


B.N.	From and to whom	Subject	Page
		Cons. 28th July 1859	
2682	From Govt.	On the reduction of the assessment of that District.	13508
2791	From Govt.	On Garden lands in Canara.	"
2350	To Govt.	Forwarding the above	13509
2817	From Messrs Tho. Dela Rue & Co.	Intimating the despatch per Steamer "Ava" of a Package containing samples of Drawing Paper	"
2357	To the Agent S.M.P. Company	Requesting him to deliver to the bearer here of the Package received from Messrs Tho. Dela Rue & Co. of London.	13510
2699	From Salem	Transmitting a Duplicate copy of the Statement called for in an Official Memo.	
2359	Pro: Do.	On the above	13513
2751	From Malabar	Forwarding the Gazetteers corrected &c.	
2360	Pro: Govt.	Returning the Vols. of Thorntons Gazetteer ~~&~~ corrected by the Collector of Malabar.	
2761	From Madura	Advertisement for sale of Lands.	13513
2354	Pro: Do.	Sale authorized	13523

B. N.	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2238	From Madura	Regarding the preparation of the Summabundy accounts	13534
2358	Pro: Do:	On the above	
2809	From Canara	Regarding the importation of Bombay Salt	"
2361	Pro: Govt	Submitting the above	"
2815	From Sea Customs Madras	Delivering over charge of the Sea Custom Office to Mr. H. E. Cochrane	13525
2816	From Sea Customs Madras	Intimating of having taken charge of the Office from Mr. H. E. Underwood	13526
2352	To Sea Customs	Requesting him to furnish a brief account with respect to the conduct of business in the Custom House in certain particulars.	13527
2353	To Nellore	Requesting him to cause the immediate despatch of the statement of the sales of salt.	13528
3629	From Tanjore	Reporting upon the Tariff Land to be given in exchange of Surva Maniem	13534
2379	Pro: Govt	Submitting the above	13538

Cons 29th July 1850

B. N.	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2594	From Govt	Reviewing the transactions of the Salt Department.	13546
		Communicating the above	"
2373	Order Masulipatam	On the pleas put forward by Mr. Wilson	
2752	From Govt	for declining to deliver over charge of the Records in the mode desired by him.	"
		Communicating the above.	"
2376	Order Madras	Referring to the Board of Revenue	
2774	From Govt	a Statement prepared in the form required by the Commissioner for the revision of Civil Establishment.	"
2385	Order Sudr Adalut	On the above	13552
2782	From Govt	Regarding the transmission of the progress in all cases of Torture	"
2368	Pro Bellary	Communicating the above	"
2783	From Govt	Postponing the transfer of the Executive Functions in South Arcot to the Chief Engineers Department	"
2784	From Govt	The postponement of the transfer of Executive Department of the Madura Collectorate to the Engineer	13553
2785	From Govt	Authorizing the nomination of Lieutenant I. M. Campbell of the Engrs to take charge of the Pub. Works Depart in Kurnool	"

Bs. Nos	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2786	From Govt.	Respecting charges incurred in building huts for the detachment of Invalids at Guntoor.	
2787	From Govt	Authorizing the Collector of Bellary to disburse Rupees 420 to Ramiah in commutation of the Tusdeek enjoyed by him for service in the Neelaconta-swari-shswamy Pagoda in that District.	13553
2367	Order Bellary	Communicating the above	13554
2788	From Govt.	Ordering the Publication in 8 successive issues of the Fort St. George Gazette, of the Notification drawn up by the Board explaining the nature and objects of a bequest for a Choultry lately built in the vicinity of the Town of Cuddapah near the Travellers Bungalows.	
2369	Order Cuddapah	Communicating the above	
2789	From Govt.	Directing to furnish the Commissioner of the Hyderabad assigned Territories with copies of all Periodical Reports, Statistical Table, Circular orders and other important Papers.	

Bs. Nos	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2862	From Govt.	Forwarding a Statement in lieu of No. 14 shewing the extent of Cultivation of each species of Crop &c.a	13559
2384	To Govt.	Forwarding the above	
1506	From Tanjore	Survamanieum Report from No. 61 to 72.	13556
2383	Remarks Do.	On the lapsed Enam	13604
1776	From Asst. to the Chief Engineer	Forwarding certain Rain Reports &c.a	13608
2377	To Do.	Returning the above	13609
2339	From Madura	Forwards copies of Decrees in O.S. Nos. 50 and 52 of 1854 on the file of the Sub Court in favor of Government.	13610
2378	Pro. Govt.	Submitting the above	13624
2655	From Guntoor	Forwarding a few spare Copies of a Gazetteer.	
2375	To Do.	Stating the above	13625
2730	From Canara	Informs that the Gazetteers have been corrected.	13626
2380	Pro. Govt.	Returning the Volumes of Thornton's Gazetteer.	13627
2798	From Ganjam	Regarding Schools to be Established in this District	
2365	Pro Do.	On the above	13628

2744 From Kurnool Reporting the despatch of the Gazettes 13629.
2382 Pro Govt. Returning the above "
2721 From N. Arcot Requests to be permitted to discharge the unpaid balance of the 3d Kist of F. 1265 in three Instalments & the arrears in 2 Instts 13630
2370 Pro Do On the above 13631
2770 From Coimbatore Forwards an Advertt for publication in the Fort St George Gazette for Elephants 13632
2364 Pro Do Transmitting the above 13633
2698 From C. Auditor All Bills for Extra Foot Allowce submitted by Subordinate Officers of a Distt to be countersigned by the Collector previous to their being passed in the Audit Office 13634
23381 Circular On the above 13635
2840 From Ganjam Regarding the cotton Belts to the Dolyets of this District 13636
2768 From Chingleput Quantity of Salt shipped to Chittagong on acct of Govt Indent. 13637
2366 Pro Do Requesting the above
2800 From Do Advertisement for the sale of Moolahs 13638
2371 Pro Do Sale Authorized 13640
2842 From N. Arcot Sale of small farms such as Topes & Fisheries have not been shortly observed
2857 From Trichy Application from Captain J. B. Priestley for 10 days leave 13642

2362 Pro Govt Surplus Devastanum Funds remaining in deposit. 13643
2372 To Malayalum Translator Govt Requesting to be favored with abstract Translations of a certain Petition and Collectors order 13646
2363 To Messrs Cammiade Martin & Co Regarding the system of allowing wholesale purchasers salt on Credit
2792 From Govt Regarding the expenditure for the completion of certain works in the Districts of South Arcot and Nellore. 13647

Con 50 Fuly 18

2837 From Govt Sanctg the charges retrenched in the accounts of the Madura Collectorate for Fusly 1263. and also observing the charges with respect to the destitution of the police Peons ought not to have been made without the previous consent of Govt 13649
2393 Order Madura Communicating the above "
2839 From Govt Sanctg the Refund to Sayperumal Pillay and others their Shares of the money held in deposit in the Collr Cutchery &c "
2392 Order Tanjore Coming the above 13650

Bar No	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2819	From A.C. Lucas	Annaji Row having been entertained as Head Gomastah by the Ag Collr of Masulipatam	13650
2371	From Commr for the Revision of Civil Salaries	Duties performed by each of the individuals in the enclosed list	"
2404	To Do	Forwarding a Memo of the duties performed by each Officer in the 1st Estabt	13651
2668	From Nelson	Forwarding Copies of the Pets in the case of the alleged Torture &c	13657
2394	To Do	On the above	13664
2401	Pro to Govt	Subg the case of the alleged Torture	13663
2739	From Gunton	Revision of the Gazetteer	"
2716	From Collr Arcot	To be more punctual in the transmission of their Annual Accts particular for Audit &c	13666
2720	From N Arcot	To withdraw the attachmt of the village of Adoosapolliam & to pay to the Symgaur of the Pagodah the proceeds during the attachmt Ans to Pet 3703	13669
2388	Pro Do	On the above	13683
2760	From Salem	Distruction of old Records	13684
2396	Pro Do	On the above	13688
2780	From Capt Griffin	Land applied for by Capt Griffin	"
2386	Pro Do	On the above	13690

Bar No	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2763	From Chingt	Church property of St Thos Mount	13690
2390	Order Do	On the above	13691
2822	From Tinnevelly	Collr proceeding on Circuit and leaving the Treasury in the hands of the Cutcherry	"
2821	From Nellore	Case of an alleged Torture disposed of by the 1st Assistant Magistrate	13695
2389	To Do	On the above	13696
2400	Pro Govt	Subg the Case of alleged Torture	"
2795	From Chingt	Petn from Shashiah late Peishcar of Choonamboocolum	13697
2405	Order Do	Passing Endorst on the above Petn	13698
2847	From Do	Requesting authority to strike off Petn No 3162 of 1855 from Polay Verasaiyay	13699
2391	Pro Do	Authorizing the above	13701
2399	To Govt	Respecting the inland Choroltry at Cumbum in Madura	"
2397	To 1st Asst	Increase of Salary of Gnany Reddy	13702
2387	Circular	Requesting to dispose of Petns in arrears on the file and to cause it to be notified that Petns should be first presented to the Collr &c before sending it to them	"
2395	To Canara	Purchase of the Buffalo bulls	13703
2715	From Govt	On the Manufacture and Sale of & Tax upon Salt in British India	13704
1671	From Bengal	Stationery Indent	13704
1692	" Chingt		
1691	" Tinnevelly		
1693	" Madura		
1681	" Tanjore		

Bdle	From and to whom	Subject	Page
		Coast 31st July 1857	
2515	From Govt	Conveying the sentiments of Govt in respect to the lodgments of the Securities of disqualified Proprs under the Court of Wards and also to the officer by whom the interest thereon should be drawn and wherein deposited	
2417	To Hont Co Collr	On the above	13707
2418	To Madras Bank	Respecting the deposit in the Bank of the interest drawn upon the Govt Securities belonging to Minor Estates under the Court of Wards &	13709
2709	From Govt	Referring to the 13th for a report a Memorial from Ruttchand Nathoo Umbalagur of Arcopoor Cutcherry in Madura &c	13710
2409	To Do	On the above	13711
2854	From Do	The dispersal of condemned Black Leather and Buff accoutrements	"
2412	Pro to all Collrs	On the above	13712
2593	From Examr	Remission of 2 Crop Rent levied on Sugar Cane and other Cultivation	"
2416	Pro Do	On the above	13713
1345	From Vizgt	Stocks of Salt Manufactured & Sold	13733
2408	To Govt	Subg a Letter from the Sister of Christianity Pl 325.3 and requesting the Govt to solicit to move the Supreme Court to issue an order to restore back and place that Amt to the Credit of Mainaling Budge Budge Cherity Trust	13735
			13737

Bdle	From and to whom	Subject	Page
2636	From Malabar	Reportg on the conduct of Shashagiri Tahsildar of Cherical	13738
2406	Pro Do	On the above	13753
2707	From Masulipo	Requestg to obtain the Sanction of Govt for debiting under the Head of Extra Peon Charges Pr 3219 already credited to the Head of Extra Peon Charges	13755
2403	Pro Govt	On the above	13756
2704	From Nellore	Reportg on Petn No 368 of 56 from Venkataramachary bearing the Endorsts	13757
2402	Pro Govt	On the above	13758
2737	From Chingt	Forwarding the Returns called for by Parliament relative to the number of native Servants Employed &c in this district in 1813-1833. 1853	13759
2826	From Malabr	Certain alterations to be made in his Indent for Stationery &c	13763
2411	Order Mslp Bd	On the above	13764
2831	From Nellore	Sale of Lands for arrears of Peshcush	13765
2407	Pro Do	Sale Authorized	13768
2866	From Tanjore	Reportg on Petn No 1089 of 1856	13769
2419	Pro Do	On the above	13775
2873	From Madura	Reportg on 15th Petn No 2943 of 1855 and 6975 of 1856	13777
2421	Pro Do	On the above	13779
2825	From N Arcot	Negligence evinced by certain Tahsildars in the important matter of serving notices on Peshcush defaulters	13780
2414	To Do	On the above	13785

819	855	From Ft St George	The incompetency of Gopaulcharry to discharge his duties as Mr of Office and suggests that his retirement on a Pension be enforced		13788
237	2423	Pro	Do	On the above	
	2811	From Govt	Forwarding a design for a Silver Medal intended to be presented to Commissioner Chetty		13803
24	2410	Pro.	Do	On the above	13804
	2856	From Mr Ast	Mr Morison requests that the Complaint lodged by him against Annee Vencatasy Modely and Narsoo Chetty may be cancelled		
23	2415	Pro	Do	On the Above	13805
	2865	From Mr Customs	Admission of Machinery free of duty		13806
	2413	To	Do	On the above	
	2429	To	Govt	Relative to the Subject of the Forests that are likely to come into existence for the neighbourhood of Pooney Station	1381
	2838	From	Do	Works proposed to be entered in the Budget	13812
					13813

13508

Fort St. George 28th July 1856.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Monday the 28th July 1856.

Read the following Papers

Bd No 2682
from the Secretary
to Government
Revenue

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 15th July 1856.

Reviewing the whole plan of the revised rates proposed by the Collector of North Arcot in connection with the reduction of the assessment of that District, and desiring the Collector to submit a revised scheme at an early date in accordance with the instructions herein laid down.

(See No 731 in Separate Volume) P. 122

1213-14

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Bd No 2791
From the Secretary
to Government
1215

Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue is requested to cause the undermentioned papers to be sent up on the subject of garden lands of Canara.

From the Collector of Canara 12th February in Cons 28th April 1853 with Enclosure

From the Collector of Canara 8th June 1863 in Cons 13th February 1854 with Enclosures.

Fort St. George
24th July 1856

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

Ordered

13509 Fort St. George 28th July 1856.

(1215-16)

Bd No. 2350
To the Secretary
to Government
Revenue Dept.

Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent in reply.—

Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Revenues & Secretary to Government the 2 letters from the Collector of Canara applied for by Mr. memorandum of the 24th Instant.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th July 1856.....

Signed G. S. Forbes
Secretary

(1217)

Bd No. 2817
From Messrs Thos Dela Rue & Co London

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

Referring to our respects of the 25th April we beg to acquaint you that we have forwarded per Steamer "Ava" to your address a package containing Samples of drawing papers prepared in the manner described by us and which we believe will fully answer to your description of the papers required for the public records of India, we are however engaged in making other experiments the result of which we will communicate by next mail and we shall then be able to quote the prices at which we will undertake to supply the papers required.

London 110 Bunhill Row
23d June 1856.....

Signed Thos Dela Rue & Co
London

Order thereon

(1218)

Ordered to be recorded. The Board will await the receipt of the further communication promised by Messrs Dela Rue & Co

13510 Fort St. George 28th July 1856

(1217-19)

Bd No. 2357
To the Agent P and O
S. N. Company...
F. F. Dela Rue & Co
London
23d June in Cons
28th July 1856
No. 2817

Ordered also that the following Official Memorandum be sent—

Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request the Agent of the P and O. Steam Company will be pleased to deliver to the Bearer a package containing samples of Drawing paper forwarded to his address by Messrs Thos Dela Rue and Co of London.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th July 1856.....

Signed G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No. 81

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1220)

Bd No. 2699
From the Collector
of Salem....

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum dated 8th Instant No. 2088 requesting me to transmit a duplicate copy of the Statement alluded to in para 2 of my letter dated 21st Ultimo I have the honor to forward a duplicate Copy of the Statement as requested

Salem.....
Collectors Office
Salem
15th July 1856

Signed W. A. Brett
Collector

Statement

13511

Statement Shewing the Particulars of certain Lands put up for sale the 18th February 1856.-

Name of the Talook	Name of the Village	Name of the Proprietors	Shistics	Amount of arrears due	Amount recovered in the course of this Statement	Balance due to Government	No.	Particulars Name of fields
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Yaloor	Palanee yanda Chelluppen Pulle Rungen		1264	12 4 "	2 12 "	9 8 "	125	Mooroong
Vriesherg Yergode	Shathiengar putty	Rungapie Naiken	1264	22 2 6	" " "	22 2 6	125	Monol
							55	Eeachum
	Pullepatty	Chemba Gounden	1264	11 9 8 " " "		11 9 8	129	Sheyagap gathottup As Nunjar grass re
	Total			46 " 2 2 12 "		43 4 2 "		

Fort St. George 28th July 1856

On account of arrears of Revenue agreeably to the orders contained in an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated

List of lands put up for sale.

the	Taluk	Dusfasmund	Estimated value	Name of the purchaser	Purchase money	amount to be credited on account Balance principal	Interest	Total	arrears remaining after crediting the sale proceeds to the head of Balance	Net proceeds to be paid to the late Proprietor	Particulars of Column 14 Amount deposited on the day of sale	Amount received on the Taluk within 30 days	Remarks
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Tamadoo Nunjah	1 27 2	21 " "	12 4 "	Kistnasamien	12 12 "	9 8 "	1 5 2	10 13 2 " " "	1 14 10	2 " "	10	12 "	This land was sold for Rupees 12-12- from which sum after deducting the balance of arrears and interest there remains a surplus balance of Rs. 1-14-10- to be paid to the defaulter.
Chacanam Punjah	9 26 15	23 8 "	2 " "	Pitchecoitta Iyen	22 " "	19 6 8							This land was sold for Rupees 22- " " after deducting the balance of arrears and interest thereon a balance of Rupees 2-11-10- is due from the defaulter
Pullum Poolo Punjah	6 5 25 11	1 10 6	7 " "				2 9 4	22 " "	2 11 10	" " "	3 4 "	18 12 "	
	15 12 10	25 2 6	9 " "										
Chay Poolo	13 12	5 6 11											These lands were first sold to Pitchecootien for Rupees 11-12- " He had paid a deposit of 1 3/4 Rs but having failed to pay up the remainder within the prescribed time of 30 days the deposit money was credited to Sevoy Summah and the land sold a second time for 12 7/16 Rupees. After crediting the purchase money inclusive of the increase of 8 annas at the second sale to the balance of arrears and interest thereon there remains a balance of 12 Annas due from the defaulter
Pullum including Ditto	1 13 12	6 2 9	11 8 "	Veerasamiah	12 4 "	10 13 8	1 6 4	12 4 "	12 " "	" " "	" "	12 4 "	
	1 27 8	11 9 8	11 8 "										
	18 27 4	57 12 2	32 12 "		47 " "	39 12 4	5 4 10	45 1 2 3 7/10	1 14 10	5 4 "	41 12 "		

Salem Collectors Office
11th June 1856.

E. E. Fs

(Signed) W. A. Brett
Collector

Proceedings

13512

Bd. No. 2359
Proceedings thereon.
(220-21)

Fort St. George 28th July 1856

The Board of Revenue confirm the sale of the lands alluded to in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter and authorize the Collector after carrying to the credit of Government the amount of arrears against the late Proprietors to disburse to P y Chelluper and another the remaining sale proceeds due to them

To

No 76.

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1222

Bd. No. 2761

From the Collector of Malabar ... } Sir.

In compliance with your letter of the 8th Instant. I have the honor to forward the Gazetteer corrected as far as the means in my power have enabled me to do so.

Calicut ..... } /Signed/ J. Clarke
15th July 1856 } Collector

Bd. No. 2360
Proceedings thereon
(222-23)

Resolved that the letter recorded above with the copy of Shornton's Gazetteer therein referred to be submitted to Government with reference to Extract Mins. of Consultation 15th August 1855 No. 1122.

In Cr. 4th Sept. 1856

13513 Fort Saint George 28th July 1856

No. 158

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1224

Bd. No. 2761

From the Collr of Madura. } Sir

I have the honor to forward for publication in the Board's Office an Advertisement for sale of Lands in satisfaction of arrears of Revenue of Fusly 1264 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1560-14

Madura } /Signed/ R. D. Parker
16th July 1856 } Collector

Statement

1354

Fort Saint George 28th July 1856

Statement of the Lands to be sold

Defaulters name	Description of the land				Fields					Remarks
	Talook	Village	Whether Nunjah or Punjah	No.	Name	Extent	Assessment	Amount exclusive of arrears payable for Current Fasly		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8		9
						Cans	A	Rs A P		Rs A P
S. Mattoosaumy Pillay	Madeedum	Mynagherry	Nunjah	219	Callootheduk	"	1	" 6 4		" 6 4
Cullamoolee	Do	Do	Do	3	Valleechay goondoo	"	1/2	" 2 6		" 2 6
Do Mootha coan	Do	Do	Do	133	Colletheerootheet	"	3/4	" 3 10		" 3 10
Mootkumpatty Peria Caroopa	Do	Do	Do	43	Maroothykel	"	1/4	" 1 7		" 1 7
Mootoocaroopen	Do	Do	Do	113	Pareyavalavoo Peechey	"	1/2	" 3 2		" 3 2
Lalacaroopen	Do	Do	Do	28	Padavirthee ayen Heel poorum	"	1/2	" 3 2		" 3 2
Narikalaka Iyanum	Do	Do	Do	71	Canakim chey	"	1/2	" 3 2		" 3 2

Cootten	Do	Do	Do	95	Monanthar	"	½	"	27	"	27	
				47	Pottulgoondoo							
Somasoondrum Pillay	Do	Do	Do	198	Timul Naiko Tittady	"	½	"	26	"	26	
Micka Pichen	Do	Do	Poonjah	1	Poonjah	"	½	"	22	"	22	
C. Sinamootoo Pillay	Do	Do	Nunjah	226	Perumboke goondoo	"	1	"	18	"	18	
K Posary Sawoo Rum	Do	Do	Do	163	Kiramboteroothul	"	½	"	32	"	32	
N. Mootto caroopen	Do	Do	Do	38	Nathungal	"	½	"	17	"	17	
Sappany	Do	Do	Do	50	Pounakala Rum	"	½	"	32	"	32	
Pinna Moodoo Kistnum	Do	Do	Do	46	Alagacoodoomlum	"	½	"	32	"	32	
Vickra Pondia Butter & others	Do	Do	Do	272	Perumboke goondoo	"	¼	"	13	"	13	
P Lutchume Naraniengar	Do	Do	Do	136	Vadakoo Rootheeray Rothie	"	1½	"	96	"	96	
Kulkody Sooba Coan	Do	Do	Do	23	Umbalathady	"	½	"	21	"	21	
V Mootto Caroopen	Do	Do	Do	243	Nathungaul Pattalay	"	½	"	32	"	32	
Sittrum Pillay	Do	Do	Do	238	Pepper Oday	"	½	"	26	"	26	
V. Mottarasen	Do	Do	Do	222	K. Moothedul	"	½	"	27	"	27	
Mootho Pillay	Do	Do	Do	178	Kendanthaur	"	1	"	51	"	51	
Chinniah Coan	Do	Do	Do	143	Padoorhie Mady	"	½	"	26	"	26	
Moottayen chetty	Do	Do	Do	130	Poothoovaitto therootheal	"	½	"	27	"	27	
Kylasum Pillay	Do	Do	Do	231	Chinnamarootheadee	"	½	"	32	"	32	
Chinniasawmy Butter	Do	Do	Do	178	Pendanthaur	"	½	"	26	"	26	
					Total	"	15¾	5	33	5	33	
Pannien	Madacolum	Hothundarma poorum	Poonjah	3	Manvady Poonjah	"	¾	"	16	"	16	
Pagoovien Pamasomasee Iyen	Do	Do	Nunjah Do	23	Topoo chey	"	4½	1	31	1	31	
				10	Topoo chey	"	2	1	1	1	1	"
					Total	"	7¼	2	57	2	57	
In the Name of Coan = dara rajamoorthee Sawmy	Madda = colum	Polacoor = chee	Nunjah	48	Kalathadee	"	4¾	1	92	1	92	
				17	Kalathadee	"	¼	"	14	"	14	
				373	Kalapan chey	"	2¼	1	" 9	1	" 9	
Moottocaroopa Pillay & others	Do	Do	Do	64	Cullady	"	2¼	1	31	1	31	
				460	Maittoomaday	"	4	1	52	1	52	
				291	Valakattay	"	4	1	13 8	1	13 8	
Chinnacaroopa Pillay Chinna Narana Pillay Chinna Mootto Pillay	Do	Do	Do	196	Yellapooram	"	7¼	3	5 10	3	5 10	
				113	Nattungaul	"	7	3	11 5	3	11 5	
				322	Aulady	"	7	3	11 5	3	11 5	
				155	Navalady	"	1	"	8 6	"	8 6	
				113	Nattungaul	"	7	3	11 5	3	11 5	

Friday dank Hymn 28th July 1826

Mr. Hanson	Mill	Yeo.	155	Meadow	"	2	1	1	"	1	"
Geo. Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	115	Allington	"	2	1	1	"	1	1
Mr. Geo. Mills	Yeo	Yeo.	196	Hollingbourn	"	1/2	"	0	8	"	0	8
			228	Allington Oaths	"	4	2	2	"	2	2
			176	Tokenhouse	"	4	1	1	"	1	1
			198	Hoathyate	"	4	2	1	11	2	1
Dorothy	Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	160	Mote Meadow	"	4	1	5	3	1	5
				198	Hollingbourn	"	3	1	6	3	1	6
				111	Allington	"	4	1	9	5	1	9
				528	Hollingbourn	"	7 1/2	3	7	8	3	7
Mrs.	Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	113	Hollingbourn	"	11	7	6	9	7	6
Wm.	Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	19	Oast Field	"	2	1	1	"	1	1
				130	Hoathyate	"	2	1	1	"	1	1
Mr.	Hollingbourn	Yeo.	Yeo.	118	Hoathyate	"	4	2	2	"	2	2
Hannah	Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	21	Oast Field	"	11	7	6	9	7	6
Mrs. Hollingbourn		Yeo.	Yeo.	192	Hoathyate	"	3	1	6	3	1	6
Hollingbourn Meadow		Yeo.	Yeo.	5	Hoathyate	"	4	1	11	2	1	11
Hollingbourn Meadow		Yeo.	Yeo.	126	Hoathyate	"	2	1	1	"	1	1
Catherine Meadow		Yeo.	Yeo.	233	Hollingbourn	"	4	2	2	"	2	2
Stationer Meadow		Yeo.	Yeo.	226	Oast	"	2	1	1	"	1	1
Hollingbourn Meadow		Yeo.	Yeo.	192	Hoathyate	"	3	1	6	3	1	6
Hollingbourn Meadow		Yeo.	Yeo.	252	Allington Oaths	"	13 1/2	6	12	2	6	12
				12	Allington Oaths	"	6 1/2	2	2	6	2	2
Mr. Hanson	Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	12	Hoathyate	"	2	1	1	"	1	1
Mr. Hollingbourn	Mills	Yeo.	Yeo.	12	Hoathyate	"	2	1	1	"	1	1

1516 — Fifth Court Quarter 28th July 1836

Symmetanye Mesley	Ge.	Ge	Ge	149	Fl. Fl. Rawethune	"	2	1	1	1	1
Glenisle Ville	Ge	Ge	Ge	144	Flawel Glenquadville	"	2	1	1	1	1
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	27	Felle Cleanethurn	"	9	4	12	3	4
				Ge							
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	64	Oxnate	"	1/2	"	"	10
Glenisle Fields Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	616	Mellcourt	"	5	1	13	10	1	13	10
								4						
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	119	Glenquadrate	"	3	1	9	3	1	9	3
				Pennk	28	Pennk	"	2½	"	4	8	"	4	8
Fenwin Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Tylvate	"	7½	"	11	10	"	11	10
				Nunk	195	Oxly	"	1¾	"	13	1	"	13	1
R. Fleetcote Ringe Mesley				Ge	187	Decemberlee	"	lb	1	9	3	1	9	3
Glenisle Mesley	Ge	Ge		Pennk	48	Flatlate	"	9½	1	"	8	1	"	8
Pennk Downe Mesley	Ge	Ge		Close	Fee		"	"	"	51	"	"	31	1
Mellcourt Pennk	Ge	Ge		Fenwin	Fee		"	"	"	35	"	"	35	
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	2	Ge			"	"	"	69	"	"	69	
Glenisle Pennk	Ge	Ge	Pennk	32	Glendenmore		"	3/4	"	1	8	"	1	8
Symmetanye Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Mellcourt Pennk Downe		"	1/4	"	"	3	"	"	3

Pennk	33	Quarmetenore Mate				"	3/4	"	1	3	"	1	3
Pen Pellum mellcourt	Ge					"	3/4	"	1	3	"	1	3
						2	"	3	2	"	3	2	
		Ge	37		Ge								
						"	3/4	"	1	6	"	1	6
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Nunk	112	Pen Glen Glenisle	"	4	2	1	11	2	1	11
Symmetanye Pellum	Ge	Ge	Pennk	50	Glendenmore	"	1/2	"	1	1	"	1	1
Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	149	Oxnate	"	3/4	"	1	6	"	1	6
Glenisle Pellum			Nunk	81	Pelle Glen Fields	"	8	3	2	11	3	2	11
			Ge	115	Fellcourt	"	3	1	9	3	1	9	3
			Pennk	28	Pennk	"	2½	"	4	8	"	4	8
Fenwin Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Tylvate	"	7½	"	11	10	"	11	10
			Nunk	195	Oxly	"	1¾	"	13	1	"	13	1
			Ge	187	Decemberlee	"	lb	1	9	3	1	9	3
Glenisle Mesley	Ge	Ge	Pennk	48	Flatlate	"	9½	1	"	8	1	"	8
Pennk Downe Mesley	Ge	Ge	Close	Fee		"	"	"	51	"	"	31	1
Mellcourt Pennk	Ge	Ge	Fenwin	Fee		"	"	"	35	"	"	35	
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	2	Ge		"	"	"	69	"	"	69	
Glenisle Pennk	Ge	Ge	Pennk	32	Glendenmore	"	3/4	"	1	8	"	1	8
Symmetanye Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Mellcourt Pennk Downe	"	1/4	"	"	3	"	"	3
Symmetanye Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	149	Pen Glen Glenisle	"	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	144	Flawel Glenquadville	"	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	27	Felle Cleanethurn	"	9	4	12	3	4	12	3
			Ge										
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	64	Oxnate	"	1/2	"	"	10	"	"	10
Glenisle Fields Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	616	Mellcourt	"	5	1	13	10	1	13	10
							4							
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	119	Glenquadrate	"	8½	3	6	1	3	6	1
				Pennk	28	Pennk	"	2½	"	4	8	"	4	8
Fenwin Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Tylvate	"	7½	"	11	10	"	11	10	
			Nunk	195	Oxly	"	1¾	"	13	1	"	13	1	
			Ge	187	Decemberlee	"	lb	1	9	3	1	9	3	
Glenisle Mesley	Ge	Ge	Pennk	48	Flatlate	"	9½	1	"	8	1	"	8	
Pennk Downe Mesley	Ge	Ge	Close	Fee		"	"	"	51	"	"	31	1	
Mellcourt Pennk	Ge	Ge	Fenwin	Fee		"	"	"	35	"	"	35		
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	2	Ge		"	"	"	69	"	"	69		
Glenisle Pennk	Ge	Ge	Pennk	32	Glendenmore	"	3/4	"	1	8	"	1	8	
Symmetanye Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Mellcourt Pennk Downe	"	1/4	"	"	3	"	"	3	
Symmetanye Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	149	Pen Glen Glenisle	"	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	144	Flawel Glenquadville	"	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	27	Felle Cleanethurn	"	9	4	12	3	4	12	3	
			Ge											
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	64	Oxnate	"	1/2	"	"	10	"	"	10
Glenisle Fields Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	616	Mellcourt	"	5	1	13	10	1	13	10
							4							
Mellcourt Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	Pennk	119	Glenquadrate	"	8½	3	6	1	3	6	1
				Pennk	28	Pennk	"	2½	"	4	8	"	4	8
Fenwin Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Tylvate	"	7½	"	11	10	"	11	10	
			Nunk	195	Oxly	"	1¾	"	13	1	"	13	1	
			Ge	187	Decemberlee	"	lb	1	9	3	1	9	3	
Glenisle Mesley	Ge	Ge	Pennk	48	Flatlate	"	9½	1	"	8	1	"	8	
Pennk Downe Mesley	Ge	Ge	Close	Fee		"	"	"	51	"	"	31	1	
Mellcourt Pennk	Ge	Ge	Fenwin	Fee		"	"	"	35	"	"	35		
Glenisle Mellcourt	Ge	Ge	2	Ge		"	"	"	69	"	"	69		
Glenisle Pennk	Ge	Ge	Pennk	32	Glendenmore	"	3/4	"	1	8	"	1	8	
Symmetanye Mesley	Ge	Ge	Ge	39	Mellcourt Pennk Downe	"	1/4	"	"	3	"	"	3	

Thursday, 28th July 1836

Thomas Meadows	Clay	Ely	64	Ouse	"	¼	"	3	"
Isaac Cobbold	Stoke	Ely	34	Ouse	"	½	"	9	"
Ellen Hemingway	Clay	Ely	34	Ouse	"	¼	"	2	"
Ann Cobbold	Clay	Ely	44	Ouse	"	¼	"	4	"
Rhoda Cambell	Clay	Ely	37	Ouse	"	½	"	2	7
Rebecca Brown	Clay	Ely	Stanch	Tyler	Clay	1	7	5	6
Ellen Bellamy	Clay	Ely	Stanch	Ho	Ouse	"	¼	"	9
					Total	20	16¾	142	14

Richard Brown	Clay	Ely	Stanch	Barge	Clay	"	3¼	1	3	1
Hannah	Clay	Ely	314	Twelve	Tyler	"	12½	"	6	8
Joseph	Clay	Ely	334	Prime	Clay	"	3¼	1	1	10
Isaac Cobbold	Clay	Ely	312	Ecclesiastic	Clay	"	3	2	2	4
Joseph Robinson	Clay	Ely	314	Ouse		"	2	"	7	8
Joseph Cambridge	Clay	Ely	343	Twenty	Tyler	"	6¼	1	7	10
Thomas Brown	Clay	Ely	321	Halfway	Stacher	"	2	"	7	8
Joseph Hemingway	Clay	Ely	191	Ecclesiastic		"	8	5	11	8
Thomas Clay	Ely	Ely	214	Ouse		"	2	"	7	8
Samuel Meadows	Clay	Ely	217	Ecclesiastic		"	2	"	10	2
Hannah	Clay	Ely	307	Ecclesiastic		"	3	"	11	5
Cobbold	Hemingway	Clay	Ely	107	Ouse	Clay	"	2	"	7	8
Hannah	Clay	Ely	258	Ecclesiastic		"	1¼	"	14	4
Jeremiah	Clay	Ely	236	Ecclesiastic	Ouse	"	2	"	7	8
Hannah	Clay	Ely	235	Ecclesiastic	Tyler	"	1¼	1	12	1
Joseph	Clay	Ely	171	Private	Clay	"	1¾	1	14	"
Thomas	Clay	Ely	320	Ecclesiastic		"	2	"	7	8
Coldham	Clay	Ely	282	Ecclesiastic		"	2	"	10	2
Benjamin	Hemingway	Clay	Ely	314	Ouse		"	12¼	2	15
Ecclesiastic	Hemingway	Clay	Ely	312	Ecclesiastic		"	2	"	7	8
Allen	Clay	Ely	329	Ouse		"	5	1	9	6
Isaac	Clay	Ely	173	Private	Clay	"	11¼	8	"	16
Thomas	Clay	Ely	275	Ecclesiastic	Ouse	"	4	"	15	3
Hannah	Clay	Ely	223	Ecclesiastic	Ouse	"	4½	3	3	6
Thomas	Clay	Ely	271	Private	Clay	"	5½	1	5	"
Isaac	Hemingway	Clay	Ely	269	Ouse		"	2	"	7	8
Joseph	Hemingway	Clay	Ely	306	Ecclesiastic		"	2	"	7	8
Isaac	Clay	Ely	Ely	291	Ecclesiastic		"	7	8	"	7

Fort St. George 28th July 1856

Vitta Mottoocaroopa Pillay	Do	Do	Do	328	Poovarasady	"	3	"	11	5	"	11 5
C. Mottoocaroopa Pillay	Do	Do	Do	68	Cutteya carreu	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
Tirmann Sola Pillay	Do	Do	Do	46	Hulladdee	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
C. Veerabudra Pillay	Do	Do	Do	339	Oottia Talay	"	4	1	4	4	1	4 4
Vanungamoodeya Pillay	Do	Do	Do	241	Poosane Cuttay	"	4	2	3	8	2	3 8
Perea Mootoo Sokalingom Pillay	Do	Do	Do	276	Oday	"	4	1	4	4	1	4 4
M. Mottoocamatcheya Pillay	Do	Do	Do	268	Sookanpully	"	2	"	7	8	"	7 8
Sengarem Pillay	Do	Do	Do	317	Canaiken Chey	"	2	"	7	8	"	7 8
Cavarieya Pillay	Do	Do	Do	254	Narasingum	"	1¼	"	14	4	"	14 4
H. Sorbah Pillay	Do	Do	Do	49	Pillayar Koil chey	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
W. Veroomaul Pillay	Do	Do	Do	361	Olaketha tay	"	4	"	15	3	"	15 3
Solayalagoo Sokoo Pillay	Do	Do	Do	234	Cavarieyapen	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
M. Veroomaloo	Do	Do	Do	185	Cara Oorum	"	1½	1	1	3	1	1 2
Sorbah Naick Sooben	Do	Do	Do	102	Vareyon Oday	"	8	3	2	11	3	2 11
Sorbaraya Pillay Yaramaty Sorbaraya Pillay	Do	Do	Do	811	Calooyee Maloo	"	8	3	10	1	3	13 1
Sorbramanaya Moodelay	Do	Do	Do	828	Chinnacolum	"	2	1	1	10	1	1 10
P. Alagherry Naicken	Do	Do	Do	83	Moodungum	"	6¾	2	10	11	2	10 11
Veerappa Naicken	Do	Do	Do	41	Pattal Chey	"	2	1	4	4	1	4 4
Nagummaul	Do	Do	Do	17	Vadagoonatham chey	"	3	2	2	5	2	2 5
Chinna Pengappa Naick	Do	Do	Do	47	Mottoovair Talay	"	2	1	9	6	1	9 6
V. Ramasawmy Naick	Do	Do	Do	159	Toola Kackeeuttem	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
Vailappa Naicken	Do	Do	Do	44	Odachey	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
Valagooroovapa Naick	Do	Do	Do	20	Sakeleyen Chey	"	2	"	12	9	"	12 9
Vala Vencatasawmy Naicken	Do	Do	Do	20	Do	"	2	"	12	9	"	12 9
Veroomaul Naick	Do	Do	Do	820	Valacuttay	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
Davaree Naicken	Do	Do	Do	985	Naranum Topey	"	2¾	1	8	6	1	8 6
Chinna Naicken	Do	Do	Do	24	Vettoocuttay	"	2	1	4	4	1	4 4
Chinna timbee Naicken	Do	Do	Do	23	Do	"	2	1	6	11	1	6 11
Pengappa Naicken Do	Do	Do	Do	3	Manul Chey	"	4	1	9	6	1	9 6
C. Yerosla Pillay	Do	Do	Do	823	Kodangee chey	"	1½	1	1	2	1	1 2
Pulla Meelavan	Do	Do	Do	53	Tandavum chey	1	4	11	7	3	11	7 3
Pulla Cattahn	Do	Do	Do	489	Nimymeedhee	"	1	"	10	2	"	10 2
Pulla Mayandy	Do	Do	Do	834	Chinnacolum	"	2	1	4	4	1	4 4

13518 Fort Saint George 28th July 1856

13519 Fort Saint George 28th July 1856

K. Soondrum Pillay	Do	Do	Do	11	Pandian chey	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
V. Sabanayandia Pillay	Do	Do	Do	241	Poosane Cuttay	"	2	1 1 10	1 1 10	
Sotty Sotty	Do	Do	Do	280	Nunjah	"	2 3/4	" 10 6	" 10 6	
yairsady Pillay	Do	Do	Do	171	Amalee chey	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Namasevagum Pillay	Do	Do	Do	355	Adeyarkoo Mullah	"	2	" 10 2	" 10 2	
P. Perumaul Naick	Do	Do	Do	102	Caule	"	2	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Caule Pucken	Do	Do	Do	115	Poothatee	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Singara Pillay	Do	Do	Do	242	Poosany Cuttay	"	2	1 4 4	1 4 4	
Pareyasawmy Pillay	Do	Do	Do	355	Adeyanookoo Mullan	"	2	" 10 2	" 10 2	
Chinna Pillay	Do	Do	Do	156	Coondany Moorechan	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
M. Yerroothandy Pillay	Do	Do	Do	314	Cungoo Pillay	"	1	" 5 1	" 5 1	
N. Kuppah	Do	Do	Do	42	Calattadee	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
V. Sonbaidar Pillay	Do	Do	Do	355	Adeyanookoo Nullan	"	2 1/2	" 12 9	" 12 9	
P. Worookalee Vellayoodom Pillay	Do	Do	Do	270	Poolookhachee Vellan	"	2	" 10 2	" 10 2	
Deramoottia Pillay	Do	Do	Do	397	Karattoo Salay	"	2	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Sevendhee Veerana Pillay	Do	Do	Do	170	Aunelee chee	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Aroonachala Moodaly	Do	Do	Do	882	Cunnappah Maisry Pirttul	"	2	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Vengatta pathy Naick	Do	Do	Do	33	Oodaiy chey	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Somoo Pillay	Do	Do	Do	136	Rongana Mady	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Police Soobah Pillay	Do	Do	Poonjah Garden	185	Poonjah	"	2 1/4	" 9 6	" 9 6	
Ka Sadia Pillay	Do	Do	Nunjah	16	Pandian chey	"	7 3/4	5 8 9	5 8 9	
S. Pereyasawmy a Pillay	Do	Do	Do	246	Sanam Salay	"	2	1 4 4	1 4 4	
K. Permaul Pillay	Do	Do	Do	130	Aunymavootten	"	4	2 8 9	2 8 9	
Canitoyee Coan	Do	Do	Do	162	Cullacuttayen	"	2	1 4 4	1 4 4	
Pottee Naicken	Do	Do	Do	170	Pickapillay Oody	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Chinna Mootia Pillay	Do	Do	Do	167	Varakunkuttay	"	2 1/4	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Chinna Veerana Pillay	Do	Do	Do	391	Coottal Mookoondon	"	2	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Iyah Cunnoo Pillay	Do	Do	Do	882	Caulvoyee	"	9	2 2 4	2 2 4	
Alagherry Sawmy Naick	Do	Do	Poonjah	186	Do	"	8	" 15 3	" 15 3	
Ringeleenadha Pillay Vynava Pillay	Do	Do	Nunjah	279	Foolooliachee Vullan	"	4	1 4 4	1 4 4	
Yourvandy Woothandy	Do	Do	Poonjah	45	Munday Poonjah	"	6 3/4	" 13 7	" 13 7	
Canatcheeya Pillay										
Wothundy Mareyappa Pillay	Do	Do	Do	46	Do	"	4 1/4	" 8 3	" 8 3	

13518

13520

Fort St. George 28th July 1856.

Matta Ramoo Pillay	D°	D°	D°	3	Canjoor Raidoo	"	6	" 11 11	" 11 11	
Pallecooda Than	D°	D°	D°	197	Caulooyee	"	1 1	1 8 10	1 8 10	
Maureyaspen	D°	D°	D°	31	Munday Poonjah	"	3	" 6	" 6	
Mootoosawmy										
Vala Mootteroolandy	D°	D°	Nunjah	902	Sonay Tirttul	"	4	1 4 4	1 4 4	
Sreenevasa Naicken	D°	D°	Nunjah Garden	888	Tospoo	"	2	1 3 1	1 3 1	
Sutier Apparoo Pillay on behalf of Pallecoody Sarapha Naicken	D°	D°	Poonjah Garden	178	Dº	"	3/2	" 15 3	" 15 3	
Senengeyamum	D°	D°	D°	219	Dº	"	6 3/4	2 3 8	2 3 8	
Vaithyar Ramaliya Pillay	D°	D°	D°	229	Dº	"	1 3/4	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Mootteeraspen	D°	D°	D°	229	Tospoo	"	3 3/4	1 1 2	1 1 2	
Comurkhan Saib	D°	D°	D°	229	Dº	"	1 3/4	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Moyeedheen allee Saib	D°	D°	D°	229	Dº	"	8 3/4	2 6 2	2 6 2	
Maniakarasagan Pillay	D°	D°	Nunjah	185	Nunjah	"	4	1 9 6	1 9 6	
Gem Venatoramen Chetty	D°	D°	Poonjah Garden	169	Poonjah	"	2 1/4	" 13 4	" 13 4	
Vencharneya Saib	D°	D°	Nunjah	142	Vongaramady	"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11	
Peerzeetha Abdulkhadur Saib	D°	D°	Poonjah Garden	229	Tospoo	"	1 3/4	" 7 8	" 7 8	
Tee Karam Doobayee Ayen	D°	D°	Poonjah	229	Poonjah	"	1 3/4	" 2 6	" 2 6	
Abdulkhadur Saib	D°	D°	Dº	229	Dº	"	1/2	" 8	" 8	
Sokka Cottun	D°	D°	4 Cocoa trees			"	"	1 4 4	1 4 4	
Saik Joottun Saib	D°	D°	70	Dº	Dº	"	"	22 4 5	22 4 5	
Ramasawmy Cooan of Kristum coil	D°	D°	3	Dº	Dº	"	"	" 15 3	" 15 3	
Moyeethee mullee	D°	D°	1 Tamarind tree			"	"	" 3 5	" 3 5	
					Total	23	9	181 5 9	181 5 9	
Namasevoyem Pillay	Madacolum	Nelayoor	Nunjah		Punnemootee	"	1/2	" 3 10	" 3 10	
Chockkalingom Pillay	D°	D°	D°		Pooleyen Chey	"	6	3 5 6	3 5 6	
Siddivinayakum Pillay	D°	D°	D°		Vadhee	"	1	" 11 5	" 11 5	
Ponnumbalom Pillay	D°	D°	D°		Tirnaul Cutty	"	6	3 5 6	3 5 6	
Ponnuak Pillay	D°	D°	D°		Vadhee	"	3	2 2 4	2 2 4	
Curpoora Chokoo Pillay	D°	D°	D°		Navoalady	"	7 1/2	4 2 10	4 2 10	
Curtha Coomaraswamy Naicken	D°	D°	D°		Tamaral Mody	"	5	3 2 11	3 2 11	
Chinna Soobah Naicken	D°	D°	D°		Koviladee	1	"	7 10 2	7 10 2	
Alagherry Naicken	D°	D°	D°		Vadhee	"	5 3/4	4 1 10	4 1 10	
Vailayooda Tauen	Madacolum	Nelayoor	Nunjah		Attapullum	"	2 1/4	" 14 4	" 14 4	
Cundasawmya Pillay	D°	D°	D°		Vadhee	"	5	3 9 3	3 9 3	
					Paigachi Naicka Mody	"	7 1/4	4 " 7	4 " 7	

13521

Fort St. George 28th July 1856.

