Block
Rack No. 4
Shelf No. 12
Serial No. 2515
General No. 6721

Vol: 2515

Contents

No	From & to whom	Subject	Page
		Cont^d^ from 1856	
1	From Govt	Charges to be incurred in certain Districts for Fusly 1265	2778
	Orde. Do	On the above	2780
	From Govt	Certificate to Rev^d^ J. Gosm has for certain lands	2781
5	Pro Do	On the abore	2782
3	From Govt	Sanction of the proposal made by the Collector about the Shrotriem Villages of Truppaloor & Carroady	"
5	Resol Do	On the above	2783
2	From Govt	Bruce and Mellor Pettahs transferred to the Jurisdiction of Civil authorities	2784
1	Order Do	On the above	2786
6	From S Arcot	Petition No 3123 of 1855	"
6	Pro Do	On the above	2800
9	From N Arcot	Non appearance of the party that deposed against the Nait Sheristadar	2790
2	Pro Do	On the abore	2791
	From Coimb	Beer sold by Mr James	2792
4	Pro Do	Permitting Mr James to sell beer	2793
	From Billary	Petition of Chicka Basavanagowda Petah	2794
	Pro Do	On the above	2802

B'd No	From & to whom	Subject	Page
374	From Bell	Competency of the Sureties of Public Servants	2800
460	Pro Do	On the above	2802
244	From Nellore	Instructions for dealing with Shrotrium Villages	2803
458	Pro Do	On the above	2809
342	From Civil Auditor	Statement &c	2810
474	To Civil Auditor	Forwarding the above Statement	"
432	From Sea Customs	Mr Underwood &c	2812
469	To Sea Cus	On the above	2858
468	To Dpty Coll. Sea Customs	Mr Underwood declaining to interfere with the Superviser decision	2860
470	To Mr Atkinson	Memo	"
471	To Mr C Desouza	Memo	2861
576	From Bellary	Reports on Teeruvapaty & Tusagaty	2862
445	From Tanjore	Report on Petition 2416 of 1853	2870
473	Resol Do	On the above	2872
492	From Madura	Restoration of the Tahseldar and Police Amen of	2873
466	Pro Do	Inconsistent course of the Joint magistrate	2877
370	From Guntur	Swinging festoral &c	2878
485	From Chin	Triangles and Scales for weighing Salt	2879
463	Pro Do	On the above	"
484	From Asst	Acknowledging the receipt of Guntur Chin	2880
581	From Comr	The Collector taking charge of the District	2884
582	" "	Delivering over the charge of the District	2889
464	To Tanjore & Shingle	Minor Estates	"
2135	From Asst	Imports and Exports on British and Foreign Bottoms	2890

Continued to 125

B'd No	From & to whom	Subject	Page
171	From Govt	Statement of Nautical Charges for Fasly 1264	2892
509	Pro Do	On the above	2893
469	From Govt	Estimate for the improvement of the Sooloorpet river	2894
496	Pro Do	On the above	2896
461	From Govt	Sanction for an experimental plantation	"
485	Pro Do	On the above	2899
512	From Govt	Declining to purchase paper for the new Village accounts	2900
483	Pro Do	On the above	2901
543	From Govt	Fixing the highest Bayyet rate on Jareeb Land in Nellore	2902
492	To Secy to Govt	The reduction of the assessment of the Jareeb Land	2905
551	From Govt	application for an Extra writer for the Collector of Madras	2908
484	Pro Do	On the above	2909
44	From Cuddapah	Case of Enams	"
482	Pro Do	Aid for clearing the arrears in Enam cases	2965
481	Pro Govt	Omissions in the arrear List	"

B No	From & to whom	Subject	Page
394	From Madura	Forwarding the translation of a Plaint	2966
491	To Secy to Govt.	Recommending the defence of a Suit at the Public Expense	2973
454	From Chm	Reports on Petition Nos 2564 2645 2039-2246 & 2592 of 1855	2978
477	Pro Do	On the above	2982
499	From Supr Udalur		
494	Pro Do	On the above	2986
490	From Mdu	Phamphlet for selling up Iron Presses	2986
480	Pro Do	Despatch of the above Phamphlet	2987
525	From Madura	Plan & Estimate for the improvement of a Chuttrum	"
409	Pro Do	On the above	2996
530	From Asst. Chief Cymr	Requesting the Boards opinion as to the eligibility of the site for the Building &c	2997
497	Pro Do	On the above	2998
558	From N Arcd	Refund of a certain sum of money to the Sheristadar	"
479	Pro Do	On the above	2999
593	From Canara	To Enamsm his district of the description alluded to in P.M.C. dated 8th January 1856 stating that the information called for by the Board in all be found in the minute forwarded on the 16th Instant	"
602	From Guntur	Swinging festival	3000
591	From Cuddapah		3001

B No	From & to whom	Subject	Page
		Pay of pensions monthly	3002
592	From Cuddapah	Provisions of Act XXX have never been made known to all Sea Custom Officers	3003
509	From Tanjore	Requesting to know whether the print.	
490	Off. From to Govt	ing presses of N Arcot & Rajahmundry are superior to the Wooden Presses sent by the Board	3004
493	To Govt	Statements of the Collection of Revenue	3006
486	To Secy to Marine Board	Procuring of freight for Iron presses	3008
232	From Dpty Secy to Govt	4 Copies of the Legislative Council of India	3009
233	" "	1 Copy of the Report of External Commerce	"
370	" "	Petition from the Curnum of Cola Ramachendrapooram	3010
35	From Agent at Rurnool	Stationary required for 1856	"
2734	From Cuddapah	Statement of Contingent Charges	"
323	From Govt	Management of the Inamo Choultry at Gooty	3015
499	Govt Do	On the above	3023
464	From Govt	Purchase of Seeds	"
502	Govt Do	On the above	3024

Bill No	From whom	Subject	Page
509	From Govt	Taxes on the making of lime	3024
550	Order Do	On the above	3032
3668	From Ongle	Best means of simplifying the tax on firewood at Shreekurreeattah	3033
511	Pro Do	On the above	3037
49	From Kurnool	Report on land Revenue	3041
197	From Rajahmy	Forwarding for inspection a plane Table	3159
366	From Combr	Application of Mr Stradling for land	"
508	Pro Do	Directing that the above land be sold by Public Auction	3162
505	Pro From S Arcot	Dismissal the appropriate punishment for fraudulent Sub ordinates	3163
503	Resolution	Abolition of Moturpha	"
357	From Trichy	Statement of lands Sold	3164
506	Pro Do	Sale confirmed	3167
421	From Nellore	Working of a Lithographic Press	"
504	Pro Do	On the above	3169
401	From Chingl	Export of Salt	"
500	Pro Do	On the above	3170
408	F. the officer Commanding Nellore	Statement &c	"
512	Order Do	On the above	3172
443	F S Arcot	Acknowledging the receipt of Field Books & Land Registers	3173
501	Pro Do	Desiring the Collector to make duplicates of the missing maps referred to by him	"

Bill No	From whom	Subject	Page
490	F N Arcot	List of Prizes &c	3174
523	Pro Do	On the above	3180
608	F S Arcot	Preparation of the returns of the Prices of grains	3182
616	F Mr C D'Souza	Replying to certain queries of the Board	3186
507	To Do	On the above	3188
625	F Sea Customs	Requesting for certain Original enclosures of inspection	"
495	Order Do	On the above	3189
51	F Hill Tracts of Orissa	Estimates of Charges to be incurred for Fasly 1266	"
365	F Masul.	Estimate of Salt & Extra Charges to be incurred for Fasly 1266	"
442	F Immerty	Estimate of Extra Charges to be incurred for 1266	"
513	To Secy to Govt	Estimate of Extra Charges to be incurred in certain Districts for Fasly 1266	3190
510	Off Inspr to Sanjore	Despatch of Copies of Prize List	3191

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

1856

No. 40.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Wednesday the 20th February 1856.

Read the following Papers

(484)

Revenue Department

No. 99

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 28th January 1856.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

/Here enter 21st December 1855 No. 3402/

With these Proceedings the Board submit letters from the Collectors of Canara Chinglepat, Vizagapatam, Nellore, and Cuddapah, furnishing explanation as called for in Extract Minutes Consultation of the 2d October last, respecting certain items included in the Estimates of extra Charges proposed to be incurred in their Districts during Fusly 1265.-

Bd. No. 371
From the Secretary to Government
Sanctioning with the exception of the items noted within the Estimates of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in certain Districts for Fusly 1265 amounting in all to Rupees 17545-10-8.-

2 Under the explanation furnished this charge is permitted and the Estimate for Fusly 1265 amounting to Rupees /15105/ Fifteen thousand one hundred and five is sanctioned.-

Canara Rupees 50 for the purchase of Cup boards.

3 For the reasons stated by the Board the Government decline to pass this charge:-

Chinglepat House rent for holding the Circuit Cutcherry at Sydapet Rupees 120.

They

They desire also to be informed what rules exist as to the Collector drawing extra Tent allowance at Sydapet deducting Rupees 120 from the estimated charges for Fusly 1265, the remainder Rupees 1982-8 / Nine hundred and eighty two and annas eight is sanctioned.

Nellore Rupees 95-9-6 for making Mercals Vizagapatam Rupees 100 for making 12 new and repairing 4 old Mercals.

Vizagapatam Sundry Charges Rupees 1100

4. As the balances for the use of the Salt Department will be ready in a few months the Government do not think it necessary to sanction the proposed charges for making Mercalls in Nellore and Vizagapatam. Of the sum of Rupees 1100 entered under the head of Sundry Charges in the estimate of the latter District - Rupees 800 is stated to be for advance to the Ryots to be recovered from the price of Salt paid to them, and the remainder Rupees 300, on account of the charges attending the measurement and heaping of Salt and of making Pials under the heaps. The latter amount is passed, but as regards the former the Board are referred to the orders of Government dated 8th January 1856 No 13 on the subject of a similar practice in Ganjam as

those orders will be attended to in Vizagapatam also, and this advance will cease to be necessary. Under the representation of the Board the Government sanction the sum set down for the distribution of Betel and nut to the Ryots of the Cuddapah Districts at the issue of Puttahs Viz^t thirty Rupees.

Cuddapah nut for distribution to the Inhabitants during the time of settlement Rupees 30

5 Deducting the items thus disallowed the Government sanction the Estimates of Extra Charges for Fusly 1265 in the Districts of Nellore, Vizagapatam and Cuddapah, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1,458-2-8 and including the Sums sanctioned in Paras 2 and 3 for the Districts of Canara and Chingleput, the total sum sanctioned is Rupees 17,545-10-8 / Seventeen thousand five hundred and forty five Annas ten and Pice eight.

Estimated Charges for Fusly 1265		
Nellore	267-5-1	
Deduct	96-9-6	170-11-7
Vizagapatam	2050--	
Deduct	900--	1,150--
Cuddapah		137-7-1
		1,458-2-8
Canara		15,105--
Chingleput		982-8--
		17,545-10-8

/ A true Extract /
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

(481-85)
B^d No 462
Order thereon /

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract be communicated

communicated to the Collectors of Canara Chingleput, Vizagapatam, Nellore and Cuddapah.

2. In the Chingleput Estimate a sum of Rupees 20 has been sanctioned for permitting Maunium Puttahs. The Board observe however, that as the Maunieams do not vary annually the necessity for the issue of annual Puttahs is not apparent.

3 The proposed disbursement for the purchase of a box for securing Postage Lables has been struck out of the Cuddapah Estimate and the Collector is informed that he should obtain sanction for the expenditure through the Superintendent of Stamps.

486

B. No. 102
From the Secretary to Government.

Revenue Department

No. 103

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 30th January 1856.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 16th January 1856 No. 107/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Acting Register of Government Grants to issue a permission Certificate in the form C

the 3d January 1855, to the Reverend J. Josenhans on the part of the Evangelical Institution at Basle for one Acre, twenty two Goontahs and five hundred and sixty six square feet of land at Honore in the Canara District, upon which a small church and house have been built by the Protestant Community at that station subject to an assessment of Rupees 1-8-.

A true Extract/
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

(486-87)
Bd. No. 475
Order thereon

Ordered that copy to be forwarded to the Register of Government Grants for his information and guidance.

488

Bd. No. 450
From the Secretary to Government.

Revenue Department

No. 114

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th Febeuary 1856.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

/Here enter 15th January 1856. No. 107/

In the letter which accompanied these Proceedings the Collector of South Arcot referring to the orders of the Government of India

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

November 9th 1855 E. M. India authorizing a
C. Nov.r 30th N.o 1313. life pension to the Shrotriemdar of Tripapoloor and Caroonady
in exchange for his interest in those Vil-
:lages. Represents the difficulty of adjusting
accounts for the broken period of the year,
and requests that he may be allowed
to treat the Villages as resumed from the
beginning of the current fusly, and to pay
the pension also from that date.-

2 The Ryots of the Villages having
made no payment of Kist to the Shrotri-
:emdar the Board of Revenue recommend
that the proposal receive sanction and the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council
Sanctions the arrangement, on the assumption
that the Shrotriemdar consents to it.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary to Government

(488-89)
Bd. N.o 465
Resolution thereon Resolved that copy of the
foregoing Extract Minutes Con-
:sultation be sent to the Collector
of South Arcot for information
and guidance.-

Extract

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

(490)
Bd. N.o 452
From the Secretary to Government
Directing that the Bruce and Mellor Pettahs be ex-
:cluded from the limits of the Military Cantonment of Bellary and be trans-
:ferred to the Jurisdiction of the Civil Authorities

Revenue Department

N.o 118

Extract from the Minutes of Consul-
:tation under date the 6th February 1856

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Here entered 5th January 1856 N.o 391

15th March 1849 para 5 In consequence of an order of Government directing that
Military Cantonments should be re-
restricted to parts purely Military,
the Collector of Bellary recommend
that Bruce Pettah and Mellor Pettah
should be transferred to the Civil
Jurisdiction, but the proposal
was opposed by the Military
authorities.-

2 The merits of the case
are stated in Extract Minutes Con-
:sultation May 11th 1855, paras 6 and 7
wherein it was finally ordered that
the actual proportions of the inhabi-
:tants of the two Pettahs, Subject to
Civil and Military law respectively,
should be ascertained.-

3 After some intermediate
correspondence, the final report on this
point

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

point is now submitted by the Board. It appears that out of a total population of about 20,000 persons resident in the Pettahs the Military authorities only claim 1311 after deducting 189 in their list who are not resident in the disputed Pettahs, and that out of that 1311 no fewer than 1194 are only men and women coolies &c and not at all permanently employed under any Military Department, thus leaving only 117 really Military followers, waiving this objection however and allowing the whole 1194 to count as Military followers, the entire number of 1311 persons claimed by the Military authorities amounts to only 6½ per cent of the population.

4 Looking to this great disproportion between the inhabitants subject to Civil and Military jurisdiction respectively, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to direct that the Bruce and Mellor Pettahs be excluded from the limits of the Military Cantonment of Bellary and be transferred to the Jurisdiction of the Civil authorities. The Quarter Master General of the Army is requested

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

to have an accurate Survey made of the Cantonment as now altered and a Specification of the same drawn up for publication in a General Order and he will further supply the Collector with a copy of the plan defining the new limits.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary to Government.

(490-91)

B. No. 461.

Order thereon

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes Consultation be sent to the Collector of Bellary for his information and guidance.—

(492)

B. No. 3496.

From the Collector of South Arcot

Returning Petition No. 3123 of 1855 from Gopaliengar on the subject of a Dutta manium held by him formerly from the Pichinarium Hawilgars and reporting on the same.

No. 244

To G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir Fort St. George

I have the honor to return Petition No. 3123 of 1855 from Gopaliengar on the subject of a Dutta manium which he alleges that he held formerly on Grant from the younger branch of the Pichinarum Hawilgars family and for which he now claims compensation.—

2. He is one of several persons who

who have preferred similar claims to remuneration for their resumed

× alienated by the Younger branch of the Pichavarum Kavilgar ——— 41

alienated by the Kavilgar of Woomanboodycoil ——— 19

60

Inamiums in the Talooks of Chellumbrum and Munnargoody upon the Grounds of their being entitled to the same treatment as those parties receiving who held their Inamiums from the Elder Branch of the Pichavarum Kavilgar and from the Poligar of Woodiarpalliam which were disposed of agreeably to the principles laid down in the orders of Government dated

× 31st January and 11th April 1842—

× Obtained with Extract from the Resp Bd dated 19th July 42 ×× Do Do dated 18th April 1842

3.— The Kanil Enam Lands held by the younger branch of the Pichavarum family by whom the mamion now in question was alienated were resumed as long back as 1842 with those of the Woomanboodycoil Kavilgar but the claims of the Dutta Enamdars of which the Petitioner is one have never yet been adjusted.

4.— In the case of those who held such inamiums alienated by the Elder branch of the same family at Pol-

pensions equivalent to the full Teerwah of the Land taken from them were granted for life, while payments were made to the Institutions which had been Endowed by them, equal to five years Teerwah. This was done in accordance with the rules laid down in the orders of Government quoted above.—

5.— The unsettled cases in the Talooks of Munnargoody and Chellumbrum amount in all to 78 of which 18 are claims by Institutions and Sixty by individuals, The Teerwah of the land concerned comes to 3,996-7-10—

6.— The subject of these claims was brought to my notice when I was last year settling the Chellumbrum Talook but I was then so pressed with other business that I was unable to give my attention to them—

7.— As so many years have elapsed since the resumption of the Lands the claims to Compensation by the Dutta Manigendars have become more complicated than they would have been found had they been at once taken up for adjudication Some

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

Some of the original parties having died and in other cases, quarrels and counter claims having. Thereby sprung up—

8.— At present I am quite unable to go into the cases for an enquiry. can only be made by a person on the spot and having no junior Assistant I am prevented from being absent for any length of time, on from Cuddalore.—

9.— I hope however circumstances will put it in my power to investigate the different claims ere long either personally or thro' an Assistant.—

South Arcot. ——— }
Collectors Cutcherry. — }
Cuddalore. ——— }
27th November 1855.

[Signed] A. Hall
Collector.

Br. No 476.
Order thereon.
(492-93)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 3123 of 1855

Petitioner is informed that an enquiry will be instituted into his claims when those of the other Dutta Mamiendars of Chellambrum Taluk come under consideration.

[illegible]

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

No 174

To.

J. D. Bourdillon, Esqr.
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

(494)

Br. No 3489
From the Collector of
North Arcot ——— }
Br. No 3484

Sir,

Adverting to the orders of Government dated 1st September 1855 calling upon the Collector to report the result of his enquiries regarding the charge brought against the Head Sheristadar of this District in Petition No 182 presented to the late Torture Committee by one Ragavacharry. I have the honor to state for the information of Government that a Summons was issued by the Sub Collectors in charge to that party for his appearance. In his Endorsement on the summons he pleaded his inability to appear within the prescribed time on the ground that he was sick but he promised to appear by the 15th Ultimo. It is now nearly 2 Months since this time has expired and the party has not as yet made his appearance.—

North Arcot ——— }
Magistrates Office, ——— }
Chittoor 28th November 1855 }

[Signed] C. Whittingham
Magistrate.

Resolution

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

B. R. No. 472.
Resolution thereon.
(494-95)

Resolved that the above recorded letter be forwarded for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the order of Government dated 1st September 1855 on the Statements of Ragoba charry taken on the 7th day of February 1856 before one of the Commissioners appointed for the investigation of alleged cases of torture in the Madras Presidency it is desirable that the petitioners in the present instance should appear before an European Officer & the Collector will be requested to take the usual course for that purpose.—

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

No. 164

To

G. J. Forbes Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(496)
B. R. No. 17
From the Collector of Coimbatore.

States that the Beer sold at the Shop of Mr. James at Coonoor is wholesome and palatable than the arrack.

Bills in No. 328 of returns forwarded

Sir

With reference to Boards Endorsement dated 28th Ultimo. and Mr. James's letter of 11th Instant, I would beg to observe that the closing of Mr. James's shop at Coonoor was in deference to the wishes of the Commanding Officer at Jacktalla, who conceived it would be injurious to his men.

2. In my own opinion Mr. James's Beer is a much more wholesome and safe Beverage than the ardent and often bad arrack, and other spirits, so much consumed on the Hills by the Natives, and no doubt often obtained in an illicit way by the Soldiery If Mr. James's Beer has been found at any time unwholesome and intoxicating it has been (he asserts, and believes truely) when it has been drugged, as arrack is by retail dealers, to make it more potent. Mr. James is much too respectable to do any thing of the kind himself. he has also done his best by engaging other and more respectable Agents.

I have

3 His Beer and Porter is sold largely in the Bazar to Natives and I have not heard of any increase of drunkenness thereby if used in moderation a man could no doubt work much better on it than on Spirits it is also purchased and used by many European Gentlemen I have myself tried it, and found it really wholesome and palatable and I am doing Mr James only fair justice, in saying that I think his experiment is deserving of all encouragement.

Coimbatore
Collector's Office
20th December 1855.

/ Signed / E B Thomas
Collector

B. No 467
Proceedings thereon

(496-97)

Under the circumstances stated by Mr James in his Petition and the favorable testimony borne on his behalf by the Collector the Board of Revenue desire that the prohibition to Mr James maintaining a shop at Coimbatore for retailing his Beer be withdrawn.

2 If the Military authorities should consider such a step necessary it is in their power to apply to Government to extend the provisions of Act XVIII of 1853 to the place where Mr James's shop stands and thus render it unlawful to sell any of the liquor to the Soldiery.

No 116

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir

In forwarding the accompanying Petition I have the honor to inform you that it has reference to orders of the Board of Revenue No 2700 dated 16th October last, which are in consequence of this Petition held in abeyance or until the final decision of the Board is passed. I beg to accompany the Petition with the Record of the case and the order this day passed by me on the appeal forwarded to me by Chicka Basavanagoud against the decision of the Assistant Collector

(498)

B. No 205
From the Collector of Bellary
Submits the appeal Petition of Chicka Basanangoud of Bauppoor in the Gollem Talook with the record of the case

× From Chicka Basavanagoud Patail of Bauppoorum in the Gollem Talook of Bellary

Bellary District
Collector's Office
On Circuit Hoolalee
7th December 1855.

/ Signed / C Pelly
Collector

No 1

Order on the Collector of Bellary on the Petition of Chicka Basavanagoud of Bauppoorum the Gollem Talook dated 12th November 1855.

The Petitioner encloses an appeal Petition to the Board of Revenue appealing against the decision of the Assistant Collector dated 18th August 1855 sentencing him to pay a fine of Rupees 50 he being convicted of receiving Bribes to the Amount of 30½ Rupees under Clause I Section V Regulation

Regulation IX of 1822 The Petitioner in his Petition to the Collector requests him to reverse the Order of the Assistant Collector himself or should he be of opinion that that decision is wrong but otherwise to forward his Petition to the Board.

The Petitioner Chicka Basanangaud was convicted of receiving bribes to the Amount of Rupees 30 — 4 — from certain ryots of the Village of Bangoor of which he is the Reddy to induce him to suspend the Collection of their Rists The fine adjudged by the Assistant Collector Viz^t 50 Rupees was paid The Reddy requested to be restored to his Office from which he had been suspended and the Collector ordered on 29th August that he could not be restored for two years.

The Board of Revenue on their Proceedings dated 16 October N^o 2700 directed the Reddy should be dismissed absolutely and directed the Collector "should the Assistant Collector's decision not be modified on appeal to carry this Order into effect depriving the Patail of every portion of the emoluments of the Office and appointing a person unconnected with him to it" On this Order being communicated to the Patail he made the appeal in a formal manner

The Collector has perused this appeal and also the decision of the Assistant Collector dated the 18th August and the record of the Proceedings connected with the case and he is of Opinion that the decision of the Assistant Collector is a correct decision The objection taken to the Assistant Collector's decision on the ground of descrepancies in evidence as given in the Talook and before the Assistant Collector was noted by the Assistant Collector, and the Collector on comparing the evidence as given is of the same opinion as the Assistant Collector that the discripances are not such as to invalidate the general tenor of the evidence to the alleged facts It seems to be clearly established that an agreement was made between the Reddy (the Petitioner) and the ryots to suspend the Collection of their Rists on their giving him for his own use Sums at the rate of 2 Rupees per each (Canteroy) Pagoda due by them and this fact is supported by 2 Lists the one showing the Balances due by each ryot drawn out by the Reddy himself and the other the amount payable at the rate agreed upon drawn up in the handwriting of the Reddy's son For these reasons the Collector refuses to disturb the decision of the Assistant Collector and accordingly forwards the Petition of Chicka Basanangaud with the Records of the case for the orders of the Board as requested by the

Petitioner.

Bellary Collector's Office
On Circuit at Hollul
7th December 1855

/ Signed / C. Pelly
Collector.

1st Chucka Basavangouda (1st Defdt.)

No. 2.

In the case the Reddy of the Village of Bapoor in the Goolcum Talook, is accused by a number of Ryots of taking bribes from them in the month of February last being the time of the 2d Visit promising not to trouble the said Ryots for the payment of their kists

1st The complainants (Ryots) 15 in number of whom 13 appeared before the Assistant Collector state that they agreed to give the Redde a bribe at the rate of 2 Rupees on 10 Pagodas on the above conditions

2. The Defendant denies having taken the bribe and states the charge has been brought on against him through enmity

Sons of the Redde (1st Defdt.)

3 Two other individuals Shidd anagouda (2d Defdt.) and Canlia panangauda (3d Defendant) are also charged by the Complainants with being concerned in this case, which fact they also deny

4 Lists Nos. 1 & 2 are produced by the Complainants the former being a list of the various amounts payable by each of the Complainants to the Sircar (Beniz putty) upon which the list No. 2 is formed Shewing the amount to be paid by each to the Redde at the above mentioned rate of 2 Rupees on every 10 Pagodas

5 1st Wit-

complainant talking about this matter but did not see the money given, that he lent 4 Rupees to one of the complainants for the purpose of giving it to the on Reddi and that he does not know who wrote the lists Nos. 1 & 2. In the Talook this Witness states that the list No. 1 is in the handwriting of the Reddi.

6 2d Witness deposes to seeing the money given as above but in the Talook he says he heard it was given.

7 3d Witness confirms the statement of 2d Witness.

8 4th Witness states he saw the money given as above and saw list No. 1 written by the Redde (1st Defendant) and the list Nos. 2 & 3 written by the 3d Defendant and that the money as above was given to the Redde by the Complainants themselves In the Talook this Witness states that two of the Complainants were selected to make the above arrangement with the Redde and that the money was given through them.

9 Although there are discrepancees in all the statements given before the Assistant Collector when Compared with those given in the Talook (and these are in most instances trifling) there is good reason to believe that the Charges is founded on fact on a General Consideration of the

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

the evidence adduced on examining the lists Nos 1 & 2 there appears no doubt but that the latter is formed on the former and at the rate mentioned by the Complainants, viz Rupees 2 in 10 Pagodas though in many cases the sum entered is not strictly correct, but this is accounted for by the fact (which is not improbable) that when the sum to be paid at the above rate was found to be odd (viz) a few Annas or Puce over the latter was struck off to make the sum even and vice versa a few annas or puce added with the same view

10 The 3d Defendant confesses to having written list No 2 but not in connection with this matter that he was asked by a person selling grain to the Ryots, to write out a list of the various Ryots to whom this grain was sold and the amount due by them to him and that this is that list. The produces witnesses to support this statement who however fail to do so.

11 Of the 15 Ryots who complained 13 only appeared and the aggregate of the sums mentioned by these men as having been severally given to the Reddi amounts to Rupees 30 — — and the 1st Defendant who is the Reddi of the Village is ordered to pay a fine of 50 Rupees of which the amount of Rupees 30 — — paid by the Ryots is to be restored to

† See Document No 3 a Comparison of lists Nos 1 & 2

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

which they gave under Col I Section V & Section XII Regulation IX of 1822.

Bellary ---
18th August 1855

/Signed/ R. Horsley
Assistant Collector

The Reddi who has been suspended begs to be restored for the granting of which petition he is referred to the Collector.

The Reddi cannot be restored for ten years
29th August 1855

/Signed/ C Pelly
Collector

No 3 Appeal Petition

The Collector is requested to forward thereon the depositions &c taken in this case.

To, No 1
G. J. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I have the honor to report in reference to the circular order of the Board of Revenue dated 27th July 1837 enjoining periodical examination to be made on the Competency of the Sureties of public Servants, & to the orders noted in the Margin

1st May 1852
12th July 1852
4th Oct 1850
6th July 1854

That having been favored with the Board's Proceedings of the 6th February 1854 passed on my report of the 23d December 1853 on the subject of the tender of security by Public Servants, I have now only to remark on the Competency of the Sureties.

2 The number of Servants in this district who are required to furnish security was according to my former report 172, but the services of 20 have since been dispensed with by the abolition of the Sayer Frontier Duties, in accordance with the orders of Government dated 8th August 1854, the following abstract will shew the state of the sureties of the remaining 152.

Jessy[illegible]

500
Bd No 1837
From the Collector of Bellary
Reports on the competency of the sureties of Public servants according to the orders of the Board of Revenue

2801 Fort St. George 20th February 1856

Designation of the Servants required to furnish Security.	Total Number of Servants who are to furnish Security	Number who have furnished security					Number who have yet to furnish Security		
		Same Sureties as were accepted in my report of the 20th December 1853	New securities furnished		Total	Total of the 3 Cols.	Number required to furnish fresh security owing to death and incompetency of former sureties	Number required to furnish security who have neglected on entrance	Total
			Those who have executed Bonds on stamped paper	Those who have executed Bonds on paper to be stamped upon production of stamped paper					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Peons — Cashkeepers	1	1	"	"	"	1	"	"	"
Peons — Shroffs	4	3	1	"	1	4	"	"	"
Peons — Gollers	4	3	1	"	1	4	"	"	"
	9	7	2	"	2	9	"	"	"
Servants — Tasildars	17	11	3	1	4	15	1	1	2
Servants — Sherustadars	17	12	3	"	3	15	1	1	2
Servants — Nagady Gromastas	17	11	4	"	4	15	1	1	2
Servants — Shroffs	17	11	4	1	5	16	1	"	1
Servants — Gollers	17	11	6	"	6	17	"	"	"
	85	56	20	2	22	78	4	3	7
Total	94	63	22	2	24	87	4	3	7
Stamp Vendors and Peons — Daroga	1	"	"	1	1	1	"	"	"
Stamp Vendors and Peons — Venders	35	25	5	2	7	32	1	2	3
	36	25	5	3	8	33	1	2	3
Post Office establishment — Writers and letter Peons of the Regular Post Office	15	4	7	"	7	11	"	4	4
Post Office establishment — Goomastahs of the District Post	7	4	1	2	3	7	"	"	"
	22	8	8	2	10	18	"	4	4
Grand Total	152	96	35	7	42	138	5	9	14

3 The Board are aware that it is the practice to ascertain the Competency of the Surety through means of the Tasildars, previous to the security being accepted I have also ordered the Tasildars to take notice and report annually on the subject of the Sureties and whenever it appears necessary from such reports, to have the security in any case renewed, it is done Of the 138 who have furnished Security it appears that the Sureties of 96 are the same as when I last reported, and who continue Competent the Competency of the 42 new Sureties has also been ascertained by the Tasildars From the 7 who have furnished Security on unstampt paper, and from the 12 who have not furnished it yet Security will immediately be taken on Stampt paper.

4 The Security Bonds executed by the sureties of Public Servants, are according to the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 6th January 1853 taken on stamps of the Value prescribed by Section XI Regulation XIII of 1816, but are not registered in the Court, abiding the decision of the Government of India on the question of registering such documents as directed by the Board of Revenue in the 3d para of their Proceedings of the 12th July 1852.

Bellary Collector's Office,
On Circuit Roddeghee
9th January 1856.

} Signed C. Pelly
Collector.

B. P. 460.
Proceedings thereon
(500-501)

The Board of Revenue approve of the proceedings of the Collector reported in the foregoing letter.

No. 10.

To,

G. S. Forbes Esquire

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(502)

B. No. 244
From the Collector of Nellore—

With reference to Extract Minutes of Cons. of 27th September 1855, soliciting the instructions of the Board as to the manner in which the Shrotriem Balances in the hands of Government should be dealt with.—

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th September last on the object of Shrotriem Balances in this District, I have the honor to solicit the favor of instructions on the following point.—

2. In Para 8 of their Minutes, the Government after sanctioning the remission of certain Balances and the restoration of the Shrotriems under attachment to their respective Proprietors, on condition that the Full Jodi should be paid hereafter, and that failure will involve the forfeiture of the Shrotriems, observe that if in any case the

owners

owners "decline to receive possession
"on these terms, the Villages shall
"be managed in every respect as
"if they were Government Villages
"receiving an equal share of the
"attention of the Collector and of the
"Tahsildar".-

3. The owners of only 2 Shro
-triums have as yet agreed to receive
back the Villages on the terms laid
down, and it becomes necessary
to decide whether those which are
left in the hands of Government
should be dealt with under the
Amany System, or rented out. I
decidedly recommend the latter
method as alone suited, under the
circumstances of Nellore to deve-
-lop the resources of the Shrotriums,
and which to the letter fulfils
the instructions of Govern-
-ment, inasmuch as the Villages

of the Sydapoor Talook which are
unassessed, are as far as practicable
managed in this way, due considera-
-ing being shown for the feelings of
the Mead Ryots, who are encouraged
to take a share in the Rent and so
successful have been the measures
pursued this year, that notwithstand-
-ing the Remission of Garden assess-
-ment in that Talook to the amount
of Rupees 2,464-5-3 the Beriz is
only Rupees 139-15-3 under that of
last year.-

As per sanction of the Board. Dated 13th December 1855.

4. Where Villages are rented
the Renters make their own ar-
-rangements to induce Ryots to
cultivate the lands, which improves
the resources of the Village, whereas
the Amany System involving the
harvesting and division of Crops
under the superintendence of the
Talook Government Officers, is not
only

Fort St. 20th February 1856.

only harassing to the Ryots but in the case of ryotted Shrotriums has been generally found to be unfavorable as regards the Revenue realized, as exemplified by the accompanying Memo: which shows the proceeds derived from the same Villages under Amany and Rents.-

5. As I think it probable however that Government did not mean that the Shrotriums should be rented, I think it necessary to request early instructions on the point meanwhile orders have been issued to the Tahsildars to give their attention to those Villages which the Proprietors are unwilling to take charge of in accordance with the instructions of Government.

Nellore District,
Broochered by follows,
Collector's Office,
17th January 1856.

/Signed/ T. B. Kelton
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

No. 1.

Statement shewing the Revenue derived from certain Shrotrium Villages under Amany and Rents.-

Names of Shrotrium Villages	Fuslies.	Revenue under the sharing System. Rupees	A	P	Revenue under Rents. Rupees	A	P
Venkatapooram	1253	345	3	10	"	"	"
	1254	412	7	2	"	"	"
	1255	365	2	2	"	"	"
	1256	"	"	"	330	"	"
	1257	466	6	10	"	"	"
	1258	417	12	1	"	"	"
	1259	434	"	9	"	"	"
	1260	318	1	2	"	"	"
	1261	457	7	6	"	"	"
	1262	393	9	4	"	"	"
	1263	"	"	"	430	"	"
	1264	"	"	"	430	"	"
	1265	"	"	"	430	"	"
Average	"	401	2	1	470	"	"
Culchaudoo	1260	463	5	2	"	"	"
	1261	726	1	9	"	"	"
	1262	620	"	"	"	"	"
	1263	"	"	"	865	"	"
	1264	"	"	"	865	"	"
	1265	"	"	"	865	"	"
	1266	"	"	"	865	"	"
Average	"	603	2	4	865	"	"

Fort St. George

20th February 1856.

Names of Shrotriums Villages.	Fuslies.	Revenue under the Sharing system.			Revenue under Rents.		
		Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.
Vanvilatypauch	1258	151	15	10	"	"	"
	1259	176	9	6	"	"	"
	1260	198	13	5	"	"	"
	1261	"	"	"	300	"	"
	1262	143	9	6	"	"	"
	1263	"	"	"	225	"	"
Average	"	167	12	"	262	8	"
Tullakaud	1251	500	14	6	"	"	"
	1252	571	1	5	"	"	"
	1253	306	"	"	"	"	"
	1254	727	3	"	"	"	"
	1255	725	"	"	"	"	"
	1256	893	12	8	"	"	"
	1257	"	"	"	900	"	"
	1258	"	"	"	903	"	"
	1259	1033	13	4	"	"	"
	1260	624	8	"	"	"	"
	1261	"	"	"	900	"	"
	1262	213	13	4	"	"	"
	1263	"	"	"	675	"	"
	1264	"	"	"	"	"	"
Average	"	646	3	9	819	8	"

Names of Shrotriums Villages.	Fuslies.	Revenue under the Sharing system.			Revenue under Rents.		
		Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.
Gottapollium	1253	302	8	"	"	"	"
	1254	398	5	3	"	"	"
	1255	234	8	3	"	"	"
	1256	340	4	6	"	"	"
	1257	"	"	"	510	5	7
	1258	427	12	"	"	"	"
	1259	458	14	3	"	"	"
	1260	285	11	7	"	"	"
	1261	243	8	3	"	"	"
	1262	"	"	"	356	"	"
	1263	"	"	"	450	"	"
	1264	"	"	"	550	"	"
Average	"	336	7	"	466	9	5
Mulchaidoo	1250	269	"	"	"	"	"
	1251	191	6	9	"	"	"
	1252	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1253	191	4	7	"	"	"
	1254	243	4	4	"	"	"
	1255	151	3	3	"	"	"
	1256	201	12	8	"	"	"
	1257	360	11	8	"	"	"
	1258	82	2	8	"	"	"
	1259	131	15	6	"	"	"
	1260	94	4	"	"	"	"
	1261	143	15	10	"	"	"
	1262	"	"	"	225	"	"
	1263	"	"	"	225	"	"
	1264	"	"	"	225	"	"
Average	"	187	6	"	225	"	"

/Signed/ J.B. Elton
Collector
Proceedings.

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

Bd. No. 458.

Proceedings thereon:-

(502-3)

The Board observe that although the Government have not specified which system of management should be adopted for the Villages which under the provisions of the late orders of Government dated 27th September 1855 will remain under the Collector's charge, there is nothing in that order to shew that Amany only was intended. In the former letter received from the Collector regarding these Shrotriem Villages as well as in the Statement now submitted, their condition while under renters shews to contrast favorably with the result of Amany management, and the Board see no objection to the Villages being rented as recommended by Mr. Elton pending the introduction of a fixed money assessment, it will however be left to his discretion in dealing with the different Villages, to adopt this system or the Amany as he may find either plan best suited to the circumstances of the Villages. The Board are gratified to observe the beneficial results which have attended the reduction of Garden rates in the Sydapoor Taluk. Mr. Elton is requested to expedite his reply on the points referred to him for report in the Board's Proceedings 16th October 1855.

Fort St. George, 20th February 1856.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

(504)

Bd. No. 342

From the Civil Auditor

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to furnish me with the least practicable delay, with a Statement in the annexed form required by the Right Honorable the Governor, exhibiting the names of all Officers paid on your Establishment, who may have given security of any description for the due discharge of their duties. the sum to be entered in Company's Rupees.

Fort St. George,
Civil Auditor's Office,
26th January 1856

/Signed/ F. Lushington
Civil Auditor

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(504-5)

Bd. No. 474.

To The Civil Auditor.

To

The Civil Auditor

Sir. With reference to your letter of the 26th January 1856. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you a Statement shewing the Servants on their Establishment who have given security for the due discharge

discharge of their duties.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, —
20th February — —
1836 —

Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

Return of Officers who have given Security for the due discharge for their duties.

Names	Officers	State Nature and amount of Security. Names of the Sureties and sums for which they are answerable
C. Soobaroya Chetty	First class clerk officiating as Cashkeeper	G. Nagapah Chetty Personal security. 20,000 " "

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
20th February 1836

Signed / G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

To,

No. 32

To.

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary
Board of Revenue

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Minutes Consultation of Government No. 29 dated 9th January 1836 and letter from the Board of Revenue dated 12th Instant and proceed at once to give the information called for.

2 The first questions which arise are in Para 22 Board of Revenue dated 26th November 1835 and 24 Minutes Consultation of Government No. 29 dated 9th Instant.

3 The Board observe I have not sent the list of decayed provisions ordered to be kept. Referring to enclosure D that document refers to goods sold by auction within my knowledge no application was made, and though search has been made none have been found. The Board have misunderstood the order, and unintentionally made it bear against me undeserved. By its meaning is plain, and is Viz. that

a.

506

Bd. No. 132

From the Collector of Sea Customs — Madras —

Giving the explanation called for by Government and Board of Revenue Mins: Cons: of Government 9th January 1836 a Statement of new facts and a correction of miscalculations in the Board's Proceedings giving in para 39 a succinct account of them and in the Postcript adverting to the effect Mr. D. Souza's absence on leave has on the general evidence adduced.

a list was to be kept of Permits to sell provi-
sions not a list of the damaged provisions. —

4 Referring to Enclosure &c. &c. the Board have again misunderstood this case. The Board desired to be informed whether the same formalities which were observed re-
garding Porter, to which enclosure Fr refers were followed in passing the first Batch. The application and Pass were enclosed to shew it had paid duty and been passed in the ordinary course and was an item wholly different to all others in the Book. The weight which attaches to these docu-
ments has not been given to them and in lieu they have engendered doubt and called for explanation; exhibiting the diffi-
culty in which I am placed. I transmit documents but without explanation, they are not comprehended, yet brevity is enjoined I apprehend be taken as facts that explana-
tion weakens. Mr Waters explains that it was entered in his books having been then

Dated

stated to be sour but subsequently the Collector would not pass it as "Damaged" and the full duty was charged.

5 Referring to the question that the great bulk of the goods were liable to duty as damaged goods. I submit a Statement extracted from the Books shewing what goods were in my opinion liable to duty. Secondly an application where (216) Two hundred and sixteen casks of Bass' Beer, being rejected the Collector would not permit the Beer to be passed unless certified as "damaged" This the Surveyors would not do and the parties consented to its being passed as second class Beer at 40 Rupees per Wh.d instead of Rupees 60, they being certain it would fully realize this price at auction. Rejected Beer is not it will be seen "damaged Beer" I also enclose a Statement of 1203 Casks of Beer con-
signed to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. in the Ships noted in the Margin, out of these 896 paid duty on the value given, and that (309) Three hundred and nine Casks of Beer were passed duty free on the payment of

Duty

From Fort St. George 20th February 1850

duty on the value of the Casks It will be observed that 34 per Cent were Sour A second Statement is sent including the Beer imported by other parties The two last years In the latter especially a most unusual quantity of Beer has been rejected, from 2 to 500 per Cent probably over the average. Ale and Beer in the Books of the quality described in these papers is liable to duty.

6 As to the duty being leviable upon empty Casks it was the practice of this Office to charge exported duty on Empty Casks and accordingly as duty could not be charged on importation and on exportation they were passed free on Import. On the decision being given that they were not liable to Export duty under order Ministers Consultation No 1284, 3d October 1854. orders were passed to charge the duty on empty Casks "imported" and to remit it on Casks "exported" this is made an objection and I called on for explanation when the Board have all the facts on their records, and I beg in this place respectfully to solicit the attention

From Fort St. George, 20th February 1850

attention of Government to the fact that the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue are filled with extenuating circumstances towards Mr. Cochrane, whereas there are none towards Mr. Underwood and even when explanation is called for it is to reply to observations, which to say the least, bear an aspect that if not satisfactorily given, will be used adversely I admit the property of this. I cannot however but feel that the Proceedings pours troy a leias, as no one instance of a favorable construction is put on any act or statement or document. I transmit, when, I am under the impression they deserve confidence, and are as descriptive of the facts they represent as a receipt for money paid. I make these observations with great reluctance, but all circumstances combined with the remarks of the Board of Revenue in Paras 18 19 and 20 of the Board's Proceedings where I am distinctly condemned on the

the verbal Statement of Mr. Cochrane and Mr. D'Souza and the Subordinates. Every iota and little contained in these Paras referring to enclosure D is shown fall supported by enclosure No. 6 in this letter and plead as my excuse. Enclosure C. C. was a permit application and a pass application and should require no explanation yet the Board observe this should be explained / Para 24 /.

Para 19 The Board observe I have not sent the list of decayed provisions which should have been kept under the red Ink order in Enclosure D. wherein it referred to a list of application to sell goods by Auction not to the goods, says in Para 24 respecting empty casks. that is it brought to bear against me Acting under orders from Government which were transmitted through the Board of Revenue The observations in Paras 18. 19 and 20 are shewn not to be applicable to me. The Board refer in support of them to the Statements of subordinates

One

Enclosure No. 6 Note — The Document I submit had been considered and allowed by the eight round heads included in the Board of Revenue proceedings dated 16th Feb. 1836

One of these Mr. D'Silva has flatly contradicted his averment in Mr. Cochrane's letter by a paper dated 2d July 1835 I.e. the one he states Mr. Waters did order him to pass the goods, in the other he did not. Through his disobedience to written orders is traceable the continued exercise of unauthorized power by Waters, had B. D'Silva acted as the Beach Manager did, the irregularity would have been nipped in the bud. After this deliberate false Statement of a fact upon which so much depends. the evidence of the principal witness by whose testimony this matter is to be elucidated is rendered worthless and shut out from consideration in the investigation except where supported by facts and other testimony upon which judgment will be given not on his evidence. —

& Application to pass goods which are passed on the "pass" application,

do

Para 22 Tim introduced a new system by his order D Not as the drawback provisions were to pass on the pass application see Para 15 no "indistinct rule" prevails in this Office Drawback provisions in his order D were not to pay duty all those were the permit of pass or the Pass application only

do not require an Import application, it is of no use, for it is immaterial whether they are destroyed or not, there are thousands of instances in which goods pass on the pass application only, and in the office there is but one rule for every thing. It is regularly laid down and acted on. as an instance when a party applies to pass personal Baggage and used apparel a "pass application" is put on the "application" if made is not used and on the "pass application" the order is given. Frequently upon the letter of the pass the one document is sufficient and the rule and practice is well known and of very long standing, having been in use when I entered the Office 1832 Thousands can be sent for and inspected if desired Referring to the Question why I did not refer the case to Government respecting the Public Servants, and why the guilty parties were not dismissed and the matter referred for the consideration of the Board of Revenue I observe that the case was beyond

remedy

remedy, the goods were gone beyond my control, and no duty could be charged. The parties chiefly responsible were the Deputy Collector and the Pensioned Head Manager for Mʳ Cochrane, according to my view, gave his express sanction to the proceedings. As therefore I could not by any possible means make Mʳ Cochrane responsible and the Manager being pensioned was not under my control I considered that if they escaped it would not be equitable to dismiss these parties and I therefore punished them as stated to mark my sense of the irregularity of their conduct and suspended one man for three days, who admitted that he had acted on a verbal order contrary to the express orders in writing under which it was his duty to have proceeded. It will be subsequently proved it was acted up to with a Minutia which referred to every thing without exception and which had been carried out by Mʳ Cochrane and

Note If I had reported this case where the remedy for the Petition shows the character of my personal feelings towards Mʳ Cochrane I should have done so

every-

Fort St George, 24th February 1836

every other Officer in the office, concerned except in the cases of the goods which are entered in the Books, enclose & I have given the facts and refrain from Comment in deference to orders.

9 Mr Cochrane takes exception to my remarks as to the independence of the Appraiser, he is not more exempt from the authority of the Deputy Collector than he is from mine nor the order I issue, and to which the Board take exception make any difference between the Collector and Deputy as to their authority over the appraiser who, as I have before observed under act VI of 1814 is an independent Officer and appointed by Government.

10 I now proceed to give the explanations required in Minute Consultation No 29 of 9th January 1836

11 Whether any written rules and regulations exist respecting the transfer of Cheetas and the charge of the Cash Chest any of which exist are given in my answer to Mr Cochrane

12 Respecting the Cash Chest being under Mr Cochrane's seal. We received monies as follow

Deposits.

Government Cash.

							Balance	636	5
Sept.	1st	Balance	1442	"	"	Sept.	1st Received	2029	4 2
"	5th	Received	658	"	"		5th D.	1213	5 10
"	6th	D.	530	"	"		6th D.	679	9 5
"	8th	D.	690	"	"		7th D.	1291	3 3
			3320	"	"		8th D.	627	1 3 3
								2369	7 4 4
		Nednet Deposit 598 September carried to account of Government Cash	206				Nednet Remittance &c.	2335	6 4 "
			3114	"	"				

13. The cash keeper keeps a day book in which all entries are made at the close of the day of the amount of all Bills received and all monies expended and on what account paid. The accounts being made up he enters therein the particulars as above. The Balance together with the Book and Vouchers are at the close of the day taken by the Cash Keeper and Shroff into a room where the Cash chest is

is kept. the Deputy enters the room breaks his seal, the chest is opend the Books containing the entries of the amount daily put in the chest on account of Government and the Book of deposits are taken out.—

14. The Deputy then counts the money, compares it with the Cash Keepers Book, the several sums are then entered in each Book. The Books are replaced in the chest, the money received is put in, the Cash Keeper locks the chest and takes his key, the Deputy locks it and takes his key, and then seals it with his seal. The outer door is then locked and the key taken and put in the middle Drawer of a Table. The Key of the gate, out of which Goods are permitted to pass, is put in the Drawer, also the Cash Keeper puts his Book in a side drawer, it is locked and the key put in the centre drawer, it is then locked by the Deputy with a patent lock, thus technically

The following morning he unlocks this drawer, the Cash Keepers Books are given him, the gate key is the Duffadar of the yard, who unlocks the gate and the business of the day commences - this is called "opening the office" This practice has been in force for more than 40 years - When the Deputy Collector has collected a sufficient sum of money, to render it advisable to send a remittance to the Treasury, it is transmitted by him, this was the case on the 10 September which Mr Cochrane alludes to. When he made the remittance he then put his seal on the chest which he had broken to take out the money, locked the outer door, put the key in the drawer and left the office at 2 P. M. put the Key of the chest under cover sent it to me with his letter dated 10 September. The Collector keeps a duplicate key of the cash chest of the inner lock of the outer door of the Cash room, and of the lock of the drawer in the Table to be ready to act in case of Emergency arising, this too has been the invariable practice.—

The

15 The chest is for the custody of the money daily received, it is remitted at least once a week to the Treasury, and as the bulk of the money is invariably received on a Saturday, Monday is the usual day on which the remittance is made. Whenever the money in the chest amounts to 15,000 Rupees, a double guard is posted and where it exceeds 20,000 a treble guard which then amounts to 20 men, besides 4 Peons who are on guard in the Export Yards and 4 in the Import yards, so that 28 peons are then on Duty at night in the Custom House with a Duffadar at their head and go their rounds regularly during the night.

It appears from the Cash Book that in the year before I was appointed Viz. from 1st January to 31st August 1832 that Mr. Cline the Collector put away the money on 53 occasions and Mr. Ashton the Deputy Collector on 10 occasions the Collector being when Mr. Ashton was absent from indisposition or any other cause. The remittance to the Treasury was made

made by Mr. Ashton on twenty three occasions, and by the Collector on five, within this period, and the same system prevailed when Mr. Cochrane left the office. I beg pardon for this lengthy detail, but it consists of a statement of facts and shews that Mr. Cochrane followed the course of the officers who went before him, and has no reasonable ground of complaint if he has preferred any. The Collector keeps a Book as a check against all the procedure which is daily made up and laid on his Table. The entries in this are made by the Head Manager and his duties of the day commence with this which must be transacted then and there however preping any other duties may be.—

16 The Executive Department. It's duties are detailed in Mr. Williamson's letter to the Collector and are defined by Mr. Badcock's letter which I enclose and

15 The chest is for the custody of the money daily received, it is remitted at least once a week to the Treasury, and as the bulk of the money is invariably received on a Saturday. Monday is the usual day on which the remittance is made. Whenever the money in the chest amounts to 15,000 Rupees, a double guard is posted and where it exceeds 20,000 a treble guard which then amounts to 20 men, besides 4 Peons who are on guard in the Export Yards and 4 in the Import yards, so that 28 peons are then on Duty at night in the Custom House with a Duffadar at their head and go their rounds regularly during the night. It appears from the Cash Book that in the year before I was appointed Viz. from 1st January to 31st August 1832 that Mr. Cline the Collector put away the money on 53 occasions and Mr. Ashton the Deputy Collector on 15 occasions the Collector being when Mr. Ashton was absent from indisposition or any other cause. The remittance to the Treasury was

made by Mr. Ashton on twenty three occasions, and by the Collector on five, within this period, and the same system prevailed when Mr. Cochrane left the office. I beg pardon for this lengthy detail, but it consists of a statement of facts and shews that Mr. Cochrane followed the course of the officers who went before him, and has no reasonable ground of complaint if he has preferred any. The Collector keeps a Book as a check against all the procedure which is daily made up and laid on his Table. The entries in this are made by the Head Manager and his duties of the day commence with this which must be transacted then and there however pressing any other duties may be.—

16. The Executive Department—

It's duties are detailed in Mr. Williams letter to the Collector and are defined by Mr. Badens letter which encloses and

and attach thereto a Statement made by myself in a letter to Government dated 15 Febuary 1849. The Collector is in charge of the duties noted in the Margin. In addition to this no day passes but reference of various description are made to the Collector respecting points connected with the Department under the Deputy-ment. There are sometimes ten parties present at a time with the Collector all requiring an answer and the entrance to the Collector's room are so frequent that at times three entire day is occupied in going replies to parties and settling questions irrespective of his other duties. Mr Ashton applied to have me relieved when Deputy Collector from the charge of Secretary to the Marine Board in the following words, "Since the [illegible]

"port and the consequent increase of
"business at the Custom House, I have
"experienced much inconvenience from the
"continual employment of the Deputy Collector
"in his duty of Secretary to the Marine
"Board, and I have the honor to request
"that you will be pleased to bring the
"matter to the notice of the Government
"with a view to some other arrangement
"by which his Services may be left at
"available for this office". Mr Blane
observed as follows. "I cannot therefore
"too strongly insist upon the fact that
"the increase required in the Establish-
"ment of this office has not been so
"much caused by the increased
"collections, as by the creation of what
"may be almost called a new source
"of revenue in the Collection of Export
"duties which requires nearly the same
"Machinery for its realization as
"the Imports did before."
Mr Williamson as follows.—
"From these facts a considerable increase
"of the trade both coastwise and on
Foreign

"Foreign Vessels may fairly be reckoned
"on which must cause a corresponding
"increase of business in the principal co
"Departments in the office"." In each
"of these Departments the Establish
"ment is at present barely efficient
"to carry on their duties".—

17— The duties of the Collector and Deputy cannot be distinctly defined, he has charge of certain duties called the Executive Department, yet as before observed the references are so frequent that their duties become blended, so much so, that the responsibility and labor of the Collector frequently exceed those of the Deputy Collector even in the Departments under his more especial charge.—

The office consisted of a Collector, Deputy and Assistant, and the past correspondence will prove if required, that each of had ample duties to perform

Mr

Mr Ashlin's letter goes to prove two officers to be at that time essentially requisite, and the Board and Govern. ment admitted it by relieving me; Mr Williamson and Mr Blane shew how considerably the duties had increased. I believe it is not requisite for me to trouble Government further to prove that my duties are more onerous and responsible and occupy more time than those committed to the Deputy, and in fact for very many months I have remained in office for hours after he has left, frequently working by candle light.—

18. Referring to my requiring Mr Cochrane to frame some rules and to explain whether there were rules existing for the guidance of the office, I beg to state every Department has its code. Government upon the report of the Board of Revenue and I conclude Mr Cochrane's Statement, have condemned me in Para 10 Minutes Consultation Government No 29 dated

dated 9th January 1836 yet I believe no individual was ever more innocent than I was on that occasion. I gave the order with the best intentions towards Mr. Cochrane as can be proved, and all that he could be required to perform at all events the most part of it, as might have been done in a day unless he had some more rules to propose. My conduct is declared "unreasonable as obstructive of the expressed intention of Government and display a design of annoying an inferior officer, unbecoming in the extreme and unworthy the Head of a Department" The rules were in existence and all Mr. Cochrane would have had to perform was to desist the entry of loose orders in their proper place and there form a code, instead of a Code and detached orders, and unless he saw fit to propose something new he need not have written a line. I do not know how this matter has been represented, this is the fact, and because Mr Cochrane I imagine did not recollect these

orders existed, I have been censured in these terms.—

19 I admit that without explanation my order to Mr. Cochrane bears the impression given, but I enclose the orders given to heads of Departments and signed at the same time with the order to Mr. Cochrane and circulated simultaneously with it, and if the Pass Book is examined and Mr. Badens order, Mr. Ashton's, Mr. Blanes and my own, it will be apparent what I have stated is the fact; and that I required nothing inconsistent with my duty in requesting Mr. Cochrane between the 10th and the time of having the office to submit to the Collector for approval "such a "portion as may be finished before his "departure"- He might have done more or less, the orders respecting the entry of the Manifest might have been framed in a quarter of an hour as

Enclosure 5:10

* all contained therein.

