Contents

No.	From & to whom	Subject	Page
		Nov.r & Dec.r 1854	
1373	From Tuticorin	Application from Mr Sullivan a/g Collr for leave	15528
1633	From Govt	Sanctg the payt of Gratuities to certain of the Servts employd on the Establishts of the Tobacco Monopoly in Coimbr. and Canara	15528
2538	Order Coimbr. & Canara	On the above	15544
1228	From Govt	Authorizing the disburst of Rs 30-10 for Badgts and Belts for the Duffadars & Peons	"
2536	Order Masulipt	On the above	"
1227	From Govt	Relative to the Copy of a Map of the district of Coimbatore	15545
2537	Order Coimbr	On the Above	"
2533	Circular	Requesting that all Statts &c as are now forwarded in English Mahratta &c may be sent in English Only	"
1302	From Govt	Relative to the new Tables of Trade regd by the Hon Court	15548
2547	Order Madras &c	On the above	15549
1334	From Rajahy	On Salt	15550
3311	From Nellore	Report on the State of the old garden lands	15551
3311	From Do	Requests further instructions with reference to Para 9 of the Bds Pro of the 31st Ultimo and to his Letter of the 5th Current Relative to garden lands	15560
2544	Pro Do	On the Above	15561
1091	From Nellore	Pointing out instructions contained in the Order of Govt of 7th Feby appear to have been carried out as far as hitherto practicable &c	15562

Date	From & to whom	Subject	Page
2534	Pro Nellore	Directing the Removal of the Nath Shrish may be no long delayed	15566
4335	From Cuddapah	On the Extra Sources of Revenue	15568
4346	From Do	On Poppy Cultivation	15528
4347	From Do	Levying Tax on Scattered Trees	15585
4153	From Kurnool	On the prices of grain from F. 1249 to 1263	15587
4339	From Chingt	Abstract Statt showing the number & Cost of the Establishts in this District	15591
3873	From S. Arcot	On the Extra Sources of Revenue	15593
4102	From Tanjore	Reporting on Petr No 2059 of 1854	15626
2543	Pro Do	On the Above	15629
2527	Pro Do	Calling the attention of his Assistants to B.C.O. of 28th July 25 & 2d July 35 relative to fines imposed on Subordinates	15630
4157	From Madura	On the Subject of Annual Exhibitions of the Arts Manufactures & Agricultural products as required by Bd Cir of 7th Septr 54	15631
4360	From Coimbr	Forwards the usual Statt connected with Land Rev Settlt of Shanialloor Colampully & Poora pepolium Polipats in Poolachy Talook	15638
4341	From Canara	On Salt	15672
4248	From Madras	On the progress Mr Walker has made in the St Thome Survey	15674
2576	Order Do	Stating that the Bd are not satisfied with Mr Walkers Report &c	15682
3926	From S.C. Madras	On the Import and Export duties Coastg in the Port of Madras and the other Provincial Sea Customhouses for the last 10 years	15684
2525	To Do	On duty levied on Arrack purchd by the Commt	15690

Date	From & to whom	Subject	Page
3656	To Capt Macaulay	Estimates of Charges proposed to be incurred in Feby 1264 requiring Sanction	15690
2532	To Govt	Passing Endorst to the effect on Petition No 490 of 1852 that Petr had no right to any portion of the Villy Meraseg claimed by him	15691
2536	To Actg Regr to the Court of Sudr and Foujdary Adalut	Relative to the formation of Kurnool into a Zillah	"

Copy 20 Nov 1854

3814	From Govt	Forwarding Copies of Professional Papers of the Madras Engineers Vol III	15696
3918	From Do	Reports of Cases of the Sudr Dewany Adawlut Pattn of Vol III for the 15th Offic	15697
4068	From Do	Forwarding Copies of Part XV of the Selections from the Records of the Govt of the N.W. Provinces	"
4334	From Do	Directg the Bd to instruct the Collr of Cuddapah and Agent at Kurnool to allot to Mr Porter such Spots in each Pillagy as may be necessary for the purposes of a School and School Masters House	15698
2581	Resd Cuddapah and Agt Kurnool	On the Above	15705
4223	From Govt	Authorizing the Collr to make disbursts on Account of the Madras Exhibition	15706
2579	Circular	On the Above	15707

Date	From & to whom	Subject	Page
4242	From Govt	Passing Endorst on Petn Nos 1933 & 1960 of 185. Relative to Resumption of certain Agraharams	
2572	Order Salem	On the above	15707
4225	From Govt	Desiring to pass Orders on the Conjoindy Exams until disposal of the Boundellons Officers on the points referred to him by the Board	15708
4224	From Govt	Passing Endorst on Petn No 1491 of 1854	15709
2571	Order Tinnevelly	Communicating the above	"
4265	From Govt	Sanctg the Estimate of Tuccany and of Extra charges	15710
4303	From Do	Regarding the Maps of the Kistna Survey	"
2565	To Do	On the Above	15711
4348	From Do	Forwarding 65 Copies of Revenue Report Fl 1262	15713
4385	From Do	Sanctg the refunds of duty paid by Messrs Binny & Co on certain packages &c	15714
2566	Order S & Madras	Conveying the above	"
4331	From Ryaky	Salt Statement	15715
4017	From Vellore	Relative to the report on the irrecoverable portion of the Balances Amounting to Rupees 3782-14-2	15718
2573	To Govt	Relative to the outstanding balances of this district	15728
4038	From Vellore	Relative to the Abkaree Renter and his Surety	15729
4090	From Do	Respecting the Sale proceeds of some floated wood	15730
2561	Pro Govt	Subg Letter from the Jg Coll of Vellore and observing that Sanction may be granted for transmitting to the Mysore Board the Sale proceeds of some floated wood inadvertantly carried to the credit of Govt	15731
4174	From Bellary	Respecting the Summary of Vellore corrected under the Regn IX of 1822 for Special Reasons	"
2549	Pro Do	On the above	15733
4296	From Do	On the Relationship between the public Servants of the Collectorate	15734
2586	Pro Do	On the Above	15763
4337	From Do	Reporting that no Contingent Charges under 200 Rupees incurred during the 3d Quarter of 1854	15763

Date	From & to whom	Subject	Page
3798	From Kurnool	Suggesting the method of the Asst Agent in regard to the Tungabhanah Distribution	15765
2556	Pro Do	Distributing Raw Rice in the Tungabhanah in his district	15768
4024	From Chingleput	Respecting Salt	15769
2550	Pro Do	Respecting Salt	15770
4049	From Do	Reporting on Petn Nos 1867 & 1937 of 1854 presented to the Board by G Chellapilly Mudy	15771
2575	Pro Do	On the above	15775
4369	From Do	Explaining the circumstances under which the Letters noticed in the Bd Pro were not included in the List of unanswered Letters for the 2d quarter of 1854	15777
4396	From Do	Relative to Wells sunk under recent Orders	
4397	From Do	Salt Statement	15779
4093	From N Arcot	Forwards translations of two Letters from the Zemindar of Calastry urging Reasons against the applicability of Regn VII of 1831	15783
2576	To Govt	On the above	15811
4378	From N Arcot	Respecting the disturbances & plunder of granaries in the Towns of Vellore & Wallajahpettah	15813
2535	Pro Govt & Coll[r]	Approving of the measures adopted for the prevention of the occurrences reported and subg the letter to Govt with the above Remarks	15816
4403	From Salem	List of Estates of Incapacitated proprietors under the management of parties unconnected with the Board of Wards during Fl 1263	15817
4407	From Do	Respecting the holding local meetings for the distribution of prizes &c	15820
3873	From S Arcot	On Salt	15823
3304	From Do	Submits his opinion regarding the effect that the revision of the Assessment lately ordered to be carried out would have on the lands of this district offering certain suggestions on the subject	15825
2545	Pro Do	On the above	15831

No.	From & to whom	Subject	Page
4071	From S. Arcot	Reporty the defalcation found in the Treasury and Recommending that the Cashkeeper be removed from his situation & the Ms Sheriff be suspended for one year	
2553	Resol Do	On the above	15833
4171	From S Arcot	Respecty Rapr Seed	15837
4330	From Do	On Salt	"
4344	From Do	Respecty the Repairs to be made to the Theodolite sent by Govt frequently another to be sent instead	15838
2574	To Govt	Requesting Authority to purchase a Theodolite for Capt Priestley's use	15839
2580	Pro Tanjore	Cancelly the Bd Orders confirming the Sentence passed by the Last Colle on the late Cumum of Sebbassel	15842
4420	From Do	Salt Statement	15844
4276	From Madura	Respecty the Pets referred by the Bd of Rev undisposed of in Octr 1854	15847
2551	Pro Do	On the above	15848
4136	From Canara	D.C & Balance Statement for 31st July with explanations and another for Septr 54	"
4177	From Malabar	Respecty certain papers	"
3931	From Madras	Roshollah Shah his the grandson of Arntool Shah	15852
2562	To Govt	On the lapsed Inams to be continued to the above	15855
4155	From Madras	Reporty on the Memorial of Catalatipilly	15865
2564	Pro Govt	On the above	15869
4336	From Madras	On Salt	15873
2567	To Do	On certain information on Salt	15874
4371	From Do	On Salt	15882
2552	Pro Do	To Supply Vencatareddy with 15220 lbs of Salt	15883
	From Do	Requesting orders on the accompanying application of Messrs Binny & Co to export Salt to Calcutta	"
	Pro Do	On the Above	"
			"

No.	From & to whom	Subject	Page
4233	From Supt of Engineers	Forwarding a Roll containing Maps of the Kistna River	15886
2548	To Do	Forwarding Receipt for the Maps	"
2557	To Govt	Subg the Broken Set of their Proceedings	15887
2559	To Do	Forwarding the Broken Set of the Proceedings of the Commr North Circars for July 1854	"
2577	To Do	Subg Comparative Statt of Contingt charges incurred in the Rev and Magisterial Depts for the quarter Endg 30th June 1854	15888
2578	To D	Comparative Statt of Contingent charges incurred in the withdrawn Establishment Officers for the qr Endg 30th June 1854	15892
2558	Pro Tanjore	Relative to the privilege of selling Foreign Spirits in Tranquebar and Town of Tanjore	15894

15528

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Diary

Received the following Letter

15th November 1854.

To J. Bird Esqre
Collector of
Trichinopoly.

(1)

B. de No. 2373 Sir,
From the Collector
of Trichinopoly

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to forward thro the usual channel this my application for one Months leave under Section XIII of the New Absentee rules to proceed to Salem and the Shevaroy Hills on private affairs.

/Signed/ H.C. Sullivan
Assistant Collector.

No. 110

In forwarding this application for leave the Collector has the honor to state that there is no objection to its being complied with.

Trichinopoly
Collectors Office
10th November 1854

/Signed/ J. Bird
Collector.

Ordered

15529 Fort St George — November 1854

Order thereon

(1-2) Ordered to be submitted thro the channel of the Civil auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 16th November 1854 — J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Member
W. H. Bayley Esqre Acting Member

J. Goldingham Esqre First Member Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars

---

E. Maltby Esqre Member absent on duty Bellary.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

(3) Read the following Papers.

No 285.

Bd No 1033

From the Dy Secy to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department Dated 24th October 1854.

Read the following Papers.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department — Here Enter 21st May 1853
Extract from ——— Dy ——— Dy ——— " ——— " ——— 1st February 54
Extract from the Proceedings of the Bd of Revy " ——— " ——— 28th August "
From the Civil auditor ——— " ——— " ——— 1st September "
From the Civil auditor ——— " ——— " ——— 12th Dy ——— "

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor

15530 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Governor in Council observes that of the two Servants recommended by the Collector of Coimbatore for pensions, the first Kistna Row having served for more than 35 years in grades entitled to pension, is eligible under the Notification of the 21st July 1854 to a pension of half his average Salary if the Collector of Coimbatore can certify as required by the Honorable Court, that his conduct and character have been such as to merit that indulgence. In this case, the Collector of Coimbatore will submit a Register in the usual manner.

2 With regard to the Duffadar Sayed Ibraugheer, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council regrets that he is unable, under the rules, to accede to the Collectors recommendation for the grant of a pension, but sanctions the highest amount of gratuity to which he is entitled, Viz. Six Months' Salary.

3 With respect to the other Servants in the District of Coimbatore, and all those in

in Canara, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not see any sufficient reason for deviating from the gratuity rules, which were framed purposely to meet cases like the present. With the exception therefore of such of the discharged servants, as may be able to obtain Medical Certificate of incapacity for further Service / whose cases will be separately submitted / the maximum gratuity will be six Months' pay, the amount in each case being graduated according to the Service of the recipient. If, however, there should exist in any instances any very peculiar grounds for greater indulgence, the Collectors may bring such cases, which can be but few, to the notice of Government that they may, if thought deserving be referred for the consideration of the Honorable the Court of Directors.

4. The Collector of Coimbatore is requested to explain the causes of the interval of more than 2 years in the Services of Chockaya Moodelly, Curnum,

It

It is observed that in 1826 his Salary was 17-8-0 per Mensem, whereas, when re-employed in 1851, it was only 10-8-0.

5. The Government observe that Allamoodeen / No 7 in List 2/ was discharged in March 1852. and that for 2½ years previously he held the Office of Tahsildar of Nellgherry Talook. A separate recommendation in his favor appears also to have been made by the Collector of Coimbatore in his letters dated 19th July and 28th August 1854.

6. The Collector of Coimbatore will report the dates from which the following Servants were re-employed and the Salary now drawn by the two last Viz: T. Vencata Row, Goomastah - Colunda Moodelly / No 6 List 2) Bisramsing (No 8 List 2/ Abdul Kadar (No 23, List 2/

7 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions

payment

15533. Fort St George 16th November 1854

payment to the remaining Servants in Coimbatore and those in Canara, of the gratuities entered in the annexed Lists, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 5073 12- "-/ Five thousand Seventy three and annas twelve.

	Rs	a	p
Coimbatore / exclusive of those, regarding whom further information is required /	1,586	4	"
Canara	3,487	8	"
	5,073	12	"

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / J. D. Sim
Deputy Secretary to
Government.

List

15534 Fort St George 10th November 1854

Encl. No 1.

List of Servants Employed on the Establishment of the Tobacco Monopoly at Coimbatore to whom gratuities are to be granted.

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity			Number of months pay
	years	Rs	a	p	
Syed Ibraugheer —	44	52	8	6	6 Months
Nunjee Chetty —	19	84	"	"	6 — "
Sooba Row —	17	84	"	"	6 — "
Kistna Chetty —	13	84	"	"	6 — "
Rungapah Naick —	25	72	"	"	6 — "
Goolam Hoossain —	14	72	"	"	6 — "
Mohamed Ibram —	25	72	"	"	6 — "
Goolam Hussain —	22	60	"	"	6 — "
Dalale Khan —	26	60	"	"	6 — "
Sheik Kally —	14	30	"	"	6 — "
Kawder Khan —	21	30	"	"	6 — "
Sheik Furreed —	20	30	"	"	6 — "
Venicata —	19	30	"	"	6 — "
Syed Chand —	19	30	"	"	6 — "
Kasim Khan —	22	30	"	"	6 — "
Sheik Mohamed —	15	30	"	"	6 — "

15535 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Names	Period of Service	amount of gratuity	Number of Months pay.
	Years	Rs. a P	
Nizam Khan	14	30 ..	6 Months
Lal Khan	14	30 ..	6 "
Nagoojee Row	9	105 ..	6 "
Carapana Modelly	8	105 ..	6 "
Lutchmon Row	9	31 8 ..	4½ "
Cootty Gowdah	9	84 ..	6 "
Soobramonya Chetty	9	84 ..	6 "
Cooppanniengar	9	31 8 ..	6 "
Simmah	3	15 12 ..	3 "
Venkiah	6	63 ..	6 "
Samiah	8	21 ..	6 "
Ramanoojiengar	9	21 ..	6 "
Soobbiah	6	21 ..	6 "
Soobbiah	8	21 ..	6 "
Vencataramaiah	7	21 ..	6 "
Kishnengar	4	16 ..	4 "
Mahadaviah	5	35 ..	5 "
		1586 4 ..	

15536 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Encl. No. 2.

List of Servants employed on the Establishment of the Tobacco Monopoly at Canara, to whom gratuities are to be granted.

Names	Period of Service	amount of gratuity	Number of Months pay.
	years	Rs. a P	
Mahomed Ally	24	28 ..	6 Months
Ramiapah	30	30 ..	6 "
Vencatasha	16	30 ..	6 "
Vittoba	16	21 ..	6 "
Mamul	4	22 ..	4 "
Shaik Dawood	5	27 8 ..	5 "
Sabar Beg	4	40 ..	4 "
Annappah	21	42 ..	6 "
Lorenco Coelho	5	35 ..	5 "
Somah	28	24 ..	6 "
Pullaly Vencata	1	4 ..	1 "
Anno	32	24 ..	6 "
Mahling	6	24 ..	6 "
Shaik Osman	3	12 ..	3 "
Vencata	12	24 ..	6 "
Mohamed E. Yssoof	1	4 ..	1 "
Verah	18	24 ..	6 "

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of Months pay
	years	Rs a P	
Shaik Moideen	3	12	3 Months
Vastiva	18	24	6 "
Abdool Kahader	20	24	6 "
Bastian Padool	3	12	3 "
Soonga	42	24	6 "
Govindoo Bhut	19	24	6 "
Dassapah	1	12	1 "
Singapah	22	60	6 "
Manjoonathea	19	42	6 "
Munjoonathya	7	36	6 "
Soobba Row	21	30	6 "
Sheisha	17	24	6 "
Sham Row	4	18	4 "
Meria Rree	35	24	6 "
Salvo	14	24	6 "
Canto	20	24	6 "
Anthonea	14	24	6 "

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of Months pay
	years	Rs a P	
Shaik Ahmed	1	4	1 Month
Oomer Khan	1	3 8	1 "
Syed Hoossain	4	12	4 "
Clementea Vass	3	30	3 "
Valoomyan	32	60	6 "
Kristnayan	15	42	6 "
Simcappa	11	42	6 "
Munjanathiah	14	42	6 "
Mohamed Booden	10	42	6 "
Ramachendriah	10	42	6 "
Kristnaya	11	30	6 "
Kristnaya	12	30	6 "
Kristnaya	3	13 8	3 "
Luscumynarrappa	6	27	6 "
Dademian	12	14	6 "
Doorga Rama	4	16	4 "
Shaik Hoossain	1	4	1 "

15539 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of Months pays
	Years	Rs a P	
Krishnah	6	24	6 Months
Timmah	15	24	6 — "
Shaik Mohiddeen	4	16	4 — "
Shaik Yusoof	17	27	6 — "
Somah	4	18	4 — "
Soobbah	16	24	6 — "
Fakeer Mohamed	13	24	6 — "
Meglia	16	21	6 — "
Abdool Rahemun	9	21	6 — "
Narrapa	15	21	6 — "
Mangnesha	4	14	4 — "
Ananta	8	21	6 — "
Appiah	18	21	6 — "
Thoo Ria	11	21	6 — "
Timma	13	21	6 — "
Syed Hoosain Khan	12	21	6 — "
Soobba Row	24	22	6 — "
Nullah	21	24	6 — "
Chullah	26	24	6 — "

15540 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of Months pays
	Years	Rs a P	
Ringana Soobba	25	24	6 Months
Chinnary	5	22 8 ..	5 — "
Shaik Mohideen	6	27	6 — "
Tuckerama mullah	16	27	6 — "
Rayeppah	23	72	6 — "
Munjonnathiah	13	22	6 — "
Pando Runga Row	25	30	6 — "
Shaik Boodeen	8	24	6 — "
Munjanairy	24	24	6 — "
Venkata	25	24	6 — "
Vasteva	9	27	6 — "
Syed Moorah	20	27	6 — "
Kistnah	4	18	4 — "
Moinya	26	27	6 — "
Naga	31	27	6 — "
Munjoonathaya	37	36	6 — "
Babayah	33	36	6 — "
Doorga	19	21	6 — "

15541. Fort St. George 15th November 1854

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of Months pay
	years	Rs a P	
Mohomed Kasim	24	48 " "	6 Months
Muniya	5	22 8 "	5 "
Shaik Hussain	19	27 " "	6 "
Carg Malaka	12	27 " "	6 "
Devapiah	2	9 " "	2 "
Syed Ibrahim	6	27 " "	6 "
Ramachendriah	2	9 " "	2 "
Naga	15	27 " "	6 "
Soo Rya	24	24 " "	6 "
Gunnapa	41	24 " "	6 "
Bastiao	14	24 " "	6 "
Kiandaya	7	24 " "	6 "
Kollah	32	24 " "	6 "
Ramachendra	11	24 " "	6 "
Shaik Adam	25	24 " "	6 "
Holaya	4	16 " "	4 "
Dasapah	10	24 " "	6 "
Annuppah	9	24 " "	6 "

15542 Fort St. George 15th November 1854

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of Months pay
	years	Rs a P	
Wennin Roa	2	24 " "	2 Months
Narana	8	24 " "	6 "
Pando Runga	6	21 " "	6 "
Gennapah	25	30 " "	6 "
Bambo	8	28 " "	6 "
Shaik Boiddan	10	27 " "	6 "
Jeevah	20	27 " "	6 "
Dadeah Brabo	13	27 " "	6 "
Rama	8	27 " "	6 "
Luxumana	25	27 " "	6 "
Luxumana Hulliah	17	27 " "	6 "
Sa Keera Rano	15	27 " "	6 "
Benah	16	27 " "	6 "
Hullojee	25	27 " "	6 "
Lutchma	6	24 " "	6 "
Peer Rawn	3	13 8 "	3 "
Ramachendra Rao	25	60 " "	6 "

15543 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Names	Period of Service	Amount of gratuity	Number of months pay
	years	Rs a P	
Kaja Sin Beg	2	8	2 Months
Kristappah Chetty	42	36	6 ..
Kristappa Locally	27	36	6 ..
Vasteva Row	3	13 8 ..	6 ..
Soorah	31	27	6 ..
Shank. Cornen	30	27	6 ..
Govinda	23	27	6 ..
Shank Almoo	13	27	6 ..
Venka	29	27	6 ..
Deva	32	24	6 ..
Soobba Row	3	12	3 ..
		3,487 8 ..	

/Signed/ J. D. Sim
Deputy Secretary to
Government

Ordered

15544 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Bd No 3538
Order thereon.

(3-4)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing minutes of consultation with list No 1 be forwarded to the Collector of Coimbatore and a copy of the same with list No 2, to the Collector of Canara, for the information and guidance of those Officers respectively.

(5)

No 1424

Bd No 4228
From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st November 1854.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the disbursement recommended in the above Proceedings for badges and belts for the Duffadars and Peons on the Temporary Establishment of the Special Assistant Collector of Masulipatam, amounting to Rupees 130-10-/ Thirty and annas ten-

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(5-6)

Bd No 3536
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Masulipatam, with reference to his letter dated 9th September 1854.

7
B. No. 2227

No. 1125

From the Sect. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2d November 1854.

Resolved that the Chief Engineer be requested to prepare another Copy of the Map of Coimbatore for the use of the Sub Collector of that District, employing if necessary hired Draftsmen for the work.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

7-8
B. No. 2537
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to his letter dated 3d October 1854.

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation dated 7th and entered in Consultation 13th November 1854.

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.

9
B. No. 2533
Circular.
To all Collectors and Sea Customs Madras, Copy to the Commissioner Northern Circar

Circular.

Sir,

It being the intention of the

the Board of Revenue, with the concurrence of Government, to dispense with the use of the Native languages in the periodical accounts and Statements transmitted to their Office, I am directed to request that for the future all such Statements as are now forwarded both in English and in Mahratta or other Indian languages, may be sent up in English only, and that those which are now sent up in a Native language only, may be sent up in English.

2 Should you frame

or

15547 Fort St. George 15th November 1854

or anticipate any difficulty in complying with this requisition, you will be good enough to state its nature and extent for the Board's consideration.

3 The Mahratta translation of the Summahbundy Reports ordered on the 16th September 1850 will no longer be required.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th November 1854

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Dpty Secretary in charge.

