1835. or Wednesday the 5th Sooddum of Baulgoonum year Jaya	488 — —	— — —	488 — —	— — —	488 — —
				Carried forward	6,705 14 3	— — —	6,705 14 3	— — —	6,705 14 3
					2,20,816 3 1	— — —	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 — —	2,13,816 3 1

Fort St. George

15th April 1841.

Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds, agreements &c.	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal amount of the Bonds &c. & interest — Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance.	Remarks
			6	7	8	9	10	11
3	4	5	Rd A P	Rd A P	Rd A P	Rd A P	Rd A P	
		Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	— — —	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 — —	2,13,816 3 1	
			5,667 14 3	— — —	5,667 14 3	— — —	5,667 14 3	
Rajah of Sarasamal	Bond	Tuesday the 4th Sooddum of Nija badra pada year Nijia	400 — —	— — —	400 — —	— — —	400 — —	No 42 The Bond was written on Common Paper. This amount is not included in the Pauzeemamah No 41 of this account as stated in the list dated 14th February in consequence of the name of the person who tendered the Bond as well as the subject of the Bond being different. It has therefore been entered separately.
Do — — Do	Do	Saturday the 1st Do of — Do of Do — — Do	150 — —	— — —	150 — —	— — —	150 — —	No 43 — Do — Do —
Do — — Do	Document of substitute sale grant	4th March 1835. or wednesday the 5th Sooddum of Paul gounumu year Jaya — — — —	488 — —	— — —	488 — —	— — —	488 — —	44. This document was drawn out on Common Paper. It does not appear to be attested by any witness, nor the money paid. The fact of the grain being received cannot be ascertained from an inspection of the accounts as a Bond for Rupees 1091-1-6 was tendered up to 3d April 1838 after the accounts were settled, this amount might possibly form part of it, this accuracy cannot be ascertained, unless the accounts are examined.
		Carried forward	6,705 14 3	— — —	6,705 14 3	— — —	6,705 14 3	
			2,20,816 3 1	— — —	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 — —	2,13,816 3 1	

5389

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Number Inscribed on the Bonds Pagoda	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Pagodas	Names of the holders of Bonds Pagodas	Bonds, agreements Pagodas	Year & Date of the Bonds Pagodas	Principal amount of the Bonds Pagodas & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds Pagodas	Amount received on account of interest.	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Pagodas	Remaining Balance	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Pags. A. P.	Pags. A. P.	Pags. A. P.	Pags. A. P.	Pags. A. P.	
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
					6705 14 3	" " "	6705 14 3	" " "	6705 14 3	
45	V. Parvoomallee & Rajapanah Ramasawmy	Rajah S. Narasamah	Copy of a Motion	24th June 1835	595 3 6	" " "	595 3 6	" " "	595 3 6	
		Do.	Do.	11th December 1839.						
46	V. Parrauzo inhabitant of Pudlepollem	Do.	Do.	Bond 18th April 1835	31 " "	" " "	31 " "	" " "	31 " "	
				Carried forward	7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	
					2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

45 Regarding this item
Pagodas are forthcoming
Note dated 15th
that Rs. 4.75 was
V. Parvoomallee and
issued by the Distr
date 30th April 1839
prepared on accou
Parvoomallee and
=dants which wa
Paduatee valued
Motion presente
Moonsiffs Court
Remarks entere
ow items 126 and
Vouchers are Co
Rupees 4.75 in C
is therefore en

46 The Bond

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest.	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
	6705 14 3	" " "	6705 14 3	" " "	6705 14 3	
24th June 1835	595 3 6	" " "	595 3 6	" " "	595 3 6	
11th December 1839.						
18th April 1835	31 " "	" " "	31 " "	" " "	31 " "	46. The Bond was written on China Paper.
	7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	
	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

Rs. 2,13,816 3 1 Qrs. 4.5. Regarding this item neither the Bond nor any Decree of Qrs are forthcoming. It only appears that from a Note dated 11th December 1839 from C. Ramasawmy that Rs. 475 was received by him on account of C. Parsonalloo, and that from the instructions issued by the District Moonsiff of Amlapoor under date 30th April 1839 on account particulars has been prepared on account of the property of the said C. Parsonalloo and his brother Appanah, the Defendants, which was attached by J. Goorapah in Padriatee valued at Rs. 816-5-0. From a Copy of a Motion presented in the Amlapoor District Moonsiffs Court on the 24th June 1835 and from the Remarks entered in the list No 2 dated 4th February on items 126 and 127 it does not appear that these Vouchers are Connected therewith - the amount of Rupees 475 in charge of the said C. Ramasawmy is therefore entered separately.

5390 — Fort St. George — 15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds	Bonds, agreements	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest: Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rds A P	Rds A P	Rds A P	Rds A P	Rds A P
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,600 " "	2,13,816 3 1
					7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9
47	C. Soobarauze and Venkata Chellum his Son, inhabitants of Mootoltoo	Raja Narsamah	Bond on Stamp Paper	3d May 1835	301 3 "	" " "	301 3 "	" " "	301 3 " 47
48	E. Lingapah Dr of Tobacco &c.	Do	Agreement & Bond	5th May 1835	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	" " "	150 " " 48
49	V. Veeranah Do of Soovvolum Pa	Do	Do	18th Do	222 9 "	" " "	222 9 "	" " "	222 9 " 49
50	V. Badrah Do of Do	Do	Do	Do	111 12 "	" " "	111 12 "	" " "	111 12 " 50
51	V. Parrauze Do of Pullapollum	Do	Do	14th June 1835	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	10 " " 51
52	N. Moorterauzoo Do of Cazaloor	Do	Bond	26th Do	75 " "	" " "	75 " "	" " "	75 " " 52
					8,202 9 9	" " "	8,202 9 9	" " "	8,202 9 9
				Carried forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,600 " "	2,13,816 3 1

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Names of the Bonds, agreements & Bonds	Names of the holders of Bonds	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal Amount of the Bonds & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rds. A P.	Rds. A P.	Rds. A P.	Rds. A P.	Rds. A P.	
		Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
			7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	" " "	7,332 1 9	
Raja Rasa-mah.	Bond on Stamp Paper	3d May 1835.	301 3 "	" " "	301 3 "	" " "	301 3 "	No. 47. The Bond was drawn out on a Stamp Paper of 1 Rupee value.
No. ---- Do.	Agreement & Bonds	5th " May 1835	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	No. 48. Do. Do. on Common Paper for Rupees 150.
Do. ---- Do.	Do. ---- Do.	18th " Do.	222 9 "	" " "	222 9 "	" " "	222 9 "	No. 49. Do. Do. on Bengal Paper for a Great Rupees 222-9- The Bond not attested by any witnesses.
Do. ---- Do.	Do. ---- Do.	Do. ---- Do.	111 12 "	" " "	111 12 "	" " "	111 12 "	No. 50. Do. Do. on Do. Do. for Great Rs. 111-12-
Do. ---- Do.	Do. ---- Do.	14th " June 1835	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	No. 51. Do. Do. on Do. for Great Rupees 10 in his handwriting.
Do. ---- Do.	Bond	26th " Do.	75 " "	" " "	75 " "	" " "	75 " "	No. 52. Do. Do. on Do. for Rupees 75-
Carried forward			8,202 9 9	" " "	8,202 9 9	" " "	8,202 9 9	
			2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Number Marked on the Bonds Vz.	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds Vz.	Bonds, Agreements Vz.	Year of Date of the Bonds Vz.	Principal amount of the Bonds or interest. Principal Amounts due on the Bonds Vz.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the Back of the Bonds Vz.	Remaining Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
				Brought forward	220816 3 1	- - -	220816 3 1	7000 - -	213816 3 1
					8202 9 9	- - -	8202 9 9	- - -	8202 9 9
53.	M. Reddy inhabitant of Hydrabad — M. Reddy	Rajah Nar-Sumnah	Agreement Fo 41	15th July 1835					
		Do	Do	Bond	Do	Do	41 - -	- - -	41 - -
54.	Ven Roo Modaliar inhabitants of Hydrabad	Do	Do	Agreement	7th October 1835	12431 5 3	- - -	12431 5 3	- - -
55.	A. Pazzauzoo B. Summereddy C. Summereddy, and C. Gungereddy inhabitants of Pulleepallam	Do	Do	Security by Sumnah	31st October 1835	4291 14 -	- - -	4291 14 -	- - -
						24966 13 -	- - -	24966 13 -	20 - -
				Carried forward		220816 3 1	- - -	220816 3 1	7000 - -

21 - -

53. The Agreement this Bond 20 -Sum of Badra dated 14th Fo entered as - that the Bo and on acco are put to shewn in

54. This ag attested by to pay by Moneywhich

55. This agr Parrauzoo due on Pul -delver 4 or the said of 10Rs 23 included of

Fort St. George.

15th April 1841.

Number marked on the Bonds Vz.	Year & Date of the Bonds Vz.	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds Vz.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the Back of the Bonds Vz.	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
	Brought forward.	220816 3 1	" " "	220816 3 1	7000 " "	213816 3 1	
47	15th July 1835.	8202 9 9	" " "	8202 9 9	" " "	8202 9 9	52/53. The Original Bond is not forthcoming on a copy of this Bond 20 Rupees is credited on Tuesday the 9th Bahoolum of Badrapadum, year Prummada. In the list No 2 dated 14th February the agreement was erroneously entered as separate Voucher, but as it appears that the Bond and agreement were drawn at once, and on account of 41 Rs. by M. Reddy, the 2 Vouchers are put together, and the balance to be recovered shewn in this account.
48	Do.	Do. 41 " "	" " "	41 " "	20 " "	21 " "	
49							
50	4th October 1835.	12431 5 3	" " "	12431 5 3	" " "	12431 5 3	54. This agreement was drawn out on common Paper and attested by one witness. Venkoo Moodaliar engaged to pay by instalments to S. Narsamah on account of the money which was recovered by him from the Bank of Mr. Palmer.
51							55. This agreement was executed on China Paper by V. Parrauzoo and others, engageing to pay the balance of kists due on Pullapollam Village up to Fusly 1242 by themselves & other Ryots. By copy abstract, it appears that after the said agreement was signed, besides the Balance of Rs. 233. 11 as. due by B. Vencapah, which was included in the said sum, a further sum of Rupees 180 also has been added to
52	7th October 1835.	4291 14 "	" " "	4291 14 "	" " "	4291 14 "	
	Carried forwards.	24966 13 "	" " "	24966 13 "	20 " "	24946 13 "	
		220816 3 1	" " "	220816 3 1	7000 " "	213816 3 1	

Fort St. Geo.e 7th April 1841.

Number Marked on the Bonds Ja.a No.	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Ja.a	Names of the holders of Bonds Ja.a	Bonds Agreements Ja.a	Year & Date of the Bonds Ja.a	Bonds, Agreements &c.
1	2	3	4	5	
				Brought forward { 2,22,4	Rs. 4,471. as the amount of this the List No. 2 dated 15th February 25 at the foot of the total amount.
56	C. Tummereddy inhabitant of Pullee pollem — C. Tummereddy Do. of Do. —	Rajah Nar= =samah	Bond	4th to 7th December 1835	200 Arcot Rupees with 1 per on the same date with ½ per 2 Vouchers appear on
57	C. Soobarauzoo Do. of Mookallos Defendant in Suit No. 106 of 1835 Maunbi= =patam Auxiliary Courts' file	Do. Do. Plaintiff.	Copy of Pauzee =namah	22d February 1836	Do. Do. Decree.
58.	V. Kroostnamah. & Bagavan= =loo, V. Ackiah, and V. Ramasw= =my. Do. of Jokanapilly, Defen= =dants in Suit No. 285 Do. — Do. —	Do. Do. Plaintiff	Copy of Courts Dec	22d February 1836.	nto Decree on Stamp Papers ment entered into by V. ay the 13th Pahoolum of n account of a Bond exe= the 10th Sooddum of Chytrum Copy of the Security Bond
	V. Kroostnamah —	Rajah Narsamah	Agreement Rs. 151-5	Wednesday the 13th Pahoolum of Jaukum year Jaya.	
				Carried forward { 25, 22,	

Bonds, Agr= =ments &c.

4

was filed in the Maunli= the 23d February 1836, and by that Court on 3 Stamp of that year. Rs. 41 ed on the back of it at there

Do.

ut ed ears It ty from th

Fort St. George 1st April 1841.

Names of the Bonds	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
		6.	7	8	9	10	11
		C.Rs a d	C.Rs a d	C.Rs a d	C.Rs a d	C.Rs a d	
	Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
Royal Peon Cannah Bond	4th December 1835	24966 13 "	" "	24966 13 "	20 " "	24946 13 "	which to it, in all make a total of 1 Rs 4471 as the amount of this abstract is likewise entered in the List No 2 dated 15th February on item 143, but no body attested at the foot of the total amount.
Do Do Agreement	Do Do	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	" " "	200 " "	No 56. A Bond on China Paper for 200 Arcot Rupees with 1 per Cent interest & an agreement on the same date with 2 per Cent interest in excess. these 2 Vouchers appear on account of a sum lent.
Do Do Plaintiff.	Copy of Ranzeenamah	23d February 1836	127 " "	" " "	127 " "	41 1 "	85 15 " 57. The said Ranzeenamah was filed on the Masulipatam Auxiliary Court on the 23d February 1836, and a copy of which was given by that Court on 3 Rupee Papers on the 26th March of that year. Rs 41 appear to have been credited on the back of it at three different dates.
Do Do Plaintiff	Copy of Court Decree	22d February 1836	185 1 "	" " "	185 1 "	" " "	185 1 " 58. From the Copy of the Courts Decree on Stamp Papers it appears that the agreement entered into by D. Cristnamah on Wednesday the 13th Bahoolum of Mauguem year Jaya, is an account of a Bond executed by him on Tuesday the 10th Soodduem of Chytrum year Khundara.
Ranzeenamah Agreement Rs 1500	Wednesday the 13th Bahoolum of Mauguem year Jaya.						
	Carried forward	2,54,781 4 "	" " "	2,54,781 4 "	81 1 "	25,417 13 "	
		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

5393

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Number Marked on the Bonds Dca No.	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Dca	Names of the holders of Bonds Dca	Bonds, Agreements Dca	Year & Date of the Bonds Dca	Principal Amount of the Bonds Dca No. & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds Dca No.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Dca No.	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Pags. a p	Pags. a p	Pags. a p	Pags. a p	Pags. a p	
				Brought forward	22,081 6 3 1.	" " "	22,081 6 3 1	7,440 "	21,381 6 3 1.	
					25,478 14 "	" " "	25,478 14 "	61 1 "	25,417 13 "	
59	V. Chelmiah and J. Banjaramah Defendants in Suit No 284 of 1835 Masulipatam Auxiliary Court's file	Rajah Narsamah Plaintiff.	Decree	25th April 1836	535 15 3	" " "	535 15 3	" "	535 15 3	No 59. The Copy of the Decree
60	C. Veeraya, and C. Nagaya Dca in Dca No 283 of 1835 of the Dca — Dca —	Do Do	Do	27th February Dca	149 13 "	" " "	149 13 "	" "	149 13 "	
61	M. Janakeramaiah a Inhabitant of Madras	Rajah Narsamah	Copy of the Security Bonds	Thursday the 15th Bahoodun of Parjaperam 1st year Jaya	2,500 " "	" " "	2,560 " "	200 "	2,380 " "	61 The copy of the oreginal Bo the amount is entered with refere and said to be the copy of the docu no signature whatever it is sta ment was sent to one J. Lutc is to be inferred that the sum of Elliott thro' the mediem of Govinda Chetty obtaining a the latter mentioned individu July 1830.
				Carried forward	28,664 10 3	" " "	28,664 10 3.	261 "	28,403 9 3.	
					22,081 6 3 1	" " "	22,081 6 3 1	7,440 "	21,381 6 3 1.	

Fort St. George

15th April 1841.

Year & Date of the Bonds Obn	Principal Amount of the Bonds Obn. & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds Obn	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Obn	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	
Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 "	2,13,816 3 1	
	25,478 14 "	" " "	25,478 14 "	61 1 "	25,417 13 "	
25th April 1836	535 15 3	" " "	535 15 3	" " "	535 15 3	No 59. The Copy of the Decree is on 3 Stamp Papers.
27th February Do	149 13 "	" " "	149 13 "	" " "	149 13 "	
Thursday the 15th Bahoolum of Chargeveram, Year Saya	2,500 " "	" " "	2,560 " "	200 " "	2,300 " "	61. The copy of the original Bond is not forthcoming but the amount is entered with reference to the document founded and said to be the copy of the security Bond, this copy bears no signature whatever it is stated that the original document was sent to one T. Lutchmenarayanapah - it is to be inferred that the sum of Rs 2,500 was paid to Mr Elliott thro' the medium of G. Vencatadeen Chetty & Govinda Chetty obtaining a Bond for the same, from the latter mentioned individuals under date the 5th July 1830.
Carried forward	28,664 10 3	" " "	28,664 10 3.	261 1 "	28,403 9 3.	
	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1.	

Number marked on the Bonds Pagodas	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Pagodas	Names of the holders of Bonds Pagodas	Bonds, agreements Pagodas	Year, & Date of the Bonds Pagodas	Principal Amount of the Bonds Pagodas & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds Pagodas	Amount received on account of interest	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Pagodas	Remaining Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P
				Brought forward	2,20,810 3 1	- - -	2,20,810 3 1	7,000 - -	2,13,810 3 1
					28,664 10 3	- - -	28,664 10 3	261 1 -	28,403 9 3
				Friday the 7th Swaddum of Palgoonum year Jaya for Rs. Rupees.	10 - -	- - -	10 - -	- - -	10 - -
62	S. Nagamah and S. Reddy inhabitants of Manchavaram	Rajah Narsimah	agreement	Monday the 2d Bahulum of Palgoonum year Do.	7 - -	- - -	7 - -	- - -	7 - -
63	Shaik Byleem valued Shaik Hussain Do. of Goodoomaloo	Do.	Do.	Wednesday the 4th Do. Do. of Do. - Do. Do.	7 - -	- - -	7 - -	- - -	7 - -
64	A. Anoralalingum Do. of Ranjoopetta	Do.	Do.	7th March 1836.	500 - -	- - -	500 - -	- - -	500 - -
65	T. Teeroorungala Jeyaroo Do. of Talaravoo	Do.	Copy of the Bond	Carried forward	29,188 10 3	- - -	29,188 10 3	261 1 -	28,927 9 3
					2,20,810 3 1	- - -	2,20,810 3 1	7,000 - -	2,13,810 3 1

Fort St. George

15th April 1841.

Names of the Holders of Bonds	Bonds, agreements &c	Year, & Date of the Bonds	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c. & interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			C.R. a P.	C.R. a P.	C.R. a P.	C.R. a P.	C.R. a P.	
Brought forward			28664 10 3		28664 10 3	261 1 -	28403 9 3	
Rajah Rar- =Samah	agreements	Friday the 7th Caadeem of Pal- =goonum year Jaya for Rs. Rupees.	10 - -		10 - -	- - -	10 - -	No. 62. This agreement is on common Paper wherein there is a condition that the money should be paid, and the agreement redeemed. -
Do	Do	Monday the 2d Baddoom of Palgoonum year Do.	7 - -		7 - -	- - -	7 - -	63. This agreement is on Common Paper. It is Stipulated that the said money is to be paid from their Pay. -
Do	Do	Wednesday the 4th Do. Do of Do - Do Do.	7 - -		7 - -	- - -	7 - -	64. The Do is on Do Do for Rs 7 to paint a Palanqueen, it appears that 4 3/4 Rs was advanced, and that the remainder must have been paid after the colour was put on. -
Do	Do Copy of the Bond	7th March 1836.	500 - -		580 - -	- - -	580 - -	No 65. It appears that Nursemmah had sold to T. Teravenga- =lum Pajer for Rs. 580 the half of one mat mortgaged to her by B. Christnamah and Ramavaumy as security of a Bonded Debt, Viz. Rupees 580 and obtained a Bond for the same, and that a Suit is pending before the Court for the recovery of the said amount, which is entered with reference to the copy on common paper of the above Bond now found. -
Carried forward			29188 10 3		29188 10 3	261 1 -	28927 9 3	
			22081 6 3 1		22081 6 3 1	7000 - -	21381 6 3 1	

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Number marked on the Bonds &c.a	Name and Place of residence of the person who tendered the Bonds &c.a	Names of the Holders of Bonds &c.a	Bonds, Agreements &c.a	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.a	Principal amount of the Bonds &c.a & interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.a	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.a	Remaining Balance.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					C.Rs a P.	C.Rs a P.	C.Rs a P.	C.Rs a P.	C.Rs a P.	
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	- - -	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 - -	2,13,816 3 1	
					29,188 10 3	- - -	29,188 10 3	261 4 -	28,927 9 3	
66	M. Sachadry inhabitant of Pullapollam	Rajah Nara-samah.	Bond on Stamp Paper.	9th March 1836	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	66. It is stated in the that a part of the
67	C. Appiah & T. Soondara-modoo Dr. of Palaroo	Do	Do	Do	100 - -	10 7 3	110 7 3	85 7 3	25 - -	67. On the back of the amounting to 85- 7-
68	C. Soobarauzee Dr. of Mookalloo	Do	Do	Bond on China Paper	13th October Do	15 - -	- - -	15 - -	- - -	15 - -
										68. The Bond was for Arcot Rupees
69	D. Ragavacharloo	Do	Do	Do	Friday the 2nd Day of Jaistum year Nunmada	27 - -	- - -	27 - -	- - -	27 - -
										69. The Bond was d for Rs. 27 after mortgag
70	C. Gopaulrow Duggarow	Do	Do	Do	Monday the 3rd Day of Assadum year Do	80 - -	- - -	80 - -	- - -	80 - -
										70. The Bond was dra Rs. 80-
71	C. Lutchmanacharlo inhabitant of Seeree-roosam but at present residing at Chakeeravellam	Do	Do	Bond on Stamp Paper	Thursday the 1st Day of Sarvadarrie Caurteekum	156 8 -	- - -	156 8 -	- - -	156 8 -
				Carried forward	29,817 2 3	10 7 3	29,627 9 6	346 8 3	29,281 1 3	
					2,20,816 3 1	- - -	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 - -	2,13,816 3 1	

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

	Year & Date of the Bonds Discn	Principal Amount of the Bonds Discn & interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds Discn	Amount received on account of interest	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Discn	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P.	
Brought forward		2,20,816 3 1 / 29,188 10 3	" " "	2,20,816 3 1 / 29,188 10 3	7,000 " " / 261 1 4	2,13,816 3 1 / 28,927 9 3	
...ver.	9th March 1836	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	66. It is stated in the Memo No 2 dated 14th February that a part of this money was paid.
Do	2nd June Do	100 " "	10 7 3	110 7 3	85 7 3	25 " "	67. On the back of the bond, the Principal & interest amounting to 85 - 7 - 3 is twice credited.
Paper	13th October Do	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	68. The Bond was drawn out on China Paper for Arcot Rupees 15 -
Do	Friday the 2nd Ba Kooland of Jaisthum year Munmada	27 " "	" " "	27 " "	" " "	27 " "	69. The Bond was drawn out on Bengal Paper for Rs 27 after mortgaging ¼ Cutty of Enam land.
Paper	Monday the 3rd Do of Aushadum year Do	80 " "	" " "	80 " "	" " "	80 " "	70. The Bond was drawn out on common Paper for Rs 80 -
...up	Thursday the 1st Aavaluvu of new Caurteekum	156 8 "	" " "	156 8 "	" " "	156 8 "	
Carried forward		29,817 2 3 / 2,20,816 3 1	10 7 3 / " " "	29,627 9 6 / 2,20,816 3 1	346 8 3 / 7,000 " "	29,281 1 3 / 2,13,816 3 1	

Fort St. George April 1841.