Fort St George 20 February 185[illegible]

I could not know he
would leave on the 15th Dec
the previous year he could have
left on the 15th September, he
quitted on the 26th Sept
tember, remaining Ten
Days after his leave
ment at his own s
pecial, of his own
accord, and to suit on
his convenience.

Copy

Fort St George 20th February 1856.

20. Having given explanation on the points of lesser importance, I proceed to clear away two Statements before entering upon the principal matter upon which the decision of Government remains to be given In Para 23 the Board lay all the blame on me stating what course I ought to have pursued - If one criminal is the greater of the two, the lesser is guilty nor will the greater criminality of the one, prove the innocence of the other may delinquency will not acquit Mr Cochrane - If however the Board, on the face of the evidence given hold to their opinion respecting me, as detailed in para 22, I am prepared with my defence and ready to afford it.-

21.— In Para 22 the Board observe, "when the Supervisor and Mr Cochrane brought "another batch of doubtful Beer to Mr "Underwoods notice he directed it to pass "duly free without the formal examination "prescribed for damaged goods, no care being

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

"being taken even to levy the duty on
"the empty Casks" respecting this last
clause it is explained in Para 6 it was
correct - I should thus pass the Casks.
Respecting the other, the Supervisor
never came near me. Mr Cochranes
reference to me did not advert to the cir-
cumstances related above, but simply
to the fact whether I would permit the
yard supervisor to taste the Beer, that
his testimony might be set against that of the
regular Surveyors of the Port. I declined
and am prepared with a full defence if
it is required - Mr Cochrane might have
proposed the appraiser, or any other
officer, as he knew - I thought Waters
evidence insufficient - The matter how-
ever rested here and had no reference
to the passing of the goods directly or
indirectly, correctly or incorrectly -
There is Mr Cochrane's admission of this
fact, my testimony that he made it, and
Mr Atkinsons corroborative Statement, which

Pro Bd of Revenue Para 24 Clause 4.

Note See enclosures 4 & 27 the latter dated 19th April This is the I have received only on the 3rd April the Deputy I no doubt how he was for Casks by paper

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

was present on the occasion - Mr Waters
was also present and it was made on the
occasion of Mr Waters stating to him by
my directions what he had previously related
had passed on the occasion of the conversation
between Mr Waters and himself - This occurred
to the best of my recollection on the 21st
November 1855, the date upon which
Mr Waters gave the explanation contained
in Enclosure. **N N.**

22. The wording of the order
5th January states "decayed provisions "Sour"
or "bad liquor" in the original in my hand
writing, it is more explicit Sour entertined or bad
liquor" - Mr Atkinson at the time took
the order down in his Book as "decayed
provisions and sour Beer". D'Souza has
proved by Enclosure A. of the 9th January
and every Office in the Department on
that occasion that the order was restricted
to this meaning, and did not refer to re-
jected Beer, and again he gives testimony
by his act on the production of Enclosure
No 19 He had then a Certificate signed by
two

Encl No 11

Note On each occasion the

For St. George 20th February 1856.

two Merchants that Cloth, Sago and Raw Nuts were damaged. He ordered the Survey under the Rules at Page 4. accordingly the Survey was made by Mr. A. Rinson and Mr. Johannes, thus Mr. A. Rinson again as on the 9th January acts under the Rules because the order of the 5th January did not apply to damaged goods or rejected Beer; his acts are consistent with his declaration, as to the meaning of the order of 5th January the act of Mr. D'Sousa is corroborative inordering these Surveys. I therefore submit there is indisputable evidence that my order of the 5th January is isolated from the Rejected Beer - passed as described in Enclosure **E** I desire to restrict myself to facts which are incontrovertable, and demonstrate, by written evidence in apposition to verbal Statements, that on the 9th January the Head Manager, the Deputy Collector the appriser, the Cash Keeper, the Inspector, all of whom had a

For St. George 20th February 1856.

a Copy of the order, the Supervisor and the Pass Writer all testified to the fact that "rejected Beer" was not affected by the order of 5th January. Waters on being directed to state what order he received from D'Sousa says in the words of the order "decayed provisions and sour Beer" thus by the verbal evidence of waters and the written evidence of these parties it is proved the Rules at Page 4 respecting "damaged Goods" remained intact, and that the order of the 5th January 1854 Enclosure **D** did not in any manner and was not intended to make any change in the procedure, or affect the Rules for Damaged Goods and further proves that the Rejected Beer" shewn to have been passed out under Enclosure **E** was not "passed" out under "Mr. Underwood's own order; order **D**. "by the practice enjoined" The Board of Revenue para 16 themselves admit this Book was a Register" and Memorandum of the quantity so passed - and

again

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

again call it a Register para 20- Respectfully submit these facts subvert all the arguments of the Board of Revenue - The data upon which they are based are proved to be imaginative by the facts now adduced - Enclosure GG is sufficient and I submit was sufficient to prove this, but it received no attention from the Board of Revenue Enclosure No 6 of the 9th January - I submit with it's order on it's face and these together are evidence indisputable, that the Rules for Damaged goods and rejected Beer were in full operation - then - that is on the date of these papers - the last 31st January 1854.-

GG.
No 6.

23. It is admitted that the Book Enclosure C was to be a Memorandum Register or something equivalent - The Board of Revenue concur, it may be therefore taken to be admitted to be so, and further comment respecting it appears not to be called for -

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

24. It is stated by Mr D'Souza "I was directed by him (Mr Underwood) to order the Supervisor to pass them" The fact that the order Enclosure D of the 5th January is to be appended to this, as authority for the goods passing out of the yard, is disproved in the previous para, so far as it relates to goods, order than "decayed provisions and sour Beer" There is further evidence that Rejected Beer is also excluded from Damaged goods - I enclose a very important document - It is an application from Arbuthnot & Co to sell by auction as Damaged "216 Casks Beer which had been "rejected;" the application to this effect is on the face of the document - I cannot go into the particulars of this though each step in either case and the latter is the more important of the two, affords proof of the working of the Department but I refrain - The application were both refused by

me

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

me, the Beer admitted to be valued as Second rate and sold, I apprehend by Special permission in the Custom House, and the whole stamps the principles under which I act and the Care and attention given to his duties by the Collection, before and after the order dated 5th January (Enclosure D) even to the remission of Godown Rent by the erasure of his Signature on representation, both are precisely of the same character. GG is dated 22 days later than enclosure D. The application GG forms the first item in the Book an application was put into store these Mr. Waters explains that the Casks were entered in his Book 1st. item as "Sour" because when this paper went to him to superintend the filling some one said they were Sour but they paid duty under the Tariff, and not as "Damaged goods" a "Sour Beer". I apprehend the Board

+ GG and No. 3

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

Board of Revenue in the face of the evidence thus recorded will not be of opinion that due attention is not paid to examination, but that the book commenced with a regid examination and all the rules and procedure were enforced both by Collector and Deputy, or that it affords evidence of the introduction of a lax system for or its constitution and the day it commenced the reverse is proved to be the case.

25 I admit that I took on myself to order "decayed provisions" to pass duty free without the sanction of Government, and further state I had no intention of referring the matter to Government - there is proof to the contrary if required - the list was kept referred to there are two.

26. The Board draw attention to Enclosure F and lay on me certain responsibilities - they appertain to Mr. Cochrane if there are any - A Committee of Officers pronounced

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

3 Butts sour. On application to pass Mr. Cochrane had them surveyed by the Supervisor he states them sour. Mr. Cochrane concurs, I agree, these Casks are the second item in the Book Pass 18th March. No change[2] had been made so as to relax the system I enclose an Order[+] dated 9th June 1854. to pass decayed provisions. Here I had the evidence of the appraiser it is dated in June 1854. This is worthy of attention, it shows I did authorize "decayed provisions" passing without a "permit application" it exhibits that they were examined carefully and proves all the averments contained in this letter, that up to the 9th June the system had not been relaxed by the Collector witness two[x] orders being requisite on the face of the pass before goods could be passed the gate. I appeal in the confidence to the judgment of His Lordship in Council upon these

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

consecutive facts as to whether the order of the 5th January had not been turned from its' meaning and intent, that like every other act of mine it was passed for a special purpose, was clear in its' wording, understood by the Officers of the Department, and carried out with the same precision, and in the same manner, as every other order with regularity; and introduced no irregularity.

I do not admit, and I believe it can be proved that I did not order Mr. D'Souza to tell Mr. Waters' to pass the goods he enumerates, but I have no objection to its being admitted for arguments sake, nor to any terms which may be used. I do not in this sense wish to restrict it. It may refer to Damaged goods, to goods not damaged, to Beer, Coffee and in fact to any thing and every thing. I will concur that Mr. D'Souza himself shall state what he like as to the order

given

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

given to Mr. Waters. That he was to pass
I leave it blank that it
be filled in at the discretion of any
party I enclose the Book of the orders
given to the pass writer and entered
portion of his order in the Margin. At
the London Custom House it is ruled
"such × × × × × ×
× × × × × ×
"being duly signed by the Collector and
transmitted to the landing waiter shall
be the warrant to him for the landing
and delivery such is the order to the
pass writer here. I therefore pause and
state that any order I am stated to
have given Mr. Waters gave him no
authority to enter the yards and design
what he pleased to be what he chose
call it, and then to refer to a verbal
and pass out the goods so selected.
had in the so stated order authority
to pass, none to determine what he

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

to pass Mr. Waters in para 5 and 6 Enclosures
No 1 has stated on what grounds he has acted
I quote it here.—

"I beg further to state that I did not
"receive any order from the Manager to be
"the Judge whether rejected Beer
"was Sour or Damaged a letter
"was put into the Office to allow
Merchants to taste Beer. After
tasting the Casks which he refused
"to take had a mark put on
"them and the Bung secured and that
"which he preferred were filled
"to the Bung and passed on application.
"I was not allowed to taste them."

"The Head Manager stated
"to me that the Collector told him that the
"Supervisor was to pass these articles as
"manager his orders was obeyed."

28. There are 5 Officers authorized
to pass goods as noted in the Margin, and the
Officer of the Bonded Warehouse had as
much authority to pass and every other Officer
named to act, as a Pass Officer as Mr. Waters
had

For St George 20th February 1856.

had if Mr Waters authority to pass, be, what the Board of Revenue state it to be authority is given him to usurp the power of every Officer, from the Collector to the pass Writer, and vest them all in that Officer himself; where as they are ordered to pass /something/ and it is their duty to compare that something with the thing produced, and then decide whether it is, what it is stated to be, and if so pass it. The Order to Mr Waters or to any other could not come into exercise until some party to whom the duty appertains decides the article to be what it is stated to be, and then the duty of the Pass Officer whoever he be, commences. If I understand the Board correctly the order to pass gives power not only to do so, but to select what he is to pass This is contrary to all rule and practice, and cannot be maintained. Mr Waters assumed prerogative, exercised by no one but the enacted Officers and admits he had no authority or no order to select and determine, his orders were to pass, not what the Merchant brought him" but in that case to ascertain that the enacted Officer had given the thing a name then compare that designation with what the Merchant produced and if it proved to be examination what these Officers admitted it be, then to pass it. Thus he must have an order on each occasion as far as I am concerned he had in every case.

29. Under some verbal order

Compare

... For St George 20 February 1856

Conveyed by Mr D'Souza. Mr Waters on passed out every cask which a Merchant put a particular mark upon. If I am considered responsible for this, I beg the grounds may be stated and opportunity of explanation afforded me.

I much regret that Mr Waters has acted thus, he has in other matters given great Satisfaction, and is a hard working valuable public servant. His integrity and honesty of Character may be judged of by his admission. The enclosure 17 shews it is Mr D. Souza who misled him and who Continued the practice under his frequent remonstrance. On Mr D Souza's letter to Mr Cochrane his defence and greater portion of the Arguments of the Board of Revenue under which they acquit Mr Cochrane and use the strongest terms of reprobation towards me principally depends, his averment Para 1 of this document is disproved. Para 2 is the principal evidence on which the order of the Collector of

Encl 17

Encl in Mr Souza's letter

No Encl

D

of the 5th January 1854 is identified by the Board of Revenue with the Book as the authority for the goods being passed out and by which I am manifested.—

30. The proof that this order does not refer to these goods is distinct I shall make Mr D. Souza's letters upon which such reliance has been placed now also prove this. a case occurred the first Book the application to Mr Underwood for orders, I was directed by him to order the Supervisor of the yard to pass them and to keep a Memorandum of the quantity so passed according to this testimony this occurred on the 18th March and on this document and the averment of this individual rests the argument upon which the opinion of the Board are based.

31 The Memorandums to be kept in the Book it commenced on the 25th January Mr Waters says to the best of my belief it was some time on January 1854 [illegible]

by the Head Manager Mr C. D'Souza to pass without application or letter all decayed provisions and sour Beer and Porter-Keeping a Memo of the same who stated it was the order of the Collector.—

32 This Statement is proved by the book which commenced under Mr D'Souza's instructions on the 25th January. This evidence is corroborated by Mr Basil D'Silva.

Mr Waters came to me on the 25th January 1854 and stated that the Hhds in question were pronounced sour and consequently I made the entry in my Book which I allowed to remain

33 There are two Statements which declare that January 1854 was the date, not 18th March, and two Books produced each containing the same entry dated 25th January therefore the verbal Statements & the two and the written entry of each prove that Mr D Souza's orders was given on or before the 25th January 1854

34 The whole of Mr D Souza's Statements are thus disproved and his evidence shut out from the investigation. Moreover there is the application and pass and survey Certificate under

Fort St. George 30th Febmary 1856

under the Rules for damaged goods attached to Enclosure N° 6 and dated 9th January — The Certificate of the Merchants appertaining to Enclosure N° 19 and the Certificate of Stephenson & Co and Mr Atkinson attached to Enclosure N° 19 surveyed under the Rules by Mr D Souzas instructions dated 16th August 1854 shewing the rules for damaged goods were enforced respecting damaged Sherry damaged Sago and damaged Cutch raw nuts. The pass of 18th March and other evidences so that upon evidence verbal & documentary repeatedly over and over Mr D Souzas Statements are disproved and with them all that Mr Cochrane has urged and the Board of Revenue concurred in and in fact all that has been based upon his Statement; whether in Mr Cochranes letter the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue and the Minutes Consultation Government; founded on them they all fall to the ground and I respectfully submit released from all and exonerated for any censure which has been founded thereon Moreover it appears that Mr Waters frequently

2848

Fort St. George 30th Febmary 1856

remonstrated with Mr D Souza concerning the passing out of these goods Mr D Souza thus is made a defendant upon the degree of culpability. I make no observation but his evidence can be no longer taken as testimony against others though having been disproved it is of no weight. It however demonstrates how little value is to be attached to the verbal evidence which has been brought to bear on the facts, but which has been allowed to overturn and render useless as evidence written documents supported by the signatures of parties repeatedly placed on each. the first proves that the Rules for damaged goods were in force on the 9th and 31st January by the decision of the Collector enforced by the Deputy and the Pass F that the permit application was to be dispensed with and the pass application retained by the united act by written orders of the Collector and Deputy on 18th March on the face of Enclosure F and sent through Waters.

There is further evidence I refer to GG 35 an application was made on the

the 25th January for 10 Hogsheads Beer. This was the occasion of the first entry in the Book enclosure E. The application bears Mr D Souza's E. and to. D.S to the request to fill, Mr Waters was to superintend this hence the application went to him after which the number of casks would have been filled in and the value inserted and the paper taken to the appraiser and then to the Deputy Collector to charge the duty. This paper remained in Mr Waters hands until recently when it was produced to me upon the occasion of the Boards enquiry respecting the first entry. This shews how the first entry commenced and is further corroborative and conclusive evidence of the fact stated that the verbal order D'Souza to Waters was given before the 25th January and therefore prior to the 18th March. It is however corroborated by a corresponding Book Keeper by Mr Dyke the pass writer, who states that he commenced his also on the 25th January. D'Souzas own averment is that the order to keep and to

Vide Encl 1 in No 20

Vide Encl (No) Para

xx Remark The 1st 2nd & 3rd entry are all specified by the Corporate & each to 18th April. This shews how introduced the case system Encl 2 in No 20

order to keep the Memorandum were given together and the entry of Sour Beer in Enclosure C on that date under the order by two parties is proved thus. the order must have been given by D'Souza to Waters on or before that date thus it is clear. The Book commenced with an entry of sour Beer. In some way or other the subject passed from Mr Waters to the Collector for reference and he decided that though the Beer was inferior in quality and disagreeable in taste there was no evidence of damage or of it's being sour, and I decided unless this could be proved and that the Beer was of the description it was found to be on arrival when shipped it must pay at the Tariff value, accordingly another application was put in dated 27th January which went through the Office under the orders of Mr Cochrane, and this may serve to shew how the duties of Deputy Collector and Collector are blended. the Bill is dated 31st January shewing that discussion took

Vide Encl GG

Fort St. George 20th February 1836

took place between the 25th and that date Mr. Cochrane transacts the duties of his Office under the Collectors decease, and orders the casks to be filled up. and on the 31st the Beer is passed by Mr. Cochrane duty having been paid on the Tariff value. These casks were not passed by Waters though entered in his Book, examination and every requisite required from the Custom Officers was given on this occasion by the Collector and the Department, and affords proof that no lax system had commenced nor had the Rules for damaged goods been affected, and this entry and the following one of 18 March and that of the 9 June all entered in the Book restricted to be a list and no more. D'Souza's statements are shewn not to be correct, the order to Waters, if given, authorized none of the transactions in Enclosure C. Waters admits there were his own acts without order from anyone, he selected and passed the goods

2848

goods without authority - I ask his Lordship am I to be saddled with these transactions on this evidence? On the 9th June an application to pass putrid Hams had been put in, yet the applicant could not obtain these Hams, therefore the lax System had not received my sanction though a few casks appear then to have been passed out at this time. The appraiser was no party to this, but referred the Native to me, proving his Statements & proceedings are consistent one with the other. While in the Act of making the red Ink entry on the face of the letter which is erased. The appraiser waited on me gave me a description of the Hams that they were putrid and the odour was so offensive they could not be further examined. I ordered them to pass free. How does this tally with Mr. D'Souza's Statements. on the 18th March a written pass order is given for Sour Beer. on the 9th June for decayed provisions

Forts St. George 20th February 1856

provisions, these when taken to the Gate with pass free on it being the Collectors written order, the Pass Writer Basil D'Sylva stopped it and says it cannot pass, where is the Law System? It is returned to the Collector stating the case containing the Hams is marked HB No 5 for further orders these are given pass the Gate U and the package then sent out. These Hams and the Sour Porter 18th March are each entered in Waters Book and D'Sylvas Books, and the list is Kept of all. Thus my acts are Consistent before the order of 3d January

Forts St. George 20th February 1856

the passing the order when the Law System was discovered dated 30th June 1855 the same when the order dated 1847 was issued." and the order E and the former to the Pass writer, are the same, a verbal order being in the Enclosure E, directed not to be taken, in addition to others which had preceded In para 22 The Board observe I by my order E after the interview with Mr. Agnew, repaired my previous omission thus approving that order Let this order Enclosure E be placed by the order enclosure 16 (in the pass writers book) and let the Board shew how they differ except that the latter precludes a "verbal order being taken, again place Enclosures 18th March and 9th June passed under the order of 1847 and Enclosure X where is the difference ? under either order these goods were subject to an examination to go through the forms prescribed, and pass under a written order. I submit these facts to the candid consideration of any party under whose observation they may be brought. I do not burthen the records with further observations, or evidence. The rules at page 4 for damaged Goods were in force, no act of mine weakened their authority, or introduced any Law System every

every Document produced, and those which may remain in the record will prove that I have never relaxed my vigilance and cautions and if my conduct is to be judged of by facts it will be approved, if by assertion, that may be afforded to any extent and made to prove any thing, whereas facts are restricted in number, and if overbalanced by assertions it is useless to produce them. If averment is to rule against these I claim the right to place mine beside those of others, and that they be judged of by my character, for veracity and allowed their due weight when opposed to these assertions.

× Note Mr. Cochrane also acted thus up to 19th April the third entry in the Book

36. As to the order to Mr. Waters given by Desouza Enclosure A it is admitted Mr. Desouza is the originator of all that has occurred, and Waters admits that by an erroneous construction of a verbal order, he has fallen into the errors he committed for when the question is put to him, to state what order Mr. Desouza did give he says, to pass "all decayed provisions and Sour Beer and Porter" How he came to go beyond this is shewn in his paper but it appears from Mr. Waters

Vide Enclosure No. 17

Statement that he frequently remonstrated with Mr. Desouza on the subject of passing this Beer and that he on all occasions, of which he tenders proof directed him to go on it was the Collectors order, now I assert, and if requisite will prove, that Mr. Desouza knew I did not give him, to give Mr. Waters any order to pass which could confer authority over the pass writer. Thus on these occasions mentioned by Mr. Waters he knew he was transgressing orders and doing wrong, yet he did not communicate with me. Mr. Desouza has had some motive, be it what it may, for his procedure, it was wholly unauthorized and he was aware of this. If the circumstances detailed by Mr. Waters are examined, and the new fact he has now adduced Viz. that Mr. Desouza gave him an order to direct the gate Keeper to pass it they fix the blame on that Officer and to adduce one fact to substantiate I never gave the order to be given to Waters. Desouza shows no road how the goods were to be passed out, for according to him, Waters was to stand in the pass gate and pass these things the Gate Keeper besides him with a written order not to allow him to go and Waters with written orders to stop such goods

× See Enclosures C & F

No. 15 and 27 all written orders with Waters Signature on these signed by Coll. and Deputy

So

so passing, and further Waters having an order to pass some goods, and others having orders to pass others before any or either of these parties could act. they must leave the gate enter the Office assume the prerogative of the Collector, Deputy, Head Manager, appraiser Cash keeper, and Inspecter, and then Mr. Waters must forego his own written orders, and by verbal order after having assumed the function of these Officers, pass the goods through Office who had written order not to act. I submit he could not get the goods into his possession to pass or pass them without this get the Board of Revenue, not, I imagine, being acquainted with what is technically called "water side practice" in paras 20-21-22. State Waters had the power and by my authority exercised it, where is the practice respectfully assert once for all that the application to pass rejected Beer Enclosure 6 dated 9th January absolves the order 8th January enclosure D from all the odium cast on it.

37. These facts must be allowed their weight and full effect given to them. when Waters attempted to

the same manner to force goods through the Beach Manager as he had done through the pass writer, these being truly decayed provisions, and coming under the order of the 5th January the Beach Superintendent refused to let them pass, and even on his personal communication on his shewing him the Book with all its numerous entries and stating how his authority was derived still positively refused to act without a written order, and accordingly the two went to the Deputy Collector, the circumstances above recorded mentioned and the Book shewn to him, and he then said that the supervisor could pass them without an application, though the Beach Superintendent had informed Mr. Cochrane he was not authorized to pass the Cocoanuts without a written order, and such were his instructions and the act contrary to my written orders when the Deputy Collector, the Head Manager and the Supervisor act thus, can I be made responsible for their acts when unknown to me It appears to me Mr. Desouza is the principal party to blame that Mr. Waters has acted very imprudently and carelessly and Mr. Cochrane, from not having attended to the Collectors written orders given to the head of each

each Department gave on 3 occasions his verbal and written sanction to the procedure. May I be permitted here to advert to para 36 and submit, this proves that the order to Waters was inoperative till the Collector or Deputy sent him some paper and then his functions would commence, and that whatever order I gave Mr. D'Souza, and he gave Mr. Waters, the former knew Waters could not act without a paper Viz^t a "pass application" such as he states he then gave him. Waters entry in the Book of the Book that sour Beer and Porter and decayed provisions were to pass without an "application" that is a "permit application" is correct but not without a "pass application" such as enclosures F and 15 these papers the Beach Superintendents Statement and the paper N^o 22 appended found in the pass writers records shewing how "pass applications" had been disposed off by the Pass Master prove the "permit application" being disposed with gave no orders respecting what is here shewn to be called the "pass application"

Remarks

remain in force, and so far as I am concerned this document was given in every case, and by Mr. Cochrane at the commencement and on 18th March and 19th April.

38 With these observations, I consider myself exculpated from all participation in these transactions which the Board have condemned. I have proved that from first to last I have given attention and vigilance a careful consideration of the interest of Government, and zealous performance of the responsible duties which have been devolved on me that I have acted consistently throughout, and enforced all rules and Regulations and left them in full force and authority, never departed from them for myself or authorized, or sanctioned or caused the departure, and that the infraction of them, and the introduction of any bax system devolves upon other parties and not on me. And now in fine having given full explanation I assert with brevity what has been proved and refer to the various parties of this letter in proof of what I now urge in a summary of the facts adduced in this paper

39 The order of the 9th January N^o 6 passed as mentioned para 22 shews Mr Cochrane

Mr.

Mr. Desouza and all the other Officers enforced the Rules for damaged goods at page 4 respecting rejected Beer passed on that occasion going thro' all the formalities respecting damaged goods Enclosures G G dated 27th January, on which occasion a full investigation was held and the matter under investigation 6 days, and the order of the 5th January and the rules for damaged goods not permitted to apply thereto by the Collector, first the one, and then the other having been attempted, and the whole conducted by the Deputy, after receiving his decision on the points. These Casks being entered in Waters Book being the first entry and the Deputy Collector having passed them through all the forms of Office was bound to treat every entry in the Book in the same manner and with equal investigation as to whether "Rejected Beer" was to come under the Rule for "Damaged Goods" or not and further because on the 9th of the same month he had himself decided, without reference to me, but in communication with D'Souza that it was not, and on this occasion enforced the Rules again on 18th against the application

2848 Fort St. George 20th February 1856

application to pass Damaged Goods is enforced under the rules, and the certificate under the rules given. It will be for the parties concerned to shew, how by Mr. Cockrane's written order these Rules were set a side. again on the 18th March the definition of the order of the 5th January Enclosure D is proved to be familiar to Mr. Cochrane who at once carries it out, and thus by the pass of the 18th March all Rules are enforced as on 9th January and nothing permitted to be done by Waters. each Department had its code of Rules in writing which were carried out on all these occasions by the Collector Deputy and the manager Thus my order to Waters to pass if given was innocuous and consistent with these acts, that he and D'souza are responsible for the goods being carried out and Mr. Cochrane by his order in Enclosure and Co. 19 erasing the sago by his entry in the Book September 5th and by his order to Waters respecting the Cocoanuts on the 21st June 1855 when after investigation he gave

gave his sanction and approval respecting
all that Waters had done and sanctioned &
its continuance, has identified himself with
the Book sanctioned it and all its
transactions from the 15th August 1854 to
the 21st June 1855 and it I apprehend
may reasonably be inferred, it commenced
earlier. His acts of 9th January and 18th
March all originated by himself & with
reference to me prove that he knew his duty
under the order of 5th January and the
Rules for damaged Goods (D'Souza's
about the 18th March is proved false and is
tied to the 25th January or earlier) so that
Mr Cochrane acted on 18th March as on 9th
January and 19th April each being his
spontaneous act, the first enforcing the
Rules Page 4 after my order and the
two enforces the order 62 days & 104 days
after its issue or on 18th March 19
April

april and 19th and 25th January carrying
out the Rules at Page 4 to the letter and
the order of 5th January both of which
had been brought before him and the
decision of the Collector given, after the
27th January or on or before the 31st by
which he learnt the Collectors decision
on applications to pass Goods as "sour" or
"damaged" confirming his decision of the
9th January and authorizing by his decision
of 18th March and 19th April all which were
in accordance with the orders passed by
the Collector. Let the documents enumerated
in this Para be laid on the Table side by side
and the order for "Damaged goods" and
"decayed provisions" the pass of the 9th
June added. I ask no more, I require
no argument, I make my stand on
the documents and the orders on
their face by the manager - the Deputy
Collector and the Collector. all these passed through
Waters

Waters and the pass writer and all passed thro their hands under written order appertaining to their Departments.—

40 Referring to the instruction of the Board of Revenue as respects the Collector I approach the subject with much reluctance and shall endeavour to state facts and avoid observation, where it is possible, with a desire not to interrupt the harmony now existing between that Off and myself.—

41 Before entering in this subject I offer a few brief observations on portions of the Boards Proceedings para 20 that the passing of the goods in Enclosure C except so far as those which are contain in my written orders from time to time in the Book has been disframed.—

42.— That in reference to pa II the formalities were continued and the order respecting "damaged goods" in full force and were by the Manager the Deputy and Myself subsequent to the orders of the 5th January not only leaving the orders respecting "damaged goo in full force but acted up to by all

The

43 That the precautions required to be observed when I issued my order of the 5th January, were taken, and that the order effected no change.—

44 That the same Rules and Orders were in force and on the possession of the pass Writer in January 1854 and in June 1855 with the exception of the addition in this latter that a verbal Order was not to be received.

45 The Statements made by the Officials regarding the Book are dis- approved and one is added shewing how the goods came to be passed.—

46 I shall obey the instructions respecting "decayed provisions" for I have no orders to give respecting "unsound provisions" but shall make it the sub- ject of a separate communication proving it is immaterial whether the Orders be carried out, whether as to the revenue to be derived or the effect it is to have, tending to prohibit or diminish the sale of these articles, merely observing that on requesting Messrs Griffiths & Co to give me some information respecting the Hams entered as the 58th item

in

in the Book, they inform me that decayed provisions when their property are invariably destroyed..

47 The last point is as to how far Mr Cochrane is identified with these transactions I have in any observations or facts to deal with the recorded opinion of the Board that he is absolved I am gratified however to observe that by the Government this important matter is still open to investigation that authority having stated "so far as it is possible to judge from the information before Government Mr Cochrane is divested of all blame but that this transaction needs more complete elucidation" this I have afforded in detail, and in summary manner at Para 39 It only remains to give information on the one point viz as respects the proceedings of the Deputy Collector..

48 On the 9th January that Officer proves by Enclosure No 6 that in all respects the Rules were in force for damaged goods. The rejected Beer was charged duty under those Rules it was valued at 10

Rupees

Rupees a Hogshead under the rules and charged duty; so that those Rules were in full operation because he without reference to me enforced them himself see the words on the face of the application under the Rule at page 4 Vol Pro Con..

49 On the 18th March Mr Cochrane originates the procedure under the orders of 5th January respecting sour Beer and as it was condemned by a Committee of Officers as sour, and examined by the yard Superintendant and reported, so I consider that his act and mine was justifiable in admitting it was sour and that sufficient examination was made. It was passed under a written Order.

50 The application and pass G

the first entry in the Book was for 10 Casks passed through Mr Cochrane this is on the 27th January the original was the 25th it appears parties tried to pass the Beer first as sour and then as damaged the Collector decided against both and the Deputy then charged the full duty this is explained Para 36 and I refer to that for information merely observing Mr

Cochrane

Boards Pro. Rules 18-19-20-21-22 30-24 will apprehend no danger from this authority Ltr to Govt 25 Nov 54 No 27

19

Cochrane acted under orders and was bound to have acted in a similar manner and to have instituted the same enquiry on the 6th September 1854 when the 10 Casks in the book were brought to his notice, and then and there for neither he or Mr. Waters knew whether it was good, bad or indifferent. Mr. Cochrane knew nothing respecting it Waters knew that a merchant had placed a mark on the Hogshead, and between the two, on this fact alone, the 10 Casks were passed

51 Thus rejected Beer under the Rules for "damaged goods" valued at 10 Rupees a Cask was adjudged by this Officer on 7th January 1854 to be "damaged goods" and passed under the Rules. The 10 Hhds be passed on the payment of full duty as not "damaged" and not "Sour" Three Casks as "Sour". The office rules are thus enforced by Mr Cochrane from 5th January to 18th March and 19th April and Ask the question has this procedure been continued would fault have been found by any one? except my assuming the right to pass "putrid provisions" to which there is no value and as to "Sour Beer it is worth 10 Rupees, the Casks, for the

Beer

2848 Fort St. George 20th February 1855.

Beer four, In the important Questions involved surely this may be laid aside and it cannot be worth while to stop to enquire above putrid provisions, as they form an item so inconsiderably that the interest in them is totally absorbed in that of other goods - To return I have shewn the Rules "for damaged goods" and also the machinery of the office for the passing of goods was enforced by Mr Cochrane up to 18th March & 19th April I also here refer to the Collectors orders 9th June which is important should be examined in this place Para 35 refers particularly to this:-

* See Marginal entry referring to this place

52 On the 15th August an applic-
-ation is put in on the face of the ap-
application is written under the Sea
Custom House Rules para 4 Vide Encl No 9
and Mr D'Souza puts "Mr Atkinson"
acting for Mr Cochrane orders the Survey
for "damaged goods" under Rules page 4-
He had then in his possession the Cer-
-tificate of Messrs Williamson & Wheeler
dated 10th August that they were "damaged
by Salt water" on the 16th Mr Atkinson in
Company with Mr Johannes Surveys
these "damaged goods," under D'Souza's
order given in behalf of Mr Cochrane
here again this officer acts consistently

he

he surveys the goods and returns a certifi:
:cate as damaged, under the Rules
Mr. Cochrane on the face of the Cer:
:tificate enforces the rules, orders a
Charge of duty at 5 per Cent on the shirt:
:ing and cut Raw suits, and a duty of 3½
on the Sago, this again together with
D'Souzas enforcing all the Rules at page
53 Then comes the Change, made
by Mr. Cochrane with his initials attach:
:ed before the duty bill was made:-
2 Enclosures No. 19
54 The Sago is struck out on
the certificate framed under the Rules
W. E. Cochrane to Then it was Mr.
Cochranes act on the 18th August,
Mr. Cochrane orders the goods to pass
and in red Pencil certifies to the erasure
of the 34 Bags of Sago, 52 Packages are
certified to be passed above W. W. the
dates of landing are given to ascertain
whether the goods are liable to
godown rent.
55 Thus the Rules are in force
for "damaged goods" at the commencement
VIZ of 9th January. The appraiser and
another party survey them and return
them as "damaged" under the Rules
and on the 18th August 1854 the Deputy
Collector

Collector strikes out the Sago, thus he as
stated in my first letter, makes a distinction
between one description, of "damaged goods"
and another, and gives, so it seems to me, his
authority, for goods of this description to
pass without the payment of duty, and
without an order. There may be pre:
:vious cases I do not know them. This
Sago was "damaged goods" not "decayed
provisions" this is proved by the certifi:
:cate of "Williamson and Wheeler" and
secondly by that of Atkinson and
Stephenson framed under the Rules
and the value being given at 2½ Rupees
the Bag, the Sago was about probably 30
per Cent depreciated, this proved it to be
"Damaged goods", on the other hand the
Nuts, damaged by Salt water were re:
:tained. They were more unsound than the
Sago, and only worth 25 per Cent of their
original value I make no comment:-
56 Place this fact beside
the order of 9th January 1854 and 25th
January 1854 which forms the first
item in the Book. This order
introduced a Change, the Sago
must be passed in some way, and
it

it is the 28th item in the Book, Jack
did Mr Cochrane by this act sanction
the passing out of the goods in this way
under the Book? and did he repeat it on
the 6th September 1854 when he wrote the
pass order on the Book? and does the
next fact tie itself and these two on
the Book together? and thus identify Mr
Cochrane with it, seeing that by this
last act, he by the order he gave, states
Waters had on all the instances in the Bo
acted under orders. The Superintendent ordered
the Cocoanuts to be passed it was refused
on this occasion Mr Cochrane declared
Mr Waters powers, certified that he ha
the authority, and to his having hitherto
exercised that power. Farther in the case
of the Cocoanuts Mr Cochrane directed the
Beach manager to disobey the written
orders of the Collector.-

57 Let the order in the book
in a few words be examined 25
Casks of Beer were manifested
duty was paid on 237 and a certain
mark placed on 10 Casks Mr
Waters in effect said to Mr Cochran
I have found 10 Casks with a
particular mark; and I must
give

going to pass them out; but I find
they are subject to Godown rent.-

58 I write under the disad=
=vantage of the decision of the Board
of Revenue yet I respectfully submit
that cannot alter facts whatever con=
=struction that authority may put
on them. There is no evidence to shew
these 10 Casks of rejected Beer
were not perfectly and indisputa=
=bly sound Beer for all Mr Cochrane
knew to the contrary (and some of
the Casks passed by Waters sold
for Rs a P Cask at Stephensons
Shop by auction!-

59 The Deputy Collector originat=
=ed no enquiry how Waters got possession
of these Casks if he had he would
have learnt no order of mine, put
them in his hands, he had taken them
himself not knowing whether they were
sound, "Damaged," or "Sour", and only be=
=cause a party had placed a certain
mark on the head of each Cask. The argu=
=ment of the Board, if it is still to stand
must over that my order D authorized
Mr Waters to select these in the manner

he

he did and then pass them out of the gate whereas it now turns out to have been Watts own act done on his own authority, on this occasion and that he acted in the same manner, on all other occasions entered in the Book, except where he had written order which he had for the first 3 entries, and another on the 9th June.-

60 At the commencement is the order of the Deputy Collector of the 9th January enforcing the Rule for Damaged goods. The end is the Cocoanuts, where all are squared. The centre is Mr D Souzas Statement, upon which Mr Cochranes defence hangs it is proved to have no foundation in fact he is made a defendant, and is no longer a witness. It is proved that the order of Government on Damaged goods were enforced by Mr Cochrane on the 9th January and that by a decision on the 25th by the Collector that — Mr Waters had not conferred on him no power of selection and not only so but that it was never in and acted on by both the Covenanted Officers. The same supervision was enforced on 25th January 1856 as on 25th December 1853, all the Machinery of the office was in operation

2848 Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

operation, and as perfect on that day, and as effectual to prevent Beer under the pretence it was "Damaged" or "Sour", obtaining the advantage sought, and a rigid examination by the Collector, his decision against the Merchant prove that on no occasion could the powers vested on the Covenanted Officers be more properly exercised, for both the Collector and Deputy were concerned in this 22 days after the 5th January.-

61 Mr D Souzas Statement has done much harm his Office gave it strength and he is the more to blame A man who filled the Office of Inspector as he did must in making the Statement he has done have departed far from truth GGE. 6. 15 and 27 prove this.-

62 Mr Cochrane did not examine the records, where he would have found if he had the papers enclosed to especially that of 9th January he did not regard the several orders given to the Departments, through which I imagine is to be attributed his acting as he did in the passing of the goods, and did not consider that the

the order to the Pass gate manager, and the Beach Superintendant pre:=cluded the exercise of the power as:=sumed by Waters. Waters states D'Souza directed him to order the pass ma:=nager to allow the goods to go out. D'Souza is silent on this paint, I am far from impeaching Mr Waters veracity for I have no reason to disbelieve what he says, but I observe D'Souza conceals this fact, he has overstep:=ped authority and the orders, he says I gave him, and has not presumed to charge me with having given him that order, it is time enough for me to meet it when he does. To return Mr Waters had the Deputy Collectors decision that the Sago was "damaged" and he passed it, not so the Tin Casks But the Cap System. I fear from over con:=fidence in Waters was assented to by the Deputy Collector. I leave to Government to decide how far Mr Cochrane in not having searched for these documents, or regarded the order each manager had, exhibited carelessness

2848 Fort St. George 20th February 1850

53. I have done my best to clear up this intricate question and it must now be decided by authority I have entered fully into the matter and in Para 39 given a succinct State:=ment in the shortest possible space. I leave it with authority to consult either or both. The orders of the Board of Revenue have led me to believe it was my duty to give the explanation the summary I trust meets the intention of Government. If that authority sees fit the explanation can be disregarded.

54 The order D was not the cause of the Cask System each Department has its code four times the Deputy Collector so between 5th January and 19th April enforces all the Rules. I therefore leave the facts to lie besides the Boards Proceedings for the decision of Government. The

first

Fort St. George 20th Febuary 1856.

first instance of goods passing without an order is 22? May /137/ One hundred and thirty two days after the passing of the order D 5th January 1854.-

65 I stand charged with being actuated by "private & pique". The Language of my letter 29th October led the Government to this inference I respectfully observe that my observations on the force of the language had no reference to Mr. Cochrane and arose from causes entirely remote from him but as I cannot give the

Fort St. George 20th Febuary 1856.

the reasons which actuated me without trenching upon the sacredness of private communication. I refrain my Conduct towards Mr. Cochrane preceding and subsequent to the receipt of the minutes of Consultation of Government. May I trust suffice to induce the belief. I made the Statements I have done in all sincerity my position was one of difficulty yet neither Mr. Cochrane or his acts were in my mind at the time.-

/Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Collector of Sea Customs.

Posts-cript.

1. When on the point of Signing this letter last evening, at Office at 7½ P.M. I discovered a fact which has a most important bearing in this case.-

In para 18 the Board observe of the origin of the book X X X X X an account is given by Mr. D'Souza who states X X X afterwards when a case occurred Mr. D'Souza says he took

Mr. D'Souza's Statement - When a case occurred.-

being the first after the decision of the Supreme Court where a party was desirous to pass some sour

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

took the application to Mr Underwood with a view to that gentleman giving the necessary orders xxxx I was directed by him to order the Supervisor of the yard to pass them out and to keep Memorandum of the quantity passed.—

Sour Porter Stock the application &c &c

2. The words omitted by the Board of Revenue are interlined and on these words the whole subject matter of Mr D'Souza's statement is contained that is as to the force to be given to it. Because it refers to Enclosure F 18th March the second item in the Book Some "Sour Porter" and on this occasion it is stated as quoted above Mr D'Souza gave the order to the receipt from the Collector. Para 19. the Board observe Mr Cochrane's Statement I know not what it may be / is supported by the Subordinates / See Enclosure C E F and H / The Board observe Enclosure F passed Sixty Jars

Para 22

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

"by Mr Underwood's own order" but in a note Vizt. "The Proceedings were really held by Mr Cochrane" I respectfully observe neither the Collector or Depuity were to blame in the case. but on it hangs Mr Cochrane's Statement and Mr D'souza's averment and all the observation of the Board of Revenue Paras 18. 19. 20 21 22 23 I have now discovered that Mr D'Souza obtained leave on the 10th March for 2 months attended office on the 11th The 12th was Sunday. he returned to the office on the 14th May The first case in the Book .c under which goods passed without an order is 22d may. I have not found any order for this. So it may be called the first case. This is the 14th Entry in the Book This is clear Mr D'souza did not give the order to Waters on the 18th March having been absent on leave for the 6 days previous

* Enclosure F

This is the case of "Sour Porter" he must have given the order before this date or after his return. but as instances are entered in the Book before that, any order given could not then be the first after the decision of the Supreme Court it must have been given before the 25th

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

25th January 1854. The first case which passed without an order was 22d May the System their seems to have Commenced and on the 9th June the Collector passed an order the same as Mr. Cochranes of 18th March and 19th April. The simple facts; of what was an intricate question; now appear to me to be thus D'Souza quitted the Office on the 11th March. On the 25th January the list Commenced: on the 9th January the rule for "Damaged goods" were enforced by him and Mr Cochrane / the Enclosure **D** is the 5th January / and the permit and pass application are passed through all the Officers of the Department by that Gentleman and Mr D'Souza. who also ordered to stop under the rules.

3. On the 25th January the ordinary Course was pursued and on the 11th March Mr D'Souza quitted the Office on the 18th March Mr Cochrane acted under the order of the 5th January and gave a pass order again on the 19th April. On the 22d Mr Waters passed the first batch of Beer under

Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

order on the 16th August. The Deputy Collector struck the Sago out of the Survey certificate made under the Rules and gave a written decision freeing it from the Rules for "Damaged goods" by erasing it from the Pass application. On the 5th September in the book by his order. he again acted on a similar manner and on the 25th June 1855 by the order for the Cocoanuts gave his approval, and Consent to what had been already done by waters and Sanctioned its Continuance.

4. Mr Waters received the order from D'Souza before the 25th January and first carried it out on the 22d March in the manner he states without an order from any one.

5 These are the facts and in five the order of 5th January did not interfere with the Rules for damaged goods or the discipline of the office.

6. Witness No 8 when on 9th January Mr Cochrane and Mr D'Souza enforced the Rules for damaged goods.

7. Witness the enclosure **GG** passes an application.

8. Witness enclosure **F** the first case of Sour Beer passed under the order

D

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

D and by Mr Cochrane.—

9. Witness Nº 27 Mr Cochranes act of 19th April again passing sour Porter.—

10. Witness the Collectors order 9th June I submit Mr Cochrane on the 16th August commenced the Case system by authority passing Damaged or rejected goods without an order by Striking out the Sago This he repeated on the 6th September when he gave his order in the Book whereas he should then have acted as × he × did × on the 18th March and 19th April yet the Board observe "it was an informality a harmless one and Springs to Mr Underwoods own abnormal proceedings and reviewing the whole subject Mr Underwood order D 5th January 1854 Caused all that has occurred. because he gave the order D which provided no means by which it could be carried out which he rectified by order E. I respectfully challenge the Board to prove the assertions and with reference and respect but emphatically State the evidence is all the other way.—

+ Encl. 19.

11. I apprehend that Mr Spens's proceedings

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

absence simplifies the case, the written documents enclosed in this letter Speak for themselves so that Government will have no Diffeculty in giving a decision.—

12. Respectfully submit that by the remarks of the Board of Revenue 20 21 22 23 I have been condemned on the Statement of the Subordinate Covernanted Officer of Customs and the Subordinate Servants whose testimony he has afforded against me.—

That the orders enclosed in this letter under Mr Cochranes Signature 9th January and 18th March and 19th April prove he then knew the Scope of the order 5th January in all its bearings; and for 104 days and on 13 three occasions carried it out. both as regarded "Rejected Beer" and Sour Porter, the one under the Rules passed by Government: the two latter under the order D. 5th January — given by me proving the order to be compatible with the full exercise of all his duties, whether under the

Fort St George 20th February 1856

the Rules Sanctioned by Government, or laid
down for the guidance of the Subordinate
Officers in the execution of their duties under
these circumstances and with written Documents
in the records of my office to disprove their state-
ments, the verbal Statements of the Subordinate
Officers, acting under me are adopted by the
Board of Revenue, that authority not knowing
what Documents where in the records, and
I censured and I pray I may be permitted
to add I was afforded no opportunity of
explanation or of producing these Documents
to refute their assertions. From ther, through
this the verbal Statements of these parties
are adopted by the Board of Revenue while
a complete refutation of the verbal evidence
under their own Signatures was lying in the
Public Records and accessible to Mr Cochrane.
Thus these parties were permitted to offer
verbal evidence against their Superior Officer
when written evidence under their own hand
writing was available to prove these Statements
without foundation! as the Head of the Depart-
ment I respectfully lay this before Government

Not
Now transmitted
Enclosure in
No 66 Ft St
2 Jan.

Fort St George 20th February 1856

If a witness in Court gives evidence to facts
and for the defence written Documents under
his Signature are produced to refute his
testimony what follows.?

13. I further respectfully submit for
Consideration whether considering the procedure
of the Deputy Collector on the 9th January,
24th January, 18th March and 19th April
Compared with the procedure as it seems to me
precisely the reverse on 16th August & 6th September
and 21st June 1855 may be considered to exhibit
marks of reprehensible carelessness, on the depart-
ture, on subsequent occasions, from the rules
and Regulations of the Department and in
Conjunction with Mr Waters passing goods out
of the yard without the formal examination
prescribed for damaged goods" "all clear
and proper instructions" having been given and
acted on, up to the 19th April by both, as
proved by documentary evidence under the
Signature of either and further by the book
there being the /3/ three first entries to show
this. Thus the System and the Book were correct
in form and in practice at the commencement,
and continued so for 136 days, after which on 22 May
the

Proceedings Board
Rev. Para 23.
See 8th para
Contract

Fort St. George 25th February 1856.

the first instance of departure from the Rules Commences D'souza returns on the 5th May and on the 8th September obtained leave which he to Commencing on the 8th September and therefore between 5th May and 8th September the remonstrances of Waters must have been made The Dep collector reports his act of 16th August on the 6th September D'souza in the face of his own procedure of the 9th January directs Waters to go on, as he was doing. Waters continues to act without an order, contrary to the precedents, under which he began his book and in the face of an order coming from the collector on the 9th June which he entered in his Book and must have seen was opposed to his acts of 22d May and 8th June passing these goods out but it still goes on. The Dep writer still consents to let the goods go thro he had peremptory orders to the contrary and so had Waters. When all parties deliberate act thus, and no one can be found who will communicate with me. I submit with all respect can I in equity be held respon sible for the deliberate acts of four parties deliberately

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

deliberately repeated over and over again and as deliberately concealed from me?

Custom House: 1st February 1856 } (signed) W. E. Underwood, Collector of Sea Customs

The [illegible] is received from the Board of Revenue are returned with this letter (signed) W. E. Underwood, Collector

Order thereon: Ordered that the following letter be sent.

To The Collector of Sea Customs Madras

Sir

From enclosure No 3 which accompanied your letter of the 29th Ultimo it appears that on the 18th Idem you obtained from the late Manager Mr D'souza an explanation regarding some part of the Custom House proceedings to which your letter refers. the Board wish you to forward to them Mr D'souza's Statement.

In Paras 4 and 50 of your letter you allude to the first batch of Beer entered in

(507)
Bd No 169
To the Collector of Sea Customs Madras
Customs 25th July 1856

2859

Fort St. George 20th February 1850.

in Book C and observe that the parties first tried to pass it as sour and then as damaged the Collector decided against both and the Deputy then charged the full duty "On referring to the documents forwarded by you respecting this beer Enclosures GG and N°14 no attempt appears to pass out the beer either as sour or damaged, and as far as the Deputy Collector is concerned he passed the beer as good on a regular application and on payment of full duty in the ordinary routine of business you are therefore requested to explain why you made such observations regarding this beer you appear to have missed up the circumstances of 2 separate batches of beer or your observations were perhaps intended to apply to another batch. The Board observe that in para 5 you refer to Para 3 of Mr Waters Statement Enclosure N°7 while it is Para 7 which refers to the Beer to which GG belongs and Mr Waters there explains how it happened that this beer was entered by him as passed without duty in Book C although it was in reality passed by the Deputy Collector on formal application and payment of full duty.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 20th February 1850.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

2860

Fort St. George, 20th February 1850.

To,

The Deputy Collector of
Sea Customs
Madras.

508

Bd. No. 1,08

To The Dy Collector of Sea Customs Madras

Sir,

In reference to Para 10 of your letter of the 16th November last. I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to request you will state the instances to which you allude when you remark that Mr. Underwood has repeatedly declaimed to interfere with the Supervisors decision upon which beer and provisions were passed out has had without duty being demanded the time when the instances occurred and any proof you possess in support of them should be mentioned.

Del. on 26th November 53.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, - - -
20th February 1850 - -

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Acting Secretary

Memorandum.

To,

Mr. E. S. Athenson.
appraiser

509

Bd. No. 1470

To Mr. E. S. Athenson
appraiser - -

Sir,

In reference to the enclosed Statements made by the late Manager Mr. D'esouza the Board of Revenue call upon you to send them a Statement shewing what orders were given according to your knowledge, by the Collector of Sea Customs

Customs either verbally or in writing regarding bad provisions and sour beer after the decision passed against him by the Supreme Court in the action brought by Messrs. Bambridge and Co. regarding some decayed bacon.

2 You are to state to the best of your recollection on what occasion and in whose presence the Collector's orders were given, and whether Mr. Desouza's statement is accurate.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
20th February 1856.

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Acting Secretary.

Memorandum

Mr. Desouza, late Manager of Sea Customs House

In reference to your letter to Mr. W. E. Cocks and dated 9th November last the Board of Revenue wish you to state when and in whose presence the appraiser Mr. Thomas communicated to you the Collector's orders that all damaged provisions, sour beer and Porter, were to be passed free as the Customs would not take cognizance of articles of this nature".

2 You are also requested to state when the particular case occurred to which you refer in the following words "Whenever occurred being the first after the decision of the Supreme Court where a party was desirous to pass some sour Porter &c. took up the application to Mr. Underwood & Co.

3 You should further detail to whom the Porter belonged whether the owner applied to pass it verbally or gave in a written application and who was present when you spoke to Mr. Underwood on the subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th February 1856.

/ Signed / G. S. Forbes
Acting Secretary

No. 11

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

511

Sir,

From the Collector of Bellary. ..... I have the honor to acknowledge

Reports upon the Teerwa Jasty and Tusdeeq Jasty system in the Bellary District and offers certain propositions on the Subject with reference to the Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th September 1855.

receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th September 1855 forwarding a Copy of the amended Rules sanctioned by Government in their Extract Minutes Consultation of the 29th March 1856, for the levy of Tusdeeq Jasty and Teerwa Jasty in North Arcot and requiring Collectors to report as to how far these Rules are applicable to the circumstances and usage of their respective Districts and to what extent they considered it desirable to adapt them in their present form or in what manner they would modify them in order to suit existing Systems.

2 The following is a Memo: of Teerwa Jastee and Neersarghy levied in fasly 1265 as per Statements noted in the Margin in accordance with the practice that obtains in this District.

Annual Statement No. 1 Band 15 for fasly 1265.

Teerwa

Fort St.George, 20th February 1856

Seerwa Jastee as per Statement No 18 Rs 14,39,445:12-u

Viz.t

Seerwa Jastee levied on dry lands irrigated with Circar water from Tanks and Nullahs. 1,29,96,712-u

Seerwa Jastee levied on Well Bagayet lands irrigated with Circar water from Tanks and Nullahs. 9,478-u-u

1,39,445-12-u

Neersarghy levied on Enamdary lands irrigated with Circar water from Tanks and Nullahs as per Statement No 15. ..... Rs 24,-8-u

1,63,670-7-u

This amount of Seerwa Jastee does not include the Iyenn Dry assessment. No specific Rules however been framed for levying this Tax in the Bellary District.

3 The following is the practice that has obtained in this District

First. In regard to Seerwa Jastee

1 Seerwa Jasty is levied on Iyenn Dry lands and Paramputtah lands under irrigated Cultivation by Circar water from Tanks and channels. For instance in Dry field No 12 of the Village of Niddegoorthy partly cultivated with wet crops.

Extent

Fort St.George, 20th February 1856

Extent	Iyenn Dry Assessment		Seerwa Jastee.		Total	
A.	G.	A. P.	F.	A. P.	F.	A. P. F.
1	-	- -	-	1 -	4	2 - 8 - 12
3	-	- -	-	1 -	4	2 - 8 - 12

Deduct chouthayee remission ——— - - 7 - 8

Remains the Demand ——— 2 - 2 - 8

Equal to Rupees ——— 6 - 9 - 0

and if a Second Crop is raised a further sum equal to a half of the amount of Demand is levied for the same. 3 - 4 - 0

Total ——— 9 - 13 - 0

2 All lands are not taxed at the same rate as the above but according to circumstances and at discretion, the tax so assessed varies from 3 fanams to 3 Pagodas per acre which includes both the dry assessment and Seerwa Jasty subject to the usual remission of chouttayee for lands the combined rates of which are not below a canteroy Pagoda per acre. Three Canteroy Pagodas Tarum was fixed as a General rate for the Maximum rate of assessment for one Crop on Dry Lands cultivated by circar water with a wet crop by the late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson. This reduced by the 1/4 Remission and converted into Rupees would be

6 - 9 - 0 per acre

Fusul Jasty — 3 - 4 - 0

Total 2 Crop — 9 - 13 - 0

inclusive

inclusive of the Iyen Dry assessment Second. Teerwa Jasty is also levied on Bagayet lands dependant on wells for irrigation in which wet crops as (Paddy Sugarcane and Betel) are raised by using Circar water from Tanks and Nullahs. But Teerwa Jasty is not assessed on such lands if the Iyen rate is as high as 3 Canteray Pagodas per acre. The amount of Teerwa Jastee Rs 9.1.78 shewn in para 7 as being levied on well Bagayet lands, is the sum levied on land bearing an assessment [illegible] 3 Pagodas per acre.

2 Teerwa Jastee is not levied on dry fields in which Wet Cultivation is carried on by wells where the Ryot does so in addition to Cultivating the whole of the ayacut land dependant on such Well. This rule was made by Colonel Munro

4 The amount of Neersurghy charged on Enam Cultivation was in 1264 Fusly Rupees 0.224.10.11 There is no specific rule to regulate the levy of this tax on Enam Land it is therefore charged in each Village according to the Custom. The rate of Circar water for

Enam

See Statement No 15 for fusly 1264.