Extract

15548 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

B. No. 1302 No. 1457

From the Secretary to Government

10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department Under date 10th November 1854.—

Read again letter from W. E. Underwood Esqre, Reporter External Commerce, of 12th and recorded in Consultation 19th September 1854, No. 1185.—

Read also the following letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 23d October 1854 No. 505)

Under the opinion expressed by the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the adoption of the plan proposed by the Reporter of External Commerce in his letter of the 12th September last, for the preparation of the new Tables of Trade required by the Honorable Court of Directors, and grants authority for the Extra Establishment applied for by the Reporter, as an experimental measure, to be reported on after the end of the first year

13549 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Present Establishment 201—8—0 } year, at a monthly
Proposed Do. Do. 519—0—0 } additional charge of
317—8—0 } Rupees 317—8—0)

Three hundred and Seventeen and 8 annas Eight

/True Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(10-11)

B. No. 2547.

Order thereon

Order to be communicated, with the Board's Proceedings, to the Collector of Sea Customs, for his information and guidance.—

Statement

15550 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

12 Do. No. 4334

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store available for Exportation to Calcutta on Government Account at each of the Several Places of Export in the District

Remarks

Quantity of Salt which is available for export by Sea.—

Quantity remaining to be exported.

Quantity at the present time in store and available for exportation.—

Of the quantity remaining 10000 Maunds are set aside as directed in the Board's Proceedings dated 19th August 1854 and 8300 Maunds have still to be exported by Pondicherry.—

Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector

Coringa ——— 26000 15400 10800 10800

Ordered to be recorded thereon

Rajahmundry District. } (12-13)
Collector's Office, Cocanada, }
6th November, 1854 } Order thereon

Fort St. George 16th. November 1854

No. 113.

To

(14)

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 3311.

From the Acting Collr. of Nellore

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to the Board's letter under date November 25th. 1852, to report, that the concessions respecting new Wells, as also each point connected therewith, as adverted to in paras. 1. 2 and 3, thereof, have been clearly and generally made known, and explained, to the Ryots of this District; who have accordingly commenced availing themselves of the privilege and already to some extent.—

2 To the first of the 3 points, upon which specific information is requested in para. 6 of letter refered to, the annexed marginal Statement, (based upon the accounts for Fusly 1261) will furnish a reply. To make this Statement as clear as possible, I may observe, that

Submits, with reference to the Board's Circular of the 25th. November 1854, his report on the state of the old Garden lands—

	Gorstows	Rupees	Teerwa Jasty	Rupees
Original Bagayet				
Mooloom	4329	99994	4006	95000
Jarreb	332	27339	164	27503
	4661	118333	4170	122503
Converted Bagayet				
Metta	1022	4382	863	13945
Magany	53	777	294	10971
Total	5736	124492	13027	137519

Fort St. George 16th. November 1854

that, of the original Bagayet, the breadth entered as "Mooloon" is land, assessed for cultivation of Grain, and Indigo, Crops only—but subject to enhanced assessment, when sown with those classed as Garden (or Jareeb) according to circumstances the Rupees 4006 representing the actual Teerwa justly levied upon such in fusly 1261.—

That entered as Jareeb, (332 Gorstows) is land, variously assessed, as some one of the (hereafter) specified rates levied on Crops of that denomination, and immutably subject to the same, altho' Grain Crops only, were cultivated upon it, without reference soever, to the ordinary assessments for such; whilst on the other hand, it may be liable to enhanced Teerwa, if planted with other than the particular Garden Crop or Crops, allotted for cultivation thereon, exemplified indeed in this Statement, where a sum of Rs. 164 figures under this head).—

3 Upon the 2d. point (noted in para 5 of letter under reply,) the mode of assessment obtaining in this District, precludes

precludes me from affording other than general information; the higher rates being fixed, not with reference to the land, but to the particular Crop grown on it. The annexed Statement, exhibits the various rates of assessment upon different Crops, as well as, the very considerable range, existing between the highest and lowest rate, for the same Crop, in the case of some of them:—

	Highest	Lowest
1 Moolum Raggy (2 Crops)	42	7
2 Indigo Cuyja Do (2 Crops)	27	21
3 Do (one Crop)	14	7
4 Bilmuetah	17	8
5 Betel	267	25
6 Ginger	232	282
7 Tobacco	178	25
8 Onions and Garlic	150	75
9 Saffron	132	100
10 Chillies	88	12
11 Vegetables	81	6
12 Cheyroot	58	50
13 Cocoanut	58	58
14 Bauy	58	58
	1,426	646
Average	101	46

What however may be called the fixed, Bagayet or assessment are (with the exception of the "Jareeb" referred to, at close of preceeding para) embraced in Nos. 1 to 4 (inclusive) and ranging, from Rupees 7 to 42. These rates, permit the indiscriminate growth of Grain Crops, or Indigo, but, if either of those embraced from, Nos. 5 to 14 be grown, the rate fixed for that particular Crop is changed. its precise amount, being dependant

dependant upon, and regulated by, that, hitherto obtaining in the, or, (failing precedent there,) the next adjacent, Village without reference, either to primary Causes for, and circumstances connected with, such, or, to other consideration soever. The result of this, and the general heavy pressure of Garden rates, is, that cultivation of these Crops is, in a great measure, precluded, and carried on only under unusually favoring circumstances, or, when unusually high prices and great demand, may tempt the risk.—

That the System is rotten, and revision necessary, is indubitable tho, to suggest, in, and of, what, that revision should consist, is, under all the above noted Circumstances, a matter of considerable difficulty.—

After much deliberation, and weighing to the best of my abelity, the different bearings of the subject, I have come to the conclusion that, what I have termed the fixed Bagayety rates, i. e. as shewn in Nos. 1 to 4 of Statement, are not, in themselves, generally oppressive, yet with reference

to

to the comparatively easy tenure upon which land may now be (i.e. as soon as the wells have paid themselves,) precisely similarly Cultivated under the "New Well Rules," and in order, to more fairly assimilate the relative position of Cultivators under old and New Wells, I would suggest a remission of 25% on all existing "Mooloom" assessments with the exception of that at 42 Rupees; which should be, I consider, reduced, by fully one half; in-as-much as, that rate was fixed, not, with reference to quality of the land, but to presumed facility and small expense attending its irrigation; which is effected, in all such cases by means of Pacottahs from baskets Wells.—

As regards the Culture of Yzareeb, or Garden, Crops generally as enumerated from No. 5 to 14, I would suggest its being indiscriminately permitted, i.e. without reference to Crop, in all Bagayet lands, subject only, to a consequently additional demand of 50% upon the actual fixed assessment of the land itself. This very greatly diminished rate, whilst admitting of most profitable Cultivation to the Ryot, and being a great relief to him, would also, most probably, augment in

in lieu of decreasing the present actual revenue from this Source, by bringing a so much greater breadth of land under Yzareeb assessment.—

The land classed as "Yzareeb" referred to at close of para 2, I would purpose to have, forthwith, placed on the "same footing in all ways" as the bulk of the Bagayet, classed as "Mooloom" - assessing it generally, at 21 Rs. which I suggest, in preference to an attempted, and, (Judging from the results of a similar process, in several Villages in fusly 1236) probably fallacious temporary classification.—

A regularly organized and complete, survey and classification, is absolutely necessary throughout this District, ere rates of assessment, equitable alike to Government and Ryot, can possibly be fairly or properly proposed and settled.—I do not hesitate to express my conviction, that "Turrum tabdeel" is the rule, "Turrum proper" the exception, in almost every part of it.—

Each

Each suggestion therefore that I have offered, has been solely put forward for adaption, pending realization of the above great desideratum when, a fresh, and more generally satisfactory state of things may be hoped for; and when all distinction severe between Mooloom and Metta, beyond "classing" the former in the general Settlement of "Turrum" according to its adventitious or productiveness, might cease altogether.—

4 In replying to the 3d point noted in para 6 of the Board's letter, I would recall notice of the opening remarks of para 2 of this present, as exhibiting the difficulty of affording other than general information.—

The extent of Bagayet lands, once under cultivation, but now laying waste on account of over assessment, is 1,818 gortoos assessed at a total of Rupees 5,4,929

Of this 197 Gortoos was assessed as Yareeb at a total sum of 18,205 Rupees, or an average of about 92 Rupees, per Gortoo.—

The remainder, at the assessed at

at the ordinary Mooloom rates, and averaging but about 20 Rupees, per Gortoo, must have, notwithstanding, not only not proved, remunerative, but "unable to bear", even that assessment the alternative having been selected.—

There is, I may observe, in addition to the above, a total further extent of 413 Gortoos assessed at 8,054 Rs. also lying waste, but left so, mainly, from other causes, such as poverty or death, of the holders; from its not having been since taken up however by others, its assessment has evidently not been considered low enough to induce it, and I should be disposed to suggest its inclusion, also, in the following proposition regarding the remainder.—

With this I should propose to deal, precisely as I have suggested should be done with that under cultivation, that is to say, first, and at once, placing, such portion of it, as is classed and assessed, as "Yareeb," on the present footing of ordinary Mooloom, at a rate of 21 Rupees, per Gortoo, and then, (that is to say, this inclusive) with the exception before made, of that presently assessed at 42 Rupees, and proposed to be reduced to 21 Rupees, allowing a remission upon

15559 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

upon Mooloom assessments generally of 25%
with free permission to cultivate any Garden
Crop soever, on payment of an additional
assessment, whenever, so doing, of 50% upon
the fixed one. whilst as a further, I may
say necessary inducement to the Ryots, to
bring it again under cultivation, both with
reference, to the advantageous tenure
under new wells, and, as reimbursement
for probable actual outlay upon
the old Wells, as well as upon the
land itself, I would suggest, that
remission of $\frac{1}{3}$rd of assessment should
be granted for the same number of years
to come, that the land may have
been actually and consecutively lying waste.

Nellore, - - - - -
Collectors Office, - -
5th September, 1854

/ Signed / J. Ratliffe
Acting Collector

To

EBG

15560 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

15

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bo. No. 3341.
From the Acting Collr. of Nellore —

Requests for some further instructions with reference to the Para 9 of the Boards Proceedings of the 31st Ultimo to his letter of the 5th Current relative to Gorden lands.

Sir,

With reference to para 9 of Board's Proceedings under date 31st Ultimo and to my letter under date 5th Current upon the same subject, / drafted, and despatched, the first prior to receipt, the latter prior to perusal, of the above / I have the honor to solicit instructions as to whether I am to consider authority and sanction as being thereby conveyed, for immediately carrying out such discretionary alteration and revision as may seem proper or requisite, with respect to existing distinction between Zareeb and Mooloom - as well as information, as to whether, the Board approve of the propositions - I have already submitted (in the latter adverted to), or is prepared to sanction a yet more liberal revision; which, had I been reporting upon the subject, with these Proceedings and those of Government, to which they refer before me, I should have felt justified in suggesting -

&

2. As regards the general inclusion under the ordinary heads of Dry or Metta, of all such garden land as is not irrigated by Government works, which is authorized in the para of Board's Proceedings already adverted to. I presume that the abolition of the oppressive groundless and as regards difference, unproductiveness, or other advantage merely nominal, distinction, which, in the Sub Division, as brought to notice in para 4 of my Supplemental Jummabundy report for fusly 1262, often prevails between parts of the one and the same field, of which, tho' to all intents and purposes "Metta" land only, a section is classed and assessed as "Zareeb," because at some time or other probably unknown, a Zareeb Crop, was said to have been raised thereon, may be at once carried out.

*Vide memo: appended.

3. With respect, however to other lands, which would be apparently embraced under this order if literally acted upon and understood that is to say, such, as are under old wells, the actual property of the Ryots themselves, upon which no re-imbursement by means of Tuccavy remissions has ever been made, or other expense soever incurred, by Government (and which could

15560 Fort St. George 18th November 1854.

could alone be (with reference to wells) termed Government Works) I would solicit to be favored with further instructions for procedure.

4 I shall take early opportunity of submitting a proposition for a general and much required revision of Dry and Wet, assessment in this District, pursuant to concluding portion of para under notice — but have deemed it best to make this present application without further delay soever.

Nellore,
Collector's Office,
9th September
1854.

(Signed) J. Ratliff
Acting Collector of
Nellore.

15560 Fort St. George 18th November 1854.

No. 1

Official Memorandum.

As it appears as that Copy of the Supplemental Summabundy report adverted to in para 2 of accompanying letter was not forwarded to the Board by the Collector, an Extract of the portion referred to is submitted herewith.

Nellore, ...
Collector's Office, —
9th September 1854

[Signed] J. Ratliff
Acting Collector

To

15560 Fort St. George 18th November 1854.

No. 2.

To, F. B. Elton Esqre
Collector of Nellore.

Sir, × × × ×

Para 4. I found during the course of my settlement, many instances, in which, Ryots were paying "zareeb" Shist, on certain portions of "Metta" land though cultivating Metta Crops only — ignorant, even of what particular spot was supposed to be "Zareeb" and urging, in most cases, the unfitness of any portion, for culture as such — On enquiring this Anomaly appears to have usually originated in the circumstance of some spot or other in these fields, having at some

some period or other, been casually planted with some Jareeb Crop, been forthwith entered in the accounts under that head - and, tho' probably, but once so used, retained, the name and tax ever since.-

Pajarla ..... × × × × × ×

Sub Coll.re Carnatic Cutcherry
11th November, 1853.

/Signed/ I. Ratliff.
Acting Sub Collector

/True Extract/
/Signed/ I Ratliff
Acting Collector

(14-16)

B.o N.o 2544.

Proceedings thereon The Board are not certain that they distinctly understand the propositions of the Acting Collector which they could have wished had been conveyed in, to them, a less obscure manner.-

2 The first letter having been written prior to the receipt of Board's Proceedings of the 31st August

August in which direct allusion was made to the garden lands of Nellore and a principle enunciated to which the Acting Collector has not apparently adhered, the Board request his reconsideration of the subject with reference to these instructions.-

3. A Statement should be carefully prepared shewing the extent of cultivation and waste, under Mooloom and Jareeb separately and if possible under each rate, or if the rates are very numerous and vary by very minute amounts they may be thrown into classes, each including a range of 5 or 7 Rupees. The Turn assessment, together with the amount of teerwah Dasty and the extent on which it was charged should be given in separate Columns. The accounts of either Fusly 1261 or 1262 may be taken, and the rates actual and proposed, should be stated. The probable loss of revenue under the modification should be exhibited, as also the source from whence the deficiency may be supplied.-

With

15561 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

4 With reference to the letter of the 9th September the Acting Collector should confine his immediate reductions to those cases in which the assessment is so high as clearly to have been the cause of the land continuing waste.

5. The subject alluded to in Para 2 will be considered in the instructions which will shortly be furnished to the Acting Collector on his plan of a general revision submitted under date the 28th September.

6. Under the late orders any extra Tax on the old wells, the private property of the ryots referred to in Para 3 must cease, and the turrum teerwah alone collected.

7. If there are any Government wells in or out of use, the Acting Collector will communicate the circumstances under which they became the property of the Circar and state the manner in which he would propose to deal with them.

1570 To

B

15562 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 150.

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board of
Revenue in charge.
Fort St. George.

17

B. No. 4091.
From the Actg. Collr. of Nellore. } Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th October 1854, and to Para. 6 of the Extract from the Minutes of consultation dated 16th September preceding, wherein the Revenue Board are requested to report what has been done in pursuance of the order of Government contained in the Extract from the Minutes of consultation of 7th February 1854, I have the honor to state, that all that would appear to be therein directed, is the transfer of G. Vencataramaniah to another District, the removal of the late Cash Keeper No. 7, the removal of either V. Sashagherry row No. 39 or V. Narrainarow No. 40, and the transfer of some of those Enumerated from No. 41 to No. 48 on the list. Two references regarding the transfer of G. Vencataramaniah

Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Vencataramaniah have already been made in effectually whilst a third is pending as well as one for that of V. Narrainarow No. 40, the latter, to the Collectors of Guntoor and Cuddapah respectively. - Mr. Elton was of opinion that B. Anandarow No. 42 was too old to be transferred; and has also placed on record that the transfer of the person No. 48 on the list appeared unnecessary, and the Board have been pleased to see the justice of removing any obstruction on this head to the advancement in this District of the person answering to No. 41. The accompanying list, a transcript of that Submitted with my letter of the 6th October, further exhibits the dismissal of A. Narsingarow No. 6, the transfers of L. Jayarow No. 1, C. Vencatachellum No. 30, P. Subbarayarow No. 44, N. Seetapattiarow No. 45 N. Lutchumanarow No. 46 C. Soobarow No. 47, and the death of C. Rungarow No. 43; whereby the several instructions

Conveyed.

Fort St. George 16th November 1854

conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of 7th February would appear to have been carried out "as far as hitherto practicable" - Should the Board of Revenue however, think it necessary to make further changes, as regards the Hoozoor Cutcherry, it might perhaps facilitate the exchanges being carried out, if they would be good enough to specify the parties. It will be observed that Nos. 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 18 - 24 - 25 - 26 - and 29 represent individuals on the Hoozoor Establishment, but with the Exception of No. 8 - 10 and 18 all hold subordinate situations. -

Nellore, ----- 26th October, 1854. -

(Signed) J. Ratliff
Ag. Collector -

Memorandum -

15565 Fort St. George 16th November 18

No. 1.

Memorandum of Servants on the list of Naib Sheristadar relations who have been transferred to other Districts or otherwise disposed of.-

	Names.	Office held	To what District transferred or how disposed	Remarks
1	L. Jeyarow	Sheristah Goomastah Nevisindah in the Salt Division of Pattidurty	Guntoor / Dismissed	
6	A. Narasingarow			
7	N. Lutchmenarsoo puntooloo	Cash Keeper	Pensioned.	
30	C. Vencatachellum	Goomastah in the Taloor Cutcherry of Woodiagherry	Cuddapah	
		Peshcar in Nellore		
40	V. Narrainrow	Taloor	will be shortly transferred to Cuddapah	
42	B. Aunundarow	Peshcar in Sungum Taloor	very old & must shortly resign	
43	C. Rungarow	Tahsildar of Chendalore	Dead	
44	T. Luckrajerow	Goomastah in the Taloor Cutcherry of Ongole	Guntoor	
45	N. Seetapatyrow	Lately Tahsildar of Buddapoody	Rajamundry	
46	N. Lutchmanarow	Peshcar in Rawoor Taloor	Guntoor	
47	C. Soobbarow	Goomastah in the Taloor Cutcherry of Ravoor	Ditto	

Nellore Collector's Office
6th October, 1854.

(Signed) J. Ratliff
Ag. Collector.

15566 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

B. No. 2534.
Proceedings thereon
(17-18)

The Board do not consider that the instructions of Government have been fully carried out. Certain measures were suggested by them, but it is evident that their intentions as to the breaking up of the family influence complained of were not confined to the removals specifically proposed.-

2. The removal of the Naib Sheristadar should be no longer delayed, and if the Acting Collector cannot effect it he should report the same to the Board, who will make arrangement for the transfer.-

3. As before remarked, L. Jagarow is the only change at the Huzzoor with the exception of the Cash Keeper's Retirement. There are two Napattiwar, and three Mudivapatywar

15567. Fort St. George 16th November 185

Madvapatty war holding situations in

Sheristah Office - One of each fami

should be removed, either to

taloOks or to another District

223 of 1854
2204 of "
2271 of "

4. Three petitions received by th

Board from parties denying their

relationship to Nail Sheristadar are herewith

forwarded for delivery. The Board can pass

no orders upon them, as the respective degrees of affi

=ty can best be known to the local authorities, and

the Board must look to them to take the

proper measures for destroying the family in

=fluence which the Government have so strongly

depricated. -

DG

To

15568 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 98.

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary in charge

to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George. -

19

No. 4, 335.

From the Collector of Cuddapah. -

Reporting upon the Extras Sources of Revenue in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263 and forwarding the accounts connected therewith. -

+ Numbered for 1263/1853/54

Sir,

I have the honor to report upon the Extra Sources of revenue in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263/1853/54 and to forward the accounts connected therewith. -

2. The following is a com=parative Statement which exhibits the result of the past, compared with the preceding Fusly. -

Fusly

15567

Fort St. George

16th November 1854.

		Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Collections within the Fusly 1263.	Balance	Collections upto the end of October	Remaining Balance.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	A. B. Karry	118,616 " "	126,110 " "	1494 " "	" " "	124,262 8 "	1847 8 "	1847 8 "	" " "
2	Sundry Small farms and Licenses	13,844 10 8	15,898 11 6	2054 " 10	" " "	13,944 15 7	1953 11 11	1929 14 4	23 13 7
3	Stamps	27,246 4 "	24,762 8 "	" " "	2483 12 "	24,311 4 "	451 4	451 4 "	" " "
4	Moturpha Veesabady	73,531 5 6	73,458 11 6	" " "	72 10 "	71,393 14 6	2064 13 "	1722 2 8	342 10 4
	Bagar Veesabady	76,805 " "	76,591 9 5	" " "	213 7 "	79,069 13 8	3,521 11 9	2,946 7 5	575 4 4
	Total	3,10,043 4 7	3,16,821 8 5	" " "	2861 "	4,44,463 12 2	5,586 8 9	4,668 10 1	917 14 8
				9,548 " 10	2,769 13 "	3,06,982 7 9	9,839 " 8	8,897 4 5	941 12 3

Net Increase Rupees 6,778 3 10

3 From the foregoing Statement it will be apparent that there is an increase under the heads "Ab Karry" and "Sundry small farms and licenses," and a decrease in the items of "Stamps" and "Mohatursa" and the total demand exceeds that of the preceding fusly by Rupees 6778-3-10.

Ab Karry.

4 The demand under this head in the Fusly under review Amounted to Rupees 126110- being Rupees 7494- above that of the preceding Fusly- This increase is attributable to the higher offers made by the renters in Goorrumcondah and 9 other talooks, above those of the preceding year.

Sundry small farms and Licenses.

5. The particulars of the Settlement of the several items under this head, will be seen in the accompanying Statement No. 2. There has been an increase of Rupees 1887 under the head Orchard and Topes, Rupees 318- in that of red-wood, and Rupees 84 in the item "honey rent", and a decrease of Rupees 161 under the head Palmira and Rupees 74- in diamond mines.

6- The increase in the Orchard and topes, is owing to the Mango and other fruits having been more productive than usual this year.-

7. Notwithstanding the honey rent in the Nullamala hills was relinquished and privilege of collecting the produce of the Jungle given to the hill tribes called "chenchowars," on condition that they guarded the Nundecanamah ghaut and protected the adjoining Villages from robbery, still there has been an increase under this head in Chitwail, Sidhout, and certain other talooks.-

8. The increase in redwood is attributed to there having been a larger demand for this timber, in the present than in the former year.-

9. The decrease of Rupees 161-11- in the sale of Palmira is owing to a fewer number of trees having been purchased in the year under review, in the Chitwail and Poolevendalah talooks, and that under Diamond mines to the total absence of any offer to work them.-

Mohaturpha. 10. Statement No. 3 will exhibit the particulars of settlement of Veesabady and

Fort St. George 16th November 1854

and Bagur Veesabady taxes- from which it will
appear that there is a decrease of Rupees 72-10
under the former head, and Rupees 213-7-- in the
latter, in all Rupees 286-1-- in this Fusly, when
compared with the collections of Fusly 1262 1852/53. This
is attributable partly to deaths, and partly to
the emigartion and poverty, consequent on the
unfavorableness of the season, which induced
people to give up their profession.

