120

1739

B Vol

1739

1841 Vol

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 11th January 1841. — John Dent Esq.re Senior Member
Walter Elliot Esq.re Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esq.re Temporary Member

John Sullivan Esq.re Acting Second Mem.r absent on duty.-

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th and entered in Consultation 24th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following Letters be sent in consequence.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

To the Chief Sec.t to Government.- — Para 1. — I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Consultation under date the 19th ultimo, desiring farther information in reference to the disposal of the Cardamoms for which the Hill proprietors in Malabar receive payment and the net results of the Farm for the year during which those Charges were incurred.

2 In reply, the Board direct me to submit the correspondence noted in the Margin, which will furnish full information on the several Points to which the enquiries of Government are directed.

3 Mr. Thompson's letter of the 12th February last shows at one view the result of the Cardamom Farm in Aumanie for Fusly 1248, the net profit on the year is entered in the Statement which accompanied that communication at Rupees 64-58-2-7.

4 Government are aware that the Aumanie Management of the Cardamom Cultivation has only been had recourse to from the inadequate offers received for

From the Pr. Coll. 28th Augt. 1830 in Cont. 19th Septr. Bd. Proc. 19th Septr.
From Ad. Pr. Coll. 12th Feby. 1840 in Cont. 27th Dec.

for its rent in the two past years owing to the very depreciated value of this article of commerce in the markets of India. The Board of Revenue regret to state that, as will be seen from Mr. Conolly's letter of the 30th November last, the endeavour to let the Farm during the present season has not succeeded.

Mad. Cons. 30th Nov. 1840

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

To
The Acting Principal Collector of
Malabar.

Sir

2

To the Ad. Pr. Coll. of Malabar.

Adverting to your letter of the 14th November last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that Government under date the 19th ultimo have been pleased to sanction the Charges exhibited in the Statement which accompanied your communication on account of the Cardamom Farm in Aumanie for Fusly 1248, and amounting to Rupees 36461-11-6.

Mad. Cons. 30th Nov. 1840

Mad. Cons. 24th Dec.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read

Read the following Extracts

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 19th December 1840.

3

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Reven.

(Here enter 3d December 1840, No. 474)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions, under the explanation furnished by the Collector of Tinnevelly the charge incurred in printing Bills of exchange and letters of advice in that District amounting to Rupees 11-2 eleven and annas two.

His Lordship in Counc approves and sanctions the proposition co tained in the concluding paragraph.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H.S. Chamier Chief Secretary

3-4 Order thereon

(Read again in Cons. 18th June)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

From the Chief Sec.y to Govt.

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 21st December 1840.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenues.

(Here enter 19th November 1840. No. 438)

The Right Honorable the Governor

Governor in Council sanctions the grant of the lands referred to in the above letter in lieu of the endowment authorized under date the 8th July 1836 for the support of the Choultry at Chittamputty which is hereby cancelled provided there be no more cultivable waste available to be given in lieu of the 11-13/4 Cawnies as represented by the Principal Collector to be under cultivation.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H.S. Chamier Chief Secretary

5-6 Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation with transcript of the letter on which it is founded be furnished to the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to Mr. Blackburne's communication of the 19th October last.

7

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the Districts of Nellore for Fasly 1248.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th December 1840.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. (Here enter 12th November 1840 No. 437)

1. The nature of the season

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

of Fusly 1248 appears to have been not unlike that of the year preceding. The sup-plies of rain received at its commencemen were scanty and continued so until the s-ting in of the North East Monsoon when the falls are stated to have been very heavy. The result is seen in the decreas- extent of land brought under cultivation deficiency of produce from some of the crops and in a considerable increase under the head of remissions principally for lan left waste in this as compared with fusly 1247.

Paras 8 & 9.

2- The prices of grain of all sorts were considerably higher than in the Fusly preceding owing to the scarcity that prevailed in other districts.-

3 The settlement was conducted in the usual manner, one Village Vedavalore was transferred to the head of Mr. Smalley's Muctahs. The arrangements concluded by the Principal Col-lector with a portion of the ryots of this and two other Villages as noticed in Paras 3 and 4 of the Board's proceedings are approved.-

His

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

4 His Lordship in Council is also of opinion with the Board of Revenue that the explanation submitted in the present report regarding the increased rate of assess-ment fixed on certain lands in the Village of Lutchmarauzepollom is satisfactory.-

5. The net amount of Ryotwar Settlement was Rupees 11,76,045- 4 To this must be added Rupees 7,47,610-8-9 the aggre-gate of the several sources particularized in the Para noted in the Margin, and the total demand for land Revenue will amount to 19,23,655-9-1- or Rupees 25594-3-2- less than that of the year preceding. The items of in-crease and decrease from which this result was obtained and which must be entirely attributed to the unpropitious nature of the season are shewn in the Statement to parag-

Fusly 1247. Rs 12,12018-5-4

17.

6 Of the Ryotwar settlement and ready money revenue Amounting in ag-gregate to 13.55284-10-6 there remained outstanding at the close of the Fusly Rupees 62,187-14-5 subsequent collections up to

the

the middle of October left a balance
£ 5205-8- due in the principal and
Rs 38565-12-10 Sub. 6639-11-2 divisions in the proportions noted in the
gin. For the realization of the current Rys
war Revenue of Fusslies 1247 and 1248 the
Para 18 result is slightly in favor of the latter
7. The balance due from
mindaries and Shrotriems the 15th October was
Rupees 51508-5-10 and 11791-1-6 respectively
Of the former sum however all but Rupees
3,961-5-10 has it is stated since been rea
ed. His Lordship in Council will be
glad to have before him so soon as rec
ed the report which the Principal C
lector has promised to submit to the
Board relative to the Shrotriem rever
in his district.

8 The explanation su
mitted by the Board on the observa
tions of the Honorable Court of Direct

Comp. J. R.

Para 6 the variety of Systems pursued in the annual Settlement of the Salem district is deemed satisfactory and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council entirely approves of their having directed the Principal Collector's attention to the expediency of a general survey and trusts that officer will transmit his report at as early a date as possible to enable them to decide on measures for effecting the uniformity of System which the Honorable Court are so desirous of seeing introduced.

9. The Right Honorable the
12,13,14,15&20 Governor in Council approves generally of
the observations of the Board of Revenue
on the several subjects noticed in the paras
noted in the Margin

His Lordship in Council has
10
observed with satisfaction a decrease in
22-23. the percentage of the receipts on
account of charges in this as compared
with the preceding year and considers
with the Board that the result of the
management by Mr. Stonhouse of

the

the Nellore district is highly creditable to him Mr. Stonhouse's private attention to all the details of the revenue administration confided to him has on former instances attracted the notice of Government, and is observed to have been unremitting, notwithstanding the great addition to his labours which on recent investigations in the Secret and Political Departments have occasioned. He notes moreover with approbation the favourable testimony borne by that Officer to the services of his Sub Collector Mr. Mathison and Head Assistant Mr. Purvis and of the native Officers noticed by him

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H.P. Chaanier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon, Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the minutes of Consultation be forwarded for information to the Principal Collector of Nellore with reference to the Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of that District for fasly 1248 under date the 12th November last.

Extract

7-8

9

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Communicating orders on certain points connected with the affairs of the choondy Zemindary in Nellore reported upon in the Board's letter of the 12 Novr last and authorizing them to take the Estate under their charge as a Court of Wards also desiring that the Reports on the settlement of future years be submitted to Govt as soon as possible after they are receipt in the Board's office

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 24th December 1840

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Here enter 12th November 1840 No 4.35)

His Lordship in Council

Para 1. after a due consideration of the subject is not satisfied of the expediency of the proposed inquiry into the Enams held by private individuals in the Choondy Zemindary from dates antecedent to 1801. being as recommended by the Board of Revenue intrusted to a Committee composed of Native Officers and is of opinion that the original propositions of Mr. Stonhouse for subjecting each Enam on the death of the incumbents to an investigation by the European Authorities, as being more feasible of execution as well as more satisfactory in its results is far preferable. As observed by that Officer it is not yet certain but that most, if not all the grants will be continued In the event however of the resumption of any and of the realization of the Revenue from them under the management of the Officers of

of Government proving inconvenient, a remedy can be easily found. In the meantime the Board of Revenue are desired to issue instructions to the Principal Collector in accordance with these views and to propose and submit to Government for approval draft of a summad. Milket i Istemrar in the name of the Minor Zemindar Mootooraujee.

2. As recommended by the Board of Revenue the Right Honorable Governor in Council directs that the total amount of the several sums which were set apart and tendered to the two individuals, whose names are entered in the Margin in liquidation of debts and arrears of allowances due to them but which they have refused to accept be immediately credited to the Estate and that the same course be pursued with regard to the allowances authorized to be paid to Veriabudranaidoo in the event of his failing to apply for them within the period of six Months.

+ 1 Vuzzala Subbadembattlu
2. Chundy Ankapanaidoo

3 The scale of allowances fixed for the relatives of the Zemindar

amounting

amounting in aggregate to Rupees 215 per mensem or Rupees 2580. per annum is approved and sanctioned. But His Lordship in Council is of opinion that the Guardian is not competent to assign Land in lieu of them and that such an arrangement during the minority at least, is objectionable.

4. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorized the assumption by the Board as Court of Wards of the Management of the Zemindary on behalf of Mootooraujee and the appointment of C. Bungaroo Venkappah Naidoo as his Guardian and being of opinion that under section VIII of Regulation V of 1804 the nomination of a person to the Office of Manager is indispensable he desires that the Court will instruct the Principal Collector to select and recommend a duly qualified individual for that situation without delay. His Lordship in Council is further pleased to sanction the allowance of the Minor being raised as proposed

by

by Mr Monkhouse to Rupees 100 per mensem
The increase in question will commence
from the 31st October 1839.

5. The Board of Revenue
have it is observed failed to offer any opinion on the proposition contained
in paras 6 and 7 of the Principal Collectors
report dated 24th March 1840 which accompanies the Proceedings now under
review for relieving the Cuttoobady pions
and Village servants in this Zemindary
from the payment of an annual Beydega fixed according to certain rates
on their Enam lands. The Beydega in
question is stated to be payable to Government and not to the Zemindar
having been excluded from the assets
on which the Peishcush was recently fixed as its remission would in the
opinion of the Principal Collector tend greatly

Para 8 of P. Coll.r letter dated 8th Augt 1840

greatly to the improvement of the country
and the people by furnishing a stimulus to exertion and as a very
trifling loss in point of Revenue would
be thereby entailed on Government, His
Lordship in Council desires to have
before him the sentiments of the
Board on the subject at as early a
period as possible.

6. The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council has perused
the report submitted on the Settlement of this Estate for the fusles

1246-1247-1248

noted in the Margin. Although the
nature of the season of fusly 1247
alone was ordinarily favourable
while

by Mr. Stonhouse to Rupees 100 per mensem
The increase in question will commence
from the 31st October 1839.

5. The Board of Revenue have it is observed failed to offer any opinion on the proposition contained in paras 6 and 7 of the Principal Collectors report dated 24th March 1840 which accompanies the Proceedings now under review for relieving the Cuttoobady from and Village servants in this Zemindary from the payment of an annual Beydega fixed according to certain rates on their Enam lands. The Beydega in question is stated to be payable to Government and not to the Zemindar having been excluded from the Assets on which the Peishcush was recently fixed. as its remission would in the opinion of the Principal Collector tend greatly

Para 5 of Pr. Colls letter dated 8th Augt 1840

greatly to the improvement of the country and the people by furnishing a stimulus to exertion and as a very trifling loss in point of Revenue would be thereby entailed on Government, His Lordship in Council desires to have before him the sentiments of the Board on the subject at as early a period as possible.

6. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has perused the report submitted on the Settlement of this Estate for the fusslies noted in the Margin. Although the nature of the season of fusly 1247.

1246-1247-1248

alone was ordinarily favourable while

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

while that of the other two was decided unpropitious. it is satisfactory to observe that the Government Peshcush has on each occasion been realized in full. and that at the close of the period to which the latest report relates there remained a considerable surplus after the payment of all expenses in hand on account of the Estate.

7. It is desirable that the reports on the settlements of future years should be submitted to Government as soon as possible after their receipt into the Board's office.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

Comp. Dy. Sec. R.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

9-10

Proceedings transmitting to the Pl. Coll. of Nellore for his information and guidance — Copy of a letter from the Board to Government regarding the Affairs of the Choondy Zemindary with Copy of the orders of Govt. thereon and desiring him to submit draft of a proposed Melkist Istemrar Cistemrar on the name of the Minor Zemindar of Mootturaugy.

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

thereon — Para 1. Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultations with copy of the letter to the Chief Secretary to Government on which it is founded under date the 12th November last be furnished to the Principal Collector of Nellore for his information and guidance with reference to that Officer's communication of the 24th August last —

2. Mr. Stonhouse will be pleased accordingly to give effect to the orders of Government on the several points to which reference is made in the Resolutions forwarded with these Proceedings, and will submit at his convenience Draft of a Sunnud-i-melkeut Istemrar, in the name of the Minor Zemindar of Choondy Mootturaugy —

3. On the subject of the proposed remission of the annual Beydeya to the Cuttoobady Peons and Village servants of the Choondy Zemindary the

the Board of Revenue would wish to be furnished hereafter with more accurate information of the possible actual sacrifice of Revenue that would be occasioned by the extension of the measure to all Aldees of Enams as well as to the Cuttoobady Pences when this is received they will lose no time in obtaining the final orders of Government on the point under reference.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th. December 1840.

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

(11)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 3d. December 1840. No. 476.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not disposed to alter the rule which requires that the Rists

Rists should be realized as they become due, but he observes that the Collector must forbear occasionally, when such forbearance will benefit all parties. probably, the limitation prevailing in Salem will be found well adopted for the Singleput District, although at times it may be found necessary to depart from it.—

(A true Extract.)
(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(11-12)

Order thereon— (Read again in Cons. 28th Jany.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Read the following resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th December 1840.

(From Bd. 19th Nov.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees no objection to the Rules framed by the Board of Revenue for the Yeomiah Department, and accordingly sanctions them, and desires they may be communicated to the several Revenue authorities for their guidance.

(13.)

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

(13-14)

Order thereon— (Read again in Cons. 18th Inst.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Extract

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th January 1841.

(From Bd. 17th Decr.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the Rajahmundry Collectorate the loss which occurred by wastage &c. in the supply of seed grain stored and issued to Ryots in Fasly 1249. amounting to Rupees (206-11-7½) Two hundred and six, and pice seven.

(15)

(Signed) H. Chamier Chief Secretary.

Order thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing resolutions and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be transmitted to the Collector of Rajahmundry, for his information and guidance.

(15-16)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th January 1841.

(From Board 14th Decr.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government. The Commissary General will be pleased to comply with the Indent received with the above

(17)

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

above letter.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon. Ordered that the Collector of Rajahmundry be acquainted that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to authorize the Commissary General to comply with the Indent submitted by him for one set of scales, for the use of his Department.

(17-18)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th January 1841.

(From Board 14th Decr.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges reported in the above letter amounting to Rupees (38-13-4) Thirty eight, Annas thirteen and pice four.

(19)

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(19-20)

To the Collector of Ballary.

To the Collr. of Ballary. in Cons. 11th January 1841.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the Government under date the 5th Instant were pleased to sanction the charge incurred in carrying the left wing of H.M. 41st Regt. over the Cauvery at Trivellore, amounting as shewn in your letter of the 28th Novr. last to Rupees 38-13-4.

(Signed) P. Bn. Smollett.
Secy.

in Cons. 14th Decr. 1840.

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George, 11th January 1841.

Read

Read the following resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation — dated 5th January 1841.

(From Nellore 28th Novr. in Cons. 17th Decr.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government — The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the continuance of the service yeomiah of Rupees (7-15-1) seven, annas fifteen and pice one, per Mensem, enjoyed by the late Seerajooddeen, to his sons Goolam Hoosain and Mahomed yoosuff, from the date of the former's decease.—

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

21

Order thereon — Ordered that Copy of the Board's Proceedings of the 17th December, and Extract from the foregoing Minutes of Consultation thereon be furnished to the Principal Collector of Nellore for information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 28th November.—

21-22

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th January 1841.

(From Bd. 14th Decr.)

From the Chief Secretary to Government — The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Course of proceedings recommended in

23

in the above letter, in respect to the lands of Appoo Perumalinga, and grants authority for the balance deed thereon, amounting to Rupees (28-10-7) twenty eight, annas ten and pice seven, being written off to Profit and Loss.—

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Resolution thereon — Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Resolutions and of the letter on which it is founded, be furnished to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance, with reference to Mr. Freese's letter of the 19th November last.—

23-24

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th January 1841.

(From Board 14th Decr.)

From the Chief Secy. to Government — The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the payment of the arrears of yeomiah allowance reported in the above letter amounting to Rupees (623-5-2) six hundred and twenty three, annas five and pice two.

25

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Order

Fort St George 11th January 1841.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.—

To the Principal Collector in the

Northern Division of Arcot.

To the Pl. Coll.r in the Nn. Dn. of Arcot. } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

(25-26) Revenue to acquaint you that Government under date the 5th Instant have been pleased to sanction payment of the arrears of yearly allowances to Ameendahiba and Syed Mohideen, to Padeka Beebee and to Rajoo Beebee, amounting in the aggregate, as shewn in your letter of

Dr. Cons. 1st Dec.r 1840. the 20th November last, to Rupees 623-5-2.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th January 1841. } (Signed) D. B. Smollett Secretary.

Read the following letter—

(27) To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

From the Accountt General.— Requesting information of the result of the reference made by the Board to the Collr of Tinnevelly for the purpose of ascertaining the

Gentlemen. Para 1. With reference to para 5. of the letter to Government from your Secretary dated the 20th ultimo, and to the Extract from the Minutes of Government of the 8th Instant, No. 1363.— I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to favor me with information of the result of the reference made

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

the wishes of the American Cotton Planters as to the time and place at which the sums due to each on the 30th Ultimo should be paid.—

made by your Board to the Collector of Tinnevelly for the purpose of ascertaining the wishes of the American Cotton planters as to the time and place at which the sums due to each on the 30th Ultimo, as shewn in your Secretary's Memorandum No. 1 (after deducting the advances they have received) should be paid, with a view to the necessary instructions being issued from this Department to the Officer who may be required to discharge the Balances in question, for the credit of the recoveries of the advances in question under the proper heads in the public accounts.—

I take this opportunity to observe

2d.

that in the Note entered at the foot of the Memorandum No. 1. above referred to, the "Amount recovered up to November 1840." is entered at £328 instead of £328-9-4. which latter is the total of the three items deducted in the above Memorandum in part of the advances received in England, and the amount of "Balance to be recovered." is entered in that Note at £171-11-8. instead of £171-10-8.—

Fort St. George Accts Genl's Office 19th December 1840. } (Signed) L. Crawford. Accountant General.

(27-28) Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.— Read

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Read again letter from the Collector of Ganjam dated 23d. November and entered in Consultation 24th ultimo. —

Proceedings thereon. Para 1 Under the circumstances setforth in Para 4 of the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue are pleased to sanction the subdivision accounts submitted by Mr. Bannerman of the Coomsy Estate as shewn in Statement No 2. under which the Village of Kurredapadra proposed to be separated is assessed with a permanent Peishcush of Rupees 1,210-14-0 per annum and the remaining portion, with a Barij of Rupees 4,789-2-0 a year. —

(29)

2. This subdivision is sanctioned under the impression that by it the Dewan of the Court of Adalat will be satisfied; but Mr. Bannerman will see from the accompanying Petition from Triplicane Venkatasondal Row, that the arrangement is not agreeable with his wishes. —

No 1904 of 1840.

3. In the event of the transfer being completed the Collector will take the necessary steps for recovering the arrears of Government demands still due on the whole Estate. —

Read

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Vizagapatam

I have the honor to submit copy and translation of a letter to my address from the Zemindar of Bobilly requesting to be supplied with 80 muskets on his paying for the same.

(30)

Should the Board see no objection they will be so good as to submit this application for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Vizagapatam District, Vizeanagram, Govt. Agent's Offices 8th December 1840.

[Signed] W. W. Arbuthnot.
Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George.

A

Translation of a letter from the Zemindar of Bobily to W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre. Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam. dated 21st. September 1840.

The muskets obtained by my father the late Rajah in the year 1829 from the Government through Mr. Gardiner then Collector having become old and unserviceable I expressed my wish to obtain 80 more by a letter on the 10th February 1839 at Palacondah

but

but the same not being granted. I reminded you on the 27th. February 1840 at the above place when you was pleased to say that you would write to the Government and obtain them. Being sick by your favor I am in need of them for the protection of my property and life as also for occasionally acting against Rebels & enemies of the Government, and consequently pray you will write to the Government and get me 60 Large muskets, 12 of light Infantry and 8 of the kind used by Havildars total Eight, with Pouches &c. accoutrements, receiving the reasonable prices from me.-

[Signed] thus &c.
True Copy and Translation.
[Signed] W. M. Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor
of Fort St. George

Order thereon.

(30-31)

Ordered that the foregoing letter with its enclosure, be submitted to Government as suggested, with the Board's recommendation that the Memorialist's Bobilly's application, for 60 Muskets may be complied with, on his paying for the same.

Read

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(32)

Sir,

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Copies marked A
From the Jr. Judge at Rajahmundry
Dated 1st. Instant
& 2d. & 8th. Do.
The Enclosure of the Jr. Judge's letter being the Proceedings of Sudder Court of 27th. October 1838.
forms enclosure No. 2 of my letter of the 8th. of 11th. Novr. 1838.

With reference to Para 2 of my letter of the 25th. Ultimo, I have the honor to submit a further correspondence with the Acting Judge of Rajahmundry regarding the sale of Ansuntah Estate.-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collr's Office, Cocanada,
8th. October 1840.

[Signed] G. A. Smith.
Collector

A

To The Collector of
Rajahmundry.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 17th. instant stating that under the existing Regulations you do not consider yourself authorized to consent to the Sub division of the Ansuntah estate and that it will be accordingly sold, and the correspondence that

that has taken place regarding it & submitted to the Board of Revenue along with the sale report who will have it in their power to withhold their confirmation, should you have taken a wrong view of the Regulations; I have the honor to forward herewith copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudr Adalut under date 29th October 1838. held on a reference made to them by the late Judge Mr. Thomas on your noticing the same objection on a former occasion, and trust that you will now have no objection to comply with the request contained in my letter of the 15th Instant.-

Rajahmundry Zillah Court 1st October 1840. } Signed W. Dawdeswell. Jr. Judge

To The Acting Judge in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the

the receipt of your letter of the 1st instant forwarding Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudr Adalut dated 29th October 1838 in the case of the Rajahmundry Estate, and instancing them as a precedent for stopping the sale of the Ajeuntah one.-

Vide your letters of 14th & 15th Septr 1840.

2 In reply I beg leave to state that the Ajeuntah Estate was put up to sale by me on 18th September 1840 and purchased for Government for Rupees 24,000 being Rupees 34,598-4-2. below the actual Demand against it, and the whole correspondence submitted to the Board of Revenue to whom the present has also been sent.-

Arrears. Rupees A. P.
Principal 5,000-0-2
Interest 5897-14-0
Charge 1698-0-0
Total 58,598-4-2

3 In the Proceedings of the Sudr Adalut instanced by you they most distinctly stated that under Regulation XXVI of 1802 Section V. it was in the power of the Board of Revenue to have ordered the sale of the whole Estate for the arrear, but as it had been subdivided and the balance had

Vide Correspondence regarding Rajahmundry Estate. From the Adulet Court at Rajah. Dr. 12th July 1838 To Dr. 29th Augt Dr. From Dr. 15th Novr Dr. Vide return of 29th Dr. Dr.

that has taken place regarding it & submitted to the Board of Revenue along with the sale report who will have it in their power to with hold their confirmation, should you have taken a wrong view of the Regulations. I have the honor to forward herewith copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudr Adalut under date 29th October 1838. held on a reference made to them by the late Judge Mr. Thomas on your motion & the same objection on a former occasion, and trust that you will now have no objection to comply with the request contained in my letter of the 15th Instant.-

Rajahmundry Zillah Court 1st October 1840. } Signed W. Dawdeswell. Jr. Judge

To The Acting Judge in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the

the receipt of your letter of the 1st instant forwarding Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudr Adalut dated 29th October 1838 in the case of the Rajahmundry Estate, and instancing them as a precedent for stopping the sale of the Ajeantah one.-

Vide your letters of 14th & 15th Septr 1840.

2 In reply I beg leave to state that the Ajeantah Estate was put up to Sale by me on 18th September 1840. and purchased for Government for Rupees 24,000 being Rupees 34,598-4-2. below the actual Demand against it, and the whole correspondence submitted to the Board of Revenue to whom the present has also been sent.-

Arrears. Cha & Ps
Principal 5,002-0-2
Interest 6897-14-0
Charge 1698-0-0
Total 58,598-4-2

3 In the Proceedings of the Sudr Adalut instanced by you they most distinctly stated that under Regulation XXVI of 1802 Section V. it was in the power of the Board of Revenue to have ordered the sale of the whole Estate for the arrear, but as it had been subdivided and the balance had

Vide Correspondence regarding Rajahmundry Estate. From the adalut Court at Rajahd Dr 12th July 1838 To Do 29th Augt Do From Do 10th Novr Do with return of 29th Do. Do.

had been principally recovered from the sale of the portion remaining with the original Proprietor, it would not be just to persist in the sale of the portions decreed by the Court to other parties, provided those parties agreed to pay the amount necessary to make good the balance due from the whole Estate.

Gondoo Venkatarayadoo.

4 If however in the present instance there had been a chance of recovering the balance by any means than a sale, I should have been the last person to oppose it, indeed my offer to the Proprietors and actual recommendation to the Board of Revenue to allow them four years to discharge the rest of their balance if they paid one third before the day appointed for the sale, is a proof that I was willing to shew every indulgence possible. The parties complaining in Court are both relations to Cawloory Cannapah, one of the present Proprietors and also to Cocher Lacotah Lutchmeedanamah, the late Proprietor, on account of whose

1st Cawloory Paredavy Ranga & Cawloory Cannapah.

1st Seetumranze Venkatakrishnamah Claimant for the Village of Rydanee & Cocherlacotah Boochemmiah for 1/2 of the entire Estate of Ajountah.—

The 1st is the son of the eldest sister of her mother & the 2nd is the widow of her father's younger brother.

whose arrears this Estate was sold in July 1248. and if the transactions were honestly what necessity could there be of having recourse to the Court merely for the purpose of filing a Razeenamah and allowing a case to go by default. This Office could alone look to the registered Proprietors for the discharge of the public Demand and by tendering that at any moment it was in their power to procure a subdivision of the Estate, but while there was a balance outstanding no other right could be admitted.

Zillah Rajahmundry Collector's Office, Cocanada, 18th October 1840. } Signed G. A. Smith. Collector.

33

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry Original No. 1507 & 1571 of 1840.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir, Para 1.

With reference to the accompanying two Petitions presented to the Board by Cotcherlacotah Boochemmiah and Seetumranze Venkatarayadoo of Ajountah, setting forward claims to certain portions of the

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

the Afsuntah Estate. I have the honor to refer to the explanations contained in Para 6 of my letter of 25th September last.

2 Before any communication was received respecting the subdividing of this Estate, it had been advertised for sale for arrears of Public Revenue which were not realized from the whole of it even.—

Amount of arrears Sicca Rs
Principal 51002-6-2
Interest 5897-4-
Charges 1098-0-0
58598-4-2.

Deduct sale proceeds 24000-0-0

Remainder 34598-4-2.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcotta 18th Dec. 1840.

Signed G. A. Smith.
Collector

Proceedings in the two foregoing letters—

(32-34)

The sale of the Afsunta Estate having been sanctioned by Government the claims of individuals to certain portions thereof cannot now be entertained.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon Petitions Nos. 1507 & 1511 of 1840.—

The claims of the Petitioner cannot be complied with.

To

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Coll: of Rajahmundry
No. 1

With reference to the accompanying copy of a letter from the Accountant General dated 12th Instant and the orders of the Board of Revenue bearing date 23d August 1838, therein alluded to, authorizing a remission of Rupees 720-4-— being a balance due by the Renters of the Villages of Kanapurrow and Paravaley on account of Purrow and Paravaley on account of previously 1247. I have the honor to request the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the amount of remission in question.—

(35)

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah 24th December 1840

Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

A

To the Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir,

With reference to your reply dated

dated 18th ultimo to the Memorandum of Remarks on your Accounts from 1st Novem= =ber 1839 to 30th April 1840 No 4 and to the copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23d August 1838 therewith forwarded. I have the honor to observe, that the Extract in question does not contain the authority of Govern= =ment for writing off to Remissions in your Accounts the sum of Company's Rupees 720-4-- due by the renters of the Villages of Cankarapurroo and Para= =vaty therein alluded to, I therefore request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government in support of the amount written off to "Remissions" in the adjustment and closing entries of your Books for 1839/40 Page 193 and furnish this office with a Copy thereof at your earliest convenience.—

Fort St. George
Accountt. Genl's Office
12th December 1840

(Signed) J. S. Crawford
Accountt. Genl.

(True Copy)
(Signd) G. A. Smith
Collr.

Ordered

Ordered that the follow= =ing address be submitted together with the foregoing letter—

To the Chief Secretary to Government—

Sir

To the Chief Secy to Government—

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry with its enclosure and obtain sanction for writing off a sum of Rupees 720-4-0 being a remission granted under the Board's authority to certain Renters of the Villages of Cankarapurroo and Paravaty on account of fusly 1247—

(35-36)

24th Decr 1840 in Cons 11th Jany 1841—

This amount was ordered to be remitted on Mr Smith's report that from excavations made by the Officers of Government certain lands of the value indicated had been rendered unfit for cultivation during the currency of the Renters lease. The Collectors original letter with

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam 8 inst.s 23d Augt 1837
Proceedings 23d Augt

with Extract from the Board's proceedings thereon, are now submitted for the consideration of Government-

Revenue Board office
Fort St George
11th January 1841-

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read the following letter-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(37)

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Reporting the result of the arrangements entered into for the liquidation of balances due from certain Estates advertised for sale as reported to the Board on the 9th September 1840-

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to my letter under date the 9th September last, recommending that the Estates noted in the Margin should be attached and sold unless the Defaulters should have immediately paid the whole amount of their current balances, I have the honor to acquaint you for the Boards information

Kykaloor Mootah
Boojabalapatam Do.
Valaradum Do.
Chena Pondrada Do.
Ramadingaweram Village.
Vasantawadah Mootah.
Wothoor Do.
Part of Tirwoor Do.
Gumpalagoodem Do.
Vesunnapettah Do.
Comera Do.
Vartullapilly Village.

information that the proposed measure has had the desired effect of inducing the greater part of them to discharge the said balances or to enter into definite arrangements for their early liquidation -

2. The balances due for fusly 1249 including interest on the Estates of Ramasingaweram, Vasantawada Wootoor part of Tirwoor, Gumpalagoodem and Comera were paid down in full with the exception of the interest due on the Comera Mootah for which is justly claimed remission on account of the balance of Peishcush on which it is charged having accrued during the bad seasons of fuslies 1243 and 1244 which succeeded the famine of fusly 1242, and engagements were entered into with the Zemindars of Vasantawada and Ramasingaweram for the remaining balances due by them which

* No 142

which had accrued in fusly 1248 -

3. In Vijeeramapettah there was no balance but that for fusly 1249 Pr amounting to Rupees 1,588-13-2, a sum Pr less than half the annual Peishcush -

4. In Vurtullapully Village the only outstanding balance of Rupees 458-15-5 accrued also during fusly 1249, amounting to a little more than 2/3ds of the yearly Peishcush -

5. Under these circumstances and as the failure of the Proprietors of the said two Estates to pay the amount of the Kist for fusly 1249 (in the discharge of which for former years they had hitherto been very punctual) had been occasioned by adversity of season it was deemed expedient to accept of their agreements to tender the amount of Pr their arrears by instalments as shewn in the Margin :-

6. The Estate of Chinna Pondaca was

* No 344 - Vijeeramapettah Pr Rup
Fusly 1250 -
In Jan[y] - 400 - - -
" Feb[y] - 400 - - -
" May - 400 - - -
" June - 383-13-2
1583-13-2

Vurtullapully
Fusly 1250 - 230 - - -
" 1251 - 228-15-5
458-15-5
Total 2047-12-7

was put up to sale according to the advertisement but could not be disposed of no bidder having come forward in consequence of the badness of the present season -

7. Arrangements are in progress respecting the Estates of Kyda- -lore Bhoojabalapatam and Valawa- -lore which will be reported on for the Board's information and approval at an early date, or as soon as they may be definitively settled -

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 17th December 1840

(signed) P. Grant
Collector -

No 1.

Translation of an Agreement exe- -cuted to the Honorable Company by Rajah Cocherlacotah Lutchmadawa- -mah zemindar of Vajuntawadah Mootah in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Dated 5th October 1840 -

As the said Vasuntawadah Mootah has been attached for arrears of Revenue due for fusly 1249 to the amount of

of Rupees 6328-3-5 and as an Advertise=
=ment has also been published that
the same would be put up to sale
on the 2d November, I do promise that
if the said Mootah be put in my pos=
=session, I shall in addition to the pay=
=ment of the current Peishcush of fusly
1250 by the prescribed Kistbundy pay
the said arrears in full with the in=
=terest thereon Viz. Rupees 1600 in
fusly 1250 Rupees 1600 in fusly 1252 Rupees
1600 Rupees in fusly 1253 and Rupees
1528-3-5 in fusly 1254 making a total
of 6328-3-5 together with the current
Peishcush of each fusly by the pre=
=scribed period - If I fail to pay
any one of the above instalments
with interest Vizt or the current
Peishcush of each year the said
Mootah and also my real and
personal property shall without
reference to the prospective instalments

be liable to be attached and sold for
the satisfaction of the whole balance
together with the interest thereon, and
charges of management Vizt according
to Regulations - I shall hereby agree
to be liable to be proceeded against
according to the Regulations enacted
in respect to the Revenue Default=
=ers I do willingly execute and deli=
=ver this -

(Signed) Rajah Lutchmy
Davamah -

Witnesses
(Signed) Cawloor Poreadaderaauze -
Bawaraauze Venkataraydoo
"
This is written in the hand writing of
Sankara Mootoomjayadoo -

(Signed) P. Grant
Collector

No 2.

Translation of an Agreement
executed to the Honorable
Company by Gandecherla
Seetiah Zemindar of Ranna=
=singaweram and Timmanajoodem
in

in the Masulipatam District, on the
19th October 1840-

As I agree to pay with
the Kists of the current Peishcush of fusly
1250 the sum of Rupees 291-14-9 being
the arrears due for fusly 1248 Viz. Rupees
253-8-3. on the Village Ramasinga-
=weram and Rupees 38-6-6. on Tiru-
=managoodem, I do hereby engage
to pay the current Peishcush of the
said Villages amounting to Rupees
740-9-0 and the arrears of Rupees
291-14-9. together with interest on
the latter sum from the 15th October
1840. to 15th June 1841. If I fail to pay
in full by the prescribed period
either the current Peishcush or the
arrears with interest the said two
Villages as also my other moveable
and immoveable property shall im-
=mediately after the
expiration of the instalment, be
liable

liable to be attached and sold for the
satisfaction of the Balance of Peish-
=cush the amount of interest as also
the charges of management accord-
=ing to Regulations- I do willingly
execute and deliver this -

(Signed) G. Seetiah

(Signed) Chittrapoo Vencannah. } Witnesses
Jagarlamoody Soondurramah }

(Signed) P. Grant
Collector

No 3.

Translation of an agreement exe-
=cuted and delivered by Varegonda
Latchmy Davammah Zemindar
of Visunnapettah Mootah in
Immuluru Purgunah in the
Masulipatam District -

Dated 14th November 1840-

My said Zemindary has fallen
in arrears to the Circar in the sum
of Rupees 1,588-13-2 on account of the
revenue of fusly 1249 owing to the scan-
=tiness of produce therein during that
fusly

Fort St George 11th January 1841-

fusly- I therefore hereby engage to pay the said Balance with interest, together with the Kists of the current Faisl= =cush of fusly 1250 as follows Viz.

	Cr a P.
With the Kist of January ...	400-0-0
Do. ... Do. ... of February	400-0-0
Do. ... Do. of May	400-0-0
Do. ... Do. of June	388-13-2
Total	1588-13-2

In the event of my failing to pay in full by the prescribed period either the current Kists or the ar= =rears with interest the Villages of the said Mootah as also my other real and personal property shall immediately after the expiration of the said instalment be liable to be attached and sold in sa= satisfaction of the said balance of Revenue interest and the charges of Collection according to Regula= =tions- I execute this agreement with

Fort St George 11th January 1841-

with my free will and consent-

(Signed) Varegonda Lutchmy
Davumnah Zemindar

Witnesses
(Signed) G. Vijaumrauze
"— R. Vudaly setty.

Despatched to Hoozoor dated 16th November 1840-

(Signed) R. Krishnah
Tahsildar

No 4-

Translation of an agreement exe= =cuted to the Honorable Company= by Mamedalee Rungiah Puntolu Zemindar of Vartullapully in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Dated 30th October 1840-

As I agree to pay in full the arrears due on the said Village for fusly 1249 amounting to Rupees 458-15-5 within the two fusles Viz. 1250 and 1251 together with the current Peishcush of those fusles accord= =ing to the prescribed Kisthandy I do here= =by engage to pay the said arrears with interest as also the current kists from the Month of October to June in each fusly Viz. Rupees 626-13-1 on account of a P.

of current Peishcush and Rupees 230 on account of arrears making in the aggre= =gate to Rupees 856-13-1 a/p for fusly 1250, and Rupees 626-13-1. on account of Peishcush and Rupees 228-15-5. on account of Do, arrears, making a total of Rupees Do 856-12-6. for fusly 1251-

If I fail to pay in full any one of the above instalments with interest &c on the current Kists of the said two fusles by the prescribed period the said Village, as also my real and personal property shall immediately after the expiration of that instalment be liable to be attached and sold for the satisfaction of the balance of Peishcush, the amount of interest thereon and the charges of management according to the Regulations - I do willingly execute and deliver this -

(Signed) M. Rungiah

Witnesses
(Signed) Vinnacotah Venatachellum.
" Govindaraauze Sastroolos.

(Signed) P. Grant Collector

Resolution thereon. The arrangements reported by the Collector of Masulipatam in the foregoing letter in reference to the Collec= =tion of balances from the Estates of de= =faulting Proprietors are generally approved of-

Read

37-38

Comp. S.R.

Read the following letter.-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll. of Guntoor.

I have the honor to submit herewith for the consideration and orders of the Board, a Petition presented by Mannoor Venkata Do Bauratummah, dated 25th ultimo, with its enclosure (being Copy of the Razeenamah filed by her in the Northern Provincial Court, in cause No. 17 of 1813, preferred by her, against the late Rajah Do Mannoor Venkata Crostnarow, for the recovery of the Chilkaloorpaud Estate.) with Translations setting forth a claim, to the Estate in question, in conse= =quence of the death of the late Zemindar Venkata= =narow who managed the Estate.

39

The Petitioner is the widow of Do Rajah Mannoor Nursunnah row, and urges that as both Mannoor Venkata Nursimharow and Mannoor Venkanarow, late Zamindars, have died without issue, the succession to the Estate is again placed in the position in which it stood at the death of her husband Mannoor Nursunnah row, that the

inheritance

inheritance has thus come to her, and that it rests with her to provide by adoption for the succession— Thus, the succession may be said to be in dispute among 3 females Viz.a the Petitioner, the widow of Mannoor VenKannarow late Zemindar, and the widow of Mannoor Narsimharow his predecessor. The Estate is in arrears to Government and if it is decided that it shall be managed by the Officers of Government, it does not appear necessary to enter on the question of the succession—

See Letter to the Board dated 9th Ultimo reporting the death of Mannoor VenKannarow, and the measures taken thereon.—

Guntoor Zillah,—
Collector's Cutcherry,
14th December 1840.—

} Signed J. Goldingham—
Collector

A.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Arzee addressed by Rajah
Mannoor VenKata Gopal row
Zemindar of the Talook of
Sattanapully, &c.a Majoomdar
Circar of Moortojannagur &c.a &c.a

The Majoomdary of the Moortojan-
=nagur Circar, was acquired by Manoory Cundul
Row, the first of our Family, and held for a con-
considerable

considerable time. afterwards Pada VenKanah Puntooloo who was one of the descendants, succeeded to the Majoomdary when the then Authorities having resolved to appoint Zemindars or Proprietors to this Circar, gave directions to VenKanah Puntooloo accordingly, who divided the Talook under Moortoo-=jannagur, into three Portions, retained one portion, consisting of Sattanapully and Chilka-=loorpaud Estates, under himself, and having ap-=appointed the Vaserreddywar as Zemindars to one Portion, and Mulrauzewar to the other obtained Purwanahs &c.a for the same. On his death Manoory Pada VenKatah row, who was then competent, managed the Estate: after his demise, my great grand father Pada Appazee Puntooloo, who was then competent, managed the Estate. Peda VenKata Croostnamah, the Grand Son of his Brother, succeeded him. whom my Grand Father VenKatasem row succeeded. It had been customary that the Person, who was competent should manage the Estate in succession while all the other male Members con-=remained under his protection. During the management of VenKatasem row a dispute arose

arose between himself and Nursunnah son of Pada Venkata Crosstnanah, and the Estate was divided into two portions; the Division of Suttanapully was taken by Venkatasem row, and the Division of Chelkaloorpaud by Nursunnah- after my Grand father, my Father managed the Estate for some time, and my Uncle Janakeerow managed it for some time.. at last he finding himself incompetent, gave the Estate upto my father, and obtained an allowance which has up to this date been continued to his son. In compliance to the instructions of his Majesty the King of England, these Honorable Company favored my Father and Nursunnah Row, with Permanent Cowles for the Estates of Sattanapully and Chilkaloorpaud respectively; after my father's demise by virtue of the Will executed by him, his Grand son my nephew Venkatarow, who was older than me, managed the Estate; after his death, I took possession of the same- My Estate has been managed by many persons till myself according to the custom observed in our Family

On

On the death of Narsimharow, who managed the Estate of Chilkaloorpaud for a considerable time under the Permanent Cowle, the Circar, altho' his Widow was living, considering that, females are entitled only to an allowance and not to the management of the Estate, according to the usage observed in our Family put the Estate in the possession of Chinna Venkata Crosstnarow /a near relation to Nursunnah/ who was in the receipt of an allowance from Nursunnah for 20 years upto that time, and who living separately from the Family of Nursunnah and took his portion from a separate pot- an allowance has been continued to Venkata Bharatammah the Widow of Nursunnah row upto this date after the demise of the said Venkata Crosstna row, his eldest son Venkata Narsimharow succeeded to the management of the Estate- On his death a dispute arose between his Brother Venkunnah row and his Widow Venkata Hanoomayammah, but they agreed that, if Venkunnarow begot

if [illegible]

issue, Venkata Hanoomayemmah should adopt a son, and that they should both equally divide the Estate among themselves- which circumstance was made known to the Circar, but the Circar put Venkana Row independently in possession of his Estate, and Venkata Hanoomayemmah being a female, they did not put her in independent possession of her Estate, but appointed one named Sheer Khan as manager and carried on the management thro' his means for some time- afterwards disagreement having arisen between Sheer Khan and Venkata Hanoomayemmah, she presented a Petition stating that the Officer on the part of Venkanah Row would also conduct the management of her Estate, that her name only should be preserved, but that the whole Estate would be managed by Venkana Row, and that as she had disagreement with Sheer Khan, his services should be dispensed with, upon which, Venkana Row was put in possession of the whole Estate independently

under

Under these Circumstances it may be observed that Zemindaries cannot be made over independently to Females, The said Venkana Row also died, 4 or 5 days after his death, I understood that certain Papers were executed by him and Arzees were despatched to the Collector- The seal having been in the possession of the Officer Sembaranze Ramaah, he might have perhaps forged such Papers as he pleased, but, while he (Venkana Row) was alive, no Kind of Paper was executed by him- after the indisposition of Venkana Row appeared, I used to visit him now and then, and likewise came to visit him in the month of Cartee Kum, and after two days stay, when I begged leave, he desired me to remain two days more- I accordingly remained- On the morning of Tuesday the 9th Decreasing Moon of Cartee Kum- Coughing commenced and he continued till 9 O'Clock P.M. talking with the people but after 9 O'Clock he became senseless and lived until 12 O'Clock, without the power of Speech and then departed this life- I and certain other persons were present from

Sunrise

Sunrise till Evening of that day and also till after his death. He did not cause any Papers whatever to be executed- whatever he may have done contrary to the declarations he made to us, whenever we went to visit him, under the impression that he would not recover his health, yet the Females in our Family have no right- also in the Permanent Cowle it is stated by the Honorable Company that the Property may be enjoyed for ever, but it does not provide any right for the widows, where there is no issue- The Circar having ruled that the Females of Proprietors of lands paying assessment to the Circar cannot have right, take the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards when the Zemindars are minors, altho their Cs Mothers are alive and again make the Zemindaries over to them when their minority has expired. if the Females had a right to hold the Zemindary, the management of the Zemindaries would have been placed in the hands of the mothers

Mothers of the Minors until they become of Competent age. From this, it is clear that the Females are not entitled to the management of the Zemindaries. In our Tribe it has been Customary that whenever the Zemindary is vacant, the person who might be Competent at the time, succeeds to the Zemindary, but the Management has never been conducted by Females. For example, I have already stated the manner in which the management was conducted. From the circumstance which took place after the death Nursunna Row it may be fully seen that my relationship to the deceased Venkata Nursimha row and Venkanna Row is the same as that of Venkata Crostnamah Garoo was to Nursunnah Row. Venkata Bhoomoyemmah and Bharatemmah the Widow of Venkunnah row are entitled to receive an allowance from me like Venkata Bharatemmah Garoo the widow of Cs Nursunnah Garoo, who has no right to the Estate, but to an allowance, and nothing more.- altho Venkata Nursimha row died, yet Venkana Row his heir who had right to the Estate was

was alive. Now he also being dead, the whole Estate of Chilkaloorpaud which is our hereditary right from time immemorial, devolves on me who am a Member of the Family of the deceased, and who am entitled to the right and Venkata Hanoomayemmah and Bharatemmah should be protected by me like Venkata Bharatemmah. If a reference be made to the Hindoo Law, it will be Known. I therefore humbly beg your honor will be pleased to take this my arzee into full consideration, and to put the whole Estate of Chilkaloorpaud, which is my right into my possession, in order to preserve it from being spoiled under the management of Females, and to prevent the usual Custom in our Family from being disturbed. Be pleased to peruse. Sunday the 6th Increasing Moon of Maurgaserum. Year Sarvary 29th November 1840.

/Signed/ Rajah Venkata. Gopaul
Row Zemindar of the Estate
of Sultanapully & Mazoomdar
of the Circar of Moortoozanagur
Vea Vea &c
To

To the Provincial Court of Appeal
for the Northern Division

Razeenamah filed by Venkatah-
-kaceritummah, widow of the late Rajah Maunoor
Nursunnarow Zemindar, Plaintiff, and by the co-
following persons Viz. Lutchmedavummah, widow
of the late Rajah Maunoor Croostnarow Zemindar,
her Son Rajah Venkata Nursimharow, and the
Guardian Mazoomdar Sreenevasarow co-
Defendants, in cause No. 17 of 1813.- The
Defendants, agree to satisfy the Plaintiff,
regarding the complaint preferred by her, for
the recovery of arrears, amounting to Rupees
14,7000 derivable from the Chilkaloorpaud Estate,
from fusly 1219 to fusly 1221, of Rupees
64925 being the Estimated amount value of
the property, real and personal, including Jewels
and ready Money, and of the Zemindary of
Chilkaloorpaud, bearing a permanent annual
Bareez of 36000 Star Pagodas. & the have agreed
to pay the Plaintiff a Monthly allowance of 75
Kurse Pagodas, from 26th January 1810.-

We

we have caused a paper to be executed to the Plaintiff by Maunapaukah Nayakhonshamrow binding himself to pay to the Plaintiff within one month from the date on which this Razeenamah may be filed in the Court, and to obtain a receipt in our name, for Kurk Pagodas /4,397½/ Four thousand, three hundred and Ninety seven and half, being the balance after deducting Pagodas /940/ nine hundred and forty. Viz. Pagodas /800/ Eight hundred lately paid, and Pagodas /140/ one hundred and forty for 7 years from the year Soola to the end of Vovvah, being the Muktah Sist at the rate of 20 Pagodas per year, on the 2 Cootchells of Savaram Land at Moanepully from Kurk Pagodas 5337½ being the amount for 71 Months and 5 days upto end of December 1815. We have further determined to address an arzee to the Collector of Guntoor to pay the Plaintiff 75 Kurk Pagodas a month, from this time, that is from January 1816. In accordance thereto, if Kurk Pagodas are not paid, we have agreed to address an arzee to the Collector to pay 300 arcot cw Rupees per Month in lieu of 75 Pagodas.

In

In the event of the Collector objecting to the payment of the allowance in any account whatever, we agree that the Collector shall pay the said allowance every month to the Plaintiff out of the amount which we receive on account of our maintenance Vea from the Collections of the Chil Kaloorpaud Estate, which is our Zemindary by right. We further agree to give to the Plaintiff, the 3 Houses situated at Guntoor, together with the Godowns, premises Vea attached thereto, the Garden situated on the south at Yatoocoor, as well as the one situated on the west at Vanegunlah, as free gifts, 2 Buffaloes, 2 Cows. 1 Bhosanum or large chest, furnished with brass hinges, 2 brass pots capable of boiling 8 Measures each of rice. 2 Bindahs or brass pots. 2 Paumbanaums or brass plates, 2 brass lamp stands, 1 Large thread Carpet, one small thread Carpet, one Large Carpet, one small Carpet, 8 Salagramas or house hold gods

2.