Enam Land however is generally paid for by assessing the land at the rate of the neighbouring wet land in the Village which assessment less the usual Remissions and less the deduction of the Dry assessment is carried to the head of Neersarghee.

5 The Neerbuttha Tax also is a tax levied on some Enam wet lands in a few places only the amount in 1264 was only 1906.11. It appears to have been levied by the former Government and has been continued during the Company's Government.

6 Such is the practice for levying water Taxes in Dry assessed Lands &c. in the Bellary District. For fixing an uniform plan of assessment in future I would suggest as follows.—

See Letter to Board of Revenue under date 7th September 1833.

7 In my propositions for the revision of the assessment in this District I proposed to throw all rates for Irrigated Land into 24 classes progressing upwards from half a Rupee to 24 Rupees. And for Tank and Nullah Lands the rates were to be considered as One Crop Rates, subject to a half Teerwa as fusful

Jasty.

Fort St. George, 20th February 1830

Is tie when Cultivated with a Second crop or occupied for two Crops period. I also proposed to class all Dry Land, which hitherto had for sometimes been converted into wet, as wet land permanently in future. This will do away with a great quantity of the Dry converted into wet Land as now classed in our accounts.

8 With regard to Dry Land hereafter converted into wet or such Land as is only occasionally sown with a wet Crop subject to Teerwa Tastie of three Rupees per acre subject to Fusul Tasty for Tank or Nullah water whenwa Second crop is grown or the ground is occupied for two Crops the water rate to be exclusive of the Dry rate for such Temporarily wet Crops. But where it appears that the lands may permanently classed as Irrigated, it should be transferred from the Dry to the wet classes and assessed permanently at one of the fixed rates proposed in any Revision of assessment with

Fort St. George, 20th February 1830

with reference to its quality positions &c. in the Village.

9 The Neersarghee &c. Taxes for the use of water for Enam Lands should be regulated on the principle now generally adopted of assessing them with reference to the assessment on the Ciciar wet Land adjoining either deducting the Iyen Dry assessment which is the Enamdar's and carrying the remainder to the Head of Neersarghee or at once fixing one of the wet Rates of assessment as the water Tax to be paid.

10 Web one Crop Enams should properly, if watered for a second Crop pay the Fusul Tasty as the water Tax at the same rate as the Ciciar Lands premising that Enam Lands will all have the Survey assessment remitted in the same way as Ciciar Land which.

Fort St George 20th February 1850

which is one of my propositions. But Enam Lands have generally been exempt from taxation for a Second Crop though classed in the Circar accounts as Bhfuel and long established privileges give them some title to exemption and before laying down any positive orders for taxing Enams. One Crop wet Land with a Fusul Sisty tax for the Second Crop it will be necessary to obtain more complete information respecting the description of Enams which would thus come under taxation.

Bellary District
Collector's Office, on Circuit
at Koodleghee, 2d
February 1850.

Signed C. Pelly
Collector

Order thereon

(511-12)

Ordered to lie over.

J.G.

Fort St George 20th February 1850

No. 17

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,


I have the honor to report on a petition forwarded to me with the Boards order of the 13th December last.

(513)

Bd No 425
From the Collector of Tanjore
Reporting on a petition as called for.

Bd No 98 Gen Sn No 2216 of 1855
and
Bd No 11 of 1856.

2 Petitioner is the grand daughter in the female line of one Hoossain Khan formerly Commandant of Sibbendies in Tanjore in consideration of whose services 80 Cawnies of Nunjah land in Tanoor of the Trenady Taloog were granted on Shrotriem tenure Charged with a fanozalle annual Rent of Star Pagodas one hundred and thirteen fanams fifteen and cash thirty six and a half to him for the term of his life and of the life of his son Timer Khan and in the event of the said Timer Khan having male issue



Fort St George 20th February 1856

jowe for the term of the life of such son or in default there of the next heir as appears from a Sunnud sanctioned by the Lord Joint Governor General in Council and issued on the 13th February 1810 in the name of the then Governor in Council -

3 Hoossain Sahib Surnamed Ris son Yemeer Sahib and died leaving an heir in the person of Petitioners brother Hoossain alli then 11 years of age who claimed succession to the enam and is supporting the claim of Collector Mr Hopburn submitted for the orders of Government the question whether the enjoyment by Hoossain alli should be held as for the second or the third generation the Board Considered that it should be held as for the second but the Government decided that it should be for the third and Consequently the last -

4 Hoossain alli who has since been in possession of the enam for some time paid to Petitioners for her support one eighth of the mailwaram and according to an agreement produced before me on behalf of petitioner dated 30th June 1850 subsequently assigned Cawnies of land in lieu of the payment

Vide
From Collector to Board of Revenue 29th August 1819.
From Board of Rev. to Govt 6 Sept. 1819
From Govt to Board of Revenue 8th Nov. 1819
From Board of Revenue to Collector 22nd Nov. 1819.

Fort St George 20th February 1856

Petitioner now Complains that whereas she had been in the enjoyment of this land up to last year the produce was then forcibly cut and carried away by Hoossain alli and she asks the permission of Government to sue him for the Recovery of the land and produce under the Mohomedan Law -

5 As the Establishment of Petitioners right cannot affect the right of Government to Resume the Enam when a Lapse occurs I am of opinion that the permission she desires may be safely granted.

Tanjore 1st February 1856

Signed W. Forbes
Collector

B. P. No 473
Proceedings thereon
513-14

Resolved that the foregoing Report be laid before Government with Reference to their Endorsement on a Petition No 2416 and 2697 of 1855 -

Under the circumstances stated the Board see no objection to Petitioners being permitted to sue her brother in the Courts for her share of the Shrotriem which will lapse on his death -

To

Fort St George 20th February 1856

No 26

To G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(515)

Fort St George

Sir

With Reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin

I have the honor to transmit Copy of a letter from the acting Joint Magistrate of Madura

Bd Rec 492
From the Collector of Madura
&
To Board of Revenue
10th Jany 1856 No 9
From Dr to Dr
dated 2d Febry 1856

2 In the Tamil Purzees which was enclosed the Head of Police denies explicitly the issue of the proclamation calling upon the inhabitants of Puthuy under pain of fine to watch in procession round the Pagoda Hill but although the formed the suspicious Circumstance of the Conduct attributed to him Mr Pauncefote has passed it over in future silence the attended by any opinion as to the non discovery of the perpetrators of the Robbery does not I think constitute sufficient Cause

Fort St George 20th February 1856

Cause for either dismissal or suspension and as Mr Pauncefote must be held to accept the denial of the Proclamation I have instructed him to restore the Tahsildar and Police Annees according to his Recommendation At the same time I cannot but regard his proceedings in this matter as unsatisfactory - He ought in my opinion to have been more careful to ascertain the Credibility of the facts on which he founded the strong measure of suspension and having suspended the Tahsildar he should have taken more personal trouble to sift the matter to the bottom - I am disposed to Concur in an opinion expressed by the Tahsildar that the Robbery was Committed by persons Connected with the House and not by professed thieves -

Madura
7th February 1856

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

No 5

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Magistrate of Madura

Sir

In Reference to my letter of

2875

Fort St. George 20th February 1850

of the 8th Ultimo intimating my having suspended the Tahsildar of Tyagampully pending your orders and deputed the Tahsildar of Nellacottah to Pulney to trace if possible the perpetrators of a Burglary Committed in that town on the 27th November last. I have now the honor to transmit for your Consideration the explanation submitted by the Tahsildar with reference to the Charges brought against him.

2 You will observe that he denies having shewn any apathy or want of energy on the occasion alluded to and endeavours to demonstrate that the house was not broken into by professional thieves but by persons who must have been thoroughly acquainted with the premises and habits of the inmates-to attributed to this the difficulty experienced in tracing the property.

3 It is to be remarked that a short time after the burglary another Robbery

2870

Fort St. George 20th February 1850

Robbery was Committed by open violence in a village only two miles distant from Pulney. The occurrence of the latter Robbery so close to the Custoh Confirms me in the opinion I had formed that some severe measures were called for as a warning to the Police.

4 The Nellacottah Head of Police has not done more towards the discovery of the property than his predecessor and seems to have Confined himself to the examination of the Witnesses sent for by the latter.

5 The punishment which the Tahsildar of Tyampully has already undergone appears to me to be sufficient to impress upon him the necessity of being more deligent in the discharge of his Police duties and as his absence from Office at the present moment when the settlement is so near at hand is attended with Considerable inconvenience I would Recommend his

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

his being restored together with the Police Guard -

Dindigul
2nd February 1856

(Signed) B. Pennefather
Acting Joint Magistrate

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

515-16

Bd No 466

Proceedings thereon:- The Board concur in the justice of Mr. Parker's observations regarding the course taken by his joint Magistrate in this case. They desire that he will point out to Mr. Pennefather the inconsistency of failing to follow up so serious a step as the suspension of the Head of Police by a thorough enquiry into the principal circumstance which threw a suspicion upon his conduct the necessary inference from the conclusion to which Mr. Pennefather has brought this case is that he acted on very imperfect information -

To

Fort St. George 20th February 1856

No 15

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

517

Bd No 570
From the Collector
of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to state with reference to Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th May last that the persons who hold Enams for the celebration of the swinging festival have in all cases in this District agreed to obtain from the performance of the swinging on Condition of the Enams being continued for the life of the present incumbents none of the parties have however consented to take compensation in money in lieu of their Enams preferring the above conditions are under preparation -

Guntoor
Collectors Office
8th February 1856

(Signed) H. Newill
Acting Collector

Ordered to lie over -

To

Order thereon
517-18

2879 Fort St. George 20th February 1856

No. 26

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

519

Bd No. 485
From the Collector
of Chingleput —

Sir,

The Military Board having intimated that the indent from this Department for Triangles and Scales &c. which was submitted to them for sanction under date the 20th October 1855 was transmitted to the Board of Revenue duly passed on the 11th November following I have the honor to request you will inform me whether the same has been received and how it was disposed of —

Chingleput District —
Collectors Cutcherry — —
Sydapet 7th February 1856

Signd G. J. Stubricks
Collector

Bd No. 468
Proceedings thereon

519.20

The Collector of Chingleput is informed that the Government have directed the Construction of new Balances in preference to Scales for weighing Salt

The

2880 Fort St. George 20th February 1856

The Indent will therefore not be executed —

No. 22

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

521

Bd No. 484.
From the Collector
of South Arcot

Sir

In reference to your letter of the 22d December last advising the despatch of 12 Gunters Chains for the use of the Survey Establishment under Captain Priestly I have the honor to inform you that the Chains in question have just reached me having been brought to the Sayer Chowkey at Veerandy situated on the road between Tindevanum and Pondicherry by a Bandy man who stated that a Bearer had put them on his Cart saying that he was unable to

to

2881 to 2883 Fort St. George 20th February 1850

to carry the load any further -

As the Bearer may have
had I give you this information
with a view to its being Commu-
-nicated to his Relatives should
you know where they are to be found.

South Arcot

Collectors Cutcherry

Cuddalore

9th February 1856

(Signed) H. Hall
Collector

521-22

Order thereon Ordered to be Recorded.

HH

2884 Fort St. George 20th February 1856.

No. 23.

To
G. L. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

523

Bd. No. 581.

From the Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that I have this day received charge of this Collectorate together with Treasury Stamps Vz. from G. L. Morris Esqr. Acting Sub Collector and beg to enclose the Duplicates of the receipts granted by me to that Gentleman. -

Coimbatore
14th February
1856.

(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector

Received -

2885 Fort St. George 20th. February 1856.

No. 1.

Received from G. L. Morris Esquire Acting Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore Company's Rupees (4000) Four thousand being the Amount deposited by the Civil Judge and Principal Sudr Ameen of Coimbatore with following Coins.-

Company's Rupees of 1835/40	3844	"
422 Do. Quarter Do.	105	8 "
401. Do. Double Annas	50	2 "
5 Company's Single Annas of the Coinage previous to 1835	"	5 "
12. Single pice pieces	"	1 "
Company's Rupees	4000	" "

Coimbatore. . . 14th. February 1856. } (Signed) C. B. Thomas Collector

1880 Fort St. George 20th. February 1856.

No. 2.

Received from G. L. Morris Esqr. Acting Sub Collector Incharge of Coimbatore the undermentioned Stamps for Value of Company's Rupees Thirty four Thousand four hundred and Seventy Six and annas four.-

	Description of Papers and Cadjans	Amount Rolls	Rs.	As.	Ps.
2	4 Annas Country papers	1407	351	12	
	8 Do. Do.	177	88	8	
	1 Rupee Do.	919	919	"	
	2 Rupees Do.	482	964	"	
	4 Rupees Do.	313	1252	"	
	8 Do. Do.	160	1280	"	
	16 Do. Do.	214	3424	"	
	32 Do. Do.	460	14720	"	
	50 Do. Do.	37	1850	"	
	100 Do. Do.	4	400	"	
	150 Do. Do.	1	150	"	
	250 Do. Do.	2	500	"	
	350 Do.	1	350	"	
		4177	26249	4	
2	8 Annas Europe Decree paper	106	53	"	
	1 Rupee Do. Do.	430	433	"	
	2 Rupees Do. "	123	240	"	
	4 Do. Do.	73	292	"	
		732	1021	"	
	Total	4909	27276	4	
1	4 Annas Cadjans	52	13	"	
	8 Do. Do.	84	42	"	
	1 Rupee Do.	687	687	"	
	4 Do. Do.	442	1768	"	
	8 Do. Do.	274	2192	"	
	16 Do. Do.	179	2864	"	
	32 Do. Do.	20	640	"	
	Total	1738	8206	"	
	Grand Total	6647	35476	4	

Coimbatore. . . . 14th. February 1856. } (Signed) C. B. Thomas Collector.

Fort St. George 20th February 1850.

No. 3.

Received from G. L. Morris Esqr. Acting Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore the undermentioned Postage Stamps of the value of Company's Rupees (2821) Two thousand, eight hundred and twenty one.-

No. of Sheets	No. of Lakhs	Description	Value of each sheet	Total value
248	32	96 On a Sheet ½ anna labels	3	745 - -
87	32	96 on a Sheet one anna labels	6	524 - -
46	64	80 on a Sheet two annas labels	10	468 - -
361	4	12 on a Sheet four annas labels	3	1084 - -
		Company's Rupees		2,821 - -

Coimbatore ---
14th February 1850

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Received -

Fort St. George 20th February 1850.

No. 4.

Received from G. L. Morris Esqr. Acting Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees Four lacs thirty six Thousand Nine hundred and fifty three annas eleven and pice eight.-

Specie	Description of Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835/40	366021	100	366021	
	Do Half Do	67744	50	33872	
	Do Quarter Do	63560	25	15890	
	Do Double Annas	45652	12 8	5706 8	
	Company's of the Coinage previous to 1835	4611	100	4611	
	Do Half Do	1159	50	579 8	
	Do Quarter Do	484	25	121	
	Do Double Annas	205	12 8	25 10	
	Do Single Annas	55	6 4	3 7	
	Bombay Rupees	4492	100	4492	
	Do Half Do	486	50	243	
	Do Quarter Do	97	25	24 4	
	Company's Rupees old	1	100	1	
	Company's Rs. Burnt	186	100	186	
	Chandaloo Rupees	1	8314	133	
					4317772 3
Copper	New Half Annas	102188	3 2	3193 6	
	Do Quarter Do	95014	1 9	1484 9 6	
	Do Single pice pieces	94915	84	494 5 7	
	Four pice pieces	160	2 14	3 5 4	
	Two pice pieces	90	1 8	15	
					5176 9 5
	Total Company's Rupees				4369311 8

Coimbatore ---
14th February 1850.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Fort St. George 20th February 1850.

To

No. 22

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

524

B. No. 582
From the Jr. Sub Collector
In charge of Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have this day delivered over charge of this Collectorate to C. B. Thomas Esqre Collector and obtained that Gentlemans receipt for the balance of Cash Rs. remaining in the Treasury.—

Coimbatore
14th February 1850.

Rs. 24.25

Order thereon.—

(Signed) G. L. Morris
Jr. Sub Collector in charge

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

526

B. No. 464
To the Collectors of
Tanjore and Chingleput

To The Collectors of
Tanjore & Chingleput

Sir,

I am desired by the Court of Wards to inform you that their Circular of the 19th September No. 281 F. Tanjore) in Cont. 25th Jany. 50.
No. 171 F. Chingleput) last has disposed of your Report for July 1262 on the 16th in Cont. 18th Jany. 50.—Estates of Minors, and to request that you will remove the latter communication from your list of arrears against this

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th February 1850.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Acting Secretary

From

Fort St. George 20th February 1850.

527

No. No. 2135.

From the Collector of South Arcot 27th July 1855. Read Statement of the Collector of Customs on Imports & Exports on British and Foreign Bottoms from 1st May 1854 to 30th April 1855.—

527.28

Order thereon.— Ordered to be over.—

Received the undermentioned Miscel-

529 laneous Letters and Statement.—

No. No. 467

From the Collector of North Arcot. Quarterly Returns of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public

530 Servants during the Quarter ending 31st December 1855.—

No. No. 466.

From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons suspended or dismissed from employment for the Quarter ending

531 31st December 1855.

No. No. 471 Account Current of Salt for October 55.

From the Acting Collector of Canara.

No. No. 468 Account Current of Salt for November 55.

From the Acting Collector of Canara.

No. No. 469. Account Current of Salt for December 55.

From the Acting Collector of Canara.

No. No. 470. Account Current of Salt for January 56

From the Collector of Tanjore

No. No. 463. Return of Village officers suspended

From the Collector of Tanjore

535

No. No. 465. or dismissed for December 1855.—

From the Collector of Chingleput. Reports that no Persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation XII of 1831 for January 1856.—

From

2891 Fort St. George 20th February 1856.—

536
Mc. No. 464.
From the Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta on the 31st January 1856. (None)—

537
Mc. No. 436.
From the Collector of Madura. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for December 1855.—

538
Mc. No. 435.
From the Collector of Malabar. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for January 1856.—

539
Mc. No. 438.
From the Collector of Chingleput Returns a Sheet for Salt Rowmahs the impression of Types not being stamped thereon in Ink and requests permission for writing it off his accounts.—

540
Mc. No. 442.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam Informs that the Bullion and Specie Returns are blank for January 1856.—

541
Mc. No. 439.
From the Collector of Chingleput. States that no Imports and Exports of Bullion and Specie were made to or from Pulicat during the month of January 1856.

542
Mc. No. 440
From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of the value of gold and Silver Bullion and Specie exported by Sea for January 1856.

543
Mc. No. 441.
From the Collector of Malabar.— Statement of the value of gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Imported by Sea for January 1856.

2892 Fort St. George 21st February 1856

No. 21

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Thursday the 21st February 1856.—

Read the following Papers

544
Bd. No. 171
From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 18th January 1856.—

Sanctioning the Statements of actual charges incurred under and without Estimate for fusly 1264 in certain Districts amounting in all to Rs 26126-4

Lists of statements of actual charges incurred in the Districts of Madura, Vizagapatam and Salem for fusly 1264 submitted by the Board of Revenue under dates the 20th November and 18th December 1855.—

1 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Statements of actual charges incurred under and without estimate for fusly 1264 in the following Districts amounting in all to Rupees twenty sixe thousand, one hundered and twenty sixe. and price four.—

		Under Estimate	Without Estimate
Madura	Revenue Department	374.14.3	3812.7.4
		414.12.—	
	Magisterial Department	1169.11.4	874. 3. 4
Vizagapatam	Revenue Department	1269.5.1	890. 13. 11
	Magisterial Department	1729.12.10	1066. 1. 1
Salem	Revenue Department	3967. 1. 2	4167. 8. 8
			1894. 15. 2
	Magisterial Department	1411 4 —	986 14 10
		12433.—	13693 — 4

2 In the account particulars Mr.

of extra charges incurred without estimate in
Madura there is a charge of Rupees 17-2-3 for 2
Bills for two Muffasil Duffadars, and another of
Rupees 16-13 for binding records which not being
of a contingent nature should not have been in-
=curred without previous sanction.—

3 The Statements of actual charges in-
=curred under estimate appear to be prepared
on different principles; in Vizagapatam the
charges are compared with the estimate for the y
while in Salem they are compared with the charges
of the preceding year.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. D Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

544.45
B. No 369
Proceedings thereon

Ordered that a Copy
of the foregoing be communicated to the C
=lectors of Madura Vizagapatam and
Ordered also that para 2 of Board's Remarks
26th November 1855 No 3129. 3132. be furnished to Madu
Extract

546
B. No 467

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Works Department under date the 5th Febrwary 1856.

Communicating the orders of Government on the Estimate submitted by the Chief Engineer for the improvement of the Sooloorpet river and resolving that as the work would greatly benefit the Zemindars of Calas=tery and Vencata=ghery the Collectors of North Arcot and Nellore be instructed to place themselves in communication with them on the subject—

Read the following Report from the Chief Engineer Department Public Works on Estimates submitted with his List for De=cember 1855.—

Here enter G D E C H J P N O E S U R and T

Read also the following letter from the Chief Engineer Department Public Works

Here enter 11th October 1855 No 1662/

Para 13
Nellore. Improvement of the navigation of the Sooloorpet River Estimate Rupees 22.2.30

In an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 18th December 1855. No 1369 the Government con=sidered the subject of the navigation of the Sooloorpet river; and for the rasons therein given resolved that no further expense should be incurred on the part of Government without their previous sanction for the improvement of the Sooloorpet Channels or of any of the other parts or landing places of the Vencatagherry Zemindary The

Fort St George 21st Febmuary 1856

The project now sent up is for expending a sum of Rupees 22230 in improving the approach to Sadrapet, and a further sum of 1000 Rupees a year for five years is asked for to improve the minor landing places. In Para 11 of his letter of the 11th December Lieutenant Chambers expressly states distinctly that the only trade which will benefit by this Work is that of the Zemindary and yet he appears to contemplate making a road direct inland from the landing place for the advantage of this Trade. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not consider however that it is the business of Government to undertake improvements of this purely local character. The work would greatly benefit the Zemindars of Calastry and Vencatagherry and might properly be undertaken by them and perhaps if brought to their notice they might see their advantage in doing so. The Government resolve therefore to instruct the Collectors of North Arcot and Nellore through the Board of Revenue to place themselves in communi-

Fort St George 21st Febmuary 1856

with the two Zemindars on the subject and intimate to them that should they be disposed to incur the outlay the Government will be prepared to furnish a suitable officer to superintend the work.—

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary to Government

To

The President and Members

of the

(546-47) Board of Revenue

B. N. 496

Proceedings thereon Copies to be forwarded to the Collectors of North Arcot and Nellore for information and guidance.—

548

After B. N. 461

From the Secretary to Government

Sanctioning an outlay of 15,000 Rs for the experimental plantation of Australian acacias and other quick growing trees on the Neilgherry Hills.—

N. 129

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 7th February 1856

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue—

/ Here enter 15th December 1855 N. 3328 /

In reply to Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 28th August the Board submit a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, reporting on Captain Campbells' proposition to raise a forest of Australian Acacias and other quick growing trees

trees on the Neilgherry Hills, and enclosing an Esti-
=mate of Rupees 3,20,000 prepared by that officer
for planting two square miles near Jackatallah
which he calculates will yield timber in ten years
of the value of thirty two lacs of Rupees. The
Collector restricts his recommendation to 30,000
Rupees, the amount originally asked for by
Captain Campbell, and the Board, while expres-
=sing their full belief that planting may be
carried on with great advantage on the Neil-
=gherries, consider the expense of planting a
square mile to be over estimated, and the res-
=ult to be far beyond what can be realized.
They therefore suggest that a sum of 10,000 Rupees
be allowed for an experimental plantation
the details of which they propose should be left
to Mr. Thomas and Captain Campbell, who should
submit periodical reports shewing the progress
of the experiment. —

2 The Right Honorable the Governor in
Council concurs generally in the Board's views
both as to the expediency of planting on the Hills

and

and the character of Captain Campbell's estimate and
anticipations. On one point the Governor in Council can-
-not but think that there is a serious error in the estima-
-te. It is proposed that 3600 trees shall be planted on each
acre; as an acre contains only 4840 square yards,
this gives only one and a third square yard to a tree.
The Board will ascertain whether Captain Campbell
really regards that as sufficient space; also what
means he has at command for planting on the large
scale which he contemplates and where he would
find a market for the quantity of timber which he
expects will be raised in ten years. —

3 For the present the Governor in Council
resolves to sanction an outlay of £10,000 ten thousand
Rupees for an experimental plantation as recom-
-mended by the Board to be divided between the
Collector and Captain Campbell in such proportion
as the Board may think proper those officers being
required to submit periodical reports of the
progress of the experiment which the Board
will lay before Government. —

The

Fort St George 21st February 1856.

4 The Government trust that both Mr Thomas and Captain Campbell are alive to the essential importance of securing young plantations on the hills by fencing from injury by buffaloes and other animals, without due care in this respect no enterprize of the kind can succeed.—

5 The attention of the Board is called to the remarks of the Collector in para 7 of his report relative to the grant of forest land on the hills to coffee planters

I have Extract
Signed J. D. Boudillon
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(548-49)
B. No 485
Proceedings thereon

Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation will be forwarded to the Collector of Coimbatore who will communicate the views of Government to Captain Campbell and request his reply to the questions raised in Para 2

2 For the present the Board propose that the sum of Rupees 2500 be placed at the disposal of Mr Thom

Fort St George 21st February 1856

and the balance be considered applicable to the larger experiment to be conducted by Captain Campbell.—

(550)

After Bd No 512

From the Secretary to Government

Declining for reasons stated to admit the application of the Collector of Coimbatore for Rs 3000 for the purchase of paper for the new Village accounts.—

No 145

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 9th February 1856.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Were entered 4th February 1856 No 335

The Board of Revenue submit an application from the Collector of Coimbatore for 3000 Rupees for the purchase of paper for the new village accounts, but the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not at all prepared to admit the application It is believed that in Coimbatore as in other districts it has hitherto been the practice to copy the detailed Summabundy accounts on paper, and as that practice will now be discontinued, the paper heretofore used for that purpose will be available for the village accounts It has been found in other districts, and it will probably be found in Coimbatore that the paper saved by discontinuing the transcription of the Cumnum accounts at the settlement was fully sufficient for

Fort St. George 21st Febmuary 1856

for all the new forms of village accounts. Mr Thomas states that no part of the paper required can be spared from the standard Saderwared but the Government must believe that this statement is advanced in forgetfulness of the fact that so large a diminution will be made by the new system, in the usual demands on that fund for the purposes of the Summabundy.—

2 With respect to the description of paper to be used for the village accounts, it seems unnecessary that it should be Goa paper, the Print commonly employed for the Summabundy Chittahs and other detailed accounts will probably serve very well.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(550-51)
B. No. 1483
Proceedings thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter dated 11th January 1856.—

Fort St. George 21st Febmuary 1856

No. 155

(552)
B. No. 643
From the Secretary to Government

Confirming the order of Government contained in G. M. Cons: 19th april 1855 fixing the highest Bagayet rate of 25 Rs on goortoo on Jareed Land in Nellore and requesting the Board to take the nessary means of giving it effect.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 11th February 1856.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 25 January 1856 No. 219/

On the 13th December 1855, the Board of Revenue reported to Government the mode in which the new rates for Garden land in Nellore were proposed to be applied to certain Pantel and Amanmyvillages in that District. The Government in reply pointed out that the plan omitted the highest Bagayet rate of 25 Rupees a Goortoo, ordered in Extract Minutes of Consultation April 19th 1855, to be applied to all Jareed land, and substituted the second rate of Rupees 20-10, and the Statement was returned for correction. The Board now report that the Government in their former order of the 19th April misunderstood their (the Boards) intention, and ordered 25th Rupees to be the rate for all land previously classed as Jareed whereas the Board had intended land of that class watered by wells, to be placed in the

Fort St George 21st February 1856

the second class at Rupees 20-10-

2 The meaning of the Board as now explained was very far from being clearly stated in their former Proceedings of the 19th March, and it may be remarked that the Board now appeal for plain proof of that intention not to those Proceedings but to subsequent Proceedings of the 31st April which were never laid before Government at all till the present occasion. In their order of the 19th April, the Government deliberately approved of that rate of assessment for Sareb land which they understood to be intended by the Board, not because it was so intended but because they considered it to be fair and reasonable The Governor in Council is still of opinion that the rate of assessment is the proper one. He sees no reason why land which being watered by a well, has hitherto paid 59 Rupees or other high rate should now be charged only Rupees 20-5 and not Rupees 25, merely because it is so watered by a well

Being

Fort St George 21st February 1856

3 Being thus of opinion that the rate fixed for the former Sareb land by the order of the 19th April was fair and just, Viz, that all Sareb land should be placed in the first class of Bagayet at 25 Rupees the Gorto, except the very small extent which had previously paid less than that sum the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has no alternative but to confirm that order, and to request the Board to take the necessary means for giving it effect. The explanation called for in para. 3 of the order of the 19th January 1856, will now be unnecessary. The Government regret with the Board in para 7 of their present Proceedings that they did not at the time point out to Government the variation between their orders and their own intentions had they done so their misapprehension as to the intentions of Government would have been at once corrected.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Order thereon

Ordered to be submitted with the following letter.— To

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

(552-53)

To

The Secretary to
Government
Revenue Department

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th Instant on the subject of the Sareeb lands of Nellore The Board find that the instructions which they have now received can only be carried out with so much difficulty and so much public inconvenience that they are reluctantly compelled again to address the Government on the subject.—

2 In their former Proceedings the Board of Revenue recommended two rates for Sareeb lands These they had divided into two classes those watered from tanks and channels and those watered from wells. The Government appeared to express their concurrence in the views of the Board generally and the Board thought intended to adopt their proposition.

3 The Board fully admit that their meaning was not clearly stated and they have already expressed their regret that they did not at

B. P. No. 1492
To the Secretary to Government Revenue Department
Stating that the carrying out of the instructions of Government respecting the reduction of the assessment of the Sareeb lands in Nellore will be attended with much difficulty and much public inconvenience and expressing a hope that Government will allow the original proposition of the Board and the measures that have been carried out to remain undisturbed.—

Pro to Govt 19 March 1855

F. Govt 19th in Cons. 30 April 1855

Pro to Govt 25 Jany 1856

Ltr from 21 February 553

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

at the time point out the misconception on the part of the Government as to only one rate being proposed for all Sareeb lands. Instead of this believing that the intention of Government had been to adopt the views which they had submitted they acted upon the order of Government in what they believed to be its spirit.—

4 The effect of this has been to carry out the distinction between lands watered from tanks and channels and those watered from wells. The Government have not instructed the Board whether the remissions then made are to be cancelled, or whether their present order is only to be carried out with reference to the lands more lately reported upon. The Board see the greatest inconvenience in either course. In the first case the confidence of the people will be greatly shaken, and in the latter two different principles will prevail in the same district.—

5 The Board of Revenue believing that the Government had adopted their classification have not as yet prominently noticed the grounds upon which it was made and they now beg to remind the Government that from the

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

the earliest assessments a difference has been recog-
=nised between lands watered from sources provid-
=ed by the Government such as tanks and Channels
and those watered by wells. and especially between
lands irrigated by running water. and those irriga-
=tion
applied by manual labour and machinery. They
would also observe that on other districts, re-
=duction in as large a proportion as from 5 9
to 20-5, have been found necessary and sanc-
=tioned as in Salem from 2 5/8 to 8 and on South
Arcot even from 2 1/2 to 6, and the second rate
of Jareeb assessment being Rupees 6 per acre
equals the highest rates in the following Districts
Chingleput, South Arcot, North Arcot Combatore
& The Board therefore trust that the Govern-
will allow the original proposition of the Board
and the measures which have been carried out
to remain undisturbed Any appearance
of altering a liberal reform is in the Boards'
opinion to be deprecated on account of the
the discouragement and perplexity which
would thus arise and on this occasion

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

the Board would view such a result with peculiar regret
as the amount of revenue involved is inconsiderable and
the benefits of the recent reductions are already ap-
parent. In the ceded villages of the Sydapore taluks
the Collector reports that the Beriz is only 139 Rupees
under last year although Rupees 2464 have been
remitted on the garden lands.

* Letter 17 Jany
to Govt 25 Feby
93 184 Feby

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st February 1856.

(554)

Signed/ G. L. Forbes
Acting Secretary

After Bd. No. 381

Public Department

From the Chief Secretary
to Government

Memorandum

The Chief secretary has the honor to
request that the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will
with reference to the Extract from the Boards' proce-
dings of the 7th Instant No. 382 submit the appli-
cation for an extra Writer for the Establishment
of the Collector of Madras in a Tabular
statement according to the form prescribed
by the Government of India under date
the 18th December 1855.

Fort St. George
11th February 1856

Signed/ J. Pycroft
Chief Secretary

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Forwarded

Bd. No. 484

Proceedings thereon

(554-55)

Forwarded to the Collector of Madras who will furnish the Tabular form called for by Government.

No. 130

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(556)

Bd. No. 44

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir

Forwarding 21 cases of Enams falling under Regulation IV of 1831 and affording explanation in respect to the delay that took place in forwarding similar cases for the disposal and orders of the higher authorities

I have the honor to forward herewith 21 cases of lapsed Enams falling under regulation IV of 1831 for consideration and decision of Government.

2. I regret to say that very considerable period has elapsed since the demise of the parties therein stated this is to be attributed very much to the vast number of Enams throughout the District which have been subdivided portions of which have been sold and given away in gift the particulars of all such transfers are required to be particularly enquired into in the settlement of each case. The Curnums do not immediately report the demise of the Enamdars and the Tahsildars having a very limited Establishment and a vast quantity of work to keep through

through have neither time nor hands to enter upon the necessary enquiry. When completed they are forwarded to this office and undergo revision by the Naib Sheristadar. They are then translated which require some time as no translator is allowed and scarcely any one in the office but the Head writer being able to translate them they are then decided as far as the Collector is empowered to do after which they are copied into the Register and lastly those for transmission to the Board are copied out of the Register. Besides those sent there are several still to be copied out and a number to be translated and very large number in the taloks which the Tahsildars from the heavy business which passes thro their hands have not leisure to take them up for enquiry and report as early as might be expected and this is an additional labour devolved upon the public servants since the year 1846.—

3. As the amount of Enam in the Cuddapah district is very large it might be advisable to have an Establishment for

for carrying out the necessary investigation and disposal of these cases and probably the Lands which are assumed would go a considerable way in reimbursing the amount required for such an Establishment &c. Should the Board consider a permanent Establishment objectionable a temporary one might be allowed to bring up all arrears after which it might be continued or otherwise as the Board may think fit.

4. I have only to add I regret the delay and shall endeavour as speedily as possible to hand a number of cases settled and the reports of cases

cases forwarded to the Board.

Cuddapah Collector's Office
on Circuit at Proddatoor
19th December 1855.

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector

The whole Cause in the name aforesaid

Brig Favorite — 9 32 28/94 28 0 6

is entered in the name of Nathaniel and Isaac Jones of Portland

Ship Favorite — 13 28 11 4 6 Freight.

Ship Favorite — 7 28 11 4 6 Freight.

Brig Favorite — 12 11 4 82 11 2 Freight.

Brig Favorite — 11 3/12 11 4 10 Freight.

Schr Favorite — 2 29 3/4 21 3 8 Freight.

Schr Favorite — 4 28 11/12 6 3 10 Freight.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Schr Favorite

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

2914

In Cheyapaud	are entered in the Pymaish and Tarwarry	of the deceased	as	reported	left a widow	
Dry land — 9 22 1/2 11 2 6	accounts in the name of Sunkarayagy	grandfather's	well	to be	and mother	
Wet land — - 34 1/2 3 12 4	Kristnummah alias Kristuppah and in	brother				
	the Enamity accounts of fusly 1220 in	Kristnummah	as the	in com-	who are depen-	
Total — 10 17 4 1/2 16 14 10	that of Pampummah.	alias Kristn.	rent was	fortable	-dant upon	
In Evapooram	3. The Enam lands in the Villages of	Ippah relates			this Enam. re-	
Dry land — 7 3 10 4 2 6	Kootchoo pampa and Woppparapully are entered	to the great	divided	circum-		
	in the Pymaish accounts in the names of	grandfather's	among	stances	-commended	
Wet land — 1 24 2 4 10 8	Kristuppah and in the Tarwarry accounts in	younger bro.	them and	having	that the share	
Total — 3 29 13 3 13 2	that of Seyshiah and in the Enamity accounts	ther.	Veyshuppah	enjoyed		enjoyed by the
	in that of Pampummah.	The	relates	by them	no-	deceased —
				respectively	other	amounting

In Madoor		relates as				
Dry land — 14 12 13 33 6 7 4	The Enam land in the Village of Madoor	great grand	as in the			
Wet Dc — - 30 5/4 2 3 7	is entered in the Pymaish and Tarwary accounts	father			then means	
Total 15 3 2/4 35 10 2	in the name of Seyshiah and in that of Ena-	1 Pedda Sob.				
	maty in the name of Pampurumah. —	Sawyadoo		following		
In Valavaty	5 The enam land in the Village of Nedoopary	is related			of subsistence Rupees 236-5-4- be con-	
Dry land — 21 7 10 3/4 47 14 6	is entered both in the Pymaish and Tarwary	as deceased		proportionate		
	accounts in the name of Sey-	grand uncle			than the	
In Chinna Goon-	shiah and in that Enamaty ac-				-tinued to them	
-valoor		1 Parunnah		share		
Dry land — 6 38 1/4 6 1 9	-counts in that of Soobbaroy-					
	adoo. —				share of the during their respect-	
	6 The enam land situated in the	relates to	share			
In Zille-			enjoyed			
-lah —	village of Chinna Goonnavaloor	Dc as a	by the de-		-ive lives and on	
Dry land — 18 7 9/4 26 6 11	and Goodepaud are entered both	distant	ceased			
	in the Pymaish — and —	Connection	Run-			
In Goodepaud			-guah 113-3-4 1/4 236-5- Enam land			
		Nursinga	Dc enjoyed			
Dry land — 10 27- 12 7 3	Enamaty Accounts in the —	now is the	by other			their demise re-
			share hold-	enjoyed by		
In Banjepalem	name of Seyshiah. —	deceased	-ers 665-17-1171-11-8			
					= vert to Govern-	
Dry land — 4 36- 11 6 10	The enam land situ- —	grand	Total 678-20 1/4 1806- —			
					the deceased	
	ated in the Village of	father			= ment. —	

The Attorney General											
Hall	14	3	38	11	9		Hall and others				
Land	15	3	11	38	5		Plaintiffs Attorney & Executors				
Hall & others	5	9	9	7	3	5		Counsel in the name of Sullivan			
Hall & others	4	38	11	5	7		Costs				
Hall & others	8	11	8	5	6	5		The Queen Lands attached in the			
Hall & others	11	14	24	6	5			Writ of Fieri facias and Extension			
Chancery	11	15	12½	37	14	5		Writ of Fieri facias and Extension			
								now entered Hall in the Queens			
								and Counsel recorded in the name			
The Attorney								of Sullivan and in Hall of Sum-			
9th Land	18	5	11	11	10			mons in the name of Hall			
					9			The Queen Land in Staffordshire			
Hall & others		32½	10	11	5			on the Queens Counsel in the name			
								of Sullivan and in Hall of Sum-			
Hall & others	18	5	11	11	5			mons and Counsel recorded in the			
								Queens Counsel in the name of			
Hall & others	5	11	11	51	5			the name of Sullivan and			
								on the Queens Counsel			
Hall & others	26	3	11	11	3			on the name of Sullivan			
								The Queen Lands attached in the			
Le Blanc								Writ of Fieri facias and Extension			
9th Land	28	3	9	28	3	2	10	The Queen Land in the Counties			
								entered in the Queens Counsel			
Hall & others	5	11	11	51	5			on the name of Sullivan and			
								on the Queens Counsel and			
Hall & others	7	11	3					on the Queens Counsel			
								on the name of Sullivan			
Le Blanc								The Queen Land attached in the			



Fort St. George 20th February 1855.

2916

Names of the villages in which the lands are situated	Names of the individuals whose names are entered in the Pymaish Accounts	Names of individuals whose names are entered in the Tarawary account	Names of individuals whose names are entered in the Enam Paty account
Sir Gerrard			
Dry land 29 23 ½ 22 6 5			
Wet Dº 3 3 5 21 7 7			
Total 32 26 ½ 53 12 -			
Sir Braddyll			
Dry land 26 7 15½ 8 7 11			
Wet Dº 1 31 4½ 21 7 3			
Total 35 39 19½ 57 15 1			
Sedalamoola Nº 213-4	Sattyayy	Kristummah	Pauperummah
" 55-21-5	Ayyaroon	Cirkar	Pauperummah
Gadayapalee	Dry	Yemmanoor	Pauperummah
Sundialoor	Enam	Yellumbaloor	but it is stated that
Mudanoor	Kristum-	Wet land Nº 71	of the land
de Colapul	mah	assessed at Rs	Nº 87 consisting
ey Wet land 5 16 5 28 12 9	Kristum-	16 - 4 - is entered	of Coralamoola
	mah - -	in the name	Soobbaloor
China Singa-			If no name
-nopully			is entered for
Dry land 8 30 7 19 2 7			Garden land
			and the remain-
" Inlulloor			der land in
Dry land 27 27 ½ 7 3 3			the name of
" Cannanoor Dº 10 31 3½ 11 - 2			Kristuppah -
Grand Total 68 20 4½ 180 6 -			
Ganjeekoanta -			
Nº 28 } 10-4-9	Kristummah	Soobbarow	Soobbarow
" 112			and Pauperumah
" 47			Narsingarow
Remain-			& others
-ing -			
amounting			
to 33-7-3	Soobbarow &		
Culloor	Soobbiah -		
	Parwamah	Parwamah - 46 - - 9 assest	Pauperumah
Cottapally		Sengayagy - 27 - 2 - 3	
Nº 68-18-4-8	Parwanah	Parwamah	Pauperumah
" 4-33-8-1	Sayshuppiah	Dº	Pamumah
Cannanoor	Cirkar	Cirkar	Pauperumah
Kunganoor-			ad Sunna Enam
-pully }	Pauperumah & others	Pauperumah as Enam	Dº
Nalatoor	Cirkar	No name but excluded in Cirkar	Pauperumah

			Venkatacharloo		
		Sreenevasacharloo		Narrinsha Charloo	
		Lostholdermah deceased		Deed	
1-19-9 assessed at Rs 1-13-9 has been given in gift to Ristapaty Ramumah	Sreedhar Sayshachaloo	Chinna Sey- shachaloo	Venkatachar loo	Sreenevasa charloo	
			All present		

Fort St George 20th February 1856

2917

187 The Summunlnudgentalak Bhaumtty Enam hereditarily obtained In Badsathoor			
Dry land —	22	19	6
Wet Dr —	3	21	14
Total —	26	0	2
	27	2	3
	27	6	5
	54	8	8
In Yeramoor Cachentala			
Dry land —	9	31	1
	5	12	2
Wet Dr —	3	6	5
	4	7	15
Total	12	2	9
	5	21	3 11
In Savanoor			
Dry land —	11	35	8
	10	6	-
In Pettapand			
Wet land —	-	16	4
	2	5	11
In Maragoody			
Dry land —	5	11	12
	3	1	7
Total —	55	37	3
	123	4	3
obtained by gift In Codoor Dry land	8	6	14
	11	4	9
In Donavala pond Dry land —	5	11	5
	9	3	7
In Cunnalor Dry land —	-	33	11
	1	4	5
Total	14	11	14
	22	6	9
Grand Total	70	9	1
	145	11	-

Where and by whom granted and the name of the Original grantee are not ascertainable No Sunnud Jmm are forthcomming The following entries appear in the Pymaish and other Documents.—

Bhaturnitty Enam — Enam granted as charity

Cundalla Streenevasacharloo the last incumbent died on the 16th February 1850

The relation ship existed between the deceased and the persons is detailed

Share enjoyed by the deceased 25-11-10-31-9
Dr enjoyed by the other share holders 44-30-7-95-8-3
Total 70-9-1-125-11-0

1 Elderson Peddasay Shachalloo aged 50 years
1 Chinna Say Shacharloo aged 30 years
1 son Vencata Charloo aged 26 years
1 son Sonnaiah aged 22 years.—

The deceased has left four sons alive the Enam to be entered in the name of his elder son Peddoo Sayshacharloo It appears that Sayshacharloo the adopted son of the deceased grand with obtained in gift certain lands in the village of Codoor and this circumstances entered in the Enamity accounts of Fusly 1220 he has thus enjoyed it for a period of upwards of 40 years. Recommended that this Enam be Continued in the name of the deceased elder son Pedda Sayshacharloo With regard to the lands obtained in gift in Donavalapond and Comalen it is stated by witnesses that the lands were enjoyed by the deceased for the last 20 years having obtained the same in gift from his father inlaw His brotherinlaws widow Seanummah gave a statement that the land held by the deceased should be continued to his son.—

No deed of gift is forthcomming and in the Enamty accounts prepared.—

entered in the accounts below
1 Seenewasacharloo relates to the deceased as grand fathers brother
1 Tercovengalacharloo Dr=Dr
1 Rungacharloo relates as uncle to the deceased
1 Venatacharloo as the father of the deceased
1 Conamocharry relates as uncle to the deceased
1 Senkovarum — Streene vasdacharry relates as father in law to the deceased.—
1 Ramachendracharloo alias Ramacharry relates as father to the fatherinlaw of the deceased.—
1 Badoothoory Sayshacharry relates to the deceased as uncle.—

1 Seeteum mah widow of the deceased age 60 years
1 Daughter Dr a widow aged 22 years
1 Lutchmumah wife of Peddoo Sayshacharloo aged 24 years
1 Ramachanloo son of Dr aged 6 years
1 Streenevasoodoo son of Dr aged 3 years.—
1 Sayshummah Daughter of Dr aged 8 years.—

They are reported to be in indigent circumstances & they also enjoy a pulta land assessed at Rs 75-12-6 in the village of Badoothoor.—

Fort St George 20th Febraury 1856

Names of the villages in which Enam lands are entered.	Names of the individuals who are entered in the Pycarry accounts	Names of the individuals who are entered in the Tarrawary accounts	Names of the individuals who are entered in the Enamaty accounts
Badoothoor Dry land Wetland as per Sd	Cundalla Streenevassachary	Cundalla Streenevassachary	Cundalla Syawards
Ditto 13-11-11	Teroovengalachary	Teroovengalachary	Teroovengalachary
65-2-5-4	Pungacharry	Pungacharry	Pungacharry
2-11-5-2	Streenevasdachary	Streenevasdachary	Syawards
Total 87-6-6			
Yanamoola chentalaw	Vencatachary	Vencatacharry	Streenevasdacharry
Lavanoor	Streenevasdacharry	Streenevassachary	Dc
Pottesond	Dc	Dc	Dc
Codoor	Badoothoory Chekrapanah	Bodoothoor Chekrapanah	Sagthachar loo obtained by gift from Chekrapanah
Dainuvodapond	Senkava rum Streenevassachary	Senkavarum Streenevassachary	Senkavarum Streenevassa Charry
Cunnaloor	Ramachendrachar loo	Ramachendrachar loo	Ramachendra charloo alias Ramacharry
Maagoody	Conama charry	Conama charry	Conama charry

in Fasly 1246 & 1258 the name of the son of the individual entered in the Pycarry & Enamaty accounts of Fasly 1230 is entered not that of the deceased as there seems to be the considerable doubt with regard to the gift of these lands it is recommended that these portions of the Enams Amounting to Rupees 11-8- be continued in the name of Seenammah the brother in

inlaws widow of the deceased in the family of the party to whom it was originally granted & then resumed on her demise

Of the Enam in the village of yeroomaloo chintala land assessed at Rupees 11—13— was obtained in gift from the deceased by one Wobalacharloo abent 50 years ago on whose demise his son enjoys the land This will be reported on the death of of the present incumbent—

The deceased having adopted a son after the demise of her

2919 Fort St George 20th February 1856

289 Chetwail talook Bhatavnt -ty Enam Sm Munnoor Garden land	1 28 9	2 15 9	When and by whom granted and the name of the Original grantee are not known	Bhatvent -ty Enam or Enam granted as charity	Gund -a bota Meenatch -emmah the last incumbent died on the 31st March 1854 She is the widow of Marrainap -pah whose name is entered in the account	The whole of the Enam land had been enjoyed by the deceased during the last 30 years	1 Adopted Sm Sanb -baramial aged 15 years Daughter Sanbbum -ma of the de -ceased aged 65 years She is a widow 12 Ds of Ds named Vencum mah aged 40 years She is also a widow	husband this adoption cannot be admitted Recommended that the Enam amounting to Rupees 47-8-5 be resumed. —	
Wet land — 1 -8	1 8 1	8 5	Sr summonds are forthcoming but 2 documents are produced					There are two daughters who have been married into other families both of whom are widows with out family should the Board	
Total	2 29	3 4	Vezt						
Sedee " " "	5 2	10	Deed of sale executed on plain paper by Ramakristnam mah to the husband of the deceased under date the Pyot pully year Cartee sea Soodah 1st corresponding with the 18 October 1811 purporting that this share of the land Consisting as per Schedule has been sold to him for 6 Pagodas. —				3 They are reported to be in indigent circumstances and they also enjoy a Putta land assessed at Rs 77 — 3 — in the village of Munnoor which was entered in the name of the deceased and which was subsequent to the death of the deceased registered in the name of the adopted Son. —	deem it expedient that the Enam might be allowed to them during their lives. —	
In Brain -hanapully Garden land — 2 6	1	12 9							
Sedee " " "	3 5	11							
Grand Total	4 34	4 7	8 5						
Sedee " " "	11	8 9							
Details Land honestly obtained —	3 31	15 33	8 2						
Sedy —	9	11 9							

Fort St. George 29th February 1836

2930

Land obtained by purchase in the Village of Munnoor	1	32	14 - 3
Sooly			1 - 13 -
Total	4	33	47 - 5

1 Deed of sale executed on plain paper by Condappah to the husband of the deceased under date the 5th Contra Cabalum of the above year. Corresponding with 5th November 1811 purporting that he has sold him his share of the land Consisting of a 1 a 13 a for Brilla & Pagodas.—

The names of the persons whose names are entered in the Poymash and Enamaty accounts are as follows.—

Names of the Villages in which Lands are situated.—	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Poymash account	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Enamaty account
Munnoor Garden Land	Gundecota Narappa. —	Gundeco ta Nara
N°. 15 - Rupees 5 - 9 - 3	asst. Gundecota Narasinappah and yellappah	Rs. 11 - 15 - 4 Yellapah u 8 - 15 - 6
of N°. 149 — a — 15 - 5 - 6		
Wetland N°. 230 - 3 - 4 - 6	Nonama is mentioned	Gundra cota Narasinappah
a 243 - 5 - 10 - 1	Gundecota Nara sinappa	Do. Do. Do. Do.
a 245 - 5 - a - 10	Do. Chentsoo	Gundeca tah Yel= lapah.—
Bramhanapully	Narrasinappah	Narasinap pah.—

2919

York / Saint George 21st February 1856.

2921

490a Summa Inud:
90 Jaffnapatam
Bhatavritty
Enams —
In Moragoddy
Dry land 6 6 5 38 2 0
Panavoolapaud
Dry land 6 0 9 1 9 6 0
Soudon
Wet land - 7 1/2 2 7 6 8
Pedda Pasupola
Dry land 4 0 - 15 5 3
Pedda Comerla
Dry land 10 3 0 1 9 6 -
Total 33 3 1/2 2 3 6 -

1. Deed of gift executed on stampt paper by Vencatarammadoo Moravaraydoo and Soolaseraze under date the 1st day of the year Chytra Shoodum corresponding with 1794 purporting to have granted in gift the Enam land in Moragoddy

Bhatavritty Enam or Enam granted as charity. —

Komma, rauze Parummal the last holder of the Enam died on the 5th July 1852 She was the daughter in law of Soolaseraze and Sungeveraze whose name are specified in Column 3.

Share enjoyed by the deceased Parummal 29-16-2/4-16-1/4 enjoyed by the other shareholders 3-26-5/4-6-6-8 Total 33-2-1/2-123 1/4

Chelum daughter of Soolaseraze aged 60 years.

Recommended that the Enam enjoyed by the late widow of the adopted son of Sungeveraze whose name is entered in the Public accounts be resumed She having died without heirs.

It appears that Chelumbara munah the niece of Sungeveraze has enjoyed a portion of the Enam out of 33-2-1/2 acres 3-26-5/4 assessed at Rupees 6-6-8 for a length of time recommended that it be continued to her during her life after which it will eschat to Government.

1 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Cuppen Abbaraze Curnum Codundaramoodoo Nullapooreddy Tungumreddy to Soolaseraze under date the 10th Chytra Soodha of the year Pingala corresponding with 1797 purporting to have granted in gift the Enam land in Panavoolapaud.

1 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Dwaraka Cherla Gopaulooreddy Gooveereddy, Cragunnereddy, Guzza bogary, Mullareddy, Yellareddy Curnums Nursunnah Woboolo Gopaloo, Gerracondraze and Padegaloo to Soolaseraze under date the 15th Vysaka Shoodum of the year Aunundá corresponding with 1794 purporting to have granted in gift the Enam land in Pedundloor.

1 Deed of gift executed on unstampt paper by Reddy Sungeva Naikoodoo and Curnum Kakurla Kodundaramoodoo to Soolaseraze under date the 15 Sharavana Shoodum of the year Aununda corresponding with 1794 purporting to have granted in gift the Enam land in the Village of Pedda Pasoopoola

Total 4 Documents

It is not known when and by whom the Enam land in Pedda Comerla was granted as no Sunnuds or Documents are forthcoming on that subject.

In the Pymash and other accounts the following entries appear.

Fort Saint George 21st February 1856.