Chinthee Vythelingom Pillay	Do	Do	Do	552	Moniavary	Oday	"	4	2 3 7	2 3 7
V. Carpspa Pillay	Do	Do	Do	1230	Pomakoodoombum					
Vythelingom Pillay	Do	Do	Do	1180	Mooleyadhee			4 3/4	3 " 4	3 " 4
Ambalavana Pillay	Do	Do	Do	56	Punneemoottee			1	" 5 1	" 5 1
Cuttay Cottee	Do	Do	Do	1102	Calar			2 3/4	1 12 "	1 12 "
C. Vellya Pillay	Do	Do	Do	29	Vadhee			2	" 7 8	" 7 8
C. Auroomoogom Pillay	Do	Do	Do	303	Lamaray	Wody		1	" 11 5	" 11 5
Cyempermaul Pillay	Do	Do	Do	20	Vadhee			1	" 7 7	" 7 4
Chr. Mumbaram Pillay	Do	Do	Do	359	Renda	Talay		1 1/2	1 1 2	1 1 2
Saina Spattyah Pillay	Do	Do	Do	329	Nochielady			1 1/2	1 1 2	1 1 2
C. Soobramaneya Pillay	Do	Do	Do	570	Punnee	Coolly	"	1 1/2	8 1 2	8 1 2
Coottee Soobah Raicken	Do	Do	Do	365	Wodachey		"	3 3/4	2 6 2	2 6 2
				240	Pungachee		"	8	3 13 1	3 13 1
Peddhee Naicken	Do	Do	Do		Naicken	Woday	"	4	2 3 8	2 3 8
Peddhee Naicken	Do	Do	Do	1339	Tairadia	Chey	"	2	" 15 3	" 15 3
N. Vellia Pillay	Do	Do	Do		Sokka	Chey	2	4	17 2 11	17 2 11
Mootloo Sparungherry Pillay	Do	Do	Do		Kongaputtoo		"	1	" 7 7	" 7 7
Mootloocaroopa Pandarum	Do	Do	Do		Punnee	Dooray	"	11/2	5 7 10	5 7 10
Soobramoneya Pillay	Do	Do	Do		Araliyadee		"	6 1/4	2 7 9	2 7 9
Vecrappa Naicken	Do	Do	Do		Sengadee		"	4 1/4	2 5 10	2 5 10
Nullama Naicken	Do	Do	Do		Pounakoodoombum		"	8 3/4	5 9 1	5 9 1
Pereya Curoopen	Do	Do	Do		Vadhee		"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11
Coopparamoottoo Gownden	Do	Do	Do		Moduroattum		"	11/2	7 5 1	7 5 1
Soobramaneyen	Do	Do	Do		Punnasee		"	2	1 4 4	1 4 4
Valagooroovappa Naicken	Do	Do	Do		Nar	Casauen	"	2 3/4	1 1 6	1 1 6
Ramum	Do	Do	Do		Woday		1	"	6 5 10	6 5 10
Aulady Ramun	Do	Do	Do		Coorookhul		"	2	1 1 10	1 1 10
Authanam Paria Caroopa Pillay	Do	Do	Do		Caratteo	Chey	"	7/2	2 15 9	2 15 9
Aundiappen	Do	Do	Do		Coosaven	Talay	"	2	" 15 3	" 15 3
Ramasawmy Iyengar	Do	Do	Do		Vadhee		"	2	1 6 11	1 6 11
Caroopatty Coomarasamy Naicken	Madacolum	Nellayoor	Nunjah		Vadhee		"	3	2 2 4	2 2 4

13518 Extract Letter from Madura 28th July 1856

13522. Fort St. George 28th July 1856.

Sawmynada Pillay	Do.	Do.	Do.	328	Nocheelady	" 8	4 7 3	4 7 3
Caroppa Pillay	Do.	Do.	Do.	10	Vadhee	" 1/2	" 5 9	" 5 9
Audia Naick	Do.	Do.	Do.	1260	Aulkondan	" 2	1 4 4	1 4 4
Chokkáppa Naick	Do.	Do.	Do.	1249	Thayalacoodoombum cheyd	" 11/2	6 6 6	6 6 6
Alaga Tauen	Do.	Do.	Do.	722	Madamool	" 2	1 1 10	1 1 10
Moottoeuroopa Tauen	Do.	Do.	Do.	145	Pooleyadee	" 3	1 6 4	1 6 4
Rodungee Auladee	Do.	Do.	Do.	1454	Cadavadee	" 4	1 9 5	1 9 5
V. Suroomorgom Pillay	Do.	Do.	Do.	547	Mala Alangarum	" 1/2	" 4 6	" 4 6
Cummalum Tuppoo	Do.	Do.	Poonjah Ground	80	Tuppoo Tollum	" 3/4	" 3 10	" 3 10
Coomaravailoo Tauen	Do.	Do.	Nunjah	473	Moolay Caradoo	" 2	" 10 2	" 10 2
Soobramoneya Sawmy	Do.	Do.	Do.	49	Caradoo	3/2	1 1 10	1 1 10
Doosun Sha	Do.	Do.	Do.	1237	Poree	2 1	4 4 4	1 4 4
Sevaprakasem Pillay	Do	Do	Do	1373	Curpen Chey	" 2	1 6 11	1 6 11
Canaka Pillay	Do	Do	Do	1381	Mammoottee	" 2	1 1 10	1 1 10
Sepoy Mootto	Do	Do	Do	1589	Woday	" 4	" 15 3	" 15 3
Gopaul Naicken	Do	Do	Do	1284	Cattan Ooday	" 4	2 3 8	2 3 8
K. Perea Caroopan	Do	Do	Poonjah	83	Mailkandoo	" 3/2	" 4 3	" 4 3
Canil Paria Caroopen	Do	Do	Nunjah	693	Malayeedoo	" 1	" 6 4	" 6 4
Coomarapa Chetty	Do	Do	Do	284	Samara Ooday	" 1	" 10 2	" 10 2
					Total	20 7 3/4	169 4 6	169 4 6
					Grand Total	66 2/2	501 1 4	501 1 4

Madura,
16th July 1856

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

13523 Fort St. George 28th July 1856

Bd. No. 2364:

Proceedings thereon.

(1224-25)

The Board of Rev-
enue authorize the
sale, for arrears of
revenue of the lands
alluded to in the
foregoing letter and
direct the publication
in their Office of the
advertisement forwarded
by the Collector the sale
taking place on the days
and at the stations men-
tioned therein.

13524 Fort St. George 28th July 1856

No. 160

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(1226)

After
Bd. No. 2738
From the Coll.
of Madura

Sir

I have the honor to request
the early instructions of the Board regard-
ing the preparation of the Summalundy
accounts referred to in my letter
of the 28th May last.

Madura
17th July 1856

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Bd. No. 2358
Proceedings thereon.
In Cons. 2d June 1856
(1226-27)

It is observed that the
Collector's letter of 28th May 1856 was trans-
ferred to the Revenue Secretary for
disposal to whom the above letter
will also be transmitted.

28th July 1856

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No. 88

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(1228)

Bd. No. 2809
From the Coll.
of Canara

Sir,

With reference to my letter
of

Fort St George 28th July 1856

of the 28th March last, I have the honor to request the instructions of the Board regarding the importation of Bombay Salt during the approaching season as it is necessary that arrangements should be made at once, if the usual supplies of this article are to be imported on account of Government.

Collectors Cutcherry
Nagapatam 9th July 1856 } / Signed / W. Fisher
Collector

Bd No 2361

Resolution thereon.
(1228-29)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be forwarded for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Boards Proceedings of the 18th July 1856.

To

Fort St George 28th July 1856

No 303

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(1230)

Bd No 2815
From the Coll.
of Sea Customs } Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day delivered over charge of this Office to Mr W. E. Cochrane the Acting Collector of Sea Customs, and beg to enclose that Gentlemans receipt for the balance, and Deposits of Cash remaining in the Treasury amounting to Rs 53643-13-4-

Sea Custom House
Madras
26th July 1856 } / Signed / W. E. Underwood
Collector

Received from Mr W. E. Underwood Collector of Sea Customs the undermentioned Cash and deposits now remaining in the Treasury upto this date.

In Silver Rupees	1813	13 - 4
" Bank Notes	14230	" - "
Deposits made by certain Individuals	33600	" - "
Do by Shroffs	4000	" - "
Total	53643	13 - 4

Advances

13527 Fort St George 28th July 1856

Advances made on account of the Service of Government for Boat Hire unadjusted } Rs 6806-13-11

Sea Custom House
Madras 26th July 1856 } /Signed/ W.E. Cochrane
Actg Coll. of Sea Customs.

No 308

To G.S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(1231)

Bt No 2816 Sir,
From the Actg Coll.
of Sea Customs, Madras } I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have this day received charge of the Office of Collector of Sea Customs from Mr W.E. Underwood and to state that the balance and deposit of cash remaining in the Treasury amounts to Rupees 53,643-13-4-

Sea Custom House
Madras
26th July 1856 } /Signed/ W.E. Cochrane
Actg Coll. of Sea Customs

Order thereon -
(1230-32)

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government

Resolved that the following letters be despatched:

To

13528 Fort St George 28th July 1856

To The Acting Collector of
Sea Customs.

(1233)

Bt No 2352 Sir.
To the Actg Collector
of Sea Customs } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish them with a brief account of the System now in force for the conduct of business in the Custom House in all particulars not laid down in the Regulations and acts.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 28th July 1856 } /Signed/ G.S. Forbes
Secretary

(1234)

To The Collector of
Nellore

Bt No 2353 Sir.
To the Coll. of
Nellore } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the undermentioned Statement now due has not yet been received in this office and to request that you will immediately cause its despatch -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
28th July 1856 } /Signed/ G.S. Forbes
Secretary

Statement of Salt sales to 30th April 1856

From

13529 — Fort St George 28th July 1856

1235
2775

From the Secretary to Govt
22d July 1856 — Read Extract communicating the orders of Government on the Statements submitted by the Chief Engineer of Projects proposed to be undertaken during the years 1857-58 in certain districts.

1235-36
Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

1237
Mde No 1741

From the Coll of Chingleput. — Read letter requesting early transmission of 5000 Salt Rowan-mals being the balance of an indent dated 22d November 1855

1237-38
Order thereon

Ordered to be complied accordingly

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

1239
Mde No 1724
From the Coll of Bellary. — Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees for 3 Months of the Official year 1855/56.

1240
1728
From the Agent at Kurnool. — Return of Fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants during the Qr ending 30th June last.

1241
1725
From the Coll of Tinnevelly. — List of Letters addressed to the Board of Revenue, and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1856.

From

13530 — Fort St George 28th July 1856

1242
1727
From the Agent at Kurnool. — List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1856.

1243
1729
From the Coll of Tinnevelly. — List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1856.

1244
1730
From the Agent at Kurnool. — Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed for the quarter ending 30th June last.

1245
1723
From the Asst Coll of Guntoor. — Account Current of Salt for May last.

1246
1726
From the Coll of Madura. — Account Current of Salt for May last.

1247
1731
From the Agent at Kurnool. — Reporting the non transmission of certain Periodical returns for June 1856

From

13525 Fort St George 28th July 1856

of the 28th March last, I have the honor to request the instructions of the Board regarding the importation of Bombay Salt during the approaching season as it is necessary that arrangements should be made at once, if the usual supplies of this article are to be imported on account of Government.

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore 9th July 1856 } (Signed) J. W. Fisher
Dft. No. 2361 Collector

Resolution thereon.
(1228.29)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be forwarded for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Boards Proceedings of the 18th July 1856.

To

13530 Fort St George 28th July 1856

(1242) 1727

From the Agent at Kurnool. List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1856.

(1243) 1729

From the Collr of Tinnevelly. List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1856.

(1244) 1730

From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed for the quarter ending 30th June last.

(1245) 1723

From the Asst Collr of Guntoor. Account Current of Salt for May last.

(1246) 1726

From the Collr of Madura. Account Current of Salt for May last.

(1247) 1731

From the Agent at Kurnool. Reporting the non transmission of certain Periodical returns for June 1856

From

13531

1248

Mr. Nos 1732

Fort St. George 28th July 1856

From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of Persons

charged with offences under Regulation

IX of 1822 for June 1855.

1249

1733

From the Collr. of Madura. Statement shewing

the quantity of Salt in store available

for exportation to Calcutta from

each of the Ports of Exports on the

1250 15th of June 1856.

1734

From the Collr of Madura. Statement shewing

the quantity of Salt in store available

for exportation to Calcutta from

each of the Ports of Exports for

July 1856.

Pro.

13532

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Tuesday 29th July 1856

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation dated 15th August and entered ein Cons 11th September 1854.

Read also letter from the Collector of Tanjore dated 7th Inst. 1854 and entered in Cons 11th Augt 1856:

No. 187

To, G. S. Forbes Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

1251

Bd. No. 3629

From the Collector of Tanjore

Reporting upon the tariff lands to be given in exchange for Survamaniem

Fort St. George,

Sir

I have the honor to reply to your letter of the 20th Ultimo.

When the late Collector proposed to the Board that the tariff lands now belonging to the Pagodas should, on their being exchanged for land to be held as Survamaniem, be sold by public auction, he estimated their sale value at fifteen lacs of Rupees. I think that after the losses which were suffered by the landholders of Tanjore in Fasleis 1267 & 1263, and considering those with which they are threatened in the current Fasly, it would be a measure of doubtful policy to throw a large extent of land

into

into the market at present. The Board in your present letter express their opinion that the plan suggested by Mr. Bishop must, if followed, be carried out gradually, and to avoid a great depreciation in the value of landed property, one lac of rupees per annum is perhaps as much as it would be at all prudent to realize by the Sale of Government lands. Under this view of the case, fifteen years must elapse before the Government were relieved from the position of Mirrasdars, and while the evils which I formerly pointed out as likely to follow their assuming such a position, would certainly last during the whole of that time, some of them would not improbably, be permanent.

3 I represented to the Board that

that it would be very difficult, if not impossible, to maintain the Olungoo System in its integrity, when the practicable experience which the Government had gained as a Meerasdar might be adduced in argument to show that, when only 70 per cent of the Standard produce was reaped, there was not sufficient left after paying the Sirkar demand, to repay the expences of cultivation. and this argument would not lose its force by the Government ceasing to be a Meerasdar, the public accounts of the Government villages taken in the years, be they many or few, during which they had been held by the State, would remain as permanent records, and on their being referred to by those who might claim remission when their land yielded 70 per cent of the Standard,

reply.

reply would be as impossible after the Government had relieved themselves from the position of a land owner, as during the time they held the Meeras.

4. Moreover, the proposed exchange of tariff for Sarvamanium land would in some ways bear hardly on the Pagodas, and I do not anticipate that the consent of the Trustees would ever be given to the measure. To a hundred valeis of tariff land, there are about a hundred paracoodies, ready to do the bidding of the Meerasdar, or, in the case of the Pagoda in whose name the Meeras is entered, the bidding of the Trustee, but this number of willing servants would be reduced to ten were that number of valeis of Sarvamanium given in exchange for the tariff land, and this alone would seriously affect the business of the institutions.

As

5. As the Board do not tell me the objections that are felt to the adoption of the plan I formerly suggested for obviating the difficulties that are anticipated in realizing the public demand on the tariff lands, after they have been made over to the exclusive Management of the Pagoda Trustees, I am not able to combat them. No objections have occurred to me. Regulation VII of 1817 was passed to prevent the produce of endowments of Masgies and Temples, being appropriated to the personal use of the individuals in charge of such endowments - and the Board of Revenue were constituted by an authority to carry out the provisions of the law. but it is notorious that since the Management of the Pagodas was made over to trustees, the institutions have benefited in a very minor degree from the proceeds of their endowments.

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

endowments. the law is a dead letter as regards the trustees, for although they cannot sell the land, they can, and do, misappropriate the proceeds, and do not very clearly see only a law, which practically does not bind the managers to a just administration of their trust, should continue to bar the State from a realization of its just demands.

6 I cannot recommend the Board to offer the Pagoda lands for sale, nor as at present informed, do I see any objection to an alteration of the law.

Tanjore,
Combaconum,
8th December,
1855.

(Signed) H Forbes
Collector

C.R

Read

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

In Cons 14th Sept 1854
In Cons 11th Aug 1855

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation dated 15th August 1854 N°54 and Letter from the Collector of Tanjore dated 27th October 1854 No 104.-

Bd No 2379

Proceedings thereon.- Submitting with the Boards views Correspondence from the Coll of Tanjore on the subject of the proposal of Government for the assignment of certain lands to be held as Sirwa Maniems in exchange for the Tarufflands belonging to the Pagodas of that District.-

(1251-52)

In the Extract Minutes Consultation above referred to, the Government directed that immediate measures should be adopted for finally disconnecting the Government officers from interference with the management of the Endowments of the Pagodas, and in the case of Tanjore, ordered that in exchange from the Tarufflands belonging to those institutions which were to be permanently incorporated with the Agrm Lands of the District certain assignments should be made of land to be held as Sirwamaniem, calculated on a liberal basis.-

2 These orders of Government were communicated to the Collector with our Extract from the Boards Proceedings dated the 14th of September 1854 and on the 27th of the following Month Mr Forbes addressed the Board and requested

In Cons 11th Aug 1855

Sent

for certain reasons, that further Con-
=sideration might be given to the subject
3 The Collector represented
that in as much as the Government demand
on the Pagoda Tarriff lands was never
realized in full without a deduction
being made from the Swamy bhogum the
Government would on holding the Meerasy
~~as~~ of the land be, by so much annually
a loser- he deprecated the necessity under
which the Government officers would be
should the Government become the owner
of these lands of entering each year with the
details of the Coody Manamut or in-
=ternal petty repair of channels and em
-bankments and of conducting the cultivation
he represented that the establishment for
the Management of the Villages now paid from
the Pagoda funds, must hereafter be paid
by the State, and he shewed the inconvenience
that would arise in Government becoming
an extensive landowner and the probable
results as regards the maintenance of the
wooloongoo System of revenue- On a
Consideration

Consideration of all these circumstances
the Collector proposed that instead
of adopting the arrangement which
had been approved by Government he
should be authorized at once to make
over to the Pagoda trustees all the Tarriff
lands in precisely the same manner as
the Enam lands had already been made
over, and he remarked that if any diffi-
culty was anticipated under Regulation
VII of 1817 in realizing the demands
of Government a short declaratory act
might be passed which would place the
landed property of Pagodas in the same
position as regards the liability to the
payment of assessment on the land
of any private individual.-
4 Feeling the weight of
the objection thus urged by the Collector
and anxious at the same time to carry
out the wishes of Government, the Board
on the 20th of November 1835 requested
Mr Forbes' opinion on the question of
selling

selling the Tuniff Lands that might be
apprehended by the Government in the exchange to
be made with the Pagodas and thus
obviating the difficulties which were
anticipated from the Government becoming
a Land owner and in the 14th of the fol-
ing Month they received the Collectors reply
Mr Forbes represented that the value of
Pagoda Tuniff Lands had been already
assessed at fifteen Lacs of Rupees by
the late Collector Mr Bishop and
anticipating that it would be inexpe-
dient to offer annually for sale
more Land than would realize one
Lac, he showed that fifteen years
would elapse before the Government
ceased to be a Meenasoidar and that the
experience that would have been gained
in the interim would be sufficient to
perpetuate some of the worst evils he
anticipated from their retaining that
position.—

Dated 8th December 1835 in Cons 29th July 1836

The Board do not consider

it necessary on this occasion to dis-
cuss in detail the objections which
the Collector has offered to the adop-
tion of the plan which has received
the Government Sanction because while
they admit that much weight attaches
to them they are unable to see that
any objection can be made to the a-
doption of the alternative proposed
by the Collector of following in the
Case of the Tuniff Lands the course which
was adopted in regard to the Pagoda
Enams.—

27th October 1834 para 11 in Cons 11th August 1835

6. The Collector reports
that no inconvenience has resulted to any
party from the transfer of the Sunna
Maniem and Shrotriem Lands which are
more extensive than the Tuniff Lands
and it appears therefore that the
sole inconvenience which can result
from now transferring the latter would
be the difficulty anticipated by the
late Collector Mr Bishop in realizing

25th Sept 1849 para 3 in Cons 29th October 1849

realizing the Government dues. The Board are not of opinion that the Regulation which has been adverted to, Regulation VII of 1817, would offer any bar to the Collection of the taxes on Pagoda Lands under the management of the trustees in the same manner as they are collected on Lands held by private parties. They observe that the enactment is one which in the new revenue Code prepared by Mr Stokes it is proposed to cancel and even should this view of the Board be erroneous they can anticipate no difficulty in inserting in Mr Stokes proposed Acts a clause which shall remove all doubt upon the Subject.

7 The Board are led to prefer the adoption of this plan to that which has been approved by Government as simpler and more easy of execution but particularly from a difficulty they forsee in carrying out the orders of Government

for

Extract Minutes Cons. para 6 3rd Augt. in Cons 4th Septr. 1834 No. 954

for an enforced exchange of land by the incorporation of the Pagoda Lands with the Ayen. Should the Trustees not agree to the exchange. It cannot the Board believe be denied that the Lands now in question are the private property of the Pagodas as much as the Estate of any individual Land owner is his, and considering the Character of the people of Tanjore, their great intelligence, their independence and proneness to litigation the Board cannot but fear that the measures ordered by Government would be immediately followed by innumerable Suits for the recovery of the Pagoda Land to which it is not the Boards opinion that any defence could be made.

They

13545 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

8 They therefore resolve before proceeding further therein in the matter to forward to Government a Copy of these Proceedings together with the two Letters from the Collector of Tanjore which have been already referred to.—

Read

13546 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

1253

B. No. 2594

From the Secretary to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 11th July 1856.—

Reviewing the transactions of the Salt Department of this Presidency for Fusly 1264.— } See No. 716 in Separate Volume P. 131

1253-54

B. No. 2373

Order thereon

Ordered that copy of para 2 of the foregoing Extract Minutes Consultation be communicated to the Acting Collector of Masulipatam for his information.—

1255

After B. No. 2752

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 21st July 1856.—

Desiring to have a report from the Collector of Madras on the pleas put forward by Mr. Wilson for declining to deliver over charge of the Records in the mode desired by him.— } See No. 754 in Separate Volume P. 133

1255-56

B. No. 2376

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madras for report

B. No. 2774

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 22nd July 1856.—

1257

Referring to the Board of Revenue a Statement from the Collector of Canara prepared in the form required by the Commissioner for the revision of Civil Establishments } See No. 755 in Separate Volume P. 134

Name

19527

Form B. Quarterly Return dated 29th July 1865

(1) Name of District.

Name of Sub-District.

Name of Number of Sub-District.

Land Revenue

Number of Persons liable to pay Land Revenue in the Sub-District.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1865.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1865, but who paid it before the 30th September 1865.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1865, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1865.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1865, but who paid it before the 31st December 1865.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1865, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1865.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1865, but who paid it before the 31st March 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1865, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1866, but who paid it before the 30th June 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1866, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1866, but who paid it before the 30th September 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1866, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1866, but who paid it before the 31st December 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1866, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1866.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1866, but who paid it before the 31st March 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1866, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1867, but who paid it before the 30th June 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1867, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1867, but who paid it before the 30th September 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1867, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1867, but who paid it before the 31st December 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1867, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1867.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1867, but who paid it before the 31st March 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1867, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1868, but who paid it before the 30th June 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1868, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1868, but who paid it before the 30th September 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1868, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1868, but who paid it before the 31st December 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1868, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1868.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1868, but who paid it before the 31st March 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1868, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1869, but who paid it before the 30th June 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1869, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1869, but who paid it before the 30th September 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1869, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1869, but who paid it before the 31st December 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1869, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1869.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1869, but who paid it before the 31st March 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1869, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1870, but who paid it before the 30th June 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1870, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1870, but who paid it before the 30th September 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1870, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1870, but who paid it before the 31st December 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1870, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1870.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1870, but who paid it before the 31st March 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1870, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1871, but who paid it before the 30th June 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1871, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1871, but who paid it before the 30th September 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1871, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1871, but who paid it before the 31st December 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1871, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1871.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1871, but who paid it before the 31st March 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1871, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1872, but who paid it before the 30th June 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1872, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1872, but who paid it before the 30th September 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1872, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1872, but who paid it before the 31st December 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1872, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1872.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1872, but who paid it before the 31st March 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1872, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1873, but who paid it before the 30th June 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1873, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1873, but who paid it before the 30th September 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1873, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1873, but who paid it before the 31st December 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1873, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1873.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1873, but who paid it before the 31st March 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1873, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1874, but who paid it before the 30th June 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1874, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1874, but who paid it before the 30th September 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1874, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1874, but who paid it before the 31st December 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1874, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1874.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1874, but who paid it before the 31st March 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1874, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1875, but who paid it before the 30th June 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1875, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1875, but who paid it before the 30th September 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1875, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1875, but who paid it before the 31st December 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1875, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1875.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1875, but who paid it before the 31st March 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1875, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1876, but who paid it before the 30th June 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1876, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1876, but who paid it before the 30th September 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1876, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1876, but who paid it before the 31st December 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1876, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1876.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1876, but who paid it before the 31st March 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1876, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1877, but who paid it before the 30th June 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1877, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1877, but who paid it before the 30th September 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1877, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1877, but who paid it before the 31st December 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1877, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1877.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1877, but who paid it before the 31st March 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1877, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1878, but who paid it before the 30th June 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1878, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1878, but who paid it before the 30th September 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1878, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1878, but who paid it before the 31st December 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1878, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1878.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1878, but who paid it before the 31st March 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1878, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1879, but who paid it before the 30th June 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1879, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1879, but who paid it before the 30th September 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1879, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1879, but who paid it before the 31st December 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1879, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1879.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1879, but who paid it before the 31st March 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1879, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1880, but who paid it before the 30th June 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1880, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1880, but who paid it before the 30th September 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1880, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1880, but who paid it before the 31st December 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1880, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1880.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1880, but who paid it before the 31st March 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1880, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1881, but who paid it before the 30th June 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1881, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1881, but who paid it before the 30th September 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1881, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1881, but who paid it before the 31st December 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1881, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1881.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1881, but who paid it before the 31st March 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1881, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1882, but who paid it before the 30th June 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1882, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1882, but who paid it before the 30th September 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1882, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1882, but who paid it before the 31st December 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1882, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1882.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1882, but who paid it before the 31st March 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1882, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1883, but who paid it before the 30th June 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1883, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1883, but who paid it before the 30th September 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1883, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1883, but who paid it before the 31st December 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1883, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1883.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1883, but who paid it before the 31st March 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1883, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1884, but who paid it before the 30th June 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1884, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1884, but who paid it before the 30th September 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1884, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1884, but who paid it before the 31st December 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1884, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1884.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1884, but who paid it before the 31st March 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1884, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1885, but who paid it before the 30th June 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1885, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1885, but who paid it before the 30th September 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1885, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1885, but who paid it before the 31st December 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1885, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1885.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1885, but who paid it before the 31st March 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1885, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1886, but who paid it before the 30th June 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1886, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1886, but who paid it before the 30th September 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1886, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1886, but who paid it before the 31st December 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1886, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1886.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1886, but who paid it before the 31st March 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1886, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1887, but who paid it before the 30th June 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1887, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1887, but who paid it before the 30th September 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1887, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1887, but who paid it before the 31st December 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1887, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1887.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1887, but who paid it before the 31st March 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1887, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1888, but who paid it before the 30th June 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1888, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1888, but who paid it before the 30th September 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1888, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1888, but who paid it before the 31st December 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1888, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1888.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1888, but who paid it before the 31st March 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1888, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1889, but who paid it before the 30th June 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1889, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1889, but who paid it before the 30th September 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1889, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1889, but who paid it before the 31st December 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1889, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1889.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1889, but who paid it before the 31st March 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1889, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1890, but who paid it before the 30th June 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1890, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1890, but who paid it before the 30th September 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1890, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1890, but who paid it before the 31st December 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1890, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1890.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1890, but who paid it before the 31st March 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1890, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1891, but who paid it before the 30th June 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1891, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1891, but who paid it before the 30th September 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1891, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1891, but who paid it before the 31st December 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1891, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1891.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1891, but who paid it before the 31st March 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1891, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1892, but who paid it before the 30th June 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1892, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1892, but who paid it before the 30th September 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1892, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1892, but who paid it before the 31st December 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1892, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1892.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1892, but who paid it before the 31st March 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1892, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1893, but who paid it before the 30th June 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1893, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1893, but who paid it before the 30th September 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1893, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1893, but who paid it before the 31st December 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1893, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1893.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1893, but who paid it before the 31st March 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1893, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1894, but who paid it before the 30th June 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1894, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1894, but who paid it before the 30th September 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1894, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1894, but who paid it before the 31st December 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th September 1894, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st December 1894.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1894, but who paid it before the 31st March 1895.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st December 1894, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 31st March 1895.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1895, but who paid it before the 30th June 1895.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 31st March 1895, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th June 1895.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1895, but who paid it before the 30th September 1895.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Quarter ending 30th June 1895, and who have not paid it since.

Number of Persons who paid Land Revenue in the Sub-District during the Quarter ending 30th September 1895.

Number of Persons who did not pay Land Revenue during the Qu

13548 Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

Rs as

| | | |

Grand Jurors Geo. Ferry 32 101

Subpoenaed Jerry Sullivan

Grand Jurors Geo. Ferry 32 101

Subpoenaed Jerry Sullivan

Grand Jurors of the Circuit and Criminal Courts of the District and County aforesaid, now and here in open Court, to wit, at the May Term thereof, A.D. 1873.

1	24690-92-	Lewis Wallop-		The Indictment
31-	11026-8-11	Malicious Mischief		of Arson
69-	15824-32-	Luther Minnear-		Continued
			at the October	Term
		Motion of Defendant		to Quash
451	15719-5-11	Defendant		Indictment and
		Recognizance of Witnesses		Capias issued
866	12351-99-	Peter Ulrich		Amount of Bond
46	22458-48-	Peter Ulrich		$3000
		Continued-		Defendant Failed
340	20 Mo-Sh-	Peter Schaefer-		To Appear and
449	18315-7m-	Peter Koepke		Forfeiture
Con	22329-5½	Peter Ernst		Set aside
576	24834-7m-	Peter Schmidt		Defendant
456	23720-8m	Peter Ordnung		Discharged

3998 24420-35½

Recognizance of Witnesses in the Indictment of Arson and Malicious Mischief against Lewis Wallop, and Peter Ulrich, and Luther Minnear, and Peter Ulrich, and Peter Ernst, and Peter Schmidt, and Peter Ordnung, and Peter Koepke, and Peter Schaefer, and Defendant Discharged.

1854

Grand Jurors Geo. Ferry 32 101

Subpoenaed Jerry Sullivan

Grand Jurors of the Circuit and Criminal Courts of the District and County aforesaid, now and here in open Court, to wit, at the May Term thereof, A.D. 1873.

1	24690-92-	Lewis Wallop-		The Indictment
31-	11026-8-11	Malicious Mischief		of Arson
69-	15824-32-	Luther Minnear-		Continued
			at the October	Term
		Motion of Defendant		to Quash
451	15719-5-11	Defendant		Indictment and
		Recognizance of Witnesses		Capias issued
866	12351-99-	Peter Ulrich		Amount of Bond
46	22458-48-	Peter Ulrich		$3000
		Continued-		Defendant Failed
340	20 Mo-Sh-	Peter Schaefer-		To Appear and
449	18315-7m-	Peter Koepke		Forfeiture
Con	22329-5½	Peter Ernst		Set aside
576	24834-7m-	Peter Schmidt		Defendant
456	23720-8m	Peter Ordnung		Discharged

3998 24420-35½

Recognizance of Witnesses in the Indictment of Arson and Malicious Mischief against Lewis Wallop, and Peter Ulrich, and Luther Minnear, and Peter Ulrich, and Peter Ernst, and Peter Schmidt, and Peter Ordnung, and Peter Koepke, and Peter Schaefer, and Defendant Discharged.

1854

Grand Jurors Geo. Ferry 32 101

Subpoenaed Jerry Sullivan

Grand Jurors of the Circuit and Criminal Courts of the District and County aforesaid, now and here in open Court, to wit, at the May Term thereof, A.D. 1873.

1	24690-92-	Lewis Wallop-		The Indictment
31-	11026-8-11	Malicious Mischief		of Arson
69-	15824-32-	Luther Minnear-		Continued
			at the October	Term
		Motion of Defendant		to Quash
451	15719-5-11	Defendant		Indictment and
		Recognizance of Witnesses		Capias issued
866	12351-99-	Peter Ulrich		Amount of Bond
46	22458-48-	Peter Ulrich		$3000
		Continued-		Defendant Failed
340	20 Mo-Sh-	Peter Schaefer-		To Appear and
449	18315-7m-	Peter Koepke		Forfeiture
Con	22329-5½	Peter Ernst		Set aside
576	24834-7m-	Peter Schmidt		Defendant
456	23720-8m	Peter Ordnung		Discharged

3998 24420-35½

Recognizance of Witnesses in the Indictment of Arson and Malicious Mischief against Lewis Wallop, and Peter Ulrich, and Luther Minnear, and Peter Ulrich, and Peter Ernst, and Peter Schmidt, and Peter Ordnung, and Peter Koepke, and Peter Schaefer, and Defendant Discharged.

1854

13550

Fort Saint George 29th July 1856

| | | |

Seart Sunit George 29th July 1850

Judge Like that Court
it receives and tries suits
for sums under 10,000 Rupees
and such original suits and appeals
against the Moonsiffs decrees as are re-
ferred by the Civil Judge; and also all C.
Criminal cases committed by the Police and
the Magistrate disposing finally of such of them
as fall within its cognizance and committing to the
Sessions Court those that are cognizable by that Court. The
business however performed by this Court is equal to that
performed by the Sub Courts only in responsibility and
not in amount, as the minimum number of suits re-
quired to be decided by the Principal Sudr Ameens
Courts monthly is 15, while that prescribed for the Subordi-
nate Courts is 8 --

In addition to the above Civil and
perform the duties of registering deeds to take
charge of the property left by intestate persons and
the hidden treasure of the kind specified in Regulation XI
of 1832 which may be found within its jurisdiction and to enquire
and dispose of the claims preferred thereto and to receive and dispose of
applications for the arrest and confinement of rent defaulters and the ap-
plications for permission to institute Pauper Suit for sums under 10,000 Rs.
all these duties are performed exclusively by this Court in this Zillah -

Besides the Criminal trials entered in the Statement 1620 -
original and appeal suits and 631 Miscellaneous petitions including ap-
plications for execution of decrees and claims to property attached in exe-
cution thereof, have been disposed of in 1855 for 10½ months by this Court it having
been adjourned on the Civil side, as usual, during the remaining portion of the year -

The Establishment of this Court is smaller than that of the other Subordinate
and Principal Sudr Ameens Court in this Presidency both in the number of
servants and the amount of their salaries, and its inefficiency has been more than once
brought to the notice of the Higher Courts with the view to it being placed on a footing equal
to the Establishments of the other similar Courts -

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore 12th July 1850 -

Signed W. Fisher
Collector -

Order -

13552 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

B. No. 2385

Order thereon

1257.58

Ordered that the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut be furnished with Extracts from this return on points relating to the Civil Courts.

1259

B. No. 2782

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 23d July 1856

Communicating the orders of Government on a letter from the Magistrate of Bellary in regard to the transmission of the Proceeding in all cases of Torture

See No. 761 in Separate Volume P. 135

1259.60

B. No. 2368

Order thereon

Order to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter above alluded to.

1261

B. No. 2783

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Works Department dated 23d July 1856

Agreeing with the chief Engineer that the transfer of the execution functions in South Arcot to the Engineer Department must be postponed for the present and remarking on the removal of Lieutenant Clay the only Commanding Officer in that District besides the Engineer in charge to Salem.

See No. 1248 in Separate Volume P. 136

1261.62

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

From

13553 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

B. No. 2784

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Works Department dated 23d July 1856.

1263

Concurring in the recommendation of the Chief Engineer for the temporary postponement of the transfer of the Executive Department of the Madura Collectorate to the Engineer.

See No. 1244 in Separate Volume P. 137

1263.64

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

B. No. 2785

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Works Department dated 23d July 1856.

1265

Authorizing the nomination of Lieutenant J. W. Campbell of the Engineers to take charge of the Public Works Department in Karnool as well as the entertainment of a writer and accountant on a salary of 50 Rs. and an increase of 15 Rupees to the pay of the office of Maramut Superintendent.

See No. 1249 in Separate Volume P. 138

1265.66

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

B. No. 2786

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 23d July 1856.

1267

Communicating the orders of Government on a letter from the Commissioner Northern Circars respecting charges incurred in building huts for the detachment of invalids at Guntoor.

See No. 786 in Separate Volume P. 139

order

13554

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

1267-68

Order thereon

Bo. No. 2787

From the Secretary to Government

Ordered to be recorded

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 23d July 1856

1269

Authorizing the Collector of Bellary to disburse Rupees 420 to Ramiah in commutation of the Tusdeek enjoyed by him for service in the Nelacentaswarriahe swamy Pagoda in that District.

See No. 71 Separate Vol. P. 111

1269-70

Bo. No. 2367

Order thereon

1271

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary for information and guidance.

Bo. No. 2788

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 23d July 1856.

Ordering the publication in 8 successive issues of the Fort St. George Gazette of the notification drawn up by the Board explaining the nature and objects of a bequest for a Chaultry, lately built in the vicinity of Cuddapah near the Travellers Bungalow.

See No. 764 in Separate Volume P. 111

1271-72

Bo. No. 2369

Order thereon

1273

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah for information.

Bo. No. 2789

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated 24th July 1856.

Communicating for information an order in the Political Department directing to furnish the Commissioner of the Hyderabad assigned Territories with copies of all Periodical Reports Statistical Tables Circulars and other important papers that may issue from the respective Departments named.

See No. 767 in Separate Volume P. 111

Order

13555

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

1273-74

Order thereon

Bo. No. 2862

From the Secretary to Government

Ordered to be recorded

Official Memorandum

Among the Statements which accompanied the Boards Settlement report for Fasly 1264 the Secretary to Government finds one No. 14 which gives only the average assessment per Cawny on the Lands cultivated with Sugar Cane, Cotton and Indigo but no Statement similar to the one furnished for Fasly 1263 can be found shewing the extent of cultivation of each species of crop. If the necessary information is available the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will be good enough to forward such a Statement as soon as possible.-

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

Fort St. George
28th July 1856

Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent in reply

1275-76

Bo. No. 2384

To the Revenue Secretary to Government

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Revenue Secretary to Government, with reference to his Official Memorandum dated 28th July 1856 a Statement to form No. 14 of the set which accompanied the General Report for Fasly 1264. The former Statement No. 14 may serve as an Enclosure to the Statement.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 29th July 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Tabular

1277

13556. Fort St George 29th July 1856

Tabular Report on lapsed Enams in the district of Tanjore in the forms prescribed by the Board of Revenue in their letter dated 5th February 1852.-

No. of the Case	Taluk and Village extent and value of the entire Enam	When and by whom granted name of the original grantee sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.-	Nature of the grant, whether for services rendered by the grantee or his family or for services rendered by the ancestors of the grantee	Name of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.-	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.-	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
61	Codavasal Taluk Poonganoor Village Shrotriem land as per Sicca Sunnuds 3 - - - as per Pymash 3 - - - 8 3/4 Tariff assessment Rupees 160-7-1 Shrotriem 34-9-3	Granted on Shrotriem tenure 1778 by Toolzaji maharajah Said to certain Dasasthaa Bramins four hundred and eighty nine in number on an	For subsistence	The last holder Goonjeeboyee died on the 30th March 1851 and was related to the original grantee as shewn below-	Extent enjoyed by the deceased as per Pymash V m G 1 - 11 - 7 13/16 Tariff assessment 81-15-6 Deduct Shrotriem 1 11 14 8	Gopalrow adopted son of the deceased age 26- Radaboyee his wife 17 Boyee his natural mother 50	This Enam was not registered but it was given before 1792 the date mentioned in Section II Regulation XXXI of 1802.		

[illegible]	Arzee rocca	[illegible]	Saraswaty	6
Difference 125-13-10	Copy of which	A Rajo Rama- -jee original grantee-	Difference 67-4-10	16 Maws out of the whole were evedently in the posseſsion of the original grantee's family in 1802 and are exempt from in- -terference on the part of Govern- -ment- It is not known how the other 10 maws were enjoyed in the same year. The case is therefore reported for the orders of the Board and of Government ex- -cepting the [illegible] held by the de- -ceased's family and the fact that the adoption made by her appears to be valid under the Hindoo law and took place long before the promulgation of the adoption rules, the Collector recommends that the whole Enam be con- -tinued to Gopal Row adopted son of deceased-
This Enam was never undera- -tachment-	is produced-		his natu- -ral Sister 9	
Copy of the arzee rocca has already been Submitted in connection with case No 12 and others-	This grant on the whole amounts to 88½ Valies of land situated in ninety Sixe Villages of which 10 Valies in the Village of Soorgavoor were entered in the Said rocca in the name of Boyrsaadah balajee and eight others of whom the under- -mentioned two are the parties concerned in this Case-	B Appoorow alias Streenevasha Row Son-	Extent held by others 1-9-75/16-	Total 14
		C Goonjeeboyee widow deceas- -ed-		
		Of the one Valy of Land registered in the name of A who was the adopted Son of one Ramajeepundit four maws having been excepted as Gramabhogum the remainder actu- -ally held according to Sicca was 16 Maws to which he added 10 maws obtained in a gift without a deed from Ruganatharaje the other grantee men- -tioned in the third Column who was his natural father The total Enam thus amounting to V m 1-6 as per Sicca Sunnud was entered in the name of C in the Survey accounts of Fusly 1238 as V m 1-11 7/16	
Rajoramajee-1-				
Ruganatha Raja 2-				
Total 3-				
This grant is not entered in the Collectors Register-				

1355/

Fort St George 29th July 1836

The Enam descend-
-ed from A to B
who transmitted
it to his widow C
with instructions to
adopt a Son C,
accordingly made
a regular adop-
-tion of one Gopal
Row son of her
brother long prior
to the promulgation
of the Board's Cir.
16th July 1846 and
defrayed the ex-
-penses of his mar-
-riage. On her
death after an en-
-joyment of 40 years
the adopted Son
performed her
funeral rites and
continues to enjoy
the Enam.-

13558

Fort St George 29th July 1856

62 Trivady Talook Pogul Suwa maniem land measured by a rod of 14 feet long as per sicca sunnud.

A

In aunna gramum 3-15 In modely poorum 1-4-67

Nunjah

m g m g
-15- -13-

cts per Pymash

-13-78

Granted in 1776 for Subsistence by Tooljaja Mahrajah Saib to Teroomalamurder a Sicca Sunnud which was registered in the name of his brothers son Soobbien in No 178 of the Collectors Enam list of 1809.