2 Silver pictures of Garooda and Mookkeapraunah or anjanayoolos, one Copper Idol. 2 Silver Sampootums or cases, one Senkhum or Conch. one Silver Boodeechemboo or Vessel and one Silver Milley chemboo, immediately after this Razeenamah is filed. We further agree to give to the Plaintiff 5 Cootchells of land, without assessment thus, besides the 2 Cootchells of Savarum land which has been continued to the Plaintiff at Moonipully 3 Cootchells of Arable land will, at the beginning of the ensuing fusly, be given at Nedoomole, on measurement being made with the rope observed in that Village. the Pleadings in this cause having been completely filed, we agree to pay the full fees of the Pleaders on behalf of the Plaintiff, and of these on our behalf as well as the Government Fees, and the amount value of the stamps furnished to the Plaintiff by the Court.-

The Plaintiff Venkatabla returnmah sets forth as follows. the Defendants, the Heirs who are entitled to the Zemindary right of the Chilkaloor-paud Estate, and other property, real and personal, having satisfied me with the conditions above stipulated, I have filed this Razeenamah with my free will and consent. According to the above Stipulations

Stipulations made by the Defendants, I agree to receive the property and pass them a receipt duly registered for the same, In the event of the Defendants deviating from any of the above conditions, I will move the Court, to issue a warrant, only for its fulfilment, but I will never claim from the Defendants- the recovery of the right to the Zemindary of Chilkaloor-paud with the permanent Cowle of the property, real and personal, nor of any property whatever. I will never prefer a Complaint to your Court, nor to any other Court, nor to any Authority in any respect whatever, against the Defendants. We both parties have filed this Razeenamah with our free will and consent, to be carried into execution as a Decree passed by the Court Tuesday the 2nd Decreasing moon of Poosheny, year Yoovah 16th January 1816.-

/Signed/ Camarauzeguddah Bootchiah Pleader of the Plaintiff.-

/Signed/ Saumenaney Venkata Narrainappah Dc.-

Witness.-

/Signed/ Voolligoondum Venkannah-

" Saurungoo Crostniah-

Stamp Correct

/Signed/ P. Venkatroyloo. Sheristadar-

/Signed/ Vinnacottah Venkiah Pleader on the part of the Defendants.-

" Vetcha Gopalcroostnum-mah Dc.

Witness.-

/Signed/ Badida Casavarow

" Puttry Chinnaiah

Filed

Filed in Court this 16th day of January 1816.-
Examined. /Signed/ P. Cherry.
2d Judge.

/Signed/ Vuddlamunnaty Venkappah.
Gentoo writer.

/True Copy./
/Signed/ Pooppala Venkatroylu Sheristadar
of the Provincial Court of Appeal.
N. Dn.

/True Copy./
By order of this Court
For Registar
/Signed/ P. Cherry.
Seal 2d Judge.

Delivered to the Plaintiff
Pleader this 23d day of
January A.D. 1816.
By order of the Court.
For the Register.
/Signed/ P. Cherry.
2d Judge.

/True Copy./
/Signed/ Venkata Bhaurtemmah.

To.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collector of Guntoor ---

40

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for the consideration and orders of the Board, a Petition addressed by Rajah Mannoor Vencata Gopaulrow Zemindar of Suttanapully, together with its translation, setting forth a claim to the Zemindary of Chilkaloorpaud, one subdivision of which has been attached, in consequence of the death of Venkanah row, already reported.

Guntoor ----- /Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collr's Cutcherry Collector
16th December 1840

A.-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Arzee addressed by Rajah Maunoor Venkata Gopal row Zemindar of the Talook of Suttanapully v/z. Majoomdar Circar. Moortoojannagur v/z. v/z. v/z.

The Majoomdary of the Moortoojannagur Circar, was acquired by Manoory Condul Row the

the first of our Family, and held for a considerable time — afterwards, Pada Venkanah Puntoolu, who was one of the descendants, succeeded to the Majoondary - When the then authorities having resolved to appoint Zemindars or Proprietors to this Circar, gave directions to Venkanah Puntoolu accordingly, who divided the Talook under Moorloojannagur, into three portions, retained one portion consisting of Suttanapully and Chilkaloor = paud Estates under himself, and having appointed the Vajeereddywar as Zemindars to one Portion, and Mulrawzewar to the other, obtained Purwanahs for the same - On his death, Manoory Pada Venkatah row, who was then Competent, managed the Estate - after his demise my great Grand father Pada Appazee Puntulu who was then competent, managed the Estate - Peda Venkata Croostnamah, the grand son of his Brother succeeded him when my Grand father Venkatasem row succeeded - It had been Customary that the person, who was competent should manage the Estate, in Succession, while all the other male Members

remained under his protection - During the Management of Venkatasem row, a dispute arose between himself and Nursunnah son of Pada Venkata Croostnamah, and the Estate was divided into two portions - the Division of Suttanapully was taken by Venkatasem row, and the Division of Chilkaloorpaud by Nursunnah - After my Grand father, my father managed the Estate for some time, and my Uncle Sanakeerow managed it for some time - at last he, finding himself incompetent, gave the Estate up to my father, and obtained an allowance which has up to this date been continued to his son - In compliance to the instructions of His Majesty the King of England, the Honorable Company favored my Father and Nursunnah Row, with Permanent Cowles for the Estates of Suttanapully and Chilkaloor = paud respectively, after my father's demise by virtue of the Will executed by him, his Grand son, my nephew Venkatarow who was older than me, managed the Estate - After his death, I took possession of the same my Estate has been managed by many persons till myself according to the Custom observed

in

in our Family On the death of Narsimharow who managed the Estate of Chilkalcorpaud for a considerable time, under the Permanent Cowle, the Circar, although his widow was living, considering that, females are entitled only to an allowance and not to the management of the Estate according to the usage observed in our Family put the Estate in the posfession of China Venkata Croostnarow / a near relation to Narsunnah / who was in the receipt of an allowance from Narsunnah for 20 Years up to that time and who was living separately from the Family of Narsunnah and took his portion from a separate pot: An allowance has been continued to Venkata Bharatummah the Widow of Narsunnah row up to this date. After the demise of the said Venkata Croostna row. his Eldest son Venkata Narsimharow, succeded to the management of the Estate. On his death a dispute arose between his Brother Venkanah row and his widow Venkata Hanoomayemmah, but they agreed that, if Venkana row begot issue, Venkata Hanoomayemmah should adopt a son; and that they

they should both equally divide the Estate among themselves. which circumstance was made known to the Circar, but the Circar put Venkana row independently in posfesion of his Estate, and Venkata Hanoomayemmah being a female, they did not put her in independent posfesion of her Estate, but appointed one named Sheerkhan as manager and carried on the management thro' his means for some time. Afterwards, disagreement having arisen between Sheerkhan and Venkata Hanoomayemmah she presented a petition stating that, the Officer on the part of Venkanah row would also conduct the management of her Estate, that her name only should be preserved, but that the whole Estate would be managed by Venkana Row, and that as she had disagreement with Sheerkhan, his services should be dispensed with, upon which, Venkana row was put in posfesion of the whole Estate independently. Under these circumstances it may be observed that Zemindaries cannot be made over independently to Females. The said Venkana row

also

also died — 4 - or 5 days after his death, I understood that certain Papers were executed by him and Arzees were dispatched to the Collector - The seal having been in the posession of the Officer Semba = yauze Ramiah, he might have perhaps forged such Papers as he pleased - but while he /Venkanarow/ was alive, no kind of Paper was executed by him - after the indisposition of Venkana row appeared, I used to visit him now and then, and I likewise came to visit him in the month of Carteekum, and after two days stay, when I begged leave, he desired me to remain two days more - I accordingly remained - On the morning of Tuesday the 9th Decreasing Moon of Caurteekum, Coughing commenced and he continued till 9 O'clock P.M talking with the people, but after 9 O'clock, he became senseless and lived until 12 O'Clock, without the power of speech and then departed this life - I and certain other persons were present from Sunrise till Evening of that day and also till after his death - We did not cause any papers whatever to

be executed - Whatever he may have done contrary to the declarations, he made to us, whenever we went to visit him, under the impression that he would not recover his health, yet the Females in our Family have no right - Also in the permanent Cowle it is stated by the Honorable Company that the property may be enjoyed forever, but it does not provide any right for the Widows where there is no issue - The Circar having ruled that the Females of proprietors of lands paying assessment to the Circar cannot have right, take the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards when the Zemindars are Minors, altho' their mothers are alive, and again make the Zemindaries over to them when their Minority has expired - If the Females had a right to hold the Zemindary, the management of the Zemindaries would have been placed in the hands of the Mothers of the Minors until they became of competent age - From this it is clear that the Females are not entitled to the manage = ment of the Zemindaries - In our Tribe, it has been a customary that, whenever the

Zemindary

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

Zemindary is vacant, the person who might be competent at the time, succeeds to the Zemindary - but the management has never been conducted by Females - For example, I have already stated the manner in which the management was conducted - From the circumstance which took place after the death of Narsunna Row it may be fully seen that my relationship to the deceased Vencata Narsimha Row and Venkanna Row is the same as that of Venkata Crostnamah Gauroo was to Narsum-mah Row Venkata Hanoomoyemmah and Bharetemmah the Widow of Venkanna Row are entitled to receive an allowance from me like Venkata Bharetemmah Gauroo the widow of Narsimha Gauroo, who has no right to the Estate, but to an Allowance, and nothing more Altho Venkata Narsimhrow died, yet Venkana Row the heir who had right to the Estate was alive - now he also being dead, the whole Estate of Chilkaloorpaud which is our hereditary right from time immemorial, devolves on me who am a Member of the Family of the deceased, and who am entitled to the right and Venkata Hanoomoyemmah and Bharetemmah should be protected by me like Venkata Bharetemmah - If a reference be made to the Hindoo Law, it will be known. I therefore humbly beg your honor will be pleased to take this my Arzee into full consideration and to put the whole Estate of Chilkaloorpaud which is my right into my possession in order to preserve it from being spoiled under the management of Females, and to prevent the usual Custom in our Family from being disturbed - Be pleased to peruse - Sunday the 6th Increasing Moon of Manmyaserim Year Sarvary 29th November

/ Signed / Rajah Venkata Gopal Row
Zemindar of the Estate of Suttanpully
Mazoomdar of the Circar of Moortoozanuggur
for self

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collector of Guntoor — Suggesting his opinion relative to the attachment of the Chilkaloorpaud Estate. —

41

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th Instant relative to the future administration of the Chilkaloorpaud Estate consequent on the death of Mapnoory Vencannah Row, late Proprietor of the Sooloor Subdivision, but Manager of the whole Estate -

2 I am of opinion that the whole Estate should be attached and managed by the Officers of Government - This opinion rests on the consideration that the -

two

two Widows cannot superintend the affairs of their respective portions and thus the management in effect will be conducted by the Head Servant, who aught therefore to be under the immediate orders of the Collector, more especially as the Estate is greatly in arrear to Government - Thies Chumbarduze Ramiah will be the Ameen under Government - His acts under the Zemindars as I observed in my †former communication to the Board, did not meet with my approbation from their oppressive character towards the Ryots, but under the immediate control of the Collector these will be checked -

†Dated 13th November 1840

The

See Summaburdy Report for Fasly 1248 dated 7th Octr 1839 Para 45

3 The subsistence fixed for the late Zemindar was Rupees 205 per mensem and Rupees 250 per annum for annual Expenses as ceremonies &c. Similar sums were fixed for mapenoor Vencata Hanoomayenmah the Proprietor of the Palaparoo moiety of the Estate - As it is proposed to attach the Estate an allowance of Rupees 150 per mensem to each Widow would appear to be ample for their support in comfort and respectability -

Guntoor Zillah
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Anavaram 24th December 1840

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

39-42
Proceedings on the above foregoing letters

Under the present circumstances of the Chilkaloorpaud Zemindary

Zemindary it does not seem necessary to enter into the question of the right of succession to the Estate or to consider the conflicting claims of Mar. Venceta Bhartemah and M. Vencata Gopaul Row Zemindar of Suttenapully.-

The measure suggested by the Collector of attaching the entire Estate and conducting the management under the direct control of the Officers of Government is approved and an allowance of Rupees 150 per mensem is authorized to be made from the proceeds of the Estate to each Widow pending the receipt of farther orders.-

To

Comp. J. R.

43

From the Collr. of Guntoor.-

Regarding the present Head Sheristadar, and soliciting the Board's permission to recommend another person for the said Office.-

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th. Instant, and to state that in conformity with orders therein conveyed, N. Seshagerry row has been reinstated in the Office of Head Sheristadar. The selection of S. Vencatakistarow to succeed to the vacant situation rested simply on the reasons stated in my letter, subject to the concurrence of the Board. The orders of the Board on the subject, and permitting his succession on the vacancy occurring, are considered final.-

2. In regard to the necessity of appointing a new Head Sheristadar, I would beg to bring the subject fully before the Board. It is quite true that N. Seshagerry row is a servant of some

30

30 years standing, but his services were never in the Sheristtah Department till recently, and the trial since his appointment to that Department, does not appear to have been successful in Rajahmundry, and has failed here. During Mr. Oakes' management, he stood appointed as asistant to the Sheristtaar, but this was simply an arrangement on account of the pay- his duties were principally those of stamps Vender. his trial as Sheristadar in Rajahmundry, ended in his resigning that Office. after his appointment by Mr. Bruce as Head Asistant to the Sheristadar in this district, the details were not conducted by him as the Board have supposed - Seetaram Puntoolo carried on the Business both during the charge of Mr. Glass and subsiquently after my arrival in the District till his death. In Mr. Glass' time, N. Sashagerry now

Date of appointment 1st September 1836.

Date of Mr. Bruce's departure from the district 9th October 40.

now was for some Months on an enquiry at the Salt Cotaurs. Shortly after I took charge of the district, I was called away to the Rauchoor Tanah on the subject of the grievances there. Seetaram Puntoolo accompanied me alone, with a Sheristah Gomastah. The service of N. Seshagerry now has been almost entirely in the Majistrate's Department. The temptation to leave his Department for the situation of Sheristadar in Rajahmundry was great; it is however a pity that he yielded to it, as the duties he was about to perform were new, and foreign to those of his former situation. I have had two years trial, at the close of the first year the serious accumulation of business rendered further asistance in the Sheristah Department necessary, and at the close of the second, the portion of the first years' arrears allotted to N Seshagerry now

30 years standing, but his services were never in the Sheristah Department till recently, and the trial since his appointment to that Department, does not appear to have been successful in Rajahmundry, and has failed here. During Mr. Oakes' management, he stood appointed as Assistant to the Sheristaar, but this was simply an arrangement on account of the pay- his duties were principally those of stamp Vender. his trial as Sheristadar in Rajahmundry, ended in his resigning that Office. after his appointment by Mr. Bruce as Head Assistant to the Sheristadar in this District, the details were not conducted by him as the Board have supposed - Seetaram Puntoolo carried on the Business both during the charge of Mr. Glass and subsequently after my arrival in the District till his death. In Mr. Glass' time, N. Seshagerry now

Date of appointment 1st September 1836.

Date of Mr. Bruce's departure from the District 9th October 39.

now was for some Months on an enquiry at the Salt Colaurs. Shortly after I took charge of the District, I was called away to the Rauchoor Tanah on the subject of the grievances there. Seetaram Puntoolo accompanied me alone, with a Sheristah Gomastah. The service of N. Seshagerry now has been almost entirely in the Majistrate's Department. The temptation to leave his Department for the situation of Sheristadar in Rajahmundry was great; it is however a pity that he yielded to it, as the duties he was about to perform were new, and foreign to those of his former situation. I have had two years trial, at the close of the first year the serious accumulation of business rendered further assistance in the Sheristah Department necessary, and at the close of the second, the portion of the first years arrears allotted to N Seshagerry now

now remain, it may be said, untouched — He is quite aware that the duties of the Office cannot be performed by him. I informed the Board in my letter dated 30th October 1838, that the appointment of N Seshagerry now was made under peculiar circumstances, Viz.t "disappointment" in two quarters to obtain an individual for the Office" — and "the expressed desire of the Board that the situation should be filled up." this was accompanied with an expression of doubt of the propriety of the arrangement. Taking this into consideration the Board will perhaps concur that the appointment was not my "sole act" — The Board state that I had "opportunities of knowing how far he was competent to discharge the duties of the situation, to which he was ultimately appointed." I quite concur in this. it was in consequence of this knowledge

† See letter from Bd. to Govt. dated 24th January 1839 Para 11.

knowledge that I passed him over at first, and when the appointment was made, under the circumstances stated, it was the same knowledge that made me express doubts of its expediency —

3. In regard to the relationship of N. Seshagerry now to the family of the Suttanapully Zemindar, this is objectionable or otherwise according to circumstances. — it is objectionable where the individual holding the Office of Head Sheristadar is not in all respects qualified, otherwise the objection should not be allowed much weight. —

4. In regard to the intrigue got up against the Naib Sheristadar, the case when fully considered by the Board will be found to lead to conclusions different from the prima facie view expressed by the Board. It is stated in Para 11th of the Proceedings on the subject, which accompanied my letter dated 25th Ultimo, that the implication of N Seshagerry now rested on presumptive grounds. No doubt can be entertained on

pow remain, it may be said, untouched — He is quite aware that the duties of the Office cannot be performed by him. I in: formed the Board in my letter dated 30th October 1838, that the appointment of N Seshagerry pow was made under peculiar circumstances, Viz.t disappointment "in two quarters to obtain an individual for the Office" and "the expreſsed desire of the Board that the situation should be filled up:" this was accompanied with an expreſsion of doubt of the propriety of the arrangement. Taking this into consideration the Board will perhaps concur that the appointment was not my "sole act" The Board state that I had "opportunities of knowing how far he was competent to discharge the duties of the situation, to which he was ultimately appointed." I quite concur in this. it was in consequence of this

Knowledge

† See letter from Bd. to Govt. dated 24th January 1839 Para 11.

knowledge that I paſsed him over at first, and when the appointment was made, under the circumstances stated, it was the same knowledge that made me expreſs doubts of its expediency —

3. In regard to the relationship of N. Seshagerry pow to the family of the Suttanapully Zemindar, this is objectionable or otherwise according to circumstances. it is objectionable where the individual holding the Office of Head Sheristadar is not in all respects qualified, otherwise the objection should not be allowed much weight —

4. In regard to the intrigue got up against the Naib Sheristadar, the case when fully considered by the Board will be found to lead to conclusions different from the prima facie view expreſsed by the Board. It is stated in Para 11th of the Proceedings on the subject, which accompanied my letter dated 25th Ultimo, that the implication of N Seshagerry pow rested on presumptive grounds. No doubt can be entertained

on

on this point; it was not necessary to enter into a further examination of the case as regarded this individual.—

5. The attempt of N. Seshagerry row, to postpone a large portion of the Settlement at the Summabundy of Fuslies 1248 and 1249, will not bear probing. His recommendation to postpone this large amount of the revenue proceeded either from ignorance of his duties, or from fraudulent motives. I leave it with the Board to determine the motive on which the recommendation was based.—

It occurred before me, which renders any further examination, or explanation unnecessary, but, for the satisfaction of the Board, I beg to submit copies of the Papers† with the signatures of the Ameens, which were laid before me.

The Board can have no difficulty, in understanding the position in which the public interests stand, when the Head Sherishtadar and Ameens combine to bring forward a recommendation

† 2 Argees Nos 58 and 73 from the Ameen of Patchina: pully with 5 enclosures. 1 Do: No 78 from Do: of Tinnina: pecottah with 2 Do:

recommendation of the nature noticed above.—

6. I think the subject is now fully before the Board. With their permission I shall be prepared to recommend a person for the situation of Head Sherishtadar.—

Guntoor, ----- }
Coll.rs Cutcherry, } /Signed/ J. Goldingham
16th December 1840 } Collector

Proceedings thereon In the Board's proceedings of the 7th. December, it was observed that if the Collector of Guntoor desired the removal of his Head Sherishtadar for incapacity or impropriety in the discharge of his duties, it would be necessary that instances should be pointed out and stated and that the explanation of the native Officers in his own exculpation should be forwarded. It would not seem that this has been done or that any opportunity had been afforded to Seshagerry row to defend his acts where impugned.—

(43-44)

Before finally deciding in his case, the Board desire that this be done more particularly in regard to the postponement of the Revenue Collections in

in Patraud. The fact of the Head Sheristehdar having ignorantly or fraudulently made such a recommendation should be specifically charged and his answers forwarded for the Board's consideration.—

Read the following letters.—

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(45)

From the Pt. Collr. of Nellore..... } Sir, Fort St. George

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue the death of Abdul Hussain Khan a Yeomeahdar who was in the receipt of a subsistence Yeomiah of 15 Rupees per Month. This Yeomeahdar died on the 13th of November 1837, leaving a Son named Abdul Mahomed Cawn aged about 30 years, and two daughters, one of the latter is married but is now living with her brother, as her husband has forsaken and left her together with a daughter aged about 11 years in destitute circumstances and gone to some Country and has not been heard of. The family are in indigent circumstances and appear to me to be fit objects for the bounty

+ No. 134 of List No. 2. sents to the Board on the 20th October 1812.—

bounty of Government. I therefore beg to recommend that a reduced yeomiah of 10 Rupees per Month may be continued in the name of Abdul Mahomed Cawn, to be paid in arrears from the date of the death of his father.—

Nellore, ...
Pt. Collrs. Office,
1st December 1840. } (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Pt. Collr.

Proceedings thereon Before submitting the foregoing application to Government for orders, the Principal Collector is requested to ascertain and Report the Cause of the delay in the family of the late Abdul Hoosain Khan, preferring their Claim to a renewal of the subsistence allowance enjoyed by him. His decease, it is observed, is said to have occurred so far back as November 1837.—

(45-46)

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(47)

From the Pt. Collr. of Nellore..... } Sir, Fort St. George.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated 21st Instant transmitting Copy of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of date the

Furnishing information as to the usage or arrangement under which the expenditure on account of Oil furnished to the different Mosques is periodically incurred.—

the 21st November last, requiring inform: ca
:tion as to the usage or arrangement under ca
which the expenditure on account of Oil furnished
to the different Mosques in the Nellore District
is periodically incurred.

2. Oil is furnished daily under a standing order of the Tahsildar of the Talook in certain fixed quantities to such Mosques as do not receive a monthly al:lowance for the supply of Oil. The Oil:monger's account is settled at the end of the Month at the current prices of the Month, and forms a usual charge in the Tahsildar accounts.

3. The supply of Oil by the Circar seems almost entirely confined to the Mosques in the Town of Nellore and its suburbs, other Mosques are supplied by the servants attached to it for which a proportion of the allowance granted to the Mosque seems originally to have been set apart and the busines of sup:plying the Oil and drawing the proportionate allowance appears generally to

to be a distinct right vested in one parti:cular person or family attached to the Mosque.

4. The practice of supplying the Mosques in the Town of Nellore by the Officers of Government existed long before the cession of the District. In fusly 1211/A.D 1801/ Mr. Travers took Mamoolnamahs from the Stulla Curnums shewing that the practice obtained during the period of the Mahomedan Government and formed a charge in some cases upon the Sayer revenue and in others upon the Sadewarad. Mr. Travers continued the practice and no alteration has been made up to the present period. The dis:bursement is charged to the Head of Pagoda and Mosque allowances.

Nellore,
P. Coll.s Office,
29th December 1840. } Signed J. V. Stonehouse.
P. Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the fore:going letter.

To,

Fort St. George 11th. January 1841

the 21st. November last, requiring inform: a: tion as to the usage or arrangement under which the expenditure on account of Oil furnished to the different Mosques in the Nellore District is periodically incurred.—

2. Oil is furnished daily under a standing order of the Tahsildar of the Talook in certain fixed quantities to such Mosques as do not receive a monthly al: lowance for the supply of Oil. The Oil: monger's account is settled at the end of the Month at the current prices of the Month, and forms a usual charge in the Tahsildar accounts.—

3. The supply of Oil by the Circar seems almost entirely confined to the Mosques in the Town of Nellore and its Suburbs, other Mosques are supplied by the servants attached to it for which a proportion of the allowance granted to the Mosque seems originally to have been set apart and the business of sup: plying the Oil and drawing the propor: tionate allowance appears generally to

Fort St. George 11th. January 1841

to be a distinct right vested in one parti: cular person or family attached to the Mosque.—

4. The practice of supplying the Mosques in the Town of Nellore by the Officers of Government existed long before the cession of the District. In fusly 1211 (a. D 1801) Mr. Travers took Mamoolnamahs from the Stulla Curnums shewing that the practice obtained during the period of the Mahomedan Government and formed a charge in some cases upon the Sayer revenue and in others upon the Sadewarad. Mr. Travers continued the practice and no alteration has been made up to the present period. The dis: bursement is charged to the Head of Pagoda and Mosque allowances.—

Nellore,
Pt. Coll.s Office,
29th. December 1840.

(Signed) T. V. Stonehouse.
Pt. Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the fore: going letter.—

To,

(47-48)

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Sir,

29th Dec. 1840 inCons. 11th Jany 1841

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before Government for orders the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore, supplying the information called for in the Extract from Cons. 7th Dec. 1840 the Minutes of Consultation of date the 21st November last in reference to the usage and arrangement under which the expenditure of Oil furnished to the different Mosques in that District is regulated.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th January 1841. } (Signed) P. B. Smollett Secretary

Read the following Letter

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(49)

From the Pt. Collr. of Nellore..... } Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 5th of October last transmitting

an original Persian letter from the Right Honorable the Governor of Madras to the Zemindar of Vencatagherry together with a Hillaut, I have the honor to forward herewith the Zemindar's reply to the address of Right Honorable the Governor.—

Nellore..... Pt. Collr. Office, 31st December 1840. } (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse Pt. Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted together with the following address.—

(49-50)

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Sir,

Cons. 11th Sept. 1840.

With reference to the communication from your department under date the 10th August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore with original enclosure from the Zemindar of Vencatagherry.—

31st Dec. 1840 inCons. 11th Jany 1841

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th January 1841..... } (Signed) P. B. Smollett. Secretary

Read

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Asst. Collr. of Cuddapah.... } Sir,

Reporting a Case of smuggled Goods for the Month of November 1840.

51

Para 1. I have the honor to report that the Tahsildar of Muddenpully hearing that one appiah, a Merchant had conveyed 8 Bandy loads of Tamarinds, valued at Rupees 188-10-9 to a Village in the Mysore Country from the Muddenpully Talook without paying the duty thereon, wrote a letter to the Tahsildar of Pungunapillay in the Mysore Country on the subject who seized the article and sent it to the former together with the owner of the article. On an inquiry being made on the subject by the Muddenpully Tahsildar, the owner in question declared that he purchased the said Tamarinds both in the Muddenpully Talook and in the Mysore Country and desired the Bandy people to convey them to the latter and that he then went to the Cundacoor and Cottacotta Chowkies to obtain a Rawanah for the same, but the Chowkedars

wanted to weigh the article and that he therefore brought the article again and produced it before the Chowkidars.—

2. The persons who conveyed the tamarinds in question to the Mysore Country depose nearly to the same effect.—

3. Ramoodoo a Revenue Peon of the Muddenpully talook deposed that he carried a letter from the Tahsildar of his Talook to the address of the Pungunpilly Tahsildar to detain and retransmit the article and that it was accordingly done. The Sayer Mootsuddies of Cottacotta and Cundacoor state that on their hearing that the Merchant in question had purchased the Tamarinds, they questioned him about them and that he brought and produced the tamarinds before them.—

4. From the above Proceedings it is evident that the Merchant in question has very improperly carried away the Tamarinds purchased in this District to the Mysore Country before the duty thereon had been settled. I therefore recommend that orders may be given to

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

to levy a double duty on the article above alluded to and now deposited in the Cutcherry of the Muddenpully Talook.

Cuddapah District,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry.
Bungonpully 19th Decr 1840.

Signed H. Lavie
As Collector.

Proceedings thereon. The Board are not prepared to concur in the recommendation to levy double duty on the Tamarinds seized by the Tahsildar of Muddenpully Talook. They are pleased to direct that they be delivered to the owner on payment of the usual duty with a warning to him to be more careful in his future transactions.—

(51-52)

Read again letter from the Collector of Chengleput dated 11th and entered in consultation 24th Ultimo.—

Proceedings thereon. Mr. Freese will be pleased to report whether the Choultry at Connatoor referred to in this letter is situated in a respectable

(53)

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

respect to Position and distance from other Halting station so as to entitle it to an endowment in land under the ordinary Rules sanctioned by Government in reference to Buildings of this description or whether the recommendation is made as a special case by reason of the utility of the choultry and the magnitude of the outlay thereon.—

Read again letter from the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot dated 16th and entered in Consultation 24th Ultimo.—

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter are pleased to authorize the Principal Collector of North arcot to take immediate measures to apprehend and commit to jail Veeranna Roy chetty as a Revenue defaulter, in the event of his refusing to abide by the arrangement entered into by his Copartners

(54)

in

in the Rent.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector in the Southern Division of arcot dated and entered in Consultation 24th Ultimo.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted together with the following address

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Sect. to Government. } Para. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before Government the accompanying letter from Mr. Ashton, Principal Collector of South Arcot; and obtain the Sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to payment as therein suggested of Rupees 16-3. the collections on some manieum land in that District in the enjoyment of one Sorayaverrain Buttordar.

3d in Con. 24th Dec. 1840.

55

2. The land in question had been assumed by the Collector on the supposed invalidity of this person's title, but on enquiry as it was ascertained that the ground had been held free from assessment for some generations, the Board desired its restoration during the life of the present incumbent and the sum stated above is the amount of collections

collections, while the maunium was held in Suspense by the officers of Government.

Revenue Board Office, - - - - - - - } (Signed) P. B. Arnollett.
Fort St. George, 11th January 1841. } Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 3d and entered in Consultation 24th Ultimo.

Proceedings thereon. If Mr. Onslow be satisfied of the validity of the claims and the surplus funds of the Rock Pagoda are adequate to meet the disbursement, the Board of Revenue approve of the Collector's suggestion to satisfy the Demand of the Proprietrix of the Yaroonag pully Mootah.

56

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed upon Petition No. 1273 of 1840.

The Board of Revenue have furnished the Collector of Trichinopoly with instructions in reference to the claims advanced in this address. Petitioner is accordingly directed to apply to that authority.

Read

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Read the following letter.

To the Register to the
Court of Wards
Madras.

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

With reference to the accompanying copy of a communication from the assistant Judge of Combaconum, I have the honor to solicit sanction for the disbursement of the sum of Rupees 648-9-1½ from the surplus funds of the minor Estate of Oodiarpolliam.

57

2. I take this opportunity of stating for the information of the Court of Wards that I have nearly completed my examination of the debts of the Estate. Two large claims only remain to be enquired into so that I hope very shortly to submit a report upon the subject.

Trichinopoly,
Coll.rs Cutcherry,
26th December 1840.

Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector.

To the Collector of
Trichinopoly.

Sir

With reference to the annexed copy of an

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

an Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern Provincial Court under date the 15th Instant, I have the honor to request you will be pleased to remit to this Court the sum of Rupees 648-9-1½ should the Statement contained in the enclosed copy of a Motion which accompanied the above Extract, be correct.

Trichinopoly,
Asst. Court,
24th December 1840.

Signed W. D. Phillipps.
Asst. Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern Provincial Court of appeal under date the 15th December 1840.

President
G. J. Waters Esqre 1st Judge.

O. Suit No 3. of 1831.

Read motion presented on the 17th Ultimo by Vakeel Shama Row on behalf of Appayee alias Janaghy Ummal, Mother and Guardian of the heir of the Dependant, Cutchy Rungappah Calakkatholay Woodayar, the Zemindar of Oodiarpolliam.

The

The Vakeel states that in consequence of the aforesaid heir being a minor the Zemindary of Oodiarpolliam belonging to him is held under attachment, and monthly allowance granted to him for his support. That the debts of the late Zemindar are therefore now discharged by the Circar, and that the sum of Rupees 648-9-1½ due by him to the Plaintiff for Costs of Suit awarded to the latter, and for the recovery of which a Precept was issued by this Court on the 22d September last ought also to be paid by the Circar. He prays therefore that the Collector may be required to cause the said amount to be paid to the Defendant in order that he may pay the same to the Plaintiff.

Ordered that Copy of this petition be transmitted through the Assistant Judge of Trichinopoly to the Collector of Trichinopoly

with a request that the amount of Fees Rupees 648-9-1½ may, if the Statement of this Petitioner be correct, be transmitted to this Court, pursuant to the order of the 22d September last.

Ordered also that Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Assistant Judge of Trichinopoly with Precept returnable in 1 days.

(True Extract)
(Signed) G. A. Waters.
1st Judge for Regr.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Wards are pleased to Sanction the disbursement applied for in the foregoing letter, Rupees 648-9-1½ from the Surplus funds of the Minor Estate of Woodiarpolliem in the hands of the Collector of Trichinopoly.

(57-58)

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura - - - - }

(59)

With reference to the 3d para of your letter of the 21st November 1836, I have the honor to forward a Statement of Wastage for each platform for the current year in conformity with the 18th of the Salt Rules.

Madura, - - - -
Tondi, - - -
3d January
1841.

(Signed) J. Blackburne.
Principal Collector.
Statement.

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

A

Statement shewing the percentage of wastage pro

for the Salt Pans in the District of Madura for fasly 12

A. D. 184

No.	Names of the Pans.	Wastage found on Examination
1	Tharacoody	Yard
2	Mookeyoor	"
3	Moodooragoonadapatam	"
4	Vanlnoteum	"
5	Morecolum	"
6	Authenkurray	"
7	Vyeravanadapatam	"
8	Osppoor	"
9	Ogundangoody	"
10	Maniacoody	"
11	Sholiacoody	"
12	Vullanum	"
13	Calianagherry	"
14	Theethundadanum	"

N. B. No Salt was manufactured in the said Pans

of large quantity of last years Salt remaining unsold

Madura, Sandi, 3rd January 1841. } E. E. K. (signed) J. Blackburne

Collr

Order thereon.

(59-60)

Ordered to lie over.-

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Read the following letter.-

(61)

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Collr Canara

Requesting instruction for defence in a suit brought by Dejoo a female against the Principal Collector and others at the public expense

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board of Revenue for their approval, draft of an answer proposed to be filed in a suit brought by Dejoo, a female of Moodbidry, against the Principal Collector and 9 others. A translation of the Plaint also accompanies this letter.-

2. The circumstances which gave rise to the present suit are detailed at length in the proposed answer.-

3. The proceedings of Mr. Dickinson the then Principal Collector, in entering the Warg in question in the name of the 10th Defendant who was then the actual Cultivator of the land, was in accordance with the usage of the District, and agreeable to the provisions of the Regulations, and the measure was deemed necessary in order to secure the Government revenue from the loss with which it seemed to be threatened by the proceedings of the disputants.

The

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

4. The nature of the tenure of Mosly and Gurney Tuggs in the District, is well known to the Board,- the former being exclusively private and the latter the property of the Circar, the entire management of which belongs to the Officers of Government.-

5.- Under the above circumstances, I beg that the Board will obtain the sanction of Government to conduct the defence of the suit at the expense of Government.

Princl Colls Cutchery,
On Circuit, Postons,
14th December 1840.

Signed/ J. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Translated

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

No. 1.

Translation of Plaint No. 337 of 1840.

Dated the 9th August 1840.-

Dejoy, second wife of Sunna Soobia Achary inhabitant of Moodbidry in the Buntwal Talook ..... Versus

1st Principal Collector Mr. Blair.-
2nd Dodaya Plaintiff's Nephew being son of her husband's first wife.
3d Dodia pa Achary son of the late Gowda Sathy Achary of Mangalore.-
4th Poovama, second wife of the above Sathy Atchary.-
5th Shivapachary son of Neelaya Atchary brother to the 3d defendant's father.
6th Badracharry brother of the 5th Defendant.-
7th Callama, 2nd wife of the 5th defendant's father Neelaya achary.-
8th Rachaya Achary son of Nagapa achary of Moodbidry.-
9th Bonia Achary son of Timma-chary.-
10th Dhacaya Sherogai of Talpady in the Moodbidry.-

I claim from the above 10 defendants as follows.-

My husband Soobia Achary enjoyed

enjoyed the Estate registered in the name of our ancestor Rachaya Acharya assessed at 16-3-12. situate in the Cuchah Village of Moodbidry and called Bedrakeri kuzy Shunkra Gottoo. and also the Varg called Hoovina Narna, assessed at 3 Pagodas and forming part of the former Estate the Beriz of the two Varg's amounting to Pagodas-19-3-12 which was held as our ancestral (Mool) property from the time of our ancestors. This Estate was mortgaged by my husband to one Ooma atchary the ancestor of the 3rd to 7th defendants in the year Croadhana for 150 Pagodas the strength of which mortgage the land was enjoyed by him, and by Jathy achary the ancestor of the 3d and 4th Defendants after him - Neelaya acha the ancestor of the 5th 6th and 7th defendants, obtained of the said Jathy achary and distinctly enjoyed a share of the property - My husband being sick on his death bed in the presence of the

of our caste, made out his will on 5th Bholl, Ashveja Month, Parteva Year, with the consent of the 2d defendant, giving me out of the family Estate. the whole of the above Estate of 3 Pagodas assessment, and a share of the remaining property of 16-3-12. Beriz, making altogether one third of the whole, and further authorising me to redeem the portion of the mortgage borne thereon. with a declaration that the 2d defendant possessed no title to the above share transferred in my favor. After my husband's death, being myself a helpless woman and having no man to assist me: I took my daughter and went to my Mother's house, during my absence. all the Defendants, except the 1st, acting in concern with each other, the 9th Defendant and Jathy Achary admitting as it were a Guiny Estate, filed an application before the former Principal Collector for the grant of the proprietary right thereof to them; and although after acquiring the Moaty right of the Estate they

they let the 10th Defendant have it as it were nominally on Moobqueny. Sacked the defendants 8th to 7th to restore to me my share and the surplus money out of its produce on the ground that the mortgage money borne on my share, had been repaid by the returns yielded by the said share. when they promised to do so for some time, although they at present state that it is now out of their power, as the Estate has been granted by the Sircar to some other on durkhast. If it was in fact a Jeuny Estate, there was no reason for the Ancestor of the 3d and 4th defendants to have it on mortgage; and for the Ancestor of the 5th 6th & 7th and 9th Defendants to obtain their share of the mortgage right on that land. The Ancestor of my husband held this marg from time immemorial and invariably paid the Sircar Peeshkash without any trouble or difficulty, Such being the case, the Principal Collector could have no good excuse to confer the Moolqueny right of the land on any other person than myself. -

Vende

Under these circumstances as the 1st Defendant Mr. Blair is the Official Successor to the Principal Collector who granted my proprietary right on the land assessed at 3 Pagodas to the 10 Defendants, and as the 2d to 10th Defendants are now in actual occupancy thereof, I claim therefore from all the above 10 Defendants the cancelment of the grant passed by the former Principal Collector and the restoration of the land to me, and also one third of the house and compound situated at the Cutcherry of Moodbedry and assessed at 0.0.Rs. 0.fs. 0.as. 6 which has long been enjoyed by my husband on Moolqueny tenure. The following Statement will shew the particulars of my demand.-

Total produce consisting of the rent in Cash and value of the kice rent, due per Annum on account of the whole Estate of 19-3-12 Beriz is Rupees	
151-1-½ of which the produce of one third of my share is Rupees	50-1-66¾
Deduct the Assessment on my share Rupees	25-3-33¼
" Interest on 50 Rs being my share of the mortgage burden of 150 Rs on the whole Estate	24-"-"
	49-3-33¼
Balance	"-2-33½

This surplus has been annually paid

over

over and above the mortgage claim. The
principal of the mortgage has been satisfied
by the interest and surplus that has been enjoyed
up to this day since the time the mortgage
occurred. I therefore claim 1/2 of the whole pro-
yielding annually Rupees 50-1-6 1/2 on
the strength of the above mentioned Writ. Deed

The whole Estate called
Hoovina Narna's bearing an Assessment of
Rupees 12. A portion of the other Estate to
the amount of Rupees 13-3-33 1/4 berij making
in all a Perij of 25-3-33 1/4 for my share,
with one third amounting to 8-1-33 1/2 out of
1 Rupee the produce of the Mostgueny com-
-pound, and a share of 2 out of 6 Rupees rated
of a house thereon.-

I pray the Court will
send for the Defendants, examine them and
cause me to be put in possession of the land
&c.a &c. as above solicited after doing away with
all the objections that may be urged by
the Defendants against my claim.-

Mark of Dejoo.

Draft

No. 2.

Draft of an Answer proposed to be filed
by the Principal Collector of Canara to the
Plaint brought forward by Dejoo inhabitant
of Moodbidry in the Buntwall Talook.-

The Plaintiff Dejoo states
in her Plaint that her late husband Sunna
Soobia Acharry executed a will in the year
Parthiva bequeathing to her one third of
his property consisting of his ancestral
Estate called Rachaya Acharj, and
assessed at Pa. 15-3-12 and another Estate
farming part of the above, but now called
Hovina Narna's assessed at Pagodas 3-0-0 Her
share being as follows, Viz.t the latter Estate
assessed at 3 Pag.s and so much of the other
Estate as to make up her third share of
the property. she further states that the
mortgage on the Estate has been paid off
by the produce of the land, in consequence
of which she claims possession of the above
specified third share yielding Rupees 50-1-66 3/4
per Annum, as well as one third of a Mos-
-queny garden yielding annually Rupees 0-1-33 1/4

and

and a portion of 2 Rupees value out of the hut standing thereon.-

To the above plaint, the D.t Defendant begs to file the fowing answer.-

1.st The will on which the P.ff Plaintiff grounds her claim is dated so far back as the year of Partiva, 10 years before the present suit was preferred and it is to be observed, that she does not appear to have any where alluded to the said will on all occasions on which disputes have occurred regarding the property in question.-

With respect to the share of the Estate bearing an assessment of 1.6.8 and of the Moolgueny garden claimed by Plaintiff, the Principal Collector thinks it unnecessary for him to make any reply; as whatever may be the justness of her claim he has no concern in it.-

The Plaintiff states, that the Durg called Hoovina Narnah, bearing an assessment of 3 Pagodas formed part of her ancestral property assessed at 19 Pag.s and odd but the very circumstance of its being separately assessed goes far to prove that the Durg

of 3 Pagodas Veriz was never a portion of the above said ancestral Estate. The fact is, that neither the Plaintiff, her husband, nor their ancestors ever had any title to the land forming the Estate called Hoovinah Narnah, which became escheat to the Sirkar, and the authority of renting it to any person rests with the Collector.-

The original Moolgar or proprietor of the above Estate was a Bunt called Hoovina Narna, who having died without any heirs, the only right of the Estate lapsed to the Sircar during the former Government; and was rented, and the assessment collected on it as a Jueny Durg; and as such it has accordingly been entered in the revenue Accounts since the Company's acquisition of the Country. The Durg has since been rented by the Sircar, to Dodda Kristna and several others, who have invariably paid the Government assessment- In fusly 1231 (1821/22) Ponia Achary the 9.th Defendant in this suit, made a request that the proprietary

proprietary right of the aforesaid Gueny Estate should be conferred on him. Although a Mooliputtah was not issued according to his application, yet the Turg was entered in his (the then Cultivators) name, and the ground cultivated, and the assessment thereon paid by the said Ponia Achary. In the year 1824 the 9th Defendant borrowed 37 Pagodas from the 10th defendant Dhacaya in consideration of which the Turg was rented to him on Mooliguency or permanent tenure. Dhacaya began accordingly to cultivate the Turg when, one Jathy Achary, father of the 3d Defendant and the 9th Defendant being both brothers, or near relatives, with the view to defraud the said Dhacaya out of this property, passed a Razeenamah and Cabooliamah, and had the registry of the Turg altered in the name of the 9th Defendants father. They then lodged a complaint against some tenants connected with the land of this as well as another Estate, the result of an examination into which showed, that these disputes were stirred up in order to create a pretended claim to the Sircar Gueny (Government Estates) on which account Mr. Dickinson in order to protect the 10th Defendant who had made great improvements in the Estate, from any fraud or loss, issued an order in October 1239 (1828) directing that if he were willing to pay the Sircar demand Direct. the registry of the Turg should be altered in his name; and on his agreeing to pay the assessment himself the Turg was entered in his name accordingly. The Accounts shew that this individual (10th defendant) since that time has enjoyed the Turg. and paid the beriz fixed on it. The Turg still continues as a Sircar Gueny Estate in the revenue accounts. and its proprietary right which belongs to the Sircar was never transferred to any individual by granting a regular Mooliputta as is customary on such occasion.

Formerly an action was brought (No. 617 of 1835) by Doddaya the 2d defendant against Dhacaya the 10th Defendant and

and 5 others, for the recovery of this land. The facts above alluded to with regard to the circumstances of the Guney Turg having been represented to the Court by the Defendant in his answer to that suit, Court dismissed his claim, as the land being a Circar Guney Turg, the proprietary right was vested in Government. This decree was appealed from but the result was confirmation of the original decree.

Doddaya having been cast in his suit has instigated his son Plaintiff in this suit, to bring the present action in another form, with the view of gaining his object. It is requested that a reference may be made by the Court to decree and suit above alluded to, when the false and vexatious nature of the present action will be seen.

With regard to other circumstances stated in the plaint. The Principal Collector does not consider he is called upon to answer them.

Under these circumstances the Principal Collector denies that the Plaintiff has any just claim to the Guney Turg which belongs to the Sircar alone.

Signed W. M. Blair.
Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted together with the foregoing letter.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

The Chief Secy to Government. Para 1. Sir,

61-62

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before Government for orders the accompanying letter with an enclosure from the Principal Collector of Canara, soliciting authority to defend a suit filed against himself and others by one Dejoo, and forwarding a draft of answer proposed to be made in the Zillah Court.

2. This appears to the Board to

11th Dec. 1840
Cons. 11th January 1841.

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

to be a full and satisfactory answer to the Plaintiffs demand, and I am accordingly directed to request that sanction be given for defending the suit, in the first instance at the expence of government.-

Revenue Bd. Office, &c.
Fort St. George, 11th Jany 1841.

Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Malabar dated 3d and entered in Consultation 24th ultimo. Proceedings thereon.-

(63)

The Board of Revenue are not prepared to support Mr. Goodwyne's suggestion to expose to sale to the highest bidder a spot of ground respectively claimed by Messrs. Joachim & Burns. The party actually in possession should be maintained therein, and any other Claimant referred to the ordinary Courts of Adalut.-

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Madras dated 8th November and entered in Consultation 17th ultimo.-

Ordered

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To the Collector of
Madras

(64)

To the Coll.r of Madras.--

Communicating instructions on Mr. Hudleston's letters of the 7th & 30th Nov.r last, transmitting Copy of a Correspondence with the Officer Commanding St. Thomas' Mount having reference to the imposition of a fine & on the Arrack Contractors at that place.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Hudleston's letters of the 7th & 30th November last nos 99 & 104, transmitting Copies of Correspondence with the Officer Commanding St. Thomas' Mount having reference to the imposition of a fine &c. on the Arrack Contractors of that place.-

2. On an attentive consideration of these papers, it appears to the Board that the proceedings of Brigadier General Burton complained of by Mr. Hudleston, are perfectly justifiable.- The fine of 10 Rupees imposed by that Officer on the Arrack Contractors, and subsequently remitted on the discovery of

of an irregularity in receiving an evidence was for a breach of the provisions of Section XVI Regulation VII of 1832 in smuggling liquor in bladders for sale to Europeans in other words, permitting liquor to be removed clandestinely from their shops - and the order for shutting up one of their shops was, it would appear, issued in consequence of the Contractors having been convicted before the Officer in charge of the Police, by the clear and most direct evidence of having kept that shop open and selling liquor after 8 O'Clock at night in contravention of the positive order of the Commanding Officer that all Arrack Shops shall be closed at that hour -

3.

In the Renters' Contract it is provided that "no Arrack shall be carried "away without a special Pass signed by "the Commanding Officer and all the "rest that is sold must be drank on

the spot." It is also stipulated that "no "Arrack shop shall be kept open after 8 "O'Clock at night without the permission "of the Commanding Officer." Had the Contractors strictly adhered to these Provisions of their Cowle, it is evident they would not have incurred the penalties prescribed for a breach of the Regulations established for the good order and discipline of a Military Cantonment -

With the view however of

4.

protecting the just rights and interests of the Renters the Board will be disposed to recommend to Government the adoption of such measures for that purpose as may not interfere with the powers vested by the Regulations in the Officer Commanding the Cantonment, and you are requested to submit any suggestions that may occur to you on the subject with reference to the stipulations proposed in Para 8 of Mr. Hudleston's letter of the 7th November last

You

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

5

You will bear in mind that the Court of Sudr Udalut have declared that fines imposed under the stipulations of Cowles can only be enforced by a Civil Suit, and the Board are of opinion that as it can rarely be expedient to resort to that step, it is preferable that such penalty should be omitted in future Cowles.—

Revenue Bd. Office.

Fort St. George 11th Jany 1841. } /Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read again letter from Acting Collector of Madras dated 12th and entered in Consultation 24th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the above mentioned letter and the previous correspondence on the subject.—

65

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government. Submitting with

Para 1. Adverting to the endorsement under your signature on Petitions Nos 909

with reference to the endorsement by Govt on Petitions Nos 909 & 1040 of 1840 respectively regarding the Superintendence and Management of the Black Town Pagoda, Copy of a letter from the Acting Collector of Madras reporting thereon also Copy of a previous correspondence with the Acting Coll. on the same subject.—

From the Actg Coll. 25th Augt. 1840. No. 1
12th inst. 24th Decr 1840. No. 2
No. 3
No. 4

and 1040 of 1840, respectively dated the 10th November & 19th December last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before Government the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Madras A previous letter from Mr. Huddleston on the same subject adverted to therein, with copy of the Board's orders in the case, is also submitted in explanation of the circumstances noticed in this correspondence.—

2. It will be seen from the documents now submitted that on the death of Moodoo kistna Moodelly his brother Latchoomana Moodelly was found invested with the immediate Superintendence of the Black town Pagoda under an assignment of the former who had been nominated acting Durmacurtha by decree of the Supreme Court, pending a reference and enquiry before the Master. This investigation has not yet been brought to a close

close, Latchoomana Moodelly consequently holds the Office only until a formal legal decision shall have established the rights of the several litigants.-

3. The question regarding the disposal of the arrears of money belonging to the Pagoda now in deposit has not yet come before the Board, the Report on this point promised in Mr. Hudleston's letter of the 25th August not having been received. Mr. Robertsons attention will be again called to the matter, and the circumstances will be reported to Government for orders, if such a course should seem to be required.-

4. The original Petitions Nos. 909 & 1040 of 1840 are herewith returned.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 11th Jany 1841. } /Signed/ P. B. Smollett Secretary.