2922

Names of the Villages in which lands are situated	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Pymaish accounts.	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Sarwary accounts.	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Community accounts	Swalaputty.		
Moragoody	Sungeeva Ranze	Sungeeva Ranze	Curnum Sungeeva Ranze	Soolasa Ranze.	Entered in the account.	Sungeeva Ranze. Died 30 years ago.
Vanavolapaud	Sungeeva Curnum of Summulundgoo	Sungeeva — Do. — Do.				
Peddendloor Pedda Patapoota	Soolasa Ranze	Sungeeva Ranze	Do. — Do.	Daughter cherumba rammah Present		Adopted son Kristiah. — Died.
	Sungeeva Ranze Curnum of Summulundgoo	— Do.	— Soolasa Ranze			
Pedda Comala	— Do. — — —	— Do.	— Soolasa Ranze died his younger brother Sungeeva.			Widow Parunmah Last holder now

Sat 4 Sam 5 George 3rd 4th

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Dommara Mudial	Rama Cavi	Rama Cavi	Ramacavi Sotha bottoo
Monopuntthy	Ramaiswara bottoo	Paramai swara = bottoo	Paromaiswara bottoo son
Pidda Pasoo poola	Cotoor chinh boobottoo	chentsoo bottoo	Rachaconda Rasmna Sasby
Jollapadalatta	Cavi Ramoodoo	Rammiah	Chentsobottoo son of Cavi Rammiah who died
Pidda mudoo	Cavi Rama mah	Cavi Rama mah	Chentsobottoo D° D°
Sunkey pully land hereditary obtained	Cassalaraty Sivetrumnah	Sivetrum nah	Vencaty son grandson of Sangama mah
Dº obtained by gift	Gowrapeddy wary Enam	Bootchebottoo	Pontchabottoo
Codoor Land hereditarily obtained	Ramabadrap pah alias	Ramboo	Rachaconda Ramaswara

Land obtained by Gift—

Nº 738 assessed	4	11	10	Gowrapeddy Bootchebottoo
" 446 "	3	15	4	Dº Dº
" 225 "	4	7	9	Papabottoo Papabottoo

Gowrapeddy Papabottoo

---

193 Doovoor Talook Bhatavirty Enams In Tallamarpoor

Dry land	15	5	4	35	4 8
In Malapaud Dry land	2	17	0	31	3 11
" chimasetty Tully Dry land	4	3	3/4	5	15 5
" Bollaveram Dry land	12	"	4	10	8 4
Total in Doovoor Talook	52	26	1/4	83	" 4

It is stated that these Enams were granted during the former mogul Government but by whom it is not known and the name of the Original grantee is not ascertainable and no Sunnads are forthcoming in support of the grant but a Deed of gift executed on unstampt paper by one Achommah widow of Bhatavirty Enam granted as charity

Boyanapully Iayarow Punttoo the last holder of the Enam aged 28 years died on the 11th November 1849. He relates as grand Son of Ramanapunttoo loo Iyaware Punttooloo Seenia Conadul row and great grand Son of

Share enjoyed by the deceased in several Villages both hereditary and obtained by gift 126. 36-12¼ 258. 11-1- Dº enjoyed by others in Dº Dº 62.30 13/4 113-2-8. Total 189-26-11, 372-" 9

Seenumah widow of the deceased aged 16 years She is well off in circumstances having lost the Rama Sunnad assigned by her deceased husband and is also in the enjoyment of a separate putta land assessed at Rs 3-2- situated in Ramaiswarum.—

Recommended that the Pettooravuttoo enam amounting to Rs 35-2-11 situated in the Village of Chanagonda in the Poile country talook be resumed it having been transferred from the family of the grantee.— The deceased having left a widow named Seenummah aged 16 years recommended that the remaining portion of

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

2925

Gamalapoor- Talook In Gama- managotty				
Dry land	11	1	12	9 107
- yoragoody - pand				
Dry land	3	18	12	4 - 9
- Pokatum				
Dry land	8	138	12	2 6
- Cumbah Cana- lapoor Wet Land	-	7	4	3 14 7
Total in Cumay- lapoor Talook		23	11	14 29 125
Immalmud- goo Talook In Goodem- cherroo-				
Wet Land	-	22	11	- 1
- Gondla em-				29 124
" Goregamoor				
Dry land	-	6	324	6 138
" Dawagoody				
Dry land	-	13	" 40	22 125
" Dawavoolapaud				
Dry land	-	6	133	23 432
" Poonatata				
Wet land	-	"	267	5 12 5
" Talavare Hoop- - pulpaud Dry land		4	8 9	6 23
" Poddendloor				
Dry land	-	"	328	10 3 7
" Poowa Sooga - munchapully				
Dry land	-	5	23 5	8 83
" Shotrimmelumba - verum Garden				
Do	-	"	15 1/2	2 3 3
" Lavanoor Dry Land	-	4	25 15	9 83
" Moorpunthy				
Do	-	7	27 11	3 14 8
" Cunnaloor Do	-	7	20 10	15 3 "
" Malameedy Cumboldinnay				
Dry land	-	"	282	" 14 "
" Cusbah Immal - madgoo Dry Land	-	4	10 7/2	9 109

of Goorroovappah entered in the Pymash account to Boyana pully Venkatrow under date the 5th Shravana bahoolum of the year Eeswara Corresponding with 1817 purporting that the Nattara Cutter Enam of the Value of Rs 35 - 2 - 11 situated in Chanoogond Village in the Talook of Koilgontla was granted him in gift

N.B. In the Pymash and other accounts the following entries appear—

The Enam lands situated in the 14 Villages of Doovoor and in the 4 Villages of Cumalapoor talook be ing in the 18 Village of Immmalundgoo talook Viz.th Goodemcherroo Dana- voolapaud Moorpunthy Chinnapasoopola Yanoomoolachintala Goondlacounta Poonatata Cusbah Immmalundgoo Wobunnapett Poddendloor Goregamoor Malameede Cumbaldinnay Chinnavanoolooru Dawagoody Poorvasooganunmchapully Cunnaloor Gollapully and Vanahayacalva and in all 26 Villages are entered both in the Pymash and Enamaty accounts in the name of Boyanapully Ramannapuntloo.

The Enam land in the Village of Lavanoor is entered in the Pymash account in the name of Boya- napully Aulwarpuntloo while in the Enamaty account in the name of the said Ramannah

The Enam lands situated in the Village of Shotrimm ambaverum and Talamunchapuntum are entered both in the Pymash and Enamaty accounts in the name of Boyanapully Aulwarpuntloo.

The Enam land situated in Vudderalla is entered in the Pymash account in the name of Boyanapully Lyawarpuntloo while in the Enamaty account in that of Aulwarpuntloo.

of Aulwar- puntloo all of whose names are specified in Column 3.

of the Enam amount ing to Rupees 223- 11- 2 be continued to her during her life time and then resumed.

The Enam re- to be continued commended to the

we dare is very large more so than is required for a comfortable maintenance. It might however be expe- dient should the Board of Re- venue deem it pro- per to allow her the Enam situated in the four Villages in the talook of Doovoor and 4 in Cumalapoor &c amounting to Rupees 112 - 12 - 9 during her life time to lapse At her demise and Resume the Remainder of the lands held by the deceased

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

2926

Dagravacalava tata Dry land	8	2	17	5	13 11
Chinnasarapa Dry land	3	1	8	1	4 "
" Gellysully Dry land	8	3	31 "	9	10 4
" Talammah fatah Dry land	5	2	4 "	10	4 7
" Mubbarallah Dry land	3	1	50	2	15 3
" Chinna Vanoo Cholla Wet land	"	1	1		4 15 7
" Bestawanoola Dry land	6	3	3 4	3	18 3
" Mobamapet tah Wet land	"	1	7 7		7 15 9
" Yanoomoola Selaratala Wet land	"	2	7 11		12 5
" Vanatayanah wah Wet land	"	2	39	14	11 1

The Enam land in the Village of Saulewaree Woopputt: Jaud is entered both in the Pymash and Enamaty accounts in the name of Boyanapully Seemiah

The Enam land in the Village of Dagravalavatota is entered in the Pymash account in the name of Boyanapully Hondulraw and in that of Enamaty in the name of Boyanapully Rammiah

The Enam land in the Village of Bestawaimoola is entered in the Pymash account in the name of Boyanapully Rammiah Pantloo and in that of Enamaty the name of Nursemmah brother of the said Rammiah Pantloo is entered as being the person enjoyed.

The Netteracottoo Enam land situated in the Village of Chanoogondah in the Talook of Kaikoontla is entered both in the Pymash and Enamaty account in the name of Paula Goorumppah

Total in Summulumpo Talook	108	27	57	22	11

Kaikoontla talook Villa racottoo Enam obtained by gift in Chanoogon dah Dry land — 5 15 35 2 11

Jody — 3 15 6

Grand Total 189 26 11 372 9

Jody — 3 15 6

Details


Land hereditarily obtained — 184 25 15 33 6 13 10


Dº obtained by gift — 5 " 15 35 2 11

Jody — 3 15 6

Total — 189 26 11 372 " 9

Jody — 3 15 6





21st February 1856

Fort St George 21st February 1856

| | | |

Fort St George 21st February 1856.

Ups Chennur Tolook											
Bhatavatty Enam											
In Panta Cuddapah											
Dry land —	6	16	1/2	9	5	4					
Wet land —	2	4	6 1/2	39	7	7	Sodry				
Total	8	20	8	48	12	11	9-13-6				
In Woolloor											
Wet land —	2	3	8 1/2	21	12	4					
Nagarajapully											
Wet land —	-	17	4	2	5	3					
Goodoor											
Wet land	-	27	6 1/2	-	15	9	-	9	6		
Porlumpully											
Wet land —	-	21	4	10	4						
Boppapully											
Wet land —	1	60		17	-	2					
Total in Chennur Tolook	10	14	7 1/2	95	0	9	10-6-10				
Settlement Tolook En Wantamut Tolook Wet land after deducting that portion as amount											
Enam —	1	..	5	2	6	6					
In Boppole											
Wet land —	..	27	5 1/2	1	15	9					
Pedda chep											
pully											
Dry land —	6	35	10	6	15	5					
Wet land —	..	36	2	5	4	..					
Total	7	31	12	12	3	5					
In Manchanoor											
Dry land	3	20	4 1/2	1	3	3					
Total in Cannala											
Joint talook	11	39	6	15	6	5					
Grand Total	26	16	2 1/2	115	8	8	10-6-10				

When and by whom granted and the name of the original grantee are not known. No original summuds are forthcoming. In the Pymaish and other accounts the names of the following individuals are entered.

1 The Enam lands situated in the Village of Panta Cuddapah Nungapazepully Goodoor Porlumpully Wantamut Wet Pedda cheppully are entered both in the Pymaish and Enam Maky accounts in the name of Sota Ramanah.

2 The Enam land in Wet Koor is entered in the above accounts in the name of Antappagary Rammunah.

3 The Enam land in Boppapully is entered in the same accounts in the name of Chavady Rammunnah.

4 The Enam land in Boppapole is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Sota Ramabottoo while in that of Enamaty in the name of Sota Rammunnah.

5 The enam land in manchanoor is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Rama chavy while in that of enamaty his sons name Narsimhachavy is entered.

It appears that chavady Rammunnah was otherwise called as Sota Rammunnah and Antappagoury Rammunnah.

Bhatavatty Enam or Enam granted as charity.

1 Venciapah the last holder of the Enams died on the 6th August 1847. He was the son of Ramanah a Dumtooloo alias Rammunnah whose name is specified in Col 3.


Shares enjoyed by the deceased in different Villages 12-34-0-52-+11 Dry enjoyed by other Shareholders 13-21-11 3/4 63-6-9 Total 76-16-4 3/4 115-8-8.

1 Widow daughter Seydumma-mah aged 36 years She is reported to be in indigent circumstances She also holds a Pattah land at Rs 6-14-4 in the Village of Mamillah Pully

The daughter of the deceased named Seydumma-hummah being a widow and dependent upon her father for support recommended that the lands situated in Panta Cuddapah acres 4-1-8 applied at Rs 19-4-9 No 311

In Wet Koor	15	2 1/2	3	15 7
Nagarajapully	11		5	10 1 4 2
Porlumpully			1	10 2 5 2
Total Rs	26		13	8

be continued to her during her life time and she must be at once removed.



Fort St George 21st February 1856

497	Summul mudgon Talook Bhutavitty Enams in Porro Sorgammoche pully Dry land	23	21	07	74	When and by whom granted and name of the Original grantee are not Known. No Original Grants are forth coming but the following Documents.	Bhutavitty Enam or enam granted as charity	Peyrot Rama rudha moota the last holder of the Enam died on the 5th Sept tember 1849 He is the young or brother of Poo moorthy alias Poomoo	Shara enjoyed by the deceased in differ ent Villa ges. Do enjoy ed by others. 15-12-11¼ 21-5-1 Total 51-12-4¼ 28-7-5	1 Poorroo moorta vadha moota Son of the deceased aged 10 years 1 Coolhum mah widow of the deceased aged 70 years 1 Nan gummah daugh ter of Dr	of the land situated in Davagoodoo afsessed at Rupees 17-1- the name of Lutchumeemurson is entered in the Pymaish but in the Taravary it is stated Circar, and in the Enamaty accounts it is not entered from public it may be supposed that the land in question was not intended as enam
	Damavola Pand Dr	63	10¼	14	41	corresponding with the 1819					
	Salaware Wooppul Pand Dr	7	12	12	3	purporting that the Enam					
	Total	243	8¾	46	46	lands in the					
	Doorvor Talook In Nundi alum petty Dry land	19	13	14	12	Village of Damavola Pand					
	Seva poorum Wet Dr	1	24	3	11.	Nos 26 and 27					
	Annaloor Dry Dr	4	31	4	1	consisting of one					
	Total	25	39	5	18	Erasa and 2¾					
	Total obtained in gift	50	26	13¾	68	mountas of lands					
	Grand Total	81	12	4½	108	which amounts to Viz:					
						Total	Land Nos 26-1-30-14-4-2-6 27-1-31-18¾-4-3-1				
						obtained	Total - 3-22-14¼-8-5-7				
						in gift	have been granted in gift				
						Grand Total					

In the Village of Poorvor Talook In Vandi alum petty Dry land Seva poorum Wet Dr Annaloor Dry Dr Total Total obtained in gift Grand Total

1 Deed of gift executed
by Richd Mytton Jenkyns Esqr
of Shrewsbury Solr
to the Revd Thomas Jenkyns
Clerk Master of Balliol College
Oxford
Executed 1st March 1856
Acknowledged 13th March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

2 Deed of gift executed
by Thomas Jenkyns of Balliol
College Oxford Clerk Master
of Balliol College Oxford
to the Revd Richd Mytton Jenkyns
Executed 1st March 1856
Acknowledged 13th March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

In the Account of Property
sold in the Year 1828 and
1829 amounting to £130-13-3
the Amount of the two Letters
of Attorney are
Executed by the Parties
Enrolled

1 Deed of gift executed by
Mary Elizabeth Jenkyns
and Sarah Ellen Jenkyns
of the Granddaughters of the
said Richard Jenkyns
under the 5th Section
of the Act 1809 for enabling
Persons
Executed 1st March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

In the Account of
Property sold in the Year
1828 and 1829 amounting
to £130-13-3 are
Executed by the Parties
Enrolled

1 Deed of gift executed
by Richd Mytton Jenkyns Esqr
of Shrewsbury Solr
to the Revd Thomas Jenkyns
Clerk Master of Balliol College
Oxford
Executed 1st March 1856
Acknowledged 13th March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

2 Deed of gift executed
by Thomas Jenkyns of Balliol
College Oxford Clerk Master
of Balliol College Oxford
to the Revd Richd Mytton Jenkyns
Executed 1st March 1856
Acknowledged 13th March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

In the Account of
Property sold in the Year
1828 and 1829 amounting
to £130-13-3 are
Executed by the Parties
Enrolled

In the Account
of Property sold in the Year
1826 the Gift from
Richd Mytton Jenkyns Esqr
to the Revd Thomas Jenkyns
Executed 1st March 1856
Acknowledged 13th March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

Deed of Gift executed
by Richd Mytton Jenkyns Esqr
to the Revd Thomas Jenkyns
Executed 1st March 1856
Acknowledged 13th March 1856
Enrolled 18th March 1856

In the Account of Property
sold in the Year

Fort St George 21st February 1850

Enam lands in the 3 Villages of Nundialumpett annakoor and Sevaporum in the taloek of Doowoor - This document was not attested by Witnesses -


4 Documents.

of 30 years as well as that hereditarily - obtained amounting to Rupees 21-5-1 in all Rs 57-8-2 be continued to his Sen Goverenmenty without limitation and the remaining amount Avathanam to be resumed in consideration of the enjoyment of Rs 29-10-2 of the same being less than 20 years.

In the Pymaish and other accounts the following Individuals are entered -

names of the

Names of the Villages in which lands are situated.	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Pymaish account	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Jamabundy account	Names of the persons whose names are entered in the Enamaty account.
Poonamalee Jagamma chuttrum	Peyroor Govermoot tavadi	Paroor Govermoota vadiany	Peyroor Govermoota vadiany
Govermoojee			
Pett ———	Dr Rama vadiany	Unknown	Dr Ramava dhany -
Devagoody			
No 180 Assessment 171	Lutchman row	Ver Kar	No name is entered
" 49 ——— 292	Dana vooloo pandi Baserangy	Dr Base rangy	Dr Baserangy
Demavooloo pandi No 26 } ——— 857	Sidhout Paranai swara bottoo	Sidhout Para nauswara bottoo	Sidhout Para maiswa rabottoo
" 163 ——— 546	Bhaghvana tooloo Chelum	B. Chelum	B. Chelum bottoo
Sambavare Wooppul pandi	Sen Karaya chetty	Sen Karaya chetty	Sen Karaya chetty
Nundialum Pett annakoot ———	Gnadeyarum Viswaputty }	G. Viswaputty	G. Viswaputty bootloo
Seva poorum ———	Sen Karayo gy Kist mummah }	Sen Karayagy Krist mamah }	Sen Karayagy Kristummah



Fort St George 21st February 1856.

2932

492 Poolevendla Taluk Bhatavathy Enam in Uddalamurry boundaries obtained Wet land obtained by gift Wet land Total	1	17	11	10	15	It is stated that these Enams were granted to Condoochetties during the Mayaluna Government. No Summuds are forthcoming	"Bhatavathy Enam" or Enam granted as Charity	Venkatachel umbattee the last holder of the Enam died on the 5th November 1851. He is the 4th person to the Original grantee and nephew of Streeputhy Venkatachel umbattee and	The subject Enam lands in different Villages have been jointly enjoyed by the deceased and his nephews without any division of the Pama.	Maroo-gee demand-widow of the deceased aged 30 years. 1 Venkat narrain bottee nephew of the deceased aged 30 years. 1 Venka-tasub biah D° D° D° aged 22 years	The lands in the Villages of Uddalamurry and Gundecoo-voor assessed at Rupees 6-15-3 were held by the deceased by virtue of gift but no deed to that effect is forthcoming the Enam in the former Village is shewn to have been granted in gift to
		1	5	1	09	In the Pymaish and Enam accounts the following entries are made					
		1	22	12	09						
In the Pymaish Wet land		1	0	7	6	Of the Enam land situated in Uddalamurry in the Pymaish and accounts.	Tarwary				
Yerrabommanna-pulley- Dry land	1	34	12	1	14	9	Lands N° 71 and 85 assessed at Rs 7-15-7 are entered in the names of Streeputhy Venkatacharloo. land N° 28 assessed at Rupees 1-8-10 being the Enam granted to Chandaiswary a goddess entered as granted in gift to Streeputhy Venkatachellum by					
In Gundecoovoor hereditarily Obtained Wet land		11	8	1	7	4	Jukka Moosaliah the worshipper of the same goddess and land N° 29 assessed at Rupees 1-6-8- being the Enam of one Cavaly Kristummah entered as having been granted to one Boyacoonta Nundybatloo.					
Jodi					7	"					
Obtained by gift Wet land			17	4	8	6					
Total		25	12	6	7	10					

1 Bastariah D° D° D° aged 14 years 4	They are poor and they hold a puttah land assessed at.	the deceased's uncle Lutchmumbatloo by Bhageeradamah the daughter of the original Enamdar Kristnumbatloo in Survadary year corresponding to 1828 and that in the Cattir Village by the original Enamdar to the deceased but when is not known The Village Officers deposed that the Enam in Gundecoovoor had been left waste since Ruetachee year.-

2933

The Queen against Hanbury and Others.

Ejectment for Lands in the Parish of Pontypool in the County of Monmouth.

Plaintiff's Case.

Thomas Hanbury, Esq. Sworn.

Produced Lease dated 25th March 1746 from Thomas Hanbury, Esq. to Thomas Hanbury, Junior, for 99 years, if Thomas Hanbury, Junior, should so long live, of the Premises in question.

Produced Lease dated 25th March 1746 from Thomas Hanbury, Junior, to Thomas Hanbury, Esq. for 99 years, if Thomas Hanbury, Junior, should so long live, of the Premises in question

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

2934

| | | |

The Enam lands situated in the Villages of Jummulamdgoo and Davanavoola paud are entered both in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Poollumbottoo. The land No 102 assessed at Rupees 6-8-1 situated in Soogamunchepully is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Poollumbottoo and land No 171 assessed at Rs 2-4-9 situated in the same Village is entered in the Pymaish accounts in the name of Paupabottoo both these numbers are entered in the Enamaty account in the name of Poollumbottoo.

The Enam land in Goodumcherroo is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Kristumbottoo and the name of Seyshumbottoo nephew of that individual is entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1220.

The Enam land belonging to Venkatramasastry in Cunnalore entered in the Pymaish account as having been given in gift to one Coondurum Dutchanamoorty whose name is entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1220.


The Enam land in Coodoor is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Paupabottoo and in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1220 the name of Poollumbottoo is entered.

The Enam land in Davagoody is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Poollumbottoo by in the Enamaty and Jarwary accounts as Cirkar land the cause of which is that the Moolsaddy who fixed the assessment on the land entered in the same in the Jarwary account as Cirkar land altho it is represented to have been granted during the Mayanah Government it is not entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1220.

The Enam land obtained in gift situated in Ponnatotah is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Ghadeyarum Venkatramabottoo and in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1220 the name of Gerebottoo son of the same individual is entered.

The Enam lands also obtained in gift in the Villages of Davagoody and Danavolapoud are entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Canoogye Seenappah but the Enam land in the former Village is entered in the accounts of Fuslies 1228 and 1246 as having been given in gift to the father of the deceased and that in the latter Village is entered in the accounts of Fusly 1246 to the same effect Ibrahim son of the grantee also informed to the Tahsildar by a letter that the fact of the Enam land in question having been given in gift is true.

The Enam in the Village of Ponnatotah assessed at Rs 1-6-9 is proved to have been in the enjoyment of the last incumbent for the last 18 years Tho' the period of enjoyment is below 20 years still in consideration of the trifling Value of the Enam and on account of the parties who gave the Enam in gift acknowledging the validity of the donation recommended that it be continued to the surviving of the last incumbent during his life time



Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

| | | |

Land obtained by gift. In Jummulmudgoo talook Talammuchee. putnum						1 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Bhadrammah Widow of Appabottoo the grantee to Seyshumbottoo brother of the deceased Enamdar under date the Vishoo year Margasera Soodha 8th corresponding to 1821 bestowing him 2 toons of land in Venkatapoorum	Paupabottoo in the Village of Venkatapoorum all of whose names are entered in the previous
Wet lands	1	10	10	7	62		
Degoo Salavatla Dry land	3	11	12	2	66		
Gottala Koppala punds	3	04	3	9	2		
Durgondy	2	84	3	30	- 10		
Venkatapoorum	20	17	2	10	- 1		
Peddundloor Wet land	1	46	9	0	1	1 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Seyshummah sister in law of Ammuchee Soobbaracharloo to the brother of the deceased under date the Saya year Visookha Soodha 3d corresponding to 1834 granting him the Enam land in Peddundloor.	
Total Wet		43	7	8			
In Coilcondla talook Ittakala Dry land	4	27	7	-	109	1 Deed of sale executed on plain paper by Kristnavanamummah daughter in law of the grantee Pangah Seyshagery Puntoolloo to seyshumbottoo brother of the deceased under date the Munmudah year Aushadha Soodha 15th corresponding to 1835 purporting that land consisting A 7 — C 23 — a — assessed at Rupees 68 — 7 — 4 — situated in Tammalapenta in Coilgoondla talook has been sold to him.	
Grand total	29	17	44	28			
Land obtained by purchase In Coilcondla talook Tammalapenta Dry land	13	3	21	4	-	1 Deed of sale executed on unstamped paper by Puttabheeramappa younger brother of Taroonyhoonta — Lutchmenursoo to the deceased Enamdar under date — the	
Grand Total	96	28	14	94	9		

Of the Enams obtained by gift and sale those in the Villages of Golala Koppalapand Peddundloor and Tammalpenta in the aggregate amounting to Rupees — 38 — 2 — 8 — appear to have been in the enjoyment of the deceased for a period of less than 20 years Recommended therefore that this portion of the Enam be resumed and the remaining amount of Rupees 30 — 13 — 9 be continued to the deceaseds son Soobbiah without limitation.

York & the Clayton 21st January 1856.

Plaintiff
Defendant
Counterclaimant
Counterclaim Defendant
Counterclaim Defendant
Counterclaim Defend

Deed

15th Conveyance to 1827 for Various
The Crown Lands Act 1901 in Column
Conditions Commencement and
Area Lands Act 1901 Sec. Ann.

Note:—

2 Deed of grant executed
by Thomas Archibald Hyde
Lot 101 Block 2 Town of Alexandria County of
Hyde
Fee Simple
15th Conveyance to 1834 for
The Crown Lands Act 1901 Sec.
Commencing on

Deed of grant executed
on behalf of Her Majesty
The Queen to Thomas
Archibald Hyde
Lot 1 Block 2 Town of Alexandria
Parish of Hyde
County of Hyde

Deed 1 3½ 1899
1834 for the Conveyance of Lot 2
situated in the Town of Alexandria
Parish of Hyde
County of Hyde

Deed of grant executed
on behalf of Her Majesty
The Queen to Thomas
Archibald Hyde
Lot 1 Block 2 Town of Alexandria
Parish of Hyde
County of Hyde

Deed 1 3½ 1899
1834 for the Conveyance of Lot 2
situated in the Town of Alexandria
Parish of Hyde
County of Hyde

Grant

3940

In Pommatala Dry land obtained hereditarily	2.39.14	
Wet land obtained by gift	2.31.0.20	
Total	5.31.21	
In Tamarinde puttum dry land hereditarily obtained	6.12.7.10	
" Mangody dry land	6.32.2.59	
" Pommara dry D° D°	8.18.3/4.15.3.10	
" Gregamoor Dry D° D°	9.28.14.4.3.8.	
" Tollaprodda toor dry D° D°	8.24.8.10.-9.	
" Waparalah dry land obtained by gift	2.29.8.3.15.10	
" Chutamapoor mundry do	4.34.13/4.3.9.9.	
" Danavoola paud dry D° D°	19.6.54.8.9.3.	
" Saureware Woppul paud dry D° D°	" " 6 " 3.	
Total of Jummulmudgoo		
Total	105.40.3/4.21.9.10.2.	

4 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Ramasamy the grantee under date the Chitrabhanoo year Carteeka Soodha 15th corresponding to 1822 for the Enam land No. 108 situated in the above en Village.

5 Deed of gift executed on unstampt paper by Begum Paupah grand dang ter* of the grantee under date the Streemook year Magha Soodha 15th Corresponding to 1813 for the Enam land en situated in Cunnalore.

6. Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Bogum Veerasawmy the grantee under date the Swabanoo year Pooshia Soodha 15th corresponding to 1824 for the Enam land Nos. 348 and 350 situated in Goodemcheroo.

7 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Sunjeevoo the grantee under date the Vejiah year Chytra Soodha 10th corresponds to 1833 for the Enam land No. 207 in the above Village.

8. Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Bogum Venkatapathy grantee under date the Vishoo year Aushadaha Sooddha 2d

No. of land	
Goodemcheroo	207-1-12.10
Pommatala	336-12-7-6
Cusba Enam malungoo	20-10-2-2
Tolla Madga	3-10-7
Coremampully	8-0-4
Total Rs.	36-1-8-

and enjoyed by the deceased for upwards of 20 years be continued to his adopted son Narrainoo battoo without limitation and the remaining sum Sicca Rupees 36-1-8 as particularized in the Margin be resumed in Consideration

of the period of enjoyment being within 20 years. The adoption has been upon enquiry proved valid.

Fort St. George, 21st February 1856.

2941

In Koilgoontla Talook Tallamudgoo dry land obtained by gift —	12	28	3 10 7
" Carmanapully Dry Do Do	5	4 15	8 4
Total —	17	27 15	11 10 11
In Dorroor Talook " Bollaverum dry land obtained hereditarily —	133	6 19	1 1
Grand Total	135	33 15/16	252 6 2
Particulars Land obtained hereditarily —	69	3 9 1/2	10 2 1 4
Do Do by gift from Bhutamully and Ramulingum	72	6 7 1/2	149 7 10
Total	135	33 15/16	252 6 2

corresponding to 1821 for the Enam lands Do No 329 & 331 situated in the above Village 9 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Conacharry a relation to the grantee under date the Nekarry year Jaista Soodha 15th corresponding to 1839 for the Enam land No 338 situated in the Village of Ennadatoor 10 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Bogum Venkutkristee the grantee under date the Tarana year Vysanka Soodha 15th corresponding to 1824 for the Enam land No 98 in the above Village. 11 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Venkutputty Son of the grantee under date the Chitrabanoo year Shravana Soodha 2nd corresponding to 1822 for the Enam land in Vysaparrallah. 12 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Bogum Aleoalee the grantee under date the Dhata year Magha Soodha 15th corresponding with 1817 for the Enam land in Chinnapoorum 13 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Pedda Nauroo and Chinna Nauroo granddaughters of the grantee under date the Angeerasa year Shravana Soodha 15th corresponding to 1812 — for the Enam land in Dannavoolapaud 14 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Iroskunty Kristna chary under date the Nundana year Magha Soodha 15th corresponding to 1832 for the Enam land in the Village of Dannavoolapaud.- 15 Deed of gift executed on plain paper by Nevierly Bhuddiammah widow of the grantee under date the Vyia year Palgoona Soodha 2nd corresponding to 1826 for the Enam land in the Village of Saurevaree Hoopputpaud. 16 Deed of Gift executed on plain paper by the grantees widow ammmeky Venkatum mah under date the Nundana year Margasera Soodha 7th corresponding to 1832 for the Enam land in Tollamudgoo of Coilgoontla Talook.- 17 D° D° executed on D° — D° by D° D° under date the D° D° for the Enam land in Cavanampully in the above Talook.-

17 Total documents.-

The name of the original grantee is not ascertainable but the names of individuals who are entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts for the several Enam lands in different Villages are here under particularized.-

The Enam lands situated in the Villages of Immmulmudgoo Ponnotota Cunnalore and Moragoody are entered in the Pymaish accounts in the name of Ghadeyarum Venkatramabottlo and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 in the name of his son Gerebottlo the deceased Enamdar—

The Enam lands situated in the Village of Tollaproddatoor is entered both in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of the deceased Enamdar Gerebottlo.-

The Enam lands situated in the Village of Goreganoor is entered in the Pymaish

Fort St. George, 21st February 1856

Pymash accounts in the names of Zoggybottoo and Venkatramabottoo and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 the names of the latter only is entered.

1 The Enam land situated in Dommara Mundal is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of one Viswaputty and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 in that of Gerebottoo Son of Venkatrama bottoo—

1 Enam land situated in Gordencheroo and that No. 68 is that of Maragoondy is entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Sooreya Narainabottoo—

1 Enam land situated in the Village of Talammuchepuntum is entered in the Pymaish accounts in the name of Viswaputty and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 in the name of his Son Gerebottoo and Chelumbottoo

The Enam land situated in the Village of Bollaveram is entered in the Pymaish account in the names of Viswaputty and Seyshumbottoo and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 in the names of Viswaputty and Venkatramah.

Lands obtained in gift

In Cusbah Immumlumdgoo

Enam land No. 191 assessed at Rupees 20—10—9 is entered in the Pymash and Enamaty accounts in the name of Nevurty Pippabottoo

The Enam land situated in Dhurmapooram is entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Bogum alavaly.

In Dammavolapand

Enam land No. 71 assessed at Rs. 32—2—6 is entered both in the Pymaish and Enamanity accounts in the name of Bogum atchavelly.

Enam land No. 24 assessed at Rs. 18—6—9 is entered in the Pymash account in the name of Goondacharry and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220— Ullabut Kristnacharrys name is entered.

In Sarvaree Mookpulpand the Enam land land is entered in the Pymaish and Enamity accounts in the name of Pippabottoo

In Tollamudgoo the land is entered in the Pymaish Accounts in the name of Muddoor Yellumbottoo and in that of Enamaty in the name of Aumunchy Ramacharry who had obtained it in gift.—

In Kooroomany pully the land is entered in both the accounts in the name of Aumunchy Ramacharry.—

2943

Fort St. George, 21st February 1850.

Enam land No. 20 assessed at Rs. 10—2—2 is entered in the above accounts in the name of Seelacharry.

Enam land No. 92 assessed at Rs. 9—7—4 is entered in the above accounts in the name of Veerasawmy.

Enam land No. 108 assessed @ Rs. 2—5+1 is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Rungasawmy and in the Enamaty accounts Ramasawmy. The Enam land situated in Cunnaloor is entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Ramasawmy.

In Gorelencherroo.

Enam lands No. 329 and 331 assessed at Rupees 5—8—1 are entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Bogum Cuddapadoo and in that of Enamaty in that of his son Venkategadoo.

Enam land No. 207 assessed at Rupees 1—12—10 is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Venkatachellum and in that of Enamaty his Sisters son Sungeeroogadoo.

Enam land Nos. 348 & 350 assessed at Rupees 2—6—3 are entered in the Pymash and Enamaty accounts in the name of Peersawmy.

In Ponnatota

Enam land No. 336 assessed at Rupees 12—7—6 is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Vurdachary and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 his widow Seyshummahs name is entered.—

Enam land No. 296 assessed at Rupees 4—8—11 is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Gazaimdooroodoo and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 the name of his daughter Vencut Kristee is entered.—

Enam land No. 209 assessed at Rupees 3—6—6 is entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Nowurty Tippabotlo.

The Enam land situated in Vyaparala is entered in the Pymash account in the name of Cuddapadoo and in that of Enamaty of Fusly 1220 the name of his daughter Vencaty is entered.—

Venkatramana bottlo

Gerebottloo

Last incumbent now deceased.

Adopted Son Narramabotloo

present claimants.

2945 Fort St. George 21st. February 1856

In Vunakaya									who is the pro-
Cultivated land	2	68	11	96					prietor here was
" Pottepaud									a Cine. —
wet land	1	3	18	2	Naugeredolly	pully	Yenguimmah	Cummuchy Cummuchy	
					but my gr	by	Yagebattoo	Yagebattoo	The deed
					Yagebattoo				of gift is said
" Yenommala									to have been
hintala									granted in
Wet land	1	7	10	46					Fiusly 1246. —
" Bydoothy									When Enamaty
wet land	3	46	6	32	Pauperiah	pully	Land	Cummuchy Sterna Dr. Sterna Dr. Sterna	accounts were
								" nevassacharloo " vaspacharloo " Charry	prepared no
" Yerrangody									mention is made
dry land	3	24	2	10					in these accounts
" Sunkapully									
Dr. Dr.	3	12	10	46					
" Yalore Dr.		11	13	11					
" Mangody									
Dr. Dr.	1	6	9	31					

									of the lands.
									having been granted
									in gift to the deceas:
									:ed It is urged that
									Ummal Kah was under
									the protection of the deceased
									who may have acted as her a-
									agent to procure the cultivation
									of the Enam lands and who after-
									:wards forged the document to obtain pos:
									:session of her Enam lands, Casummah the
									daughter of the deceased had no authority to
									grant the lands in gift to her father the

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

2946

In Bhovta-mapoorum Dryland	5 22 15 5 11 a	Bheemagoondunn	Aumunchy Streenevasa Charry	Aumunchy Streenevasa Charry	A Streene-vasa-charry	Deceased during the life of her Mother in Law and alienated them from the original family The said Ammunchah is now her second child hood and does not understand what she says and her deposition was therefore not taken Recommended that this Enam said to have been given in gift to the deceased amounting to Rupees 137-14-2 may be Continued to Umma-chah during her higher life after which it revert to Government-	
Wolumnapett Dryland	3 26 14 4 4 3 c	Madhwapoorum	D° Rama-botloo	D° Rama-botloo	D° Rama charry-		
Talaimunchey putnum-d		Chinna Comerlah	D° Rama charry	D° Rama-botloo	D° D°		
Wetland	a 2 11 4 9 10	Ummulmudgoo land					
Peddo Passo poola- Dryland	8 13 a 18 2 10 a	No. 49 112	24-2-5	D°	D° D°	D° D°	D°
Tamatotah Wetland a	8 1/2 3. a 2	231-11-9-6	Cumcherla Lingappa	Lingappa-pah	A. Stree-nevasa charry-		
Waparalah Dryland	3 21 7. 5. 2. b.	Cummaloor	Yogebottoo	Yogebottoo	Yoge-Bottoos son Stree-nevasa-charry		
a Goreganoor Dryland	9. 28. 14. 4. 3. 8.	Goreganoor	Yogebottoo & Vencatarambottoo	Yogebottoo died his Son Rama-botloo	Yogebottoo and Vencatarama-botloo		
Total in Jumma-mulmudgoo Taloo Ks	9 31. 15 14 447. a 8.	Goddencherroo	Yogebottoo	Yogebottoo	A Stree-nevasa-charry-		
Dovoor Taloo E In Cavanoor Dryland	17 3 10 22 11 5	Peddundloor land	Aumunchy Ramabottoo	Rama-charry	A Ramacharry		
Koilcontta taloo E In Tollamad-goo. Dryland	12. 23. a 3. 10. 8.	No. 6-31-4-10 a 97-14-8-9 a 114-23-9-8	Dhoomacharry Ajanakummah	Dhooma-charry Streene-vasacharry	A. Kristna-charry A Stree-vasa-charry		
a Ellore D°	6. 8 1. 7 4 5	Toorpoo Loogamun-chepully Land	Nippanne Rangacharry	Pauneem			
a Coroomavane-pully- Dry Land	2. 29. 8. 2. 6. 6.	No. 106-2-8-3. a 160-8-7-4	A Streenevasa-charry.	Rama-charry A Streene-vasacharry	A Rama-charry A Stree-nevasa charry-		
a Bodumma-noor Dryland	4. 28. 8. 4. 8. 11.	Dayvagoody Tatavoolapaud	D° D°	D° D°	D° D°	D° D°	
Cotapaudoo Dryland	4. 14 4 2. 7. 8.	Seregapully Tallaprodda-toor	Seenuppah A Ramacharry D°	Seenuppah A. Rama-chary-	D° A Rama-charry D°		
Total in Koil-contta Ta-loo E.	30. 19. 5. 20. 6. 2	Vana Vayacalvah	Yegnammah	Yegnum-mah	Her Son Ramacharry		

2947 Fort St. George 21st February 1850.-

Total land hereditary obtained	2 44 14 13/4	60 1 10	Pottapandooland			
Lands obtained by gift from Casumah under a deed of gift dated 5th Anumeja Soodda of the Doormoothy year Corresponding with 1836 in Jummulmudgoo taloork.-	No. 84-15-10-11		A. Gunga = poory	Yegnum = Gunah A. Gunga = poory	A. Gun = gapooryd younger brother = Kristna = charry. younger brother	
	v 373-2-6-3.		Do.	Do.		
In Jummulmudgoo	Jummulmudgoo		Jana Kum = mah	Yogebottoo	Streena vasachar = loo.	
Dryland	1 6 11	9 08	Beydoothoor	A. Kasana Charry	A. Kasa = vacharry	A. Kasa = vacharry
			Enagoody	Streenevasa Charry.	Streeneva = sacharry	Streene = vasacharry

Pottah
Dryland 4 4 1.45.
Wet Do " 9 12 3.8.11. Sunkapully Do. Do. Do.

Total 4 23 12 4 134

Moragoody
Dryland 2 20 " 1 74 Yatoor — Ramacharry Rama = charry Rama = charry

Goondla Coontah
Dryland 6.78.3.99 Moragoody — Yogebottoo Yogebottoo Streene = vasacharry

" Madhawa poorum
Dryland 5 29 12 10 1010 Bhootamapoo = Rum — Streene = vasachar = ry. — Streene = vasa = charry Do.

Adopted by Pannachary

Dead

Yogebottoo Son Adopted by Cristnacharloo

Dead

Meldau

Vencummah Died

Cadasnacharloo now Living

Last Holder Son Adopted by Pattery

Dead

Meldau

Vencummah

Died

Son adopted by Vasudevacharry

Cadasnacharloo

Vencummah

Died

Cadasnacharloo

Vasudevanmah

Died

Cadasnacharloo

Yogevenmah

present

2948

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

Toorpoosoo gamanchie pully Dry Do.	6	13	12	9	3	10
Talamunchepulum						
Saulegna ree Poop pulpaud Dryland	19	24	12	40	14	6
Cedda Pasoopola Dryland	9	16	12	20	9	6
Peddundloor Wetland	1	6	2	26	14	6
Serygapully Dryland	6	12	15	7	7	1
Degoona Calavatata Dryland	5	20	12	11	9	10
Paupiapully Dryland	2	12	7	2	11	2
Total land obtained by gift	71	32	12	137	14	2
Grand Total	316	7	16/4	598	-	3

Wobunnapettah — Ramachar=ry. — Rama=charry — Yegnum Enenagary Ramah charry

Talamunchepulum — Streenevacharry — Streenevasacharry — Streenevasacharry

Peddapasoopoolah — Janakum=mah — Janakum mah — Janakum mah

Ponnatotah — Streenevasa=charry — Streenevasacharry — Streenevasacharry

Waparalah — Deppapully Conuppah — Conuppah alias Ramacharry — Granted in gift by Ko=nuppah to Ramacharry

Cannanoor — Cirkar — Cirkar — A Streenevasa=charry of Jumbudle mudgoo

Tollamudgoo — Muddoory Yellumbottoo — Muddoory Yellumbottoo — A Ramachar=loo at present enjoying the Enam of Yellumbottoo.

Coramanepully — Zogebottoo — Zogebottoo — Do.

Bodemanoor — Cristnacharry — " — Kristna charry.

Cotapaud — Streenevasa charry. — Streenevasacharry — Zoge=bottoo

Of the Enams situated in 15 Villages of the Tummalundgoo taloo E which were obtained by gift Enam land in Paupiapully is entered in the above 3 descriptions of Accounts in the name of Sreemuchy Ramacharry the great grandfathers Son of the deceased and in the name of the deceased in the Accounts prepared in Fuslies 1246 and 1258 all of the lands situated in " Villages are entered in the Symash Sarawary and Enamity Accounts in the name of Attebottoo Alias Eroomuntty Bundlapully Cristamacharry Goondae charry Cumsoogo Seenapah Lutchmanacah and Conamacharry the relatives of Keysummah who granted them in gift.—

The land situated in Moragoody is entered in the Accounts of Fuslies 1246 & 1258 in the name of Seyshummah widow of Kristamacharloo and those situated in the remaining 10 Villages are entered in the Accounts of Fusly 1246 in the name of Kasummah and those situated in the Villages of Ponnatota Goondlacoonta Madhawapooram Tookpoosoogamunchepully Peddapasoopoola Peddundloor and Seregapully are entered in the same Account in the name of Keysavacharloo on the consent of Keysummah and in the Account of Fusly 1258 the Enam Lands in 10 Villages are entered as having been granted in gift to Keysavacharloo.—

Fort St. George 21st February 1850.-

512 Summulmyd =gootaloo K. Bhatavurtty Enams In Boorooz00 pully.-	Dry Land	9	37	26	9	10	When and by whom granted and the name of the original grantee are not known.-	Bhatavurtty Enam or Enam granted as Charity	Reyravoory Vencatnar rain bottoo the last holder of the Enam died on the 29th July 1846- He is the grandson of Narraina bottoo other wise called Pedda Narraina bottoo Cousin of Narraina bottoo Somayazee alias Chelum bottoo grand son of Rama bottoo alias Venkatrama bottoo and Kristnam bottoo great grandson of Vengum bottoo alias Vengava dhany and	35 - 24 - 10½ 81 - 6 - 2 15 - 14 - 5½ 33 - 14 - 1 Total 50 - 39 - - 115 - 4 - 3	1 Bhrama =ramba deceased 1 Soobba bottoo aged 73 years 1 Rama bottoo aged 60 years 1 Soobba bandi widow	Of the Enam enjoyed by the widow of the =ing to Rupees 81 - 6 - 2, a portion of the deceased aged 25 years 2 Uncles deceased 1 Soobba bottoo aged 73 years 1 Rama bottoo aged 60 years 1 Soobba bandi widow	Of the Enam =ramba enjoyed by the deceased Amount =ing to Rupees 81 - 6 - 2, a portion of the =mooda chentala appear to have been for many unmarried years in the enjoyment of the father of the deceased and himself and that of the younger brother not forthcoming but this is shown by the Enamity accounts prepared in Fuslie 1229 & 46 Recommended that the Enam amounting to Rs. 76 - 5 - 4. be continued in the name of his widow subject to report after her demise and that Rs. 5 - 4 - 10 be resumed it having been only in the enjoyment of the deceased for only 14 years.
Dry Land	14	4	7	28	12	0											
In Beydoo =thoor.																	
Dry Land	15	13	-	4	7	5	1 Deed of gift executed on unstamped paper by Ryakadum =wise Chetravatum bottoo obtained by gift from Rypa Vencatrama bottoo the grantee to the deceased Enamdar and his Younger brother Chok Kumbottoo under date the 15th Cartee Kathood of Nar= =raina Somayazee purporting to have been granted them in gift the											
Wet Land	2	8	7	18	12	9											
	17	21	7	23	4	2											
Total Land hereditarily obtained	40	30	15	78	10	10											
In Yenoomoo =lachentalah Wet Land	-	15	12	5	2	6	Enam land Situated in the Beydoothoor a/sessed at Rupees 5 - - 10 Of the Enam lands Situated in the Villages of Beydoothoor and Boorooz00 =pully which were hereditarily obtained certain numbers of land are entered in the Pymaish Tarwarry and Enamity accounts in the name of Pedda Narraina bottoo while other num= =bers of land are entered in the name of Narraina bottoo.											
" Beydoothoor Wet Land	"	2	7	8	5	-	10										
Total land obtained by gift	1	34	10	34													
Total in Summul= =mudgoo																	
Talook	41	34	3	28	14	2											

Share enjoyed by the Co. adjoyed by the

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.-

Poliveendla talook In Pantaray pully put =num-

Wet land 1203 / 122

Dry land 324 " 74

Mul Kaoy moola-

Wet land a 138 4 / 11

Davara goody pully.

Wet land a 174 3 / 10

Tapetaware pully-

Dry land 394 " 51

Total in Poo= levendla

Talook £ - 94 / 32661

Grand Total 5039 " 11543

The Enam land assessed at Rupees 5-"-10 obtain= =ed by gift in the Village of Bedoothoor is entered both in the Pymaish Tarwary and Enamity Accounts in the name of Rypa Venkata= chellumbottoo.-

The Enam land situated in the Village of Commaavare =pully is entered in the Pay= =maish Account in the Names of Narraina Somayazooloo Narrainah Kristumbottoo and others and in the Tarwarry and Enamity Accounts is entered in the name of Narraina Soma= yazooloo.

The Enam land situated in the Village of Yenoomoolachentala is entered in the Pymaish Account in the name of Somayazee in the Tarwary Accounts in the name of Be= =doothoor Somayazooloo and in the Enamity accounts in the names of Aswerthum Somayazooloo and Narachary Somayazooloo Sons of Bedoothoor Somayazee alias Venkata Narraina Somayazee and Nephew of Coob= ba= =bottoo all of whose names are entered in the accounts

2951 Fort St. George 21st. February 1856.

Bedoothoor Somayazooloo are Entered.

Of the Enam lands situated in the Villages of Pauley pully putt num land No. 170 assessed at Rs. 10-13-4 entered in the Pymaish Tarwary and Enamity Accounts in the name of Chellum Somayazoolo and land No. 173 assessed at Rs. 6-14-10 is entered in the Pymaish Account in the name of Rungadarry and Ramabottoo as the persons enjoying it in the Tarwary Account the name of Ramabottoo and in the Enamity Account the name of Ramabottoo and in the Enamity Account that of his son Cristumbottoo who enjoying it are entered.

The Enam land situated in the Village of Saunewarepully is entered in the Pymaish and Enamity accounts in the name of Reyvanoory Yenguum bottoo while in that of Tarwary the name of Reyvanoory Venguumbottoo and in that of Enamity those of Rayavoor Chellumbottoo and Vencatrumbottoo are entered.-

The Enam land situated in the Village of Mul Kaveymoola is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Yegniah in the Tarwary account in the name of Chelum Somayazooloo and in the Enamity Account in that of Vencatasomayazooloo.-

Of the Enam lands situated in the Villages of Deyvagoodepully land No. 13 assessed at Rupees 1-13-5 is entered in the Pymaish and Tarwary accounts in the name of Narainbottoo while in that of Enamaty the name of his son Soobbabottoo is entered and land No. 9 assessed at Rupees 1-4-5 is entered back in the Pymaish Tarwary and Enamaty accounts in the name of Chelma Somayazee.-

The Enam land situated in Tuppataware pully is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Chellum in the Tarwary accounts in the name of Chelma Somayazooloo, and in that of Enamaty the name of Cristnumbottoo nephew of Chelma somayazooloo is entered.-

Cristumbottoo

Widow			Widow
Bhramarambak.	Vencutnarrainbottoo.	Chokumbottoo Died.	Soobummak.
present.	Last holder now died.	no issue	present.

2952 Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

574 Summul-mudgoo tabk. Bhatavurtty Enam.	When and by whom granted and the name of the Original grantee are not Known.	"Bhatavurtty Enam" are enam granted as charity.	Villapoo Soobbanna the last holder of the Enam died on the 22d December 1850. She is the widow of	Share enjoyed by the deceased	57 13 8/12	28 8	The deceased left no heirs.	A claim had been by one Casawa charloo claiming the lands situated in 11 Villages in Summul-mudgoo and 2 in Koilcoontlah in virtue of deeds of gift executed to him and others by the deceased in 1839 the Village Officers of Summulmudgoo depose to the claimant having enjoyed the Enam in that Village whilst those of the other Villages and two of those whose names are
				D° D° by other share holders	730 8	21 4 8		
				Total	65 3 4/12	49 12 8		
1st Summul-mudgoo Dry land obtained by gift ...	2 4 6	85						
2 Davagoody Dry land obtained by gift ...	6 2 4	11 10						
3 Dunnasa-kah Dry land obtained by gift ...	3 11 2	12 6						
Garden land	"	2 9 9						
Total	4 "	11 22 "						
4 Mysaverum Dry land obtained by gift ...	4 3 1	" 2 10 3						
5 Goodemeharoo D° D° ...	" 11 4	1 1 8						
6 Cunnalore Dry land heriditarily obtained ...	5 18 14	11 2 6						
7 Goreganoor Dry land D° D° ...	2 28 14	2 8 8						

in gift the Enam lands consisting of 78-10-2 Situated in 13 Villages.

1 Deed of gift executed on unstampt paper by the deceased Enamdar to Yemmanoor Vencatasembatloo under date the 15th Maghashoodha of the Chetra bhanoo year corresponding with 1823 purporting to have granted him the Enam land consisting of Acres 3-24-15/4 assessed at Company's Rupees 11-10-4 situated in the Village of Deyvagoody.

name is entered in the accounts.

she throws great doubt upon the validity of the deed even supposing it was genuine, he not having had possession of the land for 20 years it is liable to resumption.—

nummah and Rungunmah are unaware of the above said document or of any such gift and in the Enamaty accounts prepared in Fusly 1258 no mention is made either of the document or of the possession by the claimant but on the other hand the name of the deceased alone was entered,

2.

In the Pymaish Jarwary and Enamaty accounts the Enam lands situated in the villages of Cunnalore Danavoolapaud, Dommaranundial Toorpoo Sooganunchy Pally.

The lands obtained by gift the deceased by one Yemmanoor Vencatasumbatloo under date the 15th Maghashoodha of the Chitrabhanoo year Corresponding with 1823 are shewn by the Enamaty Accounts prepared in

Fuslies

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

8 Soorpoo Soo gamuncheh pully Dry land Do Do	9	22	5	4	8
9 Peddundloor Wet land heri ditarily ob tained		144	9	11	4
10 Obtained by gift		34	20	9	
Total		198	30	3	4
11 Danavolai pand Dry land heridi tarily ob tained		317	1	5	8
12 Moragoody Do Do Do Do	2	2	8	1	7
13 Donimara Nundial Dry land heridi tarily ob tained		85	11	14	3
14 Bey doothoor Wet land Do		62	2	11	4
15 Jeregapully Dry land Do Do	2	23½		12	
16 Vaparala Dry land obtained by gift		217	9	5	10
Total Jumm mulmudgoo taloob		561	48½	35	10

Goregoo noor and Beydoothoor are entered in the name of Maa-dawacharry.

The Enam land situated in the Village of Moragoody is entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Madhawacharry while in that of Tarwary the name of Nauguppacharry is entered.

Of the Enam lands situated in the Village of Peddundloor land No 175 assessed at C Rupees 9 10 3 is entered both in the Pymaish Tarwary and Enamaty accounts in the name of Jummulmudgoo Maa-dhawacharry and land No 63 assessed at C Rupees 20-9-11 is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Nundial Gopaulrauze and in those of Tarwary and Enamaty as having been given in gift by Gopaul-acharry to Jummulmudgoo Madhavacharry.

The Enam lands situated in Seregapully is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Paupacharloo Cristamacharloo Madawa-charloo, and Yadaty Nursoo while in those Tarwary and Enamaty in the name of Paupacharry and others.

The Enam land situated in Vaparala is entered in the Pymaish account in the name Bhagavuntarow, in the Tarwary account in the name of Madawacharry and in the Enamaty account as given in gift by Bhagavuntarow to Madhavacharry.

The Enam land in Jummulmudgoo is entered both in the Pymash and Tarwary accounts in the name of Vasoodavooloo Seyshagerry and in the Enamaty account the name of Madhavacharry as having obtained in gift from Seyashagerry.

Leslies 126 and 1258 to have been made over to the said Venca-tasambottoo and held by him recommended that this portion of Enam land be continued to him during his life.

The land in Peddundloor assessed at Rupees 9 10 4 appear to have been given in gift by the deceased to one A. Streenevasacharry who died his daughter in law gave the land in gift to one Cullootla Sheyshambottoo thereby a double transfer from the Original family has taken place and it is not shown that the present possessor has been in the en-joyment of it for 20 years and the deed of gift is not forthcoming.

The land is therefore liable to resumption.

The

For St. George 21st. February 1856.

17 Hoil goone
-tta talook
Bodemmanoor
Dry land obtain
-ed by gift 3 82 6 15
18 Dry land ob
-tained heri
-ditarily 5 21 4 8.11
Total 829 6 14 24
Grand Total 65 3 1/2 14 9 12 8
Details
Land hereditarily obtained 40 28 0 1/2 7 11 2 6
Do obtained by
gift 24 15 2 7 8 10 2
Total 65 3 0 1/2 14 9 12 8

The Enam land in Deyvagoody is entered in the Oymaish and Sarwary accounts in the name of Mahul Majoom Vencatrow and in the Enamaty account as having been given in gift by the said Vencatras to Madhavacharry.

The Enam land in Dunnawadah is entered in the Oymaish Sarwarry and Enamaty accounts in the name of Cummulmudgoo Yoger bottoo and in the last mentioned account the same is entered as having been granted in gift to Madhavacharry.

The Enam land in Mylauerum is entered in the Oymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Madhavacharry and in that of Sarwary in the name of Seyshambottoo.

The Enam land in Goodimechervoo is entered in the Oymaish and Sarwary accounts in the name of Vasoodava Sheyshagherry and in the Enamaty accounts is entered as having been granted in gift to Seerthacharry and Madhavacharry.

The Enam land in Bodemmanoor is entered in the Oymaish and Enamaty accounts as the Enam of Reyasum Sirmulrow and granted in gift the Madhavacharry.

The Enam land in Harevarum is entered both in the Oymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Aumunchy Ramabottoo.

Ramachendrabottoo

Rungumbottoo

Chelumbottoo

Maudhavacharloo

Widow
Soobbummah
last holder
now living

Soobbabottoo
Died without issue.

Fort St. George 21th February 1856.

517	Deevaar talook Bhatavritty Enam In Yerragoom Alapully				When and by whom granted and the name of the Original grantee are not known No sunnuds are forthcoming but the following documents are produced.	Bhatavritty Enam granted as charity.	Annama Somedavammah the last holder of the Enam died on the 29th April 1849. She is the widow of Sankara Somayazoo and cousin	The whole Enam having been granted in gift by the deceased to Easwara Somayazoo Venkana Somayazoo Ugnechetra Shoutoo Appully Shoutoo and Yegnarama	The deceased left no heirs.	It appears that the deceased inherited the land thro' her husband and she had no right to bestow them in gift either upon her brothers or any other party thus alienating the property from the family of the Original grantee which she was in
	Wet land	2	30	12 3/4	28	3 2							
	Collor Dry												
	land No 10	5	22		6	7 10							
	Jody				1	44							
	Total	8	12	3/4	3	41 1							
	Jody				1	44							

10-- 1 out of that situated in Erragoontla-pully as promised by her during the funeral rights of her deceased husband as also at the time of an Eclipse

1 Deed of gift executed on stampt paper by the deceased Enamdar to Agnihotra Shoutooloo and Venkanna Somayazooloo under date the 3d Vysaka Shoodum of the Munmadha year corresponding with 1836 purporting to have granted them in gift the land No 10 consisting of 5-20-- assessed at C Ps 2-2-4 situated in Culloor as promised by her when at Ramaiswaram on holy pilgrimage

1 Deed of gift executed on stampt paper by the deceased Enamdar to Easwara Somayazooloo Appully Shoutoo and Yegnaramasastrooloo under the date mentioned in the above deed purporting to have granted them in gift the Enam wet land No 16 consisting of

Acres

of Ramaiswara Shoutooloo whose names are specified in Column 3.-

sastry who are brothers all dead with the exception of Agnihotra Shoutooloo and this individual and also the heirs of the other person as detailed below are equally enjoying the Enam land held by the deceased.-

1 Chentsoosastrooloo son of Easwara Somayazooloo.

no wise authorized to do. Two of these deeds are on stampt paper which shews that the possessors have not been in possession for a period of 20 years and also come under the provisions of Section VIII Regulation XXXI of 1802. The other document on plain paper

Fort St. George 21th February 1856.