Number Marked on the Bonds Vide 1	Name and Place of Residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Vide 2	Names of the Holders of Bonds Vide 3	Bonds & Agreements Vide 4	Year & Date of the Bonds Vide 5	Principal amount of the Bonds Vide & interest: Principal amount due on the Bonds Vide 6	Amount received on account of interest. 7	Total. 8	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Vide 9	Remaining Balance. 10
					Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
									11
								213 8 16 3 1	
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1.		2,20,816 3 1.	7044	
					29,617 2 3.	10 7 -	29,627 9 6	346 8 3	29,281 1 3
72	M. Condiah Puntloo inhabitant of Turkatoor	Rajah Stavanamah	Bond on Common Paper	8th February 1837.	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	- - -	50 - -
73	M. Sooriamarayloo Do. of Tolaroo	Do.	Do. Tuckavay Bond	5th May 1837	25 - -	- - -	25 - -	- - -	25 - -
74	C. Paulapah Do. of Vanoolormilly	Do.	Do. Bond.	April 1836 on account the 2d Instalment of Peishcum, year Do. Doormookee.	1091 1 6.	- - -	1091 1 6	- - -	1091 1 6.
75	C. Yungesetty Do. of Tolaroo	Do.	Do.	Under the 13th Instalment of Peishcum, year Do.	33 - -	- - -	33 - -	- - -	33 - -
76	C. Paulapah Do. of Vanoolormilly	Do.	Do.	Under the 7th Instalment of Peishcum Year Do.	200 - -	- - -	200 - -	- - -	200 - -
77	M. Ramaburmum Do. of Coocheepoody	Do.	Do. Bond on Stamp Paper	From Under the 7th Instalment of Peishcum year Do.	250 - -	- - -	250 - -	142 9 6	107 6 6
78	T. Guntiah Do. of Tolaroo	Do.	Do. &c on Common Paper	Do.	11 - -	1 - -	12 - -	7 - -	5 - -
								196 1 9.	30,792 9 3
				Carried forward	31,277 3 9.	11 7 3	31,288 11 -		2,13,816 3 1
					2,20,816 3 1.	- - -	2,20,816 3 1	7044 - -	

Rema

73. This Tuckavay Do. was Do. Tolaroo. It is stated that the separate Receipts will be taken

74. This Bond is made in conse the grain trade & the terms of payments in liquidation of the back of the said Bond. From it is to be inferred that the dated 8th March 1835 but on examining the accounts to on examining the Bond was dra

75. This Bond was drawn

76. Do. Do. on China

77. Rs. 142 9 6. appear

Rs. 6 on account of Int of interest, are credited

Fort St. George 3rd April 1841.

Names of the Bonds & Holders of Bonds	Agreements	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal amount of the Bonds & interest. Principal amount due on the Bonds	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance.	Remarks.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
			2,20,816 3 1.	- - -	2,20,816 3 1.	7000 - -	2,13,816 3 1.	
Carried forward			29,617 2 3.	10 7 -	29,627 9 6.	366 8 3	29,281 1 3	
Royal Standard	Bond on Common Paper	8th February 1837.	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	72. The Bond was drawn out on common Paper for Rs. 50.
Do	Do Tuckaray Bond.	4th May 1837.	25. - -	- - -	25 - -	- - -	25 - -	73. This Tuckaray Bond was drawn out for carrying on the Operations and Separate Receipts will be taken.
Do	Do Bond.	3rd April 1836 on Sunday the 2nd Bahulum of Chytrum, year Doorumbokee.	1091 16 -	- - -	1091 16 -	- - -	1091 16 -	74. This Bond is written on common Paper on account of the balance of the grain trade & the terms payments in liquidation of the balance, should be endorsed on the back of the said Bond. But it does not set forth are, that the St is to be inferred that the amount of the said Bond, comprizes also the sum of Rs. 488 being the amount as per contract for grain dated 6th March 1835 but the bulk of which, must be ascertained on examining the accounts.
Do	Do	Sunday the 13th Bahulum of Vysakum, year Do	33 - -	- - -	33 - -	- - -	33 - -	75. This Bond was drawn out on Common Paper.
Do	Do	Sunday the 7th Sooddum of Chaitrum year Do	200. - -	- - -	200 - -	- - -	200 - -	76. Do Do on China Paper for Rs. 200.
Do	Do Bond on Stamp Paper	Sunday the 7th Bahulum of Chaitrum year Do	250. - -	- - -	250 - -	142 9 6.	107 6 6	77. Rs. 142 9 6. appear to be credited on the Bond.
Do	Do Do on Common Paper	Do	Do 11 - -	1 - -	12 - -	7 - -	5 - -	Rs. 6 on account of Principal and 1 Rs per on account of interest, are credited on the Do.
			31,277 3 9.	11 7 3	31,288 11 -	496 1 9	30,792 9 3	
Carried forward			2,20,816 3 1.	- - -	2,20,816 3 1	7000 - -	2,13,816 3 1	

5397

Fort St. George 15th April 1811.

Number Marked on the Bonds Dea No. 1	Name and residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds Dea No. 2	Place of Dea No. 3	Names of the Bonds, Agreements Dea No. 4	Year & Date of the Bonds Dea No. 5	Principal amount of the Bonds Dea No. 6	Amount received on account of interest. 7	Total. 8	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Dea No. 9	Remaining Balance 10	
					Principal amount due on the Bonds Dea No.					
					Pags. Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	
				Brought Forward	2,29,816 3 1.	" " "	2,29,816 3 1.	7000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
					31,277 3 9.	11 7 3.	31,288 11 "	496 1 9	30,792 9 3	
79	J. Chinna Seshiah inhabitant of Tolaroo	Rajah Star = = samah	Bond or Common Paper	Thursday the 6th Soodalum of Srava = nuse year Doormoo = kee	27 8 "	" " "	27 8 "	" " "	27 8 "	No. 79 Paper for d
80	S. Veeradoo Chuckler	Dea	Bond on Palmira leaf.	Tuesday the 10th Baboolum of Jaistum Year Aveelumbee	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	80 J. that the su
81	R. Venkatroydoo inhabitant of Tolaroo	Dea	Bond on Common Paper.	Wednesday the 11th Dea of Dea. Year Dea.	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	81. Thi
82	C. Sattiegandoo Chuckler inhabitant of Mookallo	Dea	Dea	Tuesday the 9th Soodalum of Ausha = dum, year Dea	5 " "	" " "	5 " "	" " "	5 " "	82. D. was agre in the Cus
83	C. China Vencatachellum	Dea	agreement.	Thursday the 6th Baboolum of Aswejum, year Dea	7 8 "	" " "	7 8 "	" " "	7 " "	83.
84	B. Weyoorgah and B. Soobbee. inhabitants of Boddapettah	Dea	Bond on Common Paper.	Friday the 4th Baboolum of Mowgoseram year. Dea.	14 " "	" " "	14 " "	10 " "	4 " "	84. D. the sum of Dates. The is mortgag
85	Mr. Luke Dilgrazer	Dea	Bond.	Wednesday the 12th Soodalum of Purtgoonum year. Dea.	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	85. D. Arcot 9th
				Carried forward	31,435 3 9.	11 7	31,446 11 "	506 9 9.	30,940 1 3	
					2,29,816 3 1	" " "	2,29,816 3 1	7000 " "	2,13,916 3 1	

Fort St. George

15th April 1811.

No.	Year & Date of the Bonds Vca	Principal amount of the Bonds Vca & interest. Principal amount due on the Bonds Vca	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Vca.	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P.	C Rs a P	
	Brought forward	2,29,816 3 1.	" " "	2,20,816 3 1.	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
		31,277 3 9	11 7 3.	31,288 11 "	496 1 9	30,792 9 3	
	Thursday the 6th Soodalum of Sravanum year Doormoo-kee	27 8 "	" " "	27 8 "	" " "	27 8 "	No. 79 This Bond was drawn out on Common Paper for the said amount.
	Tuesday the 10th Pahoolum of Yaishum years Aveelumbee	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	80 From a bond on Palmira leaf it appears that this person borrowed 4 Rs. in Cash & 2 toms of Paddy.
	Wednesday the 11th Dº of Dº. year Dº.	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	81. This Bond was drawn out on Common paper for Rs. 50.
	Tuesday the 9th Soodum of Aushadum, year Dº	5 " "	" " "	5 " "	" " "	5 " "	82. Dº Dº on Dº Dº for 5 Dº. It was agreed that the money is to be paid by serving in the Cumtum Lands.
	Thursday the 6th Pahoolum of Avvee-jim, year Dº	7 8 "	" " "	7 8 "	" " "	7 " "	83. This agreement is written on Dº Dº.
	Friday the 4th Pahoolum of Maurgseram year. Dº.	14. " "	" " "	14 " "	10 " "	4 " "	84. This Bond was drawn out on Common paper the sum of Rs. 10 appears credited on two different dates. The ground Certificate No. 125 given by Mr. Roberts is mortgaged & kept with the bond.
	Wednesday the 2nd Soodalum of Paulgoonum year. Dº.	50. " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	85. The Bond was drawn out on China paper for Arcot Rupees 50.
	ried forward.	31,435 3 9.	11 7	31,446 11 "	506 9 9.	30,940 1 3	
		2,20,816 3 1	" "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,916 3 1	

Fort St. George

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds Agreements &c.
1	2	3	4
86	John Linares Esqr of Nellapally	Rajah Narasamah	Bond
87	B. Ianamah Defendant in Suit No 6 of 1838 Masulipatam Auxiliary Court file	Do: Do: Plaintiff	Copy of the Extra Petition
88	C. Venkatasawmy Native Inhabitant of Chatrawpalle	Do: Do:	Bond on Common paper

15th April 1841

Year and Date of Bonds &c.		Bonds Agreements &c.
		4
	Brought	
20th February	Bond and bears the signature &	
Company's Rup	tested by two witnesses the money	Bond on
	to back of it. but from list	Common paper
	so it appears from the State-	
	sick that Narasamah had in	
	part payment of this Bond	
	ich took place between Nos	
	ing 80 in number is tied into	
	seals of both parties and that	Bond
	d to it and with the other	
		Luckavy Bond
24th Decr	and other Vouchers are not	
1838	in this account is on account	Agreement
No 1179	Common paper	
		Luckavy Bond
Friday the 3		
dawn of Vysack	ritten on Common paper the	Note on China paper
year Teelumbee	d on the back of the Bond	

Carried

Fort St. George

	Name of the Holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds Agreements &c.
	3	4
} Rajah Naraseamah		Bonds
} Do: Do:	Plaintiffs	Copy of the Extra Petition
} Do: Do:		Bond on Common paper

15th April 1841.

Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal amount of the Bonds &c. and interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amounts reconed as endorsed on the backs of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	
Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,316 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
	31,135 3 9	11 7 3	31,146 11 "	506 9 9	30,940 1 3	
20th February 1838 for Company's Papers	40,000 " "	" " "	40,000 " "	" " "	40,000 " "	
24th December 1838 No. 1179 -	3,154 13 4	" " "	3,154 13 4	" " "	3,154 13 4	
Friday the 3rd Lood. Lunn of Vyeakhum year Tellumbee	300 " "	" " "	300 " "	204 " "	96 " 4	
Carried forward	74,890 1 1	11 7 3	74,901 8 4	710 " "	74,190 14 7	
	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

No. 86. This is an English printed Bond and bears the signature & Seal of Mr. Linares, it is also attested by two witnesses the money does not appear credited on the back of it. but from Liebs No. 2 dated 14th February on item 9 it appears from the statements of A. Parrauz and P. Nundi, that Narasamah had on two different dates, received in part payment of this Bond 10,000 Rs. the correspondence which took place between Mr. Linares and Narasamah being 80 in number is tied into a separate packet, and the seals of both parties and that of the Tahsildar are affixed to it and with the other Vouchers.

87. The Copy of the Decree and other Vouchers are not forthcoming. the amount entered in this account is on account of Copy of an Extra Petition on Common paper

88. This bond was written on Common paper. the Sum of 204 Rs is credited on the back of the Bond at five different times.

Fort St. George 15th April

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds agreements &c.	Year and date of the Bonds &c.	Principal amount of the Bonds &c. and interest — Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of Interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,24,816 3 1	7,000 . .	2,13,816 3 1
					74,890 1 1	. 11 7 3	74,901 8 4	710 9 9	74,190 14 7
89	C. Soobadoo Chuckler inhabitant of Tolaroo	Rajah Naramah	Bond on Common paper	Thursday the 15th Soddum of Jais two year Vertumbee	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	. . .	4 . .
90	R. Veneatachellum & R. Lawmy inhabitants of Roostumbadah	Do.	Do.	Do.	Wednesday the 12th Do. of Ashadum year Do.	139 10 6	. . .	139 10 6	72 3 6	67 7 .
91	M. Appeerutty Do. of Pulleepurroo	Do.	Do.	Bond	Thursday the 4th Bahoolum of Poolum year Do.	10 . .	. . .	10 . .	. . .	10 . .
92	P. Bacaseow Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Luckary Bond	Saturday the 15th Soddum of Aushadum year Do.	5 . .	. . .	5 . .	. . .	5 . .
93	P. Paradoo Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Agreement	Sunday the 1st Soddum of Srawanum year Do.	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	. . .	4 . .
94	L. Nagaraujo Do. of Tolaroo	Do.	Do.	Luckary Bond	Tuesday the 2d Soddum of Badrapadum year Do.	15 . .	. . .	15 . .	. . .	15 . .
95	T. Siddee Ganpatedass	Do.	Do.	Note on China paper	Saturday the 5th Do. of Aswiejum year Do.	500 . .	. . .	500 . .	. . .	500 . .
				Carried forward	75,587 11 7	11 7 3	75,579 2 10	782 13 3	74,796 5 7
					2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 . .	2,13,816 3 1

Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c. and interest. Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of Interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 . .	2,13,816 3 1	
	74,890 1 1	. 11 7 3	74,901 8 4	710 9 9	74,190 14 7	
Thursday the 15th Soddum of Jaistum year Vertumbee	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	No. 89- The Bond was drawn out on Common paper and the money continues unpaid. By the tenor of the Bond this person appears to be a Servant.
Wednesday the 12th Dº of Ashadum year Dº	139 10 6	. . .	139 10 6	72 3 6	67 7 .	90 Dº Dº on Dº Dº the sum of Rupees 72-3-6 appears credited on the bonds.
Thursday the 4th Bahoolum of Poshum year Dº	10 . .	. . .	10 . .	. . .	10 . .	91- Dº Dº on Dº Dº
Saturday the 15th Soddum of Aushadum year Dº	5 . .	. . .	5 . .	. . .	5 . .	92- Dº Dº on Dº Dº
Sunday the 1st Soddum of Sraavanum year Dº	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	93 The agreement was drawn out on Dº Dº and the sum of Rs 4 lent was to carry on cultivation, it is agreed upon that the money should be paid from the Produce & receipt obtained.
Tuesday the 2d Soddum of Badrapadum year Dº	15 . .	. . .	15 . .	. . .	15 . .	95- This Bond was drawn out on Dº Dº for 15 Rs and also for some Grain as advance for Tuckavy, the Money is agreed to be paid & receipts to be taken.
Saturday the 15th Dº of Assuvejum year Dº	500 . .	. . .	500 . .	. . .	500 . .	
Carried forward	75,587 11 7	11 7 3	75,579 2 10	782 13 3	74,796 5 7	
	2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,20,816 3 1	7010 . .	2,13,816 3 1	

Fort St. Geo.

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds, Agreements &c.
1	2	3	4
96	C. Vencatanursoo inhabitant of Chatteravoopolliam	Rajah Narasamah	Bond
97	B. Pracasem Do. of Ballepurroo	Do.	Do.	Agreement
98	C. Sattayah Chucklar Do. of Mookollaa	Do.	Do.	Do.
99	A. Soobanah Do. of Seetaramapooram	Do.	Do.	Sanad with instalments
100	G. Mullian Tailor Do. of Rustoombadah	Do.	Do.	Bond

15th April 1841.

Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c. & Interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount received as endorsed on the backs of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance.
5	6	7	8	9	10
	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.
Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,20,816 3 1	7000 . .	2,13,816 3 1
	75,567 11 7	11 7 3	75,579 2 10	782 1 3	74,796 5 7
Saturday the 6th Soodhdha of Poorattassy year Veelumbee	14 4 6	. . .	14 4 6	. . .	14 4 6
Tuesday the 9th Bahoolum of Do. year Do.	6 . .	. . .	6 . .	. . .	6 . .
Thursday the 8th Soodhdha of Palgoonum year Do.	2 . 6	. . .	2 . 6	. . .	2 . 6
2d January 1839	500 . .	. . .	500 . .	. . .	500 . .
Wednesday the 3rd Bahoolum of Vyagaee year Vycaree	10 . .	. . .	10 . .	. . .	10 . .
Carried forward	74100 . 7	11 7 3	74111 7 10	782 1 3	73,328 10 7
	2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 . .	2,13,816 3 1

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

nes of the Bonds &c.	Bonds, Agreements &c.	Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds & Interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the backs of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	
		Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	. . .	2,20,816 3 1	7000 . .	2,13,816 3 1	
			75,567 11 7	11 7 3	75,579 2 10	782 13 3	74,796 5 7	
al Statement	Bond	Saturday the 6th Doddunu of Poodsunu year Veelumbee —	14 4 6	" " "	14 4 6	" " "	14 4 6	96- This Bond was drawn out on Common paper for Arcot Rupees 14-4-6.
D:	D: Agreement	Tuesday the 9th Baboduno of D: year D: —	6 . .	" " "	6 . .	" " "	6 . .	97- This agreement was drawn out on - Common paper without the attestation of any witnesses certain conditions entered into for the said Rent being paid from the emoluments of the Curnum.
D: D:	D:	Thursday the 8th Doddunu of Palgonunu year D:	2 . 6	" " "	2 . 6	" " "	2 . 6	98- D: D: on D: D: the recovery of this sum is agreed to be made good from the share of the grain which may become due to him & from the list on Contalo.
D: D:	Saved with instalments	2d January 1839-	500 . .	" " "	500 . .	" " "	500 . .	99- This Saved was drawn out on D: D:. It appears from the above said Voucher, that it was executed in lieu of the Principal & Interest of 2 Bonds dated 5th October 1834 & 2d February 1835, which amounted to Rupees 500 after mortgaging a Hop called Venetachellapetty. The said 2 Original Bonds, are not forthcoming.
D: D:	Bond	Wednesday the 3rd Baboduno of Vyaguu year Vyaree	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	100- This Bond was drawn out on D: D: for Arcot Rs 10 and the Money was not paid in accordance to the terms of it.
		Carried forward	74100 . 7	11 7 3	74111 7 10	782 13 3	75,328 10 7	
			2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds, Agreements &c.	Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds & Interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of Interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remains Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1
101	V. Baupiah inhabitant of Canoomoor Village of Madoor Pudjanah	Rajah Narsamah	Agreement	Saturday the 6th Baahoolum of Tyeakum, year Dyhanew.	112 " "	" " "	112 " "	109 12 "	2 4
102	E. Venkatanursoo Dt of Chakeravopollew	Do	Bond	Do Do	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	10 "
103	Veeranah Dt of Canoomoor Village of Madoor Pudjanah	Do	Do	Tuesday the 9th Baahoolum of Dt	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	" " "	6 "
104	C. Veradoo Dt of Bullepurroo	Do	Luckary Bond	Tuesday the 7th Joodum of Nija Jaiteen year Dt	4 8 "	" " "	4 8 "	" " "	4 8
105	A. Baulieh Dt of Mancheveram	Do	Do	Saturday the 10th Baahoolum of Dt	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	" " "	6 "
106	S. Mullecarjanoodoo Dt of Padanah	Do	Letter	Thursday the 8th of Dt of Dt	1 " "	" " "	1 " "	" " "	1 "
107	B. Pracasem Dt of Bullepurroo	Do	Agreement	Saturday the 10th Dt of Dt Dt	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
				Carried forward	76,239 8 7	11 7 3	76,250 15 10	892 9 3	75,358 6 7
					2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1

Fort St George

15th April 1841.

