MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

B/R

1681-1750

1464

6

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
		Order Book May 1856	
1674	From Madras	Stating that only the North branch of the Cuddalore Chitamul was entered among those for which Sanction was requested and that the Repairs of the North branch amounted to Rs 36-11-10 and the remaining work is now	8529
1562	Do Govt	On the above	8530
1678	From Do	On Wages of the agricultural Labor	8538
1552	Order Temporarily	On the above	
1629	From Govt	On the Progress of Public Improvements in the District	8531
1566	Rec to all Boards	On the above	85377
1690	From Govt	Plan of the Mount Government Arboretum	8538
1132	From Canara	Bunnadaya Accounts for Betul Taluk	8539
1539	Rec Do	On the above	8540
1466	From Malabar	The cause of the dismissal of the Sea Customs Manager of Calicut	8541
1584	Rec Do	On the above	8549
1589	From Tinnevelly	On Petn No 146 & 330 of 1856	8550
1574	Rec Do	On the above	8551
1437	From Madura	On Petn No 3417 of 1855 from Mootoocumar Nadien claiming restoration of the Pimbalem Meerasy annexed to Pallemadum Tiluk in Ramnad	
1585	Rec Do	On the above	8558

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1685	From Coimbr	Application from Capt Griffin for 5 Cawnies of land	8558
1571	Rto Do	On the above	8560
1458	From Bellary	States the Exhibition having been closed previous to the receipt of the Board's letter & the Tools &c	8561
1596	From Canara	Alteration of Talaks limits on the Ghat Product	8562
1605	From Do	Do Do	8563
1563	To Govt	On the above	8572
1651	From Malabar	Agricultural exhibitions	8574
1560	Rto Do	On the above	8586
1660	From Canara	Requesting instructions on the preparation of the Annual Statt of Expenditure to be submitted to Govt for sanction the Civil Stud proposes new Rules for drawing them	8587
1557	To Do	On the above	8588
1685	From Madura	Solicits sanction for the disbursement of Rs for the repair of a Bungalow for a Talook School in the Town of Sivagunga	
1554	Rto Do	Sanctg the above	8589
1736	From Trichinopoly	Cancelment of Court Cattle Cases	
1673	From Madras	Indentg for Gunny Bags	8591
1561	Rto Do	On the above	8592
1681	From Supt of Stamps	Subg a Statt shewing the particulars of Four proposals Recd for the Supply of certain Articles and requesting sanction of the Bd for his entering into a contract with Mr Vanes for a period of ten years	
1558	Rto Do	Authorizing the above	8595
1730	From Chingleput	Relative to the modifications of the Boundaries of the Talooks proposed to be effected by the Returns as requested	8595
1553	Cudpa Do	Returns as requested	8596

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1692	From Chf Engr	Regarding Papers connected with the G.T. Survey of S. Arcot & Trichinopoly	8596
1556	Rto Do	On the above	8597
1688	From Chairman to the Chamber of Comm	Thanking the Govt and the Board for the measures adopted with the view of rendering the Season Reports useful and interesting and of ordering their prompt transmission to the Chamber	
		1856 Dec 23 Mon 1856	
750	From Govt	Regarding the grant of Cawnies free on the Hills of Pongeberam	8601
1569	Rcvd to add Order	On the above	
1161	From Govt	Introduction of Merino Sheep	8602
1575	Rto Do	On the above	
1520	From Do	Arrears of Land Revenue for the last 15 years	8603
1576	To Accl Genl	On the above	
1554	From Govt	Sanctg the proposal of the Ag Com of Canara for dismantling the Coondapoor Bungalow	8604
1570	Rto Canara	Travellers Bungalow	8605
115	From Nellore	Extra Tentage drawn by the Collr & Subords	
1568	Rto Do	Sanctg the above	8606
1478	From Canara	Transferring a portion of the Coopoor Jungle to the Govt Gun Factory at Ootacamund	8607
1562	To Do	On the above	8611
1481	From Tanjore	Sub Courts decision in Suit No 13 of 53	8613
1580	Rto Do	On the above	8612
1531	From Madura	Copy of a Decree in appeal Suit No 84 of 54 on the file of the Sud Court of Madura	8643
1572	Rto Govt	On the above	8651

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1736	From Cuddapah	Instructions preparing the D.C.B.B. Statements	8657
1760	From Madras	Contributions Bonds	8653
1772	From Mssrs Houghton & Co	Ships Sultany having cleared out and sailed for Calcutta	8656
1578	Do Govt	On the above	"
1735	From Masulipatam Monthly Abstract	Requesting to be recommended for Rewards	8657
1579	Do Govt	On the above	8658
1577	Do Do	Forwarding the Broken Set of the B.C.B. for Apl 56	8660
1581	Do Do	State of the Season	8661
1573	Do Masulipatam	The delay in the transmission of their Season Reports	8664
1768	From Govt	Relative to Mr Smith's application for Working 5 Villages in the Masulipatam District	"

Ex 1859 P.C.B. 3 May 1859

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1765	From Govt	Directing all Mds of Petty at the Ry Stat on any copy of Malversation on their Officers to be brought before a Police Magistrate &c	8668
1672	From Do	Reduction of the high rate of Assessment on the Salem District	8669
1630	Order Salem	On the above	8673
1737	From Govt	Transfer of Villages from one Talooke to another &c	8 .
1591	Pro Secty	On the above	8675
1582	From Bellary	Respecting three Sudreemuds required for the Police Armies Collectory at Rumandroog &c	8676
1599	Pro Govt	On the above	8684
1592	From Guntoor	Regarding the Posting & timber Acts &c	8685
1595	Pro Do	On the above	8699
1588	From Salem	On Board Petn No 314 of 1855	8702
1616	Pro Do	On the above	8705

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1468	From Malabar	To continue the Employt of the Sirmastah to attend to the accounts of Chhatrams Estate &c	8708
1595	Pro Govt	On the above	8712
1594	From Madura	Praying that Parvaty may succeed her Mother Valarmadal as Manbrade or Messenger & receive of 1 Rupee 4 as per Annum on the Establishment of the late Moothramalingam Sathoorpatty in consequence of the delay & general debility	8713
1586	Pro Do	Authorizing the above	8714
1640	From Tinnevelly	Reporting on Petn No 91 of 1856	"
1615	Order Do	On the above	8719
1661	From Guntoor	Tools &c for the Collection	"
1581	From Madras	Mahomed Ally Sahib Bundsheny on the Mt Road is required by the Collr of Madras for his Office	8720
1596	To Govt	On the above	8722
1697	From Nuzvid	Monthly Sparks of Poisons in the District	8723
1587	Pro Do	On the above	8724
1683	From N Arcot	Mr Appathy Rings application for 2 Pons	8724
1589	Pro Do	On the above	8727
1147	From Auditor Genl	Cloths for Pons bells	"
1593	Do Ag Govt Fort St Calcutt	On the above	8728
1744	From Nuzvid	Settlement Report & one of a duplicate copy	8727
1590	Pro Do	The Bd do not require a duplicate of the Settlement Report	"
1606	Pro Madras	To omit in his future annual Rets the Letter of the 20th Decr 53 respecting the land applied for by Mr Morton	"

Bk & No	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1724	From Arcot	On the transfer of the Pongamoor Zemindary from the district of Cuddapah to that of Salem	8730
1585	Order ——— Do	On the above	8732
1729	From Chingt	Requesting to be favored with a Certificate Copy of the Bill &c relative to the Amadon of Goat for the Extra Establishment attached to	
1729	From Customs	On the falling of the Custom House Shed on the Redoubt	8733
1597	Pro Govt	On the above	8734
1800	From Arcot	Counterfeit Coins	8734
1601	Do Govt	Transactions of the Salt Department	8735
1736	From Do	Owning to the Orders of Government to the Army relative to the Office by experienced to proceed on Field Service from Russelcondah	8741

Loan 9th May 1756

1601	From Govt	Directg the attention of the Chief Engr to certain points with reference to the proposed Estimates submitted by him for restoring the Anicut and necessary channels for irrigation of the Lebrin and Coutre Vestrels	8743
911	From Arcot	Informing that the Crops of the Pettahs were confined by the late Inundation of Poondaglung &c	8744
1612	Pro Do	On the above	8753
2701	From Cuddapah	Reporting the death of Syed Mahomed Khan Bahadur &c	8756
1628	To Do	Syed Khader Sherjaun and Begum Khan to appear before him &c	8758

Bk & No	From and to whom	Subject	Page
974	From Ryacotta	Enquiring if any surplus artucles were sent with the Iron Safe	8759
1247	From Malabar	Regarding the Candyghaut Timmapoor and Chinglepaut Heads of Police	8760
1610	Pro Malabar	On the above	8770
1310	From Tinnevelly	On Pettah No 2818 & 2949 of 1755	8772
1608	Pro Do	On the above	8777
1307	From Salem	On Pettah No 1352 of 55	
1619	Pro Do	Refering Endorsh on the Pettah No 1352 of 755	8780
1369	From Madura	On Pettah No 3352 of 55 from Ryndaravaram Venkummah Agent of Tumbenapatam on Cotah Talook	8781
1613	Pro Do	On the above	8783
1433	From Asst Rivenue	Crops claimed by Pettah 2770 of 55	8784
1614	Pro Do	On the above	8786
1528	From Malabar	States that two of the Renters who were willing to take advantage of the Remuneration set forth in the 15th Circular of 21st July 755 have died and requests instructions to pay the amount to their heirs	
1623	Pro Do	On the above	8787
1572	From Canara	Regarding the Sluice &c of some Buildings in Canara	8788
1611	Pro Chief Engr	On the above	8792
1530	From Chingt	Messrs Kennick & Co having remitted Rs 3000 and promise to remit 1000 Rs within the Month	8793
1559	From Do	Messrs Kennick & Co having remitted Rs 1000	
1609	To Do	Ackg balance still due by Messrs Kennick & Co	8794
1592	From Arcot	Sale Makage for Fl 264	8796
1621	To Do	On the above	8798

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1593	From Asst Secy	Estimate of Contra Charge for D 1265	8799
1622	Pet — Do —	On the above	8800
1636	From Finlayson	On Petn No 150 of 56	"
1600	To Govt	On the above	8801
1637	From Finlayson	On a Govt Petn No 430 of 1856	"
1602	Pet — Do —	On the above	8804
1638	From — Do —	On a Petition	8805
1603	Pet — Do —	On the above	8806
1639	From — Do —	Reporting on a Petition	8807
1605	Pet — Do —	On the above	8808
1682	From N Arcot	Ors Govt Petn No 1 of 56 from Tiruvalangadu and Inhabitants of Cat Annanaipuram suggesting an Anicut in Ungaramby Samudaram	8809
1604	Pet — Do —	On the abov	8811
1734	From Nellore	Rs 52 being the amount advanced to the Compositors & Pressman &c	"
1598	Pet — Govt	Types to be despatched	8812
1630	To Ganjam	Statt of Contingent Charges &c	8813
1607	To Nellore	Wastage of Salt in the Pondicherry Coleroon	8814
1725	From Govt	New forms of Village Accounts	"

Noon 28th May 1856

1861	From Govt	Observing that the Third of the Returns called for by the Hons of Lords is correctly described in the Letter of Govt requiring to furnish the same and desiring that it be prepared so as to show the Land tax & Contributions for 1833 as well as for the 2 other years	
1618	Directors	On the above	8817

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
3725	From Acct Genl	Requesting to know what Taxes are paid on the Sources of Revenue &c	8818
1203	From — Do —	Requesting to expedite the transmission of the Reply to his letter of the 22d Ulto regarding Taxes	8819
1562	From Chingleput	Relative to the management of the Abkary farm	"
1561	From — Do —	Mr Adamsons proposal for the renewal of the Abkary farm	8829
1617	To Govt Pleader	Requesting his opinion on the above	8837
1684	From N Arcot	States that Subordinate need no Special allowance while at Madras	8841
1148	From Chingleput Court	Cloths for covering tables &c	"
1626	To — Do —	On the above	
1792	From Malabar	Indent for tools required for the exhibition	8842
1709	From Chief Engineer	Forwarding a Copy of the Sketch shewing the proposed site of the Sea Customs House and Masts attendants Office to be erected at Cocanada	8843
1623	To Govt	On the above	
1826	From Chingt	Rules for holding the Shroffs responsible for guarding against the receipt of counterfeit Coins	8844
1624	To Bd Madras	Respecting the results of the Revenue adminis- trations made up to 30th April 56	8845
1792	From Malabar	Indents for tools for agricultural Exhibition	8846

Noon 29th May 1856

1650	To Govt	The Zemindars of Calastry and Carvetnagur still deny the applicability of Reg VII of 1816 to their Estates and suggesting the being the case to a Judicial Officer that the Collector be instructed to make it generally known that Village Police Officers dismissed by their Zemindars are liable to be apprehended by the Collector	8847
1769	From — Do —	Recalling the Orders passed in the Revenue Board's of 17th Septr last and determining in future a Medical Certificate shall be required in every case of an unconvenanted Servant applying for pension	8849

No	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1757	From Govt	Acquitting the Collr of Combr and the Sig Light of the Annuntaly Forests of Shaping been actuated by any sinister or sinister Motives in the Expression made use of by them Called in the matter of the Cochin Forests &c	
1632	Order Combr	On the above	8851
1758	From Govt	Authorizing the issue of a permission Certificate to Cap of 8 Tons for 4 Cannon 6 grounds and 1518 Sq feet of land in Cocanada	
1638	Order Page of Govt Lands	On the above	
1766	From Govt	To disburse Rs 45 to Capt Crosby for the Semicircular Protractor purchased by him	
1637	Order Secretary	Conveying the above	8852
1629	To Do	Respecting the Outlay beg mentioned in letter No 367 of 23d July 1856	
1837	From Gunshor	On the above	
		Relative to Sheriffs made responsible for any counterfeit Coins	8854
1858	From Mayor	Sheriffs made responsible for any Counterfeit Coins discovered in the public money	8855
1770	From Secy Govt	Regarding fees for conveying Prisoners from the Coste of N Arcot to the Officer commanding Vellore	8856
1637	To Do	On the above	8857
1833	From Secy	Quantity and Quality of the Stones	
1636	To Govt	Papers used in the Estimate	8858
1635	To Do	Bill Endorsed on Petr No 3631 of 1855 &	8861
		Revision and recasting of the Pay Lists of the Pay Sergts of	

No	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1634	To Civil Audr	Requesting him to fill in the entries in the accompanying Statt of Establishts and return at early as possible	8862
1635	To Canarese Translator to Govt	Requesting to favor the Board with duplicate translations of certain Petitions	
1724	From Govt	On the Management of the Palconda and Mangalore Estates in Vizagapatam	8863

On 30th May 1856

1066	From Govt	Relative to the land Measure prepared by Mr Bayley	8865
1726	From Do	Respecting the improvement of Cuinga Salt	
1755	Resd Ganjam	On the above	
1763	From Govt	Returning the Boards to the Order of Govt 19th June 56 for placing the Lists of the Annuntaly Priests under the Collr of Combatore	8866
1024	From Vellore	Reporting on the quantity of Records and the way in which it is proposed to deal with them	
1661	Pro Do	On the above	8875
1618	From Ganjam	On the purchase of Guns	8876
1633	From Nuncole	Respecting the Treasury	8877
1652	To Govt	On the removal of the Treasury and requesting that sanction may be granted for the outlay that has been incurred in fitting the present Building	8879
1651	Sundries Rec'd to Govt	On the above	8880

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1788	From Malabar	Attachment of the property belonging to one Mattoo Sanatha Pasar &c	
1653	Pro — Do	On the above	8881
1739	From Madura	Translation of the Phauls Sunnud of 1855 47 of 1856 preferred by the Sud Court of Madura against the Sud Order and there Decree of the answers which the Pannee Jahs propose to file &c	8882
1654	Do — Govt	On the above	8883
1886	From Revenue	Rules for guarding against the receipt of Counterfeit Coins	8895
1888	From Finance	Informing that the Sheriffs are to be supplied for that Purpose and the Remittances sent to the Govt Treasury	8898
1853	From Bd Revenue	Returning the Abstract showing the Salaries of Officers in that Revenue Branch of the Govt Service	8899
		Order of Govt 3rd May 1856	"
1657	Pro Govt	Replies of the Board's Order on Mr Bayly's Petn of Board Madras	8903
1865	Pro Madura	To submit Separately the cases of Vythinadha Thevy the Zemindar with certain Documents	8907
1667	Do — Do	On Petn No 3589 and 3818 of 1855	8908
1534	From Collr Trich	Copies of the Wards Letter will be required for distribution to the Revenue Officers	8913
1662	Pro — Do	Forwarding the above	"
1661	Order to the Board	Copies of Wards Letters for distribution	8914
1149	From Abkry Board	Abstract for Rents Settld	"
1666	To Malabar	On the above	"
1807	From Accot	Sale of the 3 years Lease of the Alkary Farms &c	8915

Date	From and to whom	Subject	Page
1822	From N Arcot	Respecty Local Funds	8916
1808	From Madura	Garden Assessment	8917
1656	To — Do	Garden Assessment	8918
1798	From Madras	Shipments of Salt	8919
1655	Order — Do	On the above	8919
1867	From Accountt Genl	Shipments charges of Salt	8920
1663	To — Do	Respecty Shipment charges of a certain quantity of Salt	"
1668	Pro Madras	On certain Apertion of Some Merapedars Petrs of Vasarapady with reference to a claim preferred by them to compensation for land taken up by the Madras Railway	8921
1689	To Accot Genl	Forwarding certain Tabular Statements containg information in reply to his queries as to the rates at which Taxes are paid on the Several Sources of Revenue of this Presidency &c	8922
1709	To W Ricketts Esqr	Reporting upon the Revenue branch of the Service as at present constituted, with reference to the introduction of a graduated Scale of Allowances	8940
1759	From Govt	Copies of Zemindaree in the Ramnad District to Syed Mohamed the brother of late incumbent in arrears from the date of the latter death	8956

8529

Fort St. George 22nd May 1850

No. 113.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Thursday the 22nd May 1850.

Read again Official Memo from the Secretary to Government dated 23rd April and entered in Consultation 7th May 1856.

956

No. 80

Memorandum

B. No. 1674. From the Collr. of Madras.

In reply to the Official Memo of the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 7th May, the Collector of Madras has the honor to state that one only (North branch of Shrotriemdar's Channel) among those for the repair of which sanction was requested in his letter of the 5th March 1856, was entered among those prepaired under Government sanction 27th March 1855.

The repair of the North Branch was then Estimated at 31 Rs. and the actual expenditure upon it was By at P. 30—11—10. The remaining work referred to in the letter of the 5th March is new.

Madras, 15th May 1856.

[Signed] B. Lauliffe
Collector

ordered

8530 Fort St. George 22nd May 1856.

(956-957)

Ordered that the following Official Memo be sent in reply.

B.R. No. 1562.
To the Secy. to Government.

Official Memo

In Cons. 7th May 1856.

With reference to the Official Memo. from the Secretary to Government dated 23rd April 1856, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to inform him that it has been ascertained from the Collector of Madras that with the exception of the North branch of the Shrotriemdars Channel the advance of Rupees 639-4-1 solicited on the 14th April 1850 No. 1110 is for the repair of Salt Channels at Attiput not included among those repaired under the sanction of Government dated 27th March 1855 No. 360 The Cost of the former repairs to the North branch Channel was Pr. 36-11-10.

Revr. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd May 1856.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Extract

8531 Fort St. George 22nd May 1856.

B.R. No. 1629
From the Secy. to Govt.

(958)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 13th May 1856.

Reviewing the arrangement proposed by the Board with a view to their being kept informed of the progress of public improvements in the Districts. Offering to furnish Copies of certain documents which will supply the Board with full information upon all such projects and observing with reference to the degree in which the Board should be consulted before works are undertaken that it has been directed that projects of magnitude shall be submitted by the Chief Engineer for the opinion of the Board before being recommended for sanction.

/See No. 486 in Separate Volume/ Pg 8

No. 1
No. 275

Public Works Department.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23rd February 1856.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been struck with the inconvenience of the present mode in which Projects of Public Works are selected and submitted for sanction The local Officers take up such projects as occur to them, some more, some fewer, but

but for the most part, both the Head of the Department and the Government, especially the latter, are ignorant what projects are under preparation in the several Districts until the Estimates come before them respectively. The bad consequences of this system are several. Estimates are prepared, sometimes for large works at much cost of time and labor, but when they come before Government the project is disapproved, and all the pains bestowed on it has been wasted. again the local experience and professional judgment of the Chief Engineer and his Disputies are not now brought to bear on the selection of projects, as they might be with much benefit. And lastly an effectual means of ensuring that due consideration shall be given by the local Officers to the careful selection of Projects; is neglected.

2. In order to remedy these inconveniences, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to direct as follows. Immediately on

on the receipt of this order in the present year, and in future years immediately after the despatch of the Budget Statements, every Civil Engineer of a Division and every Officer in inclusive charge of a District, shall, in communication with the Collector, determine what important Projects, whether of irrigation or communication he shall investigate and bring forward for entry in the next Budget. He shall then prepare a list of such works, shewing the nature, object, and probable cost of each, with the probable return, in the case of irrigation Projects and the present traffic, and probability of increase in that of works of communication. All these particulars shall be stated on careful consideration of the general circumstances of the case, and the best information available, but without detailed investigation; and the Governor in Council

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

Council is well aware that in the nature of things it will often be found on more exact inquiry that they were far wide of the truth. This list shall be either countersigned by the Collector in token of his concurrence; or shall contain remarks, or be accompanied by a letter from him, explaining the points wherein he differs, with his reasons for differing.

3. As a guide in determining what works shall be included in the list, it may be noted, that all of which the cost is likely to exceed Rupees 1,000 should be entered in it. Officers should use care to make their lists complete; but they need not consider themselves debarred from taking up Projects of pressing importance which may subsequently present themselves.

4. The list shall be forwarded to the Chief Engineer; who shall take it into consideration as early as possible consulting his Deputies particularly those of them who are best acquinted with

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

with the localities; and shall then submit it to the Government with his opinion on the several Projects which it contains, and on the expediency of taking them that year or of rejecting them or postponing them to another year and also suggesting any other project or projects in the District, which he may think it better to take up in preference to any projects in the list. The list will then be considered by Government, and having been approved or corrected as the case may be, will be returned to the local Officers to be acted on, or such orders will be passed, as the case may require.

5. In the present year it is hoped, that the lists will be despatched by the local Officers not later than the end of March, and will be before Government before the end of April In future years, the Budget Statements being despatched before the middle

of

of December, these lists of works proposed for the next Budget, ought to be despatched from the Districts by the 15th January, and to be before Government by the middle of February. The District Engineers are to understand, that they are not to forbear all investigation of Projects in the list, pending the receipt of orders upon it. They will be free to undertake such investigation, and especially in the present year, when the lists will unavoidably be later than it is to be hoped they will be hereafter, but they should first enter upon those projects which they consider most pressing, and about the approval of which, there may seem to be the least doubts.

Ordered, that the foregoing Minute, be communicated to the Chief Engineer of the Department of Public Works, to be circulated, with as little delay as possible, to all Collectors, Civil Engineers of Divisions

Divisions, and other Officers in charge of Districts, for their information and guidance.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secy. to Government.

(True Extract)
(Signed) G. A. Taylor.
Actg. Dy. Secy. to Govt.

(958-959)

B No. 1566

Proceedings thereon.- The Board resolve to Circulate to all Collectors Para 7 of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation together with Copy of Para 5 of the Board's Proceedings to Government 9th April 1856 explaining the nature and scope of the report which it is the wish of Government should be furnished by them yearly on the progress and effect of public works in their Districts.

Extract

B. No. 1078
From the Secretary to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Works Department dated 15th May 1856.

960

Stating with reference to Board's letter of 18th April 1856 that the information furnished by the Collector of Tinnevelly as to the wages of agricultural labor in his District is less full than was desired by Government and observing that it is not the wish of Government that the Revenue Department should exert any persuation or influnce to procure laborers for Public works but that the Engineer Officers should be left entirely to their own resources in this respect.

See No. 705. Separate Volume. P. 101

960-961

B. No. 1552
Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly for information and guidance.

962

B. No. 1690
From the Secty to Govt. — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 16th May 1856.

Returning to the Collector of Chingleput the Plan of the Mount Cantonment forwarded to

to the Board with his letter of 6th March 1856 with the request that all the Localities referred to in paras 8 to 12 of his letter to the Brigadier Commanding the Cantonment of 8th Sept. 1855 may be marked, and the Plan returned direct to the Government Office.

See No. 501. Separate Volume P. 102

962-963

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

No. 26

964

To J. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
For. St. George.

B. No. 1132.
From the Actg. Collr. of Canara. — Sir

In forwarding the Bramadaya accounts for the Bekul Talook, I much regret that so long a period has elapsed since the despatch of Mr. Malthy's letter of 9th June 1855 in (5 Vols) expressing his hope that the forward state of the General accounts would soon admit of the necessary scrating being made in order to their being submitted

The

2 The Board are aware of the daily increase of labor which now falls on the Revenue Department; and of the diminished numbers with which we in Canara are obliged to meet as far as possible, the calls upon us; and I trust that the delay which has occurred in furnishing these accounts will be treated with indulgence.

3. The other returns from the Southern Talooks merely await a period when I can manage to turn my attention to them this I cannot do at present, whilst the annual settlement is going on, but in the course of a month however, I shall hope to forward them, and lose no time in completing and submitting those which will still remain due. In the mean time I must again solicit the Board's permission for the payment of the Enams as usual.

Collector's Cutcherry. On Circuit,
Darmastalla, ...
21st March 1856, ...

Signed J. W. Fisher
Actg. Collector.

Bd. 1559

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue authorize the continuance of the payments by deductions from the Fisttundy Beriz, pending the receipt of the further accounts due by the Collector whose attention is called to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 12th July 1856. No. 814 on which Government expressed a hope that the accounts would be forwarded within a limited time.

(964-965)

2. Ordered that the accounts submitted with this letter be laid over pending the receipt of others due by the Collector.

J. F. B.

(966)

B. No. 1466
From the Collector of Malabar
Submits a further report of the cause of the dismissal of the Sea Customs Manager of Calicut called for in Boards proceedings of the 13th Ultimo

No. 33

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 13th Ultimo, calling for a further report of the cause of the dismissal of the Sea Customs Manager of Calicut, I have the honor to explain that having received intimation that the Cash Chest under the Managers charge had been tampered with on the 1st November 1855 I proceeded to examine it and found a defalcation of Rupees 222.—

2 I called on the Manager to account for the deficit. He admitted that the money had been appropriated by him but explained it was for the public service and to meet a sudden emergency, viz. the payment of Coolies employed in saving a wreck.—

3 In taking out this money the Manager acted contrary to the instructions of the late Collector who told him he must look to the Owner or Agent for such payments, and according to the Managers shewing these appropriations of

of the public monies continued to a late date for some of his payments the Manager held receipts but a large balance remained unaccounted for and for this amount the Manager could produce no Memoranda or accounts or Vouchers of any sort and Coolies to whom the alleged payments had been made, denied having received any money.—

4 It appears Mr. Fernandez was well thought of by my predecessor and bore a high character for honesty Zeal and integrity &c. was therefore willing to hope and believe that the moneys had been appropriated as he asserted tho' there was an absence of all proof on his part. I absolved him accordingly of fraudulently embezzling the money to his own use, but conceiving he had shewn great neglect wholly unfitting him for the responsible post he had filled I felt compelled to remove him. He has lately fallen into a vice very common Sans Sorry to find on this Coast. viz! drunkenness. Tho' an old man and one who had been entertained so far back as October 1818 from his having been 3 times out of Employ he cannot under the rules count a larger period of service than 21 years and is therefore unable to claim his pension.—

The

5 The amount missing from the Cash Chest has been made good by Stoppages from the Manager's pay and collections made from the Owners and Agent of the wreck and Cargo.—

Dated 5th Nov. 7th/55 and 27th Febry 1856

6 Coopies of the decissions passed in the case are submitted for the consideration of the Board

Collect 16th April 1856

/Signed/ J. Clarke
Collector

No 1

Having heard that there was reason to believe the Treasury at the Sea Custom was not exactly and correctly Kept I on the 1st November proceeded to the beach and examined the Cash Chest.—

I found Rupees 296-4-7 —
under the Head of Amanat and Ayen money and
914 Rupees under the head of Sale money.

According to the accounts there should have been under the first head Rupees —
347—3-4 in lieu of 50 Rupees of the missing Sum I found a "Memo" shewing that several Small Sums had been taken out of the deposit money —
to meet the Expences of saving portions of the Cargo and wreck of the late Ship Juliana.

J

The appropriation of 80 Rupees and of the proceeds of the sale of part of the Cargo to the payment of the Coolies was unobjectionable but some entry should have been made of this disbursement. There is no proof that the Manager has appropriated to his own use any of the money now missing.—

He has handed in an account shewing that Rupees 125-4-2 are due for Coolly hire and that Rupees 27-9-8 of this amount has been paid out of the sum missing out of the Cash Chest and that he had also borrowed 50 Rupees to meet the clamours of the Coolies.—

Stress upon the deficiency of the money referred to be more owing to grossest irregularity and carelessness than to fraud, the Manager had in his hand 1000 and odd Rupees as proceeds of the sale of the goods recovered from the sea and he only had to credit the Government with the moneys taken out of the cash chest when all would have been right the entry to of the note regarding the 50 Rupees strengthens such an opinion

Much is to be said for the Manager a very old man who claims to have served the

the Government for 37 years broken down in health and suffering from age and sickness He is however clearly unfit for his post and is unable to be trusted with the charge of moneys. He will therefore be removed from his post and his claim for a pension considered and acted on.—

The Gomastahs and Shroff have also shewn gross neglect and ingnorance of their duty it is resolved therefore to remove the 1st 2d and 3d Gomastahs to places of less Emolument and responsibility and to transfer the weigher and Shroff to Cannanore.—

Calicut 5th November 1855

/ Signed / T. Clark
Collector

No. 570/1855

To Mr. F F Fernandez
late Sea Custom Manager Calicut

On a review of the Accounts Connected with the wreck of the "Juliana," as well as those of the Treasury of the Calicut Custom house as they stood on the 1st of November 1855 at appears that after giving the Manager credit for the payments admitted to have been made by him there was a deficiency of Rupees 67-15-5 in the Bag containing proceeds Sale of the Goods Saved from the above Vessel which was made

x realized 7 days before the examination

made up from his pay ordered to be Kept in depo-
sit pending the enquiry From the enquiry it is obvious
that the manager has been using public money He
urges that the Payments made by him for Saving
part of the wreck &c exceed the amount
of the deficiency but the accounts produced
by him 20 days after he declared he had no further
accounts to produce are unsupported by any
voucher. The Same is the case with several
Items entered in his first account - moreover
many of the Parties to whom payments
are alleged to have been made, do not
admit the Same.

There seems no doubt that the
manager is unable to Show how the deficient
moneys have been disbursed the Collector is
willing to hope and believe that they have not
been fraudulently appropriated to his own
use but that there has been great and culpa-
ble neglect in the care of these moneys.

Under these circumstances his
removal from the public Service as per the
decision passed on the 5th November 1855 is
Confirmed His claim for Pension cannot be
entertained not only on the above grounds

but on account of his services not having been
continuous he appearing to have been removed from
public employ on two different occasions the first
for a period of 3 yrs 10 mos and the second for 2 yrs 3 mos.

The Balance of his Pay amounting to
Rupees 17-11-3 is ordered to be paid to him.

Calicut
27th February 1856

/Signed/ T. Clarke
Collector

Bk Pr. 1584

Proceedings thereon
(966-967)

The circumstances now reported
establish Mr. Fernandez's unfitness for the office he held
and the Board therefore confirm his removal.

2 At the same time in consideration
of his past services and the high character pre-
viously borne by him the Board will not object
to his re employment in a situation of minor
importance if the Collector sees a reasonable
prospect of his abandoning the habits of intempe-
rance into which he is stated to have lately fallen.

Endorsement on Petition No 1336 of
1856

The Board have enquired into
the

the circumstances which led to Petitioners dismissal and have confirmed the Collectors decission Petitioners re employment in a minar capacity is left to the Collector.—

968

B.t No. 1389
From the Collector of Tinnevelly

No. 18

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to the orders of the Board of Revenue on Petitions Nos. 146 and 320 of 1856, I have the honor to return for delivery to the party the Petitions No. 185 of 1854 and to State that the other Petition therein referred to Viz.t No. 1159 of 1849 is not forthcoming from the Records of this office.—

herewith returned

2 Two other Petitions from the same party viz.t Nos. 1397 and 1898 of 1855 are also herewith returned for delivery the Subject of all these Petitions having been disposed of by the Board of Revenue as shewn in their Proceedings of 16th October 1855 No. 2714 addressed in reply to a report from my Predecessor dated 2 the

the 27th September preceding on the Petitions Nos. 1067 2253 2373 and 2637 of 1855.—

Tinnevelly Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Trichendoor 21st April 1856 B.t No. 1574 Proceedings thereon

968969

Signed S. Silver
Collector

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 185 of 1854 1397 1898 of 1855 146 and 320 of 1856.— Resolved that the Petitions received with the above letter be returned to Petitioner on application and that he be informed that the Petition No. 1159 of 1849 cannot be found.—

970

B.t No. 1437
From the Collector of Madura
Reports upon Boards Petitions No. 2772 and 3417 of 1855 from Ketchana Nainar claiming restitution of the Ambalam Meerasy of Anaipoy cottah in Pullimadam Taluk in Ramnad.—

No. 84

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to the Endorsements on Petitions Nos. 2772 and 3417 of 1855 herewith returned I have the honor to state that the parties did not appear before me for enquiry.—

2 So numerous are the disputes in regard to Ambalums Headships of Villages and

and other Maraysee Officers, that if they were all examined by European Officers, the work of the District could not be carried on. In Ramnad alone there are 2166 Villages in most of which there are as many as 12 Amblagars with undershareas.—

3 But although the Petitioner was not called upon to prove his allegations in regard to the Razeenamah before me there cannot I think under the circumstances which I proved to detail be any doubt as to their futility.—

4 One Vaidiapah Naik accompanying Ramnad table gave a petition dated the 7th December 1837 to Mr. Blackburne in which he styled himself Amblagar and requested to be appointed Moonsiff in succession to his nephew Ramasawmy Naik deceased. Petition was referred to the Manager of the Zemendary (then under Zuft) caused an enquiry to be made by the Revenue Board and forwarded his proceedings with an Urzee to Mr. Blackburne. Among the Proceedings is the Razeenamah in question translation of

of which I enclose. It is duly adverted to in the Urzee of the Manager. and the deposition of the Curnum makes mention that the Petitioner had expressed his own inability to do the duty of the Ambalum and his consent that it should be performed by his Uncle.—

5. The Urzee and its enclosures including the Razeenamah, are marked with the date of receipt in the hand writing of Mr. Blackburne, who thereon sent an order appointing Vailappa Naik to be Moonsiff.—

Had Petitioner been able to read and write it is most improbable that he would have waived the honorable Office of Moonsiff but his assertion in this respect is manifestly false as on trial before my Head Sheristadar two months ago, he could only then just write his name and nothing else and could not read.—

7 More over the Zemindar whose decision I reversed, stated in her Urzee to me of the 5th August 1855 that Petitioner had done the Ambalum duty since Fusly 1262, and that she had there in May 1855 issued an order that he should be recognized as Amblagar and enjoy its emoluments This circumstance is directly opposed to the Petitioner's assertion that he had all along performed the two

Abalum

Fort St. George 22d. May 1856

Ambalum duty - The Board will also observe that he admits that he was passed over for his younger brothers Iyapah Naik and Ramasawmy Naik.

8 In September 1848 the Police Ameen of Tamoodhee reported that Vaitiyapah Naik had become superannuated and recommended the appointment of one Coopayandee as Moonsiff in his stead - A reference was made to the Zemindar who objected to Coopayandee because he had no Ambalum and recommended the Son of Vaitiyapah Naik. The inference is that the person she recommended would have an Ambalum from his father and I have already pointed out that in her order in favor of the Petitioner Kitchoo Naik she alleged his possession of the Ambalum from Fusly 1262 only.

9 But in point of fact it is not so much the enjoyment of the Ambalum or performance of its duty prior to Fusly 1262 which those who act for the Petitioner wish to maintain as the incompetency of the Collector to uphold on appeal an appointment to the Ambalum made by the Zemindar. This incompetency has been asserted before me by Mr. Fischer and is alleged

Fort St. George 22d. May 1856

alleged also in Petition No 3417 - On this point I request the Boards decision if Mr. Fischer is correct the Collector is at once relieved from a very onerous part of the duty of this District.

10 An appeal was made in the present case and I awarded the Ambalum to the person who had been appointed Moonsiff because I was convinced that his father and grand father had held it because he is descended in a right line from the Fysul Amblagar and because it appears to me improper that the duties of Moonsiff should be performed without any remuneration. There is a practice in the Zemendaries of Ramnad and Shevagungah of subdividing & selling and otherwise treating as private property the Ambalum emoluments which appears to me Contrary both to law and expediency as the duties of Ambalum must in Consequence be either performed by a large number of persons or by a less number insufficiently remunerated. It seems to me the intention of the Law that the emoluments should be held only by the number of persons sufficient for and actually performing the duty that these should be selected from the descendants of the original Meerasseedar a preference being given to the Son of the last holder if Competent but not otherwise, and that in Case a Zemendars appointment is objected to, it is Competent to and obligatory on the Collector by Regulation XI of 1831 to decide.

Madura
24th April 1856

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Encs Nos 1 and 2 Petitions

8

9

10

Fort St. George 22d May 1856

8557

No 4

Translation of an astaksy on Rayenamah given on the 30th December 1837 by Kitchuma Nauck Son of Ambalum Nagama Nauck a resident of the Village of Arpoocottay in Pullymadum Salork and aged 35 years.—

Ramasawmy Nauck my younger brother who hitherto performed the Ambalum duties of the Village having fallen Sick and died without issue I as his heir do hereby declare that in Consequence of my inability to hold that Office from the circumstance of my being a single man and an Extensive Cultivator I Consent to my uncle Vailappa Nauck being appointed to do the Ambalum duties in question I give this astaksy with my free will and Consent.—

The Mark of Kistchama Nauck

Witnesses

) Signed) Soobreen of Cadambancolum
" Venkattyen of Seelymaday Nagapore
) Signed) Seetarangyen Ameen
Countersigned) Seeza Ragonada Moottoo
Chella Saver Manager
) True Translate)
Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

1

Vailappa Nauck the 2d son of Ambalum Nagama Nauck aged 40 years

2

Nagama Nauck the Ambalum Moopen of Pullymadum aged 70 years

3

Ramasawmy Nauck the younger brother of the Ambalum Moopen aged 35 years

4

Kistchama Nauck the Ambalum Moopen aged 37 years

5

Ramasawmy Nauck the younger brother of the Ambalum Moopen aged 35 years

6

Vailappa Nauck the 2d son of the Ambalum Moopen aged 40 years

Ramasawmy Nauck the younger brother of the Ambalum Moopen aged 35 years

Vailappa Nauck the 2d son of the Ambalum Moopen aged 40 years

Nagama Nauck the Ambalum Moopen of Pullymadum aged 70 years

Kistchama Nauck the Ambalum Moopen aged 37 years

Vailappa Nauck the 2d son of the Ambalum Moopen aged 40 years

Ramasawmy Nauck the younger brother of the Ambalum Moopen aged 35 years

Kistchama Nauck the Ambalum Moopen aged 37 years

Nagama Nauck the Ambalum Moopen of Pullymadum aged 70

Bd. Act. 1585

Proceedings thereon

(970-971)

C. O. 3d April 183
Vol. 1st P 517

The Board of Revenue see no reason to disturb the Collectors decision in this case as regards the general question. the Board concur with him in considering Regulation XI of 1831 applicable to all Village offices and their emoluments in zemindaries, as well as in Government Villages with the exception only of the Curnums office in zemindaries and they approve of his endeavors to prevent the improper subdivision, alienations of the allowances attached to such offices.—

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 2772 and 361 of 1855 and 1303 of 1856.—

"The Board of Revenue see no reason to disturb the decisions of the Collector in this case."—

To

No. 59

G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(972)

Bd. Act. 1585

From the Coll. of Coimbatore.

Sir.

I beg to forward copy of a letter from Captain Griffin asking permission to enclose about 5 Cawnies of land adjoining to his property.—

The land applied for consists partly of a tope of tttt wood. I beg to recommend the application, the sholah now open to all and despoiled by wood Cutters, and servants

servants, in Captain Griffin's care. it will be preserved.—

Coimbatore,
Coll. Office —
Ootacamand —
29th April 1856.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

No. 1

To

E. B. Thomas Esquire
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir

I shall feel very much obliged by your kindly recommending my application for a piece of land adjoining my own property a sketch of which of which I have the pleasure to enclose. I imagine the piece I require will be under 5 Cawnies and consists principally of sholah.—

I promise to bind myself not to cut down or injure any of the Trees and would only ask for permission to clear away the underwood and eventually to lay it out in walks if at any future time I should be so disposed.—

You will observe by the sketch that the land I now apply for includes a pond against which I have put a × and which General straton

now

8560 Fort St. George 22d May 1856.—

now uses— So this pond I shall be happy to allow General Straton free access by a pathway which now exists, and if it is necessary will make this arrangement binding on my successors.—

Potacamand 25th April 1856. } /Signed/ Alfred Griffin
Proprietor of Shawbray Cottage Potacamund

(972-973)

Bd No. 1571

/True copy/
/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.—

Proceedings thereon. The Board regret that they cannot comply with Captain Griffins request for permission to enclose that land alluded to in the foregoing letter. But if Captain Griffin wishes to purchase the land and the Collector sees no objection, the Board will be prepared to sanction its sale, omitting the portion around the pond which General Straton now uses. It will be desirable to require from any intending purchaser an engagement not to divert the water from its present course.—

J. E. K. To

8561 Fort St. George 22d May 1856

No. 89

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 19th April No. 172, I have the honor to state that the Nellore Exhibition having been closed previous to its receipt the Tools referred to are not required this year.—

974

Bd No. 11,58
From the Collector of Nellore . . .

Nellore . . .
Collectors Office
30th April 1856 } /Signed/ F. B. Elton
Collector

(974-975)

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.—

To

No. 13.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Court of Wards.

976

Bd. No. 1596

From the Acting Collector of Canara

Sir,

With reference to the circular No. 2117 from the Court of Wards dated 19th September 1855 giving certain instructions regarding reports on Cases of property coming under charge of the Court, I have the honor to state that there are no cases in this District for Shortly calling for report.

2. The Case already referred to as having occurred in the Sub Collector's Division has been enquired into, and reported on by the additional Sub Collector; and as there is now no dispute regarding the property which is in the hands of the Father of the Minor, who is a widow, it only remains to call on the Manager, to furnish accounts of his management, on receipt of which, the required annual report will be made to the Court.

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore
3d May 1856.

/ Signed / W. Fisher
Acting Collector

Ordered to be recorded.

976-977

No. 13

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

978

Bd. No. 1605

From the Collector of Canara . . .

Sir

Fort St. George

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th December 1855 directing that any suggestions for the alteration of Talook or district limits should be submitted to the Board as early as possible, I have the honor to lay before the Board the following proposals for alterations of Talook boundaries by the creation of one additional Talook in North Canara and the handing over of a strip of land from one Talook to another in South Canara.—

Submits with reference to Boards Proceedings of the 6th Dec. 1855 Suggestion for the alteration of Talook limits in his District.

Statements No. 1 & 2.

2. The first of these changes was advocated by

30th Decr 1851.

by Mr Mattby in his report on the Police
this Province with reference to the instruction
the Board of Revenue, Conveyed with their P
:ceedings Dated 21st November 1851. —

3. The present Talook of Soopad
supposed to contain about 1713 Square
miles, a large portion of which is still
with Jungle. The Black river Kali
rising in the North western frontier of
Talook, and in the
immediate vicinity
the western Ghauts takes a South Easte
Course until meeting with the Tutty holl
their united waters begin to turn west
:ward. Here however they fall into a
deep Gorge, which leaves the bed of t
river from 1000 to 1500 feet below the lev
of the Surrounding Country for a Distance
of 15 miles, and until it issues from the
line of Ghauts, and continues its course to t
Sea

Seaboard as Seddashigar. —

4. Below the Village of Soopah this river
is of formidable Size, but after its junction
with the Tutty holla, and fall into the deep
and curious indentation in the ghauts, above
alluded to, it becomes utterly impossable
in the rains, and at other times, the traveller
must descend Some 1500 feet on one Side
and ascend a similar Ghaut on the other
at glance at the map will show that under
these circumstances the western portion of the
Talook, that on which the whole of the Goa
Balaghut frontier abuts is for a part of
the year at any rate cut off from the
Eastern and Southern parts now comprehend
:ing the Special charges of the Tahsildar,
and Hallial Peishcar. —

5. This District Contains our finest
Teak and other Jungles. The new line of road
from

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

from Dharwar to Sedasheegur, via the main Ghaut, will pass through the heart of it, and will be connected with all the Goa Ghauts Timmay excepted which has a direct Communication with Hullial and Dharwar of its own.

6 Another branch road from our North frontier near Junglebett will also fall into the upper part of the Ankola line; and when others from the Goond and woolvy in the South are completed. this Country will possess a net work of roads, such as few parts of Canara can boast.-

Revenue
1 Peishcar
1 Gomastah
1 Moonshee
1 Record keeper
1 Duffadar
6 Peons
Police
1 Moonshee

7. The present revenue and Police establishment given in the margin is utterly unequal to the duties it has to perform; and the Chowkidars on the Goa frontier are too much out of the Tahsildars' reach; and in so wild a country, with little or no effective

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

Superintending have opportunities and temptations presented to them, which it is highly desirable to diminish.

8. Better Supervision and increased means of action on the part of the executive is greatly needed, whether we look at Customs Forests, or general duties both revenue and Police; and this will be still more the case every day.-

9. I would therefore propose that the portion of the Soopah Talook defined in

† N° 3. the accompanying † map by a red line be formed into a Talook similar to that of Coolcor.-

10 It will be observed that the Kali nuddy would bound this District from the point at which it receives the Birchi Hullah to that at which it passes into the Ankola Talook.-

11. The Birchi Hullah already forms the Division between the Hullial and Soopah Maganies, and the new Talook would be composed of the Maganies of woolvy and Soopah and a few

Villages

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

Villages of Sambrany Mogany situated on the western bank of the Black river. These are entered in the annexed Memo which gives the area, assessment, and population of the proposed Talook.—

12. I have only to add that from Gullied in the North to yellapoor in the South / With the Payenghaut Country thereto attached there is still a sufficiently extensive charge for the present establishment for the country is rapidly improving and to my knowledge the Talook business has as regards reports and Communications with the Office of the Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate, very nearly doubled within the last few years.

13. The only other alteration of Boundary which I have to bring to the notice of the Board as desirable is that of the Buntival and Bekul Talook on the South bank of the Netrawutty

14. In Fusly 1262 two Salt Golars were established at Pane Mangalore nearly opposite those at Buntwal, on the other side of the river. These

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

are of necessity placed under the Buntwal Tahsildar though situated in the Bekul Talook; and Pane Mangalore being a place of considerable trade, and a halting stage on the Mercara and Mangalore road requisitions of travellers are not unfrequent, and can only be attended to by the Buntwal authorities the Station of the Vittul Peishcar being twelve miles off to the South of the line of road.—

15. The above circumstances render the interference of the Buntwal Officials often necessary where they have little authority and it would I think facilitate public business if by the transfer of a few Villages, Pane Mangalore and its immediate neighbourhood were brought within the jurisdiction of the Buntwal Tahsildar on whom it already devolves to undertake the care of those Concerns to which the proper authorities are unable to pay due attention in consequence of the distance of their respective Stations.—

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore
5th May 1856.

/ Signed / William Fisher
Acting Collector
of Canara

Memorandum

8570

Fort St. George 22d May 1856.

Memorandum Shewing the number of Villages in the Soopah Nuddy in the Sambrany magany together with their assessment &c. and Voolvy Maganies and the number to the West of the Kala

Summabundy of fusly 1264.