Stamp. 11. A decrease of Rupees 2,483-12-
will be apparent in the sale of Stamps, in the
past compared with the preceding Fusly, which
is ascribed to the abolition of the Suder Ameen
Courts, and to the Suits over which these Officers
had cognisance having been ordered to be
filed before the District Moonsiffs, in whose
Courts all other pleadings &c. but the plaint
are made out on plain paper.-

12 Of the outstanding balances
appertaining to Fusly 1262 1852/53. amounting to Rupees
246-4-11, as shewn in Statement No. 5, Rupees
126-11-4 is considered recoverable, while
Rupees 119-9-7 being due by persons who
have died, emigrated, and reduced in
circumstances, are irrecoverable, and I request
the Board of Revenue will procure the
sanction of Government for writing off
the same in the accounts.-

13 In my report for Fusly 1262 1852/53

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

I informed the Board that there were 246
Sugar Manufactories in this District,
but from the accounts received this year it
appears that 257 manufactories were
made use of.-

14. The extent of Cultivation
of Cane was larger than in the previous
year as particularized below- altho' there
has been a deficiency of Rain in Fusly 1263 1853/54 yet the
supplies which the several tanks received by
the rain which fell in March Fusly 1262 1852/53
may be considered as the cause of an increase
in the extent of cultivation of this
product.-

Fusly 1262 1852/53		Fusly 1263 1853/54		Increase		Decrease	
Extent in Acres	Assessment Rupees	Extent in acres	Assessment Rupees	Extent in acres	Assessment Rupees	Extent in acres	Assessment Rupees
15817	56,102	6262	61,058	451	4956	"	"

15. Certificates were given under Act
XV of 1839 for the export of 79,000 Maunds
of Sugar each of 25 ℔s and I understand
that, from new Regulations in the English
tariff, such certificates are not required.
which may be the cause of certificates not
being demanded, and the quantity of Sugar
exported thereby unknown.-

Cuddapah,
Collector's office,
8th November,

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.

15574 | . | Fort St. Georges 16th November 1831

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1261-62 & 1263.*

	For the last three Fuslies,									Comparison of the last two Fuslies,						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1261			Fusly 1262			Fusly 1263			Increase			Decrease			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges { of Collection																
„ Commission																
„ Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges { of Collection																
„ Commission																
„ Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	129379	9	7	117116	9	4	126877	6	3	9760	12	11	-	-	-	Ordinary 11657-13-4. 12630-10-8
Charges	349	5	9	406	15	10	438	15	8	31	15	10	-	-	-	Extraordinary -
Net Revenue	129030	3	10	116709	9	6	126438	6	7	9728	13	1	-	-	-	Collections from the Sales of Abkary Mocheltkas 390-15-6. 471-1-6
Per Centage of the Charges	-	4	4	-	5	7	-	5	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	Interest Account 17-8-12-6. 104-10-1
																11716-9-4. 12677-6-3
																Paid to the Superintendent of the Male Asylum Chepauk Madras on account of Abkary Mocheltkas 106-15-10. 238-15-8
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	19257	2	9	16155	7	4	17202	9	1	1047	1	9	-	-	-	Ordinary 13798-11-2. 14847-11-4
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Extraordinary -
Net Revenue	19257	2	9	16155	7	4	17202	9	1	1047	1	9	-	-	-	Penalty levied on deeds prepared on unstamped Papers 1618-- 2086-8--
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Sale proceeds of Dry Wood 701-11-6. 265-9-4
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)																Sale proceeds of Story 7-- 8 2-12-8
																16155-7-4. 17202-9-1
Stamp Revenue.																
Gross Collections	27655	12	-	27500	-	-	24749	8	-	-	-	-	2750	8	-	Ordinary 27196-8-- 24749-8--
Charges	2306	13	11	1952	5	8	1764	-	5	-	-	-	188	5	3	Extraordinary -
Net Revenue	25348	14	1	25547	10	4	22985	7	7	-	-	-	2562	2	9	Stamp Duty recovered from Parties 303-8-- -
Per Centage of the Charges	8	5	6	7	1	7	7	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	27500-- 24749-8--
																Commission paid to Dargah & Venders 1803-5-8. 1147-- 5
																Stamp Duty refunded 549-- 617--
																1952-5-8. 1764-- 5
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	158132	7	8	150569	13	8	146839	8	5	-	-	-	3730	5	3	Ordinary 152179-9. 126614-5-4
Charges	162	10	-	162	10	-	325	4	-	162	10	-	-	-	-	Extraordinary -
Net Revenue	157969	13	8	150407	3	8	146514	4	5	-	-	-	3892	15	3	Collections not included in the Settlement 152-3-11. 166-3-1
Per Centage of the Charges	-	1	8	-	1	9	-	3	7	-	1	10	-	-	-	150569-13-8. 146839-8-5
																Paid to Sagheredars and Enamdars the amount of Mohturpha Collected in their Villages 162-10-- 325-4--
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	334425	-	-	311341	14	4	315668	15	9	10807	14	8	6480	13	3	
Charges	2818	13	8	2521	15	6	2528	4	1	194	9	10	188	5	3	
Net Revenue	331606	2	4	308819	14	10	313140	11	8	10775	14	10	6455	2	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	13	6	-	12	11	-	12	10	-	-	-	-	-	1	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges after deductions for Do.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

15375

From Asst. Gr

No. 2. Statement shewing the Revenue and a comparison between Fuslies 1262 and 1263.—

			Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Amount of Berij of Fusly 1263 collected within the Fusly.	Balance	Amount Collected upto the end of October of Fusly 1264	Balance	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10
1	Orchard		12,186 14 6	14,073 15			1,887 14 6	12,156 5 5	1,917 9 7	1,897 4	20 5 7
2	Honey Rent		983 12 "	1,067 11			83 13 "	1,031 8 8	36 2 4	32 10 4	3 8 "
3	Palmira Trees		304 " "	142 5			" " "	161 11 "	142 5 "	" " "	" " "
4	Red Wood		296 3 6	614 12			318 9 "	" " "	614 12 6	" " "	" " "
5	Diamond Mines		73 10 8	" "			" " "	73 10 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total		13,844 10 8	15,898 11			2,289 6 6	235 5 8	13,944 15 7	1,953 11 11	1,929 14 4	23 13 7
	Nett Increase										

Cuddapah, 11th July 1854.

E. E. Jr

16th November 1854

the head of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses with

2,054 " 10

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.

Fort St. George

16th November 1854

No. 3. Statement Exhibiting the details of the several items of Veesabady

Baggur Veesabady under the general head of Moturpha

1	Fasly 1262 Kistbundy Beriz 2	Settlement Beriz 3	Deduction on parties who have absconded or died &c. 4	Deduction on parties who have given up trade 5	Total 6	Remaining 7	Additions Newly set up 8	Additions on trades exercised for broken periods during the last year. 9	Extended trade 10	Total 11
Shops	73548 13 6	74361 8 5	1483 14 5	644 " 1	2127 14 6	72233 9 11	922 4 2	" " "	368 9 6	1290 13 8
Looms	35503 10 "	36662 1 "	2181 1 "	252 11 "	2433 12 "	34228 5 "	1634 4 "	" " "	304 8 "	1938 12 "
Cotton cleaners	5197 10 9	5201 5 "	249 4 "	53 5 "	302 9 "	4898 12 "	187 9 "	" " "	51 5 "	238 14 "
Sheep	19902 13 11	20331 5 3	1721 12 3	" " "	1721 12 3	18609 9 "	1702 5 9	" " "	" " "	1702 5 9
Smiths	2120 2 "	2300 11 "	180 6 "	24 " "	204 6 "	2096 5 "	101 9 "	" " "	17 9 "	119 2 "
Iron Smiths	765 10 "	765 10 "	126 8 "	" " "	226 8 "	639 2 "	81 8 "	" " "	" " "	81 8 "
Iron Bar makers	106 14 "	106 14 "	7 2 "	" " "	7 2 "	99 12 "	7 2 "	" " "	" " "	7 2 "
Tax on Houses	13208 3 9	13798 " "	1243 12 "	172 2 "	1416 14 "	12382 2 "	1078 13 "	" " "	282 8 "	1361 5 "
Total	76805 " 5	79165 14 3	5709 13 3	502 2 "	6211 15 3	72953 15 "	4798 2 9	" " "	655 14 "	5449 " 9
Grand Total	150353 13 11	153527 6 8	7193 11 8	1146 2 1	8339 13 9	145184 8 11	5715 6 11	" " "	1024 7 6	6739 14 5
Deduct Wages to Cuttoobady Peons	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Remaining Kistbundy Beriz	150336 5 11	153509 14 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Extra Revenue	152 3 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	150488 9 10	153509 14 8								

15577

Fort St. Geo. 6th November 1854.

in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263.

Fusly 1263. Total Settlement for Fusly 1253.	Deduct amount of usual remission.	Net amount of Kistbandy Beriz or Settlement.	Comparison between Columns 3 & 12. Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
12	13	14	15	16	
73524 7 7	65 12 1	73458 11 6	" " "	90 2	17.
13164 1 "	1050 15 6	35116 1 6	" " "	387 8	This amount has been remitted as usual as a remanera-
5137 10 "	1 10 "	5136 " "	" " "	61 12	tion to those who collected Tax from the shop keepers and to induce
20311 14 9	418 1 4	19893 13 5	" " "	9 "	parties to open retail shops in Jungles for the benefit of Coolies
2215 7 "	146 4 6	2069 2 6	" " "	50 15	working on the roads.—
720 10 "	" " "	720 10 "	" " "	15 "	Ditto to the Head Weavers for collecting Tax, to Barbers for serving
106 14 "	" " "	106 14 "	" " "	" "	in the Temples and to Pariahs for assisting Tappal runners.—
13743 7 "	194 7 "	13549 " "	340 12 3	" "	Remission granted for Cuttoobady Service.—
78402 15 9	1811 6 4	76591 9 5	340 12 3	554 3	Remission granted to Shepherds for carrying on Cultivation
151927 7 4	1877 2 5	150050 4 11	340 12 3	644 5	in Sidhout and Chitwail Talooks.—
		" " "	" " "	17 8	Remission granted to certain gold smiths when employed
		150050 4 11	340 12 3	626 13	as Village Shroffs.—
		165 3 1	" " "	286 4	Remission granted to Bearers for carrying Travellers by
		150215 8 "			dawk.—

Net Decrease.

/Signed/ M. Murray.
Collector.

Cuddapah 11th July 1854.

E. E. Jr

15578

Form No 4

No. 4. Statement shewing the Demand Collection

Fusly 1262

	Settlement of Fusly 1262	Collections to the 11th July 1853	Extra Collection
1	2	3	4
Abkarry	118,616 ..	116,576 13 4	539 12
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	13,844 10 8	12,952 14 11	2,356 12
Stamp	27,246 4 ..	26,808	303 8
Moturpha	150,336 5 11	147,901 13 3	152 3
Total	310,043 4 7	304,239 9 6	3,352 4

Cuddapah 11th July 1854.

th November 1854

nd Balasice under each head of Extra Revenue in the District of Luddapah for Fuslies 1262 an

						Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1262 with that of the Fusly 1263.		Comparison be tw Columns 5 and 10	
l.	Balance of Fusly 1262 on the 11th July 1853	Settlement of Fusly 1263	Collections on account of Fusly 1263 to 11th July 1854.	Extra Collections	Total collections on account of Fusly 1263	Balance of Current Revenue on the 11th July 1854.	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	42039 2 8	126110 " "	124262 8 "	575 11 7	124838 3 7	1847 8 -	7194 " "	" " "	7721	03 · " "
	1 891 11 9	15898 11 6	13944 15 7	2354 13 9	16299 13 4	1953 11 11	2054 "	10 " "	" 990	23 " "
	" 438 4 "	24762 8 ·	24311 4 "	" " "	24311 4 "	451 4 "	" " "	2488 12 "	" " "	2800 4 "
	22434 8 8	150050 4 11	144463 12 2	165 3 1	144628 15 3	5586 8 9	" " "	286 1 "	" " "	3425 1 11
	37 5803 11 1	316821 8 5	306982 7 9	3095 12 5	310078 4 2	9839 "	8 9548 "	10769 13 "	8711 12	6225 5 11

E. E. Fly

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.

5/9

5. Statement shewing the outstanding Balances for Fuslies 1261 and 1262 of Extra Revenue in the Zillah of Fort St. Geo

Items	Fusly 1261. Balance at the commencement of Fusly 1263	Balance at the commencement of Fusly 1263	Collections within the Fusly 1263	Remission	Balance at the end of Fusly 1263	Fusly 1262 Balance at the Commencement of Fusly 1263	Collections within the Fusly 1263	Remission
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Polkarry	2,039 2 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	2,039 2 8	2,039 2 8	" "
Dry Small Farms and Licenses	902 11 9	11 " "	11 " "	" "	" "	891 11 9	891 11 9	" "
Tunjah — Veesabady	1,144 4 9	183 15 9	24 2 8	156 13 1	" "	960 5 "	868 15 1	" "
Buggar Veesabady	1,639 2 6	164 14 10	6 11 1	158 3 9	" "	1,474 3 8	1,307 12 4	" "
. . .	2,783 7 3	348 14 7	33 13 9	315 " 10	" "	2434 8 8	2,176 11 5	" "
Total	6,163 9 8	359 14 7	44 13 9	315 " 10	" "	5,803 11 1	5,545 13 10	" "

5th " November 1854 :

& Cuddapah for Fusly 1263-—.

	Total Collections in Fusly 1263	Total amount of Remission	Total amount of Balance at the end of Fusly 1263.	Collections in Fusly 1264 or upto 30th September 1854.	Balance
Balance t the end f Fusly 1263					
10	11	11	13	14	15
" " "	2,039 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	902 11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
" " "	438 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
91 5 11	896 1 9	156 13 1	91 5 11	3 11 6	87 10 5
166 7 4	1,314 7 4	158 3 9	166 7 4	7 12 6	158 10 6
257 13 3	2,210 9 2	315 " 10	257 13 3	11 8 4	246 4 11
257 13 3	5,590 11 7	315 " 10	257 13 3	11 8 4	246 4 11

15580

For the Year 1854

Particulars in Column No. 15.

Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.	Particulars		Amount included twice in the accounts	Column No. 17. Amount not expected to be recovered the party having no property.	Amount Collected and erroneously carried to the credit of Land Revenue.	Amount of Moturpha Tax assessed in excess on parties. —
		Amount not expected to be recovered either from the property of the deceased individuals or from their heirs	Amount not expected to be recovered the party having absconded.				
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
" " "

15581 Fort St. George 16th November 185

No. 6. List of Statements forwarded to the Board of Revenue with the Collectors' Report on the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263.—

1 3 Printed Statements shewing the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue of all branches of Revenue / Land Revenue excepted for Fuslies 1261- 1262. and 1263.

2 Statement shewing the Revenue under the head of Sundry small Farms and Licenses with a Comparison between fuslies 1262. and 1263.—

3 Statement exhibiting the detail of the several items of Veesabady under the General Head of Moturpha in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263.—

4 Statement shewing the Demand Collections and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the District of Cuddapah for Fuslies 1262 and 1263.—

5 Statement shewing the outstanding Balance from Fusly 1261. to 1262 of Extra Revenue in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263.—

6. List of Statements forwarded to the Board of Revenue with the Collectors report on the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1263.—

Cuddapah, 11th July 1854. } E. E. Jr.

(Signed) M. Murray
Collector

19-20

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

10.7.54

15582 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 99

To, B Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary in
charge of the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

21

Bo. No. 4346.

From the Collector of Cuddapah. } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 6th July last, annexing copy of a letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated the 12th May 1854. wherein it is stated it would be desirable if the returns could also be furnished of the extent of Poppy cultivation and the sale of opium and other intoxicating drugs, and the Collector is further required to state in what mode any revenue from these sources could be most conveniently realized I beg in reply to state that cultivation of

Reporting upon the extent of Poppy cultivation and the sale of Opium and other intoxicating drugs as required in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 6th July 1854.—

of Poppy is not carried on to any extent in Cuddapah- Sometimes on the frontiers of Mysore a small quantity is grown in a few villages but no accounts are kept of the quantity of land occupied in this species of produce and the extent of the sale of opium throughout the district or the quantity imported cannot now be ascertained since the abolition of the Sayer but no doubt a considerable quantity is imported and consumed- Upon referring to the Sayer accounts of 5 years commencing from Fusly 1248 to 1252 the average quantity per annum amounted to 83 Candies of 20 Maunds each, and each Maund 25 ℔s, 40 Rupees weight being allowed to a ℔ was imported from Hyderabad and Mysore and again the greater part exported to Juggiapett in the Masulipatam District and Wallajapett in North Arcot.-

2.

The deleterious drug of Ganjah is not extensively grown, a few Stalks only in private gardens, but a considerable quantity, I am given to understand is imported from Bunganpully and Hyderabad

Hyderabad in both of which Countries it is cultivated as any other produce would be and I think it would be very advisable to prohibit the sale of both Opium and Ganjah by any individual who has not a special license from the Collector for the sale of these deleterious drugs, and I am given to understand that in Bombay a license is granted for the sale of the abovementioned on the parties applying for such and paying a fixed sum for the privileges This tax would be a very fair one, as they cannot be considered as necessaries of life but rather a luxury and a very injurious one to the health and morality of the consumers.-

Cuddapah
Collector's Office
10th November 1854.

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.-

Order thereon.

21-22

Ordered to lie over

23

No. 100.

B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge
of the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

B° N° 4347.
From the Collector of Cuddapah—
Stating that the

I have the honor to acknowledge the

the levying of tax upon various scattered fruit trees is not applicable to this district.-

the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 30th January 1854, annexing an extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th idem, and in reply beg to state that the levying of tax upon various species of fruit trees therein specified is not applicable to this district at the time of the year when the blossom appears upon the trees, it is usual to rent the whole of the fruit trees situated in unoccupied lands belonging to separate Villages which are not possessed by individual owners to the highest bidder and the amount carried to the credit of Government under the head "Orchard and Topes" which appears in the report of the Extras: Sources of Revenue- No separate tax is levied upon single trees but upon comparing the annual rent collected with the No. of trees the average tax upon each will amount to less than one anna- Those which have been planted and protected by individuals in their backyards or in front

of their houses for the purpose of shade are not charged with any tax- This probably has arisen from a wish to encourage the growth of trees and be a protection from the excessive heat of the sun and land winds which blow for several months throughout the district of Cuddapah, and I consider it would be by no means advisable to place any tax upon them-

Plantain Cocoanut, Jack fruit Orange, bitter, and sweet, and Lime trees are planted in Wet and Garden Lands their respective assessment with the usual remissions is charged until the trees come into bearing, after which the remission is withheld and the assessment as settled at the Pymaysh is levied- In cases where fruit trees such as Tamarind Mango, Wood apple and Elooppe Oil trees are planted on Dry land, the usual assessment fixed on the land is as charged but upon single or scattered trees in a ryotis Puttah land no

assessment

is levied as it is supposed that the produce of the trees will remunerate the ryot for the loss sustained by the crop on account of the shade and the space occupied by the trees.—

Cuddapah . . . .
Collector's Office, } /Signed/ A. Murray
10th November 1854 } Collector

Order thereon—

23-24

Ordered to be over—

No. 53.

To,
B. Cunliffe Esqre.
Sub-Secretary
in charge to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25

B. of R. No. 4153

From the Officiating
Agent to the Governor
at Kurnool

Sir,

Adverting to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 15th November 1852. I have the honor to submit the Statement No. 1. shewing the prices of the chief kinds of grain in this Province in Fusly 1249 the year in which the country was assumed, and the prices in the subsequent years from 1250 to 1263 inclusive.

In consequence of different

different kinds of grain being to a great extent sown in the same fields the records in this Office do not show the extent of land sown with each description of grain but a rough estimate of the extent of land cultivated with the principal kinds of grain is herewith forwarded.—

Kurnool, ...
Government Agents' } /Signed/ L. D. Daniell
Office 27th October } Officiating Agent
1854. } to the Governor.

Statement

15589

Fort St. George,

No. 1 Statement shewing the prices of the Chief kinds of grain in the Kurnool District in

Names of Articles.	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Fusly 1256	Fusly 1257
	Rs.								
Paddy. 1st Sort per Garce	121	114 " "	105 " "	90 " "	" " "	106 7 5	137 " 5	114 11 6	104 9
Paddy. 2d " Do "	103	73 " "	68 " "	72 " "	" " "	85 10 10	115 7 "	97 12 1	92 11
Paddy. 3d " Do "	85	53 " "	53 " "	52 8 "	" " "	70 10 9	96 11 6	83 14 8	80 7
White Jawary	95	60 " "	52 " "	48 " "	59 9 10	61 14 9	115 5 "	100 12 10	91 7
Yellow Do	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	61 2 8	108 8 4	94 12 4	78 11
Arecaloo	56	41 " "	35 " "	34 " "	39 9 5	37 10 8	81 14 10	55 13 7	48 3
Korra	82	56 " "	48 " "	44 " "	50 13 9	48 " 1	90 3 6	76 10 8	67 6
Wheat	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	154 1 8	142 10 8	216 11 8	246 3 3	202 13
Bengal gram	207	155 " "	139 " "	126 " "	" " "	156 8 4	168 13 1	210 " 6	236 11 5	205 4
Horsegram	117	85 " "	71 " "	68 " "	" " "	77 10 9	79 6 10	139 11 3	120 7 8	95 13
Green gram	153	118 " "	82 " "	73 " "	" " "	98 9 6	110 " 11	198 1 9	159 11 4	112 5
Dholl	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	81 13 3	87 11 4	150 4 10	138 7 "	97 11
Wooddoloo	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	108 11 8	130 1 3	177 10 7	177 15 2	167 4
Castor Oil Seeds	132	103 " "	80 " "	76 " "	" " "	90 12 6	90 11 7	163 1 6	140 4 7	96 12
Gingelly Do	167	145 " "	125 " "	127 " "	" " "	174 9 8	169 12 "	209 14 7	165 5 6	142 12
Cumbioloo	109	73 " "	61 " "	59 " "	" " "	60 17	65 10 4	116 10 10	108 13 6	92 14
Cotton per Candy	30	27 8 "	29 6 "	32 4 8	35 8 "	36 2 4	37 11 4	35 " "	35 15

Kurnool, Government Agents Office, 27th October 185

November 1854.

ly 1249. and from 1250 to 1263. inclusive.

ly 58.	Susly 1259	Susly 1260	Susly 1261	Susly 1262	Susly 1263.
			85 1 9	83 11 9	125 1 4
5 6	96 9 4	91 11	72 13 5	72 11 8	117 9
10	81 12 9	79 6 11	65 " 8	60 5 3	108 4
8	68 10 10	68 4 11	83 6 8	86 15 6	176 9 11
12	93 12 1	88 12 9	74 4 4	79 15 2	172 13 2
14 10	81 12 10	76 10 11	45 4 10	46 12 4	101 5 3
14	45 13 8.	46 8 2	64 1 2	65 3	132 14 "
14 10	67 12 1	68 3 2	157 3 4	133 6 5	237 6
9 "	201 1 1	199 15 5	165 2 "	170 4 4	261 12 3
3 3	175 9 10	185 14	81 1 4	88 9 9	190 5
8 1	84 5 5	87 3 .	91 9 1	121 3 9	231 12
10 4	97 2 "	96 13 3	82 12 5.	94 3 5	213 11 4
6 "	75 9 6	80 15 8	128 " 2	132 3 10	244 9 3
10	163 "	150 11 6	125 3 9	104 1 9	199 8 1
10	113 4 9	130 12 1	203 15 1	177 1 1	297 5
13	191 13 1	214 13 9	88 2 3	91 2 9	181 8 6
1	101 12 "	92 6 8	46 1 2	42 12	52 . 3
3 "	41 2 9	51 2 "			

/ Signed / L. P. D. Daniell
Officiating Agent to the Governor.

Statement.

15591

Fort St George 16th November 1854

4

cts now divided in this return the Revenue Establishments costs Rupees 8,531-4- and the Servants more or less exclusively appertaining to the Police are set down at Rupees 2,159-4-- per mensem.-

5

This is exclusive of the Village Establishments of Totties, Talaries, &c who are paid in Lands and fees only with some very few exceptions, but the preparation of a Statement, shewing their supposed emoluments would occupy much time, to prevent this delay the present returns are sent.-

6

There are no persons on the Establishment belonging to the Judicial Department of a-

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry.
Sydapet 13th November 1854.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector

Abstract

15591

Fort St George 16th November 1854

Abstract shewing the Number and Cost of the Revenue Force in the Zillah of Chingleput.