Serial No.	Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c. and interest. Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total.	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
		6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	
		76,100 " 7	" 11 7 3	76,111 7 10	782 13 3	75,328 10 7	
1	Saturday the 5th Bahoolum of Tyaakum, year Tyaarey.	112 " "	" " "	112 " "	109 12 "	2 4 "	101- The agreement was drawn out in common paper for Arcot Rupees 112- In part payment of which, a sum of Rs 109-12-0 was paid on four different dates as it appears on the back of it-
2	Do Do:	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	10 4 4	102- This Bond was Do: Do: on Do: Do: for Arcot Rupees 10-
3	Tuesday the 9th Bahoolum of Do:	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	103- Do: Do: on Do: Do: for Arcot Rs 6-
4	Tuesday the 7th Bahoolum of Nija Jaiatsew year Do:	4 8 "	" " "	4 8 "	" " "	4 8 "	104 Do: Do: on Do: Do: for Do: 4½-
5	Saturday the 10th Bahoolum of Do:	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	105- Do: Do: on Do: Do: for Company's Rs 6- it was not attested by witnesses- It is supposed that the balance must be included in the Village Charges-
10	Thursday the 8th of Do: of Do: Do:	1 " "	" " "	1 " "	" " "	1 " "	106- It appears by this letter that one Rupee was taken on account of the Auroga seed V for 5-14 J. M. of white Paddy seed-
	Saturday the 10th of Do: of Do: Do: —	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	107 The said person entered into this Agreement on common paper binding to pay himself the price of 8 Loons of Paddy for seed Land to five Pyots of Bullepurroo in case of their failure to do so-
	Carried forward	76,239 8 7	11 7 3	76,250 15 10	892 9 3	75,358 6 7	
		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

15th April 1841.

Number marked on the Bonds &c. 1	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c. 2	Names of the Holders of Bonds &c. 3	Bonds Agreements &c. 4	Year and Date of the Bonds &c. 5	Principal amount of the Bonds &c. and interest- Principal amount due on the Bonds &c. 6	Amount received on account of interest 7	Total 8	Deduct Amount received as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c. 9	Remaining Balance 10
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
				Brought forward	2,22,816 3 1	" " "	2,22,816 3 1	7000 " "	2,13,816 3 1
					76,239 8 7	11 7 3	76,250 15 10	892 9 3	75,358 6 7
108	A. Baubiah inhabitant of Manchaveram	Rajah Narasimah	Agreement	Saturday the 10th Pakoolum of Rija Jaistum year &c.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
109	L. Rungapah &c. of Varragoodem	&c.	&c.	&c.	13 4 9	" " "	13 4 9	" " "	13 4 9
110	A. Venkatachallam &c. of Nundegamah	&c.	&c.	Thursday the 10th Soddum of Addeka Jaistem, year &c.	2 " "	" " "	2 " "	" " "	2 " "
111	B. Goorumadoo &c. of Bullepurroo	&c.	Bond	&c.	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	" " "	6 " "
112	B Chintadoo &c. of &c.	&c.	&c.	Saturday the 3rd Soddum of Aushadum year &c.	12 " "	" " "	12 " "	" " "	12 " "
113	L. Ambanah &c. of Padanah	&c.	&c.	Carried forward	76,272 13 4	11 7 3	76,284 4 7	892 9 3	75,391 11 4
					2,22,816 3 1	" " "	2,22,816 3 1	7000 " "	2,13,816 3 1

15th April 1861.

Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal amount of the Bonds &c. and interest. Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Rds a p	Rds a p	Rds a p	Rds a p	Rds a p	
Brought forward	2,24816 3 1	" " "	2,24816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13816 3 1	
	76,239 8 7	11 7 3	76,250 15 10	892 9 3	75,358 6 7	
Saturday the 10th Bahoolum of Rija Jaistum year Vi. Khara	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	No 108- This agreement was given on Common paper on account of 2½ toms of white Paddy borrowed for seed with a promise of repaying the value thereof from the produce - Witness attested this Voucher
Do. Do.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	109- Do. Do. on Do. Do. on account of 2 Do. of Do. Do. for Do. Do.
Do. Do.	13 4 9 " " "	13 4 9 " " "	13 4 9 " " "		13 4 9	110- In the agreement the amount is stated to be Rds 42-12-9 but as B. Pracasen and L. Ramanah entered into separate agreements on Wednesday the 7th Soldum of Caurtee Kuna year Vi Khara the former for Rds 15 & the latter for Rds 14-8 amounting in a total to 29-8- this amount is therefore deducted & the remainder entered in this account.
Thursday the 10th Soldum of Addeka Jaistem, year Do.	2 " " " " "	2 " " " " "	2 " " " " "		2 " "	111- This Bond was drawn out on Common paper for Rds 2 - - -
Do. Do.	6 " " " " "	6 " " " " "	6 " " " " "		6 " "	112- The agreement & bond were drawn out on Do. Do. for 6 Rds it was agreed that the Son of this person should be employed in the Service of Naraya mah & the debt cleared at the time when the produce will be thrashed.
Saturday the 3rd Soldum of Aushadum year Do.	12 " " " " "	12 " " " " "	12 " " " " "		12 " "	113- This agreement was drawn out on Do. Do. for Rds 12.
Carried forward	76,272 13 4	11 7 3	76,284 4 7	892 9 3	75,391 11 4	
	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 " "	2,13,816 3 1	

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds, Agreements &c.	Year and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal & Interest — Principal amounts due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Dates and receipts on the Bonds &c.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
					Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
				Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	
					76,272 13 4	11 7 3	76,284 1 7	8/12
114	L. Ramanah inhabitant of Bulleepurroo	Rajah Narasamah	Agreement	Wednesday the 7th Soodum of Carteecum year Vikaree	14 8 "	" " "	14 8 "	
115	B. Pracasem Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Do. Do.	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	
116	Appeserty Do. of Do.	Do.	Do.	Bonds	Saturday the 10th Do. Do. of Do. Do.	10 " "	" " "	10 " "
117	M. Venkatasawmy Naidoo	Do.	Do.	Do.	Wednesday the 6th Soodum of Maurgaseersaw year Do.	1,000 " "	" " "	1,000 " "
118	G. Cautadoo Chuckler	Do.	Do.	Do.	Sunday the 3rd Do. of Ashadum year of Tulumbee	8 " "	" " "	8 " "
119	A. Boschegaudoo and Chinna Rauncharegaudoo inhabitants of Tdaroo	Do.	Do.	Do.	Friday the 8th Baadoom of Palgoonum year Do.	8 " "	" " "	8 " "
120	A. Veeranah V.C. Puttiah	Do.	Do.	Letter	Wednesday the 6th Soodum of Caurtickum	15 " "	" " "	15 " "
				Carried forward	77,343 5 4	11 7 3	77,354 12 7	9/12
					2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	

Comp'd. J.R.

15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name, Year, and Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds & Interest — Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount received as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	Remarks
1	5	6	7	8		10	11
		C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	
	Brought forward	2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7000 "	213816 3 1	
		76,272 13 4	11 7 3	76,284 1 7	892 9	75391 11 4	
108	A. J. Wednesday the 7th of Mecunu, year Vikaree	14 8 "	" " "	14 8 "	" "	14 8 "	No 114 This agreement was made out on common Paper for Rs 14-8 as the amount shewn here, is included in the agreement No 110. it is therefore deducted there, & entered here again-
109	J. A. Turr... Do Do	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" "	15 " "	115 Do Do on Do Do for Rs 15..
110	A. L. Saturday the 10th Do Do of Do Do Nun...	10 " "	" " "	10 " "	" "	10 " "	116 This Band was made out on Do Do for Arcot Rs 10.
	Wednesday the 6th of Maur... year Do	1,000 " "	" " "	1,000 " "	" "	1000 " "	117 Do Do a letter Paper for Arcot Rs 1000-
111	B. ... Sunday the 3rd Do of Arkalum year... Velumbee	8 " "	" " "	8 " "	" "	8 " "	118 Do Do on Common Paper it was agreed that the money was to be paid by serving as a labourer in the service of Narsamah-
112	B. C. Friday the 8th Ba... of Palgo... year Do	8 " "	" " "	8 " "	" "	8 " "	119. Do Do on Do Do it was stipulated that the money was to be paid from the produce of an Enam land and the bond redeemed-
113	L. ... Pad... Wednesday the 6th of Caur... Teekum	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	" "	15 " "	120 The amount of this letter was paid.-
	Carried forward	77343 5 4	11 7 3	77354 12 7	892 9	76462 3 4	
		2,20,816 3 1	" " "	2,20,816 3 1	7,000 "	213816 3 1	

Comp. J. R.

15th April 1841.

Number marked on the Bonds &ca	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &ca	Names of the holders of Bonds &ca	Bonds agreements &ca	Year & date of the Bonds &ca	Principal Amount of the Bonds &ca & interest— Principal Amount due on the Bonds &ca	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					£ Rs a p	£ Rs a p	£ Rs a p	£ Rs a p	£ Rs a p
				Brought forward	220816 3 1	- - -	220816 3 1	1000 - -	219816 3 1
					77343 5 4	11 7 3	77354 12 7	892 9 3	76462 3 4
121	T. Venkiah and Tautiah, Chief Peddanabrodos undivided Brothers.	Rajah Narasamah	Contract of Sowcars	Month the 6th Sooddum of Nija Sanstum year Vikaree	550 - -	- - -	550 - -	- - -	550 - -
122	b Satchnapaty inhabitant of Goodoor, and Defendant in Suit No 29 of 1838.	Narsamah Plaintiff	Decree of the Insaul Sudder Moonsiff Court	22d September	66 10 2	- - -	66 10 2	- - -	66 10 2
				Total of Bonds &ca in the name of Narsamah	77959 15 6	11 7 3	77971 6 9	892 9 3	77078 13 6
				Total of Bonds &ca in the names of Ackiah Naidoo and Narsamah Carried forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	1892 9 3	290895 - 7

Fort St George 15th April 1841

Names of the holders of Bonds &c	Bonds agreements &c	Year & date of the Bonds &c	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c & interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c	Amount received on account of interest.	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds	Remaining Balance	Remarks
			6	7	8	9	10	11
			℔ Rs a p	℔ Rs a p	℔ Rs a p	℔ Rs a p	℔ Rs a p	
		Brought forward	220816 3 1	" " "	220816 3 1	7000 " "	213816 3 1	No 121
			77343 5 4	" 11 7 3	77334 12 7	892 9 3	76462 3 4	
Rajah Narasamah	Contract Copy of Sonnadu	Month the 6th Sooddum of Nija Jaistum year Vikaree	550 " "	" " "	550 " "	" " "	550 " "	The sum of Rupees 550 was borrowed from Narsamah by executing a Bond on Common Paper promising to give a quantity of Jonna produce Viz.t 25 Candies in repayment of that sum. From the account furnished by P. Narsiah the Goomastah of Narsamah it appears that a quantity of Jonna produce, Viz.t 6 7 8 - 6 - " Valued at Rs 182-9-6 has been repaid, and that Candies 16- 14 - " valued at Rs 367- 6- 6- is still due on account of the said 25 Candies. The said balance appears therefore to be due.
Narsamah Plaintiff	Decree of the Nasulpatam Moonsiff Court	22d September	66 10 2	" " "	66 10 2	" " "	66 10 2	122 A Copy of a Motion without date appeared from the Remark entered in Memo No 2 dated 14th February on item 142 it appears that Narasamah received the sum of 36 Rs on account of this complaint & that the remainder Rs 30- 10- 2. is left in the Moonsiffs Court
		Total of Bonds &c in the name of Narsamah	77959 15 6	11 7 3	77971 6 9	892 9 3	77078 13 6	
		Total of Bonds &c in the names of Ackiah Naidoo and Narsamah Carried forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 " 7	

5405

Fort St George

Number marked on the Bonds &c.a	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.a	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.a	Bonds, Agreements &c.a	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.a	Principal amount of the Bonds &c.a & interest.— Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.a	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct— amount received as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.a
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					℔Rs a ℘	℔Rs a ℘	℔Rs a ℘	℔Rs a ℘
				Brought forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3
123	A. Ramanah	B Tauptiah	Bond	Friday the 7th Sooddum of Chytrum Chitrabanoo	20 " "	" " "	20 " "	" " "
124	V. Venkatasayah & Co.	Sawmy Gardoo A Veeranah	Do on Stamp Paper	Saturday the 15th Do of Caurteekum year Tarana	25 " "	" " "	25 " "	" " "
125	S. Venkatanursoo	V. Venkanah	Agreement	5th. March 1826 for Chakarance Rs	150 " "	" " "	150 " "	" " "
126	D. Bagavuntarow	Av. Salwaputy Naidoo	Bond on Stamp Paper	Saturday the 8th Bahoolum of Vaakum year Vikrootee	1000 " "	" " "	1000 " "	" " "
127	D Hareeswararow	P. Candalarow Puntulu	Do on Do	Saturday the 1st Soodduum of Caurtee kum year Kara	850 " "	" " "	850 " "	" " "
				Carried forward	2045 " "	" " "	2045 " "	" " "
					298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3

Fort St George

15th April 1841

Number mark on the Bonds &c.a	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.a	Principal amount of the Bonds &c.a & interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.a	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct amount received as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.a	Remaining Balance	Remarks
1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	₹ a ℘	
	Brought forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 . 7	
	Friday the 7th Sooddum of Chytrum Chitrabanoo	20 . .		20 . .		20 . .	No 123 This Bond was drawn out on Common Paper for Rs 20—
12	Saturday the 15th Do of Caurteekum Year Tarana	25 . .		25 . .		25 . .	124 The said person executed a Bond on Stamp Paper for Rs 25 with a promise of repaying the Principal & interest within Six months, that in default thereof that he would sell the 50 Mortgaged Sheep & pay the debt—
	5th March 1826 for Chalamance Rs	150 . .		150 . .		150 . .	125 This agreement was executed by V Venkanah on Common Paper for 150 Rupees promising to pay Nursanah by instalments on account of the debt of Gopaul Naick & Seevanadoss—
12	Saturday the 8th Bahoodum of Maasum Year Vekrootee Paper	1000 . .		1000 . .		1000 . .	126 The condition of this Bond was that the money which might be paid in liquidation of it, should be endorsed on the Bond, but this was not attended to—
Do	Saturday the 1st Sooddum of Caurteekum year Kara	850 . .		850 . .		850 . .	127 This Bond was not attested by any witnesses—
	Carried forward	2045 . .		2045 . .		2045 . .	
		298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 . 7	

Fort St. George

15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of the Bonds &c.	Bonds Agreements &c.	Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal Amount of the Bonds & interest. Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					C Rs a ℔	C Rs a ℔	C Rs a ℔	C Rs a ℔	C Rs a ℔
				Brought forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 0 7
					2045		2045		2045
128	S. Achiah Brother of Suggapah, inhabitant of Trivoccolloo	S. Venkatasawmy	Bond	Saturday the 15th Sooddum of Vaista year Survajittoo	12 3		12 3		12 3 128
129	S. Goorlingum Do of Do Do	Do	Do	Do	5 4		5 4		5 4 129
130	C. Samegah Shepherd	Do	Do	Saturday the 3d Bahoolum of Badrapada year Do	17		17		17 130
131	C. Sashiah inhabitant of Turkatoor	V. Ramasawmy	Do on Stamp Paper	3d November 1838	75		75		75 131
132	S. Casavaroydoo Naidoo	G. Teeroomala-vardacharloo	Do on Common Paper	Monday the 4th Sooddum of Purtaum, Year Havalumbee	60		60		60 132
133	S. Ramachendrarow	V. Ramasawmy	Do on Stamp Paper	Wednesday the 12th Do of Palgoonum year Do for 2 Rs	450		450		450 133
134	C. Veerabalingum	P. Nursiah	Agreement	Tuesday the 30th Bahoolum of Badrapadam year Veelumbee	4		4		4 134
				Carried forward	2668 7		2668 7		2668 7
					298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 0 7

15th April 1841

Year & Date of the Bonds	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c. Principal amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest.	Total	Deduct amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance		Remarks
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d		
Brought forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 7 7		
	2045		2045		2045		
Saturday the 15th Sooddum of Vaisaka year Survojittoo	123		123		123	No 128	This Bond was written on Common Paper.
Do Do	54		54		54	129	Do Do
Saturday the 3d Bahoolum of Badrapada year Do	17		17		17	130	Do Do
3d November 1838	75		75		75	131	This Bond was written on a Stamp Paper mortgaging the property House & Ground situated at Turkeatoor
Monday the 4th Sooddum of Makum year Havalumbee	60		60		60	132	Do Do on Common Paper.
Wednesday the 12th Do of Palgoonum year Do for A Rs	450		450		450	133	Do Do on Stamp Paper.
Tuesday the 30th Bahoolum of Badrapadum year Veelumbee	4		4		4	134	This agreement was entered into on Common Paper by mortgaging the Doombalah &c belonging to an Enam Land in Autapaka without the attestation of witnesses
Carried forward	2668 7		2668 7		2668 7		
	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 7 7		

Fort St. George

15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds &c.a	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.a	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.a	Bonds Agreements &c.a	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.a	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c.a & interest: Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.a	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.a	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
				Brought forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 7
					2668 7 0	. . .	2668 7 0	. . .	2668 7 0
135	D. Semboodoo Defendant in Suit No 196 Suder Ameens Courts file	V. Rungenaikloo	Rauzeenamah	24th September 1838	26 6 0	. . .	26 6 0	. . .	26 6 0
136	Farud Saheb	Gopal Naikoodoo	Agreement	24th October 1824	200 0 0		200 0 0	108 0 0	92 0 0
	Total of Bonds &c.a bearing the Names of others				2894 13 0	0 0 0	2894 13 0	108 0 0	2786 13 0
	Grand Total of Bonds, Agreements &c.a				301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7
	Lists without Bonds and Agreements								
137	S. Appanah inhabitant of Hydrabad			List					137 This
				Accounts up to 31st August 1835	3016 9 0	0 0 0	3016 9 0	1100 5 9	1916 5 3
138	V. Baruzee Carnum & inhabitants of Pullapollam & N. Kutchiah	"		Individual List					138 This
				Up to Thursday the 1st Sooddum of Aushadum year Vikaree	4787 1 3	0 0 0	4787 1 3	0 0 0	4787 1 3
				Total of Lists &c.a	7803 10 3	0 0 0	7803 10 3	1100 5 9	6703 6 6
				Carried forward	301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7

5407

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds &c.	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c.	Names of the holders of Bonds &c.	Bonds Agreements &c.	Year & Date of the Bonds &c.	Principal Amount of the Bonds &c. & interest: Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c.	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c.	Remaining Balance	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
				Brought forward	298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 7	
					2668 7 . . .	. . .	2668 7 . . .	. . .	2668 7 .	
135	D. Sembordoo Defendant in Suit No 196 Suder Aumeen Courts file	v/ Runganaikloo	Ramjeenarriah	24th September 1838	26 6 . . .	. . .	26 6 . . .	. . .	26 6 .	No 135 It appears Ramjeenarriah mortgaged No. It is but a
136	Farud Saheb	Gopal Naikoodoo	Agreement	27th October 1827	200	. . .	200 . .	108 . .	92 . . 136	
	Total of Bonds & Co. bearing the Names of others				2894 13 . .	. . .	2894 13 .	108 . .	2786 13 .	
	Grand Total of Bonds Agreements &c.				301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7	
	Lists without Bonds and agreements									
137	S. Appanah inhabitant of Hydrabad			List	Accounts up to 31st August 1935	3016 9 . .	. . .	3016 9 .	1100 3 9	1916 5 3 137 This is without
138	V. Parauzee Currumsee & inhabitants of Pullapollare & N. Ritchiah			Individual List	Up to Thursday the 1st Sooddum of Anshadum year Vikaree	4787 1 3	. . .	4787 1 3	. . .	4787 1 3 138 This list accounts 1248 this amount in Mr
					Total of Lists &c.	7803 10 3	. . .	7803 10 3	1100 3 9	6703 6 6
					carried forward	301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7

Fort St. George

15th April 1841

Num	Mark/Year & Date on the Bond	Year of the Bonds	Principal Amount of the Bonds & interest: Principal Amount due on the Bonds Year	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Year	Remaining Balance	Remarks
1		5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	
	Brought forward		298776 2 7	11 7 3	298787 9 10	7892 9 3	290895 . 7	
			2668 7 .	. . .	2668 7 .	. . .	2668 7 .	
12	4th September 1838		26 6 .	. . .	26 6 .	. . .	26 6 .	No. 135 It appears from the transfer made on the said Rouzeenamah that Runganaikooloo received the money from V. Ramasawmy & transferred it to Nursamah—
12	7th October 1827		200 . .	. . .	108 . .		92 . .	130 It is stated that this is not an original Voucher but a Copy—
12	Ca bearing the		2894 13 .	. . .	2894 13 .	108 . .	2786 13 .	
13	ands, Agreements &ca		301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7	
13								137 This is an account particular of receipts & payments without any signature on it it is however entered here—
13	counts up to 31st August 1835		3016 9 .	. . .	3016 9 .	1100 3 9	1916 5 3	
13	Up to Thursday the 1st Sooddum of aushadum year Nikaree		4787 1 3	. . .	4787 1 3	. . .	4787 1 3	138 This list shews the balance due by each Ryot on account of Pullapolem Village, from fusly 1245 to 1248 there are no other Vouchers in support of this amount— This amount was also included in Memorandum No 2 dated 14th February—
13	Total of Lists &ca		7803 10 3	. . .	7803 10 3	1100 3 9	6703 6 6	
	Carried forward		301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7	

5408

Fort St George

15th April 1841

Number marked on the Bonds &c	Name and Place of residence of the persons who tendered the Bonds &c	Names of the Bonds holders of Bonds agreements &c	Year & Date of the Bonds &c	Principal Amount of the Bonds & interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds &c	Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount recovered as endorsed on the back of the Bonds &c	Remaining Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
	Bonds sent to the Zillah Court.							
139	C. Abbiah Naidoo — C. Naguemah Naidoo		Bond					
			Brought forward	301,670 15 7	11 7 3	301,682 6 10	8000	293,681 13 7
				7803 10 3	" " "	7803 10 3	1100	6703 6 6
			Tuesday the 3rd Bahoolum of Chytrum, year Chitrabanoo	2,000 " "	706 8 "	2706 8 "	2399 5 "	307 3 "
			Grand Total of Bonds, agreements Lists &c	311474 9 10	717 15 3	312192 9 1	11500 2 "	300692 7 1

Mussulipatam Zillah —
Collectors Circuit
Cutcherry at Chinnapore
23d March 1841.