Tariff assessment

Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
102	1	6	39	15	-

Deduct Cavil fees

3-9-2- 1-11-10

Difference -

98-8-4- 38-3-2

The last holder Runga Row died in the Month of February or March 1850. He was unconnected with any of the original grantees of the Enams marked severally A, B, C, and obtained the Land in question as follows:-

A female named Ackoobayemmal having purchased a portion of the Enam gave it to Ramachendra Dawy from whom it descended to his daughter Janakee ammall whose husband Kripasenker taker sold it to Mullary Rowe elder brother of the last holder for 340 pons on the 30th December 1831 It was entered in the Survey accounts of Fasly 1237 in the name of the said Ramachendra -dawy as 6-4½ Vizt 3-18½ in aunnagramum & 2-86 in modely poorum.-

Share enjoyed by the deceased as per pymash

In aunna gramum 1-3-18½ In modely poorum 2-86

Tariff assessment

Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
13	2	8	8	4	1

Deduct Cavil fees

-7-7 - -5-9

Difference

12-11-1- 7-14-4

Extent held by others.-

1-1-4-9- -10-92

Family of Cundaya Row the present holder his son Kistna Row aged 15
Baaboo Row 5
Do Daughter Rungoobayee 18
Nagoobayee 12
Radabayee 8
Do wife ambabayee 35
Do Mother Radabayeeda 70
Total 7-

The Enam in this case is composed of lands granted on three separate Sicca Sunnuds each of which was given prior to the date mentioned in Section II Regulation XXXI of 1802. The Enam A was in the family of the original grantee in the year 1802 it is therefore exempt from all Government under their orders of 28th July 1853. The Enam B was purchased by the last holders brother Mullarow from Appathoray Sastry who is stated to have been the great grandson of

13559 Fort St George 29th July 1856.

The same Talook Granted in the Fogul Sutra- -maniem in Cusbah Triwady measured by a rod of 14 feet long as per duffer rocca

B-

Nunjah - 1 v m g - 1--50 as per Pymash

Tarufsessment - 84-12-5

Deduct Cuil fees 3-1-3

Difference 81-11-2

Venkata Krishna Sastry son of the original grantees brother 0-0-62½

Ponnammal widow of the grandson of the grantees brother - - 7-6-87½

Seshadry Sastry grandson of the grantees brother 4-3-75

Four purchasers 4-2-50

Total 1---

Same year and by the same Rajah to Kala. -hastra royena- -deetchat as per duffer rocca registered in № 310 of the Collectors Cnam list of 1809 in the names of the undermentioned persons-

Gopalasastry grandson of the original grantee 1 m g --6-25

Ponnumal one of the registered holders for 590 Pons 33 - 6¼ - The land was entered in the name of Appathoray Sastry and others in the Pymash accounts of Fusly 1237 as 7- 24 15/16 --

On the 2nd July 1831 6 m 9 g 75 of land were purchased by Mullary Row abovementioned from Appathoray Sastry great grandson of the original grantee his brother Runga- -sawmy and others by others as per Pymash 7- 24 15/16 --

Tarufff assessment Rs 29-15-5

Deduct Cuil Fees. 1-1---

Difference 28-14-5

Extent held by deceased as per Pymash 7-24 15/16

Rs 29-15-0

Jeevanny 10

Mangamma 12

Jeevanny 8

Total 4

Share enjoyed by deceased as per Pymash 7-24 15/16

Deceaseds family widow Latchmee Loyee age 28 Appathoray Daughter Sastry does not appear in the register and it has been found impracticable to trace the relation- -ship he bore to the deceased Runday Row is employed in the division Cutcherry at Bangalore on a Salary of Rupees 6 per Mensem and the family is possessed of no other means of support.

of the original grantee- The name of this Appathoray Sastry does not appear in the register and it has been found impracticable to trace the relation- -ship he bore to the deceased Runday registered in 1809 as the descendants of the original grantee yet from the enquiry instituted on this subject there is every reason to suppose that he

13560

Fort St George 29th July 1856

The same Talook Poyal Survamaniem in Casbah Trivady by a rod of 14 feet long as per Sicca Sunnud C. V M G 1-8-4 cts per Pymash 1-10-5¼ Tariff assessment 126-4-8 Deduct Cavil Fees 4-9-3

Granted in 1750 by Rajah Pretop Sing to Gopala Kristnavadavy as per Sicca Sunnud registered in № 22 of the Collectors Survamaniem list of 1809 as follows:-

Held by Mullekee ditchit who acquired part of the land by purchase and part as a gift " 8-"

Held by eight other individuals 1-"-

1 8-"

Difference 121-11-5

These three Enams were never under attachment.

For Subsistence.

On the 9th January 1831 Nagammal widow of Trecakra-dectchtor son of the registered Mullecadectchtor alias Mullecar-joonaditchtor sold to the same Mullary Row for 173-1 3/8-2 Maws of Land

Share enjoyed by the deceased as per Survey V M G 4-2-25

Tariff assessment 9-6-6

Deduct Cavil Fees 4-5-3-

Difference 9-1-3

Extent held by others as per Survey V M G 1-8-29

out of the Enam which were entered in the name of the said Tricanna dectchtor in the Pymash accounts of Fusly. 1237 as M F 2-2.5-

Thus the land purchased by Mullary Row out of the three Enams amounted to M 15-54 G 7/16 as per Pymash and was given by him to Kunday Row Son

he really was as is stated the great grand son of the grantees in the male or female line-

This Enam is therefore equally exempt from interference and the Collector feels himself authorized to continue it also on his own responsibility-

But it cannot be traced when the Enam was alienated from the

13561

Fort St. George, 29th July 1856.

Son of his younger brother Kistna Row after Mullary Row's death however the land was enjoyed by the last holder who divided the family property with Meena boyee widow of the said Mallary Row the Survanamen land in question not being included in the division

the original grantees family the register only showing that up to the year 1809 it had remained with one Mulleka Deetcheeter who had obtained it by gift and purchase

The Enams in all these cases have however remained in the last holders family for a period of about 25 years were obtained by bonâfide purchase.

under these circumstances the case is reported for orders with a recommendation that the whole Enam may be continued to Kunday Row the nephew of the deceased to whom it appears that it was transferred by all the members of the family on a division of their property.

On the death of the last holder Kunday Row who was employed at Bangalore came to Trivady and on receiving from Meenaboyee and Letchmeeboyee widow of the deceased the title deeds of the Enams and the sum of Rupees 300. "——" as the usufruct of past years executed to them a deed of acquittance dated 26th December 1850 but as the land was registered in the Amoobhogum account of Fusly 1258 in the name of the deceased an investigation was made into the case the Enam remains in the enjoyment of Kunday Row.

63 A.	Munnargoody Palook Vadaporam village Shrotriem land as per palace accounts.	This Enam is entered in No. 2 of the Collectors register of Shrotriem lands without grant prepared in the year 1818 with a remark that the Sicca summd by which it was granted was destroyed by fire. It cannot therefore be ascertained from any re- cord when by what person the grant was made but from the Statements of the undermen- tioned parties who were in enjoyment of the Enam at the time of regis- try it appears to have been origi- nally granted by Rajah Pre- taping to one Sashadry Sastry.	For Sub- sist- ence	The last holder named Iyathory Iyengar died in De- cember 1848 and was not related to the supposed original grantee. He pur- chased a portion of the Enam amounting to Mds 10 as per palace accounts from one achookottien the grand son of the original grantee in the female line and from some other parties on the 4th September 1822 and the land was entered in his name in the survey accounts as Mds 8 — G 2/8	Share en- joyed by the deceased as per survey Nunja — 8-87/8 Tarriff assess- ment 11-6-1	Runga- saumy Iyengar son Rungum wife of the above	44 35	Although no grant is forthcom- ing for these Enams yet they seem to have been granted prio- or to the year specifi- ed in Section II Regulation XXXI of 1802 the Rajah who is stated to have been the donor hav- ing reigned from 1740 to 1762 but as the original grantee Sashadry Sastry seems to have alienated portions of the Enams to others prior to 1802 (Vide Column 3) and as the land pur- chased by the last holder in 1822 seems to have been sold by all the Shrotriem- dars of whom the grand son of
				Shrotriem teerwa — 4	Krishna- sawmy son of D°	13			
				" — 3 Dif- ference 10	Rama- swa- my D° D°	10			
				5 — 10 —					
				Extent held by others as per	Savoo daughter D° D°	7			
					Ranagum D° D°	5			
						Total 6.			

Shrotriem teerwa	
219 — 12 — 4	
Difference	
532 — 5 — "	

Sashadry Sastry grand son of the original grantee —	" — " — "
Five other individuals descendants of the donees of the grantee —	" — " — "
Total	14 — " — "

Nunjah	V M G 13 — 10 — "
Poonjah	" 10 — "
Total	14 — " — "
As per survey	
Nunjah	22 — 22 — 6 9/8
Poonjah	V. 2 — " 9 9/8
	24 — 13 — 6 9/8
Tarriff assessment on the lands per survey	
	752 — 1 — 4

V	M	G
24	4	9 7/8

13563

Fort St. George, 29th July 1856

B The Same Talook Soma sakarapooram Village Shrotrium land as per pa- lace accounts Nunjah 9—2—" Poonjah " —5—" Total 9—7—" As per Survey Nunjah 20-9-39 13/4 Poonjah 7-1-7-69 13/4 Total—20-17-8 13/16	The Enam is entered No. 1 in the same register and the circums- tances con- nected with it are pre- cisely the same as those given above. It was in the enjoy- ment of the undermentioned parties at the time of registry Sashadresastry Grand son of the Original grantee 2-16-10 Nine other individuals 6-10-90 Total—9-7-"	For subsist- ence.	Out of this Enam which as well as the above one is composed of Gra- masamoodayem lands the last holder purcha- sed 10 maws as per palace ac- counts from the same parties and on the same date as above for Pons 70— The land was entered in the purchasers name in the survey accounts as M. G. 10-67 11/16	Share en- joyed by the deceas- ed as per survey— 10- 67 11/16 Tariff assessment Rs. 16-8-3 Shrotrium teerwa Rs. 3 -6-4 Dif- ference -13- 1-11 extent held by others as per survey V. M. G. 20-6-40 1/8	of the grantee in the female line was but one, the case is re- ported for the orders of the Board and Government The land purchased No. 1822 appears to have been mortgaged to the last holder previously in 1815 thus shewing a pos- session of 133 — years by him under these circums- tances it is re- commended that the Enams be continued
Tariff assess- ment on the land as per survey— Rs. 638-13-1 Shrotrieum teerwa 146-2-9 Difference 492-10-4 The two enams were never attached				tinued to— Runga sawmy Iyengar son of the deceased—

135-64

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

64	Cadavaseeb Talook, Poonjaveer Village, Shrotrium land Nunjah 2-0-0 as per survey 2-0-30 Tariff assessment Rs. 106-15-4 Shrotrium teerwa Rs. 22-11-4 Difference 84-4-0 The enam was never under attachment.	As regards the grant vide remark in Case No. 61.	In 1778 a grant of 884½ nalies of land situated in 96 Villages was made to Davista & 489 Cranims in number, on shrotrium tenure by Tolajah maharajah dab on an arzee rocca Copy of which is produced of the Total amount of land ten nalies in the village of Poongano or were granted to nine individuals, one of whom named Bagavan thai babajee is the party concerned in this case and his share was 2 nalies.- This grant is not registered.	For subsistence	The last holder Cooppa Row died on the 19th October 1854. He was not related to the original grantee and appears to have obtained the land as a gift.- Deceased's mother states that her late son received this land as a gift from appovoo the son of the original grantee about thirty years ago, that it had been mortgaged to & and was lately The deed of gift is not forthcoming V M G "-10-" The same party states that the deceased obtained this land as a gift forty five years ago from Moottey en Cadaverayen one of the grama bohgumdars. The deed of gift is not forthcoming "-1-" Total "-11-" As per survey "-10-39 The land was entered in the name of the deceased in the survey accounts and since his death it has been in the enjoyment of his mother, he having left no issue.-	share enjoyed by the deceased as per survey V M G "-10-39 Tariff assessment 27-4-11 Shrotrium teerwa 5-8-8 Difference 21-13-3 Extent held by others as per survey 1-10-11	Sethabayee mother of the deceased - age 75 who is possessed of no other means of support.	Of the total Enam of Maavs 11, Maavs 10 were in the family of the Original grantee in 1802 - but as the remaining 1 man is connected with the gramabhoogum question the case is reported for orders with a recommendation in consideration of the long possession held by the deceased's family the enam be continued to Seethabayee mother of deceased.-

135-B

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

65	Teriady 1/4 block Survamaniem land in Vellum, as per siccа summud Poonjah u—18—50 as per payment Deduct extent occupied by a Tank — u—11 9/16 — Remainder u—18 7/8 Tariff assessment Rs. 9—12—u Deduct exemptions u—8—u Difference 9—4—u	There is no document forthcoming in support of this grant nor is there a enam registered but in 1805 the last holder having applied to Mr. Wallace, the then Collector to be restored in possession of the Enam which had been included in the Tariff lands, she was allowed to enjoy it as a enam, and an order dated in April of the same year was sent to the Tassildar directing him to exclude it from the tariff lands	unknown	The last holder Ramaboyee on the 1st of March 1855 and was related to the supposed original holder as shewn below— Ramajee punt supposed original holder → Kristna row son → Ramabayee last holder Deceased's share as per fey mash u—18—7 1/8	In the Boards orders of 27th July 1848 it is stated that the attachment and escheat of an enam at any time after the grant should be considered as a lapsed case referrable to the Board but in this case the temporary attachment of the Enam was merely nominal and it is still in the original family being held by the last holders grandson in the female line as a however it is an anoola gum maniem of an old date unsupported by any grant the Collector reports the case for the

In the survey accounts of fusly 1216 No 2 18-50 were entered as enam in the name of the deceased and although at the survey of fusly 1238 four Mawsoot of the above were entered as poonjah arable waste land belonging to the Circar yet both in the Kaipeemanum and Cook form accounts of the same fusly the whole of the enam was registered in the deceased name as 18—7 1/8—

Ramabayee dying after

Ramachendra Row grandson of deceased } 35

Godavery Boyee Mother of the above } 75

Kavery boyee daughter of Do. } 45

Total 3

The above are possessed of a tiled house at Karallattan goody and Rama Chendra Row has a salary of Rs. 6 per mensem in the place at Tanjore

135-00

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

			after an enjoyment of eighty years Ramachendra Row hereditary grand son in the female line performed her funeral rites and continues to enjoy the enam.-			the orders of the Board and Government and in doing so recommends that the enam be continued to Ramachendra Row grand son of deceased.
66	Trevady Jalock Survamanien land, in Peroom balayoor Village bya rod of 14 feet long. Munjah 2-0-0 Doonjah 0-16-0 / 2-16-0 as per pymash Tariff assessment 212-3-2 Cavil fees 8-7-7 Difference 203-12-1	Granted in 1770 by Rajah Jala Tagie to Purchanda sastry as per sicca sunnud & registered in No. 17 of the Collectors 1829 as follows Held by Annuntaramien sastry grand son 2-8-7 8/12 Do. by others 0-7-21/2 Total 2-16-0	For subsistence	The last holder named Cavery boyee who was not connected with the original grantees died on the 3d May 1853 as per sicca Sunnud This enam was purchased by the deceased for Rs. 107 2/8 under a bill of sale dated 20th May 1829 from Perca boyee, who had previously bought it from the sons of the elder brother of Anuntanarrayana sastry referred to in the register the enam is entered in the survey accounts in the name of Soobapillay a tennant of Punchamada sastry one of the sons of the grantees elder brother abovementioned 0-6-23 as per Survey 0-7-17	Deceased's share as per pymash 0-7-17 Tariff assessment 27-2-5 Cavil fees 0-16-11 Difference Extent held by others as per Survey of Rs. 3-4-30	This case is reported for orders because in three out of five cases of Purchase the date of alienation is not known considering however the valuable consideration paid by the deceased's family for the land and the charitable purpose to which the income is applied the Collector recommends that the three enams be continued to Sevasenkur Sahib grand son of deceased maternal uncle

135 J

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

The same Talook survamaniem land in the Village of Canastanum Perumbonliyoor by a road of the same length Runjah 1-8-0 as per pymash 1-4-25 Tariff assessment 96-0-3

Deduct Cavil fees 36-6-10

Difference 92-9-5

Granted in 1766 by the same Rajah to Vencatragavien under sicca sunnud Bering in No. 38 of the same list in which the entry stands thus

Held by Ramaswamy Syen son } V. M. G. 1-3-0

Do by others 0-5-0

Total 1-8-0

From Sub sistence

Deceased inherited this and the following two portions of the enam from her mother Ladaobayee, who had purchased as follows as per sicca Sunnud

From Peramalien brother of Ramasaw my Syen and others referred to in the register for Pa 85-8 1/8 as per bill of sale dated 2d November 1836. In the bill of sale the land is stated to have been previously mortgaged to the same party 0-1-6

From the same persons on the 17th June 1822 for 607-5 0-7-50

From Annavoo son of Oda kara Karoo thumpulla veriayen pillay, and his cosharers who are said to have purchased from the registered Ramasawmyen as per a bill of sale bearing the same date for Pa 101-2 1/2 0-1-25

Total 0-9-81

as per survey 0-11-58

These lands are entered in the survey accounts in the name of the said Ladaobayee's father-in-law Boochanadas.-

Deceased's share as per survey 0-11-58

Tariff assessment 31-14-11

Cavil fees 1-2-4

Difference 30-12-7

Extent held by others as per Survey V. M. G. 1-2-67

1358

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

Survamaniem — a land in the same Village by a road of the same length — —

Nanjah — V. No. 9 1-5-0
as per Survey — 1-11-74
Saruffa Assessment
Rs. 87-10-0
Cavil fees
3-2-7
Difference
84-7-5

These three enams were never under attachment

Granted in the same year and by the same Rajah to Kaisha via as per sicca sunnud
Entered in No. 124 in the same list in the names of the under mentioned parties sashion brothers & —
Son — V. No. 9 0-14-0

Bajeerathy } 0-9-
annual widow }

Total — 1-8-0

For subsistence

On the 3rd April 1833 deceased's mother also purchased this land for Rs. 933-5-6 from Veerajee Saraya Row Ganty Raya purchased from Herojee Surjee Row Basalay. It cannot be ascertained from which of the parties referred to in the register the said Basalay originally purchased the land. It is entered in the Survey accounts in the name of the same Veerajee Surjai Roughaulthaya &c. as per Survey — 6-72

The enams marked B.C were purchased

Sadooboyee for the support of a Chultrum in Cusbah Trivady, which goes by the name of her father in law Boochanadas referred to above and as she resided at Madras the charity was managed by her & daughter the deceased, who lived in Tanjore

The income derived from the lands having proved insufficient to defray the expences of the charity deceased likewise gave to it the enam marked A subsequently, the deceased died as did her mother 17 years before her and as neither of them had male issue the former two days previous to her demise made a will dated 1st May 1853 appointing Sevesenkara Taken the grand son of her maternal uncle, her sole heir and nominating him to the management of the charity in question. The said Siva Senker Taker being under age, his mother Bhavaneeboyee is carrying on the charity with the proceeds of the enams. —

Deceased's share as per pymash
— 5-72
Saruffa assessment
Rs. 15-12-10
Cavil fees
— 9 — 0
Difference
Rs. 15-3-10
extent held by others as per Pymash V. No. 9.
1-6-2

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

1350 Y

Trevady Talook

Poghul survamaniem in Terpendoorty as per sicca Summud

Nunjah — 2-0-0

as per pymash
2-9-10

Tariff assessment
Rs 168-12-0

Cavil fees
4-14-0

Difference
163-14-0

Granted in 1787 by Rajah Toolajee to sadasiva Bhatar per sicca Summud

A entered in No. 301 of the Collectors enam list of 1809 in which the entry stands thus.—

Held by Balabhut brother — 0-10-0

Do by other — 1-10-0

Total — 2-0-0

The last holder Krishna Bhut died on the 22d November 1852 He was no relation to the original grantees and became possessed of the land in the manner shewn below—

as per sicca Summud

Purchased by the deceased from Rajarama Bhut son of Bala Bhut referred to in the register for Rupees 150 on the 24th January 1814 — 0-5-0

as per survey — 0-6-10

The land is registered in the survey accounts in the name of the same as per sicca Summud

Deceased's share as per pymash
v m g
0-6-10

Tariff assessment
Rs 20-10-0

Deduct Cavil fees
0-9-7

Difference
20-0-5

Extent held by others
v m g
2-3-0

Rajarama Bhut son — age 29

Seevaboyee wife of the above ... — 24

Chendrasekhara son — 9

Pranathara the haramdi — 6

Krishnasawmy Do — 1

Sonammal daughter deceased. — 4

Total 6

Rajarama Bhut has a salary of Rs 3 per mensem for the place at Tanjore and the family is possessed of no other means

The enam A was granted at a date prior to 1792 and was in the family of the original grantee in 1802 - it is therefore free from interference on the part of the Government The enam B was also granted prior to 1792 But as the date of its alienation from the original family is not known, the case is reported for orders. In Consideration however of the valuable Consideration paid for the land by the deceased and the long enjoyment held by him it is recommended that the two Enams be Continued to Rajarambhut 2d son of deceased.

The same Talook pogul Survamaniem in Kallacoody. —

Runjah — 1-0-0

Poonjah — 0-8-0

1-8-0 B

as per pymash
1-12-54

Tariff assessment
48-8-0

Cavil fees
2-10-5

Difference
45-14-1

granted in 1772 by the same Rajah to Girirajabanna under Sicca Summud.

For Subsistence

The grant is entered in No. 546 of the Enam list of 1819 as follows: Held by Sevalee boyee (one Venkataramana Vadany having purchased this land from

Purchased by the deceased from Narrayanasawmy punt alias Ranasawmypunt grand son of Sevalle boyee whose name appears in the register for a Rs 165 as per bill of sale of date the 15th September 1843. The land is entered in the Survey account in the name of Sevalle boyee's son —

v m g
3-1

Deceased's share as per pymash
v m g
Runjee 2-66

Tariff assessment
Rs 4-14-1

Deduct Cavil fees
0-2-5

Difference
4-11-8

Extent held by others as per Survey
v m g.
1-9-88

13570

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

These two Enams were never under attachment.

Kristnayee pant son of the original grantee sold it to Jeevenna from whom it descended to his wifes sisters sister-in-law Seevalee boyee) u — m 3 — g 1

as per survey — u — 4 — 6

Deduct extent sold by the deceased on the same date to Sambhopunt of Tanjore u — 1 — 7

as per survey — u — 1 — 40

Remainder held by the deceased — — — u — 1 — 94


as per survey — u — 2 — 66

The whole of the in-

D. by eleven others individuals — 1 — 4 — 99

Total 1 — 8 — u

Enam including the portion sold by the deceased is entered in the deceased's name in the Amarbhogum accounts of Fusly 1258 Genealogical tree —



In the deed of division executed between B and C during A's life time on the 9th June 1831, B gave up his claims to all A's other property except a house in Cusbah Trivady.

13571

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

68 Mannargoody talook Bundara day Calanattore Toghul or partial Shrotriem.

The part granted consists as per sicca.

Punjah — 21-18-4

Poonjah — " — " — "

Total 21-18-4

according to pymash

Punjah-18-18-13 53/64

Punjah — " — 15-3 7/64

Total-19-13-16 24/64

Tarriff — Rs a p 998-2-8

Cavil — 245-2-11

difference 752-15-9

Never segues. trated.

As regards the grant vide remark in Case No. 62.

A part of the Village consisting of an extent as shewn in the preceding Column was in 1778 granted by Toolazamaha Rajah to Vencajee Puraharee and certain other individuals twelve in number.

The Sunnud for the grant is alleged to be in the Palace and the arzee rocca referring to the grant is produced.

It is a general arzee rocca grant of 88 4½ vailies in 96 Villages of which the 21 vailies and 18 maws in question form a part. It was not registered.—

For Subsistence

The last holder named Syatoray taven died on the 12th December 1848. The ownership of the Village had originally rested with the ancestor of the last holder named Ramalingathaven and in consideration of his claims the Shrotriemdars gave him as Chattoobahgum 5 vailies and 1 add in the Village.

Ramalingathaven had six sons. Arooma chellathaven. the fatther deceased and 5 others they divided the five vailies and each had 18 maws and 25 goolies. Arooma chellathaven had two sons named Cunniappathaven and Syattoray thaven now deceased who again divided their father's property, but Cunniappathaven sold his share

The last holders share amounts according to sicca Nunjah — 2-28 4/8 according to pymash Nunjah — 2-12 49/64 Tarriff assessment 5-3-2 Cavil fees 1-4-6 Difference 3-14-8

Ramalingathaven Share enjoyed by other Members according to tarriff land and a thatched house worth Rupees 30 in the same Village.

Nephew (or brothers son) Arooma chellathaven — Age 40

his 2d wife Pawanie } 25

His mother Camatchy } 60

his son Cunnyappen } 10

Daughter Camatchy } 6

Besides the Shrotriem now under consideration they have a vailly and 28 4 goolies of and a thatched house

This case is reported for orders as connected with the general question of gramabhogum which remains still undecided

The land is held by a great grand son of the original gramabhogumdar and son of the brother of the last holder but whether the brothers were divided or not there is no document to shew. Under the circumstances, the Collector recommends that the Enam be continued to the deceased's nephew Arooma chellathaven.—

13572 Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

to one Chellumbara=
thaven to whom also
the deceased holder
sold 6 maws and 8 4/8 goo=
lies of his share. The decea=
sed had consequently left
to him 2 maws and 28 goolies
on 18th July 1854 the heirs of the
deceased made an exchange with Chel=
lumbara thaven of equal portions of land.
All that is produced is the exchange deed
nothing being shewn in reference to the original
gift as Chattoor bhagum or to the several successive
divisions detailed above. The last holder has not left
any direct heirs. his wife having died before him
This share is now claimed by his nephew Arooma Chella=
thaven (Cunniappathaven's son) on the plea that he main=
tained him in his illness and that on his death he performed
his obsequies.—

by Munnargoody Poghue Shrotrium Valattamunjelum Mellate. Jn is Velattamungalum as per grant Kunjah 13-11-7½

as per pymash 15-11-9½

	Rs	a	P
Tarufftars	481	6	2
Jode Dto	160	2	5
Difference	321	3	9

Jn Mel Latte
Per grant 16 — " — 9
Per pymash
Kunjah 11-6-19 43/64

	Rs	a	P
Tarufftax	343	8	8
Jodi Dto	114	11	5
Difference	228	13	3

Jn 1778 Toolajaa Subsid=
harajah granted once to the original
884-10-2 to 489 grantees and this
people of thiser=Enam
tent 13-11-7½ as grama=
were given in bhag=
um.
Velattamunga=
lum to 7 persons
and in Mel latte
to five persons
This enam was
part of the land
then given to
the meerassi=
dar as grama=
bhogum. but
it is not.

The name of the
last holder was Shri=
nivassa Iyengar. He died
Sammya Chetrich he was
April 14th 1849
no relation to the
original grantee.
Of the land which
was given formerly
as Roodibhogum in
the Villages of Valat=
tamungalum and
Mel latte a portion
was held by two
persons named
Vencatadoobliem
and Seetarama=
Iyen but it is not.

The last holder enjoyed in Va= lattamungelum by pymash		
" — 5 — 25	His 2d son Ramasawmy Iyengar	30
	2d Dorasawmy Iyengar	25
Jn Mel latte " — 16 — 4 6 16	Elders sons wife Heman= Bhogum	30
Jolab — 1-1-7 12	2d sons wife Janake	25
Tarufftax of land in Vuttamunga= lum	3d son's wife Sanganamal	25
	Eldest sons son Streene= vassa Iyengar	16
Jaa — Rs 8 a 9 P 5	His wife	14
Jode Dto 2-12-4	Eldest sons 2d son of Raja= nadam	5
difference 5-13-1	2d sons son Pudhanalen	9
Jn mel latte tarufftax	His 2d sons wife Kawam	5
Jaa — 25-12-3		
Jode Dto 9-5-3	Deceased's grand son by a dead son Ragava Iyengar	20
Difference 16-7 "		
Jolal tax 34-5-8		
Jolal Jode 12-1-7		
Net difference 22-4-1		

In reporting on
this case as one
connected with
the grama bhogum
in question the
Collector observes
that the enam
on account of
which this is
grama bhogum
land was given
to the Meeras=
dars of the
Villages of
Velattamunga=
lum mel
latte was grant=
ed in 1778 by
Soolajah
Maharajah.

13573

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

never sequestrated

As regards the grant vide remark in case No. 62.

known by which of the original in-
grantees it was
so given Deeds &c.
The claimant
ancestory Iyengar
produces the deed
dated Shirimuka
Aveny 22d July 3d
1813 by which 2
vailies of land
were given over
to the enjoyment
of his father
Shrinivasa-
Iyengar by Ven-
catasoobbyen and
Setaramien as
a pledge for 300 Chue-
rums lent by clai-
mants father to the
above 2 Iyers. The deed
is written on stamped
paper bearing date
July 1st 1813. The debt
was originally for 3 years
but Annatoray Iyengar
says that if the money
were paid even now,
he would have to
give up the
land.

known whether these
two or their fathers were
the actual recipients
from the original
grantees. From this
Venkatasoobbien the deceas-
ed received as a pledge for
money lent land to the
extent of 1v 10m 9l — in
mel Cotee and v — 10 — —
in Velattamungalum
total 2v — m — l out of the
2v — m 9l the deceased
gave 1v — m — l to one Appa-
Pillay on July 7th 1813
making it a condition
that if Venkatasoobbien
should redeem the
land half the money
should be taken by Appa
Pillay and half retained
by himself (the deceased)
Thus the last holders
land amounted to
1v — m — l or by pymash
1-1-7 1/16 vide Column 6
N.B.
It appears that in
addition to the 300
Chuckrums mentioned
in the deed 50 Chuckrums
were also paid on the
2 vailies subsequently
by the deceased.

The other
members
shares are in
Velattamun-
galum
12v 1m 9l
In mel Cotte
9v 10m 9l

The dead sons wife Rona lattammal	10
Ragava Iyengar 3 brothers	
1 Gopala Iyengar	15
2 Rajah Iyengar	12
3 Chuckravarty	9
Rajah Iyengar wife Palamaloo	14
Deceased's son Runga Iyengar	45
His wife Tolasee	30
Total 19 Indi-viduals.	

Property
Annatoray Iyen-
gar has in
Munnargoody
a m l
1-5-9 3/4 of
turuff unjah
and a house
worth 100
Chuckrums
Ramasawmy
Iyengar has
a house tiled
in Seranko-
lum worth
100 Chuckrums
he has also
2v 3m 9l 3/4
of turuff
unjee in
Munnargoody
his brother
Dorasawmy
Iyengar
has also
2v 16m 9l 3/4
of turuff
unjah and
a similar
house.

The land in
question was
held as grama-
bhogum in 1813
by Venkatasoobbien
whether the
original grantees
gave it to these
individuals
themselves
or to their
father's is un-
certain but
it was pledged
by them to the
deceased
holder as
security for
350 Chuckrums
lent by him to
them to be held
till the debt
was repaid.
Under these
circumstances
the Collector
recommends
that the
Enam may be
continued to the
deceased
holders eldest
son Annatoray
Iyengar and
his 2 bro-
thers

13574

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

70 Puttoocottah talook Candumangoody Shrotrium as per Register.

Nunjah — 41-10-7½

Punjah 8 villages including poromboke — 100-6-3½

Total 141-16-7½

Nunjah — 75-8-6 5/8

Poonjah 8 villages exclusive of waste poromboke & Sygal — 33-15-7½

Total 109-4-38/8

Tarruff — 979-13-7

Jodi 8 villages — 416-14-11

Difference 562-14-8

Never sequestrated

The sicca Summud relating to this grant has been forwarded in connection with Case 48.

The Village named in the preceeding Column together with numerous other Villages was granted to Beraminds by Prelapsing Maharajah according to pymash in 1742. The Summud of this grant is registered in No. 10 of the Collector's Shrotriem Register the names of the grantees however not being mentioned the 40 pungoos or shares composing the whole Enam of 141-16-7½ are entered in Summar as then held by the parties as shewn below.

	Pungoos
Held by Kistna Sawmy pundit	8
D° D° Sasha chelia A	8½
D° D° Soobbiya Pundit	8

For Subsistence

The last holder named Soobaramanien died on the aunnada Mausi 11th 1855 February

He was the grand son of one Nagumbutter who was the original grantee of 8 pungoos of land in the Village the land was inherited by his only son Sasha-chella Iyen a registered holder who brought a further portion of 9 Pungoos from several individuals as shewn below on the

2d Audi Viswavsu 14th July 1785

from one Vijayaragava Iyengar for 5½ Chucrum and 5 fanams — Pungoos 3

2d Chittri Parabava from 12th April 1787

one Nara Narayary Pundit for 35 Chucrums — 2

2d Audy Parvary from 13th July 1780

one Bavasastry for 17 Chucrums & 5 fanams — 1

2d Audy Gooah from 15th July 1816

one Narasummanah.

11½ pungoos of land enjoyed by the last holder amounts according to pymash

v m g
30-14-35 2/32

	Rs a p
Tarruff	288-13-7
Jodi 8 villages	118-1-3
Difference	170-11-10

Share enjoyed by other members 78-10-3 5/32

		age
Widow Ramasammal	3	55
Saw Sasha chelayen	2	28
Daughter Saw Coopumaul	2	20
an infant grandson Soobramien	1	
an infant grand Daughter	3	

Besides the Shrotriem land now under consideration they have a house in the Village worth 500 Rs They have also 800 goolies of land in Cadandangoody Village allowed them by the Meerassidars as a free Manium.

The last holder was the son of one Sashachella Iyen who is a registered holder & who is said to have been the son of Nagumbutter one of the original grantees tho' the latter fact is not known certainly. The enam is a very ancient one having been originally granted in 1742 and all the shares but one forming the 30 varlies of land enjoyed by the last holder have been in his family for very many years the dates of the various

For Ft. St. George 29th July 1856

13575

Do. Do. — Shamenna — 6
Do. Do. — Gopaliya — 2
Do. Do. — Coottiya — 2
Do. Do. — Nursummal B-1
Do. Do. — Naresyen — B-1
Do. Do. — Iyanaraien D-2
Do. Do. — Gungada Iyen — 1
Do. Do. — Purasooramien — ½

C
40

who had brought one pungoo from Naranyen for 80 Chucrums — 2

Promdula Audi — 2 — 1810 July 14th

from one Parasarama Iyen for 20 Chucrums } ½

Paritapi Audi 2 from 1792 July 13th

D

one Iyavaroo Iyen for 8 Chucrums — ½

Total — 9

Hence the entire papesion of Sashachella Iyen amounted to 12 pungoos which on his death was inherited by his two sons Nagumbutter and the last holder. They added 2 pungoos more, which they brought of one Sashapundither for 80 Chucrums on the 28th Purathase Surwajet raising 12th October 1827. their total holding to 14 pungoos and this was equally divided by the two brothers. Of the shares which the last holder had, he sold to one

Veeramoodely Pungoos — 2
" Soshapiyen — ½

To the same individual at first mortgaged but afterwards Sold — } 3/8

Total 2 7/8

requisitions are 1785–1787–1780 1815–1810–and 1792– respectively and these shares were all obtained for valuable Consideration the remaining share was also regularly bought in 1832 from one Ekeroo Moodelly In consideration of these circumstances and the very long possession held by the deceased's family the Collector recommends that the whole enam be continued to his son Shashachellia as he does not feel himself warranted in continuing it without a reference to Board owing to the little information that is forthcoming as to the enjoyment in 1802, Viz. whether by the family of the grantee or otherwise.

Fort St George 29th July 1856

13576

Besides the portion which remained
in the last holders possession Vizt 4/8 he bought
one 1/1 pungoo of land on Mannadu Karticcay 17th
from Veeroomodely for 55 Chuckrums- 1832 November 30th
son named Naraseimma Igen had by a constitu-
-tuted right of Succession obtained 2 pungoos
the estate of one Igavarien / his maternal grand
father marked D in the register) who died without
issue and whose funeral obsequies he had per
-formed- This son having since died his share
came to the last holder who made an exchange
on Duraisingly Avany 4th with his brother
1830 17th august
Nagamuttar whereby he obtained from the latter
4/8 pungoos the remainder of his share in the
Village and gave in return 1/2 pungoos of terr-ff
land which the last holder had in Merattoor
with 100 rupees in money by these transactions the
possession of the last holder amounted to 11/2 pungoos.
There are nine deeds produced dated as mentioned
above, six of them refer to the purchases made by
the father of the deceased holder- two to those
made by the deceased and his brother, and one
to the exchange between the brothers. Some of
these transactions being very ancient, it cannot
be ascertained how the venders obtained the lands,
or whether they were original grantees or not-
and this cannot be ascertained even from the
Summud; since no names are given in it- From
the register however three of those venders named
Naraisammah- Igavarian- and Peraw-
-soorummah appear to have been land holders
in the Village in 1809-

RD

13577

Fort St George 29th 1856

71 Puttecottah talook Cudumia cottay Shrotriem According to the Palace account
Ninjah – 54 " 93¾
Poonjah – 78 " 62¼
Totah – 132-1-56
According to Pymash
Ninjah – 50-1-56
Poonjah – " 6-70
Totah Sirgah 50-8-26
Tenff – 895-4-5
Cawl – 290-"-3
Difference 605-4-2
Never registered

This includes Perumbokke land to the extent of value 75.


The village named in the preceding column with several other Villages was in 1758 granted on Sucia Summud to Cramens by Sooleya Maha royah. The grant is entered in the Collectors Shrotriem register Vol 24 of 1810 In the Sucia Summud the pruives of the grantees are not mentioned while in the register the whole enam of 132-1-56 is divided into 80 Pungoos or Shares and these Shares as then held by the parties, are recorded Dumwar.

Lutchmanyen is entered as holding 4½ Pungoos

The

For Subsistences

The last holder named Lutchamanyen died 1850 January and Soumyah Sys February date unknown. His relationship to the original grantee is shewn by the following genealogical table.



The deceased enjoyed according to Pymash

Ninjah	7-8-3	
Poonjah	" -- 5	
Total	" 7-88	
Tariff	6-15-"	
Cawl	2-4-3	
Difference	4-10-9	

The other members Share or amounts to V M G to 50 – " – 48

His name Pichoo Syen 2.5 Daughter in law also guardian 4.1

Grand Son Ramalinga 11

D. Ramaswamy dies 9

They have a thatched house in Mungalum in Ramnad Zemindary the former ($\frac{10}{64}$) share is stated not to have been alienated from the family of the supposed original grantee the last holder being the great grand son of that individual but in the absence of any Claimants the Collector cannot say from

This Enam was granted before 1792 The whole extent of 7 mans and 88 Goontahs from $\frac{5}{8}$ of a Share of which $\frac{13}{64}$ descended to the deceased as his ancestral property while the remaining $\frac{27}{64}$ were held on mortgage

for

13578

Fort St George 29th July 1856.

The portion which was owned by the last holder	Annums. 1-31/64
Besides which he held on Mortgage of Ramasamy Shastry	10/64
" of Ramasamy Iyen	24/64
" of Loganathien	6/64
" of Coopiahs Son name unknown	23/64
" of Sevaramayen	1/16
" of Narayengar	42/64
" of Soondrapien	1/16
" of Appayanien	1/4
" of Soobbayen	1/8
" of Seenayengar	7/8
	5 10/64

Of this the father of the deceased held on mortgaged to Ramanadien 7/8

" Nagavadien 1/2

Gave to his daughter Mesthummal as maintenance 1 18/64

" to his daughterinlaw as Dt sold to the same 1 1/4

Presented to Vydien 2/64

Mortgage redeemed by Narayana Iyengars Son 18/64

4 32/64

Remained in the last holders profession 5/8
of which 13/64 belonged to the deceased the remaining 27/64 he held on mortgage only.

As there are no deeds whatever produced in support of these transactions by the father of the deceased. their dates and other particulars cannot be ascertained. The deceased had no Son or any other immediate heirs alive at his death and his share is now claimed by the nephew Richowyen.

Puttoocottah

for the above assertion of the non alienation of the land is correct As regards the mortgaged part of the enams the last holder held it from many individuals and the present claimant can shew no documents of any kind in support of the mortgages Under these circumstances the Collector recommends that the ancestral part of the enam be continued to the deceased nephew Richowyen together with the mortgaged part. the latter being subject to redemption by the mortgagees.

13579

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

72	Puttacottah talook Cadava-cottah Shro-trium. According to the Palace account. Nunjah — 54 — 0 — 93¾ Poonjah — 78 — 0 — 62½ 232-1-56 According to Pymash Nunjah — 50-1-56 Poonjah — 0-6-70 50-8-26 Tariff assessment Rupees — 895-4-5 Cavil fees Rupees — 290-0-3 Difference Rs — 605-4-2	The Village named in the preceding column with several other Villages was granted in 1758 on Sicca Rupees to Brahmins The grant is entered in the Collector's register No 22 of 1810 Fort further par.	The last holder Mootthumma died in Amundee Pungini 1855 March The names of the person to whom the land was originally granted cannot be traced The deceased obtained the land from the father who inherited it from his father who received it on mortgage from Soobbramanyen & Ramasawmyen and others (Vide case 71) but how they obtained it cannot be ascertained	The last holder enjoyed according to Pymash Nunjah — 16-6-0 Poonjah — 0-0-11 16-17- Tariff assessment Rs a 6 14-3-1 Cavil fees 4-10-4 Difference 9-8-9 The other Members enjoy according to Pymash Nunjah — 14-9-12-9-	The last holder died without issue and her cousin claims the share The family of the claimant is shown in case No 71.	This enam was granted before 1792. The deceased obtained her share as maintenance from her father who inherited it from his father who obtained it on Mortgage from various people How the latter obtained it is not known and there are no documents in support of the transactions as stated in case No 71 As it is not known whether this enam was alienated in 1802 or not, it is reported
	Never leases traded.	Circulars Vide report No 71.	Now that the last holder is dead the land is claimed by the heir of Lt K. Shamanyen lately deceased.			

† This includes perumbok land to the extent of 75 Candies.

13580

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

No. 1

Translation of Sicca Summuds.

From Maharaj Rajestry

Register No. 178 — Report No. 62 — A

Toulzarajah to the present and future officers of Trivady division styled "mahal anam carcoonance" in the year Seobhoosun Sulleba Sulleine myaulf darrajah badul Enam-

An esctent of land amounting to 1-8 by a rod of 14 feet long including the two feet termed "Dhermady" and "Velac-Kady" usually allowed, situated in the Cusbah of Voolnaghanum in the said talook was formerly granted as Suvvanam-eim under a Summud dated 12th Janua-dila Khier in Sullasa Sulleine year, to Trimaliah, grandson of Ven Kaitaishver Bhagavut, and son to Soobbramonee Bhagavut, of Suwrooty goattra, anjastamba Soottra, and a student of the yajoor or Vedas as shewn below

Nunjah — 1 — " — "

+ Poonjah at 5 Mawas on 1 Vaily of Nunjah — " — 5 — "

Do for buildings and pasture at 3 Mawas Do — " — 3 — "

Total — 1 — 8 — "

Thus.

+ the same to be given out of Nunjah in lieu of Poonjah there being no Poonjah available in the said Cusbah

Tanjore 1st May 1856

[Signed]

Translation

Returned to for orders with a recommendation that it be continued to the deceased's son Ramaswamier

[Signed]

Collector

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

Thus one vaily and Eight mawes have been continued as Survamanniem, but the said brahmin having now represented to us: that the land in question is unfit for cultivation and does not yield fully, we have resumed the land, making to him in lieu thereof another grant of Nunjah land of the same extent by a rod of 14 feet long including the usual allowance of "Dhurmady" and "Velar Kady" situate in the said Taluk as follows.

V. m. G

In Modelipoor Village attached to Cullegana poor Magharnum	}	" — 13 — "
In Aunna Village attached to Kylasa Mahal garden in Trivady	}	" — 15 — "
Total		1 — 8 — "

The said one vaily and Eight mawes of Nunjah land are granted as Survamanniem in the form of Dhara dutteam, including water trees stones, minerals, treasures, both present and future, both existing and to come into existence, with all the appertenances thereunto belonging and exempt from present and future tax. The land to be made over after fixing the boundaries. To be continued hereditarily from Son to

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

to grandson, and so forth. Fresh summuds not be required every year. Copy to be taken and the original returned to the grantee

Verses in Sanscrit

"It is more virtuous to maintain than to make a grant. By granting one goes to heaven while by maintaining a grant one gains eternal bliss. There is twice the moral merit in maintaining a grant made by another, than in making one ourself. By resuming the grant made by another, one loses the merit of ones own grant."

Pervanagee Irzgoor Irazaree the Rajestry Irumba Karen Peishwary Moottu Seroo Sood.

Irumbu Karen Peishwary Moottu Seroo Sood.

Irumbu Karen Peishwary Moottu Seroo Sood.

Samat Irzgoorvawees 9th Rajab Ravabar Palangravees Sood.

Irzgoor navees Sood.

Seroo Sood.

Entered on the 9th June 1809 No. 178 in the register of Survamanniem lands in the Collectors Cutcherry / Compr. / Goondoo Punt Sheristadar

Register Report No. 310 No. 62 B.

Irumbu Karen Peishwary Moottu Seroo Sood.

Rocca duftar to Ragoonatha annaja Kannavee

Kannaviesa Venkatapaty Iyah dummut of Tiruvady Soobba in the year Subba Sublime Myaluff durvajah badul Enam.