Ranks	Revenue Roads Establishment	Amount.
1 Head Sheristadar		280 - -
1 Nail Sheristadar		175 - -
1 Head Goomastah		50 - -
1 2nd Goomastah		35 - -
2 ——— Do ———	@ 24½ Rupees each	49 - -
7 ——— Do ———	@ 21 Rupees each	147 - -
1 Head Sumpraty		35 - -
1 Sumpraty		21 - -
3 ——— Do ———	@ 17½ Rupees each	52 8 -
18		844 8 -

15591

Fort St George 16th November 1854

	Rank	At what rate	Amount
	English Department		
1	Head Accountant		100 - -
1	Translator		85 - -
1	Deputy Accountant		70 - -
1	English Record keeper		50 - -
2	Assistant Accountants	@ 35 Rupees each	70 - -
2	Writers	@ 35 Rupees each	70 - -
1	Writer		31 8 -
2	Do	@ 21 Rupees each	42 - -
1	Moochey		14 - -
1	Do		10 8 -
13			543 - -
	Moonshey Department		
1	Head Moonshee		80 - -
1	Moonshee		35 - -
1	Assistant Moonshee		28 - -
2	assistants Do	@ 21 Rupees each	42 - -
1	Do		17 8 -
1	Do		14 - -
7			216 8 -
	Cash Department		
1	Cash keeper		70 - -
1	Head Goomastah		35 - -
1	Goomastah		24 8 -
1	Do		17 8 -
1	Head Shroff		35 - -
1	Deputy Do		24 8 -
2	Gollahs	@ 10½ Rupees each	21 - -
8			227 8 -

15591

Fort St George 16th November 1854

Rank	At what rate	Amount
Other Department		
1 Native Record keeper		35 - -
1 — Do —		28 - -
3 Duftenbands	@ 7 Rupees each	21 - -
2 Ruckawans	@ 7 Rs each	14 - -
1 — Do —		5 4 -
1 Jamadar		14 - -
2 Duffadars	@ 10½ Rs each	21 - -
20 Deloyets	@ 7 Rs each	140 - -
20 Peons	@ 5¼ Rs each	105 - -
1 Water Pandal Bramin		7 - -
1 Masalgey		7 - -
2 — Do —	@ 5¼ Rs each	10 8 -
1 Sweeper		3 8 -
56		411 4 -
Salt Department		
1 Head Goomastah		35 - -
1 2nd — Do —		28 - -
1 3rd — Do —		21 - -
1 Head Sumpretty		17 8 -
1 2nd — Do —		14 - -
5		115 8 -
Abkarry Department		
1 Head Goomastah		28 - -
1 2nd — Do —		17 8 -
1 Sumpretty		14 - -
3		59 8 -
Maramut Department		
1 Head Goomastah		35 - -
1 Superintendent		50 - -
1 Maistry		25 - -
2 — Do —	@ 21 Rs each	42 - -
2 — Do —	@ 17½ Rs each	35 - -
7		187 - -
117	Total Hoozoor Revenue	2604 12 -

15591

Fort St George 16th November 1854

Rank	At what rate	Amount
Revenue District		
2 Tahsildars	@ 100 Rs each	200 - -
4 Do	@ 85 Rs each	340 - -
4 Do	@ 70 Rs each	280 - -
10 Shoristadars	@ 20 Rs each	280 - -
1 Seishear		25 - -
1 Head Goomastah		17 8 -
9 Do	@ 14 Rs each	126 - -
1 Goomastah		14 - -
19 Do	@ 10½ Rs each	199 8 -
10 Sumpretties	@ 10½ Rs each	105 - -
1 Sumpretty or Shroff		14 - -
10 Shroffs	@ 14 Rs each	140 - -
10 Jawabnevisses	@ — Do	140 - -
1 2nd Do		10 8 -
1 Royseni		10 8 -
9 Roysums	@ 8¾ Rs each	78 12 -
10 Ructavans	@ 4 Rs each	40 - -
1 Turfdar		
90 Zilladars	@ 8 Rs each	720 - -
10 Duffadars	@ 7 Rs each	70 - -
1 Do		6 - -
518 Peons	@ 3½ Rs each	1813 - -
60 Treasury Guard Peons	@ 5 Rs each	300 - -
3 Peons	@ 4 Rs each	12 - -
10 Masalgies	@ 3½ Rs each	35 - -
10 Sweepers	@ 2 Rs each	20 - -
806		5005 12 -
Salt Department		
1 Seishear		35 - -
5 Do	@ 28 Rs each	140 - -
1 Do		25 - -
9 Nevesindahs	@ 10 Rs each	90 - -
1 Goomastah		7 - -
1 Mooharuff		9 8 -
6 Shroffs	@ 10 Rs each	60 - -
1 Do		5 - -
6 Duffadars	@ 7 Rs each	42 - -
82 Peons	@ 4 Rs each	328 - -
1 Peon		5 - -
6 Do	@ 3½ Rs each	21 - -
3 Talayars	@ 3½ Rs each	10 8 -
5 Measurers	@ 5 Rs each	25 - -
1 Do		4 - -
4 Do	@ 3½ Rs each	14 - -
133		821 - -
Maramat Department		
10 Mooharuffs	@ 10 Rs each	100 - -
949	Total District Revenues	5926 12 -
1066	Grand Total District and Hoozoor	8531 8 -

Chingleput District Collector's Cutchery - } E E Jr (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Sydapet 13th November 1854 - - - - - } Collector

Abstract

15591

Fort St George 16th November 1854

Abstract shewing the Number and Cost of the Police force in the Zillah of Chingleput.

	Rank	At what rate	Amount
	Police Horzoor		
1	Writer		35 - -
1	Moonshey		35 - -
2	Assistant Moonshies	@ 14 Rs each	28 - -
1	Duffadar		10 8 -
20	Delayets	@ 7 Rs each	140 - -
20	Peons	@ 5¼ Rs each	105 - -
45			353 8 -
	Police District		
3	Police Ameens	@ 25 Rs each	75 - -
3	Jarobnevisses	@ 10½ Rs each	31 8 -
10	Royasums	@ 8¾ Rs each	87 8 -
10	Duffadars	@ 7 Rs each	70 - -
3	Do	@ 6 Rs each	18 - -
244	Peons	@ 5 Rs each	1220 - -
1	Cutwall		10 8 -
17	Taliaries	@ 2½ Rs each	42 8 -
			1555 - -
291			
1	Police Ameen at Pulicat		55 - -
1	Head Goomastah		12 - -
1	Goomastah		6 - -
1	Duffadar		6 12 -
10	Peons	@ 3½ Rs each	35 - -
7	Taliaries	@ 3½ Rs each	24 8 -
			139 4 -
21			
1	Police Ameen at Vellore		28 - -
1	Nevesindah		10 - -
1	Duffadar		7 - -
7	Peons	@ 4 Rs each	28 - -
			73 - -
10			
			1767 4 -
322			
367	Total Police District and Horzoor		2120 12 -

† Not Village Servants, but a class of Peons.

15592 Fort St George 16th November 1854.

Rank of what Establishment amount

Joint Magistrates' Department

1 Writer ——— 18 - -

1 Moonshee ——— 10 8 -

1 Do ——— 10 - -

3 ——— 38 8 -

370 Grand Total Police Exclusive of the Joint Magistrate. 2,159 4 -

To

Chingleput District . . . }
Coimbatore Ditto . . . . } 66 Do (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Salem Ditto . . . . . } Collector

Ordered to lie over

Order thereon
27-28
E.B.G.

15593 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No 176

To B Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

Sir

No 3873
From the Collector of South Arcot . . .

I have the honor to submit

Reporting on the Exctra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1263 A.D. 1853/54

to the Board a report upon the Exctra Sources of Revenue in this District for the past Fusly 1263 A.D. 1853/54 with the usual explanatory Statements.

The following table exhibits the settlement under each head, in comparison with that of the previous Fusly 1262,

2

by which the Board will observe that a Nett falling off to the amount of Rs 21318 6 - occurred in the latter period.

Comparative

15594

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Comparative Table of Extra Revenue for Fuslies 1262 and 1263.

Items	Settlement 1262.	Settlement 1263.	Increase	Decrease
Salt	198,895 11 8	175,523 11 6	" " "	23371 13 2
Frontier Customs	7174 13 6	6,770 13 2	" " "	397 4 4
Sea Customs	20,420 11 5	22,213 4 11	1792 6 6	" " "
Abkarry	85,000 " "	95,100 " "	10,100 " "	" " "
Sundry Small Farms	12238 8 11	9,550 4 5	" " "	2688 4 6
Moturpha	42,967 13 3	43,078 15 3	111 2 "	" " "
Stamps	25,262 8 6	21,264 6 "	" " "	3998 2 6
Extra Items	2735 2 4	3144 3 8 4	710 6 "	" " "
Grand Total	4,59,265 8 7	4,37,947 2 7	12,713 11 6	31,032 4 6
				12.713 4 6
			Net Decrease	21,318 6 "

15595

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Sir

I have had the honor to receive your letter No 3 dated 20th ultimo, enclosing a Statement of the Annual Sale of Salt for Fasle 1263 and comparing the same with the Sale for Fasle 1262, and calling for explanation of the decline which appears to have taken place in the Sale of Salt during the former year.

2. In reply I have the honor to state that the decline in the Sale of Salt which has taken place during the year in question is entirely attributable to the great distress which prevailed among the lower classes of the people throughout the Districts of the Carnatic during the whole of the year in consequence of the failure of the crops, which caused the Salt Revenue to fall short of the Estimate by Rupees 1,71,000.

3. The Salt Revenue has been further affected by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

4. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

5. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

6. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

7. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

8. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

9. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

10. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

11. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

12. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

13. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

14. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

15. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

16. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

17. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

18. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

19. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

20. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

21. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

22. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

23. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

24. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

25. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

26. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

27. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

28. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

29. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

30. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

31. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

32. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

33. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

34. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

35. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

36. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

37. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

38. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

39. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

40. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

41. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

42. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

43. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

44. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

45. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

46. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

47. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

48. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

49. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

50. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

51. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

52. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

53. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

54. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

55. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

56. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

57. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

58. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

59. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

60. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

61. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

62. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

63. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

64. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

65. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

66. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

67. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

68. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

69. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

70. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

71. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

72. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

73. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

74. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

75. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

76. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

77. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

78. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

79. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

80. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

81. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

82. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

83. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

84. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

85. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

86. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

87. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

88. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

89. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

90. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

91. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

92. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

93. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

94. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

95. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

96. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

97. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

98. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

99. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

100. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

101. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

102. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

103. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

104. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

105. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

106. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

107. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

108. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

109. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

110. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

111. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

112. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

113. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

114. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

115. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

116. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

117. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

118. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

119. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

120. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

121. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

122. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

123. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

124. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

125. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

126. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

127. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

128. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

129. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

130. The Salt Revenue has also been affected by the Sale of Salt at reduced rates in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Coimbatore and Salem, which took effect from the 1st July last, and by the reduction of the Duties on Salt in the Districts of Malabar, Canara and South Canara, which took effect from the 1st August last.

131. The Salt Reven

4

The actual decrease in the revenue from the Home sales as compared with that of the preceding Fusly comes to ⊗ Rs 21,736-12-8 while the sales for Inland consumption show only a nett falling off of Rupees 1910-1-9 The quantity of Salt purchased for import into the Salem District was considerably greater than it was the year before but the Increase which amounted to Rupees 22,907, was counterbalanced by the smaller quantity purchased for the Mysore market for which, from some cause or other there was a very slack demand.

Statement shewing the amount of sales for Inland consumption compared with 1262.

	1262-	1263	Increase	Decrease
Salem	4,50,314	6,79,411	22,907	-
Coimbatore	9,664	12,280	2,616	"
Trichinopoly	22,831	15,130	-	7,101
Mysore	55,350	36,040	-	19,310
Chittore ... Chenglepat Cuddapah Tanjore ...	1032	308	-	724
	133,912	132002		1910

⊗ Home consumption Fusly
1262—58,861-9-2
1263—37,124-12-6
Decrease 21,736-12-8

5

At the choonnumpat Salt Pans in Chinglepat which are situated close to the Northern boundary line of this District, and therefore rather conveniently for the transport of Salt into Mysore thro' North Arcot and Salem the

the sales were according to the returns furnished by the Acting Collector very much larger last year than previously, the increase being to 47,871 Rupees- It seems to me probable that a good deal of Salt must have found its way from these Pans to the Mysore country, but this is a conjecture.

6

It may I think be reasonably expected that a gradual but steady increase will take place in the sales of Salt in this District both for Home and Inland consumption when the means of communication with the interior become improved by the opening out of the various roads which have been already sanctioned or proposed. At the present moment it cannot be said that this District possesses a single made road completed throughout.

7

I would here remark that at the close of the Fusly there were Garces 6167 Mds 70 of Salt in store, since which time Garces 1389 Mds 38 more have been manufactured and brought to account, up to the middle of last month. The whole quantity therefore for use amounted to Gs 7556 Ms 108, which is a supply sufficient for upwards of two years,

× 1263

according

Bengal Maunds
129000.-

Frontier Duties
1262 — 71,744 — 13 — 6
1263 — 67,770 — 13 — 2
Decrease 3974 — — 4

C Exports
Decrease in
Indigo — 6300 — 12 — 5
Oil Seeds 1651 — 10 — 10
Betel Nut 241 — 4 — 7
Indigo leaves 284 — 8 — 8
Oil — 125 — — 8
Grain — 130 — 1 — —
Sundries — 266 — 10 — 10
Exports
Decrease on
cotton piece Goods 3078 — 4 — 10
Tobacco — 239 — 3 — 11
Nut oil — 117 — 13 — 4
Country Indigo 129 — 12 — 4
Sundries — 265 — 13 — 3
A
Total Decrease 5198 — 1 — 6

Imports
1262 — 17508 — 15 — 9
1263 — 8733 — — 11
B
Increase 1224 — 1 — 2

Sea Customs
Fusly No 3
1262 — 20420 — 14 — 5
1263 — 22213 — 4 — 11
Increase 1792 — 6 — 6

Exports
Fusly
1262 — 16196 — 10 — 5
1263 — 17290 — 11 — 10
Increase 1094 — 1 — 5

according to the ordinary sales. this quantity is inclusive of that which has been set aside as available for export to Calcutta by private traders.—

8 The next decrease is that of 3974 Rs 4 in the Frontier Customs between this District and the French territory, resulting from a decrease of A Rs 5198 — 1 — 6 on Exports and an increase of B Rs 1224 — 1 — 2 on the Imports. Owing to the failure of the crops a corresponding falling off in the export of Indigo and Indigo leaves, oil and oil seeds, and grain took place while there was an advance upon the quantities of cotton piece goods, tobacco, ground nut &c &c as shewn in the Margin C

The increase in the duty on Imports does not call for any observation. It occurred chiefly on spirits and wine.—

10 The total collections at the Sea Ports amounted to Rs 22213 — 4 — 11 being 1792 — 6 — 6 more than they were in Fusly 1262

11 The returns show an increase above the previous year of Rs 5587 — 3 — 6 from Goods shipped direct to Europe at Cuddalore and a falling off to the Extent of Rs 1493 — 2 — 0 on Exports to Ceylon and the Eastern coasts leaving a Net increase of Rs 1094 — 1 — 5 considering the unfavorableness

of the year for agricultural produce the result of the export trade seems very satisfactory.—

Imports
Fusly
1262 — 1224 — 1 — —
1263 — 1922 — 9 — 1
Increase 698 — 5 — 1

12 The duty on Imports came to Rs a p Rupees 1922 — 9 — 1 being 698 5 1 more than the collections of the year before — This variation does not seem to require any particular notice.—

Exports of Rum & Sugar Nos 2 and 3

13 It will be observed by the accompanying statement that the quantity of sugar Exported was 1316 candies less and that the Rum was 1368 Gallons more during the year of report, than in the preceding one.—

Abkarry
Fusly
1262 — 85000 — — —
1263 — 95100 — — —
Increase 10100 — — —

14 The Abkarry Farm was rented to a new party for Rupees 95100 being Rs 10100 more than the sum it sold for the year before It was run up to this price thro the competition which was created by the new bidders, who came from North Arcot, apparently determined to obtain the contract at any cost.—

Small Farms
Fusly
1262 — 12,238 — 8 — 11
1263 — 9550 — 4 — 5
Decrease 2688 — 4 — 6

15 The greater portion of the decrease under this head was occasioned by its having been found necessary to make remissions to the amount of 1818 — 11 in the rents for the tank Fisheries which were much affected by the failure of

Fort St. George 18th November 1854

of rain— The rents of these Fisheries are nominally
fixed by the entries in the Survey accounts but
it seems only fair that they should be lowered
temporarily to meet any peculiar circumstances
of the season, such as were experienced last year.

Ferries 16 The Board are aware that Mr. Maltby
found it desirable to place the Ferries over the
Coleroon and Pomnear under circar management.
Rs a p
Coleroon 1579 5 2 Altho' the collections, owing to the season, were
Pomnear 80 . 5
not so good as might have been expected, yet the object
which Mr. Maltby had in view was I think,
attained, as the former system of annoyance and
extortion to which passengers were subjected at
these Ferries by the contractors, has ceased by
their being placed under amany.—

Moturpha 17 The Moturpha Settlement
1262 42,967 13 3
1263 43,078 15 3 Rs
Increase 111 2 . was 111 2 more than it was in Fusly 1262
made up by small additions upon various
items, but chiefly on shops. No remarks seem
called for regarding this branch of revenue

There

Fort St. George 18th November 1854

Stamps .18 There was a considerable falling
1262 25,262 8 6
1263 21,264 6 .
Decrease 3998 2 6 off in the amount of revenue realized by the
sale of Stamp papers in comparison with that
of Fusly 1262 in which year however it must be
observed there was a large increase above the
previous one amounting to 6,158 8 . the chief
decrease has occurred in the sale of the higher
Papers valued from 32 to 350 Rupees.—

* Sudr Adalut's Proceedings 10th April 1854. 19 The recent orders by which
Principal and Moofstee Sudr Ameens are
prohibited from receiving original suits for
property under the value of 1000 Rupees which
must now all be filed in the District
Moonsiffs Courts will cause a decrease of
Revenue by diminishing the Sale of Small
Stamps which will not be required to such
an extent as formerly, as pleadings and other
proceedings can be carried on in the latter by
means of unstamped paper which is not the case
in the higher Courts.—

Extra Items 20 There is a small amount of revenue,
1262 2735 2 4
1263 3,145 8 4 as noted in the margin, collected from certain
710 6 . Extra items, the particulars of which are exhibited
in No 1 of Statement A They are of a fluctuating
character

Fort St. George 18th November 1854.

character and do not require particular explanation.

As per List

21 The accompanying Statements show the gross collections, charges, and net Revenue, on account of the Extra Sources alluded to in the foregoing.

Charges

22. The total charges came to Rs. 90898 12-5 being Rs. 1922-8-5 more than they were in Fusly 1262. This great increase occurred almost entirely in the Salt Department as shewn in the Margin and was chiefly owing to the circumstance of a much larger quantity having been manufactured and stored than in the year before.

Increase.

Payments to Manufacturers	26222-4-0	
Covering Salt heaps &c.		
Sundries	1678-15-9	
Total	213-12-10	
	28115-7	
Decrease		
Payments to French Government	7000-0-0	
Shipping and landing charges &c.	1792-10-7	
Total	8792-10-7	
Net Decrease	19322-6-0	

The Decrease of 7000 Rupees in the payment to the French Government, as compared with Fusly 1262 is nominal, in as much as the increase of the former period was merely one of account. The sum forming it should by rights have been paid in 1261. The charge for compensation to the French Manufacturers is in reality a fixed one of 14000 Rupees a year.

23 The wastage of Salt during the

W.P.H

Fort St. George 10th November 1854.

the year under Report is computed to be Gs. 139-114-5 1/2, which I have to request I may be allowed, with the sanction of Government, to write off in the accounts.

Collections. Statement A

24. The total demand from on the Extra Sources amounted to Rs. 4,37,947-2-0. being Rs. 21,318-6-0 below what it was for Fusly 1262 out of this sum Rs. 4,08,804-1-11 were realized within the Fusly and Rs. 27,357-6-8 subsequently, leaving on the 30th Ultimo a small outstanding balance of Rs. 1725-10-0.

25. The Board will observe that the sum of Rupees 157-7-11 is entered in Statement B as arrears of Fusly 1262, on account of Sundry Small Farms and Moturpha as 154-0-7 are irrecoverable, I beg to solicit sanction for striking them out of the accounts as remitted.

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Punrooty-Trevady, 5th October 1854.

/Signed/ A. Hall Collector.

Statement.

15864

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1262 A.D. 1852/53 and 1263 1853/54.

	Fusly 1262						Fusly 1263.					
	Settlement of Fusly 1262	Collections on account of Fusly 1262 to the 11th July 1853	Extra Collections	Total Collections on account of Fusly 1262	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1853.	Settlement of Fusly 1263.	Collections on account of Fusly 1263 to 11th July 1854	Extra Collections	Total Collections on account of Fusly 1263.	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1854
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
Salt	198895 11 8	197198 " 5	840 2 9	198038 3 2	1697 11 3	175523 14 6	108374 14 6	1550 5 9	109925 4 3	7149 " "
Sayer	71744 13 6	71034 12 2	523 1 7	71557 13 9	710 14 6	77013 2 6	76194 11 11	312 13 3	76506 11 2	1507 15 3
Sea Customs	20420 14 5	20420 14 5	37 13 9	20458 12 2	" " "	22213 4 11	22213 4 11	563 6 9	22776 11 8	" " "
Abkarry	85000 " "	66394 2 10	121 9 7	66515 12 5	18005 13 2	95100 " "	82394 14 "	1 13 8	82396 11 8	12705 2 "
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	12238 8 11	10470 10 1	15 15 2	10486 9 3	1767 14 10	9550 4 5	7622 1 "	6 6 11	7628 7 11	1928 3 6
Stamps	25262 8 6	25245 12 6	1045 " "	26290 12 6	1612 "	21264 6 "	21236 2 "	960 2 "	22196 4 "	284 " "
Moturpha	42967 13 3	40023 4 9	151 7 6	40174 12 3	2944 8 6	43078 15 3	35957 7 3	508 "	36007 15 3	7121 8 "
Total	450530 6 3	430787 9 2	2735 2 4	433522 11 6	25742 13 1	434501 10 3	405418 9 7	3445 8 4	408864 1 11	29083 " 8

E E Jr

10th " November 1854.

The District of the Southern Division of Arcot and Caddalore

Comparision of the Settlement of Fusly 1263 with that of Fusly 1262.		Comparison of Collections of Fusly 1263 with that of Fusly 1262		Remarks.
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
12	13	14	15	16.
C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	
" " "	23,371 1 32 " " "		28823 1 11	Of the Current arrears in Col^{n} 11 Amounting to Rupees 29083-" -8 Rupees 27357-6-8 have been Collected up to 30th " September 1854 leaving a small balance of Rupees 1725-10-" Viz^{t} " In Moturpha Rupees " 1583-14-8 and in Small Farms Rupees 141-11-4 which in course of realization.
" " "	3974 " 4 " " "		3414 14 3	
17926 6 "	" " "	17926 8 "	" "	
10100 " "	" " "	10,000 11 2	" " "	
" " "	20884 6 "	" "	2848 9 1	
" " "	39982 6 "	" "	4009 10 6	
111 2 "	" " "	" "	4065 13 6	
12,003 8 6	34,032 4 6	17793 1 8	43162 1 3	

/ Signed / A. Fall
Collector.

Statement.