N B

The above Statement is prepared exclusive according to the Bonds and other Vouchers but upon further enquiry it may appear that a considerable portion has already been recovered.

15th April 1841

Year & Date of the Bonds Hegr	Principal Amount of the Bonds Hegr & interest — Principal Amount due on the Bonds Hegr	Principal Amount received on account of interest	Total	Deduct Amount received as endorsed on the back of the Bonds Hegr	Remaining Balance	Remarks. —
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	
Brought forward	301670 15 7	11 7 3	301682 6 10	8000 9 3	293681 13 7	
	7803 10 3 " " "		7803 10 3	1100 3 9	6703 6 6	
Tuesday the 3d Bahoolum of Chytrum Year Chitrabanoo.	2000 0 "	706 8 "	2706 8 "	2399 5 "	307 3 "	No 139 This Bond was sent on the 11th June to the Auxiliary Court agreeably to a letter received from the asistant Judge under date 20th April 1840.
Grand Total of Bonds, Agreements Lots &ca	311474 9 10				300692 9 1	

Masulipatam Zillah —
Collectors Circuit
Cutcherry at Chinnapore
23d March 1841. —

E. E. Fbs
/ Signed / P. Grant
Collr.

N B

The above Statement is prepared exclusive according to the Bonds and other Vouchers, but upon further enquiry it may appear that a considerable portion has already been recovered. —

Last

No 66

List of the Packet

No 165 Inventories of property left by Summata Nursamah Proprietress of Bullepurroo in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

No 1 List of Estimated value of Gold and Silver Jewels.

2 Do of Do of Cloths Brass utensils.

3 Do of Do of Houses Situated in the Town of Masulipatam.

4 Do of Landed property &c.

5 Do of Bonded Debts due.

Copies and Translations of Proceedings held in the case.

A Original Will and Petitions, together with evidence adduced in support of the adoption and the disposition of property in favor of Lutchmenursimha Naidoo the adopted Son of S. Nursamah.

A No 1 Original will with translations from the parties.

2 to 11 Copies and Translations of Petitions on behalf of the adopted Son of Nursamah.

12 to 23 Evidence Copies and Translations of

of Depositions taken from the attesting Witnesses to the Will and also from others on the subject of adoption & other points.

B Counter Petitions and evidence adduced by Rayeyah Lutchmanah Widow of Venkatasawmy Nephew of Nursamahs husband.

No 1 & 3 Petitions presented by the said Rayeyah Lutchmanah.

No 4 to 11. Evidence, Copies and Translations of Depositions of Witnesses on behalf of Rayeyah Lutchmanah.

C No 1 Question put to & reply received from the Pundit of the Provincial Court

D No 1 to 3 Original Arzees under the Signature of Nursamah on the records of the Cutcherry intended to shew that her signature to the will is quite different in style & formation from her usual signatures.

E No 1 to 9 Deed of Partition between Ackiah Naidoo (Nursamahs husband) and his brothers. also the will left by the former as to the disposal of his property and the Receipts

Receipts or acquittals for certain legacies mentioned in the will.

F No 1 to 3 Copy of the Charge preferred to the Magistrate by Rayeya Lutchmanah and of the decisions passed thereon by the Magistrate and the Court of Circuit.

G No 1 to 5 Petitions received from parties on behalf of the adopted son and Rayeyah Lutchmanah herself, prayeng that a Compromise which has been entered into by them, by which 13000 Rupees were to be paid to the latter by the former should be accepted, & the evidence adduced to shew that this sum was due by Nursamah to the husband of Rayeya Lutchmanah on account of a Bond which is not now forthcoming

H No 1 to 4 Petitions received from the said parties and others for & against the said proposed compromise

I No 1 Copy of the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut dated 16th September 1839 relative to the Application of Rayeya Lutchmanah to be admitted to sue in forma Pauperis against Narsamah for one third of the property.—

Masulipatam Zillah Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry at Chumpapore. — — 23d March 1841. — — — — Comp.d S.R.

/ Signed / P Grant
Collector

Proceedings

25 26

Proceedings Commencing instructions to the Collector of Masulipatam regarding the succession to the Village of Bulleparroo the property of the late Rajah Sumeeta Narsummah.

thereon. Para 1 It would seem to the Board of Revenue that the investigation pursued by the Collector as regards the succession of the late Rajah Sumeeta Narsummah has been carried to an extent far beyond what was required for any useful purpose involving the time and labour of his Establishment without any useful view or end.

2 Sumeeta Narsummah being proprietor of the Village of Bulleparroo paying a permanent Assessment, it was of course necessary on her demise to ascertain the next apparent Heir, to whom Government should look, as Proprietor, for payment of their Revenues. That being ascertained all that was necessary was to recognize the Party and take steps for registering the Village in his name leaving others to establish their claims, if so advised through the ordinary Courts of Udalut.

3 The party deceased having left an adopted Son, Lutchmanarsinha Naidoo and that fact being apparently a matter of notoriety

notoriety, it would have been sufficient to have recognized the Boy, and referred all other claiments to the Courts of Law.

4. Lutchmey Narsimha Naidoo being a Minor, it is necessary that provision be made for the management of the Village of Bullepettah thro' the Court of Wards, unless there should be some relation competent to undertake the Revenue Administration of the Village and to conduct the affairs of the family. Mr Grant will report if any eligible person competent to the trust presents himself, and if so, he will deliver over the Village to his Custody. The personal property and other papers will also in that event be left in the exclusive possession of the Minor's family.

5. The Board do not think it desirable to undertake the Management of this single Village if the arrangement can be avoided.

Ordered that Copy of these Proceedings be furnished to the Collr of Masulipatam for his information.

Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

(27)

Sir

From the Collector of Guntoor — Para 1. — Returning the Petitions of 1840 of Coturu Royal Naidoo with several Depositions taken from the Jemindar & others & submitting at the same time his remarks thereon.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29nd Ultimo, together with Petitions from C. Royalee Naidoo 1st Class Moonsiff of Chabole District in this Zillah, bearing the endorsement of Government dated 3rd December 1840.

2. The Petition sets forth 1st ill-will on the part of Subraveiga Veneata Krishna Row, Deputy Shristadar of this Cutcherry manifesting itself in causing a Petition to be presented to the Collector alleging that a deficiency existed in the Public Cash at Chaybole which he made the Collector to receive and forward to the Judge without enquiry and 2ndly malversation generally on the part of the S. Veneata Krishna Row to the extent of Rupees 40,000 and particularly "withholding a moiety of salaries." These two things ill will against the Petitioner and malversation, are mixed up together in the Petition and

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

and the prima facie inference to be drawn from this circumstance is that the Petition owes its origin to a vindictive feeling. But this prima facie inference must not be allowed to stand alone. It will be seen from the evidence, solemnly affirmed, of the Magistrate of the Auxiliary Court at Guntoor, and of the Manager attached to the Magistrate's Cutchery both friends of the District Moonsiff, that the Petition to Government was written under feelings of irritation occasioned by the notion that S. Venkata Ristna Row had been instrumental in the proceedings relative to the examination of the Moonsiff's Cash; also that the manager expostulated with the Moonsiff in regard to the impropriety of what he had done. The Court Shereistadar says that he did not argue with the District Moonsiff as to what he had done, as he is not a person that would attend to what is said. It will further appear from the evidence of Nimmagadda Ramakistamah that the District Moonsiff had endeavoured to suborn him as a false witness in Proceedings, which

* See accompanying Deposition

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

which he said would be held against S. Venkata Ristnarow, and that the Moonsiff was endeavouring to suborn other evidence in the case. Nimmagadda Ramakistnamah is the friend of Napaty Sashagerry row the Head Shereistadar. Sashagerry row, and the District Moonsiff, appear from this deposition and from the deposition of the Magistrates Manager, to have consulted together and the District Moonsiff concluded that he had a ready instrument in Rama Ristnamah. The fact of Sashagerry row having intrigued as set forth, is a matter of notoriety. The evidence of Tata Ristnamah, a Thanadar in the Vaseereddy Zemindary, also sets forth that the District Moonsiff said that he would obtain evidence in some way.

3 Thus, the Petition having been admitted by the Moonsiff to his friends to have been written from a vindictive feeling and the Moonsiff having been engaged in suborning false evidence to support what he had set forth, the accusation brought by the Moonsiff in the Petition, under consideration must be treated as it deserves.

I

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

4. I believe the Monsiff was afterwards convinced that S. Venkata Kistnarow was in no way concerned in the Proceedings which had reference to the examination of the Court Cash. On this point it is simply necessary to observe that S. Venkata Kistnarow knew nothing of the presentation of the Petition setting forth the deficiency, nor what measures had been taken thereon, till after the arrival of the Assistant Judge at Chaybrole, and then, only accidentally from my sending for the Government Vakeel to proceed to Chaybrole. It was not in Venkata Kistnarow's Department. The Petition setting forth the deficiency was presented to me in public Cutcherry at Guntoor, on the day previous to the Assistant Judge proceeding to Chaybrole. The deficiency had been a thing of notoriety for months and the Monsiff appears to have escaped from the examination of his Cash from the change that took place in the presiding Officer of the auxiliary Court. Mothoor Soobiah, a Ryot of Vellatoor, and others brought

* See Deposition of Dacherauze Veeyar-nah.

See Deposition of Mothoor Soobiah.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

brought it to my notice, on more than one occasion. Collections on warrants of execution had been spent, and no money was forthcoming to meet a payment to the parties entitled.

5. The depositions, which have been taken by me on the present occasion with other circumstances, have brought the subject of the deficiency again under the notice of the Court. It will be disposed of by the Judicial Authorities and does not call for further remark in this place. In regard to the search complained of by the District Monsiff of his Poons and saddle, copy of the report of the acting Head of Police, with Extract from the Diary of the Magistrate, and copy of the endorsement made on the Petition of the District Monsiff to the Magistrate will shew that the Monsiff has no just grounds of complaint.

6. Apart from the foregoing considerations, it can be in extreme cases only that the conduct of a public Officer in the Judicial line as the District Monsiff is, can be justified in

standing

standing forth as a public accuser of a high Revenue servant, selecting not the equal mode of enquiry, this he sets aside, but requiring a commission of enquiry at once and all this with a general charge only, or rather a general statement that "charges will be preferred." This general statement, moreover, does not proceed from a knowledge that the matter charged rested upon any foundation. It was known to be false, and preferred from a vindictive feeling. In his conversation with Tota Ristnamah* Mundar under the Vaseredy of Gemindar, the Moonsiff says that, when the enquiry is instituted he will obtain evidence in some way, and the evidence of Nimmagudda Ramakristna mah shews that the Moonsiff had already been endeavouring to effect this. This conduct in an ordinary person, would merit with due reprobation, in a public servant having a Court committed to his charge, it appears with much aggravation. The Moonsiff comes forward not only as an informer but an informer against a Department with which he has no connection with a knowledge that the accusation is false accompanied with endeavours at subornation of false evidence

*Note
It is possible (see deposition of Magistrates Manager) that Tota Ristnamah intended to take an active part in the subornation of evidence the Moonsiff expected that he would certainly do so — Ristnamah does not admit this but states that the Moonsiff told him that he would obtain evidence in some way.

evidence, and this at a time when his own conduct as District Moonsiff is impeached.

It is not proper to leave the matter contained in the Petition from the District Moonsiff to be disposed of on the foregoing considerations alone. The accusation itself must be further sifted. Similar charges have been brought forward by one Polvoy Kristnarow, a discharged Sayer Goomastah these were duly considered by me at the time, and the Petitions disposed of accordingly. This individual lately presented a Petition to Government similar matter, this was rejected by Government under their Petition rules Polvoy Kristnarow was Sayer Goomastah at Collepara Chouky, and removed from Collepara to Corapaud Chouky in consequence of malversation in office as proved before the Ameen of Tanaly at Corapaud he was again convicted of malversation in an examination before the Ameen of Corapaud Tanah. This a second offence accompanied with neglect of duty, rendered his dismissal necessary. He was removed on proof of guilt, as may be seen from the records in his case, but he seems to

Fort S^t George 15^th April 1841

to think that his removal proceeded from S: Venkata Ristnarow, and accordingly he has been engaged, at the same time under the instigation of others, in preferring Petitions. With reference to his allegations the depositions noted in the margin, were taken some time ago previous to my going to the Palnaud. The Petition from the District Moonsiff of Chaybrole under consideration, has rendered it necessary that the matter should be further gone into, in order that it may be placed fully before the Board. With this view, I have had an interview with each of the Zemindars, and have taken their depositions. Depositions, solemnly affirmed, have also been taken from the Public servants of the Government, Hoozoor and Fyebut, and a deposition, solemnly affirmed, from Totah Ristnamah, the Thanadar of a large portion of the Wajsereddy Zemindary. From these it will be seen that, the allegations brought forward against S: Venkata Ristnarow, are not only totally without foundation, but that Venkata Ristnarow is the last person to be suspected

Deposition of Surrabarauze Ramiah Devan of Chikaloor paid V. Jonkeamo do Vakeel of the Vapereddy Zemindar.

* Vapereddy Sultanapally Rajullu.

Fort S^t George 15^th April 1841

of the acts set forth, as his independent fortune enables him to give to others. His liberality, indeed, is well known in the District. The depositions of the principal servants should be particularly noticed.

Note. These depositions of the aumeens of Innacondah and Billumcondah were taken by the acting Head Assistant Collector as he was in those Talooks engaged in the Jummabundy.

Soyer Khan, the Ameen of Innacondah, has stated the real cause whence the accusation in question proceeds. Venkata Ristnarow by his influence has put a stop to the corrupt gains of the Public Establishment. The Petition of Polroy Ristnarow and others, and the intrigues of the numerous relations in office of Napaty Sashagerry row, the Head Shiristadar, have sprung from this cause. The Petition of the District Moonsiff of Chaybrole, was written from a vindictive feeling as already stated, but he also for some time past has been taking a part in these intrigues, partly, I believe because his relations, who are in no way qualified, have not been provided for in the Cutcherry, and partly because the District Moonsiff was fined Rs. by the Magistrate about 2 years ago for

for an assault upon a Brahmin.

In addition to the testimony
afforded in favor of Venkata Kistnarow
by the Depositions herewith submitted,
it is proper that I should not pass by
unnoticed the testimony afforded by
Rajah Chendoo Laul, the Minister at
Hydrabad. Chendoo Laul sends a con-
siderable sum annually to be disburs-
ed at the Pagoda at Mangalagherry.
It is hardly necessary to add that the
money has been to a greater or less
extent misappropriated. Venkata Kist-
narow, is unknown to Chendoo Laul
except from his character as it has
developed itself as a public officer
in this District. Chendoo Laul has
written to him, suggesting that he
would in future receive the remit-
tances, and see that the money is duly
appropriated. Chendoo Laul's communication
is further of value, as it is direct from
him under his own seal, and couched
in terms of great respect. A Goomas-
tah, it appears, is generally employed
by

by him except on special
occasions.

The testimony of
10.

Chendoo Laul was very
satisfactory to me also
as confirmatory of my own
impressions regarding
S. Venkata Kistnarow. His
Station in Society and
Character, gain him esteem among
the higher Classes. It is prevailed
by

by the lower grades when bad

passions have to be gratified

The last mentioned circumstance

proves negatively what the former

does positively.—

II. The Petition from the

District Moonsiff with enclosures

is herewith returned—Copies of

the several depositions as per

accompanying list, are also forwarded.—

Goontoor Zillah —

Coll.te Circuit Cutcherry — } (Signed) J. Goldingham

Dinda 24th March, 1841. } Coll.r

List

* Poloy Kistnarow, a dismissed Sayer Gomastah Vattium Venkata-chellum a dismissed Salt superintending Peon Petition to the Board No 177 of 1841. A. Tsimah-row reduced for misconduct. Petition to the Board No. 228 of 1841 C. Royal Naidoo District Moonsiff of Chatrole.

List of the Copies of the depositions sent to the Board of Revenue with a letter dated 24th March 1841.

32. Depositions regarding the case of Royal Naidoo, as follows.

1. of Nimmagudda Ramakistnamah
1. " Batcherauze Veeyaiah
1. " Vaddamanaty Venkiah
1. " Yakkah Pondarukatavodoo
1. " Maucherauze Bhoojungaradoo
1. " Gunloor Chelmiah
1. " Vellaroy Coormiah
1. " Hebbudumah Venkatadry
1. " Sundoory Rajaviah
1. " Cossparty Hanoomiah
1. " Dhoomalow Rayapah
1. " Cottepara Viraya
1. " Rayapole Anuntaramiah
1. " Ganderauze Ramaswamy
1. " Mahomud Khasim
1. " Cotcherlah Rajapah
1. " Datcherauze Byrauzy
1. " Pillalamurry Lutchmepaty Annapydoo
1. " Roapachoola Ramaswamy
1. " Datcherauze Bauperauze
1. " Dasabaktoony Restnaw

Total

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

1 of Totah Ratnamah.

1 " Codoor Vencamah

1 " Goodunty Vencatasen

1 " Motoory Seobiah

1 " Saranoo Chengamah.

1 " Cottoor Koovatramiah.

4 " Allur Vencatroydoo including

3 documents.

1 " Toomoo Venatroydoo.

32

24. Dispositions regarding S. Vencata

Ristnarow pantoolor Viz.t

3 of Vasereddy Suttanapully

and Rapully Zemindars.

1 " Sumbarauze Ramaya

1 " Vulloor Tanaboramiah

1 " Kedamby Mungayacharloo

12 " Ameens of Poloor, Chelka-

-loorpaud, Pachoor, Coorapaud,

Banpettah, Mungalagherry,

Puttopaud

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Puttopaud, Bellumcondah,

Innacondah, Vellatoor, Nes

-zampatam, and Tanaly

Tanahs.

1 of Head Accountant.

1 " Head Writer

1 " Head Moonshy

1 " Cash keeper.

1 " Magistrate's Manager

1 " Proday several Mootsuddies &c.

24

3. 1. Copy of the anzee No. 114. from the

Head of Police of Igunloor

Tanah.

1. Do. of the Endorsement made

by the Magistrate on the

Petition of Royal Naidoo.

1. Extract from the Diary of

the Magistrate.

3

59. Grand Total

(Signed) J. Goldingham

Coll.r

&c

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Proceedings thereon

(27-28)

1. As there is nothing in the Statements of the Petitioner Cotta Royalee Naidoo beyond vague and general charges of malversation against Venkat Kistnarow the Board deem it unnecessary to pursue the Matter further.

2. They disapprove of this Person acting as agent for Chundoo Lall in reference to the endorsements made by the Minister at Hyderabad at the Mungalagherry Pagoda which should they think be made by a party not entertained in the Public Service of Government.