From the Coll[r] of Masulipatam.- Read Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsement presented

66

presented to him for the Month of November last.-

Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

66-67

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

To the Principal Collector of Nellore.-

Sir,

To the Pl. Collr. of Nellore ... } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to the Circular letter from this Office dated 6th February 1840, and to request that the information therein required regarding the practice in your District of assessing cultivation under Wells dug at the expense of individual Ryots may be submitted without delay.-

The same to Coimbatore

68

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George 11th Jany 1841. } /Signed/ P. B. Smollett Secretary.

To the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

69

To the Collr. of Madras ... } With reference to Mr. Hudleston's letter of the 25th August last, I am

In Cons. 24th Septr. 1840.

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the report therein promised on the arrears of Maganah allowance due from your Office to the Town Pagoda may be submitted as soon as possible.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
11th January 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Pl. Collr. in the Nr. Dn. of Arcot. Stating that
(70) revenue Defaulters were confined in Jail during the last quarter ending 31st Decr. last.

From the Pl. Collr. in the Nr. Dn. of Arcot. Acknowledg
(71) the receipt of 1000 Salt Rowanahs.

From the Pl. Collr. of Canara.— Salt Accou
(72) Current for the Quarter ending 30th Sept. la

From the Pl. Collr. of Canara.— Tobacco accou
(73) Current for the Quarter ending 30th Septembe

From the Pl. Collr. of Canara. Indent for
(74) Rowanahs for the use of his District
the year 1841.

Order thereon.
(74-75) Ordered to be supplied
Transmitt

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

From the Prin.l Collector of Nellore. Transmitting two Indents
(76) for Stationery required for the use of his Office and that of the Sub Collector for the Current year.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Submitting an Indent
(77) for Stationery required for the use of his District for the year 1841.—

Order on the two abovementioned Indents. Ordered that the
(76-78) Indents be compared, countersigned and transmitted to the Superintendent of Stationery with a request that the supplies be furnished.—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that the printed
(Vide Circular 18th January 1841) forms applied for by him have not yet been
(79) received, and requesting that 4 Statements may be transmitted to him by Sappal at his earliest convenience.—

From the Acting Prin.l Collr. of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand,
(80) Collection and Balance for the Month of Novr. last.—

From the Acting Prin.l Collr. of Tanjore. Statement shewing
(81) the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of November last.—

From the Aj. Prin.l Collector of Tanjore. Register of the State
(82) of River Cauvery at the Annicut for the month of November last.—

From

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the report therein promised on the arrears of Maganah allowance due by your Office to the Town Pagoda may be submitted as soon as possible.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th January 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Pl. Collr in the No. Dn. of Arcot.— Stating that
(70) revenue Defaulters were confined in Jail during the last quarter ending 31st Decr last.

From the Pl. Collr in the No. Dn. of Arcot. Acknowledging
(71) the receipt of 1000 Salt Rowanahs.

From the Pl. Collr of Canara.— Salt Account
(72) Current for the Quarter ending 30th Septr

From the Pl. Collr of Canara.— Tobacco account
(73) Current for the Quarter ending 30th Septr

From the Pl. Collr of Canara.— Indent for
(74) Rowanahs for the use of his District for the year 1841.

Order thereon.
(74-75) Ordered to be supplied. Transmitted

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

From the Princl Collector of Nellore.— Transmitting two Indents
(76) for Stationery required for the use of his Office and that of the Sub Collector for the Current year.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.— Submitting an Indent
(77) for Stationery required for the use of his District for the year 1841.—

Order on the two abovementioned Indents.— Ordered that the
(76-78) Indents be compared, countersigned and transmitted to the Superintendent of Stationery with a request that the supplies be furnished.—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.— Stating that the printed
(Vide Circular 18th January 1841) forms applied for by him have not yet been
(79) received, and requesting that 4 Statements may be transmitted to him by Tappal at his earliest convenience.—

From the Acting Princl Collr of Tanjore.— Abstract of Demand,
(80) Collection and Balance for the Month of Novr last.—

From the Acting Princl Collr of Tanjore.— Statement shewing
(81) the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of November last.—

From the Aff Princl Collector of Tanjore.— Register of the State
(82) of River Cauvery at the Annicut for the Month of November last.—

From

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

From the (83) Ag. Pl. Collr. of Tanjore.- Statement of Smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the month of Novr. last.

Order thereon. (83-84) Ordered that the confiscation and the sale of Smuggled articles enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.-

From the (85) Ag. Collr. of Tinnevelly.- Stating that no Revenue Defaulters was confined in Jail for the quarter ending 31st December last.-

From the (86) Collector of Vizagapatam.- Reporting that no Defaulters are confined in the Jail for the Quarter ending December 1840.

From the (87) Collector of Vizagapatam.- Reporting that no fines imposed or Stoppages made from the pay of public Servants for Quarter ending 31st December last.

From the Ag. (88) Print. Collr. of Malabar.- Requesting early supply of Salt Rahdaries indented for by him on the 15th Ultimo

" J. Dent

" Walter Elliot

" A. Maclean

Comp. Thos. Pitchie.-

Fort St. George 11th January 1841.-

No Diary

Ct. Meeting Present

Thursday 11th Jany 1841

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre. Temporary Member

John Sullivan Esqre. Acting Second Member absent on duty

Minutes of the

last meeting read and approved.-

Read the following Extract

Extract

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.

Extract from the Minutes of Cont. dated 24 Dec 1840

To H. Chamier Esqre

Chief Secretary to the

Government of

Fort St. George

(1)

From the Chief Secy to Govt. transmitting for the Boards information and guidance copy of a letter from the Bengal Govt. regarding the price proposed by the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium to be paid for Salt shipped from the Ports under this Presidency for the Sulkea Golahs and also regarding the exporting of Salt to Calcutta under payment of Customs.—

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of letter No. 775/1062 dated the 28th. September last with its enclosures, and in reply to transmit to you for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying copy of a letter No. 1007 from the Board of Customs Salt and Opium dated the 5th Instant, containing their sentiments on the subject of your letter under acknowledgment and on the opinion expressed by the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George in regard to the arrangement proposed by the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium for reducing the price of salt shipped from the Madras Ports for the Sulkea Golahs.—

2 I am directed to transmit a copy of a further letter from the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium dated the 7th Instant No. 1030 suggesting, with reference

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.

reference to the proposed condition that Madras salt be permitted to be exported for Import into Calcutta only on square Rigged Vessels (under payment of Customs) that it be understood by square rigged such Vessels as are obliged to receive a Pilot on board, and that Salt brought otherwise not in part of the Indent of the Department will render ship and Cargo liable to confiscation; and in respect to Salt shipped according to Indent under a Collectors certificate that it be not allowed to be exported on a Vessel also exporting salt on private account.—

3 The original Papers which accompanied your letter are herewith returned, Copies having been kept in this office for Record.—

Fort William
The 18th. November
1840.

(Signed) G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the Govt.
of Bengal

a/c

A

To The Right Honorable
The Earl of Auckland G.C.B.
Governor General of India
and Governor of Bengal
&c &c &c

My Lord

We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Secretary Bushby's letter No. 526 of the 21st Ultimo, with its enclosures, relative to the supply of Madras salt required by our letter of the 12th June last, in which we suggested a reduction of price on that article when delivered at the Sulkea Golahs for reasons assigned -

2 As the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George has expressed its persuasion that the Native merchants at the Presidency will not be induced to deliver salt at Sulkea for a lower rate than 65 Rupees per 100 Maunds - We cannot of course doubt the soundness of an opinion formed by such an authority in possession complete local knowledge

knowledge and experience - We should however be induced to hope that, if it be found necessary to concede this price to the Exporters from Nellore and Chingleput, still when salt begins to be brought from Rajahmundry, the price may be found susceptible of reduction in proportion to the shorter Voyage which the exporters will have to make -

3 Indeed on this point we may observe, that hereafter should it be found that another arrangement will effect a reduction in the Cost of the Madras Salt delivered at Sulkea, we should not be disposed to insist upon the objection urged in Paragraph 2 of our letter No. 516 of the 12th June last - It is no doubt desirable that we should get the Salt into store between the periods adverted to in that Communication, or from the 1st November to the 1st May following, but the object is not so paramount as that we should incur any very great additional expense for carrying it into effect - If therefore by receiving the Salt as we did formerly, towards the end of the Southerly Monsoon, thereby enabling

enabling the Coast craft to make a fair wind both in coming and returning we can place the means for effecting a reduction of the price payable at Sulkeaway to 60 Rupees per 100 Maunds in the hands of the Madras Authorities. — We should have no objection to their carrying the arrangement into effect for the Salt of 1841. — If this reduction in price, however, cannot be made, we should wish the season of import suggested in the 2nd Paragraph of our letter of the 12th June or from November to May, to stand. —

4. With respect to what we may denominate the Indent for 1840/41 made by our letter of the 12th June last, we should wish the import to be effected as already recommended by the 20th May next, leaving the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George to settle prices not exceeding 65 Rupees per

per 100 Maunds to the best advantage for the public service. — Both that authority and the Government of Fort St. George refer to an assumed reduction in the price of Salt of the Coromandel Coast delivered for exportation to Bengal, and express their disapprobation of such a reduction. — We need scarcely remark to your Lordship that this useless measure which would merely involve the transfer of a recognized revenue from the Treasury of Fort St. George to that of this Presidency, was neither adverted to in our communications on the subject under review or in the remotest degree contemplated by our Board. — What we of course desired to effect, with the example of the Chittagong Salt Trade before us, was a reduction in the price paid here to Importers, but none whatever in the price paid by them to the Madras Government. — We can

can only regret that such a reduction, at least to the extent contemplated by us, has been found impracticable. —

+ Vide Para 2nd of Letter from Board of Revenue to the Government of Fort St. George No. 336 of the 14th September 1840. —

We may here notice that we desire the import of 1840/41, which we may perhaps assume at Six Lacs of Maunds, to be completed by the end May next, because our Stock of Madras and other Kurkutch Salt on hand on the 30th September ult, was not calculated to supply the consumption demand for that description of salt for more than Eight Months. —

6 Hereafter we shall probably have to take from Madras say from Seven to Eight Lacs of Maunds annually (which is about the average demand of these Provinces for that description of salt) unless any considerable quantity should be imported on payment of Customs duties. — At all events the Authorities at Fort St. George may be prepared

prepared to furnish us with a supply to the extent of Eight Lacs of Maunds in fulfilment of the Indent for 1841. The Salt in question if a reduction in price can be effected, as suggested in the 3rd Paragraph of this address, may arrive here towards the end of the rains of 1841 and the Vessels returning to the Coromandel Coast at the commencement of the Northerly Monsoon. — If no reduction in price can be effected, then we beg to repeat, it will be more profitable and convenient to the Department that the Salt of 1841 should be delivered at the Sulkea Golah between November 1841 and end May 1842. —

4 With respect to the condition upon which the Salt from the Coromandel Coast is to be imported and received into the Sulkea — we presume those of formerly in force and duly notified to the Public by the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George

George will be re adopted with the necessary alterations regarding Cy Weight and price. — If it is found possible, however, we should be glad to see a clause inserted similar to one which obtains in the engagement of the Chittagong Exporters, to the effect that in the event of a cargo turning out less than the quantity noted in the Madras Certificate, it shall be at the option of the Board to make a deduction from the freight at the rate of 1 Rupee for each Maund so deficient. — It must rest however with the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George to determine whether such a condition can be introduced, it is essential as some additional check to smuggling from the sloops on their passage up the river if its introduction be ever found practicable. —

8 With reference to a remark in the concluding Paragraph of the letter dated 28th August last No. 51 from the Principal Collector of Salt

Revenue to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George we may observe that we contemplated the import of coast Salt on British Vessels, as was formerly the case. — The Import will be made on the same terms and conditions as those by which the native Exporters from the Coromandel Coast are bound. —

9 We would particularly beg the attention of the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George to the 3d and 4th Paragraphs of our address to your Lordship of No. 516 of the 12th June last, and to the note attached to the 4th Paragraph, Madras brown Salt may be said to be nearly unsaleable here, so long as the Public can obtain Salt imported on payment of customs Cy duties from Bombay, and consequently only encumbers our store houses. — We trust that hereafter none but the white description will be supplied. —

Board of Customs Salt and Opium Cy Fort St. George 10th November 1840

(Signed) H. M. Parker
" W. Blunt

P. S. The original Papers received with Mr. Secretary Bushby's letter under acknowledgment are herewith returned.

returned as requested. —

To The Right Honorable
The Earl of Auckland G.C.B.
Governor General of India
and Governor of Bengal
&c &c &c

My Lord,

With reference to the Suggestion placed before Government by Paragraph 11th of our letter No. 516 of the 19th June last, that Salt from the Coromandel Coast should be admitted to import on payment of Customs Duties at this Port if brought on square rigged Vessels of not less than two hundred Tons burthen, and with reference to the subsequent correspondence which has occurred on the same subject we would wish, in order to prevent the evils which might arise from any misapprehension of our meaning, to be distinctly understood when employing the terms square rigged Vessels not less than two hundred Tons burthen to allude to such only as are of a class which

which must necessarily either take Pilots on entering the river Hooghly or obviate their insurance. —

2. — We advert to this point as we are by no means sure that, Country Sloops (we mean the Dhoonies or Common Coasting Craft) may not exceed a greater burthen than two hundred Tons and such Vessels, which would obviate no insurance, by not taking a Pilot might be converted into the mere purposes of smuggling after they entered this River. —

* Some of these Vessels however widely built and rigged cannot be denied the denomination of square rigged Vessels. —

3 It is indispensable however for obvious reasons to confine the import of Salt by Private speculators who intend to pay Customs duties upon it to such Vessels as will be placed on a par with the ships from Bombay by taking Pilots transmitting manifests from Kedgeree, & having Custom House Officers put on

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

on Board, &c. and it is with this object in view that we now have the honor to address your Lordship for the information of the authorities at Fort St. George who can easily make it understood on allowing salt not in part of our Indent. to be shipped for Export to Bengal, that its being found in the river without the presence of a Pilot on Board of the Vessel will render Ship & Cargo liable to confiscation under the General Provisions of our Salt Laws. —

4 We need scarcely notice to your Lordship that we are compelled to withhold drawback upon goods exported on the native craft "not navigated by Pilots, and not having Custom House officers on Board" (Act XVI of 1837 Section 15) precisely for

† The salt sent in part of our Indent. will be protected by the usual Certificate granted by the Collector on the Coast from whose district it is shipped.

Note

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

for the reason which constrains us to oppose the import of salt on private account by the same description of Vessels Viz.t the impossibility of protecting the Revenue under such circumstances against fraud. —

In conclusion, we would further recommend that no salt on the Public account should be permitted to be shipped under a Collectors Certificate on a Vessel also exporting salt on private account, that is to say, for the purpose of import here on payment of the customs duties. — As Government is aware duty at the rate of 3/4 per Maund is leviable on all Salt deficient on the manifest after making an allowance for wastage, and if Salt on private and Public account were shipped in one and the same Vessel, the owner or consignee would naturally always endeavour to throw any deficiency on that portion of the Salt imported for delivery at the Sulkea Golah upon which the freight and price only would be lost. —

(Signed) W. M. Parker
" W. Blunt
Resolved

Board of Customs
Salt and Opium
the 17th November 1840

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

Resolved that the foregoing letter and its enclosures be forwarded for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 14th Septr. =ber last No. 336.

The Board will take especial care to see that parties availing themselves of the permission now accorded, of exporting salt to Calcutta under payment of Customs are made distinctly aware of the conditions terms and conditions under which they may do so, and in order to guard against any person at any time pleading ignorance, it would perhaps be well, in addition to the plain instructions to be furnished to the Collectors of Maritime districts in the subject, to notify the same in the official Gazette for general information which the Board will accordingly do.

(Signed) Hy Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following papers be dispatched in consequence

To

Comptr. S.R.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

To

The Collector of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 4th Para 1. =quest last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that you are authorized to comply with the applications for Salt for exportation to Calcutta either on British or Native Vessels, to the extent of Bengal Inaunds, in part of a supply of 600,000 required by the 20th May next to be received at the Sulkea godowns by the Bengal authorities.

2. The Salt in question which is particularly required by the Bengal authorities is to be of the very best and whitest description, it will be received at the Sulkea godowns at the rate of Rupees 65/ Sixty five per 100 Bengal Inaunds, to be delivered at an even scale, and without any allowance to cover wastage. The price received by you being Rupees 35/ Thirty five per 100 Bengal Inaunds.

At the suggestion of the Board

3.

Madras 31st
Nellore 38
Chingleput 39
South Arcot 17
} 1 Cmc 31st Augt 1840.

1 Dpty Collr of Madras, 1 do Nellore, 1 do Chingleput and 1 do Arcot.

100000
150000
50000

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Board of Customs, Salt and Opium, an additional clause will be inserted in the advertisement for the sale of this Salt, which will be published for general information in the Fort St. George Gazette, stipulating that parties engaging in the exportation of this supply shall enter into an engagement to the effect that in the event of the cargo turning out less than the quantity noted in the Madras Certificate, it shall be at the option of the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium in Calcutta to make a deduction from the freight at the rate of 1 Rupee for each Maund so deficient. You are requested to see that this stipulation is complied with.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Advertisement

Advertisement.

(3)

Notice is hereby given that the Collectors of the undermentioned Districts have received Authority to comply with applications for Salt for exportation to Calcutta, on British or

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

or Native bottoms, to the following extent.

	Bengal Maunds.
Madras	100,000
Chingleput	300,000
Nellore	150,000
South Arcot	50,000

The Salt in question is required to be imported in Bengal by the 20th of May next. It will be supplied at the rate of Rupees 35 (thirty five) per 100 Bengal Maunds, and received at the rate of (65) Sixty five Rupees per 100 Bengal Maunds on delivery at the Sulkea Gollahs, at an even scale, without any allowance for wastage.

Parties engaging in the exportation of the above supply will be required to enter into an engagement to the effect that in the event of a Cargo turning out less than the quantity noted in the Madras Certificate, it shall be at the option of the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium in Calcutta to make a deduction from the freight at the rate of 1 Rupee for each Maund

so

to deficient.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

(1-4)

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Reporting the measures taken by the Board to meet the demand of the Bengal Govt for a supply of Salt to the extent of 6 Lacs of Bengal Maunds to be exported by the 20th May next and stating that 65 Rs. P. Maund is the lowest rate at which the merchants can be induced to undertake to deliver the Salt in Calcutta and that the Board have accordingly inserted that rate in the advertisement sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation — — — of the 24th Ultimo, transmitting a copy of a letter from the Bengal Government on the subject of the supply of Salt required for exportation to Calcutta by the 20th May next, and the modifications suggested to be made in the arrangements for future supplies.

2. Adverting to the shortness of the period within which the present Indents are required to be completed, the Board consider that it would be useless to attempt to effect any further reduction in the Bengal Monopoly price, below that which appears from the reports received on the subject to be the lowest

at which the Merchants can be induced to undertake to deliver the Salt in Calcutta Viz. Rupees 65 per 100 Bengal Maunds, and they have accordingly inserted this rate in the Advertisement sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette in which they have Authorized the Collectors noted in the Margin to supply to the extent of 6,00,000 which appears from the letter from the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium which accompanied your communication under reply to be the Amount required for the supply of the present year 1840/41.

Madras — — 1,00,000
Chingleput — 3,00,000
Vellore — — 1,50,000
South Arcot — 50,000

3. With reference to the other points adverted to in the letter in question, Viz. the arrangements to be made for the future supply of Salt to the Bengal Government from this Presidency the Board as stated in my letter of the 17th Ultimo, must defer submitting their sentiments until the receipt of the information required from the Collectors upon the various points adverted to

Para 2

to in the communications lately received from Bengal.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th January 1841.

/ From Board 21st December 1840 /

From the Chief Secretary to Govt. The Right Honble the Governor in Council observes that the charges reported by Mr. Franklyn as having been incurred in the examination of the Pearl banks on the Tinnevelly Coast Viz. Rupees 3307-11-2 received sanction on the 14th May 1840 but the Authority so granted was not communicated to the Board of Revenue as it appears that the charges referred to by the Board in their present and previous communication of the 15th June 1840 are already included in the sum previously sanctioned. further Authority for the Amount seems unnecessary.-

5

/ Signed / H. T. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

5-6

Order thereon-
/ Vide Pro: 15th February /

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 19th and entered in Consultation 28th September last.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

To the Collr. in the Zillah of Rajahmundry
In Con. 28th Sept. 1840.

7

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Septr. last with statements shewing the number of Houses and extent of lands held under Government Grants as well as of those enjoyed without the support of such documents.-

2- In reference to the rate of Assessment to be levied thereon, I am directed to observe that lands occupied under the authority of Government Grants must be assessed with the full Amount of Quit Rent noted therein wherever practicable unless

special

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

special sanction has been granted for a reduc-
of the impost- where lands are not held
under this tenure, they are of cours
liable to the tax leviable on lands of
similar quality in the neighbourhood.

2d It is not required that a tax sh
be levied on the owners of Houses now exi
-istence in the Dutch Settlement of Sadr
-nakkepoorum where none it is stated
ever heretofore been fixed, but it will
proper to assess with a Quit Rent all
Land hereafter taken up for build
or other purposes on the same princi
as ground in other parts of the District
appropriated is assessed.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

Signed P. B. Sm
Secretary

Rea

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

8

From the Collr of Rajahmundry Submitting with reference to Bd orders in the 21st Para of their Proceedings of the 3d Instant the cause of the remuneration to be granted to the Village Moonsiffs & Pettamdars of the Govt Villages of the District & requesting to submit as speedily as possible to the consideration of Govt as it is one of very great importance under the present circumstance of the remuneration thereof.

Rec'd 15th & 12

Dated 10th January 1840

For 269 Villages
For 301 Ds inclusive
of newly reverted
states of Repalcondah
and Mongalgerah.

Para 1.— I have the honor to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased, with reference to the remarks contained in the 21st Paragraph of their Proceedings of the 3d instant to submit, for the special consideration of Government, the two Statements which accompanied my Jumabundy Reports of Fusilies 1248 and 1249 — specifying the allowances, which I recommended should be granted to the Moonsiffs and Pettamdars of the Government Villages in this District, the amounts for the former year being Rupees 4,357 — 2 — 11 and for the latter Rupees 6,103 — 2 — 6.—

2.— The justice of remunerat-
-ing this class of persons for the performance of the Revenue & Police duties required from them, and the advantage of giving them a direct interest in the welfare of their respective Villages, are the points, which induce me thus earnestly to espouse the claims

From Fort St. George 14th January 1841.-

Sums, which have been preferred to me personally during the last three years in almost every Village, and though I have no doubt but that they will be given ultimately, I am most anxious that they should not be delayed, as the amount of them is a mere trifle, in comparison to the increase in the Revenue which an steady settlement of the management will ensure and the notice which the bad management of the Government Estates in this District has attracted, renders it imperative on me to use my utmost endeavours to remove the obstacles, which obstruct improvements, of which this is certainly one of very great importance.-

3.- With respect to the scale of remuneration proposed it appears moderate, at two per cent, to the Moonsiffs and Pettanawdars of each Village, on the collections actually realized, and being inclusive of the allowances already enjoyed either in money or lands, gives Government the full benefit of every remuneration already conferred

From Fort St. George 14th January 1841.-

conferred merely requiring them to supply the deficiency where those remunerations do not reach the defined standard.- Looking at the working of the proposed measure in detail in a small Village of Rupees five hundred, in which one person is sufficient to perform the duties of both Moonsiff and Pettanawdar, his remuneration will be Rupees ten a year while in a large Village of Rupees six thousand, the Moonsiff will get sixty Rupees and four Pettanawdars fifteen Rupees each.-

4 Trusting that the great importance of this measure will excuse my importunity, I can assure the Board I would not press it so earnestly if I did not feel assured that it will tend more than any thing else to secure the efficient management of the Government Estates.-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collr. Circt. Cutcherry
Samulcottah 19th Dec. 1840

Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

8-9
Order thereon
Read again in Cons.
28th January/

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.-

C.C.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.-

To The Secretary
to the Court of Wards
Fort St. George.-

From the Coll. Rajahmundry submitting the nomination of a new Manager for the Rumpah country for sanction and affording the necessary explanations. No. 13 dt. 14th July 1840 & Enclosures & Reply dt. 14th Jany 1841

Sir,

3 Paras.- With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to report the steps, which have since been taken by me for the Management of the Rumpah Country.-

(10)

2.- Immediately after the death of the late Manager, I had no course left, but to appoint Punkalapanty Jugannadaranze, the Head of Police of Gootalah temporarily as Manager of the Rumpah country, until I could find a person able and willing to undertake the charge. This was no easy matter. Ganapantyranze Reckaydryranze, the late Manager was an Inhabitant of Gudeeradah adjoining to the Rumpah country, and was a very quiet and respectable man, and I considered his death a great loss and I regretted it the more, as, though there is no doubt, a good deal of influence on his part led to the catastrophe; still I have always considered that he was incited

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.-

incited to exceed the bounds of prudence by that unconciliatory spirit on the part of the family, which has certainly aggravated the feelings of the country against them, though the real origin of those feelings is of that serious private nature which is the most difficult to be got the better of in a Hill Country.-

3 The person whom I now beg leave to propose as Manager, is Ulladah Juggiah, an Inhabitant of the Village of Deyoovantee Palavaram attached to the Cattara Mootah in this District, and a near relation to Allum Vencanah Pardaul, the Father in-Law to Aree Vanoomaloo Wooddundah Juggapah Dorah of Nellepoody, the present Zemindar of Tatipilly, which was granted free from assessment in the same manner as the Rumpah country. From the time of the death of Ganapuntty ranze Reckadryranze, the late Manager, the Family as well as myself, were anxious to get Allum Vencanah Pardaul himself to

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

to undertake the management of
Runnpah, but he was unwilling
the charge he at present holds in the
management of the Tatapilly Zem
but proposed the present person Alle
I suggested with an understanding that
should give him every assistance of his
4.- Since the death of the late
the disaffected have at no time been
equal to contend with my Establishment
I have had a good deal of difficulty in
treating the disposition shewn by the
of the Law Country, and even by some
own servants to exaggerate their story
to raise unnecessary alarm as an excuse
backwardness and any decision thereon
of the Revenue. The excitement thus raised
I shall be, been to a great extent got the better
and I trust the proposed arrangement
will prove effectual in the manner
of this country, and that no inconvenience
expense will be necessary.

Yillah Royahmundry
Coll's Cutch butchery
Samulcottah 22 Dec 1840

Signed G. A. Smith
Coll

Proceedings thereon.

10-11

The Court of Wards in the
absence of any more eligible person are
pleased to approve of the selection of
Alladee Suggoolu by Mr Smith for the
Management of the Runnpah Zemindary

Comp. T.R.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

To The Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1.- With reference to my letter of
16th September last, I have the honor to sub=
mit, for the consideration of the Court of Co
Wards, copy of a complaint filed against
me in the Udalut Court of Rajahmundry,
by one Darah Veeravalingum of Pannunga=
=pilly, seeking to recover, as mortgagee to
him, certain property distrained by me,
on account of the balance of Tudla=
=mauny Venkatapah late Renter of Pannapilly Village, who owes
to the minor Zemindar of Pittapoor a
sum of Rupees 3,877-3-6.-

2 Vudlamauny Venkatapah
having refused all my offers to make
a fair proposition for the adjustment
of his balance, and having thus com=
=menced proceedings in Court, no other
course is open for me but to seek the
sanction of the Court of Wards for
defending the suit on behalf of the
minor Zemindar, and in the mean=

time

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

to undertake the management of
Runpah, but he was unwilling
the charge he at present holds with
management of the Tatipilly Zem
but proposed the present person
I suggest with an understanding
should give him every assistance
4- Since the death of the late
the disaffected have at one time been
equal to contend with any Establishment
I have had a good deal of difficulty
-tending the disposition shewn by the
of the low country, and even by some
own servants to exaggerate their
to raise unnecessary alarm as an excuse
backwardness and any lenity in the
of the Revenue. The excitement thus
I shall, however, soon get the better
and I trust the proposed arrangement
will prove effectual in the manner
of this country, and that no inconvenience
expense will be necessary.

Yellah Rajahmundry
Coll's Office Cutchery
Samulcottah 22 Dec 1840

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Coll

Proceedings thereon

10-11

The Court of Wards in the absence of any more eligible person are pleased to approve of the selection of Allada Sungiah by Mr Smith for the Management of the Runpah Zemindary

Comp. J.R.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

To The Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1.- With reference to my letter of 16th September last, I have the honor to submit, for the consideration of the Court of Wards, copy of a complaint filed against me in the Udalut Court of Rajahmundry, by one Darah Veerasalingum of Pannunge-pilly, seeking to recover, as mortgagee to him, certain property distrained by me, on account of the balance of Tudla-maunny Venkatapah late Renter of Pannapilly Village, who owes to the minor Zemindar of Pittapoor a sum of Rupees 3,877-3-6.-

2 Tudlamaunny Venkatapah having refused all my offers to make a fair proposition for the adjustment of his balance, and having thus commenced proceedings in Court, no other course is open for me but to seek the sanction of the Court of Wards for defending the suit on behalf of the minor Zemindar, and in the meantime

time, security has been taken by the
Court of Udalut at Rajahmundry for
personal appearance of Vudlamani
Venkatapah and his securities and
taken by the same authority for
sale of such property as is under

3 The Draft of my first
+ No. 2 answer is herewith submitted for
sanction of the Court.

Dillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah, 24th Decr. 1840

(Signed) G. A. Stone
Collr.

No. 1

To The Court of Udalut
of the Dillah
Masulipatam

A complaint filed by Darah Veeravadi
Pannungepully Merchant Plff against J. Stone Esqre
Smith Esqre Collector of the Dillah of Rajahmundry
2 Pocherauze Venkiah Darogah of the Division
Dracharamah, 3 Woopaboory Canakarauze
Peishcar under the said Darogah, 4 Vi
=rewada Chinnumrauze Canungo
Amoojoor, 5 Pantroolah Nurrimloo
Dracharamah Police Peon, & 6 Pannungepully
Purrauze Cumnum and 7 Vayetlah Doropah of
Moonsiff of Pannungepully, & Vudlamany
Venkatapah of Pannungepully late renter of
Vanapilly Village, and 9 Currah Lutchmunnah
of Cheratapoody, 10 Do. Visyunnah of Vanapilly,
& 11 Chabole Reddy of Modacooroo, Enamdars
and Securities to the said renter Defendants.-

As my Mother Bapanamah
and myself lived in the dwelling house
of the 8th Defendant at Pannungepully
from the 2nd day of Phaulgoona Soodha of
the year Sarvadhary, on a rent of 24
Rupees per annum, the said 8th De-
=fendant borrowed on the 6th day of
Vayasagha Bahoolah of the year
Velumby a sum of Rupees 1,500 in
ready cash from my Mother and
Guardian the said Bapanamah (as
I was minor at that time) for the
purpose of distributing the Tuccavy
(in seed Grain and Bullocks &ca) re-
=quired for the Village of Vanapilly

Rented

rented by him; he had also previously
borrowed at different times a sum
Rs. 835-14 " according to the accom
closed, making in all Rs. 1935-14
for which amount he executed a Bo
on the same date in the name of m
Mother Bapanamah, specifying
liquidate it with 18 per Cent. interes
per mensem as follows. - a sum of
Rupees and its interest on the 30th. day
Phaulgoonah Bahoolah of the said
(Velumbey) and Rupees 835-14 and
interest on the 30th. day of Phalgoonah
Bahoolah of the year Tekaury. he also
mortgaged by the said Bond for the
security of the said amount the
=ling houses he has for his own
(excluding those for the share of
brother) at Pannungapilly together
the compound thereof, the dwelling
place which he had purchased from
one Subneviss Venkiah Pintoolou of
Village, and his Paukeraujie Enam
situated in the said Village, name
the Ramanah Madey, the Goommedah
=lavary Goodday or land, the 22 Coomb

of Paukeraujie land which he had from
Vudlamaimy Nursanah by conditional sale
and which was confirmed to him by the
Court's Decree also the 1/4 Pullay Pootty of
Paukeraujie land held for his share at
Amjoor. - the 30 Coonchums of Paukeraujie
Enam land sold by Iyala Sonayajoolah
Lutchminnursoo. the dwelling place at
Pannungepilly sold by the same Lutch=
=minnursoo the 1/2 Pullay Pootty of
Paukeraujie land sold to him by Vud=
=lamaimy Nursanah at Khundekah
and the 10 Coonchums of Paukeraujie
land in the latter place which he had
divided with his brother on account
of their father's succession. - It was also
stated in the said Bond that the property
mortgaged should be abandoned to us
for and in consideration of the said debt,
provided it should not be liquidated ac=
=cording to the instalments alluded to,
that if it be so abandoned to us he would
be liable to deliver to us the former
documents which he held relative to
the same; that the payments which
may be made in liquidation of the
said debt should only be receipted on

the

the back of the said Bond but no statement of separate receipts &c. to that effect was approved. He was also agreed not to pay rent since the date of the said Bond for house occupied by us. The said Defendant has not liquidated any part of the above notwithstanding the expiration of the said instalments but upon my demanding it from me after my minority, he, the said Defendant made over to me on the 10th. day of Chitra Bahoolah of the year Caroary the under of the mortgaged property as stipulated in the said Bond along with the former documents thereof, and as I was in consequence freely enjoying it, the said 8th. Defendant, as well as his securities 9th. 10th. & 11th. Defendants, under the plea his falling in arrear for July 1249 regarding the rent of the Village of Tha pilly, made some misrepresentation the 1st. Defendant, at whose order the Defendant, the 3rd. 4th. and 5th. Defendant accompanied by the 6th. & 7th. came the part of the 2nd. Defendant and attached at the persuasion of the 8th & 11th. Defendants on the 2nd 3rd. and 4th 1840 the property consisting of houses lands, dwelling places &c. which

made over to me by the 8th. Defendant and which I have been freely enjoying the two kilns consisting of about 30,000 bricks, which I have got burnt for building Godowns in the said Village, also the 9 Teakwood Door frames, 10 Pillars &c. with their top pieces and six black stones intended for their bottoms, and one colored cot which belonged to me and they likewise sent us away out of the said dwelling houses. I have in consequence presented an Arzee to the 1st. Defendant on the 13th. July 1840 saying that the other defendants having unreasonably had my property attached he would enquire into the matter and cause the removal of the same, but he refused to investigate it giving verbal answer that I should have recourse to the Civil Court. Though it is prohibited by Sec iii Regulation XXVIII of 1802 to distrain or sell the real property even of the revenue Defaulters, yet the real property consisting of Enam lands, houses, &c. as well as some personal property which belonging to me, who have not any interference whatever in this matter, was not only attached in an irregular manner but is to be put up to sale; that I am in consequence obliged to prefer the present Complaint for the recovery of the following from the Defendants

Probable

do do do Probable value of half of the 3d dwelling tile houses and their compounds of the 8th Defendants share at Paunungepilly which was made over to me and was in my Enjoyment (and which lies on the East excepting the other half share of the said 8th Defendants brother Soarapah which lies on the West the whole houses being situated within the following limits, on the East the place of the Commany Pollaby on the South and West the spot where the cattle are placed, on the North the main street) including the ceiling planks, Doors, & frame, &ca ... 30

Do ... of one dwelling place at Paunungepilly situated within the following limits - On the East the place of Vudlah Puttesum, on the South the main street, on the West the Cattle Compound of Villah Puddiah, on the North the Southern Wall of Do Vencapah and others Cattle Compound ... 8

Probable value of one dwelling place at Paunungepilly situated within the following limits

Carried over 47

Brought over 444

on the East the house of Jyanasomy= =yajoolah Croostamah on the South the main Street, on the do West the dwelling place of Jyana= =sonayajoolah Jogunnah, on the North the site of the Village .... 20.

Annual Cist of the 12 Coonchums of Enam land called Ramanah Mady situated at Paunungepilly within the following limits on the East the Manium land of J. Parriah and Croostamah, on the South the Je= =royettee Land, on the West the Bounda= =ry on the North the Enam land of do Calagrama & Waranapeeswar 4

Do of the 8 Coonchums Lankerangie land called Goonmadadalavary Goodah do situated at Do within the following do limits - On the East the Bank of Mango Garden of B. Lutchmenarpin, on the South the Enam land of G. Venkiah Puntooloo, on the West the road bank, on the North the Zeroyettee Land .. 3

Do of two Enam lands obtained do from Vudlamanny Nursanah as

Carried over 471

Brought over

as per Courts decision, one of 10 Coon:
=chums situated within the following
limits, on the East the Enam land
of I Pariah, on the South the Ma:
=niums of Seeval and Cavoah Co
Pagoda Gods, on the West the Ma:
=nium land of Vudlah Puttayen, on
the North the Manium Land of Co
Seetaramah Sastroolo, and the
other of 12 Coonchums situated Co
within the following limits, on the
East the Jeroyettee Land, on the Co
South the Maniums of Land of
Survadamodoo, on the West the Co
Manium land of I Camiah, on
the North the Manium land of Co
V. Seetarama Sastroloo, in all
22 Coonchums. . . . . .

Probable value of 1¼ Puttey of
Lankeerajie Enam land situated
at Anjoor within the following Co.
limits. on the East the Garden Co
bank of B Narapah and A. Jagapah,
on the South, West and North the
Jeroyettee Land. . . . . .
Annual Cost of the 3 Lankeerajie

Carried Over 5

Brought over 536

Enam lands purchased from Iyanaxoma=
yajoolah Lutchmenurroo at Anjoor, one
measuring 18 Coonchums lies within the
following limits, on the East and South
the Enam lands of the I. Jaganah, on
the West the Enam lands of V. Veera=
=salingum, on the North the Enam Co
land of I. Baulacroostamah and Ven=
=kanah. the other measuring 8 Coon=
=chums lies within the following li=
=mits on the East a channel, on the
North and South the Enam Lands of
I. Jagamah, on the West, the Enam
land of I. Baulakristamah and Co
Venkanah. - and one measuring 4 Coon=
=chums lies within the following li=
=mits, on the East the Jeroyettee Co
land, on the South the boundary of
the Village, on the West and North Co
the Enam lands of I. Joganah, in
all measuring 30 Coonchums. . . . 12
Do. of 3 Do. Do. - Situated at Khan=
=dekah, one measuring 10 Coonchums
lies within the following limits.
on the East South and West the Co
Jeroyettee lands, on the North the

Carried Over 548

Brought Over 64

the Enam land of V. Soorapah the other co measuring 20 Coonchums lies within the following limits- on the East and North the Jeroyettee lands, on the South and West the Enam land of V. Seetaramah Sastrolu, and one measuring 20 Coon:-chums lies within the following limits- on the East and North the Enam land of V. Seetarama Sastrolu on the West & South the Jeroyettee co lands in all measuring 50 co:s co Coonchums 2-

Probable value of 30,000 Burnt Bricks-

Do. . . . . of 9 Teakwood Door frames-

Do. . . . of 10 Teakwood Vca. Pillars-

Do. . . . of 10 Pieces for the tops of the said pillars }

Do. . . . of 6 Black stones . . 1-

Do. . . . of one Colored Cot . . 2-

Total . . 69

As I must have, according to the above detail, 464 Rs. worth of tiles and dwelling places, 2 Tullay Soothies 15½ Looms of Lauheeraziu Enam co yielding an annual Cist of 18 and 64 Rs. worth of Bricks Vca. for the proper

properly, I pray the Court will examine my Witnesses and documents and pass a co Decree so that the said property may be made over to me on the removal of the attachment made by order of the 1st. De:-fendants, and that the Defendants may pay me the Amount of such subsequent produce as would accrue upon the said Enam lands until their deli:-very to me, together with the Costs of suit. - Please to peruse Dated 27th. July 1840.

Stamp Correct

(Signed) Ch. Sorbaroydoo

Ch:

(True Copy)

(Signed) Veerasalingum

Sigd. V. Venkatachellum

(True translation)

Govt. Vakeel

(Signed) G. A. Smith

Collector

No. 2.

Draft of proposed answer.

To The Court of Udalut

of the Zillah of

Masulipatam

Proposed answer by the 1st Defendant G. A. Smith Esqre. Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry in Cause No. 48 of 1840 filed by Darah Veerasalingum of Pannungepilly Plaintiff -

The Plaintiff states in his com:-plaint that the 8th. Defendant borrowed from his Mother and Guardian Bapanamah (as

(as he was minor at that time) Viz.t Rs. 1100, on the 6th day of Tyeagha Bahoolah of the year Sillumby and Rs. 835-14-0 previous thereto at different times, according to the accounts closed, making in all Rupees 1935-14- and executed in her name a Bond, on the same date, for it, specifying to liquidate it with interest by two diff:-ferent instalments, mortgaging for the security of the said amount his dwelling houses and Lankeerazie Enam Lands situated in different Villages; that according to the stipulations of the said Bond, he (the 8th Defendant) had, on the expiration of the Instalments, delivered over the former documents held by him regarding the property in question, together with the possession of the property; that while he was enjoying the same, it was attached by order of the Collector (at the request of the 8th 9th 10th and 11th Defendants) together with 2 Kilns of Bricks, saying that the 8th Defendant fell in arrears on

on account of his rent of the Vaunapilly village for Fasly 1249. that upon his (the complainants) presenting an arzee to the Collector on the subject he refused to investigate it, giving verble answer to have recourse to the Civil Courts; and that as his property, as detailed in his complaint, which has been attached in an irregular manner and contrary to section III Regulation XXVIII of 1802, is now to be put up to sale, he is induced to file the present complaint, praying the Court to pass a decree adjudging the Defendants to make him over the property in question, together with the subsequent produce upon the said Enams, until their delivery to him, and pay him the costs of suit.

In reply to the above, the Collector begs leave to observe that he never refused to examine the complaint of Petitioner, so far from it, it was regularly received and

and summons issued for him, when he was not to be found having gone to the Court. - As this case is connected with a minor Estate, it appears to the Collector that it would have been competent to the Zillah Court to have investigated it summarily under Regulation XXVIII of 1802 and Regulation V of 1822, though no harm could have been done, by a primary investigation being made by the Collector, which might have been appealed against to the Court within 30 days. - Vudlamanny Vencatapah's engagements have existed with regard to the Vannapilly Village for fusly 1246 to 1249 and his present balance on account of the rents is Rs. 3,877-3-6 for the discharge of which, he was asked to name such a fair proposition as could be approved of, instead of which he refused to make any attempt to

Nawany Piddiah
Kurnadun Chelmiah
Darah Verrada
Lingum the
present Complainant.

3

to liquidate it, but set up his Brother Vudlamanny Soorapah and 3 other persons to prosecute the Collector for the property, the most part of which had been regularly tendered for the fulfilment of his Engagements at the time of their commencement in fusly 1246, by a security bond duly executed by him, the said Vencatapah, and his Brother Soorapah, under date the 2nd. Raeman-ker 1836, and as on reference to that Bond the Court will perceive that it is of a prior date to the one now stated in the Complaint to have been executed in the year Velumbey or 1838, there can be no doubt of the invalidity of this latter. - In consequence of the line of conduct adopted by Vudlamanny Vencatapah, the Collector was obliged, under date 17th. September 1840, to

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.

to refer him and his securities to the Court, by whom steps have been taken for the realization of this balance.—

The Collector cannot help considering that this case has been brought with a fraudulent intent, that however will be decided on by the Court, but at any rate, as Petitioner has never been refused redress sought for the Collector must be exempted from all Costs as provided by Regn. I of 1823 Sec IV Clause II.—

The 2nd 3rd 4th 5th and 6th Defendants in this case being Servants acting under the Collr. he requests that this answer may be taken for them also.—

(Signed) G.A.D.
Collr.

Compd. S.R.

Fort St. George 14th. January. 1841.

(13)

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry submitting copy with translation of a complaint dated 29 of 1840 filed in the Adalut Court at Rajahmundry against the Collector &c. by one Durak Veerasalingum with draft of the Proposed answer and requesting authority to defend it on behalf of Pattahon Brinda Zamindar.—

To the Secretary to the
Court of Wards—
Sir,
Fort St. George

With reference to my letter of 16th. September last, I have the honor to submit, for the consideration of the Court of Wards, copy of a complaint filed against me in the Adalut Court of Rajahmundry by one Durak Veerasalingum of Pannungapilly seeking to recover, as belonging to him certain property detained by me, on account of the balance of Vadlamanny Venkatapah late Renter of Varapilly Village, who owes to the Minor Zemindar of Pittapoor a sum of Rupees 3877-3-6-

Vadlamanny Venkatapah having refused all my offers to make a fair proposition for the adjustment of his balance, and having thus commenced proceedings in Court, no other course is open for me but to seek the sanction of the Court of Wards for defending the suit on behalf of the Minor

Minor Zemindar and in the mean time security has been taken by the Court of Udalut at Rajahmundry for the personal appearance of Vudlamany Venkatapah and his securities and steps taken by the same authority for sale of such property as is under=
=puted -

3.

No 2. The Draft of my proposed answer is herewith submitted for the sanction of the Court -

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah 24th December 1840

{ Signed } G. A. Smith
Collr

To the Court of Udalut
of the Zillah of
Masulipatam

No 1
of 479 of 1840.

A complaint filed by Durut Varada=
=yum of Pauungapilly Merchant Plain=
=tiff against G. A. Smith Esqr Collector
of the Zillah of Rajahmundry Defend=
=ant Timmarauze Amildar of Pau=
=pilly Timmarauze Amildar of Pau=
=poor, 3 Meerah Sahib of Atcha=
Police Peon, and 4 Chittiwadah Ryots
Pundaboo Cursiny, and 5 Vankadah Ryots
Moonsiff of Billacooroo, 6 Vudlamany
Venkatapah

Venkatapah of Paunungapilly late Reve=
=nue Renter of Vaunapilly, and 7 Curnak
Lutchmumah of Cheratapoody 8 Curnak
Vissanah of Vaunapilly and 9 Chabole
Reddy of Modacooroo Inamdars securi=
=ties to the said Renter Defendants to=

Have on the 10th day of the
Margasera Vodu of the year Vekaury
delivered to the 6th Defendant for feed=
=ing on hire my cattle consisting of
1 female Buffaloe 1 male young
horns, 1 Male white Calf of the latter
1 white Cow with her 4 young Cows &
and 1 Male Calf belonging to one of the
white Color of the latter in all 10
heads. The 6th Defendant sent these
cattle along with his own to his other
rented Village named Billacooroo
owing to the scarcity of the grazing at
Paunungapilly for them for feeding
them there But he the 6th Defendant
under plea of falling in arrear for fusly
1249 regarding the rent of the Village
Vaunapilly of Chullapilly Mootah
attached to Pittapoor Talook, having
in conjunction with his securities the

the 7th 8th and 9th Defendants to make some misrepresentations to the 1st Defendant the 5th Defendant came to Billa-cunt, and with a Talkeel from the 2nd and a Hookum issued by the 1st Defendant together with the 4th and 5th and attached the aforesaid 10 cattle on the 29th and 30th June 1840 at the persuasion of the 7th 8th and 9th Defendants. I have in consequence presented an Arzee to the 1st Defendant under date the 13th July 1840 stating my order the removal of the unwarranted attachment of my said cattle upon investigating the same but he refused to enquire into the matter and gave me verbal answer that I should have recourse to the Civil Court and as the cattle in question are to be put up to sale I am now induced to file the present Complaint for the recovery of them from the Defendants the probable value of which is as follows —

1 Female Buffaloe		3 — —
1 Male Gaung Do		1 — —
		4 — —

		9 — 8 — —
1 Cow called Pyaro without horns		4 — — —
1 Male white Calf of Do		2 — — —
1 White Cow		3 — 8 — —
4 Young Cows of Do as follows —		
1 Peddah Payah	5 — — —	
1 Cary Chittah Payah	4 — — —	
1 Mayelah Chittah Payah	2 — — —	
1 Tooroopoo Payah	1 — — —	12 — — —
1 Male Calf of one of the said Young Cows		1 — — —
	Total	32 — — —

I therefore pray the Court will be pleased to examine my Witnesses and documents, and pass a Decree adjudging the Defendants to restore me to my cattle upon the removal of the attachment of the 1st Defendant, and to pay me the costs of suit — Please to peruse Dated 27th July 1840 —

Stamp Correct — (Signed) Veerasalingum

(Signed) M. Soobaroyaloo
Shereistadar

/True translation/
(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

No 2.

Draft of a proposed Answer —

To the Court of Udalut of the Zillah of Masulipatam

Proposed answer by the 1st Defendant

G.