Maganies	No. of Villages	Assessment Land	Assessment Coomery	Assessment Huchla	Assessment Motur pha	Assessment Sevoi Summah	Assessment Total	No. of Houses	Population	Remarks
		Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps			
Soopah	23	2737 9 7	22250 4 2	2585 6 3	711 4	193 13 6	227 14 9	25959 10 8	3318	19298	The area of the proposed Talook will be about 1000 Square miles. In addition to the Land revenue & & here given about Rs. 14,480 is annually collected at the five chowkies on the Goa frontier. —
Voolvy	22	1557 9 2	3710 12 5	685 4 4	24 12 2	20 8 "	64 3 1	4495 8 "	514	3475	
Sambrany										
Veechpolly Village	1	113 12 9	19 4 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11	49	
Royighadall "	1	320 3 7	139 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20	95	
Pansooly "	1	379 4 9	288 10 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	48	212	
Amaghan "	1	125 10 5	75 15 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7	48	
Goomauveerdah "	1	35 12 10	35 12 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40	191	
Total of 5 Villages of Sambrany	5	1021 12 4	606 15 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	126	595	
Grand Total	50	3331 9 1	26563 5 8	3270 10 7	736 11 2	214 5 6	292 1 10	30455 2 8	3958	23368	

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore
5th May 1856.

E. E. W.

/Signed/ William Fisher
Acting Collector

8572. Fort St. George, 22d May 1856.

Ordered to be submitted with the following letter.-

978-979

Bd. No. 1563.
To the Secretary to Government Revl. Dept.

To, The Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council a letter from the Collector of Canara dated 5th May, proposing the Division of the Talook of Soopah into two separate Talooks and the transfer of certain Villages from the Talook of Bekul to that of Buntwal.-

2. Mr. Fisher replies in this letter to the instructions circulated by the Board by order of Government dated 26th November 1855 No. 1293.-

In C. 5th Dec. 1855.

3. In forwarding his letter with the Plan and explanatory Statements which accompanied it, the Board direct me to observe that the proposed arrangement has their entire concurrence; both the Members of the present Board are well acquainted with the locality and the desirableness of forming the Soopah and Oolivy Maganies into a sepate Taloo Ko. has

Fort St. George 22d May 1856

8571

Memo Shewing the number of Villages in Sarcoomb proposed to be transferred to Buntwal Talook together with their Revy & Cy

	Villages	Sarcoomb	Balatilla	Bendala	Shimboor	Blangady	Fringalore	Pare Mangalore	
Tarrow	1	2020	2048	1033	1065	582	320	3	7075
Annakundy	4	4	4	11	12	5	10	10	4
Pollalion	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4
Kandy	1	5	8	10	9	4	5	10	11
		5	8	10	9	4	5	10	9
		1201	1352	740	707	316	182	705	5908

Note

Buntwal and Kaup pay Pucks 730

Coldin England to be 18

Kaul about 748

Collectors Cutchery
Mangalore
5th May 1856.

Signed William Fisher
Acting Collector

Copies

Fort St. George, 22d May 1856

d/30th Dec.r/52.
in/ans 1st Feb.y/55.

has been already advocated by the Acting 3rd Member when Collector of Canara.

4. Mr. Fisher's Letter explained by the Sketch map of the District leaves little to be said in addition to the arguments already used in advocating the change, the isolation of the Soopah divisions from the Cusbah of the Talook is so complete that the Talook Tappal by which the Peshcar at Soopah communicates with the Tahsildar at Yellapoor occupied during the rains from two to three days enroute, passing through Hullial. The route for ordinary Travellers is equally circuitous, the descent into the ravine of the Kala Nuddee in the direct line between Yallapoor the Cusbah of the Talook and Soopah the station of the Peshcar being exceedingly steep and indeed impracticable for loaded cattle.

5. The Extent of the present Soopah Talook is quite sufficient to call for its division, but from the nature of the country the population and Revenue do not correspond with its extensive area. This tract of country however

Fort St. George, 22d May 1856

however possesses far greater prospective wealth than it has yet developed and Mr. Fisher has explained that the road works in progress will aid its prosperity materially and rapidly.

6. The new talook of Soopah would comprize all the frontier pass of the Goa country with their customs chonkies yielding a present Revenue of between 40 and 50 Thousand Rupees; it also includes magnificent Teak forests of the value of which the Government are fully aware.

7. The Yellapoor Talook as it may hereafter be called from the name of its Cusbah Town consists of the more open and populous Maganies lying East and South of the Kala Nuddee together with a tract of country below the arbyle Ghaut. To the East it borders on the Darwar country and besides Yellapoor comprises the important Towns of Hullial and Moondagode at the former of which a second Peshcar is stationed. Through these Towns a great portion of the Cotton and other Merchandize exported from Canara passes

down

Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

down to the coast

8. The transfer of the seven Betul Villages to the Talook of Pantwal is advocated on entirely different grounds but it is sufficiently shown the Board think to be desirable on the ground of public convenience and they will if the Government approve, desire the Collector of Canara to submit a Tabular Statement shewing the strength of the additional Establishment he considers necessary for constituting the two proposed Talooks.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
22d. May 1856.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

980

No. 42.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to your letters of the 3d. December last and 8th. Ultimo, to transmit to you to be laid before the Board copy of a letter from Mr. Todd, the Secretary of the Committee of the District agricultural

Bd. No. 1631
From the Coll.
of Malabar

Transmits with reference to Boards letter dated 3d Dec. last & 8th Ultimo, copy of a communication from Mr. Todd containing, the information called for regarding the district agricultural exhibition for the present year.

8/5/56 Collation

Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

agricultural exhibition for the present year.

2. I am sorry the meetings of the Committee have not been more frequent and that they have been held at such distant dates, But the changes in the Officials of the District and the absence of several Members of the Committee from Calicut have unavoidably prevented our meeting with greater regularity.

The date of the show is fixed for the 3d. December next.

3. The Committee it will be seen is composed of the subjoined Gentlemen.

Mr. T. Clarke Collector - President.

Members.	
General Cullen	Cochin
Mr. Oughterson	Cochin
Dewan Peshcar	Cochin
Kellos Moonsiff	Shernaad
Mr. Tomlinson	Palghaut
Mr. Beaumont	Beypoor
Mr. Fraser	Beypoor
The Zamorin Rajah	Calicut
Head Sheristedar	Calicut
Mr. Saldanha	Calicut
Captain Godfrey	Cotabaur Neelgherries
Mr. Wells	Wynaad

8576 Fort St. George, 22d May, 1856

Mr. Richmond _____ Wynaad
Mr. Bloomfield 21st M. N.I. ___ Mallapoor
Mr. Thomas _____ Tellicherry

Mr. G. B. Lod Secretary

and the Articles for which it is proposed to offer Prizes are detailed below together with the amount of Prizes it is proposed to be given.-

4 Every measure has been taken to make known the intention of holding and the objects of the Exhibition and no pains shall be wanting to create an interest in its favor among the native population and secure the ends for which it is proposed to be held.-

1. For the best breeding Bull that has been 1 year in the District Rs. 50.
" best Cow _____ " 35
" 2nd " best Ditto _____ " 15
" best pair working Bullocks ___ " 30
" best lot of 4 Goats _____ " 20
" best lot of 10 Sheep _____ " 20
Among best sorts of Poultry of large size " 25.

2 Among best Specimens of Paddy and other Grains, at least 10 seers of each " 200.

Best

8577 Fort St. George, 22d May 1856

3 Best model native machinery such as Oil mill, spindle &c. _____ } Rs. 75
4 Best improved specimens of agricultural implements _____ } " 25
5 Best Do. Do. Iron Do. _____ " 25
6 Best set of Good steel Clasp knives " 10
7 For the best set of Carpenters Tools " 10.
8 _____ Black Smiths Do. _____ " 10
9 For the best specimens of not less than two Maunds white Cotton from imported seed Rupees 35
2nd Prize Rs. 15.
best ditto indigenous ___ Rs. 35
2nd Prizes ___ Rs. 15 } " 100
10 For the best Collection white and colored piece goods (Cotton) entirely growth and manufacture of District into different Prizes discretionary with the Committee " " 100
11. For best 2 pieces of at least 40 yars each hempen canvas ___
1st Prize Rs. 20
2d ___ Do. ___ Rs. 10 " 30
12 For best 2 pieces of at least 40 years each Cotton canvas
1st Prize Rupees 20
2d ___ Do. ___ Rs. ___ 10 " 30.

Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856

13 Best specimen white Grass Mats Rs. 15 Rs. 5 — } Rs. 20.

14 Best Do. colored Do. Rs. 15 — Rs. 5 " 20

15 Best Do. 10 feet square — " 30

16 Best specimen and Collection of Gums and Drugs - the use thereof to be explained by the Exhibitor — Gums 50 Rs. Drugs 50 Rupees — " 100

17 For the best specimen of Oils both Vegetable and Fish - 20 Rs. say 4 sorts } " 80

18. For the best lots of fruits and Vegetable as best native vegetables 10 Rs. European Do. 15 Rs., best Potatoes 20 Rupees and best basket of fruit 10 Rupees each lot to consist of 6 sorts — " 55

19 Coffee	Spices	Ginger	Pepper
1 Md. cleaned	Best lot	35 white	white
1 Do. in parchment	Rs. 30	15 Black	Rs. 30
50 Rs.		50 Rs.	

" 200

20 For the best specimen of at least 2 Maunds fine grown Linseed } Rs. 30

21. Best kind of different Fibres 10. Rs. each, for the best of all — 15 Rs. say total — " 45

22 Best specimen of dyed Thread — " 25

Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856

23. Best specimen ore and Metal — Rs. 100

24. Best manufactured Iron — " 50.

25. Timber - best specimen of rounded Seasoned Timber 100 Rupees Discretionary reward for best specimens of other sorts such as Teak, cedar, Irool &c. &c. say total — " 200

26. Cocoanuts best sort at least 100 — " 50.

Calicut, 7th May 1856 }

(Signed) T. Clarke
Collector

No. 1.

To
T. Clarke Esqre
Collector and Magistrate Malabar

Sir,

Agreeably to your direction I have the honor to forward you a report of the proceedings in Malabar as adopted for the purpose of carrying out the Government orders referring to the holding of an Agricultural exhibition in this District.

The late Collector was of opinion that the most favorable time of the year for an exhibition of this kind in Malabar would be about the month of November in the present year, and intimated the same to the

Fort St. George, 22d. May, 1850

the Board of Revenue, who agreed with him, and in December last notice was sent to the Officers appointed Members of the Committee, Viz.t Collector, Civil and Sessions Judge Sub Collector, Civil Engineer, Zillah Surgeon and the Officer commanding the Division to request them to meet and discuss matters connected with with the object in views.

A meeting was accordingly held at the Huzzoor Cutcherry on 20 December at. which the Committee nominated the Collector its President, and Mr. Ford the Assistant Magistrate its secretary: but from the extent of the District, its very different natural features and the variety of its produce it seemed to them advisable that their numbers should be enlarged so as to include members from different parts of the District, and especially from those parts whose natural features and productions differed from the generality of them of the western Coast. The following Gentlemen were therefore requested to join the Committee as Members.

General Cullen ——— Cochin
Mr. Pughterson ——— Cochin

Fort St. George, 22d. May, 1850

Dewan Peshcar ——— Cochin
Kelloo Moonsiff ——— Shernaad
Mr. Tomlinson ——— Palghaut
Mr. Beaumont ——— Beypoor
Mr. Fraser ——— Beypoor
The Zimorin Rajah ——— Calicut
Head Sheristadar ——— Calicut
Mr. Saldanha ——— Calicut
Captain Godfrey ——— Goodaloor (Neilgherries)
Mr. Wells ——— Wynaad
Mr. Richmond ——— Wynaad
Mr. Bloomfield 21st. M.N.I. Mallaprom
Mr. Thomas ——— Tellicherry

A List of articles proposed to be exhibited was drawn out and made generally known throughout the District, and a second meeting was held on March 30th. when the following articles were finally determined on, as being likely to develope the source of the District to its fullest extent, and the amount of prizes were fixed, so as to leave a considerable balance to be distributed at the discretion of the Committee when they got specimens not mentioned in the general list, but which might be worthy of substantial notice.—

The

Fort St. George, 22d. May, 1856

The Committee decided that with a due regard to the numerous productions and Manufactures of Malabar, the following are the articles to which the attention of proposed Exhibition is especially invited and the rewards to be bestowed on the best specimen of each article is mentioned herewith.—

1 For the best breeding bull that has been 1 year in the district Rs. 50.
" ------- best cow ——— " 35
" ------- 2d. best Do. ——— " 15
" best pair working Bullocks ——— " 30
" best lot of 4 Goats ——— " 20
" best lot of 10 Sheep ——— " 20
" among best sorts of poultry of large size ——— " 25
2. Among best specimens of paddy and other grains— at least 10 seers of each ——— " 200
3 Best model native Machinery such as Oil Mill, Spindle &c. &c. " 75
4. Best improved specimens of agricultural implements ——— " 25
5. Best Do. Do. Iron — Do. ——— " 25
6. Best set of good steel clasp Knives — 16

Fort St. George 22d. May 1856

7 For the best set of Carpenters Tools. ——— } Rs. 10.
8. ——— Blacksmiths' Do. ——— " 10.
9 For the best specimens of not less than 2 maunds white Cotton from imported seed 35 Rupees
2nd Prize — 15 Rupees
best ditto indigenous 35 Rupees
2nd Prize Rupees 15. — } " 100.
10. For the best Collection. white and colored piece goods (Cotton) entirely growth and Manufacture of district (into different prizes discretionary with Committee) — } " 100.
11 For best 2 pieces of at least 40 Yards each hempen canvas
1st Prize Rupees 20 — 2d. Rupees 10. } " 30
12. Do. — Do. Cotton Do. 1st Q. Rs. 20
2nd Rupees 10 ——— } " 30.
13 Best specimen white grass mats Rs. 15
and Rupees 5 ——— } " 20.
14 Best Do. colored Do. Rs. 15 & Rs. 5. — " 20
15. Best Do. 10 ft. square ——— " 30.
16. Best specimen and Collection of Gums and Drugs, the use thereof to be explained by the exhibitor, Gums Rs. 50 Drugs Rs. 50 } " 100.

17

17 For the best specimen of Oils both vegetable and fish 20 Rupees say 4 Sorts ——— } Rs. 80

18 For the best lots of fruits and vegetables, as best native vegetables 10 Rupees European Do. 15 Rupees best Potatoes 20 Rupees and best basket of fruit 10 Rupees each lot to consist of 6 Sorts ——— " 55

19 Coffe

1 Md. cleaned	Spices	Ginger	Pepper
1 Do. in parchmt.	best lot	35 White	White
50 Rs.	Rs. 50	15 Black	50 Rs.
		50 Rs.	

" 200

20 For the best specimen of at least 2 Maunds fine grown Linseed ——— " 30

21. Best kind of different fibres 10 Rs. each; for the best of all 15 Rupees say total ——— " 45

22d. Best specimen dyed thread ——— " 25

23 Best specimen ore and Metal ——— " 100

24 Best manufactured Iron ——— " 50

25 Timber best specimen of rounded seasoned Timber 100 Rs. discretionary reward for best specimens, of other sorts such as Teak Cedar Iroot Vc. Vc. say total ——— " 200

26. Cocoanuts best sort at least 100 " 50

Attention

Attention is also strongly requested concerning the exhibition of other articles, such as tanned skins of sorts, new dyes, pottery farinaceous grains, and every useful artificial or natural production or manufacture of the District, which though it be not enumerated above, will be deemed worthy of honorary reward by the Committee, supposing it to consist of sufficient merit, especially if it be any thing new and original.

Three thousand Rupees have been sanctioned by the Government to meet the expenses attendant on the Malabar exhibition. The total amount of prizes enumerated above leaves a very considerable surplus at the disposal of the Committee for bestowing rewards on contributors of such articles as are not enumerated in the above list, at their discretion and also for meeting the expenses of the building to be erected for the exhibition. With regard to the building three members of the general Committee formed themselves into a select building committee to superintend the erection of a suitable shed or out-house in which the articles can be exhibited, and kept free from all injury by exposure to the weather during the time the exhibition lasts.

Previous to the meeting held on the 30th. March, it was made public throughout the District that on

Government

8586

Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

Government proposed that such an exhibition should be held, a list of the articles required to be contributed was also sent with with notice to every Collector throughout the District, and the objects and hopes of Government in setting forth such a project were also made known; and it is now being made public in the same quarters what are the exact rewards to be given for the successful specimen; and also that the exhibitors can at option have their most likely specimens forwarded to the Madras exhibition in February 1857.

Regarding, however, the sending on to Madras of articles contributed to the Calicut exhibition, nothing has yet been definitely settled and the Committee will take an early opportunity of deciding what will be the best manner in which to enable the resources of Malabar to be exhibited at the Presidency in rivalry with those of other Districts. This may perhaps be more easily accomplished as the Calicut exhibition proposed to be held on 3d. December will terminate so shortly before the opening of the General exhibition at Madras.

Maumatoddy
April 15th. 1856.

/Signed/ Gr. B. Todd
Secretary Calicut exhibition

(980-981)

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. Clarke
Coll. & Magist. Malabar

B. No. 1560
Proceedings thereon

The Board approve of the Prize list proposed by the Local committee. The surplus, after disbursing the proposed Prizes & defraying the other expenses incurred will be at the disposal of the Committee for extra rewards.

2. The Committee will act on clause IX of the Board's Circular order 5th. March 1853. No. 496.

To

8587 Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

No. 48.

982

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

B. No. 1660.
From the Asst. Collector of Canara

Sir,

In a Circular received from the Civil Auditor under date the 29th. February 1856, I am called upon to submit to the Board my Statements of Sauderwared, Contingent and Extra Charges requiring the sanction of Government for 11 Months, i.e. shewing the expenditure from July 1855 to May 1856, with the view of introducing monthly audit of accounts under orders of Government dated 13th. February last. Having however received no instructions on this subject from the Board, I have the honor to request that they will favor me, with any orders they may have to give me, before preparation of these accounts is undertaken.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
9th. May 1856....

/Signed/ W. Fisher
Acting Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To,

8588. Fort St. George, 22d. May, 1856.

(982-983)

To, The Acting Collector of Canara

B. No. 1557.

To the Ag. Collector of Canara — Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 9th. Instant, requesting the instructions of the Board before proceeding with the preparation of accounts for 11 months as explained in a Circular from the Civil Auditor, I am directed to inform you that the Civil Auditor's instructions have been circulated with the concurrence of the Board of Revenue and that a Monthly audit of accounts will in future take place: you will therefore endeavour to submit your accounts in the manner required by the Civil Auditor and in furtherance of the new system of Audit.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. May, 1856 ..... /Signed/ G. S. Forbes, Secretary.

No. 93.

(984)

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre. Secretary to the Court of Wards, Fort St. George.

B. No. 1685.

From the Collector of Madura ..... Sir,

The Director of Public Instructions having sanctioned a Talook School for the Town of

8589 Fort St. George, 22d. May, 1856.

of Shevagungah, the Minor Zemindar is willing that the School House attached to the Mission Bungalow purchased with the permission of the Court under date the 23d. April 1855, should be appropriated for the purpose, but it requires an expenditure of Rupees 202 to place it in a state of repair. Immediate accommodation being urgently required, I have ventured to give orders for the repair in anticipation of the Court's sanction which I trust in consideration of the great benefit to the Zemindary, they will not withhold.

Madura, 10th. May 1856. /Signed/ R. D. Parker, Collector

B. No. 1554.

Proceedings thereon.— Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Court of Wards sanction the disbursement of Rupees 202 for the repair of the Bungalow alluded to belonging to the Minor Zemindar of Shevagungah. It is presumed that the Minor has expressed his willingness to incur the expenditure.

(984-985)

No. 79.

(986)

To G. S. Forbes Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

A. No. 1736.

From the Coll. of Trichinopoly — Sir,

With reference to the accompanying

Fort St. George, 22d May 1856.

*Dated 12th Instant No. 52 from Capt. Priestley

paying letter* and its enclosure, I have the honor to request your Board will get permission to have the leave cancelled which was granted to Lieutenant Pratt, Assistant Superintendent Revenue Survey for proceeding to Madras on private affairs

Trichinopoly District, Vicramum Collector's Circuit Cutcherry 15th May 1856. } /Signed/ J. Birdo Collector

Encl. No. 1

No. 52.

To John Birdo Esqre. Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose an application from Lieutenant Pratt, Assistant Superintendent Revenue Survey, to have the leave cancelled which was granted him to proceed to Madras on private affairs.

Survey Office, Camp Keelapoloor, 12th May 1856. } /Signed/ T. I. B. Priestley Capt. Supt Revenue Survey

Encl. No. 2.

To, Captain Priestley, H. M. 84 Regiment Supt Revenue Survey

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will forward this my application to have the leave

Fort St. George, 22d May 1856.

leave granted me by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council for fifteen days from date of having the district to proceed to Madras on private affairs cancelled, as circumstances have arisen to render my proceeding to the Presidency no longer necessary.

Camp Keelapoloor May 12th 1856. } /Signed/ R. Torrens Pratt, Lieut. H. M. 84th Regiment Assistant Supt. Revenue Survey.

(986-987)

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government

---

No. 79

(988)

To, G. L. Forbes Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue

B. No. 1673.

From the Collector of Madras — } Sir, The price of Gunny bags being very high, I have the honor to request that the Board will commute the sanction conveyed in their letter of the 7th Instant, into passing the accompanying Indent on the Principal Commissary of Ordinance for the above articles.

Madras, 15th May 1856. } /Signed/ B. Cunliffe Collector.

Proceedings

8592. Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856

Bd. No. 1561.

Proceedings thereon. Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue cancel their order of 7th. May 1856 authorizing the purchase of Gunny Bags for the use of the Salt Department, and resolve to post the Indent on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance for these articles.-

(988-989)

---

(990)

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Boards of Revenue

Bd. No. 1681.

From the Supt. of Stamps

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the particulars of four Proposals received by me for the supply of the several articles required for the use of the Office pursuant to the Notification published in the Official Gazette of the 21st Ultimo.-

The Tender of P. Vanoo No. 1 is the lowest and the samples submitted by him are of the best quality, and I request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Board for my entering into a contract with him for a period of two years commencing from this date upon his producing adequate security for

8593. Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856

for the fulfilment of the same.-

Fort St. George,
General Stamp Office
15th. May 1856.

I Signed F. Lushington
Supt. of Stamps.-

List

8595

Fort St. George, 22d. May 1850.

Bd. No. 1558.

Proceedings thereon.— The Board of Revenue authorize the Superintendent of Stamps to accept the Tender of P. Vanoo for the supply of the several articles required for the use of his Office as particularized in the statement which accompanies the foregoing letter upon the contractor giving the necessary security for the due fulfilment of his contract.—

(990-991)

No. 45.

To J. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(992)

Bd. No. 1730.

From the Collector of Chingleput &c.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th. Instant, conveying the orders of Government relative to the proposed modifications in the boundaries of the Church Property at Saint Thomas' Mount and to request that the Plan which accompanied my Report No. 79 of the 18th. March last may be returned to me for reference.—

Chingleput District
Collector's Ct. Cutcherry
Publicat, 17th. May 1850.

(Signed) C J Shubrick
Collector.

Ordered.

Fort St. George, General Stamp Office, 15th. May 1850.

(Sd.) J. Sutherland
Supdt. of Stamps

All as per abstract and Bills annexed.

| | | |

8596 Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

Bd. No. 1555.

Order thereon.— Ordered that the Plan referred to be returned to the Collector in compliance with his request.

992-993

No. 185.

994

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue Madras

Bd. No. 1692.

From the Chief Engineer in the D. P. Works } Gentlemen

In reply to your Secretary's letter under date the 7th Instant, No. 1401, and its enclosures calling for Papers connected with the Grand Trigonometrical Survey of India, to be furnished for the Revenue Survey of South Arcot and Tinnevelly now in progress under the Superintendance of Captain Priestley, I have the honor to inform you that the records of the late Deputy Surveyor General's Office in my charge being deficient in this respect, I have this day addressed a letter to Major H. L. Thuillier, Deputy Surveyor General in charge of the Surveyor General's Office Calcutta, requesting to be favored with such documents as he may be able to furnish from the records of his Office, if necessary with the sanction of the

Surveyor

8597 Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

Surveyor General of India

Chief Engineer Office,
D. P. Works late Dy. Surveyor General's Department.
Fort St. George, 17th May 1856

} (Signed) H. E. Faber. Colonel of Engineers Chief Engineer in the D.P.W. in charge of the late Dep. Y. Surveyor General's Departt.

994-995

Bd. No. 1556.

Proceedings thereon.— Captain Priestly will be informed that application has been made to Calcutta for the information asked for in his letter of the 8th April 1856.

In S.S. Arcot 15th April in Cons. 7th May 1856.

996

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1688.

From Chairman to the Chamber of Commerce } Sir,

I have the honor by desire of the Chamber of Commerce to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 29th Ultimo, forwarding copies of correspondence with Government regarding the Season Reports, and stating that Collectors have been instructed to forward Duplicate Copies thereof direct to the Chamber in future, and in reply to tender the thanks of the Chamber both to Government and

and the Board for the measures they have adopted with the view of rendering these Reports more useful and interesting to the Merchants, and of ensuring their prompt transmission to the Chamber.

After the receipt of the first few numbers of these Returns should any amendments appear desirable, the Chamber will bring the same to the notice of your Board as requested.—

Chamber of Commerce
Madras 17th May 1850. } (Signed) J. B. Key
Chairman

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.—

996-997

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

998
M. N. 1180
From the Collector of Vizagapatam } Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home inland Consumption & from 1st May 1853 to 30th April 1850.

999
M. N. 1184
From the Collector of Coimbatore } List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1850.

List

M. N. 1185
From the Collector of Coimbatore } List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1850.—

1000

1001

M. N. 1181
From the Collector of Nellore } Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie imported in April 1850.—

1002

M. N. 1182
From the Collector of Nellore } Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Exported in April 1850.—

1003

M. N. 1183
From the Collector Malabar } Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and specie imported

8600 Fort St. George, 22d. May 1856.

1004

imported in April 1856.

Mr. No. 1271

From the Collector of Canara } Average Bazar Prices of Grain and do Memorandum of Gyen cultivation for April 1856.

1005

Mr. No. 1272.

From the Collector of Madura. } Register of Petitions for April 1856.

1006

Mr. No. 1268.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. } Rain report for April 1856.

1007

Mr. No. 1270.

From the Collector of Canara. } Rain report for April 1856.

8601 Fort St. George 23d. May 1856

No. 114

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Friday the 23d. May 1856.

1008

Read the following Papers

B. No. 750

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated the 3d. March 1856.

Approving of the principle of the proposed commutation in regard to the payment of Customs fees in the Town of Cuyamaram & observing to know the rates allowed in other Dts. before confirming the proposal in similar cases & urging on the Board to its introduction generally &c.

See No. 243 in Separate Vol. P. 109

1008-1009

B. No. 769

Resolution thereon Resolved that the above Extract Minutes of Consultation be communicated to all Collectors and that they be requested to furnish at their earliest convenience the information required in Para. 3 and to act on the instructions conveyed in Paras 4, 5 and 6.

The Collector of Chingleput will supply the information called for in Para 2.

1010

B. No. 1161

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated the 4th April 1856.

Reviewing the Report of the Board on the results of the experiment of the introduction of half bred merino sheep into some of the Districts of this Presy stating the disinclination of Government to any further expenditure on other Districts and requesting to report on all charges incurred on account of the past experiment in view to the sanction of Government being obtained for writing them off to profit and loss.

See No. 356 in separate Volume. P. 175

1013-1011

B. No. 1575

Proceedings thereon Ordered that copies of the foregoing Extract Minutes Consultation be communicated to the Collectors of Bellary, Salem, Coimbatore, North Arcot, South Arcot, Trichinopoly & Tinnevelly & Madura and Guntoor for information.

2 The Collector of North Arcot will be pleased to furnish the explanation required in para 5

The

3 The Board request the submission as soon as possible of the Reports of the expenditure called for in Para 15.

1012

B. No. 1520

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department dated the 5th May 1856.

Forwarding a Statement received from the accountant General exhibiting the arrears of land Revenue for the last 15 years and requesting that information similar to that already called for on a former Statement may be furnished

See No. 350 in Separate Volume P. 109

Ordered that the following letter be sent

1012-1013

To The Acting Accountant General

B. No. 1576

To The Ag Accountant General

Sir I am directed by Government having forwarded to the Board of Revenue for report the General abstract Statement shewing the arrears of Land Revenue &c for the last 15 years submitted with your letter of 17th April 1856 No. 456. I am directed to request that you will be

D/5 May Cons 23 & Aug 6th 1856

be so good as to furnish them with the amount of the arrears charged against each district to enable them to call upon the several Collectors for the information required by Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
23d May 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

B. No. 1534

From the Secretary to Government

1014

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Works Department dated the 8th May 1856

Sanctioning the proposition of the Acting Collector of Canara for dismantling the Soorabeel Bungalow; and directing that a Circular be issued intimating that correspondence respecting Travellers bungalows be addressed to the Board of Revenue.

See No. 116 in Separate Vol. Pp. 111

1015

Ad. after No. 1570

Proceedings thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara for his information and guidance.

Circular

1014-1016

B. No. 1570

Circular to all Collectors including Madras and copy to Commissioner N.C. and Registrar Sudder Adalut

Steam Government Gazette 23d May 1856

Ordered also that the following Circular be sent.

Circular

Under instructions from Government, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that in future all correspondence respecting Travellers Bungalows may be addressed to them instead of to the Head of the Public Works Department.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
23d May 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

1017

No. 115

Read

From the Collector of Nellore.

Statement Shewing the No. of Tents possessed by the Collector and his Subordinate Officers in the District of Nellore

and

and the number of days in each month for which Extra Tentage was drawn by them &c.

B.d No. 1568

(1017-1018)

Proceedings thereon.

The Extra Tent allowance drawn by each Officer for the number of days noted in the margin is sanctioned by the Board.

2 It is observed that the number of tents in the possession of Mr. Morris is not stated. The Collector is requested to furnish this information for entry in the Statement

To

Mr. S. Ratliff Sub Collector — 26 days

Dr. Acting Collector — 178

Mr. S. G. Meuchin Acting Collector — 142

H. Morris Acting Head Assistant 6 days 78

P. 38

(1019)

B.d No. 1478

From the Acting Collector of Canara

Reports with reference to Boards Proceedings dated 27th March last. on the subject of the proposed transfer of a portion of the Soopah Jungle to the Government Gun Factory at Darwar

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 27th March, forwarding a Correspondence regarding the proposed transfer to the Government Gun Factory at Dharwar of a portion of the Soopah Jungle temporarily as a Preserve.—

2 The proposed measure is based on the necessity of a supply of cheap timber to enable Government to turn out this machinery at a sufficiently low price to bring it within the means of the Ryot and this, it is inferred, can only be done by placing a portion of the Forest under the direct management of Dr. Forbes, who proposes to procure from a certain tract all the timber he requires, and at the same time, make the Forest pay all conservancy expenses, by the sale of clippings and

brush

brush wood

3 Though other subjects are touched upon I shall confine myself to Dr. Forbes' project as Forest conservancy generally will be the subject of report with reference to instructions contained in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 18th March last

4 Of late years it has been customary in the Ballaghaut Forests of Canara either to rent out the right to fell all jungle trees marked for the year, or to dispose of the logs brought out of the Forest to the highest bidder.—

5 Under either of these systems timber would probably cost more than Dr. Forbes, with reference to the circumstances mentioned can afford to give, and it seems to me that the object of the proposed transfer is not so much to obtain timber which could not be otherwise supplied, as to procure it at a price below its marked value. If this is all that is required, I can of course, if so directed, furnish the Gun Factory

Factory at Dharwar with Timber at cost price

6 If on the other hand, Dr. Forbes is apprehensive that a supply of Timber cannot be kept up under present management, and that the transfer of a certain tract is therefore necessary, I would beg to observe that though it must be admitted that the conservancy is in its infancy has been little understood and that immense results have been aimed at with means utterly inadequate to produce them, matters are rapidly improving, and with an European Assistant most of whose time will be devoted to the Upper Forests, will soon, I hope, be on a far better footing than hitherto.—

7 Whilst it must be evident that divided authority must always create confusion, the very moment we have established something like efficient supervision, is not that in which I should recommend compliance with the request of the Bombay Government It stands to reason that Mr. Muller, my Assistant Forest Department, being on the spot, must be better able to conserve the Soopah Forests, in whole, or in part, than Dr. Forbes, who resides in Dharwar

and

and has numberous occupations to which the greater portion of his time and thoughts, must be devoted.

8 The Government will I trust under these circumstances suspend their judgment, and allow Mr. Muller to get fairly to work before they take any such step as that proposed for their consideration.

9 The numerous calls for wood of all discriptions in Bombay, and all other markets, have of late raised the price of Timber immensely and our neighbours have not only it seems become aware of the value of the Jungles of North Canara, but are under the impression that they alone are interested in their preservation and able to secure it. The subject of conservancy however has of late years, at any rate, attracted the attention of the different officials in this District, and the measures taken, however imperfect, have certainly not been without result. More Stringent rules are necessary, and whilst every thing is being done which our means admit of the whole subject of Forest conservancy in the District will shortly be laid before the Board, with a view to such further steps being taken as Government may deem necessary.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
24th April 1856

Signed J. W. Fisher
Acting Collector

(1019-1020)

B. N. 1582
To the Secretary
to Government
dated 24th April
In Cons. 23 May 1856
1478

From Govt. 4th March
1856 No. 301
In Cons. 27th March 56

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to Submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the reply received from the Collector of Canara to their proceedings of the 27th March forwarding to him for report copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Bombay Government regarding the transfer of a portion of the North Canara Jungles to the Officer in charge of the Government Gun Factory at Dharwar.

2 Mr. Fisher's letter renders the case for decision perfectly clear, the local authorities at Dharwar have expressed their apprehension of the almost total destruction of the forests on their border, under the present system of management it is admitted that the attention of the district authorities has been given to the Subject but the orders by which they have endeavoured to protect the forest are said to be disregarded. Particular reference is made to the effect which the scarcity of timber will have in raising the price of the Cotton Guns manufactured at Dharwar, and to prevent this result it is proposed to place a certain tract under the conservancy of Dr. Forbes.

3 As Mr. Fisher observes it is always in his power to supply the Gun Factory with timber at its cost price and without reference to the

Fort St. George 23d. May 1856.—

the market price so that no change is necessary if it is desired to secure wood for the Factory at a cheap rate.—

4 The more important aspect of the question however is the expediency of removing a portion of the Forest from the Canara Conservancy on the Ground that it cannot be adequately protected under their managem

5 The Board Consider that Mr. Fisher has shewn very clearly that this virtual condemnation of an Establishment which has hitherto possessed adequate means for efficiency and is now only receiving the additional aid which it requires would be scarcely just, and under present circumstances premature. Mr. Mullers recent appointment as Assistant Collector in this Department and the nomination of Dr. Cleghorn to the general supervision of Forest conservancies, afford grounds for confidence in the success of future operations and render the transfer proposed by the Bombay Government unnecessary.—

6 The Board desire me therefore to express their agreement in the Collector's views, and to suggest that time should be allowed in order to judge whether the increased care and attention which are now to be given to the subject are still insufficient to secure a thorough and complete conservancy of these jungles.—

From Government 12th January 1856 No 42
Inl Cons 28th January 1856

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
23d May 1856

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Fort St. George 23d. May 1856.

No. 72.

To.
G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(1021)

No. 1481.
From the Collr. of Tanjore.—

To Board 19th Sept. 1853.
From Do. 6th October "
To Do. 18th Do. "
From Do. 19th Nov. "

Tanjore ----
Tranquebar --
1st May 1856.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to forward, for the information of the Board, copy of the decision of the Subordinate Judge in original Suit No. 13 of 1853 brought against me and others.

/Signed/ H. Forbes.
Collector.

No. 1.

Dewany Adalut zillah Combaconum
L. B. Innes Esquire Subordinate Judge Presid.
O. S. No. 13 of 1853 on the file of the Sub Court of Combaconum.

Plaintiff	Versus.	Defendants.
Puchanada Moodely Meerasdar of Terooloor in Kodavasel Taloq residing at Tenkavanoor in the Mannargoody Taloq.		1 H. Forbes Esquire Collector of Tanjore 2 Rangia Naicq Talukdar of Mannargoody Taloq.

Sattianengar

3 Sattamiengar Vuttam
Curnum of Mayconda
attached to Tencovanoor

4. Jyya Moodely Putta:
=manyam of Tenpady.

5. Nelayadachy annee
wife of Veroottachella
Moodely of Tenpathy.

1st Defendant's Vakeel
Soobbeer.

5 Defendant's Vakeel
Samy Sastry.

1 The Plaintiff sued for recovery
of Malgoozaty land comprising 1-8-42 3/4
of Nunjah and Poonjah produce with house
and ground valued at Rupees 146-12-0
Standing in his mirasi in the Village of
Tengoavanoor in the Talook of Nunnargum
He stated in his plaint that on 20th November
1846 he had purchased of 5th Defendant for
900 Rupees V. M. C. 1-8-42 3/4 of Nunjah
and Poonjah land with house
and ground specified in the
Plaintiff. That the mirasi was
registered in his name That a suit
No. 307 of 1848 and 42 of 1850 of the Moosiff's

file

file were instituted by him against other
parties regarding the land and were decreed
in his favor. That in December 1852
5th Defendant petitioned the Collector for
the Cultivation moochilka for Fasly 1262
as she had (as she stated) paid back the
900 Rupees and recovered the lands and
enjoyed them since 1849. The 2d Defendant,
the Tasildar to whom the matter was
referred, reported the circumstance
unfavorably to Plaintiff, under false
information derived from 3d and 4th
Defendants the Curnum and Puttamania-
=gar of the Village, and so prevented him
Plaintiff from taking possession of
the Nunjah produce from February 1853-
Fasly 1262 That on 2d April 1853 the
Special Assistant Collector passed
an order placing 5th Defendant in
possession and referring Plaintiff
to a civil action observing the 5th
Defendant had recovered the land
in 1849 from Plaintiff having origi-
=nally only sold it in fear of her
copartners. That on Plaintiff's appeal to
the Collector, the 1st Defendant, this order
was confirmed on 21st June. The Sues

including

including the Collector as 1st Defendant on account of his unjust and irregular order. The 2d 3d and 4th Defendants as they withheld from him the produce of Fusly 1262 and the 5th Defendant for recovery from her of possession of the land produced Vizt

Nunjah land 1-0-83¾ valued at Rupees	Rs. As. P. 71-4-0	
Poonjah Pattacut 1 Mans 39 Goolies	3-0-0	
Two house grounds specified in the decree No. 42 of 1850 of the Moodelly's Court Ameen's file together with Tamarind trees Vizt therein growing valued at Rupees.	8-0-0	
Tiled house valued at Rupees	20-0-0	
A piece of land connected with the Mania now entered as tera of and paying Rist valued at	1-0-0	
A manaicut valued at	8-0-0	
Do. Do.	8-0-0	
Value of produce for Fusly 1262 at 8 per Gullum	42-8-0	
Total Rupees	146-12-0	

2. 1st Defendant answered urging that he should not have been included That under clause 1st Section XVI Regulation V of 1822, Plaintiff if dissatisfied with

with the decision, should have preferred an appeal in the Court within the space of 30 days.-

3. The 5th Defendant answers that as stated in the Plaint she sold the lands, house Vizt to Plaintiff in 1846 received 900 Rupees and had the Registry transferred to Plaintiff's name That subsequently the cent sums of money to Plaintiff and that on 18th April 1849 on a settlement of Accounts a balance of 900 Rupees was struck against Plaintiff that as he had no means of discharging the debt he made over the land to her (5th Defendant) with deed of sale and deed of settlement of account and executed an agreement relinquishing all right to the property and promising to effect the transfer of Registry in her (5th Defendant's) name That she has ever since enjoyed the land but that on the occasion of Palneappa Moodelly's forcibly seizing a part of the property viz 23¾ Goolies in the back yard, as she was a widow and her sister's husband was dead she requested the Plaintiff

in

Fort St. George 23d. May 1856.

in confidence to undertake the recovery of the 23/4 Goolies by a regular Suit. That she paid him also for so undertaking it and that he instituted No. 42 of 1850 of the Moofty Sudr Ameen's file including herself and Palaneappa Moodely as Defendants. That the answer put in by her / 5th Defendant / in that Suit was prepared by Plaintiff and that some time afterwards finding that the had been imposed upon, she was about to complain when he executed an agreement under date 3d. January 1857 declaring that he had no right whatever to the Land Sued for, and providing for her (5th Defendants) enjoyment of it That as the Plaintiff failed to transfer the minafs. as promised in the first agreement, she / 5th Defendant / instituted O.S. 1220 of 1852 in the mannargoody Moonsiffs Court for the transfer of the Registry including as Defendants the Plaintiff

Fort St. George 23d. May 1856

plaintiff and his undivided Brothers. That the orders of the Collectors Department are in her favor

4 The Plaintiff in reply urged that the order of the 1st Defendant is against the evidence taken in the matter upon which the order was passed, That therefore the 1st Defendant is reasonally included. That the documents belonging to the Estate have been obtained by 5th Defendant surreptitiously through Tyelummy / widow of Vilvanada Moodely Plaintiffs late undivided younger brother / to whom he has given his son in adoption, and with the aid of Tyelummy's fathers brother and Sisters husband who are his enemies and who covet Tyelummy's property. 5th Defendant has had documents forged and they have instigated her to laying Suits before the Moonsiffs and bringing complaints in the Collectors Department. That though 5th Defendant had father, brother &c. alive at the time when Palneappa Moodelly took forcible possession of a portion of the estate she pretends that having no one to assist her she had recourse to Plaintiff to prosecute in the matter the real fact being that he / Plaintiff / was then in possession and instituted the Suit No. 42 of 1850 on his own account and included 5th Defendant as a

a Defendant in that suit solely to clear up any doubts there might be as to the proprietary right to the land. To show the falseness of her allegation he mentions that she of herself had just previously instituted the Suit No. 4 of 1850 of Mooffy Sudr Ameens file.

5 1st Defendant in rejoinder refers to his answer and pleads the Provisions of Act XVIII of 1850.

6th The 5th Defendant brings forward no new matter in her rejoinder.

7 The 2d 3d and 4th Defendants were placed exparte

8 Points were recorded as follow

Plaintiff to prove 1st his enjoy=ment from 1849 of the contested land and to produce attested Copies of decrees in Suit No. 307 of 1848 of the Munnargoody Plaintiffs file and of 42 of 1850 of the Mooffy Sudr Ameens file also attested Copies of Cultivation Moochilkas from 1849 and Re=ceipts for payment of Rist and Sundel Hooripoo accounts from the same date. 2d To prove by the designation, boundaries &c. that

the contested land is the same as that about which / as is stated in the 8th para of Plaint / it is deposed in No. 42 of 1850 of the file of the mooffy Sudr Ameen that Plain=tiff purchased it and enjoyed the Tamarind trees and to produce the Fusli accounts re=ferred to in the 9th Para. To produce attested Copy of 5th Defendants petition to the Col=lector alluded to in Para 9. To produce at=tested Copy of plaint in No. 1220 of 1852 of the Munnargoody Moonsiffs file. Attested Copy of Collectors decision and Plaint in No. 4 of 1850 of the Mooffys file.

5th Defendant to produce Copies of order of Special Assistant Collector dated 2d April 1853 referring Plaintiff to a Civil Suit and one of the Collector dated 21st June of the same year confirming that decision. To prove the settlement of accounts on 18th April 1849 and balance of 900 Rupees against Plaintiff and to produce documents in proof and to prove such documents by witnesses. To prove the redelivery of the land to her and the return to her of the sale deed. To produce the deed and the accounts showing the dealings had with Plaintiff also the agreement of 3d January 1851 given by Plaintiff declaring that he had no right to the property and promising

Fort Ste George 23d May 1856

to transfer the Registry. To prove her enjoyment from 18th April 1849 up to date Seizure of part of the land by Palaneappa Moodely. To prove that the Plaintiff was constituted her Agent to prosecute in No 42 of 1851 before the Moosify. That the Answer was prepared by Plaintiff and to produce an attested Copy thereof. To produce Copy of Awzee alluded to in Para 6.

9 The following documents were filed for both parties.

Plaintiffs documents.

A Copy of the decree passed on the 31st March 1852 in Suit No 1220 of 1852 on the file of the Munnargoody Moonsiffs.

B Copy of the decree passed on the 13th September 1851 in Suit No 42 of 1850 on the file of the Moosify Sudr Ameen.

C Copy of the decree passed on the 29th August 1848 in Suit No 307 of 1848 on the file of the Munnargoody Moonsiffs.

D Extract from the proceedings of the Moosify Sudr Ameens Court dated 7th January 1851 in Suit No 4 of 1850 on the file of the said Court instituted by Nelayatachyanne / 5th Defendant /

E Copy of the deposition delivered on the 6th September 1851 before the Moosify Sudr Ameen by Tattiengar / 3d Defendant / by Witness on the

Fort Ste George 23d May 1856

part of the Plaintiff in Suit No 42 of 1850.

F Copy of the account showing the particulars of the property of the Palneappa Moodely / 1st Defendant in Suit No 42 of 1850 on the file of the Moosify Sudr Ameen / which was Exhibited by a Court Ameen on the 17th October 1852.

G Knechalloo passed on Stampt paper of 8 annas value by Cirkar to Plaintiff for Poons 25 and Fanams 1 55/64 from 15th December to 8th February of Fusly 1261.

H Receipt passed by Curnum and Puttamoneagar / 3d & 4th Defendants / to Plaintiff on Stampt paper of 8 annas value on the 15th November 1852 for Rupees 2-2-0

I Copy of the Plaint instituted in the Munnargoody Moonsiffs Court against on Plaintiff and others by 5th Defendant on the 20th December 1852 for Rupees 82-

J Copy of the cultivation moochilka for Fusly 1262

K Tundulcoorippoo passed by 3d & 4th Defendants on the 14th March 1852 for Fusly 1261.

L Copy of the Takeed issued on the 21st April 1853 by the special Assistant Magistrate to Runjiah Naicke the Tasildar of Munnargoody Talook

Deputation

M Deposition delivered by Punjavien / witness on behalf of Nelayadacheeanuee 5th Defendant in this Suit / before the District Moonsiff of Munnargoody in Suit No. 1221 of 1852 on the 21st September 1853

N Deposition delivered by Samy Syen / witness on behalf of Nelayadacheennee 5th Defendant in this Suit / before the District Moonsiff of Munnargoody in Suit No. 1220 of 1852 on the 21st September 1853

O Deposition delivered by Sedambare nada Moodely / witness / on behalf of Nelayadacheeannee 5th Defendant in this Suit / before the District Moonsiff of Munnargoody in the Suit No. 1220 of 1852 on the 17th December 1853

P Deposition delivered by Ponnapillay of Torookunnungoody / witness on behalf of Nelayadacheeannee 5th Defendant / before the Tahsildar of Munnargoody Taluk on the 27th January 1853

Q Deposition delivered by Nallatumby Moodelly alias Chedomberanada Moodelly of Torookunnungoody / witness on behalf

of the said 5th Defendant / before the said Tasildar on the 27th January 1853

R Deposition delivered by Veeramoodely of Kattoor / witness on behalf of the said 5th Defendant / before the said Tasildar on the 27th January 1853

5th Defendants documents

1 Settlement of accounts executed on plain cadjan by Plaintiff Punchanada Moodelly to 5th Defendant for Rupees 900 on the 8th Chittra of Sowmeah or 18th April 1849

2 Copy of Odombadeky executed by Plaintiff Punchanada Moodely to 5th Defendant for Rupees 900 on the 8th Chittra of Sowmeah or 18th April 1849

3 Copy of Sale deed executed by 5th Defendant to Plaintiff Punchanada Moodely for Rupees 900 on the 7th Cartigay of Parabava or 20th November 1846.

4 Copy of Odombadeky executed by Punchanada Moodely, Plaintiff, to 5th Defendant for Rupees 900 on the 21st Margaly

of Sadaroona on 3d January 1857.

5 Copy of the deposition delivered by Nullatumby Moodelly alias Chedombo= =ranada Moodelly witness on behalf of Plain= =tiff in Suit No. 1220 of 1852 on the file of the Munnargoody Moonsiff on the 5th January 1854.

6 Copy of the answer presented by 2d De= =fendant / 5th Defendant in this Suit / in Suit No. 42 of 1850 on the file of the Sudr Ameen on the 30th October 1850.

7 Copy of the Takeed No. 103 issued on the 21st April 1853 by the special Assistant Collector to Rungiah Naick the late Ja= =sildar of Munnargoody Talook.

8 Copy of an Arzee No. 390 addressed by Rungiah Naick Jasildar of Munnargoody Talook to the special Assistant Collector Tanjore on the 10th April 1853.

9 Copy of Yadast sent by the Collector to the Special Assistant

B

Assistant Collector on the 22d June 1853.