				Acres 1 31 4¾ assessed at Com= pany's Pagodas 6 2 6 situated in the Village of yerragoontlapully as promised by her when bathing at Ramaiswarum.			
3				In the Pymaish accounts the Enam land in the Village of yerragoontlapully is entered in the name of Sen Kara Somayazoolo and in the Enamaty accounts name of Some=daviummah is entered.			
				The Enam lands in the Village of Callour is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Sen Kara Somayazoolo and in that of Enamaty in the name of Bootchana deet =chootloo Loogary	Ramaswara Shoutoo loo.		
518	Town of Cud= dapah Fly= rattee Surva Enam Viswa =nadhapoor Wet land " Wooteoor Dr " Total	36 9 14 2 1 26 11/14 7 13 6 1 23 7/14 1 21 15 7		By Bauk Ra= waroo during the Maganah Govern= ment - The name of the Original grantee is not known but in the Pymaish and Enamaty	Fyratee or Enam granted as Charity.	Cumsala Holla yel= lummah the last holder of the Enam died on the	The whole of the Enam was en= joyed by
						1 Soma =lingum brother in= law of the deceased	The deceased has left no heirs but her brother in law Somalin =gum who lived separate during the
						The deceased was poor	
						1 Lutchmenaraine= ah Son of Venca= =na Somayazoolo	paper from its date appears to have been exe= cuted in 1809 but the facility of fabricating such
						1 Appully Stroutoo loo son Ragoopatty bottoo.	document is very great - Two wit= =nesses one aged 60 and the other 92 depose to the execution of the deed and to their
						1 yegnamasas= =try's widow	having attested it.
4							Recommended that the Enam be= =longing to the deceased be resumed on the demise of the present holders.

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

| | | |

Fort St George 21st February 1856.

In Gyrdoor				under date the Vyia year		
Dry land No 70	3	14	3 148	Carteeka Soodha 15th corres-		
- Uddalamurry				-ponding to 1833 granting her the Enams situ-	and Son	
				-ated in the Villages of Vampully Gollla	int law to	
Wet land No 188	-	13 -	2 3 7	-gadoor, Mavalapaud, Uddalamurry,	Papabottoo	
	Jody	- 14 -		Tungadenpully and Nundemundalum	whose names	
				as well as the fees usually obtained in	are specified	
Total in Pooly				the Marriages of Comaties &c	in previous	
-vendla Talook	5	32 4	27 6 11 1	Deed of Cowle executed on plain	column -	
				paper by Arapedala Soobbabottoo to		
	Jody	23 -		Gungadoo Son of Aravaty Condoppah		
				under date the Viswavasoo year Phalguna		
In Tungadenpully				bahula 7th corresponding to 1845 allowing on		
of Canalpoor				Cowle for 10 years the land No 37 called Yalaga		
Talook Wet				-mainy Dompoo at Vampully on the pay-		
land No 37	-	3 13	5 5 9	-ment of Rupees 60 at 6 Rupees per year		
	-	202	- 14 1 7 2 11	Deed of sale executed on stamp paper		
	Jody	- 7 -		by Arapedala Soobbabottoo to Chintacoonta		
Total	1	10 4	12 8 8	Venecatachellum under date the Prambava		
	Jody	-		year Chytra Shoo 11th 5th corresponding		
In Nundemunda				to 1840 purporting that she has sold		
-lum in the				to him the Bhataveettee Enam land		
talook of Chennoor				No 37 consisting of - 35-4 assessed at 7-1-14		
Wet land No 30	-	12 3/4	- 7 10	situated in Vampully which was		
				entered in the name of Wooppala:		
Grand Total	7	16 23/4	40 7 8	-pauty Paupabottoo, he the said		
	Jody	2 10 -		Vencatachellum should enjoy		
				the same on the expiration of the		
				lease granted to Gungadoo for		
				the same land -		
				1 Deed of gift executed on plain		
				paper by Vencummah widow of Croogunty		
				Soobbabottoo to Chintacoonta Vencatachellum		
				under date the Sarvary year Carteeka Shoodha		
				5th corresponding to 1840 granting him in gift		
				the Enam land No 43 called soly chintala:		
				-goondum consisting 4- A 20 C 11 ao assessed at		
				cP F as - - 1-4 situated in Vampully which		
				was entered in the account in the		

	lineal blood moderately. The deceased adopted son has been in the possession and enjoyment of certain Enam Amounting to Rupees 90-6-- in the Royalty Talook inherited from his father
	portion of his land to Chintacoonta Venecatachellum this deed of sale cannot be considered legal and are liable to resumption as the deceased who inherited thro his wife has adopted a son -

Fort St George 21st February 1850

the name of Wooppalapanty Paupabettee and which was granted in gift to her husband and also other Enam land of her late husband situated in Vamapully on the condition that he should protect her.

The name of the original grantee is not known but in the Pymaish account the land No 10 is entered in the name of Wooppala Paupiah and land No 37 in that of Paupabettee and in the Enamaty accounts both lands are entered in the name of Paupabettee.—

The Enam land in the Village of Goodoor is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Wooppala Aunumbettee and in the Enamaty account in the name of Wooppala Paupabettee.—

The Enam land situated in Uddalamorry is entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Wooppala Paupabettee.—

The Enam land No 37 in Tungadoopully is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Wooppala Aunumbettee and No 202 in that of Wooppala Paupabettee but both numbers of land are entered in the name of Paupabettee in the Enamaty account.—

The Enam lands in the Village of Nundemundalum is entered both in the Pymaish and Enamaty accounts in the name of Wooppala Paupabettee.—

Note Paupabettee is other-

Wooppala Annumbettee

Wooppala Paupabettee — Died in 1830

Daughter Annummah — Dead

Husband Arapierallah Soolabettee — Last incumbent now deceased

Adopted son Seyshumbettee

Fort St George 21st February 1850

52	Bhatavrittee Enam Penagaloor and other Villages in the Talook of Chitwail In Penagaloor			It is not known when and by whom the Enam land and Agraharum Shotriem writty situated in Penagaloor were granted. — The Enam land in Siddaverum is said by the Villagers to have been granted in gift by Muddoor Ramunnah to the husband of the deceased 60 years ago but no deeds of gift are forthcoming. — No original summuds are forthcoming The following deeds of gift executed by the deceased to different parties are produced. — Deed of gift executed on plain paper to Chunpully	Bhatavrittee and Agraharum writty Enams or Enams granted as Charity. —	Kotoor Achunmah the last incumbent died on the 1st October 1846. — She is the widow of Sevaramma bottoo whose name is also entered in the previous Column. —	Share enjoyed by the deceased	6-6-17/12	37-10-2	Sons of Chavey pully Chel. lum bottoo	
						Do enjoyed by other share holders	8-39-8/16	84-10-8	
						Total	15-5-10/16	122-8	
	Dry land	D 353 - 97							
	Garden Do	2 218 - 7 - 9							
	Wet Do	6 - 17 - 2 - 19 - 44							
	Total	11 - 29 - 13 - 7 - 38							
		Sody	99 1						
	Agraharum Shotriem in Penagaloor	1 37 4	34 3 4						
		Sody	24 10 8						
	Siddaverum Garden land	1 3 6 7/16	8 13 11						
	Wet Do	- 20 1/2	1 15 11						
	Total	1 25 8/16	10 13 8 1/4						

Ordered that portion of the Enam enjoyed by the deceased amounting to Rupees 37-10-2 be resummed. That portion of the Enam assessed at Rupees 51-8-3 given in gift by the deceased to Chunpully Chellum bottoo, and that portion obtained in gift from the deceased by Peddurtee Rama bottoo amounting to Rupees 33-2-3 be enjoyed by Vencatramma bottoo the elder son of the former and by Peddurtee Soobbabottoo during their lives and then be reported. —

Ordered that Vencatachellumbottoo for certain portions of Bhatavritty Enam in the Village of Penagaloor to the Extent of 13 1/2 coontahs of Enams dated Havelumby year Ausweeya Soodha 15th corresponding with 1837. —

1 Deed of gift executed by the deceased on plain paper to Peddurtee Soobbabottoo dated Havelumby year Ausweeya Soodha 15th corresponding with 1837 granting 7 1/4 Coontahs of Enam land in Penagaloo and Siddaverum. —

The name of the Original grantee is not known but the Enam lands in Penagaloor are entered in the Pymaish and Enamaty

54		Sody	1 5 -						
	Total	15 - 5 - 11/16	17 - 48						

Court Rolls of the Manor of Ashmanhaye 1836

Fort St George 21st February 1836

In Condaseemla Sola Dry land	8	39	5	4
Goreganoor Dry land	7	14	10	20
Dunnavoola pand Dry land	4	5	7	30
Davagoody Dry land	3	30	15	10
Peddundloor Wet land	2	24½	42	9
Poorvasoga munchapully Dry land ...	4	14	8	00
Dhurmpooram Dry land	49	36	7	133
Dommara Mundal Dry land	3	17	8	5
Total land here actually obtained	112	3	4	368
Cunnaloor Dry Land	5	9	7/4	10126
Donavoola pand Dry Land	5	14	8	127
Total land obtained by Gift	10	10	5/4	1991
Grand Total	152	13	14/4	38885

and Farwary accounts in the name of Condaumd. -gon, Yegnumbottoo other. -wise called Dhurma. -poorum Yegnumbottoo while in that of Enamaty the name of Hommuspah the younger brother of the said individual is entered. -

The Enam lands situated in the Villages of Peddaparoopoda and Deyva. -goody are entered both in the Pymaish Farwary and Enamaty Account in the name of Yegnum. -bottoo.

The Enam lands situated in the Villages of Gollapully Goreganoor and Dunnavolapaud are entered both in the Pymaish and Farwary accounts in the name of Yegunmbottoo while in that of Enamity in the name of Soobba. -bottoo grandson of that person is entered.

The Enam land in Goodencherwoo is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Yegnumbottoo and in the Farwary account in the name of Vencatabottoo and in the Enamity account in the name of Hommuspah

The Enam land in in the Village of Ambavaram is entered both in the Pymaish and Farwary accounts in the name of Cona. -sanoodrum Vencatachary

to the several parties they be resumed on the demise of the respective parties

Atchumma
Sungapolu Dead

Venkumbottoo Dead

Yegnumbottoo Dead — Hommuspah Died without being married

Paupabottoo Dead

Soobbabottoo Last holder now dead.

Vencatnarain bottoo present

Vencatasum bottoo. present

Lutchmenursum bottoo present

Vencatachary and
Yegnarammah while
in that of Enamaty
the name of the for-
-mer only is entered
The Enam land in
the Village of Conda
soonkasoola is entered
in the Pymaish Account
in the name of Yeg-
-numbatloo in the
Tarwary Account
in the name of
Janakuerswariah
batloo, and in that
of Enamaty in the
name of Honumpah.

Of the Enam
lands situated in
the Villages of Ped-
-dundloor Land No. 56.
assessed at Rs. 26-5-2
is entered in the Py-
-maish and Tarwary
Accounts in the name of
Yegnumbatloo which land
No. 118 assessed at
Rupees 16-3-10 is en-
entered in the name
of Punchenapully
Condul row both in
the Pymaish and
Tarwary Accounts but
both numbers of land
are entered in the name
of Honumpah e-
younger brother of
Yegumbatloo in the
Enamaty Account
Of the Enam lands
situated in Durma
poorum land No. 95-
assessed at Rupees
10-4-2 is entered in
the Pymaish Account in
the name of Yegum-
batloo while in the
Tarwary Accounts is
entered as Condamud
-goovary Enam and
in that of Enamaty the
name of Honumpah
is

is entered Lands Nos 9-50 and 10 assessed at Rupees 123-6-2 are entered in the Pymaish and Tarwary Accounts in the name of Yegnum Cottoo while in that of Enamaty the name of Soobbiah Cottoo a grandson of Yegnum Cottoo is entered:-

The Enam land in Dommara Kundial is entered both in the Pymaish and Tarwary accounts in the name of Narama Cottoo while in that of Enamaty the name of Hon. nuppah younger brother of Yegnum Cottoo is entered.-

The Enam land obtained by gift in Cannalore is entered in the Pymaish account in the name of Cun chenapully Rama chendrarow in the Tarwary Account in the name of Cunchena pully Seenappah and in that Enamaty Account in that of Yegnum Cottoo who it is said obtained by gift from Shreene vassa Row son of Ramachendra Row and is enjoying the same.

The Enam land obtained by gift in Danavoola pand is entered both in the Pymaish and Tarwary Accounts in the name of Yellappah while in that of Enamaty the name of Seenappah son of Chundoor Yellappah is entered.

Cuddapah Collectors Office
on Circuit at Proddatoor
17th December 1838

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

B

B. No 482

Proceedings thereon

(556-57)

Mr. Murray is requested to state what aid he would require in order to clear off present arrears general measures are in contemplation for the regulation of enams throughout the Presidency: Mr. Murray will bear this in mind in framing his estimate for a temporary establishment.

Read again List from the Collector of Bellary dated 15th and entered in Mins. Consultation 30th January 1856 of Letters received from the Board of Revenue and remained unanswered to 31st December last.

(558)

B. No 481

Proceedings thereon

The Board observe that the under mentioned communication has been omitted in the List recorded above and request that it may be inserted in future arrear lists until replied to

Circular 21st July 1855

respecting Yeomiah registers &c.

2. The Board also request an early reply to their Circular of 25th June 1855 respecting sugarcane cultivation as they are unable to dispose of the general question until its receipt.

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

No 18.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to submit translation of a Plaint preferred by Veera Ramoo Ummal for recovery of the Errachanaikanoor Pollium assumed by Government together with Draft of the Answer I would propose to file. The Board are aware that recent orders of the Sudr Adalut require Pleadings to be very brief.

(559)

B. No 394 } From the Collector of Madura . . .

T. B. 9th August 1855

T. D. 25th Sept. D.

Madura.
Poodoocottah.
25th January 1856.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

No 1

Translation of Plaint in Original Suit No 1 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura

Plaintiff Vers Defendants

Veerakamoo Ammal daughter of Chinna Bola Naick late Zemindar of Errasakanaikanoor in Tenkurray Talook

By Vakeel Samah Row. –

1. R. D. Parker Esqr Collector of Madura
2. Soorakumoo Pillay alias Seva Narrain Pillay adopted Son & heir to the late Chockalingum Pillay and a Cultivator residing at Errasakanaikanoor, and at the Village of Padamettancottay in Canoor Talook in Mowzamgal
3. Carooputty Rowter alias Cawnkutteemeera Rowter a Resident of Pottampollam in Tenkuray Talook
4. Velliah Nadan son of Errulappah Nadan of Annaymuttu pullay in Tenkuray Talook

Pltf

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

This Suit is brought for the recovery from the Defendants of the (Malgoozary) Zemindary of Errasakanaikanoor capable of yielding an annual revenue of Rupees 6462 annas 6 and Pice 5 (5) paying a Peshcush to Government of Rupees 2061 and annas 15/ and for the recovery of Rupees 7113 annas 13 and Pice 7. being loss of yield for two years, sustained by the Plaintiff Total Sum claimed is Rupees 13576 and annas 4. –

1. The Plaintiffs Father the late Zemindar of Errasakanaikanoor, died in the year 1835, leaving no male issue. With reference to the Order of the Revenue Board dated 28th January 1836, the Estate was bestowed on his two widows Pappammaul and Chinnummaul the former of whom was Plaintiffs Mother Subsequently by the request of Pappammaul (Plffs mother) to be allowed to enjoy separately her own half share of the Estate, was complied with in the Decree passed in O.S. No 265 of 1836 on the file of the Pundit Sudder Ameen of the late Zillah Court. –

In

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

2. In Appeal Suit N°20 of 1838 Chinnumma[illegible]
mal appealed against that Decree after
presenting an answer to the Appeal the present
Plaintiffs mother Pappammaul died on the 19th
†30th March 1839 Pungoony A. D. 1839 / The Offg/ Continues to per-
form yearly the ceremonies pertaining to the
anniversary of her mothers death / Plaintiff /
having then been under age her grand mother
and guardian by name Achamaul undertook
to present a Motion in her behalf setting
forth her claims. The Appeal Decree
founded on the opinion of the Law Officers
of the Sudder Adalut Conferred on Chinnam-
maul / and after her decease on the present
Plaintiff / the right of succession to the Estate.

3. Ischappah Naick in the capacity of
Casharer brought an action in A. S. N° 55 of 1843
on the file of the Sub Court against the said widow
Chinnammaul and Offgs guardian Achammaul
†A. S. N° 18 of 1847 Civil Court The order passed in that case was appealed
against by Chinnammaul, and this Court then gave a

Decree

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

/Vide Para 2/ Decree confirming the Decision passed in
the A. S. N°20 of 1838 above mentioned which
was also further confirmed by the Court of Sudder
Adalut in their Decree in Special A. S. N° 78 of 1849.

4. Chinnummaul who enjoyed the Estate in
accordance with the above Decree, died on the
†End of July 1262 †12th July 1853. The present Plaintiff Performed
the Obsequies on that occasion, and immediately
petitioned the Collector to put her in possession
of the Estate she was thereupon only allowed
to retain possession of the Palace and Pumay
lands &c. whilst the Zemindary itself was put
in possession of the Father of the 2d Defendant
as the alleged Renter of it and also in possession
of the 3d Defendant and the Father of the 5th
Defendant as the alleged under renters of it -
consequently of the Defendants 2d to 4th are now
in the enjoyment of the Estate in question. -

5. The Plaintiff motioned the Sub and
Civil Courts for the execution of the Decree
passed in A. S. N°20 of 1838 who accordingly
ordered the issue of a Precept whereupon the
1st Defendant / Collector / made a Special
Appeal to the Sudder Adalut on the Subject

on

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

on the 26th February 1855 the Sudder ruled that as the Estate in dispute is in charge of the Collector, it cannot be removed from his charge without a regular Suit being brought against him. The present action is therefore instituted against all four Defendants. Under these circumstances the Vakeel on behalf of Plaintiff prays this Court will decree to her /the Plaintiff/ the restoration of the Errasakanaikanoor Estate, and the recovery of the amount stated at the head of this Plaint.

Dr.

Amount as per Summabundy of Fusly 1263	6462	6	5
Dr. As per Dr. of Fusly 1264	5805	6	6
	12267	12	11
Deduct			
The amount of Peshcush for the two years	4123	15	4
Assessment on the Summay lands in possession of Plff for two years	184	-	-
Pay of her Sibbendy establishment for Dr.	646	-	-
	4953	15	4
Remainder due to Plff	7113	13	7

2nd January 1856
Filed 4th Dr.

/Signed/ Samah Row
Vakeel
/True Translation/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

No 2

Draft of Answer to be presented in O.S. 87 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura

The claim is groundless

1. According to the custom of the Cumbala Poliar caste, to which the Plaintiff belongs Females cannot succeed to a Polliem, consequently on the death of the late Zemindar Chinnamal there being no heirs male, the Estate of Errachcheekanaikanoor was taken possession by Government as an Escheat, as was done formerly in the cases of the Nattum and Rangherry Pollems.-

2. It is true that Pauppummal and Chinnummal were suffered to enjoy this Estate without opposition by Government: but this was owing to the Custom of the caste and the precedents of the Nattum and Rangherry Zemindaries not having been properly brought to their notice.-

3. Ample proof will be adduced of the rule of the caste stated above.-

4. There is another objections to the succession of the Plaintiff. As a married woman and the step daughter of the late Poligar Chinnummal she has no claim under the Hindoo Law.-

To.

To any former Suits and appeals the Government was not a party any Expression therefore in favor of the Plaintiff which they may contain is of no avail in support of her claim.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Ordered to be submitted with the following letter.

557-60

To The Secretary to Government.

Sir

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for their information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madura dated 25th January 1856 reporting that Veerakamoommal has filed a suit in the Civil Court of Madura for

B.d. No. 191
To the Secretary to Government
Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madura reporting the institution of a suit by Veerakamoommal in the Civil Court for the recovery of the Errachukanaikanoor pollium and recommending that the Collector may be authorized to file his answer with the amendments suggested by the Board, and to conduct the defence at the public expence

To Government 16th March 1854
Extract Minutes Consultation 19th April in Cons.n 1st may 1854
To Govt. 21st August 1855
Extract Minutes Consultation 18th inst.o 25th September 55
Boards Proceedings to Government 11th October 1855
To Govt. 28th October 1855
Extract Minutes Consultation 3rd inst.o 17th November 55

Sent 2nd Copy 1st July 1856

for the recovery of the Errachanaikanoor Pollium and forwarding a copy of the Plaint with draft of the answer he proposes to file.

2. The Government are aware that this Poliput was, with their Sanction, recently resumed as an escheat in default of legal heirs, the claimants to it not being entitled to be recognized in that capacity.

3. In her Plaint Veerakamoommal states that she is the daughter of the last Zemindar who died in 1835 leaving no male issue. The Estate was continued to his 2 widows, the first of whom was plaintiffs mother who subsequently obtained the Sanction[x] of the Court to her receiving separately her own half share of the Estate

[x] C.S. 265 of 1836

The other widow preferred an appeal[D] against this decision - after presenting an answer Plaintiffs mother died Plaintiff was at this time underage and her grand mother and guardian presented a motion in her behalf, but the appeal decree conferred the Estate on the Surviving widow with the reversion of it to Plaintiff. This Decree was subsequently brought under notice in a Suit preferred

[D] C.S. 20 of 1838

A. S. 18 of 1847
Special A. S. 78 of 1849

preferred by another claimant, and was confirmed both by the Civil and Sudder Adalut Courts.

4. When Plaintiffs Step mother. i.e. the other widow died in Fusly 1253, She immediately applied to be put in possession of the Estate - but the Place and Punnay lands were alone given to her whilst the Zemindary was made over to Renters. She accordingly motioned the Civil and Subordinate Courts for the execution of the decree in A. S. 20 of 1838, and received a Precept. The Collector then made a Special appeal to the Sudder Adalut who ruled that as the Estate was in charge of the Collector it could only be taken from him by a regular Suit. Under these circumstances the Plaintiff has instituted the present Suit for the

the recovery of the Estate.

5. The Collector replies in a brief but clear answer, that according to the Custom of the caste to which Plaintiff belongs, Females cannot succeeded to a Polliem, Consequently, on the death of the late Zemindar, the Estate was resumed as was done in other cases which he instances. The fact of the widows of the last Poligar having enjoyed the Estate is noticed, and is explained to have arisen from the Custom of the caste, and from the cited precedents not having been brought to notice.

Para 5 of the answer

Another objection is urged against the Plaintiffs title, which is that as a married woman and the Step Daughter of the last holder She has no claim under the Hindoo Law. The Board propose to omit this paragraph, as a different view of the rights of succession under the Hindoo Law has

has been given by the Law Officers of the

+ C. & Book 1854 Page 11. Sudr Court +

6. The Board have also suggested the introduction of one sentence in the first para of the draft answer with the amendments they recommend that the Collector may be authorized to file the answer and Conduct the defence at the public expense

7. In Extract Minutes Consultation Dated 3d November 1855, the Government allowed Veerakamoonmal to hold for life free of tax the lands and Topes in her possession and liable to a tarum assessment of about 92 Rupees a year This concession of Government need not be interfered with whatever may be the result of the Suit now instituted.

Inams 17th Nov/55

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 21st February 1856. } (Signed) G. S. Forbes Secretary

C.B.

No. 40

To. G. S. Forbes Esqre Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir Fort St. George

511

Bd No. 251 From the Collector of Chingleput ... Reports on certain Petns presented by Nautoo Ristniengar of Conjeeveram

Para 1 I have the honor to report on the Petitions Nos. 2567. 2645. 2839. 2316. 2592. of 1855 presented by Nautoo Ristniengar of Conjeeveram with reference to the endorsement of Government thereon requiring me to state whether he may be granted permission to sue his adversary in the civil Court for certain Enam lands claimed by him

Seeyappiengar No. 1

Son Streneva Sa Iyengar No. 2

Son Terovunga Dacharri gar No. 4

Daughter Terovunga Dummall No. 7

Widow Mynagan acheariar No. 3

Adopted Son Seeappa Iyengar No. 5

Son Appanien gar No. 8

Son Streneva Sa Iyengar No. 6

Son Ristnien gar No. 9

For the better Elucidation of the circumstances stated below a Genealogical tree of the family is entered in the margin

2 The Enams under dispute consist of Seven Cawnies in Periathotum in Cayee veram and one Cawny in Teroopagoody given to Peria Seeyappiengar /1/ by the Mohomedan rulers

Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

pulers of the Country as a charitable grant His eldest son Streenevasa Iyengar /2/ bequeathed them on his death to his widow /3/ and Sisters son /8/ The Father of the Petitioner Kistniengar. This bequest formed the subject of Considerable litigation between the parties in the Mayor's Court at Madras in which no final decision was arrived at: but as it was clearly illegal as having been made by an undivided member of the family it was not recognized by the revenue authorities of the day who successively registered and continued the Enams to Teroovangada charry /4/ and on his demise to his adopted son Seeyappiengar /5/ Streenevasa Iyengar /6/ the Son of the last registered incumbent and undoubtedly the legetimate heir of the original Grantee has been now registered in this office in the absence of any evidence on my record to shew that the opposite party or his father has ever been registered as the legal holder of the Enams.

But

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

3. But it appears that independently of the bequest referred to No. 5 to whom the Enams were continued by this Department Subsequently waived his claims to them in favour of the widow No. 3 and Sisters Son No. 8. apparently in consideration of their having entered into an agreement for defraying the expenses incurred by him in the Mayor's Court amounting to 600 Pagodas and for which payment they again pledged the Enams to him as Security together with other property including a house. These Enams so pledged were afterwards redeemed by No. 8 when No. 6 the Son of No. 3 Subsequently disputing the genuineness of the redemption Sued for the recovery of the house but was non Suited by the Zillah Court in Suit No. 91 of 1831 No. 6 still denies the reality of the above transactions alleging that they were Sham and passed Solely for the adjustment of family quarrels, or the evasion of the pecuniary demands of Strangers / the parties being closely allied

Fort St. George 25th February 1856.

allied to each other by intermarriage, but carrying no weight with them and adding that in 1839 the Petitioner Kistniengar No. 9 executed an agreement in his favour whereby he renounced his claims to the Enams which have ever since remained in his possession; which agreement is again denied by that individual.

4. As the transactions referred to are of a date prior to the enactment of Regulation IV of 1831 and have been in part the subject of Judicial enquiry I am of opinion that the Petitioner Kistniengar No. 9 who is in possession of the house under the decree of Court might be permitted to buy the validity of his claims to the other property before the same tribunal.

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry.
Sydapet 1st February 1856

Signed/ C. I. Shubrick
Collector

Fort St. George 25th February 1856

Bd. No. 177
Proceedings thereon.
(561.02)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be forwarded to the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Endorsement on Petitions No. 2567 — 2615 — 2839 2316 and 2592 of 1855.—

2. Under the circumstances detailed in this letter the Board see no objection to allowing

allowing the Petitioner Ristnicunga

/No. 9/ to try his claims in a

Civil Court: the possession of

the Enam has avowedly been

transferred from one Member

to another of the family

to which both the parties

belong and the claims of the

parties have already been

under litigation.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

583

Bd. No. 249

From the Register to the Court of Sudder Adalut

Sir.

I have the honor by direction of the Judges of the Court of Sudder Adalut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 21st January, forwarding Copy of a letter from the Collector of Salem adverting to the refusal of the Acting Subordinate Judges of that Zillah to allow him additional time for filing an answer in Original Suit No. 22 of 1853, and requesting that the Court of Sudder Adalut might be moved to adopt a Special rule in modification of the rules of procedure recently issued with reference to the peculiar circumstances, mentioned by the Collector in paras 7 and 8 of his letter now under notice, attending the preparation of answers by Public Officers in Suits filed by parties against them.

J.

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

2. I have the honor by direction of the Judges of the Court of Sudder Adalut to state that there is nothing in the Rules of Procedure referred to in your letter which in any way militates against the provisions of any existing regulation but in order that reasonable time may on every occasion be granted to Public Officers for the Purposes Specified in Section II of Regulation I of 1823 the Judges have resolved to instruct the different Judicial Officers subordinate to them usually to allow two months for the preparation of answers to such Plaints

3. With regard to a further extension of this period the Judges have directed me to inform you that a Compliance with such an application must be left to the discretion of the Judges

Sudder Adalut . . . .

Registers Office 5th February 1856 } / Signed / George Ellis
Register

Bd. St. Legs.

Proceedings thereon. The Board resolve to forward a Copy of the above letter to all Collectors for information and guidance It is observed that the Court of Sudder Adalut have issued Circular Proceedings on the Subject dated 12th February 1856.

Fort St George 21st February 1856

No 8.

To. G. S. Forbes Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

585

Bd. No 490

From the Coll. of Masulipatam }

Sir.

I have the honor to inform you that on opening the 3 boxes containing the washington Iron Press for this District, no Pamphlet containing full directions for putting up the Press is discoverable.

2 The only Pamphlet is the manufacturers advertisement of his Patent grounds extra best Steel saws &c with price list, and directions for setting and sharpening saws. I forwarded herewith the cover, and request the Board will be good enough to send me the Pamphlet containing the instructions for setting the Press, as we have not succeeded without it in putting all parts together. If there be no Pamphlet available, it is perhaps desirable that the Professman should be sent

Sent up at once.

Masulipatam
Collectors Cutcherry
6th February 1856

[Signed] T.D. Lushington
Collector

Bd No 480

Proceedings thereon, A Pamphlet containing
(565.56) directions for putting up the Washington Hand
Presses will be forwarded to the Collector of
See Pages 20 & 21 Masulipatam by this days Book Bungy. He
will be pleased to return it when no longer
required. A separate printed Copy of the
directions will also be forwarded to him with
these Proceedings.

No 29

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(567)

Bd No 525

From the Collector of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Plan and Estimate, amounting to Rs 2126-8-0 for improving the Chuttrum at Kulpetty on Road No 1 from Dendigul to Trichinopoly

Sent to Superintd to be returned.

The

2 The nature of the changes proposed to the Building is fully explained by Lieutenant Payne in his Diary of observations dated 15th November 1854 Copy of which is enclosed.

3 The Acting Dubl Collector Mr Pauncefote considers the suggested improvement very desirable as the Traffic on the Road is likely to increase, in which opinion I fully concur, as sanction has been granted for putting the road into thorough repair Mr Pauncefote also reports that on the 30th November last there was a sum in deposite on account of this Chuttrum of Rs 2360. from which amount the expense of the proposed repairs can with the permission of the Board be defrayed.

Madura
9th February
1856

[Signed] R.D. Parker
Collector

Estimate

Fort St George 21st February 1856

Sent up at once.

Masulipatam
Collectors Cutcherry
6th February 1856

[Signed] T.D. Lushington
Collector

Bd No 480

Proceedings thereon, A Pamphlett containing directions for putting up the Washington Hand Presses will be forwarded to the Collector of Masulipatam by this days Bunk Bungley. He will be pleased to return it when no longer required. a separate printed Copy of the directions will also be forwarded to him with these Proceedings.

(565-66)

* See pages 20 & 21

No 29.

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(567)

Bd No 595

Sir.

From the Collector of Madura

I have the honor to forward a Plan and Estimate, amounting to Rs 2126-8- for improving the Chuttrum at Kalpuliyur Road No 1 from Dendigul to Trichinopoly

Sent to Madura to be returned.

The

Fort St George 21st February 1856

2 The nature of the charges proposed to the Building is fully explained by Lieutenant Payne in his Diary of observations dated 15th November 1854 Copy of which is enclosed.

3 The Acting Subl Collector Mr. Pauncefote considers the suggested improvement very desirable, as the Traffic on the Road is likely to increase, in which opinion I fully concur, as sanction has been granted for putting the road into thorough repair Mr. Pauncefote also reports that on the 30th November last there was a Storm in Decr which occasioned an overflow of the Cauvery at Trichinopoly on account of this Chuttrum of Rs 2360. from which amount the expense of the proposed repairs can with the permission of the Board be defrayed.

Madura
9th February
1856

[Signed] R.D. Parker
Collector

Estimate

Fort St George 21st February 1856

					3 Side Verandahs						
	60	2	3	360	Foundation to 30 pillars						
	45	1½	1½	101¼	Basement to Dº						
	30½	1¾	3	161 7/10	Foundation to 6 end walls						
	33½	1½	1½	75 15/10	Basement to Dº						
	36	1½	5	225	6 Side walls above						
	36	1½	1¼	56¼	6 Gable walls above						
	7¼	2¼	3	48 15/10	Foundation to one Cross wall to Verandah						
	7½	2	1½	21¾	Basement to Dº						
	7½	1¾	5	63 7/10	Verandah Cross wall above						
	7½	1¾	1½	15 7/10	Dº Gable wall						
	87	1½	1½	195¾	Foundation to Remaining walls						
	102	1½	1½	191¼	Retaining walls above						
	22	1	1¾	38½	Dº to Steps						
	Cubic feet			1555¾	or Cubic yards 57 17/27 Brickwork						
					old entablature in Chunam at	2	4	-	Dº	129	9
	150	1	1	150	or Cubic yards 5 5/10 30 Stone pillars at	2	8	"	Dº	13	14
	131⅓	6	½	39 1½	or Cubic yards 14 5/9 Flooring at	2	8	"	Dº	30	9
	151½	8 7/8	"	"	1345 9/10 Roofing with Palmyra rafters reapers nails flat and pan tiles & Chunam borders &c complete at	17	8	"	100 Sqft	235	3
	151½	5/8	9/4	71	Verandah beams						
	151½	3/16	¼	7½	Small Dº						
	Cubic feet			78⅛	Wrought wood at —	1	"	"	Cft	78	2
					Rupees	"	"	"	"	493	5
					Sundries at 10 per Cent	"	"	"	"	49	5
					Total for Verandahs Rs	"	"	"	"	"	542 10
					Repairing old Chuttrum						
	17	1	7	119	Remaining walls of Store room						
	10½	9½	2	199½	Opening in centre of covering for light.						
	22½	1	7	157½	Removing old wall in front						
	3	1¾	6	31½	Opening a doorway in rear wall						
	Cubic feet			507½	or Cubic yards 18 13/10 removing old work at	"	4	"	Cubic yd	4	11
	21	1	5/8	13⅛	Steps on 2 sides						

Carried from Page 2989

Carried to Page 2991

Rangoon Chuttrum at

Fort St George 21st February 1856

5½	1	7	59½	Reconstructing walls for store room.
22½	1½	7	236¼	Constructing front wall
4	1	4	16	4 Pillars above covering for light
16	1	3¼	52	} 4 Side walls for Dº
32	1	3¼	24	
5	1¾	2½	21⅞	Closing old doorway
99½	1½	2	223⅞	Parapets
Cubic feet —			646⅞	
6	1⅞	6	68½	Deduct for 2 doorways
5	1½	3¾	28⅛	Dº for 2 windows
2½	1	5	12½	Dº for 1 doorway of store room
Cubic feet —			99⅛	
Remaining cubic feet			546⅞	or Cubic yards 20⅞ Brick in Chunam at 2 " " Cubic yd 4º 8
43½	24½	½	532⅞	Flooring
8	1	½	4	Deduct for 8 pillars
16	1	½	8	Dº for walls of store room
Cubic feet			12	

Remaining cubic feet			520⅞	or Cubic yards 19 3/32 Flooring at 2 8 " Dº 48 3
				Pointing and white washing " " " " 10 "
10½	9½	2	199½	Terrace to upper wall for light
44	1½	½	33	Cornice to Dº
Cubic feet			232½	or Cubic yards 8 5/8 Brick and Cutstone in Chunam 2 8 " Dº 21 9
				1 Door complete " " " " 14 "
				1 Dº for Store room " " " " 10 "
				2 Windows complete " " " " 18 "
				Repairing old front door " " " " 2 "
				Rupees — " " " " 168 15
				Sundries at 10 per Cent " " " " 16 4
				Total Rupees " " " " " " 185 13

Carpenters at Calpentry

Rough Sawyers

Cook rooms

16	2	3	96	Foundation to 8 front pillars
12	1½	1½	27	Basement to Dº
54¾	2	3	328½	Foundation to 10 pillars & wall
54¼	1½	1½	122⅛	Basement to Dº
54¼	1¼	7	474⅛	Wall above
27¾	2	3	166½	Foundation to 3 cross walls
27¾	1½	1½	62⅞	Basement to Dº

2992

Fort St George 21st February 1856

27½	1¼	7	242⅜	3 Cross walls above
18½	1¼	1¾	40⅞	2 Gable walls above
15	2	3	90	Foundation to 2 Cross walls
15½	1½	1½	34⅞	Basement to Do
16½	1¼	7	144⅜	2 Cross walls above
34½	1½	2	104¼	Foundation to return ing walls
39½	1¼	1¼	61⅞	Returning walls above
48	8¼	⅜	148½	Flooring
48	1½	3	216	Foundation to 3 walls of Court yards
49½	1¼	8	495	3 Walls above
50½	16¼	3/16	156¼	Flooring to Court yards
Cubic feet —			3911½	
70	1	1	70	Deduct for 10 Stone pillars
Remaining Cubic feet			3841½	or Cubic yards 108 15/16

Brick in Common at — 2 " " Cubic yd 217 11

126	1	1	126	18 Stone pillars

Cubic yards 4 1/16 at 2 8 " Do 11 12

72½	½	½	9⅛	Wall plates
54½	¾	¾	30½	Pillar Plates
54½	3/16	¼	2 3/16	
Cubic feet			42 3/16	

Wrought wood at — 1 " " Cubic 42 3

Roofing per Sq. pal-
-mira rafters reapers nails
flat and pantiles &c
complete ——— 17 8 " 100 Sq. feet 24 12

Rupees — " " " " 416 9

Sundries at 10 per cent — " " " " 41 11

Rupees — " " " " " " 458 4

Removing old works
and clearing ground " " " " " " 15 "

Total of Estimate Rs. ——— 7126 8

/Signed/ G. Mc. Payne Lieutenant
2d Asst Civil Engr 8th Divn

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Coolamputty
2d April 1855

/Signed/ W. H. Horsley Capt.
Civil Engineer 8th Divn

Fort St George 21st February 1856

Encls. No 2.

Extracts from General Observations made by Lieutenant G. M. Payne 2d Assistant Civil Engineer 8th Div. during the month of November 1854.

Madura District

Ramgherry Taluk

16 Chuttrum at Cullputty

This Chuttrum was erected originally by a lady named Annugunnma, whose attention to the comfort of travellers is evidenced in many instances in the shape of wells and Annadanums still existing in this part of the District. The building formerly consisted of but one apartment, to which in the year 1833 additions were made, and the Chuttrum underwent further repairs in the year 1844 and 1846. Apparently from motives of economy, the additional portions were constructed of brick in mud, this mode of construction however has proved to be but bad economy for with the exception of the portion which constitutes the original building and which is composed of

Fort St George 21st February 1856

of cut stone the remainder of the Chuttrum is in ruins, and quite unfit for the use of travellers attached to the Chuttrum is land yielding an annual sum of between 200 and 500 Rupees. From this a small amount is expended upon the maintenance of two or three persons who are supposed to look after the building, and another small sum is set apart for providing rice for a certain number of brahmins and beggars the remainder of the money is allowed to accumulate, the accounts of the expenditure and Cash in hand, being kept by an individual who receives for his trouble a monthly salary of 6 Rupees at the present time. I am told that a large sum is lying to the credit of the Chuttrum the precise amount of which the Taluk Goomastah is

is unable to inform me, but which he says is between 1500 and 2000 Rupees an amount which will be quite adequate for executing the much needed repairs. Being situated at a convenient distance on the main road between Trichinopoly, and Dendigal, the number of travellers bandies &c who put up at the Chuttrums is by no means inconsiderable and in the event of the road between the abovementioned places being put into good order, the probabilities are that the traffic will increase. It seems therefore highly desirable that some suitable accommodation should be provided for the use of travellers; and I would respectfully suggest that the building in question be repaired in a more substantial manner, and on a better arranged plan than has hitherto been the case.

case. at present it contains several small ill ventilated rooms all of which, with the exception of one as mentioned before, are in a ruinous state; my suggestions regarding the reconstruction of the Chuttrum are, that the original compartment be permitted to remain subject to sundry improvements such as the enlargement of two existing windows, and the opening of a Ventilator in the ceiling for the escape of foul air as well as for the admission of light. There are at present but two small doors and windows, the natural consequence of which is that the atmosphere of the building is at all times unpleasant and during the day time the heat is almost unbearable. The remainder of the Chuttrum I propose to be removed, and in its stead a more comfortable, and substantial

Fort St George 21st February 1856

substantial building be substituted. In order that a little uniformity may exist in the arrangement of the Chuttrum another room of the same dimensions as the one I propose should be allowed to remain, might be constructed of Cut Stone, a sufficient quantity of which material can be transported from a ruined Chuttrum at "Cuberum" a distance of 4 Miles. Of these rooms one could be set a part for Brahmins and the other for Soodrahs whilst for the lower castes a verandah of suitable dimensions could be erected on three faces of the building;

Fort St George 21st February 1856

building; the rear side being left for the construction of Cook houses for the higher castes.

The Plan and Estimate will be forwarded to the Collector when received.

With an Estimate now under preparation, I purpose forwarding two Plans one shewing the present arrangement of the Chuttrum the other proposed alterations.

Ammamanichanoor 11th December 1855

/Signed/ G. M. Payne Lieut. 7th Regt. 6th Engrs. 8th Div.

/Signed/ W. A. Horsley Capt. Civil Engrs. 8th Div.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R. D. Parker Collector

Proceedings

Fort St George 21st February 1856.

Bd No 287

Proceedings thereon.

(567.68)

The Board on Marriages the execution of the repairs described in Lieutenant Baynes's Diary and particularized in the Estimate which, accompanies it the cost being defrayed from the funds which have accumulated out of the proceeds of the Endowment Mr Parker is requested to make arrangements for exacting a proper attention to the duties for which they are paid from those persons who are employed, as attendants and from the accountant.

Extract

Fort St George 21st February 1856

No 902

After Bd No 530 From the Chief Engineer

(569)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public Works Fort St George under date the 12th February 1856.

Read Extract Minutes Consultation dated 25th January 1856 reviewing the Estimates submitted with the list for November last.

/Here Enter No 116/

Resolved that Extracts from paras 1 and 2 be furnished to the Board of Revenue with a request that they will be pleased to favor the Chief Engineer with an expression of their opinion as to the eligibility of the sites proposed for the Buildings therein referred to.

/True Extract/

/By Order/

/Signed/ P. O'Connell Lieut

Assistant Chief Engineer

D. P. W.

No 116

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Works Department under date the 26th January 1856.

Para 1 The Right Honorable the Governor

2997 Fort St. George 21st February 1856

Governor in Council sanctions the undermentioned Estimates submitted by the Chief Engineer and directs that they be entered in List No. 2 of the Budget of 1856/57..

District	Particulars	Amount of Estimate
Canara	Building a Tahsildars Catchery at Bilghy	4,000 - -
	Building a new Catcherry at Pootoor	4,200 - -
	Building a Portico to the Horzoor Catchery at Mangalore	160 - -
	Building compound wall to the Cutcalls Choultry at Mangalore	390 - -
	Building Police Tanahs at Munchekerry and Echumby at 66 Rupees each	132 - -
	Building new Peishcars Catchery at Bellatangady	2,559 - -
	Building a new Travellers Bungalow at Sedasheguer	2,090 - -

&c &c

2997 Fort St. George 21st February 1856

2 All the Estimates from the Canara District are for new Buildings or for additions to Buildings but the explanation as to the necessity of the works is in general very scanty. The Civil Engineers letter indicates the Collector's approval of the several works but there is nothing whatever from the Collector to shew to what extent his concurrence is given. The Board of Revenue and the Collector should also be consulted as to the sites not only of the Bilghy Catchery but also of the other Taloot and Peshcars Catcherries and the result reported. The necessity for these Buildings and of the size proposed should also be explained and with respect to the Travellers Bungalow sanctioned for Sedasheguer, the number of Travellers using the road should be stated. All this information should be supplied and in the meanwhile conditional sanction is given for entering the works in the Budget list but not for commencing their construction.

/ By Order /
/ Signed / P.O. Connell Lieutt
Assistant Chief Engineer
D.P.W.

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

Bd No: 497
Proceedings thereon
569-70

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Canara for report

No: 13.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

571

Bd No: 558
From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

Ryaniah the Tahsildar of the Palmanair Talook having been in conformity with the instructions conveyed in an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th January 1856. dismissed from his situation, the Sheristadar who was in charge of the Talook, during the period the Tahsildar was under suspension, submits a request that he may be allowed to draw the Tahsildars salary for that period and that the person who performed his duty may be permitted to draw his salary and so of the rest.-

2 I do not consider the Sheristadars request unreasonable that as the

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

Tahsildars salary for the period he has been under the suspension, has been already carried to the credit of Government it will be necessary, if the Board concur in my opinion that the sanction of Government be obtained for refunding the amount carried to account.-

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 13th February 1856

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

Bd No: 479
Proceedings thereon
571-72

Resolved that the letter recorded above be submitted for the orders of Government, with the Boards recommendation that the Collectors request may be complied with.-

No: 14

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

573

Bd No: 593
From the Ag Collector of Canara

Sir,

In reply to your Circular No: 293 under date the 30th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that there are no

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

no Enams in this District of the des-
cription alluded to by Government
8th January 1856 in the para of Extract Minutes of Con-
sultation quoted by you.

Collector's Cutchery
On Circuit Cundapoor
13th February 1856 } (Signed) W. Fischer
Acting Collector

Order thereon
573-74

Ordered to lie over

No 16

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

575
Bd. No 602
From the Ag Collr
of Guntoor }

Sir.

I have the honor, in reply to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st Instt. to state that the information therein called for will be found in the copy of the Indent for scales for-warded to the Board on the 15th November last. The same is further noted in the margin for reference.

Salt Stores	No of Scales
Chena Ganjam	5
Odda Gahyam	2
Nizampatam	2
Pirah	1
	11

Guntoor District
Collector's Office
Croftoor 15th February 1856 } (Signed) H. Neuill
Acting Collector

Fort St. George 21st February 1856

Order thereon
575-76

Ordered to lie over

No 15

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

577
Bd. No 591
From the Collector
of Cuddapah }

Sir.

I have the honor to inform you with reference to Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th May 1855 annexing an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 4th idem wherein the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions certain Enams enjoyed by parties on account of the swinging festival under the Proviso that the Enam will be forfeited by any repetition of the debrasing ceremony for the maintenance of which it was originally granted and desiring to report whether any of the Enamdars are willing to receive a reasonable sum of ready money in lieu of all further claims that

that the different Enamdars have been called upon to state whether they will in accordance with the wishes of the Board accept a compensation in lieu of the Enam granted during their lives but they have one and all refused to accept any compensation

2 New Summuds have been issued to them and upon the demise of the individuals to whom they were granted the Enams will be attached and incorporated with Circar lands.

Cuddapah Collector's Office 15th February 1856 } (Signed) M. Murray Collector

Order thereon

577.78

Ordered to lie over.

No. 14

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

579

Bd. No. 592 From the Collector of Cuddapah }

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Circular letter No. 2960 under date the 6th November 1855

1855 and in reply to state that should the pensioners make their appearance monthly before the Talook authorities I see no reason why their names should not be entered in the Baraumd, and the parties paid monthly in the same manner as the public servants receive their monthly salary.

2 Pagoda and Mosque Judeeks are paid quarterly this could be altered to monthly payments should the Board of Revenue desire it.

Cuddapah Collector's Office 15th February 1856 } (Signed) M. Murray Collector

Order thereon

579.80

Ordered to lie over

No. 30

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

581

Bd. No. 589 From the Collector of Tanjore }

Sir,

I have had the honor to receive an Extract from the Proceedings of

of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th ultimo.

The provisions of Act XXX of 1854, have been fully made known to the Sea Custom Officers in this Province.

Tanjore
Vellum 18th February 1856 } (Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

(581-82)
Order thereon — Ordered to lie over

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

(583)
B. No. 1499
To the Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request the Revenue Secretary to Government will be so good as to inform him whether the Wooden Presses now working in the offices of the Collectors of North Arcot and Rajahmundry are known to be in any way superior to the 10 new wooden Presses recently sent to the Board from the Government office, as if not, it would be preferable to send new Presses to Trichinopoly and Guntoor, and allow

the Collectors of the former two districts to keep their old wooden Presses with the new Iron ones just sent to them.

2 It would seem better also to send new Type to Guntoor and Trichinopoly direct instead of transferring the old Type thither from North Arcot and Rajahmundry. Vernacular Type can always be manufactured at Madras and when the Type in use at the last mentioned districts becomes defective it can easily be replaced from thence.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st February 1856 } (Signed) G. L. Forbes.
Secretary

Comparative

General Comparative Abstract of the Collections in the various items.-

	Fusly 1264	Fusly 1265	Increase	Decrease
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
Land Revenue	7380637	9554106	2173469	-
Extra Sources				
Abkarry	935304	1003882	68578	-
Sundry Small Farms &c.	96480	118119	21639	-
Salt	1842233	2276121	433888	-
Sea Customs	397282	502043	104761	-
Moturpha	413173	434907	21734	-
Stamps	264199	323580	59381	-
Sayer	64595	90217	25622	-
Total Extra Sources	4013266	4748869	735603	-
Grand Total	11393903	14302975	2909072	-
Particulars				
Collections to the end of November	6740459	9515879	2775420	-
Do in December	4653444	4787096	133652	-
Total	11393903	14302975	2909072	-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st February 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No 1

Remarks on the fluctuations in the Revenue for the Month of December 1855

Land Revenue Current

The cause of the Decrease in Nellore is not stated by the Collector.

The decrease in Tinnevelly is owing to a part of the collections not having been brought to account within the month.-

Land Revenue Arrears.

The increase in the Collections of arrears of Land Revenue in the several districts is chiefly owing to the balance at the beginning of the Fusly being greater than in the previous year and to the alteration in the termination of the Fusly from 11th July to 30th June.-

Season report.-

There were slight showers of rain in nearly all the districts and heavy falls in Cuddapah, Trichinopoly and Madras.- In Bellary no rain fell.- The crops both wet and Dry were generally in

3008 Fort St. George 21st February 1856

in a thriving condition - In Rajahmundry the Paddy crops were almost all reaped and in North Arcot the Poonjah crops have been harvested - There was a slight fall in the price of grain in Rajahmundry, Masulipatam and Guntoor and a rise in South Arcot - There were freshes in the rivers Pennar Cauvery and Coleroon and the Tanks were well supplied in Madura -

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

585

To the Secretary to the
Marine Board

Bd. No. 486
To the Secretary to the Marine Board -

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of my letter dated 19th January 1856, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to inform me whether there is any chance of your being

3009 Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

being able to procure freight for conveying the Iron Presses for the districts of Malabar Madura and Tanjore - as if not, the Board are disposed to send these by land -

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
21st February, 1856

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary.

Read the undermentioned

586

Memorandums -

Bd. No. 232.

Memo: From Deputy Secretary to Government dated 15th Jany. 1856. } Forwarding 4 Copies of Proceedings of the Legislative Council of India from 20th May 1854 to 30th June 1855 Volume I. Part I. -

586-87

Ordered to be recorded -

Order thereon -

Bd. No. 233.

Memo: From Deputy Secretary to Government dated 17th Jany. 1856. } Forwarding 1 Copy

588 of the report on the External commerce of

of Bombay for 1854/55.

588.89

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

590

Bd. No. 370.

From the Secretary to Government. Read Extract communicating orders of Government on the Petition from the Curnum of Cota Ramachundrapooram in Rajahmundry and requesting to be relieved of the Additional Cuttoobady imposed by the late Zemindar on their service Enam lands &ca —

590.91

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

Bd. No. 35.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Read Indent on the

592 Superintendent of Stationery for Stationery and

592.93 Sundries required for the year 1856.

Order thereon — Ordered that the original Indent be passed and forwarded to the

594 Secretary Military Board.

Bd. No. 2734.

From the Collector of Cuddapah. Read Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st July 1855.

594.95

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the necessary orders

orders be passed.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements —

596

Mr. No. 475.

From the Acting Collector of Ganjam — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store available for Exportation to Calcutta on the 1st February 1856.

597

Mr. No. 476.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on the 1st February 1856.

598

Mr. No. 477.

From the Collector of Tanjore — Register of Petitions referred by the Board for disposal for January 1856.

599

Mr. No. 478.

From the Collector of North Arcot. Return of Village officers Suspended or dismissed from Employment under Regulation VI of 1831. for January 1856.

600

Mr. No. 479.

From the Acting Collector of Canara — Return of the Revenue of Securities of Public servants at the commencement of Fusly 1265 under Circular order of Board of Revenue of 6th May 1852. —

From,

601
Mr. No. 446.
From the Acting Collector of Ganjam — Statement of Demand & Collection and Balance of Revenue for January 1856.

602
Mr No. 445.
From the Collector of Bellary — Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue for January 1856.

603
Mr No. 447.
From the Collector of Chingleput — Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue for January 1856.

604
Mr No. 450.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Average Bazar Prices of Grain for January 1856.

605
Mr. No. 449.
From the Collector of Bellary — Average Bazar Prices of grain for January 1856.

606
Mr No. 448.
From the Collector of Chingleput — Average Bazar Prices of grain for January 1856.

From

607
Mr No. 451.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly — From Average Bazar Prices of Grain for January 1856.

608
Mr No. 452.
From the Collector of Sea Customs — Export Statement of Bullion for January 1856.

609
Mr No. 444.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Statement shewing the state of the season during the 1st half of February 1856.

610
Mr No. 443.
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras — Statement of Salt Petre shipped on Board English

3014. Fort St. George 21st February 1856.

English Vessels for London and Liverpool from the Madras Presidency for January 1856.

Compd HC

3015 Fort St. George 22nd February 1856.

No. 42.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Friday the 22nd February 1856.
Revenue Department.
No. 73.

111

B. No. 323.

From the Secretary to Government Reviewing the report of the Collector of Bellary submitted with the Board's Proceedings dated 18th December 1855 respecting the management of the Munro Choultry at Gooty and approving of the rules drawn up by Mr. Pelly for its future management.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date 22nd January, 1856.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 13th December 1855 No. 3302)

With the above Proceedings the Board

1. of Revenue lay before Government the report called for in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 18th April 1855 No. 442 respecting the management of the Ghooty Chuttrum in the District of Bellary. It is based on an investigation on the spot during ten days by Mr. Horsley Assistant Collector aided by one of the Hoozoor Sheristadars. Mr. Horsley's separate letter to the Collector on the subject is also submitted. The points to which enquiry was to be specially directed are stated in para 1 of Mr. Pelly's report as extracted from the order of Government and may be summed up as follows—

1. As to the large outlay for servants there and salaries—
2. The rules for distributing food as to quantity—

The

3. The rate of batta and the rule-

4. Character of recipients and proportion of each class-

5- Whether beggars from the neighbourhood allowed to make the Chuttrum their resort and place of shelter.

6. The measures in force to secure the funds from misappropriation-

7. Whether the Officers of the institution shared in the food said to be distributed-

8. The credit due to the accounts of the number relieved-

The above points are accordingly all reported on, and the Government will proceed to notice them briefly-

2. As to the establishment of servants the Government learn that seventeen are employed for the management of the Chuttrum, including a Duffadar and six peons. It is now proposed to reduce that number by one, the reduction being that of one peon; but sixteen still appears to be a large number. In addition to the two Cooks and three water bearers for the higher castes and the Soodras there are two "helping hands" and it seems difficult to suppose that a Duffadar and five peons can be wanted. The total outlay on servants is at present 756 Rupees a year, and as

the Collector proposes to make it 750 for the future, the whole expenses of the Chuttrum are fixed at Rupees 2045 per annum so that the cost of servants will still be only a fraction less than 58 per cent, on the whole remaining outlay. Mr. Horsley suggests that the services of the storekeeper should be dispensed with - But the Collector is not of opinion that further reduction can be made; and on the contrary proposes to increase the pay of the storekeeper and give him a more independent position - So that he and the manager may be checks one upon the other. It appears unlikely however to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that the work should be enough for two men, and the union of the two Offices seems expedient especially as by this means a better salary would be available for the head of the establishment and perhaps some further reduction might be practicable in the present very heavy establishment charges. The Governor in Council would wish however to leave this point, as well as the other reductions indicated in the early part of this para to the decision of the Collector.

The

3. The rules for giving relief are good in this respect that no money is destributed but all in food either cooked or raw - The fixed allowance is three fourths of a seer to each recipient, but the Shuttrum or Talook Officers have reduced this without any authority to half a seer. Mr. Pelly proposes for the future to fix the quantity at $\frac{5}{8}$ seer of rice for brahmins and the higher Castes and $\frac{3}{4}$ seer of Cholum for Soodras and others, this quantity to be invariably given and not be liable to diminution at the pleasure of the Shuttrum or Talook Officers.