G. A. Smith Esqr Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry in cause No 479 of 1840 filed by Dara Veerasalingum of Pamunga file Plaintiff —

The Plaintiff states in his complaint that he having delivered to the 6th Defendant, for feeding on hire 10 heads of his Cattle he (the 6th Defdt) sent them with his own to his rent Village of Billaconna for feeding them there, that the Collector ifsued orders at the request of the 6th 7th and 9th Defendants, to attach the cattle in question under pleas that the 6th Defendant had fallen in arrears for fusly 1249 on account of his rent of the Village of Vem=pilly belonging to the Minor Estate of Pittapoor that his cattle having been accordingly attached he preferred an Arzee to the Collector on 13th Jany 1840 on the subject, but he refused to enquire into it and gave verbal answer to have recourse to the Civil Court that as his said cattle are to be sent for sale, he was induced to file the present complaint, and requests the Court to

pass a Decree adjudging the Defend=ant to restore him his cattle worth 32 Rupees and pay him the costs of suit=

In reply to the above, the Collector begs leave to observe that he never refused to examine the complaint of Petitioner, so far from it, it was regularly received and Summons issued for him when he was not to be found having gone to the Court. As this case is connected with a Minor Estate, it appears to the Collector that it would have been competent to the Zillah Court to have investigated it sum==marily under Regulation 28 of 1802 and Regulation 5 of 1822, that no harm could have been done by a primary investigation being made by the Collector, which might have been appealed against to the Court within 30 days Vadlamanu Venkatapahs engagements have existed with regard to the Vemnapilly Village from fusly 1246 to 1249 and his present balance on account of the rents is Rupees 3977-3-6. for the discharge of which he

Fort St George 14th January 1841

G. A. Smith Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry in cause No 479 of 1840 filed by Davi Veerasalingum of Pauneyapilly Plaintiff –

The Plaintiff states in his complaint that he having delivered to the 6th Defendant, for feeding on hire 10 heads of his Cattle he (the 6th Defdt) sent them with his own to his re Village of Billacorroo for feeding them there, that the Collector upon orders, at the request of the 6th & 9th Defendants, to attach the cattle in question under pleas the 6th Defendant had fallen in arrears for fusly 1249 on account of his rent of the Village of Vau =pilly belonging to the Minor Est of Pittapoor that his cattle have been accordingly attached he pres an Arzee to the Collector on 3 1840 on the subject, but he refus to enquire into it and gave me answer to have recourse to the Civil Court that as his said cattle are to be put up sale, he was induced to file the pres complaint, and requests the Court to

Fort St George 14th January 1841

pass a Decree adjudging the Defend= =ant to restore him his cattle worth 32 Rupees and pay him the costs of suit=

In reply to the above, the Collector begs leave to observe that he never refused to examine the co complaint of Petitioner, so far from it, it was regularly received and summons issued for him when he was not to be found having gone to the Court. As this case is connected with a Minor Estate, it appears to the Collector that it would have been competent to the Zillah Court to have investigated it sum= =marily under Regulation 28 of 1802 and Regulation 5 of 1822, that no harm could have been done by a primary investigation being made by the Collector, which might have been appealed against to the Court within 30 days Vullamanoy Venkata pahs engagements have existed with regard to the Vauna pilly Village from fusly 1246 to 1249 and his present balance on account of the rentes Rupees 3877-3-6. for the discharge of which he

Fort St George 14 January 184

he was asked to make such a fair proposition as could be approved instead of which he refused to make any attempt to liquidate it but sued up his brother Vadlamany Soorya and 3 other persons to prosecute the Co-llector for the property the most part of which had been regularly tendered for the fulfilment of his engagements at the time of their commencement in July 1836. In consequence of the line of conduct adopted by Vadlamany Venkatapah the Collector was obliged under date 17th October 1840 to refer him and his advocates to the Court by whom steps had been taken for the realization of this balance —

1 Nunsary Peddiah
1 Cumsetty Chelumiah
1 Darsah Veeraiah
=lingam the present Compt.
3

The Collector cannot help considering that this case has been brought with a fraudulent intent that however will be decided on by the Court, but at any rate as Petitioner has never been refused redress sought for the Collector must be exempted from all costs

Fort St George 14 January 1841

provided by Regulation I of 1823 Section 4 Clause 2nd The 2nd 3rd 4th and 5th Defendants in this case being servants acting under the Collector, he requests that this answer may be taken for them also —

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Proceedings on the 2 foregoing letters

(12-14)

Under the circumstances set forth in the foregoing letters and the several enclosures the Court of Wards are pleased to authorize the defence of both suits advanced to therein being undertaken by the Collector on behalf of the Minor Zemindar of Pittapoor. Mr. Smith will not fail to keep the Court of Wards duly informed of the result of the Proceedings in the Courts of Adalut —

(15)

From the Coll. of Guntoor —

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George
Sir,

With reference to the orders of

Fort St George 14th January 184

of Government dated 4th August 1840
published in Page 588 of the Fort St
George Gazette for the year 1840
relative to the passing of ordinary an
usual contingent charges under
Rupees 400, I have the honor to tra
=mit a statement of sums charg
under different Heads in Genera
Books for fasly 1249 amounting
to C Rupees 6-1-6. and request th
the Board will be pleased to sa
=tion the same —

Guntoor Zillah.
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Annevaram 1st January
1840

(Signed) J. Goldin
Colle

Accou

Account pa 1249 in the

Value of
Cultivable
For buran
For interr
died at t
Balla pa
Balla pa 10
time wi

For rep
Road Ex 8
=gorbunda
6

The

Fort St George 14th January 184

of Government dated 4th August 1840
published in Page 588 of the Fort St
George Gazette for the year 1840
relative to the passing of ordinary
usual contingent charges under
Rupees 400, I have the honor to tra
=mit a statement of sums charg
under different Heads in Genera
Books for fus Yr 1249 amounting
to C Rupees 6- 6. and I
the Board will be pleased
=tion the same -

Guntoor Zillah.
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Annavaram 1st January
1841

(Signed) J Goldin
Colle

Account pa 1249 in the

Value of
Cutwalls
For burn
For interr
died at t
Batta pa
Batta pa 10
time wa

For repa
Road Exp 8
-gorbunda
6

The

Resolution thereon.

(15-16)

The Board sanction the charges reported in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees 6-1-6. The Board direct that all similar charges be in future included in the Quarterly Statements of contingent charges.

Read the following letters

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pt. Collr. of Nellore

Sir,

(17)

In the Board's remark on clause 15 of the contingent charges under 20 Rupees each in the 4th Quarter of fasly 1249, it is observed that the Charge of 20 Rupees for clearing the Ground near the public Bungalow at Maumilladoroovoo appears excessive: I have the honor to explain that the Board have, I think, been led to form this opinion from the entry in the Statement not being sufficiently clear as to the actual work done. The actual want of space for the encampment even of a small Detachment had been

been repeatedly brought to my notice and the Board may imagine how much the inconvenience and difficulty was enhanced on the halt of a large Detachment or a Regiment. Thick large Bushes and trees closely encircled the Bungalow. For the sum of 20 Rupees this jungle was entirely removed to a space of 100 Yards on each of three sides of the Bungalow. A Deloyet was expressly deputed to see it done, and take care of the expenditure & the most economical means were adopted, Viz. paying batta to Village laborers. Had regular Coolies been employed the Charge would have been more than double: and the original Estimate by the Tahsildar for Village laborers exceeded the actual cost by upwards of 3 Rupees.

Nellore
Pt. Coll. Office
28th December 1840.

/Signed/ T. V. Stonehouse
Principal Collector.

Resolution thereon

(17-18)

The explanation furnished in the foregoing letter regarding the Charge of

of Rupees 20 for clearing the Ground near the public Bungalow at Tranquebar is considered quite satisfactory.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Print. Coll. of Nellore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated the 14th Instant.

2. The Yeomiahdar Syed Hussen has appeared in person and is now at Nellore, no description of him is given in the original Purwanah. Correct descriptive rolls of Yeomiahdars are not to be found in the records of this Office, and the framing of them was one of the suggestions made by me as worthy of adoption in Para 16 of my communication to the Board of the 16th November 1839.

3. In Mr. Fraser's Register of Yeomiahs No 2 dated 20th October 1812, the Yeomiahdar Syed Hussen No 141 is entered as

(19)

as of brown complexion and tall, and his age stated at 40 years. His complexion is brown but he is not a tall man but of middling height, his age between 60 & 70. He states it to be 76. He has been receiving his yeomiah since the assumption of the Country and is well known to the respectable Gentry of the Town, and I have no doubts of his identity.

Nellore
Principal Coll's Office
29th December 1840

(Signed) J. V. Stonehouse
Print. Collr.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Acting Collector of Cuddapah

To the Actg. Collr. of Cuddapah

Enclosure A in a letter from Nellore dated 4th instant 14th Decr. 1840.

(19-20)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Copy of a Statement received from the Principal Collector of Nellore, regarding a Yeomiahdar of his District who wishes to draw his yeomiah from your Treasury, and to request that you will report whether there is any objection to

of Rupees 20 for clearing the Ground near the public Bungalow at Tramullie doroovoo, is considered quite satisfactory.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Princ. Coll. of Nellore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated the 14th Instant.—

19

2.

The Yeomiahdar Syed Hussen has appeared in person and is now at Nellore, no description of him is given in the original Curvanah—Correct descriptive rolls of Yeomiahdars are not to be found in the records of this Office, and the framing of them was one of the suggestions made by me as worthy of adoption in Para 16 of my communication to the Board of the 16th November 1839.

3.

In Mr. Fraser's Register of Yeomiahs No. 2 dated 20th October 1812, the Yeomiahdar Syed Hussen No. 141 is entered as

of brown complexion and tall, and his age stated at 40 years. His complexion is brown but he is not a tall man but of middling height, his age between 60 & 70. He states it to be 76. He has been receiving his yeomiah since the assumption of the Country and is well known to the Inferior Gentry of the Town, and I have no doubts of his identity.—

Nellore
Principal Coll's Office
29th December 1840

(Signed) J. V. Stonhouse
Princ. Coll.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Acting Collector of Cuddapah

To the Ag. Coll. of Cuddapah

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying copy of a Statement received from the Principal Collector of Nellore regarding a Yeomiahdar of his District who wishes to draw his yeomiah from your Treasury, and to request that you will report whether there is any objection to

Enclosure A in a letter from Nellore dated 4th inst. 14th Decr. 1840.

19-20

to a compliance with his request—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 14th January
1841. } Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following letter—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Bellary .... } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of date the 21st Instant, and to inform you that under the instructions of the Board of Revenue conveyed in the 3d Para of the Extract from their Proceedings dated 19th September 1839 "Dried Chillies" are retained in the Tariff of this District under the General Head of Pepper and (Imrchah), & therefore it was seized as reported in the Statement of smuggled Goods for the Month of September last. In this District there is no other Pepper than the "Dried Chillies" which cannot therefore be excluded from the Tariff without a considerable loss of

21

of revenue—

Bellary District,
Adoni Collector's
Office on Circuit
28th December 1840 } Signed A. Mellor
Collector...

Proceedings thereon

21-22

The Article "Dried Chillies" should the Board think be exempted from duty, and the Collector of Bellary will be pleased accordingly to give the necessary subsidiary orders for that purpose—

Read again three letters—

from the Collectors of Bellary & Cuddapah and the Principal Collectors of South Arcot dated 14th 17th and 12th and entered in Consultation 21st Ultimo—

Ordered that the following letter be despatched in consequence—

To The Principal Collector of Nellore.—

To the Pl. Collr of Nellore. —

Sir With reference to your letter of the 16th November last No 76 recommending the transfer of the payment of

23

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

of certain Yeomiahs from your Districts to the treasury of the Collectors of Bellary, Cuddapah and South Arcot respectively in which Districts the yeomiahdars permanently reside, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish a Memorandum of these Names of the particular Villages in which they live, to enable the Collectors above referred to, to ascertain whether any objection exists to a compliance with the request of these parties.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read.

Comp'd S.R.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Read the following letters.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Cuddapah
No. 24
Dated 2d Instant

Sir,

With reference to the 2nd Para of your letter of the 2d Instant requiring me to state under what authority a Carpet was levied and assessed with treble duty on the article mentioned in the 36th of 36 articles mentioned in the Fort St. George Gazette for 1837 Page 512 yet it was considered proper to include it under the 3rd Class, and this arrangement was accordingly submitted to the Board of Revenue in the annual Tariff sent on the 19th January 1838 and the duty on this article has therefore been collected ever since nor has there been any objection thereto hitherto offered by the Board of Revenue.

I have the honor to state that at this

/Signed/ W. Lane,
Acting Collector

The

Entered and Checked
[illegible]
Board's Office
28th December 1841

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

Proceedings thereon.

The articles [illegible] purposely omitted from the list of articles subject to Inland Custom duty in the year 1837. The necessary orders should therefore be given for its exemption in Cuddapah hereafter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah

Fort St. George

Sir,

(26) With reference to the Acting Collector's letter of the 27th October 1839 I have the honor to send herewith a Statement shewing the Burjs in every Village in each Talook in this District for fasly 1249 compared with that of the preceding year, and also copies of all the different accounts prepared for the settlement of Proddor, a Village in the Chennoor Talook for the same fasly as called for in Paras 1 & 2 of the letter under reference.

A list herewith sent will shew the names of each of these accounts

I have &c
(Signed) W. Lewis
Acting Collector

Cuddapah District
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry
Jummulmadgoo
8th January 1841

(26-27) Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah

Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance for Fasly 1249.

(28) Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To

The Acting Collector of
Cuddapah.

To the Actg Collr of Cuddapah

Communicating observations on the Statement of Extra Tent allowance for Fasly 1249 and calling for a revised Statement.

Sir,

Paras 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of Extra Tent allowance for Fasly 1249 submitted by you under date the 26th November last.

(28-29) 2 It is observed that in this Statement is included the Extra Tent allowance drawn by the Bloue while in the Hunnoor Country. The Board cannot under existing rules sanction any charge on account of Extra Tent allowance drawn for periods passed out of the District and in duties unconnected therewith they would therefore suggest that application be made direct by you to Government for special sanction. You will

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

will be pleased to furnish a revised Statement exclusive of the Amount drawn by Mr Blane while in the Kurnool Country in July 1839

3 The Board further observe that Mr Cochrane has drawn Extra Tent Allowance for 133 days while residing at Cuddapah on the ground that his tents were actually pitched at Cumbum for the security of the records Mr Cochrane it is observed was in charge of the District from the 2d September to the 9th of November 1839 and the Board do not consider him entitled to extra tent allowance at Cumbum while so employed residing as he appears to have done for the whole period at the Kurgon station.

1839.
August — 31
September — 30
October — 31
November — 30
December — 11
133

From Cuddapah 2 days from 9th Sept. 1839
From Do 29 Nov. in Fort 5 Dec.

4 The charge of 133 days is therefore must be disallowed.

Revenue Board's office
Fort St George
11th January 1841

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Fort St. George 11th January 1841

No.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Collector of Kistnagherry

Sir.

In obedience to the instructions of the Board conveyed in an Extract from their Proceedings under date the 4th April 1839 in reply to the correspondence noted in the margin relative to Ramanalee was restored most unwillingly by me to his employment and subsequently removed to the Talook of Tercoppa which he has also given dissatisfaction & recommending his dismissal on charges now being brought to notice.

In obedience to the instructions of the Board conveyed in an Extract from their Proceedings under date the 4th April 1839 in reply to the correspondence noted in the margin relative to the then suspended Tahsildar of Ramanalee was restored most unwillingly by me to his employment and subsequently removed to the Talook of Tercoppa which he has also given dissatisfaction & recommending his dismissal on charges now being brought to notice.

Having thus complied with the instructions of the 4th April 1839 I could safely keep the then suspended Tahsildar of Ramanalee was restored to his employment and subsequently removed to the Talook of Tercoppa in

consideration but which I can no longer withhold doing under the conviction that the charge brought against the Tahsildar in the enclosed documents is well founded. I will only add my firm impression that the reemployment of Vencatapaty Raz whom I have this day again suspended pending your Boards instructions, will be most prejudicial to the public interests, and I must therefore solicit as earnestly as I can, their sanction for his dismissal.

Chingleput District
Collectorate Cutcherry
Pallecarnay 5th Nov. 1860

I have &c
(Signed) A. Frere
Collector.

A.

Statement of Kanathoor Rungopaul Chetty Complainant.

Q. State your Complaint.

A. On the 13th October I purchased at the Vadasamennunjairy Pans 200 Moorcals of Salt, 100 of which were on my own account and the remaining 2 Moorcals on account of one Coddundy Veerasawmy and conveyed it on 10 Carts for sale to Conjeveram owned by a Mussulman when I was going with the Carts near the Podary Sayra situated between Calmundapet and Cadumboor on the 15th October about

about 4 P.M. a Peon named Shaick Dawood attached to the Tassildar of Tassiporoor Talook and 3 other Peons (names unknown) came there accompanied by one Ramasawmy Raz the Son in law of the Tahsildar of Tassiporoor and ordered me to stop the Carts saying that they must remeasure the Salt and that the Tahsildar was to come there I stopped the Bandies and about one Indian hour afterwards the Tahsildar Vencatapaty Raz also arrived, and inspected the Carts and ordered me to produce the Boyamake I accordingly produced it, when he told me that he must remeasure the Salt I said very well he ordered all the Carts to be brought to Calmundapet the Peons took them there and left them near the Brahmins Street. Afterwards 5 other Carts conveying Salt to the west ward passed the same road. The Tahsildar detained them also at a short distance (of a quarter Cawny) from our Carts and when he was personally remeasuring the Salt laden on 2 of those Carts the said Ramasawmy Raz followed by one Swarnoga Modelly the Village Moonsiff of Cadoomboor as also the latters Brother (name unknown) came to me where my

consideration but which I can no longer withhold doing under the conviction that the charge brought against the Tahsildar in the enclosed documents is well founded. I will only add my firm impression that the reemployment of Vencatapaty Ray whom I have this day again suspended pending your Boards instructions will be most prejudicial to the public interests, and I must therefore solicit as earnestly as I can, their sanction for his dismissal.

Chingleput District
Pollachi Circuit Cutcheery
Pulicat 5th Nov. 1840

I Signed A. Steele
Collector

A.

Statement of Kanattoor Dungapah Chetty
Complainant.

Q. State your Complaint.

A. On the 13th October I purchased at the Vadasummunjairy Pgns 200 Parcals of Salt, 180 of which were on my own account and the remaining 20 parcals on account of one Poddundy Veeracawmy and conveyed it on 10 Carts for sale to Conjeveram owned by a Mohamedan when I was going with the Carts near the Pedary Pagoda situated between Calmundapet and Cadumboor on the 15th October at about

about 4 P.M. a Peon named Shaick Dawood attached to the Jeeoporoor Talook and 3 other Peons (names unknown) came there accompanied by one Ramasawmy Ray the Son in law of the Tahsildar of Jeeoporoor and ordered me to stop the Carts saying that they must remeasure the salt and that the Tahsildar was to come there I stopped the Bandies and about one Indian hour afterwards the Tahsildar Vencatapaty Ray also arrived, and inspected the Carts and ordered me to produce the Rowannah. I accordingly produced it, when he told me that he must remeasure the salt I said very well he ordered all the Carts to be brought to Calmundapet the Peons took them there and left them near the Brahmins Street. Afterwards 5 other Carts conveying salt to the west ward passed the same road. The Tahsildar detained them also at a short distance (of a quarter Cawny) from our Carts and when he was personally remeasuring the salt taken on 2 of those Carts the said Ramasawmy Ray followed by one Soonmoga Modelly the Village Monsiff of Cadoomboor as also the latters Brother (name unknown) came to me where my

my handies were left and told me in the name of the Tahsildar that if I would pay 200 Rupees my Carts would be permitted to proceed, but not otherwise I told them in reply that I had no money with me and that I could not procure it on which the said Shunmooga Modelly and his Brother told me that I must give 100 Rupees at least and if not my Carts would not be released. I did not consent to this measure. I was thereupon put in custody of a Malabar Peon (name unknown) who began to use violence towards me, and I therefore sent for my Cutcherry bag which I had delivered to Oddundy Veerasawmy and having taken out 80 Rupees (50 of which were in full Rupees and 30 in halves and quarters) counted them out to the Brother of the said Shunmooga Modelly near a Pillear Pagoda situated at a short distance in the North side of your Carts who delivered the same to Ramasawmy Raz the latter tied the money in a piece of red cloth and put it in the Tahsildars Palankeen, which was [illegible] distant

distant from that place. The said Ramasawmy Raz further told me that if I did not pay the remaining 120 Rupees in two hours the whole of my Carts would be sold off. When I paid the above money, the Tahsildar was examining Salt at a short distance but two of the Cartmen named Oddundy Veerasawmy and Mootoocandoo Veerasawmy who were present when I paid the Shunmooga Modelly was with the Tahsildar at that time. As the day closed the Tahsildar went away to the Brahmins Street and ordered me to be brought there. I was accordingly taken by Ramasawmy Raz and a Peon (name unknown) to that place and was in their Custody. The said Ramasawmy Raz then again troubled me to get the remaining 120 Rupees I sent 2 of my Cart Men Mootoocandoo Ellen and and Mootoocandoo Veerasawmy to my employer named Sahebkhan Sahib at Kannuttoor with a desire to inform him that the Carts were detained and that not only had they received from me 80 Rupees but also wanted 120 Rupees more after this I laid myself on the Brahmins

Veal

Paid for that night and on the following morning at about 7 Oclock whilst the Tahsildar Shanmuga Modelly and his brother were sitting on the Bank of Calemundapat Tank I went up and begged the Tahsildar to permit the Carts to proceed, which he refused to do and ordered Shanmuga Modelly to remove my Carts to Cadumboor and placed under a Banian tree, as by their remaining at Calemunda-=dapat notice might be taken of it. The Carts were removed to that place, and I was kept in Custody of Ramasawmy Reg who was still pressing me for the remaining 120 Rupees, at that time the two Cart drivers whom I sent to my employer returned stating that he was absent in the Village but that his brother Yusufkhan would call there. The Tahsildar, who went to Unjoor that morning returned at 12 Oclock and on sending for me near the Moondiffs house asked me if I had procured the remaining 120 Rupees I told him that the persons who went to fetch them from my Village had returned empty handed and therefore I was unable to pay the sum. Afterwards one Mootoocandee Tercomala

Tercomala Naik came to me and wished to know the cause of my remaining there and on my telling him all the circumstances he went away The Tahsildar however immediately ordered me to call for Tercomala Naik I did so, they both then talked for about 2 hours on the Pial of the Moondiffs house after which the said Tercomala Naik again came to me and said that I must pay at least 150 Rupees and that besides the 50 Rupees which I had already paid the Tahsildar required him to stand security for the remaining 70 Rupees and he asked to know what he was to do, I told him that I must agree to it for I could not endure so much trouble and having immediately written a note to my Employer requesting him to pay that Money to Tercomala Naik I delivered it to his hands. In the mean while there were 8 more Carts carrying Salt from Coolong to Shanmuga going by the same road the Tahsildar commenced to remeasure the Salt laden on one of them, afterwards observing that Yusufkhan Sahib arrived the Tahsildar and Ramasawmy Reg

Ray told me that I might now get the money from him
I inquired of Yusufkhan Saheb if he had brought my
money with him, and he said none Soon after
the Tahsildar told me that as he had found in the
two last Examinations the quantity of Salt to be less
than the actual quantity specified in the Rowannahs
he considered it would be the case also with my carts
and returned me my Rowannah, and permitted me
to go. I reached Coyveram with the Carts on the 19th and
having disposed of the Salt there returned to my Employer
and after Communicating to him all the Circumstances
I forwarded my complaint. I am in the service of
Sahebkhan Saheb on a Monthly Salary of 2 Pagodas and
keep a shop at Kunnattoor on his account. It was on
his account I purchased the Salt.

2 On whose Carts did you convey
the Salt? what quantity was there on each? was there
no Salt exceeding half a garce on the whole?

A Two of the Carts belonged
to Saheb Khan, one belonged to Oddundy
Vendasawmy

Veerasawmy Naick, and the rest were hired
from Kanattoor and Nottoocaud. The
quantity laden on each Cart was 20
Measals. There was no salt in Excess of 200
Measals on the whole.

2 To whom and for what
sum did you sell the Salt?

A I sold my nine Carts load
of Salt to one Mandecaura Mootha Modelly
at Hodgsons Pettah, which produced only 1338
measures, the proceeds of which at the
rate of 23 Measures per Rupee amounted
to Rupees 57 and odd of which the one and
odd Rupees being deducted for brokerage
the net sum I received was 56 Rupees —
Oddundy Veerasawmy sold his one Cart load to
one Chundaninan but I dont know for how much.

2 How came you with the 80 Rupees which
you stated you had with you when you left the pass —
and latterly paid to the Tahsildar?

Mon

Fort St. George 11th January 1846.

A When I went to the pass to buy Salt I carried 47½ Rupees which were given me by my Employer and brought Salt to that amount and then I returned back to Cauvuttoor on the evening of the 13th at 6 O'Clock I then received 87 Rupees more from my master for the purpose of buying rice. I left the Village with that money on the evening of the 14th It was out of that sum I paid the 80 Rupees already mentioned.

Q Where did Tiroomala Naik go after you gave him the note to your employer?

A He remained at Cauvuttoor on that night and left it on the following morning saying that he was going to Velleyumbaukum.

Q Did the Tahsildar know of your having paid the money into the hands of Shunmooga Modeliy's brother?

A. Ramasawmy Ray after having placed the money paid by me in the Tahsildars Cutcherry spoke to the Tahsildar a few words he then came

Fort St. George 11th January 1846.

me and said that the Tahsildar required the remaining 120 Rupees so the Tahsildar must have known it.

Q You stated that Mootteveandoo Tiroomala Naik became security for you for 70 Rupees what has been done about that money?

A On my return from Conjeveram after selling the salt I was given to understand both by my Employer and Tiroomala Naik that a Peon had been sent for that money on which I went to Tiruvpoovoor and told Ramasawmy Ray that I would forward the money in 7 or 8 days and requested him to recall the Peon, he did so I did not afterwards send the money. the name of the Peon was Shaik Dawood.

Q You stated that the 180 Morcals measured 1328 measures, instead of 1440 measures and that the proceeds amounted only to 57 Rupees and odd annas whilst it ought to have been Rupees 57½ at the rate of

23 Mercals per Rupee.—

A The 180 Mercals of Salt produced only 1328 measures on remeasurement the proceeds of which was actually 67 and odd Rupees instead of 51, which I stated to you a wrong memory.—

2 What could have induced you to give any money to the Tahsildar unless you had conveyed an excess of salt than the quantity specified in the Rowanah.—

A I paid the Money in consequence of the treatment I received by being put in the Custody of Peons.—

2 You stated that Seroomala Naik came to Calamundaputt of his own accord. but he stated that he was sent for by you by a man named Secundurkhan how do you account for this difference?

A Seroomala Naik came to Calamundaputt of his accord. I neither sent Secundurkhan for him nor did I bring him back by going to Cadumboor after he ran away.

2 You stated that you delivered to Seroomala Naik the note addressed to your employer about the 70 Rupees, but Seroomala Naik states that you forwarded the note by your own man Goondoo Explain this difference?

A When the note was given to Seroomala Naick Goondoo was present but I dont know exactly who delivered it to him.—

(Signed) Rungappa Chitty

30th October 1840.—

Deposition of Oorddundy Veerasawmy Witness.—

2 Do you know any thing regarding the complaint preferred by Kannuttoor Rungappa Chitty concerning the detention of his Carts loaded with salt?

A On the 29th or 30th of Perattasy the complainant and myself purchased salt in the Vadaxiammunjary Paus 180 and 20 Mercals respectively and conveyed it on 10 Carts obtaining a Rowanah for the same, but on the 3rd day after our departure at about 4 O'clock P.M. as we were travelling between Calamundaputt and Cadumboor two Peons (names unknown) came and stopped the Bandies saying that the Tahsildar would come there presently & Immediately

Immediately after which one Rama-
-swamy Raz the son in law of the Sa-
-hildar also came there accompanied
with another a Bramin and asked
Rungapah Chitty what was on the
Carts - Salt he replied - He then took
the Rowanah from Rungapah Chitty
and sat down there for a while when
the Tahsildar arrived and after
inspecting the Carts went away to
Bramins Street, whether he ordered
the Carts to be brought. The Bram-
took them near the Cutcherry Gate
where the Tahsildar was sitting in
his palanqueen on the Road he ad-
Rungapah Chitty to produce the
Rowanah which he accordingly gave
him, the Tahsildar was speaking
to Shunmooga Moodely of Cadum-
and another person name unknown
at that time some other Carts carry-
Salt were going by that Road. The
Tahsildar went near them and or-
-dered a remeasurement of the Sa-
we were all the while near our
Carts under Custody of Peons.

did not permit us to speak to one
another or leave the spot. - But Run-
-gapah Chetty was talking alone
with Ramaswamy Raz and some
other Moodeliers at the distance of about
2 fathoms from us. - I did not hear their
words. - Rungapah Chitty afterwards
came to me and wanted a parcel he
left with me in which he had secured
his purse. - I handed it to him - he took
it under a neighbouring tree about 4
fathoms distant from us and took out
some Rupees from it, and gave them
to a Moodely whose name is unknown
to me. The latter paid the money to
to me. The latter paid the money to
Ramaswamy Raz who tied it up in a
Red handkerchief carried it under
Cover of his own cloth and kept it in
the Palankeen. - There were the said
Rungappa Chitty Ramaswamy Raz,
and the Moodely then present it was
5 o'clock P. M. The Tahsildar went
in his palanqueen to the Bramins
Street ordering the Bandies to be
brought and placed on a certain
high ground. - I dont know how much
was paid by Rungappa Chitty. -

The

The peons took the Bandies to the above
mentioned place, and we were under the
Custody during the night, but Rungapah Chi
was taken to the Tahsildar's place. On the
next morning the Peons removed our Ban
to Cadumboor, where at about 8 Oclock Ru
-gapah Chitty came and told me that they
had taken from him 80 Rupees and rejec
7 Rupees which were in small Coins, and
that they wanted one hundred and twenty
Rupees more. He went back from us and
returned after 4 native hours, followed
one Moottoocaud Seroomala Naick & the
enquired of him how he had arranged mat
-ters to which he answered that Seroomal
Naick gave security for him for 70 Rupees
more. On the following morning we
left the place with our Carts for Canjeve
where I sold my 20 Mercals of salt to
Chendra Pinar. The 20 Mercals in ques
were found on remeasurement to be
Measures only; and that person bought
rice for 5¾ Rupees. I do not Know to
whom Rungapah Chitty sold his salt.

2 Was there no salt in your
Carts over and above 200 Mercals?

A No we did not carry any exce

2 How did Rungapah Chitty co
procure money on the Road?

A After he purchased salt he
went back to his Village and brought some
Rupees for the purpose of buying Rice.

30th. October 1840. (Signed) Veeravawmy.

Deposition of Moottoo caud. Veeravawmy Witness.

2 Do you know any thing regarding
the complaint preferred by Kanattoor Co
Rungapah Chitty of the deduction of his
Carts laden with salt?

A The complainant Rungapah Chitty
loaded my Cart with salt from the pans
at Vadasemmengairy and on the 1st. of
Arpisy when we were going between
Kalemundaputtoo and Kadumboor
two peons (Names unknown) came
and stopt us saying that the Tahsil
=dar was coming. It was about 3
O'clock then about 2 native hours
afterwards the Tahsildar came and
asked for the Rowanah, Rungappah
Chetty instantly produced it. The
Tahsildar taking it in his hands
ordered all the bandies to be brought
to Kalemundaputtoo where he himself

went

went and remained setting in his con-
-veyance under a Banian tree near a
a Chetty's house in the said Village. The
peons in the mean time brought our ban-
-dies to that place and we were forbid
-den to speak to one another Afterward
Radamboor Sabapathy Moodely shun-
-mooga Moodely and Ramasawmy Raja
took Rungappa Chetty apart and spoke
to him — the peons also were pulling him
about and threatening him — about 2 Patta
returned to the bandies telling us
they had asked for 200 Rupees and so
talking a bag of money from Pattab
Veerasawmy's Cart. Counted out
Rupees near the Pillair's Pagoda
Sabapathy Moodely who put the
into a red handkerchief that was
with Ramasawmy Rajah. The
-ter person having tied them up
put them into the Tahsildar's Pa
-gain. — The Tahsildar then went
to examine some Salt Carts whi
were going to the westward, but

soon returned to his seat and concealing
the money went away to the Brahmins
Street. — The peons watched over bandies
all night and the next morning they
took them to the Radamboor Sauk In
the evening Rungappa Chetty returned
and told us that he had paid 80 Rupees
the first day and that for a further
sum of 170 Rupees he had desired
Mootto candoo Veroomul Naick to be
-come security and that the Tahsildar
had permitted the bandies to go all
the peons left us
we stopped that night at Chengaon
-num and the following day we
reached Conjeeveram where Runga
-pah Chetty sold his salt to one Mootteya
Moodely in Thodeem Pettah Runga
-pah Chetty paid me 2 Rupees for my
hire Each of the bandies contained
20 Measals of Salt. —

(Signed) Veerasawmy.

30th October 1840. —

Deposition of Mootto and Veroomala Naick
Witness

What do you know Relative to the
Complaint

complaint preferred by Kanattoor Rum-
-gappa Chetty concerning the detention of her
carts laden with salt.

A On the 2nd. Arpesy I stopped at
Kadamboor on my way to Vellarambau-
-kum, whilst I was there, at about 2 Oclock
P.M. a Muselman of Kanattoor by
name Secundar Khan came and in-
-formed me that K. Rungappa Chetty re-
-quested my attendance at Calimand
Puttoo accordingly I went to Calimand
Puttoo and found Rungappa Chetty
lying upon a pial in the Bramin
Street and on asking the reason of his
sending for me, he told me that the
Tahsildar and his peons had detai-
-ned his salt carts and that one Ko-
-dumboor Shunmooga Moodely an
his brother (whose name is unknown)
and Ramasaumy Rajah the Tahsildar
son in law, had received 80 Rupees
from him, I then returned to Kadam-
-boor, but the Complainant Rungappa
Chetty came to my place and told me
that the Tahsildar required to see me
I followed him to the Tahsildar

at

at Calicoundaputtoo and Venkatapaty
Rajah asked me whether I would offer
myself as security for Rungappa Chetty
and received from him the 70 Rupees &
which remained to be paid out of 150
Rupees that he had promised to pay
as Rungappa Chetty desired me to do
so promising to discharge the amount
in 3 days. I consented to it at 4 Oclock
P.M. the Tahsildar restored the ca-
-rts Rowanah and ordered the bandies
to drive away about 2 Nature hours
after sun set, I asked Rungappa Chetty
the Carts, to which he answered that
he would write a letter to his Master
Kanattoor Sahebkhan Saheb requesting
him to pay the said amount he then
wrote a letter and delivered it to my
Goondoo a bandy driver. Next morn-
-ing about day break the bandies were
gone. On the third day after this ca-
-me when Sahebkhan Saheb was asked
to pay the money he said he would
do so after the return of Rungappa

Chetty

Fort St. George 14th January 184

Chetty. - On the 5th or 6th of Orpisy there
=dar came to Vanien Chaultry and hav
sent for me desired to know of I had
received the money from Rungeppah
and on my telling him that I had not
received it he ordered a Peon name
Shaik Davood to remain with me
=til I paid the money he remained
me for 4 days till the return of Rung
=pa Chetty, who went and spoke to the
Sahsildar. The peon afterwards w
away. - I never paid the money to the
Sahsildar, neither did I receive it
from Rungappa Chetty or Sahebbh
Saheb. —

2 What was the quantity and
how many Carts of salt had Rung
=pa Chetty?

A There were ten carts and
Rungappa Chetty told me that they
contained ½ Garee of Salt. -

2 Were there any persons
present when the Sahsildar ask
you at Kalimmudapuddoo to offe
yourself as security for the mo
and when he demanded the same

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

=t Vanien Chaultry?

A At Kalimundapuddoo Rungap=
=pa Chetty was with me, and at Vanien
Chaultry there were more except me. -

2 Did the Sahsildar tell
you on what account Rungappa Chetty
promised to pay him 150 Rupees?

A He did not tell. -

2 Did any of these bandies be
long to you? -

A No. (Signed) Seroomala Naick

Further examination of Seroomala Naick. —

2 Look at this Mustuck. Have
you seen it before? —

A I have not seen it. —

2 When the Peon Sheik Davood
came to you, did he bring it with him?

A He did not bring it.

2 Did he demand any Circar
balance from you? —

A There is a small balance due
to the Circar the amount of which is
not yet ascertained from the accounts.

The

The Peon came purposely to receive
70 Rupees which I engaged to pay on
=half of Rungappa Chetty, but not for
any circar balance
2nd November 1840. — (Signed) Veeramah Rai

Deposition of Sunnaaya Moodely
Village Moonsiff of Cadumboor. Tah

2 What do you know regard
the complaint preferred by Ramatha
Rungappa Chetty concerning the
=tention of his Carts laden with
A About 20 days ago one
=ing Rungappa Chetty and his
party were coming with salt Ca
between Calimandaputtoo and
Cadumboor there was a quarr
among the bandy drivers, and two
Peons (names unknown) of the
Serooporoor Talook and I went
there — About one Patimi hour
=terwards Venkatapaty Rajah Tahsil
=dar of Serooporoor came near
Carts and asked for the Rowanah
Rungappa Chetty replied that it was
with some of the Cart drivers and
he would produce it. — After whi
the Tahsildar having order

Comp.t S. R.

the Carts to be taken to the Village of Kalimun:
=diputtoo, he went to his place. Rungapah Chetty
produced the Rowanah before the Tahsildar at
6 O'Clock in the evening or about the time of
lighting lamps, but before his doing so, the Tah:
=sildar desired me to examine those Carts, as
I found only 4 and 5 bags of salt in each bandy
I reported it to the Tahsildar that each Cart
could not contain more than 20 Measls of
Salt. Next Morning when the Tahsildar
went to Anjore he granted permission to the
Carts. but as he did not restore them Rowanah
they were waiting at Kadumboor. The Tah:
=sildar returned to Kalemundaputtoo at
12 O'Clock in the Noon and on being asked
for the Rowanah, he restored it at 2 O'Clock
P.M. and on the following day the Bandies
were all gone. This is all that I know. —

2. When you first went near the
Bandies, were there any one besides the co
Peons and the Cart drivers? —

A. There were none beside us.

2. Was one Ramasawmy Razu there? —

A. I did not see him.

Did

2. Did you ask the complainant Rungapah Chetty / as being ordered by the Tahsildar / for Rupee 200-0-0 for permitting the Salt Carts to proceed?

A. I never asked for the Money.

2. Did any other person do so?

A. I do not Know.

2. Did you observe the Money taken up and given to the Tahsildar or his Men?

A. No.

2. Did your Brother accompany you there, and what is his name?

A. It is 20 years since the death of my brother and I have no other.

2. Was Mootteecandee Peroomal Naick there at that time?

A. Peroomala Naick was among the 10 Cart drivers.

30th October 1840.

/Signed/ Thummooga Moodelly

Deposition of Shaik Dawood Peer.

2. Did you stop the Salt Carts belonging to Ramuttee Rungapah Chetty?

A. About 15 days ago one evening at 4 O'clock I accompanied the Palanqueen of the Tahsildar Vencatapaty Razu, and finding some Carts laden with salt under a cocoa Tree on the road-side near Calivunda-puttoo the Tahsildar asked Rungapa Chetty to produce the Rowanah - he produced it, upon which the Tahsildar measured the Salt that was on 2 Carts I don't know the quantity it produced - as it was the close of the evening, the Tahsildar went away to the Village, Rungapah Chetty also accompanied him. I remained with the Carts according to the Tahsildar's orders. The complainant returned at 10 O'clock with the Rowanah to the place where the Carts were left, I went away to the Tahsildar's place and proceeded with him at day break on the following morning to Unjoor. I did not Know when the Carts finally left that place.

when

2. When the complainant's ca
were seized, did any other Carts pass
same Road and whose Carts were exa
=ned.-2

A. There were besides five oth
Carts at that place. I dont Know exactly
whose Carts were examined.-

2. When the Carts were stopt
Ramasawmy Raz there or when did he
come.-2

A I observed Ramasawmy Raz
company with the Tahsildar there. He
was under the Tree I before mentione
I dont Know when he came there.-

2. Who detained or seized the
Carts in the first instance.-2

A. I dont Know who seized the

2. Was any other Peons besides you near the Ba

A. There were 3 other Peons wi
me whose names are Lalah Meyah
Venkatarama Naick and Ragavun-

2. When did they proceed there.2
was any person there before you.2

A. They all proceeded with me
at the same time. There was no other Peon
before we went there.

2. Did you see the complainant
Rungapah Chetty pay any money on ac=
=count of the Tahsildar.-2

A I did not see him do so.

2. Did you not observe Rama=
=sawmy Raz receiving some money and
securing it in the Tahsildar's Palankeen.-2

A. I did not observe it.-

2. Did you ever go to Moottoocaudoo
Peroomala Naick to demand any money2
If so, when and on what account.-2

A. About 5 or 6 days after
the Carts were seized, the Tahsildar came to
Vanien Chavady and ordered me to fetch
the said Peroomala Naick. I did so, &
and left him for my meals, but about
5 or 6 hours afterwards or at 2 O'clock
P. M. the Tahsildar delivered to me

a

a Takeed here produced, and ordered me to go and collect a Circar balance of 50 Rupees from Peroomala Naick. I remained at Mootteaudoo for 3 days. Peroomala Naick did not however discharge the balance & said he would neither pay it nor attend before the Tahsildar, so I returned and reported the same to the Tahsildar who then ordered me to proceed to Canjeveram with Treasure. I according went away.

2. Where the whole of the 50 Rs due by Peroomala Naick alone.2

A. It was mentioned in the order that the balance was due by Peroomala Naick and others. That person also told that there were balances against others too. Those individuals were not summoned or asked by me.

2. At the time of seizure the Carts, were Shunmooga Moodely his Brother Sabapaty Moodely, there

A. I did not see them.

2. Who prepared the Takeed you mentioned, and where was it prepared.2

A. I do not know by whom and where it was prepared.

2. Which of the Cutcherry Servants accompanied the Tahsildar to Vanien Chavady.2

A None of them.

2. State exactly the place and date when the Tahsildar delivered you the Takeed.

A. I dont remember the date. It was delivered to me at Vanien Chavady on the 5th day after the seizure of the Carts.

Mark of Shaik Davood.

22 November 1840.

Dustuck to Shaik Davood Talook Peon.

Information having been received that Peroomala Naick and others of Mootteaudoo attached to this Talook have without permission and without paying the Peerwa amounting to 50 Rupees taken possession

possession of the Natchery Crops raised by them on 7-Cs 1/8 As as per Laikh Daub of the following dates received at the Talook Cutcherry Viz.t 29th August Varaput No. Cawnies 2-9/4 As-16th September No. 12 Cawnies 4 3/8 As total Cawnies 7-1/8 As- you are directed to proceed to that Village with this Dustuck and collect and remit the above 50 Rupees from the said Peroomala Naick and others- should they put off the payment of the balance, you will bring and produce the said Peroomala Naick &c before me.-

Dated Peroopooroor 18th October 1840-

(Signed) P. Venkatapaty Razy.

Tahsildar.

Deposition of Hanuttoor Saheb Khan

Saheb

Q. Do you know any thing relative to the complaint preferred by Hanuttoor Rungapah Chetty concerning the detention of his Salt Carts.. Q

A. On the 29th or 30th of Pooshy I sent my Gomastah Hanuttoor Rungapah Chetty

Chetty with 13 1/2 Pagodas to purchase Salt, together with 87 Rupees to buy rice at Cannigeveram, and on the 2d or 3d of Arpesy Rungapah Chetty wrote me a letter, requesting me to pay 70 Rupees to the Tahsildar in order to relieve Moottoocaudoo Sildar in order to relieve Moottoocaudoo Peroomala Naick from his Security, he also stated in it that the Tahsildar obstructed the passage of his Salt Carts while passing near Thalamundaputtoo and received from him the 80 Rupees About the 7th or 8th Instant Peroomala Naick came and informed me that the Tahsildar was at Vanien's Choultry and demanded from him the payment of the 70 Rupees for which he offered himself as security and that he also had sent a Peon for the Same- The next Morning I went to Peroomala Naick's house and found the Talook Peon Shaik Dawood who asked me why I had not yet paid the 70 Rupees, which Peroomala Naick consented to pay to the Tahsildar at

at Kalamundaputtoo when the salt Carts were detained. I told him that as I had fanams and Copper coins, I sent people to Madras and that as soon as they come I would pay the amount. Khanath Khader Saheb and Mottoocaudoo Iyooloo alies Veneatasawmy were present at that time. The Peon remained four days and I have borne his batta expenses during that time. On the return of Rutnapah Chetty he went to Perapoor accompanied with Peroomala Naick Son. in law of Peroomala Naick and desired the Tahsildar to wait 4 days more for the money. The Peon then went away and did not ask me for any money.

4th November 1840. /Signed/ Saheb Khan Saheb.

Deposition of Pyloovan Saib of Mottoocaud

2. Do you know if any Peon came to Mottoocaud to demand money from Peroomala Naick?

A. About 7 or 8 days ago, the exact date of which I don't recollect, I went one day to Peroomala Naick's house and saw there a Palook Peon of the Mufsulman Caste, name unknown, Saib Khan Saib asked the Peon if he knew how much had been paid to the Tahsildar. he said he knew not, and that he must enquire of Peroomala Naick. Saib Khan Saib then asked the Peon why he had been sent there. The Peon replied that he came for the money which Peroomala Naick undertook to pay. Saib Khan Saib then told him that he had sent a man to change a quantity of small coins, both Silver and Copper, and he expected him back the next evening, and that he would then pay the 70 Rupees. When he spoke these words. Khader Khan Saheb and Mottoocaud Vencatasawmy alies Iyaloo were present.

What was the cause

Q.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

of Peroomala Naick's undertaking to pay this money and on whose account did he do so.

A. They did not then mention why and on whose account Peroomala Naick undertook to pay that amount, but being told previously by Saib Khan Saib that Peroomala Naick had engaged to pay that sum on account of the Tahsildar I supposed it was for the same purpose.

4th November 1840.

/Signed/ Pylvan.

Deposition of Vencatasawmy, alias Iyah residing at Monteocaud.

Q. Do you know if any Peon came to demand Money from Peroomala Naick

A. About 3 or 4 days before the last new moon. I saw one day a Palook Peon in Peroomala Naick's house, I do not now exactly recollect his name, but think it was either Sheik Davood or Sheik Hoos-sain. at that time Saheb Khan Saheb Khader Khan Saib, and Pylavan Saib were in Peroomala Naick's house, the former

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

former was speaking to the Peon in Hindoostanee language and when they were about to rise I enquired of Sahebkhan Saib what was the subject of his conversation with the Peon, he said it was about the 70 Rupees which Peroomala Naick undertook to pay to the Tahsildar and he was unable to pay it owing to his (Sahebkhan's) debtors having failed to discharge their debts to him. The Peon in my presence told Sahebkhan that he must get him the Money soon when he returned an answer saying that Rungapah Chetty had not yet arrived, that he sent one Arnachellum to Madras to change some Coppercoins and would pay him the Money on the day after the next. Afterwards I went home. I believe Rungapah Chetty returned on the same evening on the following morning and seeing the Peon pressing Peroomala Naick to come to the Tahsildar, he went to the latter accompanied with one Permal Naick (Son in law

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.-

law of Seroomala Naick and came back in the morning. The Peon left the Village the same morning.-

Q.- What was the cause of Seroomala Naick's engaging to pay the 70 Rupees?-

A. I omitted at first to enquire into the cause of his engaging to pay that Money, but on my asking Sahebkhan Saib that evening to know the reason he told me that it was for the release of some Salt Carts that had been detained near Calimundaputtee.-

Q.- Was Seroomala Naick present at the time of Sahebkhan Saheb speaking to the Tahsildar?

A. He was not present.-

14th November 1840.- /Signed/ Venkatasawmy.-

Deposition of Sabapaty Pillay Sumpruthy in the Seroopooroor Talook.-

Q.- Look at the Dustuck here produced /purporting to be issued by the Tahsildar of Seroopooroor in the name of Peon Saik Dawood and bearing date the 18th October, was it written by you?-

A.- I have looked at it. I did not write it.-

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.-

Q.- Is it the writing of any other Servant in the Talook Cutcherry?-

A.- It does not appear to have been written by any one in the Talook Cutcherry.-

Q.- Is it customary to preserve copies of Dustucks issued by the Tahsildar in the Talook records and is there a Copy of the present Dustuck?-

A.- It is not customary to keep registers or Copies of Dustucks on the Talook Records.-

Q.- Can you recognize the writing of this Dustuck?-

A.- I am unable to recognize it.-

/Signed/ Sabapaty Pillay.-

Q.- Have you seen the Dustuck before and do you know that it was issued?-

A.- I did not see it until it was produced to me by the Peon who came to call me- nor did I know that it was issued.-

14th November 1840.- /Signed/ Sabapaty Pillay.-

Deposition

Deposition of Soobroy Pillay Curnum of Moottoocaud.-

Q.- Is there any Circar balance due against the Village of Mootoocaud?

A.- There is a balance of Rupees 51-5-2 due up to the 8th October on account of the assessment of the lands, the crops of which were then sufficiently ripe for cutting- The particulars of which are as follows- due by Seroomala Naick 1- Rs. as. 8 0/4- Venkatachella Naick 1- Pgs. as. 1/2 Pylavan Saib 1- 13/8 Venkuppah Naick Pgs. as. 1- 8/8 Cooppummall 1- 1/2 Vecatasawmy Pgs. as. 14/8 Veera badren Pgs. as. 15 3/4 Cunthasawmy 5/8 Bal Naick 2- Pgs. as. 1 1/2- Venkatasa Gramny 3- Pgs. as. 5/8- Letchumanen 1- 7/8- Ameed Saheb 2- Pgs. as. 3/4 Total Pgs. 11- 10 1/2-

Q.- How long is it since the crops were ripe?

A.- The crops were inspected on the 29th August, 16th September and 8th October- No account of the Sist aberiz was prepared, before which the crops were removed from the fields.-

Did

Q.- Did any person ask the Ryots for their balance?