One vaily of land, measured by a rod of 14 feet long situated in the said Soobba (there occurs some letters which are not legible) has been granted on the 25th Rajab as Surva-manniem to Kalastynarrana Datchit grandson of Venkatadreesastry, and son of Kristnasastry a Vydroova, of Kasseapa gottra Aupastamba Soottra and a Student of the yegoo vedas, and continued to him from year to year. The land to be continued to the grantee this year as usual with preference to past enjoyment. Copy to be taken, and the original returned to the Brahmin referred to above.

26th Rabeelakir last or ending Maatha

Soorya Sood.

Entered on the 20th December 1809 No 310 in the register of Survamanniem lands in the Collector's Cutcherry.

/ Compared / Goondoopunt
Sheristadar

Regr Report No 22 No 62
C

From Maharaay Rajestry Rajah Prataapsingjee to the present and future Officers of Tiruvady Pattak, Styled "Mahala-wamcarcoonanee," in the year Soobhoo-sun Thiday

Thiday Khan Sree Myaulf durvajah badule-wam.

A grant of 1 v 8 m of land measured by a rod of 14 feet in length, including the usual allowance of two feet termed "Ulver-wady" and "Velac Kudy" in the Cusbah of Tiruvaily Maglamma in the said Talook, is made to Gopala Kristnava-dawy, grandson of Kanatchee sastry, and Son of Vengama Chagavat of Kasseapa gottra, Aushwalayana Soottra and a Student of the Rig vedas in the following manner.

		v m g
Kunjah		1 – " – "
+ Poonjah at one fourth of Kunjah		" – 5 – "
D– for buildings and pasture at 3 mawes a Vaily of Kunjah		" – 3 – "
Total		1 – 8 – "

+ The same to be given out of Kunjah in lieu of Poonjah, there being no poonjah land in the Cusbah available for the purpose.

The said one vaily and eight mawes of land are granted on Survamanniem tenure, in the form of Dhoradathum, including water, trees, stones, minerals, treasures, both present and future both existing and to come into existence with

Fort St George 29th July 1856

with all the appertenances thereunto belonging, and exempt from present and future taxe. The land to be made over after fixing the boundaries To be continued hereditarily from son to grandson and so forth. Fresh Sunnuds not to be required every year. Copy to be taken, and the original given back to the Brahmin referred to above.

Verses in Sanscrit.

It is more virtuous to maintain than to make a grant. By granting one goes to heaven, while by maintaining a grant one gains eternal bliss. There is twice the moral merit in maintaining a grant made by another than in making one oneself. By resuming the grant made by another one loses the merit of one's own grant.

Peiveraagee Iroogoor Lazareth
registry Narasingarow Ananda row
Paishwary Moortaboosood.

6th Rabiulawel
Ravabar
Palangamaees
Sood.

Sammat Kaskel.

Sammat Iroogoor naees

Three veraee pawas Line

Kaskel Sood.

Iroogoor naees Sood

Sootoo Sood

Rooja Soorna nees.

Entered on the 5th June 1809 in No. 22 of the
Collectors

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

Collectors Surwamanum list.
/Compared/ Goondoopunt
Sheristadar

Report No 65

Summud to Vycountapilly Taisildar of Tiruvady Talook.

One Ramaboyee, having lodged in my a complaint before us, stating that 18½ of Poonjah Nundavanam garden land in Cusbah Vallum of the same talook, enjoyed as Inam by her father in law generation to generation, has now fusly 1214, been included in the tarriff, and requesting at the same time to be maintained in the enjoyment of her Inam land as usual, we have ordered her to enjoy the same accordingly. You will therefore exclude the land in question from the Circar, accounts and without delay, prepare and transmit to our Cutcherry an account in Murat tah, shewing the produce of the land in the present fusly 1214, and the assessment thereon. In making over the land to the woman, you will also put her in possession

of

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

of the Crops that have been already cut.

the date is illegible — Karikal, April 1805 } [Signed] J. Wallace
Collector

Reg. N:17 — Report N: 66 A

Tarjua seal

From Maharaj rajastry Tool-
-zarajah to the present and future Officers
of Kulliana poor division, Styled "Maha
-lanamcarcoonanee" in the year Soobhoo sun
Chuday Sukabdu Myaulf darajah badul
Enam.

A grant of 2-16 of land by a rod
of 14 feet long including the usual allowance
of two feet termed "Chermady" and "Velac Kady"
situated in the Village of Porcombooloor,
attached to the said division, is made to Pauche-
-wadasastry, grandson of Anantanarrainasastry
and son of Septarishesastry, of Bharadwaja
goattra, Aushvalayana Soottra, and a
student of the Rig vedas as follows.

	V.	M.	G.
Nunjah	2	"	—
Poonjah at one fourth of Nunjah	"	10	—
Do. for buildings and pasturage at 3 Maws a vaily of Nunjah	"	6	—
Total	2	16	—

The

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

The said two vailies and sixteen
Maws of land, including Nunjah and Poonjah
are granted on Suvanvanien tenure, and in
the form of "dharadattam" including water,
trees Stones, minerals, treasures, both present
and future, both existing and to come into
existence, with all the appurtenances there-
-unto belonging, and exempt from present
and future tax. The land to be made over
after fixing the boundaries. To be continued
hereditarily from Son to grand Son, and
so forth. Fresh summuds not to be required
every year. The Copy to be taken, and the
original returned to the grantee.

Verses in Sanscrit

"It is more virtuous to maintain than
to make a grant. By granting one goes
to heaven, while by maintaining a grant
one gains eternal bliss. There is twice the
moral merit in maintaining a grant
made by another, than in making one
oneself. By resuming the grant made by
another, one loses the merit of ones own grant."

Perwanagee hoozoor Sugaresth
Rajestry Narasinga row Anundarow
Paishway

13589 Fort St George 29th July 1856

Parishway Mootaboosood.

25th Jamadilowel
Ravabar

Palangarnavees
Sood.

Tarsee Seal

Roojoo Soorna vees

Dammat Worgun navees

Soorya Sood

Soorya navees Sood.

Entered on the 5th June 1809 No 17 in the Collectors Durwamanein List.

/Compared/ Goondaspunt
Sheristadar.

Regr No 38 Report No 66

B.

Tarsee Seal

From Maharaj Rajestry Soolza-
-rayah to the present and future Officers of
Tanjore division, Styled "Mahalanamcarcom-
-nee" in the year Soohoorsun Subba Sittine
Myaulf durvajah badul main.

An extent of 1- 8- of land, measured
by a rod of 14 feet long, including the usual
allowance of two feet termed "Durmady" and
"VelacKady", in the Village of Durastamm
Perumbolgoor, belonging to the said
division, is granted as Durwamanein
to Venkatarangaiah, grandson of Ramesheer
Coopiah, and son of Veeraraghava, of
goatroma goatra Anpastamba Soothra,

and

13590 Fort St George 29th July 1856.

and a Student of the yejoor Vedas as follows.

	V	M	9
Nunjah	1	8	"
Poonjah at one fourth of Nunjah	"	5	"
Do for buildings and pasture at 3 Mows a Vaily of Nunjah	"	3	"
Total	1	8	"

The Seeroo to be given out of Nunjah in lieu of Poonjah.

The said one vaily and Eight Mows of Nunjah land are granted on Durwaman-
-nein tenure, and in the form of dhurmadat-
-tam, including water, trees, stones, minerals,
treasures, both present and future, both
existing and to come into existence, with
all the appertenances thereunto belonging
and exempt from present and future
taxe. The land to be made over after fixing
the boundaries. The grant to be continued
hereditarily from Son to grandson and
so forth. Fresh Summos not be required
every year. Copy to be taken and the
original returned.

In Sanscrit verses

"It is more virtuous to maintain than
to make a grant. By granting one

Fort St George 29th July 1856.

one goes to heaven, while by maintaining a grant one gains eternal bliss. There is twice the moral merit in maintaining a grant made by another than in making one oneself. By resuming the grant made by another one ~~loses~~ the merit of one's own grant."

Pervanagar huzoor huzaretth rajestry Naradingarow Annadarow Paishkiway moortabroosd.

10 Showel Ravabar Palangaravees Dood.

Streenee vaccara vaasinee

Gowna nees

Sammat huzoor Naveed

huzoor naveed Dood.

Soorya Dood.

Entered, on the 5th June 1809 in No 38 of the Collectors' Enam List.

Compared Goundojunt Sheristadar.

Regr No 124 Report No 66

C

Farsee Seal

From Maharaj rajestry Subba rajah to the present and future office of Tenjore division styled "Mahalanar carcoonanee" in the year Soobhoo sun Subba sitting Myaulf durvajah badul Enam

A grant of 1m 1-8- of land measured by a

Fort St George 29th July 1856

a rod of 14 feet long including the usual allowance of two feet termed "Ahermady" and "Velakady," in the Village of Davastanum-Peroomboleyoor, in the said division, is made to Kaisaveah, grandson of Ramava-dhanee, and son of Venkatashwervadhanee of Peraseragoattra, Aupastamba Soottra, and a student of the Yejoor Vedas, as shewn below.—

V M G.

Nunjah	1	—
Poonjah at one fourth of Nunjah	5	—
Do. for buildings and pasture at 3 Maws a vaily of Nunjah	3	—
Total	1	8 —

+ The same to be given out of Nunjah in lieu of poonjah.

The said one vaily and eight Maws of Nunjah land are granted on Survamanium tenure said in the form of Dharadattum including water trees, stones minerals, treasures, both present and future, both existing and to come into existence, with all the appurtenances thereunto belonging, and exempt from present and future taxe. The land to be made over after fixing the boundaries. The grant to be continued hereditarily from son to grandson, and so forth. Fresh Sunnuds not to be required every

13593 Fort St. George 29th July 1836

every year. Copy to be taken, and the original given back to the grantee.

In Sanscrit verses.

It is more virtuous to maintain than to make a grant. By granting one goes to heaven, while by maintaining a grant, one gains eternal bliss. There is twice the moral merit in maintaining a grant made by another than in making one oneself. By resuming the grant made by another, one loses the merit of one's own grant.

Pervanagee hoozoor hazereth rajestry Narasingarow Anunda Row Fairhway — Moortaboossood —

Sheeve-pacara vassinee

Roojoo Soorna vees

Hoozoor naveesdood

Sammut hoozoor naveesdood

Sooroo Sood

10th Showval Ranabar Palonganavees Sood

Entered on the 5th June 1809 in No. 124 of the Collector's Enam list —

/ Compared / Goondapunt Sheristadar

From

13594 Fort St. George 29th July 1836

Reg. Report No. 301 No. 67 A

From Maharajrajestry Toolzarajah to the present and future Officers of Trivady — Soobha styled "Mahalanam Carcoonanee" in the year Soohoorsun, Summana Subbine, Mayadf darvajah badul Enam —

A Suvvamanem grant of two valies of land by a rod of 14 feet long including the two feet termed "Adhermady" and "Velackady" usually allowed, situated in the Village of Trippandoorty, in the said Soobah, made by Rajistry Mullarjee Gadairoo, to Sadasivabhut grandson of Veeroopatchy deetchit, and son of Mahadavebhut, of Kausika Goattra, Auchvalayana Soottra, and a student of the Rig vedas, for which a Sunnud was issued on the 11th Dilhade, or the last month of the year Sunsitta Suttine, — has been continued to him accordingly. / Here follow a sentence, which cannot be understood, because some of its words are illegible / The brahmin now represents that the Duftar say that the Mailuvarum should be paid after deducting other demands on the gross produce of the land, and requests that the land should not

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

not be subjected to Estimate but be separated from the rest. The land has therefore, been separated from the rest, and granted on Survamaun tenure, and in the form of "dharadattum", including water, trees stones minerals, treasures, both present and future, both existing and to come into existence, with all the appurtenances thereunto belonging and exempt from present and future tax, as granted by the person referred to above. The land to be made over after fixing the boundaries. The grant to be Continued hereditarily from son to grandson and so forth. Fresh Sunnuds not be required every year. Copy to be taken and the original returned to the grantee.

In Sanscrit Verses.

It is more virtuous to maintain than to make a grant. By granting one goes to heaven, while by maintaining a grant, one gains eternal bliss. There is twice the moral merit in maintaining a grant made by another than in making one oneself. By resuming the grant made by

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

by another one loses the merit of one's own grant.

Pervanagee hoozoor hazareth rajestry Trembakarow Anundarow Paishvay Mowtaboovood.

1st Januadilovel Pavabar Palayanavees Sood.

Struprataf Veeracara Vassinee

Sooroo Sood.

Entered on the 20th December 1809 in No. 301 of the Collector's Enam list.

(Compared) Goondepant Sheristadar

Regr No. 646 Report No. 67 B

From Maharaj rajestry Toolzarajah to the present and future Officers of Trivady Potak, stiled "Mahalanameareeonanee" in the year Soohoorsum Sulla Subbine Nyauff darvajah badul Enam.

One vaily and Eight Mawes of land, measured by a rod of 11 feet long including the usual allowance of two feet termed "dhermady" and "velackady" situated in the Village of Katackoody, attached to Vool, magamum in the said Potak, are granted to Geercerajabala, grandson of

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

of Somayeepunt, and son of Venkayeepunt, of Kapila goattra, Ashvalayana Soottra, and a student of the Rig Vedas as follows—

	V.	m	g
Nunjah	1	—	—
Poonjah at one fourth of Nunjah	—	5	—
D°. at 3 Maws a vaily of Nunjah for buildings and pasture	—	3	—
Total	1	8	—

The said one vaily and Eight Maws of land, including nunjah and poonjah, are granted on Survamanium tenure, and in the form of "dharadattam," including water trees, stones, minerals treasures, both present and future, both existing and to come into existence, with all the appertenances or thereunto belonging to exempt from present and future taxe— The land to be made over after fixing the boundaries— The grant to be continued hereditarily from son to grand son and so forth— Fresh Sunnuds not to be required every year Copy to be taken

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

taken and the original returned to the grantee—

In Samscrit verses.

"It is more virtuous to maintain— than to make a grant. By granting one goes to heaven, while maintaining a grant, one gains eternal bliss— There is twice the moral merit in maintaining a grant made by another than in making one oneself. By resuming the grant made by another one loses the merit of ones own grant"

Pervanagee hoozoor Nazareth rajestry Narasinga row Anunda row Paishway moartaboosood.

25th. Rayan Ravabar Palanganavees sood.

Streevera caravad sice

Samarat hoozoor navees

Roojoo soornavees

Hoozoor navees sood.

Sooroo sood

Entered on the 10th May 1819 in N°. 316 of the Collectors Enam list.—

(compared) Venkut row Sheristadar.

13599 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

Regt No. 24 Report No. 71 72

	Chs.	Fs.	C.
Tanjore	533	5	"
Madura	2539	7	"
Total	3073	2	"

From Maharaj rajeshry Toolzarajah to the present and future Officers of Polak Vellampattoodatchina pranta, (or South Country) in the year Soohoorsun Khamas Summanine Myaulf daravajah badul Shrotriem

The twelve Maghanums of which the said Polak is composed consist of 134 Villages and hamlets the annual Shrotriem teerwadue whereon, including the Collections of both the instalments called "Iyengadoo and "Alayidagadoo," is as per last year

	Chs.	Fs.
Tanjore	533	5
Madura	2539	7
Total	3073	2

Sunnuds have to be issued this year for these Shrotriem Villages, some of which are at present held under sicca Sunnuds and others under Perva=nagee — The Shrotriem teerwah payable to the Circar per Annum from this year including both the Collec=tions of "Alayida=gadoo" and "Iyengadoo" is —

	C. Rs.	Fs.
Portion of the Additional teerwah of 1000 Chuckrums agreed to be paid by the parties, from the current year, to be borne by the Polak in question	335	3½
	3408	5½

13600 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

(Here the particulars of the above are given villagewar in the original Summud)

Particulars of the Charities in the Polak.

In Jagapatam on the road leading to Ramasweram, Veeraperoomapilly of Munjacoody has built a tiled Chuttrem and the Shrotriem Village of Lutchoome=narasimmapoor, in Munjacoody Maghanum and 3 pungoos of land in Moottayendel attached to Polakoody purchased by him have been allotted for its support — From the proceeds of the same lands, twelve brahmins to be daily fed, and a water pundal to be kept in Chuttrum —

A water pundal is kept in yemban=vayel in Munjacoody Maghanum situated in the same road, with the proceeds of one pungoo of land in the same Village, contributed by the Villagers in general —

In Polackoody, attached to Munjacoody Maganum on the same road, Rajaram gosee, a person of Goozzatee caste, of Tanjore, has built a house, and

and placed the family of a brahmin in it, in which a water pundal is also kept, with the proceeds of two pungoos of land, purchased in the same Village, which have been accordingly continued

Sengalpilly of Pombutty has built a tiled mattam to the east of seyanam in Munjacoody Maghanum, situate on the same road, for the support of which one man of Enam land in Seyanam, and four pungoos, purchased in the Village of Kariyoor in Pombutty Maganum, have been allotted. Twelve brahmins to be daily fed and water pundal to be kept in the mattam from the proceeds of the same lands by the person referred to above.

One Moddogee, a dependant of Nursogee pagatapa, has built a muntapum in the limits of Yembauckottay in Arlut toor Mahganum, situated on the same road, and four pungees of land in Yembauckottay aggraharum have been granted and continued as Shrotriem to it. A water pundal is also kept in the Muntapum from the proceeds of the same lands.

In

In Bramacharry mattam on the same road (here follow some lines, which are not legible) brahmins are daily fed and a water pundal is kept.

The whole of the brahmins of Panaryel in Olayanoor Maghanum have granted one pungoo of land to the Pagada in the Village which has been accordingly continued

Water pundals to be kept in all the Villages on the road leading to Ramaswarum as well as in all the Villages on every other road in the above Potak

The Charities to be continued as above setforth. The twelve Maghanums consist of of hundred and thirty four Villages and hamlets the Shrotriem teerva on which is (Tanjore) chs. 3408 5/2 per annum to be levied year by year as usual. Fresh Sunnuds not be required every year. Copy to be taken and the original returned to the whole of the brahmins grantees of the above Shrotriem lands.

Pervanagee hoozoor hazereth rajestry

Tembakarow. Anundarow Paiothway Moartaboosed.

16th Janditood
Ravabar
Palanganaved
Sood.

Sooroo sood.

Neerpatapeera caravasince

Rojjo sooma vees.

Entered on the 7th March 1810 in No. 24 of the Collectors

13603. Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

Collectors Shrotriem register with the following particulars stated to have been given by the Shotriemdar. The following deductions having been made from the above amount of chs 3,408 fs — 5½ Vizt

Teerwah on account of one out of the above 134 Villages granted by the above summud, named Ackenyendal, which with a few others had originally formed the endowment of Stree Autmanathaswamy pagoda at Ava-diargoody but which was added to the Poodoo-cottah Darbar by Mr. Harris, a late Collector. Amount remitted by Rajahs Ry. Amersing and Sherfogee out of the teerwah on the Shrotriem Villages, belonging to Stree Autmanathaswamy pagoda at Ava-diargoody as well as on the Village of Trippany and certain other Villages belonging to Vriddhapooreeshverswamy pagoda.

Total 14[illegible]

the remainder was chs 3259 fs 2 c 16, to which adding extra demand on account of Sunceram and Dasara and Sampraly bood for allowances to Sampraly Vizt. chs 97 fs 1 c 6 the total amount payable to the Circar as it now stands is chs 3356 fs 3 c 22

/ Compared / Goondapunt Sheristadar

/ True Translation /
/ Signed / H. Forbes
Collector

Remarks

L. & B.

13604. Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

Pre. No. 2383

Remarks thereon. Case 61.

In Cons. 29th July 1856. 1506.

1277.78

A portion of this Enam being exempt from interference under present rules, and the remainder having been a long time ago obtained on gift by the father in law of deceased from his father who also held main land, the Board support the recommendation of the Collector that the whole Enam measuring Valies 1—11—7 3/16 assessed at Rupees 81—15—6 may be continued to Gopaul Row deceased's adopted son.

Case 62.

Dated 22nd July 53 No. 838 C of B Vol. 2 page 163

The portion of this main marked A and B are exempt from interference under the orders of Government. It cannot be clearing ascertained when the remaining portion C was alienated from the family of the grantee but it was obtained by actual purchase by its last holder and has like the portions A and B been more than 25 years in his possession, the Board therefore report the recommendation of the Collector that the whole enam measuring Valies 0—15—5 11/16 assessed at Rupees 60—12—8 may

may be continued to deceased's nephew Hunday Row.

Case 63. Both the portions comprising this Enam appear in the Survey Accounts in the name of the last holder who obtained them by purchase in the year 1822, having previously enjoyed them for some time as mortgagee. The Board therefore agree with the Collector that the which Enam measuring Valies 0—19—39 13/16 assessed at Rupees 30—14—4 may be renewed in the name of his son Rungasamy Iyengar.

Case 64 On the ground of long possession the Board concur with the Collector that this Enam measuring as per Survey 1—1—10—39 assessed at Rupees 27—4—1 may be continued to the mother of deceased but only for her life, after which, it will lapse to Government.

Case 65. This Enam measuring —— 18—71/8 assessed at Rupees 9—12—— being in the family of the last holder to whom the Enam was restored in 1805, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that it may be renewed in the name of Ramachendra Row, grandson of deceased.

This

This Enam measuring Valies 1—4—17 assessed at Rupees 7—14—2. come into possession of the

Case 66. last holders family by purchase; it had already been alienated by the same means from the family of the original grantee. The deceased devoted its proceeds to the support of a Chultrum and her heir and Cousin continues to apply them to this purpose the Board on these grounds support the recommendation of the Collector that it may be renewed in the name of Sevasenkier Saiker who the heir here alluded to.

Case 67. On the ground of long possession and of the valuable consideration paid for this Enam measuring Valies 0—8—76 assessed at Rupees 25—8—1 the Board support the recommendation of the Collector to continue it in the name of Nageram Bhut, the only surviving son of deceased.

Case 68 This Enam measuring 0—2—42 49/64 assessed at Rupees 5—3—2 is recommended for renewal in the name of Annachella Sowen is great grandson of the original grantee.

Case 69 As this Enam measuring Valies 1—1—71 11/16 and

and assessed at Rupees 34–5–8. was pledged to the last holder pending the payment of a sum of money borrowed from him by the family of the original grantee the Board recommend with the Collector that it may be continued to his eldest son Annatoray Iyengar subject to the general regulations appertaining to Mortgages.

Case 70 On the ground of long possession and the value paid for this Enam measuring Varies 30–14–35/80 assessed at Rupees 288–13–7. the Board concur in the Collector's recommendation that it may be continued to Sashachellia, son of the last holder.

Case 71. A portion of this Enam being in the family of the original grantee, and the remainder being property which was mortgaged to the father of deceased a long time ago, the Board support the recommendation of the Collector that the whole Enam measuring Varies 0–7–88 assessed at Rupees 6–15– may be renewed in the name of Pichooryen, nephew of deceased the mortgaged portion being subject to the General Regulations.

Case 72. The portion claimed in this case measuring Varies 0–16–17 assessed at Rupees 14–3–1 forming a part of

part of the mortgaged lands of the preceding Case No. 71 which were recommended to be continued to Pichooryen who is the claimant for this Enam, also, being the paternal Cousin of the last holder, the Board agree with the Collector that it may be continued to him on the same condition with reference to the rules regarding mortgages.

1279

Bd's No. 1776 from the Asst to the Chief Engineer C.D.W.

Memo.

The Assistant to the Chief Engineer has the honor under orders to forward to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue with reference to his Memo of date the 12th instant the undermentioned Rain Reports received at this Office.

30 Rain reports

Vizagapatam	for	January and February	1856
Masulipatam	"	February	1856
Guntoor	"	August	1855
Cuddapah	"	Augt Sept. Novr and Decr	1855
Bellary	"	December 1855 to February	1856
Kurnool	"	Do.	Do.

Madura

13809. Fort Saint George 29th July 1856

Madura ——— for — January and February 1856 —
Tinnevelly ——— " — February 1856 ———
Coimbatore ——— " { August & from October 1855 to February 1856 ———
Salem ——— " — January and February 1856
Canara ——— " — December 1855 to — Do —
Malabar ——— " — August 1855 ———
North Arcot ——— " ——— Do ———

The Reports from Combatore for September last, and from Cuddapah for January and February last, have not been received but have been called for —

Central Office
of Public Works
Fort Saint George
22nd May 1856

/ Signed / P. O'Connel Lieutenant
Afsistant to the Chief Engineer

Order thereon

Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent in reply. —

1279-80

Official Memo.

Bd's No. 2377.

To the Chief Engineer & PW

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honour to return, with thanks, to the Afsistant to the Chief Engineer, the 30 Rain Reports forwarded with his Official Memo dated 22nd May last. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
29th July 1856.

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Collector

To

13810. Fort St. George, 29th July 1856.

No. 131

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

1281

Bd's No. 2339.
From the Collector of Madura ... } Sir,
To Board 25th Nov. 1854
From Do. 21st Dec. "

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to forward copies of Decrees in O. S. Nos. 50 and 52 of 1854 on the file of the Subordinate Court in favor of Government.

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector.

Madura, ... }
19th June 1856. }

No. 1.

Madura Subordinate Court Decree

Subordinate Court original Suit No. 50 of 1854.

Plaintiff — Versus — Defendants

Palany aundy kone — 1. R. D. Parker Esqre Collector of Madura
2. Sambier Town Police
of Do
3. Moottoosawmy maistry
Vakeel
4. Soobba Row
Vakeel
Soobbarayia Iyer

Plaintiff prays for a Decree for = bidding Defendant to deprive him of a piece of ground

Fort St. George, 29th July 1856.

ground measuring from East to West 40 feet by 22 from North to South valued at Rupees 11 which he alleges to be in his possession and to have descended to him from a long line of ancestors.-

2. 1st Defendant answers that the Statements of Plaintiff with regard to the land in question having been granted to his ancestors by the Paundian Rajah is a mere assertion and that it forms a part of the Paundian Ditch and is in fact Public Pro-perty.-

3. The other Defendants Exparte.-

4. The Reply and Rejoinder contain no new matter.

5. Points for Plaintiff.-

I Prove that the ground in dispute belongs to him as his ancestral Property.-

6. Points for 1st Defendant

I Prove by Documents and Witnesses that the disputed ground forms a part of the Paundian Ditch and that it belongs to the Circar.-

2. File copies of the Decrees in No. 2297 before the late Zillah Court of Madura and

Fort St. George, 29th July 1856.

and No. 79 of 1831 before the Sholavandan Moonsiff and 674 of 1840 before Moonsiff of Terooparingoondrum.-

7. Plaintiff filed no Documents, but five Witnesses were examined Viz.t 1 Chenderum pillay 2. Nagalinga Cothen 3. Narasinga Cone, 4 Suppany Cone and 5 Sawmy Nadien.-

8. 1st Defendants Documents.

I A Petition addressed to Sawmy Cothen and 10 others to 1st Defendant on the 17th July 1851. desiring him that the ground in dispute which forms part of the Paundian Ditch and Circar property may be sold to them by Public auction.-

II A Do. addressed by one Causia pillay to Do. on the 17th December 1853 requesting that a piece of vacant ground measuring 53 by 14 feet in the said Ditch may be sold to him by Public sale.-

III A Deed addressed by Curpoyee and 25 others to Do. on the 23d October 1854 desiring that the whole of the Ditch inclusive of the disputed ground may be sold in auction so to make it over proportionally to the adjacent

adjacent Householders and collect the price thereof from them respectively.-

IV An authenticated copy of a Decree No. 2296 passed by the Register of the late Zillah Court of Madura dated 8th March 1814 shewing the disputed ground to form a portion of the said Ditch.-

V A Dº Dº of Dº No. 79 of 1831 on the file of the Sholavandan Moonsiffs dated 21st July 1831 which shews the same as the above Documents.-

9 1st Defendants Witnesses.

1 Racka pillay, 2 Veroomany Tavey, and 3 maary uppen.-

Judgment.

10. The points in this suit and in 52 of 1854 being similar and the Collector being in either instance 1st Defendant tho' the Plaintiffs are different the Court takes the same view of both cases.-

11. Plaintiff filed no Documents He rested his claim on the evidence of five witnesses - all of whom deposed in so contradictory a manner that no reliance can be placed on their statements.-

The

The 1st Defendant on the contrary shewed by uncontestible evidence Documentary as well as oral, that the land belongs to the Government. He proved that it is vacant and has been so for a long while that it forms a portion of the Paradian Ditch which his Predecessor Mr. Blackburne caused to be levelled by convict labour and that parts of the Ditch were given to Dancing girls by Mr. Peter.-

The

13615. Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

12. The Court at the request of the Petitioner inspected the spot in person and came to the same conclusion arrived at by Mr. Parker as regards its being unoccupied. It further considered from the statements made at the time that Plaintiffs suit was litigious an opinion fully confirmed by the Declaration of 1st Defendant as that he was willing to give up the ground to Plaintiff on condition of his cleaning & draining it, but that Plaintiff refused his offer.—

The

C.B.

13616 Fort St. George 29th July 1856.—

13. The Court therefore in addition to finding for 1st Defendant and condemning Plaintiff to pay all the costs of this Suit, fines him in the manner provided for vexatious Complaints in Section IX Regulation II of 1802 the sum of 10 Rupees.—

Costs incurred by Plaintiff

Value of Stamp paper		3	8
Peon's Batta		1	6
Batta to Witnesses		2	
Vakeels fees	8 9	5	8 9

Costs incurred by 1st Defendant

Value of Stamp paper	3	12	
Peons Batta		12	
Vakeels Fees	8 9	5	9
Total Rupees	10 9	6	

Deduct.

Costs to be borne by Plaintiffs		5 8	9
Balance to be paid by Dr. to 1st Defendant		5	9

Given under my hand in the Subordinate Court at Madura on the 17th day of March A. D. 1856.—

The Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby informed that in conformity with the

13617

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

the order of Sudr Udalut No. 48. no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid, unless it first receives the sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed.—

In conformity with the order of Sudr Udalut No. 123 no Decree shall be executed after the lapse of 12 years unless the party applying for execution, shews by clear and positive proof that his application is on one or other of the grounds specified in Clause 4 Section XVIII Regulation II of 1802 excepted from the general rule of limitation prescribed in that Section.—

/Signed/ and Sealed in open Court this 31st day of March A. D. 1856.

The period for preferring an appeal from this Decree will expire on the 1st day of May A. D. 1856 within which time the application for appeal accompanied by Copy of the Decree previously passed on the case must be presented to the Civil Court or the application will be rejected.—

/Signed/ J. R. Cockerell
Acting Subordinate Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

13618

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

No. 2

Madura Subordinate Court Decree. No. 52 of 1854

Subordinate Court
Original Suit
Plaintiff
Alaghya Permaul

Versus

Defendants
1 R. D. Parker Esqre Collector of Madura
2 Sambier Town Police of Do.
3 Moottoosawmy Maistry
4 Veroyee and
5 Curpoyee
Vakeel.

Vakeel.

Plaintiffs prays for a

1. Decree forbidding Defendants to deprive him of a piece of ground 36 feet by 18 valued at Rupees 9 which he alleges to be in his possession and to have descended to him from a long line of ancestors.—

/1st Defendants answer.

2. that the Statements of Plaintiff are mere assertions that the spot in question is a portion of the Paendram Ditch and Public property.—

The

13619. Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

3 The 2d and 3d Defendants exparte.—

Reply and Rejoinder contain no new matter.—

5. Points for Plaintiff—

1. Prove that the ground in dispute belongs to him as his ancestral property.—

6. Points for 1st Defendant

1 Prove by Documents and Witnesses that the disputed ground forms part of the Paundian Ditch and that it belongs to the Circar.—.

2 File copies of the Decrees in No. 2,297. before the late Zillah Court No. 79 of 1831 before Sholavaundaum Moonsiff and No. 674 of 1840, before Teroopparungoondum Moonsiff.—

7. Plaintiffs claim against the 4th and 5th Defendants forms a separate action and they are therefore exempted. the Plaintiff is directed to bring a separate suit against them.

Plaintiff

13620 Fort St. George 29th July 1856.—

8. Plaintiff filed no Documents but three witnesses were examined Viz. 1. Chenderum Pillay, 2 Nagalinga Mothen and 3 Narasinga Mone.—

9. Defendant filed no Documents nor were witnesses cited by him.—

Judgment.

10. The grounds of Plaintiffs claim on this suit being identical with those of the Plaintiff in original Suit No. 50 of 1854. and supported by similar evidence the Court see no reason for taking a different view of them and in deceding against Plaintiff and condemning him to pay all costs fines him under Section IX Regulation II of 1802 the sum of

10

13621

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

10 Rupees.—.

Costs incurred by Plaintiff

Value of Stamp paper	3	12
Vakeels fees	"	8 "
Peons Batta	1	" "
Batta to witnesses	" 2.	5-7"
Costs incurred by 1st Defendant—		
Value of Stamp paper	1	" "
Vakeels fees	"	8—a 1 8"
Costs incurred by 4th and 5th Defendants		
Value of Stamp paper	"	12"
Total Rupees	7	11"

Deduct.

Costs to be borne by Plaintiff	5	7"
Dc. to be paid by Dc. to 1st Defendant	1	8"
Dc. to be paid by Dc. 4th and 5th Defendants	"	12-4

Given under my hand in the Subordinate Court of Madura on the 17th day of March A. D. 1856.—.

The

13622

Fort St. George 29th July 1856

The Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby informed that in conformity with the order of Sudr Udalut No. 48 that no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid, unless it first receives the sanction of the Court by which the Decree is passed.—

In conformity with the order of Sudr Udalut No. 123 no Decree shall be executed after the lapse of 12 years unless the party applying for execution shews by clear and positive proof that his application is on one or other of the grounds specified in Clause 4 Section XVIII Regulation II of 1802 excepted from the general rule of limitation prescribed in that section.—

Signed and sealed in open Court.

13623

Fort St. George 29th July 1856.

Court this 31st day of March A. D. 1856.—

The period for preferring an appeal from this Decree will expire on the 1st day of May A.D. 1856. within which time the application for appeal accompanied by copy of the Decree previously passed or the case must be presented to the Civil Court or the application will be rejected.—

(Signed) J. R. Cockerell
Acting Subordinate Judge.

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

F. G. B.

13622 Fort St. George 29th July 1856

B. No. 2378
Proceedings thereon.
(1281-82)

Resolved that the foregoing letter and its accompaniments be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

2. Both Suits were bought for a similar purpose, viz. to recover portions of the ground in Madura known as the Pandian Ditch, and which was filled in and levelled by the late Principal Collector Mr. Blackburne.—The decrees are in favor of Government.—the Plaintiffs in both Cases have been adjudged to pay all Costs, and have been moreover fined by the Court under Section IX.—Regulation II of 1802.—for preferring vexatious Complaints.—

No. 80.

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1283)
B. No. 2653
From the Pr. Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a few spare Copies of a Gazette which I have commenced for this District in expectation of its proving an important means of Circulating Official information & facilitating business.—

2. It is not got up so neatly as could be wished as the Press is only a wooden machine and

and the materials incomplete and the workmen ~~
inexperienced, and I fear also I shall not in future
be able to vie with my more fortunate neighbours ~~
who obtained complete Iron presses at least in
Typography, which is more particularly suited
for the Gazette, tho Lithography is found very ~~
convenient for many other purposes. —

Guntoor Collector's Office 11th July 1850. —

(Signed) H. Newill —
Acting Collector. —

Ordered that the following letter
be sent in reply. —

(1283-84)

To,
The Acting Collector of
Guntoor. —

B. No. 2375.
To the Actg. Collector of Guntoor —

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue
to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated
11th Instant forwarding some Specimens of a Telugoo
Gazette printed in your Office, and to acquaint
you with their entire approbation of the
character and objects of the paper and of the
readiness and zeal with which you have sought
to turn the printing press to good account. —

Inclosure 29th July 1850.

2. Considering that the Specimen Sheets
you have forwarded are among the earliest
efforts

efforts of your printing Establishment, and
that the press itself is of wood and Manufactured
by a Native, the Board are of opinion that the
work is very fair, though the blue French
foolscap does not appear to receive the ~~
impression readily. —

3. The contents of the Gazette are likely to
be very useful to the community. Should the ~~
Circulation become General, the Board think
that brief Notices of Civil and Criminal trials
might also be added, giving the names of parties
and the substance of the decrees or sentences. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th July 1850 —

(Signed) G. S. Forbes. —
Secretary. —

No. 84.

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1283)

B. No. 2736
From the Collector of Canara —

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 8th
Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the Gazetteers
have been corrected and revised, and I hope to be
able to send the four Volumes by successive
Posts, the first leaving this tomorrow. —

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore, 15th July 1850 —

(Signed) W. Fisher. —
Collector. —

Proceedings

Fort St. George 29th July 1850

1285-86

B. No. 2280

Proceedings thereon

Resolved that the letter recorded above and the Copies of Thornton's Gazetteer to which it refers be submitted to Government with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 15th August 1855 No. 1122

Inform 4th Sept. 1855

J.G.B.

No. 141

1287

B. No. 2798

From the Asst. Collector and Agent in Ganjam

To

The Secretary

Court of Wards

Fort St. George

Sir,

When lately at Kemedy I learnt that the people of the town as well as those of its environs were very desirous of participating in the benefits of education now so widely being diffused I have been in communication with Mr. Macdonald on this subject and he entirely approves of the plan of establishing a School there, altho he thinks there will not be funds available, as of course the Government Talooks must be first supplied with Government Schools of which there are now none.

2. In my letter to Mr. Goldingham dated the 21st February 1855, No. 30, I stated that Rupees 40-0-0 per mensem permanently, and Rupees 400-0-0 for a building would be required for a School at Purlakemedy. On a second consideration, I think the maximum rate of monthly expenditure

Fort St. George 29th July 1850

	Rs.
*Head Master	30
2d — 2d	25
1 Assistant	15
Saderward	10
4 Scholarships	20
3 Oreya and 1 Telugu	
	100

expenditure may be fixed at *Rupees 100 with advantage. This will include some Scholarships, and altho' the medium of imparting education must for the present be the Vernaculars of the District Telugu and Oreya - I think it would be impossible to get efficient Masters without liberal Salaries. I therefore request that Sanction may be accorded for the disbursement of Rupees 500-0-0 for a building and School fittings, and for a further monthly disbursement of Rupees 100-0-0, and an advance of 100 Rupees to buy books, Slates &c. to be recovered afterwards from the boys &c. from the Surplus funds of the Zemindar.

3. The School would of Course be under the Supervision of the Inspector Northern Division, and the same rules as to fees &c. will obtain as in Government Schools.

Ganjam District
Collector's Cutcherry
Chellarpore, 16th July 1850

(Signed) W. Knox
Asst. Collector
and Agent.

1287-88

B. No. 2365

Proceedings thereon
To the Director of the
Public Instruction

Resolved that the letter recorded above be transferred for disposal to the Director of Public Instruction.

To

13029 — Fort St. George 29th July 1856

B

No. 77.

1289

B. No. 2714

From the Agent at Kurnool

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

Agreeably to the orders conveyed in an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 1122 under date 15th August 1855 Copy of which reached me on the 14th Instant, and Letter No. 201 dated 8th Instant received this day I have the honor to report having despatched by Bangby the Gazetteers referred to therein with the alterations required entered in the interleaf opposite "Kurnool".

Kurnool District
Govt. Agents Office
On Circuit Veldoorty
18th July 1856

I Signed I J. G. Russell
Offg. Agent to the Govr.

P. S.

To prevent any further delay I send the Volume referred to Kurnool by Post the other 3. Volumes I will send by bangby.

Signed J. G. Russell
Offg. Agent to the Govr.

1289.90

B. No. 2387.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the letter recorded above, and the Copies of Thornton's Gazetteer to which it refers, be Submitted to Government with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 15th August 1855 No. 1122.

In Cons. 1st Sept. 1855

To

13030 — Fort St. George 29th July 1856

No. 140

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

1291

B. No. 2721

From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that of the total Peishcush re due by the Zemindar of Cauvetnuggur for Fusly 1265,

1st Instalment in December	62,555-8-	payable by three instal-
2d Ditto ..... March	62,555-8-	ments as noted in the
3d Ditto 15th June	62,552--	margin, the first two

instalments and a portion of the 3d instalment, Viz. Rs. 12,552 have been duly discharged; but in regard to the remaining portion of the 3d instalment, Viz. Rupees 50,000, the Zemindar submits a request, that he may be allowed to discharge it by three instal- ments, (without interest) payable in addition to the three instalments of Fusly 1266. I would however suggest that the arrears be paid in two instalments, with the 1st and 2d Kists of the Current Fusly, as Sanctioned by the Board in the Case of the Calastry Zemindary.

2. With reference to Extract Board's Proceedings dated 3d Instant, No. 2025, I have the honor to State, that the individual therein named, Balasoondara Moodely, does not reside in this District, and that it appears

to

to me that the requisition of his presence could not be attended with any satisfactory results as it would be impossible, the season in question having passed away, to ascertain in any reliable method the truth of his allegations.

3. My recommendation for the exercise of lenency in respect to the Zemindaries is founded on the ascertained considerable failure of the Crops of a part of this District, which adjoins the Zemindaries, and this consideration appears to be more reliable in estimating the condition of the Zemindaries, than the unsupported assertions of an individual, who does not hesitate to confess that he has a considerable pecuniary interest in endeavouring to establish their truth.

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 17th July 1850

/Signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector.

B. R. No. 2370

Proceedings thereon.

(1291-92)

In consequence of the unfavorable nature of the late Seasons, and as the Collector recommends that some indulgence should be allowed to the Zemindar, the Board resolve to permit the payment of the

the balance of Peishcush due by the Cavel-nugger Zemindar for Fusly 1265 in two instalments of 25,000 Rupees each to be paid with the 1st and 2nd Lists of the opening Fusly. The Zemindar however should be warned, that no such indulgence will be shewn in ordinary Seasons.

No. 107.

(1293)

B. R. No. 2370

From the Collector of Coimbatore

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

At the instance of Captain Hamilton, I beg to forward an advertisement for Elephants required for the Anamalay Forests, and request that the Same may be published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Coimbatore
Collectors Office
17th July 1850

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

Advertisement

13033 Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

No. 1.

Advertisement

Wanted for Purchase on account of Government some Strong active Elephants for dragging timber. A trial will be required before purchase apply to the Acting Superintendent Annamalay Forests Coimbatore.

Coimbatore 15th July 1850

/Signed/ Dughas. Hamilton Captain
Actg Supt. Anmy. Forests.

D. No. 2364.

Proceedings thereon.

(1293.94)

Ordered that a Copy of the Advertisement which accompanies the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Reverend Mr. Percival for publication in his Tamil and Telugoo Papers and to the Superintendent of the Government Printing Press for insertion in the Fort St. George Gazette.

To

13034 Fort St. George 29th July 1850

No. 462.

To

G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1295)

D. No. 2698.
From the Civil Auditor

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of a letter to my address, from the Collector of South Arcot, dated the 12th Instant pointing out that the bills for extra Tent allowance submitted by the Subordinate Officers of his District, should in his opinion bear his countersignature, before they are passed in this Office, and I request that you will be so good as to favor me with the opinion of the Board on the feasibility of adopting the course suggested by Mr. Hall, which appears to me to be deserving of consideration, and of authorizing all Collectors to exercise a similar control over the proceedings or movements of their Subordinates, throughout the several Districts of this Residency.

Fort Saint George
Civil Auditors Office
17th July 1850

/Signed/ F. Lushington
Civil Auditor.

To

F. Lushington Esqre
Civil Auditor
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to request that you will not pass any Bills for Extra Tent allowance from

from this District unless they bear my countersignature.—

2. The charges for Extra tentage have hitherto required the Sanction of the Board of Revenue before being brought to the Head of Actual payment in the accounts.—

3. Under the present arrangement for audit it seems to me to be a question whether a Monthly Statement should not be submitted to the Board of Revenue for their approval before being audited by you.—

4. I have therefore written to the Secretary on the Subject.—

South Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 12th July 1850

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector.

(True Copy)
/Signed/ F. Cushington
Civil Auditor.

1295. 96
B. No. 2381

Proceedings thereon.

The Board approve of the suggestion of the Collector of South Arcot that the Bills for Extra Tentage of the Subordinate Officers of his District should be countersigned by him before transmission to this Office.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be communicated to all Collectors for information and guidance. A copy will also be furnished to the Civil Auditor with reference to the foregoing letter.—

To

No. 114.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

1297
B. No. 2840
From the Pr. Collector of Ganjam

With reference to your Circular letter of the 19th March last No. 849 on the Subject of Cloth belts. I have the honor to inform you that there are 114 Revenue Dalayets on the Establishment of this Collectorate who are required to be furnished with Cloth belts—Cloth can be obtained at Berhampoor for 3 Rupees per yard. 20 yards of Cloth will be required the Cost for this will be at the above rate Rupees 60 exclusive of Rupees 6—14 for hire for making the belts. The Cost per each belt according to this Calculation will be Rupees 1—10—6.—

† Cloth 1—8
Hire —"— 2—6
1—10—6

As observed in the 3d para of the above Circular the lower Classes of peons are furnished with leather belts.—

The Cloth belts are renewed once every five years in this District. The above

Daes

13637 Fort St. George 29th July 1850

does not include the agency peons.—

Ganjam District
Collectors Cutcherry
Chelterpoor 18th July 1850
} Signed W. Knox
Acting Collector.