10 The following witnesses were examined for both parties.

Plaintiffs witness		5th Defendants witnesses	
Palaneappa Moodely	1	Samy Iyen	1
Aroonachella Moodely	2	Sinnakistnien	2
Sambasewa Moodely	3	Veeroomoodely	3
		Veeroomoodely	4
		Chedemberanada moodely	5
			6

Judgment

11 Plaintiffs witnesses support his allegation of enjoyment of the land since 1849

12 Defendants 1st 2d & 3d witnesses speak consistently to the execution of the Kanakarooppo and first agreement and her 4th 5th and 6th witnesses to the 2d agreement.

13 Of Plaintiffs documents C is of no use to prove Plaintiffs enjoyment as it does not show whether the land for a trespass on which damages were awarded Plaintiff is the same or is included in that now in litigation Document B is of no use for the same reason It does not appear clearly from E that the manai alluded to therein as Plaintiffs is the present contested property. It does not appear from G H and K upon what land the payment

Fort St George 23d May 1856.

payments of Kist for which they are
acknowledgments were made I is a Copy
of the Plaint in Suit No 1220 of 1852. of
Munnargoody Moonsiff and it is
put into show that the land was not
in 5th Defendants enjoyment when she
instituted that Suit. It does not
however prove this point in the plaint
/document I/ she distinctly says the land
is in her enjoyment but that the Meras
alone for which she sues is not J is a
Cultivation Moochilka to show that
the land was not in 5th Defendants
enjoyment in the Fasly 1262 1852, 3
it purports to show this by the absence
of 5th Defendants signature to it but
It is to be remarked that it is expressly
mentioned in the Moochilka that the
land is in dispute and that neither
disputants have in consequence affixed
their Signatures O is put in to show certain
variations between the deposition given
by Shetambaranada Moodely before the
Sub Court and the deposition of the
same person in Suit No 1220 of 1852 of
the Munnargoody Moonsiff. The
variations are few and unimportant
The same remark applies to document
P The discrepancy desired to be pointed

Fort St George 23d May 1856

out in Q which is a copy of a depo-
sition given before the Tahsildar
appears not to show discrepancy so
much as a want of particularization.
before both Tahsildar and Moonsiff
In R which is a copy of a depo-
sition given before the Tahsildar there
is merely a loose general Statement
made, but the subsequent deposition
of the Witness Veeramoodely
before the Court does not vary from
it, it only gives more particulars. Do-
cuments C E F G H I J K, O, P Q
R having been thus disposed of there
remain only for consideration A
B D L M N Of these A is an
attested Copy of the decree of the
Munnargoody Moonsiff in Suit No 1220 of
1852 the date of the decree is 31st
March 1854. The suit was laid
by Plaintiff / the present 5th Defendant/
on 20th December 1852 the object of
the Suit was to obtain the Registry of
the Meras in her name. Punchanadamoodely

Fort St George 23d May 1856

14 Punchanadamoodely the present Plaintiff Vengoomoodely his undivided brother Vytelinga Moodely his nephew and Tyelummy widow of Punchanada Moodelays brother Vellavanada Moodely were Defendants. The Statement of the plaint was in substance the same as that of the answer of 5th Defendant in the present Suit She added that the land was in her professian but that Defendants obstructed the registry of Mirasi

15 In his Answer the 1st Defendant /present Plaintiff/ pronounced the Settlement of accounts and the agreements forged appealed to the other proofs of his enjoyment and to the two decrees in No. 300 of 1848 of the Munnargoody Moonsiff and in No. of 1850 of the Moofly Sudr Ameen which established his right He stated also that after Vellavanada Moodely death his widow who is Plaintiffs daughter got hold of the deed of sale executed to him and handed it over to Plaintiff

Fort St George 23d May 1856

who now pretends she received it from him /1st Defendant/ and that an agreement was executed between himself and her

16 The Moonsiff observed /1/ that in No. 42 of 1850 of the Moofly Sudr Ameens file the 1st Defendant Punchanada Moodely sued one Palaneappa Moodely for a trespass committed by him on the very same land in dispute /2/ that Punchanada Moodely in that Suit called himself the owner of the land and stated that he become owner by purchase from Neeloyatachyannee 2d Defendant /present 5th Defendant/ /3/ that 2d Defendant in answer in that Suit fully admitted Plaintiffs ownership by purchase and his exclusive right to the land /4/ that the agreement bearing date 1849 and put forward by Neelayatachy /Plaintiff/ as showing that the sale deed was received back and that she became owner of the land at a date previous to that at which she had given in that answer was therefore untrustworthy /5/ that likewise the signatures to B and C both purporting to be those of the 1st Defendant Punchanada Moodely differed from each other He therefore considered the agreements forgeries and dismissed the Plaint-

17 B is Copy of the decree in No. 42 of 1850 in which in the abstract of the 2d Defendants answer

answer it is shown that the land was in 1850 in Plaintiff Pinchanada Moodelys enjoyment.

18 It is urged by 5th Defendant in her answer that although it is true her answer in No. 42 of 1850 of the Moosify Sudr Ameens file contains an admission of the Plaintiffs claim yet it should be considered that she being a female had found it necessary to have recourse to the Plaintiff to draw up the answer for her as he was her agent and that she did not Know the tenor of it that he consequently imposed upon her and that coming to suspect him she compelled him to enter into the 2d agreement which sets forth that he has no right in the land in dispute in No. 42 of 1850 Now it is obvious that if Plaintiff had been planning to defraud 5th Defendant of her land and had as her agent given in for her an answer in No. 42 of 1850 which would tend to establish his right and weaken hers to the land he would not merely at 5th Defendants request have entered into any such agreement Plaintiff however utterly denies having had any part in the composition of the answer in No. 42 of 1850 and puts in document D an Extract in proof that she only a few weeks before the institution of No. 42 of 1850 without having recourse to him as her agent instituted a Suit No. 4 of 1850 of the Moosify Sudr Ameens file regarding a debt bond. This Extract is in the opinion of the sub Judge most conclusive of the incredibility of 5th Defendants attempt to explain away the admissions of the answer in No. 42 of 1850.

19 I is an order issued by the Special Assistant Magistrate to Rungiah Naick Cavilder of Munnargoody on 21st April 1853 in decision of the dispute between the present

present Plaintiff and 5th Defendant and directing possession to be given to 5th Defendant mainly on the ground that she had possession of the deed of sale and must be considered to have received it back from Plaintiff.-

20. M is an attested copy of the deposition of Punjoovoyyen 6th Witness for the Plaintiff in No. 1220 of 1852 of the Munnargoody Moonsiffs who is Defendants 2d Witness in the present No. This document is filed to show the discrepancy in the evidence of the witness before the two Courts upon the same subject. He is a witness in both cases to the execution of the 1st agreement; before the Moonsiff he states after other particulars, that it was at 12 Naliyais or 11 Oclock A. M. before the Sub Court he says 25 Naliyais or 4 Oclock P. M. Before the Moonsiff he states that he did not see the sale deed given back, before the sub Court that he knows of its having been given back. Before the Moonsiff he was unable to remember on account of the lapse of time (5 or 6 years) who drew up the Instrument; before the sub Court he states that Nullatumby Moodelly signed

signed as drafter of the Instrument. As it is not at all probable that if after a lapse of time a witness should forget the particulars of a circumstance that had come under his consideration a still further lapse of 2 or 3 years would bring them vividly to his recollection, no reliance can be placed upon the evidence of Punyodryyan before the sub Court

21. N is an attested Copy of the deposition of Samayen the 5th. witness of the Plaintiff in the same Rs. and 1st. witness for the Defendant in the present suit before the Moonsiff he states himself to have forgotten in whose house the Instrument was executed also at what hour it was executed states that he did not see Punchanada Moodelly give up the sale deed to Neeloyatachy, but saw it in Neeloyatachy's hand and heard her and Punchanada Moodelly say that it had been given up to her. He also stated his inability to recollect whether any Ranakaroo-poo was executed. Before the sub Court, he specifies the

the hour as 10 O'Clock, the name of the person in whose house the agreement was executed, he states his remembrance of having seen the sale deed given back to Neelayatachy and says that he signed the Ranakaroo-poo. After such variations equally little reliance can be placed on the testimony of this witness before the sub Court.

22. Of the documents of 5th. Defendant 1-2-3 and 4 are the Ranookaroo-poo, the 1st. agreement the deed of sale and 2d. agreement upon which the defence depends and upon which the Suit No. 1220 of 1852 of the Moonsiff's file brought up by 5th. Defendant for recovery of Meerass of the contested land was based. On a comparison of the signature purporting to be that of Punchanada Moodely to the agreement No. 2 with that to the 2d. agreement No. 4 there is apparent, so vast a difference in the character of the hand writing that the sub Judge has no doubt that one of them at least is a forgery, and the little reliance to be placed in the 5th. Defendants 1st. and 2d. witnesses as to the execution of the first agreement leads to a belief that the evidence to both agreements is fabricated

and

and that the agreements themselves are forged.

23. Document 5 is a copy of Seetumbranada Moodelly's deposition before the Moonsiff filed to show the evidence of this witness in 5th. Defendant favor on several points. The evidence however does not appear to be in any respect more co trustworthy than any already given or to afford any greater support to 5th. Defendants Cause. Document 6 is an attested Copy of the answer of 2d. Defendant in No. 42 of 1850 of the Moofly Sudder Ameens' file in admission of the Plaintiffs' claim in that No. which in no respect favors her cause-

24. Documents 7. 8 and 9 are respectively the Arzi of Tahsildar, order of special Assistant and one of the Magistrate directing co possession to be given to 5th. Defendant which are of no authority in determining the question of right-

25. On a consideration of the documents M and N as above remarked upon, the Sud Judge entirely discredits the evidence for 5th. Defendant to the execution of the first agreement and delivery up

up to her by the Plaintiff of the sale deed and on a consideration of the light which document D throws upon the amount of credit to be given to the assertion of 5th. Defendant that the answer in No. 42 of 1850 of the Moofly's file was drawn up by Plaintiff as her agent, and also (this ground of 5th. Defendants being thus cut away from her) of the improbability that such an answer as that co in No. 42 of 1850 would have been given up by her without mention of the first agreement had any such agreement really been entered into the Sub Judge can come to no other conclusion than co that the agreements are forged and the defence of 5th. Defendant is untenable, her possession of the sale deed and first sight undoubtedly co raises an opinion favorable to her Statement, but while her possession of it may be accounted for by supposing what is not at all improbable that she obtained it surreptitiously as suggested by Plaintiff through Tazellummy widow of co Plaintiffs Brother, the other assertions that it was returned to her by Plaintiff and that the agreements were entered into regarding it as co

deposed

disposed by her witnesses both before the Moonsiff and the Court, are quite incredible. The Court therefore awards Plaintiff his claim according to the specifications of the Plaint. Plaintiff has wrongly included 1st. 2d. 3d. and 4th. Defendants of whom 2d. 3d. and 4th. acted under orders of their official superior (the 1st. Defendant) and of whom 1st. Defendant issued orders to the best of his Judgment in no way infringing any Regulation or laws. Plaintiff will therefore pay their costs and 5th. Defendant will pay Plaintiffs Costs.

26. The Court further declares that no private adjustment of this award by these parties will be valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been declared and made a record of the Court and that this decree shall not be enforced after the lapse of 12 years unless excepted from the general sale of limitations prescribed by Clause 4 section XVIII Regulation II of 1802.

To be delivered over to the Plaintiff by the 5th. Defendant.

1—6—83 1/4 out of 16 Carays of Nunjah land

	Rs.	A	P
Nunjah land in the Village of Tengo-vanoorten pady in the Munnargoody Talook 1/2 Cardy belonging to 5th. Defendant valued at Rupees	71	—	—
— 1—59 of Poonjah 8ca. land valued at Rs.	3	—	—
— — 23 1/4 Two house grounds No. 544 and 549 specified in the decree No. 42 of 1850 of the Moofty sundrameens file together with Tama: rind trees 8ca. therein standing valued	8	—	—
A tiled house in the same Village of the house of Palaneappa Moodelly west of Iyyanoodellies North of Valoonoodelly's and others and South of the lane valued at	20	—	—
— — 60 A piece of land including Nunjah in Vadapathy of the said Village, referred to in the Bill of sale and connected with the Manai which is now entered as Tariff and on which Rist is paid	1	—	—
— — 40 A house ground occupied by Ademy kattan including Pattoo Cuttoo	8	—	—
— — 20. Do. Do. Do. by Mootteya konan including Pattoo Cuttoo	—	8	—
Balance of produce in the said Village for Fusly 1262 after deducting Cirkar Rist 8ca.	42	8	—
Total Rupees	146	12	—

Fort St. George 23d. May 1856.

To be paid to the Plaintiff
by the 5th. Defendant—Rupees A. P. Rs. A. P.

Value of Stamp papers used
for Plaints 8ca. ——— 16—12—

Peons Batta ——— 5—12— ——— 22—8—

To be borne by the 5th. Defendant

Value of stampt papers used
for Answer 8ca. ——— 6—12—

Peons Batta ——— 5—7—

Vakeels fee ——— 7—4—9½ ——— 19—7—9½

To be paid to the 1st. Defendant by the Plaintiff value of stampt papers used for Answers 8ca. ——— —12—

Vakeels fee ——— 7—4—9½ ——— 8—12—9½

Total Company's Rupees ——— 50—12—7

Given under my hand and the seal of the Sub Court of Combaconum this 8th. day of March 1856.—

(Signed) L. C. Innes.
Sub Judge.

Signed

Fort St. George 23d. May 1856.

Signed and sealed on the 27th. March 1856.

Appeal time expires on the 27th. April 1856.

The date on which the Plaint was filed } 17th. Augt. 1853

The date on which the pleadings were closed } 3d. Febr. 1854

The date of the preliminary examination —— " ——

The date of the 1st. hearing 13th. June 1855.

The date of the 2d. Do. 20th. November ——

The date of the 3d. Do. 8th. March 1856 appeal time expires

The date of the decree 8th. March 1856 on the 27th. March 1856.

22d. and last sheet.

(Signed) L. C. Innes.
Sub Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) L. C. Innes
Sub Judge.

Proceedings

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

B. No. 1580.

Proceedings thereon-

(1021-1022)

B. dto Pro: 27 October 1853.
E. th. Cons: 15th inst. No.
Cons: 21st Nov. No. 1267.

Resolved that the foregoing letter and its accompaniment be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin-

2. The Suit was brought for the recovery of a piece of land 9 Cs. valued at Rupees 146 — 12 — which the Collector, acting under the provisions of Regulation V. 1822 had awarded to one of the Defendants-

3. The decision of the Subordinate Court is in favor of Plaintiff, but the Collector and his subordinates who were included as among the Defendants have been relieved of all responsibility, the former having acted to the best of his judgment and having in no way infringed any Regulation or Law, and the latter having merely carried out the instructions of their superior.-

J. G. B.

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

No. 88.

To

J. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

(1023)

B. No. 1551

From the Collector of Madura,

Forwarding copy of Decree in Appeal Suit No. 84 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura in favor of Government-

Sir.

With reference to the To B. 29th Dec. 1853 From Do. 26th Janv. 1854 To Do. 12th April 1855 correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to forward copy of a Decree in Appeal Suit No. 84 of 1855. on the file of the Civil Court of Madura confirming the original Decree in No. 88 of 1853 on the file of the Subordinate Court in favor of Government.

Madura, 5th May 1856.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Madura Civil Court Decree.

Original Suit No. 88 of 1853 Before the Subordinate Judge.

Plaintiffs	Vers.	Defendants
1 Allaghatchee Cone.		1 J. Clarke Esqre
2 Veerana Cones.		Offg. Collr. of Madura.
		2 Chinnasawmy Panikun

Appeal

For Ft St George 23d May 1856.

Appeal Suit No 84 of 1855 Before the Civil Court.

Appellant (Plffs) Vers. Respondents (Defts)

1 Albaghatchee Cone 1 R. D. Parker Esq Collector of Madura

2. Veerana Cone. 2 Thomasawmy Punditer

1. The Original Suit was instituted for the recovery of 3 Cawnies of land yielding annually 31 14 0 and assessed at Rupees 30 — — —

2. The Plaint sets forth that about 70 years ago the Plaintiff's grandfather purchased the said land from one Moongatty Swadan that he and after him the Plaintiffs enjoyed the same being entered in the Sycul accounts.

3. That the Deed of sale was subsequently lost but was renewed by the Original owner's son on 1st Plaintiff paying

For Ft St George 23d May 1856.

paying to him 10 Cully Fanams more the new Deed is dated 30th April 1830 that the land was at this time flooded and unfit for cultivation but as soon as the Plaintiff attempted to reclaim it, 2nd Defendant through the Tahsildar got it on Cowle.

4. The 1st Defendant in his answer denied the Plaint stating that the land in question had lain waste from the commencement of the Company's Rule and it according to the custom of the Country belongs to the Government.

5. That it is laid down in the Bookoonnamah that if a Ryot allows his land to be waste for more than 3 years, his title to the same lapses.

6. That a Proclamation was issued calling upon any one to come forward to state objections - None appearing the land was given on Cowle.

7. The 2nd Defendant answered supporting the answer of the 1st Defendant admitting

For Ft St George 23d May 1856.

admitting that Istyar was ifsued calling upon any one to come forward and that the land was to be let on Cowle - None objecting the 2d Defendant got it on Cowle - and has laid out money on, and is in the enjoyment of the land.

8. Answer and Rejoinder were duly filed.

9. The Plaintiffs filed one Document - a Deed of sale.

10 The 1st Defendant filed 3 Documents.

11. Plaintiff named 3 witnesses.

12. The 1st Defendant 1 Witness

13. The Subordinate Judge in his Decree states "the Court has nothing "to do with the Deeds of Sale the "authenticity of which it "does not mean to "question

For Ft St George 23d May 1856.

"question but the Plaintiffs by allowing the "land to be waste for so many years "have "undoubtedly forfeited the right to it.-

14. It has by the 1st Defendants shewing been waste eversince "the commencement of "the companys Rule and as neither Plaintiff "nor their Witnesses are able to prove the contrary "and are certainly unable to shew that Seerwah "was ever paid on account of it the Court is of "opinion that the 1st Defendant the Collector was "fully justificd in granting the land on Cowle and the 2d "Defendant" in obtaining it on these terms."-

15. The Subordinate Judge accordingly dismifsed the Case and being of opinion that it was a vexatious suit fined the Parties under Section IX Regulation II of 1802. 20 Rupees in default to be imprisoned till the same has been paid.

16. From this award the Plaintiffs appealed, they urge that the Collector was not justified in granting the land on Cowle.-

17. That he ought to have asked the permifsion of the Board of Revenue.- That

For Ste George 23d May 1856.

18 That the appliants are p
and illeterate therefore it was hard t
fine them.-

19. That they never knew the issu
of any Istyar.-

20. Respondents did not answer-

Judgment.

21- On a perusal of the Decree of th
Subordinate Judge this Court entirely concu
with him in opinion that the Plaintiffs
can have no claim to the Lands in questi
they having allowed them to lie waste for ye
Under such circumstances the 1st Defendant
the Collector was clearly acting within
the sphere of his duty in granting them o
Cowle and the 2d Defendant was equally
right in accepting the lands on such terms.

22. The Court cannot accept the
plea of ignorance of the issue of Proclas
=mation as any ground for calling in
question the act of the 1st Defendant. it is
the duty of people to make themselves ac
=quainted with Proclamations issued.-

Ther

For Ste George 23d May 1856.

23. The Court also concurs with the
Subordinate Judge in considering that
the suit was not only groundless but vexatious
it upholds his Decree in every respect.
and dismisses this appeal with costs
against the Appellant.-

	Rs	a	P
Costs incurred by Plaintiff Appellant in Os.	16	7	9
Do by Do in Appeal			
Value of Stamp papers	9	"	"
Peons Batta	1	"	"
Total	26	7	9
Costs incurred by 1st Defendant only in Os.	7	2	9
Total	7	2	9
Costs incurred by 2nd Defendant only in Os.	4	4	9
Total	4	4	9
Grand Total Rs.	37	15	3

Deduct

Costs already paid by Plaintiff Appellants	26	7	9
Balance to be paid by Appellant to 1st Defendant	7	2	9
Amount to be paid by Do to 2nd Defendant	4	4	9

Given under my Hand in the Civil Court
of Madura on the 12th day of December A.D. 1855.-

Ther

For.t St. George 23.d May 1856.

The Appellants and Respondents are hereby informed that in conformity with the order of Sudder Udalut No. 48 no compromise entered into by the Parties will be valid unless it first receives the sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed.

In Conformity with the Order of Sudder Udalut No. 123 no Decree shall be executed after the lapse of 12 years unless the party applying for execution shews by clear and positive proof that his application is on one or other of the grounds specified in Clause 4.th Section XVIII. Regulation II of 1802 excepted from the general rule of limitation presented in that section.

The period for prefering a special appeal will expire on the 24.th day of March 1856. within which time the application for special appeal accompanied by copies of the several Decrees previously passed on the case must be presented to the Court of Sudder Udalut or the application will be rejected.

/Signed/ R. D. Cootes
Acting Civil Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker,
Collector

For.t St. George 23.d May 1856.

B.d No. 1572.
Pro-ceedings thereon.
1023-1024

B.d Pro. 18.th April 1855
Ex. M.C. 25.th April -
No. 486
In Lond.s 3.d May-

Resolved that the foregoing letter and the paper which accompanied it, be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with preference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

2. The original suit was brought for the recovery of three Cawnies of land yielding annually Rupees 31–14 and a Tank valued at 30 Rupees. The subordinate Judge decided against the claim of the Plaintiffs, and imposed a fine upon them for bringing a Vexatious and groundless suit. This decree was appealed against, but the Acting Civil Judge has upheld it in every respect, and has dismissed the appeal with costs.

No. 41.

To J. S. Forbes Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
For.t St. George,

1025

B.d No. 1736
From the Collector of Cuddapah, Para 1

Sir.

With preference to your Circular

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

Circular letter No. 1165 dated the 28th of Ap
1856, I have the honor to inform you that
the Demand Collection and balance
statement in the new form show the
actual transactions which take place at
the Boozoor treasury, but not in the talo
In consequence of orders received from the
accountant general, the accounts of each
month are required to be forwarded to
his office by the 20th of the following Month
In order to carry this into effect, orders
have been issued to the different Tahsildars
to forward their remittance on the 17th
of every month without fail, in order
that each remittance may be shroffed
within the close of each month and the
preparation of the required accounts be
commenced on the 1st from this it is
known that a portion of the talook Co
-lections, tho a small one, is received in
the talook Cutcherry between the 16th and
the termination of the month; whatever
sum thus collected and received into the
talook treasury, is carried to account of the
remittance despatched to the Boozoor

treasury

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

treasury, on the 16th of the following month.

2. The demand is framed prior to the
settlement with reference to the ditum accounts
furnished by the Tahsildar, but after
the settlement the Collections are made ac-
-cording to the usual Jamah, and the Col
-lections at the Boozoor are entered in the
Demand Collection and Balance State
-ments after the shroffs have duly exa
-mined the money. No remittances are
entered in the accounts until after the
coins have been duly examined. The same
takes place in the talook treasuries.

The

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

3. The Demand Collection and Balance Statements might be despatched to the Board of Revenue on the 10th of each month provided the Collector is stationed at the Head Quarters, but when he is absent, some delay will take place in forwarding them for inspection and signature; they might be despatched say by the 15th of each month.

4. The sums which appear in the Statements above referred to are merely those received into the General treasury, the sums collected in the talooks after the remittance has been despatched or which has been collected by the Village officers are brought to account of the following months remittance.

Cuddapah District,
Collector's Office, on Circuit at
Poolevendalah, 12th May 1856. } (Signed) J. M. Murray
Collector

Order thereon.

(1025-1026)

Ordered to be recorded.

J. G. B.

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

No. 82.

(1027)

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

B. D. No. 1760.
From the Collector of Madras.

Sir:

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Board's Circular dated 6th May 1856 No. 1387 calling for information as to the rules for guarding against the receipt of Counterfeit Coin, and the authority for them.

In this Treasury it has been always understood though not in consequence of any Order directing the responsibility, that the Shroff is liable to make good any receipt by him of Counterfeit Coin.

Bad Coin is occasionally endeavored to be passed here / two instances having occurred during my short incumbency / but the attempt has always been detected the parties alleging their ignorance that the coin was not genuine.

In the second case I forwarded the parties to the Police where the case was dismissed as the possession of Counterfeit Coin under five pieces is not punishable by English

Memo
For each case one rupee was tendered.

8656 Fort Saint George 23d May 1856.

English law whereas our Regulations inflict a fine of double the amount found in possession.

Madras
20th May 1856 } (Signed) B Cunliffe
Collector

(1027-1028)
Order thereon
Wide To Govt 6th June 1856

Ordered to lie over

To

The Secretary to the
Revenue Board
Fort St George

(1029)

Bd No 1772

From Messrs Kerr & Co Agents Ship Sultany ... Madras } Sir.

We have the honor to acknowledge receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st May 1856 No 1543, and in reference thereto be requesting to be informed from us what port it is desired that a Cargo of Salt should be supplied to the ship "Sultany" we beg to say in reply that the above ship is cleared out and sailed for Calcutta on the 14th Instant.

Madras
22nd May 1856 } (Signed) Kerr & Coe
Agents ship "Sultany"

Bd No 1548

Proceedings thereon
(1029-1030)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Reference to Extract

8657 Fort Saint George 23d May 1856

In Cons 21 May 1856

Extract Minutes Consultation dated 20th May 1856 No 513.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(1031)

Bd No 1755

From M. Nootoosamy Moodeliar Moochee

Respected Sir

I Respectfully beg to bring to your Kind notice that I have held the post of Moochee in this Office from May 1818 up to this date making in all 38 years on a Salary of 10½ Rupees per Month I conducted the Moochees duty as well as the duties attached to other posts both in the Record Rooms and in the Office to the entire satisfaction of my employers but my health at present prevents me from efficiently discharging my duty Therefore solicit you will be pleased to Recommend me to Government for a liberal Pension.

Madras
22nd May 1856 } (Signed) M. Nootoosamy

Ordered to be Submitted with the following letter.

To

8658

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

To

The Secretary to Government.
Pension Department

(1031-1032)

B No. 1579

To the Secretary to Government, Pension Department } Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying application for a superannuation pension from M. Moodelly Moochee on their Establishment.

dated 22 in Cons. 23d May 1856. 1755

2. The applicant will cease to be employed from the 31st Instant

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 23d May 1856. } /Signed/ G. S. Forbes

Secretary.

Register.

8659

Register of an application prepared under the Board of Revenue Circular Order dated 15th May 1855.

Name of the party in whose favor the application is made.	Indelible marks and other signs or natural defects.	Size	Proposed Amount of Pension per Annum.	Reasons at which the party is entitled to the proposed pension.	Orders of Government.
Manoonuggar Moodelly	White spots on the crown of the head and a scar on the left side of the neck below the ear	5 feet 5-4"	Fifty Rupees per Mensem	Length of service and infirmities	Resolved

Revenue Secretary

8658 Fort St G.

5660 Fort St George 23rd May 1856.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

To The Secretary to Government.

(1033)

B. No. 1577

To the Secretary to Government.. Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith the Proceedings of the Board of this date for transmission to the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
23rd May 1856.

[Signed] [illegible]

Fort St George 23rd May 1856.

1034 Statement showing the state of the seasons in the undermentioned Districts during the Month of April 1856.

District.	Date.	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation.	Prices whether high or low moving falling or stationary
No. 1145 Cuddapah	8th May 1856	Rain has fallen throughout the District from the 15th to 16th	The reaping of the Cholum crop has been commenced in some localities. Sheds and tanks and channels of wells have been repaired. Sheds and tanks and channels of wells have been repaired. Sheds and tanks and channels of wells have been repaired.	Of Paddy. The Board have noticed and rice has risen in which has been occurred in the Taluq of Pamarazu.

Lelly 54.
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
23 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[

8660

For A St George 23d May 1856.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

(1033)

To The Secretary to Government.

B No. 1577

To the Secretary to Government, &c. &c.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith the Broken set of their Proceedings for the month of April 1856 for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office,
For A St George,
23d May 1856.

[Signed] G. L. Forbes.
Secretary

Statement

8661

For A St George 23d May 1856.

1034 B No. 1581 Statement shewing the state of the season in the undermentioned Districts during the Month of April 1856.

District &c.	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation	Prices whether high or low rising falling or stationary
No. 1145 Saddapatah	Rain has fallen throughout the District from the 15th to 22nd	The reaping of the Cholum crop has been commenced in some wells and rice and rain and other crops have suffered from the deficiency of water. The Sea Sugarcane Oil seeds &c. &c. are in a flourishing condition. The Indigo towns under the Sankaram harvest promise fair, that tanks and Channels it is to be hoped will turn out well as the Crops have been experienced.	Of Paddy. The Boards have noticed a rise and fall in the price of grain since the receipt of other accounts. Pulses remain stationary.
8th May 1856			
Inf. 15			

8662

Fort St. George 23d May 1856.

District and Date	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the cultivation operations	Prices whether high low rising falling or stationary	Further Remarks
No. 1174 Masulipatam 12th May 1856 Inf.ons 20 —	Rain fall in almost every Taluk of the District	There are no Crops of the past year on the ground. The Ryots are busy ploughing up the land for next season. The growth of Indigo has been confined to experiment as yet in this District. Mr. H. B. Smith Civil Engineer has attempted its cultivation in one Village of the Sirmoor Taluk with every prospect of success, the plant growing luxuriantly, some specimens shown at the agricultural exhibition this year at Bezwarah attained a height of 8 or 9 feet. Cotton is not grown for export. Its cultivation may be said to be confined to the indigenous plant and two Taluks in this District what little is grown is consumed by the Country looms in the District. Gingely oil seeds grown only in Ralunghy, Pentapand and part of Sirmoor. Both this Crop and Lamp Oil seeds have been fair this season. The latter product is exported to a considerable extent and the former is all used in the District.	Stationary	
No. 1164 Rumool 9th May 1856 In Cons 19 —	Rain has fallen through out the District.	The harvesting is over including cotton &c. and the Ryots are busy preparing their lands for next years crop. The Indigo Crops have been benefited by the late rain.	Have not varied.	I owaree is exported to Cuddapah and rice Imported from the Bellary District. The distress which was experienced from the want of drinking water in some Villages is at an end.

Seeds.

8664 Fort St. George 23d. May 1856

(1035)

Circular.

B/d. No. 1573

To the Collectors of Vizagapatam, Masulipatam, Cuddapah &c. &c.

Sir, The Board regret to have to notice the delay which has occurred in the transmission of your season Report for the Months of April 1856 The Government having particularly enjoined punctuality in the despatch of these Reports, as being essential to their usefulness it is hoped that your attention will be given to this particular infuture.

C.O. dated 29th Apl 1856 No. 1259.

2. The Board request that you will discontinue the use of Native terms as such words as "Pathoors" of Rain and Vyasacum Crops are wholly unintelligible to the Chamber of Commerce.

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 23d May 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes Secretary

(1036)

B/d. No. 1768.

From the Secretary to Government Dated 21st May 1856 (for information)

Read Extract Communicating the orders of Government on a letter from the Commissioner No. Circar relative to an application

8663

District and Date.

Rain fall

No. 17 Coimbatore 30th April 1856 inclos 20th May

Night rain has fallen.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 23d May 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes Secretary

Fort St. George 23d May 1856

Mode of the Cultivation

Prices whether rising or lowering or falling or Stationary.

Remarks.

Seeds have been sown in Districts Chingleput, Arcot, Salem, Coimbatore, Tanjore, Trichinopoly, Madura, Tinnevelly, Malabar, South Canara, Nellore, Cuddapah, Bellary, Kurnool, Kistna, Godavery, Vizagapatam, Masulipatam, Guntoor

Fort St. George 23d May 1856

Application from Mr H. B. Smith to rent four Villages in the Manulipatam District on progressive Rents for 10 years.

(1036-1037)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Mr No 1172

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

(1038)

Read Official Memorandum Requesting that a supply of certain blank Forms may be furnished for the use of his District.

(1038-1039)

Order thereon.

(1040)

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

Mr No 1173

From the Collector of Madras.

Read Indent on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance for Gunny Bags for the use of the Salt Department of his Collectorate.

Ordered

Fort St. George 23d May 1856

Order thereon.

Ordered that the original Indent be passed and forwarded to the Secretary Military Board.

(1040-1041)

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

(1042)

Mr No 1270.

From the Acting Collector of Canara,

Return of village Officers suspended or dismissed in March 1856.

(1043)

Mr No 1187.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Stating that no statements of Gold and silver Bullion & Specie imported and exported in the last month has been sent for want of entry.

(1044)

Mr No 1274

From the Collector of South Arcot

Statement shewing the quantity of salt in store available

available for exportation to Calcutta at each of the Ports of Export in the District of south Arcot on the 30th April 1856.

(1045)

Mr. No. 1273
From the Collector of South Arcot } Register of Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for disposal by the Collector for April 1856.

(1046)

Mr. No. 1275.
From the Collector of Madura, } Copy of the rain Report of the Madura District for April 1856. furnished to the Chief Engineer Department Public Works.

(1047)

Mr. No. 1188.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly } Average Bazar prices of grain for April 1856.

Pros.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Saturday 26th May 1856.

(1048)

Read the following Papers.

No. 509.

Dl. No. 1765
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department Dated 14th May 1856.

X X X X

Para 19 To prevent the recurrence of such irregularities, the Government resolve to direct that Heads of Departments at the Presidency, before bringing before a Police Magistrate, any case connected with Malversation in their offices, will lay the whole matter before the Honorable Company's Solicitor, and should he concur in the propriety of the prosecution, will leave the direction of the business in his hands.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

True Extract
(Signed) J. Pycroft
Chief Secretary.

(1048-1049)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Extract

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

No. 495

1 Dd. No. 1672
From the Secy. to Government
1050

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 15th May 1856.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Submitting explanation in respect to the observations of Government in Extract Minutes Consultation Dated 11th April 1856 on the Board's Proceedings of the 31st January 1856 relative to the reduction of the high rates of Assessment in the Salem District

(Here enter 28th April 1856 No. 120)

In these Proceedings, the Board of Revenue offer explanation in reference to the remarks and order of Government on their recommendation respecting the reduction of assessment to be made on the Dry lands of the Salem District.* It is not necessary that the Government should record any lengthened observations on these Proceedings, but some brief notice is called for.

* Exc. Mins. of Cons. April 11th 1856 - No. 372
† Progs. Jany. 31st 1856. No. 319

2 The first part of the order of Government noticed by the Board is para. 3, where the relative rates

Fort St. George 26 May 1856

rates of assessment in the three divisions of the Salem District where dwelt on. The Government there noticed what appeared a contradiction between the Board's statement and the figures offered in support of it; but the Board explain that their meaning was mistaken. with the aid of the present explanation, the Government now perceive that the passage in the former Proceedings bears the signification stated; but they must observe that this meaning is not very clear from the passage standing by itself.

3 Adverting to paras 7 and 8 of the present Proceedings, the Government observe that the amount of statistical information afforded in the paragraphs of the former paper there quoted, does not appear to them sufficient to justify an extensive operation on the Revenue. It might probably be asserted safely of almost every field assessed District, that a reduction of 25 per cent would be compensated in the course of some years by extended cultivation; but a general conviction to that effect would not justify Government in making such a reduction without previous care to ascertain what

what proportion of reduction might be fairly allowed in any given District with reference to the rates prevailing in other Districts; and how the reduction might be most beneficially distributed over the various lands.

4 In parags 9 to 12. the Board state their reasons for not adopting the Forms of Accounts proposed by the Collector, and advocate the plan of making the reduction of assessment depend on the length of time that land has been waste. The Government will not discuss this latter question at present. In para 12 of the order of the 11th April, they expressed the wish that the Board and the Collector should give careful consideration to the plan of reducing in a greater proportion on waste than on cultivated land, and state their views upon it in reporting again on the Salem reductions. They now request that the Board will transmit a copy of these parags. of their Proceedings to the Collector, for his consideration while deliberating on the measures to be recommended. The Collector will at the same

same time consider what means he will have of guarding against fraud, both in the entry of land as waste for longer periods than the truth, and also in applying the remissions, where that is to be done by single fields and not by classes.

5 The Governor in Council would observe lastly, that the remarks in the former orders were not at all intended to signify disapproval or censure. The Government fully recognised the care which the Board had bestowed on the subject; but after full consideration, they came to a different conclusion upon it, and it was necessary to set forth the grounds on which that difference of opinion was based.

/ A true Extract /

To The President &
Members of the
Board of Revenue

/ Signed / J. D. Pourdillon
Secretary to Govt

Order

Dd. No. 1630.

Order thereon.

(1050-1051)

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem, together with Copy of the Board's Proceedings to Government dated 28th April 1850 No. 1268.

(1052)

Dd. No. 1727

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under Date the 19th May 1850.

Desiring the Board with reference to the request of the Collector of Madras for sanction for the expence of numbering the several holdings in his District paying Quitrent to ascertain from him whether the present assessment numbers borne by the various houses and premises would not be sufficient for his object

} See No. 508 in Separate Volume R 112

(1052-1053)

Dd. No. 1592

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madras with reference to his Letter of 20th April 1856

In Cs. 5th May 56.

2 Mr. Cunliffe will observe that the Government are under the impression that his

his proposal had reference chiefly to houses and that the majority of them already bear the assessment Numbers.

3 The Board had supposed that a great number of holdings requiring to be identified with the Plans and Register are not occupied by houses; and that the assessment numbers, would consequently be unsuitable to the object in view. The Collector will however explain these points as directed by Government.

(1054)

Dd. No. 1754

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 20th May 1856

Stating why Government would wish the Collector of Trichinopoly to take up without delay one subject of the transfers of Villages from one Talook to another in order to make the latter more compact and to give them better boundary lines & Observing that should he still be desirous of postponing the interchanges, the transfers to be made hereafter may at least be determined

} See No. 511 in separate Volume P. 113

23d May 1856.

on Pension from the Establishment of the Board of Revenue
ment under date the 22d December 1835.

No.	Date of Application.	Age.	Service.	Name, Rank, Caste, Place of Residence, Nature of Infirmity, and Cause of Disability.	Amount of Salary or Allowances at the time of Application.	Amount of Pension Proposed.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Orders of Government.
				To Government Average Salary or Allowances authorized or official Allowances for Pension from the 5 years preceding the date of Application.	Salary or authorized official Allowances per Mensem at the time of Application.	Abstract of the grounds of Application.		
May 1856	2d June 1818.	10 8.	10 8.	Moottoosawmy Moodelly and nearly Blind.	5 4.		Moottoosawmy Moodelly is the Head Mooche of the Board's Office he is totally incapable for further service being old infirm and nearly blind He has borne a good character and given satisfaction to his superiors It is recommended that he may be allowed the maximum rate of pension Viz.t Rs. 5-4. per mensem being a moiety of his average pay for the last five years.	Resolved

23d May 1856. Signed J. G. S. Forbes
Secretary

8675

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

1st Dy. No. 1591

Proceedings thereon. (1054-1055)

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Trichinopoly for information and guidance

Mr. Bird will include in his yearly arrangements the proposes with regard to the Villages bordering on the Southern Talooks of South Arcot.

8676

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

No. 31.

To G. L. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir, Fort St. George

(1056)

2d Dy. No. 1382
From the Collector of Bellary — —

With reference to the 2d Para of Extract Minutes Consultations No. 240 of 1st March last Communicated for my information and guidance in Extract Proceedings Board Revenue No. 719 of 7th Idem Respecting the Component items of the sum of 7 Rupees asked for the Sundermard allowance of the Ameen Cutcherry at Ramandroog. I have the honor to state that I have Revised and Reduced the amount to Six Rupees, the Component parts of which are as follows.

37½ Seers of Lamp Oil for the use of Ameens Cutcherry at 1/4 Seer per diem and one Seer of Cocoanut Oil for Cleaning the Syzes & Pistols &ca for a Month — Rs. 2 — a — p

30 Dustahs of white paper at 1 Dustah per diem — 1 — 8 — a

Sundries &ca viz Quills, Duftur Cloths

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

Clothes sealing press &c &c ——— " -10-

2 Bottles of Ink ——— " -10-

120 Fire Sticks for the use of Peons when patrolling the Hill &c. at the Rate of 4 Sticks per night ——— 1-4-"

Total 2 Rupees 6-4-"

The Charge for fire Sticks is unusual but they seem to be necessary for the use of the police patrolling at night and passing into the low Country where they are liable to be molested with wild beasts

be procured as is usual at the Talooks Cutcherries in the low Country by supplies from the Villages without Cost to Government

The Queen at present obtains about 1/2 Rupee from the Talooks Cutcherry for Sadarward Expences, but it is quite insufficient and cannot be conveniently spared from the fund of the Talooks.

With Reference to the Management of the duties of the Sanataria, I take the opportunity of submitting a letter from the Major General

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

General Commanding the Ceded Districts in which he expresses his desire that the Sanatarium be made a Military Station subject I presume to the provisions of Regulation VIII of 1832. But I think the measure would be objectionable in many ways and not agreeable to the Rajah and I cannot recommend its adoption —

Bellary Collectors Office on Circuit at Rajahmundry 19th April 1856

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

Enclosure No. 1
No 20

To The Collector of
Bellary —

Sir,

Government having Constituted the Ramandroog a permanent Depôt for sick European Soldiers in place of Saint Thomas Mount I have the honor with Reference to the Correspondence as per Margin to Request the favor of your aid in Removing any objections which you may Consider exciot to Establishing the Sanatarium as a Military Station all that is Recommended is, that there should be Military jurisdiction over the Contonment of the same nature

My letter by your address No 59 of 10th April 1855 your letter No 114 of 11th April 1855 —

8679 Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

nature as that hitherto exercised by the Civil authority. This of Course can in no way affect either the rights or property of the Zemindars of Sundoor whose Quit rents can be Collected as hitherto before -

I beg to forward for your information a Copy of a letter I have this day forwarded for the information of the Commander in Chief on the subject -

Head Quarters &c &c &c
Ramandroog
20th February 1856

(Signed) D. Macleod
Major General
Commanding C. & D.

To The Quarter Master General
of the Army
Head Quarters
Bangalore.

Sir,

With Reference to the Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 12th January 1856 No. and subject as per margin forwarded by your office for any information on the 25th

I am, I have the honor to state for the information of the Provincial Commander in Chief that as the Sanitarium at Ramandroog is now Established as a permanent Depot for sick Europeans

A

* No. 700. Approving of the sick soldiers from Secundrabad being sent to Ramandroog instead of Saint Thomas Mount and authorizing a permanent Establishment of a Depot at the former station

8680 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

it is not important that the suggestion contained in your letter No. 814 of the 5th April 1855 "that as a Military Station the "Rules for Contonments might prevail" should be carried out - In my Reply as per margin to that letter, I had the honor to inform you that I had Communicated with the Collector of Bellary on the subject, and that while objecting to the proposed arrangement on account of the land being the property of the Zemindar of Sundoor, he had nevertheless expressed his willingness to obtain the Removal of any existing obstacles Should the Commander in Chief desire it as at that time there was a very small party of men at the Sanitarium with a prospect of their speedy Removal to Rejoin their Corps and there being no European Regiment at Bellary from which station alone sick soldiers were then sent to Ramandroog there did not appear any immediate necessity to make a further application on the subject -

No. 61 of 17 April 1855

* 1 Serjeant 12 Privates

Under

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

Under the new arrangement how-
=ever such is no longer the case -

Where European soldiers are to be
permanently located I consider it most
advisable that Military jurisdiction shd
prevail in the immediate vicinity of
their Barracks especially situated as they
are on Ramandroog where the only police au-
=rity is that of the Native Ameen whose
duties for the greater part of the year
demand his presence elsewhere - That
is a bazar Village and Ameen's Cutcherry
on the hill but in the absence of the
Ameen it becomes necessary to send
Camp followers to the low Country a distance
of 12 Miles for trial for any trivial
offence -

Regarding the objection
suggested by Mr. Pelly that the
land belongs to the Zemindar of
of Sundoor, I beg to observe that
no interference whatever with the Rajahs
Rights is contemplated. His Dustuck

Cans

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

Can be Collected as before all that is recommend-
=ed is that the jurisdiction over followers & others
who reside on Ramandroog and which is
at present vested in the Collector of Bellary
should be transferred to the Military Com-
=mandant of the Station -

Beside the foregoing there are other Reasons
why Cantonment Rules should prevail at the
Station -

The plateau on which the Barracks
have been Constructed is of limited extent
and already most of the sites adopted for building
have been taken up, it is therefore very necessary
that the Remainder should be Reserved in case
of being Required by Government for Officers
Quarters or other purposes -

Again in some instances large spaces
of ground have been taken and small houses
Constructed on them which are being allowed
to go to ruin and become uninhabitable.
The owners of such under Military Rules would
be obliged to keep them in Repair and they
would thus be available for sick Officers

I have

8683 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

I have forwarded a Copy of this letter to the Collector of Bellary with a request that he will be so Kind as to render any assistance in his power to the Removal of any difficulties which may in his opinion stand in the way of Constituting the Ramandroog a Military Cantonment in order that no time may be lost in the event of the Commander in Chief being pleased to recommend this measure for the Sanction of Government

Head Quarters C-D-
Ramandroog
20th February 1856

(Signed) D- Macleod
Major General
Commanding C-D-

(A true Copy)
(Signed) W.R- Dallas Majr
D- A- Quarter-
Master General C-D-

(A true Copy)
(Signed) C- Pelly
Collector

Resolved

8081. Fort St. George 26th May 1856

B. No. 1599
Proceedings
(1856-1857)

thereon Resolved that the letter above recorded and its enclosure be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation dated 1st March 1856 No 210.

2 The amount of Sadeerwared required for the Police Ameens Cutcherry at Ramandroog has been reduced from 7 to 6 Rupees per mensem, and the Board consider that the sums allowed for the several articles comprising it as entered in para 1 of the Collectors letter, are proper and requisite - The only item which appears to be unusual is that for fire sticks, but these seem to be absolutely necessary for the use of the Police patrolling at night and passing into the low Country where they are liable to be molested by wild Beasts -

3 The Police Ameen now receives 1½ Rupee from the Taloak Cutcherry for Sadeerwared, but this the Collector states is quite insufficient and cannot be conveniently spared from the Taloak Allowance -

4 With his letter under notice,

the

8085 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

the Collector submits one from the on Major General Commanding the Ceded Districts recommending that the Sanatorium of Ramandroog should be made a Military Contonment But as the on Government have objected to this measure in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 28th April 1856 No 431, the Board will offer no remarks on the subject beyond intimating that they agree with the on Collector in thinking that the arrange- =ment would be objectionable for many reasons - They also doubt whether the Raja of Sandoor's consent could be obtained to it. -

To No 28

1058

G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 1392
From the Offg. Agent to the Govt. at Kurnool

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the accompanying Copies of letters noted in the Margin received from Captain Nelson of Siddapoor and Pedda cheroovo in this District in regard to the Pooley Toye, and Timber and Fruit Trees and request

Dated 16th Decr 1855 No. 33
" 12 Jany 1856 No. 3
" 22 Febry 1856 No. 11
" 29th March 1856 No. 28

8080 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

request that I may be favoured with the orders of the Board of Revenue on the subject. -

Dated 23rd Novr 1854 No. 1526 Para 2. In the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under which the Lands of Siddapoor and Pedda cheroovo have been handed over to Captain Nelson, it is stated that "Captain Nelson will "be allowed to clear and Cultivate free "of all assessment for the next ten years "or until the close of the Revenue year "1865/66 the waste lands of Siddapoor and "Pedda cheroovo, but he is not to interfere "with the rights of any present occupants "or grazing contractors without making "them compensation" I think it therefore probable that the Government have not given more definite orders as to how far the right and title of Captain Nelson extends to Timber and Fruit Trees in consequence of his having omitted to enter these two items in his proposal to Government and I am the more inclined to this opinion as the granting of these two exclusive privileges involve some considerations of a weighty

nature

Fort St. George 26th. May 1855

nature and as I think that Govern=
=ment would not feel inclined to
grant to that Officer the exclusive
privilege of cutting Timber.—

3 With regard to the subject
of Tamarind Trees I beg to observe that
on the Nulla Mullah range and in the
jungles at the foot of these Hills where
Chenchoowars reside, all fruit Trees or
Jungle produce have been exempted
from taxation. The Tamarind Fruit
appears to be one of the chief means
of subsistence of the Chenchoowars, and
their enjoyment of the same has existed
from time immemorial. The produce
of the fruit Trees &c.a being the only
means of subsistence of the Chenchoowar
caste any interference would tend to
drive them to commit enormies and in
these dense Jungles it is next to impos=
=ble to control these savages according
to our Regulations. I beg therefore to
suggest that their claims may not be disturbed

4 With regard to the Poolay tax applied
for by Captain Nelson in his letter of the 12th. January
last No. 3 it rests with the Government to decide on the subject

5 The sum collected by this tax

Fort St. George 26th. May 1856

tax is inconsiderable and an opening
for great exactions should any altera=
=tion be contemplated I would re=
=commend its abolition.—

Kurnool ---
Govt. Agents Office
21st. April 1856

(Signed) J.G. Russell
Offg. Agent to the Govr.