15605

Fort St. George

No 1 in A Statement shewing the Particulars of Extra Items
1262 and 1263 in the

10th November 1854

included in Extra Branches of Revenue in Fuslies
Southern Division of Arcot.—

Items	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
Extra Revenue					
1 Sale proceeds of smuggled Sayer Articles	523 1 7	312 13 3	" " "	210 4 4	
2 Ditto of smuggled Sea Custom Articles	37 13 9	563 6 9	525 9 "	" " "	
3 Ditto of smuggled Liquors &c.	121 9 7	1 13 8	" " "	119 11 11	
4 Moturpha Revenue not included in the Jummabundy	157 7 6	50 8 "	" " "	100 15 6	
5 Penalties levied on unstamped Deeds	1,045 " "	960 2 "	" " "	84 14 "	
6 Revenue of Sundry small Farms not included in the Jummabundy	15 15 2	6 6 11	" " "	9 8 3	
Total	1,894 15 7	1,895 2 7	525 9 "	525 6 "	
Extraordinary—					
Recoveries of Advances for the Manufacture of Salt	808 4 4	1,523 13 5	715 9 1	" " "	
Refunds from the Charges for covering Salt heaps	31 14 5	26 8 4	" " "	5 6 1	
Total	840 2 9	1,550 5 9	715 9 1	5 6 1	
Grand Total Company's Rupees	2,735 2 4	3,445 8 4	1241 2 1	530 12 1	Net Increase 710 6 "

E. E. Jr

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector

Statement

15806

Fort St. George

B Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of Former Fuslee No 2

1	Deduct					Deduct			
	Balance on account of Fusly 1261	Collection on account of Fusly 1261	Remission	Total	Balance	Balance on account of Fusly 1262	Collection on Account of Fusly 1262	Remission	Total
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Salt						169711 3	169711 3		169711 3
Sayer						710 1 4	710 1 4		710 1 4
Sea Customs									
Abkarry						1806132	1806132		1806132
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses						170410	16356		16356
Stamps						1612 u	1612 u		1612 u
Motunpha	9710	282	6158	9710		294486	291511		291511
Total	9710	282	6158	9710		2574213 1	2558552		2558552

16th Nov

w Fusly 1263.

" (Fusl
A.D.

Bal Remarks

Amount

					₹	℔	Rs. a P
"	"	17			21	10	130.0 " "
"		ounting to Rupees 128-9-4 a sum			36	10	25259 14 9
		coverable on account of the tanks					
		gation was suspended by order of			39	"	21532 14 9
"		ing sum Viz.t Rs. 117-9-4 due by the			36	10	20292 " 3
"		k Fishery for Fusly 1262 also appears					
		t of this Fishery was usually rented			9	10	1970 14 13 9
		tion Fusly 1262 in consequence of great					
"		ding ran up to 175 Rupees - The rent			20	"	174 " "
		ed from the District without					
1284,		Rupees 57-6-8 were recovered by the					
		property - The lease of this farm			29	10	1988 14 13 9
		ished by order of Government dated					
"							
		unting to Rupees 28-14-7 - a sum of			36	"	1339 0 1 9
	0 — "	Rupees 3-7-4 has since been					
	22 — "	realized the remainder Viz.t 25-7-3,			21	10	588 36 12 8
	" 3	due by Ryots of extreme poverty may be					
	" 3 -	deemed irrecoverable -					
15		these circumstances, the Collector begs			"	"	10 " "
		sanction of Government may be					
		off in the accounts the sum of			12	"	56 " "
		recoverable -					
					29	10	1984 6 14 5
							71 13 2

igned / A. Hall
Collector
Statement

tenant

16th November 1854

Fusly 1263 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.—

Balance—	Total Balance—	Collection—	Total Deduction Remissions as per sanction of Government 9th January 1854—	Total	Balance—	Remarks
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
" " "	1697 11 3	1697 11 3	" " "	1697 11 3	" "	A Of this arrear Amounting to Rupees 1 of Rupees 11 is irrecoverable on Accou fishery rent— Its realization was sus the Sub Collector—
" " "	710 1 4	710 1 4	" " "	710 1 4	" "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	
" " "	18605 13 2	18605 13 2	" " "	18605 13 2	" "	
128 9 4	1767 14 10	1639 5 6	" " "	1639 5 6 A	128 9 4	
" " "	16 12 "	16 12 "	" " "	16 12 "	" "	
28 14 7	2954 " 4	2918 2 1	6 15 8	2925 1 9 B	28 14 7	
157 7 11	25762 4 11	25587 13 4	6 15 8	25594 13 "	157 7 11	

Total Deduction

Remissions as per sanction of Government 9th January 1854—

A Of this arrear Amounting to Rupees 1 of Rupees 11 is irrecoverable on Accou fishery rent— Its realization was sus the Sub Collector—

The remaining sum Viz^t Rs. 117-9-4 renter of the Chank Fishery for Fusly 126 irrecoverable— The right of this Fishery was out for 35 Rupees, but in Fusly 1262 in cons competition the bidding ran up to 175 Rup ter however absconded from the Distric leaving any property, Rupees 57-6-8 were re sale of the Security property— The lease of has since been abolished by order of Goverm 19th January 1853—

B Of this arrears Amounting to Rupees 28-14 Bowangherry— 2-10— Rupees 3-7-4 has Cuddalore— 3—3— realized the rema Chellumbrum 19—11—3 due by Pyots of pre 25—7—3— deemed irrecover Under these circumstances, the to solicit that the sanction of Governme obtained for writing off in the accounts o Rupees 154—4—7 as irrecovable—

(Signed) A. Hall
Collect

15607.

Fort St. George
1853.

No. 1 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold in Fusly 1263 / A. D. 1853/54 in Comparison with Fusly 1262 / A. D. 1852/53 in the

Numbers	Names of the Villages in which the Pans are situated	Fusly 1252 — Sall Garce	M	In	Mds	Amount S	J	C	Rs	a	P	Fusly 1263 — Sall Garce	M	In	Mds	Amount S	J	C	Rs	a	P	Garce	M
1	Murkanum	1388	145	3/4	166603	21	10	130	55	8	7	1433	96	1/4	171988	38	20	134	24	5	13	11	44
2	Teagavelly	210	72	7	25221	34	40	25	22	13	11	107	73	1	12861	37	40	12	86	1	5	2	"
3	Killay	179	193	2	21537	39	"	21	53	7	5	9	100	18	34 1/2	12055	2	00	12	05	5	1	"
4	Cuddalore	203	332	7/4	24459	34	70	20	28	1	3	5	145	142	2 3/4	17442	28	10	13	17	9	13	2
		1981	344	1	237823	9	40	197	09	3	8	1786	954	1/4	214328	26	30	172	34	2	11	3	44
	Salt received from Tanjore	14	395	"	1978	20	"	1	79	8	"	204	"	3181	8	"	3181	3	3	11	20	9		
	Total	1996	339	1	239621	29	40	198	95	11	8	1812	299	4/4	217529	34	50	175	52	3	14	6	56
	Particulars																						
	Inland Consumption	1115	373	"	133911	36	"	133	91	14	6	1100	6	"	132001	32	"	132	00	1	12	9	"
	Home Ditto	490	205	1	58861	21	40	58	86	1	9	2	309	149	1 3/4	37124	30	10	37	12	4	12	6
	Export by Sea	25	"	"	3000	"	"	1	05	0	"	"	28	1332	1/2	3400	"	"	1	19	0	"	"	3
	Ditto to the French Government	265	161	"	43848	12	"	5	07	24	"	375	11	"	45003	12	"	5	20	7	5	3	9
	Total	1996	339	1	239621	29	40	198	95	11	8	1812	299	4/4	217529	34	10	175	52	3	14	6	12

Numbers	Names of the Villages in which the Pans are situated	Fusly 1263 — Sall Amount S	J	C	Rs	a	P
1	Murkanum	134245	13	11		44	351
2	Teagavelly	12861	15	2	"	"	"
3	Killay	12055	1	"	"	"	"
4	Cuddalore	13179	13	2	"	"	"
		172342	11	3		44	351
	Salt received from Tanjore	3181	3	3		11	209
	Total	175523	14	6		56	160
	Particulars						
	Inland Consumption	132001	12	9		"	"
	Home Ditto	37124	12	6		"	"
	Export by Sea	3400	"	"		3	133
	Ditto to the French Government	52053	9			250	
	Total	175523	14	6		12	383

16th November 1854

Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.—

Increase				Decrease			
Salt	Amount			Salt	Amount		
In Mds.	S.	T.	C. Rs. a. P.	Garce M.	In Mds.	S. T. C. Rs. a. P.	
3½	5385	17	10419 0 5 4	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	102	3996	12359	37 " 12359 14 9
"	"	"	"	79	9	5½ 9482	36 20 9482 11 9
"	"	"	"	58	1904½	7017	6 60 7102 " 3
3½	5385	17	10419 0 5 4	240	200 "	28800	" " 28944 13 9
"	1382	28	" 1382 11 3	"	"	"	" " " "
3¼	6768	5	10 5573 " 7	240	200 "	28800	" " 28944 13 9
"	"	"	"	15	307	" 1910	4 " 1910 1 9
"	"	"	"	181	55 7¼	21736	31 30 21736 12 8
2½	400	"	" 140 "	"	"	"	" " " "
"	1155	"	" 135 1 3	"	"	"	" " " "
2½	1555	"	" 275 1 3	197	22 7¼	23046	35 30 23046 14 5
				184	39 4¾	22091	35 30 23371 13 2

Net Decrease

C. C. Jr.

(Signed) A. Hall
Collector Statement

15008

Fort St. George 10th November 1854

No. 4

No. 2 Statement shewing the settlement of the Frontier Sayer Chowkies in Fusly 1263 A.D. 1853/54 in comparison with that of 1262 A.D. 1852/53 in the Southern Division of Arcot.—

No.	Names of the Sayer Chowkies and the description of articles—	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Increase	Decrease
		CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P
	Frontier Chowkies.				
1	Cottacoopum	15418 2 5	14785 3 11	" " "	1632 14 6
2	Vurdoor	7221 9 11	3894 15 3	" " "	3326 10 8
3	Cundamangalum	19789 8	21793 5 2	2023 11 8	" " "
4	Madalapet	27499 6 8	26591 4 10	" " "	908 1 10
5	Pondicherry	836 1 "	706 " "	" " "	130 1 "
		71744 13 6	67770 13 2	2023 11 8	5997 12 "

Net Decrease 3973 4

Articles Exported from South

Arcot to Pondicherry—

1	Tobacco	1101 4 3	1340 8 2	239 3 11	" " "
2	Sugar	353 4 9	404 5 4	51 " 7	" " "
3	Piece goods	19356 4 8	22434 9 6	3078 4 10	" " "
4	Nuts &c.	927 2 1	655 13 6	" " "	271 4 7
5	1st Sort Indigo	32027 14 4	26327 1 11	" " "	5700 12 5
6	Lamp Oil Seeds	419 4 "	500 14 9	81 10 9	" " "
7	Indigo leaves	1223 " 2	938 7 6	" " "	284 8 8
8	Leather &c.	1272 14 11	1181 15 11	" " "	90 15 "
9	Thread &c.	233 7 7	155 15 7	" " "	77 8 "
10	Indigo country	1060 15 8	1190 12 "	129 12 4	" " "
11	Jaggery	334 11 7	385 4 6	50 8 11	" " "
12	Oil of the different Sorts	1661 1 2	1536 " 6	" " "	125 " 8

13	Gingelly Oil Seeds	6988	12	3	337	1	5	1651	10	10
14	Awarumputtay	166	13	"	183	15	4	17	2	4
15	Timber	231	12	6	241	15	8	10	1	2
16	Cominin Seeds	74	15	9	70	10	6	4	5	3
17	Iron	35	13	8	33	4	3	2	9	5
18	Horns &ca	186	11	8	102	14	9	83	12	11
19	Liquor	41	3	8	34	11	3	6	8	5
20	Ground Nut	36	15	10	154	13	2	117	13	4
21	Salt Petre	24	15	3	61	12	1	36	12	10
	Total	63359	8	9	58272	15	7	3812	7	"
	Duty levied on Confiscated Articles	40	4	"	58	12	8	18	8	8
	Duty levied by the French Government on the Exportation of Grain at Pondicherry	836	1	"	706	"	"	130	1	"
	Total	84235	13	9	59037	12	3	3830	15	8

8899 " 2

9029 1 2 Net Decrease 5198-1-6

15609.

Fort St. George 15th November 1854

	Articles Imported into South Arcot from Pondicherry	Qrs.	a	P	Qrs.	a	P	Qrs.	a	P	Qrs.	a	P
1	Piece Goods &ca	410	7	7	629	10	9	219	1	2	"	"	"
2	Liquor &ca	2979	2	1	4188	12	3	1179	10	2	"	"	"
3	Benjamin	121	4	6	32	"	9	"	"	"	89	3	9
4	Nutmeg	119	6	2	19	7	5	"	"	"	99	14	9
5	Cloves	372	9	10	349	3	9	"	"	"	23	6	1
6	Betle	182	5	9	359	3	4	176	13	7	"	"	"
7	Copper	934	3	4	298	13	9	"	"	"	635	5	7
8	Thread &ca	376	2	"	554	2	11	178	"	11	"	"	"
9	Timber	2032	7		2213	10		181	3		"	"	"
10	Nut &ca	668	14	10	747	10	2	78	11	4	"	"	"
11	Mace	29	15	4	15	15	8	"	"	"	13	15	8
12	Camphor	93	13	6	111	11	5	20	13	11	"	"	"
13	Sarah	361	11	"	378	8	8	16	13	8	"	"	"
14	Pepper	15	"	4	15	12	5	"	12	1	"	"	"
15	Cocoanut	92	"	5	82	2	10	"	"	"	9	13	7
16	Printed Book &ca	47	9	10	60	2	9	12	8	11	"	"	"
17	Allum	"	12	1	17	10	9	16	14	8	"	"	"
18	Ornaments &ca	2	14	5	4	"	5	1	2	"	"	"	"
19	Dry Cocoanuts	"	4	5	1	9	4	1	4	11	"	"	"
20	Iron	159	15	11	233	10	6	73	10	7	"	"	"
21	Stationery	94	14	4	63	12	10	"	"	"	31	1	6
22	Sulphur	11	10	3	167	13	5	156	3	2	"	"	"
23	Wax Country	9	1	6	2	12	6	"	"	"	6	5	"
24	Catchoo	5	11	9	6	"	10	"	5	1	"	"	"
25	Lead	215	12	"	197	15	8	"	"	"	17	12	4
	Total	7,508	15	9	8,733	"	11	2,150	15	5	926	14	3
	Total Export and Import	71,744	13	6	67,770	13	2	5,981	15	1	9,955	15	5

Net Increase 1,224-1-2

Net Decrease 3974-"-4

E. E. &c.

/ Signed / A. Hall
Collector.

Statement.

15610

Fort St. George 10th November 1854.

No. 3. Statement Shewing the Settlement of the Sea Customs of Fusly 1263 Compared with that of Fusly 1262 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.—

No. 5.

		Fusly 1262 A.D. 1852/53			Fusly 1263 A.D. 1853/54			Increase			Decrease		
		Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Cuddalore	Exported	7,221	14	11	12,001	15	4	4,780	"	5	"	"	"
	Imported	1,725	9	5	1,357	4	1	"	"	"	368	5	4
		8,947	8	4	13,359	3	5	4,780	"	5	368	5	4
Porto Nova	Exported	8,974	11	6	5,288	12	6	"	"	"	3,685	15	"
	Imported	2,498	10	7	3,565	5	"	1,066	10	5	"	"	"
		11,473	6	1	8,854	1	6	1,066	10	5	3,685	15	"
Markanum	Exported	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Imported	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	Exported	16,196	10	5	17,290	11	10	4,780	"	5	3,685	15	"
	Imported	4,224	4	"	4,922	9	1	1,066	10	5	368	5	4
		20,420	14	5	22,213	4	11	5,846	10	10	4,054	4	4
	Deduct Decrease							4,054	4	4			
	Net Increase							1,792	6	6			

E. E. Fr.

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector.

1561 1

Fort St. George 10th November 1854

No. 1 in 3. Statement shewing the principal articles on which the Export and Import sea customs were collected in Fusly 1263 (A.D. 1853/54) in Comparison with that of Fusly 1262 (A.D. 1852/53) at the Seaports in the District of South Arcot and Cuddalore.—

Sea Ports	Number	Names of the Articles.	Custom Levied in Fusly 1262.	Custom Levied in Fusly 1263	Comparison Increase in 1263	Comparison Decrease in 1263	Remarks.
			Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
Cuddalore	1	Indigo	2,924 14 7	4,778 2 8	1,853 4 1	" " "	The Increase in the articles here enumerated from No. 1 to 6 are in Exports to Europe market
	2	Cotton piece goods	3,233 3 11	4,328 5 7	1,095 1 8	" " "	
	3	Gingily oil	1 3 11	577 11 5	576 7 6	" " "	
	4	Ground nut oil	688 10 3	963 12 "	275 1 9	" " "	
	5	Salt Petre	" " "	192 2 6	192 2 6	" " "	
	6	Skins &c.	130 7 4	514 " 4	383 9 "	" " "	
	7	Paddy	102 4 "	268 14 6	166 10 6	" " "	
	8	Soap	72 9 2	" " "	" " "	72 9 2	
	9	Sundries	68 9 9	378 14 4	310 4 7	" " "	
		Total	7,221 14 11	12,001 15 4	4,852 9 7	72 9 2	Net Increase 4,780—" 5
Pt. to Nove	1	Paddy	4,804 2 10	2,113 14 11	" " "	2,690 3 11	
	2	Rice	1,991 4 1	2,725 14 "	734 9 11	" " "	
	3	Cotton piece goods	1,531 9 2	373 1 6	" " "	1,158 7 8	
	4	Tobacco	470 2 4	" 8 6	" " "	469 9 10	
	5	Sundries	177 9 1	75 5 7	" " "	102 3 6	
		Total	8,974 11 6	5,288 12 6	734 9 11	4,420 8 11	Net Decrease 3,685—15—"
		Total Exports	16,196 10 5	17,290 11 10	5,587 3 6	4,493 2 1	Net Increase 1,094—1—5
		Import					
Cuddalore.	1	Cotton twist	366 " 8	76 2 10	" " "	289 13 10	
	2	Cotton piece goods	282 9 11	114 9 7	" " "	168 " 4	
	3	Beetle Nuts	270 2 11	568 8 "	298 5 1	" " "	
	4	Copper	" 13 5	56 " "	55 2 7	" " "	
	5	Tin	78 13 "	28 " "	" " "	50 13 "	
	6	Iron	25 3 3	12 13 7	" " "	12 5 8	

7	Palmyrahs	387	6	4	235	4	9	"	"	"	152	17
8	Ditto Rafters	113	2	8	118	12	4	5	9	8	"	"
9	Camphire	65	4	"	12	12	"	"	"	"	52	8 "
10	Sundries	136	1	3	134	5	"	"	"	"	1	12 3
	Total	1,725	9	5	1,357	4	1	359	14	7	276	8 Net Decrease 368-5-4
1	Boiled Nut	1,352	14	11	2,156	15	8	804	"	9	"	" "
2	Palmyrahs	255	7	3	251	1	10	"	"	"	4	55
3	Ditto Rafters	51	"	11	54	15	5	3	14	6	"	"
4	Iron	92	13	2	4	14	9	"	"	"	87	14 5
5	Benjamen	3	11	7	4	15	10	1	4	3	"	"
6	Cut Nut Vrs	386	15	10	691	6	"	304	6	2	"	"
7	Pepper	25	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	25	9 8
8	Sundries	330	1	3	400	15	6	70	14	3	"	"
	Total	2,498	10	7	3,565	5	"	1,184	7	11	117	13 6 Net Increase 1,066-10-5
	Total Import	4,224	4	"	4,922	9	1	1,543	9	3	845	4 2 Net Increase 698-5-1
	Grand Total	20,420	14	5	22,213	4	11	7,130	12	9	5,338	6 3 Net Increase 1,792-6-6

Errors Excepted

C. C. Jr.

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector.

1562

Fort St. George

No. 2. in 3. Comparative Statement Shewing the Quantity of Sugar and Rum Exported to the United Kingdom from the Factories Established at Cuddalore in the Southern Division of Arcot during the Fuslies 1262 and 1263.

Number.	Name of the Proprietors	Sugar				Rum				Remarks.
		Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Increase	Decrease	
		C mds lb	C mds lb	C mds lb	C mds lb	C mds lb	C mds lb	G	G	
1	Messrs. Parry & Co.	5,445 18 "	4,203 7 10	" " "	" " "	12 42 10 15	6,934	7,716½	782½	"
2	Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.	90 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	90 " "	"	"	"	"
3	Messrs. Vinayfits & Co.	45 17 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	45 17 10	"	"	"	"
4	B. T. Norfor Esquire	389 6 16	450 17 10	61 10 19		" " "	586	586	"	
	Total	5,971 2 1	4,654 4 20	61 10 19		1,378 8 "	6,934	8,302½	1,368½	"

E. E. Jr.

15th November 1854

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector.

15613

Fort St. George 10th November 1854

No. 6

No. 4. Statement Shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Bariz of Abkarry Revenue for Fuslies 1262 A.D. 1852/53 and 1263 A.D. 1853/54 in South Arcot and Cuddalore.

Number.	Talooks	Fusly 1262		Fusly 1263		Increase		Decrease	
		CRs	AP	CRs	AP	CRs	AP	CRs	AP
1	Tindevanum	5,902	"	5,939	"	37	"	"	"
2	Trevady	7,354	"	8,372	"	1,018	"	"	"
3	Villoopooram	12,959	"	14,679	"	1,720	"	"	"
4	Bowangherry	7,324	"	8,339	"	1,015	"	"	"
5	Munnargoody	2,401	"	2,701	"	300	"	"	"
6	Chellumbrum	4,928	"	5,583	"	655	"	"	"
7	Trinomallie	2,799	"	3,148	"	349	"	"	"
8	Virdachellum	2,525	"	2,840	"	315	"	"	"
9	Ellavanasore	2,529	"	2,885	"	356	"	"	"
10	Tricullore	2,550	"	2,871	"	321	"	"	"
11	Cullacoorchy	2,870	"	3,230	"	360	"	"	"
12	Chaitpute	2,113	"	2,377	"	264	"	"	"
		56,254	"	62,964	"	6,710	"	"	"
13	Cuddalore	28,746	"	32,136	"	3,390	"	"	"
	Total	85,000	"	95,100	"	10,100	"	"	"

E. E. Jr.

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector

Statement.

15614

Fortfort St. George 10th November 1854

No. 5. Statement shewing the Settlement on account of Sundry Small Farms and Licences for Fusly 1263 in Comparison with that of Fusly 1262 in the Southern Division of Great and Cuddalore.—

No. 4

No.	Description	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263. Amount of Settlement	Deduct Remission	Balance	Increase	Decrease
		CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P
1	Tinderanum	1,093 15 8	1,040 3 8	" " "	1040 3 8	" " "	53 12 "
2	Trevady	322 14 "	322 14 "	144 15 "	177 15 "	" " "	144 15 "
3	Villapoorum	971 8 4	903 8 4	" " "	903 8 4	" " "	68 " "
4	Bowangherry	664 10 6	540 2 6	47 13 6	492 5 "	" " "	175 5 6
5	Munnargoody	322 10 6	322 10 6	" " "	322 10 6	" " "	" " "
6	Chellumbrum	2,070 4 "	1,735 9 2	" " "	1,735 9 2	" " "	334 10 10
7	Trenomallie	947 1 3	893 1 3	284 " 4	609 " 11	" " "	338 " 4
8	Virdachellum	320 13 11	320 13 11	143 3 5	177 10 6	" " "	143 3 5
9	Ellavansore	1,194 4 "	1,186 4 "	338 8 6	847 11 6	" " "	346 8 6
10	Trecullore	1,156 14 5	1,102 14 5	375 13 1	727 1 4	" " "	429 13 1
11	Cullacoorchy	907 4 11	876 13 10	324 13 9	552 " 1	" " "	355 4 10
12	Chattput	1,324 7 5	1,323 7 5	129 7 5	1,194 " "	" " "	130 7 5
		11,299 12 11	10,568 7 "	1,818 11 "	8,749 12 "	" " "	2,550 " 11
13	Cuddalore	938 12 "	800 8 5	" " "	800 8 5	" " "	138 3 7
	Grand Total	12,238 8 11	11,368 15 5	1,818 11 "	9,550 4 5	" " "	2,688 4 6

10.11.54

Particulars of the above.

| | | |

15015

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 8.