4. As a general rule persons are only allowed to remain in the building and to receive food for one day, cases of sickness excepted, but beyond this there seems to be but little or no discrimination as to the Classes receiving relief. Of the whole number of 28865 persons relieved in Firsly 12641, 4767 are described to be beggars of the Bellary district, and there seems no doubt that out of the 11759 designated "travellers" belonging to the district many were really "beggars" attracted to the building by the distribution of food. In para 8 of his letter Mr. Pelly would

Mr.

appear to defend this practice on the ground that it is hard to drive away those most in need of food, and that he sees no reason why the inhabitants of the district should not partake of the benefits of the institution, which perpetuates the memory of Sir Thomas Munro, a former Collector of the district as well as strangers from a distant land. The Board adopt this reasoning, but it seems to have escaped the consideration of the Collector and this authority that the objects of an alms house and those of an institution maintained for the relief of travellers are quite distinct.

5. From para 4 of Mr. Horsley's letter, it is observed that he discovered some instances of misappropriation of food, both to individuals and institutions unconnected with the Shuttrum, and also to its own officers. Three Dawastanumms in the town have been allowed to receive food from the Shuttrums, the expenditure being entered in the accounts in the name of the Poojaries, and brahmins, inhabitants of Ghooty, have also been fed two instances of daily relief being mentioned by the Collector

Mr.

Mr. Horsley further states his belief this kind of abuse exists to much greater degree than is likely to be discovered being, as he says, countenanced by the Tahsildar. He also thinks the accounts as totally unworthy of credit, and there seems no doubt that they have been kept without any care or proper scruting. But the result of Mr. Horsleys' own experiment as to the numbers relieved, though it does afford a broad margin for fraud does not fully support his sweeping assertion, for during his 10 days stay at Ghooty, the number of persons relieved under his own orders amounted to 661 a rate giving 23796 for one year which compared with the accounts of Fusly 1264, shows a decrease of 5069, and he himself accounts for this decrease by pointing to the fact that in other months than that in which his experiment was instituted a greater number of people pass through Ghooty on their way to and from Tadputtry and Hemnee. But it is difficult to judge exactly as to the real value of this experiment. It was of course known all over the neighbourhood that an enquiry was going on, and that all applicants were

scrutinized

scrutinized by Mr. Horsley and it seems probable that many of the class of "beggars" would be disposed to forbear their periodical application for relief and so the whole number of applicants would be lessened - It is obvious that it would have been more favourable to fraud to maintain the usual scale of relief than to lower it, as the former allowed the greater breadth for peculation - Mr. Pelly does not agree in the condemnatory views of his Assistant, but inclines throughout his report to vindicate the Talook Officers -

6. On the whole though some irregularities and abuses have been detected and admitting even that there are others not detected, the result of Mr. Horsley's investigations may be taken, the Government think, as rather creditable than otherwise to the parties concerned, it is so far satisfactory, as it shows that few irregularities and none of any magnitude have been practised - It is natural that abuses will exist in such institutions; but there is nothing gross or glaring in the present instance. Mr. Pelly has drawn up some rules, which the Board approve on the whole, and which though they may

perhaps

perhaps be too Minute and may require accounts too detailed, will probably be useful. At all events, the result of the present scrutiny into the affairs of the Shuttrum must prove serviceable, the Government think and the Collectors careful attention should be directed towards it. The rules proposed by Mr. Pelly are therefore generally approved.

7. No explanation is offered of the fact prominently noticed in the former order of Government that during five fasties of which an abstract account had been given by Mr. Pelly* the number of persons relieved averaged 40,000 a year, ranging from 34,000 to 48,000 at which latter rate each person would receive the value of only about 4 pice. The practice of thus attenuating the relief given supposing the accounts to be correct appears to have been very properly checked, and Mr. Pelly's present rules will put a stop to it entirely.

8. The information furnished is defective as regards the description of persons receiving relief, such institutions as the Munro Shaultry are very apt to become the resort of mendicant Brahmins and other classes of religious

beggars

* Letter to Board of Revenue June 6th 55 No 23 para 2.

beggars, and the small amount of the expenditure per head leads to the belief that such has been very much the case in the present instance some positive check must be placed on the relief of mere beggars as that practice is certainly a departure from the original objects of the institution, and the Collectors attention should be specially given to this point.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

611-12
B. No. 499
Order thereon
In Cons. 5 Dec. 1855

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 7th September 1855.

Revenue Department

613
B. No. 45
From the Secretary to Government

No. 130
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th February 1856.

Read the following Letter from the Collector of Coimbatore.

Forwards for consideration and orders of Government Copy of letter from Captain J. Campbell requesting an advance of 500 Rupees for purchasing Seeds &c. for new Forests near Sackittalla

} Here enter 31st December 1855 No. 50 / In an order of this date

date No. 129, Government have sanctioned an advance of 10,000 Rupees for the formation of plantations on the Neilgherries Hills to be divided between the Collector and Captain Campbell in such proportions as the Board of Revenue may determine. It is now ordered that the portion assigned to the latter shall include the advance of 500 Rupees now applied for to purchase seed.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) I. D. Bourdillon
Secretary to Government

(113.114)
B. No. 502
Order thereon

Ordered to be forwarded to the Collector of Coimbatore for information and guidance.

615

Revenue Department

No. 149

After No. 507
From the Secretary to Government Reviewing the report of the Board on the subject of the various Taxes levied in the making of lime in the Presidency as well as in the several Districts in the interior

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th February 1856

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 30th November 1855, No. 3197)

With this letter the Board of Revenue submit in continuation of previous correspondence the reports of the different Collectors on the various taxes levied on

on the making of lime. The Board treat in the first place of the taxes which affect the Presidency, and then of those prevailing in the several Districts of the interior.

2 As respects the Presidency the taxes levied on Chunam are described as two fold, 1st the Right of working the quarries situated on Government land, which right is farmed out, 2d Duit rent which is levied on the Kilns. A third item being a license fee exacted from Chunam burners, has already been abolished by an order of Government of the 7th August last.

3 The first item viz the farming out of the Quarries, appears to Government unobjectionable. The amount levied is in reality not a tax, but is strictly a rent resulting from the limited supply of the commodity. If Government relinquished the demand, the Village authorities would certainly enforce it for their own benefit, if that were not prevented by a strict and constant watch which in fact could not be maintained. It may be doubted, as the Board truly remark, whether this rent adds to the price of the Chunam; it is evident that as the renter pays a fixed sum, it is his interest to dig

and

and sell as much material as possible within the term of his lease, and to do this he must keep the price low. It seems to that the practice of farming out the quarries is the best mode on the whole, of dealing with them, a rent or seignorage might be levied on given quantities of the commodity itself, but that system is open to obvious objection. when the opening of the Southern Canal shall have facilitated access to the quarries South of Madras, and the extension of the navigation Northward shall bring the produce of the Nellore quarries into the market, the supply will be much increased and the market price of the article will fall, as well as perhaps the amount of the Rents. The proceeding of the Collector of Madras, in leasing the Veysarpady quarries /para 17/ appears to Government to have been proper, all such sources of supply should be made available as a means of extending competition and lessening the stringency of the natural Monopoly.

4 No change appears to the Government necessary or expedient in the management of the Quarries. It seems undesirable that any special

Board's letter para 4. Note The Superintending Engineer only applied to the Collector because he had found it necessary to attach the quarries for non payment of the Rent.

special treatment should be adopted as regards the Government works. If certain quarries were to be reserved for Government purposes, a door would certainly be opened to abuse, and it seems far better that the Officers of Government, or the contractors to supply the article as the case may be, should purchase Chunam in the open general market like other buyers, with reference to the necessity under which the Collector found himself of attaching the quarries in default of payment of the Rent, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council would remark that probably such measures would be rendered unnecessary, and the payment be made more punctual and certain by greater care in exacting valid security from the contractor

5 With reference to the letter from the Military Board /June 25th 1855 No. 96/ representing that the supply of Chunam for the public works at the Presidency is affected by the measures taken by the Collector of Chingleput for realizing the rent due by the

the farmers, it appears that the failure of the supply resulted more from the absence of water in the canal than from the measures adopted by the Collector. His proceedings, so far as concerned the realization of the demand against the Quarry renters, appear to Government to have been regular and unobjectionable, and he seems to have exerted himself to afford facilities to the Government Chunam Contractors.

6 As regards the quit rent levied on the Kilns in the Madras Collectorate, the Board now report that the amount on each Kiln is 10½ Rupees a month for ten months, and not 10½ Rupees a year, as stated in their previous communication of the 26th July. They have authorized in the Collector to reduce the rent by Rupees a month, comparing it with the rate charged per ground for other purposes, they consider to be moderate. The practice of levying quit rent according to the purpose for which ground is to be used, is in the opinion of Government open to serious objection; and they consider it desirable that the Board should discuss with

with the Collector whether this mode of rating could not be entirely abolished, and rates be established according to situation only. It is stated that the Kiln owners do not object even to the tax of 10½ Rupees a month, and this is easily intelligible, because the higher the rate the more secure their monopoly. It seems that all the existing Kilns, only 24 in number, are situated at one spot close to the canal basin, it does not appear whether attempts have ever been made to establish other Kilns either in that neighbourhood or elsewhere along the canal or if such attempts have been made what quit rent was demanded. It seems very doubtful whether the reduction now made in the quit rent will at all affect the price of Chunam.

7 The remainder of the Board's letter treats of the taxation of lime and lime making in the interior. In Chingleput (para 13) a small revenue is obtained under the head of small farms and licenses, to the amount in Fasly 1264, of only 28¾ Rupees. The Board propose to abolish that, and to charge the Kilns simply with the assessment of the land they occupy, and they propose to give the same

same order as respects brick kilns, which are similarly taxed in the same district to the amount of 41 Rupees..

	Rs a p.
Rajahmundry	55-8-0
Guntoor	44-11-9
South Arcot	10-8-0

Tinnevelly	
House tax	19-13-6
Poll	0-3-5
Lime kilns	40-15-1
	61-0-0

North Arcot	
Kilns	17-1-9
House	80-13-2
	97.14.11
Salem	182-0-0
Kurnool	33-0-0
Dr on Chunam burners	74-0-0
	107-0-0
Bellary	184-4-0
	742-14-8

*Tinnevelly	19-13-6
North Arcot	80-13-2
	100-10-8

In nine other districts Moturpha is levied either on Kilns or in a few instances on the men exercising the trade, either as a poll or as a house tax, the total amount is no more than Rupees 742-14-8, omitting Cuddapah, for which district the Board had not obtained the particulars. The Board recommend that the Moturpha and poll taxes should be abolished, but that the house tax amounting to only Rupees 100-10-8 in two districts* should be continued till it shall be determined whether such taxes are to be continued for municipal purposes. The Government observe that this is a distinction in name only, by whatever term designated these taxes are in fact all the same. If any part of the Moturpha is continued for municipal objects it will be only

only in the towns and only on houses of a certain rank, but probably none of the parties paying this tax live in towns at all, and at all events that they are poor and not proper subjects for direct taxation of any kind. As the general question of the abolition of the Moturpha is under the consideration of the Home authorities, the Government do not consider it expedient to authorize any change at present either with respect to these particular imposts or to those classed under the head of Small Farms and Licenses in Chingleput, except to direct, as regards the latter, that the taxes both on Chunam and brick kilns be henceforward brought to the head of Moturpha..

In Nellore and in South Arcot there are shell quarries similar to those of Chingleput; but the Board do not propose to levy any tax on them - This is inconsistent - In a short time the Nellore quarries at least will be placed by the extension of the Canal within reach of the Madras market, and they will then

no

3032 Fort St. George 22d February 1856

no doubt be largely worked The Government are of opinion that they ought to be rented out like the Chingleput quarries for what they will fetch. The same course should be followed, with the South Arcot quarries, unless a really valid ground should be produced for their exemption, on which subject the Board will report further.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon

Secretary to Government

615.16

Bd. 7.558

Order thereon 1. Ordered to be communicated to the Collectors of the districts noted in the margin, for information and guidance.

Chingleput
Madras
Rajahmundry
Guntoor
South Arcot
Tinnevelly
North Arcot
Salem
Kurnool
Bellary

2 The Board request the Collector of Madras will favor them with his opinions on the suggestion of Government contained in para 8.

RR

Extract

3

3033 Fort Saint George 22nd Febuary 1856.

No. 335.

To

G. S. Forbes Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

617

Bd. No. 3658

From the Collector of Chingleput. — Reports on the best means of simplifying the tax on the firewood cut at Streeharricottah and preserving the jungles from destruction.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Boards Proceedings of the 23rd August last requiring me in reference to Minutes of Consultation of the 9th Idem to report on the best means of simplifying the tax levied on the firewood cut at Streeharricottah and protecting the jungles from destruction.

2. The Streeharricottah firewood is classed in 6 sorts as exhibited in the margin*

Toll levied.

	R. A. P.
* 1st sort logs from 15 Inches to 18 in circumference and from 1½ to 1¾ yards long per 100,000.	362-8-0
2nd do. from 13 Inches to 14 do. and 1¾ yards do. per 100,000	192-8-0
3d do. from 8 to 10 do. and 2 yards do. per 100,000	140-0-0
4th from 4 to 8 do. and 1¾ yard do. per 100,000	91-0-0
5th Bundles of billets of 10 maunds weight	0-2-1

The 1st Class is now rarely met with, the 2d and 3rd Classes are exported to a small extent, but the 4th and 5th Classes form the greatest portion of the supply.

3. The 5th Class consisting of small sticks made into bundles of 10 maunds each are regularly weighed, and the tax is collected on the weight and not on the mere number of bundles without reference to weight. I have now further directed

Fort Saint George 22nd Febuary 1855.

directed that it should be commuted from Fanams into Rupees at 2½ — 1 or every 10 Fanams. This course however cannot be pursued in respect of the other classes which take a longer time to dry, and which the merchants bring down to Madras either in a dry or green state according to circumstances. The wood when green has been found to weigh nearly double its weight when dry and would consequently create great inequalities in the tax if levied according to weight when shipped. I am therefore of opinion that the present system of taxing the logs according to number and class should continue.

4. The Jungles extend over a surface of 30 miles in length from Connum to Tarsingal and a breadth varying from ½ to 8 miles and comprize according to the appendix of the Public Works Commissioner's Report an Area of 30 to 40 square miles or 19,200 to 19,000 Cawnies. Of this the portion hither to cleared along the Coast is estimated at about 1000 Cawnies which have become waste as the soil is dry and sandy and unfit for cultivation a circumstance which renders the preservation of the Jungles a matter of greater importance and their growth the only means of making it productive.

5. The plan of dividing the Jungles into 8 [illegible] that being the number of years considered [illegible]

Fort Saint George 22nd Febuary 1855.

necessary for the trees to grow up again / and restricting the cutting of firewood to each part in a year was attempted in 1853 at the suggestion of the Board of Revenue but was given up as not feasible. Strachur. Pericottah consists of 33 scattered Hamlets the ryots of which are engaged to cut firewood for the merchants in the limits of their respective Villages during the hours when they are not required for the labours of the field. It is represented that under the proposed arrangement of limiting them to certain parts annually the ryots could not be conveniently collected from distant Villages to the particular part of the Jungles appointed for the year, and that it would consequently hinder the regular supply of fuel to Madras as well as deprive the ryots of their means of livelihood.

5. The main case of the destruction of the Jungles appears to consist in the indiscriminate cutting down of the young trees as they spring up and which if allowed to grow would supply the place of the old trees that had decayed. This practice was not common under the management of the Zemindar, but since then the increasing demand for fuel has encouraged it and although orders have been issued prohibiting it and requiring that the trees

trees so cut down should be forfeited and that the faggots should be made up of the branches lopped of the larger trees the increasing exports show that it has been carried on to a great extent I have called the attention of the Pishcard's local establishment to the subject and required that they should take advantage of their presence to visit the Jungles and submit periodical reports on their state especially with reference to the extent to which the practice above adverted to was prevailing and the success of their efforts in putting it down, and by keeping a vigilant superintendence over their Proceedings I have great hopes that the object the Government have in view in preserving the Jungles from irregular and wasteful destruction will be fully met.

	Toll levied on the logs	Toll levied on the small of wood. faggots forming the 5 classes.	
* Fusly 1237	7283	"	
1238	4317	"	
1239	4953	"	
1240	2764	"	
1241	5296	"	
1260	3171	1926	
1261	6,885	2986	
1262	6,302	4989	
1263	4,102	1537	
1264	5,180	1401	

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutchery,
Egmore
12th December 1855.

(Signed) C. S. Shubrick
Collector.

Bd. No. 511.
Proceedings
(617-618)

Fort St. George 22nd August 1855.

thereon. From the above letter it appears that the Streehurricottah firewood is classed in five sorts, four consisting of logs of different lengths and girths, and the last of bundles of faggots of 10 Maunds weight each. The Government have noticed that the term Bundle is very indefinite, and that the tax should be in the present currency. It will be seen that the Bundles are of a prescribed weight there can therefore be no objection to the continuance of the term, the Collector has also converted the old rates into the currency now in use.

2. The Streehurricottah Jungles are supposed to extend over an area of 30 to 40 square miles, and to cover from fifteen to nineteen thousand Cawnies of Ground. Of this about a thousand

Cawnies

Fort St Saint George 22nd Febuary 1856

Cavonies have been cleared, and have be-
=come waste, as the soil is dry and sandy
and unfit for Cultivation.—

3. The Board and Govern:—
=ment were of opinion that to prevent—
the further destruction of the Jungles
they should be divided into parts, one
of which should be worked every year,
and then be left to recover itself before
it is worked again. The Collector—
states that this plan was tried in 1853
the Jungles being devided into five parts,
that being the number of years consider
=ed necessary for the trees to grow up
again but it was given up as not—
feasible. Sheehurricottah consists of 33
scattered Hamlets the ryots of which
are employed in cutting firewood for—
the Merchants within the limits of
their respective Villages during the—
hours

Fort St. George 22nd Febuary 1856

hours when they are not required for
the labours of the fields. Under—
an arrangement of the sort—
proposed these men could not all
be collected every year in the—
particular part of the Forest in-
tended to be worked, and thus—
many of them would be—
deprived of their livelihood and
the regular supply of fuel to Madras
be hindered.—

4 The Board think that
this difficulty might be—
obviated

Fort Saint George 22d February 1856.

obviated by dividing the lands of each Village or the working tract of a particular set of Villages into five parts and they will call upon the Collector to state whether such an arrangement is practicable.—

5. The Collector has very properly issued orders prohibiting the destruction of young trees but this is to be feared that without an adequate establishment to enforce his injunctions they will be little heeded. It is observed that in para 2 of Extract Minutes Consultation dated 7th August 1855 Government wished to be informed what establishment would be required for the conservancy of the Jungles. Mr Shubrick has not proceed this subject in his report and his attention will now be called to it.—

Fort St George 22d February 1856.

No 65

To,
G: S: Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

Sir,

(619)

B: No 47.

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool.

Submitting the annual Report on the Land Revenue, Extra Sources of Revenue and the Cultivation of Cotton in the Kurnool District for Fasly 1264.

I have the honor to submit a General Report as directed in the Board's Circular dated No 1553, 21st June last on the Land Revenue, the Exctra Sources of Revenue and the Cultivation of Cotton in this District for Fasly 1264, and to forward the prescribed Statements in English relating thereto.

2. The Settlement operations were commenced on the 30th November 1854 and concluded on the 2d April 1855. Captain Russell the Assistant Agent having been absent on leave to Europe the Settlement of all the Talooks in the District devolved upon myself, and the stations at which the Settlement of each Talook was made, are entered in the Statement No 4 herewith submitted.—

The

Villages and Hamlets

Government Villages	470.		
Do. Hamlets	100.	570.	
Shrotrium.			
Villages paying a fixed Jodee	6.		
Do. Hamlets Do.	5.	11.	
Jaghire villages enjoyed on life tenure	8.		
Surva Enam Village	1		
		9.	
Villages	485.	590	
Hamlets	105.		

3. The Marginal entry shows the number of Villages and Hamlets brought under Settlement which has in Fusly 1263 amounted to 590. The Town of Kurnool not included therein, but the Villages Poovaloor in the Dhone, and Nayakib Murjeed in the Goodoor Talook heretofore included as Shrotrium villages paying a fixed Jodee of half of their Bariz, having been resumed under the orders conveyed in the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th. July 1854, there is an increase and decrease in the number of Government and Jagheer villages respectively.

Sources of Irrigation.

4. The following statement showing the details of Irrigation in this District corresponds with the Statement of the previous year.

Particulars.	Tanks.			River Channels.			Spring Channels.			Anicuts.			Wells.		
	In good order	Out of repair	Total.	In good order	Out of repair	Total.	In good order	Out of repair	Total.	In good order	Out of repair	Total.	In good order	Out of repair	Total.
Repaired by Government	100	50	150	3	-	3	40	4	44	12	4	16	1241		1241
Ryots	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	32		32
Daravundum	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
Total	100	50	150	3	"	3	40	4							

Census.

5. The subjoined Statement shows the extent of the Population according to the Census taken in Fusly 1260.

	Number of Persons.							Agricultural stock.		Puttahs.
	Males.			Females.			Total.	Bullocks.	Buffaloes.	
	Adults.	Children.	Total.	Adults.	Children.	Total.				
Cultivating Classes	57,665	33,054	90,719	54,432	28,575	82,947	173,666	56,348	9,720	22,253
Non Cultivating Do.	30,879	18,931	49,810	33,921	15,793	49,714	99,524	5,541	2,588	"
Total	88,544	51,985	140,529	88,353	44,308	132,661	273,190	61,889	12,308	"

6. A Rent Roll similar to that furnished in last year is hereunder annexed.

Items.	Above Rs. 1,000	750 to 1000 Rs.	500 to 750 Rs.	250 to 500 Rs.	200 to 250 Rs.	150 to 200 Rs.	100 to 150 Rs.	75 to 100 Rs.	50 to 75 Rs.	40 to 50 Rs.	30 to 40 Rs.	20 to 30 Rs.	10 to 20 Rs.	Under 10 Rs.	Total.	Joint Puttahs	Grand Total.
Puttahs	"	5	25	194	138	259	633	669	1291	986	1458	2566	4753	8665	21642	611	22253
Beriz	"	4134	1491	6345	3067	4364	7625	5790	7935	3869	5318	6286	8323	4015	63544	1933	65477

She

Season-

7- The rains for the Poonava and Rubbee Crops were abundant and the yellow Javary Crop in the early part of the season promised to be very productive, but, with the exception of that reared in the Chagulmurry Talook, afterwards suffered most extensively from blight produced by too much rain and the split pulses Cultivated mixedly with the Crop suffered in a proportionate manner- The Rubbee or white Jonnalas Cultivation was very favorable in Goodoor, Nundicoteoor and Atmacoor Talooks, but in the remaining five Talooks these Crops in some Localities sustained injury from the same cause and the yield was therefore below the usual average- Owing however to very high prices the season was not on the whole unfavorable for the Ryots and the

revenue was collected without difficulty, except in a few villages where the 1st Crops had been damaged, and second Crops could not be Cultivated-

8- The supply of water in the 2 large Tanks of Nundial was sufficient for the Cultivation of both the 1st and 2d Crop, but in the other Tanks of the District was not sufficient for the Cultivation of the usual extent of Wet Land-

Prices of Grain

9- The subjoined Statement shows the prices of Oil Seeds and Grain to have been above the average of the three preceding fusslies, but the price of yellow and white Jowaree was lower than in the preceding year, and in Cotton there was no marked difference-

Grain

3040

Fort St. George 22d. February 1856.

Number.	Grain &c.	Average of the three preceding years up to 1264.		Firsly 1263.		Firsly 1264.		Comparison between Columns 2 and 4. Increase.		Comparison between Columns 2 and 4. Decrease.		Comparison between Columns 3 and 4. Increase.		Comparison between Columns 3 and 4. Decrease.	
1		2.		3		4		5		6		7		8	
		Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
1	Paddy 1st. Sort per Garce	97	15 7	125	1 4	145	9 .	47	9 5	"	" "	20	7 8	"	" "
2	" do. 2d. do. per do.	87	11 7	117	9 7	136	3 6	42	7 11	.	" "	12	9 11	"	" "
3	" do. 3d. do. per do.	79	15 1	108	7 4	121	1 11	41	2 10	"	" 4	12	10 7	"	" "
4	White Cholum per do.	115	10 8	176	9 4	172	5 1	56	10 5	"	" "	"	" "	4	4 10
5	Yellow do. per do.	109	. 3	172	13 2	151	4 8	42	4 5	"	" "	"	" "	21	8 6
6	Cumboo per do.	120	4 5	181	8 6	165	3 9	44	15 4	"	" "	"	" "	16	4 9
7	Raghee per do.	104	1 5	152	13 1	149	4 8	45	3 3	"	" "	"	" "	3	8 5
8	Coderoo per do.	64	7 5	101	5 3	96	14 7	32	7 2	"	" "	"	" "	1	6 8
9	Korralee per do.	87	6 3	132	14 "	132	2 11	44	12 8	"	" "	"	" "	11	1
10	Wheat per do.	176	. 1	237	6 7	307	10 3	131	10 2	"	" "	70	3 8	"	" "
11	Chenna per do.	199	" 10	261	12 3	332	3 3	133	2 5	"	" "	70	7 .	"	" "
12	Buller per do.	139	4 5	200	14 10	221	" 7	81	12 2	"	" "	20	1 9	"	" "
13	Alasundaloo per do.	154	8 3	218	7 2	237	11 1	83	2 10	"	" "	19	3 11	"	" "
14	Gram per do.	120	" 2	190	5 7	207	15 5	87	15 3	"	" "	17	9 10	"	" "
15	Green Gram per do.	148	3 2	231	12 7	261	2 8	112	15 6	"	" "	29	6 1	"	" "
16	Tower per do.	130	4 9	213	14 4	220	1 3	89	12 6	"	" "	6	2 11	"	" "
17	Woodoolee per do.	168	4 5	244	9 3	281	10 1	113	5 8	"	" "	39	" 10	"	" "
18	Castor Oil seeds per do.	142	15 2	199	8 1	208	13 4	65	14 2	"	" "	9	5 3	"	" "
19	Gingely do. per do.	226	1 11	297	5 7	316	8 3	90	6 4	"	" "	19	2 8	"	" "
20	Cotton per do.	46	15 4	52	" 3	57	13 7	4	14 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "

She

Fort St. George 22d. February 1856.

Villawary

10. The subjoined abstract Statement exhibits in comparison the Villawary of 1261, and the preceding Fusly, and in the year under review there was a decrease of Rupees 2,358-7-5 in the Villawaree of the Dhone and Goodoor Talooks, and an increase of Rupees 4,972-11-3 in the remaining 6 Talooks, the result being a Net Increase of Rupees 2613-8-10.

Talooks

Fort St. George. 22d. February 1856.

Fusly 1264. Increase. Decrease.

Talooks.	Land. Assessment.	Land. Assessment.	Land. Assessment.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Saw Cs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
1 Shajahanury	44,731 24 8	76,501 8 8	1,57,148 20 9¾	77,157 10	
2 Sirwella	63,187 16 11¼	88,368 14	63,992 24 ¼	85,613 11 4	
3 Nundial	54,891 3½ 15¼	97,448 11	55,692 31 5	97,850 4 1	
4 Saumiew	50,626 24 11	84,957 4 9	52,200 23 5½	85,649 1 6	
5 Dhone	100,378 15 14	96,096 9 3	190,192 1 1	95,613 11 8	
6 Goodoor	61,883 35 5¾	62,741 0 0	59,744 15 9¾	60,865 6 2	
7 Nundicoor	69,081 11 3¼	99,741 10 9	71,399 2 6½	102,227 14 7	
8 Alcoor	55,283 11 8	64,369 13 8	54,786 31 8	64,661 1 11	
Total	5,00,368 20 12½	6,70,225 1 3	5,01,085 29 13¾	6,72,838 10 1	
			6,326 8 13¼	4,972 0 3	2,606 39 12 2,358 7 5

Net Increase — 2,613 8 10

11. It may be observed that although in the Dhone Talook the Villawaree Beriz is less than in last year, the extent of land brought under Cultivation is in excess. This seemingly arises from a reduction of assessment amounting to Rupees 510—0—0— sanctioned under Minutes of Consultation dated 7th. February 1856 on lands at yeldoorty and Shunia Taboor Cultivated with Betel Garden, and from a considerable extent of lightly assessed waste lands having been taken up for Cultivation by some Ryots.

12. The decrease in the Goodoor Talook was owing to lands having been relinquished by several ryots in indifferent circumstances, mostly in Ousbah Goodoor Palacoorty Chanoogondlah, Ranetta and Punchalingal, and whose Cattle had died or were in too low condition from the bad season of the preceding Fusly to be worked, and to some Ryots having emigrated to other Talooks.

13. In the Atoor Talook the

Villawaree Beriz is in excess but the extent of land brought under Cultivation less than in the preceding year owing to certain lightly assessed lands having been relinquished by impoverished Payacary and other Ryots, and to Cultivable waste and sequestered Enam lands this year suitably assessed having been taken up for Cultivation, and to Fusulyasty having been levied on one Crop Wet lands on which 2d. Crops had been raised.

14. The increase in the Chagulmurry Siriwell, Nundial, Pauniem and Nundicoty coor Talooks was owing to extended Cultivation of Waste lands and sequestered Enams, and to the reductions made on certain highly assessed waste lands.

15. I beg to subjoin a Statement showing the particulars of the Villawaree Beriz, and to explain briefly the causes of increase and decrease in those items only, which the figured Statement itself may not sufficiently explain.

Villawaree Beriz	
Fusly	
1263—	670225—1—3—
1264—	672838—10—1—
Increase—	2613—8—10—

Items

Fort St. George

22d February 1856

1856

Description of Increase. Number.	Items.	Rooshkey. Land. Acres	C	A	Assessment. Rs	A	P	Baga: Land. Acres	C	A
	Fusly 1263 Villwaree Beriz	187895	16	15¼	56,202	1	6	3559	5	4½
1	Lands left waste from deaths	221	16	15¾	80	8	8	"	22	6½
2	Do. deserted	1191	23	23¼	784	4	2	"	14	4½
3	Do. impoverishment	5768	2	8	3,773	6	5	11	11	4¼
4	Do. by Payacaree	2537	22	11	852	2	"	4	26	13
5	Payamaly	2	"	6¼	5	1	"	"	"	"
6	Lands converted	181	20	11¾	392	4	3	2	8	"
7	Two Crop lands Cultivated with one crop	"	"	"	142	3	"	"	"	"
8	Wet Yeca Cultivated with Dry Yeca	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	Fusul Tubdil Cummy	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	Reduction of Assessment	"	"	"	2368	13	3	"	"	"
	Total	9902	6	10½	8398	10	9	19	2	12¾
	Remaining Balance	177993	10	4¾	555803	6	9	3540	2	8¼
1	Waste Cultivated	10154	6	2¼	8721	2	2	45	27	9
2	Poorumboke Do.	334	7	8	176	11	11	"	29	11
3	Resumed Enam lands taken	2803	38	¼	2291	12	"	15	27	3¾
4	Discovered by measurement	22	26	11	11	"	3	"	"	"
5	Lands converted	254	36	8	720	13	1	8	32	15½
6	One Crop lands Cultivated with two Crops	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Poonja Yeca Cultivated with Wet Yeca	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Goottaj jasty	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	Additional assessment for the Cultivation of Superior Crops	"	"	"	351	13	8	"	"	"
	Total	13569	34	13½	12273	5	1	68	37	7¼
	Villewaree Beriz of 1264	191563	5	2¼	568076	11	10	3608	39	15½

Dry. Land. Acres	C	A	Assessment. Rs	A	P	Dry converted into Wet and Bagayet. Land. Acres	C	A	Assessment. Rs	A	P
6691	5	11	61,170	1	4	2194	6	9½	1776	13	1
1	1	"	"	"	"	"	11	11	5	"	"
1	1	3	1	26	13½	39	11	3	"	5	"
62	25	12¾	521	6	11	15	15	6	99	6	4
11	21	¼	56	6	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20	"	"	11	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	254	36	8	720	13	1
"	"	"	143	3	1	"	"	"	19	5	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	836	12	5
28	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1158	7	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	53	11	2
78	33	10½	1947	7	10	271	8	9	1736	1	1
6612	12	3½	62222	12	6	1922	38	½	10040	12	"
71	27	4½	476	12	10	22	2	1¼	101	"	10
"	3	13½	"	6	3	34	23	¾	124	4	1
44	11	9½	264	5	"	4	4	10	17	8	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	181	20	11¾	392	4	3
"	"	"	354	13	3	"	"	"	43	15	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	447	5	1
"	"	"	15	4	3	"	"	"	33	3	9
"	"	"	15	7	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
116	2	11½	1157	"	9	242	10	10¾	1159	9	11
6728	14	15	633779	13	3	2165	8	11½	112200	5	11

Decrease.

Increase.

lead
No 5-4-
ofocd
ry in the
ijured by
b for
arose
ditional
Bagayet
he village
loss
tinued
in Para
n under
tions
1-10 the
ion in the
Statement

Decrease	Assessment		Bagayet converted into Jayi. Land.				Bagayet converted into Jayi. Assessment.				Total Land.				Total Assessment.		
			Ac	C	a		Rs	A	P		Ac	C	a		Rs	A	P
		First	28	26	1		285	7	11		500.368	20	12½		67.0225	1	3
	1	Lands	"	"	"		"	"	"		222	11	1¼		86	15	2
	2	———	"	"	"		"	"	"		1196	29	4¾		825	8	8
	3	———	"	"	"		"	"	"		5.807	11	15		4.446	12	6
	4	———	"	"	"		6	"	"		2553	30	8¼		925	13	6
	5	Payam	"	"	"		"	"	"		2	20	6¼		5	12	2
	6	Lands	8	32	15½		66	1	6		1147	18	6¼		1186	7	10
	7	Two C	"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"		335	11	9
	8	Wet 8	"	"	"		46	6	5		"	"	"		883	2	10
	9	Fusfu	"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"		60	7	"
	10	Reduc	"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"		3904	1	10
			8	32	15½		112	7	11		10280	4	9¾		12660	13	3
			19	33	1½		172	"	"		190088	16	2¾		65.564	4	"
	1	Waste	"	"	"		"	"	"		10293	23	1		9692	14	4
	2	Porumb	"	"	"		"	"	"		389	24	1¼		303	12	5
	3	Resum	"	"	"		"	"	"		2886	1	7½		2640	11	5
	4	Discon	"	"	"		"	"	"		22	26	11		11	"	3
	5	Lands	2	8	"		7	5	"		447	18	6¼		1186	7	10
	6	One Cr	"	"	"		1	6	"		"	"	"		489	7	7
	7	Poonja	"	"	"		4	12	7		"	"	"		452	1	8
	8	Gootta	"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"		457	3	11
	9	Additional	"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"		40	10	8
Increase			2	8	"		13	7	7		13.999	13	11		15.274	6	1
			22	1	1½		186	7	7		504.087	29	13¾		67.2885	10	1

D.S.

3052

Fort St George 22d February 1856

Entry 5
Payamalee
Rs. 5-12-2.

16 The decrease under this head arises from acres 2--6¼ assessed at Rs. 5-2-- at Narnad and Coontahs 20 assessed at annas 11-2- at Bremhanapully in the Tamiem Taluk having been injured by flood and rendered thereby unfit for Cultivation.--

Fusful Subdil Cammee
Rs. 60-7--

17 The decrease under this head arose from the practice of levying additional assessment on certain wet and Bagayet Crops of particular Kinds in the village of Bookapoor in Nundial Taluk having this year been discontinued under the sanction conveyed in Para 10 of Minutes of Consultation under date 7th February 1854.-

Entry 10
Reduction of assessment
Rs. 3904-1-10

18 The decrease by Reductions of assessment is Rupees 3904-1-10 the particulars of which are shewn in the subjoined Table.-

Statement

3053 Fort St George 22d February 1856

Talook	Items	In July 1263 — Land	Gotta	Average	In July 1264 — Fiscal assessment in July 1264	Average	Amount of Reductions	Proportion between the Columns 4 & 8
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Acres cah	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1 Chagalmurry	Dry	564 29 5	1525 11 3	2 11 3	811 9 2	1 7 "	714 5 1	46 12 "
	Bagayet	1 33 12½	16 " "	8 11 6	10 " "	5 7 "	6 " "	37 8 "
	Wet	9 30 ½	297 12 "	30 9 "	161 2 "	16 8 "	136 10 "	45 8 "
	Teermajaoty	1 20 12	40 10 8	27 " "	6 13 10	4 8 "	33 12 10	84 6 "
	Total	577 33 11½	1880 4 11	" " "	989 9 "	" " "	890 11 11	47 5 "
2 Serinelt	Dry	279 11 "	1218 5 9	4 5 9	558 11 8	2 " "	659 10 1	54 4 "
	Bagayet	5 26 11½	169 5 4	29 11 "	51 15 6	9 3 "	117 5 10	69 8 "
	Wet	3 34 3½	44 11 "	11 10 "	31 6 "	8 3 "	13 8 "	36 " "
	Total	288 31 4½	1132 9 1	" " "	642 1 2	" " "	790 7 11	55 8 "
3 Mundial	Dry	739 21 13½	2376 " 6	3 3 6	1751 11 1	2 5 10	624 2 5	36 8 "
	Wet	10 38 4	438 4 "	40 " "	138 8 3	12 10 6	299 11 9	68 8 "
	Total	750 20 13½	2811 4 6	" " "	1890 6 4	" " "	923 11 2	33 2 "
4 Pannein	Bagayet	" 30 15½	81 2 10	10 4 11	30 3 9	3 9 1 "	50 15 1	63 6 "
	Teermajaoty	4 19 12½	71 10 2	15 11 6	53 11 2	11 15 "	17 15 "	24 " "
	Total	5 10 12½	152 13 "	" " "	83 11 11	" " "	68 11 1	46 " "
5 Dhone	Bagayet	9 1 11½	277 2 5	30 12 "	134 11 5	14 14 6	142 4 "	51 12 "
	Wet	6 7 7½	515 3 10	81 9 "	134 1 "	21 11 "	371 2 10	73 4 "
	Total	15 9 3	782 6 3	" " "	268 15 5	" " "	513 6 10	65 3 "
6 Gordoor	Dry	301 16 6½	368 13 9	1 3 7	245 3 9	" 13 1	123 10 "	33 8 "
	Bagayet	3 17 5	17 11 "	5 2 "	11 " "	4 1 "	3 11 "	21 11 "
	Wet	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Teermajaoty	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	302 33 11½	386 11 9	" " "	259 3 9	" " "	127 8 "	32 12 "
7 Alcoor	Dry	106 31 83/4	868 4 8	8 2 "	621 3 "	5 13 "	247 1 8	28 6 "
	Bagayet	" 35 "	7 11 3	9 " 3	5 3 8	5 15 8	2 10 7	34 9 "
	Wet	82 30 9½	1212 12 10	11 10 "	875 5 6	10 9 4	337 7 4	27 11 "
	Teermajaoty	" 27 3	6 " 7	9 " "	4 1 3	6 " 6	1 15 4	" " "
	Total	191 4 5	2095 " 4	" " "	1505 13 5	" " "	589 2 11	33 3 "
	Grand Total	2133 23 11½	9344 1 10	" " "	5420 " "	" " "	3904 1 10	40 15 "

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

19 In the decrease from Reduction of assessment entered in the above statement are included the sums of Rupees 356-14-10 Rupees 2522-5-1 and Rupees 99-2-0 adverted to in Paras 38-47 and 51 of last year's Report.

20 The other Items included in the same Statement are a Reduction of Peishcush amounting to Rupees 350-0-0 heretofore levied on certain wet lands at Kalamal Kalvah in the Nundial Taloog when cultivated

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

cultivated with Sugar Cane - a Reduction of Rupees 510-0-0 in the Dhone Taloog and of Rupees 68-0-0 at Kalmah in the Pamidy Taloog made on wet lands cultivated with Betel and a Reduction of Rupees 48-0-0 in Chota Coombaloor in Sirnelly Tal: Cook and at Cushak Nur: Dial on lands which had previously been paying a very high assessment -

Under Para 10 M of Con: Dated 7th February 1854

Entry No 3 Resumed Enam Lands taken P.C. 26.20-11-5.

21 The Enam lands resumed and the portion taken for cultivation during the year under review are shewn in the subjoined Statement -

Statement

Fort St George 22d February 1850

Entry 8
Gootlujoostry
Rupees 457. 3-11.

22 Of this increase Rupees 100-- arises from an augmentation of assessment under the orders of the late Agent Mr. Conway during the Settlement of the Fusly 1263 on certain lightly assessed lands at Seetarampoor in the Rundial Taloks and the Remainder on certain waste and Segregated Enam lands which had been too lightly assessed. The subjoined Statement exhibits the particulars.

Statement

Fort St George 22d February 1850.

Lands Cowle

Taloks	Land	Brought to the Enam account	Remaining Burjeed	Paddy or Cotta Land	Brought to the Enam account	Remaining Burjeed
	Mans	Cs	Rs	Mans	Cs	Rs
1 Chagulmoor	559	24 12	99 10	379	11 3	78 5 10
2 Vinnoble	439	12½	51 53 4 20	969	8 13½	133 12 11
3 Nundial	969	8 13½	133 12 11	2007	15 5½	424 4
4 Tamaram	2007	15 5½	424 4	334	12 4	79
5 Dhone	334	12 4	79	160	10½	42
6 Goodoor	160	10½	42	128	13 12¼	182 52
7 Kundiatoor	128	13 12¼	182 52	1957	32 7	782 79
8 Cottoor						
Total	1957	32 7	782 79			

Land Cowle 2566 1 3½ 264.01 5 565 - 2 1121 30 15½ 191 77

Tenth Regt. Pennsylvania Reserves

3038

Chain Bridge 1863-

| | | |

Cabooleaty waste

23 The Total assessment on lands agreed for and entered in the accounts at the time of Jamabundy as Cabooleaty Bunjer amounts in all the Talooks to Rupees 30638-8-11 the amount Charged at Jamabundy Rupees 7410 12-7 and the amount Remitted Rupees 23227-12-4 as shewn in the annexed Statement-

Remission allowed on Dry & Gn lands in Fasly 1264

Talook	Dry	Bagayet	Wet	Dry lands Converted into Waste Lands and Bagayet Converted into Waste	Garden	Total
	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Chagulmurry	Rs 674 10	Rs 17 4	Rs 59 35	Rs - -	Rs - -	Rs 751 1 8
2 Srinell	970 14	19 5 4	19 1 8	85 15 10	- -	1095 4 10
3 Runidial	372 3 5	6 14 6	79 12	9 11 4	- -	468 8 10
4 Pannien	455 9	11 13 6	51 14	1 3	17 6 2	537 5 5
5 Dhone	5884 15 1	73 15 4	62 6 2	2 10 2	94 13 6	12515 14 9
6 Goodoor	2768 1 7	99 4 3	88 13 5	51 7 8	- -	3007 10 11
7 Rundicotcoon	1863 6 7	52 15 8	17 4	52 6 7	- -	1986 10
8 Alcoon	2093 6 1	38 2 2	44 1 1	10 2 9	3 3	2185 13 4
Total	15082 9 1	319 10 9	70 19 5	2 7 8	13 2 17	6 23227 12 4

24 The amount remitted on Dry lands was on account of lands taken up for cultivation but left waste owing to want of rain at the proper season to improvement of Ryots loss of Cattle in the preceding unfavorable Fasly to cultivable lands unavoidably left waste and to Ryots having entered into engagements they could not fulfil- With proper attention at the season of Cabooleaty the amildars will I hope be able to discourage the Ryots taking up more land than they have the means to cultivate & on entering into engagements they cannot fulfil and render remission from these causes unnecessary The amount Remitted on wet and Garden lands was chiefly from a deficiency of water-

Items

3001 Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Items	Fusly 1263 Rs A P	Fusly 1264 Rs A P	Comparison Increase Rs A P	Comparison Decrease Rs A P
Cuboolaty Bunjer	53478 8 9	30638 8 11	" " "	22839 15 10
Remissions				
1 For Bunjer	14612 13 11	14696 8 7	" " "	23209 5 7
2 For portions of fields left waste		3706 15 9		
		18403 8 4		
3 " Teernajaisty	487 9 10	670 8 4	182 14 6	" " "
4 " Tirsoolgaisty	1686 3 6	3388 10 1	" " "	1297 9 5
5 " Land inundated	67 13 "	297 15 3	230 2 3	" " "
6 " Paganaly	115 1 6	283 1 9	168 3 3	" " "
7 " Gottamunny	356 14 10	151 7 3	" " "	205 7 7
8 " Coules lands	89 13 1	32 6 4	" " "	57 6 9
Total	17116 5 8	23227 12 4	581 4 "	24769 13 4
Charges in the Zamabundy	6062 3 1	7410 12 7	1348 9 6	" " "
			Net Decrease	24188 9 4

3002

Entry No 3
Peremaiah
Rs 570-8-4

His Lordship was graciously
pleased to direct that the
undermentioned Dry and other Lands now
cultivated with Wet and Garden Crops
but liable to Assessment
be left waste in Consequence of an
inundation of the Waters
which prevented their
cultivation this Year

Entry No 4.
Yellagaddy Rs 3388-10-1

The Remissions entered in the
Rundabast of 23-6-0 Pagodas
Purnam - 16-11-0 Pagodas Amounting to Rupees 3388-10-1
Dhone - - 23-7-3
Gooty - - 5-5-0 Pagodas
Bundabastum 6-15-0

Pagodas 33-8-10-1

Entry No 5
Land inundated
Rupees 297-15-3
Purnam - 18-9-0
Dhone - 10-9-3
Gooty - 3-9-2-8
Pagodas - 33-8-10-1
Rupees 297-15-3

The Remissions granted under the
head inundated Land on account of the
Land now and Lands in the Lease of Yellagaddy
Purnam and Dhone Talooks.

Entry No 6
Paganaly Rs 283-1-9

The Remissions granted under this
head now and Lands in the Lease of Yellagaddy
Purnam and Dhone Talooks.

Entry No 7
Gottamunny

The Remissions of this
head Lands now Rupees 254-10-4 granted
under the head now for Cer-
tain Lands in the Purnam
Talooks

Entry No 8
Coules lands

Remissions now for the
Year

3053 Fort St. George 22nd February 1856

Public Roads and Rupees 28-10-9

in the former Talooks for Lands rendered

unfit for cultivation by floods -

Entry No 7
Goollacummy
Rupees. 151-7-3

29 This Reduction of assess-

ment was allowed on certain waste

lands too highly assessed at Co.

balagottapilly and Bramhana-

-pilly in the Chagulmurry and

other Talooks. The rates of re-

-duction in the Chagulmurry

and Nundicoteoor Talooks Rs.

are as high as 60 and 81½ per Co.

Cent respectively and in reference

to this seemingly very large Co.

Reduction, I have to observe, these

lands had been waste about Co.

10 years and the average rate at which the

dry land is now assessed amounts to Rs.

2 and 3 per acre and there are no grounds to suppose

the present rates are less than the lands can

afford to pay - The particulars are as

given below -

3053 Fort St. George 22nd February 1856

Talooks.

Item.	Former assessment.	Reduced assessment.	Reduction on Former assessment.	Remarks.
	Lands. assessment Average per Acre.	Do. do. do.	Do. do. do.	
	Acres C.	A. Rs. A. P.	A. Rs. A. P.	
1 Chagulmurry	27 24 8½	202 10 5	7 5 3	82 10 2
Dry	2 22 9½	25 8 -	10 2 6	5 1 6
2 Nundicoteoor	10 25 -	10 15 3	1 - 6	5 2 -
Dry	2 2 6	15 8 -	7 8 -	10 4 9
3 Attoor	12 27 6	26 7 3	- - -	15 6 9
Total	42 34 8	254 9 8	- - -	103 2 5
Grand Total				151 7 3

Reduction for Cowle Letters Columns 4 and 5.	Remarks.
8	9
Rs. A. P.	
120 - 3	60 - -
20 6 6	81 8 -
5 13 3	46 8 -
5 3 3	31 4 -
11 - 6	44 - -
151 7 3	60 - -

Se

Entry No 8. Cowle Remission Rupees 32-6-4.

30. The subjoined State-ment shews the particulars of the Cowle Lands taken up for Cultivation.-

	Talook	Cowle Land.	Assessment.	Cowle Remission.	Charged to the Settlement.
		Acres C a	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Nundicotcoor	21 4 9	34 7 1	31 12 10	2 10 3
2	Dhone	13 7 11½	2 4 .	- 9 6	1 10 6
	Total	34 12 7½	36 11 1	32 6 4	4 4 9

31. The following Talookwise Statement exhibits in comparison the extent of Land actually cultivated in

in this and the preceding Fusly, and shews an increase in the current year of Rupees 34.077-7-9 in seven Talooks, and a decrease of Rupees 1213-2-6 in the Dhone Talook, the result being a net increase of Rupees 32.864-5-3- on Lands actually sown as com-pared with Fusly 1263- The chief cause of increase was a more favor-able season and the decrease in the Dhone Talook may be attributed to the limited supply of water re-ceived in some of the Tanks of that Talook.-

Talooks

3008 — Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Caboolatty Bunjer charged to the Ryot.

32. The assessment on a Lands left waste was Rupees 30,638-8-11 the amount struck off Rupees 23,227-12-4 and the amount charged Rupees 7,4 10-12-7 a portion of which afterwards came under cultivation. The grounds for charging the Bunjer in each Talook are shewn in the subjoined Statement.

Talooks

3007 — Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Fasly 1264. — Fasly 1263. — Fasly

Talooks. — Assessment. Land. — Assessment. Land. — Assessment. Land.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.
		Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p	
1	Chingleput.	30,300 29 11½	79,237 10 8	44,971 8 1½	76,405 15 5	56,70 18 5¾	4,168 4 9	- - -	- - -
2	Chingleput.	57,215 9 11½	93,532 10 4	62,409 23 3	57,518 9 6	51,94 13 7¼	4,016 15 2	- - -	- - -
3	Nundial	50,999 18 5½	92,002 5 2	55,202 20 9¼	57,381 11 3	4,228 2 3¾	53,79 6 1	- - -	- - -
4	Cauveripauk	45,896 35 3½	83,402 7 11	57,547 31 12¾	85,311 12 1	2,650 36 9¾	1,909 4 2	- - -	- - -
5	Poonamallee	85,795 21 11¼	84,310 15 5	85,141 12 2	83,097 12 1	- - -	- - -	657 9 9¾	12,13 2 6
6	Conjeveram	46,645 - 11½	47,665 1 3	56,192 11 10½	57,857 11 3	9,694 10 11¼	10,159 10 2	- - -	- - -
7	Chingleput	52,355 36 5½	94,704 10 1	62,479 10 10½	100,741 13 9	52,43 17 1½	5,517 3 8	- - -	- - -
8	Uttramerore	44,605 32 10½	56,901 11 8	43,068 4 11½	61,795 7 7	4,642 12 2	2,897 11 11	- - -	- - -
	Total	433,917 34 11½	616,756 8 6	472,937 5 15½	649,610 13 9	37,323 30 12	34,077 7 9	657 9 9½	12,13 2 6
	Net Increase					36,666 21 2¾	32,864 5 3		

Net

Fort St George

22nd February 1856

Lands left waste by

| Talooks. | Having cultivated Enam Land and left waste Circar Lands. | | | | | | | | | Portions of a field left Burjer. | | | On Land left Burjer for strengthening the Soil. | | | On Banjer within the beds of Tanks. | | | Ryots through neglect. | | | | | | | | |

3071.

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Remission on the cultivation.—

33. The total amount of remissions granted in this Fusly was Rupees 26263-3-- of which the amount struck off on account of Cahoolaty Bunjer was Rupees 23227-12-4 and on account of certain cultivated Lands Rupees 3015-6-8- The particulars of which are shewn in the subjoined Statement.-

Items.	Fusly 1263. Total Remission.	Bunjer Remission.	Remission on cultivation.	Fusly 1264. Total Remission.	Bunjer Remission.	Remission on Cultivation.	Comparison between Columns 4 and 7. Increase.	Decrease.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Occasional	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1 Remission for Cahoolaty Bunjer	4.1612 13 11	4.1612 13 11	- - -	14.696 8 7	18403 8 4	- - -	- - -	- - -
2 - on waste portion of fields				3706 15 9				
3 - Incumbrance on Land for Sites in the beds of Tanks	429 13 -	67 13 -	362 - -	677 3 5	297 15 3	379 4 2	17 4 2	- - -
4 Jayamaly	159 1 9	115 1 6	44 - 3	313 3 6	283 4 9	29 14 9	- - -	14 1 6
5 For 2nd Crop uncultivated	4686 3 6	4686 3 6	- - -	3470 11 1	3388 10 1	82 1 -	82 1 -	- - -
6 Teerwajasty	525 3 11	487 9 10	37 10 1	670 8 4	670 8 4	- - -	- - -	37 10 1
7 Shavey or withered fields	51388 8 2	- - -	51388 8 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	51388 8 2
8 Teerwa Cummy	2829 3 11	356 14 10	2522 5 1	1905 10 8	151 7 3	1754 3 5	- - -	768 1 8
9 Plantations	87 - 2	- - -	87 - 8	87 - 2	- - -	87 - 2	- - -	- - -
10 Cowle Remission	729 5 2	89 13 1	639 8 1	668 8 10	32 6 4	636 2 6	- - -	3 5 7
11 Remission of Teerwajasty on betel Gardens	99 2 2	- - -	99 2 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	99 2 2
12 Remission to the Renter	34 14 9	- - -	34 14 9	12 1 10	- - -	12 1 10	- - -	22 12 11
13 Remission of Teerwajasty on basket wells	43 7 1	- - -	43 7 1	17 6 10	- - -	17 6 10	- - -	26 - 3
14 Remission of assessment on resumed Enam	- - -	- - -	- - -	17 4 -	- - -	17 4 -	17 4 -	- - -
Total	102674 13 6	47416 5 8	55258 7 10	26243 - -	23227 12 4	3015 6 8	116 9 2	52359 10 4
Customary								555 13 -
1 Canjees Rossoons	555 13 -	- - -	555 13 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	60 - -
2 Pay of a Shroff	60 - -	- - -	60 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	74 11 9
3 Remission to Shrotriemdars	74 11 9	- - -	74 11 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	296 14 4
4 Miscellaneous Jaghiendars	2992 5 5	- - -	2992 5 5	2695 7 1	1 - - -	2695 7 1	- - -	987 7 1
Total	3682 14 2	- - -	3682 14 2	2695 7 1	1 23227 12 4	5710 13 9	116 9 2	53347 1 5
Grand Total	106357 11 8	47416 5 8	58941 6 -	28938 10 1	23227 12 4	5710 13 9	Net Decrease	53230 8 3

The

Entry No 3.
Paneeboodity-
Rs 379-4-2
In the Paunieum Talook 19-2-9
Dhone - - - 76-3-10
Attoor - - 283-13-7
Rs 379-4-2

34 The remissions under the head of Paneeboodity were granted on some cultivated Lands in the beds of Tanks at Mooddavaram in the Paunieum and at Cuttachetroo in the Dhone Talook, and in some Villages in the Attoor Talook in consequence of inundation.-

Entry No 4
Payamally Rs 29-14-9
Paunieum Talook 7-8-3
Dhone - - - 22-6-6
Rs 29-14-9

35 The remission under this head was granted on account of cultivated lands at Muddoor in the Paunieum Talook rendered unfit for cultivation by flood, and on lands in the Dhone Talook encroached upon by the Road.-

Entry No 5.
Fussulgasty Rs 82-1-
Nundial Talook 16-5
Nundicotcoor Rs 28-5-5
Attoor Rs - 37-11-2
Rs 82-1-

36 The remission under this head in the Nundial Talook was allowed on some Lands cultivated with Turmeric which had not previously paid Fussulgasty and in the Nundicotcoor Talook on some lands on which one crop of Cungony and one of Raghee had been

been raised the former of which had not been irrigated and the 2nd had been irrigated by means of Koomuck Bowry-
The remission in the Attoor Talook was made on some Lands usually irrigated by the channel at Doodyal and which had been entered as cultivated but which during the Settlement it was found had not been cultivated with second crop.-

Entry No 8.
Goottacummy
Rs 1,754-3-5

37 The reduction of Rupees 1,754-3-5 entered in the Margin, was made on certain cultivated Lands too highly assessed- The Particulars of which are shewn below.-

Talook

30/4

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Talook	Item	Upto Fusly 1263 Land	Upto Fusly 1263 Assessment	Upto Fusly 1263 Average	Fixed in Fusly 1264 Assessment	Fixed in Fusly 1264 Average	Decrease	Proportion between Columns 4 and 8
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Acres c a	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1 Chegalmurry	Dry	90 27 $\frac{1}{2}$	570 8 -	6 4 7	162 - 1	1 12 6	408 7 11	71 12 -
	Bagayet	21 8 6 $\frac{1}{4}$	459 13 -	21 10 6	298 12 6	14 1 -	161 - 6	35 1 -
	Total	111 36 5 $\frac{1}{2}$	1030 5 -	- - -	460 12 7	- - -	569 8 5	55 3 9
2 Sirvell	Dry	129 12 2	413 6 4	3 3 2	208 7 1	1 9 4	204 15 3	49 11 -
	Bagayet	12 7 2 $\frac{3}{4}$	244 11 10	20 1 3	177 3 8	14 8 6	67 8 8	27 10 -
	Total	142 3 14 $\frac{3}{4}$	658 2 2	- - -	355 10 9	- - -	272 7 5	41 7 -
3 Nundiab	Dry	59 34 11 $\frac{1}{2}$	196 13 11	3 4 7	167 3 11	2 12 7	29 10 -	14 13 -
4 Paniem	Dry	8 34 -	54 - -	6 1 -	35 4 9	3 14 3	18 11 3	35 1 -
5 Goodoor	Jeerwajasty	10 8 4	23 10 3	2 5 6	11 2 6	1 2 3	11 13 9	48 - -
6 Nundicoteoor	Dry	80 28 15 $\frac{1}{2}$	327 10 3	4 - 10	166 15	2 1 -	161 8 -	48 12 -
	Bagayet	8 3 1	76 6 -	9 8 -	38 3 -	4 12 -	38 3 -	47 10 -
	Jeerwajasty	3 - -	15 2 3	5 - 9	9 - -	3 - -	6 2 3	42 - -
	Total	91 32 $\frac{1}{2}$	419 2 6	- - -	213 4 5	- - -	205 14 1	49 - -
7 Meeoor	Dry	104 22 6 9 $\frac{1}{4}$	247 6 10 -	2 6 -	194 3 5 -	1 13 11	53 3 5 -	21 11 -
	Bagayet	2 36 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	49 7 7	16 13 -	21 9 -	7 7 -	27 14 7	55 12 -
	Wet	13 12 7	238 8 9	17 14 9	16 6 2 -	12 8 -	72 6 9	30 8 -
	Jeerwajasty	2 4 12	27 7 2	13 - -	14 15 -	7 2 -	12 8 2	49 - -
	Total	1060 39 15 $\frac{1}{2}$	2792 1 6	- - -	214 5 15 -	- - -	64 6 2 6	23 1 -
Grand Total		1485 28 9 $\frac{3}{4}$	5174 3 4	- - -	341 9 15 11	- - -	175 4 3 5	34 - -

The

Talooks	Villages	Amount
Attoor	Tarapolpal	455--
Chagulmatty	Budavangaly	360---
Do	Bramlandpully	122---
Siruelli	Chakravarmala	111---

38 The remission allowed under this head on lands in the Villages noted in the Margin and also in other Villages to a less extent was made by the late Agent Mr Conway in consequence of the Land in question having been assessed at a rate beyond what it could bear and the Ryots having in consequence become reduced to an extreme state of Poverty. The circumstances under which the reduction amounting to 72 per Cent was made on certain dry Lands at Budavangaly in the Chagulmatty Talook are as follows - Most of the cultivating Ryots of the Villages were Cuttoobadies possessing 177 acres of Service Enams, but in consequence of this Enam Land had during the Mogaly administration, been made to cultivate some very highly assessed Lands. During the Settlement of the Fusly 1263 these cuttoobadies urged their inability to pay the high assessment imposed on their Puttah Lands

Lands and stated at the same time they were willing to give up all claim to their Enam Land and if it was resumed and a fair assessment made upon it as well as upon their Puttah Lands to cultivate both. Mr Conway accordingly resumed and assessed the Service Enams and reduced the assessment on the Puttah Lands and the assessment now fixed bears at the average rate of Rupees 1-12-6 per acre on Dry and Rupees 1lb-1-- on Bagayat Lands.