Order thereon
1297-98

Ordered to lie over.—

No. 231

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1299
B. No. 2768.
From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir

Referring to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 2269. under date the 19th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that out of 65850 Maunds of Salt set apart on account of Government Indent for Chittagong, 3000 Maunds were Shipped by Venkata=reddy on the 5th May 1850 at a freight Rupees 40 per 100 Maunds. A further quantity of 10,000 Maunds is proposed to be Shipped by Messrs. Graham Dalton & Co. under the Board's Orders of the 10th July at a freight of Rupees 42 per 100 Maunds.—

Chingleput District—
Collectors Office—
Sydapet 21st July 1850
} Signed C. J. Shubrick.
Collector.

B. No. 2366
Proceedings thereon
1299/300

The Collector is requested to report after the completion of the Shipment to the "Fortescue" what quantity of Salt has been actually supplied to that Vessel.—

To

13638 Fort St. George 29 July 1850.

No. 235.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

1301
Bd. No. 2800
From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir

I have the honor to forward for publication in the Board's Office the accompanying Advertisement for the sale of certain Mootahs on account of arrears of Revenue due for Fasly 1265. and request the sanction of the Board for the sale in question.—
It is expected that several of the Estates will be redeemed before the date of sale.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapet, ——
22d July 1850.—
} Signed C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Advertisement

13639

Fort St George 29th June 1836.

Statement of the Lands to be Sold

Fasly 1265

Number	Names of Mostahs	Number of Villages	Permanent Beriz	Kist			Interest			Sibbundy			Rates of Contribution on account of Irrigation from Government Tanks &c.			Total		
1	Coomily	1	102	1	7	21	10	3	"	5	1	2	4	2	"	24	3	6
2	Seroonumbankum	1	228	11	8	139	10	10	3	3	11	8	5	10	"	151	4	7
3	Seroocalattoor	1	44	1	5	2	9	5	"	"	"	"	1	4	6	3	7	11
4	Maumbankum	1	205	10	10	7	7	3	"	"	"	4	13	8	"	12	4	11
5	Chinna Calacady	1	113	8	4	15	8	4	1	1	5	2	7	8	"	19	1	5
6	Pandhoor	15	3693	9	10	568	"	10	24	7	"	39	11	1	"	632	2	11
7	Vetalapooram	21	3824	13	4	567	11	10	1	13	11	48	4	8	736	1,354	3	5
8	Coommaracoopum	1	245	8	1	12	3	6	1	5	10	6	3	4	"	19	12	8
9	Sunthavaloor	1	628	2	2	123	13	3	1	10	3	9	11	4	"	135	2	10
10	Shuthanoor	1	118	6	2	76	6	2	1	4	7	1	15	3	"	79	10	"

| | | |

Fort St George 29th July 1836.

Bd No. 2371
Proceedings thereon
(1304.2)

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of Revenue of the Mootahs alluded to in the foregoing letter and direct the publication in their Office of the Advertisement forwarded by the Collector Thursday the 15th September next being fixed for the day of sale.

No. 143

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(1303)
Bd No. 2842
From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir

I have the honor with reference to your letter of the 21st April 1836 No. 1203 enquiring whether the directions contained in their Circular of 24 December 1833 respecting the discontinuance of the practice of adjourning sales in the cases of Revenue Farms put up to auction are strictly observed, to state that the directions advertised to have not been strictly observed in putting up to sale small farms such as topes and Fisheries.

2 It was apprehended that in the strict adherence to the orders

in

Fort St George 29th July 1836.

in question in the case of such small farms as can be bid for only by local & village communities. the absence of competition and the existence of combination to keep down the bids would entail loss of revenue. It was objected also that in the event of the upset price not being bid for, and the farm consequently reverting to the Circar the expense of management would also entail loss and it was in contemplation therefore to submit the question again as far as these small local farms were concerned for the consideration of the Board & Government.

3 It appears to me however that the objections stated have been already considered, and that it remains only to obey the instructions of the Board and of Government on the subject and I have therefore issued Circular Orders to the Sub-Collectors directing them to observe a strict

adherence

13642. Fort St George 29 July 1836.

adherence to those orders.—

North Arcot,
Collectors Cuttcherry
Chittoor,
25 July 1836.

/Signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector

1303.

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded &c.

To

J. Bird Esqre
Collector of
Trichinopoly.

1305

Bd. No. 2851.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to forward for favorable consideration this my application for leave of absence of ten days from the date of my quitting the district to proceed to Ootacamund. No delay nor extra expense will be caused by my absence.

Camp Moonghilpundy
22d July 1836.

/Signed/ Frederick J.B. Priestley Capt.
Superintendent Revenue Survey

In forwarding this application for leave the Collector has the honor to state that there is no objection to its being complied with.—

Trichinopoly District,
Portally Goodalore,
Collr. Circuit Cuttcherry,
25th July 1836.

/Signed/ J. Bird
Collector

Order

13643 Fort St George 29 July 1836.

Order thereon

1305-6

Ordered to be submitted through the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of government.—

Resolved that the following letter be despatched &c.—

Read Extract Minutes of Consultation 5th February 1836 No. 120 calling for information regarding such surplus Pagoda funds as exist in Tanjore and other Districts.—

1307

Bd. No. 2362.

Proceedings thereon

From the reports now before them the Board find that there are no surplus Dewastanam Funds either in deposit or currently accruing in any of the following Districts the reports received from which are now laid before Government.—

1184	From Ganjam 31 March Inft. 12 April 1836		Ganjam
997	From Vizagapatam 17 March Inft. 17 June	"	Vizagapatam
972	From Masulipatam 20 March Inft. 3 June	"	Masulipatam
851	From Kurnool 7 Inft. 13 March	"	Kurnool
857	From Madras 11 Inft. 13 March	"	Madras
& after 1034	From Coimbatore 22 March Inft. 18 June	"	Coimbatore
933	From Malabar 12 Inft. 19 March	"	Malabar
1871	From North Arcot 21 May Inft. 19 July	"	North Arcot
1499	From Rajahmundry 28 April Inft. 7 May	"	Rajahmundry

2 In the following Districts there are no funds currently accruing but the following balance remained in deposit at the close of last year.—

1238	From Guntoor 11 instos 15 April	1856	Guntoor	790	4
1153	From Nellore 8 instos 12 April	"	Nellore	2013	13 0
1026	From Chingleput 26 March instos 17 June	"	Chingleput	23488	3 9
1022	From Cuddapah 22 March instos 15 July	"	Cuddapah	1607	3 3
1088	From Bellary 22 March instos 30 April	"	Bellary	1590	0
784	From South Arcot 4 instos 10 March	"	South Arcot	680	11 5
778	From Trichinopoly 3 instos 7 March	"	Trichinopoly	11	15 "
837	From Madura 8 instos 13 March	"	Madura	28498	4 2
1031	From Salem 26 March instos 30 April	"	Salem	39468	3 1
1104	From Canara 27 March instos 30 April	"	Canara	862	8 3

3 From the above abstract it will be seen that balances to a considerable amount exist only in 3 Districts Madura. Salem and Chingleput. In the other 7 Districts the balances are small.

4 The Acting Collector of Guntoor proposes that 500 Rupees out of the 790 Rupees in deposit shall be expended in purchasing a site for the Dispensary which is stated to have been sanctioned by Government and the remainder in municipal improvements. The statement forwarded by the Acting Collector includes a subscription raised by the European

Community

Vide Fmn Colr 8 Dec. 19 July 1856 No 2586.

community and Public Servants to aid in the construction of certain Chuttrums for which the Devastanum Funds did not suffice. The Board see no objection to the manner in which it is proposed to lay out the balance in hand.

5 The balance in Madura has been set aside for the project long contemplated for supplying water to the Town.

6 Of the balance in Canara Rupees 862/ a sum of 500 Rupees will probably be required to complete a Chuttrum already under construction. The trifling sum in Trichinopoly has probably been already expended.

2 C 11 June 1856. 7 Under the orders recently issued in Extract Minutes of Consultation 27 May 1856 No 783 Public Works Department the several Amounts above specified will be carried to the Credit of local Funds but this will not interfere with the appropriation of the Madura Surplus to the object proposed.

Sd

13646 Fort St George 29 July 1856.

8 He reports from the 8 remaining 2 Districts of Tinnevelly and Tanjore will be laid before Government hereafter.

1308

Board's No 2372

Official Memorandum

Official Memorandum from the Malayalum Translator to Government.

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 30 July 1855 the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request the Malayalum Translator to Government to favor the Board with abstract translation of the Pettition and Collectors order noted in the Margin.

No 2073 of 1855 with their Enclosure

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St George
29 July 1856.

/Signed/ G.S. Forbes
Secretary

1309

To Messrs Cammiade Martin & Co

Board's No 2363.

To Messrs Cammiade Martin & Co

Gentlemen

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish them with a written reply to their letter of 15th July 1856 regarding the system of allowing whole sale purchasers Salt on credit.—

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St George
29 July 1856.

/Signed/ G.S. Forbes
Secretary

From.

13647 Fort St George 29 July 1856.

Board's No 2792
From the Secretary to Government dated 23d July for information. 1856.

1310

Read Extract. Communicating the orders of Government on a letter from the Chief Engineer regarding the expenditure for the completion of certain works in the Districts of South Arcot and Nellore which were not included in the Budget of 1856.1857.—

1310-11

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements

1312

No 1 No 1742.

From the Collector of Madras. Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants during the Quarter ending 30th June 1856.

1313

No 2 No 1743

From the Collector Madras. Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment for the Quarter ending 30th June 1856.

1314

No No 1737.

From the Collector of Canara Informs that the Returns of Revenue Defaulters confined and released are blank for the Quarter ending 30th June 1856.—

From.

13648 Fort St. George 29 July 1856.

Rt. No. 1736
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore
1315 — Informs that the Returns of Bullion and Specie are not forwarded for May and June last.

Rt. No. 1735.
From the Collector of North Arcot
1316 — Statement of Demand Collection and Balance for June 1856.

Rt. No. 1738.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam
1317 — Rain Report for June 1856.

Rt. No. 1739.
From the Collector of Canara.
1318 — Register of Petitions for June 1856.

Rt. No. 1740.
From the Collector of Canara
1319 — Informs that no Export or Import has taken place during June 1856.

Rt. No. 1745.
From the Collector of Madras
1320 — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on the 15th July 1856.

Proceedings

13649 Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Wednesday the 30th July 1856

Read the following Papers.

1321
B. No. 2837
From the Secty to Government
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 26th July 1856.—
Sanctioning the charges retrenched in the Accounts of the Madura collectorate for Fasly 1263, and observing that the charges with respect to the destribution of the Police Peons ought not to have been made without the previous consent of Government.—
See No. 768 in Separate Volume. P. 443

1321-22
Board No. 2393.
Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura, for information and guidance.—

1323
B. No. 2839
From the Secretary to Government
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 26th July 1856.—
Sanctioning the refund to Sayperumal pillay and others who claimed lands in the Village of Nattamungalum in Tanjore of their respective shares of the money held in deposit in the Collector's Cutcherry, and of the Meerasidars share for the 3 years during which the Village was under attachment and resolving to forward the Memorials with a report of the Proceedings of Government thereon to the Honorable Court.—
See No. 774 in Separate Volume. P. 1144

Order

13050 Fort St. George 30th July 1856

B. No 2392
Order thereon.—
(1323-24)

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tanjore for information and guidance.—

(1325)

No 559

B. No 2819.
From the Commissioner Northern Circars.

Official Memorandum

The Commissioner has the honor to inform the Secretary to the Board of Revenue that as Annajee Row has been entertained as Head Goomastah by the Acting Collector of Masulipatam from the 15th and not from the 1st Instant as was originally intended, the appointments notified in the Commissioner's Memorandum of the 1st will take affect from the 15th.—

Commissioner's Office
Vizagapatam,
21st July 1856.

(Signed) I. Goldingham
Commissioner

Order thereon.
(1325-26)

Ordered to be recorded.—

(1327)

No 256.

B. No 2377.
From the Commissioner for the Revision of Civil Salaries and Establishments throughout India.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the annexed List of ministerial officers of the Board

received

13051 Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

received from the Civil Auditor, I request that you will have the goodness to send me a brief Memorandum of the duties performed by each person.—

Nynee Tal
18th June 1856.

(Signed) Henry Ricketts
Commissioner for the revision of Civil Salaries and Establishments throughout India.

List

		Rs
1	1st Uncovenanted Assistant	300
2	2nd and 3d Do	200
1	Do	125
1	Indexer	120
1	Head Clerk	100
1	Record Keeper	100
1	Accountant	100
1	Examiner	140
1	Do	120
1	Head Sheristadar	800
1	Deputy Do	250.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

(1327-28)

B. No 2404.

To Henry Ricketts Esq.
Commissioner for the revision of Civil Salaries and Establishments throughout India Nynee Tal.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 18th June 1856, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the following Memorandum

To Henry Ricketts Esq. Commissioner for the revision of Civil Salaries and Estabts throughout India Nynee Tal.

Forwarding a Memo of the duties performed by the several Officers of the Board's Establishment.—

Memorandum of the duties performed by the several Officers of their Establishment.—

1. The four Uncovenanted Assistants are chiefly employed in conducting the details of the correspondence of the Office under the order of the Secretary—making abstracts of correspondence, preparing Statements &c.a.—The First Assistant has also charge of the Establishment under the Secretary, and superintends the business in the several Departments—The second Assistant has, since 1849, been on duty with the Commissioner in the Northern Circars.—

2. The Indexer indexes the Volumes of the Board's Proceedings which in 1855 came to 56 Volumes.—

3. The Head Clerk has charge of the Current Department, marks references on correspondence received, and has the replies copied and despatched.—

4. The Record keeper is in immediate charge of the Records, sees to their preservation, searches for papers required, and when given out, looks to their safe return.—

5. The accountant is in charge of the English account Department—

It

It is his duty to prepare the monthly periodical and other returns which leave the Office, and to check all figured Statements before their despatch.—

6. Of the 2 Examiners alluded to, one examines the Current business, and the other the Collections for the Court of Directors, and the Office Copy of the Proceedings of the Commissioner Northern Circars, the originals of which pass through this Office on their way to the Court.—

7. The chief and most important portion of the duties performed by the Head and Naib Serishtadars consists in a thorough examination of the accounts and periodical Returns, received from the Districts—some of these accounts are transmitted monthly and others Annually—The monthly accounts are;—

1st. The Demand Collection and Balance Statements.—

2nd. Prices of Grain.—

3d. Accounts current of Salt.

4th. Statements of Cultivation.

The annual Returns examined in the Serishtadar's Department are:

1st. The Settlement accounts of Land

and

Fort St. George 30th July 1856

and Extra Sources of Revenue.—

2nd Do. of Estates under management of the Court of Wards.—

8. Besides the Monthly and Annual accounts referred to above, the Head and Naib Seristadars of the Board have also to examine certain accounts received occasionally from the districts.—These are 1st. the Accounts of survey assessment.— 2nd Do. of sub division of Zemindary of Mootah Estates.—When discrepancies are found in any of these accounts, it is the duty of the Seristadar to prepare memoranda on the subject for the Board's Orders.

9. The Annual Reports from the Collectors on the Settlement of Land and other sources of Revenue in their respective Districts are regularly reviewed in this Department and besides Memoranda being prepared on the Report of each and every District, the Head and Naib Seristadars have also to draw up a general memorandum on the results of the Revenue administration of the entire Presidency which the Board prefer

Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

refer to in preparing their General Report to Government.—

10. One of the Seristadars has to follow a member on circuit assisting him generally in the preparation and examination of accounts &c.

11. The Seristadars have also to record the documents received from the Districts as connected with the Jamabundy and Survey &c. so as to be available for reference.

12. In the devision of work between these two Officers the scrutiny of accounts is undertaken by the Naib, while the Official Memorandum are drawn up by the Head Seristadar.—

13 These Officers are also expected

13650 Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

expected to give their opinions and
furnish information in any revenue
subject when called upon, and also
to bring to the Board's notice any
points of interest or importance
concerning the management and
state of the different Districts
with which they become
acquainted.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th July 1856.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

To

1B

13657 Fort St. George, 30th July 1856.

No. 152

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1329)
Bd. No. 2858
From the Collector of Nellore.....

Sir,

With reference to the 3d para of my letter to the Board under date the 1st Instant I have the honor to forward herewith Copies of the Proceedings held in the case of alleged Fortune therein alluded to, together with Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court under date the 8th July 1856. from which it will be seen that the sentence passed by the Head Assistant Magistrate has been reversed.— The Prisoners having been considered by competent authority not guilty of the charge, they have been restored to their situations.—

Nellore,.....
Collector's Office,
12th July 1856.

/Signed/ H. B. Elton
Collector

13658 Fort St. George, 30th July 1856

No. 1

No. 134.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court in the Zillah of Nellore under date the 8th July 1856.

Read Petition presented on the 2d July 1856 by Mohammud Abdul Alim Saheb, Pleader on behalf of Shaneer Saheb Khaja and Mahommud Gons, Prisoners in No. 3 of 1856 appealing from the Decision of the Head Assistant Magistrate sentencing them to 3 months hard labour without irons and requesting a revision thereof.-

Read also the record of Proceedings submitted by the Magistrate.-

Order of the Court.

There are several material discrepancies and contradictions in the statements of the Prosecutor and his only witness in the evidence of this case of appeal.-

The Prosecutor stated before the Police there was no witness present: The Prosecutor and the witness afterwards named totally differ before the

13659 Fort St. George, 30th July 1856.

the Police as to the Garden the torture is said to have occurred in, again the Prosecutor stated before the Police Rufsul saheb brother to the 1st Prisoner aided in the torture whereas the 1st Witness represents he Rufsul saheb Satnear the 2d Prisoner the Cutwal at the time taking no active part. There are also other discrepancies of importance in the evidence.-

The Head of Police states in his report when the Prosecutor was examined in his Cutcherry beyond a mark of a bruise on the breast of the Prosecutor there was no sign of torture or injury visible, that the skin, on this bruise mark, was not raised or marked by discolorations such as the pinch complained of would produce, and the finger had no symptom of injury.-

It further appears from the evidence the 1st Prisoner reported to the Cutwal the 3d Prisoner that he had over heard the Prosecutor and his witness alluding to the robbery & inconsequence of this report they were summoned by the Cutwall,

and

Fort St. George, 30th July 1856.

— Enclosure No. 2. —

No. 3 of 1856.— Decision.—

Tortune

1 Gadam yella-gadu. — Versus — 1 Shah Mira Sahib.
2 Raja, a peon of Oodiagherry.
3 Mahomed Gouse the Cutwall of Oodiagherry.

The complainant charges the first defendant with having one day at noon about the middle of April last, trampled upon him, and applied an instrument of tortune to his left side; the second defendant with having tortured him by pressing back one of his fingers, and the third defendant with having encouraged the other two to torture him because he would not acknowledge, as they desired, that he had been invited to join in the Commission of a robbery, which had lately taken place, in Kareem Sahib's garden near the Village of Oodiagherry in the talook of Oodiagherry.

The only witness says that the second defendant summoned him on the day in question to cut oranges in Kareem Sahib's garden, that, upon his arrival there

Fort St. George, 30th July 1856.

and first repeated certain corroborative circumstances, which subsequently they retracted, adding that tortune has been resorted to, to obtain these Statements. The Prisoners are considered not guilty of the charge and they are to be released.—

Had the Prisoners been guilty of the charge the Session Judge is of opinion the punishment awarded should have been at least "with irons."—

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Magistrate of Nellore for his information and for communication to the Head Assistant Magistrate.—

Ordered also that the record of Proceedings in this case be returned to the Magistrate.—

/True Extract/
/Signed/ F. H Crozier
Session Judge
/True Copy/
/Signed/ F. B Elton
Collector

there, he saw the first defendant with his foot on the neck of the Complainant whose side he was pinching with an instrument of torture, that the second defendant, with whom he had arrived, aided in torturing the Com=plainant by drawing one of his fingers backward to the wrist; and that the third de fendant was present, encouraging the other two and abusing the Complainant. He was him=self ordered to acknowledge what the defendants re=quired regarding the above mentioned robbery, and he agreed to do so in order to escape the punish=ment which was inflicted on yellugadu. The gar=den is he says, in a retired spot, frequented by few people, and the occurrence took place at an hour when no one was likely to pass.—

Upon the Complainant's left side there is a mark which appears to have been made by the pressure of two transverse pieces of wood, accord=ing to the Statement in the charge.—

The defendants plead, not guilty, and attribute the accusation to the enmity of Maunam Gopalu a peon who has lately been transferred for suspicio=ous conduct to the talook of Kota. The defendant names six witnesses to prove that there was no

scar

scar on the Complainants side when he appeared before him, nor when he was first examined by the Police Sub-Officer, who tried the robbery case, and that the parties were taken to the Police Office without any delay during which the alleged occurrence could take place.—

The witnesses, two of whom are peons, and three talook Cutchery servants, support the statement of the Cutwall, one or two of them absolutely assert=ing that there was no mark upon the complai=nant when examined concerning the robbery by the Cutwall and by the fifth witness for the defence; and the greater number stating that they did not observe whether there was or not. The scar however is not one to be seen at any distance but the existence of this mark, the consistent statements of the Complainant, and the failure of the defendants in producing satis=factory evidence to rebut the charge induce the Head Assistant Magistrate to consider the de=fendants guilty. They are sentenced to three month hard labour without irons in the Zillah Jail under Section 7. Regulation 10 of 1816 and

Section

13664 Fort St. George, 30th July 1850.

Section 54 Act 1 of 1843. The seconds and third defendants are likewise to be dismissed from the service of Government.-

Nellore, June 24th 1856. } /Signed/ H. Morris,
Head Assistant Magistrate

(True Copy).

/Signed/ F. B. Elton
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

(1330)
Bd No 2394.

To the Collector of Nellore } To,
The Collector of
Nellore.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 12th July 1850, forwarding the proceedings in the case of the alleged torture reported in your letter of the 1st July 1850.

2. The Board do not consider that the decision of the Sessions Court on an appeal case necessarily involves the restoration to office of persons dismissed after due investigation by a Collector. That Officer should exercise his own judgment in

13665 Fort St. George 30th July 1850

judgement in the matter, for although legal proof may be wanting there may be a sufficiently strong moral presumption to render it desirable that the accused should be removed from their situations. You will therefore use your own discretion in determining whether the individuals referred to ought to be retained in Office or not.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
30th July 1850 ... } /Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

Bd. No. 2401.
Further Resolution thereon.-
(1329 31)

Resolved that the letter recorded above be submitted for the information of Government with reference to the Board's Proceedings of 4th July 1850. No. 2044.

No. 87.

(1332)
Bd. No. 2739.

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor .... } To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 8th Instant, I have the honor to state that the revision

of

Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

of the Gazetteer is deferred for the information requested in my letter of 4th Idem.-

Guntoor Collector's Office 15th July 1856.- } /Signed/ W. Newill.- Acting Collector.

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded a reply having been despatched to the Collector's former letter on the 15th July 1856.-

(1332-33)

(1334)

Bd. No. 2716.

From the Civil Auditor. } Circular.

The Civil Auditor requests the attention of Collectors to the fact that his requisition of the 28th February last to be placed in receipt of the several Annual accounts particular enumerated in his circular letter of that date before the end of June at the latest, has not been attended to. As all Civil charges incurred since the 1st Ultimo have been audited or are now in process of Audit, it is of the greatest importance that the charges disbursed for the period anterior to that date should be audited and adjusted without further loss of time, and further as the many voluminous returns which this Office is required to furnish by the 1st August of each year cannot be prepared without the accounts particular now overdue the Civil Auditor under instructions received from the

Fort St. George, 30th July 1856.

the Government of India will be under the necessity of refusing to pass after this month any bill for salary of Collectors and their Establishments till he is in receipt of their accounts Particular of charges disbursed for the period commencing with 1st June 1855 and ending 30th April 1856.-

The Civil Auditor has the honor to append a memorandum shewing the last Journal received from the Collectors and the date of its receipt in this Office, and to request that those Collectors whose Journals up to May, (after which no journals are required to be furnished to the Audit Office) have not been received will urge on their Establishments to forward them without delay.-

The Civil Auditor begs to observe that as he is required to submit to Government a copy of all circulars issued by him, a copy of this Circular will accordingly be forwarded to them.-

Fort St. George, Civil Auditor's Office 16th July 1856. } /True Copy/ /Signed/ F. Lushington.- Civil Auditor.

To

13668. Fort St. George, 30th July 1856.

Memorandum of the Journals received, and of dates of their receipt.

Madras	Journal received on the	15th	May 1856	for	December 1855.
Canara	"	9th	June	" for	March "
Nellore	"	2d	"	" for	Do "
Ganjam	"	20th	"	" for	April "
Rajahmundry	"	4th	July	" for	May "
Masulipatam	"	2d	June	" for	April "
Tanjore	"	29th	May	" for	Do "
Vizagapatam	"	16th	June	" for	Do "
Bellary	"	1st	July	" for	Do "
Cuddapah	"	Do		" for	Do "
Malabar	"	4th	July	" for	May "
Kurnool	"	9th	June	" for	April "
Sea Custom House	"	27th	June	" for	Do "
North Arcot	"	2d	July	" for	May "
South Arcot	"	1st	Do	" for	Do "
Trichinopoly	"	27th	June	" for	Do "
Salem	"	6th	Do	" for	April "
Madura	"	23d	Do	" for	May "
Chingleput	"	20th	Do	" for	Do "
Tinnevelly	"	30th	Do	" for	Do "
Guntoor	"	1st	July	" for	Do "
Coimbatore	"	30th	June	" for	Do "

(1334-35)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

To

13669. Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

No. 139.

To,

G: S: Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(1336)

B. No. 2720.

From the Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to the accompanying Copy of an Endorsement on Board Petition No. 3179 of 1855, to request permission to withdraw the attachment of the Village of Adoosapallium authorized by the late Collector, and to pay to the Iyengar of the Pagodah the proceeds during attachment amounting to 37-10-12-3, as shewn by the accompanying Statement.

2. I beg to submit Copy of a letter with petition sent by the Junior Iyengar in July 1855 to the Collector, with Copy of Mr. Binnings reply thereto I do not concur in the opinion expressed by Mr. Binning that the Mahunt is invested with full powers for attaching the service Enam lands connected with the Pagodah for in the agreement executed by Mahunt Sava Dofs, the trustee of the Tripulty Pagoda, on the

the 16th July 1843, a draft of which had on the 7th June 1843 been submitted by the Collector for the Board's approval, no such power is recognised to belong to the Mahunt.

North Arcot,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 17th July 1856.

(Signed) C: Whittingham.
Collector

No. 1.

Petition No. 317 of 1855.

The Petitioner prays for enquiry into the alleged fraudulent enjoyment of a Village belonging to the junior Iyengar (or Priest) by the Mahunt of the Tripatty Pagodah.

The circumstances referred to are as follows.

On the 4th June 1850. Sava Dosa Mahunt, the trustee of the Tripatty Pagoda reported to the Collector that the Junior Iyengar, Appun Parancoosha Ramanooja, had on the 19th October 1849 obtained leave for 4 Months to visit the Pagoda of Singaroyahconda in the Nellore District of which the junior Iyengar is a joint trustee, but had not up to that date returned to Tripatty, and that therefore he requested the Collector to attach the Village of Adoonoopolliem

annexed

annexed to the Office of Junior Iyengar.

An order was issued by Mr. Bourdillon on the 25th June 1850, informing the Mahunt that he was at liberty to attach the Village pending the continued absence of the Iyengar.

On the 24th January 1855, a Cubeed was sent to the Tahsildar of Chendragherry Talook, informing him that the Collector understood that the junior Iyengar was still absent, and requiring him to state in whose possession the Village belonging to the Office was, what were its proceeds &c. &c.

On the 28th February, the Tahsildar in reply stated, that the Mahunt had informed him that after payment of Pagoda escpenses, there was then a surplus of Rs 330-2-7 in the Davastanum Treasury, that the absence of the Junior Iyengar was no detriment to the service of the Pagoda, which was during his absence performed by the Senior Iyengar and his assistants that the object of retaining the village under attachment was to prevent the misappropriation of the funds,

and

and that on the return of the Junior Iyengar, it would be necessary for him / the Mahunt / to defray out of the proceeds of the attached village what was due to the Senior Iyengar and his assistants on account of the services performed during the juniors' absence, and then to pay the balance to the junior Iyengar - Also that the Mahunt had further informed him that he (the Mahunt) had received intimation from the junior Iyengar that he would soon return -

On the 11th October 1855, an order was sent enquiring whether the junior Iyengar had returned -

On the 26th December, the Tahsildar reported that the junior Iyengar had not returned, and that the Mahunt had informed him, that in consequence of the death of two of the Yacangees, the assistance of the Iyengar, the continued absence of the junior Iyengar was an impediment to the service of the Pagoda, and that he (the Mahunt) had therefore requested the Senior Iyengar to appoint

appoint another junior, and wished the Collector to enforce this request by an order -

On the 20th May last, the junior Iyengar returned to Tirputty -

2- The relative positions and duties of the Iyengar and the Subordinates of the Pagodah are laid down in the rules framed by Mr. Bruce, transmitted by Government for consideration and adoption if found suitable, and forwarded to the Collector with a letter from Board of Revenue No. 16 dated 1st February 1821. These rules have been in force ever since that date - The Mahunt appears therefore to have exceeded his authority in interfering with the Senior Iyengar in the appointment or dismissal of his subordinates -

With reference to the attachment of the Village in question, and the circumstances that have arisen out of that attachment, it appears to the Collector that the interference of a servant of Government

was

was not called for in this case, as there was no misappropriation of the endowments of the Pagoda and no escheat. As the attachment was however permitted by a former Collector, a reference to superior authority is necessary on the subject of its withdrawal.—

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 16th July
1856

(Signed) C: Whittingham.
Collector.

Statement

No. 2. Statement shewing the receipts, disbursements, and Ijengar of the Tripaty Davastanum from Fusly 12

Fusly.	Particulars of receipts. Receipts from the Enam Villages	Salary in ready Cash.	Receipts in price &c.	Receipts by the sale of the Prasadum	Tot rec
1	2	3	4	5	
1259	.. ..	140 ..	42 5 8	.. .. ..	182
1266	374 8 ..	336 ..	126 .. 10	62 8 8	899
1261	306 8 ..	336	111 15 3	60	811
1262	413 12 ..	336	107 5 7	50	90
1263	389 4 ..	336	145 1 ..	50	92
1264	476	336	182 11 3	.. .. ..	99
1265 up to 15th March 1856	480	352	174 3 3	.. .. ..	79
	2,240	2,072	889 10 10	222 8 8	5,42

Amount debited in th
under deposit —
Amount due but not

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 16th July 18

George 30th July 1856.

plus amount of the emoluments annexed to the Office of the Shanaa
g to 1265.

			Particulars of Disbursements.											
Total Receipts			Judee of the Enam Villages.			Paid to the Senior Iyengar for the Dobayem &c. Charges.			Total disbursements.			Net Surplus.		
6			7			8			9			10		
5	8		"	"	"	2	8	4	2	8	4	179	13	8
1	6		259	3	9	41	1	5	300	5	2	598	12	4
7	3		259	3	9	35	"	"	254	3	9	520	3	6
7	1	7	259	3	9	35	6	5	294	10	2	612	7	5
6	5	"	259	3	9	35	3	3	294	7	"	625	14	"
4	11	3	259	3	9	55	1	5	314	5	2	680	6	1
6	3	3	163	"	"	50	"	"	213	"	"	493	3	3
4	3	6	1459	2	9	254	4	6	1713	7	3	3710	12	3
To Davastanum account and remaining												1222	8	8
debited in the Davastanum accounts												3488	3	7
											Total	3710	12	3

56.

Signed J. C. Whittingham.
Collector.

To,

13676 Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

No. 3

To,

The Collector of Chittoor

Madras

Presidency.

Sir,

I have to request that you will have the goodness to forward the accompanying Petition to the Madras Government with any observations which you may think fit to make thereon. But if you think any Statement thereon to be inaccurate, I beg that you will kindly return me the Petition with your observations and corrections to enable me to amend the same. I feel sure that though you may not alter your decission you do not wish to deny me fair inquiry and justice.

Bombay
6th July 1856

/Signed/ Uppen Durmakurtah
Parankoosha Ramanoojah.
Sawmy Junior Iyengar of
Tumevelly Tirputty
Bombay.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. Whittingham.
Collector.

To,

13677 Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

To

The Right Honorable
George Francis Robert Harris
President and Governor in council
Madras.

Humbly Sheweth

The humble Petition of Uppen
Durmakurtah Durzan coast
Ramanjah Sawmy, Senior Iyengar
of Tiroomalay Tiroopaty in
Zillah Chittoor, Madras,
at present residing at Bombay.

That this is an appeal against the decision and order for attachment recently pronounced by and carried out by direction of the collector of chittoor.—

That the abovenamed Institution of Tiroomalay Tiroopaty, has been internally managed and governed by two hereditary Officers thereof, called the Senior and Junior Iyengar and certain Ministerial Subordinates called Eakangees, whose duties are to perform the different rites and religious ceremonies observed

observed in that celebrated temple. That the duties of the two Iyengars are identical, and in the absence of the Senior, the junior Iyengar performs certain daily ceremonies which when both are absent devolve on the Eskangees. The duties of the Junior Iyengar generally being to afford assistance to his Spiritual master the Senior Iyengar, to whose honors, Office, and emoluments he is the heir apparent.

That your petitioner and the Senior Iyengar, are lawfully entitled according to the Hindoo's course of Succession of a pupil to his Spiritual teacher to certain merafs or Enam lands the annual revenues where of has enabled them to maintain their high position with integrity and independence.

That in the month of October 1848 your Petitioner having occasion to proceed on a journey to Hindustan, wrote to Mohunt Sevadofs, the present Superintendent and Sole trustee of the extensive Religious institution of Teroomalay Tiroopitty appointed

appointed by Government in 1843, asking for leave of absence from Tripatty in order to make a pilgrimage to the Eastern parts of India. The Senior Iyengar to whom the mahunt referred your Petitioner to report whether there had been any precedent for such absence as was applied for, answered in the affirmative and cited the precedents and therefore, from the mohunt verbally intimated to your Petitioner that he might go. The mohunt did not give any written leave nor fix any time for your Petitioner to return to resume his important functions in the Pagoda.

That after visiting Hyderabad and subsequently Madura Brindavadum in Agra, a place of religious sanctity your Petitioner came to Bombay, where he is now residing, and where on account of some private business he expects to be detained till the end of this English year - when he intends to give his post at Tripatty.

That during your Petitioners absence at Tripatty the duties and business connected with

Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

with the worship of the Temple were carried on as theretofore, they had been by the Senior Iyengar, and if there has been any additional work performed the burden fell mainly on his shoulders, that your Petitioner has now been given to understand that in his absence the Collector of Chittoor ordered your Petitioner's merasi Enam lands aforesaid to be attached and that the mohunt has recommended that the revenues thereof be distributed between the Senior Iyengar and the Eakangees, which, arrangement it may be presumed will be carried out by the Collector under Government order.

your Petitioner submits that such a proceeding now for the 1st time taken on the part of the collector is very arbitrary and severe that there is no pretence for suggesting that the duties of the Temple have been neglected and that the Mohunt was a competent authority to give your Petitioner leave of absence.

Under these circumstances your

Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

Petitioner prays, that under the circumstances your Lordship in council will be pleased to order that the attachment placed on the merasi Enam lands of your Petitioner /the said Junior Iyengar/ by direction of the collector of Chittoor may be withdrawn, and that the accumulated revenues thereof may be given to Upper Terooveengada Ranyggar Senior Iyengar of the Tripulty Institution aforesaid, either absolutely or on behalf and for the benefit of your Petitioner and no part thereof to the Eakangees or to any other person or persons whatever, and your Petitioner as in duty bound.-

Will ever pray.

Bombay 6th July 1856

/Signed/ Upper Durmakurtah
Paraicoosha Ramajah
Sawmy, Junior Iyengar
of Termally Teroopaty

/True copy/
/Signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector

To

13682 Fort St. George 30th July 1856

To

Uppen Durmakurtah Parankoosha
Ramanoojah Sawny, Junior Iyengar
of Tirmully Tirputty
Bombay

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 6th July last, forwarding a Petition addressed to Government, with a request that I may submit a report on it to that authority, I have to inform you that according to the orders of Government the Mohunt of the Tirputty Pagoda having been invested with full powers for attaching the Service Enams lands connected with the Pagoda, I am unable to interfere in the case.—

The Petition is herewith returned.

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 8th September 1855

/signed/ R. M. Binning
Sub Collector in Charge

/True Copy/
/signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector

C.P.

Pro:

13683 Fort St. George 30th July 1856

Bd. No. 2388
Proceedings thereon.
(1336-37)

The Board observe that the information supplied by this letter and by the Endorsement leaves one point in some uncertainty. It does not appear whether a new junior Iyengar was or was not appointed, if not, as seems probable from the Collector's silence on this point, the interference of the Mahunt has been confined to attaching the village and distributing a portion of the Revenues to the Junior Iyengar and his assistants. As regards the residue it would appear from the Wuzee of the Tahsildar dated 28th February 1855 that the Mahunt then professed himself ready to account for it to the Junior Iyengar on his return. The steps taken by the Mahunt during the Junior Iyengar's absence do not appear to the Board to be open to objection and are in accordance with the authority which is described to belong to him in the last clause of the agreement taken from him in June 1823 (Vide Collectors letter 7th June 1823)

Inl Cons: 12th June 1823.

2 Under these circumstances

the Board are of opinion that the Mahunt should now be called on to render an account to the Junior Jeyengar of the surplus funds received from the Village and to restore the Jeyengar to his office and Emoluments the village will now be released from attachment.

N° 89.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(1338)

Bd. N° 2760

From the Collr of Salem

Proposing to retain certain papers Nos 6-7-9 respectively of the old records in his Cutcherry and to destroy the others.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 28th February 1856 N° 670 suggesting that some of the old records which I proposed to destroy should be retained on the ground that they may be of value for reference during the approaching general Survey and for other purposes; and explaining that the Estimated charge for Separating and destroying the useless records in Salem would be included in the Statement of Extra Establishments

Establishments for July 1295.

2 Among the different papers referred to in Para 2 of the Board's Proceedings I propose to retain only the three papers numbered 6-7-9 respectively in the list. The two former which relate to Cowles may be of some use in the Proposed general Survey, as they might furnish the means of judging whether the Cowle reductions were necessary to keep up the cultivation. The paper N° 9 which relates to the examination of fields may be found of some use when complaints are preferred that cultivation in past years has been concealed.

3 With regard to the other papers, I think they will be of no value. The Thist form accounts shewing the amount of Beriz payable by each Ryot, and bearing the ryots Signature or private mark, are in fact the counterpart of the Jummabundy Chittah.

4 N°s 8-23-24-29-52-66 and 187 have all been embodied in general Statements preserved at the Huzzoor and their destruction cannot possibly

possibly be deemed a loss, The increase and decrease in the measurements of lands which the accounts No 49 exhibit are also noticed in full detail in the Jummabundy Chittahs which will therefore be sufficient for reference on all occasions.

5 Among the different papers referred to in Para 3 of the Boards Proceedings I propose to retain only Nos 92 and 121 The former is the account particulars of Mootah collections, and produce in Mootah Villages, and the other shews the particulars of the sale of certain Mootahs The information contained in the other papers can be obtained from the general accounts of receipts and disbursements, they are for the most part lists of Establishments for attached Mootahs and the disbursements made to them, and they can be of no use in future.

6 In regard to Nos 206 and 207 the Board suppose that these are likely to affect Mootahdars claims for compensation in lieu of Motunpha. all compensation questions of this kind have been already settled in this District and

a fixed deduction is always made from the Peishcush on account of the Motunpha I therefore think the destruction of these papers cannot be of any future interest.

7. The Post Master General and the Medical Board have been addressed on the subject of the Papers respectively belonging to the Post Office and the Vaccine Departments. As regards the papers No 111 I am of opinion that they may be of use as evidence when counterfeit Stamps are used. I therefore agree with the Board in retaining those papers.

8. All the Treasury accounts relating to receipts and disbursements will be left untouched and as it happens that there are two or more Copies for several accounts care will be taken to preserve single Copies of them for not less than ten years preceding.

9. When the papers have been all arranged I shall be better able to judge as to the time down to which each paper may be destroyed without any loss. The utmost care and

Consideration

consideration will be given to the entire subject.

Salem ---
Collector's Office
19th July 1856.

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Collector

Bd. No. 2396

Proceedings thereon. (1338-39)

Under the explanation afforded in the foregoing letter the Board approve of the Collector destroying the old records therein alluded to retaining those only which he considers may be still of use.

No. 108

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1340)

Bd. No. 2780

From the Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir. Fort St. George

Adverting to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 16th Ultimo No. 1865 I have the honor to forward the usual specification of the land applied for by Captain Griffin at Dotacamund and to request the sanction of the Board for putting up the same to public auction.

A

2. A plan of the ground drawn to scale is forwarded herewith which I request may be returned to my office when no longer required.

3. The land in question measures Cawnies 3 Grounds 12 and square feet 881 and the upset price thereon calculated at 8 years Quit-rent amounts to Rupees 32-3-4.

Coimbatore-
19th July 1856

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

No. 1

Memo

Captain Griffin applies for a piece of land at Dotacamund measuring Cawnies C. 3 G. 12 sq feet 881 it is bounded on the North Mr. Barclay's property on the South east by the property of Captain Griffin on the South and west by waste land. the upset price thereon at 8 years Quit rent amounts to Rupees 32-3-4 the Pond used by General Stratton is entirely omitted in the survey of the land applied for by Captain Griffin.

Coimbatore
19th July 1856

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Bd No. 2386.

Proceedings thereon.

(1340-41)

Under paras VI and VII of the Manual of instructions for the grant of lands on the Neilgherries the Board of Revenue agreeably to the recommendation of the Collector direct that the land applied for by Captain Griffin at Ootacamund measuring 3 Cawnies 12 Grounds 881 Square feet be put up to public auction at the upset price of Rupees 32—3—4 calculated at 8 years Quit rent and that it be knocked down to the highest bidder, or to the applicant if there be no advance upon the upset price subject to the conditions and limitations of the said Manual.—

2. The plan of the land is herewith returned.

(1342)

Bd No. 2763

From the Collector of Chingleput

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to

to return to me the Plan of the Church property at St. Thomas Mount which accompanied my letter No. 160 of the 29th May last.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Sydapet 21st July 1856

Signed C. S. Shubrick
Collector.

(1342-43) 2390

Order thereon.

Ordered that the Plan referred to be returned to the Collector.

213

(1344)

Bd No. 2822

From the Coll. of Tinnevelly

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that having left Tinnevelly

13692 Fort St George 30th July 1856.

Tinnevelly, on the 18th Instant to proceed on Circuit into the District, and there leaving no Second Assistant attached to my Cutcherry, the Treasury was left in charge of the Cash Keeper, the Balance of Cash in his hands on that date being Rupees 81,030-9-6.

Tinnevelly
Collectors Circuit
Cutcherry Tencaussey
21st July 1856

/Signed/ G. Silver
Collector.

1346-45 Order thereon.

Recorded.

Ordered to be

Read

13693 Fort St Saint George 30th July 1856.

No. 158.

To,

G. S. Forbes. Esquire

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

1346
B.R. No. 2831
From the Collector
of Nellore.

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 20th June 1856, I have the honor to forward Copies of the Proceedings in a Case of alleged Torture in the Revenue Department disposed of by the Head Assistant Magistrate.—

Nellore District,
Collector's Office,
Kristnapatam 23d July 1856

/Signed/ F. B. Elton
Collector

Enclosure No. 1.

No. 19 of 1856

Torture — Decision

1. P. Krishnamma Versus 1 G. Shetambrum
Peishcar of Atmakur
2. Said Alie Moonshiff

The defendants are charged with having at

Fort Saint George 30th April 1856

at noon one day near the end of June bound the Complainant by his neck and feet and kept him in that position before the 1st Defendant's house for an hour and a quarter, and with having prevented his Cattle from going out to pasture for a day and a half because he refused to pay arrears of Revenue in the Village of Atmakur attached to the Talook of Sungam.

The two first witnesses say that they saw the Complainant standing bound in the manner indicated at the first defendants door as they were passing through Atmakur. They passed through the Village at different times but neither of them saw any one in the street besides the Complainant.

The third witness says he knows nothing of the affair.

There are no witnesses to the second part of the charge.

The defendants deny the truth of the Complainants Statement and the first defendant attributes it to the enmity of the Complainant, and his first two witnesses one of whom was fined a

Fort Saint George 30th July 1856

a few months ago by the Head of Police for using abusive language towards him.

Four of the Peshkars neighbours were summoned in order to ascertain whether they knew any thing of the affair or whether it has been mentioned in the Village. They all say that they did not see the Complainant treated in the way he described, and that they believe he was not since they would have otherwise heard of the circumstances.

The Head Assistant Magistrate thinks that such would have been the case The evidence is also of the most meagre nature and there is abundant reason to believe that the Complainant entertains unfriendly feelings towards both of the defendants. The case is dismissed.