No. 1
No. 33

To

L.P. Pannell Esquire
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George at
Kurnool

Sir

As the Tamarind crop
will shortly ripen I have cautioned
the Lombaries, Chanchuwars and Vil=
lagers to abstain from touching the
fruits which I have stated belong
to me as far as the lands of Sedda=
=poor and Peddachervoo extend.—

It seems to me proper that
I should report to you this step and
be assured of your accordance.—

How far my right and title
extends

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

extends with regard to timber and fruit trees has not been defined, I have therefore never interfered with timber cutting, my private judgment leading me to suppose that Government would not feel inclined to grant me exclusive previleges which might conflict with those accorded to all in Districts where the forests are not concerned.—

But with the Tamarind trees, the case appears to be wholly different. All Tamarind trees to the westward of the Seddapoor lands are taxed; as Tamarind topes I believe unoversally are when not private property.—

On the resumption of these lands by Circar the tamarind trees might be found to contribute a very considerable amount of income. The jungle in many parts is so absolutely a tamarind forest but being overcrowded and loaded with creepers produces comparatively speaking

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

speaking a small yield of fruit. In clearing jungle I have uniformly spared the tamarind trees and have dressed and cleared from creepers a large number.—

I purpose cultivating these trees wherever they are to be found - thinning them when overcrowded - clearing away jungle rubbish and creepers opening the soil and even in some cases manuring.—

It has hitherto been the practice for the buckalls of the neighbouring villages of purchase the tamarinds brought in by chunchuars, drivers, and any others who have felt inclined have helped themselves.—

Should you see no objection I should be obliged if you would send instructions to the Amildar of Attoor, to make it known in the neibouring villages that the tamarind crops, on the lands of Seddapoor and Reddacherooroo, belong to the person who holds the lease of those lands from Government.—

The chunchuars who have hitherto collected and sold the larger part of the tamarind crop, will still be

be employed by me to collect it and will receive from me the same amount per Candy they have been accustomed to get from the buckalls.—

In conclusion I have the pleasure to inform you that I have introduced the Egyptian Senna, sown with such large success as a Monopoly in Tinnavelly. At present I have merely a garden but next year if the plant thrives as I have reason to suppose it will, I purpose sowing somewhat extensively. It is a crop which requires much monipulation and will afford excellent employment for the Chunchuar women and children and I feel sanguine will lead in a few years to the adoption of Agriculture by all the caste who live in this neighbourhood.—

Riarlooty - - - }
December 16th 1855 } (Signed) F. Nelson.—

No 2
No 3

To

L. D. Darnell Esquire
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George at
Kurnool

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following. The Poolery tax is the rule I believe

believe in most Districts and not the exception - however that may be, it is a tax from which a large amount of revenue is derived by Government and when no abuse of power and excess is charged in the collection of it, is a very light tax indeed and unfelt by the tax payer.

The lands from Nagalooty &c above the ghats in this neighbourhood as far East as Allotta are included in one grazing farm and the contract for the same is annually sold for the benefit of Government - No poolery is charged under the ghats in this &c, District and the lands of Siddapoor about 30000 acres consisting at present chiefly of Jungle and grass lands are continually occupied by large herds, their owners taking advantage of the almost unlimited amount of grass and avoiding the poolery taxed Districts.—

I respectfully suggest that the poolery tax might be levied here also with considerable benefit to Government and without being seriously felt

by

Fort St. George 26th. May 1856

by any one. When Kurnool was a Mohomedan state no poolery was charged but a far severer tax was levied on all discriptions of cattle passing through the District. The Lombories were mulcted one rupee per gunny of salt and cattle dealers one rupee per head on their droves.

The Montgral Cumana is I understand to be opened which doubtless will make as much difference in the yearly amount of transit passing through this ghat. As a stream percolating through a narrow obstructed sluice would be encreased by widening the channel and clearing its bed.—

Should my suggestion be approved of I would propose that the amount levied on different discriptions of cattle, should be something approximating to the following.—

Bullocks	Rs. A. P. 1 — 0	per head
Cows and Cattle remaining for long periods	0 — 2 — 0	Do.
Sheep	0 — 1 — 0	Do.
Donkeys	0 — 1 — 0	Do.

and I would offer [100] one hundred Rupees for

Fort St. George 26th. May 1856

for the current year for the first contract.—

Biarlooty
January 12th/56

[Signed] F. Nelson.—

No. 3
No. 4

To
L. D. Daniell Esquire
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George
At Kurnool

Sir,

Referring to my letters No. 3 dated October 28th/55 and No. 3 dated January 12th/56 I have the honor to solicit that wherever the poolery is levied I may be considered as the permanent contractor for the period on during which Government has granted me the lease of the estates of Seddapoor and Peddacharroo.—

I have obtained the contract this year for the sum of Rupees 250 the only other bidder having tendered rupees two hundred. Up to this date there is no prospect of securing any profit by the transaction but I am nevertheless anxious for several reasons to shut out other competitors in future years.—

Owner of these estates for the time

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

barren Cows are free from tase; so that it is difficult to conceive more favorable terms to the cattle breader short of absolute immunity from any contribution to the revenues of the Country.

Bearlooty - - - }
22d February 1856 }

/Signed/ F. Nelson.—

No 8

To,
Captain J. G. Russell
In charge Govt. Agents Office
Kurnool

Sir,

As it may be some time before at Kurnool is appointed I have the honor to request that you will forward to the Board of Revenue, Copies of my letters Nos. 3 and 4 dated January 12th and February 28th together with this enclosure.—

The large number of Lombari bullocks upwards of 30,000 which annually pass thro these lands where they halt from periods varying from some days to as many weeks, and where many thousands of them are pastured during the hot season and such other times as they are not employed in the carrying trade, are a formidable disadvantage to me.—

Irrespective

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

time being I should not wish to see a stranger interfering with my flocks and demanding his tase. I have therefore the honor to repeat the offer contained in my letter No. 28 dated October 25th /55.

In regard to the establishment of poolery, on the lands of Siddapoor below the Ghats which I had the honor to propose in my letter No. 3 dated January 12th /56. I have reason to think that you might feel disinclined to any extension of poolery Districts on the score that such tase might to some degree prove a restriction and detriment to cattle breeding.—

Should this be your opinion I would respectfully remind you that all young animals under one year are exempt from the tase and supposing the life of a Bullock to be 15 years, the total amount of poolery in these Single Districts would be but one Rupee and twelve annas for his whole life time the average value of Bullocks ranging between fifteen and fifty Rupees Cow for breeding purposes being not less valuable: Moreover aged cattle and barren

J. G. R.

Irrespective of the great quantity of wat
such large herds abstract from the Tank
which is so much actual loss to myself the
herdsmen when unobserved drive their cattle
wherever it suits them, and whole fields
of grain were in this manner destroyed last
season, and where fencing has been placed
at considerable cost to protect my fields it
has in many instances been torn down an
cattle driven into the grain. In the bed of
the Siddapoor Tank this year dry, I have
more than once detected herds mew deliber
=ly grazing their cattle on chenna. —

Besides damages of the above
description great detriment arises from
the perverseness of herdsmen who not on
persist in grazing cattle on the bunds of
Tanks, but make short cuts to the wate
or from one grazing ground or road to
another over the bund of a Tank. The
bund of the Siddapoor Tank is in ruin
chiefly from this cause, and as there are
about 40 acres of wet land under the s
bund of that Tank held by Circar Ry
=tahdars who reside at Arcoor and other
villages

villages there are annual calls for funds
to repair damages inflicted by people alien
to the District and subscribing nothing ex
whatever to its revenues. —

The late Government Agent
I am inclined to believe was averse to the
establishment of poolery here considering
that it would be detrimental to cattle
breeders. —

Excepting from the few cows that
are to be found in every Lombari Camp
there are no cattle bred on these lands
our ploughs in this Talook are wholly
supplied with cattle bred in Guntoor ex
large herds of them being annually driven
down the Menteral Cumnamma from that
District for sale. —

Under these circumstances ex
having myself staked every thing in the
agricultural development of these Estates
I venture to urge that the poolery tax be
established here. As the Contractor for
the same, I should hope to realize some
compensation for the damages and losses
above enumerated and no special ad=
=vantage being longer held out I might
reasonably

reasonably hope that the Lambaries would divide their favors between this place and Peddacherovoo.—

Bearlooty — 29th. March 1856

/Signed/ F. Nelson Captain
2d. Native Veb. Battn

/True Copies/
/Signed/ J. G. Russell. Captn
Offg. Agent to the Governor

(1058-1059)

B. No. 1594

Proceedings thereon.—

Captain Russell forwards copies of four letters addressed by Captain Nelson, to the late agent. The Board observe that in the first of these letters dated 15th. December 1855. Captain Nelson intimates that he has already warned the chenchowars and others, who are in the habit of collecting the tamarind crops in the forests of Siddapoor and Peddacherovoo, that the first is his property and that they are to abstain from touching it.—

2 Captain Nelson however fully admits that the terms of the Government grant do not give him a distinct title either to timber trees or the produce of fruit trees, the right to fell timber he supposes it was never intended to give him, but from the fact that the tamarind topes in most parts of

of the country yield a Revenue to Government he has inferred that it was designed to place their produce at his disposal.—

3 As the terms of the grant are very distinct in protecting all existing rights enjoyed by the inhabitants of Peddacherovoo and Siddapoor, and as it is admitted by Captain Nelson that the chenchowars have always collected and sold the produce of the wild tamarind topes, the Board consider that he should have referred his claim for the decision of the higher authorities before he proceeded to assert it openly, as he states, he has done.—

4 At the close of the letter now referred to, Captain Nelson explains that the Chenchowars will still collect the produce as heretofore and will receive from him the same remuneration they have hitherto had from the Buckalls and Merchants with such an arrangement the Board have no reason to interfere, if it is entirely voluntary on the chenchowars part the Officiating Agent will however distinctly give Captain Nelson to understand that he possesses no right to the produce of the trees under the Government grant, and that the chenchowars may dispose

dispose of it to whomsoever they like.—

5 The remaining three letters have reference to the Poultry tax, Captain Nelson states that besides deriving pasturage from unoccupied wastes the cattle of the Lumbarees which frequent the District in vast numbers, are destructive to standing crops, fences and bunds of tanks, that in seasons of drought the supplies of water are seriously diminished by the quantities which they necessarily consume, and that on these grounds and in consideration of the trifling amount of the poultry tax as compared with the value of the stock which are reared on their pasture lands, the tax should be extended to the lands below the ghauts.

6 The Officiating agent on the other hand looks upon the tax as an occasion for exaction and therefor objectionable, while it is insignificant as a source of Revenue, he would therefore prefer its entire discontinuance.—

7 The evils complained of by Captain Nelson are no doubt seriously annoying in an undertaking such as his engage-

in, but it does not appear to the Board that they would be removed by the exctension of the Poultry tax which is in its nature open to many objections, it must be remembered also that the preservation of the cattle during seasons of drought is of vast importance to the country and that the effects of their presence must be felt wherever they may be. It will be worthwhile however to consider whether the depredation and injuries complained of by Captain Nelson cannot be checked and any means which the Officiating agent can exert for cautioning the Lumbarees and headsmen and compelling them to respect standing crops and fences he will not fail to aid Captain Nelson by putting in force.—

No 49

(1060)

To G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 1388
From the Collector
of Salem.— } Sir,

Referring to the Board's endorsement on petition No. 3114 of 1855, requesting to be informed briefly why the petitioner's tender was rejected if his application for the land

Fort St. George 26th. May 1856

land was made previous to that of his rivals, I have the honor to submit the following explanation.—

2 The petitioner states that in the year 1863 he addressed a petition to Mr. Phillips the late Collector, applying for certain waste lands under the Paadoo-yary tank, in the village of Vambattatoluv, in the Razipoor Talook, and requesting that the tank might be repaired at the expense of the Government, or that the petitioner might be allowed to repair it at his own private cost, in which latter case he prayed that the land might be given him on a favourable tenure, that Mr. Phillips endorsed the petition to the effect that he had written to the Civil Engineer to inspect the tank in question, and frame an estimate for its restoration, and that on the receipt of the Civil Engineer's reply to the above the subject of the petitioners application would be entertained into consideration; that the tank was restored, and that two

applications

Fort St. George 26th. May 1856

applications which the petitioner addressed to the present Collector for the same lands were unjustly rejected. The Petitioner therefore prays that the Collector's orders on the subject may be cancelled, and the Collector may be directed to make over the land in question to the Petitioner for cultivation.—

3 The Petitioner applied to me in June 1855 to give him two acres of land for cultivation under an old tank, situated in the village of Vambatty taluv, in the Razipoor Talook, but, subsequently to the receipt of this application, the ryots of the above village appeared in person, and protested against the land under the old tank being given to other than the inhabitants of the village.—

4 On my sending for the Curnam of the village, he stated that there were 14 acres of land under the tank, assessed at Rupees 9 4 — — — that these lands had been left waste owing to the tank requiring repair; and that since the tank had been repaired at the expense of the Government, the ryots of the village were willing and ready to bring the lands under cultivation. I therefore directed the Tashildar

of

of Razipoor to make over the land to the ryots of the village.—

5 Although the Petitioners applica-
tion was received some months previous to the date on which the ryots of the village appeared before me, and asserted their claims to the lands in question, I was of opinion that the inhabitants of the village had a better claim than a stran-
ger I therefore gave the Petitioner a final endorsement to that effect, under date the 12th September 1855, and that endorse-
ment forms one of the enclosures in the petitioners application to the Board.—

Salem Collector's Office.
On Circuit
Admon Cattah 21st April 1856.

(Signed) H. A. Britt
Collector

B. No. 1615

Proceedings thereon— Under the circumstances stated in para 4 the Board approve of the Collector's proceedings in this matter and pass the following Endorsement on Petition No. 3115 of

"The Board having enquired into the circumstances under which Petitioners' tender was rejected are satisfied of the correctness of the Collector's proceedings. They therefore decline to interfere."—

To

(1060-1061)

J. G. B.

No. 35.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

Bd. No. 1168
From the Collector of Malabar.
Requesting under circumstances stated sanction to continue the employment of the Gomastah to attend to the accounts of the chemat nairs estate in the manner hitherto adopted or as an addition to the Sub Collectors Establishment.—

Para 1. In examining into the Establishment and accounts of this District it has been brought to my notice that a Gomastah employed in preparing the accounts of the chemat Nairs estate referred to in the correspondence noted marginally, has never been sanctioned. This

From Collector	12th May 1849	No. 36
Ditto	30th June	No. 39
From Ditto	17th September 1849	"

Officer was entertained about 50 years ago on a monthly salary of 17½ Rupees and is paid from the collections of the estate.—

The

Fort St. George 28th May 1856

Collections of Annabhogum Dated 28th May 1856

Fasly	Palghaut Taluk	Palghaut Town	Gomastah from Palghaut	Total Talook	Gomastah
1	2	3	4	5	6
1260	2512 2/10 Rs 5	3153 8 5	× Rs 12½ for Palghaut	2143 8 5	2/10	2143 8 5	× Rs 12½ for Palghaut
							" 84 for Town
1261	2499 " 2	3138 9 8		2143 " 2	915-9 6	3138 9 8	" 84 for Town
							Rs 210
1262	2434 10 9	3057 7 6		2342 " 2	915 " 2	3257 7 6	
1263	2511 9 8	3152 4 3		2143 " 2	915 " 2	3057 7 6	
1264	2481 13 1	3114 6 1		2143 " 2	915 " 2	3057 7 6	
Total	12325 4 5	15465 4 5		10572 " 4	4495 " 12	15052 14 4	
Average	2465 " 0	3093 " 0		2114 " 4	899 " 0	3010 " 0	

2. The Original Statement shews the annual collections from the Chenat Estate during the 5 years.

The Gomastah is not only employed on matters connected with the Estate, but also discharges any other duties delegated to him by the Sub Collector, such as examining Crops fields &c and it has been usual to allow him when thus absent from the Kuzzoor on duty, the regulated Batta, which is charged to the Government.

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

3. I have now the honor to solicit instructions as to whether the arrangement hitherto obtaining may be continued or whether the whole of the collections from the Estate in question should be carried to account and be embodied with the general resources of the District, and I be permitted to employ a Gomastah on a Salary of 17½ Rupees, whose chief duty shall be to attend to the accounts of the escheat, but shall also be employed in such manner as the Officer in charge of the Palghaut Division shall deem proper and also when deputed on duty into the Talook shall be entitled to the usual Batta allowed to other native Servants of Similar Standing in the Departments of accounts in a Collectors Office

The Sub Collector has five Talooks under his charge, but is allowed only 2 Gomastahs. In other Districts I believe, it is usual to allow to the Sub Division a Head Gomastah and a Gomastah for each

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

each Talook. also a Royasom to the Sheristedar.—

5. The renewal of the Mortgage deeds on which Mr Robinson was employed and which is referred to in his Reports included in the above qui-fied Correspondence has not yet been Completed and the records connected with the Estate are many and intricate.

6 I hope therefore the Government may be pleased to Continue the employment of the Gomastah. if not in the manner hitherto adopted, as an addition to the Sub Collectors Establishment.

Calicut 21st April 1856 } (Signed) T. Clarke Collector

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

Bd N° 1595. Proceedings thereon.

(1062-1063)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the Consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

2. The Collector reports that it has been discovered that the Sanction of Government has never been obtained for a Gomastah who was entertained about 30 years ago on a Monthly Salary of 7½ Rupees for the preparation of the accounts of the estates in the Palghaut and Temelpooram Talooks which lapsed to the State in 1790 by the death of chenat Nair without heirs. The total Collections of the Estates during the last 5 years amounted to Rupees 16,982 — 11 — 4, and give an average of Rupees 3396 — 9 — 3¼ per annum — Deducting the pay (Rupees 210) of the Goomastah from the latter Sum the average net yearly revenue is Rupees 3186 — 9 — 3¼.—

3. The Board see no necessity for Continuing the present plan of keeping the revenue derived from these Estates distinct; They therefore recommend that the whole of the Collections be incorporated for the future with the general revenues of the District. As the Services of the Goomastah Cannot it appears be dispensed with and as the Establishment of the Sub Collector is annually ill provided the Board would also recommend that

8713 Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

that this Goomastah should be brought on the permanent Establishment as hitherto, his chief duty will be to attend to the accounts of the Estates and also to any other business which the Sub Collector may deem proper.—

4. There are only two Goomastahs to prepare the accounts of the five Talooks comprising the charge of the Sub Collector, and the addition of another Goomastah to his Establishment seems to the Board essentially necessary for the efficient performance of these duties seeing that in other Districts a Goomastah is attached to each Talook of the Sub Division

5. Should the Government approve of the proposed arrangement the Collector will be requested to submit an application in the prescribed form for the Sanction of the Supreme Government.

6. It will be seen that the renewal of the mortgage deeds authorised in Extract Minutes Consultation 12th September 1849 No. 1849 No. 868, to the holders of lands in the escheated Estates have not yet been completed, and that the records connected with this estate are numerous and intricate.

Inform 17th Sept. 1849.

1064

No. 90

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to bring to the notice

Bd. No. 1594
From the Collr of Madura.

8711. Fort St. George 26th May 1856

of the Board of Revenue the request of Valavendal a Manapaty or Messenger on the Establishment of the Members of the family of the late Mootooramalingom Saithoopaty of Ramnad who is in receipt of 1 Rupee and 6 annas per mensem to be permitted to resign her appointment in favor of her daughter Parvaty in consequence of old age and general debility.—

The Members of the family of the Saithoopaty Consent to the arrangement and I do not think the Establishment will at present bear reduction I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to procure me necessary Sanction for the arrangement.—

Madura 6th May 1856 } /Signed/ R. D. Parker Collector

Bd. No. 1586 The Board of Revenue authorize the arrangement proposed by the Collector in favor of the daughter of the present incumbent of the Valavendal.—

Proceedings thereon—

1064-1065

No. 141

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

1066

Bd. No. 1610
From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

With reference to the orders of the Board

Fort St. George 26th May 1856

Board of Revenue on Petition No. 91 of 1856 herewith returned, directing me to forward the Sub Collectors decision in the case. I have the honor to forward Translation of an Order / Copy / which forms one of the enclosures to the Petition under report / issued in the matter by the Sub Collector to the Tahsildar of the Streevilly poor or Talook under date the 11th May 1856. On reference to the latter part of it, it will be seen that the Sub Collector passed no other decision in the case than the order in question.

2. From this Order the Petitioner preferred an appeal to my Predecessor, who after perusal of all the Documents connected with the case passed the Endorsement dated the 3d November 1855 / also an enclosure to the Petition under notice / confirming the Order of the Sub Collector for reasons shewn thereon.

Tinnevelly . . . .
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Trichendoor 6th May 1856

/ Signed / J. Silver
Collector

Enclosure No. 1

Translation of an Order No. 39 issued by the Sub Collector to the Tahsildar of the Streevilly poor Talook under date the 11th May 1855.

The Account, shewing the result of

* Dated the 5th March 1849.

Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

of the examination made by the late Tahsildar into the Complaint preferred by Sashiengar and others charging the Curnum of Collencondan Village attached to the aforesaid Talook, with having fraudulently omitted to enter in the public Accounts of Fuslies 1257 - 1261 and 1262. certain Nunjah and Poonjah Cultivations &c. and the Arzees No. 717 of 1852 - 40 - 61 - 241 - 817 - 814 - 855 and 866 of 1854. and 13 - 93 - and 132 of the current year. addressed on the Subject have been gone into, with their Enclosures. From which it appears that fraud to the amount of Rupees 119 - 14 - 6 has been Committed as shewn below. Viz.t

* Dated 5th Decr 1852.

Amount of Teerwah tax on Ayen lands entirely omitted, and discovered by remeasurement in Fusly — 132 - 14

Amount of Teerwah on lands / the boundary of which is in dispute / entirely omitted and discovered by remeasurement — 108 - 7 - 9 — 240 - 8 - 1

Do of Do on Ayen lands Do Do in Fusly 1261 — 30 - 13 - 9

Do of Do on Do Do in Fusly 1262 — 118 - 8 - 8

119 - 14 - 6

On calling upon the Curnum to account for this, he states that the persons deputed to inspect the land have done him injustice by including waste land

Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

land &c. as cultivated, and that the land alleged to be in dispute, is purely Zemindary land. The Depositions given by the persons who made the investigation and the Statements on the part of the several Ryots, who were examined in the case, clearly shew that the reasons assigned by the Curnum in his defence are not at all good. By the Ryotist or Accounts furnished by Narayana Pillay, the Tamil Goomastah of the Sherma Dawy Talook, agreeably to the orders given him, on perusal of all the Documents connected with the case it appears that the disputed land stated by the Curnum to belong the Zemindar is included in the previous circar Accounts. No reliance therefore can be placed on the Curnums Statement. In the sum of Rupees 219 11 6 above referred to Rupees 82 7 7 being the amount of Kunjah Teerwah charged on Pooyal lands in Fusly 1262 is also included. But as this Pooyah land has not been irrigated to last it the Kunjah rate is inconsistent with the present Circular Orders Therefore this sum of Rupees 82 7 7 or Rupees 92 4 7 inclusive of 2 other items Viz. Rupees 2 and 7 13 entitled to remission in consequence of their being the teerwah, the former on wet land, and the latter on dry land, both found, by remeasurement made in

Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

in Fusly 1257, to be in excess of their respective Ayacut extent but falling short of the prescribed registered per centages being deducted, there remains to be recovered a balance of Rupees 219 2 2 on ayen lands and Rupees 108 7 9 on lands, the boundary of which is in dispute, or both together Rupees 327 9 11 you are therefore to realize the amount of ayen balance from the several Ryots by whom it is due, and remit the same credited to the Head of Sewoy Jummah. It does not appear that any Settlement, deciding the border-disputed land to belong either to the Government or to the Zemindar has been made. Pending such Settlement the teerwah thereon should be Collected and held in deposit. You are therefore to collect that sum and remit it to the Huzzoor Treasury to be kept in deposit. You continue the Curnum who has committed such an extensive fraud in the situation he holds, does not appear to be proper. He is consequently dismissed. You are to strike his name out of the Barawurd List of Establishment from this date. As a long time has elapsed since the occurance of the case, it has not been my opinion to have it tried under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822. Besides this one Streenevasa Iyengar, a Brahmin Ryot of the Village in question, informs that if the Fasaly Period Year Caraagoopudy actual Cultivation and Boodle teerwah individual demand accounts of the Village were examined, further frauds would be

8719 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

be brought to light. you are therefore after Puttahs are distributed, and the present Settlement concluded, to direct two Curnums of other Villages and Dundia Pillay to examine in the first instance the accounts of Fusly 1261 and 1262, and to report upon the difference if any, be discovered. accompanied by documents.

/Signed/ W. H. Levinge
Sub Collector

/True Translation/
/Signed/ J. Silver
Collector

(1066-1067)

Bd No 1615.

Encl No 2 Petition

Order thereon.— Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition [illegible] of 1856.—

"The Board of Revenue have received a report from the Collector on Petitioners case and decline to interfere in his behalf."

1068

No 76.

Bd No 1661
From the Actg Collr of Canara . . .

To. G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir.

With reference to the Board's Circular No 1172 dated 19th Ultimo, I have the honor to State for the information that I think the display of the several descriptions of Tools therein given is likely to be of Service to agricultural classes of this District as they will be enabled at any rate to compare them with those in general use and this alone may prove beneficial. With this view I have submitted an Indent on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance at Madras for the required supply, which I suppose will be furnished from the Arsenal at Cannanore

Collrs Cutcherry
Mangalore 6th
may 1856

/Signed/ W. Fisher
Actg Collector

(1068-1069 Order thereon.)

8720 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

No 73.

1070

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Bd No 1581.
From the Collector of Madras . . .

Sir,

With reference to Extract Board's Proceedings under date 4th March last No 781.

I have the honor to state that the house on the Mount Road, which I have proposed as my future Cutcherry is numbered 29.—

2. The proprietor Ahmed Ally, asks as rent 130 Rupees monthly, or 120 Rupees per mensem if taken for 10 years, or will sell it and the premises attached, for 25,000 Rupees.—

3. Thinking it as well that the Surveyor of this should value the house, he has done so, and copy of his Estimate accompanies.

4. The Board will observe a comparatively large sum put down for the land, but not I believe at an higher rate than land is really worth in that locality. The proprietor assured me that Mr Pharoah, before he purchased the house adjoining his Shop offered him 20,000 Rupees for the property now under consideration.—

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Collector

Madras,
9th May 1856.

Valuation

8721

Fort St. George, 25th May 1856.

Valuation on the present inspection of Ahmed Ally Sahb's premises, situated on the Mount Road in the Village of Triplicane.—

		Rupees	A	P.
Main Building (Brick inward.)		5110	4	3
Cook Room	Ditto	722	8	5
Stabling (2)	Ditto	421	11	8
Coach Godown	Ditto	649	5	5
Gateway (2)	Ditto	131	6	8
Compound Wall	Ditto	186	9	4
1 Brick Well	Ditto	74	"	"
Bungalow with upper room	Ditto	2,858	2	3
		10,133	14	"
Deduct 12½ per Cent		1,268	11	9
Rupees		8867	2	3

Note. The Garden contains 2 Cawnies 7 Grounds, 1169 Square feet which at the average selling rate (558 Rupees) comes to Rupees 30,517— 14— 4 but I would suggest that only half that sum be allowed. | 13,258 | 15 | 2

Total Rupees 24,125 | 15

Madras Cutchery
3d May 1856 }

(Signed) S. W. Mead
Asstt Revl Surveyor B. Dy

(True Copy)

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Collector

8722

(1070-1071)

Bd No 1596.
To the Secretary to Government
Inform 11th March/56.
dated 9th May 1856.
Recd 25th May "
No 1581.

Fort St. George, 25th May 1856.

To, The Secretary to Government.
Revenue Department

In advertence to Extract Minutes of Consultation under date 8th March last No 273, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to lay before Government the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras with the following observations.—

2. The house and ground Mr Cunliffe proposes to obtain for his Cutchery, either by purchase or on Lease, forms No 29 on the Mount Road, and is centrically situated being nearly opposite Messrs Flynn and Co's Dispensary—

3. The Members of the Board visited the premises in company with the Collector and found them well suited for the intended propose—The ground consists of upwards of two Cawnies and there are two buildings well adopted to accommodate the Collector and his Establishment besides out houses one of which would serve as the arrack Godown—

4. The property can either be purchased or rented—In the former case the sum asked is Rupees 25,000 and this price does not appear at all extravagant with reference to the value of land immediately adjoining the Mount Road in so central a part of Madras. The Board cannot however recommend the purchase since if Government wish to buy premises, a cheaper purchase might probably be made by selecting them in a less valuable, though still in a sufficiently convenient situation

5. The question then remains whether it is advisable to rent the property and the Board consider that it is decidedly so, either on a monthly rent of Rs 130 terminable at pleasure, or of Rs 120 on a lease of ten years. These terms are so moderate, that it appears the interest of Government to accept them rather than incur the expense of buying or building a suitable house, and afterwards keeping it in repair especially as a suitable Office would thus be immediately obtained for the Collector in a convenient locality.—

6. If Government take the property on lease Mr Cunliffe states that the owner would consent to insert a stipulation in the agreement, binding himself to keep the premises in repair, or allowing Government to do so out of the rent on his failure.—

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary to Govt

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th May 1856.

Fort St. George, 26th. May, 1850.

No. 39.

1072

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1697.
From the Offg. Agent to the Governor at Kurnool

Sir,

With reference to your Circular of the 6th. November last No. 2960 requesting information respecting the monthly payment of pensions, I have the honor to state that with the exception of one pensioned Tahsildar the pensioners drawing their stipends from this Treasury are principally the Members or Servants of the last Nawab's family who absent themselves rarely, and this only by permission. To ensure the regular payment of pensions monthly a notification might be circulated to the effect that in the event of any pensioner obtaining leave of absence he should appoint a Vakeel to receive his monthly stipend and himself forward each month a life Certificate signed by any authority at the place of his residence for the time being.

2. To simplify the accounts, the practice now pursued of debiting as paid any

Fort St. George, 26th. May, 1850.

any stipend unclaimed during the month and crediting the same again under the Head of deposit might be discontinued and no entry made of unclaimed stipends until actually disbursed.

Kurnool,
Govt. Agents Office
10th. May 1850.

Bd. No. 1587.
Proceedings thereon
1072-1073

(Signed) J. G. Russell Capt.
Officiating Agent to the Govr.

The Board consider the system detailed in the second paragraph of the above letter, to be irregular, and they direct that henceforth no pension be charged in account until payment has actually been made.

No. 76.

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

1074

Bd. No. 1683.
From the Coll: of North arcot

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to my letter of the 16th. February to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board

8725 Fort St. George, 26th May 1858

Board of Revenue copy of a letter of 21st Ultimo, received from Mr. King, Apothecary in charge of the Pauperpet Civil Hospital, representing the necessity of attaching two Peons to the Hospital.

2. Tho' the services of talook Peons cannot be conveniently dispensed with, I have as a temporary measure directed the Tahsildar of Caveripauk to send another Peon, to Mr. King for the above purpose, but as the services of the 2 peons till lately attached to the Dispensary appear to be so necessary, I beg to solicit the orders of the Board for their re-entertainment

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 12th May 1858.

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

Encl. No. 1

To, C. Whittingham Esqre
Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

The Police ameen of Pauperpett having brought to me a Sakeed desiring the dismissal of the two Peons employed in the civil Dispensary here, and in their

8726 Fort St. George, 26th May 1858

their stead one Peon only has been given from the Talook. I have the honor to bring to your notice - that the Dispensary having been removed to a private dwelling House from the 1st of this month, and the well known fact that not one of the Houses here have either walls or edges it is utterly impossible for one Peon to watch the Premises both night and day, without a relief - as notwithstanding the vigilance of two Peons, two of the Hospital cumblies have already been lost - opportunity at night to leave the Hospital taking away our cumblies with them -

I have been obliged to put the Servants of the Establishment under stoppages to make the cumblies good. -

There are so many other articles of Bedding &c. in constant use, such as Quilts, Palliasses, Pillows, &c. that it is impossible for me to be accountable for their safe custody without an efficient guard.

8727 Fort St. George, 26th May 1856.

guard.

I beg therefore you will be pleased to order that two Peons, may be attached to the Hospital, to admit of their relieving each other - and sleeping alternately at the Hospetal at night.

Ranneepett 21st April 1856 } [Signed] W. W. King
Apothecary in Medl Charge.

[True Copy]
[Signed] C. Whittingham
Collector

(1074-1075)
B. No. 1589.
Proceedings thereon. The Board are not prepared to recommend the re-entertainment of the two peons lately removed from the Hospital at Ranneepett, and are of opinion that the Collector should make arrangements to supply what guard may be requisite from the general establishment of the District.

(1076)
Mo No. 1147.
From Sudder Court. 13th May 1856. Read forwarding Indent for the purchase of cloth for the Peons.

8728 Fort St. George, 26th May 1856.

Peons Belts in the Civil Court of Calicut. Ordered that the following letter be sent.

To W. D. Cook Esqre
Acting Civil Judge of
Calicut

(1076-1077)
To The Actg Civil Judge of Calicut
*Indent transferred to this Office from the Court of Sudr. Adalut under date 13th Inst. (No 26 May 1856.
Mo No. 1147

Sir,
With reference to the *Indent for Cloth for the Peons of your Court. I am directed to acquaint you that the Government have delegated to the Board of Revenue the duty of disposing of such requisitions, and that your application will be attended to in due course.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May 1856.

[Signed] G. L. Forbes
Secretary

Read the following papers.

To.

8729 Fort St. George, 26th May 1856

Read the following Papers

No. 77.

To, G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

1078

Bd. No. 1741.

From the Collector and agent to the Governor at Vizagapatam

Sir,

A report on the Settlement of this District for the financial year ending the 30th April has been sent to the Commissioner of the Northern Circars agreeably with his requisition. I now beg to know whether it is expected that a duplicate shall be sent to the Department of the Board of Revenue.

Vizagapatam Collector's and Government Agents Office 14th May 1856.

[Signed] P B. Lindlett
Collector & agent to the Govt.

Bd. No. 1590.

Proceedings thereon. The Board do not require a duplicate of the report referred to in the letter recorded above

1078-1079

Read again List from the Collector of Madras dated 14th and entered in Cons. 17th May 1856 of letters addressed to the Bd. and remaining unanswered to the 31st March 1856.

1080

Bd. No. 1606

Proceedings thereon. The Collector is requested to omit, in future lists his letter of the 20th December 1855 respecting the land applied for by Mr. Norton, as the communication, on the 30th January 1856, of the orders of Government of the 22d idem, disposed of the subject.

CR.

Read

8730 Fort St. George, 26th May 1856

Read the following Papers.

No. 87

To. G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

1081

Ad. No. 1721.

From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 3214, dated 6th December 1855 and to my letter of the 29th Ultimo, to forward for the information of the Board of Revenue, Copy of a letter received from Captain Johnston. LD. Engineer, promising to forward for my consideration a Sketch plan of the Talook boundaries, as he would propose to fix them.

2 Even had all the expected reports on the Subject been received from the Tahsildar of the different Talooks, which is not the case, I should consider it highly desirable that the deliberate opinion of the District Engineers on a point intimately connected with questions of irrigation should be considered before a final Settlement of the matter is made.

But

3 But as this course will entail delay from the want of present leisure on the part of the District Engineer, I would beg again to commend to the consideration of the Board my proposal for transferring at once the Poongamoor Estate to this District.

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor
16th May 1856.

/ Signed / C. Whittinghame
Collector.

No. 1.

To,
C. Whittingham Esqre
Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of No. 177 dated 29th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that I have no suggestions to offer at present regarding the transfer of Villages from one Taluk to another but will, when time permits, forward for your consideration a Sketch plan of the Taluk boundaries as I would propose to

to fix them.

District Engineers Office,
Camp Arcot.
3d May 1856.

/ Signed / C. C. Johnston
Dy Engineer

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / C. Whittingham
Collector.

1081-1082

Bd No. 1588
Proceedings thereon

The Board observe that the orders of Government for the transfer of the Poongamoor Zemindary from the District of Cuddapah to that of North Arcot were communicated to Mr Whittingham under date the 16th May 1856.

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

1083

Bd No. 1729
From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th Instant No. 1159 intimating that the Sanction of Government for the extra Establishment therein alluded to was communicated to this Department on the 8th Instant, I have the honor to state for their information that no letter bearing upon

8733 Fort St. George 26th May 1856

upon the subject has been as yet received at this Office, and requests that I may be favoured with a Duplicate Copy of their Proceedings conveying the Sanction in question.

Chingleput District Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Publicat 17th May 1856.

/ Signed / C. I. Stubbs
Collector

Order thereon (1083-1084)

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 175

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1085)

After No. 999 From the Collector Sea Customs Madras

Sir

I have the honor with reference to para 4 of my letter dated 28th Ultimo to report that one of the men therein alluded to, has been discharged from the Native Infirmary, and the other, an old man, who has had his hip fractured is gradually recovering.

Sea Customs House Madras 19th May 1856.

/ Signed / W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

8734 Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

Bd's No. 1597

Proceedings thereon (1085-1086)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

The Collector of Sea Customs has been duly called upon to furnish the information required by Government in para 2 of Extract Minutes Consultation dated 12th May 1856. No. 2185.

Consns. 19th May 1856.

No. 96

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1087)

Bd's No. 1800 From the Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to state with reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th Instant No. 1387, and to the orders of Government which accompanied it, that I have been unable to find on the Records of this Office any Standing orders or Rules on the Subject of the recovery of losses caused by deficiency or counterfeit coins in remittances of money; but I beg to state that it has been the invariable practice

in

in this District to hold the Shroffs responsible for such deficiencies, and to realize from them the amount of either a deficiency or of Counterfeit Coins discovered to in their Cash receipts or remittances.

South Arcot Collector's Cutcherry Cuddalore 20th May 1856

(1087-1088)

[Signed] A. Ball
Collector.

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

No. Resolved that the following letter be despatched

(1089)

To The Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 23rd October 1857 No. 1169, and to the Copy of the Despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors, dated 25th August of the same year, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Abstract Statement exhibiting in one view the transactions in the management of the Salt Revenue of the

To The Secretary to Government

Reporting on the transactions of the Salt Department of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1266.

Abstract Statement

the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1266.

Store. 2 The balance of Salt in Store in the 11 Coast districts at the commencement of the fusly was Indian Maunds 85, 81, 628-13-11 and the quantity Stored during the year including Gain by measurement Indian Maunds 67, 05, 172-38-11/14 making a total of Indian Maunds 152, 80, 831-12-9/14

Sale. 3 The quantity of Salt Sold in the several Districts during fusly 1266 (including wastage Indian Maunds 1, 21, 891, 17-6) was Indian Maunds 60, 75, 991-29-10/14 the particulars of which are as follows

For consumption within the Madras Territories	32,97,210—28—13-1/14
For transport into Native States	1,27,805—11—10
To the French Government	1,69,38—0—0
For export on requisition from Bengal Government	21,69,38—19—13
For export on private trade	1,10,167—39—8
Wastage	1,21,891—17—6

4 The wastage entered in the present Statement is much below that of the preceding fusly but the loss from this cause which occurred in

Vizagapatam. Guntoor Nellore Chingleput. Tinnevelly and Canara.

in the Districts noted in the Margin, has not been given for fusly 1264, and will be included in next year's Statement after the Sanction of Government has been obtained for writing it off the accounts.

Balance

3 The balance of Salt on hand at the close of the fusly was Indrain Starndo Qrs 10,539 22.15.

Gross Rev.: & Charges.

6 The Gross revenues derived from the sales of Salt in Fusly 1264 amounted to Rs 54,15,726. 5. 10. and the charges of every description exclusive of recoverable advances to Rs 9,32,716. 10. 4.

Net Revenue

7 The net Revenue amounted to Rs 45,83,179. 13-6; and exceeded that of the previous Fusly by Rs 1,25,909 0 7 There was an increase in all the districts excepting Masulipatam, Tanjore, Tinnevelly, Canara and Madras. The decrease in Masulipatam is attributed to the wholesale Traders resorting to the places of manufacture in Guntoor in preference to that district; in Tanjore it was caused by our excess in the charges and by the alteration of the termination of the Fusly: in Tinnevelly it was partly owing to limited sale on account of inland consumption, and partly to the

Fusly 1263 Rs 43,57,370-14-11
1264 " 44,83,179-13-6
Increase 1,25,909-0-7

× Note. This alteration has of course affected all the Districts.

Cutes

Sales from 1st to 11th July not having been brought to account as was the case in the preceding year; in Canara it was in consequence of the admission of Goa Salt by Sea under authority of Government dated 20th June 1854; No 711 and in Madras it was chiefly owing to the Severity of the past Season and to the diminished resort to Madras of traders from the interior.

Jul 6 July 1854

8 Except in Canara there has been no change in the price of Salt during the year; in that district the price was reduced for home Salt in the Northern and Southern Districts to Rs 105 and 112-8 per garce respectively in order to compete with Goa Salt imported by Sea under the orders of Government dated 20th June 1854 No 711 considering that the year for which this Statement is rendered ended on the 30th June, and that the Sales are consequently for a period less by 11 days, than in the previous year's Statement; the results of the Salt Revenue for Fusly 1264, are satisfactory as the causes which led to these results will be fully explained in the Board's General Report on the Land and Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1264, no further remarks seem requisite on this occasion.

Jul 6th July 1854

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1855

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

Fort St. George,

26th May 1856.

...ance of Salt at the beginning of the year the Quantity Manufac-
...tured and Sold during the Year and the Balance in Store at its close

...day of ... the ... ment ...	Quantity Manufactured during the year including Sonnie by Measurement:	Total	Quantity Sold						Total Columns 3 to 10
			Quantity Sold for consumption within the Madras Territories	Quantity Sold for transportation across the Frontier for the supply of the native States in the interior	Quantity Sold to French Government	Exportation by Sea Under requisition from Bengal Government	Exportation by Sea On private account whether to Calcutta or other places	Wastage	
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.	Mds. S. C.
...1	8 393,701 12	1 8,33,281 10 12	2,38,490 3 17	2,91,871 " "	" " "	" " "	31,040 " "	23,142 8 "	5,87,546 17 12
...10	4 5,66,010 13 "	3,46,590 23 1	1,73,503 38 "	1,693 20 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	177,697 18 "
...5	8 219,017 12 8	10,15,796 18 "	188,729 11 "	8,175 20 "	1,41,0 " "	157,700 " "	193,150 " "	35,272 20 "	5,80,168 11 "
...22	" 379,575 " "	13,03,965 22 "	61,471 20 "	154,231 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,115 21 "	226,841 1 "
...12	" 280876 32 "	127,981 20 "	35,983 28 "	" " "	" " "	188,694 20 1	43,148 " "	" " "	6,33,719 18 11
...19	10 911,281 38 12	20,59,968 18 6	345,222 38 10	57,954 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	360,300 20 12¼
...28	" 478,062 21 ¼	12,29,813 9 ¼	165,941 21 ¼	160,299 " "	" " "	" " "	26,369 39 12	" " "	203,131 18 2
...11	" 207,771 37 2	9,47,832 37 2	474,345 21 6	25,309 20 "	32,718 " "	9,999 39 12	3,999 39 12	18,758 12 ¼	1,97,800 6 "
...21	" 715,423 5 "	12,30,565 29 "	1,70,961 33 "	" " "	10,800 " "	" " "	2,160 " "	13,875 12 "	399,199 20 "
...20	" 537,267 4 "	7,05,739 20 "	387,780 " "	270 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,119 20 "	317,095 31 11
...24	" 623,410 6 "	9,63,772 30 "	317,095 31 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	357,949 6 ½
...14	14 673838 39 5	13,61,262 14 3	216,826 30 4	141,117 18 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	303,920 20 ¼
...37	2 387,705 18 4	60,8,223 15 6	302,946 20 4	57,954 " "	2,000 " "	" " "	" " "	1,020 " "	82,057 ¼ 2
...32	" 908,606 2 8	14,89,367 33 8	123,430 20 "	3,805,21 20 "	" " "	207,731 " "	103,110 " "	7,258 ¼ 2	
...13	14 673,172 38 11¼	1,52,86,331 12 9¼	32,97,210 28 15¼	1,027,808 " 7	16,938 " "	571,628 19 13	2,10,1,67 39 8	121,891 17 0	807,399 29 10¼

...as on the 1st ... 1838.

31084
400
35904

982096

8710

Fort St George

with the financial Results of this Source of Revenue for ..

26th May 1856

Fasly 1264

Balance in Store at the close of the year or difference between columns I and II	Gross Revenue derived from the Sale of Salt in Column II	Deduct charges of all Description exclusive of recoverable advances.	Net Revenue Fasly 1264	Net Revenue Fasly 1263	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Mds. S C	Rs. As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	
243,738 5 "	527,342 1 "	22,684 8 "	304,657 9 "	311,342 1 8	193,313 3 4	" " "	
167,893 3 4	177,637 7 4	7,507 11 4	170,129 12 "	136,641 1 11	13,688 10 4	" " "	
105,328 7 4	217,973 14 "	29,022 1 4	218,961 12 8	134,467 12 1	63,484 " 7	" " "	
127,124 21 "	215,725 8 4	16,228 10 4	199,496 13 8	213,540 13 3	" " "	16,143 15 7	
3,884 36 "	46,245 3 "	27,401 11 4	30,839 5 8	31,573 9 7	118,263 12 1	" " "	
11,26,218 39 11	14,8,517 7 4	92,440 7 8	85,076 12 8	31,225 11 4	6,851 4 4	" " "	
803,512 28 4	315,929 8 5	67,236 6 8	248,693 1 9	39,783 15 6	208,909 2 4	" " "	
82,701 24 "	206,400 3 "	19,987 13 3	126,412 3 7	102,153 10 "	24,228 9 7	" " "	
7,32,765 24 "	472,110 13 8	100,678 6 9	371,332 6 8	141,636 1 10	" " "	40103 11 4	
306,210 " "	399,139 8 "	71,809 14 7	327,329 9 5	267,981 13 "	59,324 12 5	" " "	
618,674 38 5	317,095 12 8	59,149 5 5	237,946 7 3	79,162 8 5	" " "	33,215 15 2	
103,313 7 15	31,11,88 11 3	19,672 8 9	231,816 2 8	331,264 9 1	" " "	96,448 6 7	
214,104 35 2	31,2030 " "	113,360 6 "	232,089 10 "	227,171 15 5	5,617 10 7	" " "	
67,310 21 8	134,070 3 10	136,160 2 "	397,908 1 10	149,692 1 11	" " "	51,784 " 1	
92,10,839 22 15	50,15,725 3 10	92,32,146 6 4	4083,179 15 8	3157,570 14 11	693,608 1 4	267,696 " 9	
					267,696 " 9	Net Decrease	
					425,909 " 7	Net Increase	

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

8711 Fort St. George, 26th May 1856

Bd. No. 1786

From the Secretary to Government.

1090 20th May 1856. Read Extract — communicating to the Collector of Ganjam a letter from the Quarter Master General of the army relative to the difficulty experienced in equipping a detachment of H. M. 2 ordered to proceed on field service from Russulcondah, and instructing him that in cases of emergency like the present — there must be no hesitation in procuring carriages required for the Force that may

1090-1091 be employed.

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

1092 Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

Mis. No. 1224

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home

1093 and Inland consumption and from 1st May 1853 to 30th April 1856.

Mis. No. 1222

From the Collector of Salem. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1856.

From

8712 Fort St. George, 26th May 1856

Mis. No. 1223

From the Collector of Salem. List of letters received from

1094 addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered

1095 upto 31st March 1856.

Mis. No. 1225

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of the value

1096 of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie — imported by Sea in April 1856.

Mis. No. 1226

From the Collector of Canara. Statement of the value

1097 of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie imported by Sea in April 1856.