Comp. Rs

Read

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Read the following Letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(29)

From the Pr. Coll.r of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 8th Instant, I have the honor to explain that the Veomeahdar, Capsing Sahib, has been some Months residing at Nellore waiting for the payment of his arrears of Veamiah regarding which a reference was made by me in August last & for the sanction of Government Sanction was only received on the 28th January last and the arrears paid in February in which Month he applied for the transfer of his Veomiah to the Madras Collectorate. His permanent residence is Madras and under this explanation I trust the Board will not allow a temporary absence at Nellore to receive payments of the arrears due to him to stand in the way of the transfer of his Veomiah as he has requested.

Nellore Distrct Kistnapatam
Pr. Coll.r Cutcherry
15th March 184 (29-30)

Order thereon

(Read again in Cons.
22 April)

Signed J. V. Stonhouse
Pr. Coll.r

Ordered to be sent again in circulation
Read the following Statement

Statement

5Le33

Fort St George

15th April 1841.-

Statement shewing the quantity of white Salt of the Calcutta Indent for 1840/41 already up to 31st March 1841.- in store and ready for exportation on account undermentioned Parts in the Zillah of Nellore

No	Names of Parts.-	North Latitude.-	Quantity of Salt Permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1840/41.-	Quantity already exported.-	Quantity remaining to be exported.-	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under estimated heaps.-	Remarks.-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Bmds	Bmds	Bmds	Bmds	Bmds	
1	Eskapalle	14	130,000		130,000	109,191	20809	
2	Sogadapully	14	10,000		10,000	2059	7941	
3	Vareny	14	10,000		10,000	1254	8746	
	Total		150,000	"	150,000	112504	37496	

Nellore District
Kistnapatam
Pr Coll.r's Circ.r Cutcherry
6th April 1841

E. E. per

Signed J. V. Stonhouse pr Collr

Statement

32 5484

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.-

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 2d April 1841.-

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.-	Quantity already exported.-	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach.-	Quantity ready in the Cutcurs.-	Remarks.-
For 1841.- Bengal Maunds					
300,000	"	300,000	7,721	17,424	

Chingleput District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 7th April 1841

E. E. per [Signed] A. Freese
Collr

Statement

33 5435

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.-

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 9th April 1841.-

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.-	Quantity already exported.-	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for Exportation on the Beach.-	Quantity ready in the Cutcurs	Remarks.-
For 1841.- Bengal Maunds					
300,000	"	300,000	7,721	30,000	

Chingleput District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 10th April 1841

E. E. per [Signed] A. Freese
Collr

Statement

34

5436

Fort St. George

15th April 1841.

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta of the Southern Division of Arcot up to

from the Port of Murkanum in the District 3d April 1841.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841.	Quantity already Exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in stake and ready for exportation.	Remarks.
Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
50,000		50,000	50,000	

Punroatty, South Arcot
Pt. Coll's Circt. Cutchy.
6th April 1841.

Murkanum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry a temporary Flag Staff will be erected on the Beach Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Principal and it is requested that the probable date of the Vessel will be obtained for parties taking Salt to pay the Tahsildar at Murkanum.

(Signed) W. Ashton Pt. Coll.

and for the guidance of Vessels in making the place, and the Union Jack kept flying from sunrise to sunset Collrate Cuddalore superscribed "Calcutta Salt Exports arrival of the Vessel at Murkanum may be stated. for the same at the Principal Collector's Cutchery or to

(Signed) W. Ashton Pt. Coll.

Ordered

31-35

5437 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Order on the foregoing Statements } Ordered to be recorded.-

36 **Proceedings.** Read the following letter from the Collector of Bellary, furnishing information called for by Government in the Minutes of Consultation dated the 25th January 1841, as to the extent to which the system of remunerating police peons by a pygnment of land prevails in the Bellary District, and enclosing a statement, shewing the charge which will be incurred by a payment in money instead of by grant of land, to the police Establishment entertained in the Harponhully Talook, under sanction of Govt. dated the 17th Sept. 1839.-

13th March in Cons. 7th April 1841.-

In Cons. 8th Feb. 1841.-

In Cons. 30th Sept. 1839.-

Proceedings Para 1.- From the foregoing letter it would appear that the practice of remunerating the the Police Peons by a pygnment of land has prevailed almost universally throughout the Bellary District, the Police being composed of three Classes, the Talaries or Village watchers amounting in Number to 1112 and a kind of Military Police consisting of Peons, called "Cuttobady" and "Candachai" the former being remunerated by Enam lands, and the latter by deductions from the Puttahs which they respectively held

5438 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.-

held as Cultivating Ryots.- The emoluments enjoyed by the two last mentioned Classes appear to be very trifling, those of the Cuttobady Peons averaging 13 Annas per Mensem, and those of the "Candachai Peons only 9 Annas the consequence has been that the ostensibly available and liable to be called upon in cases of emergency, their services have been seldom put in requisition for general Police purposes, such as watching Villages by night protecting the baggage of Travellers, apprehending thieves &c. which are enumerated by the Collector as the peculiar duties of the Talaries.-

2.- The practice of remunerating the Village watchers (Talaries) by grants of land appears to have been usual in most Districts besides Bellary, and the Board have lately recommended certain grants of this nature in the Districts of North Arcot and Coimbatore considering this mode of remuneration to be one which involved the least expense to the State and was most acceptable to the parties whose services were required, and in accordance with the

the usages of the country - The information conveyed in the letter now before them, is far too indefinite and imperfect to enable the Board to offer an opinion as to the result in regard either to efficiency, or expence which would follow the adoption generally of a system of money payments to the Police in lieu of that which at present obtains, and in the event of any such measure being in contemplation it will of course be necessary to make a further reference to the Collector but in the mean time the statement which accompanies the Letter under consideration shewing the charges which will be incurred by the payment in money of the Establishment sanctioned for the Hurpanhully Taluk will be submitted for the sanction of Government

Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be submitted for the orders of Government

Read the following Letter -

To

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(37)

From the Pr. Collr. of North Arcot } Forwarding Pledge yours letter of the 8th Instant transmitting copy of a letter from the Collector of Madras regarding the request of certain Veeniahdars to have the payments of their allowances transferred to this Treasury -

In the descriptive roll there are three Veeniahdars proposed to be transferred; Abbas Hoopan Khan Fatmah Saiboh and Kyroonnissa I have seen the first mentioned and answers to the description given of him, the other two being Females of course have not appeared, but Abbas Hoopan

2

Fort St. George 16th April 1841

Hoolpaid Khan, their relation
has produced satisfactory tes-
timony to their being alive -

3- There appears to be no objection
whatever, to a compliance with their
request, to reside in this District
and to draw their stipends from
this Treasury -

North Arcot
Pt. Coll's Cutcherry
Chittoor 27th March 1841
} Signed / S. M. Ogilvie
Pt. Coll.

37-38

Order thereon (Vide letter to Govt 22d April) — Ordered to be brought to forward at a future day...

To
Comp. A.R.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

39

From the Chief Coll. of North Arcot — Submitting Original Purwanah of Toottepet Dehat Enam Village as required in the Board's Proceedings of the 12th Nov. 1840.

Sir,

Para. 1 I have the honor to transmit the original Purwanah, for the Village of Toottepet, called for in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th November 1840.

2. I am desired to particularize the points in which the rules relating to the continuance of Mootaliquan Enams are opposed to the succession of the Petitioner.

3. Previous to the receipt of the Board's letter of the 17th October 1839, the shares of Mootaliquan Dehat Enams were continued to their respective descendants or connexions but the Government on the 24th September 1839 directed that the rules relating to Mootaliquan Enams circulated under date the 31st July 1815, shall in future be applied also to the case of

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

of Moatalieguan Dehat Enams.—

4. The name of Jayanah Sahibah appears in the original Purwanah, and the Petitioner [Syed Moortoza Hoosain] is her grand Son, The question is whether this grand Son is to succeed to a share His late Father Meer Sadie Ally enjoyed a quarter share as the Son of Jayanah Sahibah, one of the original grantees, but the rules circulated in the Board's letter of the 17th October 1839 places the Enamdars of this Dehat Village of Southput on the same footing with the Moatalieguan Zeemindars referred to in the letter of the 31st July 815, and this will exclude the Petitioner from succeeding to his Father's share, which according to the above rules must lapse to the Government.—

North Arcot,
Prin. Coll.e Circuit Cutcherry,
Vadamerry, 30th March, 1841.

[Signed] J. M. Ogilvie
Prin. Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

39-40

Order thereon.— Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—

(Read again in Cons.n 26th April.)

Read again letter from the Principal Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot dated 24th February and entered in Consultation 11th Ultimo.—

41

Proceedings thereon. It is observed that the letter from the Principal Collector of South Arcot meets only one Statement of the Petitioner in reference to the non-working of the "Karamboo" Pans in 1839 Mr. Ashton has another to notice. the other part of the Petitioner's complaint that in the current year he is precluded from Carrying on the manufacture in the "Kilingapulla" Pan or Sheikal Pan, situated East of the Markannum Sheikal Pan, whilst the manufacture is permitted in the "Domanungadoo Pan" marked C in the Sketch which accompanies the Petition, which is stated to be immemorial Poramboke. The Board in their letter dated 18th March 1839 intimated their

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

their wish that the quantity of Salt so manufactured should be distributed amongst the Merasadars generally, without any direct interference on the part of the Circar in the manufacture, so that the Merasadars of each working Pan should have an equitable portion, they desire that this principle should still be carried out, and if it be the case as the Petitioner states that on his working Pan this year the manufacture is interdicted that a portion of the Dittum be assigned to him.—

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

(42)

From the Asst. Collr. of Tanjore.

Sir,

The circumstances which obliged Mr. H. Forbes to anticipate the leave granted to him under the orders of Government dated 24th November 1840 were so urgent that on forwarding his letter representing them I beg to add my earnest recommendation that his request to be relieved of the retrenchment so now

Fort St. George 16th April 1841.

now standing against him be complied with.

Tanjore, Vellum, 27th March, 1841.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery.
Asst. Principal Collector.

A.

To,

The Principal Collector of
Tanjore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will submit to Government my request to be relieved from a retrenchment made in against me by the Civil Auditor, for six days absence, in anticipation of the leave granted me on the 24th of November 1840.—

My application for leave was in consequence of a summons from a Medical Gentleman to attend my Brother, at the time seriously ill, and as the case did not admit of my delaying my departure until my leave appeared in the Gazette, in applying for it, I did so in the terms, "from the date of my quitting the District" I was at the time entitled to 2 Months leave of absence without any deductions,

deductions. I asked for only one month, and resumed my duties within 22 days, leaving Madras the day following the night, on which my Brother embarked for England. In consideration of the urgency of the case, and if my leave having been granted from the date of my leaving the District, and of my unwillingness to absent myself from my duty longer than was absolutely necessary, as evidenced by my availing myself of only 22 days leave, out of 2 Months due to me, I trust that His Lordship in Council will be pleased to relieve me from the retrenchment made against me of

Rupees 250 — 15 — 4. A. P. by permitting that the leave of absence granted to me in November last, should be considered to have commenced on the 18th of that Month, the day on which I quitted the District.

I am Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
(Signed) H. Forbes.
Sub Collector.

Sub Collr's Office,
Myaveram, 25th March 1841.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter, and its enclosures be forwarded for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation, that under the peculiar circumstances represented by Mr. Forbes, the leave of absence granted to that Gentleman in November 1840, may be considered to have commenced from the 18th of that Month, the day on which he quitted his District.

Read the following Letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Asst Collr of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to your letter of the 4th Instant, I have the honor to state that in accordance with the view Mr. Thompson the late Collector had taken that the irregularity in the execution of the decision of the Punchayet nullified the decision, that Officer had actually collected certain

certain balances due to Government from the Individuals who had cultivated a portion of the land under question.

Pustyp-	Extent Cultivation	Rariz			Amount Collection		
	Chains	Cl.	A	O	Cl.	A	O
1240	33 20/64	295	2	5	252	1	0
1241	54 14/64	299	0	3	"	"	"
1242	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1243	61 7/16	338	13	5	"	"	"
1244	72 7/8	401	14	8	"	"	"
1245	73 12/32	405	14	2	"	"	"
1246	74 2/16	409	2	5	"	"	"
1247	74 2/16	411	14	6	411	14	8
1248	80 2/32	441	11	7	441	11	7
1249	95 2/16	527	2	0	527	2	0
		3530	11	6	1632	13	1

2. Upon an attentive consideration of the whole subject however an objection has occurred to me, which has reference to the constitution of the Punchayet from the beginning, and if good, would render the subsequent proceedings of the Punchayet of no effect.—

3. The actual Question under dispute was not a claim to the occupancy or cultivation of

of the land specified, but a claim to the proprietary right to it between the Government and the Ramaswaram Pagoda. The Ryots of Saccaragooty, one of the Parties submitting to the decision of the Punchayet, had never cultivated any part of the land under dispute, and the Ryots of Poovany, another of the parties, had only cultivated a portion of it; whereas the decision if held good, would place the lands within the confines of the Poovany Village, and thereby transfer the proprietary right in the land to the Ramaswaram Pagoda, and deprive Government of it.—

4. Having shewn as above that the dispute had no reference to the cultivation or occupation of lands or Crops. I beg to submit that the case did not fall under Regulation XII of 1816, and that consequently the constitution of or reference to a Punchayet was altogether irregular. In a letter from the Register to the Sudr Court which accompanied an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th June 1835, it is stated that "where disputes of

"of this kind (Vizt. respecting boundaries.) in-
"volving property arise, the right of the respec-
"tive claimants must be brought to issue in a
"regular suit and "it is suggested" that the
"Zillah Court nearest the property the cause
"of action, should be Authorized to file and
"dispose of such suits." Further in a letter ad-
dressed by the Register of the Sudr Court to
the Judges of the Southern Provincial Court dated
the 6th June 1825 Copy of which is herein enclosed,
an opinion is given that "neither Regulation XII
"of 1816 nor V of 1822 confers any Authority upon a
"Collector either to investigate himself or to refer
"to a Punchayet a suit involving a claim to the
"proprietary right in land."

5. As the Records of the District shew that the land in question is included in the Ayacut accounts of the Village of Saccaragoty Mr. Thompson deemed himself sufficiently Authorized, under the conclusion that his view of the decision of the Punchayet was correct, to assume the land as belonging to the Circar and to collect the

the demand upon it accordingly. Had his view of the decision been confirmed he would have been justified in the assumption of the land according to the Spirit of a letter received in this Office from the Deputy Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 4th of January 1827—

6. Should the Board of Revenue concur in the objection I have raised to the original reference to the Punchayet and disannul its Proceedings as having been irregularly constituted, it will remain only for me, with their permission, to continue the assumption already made by Mr. Thompson leaving the Ramaswerum Pagoda to establish a better claim by resorting to the Civil Courts.

7. To shew how little the right of occupancy or Cultivation is brought under question no Attempt was ever made by Mr. Thompson to transfer such right from those Ryots of the Poovany Village, who had cultivated a portion of the disputed land, they were on the contrary kept in possession and only required to pay the

rent

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

usual demand upon it to the Government in-
stead of its being paid to the Pagoda.-

Tinnevelly,
Trichendore,
Coll.r Office,
26th March, 1841.

/Signed/ W.C. Ogilvie.
Acting Collector.

A

To,

The Judges of the Provincial Court
of Appeal, in the Southern Division

Gentlemen,

Para 1. I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 28th Ultimo referring a question raised by the Collector of Tinnevelly relative to the construction of clause second, Section XV, Regulation V, of 1822.-

2. The Judges entirely concur with you in opinion that "neither Regulation XII of 1816, nor V of 1822 "confers any authority upon a Collector either to investigate "himself or to refer to a Punchayet a suit involving a "claim to the proprietary right in land," and that in all cases of disputes brought before a Collector under Regulation V of 1822 the consent of both parties is necessary to authorize a reference to a Punchayet.

Sudr Udalut,
Register's Office,
8th June 1825.

/Signed/ W. Hudleston.
Register.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W.C. Ogilvie.
Ag. Collr.

44-45

Order thereon.
(Read again in Cons: 21st June)

Ordered. to be sent again in Circulation.-

Read

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Read the following letters.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Sub Collr of Coimbatore.

46

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I have this day delivered over charge of this Collectorate to the Principal Collector J.C. Wroughton Esqre, and beg to enclose the receipt of that Gentleman for the balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury.-

Coimbatore,
Princ.l Collr.s Office,
5th april 1841.

/Signed/ J. Bird.
Sub Collector.

Received

Statement of Stamps issued & Returned during the Month ending 15th day of March 1841

No. 21

Statement from 1st to 31st inclusive of all Collectors of Customs in the undermentioned Districts, shewing the Value of Stamps issued and returned, Amount of Bills on which Duty was paid, and Rate per Cent, being the Returns received at this Office during the Month ending 15th day of March 1841.

Districts	Value of Stamps issued to Collectors	Value of Stamps returned by Collectors	Amount of Bills on which Duty was paid	Rate per Cent.
Seaside Masters	£ 14,306	£ 25 - -	£ 2,376 5 -	
Two Mann Letter	19,092	13 8 -	2,358 8 -	
One Mann Letter	4,493	6 4 -	280 13 -	
Hall Boards Letter	5	173 - -	8 12 -	
Seaside Boards Letter	20	97 8 -	17 8 -	
Constants Masters Old	76	100 - -	76 - -	
Constants Masters Hall	761	100 - -	761 - -	
Constants Masters Assistants	61	99 - 8	30 7 3	
Travel Masters	11	95 12 4	10 7 8	
Emeritus Masters	13	99 8 7	12 14 11	
Hall Masters	10	49 12 3/4	4 15 7	
Seaside Masters	1	24 14 1/4	" 3 11	
Ships Masters	26	99 2 2	25 11 9	
Seaside Masters	1	24 12 6/4	" 3 11	
			2,168 2 -	
Two Mann Letter	433	3 2 "	29 2 6	
Seaside Mann Letter	2,071	1 9 "	32 3 9	
Town Sate Letter	649	2 1 4	13 8 4	
Two Sate Letters	156	1 " 8	1 10 "	
One Sate Letter	888	" 8 4	4 10 "	
Twenty Bank Letter	644 1/2	1 151	12 12 10	
			94 1 6	
Emeritus Masters			2,168 2 -	
			2,262 3 6	
Our Sate Sate Masters	9	370 " "	10 " "	
Seven Seamen	23	24 9 3	3 10 4	
Inquiret Seamen	2	24 1 10	" 7 8	
Retired Seamen	1	24 13 3	" 3 11	
Foreign Seamen	13	24 7 8	3 10 9	
			20 - 8	
Emeritus Masters of 1835		65,863 100 " "	65,863 " "	
Hall Masters		27,036 50 " "	13,828 " "	
Seaside Masters		28,002 23 " "	7,088 8 "	
Barbers Masters		72,961 100 " "	72,961 " "	
Hall Masters		6,988 50 " "	3,494 " "	
Seaside Masters		278 25 " "	69 8 "	
Emeritus Masters		98,866 100 " "	98,866 " "	
Hall Masters		24,788 50 " "	12,394 " "	
			2,262 3 6	
Emeritus Masters			2,168 2 -	
			4,430 5 6	

Examined & Entered

Chas. Collector

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

47

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore.

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I have this day received charge of this Collectorate from the Sub Collector J. Bird Esqre, and beg to enclose the Duplicate of the receipt granted by me to that Gentleman.

Coimbatore, Princ. Collrs Office, 5th April 1841.

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton. Princ. Collector.

Order on the 2 foregoing Letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Read

46-48

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Read the following letters

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

49

From the Actg Pl. Collr of Malabar - - - - Submitting his Extra Revenue Report for Fusly 1249. No. 1

I have the honor to report on the different sources of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1249 and to submit the accompanying comparative Statements in triplicate exhibiting the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue for 1247 1248 and 1249, together with the two General Abstract Statements No. 2 and 3. required by the Board's instructions dated 27th October 1828.

Salt.

2 The Gross Collection in this Department during the Fusly under review Amounts to or Rupees 346,600-13-8 exceeding

	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	312,142 - 3	345,108 10 8	346,600 13 8	1,492 3 -	- - -
Charges for the Purchase or Manufs	105,940 14 11	97,947 10 -	112,708 - 7	14,760 6 7	- - -
Ditto Superintendence and sale	14,701 5 11	10,372 12 6	8,048 7 9	- - -	2,324 4 9
Total charges	120,642 4 10	108,320 6 6	120,756 8 4	12,436 1 10	- - -
Net Revenue	221,499 11 5	236,788 4 2	225,844 6 4	- - -	10,943 4 3
Per centage of the Charges	35 4 2	31 6 2	34 13 1/2	- - -	- - -

†Including Rs 65-12-1 on account of Extra Revenue

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

exceeding the Collection of the preceding year by Rupees 1492—3—

The quantity of Salt sold in 1249 was ... 3305—193—4

in 1248 ... 3292—81—9

Excess in favor of 1249 ... 13—111—7

Salt sold in 1245—2803—187—
1246—2863—173—4
1247—3265—26—
1248—3292—81—9
1249—3305—193—4

Although the excess is small, yet it is satisfactory to observe that this branch of revenue continues in a progressive state of improvement.—

3. By the accompanying Statement No 4 it will be perceived that of the total quantity of Salt sold in 1249—1982—203—4 was for Home consumption and 1322—390— for exportation to other Districts, the first shewing an increase of 78—174—11 and the second a decrease of 16—63—4 the latter is entirely attributable to there not having been in the early part of 1249 a sufficient quantity of Salt at Tellicherry

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Tellicherry to meet the demands of the Mysore Merchants from causes which shall be presently noticed, the consequence was that a great number of Bullocks returned to Mysore without Salt.—

A scarcity of Salt at Bombay occasioned as stated by the Collector of Customs at that Port "by the past Monsoon setting in so very early which not only prevented the manufacture of the Ordinary quantity but destroyed a good deal of what had been made before it could be covered and protected from the rain" as well as by "an unusual large export to Calcutta" gave rise to great difficulty in obtaining the required quantity of Salt for the use of the Monopoly and made it necessary to pay very high prices to secure an adequate supply—

Mr. Bruce's letter dated 6th Dec. 1839.