A.- It is customary with the Villakdar and Peons to take from me on their arrival in the Village a list shewing the particulars of the balance- but in the present case no Circar Servant ever came nor received from me any list of the balance, nor do I know if any one had demanded the Money-

Q.- Look at the Dustuck here produced- Have you seen it before?

A.- I never saw it till now-

Q.- Did any Talook Peon come to the Village and demand the Money?

A.- No Peon has ever visited the Village with the Dustuck.-

Q.- Do the Talook Peons usually come to collect Circar balances?

A.- They dose occasionally when there are large balances in the Village after the Summakbundy is over-

Q.- Did Sheik Davood Peon ever visit Mootoocaud?

A.- About 10 days ago, the date

of

of which I do not remember / I saw him one day in Seroomala Naick's house at Mootoo-caud- I did not speak to him then, nor has he produced to me any Dustuck.-

Q- When he was in Seroomala Naik's house did you, he or Seroomala Naik ask the Ryots to pay any revenue balances?

A- He did not do so during the time I was there.-

Q- Do you know who wrote the Dustuck?

A- I dont know.

4th November 1840.- / Signed / Soobroy Pillay-

Defence of Venkatapatty Raz Tahsildar

Q- You have heard read over to you all the papers relating to the Complaint brought against you by Kanattur Rungappa Chetty for having detained his Salt Carts and received some Money from him- What have you to say in reply?

A- On the 15th or 16th of October when I was preparing to go to Arjoor

two

two Peons (whose names I do not now recollect) of Kanattur, came and informed me that the complainant Rungappa Chetty and his Master Sahebkhan Saheb were conveying in addition to the 10 carts load of Salt which they brought from the pans at Vadasemmunjairy and for which they had obtained a Rowanak an equal quantity of smuggled Salt- I followed those Peons to Calemunda puttoo at 5 O'Clock in the evening and having observed on the Road near the tope of that Village 10 Salt Carts belonging to the Complainant, I ordered my peons to stop them, I then went to the place and asked Rungappa Chetty for his Rowanak for them, which he immediately produced having found specified in it half a Garce of Salt for sale at Cuncha, I asked him where the remaining Carts were, he denied having any more- In the meantime, 5 Salt Carts proceeding to Vellore passed by that road, and as there was some difference in the Number

of

of bags put on each Bandy and they did not agree with those mentioned in the Rowanah, I caused two of those Carts to be examined and found them right- I then permitted them to go- As Rungappa Chetty's Salt was also right, I gave him permission and returned to Calemunda put to at 7 O'clock in the evening- he did not receive the Rowanah then- when I was in the Braminy street Rungappa Chetty came and asked me to restore his Rowanah, I restored it to him and the next morning proceeded to Anjoor, this is all that I know- I neither troubled Rungappa Chetty nor asked him for any Money either myself or through the means of my people. Moottoocandoo Seroomul Naick was with the Bandies but he never offered himself as security for Rungappa Chetty, but as there was a balance of 50 Rupees against the Village of Moottoocandoo, I gave a Dustuck in Perooparoon to a peon named Shaik Dawood on the 17th or 18th October. As I was however told that some persons were

were carrying smuggled Salt from Covelong I ordered Shaik Dawood to go and wait for them at Paumar, and proceeded myself to investigate the Boundary dispute existing between the Villages of Caranay Poodoocherry and Syeppenjairy- I returned to Paumar on the 20th and finding no smuggled Salt with those whom Shaik Dawood, and other peons detained, I permitted them to go and came to Vaniem Choultry accompanied by Shaik Dawood- from thence he went to Seroomul Naick and brought him to me (as he had been ordered to do) and when I demanded from the latter the payment of the Circar balance, he promised to discharge the Amount in question in 2 days, on which I ordered the same peon to go with him and remain until he paid the Money- The Peon remained with him for 2 or 3 days and returned complaining that he was only putting him off from day to day- Afterwards as I having occasion to send Treasure to Conjeveram I ordered the Peon to

go

go as an escort.

2d November 1840.— (Signed) P. Venkatapaty Razu Tahsildar.

Q. On your stating that the Dustuck was written by your Talook Sumpretty, I sent for him and he denies having written it and all knowledge of it. What have you to say to this?

A. As the Sampretty informed me that there was some balance against the Village of Moottoocaudoo, I ordered him to write a Dustuck and he brought it to me accordingly. I do not know from what cause he now denies it.—

Q. As you have heard read to you all the further proceedings in this investigation made since you gave your deposition on the 2d Instant, have you any thing to say in answer to them.—

A. Since Sahebkhan is the Master of the complainant Rungappa Chetty his evidence against me must not be received as true, and the witness Venkatasawmy being a near relation to Seroomala Naick, states that when the peon and Sahebkhan were talking together

together in Hindoostany, Venkatasawmy asked them what it was and that Sahebkhan told him the particulars. From this it may be inferred that his Statements are fabricated.

Both Payalwan Saheb and Venkatasawmy were persuaded yesterday by Moottoocaudoo Seroomal Naick and Sahebkhan to give false evidence against me. As the payment of revenues was delayed for a long time in the last Fusly, I not only issued Takeeds to the Tillahdars to collect the balances without delay (if they stood against any one) during the present Fusly, but I also determined to send peons with Dustucks according to the Kists against such of the Villages in which the Crops were cut before an account was framed for the amount of assessment to be collected. Moottoocaudoo being one of those Villages I sent a Peon with a Dustuck. Seroomal Naick out of hatred to me as I collected the money so soon from him and as his son is employed under Sahebkhan, besides being himself indebted to him and depending upon him for

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

for his livelihood joined Sahebkhan and Rungappachetty in Casting this blame upon me. The two latter have done this with a view to prevent my seizing smuggled Salt which they are in the habit of taking.—

Do— It appears from the Statements of the Curnum that there was a balance of Rupees 51-4 standing against the said Village up to 18-Below and if you had sent a Peon to demand the balance Why should he remain there 15 days and return without Calling for the Curnum or asking for the amount from any of the inhabitants—

A— Jeremiah Quickbying Puttamanigaru and Natagaru of the Village and perusing the detailed accounts also, it was his duty to collect the balances from other Ryots, but as he did not do so, the Peon returned to report it to me—

Do— Had there been such a balance against the Village, instead of writing a Letter to Tellahdar, why should you send a Peon separately?—

A— Thinking that the Tellahdar could not Collect it soon, I sent a Peon separately. I have done so to several other Villages

14th November 1840.— (Signed) Venkatapaty Raz

(True Translation)

(Signed) A. Friese
Coll.

Compd. E.R.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

(30-31)

Order thereon

(Read again in Court 8th February)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir.

From the Pr. Coll.r of North Arcot

I have the honor to submit the accompanying Statement

(32)

of Receipts and Disbursements on account of Public Bearers employed in this District for Fusly 1249.—

North Arcot.

Princl. Coll.r's Circuit Kutcherry
Publicat 22d December 1840

(Signed) G. M. Ogelvie
Principal Collector.

Statement

From 1st January 1841.

A

Statement Shewing the Receipt disbursement and nett charge to Government on account of Government Bearers employed in the Northern Division of Arcot from 1st August 1839 to 31st July 1840.—

Stations where the Bearers are posted	Number of sets at each station including Masalgie and Cavady	Number of Bearers to each set including Masalgie and Cavady Men	Total Number of Bearers to the sets in Columns 2.—	Period during which employed.	Pay of each set at 6 Rs each Bearer or at 9 Rs for the Head or Bearer per Month = 45 per Month	By of each set at 5 p% each Bearer and at 6 Rs for the Head or Bearer per Month	Total amount paid from 1st Aug 1839 to 31st July 1840	Amount received from those who employed the Bearers	Nett charge to Government deducting Coll.s 9 from Col.n 8	Principal Collectors' Explanation	Board's Remarks	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
					Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p			
Allapaukem	2	14	28	From 1st August 1839 to 31st July 1840	2040 " "	" " "	2040 " "	610 15 "	1429 1 "	In Consequence of the heavy Charge annually incurred to Government the Establishment was discontinued on the 1st August 1840 as per instructions of Government dated 6th July 1840 Communicated in Board's letter of the 16th of that month.—		
Carnampet	2	14	28		2040 " "	" " "	2040 " "	613 14 "	1408 2 "			
Goriattum	2	14	28		" " "	1870 8 "	1870 8 "	765 13 "	1104 9 "	There appears a discrepancy of 1p% in the charge made on account of Bearers stationed at Goriattum which is explained by Certain fines inflicted for negligence.—		
Naichenary	2	14	28		2040 " "	" " "	2040 " "	640 12 10	1399 3 2			
Total	8	56	112		6120 " "	1870 8 "	7990 8 "	2649 8 10	5340 15 2			

North Arcot.—

Prin.l Coll.r Circuit Kutcherry

Pulicat 22d December 1840

C C P.

Signed J. M. Ogilvie

Principal Collector.

Ordered

32-33

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

Order thereon — Ordered to be ledged.

From the Principal Collector of Salem — Read letter submitting application from his assistant Mr. Brett requesting leave of absence for one month in addition to the time allowed him to join his station on private affairs under the provisions of Section XI of the absentee Rules.—

34

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted for the favorable consideration of Government through the Civil Auditor with reference to the resolution of Government dated 30th November last published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date 1st December 1840.—

34-35

Read the following letter

To

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir

Fort St. George

From the Pr. Coll. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot — Para 1. — I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated the 5th Novr. containing Copies of letters to Government and of extracts from the minutes of Consultations.—

Reporting regarding the rights of Govt. to the waste lands.—

36

2 — By the instructions contained in the above proceedings I am directed implicitly to follow the orders contained therein and in the Proceedings of the Board dated the 17th October 1839 and the 5th March last and to refrain from further comment on the subject It is therefore with great regret that I again venture to address the Board but I trust that the necessity of my doing so will appear from the importance of the subject and the great difficulty of at once carrying into effect the

the

the wishes of the Board and of Government.—

3 The immediate dependence of the prosperity of the inhabitants of the District upon the decisions that may affect the tenure of the land is so evident that it is most extraordinary after the lapse of forty years that any material difference of opinion should exist upon the subject.—It is however a fact and much to be lamented that the greatest differences do exist in the opinions of the people, of the Revenue Officers, and also in the practice of the Courts respecting it.— There appears to be no guide generally recognized for the establishment of a consistent and uniform practice and it is therefore absolutely necessary that an act of the Legislature should be passed for its better regulation.

4 In the mean time however it is no less necessary that I should be perfectly

perfectly understand the course to be pursued by myself in order that I may not fall into errors alike injurious to myself and the people and for this purpose I proceed to lay before the Board the rules which I conceive to be necessary on the introduction of the change now ordered and at the same time to recommend and if approved they may be made public as soon as possible, and commence to take effect from the beginning of the next Fusly.—

Proposed Rules.—

1. All lands occupied in any manner by a ryot that are not specially exempted are liable to the payment of the regulated teerwah whether cultivated or not.—

2 No neglect of the Revenue Officers to make the demand of teerwah from a Ryot so occupying shall render the lands liable to be transferred on to another ryot for cultivation without the

the option being first given to the Original occupant of paying the Teerwah and continuing to occupy—

3d

No lands forming part of the Nuttum, whether cultivated or not, being in the possession of a ryot, or claimed as having been formerly occupied in any way by him, shall be liable to be given over to another, without the option being first given to the former occupant or claimant of paying the Teerwah and continuing to hold the land for the future provided the claim be preferred within --- days from the time the new application is made known to the Village Officers by the Tasildar—

Say 30 days.

4th

No lands of any other description which may have been once purchased at a Public sale (i.e. by order of a Civil Court or of the Revenue authorities) and being waste or uncultivated shall be made over to any ryot on applying for the same if a claim be preferred

Say 15 days.

preferred to the land by the purchaser or his representatives within — days from the time the village officers are informed of the proposal by the Tasildar.—

5th It shall be the duty of the Village Officers immediately on receipt of proposals sent from the Taluk Cutchery to make the same known to the Ryots in the Village, and if any claims are made to report the same to the Tasildar, in making the return of the measurement on account of the land applied for.—

6th In the event of such claim being preferred the Tasildar shall immediately make enquiry, and if the claim is substantiated, shall reject the dircast, and cause the Teerwah to be levied from the Claimant.—

7th Every ryot desiring to give up the whole or any portion of his Patta land shall give notice of the same to the Tasildar if for Bonjee land before the 1st June, if for Nunjie or Totacal

land

land on or before the 11th July, and failing to give such notice shall be liable to the full teervah on such lands whether Cultivated or not in the ensuing Fusly--

8 All lands so given up by the former occupant shall be at the disposal of the Revenue Officers who may then put others in possession, and grant puttahs to the new occupants, who shall then be entitled to Continue their occupation as long as they pay the teervah

9th If any ryot has a puttah for trees in teervah put waste land, and a proposal is made by another ryot to rent the land, the renter of the trees shall first be allowed the option of renting the land, and in the event of this refusal only, shall the land be made over to the proposer, in which case the trees will also be transferred to the renter of the land--

10 No ryot shall be entitled to

to a puttah for any waste land which he cultivates without previous permission from the Tahsildar, if at the Summabundy Settlement, or at any previous period any claim is brought forward and substantiated by another person on the ground of occupation, purchase at public sale, or renting trees from the Circar in such land--

5th These rules have been prepared to the best of my judgement, in conformity with the instructions contained in the Proceedings above referred to, and as they will if carried into effect, have a considerable influence on the welfare of the people and upon the future revenue of the District I beg to offer the following remarks upon them--

Rule 1st and 2d It is in many cases extremely difficult to ascertain what constitutes "Occupation" where there is no Cultivation of Crops hitherto liable to teervah, but it is presumed that

planting

planting bamboos, thorn trees, (or keeping straw heaps) on buildings are sufficient evidence of occupation, and as it is intended to benefit the ryot on the one hand, so it is necessary on the other to secure the right of the Circar--

Rule 3d. Orders nearly to this effect were issued on the receipt of the Board's Proceedings 17th October 1839 and proposals for Nuttum land are accordingly rejected whenever a claim is brought forward but if any one takes possession of a nuttum land waste and unoccupied for one year, I imagine he can only be turned out by the decree of a Civil Court--

Rule 4, 5, & 6. There can be no difficulty in acting for the future upon these rules from any fixed period, but they cannot have a retrospective effect, as purchasers have heretofore only conveyed the right of occupancy enjoyed by the former tenant, which ceased after the

the land had been left waste for one year--

Rule 7. Following the opinion in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th October 1840 this rule is submitted for consideration. In this district however the settlement has never been made on a Putcut but always when in Amauny upon the actual Cultivation. The Ryots have never given a Razeenamah or notice of relinquishment, when they wished to give up their land, they simply ceased to cultivate, were not charged with teerwah, and after one year (lately two under my orders) the land was made over to the first applicant. The most perfect freedom has for some years been established under the orders of the Board and Government, approved by the Court of Directors, by which the Ryots contract or extend their Cultivation at will, and I apprehend the change will

will be considered a great hardship
by the inhabitants. A putcut account has
indeed been prepared at the beginning
the Fusly, but has only served to regulate
the amount of tuccavy advances to indi
=duals--

Rule 8. No remark--

Rule 9. This rule is required as the
course now ordered is contrary to the
Hookumnamah of the District and seve
decisions of the Board--I fear also it
will occasion many disputes--In case
of a ryot taking possession of an unoccu
=vated field in which a tree may be
rented by another, the renter of the
will claim the putta for the land
according to the existing law of Cult
should be given to the Cultivator of
land--The party to whom the Putta
not given will enter a suit against
Collector--

Rule 10 This rule is framed to
the irregularity which would arise

the new system from the former practice
remaining in part, but it appears to require
the sanction of an act of the legislature to
render it valid--

6th If the 7th rule is not con=
=firmed in order to carry out the views
of the Board, it will be necessary
to substitute another, providing that
land which has been long in the occupa=
=tion of any ryot and afterwards left
waste by him for one or two years--or
more shall not be given to another
ryot to cultivate without the option
being first given to the former occupant--
but I must state my firm conviction
that either measure would cause much
land to be immediately thrown out of
Cultivation and occasion a corresponding
decrease of Revenue--

7th The Board in their letter to
Government dated 10th of September last,
stated their belief that it had not ever
been the practice in South Arcat
to

to enforce the system contended for by
and in their proceedings of the 5th Ulter
direct that the system introduced "by
which has led to all the present litiga
be discontinued" In order to show that
the practice adverted to has always
since the Cession of the Carnatic and
that no new system has been introduced
me I am under the necessity of making
extracts from the records to a consider
extent and Trust it will be perceive
that the suits which formed the ori
nal subject of this correspondence
were not instituted in consequence
my infringing the law or Customs
from my acting consistently in accord
with them.

8th. The following extracts will
show that it has been the practice
the year 1803 to dispose of waste land
to the first applicant without giving
option to the former occupant.—

Translated Extract from the

Comp. No. 2 R.

Muchilca or Counter agreement executed
annually by the Puttahmonigars or Heads of
Villages since Fusly 1213.—

Para 8. "As it is declared in the Puttah
"that although a Ryot may have Cultivated his
"land and paid the Teerwah upon it for a period
"of 10 years, if he afterwards leaves it uncultiv
"vated for one year he loses all claim to it, he
"will according to this order and conformably
"to the rules laid down in the 16th Clause
"of the Putta, give such land only to another
"Ryot to Cultivate."

Translated Extract from the
Mutta putta given annually to the Putta
Monigars by the Collector since 1803.

"Para 8 "Although a Ryot may have
"Cultivated land for a period of 10 years
"and paid the teerwah upon it,
"if he afterwards leaves it uncultiv
"vated for one year, he loses all claim
"to it, for this reason, that as long as
"a Ryot Continues to Cultivate the land,

and

"and pay the teervah to the Circar, it is
"Considered to belong to him, but when he
"ceases to Cultivate, and pay the teervah to
"Government, and allows the land to become
"waste, he has no longer any Claim to it.
"You are therefore at liberty to give such
"grounds to another Ryot for Cultivation
"according to the terms hereundermentioned.

Translation of an Extract from
the Cultivation Hookumnamah by the
late Principal Collector Mr. Ravenshaw
in Fusly 1215.—

"Para. 3 When lands are given to other
"the Ryots often State that the
"land belongs to them, and if it is ordered
"to prepare a puttah, they do not agree.
"In the event of such objection, the Puttah
"must be prepared in the name of the
"person who has given proposals for
"such lands."

"Para. 4. Where two proposals are
given for lands which has remained

"waste four or five years, preference should
"be given to the Adcoody and not to the
"Paracoody proposer. If the two proposers
"be Adcoody it must be given to the
"first proposer."

"Para 5. In Cases where the Heads
"of a Village retain in their possession
"any lands which they would not Culti-
"vate themselves or allow others to do
"so, but leave them waste without
"paying rent for them, if any other individual
"residing in the same or another Village
"present a proposal for such lands, a
"puttah is to be issued in the name of
"such proposer, if the individual in whose
"possession such lands have remained
"waste offer to receive a puttah for them,
"arrangement should be made to issue
"the same in his name."

Translated Extract from a Reelu-
-mation by Mr. Hyde in Fusly 1234.

"The Puttamenegar, Curnums and

Considerable

"Considerable Ryots Continue to leave som
"of the lands uncultivated and to interru
"others in Cultivating them, under the
"plea that these lands are their Ryo
"occupied by them.- In order to
"put a Stop to these Malpractices,
"it is notified that all lands which
"may be left uncultivated and for
"which puttahs have not been obtaine
"by such Ryots may be freely Cultivate
"by other ryots, according to their incli
="tions.- The land held by each ryot is
"his property as long as he Continues t
"Cultivate the same and to pay the tax
"thereon.- If he lays it waste for on
"year without paying the tax, it
"reverts to the Circar.- To such land
"therefore, those who may have Cultivat
"them for a long time previously, have
"no longer any right at all. Consequentl
"these lands that are laid waste by
"Ryots that formerly Cultivated them

freely be Cultivated by another Ryot.-
"This rule has been inserted in the Muchilca
"executed by the Puttamonegar of each Village
"and in the Puttah given to him by the
"Circar.- wherefore the Ryots may freely
"Cultivate such lands and the former
"occupant must not offer any Molestation
"upon the pretext of their being his Ryot-"

Extract from the Hookumnamah
by Mr. Cunliffe Fasly 1240.-

"Para 4.- Notice is hereby given to
"the Ryots in general, that if any Ryot
"after the Completion of the Cultivation
"of his lands, tender a proposal for
"Cultivating lands of one or more than one
"years waste, he will be allowed to
"occupy the same, as the ryots are entitled
"to the Claim of waste lands as Putta=
="manegars are, they are therefore at
"liberty to Cultivate them upon obtaining
"a permit, or toalloor Chit.-"

By the following Extract it
will appear that trees rented in waste lands ac-

According

according to former practice transferred by
person who Cultivates such lands.-

From the Summabundy Heads Rum-
-nanah of 1836 Still inforce.-

"If any man shall Cultivate
"Bunjer or Poddocul or fallow lands upon
"which there are any fruit trees of the above
"Description he shall enjoy the produce of
"the said trees and they shall be included
"in his puttah without his paying any thing
"additional, beyond the teervah upon the
"land, it being understood that Coolies
"situated under the Shadow of such trees
"are much deteriorated, provided always
"that if the said trees should have been
"rented out, before he Cultivated the land,
"the Renter shall enjoy them until the
"expiration of his rent, after which they
"shall be included in the Puttah of the
"man Cultivating the same."

The following Extracts from
Notes of decisions on Settlement disputes
by my immediate predecessor will shew the

the practice to be unchanged during his
Management.-

No. 32 of Villupooram of 1243.

"Mootoo Comaraja Moodely claims
"that he Cultivated Pait No. 204 Sunjah
"@ 1-7a. teervah Rupees 10 1-a which had
"been waste for 3 years, but that the
"Curnum has entered in one Iyahsawmy
"pillais name. this Iyahsawmy has not
"Cultivated the grounds since 1240 it must
"be entered in the Complainants name the
"Curnum is fined one Rupee for not doing it before."

No. 37 of 1243.-

"Complains that his land is
"entered in the name of Iyencooty Counden,
"the land in question is situated in Pait
"No. 1149, measuring 3, and the Complainant
"Munny Counden had 3 3/4 in this (Teervah
"Rs. 1-1-3) he admits that it has been waste
"from 4 years, and that he made it over
"to Iyencooty Counden who Cultivated it
"and in whose name a Puttah is properly
"given."-

No.

No. 59 of 1243.

"Complains that his Ryput land
"have been entered in the puttahs of 3 other
"persons the lands are as follows. Rait
"No. 194 Perembokè 3¼ a teeva 10 Annas Culti
"vated by Complainant in 1240. 3 years waste
"in 1243 the Monegar gave it to Chinna
"Sooba Igen to Cultivate, and he must
"have the puttah. Rait No. 5057 Sunjee
"C. as a
3 - 12½ of this the Complainant had 9 annas
"Teeva - 2 - 11, Cultivated by Complainant
"in 1242 in this year, as the Complainant
"was not found, at the time of making
"Puttah, to give security, it was given to
"the Notagar Ramasawmy, puttah to be
"given in Ramasawamy's name. Rait No.
"3992 Sunjee C. as 12. Teeva R. a 24 - 1. the Complainant
"Cultivated in 1241. in 1242 waste - this
"year as the Complainant was not in
"readiness at the time of making Puttah
"the Land was given to Puttah Sooba
"to whom a Puttah must be given. Sooba
been

"been induced to give this decision, because
"according to the Hookumnamah, and because
"the Complainant had no means of Cultiva-
"ting, as out of 6 Cs that he Cultivated in
"1241. the whole was left waste upto
"August last, and would now, if it had
"not been made over to others, as shewn
"before, and the remainder of his lands
"C a
2 - 11 is waste this year".

No. 1 of Villapooram of 1244.

"Teeroocawmy pilla Complains
"that 3 Cawnies of land which he this
"year Cultivated with a Cumboo Crop
"has been taken by the Puttah Monegar,
"and entered in his puttah - the land in
"question Consists of Punjee Rait No. 148,
"5th Tarum, C. 2 - 2½ a and No. 147 - 5th Tarum, of
"C a
"1 - ½, this land was formerly Cultivated
"by Vydelingapillay, the Monigar, and formed
"part of his Ryput, but Rait No. 148 was
"waste during 1242 and 1243 and as the
"Complainant Cultivated it, it should be
"entered in his puttah - Rait No. 147 having
been

"been Cultivated by Defendant's brother must
"be Kept in his name. The Defendant
"alleges that he made over Pait No. 148 to
"one Ramasawmy who however is represented
"as his Servant, but be this as it may
"the land having been left waste for 2
"years. the Defendant ceased to have
"any power over it, and therefore the
"Defendant having 20 Cawnies and upwards
"waste, which he would allow no one
"to Cultivate. he shall not now be
"permitted to deprive the Complainant
"of it, as without his having Come-
"forward the Land would not have
"been Cultivated at all."

No. 238 of Villaporam of 1245.

"Complains that he Cultivated
1/2 C a of Poonjee waste, No. 190 Pait, but
"it is entered in the Puttah of the Body
"of Venkatachellum of the Son in law of
"the Monegar, the ground was Cultivated
"upto fusly 1241 by one Ramu Coundan in

"in 42 and 43 it was waste, Complainant
"first Cultivated it this year, by order
"of the Monegar Seeneuass Iyah, when
"Venkatachellum the nephew of Rama
"Counden was set on to Cultivate by the
"father of the other Monigar Rungier.
"Complainant having first Cultivated, a
"Puttah to be given to him, and not
"to Venkatachellum whose Puttah is to be destroyed.

No. 16 of Chellumbrum of 1244.

"Complains that 8 annas of his
"Pyfut is entered in Govindapillas Puttah,
"Govindapillas father Cultivated the land
"in 1238 since which it has been waste,
"until this year when Govindoo himself
"Cultivated it and it has properly
"been entered in his Puttah, Complainant
"has no Claim whatever."

No. 93 of 1245.

"Complains that 1- 7/16 C a of
"Anaude waste which he Cultivated
"has been included in Paramarayapillas

name

"name, the land has been waste for 8 ye
"for which the Government has no
"yet one Rupee of Teerva but still he
"marayfil la claims it in his Puttah
"having been 8 years waste, his Puttah
"forfeited, and the Complainant belong
"having Cultivated it in 1245 he shall
"a Puttah for it -

"Nos 16-17-18-19-20 and 21 of Villapuram
in 1245 -

"The parties in these numbers
"Complain that having been prevented by
"deficiency of water, from Cultivating
"of their Kyfut Lands they have been
"rated this year by one Ristnamakka
"and entered in his Puttah, pray that
"they may be taken out and entered in
"their Puttahs respectively, the lands
"question which it is unnecessary to
"rate have been waste, some of them
"6 or 7 years, but all upwards of 4 years
"with the exception of one Pait No 36
"13th Tarum 3/2 - Thirumaa Ristnamachary

"in November last gave a proposal to Cultivate the
"whole of the lands in dispute, which was appro-
"ed because the late proprietors had wilfully
"neglected to cultivate when there was abundance
"of water both last year and this, particularly
"Ristnamah Charry accordingly did cultivate the
"lands, and they have all been entered in his
"Puttah accordingly, and most properly, the fields
"are specified opposite each number."-

No 45 of 1245.

"Complains that he only sowed 3/4 Cawny
"of his Kyfut consisting of 3 Cawnies and pre-
"pared the remainder 2 1/4 C for Cultivation but the
"Curnum has entered it in the Putta of Vencata-
"ramareddy. the land is situated in Yary Perum-
"boke Pait No 1054 measuring altogether 4 2
"Cawnies of which 6 C — 12 1/4 a is cultivated this
"year, out of this 2 1/4 C in dispute, and it was waste
"last year and is no ones Kyfut it must re-
"main in Vencataramareddy's name."-

10 The above are taken at
random

random from many others which bear upon
question but I trust that it will be now suf-
=ficiently established that it has always
the custom to treat all land left waste
one year, as having reverted to the Circar
to give it to the first applicant without
=ence to the former occupant. If a refere
be made to the Village Accounts it would
seen by Cases of instances that lands, cul
=vated by one ryot for years have been
transferred, after being one year waste,
another without any renunciation of the

11

The Board have deci

Proceedings
5th March.

"it to be distinctly understood that they
"no intention whatever to interfere with
"right of the Government thro' the C
"to the disposal of the waste or South C
"a right which from time immemorial
"Government has always exercised, a
"which they believe has never been qu
"but stated that their observations

"out of a number of Petitions which were presented to them
"regarding small spots of land occupied as back-
="yards or straw yards or for the sites of houses
"which parties claimed either from previous occu-
="pancy, or in right of purchase, but which had
"been made over to others without their consent."
From this I inferred that no change was to
be made in the mode of disposing of the uncul-
=tivated lands, not included in the Puttum
"except they had been purchased at a public
sale" but it appears to be now intended that
no lands which have been long occupied by one
person and afterwards left waste, shall be
given to another without the option being given
to the former occupant. If several persons come
forward to claim the same land as having been
formerly in their Puttahs it will be difficult
to decide equitably to whom the land should
be given, as the claims of each would be
founded on the same basis as the others.
It appears particularly necessary therefore
that

that the alteration in respect to claims from former occupation, should have only a pro-spective effect.-

12th Under the different rules and decisions of my predecessors the mode of obtaining lawful possession of waste has been threefold. First any person of his own will taking possession, and cul-tivating such lands, has been always admitted to be the legal occupant or ow-By the second mode, he might apply the Village Officers, and obtain a permis-in writing (toollo-chit) previous to culti-ing; and by the third he might offer a proposal in writing to the Tahsildar or Collector, after which if the lands were found to be waste for one year the pe-was put in possession.-

13 I would respectfully re-that the claims in the suits now instit-

against me in auxiliary Court be examined with reference to the Custom of the country as shewn above and for this purpose I have made the following abstract of the different cases.-

No. 99 of 1840 Principal Collectors letter 31st August 1840. Plaintiff sues for 1 Cawny of Poonjah land formerly cultivated by him but left waste for two years. He contin-ed to rent some trees in the same lands.-	The land being abandoned by the Plaintiff was on application from another Ryot made over to him and the trees were also transferred in accordance with the Hookumnamah.-
No. 217 of 1840 Sub-Collectors letter 11th Sep-tember 1840 Plaintiff sues for 2 Annas of Totacal land which has been immemorial waste but in which he had rented a Tamarind tree.-	The land was never occupied by the Plaintiff except that he rented a tree upon it. when it was given to a Ryot to cul-tivate, the tree was trans-ferred as above.-
No. 312 of 1840 Sub Collectors letter 12th September 1840. Plaintiff Claims	If the name of the Plaintiff's father is in the Survey account it merely Shows

Claims 3 Cawnies 10½ annas of Nunjah land which had been waste for 20 years. first on the ground that it was entered in the Survey Account in his fathers name. Second that he has himself made seed beds in it in the early part of the Season.-

shews that he was Center of the land the year the sur was made. Said waste for 20 years was at the disposal of the Circar. By order of the Prin-cipal Collector are permitted to make seed beds in any lands without the lands such permission however not exclude any wishing to cultivate the lands: after the were removed it was available for cultivation as if the indulgence porary use had not permitted to the It was given to the applicant who may cultivate it for one

No. 314 of 1840 Sub Col-lectors letter 16th September. Plaintiff claims 4 Cawnies 12½ annas of Nunjah land which had been waste for 20 years under precisely the same circumstances as are detailed in Suit No. 312.-

The land was waste for 20 years and was given to the first applicant the Plaintiff's claim is precisely the same as in Suit No. 312.-

No. 197 of 1840 Sub-Collectors letter 19th Sep-tember 1840. Plaintiff Claims 1 Cawny 8 annas of Poonjee land which has been 30 years waste he stated that he has long occupied it.-

The land being 30 years waste was available to any ryot of the Village. The occupation by the Plaintiff is denied and some trees in the land have been rented by another person for the last 10 years from the Circar. It was made over to the first applicants.-

The

No. 313 of 1840 Sub Collectors letter 19th September 1840. Plaintiff sues for 1 anna of Nattam land on the plea of former occupation by his family and the exemption from tax on the land during their occupation.

The land was waste and unoccupied for 15 years and was made over to the first applicant offering to cultivate.

No. 176 of 1840 Sub Collectors letter 21st September 1840 Plaintiff sues for 3 annas of Perumboke Nattum land with trees and ponds therein on the plea that he purchased the land from another person.

The land was waste and unoccupied for many years. The Plaintiff never had a Patta for it or claimed it as "exempted" for Teerwah, and for several years, some land it have been rented for Circar by the 3d Defendant

No. 213 of 1840. Sub-Collector's letter 26th September 1840 Plaintiff claims ½ a Cawny of Punjah land on the plea of its being his hereditary property.

The Plaintiff in Fusly 1248 had given a proposal to cultivate the land stating that it was 50 years waste but it was found to have been previously cultivated by the Defendants

his proposal was accordingly rejected. The 1st Defendant who is the Plaintiffs father and Monigar of the Village in defiance of the orders to reject the proposal, had the Puttah prepared in the Plaintiffs name, and on the complaint of other Defendants after the Gummahbundy, the patta was cancelled and renewed in the names of the actual cultivators. In this case the land was not made over to the other Defendants under the Principal Collectors authority, but the Patta was made in their name when they were found to have cultivated the land, previously waste for 50 years.

The

The defence
posed by the Sub
Collector has not
adopted by me, a
decision was fou
under the provisions
Regulation V of 18

No. 195 of 1840 Sub-
Collector's letter 29th Sep-
tember 1840. Plaintiff claims
3/8 of a Cawny of Perumbokee
Gramanattum land on the plea
of its being his paternal
property.-

The land was
waste and in Fact
the Plaintiff himself
gave a proposal f
it and he was put
possession. It w
afterwards proved
have been previous
in the occupation
the 1st Defendant, (
not so entered in the
accounts) and in com
mity with the order
the Board of Re
it was restored t

The land
waste for 3 years.
Plaintiff says there
sufficient water in
but this is a circum
which cannot be

No. 290 of 1840 Sub Collec-
tor's letter 10th October 1840 Plain-
tiff claims 5/8 of a Cawney of Pan-
jah land on the plea of its being
part of a share in Purgoorkaree Vil-
lage and part of his Puttah.

it is often a mere matter of
opinion. If the Plaintiff
had had any special reason
to adduce for a deviation
from the general rules by
which he might secure
his right to occupy, it
should have been brought
forward when he left the
land and not after another
Ryot had undertaken to
cultivate it. The Pun-
goo-carry Agreement can
only affect the cultivated
land but does not enable
any ryot to keep his
lands waste.-

No. 291 of 1840 Sub Collector's
letter 10th October 1840. Plaintiff
claims 9½ annas of Punjah.
The grounds of the claim on
the same as those in Suit 290.

The land was waste
for 3 years and was made
over to the first proposer.
The grounds of the
claim are the same
as in Suit No. 290.-

No. 270 of 1839 Sub Col-
lector's letter 10th October
1840 No. 139. Plaintiff
claims 7½ Annas of Punjah
and Poonjah as having
held the same for many
years

The Plaintiff had
formerly cultivated the
land but had left it
waste for two years.
In the 3d year a pro-
posal was given by
the

years under Puttahs.—

Nº. 125 of 1840 Principal Collector's letter 28th July 1840 Plaintiff claims 1 Cawney and 1 Anna of Malgoozary Poorjee land for which he had obtained puttas for some years and alleges that he had planted some trees on the lands.—

Nº. 78 of 1840 Principal Collector's letter 5th August 1840 Plaintiff's claim 4 Annas of land with some trees upon it, alleging that it

the 1st Defendant after which the Plaintiff cultivated it. It was proved at the Sambandy that when the proposal was made the land was waste and the Puttah was therefore given in the name of the proprietor.

The land had been left waste by the Plaintiff for years and was given to the first applicant. The trees

with the land according to the

The land actually

It was waste unoccupied for

is gramanattum land in their occupation and that they had received puttahs for the trees up to Fusly 1248.—

made over to the first applicants for Cultivation. The trees previously rented by the Plaintiff were in accordance with the Hookumnamah and the custom transferred to the renters of the land.—

14 After the enjoyment of peace and quiet Government for forty years it is a remarkable circumstance that one half of the clafs of irrigated lands, and three quarters of the dry lands in this District should still be waste, and among the causes that contribute to produce this unfortunate state of things, I believe that the power of disposing of the waste being placed in the hands of the Village Officers has taken a prominent place

years under Puttahs.—

N°. 125 of 1840 Principal Collector's letter 28th July 1840 Plaintiff claims 1 Cawney and 1 Anna of Malgoozary Poonjee land for which he had obtained puttas for some years and alleges that he had planted some trees on the lands.—

N°. 78 of 1840 Principal Collectors letter 5th August 1840 Plaintiff's claim 4 Annas of land with some trees upon it, alleging that it

the 1st Defend-
-ter which the Pl
cultivated it. Pl
proved at the Ch
-hardy that when
proposal was
the land was
and the Putta
therefore given
name of the P

The land
been left un
the Plaintiff
years and upw
to the first
-cant. The la
with the land
-cording to th

The la
actually
-gery Inter
It was and
unoccupied
than 10 years

is

is gramanattum land in their occupation and that they had received puttahs for the trees up to Fasly 1248.—

made over to the first applicants for Cultivation. The trees previously rented by the Plaintiff were in accordance with the Hookumnamah and the custom transferred to the renters of the land.—

14 After the enjoyment of peace and quiet Government for forty years it is a remarkable circumstance that one half of the clafs of irrigated lands, and three quarters of the dry lands in this District should still be waste, and among the causes that contribute to produce this unfortunate state of things, I believe that the power of disposing of the waste being placed in the hands of the Village Officers has taken a prominent place

place. at the first Annual settlement I undertook, I perceived that the greatest re-
-structions were thrown in the way of per-
desirous to cultivate waste land, by the
Village Officers, and large quantities of
valuable land were kept waste by the
-selves, and other influential ryots under
name of their "Hyput". If the poorer
ryots were desirous of cultivating such
lands, they were refused under various
pretexts, or some times they were al-
-lowed to cultivate upon the under-
-ing that the Puttah should be for
them, but it was afterwards made
in the name of the oppressor and
the poor man had incurred the
of improving the land, he was at
mercy of the Puttahdar who could
at the end of the year, or reduce him

Compd. J.R.

hardest possible terms of undersrenting. — It was
in vain that on Complaints being made punish-
-ment was inflicted on the Officers. few
of the poorer ryots would be found hardy
enough to brave the resentment of the
heads of their village and some further
measures for their protection were evident-
-ly called for. —

15. The rule for the disposals of
lands waste for one year being quite clear and
defined, I had merely to provide for its long
being carried into effect without partiality and
with this view directed that applications for
waste should be made in writing only to myself
or the Tahsildars, but I did not in any way
interfere to prevent a person cultivating waste lands,
without such an application, if he chose to do so. —
do so. —

16. The date of receipt of the propo-
-sals being entered on each, as well as in
a Register, the lands are assumed to
the person who first cultivates or
who

who first proposes for them, and much greater regularity is thus obtained, but no change affecting the rights of the people to the lands has been introduced.—

17. On receipt of proposals the Tahsildar sends for a measurement account of the lands with a report from the Village Officers, shewing how they appear in the Village accounts, as to occupancy &c. on receiving this, if no objection occurs, the proposer is put into possession by the Village Officers under an Order from the Tahsildar.—

18. In the event of a claim to the land being set up by a former occupant or any other person, the Tahsildar previously to ordering the land to be given to the proposer, inquires into the claim, and disposes of it under the same rules as he would have done if the proposals had been first made to the Village Officers instead of to himself.—

19. It has been supposed that

that the additional trouble of giving a written direct at the Hoogoor or Taluk Cutcheries would be in some degree a check to the extension of cultivation, but it has not been found to have that effect.— This trouble is trifling, while on the other hand the facility afforded by removing the deciding authority in the first place to the Tahsildar is very great, and I have from my Communication with the people upon the subject every reason to believe that the measure has given almost universal satisfaction, though the Village officers and their friends, whose authority is affected, of course are averse to it.—

20. The number of puttahs and the amount of the revenue in the last five years are entered in the margin and do not appear to support the opinion of the Board "that no advantage is derived" from the practice.—

Fusles.	Puttahs.	Revenue. Rs. a. p.
1245	97,993	20,54,029 - 15 - 2
1246	88,427	19,43,662 - 5 - 4
1247	90,865	20,96,665 - 10 - 5
1248	100,066	22,57,214 - 11 - 1
1249	114,167	25,04,708 - 13 - 1

The

21st The practice of the district having been such as I have described, and the suits instituted against me, in Consequence of some decisions on petitions preferred to the Board, and not on account of any partial deviation from the formerly existing system, it is evident that if these suits were Compromised thousands of a similar nature would have been brought for the same purpose, and I have therefore, with much regret, been Compelled to proceed with the defence on my own responsibility, but I confidently hope that the Government will avert that whatever may be the decisions of the Courts, the responsibility may not be borne by me otherwise than in my Official capacity.—

22d The number of proposals for waste lands received have been in Fasly 1241. 8341 in Fasly 1249-21042 and upto 15th November in the current year 15883 which have been disposed of according to former rule

rule and practice, and I humbly submit for the consideration of the Board that the mere declaration of an opinion by superior authority that my proceedings are disapproved because they are inconsistent with the rules and Customs hitherto in force, is quite sufficient reason for many suits to be brought against me, and probably to effect the decisions of the courts also - It is already stated in the pleadings in Court that I am not supported by the Board and as Government, and that the disposal of waste lands in the manner heretofore practiced is therefore illegal - I venture also to state that I had no legal power or authority to alter the practice, even if I could have foreseen the change that the present opinions of the Board involve, and that I cannot therefore be equitably held responsible for the consequences which have occurred. —

Before

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

23d.-

Before concluding this report I beg to express my own opinion that the introduction of a Patent settlement would be attended with no advantage in this district; the land tenure being considerably higher than in the neighbouring districts, it would undoubtedly be much objected to by the Ryots - The risks of loss to them would be very much increased and the hardship of severe taxation much aggravated by their being obliged at the commencement of the Fasly to make themselves responsible for the rent of lands, which the failure of the season might prevent them cultivating, and it would entail the necessity of the uncertain and arbitrary System of remissions, which under the existing system are not required except in extraordinary seasons of distress -

24-

Having thus again ventured to offer fuller information upon the subject

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

subject which it did not before appear to me was necessary. I hope that the Board may be induced to make a more favorable representation of the case to the Government that they will take such steps as may be considered proper to relieve me from the responsibility which I have unwarily incurred, and that the present practice may be continued without the introduction of the Patent System, or that if any modification of it is decided on, due notice may be given and a period fixed for the commencement of the change -

Murcanum - - -
South Arcot Dt.
Pt. Collr. Circuit Cutcherry,
26th December 1840 - -

(Signed) W. Ashton.
Principal Collector -

P.S. The accompanying papers as per List appearing to bear upon the case I enclosed them to facilitate reference -

(Signed) W. Ashton.
Principal Collector.

Extract

No. 1.

Extract of a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 26th July 1819.

3. It would be satisfactory to the Board to learn which of the various Ryotwar settlements alluded to in my letter of the 29th April, you may consider best suited to the local peculiarities of your District.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. Ashton.
Principal Collector.

No. 171.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

Para. 1. With reference to the various papers that have been laid before the Government, at different periods, respecting the progress of the Village Lease settlement and the Ryotwar system of revenue administration to be introduced on its termination, I am directed

directed by the President and Members of the Board of Revenue to submit to the Government the following summary, as the result of their various deliberations, which are contained more at length in the papers in question.—

2. In the first place, the Board think that where, as in Sanjore, the whole of the Ryots in a Village are, or may consent to be, parties to the Collective Village settlement, that settlement should be renewed for a further term of ten years, the quota payable by each individual being defined by agreements amongst themselves, of which copies shall be lodged in the office of the Collector.—

3. In the second place, where this cannot be effected, the Board think that the Extent Individual Ryotwar Settlement, explained in their General Report of the 25th January last, from para. 408 to 458 and from para. 632 to 665 should

should be introduced, for such period as may be agreed upon by the Ryots.—

4. In the third place, where neither of these settlements can be effected, the Board are of opinion that the annual field assessment Ryotwar, where it may have been introduced, should be reverted to, under such modification of the terms as may be requisite.

5. In the last place, where neither of the two first mentioned settlements can be effected, and the field assessment Ryotwar has not yet been introduced, the Board propose to take the necessary steps to establish it.—

6. After an attentive re-consideration of the reports which have been submitted to Government, from time to time, respecting the progress of the Village lease settlement in the different districts, and of the Sentiments recorded by the Board in their General

General Report of the 5th January 1878.— from para 858. to para 907. including their Minute of the 5th of January 1818 and also in their General Report of the 25th of January 1879 from para 408 to 455. para 632 to 665. and para 796 to 919. the Board beg leave to submit to the Government the foregoing propositions, as a summary of the result of these discussions.—

7. The Board believe that Colonel Munro concurred in the opinion; that where every Ryot is or can be included in the Collective Village settlement, such settlement would in fact become Ryotwar, by merely defining the quota payable by each. This the Board propose should be done.—

8. The Board's Minute of the 5th of January contains the grounds of their opinion against Ryotwar surveys and field assessments, and their proceedings recited in their General Report before quoted state the grounds upon which

which they prefer a periodical Putaat Ryotwar.—

9. Where neither of the two first mentioned settlements can be effected, it remains only to revert to, or to introduce, annual field assessment Ryotwar, on the improved principles explained in the Minute of the 5th January 1818.—

10. In submitting this summary, the object of the Board is to bring under review the means which they consider best calculated for carrying into effect the views of the Honorable Court of Directors for entering into settlements with the Ryots. This may be effected by different means in different districts, and the suggested by the Board will enable them to adopt that which is best suited to the local peculiarities of each.—

Fort St. George, 29th April 1819. } /Signed/ A. D. Campbell, Secretary.

No. 2.

Revenue Department.

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to the Circular letter addressed to you under date the 20th Ultimo, I am directed by the President and Members of the Board of Revenue, to transmit for your information and guidance, the accompanying further Extract from the letter from the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department under date the 4th Ultimo, prohibiting the taking of Moochelcaas to keep up the cultivation, which it appears was by some mistake omitted to be sent to you with the Circular above referred to.

Fort St. George, 7th January 1820. } /Signed/ H. Chamier. Deputy Secretary.

Extract

Fort St.e George 14th January 1841.

Extract of a letter from the Secretary to Govern=ment in the Revenue Department under date the 1st. December 1819.

Para.h

The Governor in Council highly approves of your orders to the Collector to take no more Morchelleas from the Ryots in Auny Villages for keeping up the Cultivation: The cultivation must be kept up by making it the interest of the Ryots to cultivate. All other means are tyrannical and must ultimately prove unavailing.

/A true Extract./

Signed/ H. Chamier.

Deputy Secretary.

No. 3.

Extract from the Madras Revenue Selections Volume 3. Page 527.

Extract Revenue letter to Fort St. George dated the 18th August 1824.

Letter from dated 12th October 1821. para 35. Progress made in the introduction of the ryotwar mode of settlement in Northern Arcot from the Commencement of Fusly 1231.

118. We participate in the Satisfaction which the exertions of the Collector afforded to the Board of Revenue in the

Fort St.e George 14th January 1841.

of this important duty. We highly approve the Remarks and instructions which they conveyed to him in their letter dated 16th April 1824. Their decision stated in the thirteenth paragraph of that letter, with regard to the rights of the cultivating Ryots in opposition to certain pretensions of persons claiming to be meerasidars &c. is perfectly proper and should be adopted as a general rule.

&c. Who had relinquished their lands, and afterwards desired to oust the Ryots by whose exertions the lands had been rendered valuable.

/A true Extract./

/Signed/ W. Ashton.

Principal Collector.

No. 4.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 12th December 1825.

3d. In the 12th Para of the report under consideration the Principal Collector refers to the Proceedings of the Board on the Settlement

of

of 1232. and to a letter addressed to him und
-date the 31.st March last, and takes occasion
to observe that no compulsory measures to in
=crease the cultivation have been authorized
-or permitted. He states that it is the prac
=tice in his District "to enter into caboolia
"with the Ryots for such lands as they m
"be able to cultivate, and the duty and re
="ponsibility of the Tahsildars is confined t
"a superintendence that such Voluntary agre
"ments are duly performed" and as a pro
of the leniency with which even these vol
=tary agreements are enforced, he obser
that "the Cabooleatnamah will shew
"there is a considerable annual deduct
"made to those inhabitants who have n
"been able to cultivate to the extent of
"Caboolials." The Board find on refer
to the Statement **D** that the extent
actually cultivated in 1234 was less
than the extent of land agreed f

by the Ryots by 86,188 Cawnies. This circum=
=stance may be considered as a proof
of the leniency of the Principal Collec.
=tors' administration, and that a liberal
consideration is given to the Actual
circumstances of the Ryots at the
conclusion of the Settlement, and so
far it will doubtless be deemed sa.
=tisfactory by the Government; but
the Board are not sure that it im=
=plies the absence of constraint on
the Ryots in regard to their cultiva=
=tion at an earlier stage of the proceed=
=ings of the year. on the contrary the
very fact of their having entered into
Caboolials to cultivate to an extent
which eventually proved so far beyond
their means would seem rather to shew

that

Fort St.e George 14.th January 1841.

that the Talook officers had in the begin-
-ning exerted an undue influence to induce
them to take more land than they wished

/ A true Extract. /

/ Signed / W. Ashton.
Pres.t Coll.

No. 5.

Extract from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue under date the 19.th
February 1827.