Mis. No. 1227

From the Collector of Canara. Reports that the returns

1098 of Bullion and Specie exported by Sea in blanks for April 1856.

Mis. No. 1280

From the Collector of Nellore. Reporting that no persons were tried or in confinement under

1099 Regulation IX of 1822 during April last.

Mis. No. 1277

From the Collector of Nellore. Return of Village Officers suspend-

1100 ed or dismissed under Regulation XI of 1831 during the Month of April 1856.

Mis. No. 1278

From the Collector of Nellore. Acknowledging the receipt of printed forms of Salt Rowannahs

From

8743 Fort St. George 26th May 1856.

1101

Mr. No. 1283

From the Collector of Ganjam. Account Current of Salt

1102 for April 1856 Fusly 1265.

Mr. No. 1282

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Account current of Salt

1103 for April 1856. Fusly 1265.

Mr. No. 1281

From the Collector of South Arcot. Account current of Salt

1104 for April 1856. Fusly 1263

Mr. No. 1284

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Rain report for

1105 March 1856.

Mr. No. 1283

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Rain report for

1106 April 1856.

Mr. No. 1279

From the Collector of Madras. Statement Shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta at each of the Ports of Export in his District on the 15th of May 1856.

Read

L.R.

8744 Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Thursday the 27th May 1856.

Read the following Papers.

1107

Br. No. 1601.

From the Secretary to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Works Department dated 8th May 1856.—

Directing the attention of the Chief Engineer to certain points with reference to the proposal and Estimates submitted by him for restoring the Nerinjepett anicut, and excavating Channels for the irrigation of parts of the Salem and Coimbatore Districts. (See No. 667 in separate Volume—) P. 114

1107-1108

Order thereon— Ordered to be recorded, the Board will await the receipt of a communication from the Chief Engineer—

No. 57.

To, B. Cunliffe Esqr.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

1109

Br. No. 911.

From the Asst. Collr. of Nellore

I have the honor to acknowledging receipt

8745

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

receipt of Eoctract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 19th Current, to state that as the Woodiagherry Jaghire itself was held by the late Jaghiredar on a life grant tenure only any grants of Enams which he may have made must be considered as dependant upon his life and ceasing with it - as seems to have been enforced up to this time -

2. The several Enams however in the Petitioner's case under reference are represented to have been granted by the late Jaghiredaris ancestors and merely continued by him -

3. The Eoctract from the Enam Committees reports alluded to in Para 2 of the Proceedings under reference are herewith forwarded -

Nellore District,
Collector's Office,
Laboor 5th March
1855 -

(Signed) J. A. Ratliff
Acting Collector.

No 1

8746

Fort Saint George 27th May 1856

No 1

Metta 16 goroos and Maganey 2 Roons The Cauca goddaru Enam consisting of the above was bestowed on P. Nurong Row. In the accounts prepared by the Curnums at the begining of the Fusli, the Metta land alone is entered - That this Enamdar had both the metta and maganee appears from the Joghiredars Summahbundy accounts on enquiry of the Curnums why they did not enter the Maganee they replied that this field has not been in enjoyment for the last 20 or 30 years. that they heard from their elders that it was enjoyed before that time and that they forgot to enter it at the time the Jaghire was Fusliied. Of the 6 goroos of Metta Manniem, 2 goroos of Metta Maniem 2 goroos belonged to the Circar which the Enamdar included with his Maniems and one of the two

goroos

Fort Saint George 27th May 1856.

goross which was cultivated was in-
cluded in the Settum in Fusly 1260 by
V. Soobarow. In that fusly this like all
other Mauniems was stopped. This
information is given by complamants.

From the result of the en-
quiry which has been made as well as
from the documents submitted by the
Enamdar, it appears that the 6 Gross
were Mauniem and that the Magany be-
came unfit for cultivation from
having been left waste for many years.
In the event of this field being prepared
for cultivation, it appears proper
that the 2 Tooms of Magany and 6 gross
of Metta should be contenued as Mauniem

October 28th
1845

P. Poolla puntaloo Mauniem consisting
of 3 1/2 was granted to V. Soobarow. This
Mauniem was not registered by the
Curnums at the time of Fusly Nursingrow
the Son of Soobrow complained to the Collector
that

Fort Saint George 27th May 1856

that during his absence from the Village he
was unable to look after the Mauniem
and it was therefore given on Durkhast
to a Ryot for cultivation and submitted
the documents in his posession. The Col-
lector thereupon ordered that the proceeds
thereof should be Kept in amount until
the matter be decided. He therefore now
prays that the Mauniem may be continued
to him.—

From the enquiry which has been instituted,
it appears that P. Poolla puntaloo the maternal
grandfather of Nursingarow held this Mauniem
that he gave it, to send Nursinga Row's father
that it was enjoyed for a few years; that
in consequence of the absence of the Enam-
dar before the Fusly, it was out of en-
joyment for a few years that after the
Fusly the Curnums forgot to enter it as
unenjoyed Mauniem, that this field was
given to a Ryot on Durkast that on Nursing
Row complained in consequence of which the
proceeds

Fort Saint George 27th May 1856

proceeds were placed in deposit.—

It therefore appears proper to us that this Mauniem is an ancient one, and should be continued to the Enamdar.

30th August 1855

№ 2

P. Nursinga Row an inhabitant of Oodiagherry presented a petition to the Hoozoor / № 2353 / stating that he held Maganee of 12 kooms grain in the Village of Atmacoor; that the Jaheredar being a man of might took possession of said Mauniem, and caused it to be cultivated with his personal cummattam lands; that in consideration of Petitioner's claim he was allowed grain from the Oodiagherry Granary and that no justice was rendered Petitioner during the enquiry held concerning the Village of Atmacoor. This Petition was referred to the Committee for enquiry On instituting an enquiry regarding this matter the Petitioner deposed that the Suva

Fort St George 27th May 1856

Suva Enam consisting of Maganee 12 kooms grain is to the north of the Village at the field called Naradoo Mauniem; that in the days of his father, before Fusly 1210 the Jaghiredar for a few years took possession of said Mauniem and caused it to be cultivated with his Cummattam lands; that since that time the Jaghiredar gave grain in lieu from the Oodiagherry granary that before that time the said Mauniem was in the enjoyment of Petitioners ancestors, that they for few years caused the Mauniem to be cultivated by Ryots and enjoyed it; that Petitioners father died after Fusly 1210 that after his death the grain was continued to be given from the granary; that the accounts of the granary will show that such grain was paid him; that he submitted two documents of Fusly 1210 and one of Fusly 1223 to the Enam committee at Atmacoor that he has now submitted copies of said documents; that he submitted the Pakeed of Fusly 1215

registering

registering the Mauniems in Atmacoor, Goomparla-
-paud and Annaloor in his name, to the Comittee
at Goomparalapaud; that he has submitted
two papers of Fusly 1215 and 41 regarding the
grain paid him for the Mauniem at
atmacoor.

He has submitted copies of these
foregoing Documents.

The parties who conducted
the business of the granary in the time of the
Jaghiredar having been written to for
accounts regarding the payment of grain
to Petitioner a few were forwarded by them
On looking over said accounts payment of
Grain appear to have been made in Fusles
1217-28-30-32-34 and 41 The grain was paid
in quantities not less than 1 Pootty and not
more than 3 pootties.

The pedda Caupoo of atmacoor
deposed that he is about 70 years of age
that he has been the pedda Caupoo for
about

about 40 years: that he does not recollect that the
claimant ever enjoyed Mauniem in the village
that he does not know what they may have
enjoyed theretofore that he was not aware of grain
having been paid from the Cadiagherry granary
that the land claimed by the enamdar has
been included in the Circar Cummatum for
40 years: that afterwards it was cultivated
by Ryots for the Circar that up to the time
of the Zuft of the Jaghire for 4 years back
the said land was included in the Jaghiredars
cummatum that after the Zuft the land
was given on puttа.

The Village Curnum
states that he is 45 years of age, that he
is ignorant of the claimant having Mauniem
that he gave a full Statement of all the
mauniems of the Village to the Hoozoor
Cutcherry, the Enam commettee and
Talook Cutcherry that he does not recollect
of his having been questioned before about the

Mauniem

under preference-

It does not appear that any entry was made of this Mauniem being held by the parties in question at the time the Jaghire was granted. To the assertion of Petitioner of his father having cultivated the Mauniem for a few years previous to Fusly 1211, there is no one to speak to the fact there being no one who is acquainted with the affairs of that period nor can the truth regarding the case be ascertained from the present Carnoos and Curnums. From the copies of the documents submitted from the summud submitting regarding his Mauniem at Goomparalapaudoo and from the granary accounts, it appears Petitioner did hold Mauniem. In the copy of the summud of Fusly 1215, it is stated that the Mauniem in Atmacoor, Goomparalapaud and annaloor are registered in the name of Petitioner Nursinga Row.

In

In this Copy the Mauniem at Atmacoor consisting of 12 tooms grain Naganee land is mentioned. From this it is seen that Petitioners ancestors held this Mauniem that a few years ago the Jaghiredar took the land and cultivated it on his own account and that he gave grain in lieu - The Summabundy accounts of this Village were forwarded at the time the Mauniems were enquired into the circumstance of Petitioner's father having held this Mauniem must have been entered in the accounts of a few years previous to Fusly 1210. This will be ascertained if the accounts at the Hoozoor be examined. It has come to light that the Jaghiredar was in the habit of taking possession of the best lands of ancient Mauniems and including them in his Cannattums getting them Cultivated and giving the Enamdars more

or

or less of grain for a time and then dis-
= continuing it in to to consequently there is
ground to think that he has done this in
Petitioners case-

From all the foregoing circum
=stances it appears that Petitioner held a
Mauniem of 12 toomes grain and that the
Jaghiredar included the land in his Co
Camattam and gave him grain in lieu-
It is solicit that this case may be taken
into consideration and orders issued as
continuing the Maganee Mauniem of
12 tooms to Petitioner. The depositions as
together with the documents submitted
and the granary accounts are herewith
forwarded-

March 1856.

Bo. No. 1612.

Proceedings thereon- . The Board observe that the
(1109-1110) Woodiagherry Jaghire was held by the Co
late Exc. Jaghiredar on a life grant and
his alienations or grants of portions have
heretofore

heretofore been held as valid during his life
time only. The Acting Collector has not stated
on what conditions the Jaghire was held by the
late Jaghiredar's ancestors, nor whether their
alinations have been recognised and continued
by the British Government-

2. Before a recommendation can be
made to Government for the restoration of
the dry Enam (considered by the Acting Co
Collector to be proved to belong to Petitioner)
information will be required on these points,
and it would be desirable to know why the
recommendation of the Enam Committee was
not acted on, at the time.

3. The documents on which the Co
claim is founded should also be specified-

No. 95.

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

(1111)

Bo. No. 2701.

Sir,

From the Collector of Cuddapah, Para. 1. With reference to the Endorsement on

on Board's Petition No. 79 of 1855. I have the honor to state that from a communication† received from the Acting Collector of Madras it appears that Syed Mahomed Khan Bahadoor died on the morning of the 21st November 1853 and that further the Collector of Tanjore states in a letter* to the Acting Collector of Madras that one of the parties is "as far as can be ascertained the "legal representative of the deceased being the "son of his younger Brother. His name is Syed "Khader Hoosain. The other named Roosham "Allekhan is merely a foster son of the deceased.

† dated 6th January 1854

* dated 29th Dec. 1853.

2. The parties not having preferred any application to me claiming the Pension nor notice was taken of the subject heretofore. Neither am I now prepared to report on the case of the applicants owing to their absence. Should however Syed Khader Hoosain be proved to be the nephew of the late Pensioner, it will be at the option of the Government as stated by the Secretary to the Board of Revenue in his letter† to the Chief Secretary to Government whether the Peonials is

† dated 11th July 1825.

is to be resumed entirely or continued in part to the legal representative.

The Petitions are herewith returned Nos. 79. 918. and 1287 of 1855.

Cuddapah, Collector's Office, 17th September 1855. } (Signed) Mo: Murray Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(1111-1112)

To The Collector of Cuddapah.

B. No. 1628.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 17th September last, stating your inability to report fully on the claims of Syed Cawder Hoosain and Rufsin Khan to the Pension enjoyed by the late Syed Mahomed Khan Bahadoor in consequence of their absence from the District, I am desired by the Board to inform you that they have directed these persons to appear before you or to send their Vakeels.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition Nos. 79. 80. 81. and 3273 of 1855 and 1299 of 1856.

"Petitioners are informed that they must appear

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

appear either personally or by Agent before the Collector of Cuddapah who is unable in their absence, to inquire into and report on their claims.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May 1856.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes.
Secretary.

No. 15.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

1113

B. No. 974

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 3d Instant forwarding Copy of a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam, and to state that no surplus articles such as are described by Mr. Lushington were found with the Iron Safe sent for use in this District.

Rajahmundry District,
Collector's Office,
Dracharam, 18th March 1856.

(Signed) N. Purvis.
Collector.

Order thereon.
1113-1114

Ordered to be recorded.

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

No. 31

1115

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

B. No. 1247
From the Collr. of Malabar

Sir,

Fort St. George

With reference to the Circular

Forwarding with reference to the Circular from Government Dated 24th Ultimo Copy of a letter from the Joint Magistrate & Submitting certain recommendation regarding the Palghaut, Ternul from & Chowghaut Heads of Police.

of Government of the 24th November last I have the honor to state that I received on the 21st Ultimo a letter from the Joint Magistrate Reporting on the Police of the Sub Division In the Course of his Remarks Mr Collett observed that "with Regard to the "Heads of Police and the Sub officers, "I think their general Character is "very fair for this Country. The Kootnaad "Talook having been only very lately "added to the Sub Division, I do not "include the officers of that Talook "Two or three of the best Police officers "have also been removed from the Sub "Division in the Course of the year under "Report - Of the Remainder the majority "of them are, I fear more or less readily "accessible to Corruption, though there

are

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

"are some bright exceptions as Regards
"both integrity as well as intelligence,
"of the Sirdar with the full powers
"of a Police officer three are thought
"below the average of efficiency two
"of them being I consider very greatly
"deficient in this Respect."

2 I deemed it Right to call on
Mr. Collett to name the three Heads
of Police alluded to by him and to
Request he would at the same time
State whether he considered it desir-
able for the good of the Service to
bring the Conduct of these officers
to the notice of higher authority in order
that their places might be filled by
more fitting men.

3 In Reply I have received
the accompanying Communication
dated 6th Instant.

4 The Colony has Thirteen
was in charge of the Taluk of
Calicut when the Moplah mur-
ders perpetrated and from all I can
gather he displayed not only a great

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

great inefficiency but sad pusillanimity
though the murder was took place about
9 in the evening and the Head of
Police was duly apprized of it soon
after he did not make his appearance
till 6 the next morning and was so
paralized with fear that he was
unable to act at all. He failed to
accompanying the Detachment of troops
that went out from Calicut and
Rendered no aid or service on the
occasion. It appears to me therefore
necessary for the good of the service
that he should resign his appoint-
ment and retire on the pension to
which he is from length of service
entitled and I beg accordingly to
Recommend that the proposal made
by Mr. Collett in the Conclusion of
the 3d paragraph of his letter be sanction-
ed and that the Cheroongtah Tashil-
dar may be allowed to vacate his
office on the 12th May in anticipation
of his Pension.

Venkana Row

The

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

5 The manner in which his Police duties are Conducted by the Head Police officer of Tennalpoor=

Appanayen

=ram have on more than one occasion called for remarks from the Courts and the manner in which his Cases are got up and formerly these him to be deficient in the power of appreciation of evidence base in Record=ing it and inattention to orders in regard to the transmissions of parties=

6 Adverting to the Character of the people of this District and the extent of Crime it is of the first im=portance that our Heads of Police should be active intelligent and efficient officers. Energy is shortly needed and in this point in her appears to be more than ordinarily deficient. I must recommend therefore that the Sub Collector be authorized to offer Appanayen a situation of Talooks. Shirestadar or any other appointment of equal emolument to

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

the account department and that in the event of his declining these that he be allowed to retire on a Reduced Pension equivalent to the period of his servitude say 1/3 or 1/4 of the average salary enjoyed by him during the last 5 years=

7 On the Tennalpooram Talooks becoming vacant by the retirement of Appanayen it will be Competent to Rr. Collett to appoint to it Nanoo Ayen the Tahsildar of Palghaut the salary of both offices being equal. The efficiency of this Tahsildar as much as it has come under my observation ranks low. In a Case of an grain riot in Palghaut he evinced great neglect of duty and want of judgment, and I attribute to his want of Zeal and perhaps fear the escape of several of the Culprits. Instead of being present and endeavoring to quell the disturbance he kept out of the way and did not make his appearance

till

8765. Fort St George 27th May 1856

till long after the Rioters had dispersed.

Calicut
9th April 1856

(Signed) T. Clarke
Collector

No 1
No 115

To The Magistrate of Malabar
Calicut.

Sir,

In reference to para 3 of your letter of the 24th Ultimo I have the honor to state that the three Tahsildars to whom I alluded are the Cherumghaut Tenalaporam and Palghaut Tahsildars the former are those to whom I referred as peculiarly deficient as Police officers.

2 I have now the honor to forward an application from the Cherumghaut Tahsildar for a pension, on the 11th May next he will have served 35 years. He is peculiarly deficient in energy and vigour as a Police officer and it was in account of the absence of these qualities that I thought it necessary to remove him from the Calicut Taluk to Cherumghaut after the murder of the late Mr Conolly as I was aware that

8766 Fort St George 27th May 1856

that he had served nearly 35 years, I did not think it necessary to take any further notice of the general opinion that he had not been so energetic as he might have been on that occasion, I merely intimated to him that it was advisable that he should apply for a Pension.

3 Though he has for 22 years been a Head of Police officer, he has never had any Reputation for skill or tact and is notoriously wanting in energy. Age and bodily infirmities have increased these defects and in my opinion it is very undesirable that he should be allowed to remain any longer in charge of a Taluk. As he has Referred to this point I regret to be forced to observe that he does not enjoy any Reputation for integrity but quite otherwise, I apprehend that the Rule Regarding Retirement after 35 years service was intended to meet such cases as his and I beg to recommend that he be granted the usual

Fort St George 27th May 1856

usual pensions from the 12th May
I think it by no means desirable to
extend to him the indulgence of continu-
-ing to hold office till the pension is
sanctioned the usual arrangement
should I think be made from the
12th May by giving the Tahsildar a
leave of absence and appointing a
person to act for him—

4 With regard to the Tennul-
-poorum Tahsildar there is more
difficulty in making arrange-
-ment as he has not served his ap-
-prenticeship But he is still more manifestly
deficient as a Head of Police officer
I beg to submit a statement of his
services from which it will be seen
that he has been 32 years in the
public service but was appointed
as Tahsildar only in December 1853.
Mr Conolly twice objected to the re-
-nomination of him by my predecessor
but as the point was adhered to
to be ultimately acquiesced, instead
thereto I believe greatly, if not
solely

Fort St George 27th May 1856

solely from a consideration of the
unhappy differences between himself
and the sub Collector who had just
left and a desire to avoid any
collision with his successor. There
can be no doubt that the appoint-
-ment was a great mistake The
Tahsildar has always been employed
in the Revenue and Account depart-
-ments never had any aptitude,
for Police duties and never any real
practice in them. As Taluk Magis-
-tadar he would have continued to
do very well but he is utterly useless
as a Police officer having neither
skill energy nor experience I have
no particular instances to allege in
proof of this but I have formed
my opinion from the general manner
in which his duties have been
performed and my personal acquaint-
-ance with him I believe too the Courts
have formed a similar opinion
as to his want of efficiency—

5 The Taluk Sheristadar happens
to be a very efficient person a

and

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

and this has I believe prevented business falling into a worse state than it has. Two other Subordinates though I lately Removed to other Talooks as I had Reasons to believe that a very undue degree of power in Police matters had fallen into their hands and that they made a very improper use of this power. The Tahsildars own Reputation for integrity is I am sorry to say far from good. I would beg to Request that he be Required either to accept of some appointment for which he is fitted as a Tahsildar Sheristadar, or some post in the Account Department so as to take the pension to which he may be entitled. I believe he would not object to either of these steps, and as there are a good many officials applying for pension just now, I would suggest that the former Course should be adopted.

6 The Palghaut Tahsildar is far better in all Respects than either of the two other Tahsildars

back

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

but the Palghaut Talook is a very heavy one there being a large number of Police Cases which tho' not generally serious occupy much time. There is also a large Treasury to be attended to, and the usual Revenue work is above the average amount. The Tahsildar has for the last few years been suffering from a disease (a species of leprosy I have been informed) which while it adds to the irritability of a naturally very infirm temper precludes that close application to business which is Required in that Talook. The Consequence is as probably you have by this time discovered that business of every Kind is more or less in arrears. Any Returns that is Required is sure to come last of all from Palghaut and then generally only after it has been more than once called for. Miscellaneous orders remain unanswered for weeks and months and Police cases that need not go to the Courts

are

8/69 Fort St. George 27th May 1856

are frequently delayed in a most impro-
-per manner, Maramut works is
scarcely attended to at all by the Taloob
people being generally executed under
the Sub Collectors own management
But as an instance of delay I may men-
-tion that a Bridge at Polycaut required
a new platform the orders were issued to the
Tahsildar in December last and Money
furnished more than a Month and a half
after when I returned to Polycaut I
found the very smallest work not
begun, and the bridge quite impassable
to the great inconveniences of the
traffic I have not even yet received
any Report of its Completion -

7 I have Repeatedly reprimanded the
Tahsildar by orders, I have
Remonstrated with him
personally and I have in some
instances fined him but without
effect - The fact is that he
is incapable of keeping down

the

8/69 Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

the arrears from the manner
though in which the work has been
done by others when the Tahsildar
has availed himself as he invariably
does every year of a months leave
to undergo a Course of treatment
to alleviate his sickness shows that
though the work is heavy there
is no Reason for so much delay
I would propose therefore that the
Tahsildar should be Removed to
some other Taloob where the amount
of work is less. I think if Tenala-
-pooram became vacant he might with
advantage be exchanged to that Taloob -

8 With Reference to para
3 of your letter under Reply
I have the honor to state that
the efficient training of
any portion of the Police
as detective Could not in

any

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

my opinion be secured under
the present systems or without
a very material change in
the organization of the Police force
generally and in the nature and
extent of their authority. This
is a subject which it is rumoured
is under the consideration of Govern-
ment and it would therefore
be perhaps somewhat presumptuous
on my part to submit the imper-
fect suggestions I should be
able to offer.

Malabar
Joint Magistrates office
4th April 1856.

(Signed) C. Collett
Joint Magistrate

True Copy
(Signed) J. Clarke
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

Bd. of R. No. 1610
Proceedings thereon.
(1115-1116)

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board of Revenue authorize him to pursue the course which he has recommended regarding the three Tahsildars referred to in this letter.

2 The Tahsildar of Chenglaput being manifestly disqualified for his office should be at once relieved from duty. It will then rest with the Collector to take such steps as he considers proper for obtaining pensions for this servant.

3 If the Tahsildar of Tendalpoorum can procure the prescribed medical certificate it seems desirable that he should also leave the service in this manner if not the Collector is autho-
rized to reduce him to a Taloork Sheristadarship in some similar situation. The Board observe that according to the letter of Mr. Collett this servants character for integrity is "far from good" In sanctioning therefore his employment in a Taloork Sheristadarship at

8771 Fort St. George 27th May 1856

at the Collectors Recommendation

the Board do so from presuming

that there has been nothing suffi:

=ciently marked in the Tahsildars

Conduct to deprive him in the Collectors

opinion of the Confidence necessary

for such a situation Mr. Clarke is silent

the Board observe on this point of the

Character of the Tahsildar -

4 The Palgaut Tahsildar may

as a Sequence to the above

arrangement be transferred

to Teroonnulpooram in accor:

=dance with the Collectors Recom:

=mendation -

8772 Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

No. 112

To,

G: S: Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

1117

B. No. 1310.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly in Reporting upon Petitions Nos 2818. and 2949 of 1855.

Sir,

With reference to the Boards Endorsement on Petitions No. 2818 and 2949 of 1855 herewith returned, I have the honor to state that the Rules of the District, as laid down in the Hookumnamah in force (of which a Copy was sent to the Board of Revenue with a letter dated 28th December 1846) allow the grant of remission only for dry crops raised on Nunjah lands that have entirely perished and not for scanty dry crops so raised -

2- The Petitioners state that in consequence of the water in the Tank having been, from the adverse Character of the Peshanum season of Fusly 1264 insufficient for the irrigation of all the wet lands under it, they raised dry crops on a portion of their Nunjah lands, that though Nunjah teerwah was charged thereon remission on such lands as yielded short produce has been refused, and pray for the grant of the same -

Every

3. Ever since the orders of Government communicated to the former Collector on the 10th November 1842 and referred to in the concluding part of para 2 of a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated the 22d December following on the subject of assessing the Nunjah Mail poonjah cultivation at the Nunjah rate, come into full operation, the practice has been to levy Nunjah teerwah on dry crops paid on wet lands, and to grant remissions as mentioned in para 1 of this letter, only when this species of cultivation entirely fails, leaving the short produce lands subject to full teerwah - consequently the Petitioners claim for remission of teerwah on wet lands (cultivated with poonjah produce) which yielded scanty produce was not taken into consideration.

4. On enquiry made subsequent to the receipt of the Petitions under reply it appears that Nunjah land to the extent of Sd. Cullahs 229—10—1¼ (Inls Mans) liable to a Nunjah teerwah of Rupees 2,333—8—6 was cultivated for the Peishamum of Fusly

Fusly 1264. with the different kinds of dry produce named in the accompanying Statement & and that the amount of the special tax thereon at the various money rates on the Crop which prevailed, till the new system was brought into operation, is only Rupees 1334—13—10, leaving an excess of Rupees 998—10—8 over and & above the special tax as shewn in the & statement above alluded to.

5. The Petitioners requested my & Predecessor in their arzee of 19th July 1855, to allow them to pay the demand on the lands in question after they realized the value of their crops for Fusly 1265. Upon this, an & Endorsement refusing to grant their request was given them on the 6th August following. But they not having discharged the arrears a portion of their respective lands proportionate in value to the amount of balance due by each defaulter was included in the Advertisement for the sale of lands in satisfaction of arrears of revenue forwarded to you under date the 8th January 1856, and the Board's & sanction

8775

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

See Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22nd January 1856.

sanction for the same received under date the 29th Idem; but the sale as regards the Petitioners' lands has been postponed pending the disposal of the Petitions under report which reached me on the 31st -

6. Under these circumstances and with reference to the adverse season following in past adverse seasons, I beg to recommend that the Board will permit me to allow these Ryots to pay the arrears due in September or October next the period at which they will be able to realize the value of their ensuing Cars Crops for Fusly 1266-

Tinnevelly Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Trichendoor, 15th April 1856

(Signed) J. Silver.
Collector.

Statement

8776.

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

No. 1 Statement shewing the Extent of Wet land cultivated with different kinds of dry products for the Peshanum of Fusly 1264, the amount of special tax thereon, and the difference between the special tax and the Nunjah teerwah on the total Nunjah mail poonjah Cultivation referred to in a letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 15th April 1856.

Description of Dry products.	Extent of land cultivated with each Kind.			Amount of old special tax thereon.			Amount of Nunjah teerwah charges on the total extent.			difference between Columns 3 and 4		
1	2			3			4			5		
	Ms	Ms	Ms	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Cholum	12	7	3	45	0	8						
Raggy	117	13	7	799	1	8						
Black Gram	8	10	3 3/4	21	13	11						
Rape Seed	6	0	3 1/4	15	11	9						
Chilly	0	2	1	0	4	2						
Hemp	1	16	5 3/4	15	10	0						
Turmeric	0	4	5	2	6	9						
Saimboo	0	6	5	0	13	11						
Matherry	2	10	5	3	3	2						
Red Gram	2	10	5/8	11	1	0						
Brinjal	0	5	17/8	0	10	1						
Sirookelungoo	0	0	1	0	0	10						
Plantain	0	17	1/4	5	3	8						
Varagoo	76	10	7/8	1,13	12	0						
Total	229	10	1/4	1,334	13	10	2333	3	6	998	10	8

Tinnevelly Collrs Circt Cutcherry Trichendoor, 15th April 1856

E. E. 7th (Signed) J. Silver
Collector.

The

B. No. 1608.

Proceedings thereon - The Board convent to the Collectors' proposal at the conclusion of this letter -

(1117-1118)

No. 65

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(1119)

B. No. 1307.

Sir,

From the Collector of Salem Reporting on Petition No. 1352 of 1855 -

With reference to the Endorsement on Petition No. 1352 of 1855, calling for explanation in regard to the petitioners assertion that the land in question was his hereditary holding I have the honor to submit for the information of the Board the following report -

2. The Petitioner Govindoo Gounden residing at Canjeenaikenputty in the Honalore Talook states in his petition, that he held for a long time on Puttah a Punjah land in that Village No. 134, assessed at Rupees 19-4-2, and Cultivated it at an outlay of much money and labour, that in the year Nundana, or Fusly 1242, in consequence of a severe drought he was unable to Cultivate the

land, and that the teerwah thereon for that year was remitted in consideration of the unfavorable season, that some time afterwards the Circar planted a Palmyra tope in an inner number of the same Poonjay land and the remaining portion was left waste for 3 years, that the Petitioner subsequently gave this latter portion on Kurnum tenure to one Doyanna Shetty for Cultivation on which a fine of 3 Rupees was imposed on the Curnum on the ground that orders had been issued to keep the land uncultivated, and the teerwah for the Fusly in which the land was Cultivated was collected from the Petitioner; that he presented an Urzee to Mr. Gleiz on the subject, when Mr. Gleiz promised to give him the land, that the Curnum contrary to the Hookumnamah rules has now procured the land for one Veera Gounden, and the Petitioners claim, which he preferred when the Durkast was under enquiry has been rejected.

rejected - He therefore prays that orders may be passed to put the land in question in his possession -

3. It appears from the records of the Office that the Poonjay land adverted to in the Petition under report, Viz.t 10-3-1 assessed at 19-4-2 was cultivated by the petitioner from Fusly 1236 to Fusly 1243. In Fusly 1246 a Palmyra tope was planted by the Circar in 5-6-5 of this land, and the rest was allowed to be waste down to Fusly 1248, when one Doyanna Chetty cultivated both the waste part, and the portion in which Palmyrahs had been planted, without permission from the authorities. Mr. Bailey then Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Talook fined the Monegar and Curnum 3 Rupees for having allowed Doyanna Chetty to Cultivate the land planted with the Tope, and ordered that the teerwah of the land for that Fusly should be collected from the Cultivator, directing at the same time that the land should thenceforward be left waste -

It

4. It does not appear that the petitioner applied for the land, nor that he gave it to Doyanna Chetty on Warum tenure, as stated in the Petition. In March 1854 one Veera Gounden made a Durkast for the land. This Durkast was referred to the Tahsildar and on the Tahsildar's report dated the 17th September 1854. that there was no objection to complying with Veera Gounden's application, the land was given to Veera Gounden for Cultivation in the Month of October 1854 -

5. The Petitioner also presented an Urzee on the 20th September 1854. requesting that the land might be given to him, but this application was rejected, first, because it did not appear that he had any right to the land and 2dly because a Durkhast had been made by Veera Gounden previous to his applying for it -

Salem, Coll.rs Office,
On Circuit, Tripatore,
16th April 1856.

(Signed) W. A. Brett.
Collector.

(1119-1120)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1352. of 1855 -

Bd. No. 1619.

Proceedings thereon.

The Board have enquired into

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

into the subject of this Petition and see no reason to interfere. The Collector reports that the land in question has not been in Petitioners occupation since 1833, and that it was made over in 1854 to a person who applied for it before Petitioner."

No. 84

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

1121.

B. No. 1369.

Sir,

Fort St. George

From the Collector of Nellore

With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 3352 of 1855 presented by Gunclavarum Venkamah a Ryot of Tommanapatam in the Talook of Ostah, I have the honor to report that it appears from the Records of this Cutchery, and the information since procured, that the Petitioner cultivated Paddy in Wet land of the extent of Gortoos 4 13/64 bearing an assessment of Rupees 122 As 0 P 2 under the irrigation of a spring Channel, in fusly 1264 that 1 Gortoo of it assessed at Rupees 25-2-2

Reporting on Petition No. 3352 of 1855 from Gunda-varum Venkumah Ryot of Tommanapatam in Ostah Taloob in the Zillah of Nellore

Forwarded & returned

produced

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

produced, and Gortoos 3 13/64 assessed at Rupees 96-14-0 dried up after growing 4 Months without going into ear, from want of water.

2. The Sookty after being reported by the Peshcar, was examined both by the Tahsildar, and the 1st Assistant. Sheristadar, a trust-worthy Officer of the Hoozoor, who all reported that the Crop was dried up-

3. At the time of giving remissions the Acting Collector ordered to collect the sum of Rupees 7-8-0 5/7 out of the Sookty assessment on account of high prices of the remaining sum of Rupees 89-5-7, that half or the sum of Rupees 44-10-8 should be Remitted under Sookty and Rupees 44-10-9 should be collected from the Petitioner, on the grounds that even supposing the whole stated amount to have really dried up, which he saw much cause for doubting, the greater part might, be considered, certainly have been saved by a little extra labour in sinking small Wells water being every where readily procurable

procurable thus there abouts.

4. It appears however as above explained that the fact of the Crop having withered was duly established; and from the report of the 1st Assistant Shenistadar, that the Ryots and Curnums of the Village informed him that there was no other source of irrigation to the fields in question, except the spring Channel, and that they (the Ryots) were unable to obtain a sufficient supply of water although they have repaired the spring on account of the deficiency of rain in Fus.ly 1263.

5. Under these circumstances I beg to recommend that I should be authorized to stop the Collection of Rupees 44-10-9 as ∞, and to recommend the same for remission in the balance report for Fus.ly 1264.-

Nellore, Collr.s Office, 23d April 1856. (Signed) F. B. Elton.

Collector

B. No. 1613.

Proceedings thereon- (1121-1122)

Under the circumstances stated the Board authorize the Collector to abstain from collecting the sum of 44-10-7 Rs as ∞ from Petitioner, and to

to include it in the amount about to be recommended for remission-

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 5352 of 1855-

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector, who has been authorized to remit the sum of Rupees 44-10-9 out of his assessment in addition to the remission already granted of a similar amount."

No. 30.

To, G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(1123)

B. No. 1433.

From the Offg. Agent to the Government at Rajamundry.

Sir,

With reference to the Board's order on Petition No. 2770 of 1855 presented by one Seriah of the Nagulmurry Talook requesting information as to the nature of the grant of the Enam, and the grounds on which it was partially resumed, I have the honor to state that the Enam in question was resumed by order of the Board of Revenue contained in Proceedings under date the 19th November 1849 on the report submitted by the then Agent Mr. Phillips on the 5th September 1849, shewing the lapses of Enams Yeomiahs 88 ca. for the first quarter of 1848.

Para 3

A.

2. A translation of the late Mr. Daniells order on the subject on Petitioners Urzee is herein enclosed for the information of the Board of Revenue.

Kurnool Govt. Agents Office,
23d April 1856.

(Signed) S. G. Russell.
Officiating Agent to the Governor.

No. 1

Translation of an order given by L. D. Daniell Esqr. Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool on the 15th August 1855 on Petition No. 70 presented by Seriah Butwarty of Enamdar of Cushak Shegulmurry.

It appears that on the death of the Petitioners paternal Uncle Bundy Vencatachellum, the Amildar of the Taluck instituted enquiries into the matter and forwarded his proceedings with his Urzee No. 181 of Fusly 1257 that the late Agent Mr. Phillips on perusal of the Proceedings in question, sent an order No. 10 of Fusly 1259 directing the sequestration of 36-19-1½ of Enam land situated in five villages Viz. Pedda Boddanum, Sinna Boddanum, Nursapoor, Almoor and Moocoondapoor and that the remaining Enam land consisting of 15-35-10½ situated in Khanapoor, Cotakindhoor and Shagulmurry Villages was by order of Government allowed to be enjoyed by deceased's widow Pursumiah during her life

Vide order No. 18 of Fasly 1259.

life time. Under these circumstances the Petitioner is informed that no other order can be given in the matter.

(Signed) L. D. Daniell.
Agent to the Governor.

(True Translation)
(Signed) S. G. Russell.
Offg. Agent to the Governor.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions No. 2770 and 3247 of 1855 and 935 of 1856.

1123-1124

B. No. 1614.

Proceedings thereon.

"The Enam claimed by Petitioner having been resumed under the orders of Government dated 14th November 1849 para 3. No. 1108, the Board cannot accede to his request."

No. 39
1856.

1125

B. No. 1528.

To, G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 386 under date the 9th February last, sanctioning the disbursement of Rupees 1092-13-0 for the payment of commutation to 26 Recipients of Life Pensions, who were willing to take advantage of the commutation set forth in the Board's Circular of the 21st July 1855 I have the honor to state that

8787. Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

× Nos 3 and 21 on the List which accompanied my letter to the Board dated 12th Jany. 1856.

Rs 222-6-10 A P

That two of these Pensioners are reported by the Police Ameen of Anjengo to have died on the 9th October 1855 and 7th February 1856 respectively and request therefore you will obtain for me the instructions of the Board as to whether the amount to which they were entitled can be paid to their heirs who claim it on the ground that in expectation of receiving the commutation in question they contracted debts for defraying the expenses attending the sickness and burial of the deceased.

The claimants are stated by the Police Ameen to be really in distressed circumstances and objects worthy of the favorable consideration of Government.

Calicut 28th April 1856.

(Signed) F. Clarke.
Collector.

Bd No 1623.

Proceedings thereon.

(1125-1126)

The Board remark that one of the two parties referred to in the above letter died three months before the date of the Collectors' letter asking sanction to the commutation of his pension. The claim now made by his heir is therefore wholly inadmissible the other party having died subsequent to the Collectors' application the Board sanction the payment to his heir of the amount of commutation on the ground that the pension was virtually computed by the Collectors' acceptance of the pensioners offer to sell it.

J. S. S.

8788 Fort St. George 27th May 1856

No. 40

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1127)

Bd No 1572

From the Acting Collr of Canara.

Sir,

Para 1

Submits the report called for in Boards Proceedings dated 21st February in regard to the sites &c of some buildings in Canara.

I have the honor to submit the report called for in Extract from Proceedings Board of Revenue dated 21st February 1856. In doing so I take up the buildings regarding the sites and necessity of which information is required as entered in the list forwarded.

Tahsildars Cutcherry Bilghi.

2. The building was recommended by me to the Board in my letter of the 21st August last, when the necessity for the transfer of the Cutcherry from Sidlapoor to Bilghi was also pointed out, and the Board in their letter under date the 11th September last were pleased to sanction this change. On receiving the Boards orders on the subject I addressed the Civil Judge of Honore, and obtained his consent regarding the removal of the Moonsiffs Court also. The plan of the proposed Cutcherry is

similar

similar to that to be built at Pootoor, these Talooks not being so large as to require 1st Class Cutcherries, such as those of Sonda, Soopah, and Mangalore—

Tahsildars Cutcherry Pootoor

3. An Estimate for the Pootoor Talook Cutcherry was first submitted it seems in 1853, but the plan which included a Moonsiffs Court under the same roof, was not approved of. An estimate was again submitted for sanction on the 11th September 1854 and the grounds on which the erection of a new Cutcherry was recommended, were that the present building, an old Temple in a ruinous state, was unsuited for a Tahsildars Cutcherry and for the accommodation of the present increased establishment, Pootoor having been lately made a Cusbah of a separate Talook—

Portico to Huzzoor Cutcherry

4. The Portico to the Huzzoor Cutcherry is necessary during the monsoon, as without it, egress or ingress becomes impossible, and a temporary protection from the wind and rain has now to be erected every season—

Compound wall to Cutwals Choultry

5. A Cutwals Choultry has been

built at an expense of Rupees 941-7-10 in the heart of Mangalore, and the compound requires to be enclosed by a neat and substantial wall, with gate-way on the side facing the street, the old mud wall being in a dilapidated state.—

Munchikerry Tannah

6. The Munchikerry tannah is in a ruinous state, and will with difficulty be made to answer the purposes for which it is required, during the monsoon. It was originally built in Fusly 1237, and was repaired in Fusly 1255—

Eckumby Tannah

7. The Eckumby tannah is required in consequence of this village having become a halting place on the Dharwar and Sirsy road, where a bungalow is now erected. The old building is situated at Wodalcope at a distance of about 4 miles from the site now selected. The present Tannah was erected in Fusly 1259 at a cost of Rupees 20.—

Bettangarry Peishcars Cutcherry

8. This was originally a Sayer Chowky erected in Fusly 1231 at a cost of Rupees 8—6—8 and enlarged in Fusly 1234 at an additional outlay of Rupees 19—6—10. In Fusly 1240 it was burnt down and rebuilt

rebuilt at a cost of Rupees 123-3-10. It was subsequently used as a Peishcars Cutcherry when an additional room for records was added at a cost of Rupees 17-8-. This building is quite unsuited for a Cutcherry being in a decayed state and insecure, and not sufficiently roomy. The proposed building should be erected I think at Gurrainkeray about 2 Miles west of Beltangady where all the ghaut roads fall into the main line to Buntwal.—

Travellers Bungalow at Sedashigur.

9. The former Bungalow was burnt down about 20 years ago- on the completion of the roads from the interior many people will embark for Bombay and other places from the Ports, and as it is expected to become a place of very considerable importance, a Bungalow will be much required. There was a sweeper sanctioned for the former bungalow, whose pay has been carried to the credit of Government since its destruction and no further sanction will be necessary on this head. I have just learned that some old buildings usually forming the residence of the Commanding

Commanding Officer, are for sale, and as they might answer the purpose well, I think the C. E. might be requested to have them examined if the Government sanction a Bungalow at this place. The number of Travellers passing through Sedashigur is not known as there is no possibility of obtaining correct information on this point, unless from a Bungalow Book—

Collectors Cutcherry ...
Mangalore ...
30th April 1856 ...

/Signed/ W. Fisher
Acting Collector.

B. N. 1611

Proceedings thereon
1127-1128

In Board 11th September 55

From Sec to Govt dated 30th April

Resolved that the Report recorded — above together with the previous letter of the Collector dated 21st August 1855 recommending the removal of the Talook Cutcherry be forwarded to the Chief Engineer who is requested to cause the return of those papers to this Department when no longer required.—

2 The Board have to observe that they authorized the removal of the Talook Cutcherry because the new station was more central and the change appeared desirable.—

To

No 134

To

G. S Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(1129)

B.t No 1530

From the Collector

of Chingleput

Sir

Adverting to my letter No 133 of the 1st Instant I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that Messrs Kenrick and Co have just forwarded to me (C. M.) a Cheque for Rupees 3000 on the Bank of Madras and promise to remit a further Sum of Rupees 1000 within this week.—

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapet 5th May 1856

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

No 137

To

G. S Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(1130)

B.t No 1559

From the Collector

of Chingleput

Sir

In Continuation of my letter No 134 of the 5th Instant I have the honor to state for the Boards information that I have this day received a Cheque from Messrs Kenrick and Co on the Bank of Madras for a further Sum of Rs 1000 — which

makes up the full amount of 4000 Rupees they promised to remit by the end of the week.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapet 8th May 1856

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

(1129-1131)

To The Collector of Chingleput

B.t No 1609

To the Collectors of Chingleput

Sir

I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letters dated in the margin on the Subject of the balance still due by the late Parry Contractor for Fasly 1264.—

Dated 1st May 1856 in Con.t 5th May 1856 No 1429
5th Idem in Con.t 27th May 1856 No 1530
8th Idem in Con.t 27th May 1856 No 1559

Dated 1st May 1856

2 In the first of these letters you requested instructions regarding the course to be pursued as Messrs Kenrick and Co had failed to take measures for liquidating the balance which then apparently amounted

Rd 4 in Con.t 15th July 56

Dated 5th and 8th may 1856.

to Rupees 30237—9—8 your two Subsequent letters reported that two Sums of Rupees 3000 and Rupees 1000 had since been received by you.——

3 There is consequently a present arrear of

Rupees

See Enclosure of Collectors letter of 1st may & Cont. 5th May 1856

Rupees 26237 9 8 and Messrs. Henrick and Co. request permission to discharge it by quarterly payments of Rupees 3500,—

4 Under ordinary circumstances the Board would not be disposed to postpone measures for realising this balance as fusly 1264 was an average year and does not in itself call for peculiar indulgence. But as the years which preceded and followed it were decidedly unfavorable the Board are unwilling to act with greater strictness than is absolutely necessary towards Messrs. Henrick and Co. Keeping therefore in view the fact that a remission of Rupees 12000 was allowed in 1263 the Board authorise you to waive a demand for interest and to collect the balance due for 1264 in quarterly payment of Rupees 3500 each commencing from the 1st Instant, you will make known this arrangement to Messrs. Henrick and Co.

B. Pro. 3d May 1856 F.L.

Proc. throughout to May 1856 No. 4

6. and inform them that if they fail to discharge any of the instalments, there will be no alternative but to institute legal proceedings on the bonds executed by them.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th May 1856

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No. 86

To
G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1132

B. P. 1592

From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir

With reference to your letter of 24th April last. I have the honor to submit herewith a revised Statement of Salt wastage for Fusly 1264 in the new form No. 4.—

South Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore
8th May 1856

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector

Statement

8797 Fort St. Geo^e 27th May 1856

No 1 Statement Shewing the particulars of wastage of Salt in the District of South Arcot in Fasly 1264.—

Name of the Division	Fasly in which stored	No. of heaps sold in which wastage occurred	Contents of the heaps sold when stored		Cost thereof		Wastage		Wastage of the wastage on Col. 4		Value of the Wastage Salt at the former Cost		Value of the Wastage Salt at the Auction price		Percentage of Wastage for each Fasly as fixed by the Collector	Remarks
1	2	3	4		5		6		7		8		9		10	11
			Ms.	Ls.	Ms.	Ls.	Ms.	Ls.	Ms.	Ls.	Ms.	Ls.	Ms.	Ls.		
Mur Kanum	1262	37	44400	"	40430	23	3969	16	8	8	37	9¾	134	4 11	3969	6 8	"	
	1263	110	131538	"	126633	16	4884	24	"	3	28	8½	563	13 4	2731	2 9	"	
	1264	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	
Total	"	147	175938	"	167033	39	8834	"	8	5	1	4¾	998	2 3	6700	9 5	12½	
Seogamully	1262	17	19905	24	18146	16	1759	8	"	8	33	8	94	1 7	1759	3 3	"	
	1263	12	13707	"	13066	20	640	20	"	4	26	14½	34	11 8	640	8 "	"	No Salt was sold in heaps without being remeasured during Fasly 1264 The large wastage as entered in Column No 6 was owing to the length of time the Salt had been kept in Store being Generally upwards of two years
	1264	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	
Total	"	29	33612	24	31212	36	2399	28	"	7	5	9	178	13 8	2399	11 3	18¾	
Killary	1262	9	10526	4	9289	20	1236	24	"	11	29	14½	83	2 6	1236	9 7	"	
	1263	14	15382	36	14189	32	1193	4	"	7	20	7½	80	5 4	1193	1 7	"	
	1264	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	
Total	"	23	26209	"	23979	12	2429	28	"	9	8	"	163	7 10	2429	11 2	18¾	
Cuddalore	1262	15	17725	20	15011	28	2713	32	"	15	126	½	182	14 6	2713	12 10	"	
	1263	8	8937	24	8073	20	864	3	12	9	26	11¼	58	3 11	611	4 5	"	
	1264	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	
Total	"	23	26663	4	23085	8	3577	35	12	13	16	12	241	2 5	3325	1 3	25	
Total	1262	78	92537	8	82338	7	9679	"	8	10	18	4¾	794	7 6	9679	" 4	"	
	1263	144	170633	20	162493	8	7682	11	12	4	18	5¼	737	2 3	5176	" 9	"	
	1264	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	
		272	262622	28	248361	15	17261	12	4	6	22	14½	1531	9 9	14855	1 1	"	

Wastage occurred on the Salt supplied to the French Government at Pondicherry.

Mur Kanum	1328	28	"	4	7	1	161	1 6	161	1 6	12½	
Cuddalore	168	12	"	7	"	3	11	3 9	11	3 9	25	
	1497	"	"	4	15	"	172	5 3	172	5 3		
Grand Total	18758	12	4	6	12	12	1703	15 "	15027	6 4		

31815 " " 36486 12 "
2400 " " 2731 28 "
34215 " " 32718 " "
296837 28 " 278079 15 12

South Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore 8th May 1856

[Signed] A. Hall
Collector

To

8798

Fort St. George 27th May 185

Order that the following letter be sent in reply

(1132-1133)

B. No. 1621

To the Collector of South Arcot

To the Collector of South Arcot

Sir

With reference to your letter dated 8th May 1856, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will inform the Board why so large a per-

12½-18¾ 825 percent centage of Wastage has been fixed for your District.