Nellore
July 12th 1856

/Signed/ H Morris
Head Assistant Magistrate

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J B Elton
Collector

Ordered

13696

Fort Saint George 30th July 1856

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

1347

Bd No 2389.

To the Collector of Nellore &c.

To

The Collector of

Nellore

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 23d July 1856 forwarding the records of the proceedings in the case of an alleged torture, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that it will be sufficient in future, in the first instance, to transmit only the complaint and the sentence with a brief report of the circumstances of each case in the event of the detailed examinations being required by Government they will be called for.

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
30th July 1856
Pd No 2400
Further Proceedings thereon
1346-48

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Resolved that the letter recorded above, with its enclosures be submitted for the information of Government.

2. The Collector will be informed that in future it will be sufficient to forward only the Complaint and the sentence with a brief report of the circumstances of each case

To

13697

Fort Saint George 30th July 1856

No 210.

To

G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

1349

Bd No 2795

From the Collector of Chingleput &c.

Sir,

Adverting to the Endorsement of the Board of Revenue on the accompanying Petition No 282 of 1856, calling for further explanation in respect of the evidence on which the illicit sale of Government Salt was brought home to the Petitioner, I have the honor to state that during the enquiry conducted in the case, it was deposed to, on solemn affirmation, by the Lumbadies who had been apprehended in possession of the salt in question that they had purchased it from the Pans at Pongolum from an Officer designated the Peishcar and Amanah, and as at the time of such sale, none of the salt servants were at the pans except the Petitioner (they being in attendance on the Tahsildar) it was clear that the illicit sale complained of could not have been effected by any one but the Petitioner alone. To this evidence was added the result of an

examination

13698 — Jor A. Saint George 30th July 1856

examination, held by one of the Hoozoor Gomastahs who was deputed to the spot for the purpose of ascertaining the truth of a complaint preferred at the same time by the Shroff of the Pans accusing the Petitioner of having stored an excess of salt in the Cotaurs and selling it clandestinely from time to time, which ended in the discovery of 2 Garces and 46 mercals above the proper quantity purporting to be stored. The offence laid to the charge of the Petitioner was therefore considered to have been fully established against him, and he was accordingly removed from his situation. It may not be out of place to add that he was once before dismissed on strong suspicion of a similar offence by Mr. Conway when Acting Collector.—

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry
Sydapet
24th July 1856
Br. No. 2105

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Order thereon
(1349.50)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 282 and 1787 of 1856

Petitioner

13699 — Jor A. Saint George 30th July 1856

" Petitioner is informed that the evidence which was furnished to the Collector of the fraudulent sale of salt by Petitioner and the circumstances of a similar charge having once on a former occasion led to his dismissal, satisfy the Board of the propriety of the Collector's decision.—

(1351)

No. 2145.

To

G. S. Forbes. Esqure
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Br. No. 2847

From the Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

In forwarding the accompanying Copy of a letter addressed by me this day to the Secretary to Government reporting that the Statement made by the Party marginally noted accusing the Tahsildar of the Mayer Talook of having ordered his Peons to illtreat him in the Collection of loom tax has not been substantiated by the Witnesses cited by him, I have the honor to request authority for striking off from the List of arrears the Petition No. 3162 of 1855 presented to the Board

* Pooley Veeraswamy Chetty

13700 Fort Saint George 30th July 1856.

Board by the Party on the same subject and which was referred to me for report as it appears to have been mislaid and is not forth coming.—

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Sydapet
26th July 1856

/Signed/ C.L. Shubrick
Collector

Enclosure No. 1.
No. 47.

To
L. B. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

Agreeably with the instructions contained in Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 591 of the 10th Ultimo circulated with Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 1903 dated the 20th Idem, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of a Plaint filed by Pooly Veerasawmy, an Inhabitant of Arnee of the Nayer Taluk in this District, accusing the Tahsildar of having ordered his Peons to ill treat him in the Collection of loom tax, as well as copies of two depositions taken from the Witnesses from which it will be seen that the

13701. Fort Saint George 30th July 1856.

the charge as laid to the above Officer falls to the ground, the Witnesses stating that they knew nothing of the matter. Translations of the above Papers as well as the Original Statement made by the party before the Sheriff commission are also forwarded.—

/True Copy/

Chingleput District.
Collectors Cutcherry.
Sydapet
26th July 1856

/Signed/ C.L. Shubrick
Collector.

Bd's No. 2391.
Proceedings thereon.
1351-52

The Board authorize the Collector to strike off the Petitions alluded to in the foregoing letter from his file of arrears.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

1353
Bd's No. 2399.
To the Secretary to Government

To
The Secretary to
Government

Sir;

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request the orders of Government on their letter of the 9th October 1854 No. 473 respecting the Inland Chowkey at Cumbum in Madura on the Frontier of the Travancore State.

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
30th July 1856

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes.
Secretary
Official

Fort Saint George 30th July 1856.

Bd. No. 2397.

Official Memorandum.

To the Commissioner
Northern Circars

1354

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to inform the Commissioner of the Northern Circars, that the Salary of I Gnany Reddy III class Copyist, will be increased from 12 to 15 Rupees from 1st August 1856.

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
30th July 1856

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Bd. No. 2387

Circular.

Circular to all
Collectors

Sir,

1354A

I am directed to inform you that the attention of the Board has lately been drawn to the large number of petitions which now reach their Office simply in re: petition of former applications which remain un: disposed of in the provinces. These petitions involve as much labour in entry docketting and abstracting as the originals, before they are indentified with them, and it is often necessary to refer them for disposal or indorsement owing to the time

Fort Saint George 30th July 1856

time which has been allowed to pass without notice of the first reference.—

2. There are at present no arrears in this department of the Boards' Office, and I am directed to point out that if an effort is now made to dispose of the arrears on your file, reiterated applications and references will cease to be necessary and much useless labour will consequently be spared.—

3. The Board further desire that you will cause it to be again notified in every taluk of your district that petitions will be returned from this office unless the applicant has first had recourse to the Collector or his subordinate officers and produces their orders on his case.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
30th July 1856

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

1353

Bd. No. 2395

To the Collector of Canara

To,
The Collector of
Canara

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

13704 Fort Saint George 30th July 1856

of Revenue to request that you will expedite the purchase and despatch of the Buffaloe bulls which you were requested to procure for transmission to Tanjore.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
30th July 1856 } /Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Bd. No. 2715.

From the Acting Deputy Secretary to Government dated 18th July 1856 } Read memorandum

1356

forwarding 4 Copies of the report of the Commissioner appointed to enquire into and report upon the manufacture and sale of and Tax upon Salt in British India.—

1356-57
Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.—

1358
Mrs No. 1691.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry
Mrs No. 1692
1357
From the Collector of Chingleput
Mrs No. 1691.
1359
From the Collector of Trichinopoly
Mrs No. 1693
1360
From the Collector of Madura
Mrs No. 1681.
1361
From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Read the undermentioned Indents on the Superintendent of Stationery for Stationery Qrs required for the year 1857.—

1358-63
Order on the 5 abovementioned Indents.

Ordered that the Original

13705 Fort St. George 30th July 1856.

original Indents be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.—

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

1364
Mrs No. 1747.
From the Collector of Salem. Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishment in July 1856.

1365
Mrs No. 1754
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public servants for the Quarter ending

1366 30th June 1856.
Mrs No. 1746.
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Reports that certain Returns are blank for Quarter ending

1367 30th June last.—
No. 1751.
From the Collector of Madras. Reporting that no alterations of Fines or Stoppages have been

1368 made, since last Quarter.
No. 1753.
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Reports that certain returns are Blank for June last

From

13705 & 13706 (1369) No 1750 Fort Saint George 30th July 1856.

From the Collector of Bellary. Informs that no Persons were either suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during June 1856

(1370) No 1752

From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during June last.

(1371) No 1748

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for June 1856.

(1372) No 1749

From the Collector of Coimbatore Return of Petitions preferred by the Board of Revenue for disposal by the Collector of Coimbatore for June 1856.

C.R.

Proceedings

13707 Fort St George 31st July 1856

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Thursday the 31st July 1856.

Read the following Papers

No 320.

Bd's No 2515 From the Chief Secy to Govt

(1373) Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Financial Department Dated 7th July 1856.

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Court of Wards dated 9th May 1856.

Read also the following letter from the Acting Accountant General.

Intimates in order to avoid inconvenience and trouble to the Secretary to the Court of Wards Recommends that the Security on that account be deposited in the General Treasury or the Madras Bank

(Here enter 31st May 1856)

It does not appear to the Government to be advisable that the Secretary to the Court of Wards should be allowed to make investments of the funds of disqualified proprietors as proposed by the acting Accountant General in the 1st para of his letter nor do they see how his doing so would overcome the inconveniences pointed out in the letter of the Court of Wards of the 9th ultimo as he would still have to withdraw the Securities from the Treasury whenever Interest

13708

Fort St. George 31st July 1856

Interest had to be received upon them—
They Consider that the preferable Course
would be that the investments having been
made through the Bank of Madras as dir-
-ected by order of Government 13th March last
the Requirement of Clause 2 Section XVI
Regulation V 1804 should be fulfilled by
the Securities being lodged in the General
Treasury and that the Sub Treasurer should
hold a Power of Attorney from the Secre-
-tary to the Court of Wards for the time being
in whose name the Securities would be
authorizing him to draw Interest to be
remitted when drawn to the Bank of Madras
and to be placed there to the Credit of the
Estate in which it had accrued or to the
credit of the Secretary to the Court of Wards
in account with or on behalf of such Estate

2 A similar Course should be
followed on the Closing of the Government
Agency in Regard to all Securities on
account of Estates under the Court of
Wards remain the Custody of the Govern-
-ment Agents which should be lodged
in the General Treasury and Interest to be
drawn on them in the mode directed in the
preceding

13709

Fort St. George 31st July 1856

preceding para-

To The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue—

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following
letter be sent—

(1374)

To The Honorable Company's acting Solicitor.

B. Dr. 21.17—
To the Honorable
Company's acting
Solicitor

Sir

With reference to Extract
Minutes of Consultation dated 7th July 1854
No. 320 (Copy of which is herewith forwarded)
I am directed by the Court of Wards to request
that you will forward to me at your earliest
convenience a form of a Power of attorney
authorizing the Sub Treasurer to draw the
interest for the Government Securities dep-
-osited with him on account of the
Estates under the Management of the
Court of wards and to remit the same
when drawn to the Bank of
Madras—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st July 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

To

13710

Fort St. George 31st. July 1856

(1373-75)

To The Secretary to the
Bank of Madras

Sir:

B. P. No. 2418-
To the Secretary to the
Bank of Madras-

With Reference to the Correspond=ence that has already passed between you and the Court of Wards, I am directed to forward for your information the accompany=ing Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 7th July 1856 No. 320 Respecting the deposit in the Bank of the interest drawn upon the Government Securities belonging to the Minor Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards-

2 The Sub Treasurer will be furnish=ed with a Power of attorney to draw the interest from time to time accruing thereon and remit it to you, and I am in=structed to request you will state whether any objection exists so far as the Bank is Concerned to the carrying out of the plan laid down by Government-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st July 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary
From

13711

Fort St. George 31st July 1856

B. P. No. 2709
From the Secretary } Extract from the Minutes of Con-
to Government - } sultation Revenue Department under

(1376)

date the 17th July 1856-

Referring for the Board's report a Memorial from Ketchana Naick Zumbalagar of Arapoo Cotay in Madura Regarding his having been unjustly deprived of that office which was hereditarily enjoyed by himself and his family-

(See No. 745 in separate Volume) D 1145

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Reply-

To The Secretary to
Government
Revenue Department

(1376-77)

Sir:

B. P. No. 2709
To the Secretary to
Government

(Adverting to the Report called for in Extract Minutes Consultation 17th July 1856 No. 745 Regarding the Subject matter of a Memorial presented to Government for transmission to the Court of Directors by one Ketchana Naick who claims to be appointed Head man of a Village in Mad=ura, I am directed by the Board of Rev=enue to submit for the information of the Right

13712

Fort St George 31st July 1856

Right Honorable the Governor in Council a Letter from the Collector of Madura dated 24th April 1856 Reporting on the Memorials to Case together with the Board's Proceedings thereon and the Endorsement passed by them on the Petition

The Government will observe that in Ramnad alone there are about 23000 individuals in the position of the Petitioner that is either actually styled Head men or sharing the Emoluments or emoluments of the office

Mr. Parker describes the disputes regarding succession of a nature similar to that set forth in the Memorial now before the Board to be so numerous that if investigated on all occasions by a European officer the work of the District must be at a stand-still Regarded the merits of the case in question the Board have confirmed Mr. Parker's decision and they are of opinion that his authority to dispose of such cases in Zemindaries is the same as it is in the Government Villages.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
31st July 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

1380
B. No. 2593.
From the Collector of Coimbatore.
Suggesting the remission of 2 Crop rent levied on Sugar cane and other cultivation in Coimbatore District.
× Vide Para 10. 28th December 1855 No. 183.

1378
From the Secretary to Government
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Revenue Department under date the 28th July 1856

Communicating for Circulation to all Collectors a letter from the Commissary General regarding the disposal to Native States of the Condemned Black leather and Buff accoutrements now in store at the Tannery at Hoonsoor.

(See No. 776 in Separate Volume) P146

1378-79
B. No. 2412
Proceedings thereon

Ordered to be Circulated to all Collectors.

112

Pro.

13713

Fort St George 31st July 1856

No. 108

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St George.

Sir,

1. Adverting to para 64 of my report on the Land Revenue Settlement of this District for Fusly 1263 and to the Sub Collector's letter of the 25th November 1854. I have the honor to address the Board of Revenue on the subject of the additional rent levied on Nunjah lands, when appropriated to the planting of Sugar Cane, Betel Vines &c.

2. There are many obvious objections against the levy of this extra taxation. 1st It falls upon the Crop contrary, to the first principles of Survey, 2dly it is not uniform throughout the District, 3dly it is heavy and checks the growth of Sugar Cane, plantains and other valuable products.

3. This point requires no particular remarks

It falls upon the crop contrary to the principles of survey.

remark, it is evident that the tax is affected by the Crop - not the nature of the soil - so long as the ryot grows an ordinary rice Crop, he pays a single Crop rent. but when he improves the same land, at considerable expense and labor, and forms it into a Betel, Sugar Cane, or other valuable plantation, he is called upon to pay the additional demand - The principle upon which the Ryotwar system in Coimbatore proceeded, as explained in former correspondence, was "that the Circar "had a right to a certain Share in the produce "of all wet lands and to a payment in money "for dry lands, which varied with the Crops - "this share and this fluctuating money payment "were commuted for an assessment fixed on "each field in perpetuity, which was declared "to be the maximum demand of Government "upon the land."

Vide Mr. Sullivan's report on the Ryotwar.

4. One object of this commutation was by limitting "the Government demand "upon the land, and by securing to the Ryot the

"the fruits of his own industry, to tempt "him to improve it, and thus by increasing "produce, to enhance the value of the land; "so that ultimately it might become a private "and saleable property." The extra cess thus checks improvement and instead of enhancing the value of the land, tends to lower it - by the heaviness of the demand which increases in proportion to the value of the crop -

5. The practice of levying a 2d. Crop rent varies also in different Talooks. In the Talooks noted in the margin, no additional tax is levied at all - whether the land be converted into Dhroopire, or other plantation, the amount demandable on a rice crop, being paid for every description of cultivation - In Erroode two crop rent is recovered on all lands without reference to cultivation or the number of crops: In Suttimungalum & Pollachy two crop rent is charged on those which grow Dhroopire whilst Betle and Cane plantation pay the same.

It is not uniform throughout the district.

Denaikencottah	1
Audegoor	2
Cheyoor	3
Perandoray	4
Veilgherries	5
Collegal	6

same rate as nunjah namely one Crop rent: In Carroor and Dharapooram 10 Ta=nams, equivalent to 2–15–. a Cawny is re=covered on Dhroopire Sugar cane, Betel &c. whilst in Coimbatore, Pulladum, and Chuckragherry the rate which regulates the two Crop rent is the Single crop de=mand, and half as much again I can=find no rule which warrants these varying modes of taxation - and all that can be ad=duced in support of them, is the very unsa=tisfactory one of "maumool" or custom - upon what data this maumool had its origin, is not explained - In Coimbatore and Pulladum where the lands are depend=ent for irrigation on Tanks filled by rain and the River Noyel, the chances of failure of water and the loss of Crop, are frequent and yet a higher rate is demanded where=as in Suttimungalam and under the "Tudapillay" and Arcanottah channels, no additional rent is charged. There are no grounds for these prejudicial and impolitic

impolitic anomalies and the sooner they are entirely abolished the better.

It is heavy and checks the growth of Sugar cane plantation & other valuable products.

8. This fact will speak for itself and calls for no elucidation - The orchard lands are so small in extent that they do not bear a proportion of more than 2–3–. per cent on the aggregate Nunjah Anjacut In Dharapooram where the lands are considered the most valuable, there is not a single Dhroopire Garden, nor are there plantations of Sugar or Betel to any per=ceptible extent - water is abundant and the soil fertile - and the absence of Gardens and plantations can therefore only be at=tributed to the heaviness of the additional two crop rent - the same is the case in res=pect to Errode, and other Talooks

9. The creation of Topes and Trees is a great desideratum in this Dis=trict. which lying high and with only an average of 18 3/4 Inches of Rain in the year,

year, is a peculiarly dry and sterile one - the encouragement to Topes of ordinary Trees in Poonjah (dry land) and which do not require irrigation, is sufficient under present Board Rules, but orchard cultivation (still more valuable) and only practicable with irrigation, needs more pastering, and the least that can be done is to leave it without extra cess, to pay the fixed rate of whatever Soil it is found in.-

8.- The accompanying Statement shows the total quantity of Anjacut in each Taluk the extent of Enam, and that of the Circar assets distinguishing also the two crop land from single crop rent &c. It will be seen from the abstract in page 9 of the above Statement that the amount to be given up is only Rupees 16,439-3-3 on the cultivated portion - and Rupees 6172-13-2 on waste, in all Rupees 22,612-1-5 the latter sum

appears

appears only in the accounts and is not an actual loss, and the former is not a permanent revenue, being liable to fluctuation annually - when the land is formed into Betel, Cane or other plantation the additional rent is recovered, but when it reverts to ordinary rice Crop, the single crop rent is likewise recurred to - If the Board accede, as I hope, to this proposition, and recommend the remission of the extra taxation, it will also be advisable to dispense with the levy of additional rent demanded on one Crop lands under similar circumstances - the revenue now derived under this head is carried to account as Fasul Jasty, or increased crop - and which upon the average of the last 10 years amounts to only 19.29-4-7 per annum vide page 11 of the Statement.-

9 I take this opportunity of bringing to the notice of the Board the Errode

Nungah

Nunjah lands - the maximum assessment thereon is as high as 22 Rupees a Cawnie. the marginal memorandum will point out the several rates which are considered heavy It would be only just relief to the Ryots if a remission of 25 per Cent could be allowed. The Ryots now raise 2 crops - which exhausts the soil and yields only a Scanty return if the demand is permitted to vary with the number of crops, it would perhaps answer the purpose equally well. In all cases in which two crops of rice are raised, the prescribed demand might be made, but when the land yields only a single crop, the Ryot cannot Justly be called upon to make good the extra payment and the rate is in truth, much too heavy

	1st			2d			3d			4th		
Ter	22	..	0	20	3	1	18	5	9	16	8	5
Cawney	20	3	1	18	5	9	16	8	5	14	11	5
	18	5	9									

The old rates previous to reduction were still heavier.

and

LR.

and leaves little or no profit to the Cultivators.-

Coimbatore
25th August 1856.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Statement

13722

No. 1 Statement showing the exctent assessment &c.

Taloak	Sources of Irrigation	Description of Land	Cawnies	Survey Assessment	Total Anyacut quantity exclusive of Porumpoke: Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Coimbatore	Channel	Orchad	933 10½	13,036 9 10	" " "	13,036 9 10
		Two Crop Nunjah	7,665 ¼	98,148 15 3	" " "	98,148 15 3
		One Crop Nunjah	1,721 15	13,050 7 2	" " "	13,050 7 2
		Punjeetaum Punjah	699 6	4,622 6 11	" " "	4,622 6 11
		Total	11,010 15¾	1,28,858 7 8	" " "	1,28,858 7 8
	Tanks	One Crop Nunjah	197 11½	1,481 1 5	" " "	1,481 1 5
		Total of Taloak	11,208 11¼	1,30,339 8 7	" " "	1,30,339 8 7
Sattimungalum	Channels	Orchad	158 2¾	3,666 4 7	" " "	3,666 4 7
		Tarum Punjah	5,875 15¼	1,20,707 12 1	41,551 3 8	79,156 8 5
		Nunjah paying Survey rate	287 9¼	6,218 6 6	" " "	6,218 6 6
		Gire Taroly Nunjah	2,205 2¼	24,312 - 7	" " "	24,312 - 7
		Nunjah paying Sundry rate	1,605 2¾	12,117 4 6	" " "	12,117 4 6
		Nunjah Tarum Punjah	35 15½	355 11 6	4 15 4	330 12 2
		Total	10,167 15¾	1,67,357 7 9	41,556 3 -	1,25,801 4 9
	Tanks	One Crop Nunjah	695 8¾	6,182 13 3	" " "	6,182 13 3
		Nunjah Tarum Punjah	103 14½	970 1 6	" " "	970 1 6
		Total	799 7¼	7,152 14 9	" " "	7,152 14 9
		Total of Taloaks	10,967 7	1,74,510 6 6	41,556 3 -	1,32,954 3 6
Chinnakrishnapuram	Channel	Two Crop Nunjah	1,577 1½	23,960 8 1	532 9 1	23,427 15 -
		One Crop Nunjah	1,835 12¼	15,836 - 1	1,532 12 8	14,353 3 5
		Nunjah Tarum Punjah	56 14½	299 11 3	" " "	299 11 3
		Total	3,469 12	40,146 3 5	2,065 5 9	38,080 13 8
	Tanks	Two Crop Nunjah	223 9½	2,600 3 11	" " "	2,600 3 11
		One Crop Nunjah	2,401 -	15,117 1 7	" " "	15,117 1 7
		Nunjah Tarum Punjah	283 9	1,502 5 6	" " "	1,502 5 6
		Total	2,908 2½	19,219 11 -	" " "	19,219 11 -
		Total of Taloaks	6,377 14½	59,365 14 5	2,065 5 9	57,300 8 8

Fort St. George

31st July 1856.

of Nanjah Lands in the District of Coimbatore dis

tinguishing those charged with 2 Cropt rent.

ke	Deduct Enam								Remainder			Cultivation in		
	Cawnies	Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	Cawnies	Survey assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	Cawnies	Survey assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder
	8	9	10	11	12	13.			14	15	16	17	18	19
	118 11	1577 3 2	" " "	1577 3 2	814 15½	11579 6 8			" " "	11579 6 8	808 6¼	11429 12 1	" " "	11429 12 1
3	859 5	11469 1 6	" " "	11469 1 6	6805 11¼	86679 13 9			" " "	86679 13 9	5318 9½	70856 15 1	" " "	70856 15 1
2	511 2¾	4030 1 6	" " "	4030 1 6	1201 12¼	9020 5 8			" " "	9020 5 8	984 9½	7672 14 7	" " "	7672 14 7
1	102 8¾	545 12 5	" " "	545 12 5	596 13¼	4076 10 6			" " "	4076 10 6	447 11¾	3413 13 3	" "	3413 13 3
2	1591 11½	17562 2 7	" " "	17562 2 7	9419 4¼	111296 4 7			" " "	111296 4 7	7559 5	93373 7 "	" " "	93373 7 "
	12 9½	68 14 3	" " "	68 14 3	185 2	1412 3 2			" " "	1412 3 2	137 15	1137 14 3	" " "	1137 14 3
	1604 5	17631 " 10	" " "	17631 " 10	9604 6¼	112708 7 9			" " "	112708 7 9	7697 4	94571 5 3	" " "	94571 5 3
	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	158 2¾	3666 4 7			" " "	8666 4 7	92 6¾	1902 12 4	" " "	1902 12 4
	46 14¼	1005 3 7	374 " 3	631 3 4	5829 1	119702 8 6			41177 3 5	78505 5 1	5535 3	113532 13 1	38936 3 10	74596 9 3
	287 9¼	6218 6 6	" " "	6218 6 6					" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	11 11¼	128 15 8	" " "	128 15 8	2193 7	24183 " 11			" " "	24183 " 11	2147 ¼	23650 13 8	" " "	23650 13 8
	99 5¼	928 12 2	" " "	928 12 2	1505 13½	11188 8 4			" " "	11188 8 4	1221 7	9094 6 5	" " "	9094 6 5
	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 15½	335 11 6			4154	330 12 2	35 15½	335 11 6	4154	330 12 2
	446 8	8281 5 11	374 " 3	7907 5 8	9722 7¾	159076 " 10			41182 2 9	117893 15 7	9032 ½	148676 9 "	38941 3 2	109575 5 10
3	18 2½	194 9 6	" " "	194 9 6	677 6¼	5988 3 9			" " "	5988 3 9	329 5¼	3157 15 4	" " "	3157 15 4
	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	103 14½	970 1 6			" " "	970 1 6	103 14½	970 1 6	" " "	970 1 6
	18 2½	194 9 6	" " "	194 9 6	781 4¾	6968 5 3			" " "	6958 5 3	433 3¾	4122 " 10	" " "	4122 " 10
	463 10½	8476 15 5	374 " 3	8101 15 2	10503 12½	166034 7 1			41182 2 9	124852 4 4	9466 4¼	152638 9 10	38941 3 2	113697 6 8
	288 5½	4039 2 6	" " "	4039 2 6	1288 12	19921 5 7			532 9 1	19388 12 6	1282 11¾	19829 7 2	523 9 1	19305 14 1
	116 5¾	988 9 9	" " "	988 9 9	1719 6½	14897 6 4			1532 12 8	13364 9 8	1673 14	14622 9 "	1532 12 10	13089 12 2
	6 7½	29 " 4	" " "	29 " 4	50 6¾	270 10 11			" " "	270 10 11	27 ½	161 9 2	" " "	161 9 2
	411 2¾	5056 12 7	" " "	5056 12 7	3058 9¼	35089 6 10			2065 5 9	33024 1 1	2983 10½	34613 9 4	2056 5 11	32557 3 5
	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	223 9½	2600 3 11			" " "	2600 3 11	212 14½	2494 14 5	" " "	2494 14 5
6	425 2¼	2695 14 "	" " "	2695 14 "	1975 13¾	12421 3 7			" " "	12421 3 7	1660 12½	10647 4 1	" " "	10647 4 1
	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	283 9	1652 5 6			" " "	1652 5 6	242 10	1352 8 10	" " "	1352 8 10
	425 2¼	2695 14 "	" " "	2695 14 "	2483 ¼	16523 13 "			" " "	16523 13 "	2116 5	14494 11 4	" " "	14494 11 4
	836 5	7752 10 7	" " "	7752 10 7	5541 9½	51613 3 10			2065 5 9	49547 14 1	5099 15¼	49108 4 8	2056 5 11	47051 14 9

13723

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

Fusly 1263.

Fusly Cummee	Cowle Remission	Remainder	Add Fusul Jasty	Total Settlement	Cawnies
20	21	22	23	24	25
" "	19 - 3	11410 11 10	5 1 5	11415 13 3	6 9¼
21062 9 3	1492 5 3	48301 6 7	" " "	48301 6 7	1487 1¾
" "	272 2 5	7400 12 2	214 9 -	7615 5 2	217 2¾
394 10 3	1532 7 2	1486 11 10	" " "	1486 11 10	149 1½
21457 3 6	3316 9 1	68599 10 5	219 10 5	68819 4 10	1859 15¼
" "	243 " 6	894 13 9	" " "	894 13 9	47 3
21457 3 6	3559 9 7	69494 8 2	219 10 5	69714 2 7	1907 2¼
" " "	" " "	1902 12 4	" " "	1902 12 4	65 12
" "	883 2 4	73713 6 11	" " "	73713 6 11	293 14
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
6049 8 6	747 1 5	16854 3 9	" " "	16854 3 9	46 6¾
" "	360 6 6	8733 15 11	" " "	8733 15 11	284 6½
38 9 7	224 1 8	68 " 11	" " "	68 " 11	" "
6088 2 1	2214 11 11	101272 7 10	" " "	101272 7 10	690 7¼
" "	1038 9 3	2113 6 1	" " "	2113 6 1	348 1
" "	583 14 4	386 6 2	" " "	386 6 2	" "
" "	1622 4 7	2499 12 3	" " "	2499 12 3	348 1
6088 2 1	3837 " 6	103772 4 1	" " "	103772 4 1	1038 8¼
122 6 "	" " "	19183 8 1	" " "	19183 8 1	6 ¼
" "	11 4 8	13078 7 6	332 13 "	13411 4 6	45 8½
" "	55 7 "	106 2 2	" " "	106 2 2	23 6¼
122 6 "	66 11 8	32368 1 9	332 13 "	32700 14 9	74 15
697 21 37	" 5	1760 11 11	" " "	1760 11 11	10 11
" "	768 1 9	9879 2 4	2 12 1	9881 14 5	315 1¼
" "	783 3 "	569 5 10	" " "	569 5 10	40 15
697 21	1588 5 2	12209 4 1	2 12 1	12212 " 2	366 11½
819 8 1	1655 " 10	44577 " 5 10	335 9 1	44912 14 11	441 10½

Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	2d. Crop rent of Cultivated lands to be struck off	2d. Crop rent of waste lands to be struck off	Total
26	27	28	29	30	31
89 10 7	" " "	89 10 7	" " "	" " "	" "
15822 14 8	" " "	15822 14 8	2521 3 3	5274 4 10	7796 8 1
1347 7 1	" " "	1347 7 1	" " "	" " "	" "
662 13 3	" " "	662 13 3	" " "	" " "	" "
17922 13 7	" " "	17922 13 7	" " "	" " "	" "
274 4 11	" " "	274 4 11	" " "	" " "	" "
18197 2 6	" " "	18197 2 6	" " "	" " "	" "
1763 8 3	" " "	1763 8 3	" " "	" " "	" "
6169 11 5	2240 15 7	3928 11 10	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
5032 3 3	" " "	532 3 3	" " "	" " "	" "
2094 1 11	" " "	2094 1 11	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
10559 8 10	2240 15 7	8318 9 3	" " "	" " "	" "
2836 4 5	" " "	2836 4 5	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
2836 4 5	" " "	2836 4 5	" " "	" " "	" "
13395 13 3	2240 15 7	11154 13 8	" " "	" " "	" "
91 14 5	" " "	91 14 5	5839 10 10	30 10 1	5870 4 11
274 13 4	8 15 10	265 13 6	" " "	" " "	" "
109 1 9	" " "	109 1 9	" " "	" " "	" "
475 13 6	8 15 10	466 13 8	" " "	" " "	" "
105 5 6	" " "	105 5 6	134 7 10	35 1 10	169 9 8
1773 15 6	" " "	1773 15 6	" " "	" " "	" "
149 12 8	" " "	149 12 8	" " "	" " "	" "
2029 1 8	" " "	2029 1 8	" " "	" " "	" "
2504 15 2	8 15 10	2495 15 4	" " "	" " "	" "

13724

Taluk

Sources of Irrigation	Description of Land	Total Anyacut quantity exclusive of Poramboke Cawnies	Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Orcard	1	5¾	15 15 7	" " "	15 15 7
	Two Crop Nunjah	5,350	15¾	63168 11 1	4709 14 "	58468 13 1
Channels	One Crop Nunjah	5,975	¾	45231 5 5	3350 9 8	41880 11 9
	Nunja Turn Punjah	155	12	1061 10 2	" " "	1061 10 2
	Total	11,483	2¼	109477 10 3	8060 7 8	101417 2 7
	One Crop Nunjah	629	4½	4067 15 11	" " "	4067 15 11
Tanks	Nunja Turn Punjah	3	"	22 " 7	" " "	22 " 7
	Total	632	4¼	4090 " 6	" " "	4090 " 6
	Total of Taloohs	12,115	6½	113567 10 9	8060 7 8	105507 3 1
Channels	Two Crop Nunjah	6,150	10¼	119756 8 7	19124 8 8	100631 15 11
	Nunja Turn Punjah	31	2¾	442 2 2	48 15 3	393 2 11
	Total	6,181	13	120198 10 9	19173 7 11	101025 2 10
Tanks	One Crop Nunjah	119	3¼	1408 " 5	406 6 5	1001 10 "
	Nunja Turn Punjah	30	12	255 14 2	67 9 7	188 4 7
	Total	149	15¼	1663 14 7	474 " "	1189 14 7
	Total of Taloohs	6,331	12½	121862 9 4	19647 7 10	102215 1 5

Extra

Anyacut

Fort St. George

31st July 1850.

Deduct Enam.							Remainder			Cultivation in Fusly 1263					
Cawnies		Survey Assessment		Deduct permanent reduction		Remainder	Cawnies		Survey Assessment		Deduct permanent reduction		Remainder		
8		9		10		11	12		13	14		15	16		17		18		19	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	5 3/4	15 15 7	"	"	"	1	5 3/4	15 15 7	"	"	"	15 15 7
261	14	3257	4 11	"	"	3257	4 11	5089	1 3/4	59911 6 2	4709	14 "	55201	8 2	4585	8 3/4	54966	" "	4353	14 4	50612 1 8
1042	4	8791	8 5	"	"	8791	8 5	4932	12 3/4	36439 13 "	3350	9 8	33089	3 4	4180	10	32034	" 9	3350	9 8	28683 7 1
1	13 1/4	9	10 8	"	"	9	10 8	153	14 3/4	1057 15 6	"	"	1051	15 6	151	2 3/4	1037	10 10	"	" "	1037 10 10
1305	16 1/4	12058	8 "	"	"	12058	8 "	10177	3	97419 2 3	8060	7 8	89358	10 7	8918	11 1/4	88053	11 2	7704	8 "	80349 3 2
17	4 3/4	160	1 6	"	"	160	1 6	611	15 1/2	3907 14 5	"	"	3907	14 5	298	5 1/2	1997	4 5	"	" "	1997 4 5
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	3	"	22 " 7	"	"	22	" 7	3	"	22	" 7	"	" "	22 " 7
17	4 3/4	160	1 6	"	"	160	1 6	614	15 1/2	3929 15 "	"	"	3929	15 "	301	5 1/2	2019	5 "	"	" "	2019 5 "
1323	4	12218	9 6	"	"	12218	9 6	10792	2 1/2	101349 13	8060	7 8	93288	9 7	9220	3/4	90073	" 2	7704	8 "	82368 8 2
607	9 1/4	13105	" 11	398	5 7	12706	11 4	5543	1	106657 7 8	18726	3 1	87925	4 7	5336	5 1/4	104016	15 11	18287	2 "	85729 13 11
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	31	2 3/4	442 2 2	48	15 3	393	2 11	31	2 3/4	442	2 2	48	15 3	393 2 11
607	9 1/4	13105	" 11	398	5 7	12706	11 4	5574	3 3/4	107093 9 10	18775	2 4	88318	9 6	6417	8	104459	2 1	18336	1 3	86123 " 10
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	119	3 1/4	1408 " 5	406	6 5	1001	10 "	12	14 3/4	1741	1 9	46	13 8	1284 14 1
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	30	12	255 14 2	67	9 7	188	4 7	30	12	265	14 2	67	9 7	188 4 7
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	149	15 1/4	1663 14 7	474	" "	1189	14 7	43	10 3/4	430	9 11	113	7 3	317 2 8
607	9 1/4	13105	" 11	398	5 7	12706	11 4	5724	3	108757 8 5	19249	2 4	89508	6 1	5461	2 3/4	104889	12 "	18449	8 8	86440 3 6

13725

Fort St George

Cultivation in Fusly 1263 (Contd)

31st July 1856.

Fusul Cummee	Cowle remission	Remainder	Add Fusul Sasty	Total	Cawnies	Waste Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	2d crop Rent of Cultivated lands to be Struck off	2d crop Rent of waste lands to be Struck off	Total
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
" " " " " "		15 15 7	" " "	15 15 7	" "	" " " " " "					
" " "	19 14 11	50592 2 9	304 6 "	50896 7 9	503 9	4945 6 2	355 15 8	4589 6 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	102 14 6	28580 8 7	381 7 3	28961 15 10	752 2¾	4405 12 3	" " "	4405 12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	745 4 4	292 6 6	" " "	292 6 6	2 12	14 4 8	" " "	14 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	868 1 9	79481 1 5	685 12 3	80166 13 8	1258 7¾	9365 7 1	355 15 8	9009 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	1997 4 5	" " "	1997 4 5	313 10	1910 10 "	" " "	1910 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	16 8 6	5 8 1	" " "	5 8 1	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	16 8 6	2002 12 6	" " "	2002 12 6	313 10	1910 10 "	" " "	1910 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	884 10 3	81483 13 11	685 12 3	82169 10 2	1572 1½	11276 1 1	355 15 8	10920 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	441 5 2	85288 8 9	" " "	85288 8 9	156 11¾	2634 7 9	439 1 1	2195 6 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	271 8 2	121 10 9	" " "	121 10 9	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	712 13 4	85410 3 6	" " "	85410 3 6	156 11¾	2634 7 9	439 1 1	2195 6 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	128 14 1	" " "	128 14 1	106 4½	1233 4 8	360 8 9	872 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	121 " 6	67 4 1	" " "	67 4 1	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	121 " 8	196 2 2	" " "	196 2 2	106 4½	1233 4 8	360 8 9	872 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	833 13 10	85606 5 8	" " "	85606 5 8	263 ¼	3867 12 5	799 9 10	3068 2 7	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

Cultivation in Fusly 1263 (Contd)

Fusul Cummee	Cowle remission	Remainder	Add Fusul Sasty	Total	Cawnies
20	21	22	23	24	25
" " " " " "		15 15 7	" " "	15 15 7	" "
" " "	19 14 11	50592 2 9	304 6 "	50896 7 9	503 9
" " "	102 14 6	28580 8 7	381 7 3	28961 15 10	752 2¾
" " "	745 4 4	292 6 6	" " "	292 6 6	2 12
" " "	868 1 9	79481 1 5	685 12 3	80166 13 8	1258 ¾
" " " " " "		1997 4 5	" " "	1997 4 5	313 10
" " "	16 8 6	5 8 1	" " "	5 8 1	" "
" " "	16 8 6	2002 12 6	" " "	2002 12 6	313 10
" " "	884 10 3	81483 13 11	685 12 3	82169 10 2	1572 1½
" " "	441 5 2	85288 8 9	" " "	85288 8 9	156 11¾
" " "	271 8 2	121 10 9	" " "	121 10 9	" "
" " "	712 13 4	85410 3 6	" " "	85410 3 6	156 11¾
" " " " " "		128 14 1	" " "	128 14 1	106 4½
" " "	121 " 6	67 4 1	" " "	67 4 1	" "
" " "	121 " 8	196 2 2	" " "	196 2 2	106 4½
" " "	833 13 10	86506 5 8	" " "	86506 5 8	263 ¼

Talooks	Sources of Irrigation	Description of Land	Total of Ayacut quantity exclus Beramp Cawnies	Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Rem
1	2	3	4	5	6	
Conjeeveram		Two Crop Nunjah	10 ¼	98 6 10	" " "	98 6
	Channels	One Crop Dº	148 13¾	1005 14 "	" " "	1005 14
		Nunjah Turum Punjah	4 8½	21 1 8	" " "	21 1 8
		Total	163 6½	1125 6 6	" " "	1125 6 6
	Tanks	One Crop Nunjah	246 13	1410 5 7	" " "	1410 5 7
		Nunjah Turm Punjah	37 ½	223 6 6	" " "	223 6 6
		Total	283 13½	1633 12 1	" " "	1633 12 1
		Total of Talooks	447 4	2759 2 7	" " "	2759 2 7
Chingleput	Channels.	Two Crop Nunjah	3313 5½	50412 13 10	319 12 8	50093 1 2
		One Crop Dº	1822 12½	17279 12 2	531 12 1	16748 " 1
		Nunjah Turum Punjah	141 7½	1108 11 6	1 4 6	1107 7 "
		Total	5277 9½	68801 5 6	852 13 3	67948 8 3
	Tanks	One Crop Nunjah	170 3¼	1458 5 9	146 3 1	1312 2 8
		Nunjah Turum Punjah	46 10	444 13 5	60 6 9	384 6 8
		Total	216 13¼	1903 3 2	206 9 10	1696 9 4
		Total of Talooks	5494 6¾	70764 8 8	1059 7 1	69645 1 7

31st July 1856.

Waste Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	2o crop Rent of Cultivated lands to be struck off	2o crop Rent of waste lands to be struck off	Total
26	27	28	29	30	31
4945 6 2	355 15 8	4589 6 6			
4405 12 3	" " "	4405 12 3			
14 4 8	" " "	14 4 8			
9365 7 1	355 15 8	9009 7 5			
1910 10	" " "	1910 10			
" " "	" " "	" " "			
1910 10	" " "	1910 10			
2634 7 9	439 1 1	2195 6 8			
" " "	" " "	" " "			
2634 7 9	439 1 1	2195 6 8			
1233 4 8	360 8 9	872 11 11			
" " "	" " "	" " "			
1233 4 8	360 8 9	872 11 11			
3867 12 5	799 9 10	3068 2 7			

Fork St. George

31st July 1856.

...ainder	Deduct Exam					Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder				Survey Assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	Cultivation in
	Caunies							Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	Caunies					
7	8					9	10	11		12		13			
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	1/4	98 6 10	"	"	"
7	8 3/4	47	4	"	"	"	47	"	11	141	5	958 13 1	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	8 1/2	21 1 8	"	"	"
6	8 3/4	47	11	"	"	"	47	"	11	155	13 3/4	1078 5 7	"	"	"
7	33	4 1/4	191	9	8	"	"	"	191	9	8	213	8 3/4	1218 11 11	108	2 3/4	618 7 10	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	1/2	223 6 6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	33	4 1/4	191	9	8	"	"	"	191	9	8	250	9 1/4	1442 2 5	145	3 1/4	841 14 4	"	"	"	841 14 4
7	40	13	238	10	7	"	"	"	238	10	7	406	7	2520 8 "	295	1 3/4	1865 6 10	"	"	"	1865 6 10
2	669	10 3/4	9426	7	1	"	"	"	9426	7	1	2643	10 3/4	40986 6 9	2638	13 1/4	40906 " 11	319	8 "	40586 8 11
1	76	13 1/4	759	2	6	"	"	"	759	2	6	1745	15 1/4	16520 9 8	1698	6	16006 14 5	531	12 1	15475 2 4
"	1	8 1/2	8	4	4	"	"	"	8	4	4	139	15	1100 7 2	118	15 1/4	1004 8 10	1	4 6	1003 4 4
3	748	1/2	10193	13	11	"	"	"	10193	13	11	4529	9	58607 7 7	4456	2 1/2	57917 8 2	852	8 7	57064 15 7
8	8	10	68	12	7	"	"	"	68	12	7	161	9 1/4	1399 9 2	98	4 3/4	821 5 6	108	" 4	713 5 2
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	46 10	4	4	13 5	60	6 9	384 6 8
4	8	10	58	12	7	"	"	"	58	12	7	208	3 1/4	1844 6 7	144	14 3/2	1266 2 11	168	7 1	1097 11 10
7	756	10 1/2	10252	10	8	"	"	"	10252	10	6	4737	12 1/4	60451 14 2	4661	1 1/4	59183 11 1	1020	15 8	58162 11 5

1372 7 Fort St George 31st July 1856.

Fusly 1263

Fusul Cummee	Cowle Remission	Remainder	Add Fusul Sasty	Total Settlement	Cummies
20	21	22	23	24	25
29 5 9	- - -	169 1 1	- - -	69 1 1	- -
- - - -	1 1 7	902 14 5	6 15 10	909 14 3	5 15¼
- - -	- - -	21 1 8	- - -	21 1 8	- -
29 5 9	1 1 7	993 1 2	6 15 10	1,000 1 -	5 15¼
- - -	6 - 2	612 7 8	- - -	612 7 8	105 6
- - -	149 15 1	73 7 5	- - -	73 7 5	- -
- - -	155 15 3	685 15 1	- - -	685 15 1	105 6
29 5 9	157 - 10	1679 - 3	6 15 10	1686 - 1	111 5¼
4386 10 4	16 8 5	36183 6 2	- - -	36183 6 2	4 13½
- - -	111 4 10	15363 13 6	601 4 3	15965 1 9	47 9¼
2 13 1	726 13 3	273 10 -	- - -	273 10 -	20 15¾
4389 7 5	864 10 6	51820 13 8	601 4 3	52422 1 11	73 6½
- - -	117 6 10	595 15 4	- - -	595 15 4	63 4½
- - -	135 9 5	248 13 3	- - -	248 13 3	- -
- - -	262 16 3	844 12 7	- - -	844 12 7	63 4½
4389 7 5	1107 9 9	52665 10 3	601 4 3	53266 14 6	136 11

Survey Assessment	Waste. Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	2½ Crop Rent of Cultivated lands to be struck off	2½ Crop Rent of waste lands to be struck off	Total
26	27	28	29.	30.	31.
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -
54 13 1	- - -	54 13 1	- - -	- - -	- -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -
54 13 1	- - -	54 13 1	- - -	- - -	- -
600 4 1	- - -	600 4 1	- - -	- - -	- -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -
600 4 1	- - -	600 4 1	- - -	- - -	- -
655 1 2	- - -	655 1 2	- - -	- - -	- -
80 5 10	- 4 8	80 1 2	6216 - 2	26 11 -	6242 11 2
573 11 3	- - -	573 11 3	- - -	- - -	- -
95 14 4	- - -	95 14 4	- - -	- - -	- -
689 15 5	- 4 8	689 10 9	- - -	- - -	- -
578 3 8	38 2 9	540 - 11	- - -	- - -	- -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- -
578 3 8	38 2 9	540 - 11	- - -	- - -	- -
1268 3 1	38 7 5	1229 11 8	- - -	- - -	- -

13728

Pullacadoo.