Entry No 9
Land appropriated for Topes Rs 87--2-

39 The following Statement shews the particulars of remission under this head.-

Talooks	Villages	Land			Assessment		
		Acres	c	a	Rs	a	p
1 Nundial	Cusbah — Nundial —	1	5	-	24	-	-
2 Dhone	Gorumtta —	5	16	-	16	3	2
3 Nundicotoor	Cusbah — Nundicotoor —	9	11	13	46	13	-
	Total	15	32	13	87	-	2

The

Entry No 10
Cowle Remission
Rs 636-2-6

40 The particulars of the Lands granted on Cowle tenure in the Nundi-cotcoor and Dhone Talooks are shewn in the subjoined Statement. The Cowle term on the Lands at Vuddamaun and other Villages in the Former Talook having expired they have been struck off the Cowle accounts.

Talooks	Cowle Lands.	Goottah	Cowle Remission	charged to Jumma-bundy
	Acres c a	Rs a 0	Rs a p	Rs a p
1 Nundicotcoor	165 24 7½	65 6 3 9	63 5 9	2 0 10 9
2 Dhone	16 5 8¼	2 10 -	- 9 6	2 - 6
Total	181 29 15¾	65 8 13 9	63 6 2 6	2 2 11 3

The

Entry No 10.
Remission to the Renter.
Rupees 12-1-10.

41 The circumstances under which remission was granted to the renter on account of additional cultivation in the Villages Chotah Cumbacoor and Iskapilly in the Sirwell Talook was stated in Para 11 of the Report for Fusly 1262.

Entry No 13.
Remission of Seerwajasty on Gursa or Basket Wells newly dug.
Rs 17-6-10.

42 The amount noted in the Margin Rupees 17-6-10 was remitted under sanction conveyed in the Boards circular dated 10th July 1854 on certain Gursa or Basket Wells at Chota Taikoor and Gokulpaud in the Dhone Talook.

Entry No 14
Remission of assessment levied on resumed Enam Land - Rs 17-4

43 Certain Talaries of Potails in the Dhone Talook having given up their Enam Land it was accordingly resumed and assessed but not having been exempted from duty previous to the receipt of the order at the Talook the Talaries had cultivated the land in question and during the Settlement therefore solicited the assessment recently levied might be remitted for that year and their request was accordingly complied with.

It

Customary Remission Fusly - Amount 1263 - 3682-14-2 1264 - 2695-4-1 Decrease 987-7-1

44 Sir Thos Hitchcock to Secy Mad Govt

Requests to pay certain sums to the Proprietors of Kistnah District on account of Remission of Assessment on Land under the Mahomedan Rule

Forwards Abstract of Mr Ravenshaw's Report, by deduction from the Kistnah Assessment, but has spar the customs was discontinued and ready Money Rents substituted

Refers to Mr Ravenshaw's Report of 11th July 1855 and this accounts for the decrease

987-7-1 entered in the Margin

Land Cultivated with Sugar Cane - Increase

The following Statement shews the extent of Cultivation and Gross Rents before and after the Remission

	Fusly 1263				Fusly 1264				Comparison Increase				Comparison Decrease			
	Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment	
	Acres	Ca	Rs	As P	A	Ca	Rs	As P	A	Ca	Rs	As P	A	Ca	Rs	As P
Sugar Cane	111	19 12	2,804	13 9	95	27 2½	2,068	13 2	-	- -	- -	- -	15	32 9½	736	7
Cotton	107098	1 7¼	84,819	11 -	101459	9 11	84,175	14 11	-	- -	- -	- -	2,638	31 12½	643	12 1
Indigo	11049	28 6	309	11 13 -	4423	1 8½	12,157	15 3	-	- -	- -	- -	6,626	26 13½	18,753	13 9

The

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

46 The Sevoy Jamah account prepared in the prescribed form is forwarded and the subjoined Statement shews the Beriz collection and balance under this head.—

Fuslies	Beriz	Collected up to the end of Fusly 1264	Balance	Amount recommended to be struck off in the accept of Fusly 1262	Balance	Collected up to the end of November 1855	Balance	Particulars Recoverable	Particulars Irrecoverable
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Fusly 1260	150 15 9	- - -	150 15 9	150 15 9	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Do 1261	123 10 4	4 9 2	119 1 2	117 9 5	1 7 9	1 7 9	- - -	- - -	- - -
Do 1262	81 5 7	67 10 7	13 11 -	- - -	13 11 -	- - -	13 11 -	- - -	13 11 -
Do 1263	184 6 8	169 13 6	14 9 2	- - -	14 9 2	- - 5	14 8 9	- - -	14 8 9
Total	540 6 4	242 13 3	298 5 1	268 9 2	29 11 11	1 8 2	28 3 9	- - -	28 3 9
Current Fusly 1264	4137 4 4	3795 6 4	341 14 -	- - -	341 14 -	177 3 2	164 10 10	94 6 4	70 4 6
Total	4677 10 8	4037 7 7	640 3 1	268 9 2	371 9 11	178 11 4	192 14 7	94 6 4	98 8 3

6

47 Of the irrecoverable balance Rupees 274-10-1 recommended for a grant under Para 56 of the Jamabundy Report-dion in Para 56 of the Jamabundy Report for Fusly 1263 Rupees 6- - -11 have since been recovered. The sum of Rupees 98-8-3 exhibited in the 10th Column of the above Statement outstanding against deceased, emigrated and impoverished Ryots having been ascertained to be irrecoverable, Skey the Board will be pleased to obtain sanction for their amount being struck off from the accounts.—

48 The subjoined Statements shews the moneys collections on account of Sales of Forfeited and Escheated Lands and other items for the current and last Fusly.—

Stems

3083 Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Items	Fasly 1263	Fasly 1264	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Jodee on Sundry Enams	38102 4 3	37791 3 8	- - -	311 - 7
Deduction { Usual remission to Jaghiredars	21 15 -	21 15 -	- - -	- - -
Deduction { Temporary remission or Remission on Fusiljasty	720 7 6	80 4 -	- - -	640 3 6
Total	742 6 6	102 3 -	- - -	640 3 6
Remaining Jodee	37359 13 9	37689 - 8	329 2 11	- - -
Fixed Jodee on Shistoriew	3074 13 -	3374 13 -	- - -	- - -
Quit rent	67 15 -	67 15 -	- - -	- - -
Total	40802 9 9	41131 12 8	329 2 11	- - -
Enamity Tax	27783 3 9	29323 12 5	1540 8 8	- - -
Do Seerwajasty Fusiljasty and Jafarick	1395 12 10	1586 14 6	191 1 8	- - -
Total	29179 - 7	30910 10 11	1731 10 4	- - -
Groves	2721 8 -	1824 4 -	- - -	897 4 -
Orchards	1893 4 -	1984 4 -	91 - -	- - -
Pasturage	1025 14 -	799 4 -	- - -	226 10 -
Total	5640 10 -	4607 12 -	91 - -	1123 14 -
Grand Total	75622 4 4	76650 3 7	2151 13 3	1123 14 -
			Net Increase 1027 15 3	

The

Jodey on Sundry Enams- Rs 329-2-11

49 The increase of Rupees 329-2-11 noted in the Margin was owing to certain Two crop wet lands under the Tanks of Nundial cultivated in last Fusly with only one, having in the current Fusly been cultivated with two crops, and the decrease of Rupees 311-0-7 under the same head was owing to certain Joodee Enams having been resumed, the parties in possession having been found to have no title to them; to certain Enams having lapsed on failure of heirs &c and to the surrender of Enams by persons who were unwilling to pay Jodee or Enamity Tax.-

Enamity- Rs 1540-8-8-

50 The increase under this head arises form a greater extent of Enam land having been cultivated inconsequence of a more favorable season.-

Enamity Fussuljasty & Seerwajasty Rs 191-1-8-

51 The increase under this head was owing to the levy of Fussuljasty and Seerwajasty on certain Enam Dry Land cultivated with Jary and Bagayet crops in which concession was granted last year inconsequence of the crops having withered.-

The

Groves: Rupees 897-4-11

52 The decrease in the rent for Groves amounts to Rupees 897-4-11 owing to a failure of Tamarind Crops-

Orchard Rupees 91-

53 The Net Increase under this head arose from one orchard at Tandrapaud in the Dhone Taluck and three in the Goodoor Taluck having inconsequence of the expiration of the term of last Contract and the death and Relinquishment of Renters, been given at a higher Rate-

Poolbary Rs 226-10-4

54 The decrease in the rent for Pasturage was Rupees 226-10-4 there having been no Competition among bidders-

55 The following Statement exhibits the results of the Settlement including Land Revenue Jodee Enamaty and Groves &c and Compared with the preceding year shows a Net Increase of Rupees 81060-9-8- in favor of the year under Review

3087 Fort St. George 22nd February 1856

56 Subjoined is a Comparative statement of the Revenue under all heads for Fusulis 1263 and 1264 shewing a Net Increase of Rs. 73955-9-9 in favor of the Fusly under Review Moturpha and Sayer being the two heads under which there is a decrease arising in the latter case from the impost having been abolished –

Items	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
Land Revenue	6094899 11 7205503	7810609 8	" " "	" " "
Moturpha	51050 10 1	467688 2	" " "	4282 1 11
Sayer	4045 14 2	33 7 122	" " "	3 7 082 "
Ab. Barry	71010 " "	71140 " "	130 " "	" " "
Sundry small Forms & Licenses	340 " "	411 " "	71 " "	" " "
Total	7659362 2 8392077	11 8126198	7990 3 11	
Stamps	2554 " "	3238 4 "	684 4 "	" " "
Grand Total	7684902 2 8424475	11 8194513	7990 3 11	

Net Increase 7395599

3080 Fort St. George 22nd February 1856

Table.

No.		Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
1	Assessed	7650639 8 4264 8	7758411	" " "	" " "
2	Sundries	7924671 9977	4823093	" " "	" " "
3	Miscellaneous	9961293 6108988	13163167	" " "	" " "
4	Peishcush	968205 " 989321	" 2111 12	" " "	" " "
5	Abkary	8214712 8822011	11 6073 10 9	" " "	" " "
6	Customs	3072846 4628001	11 3202556	" " "	" " "
7	Miscellaneous	10179051 0109919	33 8128135	" " "	" " "
8	Stamps	6210833 6944516	7338143	" " "	" " "
	Total	63918991 1720550	37 8106098	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George 22d February 1856

5th The Net demand of the Twenty annas Sentence is as

Rupees 720550 – 3 – 7 the Amount

Collected within the close of

the Fasly is Rupees 714976 – 3 – 3 –

& the Balance Rupees –

55574 – 4 – 4 Remaining at the

time the Same Collected,

is to the end of Proceedings

1855 Amounts to Rupees

2224 – 3 – 7 – the Collected

is now Statement of the –

Same is Represented Collected –

Fort St. George 22d February 1856

No.	Taloks	Land Revenue	Collection up to the end of Fasly 1264	Balance	Collected up to the end of Rot. 1855 or Fasly 1265	Balance
1	Chagulmurry	84264 8 8	83807 10 3	456 14 5	298 13 11	158 4 6
2	Sirnall	97977 4 4	97039 14 6	937 1 10	200 15 7	736 2 3
3	Irundial	108988 13 1	105769 3 7	219 9 6	22 9 5	197 4 1
4	Pannoin	98932 4 4	68707 15 8	224 1 4	20 4 5	203 12 11
5	Dhone	88220 11 11	86264 4 8	1956 11 3	475 13 10	1480 13 5
6	Goodoon	62800 11 10	62631 13 9	168 14 1	117 2 6	51 11 7
7	Rundicoteoor	109919 3 3	109487 5 7	431 13 8	117 14 6	313 15 2
8	Alcoor	69447 1 6	68268 3 3	1178 14 3	970 9 5	208 14 10
	Total	720550 3 7	714976 3 3	5574 4 4	2224 3 7	3349 12 9

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

58 The balance of Rupees 4 remaining from
125) Rupees 1263 including an amount in the
Supplemental Statement since struck off the
end of the Fusly Rupees 1263 or Rupees 1263 - 2 - 5

Deducting from the sum of Rupees
158-4-) Collected up to the end of November
1855 and Rupees 2940-12-10 which were outstanding
against certain Cumdars on account of
Country Cess and Casually written off
by recourse to/per Sanction of Government
dated 5th September 1855 Rupees 3098-1-9 which has
been recommended to be written off in
Para 8 of the Report for the Cost Fusly the
remaining balance Rupees 7230-12-3
of Rupees 11538-14-9 of which Rupees

Having been ascertained during the settlement
of the Current Fusly to be irrecoverable, and
called/abandoned to be written off from the
Cess Roll accounts =

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Fuslies		Balance at the Commencement of Fusly 1264	Collections within the Fusly	Balance	The amounts recommended to the Board to be struck off the accounts & the sanctions of the Board - In the Settlement Report for Fusly 1263	In the Letter dated 27th Jany 1855 to the Board -	Total	Balance	Collected up to the end of November 1855	Balance	of which Recoverable	Irrecoverable
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1	1257	455 9 10	" " "	455 9 10	" " "	" " "	455 9 10	455 9 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	1258	446 4 5	" " "	446 4 5	" " "	" " "	446 4 5	446 4 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	1259	410 13 7	" " "	410 13 7	" " "	" " "	410 13 7	410 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	1260	423 2 4	" " "	423 2 4	" " "	" " "	423 2 4	423 2 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	1261	457 8 2	" " "	457 8 2	50 14 3	406 9 11	457 8 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	1262	8021 12 6	274 " 3	7747	12 3	7179 14 "	399 6 1	7579 4 1	6882 7 9	4160 14 10	" " "	160 14 10
7	1263	19285 15 2	11588 15 4	7696 15 10	" " "	3991 8 3	3991 8 3	7297 14 2	150 11 3	7147 2 11	" " "	7147 2 11
	Total	29501 2 "	11862 15 7	17638 2 5	7230 12 3	2940 15 10	10171 12 1	7466 6 4	158 4 7	3081 9 "	" " "	3081 9

Esplanation as to what accident coercive process has been adopted.

59 The annexed Statement shews the amount of balance of Revenue outstanding against defaulters and the amount Realized by the sale of their property as also the balance still outstanding against them -

No	Talooks	Balance for which Pottahs issued	Amount Realized by Sale of Property of defaulters	Balance outstanding against defaulters
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Pamrieum	115 9 6	7 9 5	108 0 1
2	Dhone	139 2 "	136 14 8	2 10 4
		10 3 5 " " "	10 3 5	
				12 13 9
3	Nundicotoor	21 8 6	12 6 "	9 2 6
	Total	286 14 5	222 10 7	61 3 10

Particulars of the amount recommended to be struck off

	Rs a p
Savy Jumma	367-1-5
Land Revenue	11,538-14-0
Total	11,905-15-5

60 The balance of former years under the head of Savoy Jumma mentioned in Para 46 and on account of Land Revenue as adverted to in Para 58 of this Report Respectively is Rs 11,905-15-5 This amount having been ascertained to be irrecoverable in Consequence of death emigration and poverty of Ryots against whom the balance is outstanding I beg to request the Board will obtain the authority of Government to write off the same from the accounts.

61 The subjoined Statement shews the amount of advances made to Ryots for Cultivation the amount Recovered and the Balance outstanding against them -

Talooks

3094 Fort St George 22d February 1856

Taloor Co	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Balance Remaining	Balance in Fusly 1264	Balance in Fusly 1265
1 Chaplicurry	480 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
2 Vencell	767 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
3 Mundeil	714 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
4 Cannem	401 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
5 Olone	267 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "
6 Godoor	1296 4 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
7 Pundicotoor	686 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "
8 Attoor	1445 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total	8503 4 "	" "	" "	" "	" "

3095 Fort St George 22d February 1856

62. The subjoined Statement shews the entire Collection and expenditure and the per centage thereon for the two last Fusleis—

Items	Fusly 1263 Receipts of Land Revenue Rs As Ps	Charges Rs As Ps	Centage Rs As Ps	Fusly 1264 Receipts of Land Revenue Rs As Ps	Charges Rs As Ps	Centage Rs As Ps
1 Commissioners Salary Hoozoor and Taloor Establishments and Sundry Charges	12777 10 4	20 2 3	" " "	11799 10 2	15 14 11	
3 Pagoda & Mosque allowances	1061 7 11	1 10 9	" " "	826 1 9 2	1 2 9	
4 Other Sources unconnected with the Land Revenue						
Charges Dcn	311 15 11	" " "	" " "	72 6 3 2 1	" " "	" " "
1 Judicial	1572 3 13 9	2 7 8	" " "	1542 1 4 8	2 1 3	
2 Magisterial	241 4 2 4	" 6 1	" " "	203 0 3 3	" 4 5	
3 Sunnud Irregular Horse	8779 8 15 2	13 13 5	" " "	8016 4 7 9	10 13 2	
4 Stipends to the Shandars	1864 2 3 15 2	26 3 8	" " "	1469 6 0 5	10 19 13 6	
5 Medical Renums	494 8 12 3	" 12 6	" " "	311 8 3 8	" 6 9	
6 Dispensary	115 5 13 2	" 2 11	" " "	127 1 10 9	" 2 9	
Total	29826 10 4 3	11 4 3	" " "	24896 3 11	33 9 10	
	11686 2 13 9	6 5 11 3	" " "	3562 7 3	50 11 6	
2 Receipts of the Revenue from Irrigation	10612 2 15 9	" " "	" " "	1017 6 11 4 3	" " "	" " "
Charges incurred for Maramut Sibbandy and advances for Repairing Tanks and watercourses	739 5 7 4	6 15 7	" " "	815 9 5 2	7 12 7	

3090 Fort St George 22nd February 1850

Report on the settlement of the Extra Charges of Revenue

63 Chuna Sreecool to Report on the settlement of the Collectorate of the Ceded Districts.

Consideration of Revenue of this Division

Read for the same Fasly and to submit the annual Statements of Extra Charges for the Ceded Districts

64 The outturn of the year amounts to Rupees 12189S-8-4 and to Rupees 12638 the Result being an increase of Rupees 885-4-0 under the heads of Collectors Sundry Charges and Stamp Duties made a decrease of Rupees 9343-1-2 under the remaining two heads Sayer and Proclamations

Classed as Ryotwar

3097 Fort St George 22nd February 1850

No	Items	Fasly 1263	Fasly 1264	Increase	Decrease
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1	Sayer	4045 11 2	337 12 2	" " "	3708 2 "
2	Abkarry	71010 " "	71140 " "	130 " "	" " "
3	Sundry Small Farms &c	340 " "	411 " "	71 " "	" " "
4	Moturpha	52403 7 4	46768 8 2	" " "	5634 15 2
5	Stamp Revenue	2554 " "	3238 4 "	684 4 "	" " "
	Total	130353 5 6	121895 8 4	885 4 "	9343 1 2

Net Decrease - 8457 13 2

Sayer
Fusly Beirz
1263 - - £015- 11:7
1264 - - 337- 12:2
Decrease 3708-2 "

65 The decrease of Rupees 3708-2-u on the head of Sayer arose from the abolishment of all customs duties between the frontier of His Highness the Nizam and the Distributing Statement marked E shews the decrease and increase in the imports and exports of Goods-

Alkarry
Fusly Beirz
1263 - - 71010-u-u
1264 - - 71140-u-u
Increase 130-u-u

66 The Alkarry Farm for Fusly 1264 was assessed

at Rupees 71140-u-u being a net increase of Rupees 130-u-u above the amount of Tender in the preceding Fusly- The subjoined Statement exhibits the amount of increase and decrease in the Rents in each Taloork.-

Talooks

3101. Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856.

Sundry Small Farms'

Fusly — Beriz

1263 — 340 " "

1264 — 411 " "

Increase — 71 " "

67. The increase under this head was the result of greater competition for the Rent of Diamond Mines in the Pannium, and Dhone Talooks as shewn in the Statement below.

Number	Talooks.	Fusly 1263			Fusly 1264.			Comparison Increase			Comparison Decrease		
		Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1	Pannium	25	"	"	26	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
2	Dhone	180	"	"	250	"	"	70	"	"	"	"	"
3	Nundicotcoor'	135	"	"	135	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	340	"	"	411			71	"	"	"	"	"

68

Motupha:

Fusly — Beriz

1263 — 52,403 — 7 — 4

1264 — 46,768 — 8 — 2

Decrease — 5634 — 15 — 2

The net demand under this head was Rupees 46,768 — 8 — 2 or Rupees 5634 — 15 — 2 less than the previous year arising from a decrease in each item of Motupha as shewn below.

Items

Items.	Fusly 1263			Fusly 1264			Comparison Increase			Comparison Decrease		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1 Veesabuddy or Tax on Shops	13,098	4	-	12,368	5	-	-	-	-	729	15	-
2 Tax on Handicrafts	13,469	-	4	10,318	1	7	-	-	-	3150	15	2
3 Tax on Houses	4,085	14	-	3,830	4	-	-	-	-	255.	10	-
4 Tax on Sheep	11230	7	-	10,103	-	-	-	-	-	1127	7	-
5. Loom Tax	10519	11	-	10,148	11	-	-	-	-	371.	-	-
Total	52,403	7	4	46,768	8	2	-	-	-	4634	15	2

69. From the above statement it will be seen the largest decrease was under the head of Handicraft under which item prior to the year under review had been included 'the tappum' an extra impost levied from such renters of Abkarry Farms who kept retail Toddy shops

Orders for the discontinuance of this extra tax which was a source of complaint both on the part of Contractors and sub renters were issued by the late Agent Mr. Conway in March 1263 and the decrease arising therefrom may be estimated at Rupees 12-8-- but this decrease it may be expected will hereafter be recovered by a larger amount being realized for the contract of Abkarry Farm- The abolition of the rent and the substitution of a personal tax on Butchers as sanctioned in the 10th Para of the Board's Proceedings dated 31st July 1854 gave rise to a decrease of Rupees 756--11 also included under the head of Handicraft. The abolishment of avootee tax annually levied in the Goodoor village for the right of measuring grain and a deduction from the Pillaware of Rupees 496-2-- under the heads Veesabuddy, Handicraft and House tax remitted in the previous year to certain

certain individuals unable to pay the impost was the cause of a further decrease. The remaining decrease of Rupees 6743—10—1 has arisen by loss of Sheep, deaths, desertion and discontinuance of trade. The increase under the several heads of Moturpha amounted to Rupees 3,679—14—1 the result being a net decrease of Rupees 3,634—15—2 The large decrease may be attributed in a great measure to the unfavorable season of the preceding years.

70 A sum of Rupees 242—0—0 on account of the manufacture of Earth Salt in the Pauniem Talook levied in this as well as in last year was not brought to account in this Fusly owing to the Manufacturers not having commenced work until the settlement of that Talook had been made consequently the sum has been brought under the head Sewoy-Jamab and most of the amount has been collected.

Stamps

Fusly	Beriz
1263	2554—0—0
1264	3238—4—0
Increase	684—4—0

71 The total value of stamps disposed of in this year amounted to Rupees 3277—4—0 from which has to be deducted the sum of Rupees 39—0—0 the value of Papers supplied for the execution of Security Bonds by Public Servants. The total increase of Rupees 684—4—0 on excess of the previous year arises from an increase of Suits filed in

in the Courts of the Canjees at Kurnool and Sirwel and the increase in the Hoozoor Treasury and Goodoor Talook is owing to the purchase of papers by parties whose causes are pending in the Canjee's Court of Kurnool.

Demand Collection and Balance

72. The demand for the year under this branch was Rupees 12,1895—8—4 of which Rs. 12,0468—7—0 were collected within the Fusly or 30th June 1855 leaving a balance at that date of Rupees 1427—1—4. Of this sum Rupees 682—15—8 have been collected up to the 30th November 1855 and the causes of the remaining balance will be ascertained during the Settlement of Fusly 1265.

73. The subjoined Statement exhibits a comparison of the collections in Fusles 1263 and 1264 and also the increase and decrease in all Items including Extra Collections, the result being a diminished amount of Collections to the extent of Rupees 4116—2—6 which is accounted for by the discontinuance of Sayer duties and the diminished demand of Moturpha.

Items

3105 Fort St. George 22d. February 1856

Items	Fusly 1263 Collections	Extra Collections	Total	Fusly 1264 Collections	Extra Collections	Total	Comparison Increase	Decrease
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Rs. A P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. A P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
Sayer	4045 14 2	12 5 -	4058 3 2	337 12 2	26 10 6	364 6 8	" " "	3693 12 6
Abkarry	71005 - -	20 15 6	71025 15 6	71140 12 -	" 9 3	71140 9 3	114 9 9	" " "
Sundry small Farms &c	317 8 -	- - "	317 8 -	399 12 -	" - -	399 12 -	82 4 -	" " "
Motorpha	47636 15 7	200 8 -	47837 7 7	45352 10 10	1181 5 -	46533 15 10	" " "	1303 7 9
Stamps	2554 - -	- - -	2554 - -	3238 4 -	" - -	3238 4 -	684 4 -	" " "
Total	176555 5 9	233 17 6	176793 2 3	120468 7 -	1208 8 9	121676 15 9	881 1 9	4997 4 3

Net Decrease 4116 2 6

3104 Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

To

The balance of former years

jumma for Fusly 1263 amounts to Rupees

one lack ninety six thousand one hundred and eleven Rupees

Para 13 recommended the sum

of Rupees 1,96,111 on account of

the late Agent

in his Report for Fusly 1263

to be written off the accounts, and

the remaining sum amounting to Rupees 62 annas 9 to be

recovered. Of Rupees 962 9

outstanding for Fusly 1263, I

beg to request the Board will

obtain sanction to write off the

sum of Rupees 911 10 3 accor-

dingly during the settlement of

the Current Fusly to be Sure

Excepted.

Fabricius

3109

Fort St. George 22d. February 1855

75 The abstract Comparative Statement entered below exhibits the entire Collections of the three last Fusslies including arrears, expenditure, Net revenue, and the per centage of the charges to the Collections.—

Items	Fusly 1262			Fusly 1263			Fusly 1264.			Comparison between Columns 3 and 4. Increase			Decrease		
	2			3			4			5			6		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Sayer collections	4,874	12	10	4058	3	2	364	6	8	..	..	..	3693	12	6
Deduct the Sibbendy charges	2173	.	..	2,188	6	6	252	7	1	..	..	..	1935	15	5
Remainder	2,701	12	10	1869	12	8	111	15	7	..	..	..	1757	13	1
Per Centage	44	9	4	53	10	6	5	9	4	5	9	10	..	..	..
Abkarry Collections	6,1011	7	11	7,1025	15	6	7,1145	9	3	119	9	..	..	..	..
Sundry small Farms and Licenses	228	5	6	317	8	..	422	4	..	104	12	..	..	..	..
Stamps Collection	2208	..	..	2,554	..	..	3,238	4	..	684	4	..	..	..	..
Deduct the Commission to the Vender	101	8	1	137	13	11	159	5	6	21	77	..	..	..	..
Remainder	2,106	7	11	2,416	2	1	3078	14	8	662	12	5	..	..	..
Per centage	4	9	6	5	5	11	4	14	8	..	..	..	..	7	3
Mstruphia Collection	54,603	..	11	49350	3	8	49036	6	11	..	..	..	313	12	9
Total Collections	132,925	11	2	17,305	14	4	124,206	14	10	..	..	..	3098	15	6
Deduct Total charges incurred	2274	8	1	2326	4	5	411	12	7	..	..	..	1914	7	10
Remainder	130651	3	1	14979	9	11	123795	2	3	..	..	..	11184	7	8
Per Centage	1	11	5	1	14	9	..	5	3	..	..	..	1	9	6

3108

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1855

Fusly 1262.

Deduct the Sibbendy charges recommended to be remitted as irrecoverable for the Last Fusly

Talooks.	Balance outstanding	Particulars						Balance outstanding on the 30th November 1855.			Irrecoverable &c.		
		Balance outstanding on the 30th November 1855.			Recoverable								
	1	2			3			4			5		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
1 Chegalumpy	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8	..	
2 Sirnell	1	8	..	1	8	..	..	..	..	..	11	..	
3 Nundial	..	..	..	..	..	..	39	11	..	39	..	..	
4 Ramiem	4	..	..	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
5 Dhone	20	15	8	20	7	..	10	8	..	10	8	..	
6 Sprdoor	12	3	9	12	3	..	74	..	6	50	8	6	
7 Nundiem	..	..	..	..	..	..	19	1	3	19	1	3	
8 Moor	12	10	..	12	10	..	34	14	..	34	14	..	
9 Arnool Town	142	1	7	142	1	7	13	13	8	13	13	8	
Total	196	11	..	196	2	3	591	8	4	566	10	4	
							962	..	9	911	10	3	

Fort St. George, 22d February, 1856.

76. With reference to the cultivation of Cotton in the past Fusly, I have the honor to inform the Board there was a decrease of acres 2639 in the extent of land cultivated and the following Statement shews the extent of Circar and Enam lands respectively cultivated with Cotton Crops only or with mixed Crops of Cotton and other produce.

	Acres
Circar Land cultivated with Cotton only	28,690
Do Do mixed crops of Cotton and other produce	35,951
	64,641
Enam Land cultivated with Cotton only	17,364
Do Do with mixed crop of Cotton and other produce	22,454
	39,818
Total	104,459

77. The decrease in the cultivation of Cotton in the year under review was owing to the high prices of other descriptions of produce.

Fort St. George 22nd February 1856

78. The prices obtained for Cotton during the current and the preceding two Fuslies are enumerated Marginally, and the causes of the non cultivation of Foreign Cotton in this District have already been brought to the notice of the Board.

Fuslies	Rate per maund
1262	2-2-2 3/4
1263	2-9-9 1/2
1264	2-9-5 3/4

79. In conclusion I beg to bring to the notice of the Board that my Head Sheristadar Venkat Row has displayed industry and ability in the performance of the duties of his office and that I have in no instance found him unworthy of the high encomiums passed upon him by Mr Lushington when recommending him for his present situation.

Kurnool District. - - -
Government Agents Office
on Circuit Cottapully. - -
18th December 1855. - -

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

Statement

R. 3112

No 1. Statement of the number of Villages in each Sa in the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1264.-

Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Names of the Talooks	Acres or Cawnies as per Atyacut			Sunnd or Sarvaj Muzrumut &c	Ryotwar Villages		Rented Villages		Amany		Shrotreem &c		Total Government Villages		Permanently Settled		Soliputs		Motursha		Total		Remarks
					Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	
1	2			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
1 Chegulmurry	Acres 148347	34	1	4	54	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	8	
2 Sirwell	139351	6	4 3/4	3	48	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	9	
3 Nundial	149655	38	1 3/4	4	47	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	47	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	47	6	
4 Jannicm	267442	14	6 3/4	4	45	23	-	-	-	-	1	5	46	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	28	
5 Dhone	286757	5	13	5	83	28	-	-	-	-	8	-	91	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	91	28	
6 Goodoor	147919	2	5	3	47	10	-	-	-	-	1	-	48	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	10	
7 Nundicoor to coor	189050	37	5 1/2	3	69	5	-	-	-	-	1	-	70	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	5	
8 Ettcoor	377953	24	15 1/4	4	77	11	-	-	-	-	4	-	81	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	81	11	
9 Kurnool Town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Total	1706478	3	5	30	470	100	-	-	-	-	15	5	485	105	-	-	-	1	-	-	486	105	

Kurnool,
Government Agents Office
18th December 1835

Particulars
Miscellaneous Jaghees - - 8 - -
Royem Jodee Villages - - - - 6 - 5 -
Surra Maniem Dr - - - - - - 1 - -

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

Statement

Fort St George

22nd February 1856

Fusly 1264

Total	Extent			Assessment			Fussly Jasty and Seerwajasty			Total		
5			6			7			8			9
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4504	6	2	988	1	2½	1903	1	11	2707	-	4	4610	2	3
2120	13	5	277	1	8½	933	1	5	898	2	1	1831	3	6
5154	9	6	900	6	½	2798	2	-	1960	14	2	4759	-	2
11776	13	1	2165	8	11½	5634	5	4	5566	-	7	11200	5	11
21	8	-	3	29	7¼	20	6	7	5	4	7	25	11	2
139	14	1	15	27	11¼	28	8	8	4	2	1	32	10	9
285	7	11	22	1	1½	59	4	10	68	12	10	128	1	8
70225	1	3	5040	87	29	108	4	1	78	3	6	186	7	7
616746	8	6	45966	3	13¾	657807	14	1	15030	12	-	672838	10	1
53478	8	9	44424	21	-	631990	9	2	10209	8	-	642200	1	21
-	-	-	-	-	-	25817	4	11	4821	4	-	30638	8	11
670225	1	3	504087	29	13¾	657807	14	1	15030	12	-	672838	10	1
47410	5	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23227	12	4
22808	11	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	642610	13	9
6062	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7410	12	7

per 1855

Increase				Decrease			
Extent		Assessment		Extent		Assessment	
10		11		12		13	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	35 12¼	108	12 1	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	26	37 4¼	289	9 11
-	-	-	-	37	36 6¼	395	9 4
35	35 12¼	108	12 1	64	33 10½	685	3 3
1	2 -	4	3 2	-	-	-	-
-	- -	-	- -	8	14 3¼	91	7 1
-	27 3¾	-	- -	-	- -	11	12 5
1	29 3¾	4	3 2	8	14 3¼	103	3 6
3831	6 6¼	4846	8 10	111	37 5	2233	- -
24292	24 1¼	25453	8 8	-	- -	-	- -
-	- -	-	- -	20573	15 -	22839	15 10
-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
24292	24 1¼	25453	8 8	20573	15 -	22839	15 10
-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	24188	9 4
-	- -	26802	2 2	-	- -	-	- -

(Signed) L. D. Daniell

Agent to the Governor

Comparative

3117

Fort St George

22

Rur

№ 5 Comparative Statement of Cultivateen in the

Fusly 1763

Fusly 1763

Fusselgaty and Seerwajaty

		Extent			Assessment			Fusselgaty and Seerwajaty		
		1	2		3			4		
Garden Upon Channels	Dry		14 23 985	23/4	520	19	9	142	3	.
	One Crop		94 27	15/4	617	14	7	224	8	7
	Two Crop		41 33	1/2	631	9	2	-	-	.
	Total		136 20	15 3/4	1249	7	9	224	8	7
Upon Wells	One Crop		197 25	9/4	1221	11	5	148	7	5
	Two Crop		204 3	14 3/4	3248	7	11	"	"	"
	Total		401 28	14	14470	3	14	148	7	5
	One Crop		962 5	6 1/2	5464	7	1	69	12	8
	Two Crop		1885 37	14	17531	3	7	-	-	-
	Total		2848 3	14 1/2	22995	10	8	69	12	8
Total Garden			3386 13	2 1/4	28715	5	9	142	12	8
Wet Channels Junkel	One Crop		14364 36	9 1/2	36557	13	10	3084	8	9
	Two Crop		42 16	6 3/4	1029	7	-	-	-	-
	Total		14407 13	1/4	37587	14	10	3084	8	9
	One Crop		558 29	3 1/2	3617	13	5	797	14	11
	Two Crop		506 20	13	7493	11	1	-	-	-
	Total		1065 10	1/2	11111	8	6	797	14	11
Wells	One Crop		9635	15/4	299	14	8	30	1	6
	Two Crop		336 15	14	2417	14	5	-	-	-
	Total		433 11	13/4	2717	13	1	30	1	6
Total wet			5905 34	14	51416	10	5	3911	15	2

according to the Survey

Assessment

| | | |

3120

Fort St George

22d February 1856.

Land left waste by Ryots through neglect (Cont'd)								Waste land for which a portion was collected and the remainder withheld from collection		Collected before the Settlement		On land left waste by Poyacarry & charged on the responsibility of Reddies and Curnums.		Total	
On substantial Ryots having left waste of small portion by neglect		Lands ploughed but not cultivated by neglect													
Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment			Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment		
16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23	
acres G a	Rs a p	acres G a	Rs a p	acres G a	Rs a p			acres G a	Rs a p	acres G a	Rs a p	acres G a	Rs a p	acres G a	Rs a p
156 6 12½	51 12 10	104 21 11½	50 8 1	15 61 29 16½	835 13 7					48 2 13¾	43 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
660 26 12¾	241 14 9	11 12 8	3 " 3	1551 37 6½	690 1 "					" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
137 10 2¼	57 1 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "					57 38 9½	17 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
174 33 9½	32 5 1	" 33 11	2 3 9	628 35 10½	120 7 3					31 6 8¾	17 2 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
378 29 ½	152 12 11	110 6 1¾	117 14 1	695 1 1½	241 " 1					136 31 ½	63 9 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
179 17 "	61 12 6	" " "	" " "	2203 23 7	1032 10 7					328 17 8½	130 15 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
93 5 13¼	19 12 10	" " "	" " "	1575 31 15½	856 1 7					18 11 "	11 8 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
124 6 8½	46 " 3	20 10 4	10 6 7	729 6 7½	282 12 9					235 38 7	104 1 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1904 15 11¼	672 8 11	247 77½	186 11 "	9857 26 6½	4963 10 10					856 25 11	387 13 11	" " "	" " "	211 36 11	57 5 11

Land taken on condition and left Bunjer		on Stock yard for which Assessment is paid annually		Land retained for grazing cattle		Land left waste notwithstanding the existence of water		Bowle ends left waste		Total					
Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land	assessment	Land			assessment		
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38			39		
Acres G a	R a P	Acres G a	R a P	Acres G a	R a P	Acres G a	R a P	Acres G a	R a P	Acres	G	a	R	a	P
160 39 11¼	30 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2301	13	7¾	1493	15	9
358 12 6	247 5 8	" " "	" " "	505 37 4	331 12 11	24 23 11	252 13 4	" " "	" " "	1964	15	1½	980	2	10
" " "	" " "	17 28 14	12 8 9	29 34 .	9 6 6	16 17 5	281 . 1	" " "	" " "	750	34	7	746	7	7
2 28 "	" 14 .	1 22 .	1 2 .	42 5 10½	47 5 1	5 19 2	103 4 7	" " "	" " "	778	9	7	630	13	8
47 19 8	16 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 3 10½	441 . .	" " "	" " "	2629	34	.	1604	8	11
11 19 .	2 14 .	3 6 3½	9 1 6	73 17 6	26 1 .	30 4 7¾	38 10 6	" " "	" " "	2022	16	9¼	1064	2	8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23 8 4	10 6 6	18 3 .	772	29	13¼	362	13	1
" " "	" " "	2 21 14	1 12 8	15 6 .	6 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1154	4	3¾	533	12	1
575 38 9¼	297 1 8	24 38 15½	24 8 11	717 30 9½	474 9 8	7 5 8¾	203 5 "	" " "	" " "						
88 33 13	1203 7 3	10 6 6	18 3 .	12373 37	1½	7410	12	7							

Hurnool
Govt Agents Office
18th December 1855

/Signed/ L.D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

No 7 Compara District for July 1264

		July 1263						July 1264		Comparison							
										Increase				Decrease			
		Land			Amount			Land			Amount			Land			amount
		1		10			11	12		13				14			15			16			17		
1	Chogulmurry	5	79	60	13			911	23	9¾	8111	1	8	18	23	4¾	150	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	Sirmoll	10	1¾	2677	3	8		257	29	3	2636	"	2	3	19	1¾	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	3	6
3	Kundial	2	2¾	1927	2			216	23	10¾	1943	15	3	"	21	8	16	13	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	Pannum	19	11¾	4777				439	8	1¾	4808	8	7	8	28	3	36	8	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Dhone	7	38	11¼	2738	1	6	428	27	12¼	2108	7	11	"	29	¾	"	"	"	"	"	"	129	9	7
6	Gordon	33	10¾		681	9	3	203	15	2¼	693	10	11	"	21	7½	12	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Kundiatoor	1	8	10¾	5852	11	11	674	39	5	5986	5	7	13	30	10¾	133	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Alcoor	3	11	11¼	3685	13	1	476	33	2¾	3707	1	5	3	21	7½	21	4	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	9	5	4½	29770	6	5	3608	39	15½	29995	3	6	49	34	11	370	10	2	"	"	"	165	13	1

Net Increase
49 34 11 204 13 1

3124 | | Fort St. George

22d. February 1856.

Trees &c. Comparison				Dry converted Fusly 1263		into Wet and Garden Fusly 1264. Comparison					
Increase.		Decrease				Increase		Decrease			
Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount.		
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
				114	16 4¾	759	81	31	4	485	35
				195	13 1½	1417	14	169	11	11	7210 1
				2543	7	1353	7 8	302	26 13½	1518	3
				190	9 12¾	1689	10 11	164	13 6¾	1418	14 2
				457	35 15½	1854	15 8.	44	25 12¼	176	8 10 1
				97	10 2¾	292	14 1	102	32 4½	310	11 3
				148	31 10	892	2 7	161	31 14½	974	3
				735	12 1½	3516	8	736	25 9	3552	18.
				2194	6 9¾	11776	13 1	2165	8 11½	11200	5 11
								67	25 2¼	300	42
								96	23 ½	876	11 4

Net Decrease
28-37 14¼ 576-7-2

3125. Fort St. George 22d. February 1850.

Garden Converted into Wet

Fusly 1263-		Fusly 1264-		Comparison Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1263		Total Fusly 1264	
Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
4 17 7½	60 8 "	2 36 11½	35 4 "					1 20 12	25 4 "	44731 24 8	7650 1 8 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	63487 16 11¼	8836 8 14 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	54891 37 15¼	9744 8 4 4
10 6 11	12415 9	5 14 7½	60 3 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 32 3½	64 11 11	50626 24 11	8495 7 4 7
5 14 3½	38 9 2	2 34 3½	17 7 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 20 "	21 2 "	10037 8 18 14	960 9 6 9 3
8 27 11	61 7 "	10 35 11	73 8 7 2	8 "	12 1 7			" " "	" " "	61883 35 5¾	6274 1 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	69081 11 3¼	9974 1 10 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	55287 11 8	6436 9 13 8
28 26 1	285 7 11	22 1 1½	186 7 7 2	8 "	12 1 7			8 32 15½	111 1 11	50036 8 20 12½	6702 2 5 1 3

Total Fusly 1264	
Land	Amount
53	
45748 20 9¾	7715 7 " 10
63992 24 ¼	8861 3 14 4
55692 31 5	9785 4 1
52200 23 5½	8584 9 1 6
1004 9 2 1 1	95 6 13 11 8
59777 15 9¾	6086 5 6 2
99741 10 9	7139 7 2 6½
54786 31 8	6466 1 4 11
50408 7 29 13¾	6728 3 8 10 1

Net Decrease.
6 24 15½ 99 " 4

3120. Fort Ls George 22d. February 1856

Comparison Increase Land	Comparison Increase Amount	Comparison Decrease Land	Comparison Decrease Amount	Deduct Remission at the Settlement: Fusly 1263	Deduct Remission at the Settlement: Fusly 1264	Remaining Net Settlement - Fusly 1263 Increase	Remaining Net Settlement - Fusly 1263 Decrease	Remaining Net Settlement - Fusly 1264 Increase	Remaining Net Settlement - Fusly 1264 Decrease
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65
1,016	36 1/4	655	8 2	"	"	"	"	"	8,713	4	6	1,320	9	10	"	"	"	7,392	10	8	6	7,788	4	2	7,583	6	7	"	8,048	2	10	"	"	"
505	7	245	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	949	0	"	9	1,379	14	1	"	"	"	8,110	2	18	7,887	8	13	3	87,234	"	3	8,355	3	"	"	"	"
800	33	5/4	401	15	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8,754	3	9	5	38	3	3	"	"	"	8,216	"	6	8,869	4	"	7	97,312	"	10	8,618	"	3	"	"	"
1,573	38	10/2	891	12	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,986	3	5	582	11	8	"	"	"	1,403	7	9	82,971	2	85,266	5	10	2,954	8	"	"	"	"
113	22	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	482	13	7	22,120	10	"	15,361	7	8	"	"	"	6,759	2	4	7,397	5	7	5	3	80,252	4	"	6,276	4	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2,106	19	12	1,875	9	10	36,623	9	8	3,019	8	8	"	"	"	3,604	1	"	2,611	7	6	4	5	7,845	13	6	3,172	8	7	2	"	"	"
2,315	3	1 3/4	2,486	3	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8,125	10	11	2,902	10	4	"	"	"	5,223	"	7	9,161	2	5	10	99,325	4	3	7,709	4	5	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	291	7	3	500	20	"	"	"	"	"	10,544	"	8	3,833	8	7	"	"	"	6,710	8	1	5,382	5	13	"	6,082	7	12	4	7,001	15	4	"	"	"	"
6,326	8	13/4	4,972	5	"	3	2,606	3	9	12	2,358	7	5	10	6,357	11	8	28,938	10	1	"	"	"	77,419	1	7	56,386	7	5	7	6,390	0	"	"	80,032	10	5	"	"	"

Net Increase
3719 9 1/4 2613 8. 10

Fort St. George

22d. February 1850.

Add Jodee or Quit Rent Vca

Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1263.	Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease.
66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73
8,717 15 7	8,428 1 8	" " "	289 13 11	76,506 3 9	84,264 8 8	7,758 4 11	" " "
10,867 9 10	10,743 " 1	" " "	124 9 9	89,746 7 1	97,977 " 4	8,230 9 3	" " "
10,948 8 11	11,676 12 3	728 3 4	" " "	99,642 9 6	1,08,988 13 1	9,346 3 7	" " "
13,849 3 10	13,665 11 2	" " "	183 8 8	96,820 5 "	98,932 1 "	2,111 12 "	" " "
8,171 1 11	7,968 7 11	" " "	202 10 "	82,147 1 2	88,220 11 11	6,073 10 9	" " "
4,611 " "	4,954 14 4	343 14 4	" " "	30,728 6 4	62,800 11 11	32,072 5 6	" " "
10,174 6 "	10,593 15 "	419 9 "	" " "	1,01,790 5 10	1,09,919 3 3	8,128 13 5	" " "
8,282 6 3	8,619 5 2	336 14 11	" " "	62,108 3 3	69,447 1 6	7,338 14 3	" " "
75,022 4 7	76,650 3 7	1,828 9 7	800 10 4	6,39,489 9 11	72,055 3 7	8,060 9 8	" " "

Net Increase
1027. 15. 3.

Kurnool Government Agents Office 18th. Dumbr 1855.

E. E. P. Signed L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor.

3128 No 13.

Statement shewing the particulars of Gross Increase and Decrease

Forte

in the Kur

Gar a

Items	Dry Land	Dry Assessment	Garden Land	Net Assessment	Deduction	Net Settlement	Land Assessment
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Fusly 1263	487895 16 15/4	564202 1 6	51 3	10635 7 11 8	563867 5 7		8 u 22 6/2 u u
1264	491563 5 2/4	580776 11 10	3559 5 4/2 2/8	10 1 28938 10 1	643900 u u		42 u 14 4/2 u u
Increase of Cultivation	3607 28 3	3874 10 4	3608 39 15/2 2/8	7 5 u u u	80032 10 5		5 u 11 11 4/4 u u
Decrease of Do	u u u	u u	49 34 11 5	14 7 7 7419 1 7	u u u		u 4 26 13 u u
1 Waste Cultivated	10154 6 2/4	8721 2 2	u u u	14 4 u u u	u u u		u u u u u u
2 Porumpotie Do	334 7 8	176 11 11	45 27 9 2	12 5 u u u	u u u		u u u u u u
3 Zugted Cnam Do	2003 38 1/4	2291 12 u	u 29 11 6	11 5 u u u	u u u		u u u u u u
4 Discovered by Measurement	22 26 11	11 u 3	13 27 3/4	u 3 u u u	u u u		u u u u u u
5 Lands converted	25436 8	7201 3 1	u u u	6 7 10 u u u	u u u		23 2 8 u u u
Total Increase of Land	13569 34 13/2	11921 7 5	8 32 15/2	4 14 3 u u u	u u u		36 19 2 12/4 7 u u
1 One Crop Lands Cultivated with two Crops	u u u	u u	68 37 7/4	7 7 u u u	u u u		3 u u u 3 u u
2 Poonjah Do Cultivated with wet Do	u u u	u u	u u u	2 1 8 u u u	u u u		33 u u 32 u u
3 Goottah Jasty	u u u	351 13 8	u u u	7 3 11 u u u	u u u		u u u 32 u u
4 Fusly Subdeal Jasty	u u u	u u	u u u	10 8 u u u	u u u		u u u u u u
5 Decrease on Account of Deductions	u u u	u u	u u u	7796 5142 u u u			
Total Increase of Assessment	u u u	351 13 8	u u u	9 7 10 7796 5142 u u u			3 u u 38 u u
Total Gross increase	13569 34 13/2	12273 5 1	68 37 7/4	46 1 7796 5142 93240 4 3			09 19 2 12/4 46 9 10

Total Gross Increase and Decrease
Particulars of Gross Increase
Increase on Assessment
Increase on Land.

Office 18

3131

Fort St George 22nd February 1850

No. 15

Statement shewing the several Items added to the Settlement of the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1264-

	Items-	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5
1	Renums from Hill Villages	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	Jodee of Enam land	37359 13 9	37689 " 8	329 2 11	" " "
	Deduct amount payable to Miscellaneous Inglus =dave=				
	Fusly 1263 — Total Jody 37381-12-9 — 21-15-" — Balance 37359-13-9				
	1264 — 37710-15-8 — 21-15-" — 37689-"-8				
3	Jodee of Dewastanum Enam land	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	Kayem Jodee Villages	33)4 13 "	33)4 13 "	" " "	" " "
5	Quit Rent	67 15 "	67 15 "	" " "	" " "
6	Jodee of Village Enam	27)83 3 9	29323 12 5	1540 8 8	" " "
7	Enamity Fusoolyasty and Teerua Jaoty Dea	1395 12 10	1586 14 6	191 1 8	" " "
8	Carnelly Cundayem Dea	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	Rented Villages for 1 year	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	Tojadayem	2721 8 "	1824 4 "	" " "	897 4 "
11	Arokards	1893 4 "	1984 4 "	91 " "	" " "
12	Pollery or Grazing Tat	1025 14 "	799 4 "	" " "	226 10 "
	Total	75622 4 4	76650 3 7	2151 13 3	1123 14 "
			Net Increase	1027 15 3	

Kurnool Govt Agents Office 18th December 1855. / Signed/ L. D. Daniell

Agent to the Govt

3132

No 11

Fort St George 22d February 1856

No 16

Abstract of the Settlement in the actual Cultivation after deducting Cahotaty Bunjer in the District of Rurnool for Fusly 1264

	Fusly 1263	Fusly 1264	Comparison between Colums 2 & 4.
Total	102674.13 6	262433	54 77699 11 1

Customary

1	Cauzy Roojoomd	555 13	555 13
2	Pay of a Shroff	60	60
3	Remissorian to the Tricundaro on account of ½ maniem	74 11 9	74 11 9

Gout Agents office
18th December 1855

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Gout

For the George 22d February 1850

Remarks

Additions in Fasly 1264 - Additions on account of progressive Increase			Land newly taken upon Cowle -			Total Increase -			Total Fasly 1264 inclusive of Increase - Apposs: ment	Collection	Land	Deduc: tion	Collection
Land	Apposment	Collection	Land	Apposment	Collection	Land	Apposment	Collection					
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	
									216 2 7/4	69 5 10	66 8 10	27	
									216 2 7/4	69 5 10	66 8 10	27	
									216 2 7/4	69 5 10	66 8 10	27	

Kurnool Gaut Agents Office 18th December 1855 -

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the G[illegible]

3135

No 13

Fort St George

22d February 1856.

Statement shewing the Cultivation of Sugar Cane, Cotton and Indigo in the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1264.

	Sugar Cane				Cotton				Indigo			
	Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment	
	1		2		3		4		5		6	
	Acres	G a	Rs	a p	Acres	G a	Rs	a p	Acres	G a	Rs	a p
Irrn.												
Dry	3	13 5	93	13 6	64,628	26 12½	72,704	8 4	3,371	10 5¾	11,424	9 4
Wet	51	27 7½	1177	9 10					212	8 12¾	199	2 8
Garden	26	5 1½	716	14 7	12	7 8	50	8 "	18	22 8	178	12 11
Total	81	5 13½	2018	5 11	64,640	34 4½	72,755	" 4	3,411	21 10½	11,802	8 11
Dry	"	37 8	31	" 5	39,815	10 "	11,418	4 7	1,008	" 14	348	6 10
Wet	2	24 11¾	1	13 3					134	"	5	12 "
Garden					3	5 6½	2	10 "	125	"	1	3 6
Total	2	24 11¾	1	13 3	39,818	15 6½	11,420	14 7	1,011	19 14	355	6 4
Permanently Settled												
Dry	11	21 5	50	7 3								
Wet	"	" "	"	" "								
Garden	"	" "	"	" "								
Total	"	" "	"	" "								
Grand Total	95	27 2½	2068	13 2	104,459	9 11	84,175	14 11	4,423	18½	12,157	15 3

Kurnool Government Agents office 18th December 1855

/Signed/ L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Govt

3135

Fort St. George

No. 21.