The cause of the actual Wastage also requires further explanation than is afforded by the general entry in the Column of remarks. The Board observe that for Salt of the same year the Wastage at Cuddalore is nearly double as much as occurred at Mercarum and Tegavally. The Wastage at the Shelley Colour is also large as Compared with that at the 2 pans abovementioned.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th May 1856

/Signed/ G. D. S. Forbes
Secretary

8799

(B. No. 1593)

1134

Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in Fasly 1265 in the Southern Division of the Arcot and Cuddalore.

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

Items	Estimate for Fasly 1265	Actual Charges in Fasly 1264	Increase	Decrease	Remarks & Orders of the Collectors' Exclamation Board of Revenue	Orders of Govern-ment.
1	2	3	4			
	CCB a PCB	CCB a PCB	CCB a PCB	CCB a PCB		
Collectors Cutcherry						
1 Bunding	51	57	.. .. ..	6	No 2 The Engineer reports of this Office having com- menced the repairs of the Tank at the Eastern extremity of the Village of Chittapatty	5
2 3 Cadjans for the use of the English Record at the Office 12-9-.. each	.. .. ..	37 11 ..	.. .. ..	37 11 ..		
Total Company Rs	51	94 11 ..	37 11 ..	6		

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Cuddalore 8th May 1856

/Signed/ E. E. Glyn (Collector)
/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector
Proceedings

Bd. 1622
Proceedings thereon
(1134-1135)

As the Fasly has nearly expired and the Charges are not of an emergent nature the Collector is requested to include the amount in the Estimate for the coming year.-

---

N. 85

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1136)

Bd. N. 1636.
From the Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to report on a petition N. 100 of 1856 referred to me with the Government order of the 28th March 1856.-

2. The enam referred to in the petition has not been registered in the Collectors office - It was given by a late Rajah of Tanjore as an agraharum grant but the original Sicca Sunnud is not forthcoming. Who was the original grantee or how the enam was acquired by the party who is alleged to have mortgaged it to Petitioners mother or

or whether that party himself was the grantee is not known - It seems however to be held as an ancient grant and the permission desired by petitioner to sue the mortgager may be safely given.-

Tanjore
Trunquebar
9th May 1856.

(Signed) W. Forbes
Collector

Bd. N. 1600
Proceedings thereon
(1136-1137)

Under the circumstances stated in the above report the Board are of opinion that sanction may be given for the admission of Petitioners Suit.-

---

N. 88.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1138)

Bd. N. 1637
From the Collector of Tanjore
Reporting on a Government petition.-

Sir,

I have the honor to report on a Government petition N. 430 of 1856. referred to me with the orders of Government of 7th March last.-

2. The lands referred to by petitioner as his family property in which

which he claims a Share are in two Villages.

	V.	M	G
Assoor	1	1	0
Ragava Chapporum	0	2	60
Total	1	3	50

The extent in Assoor forms part of an original grant of 3 V. 4 M. 13 G. made by the late Soolza Rajah in 1786, in favor of 28 persons for subsistence, and in endowment of 2 pagodas and a Batagasalay, or religious School, and which was registered in Collector's Office as No. 470. It was entered in the Register made in 1814 in the name of Senker Sastry, who was one of the 28 grantees above referred to. It is therefore, exempt from all interference on account of Government under their orders of 18th July 1853, Revenue department No. 838.-

4 As regards the extent in Bhagarathpooram, the Register of 1812 shews that it is part of a grant of 23½ Veliis of Nunjah Land made by the late Shahajee Rajah

Rajah in the year 1689 to Narasimmabhagavat. The register further shews that the whole of the above enam was held at the time of registry by 52 persons some of them descendants of the original grantee, and others purchasers from him or others Senkersastys name does not appear among the number, and it is not clear in what manner the petitioners family obtained the one mah in this Village It is however a very ancient personal grant.-

5 I am of opinion that the permission

8804 Fort St. George 27th May 1856

permission requested by pe=
=titioner to sue his relatives
for a share in the enam may be
safely granted.-

Tanjore
Tranquebar
9th May 1856 } (Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

(1138-1139) / Encl. one petition /

Bd. No. 1602
Proceedings thereon

=stances stated in the fore=
=going letter the Board
are of opinion that per=
=mission may be given
to the Civil Courts
to admit Petitioners suit.

F.

8805 Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

No. 86

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1140)

Bd. No. 1638
From the Collector
of Tanjore } Sir,
Bd. No. 13 of 1856
Govt. - 2780 of 1855

I have the honor to report on
a petition referred to me with the Board's
endorsement of the 17th January last.

2 The enam referred to in the
petition has not been registered in the
Collector's Office. It appears that the
land was originally alienated by a
Rajah of Tanjore, as an Agraharam
grant in favor of 73 Brahmins, but the
original Sicca Sunnud is not forthcoming,
and is stated to have been lost, The
lands are entered in the Survey ac=
=counts of fusly 1238 as Paghal Sur=
=vamaniem divided into 73 backyards.
The particular ground mentioned
by petitioner seems to have passed
from the original family, and to
have changed hands two or three
times, petitioner having acquired
it by purchase 20 years ago, from
a party who had purchased it
from

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

from one Rungiengar. This Rungiengar is the party mentioned in the survey accounts as holding the land, and is stated to be the son of the original grantee, but he is probably a descendant. Under the Enam rules of 11th August 1853, this Enam is exempt from interference, as one granted prior to 1792, and unalienated from the original family prior to 1802. and, as it does not fall under Regulation VI of 1831, I am of opinion that the permission desired by petitioner, to sue for the recovery of a part of it, may be safely granted.

Tanjore
Trangambar
9th May 1856

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Bd. No. 1853
Proceedings thereon
(1140-1141)

From the above report the Board are of opinion that the permission prayed for by petitioner may be granted, and that his suit may be admitted by the Civil Court.

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

No. 87

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1142.)

Bd. No. 1639
From the Collector of Tanjore
Govt. No. 2695 of 1855
Bd. No. 10 of 1856.

Sir,

I have the honor to report on a petition referred to me by the Board's endorsement of the 17th January last.-

2 The enam in which petitioner claims a share is composed of the village of Covilputt consisting of 10-18-15. of land and garden in Veejayapoor.-

3 The Village of Covilputt was given originally on Surramanieem tenure by the late Tolja Rajah in 1770 to Permaul Teyagarajah Goorroocul, petitioners fatherinlaw by a Sicca Sunnud grant registered in the Collectors Office in 1809 as No. 186, in the name of petitioners husband Teyagarajah Goorroocul who was one of the two sons of the original grantee. Thus under the orders of Government of 16th July 1853, the enam is exempt from any interference

interference on the part of Government, as one recognized by Section II Regulation XXXI of 1802.

4 There is no grant forthcoming for the garden at Veejayapoor, but it is stated to have been given 8 years after the 1st. grant, or in 1778. thus shewing that there has been a possession of about 78 years.

5 Under these circumstances I am of opinion that the permission desired by petitioner to sue for the recovery of a share in the Enam may be granted since the rules directing enquiry on the lapse of each enam, provide for the rights of Government.

Tanjore
Tranquebar
9th May 1856

(Signed) H Forbes
Collector

Bd. No. 1605
Proceedings thereon
(1142-1143)

The Board see no objection to Petitioners claim being tried in the Civil Court

---

No. 84

XXX

1144

To G. F. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Bd. No. 1682
From the Collector of North Arcot
Reporton Govt. Petition No. 441 of 1856 from Vurda Charlo an inhabitant of Lutchmanaipooram Aggraharum Weinkongondy Samudrum

(No. 441 of 1856)

Sir, The enclosed Petition has been referred to me by Government for enquiry and report thro' the Board of Revenue.

The Petitioner Vurda Charlo who is an inhabitant of Lutchmanaipooram Aggraharum Weinkongondy Samudrum complains that the Collector has refused to interfere in the matter of his complaint and that the Civil Court has declined to take cognizance of it. His complaint regards the non payment to him of his share of the rent by the renter of his Shrotriem Enam Villages. Petitioner seeks to know to what quarter he is to look for redress.

3 From Petitioners Statement it would appear that the Civil Court considered it had no jurisdiction on the ground that the Villages in question constitute to one of that description

Fort St. George 27th May 1858

description of Enams adverted to in Regulation III of 1831 and Act XXXI of 1836, but if this be a correct statement of the grounds on which the Court declined to adjudicate in the matter the determination of the Court must have arisen from a misapprehension of the real merits of the case.

4. The object of the Petitioner is simply to receive from the party to whom he and his partner in the Shrotrium rented the Villages so much of the amount of the rent as falls to his own share were any body paid by the Shrotriumdars to Government the Assistance authorized by Regulation XXVIII of 1802 might be afforded the petitioner recovering his dues but as this is not the case that Regulation is not applicable. As the question in dispute does not involve a claim to the right to, or possession of the Shrotrium Villages in question but is confined to a demand of rent it appears to me that the Civil Court has jurisdiction in the matter and

Fort St. George 27th May 1858.

and that the proper course to be adopted by Petitioner for the recovery of his rent is to sue the renters in the Civil Court.

North Arcot Collectors Cutchery
Chittoor 13th May 1858

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

(Encl. one Petition)

Bd. No. 1604
Proceedings thereon
(1144-1145)

Resolved that the above letter be submitted to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's opinion that as Petitioner seeks only to recover rent from his tenant and the right to the Shotrium is not at stake the Civil Court is competent to entertain the Suit.

No. 102

To G. S. Forbes Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1146)

Bd. No. 1734
From the Collector of Vellore

Sir, With reference to your letter of the 25th ultimo I have the honor to enclose an Accountant Generals Bill for the sum of Rupees 152/ fifty two being the amount advanced by

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

by you to the 2 compositors and Pressman therein alluded to.

2

Telugu		Required
౨౦	Nowreceived	1 lbs
౨౦	Do	½ lbs
౩	Insufficient	1
౨౦	Nowreceived	1
ನ	Insufficient	½
ನ	Do	½
ಅಕ್ಷರಮಾಲೆ		In large letters for heading a few
(೨) ಕಬನಪಮಿ		Do
Dash Rule		10 lbs
Space Rule		5 —
English Small Pica Italic		
J None received		½ lbs

I beg to take this opportunity to acknowledge the receipt of the articles advised to have been despatched to my address in your letter of the 4th ultimo and to inform you that the Types &c noted in the margin are in some cases wanting and in others insufficient and to request you will be good enough to arrange for the quantities indicated opposite each kind being sent to me as early as practicable, as the Press has been commenced marking.

Nellore Collector's Office 17th May 1856 } (Signed) F. B. Elton Collector

B/c N. 1595
Proceedings thereon (1146-1147)

1 Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of Government.

2 As the type forwarded to Nellore was obtained through the

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

the Government Office it is requested that the several characters found in deficient may be supplied:-

(1148)

To the Collector of Ganjam

Sir,

B/c N. 1590
To the Collector of Ganjam

Dated 8th in Cons 27th March 1856 No 756
Dated 12th in Cons 26th April 1856 No 1008

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the Statement of Contingent charges incurred in your District from 1st April to 30th June and from 1st October 31st December 1855 and to observe as the charges are not of a contingent nature they should be entered in the Statement of actual charges without Estimate.

2 The Board request your attention to their Circular Order dated 21st July 1855 No 1830 directing that Statements of Contingent charges be prepared for each quarter of the Official instead of the callendar year.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 27th May 1856 } (Signed) G. S. Forbes Secretary

To

Fort St. George 27th May 1856

1149

To the Collector of Nellore

Bd No. 1807
To the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request information regarding the wastage at the Pauderty Cottaurs on the Salt of Fasli's 1260 & 1261.

From Nellore 18th instant: 19 May 1856 No 1071.

The Board remark that the wastage of Salt stored in the latter year at other Cottaurs varied from 4 to 8 per cent while at Pauderty the rate entered is 13 per cent.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th May 1856

(Signed) G. S Forbes
Secretary

Bd No. 1725
From the Secretary to Govt

Dated 19th May 1856

Read Extract

(for information)

1150

communicating the orders of Government on a letter from the Commissioner Northern Circars respecting the adoption in Rajahmundry for Fasly 1265, of the new Forms of Village accounts.

1150-1151

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

1152

MS No. 1295
From the Collector of Nellore

Return of Sheristadars Head

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

Head Nair &c. &c. Sub Division) and of the Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Nellore as they stood on the 1st May 1856.

1153

MS No. 1291
From the Collector of Canara

Return of the Sheristadar and Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Canara as they stood on the 1st May 1856.

1154

MS No. 1288
From the Collector of Tanjore

Register of the state of the River Cauvery at the anicut for April 1856 & 1856.

1155

MS No. 1233
From the Collector of Madras

Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption from 1st May 1853 to 30th April 1856

1156

MS No. 1288
From the Collector of Chingleput

Statement of the Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue for April 1856.

1157

MS No. 1229
From the Collector of Cuddapah

Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue for April 1856.

1158

MS No. 1237
From the Ag. Collector of Masulipatam

Reporting that there is no Salt Petre Return for April 1856.

1159

MS No. 1230
From the Collector of Madura

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Petre shipped &c. for April 1856.

1160

MS No. 1234
From the Collector of Tanjore

Average Bazar Prices

Fort St. George 27th May 1856.

1161 — Prices of grain for April 1856.

Mis No 1292 — From the Collector of South Arcot — Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for April 1856.

1162

Mis No 1293 — From the Collector of Madura — Reporting that there are no Returns of Persons convicted and confined and of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for April 1856.

1163

Mis No 1294 — From the Collector of South Arcot — Return of village officers referred to in Section VIII Regulation VI of 1831 suspended or dismissed in South Arcot during April 1856.

1164

From the Collector of Madura — Return of Village officers referred to in Section XII Regulation VII of 1851 suspended or dismissed in South Arcot during April 1856.

1165

Mis No 1287 — From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Rain Report for April 1856.

1166

Mis No 1286 — From the Sub Collector of Tanjore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on Government Indent as well as on Private Trade at each of the Ports of Export from 1st to 15th May 1856.

1167

Mis No 1293 — From the Collector of Chingleput. — Account Current of Salt for April 1856.

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

1168 — Wednesday the 28th May 1856.

Read the following Papers.

After No 1801 — From the Chief Secretary to Government — No 570. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Judicial Department Dated 27th May 1856.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 17th May 1856 No 1507.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the third Return alluded to in the concluding Para of the Board's letter is correctly described in the Copy sent to them which agrees both with the original and duplicate despatches received from the Honorable Court. It is however probable that there is a clerical error in the order. The Board will be so good as to furnish the return of Land tax and contributors for 1833 as well as for the two other years.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Chief Secretary.

1168-1169 — Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent.—

Circular.

Bd No 1618. Circular — Official Memorandum.

Adverting to Circular Order dated 17th May 1856 No 1508 it is requested that the Land tax Return may be prepared for the years 1813-1832- and 1853 instead of for the first and the last of those years as previously directed.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

(Signed) G. L. Forbes
Secretary

To

8818 Fort St. George 28th May 1850.

No. 1401.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Revenue Board.

1170

Bd. No. 3725. Sir,

From the Acting Accountant General

I have the honor to request that you will, with the permission of the Board, have the goodness to let me know at your earliest convenience, at what rates Taxes are paid on the sources of Revenue marginally noted and also other Taxes, if any,

1 Land Revenue	
2 Abkarry	
3 Small Farms and Licences	
4 Moturpha	
5 Frontier duty	
6 Sea Customs	
7 Salt Revenue	
8 Stamp Revenue	
9 Consolidated Port dues	
10 Tonnage duty collected at the out Ports	
11 Interest on arrears of Land Revenue	
12 Commission on Private Estates under the managent of Collectors.	
13. Toll levied on Cochrane's Canal	
14 Toll levied on Boats navigating the Rivers	
15 Fees collected on Timber &c. felled in the Public Jungles.	
16 Fees collected for Registering Vessels.	

and the authority for collecting each Tax. This information is required for Government.

Fort St. George
Accountant Genl. Office
22d December 1855

(Signed) G. L. Prendergast
Acting Accountant General

8819 Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

No. 1948.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Revenue Board.

1171

Bd. No. 1203 Sir

From the Acting Accountant General

I have the honor to remind you of the request conveyed to you in my letter of the 22d December 1855 regarding rates of Taxes on the various sources of Revenue and the authority for collecting the same, and beg that you will do me the favor of expediting the transmission thereof in order to enable me to close the General Statement required by Government.

Fort St. George
Accountant Genl. Office
10th April 1856

(Signed) G. L. Prendergast
Acting Accountant General.

Order thereon—
Ordered to lie over.

1170-1172

No. 118.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

1173

Bd. No. 1362 Sir,

From the Collector of Chingleput

Para 1. I have the honor to report to you, for the Board's information, with reference to your letter of the 4th Instant No. 995 that though

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

though I acquainted Mr. Adamson with the Board's views regarding the future management of the Alkarry Farm by him for the current year, I have received no reply from him beyond a promise that he would come and speak to me on the subject, which he has however failed to do, and that on my afterwards requesting in consequence of his not coming to me that he would submit me his proposition in writing I have equally failed in receiving them I have therefore the honor to request as Mr. Adamson has probably not satisfactory proposition to make that the Board will be good enough to pass their final orders on my letter of the 25th Ultimo

2. I enclose as requested a copy of Mr. Adamson's Cowle and Moochilka & similar documents were not executed between him and his subrenters. It was only by an examination of accounts that their mutual arrangements were ascertained there are no documents that could be enforced against them. Hence probably Mr. Adamson's silence and apparent unwillingness to enter into new engagements.

Chinglepat District,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Chinglepat 18th April
1856.

(Signed) H. J. Shubrick
Collector.

Translation.

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

No. 1.

Translation of a Cowle issued by Mr. H. J. Shubrick Collector of Chinglepat, in favor of Mr. Thomas Adamson for the Alkarry Rent of the District.

Whereas I have rented out to you the privilege of Manufacturing and Selling Country arrack and Toddy at the places hereunder named under the provision of Section 5 Regulation 1 of 1825 the same shall be Continued to you agreeably to the Rules of the Farm from the 12th July to 30th June Fusly 1265.

1. Whereas the Alkarry Farm has been rented out to you from the 12th July to 30th June of Fusly 65. at Rs. 77197-11-6- You will liquidate the amount in the following Kist.

31st July	4270-14-2
31st August	6629-2-8
30th September	6629-2-8.
31st October	6629-2-8.
30th November	6629-2-8
31st December	6629-2-8
31st January	6629-2-8
28th February	6629-2-8
31st March	6629-2-8
30th April	6629-2-8
31st May	6629-2-8.
30th June	6629-2-8.
	77197-11-6.

2. You will neither Manufacture nor sell the arrack at any other than the places named in this License, you will not likewise sell toddy below the prices hereunder Specified:-

In

Fort St. George 28th May 1850.

3. In the distillation of arrack you will not use or permit to be used any ingredient injurious to the health of the People such as Lime, Pommerthungy, or any other substance Calculated to increase the power of the liquor or add to its intoxicating quality.

4. You will use your best exertions in inducing the Parties appointed by you to superintend distilleries or to the Charge of retail shops, to adhere strictly to the provisions of the Moochilika entered into by them respectively. Should you become aware of any infraction of the terms of the Moochilika on the part of any one of them you will bring the same to my notice in order that the penalties attached to the offence may be enforced and that the offender may be dismissed from the service.-

5. You are privileged to establish distilleries at the places hereunder named, according to the Number set opposite to them, and at no other places.-

6. Names of Places omitted-

7. You will Cause the party to whom you may subrent any portion of the privileges accorded to you under this Licence to observe the stipulations therein Contained in

Fort St. George 28th May 1850.

in the same Manner as you Conform to them yourself, and you will further cause every such Sub renter and others in his employ for the Superintendence of distilleries or shops for the Manufacture and Sale of liquors, to execute the Moochilika as prescribed in section 6 Regulation 1 of 1820.

8. The prices at which You will sell the several descriptions of liquor, or authorize your Subrenters to sell them shall not be lower than those noted below.-

1. Gallon Containing 5 bottles of arrack — 20 Single Fanams
1. Bottle — do — 8 drams - 4 Do
1. Dram — 40 - Cash
1. Measure of Toddy 1 Single Fanam.

9. The Government will nominate a separate Renter for the supply of the Spirits required for Troops in their line of March or during a Campaign.-

10 Should you or your Subrenters be guilty of an infraction of the foregoing stipulations you will forfeit the rent and incur such further penalty as is attached to the offence under Regulation 1 of 1820 and Should you be found Manufacturing or selling arrack

Fort St. George 28th May 1850.

arrack or Toddy after the expiration of your License, or after the forfeiture of your rent and without renewing your License, or after the forfeiture of the said License shall have been declared you will render yourself liable for the penalties specified in Cl. 9. section 6. of the above regulation.-

11. Should you fail to liquidate the amount of your Rists as entered in Col.n 1 hereof interest will be charged on the arrears at 6 per cent per Annum-

12. Copy of such part of Regulation of 1820 as Concerns an Ab Karry renter having been furnished to you, you will Conform to the provisions therein Contained-

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

10th July 1855-

(True Translation)

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector.

Fort St. George 28th May 1850.

Enclosure No 3.

Translation of a Moochilka executed by Mr. Thomas Adamson in favor of C. J. Shubrick Esquire Collector of Chingleput on account of the Ab Karry Cyarah of the Chingleput District.

You having rented out to me the exclusive privilege of manufacturing and vending Country Arrack and Toddy within the limits hereinafter described under the provisions of Section V Regulation I of 1820 you will continue the same on the following conditions from 12th July to 30th June of Fusly 1205.

Having taken the Cyarah for the above period from 12th July to 30th June of Fusly 1205 for Rupees 7797-11-0. I shall continue to pay the said sum in the following Rists.

Rists	Rupees	as.	P
31st July	4270-	14-	2.
31st August	0629-	2-	8
30th September	0629-	2-	8
31st October.	0629-	2-	8
30th November	0629-	2-	8.
31st December	0629-	2-	8.
31st January.	0629-	2-	8.
28th February	0629-	2-	8.
31st March	0629-	2-	8.

30th April.

Kists.	Rupees	a.	P.
30th. April	6029.	2.	8.
31st. May	6029.	2.	8.
30 June	6029.	2.	8.
Total 12 Kists	72197-	11-	6.

2. I will not work a still or open a shop for the retail sale of spirits at any other than the places mentioned in this Moochilka nor sell them below the prices hereafter specified.-

3. In the distillation of Arrack I will not use or permit to be used under any pretence whatever any ingredient pernicious to health such as Chunam or Dommattai Kayor other substance calculated to increase the power of the spirit or add to its intoxicating quality-

4. I will use my best endeavours to se= =cure on the part of the persons appointed by me to Superintend distilleries and to the charge of retail shops, a strict adherence to the pro= =visions of the Moochilka entered into by them respectively and that on its coming to my Knowledge that a breach of those provisions has been committed I will bring the

Circumstance

circumstance to your notice in order that the penalties attached to the offence may be en= =forced and the offender dismissed from the service.-

5. I shall be at liberty to establish Stills according to the number hereunder specified at the following places and no others.-

Places and the number of Stills omitted

6. I shall be at liberty to establish shops for the retail sale of Spirits at the following places and no others-

Places omitted-

7. I will cause any person to whom I may Subrent any portion of the exclusive privileges granted to me by this Moochilka to bind himself to observe all the Stipulations of the Moochilka in the same manner as the renter himself, and I will further cause every such Subrenter as well as every person whom I may employ to superintend distilleries or shops for the manufacture or sale of Spirituous liquors to execute the Moochilka prescribed in Section VI Regulation I of 1820.

8. The prices at which I shall sell or authorize my subrenters or venders to sell the several descriptions of liquor will not be

Lowers

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

lower than those hereafter mentioned. Small Fanams.

For 5 bottles containing one Gallon of wine - 20 -

1 - bottle containing 8 drams of Do. - 4 -

For one dram 40 Cash

For one Madras measure of Toddy - 1 Fanam

9. The Government shall appoint separate renters for the sale of liquor to the Troops on their march or during the Campaign -

10 - By a breach of the foregoing stipulations I or my subrenters will incur the forfeiture of my License and such further penalty as by Regulation I of 1820 is attached to the particular fault committed by us and if I be found manufacturing or vending Country Arrack or Toddy after the expiration of my license and without taking out a fresh license or after forfeiture of the said license has been declared I will be subject to the penalties specified in clause ninth section VI of the said Regulation -

11. Should I fail to discharge the first of the instalments mentioned in clause 1st you will collect the balance together with interest at the rate of 6 per Cent per Annum -

12. Having been furnished with a copy of such part of Regulation I of 1820 as concerns an abkarry renter I shall conform to the provisions therein Contained

(Signed) Thomas Adamson

Sydapet 10th July 1856.

(True Translation)

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick

Collector -

1174

No 120.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Bd No 1361

From the Collector of Chingleput

Sir

With reference to my letter of yesterday, I have the honor to forward the enclosed × Communication from Mr Adamson received this morning and which I cannot support with my recommendation -

× to be returned

2. The Board will perceive that Mr Adamson makes no allusion to the Sum of Rupees 3706 - 11 reported in my letter of the 25th Ultimo, as having been received by him from the Subrenters in excess of the Sums he has paid into my Treasury -

3. I enclose Copy of my letter to Mr Adamson: the portion of the Board's letter which I sent to him was copy of Para 2 of their letter of the 1st Instant -

Chingleput District Collr's Circuit Cutcherry Chingleput 19th April 1856

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick

Collector

To

Fort St. George 28th May 1836

No

To C. I. Shubrick Esqre

Collector of Chingleput

Sir

On receiving your Official letter of the 7th Instant, I addressed a private note to you espressive of my wish to wait on you personally on the Subject of your Communication: and I was informed in reply that if I called, I was to do so prepared with what I had to say written on paper. I have accordingly endeavoured to lay down my Sentiments in this address, and beg to submit the Same to you for the Consideration of the Revenue Board.

2. As you have Communicated to me but a part of the Board's letter, I am at a loss to apprehend the exact nature of their reference but if with the aid of your own proposal, I am to understand that it is desired to know if on permission being granted, I would be willing to resume and carry out the Abharry Contract to the remainder of the current fusly on depositing fresh security, I beg leave to submit the following representation in reply.—

Fort St. George 28th May 1836

3. I must be excused in alluding briefly to the circumstances which induced me to offer myself as renter of the abkarry farm on the temporary absence last year of Mr. Renrick the late Contractor, I was left in management of the farm and finding at the approaching termination of the fusly that there was a heavy balance against the renter, I was induced to solicit the renewal of the Contract to enable me to liquidate the balance as well as pay up the current fusly it is hardly necessary for me to state that the Country had not yet recovered its prosperity which had been unhappily affected by Continued droughts: and that the Sales of the Subrenters therefore fell far short of their expectations. It was with the greatest difficulty and after protracted delays that I made my Collections from them while at the Same time I endeavoured to fulfil my engagements to the State as punctually as I could. If you will but examine the accounts and Sum up the whole of my payments to your various treasuries and my deposit, I venture to assert you will find that you have money to my credit perhaps but a trifle short of the whole Sum due by me at the time I was relieved of the Contract. Adverse Circumstances of Season a prevailing lightness in the money market

market and even heavy Domestic affliction succeeded by protracted illness, were all against me; and yet I failed to receive Consideration on any of these grounds, you recommended to the Board, and that authority on your recommendation Confirmed the Sequestration of the farm; and altho I was at first greatly mortified, and had Considered and even written out an appeal to the Board I was induced by private advice as well as a review of the embarasments the farm had entailed on me to acquiesce in the act which had deprived me of an engagement beset with difficulty and trouble.

5. I am induced to recur to these Circumstances to submit that actuated with the best intentions, adverse circumstances alone prevented my fulfilling my engagements to the satisfaction of the Revenue authorities, and as promptly as I could have wished, but that once relieved by the act of the Revenue Authorities themselves of my engagement, I am reluctant to resume it unless placed in a position satisfactorily to fulfil it, with the prospect of retrieving past embarasements. The Remission you offer, Viz^t 3,500 Rupees, 500 of which is Specially in favor of the Sub renter of Poonamalie would not cover

cover the liability I would bring on myself by resumption of the Contract only for the remaining portion of the espiring fasly; so hopeless do I know the prospects of the farm to be. It may be worth my while however to take it up again, if you would recommend to the Board that I be allowed the renewal of the Contract for the ensuing five years on a reduced rent. It may look somewhat strange at first sight that a renter who has fallen into arrear should ask for a renewal of his Contract not for one but for five years, but I trust I shall satisfactorily shew that I have not presumed to make a proposition of this nature without considering whether I could do so on any justifiable grounds.

5. Whatever may have been the circumstances of the chunglepute District in previous years, which determined the authorities in fixing the rent of its Abkarry at a sum very nearly equal 80,000 Rupees per annum, recent experience has shewn that the farm has been too highly assessed. It is not from my own or Ant Ruricks experience that I speak, but if you will search your records and look into the past history of this

Source

Fort St. George 28th May 1856

Source of revenue you will find that previous Contractors and that with favorable Seasons have failed also. The history of the Contracts will be found to have been attended with continued failure on the part of the renter, and continued application on his behalf for a remission. The Circumstances of the District have altered for the worse in later years. The whole area of the Collectorate is Comparatively small and in extent and population is not above three or four talooks of the Bellary District. I allude especially to Bellary for it is a matter of notoriety that the renter of three or four talooks in that District, I believe those of Bellary, Raidroog Kumply, and Adoni, has amassed a fortune by his Contract of years. These talooks put together are greater in extent larger in population and richer in wealth and resources than the whole of the Chingleput Collectorate and yet the renter of these favored talooks does not hold his Contract at a rent anything near the Chingleput Abkarry. These things must be better known to the Revenue authorities than to me as I speak from report but one thing is clear, the renter there has found his speculation profitable while the renter here has only suffered loss.

6. The rent at which the Chingleput Abkarry

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

is fixed gives a nominal profit of 7 per cent to the Contractor, out of which he has to provide his establishment barely leaving him much as a return on his Speculation: but little as the profit may be, it still saves him from loss should his Sub renters be punctual in their payments as they will be in favorable Seasons. But should the Sub renters fail from adverse Circumstances the Contractor has still to pay the Government demand, if he has money of his own he can satisfy the demand at once: if he has not he must borrow money at a ruinous interest which not only swallows up all his profit but involves him in embarasments often well nigh irretrievable. In such case the Abkarry can be taken up and managed successfully only by men of large Capital. The days of capitalists in India have however passed away but should there be any left still they would rather invest their money in other safe Speculations producing a return of not less than 12 per cent for which there is always scope in the present days. Men may indeed be found willing to take up the Contract at its present rate they will do so in ignorance of its real difficulties and the consequence will be failure, applications for remission interference of the Revenue Officers and finally resumption of the farm. To ensure the rent of the Abkarry being punctually collected and realized

Fort St George 28th May 1856.

realized it must be reduced to such an esctent as to afford a sufficient inducement to interprizing men to hazard a venture which may bring them some return in a favorable season or only intail a small loss in an unfavorable one. Such a reduction will eventually be as beneficial to the State as it will be immediately convenient to the officers administering the revenue: for were the innumerable occasions for interference and the actual remissions made taken into account it will be found that in the long run that it has been a loss to the State to fix the rent at its present high rate.

7. I would venture to propose that the farm be restored to me for the remainder of the Current Fusly at its present rate on the Condition of the renewal of the Contract for the next five years to me at a reduced rent not exceeding 70000 Rupees per annum your own experience of the difficulties of the farm since it has been taken under the management of your Officers will bear me out in my Statement of the great difficulty there exists of realizing the amounts at which the farms has been Sublet and I know that the Sub renters are holding their Contracts at a loss to themselves. I beg therefore that you will give these facts due consideration and trust that you will deem it proper to submit this representation for the consideration of the Revenue Board.

Madras 17th April 1856. — /Signed/ Thomas Aamison

To.

Fort St George 28th May 1856.

Enclosure No 2

To Mr T Adamson

Sir, I have the honor to forward for your information Copy of Para 2 of a letter to my address from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 4th Instant, relative to the management of the Abkarry Farm now under Sequestration, and request you will inform me by return of post if practicable, if you have any suggestions to make for carrying out your engagement, in the event of a remission of Rupees +3,500 being conceded to you from the Settled demand at the end of the year on account of the adverse nature of the Season of the Current Fusly. The information being required to be furnished to the Board at the earliest practicable date, I request you will favor me with your reply as soon as Convenient.

Chingleput District Collectors
Circuit Cutcherry Poonamallee
7th April 1856. . . .

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent.

To The Government Pleader

Sir I am directed by the Board of Revenue to

+ General remission
Special to
Bonamallee Subrenters

(1173-1175)

Bd Dr No 1617
To the Government Pleader . . .

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

to request that you will favor them with your opinion on the accompanying case relating to the abkarry revenue.

2. For the sake of clearness a Specific case is laid before you, but the Subject is of general importance as the Cowle and Moochilkah which accompany the case are in the form used on all occasions under the orders of the Board.

3. The Board will be obliged by an early reply.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
28th May 1856.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

No 1

Case

1. Mr Adamson obtained the abkarry farm of the Chingleput District in Fusly 1263 / 1853/56 / for the Sum of Rs 77197 11 6 payable in 12 Monthly kists.

2. The farm was given to him under the general provisions of Regulation I of 1820 and formal agreements detailing the terms on which it was to be held by him were exchanged between the Collector on the part of Government and him /See enclosed Cowle and Moochilkah/

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

3. In January 1856 when seven months of the year had only espired. Mr Adamson had fallen into such arrears that the Collector acting for Government, attached the farm and took it into his own management.

4. The power of Government to make Mr Adamson responsible in his person and property for the balance which was actually due when the farm was attached, is presumed to be indisputable under Section VIII Regulation I of 1820.

5. But what is Mr Adamson's position as respects the farm after it was taken out of his hands by Government? Supposing that a Sum equal to the kists due for the 5 remaining months / February - March - April - May and June / is not realised under the Government management, is he responsible in his person and property for the deficiency or on the other hand supposing that a Surplus profit is obtained is he entitled to any amount realized in excess of the Government demand?

6. In short does Government by attaching the farm relieve Mr Adamson of responsibility from the date of attachment, or is Government merely

acting

Fort St. George 28th May 1856.

acting as agent for Mr. Adamson in managing the farm.?

7. If Mr. Adamson's interest and responsibility do not cease with the attachment is he bound by the acts of Government in managing the farm such for example as modifying the terms on which the Sub renters hold their cases for the period between the attachment and the close of the year?

8. On Mr. Adamson receiving the farm he deposited a sum equal to 3 months kists as a general security to the Government against loss from his failing to execute his engagement, Can this sum be applied to liquidate any deficiency which occurs after the attachment of the farm as well to make good the balance which is due when the farm is attached.?

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
28th May 1856. } /signed/ G. S. Forbes
Collector

Fort St. George 28th May 1856

No. 83

To. G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1176)

Bd. No. 1684
From the Collector of North Arcot. }

Sir

With reference to the 2nd Para of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th Instant No. 1356. I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Baboo Row the Coomastah therein referred to, received no special allowance while at Madras, beyond his ordinary pay.-

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry } Signed/ C Whittingham
Chittoor 13th May 1856. - - - - } Collector

(1176-1177)
Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Mx No. 1148
From Sudr Court.
1178

Read forwarding Indent for Cloth for covering Tables and Desks of the Zillah and Sudr Ameen's Courts.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent.-

(1178-1179)

To. The acting Civil and Sessions Judge of Masulipatam

Bd. No. 1626
To the Actg Civil & Sessions Judge of Masulipatam - - - }

Sir

With reference to the

†Dated 20th March 1856. transferred to this Office from the Court of Sudr Adawlut under date 13th May 1856. in Cons 28th May 1856. Mr No 1128.

the Indent for Cloth for covering Tables and Desks of your Court, I am directed to acquaint you that the Government have delegated to the Board of Revenue the duty of disposing of such requisitions and that your application will be attended to in due course.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
28th May 1856.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No 446
1856

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(1180)

Bc No 1792
From the Collector of Malabar

Sir

With reference to the Board's Circular No 1172 dated 19th ultimo, I have the honor to forward an Indent on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance for tools required for the Malabar Exhibition.—

Calecut
11th May 1856

(Signed) T. Clarke
Collector

(1180-1181)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.—

Extract

No 3295

Bc No 1709
From the Chief Engineer Department Public Works
(1182)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public Works.—

Under date the 17th May 1856.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter No 1361 dated 7th May 1856)

Resolved to forward a copy of the Sketch shewing the proposed site of the Sea Custom House and Master Attendants Office to be erected at Cocanada to the Secretary Board of Revenue as requested in the foregoing Extract.—

1 Sketch

(Signed) C E Faber
Chief Engineer

Ordered that the following letter be sent.—

(1182-1183)

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Bc No 1625
To the Secretary to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government a sketch of the proposed site of a Sea Custom House and Master Attendants Office to be erected at Cocanada. The Sketch has

has been obtained from the Chief Engineer and was called for by the Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 20th November 1855 No 808.-

Revenue Board Office - - -
Fort St George 28th May 1856.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No 148

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

1184

Sir

Br No 1826.
From the Collector of
Chingleput - - -

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board with reference to their Proceedings of the 6th Instant No 1387 that the principle of holding the Talook and Hoozoor Sheriff responsible for guarding against the receipt of counterfeit coin into the Treasuries in their charge stands good in this District, but no special rules have been laid down binding them to it, excepting the stipulations contained in the security bonds executed in their behalf. There are no instances in which great losses have occurred calling for prominent notices.-

Chingleput District. - - -
Collectors Circuit Catchery.
Pulicat, 21st May 1856 - -

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Ordered

1184-1185

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

To
The Collector of
Sea Customs
Madras

1186

Sir

Br No 1824.-
To the Collector of
Sea Customs Madras

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the Report called for in their Circular of 2th December 1855, respecting the result of the Revenue administration made up to 30th April.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George.
28th May 1856 - - -

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Read

8846 Fort St George 28th May 1856

Mil. No. 1792

From the Collector of Malabar. Read Indent

(1187) on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance

for Tools required for agricultural

Exhibition.

(1187-1188)

Order thereon. Ordered that the

original Indent be passed

and forwarded to the Secretary

to the Military Board

C.R.

8847 Fort St George 29th May 1856.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Thursday the 29th May 1856.

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation

Dated 5th December 1854 and entered in Cons 4th January 1856.

Ordered that the following Letter be sent

in reply.

(1189)

To

The Secretary to

Government.

B. No. 1650

Sir,

To The Secretary to Government Inl Cons 4 January 1855.

With reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 5th December 1854. — No. 1590. — I am directed to bring to the notice of Government that the Zemindars of Calastry and Cavetnugger in North Arcot still deny the applicability of Regulation VI of 1831 to their Estates and maintain that the Government have no right of interference in regard to their village Servants whom they declare to have no concern with Police duties. —

Enc 1 in From North Arcot 5th inst Cons 10 July 1855

2 The Zemindar of Calastry in a communication to the Collector dated 9th May last expresses his readiness to furnish a return of the dismissal &c of such Servants but has hitherto failed to do so. —

Enc 2 in From N. Arcot 5 inst Cons 10 July 1855

3 The Cavetnugger Zemindar however maintains that that enactment is inapplicable, and requests to be informed how he is to bring

the

the matter to a judicial issue &c.

4 The Board have waited until now in hopes that these Zemindars would ultimately have yielded, but as this expectation has not been realised, they bring the subject again under the consideration of Government.

5 It was the intention of Government that the Collector should act on the Regulation and force the Zemindars to take the initiative in bringing the matter before the Courts. This mode of proceeding is however frustrated by their passive resistance and the only mode the Board can suggest of meeting the difficulty is to instruct the Collector to make it generally known that Village Police Officers dismissed or furnished by these Zemindars are at liberty to appeal to the Collector. The question may thus be brought to issue in the manner desired by Government but some time may elapse before this object can be attained.—

6 The other land holders furnish the Collector with the necessary returns.—

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George
29th May 1850

Signed G. L. Forbes
Secretary

Read

1190

Bd. No 1769.
From the Govt. Sec.y
to Government

Read the following Papers.

No 103

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Public Department Dated 12th May 1850.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India in the Financial Department dated 11th April 1850.—

Read again Financial Resolution dated 17th September last.—

Read para 34 of a despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors of the East India Company No 11. of 1850. dated the 6th February last.—

Para 34. Although there may be some cases in which a Medical Certificate is scarcely required, yet we think it wise for practice to insist on Medical testimony being given whenever an Uncovenanted Servant applies for permission to retire in consequence of infirmity of body or mind, and we desire that this practice be continued.—

The Honorable Court having in their Financial Despatch No 11 of the 6th February last, ruled that Medical testimony shall be given whenever an &c.

Uncovenanted Servant applies for permission to retire from the service of Government, in consequence of infirmity of body or mind, the Right Honorable the Governor General in Council is pleased to recall the orders passed in the Financial Resolution of the 17th September last, by which the question of incapacity in cases of Public Servants unfitted for further employment

Fort St. George 29th May 1850.

employment by old age, was left to be decided by the Head of the Office, subject to appeal if necessary, to the next higher executive authority, and to determine that in future a Medical Certificate shall be required in such case to accompany application for Pension.-

Ordered that a copy of the above Resolution be forwarded to the Governments of Bengal, Fort St. George, Bombay, North West Provinces, the Governor of the Straits Settlements, and the Civil Auditor of Fort William.-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ C. H. Lushington.
Secretary to the Government of India

Ordered that the foregoing Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India dated 11th April last, be communicated to all Heads of Offices for their information and guidance, in submitting future Applications.-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ G. N. Taylor.
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government

1190-1191

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.-

Extract

Fort St. George 29th May 1850.

B. No. 1757.

From the Secretary to Government

1192

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department Dated 20th May 1850.-

Acquitting the Collector of Coimbatore and the Acting Superintendent of the Ammenally Forests of having been actuated by any feeling not strictly Honorable and to their Credit as public servants in the expression made use of by them lately in the matter of the Cochin Forests and declining to comply with Mr. Thomas's wish to be relieved from the control of the Ammenally Forests.-

See No. 510 in Separate Volume / P. 119

1192-1193

B. No. 1632.

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore for the information of himself and Captain Hamilton. A copy of this order will be furnished to Mr. Thomas for transmission to Captain Hamilton.-

1194

B. No. 1758

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, Dated 20th May 1850.-

Authorizing the issue of a Permission Certificate in the form to Mr. G. P. Jukes for 4 Cawnies 6 Grounds 1518 square feet of land in the Town of Cocanada in the District of Rajahmundry.-

See No. 509 in Separate Volume / P. 120

1194-1195

B. No. 1638

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Register of Government Grants for his information and guidance.-

B. No. 1766
From the Secretary to Government

1196

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Revenue Department Dated 21st May 1855

Authorizing the Collector of Trichinopoly to disburse Rs. 45 to Captain Priestley for the semicircular Protractor purchased by him for the use of the survey Department under his charge.

/ See No. 318 in 1st Series Volume 160/21

1196-1197

B. No. 1631
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Trichinopoly.—

1198

B. No. 1769.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly

No. 60

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Referring to your Board's Proceedings No. 851 of date the 19th ultimo, I have the honor to furnish the further information respecting the "cutlay levy" mentioned in my letter No. 36 of the 23d February 1855.

2 From a length of time (perhaps from the cession of the District) a certain allowance varying from one to thirty Rupees, according to the condition and revenue of the village was permitted to the Curnums, and levied from the Ryots

Ryots as remunerations for expenses incurred such as for oil, badjans &c. in the preparation of the Settlement accounts, and as batta during their absence from their villages in attendance at the station where the Settlement accounts were ordered to be prepared.—

3 This "Cutlay levy" as it is called, is collected in various modes in different parts of the Provinces and the fixed sum, whatever that may be for the village, is apportioned to each Ryot.—

In the dry villages of the irrigated Talooks of Conaud, Mooseery and Saulgoody and in the four Upland or dry Talooks of Sorriore Volconda Pooram, Arrealore and Wodiarpolliam the levy is entered in the Puttah, and paid by the Ryots to the Curnum at the end of, or during the Fusly.—

4 On the other hand in all the wet Villages of the four irrigated Talooks, as also in the dry villages of Vittoorcutty, the Cutlay levy is not entered in the Puttahs, but paid to the Curnums by the Ryots themselves according to each man's portion or Cess.—

5 In the irrigated Talooks the "Cutlay levy" is rendered in Kind to the Curnums, and will vary according to number of Cawnies Cultivated.—

I

6 I have no doubt but that the existing Saderwared allowances will be found Sufficient as stated in my former letter.

Trichinopoly District
Mooseery
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
5th April 1850

Signed J. Bird
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

1198-1199
B. No. 1629

To
The Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th Ultimo, explaining, in accordance with the request made in the Board's Proceedings dated the 19th of March No. 851 the system under which the cutlay levy is collected from the Ryots of Trichinopoly to meet the expences which the Cummums are put to in attending the settlement and in providing Cadjans &c. for the Puttahs—

2 In the 19 paragraph of your letter of the 23d of October 1852 No. 102, you informed the

Do. Cons 23 January 1855

Board that you had already issued orders to your Tahsildars to strike out of their accounts "all extra imposts such as the levies "on account of Saderwared" the Board now therefore direct me to request that you will explain to them how it is that the cutlay levy is still collected, in apparent inconsistency with the order which you have informed them that you have already given for its abolition.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May 1850

Signed G. S. Forbes.
Secretary

No. 54

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1200
B. No. 1837

Sir,

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor

I have the honor to state, with reference to the order contained in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th Instant, that the general practice in this District is to make the Sheriffs responsible for any counterfeit coins received by them into the public treasuries. I am unable at present to trace any order of superior

8850 Fort St. George 29th May 1850.

Superior authority prescribing this course.

Guntoor District
Collectors Office
Moondradoo Dindy
20th May 1850.

Signed W. Newill
Acting Collector.

Order thereon

1200-1201

Ordered to lie over.

No. 47.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1202

Bo. No. 1858.

Sir,

From the Acting Collector
of Masulipatam

In reply to the Circular Proceedings under date 6th Instant No. 1387, I beg to inform you that the Sh- Masulipatam & Tatlook Treasuries, have ever been held personally responsible for Counterfeit Coins discovered in the public money received and passed by them I can find no authority for this rule, but it seems to have obtained in this District from the earliest time, as an essential condition attached to the office.

Masulipatam Collectors
Cutcherry
21st May 1850

Signed G. Fraser
Acting Collector.

Ordered thereon.

1202-1203

Ordered to lie over.

8857 Fort St. George 29th May 1850.

No. 90

Bo. No. 1770

Official Memorandum.

From the Acting Accountant General

1204

The Acting Accountant General has the honor to request that the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will have the goodness to forward to this Office for reference, the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 27th February 1850. regarding the hire for conveying Remittances from the Hoozoor Treasury of the Collector of North Arcot to the Officer Commanding at Vellore.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
22d May 1850.

Signed G. L. Prendergast
Acting Accountant General.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent in reply.

1204-1205

Bo. No. 1637.

Official Memorandum.

To The Acting Accountant
General

With reference to the Official Memorandum from the Acting Accountant General dated 22d May 1850, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward a copy of the Board's Proceedings to the Collector of North Arcot dated 22d February 1850. No. 572.

Revenue Bo. Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May 1850.

Signed G. S. Forbes
Secretary

To

8858 Fort St. George 29th May 1856

No. 99

1206

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

B. No. 1833.

Sir,

From the Collector of South Arcot — With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th Instant No. 1464, and to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 7th Instant which accompanied it, I have the honor to state that, upon testing the three samples of French Paper received with the Board's Circular of the 13th February last, No. 422 I found that only No. 3, priced at 2 Rs. 4 as the Ream was likely to suit me.

2 I therefore applied to Messrs Cammiade Martin & Co. for samples of other Paper of a rather more substantial texture and of larger size.

3 These were furnished and having selected one of good quality priced at 3 Rs. 8 as the Ream, I procured 25 Reams of it and 35 Reams of the former kind at Rupees 2 Rs. 4 as

Reporting upon the quantity and quality of the French paper used in the District in reference to Boards Proceedings 15th Instant No. 1464.