No	Sources of Irrigation	Description of land.	Total Ayacut quantity exclusive of Perumpoke Cawnies.		Survey assessment.		Deduct permanent reduction			Remainder		
1	2	3	4		5		6			7		
	Channels.	Orchard	44	5/2	544	1 8	"	"	"	544	1	8
		Two Crop Nunjah	1282	3/4	18000	13 10	"	"	"	18000	13	10
		One Crop Do.	1030	11 1/4	8673	7 4	"	"	"	8673	7	4
		Nunjah Turm Poonjah	504	2 1/2	4313	11 4	"	"	"	4312	14	4
		Total	2861	4	31532	8 2	"	"	"	31532	8	2
	Tanks	Two Crop Nunjah	102	14/2	1659	12 5	"	"	"	1659	12	5
		Nunjah Turm Poonjah	24	8/2	355	15 1	"	"	"	355	15	1
		Total	127	7	2015	11 6	"	"	"	2015	11	6
	Total of Talooks		2988	11	33548	3 5	"	"	"	33548	3	8
	Channels	Orchard	77	11 1/4	1049	3 3	"	"	"	1049	3	3
		Two Crop Nunjah	6	5	89	3 10	"	"	"	89	3	10
		One Crop Nunjah	3878	3 3/4	24398	0 5	"	"	"	24398	0	5
		Nunjah Turm Poonjah	157	10 1/4	1003	8 3	"	"	"	1003	8	3
		Total	4113	11 1/4	26539	15 9	"	"	"	26539	15	9
	Tanks	Two Crop Nunjah	0	14/2	8	12 4	"	"	"	8	12	4
		One Crop Do.	432	8/2	3111	13 9	"	"	"	3111	13	9
		Nunjah Turm Poonjah	156	6/2	671	13 6	"	"	"	671	13	6
		Total	589	13/2	3792	7 7	"	"	"	3792	7	7
	Total of Talook		4703	11 3/4	30332	7 4	"	"	"	30322	7	4

Pullacadoo.

Fort St. George

31st July 1856.

Deduct Enam						Remainder				Cultivation in Fusly 1263.							
Cawnies.		Survey assessment.		Deduct permanent reduction		Remainder		Cawnies.		Survey assessment.		Deduct permanent reduction		Remainder			
8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15		16	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	44	5½	544	4 8	"	"	544	4 8	41	4
223	4½	2937	2 4	"	"	2937	2 4	1058	12¼	15063	11 6	"	"	15063	11 6	902	10
96	½	836	12 8	"	"	836	2 8	934	10¾	7836	10 8	"	"	7836	10 8	756	6¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	504	2½	4313	11 4	"	"	4313	4 4	482	11¾
319	5	3773	15	"	"	3773	15	2541	15	27758	9 2	"	"	27758	9 2	2183	3½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	102	11½	1659	12 5	"	"	1659	12 5	83	9½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24	8½	355	15 1	"	"	355	15 1	24	8½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	127	7	2015	11 6	"	"	2015	11 6	108	2
319	5	3773	15	"	"	3773	15	2669	6	29774	4 8	"	"	29774	4 8	2291	5½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	77	11¼	1049	3 3	"	"	1049	3 3	70	11¼
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	5	89	3 10	"	"	89	3 10	6	5
219	7	1391	2 2	"	"	1391	2 2	3058	12¾	23006	14 3	"	"	23006	14 3	2900	2¼
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	151	10¼	1003	8 3	"	"	1003	8 3	126	9¼
219	7	1391	2 2	"	"	1391	2 2	3894	7¼	25148	13 7	"	"	25148	13 7	3097	11¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11½	8	12 4	"	"	8	12 4	"	11½
17	11¼	99	11 5	"	"	99	11 5	414	13¼	3012	2 4	"	"	3012	2 4	366	6½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	156	6½	671	13 6	"	"	671	13 6	100	3½
17	11¼	99	11 5	"	"	99	11 5	572	2¼	3692	12 2	"	"	3692	12 2	467	8½
237	2¼	1490	13 7	"	"	1490	13 7	4466	9½	28841	9 9	"	"	28841	9 9	3565	4¼

Deduct permanent reduction		Remainder		
17		18		19
504	9 5	"	"	504 9 5
12954	11 9	"	"	12954 11 9
6384	5 11	"	"	6384 5 11
4214	7 3	"	"	4214 7 3
24058	2 4	"	"	24058 2 4
1350	3 10	"	"	1350 3 10
355	15 1	"	"	355 15 1
1706	2 11	"	"	1706 2 11
25764	5 3	"	"	25764 5 3
941	10 7	"	"	941 10 7
89	3 10	"	"	89 3 10
18347	10	"	"	18347 10
888	9 5	"	"	888 9 5
20266	8 8	"	"	20266 8 8
8	12 4	"	"	8 12 4
2604	11	"	"	2604 11
490	12 7	"	"	490 12 7
3110	6 11	"	"	3110 6 11
23376	15 7	"	"	23376 15 7

13729. Fort St. George

Cultivation in Fusly 1263.

Fusul Cummee.			Deduct Cowle Remission			Remainder			Add Fusul Justy			Total Settlement			Cawnies.	
20			21			22			23			24			25	
"	"	"	"	"	"	504	9	5	"	"	"	504	9	5	3	1/2
2606	13	7	94	10	3	10253	3	11	12	7	7	10265	11	6	156	2/4
"	"	"	146	5	1	6238	"	10	534	3	1	6772	3	11	178	4
"	"	"	3053	13	2	1160	10	1	"	"	"	1160	10	1	21	3 3/4
2606	13	7	3294	12	6	18156	8	3	546	10	8	18703	2	11	358	11/2
429	11	5	77	10	7	842	13	10	"	"	"	842	13	10	19	5
118	11	4	188	9	9	48	7	"	"	"	"	48	7	"	"	"
548	9	9	266	2	4	891	4	10	"	"	"	891	4	10	19	5
3155	7	4	3561	"	10	19047	13	1	546	10	8	19594	7	9	378	1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	941	10	7	"	"	"	941	10	7	7	"
33	8	8	22	1	1	33	10	1	"	"	"	33	10	1	"	"
"	"	"	2973	9	3	15373	7	7	"	"	"	15373	7	7	758	10 1/2
"	"	"	536	9	3	352	"	2	"	"	"	352	"	2	31	1
33	8	8	3532	3	7	16700	12	5	"	"	"	16700	12	5	798	11/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	8	12	4	"	"	"	8	12	4	"	"
"	"	"	1415	4	9	1189	9	3	"	"	"	1189	9	3	48	6 3/4
"	"	"	272	3	11	224	8	8	"	"	"	224	8	8	56	3
"	"	"	1687	8	8	1422	4	3	"	"	"	1422	4	3	104	9 3/4
33	8	8	5219	12	3	18123	10	8	"	"	"	18123	10	8	901	5/4

31st July 1856.

Waste.

Survey assessment.			Deduct permanent reduction			Remainder			2d Crop rent Culti: vated lands to be struck off			2d Crop rent of waste lands to be struck off			Total.		
26			27			28			29			30			31		
39	11	3	"	"	"	39	11	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2108	15	9	"	"	"	2108	15	9	1766	"	8	702	11	7	2408	15	3
1452	4	9	"	"	"	1452	4	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
99	7	1	"	"	"	99	7	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3700	6	10	"	"	"	3700	6	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
309	8	7	"	"	"	309	8	7	18	13	1	103	2	10	121	15	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
309	8	7	"	"	"	309	8	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4009	15	5	"	"	"	4009	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
107	8	8	"	"	"	107	8	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4659	13	5	"	"	"	4659	13	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
114	14	10	"	"	"	114	14	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4882	4	11	"	"	"	4882	4	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	15	5	"	"	"	2	15	5
407	4	4	"	"	"	407	4	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
175	"	11	"	"	"	175	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
582	5	3	"	"	"	582	5	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5464	10	2	"	"	"	3464	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

13730

Fort St. George

31st July 1856.

Sources of Irrigation	Description of land.	Total Anycut quantity exclusive of Permupoke: Cawnies	Survey assessment	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder	Cawnies	Survey assessment	Deduct	Remainder: Cawnies	Survey assessment.	Deduct permanent reduction	Remainder
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Shaunchs	Orchard	1,215 3 3/4	18,312 5 11	" " "	18,312 5 11	118 11	1,517 3 2		" " "	1,517 3 2	1,096 8 3/4	16,795 2 9	" " "	16,795 2 9
	Two Crop Punjah	35,329 4 3/4	536,991 9 "	66,228 " 1	470,753 8 11	3,355 9	52,515 9 2		772 5 10	51,743 3 4	31,973 11 3/4	484,475 15 10	65,465 10 3	419,010 5 7
	One Crop D:	16,404 5 1/4	125,524 11 7	5,415 2 5	120,109 12 2	2,069 10	16,844 5 11		" " "	16,844 5 11	14,334 11 1/4	108,680 8 8	5,415 2 5	103,265 6 3
	Punjah Turm Poonjah	1,780 5 1/4	13,208 13 9	55 3 1	13,153 10 8	112 6	592 11 9		" " "	592 11 9	1,668 9 1/4	12,616 2 "	55 3 1	12,560 14 11
	Total	54,729 13	694,037 11 3	71,708 5 7	622,329 5 8	3,636 4	71,469 14 "		772 5 10	70,697 8 2	49,073 9	622,567 13 3	70,935 15 9	551,631 13 6
Tanks	Two Crop Punjah	327 6 1/2	4,268 12 8	" " "	4,268 12 8	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	327 6 1/2	4,268 12 8	" " "	4,268 12 8
	One Crop D:	4,892 4 1/2	34,237 9 8	552 9 6	33,685 " 2	532 12 1/2	3,469 8 11		" " "	3,469 8 11	4,359 8	30,768 " 9	552 9 6	30,215 7 3
	Punjah Turm Punjah	685 13	4,446 6 3	128 " 4	4,318 5 11	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	685 13	4,446 6 3	128 " 4	4,318 5 11
	Total	5,905 8	42,952 12 7	680 9 10	42,272 2 9	532 12 1/2	3,469 8 11		" " "	3,469 8 11	5,372 11 1/2	39,483 3 8	680 9 10	38,802 9 10
Grand Total		60,625 5	736,990 7 10	72,388 15 5	664,601 8 5	6,189 1/2	74,939 6 11		772 5 10	74,067 1 1	54,446 4 1/2	661,051 " 11	71,616 9 7	590,434 7 4

13731

Fort St. George

31st July 1856.

Cultivation in Fusly 1263.

Cawnies		Survey assessment.		Deduct permanent reduction		Remainder		Fusul Cawnee.		Deduct Cawle remission.	
16		17		18		19		20		21.	
1014	2	14794	12.	u	u u	14794	12.	u	u u	19	u 3
29034	10	449995	148	62420	5 3	387375	9 5	34290	14 1	4078	1 4
12329	6¼	95971	136	5545	27	90556	10 11	u	u u	3618	10 4
1420	1	11519	10 1	55	3 1	11464	7 .	436	. 11	7146	u u
43798	3¼	572282	2 3	67890	10 11	504391	7 4	34726	15 .	14861	11 11
297	6½	3853	14 7	u	u u	3853	14 7	1126	13 6	114	11 .
3012	3	21153	13 2	153	14 u	20999	15 2	u	. u	3588	6 3
588	11	4121	8 8	128	u 4	3993	8 4	118	14 4	2250	13 6
3898	4½	29129	4 5	281	11 4	28847	6 1	1245	11 10	5953	14 9
47696	7 3/4	604411	6 8	6872	9 3	533238	13 5	35972	10 10	20815	10 8

Waste

Remainder		Add Fusul Jasty.		Total Settlement.		Cawnies		Survey assessment.		Deduct permanent reduction.	
22		23		24		25		26		27	
14775	11 9	5	1 5	14780	13 2	82	6¾	2000	6 9	u	u .
349206	10 .	316	12 7	349523	6 7	2939	1¾	34480	1 2	3036	5 .
86938	. 7	2071	4 5	89009	5 .	2005	5	12708	11 2	8	15 10
3882	6 1	. u	u u	3882	6 1	248	8¼	1096	7 11	u	u u
454802	12 5	2393	2 5	457195	14 10	6275	5¾	50285	11 .	3045	4 10
2612	6 1	u	. .	2612	6 1	30	u	114	14 1	u	u u
17411	8 11	2	12 1	17444	5 .	1347	5	9614	3 7	398	11 6
1623	12 6	u	u .	1623	12 6	97	2	324	13 7	u	u u
21647	11 6	2	12 1	21650	7 7	1474	7	10353	15 3	398	11 6
476450	7 11	2395	14 6	478846	6 5	5749	12¾	60639	10 3	3444	u 4

Remainder.	2d Crop rent of Cultivated lands to be struck off	2d Crop rent of waste lands to be struck off	Total.
28	29	30	31
2000 6 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
31,443 12 2	16,282 14 11	6,034 8 6	22,317 7 5
12,699 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,096 7 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
47,240 6 2	16,282 11 11	6,034 8 6	22,317 7 5
414 14 1	136 4 4	138 4 8	294 9 0
9,215 8 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
324 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
9,955 3 9	156 4 4	138 4 8	2914 9 4
57,195 9 11	16437 3 3	6,172 13 2	22612 " 5

Fusul Jasty.

Fasly 1254	1,289	11	5
" 1255	1,603	8	3
" 1256	1,765	12	5
" 1257	2,308	3	7
" 1258	2,217	13	8
" 1259	1,873	4	3
" 1260	1,859	2	2
" 1261	1,975	11	0
" 1262	2,003	10	1
" 1263	2,395	14	6
	19,292	16	4
Average per Annum	1929	4	7

Coimbatore Collrs Office,
25th August 1855

E: E: Pt (Signed) E: B: Thomas,
Collector

J. G. B.

Bd 2d 2416-
Proceedings thereon stating that the Board will give attention to his remarks for a uniformity of taxation of 2 Crops when Considering the question of Fasul and Teeruajasty and as regards encouraging the planting of fruit trees referring the Collector to the rules sanctioned by Government for North arcot.

(1380-81)

In this Letter the Collector advocates the Remission of the Fasal jasty which is now levied in his District on Sugar Cane Betel and other Crops and the Board deferred replying to it inconsequence of the general question of taxing Sugar Cane Cultivation being under Consideration. The subject has since been virtually disposed of by the declaration which Government has made on two Recent occasions that it is inexpedient to remit the demand when Crops of the description alluded

Extract Minutes Cons. dated 11th March 1856 No. 2518 to are Para 6-
Reviewing Board's general Report for 1263 Fasly Raised
In Cons. 12th July 1856 Vide Printed Book with the
Extract Minutes Cons. dated 29th March 1855 No. 377 aid of
In Cons. 12 April 1855
approving the revised Fasul Jasty Rules for North arcot

Government mater-

dated 5th 2 Mr Thomas points out
In Cons. 11th De- that the System pursued with Regard
-cember 1855 to Second Crops varies in different parts of his district - It may not be found practicable to introduce uni-formity unless the general assessment of the District is revised but the Board will give attention to his Remarks

13734 Fort St. George 31st July 1856

Remarks on this point when Considering the question of Fasal & Teerua jasty on which the views of the different Collectors were called for in their Circular No. 2518 dated 28th September 1855 -

3 On the Subject of encouraging the planting of fruit trees Mr. Thomas will observe that provision has been made for this purpose in the Rules Sanctioned by Government for North Arcot and forwarded for the Consideration of other Collectors with the above Circular. For example when Cocoanut Mango and other similar trees are grown on Poramboke land with the aid of Government water they are only to pay the same tase as one Crop of Rice and when they are planted on Single Crops of Punjab land no extra Charge is to be made. -

To

13735 Fort St. George 31st July 1856

No. 54

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1382)
B. No. 1345
From the Collector
of Vizagapatam

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Ultimo and to forward herewith 2 Statements No 1 and 2 drawn out according to the forms which accompanied it. -

Vizagapatam
Collector's Office
15th April 1856

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector

Statement

13736

Fort St George 31st July 1850

No 1

Statement shewing the Salt manufactured & Sold and other Charges on an Average of 10 years in the Zillah of Vizagapatam -

Names of Zillahs	Quantity Manufactured	Cost of Salt by Vat	Amount of Sale & Manufacturing Charges either at Vat or Godowns	Establishments	Incidental Contingencies and Repairs Buildings	Other Charges - Measuring	Moving and Watching	Duties on Salt Country Exclusive	Charges of Remittance	Wages & additional Vexatious	Retail & Bounty & Gratuities & Charges	Value of Stamps for Sale & Security Deeds	Emoluments to Vat Godowns	Rewards for Discovery of Illicit Salt	Amount of Salt	Average Annual Wastage
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Maunds	Maunds	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
1 Vizagapatam District	160,222	143,427	"	7,910	1,076	150	1530	129	197	90	20	770	1,90	2	80	155	7689	7712

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 15th April 1850 (Signed) P. B. Smollett

Collector

Statement

13736 Fort St. George 31st July 1856

No 2

Statement shewing the Charges for Exporting 100 Maunds of Salt in the Zillah of Vizagapatam

Names of Colar or Depot	Charges incurred on each 100 Maunds of Export of Salt		
	Carriage to Hoaping Heads	Area: Shipping Charges	
Currafsah	3 3 " " 6 " 1 " 19 "		
Nellarroo Roo	7 156 " " 6 " 1 " 19 "		
Conadah	196 " " 6 " 1 " 19 "		
Coopilly	15 15 " " 6 " 1 " 19 "		
Total	28 15 " " 2 " 4 " 6 4 "		
Average	729 " " 6 " 1 " 19 "		

N.B. No Salt has been Exported from this District by Sea for the last 20 years.—

Vizagapatam Collectors office 15th April 1856

(Signed) P. B. Smollett Collector

(1382-83) Order thereon Ordered to lie over

13737 Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

Read again letter from the Chief Engineer dated 24th June and entered in Consultation 15th July 1856.

Ordered to be submitted with the following letter.—

To The Secretary to Government Revenue Department

(1384)

Bd No 2108

To the Secretary to Government Rev. Department.

1 Enc. Fr. Chief Engineer 24th June inclos 15th July 1856 No A after 2339.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 11th June 1856 transmitting Rupees 132—5—3 for the purpose of being credited to the "Marmalong bridge &c. charity Fund."

2. This sum is the balance

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

To acctt General 4th June 1855 D. P. W.

the estimated amount sanctioned by the Board in the D. P. W. as Trustees of the "Marmalong Bridge &ca charity Fund," for the more permanent repairs of the Steps of St. Thomas' Mount, and as the Accountant General has signified his inability to receive it back without an order from the Supreme Court; the Board request that the Company's Solicitor may be instructed to move that court with a view to obtain the necessary order from them.—

3. In the meantime, the money will be retained in deposit in this office.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st July 1856.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

No 68
1856

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1385

Bd. No. 2636

From the Collector of Malabar. Reports with reference to his letter of the 21st Febuary last. that having gone through the papers connected with the enquiry held by Mr Thomas into the conduct of Sheshayen, the Tahsildar of Cherikul he does not consider that the evidence adduced in the case justifies the conviction of the Tahsildar on either charge—

Sir

I have the honor with reference to my letter of the 21st Febuary last, to report that having gone through the papers connected with the enquiry held by Mr Thomas into the conduct of Sheshayen the Tahsildar of Cherikul, I do not consider that the evidence adduced in the case justifies the conviction of the Tahsildar on either charge.—

2. The first charge originated out of an enquiry held by the Tahsildar into an alleged Murder.—

3. A body was discovered in the Tekampaad River in the Cherikul Talook it bore marks of violence and there is no reason for doubting that the deceased had met with her death from other than natural causes.

4. The Head of Police proceeded to the spot.

spot and after holding an inquest on the re
mains pronounced a verdict that the de
ceased had been murdered.—

5. On the 11th January Mr Thomas re
ceived a Petition from Mooary Achataramel
Appoo complaining that his elder brother had
been murdered. that a corpse supposed to be
his brothers had been discovered in the River
and that it was not forthcoming there and
representing that his brother had been murder
by order of Moyer conjee Ramen and that the
Head of Police received a bribe of 500 Rupees
and suppressed the facts of the case.—

6. Mr Thomas proceeded to the place of
the murder and on the 11th January commenced
an enquiry into the matter. He found the de
ceased had been murdered and considered the
evidence justified his committing to the court a
wealthy Landowner of the name of Moyen Coonjee
Ramen as the instigator of the deed. The Princi
pal Sudr ameen before whom the case was then
threw out the charge observing that the Statements

of the Prosecutor and witnesses to the fact of
the murder were not to be relied on, in as much
as it is represented to have occurred on the
28th November and they did not mention
to the Government or the Prosecutor their
having seen it committed till the 11th January.
Neither did these witnesses satisfactorily account
for their being in the 1st Prisoner's house on the
night of the alleged murder. Their statements
were marked with discrepancies. The corpus
delicti was not satisfactorily proved. No
motive for the murder was shewn. The
body could not have been carried unnoticed
from the alleged place of murder to the River
where it was found.—

7. The Principal Sudr ameen was of
opinion that the Prisoners enemies had gained
over the Prosecutor and his witnesses to bring
a false charge against the prisoners.—

8. The Head of Police was in the Petition
above alluded to, represented to have received
a bribe of 500 Rupees to hush up the murder
and

and cover the guilt of coonjee Ramen—

9. Mr Thomas observes in regard to the first case that the circumstances of the occurrence are most minutely related and though this very fact might lead one at first sight to suspect the authenticity of the evidence the statements of the witnesses in regard to the Tahsildar's conduct in the matter are in my opinion in the highest degree improbable and the collateral circumstances from which Mr Thomas would draw further arguments against the conduct of the Tahsildar are not in themselves of any weight, nor do they justify the conclusion arrived at. Even admitting Rary to be the murderer and his wife the cause of the murder, it appears to me many links in the chain of circumstances by which it is attempted to prove the guilt of the Tahsildar are wanting for though the suspected Rary had been a dependant of coonhy Ramen. this bare fact seems hardly to supply a sufficient motive for Ramen to involve himself in the crime of murder and to

to espend so large a sum as 500 Rupees in relieving his servant and throwing the guilt of the deed on the Adighary an old enemy. The removal of the Adighary from an Office. the end sought could have been attained by many far less dangerous or espensive expedients

10. This Tahsildar I am aware bears a bad character and is not considered to be above suspicion of corruption. Still I cannot see in the matter brought against him in this case any thing but the improbable account of what I cannot but deem tutored witnesses The whole story of these witnesses is the old tale which every one familiar with such cases must remember to have been told 100 times against high officials. Witnesses meeting without any assigned cause and at an improbable place seeing through extraordinary chinks money counted out and then hearing declared in a loud voice by the recover the amount paid to him.—

11. In the next case, I consider the evidence equally doubtful— it is of the same nature and

and character as in the former case while the collateral circumstances of the case are still weaker. The Tahsildar is supposed to have gone out of his way to give a decision which, was in the Assistant Magistrates opinion a wrong one on a matter beyond his jurisdiction. cases are brought before our Police in which the title to property is so blended and mixed up with the fact of possession and so much irrelevant matter is so often improperly forced upon them attending to elucidate the fact pleaded that a Police Officer becomes bewildered in the maze and is led into exceeding the limits of his authority in propounding an opinion in the title to rather than on the mere fact of possession of a litigated property. It would be unfair I think in such cases to conclude that the integrity and not the judgment and discernment of the Officer was at fault.—

12. Though I differ from Mr Thomas in opinion as to the sufficiency and quality of the evidence adduced in these two cases against the

the Tahsildar, I consider him deserving of credit for the promptitude with which he hastened to the Spot and the pains and labor he bestowed in endeavouring to elicit the truth.—

13. In regard to the deficiency in the Treasury discovered in 1854, I am inclined to acquit the Tahsildar of connivance and participation in the embezzlement. tho' I think him guilty of gross carelessness and neglect. such as totally unfit him to remain in charge of the large and important Treasury at chericul.—

14. In 1853, it was thought advisable to remove Achuda menon, the Head Goomastah of the Talook of chericul to cotteyom before going he brought to the notice of the Tahsildar that there was a deficiency of 57 Rupees appa: rent in his accounts and which deficiency he alleged he had discovered by recollecting that 48 Rupees had not been credited to the Head of Maramut.—

15. The Tahsildar immediately caused an examination of the several account Books kept in

in the Talook to be made and from these it then appeared that instead of 55, Rupees there was a deficiency of Rs 127 — A 3 — P 0 by further examination in the Kerzzoor this difficiency was increased to Rupees 127 — 15 — 5.

16. It was the practice in this District to keep open both in the Talook and Kerzzoor several accounts. such as the Amanat or Deposit account. Ayne Revenue Account; Salary Book advances. and Maramut. When monies might be required on emergencies. and were deficient under one head the amount was supplied by taking it from another. These accounts were for long periods left unadjusted. and facilities were thus afforded for fraud and peculation great irregularities also prevailed in the payment of Salaries. which remained in some case for years unpaid. Hence there was always a floating capital under this head from which emergencies might be met.

17. Such a System called for more than ordinary vigilance and caution on the part of the Tahsildar

Tahsildar. but in this Talook even the ordinary and required checks were neglected by the Tahsildar whose former training as Native Head Accountant and cash keeper leave him no escuse on the ground of ignorance or inesperience.

On escamination of the Books there was found a deficiency in the Salary account of Rupees. ——— 116 — 15 — "

In the Deposit account ——— 9 — " — 5

and in that of advances ——— 2 — " — "

Making in all ——— 127 — 15 — 5.

The deficiency in the first head arose from entries of Salaries alleged to have been paid twice to the same individuals.

That under the 2nd from a fraudulent and false entry in the Sale of Tobacco.

That under the 3d from appropriation of the amount of the Talook Head Gomastah achuda menon.

18. The accompanying Statement gives some particulars of the double entries in the Salary Book and shews that in some instances the 2d payments were made so soon after the first as to preclude the belief that the 2d payment could have been made

made in ignorance of the former one and in those cases in which the entries shew a longer lapse of time the natural course of referring to the list of Salaries paid would have prevented the error of the 2d payment if indeed it were an error.—

19 Had the Tahsildar observed the rule requiring Salary disbursements to be made in his presence or in that of his Sheristadar and the party paid to attach his signature to the monthly list in acknowledgment of the payment and duly scrutinised his accounts each month, these double entries could not have been passed undetected.

20. It is clear from the examination held and by the deposition of the parties who are alleged to have received double payments that these 2nd entries are false and that the money must have been appropriated by the Head Gomastah and Shroff.

21 These frauds continued to have been practised for 3 years and were only detected on the 1st Gomastah himself bringing to notice the deficiency in the item of Marahmut above noticed.

22 In regard to the 2d item it appears that the Government was credited in the Taluk accounts with the value of 2 candies and 6 Tulams (Maunds) of Tobacco, while an order was given by the Tahsildar to the Officer in charge of the Tobacco to deliver to the purchaser 2 candies and

and 7 Toolams.- The 9 Rupees deficient is the price of the Extra Tulam of Tobacco not entered in the Sale account: The Tahsildar excuses himself in regard to this item by saying it was a fraud played off on him by the Head Gomastah

The 2 Rupees the Head Gomastah admits he appropriated.-

23. It is impossible at this distant period to arrive at any very satisfactory conclusion on the merits of this case but adverting to the accounts being always kept by the Head Gomastah and his conduct in taking care to secure in case of his absence that his nephew a volunteer should hold his office, in supercession of the claims of several old Servants: to the greater portion of the accounts being in his hand writing, to his silence in reporting errors and deficiencies which could not have escaped his notice for 3 years and the guilty manner in which he brought to light a small part of this defalcation and that only on his appointment to another Situation: The denial of all the parties save Sisc who are charged with having received double payment. I consider there is little room to doubt that he made away with part if not the whole of the monies missing from the Treasury. I think it is also hardly possible that the Shroff could have been ignorent of his malpractices if he did not participate in them. This Officer alleges that he made the payments on the order of the Head Gomastah but did not know to whom they were made nor had he means of detecting

detecting any of the frauds. His accounts are the exact counterpart of those of the 1st Gomastah. He was an old Servant and well knew the parties coming before him for payment. He could not but have noticed the very rapid reappearance of some of the parties and that the payments were made on account of Salaries already disbursed.

24. There is an absence of all proof to convict the Tahsildar of fraud. but I consider his conduct to the strong suspicion and he is guilty of very great carelessness and neglect of duty.

25 The 1st Gomastah was suspended in 1855 and has not since returned to his duty. He has not been dismissed. and though I consider him and the Shroff deserving of the most severe punishment adjudicable under Regulation IX of 1822, I think the length of time that has been permitted to elapse since the suspension of the former renders such a proceeding now undesirable and I would only require them to make good the amount found to be deficient and which the parties to whom it is alleged payments were made deny.—

This will amount to Rupees 122-5- and will be realized under clause Second Section V Regulation IX of 1822.

The Shroff too will be dismissed

26. The Taluk of Wynaad having become vacant by the

From the Head Gomastah
Half of the deficiency on account of Salary — 51 10 8
Deficiency in the Sale account of Tobacco — 9 — 5
Deficiency in the account of advances — 2 — —
From the Shroff — 65 11 1
Total Rupees — 51 10 7
120 5 8

death of the late incumbent on the 1st April last. I appointed Seshayen to it but he declined to take up the appointment and resigned in his room. I have appointed a Mr Henry Schmidt an East Indian writer in the Sessions Court of Tellicherry. a young man of ability and character as far as I can learn. He presented himself in February last with 7 others before the committee of examination as a candidate for the Diploma of Vakeel and was one of the two who succeeded in satisfying the committee of his fitness for the office. The other successful candidate being the Acting Moonsiff of Chowghaut.—

27. Orders have been issued disallowing the keeping of any Deposit Funds and no sums are allowed to be disbursed from the Talook Treasuries without an order from the Hoozoor. except in cases of great emergency when it is done on the personal responsibility of the disbursing Officer and must be reported in 24 hours. The greater part of the items found unadjusted on my taking charge have also been brought to account.—

Collector's Office
On Circuit.
Betutpoodiangady
30th June 1856.

/Signed/ T. Clarke
Collector

Statement

13751

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

Enclosure No. 1.

Statement shewing the particulars of irregular payments and the deficiencies found in the Cherikel Talook Treasury on a reference to the accounts &c. of 31 months or from Karicadagum 1026 July/August 1851 to Makarom 1029 January/February 1854.

No.	Designation of Persons in whose names double entries were made.	Their names	Months for which double payments were made	Date of first disbursement	Date of second disbursement	Amount disbursed.	Amount in excess.	Total Amount.
1	Ireenawa Kunchoo menon	Ratambully Rayroo	July 1851	1851 August 29	1851 September 4	6 "	6 "	
2	D° Adigary	Poollenjaren Pakroo	December "	1852 January 25	1852 February 10	5 4	5 4	
3	Chelery Menon	Poodiadaven chattoo	July "	1851 August 31	1851 November 13	6 "	12 "	
	Ditto	Ditto	November 1852	1852 December 18	1853 January 12	6 "		
4	D° Adigary	Talakadew coonhamen	Ditto "	" D° "	April 14	5 4	5 4	
5	Rusmadiparamba menon	Koolroonata Runnen	July 1851	1851 August 29	1851 November 13	6 "	18 "	
	Ditto	Ditto	December " January 1852	1852 Feby. 17	1852 April 11	12 "		
6	Pooyadee menon	mambayil Kristnen	December 1851	" D° 19	" March 13	6 "	6 "	

7	Kattiasherry menon	mayetel chunden	April	1853	1853	June 7	1853	July	10	6	"	"					
	Ditto	Ditto	Amount actually due is Rupees 1 " 1 after deducting Rupees 1 " 11 for a substitute but amount paid in excess on the 16th November 1853 is										6	3	1		
											"	3	1				
8	Kootiatoor menon	Shoopoo Putter	Augt & Sept	1851	1851	October 30	1852	April	15	12	"	"		12	"	"	
9	Ireewary menon	Kreishnen Warier	October	1852	1852	November 16	"	November	23	6	"	"		6	"	"	
10	Papinisherry Menon	Maralvetil caunen	May	1853	1853	June 20	1853	July	15	6	"	"		6	"	"	
11	Ditto Adigary	Koyaiden Rarapen	August	"	"	October 19	1854	January	28	5	4	"		5	4	"	
12	Moondery menon	Mavila Ramen	From July to November 1852 from the amount paid to the substitute and to the fisced incumbent paid on the 7th January 1853 in excess							"	1	8		"	1	8	
13	Maneeyoor kolkar	Munjery Pokur	July	1851	1851	August 31	1851	September	21	2	"	"		2	"	"	
14	Poya Lee Dº	choden chinden	August	"	"	October 5	"	October	15	2	"	"					
	Ditto	Ditto	October	"	"	December 16	1852	January	25	2	"	"		6	"	"	
	Ditto	Ditto	December	"	1852	January 19	"	Dº	"	2	"	"					
15	Manilay Acting Ditto	cherriavittil Amboo	August	"	1851	October 10	"	October	10	"	2	"		"	2	"	
16	Makeery Ditto	chatola coonhamboo	December	"	1852	January 26	1852	February	10	2	"	"		2	"	"	
17	Kootyatoor Ditto	Kollongaree Keelloo	April	1852	"	May 15	"	June	20	2	"	"					
	Ditto	Dº	May	"	"	June 20	"	July	18	2	"	"		4	"	"	
18	Leannapoorum Ditto	Kollengada Keelloo	Amount paid on the 2d October in excess on account of pay up to 5th January 1852							"	1	"		"	1		
19	Cholery Ditto	Kollengada Anenden	November	1852	1852	December 18	1853	January	12	2	"	"		2	"	"	
20	Dº Dº	Palengaden Amboo	Dº	"	Dº	"	"	February	9	2	"	"		2	"	"	
21	Papinisherry Dº	Tekroomivaden chandoo	February	1853	1853	March 17	"	April	14	2	"	"		2	"	"	
22	Police Dº	Peresendriat Koonjee coolty	November	1851	1851	December 19	1852	January	23	5	4	"		5	4	"	
23	Dº Dº	Kamaren Substitute (number illegible)	July	1852	1852	August 18	"	August	18	"	"	2		"	"	2	
24	Dº	P. Pairoo Dº	1st to 20th July	"	Dº	24	1852	October	2	1	14	11		1	14	11	
25	Dº	Ponate Govinden Dº	1st to 12th Augt	1851	"	October 13	"	"	18	"	12	6		"	12	6	
26	Amount paid to the Tahsildar Sashayen in excess on account of Stamp commission									"	"	6		"	"	6	
27	Disbursement from the deposit account under date the 31st May 1852 without any particulars									"	10	9		"	10	9	
28	Amount paid in excess to Tayil Kandy koonhamod on account of reward for seizing Smuggled Tobacco under date the 13th September 1852									"	"	2		"	"	2	
29	Omission in adding up the total in the deposit account under date the 30th November 1851									"	"	2		"	"	2	

Amount

13753

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

Bd's No. 2406
Proceedings thereon.
(1385-88)

In the foregoing letter the collector states his reasons for considering the charges of corruption brought against Sheshayen Tahsildar of chericul, not to have been established.

2. The Tahsildar was charged before the Head assistant Magistrate with having under the inducement of bribes endeavoured to throw the guilt of a murder upon an innocent person while he countenanced and screened the real murder. The circumstances brought to light by Mr. Thomas's enquiry are sufficient the Board consider to throw strong suspicion on the conduct of the Tahsildar - he took no measures against the person whom common report and circumstantial evidence pointed at, as the murderer, and he remained during his enquiry at the house of one Roonjee Ramen whose dependant this person is known to be - at the same time he made a report on frivolous evidence against a person upon whom no suspiccion has been shewn to rest but who was the object of the enmity of this Roonjee Ramen The evidence

of

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

of the receipt of money by the Tahsildar appears to the Board open to the doubt which Mr. Clarke throws upon it, but the manner in which he conducted this enquiry is sufficient without such testimoney to merit serious notice espicially when it is remembered that the character he bears is not good according to Mr. Clarke's as well as to Mr. Thomas's statement and that he has formerly been punished for an improper decision in a case in which Pooyee Ramen was concerned.

3. The 2d case shews nothing very tangible against the Tahsildar and as regard the deficiency which the Board are now for the first time informed, was found in his treasury in 1855, although his management must have been culpably negligent no reason appears for supposing him to have been cognizant of the practices of the Head Gomastah and Shroff. These parties the Board observe have been dismissed and the amount of the deficiency

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

will be recovered from them. It is not however clear to the Board whether the Tahsildar is restored to his post at cherikul or whether he has resigned the public service altogether. Should this not be the case, the Board consider that his gross carelessness in the oversight of his treasury and accounts and his very reprehensible conduct in reference to the murder Enquiry shew him to be unfit for employment as a Head of Police and Tahsildar, and they direct his dismissal accordingly. His Employment hereafter in a Subordinate situations will be left to Mr. Clarke's discretion.

No 71.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1387)
Bd No 2707
From the Collector
of Masulipatam

Sir

The sum of Rupees 7219. having now under the orders of the civil court to be partly refunded to and partly held in deposit

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

deposit pending Appeal in the Sudr on accn
of Rakerlah Lutchmeenursiah, one of the Ser
vants lately sentenced by the Special Assistant
collector under Regulation IX of 1822 in Trials
Nos. 73-75 and 76 and the same having been
already credited to the Head of Extra Re
venue on the receipt of the commissioners Pro
ceedings in those cases. I have the honour to
request that the Board of Revenue will be
good enough to obtain the Sanction of Govern
ment for the debiting of the said amount to
the head of Extra Revenue charges.—

Masulipatam
Collectors Cutcherry
11th July 1856.

/signed/ I. Fraser
Acting collector

Bd. No. 2403
Resolution thereon.
(1387-88)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that Sanction be granted for debiting under the head of Extra Revenue charges the sum of Rupees 2219 being the Fine imposed on Rakerlah Lutchmeenursiah by the late Special Assistant collector under Regulation IX of 1822 in Trials Nos. 73-75 and 16 and carried to the credit of

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

of Government, but which amount has now been ordered by the civil court to be partly refunded and partly held in deposit pending an appeal to the Sudr Adalut.—

No. 754

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1389)

Bd. No. 2704
From the collector
of Nellore.

Reporting on Petition No. 368. of 1856 from one Vencataramachary bearing the Endorsement of Government.

Sir

In forwarding the accompanying Petition No. 368 of 1856 from one Vencataramachary in this District bearing the Endorsement of Government, I have the honor to report that the Petitioner claims the right to a share in certain Shrotriums and other Ancestral Property which is disputed by an undivided brother and Uncle of his. The Shrotriums in question are those noted in the margin, and pay severally an annual Jodi to Government as there shewn.—

2. There is no objection to the Petitioner being furnished with the required permission under clause 1 section II Regulation IV of 1831 to prosecute his claim in a civil court.—

3. The Shrotriums of this District appear to

	Amount of annual Jodi Rs. A. P.
* Gollakolleim	1 — 7
Nellorekollleim	13 — 10
Cadeyoorum	8 — 9

Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

to me to stand much in the same light as permanently assessed Estates and hardly to come within the spirit of Regulation IV of 1831.—

4. Provided the provisions of section VI Regulation XXVI of 1802 are carried out on every occasion of a division of a Shrotrium by a decree of court. I do not see that the Interests of Government are liable to be affected by claims to the whole or part of a Shrotrium being prosecuted in a civil court any more than in the case of a Zemindary.—

5. Some delay has occurred in procuring the attendance of the Petitioner to enquire into the nature of his claim.—

Nellore Collectors Office
15th July 1856. . . } (Signed) F. B. Elton
collector

Bd No 2402
Proceedings thereon— Under the circumstances
(1389-90) stated in the above report the Board are of opinion that sanction may be given for the admission of the Petitioner's suit

C. R.

Fort St George 31st July 1856

No 230

To G. S. Forbes Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(1391)

Bd No 2737
From the Collector
of Chingleput. — } 1

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith the Returns marginally noted which were called for in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No 1508 under date the 17th May last.—

Return A shews the number of Native Officers who have been employed in the Joint conduct of Revenue and Police duties in 1833 and 1853 and of those employed in the Collection of Revenue alone in 1813, the Police duties having been performed by separate and distinct Officers till 1816 where they were united in the persons of fiscal Officers and their Subordinates in the Talooks. The Number of persons so employed and of those dismissed cannot be ascertained at this distance of time as the records of the Sessions Court to which reference has in vain

* Return A shewing the Number of Native Officers employed in the Joint conduct of Revenue and Police duties in 1833 and 1853 and of those employed in the Collection of Revenue alone in 1813.
" B
" C

13760

Fort St George 31st July 1856

vain been made do not afford the requisite information on this point. The system of Village Police was likewise not introduced till 1816 and the responsibility of revenue Collection was not entrusted to them prior to that date.

Return B indicates the number of Native Officers dismissed from service during the several years above named. In 1813 the dismissals of Servants do not seem to have been recorded or been even considered worthy of notice. In 1833, 3 Peishcars were dismissed for Malversation of Revenue and in 1853 none of the District Officers would appear to have been removed from their posts for any of the offences enumerated by the House of Lords, tho' about 29 Village Officers were convicted of embezzlement and dismissed from their Offices.

4

The amount of land tax realized during the above period, and the number of individuals who contributed to it are entered in return C. The land Revenue in 1813 having been rented out on a decennial lease, the number of individuals who had paid it cannot now be ascertained from the records extant.

Cheinglepet District,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Sydapet 19th July 1856.

I Signed C. J. Shubrick
Collector

A

13761

Fort St George 31st July 1856.

A

Return shewing the Number of Native Officers employed in the Joint Conduct of the Revenue and Police duties in the District of Chinglepet during the years 1813, 1833, and 1853.

Years	District Officers	Village Officers
1813	63	"
1833	16	2760
1853	13	2620

Remarks.

In this year the Revenue Officers were quite distinct from the Police Officers, who were under the orders of the Sessions Judge. Of the 63 employed herein entered 20 were employed in the Collection of Land Revenue, 21 - Sayer - 10 Salt and 12 Miscellaneous. These were employed in Revenue and Police duties conjointly.

Do

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

B

13762 Fort St George 31st July 1856

B

Return shewing the number of Native Officers employed in the Joint Police and Revenue Departments who were dismissed from the Police Service for Malversation, Corruption Torturing or other offences in the years 1813- 1833- 1853 Zillah Chinglepat.-

Years	District Officers.	Village Officers	Remarks
1813	"	"	In this year the Revenue Officers were quite distinct from the Police Officers. The former do not appear to have been dismissed for any of the offences noted at the heading of the Statement.-
1833	3		Of the 3. one was dismissed for Malversation and the other two for general neglect of Duty.-
1853	"	29	These are Village Moonsiffs and Puttamonegars dismissed for Malversation of Revenue

Chinglepat District. - - - - }
Collectors Cutcherry - - - - }
Sydapet 19th July 1856 - - - }

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

C

13703 Fort St George 31st July 1856

C

Return shewing the amount of Land Tax and the Total number of Contributors in the Years 1813, 1833 & 1853

Years	Land Tax	No of Contributors	Remarks
1813	10,83,602	"	
1833	8,10,669	8362	
1853	8,27,226	28,719	

Chinglepat District. - - - }
Collectors Cutcherry - - - } (Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Sydapet 19th July 1856 - - - } Collector

(1391-92)
Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

No 82

To G. S. Jones Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1393)
Dis
Fort St George

B. No 2826
From the Collector
of Madras - -

The accountant General
having

Fort St. George 31st July 1856

having under date the 30th ultimo instructe
me to furnish my Journals, Accounts Particula
and Ledger on Medium instead of as hitherto
on Royal Paper. I have the honor to request
you will be good enough to cause the follo
Dated 1st January 1857 -ing alteration to be made in my Indent
for Stationery which was submitted for
Sanction on the 5th Instant.