† The Monsoon of 1839.

hence

Quantity purchased
G M S
In 1248- 3580- 36- 4
" 1249- 2997- 34- 0
Decrease 583- 25- 4

Average purchase Price
Of 1248- 27- 5- 4 70/100
" 1249- 37- 9- 4 87/100
Increase 10- 4- " 27/100

14th November 1839-
18th April 1840
9 June — " —

Fort St George 15th April 1841

hence the deficiency of G 583 — M 25 — S 4 in the quantity purchased in 1249, and the excess of Rupees 10 — 4 — 4 27/100 per Garce in the average Price paid for what was actually purchased. The subject having already been brought to the notice of the Board under dates noted in the Margin, I have only to observe that to this cause alone is attributable the excess of Rupees 14,760-6-7- in the "charges for Purchase," the disbursements on this account in 1248, being Rupees 97,947 — 10 — 0 whilst in 1249 they amounted to Rupees, 112708 " — 7 —

5. In the "charges of Superintendence and Sale" there has been a decrease of Rupees 2324 — 4 — 9 more than half of which falls on the "Extra* Charges" or charges for landing and conveying Salt respectively — Rupees 734-4-11 on the amount debitable under the Head

Rs 1,443 — 10 — 3.
*Vide Statements No 1 for 1248 and 49.

Fort St George 15th April 1841

Head of Commission from the circumstance of the Principal Collectors allowances in lieu of Commission drawn by his agents at the Presidency during his absence on leave not having been charged for the full year and the residue proceeds from an Order given by the late Acting Principal Collector regulating the payment of all District Establishments by the English instead of the Malabar months, by which Arrangement the disbursements for 1249 Comprize the Pay of servants for 11½ Months only instead of twelve —

6. The excess in the total "charges amounting to Rupees 12,436 — 1 — 10 has produced a decrease in the Net Revenue derived from this source to the extent of Rupees 10,943 — 14 — 10 —

Net Revenue
Of 1248- 23,678- 4- 2
" 1249- 12,734- 5- 4
Decrease 10,943- 14- 10

This

Tobacco.-

	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	521406 12 5	522721 1 11	546078 11 7	23357 9 8	" " "
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	7598 11 3	10628 6 10 8	11934 6 11 5	1305 12 3	" " "
Ditto Superintendence & Sale	23532 11 2	28548 7 4	27356 5 5	" "	1192 1 11
Total Charges	99514 6 5	134835 2 0	139290 12 4	4455 10 4	" " "
Net Revenue	421892 6 0	387885 15 11	406787 15 3	18901 15 4	" " "
Per centage of the Charges	19 1 4	25 12 8	25 8 1/2	" " "	" " "

7. This branch of Revenue also continues in a flourishing state in 1249 the sales amounted to C 3,108 — In ₹ 17 — 49

whilst those of 1248 were 2976 — 13 — 10½

Increase in 1249 133 — 3 — 65

which produced the increase of Rupees *23,357 — 9 — 8 in the Gross Collections as shewn in the Marginal Statement a favorable result which is I believe chiefly attributable to the vigilance exercised by the preventive Establishment in

*Including Rs. 186 5-4 on account of Extra collection.

in suppressing the contraband traffic in this article.—

8. The increase in the "Charges of Purchase" amounting to Rupees 5647 — 12 — 3 is chiefly attributable to C In ₹ do. 295 — 12 — 19 having been received from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore in excess of the quantity supplied in the antecedent Fusly—

Rs. 1192 — 1 — 11

9. The chief part of the Decrease in the "charges of Superintendence and Sale" is caused by a reduced disbursement on account of charges of conveyance, a larger portion of Tobacco having been delivered in the +Palghaut Depot (instead of Ponany) in 1249 than in the Antecedent year the difference on this Account is Rupees 884 — 11 — 1, the remainder is attributable to the cause noted in Para 5.—

+Palghaut is only 30 miles from Coimbatore. Ponany 80.

The

10 The net Revenue which in-
1248 exhibited a decrease of Rupees 34,006—6—1 in-
shews this year (1249) an increase of Rupees
18,901—15—4.—

Sayer.

	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	11,84,437 8 2	12,93,48 4 6	13,13,24 7 1	1976 2 7	. . .
Charges of Collections	13,351 6 2	13,614 5 8	12,492 8 7	. . .	721 13 1
Charges Commission	1,322 14 .	1,082 1 10	663 2 6	. . .	418 15 5
Charges Draw back	35,505 9 6	28,886 14 1	28,840 15 8	. . .	45 14 5
Total Charges	50,179 13 8	43,483 5 7	42,996 10 8	. . .	1186 10 11
Net Revenue	6,8257-10	6,85,864 14 11	8,90,27 12 5	3,162 13 6	. . .
Per centage of the Charges	42 5 10	33 9 10	32 3 4	. . .	. . .

11 The Gross Collection from this source exhibits an excess of Rupees 1976 2-7 over and above the result of 1248 in which year there was an increase of Rupees 10,910—12—4, compared with the amount brought to Account in Fusly 1247. The articles which contributed to this favorable result are Betel nut, Timber, Cardamoms, Ghee, &c to the extent of Rupees 6,474— but against this stands a Decrease of about 4,500 Rupees produced by

*Including Rs 21-15-3 on account of Extra Revenue.

by a deficiency in the quantity of Pepper, Rice, Betel leaves &c exported.—

12. The decrease in the several items of Charges appears to be too trifling to need particular Notice—the aggregate on this account Viz^t.— Rupees 1186—10—11 added to the excess—

Rs 1976-2-7. en the Gross Collection gives an increase of Rupees 3162—13—6 in the Net Revenue which it will be perceived Amounts to Rupees 8,9027—12—5 or Rupees

+Rs 8,8959-11-4 968—1—1 over the Net Revenue of 1248, the last Fusly in which the Sayer was rented.—

Sea Customs.

	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	2,62121 1 .	2,54297 9 .	2,66071 10 8	11774 1 8	. . .
Charges of Collections	22387 . 4	24918 10 2	23749 11 8	. . .	1168 14 6
Charges Commission	1919 4 .	1,5691 5 1	962 1 7	. . .	607 13 6 / 1776 12 .
Charges Draw back	24,225 5 .	35,703 10 10	40032 7 5	4328 12 7	. . .
Total Charges	48531 9 4	61,592 4 1	64744 4 8	3162 . 7	. . .
Net Revenue	2,13589 7 8	1,92705 4 11	2,01327 6 .	8622 1 1	. . .
Per centage of the charges	18 8 3	24 3 6	24 5 4	. . .	. . .

13 This branch of Revenue which in 1248 shewed a

a decrease of Rupees 7,823—8— has
during the year under review yielded
an increase of Rupees 11774—1—8
in the Gross and Rupees 8,622—1—1 in
the Net Revenue. The Market for Pepper
would seem to have somewhat re=
=covered from the depression noticed in the
Report for 1248, for the exportation of this
Article has conduced to an increase of
about 10,500 Rupees The other staples of Malabar
such as Cocoanut—Koprah, Oil, Betelnut
&ca likewise exhibit an excess of about
33,000 Rupees which however is Counter=
=balanced by a falling off in the Duties
realized on Rice—Cotton—Ginger—Sandal=
=wood—Sugar—Silk Piece Goods &ca Amount=
=ing altogether to nearly 32,000 Rupees—

14 The decrease of Rupees
1168—14—6— in the "Charges of Collection"
arises from the disbursement of 1248 being

inclusive

Including R. 49-10-11 on account of Extra Revenue.

Para 13.

inclusive of the amount refunded to the former
Manager of Tellicherry as noticed in the 14th
Para of the Report for that Fusly— no such
Extra charge occurred during the year under
report.

With respect to the increase of
15 Rupees 4928—12—7— in the disbursement on account
of Drawback, I beg leave to state it arises
entirely from a corresponding excess in the
quantity of Cotton and Pepper taken from
Bombay to the United Kingdom after the
same had been exported from the Ports in
this District— The above excess in the charges
had the effect of reducing the increase in the Net Re=
=venue derived from this source from 11774—1—8 to Rs 8,622—1—1—

Net Revenue
Of 1249-20,327-6—
" 1248-19,2705-4-11

Increase 8622-1-1

Abkarry

	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Settlement	60,388 1 3	60,555 1 1	58,674 8 10	" "	1,880 8 3
Gross Collections	63,504 14 11	61,424 8 3	58,712 14 5	" "	2,711 9 10
Charges	745 14 "	610 1 11	373 14 2	" "	236 3 9
Net Revenue	62,759 " 11	60,814 6 4	58,339 " 3	" "	2,475 6 1
Per centage of the charges	1 210	" 15 10	" 10 21/4	" "	" "

16 The settlement of the Items composing the Abkary revenue fell short of the Demand

Demand of the previous year in the sum of Rupees 1880 — 8 — 3 chiefly in the rent of the Arrack Farms as shewn below —

	1248	1249	Increase	Decrease
Arrack Farms rented	36640 — —	34782 — —	— — —	1858 — —
Tax on Knives and Stills Annamee	23915 — 1 —	23892 — 8 — 10	— — —	22 — 3
Total	60555 — 1 —	58674 — 8 — 10	— — —	1880 — 8 — 3

As regards the first item of decrease it appears from Mr Underwoods letter to the Board dated 4th September 1839 that it arose chiefly from a total want of competition, when the Calicut Arrack Farm for 1249 was put up for sale —

18 With the exception of Rupees 1432 — 8 — 5, the whole of the current demand was realized during the Fusly the

the decrease of Rupees 2711 — 9 — 10 in the Gross Collection is therefore partly owing to this cause and partly to the Amount collected on account of the Arrears of former years being only Rupees 1470 — 14 — 0 whereas in 1248 the sum collected on this account was Rupees 2,344 — 6 — 11 — difference Rupees 873 — 8 — 11 — Contrasting the Current Collections of 1249 with those of the preceding year there appears a difference of Rupees 1838 — 0 — 11 which is nearly equal to the decrease in the Jummma already noticed.

+ On account of 1248 — 39 — 9 — 8
1248 — 1431 — 4 — 4
Rs 1470 — 14 — 0

Current Collections of 1248 — 59080 — 1 — 4
& 1249 — 57242 — 0 — 5
Decrease 1838 — 0 — 11

Para 16.

19 Out of the amount outstanding at the close of the Fusly Vizt Rupees 1432 — 8 — 5, Rupees 1340 — 10 — 10 has since been realized, leaving the trivial sum of Rupees 91 — 13 — 7 to be hereafter collected —

20 The share of the allowance in lieu of Commission drawn by the Principal Collector is the only charge debitable under this

5470

Fort St George 15th April 1841

this Head - the decrease on this account of Rupees 236 - 3 - 9 / from the cause stated in Para 5 / reduced the deficit in this branch of Revenue from Rupees 2711 - 9 - 10 to Rupees 2475 - 6 - 1 the latter being the difference between the Net Revenue brought to account in the two last Fuslies -

Farms and Licenses -

	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Settlement	55394 6 10	37858 1 10	81256 6 6	43397 4 8	" " "
Gross Collections	47578 " 4	47956 15 1	82303 5 11	34346 6 10	" " "
Charges	1114 5 9	38382 3 5	8850 12 7	" "	29531 6 10
Net Revenue	46463 10 7	9574 11 8	73452 9 4	63877 13 8	" " "
Per centage of the Charges	2 6	80 " 7	10 12 1/2	" "	" " to state

21 Referring to Para 20 of the Re= =port for 1248, I beg that the Proceeds sale of Cardamoms the produce of Fusly 1248, was brought to account in 1249 which with sundry other items gives rise to the increase of Rupees 43397 - 4 - 8 - ap= =parent in the Settlement of the last mention= =ed year of which the subjoined Comparative Memorandum gives a clearer view -

Rented

Cut

5471

Fort St George 15th April 1841.

		1248	1249	Increase	Decrease
Rented	Ferry Farms	32680 12 "	32780 " "	99 4 "	" " "
	Hill Produce	1809 8 "	2071 2 4	261 10 4	" " "
	Total	34490 4 "	34857 2 4	366 14 4	" " "
Annuance	Cardamoms	" " "	43036 6 4	43036 6 4	" " "
	Hill Produce	1586 7 6	1586 7 6	" " "	" " "
	Gold Dust	1781 6 4	1781 6 4	" " "	" " "
	Total	3367 13 10	46404 4 2	43036 6 4	" " "
Grand Total		37857 1 10	81261 6 6	43403 4 8	" " "

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

22 Out of the foregoing demand of Rupees 81266-6-6, Rupees 81106-14-6 was brought to account in 1249, leaving Rupees 145-8-4 outstanding at the close of the year, the whole of which was collected since the commencement of the present Fusly 1250.

23 Independent of the Current revenue Amounting as shewn above to Rupees 81106-14-6, the arrears of 1248 amounting to Rupees 189-0-4 was realized during 1249 these two items together with the Exatra Collection Rupees 1007-7-5 exhibited in Statement No. 2 give the aggregate Gross Collection of Rupees 82303-5-11, inserted in the Statement prefixed to Para 21 which is Rupees 34346-6-10 above the result of 1248. To account however for the difference between the increase in the settlement and that of the Gross Collection, it may only be necessary to observe that the Collection of 1248 comprizes a sum of Rupees 10287-13-3 on account of the arrears of the previous year, whereas the amount Collected in 1249 on that account is no more than 189 Rupees.

The

Current Collections 81106-14-6.
Exatra Revenue 1007-7-5
Gross Collections per Statement No. 2 - - 82114-5-11

Increase in the Settlement 43397-4-8
Do. of the Gross Collection 34346-6-10
Difference 9050-13-10

Compd. T.R.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

The cardamom produce of 24. 1249 (in which Fusly also the Farm was held under amanie) remains still unsold, as reported to the Board under date the 5th September last, and from the causes specified in the 4th Para of that letter, the quantity obtained is much below the Crop of the previous year. To this and to the Circumstance of the Hill Proprietors having contumaciously declined the terms offered them (whereby the accounts for 1249 remain still unsettled) is mainly attributable, the decrease of Rupees 29,531-6-10. in the charges on this account which however raised the Revenue from this source from Rupees 34,346-6-10. to Rupees 63,877-13-8. the latter being the increase in the Net Revenue of 1249 over that of 1248.-

Produce
1249- C. 41 - - -
1248- 93-11-11.

Net Revenue.
of 1249-73452-9-4.
" 1248-9574-11-8.
Increase 63877-13-8.

Stamps-

	Fusly 1247.	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Increase.	Decrease.
Gross Collections	48886 1 1.	52651 5 6.	60847 4 "	8195 14 6.	" " "
Charges	4933 10 2.	4795 12 5.	4984 10 3.	188 13 10	" " "
Net Revenue	43952 6 11.	47855 9 1.	55862 9 9.	8007 " 8.	" " "
Per centage of the charges	10 1 5.	9 1 10.	8 3 1.	" " "	" " "

25 The increase entered in the Marginal Statement may be divided as follows.-

* See Paras 6 & 7 of the letter dated 5th Septr. 1840.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.-

The excess of Rupees 188-13-10. in the charges (composed solely of Commission paid to the Dorogahs, Venders &c.) is consequent upon the increased Sales of Stamps.-

Molurpha.

	Fasly 1247.	Fasly 1248.	Fasly 1249.	Increase.	Decrease.
Settlements	1,11,107 5 4	1,11,327 12 2	1,10,580 12 1.	" " "	747 " 1.
Gross Collections	1,10,787 6	11,11,576 7 10	1,10,970 13 2	" " "	1,205 10 8.
Charges	1,300 8 "	10,631 11. 6 5 1 4 9	" " "	411 14 2	" " 1
Net Revenue	1,09,486 14 11.	1,10,572 10 11.	1,09,718 14 5.	" " "	793 12 6
Per Centage of the Charges	1 29 "	1 53 "	95. " " "	" " "	" " "

27 To account for the decrease of Rupees 747. which appears in the Settlement of this Branch of Revenue, it may only be necessary to observe that it is consequent upon the abolition of a most vexatious practice which obtained at Palghaut where the privilege of dying Betelnuts is annually

	Sold in 1249.	Increase.	Decrease.
On Account of Stamp Paper	33803 12 "	7379 14 "	" " "
Do. Cadjans	8480 4 "	573 12 "	" " "
Do. Sayer Rowanahs	5490 9. "	213 6. "	" " "
Do. Sea Custom	10330 8. "	259 9. "	" " "
Do. Sea Custom	2403 " "	" " "	417 8 "
Do. Cowlly realized by the Courts	1145 12 "	115 12 "	" " "
Do. Value of Stamps received from Sampans	232 " "	" " "	1 36
Do. Fees on European Stamp Paper	72 5. "	24 5 6.	" " "
Do. Extra Collection	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	52651 5 6.	8084 4 "	418 11 6
Deduct Decrease		418 11 6	
Net Increase Rupees		8195 14 6	

5474

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

	Sold in 1249.	Increase.	Decrease.
On Account of Stamp Paper	2,64,833 12 "	73,79 14 "	" "
Do Cadjans	1,90,68 "	" "	13,80 "
Do Sayer Rowanah	5,27,73 3 "	5,73 12 "	" "
Do Sayer	5,49,09 "	2,13 6 "	" "
Do Sea Custom	10,76,15 "	2,59 9 "	" "
Do Penalty realized by the Courts	2,88,28 "	" "	4,17 8 "
Do Value of Stamps recovered from Sanpers	" "	11,51 12 "	" "
Do Fees on Europe Stamp Paper	24 5 6	" "	1,36 "
Do Extra Collection	" "	72 5 "	" "
Total	52,65,56 6	8,61,47 4 "	4,18,11 6
Deduct Decrease		4,18,11 6	
Net Increase Rupees		8,19,514 6	

5475

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

The excess of Rupees 188-13-10.

26

in the charges (composed solely of Commission paid to the Dorogahs, tenders &c.) is consequent upon the increased Sales of Stamps.

Melurpha.

27. To account for the decrease of Rupees 747-1 which appears in the Settlement of this Branch of Revenue, it may only be necessary to observe that it is consequent upon the abolition of a most vexatious practice which obtained at Palghaut where the privelege of dying Betelnuts was annually

	Fasly 1247.	Fasly 1248.	Fasly 1249.	Increase.	Decrease.
Settlement	1,11,107 5 4	1,11,327 12 2	1,10,580 12 1.	" "	747 " 1.
Gross Collections	1,10,737 6 11.	1,11,576 7 10.	1,10,370 13 2	" "	1,205 10 8.
Charges	1,300 8 "	1,063 12 11.	651 14 9	" "	411 14 2
Net Revenue	1,09,436 14 11.	1,10,512 10 11.	1,09,718 14 5.	" "	793 12 6
Per Centage of the Charges	1 29 "	1 53 "	1 95 "	" "	" "

annually rented.- Favored by some obs=
=curity in the form of Agreement, the
Renter was in the habit of making it
obligatory on all persons carrying co=
Chickery or cut Betelnut to Coimbator
to pass thro the town of Paly haut co=
and have it dyed by his people, whether
they wished it or no. In consequence of
Several complaints on the subject
Mr. Underwood in renting the Fee in=
=question for 1249 entered a clause in
the agreement declaring it optional
instead of obligatory on the part
of the people to have their nuts dyed
or not. This caused a decrease of Rupees
935-0-0 in the receipts from this item
of Moturpha, which together with sundry
remissions of tax on Houses, Shops, profess=
=onal implements, Fees gone to decay or held
by persons in indigent circumstances, &c
produced a totak decrease of Rupees 1017
8-4. which was however counterbalanced &c
to

†Summa of
1248. 1760-0-0
1249. 825-0-0
Decrease 935-0-0

to the extent of Rupees 3,270-8-3. by the
tax laid on the like Items newly brought
on the assessment in the course of the Jummahbundy
of 1249, leaving the difference of 747-0-1. above
adverted to.-

28 The Collection on account of Moturpha
appears to have been effected with ease and facili=
=ty- for out of a demand Amounting to Rupees co=
1,10,580-12-1 only Rupees 219-15-4 remained
outstanding at the expiration of the Fusly, of
which Rupees 80-10-9- has since been co=
realized.-

29- The deficit of Rupees 1,205-10-8.
in the Gross Collection may be accounted for
thus- Decrease on account of Current Revenue
&c &c &c &c &c &c &c &c
&c &c (inclusive of the decrease of
Rupees 747-0-1 in the Demand) 919-1-3.
Decrease on account of arrears collected 286-9-5.
Totak Decrease 1,205-10-8.