8859 Fort St. George 29th May 1856

ream

4. The Paper was delivered at my office by Messrs Cammiade Martin & Co. free of all charge for carriage.

5 I beg to send specimens of the two Kinds of Paper to which I allude.

6. Both Kinds are useful for my purposes and will in a great degree supersede the use of common Country Paper.

7 The better of the two sorts of Paper answers for writing Takeeds, official vernacular correspondence Urzees from the Talook Officers and Drafts of English letters and Accounts.

8. The second Sort is also adapted for draft of letters and does for other General purposes in the Revenue and Police Departments.

9. As yet the change is only under introduction so that I can not speak positively as to its result, with reference to the quantity of Paper which will be used and to the relative cost.

10. The Country Paper at present used for Takeeds, Official Yadasts Urzees and

Fort St. George 29th May 1856

and Talook Agrardy Books Costs Rupees 4-6 the Ream. Thus by substituting French Paper for these objects, a saving of 11 as the ream will be effected.

11. The total quantity of Country Paper thus used for the whole District is about 72 Reams a year. The whole saving therefore in this item will be something like Rupees 63 per annum.

12. The Country Paper on which depositions and Accounts are recorded in the Hoozoor and Talooks Costs 1 R 15 a 1 8 the Ream which is Cheaper than either kinds of French Paper. In this respect therefore no change can be made.

13. The Sub Collector reports that he has commenced making use of the French Paper in the manner above detailed and that he fully approves of the change.

14. I have therefore recently directed Messrs. Cammiade & Co. to send a further supply of 50 Reams of the Paper priced Rs and 3 8 the Ream.

South Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore,
7th May 1856

(Signed) A Hall
Collector

Order thereon.

(1206-1207)

Ordered to be recorded. The Bd will await further reports.

Fort St. George 29th May 1856

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

To The Secretary to Government

(1208)

Bd No. 1636.

To The Secretary to Government

No. 934 of 1856. South Arcot dated 9th in Cons 27th Feby 1856.

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government dated 15th May 1856 on the accompanying Petition I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the report alluded to in their Endorsement on petition on No. 3631 of 1855.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

(1209)

Official Memorandum

Bd No. 1633.

Official Memorandum

To the Secretary to Government

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Secretary to Government will furnish him with Six Copies of the Reports and other papers in view to a revision and recasting of the Revenue Laws of the Madras Presidency which are required for the use of the Office

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

8862 Fort St. George 29th May 1856

1210

Bd. No. 1634

Official Memorandum

Official Memorandum
To The Civil Auditor

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Civil Auditor will be pleased to fill in the entries in the Accompanying Statement and return it as early as possible

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May 1856

Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

1211

Bd. No. 1635.

Official Memorandum.

Official Memorandum
To the Canara Translator
to Government

With reference to Extract Minutes Consultation dated 30th July 1855, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request the Canarese Translator to Government to favor the Board with abstract translations of the Petitions noted

8863 Fort St. George 29th May 18

Nos. 1385-1454 of 1856 noted in the Margin

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
29th May 1856

Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Bd. No. 1724.

From the Secretary to Government
17th May 1856

1212

Reviewing the Report submitted by the Commissioner of the Salcondah and Hoonjeroom Estates in Vizagapatam for Fasly 1264, by the Lessees Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.

1212-1213

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Received

Fort St. George 29th May 1856

Received the undermentioned

1214

Miscellaneous letters and Statements

Mr. No. 1296.

From the Agent at Kurnool — Statement of contingent

Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees

incurred in the 4 Quarter of the Official

1215

year or from 1st February to the 30th April

1856.

Mr. No. 1297.

From the Collr. of Malabar — Reports that no

Persons were tried or convicted

under Regulation IX of 1872 as also

that no cases occurred under Regulation

VI of 1831 during April last.

F.P.S.

Dy

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Friday the 30th May 1856.

Read the following Papers.

1216

Bd. No. 1066

From the Secy. to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 29th March 1856.

calling the Board's attention to the orders contained in Extract Minutes Consultation 6th June 1855 in which the Government requested sundry information in reference to Mr. Bayley's Memo on the Nellisee land measures of this Presidency

Vide No. 342 in Separate Volume P. 122

1216-1217

Order thereon — Ordered to lie over.

Bd. No. 1726.

From the Secy. to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 19th May 1856.

1218

Requesting the Board to transmit to the Collector of Ganjam copy of the correspondence that has passed with the Collector of Rajahmundry respecting the improvement of the Coringa Salt, as that of Nonpadah seems to need improvement also

Vide No. 507 in Separate Volume P. 123

1218-1219

Bd. No. 1755

Proceedings thereon — Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation and of the correspondence noted in the margin, be forwarded to the Collector of Ganjam, with the request that he will investigate the capabilities of the Cotaur with a view to improving the salt produced therein.

From Govt. 25th inst. in Cons. 26th April 1855
" " 24th Octr. in Cons. 1st Novr. 1855
" Rajahmundry 3-4-5 5th Decr. 55 in Cons. 28th Jany. 56
Pro. to — Govt. 28th Jany. 56.
From Govt. 7th in Cons. 15th Febr. 56.

Official

Fort St George 30th May 1856

(1220)

Bd No 1763

From the Secy to Government

Official Memorandum

In reply to the Official Memo from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 10th Instant, No 1674 the Secretary to Government begs to refer to the order of Government of the 29th June 1852 No 616 placing the Superintendent of the Anamalay Forests under the Collector of Coimbatore in pursuance of a recommendation from the Board of Revenue.

Fort St George
31st May 1856

Signed / G.D. Bourdillon
Asst to Government

Order thereon
1220-1221

Ordered to be recorded.

No 68

To. G.L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(1222)

Bd No 1024

From the Collr of Nellore

Respecting the quantity of Records & the way in which it is proposed to deal with them.

Sir,

In compliance with the request conveyed in the concluding portion of para 3 of your letter dated the 25th July last, I have the honor to submit a report regarding the quantity of Records which require arrangement, and the manner I propose to deal with them.

The

Fort St George 30th May 1856

I Hoogoor Seloogoo Records.

2 The Records are of three descriptions.

3 These consist of 10723 Duftars, containing the papers that have been received from time to time in the several Departments and transferred to the Record rooms, of the Hoogoor Cutcherry. They are classed as follows.

	Duftars.
Sheristah	4,586
Javabneviss	2069
Salt	992
Sayer	726
Sea Custom	232
Ab Karry	109
Marumut	475
Police	646
Sub Division	294
Sundry	
	10723

4 These records are supposed to weigh in the aggregate about 5000 Maunds or upwards. Beside these there is a large quantity of records, belonging to the Sheristah and Jevabneviss Departments, extending back to 5 or 6 years, and lodged at present in their respective cutcherries which have not been yet sent into the Record room. These also will require arrangement.

II Sub Division & Sabook Records.

5 The following abstract will exhibit

Fort St George 30th May 1856.

exhibit at one view the quantity of Records in the Sub Division, and in the several Talooks and Police Divisions.

Departments	Total Records Drafters	weight Mds.
1 Sub Division	638	"
Talooks		
1 Cotah	593	173
2 Surwapully	744	288
3 Nellore	338	71
4 Salamunchy	906	208
5 Sungum	615	169
6 Cavaly	566	163
7 Gundavole	357	104
8 Ravoor	377	108
9 Colegherry	813	192
10 Woodiaghery	587	195
11 Sydapoor	571	174
12 Buddapoody	428	118
13 Devagoodoor	724	190
14 Ongole	1064	525
15 Enamamamellore	1188	265
16 Chendaloor	728	200
	937	214
Police Divisions	11536	3387
1 Nellore Bazar		
2 Tadak	78	"
3 Venkatagherry	95	37
4 Naiduspett	89	35
5 Pamoor	136	45
6 Chowdy	193	52
7 Duvey chendaloor	90	33
8 Podelay	158	51
9 Gogoolapully	154	55
	130	40
Total	1173	343
	13297	3730

Fort St George 30th May 1856.

6 The above comprise all the records in the Native languages.

7 The next point to be considered is how they are to be dealt with. The object in view is, as far as possible, to separate the useless papers, which should be destroyed or sold as waste paper to the Manufacturer; and having done that, to index, and arrange those worth preserving in such a manner as to make them easily accessible when required. Some facilities exist to commence on this work Mr Bishop a former Collector of this District, appointed a Committee in the year 1846 to prepare Lists of the useless Records. The Records were looked over by them from the commencement up to fusly 1250, and the Lists they prepared were laid before the Collector The Talooqa Records Keeper however, on reviewing these Lists pointed out that several of the papers condemned by the Committee have been often called for, and gave a List shewing what papers should not be destroyed, of those recommended to be so by the Committee

Mr

8 Mr Bishop referred the question to the Head Shirastadar, since which nothing has been done.

9 The following plan appears to me to be the best.

10 The Record Keeper is the person the most capable of giving an opinion which papers are useless and which should be preserved, as regards the Records in his immediate charge; and the Heads of each Department in like manner regarding the papers that pass through their hands. When Government sanctions the Establishment, the Head Goomastah appointed should set to work in communication with the Sheristadar Record Keeper, and the Head of the Department to which the papers belong and should make a final report to the Collector as to what descriptions of papers are to be kept, and what not and on sanction being received from him, immediate measures should be taken to have the destroyed or otherwise disposed of after which the Records to be present should

should be arranged and indexed. When all the Hoogoot Records are finished the Extra Establishment should proceed to deal in the same manner with the Telook Records, or one or two of the Goomastahs should proceed to the Telooks and carry on the work simultaneously, as may be found most convenient.

11 To carry out these plans I beg to recommend that the following additional Establishment should be entertained for one year viz:

1	Goomastah	at on 25 Rs	per Mensem.
2	Assistants	Do at 21 Rupees	
		42 Rupees	
1	Do	Do at 10	Do
1	Dufterbund	at — 5 -	Do
		82-	

being a charge of Rs. 984 for the whole period.

12 I do not think it desirable to fix the salaries too low, as efficient and responsible persons only should be employed for such duties, and if an additional safe guard is deemed necessary, I could depute persons on my permanent establishment and make use of the temporary Goomastahs.

Commissariat in their rooms.

III English Records.

13 The Collectors English correspondence are contained in 581 Books of letters sent and received separately arranged. Beside these Books there are 182 Drafters containing other papers unbound, and unarranged, such as a variety of office copies of Statements, accounts &c sent to the Revenue Board, Accountant General and Civil Auditor, and other Miscellaneous papers.

14. The want of an Index to the correspondence was represented to the Board by the late Collector Mr White under date the 27th May 1851 Some efforts have been made to prepare one, but very little progress has been made, and as it is a task involving a great deal of time and labor, and the English Writers establishment in this District is on a very low scale, some additional assistance seems necessary.

The

15 The safest and most expeditious method of proceeding with so desirable an object at so remote a date, appears to be, with the sanction of the Board, to make a copy of that portion of the general Indexes kept in their Office, which refers to this Districts as the correspondence with the Revenue Board is the most important portion of these Records, and an index to it is that which is most urgently called for.

16. In order therefore to copy the Indexes for the correspondence with the Board, and to examine and arrange the English records generally, including the Drafts of letters sent connected with the resumption of the Woodiagherry Jaghire, which have never been copied into a Book, but remain in the hand writing of Messrs Stonhouse, and Casamajor, I beg to recommend that a writer for the period of 1 year, at 21 Rupees per mensem should likewise be entertained.

In

Fort St George 30th May 1856

17 In the event of this proposition being sanctioned, I shall arrange for the writer attending at the Board's office, with the necessary stationary.

18 The total amount of the sum for which sanction is now requested will amount to Rupees 103 ... per Annum or Rupees 1236 for the year, which I request the Board to submit for the favorable consideration of Government and that I may be allowed to appropriate to the same purpose the sale proceeds of waste papers that are condemned which seems preferable to either burning or otherwise destroying them.

Telugoo Records 82
English do 21
103

19 The delay caused in procuring information from the Talookahs did not admit of this charge being entered in the Estimate on account of Extra Establishment for fasly 1265. I beg therefore to suggest that it should be included in that for fasly 1266.

20 As it is not practicable to state precisely what Peons will be required

Fort St George 30th May 1856

to deal with so large an extent of Records I propose in the first instance to see what can be done in a year, and to send in a report after its close, or earlier if it is found that the business can be satisfactorily done in less time.

Nellore Collectors Office
25th March 1856

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

B/ No 1664

Proceedings thereon.

(1222-1223)

The Board request the Collector to include the additional Establishment proposed to be entertained for arranging the English and native Records of his District in the Estimate of Extra Establishment for fasly 1266 which will be submitted for the sanction of Government with the Estimates on the same account from other Districts.

2. If the Government sanction the arrangement, the Board would prefer that Peons on the fixed Establishment be employed on the Work, and that their duties be performed by the Extra Goomastahs. detailed lists of Papers to be destroyed should be prepared and carefully scrutinized by

Fort St George 30th May 1856.

by the Head, and Next Sheristadars before submission to the Collectors, and these should be preserved in the Collector's Office.

No 92.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(1224)

Bt No 1618
From the Asst Collr
of Ganjam

Sir,

With reference to your Circular of the 21st July last No 1871 I have the honor to inform you that there are many religious institutions in this District the officiating priests of which are willing to sell their monthly allowances for 20 years purchase but I beg to observe that the native community view this measure with distrust they assert, and with reason that the officiating priests servants in the works, have no authority whatever to sell the allowances attached to the different institution in perpetuity they having but a life interest in the same. It appears to me therefore that these sales cannot be confirmed There are no personal pensions either for life or in perpetuity which come under the limits of the above orders.

Ganjam. District Collectors
Cutcherry, Chittapore
3rd May 1856

/Signed/ W. Knox
Acting Collector

(1224-1225)
Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

To

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

No 39

To

G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(1226)

B. No 1633
From the Offg Agent to the
Governor at Kurnool

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 26th March 1856 No 872, I have the honor to enclose for your information copy of a letter received from the Assistant Civil Engineer in charge of this District respecting the transfer of the Treasury therein referred to, and to inform you that I have taken necessary measures to undertake the repair on the emergent Estimate amounting to Rupees 289 which has since been furnished by the Assistant Civil Engineer for this work. —

Kurnool ---
Govt Agents Office
8th May 1856 --

/Signed/ C. G Russell
Offg Agent to the Govr

To

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

No. 80

To

Captain J. G. Russell

Offg. Agent to the Governor &c.

Kurnool.

Sir

Agreeably to the request contained in your letter of the 22d Ultimo No. 91 I yesterday inspected the General Treasury and am of opinion that the building to which you proposed to remove the Treasury is in every way more suitable to the purpose, after undergoing a few repairs and alterations, than repairing the present building which is in a very dilapidated and dirty condition the new building has fine strong thick walls its situation is better, being more open and under the eyes of the jail guard on the side where the windows are in addition to its own (Guard). I have directed my Maistry to make a Plan of the building and an Estimate for converting it into a secure Treasury: which I shall have the honor to forward when made out.

And I should propose for greater security that the buildings or rooms

7 On the East front

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

rooms &c. adjacent in ruins and unoccupied be pulled down as also the building now occupied as a Treasury; and materials &c. sold at least such as are not required for the repairs I speak of This would be a great improvement both for sanitary considerations and the greater security of the Treasury by completely isolating it.—

(Signed) E. R. Blagrave
Asst. Civil Engineer in charge
of Kurnool District

Asst. Civil Engineer's Office
Kurnool 1st May 1856.

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. G. Russell
Offg. Agent to the Governor

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the previous correspondence—

1227

B. No. 2652
To the Secy. to Govt.
Revenue Department

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir

Letter from Agent dated 11th in Cons. 26th March 1856 Board Proceedings 26th March Offg. Agents letter, with Enclosure No. 1633 dated 8th in Cons. 28th May 1856 B. Pro. 30th May 1856.—

With reference to the accompanying correspondence, I am desired by the Board of Revenue to state that as the removal of the Treasury at Kurnool to another building was found highly desirable under the

the report of the Assistant Civil Engineer of the District and the Officiating Agent has on an emergent estimate undertaken the repairs and alterations necessary to fit it for the purpose, the Board recommend that the sanction of Government may be given to the transfer and the consequent outlay.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, ---
30th May 1856
B. No. 1851

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Further Proceedings thereon.—

(1226-1228)

8

It appears from the foregoing letter that the Officiating Agent has under the Report of the Assistant Civil Engineer anticipated the sanction of the Board to the transfer of the Treasury to the building mentioned in his letter of the 11th March last, and that repairs are already in progress on an emergent estimate of Rupees 289-- and not of Rupees 98-2 at which amount the probable cost is

(In Cons: 26th May 1856)

ther

there stated, the Plan and Estimate alluded to, by the Officiating Agent, the Board presume, have been forwarded to the Chief Engineer for submission to Government.—

2 The Board are willing to conclude that Captain Russells judgment has been rightly exercised on this occasion, but he will understand that such a step should only be taken when it is found to be impossible to wait for the instructions of higher authority.—

No. 47

(1229)

To
G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revn.
Fort St. George

B. No. 1788
From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to report for information of the Board that I have directed the attachment of the property described in the accompanying List belonging to one Mattoopia the Raroo, who has not made his appearance to answer a charge of "severe wounding" within the time prescribed in a Proclamation issued for the purpose under clause 1st Section XXII Regulation IX of 1816.

Calicut, 14th May 1856

/Signed/ T. Clarke
Collector

8882 Fort St. George 30th May 1856

No. 1

Statement Shewing the property of Mallooporam &c Revenue attached by Government in the Shevaroy Talook Zillah Calicut under Clause 1st Section XVII Regulation IX of 1816.

Amsham in which the property is situated	Deshom	Name of the Property	Terms on which the same is held	Estimate Value thereof
Nellore	Nellore	Parrakat Paramba	Farmom or Mortgage Koy Rauom or value of Improvement	15 L. 25 "
			Total Pr.	40 L.

/ Signed / T. Clarke
Collector & Magistrate

B. of R. 1653

Proceedings thereon

(1229-1230)

Presuming that a summons and a warrant were issued previous to the Proclamation, the Board instruct the Collector and Magistrate to take the necessary measures for the due care and management of the property referred to in the foregoing letter, and at the expiration of five Months from the date of attachment, if not previously removed to report the case for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in conformity with the clause 5 Section XVII Regulation IX 1816.

8883 Fort St. George 30th May 1856.

No. 96

To. G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir Fort St. George

(1231)

B. of R. No. 1739
From the Collector
of Madura.

I have the honor to submit translates of the Plaints No. 26 of 1855 and 7 of 1856 preferred before the Sub Court of Madura against the Acting Sub Collector and others and drafts of the answers which Mr. Dawcefote proposes to file.

The answers to both Suits appears to me sufficient and I beg to recommend that the defence may be conducted at the public expense.

Madura
Maravencolum
15th May 1856

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 1

Translation of C. S. No. 26 of 1855 on the file of the Sub Court of Madura.

Plaintiff	Defendants
Soondara Iyen Son of Soobberam Iyen aged 42 years and residing in the Village of Aneyputty in the Zemindary of Sumnivady attached to the Vellacottah Talook	1. Bungaroo Appya Naicker Zemindar of Sumnivady. 2. F. S. Child Esqr. Acting Sub Collector of Madura

This is an action brought for preventing

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

preventing an attempt to take a road over his lands to the detriment of 2 Goolies of Sakiraj* land yielding annually Rupees 8 10 " and of 1 Gooly of Malgoozary× land yielding Rupees 4 5 " for recovering a loss of produce sustained by him to the amount of Rupees 4 8 " and for putting a stop to the filling up of a channel dug by the Plaintiff at a cost of Rupees 12 " "

* Exempt from Teerva

× Subject to Teerva

In the aforesaid Village the Plaintiff possesses hereditarily 7 5/16 Goolies of Sakiraj land and 4 1/2 Goolies of Mulgoozary land, which lands are watered by a breach of the Surplus channel of Tamara Colum Tank flowing into Brainasamoodrum tank On some misrepresentation having been in 1855 made by the 1st Defendant to the 2d the latter issued instructions under date the 7th January 1855 to the Tahsildar of Nellacottah to fill up the channel above alluded to and to Construct the road in question on the Plaintiffs' lands which are thus prevented from being Cultivated. The Plaintiff has lost the Roday Crop derivable from the

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

the 3 Goolies of the Sakiraj and 1/2 Goolies of the Malgoozary lands. The attempt to construct the road and to fill up the channel is made Contrary to the Ayacut Vca accounts and to the Plaintiffs long enjoyment Under these Circumstances this Suit is instituted also against the Sub Collector.—

The above said Sakiraj land in extent 7 5/16 Goolies lies to South of the Cummivady road: to West of Temma Rasa Poonjah to North and East of the Plaintiffs Karai Poonjah—

The Mulgoozary land is situated South of the above Sakiraj; West of Soobbaraya Iyens karai Poonjah; North of Soobaramanya Iyens karai Poonjah and East of Sama Iyens Karai Poonjah and is an extent 4 1/2 Goolies Two Goolies of the former land annually yielding produce worth Rs 2 10 — and 1 Gooly of the latter annually yielding Rs 4 5 — are in danger of being encroached upon by the road Complained of.—

To prevent this encroachment to make good the loss of Roday produce for fusly 1264, the net value of which is Rupees 4 8 — and to cause the channel in question not to be filled up the Plaintiff prays the Court.

15th August 1855

/ Signed / Soondaramien

True Translate
/ signed / B. Pauncefote

True Copy
/ signed / R. D. Parker
Collector
Translation

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

No 2

Translation of O. S. No 7 of 1856 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura.

Plaintiff

Chocalinguim Pillay son of Sammada Pillay a ryot at Nagalinga-poorum in the Talook of Tadicomboo aged 55 years.

Defendants

1. B. Pauncefote Esqre Acting Sub Collector of Madura
2. Sowda Goundan Son of Mootoo Goundan a nattamgar residing at chinnamoongagarem-putty attached to Village Errode and 9 others.

This Suit is instituted in order to obtain a decree pronouncing the Plaintiff and not the Defendants 3 6/11 to be by hereditary right and by Virtue of vouchers, the owners of the Mulgoozary Punjai land in extent 18 11/16 Goolies yielding an annual produce of Rupees 113 — 4 — 9 and revenue to Government of Rupees 31 — 4 — 7 and situated under Errangal Samoodrum Tank in Errode Village in the Talook of Tadicomboo.

1. The land in question was originally from before the Tipsul. the property of the 2d Defendants father's father Tottia Goundan and others, who abandoned it on the tank

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

breaching Subsequently Plff's father's father Chinna pillay was cultivating a portion of the land as Poonjah and paying Poonjah tax receiving Putta-hs for the Same; when the aforesaid Tottia-hs for the Same; when the aforesaid Tottia-Goundan and others were required by the Circar to repair the broken tank cultivate all the lands under it and pay the tax. But they having been unable to Comply with the requisition desired Plff's father to do so and enjoy the land in perpetuity and executed an agreement to the effect under date the 25th July 1809 Corresponding with 12th Audy year Sukula the agreement is on un-Stamped Single Cadjan.—

2. The 18 11/16 Goolies of land above referred to with some waste land adjoining it became very uneven when it was flooded by the breach and when the Surplus water was taking its Course over it for 7 years and flowing into Culcoolum thereby making a channel across it. Consequently Plff's father at an expense of about 100 or 150 Rupees repaired

the

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

the tank and the lands with the exception of the above lands some of the land reclaimed the Plff has cultivated under Puttahs & paid the tax.—

3. The Plff under date the 9th December 1853 represented by a petition to F. Clarke Esqre late Sub Collector that the Surplus water above alluded to can be turned off another way to avoid detriment to the cultivation by Constructing a Calingula at the expense of the Cirkar, and that if so done, he shall be able to cultivate all the lands and add to the Cirkar revenue. This Petition was referred to the Tahsildar, and as no redress was forthcoming from the Government the Plff at his own expense of about 100 Rs blocked up the Surplus channel with earth and diverted it aside and collected materials for building the Calingula, and furthermore he rendered the aforesaid 13 11/16 Goolies of Nunjah fit for Cultivation by expending

60

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

60 or 70 Rupees upon it. At this juncture the 5 to 6 Defts tendered a Durgast to the Tahsildar agreeing to cultivate the above lands themselves and pay the kist. This fraudulent act coming into Plffs notice he went to the Tahsildar and executed in 1851 / the date not recollected / a Cararnamah to him, stipulating to perform the requisite marahmut from his private funds, as the Circar had not done it agreeably with his request to cultivate the 18 11/16 Goolies and to pay the kist. He accordingly out of the 18 11/16 Goolies cultivated in Fusly 1265, 10 13 3/4/16 Goolies assessed at 15 Fanams per Goo: ly. with Cumboo and Cholum and was also preparing to cultivate the remaining Nunjah land Vizt 7 13 3/4/16 Goolies. But at this time the Tahsildar having forwarded the Plffs Cararnamah &c to the Hoozoor, the late Acting Sub Collector F. S. Child Esqre passed an order accepting the Durgast of the

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

the 5th Defts for the above Lands and directing the Plaintiff to the Civil Court, which order being Communicated to the Defendants 2 to 4, who are Nattumgars and Curnums, they have got 7 13/16 Goolies of Nunjah hereunder described into the possession of the 5th Defts, who also prevent the Plaintiff from Cultivating the 10 13/16 Goolies of Nunjah which he has been cultivating all along up to the present day and held in possession. The loss of Produce Sustained by Plaintiff's having been prevented from Cultivating the 7 13/16 is not Sued for but he seeks to recover such loss, as regards the 18 11/16 Goolies of Nunjah described below.

4 The Situation and boundaries of the 18 11/16 Goolies of Nunjah are as follow. It lies under the tank Erranagasamoodrum. It is bounded on the North by Colair Poongoo

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

Poongoo tree; on the South by Plaintiff's Matoo Poonjah Cadattoor parai and the bed of Culkoolum tank, on the East by Tatti madie; and on the West by the new channel of Culkoolum tank and Tamaroya Pillay's Poonjah designed as Kohupoor. The loss of produce of the said land for the past fusly herein sought to recover is stated at Rupees 113 4 9 allowing in case the land be cultivated with paddy raghee, Cumboo or cholum Rupees 5 12 4 to each Gooly as the price of the Grain producible in it which would be 4 Cullums each Cullums being 12 Measals equal to 10 Madura Standard measures and

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

and being sold at Rupees 1-7-- and allowing also for the price of straw or Stalk 5 annas the Gooly. taking the Straw at 10 bundles, each bundle estimated at 9 pice.

The Plaintiff further divides the land into all the Statems of which it Consists and gives the boundaries of each Statem and prays. in Conclusion that the Court will be pleased to adjudge the land as well as its produce of the year to him.

/Signed/ Chocalingompillay

/True Translation/

/Signed/ B. Pamacefote

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

26th January. 1856

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

No. 3

Draft of a proposed Answer to the O.S. No. 26 of 1855 on the file of the Sub Court of Madura.

The claims of the Plaintiff are unfounded.

1. The road in question was Constructed in 1815 and the Plaintiff must be assumed to have agreed to it. as he made no objection at the time and preferred no appeal to high. er authority. ~~That he aggrieved in what had been done is clear from his having~~ in 1819 Secretly destroyed the road instead of bringing the matter to the notice of the Collector. Being a Vakeel by profession he must have known what Course he ought to have pursued. if dissatisfied but by his long Silence he has allowed the Villagers to establish a right of way.—

2. Plaintiff has no right whatever to the channel in question, which he cut without permission through the Village Mooneiff's Maniem to convert his Poonjah Enam lands into Nunjah. This Circumstance was brought to the notice of Mr. Blake the late Sub Collector who directed that the channel should be filled up and measures

Taken

Fort St. George 30th May 1856.

taken to prevent the Plaintiff and others from encroaching on the old road.—

3. The orders of Mr Clarke were not carried into execution so that the Plaintiff could not have suffered the loss of produce for which he claims damages.—

Puluey 29th April 1856 } /signed/ B. Pauncefote
Acting Sub collector

/True Copy/
/signed/ R. D. Parker
collector

No 4.

Draft of a proposed Answer to the O. S. No 7 of 1856 on the file of the Sub Court of Madura.

Plaintiffs Claim is invalid.

1. The tank alluded to was repaired at the expense of Government in 1830 and 1845 and is therefore clearly Government property.

2. The 1st Defendant is prepared to shew that the Plaintiff did not lay out 60 or 70 Rs. to reclaim the lands in question.—

3. These lands were unnecessarily left waste for upwards of 30 years. the Plaintiff made no attempt to bring them under cultivation until the Durkasts of the several parties were under the consideration of the Acting Sub Collector.—

It is ruled in the Hookumnamah of the District that Lands left waste for more

Fort St. George 30th May 1856.

than 3 years are at the disposal of Government.—

The 1st Defendant trusts therefore that his proceedings will be confirmed and the Plaintiff nonsuited.—

Puluey 29th April 1856 } /signed/ B. Pauncefote
Acting Sub collector

/True Copy/
/signed/ R. D. Parker
collector

No 2

(1231-1232)

Ordered to be submitted with the following letter.—

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Depatt.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madura dated 15th May 1856 forwarding translations of two Suits/26 of 1855 and 7 of 1856/ instituted in the Subordinate Court of Madura against the acting Sub Collector and others, with drafts of the answer proposed to be filed, and requesting authority to defend the Suits at the public expense.—

Suit No 26 of 1855 has been brought to

2.

Bd No 1651.
To the Secy to Govt
Revenue Department

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madura forwarding translations of two Suits/26 of 1855 and 7 of 1856/ instituted in the Sub Court of Madura against the Acting Sub Collr and others with drafts of proposed answer and recommending that Sanction be granted for defending both Suits in the first instance at the public cost.—

Read 30th May 1856.

Fort St. George 30th May 1856

to prevent the formation of a road across Plaintiff's lands to their injury, and to put a stop to the filling up of a channel dug by him at his own cost.

3. The answer which it is proposed to file in this case states that the road complained of was constructed with Plaintiff's apparent Consent, since he raised no objection at the time and preferred no appeal afterwards His right to the channel is also disputed on the Ground of his having cut it without permission through the Maunium of the Village Moonsiff.

4. Suit No 7 of 1856 relates to the proprietary right to 18 11/16 Goolies of Mulgoo:zary Nunjah land situated in the Cerriode Village of the Talook of Tadicomboo.

5. The Plaintiff in this suit urges his claim on the ground of his father having with the consent of the previous holders repaired a Tank and improved the lands in dispute He states also that he himself drained the lands prepared them for cultivation, and even cultivated a portion of them before they were given over by order of the Revenue authorities to other parties.

The

Fort St. George 30th May 1856.

6. The Acting Sub Collector proposes to reply that the Tank referred to was repaired at the escpense of Government and is not consequently the property of Plaintiff. That Plaintiff did not spend money in reclaiming the lands which were waste, and were therefore under the terms of the Hookumnamah at the disposal of Government. and finally that Plaintiff made no attempt to cultivate the lands until the Durkhasts of the opposite parties were under the Consideration of the Acting Sub Collector.

7 The Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for defending both Suits in the first instance at the public cost. Fuller details would have been desirable on some points but as the Sub Collectors acts were performed in his Official Capacity and the Collector thinks these defensible, the Board have abstained from calling for further particulars and thus making it necessary to apply to the Court for an extension of time. The road alluded to in Suit No 26. was made 11 years ago - which will account for the lands not having been taken possession of in the mode prescribed by act XX 1852. The Board have suggested a small

Small omission in the draft answer in Suit 26 of 1855 to which the attention of the Collector will be called.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th May 1856.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

(1233)

No. 30

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1886.
From the Officiating Agent to the Governor at Kurnool.

Sir

In reply to the Circular of the Board of Revenue of the 6th Instant No. 1357 requiring information as to what rules obtain in this District for guarding against the receipt of Counterfeit Coin, I have the honor to state that in such cases, the Shroffs are required to make good such losses, that there is no rule or order on the records of this office shew under what authority this practice is acted upon.

2. The Accountant General Sir T. V. Stonhouse on the 21st August 1852 followed the same course and directed 5 Counterfeit Rupees to be recovered from the Shroffs of this Treasury and credited to the head of "Collector of Bellary" to whom a remittance had been sent in which 5 Counterfeit Rupees were found.—

Kurnool Govt. Agents Office
21st May 1856.

/Signed/ J. G. Russell
Off. Agent to the Govr.

(1233-1234) Order thereon.— Ordered to lie over.

(1235)

No. 160

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1888
From the Collr of Tinnevelly.

Sir

With reference to Esctract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 6th Instt, I have the honor to inform you that the Shroffs of the different Talooks in this District are held responsible for the recovery of losses or for Counterfeit Coins found in the remittances received from their respective Talooks and in the same manner the shroffs of the Huzzoor Treasury are made to pay for losses or Counterfeit Coins in the remittances sent to the General Treasury at Madras. This is the practice here, but not founded upon any formally authorized rule.—

Tinnevelly
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Vedogramum 22d May 1856

/Signed/ J. Silver
Collector

1235-1236 Order thereon.— Ordered to lie over.

Bd. No. 1853.
From the Civil Auditor.

Official Memo.

The Civil Auditor has the honor to return the Statement that accompanied the Official Memorandum of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 19th Instant, having examined and corrected the same.

(1237)

Fort St. George
Civil Auditors Office
27th May 1856.

/Signed/ F. Lushington
Civil auditor

Order thereon.— Ordered to lie over.—
Resolved that the following Indent be despatched.—

(1237-1238) Indent

8900

Fort St. George 30th May 1856.

Read Mr. Addl. Sec. to the Commissary General's
(1239) letter dated 30th May 1856 forwarding for the use of the Agent of Fort St. George
Articles required for the use of the Collectorate of Rajahmundry.

Ordered that the Articles be supplied.

Read the following Letter from the Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1856.

To the Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased to submit to the Commissary General for the use of the Collectorate of Rajahmundry the following Articles required for the use of the Collector's Office at that place.

Articles

For the use of the autographic Press of the Collectorate of Rajahmundry

1 Ream

Commissary General to supply

Signed J. S. Forbes
Secretary

8901

Fort St. George 30th May 1856.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

1240 Bd. No. 393 From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1264.

1241 Bd. No. 1200 From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department of this District for Fusly 1264.

1242 Bd. No. 1014 From the Ag. Collector of Canara. — Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department of his District for Fusly 1264.

1243 Bd. No. 1018. From the Ag. Coll. of Canara — Statement of actual charges incurred under estimate in the Magistrate Department of his District for Fusly 1264.

1244 Bd. No. 1015. From the Ag. Coll. of Canara. — Statement of actual charges incurred under estimate in the Magistrate Department of his District for Fusly 1264.

1245 Bd. No. 390 From the Coll. of Rajahmundry. — Statement of actual charges incurred without estimate for Fusly 1264.

1246 Bd. No. 391 From the Coll. of Rajahmundry — Statement of actual charges incurred without estimate in the Revenue Department of his District for Fusly 1264.

1247 Bd. No. 1016 From the Ag. Coll. of Canara. — Statement of actual charges incurred without estimate in the Revenue Dept. of his District for Fusly 1264.

1248 Bd. No. 392 From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement of actual charges incurred without estimate in the Magte. Dept. of his District for Fusly 1264.

1249 Bd. No. 1017 From the Ag. Coll. of Canara — Statement of actual charges incurred without estimate in the Magistrate Dept. of his District for Fusly 1264.

Ordered.

Order on the 10 abovementioned Statements } Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

(1240-1250)

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.

1251 My. After No. 1218 From the Reporter External Commerce. Observing that the testimonials called for in the Secretary's Memo were forwarded with letter from the Reporter External Commerce dated 7th April 1856.

1252 My No. 1302 From the Collr. of Tinnevelly. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for April 1856.

1253 My No. 1299 From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Informing that no Persons was in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 during last month.

1254 My No. 1303 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta at each of the Ports of Export on the 15th May 1856.

1255 My No. 1304 From the Collr. of South Arcot. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta at each of the Ports of Export on the 15th May 1856.

1256 My No. 1305 From the Collr. of Tinnevelly. Return of Village Officers Suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during April 1856.

1257 My No. 1236 From the Collr. of Cuddapah. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for April 1856.

1258 My No. 1233 From the Collr. of Chingleput. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for April 1856.

1259 My No. 1238. From the Agent at Kurnool. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for April 1856.

1260 My No. 1237 From the Collr. of Coimbatore. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for April

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Saturday the 31st May 1856.

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation dated 29th and entered in Consultation 30th May 1856.

Rec'd. Extract Minutes Consultation dated 29th March last Revenue Department regarding the verification of Mr. W. H. Bayley's Table of Land Measures. (Here enter No. 3142)

In Cons. 30th May 56.

Read again the following letters.

29th From Ganjam 18th December in Cons. 4th January 1856.

989 From Rajahmundry 19th March in Cons. 27th May 1856.

1011 From Masulipatam 14th March in Cons. 26th May 1856.

163 From Guntoor 7th in Cons. 12th January — "

3317 From Nellore 13th In Cons. 7th November 1855

327 From Cuddapah 21st in Cons. 25th Jany. 1856.

373 From Bellary 17th in Cons. 31st January 1856.

954 From Kurnool 11th March in Cons. 26th May 1856.

786 From Chingleput 1st in Cons. 10th March 56.

3572 From North Arcot 5th In Consultation 24th December 1855.

3323 From South Arcot 13th in Cons. 17th November 1855

1810 From Collector of South Arcot inclosing Copy of Special agents Report } 22nd In Cons. 27th May 1856.

3382 From Tanjore 15th in Cons. 21st November — 1855

3383 From Trichinopoly 17th In Cons. 21st November 1855

3606 From Madura 6th in Cons. 13th December 1855.

3330 From Tinnevelly 12th in Cons. 19th November 1855

3608 From Coimbatore 7th In Cons. 13th December 1855.

1248 From Salem 12th April in Cons. 26th May 1856.

369 From Canara 16th In Cons. 24th January 1856.

37 From Malabar 25th Dec. 1855 in Cons. 3rd January 1856

3389 From Madras 19th In Cons. 21st November 1855.

1261

Bd. No. 1657 Proceedings thereon.

The Collectors of the 9 Districts entered

Ganjam
Mudulipatam
Bellary
Cuddapah
Kurnool
South arcot
Tinnevelly
Malabar.

entered in the margin declare Mr Bayley's Table to be correct in regard to the Land Measures of their respective Districts. The Collector of Canara suggests a slight addition in regards to Land measured for Revenue and Building purposes respectively.

2. The Collector of Madras remarks an immaterial inaccuracy.

3 The Collector of Nellore suggests merely the omission of the word "Ongole" in the last paragraph of Mr Bayley's Table of the Measures of that District.

4 The Collector of Coimbatore points out a clerical error making the Cawnies 1-332 acres instead of (the correct equivalent 1-322316.

5 The Collector of Tanjore remarks that a Vally is a little more than 6.6 acres the true equivalent being 6. 6 11570.

6. The Collector of North arcot mentions the quantity of seed required to sow a Cawnie of [illegible]

"foot" is identical with the English one.

	Mr Bayley	Mr Smollett
Candy	04059	04.062
Garce	1-21,738	1-21,875
Cuttee	19,7817	19,475

He also gives slightly different equivalents to the Candy Garce and Cuttee as shown in the margin.

8. The revised Statement from the Collector of Madura does not involve any alterations of importance, and the same may be said of the report of the Collector of Chingleput.

9. The Collector of Rajahmundry furnishes a list of measures, not included in Mr Bayley's Table.

10 The Acting Collector of Guntoor remarks that the length assigned to the cubit by Mr Bayley is only the average or approximate and not the actual dimension. He also furnishes a Table of the cubits and notices one variety the "Bade" omitted by Mr Bayley.

The report from the Collector of

should be at once amended to accord with the reports now submitted. very few alterations are requisite to render the Table correct for 20 out of the 21st Districts in this Presidency But as regards the Salem District the Bd Board think that as Mr. Bayley has devoted much time and attention to the subject, he should have an opportunity of comparing Mr. Bratts information with that from which he drew up his Table. This course will necessarily cause some delay but the subject is one in which accuracy is of more consequence than despatch and if the papers are forwarded to Mr Bayley by an early mail, a reply might be expected in 3 or 4 months. The Board understand that Mr Bayley is now engaged in drawing up a Table of the existing weights and measures for Government, and therefore conclude that he would readily undertake the revision of so much of his table of Land measures as refers to Salem. Should the Government however think a reference unnecessary the amended Table should be so printed as to show distinctly what portion was prepared

by

by Mr Bayley and what parts have been subsequently added.

13 The Government will perceive from the Collectors report that in Madura, Land is actually measured by the Gooly 100 S.F. but that in framing accounts the Gooly is converted into Cawnies at the rate of 2 4/15 Goolies of 158 3/4 S.F. The Board purpose instructing the Collector to discontinue this practice and to adopt the Gooly of 100 square feet in calculation as in measurement.

14 The Collector of South Arcot in charge of the Special Agents Department has forwarded a Statement of the Weights and measures in use in the French Settlements, and promises a further report on the operation of the System, which communication will be laid before Government as soon as received.

Read again letter from the Collector of Madura dated 10 and entered in Consultation 15th April 1856.

(1262)

Bd's No 1665

Proceedings thereon.

The Collector is requested to submit separately the case of Krishna Chary No 57 in this Statement with copies of the

Fort St George 31st May 1856.

the Purwanah of Mr Vreeds's letter of the 11th June 1832, and of the entry in Mr Hurdis's list.

Read the following Papers

No 135

To G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(1263)

Br No 1544 Sir,

From the Collector of Tinnevelly } I am with reference to the orders of the Board of Revenue on Petition No 3489 of 1855 herewith returned requiring me to state whether the Moochulkha executed in 1847 has been made binding on all the Villagers or only on those who signed it, and whether its voluntary execution has been established, I have the honor to report as follows.

Petition No 3489 of 1855 returned to the Collector of Tinnevelly

2 The Pottakarers, who are the Porcodies, state that they continued to pay up to 1852 or Fasly 1262 Teerwah and Swamy Lobogum

Fort St George 31st May 1856

Swamy Lobogum as per columns 2 and 3 in the marginal Statement and that the Pungalies or share holders of the Village made in that year an Annual demand on account of Swamy Lobogum at the exorbitant rate set down under column 5.

3 The Moochulkha referred to in the Board's endorsement as executed in 1847 as shewn in the orders issued to the Vedavagramum Thasildar by my Predecessor under dates the 29th December 1853, and 25th October 1855 appears to have been made binding on all the Villagers, and not on those alone who

Statement

Classification of Land	Rate of Government demand per Veli	Total of Columns 2 and 3 or amount of Swamy Lobogum demanded as now by the Moochulkha	Rate of Swamy Lobogum the Pottakarers are willing to pay in addition to the Government demand in Col 2.	Total of Columns 2 and 5 or amount of Government demand per column as now by the Moochulkha	Additions made by the Pottakarers to the Swamy Lobogum in excess of the amount demanded under Col 3.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1st Class	14	2	2	16	2
2d Do	10 1/4	2	2	12 1/4	1 1/2
3d Do	8	2	2	10	2 3/4
				7	5
				4	4
				5	5
				6	6
				4	4
				15	15
				17 1/2	17 1/2
				15	15
				15	15

who signed it, but it does not appear to have been hitherto conformed to by any subsequent to the date of dispute which occurred in Fusly 1262. That the Moochilkha had been Voluntarily executed would appear from its having been signed in the presence of 3 attesting witnesses Viz: one number Curnum 1 Village Monsriff and a former Talsildar of the Vedovgrammum Talook, but the Poracoodies inclusive of some of those who are alleged to have executed it, do not now admit its Voluntary execution.

4 The terms of the Moochilkha are as shown below Viz: To pay 17½ fanams per chain of 1st class.

dry land

15 Ds per Ds of 2d and 3d Classes Ds

5 It is not known at what rate the Shareholders levied their demands of Swamybhogum on their disputing tenants from Fusly 1257 in which the Moochilkha was executed up to Fusly 1262 in which the dispute which remains still unsettled appears

appears to have arisen. Neither Ipurty has produced any document or account that can be depended upon.

6 As the Poracoodies, one and all are willing to pay Swamybhogum at the alleged customary rate of 2 fanams per chain without any preference to class of soil as that amount Viz: 2 fanams, is found entered in an account Particular of Swamy bhogum received from the Talsildar of the Vedovgramum Talook in 1845 as the rate of Swamybhogum prevailing in the Village in question and as the orders of my Predecessor to the Vedovgramum Talsildar dated the 29th December 1853 and 25th October 1855 directing him to inform the Poracoodies that they should conform to the terms of the Moochil Kah of 1847 and if they are dissatisfied with this decision they should either appeal or therefrom to the Board of Revenue, or prefer a suit in the Court, have remained in allegiance till now, I am of opinion that in justice to the Poracoodies the shareholders should be allowed only to levy Swamy-bhogum at the rate of 2 fanams per chain and not at the exorbitant rate specified

in

Fort St George 31st May 1856

in the Mouchilkah and shewn under column 5 of the foregoing Marginal Statement; but should they (shareholders) persist in saying that they will not receive money from the Poraccodies at rates lower than those mentioned in the Mouchilkahs the money less the amount due on account of Swamy Lingum should be received from the Poraccodies direct by Government in payment of the arrears of Revenue due by the Shareholders for Fusly 1264, amounting to Rupees 1123-12-1 leaving the Shareholders to an action in the Civil Court for their claim.

7 But as this view of the case differs from that of my Predecessor set forth in his orders above adverted to, and as it would be preferable to obtain the instructions of the Board on the subject before carrying out my views, I beg to be furnished with their orders in the matter.

Tinnevelly,
Collector's Cutcherry,
1st May 1856

(Signed) I. Silver
Collector

Fort St George 31st May 1856

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(1263-1264)

Bd No 1667
To the Collector of Tinnevelly

To The Collector of
Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 1st May 1856 reporting on Petitions Nos 3489 and 3411 of 1855 - I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will forward a Copy of the late Collector's decision in the case, as contained in his order to the Taxil-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George, 31st May 1856

(Signed) G. I. Forbes
Secretary

To G. I. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1265)

Bd No 1534
From the Register to the Court of Sudder Udalut

Sir,

In reply to the extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st April 1856 - I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to state that 184 Copies of Mr Wards letter will be required for

8914 Fort St George 31st May 1856

for distribution to all Judicial Officers.

Sudder Udalut
Registers office
6th May 1856

/ Signed / George Ellis
Register

1266

Circular

Bd No 1661

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from this Office dated 21st April 1856 No 1122, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you a Copy of Mr L. H. Wards letter dated 26th January 1856 with its enclosures giving his opinion as to the extent to which the work of the Courts may be done by printing.

2 The list of rates of printing charges will be sent as soon as received from Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
31st May 1856

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Bd No 1662

Further Proceedings thereon.

(1265-1267)

Ordered that 181 Copies of Mr Wards letter with its enclosure be forwarded to the Register to Sudder Udalut for distribution to Judicial officers.

1268

Mr No 1169

From Military Board 15th May 1856.

Read transferring Indent for cloth for Peons Belts required by the Collector of Malabar.

Ordered that the following Letter be sent.

To.

8915 Fort St. George 31st May 1856.

To

The Collector of
Malabar.

(1268-1269)

Bd No 1886

To the Collector of Malabar — x dated 6th May 1856 transferred to this Office from the Military Board under date 15th May 1856. Inl ms. 13th May/56

Sir,

With reference to the Indent x for Broad Cloth for Belts for the Peons of your Collectorate, I am directed to acquaint you that the Government have delegated to the Board of Revenue the duty of disposing of such requisitions and that your application will be attended to in due course.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1856

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Read the following Papers.

No 95.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1270

Bd No 1801

From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I have this day sold by Auction the 5 years lease of the Abkarry Farms of this District to one Rutnah Pillay, a

x For Devasamanay Pillay

8916 Fort St. George, 31st May 1856

a Resident of this place for the annual sum of Rupees 135300—

2. The party has made the requisite deposit on the Farm being knocked down, and will shortly give the necessary security for the fulfilment of his contract—

South Arcot Collector's Cutcherry Cuddalore 20th May 1856 } (Signed) A. Hall Collector

Order thereon— Ordered to be recorded

(1270-1271)

No. 89.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(1272)

B.d No. 1822

Sir,

From the Collector of North Arcot— } I have the honor with reference to para 2 of Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th Instant No. 1392, to state that there are no Local Funds in this District—

2. Funds of this description will be created during the revision of Assessment as directed in para 14 of Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 460 dated 7th April

8917 Fort St. George 31st May 1856

April 1855 on the subject of the reduction of Assessments in North Arcot (printed papers No. XII)—

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry Chittoor 21st May 1856 } (Signed) C. Whittingham Collector

Order thereon— Ordered to be recorded

(1272-1273)

No. 105—

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

Fort St. George—

(1274)

Bd No. 1808.