20. It is proper here to state that
with reference to the observations of
the Principal Collector in the 6.th Para
of his report, that the Board consider
the practice of collecting "tarem burtee"
or difference of assessment, very objection-
-able, and are of opinion that it should
be entirely abandoned. The stock of
many Ryots consists only of their plough-
-ing cattle and the seed saved from the
produce of former years or purchased with

Fort St.e George 14.th January 1841.

the annual advances from the Collector: and
if death, sickness, or other misfortune deprives
them of the services of such members of the
family as have been accustomed to labour,
they must of necessity leave part at least
of their fields untilled and that part will
not unfrequently be in the higher classes
for, altho' good land will always yield
the best return the consequences of failure
are more serious, and the culture is gene-
-rally more expensive and laborious. To
tax the inferior land retained under such
circumstances with the assessment of the
superior would only augment the distress,
and the practice is altogether inconsistent
with the interests of the Government.

21. The Principal Collector re-
-ports that the orders for withdrawing all

restraint

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

restraint upon the cultivation have received a
attention from him, but he states that his
"Sheristadars are divided in opinion with
"respect to the effect the sudden discontinu
="ance of an old usage of the District m
"have upon the cultivation, they both ho
="ever are of opinion that less land would
"be brought under cultivation than if th
"usual forms were gone through." The Bo
have no doubt that the relaxation of a rul
which bound the Ryots to occupy more
land than they would do, if left to t
=selves will have a tendency to throw
fields out of cultivation and are therefo
disposed to think that Mr. Cunliffe is to
Sanguine in his expectation "that the c
="tivation of 1236 will be little short of th
"of the most favorable year" but as the
measure will likewise have the effect

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

of imparting greater confidence to the People
and improving their means and condition,
they look forward with a well grounded
hope to the gradual increase of prosper=
=rity throughout the District.

/A true Extract/

Signed/ W. Ashton.

Principal Collector.

No 6

Extract of a letter from the Principal Collector
Mr. Cunliffe to the Board of Revenue dated
6th October 1827.

Copy of Paragraphs
38-39-44-47 and 50.
Mr. Campbell's
Minutes.

Para 1st. I have the honor to acknow=
=ledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter
with enclosures, under date the 30th July.

2nd. With regard to the observations
made by Mr. Campbell, that the Hookoom=
=namah as it stood in fusly 1234 operat=
=ed to the "gradual ousting of the Merasidars"

I

I beg to observe that I do not conceive t
these rules have inflicted the injustic
noticed by that Gentleman That mer
right in land is in greater force in
Talooks of Nunnargoody and Chellu
-brum than in any other in the Sobah
is not to be denied, still if these land
or any part of them are left waste by
the Meerasidar, and they refuse to
make good to the Circar, the rent of
the same, they unquestionably lose all
right and title to such, and if the Cir
can find hands to Cultivate the same
they have the power of putting such in po

3d. That the lands formerly in
possession of one Meerasidar have pass
into that of others, is even to the extent
-fied by the Tahsildar correct, but where
is to be considered it must be divided

* of Chellumbrum

two heads.

1st. Lands now in possession of
other than the original Meerasidar
from the circumstance of the Meerasi=
-dars having left them Waste of their
-own free will.

2nd. Lands formerly in possession
of Meerasidars at present through
the operation of the rules laid down
in the annual Hookoomnamahs in
those of their / Meerasidars' / under Tenants
and Slaves.

4. Of these two heads I shall speak
of the latter first, indeed I may say of
that alone because the observations
here made, will shew that the Lands
held under the circumstances mention=
-ed in the former, are alone in being.

5 On questioning the Tahsildar
mentioned by Mr. Campbell relative to the in=
-formation he gave to that Gentleman, he stated
that

that he spoke generally of the effect of the Hookoom Namah Rules upon Meeraj tenure; that in consequence of these, many persons held lands which once formed part of the Meerajy of others, which they considered themselves permanently en to, in virtue of holding the Puttah for same, but that he did not state that any under tenant or slave had, bec he cultivated, obtained possession of a Puttah for such portion of Land, or th Contrary he observes that he knows of instance of Possession of Land having been obtained in this way, all the la he alluded to having been given up the present holders because it was waste by the Meerasidars, that in cases they (Meerasidars) had sough recovery in a Civil Court, but that they had failed to obtain a de

decision in their favour not because the Puttah was in the name of the Defendant but because they themselves had left these Lands Waste.

5th. The whole body of Land holders of these two Taloks are at present with me, and cautious as they naturally are when any questions relative to Meerajy rights are put to them, they have in the most unqualified manner assured me that the Meerajy Lands lost to them has been through the power which the Circar has, and which they do not for an instant question of putting other than the Original Meerasedars in possession of Lands left Waste, and not from their under Tenants and slaves through the rules laid down in the Hookumnamah ousting them because they held the plough.

7th. I could point out in these two Taloks Meerasedars or Land holders paying

paying a yearly rent to Government of from 1000 to 12000 Rupees who do not cultivate 20 Cawnies of Land by their own ploughs, but who rent the remaining lands to under Tenants - some upon Waram and some at full Seerwah retaining to themselves in the latter Case what is termed the London Ware in fact a token of proprietary right to the land.

A true Extract/

/Signed/ W. Ashton
Principal Collector

No. 7.

Translation of a Tamil Decree passed in the Register in the Adalut Court of the Zillah of Virdachellum in Suit No. 2456.

Plaintiff.
Mootteeyapundaram of Vullooa Caste of Chellumbrum by the Court Vakeel Goolam Hossain.

Defendants.
Venkata charier of Permal Coil in the Villag

Villago Venkatachariar, Seeniengar, Sathiengar, Kristiengar, Iyavyengar and Vaitheyar Appaviengar by Court Vakeels Kistnamachariar and Syed Hoosaen ooddeen Khan.

That the Plaintiff has a claim against the Defendants on the following grounds, for a piece of ground of 150 feet valued at Rupees 13 1/4 - They were called upon to answer for it. Although they ought to give him the piece of ground they unreasonably delay to do so. Whereupon the Plaintiff complains that the Land in which this Muttum or building is situated measure 150 feet from South to North by 200 from East to West that when he was raising a wall in that piece of ground the Defendants prevented it that they therefore ought to give up to him the said piece of ground worth 13 1/4 Rupees

Rupees but as ... they have not given it to him, this Suit has been instituted.

The Defendants in their answer filed by their Vakeels state that the Plaintiff neither hopsed the Muttum or piece of ground near the gate of the Pagode, that he has no kind of title to it that he never had house nor any thing else therein, but that the Defendants enjoyed the said piece of ground by planting Trees therein, that when they raised wall for building House the Plaintiff prevented it and that existing that the Plaintiff has no kind of connection with it.

The Plaintiff in his reply filed by his Vakeel states that the Defendants never enjoyed the said ground by planting trees therein, that there were two persons of his Caste in the said place

by name Veroonaullepporar and Pettan Sambar well known in the Pagode of Sri Subbah Naicker, by whom the Muttum was erected in the said ground in the name of the said Veroonallipporar and the charity of distributing food was continued therein, but that the Muttum was taken down when the boundary Wall of Veerapa Naicken adjacent to the said ground was made use of as the wall of the fortification, and that it being a charity Muttum generally of the Pariahs in the Country, the Defendants have no right to it.

The Defendants in their Rejoinder filed through their Vakeels state that no Muttum was ever built nor the charity of distributing rice ever carried on by a person called

called Seroomallipuram that many persons have been building Houses there since the Fort 300 years ago was decayed and that the Plaintiff has no grounds for the suit according to Clause 4 Section XVIII Regulation II of 1802 as since 300 years, have built their houses there after the Wall of the Fort was taken down.

A document No. 18 was filed by the Vakeel of the Plaintiff in support of his claim and the undermentioned Witnesses viz. Chedumbra Pundarum Paramanandam darum Sobapundarum and Chowry appeared were examined. There were two more Witnesses produced, but they were not examined.

The Defendants in support of their Defence filed through their Vakeel the document No. 17. The Witnesses examined on their part were Venetachella Naicker

Veerapun. Nagapilley, Coopacharry, Venetachary, Rungacharry and Mahomed Ebadullah Lebbe. There were five more Witnesses but they were not examined. The last mentioned witness gave his Deposition on Oath before the Darogah of Chellumbram.

This action was brought to recover a piece of ground situated close to the Pagoda at Chellumbrum. In the year 1807 or 1808 one person of the disputing parties intended to erect a building on that ground the other party interfered in it stating that the ground belonged to him. Both parties had a dispute on account of the said ground and without being peaceable they persisted in the quarrel they were thereupon accused as having committed disturbance and quarrel, and forwarded to the Court. The present complainant and others were

were punished by the Criminal Court for having disturbed the Peace, upon this both parties were told to institute Suits in the Civil Court if they had claims to the ground according to which this Suit was brought by the Plaintiff.

Altho many Depositions were taken in this Suit, three fourths of them are entirely unconnected with it. Some which was selected with great pains but even this is by no means clear nor satisfactory to both parties and does not go far back. The dispute has arisen on account of a piece of ground. There is no grant or any other Document nor anything else to ascertain the owner thereof. It appears in fact to be Circar Land, neither the Plaintiff nor Defendant has a title to it. The Plaintiff alleges that it belongs to him because a Muttum was built there by his ancestors

ancestors and consecrated to the deities Pillan and Samban. The Defendants state that it is theirs it being situated close to the Pagoda and being connected with No. 21 in the Duffer of this Court as also as they have disputed regarding it and the Plaintiff and others have been punished by the Criminal Court. The reasons alleged by the Plaintiff were not at all established by evidence. He produced no Document to shew that he has right to it through inheritance nor is it to be concluded that he is entitled to it by a long enjoyment, as there is no proof of his having at any time really enjoyed it.

The Court on a careful examination of the Case are most decidedly of opinion that neither the Plaintiff or the Defendant has any more right to the ground in dispute than the hand which guides the pen that

that writes those words. If both the parties
desire to have the ground, let them represent
to the Collector who alone appears authorized
to settle it. The Court cannot pass a decision
respecting the ground to which neither of the
parties appear entitled. The Court therefore come
to decision that as the parties quarrelled and
disputed about the ground to which they
have not the least right each of those
parties must pay his own Costs respectively
and accordingly decree that the Costs
due by the Plaintiff Viz.t Court Charges
Fan.s 9, Vakeels fees at 5 per Cent, on
Rupees 131/4 the amount of Complaint
under Class 2 Section VII Regulation
X of 1802 is Rupees 6 and Annas 9, Exam
or retaining fees to the Vakeel 4 Annas
Batta paid to the Witnesses Rupees
Fees

Fees on an exhibit as per Regulation V of
1808 annas 4 fees for 8 Witnesses Rupees 1 1/2 Institution
fees paid by the Plaintiff under Regulation XVII
of the above year is Rupees 8 fan.s 2 and Cash
50 Stamp duty on 5 Stampt Papers Rupees 2 and
on 3 Stampt papers for the Decree Rupees 3. In
all amounting to Rupees 2 & fanams 6 should be
paid by the Plaintiff Mootyapundaram &
that the Costs due by the Defendants Viz.t
Court Charges fanams 13, and Cash 40, Vakeels
fees Rupees 6 and annas 9. Retaining fees
twice paid annas 8. Batta to the witnesses Rupees
2 fees as above for an exhibit Annas
4. Fees as above for 12 witnesses Rupees 3-
Stamp duty on 8 papers of pleadings Rupees
3 and on 3 papers of Decree
Rupees 3 amounting in all to Rupees 19
fanams 11 and Cash 7/4 to be paid by
the Defendants Venecatachariar

Venecatachariar

Fort St. Geo.e 14th January 1841.

Venkatachariar, Seniengar, Sheshiengar, Kistna-
-gar Syaviengar and Vetteyar Appooviem-
-gar. Thus the decision has been passed
according to justice.

Given under my hand and the
Seal of the Adalut Court in the Zillah of Ch-
-dachellum on the 27th day of February 1812.

/Signed/ John Forbes.
Registrar.

Delivered this 28th of March 1812.

/Signed/ John Forbes
Registrar.

/True Copy/
/Signed/
Acting Judge.

/Signed in Telugoo as Exd.
by Tumby Kistna Row
4th Jan.y 1841./ /True Translate/

from an unattested Copy
of the Decree taken in the Zillah Court
of Chingleput.

/Signed/ H. Dalton.
Asst. Collector.

Translation of a Tamil Decree
No. 8.
Suit

Comp.d T. R.

Suit No. 107 of 1812. on the file of the Co.
Adalut Court in the Zillah of Cherdachellum.

Plaintiffs.

1. Anutheatcheta of Easwern Coil at Chedumbarum.
2. Ganaputty theatcheta of Do. Do.

Gorlaw Hoosane Saib. Court Naikeel Co.

Defendants.

1. Vencatachary of Permal Coil at Chedumbarum.
2. Jeroomalachary of Do. Do.
3. Appanachary of Do. Do.
4. Seemiengar of Do. Do.
5. Syavyengar of Do. Do.
6. Chinnappiengar of Do. Do.
7. Coopiengar of Do. Do.
8. Kistniengar of Do. Do.
9. Sasheengar of Do. Do.

Syed Hoosane Ood Deen Khan. Court Naikeel.

The Defendants owe 9 pieces of
ground valued at 575 Rupees to the Plaintiffs and
they were summoned to answer the claim. They owe
those pieces of ground but they delay unreasona-
-bly

=bly. consequently the Plaintiffs com=
=plain stating that the Defendants
in company with a mob of some other
persons on the 9th of Aumy in the year
Proji[illegible] putty, aumy and take possession
by force the grounds situated on the
both sides of the front of the western
Gate of the Eastern Coil at Chedumbu=
=ram, which the Plaintiffs continued to
enjoy as Nundavanum and Nuttam
attached to the said Eastern Coil. that
the ground on the Southside of the front
of the western gate of the said Eastern
Coil amounts to 4 pieces measuring 213
feet from east to west by 170 from North
to South; that the ground on the North
=side amounts to 5 pieces, measuring
213 feet from east to west, three
of which on the east side mea=
=sures 30 feet from South to North

and the remaining 2 pieces 170 feet.
that the value of the 9 pieces as
aforesaid is Rupees 575 and that
the Defendants being engaged in
constructing Houses thereon they pray
that the same may be recovered
and restored to them.

In the answer filed by Syed
Hoosane and Deen Khan the Vackeel
on behalf of all the Defendants
except Sashiengar it is stated that the
ground in dispute was not occupied
by the Plaintiffs; that it was not
converted into a flower garden; that
they have no right to that ground; that
many years previous to the invasion
of Hyder, a small Pagoda was con=
=structed for Hanoomar in that ground
and worshipped by them / the Defendants /
that during the said invasion the
said

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

both parties were sent to the Court by the Darrogah; that the Court ordered the said Mootia pundaram to sue in the Civil Court for the ground he claimed; that according to which, a suit was instituted in No. 2456 of the Register's Court; that it was decreed that the ground in dispute is the property of Government, it being Circar land, that on both parties making their appearance before the Collector, the matter was there investigated and Takeed issued to Narrainapillay &c. Tahsildar of Chedumbrum directing him to make over the land to the possession of the Defendants; that when the Defendants were erecting the agraharam, they found the image of Hanooman which was not forthcoming; that a considerable

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

said Pagoda was destroyed; that the ground round about the said Pagoda which was waste, was subsequently taken possession of by them / the Defendants who converted it into a Flower Garden erecting a Mut and planting an Avenue therein; that when they intended to found an Agraharam on both sides of the road leading to the west gate of the said Pagoda, which was on the 5th Tye in the year Nebhava, the people of that Pagoda prevented the same as disturbed the peace, that they complained about it to the Criminal Court that security was taken from the Defendants for their good conduct, that afterwards the said people of the Pagoda set up one Mootiapundaram to prevent the Defendants from building the Houses; that then the said

considerable sum of money was ex-
pended for building the House, that
these Plaintiffs alone have no concern
to dispute for the ground, while there
are 200 persons more, that have equal
right with the Plaintiffs for the same
that the dispute regarding the land
having been decided in No. 2456 of the
Competent Court of the Register, the pre-
sent suit should be dismissed under
X Regulation II of 1802, and that the site
of the western Gate has been fixed
for passing and repassing from and
to the Pagoda of Permal appertaining
to the religion of the Defendants.

The said Sashiengar states in
his answer that he has not deprived the
Plaintiffs of any of their property and that
they have no right to the land in dispute

Annakhreatchelen in his Reply

filed

filed by his Vackeel Goolam Hoosain
states, that the Defendants have ad-
mitted their being in possession of the
land in dispute; that he will prove the
land to be appertaining to the Pagoda;
that the suit instituted by Mootiapun-
darum was not for the land now in co.
dispute, but for another which is situated
on the Southside thereof; that as the
decree passed in suit No. 2456 is regard-
ing another land, it has no concern with
this suit; that the Defendants stated
falsely before Mr. Collector Ravenshaw
that the said land was waste and co
belonging to the Circar and that thereup-
on, order was issued to make over the
land to them provided that their State-
ment is true; that it is belonging
to the Eastern Cail as appears
plainly

plainly from the figures sculptur
upon the Tower of the west Gate; t
there being no practice of carrying
a suit by all the people of the
-da, two particular persons only wou
appointed for the purpose, and that
the said land was not formerly enjo
by the Defendants, they have no ti
to it at present and therefore
should be compelled to give up the la

The other Plaintiff Ganapa
thetcheten states in his reply that
Defendants have no title to the land
dispute and prays therefore to remov
them from the possession of the ground.

The 8 Defendants amend
state in their Rejoinder filed by their
Vackeel Syed Hoosanee and Dewan Kis
that prior to breaking down the
Fort

Fort at Chedumbaram, most part of
the land in dispute belonging to the
Ditch; that subsequent to it's destruction,
they occupied a part thereof by convert-
-ing it into a Garden, that until the com-
-mencement of the dispute, the other part
of the land was waste; and that they will
prove all that they have stated in
their said answer - and pray to be relieved
from this suit.

Sachiengar also filed his Re-
-joinder to the same effect.

Plaintiffs filed no document
to establish their claim to the land in
dispute except it's Plan No. 43. The under-
-mentioned Witnesses on the part of the
Plaintiffs were examined Viz.t Seroomalarow,
Soobroyapillay, Vencatrow, Ramien
Cooty Syen, Tillanayapundarum,
Sedambarapillay

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841

Sedambarapillay, Meenatchypundaram Soobroyapillay, Appoopillay, Ammia and Ammiappen. The undermentioned Witnesses Viz.t Mootocaroopapundaram Sedambarapundaram Soobramaniyapundaram were also summoned on the part of the Plaintiffs but no depositions were taken from them as their evidence was merely to corroborate the Statement of the said Witnesses.

The Defendants filed in the Court the undermentioned Documents Viz.t No.1 a Plan of the land in dispute. No.2 a Copy of the Decree passed by the Register of the Zillah Court at Vedachellum in Suit No.2456 on 17th. February 1812. No.3 a Plan of the land which was disputed in Suit No.2456. No.4 a Takeed signed by Mr. Ravenshaw to the Tahsildar

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.

of Manargoody dated 24th. April 1812. —

The undermentioned Witnesses on the part of the Defendants were examined Viz.t Appoocoottyrow, Davaday, Naiken, Mohomed Rahimmadoollah, Nagapillay, Fadu Ood Deen Saib, Meerapen, Nuttud Cawn, Vengadalletty, Nathamoony Coopoocharry, and Rungacharry. another witness Casseum Abdoollah was summoned but he was not examined. —

In the examination of this Suit it appears that the evidence given on both sides evinces a gross partiality, and the Court plainly perceive that on no side the truth has been brought to light; but however the land in dispute is clearly proved to have belonged to the Fort of Chedumbarum as its Gate or Ditch round about it

it, and it should be considered as
Circar land until it is made over by
Competent authorities. It appears that
there is an agraharam for the Plaintiff
at the East Gate by which Eamwar
/the Deity/ passes during the Annual
festivals, and the Defendants wishing to
found a similar agraharam at the West
Gate by which the procession of their
Pennal passes commenced to construct
when, the Plaintiffs and the Cardigars of
the Pagoda quarrelled with Defendants
regarding the property - at last, one Vallia
Mootiapundarum commenced a Suit in
2456. against the Defendants for a certain
land which clearly appears to be a part
of the land now under dispute and
the Decree No 15 which was passed in this
Suit the land has been declared

to

to be the Circar property and neither
Plaintiffs nor the Defendants have any
title to it. Whereupon the Defendants
proceeded to the Collector who issued a
a Pakeet No 32 authorizing the
Defendant to take possession of the
land and to have an agraharam Con-
structed thereon. It does not appear
from any document or witness or by any
writing whatever that the Plaintiffs
have established any good and strong
right to the land - although it was
at any time occupied by the Plaintiffs
on account of the Pagoda and taken
possession of by the Defendants in any
manner without their permission, yet
it is proved that they obtained the
permission of the Collector to occupy
it and that they have constructed an
agraharam thereon expending a large
sum of money -

The Court therefore having

perused

perused the above Documents and fully
Comprehending the above Circumstances
dismiss the Suit brought by the Plain=
=tiffs Annatheatcheter and Ganapatty the
=ter, on account of the said lands; and the
Defendants Venkatachary, Seroomalachary
Appanachary, Seeno Iyengar, Iyangyengar
Chinnaspringar, Coopuengar, Ristnengar
and Shashiengar are fully relieved
from this Suit; and also as the
lands is reasonably occupied by the
it is ordered that they may enjoy it
with peace and Comfort, and it is
also decreed that the Plaintiffs Ann
=theatcheter and Ganapatty Mudaliar
should pay the Costs by the Court charges
paid by the Plaintiffs. Fanams
28 and Cash 40 Do paid by the
Defendants Fanams 15. Fees
of the Plaintiffs' Vackeel
on Rupees 575 at 6 per Cent authorized by
Clause 2d Section VIIIth Regulation X of
1802 is Rupees 28 3/4 Retaining fees paid

to the Vakeel Rs 1/4, Fees of the Defendants
Vakeel as aforesaid Rupees 28 3/4, Retainer
Rupees 1/4, Batta paid to the witnesses on
the part of the Plaintiffs Rupees 1/2, Batta
paid to the witnesses on the part of the
Defendants Rupees 5 1/2, Fees for a docu=
=ment filed by the Plaintiffs under
Regulation V of 1818 Rupees 1/2, Fees for
their witnesses 15 in number Rupees 7 1/2
Fees for 4 documents filed by the Defendants
Rs 2, Fees for their witnesses 12 in number
Rs 6, Institution fees paid by the Plain=
=tiffs according to the Regulation XVII of the
above year Rupees 27, Fanams 6 and Cash
35, Stamp duty for 8 Papers given by
the Plaintiffs Rs 6 1/2 & for 6 Papers
for Copying the Decree Rupees 6. Amount=
=ing in all to Rupees 130, Fanams 11
and Cash 29.

Thus the Decree of
justice has been passed.

Given under our hand and
the Seal of the Court in the Udalut=
Court

Court of Verdachellum Zillah, this 30th day of September in the year 1814.

(Signed) Sagoogee row
Native Register.

(Signed) G. Gowan.
Judge.

True Copy.

(Signed) G. Gowan.
Judge.

Delivered this 6th day of December 1814.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Register.

(True Translation.)

(Signed) W. Oswell.
Asst. Collector.

No. 9.

Southern Division.

Zillah Court of Verdachellum.
Provincial Court of Appeal.

Appellants.
Annah Ditchelher
and Gunnapathy
Ditchelher

versus

No. 107 of 1812.
No. 10 of 1815.

Respondents.
Venkatacharyer
Sreenalathengya
Appanacharyer,
Seneo Iyengar,
Nhaiyengar,
Iyaryengar,
Sinnapaengar
Son of Conjechaver
Coppieengar and
Kistnengar.

Read in Court and a Copy of the Decree delivered to the Respondents Vakeel but the other Copy is not delivered owing to the absence of the appellants Vakeel, this 23d May 1817.

(Signed) J. B. Huddleston.
Register.

Appellants Vakeel. Respondents Vakeel
Ellapah Mondeliar. Seenevasiengar.

Decree of the Provincial Court.

The appellants were Plaintiffs in the adawlut of the Zillah, and sued to recover nine parcels of Ground situated on both Sides of the Western Gate of the Cawaren Cuul at Chettumbrum, and valued at Rupees 575 which appellants state to have been forcibly occupied by Respondents who have built houses thereupon.

The Respondents assert that appellants have no claim whatever to the Ground in question. That a dispute having formerly arisen relative to a portion of the said Ground, a suit was instituted No. 2456 in the adawlut of the Zillah, and after full examination thereof it was decreed that the disputed Ground was the property of the Circar and that neither party had any claim thereto. That in Consequence of this Decision, Respondents then

then made application to the Collector to be allowed to build Houses on the said Ground who issued a Sakeed/No 32/ to the Tahsildar Sarrampully, containing his Permission for Respondents to erect an agraharum on the said Grounds.

The Provincial Court having Considered the Proceedings held in this Suit in adaulet of the Zillah as well as the Pleadings of the parties in appeal, are of opinion that Respondents are entitled to hold and enjoy the Ground in dispute by virtue of the authority of the Collector contained in Exhibit No 32. The Decree passed in the Adaulut of the Zillah is is therefore Confirmed, and it is further adjudged that appellants shall pay all Costs in appeal according to the following Statement within one Calendar month from the date of the delivery of this Decree.—

Fees

Fees of the appellants Pleader at 5 per Cent on Rupees 575 are according to Section VIII Regulation X of 1802 is	Rs. 28	A. 9	P. 51
Fees of the Respondents Pleader as above	28	9	51
	57	6	34
Value of Stamps Paper for Pleadings and Exhibit, Government Fees together with the retainer disbursed by the Respondents, to be paid to them by the appellants	6	9	51
Stamp duty for Stamps Paper used for two Copies of the Decree to be paid in the Provincial Court by the appellants	6	0	0
Total Rupees	70	3	17

Total Rupees Seventy, three Annas three and Cash Seventeen.—

Given under our hands and the Seal

Seal of the Court in the Court House at Trichinopoly this 26th day of March A. D. 1817.

Attested.

(Signed) I. B. Huddleston.
Register.

(Signed) S. A. Grant.
2d Judge.

(Signed) J. H. Ruell.
3d Judge.

True Copy of an unattested Copy obtained from the Provincial Court.

(Signed) W. Ashton.
Principal Collector.

No 10.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr adalut under date the 22 October 1817.

Read again petition presented by the Vakeel Ghoolam Russool on behalf of Anna Dekcheeter and Gunnapaty Dekcheeter of the Zillah of Verdachellum.

The petitioners pray the Court to admit a special appeal from the decision of the Provincial Court for the Southern Division in appeal No 10.

of

of 1815. whereby the Decree of the Zillah Court of Verdachellum disallowing their Claim upon Venkatacharryer and others for nine parcels of Grounds situated near the western gate of the Cowern Cavil at Chellumbrum and valued at Rupees 675, was Confirmed.

The Court have maturely Considered the Decree Complained of and they see no grounds whatever for questioning the Correctness of the judgement passed by the lower Courts in this Case.

Ordered therefore that the Prayer of the petition be rejected.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. Oliver.
Register.

(True Copy) (Signed) W. Ashton.
Princ. Collecton

No 11.

List of Papers accompanying the Report of the Principal Collector of South Arcot to the Board of Revenue under date 26th December 1840.

From

No. 1. From the Board of Revenue dated 26th July 39. Para 3.

2. From Ditto Ditto dated 2d January 1820. with an Extract of a letter from Government

3 Extract of a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors to the Government of Fort St. George dated 18th August 1824 Para 18.

4. Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th December 1825 Para 6.

5. Extract from - Ditto - Ditto dated 19th February 1827 Paras 20 and 21.

6. Extract of a letter from the late Principal Collector Mr. Cunliffe to the Board of Revenue dated 6th October 1827 Para 1 to 7.

7. Translation of a decree passed by the Register in the Zillah Court of Verdachellum in Suit No. 2466.

8 Translation of a Decree passed by the Judge of the Zillah Court of Verdachellum in Suit No. 107 of 1812.

9 Copy of a Decree passed in the appeal by the Provincial Court of appeal Suit in the Southern Division, appeal Suit No. 10 of 1815.

10. Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Adawlut under date the 3d October 1817. Confirming the decree of the Provincial Court of appeal of the Southern Division.

(36-37)

Order thereon vide Proc. 25th Jany.

/Signed/ W. Ashton.
Principal Collector.
Ordered to be sent again in Circuit Road

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. } With reference to the instructions contained in a Circular letter from your

(38)

Office under date the 24th March 1834. I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of Europeans professing to

lands in this District for the year 1841.

Trichinopoly District, -
Seerungum, - - - -
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry, - -
5th January 1841 - -

/Signed/ A.P. Onslow.
Collector

Statement

No. From the Board of Revenue dated 28th July 1837. Para 3.

2. From Ditto Ditto dated 26th January 1820. with an Extract of a letter from Government.

3 Extract of a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors to the Government of Fort St. George dated 15th August 1826 Para 18.

4. Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th December 1825 Para 6.

5. Extract from - Ditto - Ditto dated 19th February 1827 Paras 20 and 21.

6. Extract of a letter from the late Principal Collector Mr. Cunliffe to the Board of Revenue dated 6th October 1827 Para 1 to 7.

7. Translation of a Decree passed by the Register in the Zillah Court of Tinnevelly in Suit No. 2456.

8 Translation of a Decree passed by the Judge of the Zillah Court of Tinnevelly in Suit No. 107 of 1812.

9 Copy of a Decree passed in the appeal by the Provincial Court of appeal Southern Division, appeal Suit No. 10 of 1815.

10. Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Adaulut under date the 3rd October 1817 Confirming the decree of the Provincial Court of appeal of the Southern Division.

(Signed) W. Ashton.
Principal Collector.

Ordered to be sent again in Circular Road.

36-37

Order thereon vide Proc. 25th Jany.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

With reference to the instructions contained in a Circular letter from your Office under date the 24th March 1834,

38

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of Europeans possessing lands in this District for the year 1841.

Trichinopoly District,
Seerungum, - - - -
Collr's Circuit Cutchery, - -
5th January 1841 - -

(Signed) A. B. Onslow.
Collector

Statement

645 Fort St. George

4th January 1841.

A Statement Shewing the names of the Europeans possessing, renting or occupying land within the Sable of Revenue Fort St. George. the instructions of the letter of the Hon.ble the Board from the Collector of this District.

Where the lands are situated.	No.	Names of the Europeans	Extent of land occupied. Nunjay Cawnies G. As.	Punjay Cawnies G. As.	Bagayet Cawas G. As.	Tope Cawnies G. As.	Total Cawnies G. As.
Pootoor	1	G. J. Waters Esqre.	" " "	7 " "	" " "	" " "	7 " "
Pootoor	2	Vestry fund Society	5 6 2	7 " "	" " "	11 19 10	11 19 10
Benamaickpollium	3	Vestry fund Society	" " "	5 13 8	" " "	" " "	1 11 8
Benamaickpollium	4	H. Dickinson Esqre	15 13 "	1 11 8	" " "	19 15 8	19 15 8
Pootoor	5	General Bishop	" " "	4 2 8	" " "	" " "	1 " "
Moorriore	6	Mrs. Nixon	" " "	1 " "	" " "	" " "	1 " "
Abeshagapoorum	7	The late Mr. C. H. Higginson	4 93 5	24 71 "	2 7 "	1 " "	32 71 5
Shengolum	8	Captain J. S. Underwood	" " "	79 50 "	" " 50	" " "	80 " "
Benamaickpollium	9	Revd. D. Schreyvogel	" " "	9 " "	" " "	" " "	9 " "
Pootoor	10	Lieut. Colonel Lennon	" " "	3 20 "	" " "	" " "	3 20 "
Moolecorchy	11	Messrs. Parry and Co	" " "	8 9 8	" " "	" " "	8 9 8
Rengasamoodrum	12	Messrs. Parry and Co	" " "	10 12 "	" " "	" " "	10 12 "
Vacaury Maury	13	J. W. Heath Esqre	" " "	2 9 14	" " "	" " "	2 9 14
			25 12 7	156 8 14	2 57 "	1 " "	185 36 5

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

A Statement of the Districts of Trichinopoly

Where are situated	Amount of Annual Teerwah			The purpose for which it was purchased	From what period	Under what authority it has been possessed occupied or rented	Remarks
Pootoor	36	12	"	For erecting a cutcherry	29th July 1803	Under a Govt. Grant	
Pootoor	122	1	5	Do. 2 houses	18th March 1805.	Do.	
Bemanaick	7	13	2	Do. 1 Do.	15th Janry 1807	Do.	
Bemanaick	150	15	9	Do. 1 Do.	11th May 1804.	Do.	
Pootoor	5	4	"	Do. 1 Do.	5th July 1805.	Do.	
Moorriore	5	4	"	Do. 1 Do.	1st Augt. 1815.	Do.	
Abeshagapu	89	11	10	Do. 1 Do.	Unknown	Unknown.	
Shengolur	25	7	4	Do. 1 Do.	Do.	Do.	
Bemanaick	2	6	3	Do. 3 Do.	15th July 1829	under a Bill of sale from the late Mr. W.O. Valentine on Sundo Bruton.	
Pootoor	20	2	"	Do. 1 Do.	5th July 1803.	Under a Govt. Grant	
Moolecoor	42	8	5	For cultivating Indigo plant and building Indigo Manufactories.	28th Janry 1825.	Do.	
Rengasamy	53	2	1	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Vacancy	25	5	3	For Blacksmiths and work sheds	1838	Unknown	
	593	13	5				

Trichinopoly 1st January 1841

(Signed) A. P. Onslow

Collector

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

38-39

Order thereon:- Ordered to lie on the Table.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

In conformity to the instructions contained in your Circular letter of the 1st October 1834, I have the honor to transmit an Extract from the Register of Shrotriums Lands in this District.

40

Trichinopoly District,

Seerungum - - - -

Collr's Circuit Cutchery - - -

6th January 1841 - - -

(Signed) A. P. Onslow.

Collector

Extract

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(42)

From the Asst Collr of Tinnevelly

Submitting in reference to Board's letter of the 2d Ultimo Copy and Translation of an agreement entered into with certain proprietors of Cotton land already sown for the transportation of their Cotton for one year with a statement of the expenses &c.

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to your letter of the 2d Ultimo I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue copy and Translation of a Bond or Agreement which a certain number of Proprietors of Cotton Land already sown have executed — The Document contains two Provisos for the choice of Government either of which they have been induced to consent to upon the persuasion that it is not the intention of Government to interfere with their right to the land itself — In making the above remark it is necessary to explain that considerable difficulty was experienced in inducing the Holders of Cotton land to enter upon any arrangement whatever as

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

A Extract from the Proceedings of Village and Land Sales granted on Shottrum Tenures in the District of Chingleput from 1800 to 1840.

Names of the Villages	Date of Cowle or Extent of Soil taken	Remarks	
Enlee Village		Resides in Enlee village	
Land. Grants.		Land. Grants.	
Military Department			
Canonsan Enlee village			
Ditto			
Cannies of the Pagoda	Date of Cowle 1804	Resides in Enlee village	
Extent of Soil taken	30 Cawnies		
Lands. Grants.	Inferior		
Canonsan Soil	1804	Cawnies	30
Ditto	1807	Ditto	2

Remarks

Resides in Enlee village

Resides in Enlee village

Grant. Shottrum Tenure Grantee

Rent Rs 10 Fd

Remarks

Resides in Enlee village

Grant. Shottrum Tenure Grantee

Rent Rs 10 Fd

Extract

Trichinopoly 1st January 1841.

I have &c

(Signed) Thercon

Collr

Ordered accordingly

(40-41)

as an alarm had been raised in their minds upon some misunderstanding or misrepresentation of the intentions of Government, and in executing the document they expressed a wish that Government would give a preference to the latter proviso. They at first objected to entering upon any thing like a stipulation to sell for fear of compromising their rights to the land: in order therefore to restore confidence and to facilitate future proceedings in forming a plan for the establishment of an experimental Farm, I beg leave to recommend that I may be authorized to close with the parties according to the latter Proviso. Enclosed is a Statement exhibiting the number of Persons who have agreed to give up the Cotton Cultivation for the year and the extent of land in chains, equivalent to about 1200 acres &c.

2 Annexed to the Bmdm is an agreement in a Memorandum shewing the particulars

particulars of expences already incurred, amount of the Government demand thereon, the expected produce and its value in Coin &c. &c.

Tinnevelly Collr's Office 23d December 1840

(Signed) R. C. Ogilvie
Acting Collector

No. 1.

Translation of an agreement executed to Ogilvie Esqr. Acting Collector of Tinnevelly on account of the Company Circar by Gopaul Naiken a Cultivating Ryot residing in the Village of Annagoor in Sauttoor Talook under date the 13th December 1840.

Whereas a proposition was made to me requiring certain lands cultivated with Cotton for the purpose of improving that cultivation in a new mode for one year and whereas I have now cultivated 5⅔ chains of lands situated in the above mentioned Village and the expence incurred in making this cultivation as well as the amount of surplus produce thereof amounts to Rupees 35.6.9 as described in my account, I do hereby agree

agree, in the event of the Circar confirming this proposal to make over the Cultivation to the Circar, receive the Amount of my Stipends &c. from you and take a Counter-agreement from you. or I will allow the Pumpah Shrubs I have now raised to grow according to the new mode which you may propose and take to myself the produce which may be derived therefrom. I bind myself to act as above in whichever of the two cases you choose. In this effect I have voluntarily given this agreement.—

(Signed) Gopaul Naiken.

Witnesses

(Signed) Vencatachellum Ayen.
Son of Veraspee Subba Royer
Sundrasungoo a Ayen of Nunjapoor
Number of Curnum Colundabo Pillay

(True Translation)

(Signed) W. C. Ogilvie
Sec. to Govt.

Translation

Translation.

Account Particulars shewing the expense &c. of Cotton Cultivation made by Gopaul Naik of Nunjapoor given on the 13th December 1840 of Fusly 1250.

Amount rent of 2 7/16 chains of 3 Sort land @ 3 3/4		
Chains of 2 Sort land mating 5/8 marked in 395 - 680 - 681 and 682 in Roow	12	6 27/32
Amount hire for 9 1/4 yokes of Oxen at 6 Cokas per chain	9	4
Amount for Manuring	11	3
Amount value of Seed at 3/4 per chain	4	13/32
Amount hire for weeding shrubs twice at 8 Jan ams per chain	1	7
Do for Do third time @ 2 Do for Do	1	1 3/4
Watching hire		1
Total Amount of Fusly Expense	39	7 15/16
Rupees	84	6 6.

The produce of 57 1/16 Chains at 2 1/2 Chaks per chain amounts to 86 Chaks 14 to
The value of this quantity at 6 Rupees per Co the amounts in aggregate to Rupees 38 2 - out of this sum after deducting the Seemah and expense above described, there remains 3 11 6 being the profit.

The expense still to be incurred is Rupees 2 11 3.

If this last sum be deducted from the Amount of Expences &c. Viz. Rupees 84-6-6 and the amount of Profit Viz. Rupees 3-11-6, there remains Rupees 80-6-9, which is the amount asked for.—

(True translation)

(Signed) W. C. Ogilvie
Sec. to Govt.

Statement

B Allotment shall stating the number of Acres to be cropped to give the Acre Cotton Cultivation the Planter of Land on the Estate cannot be cleared and planted they have raised & cattle over their Cultivation.

	Quantity	Amount of Rent to be Allotted on Cultivation or Consumption of Cattle over and above Cultivation		
Plantation				
Plantation Cattle				
Plantation Cattle				
Plantation Cattle

655 || Fort St. George 14th January 1860.

Name of the Village	Names of the Cultivators	Extent of Cultivation	Amount settled to be paid to the Cultivators in Compensation of their entering over their Cotton Cultivation		
		Acres	Rs.	As.	P.
Aunyoor (Cont.)	Gopaula Naicken	2 43/64	38	11	.
	Soobbe poyer	3 1/32	43	15	6
	Mootha Thaven	1 29/32	27	9	2
	Nullama Naicken	31/32	13	14	6
	Ramaya Naicken	3	43	8	3
	Alwar Naicken	1 1/8	16	3	11
	Yemmady Cavoondun	6 5/8	86	6	7
	Paray Veeren	5/8	7	"	5
	Pelyendy Ramaswamy Naicken	1 15/16	22	1	4
	Porta Naranappa Naicken	2 1/4	32	9	4
	Carethamma Cavoondun	1 13/16	20	4	0
	Carepully Coochoo Naicken	13/32	5	11	4
	Vencata Naicken	2 31/64	35	15	3
	Ramachenthrapa Naicken	2 1/4	32	9	5
	Chenacary Thimma Cavoondun	2 7/64	30	7	9
	Aundy Thaven	25/32	11	2	9
	Cril Thathoo Naicken	43/64	7	9	4
	Naranappa Naicken	3/4	8	7	6
	Mootoocaroopa Naicken	15/32	6	9	11
	Capanacanb	29/64	6	5	7
	Coodul Naicken	1 41/64	23	10	6
	Ramaswamy Naicken	15/32	5	9	6
	Carundal Nacula Naicken	1 1/10	15	4	5
	Payindy Naranapa Naicken	3/4	10	11	6
	Caroopa Nadan	1 39/64	20	13	8
	Chemboo Cootty Nadan	2 11/32	22	15	8
	Gopanla Naicken	5 7/8	85	6	9
	Sheroorengapa Naicken	1 5/10	10	15	2
	Chintha Pully Verrapa Naicken	3 3/10	41	7	5

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Name of the Village.	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation.	amount settled to be paid to the Cultivators as compensation of their making over their Cotton Cultivation		
		Chains.	£	s	d
	Pareya Patty Naicken	1 47/64	22	7	10
	Velly Aundy Moopen	3/4	7	5	0
	Poovel Moopen	23/64	3	15	5
	Saravany Nadan	11/16	10	13	5
	Cunjacon	1	14	5	10
	Suvumna Syen	5 9/32	80	11	3
	Chunthra Sacara Syen	1/2	8	9	3
	Veerapa Naicken	1 7/32	17	8	10
	Vencatapathy Naicken	1 13/64	17	4	5
	Soobaroyen	3 17/64	47	5	0
Aunyoor	Cather Ravootten	7/8	11	4	8
	Vellyum Athecary	1 5/32	13	2	10
	Chinna Veennyan	13/16	12	13	7
	Nattoo a Butcher	1/4	3	7	"
	Caroopa Moopun	21/64	5	8	11
	Mayandy	9/64	1	12	8
	Mahoothoo Rovootten	45/64	8	15	4
	Gooroonathun Yiroolandy	7/16	5	8	2
	Chinna Moopun	19/64	3	3	7
	Velly Mauyen	5/32	1	9	0
	Nacha Moopun	1/8	1	3	9
	Mootheroolum	21/64	3	9	4
	Moothaloo Moopum	19/64	3	3	8
	Moothaly Cander	19/64	3	3	7
	Theradoo Naicken	15/16	12	"	9
	Sungarasary	1 37/64	29	8	5
	Moothoocaroopa Cone	21/32	8	5	2
	Ayyar Moothoo Caroopen	1/4	2	7	11
	Chithran	1 1/64	11	8	9
	Coopy Mootten	45/64	7	14	9
	Coottany Thavasy	1/2	5	9	3

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.

Name of the Village	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation. Chains.	Amount settled to be paid to the Cultivators as compensation of their making over their Cotton Cultivation. £	Rs	a	p
	Santhan	1/8		1	11	3
	Coodandy Shumby Veeren	1/2		7	1	3
	Sudyen	3/8		5	8	7
	Ayar Chinnen	1/16		7	11	11
	Narayanachary	3 5/32		30	5	2
	Unjanu	5/8		8	14	4
	Gunnyadiyan	11/32		4	13	3
	Coottyen	1/8		1	11	3
Aunyoor	Moothoocoomaroo	1 3/64		13	6	6
	Atheveeren	13/32		5	11	3
	Coodandy Vellyen	3/4		10	11	6
	Moottoo Caroopen Athiveeren	1		11	5	10
	Ramanatham Son of Ayanadan	27/32		9	14	5
	Pooloca paulaunna Sungoo	1		11	13	5
	Narasanadan	29/32		10	10	7
	Ragoonada Naicken	5/8		6	6	"
	Alagherry Naicken Son of Sinnama Naicken	1 11/32		15	14	7
	Sungaralingom	1 33/64		15	12	4
	Ampudy Valaya Naicken	25/64		3	14	8
	Coonna Moottoo Aya Naicken	1 19/32		19	"	4
	Vellyem an Ail Monger	1 25/64		14	7	1
Chethrarajapooram.	Sunganadary	3/8		4	4	9
	Poologa Sungaron	1 25/64		9	14	5
	Magalingum Pundarom	1		11	13	4
	Govindapa Naicken	1 39/64		7	2	6
	Soobba Naicken	17/32		4	2	9
	Atheyapa Naicken	1/2		3	9	"
	Vyraven a Watcher	19/32		7	5	6
	Pareya Rangapa Naicken	45/64		8	3	11
	Thavady Nadan	1/2		5	13	.
	Naranasawmy Naicken	51/64		6	15	8
	Seragooroonada Goorroocul	15/64		2	10	3
	Vasavea Chithumbala Pundarom	45/64		10	"	10

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Name of the Village.	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation. Chains	Amount Settled to be paid to the Cultivators as compensation of their making over their Cotton Cultivation. Rs	A	P
	Paracoondoo Gopaul Naicken	17/64	3	0	4
	Umbady Cooppa Naicken	1 49/64	21	.	7
	Chinnasawmy Naicken	5/8	6	4	3
	Cadum Alagherry Naicken	21/32	8	10	10
	Chinna Moottoosawmy Naicken	1 3/16	12	4	11
	Seenipanicka Nadan	2 41/64	31	9	2
	Peroomal Naicken	3/4	8	13	5
	Malay Mooroocum	3/8	4	4	10
	Aroonachellum	7/16	4	0	5
	Venkatapathy Naicken a dyer	1 3/16	9	11	3
Chethraraja poorom-	Yany Sethumbra Nadan	1 27/64	14	12	0
Con'td	Alagherry Sawmy Naicken	1 9/64	11	13	.
	Sooba Cone	1 7/32	14	2	3
	Rengappa Naicken	7/8	10	5	2
	Poothore Cooppa Naicken	47/64	7	8	3
	Vana Moorthy lingum	19/32	6	14	5
	Veerapa Naicken	55/64	10	2	7
	Jecca Naicken	1 1/4	10	11	3
	Moottoosawmy Naicken	35/64	6	0	4
	Venkata Rama Naicken	7/8	9	.	2
	Ireyapoothranya Nadan	3/4	9	15	5
	Poothoor Sungana Naicken	1 3/32	12	14	8
	Therouvencatapa Naicken	1 15/64	10	15	.
	Putta Alagherry Naicken	3/4	9	15	5
	Colloor Gopaul Naicken	2 21/32	27	12	10
	Chenthinayagom yelayaperoomaul	5/16	3	1	7
	Nacama Naicken	5/8	7	1	7
	Poothoor Venkatapathy Naicken	1 3/32	8	12	8
	Umbady Cooppa Naicken	9/4	8	13	1
	Gopaul Naicken	61/64	11	3	11
	Swamy Naicken	17/32	6	2	2
	Vada Sungaren	1 9/64	15	3	3
	Cumbalum Peroomal Naickan	1 1/8	12	7	10
		13/16	9	12	4
	Total	50 25/32	501	10	10

Fort St. George 14th. January 1841.