By a reduction in the Charges Amounting
to Rupees 411-14-2- from the Causes
Stated in Para 5 (for the only &c
expenditure

Current Collection.
1248- 1,11,277-4-10
1249. 1,10,358-3-7.
Decrease. 919-1-3.

Arrears Collected.
in 1248- 299-3-0
" 1249- 12-9-7.
Decrease 286-9-5-

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

expenditure charged against this Head is the share of the Principal Collector's allowance in lieu of Commission) the deficiency in the Net Revenue is only 793-12-6, the total Net Revenue in 1248 being Rupees 1,10,512-10-11- and in 1249 Rupees 1,09,718-14-5-

Grand Total.

	Fusly 1247.	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Increase.	Decrease.
Gross Collections	1,51,486 2. 13	1,52,508 4. 14	9. 1,60,233 10	"	b. 77,225 1 9.
Charges	32,696 2 8	2,39,308 3 "	10. 38,194 9 7	9 "	" " 11,133 9 1.
Net Revenue	1,18,790 1 4	1,13,200 1 13	11. 1,22,036 8 9	88,358 10 10.	" "
Per Centage of the Charges	21 9 5.	25 12 5	23 13 1/4	" "	" "

30- Upon the whole I trust it will be satisfactory to the Board and the Government to find that the Extra Sources of Revenue of this District for the late Fusly shew an excess of Rupees + 77,225-1-9- in the Gross Collections, a Decrease of Rupees 11,133-9-1 in the total charges and an increase of Rupees 88,358-10-10 in the Net Revenue

+ Including Rs. 11,103-8-" on account of Extra Revenue.

Revenue, the latter amount not only co

Increase in the total diminution which the net R

Net Revenue of of 1248 sustained, but yields an e

1249 ..... 88,358-10-10 over and above it, of Rupees

Decrease in 1248 55,899-7-" 32,459-3-10 as exemplified

Difference 32,459-3-10 the Margin.—

Outstanding Balance .. 31

The Balance of former " outstanding at the commencem " of 1249 amounted to Rupees 4,869 " Of which Rupees 1,672-7-7 was " collected within the Fusly, leavi " its close a Balance of Rupees 3,196-" From this sum Rupees 2,713-14-3 " supposed to be recoverable and 6 " 483—"—3 doubtful; the latter su " has accordingly been entered unde " Head in the accompanying State " N.B.

Malabar, ---- Print. & Coll.rs Office, Calicut ---- the 3rd October 1840

Signed H. V. C

Principal C

Statemen

Bala

Ditto

Fus

12

11

6

5479

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Revenue, the latter amount not only covers the

Increase in the total Net Revenue of 1249 88,358-10-10

Decrease in 1248 53,899-7-"

Difference 2,459-3-10

Outstanding Balance 31

diminution which the net Revenue of 1248 sustained, but yields an excess over and above it, of Rupees — 32,459-3-10 as exemplified in the Margin —

The Balance of former years outstanding at the commencement of 1249 amounted to Rupees 4,869-6-4 of which Rupees 1,672-7-7 was collected within the Fusly, leaving at its close a Balance of Rupees 3,196-14-6 — From this sum Rupees 2,713-14-3 is supposed to be recoverable and Rupees 483-"-3 doubtful, the latter sum has accordingly been entered under that Head in the accompanying Statement N°. 6 -

Malabar ---
Princ. Coll. Office,
Calicut ----
the 3rd October 1840

Signed H. V. Conolly
Principal Collector

Statement

5481

N°. 1

Statement shewing the Demands & Collection

Fusly 1248.

	Settlement of Fusly 1248			Collection on account of 1248 to the 11th July 1839			Extra Revenue		
	1			2			3		
Tobacco	5,22,586	5	3	5,22,586	5	3	134	12	8
Salt	3,45,108	10	8	3,45,108	10	8	"	"	"
Sayer	129,348	4	6	129,348	4	6	"	"	"
Sea Customs	2,54,297	9	"	2,54,297	9	"	"	"	"
Abkarry	60,555	1	1	59,080	1	4	"	"	"
Sundry small Farms & Licenses	37,858	1	10	37,669	1	10	"	"	"
Stamps	52,651	5	6	52,651	5	6	"	"	"
Moturpha	111,327	12	2	111,277	4	10	"	"	"
Total	15,13,733	2	"	15,12,018	10	11	134	12	8

Calicut 11th July 1840

Triplicate

STATEMENT shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusly

	For the last three Years.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						Remarks.
	Fusly 1247			Fusly 1248			Fusly 1249			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rups	A.	P.	Rups	A.	P.	Rups	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections	342142	-	3	345548	11	8	346600	13	8	1492	3	-	-	-	-	Note. The following Items Compose the Salt Charges on the Fusly's Abst.
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	105940	14	11	97947	10	-	112908	-	7	14760	6	7	-	-	-	Huzzoor Servants
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	14701	5	11	10372	12	6	8048	7	9	-	-	-	2324	4	9	District do.
Total Charges	120642	4	10	108321	6	6	120956	8	4	12636	1	10	-	-	-	Ditto Sundrywages
Net Revenue	221499	11	5	236708	4	2	225644	5	4	-	-	-	10943	14	10	Contingent Charges
Per Centage of the Charges	35	4	2	34	6	2	34	13	5½	-	-	-	-	-	-	Extra Charges
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																Purchase of Salt
Gross Collections	524486	12	5	522721	1	11	546078	11	7	23357	9	8	-	-	-	Rooboms
Charges for Purchase	75381	11	3	106286	10	8	111934	6	11	5647	12	3	-	-	-	Commission
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	23532	11	2	26518	7	4	27035	5	5	-	-	-	1492	1	11	C.R.
Total Charges	99514	6	5	132835	2	-	138970	12	4	4455	10	4	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	424892	6	-	389885	15	11	407107	15	3	18901	15	4	-	-	-	Note. The following Items Compose the Tobacco Charges Abst.
Per Centage of the Charges	19	1	4	25	12	8	25	8	1½	-	-	-	-	-	-	Huzzoor Servants
LAND CUSTOMS.																District ditto
Gross Collections	118434	8	2	129348	4	6	131324	7	1	1976	2	7	-	-	-	Ditto Sundrywages
Charges of Collection	13351	6	2	13514	5	8	12792	8	7	-	-	-	721	13	1	Extra Charges
Charges „ Commission	1320	14	-	1082	1	10	663	2	5	-	-	-	418	15	5	Smuggled Tobacco
Charges „ Drawback	35516	9	6	28886	14	1.	28840	15	8	-	-	-	45	14	5	Contingent Charges
Total	50187	13	8	43483	5	7	43296	10	8	-	-	-	1186	10	11	Purchase of Tobacco
Net Revenue	68247	10	6	85864	14	11	87027	12	5	3162	13	6	-	-	-	Commission
Per Centage of the Charges	42	5	10	33	9	10	33	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	C.R.
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	262121	1	-	251207	9	-	266974	10	8	11774	1	8	-	-	-	Note. The following Items Compose the Sea Custom Charges Abst.
Charges of Collection	22387	4	4	24918	10	2	23749	11	8	-	-	-	1168	14	6	Huzzoor Servants
Charges „ Commission	1914	4	-	1569	15	1.	962	1	7	-	-	-	607	13	6	District ditto
Charges „ Drawback	24225	5	-	35103	10	10	40033	7	5	4928	12	7	-	-	-	Buzzoor and District Sundrywages
Total	48531	9	4	61592	4	1.	64744	4	8	3152	-	7	-	-	-	Contingent Charges
Net Revenue	213589	7	8	192705	4	11.	211327	6	-	8622	1	1	-	-	-	Extra Charges
Per Centage of the Charges	18	8	3	24	3	6	24	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	Repairs of Revenue Buildings
ABKARY.																Drawback
Gross Collections	60374	14	11	61424	8	3	58712	14	5	-	-	-	2711	9	10	Commission
Charges	745	14	-	618	1	11.	373	14	2	-	-	-	236	3	9	C.R.
Net Revenue	59757	-	11.	60814	6	4	58339	-	3	-	-	-	2475	6	1.	
Per Centage of the Charges	1	2	9	-	15	10	-	10	3/4	-	-	-	-	-	-	Note. The following Items Compose the Abkary Charges Abst.
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																Commission
Gross Collections	47578	-	4	47956	18	1	82303	5	11	34346	6	10	-	-	-	C.R.
Charges	1114	5	9	38882	3	5	6857	12	7	-	-	-	26031	6	10	
Net Revenue	46463	10	7	9574	11	8	73452	9	4	63877	13	8	-	-	-	Note. The following Items Compose the Sundry Small Farms & Licenses Abst.
Per Centage of the Charges	2	5	6	80	-	7	10	12	½	-	-	-	-	-	-	Terry Farms
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																Betel Dust
																Betel Farms
																Cardamoms
																Extra Collections
Stamps																And the following the Charges.
Gross Collections	48886	1.	1.	52651	5	6	60847	4	-	8195	14	6	-	-	-	Hire of Cawl & Boats employed at the
Charges	4933	10	2	4795	12	5	4984	10	3	188	13	10	-	-	-	Diets for Superintending ditto
Net Revenue	43952	6	11.	47855	9	1.	55862	9	9	8007	-	8	-	-	-	Paid to the Chief of Boats being Fraud
Per Centage of the Charges	10	1	5	9	1	10	8	3	1.	-	-	-	-	-	-	Terry farm not Fusly
																Amount advanced to the Shell Re
																Pay of Extra Servants & other Contingen
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																Note. The following Items Compose the St
Gross Collections	110484	6	11.	111576	4	10	110370	13	2	-	-	-	1205	10	8	Principal Collectors Commission
Charges	1300	8	-	1063	12	11.	657	14	9	-	-	-	411	14	2	Vendors ditto
Net Revenue	109186	14	11.	110512	10	11.	109713	14	5	-	-	-	793	12	6	Value of Stamp Paper for Security
Per Centage of the Charges	1	2	9	-	15	3.	-	9	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ditto refunded
GRAND TOTAL.																Note. The following Items Compose the Ab
Gross Collections	1514863	13	1.	1525088	14	9	1602310	-	6	77223	1	9	-	-	-	Commission
Charges	326962	8	2	343053	-	10	381469	7	9	-	-	-	11133	9	1.	
Net Revenue	1187901	4	11	1182035	13	11.	1220840	8	9	38858	10	10	-	-	-	Note. The following is an abstract
Per Centage of the Charges	21	9	6	25	12	5.	23	13	4¼	-	-	-	-	-	-	abstract Statements of Demand Col
GRAND TOTAL.																to the Board of Revenue as well as
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt and Tobacco	1332941	2	11.	1320857	10	1.	1377867	9	-	56816	14	11	-	-	-	the General abstract transmitted
Charges after deductions for Do	165729	14	-	178618	12	2	157304	-	3	-	-	-	21541	11	11	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	10	14	1.	14	4	9	11	5	6¼	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Gross Collections as per General abstract transmitted to the Board of Revenue

Salt	346782	13	
Tobacco Monopoly	581456	2	
Land Customs	132318	1	
Sea Customs	266253	7	
Abkary	58832	8	
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	83371	11	
Stamps	61179	0	5
Vissabuddee & Mohturpha	100673	14	
Total	1609667	5	5

per E E Dickson
Collector

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fuslies 1248 and 1249.

Total Collection of Fusly 1248	Balance of Fusly 1248 on the 12th July 1839 after deducting remissions	Settlement of Fusly 1249	Collection on account of 1249 to the 11th July 1840	Extra Revenue	Total Collection of Fusly 1249	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1840 after deducting remissions	Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1249 with that of Fusly 1250		Comparison of Collection of Fusly 1249 with that of Fusly 1250		Remarks
		Fusly 1249					Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
5,22,721 11	" " "	5,45,892 6 3	3,45,892 6 3	186 5 4	5,46,078 11 7	" " "	23,306 1 "	" " "	23,357 9 8	" " "	
3,45,108 10 8	" " "	3,46,333 1 7	3,46,535 1 7	65 12 1	3,46,600 13 8	" " "	1,426 6 11	" " "	1492 3 "	" " "	
129,348 4 6	" " "	131,302 7 10	131,302 7 10	21 15 3	131,324 7 1	" " "	1,954 3 4	" " "	1976 2 7	" " "	
2,54,297 9 "	" " "	2,66,021 15 9	2,66,021 15 9	49 10 11	2,66,071 10 8	" " "	11,724 6 9	" " "	11,774 1 8	" " "	
59,080 1 4	1,474 15 9	58,674 8 10	57,242 " 5	" " "	57,242 " 5	(1) 1432 8 5	" " "	1,880 8 3	" " "	1838-11	(1) Rs. 1340-10-10 has since been realized
37,669 1 10	189 " "	81,255 6 6	81,106 14 6	1,007 7 5	82,114 5 11	(2) 148 8 "	43,397 4 8	" " "	44,445 4 1	" " "	(2) Rs. 148-8/ do do
52,651 5 6	" " "	60,774 15 "	60,774 15 "	72 5 "	60,847 4 "	" " "	8,123 9 6	" " "	8,195 14 6	" " "	
1,11,277 4 10	47 14 2	1,10,580 12 1	1,10,358 3 7	" " "	1,10,358 3 7	(3) 219 15 4	" " "	747 " 1	" " "	919 1 3	(3) Rs. 80-10-9/ do do
15,12,153 7 7	1711 13 11	16,01,037 9 10	15,99,234 " 11	1103 8 "	16,00,637 8 11	1800 15 9	89,932 " 2	2627 8 4	91,241 3 6	2757 2 2	
								Deduct Decrease	2,627 8 4		2757 2 2
								Net Increase	87,304 7 10		88,484 1 4

E. E. F. / Signed / H. V. Conolly
Acting Principal Collector

Statement

5482

No. 3 Statement of Demand Collection and Balance on account of arrears of Revenue

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Land R

	Total demand on account of old Balance at the close of Fusly 1248	Arrears on account of Fusly 1240	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1241	Ditto Fusly 1242	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1243	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1244	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1245	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1246	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1247	Total from Col 3 to 10	Colle on a of F 12
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Tobacco											
Salt											
Sayer											
Sea Customs											673 8 10
Abkarry	2148 8 7	13 5 2	38 11 7	15 11 7	49 15 5	49 14 10	49 6 9	382 12 6	43 11 "		39
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	2589 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,400 " "	" " "		2,400 " "
Stamps	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "
Moturpha	131 13 6	" " "	15 3 11	8 9 2	8 12 1	12 1 9	38 9 2	8 " "	22 11 3		83 15 4
Total	4,869 6 1	13 5 2	53 15 6	54 9 "	58 11 6	62 " 7	57 15 11	2,790 12 6	66 6 3		3154 8 2

Fort St George 15th April 1841

...nce on account of arrears of Revenue ... Land Revenue excepted in the Province of

Ditto Fusly 1242	Ditto Fusly 1243	Ditto Fusly 1244	Ditto Fusly 1245	Ditto Fusly 1246	Ditto Fusly 1247	Total from Col 3 to 10	Collection on account of Fusly 1246	Total Collection on account of Arrears	Balance on account of Fusly 1246	Ditto Fusly 1241	Ditto Fusly 1242
2	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
45 11 7	49 15 5	49 11 10	49 6 9	382 12 6	43 11 "	673 8 10	39 9 8	39 9 8	13 5 2	38 11 7	45 11 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,400 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8 9 2	8 12 1	12 1 9	8 9 2	8 " "	22 11 3	83 15 4	" " "	" " "	15 3 11	8 9 2	
54 9	58 11 6	62 " 7	57 15 11	2,790 12 6	66 6 3	3157 8 2	39 9 8	39 9 8	13 5 2	53 15 6	54 9

5483 | | Fort St. George

Malabar for Fusly 1249.

15th April 1841

Ditto Fusly 1243	Ditto Fusly 1244	Ditto Fusly 1245	Ditto Fusly 1246	Ditto Fusly 1247	Total Balance	Arrears of Fusly 1248	Collection made in Fusly 1249	Balance	Total of Coll.s 13 and 25	Total Balance of Coll.s 23 and 26
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
49 15 5	49 14 10	49 6 9	343 2 10	43 11 "	633 15 2	1474 15 9	1431 4 4	43 11 5	1470 14 "	677 10 7
						189 " "	189 " "		189 " "	2400 " "
8 12 1	12 1 9	8 9 2	8 " "	22 11 3	83 15 4	47 14 2	12 9 7	35 4 7	12 9 7	119 3 11
58 11 6	62 " 7	57 15 11	2,751 2 10	66 6 3	3,117 14 6	1711 13 11	1632 13 11	79 " "	1672 7 7	3196 14 6

Calicut 28th July 1840.

C. C. F.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly

Acting Princ.l Coll.r

Statement

5484

Fort St. George

No. 4 Statement shewing the Inland Districts &c. from which Salt was carried for the supply of which Rowannahs have been issued for the transport of Salt from the Gollahs in Malabar in Fusslies 1248 & 1249 as well as the Sales for Home Consumption

15th April 1841

Stations at which Gollahs are established in Malabar	District and Places to which Salt was carried												Sold for Home Consumption			Total Sales		
	Coorgly the Heyata Pass			Mysore from Cannanore and Tellichery by Periah Pass from Calicut by the Tamarachery Pass from Manilla at the Carroor Pass			Coimbatore by Palghaut			Neelgherries by the Carroor Pass			The French Settlement of Mahe by Sea			Devy Island by Sea								
	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S
1249 Cannanore	27	16	8	284	380	"	"	"	"										312	96	8	179	376	8	492	73	4
Tellichery	33	120	"	325	30	4	"	"	"										365	370	"	308	151	8	674	121	8
Calicut	"	"	"	93	130	"	3	266	8										97	16	8	333	335	8	430	352	4
Tanore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Maamkaat	"	"	"	33	236	8	"	"	"										34	340	"	48	390	"	83	350	"
Ponany	"	"	"	"	"	"	512	366	8	1	103	4	"	"	"				512	366	8	1054	226	8	1567	193	4
Chowghaut	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	55	316	8	55	316	8
Cochin	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	1	6	"	1	6	"
Smuggled Salt	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	60	236	8	736	396	8	516	233	4	1	103	4	7	220	"	"	"	"	1322	390	"	1982	203	4	3,305	193	4
1248 Cannanore	42	60	"	369	63	4	"	"	"							"	26	8	411	150	"	155	313	4	567	63	4
Tellichery	6	73	4	419	86	8	"	"	"				7	100	"	"	16	8	432	276	8	359	346	8	792	223	4
Calicut	"	"	"	65	276	8	"	23	4				"	"	"	"	"	"	65	300	"	297	213	4	363	113	4
Tanore	"	"	"	21	10	"	"	"	"	4	53	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	25	63	4	47	153	4	72	216	8
Maamkaat	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	"	189	7	"	189	7
Ponany	"	"	"	"	"	"	453	63	4										453	63	4	975	276	8	1428	340	"
Chowghaut	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	66	30	"	66	30	"
Cochin	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	1	88	"	1	88	"
Smuggled Salt	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"										"	"	"	"	17	6	"	17	6
Total	48	133	4	875	36	8	453	86	8	4	53	4	7	100	"	"	43	4	1388	53	4	1904	28	5	3292	81	9
Increase in 1249	12	103	4	"	"	"	63	146	8																		
Decrease in 1248	"	"	"	138	40	"	"	"	"																		
														120	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	78	174	11	13	111	7
													2	350	"	"	"	"	"	43	4	65	63	4	"	"	"

Calicut 11th July 1840

E. C. F. / Signed / H. V. Conolly
Acting Asst. Collector

Statement

5485

N.o 5 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt purchased and Sold in the Province of Malabar in Fusslee

Fort St. George 15.th April 1841.

Names of the Gollahs	Fusly 1248 Balance of the previous Year	Quantity purchased in 1248	Kuparah found in 1248	Total	Deduct Wastage	Net Quantity	Sales Quantity	Rate per Garce	Value	Balance of the previous year	Fusly 1249 Quantity purchased in 1249	Kuparah found in 1249	Total	Deduct Wastage	Net Quantity
	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	Rs as	Rs as	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S
Cannanore	187 15 9½	718 80 "	1 266 8	907 106 7½	2 230 "	904 276 7½	567 63 4	105 " "	59 551 10 "	337 213 3½	294 313 4	" 80 "	632 206 7½	5 66 8	627 139 11½
Tellicherry	342 15 9½	599 96 8	3 220 "	944 332 5½	9 149 "	935 183 5½	785 113 4	105 " "	82 457 6 "	163 285 1½	761 45 "	" " 1868	925 116 9½	6 31 8	919 85 1½
Calicut & Mambaat	205 53 3½	725 20 "	5 93 4	935 166 9½	" 193 4	934 373 5½	435 330 "	105 " "	45 761 10 "	478 118 5½	339 299 "	" " "	818 175 5½	5 248 4	812 169 1½
Tanore	" " "	" 189 7	" " "	" 189 7	" " "	" 189 7	" 189 7	105 " "	19 124 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ponany	475 8 10	1483 3 4	31 213 4	1989 225 6	" " "	1989 225 6	1428 360 "	105 " "	150 029 4 "	536 285 6	1579 223 4	13 86 8	2149 195 6	" 60 "	2149 135 6
Chowghaut	43 138 5	53 380 "	" 270 "	97 388 5	" " "	97 388 5	66 30 "	105 " "	6 937 14 "	35 358 5	21 13 4	" 120 "	57 91 9	" " "	57 91 9
Cochin	" 348 "	1 " "	" " "	1 348 "	" " "	1 348 "	1 88 "	105 " "	128 211 "	260 "	1 " "	" " "	1 260 "	" " "	1 260 "
Confiscated Salt	" " "	" " "	" 176 "	" 176 "	" " "	" 176 "	" 176	105 " "	" 4 99	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	1253 324 5½	3580 369 7	42 280 10	4877 174 10½	12 172 4	4868 26½	3292 81 9	" " "	3,15108 10 8	1572 320 9½	2997 94 "	14 73 4	4884 88 1½	17 6 8	4,367 81 5½

+ Supplied to the French Settlement of Mahé

* Note 309 and 325 Marcals of Salt sent from Calicut to Tellicherry vide letters from the Balance on the former and added to that of the latter Gollah the same is the case with 4 Garces sent from Ponany to Chowghaut—

Calicut - - -
11.th July 1840

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

ntity of Salt purchased and Sold in the Province of Malabar in Fasly 1248 and 1249.