No. 6. Statement shewing the sale of the Stampt Papers and Cadjans in Fusly 1263 A.D. 1853/54 in comparison with that of Fusly 1262 A.D. 1852/53 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Number	Talooks.	Fusly 1262.			Fusly 1263			Increase.			Decrease		
		Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
1	Tindevanum	95	"	"	104	"	"	9	"	"	"	"	"
2	Trevady	99	8	"	102	4	"	2	12	"	"	"	"
3	Villapooram	1905	4	"	2211	8	"	306	4	"	"	"	"
4	Bowangherry	287	8	"	385	"	"	97	8	"	"	"	"
5	Mummargoody	103	4	"	182	8	"	79	4	"	"	"	"
6	Chellumbrum	2011	4	"	2160	"	"	148	12	"	"	"	"
7	Trenamallie	134	4	"	216	8	"	82	4	"	"	"	"
8	Virdachellum	60	"	"	76	8	"	16	8	"	"	"	"
9	Ellavanzore	28	"	"	30	4	"	2	4	"	"	"	"
10	Trecullore	1318	"	"	1773	8	"	455	8	"	"	"	"
11	Cullacoorchy	39	12	"	36	"	"	"	"	"	3	12	"
12	Chaitput	26	8	"	70	12	"	44	4	"	"	"	"
	Total	6108	4	"	7348	12	"	1244	4	"	3	12	"
13	Cuddalore	19259	12	6	13950	10	"	"	"	"	5309	2	6
		25368	"	6	21299	6	"	1244	4	"	5312	14	6
	Deduct—												
	Stamp Papers supplied gratis for the security deeds as per Board's Circular order dated 12th January 1829.	105	8	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	70	8	"
	Balance	25262	8	6	21264	6	"	1244	4	"	5242	6	6
											Net Decrease	3998	2 6

15616

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Particular of the above.

No.		Fasly 1262. Number	Rs.	A.	Fasly 1263. No.	Rs.	A.	Increase. No.	Rs.	A.	Decrease. No.	Rs.	A.
	Decree paper												
8	Annas	441	220	8	436	218	"	"	"	"	5	2	8
1	Rupee	22	22	"	26	26	"	4	4	"	"	"	"
2	Do.	75	150	"	89	178	"	14	28	"	"	"	"
		538	392	8	551	422	"	18	32	"	5	2	8
	Law Papers												
4	Annas Paper	6698	1674	8	5887	1471	12	"	"	"	811	202	12
8	Do.	1228	614	"	1128	564	"	"	"	"	100	50	"
1	Rupee	3198	3198	"	3035	3035	"	"	"	"	163	163	"
2	Do.	1054	2108	"	1104	2208	"	50	100	"	"	"	"
4	Do.	1078	4312	"	1009	4036	"	"	"	"	69	276	"
8	Do.	431	3448	"	405	3240	"	"	"	"	26	208	"
16	Do.	146	2336	"	163	2608	"	17	272	"	"	"	"
32	Do.	69	2208	"	49	1568	"	"	"	"	20	640	"
50	Do.	25	1250	"	14	700	"	"	"	"	11	550	"
100	Do.	10	1000	"	1	100	"	"	"	"	9	900	"
	Security deeds as per Board's Circular	30	105	8	17	35	"	"	"	"	13	70	8
	Total	3460	15	5	3515	3	7	54	4	2	"	"	"
	Net	42967	13	3	43078	"	"	111	2	"	"	"	"

Errors Excepted Ffr. (Signed) A. Hall.

Collector

Statement

32 ——— Do. ———													
50 ——— Do. ———	25	1,250	"	14	700	"	"	"	"	11	550	"
100 ——— Do. ———	10	1,000	"	1	100	"	"	"	"	9	900	"
150 ——— Do. ———	5	750	"	1	150	"	"	"	"	4	600	"
250 ——— Do. ———	1	250	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	250	"
350 ——— Do. ———	2	700	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	700	"
	13,945	23,848	8	12,796	19,680	12	67	372	"	1,216	4,539	12
4 Annas Cudjam ———	1,788	447	"	1,819	454	12	31	7	12	"	"	"
8 ——— Do. ———	432	216	"	565	282	8	133	66	8	"	"	"
1 Rupee ———	303	303	"	335	335	"	32	32	"	"	"	"
2 ——— Do. ———	44	88	"	42	84	"	"	"	"	2	4	"
4 ——— Do. ———	9	36	"	8	32	"	"	"	"	1	4	"
8 ——— Do. ———	2	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	16	"
	2,578	1,106	"	2,769	1,188	4	196	106	4	5	24	"
4 annas Europe Stamp Paper ———	9	2	4	4	1	"	"	"	"	5	1	4
8 ——— Do. ———	4	2	"	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1 Rupee ———	8	8	"	3	3	"	"	"	"	5	5	"
2 ——— Do. ———	4	8	"	1	2	"	"	"	"	3	6	"
4 ——— Do. ———	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	25	20	4	12	8	"	"	"	"	13	12	4
The additional fees at Half an anna per Roll leviable on above Europe paper as per orders of Government dated 31st March 1835 ———	"	"	12½	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	6½
Total ———	17086	25,368	½	16,128	21,299	6	281	510	4	1,239	4,578	11½
Stampt Papers supplied gratis for the security deeds as per Board's Circular ———	30	105	8	17	35	"	"	"	"	13	70	8

15616

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Particular of the above.

No.		Fusly 1262.			Fusly 1263.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	Decree paper	Number	Rs	A	No.	Rs	A	No.	Rs	A	No.	Rs	A
8	Annas	441	220	8	436	218	.	"	"	"	5	2	8
1	Rupee	22	22	"	26	26	.	4	4	.	"	"	"
2	— Do.	75	150	"	89	178	"	14	28	.	"	"	"
		538	392	8	551	422	"	18	32	.	5	2	8
	Law Papers												
4	Annas Paper	6698	1674	8	5887	1471	12	"	"	"	811	202	12
8	Do.	1228	614	"	1128	564	"	"	"	"	100	50	.
1	Rupee	3198	3198	.	3035	3035	"	"	"	"	163	163	"
2	Do.	1054	2108	"	1104	2208	"	50	100	.	"	.	"
4	Do.	1078	4312	"	1009	4036	"	"	"	"	69	276	"
8	Do.	431	3448	.	405	3240	"	"	"	"	26	208	.
16	Do.	146	2336	.	163	2608	"	17	272	.	"	"	"

15617

Fort St. George 16th November 1864.

No. 7. Statement shewing the Settlement on account of Moturpha Revenue in Fusly 1263 in comparison with Fusly 1262 in South Arcot and Cuddalore.—

No. 9.

No.	Description.	Fusly 1262. Rs.	A.	P.	Fusly 1263 Rs.	A.	P.	Increase. Rs.	A.	P.	Decrease. Rs.	A.	P.
1	Tindevanum	1,325	12	4	1,339	13	8	4	1	4	"	"	"
2	Trevandy	5,544	9	8	5,612	-	"	67	7	3	-	-	-
3	Villapooram	3,677	12	-	3,780	15	6	103	3	6	"	"	"
4	Bowanghery	5,836	"	8	5,584	8	10	"	"	"	251	7	10
5	Munnargoody	1,065	11	7	1,034	10	3	"	"	"	31	1	4
6	Chellumbrum	5,687	8	5	5,739	15	11	52	7	6	"	"	"
7	Trenomallie	1,580	12	1	1,615	2	9	34	6	8	"	"	"
8	Veredachellum	5,270	11	4	5,210	8	10	"	"	"	60	2	6
9	Ellavanasore	2,203	9	4	2,268	9	5	65	"	1	"	"	"
10	Trecullore	2,677	4	8	2,741	13	3	64	8	7	"	"	"
11	Cullacoorchy	3,368	1	1	3,377	13	11	9	12	10	"	"	"
12	Chaitput	1497	15	2	1544	12	9	46	13	7	"	"	"
		39,735	12	4	39,850	14	"	457	13	4	342	11	8
13	Cuddalore — Amanie Villages	3,183	11	11	3179	12	3	"	"	"	3	15	8
	Cuddalore — Moottah Do.	48	5	"	48	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	3,232	"	11	3,228	1	3	"	"	"	3	15	8
	Grand Total	42,967	13	3	43,078	15	3	457	13	4	346	11	4

Net Increase 111 2 a

Particulars.

Syen	42,360	15	11	42,479	8	3	118	8	4	"	"	"
Tusdic Davastanum	122	"	4	127	10	5	5	10	1	"	"	"
Cavelly	484	13	"	471	12	7	"	"	"	13	"	5
	42,967	13	3	43,078	15	3	124	2	5	13	"	5

Particulars of the above.

1	Loom tax	12,941	28083	13	2	12,968	28,090	11	10	27	6	14	8	"	"	"	"
2	Bazar Do	4960	8791	"	"	5182	9096	7	2	222	305	7	2	"	"	"	"
3	Tax on Houses	2,195	3138	5	1	2182	3138	"	5	"	"	"	"	13	"	4	8
4	Talacut and Cattle &c Tax	2148 1/2	3822	14	6	2014 5/8	3623	10	4	"	"	"	"	133 7/8	199	4	2
5	Tax on Furnaces for Melting Iron &c	365	609	14	9	407	668	4	5	42	58	5	8	"	"	"	"
6	Do on Oil press	511	1190	3	10	489	1129	4	8	"	"	"	"	22	60	15	2
7	Do on Indigo dying places	138	371	6	8	131	353	6	"	"	"	"	"	7	18	"	8
8	Do on Cotton spinners	205	258	4	4	213	271	6	5	8	13	2	1	"	"	"	"
9	Do on Paper Manufactories	7	23	3	"	7	23	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	Aroopoo Caurer or Earthwasher	2	18	"	"	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	11	"	"
11	Basket Rent	4	3	2	"	4	3	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	Tax pr Frame pf weaving cloth	4	4	"	"	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1	"	"
13	Do pr Thread Dyers	17	22	15	4	15	20	5	4	"	"	"	"	2	2	10	4
14	Do pr Stone Cutters	38	43	5	"	103	118	"	3	65	74	11	3	"	"	"	"
	Total	46,380	7	8		46,545	13	10		458	8	10		293	2	8	
	Mootah	48	5	"		48	5	"		"	"	"		"	"	"	
	Total	46,428	12	8		46,594	2	10		458	8	10		293	2	8	Net Increase 165-6-2
	Deduct-																
	Woolegy	3,332	6	3		3,386	10	5		54	4	2		"	"	"	
	Jahry Davastanums in Shel= =lumbrum Talook	"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"		"	"	"	
	Do in other Talooks	128	9	2		128	9	2		"	"	"		"	"	"	
	Total	3,460	15	5		3515	3	7		54	4	2		"	"	"	
	Net	42967	13	3		43078	"	"		111	2	"		"	"	"	

Errors Excepted Sd/- (Signed) A. Hall.

Collr

Fort St. George

No. 7. Statement shewing the amount of Moturpah Settlement of Fusly 1263 in Comparison with that of Fusly 1262 in the Southern Division
their Circular dated 15th March 1849.

Items.	Settlement of Fusly 1262.				Deductions. On parties dead or absconded				Deductions. On parties who have given up trade.				Total.				Remaining.				Additions. Newly set up				Additions. On trades esc: = erised for a broken period of last year.				Additions. Extended Trade			
	1				2				3				4				5				6				7				8				9			
	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P	No.	Rs	A	P
Loom Tax	12,941	28,083	13	2	284	562	10	8	615	1,432	13	4	899	1,995	8	-	12,042	26,088	5	2	926	2,002	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bazar Do.	4,960	8,791	-	-	260	411	6	2	251	414	-	7	511	825	6	9	4,449	7,965	9	3	733	1,129	8	11	-	1	5	-	-	-	-	-
Tax on houses	2,195	3,135	5	1	65	103	6	4	50	69	6	3	115	172	12	7	2,080	2,965	8	6	102	171	6	5	-	1	1	6	-	-	-	-
Tolical and Cattle &c. Tax	2,148½	3,822	14	6	184½	289	9	6	109	182	15	6	293½	472	9	-	1,855	3,350	5	6	159⅝	273	4	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax on Oil press	511	1190	3	10	24	53	14	2	49	130	12	8	73	184	10	10	438	1005	9	-	57	123	11	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax on furnaces for melting Iron	365	609	14	9	25	40	4	8	3	3	2	-	28	43	6	8	337	566	8	1	70	101	12	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do. on Indigo dyeing places	138	371	6	8	17	47	10	-	11	28	5	8	28	75	15	8	110	295	7	-	21	57	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do. on Paper Manufactories	7	23	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	23	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aroopos-caren or Earth washer	2	18	-	-	1	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	11	-	-	1	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basket Punt	4	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax on thread dyeing place	17	22	15	4	3	3	15	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	15	-	14	19	4	-	1	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do. on frame for weaving Cloth	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do. on Cotton spinners	205	258	4	4	10	11	4	8	5	7	7	11	15	18	12	7	190	239	7	9	23	31	11	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do. on stone Cutters	38	43	5	-	-	-	-	-	6	7	1	9	6	7	1	9	32	36	3	3	71	81	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	23535½	46380	7	8	873½	1535	1	2	1100	2277	1	8	1973½	3812	2	10	21562	42568	4	10	1577/8	3975	2	6	-	2	6	6	-	-	-	-

Deduct.

Woolayhee	3292	10 3
Salary Davesteinums	168	5 2
Total	3460	15 5
Balance	42919	8 3
Add Moottah Moturpha	48	5 -
Total	42967	13 3

Errors Excepted J. J.

16th November 1854.

of Arcot prepared according to the form received from Boa

Statement-

Total.				Total Settlement of fusly 1263.				Comparison between Col^ns 2 and 11. Increase.				Decrease.			
10				11				12				13			
No.	Rs.	A	P	No.	Rs.	A	P	No.	Rs.	A	P	No.	Rs.	A	P
926	2,002	6	8	12,968	28,090	11	10	132	215	6	11	105	208	8	3
733	1,130	13	11	5,182	9,096	1	2	228	317	2	5	6	11	11	3
102	172	1	11	2,182	3,138	.	5	27	45	3	1	40	45	1	9
159 5/8	273	4	10	2,014 5/8	3,623	10	4	7 1/4	22	6	9	141 1/8	221	10	11
54	123	11	8	489	1129	4	8	10	18	13	4	32	79	12	6
70	101	12	4	407	668	4	5	44	60	8	8	2	2	3	.
21	57	15	.	131	353	6	.	5	13	6	.	12	31	6	8
				1	23	3	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
				1	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	.	.
				4	3	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	1	5	.	15	20	5	4	.	.	.	.	2	2	10	.
				3	3	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	.	.
23	31	11	8	213	271	6	5	10	15	12	1	2	2	10	.
71	81	13	.	103	118	.	3	65	74	11	3	.	.	.	.
2,157 5/8	3,977	9	.	23,719 5/8	46,545	13	10	528 1/4	783	6	6	344 1/8	618	.	4

Deduct.

Hoolegum	3,348	2	5
Salary Davastanum &c^a	167	1	2
Total	3,515	3	7
Balance	43,030	10	3
Add Moottah Mosturpha	48	5	.
Total	43,078	15	3.

(Signed) A. Hall
Collector-

Triplicate

Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore

15619

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1261 1262 & 1263*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.	
	Fusly 1261			Fusly 1262			Fusly 1263			Increase.			Decrease.				
SALT.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	257243	6	3	211791	2	4	171622	15	6	-	-	-	A 40168	2	10		
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	17317	4	5	20319	10	1	46041	14	1	A 25722	4	-	-	-	-		
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	18547	5	8	34549	12	9	28149	14	9	-	-	-	6399	11	-	A The Coolyaum	A This decrease chiefly
Total Charges	35864	10	1	54869	6	10	74191	12	10	19322	6	-	-	-	-	rum paid in	arose from the diminished
Net Revenue	221378	12	7	156921	11	6	97431	2	8	-	-	-	59490	8	10	Fusly 1263 was	Sales of Salt for Home consump-
Per Centage of the Charges	14	-	11/2	25	14	6/16	43	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	far more than	tion and is attributable to
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																it was in the	the great distress which
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	previous year	prevailed among the lower
Charges for Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	in Consequence	classes of the People explain-
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	of the extensive	ed in the Accompanying
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	manufacture	report.
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	of Salt. Hence	B This decrease chiefly
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	this large in-	occurred in the diminished
LAND CUSTOMS.																crease in the	exportations of Indigo, Oil
Gross Collections	59415	4	9	71557	13	9	68642	12	6	-	-	-	B 2915	1	3	charges.	and grain &c from this
Charges. of Collection	8558	-	-	8583	14	9	8553	-	4	-	-	-	30	14	5	B The increase	District to the French
" Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	in the refund	Territories
" Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	of Stamp Duty	C This decrease is occasioned
Total Charges	8558	-	-	8583	14	9	8553	-	4	-	-	-	30	14	5	under Act XVII	by a remission having been
Net Revenue	50857	4	9	62973	15	-	60059	12	2	-	-	-	2884	2	10	of 1848 in the	made in the rents for the
Per Centage of the Charges	14	7	22/32	11	15	8/16	12	7	4/16	-	-	-	-	-	-	year under	South Fisheries which were
SEA CUSTOMS.																review as to	much affected by the failure
Gross Collections	298511	5	5	204585	12	2	227766	11	8	2317	15	6	-	-	-	this excess in	of rain and also by a fall-
Charges. of Collection	3909	6	9	3910	3	1	3917	4	10	7	1	9	-	-	-	the charges.	ing off in the Ferry Collec-
" Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		tion owing to the Season
" Drawback	197	9	4	193	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	193	7	10		D This Decrease partly arose
Total Charges	4107	-	1	4103	10	11	3917	4	10	-	-	-	186	6	1		from the diminished Sales
Net Revenue	294404	5	4	200481	1	3	183848	6	10	2310	4	7	-	-	-		of the higher papers prepared
Per Centage of the Charges	13	12	12/32	20	-	10/16	17	3	1/2	-	-	-	-	-	-		from 37 to 250 Rupees pay-
ABKARRY.																	able from the Finish-
Gross Collections	74687	9	6	78595	12	5	101002	8	10	22406	12	5	-	-	-		ing original Sales under
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		the value of 1,000 Rupees -
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		E In the Settlement of
Per Centage of the Charges	74687	9	6	78595	12	5	101002	8	10	22406	12	5	-	-	-		this Item there is an increase
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS and LICENSES.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		of Rupees 111 2 - above the
Gross Collections																	preceding year, but the
Charges	11934	15	11	11803	10	9	9267	13	5	-	-	-	C 2535	13	4		decrease in the Collections
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		was owing to the late period
Per Centage of the Charges	11934	15	11	11803	10	9	9267	13	5	-	-	-	2535	13	4		at which the Settlement
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		was made -
Stamps																	
Gross Collections	20101	12	6	26304	8	6	22213	-	-	-	-	-	D 4091	8	6		
Charges	3752	4	9	4113	6	11	4236	10	5	B 122	3	6	-	-	-		
N.t Revenue	16349	7	9	22191	1	7	17976	5	7	-	-	-	4214	12	-		
Per Centage of the charge	18	10	7 7/16	15	18	27/16	19	1	1 3/4	-	-	-	-	-	-		
VEESABUDDY and MOTURPHA.																	
Gross Collections	40779	9	10	43521	11	3	38926	1	4	-	-	-	E 4595	9	11		
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Net Revenue	40779	9	10	43521	11	3	38926	1	4	-	-	-	4595	9	11		
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GRAND TOTAL.																	
Gross Collections	493814	10	2	464033	7	2	434454	15	3	-	-	-	29581	7	11		
Charges	52581	14	11	71670	7	5	90898	12	5	19228	5	-	-	-	-		
Net Revenue	441232	11	3	392362	15	9	343553	2	10	-	-	-	48809	12	11		
Per Centage of the Charges	10	10	4 3/8	15	7	1/2	20	11	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GRAND TOTAL.																	
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	476497	5	9	443713	13	1	338410	1	2	-	-	-	53303	11	11		
Charges after deductions for Do	35264	10	6	51356	13	4	44856	14	4	-	-	-	6499	15	-		
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	7	6	4/8	11	9	2	11	8	9/2	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Note

Amount of Net Revenue of Fusly 1262 — 392362.15.9

Deduct

Amount of Decrease in the Collection of Fusly 1263 — 29581.7.11

Add the Decrease in the charges &c — 19228.5.-

48809.12.11

Net amount to agree with the Net amount of Fusly 1263 — 343553.2.10

South Arcot Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 13th October 1854

Errors Excepted

Tm Abbott
Collector

Kondasawmy
Asst

15620

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 11.

I. Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured at each of the Pans of the District of South Arcot and Cuddalore for Fusly 1263.—

No.	Name of the Pans.	Place of the Manufacture.	Quantity Manufactured in Fusly 1262.		Quantity Manufactured in Fusly 1263		Comparison. Increase.		Comparison. Decrease.		Remarks.
1	2	3	4		5		6		7		8
			Maunds	S.C	Maunds.	S.C	Maunds	S.C	Maunds	S.C.	
2	Murkanum	Murkanum	17,924	20.	6,53,331	36.	4,80,407	16.	"	"	
		Condadoo	13,414	8.	64,227	"	50,812	32.	"	"	
		Kadoocoopum	247	8.	"	"	"	"	247	8.	
		Treecunoor	30	24.	"	"	"	"	30	24.	
		Ooranee	3,485	16.	44,878	20.	41,393	4.	"	"	
		Anoonunda	780	24.	22,308	"	21,527	16.	"	"	
			1,90,882	20.	7,84,745	16.	5,94,140	28.	277	32.	Net Increase 593, 862.36.—
2	Teagavellys	Teagavelly	23,247	12.	44,543	4.	21,295	32.	"	"	
3	Killay	Killay	6,805	20.	16,413	12.	9,607	32.	"	"	
		Nacravendengooely	7,553	28.	15,752	28.	8,199	"	"	"	
		Tillavadengum	3,885	24.	5,400	24.	1,515	"	"	"	
			18,244	32.	37,566	24.	19,321	32.	"	"	
		Cuddalore	16,762	8.	31,997	16.	15,235	8.	"	"	

EBC

4 Cuddalore Patchayancoopum	2,155 20	"	6,784 32	"	4,314 12	"	"	"
Coodecaudoo	2,702 0	"	7,209	"	5,053 20	"	"	"
	21,388 8	"	45,991 8	"	24,603	"	"	"
	2,537,823 2	"	9,128,461 2	"	659,361 12	"	277 32	"
Add Salt seized from smugglers	351 9	"	102 2 10		66 23 10	"	"	"
D°. Gained by Measurement	"	"	"	7 32	"	7 32	"	"
	351 9	"	109 34 10		74 15 10	"	"	"
Grand Total	2,537,981 1	"	9,129,566 10		659,435 27 10		277 32	"

Errors Excepted J.K. (Signed) E.A. Hall
Collector

Statement

15621.

For 1st Fasly

J Statement shewing the Places to which Salt was supplied from the Agency of Arcot at Cuddalore for Fusly 1263.—

16th November 1854

Exported whether by Land or Sea in Southern Division of

Names of the Districts	Supplied to other Districts			Supplied	Foreign States.		Exported by Sea.				Total of Columns 4-8 and 12
	Quantity	Rate per Garce.	Value	Name of Foreign States	Quantity	Rate per Garce.	Value.	Names of Places	Quantity	Rate per Garce.	Value	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Rs.	SC CORs. a P	CORs. a P		Rs.	CORs. a P	CORs. a P		Rs.	SC CORs. a P	CORs. a P	CORs. a P
Salem	87,941	12 "	At 1 Rupee per Candy	87,941	4	9						
Coimbatore	12,280	20 "	" " "	12,280	8 "							
Trichinopoly	15,430	20 "	" " "	15,430	8 "	Mysore	36,020	36,020	8 "	Malay Coast	3400 " " "	57 3/16 1190 " "	1332	19	129.
Chittoor	21	120 "	" " "	21	8 "										
Chinglepat	12	" "	" " "	12	" "										
Cuddapah	51	" "	" " "	51	" "										
Tanjore	4	20 "	" " "	4	8 "										
Total	95,961	12 "	" " "	95,961	4 9		36,020	8 "			3400 " "	1190 " "	133,191	29.	

Errors Excepted

J.S. /Signed/ C. A. Hall
Collector

Statement—

15022

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 13.