Name of the Village	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation.	Amount settled to be paid to the Cultivators as Compensation of their making over their Cotton Cultivation.
		Chains.	£ Rs a D
	Coopa Naicken	8/10	2 8 5
	Vencatapathy Naicken	5/10	4 5 7
	Yenca Naicken	2 27/64	35 " 11
	Pareya Caroopa Naicken	2 31/64	32 4 5
	Sungerlingum	11/32	4 12 10
	Vencata Naicken	35/64	9 6 5
	Naranuppa Naicken	39/64	10 8 "
	Rama Naicken	1 37/64	29 8 "
	Ambee Khan	9/10	6 4 9
	Vencatapathy Naicken	21/64	4 8 5
	Potty Naicken	1 21/32	28 14 5
Thirothengal	Numby Naicken	29/32	6 9 "
	Chic Vam	3/10	2 10 5
	Roothrapa Naicken	17/64	3 9 10
	Alacoo	29/32	12 15 11
	Seenyvasagamyengar	19/32	6 10 7
	Letchmanenadary	27/64	4 10 9
	Racuppen a Sanam	1 1/10	12 1 4
	Maree Mootoo Ditto	37/64	6 7 8
	Vellyum a Maraven	17/32	6 11 4
	Marootha Soontharam	17/64	2 13 10
	Sunkeranaroyanum a Sanam	7/8	9 14 8
	Mootoo Ramen	3/8	4 2 1
	Caroopun	17/64	3 9 10
	Thasary Naicken	19/32	6 10 7
	Cangama Naicken	17/64	3 9 10
	Mootharasun	17/64	3 9 10
	Alagar Iyengar	1 1/4	14 4 2
	Chinnadary	1 1/10	12 1 5
	Nacoor Rovoothen	1/2	5 9 2

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Name of the Village.	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation.	Amount Settled to be paid to the Cultivators as Compensation of their making over their Cotton Cultivation.
		Chains.	£ Rs a p
	Mr. Vala Naicken	15/64	2 8 11
	S. Linguinmal	27/64	7 3 4
	P. Poola Naicken	1 03/64	34 10 8
	Seeramane Lingum	1	17 5 10
	Lady Gopaul Naicken	45/64	8 15 3
	Periya Venkatasmamy Naicken	1 3/32	20 9 8
	Venkatapathy Naicken Son of Sunthrapa Naicken	1 15/64	20 15 0
	Sunguna Naicken	27/32	9 8 10
	Sherospathy Naicken	1 33/64	21 13 4
	Lady Venkatapathy Naicken	21/32	7 6 2
Thirosthengal	Vencadapathy Naicken	1 03/64	11 13 4
	Vythilingum	15/32	5 3 5
	Chinnama Naicken Brother of Rungachary Naicken	3/8	4 11 1
	Coodalingum	5/16	3 6 6
	Cooppacawmy Naicken	9/16	7 15 9
	Naramppa Naicken of Cotton	2 19/64	33 3 7
	Naramppa Naicken	15/16	14 13 11
	Sungara Cone	3/16	1 15 4
	Ramanoodala Naicken Grand son to Sooba Naicken	27/64	6 7 8
	Veerappenadary	29/64	6 5 8
	Reddy Alagherry	17/64	12 10 2
	Alagherry Thavary Naicken	13/16	14 1 2
	Mootoo kristnama Naicken	15/32	8 - 10
	Appaya Naicken	57/64	18 3 -
	Vauboo Reddy Naramppa Naicken	7/8	12 8 7
	Naramppa Naicken Brother of Rama Naicken	1 19/64	14 12 9
	Chinna Gopaul Naicken	25/64	4 5 -
	Sooba Naicken	11/32	5 - 13 3

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Name of the Village.	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation	Amount settled to be paid to the Cultivators as compensation of their making over their Cotton Cultivation.		
		Chains	C. Rs.	a.	p.
	Chinnatheyapa Naicken	1/2	26	2	5
	Kristnama Naicken	3/4	12	15	6
	Thasary Naranappa Naicken	17/64	23	10	11
	Seeramanthen	53/64	14	5	6
	Rama Naicken son of Pary Naranappa Naicken	5 17/64	75	3	10
	Caunaya Coopa Naicken	1 25/64	20	-	2
	Nallamegan Venkata Rama Naicken	4 9/32	62	3	-
	Vytheyappa Naicken	1 57/64	27	4	9
	Ravoo Naicken	17/64	2	13	9
Thirothengal.	Mathoo Naicken son of Carootha Pathoo Naicken	15/32	5	9	6
	Cally[illegible]	9/16	8	11	9
	Pullan son of Vellyum	11/64	1	12	5
	Cunnage Veechy Naicken	31/64	6	12	10
	Soocka Naicken	1 25/32	25	12	1
	Naranappa Naicken son of Moosaby Naicken	53/64	11	13	-
	Naranappa Naicken son of Soobba Naicken	33/64	7	4	1
	Peroomal Naicken son of Rthoo Naicken	13/32	5	-	10
	Anunthapa Naicken	55/64	12	4	6
	Rengathasary Naicken	5/8	8	14	6
	M. Gopaul Naicken	15/32	6	10	11
	S. Gopaul Naicken	1 1/8	16	3	-
	Palcoody Peroomal Naicken	17/64	2	13	10
	Chinna Alagherry Naicken	2 21/32	42	7	1
	Total	95 53/64	1434	6	2

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Name of the Village.	Names of the Cultivators.	Extent of Cultivation. Chains	Amount settled to be paid to the Cultivators as compensation of their making over their Cultivation. C.	F.	A.	P.
		5/8	10	12	-	
	A. Narasuppa Naicken	15/64	17	13	8	
	Carothayuppa Naicken	5/16	4	15	7	
	Mathanum Gopanlo Naicken	27/64	6	11	8	
	R. Vencatapathy Naicken	5/8	10	12	-	
	Seeony Thirasathy Naicken	3/4	12	15	1	
	S. Pengapa Naicken	3/4	11	13	1	
	Mahpely Vencatapathy Naicken	57/64	14	-	-	
Narainpooram.	Chulla Coopana Naicken	9/16	7	15	6	
	Seeony Vencatapathy Naicken	3/4	12	4	2	
	Chenna Moonyuppa Naicken	13/32	5	2	-	
	Mathum Gopala Naicken	1	15	13	4	
	Chinna Thirumaly Naicken	5/16	6	3	3	
	Pedda Gopaul Naicken	7/32	2	11	-	
	Ramchunthrapa Naicken	7/16	6	12	11	
	Coppenny Naranuppa Naicken	19/32	20	3	3	
	Chinnapa Naicken	59/64	20	1	5	
	Poody Lingama Naicken	1/4	3	6	10	
	Periya Sungarapa Naicken	39/64	8	7	11	
	Coppaly Naranuppa Naiken	43/64	10	8	9	
	Alagherry Naicken, Havildar	17/32	10	5	2	
	Gopaul Naicken brother of Vencata-renga pa Naicken	1/2	10	1	-	
	Vady Coopana Naicken	43/64	10	8	9	
	Linga Naicken	25/64	7	13	-	
	Vody Alagherry Naicken	29/64	6	5	4	
	Survy Nagama Naicken	11/16	11	13	6	
	Kenathady Gopaul Naicken	3/8	7	7	7	
	Chulla Nagama Naicken	21/64	4	15	9	
	Periya Moonyuppa Naicken	17/32	9	13	9	
	Goroovuppa Naicken son of Cummal	1/2	7	13	-	
	Rengappa Naicken	57/64	10	2	-	
	Cheenthrapa Naicken and Naranyen Athoovar	15/32	9	6	10	
	Total	21 17/32	357	10	9	

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Collector.

Name of the Village | Name of the Claimant | Extent of Claim | Amount settled to be paid to the Claimant as Estimation Compensation for their Claim | Amount settled to be paid to the Claimant as Estimation Compensation for their Claim

Name of the Village	Name of the Claimant	Extent of Claim	Amount settled to be paid to the Claimant as Estimation Compensation for their Claim	Amount settled to be paid to the Claimant as Estimation Compensation for their Claim
			C. P. a.	C. P. a.
Chinnaseshachetty Sivan Kutty Chetty		5/14	8 3 2	
Naicken		13/32	4 10 2	
Ramen Pillay Ekambrum		3/8	4 4 7	
Ramasawmy Pariahan		1/8	10 5 1	
Subramanian Moodeliar		1/2	5 1 11	
Chinnaswamy Pillay		5/14	1 3 2	
Ramen Chetty		3/8	10 5 6	
Ramen Pariahan		13/16	9 5 12	
Vissanatha		13/16	9 9	
Canoo Pillay		13/16		
Ramasawmy Mootien		13/16		
Peeroomanecum Baskan		13 3/4	149 12 5:	
Seratha Caroopun		1 1/8	22 5 5	
Lutty Bucy		15/32	2 9 11	
Peeroomanecum		29/64	4 9 5	
Sankeralingum Canakkum		19/64	3 1 7	
Mathoray Mayapa Baskan		29/64	4 9 5	
Coony Moodeliar Pariahan		13/32	14 4 1	
		15/16	23 1 11	
	Total		149 12 5:	

No 3

To

The Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Translation of a Plaint filed in the Court of Sudder Ameen of Tinnevelly under No 26 of 1840 of the file of the Court, by Sashyen and Vissanatha Pillay against W. P. Thompson Esq.re late Collector of Tinnevelly and Sankeralingum Tukery Munjooraly Shaw Mudeliar, and a Copy of an answer which I have filed thereto.

Under the circumstances of the case I thought it sufficient to oppose the Plaint by a simple denial of a material allegation in the Plaint and now submit it before the Board particularly as more ample facts I have been able to ascertain. The Plaintiffs appear to have drawn out their Plaint unnecessarily lengthy and have introduced much on matters totally immaterial and irrelevant to the real point at issue in the Plaint; all that they seem to have to establish is contained in the following extract from the Plaint Viz. that "the

the 1st Defendant had newly cut a chanel
acros the bank of their Tank (Elundacolum) a
let their water escape into the Tank of the 2d D
=dant. This innovation the Plaintiffs complain th
the 1st Defendant has committed in violation of a
Decree passed in No. 11 of 1823 and of a Curarnam
that was executed grounded upon that Decree a
and sanctioned by uninterrupted enjoyme
for the long space of 32 Years. Now it ap
=pears from all the information that I hav
been able to obtain that no channel whatev
has been newly cut acros the Plaintiffs Tank
as asserted in the Plaint. I have therefor
made a disavowal of the main point on whic
the cause of action in this case appears to
to hang. On an earnest appeal made by th
2d Defendant to Mr. Thompson of the
injustice done to him by the Plaintiff by
the closing of a Channel, that Gentleman
proceeded in person to inspect the place
and instituted an enquiry in the presence
of both parties from the evasive and indi
replies given by the Plaintiffs on this enquiry

Mr. Thompson suspected that the 2d Defendant had reason to complain, and told the Plaintiffs, as that they could not better disprove the Complaint, than by digging a small portion of the earth at the spot under which 2d Defendant asserted that the channel lay, and that this would not injure the Tank in any way. To this however the Plaintiffs refused to consent, upon which Mr. Thompson ordered a portion of the earth to be removed and it appears that a channel regularly hewn out of a firm granite rock presented itself. Consider=ing this a sufficient proof that the Plaintiffs were the offending party, he proceeded to try the Case in his Capacity of Magistrate and being con=vinced that the Plaintiff had committed a misdemeanor in closing a previously opened Channel passed sentence of punishment upon them in that Department.

4 The Plaintiffs frequently make allusion in the Plaint to a Decree of Court and a Calingalah, this Channel lately reopen=ed is in no way connected with the Calingalah and consequently with the Decree which was passed about the Calingalah as that Calingalah remains still untouched --

cl

5. A dispute has long existed between the Plaintiffs and the 2d Defendant regarding the supply of water to the Tank the 2d Defendant and it is a subject which has been noticed and attended to by the Civil Engineers Department, as will be seen by the annexed Extract from the Diaries of Revenue Surveyor Hogg and Lieut. Best, dated respectively the 4th November 1839 and 18th January 1840. It is moreover worthy of consideration and it may be contended with the Plaintiffs that the water which fills the tank of the Plaintiffs is conveyed from the River Tambrapoorny at the expense of the Circar and that the right consequently of distributing that water to the tank of the Plaintiffs as well as of those situated in the neighbourhood for the improvement of Cultivation rests with the Circar.

6. Should the above explanation be considered sufficient, I have to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain for me the sanction from the Government to defend the Suit on behalf of Mr. Thompson at the public expense.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) W. C. Ogilvie
Acting Collector

Tinnevelly Collectors Office
28th December 1840.

Extract

Extract from the Official Diary of the Proceedings of Revenue Surveyor J. H. Hogg dated 18th November 1839.

This tank has always been supplied by the surplus from the Yalanthacolum Tank of Ramaiyenputty by means of a cut made near the Calingalah of Discharge at the Northern Extremity of the tank, which expedient was resorted to and followed up till within the last 18 months, when it was closed by the Meerasadars of Ramaiyenputty. The reason why this tank was not supplied by the above Calingalah of Discharge is owing to its height, and I think that the Ramaiyenputty meerasidars are much to blame for closing the Cut above alluded to, which is contrary to established Custom, and for doing which they had not the least excuse, as their Tank irrigates all the lands dependent on it, whereas out of 14½ Cotays of land dependant on this tank, only 4 Cotays have been cultivated, and this decrease must certainly be attributed to the closing of the Cut as previous to this circumstance having taken place, the tank irrigated all the lands dependent on it. The meerasidars of Ramaiyenputty state that this

tank

tank was never supplied by the Cut abovemen-
-tioned, but by another Cut near it. this how
does not alter the case at all nor does it extenu-
-nuate their Crime in the least. It should also
be remembered that both the Calingalah and
the Site last spoken of are on a higher level than
the Cut, which the Cheeniapenthetthoo meraso-
state is not only on a lower level but of bing
composed of rock. and they are very anxious that
the Collector should order the spot to be opened
view, and that the tank should be supplied by
it according to mamnool, only on the condition
their statement is found to be correct, of which
however they speak on such positive terms
that they are even willing to pay any fine that
the Collector may impose on them should the
statement on investigation turn out to be false.

(Signed) S. H. Hogg

Revenue Surveyor-

Extract from the Official Diary of the Proceed
of the Acting Civil Engineer in the 8th Division
dated 18th January 1840

This tank supplies a small
space of ground, the produce of which was appl
to the maintenance of a charitable institution

at the tomb of a Mohomedan Fakeer called
Munsoor alli Durgah. but the supply of water
to it. which was formerly derived from the Tank
called Yalanthacolum has been diminished, and
this year entirely cut off, inconsequence of a new
Calingalah in Yalanthacolum that has been
built at the expense of the merasidars and the
floor of which. it is stated by the People interested
in the Cheeniapenteroothoo Tank, has been
raised two feet higher than before. I am in-
-clined to believe the truth of this Statement,
for the merasidars of Yalanthacolum would
in all probabelity have applied as usual to
the Circar to build the Calingalah had it
not been for their unwillingness to direct atten-
-tion to the work. The Cheeniapentheroothoo
people say that the old Calingalah flooring
still exists and will be discovered hidden under
a new earth bank, which they request may
be opened, in order to confirm their Statement.
The Peshcar of the talook, a very respectable
mussulman, formerly Sasildar of Vedoogramum,
tells me that he remembers the work to have
been as stated by the Cheeniapentheroothoo party.

(Signed) Samuel Best. Lieut. 46th

Acting Civil Engineer 8th Division

Translation

No. 1

Translation of a Plaint presented to D.R. Simson Officiating Assistant Judge of the Auxiliary Court at Tinnevelly by Plaintiffs

1 Sashyen
2 Baranj Syen
3 Kristnyen
4 Sooreyanarayen Syen aged 12 years an adopted son of Sungara Shastry Syen (their elder Brother) and who is under their guardianship.
5 Vagyen son of Ramasawamy Syen.
6 Kristnyen son of Suvaramyen
and
7 Cholotya Naick, son of Puthmanabw Naicken, all Cultivators and Residents in the Cusbah of Tinnevelly.

} sons of Sooreya-narayana Syen

Versus

Defendants

1. W.P. Thompson Collector of Tinnevelly
2. Mallanga Fakir Munjooradly Shaik a Cultivator residing at the mosque of Sungenteroo in the Nelliambalum Talook.

First Plaint sets forth. That the tank attached to our Village Elundacoolum in the Nelliambalum Talook had received a superfluous supply during the Nowroo and was about to be breached, it was customary to open a cut at the North Eastern Extremity of the Tank to let the surplus water flow out and

such surplus water was occasionally flowing to the Sunnippenteroolo Tank belonging to the 2d Defendant and which is situated to the East of it and those situated near it. This being the case the 2d Defendant with an evil intent of obtaining a right in the Tank filed a Plaint No. 666 of 1807 on the file of the late Zillah Court at Tinnevelly stating that we Plaintiffs obstructed the flowing of water to his tank and that in consequence he ought to recover the loss he had thereby sustained. In the decrees passed on the said Original Suit and on the appeal suit No. 18 of 1808 it is not decided that after our tank was filled to a certain extent the tank of the 2d Defendant should receive its supply, consequently a Dispute existed between us and the 2d Defendant which was subsequently compromised by both parties through the means of Arbitrators to the effect, that a flooring stone of one Carpenters Cubic should be fixed to the Somboye or cut from North to South at the Northern extremity of the East bank of the said Elundacoolum Tank and that the water passing over it should be allowed to flow to the tank of the 2d Defendant. To this

effect

effect both parties entered into agruments on the
3rd Chitthray of 984 Aundoo year (corresponding with
— of april 1809 to which they adhered until the
21st of margaly of 996 Aundoo year on 2d January
for a pereod of 12 years.

2d Our Elundaccolum Tank was
lately attached to the Talook of Veedoogramum
and the tank of Seenuppenterootoo belonging to
the 2d Defendant to the Talook of Nelliambalum
when the 2d Defendant with intent to convey a
quantity of water contrary to the said Document
talked over the Tahsildar of the said
Nelliambalum Talook and came to our
Tank in company with that Tahsildar
in the month of January 1821 when they
forcibly broke the said flooring stone and the
Somboye for conveying water, the 6th Plaintiff
and others presented a Compluint before the a
mentioned Tahsildar of Veedoogramum and
produced to him the agrument in question and
he addressed an Urzee to the Hoozzoor on the
17th of that month stating the particulars
the Case as well as the illegal acts of the
Tahsildar of Nelliambalum Talook. In
reply the Collector sent him an Enayetnama

on the 27th of that month directing him and
the Pishcar of Nelliambalum to proceed to
the said Elundaccolum Tank and peruse the
agrument entered into by both parties and to
replace the stone in the Somboye or Cut as
usual they did this accordingly and reported
the Circumstance to the Huzzoor on the 10th
February by a joint Urzee and by Urzees sub=
=sequently addressed by the Tahsildar of Veedoo=
=gramum on the 29th March and 25th July=
=

3d Notwithstanding all these the
2d Defendant filed a suit in No 2 of 1822 on
the file of the Zillah Court at Tinnevelly
corresponding with No 236 of the same year
on the file of the Zillah Court at Madura
alleging that we had raised the flooring stone
two feet higher and that in consequence his
tank did not receive its supply to his loss
This suit was nonsuited in the above mentio=
=ned Original suit as well as in appeal No 117
1823 on the file of the Provincial Court and
we recovered the espense we had thereby
incurred by the Precept of the Court.

4th The 2d Defendant supp=
=pressing the last mentioned Appeal Decree

and

and producing the two Decrees passing in 1807 and
1808 and which were set aside by the last mentioned
appeal decree and the documents alleged to have
been passed by Mr. Collector Hudleston prefer
a false Complaint before the 1st Defendant
on the 22d March 1839 stating that Mr.
Hudleston died before the dispute of water
was decided, and that the flooring stone
of the Calingulah which was alleged to be
been raised by us should be broken down
He sent on order to the Tahsildar of
Nelliambalum on the 26th of that month
to report the Circumstances of the case and
as we were aware that the Tahsildar was fa-
=rable to him, One of us the 1st Plaintiff an
Camatchy Iyen presented an Urzee to the
Defendant on the 29th April 1839 stating
particulars of the Appeal decree and he
a strict Order to the Tahsildar on the
first of May of the same year. who
the very day addressed an Urzee to
the Huzzoor stating the particulars of
had passed for a period of 17 years
the passing of the Decree upon which
Complaint of the 2d Defendant was rejected.

5 On the night of the 17th of May
the 2d Defendant again in Company with
certain others came to our tank and broke
down the north and south wing walls upon
the flooring stone of the Tomboy or Cut as well
as the Tomboye In consequence the 2nd
Plaintiff who is the Village Moonsiff of
Elundacoolum and we made our reports
individually to the Talook on the 18th of
that Month and presented a Complaint on the
following day the 19th which the Tahsildar
examined and reported to the Huzzoor
on the 7th June by his Urzee The 2nd
Defendant was therefore fined 10 Rupees
by the Magistrate and the wing walls as
well as the Tomboye which were demolished
as above alluded to were rebuilt by the Cucar-
6- The 2nd Defendant thinking
that he was not succesful in his attempts
presented a Complaint to Mr. Collector
Eden on the 25th September 1839 after the
removal of the 1st Defendant stating that
when he was about to prefer a special
appeal against the appeal Decree he

was

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

was prevented from doing so and that he was provided with a Cut which was made to the north of the said flooring stone at a distance of 16 feet, that by that Cut his tank received its supply from the year 1826 to 1837 that in 1838 we closed that Cut that we again opened a cut to the north of it at a distance of 20 feet and let the water go to the Peshanum Crops and that we afterwards closed that Cut also. An order was sent to the Tahsildar on the 26th to enquire and report upon the subject when we represented to that Officer that the Complaint was false and produced to him the Decree The Tahsildar came and inspected the spot and addressed an urzee to the Huzzoor on the 1st October stating that he had found no Cut to have been closed at the place pointed out by the 2nd Defendant Mr Eden perusing the Appeal Decree rejected the Complaint of the 2nd Defendant

7 After the removal of Mr

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

Mr Eden the 2nd Defendant again presented an Urzee to Mr Murray the then Sub Collector in Charge in January 1840 and he issued an order to the Tahsildar and Peshcar to enquire into it and they also reported to the Huzzoor by an Urzee dated the 21st February 1840 stating that they found no Cut at the place pointed out by the 2nd Defendant. Thus all the Complaints presented to each of the Collectors by the 2nd Defendant were with a deceitful object and being contrary to the decrees were to no purpose.

8 After the 1st Defendant came to this District again as Collector he admitting the false representations made to him by the 2nd Defendant and without making any enquiry into the Case came to our Tank on the 15th June 1840 and ordered a new cut to be made at the spot pointed out by the 2nd Defendant to the north of the said flooring stone at a distance of 21 feet notwithstanding our arguments and the Decrees &c we had shown to him and placed two of us the 1st and 6th Plaintiffs

and

28—13—3/8 of Nunjah lands were not
sufficiently irrigated from the above
cause, each Seed Cottah produced only
1/2 Cottah Paddy instead of 7/2 and to
me sustained a loss of 6 Cottah of
Paddy for each Seed Cottah which in
aggregate amounts to 171—18—5/2 Pdy
the value of this quantity at 3 Rupees
P. Cottah amounts to Rupees 515—10
abovementioned.—

/ Signed / Shashyen—
" Bavany Syen
" Kristnyen—
" Sooryanarayana Syen
" Nagyen.
" Kristnyen.
" Chelya naicken.

21st October 1840

No. 2.

Draft of an answer proposed by the Acting
Collector to be filed on behalf of
E. P. Thompson Esqre the 1st Defendant
in O. S. No. 146 of 1840 of the file of the
Auxiliary Court at Tinnevelly.—

The Plaint comprises a
mass of matter which has been fraud-

and artfully brought in to confound a re-
Calingulah which has never been interfered
with by the 1st Defendant with a Cut,
which the 1st Defendant having re-
satisfied himself upon personal re-
inspection to have previously existed
had caused to be reopened. Under
these circumstances the Acting Collector
on the part of the 1st Defendant confines
himself to a simple denial of the
assumed fact given in the 8th Column
of the Plaint viz that a new Cut was
ordered to be made by the 1st Defendant
and will prove by evidence, that the
Decrees of the Court referred to at
length in the other parts of the Plaint
have reference to a distinct Calingulah;
that the 1st Defendant in the presence
of all Parties / Plaintiffs inclusive /
and to satisfy his own mind caused
the removal of a small embankment
of Earth; and that such removal re-
brought to light the actual existence
of

Fort St. George 14th January 1841

of a substantial Channel which had
the appearance of having been closed
subsequently to its construction Proceed-
-ings were instituted against the 1st Defendant in his
Capacity of Magistrate immediately
after the above proceeding of inspection
and removal of Earth & an enquiry in that Department
result of which was that the
Plaintiffs were convicted of
misdemeanor and were sentenced
to punishment.-

(Signed) W. C. Ogilvie
Acting Collr

Ordered that the
following address be
submitted in consequence

To

Comp. S.R.

Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Para 1.

(44-45)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from Mr. Ogilvie, Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with copy of a Plaint brought by one Sashyen and others against Mr. E. P. Thompson the late Collector in the Auxiliary Court of Tinnevelly and a transcript of Answer which it is proposed to file on behalf of that Officer.-

2. The act of Mr. Thompson sought to be impugned by the Plaintiffs was the removal of a small dam or embankment raised by them to the prejudice of the other defendants in the suit, the objectionable nature of which he had satisfied himself of by personal inspection of the localities. Mr. Ogilvie in his answer confines himself to a simple statement of this fact which if it be

substantiated

28th Dec. in Cons. 14th January 1841.

substantiated on evidence will the Board think be a sufficient defence to the action so far at least as the Officers of Government are concerned.-

3- With this explanation I am directed to request that the usual sanction be given for defending the suit in the first instance at the public cost.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
11th January 1841.

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read again letter from Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 10th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 14th Instant.-

Ordered that the following address be transmitted in consequence.-

(46)

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government Para 1.

With reference to

Endorsement by order of Government

Petitions No. 343 of 1840 herewith returned / under date the 18th April last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence with the Principal Collector of Coimbatore noted in the Margin in explanation of the facts stated therein.-

To the Ag. Pl. Coll. 6th July 1840.
From the Pl. Coll. 10th Dec. 1840.
in Cons. 1st Jany. 1841.

2- It will be observed from Mr. Drury's letter of the 10th December that under his personal superintendence an arrangement has been effected which has met the convenience of all parties. No further orders in the case seem therefore necessary.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
14th January 1841.

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following letters.

(47)

From the Pl. Coll. of Canara

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to furnish me with a Copy

Copy of the late Commissioner Mr. J. Stokes report on Canara, the one received from the Board having been taken away by Mr. G. G.

2- I also request to be favored with a copy of an answer to Mr. Acting Sub Collr. J. Anderson's letter, while in charge, dated the 17th September 1835, or thereabouts, forwarding copy of the Acting Additional Sub Collector Mr. C. Maltby's letter of the 11th of the same month requesting aid to defend the suit filed by one Kotapa against the latter officer and others on the part of Government.

Pt. Collrs. Cutcherry, On circuit Soolya, 23d. December 1840. } Signed / K. M. Blair Pt. Collector

Order thereon.

(47-48) Ordered that the copies of papers required in the foregoing letter be furnished accordingly.-

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. G.

From the Pt. Collr. of Canara. } Sir,

(49) I have the honor to acknowledge the

the receipt of your letter under date the 17th instant conveying the sanction of the Board for the extraordinary allowance drawn by me in July 1249, with the exception of 9 days passed by me at certain stations in the Mysore country which the Board decline to pass without further information.-

2- I beg to state in explanation that having proceeded to Sirsi to inspect the road recently completed by Captain Congdon and from thence to the Bilghi Ialook, I was desirous of visiting the Agumbee Ghaut previous to my return to Mangalore being at the time in correspondence with Captain Beddam, relative to some works he considered necessary towards completing the Ghaut as it was late in the season I preferred taking the direct road across the Mysore country to returning by the Neelcond pass and travelling along the coast, which would have occasioned considerable delay and inconvenience to the Servants, as well as additional

expense

Fort St. George 11th January 184[illegible]

expense in the conveyance of the Cutcherry Dafs

3. It has always been usual to cross

of the Mysore Country in proceeding from

Bunwassy to Siddapoor and Bilgki - but p

=vious to crossing through the Nuggur Distr

I of course communicated my wish to the S

=perintendent of the division who stated that

no inconvenience would be occasioned thereby

Pt Coltr's Cutcherry
on Circuit, Dootar -
31st December 1840

Resolution thereon -

(49-50)

/ Signed / H. M. Blair -
Principal Collector

Under the explanation

=nished in the foregoing letter, the Board sa

=tion the Amount of Extra Tent allowance

drawn by the Pt Collector Mr Blair for 9

Days passed by him at certain stations in

Mysore Country in Fusly 1249 -

Read the following

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. Ge

From the Actg Pt Coltr
of Malabar -

Sir,

I have the honor to sub

=mit an application from Captain Gunni

(61)

joint

Fort St. George 11th January 1841 -

joint Magistrate at Ootacomund for the services of
a Tamil Gomastah - a person of this description is
absolutely necessary to enable him to carry on his Magis=
trial duties, and as I have no one whom I can spare
for the purpose and Mr Drury, as Captain Gunning
has privately informed me, has expressed the same
inability, I beg to recommend the application for
the favorable consideration of Government -

The proposed Pay of the Gomastah is 15
Rupees per mensem which Captain Gunning hopes
may be sanctioned from the 20th November last -

Ootacomund -
28th December 1840

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Actg Princl Collector

A -

To the Acting Principal Collector of
Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to annex copy of a
letter from the Foujdaree Adalut desiring me to
be informed that my application to employ a Police
Peyadah should be submitted to the Board of Revenue
thro' my Official Superior, I beg therefore you will
be so good as to forward my application for a Go=
=mastah with your recommendation as I find
such an Assistant indispensibly necessary for
the due performance of the duties imposed upon
me as Magistrate in taking down examinations & de=
=positions in Tamil and to make out the several

Accounts

692. Fort St. George 11th January 1841.

Accounts monthly and half yearly. A young man named Vincutramaniah was sent up to me from Coimbatore on the 20th November last from which date I trust you will be able to recommend his being entertained. He is very intelligent and well qualified for the situation.

Ootacamund 12th December 1840.

/ Signed / S. Gunning.
Joint Magistrate.

/ A true Copy /
Signed / H. T. Conolly
Sy. Sec. to Govt.

Order thereon, 1.

(51-52) Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated authority be given for entertaining a Gomastah to assist in conducting the Magisterial business under charge of Gunning Joint Magistrate at Ootacamund on a salary of Rupees 15 per mensem.

2. It is suggested that this allowance may also be granted in arrear from the 1st November last.

Read

Compt. G. R.

693. Fort St. George 14th January 1841.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(53)

From the Chief Engineer.

Stating in reference to Bd's letter of the 26th November last that the Sale by the Sheriff of Madras of certain land as the property of the Merapidars of Perambore and Nedumbara appears to have been a fraudulent one & intended solely as affording a Claim to Lands already purchased or belonging to Govern ment.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 26th November 1840. I have the honor to inform you that the lands put up for sale by the Sheriff of Madras, as being the property of the merapsedars of Peramboor and Nedumbara.

The sale appears to have been a fraudulent one and intended solely as affording a claim to lands already purchased or belonging to Government. The purchaser Maungaudoo Vencatachella Moodelly, held the mortgage, or pretended so to do, and became the purchaser apparently, solely with a view of Establishing a legal claim to the lands in question, but either the right of the merapsedars to mortgage or the real nature of the deed appears to have been proved.

Sot No 8. Was purchased by the Esplanade Committee on the 7th October 1837. from the Veysurpaudy Merapsedars for

for Rupees 14,084 — 9 — 4 and afterwards re-
-sold by Sheriff Sale on the 25th January
1840 to Maunéaudoo Vencatachella Moodely
as I am informed - Unoccupied and low
Ground.

Lot No. 2

Bullock shed. The Hon-
-able Company's Bullocks attached to the
Powder Mills - resold by Do — Do

Lot No. 3.

Powder Mill charcoal Go-
-down occupied by Sergeants and artificers
attached to the Powder Mills, resold by Do Do

Lot No. 4.

Within 600 yards. Ten acres
-speed and low Ground - resold by Do Do

Chief Engr's Office,
Fort St. George,
24th December 1840.

(Signed) W. Monteith.
Colonel.
Chief Engineer.

53-54

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

Order thereon.
(Read again in Bd 1st February)

From the Pr Coltr of Madura. — Read Register of Pro-
-bate bearing the Board's endorsements presented to them
in the month of December last.

55

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

55-56

Order thereon.

Resolved

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

57

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the annexed Memo of furniture required for the use of this Office, and to request that orders may be issued to the Commissariat Department for preparing them in communication with the Board.

Almirahs - 4
Bookshelves - 4
Small vouchercases - 13
Chest. — 1
Desk — 18
Chairs — 18
Stools — 13
Ladder — 1

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

58

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 20th Novr 1835 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that 250 Copies of Chittagong Salt Certificates may

may be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press according to the accompanying form the quantity of paper required for the purpose is herewith transmitted.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

Circular.
To all Collrs. except Bellary, Madura, Nellore, South Arcot, and Pondas.

59

Circular.

Sir

Para 1. The irregularity in the receipt from many Districts of the returns of persons suspended and dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831. having again attracted the attention of the Board of Revenue, I am directed to call your attention to the 2d Para of the Circular order from this Office dated 25th June last, and to request that the Returns due from the date of the order above referred to, may be submitted without loss of time and that they may be transmitted regularly in future.

Where

2 Where no cases of suspension or removal have occurred, during the Month, the circumstances should be reported by letter.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.—

To
The Principal Collector of
South Arcot.

To the Principal Collrs of South Arcot.
The same to Nellore.

Sir,

60

With reference to the 2d Para of the Circular order from this Office dated 25th June last, requiring the regular transmission of Returns of persons suspended and dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that when no cases of this description occur during the month, the circumstance should be regularly reported by letter.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary,

Resolved

Resolved that the following letters be despatched together with the Petition therein referred to.—

61

To the Principal Collector of Nellore.

To the Pl. Collr of Nellore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the Petition noted in the margin for such notice as you may deem deserving.—

No 1740 of 1840.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th January 1841.

(Signed) R. S. Porter. Acting Sub Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

To the Acting Collr of Cuddapah

Sir,

62

Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you for early attention the Petition noted in the margin.

No. 85 of 1841

2

As the Petitioner's eldest son would appear to have discharged the duty of Daroyah at the Tomb referred to, for a period of two years in succession to his father, it would appear advisable

means

measure now to remove him on the ground of incapacity, not being able to read and write, and as the Petitioner has a second son to whom these objections do not apply, the Board would be well pleased to learn, either that the 2d. Son were nominated to the Office, or that the duty might be performed in the name of the eldest by a Gomastah.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett. Secretary.

63

To the Collector of Chingleput.

To the Collr of Chingleput.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the Petition noted in the margin, for such notice as it may appear to deserve.—

1788 of 1840

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th January 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett. Secretary.

To the Collector of Madras.

To the Collr of Madras

64

Sir,

Referring to Mr. Huddleston's Endorsement dated 17th Novr. last upon the Petitions noted in the margin, the Board are of opinion that the Ganjah therein referred to should be delivered up

1630 } 1840
1971 }

up to the Petitioners upon their paying the prescribed duty leviable thereon, which they state themselves willing to do.

The following Endorsement has accordingly been given to the Petitioners.—

"Instructions in the matter of this Petition have been communicated to the Collector of Madras, to whom Petitioners are referred."

Rev. Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th January 1841.

(Signed) R. S. Porter.
Actg Sub Secy.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Dy Collr in the Nrn Dn of Arcot. Requesting sanction for
(65) supply of Stationery as per accompanying Indent No 2.

From the Collr of Vizagapatam Submitting Indents for Stationery for
(66) the land Revenue, Sea Customs, Magistrates and Coroners agents in Departments for the year 1841.

Order on the 2 abovr Indents — Ordered that the original Indents
(65-67) be compared, Countersigned and transmitted to the Superintendent of Stationery, with a request that the supply may be furnished.—

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah. Statement of goods seized
(68) and which are recommended for confiscation and sale for December last.—

From

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah — Statement of duty disposed of by him on certain articles for December last.—
(69)

From the Collr Masulipatam. — Statements of goods recommended for confiscation for September last.—
(70)

From the Collr of Masulipatam. — Statement of goods recommended for the levy of double duty for September last.—
(71)

Order on the 4 abovr Statements. — Ordered that confiscation and sale of the Smuggled goods, and the levy of double duty enumerated in the Statements be authorized.—
(68-72)

From the Collr of Masulipatam. — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants from 1st July to 30th Septr last.
(73)

From the Collr of Masulipatam. — Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines & Stoppages from 1st July to 30th September last.—
(74)

From the Collr of Masulipatam — Return of public Servants suspended or dismissed from employments from 1st July to 30th September last.—
(75)

From the Pro Collr of Madura. — Stating that the Returns of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail, of Casualties and releases for the quarter ending 31st December last. as also Statement of Smuggled Salt and goods for December are not sent, there being no entry to make.
(76)

From the Pro Collr of Nellore. — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent of 1839/40 from 24th to 31st Dect last.—
(77)

From

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry. Reporting that the Returns
78 of persons charged with offences and of persons in Confine-
ment under Regulation IX of 1822. for December
last have not been sent, there being no entry
to make.

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Stating that the forms
79 applied for by him, have not yet been sent
and requesting that 4 Statements may be transmitted
to him by Tappaul as early as possible.

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam. Stating that the Returns
80 of persons charged with offences and of persons
convicted and in confinement under Regulation
IX of 1822 for the year 1840 are not sent
being no entry to make.

" Bruce.

" Walter Elliot

" A Maclean

Comp.d

No. Diary.

At a Meeting Present

John Dent Esq.re Senior Member

Monday 18 January 1841

Walter Elliot Esq.re Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esq.re Temporary Member

John Sullivan Esq.re Acting second Member

absent on duty

Minutes of the last Meeting

read and approved.

Read again Extract from

the Minutes of Cons.n dated 19th ultimo and

entered in Consultation 11th Instant.

Ordered that the following

letters be sent in Consequence.

To The Acting Collector of

Tinnevelly

1

To the Collector of Tinnevelly

Per Paul

Board of Revenue

I am directed by the

Cons.n 22d October 840 to acknowledge the receipt of

Mr. Thompson's letter of the 29th September last No. 136 and to acquaint

you

From the Coll[r] of Rajahmundry. Reporting that the Ret[n] of persons charged with offences and of persons in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822. for December last have not been sent, there being no entry to make.

78

From the Coll[r] of Trichinopoly. Stating that the forms applied for by him, have not yet been sent and requesting that 4 Statements may be transmitted to him by Tappaul as early as possible.

79

From the Coll[r] of Vizagapatam. Stating that the Returns of persons charged with offences and of persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822. for the year 1840. are not sent, there being no entry to make.

80

" Salem.

" Coimbatore

" Madura

Cant[d]

No. Diary.

Monday 18th January 1841

At a Meeting Present

John Dent Esq[re] Senior Member

Walter Elliot Esq[re] Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esq[re] Temporary Member

John Sullivan Esq[re] Acting Second Member absent on duty

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Cons[n] dated 19th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 11th Instant.

Ordered that the following letters be sent in Consequence.

To The Acting Collector of Tinnevelly

1

To the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir

Board of Revenue

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Mr Thompson's letter of the 29th September last R[o] 136 and to acquaint you

Cons[n] 22d Octr 1840

From Govt 5th Dec. 1840
In Cons. 11th January 1841.

you that under the explanation furnished by that Gentleman, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the charge incurred in printing Bills of Exchange and letters of advice amounting to Rupees 11-2-0.

2 When a further supply of these printed forms is required, you will be pleased to transmit to this Office an Indent of the number of Copies wanted, that they may be printed free of charge at the Fort St. George Gazette Press.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
18th January 1841.

Signed C. B. Smollett
Secretary

Circular to all the Collectors except Tinnevelly and Madras

Circular to all the Collectors except Tinnevelly & Madras

Sir,

It being deemed expedient with a view to facilitate and expedite public business in the English Department of the Collectors Office to use printed forms for Bills of Exchange drawn by

2

by Collectors upon the Accountant General as well as the letters of advice by which they are accompanied I am directed by the Board of Revenue with the sanction of Government to request that the plan be generally adopted - with this view you will be pleased to submit an indent of the number of Copies that you may require for two years consumption accompanied by Copies of the forms now used that they may be printed free of charge at the Fort St. George Gazette Press.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 18th January 1841

Signed C. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 25th ult. and entered in Cons. 11th Instant.

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.

3

Circular.

Circular to the Collectors of Arcot, Chingleput, Salem, Cuddapah, Trichinopoly, Madura, Tinnevelly, Masulipatam, Combatore, Guntoor & Nellore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to

Fort St. George 18th January 1841

to transmit for your information, and guedance the accompanying Rules framed by them for the Ryomiah Department which have received the sanction of Governm and to request that the provisions therein contained may be strictly attended to.—

From Secrt. 24th December 1840 in Encl. 11 Jan. 1841

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George —
18th January 1841 —

/ Signed / C. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

To The Principal Collector of Nellore.

To the Collr. of Nellore —

Per Coss

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 16th November 1839, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance the accompanying rules for the Ryomiah Department which have received the sanction of Government.

From Secrt. to Govt. 24 Dec. 1840 In Encl. 27 Jan. 1841

3-4

2 These rules have been communicated to the Revenue authorities in the Provinces and they trust that their adoption universally will effectually check

Fort St. George 18th January 1841

the abuses in this Department.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George —
18th January 1841.

/ Signed / C. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read the following resolutions.

From the Chief Secy to Govt

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th January 1841

/ From Board 24th December

Ordered that the following Endorsement on Petition No. 897 of 1840 be communicated to Joppa Moodelliar.

"It has been ascertained that the delay in the settlement of the Petitioners case has been entirely owing to his own neglect in not attending personally before the Local authorities of the Chittoor District.

/ Signed / T. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Order thereon

5-6

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution and of the Board's letter to Government to which it is a reply be transmitted to the Principal Collector of Nellore and Cuddapah for his information and guidance.—

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th January 1841.

From Board 28th December

7

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions from the commencement of Fasly 1250 the revised Estimate of Saderavaee Charges for the Nellore Sub Collectors Cutcherry which accompanied the above letter Amounting to Rupees 157-4-6 One hundred and fifty seven, Annas four and Pie six.

Signed W. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon

7-8

Ordered that the Principal Collector of Nellore be acquainted with the foregoing resolution of Government for his information and guidance.—

Chief

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th January 1841.

From Board 28th December

9

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the payment of the Pension of Jahnee Begum being transferred from the Treasury of the Collector of Tinnevelly to that of the Collector of Madras as recommended by the Board of Revenue.—

Signed W. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon

9-10

Ordered that the Collector of Tinnevelly be acquainted with the foregoing resolution of Government with reference to his letter of the 2d Ultimo.

From Mr. Mason Asst. to the Agent with the Governor of Fort St. George at Vizagapatam

Read letter submitting

11

a Medical Certificate and requesting leave of absence for one year to proceed to the Neilgherry Hills from the date on which he was relieved from his late Acting appointment.

Order thereon

Ordered to be sent to the Civil Auditor with the following Remarks.

11-12

Submitted

Fort St. George 18th January 1841.

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government through the Civil Auditor with reference to the resolution of Government dated 30th November published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date 1st December.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Road Abstract

13

Statement shewing the number of persons charged, convicted, acquitted, and recommended to the Criminal Judge and Number of Appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 during the last half year of 1840.

Order thereon.

13-14

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other districts when an abstract Statement of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 9th and ordered in Con. 16th July 1840.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

Comp. Dr. S.R.

Fort St. George 18th January 1841.

To

The Collector of

Bellary

Sir

To the Coll.r of Bellary— Para 1.

15

In Con.n 16th July 1840.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 9th July last. having reference to the levy of Quit Rent on Houses and lands held with or without Government Grants within the limits of your Collectorate.

As regards the levy of

2-

Quit Rent on Houses &c.a within the walls of the Bellary Fort, you will have been already apprized by the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department under date the 7th July last, that lands held on Government Grants within Military Cantonments are not in future to be charged with Fees or Quit Rent, such being only

only Chargeable in the event of the discon-
tinuance as a Military station of the
Cantonment in which they are situated.
This indulgence however is to be con-
fined strictly to houses held under
Government Grants only. All other shops
or Lands whether within or beyond the
Military limits are liable to be
Assessed on the principle laid
down in Parah 2 of Mr. Parker's
Circular Letter bearing date the 26th
September 1839.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th January 1841.

(Signed) P B Smollett
Secretary

Read

Read again the following

25th Sept. in Cons. 5th Octr. 1840.- Letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly report-
ing the result of his management of the Extra
Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly
1249.

16

Proceedings thereon. ... } Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1249.-

Sayer.- Para 1. In the demand under
the Head of Sayer, which comprizes the
duties collected under Aumanie on "Cloth
and Sundries," "Tobacco," and "Gunjah and Bang,"

Demand	
Fusly 1249.	1,30,324-6-3
" 1248	1,28,595-11-9
Increase in Fusly 1249	1728-10-6
Decrease in Tobacco Collns	3744-13-4
Deduct Increase	
Cloth & Sundries — 1744-4-11	
Ganjah & Bang — 394-7-6	1808-12-5
Net Decrease	1936--7

and the rent of the duties
on Betel, there was an
Increase of Rupees 1,728-
10-6 being the result of
a Net Decrease of
Rupees 1936--7 in the
Aumanie Settlement, and
an Increase of Rupees 3,664-11-1 in the Betel
Rents.-

The Decrease of Rupees
2
1936--7 in the Aumanie Settlement is attribut-
Paras 4- ed by the Collector entirely to a continuance
of

of the falling off in the demand for Cloths by the Coast Merchants. to which he adverted in his Report for Fusly 1248 the Decrease under this Head alone having amounted in the year under review to Rupees 4,027-13-4. under the Head of "Sundries" however there was an Increase to the amount of Rupees 5442-2-3 which caused a Net Increase from these two branches of Rupees 1,414-4-11 as shewn in the Memo. annexed to the foregoing Para 3

4th on Cons. 21st Nov. 1839. Para 10.

Para 5.

Increase in Sundries	5442-2-3
Decrease in Cloths	4027-13-4
Net Increase	1414-4-11

The Increase of Rupees 3,664-11-1 in the Amount of the Betel Leaf is ascribed by the Collector to his having been able to put a stop to the undue influence which had been exercised by some of the public servants in former years, over the bidders. This influence appears indeed to have partially been in force in the year under review, for the Collector states that, but for

Para 8.. it, he "has reason to suspect that even more would have been bid. However the removal of one or two of the public Servants who had been proved to have been instrumental in keeping down these rents as well as the Offers for the Abkarry Revenue appears to have been attended with very favorable results and the rents for the current Fusly the Collector states have been further increased to the Amount of Rupees 2,705.-

Sayer Collections. The Collections on account of the Current demand Amounted to Rupees 125,825-1-2 leaving a balance of Rupees 4,499-5-1 due at the close of the Fusly, which was reduced by subsequent collections by the date of the Collector's Report to Rupees 1,035-12-10.

Demand	130,324-6-3
Collection	125825-1-2
	4499-5-1

Para. 10 The Collector states that he fears that he

Para 11. & 12 will not be able to realize the whole of this balance which is due by the Tobacco Merchants

Merchants, and has been occasioned by the practice which had obtained in the District of allowing them 30 days to pay the duty leviable on the Tobacco consigned to them for which they were indeed required to find security but which security in many instances appears to have been merely nominal. The Collector states that he had abolished the practice in question having found it attended with great inconvenience, independently of the arrears which it caused to remain outstanding.

5. The Board observe from the Demand Collection and Balance Statement last received from the Collector that this balance has been further reduced to Rupees 708-7-3.

+ D.C. 413 for Nov. 1840.

6 The Gross Collections including Rupees 9793-11-11 on account of arrears of former Fuslies amounted to 135618-13-- being Rupees 6241-14-7 in excess of the Gross Collections of Fusly 1248. The Charges which amounted

amounted to Rupees 8,926-5-9 were less than those of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 1797-6-1 the result being, an Increase in the Net Revenue, to the Amount of Rupees 8039-4-8.

7 The Amount of Collections on account of Arrears of former Fuslies entered in the Statement No. 5 which accompanies the Report under consideration is Rupees 2,002-12-10½ instead of Rupees 9,793-11-11 as shewn in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for 11th July last. The difference being occasioned by the Collector having omitted to shew in the Statement in question the Amount of the Sayer Amanie balances of Fuslies 1248 which were realized in the succeeding year. This discrepancy should be avoided in future.

9793-11-11
2,002-12-10½
7790-15-½

Demand Collection and Balance 11th July 1840. Statement 2 Col. 12

8 The Abkarry rent was less than that of Fusly 1248 by Rupees 2000, owing to the improper interference of the late Head Seristadar. The Collector observes that the

the support given to the centers by the late
Para 13 Sheristadar was made obvious by their
falling in arrears almost immediately after
that persons dismissal. The Board are
well satisfied with the exertions made by
the Collector in the realization of the Kists
due by the Sub centers, whereby the whole
amount of the farm was brought to account
within the Fusly. The deficiency occasioned
by the misconduct of the late Sheristadar,
it appears, is made up by a corresponding in-
crease in the rent of this branch of Revenue
for the Current Fusly..

Small Farms and Licences. 9 The demand under this Head
exceeded that for Fusly 1248 by Rupees
228-9-6 the amount being
Rupees 4,291-2-4. The current
Collections amounted to Rupees
3,795-1-11 leaving a balance of Rupees 496-
5. which has been reduced by subsequent
Collections

Demand	
Fusly 1249	4291-2-4
1248	4062-8-10
Increase in Fusly 1249	228-9-6

Collections to Rupees 187-11-4 which the
Para 14. Collector expects will shortly be realized..

10. The amount due on this Ac-
count as per Demand Collection and Ba-
lance Statement for November last was Rupees
105-6-1.

The Gross Collections which
11
as there were no charges, constitute the Net
Revenue under this Head, amounted to Rupees
4,090-3-9 and fell short of the Gross
Collections of Fusly 1248 by Rupees 894-2-
10 owing to there having been less arrears
to collect on account of former years..

Stamps.. 12 In the Collections from
the sale of Stampt papers there was
a Decrease of Rupees 82-
14-11 which does not appear to require
notice. In the Charges there was a
Decrease of Rupees 272-5-3 which
caused the Net Revenue under this head
to

Fusly 1248	22,447-9-11
1249	22,364-11-0
	82-14-11

+780-14-10.

to exceed that of Fusly 1248 by Rupees 184-6-4.-

Moturpha Para. 16

13 The Gross Collections under this head including arrears 16-10-- amounted to Rupees 6,082-7-7 and the Net Revenue to 6,075-14-10 exceeding the Net Revenue of Fusly 1248 by Rupees 99-1-7.-

D. C. B. State for Nov. 1840.

14 The balance due on account of the Current demand under this head has been fully realized.-

15. The total demand on account of all the branches of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1249 amounted to Rupees 1,76,194-4-9 shewing a small increase above the gross demand for the preceding Fusly of Rupees 174-13-. of this total demand Rupees 1,71,950-8 were collected within the Fasly and Rupees 3,915-13-4 after its close leaving the trifling balance of Rupees 1227-11-9 outstanding, which the Collector expected would be collected shortly.-

16 The arrears of Fustlies prior

prior to 1249 have been reduced by the Collection of Rupees 4,588-8-8½ within that Fusly and Rupees 295 subsequently to Rupees 1726-8-10 regarding which the Collector purposes making a separate report to the Board.--

17 The Board have every reason to be satisfied with the care and address displayed by Mr Onslow in the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1249 as shewn in the Report under consideration.--

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections, Charges, and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and that a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.-- Trichinopoly-

Fort St. George 18th January 1841.

Trichinopoly Fusly 1249.

		Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Sayer	Demand	1,28,595 11 9	1,39,324 6 3	1,728 10 6	- - -
	Balance on the 12th July	8,843 11 11	4,499 5 1	- - -	4,344 6 10
	Current Collection	1,19,751 15 10	1,28,825 1 2	6,073 1 4	- - -
	Extra Dr	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Arrears	9,624 14 8	9793 11 11	168 13 3	- - -
	Total	129,376 14 6	135,618 13 1	6241 14 7	- - -
	Charges	10,723 11 10	8,926 5 9	- - -	1,797 6 1
	Net Revenue	118,653 2 8	126,692 7 4	8039 4 8	- - -
Abkarry	Demand	15000 - -	13,000 - -	- - -	2,000 - -
	Balances on the 12th July	2,274 - -	- - -	- - -	2,274 - -
	Current Collection	12,726 - -	13,000 - -	274 - -	- - -
	Extra Dr	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Arrears	3755 6 8	2,274 - -	- - -	1,481 6 8
	Total	16481 6 8	15,274 - -	- - -	1,207 6 8
	Charges	66 6 1	513 4 7	446 13 8	- - -
	Net Revenue	16,414 15 9	14,760 11 5	- - -	1654 4 4
Sundry small Farms & Licenses	Demands	4,062 8 10	4,291 2 4	228 9 6	- - -
	Balance on the 12th July	290 15 8	496 - 5	205 - 9	- - -
	Current Collection	3771 - 9 2	3795 1 11	23 8 9	- - -
	Extra Dr	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Arrears	1,212 13 5	295 1 10	- - -	917 11 7
	Total	4984 6 7	4,090 3 9	- - -	894 2 10
	Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Net Revenue	4984 6 7	4,090 3 9	- - -	894 2 10
Stamps	Demand	22,447 9 11	22,364 11 -	- - -	82 14 11
	Balance on the 12th July	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Current Collection	22,447 9 11	22,364 11 -	- - -	82 14 11
	Extra Dr	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Arrears	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	22,447 9 11	22,364 11 -	- - -	82 14 11
	Charges	1053 4 1	780 14 10	- - -	272 5 3
	Net Revenue	21,394 5 10	21,583 12 2	189 6 4	- - -

Fort St. George 18th January 1841

	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
	a@ Rs	a@ Rs	a@ Rs	a@ Rs
Demand	6,013 9 3	6,065 15 3	68 14 4	- - -
Balance on the 12th July	16 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Current Collection	5,996 13 3	6,014 12 2	200 7 11	- - -
Extra D°	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Arrears	12 9 -	16 10 -	4 - 3	- - -
Total	6,009 9 3	6,031 6 2	272 14 7	- - -
Charges	32 11 3	55 9 -	22 9 9	- - -
Net Revenue	5,976 13 -	5,976 13 -	249 4 10	- - 26 3 -
Demand	1,76,119 7 9	1,76,694 4 9	74 13 -	- - -
Balance on the 12th July	114 25 5 7	51,403 9 1	- - -	- - 628 12 6
Current Collection	164,694 2 2	1,25,050 11 8	6356 9 6	- - -
Extra D°	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Arrears	14,605 12 6	12,579 7 9	- - -	- - 2,226 4 9
Total	179,299 14 8	183,430 23 5	4,130 2 9	- - -
Charges	14,876 2 7	10,221 1 11	- - -	- - 1649 - 8
Net Revenue	167,423 12 1	173,209 1 6	5779 5 5	- - -

734 Fort St. George 18th January 1841

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement of Extra charges actually incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1249.

(17)

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement of Charges actually incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1249.

(18)

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement of Extra Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1249.

(19)

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement of Extra Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1249.

(20)

From the Mr Collector of Cuddapah. Supplemental Statement of charges actually incurred for Fusly 1248.

(21)

From the Pl. Collector of Madura. Statement of Charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1249.

(22)

From the Pl. Collector of Madura. Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1249.

(23)

From the Pl. Collector of Madura. Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department

(24)

Department for Fusly 1249.

From the Pr. Collector of Canara. Statement of Extra
(25) Charges proposed to be incurred in the Revenue
Department for Fusly 1250.

From the Pr. Collector of Canara. Statement of Extra
(26) Charges proposed to be incurred in the Mis-
=terial Department for Fusly 1250.

From the Pr. Collector of Canara. Statement of Extra
(27) Charges proposed to be made for the pur-
=chase of Paper for Fusly 1250.

Order on the Eleven abovementioned
(17-28) Statements. } Ordered that the
necessary remarks of the Board be inserted
in the proper Column of the abovementioned
Statements, and submitted for the orders of
Government.-

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Read Register of
(29) Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements pre-
=sented to him for the Month of December last

Order thereon.
(29-30) Officer. Ordered to be lodged in the
Records

Compd. J.R.

Resolved that the following letters be
despatched.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. Government. } Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue
(31) to submit for the information of the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council, the accompanying General
1 Statement prepared in the usual form, from the
Monthly accounts, shewing the Collections of Ordinary
Revenue from 12th July to 31st October of Fusly 1250.
compared with the Collections in the same period of
Fusly 1249.-

2. Abstract B exhibits a Net Decrease
Increase in the Collections of Current } 1,60,330-15-5
Deduct Increase in the Collections of Arrears } 25,530-3-9
83,800-11-8
in the aggregate of Rupees 2,23,402-11-2. resulting from
a Net Decrease of Rupees 83,800-11-8. in the Collections of
Land Revenue, and a Net Decrease of Rupees 139,601-15-6.
in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue.-

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease
in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from
Extra Sources in the several Districts.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 18th January 1841. } (signed) P. B. Smollett. Secy. General.

727: ||

A- General Statemen

at St George

Madras Presidency

18th January 1841.

from 12th July to 31st October of Fasly 1250- Compared

Collections of

Arrears and Current.

Land Revenue

Comparison

1			Increase				Decrease				Net			
			Arrears		Current.		Total.		Arrears		Current		Total.		Increase		Decrease	
			8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
			Rs.	a	Rs.	a	Rs.	a	Rs.	a	Rs.	a	Rs.	a	Rs.	a	Rs.	a
1	Zillah of	Ganjam	81386	6 10	32339	9	113725	15 5	"	"	"	"	113725	15 5	"	"	"	"
2	"	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	33945	4 2	3505	3 2	37450	7 4	"	"	37450	7 4
3	"	Rajahmundry	"	"	"	"	"	"	19143	6 5	50141	1 9	69284	8 2	"	"	69284	8 2
4	"	Masulipatam	49393	1 9	"	"	"	"	"	"	2015	9 6	2015	9 6	47377	8 3	"	"
5	"	Guntoor	18211	12 4	"	"	49393	1 9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3247	11 5
6	"	Ongole	24252	10 4	"	"	18211	12 4	"	"	21459	7 9	21459	7 9	3468	10 "	"	"
7	"	Salem	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20784	" 4	20784	" 4	"	"	15997	" 2
8	"	Madura	"	"	"	"	24252	10 4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	Nellore	9900	13 6	"	"	"	"	15576	5 7	420	10 7	15997	" 2	"	"	33859	" 9
10	Northern Division of		"	"	"	"	9900	13 6	"	"	"	"	83859	" 9	"	"	"	"
11	Southern Division of		"	"	"	"	"	"	33859	" 9	"	"	"	"	5497	6 1	"	"
12	Tanjore		14964	7 2	1089	10	"	"	"	"	4403	7 5	4403	7 5	"	"	"	"
13	Trichinopoly		"	"	"	"	16054	1 11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	87695	12 9
14	Tinnevelly		14222	13 8	"	"	"	"	24390	3 10	63305	8 11	87695	12 9	"	"	"	"
15	Bellary		15852	4 9	"	"	14222	13 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	16054	1 11	"	"
16	Cuddapah		"	"	"	"	"	"	5867	2 10	855	" 9	6722	3 7	"	"	6722	3 7
17	Coimbatore		1248	5 2	"	"	15852	4 9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	Canara		"	"	"	"	"	"	60916	7 9	29915	1 "	29915	1 "	"	"	28492	3 4
19	Malabar		1328	10 3.	"	"	1248	5 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	1248	5 2	"	"
20	Madras. {	Land Cus	"	"	"	"	"	"	14745	10 8	49021	4 2	60916	7 9	"	"	60916	7 9
		Toll Collect	"	"	"	"	1328	10 3	3914	13 9	"	"	"	"	"	"	14745	10 8
		Sea Custom	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	247	5 8	14745	10 8	1081	4 7	3914	13 9
			"	"	"	"	"	"	22	4 6	854	11 9	3914	13 9	"	"	877	" 3
			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	247	5 8	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	877	" 3	"	"	"	"
	Add Old Balance		217961	5 9	33429	3	257390	9 1	212380	12 3	202810	2 9	415190	15 "	199402	10 "	363202	15 11
			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	88090	14 3	80971	4 "
															287493	8 3	371294	3 11
	Deduct Collections to the														Deduct Increase		287493	8 3
	Collections in Octob														Net Decrease		83800	11 8

Fort St George

with the collections in the same period of Fusly 1249.

18th January 1841.

	Alt.				Sayer.		Akbary.					
	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase	Decrease.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250.	Increase	Decrease
	16		17		18	19	20		21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	31,723 10 3	21,606 2 7				10,117 7 8	8,028 1 2		6,211 13 5						2,582 8 8.
2	45,394 2 4	33,926 5 8.				11,447 12 8	20,816 11 11		19,215 14 7		1,816 0 9	8,438 15 8	5,856 7		2,193 14 0
3	45,789 14 2	34,729				11,960 14 2	23,625 0 2		22,780 11 0		1,600 13 2	14,055 10 8.	11,861 12 8		1,237 13 3.
4	40,994 4 0	28,893 11 0				12,600 9 0	27,106 6 0		25,681 0 3		844 5 2	4,112 2 9.	2,874 5 6	723 4 4.	
5	61,562 12 4	47,519 5 9.				14,042 6 7	17,563 10 1.		18321 14 7		1,425 5 9	4,952 8 0	5,677 12 4	94 6 2	
6	110,604 2 0	106,293 10 0				4310 8 0	14,746 13 11		14,738 4 7	758 4 6.		4,256 7 3	1,350 10 7		576 3 2
7											11 9 4	24,179 5 0	20,606 1 10	1826 0 0	
8	66,632 8 2	70,949 13 4	4,317 5 2				44,109 1 8.		4,844 7 6.	4338 4 4		17,521 0 0	19,367 0 0	117 8 0	
9	97,189 0 0	73,805 14 1.					69,365 13 4		74,186 13 11	4821 0 6		10,535 8 0	10,653 0 0	833 0 3.	
10	4,639 11 0	6,982 8 0	2,342 13 0			19,183 1 11.	27,801 2 11.		33,319 1 9	5,517 14 10		4,011 3 10	4,844 4 1	2,686 0 0	
11	77,408 6 2	58,999 13 7.					38,209 0 8.		43,453 0 11	5,244 0 2		15,818 0 0	18,504 0 0		781 2 7.
12	126,541 1 0	121,774 15 2				18,408 8 7.	83,958 2 11.		64,240 13 6		22,717 13 7.	16,055 2 3.	15,273 15 8.	723 0 11.	
13						4,766 1 10	83,288 9 9.		93,975 5 3	10,687 3 8		14,279 6 11	15,002 7 10		303 0 0
14	68,486 4 0	80,858 2 6	12,368 14 6.				40,137 2 1.		36,808 7 11		3,328 12 10.	3,891 12 0	3,088 12 0	1,247 4 0	
15							64,383 12 1.		60,169 7 6		1,214 10 2	2,760 0 0	4,007 4 0		741 11 0
16							69,916 12 0		76,240 7 4	6323 11 6		78,638 13 0	77,897 2 0		1144 12 7
17							57,421 2 10.		59,937 5 2	2515 14 6.		20,140 11 2	18,995 14 7	376 12 0	
18	34,064 9 2	30,044 7 5					50,141 6 10.		59,067 5 4	8925 14 4		10,375 4 0	10,752 0 0		3,457 13 11
19	54,987 10 1.	47,758 4 0				4,020 1 9	5,394 14 1.		4,577 1 6		817 9 5.	13,505 12 5	10,053 14 6.	1278 15 8	
20	29,676 8 0	26,079 6 9.				7,232 6 1	30,583 3 8.		38,810 7 11	8226 13 10.		11,536 3 0	12,875 2 8	6662 2 7	
						3,597 1 3	1,712 91 10 0		163,477		7,814 8 1.	148,686 7 10	153,348 10 5		
	895,694 6 8.	793,935 7 10	19,029 0 8	120,787 15 6		944,888 10 1	9,60,656 1 0			57,359 2 2	44,591 11 3	421,772 5 9	424,830 12 8	16,568 6 1.	13,509 15 2
						955 2 7				165 13 0	655 0 0	179 13 3	1433 12 6	1460 6 8.	166 7 5
	895,694 6 8	793,935 7 10	19,029 0 8	120,787 15 6		945,843 12 8	9,61,122 1 7			57,524 15 2	42,246 11 3.	421,952 3 0	426,314 9 2	18028 12 9.	13,666 6 7
			Dr. Increase							42,246 11 3	Dr. Decrease.				13,666 6 7. Dr. Decrease
			19,029 0 8.							15,278 0 11.	Net Increase.				4,362 6 2 Net Increase
	567,813 15 2	493,396 8 3.	Net Decrease	101,758 14 10											
	327,880 7 6	300,538 15 7				643,850 0 6	64,1031 2 9			2,84,726 15 10	2,90,205 12 8				
						301,993 12 2	3,20,090 13 11			187,225 3 2	136,108 12 6				

Fort St George

18th January 1841.

	Sundry small Farms and Licenses.				Motarpha.		Sea Customs.					
	Fasly 1249.	Fasly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fasly 1249.	Fasly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fasly 1249.	Fasly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.	Rs. a. c.
1	310 3. .	752 7 8.	442 4 8	. . .	3,687 7 .	4,363 5 .	. . .	2,324 1 8	330 2 1.	178 3 9.	. . .	151 14 4
2	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	2965 14 11	. . .	45 5 11	6,695 2 7	5,404 15 8.	. . .	1290 2 11
3	1361 7 9	4848 7 6.	486 15 9.	. . .	3,011 4 10	11,413 11 4	. . .	. . .	4,241 12 .	2,663 14 3	. . .	1577 13 9
4	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	11,357 2 6	458 2 6	62 8 10	. . .	2,396 11 2	3,425 7 10	1028 11 10	. . .
5	410 15 10	632 10 1.	221 10 3.	. . .	268 2 3.	12,607 9 1	190 . 3.	. . .	703 14 6.	1329 2 4	625 3 10	. . .
6	6642 10 4	6,187 12 2	. . .	. . .	8,483 4 9	39,705 4 2	1,224 4 4	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
7	2,286 2 8.	1,259 . 8	. . .	454 14 2	29,40 6 .	233 10 9	1,834 14 2	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	242 2 2
8	317 . .	. . .	. . .	1027 2 .	120 1 10.	. . .	113 13 11.	. . .	5,207 . 9	1964 14 7	. . .	406 11 .
9	34 . .	27 11 7.	. . .	317 . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	5,886 4 4.	5,479 9 4	. . .	. . .
10	601 5 2	943 14 7	342 9 5.	. . .	10,535 5 10	15,207 3 11	4,471 14 1.	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
11	1572 5 11.	1374 5 1	. . .	6 2 5	72 8 9.	130 3 5	58 . 8.	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	2,490 11 1
12	1164 13 2	748 3 1	. . .	138 . 10	6,261 9 8	10,473 2 8	4,211 8 .	. . .	7,630 7 10.	5,739 12 9.	. . .	. . .
13	527 4 10	509 2 9.	. . .	416 10 1.	441 4 2	580 . .	138 13 8.	. . .	22,786 11 .	27,424 2 3	4,637 7 3	. . .
14	3,932 8 6.	6,496 3 .	2,563 10 6.	. . .	559 7 6.	2,231 14 7	1,672 7 1	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
15	1,871 13 .	1,443 14 4	. . .	427 14 8	13,216 11 3	13,612 13 6	396 2 3.	. . .	4,720 2 10.	9,737 3 8.	5,017 . 10	. . .
16	4,750 13 9.	1,952 13 8	201 15 11.	. . .	9,964 13 .	1348 15 3	. . .	8,616 13 9	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
17	25 . 4	37 4 3.	12 3 11.	. . .	3,924 2 5	3,026 1 5	. . .	918 1 .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
18	1,303 11 .	5,391 2 7	4,087 7 7	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	5,248 5 3.	7,820 8 1.	2,372 2 10.	. . .
19	8,851 1 9.	9,436 5 9	585 4 .	. . .	5 4 .	. . .	. . .	5 4 .	43,661 6 7.	20,436 3 8.	. . .	23,225 2 11
20	4,107 8 3.	4,039 1 10	. . .	. . .	10,283 3 9.	10,336 8 11	108 5 2	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	8,902 15 6.	8,816 2 1	. . .	68 6 5	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
	. . .	. . .	. . .	386 13 5	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	16,490 8 2
	45,913 12 9.	50,096 10 8.	3,944 2 .	4,767 4 1	85,116 3 6.	89,815 5 7	. . .	. . .	210,315 11 10.	163,225 3 8	. . .	. . .
	183 4 6.	1,155 4 10	1,057 6 10	79 6 6	284 13 5.	849 2 3	16,577 12 5	11,908 10 4	32,023 12 9.	25,829 5 .	13,680 10 7.	75,875 2 4
	46,097 1 3	51,251 15 6	9,995 8 10.	4,840 10 7	85,401 . 11	90,664 7 11	413 12 11.	49 8 1.	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
				4,840 10 7.	D.t Decrease.		16,991 9 4	11,958 2 5	32,023 12 9.	25,829 5 .	D.t Increase	13,680 10 7.
	32,328 7 6.	33,905 10 1		5754 14 3	Net Increase		11,958 2 5	D.t Decrease.			Net Decrease.	62,194 7 9
	13,768 9 9.	17,346 5 5					5,033 6 11	Net Increase	213,243 9 6.	154,176 . .		
					39952 2 .	39519 9 1			106,680 3 3.	103,653 5 .		
					45,473 11 11	50,944 11 9						

Fort St George

18th January 1841.

Tobacco.

Fasly 1249.	Fasly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
40	41	42	43
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	61390 15 4	63548 2 10	2157 3 6
19	149930 11 5	141250 12 10	
20			
	211321 10 9	204798 15 8	2157 3 6
			8679 14 7
			138422 2 2
			139467 5 1
			1581 1 8
			14035 14 9
			Dr. Decrease
			Net Increase
	132168 15 3	128578 2 2	
	79152 11 6	76220 13 6	
			Dr. Increase 2157 3 6
			Net Decrease 6522 11 1

Stamps.

Fasly 1249.	Fasly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
44	45	46	47
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

Fort St. George

18th January 1841.

Abkary.

Sandy small

	Fasly 1249.		Fasly 1250.		Increase.		Decrease.		Fasly 1249.		Fasly 1250.	
	68		69		70		71		72		73	
	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
1	11	14 0	11	5 16.								
2			1000		1000				8 2		72	3 2
3			2	8 8.	2	8 8.						
4									16	4 0	14	
5	116	13 9.	12									
6							104	13 9.	94	13 4	89	14 1
7											24	
8												
9												
10	81	1 6.										
11							57	1 6.				
12												
13												
14											21	11 8.
15			457	14 0	457	14 0					5	10 2
16												
17												
18											11	14 11.
19											987	4 0
20												
	179	13 3.	1483	12 6.	1460	6 8.	156	7 5.	183	4 6.	1155	4 11

Farms and Licenses -

Uttuptha

	Increase.		Decrease.		Fasly 1249.		Fasly 1250.		Increase		Decrease	
	74		75		76		77		78		79	
	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
1											9	3 6
2			72	3 2	18	3 6.	9					
3												
4			2		80	6 9.	261	13	181	6 3.		
5												
6			4	15 4	74	10 3.	192	10 3.	118			
7							2		2			
8	24	14 1.									11	18 10.
9					28	13 10.	17					
10									24	1 4.		
11					40	12 8.	64	14				
12			7	1.							7	1.
13												
14												
15	21	11 8.										
16	5	10 2			8	14 8.	88	1 4	79	2 8.		
17					11	14	4	6			7	8
18												
19	11	14 11.			3		9	5 8.	9	2 8.		
20	987	4 0									20	7 8.
					20	7 8.						
	1057	6 10	79	6 6	284	13 5.	649	2 3.	413	12 11	49	8 1

Fort St George

18th January 1841.

Tobacco.

	July 1249.		July 1250.		Increase.		Decrease.		Total July 1249.		Total July 1250.	
	80		81		82		83		84		85	
	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
1												
2									6471	1 5	1217	0 1
3											1000	
4									673	15 11	1287	14 2
5												
6									292	1 4	294	8 3
7									67	3 6	349	3 3
8									158	14 1	69	6 4
9												
10									1262	6 2	640	12 4
11									7852	6 2	91591	15 7
12									61		1681	15 0
13									691	3 8	1443	8 10
14									1232	9 7	386	9 6
15									357	10 1	539	5 11
16									57	4	616	3 2
17									194		972	5 8
18												10 9
19									2079	1 3	997	5 7
20									946	11 3	1185	14 6
									87	7 1	87	14 6
									135	10 1	156	10 1
									22367	9	104578	4 8

Grand Total of Collections.

Increase.	Decrease.	July 1249.		July 1250.		Increase		Decrease	
86		87		88		89		90	91
Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
		5254	14	195246	5 10	285873	14 2	90627 8 4	
1500									48943 4 0
648	14 3			302167	4 1	253218	0 1		81594 5 8
				399690	6 5	318696	9 9	35337 2 11	
				189241	4 7	224578	7 6		11163 8 0
2	6 11			164423	7 3	153259	15 3		39 6 9
281	15 9			267012	9 9	266973	3 0		11887 1 11
		89	8 0	104630	6 10	92749	4 11		23456 14 3
				191362	6 6	167905	8 3		3466 6 11
		621	9 10	380559	8 9	327093	1 10	6144 6 5	
39	9 5			286860	3 8	293004	10 1		23577 7 10
481	8 11			260133	10 10	236616	3 0	6178 9 2	
752	5 2			306885	11 9	313564	4 11		29524 12 3
		846	0 1	329393	12 7	299869	0 4	30315 15 4	
187	11 10			337123	5 2	367439	4 6		64625 6 6
658	15 2			238318	8 0	173693	1 6	4291 15 4	
970	12 4			160749	1 3	165041	0 7		5327 8 7
						86567	4 5		8097 11 0
238	10 4	1081	6 6	92094	6 0	140482	7 8		32118 14 6
7	4			148580	2 8	350473	2 10		5791 1 11
				382592	1 4				886 13 5
21				369296	14 8	364105	12 9		26264 0 2
				8902	15 6	8016	2 1		
				211286	11 10	165022	11 8		
3435	5 5	7892	9 9	5276545	5 3	5053142	10 1	172895 9 6	396298 4 8
								Dr. Increase	172895 9 6
								Net Decrease	223402 11 2

Fort St. George. January 1841.

Old Balance or Balance of more than 15 years standing.	Balance under 15 years standing.	Total.	Particulars of Arrears of Early Land Revenue		Balances. Balances of prior date in train of liquidation. Permanently Settled.		Land Revenue not permanently settled.			
			Sayer Mootah	Minor	Sequestered.	Total.	Rented.	Annuity	Total.	
92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
1 " " "	151,810 15 7	151,810 15 7	112,886 14 10	" " "				88,080 9 4	201,573 8 2.	1
2 " " "	18,812 12 11	18,812 12 11	21,021 7 2	" " "		112,886 14 10	" " "	19,190 13 1.	242,501 7 3	2
3 60,389 11 1	312,052 10 1	372,441 5 2	667,827 10 5.	34,554 1	24289 3 .	232,310 10 2.	" " "	37,328 15 "	1,077,507 0 0.	3
4 " " "	10,368 9 "	10,368 9 "	77,869 9 2	89,612 14	28809 8 9.	104,2238 7 6.	" " "	1,924 0 3.	181,3696 12 3.	4
5 " " "	99,305 8 2	99,305 8 2	3,238,070 15 7	15,688 2	944289 14 2.	18,11772 6 "	" " "	24,239 13 4	4,803,668 7 3.	5
6 " " "	4,957 8 9	4,957 8 9	3,239 3 7	" " "	154,1357 10 4.	4,709,428 9 11.	" " "	9,052 1 9.	279,798 2.	6
7 " " "	21,987 4 4	21,987 4 4	4,859 14 10	" " "	" " "	18,927 6 5.	" " "	3809 9 9.	87,048 7.	7
8 " " "	" " "	" " "	9,948 7 9	" " "	35 " "	4,894 14 10	" " "	" " "	12,470 12 "	8
9 " " "	74,1,863 6 1.	74,1,863 6 1.	81,677 9 4	" " "	2,528 4 3.	12,470 12 "	" " "	107,001 " 4	2,491,338 9 6.	9
10 " " "	80,165 15 "	80,165 15 "	108,283 8 "	" " "	" " "	81,077 9 4	" " "	8,077 10 1.	1,163,61 2 1	10
11 " " "	46,438 12 6	46,438 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	108,283 8 "	45 7 10	1,404 1 9	144,99 9 3.	11
12 5,863 9 6	2,16,498 11 8	222,362 5 2	12 7 8.	" " "	" " "	" " "	70 3 "	18,897 2 10.		12
13 " " "	15,843 3 1	15,843 3 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	18,808 8 2.	" " "	7,820 12 8.	7,820 12 8.	13
14 5594 9 9.	187,338 7 3	192,933 1 "	2,91,065 2 2	" " "	" " "	12 7 8.	" " "	34,278 4 4	339,231 3 8	14
15 " " "	15,969 2 10	15,969 2 10	80,208 5 4	" " "	13,887. 13 2.	304,952 15 4.	" " "	7,530 " 9	87,744 6 1.	15
16 " " "	28,232 12 8.	28,232 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	80,208 5 4	" " "	5,425 15 1	5,425 15 1.	16
17 " " "	53,457 9 9	53,457 9 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,040 " "	9,040 " "	17
18 " " "	3687 1 8	3,687 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,991 2 7.	3991 2 7	18
19 " " "	3578 " 9.	3,578 " 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1067 3 "	1362 5 "	19
20 4127 7 3	70,603 14 5.	74,731 5 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	295 2 "	8,030 15 11.	8,030 15 11.	20
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
75,975 5 7.	2,082,974 6 6.	2,158,949 12 1	55,869 7 3 10.	452,862 2	25,5225 5 8	8590,070 15 4	1,149 2 "	4,30,307 10 "	9,39,527 11 4	

Fort St. George 8th January 1841.

Extra Revenue

	Salt.	Sayer.	Abkarry.	Sundry Small Farms and Licences.	Moturpha.	Sea Customs.	Tobacco.	Stamps.	Grand Total of Columns 95 to 109.	Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Total.
	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	3,037 1 4	967 5 2.	2280 3 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	208458 2 1	46797 " 8.	3,378 5 8.	50,175 6 4
3	" " "	" " "	23 13 4	" " "	127 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	242052 13 9	13559 12 3.	6,596 5 4	29156 1 7.
4	" " "	" " "	5,399 10 "	441 1 9.	2976 4 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	1085384 7 1	38709 " 4	934 4 4	39041 4 8
5	" " "	" " "	820 13 10.	" " "	115 13 11.	" " "	" " "	" " "	1814033 8 "	49531 14 7.	1,011 15 2	50543 13 9.
6	" " "	" " "	8 12 "	61 15 7.	881 6 11.	" " "	" " "	" " "	480620 9 9	50447 13 5.	" " "	50447 13 5.
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	1984 5 8	242 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	30200 11 2	" " "	7227 8 4	7227 8 4
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	150 3 1.	" " "	" " "	" " "	8914 11 8.	33 4 "	27 " "	60 4 "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,272 " 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	12470 12 "	" " "	561 9 2.	9920 8 4
11	" " "	" " "	887 15 8.	" " "	3 9 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	250010 10 2.	9359 1 2.	100 1 4	100 1 4
12	" " "	84 6 7.	" 13 "	404 1 7.	10 14 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	17252 9 3	" " "	302 13 "	302 13 "
13	" " "	890 9 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 15 "	201712 3	" " "	14879 14 8.	10934 11 5.
14	" " "	680 " "	" " "	154 15 7.	" 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18897 2 10.	2054 12 9	4401 11 10	4401 11 10
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,099 4 9.	1985 5 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	8873 " 6.	" " "	1501 15 10.	1501 15 10.
16	" " "	" " "	117 7 "	83 3 8	648 8 9.	" " "	" " "	" " "	345995 13 11.	" " "	255 15 8.	20992 1 2
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	88593 9 6.	20730 1 6.	" " "	" " "
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	11 12 3	432 15 5.	" " "	" " "	" " "	5870 10 9.	" " "	890 " "	890 " "
19	" " "	" " "	1951 5 1	" " "	537 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	9577 9 2.	" " "	13990 6 11.	13990 6 11.
20	" " "	" " "	90 13 7	375 13 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6318 5 5.	" " "	5 3 3.	240 3 9.
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	75 14 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	1529 " 9	295 " 6	2422 12 1	27281 11 8.
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	86301511.	24858 15 7	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " 1,054 15 10	12,938 8 6.	8,043 15 9	1,741 13 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 15 "	9974514 15 11	2,08320 12 9.	58,607 12 7.	3,26,928 9 4

Balance of Current Demand of Fusly 1250.

Fort St. George 18th January 1841.

	Total Columns 110 & 113.	Total Balance Columns 94 and 114.	Remission. Of recent Arrears.	Remission. Of old Balance.	Total.
	114	115	116	117	118
	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘
1	2,58,033 8 5.	4,10,444 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	2,62,808 15 4	2,81,021 12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	1,12,825 11 9.	15,0470 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	18,05,177 5 9.	18,75,545 14 9	" " "	101 12 "	101 12 "
5	48,01,008 9 2.	49,00,373 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	3,743 4 3 6.	42,391 12 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	8,974 15 8	30,902 4 "	" " "	311 15 "	311 15 "
8	12,476 12 "	12,470 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	2,00,531 2 6	10,23,948 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	1,73,552 10 7	1,97,518 9 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	2,380 9 3	48,819 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
12	35,831 14 3	2,58,194 3 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	13,334 12 4	29,177. 15 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	34,497 13 9.	5,40,430 14 9.	" " "	55 14 11	55 14 11
15	115,585 10 8	131,554 13 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	5,870 10 9.	34,103 9 5.	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	10,407 9 2.	63,925 2 11.	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	20,308 12 4	23,995 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	709 4 6	5,347 5 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
20	35,912 11 7.	110,044 1 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	9,40,144 13 9	11,60,393 5 4	" " "	469 9 11	469 9 11

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 18th January 1841. (Signed) D. B. Smollett, Secy.

B. Comparative Abstract of the Collections in the Ceded Districts for Fasly 1249 and 1250 as per Cowle dated 15th October 1840.

Fasly 1249. Fasly 1250. Increase. Decrease.

Not

Land Revenue
Salt
Sayer
Abkarry
Sundry Small Items & Licenses
Motorpha
Sea Customs
Tobacco
Stamps
Total Excise Branch of Revenue
Grand Total of Land and Excise Branches of Revenue
Particulars
Deduct Collections on account of Peishcush
Collections on account of

	Fasly 1249			Fasly 1250			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘
	2,21,17,553	3	1.	2,12,79,558	9	8.				8,37,994	11	8
	89,56,094	6	8.	89,32,357	10	"				23,736	10	8
	94,58,403	12	8.	90,41,222	7	"				4,17,181	12	8
	1,21,952	3	"	4,26,314	9	2	4,304	5	2			
	4,909	1	3.	5,12,551	15	6	507	14	3			
	85,431	11	"	90,404	7	10	5033	11	"			
	3,20,023	12	9.	2,57,829	5	"				62,193	7	9
	2,11,321	10	9	2,04,908	15	8				6,412	11	1
	138,422	2	2	139,400	5	1	977	2	11			
	30,01,780	2	2.	29,26,584	2	8				75,196	3	6
	57,95,145	3	3	50,53,142	10	2				7,41,993	4	1
	38,135	6	4	3,10,182	10	9	2,71,947	4	5			
	1,96,409	14	11	19,53,094	6	4	17,56,684	7	5			

Deduct Collections on account of Peishcush 88800-11-8

Collections on account of Peishcush 87680-3-9
Arrears 1092-12-8

C. Abstract Shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 31st October 1840.-

	Land Revenue		Extra Sources of Revenue	
	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Ganjam	108553 12 11	" " "	" " "	17926 4 7
Vizagapatam	" " "	37450 7 4	" " "	11492 12 8
Rajahmundry	" " "	08802 4 10	" " "	17732 " 10
Masulipatam	47377 8 3	" " "	" " "	12040 5 4
Guntoor	" " "	3253 7 5	" " "	7910 " 7
Chingleput	3723 11 8	" " "	" " "	3703 2 5
Salem	" " "	10074 10 4	4193 8 5	" " "
Madura	" " "	33859 " 9	10402 2 6	" " "
Nellore	4095 13 11	" " "	" " "	8702 4 10
Nth Dn of Arcot	" " "	3904 10 9	10049 1 2	" " "
Sth Dn of Arcot	17735 10 10	" " "	" " "	4253 2 6
Tanjore	" " "	5909 14 5	12148 7 7	" " "
Trichinopoly	" " "	28704 15 1	" " "	819 13 2
Tinnevelly	11046 5 7	" " "	19209 9 9	" " "
Bellary	" " "	6980 14 7	" " "	2817 7 11
Cuddapah	2209 14 10	" " "	2082 " 6	" " "
Coimbatore	" " "	15839 " 1	10311 14 6	" " "
Canara	" " "	4042 15 9	" " "	3454 11 3
Malabar	1081 11 11	" " "	" " "	22200 10 5
Madras. Land Customs.	" " "	850 " 3	" " "	4335 1 6
Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	" " "	" " "	" " "	850 13 5
Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	46204 " 3
Total	190424 9 11	280225 5 7	68450 12 5	280258 11 11
Deduct Increase	" " "	190424 9 11		68450 12 5
Net Decrease	" " "	89800 11 8		139601 15 6

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy to Government

In conformity to the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of May 1840.-

32

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th January 1841

/signed/ P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

To
The Principal Collector of
Nellore.

Sir,

To the Dt. Coll.r of Nellore
The same to Bellary

With reference to the Circular letter under date 13th July 1820- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you by Cooly 52 copies of the Printed forms of Demand, Collection and Balance Statements.

33

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 18th January 1841.

/signed/ P. B. Smollett.
Secretary

To

Circular to all Collectors except S. Arcot, Nellore & Bellary.

Sir,

Circular to all Collrs. except S. Arcot. Nellore & Bellary. (34) — Para 1.

With reference to the Circular letter under date 13th July 1820, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you by Cooly 52 copies of the Printed Forms of Demand, Collection and Balance Statements.

2 I am also desired to transmit Ten Printed copies of forms of Statement for the insertion of the items of Extra Revenue in your District.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 18th January 1841. } Signed P. B. Smollett. Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Accountant General. (35) Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry Articles for the use of the Revenue Department for the Month of January last.

From the Accountant General. (36) Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry articles for the use of the General

General Department for the Month of January last.

From the Accountant General. (37) Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry Articles for the use of the Military Department for the Month of January last.

Order on the three abovd. Indents. (35-38) Ordered that the abovementioned Indents be passed and returned to the accountant General.

From the Sd. Collector in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot. (39) Statement of Sayer articles recommended for confiscation for the Month of November last.

From the Asst. Sd. Collector of Malabar. (40) Statement Shewing the quantity of Smuggled Tobacco Seized for the Month of November last.

Order on the two abovs. Statements. (39-41) Ordered that the Confiscation and Sale of the Smuggled articles and Tobacco Enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be Authorized.

From the Principal Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot. (42) Indent for Printed papers required for the use of the Salt and Sea Customs Departments for the year 1841.

Requesting.

From the Principal Collector of Salem - Requesting that the
(43) usual Number of the Printed forms of Demand,
Collection and Balance Statements &c. may
be forwarded as early as practicable -

Order on the two abovementioned
(42-44) Indents. } Ordered to be supplied
accordingly -

From the Principal Collector and
Magte. in the Ln. Dy. of Arcot. } Indent of Stationery
(45) and Sundries required for the use of the
Office for the year 1841 -

From the Collector of Bellary - Forwarding an Indent
(46) for the Stationery &c. required for the use
of his Office for the year 1841 -

From the Acting Collector of
(47) Cuddapah } Indent for Stationery
and Sundries required for the year 1841 -

From the Collector of Chingleput - Indent for Stationery
(48) and Sundries required for the year 1841 -

Forwarding

From the Agent to the Governor
(49) of Fort St. George } Forwarding for Sanction
at Vizagapatam

an Indent for Stationery Articles required
for the Vizianagrum Zemindary
Cutcherry -

Order on the face abovementioned
(45-50) Indents - } Ordered that the

Indents be Compared & Countersigned
and transmitted to the Superinten-
dent of Stationery with a re-
quest that the Supplies be
furnished -

Stating

744 Fort St. George 18th January 1841.

From the Collector of Guntoor. Stating that the

(51) Returns of Persons charged with offences and of persons convicted and in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last, are not sent, there being no entry to make.-

" Thos.

" Walter Elliot

" A. Maclean

745 Fort St. Georges 21st January 1841.

Vo. Diary.

At a Meeting Present:

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member

Thursday 21st January 1841.

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre. Temporary Member.

John Sullivan Esqre. Second Member

absent on duty.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract from the Chief Secty. to Government--

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th January 1841.

(1)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 10th December 1840 No. 490 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Authorizes the Collector of Trichinopoly to grant remuneration to the extent

Fort St. George 21st January 1841.

extent of Rupees 792-3-9./ Seven hundred and ninety two annas three and Pice Nine. to Chinnasawmy Pillay for his services in the Sheristah Department in the Cutcherry of that Collectorate.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract./
/ Signed/ Hy. Chamier.
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

To the Collr. of Trichinopoly. Para 1.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 8th October last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that Government under date the 5th Instant, were pleased to grant authority for the disbursement to Chinnasawmy Pillay of Rupees 792. 3-9. for his services in the Sheristah Department.

1-2

Letter 21st Jany 1841.

2.

Copy of the correspondence that has passed with Government on this subject is herewith transmitted for record in

To Govt. 5th Octr. 1840
No of Cons. 18th Jany
2d Dy. To Govt.
18th Decr. 1840.
No of Cons. 5th Jany
21st Jany 1841.

Fort St. George 21st January 1841.

in your Office.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 21st January 1841.

/ Signed/ P. B. Smollett.
Secretary

Read the following

Extracts.

From the Chief Sec. to Govt. under date the 5th Jany 1840.

3

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 19th Novr. 1840 No 4409/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not see any reason for demanding fees from persons renewing Leases for Lands on the Neelagherry Hills, especially as Europeans can now acquire and hold lands without any authority from Government.

/ A true Extract./

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ Signed/ Hy. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Ordered

Order thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing

(354) Extract be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Register of Government Grants.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th January 1841.

Read the following letter.

To The Chief Secretary to Government. Fort St. George.

From the Chief Secy to Government. Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

(5) the receipt of your Despatch in the Revenue Department N° 1398, under date the 17th Instant, and to transmit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the Copy of a letter which I had at the same time the honor of receiving from his highness the Nizam's Minister, intimating the acquiescence of the Nizam's Government in the proposition conveyed in your letter N° 1289 under date the 21st Ultimo.

2. A copy of the Minister's note has been communicated to Captain Gresley who will probably proceed in the course of a few days to the

the Masulipatam Frontier with a view to finally settling the remaining portions of the Boundary disputes as sole Commissioner between the two Governments.

Hydrabad, Residency, 1st Dec. 1840. } Signed J. S. Fraser. Resident.

A

Translation Copy of a Persian Letter from Rajah Chandoo Lall Maharajah Bahadoor dated and received on 21st December 1840 or 26th Shaval 1856. Hejri.

On the 5th of the Shaval 1856 Hejery for 1st December 1840 / I stated in reply to your letter that Captain Gresley was to be appointed to determine the dispute regarding the litigated boundaries of the Company's and Nizam's Territory he being on behalf of the British Government and Mr. Dighton was appointed on behalf of His highness the Nizam. But as Mr. Dighton is much occupied in the affairs of Revenue, Captain Gresley is hereby appointed to make a mature and

and full enquiry into the case regarding the said jurisdictions.

/A true Translation/

/Signed/ C. P. Brown.

Pers.n Trans.r to Govt.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and its accompaniment be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the orders of Government of the 21st November last No. 1289. for their information and guidance and that of the Collector of Masulipatam.

Order thereon.

5-f

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.

Sir,

7

I have the honor to inform you that no cases cognizable under Regulation IX of 1822 having occurred during the 2nd half of the year 1840. the usual return has

not been submitted for that period.

Masulipatam, Zillah, Coll.r Circuit Cutchery, 1 Ellore, 4th January 1841.

/Signed/ P. Grant. Collector.

Order thereon.

7-8

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Coll.r of Ellore.

Sir,

9

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of the death of Syed Meer a Servant of the Mosque at Ruganackpettah who was in the receipt of a Yeomiah of Rupees 4-11-0 per month. This individual died on the 12th December 1837 leaving a widow, two sons, the elder of whom is 9 years old, and a daughter. The widow Baumbee prays that the yeomiah of her late husband may be continued in the name of her elder son Syed Hoossain, and as the required service at the Mosque has been continued to be performed on the part of the widow

widow, by a brother of hers, from the date of the death of her husband, Sheg to recommend that the yearly sum of Rupees 4-11-0. per Month may be accordingly renewed in the name of Syed Hoosain from the date of the death of his father, under the condition that Bannobee continues to have the requisite service performed, till her son is able to undertake the duty himself.

Nellore Collr's Office 30th Decr 1840. } (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse. Principal Collr

Resolution thereon.

(9-10)

Before submitting the foregoing letter for the orders of Government, the Board wish to be informed of the cause of the delay in reporting the death of the late Incumbent Syed meer, which it appears took place so far back as the 12th Decr 1837 and from the circumstance of the family not having for so long a period drawn this Yearly, it is presumed that they have other means of support.

Read

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collr of Bellary

(11)

With reference to the Acting Secretary's Circular letter of the 21st October 1839. I have the honor to forward herewith, in two Separate Packets, Seventeen Books shewing the annual Rents of each Village in every Taluk in this District from fasly 1231 down to fasly 1249

Bellary District. Gooty Collr's Office, on Circuit, 14th Janr 1841. } (Signed) A. Mellor Collr

Order thereon.

(11-12)

Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the following Statement.

Statement

754 (13) Fort St. George 21st January 1841.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold and the wastage on the quantity Stored in the Districts of South Arcot for Fasly 1249.

Names of the Colours or Depôts.	Fasly in which Stored.	Quantity Stored In each Fasly.	Quantity Stored Total.	Quantity Sold.	Wastage Amount of Wastage.	Percentage of Wastage on each Colour fixed by the Collr as per para 18 of the Salt Rules.	Wastage Percentage.	Explanation of the Cause of Wastage.
1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9	
		G m m	G m m	G m m	G m m	G m m		
Southern Dist of Arcot.								
Markanam	1248	1507 204	1831 211	1755 383	75 228	4 - 50 - 3 41/100	12 - 200	The wastage incurred in Fasly 1249 being within the rates formerly fixed in this District, as well as the rates of the preceding year, any explanation regarding the same appear unnecessary.
	1249	324 7						
Hillay	1248	63 211	145 219	142 240	2 379	2 - 10 - 35/100	18 - 300	
	1249	82 8						
Teagavilly	1249	30 "	30 "	28 180	1 220	5 - 66 - 5 33/100	18 - 300	
Cuddalore	"	"	2007 30	1927 3	80 27	3 - 395 - 5 14/100		
Cuddalore	1249	72375	72375	70360	2 15	2 - 317 - 3 15/100	25	
Wastage occurred on the Quantity supplied to the French Government.	"	"	2080 5	1997 363	82 42	3 - 378 - 7 46/100		
Merkanam	"	"			47 234	9 - 134 - 7 4/100	12 - 200	
Cuddalore	"	"		571	5 119	3 - 222 - 1 15/100	25	
				149	52 353			
					134 354			

11 July 1840.

Errors Excepted Jr. /Signed/ W. Ashton. Principal Collector

Ordered to lie over. Statement

or der thereon.

13-14

Fort St. George

21st January 1841

Statement of Charges encurred for Government Bea-
rers employed in the Southern Dn of Arcot in
Fusly 1249 Shewing the Amount of Hire
Collected and the Net Charges

Stations where the Bearers are posted.	Number of Sets at each Station including Mafsalgee and Canedy	Number of Bearers to each set including Mafsalgee and Canedy	Total Number of Bearers to the Sets in Col. 2.	Period during which Employed.	Pay of each set at 5 Rs. each bearer 6½ Rs. for the Head Bearer per Month	Total Amount paid in Fusly 1249.	Amount recovered from those who employed the Bearers.	Net Charges to Government deducting Column 8 from Col. 7	Collectors' Explanation	Bonds	Remarks.	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
					Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.				
Rungafullay Chaultry	2	14	28	From 1st July 1839 to 30th June 1840	78 . .	1,866 15 7	759 4 .	1,107 11 7	The amount of charge herein entered is ex- clusive of Hospitages on account of fines &c. from the Bearers - In the receipt of hire collected on the employment of bearers there is an increase of Rs. 309-3-1 in this year above the last.			
Maryacoopum	2	14	28	Do.	Do. 78 . .	1,860 . .	828 13 .	1,031 3 .				
Ramalinga Poodoo Choultry	2	14	28	Do.	Do. 78 . .	1,857 4 9	677 3 .	1,180 4 9				
Total	6	42	84.			5,584 4 .	2,265 4 .	3,319 3 4				

11th July 1840. E. E.

Fr. / Signed / W. Ashton.
Princ. Collr

Ordered.

750.

15-16

Fort St. George 21st January 1841

Order thereon — Ordered to lie on the Table

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Princ. Coll.r of Madura. Abstract Statement

17 — Shewing the number of persons charged, convicted acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulations IX of 1822 and VIII of 1828 in his District from 1st July to 31st December 1840. distinguishing the classes of persons and classes of their offences as defined by Section II Regulation IX of 1822.

From the Pr. Coll.r of Coimbatore. Submitting an abstract

18 — of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 2nd half year of 1840.

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements — Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when a Statement of the whole be prepared and submitted to Government.

Read

757.

Fort St. George 21st January 1841

Read the following letter.

20 — From the Coll.r and Register of Government Grants

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir, Para 1 — I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue an Application with its enclosures from Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Tulloch C. B. for fresh leases of lands at Ootacamund on the Neelagherries in the Collectorate of Malabar in substitution of Nos. 2/3/92/93. and 94 granted to Mr. Henry Chamier under the authority of Government referred to in the Margin.

25th July 1840. — 2. As the leases to Mr. Chamier have been duly assigned over to Colonel Tulloch, who has purchased the whole of the property thereon described for Rupees 8200. I beg leave to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for my issuing in lieu of them, separate Deeds by way of consent and ratification of the transfer, in the form submitted to the Board on the 19th March 1832. at the same rates of Assessments and subject to the

Fort St. George 21st January 1841.

the same conditions as those originally authorized by Government.

3. The new grants will be forwarded as usual to the acting Principal Collector of the District for registry in his Office and transmission through it to Colonel Tulloch.

Madras, 8th January 1841. } Signed A Robertson.
Collector and Regr of Government Grants.

Resolution thereon.

(20-21) Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter with its original Enclosures be submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Register of Government Grants may be authorized to issue in lieu of the leases granted to Mr. Henry Chamier for land at Atacamund on the Neilgherry Hills assigned over by that Gentleman to Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Tulloch, Separate Deeds by way of renewal and ratification of the transfer in the form referred to in the Board's letter to Govt. dated 23d April 1839.

The new grants to take subject to the same conditions and the same rate of Assessment as those originally authorized.

From

From the Principal Collector of Canara } Read Register of Petitions bearing the Boards endorsements presented to him in the months of October, November and December last

(22) Ordered to be lodged in the Office

Order thereon

(23) Resolved that the following letter be dispatched together with the petition therein referred to.

To The Collector of Sinnevelly &c. &c. &c.

the Collector of Sinnevelly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petitions No. 103 of 1840 and No. 49 of 1841 with a request you will comply with the instructions conveyed in Mr. Secretary Chamier's Endorsements thereon at your earliest convenience.

24 Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 21st January 1841. } I have &c. (Signed) P.B. Smollett. Secretary.

Miscellaneous Letters sent. } Received the undermentioned Statements from

From the Collector of Bellary — } Stating that the Returns of persons charged with offences or confined in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 during the month of December last have not been sent there being no entry to make

(25)

From the Collector of Bellary — } Stating that there are no Persons defaulters confined or released during the Quarter ending 31st December last

(26)

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — } Return of persons in confinement in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for December last

(27)

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — } Reporting that the Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for December last have not been sent, there being no entry to make

(28)

From the Principal Collector of Canara — } Transmitting the monthly Statements of Tobacco seizures and increased

(29)

increased duties and fines, for the months of September and October last and stating that no goods were confiscated during the same period

From the Collector of Madras — } Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for November last

(31)

From the Collector of Madras — } Statement of goods released on payment of Extra duty in the Month of November last

(31)

From the Collector of Madras — } Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for December last

(32)

From the Collector of Madras — } Statement of goods released on payment of extra duty for December last

(33)

Order on the 5 aforementioned Statements — } Ordered that confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods and the levy of the duty enumerated in the aforementioned Statements be authorized

(34-39)

From the Collector of Masulipatam — } Reporting that the Return of

of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for December last have not been sent there being no entry to be made.

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Reporting that the Return of persons convicted under Regulation IX of 1822 for December last have not been sent there being no entry to make.

36

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Stating that no Cases of Peons defaulters or casualties on release of Defaulters have occurred during the quarter ending 31st December last.

37

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indent for Salt Rowanahs required by him for Fusly 1242

38

Order thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

38-39

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indents of Stationary and Sundries required for the use of his Office

40

Office for 1841.

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indent of Stationery required for the use of Sub Collectors Offices for 1841.

41

Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents — Ordered that the Original Indents be compared, countersigned and transmitted to the Superintendent of Stationery with a request that the supply may be furnished.

40-42

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indent for Green Cloth.

43

Order thereon — Ordered that the original Indent be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Clothing Board with a request that the supply be furnished accordingly.

43-44

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for December 1840.

45

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Return of persons on confinement in pursuance of sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for December last.

46

From