Comparison between the quantity purchased

Fasly 1248									Fasly 1249									Comparison between the quantity purchased	
Net	Sales				Balance of the previous year	Quantity purchased in 1249	Kuparah found in 1249	Total	Deduct Wastage	Net Quantity	Sales				Increase	Decrease
Quantity	Quantity	Rate per Garce	Value								Quantity	Rate per Garce	Value			
G M S	G M S	Rs as p	Rs as p		G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	G M S	Rs as p	Rs as p		G M S	G M S
907 106 7½	2 230	" " "	" " "													4 423 166 8
944 332 5½	9 149	" " "	" " "													161 348 4
935 166 9½	" 193 4	" " "	" " "													385 121 "
" 189 7	" " "	" " "	" " "													" " " " 189 7
989 225 6	" " "	" " "	" " "													96 220 " " "
97 388 5	" " "	" " "	" " "													32 366 8
1 348 "	" " "	" " "	" " "													" " " "
" 176 "	" " "	" " "	" " "													" " " "
877 174 10½	12 172 4															

4865 261½	3292 819	" " "	345108 10 8		1572 320 9½	2997 94 "	14 73 4	4588 488 1½	17 6 8	4,567 815½	3,303 193 4	" " "	346,335 1 7		258 168 4	842 43 11
														Deduct Increase - - - -		258 168 4	
														Net Increase - - - -		583 275 7	

+ Supplied to the French Settlement of Make—

* Note 309 and 325 Marcals of Salt sent from Calicut to Tellicherry is deducted from the Balance on the former and added to that of the latter Gollah the same is the case with 4 Garees sent from Binany to Chowghaut—

E. E. Sd/ Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Acting Princl. Collector

Statement

5486

N°. 6 Statement Shewing the Arrears on account of the Extra Sources of Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Sources	Talook	Total Amount of arrears From what Fusly	To what Fusly	No. of Years	Amount of arrears remaining at the Commencement of 1249	Deduct Amount Collected in 1249	Deduct Amount written off in 1249 under sanction of Government	Deduct Total of Columns 7 and 8	Net amount of arrears outstanding	Deduct to be From what Fusly
1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	10	11
Mr Stokes	Chirakel	1240	1248	9	372 2 8	372 2 8	" " "	372 2 8	" " "	1246
	Cotiote				1059 1 8	1059 1 8	" " "	1059 1 8	" " "	
	Calicut				339 1 6	39 9 8	" " "	39 9 8	299 7 10	
	Palghaut				378 2 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	378 2 9	
	Total				2,148 8 7	1,470 14 "	" " "	1,470 14 "	677 10 7	
Mr Stokes	Chirakel	1241	1248	8	54 " "	12 9 7	" " "	12 9 7	41 6 5	1247
	Palghaut				77 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	77 13 6	
	Total				131 13 6	12 9 7	" " "	12 9 7	119 3 11	
Farmers Leases	Calicut	1246	1248	3	2,400 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,400 " "	1246
	Wynaad				189 " "	189 " "	" " "	189 " "	" " "	
	Total				2,589 " "	189 " "	" " "	189 " "	2,400 " "	
	Grand Total				4869 6 1	1672 7 7	" " "	1672 7 7	3196 14 6	

Calicut 11th July 1840 C. C.

15th Apr

for the supp
Fusles 12

which Salt

Neelgherries by the Carwar Pass	G	M	S
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	1	10	3
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	4	5	4
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	"	"	"
	4	5	4
	"	"	"
	2	3	0

C. C.

Fort St. George 15th April 1841

Account of the Extra Sources of Revenue

	Deduct			Net amount of arrears outstanding	Deduct to be	Amount supposed recoverable			Deduct amount supposed to be doubtful	Balance proposed to be remitted	Fasliees to which the arrears proposed to be remitted belong:		
Amount of arrears outstanding at the Commencement of 1249	Amount Collected in 1249	Amount written off in 1249 under sanction of Government	Total of Columns 7 and 8		From what Fasly	To what Fasly	No. of Years	Amount			17	18	19
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16			
a 372 2 8	372 2 8	" " "	372 2 8	" " "				" " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	"
b 1059 1 8	1059 1 8	" " "	1059 1 8	" " "				" " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	"
c 399 1 6	399 8	" " "	399 8	299 7 10	1246	1246	1	299 7 10	" " "	" " "	"	"	"
d 378 2 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	378 2 9				" " "	378 2 9	" " "	"	"	"
2,148 8 7	1,470 14 "	" " "	1,470 14 "	677 10 7				299 7 10	378 2 9	" " "	"	"	"
a 54 " "	12 9 7	" " "	12 9 7	41 6 5									
b 77 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	77 13 6	1247	1247	1	14 6 5	27 " "	" " "	"	"	"
c 131 13 6	12 9 7	" " "	12 9 7	119 3 11				" " "	77 13 6	" " "	"	"	"
								14 6 5	104 13 6	" " "	"	"	"
2,400 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,400 " "									
189 " "	189 " "	" " "	189 " "	" " "	1246	1246	1	2,400 " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	"
2,589 " "	189 " "	" " "	189 " "	2,400 " "									
								2,400 " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	"
4869 6 1	1672 7 7	" " "	1672 7 7	3196 14 6				2713 14 3	483 " 3	" " "	"	"	"

Calicut 11th July 1840 C. C.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Acting Princ. Coll.
Ordered

49-50

Order thereon
(Vide Proceedings
20th May)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation

51

From the Collr of Malabar — suggesting with reference to the Board's Proceedings under date the 17th August last the discontinuance of taking Tolls over Bridges in Malabar and the appropriation of the net amount derived from the Ferry Farm to the purpose for which it was originally designed viz. the improvement of the Communications of the Country—

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George—

Sir,

Para 1 — I was directed under date the 17th August last to take into consideration whether some modification of the existing mode of collecting the toll and the rates of it over the Cringolly Bridge near Tellicherry might not be advisable. I was desirous to collect all the information I could find on the subject of the Ferry Tax generally, and this, will, I trust, account for the delay which has taken place in answering the Board's call.—

2 The tolls fixed for the Ferries of Malabar appear to have been originally designed as a means for raising a fund to be applied exclusively to the improvement of the communications of

the country. A reference to former records will shew, I think, clearly that it was never intended to make this tax a source of general revenue, but that, as observed by the Board in their Proceedings under date the 28th March 1816, "it should be considered rather in the light of a fund raised for public purposes"—

Para 17

Acting on these principles, it would appear to be clearly inferible, that wherever Bridges were erected in place of Ferry Boats, from the produce of the Ferry Farm, the charge for crossing such rivers should either be abolished or reduced to such a rate as would merely be sufficient for the necessary repairs of the Bridge. This inference indeed is proved by a reference to a letter from Mr. Secretary Hill to the Board of Revenue of the 29th November 1819 in which orders are issued to discontinue the taking of tolls over Bridges in

This letter was caused by a reference made from the Collector of Malabar of the 5th October 1819. To this and to the Board's letter submitting it to Govt. they to draw attention.

in this District.—

4. I cannot trace in my records the reason why this order was not carried out, but I would respectfully suggest whether, if such an order was desirable, then, it is not as much or more so now. Whether at all events, when the Bridges are in a state of perfect order, the indulgence once intended for the people may not be now granted. I feel convinced it will be looked on as a very great boon and the more so as the rivers over which the chief Bridges are erected, are places where the tax is most severely felt as being the outlets to two large towns / Tellicherry and Calicut / which are dependent for many of their supplies on the Country, which lies beyond the rivers. That this is peculiarly the case with Tellicherry was shewn in my letter of the 3rd June 1840.—

The Crinjolly is at present under repair—

The Crinjolly, Codelly and Calay—

The

5. The loss entailed by this step would be about Rupees 9,000, but on the principle, which as I observed above, has been allowed by Superior authority, that the fund was raised for public purposes, this amount cannot be considered as a positive loss to the public Treasury.—

Receipts for Fusly 1248	
Crinjolly Rs.	2851—"—"
Codolly	1929—"—"
Cootikole	206—"—"
Calay	2125—"—"
	7111—"—"
Estimated loss by people avoiding Ferries in the vicinity of the Bridges	2000—"—"—
Total Rs.	9111—"—"

6. The whole amount received in Malabar on account of the Ferry Farm is on an average of 20 years, as Rupees 36,485 per annum. Deducting from this Rupees 9000 there will remain something more than Rupees 27000, a sum which, if permitted to be laid out for the

Fort St George 15th April 1841

the purpose for which it was originally designed would soon render Malabar one of the most favored Countries under the Madras Presidency as far as the means of communication are concerned But the expenditure would be by no means too great, the extended Highways traversing the whole district and the many passes leading to the upper Countries of Coorg Wynaad and the Neilgherries, would absorb a considerable sum a large portion might be employed in carrying out the wishes of the Court of Directors as expressed in their letter of 5th September 1838, by substituting Bridges, wherever it might be found practicable for the Ferry Boats now in use and the residue could be most advantageously employed in improving and perfecting the inland water communications which as the Board are aware might be made to extend through the whole length of Malabar.—

Malabar Collector's Office, Calicut 22nd March 1841 } /Signed/ H.V. Conolly Collector

Order thereon.- Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

(Read again in Board 29th April)

51-52

Ex Bd R

To

Fort St George 15th April 1841

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir,

53

From the Collr of Malabar— submitting further explanation regarding the case of Moosa who claims a refund of Rs 765 paid by him for certain land sold by public auction but of which he was never put in possession.—

In consequence of the instructions conveyed in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings under date the 6th Ult.mo I called on the representative of Ratchin (Ratchen himself being dead) to shew cause why the purchase money paid by Moosa should not be recovered from him.—

2. The enclosed answer contains the reasons which in his opinion should absolve him from the necessity of refunding this money.- They are well stated and I doubt if the demand could be enforced.- There is much reason no doubt in the Arguments which he brings forward to shew that Moosa has no claim

claim strictly speaking to the interference of the Government in his behalf - but the man has clearly suffered a considerable loss - I believe from what I have seen of him, more in consequence of his ignorance, than anything else - It is for the Board to decide as to whether under the circumstances of the case, he is thought worthy of favorable consideration -

Malabar Collr's Office Calicutt 23d March 1841.

Signed / H. V. Conolly.
Collector

To,

H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar

The Arzee of Kalinguel Raricken -

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of the order dated the 26th February last requiring me, as the surviving heir of Raricken, to repay into the Treasury Rupees 765, the Amount which was realized and paid to him by the sale, at

at public Auction of the Defendants Garden and house, in satisfaction of the Provincial Courts Decree in Suit No. 1 of 1821, wherein Raricken was Plaintiff and Ponmanichendagatha Coonjey Poker Defendant in consequence of Moosa the person who purchased the said property and paid the Amount not having been put in possession of it in consequence of its having been subsequently ascertained that the property could not be legally sold -

In reply I beg to state as follows -

1st The abovementioned property was sold on the 8th / 20th Methconem / June 1000 / 1825 from which time up to his death, Raricken was not aware of any obstacle concerning the sale of the said property, nor was I in any way aware of it until now -

2dly It is now sixteen years since the property in question was sold -

If

If any one had objection to the sale or if Moosa, who purchased the property at the Auction, was not put in possession of it, he ought, at that time or soon after, to have had recourse to the Provincial Court, the sale having been made pursuant to the Decree and order of that Court, and had such a measure been adopted, the matter would have been settled at the time, but it was not done, nor was there any claims brought forward by any one when the Proclamation, notifying the sale of the property was issued up.

3rdly. When a property purchased at an auction, it is the duty of the purchaser to take measures to put himself in possession of such property and

his

had not having done so at the time, is therefore attributable to his own neglect. Moreover, I am informed that agreeably to a Circular order from the Sudr Adaw- lut dated the 11th October 1827 a sale regularly made, cannot be annulled by a summary investigation, and that the only mode of annulling it would be by a Decree obtained in a regular Civil Suit, I therefore beg leave to state, that it will be a great grievance to me, if I am to refund the money as the heir of Kariechin, before it is determined by a Court of Law whether the sale is annulled or not.

4th. Of the 8,000 and odd Rupees to be recovered according to the Decree above alluded to 1,3,000 and odd Rupees only have been realized partly in property purchased by the Complainant at the Auction and partly in Cash being proceeds sale of property purchased by others

it

5497 Fort St. George 15th April 1841

it will therefore be observed that there still a large amount to be recovered.-

Date 24th Koombum 1016.

Signature.

/True translation/

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly

Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before Government for orders the correspondence with the Collr. 8th Jany. in Cons. 4th Feby. 1841. Proceedings of the Board. 4th Feby. from the Collr. 26 Jany. in Cons. 4th March. 15th April. the Collector of Malabar noted in the margin having reference to the case of Moosa who purchased at a Government sale about sixteen years since certain landed property, paid the purchase money Rupees 765 but was never put in possession and who now claims either to have the purchase money returned or to be put in possession of the land.-

2- The facts of the case are succinctly stated

53-54

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Para 1-

Compd. & R.

5498 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

stated in the enclosure submitted with Govr. Conolly's letter of the 8th January last, and it therefore need not be recapitulated here. The money it will be seen was handed over to Ramichep on whose account the land was sold by precept of the Courts of Adalut- This man is dead and his representative refuses to refund the purchase money.

3 It is the money in question was not received on account of Government nor carried to their credit, and as in fact Government had no interest whatever in the transaction, the Board feel themselves precluded from adopting Govr. Conolly's suggestion to repay the amount to Moosa with interest, but they desire me to lay the Circumstances of the case before Government with a view, if practicable, to steps being taken to obtain the Petitioner redress by a representation to the Judges of the Sudr Adalut.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 15th April 1841.

(Signed) S. B. Smollett. Secretary

Read

5499 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

55

From the Collr of Madras &c.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 26th Ultimo, I have the honor to report for their information, that there are now in this office certain old Scales with Beams &c., which in their present state, are unserviceable. I have made arrangements for the repairing of some by Contract, and for the supply of the rest of the materials required for the completion of 6 at an expense of Rupees 154-8-0. There is another Set of Scales which I can procure immediately for Rupees 45, with 2 Sets of Small weights for Rupees 28, Some old weights remaining in Store, which are not available for the purpose, require to be adjusted, the estimated expense of this is Rupees 25. I beg leave to request that the necessary Sanction may be procured for my defraying the whole of the Charges referred to above, according to the particulars specified

5500 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Enclosure A. Specified in the enclosed Statement, amounting to Rupees 252-8-0, and I would solicit orders on the subject as speedily as possible, as Scales &c. will be required probably before the above can be got ready.

Madras, 7th April 1841.

I have &c.
Signed J. A. Robertson.
Collector.

Memorandum

5501 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

A Memorandum of Expenses proposed to be incurred in completing 6 sets of Scales and Weights.

	Rs.	a.	p.
To 1 Set of Scales complete without Weights proposed to be sold by Gopaiah Chetty	45	"	"
To 4 Pairs of Scale Boards of 2½ feet Sq. and 2 Inches thick with 3 iron bounds to each to be supplied on contract by Caleyana Maistry	42	"	"
To 5 Triangles of 13 feet in height by 8 inches diameter proposed to be Do. by Do.	87	8	"
To purchase 1 Chest of Cordage for the Scales	25	"	"
To Do. 2 Sets of Small Weights of 28-14-7-4-2-1 ½ lb. in each at 14 Rupees per Set	28	"	"
To Do. 9 Maunds Lead, Charcoal & Cooly hire for adjusting ½ half lbs. Wt. now in the Sayer Department	25	"	"
Total Rupees	252	8	"

Madras, 17th April 1841 (Signed) J. Robertson
Collector.

Order

5502 Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence:-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

55-56

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the consideration and orders of Government the Correspondence noted in the margin:

From the Collector of Madras 15th Jan. Cons. 18th March 1841.
Proceedings 25th Do.
From the Collector 7th Cons. 13th April

relating reference to the supply of Scales and weights to the Collector of Madras required for weighing Salt for exportation to Bengal.

2 As these cannot be supplied from the Ordnance Department, and as their want is urgently felt, the Board request that sanction be granted for the expense proposed to be incurred in Completing 6 Sets of Scales and weights Amounting, as shewn in the Memorandum submitted with Mr. Robertson's letter of the 7th April, to Rupees 252-8-0.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 15th April 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

The

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

The Board proceed to take into
Consideration the Statements noted below
record their Remarks thereon -

57 From the Principal Collector of South Arcot } Statement of

58 Charges incurred without Estimate for fasly 125
From the Principal Collector of South Arcot } Statement of

59 Charges incurred under Estimate for fasly 125
From the Principal Collector of South Arcot } Statement of

Charges incurred under Estimate for fasly
on account of advances for Cultivation
for the manufacture of Salt -

57-60 Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements } Ordered that the
necessary remarks of the Board be inscri
in the proper Columns of the Statements
submitted for the orders of Government

61 From the Principal Collector of Nellore Statemen
of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rup
each incurred in the 2d Quarter of fasly 125

62 From the Collector of Cuddapah Statement of Contingent
Charges

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred
the 2d Quarter of fasly 1250 -

63 From the Principal Collector of Tanjore - Statement of
Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each
incurred in the 2d Quarter of fasly 1250 -

64 From the Principal Collector and Magistrate of Coimbatore - Statement of
Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees
each incurred in the 2d Quarter of fasly 1250 -

65 From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore - Statement of
Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees
each incurred in the 2d Quarter of fasly 1250 -

61-66 Order on the 5 abovementioned Statements } Ordered to be
Sanctioned accordingly

Read the undermentioned Registers -

67 From the Collector of Vizagapatam - Register of Petitions
bearing the Board's endorsements presented
to him in the Month of March last -

68 From the Principal Collector of Nellore - Register of Petitions
bearing the Board's endorsements presented
to him in the Month of February last -

Register

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

69

From the Principal Collector of Madura - Registe
of Petitions bearing the Board's endorse
-ments presented to him in the Month of March la

67-70

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers - Ordered to be lodge

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

71

From the Accountant General - Indent on the Commis
General for Sundry articles for the use of
the General Department of his office
for the Month of April last -

72

From the Accountant General - Indent on the Commissary
General for Sundry Articles for the use of the
Revenue Department of his office for the
Month of April last -

73

From the Deputy Accountant General - Indent o
the Commissary General o
for Sundry articles for the
use of the Military Departmen
for the Month of April last -

71-74

Order on the 3 abovementioned Indents - Order
that the original Indents be passed and returned

Fort St. George 15th April 1841.

75

From the Collector of Cuddapah - Statement showing
the duty disposed of on certain articles for
the Month of March last -

76

From the Collector of Masulipatam - Stating that no
Cases of Suspension or removal of persons
holding hereditary Village offices in the
Revenue and Police Departments have
occurred during the Month of March last -

77

From the Collector of Masulipatam - Stating that no
Cases of Confinement of Revenue defaulters
or Casualties or release of defaulters
have occurred during the Quarter
ending 31st March last -

78

From the Collector of Masulipatam - Stating that
the returns of persons charged with offences
under Regulation IX of 1822 for March
last is not sent, there being
no entry to make -

79

From the Collector of Masulipatam - Stating that the
Lungs of persons convicted and in Confinement
under

under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of March last is not sent, there being no entry to make.

80 From the Principal Collector of Madura } Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of the public servants from 1st January to 31st March 1841.

81 From the Principal Collector of Madura } Stating that the periodical returns are not sent, there being no entry to make.

82 From the Principal Collector of Salem } Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent of 1840/41 from 24th to 31st March 1841.

83 From the Principal Collector of Salem } Statement of goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of March 1841.

84 From the Principal Collector of Salem } Statement shewing the goods on which double and treble duty has been levied for February 1841.

83-85 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements } Ordered that Confiscation and sale of the Smuggled goods and the levy of double duty enumerated in the Statements be authorized.

86 From the Principal Collector of Tanjore } Salt account Current from 12th July to 31st December 1840.

87 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly } Return of persons Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for February last.

88 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly } Acknowledging the receipt of 800 Salt [illegible]

5599 Fort St. George 15th April 1841

From the Collector of Vizagapatam stating that
(89) fines were imposed on Messengers made from the
pay of the public Servants for the quarter ending
31st March last and amounting to Memoran
-dum Servant discharged whose pay exceed
28 Rupees per Mensem.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam stating that
(90) are no Revenue defaulters confined in the
Jail for the quarter ending 31st March last

Secretary

G.D. Lang

H. Macleod

Comptr