Royal Paper - Supply required this year for

2 uires - - - 50
write - - - 30

Medium - Do - Do - Do - for 2 uires 30 - write 50

Calicut, - -
21st July 1856 - } (Signed) T. Clarke
Collector

Bo No 2411
Order thereon
(1393.94)

Ordered that a copy of
the foregoing letter be communicated
to the Military Board, with a re-
-quest that they will have the Sta-
-tionery Indent altered accordingly

To

Fort St. George 31st July 1856

No 159

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1395)

Bo No 2831.
From the Collector
of Nellore - - -

Sir

I have the honor to
forward for publication in the Board's
Office the accompanying 2 Advertise-
-ments for the Sale of Land for-
arrears of Revenue and beg to re-
Solicit Sanction for the Sales.-

Nellore District.
Kistnapatam - -
Collector's Office -
23rd July 1856 - - -
} (Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

No 1

13766

Fort St George 31st July 1856

No 1

Statement of the Lands to be Sold

Defaulters name	Description of the Lands: Talook	Village	Whether Nunjah Punjah &c	Fields: No	Name	Extent	Assessment	Amount exclusive of arrears payable for Current Fasly
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
							Rs a p	Rs a p
1 Vemady Venkata Natrayanah	Sutrapully	Goodoor	Wet	"	Curnum Lingia Varagoovembady punchaa	6-16-16 / 4-4--	5 6 8	5 6 8
2 Salagunsetty Veregadoo	Do	Do	Do	90	Curnum lingia Varagoo	4-4--	7 8	7 8
3 Pouremady Pauperaddy	Do	Do	Do	"	Minahapolliam Timmana Calasa Toonaa	7-6--	8 2	8 2
4 Nagady Chinna Veeraragava reddy Goodoor Nursimooloo	Do	Do	Do	"	Minaba Polliem	4-4--	4 2	4 2
5 Yeendra Gooravadoo	Do	Do	Do	343	Pamoola mendgoo	4-2--	4 4 6	4 4 6
6 Dondapurty Boyana	Do	Do	Do	343	Do	4-2--	4 4 6	4 4 6
7 Manoobooloo Ragava-reddy	Do	Do	Do	"	Minha Pollium Mittachamy Vemlady	5-5--	6 12	6 12
8 Gauda Kristnaiah	Do	Do	Dry	52	Cottavooroo Paiedoo	2-6--	3 4 9	3 4 9
						2-1--	43 12 10	43 12 10
1 Dasy reddy Soobba-reddy	Do	Madava-noor	Dry	107	Woortoo late biah chanco	1----	6 6	6 6
			Total	"	"	3-1--	49 13 4	49 13 4

Nellore District Collectors Office
Kistnapatam 23rd July 1856

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

No 2

13767

Fort St George 31st July 1856

No 2

Statement of the Lands to be sold.

Defaulters name	Talook	Village	Description of the Lands Whether Nunjah Punjah &c.	Fields No	Fields Name	Extent	Assessment Rs a p	Amount Exclusive of arrears payable for Current Fusly Rs a p
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
						G - 16 - 16		
Chinglepet Lutchmy Narsoo	Suruppully	Chennoor	Dry	28	Goorlacunda	" - 15 - 8	6 10 -	6 10 -
Chennoor Narsiah	Do	Do	Do	129	Chakyaroo Dibba	1 - 8 - -	9 3 -	9 3 -
						2 - 7 - 8	15 13 -	15 13 -
Mancolaloo Narayaroo	Do	Vindoor	Do	185	Jonnalagadda Chanoo	1 - 1 - -	10 11 6	10 11 6
Utchakala Narayaroo	Do	Do	Do	225	Cundalaty Vemlady	1 - 3 - -	9 6 8	9 6 8
Bahoola Saib	Do	Do	Do	163	Body Goody Chanoo	" - 7 - -	3 11 -	3 11 -
Nellore Dada	Do	Do	Do	197	Unkalamitta	1 - 10 - -	11 15 6	11 15 6
			Wet	137	Rayaroo Chenoo	" - 5 - 8	5 2 7	5 2 7
						4 - 10 - 8	41 1 9	41 1 9
					Total	7 - 2 - -	56 14 9	56 14 9

Nellore District Collectors Office
Kistnapatam
23rd July 1856

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

13768 Fort St. George 31st July 1856.-

B. No. 2407

Proceedings thereon.- The Board of

(1395.96)

Revenue authorize the Sale, for

arrears of Revenue, of the lands

alluded to in the foregoing letter,

and direct the publication in

their office of the Advertise-

-ments forwarded by the

Collector, Thursday

the 4th September next

being fixed for the day of

Sale.-

To

L.B.

13769 Fort Saint George 31st July 1856

No. 218

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort Saint George

(1397)

B. No. 2866

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly Reporting on Petition No. 1089 of 1856.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of the Board of Revenue on Petition No.t 1089 of 1856 requiring me to explain the very different conclusions at which the officers deputed to examine Petitioners Crops arrived regarding their claims to remission, and the grounds on which the claims rest I have the honor to submit the following report-

+ have with Petitioners letter and Petition No. 1089 of 1856

2 In pursuance of the instructions Conveyed in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 24th December last Orders were sent to the different Tahsildars directing them to give notice to all the ryots of their Taloks by Proclamation that in Consequence of the adversity of the season wet lands cultivated from and after the 1st January 1856 and reaped within the Fusly Vizt on or before the 30th June shall be charged only with one forth of the land tax, while dry & Garden lands cultivated and harvested within the prescribed time will be assessed with one half of their respective tax-

3 The Tahsildar of the Sheeyaly Coontum of Taloks bearing that the Petitioners and Inhabitants of

of their Village were Callusively attempting to
Commit fraud by bringing certain cultivation
made in December 1855, to the accounts of the
following month, deputed the Moozoomdar
of his Talook with orders to inspect the
Cultivation made in the Village in question
and report the result.

4 Accordingly the Moozoomdar proceeded
to the Village, and made a report that
the whole extent of the late Cultivation
in the Village amounting to 100 and odd
Seed Cottahs, was liable to full Teerwah.
Thereupon Kristna Iyer and others, who
form Some of the parties to the Petition
No. 1089 of 1856 under report, complained
that the Examination made by the
Moozoomdar was not fair. The Tahsildar,
under my orders, and as promised in a former
arzee from him went to the Village, went
over field after field, determined that of
the total extent of the late cultivation
Viz. 100 and odd Seed Cottahs, land to
the extent of Seed Cottahs 46, which

appeared to him to have been cultivated
during the latter part of December, should
be assessed in full, while three fourths
of the assessment on the remaining 54
Cottahs, which he stated to have
been taken up after 1st January should
be remitted.

5 He drew out an account shewing
the result of his examination and
obtained thereto in addition to the
Signatures of Merajsdars &c. of other
Villages who bore him Company,
those of the ryots and Curnums Concern-
ed in the fraud. Meanwhile as the
Moozoomdar averred that his examina-
tion was Correct, and as
Complaints giving information of
fraud in other Villages of the Same
Talook were received the Nazir or
Sheristadar

Sheristadar of my Cutcherry was deputed with special orders from me, to examine the late cultivations, to hold an investigation into the matter, and to report the result-the result.

6 In Conformity with the above orders, the Nail Sheristadar after full investigation and with reference to the nature and state of the Crops, and the period at which each description of seed Comes to maturity, reported that out of the total extent of late cultivation amounting to seed Cottahs 100 and odd 93 and odd seed Cottahs of land consisting of the following 2 items, Viz:t

46 Cottahs recommended by the Tahsildar as liable to full teerwah and

47 out of the 54 Cottahs recommended by the Tahsildar as liable only to one fourth of the full teerwah, were in his opinion subject to full teerwah.

The

7- The accounts of his examination bear the Signatures of the Meerasdars &c. of the neighbouring Village of Seithoonganullore 1/2 mile distant from Caroongoolum, the Petitioners Village.

8 Though the Petitioners objected to the Correctness of the Nail Sheristadars examination and did not Sign the Statement as drawn up by him yet as they had, by affixing their Signatures to the accounts made out by the Tahsildar in the first instance admitted that fraud had been attempted, as this admission on their part independent of other evidence obtained proved beyond a doubt, the Commission of fraud though not

Fort Saint George 31st July 1856.

not to the full extent subsequently detected; as the Nail Sheristadar, supported by the evidence of the Meerasidars & of the neighbouring Villages, gave it as his opinion that the extent stated by him Viz. Seed Cottahs 93 and odd were Cultivated in December, and as an example appeared to be necessary to deter other Ryots and Curnums from Committing similar frauds I ordered the full extent Viz. Seed Cottahs 93 & odd to be assessed in full, as recommended by the Nail Sheristadar, and dismissed the Curnums as unworthy of future trust.

9 The amount of frauds Committed in other Villages by the Curnums in Collusion

Fort Saint George 31st July 1856

Collusion with the Ryots, and discovered by the Nail Sheristadar have been brought to account, and the Curnums concerned therein punished according to their deserts

10 The point as to whether or not the Tahseldar was concerned in these cases is under Consideration.

Tinnevelly, Coll.s Circuit Cutcherry,
Tencaisey,
23d July 1856.

} Signed J. Silver
Collector

P.C. No. 2419

Order thereon. Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions No.s 1089 and 1485 of 1856.

(1397.98)

"The Board are fully satisfied

13776 Fort Saint George 31st July 1856

Satisfied with the means
adopted by the Collector to
arrive at the real facts of this
case. The attempt at a fraud
by means of false
entries regarding the
date of cultivation
was brought home to
the Petitioners and the
Board decline to
direct their restoration
to Office."

To

13777 Fort St. George 31st July 1856.

No 162.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revs
Fort St George.

(1399)
Bd No 2873
From the Collr
of Madura.

Sir

I have the honor to return
Boards' Petitions Nos 2943 of 1855 & 1675
of 1856. and to state that the Petitioners
represent that the Tope brought by
him at a Proonsiffs Court sale in
1264 F had paid a Pooroopoo from 1278
to 1283 F and has subsequently been
charged at the rate of 3-3 per Goontah
which
is correct.

2. The circumstances are as follows.

1st At the Fysul the land was
Perumpoke. In Fusly 1215 a Well
having been dug and an extent of 17 Goontas
planted with a Tope by one Assachee
Pillay a Garden tax was imposed and
levied till Fusly 1225 inclusive. From
Fusly 1226 to 1228 inclusive the tax
was levied on the trees.

2d The Curnums Ayacut Account
for

for Fusly 1229 contains the following entry.
"According to a verbal order from the Collector
"3 Rupees being 1/5th of the Tree tax has
"been assigned to the Nundavanum (Flower
"Gardens) of the Dindigul Devastanum."
This is the literal translation of the entry,
but the meaning put upon it and perhaps
intended, was, that the land had been
assigned as Inam to the Devastanum
subject to a Poroopoo of Rupees 3 —
which sum was already stated collected
from that time to Fusly 1283 inclusive.

3d. In the mean while the Garden
had been considerably enlarged.

4th. In June 1835 the Acting Sub
Collector in whose Division the land is
situated brought to my notice that this
Tope had been held at a low Quit
Rent without due authority, and re-
quested instructions whether the same
should be continued or a tax on the
land or Trees substituted.

3. As the Quit Rent had
never

never been sanctioned by superior authority
and was supported only by an inadmis-
sible and suspicious entry in a Curnums
account I felt incompetent to admit it
notwithstanding the long time it had been
paid; but authorized the levy of the
land rather than the Tree Tax, as being
in accordance with the Original method
and with the Rules now observed. The
tree tax would have been 16 Rupees and
odd, while the land tax is Rupees 9-11-10
a 0

Madura, 24th July 1836.
Bd No 2424
Proceedings thereon-
13921.00

Signed R. D. Parker,
Collector

From the details now given
by the Collector the Board learn that
the land which forms the subject of
this petition was assessed under the
usual rules from the time of its reclas-
sification till Fusly 1229, and that in that
year the Curnum entered as a Devastanum
Endowment, subject to a low poroopoo,
without any other authority than an
allega-

alleged verbal order. The levy of the peroopoo since July 1229 has therefore been the result either of fraud or carelessness and the Collector has acted correctly in assessing the land at the rate which belongs to it under the rules.

Ordered that the Petitioner be referred to the Collector of Madura, who will communicate to him the purport of the foregoing proceedings.

No. 144.

To
G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St Georgs.

(1401)

BC No 2825

Sir

From the Collr of North Arcot

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue the great negligence evinced by the Tahsildars noted in the Margin, in the important matter of serving notices on revenue defaulters, which neglect has mainly caused, as it appears

Chittoor
Caveripauk
Sholingur
Tirumullum
Sattyan
Sattavaid
Arcot
Vellore
Tiruvallur
Poolour
Wandiwash.

appears to me the very heavy balance out-standing at the end of last Month.

2. The importance of ascertaining from time to time the progress made by the Tahsildars in putting in force the only legal means of realizing the revenue induced me to require from them a Monthly Statement of the amount of outstanding balances for which notices had been issued on defaulters.

From the Statement submitted herewith, the Board will perceive that at the end of June the amount of current arrears, for which no notices had been issued, was no less than Pr. a. P. 26,905-2-1

In the Taluk of Tiruvallur alone, the balances for which no notices have been served, is no less than 5,734-2-1.

On distributing the puttahs of this Taluk, I have found such instances as the following.

x Chittoor

Siddareddy Monegar of Caveripauk, whose heriz is 26-13-6, has up to the

the 24th Instant paid 2-4-7, leaving a balance of 24-8-11. No notice has been served on him, the 3 Months have elapsed since the Harvest. Many Tahsildars have also neglected to follow up the issue of notices with attachment of land or property.

Aggregate Amount of Fines imposed on this account from 1st July 1855 to 25th July 1856.

	Rs	a	p.
Vellore	11	—	—
Sholingar	8	8	—
Tiruvellum	10	8	—
Palmanair	7	—	—
Chittoor	13	—	—
Satghur	13	4	—
Trimittoor	10	8	—
Coloor	4	—	—
Kundiwaka	12	8	—
Chingreghery	8	—	—
Arcot	10	8	—
Caveripauk	9	—	—
Sutwaid	1	—	—
Total	113	8	—

3. Repeated orders, and the infliction of fines, as noted in the Margin, have failed in inducing many of the Tahsildars to exert themselves in this important matter; and I would beg to suggest that the Board would authorize me to withhold the payment of the Salary of each Tahsildar until every Revenue defaulter in his taluck had been served with a notice.

I am aware of the objections held to such a proceeding but I only suggest it, after having found other means fail. The

4 The demand of interest on Circular balances does not operate in inducing the Ryots to be punctual in the payment of their dues. They prefer the payment of 12½ per Cent to Government, to borrowing Money, at perhaps 15 or 20 per Cent, with all its attendant inconveniences. But the attachment of the land of the Ryot, followed by its speedy sale, is more effectual in realizing the Revenue, and it appears to me highly important that those Tahsildars who have in spite of most stringent repeated orders, completely neglected the preliminary step of serving notice, should be severely punished.

Coll[r]s Cutchery, Chittoor, 25th July 1856.

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector.

13784

Fort St George.

No. 1 Statment Shewing the Amount of Harvest, Collection and Balance in the District of North Arcot — 31st July 1850.

Talooks.	Amount of Harvest up to April 1850.	Collection up to June 1850. Without notice being issued.	By issuing Notice	Total	Remaining to be collected	Amount for which notices have been issued.	Amount for which property has been attached	Amount for which property required to be attached	Amount for which notices require to be issued.	Deduct Amount the time for the issue of Notices for which has not yet expired	Remaining
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Chittoor	111074 5 9	" " "	" " "	91110 8 3	19963 13 6	1024 " 8	" " "	1024 " 8	18937 12 10	" " "	19963 13 6
Chendragherry	75015 15 2	" " "	" " "	56908 8 2	18107 7 "	" " "	452 15 5	5656 10 1	" " "	6109 9 6	6109 9 6
Caverypauk	121949 14 4	40821 8 11	18743 4 9	59561 13 8	62385 " 8	37965 4 5	7921 14 5	30043 6 "	24419 12 3	11997 13 6	62385 " 8
Sholinghur	97565 1 8	" " "	" " "	61014 7 11	36550 9 9	" " "	" " "	6219 12 3	15104 12 2	" " "	62385 " 8
Tiruallum	103328 2 11	" " "	" " "	48974 14 8	54353 4 3	5219 12 3	" " "	1780 7 1	35344 7 7	16226 1 4	20324 8 5
Satyghur	105992 13 5	93400 " "	665 3 2	94065 3 2	11927 10 3	1780 7 1	" " "	2217 7 8	9710 2 7	17228 5 7	37124 14 8
Palmanair	28190 2 8	" " "	" " "	27578 4 2	611 14 6	2217 7 8	" " "	611 14 6	" " "	" " "	11927 10 3
Sutwaid	45248 12 6	34050 15 6	2691 13 1	36742 12 7	8605 15 0	611 14 6	" " "	1138 7 9	6894 11 1	" " "	611 14 6
Vencatagherry Collab	13836 6 3	" " "	" " "	13825 13 3	10 9 "	1811 4 10	472 13 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	8505 15 11
Total	702201 10 8	" " "	" " "	489785 5 10	212416 4 10	" " "	" " "	10 9 "	" " "	" " "	10 9 "
Arcot	83415 12 5	49220 10 8	2522 12 9	57743 7 5	31672 5 "	3979 2 1	" " "	3979 2 1	27693 2 11	" " "	31672 5 "
Vellore	167987 14 1	124608 14 "	6742 9 2	131351 7 2	36636 6 11	2864 9 11	4760 5 6	2095 11 7	31870 1 5	" " "	38636 6 11
Tiruvattoor	92927 12 1	" " "	" " "	18581 10 8	74345 1 5	1811 15 4	" " "	1811 15 4	57734 2 1	" " "	74345 1 5
Poloor	116236 7 1	90062 14 10	2720 " "	93082 14 10	23153 8 3	" " "	" " "	4048 12 9	2859 0 8	" " "	74345 1 5
Wundewash	103549 13 2	63187 4 5	272 " 3	63459 4 8	40090 8 6	4048 12 9	" " "	761 15 10	39328 8 8	16245 5 "	6908 3 3
Total	564117 10 10	" " "	" " "	358218 12 9	205895 14 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40090 8 6
Grand Total	1266319 5 8	" " "	" " "	848004 2 7	418316 2 11	26664 9 11	27497 9 7	159491 5 7		16245 5 "	189653 9 1
						30102 3 "	11572 4 10	53200 4 7	269905 " 1	61697 9 5	356617 9 6

Sub Collectors Division.

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 25th July 1850.

Signed C. Cullingham.
Collector

13785 Fort St. George 31st July 1850

To

The Collector of

North Arcot

(4012)

BC No 2414

Sir

To the Coll.
of North Arcot.

In reply to your letter of the 25th Instant bringing to the notice of the Board the general negligence of the Tasildars in your District in serving notices for the payment of arrears and requesting authority to withhold the payment of their salaries until notices have been duly served on all defaulters; I am directed to observe that the Board have received this representation of the unfitness of public servants with much surprise and disapprobation.

2. The Amount for which notices require to be served (Rs 269905) indicates undoubtedly that the duty of collecting the Revenue has become one of much difficulty probably owing to expectations of reduction having been generally entertained by the Ryots. No excuse however is to be found in this circumstance for the backwardness shewn by the Tasildars to carry out your instructions by putting in force such means as

S. Cons: 31st July 1850

13780 Fort St. George 31st July 1850.

as are at their disposal for realizing the Revenue which they are entrusted to collect.

3. The Board have therefore determined to authorize your retaining in deposit one half of the Salary of the several Tasildars named by you, pending the issue of the necessary notices.

4. The Board desire

13787 Fort St. George 31st July 1856

desire to be informed of the

result of this measure at

the close of the ensuing

Month. Should any further

indication of unwillingness

to discharge this duty be shewn

by any public Officer you

will be fully justified in

suspending him from his situation

pending further orders.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
31st July 1856.

Signed G. S. Forbes.
Secretary.

No 13.

To

13788 Fort St. George 31st July 1856

No. 149

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1403
B. No. 2855
From the Collector of North Arcot.

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board, copies of letters addressed to me by the Sub Collector Mr. Sullivan with a view to prove that Gopalacharry is incompetent to discharge his duties either in his revenue or Magisterial capacity.

2 I would in particular call the attention of the Board to the repeatedly expressed opinion of the Session Judge on the manner in which Gopalacharry has lately performed his duties as Head of Police.—

3 Fully convinced that Gopalacharry's continuance in office was detrimental to the public service, I endeavoured to show to him the propriety of his retiring on a pension, but as he has declined to do so, I would beg to recommend that his retirement be enforced on the ground of his present unfitness resulting from

from his protracted service of Years 35 - Mo 5 - Days 4. -

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor
25th July 1856

/Signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector

No 1

To

C. Whittingham Esqre
Magistrate of
North Arcot

Sir

In reply to your letter No 440 dated 16th Ultimo, enclosing copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Chittoor dated 10th Idem, I have the honor to forward Copy of an Answer received from the Head of Police of Tirivuttoor Taluk, in which he alleges that although the examination of the Houses of the Prisoners lately committed to the Criminal Court was completed on the 14th September last, yet the examination of the houses of other Prisoners concerned in it, (who were not committed to Court for want of proof) was not completed until the 18th of the same Month, as was duly notified in his report sent to the Court; and he further states that during the time above referred to, he was at Walapunda on Tuninalumdy duty (10 Miles distance

distance from Tirivuttoor) from whence he sent repeated Takeeds to the Peons who were entrusted with the warrant in the case, with directions to bring the Prisoners without any delay, but these Peons without doing so sent replies that they were seeking for information as to the remainder of the stolen property, which they utterly failed to gain, and they at last appeared with the Prisoners before him on the 7th October last. -

This explanation is very far 2
from satisfactory. The Head of Police is responsible for the conduct of his inferior officers, and from the above statement it might be supposed that he possesses little, if any, controul over them. - I regret to be obliged to state that I have of late had frequent occasion to find fault with this officer for serious neglect of duty, similar to the above, and I find that reproof and remonstrance have but little effect on him. - when he chooses to work, Gopaulacharry is an excellent public officer; I may assert that we have no better in this District; but being now an elderly man, having served about 35 years, he has become negligent and careless. I have privately urged him to

to apply for his Pension and peture from public duties.

3 Gopaul Achary, Head of Police of Tiruvettoor is fined Rupees 5- and Peons Gidda Cofaim. Sunoos Beg, Rungappah Naik, and Moanesawmy, each Rupees 2.-

North Arcot
Joint Magistrates Office
Arcot
7th January 1856.

/Signed/ R. M. Binning
Joint Magistrate

No. 16

To
C. Whittingham Esqre
Magistrate of
North Arcot

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 31st January last No. 32 enclosing copy of an Extract from the proceedings of the Session Court of Chittoor dated 28th idem. I have the honor to forward copy of an urzee No. 24 dated 18th Ultimo received from the Head of Police of Tiruvettoor Talook in reply to a Takeed No. 16 dated 7th Ultimo addressed by me to him calling for explanation on the points noticed in the proceedings under peference

2 I consider the whole explanation of the Head of Police as unsatisfactory the more so as the principal cause of delay is stated

to

to be the non attendance of the 15th witness Chokapa Moodeliar who on inquiry, I have ascertained is a Village Monigar and as such, under the immediate orders of the Head of Police.

3. I most fully concur in Mr Binnings opinion expressed concerning the Head of Police of Tiruvatoor Talook in Para 2 of the letter to your address of the 7th January last No. 1.-

4. I have again fined him Five (5) Rupees for the delay in this case the alleged causes for which can only be ascribed to culpable Apathy in the exercise of his Police duties and of proper controul over persons under his actual authority.-

5. Within the last six Months this Officer has now been fined for neglect of Revenue duties twice, for neglect of Judicial duties three times, and besides the case on which I am now reporting there are two or three others of neglect pending against him and which will involve further punishment.

6. Gopaul Acharee being now fully

Fort St. George 31st July 1830

fully entitled to pension should in my opinion be urged to take it, as this continual fining is at variance with the orders of the Board of Revenue / Vide Board's Proceedings 12th August 1830 No 1665 Note 2 C. A Board of Revenue 28th July 1825 Page 41) and but two alternatives remain "suspension or change of grade."-

North Arcot
Joint Magistrates' Office,
Vellore 4th March 1830

Signed A. W. Sullivan
Head Asstt Magistrate
in charge of Joint Magistrates Office

No 17

To
C. Whittingham Esqre
Magistrate of North Arcot.

Sir

1. In reply to your letter of the 4th Ultimo No 47 giving Cover to true Copy of a letter to your address of the 1st idem from the Sub Judge of Chittoor, I have the honor to forward Copy of an Urzee No 21 dated 16th Ultimo received from the Head of Police of Invatoor Taluk in reply to a Tahseed No 18 dated 9th idem calling for explanation on the points noticed in the Sub Judge's letter under reference.

The

Fort St. George 31st July 1830.

2. The explanation of the Head of Police is quite unsatisfactory and in perfect keeping with his usual carelessness in the performance of his duties whether Revenue or Judicial.

3. Having already fined him as stated in my letter No 16 of this date I shall abstain from doing so again in this instance but would again beg to call your attention to Paras 3, 5 & 6 of that letter.

North Arcot
Joint Magistrates' Office,
Vellore 4th March 1830.

Signed A. W. Sullivan
Head Asstt Magistrate
in Charge Joint Magistrates
office.

No 23.

To
C. Whittingham Esqre
Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to bring to your Notice that on receipt of your Tamil Official Memo: of the 26th November 1825 No 249 to Mr Binning the late Sub Collector, that Officer issued a Circular Order to the Taloors of the Sub Division on the 30th December last desiring the Tahsildars immediately to

to enter on the preparation of the Adungal Accounts and Aizmaish thereof of their several talooks forwarding bi-monthly progress reports according to a form furnished.

2. In the Kundawash and Poloor talooks some attention has been paid to these instructions.

3. In the Trivatoor and Arcot talooks no attention whatever having been paid to Mr Binning's instructions up to that date notwithstanding frequent orders in the interim, for the 19th Ultimo fined the Tahsildars of those Talooks in the sum of five (5) Rupees each.

4. Since then the Arcot Tahsildar has forwarded two progress reports which lead me to hope that he is attending to the instructions of his superiors.

5. The Trivatoor Tahsildar has not up to date furnished a single progress report in the form furnished of the Adungal Accounts and Aizmaish of his charge and every branch of his administration bears the same stamp of gross and culpable neglect.

I

6. I have had the honor to day to address you two letters Nos 16 & 17 in the Judicial Department and trust that you will enable me to adopt such stringent steps as will admit of my carrying on with efficiency the administration of that portion of the Sub division forming Gopaul Acharie's immediate charge.

7. Frequent fines and the marked displeasure of his superiors appear to have no effect whatever on him.

North Arcot
Sub Coll.rs Circt Cutcherry
Vellore 4th March 1838.

Signed A. W. Sullivan
Asst Coll.r in charge
Sub Division.

No 37.

To
C. Whittingham Esqr
Magistrate of North Arcot.

Sir,

In reply to your letters of the 1st Ultimo No 97 and 17th Instant No 170. I have the honor to submit true Copy of an Arzee dated 19th Ultimo No 44 from the Head of Police of Trivatoor purporting to afford explanation concerning the delay in Criminal Case No 20 of 1838 noticed in Extract from the

the Proceedings of the Session Court of Chittoor dated 27th March 1835.

2. I do not consider the explanation of delay in this case more satisfactory than those afforded by this same Officer in other cases which occurred at that time and were particularly noticed in my letters to your address of the 3d and 16th March numbered 16 & 17 respectively.

3. I much regret the delay which has occurred in my Office in replying to your letter of the 9th Ultimo, now under acknowledgment, but a pressure of other business caused it to be overlooked.

North Arcot
Joint Magistrate's Office
Vellore, 18th April 1836

/Signed/ A. R. Sullivan
Joint Magistrate

No 81.

Official Memorandum.

1. In reply to the Collector's Official Memo: of the 10th Instant No 310 received late last evening the Sub Collector has the honor to state that the Jummabundy settlement of the Talooks of the Subdivision for Fusly 1245 has been commenced.

2. The accounts of the Vellore

Arcot and Poloor Talooks are nearly all completed and the issue of puttahs has been commenced in the first and will be speedily concluded in these three Talooks.

3. As regards the Wandiwash and Tirruttoor Talooks they are both in arrears, in the former owing to the want of acquaintance with his work of the Tahsildar, he having come from Madras as a private Tologoo Moonshee to Mr Bunning and been appointed Joint Magistrates' Javalee: navisee and then Tasildar in the short space of 3 or 4 months having had no previous Professional experience whatever; he is consequently dependent on his subordinates for every thing.

4. The state of the administration of the Tirruttoor Talook I have had several times to bring to your notice and can say nothing more than that little prospect of any improvement until Gopaul Acharier is finally removed.

5. The Sub Collector begs to assure the Collector that he has spared no pains to expedite the preparation of the Jummabundy accounts as the records of his Office will prove and that he will

Fort St. George 31st July 1838.

will do his utmost to bring the Jumma-
bundy to a speedy close but he trusts
the Collector will support him in getting
rid of subordinates who are quite unequal
to their position or wilfully neglect their
every duty like Gopaul Acharien

North Arcot
Sub Coll.rs Circuit
Cutcherry Vernigapoorum
13th June 1838.

Signed A. W. Sullivan.
Sub Coll.r of North Arcot.

No. 89.

To.
C. Whittingham. Esqre
Collector of North Arcot

Sir

Since taking charge permanently
of the Sub Division I have gradually
been making myself acquainted with the
persons and qualifications of the Servants
in the Talooks.

2. On the 19th Instant in a Taheed
No. 107, I directed the Trivatoor Tahsildar
to send up to the Huzoor for inspection
a Roomaul on that Talook Establish-
-ment named Narrainsawmy Mordehai.

3. In his reply of the 22nd Instant
No. 54 he has the impudence to tell me
that this individual obtained a Months
Sick

Fort St. George 31st July 1838.

Sick leave from him last November
(seven Months ago) since which time he
has never returned to his duty that
he does not know where he is and also
admits that he has never hitherto brought
the Circumstance to my notice.

He also Volunteers similar
information concerning another Roomaul
named Ayasamiah to whom it appears
he gave a Months Sick leave on the
26th April last since which period
he has not been heard of or the cir-
-cumstance of his absence
for nearly a Month brought to my
notice.

5. I enclose a copy of his Hzzee
under reference and beg leave to state
that I have dismissed both Roomaulies
as absent without leave.

6. The names of neither of these
individuals appear in the Monthly returns
of Servants absent on Sick leave or other-
-wise called for by me from the Talooks
of the Sub Division in a Circular Order
of the 7th February 1838.

I have the Honor &
respectfully

Fort St. George 31st July 1835.

respectfully to appeal to you how I can be held responsible for the administration of the Trivuttoor Talook with such a native Subordinate at the Head of it, his general Official conduct having before been promi=nently and repeatedly brought by me to notice.

North Arcot
Sub Coll.rs Cutcherry
Vellore 23d June 1835

[Signed] A. W. Sullivan
Sub Coll.r of North Arcot

No. 84.

To
C. W. Pittingham Esqre
Magistrate of North Arcot
Chittoor

Sir

1. I had the honor in my letter in the Revenue Department, of the 23d instant No. 89 to bring to your notice a flagrant instance of neglect of duty on the part of the Shasildar of the Trivuttoor Talook, I have now the honor to bring to your notice an instance equally flagrant in his capacity as Head of Police.

2. On a perusal of the Record in appeal case No. 89 against a decission of this Head of Police, I reversed it and on the 9th April 1835 sent an order No. 58, communicating this to the Head of Police

and

Fort St. George 31st July 1835.

and with it sent a notice to the Defendants [in appeal] to open within 30 days a certain door of a room unlawfully closed by them and containing the property of the Appellant intimating that it would be opened by the Police authorities if they failed to do so within the prescribed period.

3. The Head of Police was directed to see this carried out and to report having done so.

4. The 30 days in the notice expired on the 9th May 1835.

5. The Head of Police made no report whatever on the case until the 18th June 1835. or 40 days after the expiration of the period of the notice and then in his Urzee of that date No. 62 has the presumption to report that my orders had not been carried out because forsooth they were not approved of by the defendants in appeal giving one or two other reasons for failing to carry out my orders and for not having sooner reported the circumstance to me which are as futile as they are false and impertinent.

P.S. I can only in conclusion repeat that so long as Gopaulachary is con= tinued in his post of Head of Police of

Copy forwarded

13803 Fort St. George 31st July 1850.

of the Shivettoor Talook I can hold myself as little responsible for the efficiency of its Police as of its Revenue administration.

North Arcot.
Joint Magistrates Office,
on Circuit Cheinjeepooram
June 25th 1850.

(Signed) A. K. Sullivan.
Joint Magistrate.

(True Copies)
(Signed) C. Whittingham.
Collector.

B.d No. 2423.

Proceedings thereon.

(1403-4)

The Board regret to learn from the correspondence which accompanies this letter that a public servant of the experience and acknowledged ability of Gopalacharry should have persisted in refusing to apply for a pension after it had become evident that whether from his age or in consequence of failing powers he was incapable of efficiently discharging his duties.

2. Instances are given which fully establish this conclusion and which the Board can only pass over on the ground of age and consequent infirmity in a Collector to meritorious servant. They resolve therefore to instruct the Collector to call for this Officers immediate resignation. In the event of his hesitating to comply, the Collector will cause him to be superseded by an efficient successor, leaving him to submit his application for a pension hereafter.

For

13801 Fort St. George 31st July 1850.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(1405)

B.d No. 2811

From A. Orr Esqr.
Madras.

Sir,

In answer to your Official Memorandum No. 2059. dated 5th July current requesting a design for a Silver Medal, I beg to send herewith, a design for the Medal, the cost of which will be (20) Twenty Rupees, with the exception of the Engraving of the Paper Machine which Conniah Chetty has expressed a wish to have engraved on one side of the Medal at his own expense. I mention this and submit it for your approval as I conceive I have nothing to do with Conniah Chetty in the matter but as the addition is appropriate and he makes it at his own expense, you may perhaps allow it.

Mount Road
Madras
July 25th 1850.

(Signed) A. Orr.

B.d No. 2410.

Proceedings thereon

(1405-6)

The Board of Revenue approve of the design submitted by Mr. Orr and request that he will manufacture a Medal in accordance with

with it, substituting however the words "By order of the Government of Fort Saint George" for "the Board of Revenue". The Board see no objection to Comiah Chetty having an engraving of his paper Machine placed upon the Medal at his own expense.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1407

Bd. No. 2856

From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that Mr. Morrison the Abkaree renter of this District in a letter dated 18th Instant, requests me to cancel the complaint lodged by him against Arnee Vencatroy Moodelly and Narasoo Setty, the Sub renters of Shalinghur, as they have executed a Moochelikah, and have obtained a licence from him which enables them to sell liquor in future without laying themselves open to prosecution.

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 26th July 1850.

I Signed I C. Whittingham
Collector

Bd. No. 2413
Proceedings thereon

1407-8

The Board approve of the disposal of the case between Mr. Morison and Vencatroy Moodelly & Co. in the manner here described. Their instructions regarding the enforcement of the law were designed to compel the latter party to recognize the lawful claims of Mr. Morison to regulate the Sub leasing of his farm on his own terms.

No. 306

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1409

Bd. No. 2865

From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter No. 2067 dated 7th Instant, enclosing copy of a communication from Mr. Beadon the Secretary to the Government of India.

2 I have the honor to enclose list of Machinery passed duty free with the value Rupees 2,60,820 the duty remitted is Rupees 13,041.

3 The experience of this Department tends to shew that all Machinery imported

imported has either been for the purpose of carrying on a particular business such as Printing or for large manufactories for Sugar. Rum &c. &c. and there is no reason to suppose a particle more or less would have been imported whether Machinery was liable to duty or otherwise Moreover there is generally such a large amount of Capital embarked in the concern to carry on which Machinery is imported, that the amount of duty forms an item of charge so inconsiderable as to attract no attention in its accounts.

It seems clear that Revenue has been given up, while at the same time no party has derived any real advantage or has the abatement of duty had any effect upon the prosperity of the Country.

I am not able to name any article which might with benefit be imported duty free except Printing Presses.

Sea Custom House,
Madras
26th July 1856

I Signed J. W. E. Anderson
Collector Sea Customs

Statement.

No 1

Statement of Machinery Imported during the Official Year 1855/56

Date		Quantity	Quality of Goods	Consignees	Value
1855.					Rupees A.S.
June	11	2 Packages	Machinery	Mr. J. Fleming	300 " "
"	"	1 Case	Soda water Machine	Messrs. Binny & Co.	100 " "
July	20	1 "	Copper Bottom Stillhead	Mr. H. J. Reid	1000 " "
		1 "	Copper worm	Do	500 " "
		1 "	1 Copper Middle Piece, 1 Dann, 1 Band	Do	500 " "
		1 "	1 Copper Bottom Still	Do	800 " "
		25 277 Packages	Sugar Machine	Do	18,000 " "
		30 1 Case	Centrifugal Machine complete	Messrs. De Souza & Co. Commission	1000 " "
		31 111 Tons	Machinery	J. A. Senkler & Co.	21,309 " "
		" 1 Case	Planing Machine	Do	585 " "
August	20	1 "	1 Pump	Messrs. Ewart Hitch & Co.	210 " "
September	3		1 Air Pump	" Walker & Co	510 " "
			1 Roller Machine		924 " "
			1 Arming Press		300 " "
October					
October	30	2 "	Coffee Pulping Machine	" Arbuthnot & Co	300 " "
November	10	2 "	Machinery	" Healy Lethbridge & Co	150 " "
			Do	Do	240 " "
December	26	1 "			
"		4 1 "	Sodawater Machine	Do	30 " "

13809 Fort St. George, 31st July 1835

Date	Quantity	Quality of Goods	Consignees	Value
				Rupees A P
"	6 2 Nos.	Goods Locomotive Tanks	S.J. Corbett Esqr.	43,000 " "
	2 "	Passengers D°	D°	43,000 " "
January 7	12 Cases.	2d hand large sized desideratum Machine complete	Messrs Pharoah & Co.	3,898 " "
	5 Pieces	Apparatus for D°	D°	430 " "
February 10	2 Cases.	Sugar Machine	" Arbuthnot & Co.	4,300 " "
	1 "	Machinery	" Healy Luttrell & Co.	100 " "
March 5	1 "	D°	D°	120 " "
" "	1 "	D°	D°	150 " "
" 18	1 "	D°	D°	182 " "
April 11	5 Nos.	Locomotive Tank Engine	S.A. Adkins Esqr.	17,500 " "
	3 "	Turn Tables	D°	5,049 " "
	1 "	D°	D°	2,923 " "
"	20 2 Cases	Machinery	P. Skelay	280 " "
"	30 2 "	D°	Messrs Dymes & Co.	170 " "
			Rupees	260,870 " "

Sea Custom House,
Madras.
26th July 1836.

(Signed) W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

Order

13810 Fort St. George, 31st July 1836.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To
The Acting Collector of
Sea Customs.

(1403-10)

B/ No. 2413.
To the Acting Collector
of Sea Customs
Madras

Sir,

Adverting to Mr.

Le Cons 31st July 36. 2866. Underwood's letter dated 26th July forwarding a Statement shewing the value and designation of articles admitted free of duty during the year 1835-1836 Under the title of Machinery, I am directed to observe that no mention is made in this letter of articles to which free admission though demanded has been refused on the ground of

of

13811 Fort St. George, 31st July 1856

of their not being properly classed as Machinery, you are requested to furnish at your earliest convenience a list of these articles this being one of the points on which information is sought by the Government of India.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st July 1856.

Signed G.S. Forbes
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched

To

18812 Fort St. George, 31st July 1856

To

The Secretary to
Government
Revenue Department

1411

B/- No. 2420

To The Secretary to Government Rev. Department

Submitting with the Board's remarks letters from the Collectors of Coimbatore Chingleput and North Arcot relatives to the subject of the Towns that are likely to come into existence in the Neighbourhood of Railway stations.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence noted in the Margin relative to the towns which are likely to come into existence in the neighbourhood of Railway Stations, I am desired by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information and orders of Government three letters from the Collectors of Coimbatore, Chingleput & North Arcot reporting on this subject.

E. M.C. No. 1094 dy 28th Sept. 1855 Rd 8th Octr. /55
" No. 1157 dy 16th Octr. 1855 Rd 9th Novr. /55
" No. 648 dy 25th June 1856 Rd 26th June "
" No. 719 dy 12th July 1856 Rd 17th July "

2650 Coimbatore dy 10th July 1856
2661 Chingleput dy 10 July 1856
2504 North Arcot dy 3d July 1856

Letters 26 July '56.

2. It will be seen that the Sites of the Stations in Coimbatore being still under consideration the Collector is unable to carry out in any degree the instructions of Government.

3. The Collector of Chingleput forwards a set of Rules which the Board approve generally. The area allotted by Mr. Shubrick to each plot for house and back yard is 3 grounds or 58 of a Cawnie or 84 7/20 feet Square which the Board think may be fixed as the Maximum extent to be allotted to any one building it would be unnecessarily large for Shops and houses of the poorer class. The whole space available for building is stated to be 1418 Cawnies.

4. With regard to the road way which Mr. Shubrick has proposed to make 24 feet wide the Board consider that the principal streets should measure at least 30 feet between the houses

houses as in addition to the road way which should be fully 24 feet space must be left for a drain along each side and also for a foot path. The Cocoanut trees which the Collector proposes to plant should be placed in these side spaces so as not to interfere either with the pathway the drain or the walls of houses. Across streets it will be sufficient to lay out on a rather smaller scale than the above. The Collector has not suggested the levy of a fixed rate of quit rent, but he has been requested to give his opinion on this point and on receiving his suggestions and those of other Collectors the Board will consider whether a uniform rate may be appropriately fixed for all the districts in which stations will be built. The District Engineer has been requested to furnish plans for the new towns and the Board have also desired the Collector to procure at once sketches of the proposed sites.

(To Chingleput 26th July 56.)

5. The Collector of North Arcot reports that he has ascertained the particulars of the land in the vicinity of the sites and that his Head Assistant has been instructed to arrange for laying out these grounds in communication with the Engineering officers. The Board have called upon him to furnish them with this information and to draw up a sketch of the rules he would propose to enforce in leasing out the plots to intending builders accompanying his letter with a plan of the proposed sites.

(To N. Arcot 26th July 56)

6. Government will observe that the Collectors of Coimbatore and North Arcot report having taken the necessary steps for the distribution of the printed orders supplied by Government and to which allusion was made in Extract Minutes Consultation No. 648 dated 25th June 1856.

(Minutes 26th June 56)

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st July 1856

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

1412 — No. 2898.

From the Secretary to Government dated 26th July 1856. Reads Extracts communicating orders of Government on the Estimates submitted by the Civil Engineer of the Godavery Division of works proposed to be entered in the Budget of 1857/58.

1412-13 — Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Received

Received the undermentioned

Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

1414
Mr. No. 1755
From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Forwarding an amended account current for June 1856 to be substituted for the one submitted on the 1st Instant.

1415
Mr. No. 1750
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance of current Revenue of the Estates under the Court of Wards for June 1856.

1416
Mr. No. 1757
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collections and Balance of arrears of the Estates under the Court of Wards for June 1856.

1417
Mr. No. 1759
From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta at each of the Ports of Exports on 8th July 1856.

1418
Mr. No. 1758
From the Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Chittagong from 1st to 15th July 1856.

1419
Mr. No. 1760
From the Collector of Salem. Reports that no Persons.

for Fusly 1229 contains the following entry.
"According to a verbal order from the Collector
"3 Rupees being 1/5th of the Tree tax has
"been assigned to the Nundavanum (Flower
"Gardens) of the Dindigul Devastanum."
This is the literal translation of the entry,
but the meaning put upon it and perhaps
intended, was, that the land had been
assigned as Inam to the Devastanum
subject to a Poroopoo of Rupees 3 —
which sum was already stated collected
from that time to Fusly 1283 inclusive.

3d. In the mean while the Garden
had been considerably enlarged.

4th. In June 1835 the Acting Sub
Collector in whose Division the land is
situated brought to my notice that this
Tope had been held at a low Quit
Rent without due authority, and re-
quested instructions whether the same
should be continued or a tax on the
land or Trees substituted.

3. As the Quit Rent had
never

never been sanctioned by superior authority
and was supported only by an inadmis-
sible and suspicious entry in a Curnums
account I felt incompetent to admit it
notwithstanding the long time it had been
paid; but authorized the levy of the
land rather than the Tree Tax, as being
in accordance with the Original method
and with the Rules now observed. The
tree tax would have been 16 Rupees and
odd, while the land tax is Rupees 9-11-10
a 0

Madura, 24th July 1836.
Bd No 2424
Proceedings thereon-
13921.00

Signed R. D. Parker,
Collector

From the details now given
by the Collector the Board learn that
the land which forms the subject of
this petition was assessed under the
usual rules from the time of its reclas-
sification till Fusly 1229, and that in that
year the Curnum entered as a Devastanum
Endowment, subject to a low poroopoo,
without any other authority than an
allega-

13813

Fort St. George, 31st July 1832

1420

Mr. No. 1761

Persons were charged in this district under Regulation IX of 1822.

From the Collector of Salem. Return of Village officers suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of June last.

1421

Mr. No. 1762

From the Collector of Tanjore. Register of Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for disposal by the Collector of Tanjore for June last.

" E Maltby

" Signed