Sir,

From the Collector of Madura— } Not having yet received the Proceedings of the Board on the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated the 21st April last relative to the Garden Assessment of Madura and the season being favorable to cultivation, I have the honor to request instructions (by return of post if possible) whether I am at liberty to adopt the rates suggested by Government from the commencement of the ensuing Fasly—

Madura, 22d May 1856 } (Signed) R. D. Parker Collector—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To.

Fort St. George, 31st May 1836

To

The Collector of

Madura

(1274-1275)

Bd No. 1836

To the Collector of Madura

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 22nd Instant, No. 105, I am directed to inform you that you are at liberty to adopt the new rates of Garden Assessment Sanctioned by Government in Extract Minutes Consultations 21st April 1836 No. 396 from the commencement of the ensuing Fasly.

The orders of Government having been forwarded to you direct, the Board did not deem it necessary to send you separate instructions on the subject.

3. You will be good enough to furnish the information required in paras 7 to 10 a copy of the Board's Proceedings dated 27th February last, No. 613, will be forwarded to you without delay.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1836

(Signed) J. D Sim
Sub Secretary

To

Fort St. George, 31st May 1836

No. 84.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1276)

Bd No. 1798

From the Collector of Madras

Sir,

Out of the 1½ lacs of Maunds of Salt indented for by the Bengal Government, and referred to in your Circular 2d February 1836, one lac & 4,000 Indian Maunds have been dispatched from Madras—

Not knowing what quantity may have been shipped from other Districts, I shall be obliged by your informing me whether we may continue shipments, and to what extent—

Madras,
23d May 1836
Bd No. 1655.
Proceedings thereon—

(1276-1277)

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Collector

From the Returns received at this Office it does not appear that any salt has as yet been shipped from any District other than that of Madras on account of the Chittagong Indent—Mr. Cunliffe will therefore continue his shipments within the limits of the indent until further orders—

7. The Board presume that the lac and four thousand Maunds referred to by the Collector are in addition to the shipments made on Vessels chartered by the Chittagong agency—

Fort St. George 31st May 1858.

No. 111.

(1278)

Bd. No. 1867

Official Memorandum.

From the Acting Accountant General

The Acting Accountant General has the honor to request that the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will have the goodness to forward to this Office for reference the reply of the Board to a reference made by the Collector of Nellore regarding the charges of shipment &c. of 1021—20 of Salt out of 1000 Candies Maunds for Bengal, on board the Bark Doorgaprasad. The Collector has intimated to this Office that he addressed the Board on the subject on the 9th April 1858

Fort St. George
Accountant General's Office
28th May 1858.

(Signed) G. L. Prendergast
Actg. Accountant General

Bd. No. 1863

Official Memorandum.

To the Accountant General

(1278-1279)

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Acting Accountant General, a copy of the Board's Orders dated 13th Instant, on the communication of the Collector of Nellore under date the 9th ultimo.

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
31st May 1858.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

Fort St. George, 31st May 1858.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

Read Collectors' Endorsement dated 13th Instant on Petition No. 1011 of 1856 from Chendrasagara Moodelly and others Meerassidars of Vasurpaudy.

Claiming Compensation for Meerasy land belonging to them taken up in the construction of the Railway.

(1280)

Bd. No. 1868

Proceedings thereon.

The question of the right of the Meerassidars in Madras to immemorial waste being now before Government the Board cannot pass finale orders on this Petition meanwhile they request that the Collector will report on Petitioners assertion that they received a written assurance, that they would be paid for the lands referred to.

To

Fort St. George, 31st May 1856.

(1281)

Bd. No. 1689.

To the Acting Accountant General

Forwarding to him certain Tabular Statements containing information in reply to his queries as to the rates at which Taxes are paid on the several Sources of Revenue of this Presidency and as regards the authority under which the several Taxes are levied referring him generally to the Regulations and acts relating to them &c.

To

The Acting Accountant General

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 22nd December 1855, requesting to be informed at what rates Taxes are paid on the several services of Revenue of this Presidency, and the Authority for collecting each tax—

2. The Board have thought that the most convenient and practical way of replying to your queries would be to prepare for transmission to you certain Tabular Statements shewing the Collections under the different heads specified in your letter. They have accordingly had the following Statements prepared in their Office and which they have desired to forward to you herewith—

No. 1. Is a Statement shewing the aggregate cultivation, and the Collections of Land Revenue in the several Districts of this Presidency for Fusly 1263, with the average rate per Acre.—

No. 2. Statement of the Abkarry Revenue for Fusly 1260 @ 1264, with the average per adult per year—

Fort St. George 31st May 1856

No. 3. Statement of the small Farms and Licences for the same period with the average per Annum—

No. 4 Statement of the Moturpha for the same period with the average per Annum—

No. 5. Amount of Frontier duty collected during the same period with the average annual Collections—

No. 6 Amount of Sea Custom Revenue Collected during the Official year 1852/53 @ 1854/55 with the average per Annum—

No. 7. Statement shewing the Salt Revenue collected for Fusly 1260 @ 1264—

No. 8. Do. Stamp Revenue from Fusly 1260 @ 1264, with the average per head.—

No. 9. Statement exhibiting the Amount of interest collected in Fusly 1260 @ 1264. with the average per Annum.—

No. 10 Statement shewing the Commission collected for Managing private Estates

Fort St. George, 31st May 1836.

Estates during Faslies 1264 & 1264 with average per year.—

No 11. Statement shewing the toll levied at Cochranes Canal from Fasly 1260 & 1264 with the average per annum.

No 12. Statement shewing the toll levied in certain districts on Boat Navigating Rivers during Faslies 1260 & 1264 with the Average Annual Collection.

3. No separate accounts exists in this office of the Collections on account of Port dues — Tonnage Duty and Timber tax

4. As regards the authority under which the several taxes are levied the Board would refer generally to the Regulations and Acts relating to Madras. The following are however the principal laws and orders under which the items of revenue adverted to by you are levied

Land Revenue Regulation XXV, XXVI, XXVII 1802.

Abkhurry —— Do. I. 1820. Act XIX - 1852.

Moturpha —— Do. — IV. 1818. V. 1832.

Frontier Duty — Act VI - 1844.

Sea Customs — Act VI - 1844.

Salt Revenue — Reg. V. 1803.

Stamp Revenue — Do. XIII - 1816

Port dues —— Act XIII - 1839

Tonnage

Fort St. George, 31st May 1836

Tonnage duty —— Act XIII. 1839

Interest on arreas of Land Revenue S. VIII.

Regulation XXVII 1802.

Commission on private Estates under the Management of Collectors — Board's C. O. 5th May 1836 para. 1. Cl. IV with foot Note.

Toll levied on Cochrane Canal Registry Fees —— Act X —— 1841.

V Act XI —— 1850.

E. M. 14 Oct. 1846 and 13 July 22 January 1853 No 73 12 Nov. No 1039.

5 The Board regret the delay which has occurred in the preparation of the Statement owing to the pressure of other business and that they are not able to add much to the information which is probably already at your disposal.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1836.

/ Signed / Gr. S. Forbes
Secretary

Statement

Fort St. George,

31st May 1856

regate Cultivation and the Collections of Land Revenue in several districts of this Presidency for Fusly 1263 with the average rate per Acre—

Dry				Wet				Garden				Wet converted into Garden		Dry Converted into Wet & Garden Converted into Wet.				Total		
Assessment	Average		Land	Assessment	Average		Land	Assessment	Average		Land	Assessment	Average	Land	Assessment	Average	Land	Assessment	Average	
Rupees	Rs	A	P																	
59124	1	10	145108	89114	4	12	1735	8480	4	14	2									
827713	1	5	8 42949	319830	7	7	2 9436	50972	5	6	5	2690	10,56	4960	770	1	8 10	188175	164428	4 1
532295	1	6	11 85849	428356	4	15	10 5914	32735	5	8	7	1227	111	6 14	23787	72361	3	8	689484	128245	1 13 10
388166	1	11	2 53927	333951	6	3	1 15749	132099	8	8	3	1367	94	9 6	43039	63163	1	7	6 521853	108711	7 2 14
400522	2	1	8 132153	112796	8	7	5 2409	30387	12	9	10	1448	375	6 12 2	3469	8372	2	6	8 301307	865335	2 14 -
62592	2	11	10 155336	1145594	7	6	- 2068	27501	13	11	9	-	-	2 9 6	6155	14240	2	5	- 335867	157304	4 11 1
28808	1	4	8 70364	440756	6	4	3 42473	153983	3	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 581410	233587	4 - 3
38530	1	10	8 125094	857420	5	7	11 30364	111810	3	17	6	30	10	-	-	-	-	-	- 9260585	478947	5 2 9
36015	-	12	10 120529	1067753	8	13	7 9271	17446	1	14	1	222	84	3 6 5	1145	3929	3	6	11 418322	124297	2 15 6
26409	-	12	1/2 66204	654079	9	14	1 25286	176893	6	15	10	3419	3737	3 12 8	12641	9970	-	12	7 685294	153202	2 3 9
4118	1	1	1/2 66877	638954	9	8	11 46492	387847	8	3	9	456	5724	10 12 12	28736	30156	1	-	9 1477720	1994608	1 5 7
2929	-	14	2 57173	549352	9	9	9 81072	318797	3	14	11	1791	1437	12 8 10	15978	33894	2	1	11 1172309	2177537	1 13 6
202	1	2	6 6691	35579	8	5	- 3559	29303	8	3	9	-	-	11 2 1	107787	427614	3	15	6 1628401	2533085	1 8 10
429	1	3	1 1762348	1210464	6	13	11 2755525	1478064	5	6	7	10914	9057	" " "	2223	6063	2	11	8 500368	655169	1 4 11
95	1	6	- 127554	380129	2	11	9 5632	6426	1	2	3	-	-	8 4 8	249920	677476	6	7	5 11 4 9426875	22842085	2 6 9
52	3	3	- 12397	94125	7	9	6 30	108	3	9	7	-	-						189892	403990	2 7 2
22	2	-	10 131061	476902	3	10	2 2586	21289	7	6	-	-	-						23689	135895	5 12 -
4	1	-	- 109721	370392	3	-	8 3013	14879	4	13	-	-	-						438534	1427413	3 4 1
21	1	10	6 23365	150147	6	6	2 9725	64232	6	6	4	-	-						520901	794185	1 8 4
4	1	12	3 404098	1471695	3	10	3 21286	186934	5	-	4	-	-						698334	1314160	1 14 2
9	1	4	7 2166446	1337299	6	4	3 29714	1581988	5	-	4	10914	9057						1869154	4135643	2 3 5
														8 4 8	249920	677476	2	11	4 1129629	2697728	2 6 2
																				2176177	
																				1619485	
																				70378	
																				3868040	
																				30843768	

8926

No 1. Statement shewing the aggregate cultivation, and

Dry

Districts	Land	Assessment	Average	Land
	Acres	Rupees	R A P	
1 Chingleput	36372	59124	1 10 .	14510
2 Salem	610602	827713	1 5 8	4294
3 Madura	383829	532295	1 6 11	8584
4 Nellore	278026	388166	1 11 2	5392
5 North Arcot	192602	400522	2 1 8	13215
6 South Arcot	424012	1162592	2 11 10	15533
7 Tanjore	180648	228808	1 4 3	7031
8 Trichinopoly	261689	436530	1 10 8	12509
9 Tinnevelly	342631	436018	12 10	12052
10 Bellary	1357075	1096409	12 1½	6620
11 Cuddapah	1042506	1116118	1 1 1½	6681
12 Coimbatore	1381078	1222929	14 2	5711
13 Kurnool	487895	564202	1 2 6	669
Total	7127865	8491429	1 3 1	176233
1 Ganjam	56506	77435	1 6 .	12753
2 Vizagapatam	11262	41662	3 3 .	12396
3 Rajamundry	304591	929222	3 . 10	13104
4 Masulipatam	408167	408914	1 . .	1097
5 Guntoor	663244	1099781	1 10 6	2353
Total	1443770	2557014	1 12 3	40409
Total	8571635	11048443	1 4 7	216643
1 Canara				
2 Malabar				
3 Madras				
Total				
Grand Total				

8927.

Fort St. George

No. 2. Statement of the Abkarry Revenue for 31st May 1856

Fusly 1260 @ 1264 with the average per adult—

Districts	Population exclusive of Children	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 7262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total	Average	Per adult according to last census
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 Ganjam	581360	62280	61720	60761	64841	62378	311478	62298	1 9
2 Vizagapatam	819418	58177	59717	61032	62134	60435	301495	60299	1 2
3 Rajahmundry	675826	75970	80320	77495	81450	81370	396605	79321	1 10
4 Masulipatam	357626	44953	40000	42000	45000	43750	215705	43141	1 11
5 Guntoor	357772	22538	20188	20617	20728	20571	104642	20928	11
6 Chingleput	387258	73200	78110	79550	79550	79550	389960	77992	3 3
7 Salem	784300	86300	96010	96010	96010	101100	475130	95126	1 11
8 Madura	1194960	56840	54790	61870	62770	63525	296795	59359	10
9 Nellore	634139	30154	29273	29016	31613	28083	149045	29809	9
10 North Arcot	963036	133900	130990	104000	104000	104000	578890	115778	1 11
11 South Arcot	713318	81300	78000	85000	95700	85000	424400	84880	1 10
12 Tanjore	1161144	113520	122080	100830	115705	126880	579015	115803	1 7
13 Trichinopoly	487576	24684	25410	25150	27710	22230	125184	25037	10
14 Tinnevelly	834362	25048	31108	22820	33190	26200	138338	27673	6
15 Bellary	826590	308963	286915	283763	287036	247548	1414228	282846	5 8
16 Cuddapah	936777	118821	123402	118616	126110	122133	609082	121816	2 1
17 Coimbatore	753556	80551	80560	91800	92850	93960	439721	87944	1 10
18 Canara	699935	74850	79416	78556	80831	80551	394184	78837	1 10
19 Malabar	984409	66599	61823	69180	68968	71317	340887	68177	1 1
20 Madras	* 720000	537900	543145	575070	614920	552511	2885546	565109	12 6
21 Kurnool	176897	73815	70026	71010	71010	71140	356495	71299	6 5
Total	15032289	2152048	2154302	2155046	2261528	2144280	10863355	2173471	2 4

* This entry is made in the aggregate the particulars of Children &c. not being procurable—

8928

Fort St. George,

31st May 1856

No. 3 Statement of Small Farms and Licences for Fusslies 1260 @ 1264 with the average per annum—

	Districts	Population	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Sundry Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total	Average
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Ganjam	926980	4263	11452	4562	4564	4691	22533	4506
2	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3.	Rajahmundry	1012038	10110	10955	11338	11079	9786	53248	10650
4	Masulipatam	520888	4307	4118	6469	8345	4647	27886	5577
5	Guntoor	570083	11103	10134	10368	10404	8265	50274	10055
6	Chingleput	583462	13795	18755	19526	16453	17230	85760	17152
7	Salem	1195377	8709	9828	10213	11565	10914	51229	10248
8	Madura	1756791	11973	9208	8737	13189	10796	53903	10781
9	Nellore	935390	688	639	865	624	629	3244	649
10	North Arcot	1485873	6528	6002	3714	11288	8888	36221	7244
11	South Arcot	1006605	11286	11700	12738	9550	9857	54628	10925
12	Tanjore	1676086	25938	25154	25137	24520	24423	125186	25037
13	Trichinopoly	709196	4747	4977	4269	4363	4884	23240	4648
14	Tinnevelly	1269218	32727	20302	17898	23241	17413	111581	22316
15	Bellary	1229599	19696	19387	18703	23668	19449	98884	19777
16	Cuddapah	1451971	14583	14101	13844	15899	12328	70756	14151
17	Coimbatore	1153862	3900	2630	2484	2799	2718	14570	2914
18	Canara	1036333	59257	34710	35199	52654	50320	232221	46424
19	Malabar	1514909	49154	53724	56282	56338	58520	274018	54803
20	Madras	720000	43887	38642	38817	26292	21806	167245	33449
21	Kurnool	273190	4626	278	228	340	411	5881	1176
	Total	21047425	341079	297757	300690	327178	295747	1582407	312481

8979

Fort St. George

No 6 Statement shewing the Malurpha for

31st May 1856

Fusilies 1260 @ 1264 with the average per annum.

Districts	Population	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Malurpha Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total	average	Per head
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 Ganjam	926930	9760	9852	10497	10438	9947	50325	10065	2
2 Vizagapatam	1254272	6033	6107	6251	6278	5074	29745	5949	1
3 Rajahmundry	1012036	36015	36887	35532	54792	51794	275032	55010	10
4 Masulipatam	520866	28976	26604	27760	25915	23452	132118	26423	10
5 Guntoor	570083	16802	47494	48973	48801	46668	238739	47748	1 4
6 Chingleput	583462	30193	31285	31698	32283	30867	156326	31265	10
7 Salem	1195377	78457	70172	79716	81441	77396	388183	77636	1
8 Madura	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9 Nellore	935690	17651	17497	18053	17869	16985	88056	17611	3
10 North Arcot	1485873	38663	39359	39767	37344	36622	191756	38351	5
11 South Arcot	1006005	41142	41899	42968	43079	41104	210193	42038	8
12 Tanjore	1676086	45780	44773	44949	43898	42195	220996	44199	5
13 Trichinopoly	709196	6493	6717	7120	6862	6364	33556	6711	2
14 Tinnavelly	1269216	94018	97921	99442	97627	96845	485852	97170	1 2
15 Bellary	1729599	274183	270442	272809	256843	238638	1312916	262583	3 5
16 Cuddapah	1457921	152058	152060	150336	150050	148048	752553	150511	1 8
17 Coimbatore	1153862	78245	78220	77597	74426	66506	374994	74998	1
18 Canara	1056838	17147	17296	17575	18531	19052	89621	17924	3
19 Malabar	1514909	115929	111505	111799	111916	111217	562365	112472	2
20 Madras	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21 Kurnool	273190	53643	54435	57886	57051	46769	262784	52556	3 1
Total	19821906	1187601	1185987	1197731	1169466	1115543	5856328	1171265	11

8930

Fort St. George

No 3. Statement shewing the amount of

31st May 1836

Frontier duty collected during Fusles 1260 & 1264 with the average amount

Districts	Fusly 1260 Import	Export	Total	Fusly 1261 Import	Export	Total	Fusly 1262 Import	Export	Total	Fusly 1263 Import	Export	Total	Fusly 12 Import	Expor
1 Ganjam	Rs	Rs	Rs.											
2 Vizagapatam														
3 Rajahmundry														
4 Masulipatam														
5 Guntoor	2142	1182	3324	3096	712	3808	3520	1170	4690	4298	887	5185		
6 Nellore			449[illegible]						3884			43262		
7 Cuddapah	996	2750	3746			42542			1894			1742		
8 Bellary				838	2094	2932	697	1197						
9 Kurnool														
10 Chingleput	1513	4621	6134						5937	1379	3807	5186	48	28
11 North Arcot	1608	4412	6020	1744	4288	6032	1596	1341	4888	1207	2859	4046	102	2
12 South Arcot				1361	4244	5605	1235	3623						
13 Tanjore														
14 Trichinopoly	3259	33589	43845	7725	49791	57516	7509	64236	71745	8733	39037	67770	8989	373
15 Madura	3146	23696	26842	3403	19718	23121	4574	22388	26902	4367	19993	24360	4006	315
16 Tinnevelly														
17 Coimbatore			1351			785			478			682		13
18 Salem	31954	16071	48025	28603	12833	41456	25767	12648	38215	28373	13955	42328	18217	
19 Canara														
20 Malabar														
21 Madras	31881	3140	35021	36010	3293	39303	37508	4555	56063	48353	4346	52899	43392	3
			205			12			23			52		
			405			141			199					
Total	81493	91421	219321	82780	96993	223253	96346	113958	219850	96910	104864	247402	74751	8

...ies 1260 & 1264 with the average annual Collections—

	Finely 1263			Finely 1264			Total		
	Total	Import	Export	Total	Import	Export	Total Imports & Exports	Average	
								3493	
					499	17166		31219	
					1564	171094		2087	
4690	4298	887	3185		121	10435			
38246			43269						
1894			1742					4724	+ Owing to the abolition of the Custom duties of the Nizam's Terri- tories—
				286	334	23623		4173	
				48	236	338	20867		
3937	1379	3807	5186	102					
4838	1207	2859	4046					57553	
						46887	28766	27316	
				8989	37898	35361	130580		
				1006	31355				
17945	8733	39037	67770					761	
26902	4367	19993	24360			574	3820	40408	
							20244		
			632	18217	13800	32017			
478									
28215	28373	13955	42328					46078	
								63	
						47106	230392		
				43392	3714	24	314	148	duty Collected on Parcels from General Post Office
56063	48353	4346	52899	52			443		
23									
199									
								221026	
9850	96910	101864	247402	74751	87289	164815	1104861		

Fort St. George 1

Amount of Sea Customs Revenue collected during the official

1st May 1852 to 30th April 1853.

From 1st May 1853 to 31st May 1856.

year 1852/53 @ 1854/55 with the average per annum.

Export		Total		Import		Export		Total	
Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods
Rs									
65	209430	30659	210234	30721	129	8	251205	29121	1832178
1063	308700	9786	325113	10849	13050	617	531894	10189	454784
2138	1091087	41308	1638043	43741	32108	2039	1140316	38509	7101985
191	5653	259	9046	750	2778	129	10206	527	152114
546	"	"	11920	546	23	1	2306	66	9864906
161	"	"	"	161	"	"	217	11	307531
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1696891
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	459645
4158	177109	17250	755438	21408	82110	4916	717039	17897	2990149
55025	576309	139823	1542970	194848	1032535	60614	560778	134205	1593283
12228	228336	7635	382748	19863	150713	11870	290376	11207	441039
8850	285352	8702	433628	17552	118738	5936	255943	7942	374681
2114	248289	32362	291595	34476	51028	2273	272360	34581	323388
10625	6947216	29408	1129709	40033	6341510	24747	7160996	37379	13505506
97764	9987721	387187	15630444	434951	7827742	113150	10493536	341654	18321278
340644	"	195544	"	536188	"	392435	"	178957	571392
438408	"	532731	"	971139	"	505585	"	520591	1026176

Import		Export		Total	
Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty
251334	29129	101	39	137933	11997	138034	12036
344944	10806	13175	677	200745	6322	213920	6999
1172424	40548	23650	1240	814955	29774	838605	31014
12984	656	3064	154	17007	545	20071	699
2329	67	40	2	42	1	82	3
217	11	482	24	3762	113	4244	137
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
299149	22813	86814	5065	185246	14409	272060	19474
1593283	194819	837436	47782	634969	121384	1472405	169166
441039	23077	140595	10219	450427	17567	591022	27786
374681	13878	196561	9835	380107	12190	576668	22025
323388	56854	115981	49075	314541	51260	430522	100335
13505506	62126	5684086	28002	6725172	419769	12409258	69971
18321278	454784	7101985	152114	9864906	307531	16966891	459645
	571392		431122		115283		546405
	1026176		583236		422814		1006050

8931

No 6 Statement shewing the Amount of Sea Custo

From 1st May 1852 to 30th April 1853

No	Districts	Import		Export		
		Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Va...
		Rs	Rs			
1	Ganjam	784	65	209,450	30659	21
2	Vizagapatam	16413	1063	308700	9786	3
3	Rajahmundry	36956	2138	100,087	41308	10
4	Masulipatam	3393	191	5653	259	
5	Guntoor	11970	546	"	"	
6	Nellore	"	161	"	"	
7	Chingleput	"	"	"	"	
8	North Arcot	"	"	"	"	
9	South Arcot	78329	4158	177,109	17,250	7
10	Tanjore	966661	55025	576809	139823	1
11	Madura	154192	12228	228556	7635	3
12	Tinnevelly	148276	8850	285352	8702	4
13	Canara	43306	2114	248289	52362	2
14	Malabar	4182493	10625	6947216	29408	11
	Total	3642723	97764	9987721	337187	15
15	Madras	"	340644	"	195544	
	Grand Total		438408		532731	

Fort St George

31st May 1856

Import				Total of 3 years Export		Total	
Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	Collection of Duty	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Value of Goods	
1014	112	598588	71777	599602	71889	338	
42638	2357	841339	26297	883977	28654	14213	
92714	5717	2956358	109586	3049072	115300	30905	
9235	774	32866	1331	42101	2105	3078	
11983	549	2348	64	14331	616	3994	
4482	185	3979	124	4461	309	161	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
247253	14139	579394	49556	826647	63695	82418	
2836652	163421	1772006	39512	4608658	558833	945551	
445500	34317	969309	36409	1414809	70726	148506	
463575	24621	921402	28834	1384977	53455	154575	
210315	53462	835190	158203	1045505	211665	70105	
16211089	63374	20833384	108756	37044473	172130	5403696	
20572450	363028	30346163	986352	50918613	1340380	6857484	
"	116201	"	489784	"	1653985	"	
"	152729	"	1476136	"	3003365	"	

Import				Average of 3 years Export		Total	
Collections of Duty	Centage	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	Centage	Value of Goods	Collections of Duty	
37	10 15/16	199529	23926	11 15/16	199867	23963	
786	5 8/16	280446	8766	3 2/16	294659	9552	
1906	6 2/16	985452	36529	3 11/16	1016357	38435	
258	8 6/16	10955	444	4 1/16	14033	702	
183	4 9/16	783	22	2 13/16	4777	205	
62	38 8/16	1326	41	3 1/16	1487	103	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
4713	5 11/16	103131	16519	8 9/16	2755449	21232	
54474	5 12/16	590669	131804	22 3/16	1536220	186278	
11439	7 11/16	323103	12136	3 12/16	471603	23575	
8207	5 5/16	307134	9611	3 2/16	461659	17818	
17821	25 6/16	278397	52734	18 15/16	348502	70555	
21124	6/16	6944462	36252	8/16	12348158	57376	
121010	1 12/16	1011538 7	328784	3 4/16	16972871	449794	
388067	"	"	163261	"	"	551328	
509077	"	"	492045	"	"	1001122	

The per Centage of the ducty on the value of the articles in Canara than that of the remaining Districts, because on some articles the ducty is stated while the value is not.—

Nellore, Masulipatam, Tanjore, Ganjam and Malabar, is greater Value alone is given and the amount of Duty is omitted and on some other articles the

8933 Fort St George

No 7 Statement shewing the Salt Revenue collected for 31st May 1856.

Fusly 1260 @ 1264.

Districts	Population	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Salt Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 Ganjam	926930	286660	398467	368418	335744	527191	1916479
2 Vizagapatam	1254272	139444	161348	177171	176380	177637	831980
3 Rajahmundry	1012036	178480	220172	248422	225164	247974	1120212
4 Masulipatam	520866	280171	243798	588427	376208	464245	2175587
5 Guntoor	570083	412919	333787	310808	158068	315930	1299041
6 Chingleput	583462	250471	263764	"	"	"	"
7 Salem	"	"	"	382584	328421	387690	1759294
8 Madura	1756791	294630	365969	505366	448800	448171	2447921
9 Nellore	935690	460733	584850	"	"	"	21045
10 North Arcot	1485873	21045	"	198896	175524	206400	1128823
11 South Arcot	1906005	277863	270141	480411	467298	497738	2495296
12 Tanjore	1676086	522029	527820	"	"	"	"
13 Trichinopoly	"	"	"	351405	344433	317096	1724206
14 Tinnevelly	1269216	350973	360299	"	"	"	"
15 Ballary	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16 Cuddapah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17 Coimbatore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18 Canara	"	"	"	459642	448006	341489	2154741
19 Malabar	1056333	462429	443173	320807	330208	348050	1658234
20 Madras	1514909	339644	319525	451334	575451	533412	2497450
21 Kurnool	720000	515368	421884	"	"	"	"
Total	16288352	4792859	4916092	4985309	4667316	5028910	24389396

8934 Fort St George

No 8. Statement shewing the Stamp Revenue for Fusly 1260 @ 1264 with the average per head.—

31st May 1856

		Population	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total Stamps	Average	Per head Rs	a	p
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
1	Ganjam	926930	14605	13090	16143	15251	13889	72978	14595	-	-	3
2	Vizagapatam	1254272	16652	16683	15362	14436	19538	82671	16534	-	-	2
3	Rajahmundry	1012056	15877	17212	14392	16218	16125	79285	15965	-	-	3
4	Masulipatam	520866	13089	10301	10802	10981	11577	56751	11350	-	-	4
5	Guntoor	570083	8479	9719	10146	12044	9445	49834	9967	-	-	3
6	Chingleput	583462	15796	15032	14189	15992	14638	75649	15130	-	-	5
7	Salem	1195377	22913	24807	21628	20210	25552	115105	23021	-	-	3
8	Madura	1756791	30435	33646	36010	38241	37772	176100	35220	-	-	4
9	Nellore	935690	13785	16124	16670	18288	19446	84313	16863	-	-	3
10	North Arcot	1485873	27866	23100	23218	24495	25051	123730	24746	-	-	3
11	South Arcot	1006005	20026	18804	25262	21264	19853	105210	21042	-	-	4
12	Tanjore	1676086	69240	64183	63223	76984	71137	344768	68953	-	-	8
13	Trichinopoly	709196	19972	19392	23802	26415	24992	114573	22915	-	-	6
14	Tinnevelly	1269216	24776	26828	26727	28670	22010	129011	25802	-	-	4
15	Bellary	1229599	45471	23470	21915	22352	20923	134131	26826	-	-	4
16	Cuddapah	1451921	27587	27221	27246	24762	27971	134788	26957	-	-	3
17	Coimbatore	1153862	21760	22847	23140	23995	18347	110089	22018	-	-	3
18	Canara	1056333	49414	55520	57205	87968	66195	286303	57260	-	-	10
19	Malabar	1514909	62023	67305	70377	87634	86074	373414	74683	-	-	9
20	Madras	720000	9643	8725	9267	17692	7846	53172	10634	-	-	3
21	Kurnool	273190	1827	2142	2208	2554	3238	11969	2394	-	-	1
	Total	2230697	531239	516151	528930	576448	561617	2714386	542877	-	-	4

8935

Fort St George

31st May 1856

No 9 Statement exhibiting the amount of Interest collected in Fusly 1260 @ 1264 with the average per annum.-

Districts	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total	Average
	Rupees						
1 Ganjam	263	4,948	7,764	2,306	2,103	17,384	3477
2 Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3 Rajahmundry	5064	6162	3817	"	"	22,184	5546
4 Masulipatam	9170	6170	1550	7,141	3571	27,551	5510
5 Guntoor	"	39	8	7,090	"	69	23
6 Nellore	144	157	187	"	"	891	178
7 Cuddapah	"	"	"	190	213	"	"
8 Bellary	"	"	"	"	"	9	3
9 Kurnool	"	1	"	3	5	"	"
10 Chingleput	"	"	"	"	"	10247	2049
11 Nr Dr of street	1808	3284	2915	2021	219	"	"
12 Sr Dr of street	"	"	"	"	"	1616	323
13 Tanjore	436	443	346	135	256	"	"
14 Trichinopoly	"	"	"	"	"	229	57
15 Madura	"	87	37	55	50	11376	2275
16 Tinnevelly	1168	1716	2573	1755	4164	6461	1292
17 Coimbatore	1392	987	589	2497	994	"	"
18 Salem	"	"	"	"	"	11030	2206
19 Canara	3626	3849	1816	1105	634	120	24
20 Malabar	3	65	3	8	41	720	144
21 Madras	94	90	91	126	321	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"		
Total	23170	28020	21696	24432	12571	109889	23107

Fort St George 31st May 1856

... for managing private Estates during Fusly 1260 @ 1264 with average per year

	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total	Average

8936

Fort S

N:10 Statement shewing the Commission collected for managing private

	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	
	Rupees					
1 Ganjam	"	"	"	"	"	
2 Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	
3 Rajahmundry	203	4398	4719	4868	"	
4 Masulipatam	"	"	"	"	"	
5 Guntoor	"	"	"	"	"	
6 Nellore	58	32	33	63	18	
7 Cuddapah	"	"	"	"	"	
8 Bellary	"	"	"	"	"	
9 Kurnool	"	"	"	"	"	
10 Chingleput	"	"	"	166	7	
11 North Arcot	"	"	"	"	"	
12 South Arcot	44	"	"	"	"	
13 Tanjore	"	"	"	"	"	
14 Trichinopoly	"	"	"	"	"	
15 Madura	4985	4397	5528	5593	6276	
16 Tinnevelly	452	478	428	429	582	
17 Coimbatore	101	163	321	157	156	
18 Salem	"	"	"	"	"	
19 Canara	108	165	147	208	139	
20 Malabar	"	"	"	"	"	
21 Madras	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	5951	9633	11176	11468	7178	

8938 @ 39 Fort St George 31st May 1856

No 12 Statement shewing the Toll levied on certain Districts on Boats navigating Rivers during Fuslies 1260 @ 1264 with the average annual Collection.

		Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Total	Average
		Rupees						
1	Ganjam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	Rajahmundry	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	Masulipatam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Guntoor	232	233	246	"	"	711	237
6	Nellore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Cuddapah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Bellary	7,444	7,351	7,287	6,702	6,940	35,724	7145
9	Kurnool	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	Chingleput	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	North Arcot	567	"	"	"	"	567	567
12	South Arcot	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	Tanjore	2,920	3,395	3,450	3,029	2,483	15,277	3055
14	Trichinopoly	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	Madura	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	Tinnevelly	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	Coimbatore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	Salem	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	Canara	963	679	729	688	755	3,814	763
20	Malabar	7,868	9,432	9,359	10,489	9,406	46,554	9,311
21	Madras	32,368	30,729	33,032	34,003	33,349	163,481	32,696
	Total	52,362	51,819	54,103	54,911	52,933	266,128	53,774

8910 Fort St. George 31st May 1856

To,
Henry Ricketts Esqr
Commissioner for the revision
of Civil Salaries and Establishments
throughout India.

1282

Bd No 1709
To Henry Ricketts Esqr
Commissioner for the revision of Civil Salaries and Establishments throughout India.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to reply to your letter dated 2d April requesting to be put in possession of the Boards views regarding the introduction of graduated scale of Salaries in the Revenue Branch of the Service.

Referring upon the Preamble Branch of the Service as at present constituted with reference to the introduction of a graduated scale of allowances.

Three points are specially suggested by your letter for consideration.

1st The general expediency of the system of graduated Salaries.

2nd The Number of grades to be constituted and the number of Officers each grade should include.

3d Whether the grade of Salary should be personal as in the Punjab or attached to the office.

2

3 As regards the first point the Board are of opinion that the Public Service is benefitted by gradations of Salary holding out a prospect of progress in advancement to the Officers.

8941

Fort St. George 31st May 1856.

Officers of Government. They conceive that the absence of such an arrangement is calculated to excercise a depressing effect on those who having attained a certain rank & age before them no prospect of further advancement. This remark applies especially to the position of Collectors and Sub Collectors under this Presidency where owing to the circumstances of the Service, it is found that some attain a position inferior in its emoluments to that of their Colemporaries in Bengal and the North Western Provinces and remain in the same grade with scarcely any hope of further advancement.

4 The Revenue branch of the Service is instituted as follows.

Board.

8942

Fort St. George, 31st May 1856.

Board of Revenue.

			Salary per Annum Rs. A P.
1	1st Member		4,000 " "
2	2d Do		3,408 5 4
3	3d Do		3,010 10 8
1	Senior Secretary		2,000 " "
1	Sub Do		1,500 " "
	Collectors		
15	Collectors @	2,333-5-4	35,000 " "
1	Agent to the Governor at Ganjam		2,850 " "
1	Collector of Tanjore	2,333 5 4	
	For conducting the duties of Residency	250 - " - "	2,583 5 4
1	Collector of Masulipatam	2,333-5-4	
	In charge of General Treasury	175 - " - "	2,508 5 4
	In charge of General Treasury		2,500 " "
1	Agent to the Governor at Vizagapatam		2,500 " "
1	Collector of Cuddapah		2,000 " "
1	Agent to the Governor at Kurnool		3,101 10 4
1	Collector of Sea Customs Madras		
22			
	Sub Collectors		
1	Deputy Collector of Sea Customs Madras		1,500 " "
14	Sub Collectors at	1,166-10-8	16,333 5 4
2	Additional Sub Collectors at	1,166-10-8	2,333 5 4
2	Principal Assistants, at	1166-10-8	2,333 5 4
19			
	Head Assistants.		
17	Head Assistants at	733-5-4	12,466 10 8
1	Special Do		733 5 4
	Assistants		
18	Assistants @	733-5-4	1,466 10 8
2	Do		558 5 4
1	Do @	525 " "	3,075 " "
7	Do @	400 " "	1600 " "
4	Do @	350 " "	2800 " "
8			
22			

5 The Sub Collectors are paid at a uniform rate, they attain that rank on an average after 10 years service and seldom leave it for the post of Collector till the 18th year of service there remaining about 8 years without advancement beyond the chance of occasional acting employ a rule similar to that which formerly allowed an increase to Assistants after certain period of Service is much wanted.

6 In the case of Sub Collectors Head Assistants and Junior Assistants the Board consider that the grades should be personal to the Officers, with regard however to Collectors, there is a difference these Officers are responsible for the order and well being of their districts and as the circumstances of different provinces vary much, and there is sometime a great disputing in their relations area deputation and Revenue it seems better to attach the higher grades of Salary if such exists to the Office rather than to the person.

P. to Government 21st January 1836 p. 18.

7 Prior to the year 1836 eleven of the Madras Collectorate were distinguished from the rest by the title of principal Collectorates and a higher rate of Salary was paid to the Officers in charge of their districts; in that year the Board of Revenue as

P. to Government 21st January 1857 p. 10.

as then constituted proposed the abrogation of the rule which had hitherto suited the higher rate of Salary and the designation of Principal Collector to the Districts which were considered as the most important and onerous; they were of opinion that the Government should be allowed to raise meritorious Officers to the higher grade without being compelled to transfer them from the appointment which they might hold at the time. This proposition received the assent both of the local and of the Supreme Government in 1839 however the Court Directors in a despatch in the Financial Department No. 17 dated 18th July 1839 strongly objected to this principal as opposed to the views they had already expressed, and the higher grade was then altogether abolished.

P. to Government 21st November to 25th December 1839.

(P. Government 23d Nov. to 28th Dec. 1839.)

8 The present Board of Revenue entertain as I have already said different views from their predecessors of 1836 and as these have been fully explained in their Proceedings under date 25th January 1855 when considering a proposition for the appointment of Commissioners similar to those employed in the North West Provinces, shall perhaps best convey to you their opinion regarding the present Collectorates

and

Fort St. George, 31st. May 1856

and the requirements of this part of our Revenue System by transcribing those paras of the Proceedings which bear upon the question.

P.C. "For these reasons the Board presume that the Commissioners contemplated by the Honorable Court are to be an intermediate authority like the Commissioners in Bengal and in the North West Provinces between the Collectors and the Controlling Board at the Presidency. The great extent of the Madras territories would make it necessary that the number of Officers of this grade (to exercise any degree of Supervision which would be deemed personal and efficient) should be six at the least distributed probably in the manner shewn in the Margin. The Salaries of six Officers of this description at Rupees 3,000 Per Mensem would amount to upwards of two laces annually, independently of Establishment, travelling allowances and contingent expences; which may certainly be assumed at another two making three lacs in all; and the Board are not prepared to argue that the advantages which would follow their appointment would be at all commensurate with such an outlay."

1 Ganjam
Vizagapatam
Rajahmundry
Masulipatam

2 Guntoor
Nellore
North Arcot
Chingleput
Madras.

3 Bellary
Cuddapah
Kurnool.

4 Coimbatore
Malabar
Canara

5 Salem
South Arcot
Trichinopoly

6 Tanjore
Madura
Timevelly.

Fort St. George 31st. May 1856

7 "The position of Collectors in this Presidency has some peculiarities which bear materially on the subject under consideration. They are placed in charge of Provinces which in size and revenue are often equal to three, and in some cases even to four Collectorates in the other Presidencies. At the same time in consequence of the state of the Civil Service, and the small number of appointments of higher rank, they are men of long experience before they attain this Office, and there seems no prospect of its being attained hereafter within a shorter period of service than at present. Viz: from 18 to 20 years. The Government therefore now possess Collectors who have all the qualifications which lengthened experience and prolonged revenue training can give, and whose standing would entitle them in the other Presidencies to expect the Office of Commissioner. Their general efficiency is thus assured by as strong a security as seems attainable; and their zeal and faithfulness have for guarantees (independently of higher influences) the conspicuous situation of power and trust in which they are placed, and their natural anxiety

6

to gain the approbation of Government and rise to higher employment."

11. "There is one obvious advantage indeed in the System of Commissioners, Viz.t that it affords the means of selection for the higher and more important post. It is evident that it will always be easier to obtain six able and efficient Officers as Commissioners than to procure twenty such for Collectors, or to put the case in a different light, the exertions of six able men able not merely from natural qualities but from the profession also of adequate experience and knowledge of business as Collectors would affect only six Districts, while as Commissioners they would influence the whole Presidency. The creation of these Superior appointments would also make the prospect of promotion more effectively operative as a stimulus to exertion: and at present it cannot be denied that from the very small number of appointments above the rank of Collector, the prospect to any Officer of ever rising above that rank is very small under this Presidency

Presidency. But the Board will presently suggest another method by which the same end may be attained; and they believe, more advantageously. On the other hand it appears to the Board that the appointment of Commissioners might tend to delay the disposal of business and to increase Correspondence."

12. "In the event of its being determined to appoint Commissioners, the Board are very strongly of opinion that the number of Collectors should be increased so as to put it in the power of those Officers, then to have primary administrative functions, to acquire a more accurate knowledge of their Districts and to come more in contact with the people. Even if the number were raised from twenty to forty, each one would be on an average two fifths larger than in the North West Province."

15. "The Board also consider it essential to effective administration that the immence Districts of Cuddapah and Bellary should both be divided. each contains now about 13000 Square Miles: and will be only very slightly reduced by the proposed annexations to Kurnool. This is double the extent of North Arcot, South Arcot Salem, and other full sized Districts. Half that extent or about 6000 Square Miles would be an ample

Sq. Miles of Coll.s dy in each Coll.r
S. W. P. 24000 ÷ 20 = 2400
Madras 13000 ÷ 4 = 3250.

8949

Fort St. George 31st May 1856.

ample charge for a Collector aided by a Sub Collector, and establishment as above indicated and even the new Districts so formed would still be two and a half times the average size of the Collectorates of the North West Provinces"

16. "The last measure which the Board have to recommend has reference to the Collectors Salaries. Formerly the Districts were in two classes, in the larger and more important there were Principal Collectors with a higher scale of Salary than that of the Collectors in the Smaller Districts.

Vide C.O.B.V. Vol. I Note a, on page 208.

In 1839 as a measure of economy this difference was abolished, and a uniform Salary lower than that before allowed to the Smaller Districts was assigned to all. The Board would not now advocate any increase for the Minor Collectorates but justice and expediency seem to require that the labour of Superintending the larger and more onerous Provinces should be adequately rewarded, and that Government should have it in their power to offer and inducement to men of Superior ability, zeal and experience to undertake the greater labour. The principle is admitted

8930

C.O.B.V. Note a on page 208

Fort St. George 31st May 1856.

admitted in Ganjam and Vizagapatam, and in a less effective form in Guntoor and Cuddapah, and in the Boards opinion should be extended to such Districts as Canara, North and South Arcot Madura, Coimbatore, and others; and perhaps even to some of the new Districts to be formed as above suggested by the Sub division of Bellary and Cuddapah. The establishment of a difference such as this would not only give the Government the power of selecting the ablest Officers for the most arduous posts, but would be a benefit to the Service at large in the absence of the superior grade of Commissioner which exists under the other Presidencies".

9 Although the above extracts are taken from a letter which had no direct connection with the present enquiry they leave little to be said.

10 The accompanying list shewing the period of service of the present Collectors may perhaps assist comparison of the circumstances of this Presidency with those of the North West Provinces. I have also added a Memorandum taken from a report

x A

/ B

by

Fort St. George, 31th May 1856.

by the Collector of Canara enumerating the Departments with which he was brought into correspondence; lists shewing an almost equal amount and variety of business could be given for the majority of the Madras Collectorates. Added to this it must be borne in mind that Collectors are charged with the Superintendence of the police the Salt, Sea Customs and (where Foreign States adjoin) those of the land also; in wooded districts the Forest conservancy is also in part made the Collectors Superintendence, and as Agent of the Court of Wards he undertakes the management of the Estates of minors and otherwise disqualified proprietors.

11 You will have gathered from the tenor of the Extracts I have given that 2 grades for Collectors were contemplated

A

Fort St. George, 31st May 1856.

A Second grade for Sub Collectors depending on their length of service would the Board think be sufficient and they would leave the gradation of allowances to Head Assistants and Senior Assistants as at present.

The number of Offices to be included in each of these grades would depend entirely on their length of service; but in the case of Collectors the eight districts noted in the Margin have been selected by the Board as those which apart from political considerations would most properly be constituted Principal Collectorates.

* Tanjore
South Arcot
North Arcot
Bellary
Cuddapah
Tinnevelly
Canara
Malabar

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
21st May 1856.

/ Signed / G. Sorbie
Secretary

Districts -

8933 Fort St George, 31st May 1856

A

	Districts	Names of Collectors	Date of Arrival	Date of appointment to present Office.
1	Ganjam	W. Fluse Actg	16th September 1835	27th August 1854
2	Vizagapatam	P.B. Smollett	11th June 1826	9th April 1840
3	Rajahmundry	A. Purvis	7th March 1838	18th February 1851
4	Masulipatam	J. Fraser Actg	13th August 1840	11th April 1856
5	Guntoor	H. Newill Actg	1st August 1840	9th October 1855
6	Nellore	J.B. Elton	19th December 1830	13th April 1833
7	Cuddapah	M. Murray	28th April 1852	23d August 1853
8	Bellary	C Pelly	14th January 1837	20th August 1850
9	Kurnool	J.G. Russell Asst	"	26th March 1856
		Offg Agent		
10	Chingleput	C.T. Shubrick	11th May 1833	1st May 1855
11	North Arcot	C. Whittingham	5th July 1832	3d October 1854
12	South Arcot	A. Wall	5th January 1833	23d May 1854
13	Tanjore	J.W. Cherry Actg	29th August 1839	10th May 1856
14	Trichinopoly	J. Birch	10th February 1831	7th March 1851
15	Madura	R.D. Parker	15th May 1829	6th July 1847
16	Tinnevelly	J. Silver	4th September 1833	12th February 1856
17	Coimbatore	E.B. Thomas	11th August 1845	28th March 1854
18	Salem	W.A. Brett	5th July 1832	8th November 1853
19	Canara	W. Fisher	11th April 1837	10th May 1856
20	Malabar	J. Clarke	8th September 1834	23d September 1865
21	Madras	B Cunliffe	8th October 1834	15th February 1856
22	Sea Customs Madras	W.C. Underwood	2nd October 1822	15th February 1841

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St George
31st May 1856

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary

8934 Fort St George 31st May 1856

Direct to Government

Board of Revenue
Department of Public Works
Foujdaree Adawlut
Sea Customs Collector and
Reporter of External Commerce
Accountant General
Civil Auditor
Military Board
Marine Board
Superintendent of Pensions
Post Master General
Sessions Judge at Mangalore
Assistant Judge at D°
Subordinate Judge at D°
Sessions Judge at Honore
Bombay Government
Accountant General Bombay
Timber Agent Calicut
D° Sedasheger
Paymaster of the Division
Commissariat Officer
Civil Engineer
4 Officers Superintending the Construction of Lighthouses
2 Sub Collectors and Assistants
Neighbouring Collectors Magistrates
and Superintendents in this Presidency
Bombay and Mysore
Public Instructions

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary

8956 Fort St. George 31st May 1856.

Bd No 1259
From the Secretary to Government 20th May 1856. Read

1283 Extract Sanctioning the Continuance of a
lapsed yeomiah in the District of Guntoor
to Syed Moonshoor the brother of the late incumbent

1283-1286 in arrear from the Date of the Latters death.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

1285

Myd No 1307
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Rain report for the

1286 month of April 1856.

Myd No 1308
From the Collector of Nellore. Account Current of

1287 Salt for April 1856 Fusly 1265.

Myd No 1306
From the Collector of Nellore. Statement Shewing the
quantity of Salt in Store available for export-
ation to Calcutta at each of the Ports of export
on the 13th May 1856.

" E Thackeray

" H Sconce