K. Statement shewing the particulars of Money transactions in Receipts and Disbursements on account of Salt for Fusslies 1262 and 1263 in South Arcot and Cuddalore.—

Particulars.	Fusly 1262		Fusly 1263		Comparison of the two Fusslies.— Increase		Decrease	
Receipts	Cors	a/P	Cors	a/P	Cors	a/P	Cors	a/P
Balance of Cash in hand at the commencement of the year	13752152	1597	11 3	"	"	"	12055	3 11
Cash by sales for consumption within the District	5881	6 10	3597	5 11	3	"	"	" 2284	0 11
Cash by sales to other Districts	77279	11 3	90177	4 9	12897	6	"	" "
Cash by sales to Foreign states	5514	4 8	"	3572	2 8	"	"	" 1942	" "
Cash by export by Sea	1050	" "	490	" "				

Refunds of Coodevarum for Salt supplied to the French Government	5072 4 "	5.207 5 3	135 1 3	" " "	
Refunds of Tuccavy	808 4 4	1523 13 5	715 9 1	" " "	
Refunds of Marahmut Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Fines	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Smuggled Salt	35 2 4	102 1 3	66 14 11	" " "	
Refunds of Extra Saunderward	31 14 5	21 13 8	" " "	10 " 9	
Refunds of Charges of Collection	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Gain by Exchange	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Total Receipts Rupees	211.791 2 4	1,71,822 15 6	13,959 13 5	54,128 " 3	

Disbursements

Salary of the Establishment	6,003 13 3	5,722 2 5	" " "	281 10 10
Roosoom ——— Do	773 6 "	1,065 4 4	291 14 4	" " "
Coodevarum	98,191 0 1	46,041 14 1	26,222 4 "	" " "
Tuccavy repayable	950 " "	825 " "	" " "	125 " "
Repairs	11 3 3	" " "	" " "	11 3 3
Value of Salt supplied to the French Government	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Roosooms to Bavustanum Do	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Extra and Saunderward charges	2,245 13 5	3,943 5 2	1,097 7 9	" " "
Charges of Exports	137 8 "	155 13 3	18 5 3	" " "
Reward for seizure of smuggled Salt	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Shipping charges for Salt exported to the French Territories	2,941 " 10	2,296 5 7	" " "	644 " 3
——— Do ——— Do ——— for Do supplied from Tanjore District	445 " "	100 " "	" " "	345 " "
Yeomiah or other allowances	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Value of Salt purchased from other Districts	500 " "	" " "	" " "	500 " "
Salt compensation	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Hire of Boats	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "
Salt Indemnification paid to the French Government	21,000	14,000 " "	" " "	7,000 " "
Total Disbursements Rupees	54,809 6 10	74,191 12 10	28,229 15 4	8,907 9 4
Balance on the 11th July Rupees	156,921 11 6	97,631 2 8	" " "	59,290 8 10

Errors Excepted Jr. /Signed/ C. A. Hall
Collector

Statement

15623

Fort St. George

No. 14.

L. Statement shewing the annual sales of Salt in South Arcot and Cuddalore from Fusly 1253 to 1263

No.	Fusles	Home Consumption						Inland Consumption								Exported			
		Quantity			Value			For other Districts						For Foreign states					
								Quantity			Value			Quantity			Value		
		2			3			4			5			6			7		
		Mds	SC	CRs	a	P		Mds	SC	CRs	a	P		Mds	SC	CRs	a	P	
1	1253	33675	24	11¼	31529	"	10	1.30371	12	"	119839	6	2	35263	20	"	31095	9	"
2	1254	40951	3	8	41368	13	9	184005	3		189772	12	5	23319	"	"	23700	"	"
3	1255	40475	4	"	40475	2	4	184247	4	"	184247	1	9	33171	"	"	33171	"	"
4	1256	58164	39	12	58165	"	4	162658	20	"	162658	8	2	30861	20	"	30862	8	"
5	1257	89419	19	12	89419	8	3	104523	24	"	104523	9	7	21529	20	"	21529	8	"
6	1258	30883	22	4	30883	8	11	130963	20	"	130963	8	"	22309	20	"	22309	8	"
7	1259	45583	16	12	45563	7	7	151192	32	"	151192	13	2	28309	20	"	28309	8	"
8	1260	78682	18	8	78682	7	10	142510	8	"	142510	3	4	47772	"	"	47772	"	"
9	1261	91979	27	4	91979	11	1	104586	12	"	104586	4	11	69838	20	"	69838	8	"
10	1262	58861	21	8	58861	9	2	78561	36	"	78561	14	6	55350	"	"	55350	"	"
	Total	548686	38	2¼	546925	6	1	1373020	11	"	1368850	2	"	307725	"	"	306998	1	"
	Average	54868	27	13	54692	13	5	137382	1	1½	30685	9	9½	30772	20	"	30699	12	10¾
11	1263	37124	30	10	37124	12	6	95961	12	"	95961	4	9	36040	20	"	36040	8	"
	Increase	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Decrease	17433	7	3	17588	"	11	41400	29	1½	40924	5	½	732	"	"	359	4	10¾

No.	Fusles	Exported	
		Quantity	
		8	
		Mds	SC
1	1253	1200	" "
2	1254	"	" "
3	1255	"	" "
4	1256	11820	" "
5	1257	3000	" "
6	1258	"	" "
7	1259	"	" "
8	1260	3000	" "
9	1261	15840	" "
10	1262	"	" "
	Total	3000	" "
	Average	38460	" "
11	1263	6410	" "
	Increase	3400	" "
	Decrease	3070	" "

10th November 1854

Sea		French Government		Total	
Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value.	
	10	11	12	13	
J					
CRs a P.	In S C	CRs a P	In S C	CRs a P	
420 " "	37402 32 "	4219 1 7	237913 8 14¼	187103 1 7	
" " "	33075 30 "	3770 15 7	281981 2 8	258172 9 4	
4137 " "	29244 24 "	3292 10 2	298957 32 "	205322 14 3	
1260 " "	22910 22 "	2523 11 5	278190 21 12	255409 11 11	
" " "	34308 12 "	3898 5 11	229780 35 12	199370 15 9	
" " "	28255 20 "	3231 0 1	212412 2 4	187387 15 "	
1050 " "	29052 12 "	3400 " 3	257718 " 12	229575 13 "	
5544 " "	29201 4 "	3353 14 9	314006 30 8	277802 9 11	
" " "	31852 32 "	3730 5 11	298257 11 4	270140 13 11	
1050 " "	43848 12 "	5072 4 "	239021 29 8	198895 11 8	
13401 " "	320412 6 "	30498 11 8	2048904 15 2¼	3229742 4 9	
2243 8 "	32041 8 ½	3049 13 11½	204890 17 8¼	232974 3 8	
1190 " "	45008 12 "	5207 5 3	217529 34 10	175523 14 8	
" " "	12902 3 15½	1557 7 3½	" " " " "	" " "	
1053 8 "	" " "	" " "	47300 22 11¼	57450 5 2	

Errors Excepted J.K. /Signed/ C. A. Hall
Collector
Statement

…November 1854.

…wastage in the quantity stored in the District of South Arcot and Cuddalore for …

Wastage		Prime cost of the Salt wasted or the price which it cost Government	The Value of Salt to Government at the selling price	Per Centage of wastage for each Colar formerly fixed by the Collector under the Salt Rules	Explan…
…ount of …tage	Centage				
	In In G. In In				
0 252 5½	5 – 36 1 – 5 5/8	1163	— 7	— 11	
279 "	6 – 12 – 3 13/32	42	— 13	— 2	
285 "	6 – 119 – 7 13/18	53	— 13	— 1	
3 396 5½	"	1260	— 2	— 2	
288 "	6 – 123 4 9/18	79	— 8	— 1	
3 284 5½					
241 "	2 – 3 – 2 2/32	4	— 15	— 5	
1255½	"	1344	— 10	— 2	
15 "	6 – 159 – 5 25/32	335	— 2	— 8	
374 "	4 – 335 – "	15	— 8	— "	
389 "	"	350	— 10	— 8	
114 5½	"	1095	— 4	— 10	

Errors Excepted S…

Total of wastage on Gall-Nuts for Home … } 10329 — …
and Gall Nuts for Consumption at 120 …
Rupees per Garce
Do Do supplied to Steamer …
Do Do at 118 …
Government 4½ 9 8 — 2. per Garce 3 — 22½ — "
Rupees 8 — " — 2. per Do — …
Do Do supplied to Malabar …
Do — 2. 13. 6½ Coast at Rupees 42 — " per Do — …

111424 — …

130. 11. 5½

12 — 300 S… every 100 Garce
18 — 300 S… D… — D…
18 — 300 S… — D… — D…
25 — " S… — D… — D…

…e was… 1263 …ing … …ficed in th… …nation reg… …appears …

12 — 200 S… D… — D…
25 — " S… D… — D…

(Signed) A. Hall Collector

15625

Fort St. George 10th November 1854.

No. 10

No. 17 List of the Accounts accompanying the Report on the extra branches of Revenue for Fusly 1263.

A Statement of Demand Collection and Balances with an enclosure -
B Do. of Demand Collection and Balance in arrears -
1 Do. Shewing the quantity of Salt Sold -
2 Do. Do. the settlement of Sunker Sayr Revenue -
3 Do. Do. Sea Custom Collections with 2 enclosures -
4 Do. Do. Abkarry Revenue -
5 Do. Do. Sundry small Farms &c. -
6 Do. Do. Stamp Revenue -
7 Do. Do. Motunpha Revenue with an enclosure -
Printed Statements in triplicate -
H Statement shewing the Balance of Salt on hand at the beginning of the year the quantity Manufactured and sold during the year and the balance in store at its close with the financial result of the source of Revenue from Fusly 1257 to 1263 -
II Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Manufactured at each of the Pans -
J Statement shewing the place to which Salt has been exported whether by Land or Sea -
K Do. Do. the particulars of Money transactions in receipts and Disburse = ments on account of Salt for Fusleis 1262 and 1263 -
L Do. Do. the annual sales of Salt -
Statement of Salt wastage for Fusly 1263 marked E
11 Kundoory Statements -

South Arcot. - - - - -
Collectors Cutcherry. - - -
Cuddalore. 13th October 1854 -

/Signed/ A. Ball
Collector

Ordered to lie over -

To

(29-30) Order thereon -

15626

Fort St. George, 16th November 1854.

No. 103.

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre.

Sub Secretary in charge

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George

31

B. No. 4102

From the Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to furnish the report required by Government on petition No. 2059 of 1854.-

Reporting on Petition No. 2059 of 1854.-

B. dt. No. 57 of 1854 returned

There were originally three durmakurtahs nominated for the management of the Ramasawmy Pagoda at Combaconum, Of these two have died and the third Kistnasawmyengar, the petitioner, has for nearly a year been absent from the district. The cause of his absence cannot be stated with certainty as no trial has been held, but it may be suspected from the following facts. On occasion of the last mahamagum festival, His Highness the Rajah visited Combaconum, and presented to the Sucrapanedwamy Pagoda of which as well as of the Ramasawmy Pagoda Petitioner is a durmakurtah, Cloths Jewels, and other gifts to the value of eight thousand rupees. This property was placed in a box, under charge of the petitioner, and the key was retained by

by the Rajah. From certain circumstances suspicion arose in the minds of the inhabitants that this property had been made away with, and as the Dhurmakurtah absented himself, and thus ostentatiously refused to give an account of his trust the box was examined, A hole in the bottom of it was discovered, and the property had all disappeared. What has become of the property can only be guessed as long as the Dhurmakurtah absents himself.

The respectable inhabitants of Combaconum have very frequently addressed me upon the present state of the Ramasawmy Pagoda, solicited my interference, and requested me to acknowledge them as a constituted authority and formally to invest them with full power of action. They have invariably been told that the remedy of the evils they complained of was in their own hands, and that if as a body or as individuals, they would come forward and charge the Dhurmakurtah with embezzlement, the charge would be at once investigated but that I could not vest them with authority to investigate the

the charge themselves. hitherto no one has thus come forward, For several months the pagoda allowance has remained unclaimed in the talook treasury, and the service of the pagoda is carried on on credit, in a neglected manner and on a diminished scale.

Petitioner's statement that he has been dismissed from the Office of Dharmakurtah, is simply false. He has absented himself from his duties and from the district for nearly a year, but his appointment as durmakurtah of the two pagodas remains undisturbed.

The names of the persons who have formed themselves into what they term a Sabah in which capacity it was that they addressed me, are in the margin.

Reddy row.		
Hurry row	Sons of the late	
Pagoonada row	Collum Venkatrow	
Santacaramapillay	writer in the Court	
Letchmona pillay	Court nazir	
Ayatoray moonsiff	Town moonsiff	
G. Soobaroy chitty	Merchant	
Chuckrapany chetty	D°	
Rengiah cavoondah	Silk weaver	
Venkatashola chetty	D°	
Soomavara Perovoundy	D°	
Sreenevasa row		
Sungeeva row		

Reddy row is probably known to the Board as the ex Dewan of the Travancore state. He holds some hundreds of valies of land in

in Tanjore and is a native gentleman of unblemished reputation. Petitioner's statement that Reddy row "is a connection of a high functionary in this Cutcherry" is untrue - His sole connection through out the office being a subordinate Goomastah on a salary of five pagodas. The other members of the Sabah are all men of character, property and influence, and I have reason to believe that altho' no public meeting was held and no suffrage taken, the Sabah was formed with the general consent of the inhabitants.

Tanjore, Vellum
24th October 1854 } (Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

31-32

Bd. No. 2543.
Proceedings thereon

No. 5455.

Ordered that the above letter be forwarded for the information of Government with reference to Endorsement 2059 of 1854.

2. The Petitioner it appears absented himself from the sphere of his duties

duties, and a considerable embezzlement of property committed to his keeping was discovered

3. He then came up to the Government with a false statement of dismissal from office by the Collector and with misrepresentations against a body of respectable men, who had taken charge of the institution which he has himself deserted.-

---

Read again Return from the Collector of Tanjore dated 31st October and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 13th November 1854 of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants for the quarter ending 30th September 1854.

33

Bd. No. 2527.
Proceedings thereon

The Board observe in the Return recorded above that a very heavy list of fines has been imposed upon public servants by the Sub Collector and Head Assistant. The Collector is requested to call the attention of these officers to the Board's circular orders noted in the margin, and to point out to them the objection that exists to frequent fines imposed on subordinate officers.-

Circular 28th July 1825 B.O.B. p. 41
Circular 2d July 1835 B.O.B. p. 191.

Tahsildars

Tahsildars in particular.-

No. 185.

(34)

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 4157.

From the Collector of Madura ... } Sir,

Reporting on the subject of annual exhibitions of the arts manufactures and agricultural products as required by the Board's Circular of the 7th September 1854.-

In reply to your letter of the 7th September last / received the 14th Idem / I have the honor to state.-

1st That Madura appears to me to be the place best suitable for the joint agricultural meeting for the Madura and Tinnevelly Districts.- Mr. Bird thinks Streevellyputtoor and Captain Forsely, Virdupatty both in the Tinnevelly District, and I was myself for some time inclined to Streevellyputtoor, but referring to the Map that place which is 50 miles or more from Madura itself will be seen to be further from many parts of the Madura District than Madura Town is from places in the Tinnevelly. Adverting therefore to the superior accommodation and greater abundance of supply in Madura Town the latter appears to be the more eligible place.

2nd The exhibition might take place in the

the old Palace which combines the great requisites of ample space with protection from the sun. Virdoopatty is more central perhaps than either Madura or Streevellyputtoor but it possesses neither cover nor sufficient accommodation. The most convenient time will be the month of April when the crops generally have been gathered and there is a cessation from agriculture.-

2nd The answer to the 2nd question must depend on the sums allotted for prizes. Mr. C. Bird agrees with me in thinking that the chief prize should be given for Cotton the great staple of both Districts. I set down some other objects in the order of importance which they bear in my opinion, with reference to the products of the 2 Districts, Madura and Tinnevelly.-

1 Cotton (cleanliness and staple) 2 prizes { Indigenous / Foreign

2 Bullocks

3 Cows or Ghee

4 Sheep or wool fit for weaving into lumblies

5 Jaggery 2 prizes { 1 Cane / 2 Palmyra

Fort St. George 16th November 1854

6 Implements of Husbandry

7 Tobacco.

8. Oils - several prizes -

9. Indigo -

10. Fibrous materials 4 prizes -
- 1 Hemp.
- 2 Cocoanut
- 3 best collection of fibres from plants not cultivated
- 4 Rope -

11 Turmeric

12 Coffee

13 Arrow root.

14 Plantains 2 prizes
- 1 Green
- 2 dried.

15 Mangoes.

16 Cocoanuts.

17 Chillies. -

18 Betel nut

19 Oranges. -

20 Rice

21 Dry grains of all kinds

22 Pulse Do.

23 Edible Roots Do.

24 Grain

25 Senna

26 Cardamoms.

27 Wheat

28 A Reserve Fund for any thing new and worthy of encouragement

J.

Fort St. George, 16th November 1854.

I enclose a list of other products but do not put them down here as it seems impossible to give prizes for every thing - It will be observed that I enter Rice and dry grains as very low, because we may be sure that the Ryots will cultivate them carefully without special encouragement - Stimulus appears to be required, chiefly for articles of export, and for things essential to all cultivation such as Cattle and agricultural implements.

3dly Armlets and medals for the rich, money prizes for the poor - If the amount of each prize be fixed it might be offered to the successful competitor at the time with an offer to lay it out in an inscribed armlet or medal should he desire it. -

As regards arrangements it will be necessary to provide, that Ryots intending to exhibit should notify the circumstance to the Tahsildar of their Taluk a month before the date fixed for opening the Exhibition - and that the Tahsildar should send a general list as soon as possible to the Collector of his District who will forward it to the Collector

of

of the District where the Exhibition is held.-

The Collectors of Tinnevelly and Madura should each prepare a list of persons belonging to their respective Districts qualified to act as Jurors and from these a Jury of 5 persons not competitors can be appointed at the time of meeting.-

Of the area available an equal space to be allotted to each District.-

An active native Officer with a proper staff of writers and Peons to be appointed to take charge of the whole area and similar officers, one from each District, to superintend the placing of the articles of that District.-

The goods &c. to be brought to Madura not less than 3 days before the day fixed for the opening of the exhibition and each exhibitor or his agent to be furnished with a ticket shewing his name - No. on the list, and the articles to be exhibited, which ticket he is to deliver to the native officer representing his District who will point out to him the place for his articles.-

Each

Each exhibitor or his agent to attend to cause the goods to be placed and taken away as directed by Officers in charge of the area, and representing his District.-

The quantity of each kind of article to be exhibited and time of exhibition to be fixed hereafter.-

Madura, 28th. October, 1854.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

Enc=1.

List of articles of agricultural produce not specified in the letter dated 28th. October 1854.

Potatoes
Garlic
Barley
Nutmegs
Cloves
Chayroot
Ginger
Pimento
Sago meal
Salop
Tapioca

1563[illegible]

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Grapes.
Figs.
Cinnamon.
Kongilium.
Kuskus.
Opium.
Musk.
Horns.
Hides prepared.
Wax.
Honey.
Coriander.
Mustard.
Sheakoy.
Vendium.
Dye root and Bark.
Lac.
Cassia bark.
Wood Oil.
Madder.
Cocculus Indicus.
Nux Vomica.
Sarsaparilla.
Croton nuts.

Madura, 28th October 1854. } (Signed) R. D. Parker Collector.

Order thereon.—

Ordered to lie over.—

(34-35)

1563[illegible]

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

No. 137

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre.
Sub Secretary Incharge
Court of Wards.

(36)

B. No. 4360

From the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore, ... } Para 1 Sirs

Forwards the usual Statements connected with the Land Revenue settlement of Shamutloor Cotampully and Poraveepolliem Poliputs in Pulachey Taluk under the management of the Court of Wards for Fusly 1263 shows an increase of 65-2-2. above the demand of past fusly reports on the salutary plate &c. of the Poliputs and affords explanation with reference to the increase and decrease of each item.

I have the honor to forward the usual Statements+ descriptive of the details with reference to the Land Revenue Settlement of Shamatoor, Cotampully and Poraveepolliem Poliputs under the management of the Court of Wards in this District for Fusly 1263/1853-54.

2. The revenue of this Fusly exceeds that of 1262 in the sum of Rupees 65—2—2 as exhibited in the Statement below.

Names of Poliputs.	Settlement of Fusly 1262.	Settlement of Fusly 1263.	Increase.
Shamatoor and Cotampully	6,895 15 6	6,918 8 11	22 9 5
Poraveepolliem	5,175 4 1	5,217 12 10	42 8 9
Total	12,071 3 7	12,136 5 9	65 2 2

She

3.

The Jumabundy of these Poliputs was made in the Cusbah of Pulachey in common with the other Villages of that Talook, and Puttahs distributed accordingly.

4.

The number of Villages in the three Estates amounts to 16 as noted in the margin - 15 of them are inhabited and one Iyempolliem is without popilation; the Cultivation being carried on by Ryots in the neighbourhood. - the aggregate number of hamlets is 17. viz. 1. 2. 4. in Shamattoor, in Cotamputty, and 10 in Poraveepolliem which is less by two than the number entered in the accounts of the preceding Fusly, the same having been deserted by the people who have settled themselves in the neighbouring Villages. all of them are held under Ryotwarry tenure. and the mode of settlement is precisely the same as in the Circar Villages.

5.

The extent of the Source of irrigation is very limited - there is a small tank in Shamatoor with a channel of supply branching from a

a Jungle Stream - which has a rude Anicut across it - the quantity of wet land under it amounts to 122 Cawnies two thirds of which are included in the Estate, the remaining one third paying revenue to Government - these works are now under repair which will be completed shortly, Cottamputty and Poraveepolliem are not favored with this advantage - From all I can hear, it is not likely that any of the Jungle streams in the former can be made available for purposes of irrigation the ground being too high to admit of irrigation - In Poraveepolliem, it is probable that one of the streams may, with advantage, be dammed - but as reported in para 11 of Mr Molle's communication of the 1st December 1853, No 104, the feasibility or otherwise of the project requires to be examined and ascertained by the Civil Engineer's Department.

6.

It will be observed from detailed Statement No 1 that the Garden wells are few - Of the aggregate number of 133 - 39 are out of repair - the concession recently

pecently Sanctioned will be the means of bringing them into use. The Land Puttahdars and Puttahs are 424, whilst the contributors to Motirpha are 413. The population. Male and female, amounts to 3,673, and the Cattle are estimated at 6,443 head. a large portion of them lately died from want of forage and water, and the deficiency which, on a rough calculation, may be assumed at about 50 per Cent, will be observable in the accounts of the ensuing Fusly.

No 6

7. The Rent rolls show that Ryots paying large amount of Revenue are few. the cause of this is that they often consider separate Puttahs in the names of themselves, their heirs, and relations, and labourers, preferable to a collective Puttah - such separate Puttahs exempt the holder from the payment of a House tax which would otherwise be charged - and also facilitate the relinquishment of those lands they do not require - which under the late Patent System cannot be effected in

the case of a Collective Puttah.

8. The season during the Fusly under review was not favorable. the early rains were partial, and the latter falls few and far between - and altho an increase has occurred so far as the revenue is concerned, the Ryots were not well off - the produce fell short of the average quantity, and prevented the Ryots from obtaining any profit by the high prices which prevailed during the year the Sanatory state of the Poliputs was what it was in the preceding year, and no unusual loss of life occurred, but Cholera is since reported to be extensively prevailing in Poraiyepolliem and its neighbourhood - In Pulachey its ravages were fearful, nearly two hundred persons died in the course of a fortnight.

9. The annexed Memorandum will point out the variation in the price of grain which has risen above the prices of 12.62, the rates entered are those of the cusbah Town of Palachey and may be considered to apply fairly to the Poliputs also.

Description

15644 Fort St George 16th November 1854.

10

The Dittom Settle-
ment of 1262 was Rupees
11423—5—7 and that of the
present year Rupees 11538—8—6
showing an increase of 115—2—11
in favor of the latter as will
appear from the abstract
below.

Names.

15643 Fort St George 16th November 1854.

Description of grain	Price per Garce in 1262.	Price per Garce in 1262.	Increase per Centage
Paddy	94 4 4	129 7 2	52 2 10 37 5 "
Cholum	81 6 2	155 9 6	74 3 4 91 2 11
Cumboo	74 11 "	120 1 12	45 6 2 60 12 3
Horse gram	83 15 1	132 13 1	48 14 " 58 3 7
Raghee	70 7 9	121 1 12	50 9 5 71 12 4

15645.