14 Statement shewing the Kistbundy of the District for Fusly 1264.

Talooks	Names of Tahsildars	Summah of the year	November
1	2	3	4
1 Chagulmurry	Chelumbara Row	84,264 8 8	8,426 [illegible] 3
2 Siriell	Soorapah	97,977 - 4	9,797 11 3
3 Nundial	Vencata Rows	1,08,988 13 1	10,898 14 1
4 Panniem	Mudwa Row	98,932 1 -	9,893 3 4
5 Dhone	Noomer Charaz Khan Naqud	88,220 4 11	8,822 1 2
6 Goodoor	Hanumanta Row	62,800 11 10	6,280 1 2
7 Nundicotcoor	Ram Row	1,09,919 3 3	10,991 14 9
8 Atcoor	Nizam Mohomed Lash	69,447 1 6	6,944 11 4
	Total	7,20,550 3 7	72,055 - 4

Kurnool,
Govt. Agents Office,
18th December 1855.

22d February 1856.

Government Villages in the Kurnool

Kistbundy

1855 December	January	February	March	April	May	June
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
16,852 14 6	16,852 14 6	16,852 14 6	16,852 14 6	8,426 7 5	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
19,595 6 6	19,595 6 6	19,595 6 6	19,595 6 6	9,797 11 1	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
21,797 12 2	21,797 12 2	21,797 12 2	21,797 12 2	10,898 14 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
19,786 6 8	19,786 6 8	19,786 6 8	19,786 6 8	9,893 3 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
17,644 2 4	17,644 2 4	17,644 2 4	17,644 2 4	8,822 1 5	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
12,560 2 4	12,560 2 4	12,560 2 4	12,560 2 4	6,280 1 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
21,983 13 6	21,983 13 6	21,983 13 6	21,983 13 6	10,991 14 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
13,889 6 8	13,889 6 8	13,889 6 8	13,889 6 8	6,944 11 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
144,110 " 8	144,110 " 8	144,110 " 8	144,110 " 8	72,055 " 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..

(Signed) L. D. Daniell

Agent to the Governor.

3137

15 Statement shewing the Demand Collection & Balance of Current Revenue Pyotwar and Permanently settled in the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1264.—

22d February 1850.

Talooks	Fusly 1263 Demand	Collection within the Fusly	Balance	Fusly 1264 Demand	Collection within the Fusly	Balance	Subsequent Collection of balance in Column 7 to the end of November 1855	Balance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Gujulmurry	70,506 3 9	74,092 3 8	2,414 « 1	84,264 8 8	83,807 10 3	456 14 5	298 13 11	158 « 6	
Sirwell	89,746 7 1	84,894 15 8	4,851 7 5	97,977 « 4	97,039 14 6	937 1 10	200 15 7	736 2 3	Respecting this balance enquiries will be instituted at the Jamabundy settlement for Fusly 1265.—
Nandial	99,642 9 6	98,850 « 5	792 9 1	10,8988 13 1	10,8769 3 7	219 9 6	22 9 5	197 « 1	
Pauniem	96,820 5 «	95,734 1 2	1,086 3 10	98,932 1 «	98,707 15 8	224 14 «	20 4 5	203 12 11	
Shone	82,147 1 2	78,480 9 6	3,666 7 8	88,220 11 11	86,264 « 8	1,956 11 3	475 13 10	1,480 13 5	
Goodoor	30,728 6 4	29,334 1 8	1,394 4 8	62,800 11 10	62,631 13 9	168 14 1	117 2 6	51 11 7	
Nundicotoocoor	101,790 5 10	100,275 1 2	1,515 4 8	109,919 3 3	109,487 5 7	431 13 8	117 14 6	313 15 2	
Alcoor	62,108 3 3	58,542 9 6	3,565 9 9	69,447 1 6	68,268 3 3	1,178 14 3	9,70 9 5	208 4 10	
Total	6,39,489 9 11	6,20,203 10 9	19,285 15 2	7,20,550 3 7	7,14,976 3 3	5,574 « 4	2,224 3 7	3,349 12 9	
Ancient Zamindaries									
Moottahs									
Poliputs									
Grand Total	6,39,489 9 11	6,20,203 10 9	19,285 15 2	7,20,550 3 7	7,14,976 3 3	5,574 « 4	2,224 3 7	3,349 12 9	

Kurnool, Govt. Agents Offices.
18th December 1855.

/Signed/ L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor.

3139

Fort St. George
No. 24

Statement shewing the Collections on account of Arrears of Land Revenue for former Fuslies in the Kurnool District for Fusly 1264.

22d February 1856.

Fuslies	Arrears of the Commencement of Fusly 1264.	Collections up to 30th June Fusly 1264.	Remission	Total	Balance at the close of the Fusly	Subsequent Collections up to the end of November 1855	Balance	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fusly 1257	455 9 10	.. ..	.. ..	.. ..	455 9 10	.. ..	455-9-10	Remitted as per sanction of Government dated 5th September 1855.
1258	446 4 5	.. ..	.. ..	.. ..	446 4 5	.. ..	446-4-5	Of this total Balance Rs. 7230-12-3 were recommended for Remission in Para 68 of the Jamabundy Report for Fusly 1263 and the remaining sum of Rupees 7323-7-5 being the irrecoverable balances outstanding against deceased emigrated and poor ryots requires remission.
1259	410 13 7	.. ..	.. ..	.. ..	410 13 7	.. ..	410-13-7	
1260	423 2 4	.. ..	.. ..	.. ..	423 2 4	.. ..	423-2-4	
1261	457 8 2	.. ..	.. ..	.. ..	457 8 2	.. ..	50 11 3 406-9-11	
1262	8,021 12 6	274 .. 3	.. ..	274 .. 3	7,747 12 3	7 9 4	7,340 12 10 399-6-1	
1263	19,285 15 2	11,588 15 4	.. ..	11,588 15 4	7,696 15 10	150 11 3	7,147 2 11 399-1-8	
Total	29,501 2 ..	11,862 15 2	.. ..	11,862 15 7	17,638 2 5	158 4 7	14,538 14 ..	2940-15-10

Kurnool, Govt Agents Office, 18th December 1856.

/Signed/ L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor.

For Ft. George
Fr. 25

18 Statement shewing the Collections on account Cultivation in the Nurnool District for

Talooks.	Sanctioned amount of Tuccavy transmitted to the Collector Talooks.	Of which amount returned to the Govt. not distributed
1	2	3
1 Chagulmurry	1000	519 8
2 "		
3 Nundial	800	32 8
4 Pannium	800	50 8
5 Dhone	600	198 8
6 Goodoor	3436	759
7 Nundicotoo-coor	1795	498 12
8 Alcoor	890	204
	1445	
Total	10,766	2262 12

Nurnool, Govt. Agents Office 18th December 1855.

22d February 1856.

of Tuccavy or advances made on account of Fusly 1264.

Remainder Deduct distributed among the Ryots.	Collections up to the 30th June of Fusly 1264.	Balance	Subsequent Collections up to the end of	Balance	Remarks.
4	5	6	7	8	9
480 8	480 8	"	"	"	
767 8	767 8	"	"	"	
749 8	749 8	"	"	"	
401 8	401 8	"	"	"	
2,677	2,677	"	"	"	
1,296 4	1,296 4	"	"	"	
686	686	"	"	"	
1,445	1,445	"	"	"	
8,503 4	8,503 4	"	"	"	

Signed L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

Fort St. George

No. 20

19. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Land Revenue with remissions written off under sanction, for 10 years in the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1264.

Fusly		Demand	Collection up to November 1855	Balance	Remission	Outstanding	Remarks: Shewing the date of remission granted
1		2	3	4	5	6	
1	Fusly 1254	62,652 10	6,14,711 15 1	12,140 10 11	12,140 10 11		As per Extract Minutes of Consultation Dated 26th April 1847 Rs 12,081 10 2 As per Extract Minutes of Consultation Dated 16th July 1849 - - - 59 - 9 12,140 10 11
2	" 1255	6,27,563 2 6	6,23,873 14 -	3,689 4 6	3,689 4 6		As per Extract Minutes of Consultation Dated 16th April CRs 1850 3,689 4 6
3	" 1256	6,73,940 14 4	6,71,115 4 4	2,825 10 -	2,825 10 -		As per Do Do of Do Dated 16th April 1850 CRs 2,825 10 -
4	" 1257	6,92,423 1 3	6,90,752 11 11	1,670 5 4	1,670 5 4		As per Do Do of Do Dated 21st October 1851 CRs 1201 6 6 As per Do Do of Do Dated 7th February 1854 - - - - 13 5 - As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th September 1855 - - - - 455 9 10 1,670 5 4
5	" 1258	7,14,854 4 11	7,08,040 7 2	6,813 13 9	6,813 13 9		As per Do Do of Do Dated 21st October 1851 CRs 6032 7 9 As per Do Do of Do Dated 28th January 1853 " 335 1 7 As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th September 1855 " 446 4 5 6813 13 9
6	" 1259	6,95,492 11 4	6,80,853 3 8	14,639 7 8	14,639 7 8		As per Do Do of Do Dated 21st October 1851 C Rupees 13572 1 7 As per Do Do of Do Dated 28th January 1853 - - - - - - 531 1 5 As per Do Do of Do Dated 7th February 1854 - - - - - 155 7 1 As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th September 1855 - - - - - 440 13 7 14,639 7 8
7	" 1260	7,22,881 9 2	7,12,644 15 1	10,236 10 1	10,236 10 1		As per Do Do of Do Dated 28th January 1853 CRs 8932 4 2 As per Do Do of Do Dated 7th February 1854 " 881 3 7 As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th September 1855 " 423 2 4 10,236 10 1
8	" 1261	7,31,740 11 4	7,23,998 6 8	7,742 4 8	7,091 6 5	650 14 3	As per Do Do of Do Dated 7th February 1854 Rs - - - - 7284 12 6 As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th September 1855 " - - - - 406 9 11 7691 6 5
9	" 1262	7,28,436 14 5	7,20,696 11 6	7,740 2 11	399 01	7,340 12 10	As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th Do — " Rs 399 6 1
10	" 1263	6,39,489 9 11	6,31,943 5 4	7,546 4 7	399 1 8	7,147 2 11	As per Do Do of Do Dated 5th Do — " Rs 399 1 8

Kurnool Territory - Government Agents Office 18th Feb. 1856

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor-General

22nd February 1856

No. 20 General Statement of Extra Branches of Revenue collected by the Agents in the Districts of St. George's and Kurnool for Fusly 1264.

22d February 1856.

Division	Names	Fusly Land Revenue Amanie on account of Produce	Total	1264 Revenue Abstract Sodeyhee &c.	Total Columns 7 and 8	Total Land Revenue	Extra branches of Revenue Sayer Rented	Amanie	Total
		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	Chagulmurry	" "	76835 7	8428 1 8	84264 8 8	84264 8 8	" "	" "	" "
2	Sirwell	" "	87234 " 8	10743 " 1	97977 " 4	97977 " 4	" "	" "	" "
3	Nundial	" "	97312 " 10	11676 12 3	108988 13 1	108988 13 1	" "	" "	" "
4	Paunium	" "	85266 5 10	13565 11 2	98932 1 "	98932 1 "	" "	" "	" "
5	Dhone	" "	80252 " "	7968 7 11	88220 11 11	88220 11 11	" "	" "	" "
6	Goodoor	" "	57845 13 6	4954 14 4	62800 11 10	62800 11 10	" "	38 1 11	38 1 11
7	Nundiaboo	" "	99325 4 3	10593 15 "	109919 3 3	109919 3 3	" "	54 5 1	54 5 1
8	Aloor	" "	60837 12 4	8619 5 2	69447 1 6	69447 1 6	" "	" "	" "
9	Kurnool Choultry	" "	" "	76650 3 7	720550 3 7	" "	" "	245 5 2	245 5 2
		" "	643900 " "	" "	" "	720550 3 7	" "	337 12 2	337 12 2
	Koozoor Stamps	" "	" "	76650 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
		" "	643900 " "	76650 3 7	720550 3 7	720550 3 7	" "	337 12 2	337 12 2

3113

Fort St. George

22nd February 1856

Fasly 1264

Abkarry			Extra branches of Revenue Sundry small Farms and Licenses			(Contd) (Contd) Moturpha	Stamps	Salt	Sea Customs	Total Extra branches of Revenue	Total including Land Revenue or Columns 10 and 24
Rented	Amani	Total	Rented	Amani	Total						
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1400 - -	- - -	1400 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2657 13 -	66 8 -	" " "	- - -	4124 5 -	88388 13 8
1840 - -	- - -	1840 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2729 3 -	482 4 "	" " "	- - -	5051 7 -	103028 7 4
4740 - -	- - -	4740 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	3092 7 -	555 8 -	" " "	- - -	8387 15 -	117378 12 1
8520 - -	- - -	8520 - -	26 - -	- - -	26 - -	5245 6 -	24 4 -	" " "	- - -	13815 10 -	112747 11 -
11880 - -	- - -	11880 - -	250 - -	- - -	250 - -	6925 14 10	7 8 -	" " "	- - -	19063 6 10	107284 2 9
9060 - -	- - -	9060 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6885 3 -	313 12 "	" " "	- - -	16297 - 11	79097 12 9
6040 - -	- - -	6040 - -	135 - -	- - -	135 - -	5092 9 -	11 - -	" " "	- - -	11332 14 1	121252 1 4
5750 - -	- - -	5750 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	3626 13 -	24 12 -	" " "	- - -	9401 9 -	78848 10 6
21910 " -	- - -	21910 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10513 3 4	- - -	" " "	- - -	32668 8 6	32668 8 6
71140 " -	- - -	71140 - -	411 - -	- - -	411 - -	46768 8 2	14858 -	" " "	- - -	120142 12 4	840692 15 11
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1752 12 -	" " "	- - -	1752 12 -	1752 12 -
71140 - -	- - -	71140 - -	411 - -	- - -	411 - -	46768 8 2	32384 "	" " "	- - -	121895 8 4	842445 11 11

Kurnool, Government Agents Office 18th December 1855

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor
Statement

Fort St. George

No. 21. A Statement of the number of Government

22d February 1856

Plantations & trees therein in the District of Kurnool for 1264.

| Divisions | Names of Talooks | No. of Villages in which Plantations & Avenues have been formed. | Plantations | Description and number of Trees standing in Fasly 1264 | | |

311.5

Fort St. George

22 February 1855

No. 21 B Statement of the number of Plantation Trees made under the new Rules & Trees therein in the District of Kurnool.-

Description & number of Trees standing in Fasly 1264

Division	Names of Talooks	No. of Villages where Plantations have been formed	No. of Plantations	Extent of land occupied by Plantations.		Description & number of Fruit Trees									Spare trees ready to supply deficiencies	Plants ready for transplanting
				A	G	Tamarind	Mango	Jack	Guava	Total	Sundry	Total	Grand Total			
	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Chagulamurry	4	6	9	19 -	152	460		8	649	72	721	721		"	"
2	Sirwell	2	2	3	23	14½	40		8	76	18	94	94		"	103
3	Nundial	1	1	1	5	3	157			161	"	161	161		"	"
4	Pauniem	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"		"	"
5	Dhone	1	1	5	16 -	38	4		1	65	21	86	86		"	"
6	Goodoor	1	1	2	15	10	46			55	"	55	55		"	54
7	Nundicotoocoor	2	3	15	2	9½	13		20	408	203	611	611		"	129
8	Atcoor	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"		"	"
	Total	11	14	37	22	292	1015	18	37	1414	314	1728	1728		"	286

Agent

Kurnool Govt. Agents Office
18th December 1855

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

3140

Fort St George

A

No 22 Statement shewing the Demand

Fusly 1263

	Settlement Beriz for Fusly 1263	Collection for Fusly 1263 or up to the 11th July 1854	Extra Collection
	1	2	3	4
Sayer	4,045 14 2	4,045 14 2	12 5
Abkarry	7,010 - -	7,005 - -	20 15
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	340 - -	317 8 -	- -
Motarpha	51,050 10 1	47,686 15 7	200 8
Stamp	2,554 - -	2,554 - -	- -
Total	129,000 8 3	125,559 5 9	233 12

Kurnool Government

22 C

Coll of Kurnool for Fusly 1263 + 1264 and Collection and 1264

Comparison of Total Collection of Fusly 1264 with that of Fusly 1263 specified in Columns 5 & 10

Total Collection on account of Fusly 1264	Increase	Decrease	nand nt of Arrears on account the z of Demand 264.	Collection
5	14	15	3	4
4,058 - -	- - -	3,693 12 6		
7,025 11 4 9 9	- - -			
317 82 4	- - -		8 - -	- - -
47,837 - - -	- - -	1,308 7 9	124 107 6 -	1 8 3
2,554 684 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
125,790 881 1 9	4,997 4 3		44 107 6 -	1 8 3
	Nett Decrease	4116 2 6		

Agents Office

Agent to Governor

Statement

Fort St George

B

22d February 1856.

No 2 Balance of Arrears of Excise Revenue in the District of Kurnool due on account of former Fasles in Fusly 1264

Particulars	Arrears on account of Fusly 1261			Arrears on account of Fusly 1262			Arrears on account of Fusly 1263			Total		
	Collection Balance			Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Collection	Remission	Balance
	4			5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Sayer													
Abk	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sun	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mo													
	97	6	"	"	"	"	215	11	10	19	10	196	11 "
Stam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	97	6	"	"	"	"	215	11	10	19	10	196	11 "
	2413	14	6	2416	15	3	996	13	3	2435	14	1	107	6	"	1193	8	3
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3411	2	6	2414	5	3	996	13	3	2463	6	1	107	6	"	1193	8	3

Signed L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

a 18th December 1855.

3148 Fort St. George 22d. February 1856

31

Statement Comparative between Fusulis 1263 & 1264 of the Moturpha Revenue in the District of Kurnool

C

Profession	Description	No. of Persons paying for Fusly 1263	No. of Persons for settlement for Fusly 1264	Rate	Demy of Fusulis 1263	Demy of Fusulis 1264	Comparison
	5 Carpenters	10	2 4	3 - -	1 8 - 34 8 - - -	24 8 - 27 8 - - -	27 8 - - - 7 - -
	6 Iron Smiths	11	6 5	9 - -	1 12 - 77 - - - -	77 - - 67 - - - -	67 - - - - 10 - -
	7 Calaygurs or Tinners	9	7 1	15 - -	1 - - 68 - - - -	68 - - 53 - - - -	53 - - - - 15 - -
	8 Brazyers	11	4 01	6 - -	- 4 - 32 10 - - -	32 10 - 29 8 - - -	29 8 - - - 3 2 -
	9 Cotton beaters	516	4 31	44 - -	- 4 - 2219 12 - - -	2219 12 - 2165 4 - - -	2165 4 - - - 52 8 -
Ryotwarjee	Cloth Diers	42	5 4 2	19 4 -	- 8 - 263 - - - -	263 - - 221 - - - -	221 - - - - 42 - -
	Blue Diers	35	4 12 -	12 - -	- 8 - 171 4 - - -	171 4 - 166 4 - - -	166 4 - - - 6 - -
10	Red Diers	427	1 10 -	19 - -	- 4 - 769 2 - - -	769 2 - 703 7 - - -	703 7 - - - 65 11 -
	Indigo Diers	53	15 7 3	77 12 -	- 6 - 760 2 - - -	760 2 - 819 6 - - -	819 6 - 59 4 - - -
	11 Shoemakers	31	4 51	6 8 -	1 - - 188 15 4 - - -	188 15 4 133 14 - - -	133 14 - - - 55 1 4
	12 Bonrad or Basket makers	35	1 23	3 4 -	- 2 - 37 8 - - -	37 8 - 39 14 - - -	39 14 - 2 6 - - -
	13 Tailors	68	1 102	8 - -	- 6 - 122 10 - - -	122 10 - 111 2 - - -	111 2 - - - 11 8 -
	14 Furnacers or Iron Manufacturers	35	5 4 16	12 - -	2 8 - 193 8 - - -	193 8 - 185 8 - - -	185 8 - - - 8 - -
	15 Stone Cutters	17	1 123	2 8	1 - - 37 - - - -	37 - - 30 - - - -	30 - - - - 7 - -
	16 Zeengurs or Saddlers	12	1 6 -	1 8 -	- 8 - 21 8 - - -	21 8 - 16 8 - - -	16 8 - - - 5 - -
	17 Paper Manufacturers	1	4 - -	4 - -	- - - 4 - - - -	4 - - 4 - - - -	4 - - - - - -
	18 Pot makers	26	2 15 10	8 - -	- 4 - 139 4 - - -	139 4 - 77 12 - - -	77 12 - - - 61 8 3
	19 Artist or Painters	24	1 4 11	14 5 4	- 8 - 59 - - - -	59 - - 46 5 7 - - -	46 5 7 - - - 12 10 5
	20 Jalagadergoowars	10	7 3 7	8 12 -	1 - - 74 - - - -	74 - - 72 4 - - -	72 4 - - - 1 12 -
	21 Doyawars or Chayarook diggers	74	4 13 10	1 12 -	- 4 - 74 14 - - -	74 14 - 64 2 - - -	64 2 - - - 10 12 -
	22 Alchcutwars or Loom makers	5	3 7 2	12 - -	- 8 - 74 4 - - -	74 4 - 47 4 - - -	47 4 - - - - - -

Fort St. George 22d February 1856

| | | |

| | | |

3151 Fort St George 22nd February 1856

Thomas Jas

| | | |

| | | |

315½

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted; for Fuslies 1262, 1263 & 1264 in the District of Rumnad*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1262			Fusly 1263			Fusly 1264			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
TOTAL CHARGES	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges for Purchase	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
TOTAL CHARGES	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	6876	12	10	6058	3	2	3842	8	8	..	..	..	3493	10	6	
Per Centage of the Charges	2173	..	..	2188	6	1	252	7	1	..	..	..	1935	15	5	
LAND CUSTOMS	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges: of Collection	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
" Commission	2173	..	..	2188	6	1	252	7	1	..	..	..	1935	15	5	
" Drawback	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
TOTAL CHARGES	2173	..	..	2188	6	1	252	7	1	..	..	..	1935	15	5	
Net Revenue	4703	12	10	3869	12	1	3589	15	7	..	..	..	1558	15	1	
Per Centage of the Charges	44	9	2	63	10	6	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
SEA CUSTOMS	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges: of Collection	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
" Commission	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
" Drawback	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
TOTAL CHARGES	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
ABKARRY.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections	71011	7	11	71025	15	5	71165	9	3	119	9	9	..	..	..	
Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	71011	7	11	71025	15	5	71165	9	3	119	9	9	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections	228	5	6	317	8	-	422	4	-	104	12	-	..	..	..	
Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	228	5	6	317	8	-	422	4	-	104	12	-	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Stamps	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collection	2208	..	-	2556	..	..	3238	4	-	686	4	-	..	..	..	
Charges: of Establishment	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
" Commission	101	8	1	137	13	11	159	5	6	21	7	7	..	..	..	
Total	101	8	1	137	13	11	159	5	6	21	7	7	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	2106	7	11	2418	2	1	3078	14	6	662	12	5	..	..	..	
Percentage of the Charges	4	9	6	5	5	11	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
VEESABUDDY AND MOTURPHA.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections	52603	..	11	49350	3	8	49036	6	11	..	..	..	313	12	9	
Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	52603	..	11	49350	3	8	49036	6	11	..	..	..	313	12	9	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GRAND TOTAL.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections	132928	11	2	127305	14	4	124206	14	10	908	9	9	4007	9	3	
Charges	2274	8	1	2326	4	5	411	12	7	21	7	7	1935	15	5	
Net Revenue	130657	3	1	124979	9	11	123795	2	3	887	2	2	2071	9	10	
Per Centage of the Charges	1	11	5	1	14	9	..	5	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GRAND TOTAL.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges after deductions for Do	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	

Khurmook
Gt. Dt. Agents Office

Russell
Dt. Collr. Commissr.

3155

Ct St George

No 27 - Statement Yearly 1264 in the

No	Names of Articles	Exports Yearly 1264	Exports compared with column 139 Increase	Decrease
		7	10	
1	Cloths of all sorts	20 5 4	" " "	
2	Tobacco	" 15 5	" " "	
3	Betel leaves	" " 11	" " "	
4	Gunjah	" " "	" " "	
5	Bhang	" " "	" " "	
6	Goodauts	" 1 14	" " "	
7	Opium	" " "	" " "	
8	Oil of all discriptions	" 1 7	" " "	
9	Glue	" 1 3	" " "	
10	Betel nuts of all sorts	" 9 "	" " "	
11	Tamarinds pulse or	" " 7	" " "	
12	Castor Oil seed	" " "	" " "	
13	Gingely Oil seeds	" " "	" " "	
14	Sugar of all sorts	" 3 9	" " "	
15	Jaggery of all sorts	14 9 7	" " "	
16	Cocoanuts of all sorts	" " 3	" " "	
17	Cocoanuts Dry	1 14 4	" " "	
18	Gum of all sorts	" 9 2	" " "	
19	Ghee or Bhagra	" " "	" " "	
20	Indigo	4 10 "	" " "	
21	Salt petre	" " "	" " "	
22	Grain & Pulse Paddy	" " "	" " "	
23	Gummies of all sorts	" " "	" " "	
24	Cumblies	4 1 "	" " "	
25	Materials Timber	6 3 "	" " "	
26	Cotton with seed	2 14 11	" " "	
27	Cotton	" " "	" " "	
28	Raw Silk	5 14 "	" " "	
29	Pepper	" 1 "	" " "	
30	Cardamums	" 1 3	" " "	
31	Cummin seeds	" " 1	" " "	
32	Sandalwood	" " "	" " "	
33	Redwoods	" " "	" " "	
34	Woodcombs or Dyes	" " "	" " "	
35	Hides	" " "	" " "	
36	Goa Salt	" " "	" " "	
		6 1 1	" " "	

Surnool G

22nd February 1856

District of Surnool.

Decrease	Total import & Export Yearly 1262	Yearly 1263	Yearly 1264	Net comparison Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
			226 10 1	" " "	1619 8 11	" " "	1619 8 11
1219 14 6	2894 10 3	1846 8 "					
			1 14 3	" " "	146 10 11	" " "	146 10 11
105 - 6	137 13 3	148 9 2					
			7 2 10	" " "	131 2 7	" " "	131 2 7
78 4 11	55 12 6	138 5 5					
			" " "	" " "	" 9 5	" " "	" 9 5
" 9 3	1 4 6	" 9 5					
			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " 4	7 10 10	" " "					
			" 1 12	" " "	" " 3	" " "	" " 3
" " "	" " 4	" 1 7					
			" 3 14	" " "	31 15 6	" " "	31 15 6
" " "	44 14 4	32 2 10					
			38 3 7	" " "	151 2 8	" " "	151 2 8
" " "	59 13 9	189 6 3					
			17 10 1	" 9 10	6 6 8	" " "	5 12 10
9 " 4	64 1 6	23 6 11					
			2 9 "	" " "	73 3 4	" " "	73 3 4
6 6 8	82 7 10	75 12 4					
			" 3 9	" " "	78 4 2	" " "	78 4 2
72 1 9	27 10 9	78 7 11					
			2 1 8	" " "	62 8 7	" " "	62 8 7
" 5 7	8 6 10	64 10 3					
			" 1 9	" " "	6 12 7	" " "	6 12 7
" 10 4	3 " 3	6 11 4					
			" 8 9	" " "	18 8 2	" " "	18 8 2
" 6 5	35 14 3	19 " 11					
			14 10 10	" 1 3	299 13 5	" " "	299 12 2
18 8 2	407 10 8	314 7 "					
			" " 6	" " "	" 5 5	" " "	" 5 5
299 13 5	" 9 10	" 8 10					
			1 14 5	" " "	43 6 2	" " "	43 6 2
" 5 2	55 15 5	45 4 7					
			" 12 3	" " "	165 7 9	" " "	165 7 9
43 3 4	157 10 7	166 4 "					
			" " "	" " "	59 9 "	" " "	59 9 "
163 13 7	37 1 5	59 9 "					
			4 10 "	" " "	87 2 8	" " "	87 2 8
" 14 6	78 6 9	91 12 8					
			" " "	" " "	1 4 10	" " "	1 4 10
85 14 8	2 9 "	1 4 10					
			" " "	" " "	316 14 1	" " "	316 14 1
" 12 9	148 9 8	316 14 1					
			" " "	" " "	" 15 11	" " "	" 15 11
316 14 1	" 13 4	" 15 11					
			4 12 10	" " "	153 10 5	" " "	153 10 5
" 1 1	138 11 "	158 7 3					
			1 5 11	" " "	35 8 9	" " "	35 8 9
" 8 7	28 3 10	36 14 8					
			2 14 11	" " "	45 " 2	" " "	45 " 2
32 " 14	61 4 "	47 15 1					
			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
45 " 2	" " "	" " "					
			5 14 "	" " "	98 9 9	" " "	98 9 9
" " "	165 5 2	104 7 9					
			" 1 "	" " "	1 8 3	" " "	1 8 3
95 7 6	1 15 3	1 9 3					
			" 1 4	" " "	" 11 2	" " "	" 11 2
1 8 3	2 " 1	" 12 6					
			" " 1	" " "	2 2 6	" " "	2 2 6
" 1 11	5 1 "	2 2 7					
			4 " "	" " "	" 1 1	" " "	" 1 1
1 14 6	" " "	" 1 1					
			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "					
			2 15 2	" " "	65 " 11	" " "	65 " 11
" " "	" " "	68 " 1					
			" 4 7	" " "	4 11 1	" " "	4 11 1
" 6 9	112 3 10	4 15 8					
			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2 11 7	10 1 14	" " "					
2,602 10 2	4857 14 4	4015 11 2	337 12 2	" 11 1	3708 13 1	" " "	3708 2 "

Net Decrease 3708 2 "

/Signed/ L. D. Daniell
Agent to the Governor

3158

Fort St George 22d February 1850

Heading of Statements

No.

24 Statement of Collection on account of arrear "A" for
25 — Do — of Tuccary
26 — Do — of Demand Collection and Balance, and Balance Revenue for former Fasles.
27 — Do — of all Sources of Revenue
28 — Do A of Government Plantations, and Sayer Collections
29 — Do B of Plantations made under the new Rules

Extra Sources of Revenue.

A Statement showing the Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue
B — Do — the Demand Collection & Balance of Sayer Revenue
C — Do — of Pantchalie Revenue
D — Do — the Gross Collections and charges on account of Land revenue exceptes.
3 Pantchalie Copies of Do Do
E Statement showing the Sources and Description of Sayer.
F Statement showing the Sources and Description of Land Collection.

Statement showing the Extent of Land collections

Financial Secretary's Office
Agents' Office
13th December 1855

/ Signed / L. D. Darnell
Agent to the Govr

617.20

Fort St George 22d February 1856

3159

621

Board's No. 197

From the Sub Collr of Rajahmundry

Official Memorandum.

The Sub Collector of Rajahmundry has the honor to forward for the inspection of the Board of Revenue as directed in their Proceedings of 14th May 1855 one of the plane tables constructed at Nursapoor twenty of which were sanctioned at a cost of Rupees 150-

/ Signed / G. N. Taylor
Sub Collector

Madras
8th January 1856

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon

621-22

No. 15

To. G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

623

Board's No. 366

From the acting Sub Collr Rajahmundry

Sir.

I have the honor to forward a copy of a letter from Mr Standley applying for 5 Cawnies of land at Cocanada for Building purposes & the accompanying by him being unobjectionable. I request the Boards sanction to sell the said land by Public auction as usual a Plan of the same is enclosed together

+ also one from Mr Fraser on behalf of Mr Standley

together with the Specification.

Coimbatore
15th January 1856 } /Signed/ G. L. Morries
Acting Sub Collector
In charge

No 1

To C. B. Thomas Esqre
Principal Collector
Coimbatore

Sir.

You having decided to allow me to purchase the land as described in my former letter, I have the honor to request that the Shola adjoining may be included, forming my Southern boundary and I hereby undertake that the large trees in it, shall not be disturbed.

Coimbatore
Connoor
December 11th 1855 } /Signed/ R. A. Stradling
Lieutenant

/True Copy/
/Signed/ G. L. Morries
Acting Sub Collector:
in charge

No 2

To C. B. Thomas Esqre
Principal Collector
Coimbatore

Sir.

I have the honor to request the favor of your forwarding this application

application to the Board of Revenue for 5 Cawnies of Government land at Connoor for Lieutenant Stradling R. Navy who being now resident at Connoor has requested me to act in his behalf.

The land in question is bounded on the west by the ravine east of Connoor church on the east by the steep pathway leading from the bridge that crosses the Stream near Mr Darling's house to the road known as Major Mercheins round the land lays South West and contiguous to your property

Connoor
November 13th 1855 } /Signed/ R. A. Fraser
Lieutenant R. N.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ G. L. Morries
Acting Sub Collector in charge

No 2.

Memorandum.

Mr Stradling applies for 5 Cawnies of land at Connoor for Building purposes the Boundaries thereof are particularized in the accompanying plan. Its occupation by Mr Stradling is unobjectionable whether for public or private

private grounds. The upset price calculated at 8 years Quit rent amounts to Rupees 45-13-4 at 1-2-4 per Cawny per annum.

Coimbatore
15th January 1850 } Signed G. L. Morris
Acting Sub Collector in Charge

Bd No 508

Proceedings thereon.

(23.24)

Under paras VI and VII of the Manual of Instructions for the grant of lands on the Neilgerry Hills the Board of Revenue agreeably to the recommendation of the acting Sub Collector in charge direct that the lands applied for by Mr Stanley consisting of 5 Cawnies, be put up to Public auction at the upset price of Rs 45-13-4 calculated at 8 years Quit Rent and that it be knocked down to the highest bidder or to the applicant if there be no advance upon the upset price, subject to the conditions and limitations of the said Manual.

Read again return from the Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore to the Agent dated 16th and entered

entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 19th January 1856 of Village Servants suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for November last.

(25)

Bd No 505

Proceedings thereon.

The Board presume that the charges in the first 2 instances were not proved to their full extent against the 3 parties concerned in them who have only been suspended instead of being dismissed which would have been the appropriate punishment if fraudulent intent had been brought home to them.

Read again letter from the agent at Kurnool dated 24th and entered in Consultations 31st January 1856.

(26)

Bd No 503

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the above letter be laid before Government with reference to the concluding para of the Board's letter dated 30th November last.

The Moturpha herein mentioned 2 formed the subject of Mr Daniells previous letter and its remission has been recommended in Para 18 (13) of the Board's letter

Fort St George 22d February 1856

letter above referred to. No other tax appears to be levied on Chunam Kilns in the Kurnool District.

Read the following Papers

N. 15

To G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

(627)

Bd N. 357

From the Collr of Trichinopoly } Pera 1

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 12 November 1855 N. 161 and to your Boards orders thereon N. 3086 dated 20th Idem. I do myself the honor to report that out of the arrears due Rupees 1052-3 were paid up by 80 defaulters in full prior to the day of sale and Rupees 6-11-10 by Maravandanangum pullay who came forward as the proprietor of the land of the Defaulter Maravandachetty and proved his right to it thus making in all Rupees 1058-12-1 realized.

2. The remaining 19 defaulters referred to in the accompanying Statement not being able to meet the demands against

Fort St George 22d February 1856

them, Rupees 362-6-7 their respective lands were put up to sale and sold accordingly

3 The amount realized by such sale is Rupees 1632-- and there is over and above the arrear by Rupees 1269-9-5 only so much land as was

4 sufficient for the realization of the arrear was offered for sale, while the increase in the sale proceeds now exhibited was occasioned by competition.

5 The amount due by these defaulters for Fusly 1264 has been fully liquidated and the surplus sum of Rupees 1269-5-5 must be refunded to each individual according to his portion.

6 I beg to submit the usual statement of the sale and to solicit confirmation of the bonds disposed of the purchasers having paid a deposit of 15 per Cent and bound themselves to make up the residue.

Trichinopoly Collectors Office 26th January 1856 } / Signed / A. Bird Collector

Statement

Fort St George 22d Febuary 1856

No 19.

To. G. S. Forbes Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

635

Bd No 213 Sir.

From the Collector of South arcot } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a Packet to my address forwarded from your Office, containing the field Books and land Registers of the three Surveyed Villages of Vanabagoody Velashakadoo and Coorungygoody but without the Maps.

South arcot
Collectors cutchery
Cuddalore
5th Febuary 1856

/Signed/ R. R. McDonell
Sub Collector in charge

Bd No 501.

Proceedings thereon.

635-36

The Maps referred to by the Collector are not forthcoming on the Records of the Board the Collector will therefore have the goodness to make if possible to replace those that are missing.

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856.

No 12.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

637

Bd No 470

From the Collector of North arcot } Sir,

I have the honor to enclose Copy of a letter this day received from the Secretary to the Committee for the exhibition to be held in this District on the 5th May next, together with a list of Prizes to be offered for the article included therein

2. As the old Cutcherry has been ordered to be sold, I doubt whether the temporary occupation of the new Talook Cutcherry for the purpose of the exhibition is feasible, but I will not delay forwarding the list of prices till I can, in communication with the Members of the Committee at Chittoor, to which place I am travelling, decide upon the practicability of occupying the Talook Cutchery.

3. When at Chittoor Mr. Mathieson, Mr. Harper and myself inspected a spot of ground Midway between Yaremspett and Chittoor, which seemed to be an advantageous site for the building it was then in contemplation to erect, and for which an estimate amounting to about 200 Rupees had been framed.

North arcot
Collrs Cutcherry
Vedeyoganed 7th February 1856

/Signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector

Encs

3175 Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856.

Encl 1.

To

C. Whittingham Esqr.

Collector of North arcot.

Sir,

In acknowledging receipt of your letter of the 31st. Ultimo, forwarding true Copies of a Prize List, and of a Circular order of the Board of Revenue, dated 23d. Instant, No. 187, I have the honor by desire of the Local Committee for the agricultural Exhibition, to inform you that a *List of Prizes has already been made out, and received the approval of the Members of the Committee, and no further emendations will therefore be required.

2. I am further desired by the Committee to request, you will kindly grant them permission to employ the new Taluk Cutcherry at Bommidillonpettah for the purposes of the exhibition. The Civil Engineer has already expressed his opinion, that the building is well adapted for the above object, and will be no obstacle to the other purposes for which it is intended Viz. that of a Tahseel Cutcherry

Chittoor 5th. February 1856

I Signed I E. F. Elliott, Secretary

I True Copy I

I Signed I C. Whittingham Collector

Encl.

*Copy of which is herein enclosed for communication to the Bd. of Revr.

3176 Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

Encl 2.

Memorandum of the Prizes offered for the articles required for the Exhibition in the District of Chittoor.

	Rs.
Indigo dry best specimen not less than 10 Maunds	50 75
Do. wet — Do. — Do. — Do. —	75 100
Cotton best specimen the produce of the american seeds not less than one Candy of which 5 Maunds to be well cleaned	75 100
Do. — best — Do. the produce of the Country seeds not less than one Candy of which 5 Maunds must be well cleaned.	50 75
Do. — Do. called Jadaputty Do. — Do. —	70
Silk Large, of different colour best sort per Maund.	50
Do. small — Do. — Do. — Do. —	60
Sugar best specimen the produce of the Mauritius cane not less than 10 Maunds.	100 50
Do. the produce of the Country cane —	25 75
Sugar Candy best Specimen not less than 10 Maunds	50
Do. Do. the produce of the Mauritius Cane Do.	50
Do. Do. Country Cane	35
Do. Do. Palmira — Do. — Do. —	20
Coffee best specimen not less than 5 Maunds	35 50
Wheat best Do. not less than 2 Maunds	15
Tobacco best Do. not less than a Candy	40

Hemp

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

Hemp or Fibre or Ropes best specimen the produce of the undermentioned plants not less than 2 Maunds ——— Rs.

Sanapah Gonceo Rasellent not less than 2 Maunds — 10

Plantain not less than 3 D°. ——— 5

Mogily not less than 2 D°. ——— 10

Coir Ropes or Fibre not less than 5. D°. ——— 5

Pine apple D°. — D°. 1 — D°. ——— 10

Yeruvum D°. — D°. 1 — D°. ——— 10

N. B. For Hemp or Fibre or Ropes produced from any other plants than the above prizes will be awarded.

Hides or Skins.

Hides or Skins tanned best specimen not less than 3 Skins ——— 15

Goat Skins D°. ——— D°. ——— 15

Cotton thread best specimen not less than 1 Maund 20.

D°. Coloured of different discriptions not less than 5 Seers in each discription ——— 20.

Cumblies best specimen not less than 5 ——— 15

Salt petre best specimen not less than 2 Maunds — 35

Padlocks with Keys best D°. ——— 5

Ploughs best Specimen ———

Manotties of the europe Muster best specimens not less than 6 ——— 5

N. B. The implements of the best specimen for exhibiting purposes of prizes, prizes at the above rate or any rate the Committee may award will be paid to each.

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

1st. Class Pair Draught Bullocks ——— 25

2d. Class D°. ——— 20

1st. Class Bull ——— 50

2d. Class D°. ——— 20

1st. Class Cow ——— 20

2d. D°. ——— 10

1st. Class Marino Sheep with the best Growth of wool not less than 10 to belong to one person ——— 50

2d. D°. ——— D°. ——— D°. ——— 25

1st. Class Turkeys not less than 2 Pairs ——— 15

1st. D°. Guineafowls not less than 3 — D°. — 10

Best Fowls Country Breed not less than 6 — 10

Each of the above descriptions must be the property of a single person.

Woodmans axes best specimen not less than 6 — 5

D°. D°. Knives best D°. — D°. ——— 5

Steel Sickles best — D°. — D°. ——— 5

Country Cart Best Muster of ——— 15

Prizes will be distributed for the following grains in the ear. The sample having the largest and heaviest ears will receive the prizes, if the quantity exhibited be not less than a Mans load.

Sumbapaddy best Per Candy containing not less 50. madras measures ——— 15

Chinna Sumbalo D°. ——— D°. ——— 15

Car Paddy ——— D°. ——— D°. ——— 10

Raggy ——— D°. ——— D°. ——— 10.

Cumboo

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

Cumbo ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 10

Cholum or Jonnah Do. ——— Do. ——— 10

Horse Gram ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 7

Bengal Gram ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 15

Kholler Cundoolee — Do. ——— Do. ——— 10

Pasalee or Moog — Do. ——— Do. ——— 7

Caramaney or Aulasendalee — Do. ——— 15

Coralee ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 15

Auricalee ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 5

Samalee ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 5

Buller or Anoomalee Do. ——— Do. ——— 10

Sara Puppee Do. per Maund ——— 10

Prizes will be awarded for the best specimens of the following Oils, which must be brought together with the seeds.

Gingely Oil seeds not less than 5 Maunds 5 — 15

Castor Oil Do. best specimen Do. ——— 10

Cocoanut Oil Do. not less than 5 Maunds — 7 15

Groundnut Do. ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 5 15

Wild Olive seed Oil — Do. ——— Do. ——— 10 15

Lemon seed oil Do. 1 Maund ——— 10

Mustard Oil ——— Do. ——— Do. ——— 10

Prizes of different amount will be given for other oils which may appear deserving of rewards

Allee or Potatoe best specimen the produce of the district not less than 1 Maund ——— 2

Arrow Root best specimen not less than 1 Maund 3

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

Rs.

For the best male Buffalo ——— 50

" She — " ——— 50

For the best Collection of Indigenous Gums — 50

Dyes ——— 50

Resins ——— 50

Medicinal sustances ——— 50

Arrowroot ——— / Maund ——— 20

Wool ——— / Maund ——— 50

Specimen of Iron with ore ——— 25

Steel ——— 35

Improved Pottery ——— 50

Paper ——— 25

Prizes will not be given for indifferent articles and the articles must be the produce of the District. Prizes will be given also for specimens which may appear deserving of remark at the time of the Exhibition.

/Signed/ E. F. Elliott
Secretary

Total amount of money to be expended on prizes as above stated amounts to Rs. 1486 —

/Signed/ E. F. Elliott
Secretary

637.38

Bd. No. 323

Proceedings thereon —

The Board of Revenue approve generally of the Prize list submitted. They have increased some of the prizes and added a few articles. The Committee will still have about 700 Rupees at their disposal for extra

Fort St. George, 22d February 1855

extra prizes and the other expenses of the exhibition. The Board think that the quantity of some of the articles as, for instance Tobacco, might well be reduced.

2. The Board request to be furnished with a list of the full Committee.

3. The Committee will distribute the prizes of their own authority at the close of the exhibition.

4. The Board request to be furnished with samples of the Prize Indigo, Cotton and Sugar, and with specimens of any novel or interesting Gums, resins, drugs or dyes, which may be exhibited, if the owners will sell the small quantities required. In regard to the articles, the Committee will act on paras VIII and IX of the Board's Circular order dated 5th March 1855.

5. As soon as possible after the exhibition, the Committee will favor the Board with a report on its results and a Statement of all expenses incurred.

Fort St. George, 22d February 1855

No. 32.

(139)

B. No. 608.

From the Coll.r of South Arcot

Submitting information regarding the preparation of Returns of the Prices of Grain in the District in reference to Bd. Circular letter dated 21st November last No. 3089.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the Circular letter from your Office dated 21st November last No. 3089 forwarding Copies of paras 5. and 7 of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of 11th June last regarding the preparation of Returns of the Prizes of Grain in the Districts.

2. I am of opinion that the price Lists prepared in this District are more or less open to all of the objections enumerated in Para 6 of the Minutes of Consultation. They are, I apprehend generally taken in a careless manner, and although giving an approximate to the real selling rates, can not be regarded as conveying a strictly accurate record of actual transactions.

3. The Returns upon which the Monthly Price Lists are prepared in my Office for transmission to the Board come from only four Principal Towns of the District Viz.t Cuddalore, Chellumbrum, Panrootty and Trivamallie. They are supposed to contain the average rates at which the different principal grains were sold in the Bazar during the period to which they refer, but they do not include the prices at which any wholesale transactions may have been effected.

under

Fort St. George 22d. February 1850

4. Under existing circumstances, I do not see any plan for improving these Returns which would be likely to make them more reliable than they are at present excepting by requiring them to be furnished from the Talooks, in the shape of a daily register of prices, instead of mere statements, as they now are for the whole month.

5. The introduction of public markets would be the only effectual means of obtaining correct Returns that I can think of, and I am inclined to believe that their establishment, altho' not an easy matter, would prove highly beneficial and would be at the same time very acceptable to the people at large.

6. A weekly market day is already commonly fixed in every town and village of importance, on which the people bring in various articles for sale and expose them in a public spot set a part for the purpose; but there is seldom or ever any grain sold on these occasions, the latter transactions being confined to the Shops of merchants and petty dealers.

7. I can conceive no method better calculated to ensure fair dealings and to enable the authorities to ascertain the real prices of grain than the establishment of Public markets, which, however, could not, I fear, be introduced

Fort St. George 22d. February 1850

introduced effectually unless a Legislative Enactment was obtained and authority conferred upon the local Officers to enforce the Rules and Regulations which it would be necessary to have for the management of such places.

8. Markets would render it practicable to learn the prices at which the Ryots actually sell their grains to the merchants and to the retail dealers, which at present it is almost impossible to find out. The Cultivators do very generally bring in their own grain to Towns and large Villages for sale but still they so frequently part with their stores by what may be termed private bargains, that no record can be made of the prices they obtain.

9. It appears to me very desirable that the prices at which the Ryots themselves sell should be shewn in our returns as well as the Bazar retail prices, but in the present state of things, it does not appear to me to be feasible to give both, neither do I think that the wholesale prices of the merchants can be accurately noted.

10. These merchants mostly combine wholesale and retail transactions, and their dealings, both with the Ryots and with the Retailers or Exporters, partake so much of the nature of private bargains, that it would not be of any use, for practical purposes, to attempt to ascertain the prices at which they buy & sell.

11. In this District I would propose that the Towns noted in the margin should be those from which returns should be obtained for the preparation of the monthly price Lists to be sent to the Board. It would be often enough, I think if these Talook returns were sent in twice a month.

Cuddalore
Port Nove
Chellumbrum
Vridachellum
Cullarmuky
Timanallay
Chittpett
Tinderamum
Panrooty

12. If faithful returns could be procured from these Towns, a tolerably correct record could be made of

of the price ruling in the District, and there are Talook authorities at each of them who could, if they would but take pains furnish the information without any particular difficulty provided that it is only required of them to note the daily selling prices of the principal Grains in the Town.

13. I have specified in the Margin the Chief grains, any material fluctuation in the price of which has a sensible influence on the prosperity of the people, and subjoined to Saddalore rough Statement shewing the percentage proportion in which each kind of them is usually cultivated in this District, with reference to the entire extent of cultivated land.

Rice Paddy
Cumboo
Varagoo
Ratchny
Cholum

Statement

Paddy	32 per Cent of the whole cultivated area				
Cumboo	17 per Cent	D:		D:	
Varagoo	17	D:		D:	D:
Ratchny	15	D:		D:	D:
Cholum	2	D:		D:	D:
Indigo, Cotton & other products	17	D:		D:	D:

14. I do not think it is possible, without objectionable interference, to ascertain the amount of sales which take place of each particular kind

kind of Grain as proposed in the latter part of Para 6. of the Minutes of Consultation 15. Any attempt of the kind in order to determine such a point, would, I appre-hend, be misunderstood by the people and would lead to suspicion and discontent, as well as to oppression on the part of the Government Officers who are employed to collect the information.

South Arcot Coll.s Cutcherry. Saddalore 18th. February 1858

(Signed) A. Hall
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

(3740)

(601)

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

In reference to the queries contained in your Memo: of yesterdays date I beg respectfully to state.

1st. That Mr. Atkinson's Communication to me of Mr. Underwood's intention to pass all damaged provisions, free of duty, was made in his own separate room,

and

15d. N: 616
From Mr. C. D'Souza

3187

Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856

and as far as my memory serves me, there was then no other person present this was shortly after the decision of the Supreme Court which was in January 1854.

2d. In regard to the enquiries in the 2d & 3d Paras I beg to state that although I cannot specify the precise date of the transaction I can so far mention that the case is that of Ramanjooloo the Ship Dubash. The Ship belonged to this person and he gave in application in the usual Printed Form filled it to have the article passed, and it was the application that was considered unnecessary by Mr. Underwood, this Gentleman was at his desk in his own room when I put the application before him for the ostensible purpose of obtaining a Voucher for the proceeds there was no other person besides myself in the room, Ramanjooloo being outside

Madras,
21st February 1856.

/Signed/ C. D'Souza

3188. Fort St. George, 22d February 1856.

Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent in reply.

(421.42)
B. No. 507

To Mr. C. D'Souza.

Read 22d February 1856

Official Memorandum.

Mr. D'Souza's reply to the Board's Memorandum of the 20th Instant, has been read.

In it Mr. D'Souza mentions that the Sour porter to which he had previously referred belonged to one Ramanjooloo a Ship Dubash.

On examining the Book kept by Mr. Waters to shew the bad provisions which were passed out duty free no entry appears of this porter. The first entry in Mr. Waters' Book relates to some other and is dated 25th January 1854.

The Board therefore wish Mr. D'Souza to give explanation, supported by documents if possible to shew how this Porter, passed out of the Custom House, and what became of Ramanjooloo's written applications to pass it Mr. Waters Register Book is sent for Mr. D'Souza's inspection and is to be returned with his answers.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22d February 1856.

/Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Secretary

No. 53

(643)

After B. No. 685
From the Coll. of Sea Customs

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor to request with the reference to your letter dated 20th Instant B. No. 467 that

3189 Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856.

To

The Honble. Sir Thos. Munro Bart. G.C.B. &c. &c. &c.
Governor in Council.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will obtain the Honble. Governor in Council's orders on the accompanying Statement of the Board of Revenue, exhibiting the Estimates of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in the undermentioned Districts in Fusly 1265, and submitted by the Board for the sanction of Government.

2. I have the honor to be, with great respect, Sir, Your most obedient humble Servant,

(Signed) W. H. Sandwith
Collector for Customs

Order thereon—
Read the above letter, dated the 7th instant, and the Statement accompanying it, submitted by the Board of Revenue.
Ordered that the seven Original enclosures attached to the letter be forwarded to the Board of Revenue.
Read the undermentioned letter—

From Hill Stacks of Pickled Fish
8th December 1855.

To Chas. Brodie Esqr. Secretary to Board of Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will submit the enclosed Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred for the ensuing Fusly 1265 and Requesting to be favored with the sanction of Government thereto.

2d. I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed) Stacks
Hill Stacks of Pickled Fish

Read the undermentioned letter—

From Samuel Sandilands Esqr. Collector of Customs, Madura
21st January 1856.

To Chas. Brodie Esqr. Secretary to Board of Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will submit the enclosed Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in this District for Fusly 1265 and Requesting to be favored with the sanction of Government thereto.

2d. I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed) Samuel Sandilands
Collector

Ordered that the seven Original enclosures attached to the letter be forwarded to the Board of Revenue.
Read the undermentioned letter—

From Samuel Sandilands Esqr. Collector of Customs, Madura
8th December 1855.

To Chas. Brodie Esqr. Secretary to Board of Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will submit the enclosed Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in this District for Fusly 1265 and Requesting to be favored with the sanction of Government thereto.

2d. I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed) Samuel Sandilands
Collector

Read Letter from the Board of Revenue dated 18th January 1856 submitting Estimates of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in the Districts of Malabar, Canara, Coimbatore, Salem, Madura, Tinnevelly, Masulipatam, Vizagapatam, Ganjam, Cuttack, Chingleput, North Arcot, South Arcot, Tanjore, Trichinopoly, Salt Chowkies, Hill Stacks of Pickled Fish, Nellore, Kurnool, Cuddapah, Bellary, Coimbatore, Nilgiris, Malabar, Canara, Sunkum, Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Rajahmundry, Masulipatam, Guntoor, Nellore, Cuddapah, Bellary, Kurnool, Trichinopoly, Tanjore, South Arcot, North Arcot, Chingleput, Salt Chowkies, Hill Stacks of Pickled Fish, Madura, Tinnevelly, Salem

Resolved that the following Official Memorandum be despatched.-

649
B. No. 510.

To the Coll.r of Tanjore

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward by this day's Bangly to the Collector of Tanjore 1983 Copies of the exhibition Prize List in Tamil.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
22d. February 1856.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Secretary

650
Mem No. 371

From the Collector of Salem. Requesting to furnish a supply of certain printed forms.

650. 51

Order thereon- Ordered to be supplied accordingly-

652
Mis No. 480

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

From the Collector of Malabar- Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of the public Servants during the Quarter ending 31st December 1855.

653
Mis No. 481

From the Collector of Malabar. Return of public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment during the Quarter ending 31st December 1855.-

653a
Mis No. 491.

From the Acting Collector of Madras Extract from the Register of certificates issued to individuals in

the form D for the Quarter ending 31st December 1855.-

655
Mis No. 486.

From the acting Collector of Ganjam.- Acknowledges the receipt of certain printed forms.-

656
Mis No. 487.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Account Current of Salt for January 1856. Fusly 1265.-

657
Mis No. 489.

From the Collector of Nellore. Account Current for Salt for January 156 Fusly 1265.-

658
Mis No. 490

From the Collector of Madura- Account Current of Salt for January 1856 Fusly 1265.-

659
Mis No. 488

From the Collector of Tinnevelly- Account Current of Salt for January 156 Fusly 1265.-

660
Mis No. 485

From the Collector of Tanjore Register of the state of the River Cavary of the annicut for January 1855/56.-

661
Mis No. 459

From the agent at Kurnool. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue for January 1856.-

662
Mis No. 461.

From the Collector of South arcot. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for January 1856.-

663
Mis No. 466

From the Collector of Tanjore. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for January 1856.-

664
Mis No. 458

From the Collector of Tanjore Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and specie imported by Sea in January 1856.-

665
Mis No. 457

From the Collector of South arcot- Statement of Saltpetre shipped from the Ports of South arcot to London and Liverpool in January 1856.-

666
Mis No. 455

From the Collector of Tanjore- Statement shewing the state of the season during the 1st half of February 1856.-

667
Mis No. 454

From the Collector of Madura- Statement shewing the state of

3193 Fort St. George, 22d. February 1856.

668 Mg. No. 453. Of the season during the 1st half February 1856.

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the state of the

669 Mg. No. 485. season during the latter half of January 1856.

From the Collector of Malabar. Reports that no Salt petre was

exported to London or Liverpool from any of

670 Mg. No. 483. the Ports in this District during January 1856.

From the Collector of Malabar. Reports that no persons were

671 Mg. No. 484. tried or convicted under Regulation IX of 1832

in December last.

From the Collector of Nellore. Return of Village

672 Officers suspended or dismissed under Re-

Mg. No. 487. gulation VI of 1831 for January 1856.

From the Collector of Malabar. Return of Village

Officers suspended or dismissed under Re-

gulation VI of 1831 for December 1855.

Do.