Fort St. George

16th November 1854.

Dittom of Fusly 12.62.

Dittom of 12.63.

Comparison.

Names of Poliputs.	Actual Cultivation.	Waste Charged.	Total.	Actual Cultivation.	Waste Charged.	Total	Increase	Decrease.
	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘		
1 Shamatoor	2,635 11 1	107 " 6	2,742 11 7	2,669 10 8	105 12 7	2,775 7 3	32 11 8	" " "
2 Cotamputty	3,688 8 6	164 2 5	3,852 10 11	3,690 3 4	159 6 5	3,849 9 9	" " "	3 1 2
Total	6,324 3 7	271 2 11	6,595 6 6	6,359 14 "	265 3 "	6,625 1 "	29 10 6	" " "
3 Poraveepoliem	4,232 2 7	595 12 6	4,827 15 1	4,139 9 2	773 14 4	4,913 7 6	85 8 5	" " "
Total	10,556 6 2	866 15 5	11,423 5 7	10,499 7 2	1,039 1 4	11,538 8 6	115 2 11	" " "
Remission at the Summabundy								
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2 Teerwa Cummee	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 For waste	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 15 7	" " "	15 7	" 15 7	" " "
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	67 14 3	67 14 3	67 14 3	" " "
Net Settlement	10,556 6 2	866 15 5	11,423 5 7	" 15 7	67 14 3	68 13 10	68 13 10	" " "
Ready money Collections			113 " "	10,498 7 7	971 31	11,469 10 8	46 5 1	" " "
Moturpha			534 14 "	Ready money Collections		143 4 4	30 4 4	" " "
Total			12,071 37	Moturpha		523 6 9	" " "	11 7 3
				Total		12,136 5 9	65 2 2	" " "
Particulars								
Cultivation			10,556 6 2	Collections		10,498 7 7		
Waste Charges			26 " 8	Waste Charges		141 3 "		
Gross			840 14 9	Gross		830 " 1		
Total			11,423 5 7	Total		11,469 10 8		

The

11. The waste lands entered in the Tahsildar's Dittam, the Amount of actual cultivation, as well as the extent of waste charged to the Ryot during the Settlement inclusive of the pasturage tax are clearly exhibited in the above abstract, and no explanation sems therefore called for.

12. The small remission of "— 15— 7 on actual cultivation, has been caused by the transfer of Garden to the head of "Bagayet Turm Punjah."

13. There is no Indigo or Sugarcane grown in any of these Poliputs- the Cotton Cultivation is shown in Statement No. 7.-

14. The Extra Revenue (Sevoy Jumma) amounts to Rupees 3— 4 — 10, and has been caused by the resumption of Enam granted to a Pagoda which has fallen to decay. It has been realized in September 1854. and will appear in the accounts

accounts for Fusly 1264.-

15. The amount of Fixed Sourmadayem or ready money Collections exceeds that of the past Fusly in the sum of Rupees 30—4—4 produced chiefly by the pasturage tax under the Head of "Paravapillovary."-

16. An abstract statement shewing the general result of the settlement in comparison with the preceding Fusly, is annexed with a specification of the increase and decrease under each head of Land Revenue.-

Poliputs

15648

For 1st Sort

16th November 1854.

Polipatts and Items.		Fusly 1262. Bullahs	Assessment	Settlement	Bullahs	Fusly 1263 Assessment	Settlement	Bullahs	Increase Assessment	Settlement
Shemaloor & Coolumpuddy	Punjah Full rent	1234 4/4	5544 14 6	5544 14 6	1238 3/4	5575 11 10	5575 11 10	4 3	30 13 4	30 13 4
	Cowle	71 15	316 4 11	158 2 6	74 1/2	329 6 11	164 11 6	2 14 1/4	13 2 -	6 9 -
	Grass	226 8/2	1084 3 4	271 2 11	223 -	1060 5 5	265 3 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	1532 11 3/4	6945 6 9	5974 3 11	1536 4/4	6965 8 2	6005 10 4	3 8 3/4	20 1 5	31 6 5
	Garden Full rent	54 14 1/2	601 10 11	601 10 11	54 8/4	597 4 5	597 4 5	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Bag Punjah	3 11 3/4	49 2 7	19 7 8	4 1/2	53 9 1	21 2 8	- 6	4 6 6	1 11 -
	Total	58 10 1/4	650 13 6	621 2 7	58 10/4	650 13 6	618 7 1	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	1591 6	7596 4 3	6595 6 6	1594 14/4	7616 5 8	6624 1 5	3 8 3/4	20 1 5	28 10 11
Poraveekollum	Punjah Full rent	983 8/2	4208 5 11	4208 5 11	990 9/4	4230 14 10	4230 14 10	7 3/4	22 8 11	22 8 11
	Cowle	50 -	183 9 6	- - -	50 -	183 9 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Grass	546 9	2249 6 2	562 6 4	540 5/4	2229 11 2	557 7 7	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	1580 1/2	6641 5 7	4770 12 3	1580 14/4	6644 3 6	4788 6 5	- 13 1/4	2 13 11	17 10 2
	Garden Full rent	4 1/4	41 10 9	41 10 9	4 1/4	41 10 9	41 10 9	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Bag Punjah	1 9/4	20 2 5	8 2 7	1 9/4	20 2 5	8 2 7	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Grass	2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	7 11	91 3 5	57 2 10	7 11	91 3 5	57 2 10	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	1587 12/2	6732 9 -	4827 15 1	1588 9/4	6735 6 11	4845 9 3	- 13 1/4	2 13 11	17 10 2
Total	Punjah Full rent	2217 12 3/4	9753 4 5	9753 4 5	2229 1/4	9806 10 8	9806 10 8	11 3 3/4	53 6 3	53 6 3
	Cowle	121 15	499 14 5	158 2 6	124 13/4	513 - 5	164 11 6	2 14 1/4	13 2 -	6 9 -
	Grass	773 1/2	3333 9 6	833 9 3	763 5/4	3290 - 7	822 10 7	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	3112 13/4	13586 12 4	10745 2 2	3117 3/4	13609 11 8	10794 - 9	4 6	22 15 4	49 - 7
	Garden Full rent	58 15 3/4	643 5 8	643 5 8	58 9/4	638 15 2	638 15 2	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Bag Punjah	5 5/2	69 5 -	27 10 3	5 1/4	73 11 6	29 5 3	- 6	4 6 6	1 11 -
	Grass	2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Total	66 5/4	742 - 11	678 5 5	66 5/4	742 - 11	675 9 11	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Grand Total	3179 2/2	14328 13 3	11423 5 7	3183 8/4	14351 12 7	11469 10 8	4 6	22 15 4	46 5 1

DBG

Decrease

Bullocks	Assessment	Settlement					
3	8½	23	13	11	5	15	11
6		4	6	6	4	6	6
					2	11	6
6	3½	19	11		4	14	9
9	12	43	8	11	10	14	8
6		4	6	6	4	6	6
					2	11	6

17 The gross demand for both the Land and Extra Sources for the Fusly under review amounts to Rupees 12,136-5-9 being in advance of the demand of the previous year, by Rupees 65-2-2 as pointed out in para 2- the particulars thereof as well as the payments of Peishcush and Sibbendy charge and the net Revenue are exhibited in the following Table in comparison with Fusly 1262.-

Fusly

15651

Fort St George 16th November 1854

	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1263	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
Shamalcoor				
Land Revenue and fixed Sourradayem	2,763 3 7	2,793 15 3	30 11 8	- - -
Moturpha	187 14 -	174 10 9	- - -	13 3 3
Total	2,951 1 7	2,968 10 -	17 8 5	- - -
Deduct				
Peishcush	1,683 2 6	1,683 2 6	- - -	- - -
Village Sibbendy pay	250 - -	250 - -	- - -	- - -
Total	1,933 2 6	1,933 2 6	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	1017 15 1	1035 7 6	17 8 5	- - -
Coimbatore				
Land Revenue and fixed Sourradayem	3859 2 11	3,855 2 2	- - -	4 - 9
Moturpha	85 11 -	94 12 9	9 1 9	- - -
Total	3,944 13 11	3,949 14 11	5 1 -	- - -
Deduct				
Peishcush	1860 15 5	1860 15 5	- - -	- - -
Village Sibbendy pay	275 - -	275 - -	- - -	- - -
Total	2135 15 5	2135 15 5	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	1808 14 6	1813 15 6	5 1 -	- - -
Pondicherry				
Land Revenue and fixed Sourradayem	4,913 15 1	4,963 13 7	49 14 6	- - -
Moturpha	261 5 -	253 15 3	- - -	7 5 9
Total	5,175 4 1	5,217 12 10	42 8 9	- - -
Deduct				
Peishcush	2,804 12 6	2,804 12 6	- - -	- - -
Village Sibbendy pay	324 11 -	324 11 -	- - -	- - -
Total	3,129 7 6	3,129 7 6	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	2,045 12 7	2,088 5 4	42 8 9	- - -
Total				
Land Revenue and fixed Sourradayem	11,536 5 7	11,612 15 -	76 9 5	- - -
Moturpha	534 14 -	523 6 9	- - -	11 7 3
Total	12,071 3 7	12,136 5 9	65 2 2	- - -
Deduct				
Peishcush	6348 14 5	6,348 14 5	- - -	- - -
Village Sibbendy pay	849 11 -	849 11 -	- - -	- - -
Total	7,198 9 5	7,198 9 5	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	4,872 10 2	4,937 12 4	65 2 2	- - -

Fort St George 16th November 1854

18 The total demand for 1263 is Rupees 11,286-10-9 of which 8,494-12-1 were collected within the Fusly- Rupees 1,289-9-3 were since recovered leaving a balance of 1,502-5-5, for the realization of which due arrangements have been mad

19- The arrears at the commence-ment of the Fusly were Rupees 2,609-6-6 of which 2,086-4-10 were recovered, leav-ing Rupees 523-1-8 yet unadjusted- a large portion of this Viz.t 341-3-2 having been due by one Soobbee Chetty, his land have been sold in satisfaction there-of from the proceeds of which (310-4-) Rs. 194-6-7 will be taken to account in adjust-ment of arrears- the other portion 115-14- being to be credited on account of the cur-rent Fusly, the remainder i.e. Rupees 146-13-2 is hopeless, and I would therefore re-commend that the sanction of Government be obtained for its remission- Deducting this item from the aggregate amount of arrears, the sum recoverable is Rupees 181-14-6 for the realization of

Total arrears - - 523-1-8
Deduct due - 7 - 341-3-2
by Soobbee Chetty 181-14-6

Fort St George 16th November 1854

of which measures have been taken.-

20- The only instance in which a coercive process was employed in the Col-lection of Revenue, is the one just men-tioned, besides which no other land was disposed of, the quantity of land sold was 30-15½ Bullahs- bearing an assessment of Rupees 136-6-5- the amount offered for it was 310-8-- for further information on this point, Vide correspondence noted in the margin.-

To Court of Wards 25th May 1854
From Dt 26th June
To D.o 23rd Sept.r
From D.o 10th Octr

No advances were given

21 for cultivation in fact, this practice has been discontinued in the District for several years past.-

The Surplus collection

22 of the three Estates in question amounts to Rupees 8,647-2-3 as will be seen from Statement No 3, the monthly allow-ance due for 2 years has been paid in this year to the minor Poligar of Shamatoor and Cotamputty- a small expenditure of Rupees 28-10-3 was incurred for the repair of the House of the Poravacpolliem Poligar-

No 3
Shamatoor & Cottamputty 3739-7-10
Poravacpolliem 4907-8-9
8647-2-3

The

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.-

The charge of 1-8-0 was paid for the conveyance of certain property of the Sheris Poliput to the Honorable Treasury at Coimbato and the circumstances connected with this transfer having been previously communicated to the Court, further explanation seems precluded for.-

23- School Masters have been provided for both the Minors who receive education in Tamil.-

24 The Civil Engineer went to Pulachey some months ago for the purpose of making enquiries as to what works connected with irrigation may be undertaken with a view to benefit the Poraveepollium Estate, but in consequence of the ravages of Cholera he was unable to prosecute the same. I will however again request that Officer to take an early opportunity of visiting that part of the District. the result of whose examination will be reported to the Court in due course.

EDG

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.-

course.-

25- The accounts connected with the Revenue Settlement under report have been prepared as usual, if the Court require that they should be drawn out in the new form, I shall be prepared to do so from the ensuing year.-

Coimbatore.
8th November 1854.

/Signed/ M. J. Walhouse.-
Acting Sub Collector in Charge.-

Detailed

16th November 1854.

in the Pullachey Talook in the District of Coimbatore

		Total			Statistical No of Ryots		
Palour River	Jungle Stream	In repair	Out of repair	Total	Paying Revenue	Paying Maturpha Tax	Total
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1	2	44	17	61	98	121	219
1	1	48	21	69	129	82	211
2	3	92	38	130	227	203	430
"	3	19	1	20	190	210	400
2	6	111	39	150	417	413	830
1	2	44	17	61	100	108	208
1	1	48	21	69	130	91	221
2	3	92	38	130	230	199	429
"	3	19	1	20	194	214	408
2	6	111	39	150	424	413	837

15656

Fort St. George

No. 1 Detailed Statement shewing the settlement of Shamattoor, Cottamputty and Poravey Polliem Pollip

Pollipats	No. of Villages			Garden Wells			Particulars of irrigation		
	Villages	Hamlets	Total	In repair	Out of repair	Total	Wells for the Village community in repair	Tank in repair	Aliar River
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Samattoor	6	5	11	36	17	53	3	1	1
Cottamputty	7	3	10	42	21	63	4	"	"
Total	13	8	21	78	38	116	7	1	1
Poravey Polliem	3	11	14	16	1	17	"	"	"
Grand Total	16	19	35	94	39	133	7	1	1
Samattoor	6	4	10	36	17	53	3	1	1
Cottamputty	7	3	10	42	21	63	4	"	"
Total	13	7	20	78	38	116	7	1	1
Poravey Polliem	3	10	13	16	1	17	"	"	"
Grand Total	16	17	33	94	39	133	7	1	1

16th November 1854.

Pullachey Talook in the District of Coimbatore

		Total			Statistical A/c of Ryots		
Polour River	Jungle Stream	In repair	Out of repair	Total	Paying Revenue	Paying Maturpha Tax	Total
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1	2	44	17	61	98	121	219
1	1	48	21	69	129	82	211
2	3	92	38	130	227	203	430
"	3	19	1	20	190	210	400
2	6	111	39	150	417	413	830
1	2	44	17	61	100	108	208
1	1	48	21	69	130	91	221
2	3	92	38	130	230	199	429
"	3	19	1	20	194	214	408
2	6	111	39	150	424	413	837

15057 | |

for Fusly 1263.—

First Sr. Survey

16th November 1857.-

Particulars

No. of Puttahs			No. of Houses				
Land Revenue	Meturpha Tax	Total	Terraced	Tiled	Thatched	Total	Male
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
98	121	219	1	24	408	433	440
129	82	211	"	7	384	391	343
227	203	430	1	31	792	824	783
190	210	400	2	12	689	703	1161
417	413	830	3	43	1481	1527	1944
100	108	208	1	24	408	433	440
130	91	221	-	7	384	391	343
230	199	429	1	31	792	824	783
194	214	408	3	12	689	704	1161
424	413	837	4	43	1481	1528	1944

Population		Cattle					
Female	Total	Cows	Bullocks	She Buffaloes	He Buffaloes	Sheep	Total
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
373	813	606	611	114	36	932	2299
305	648	636	642	100	21	480	1879
678	1461	1242	1253	214	57	1412	4178
1051	2212	695	627	99	44	800	2265
1729	3673	1937	1880	313	101	2212	6443
373	813	606	611	114	36	932	2299
305	648	636	642	100	21	480	1879
678	1461	1242	1253	214	57	1412	4178
1051	2212	695	627	99	44	800	2265
1729	3673	1937	1880	313	101	2212	6443

15658 11

Fort St. Geo. 16th November 1854.

Ayacut		Deduct Poramboke		Poonjah				Remainder Garden		Total		Nunjah				
Poonjah and Garden Bullahs	Nunjah Cawnies	Poonjah Garden Bullahs	Nunjah Cawnies	Bullahs	Assessment		Bullahs	Assessment	Bullahs	Assessment		Cawnies	Assessment		Total Assessment	
36	37	38	39	40	41		42	43	44	45		46	47		48	
1242	5¾	71	10	102	"	35	2¼	1039	½	4342	1 7	41	5¼	430	4 6	1080	5¾	4772 6 1	36	7¾	266 3 6	5038 9 7
1194	2½	71	9¾	91	2¾	35	2¼	1080	1	5130	" 3	22	14¾	289	7 5	1102	15¾	5419 7 8	36	7½	266 4 1	5085 11 9
2436	8¼	143	3¾	253	2¾	70	4½	2119	1½	9472	1 10	64	4	719	11 11	2183	5½	10191 13 9	72	15¼	532 7 7	10724 5 4
3338	14¾	"	"	87	9¾	"	"	3231	10¼	12721	9 10	19	10¾	218	4 7	3251	5	12940 8 5	"	"	" " "	12940 8 5
5775	7 143	3¾	340	12½	70	4½	5350	11¾	22193	11 8	83	14¾	938	10 6	5434	10½	23132 6 2	72	15¼	532 7 7	23064 13 9
1222	5¾	71	10	102	"	35	2¼	1039	½	4342	1 7	41	5¼	430	4 6	1080	5¾	4772 6 1	36	7¾	266 3 6	5038 9 7
1194	2½	71	9¾	90	2¾	35	2¼	1081	1	5134	11 5	22	14¾	289	7 5	1103	15¾	5424 2 10	36	7½	266 4 1	5090 6 11
2436	8¼	143	3¾	252	2¾	70	4½	2120	1½	9476	13 "	64	4	719	11 11	2184	5½	10196 8 11	72	15½	532 7 7	10729 " 6
3338	14¾	"	"	86	13¾	"	"	3232	6¼	12724	5 11	19	10¾	218	4 7	3252	1	12943 4 6	"	"	" " "	12943 4 6
5775	7 143	3¾	339	½	70	4½	5352	7¾	22201	2 11	83	14¾	938	10 6	5436	½	23139 13 5	72	15¼	532 7 7	23072 5 "

Deduct Enam Poonjah Bullahs	Poonjah Assessment	Nunjah Cawnies	Nunjah Assessment	Total Assessment of Poonjah and Nunjah	Remainder Garden Poonjah Bullahs	Poonjah Assessment
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
24 6½	115 1 10	1 12	14 2 2	129 4 "	1014 10	1226 15 9
27 8¼	107 7 2	1 11¾	10 2 3	117 9 5	1052 8¾	5022 9 1
51 14¾	2229 "	3 7¾	24 4 5	246 13 5	2068 2¾	9254 "
57 4¾	2225 7 " "	" "	" "	2225 7	3174 5½	12499 4 3
109 3½	44414 7 3 7¾	24 4 5		469 3 "	5241 8¼	21748 13 1
24 6½	115 1 10	1 12	14 2 2	129 4 "	1014 10	1226 15 9
27 8¼	107 7 2	1 11¾	10 2 3	117 9 5	1053 8¾	5027 4 3
51 14¾	2229 "	3 7¾	24 4 5	246 13 5	2068 2¾	9254 "
57 4¾	2225 7 " "	" "	" "	2225 7	3175 1½	12502 " 4
109 3½	44414 7 3 7¾	24 4 5		469 3 "	5243 4¼	21756 4 5

Total Poonjah and Garden Bullahs	Assessment	Nunjah Cawnies	Assessment
56	57	58	59	60	61
21 5¼	430 4 6	1055 15¼	4657 4 3	34 11¾	252 1 4
22 14¾	289 7 5	1075 7½	5312 " 6	34 11¾	256 1 10
64 4	719 11 11	2131 6¾	9969 4 9	69 7½	508 3 2
19 10¾	218 14 7	3194 ¼	12718 2 10	" "	" "
83 14¾	938 10 6	5325 7	22687 7 7	69 7½	508 3 2
21 5¼	430 4 6	1055 15¼	4657 4 3	34 11¾	252 1 4
22 14¾	289 7 5	1076 7½	5310 11 8	34 11¾	256 1 10
64 4	719 11 11	2132 6¾	9973 15 11	69 7½	508 3 2
19 10¾	218 14 7	3194 12¼	12720 14 11	" "	" "
83 14¾	938 10 6	5327 3	22694 14 10	69 7½	508 3 2

15666

Fort St. George 18th November 1854.-

Deduct land in the occupation of the Garden

Total Assessment	Poonjah Bullahs	Assessment	Bullahs	Assessment
62	63	64	65	66
4909 5 7	105 4	451 6 4	1 14	22 12 8
5568 2 4	43 15 3/4	203 5 2	1 2 1/2	15 4 7
10477 7 11	149 3 3/4	654 11 6	3 1/2	40 1 3
12718 2 10	281 13 1/4	1084 1 10	11 15 3/4	127 11 2
23195 10 9	431 1	1738 13 4	15 1/4	167 12 5
4909 5 7	105 4	451 6 4	1 14	22 12 8
5572 13 6	43 15 3/4	203 5 2	1 2 1/2	15 4 7
10482 3 1	149 3 3/4	654 11 6	3 1/2	40 1 3
12720 14 11	281 13 1/4	1084 1 10	11 15 3/4	127 11 2
23203 2 "	431 1.	1738 13 4	15 1/4	167 12 5

Mother and Grande Mother &c. Rent free—

Total Bullahs	Assessment	Nunjah Cawnies	Assessment	Total Assessment
67	68	69	70	71
107 2	476 3 "	33 3 3/4	236 4 8	712 7 8
45 2 1/4	218 9 9	33 3 3/4	236 4 8	454 14 5
152 4 1/4	694 12 9	66 7 1/2	472 9 4	1167 6 1
293 13	1211 13 "	" "	" "	1211 13 "
446 1 1/4	1906 9 9	66 7 1/2	472 9 4	2379 3 1
107 2	476 3 "	33 3 3/4	236 4 8	712 7 8
45 2 1/4	218 9 9	33 3 3/4	236 4 8	454 14 5
152 4 1/4	694 12 9	66 7 1/2	472 9 4	1167 6 1
293 13	1211 13 "	" "	" "	1211 13 "
446 1 1/4	1906 9 9	66 7 1/2	472 9 4	2379 3 1

15661

Fort St. George

16th November 1854.

Waste								Total Rent free							
Poonjah		Garden		Total				Nunjah		Poonjah		Garden			
Bullahs	Assessment	Bullahs	Assessment	Bullahs	Assessment	Cawnies		Assessment	Total Assessment of Poonjah Garden and Nunjah	Bullahs	Assessment	Bullahs	Assessment		
72	73	74	75	76	77	78		79	80	81	82	83	84		
237	12¼	91	7 8 5	1	15¼	21	7 8	239	11½	939	0 1	7	8	15 12 8	954 12 9	343 ¼	1,308 14 9	3 13¼	40 4 4
147	7	73	14 2	0	10	7	5 6	148	1	739	3 8	1	8	19 13 2	759 0 10	191 6¾	935 3 4	1 12½	22 10 1
385	3¼	164	9 6	2	9¼	28	13 2	387	12½	1678	3 9	3	0	35 9 10	1,713 13	534	2,304 2 1	5 9¾	68 14 5
1,312	6¾	4,773	12 10	0	0	0	0	1,312	6¾	4,773	12 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,697	10	6,423	3 5	2	9¼	28	13 2	1,700	3¼	6,452	0 7	3	0	35 9 10	6,487 10 5	2,128 11	8,102 0 9	17 9½	190 9 7
230	13¼	87	9 3 10	1	15¼	21	7 8	232	12½	900	11 6	1	8	15 12 8	916 8 2	336	1,330 10 2	3 13¼	46 4 4
151	13¼	75	4 12 6	0	10	7	5 6	152	7¼	762	0	1	8	19 13 2	781 5 2	195 13	958 1 8	1 12½	22 10 1
382	10½	1,034	0 4	2	9¼	28	13 2	385	3¾	1,062	13 6	3	0	35 9 10	1,698 7 4	531 14¼	2,288 11 10	5 9¾	88 14 5
1,312	5½	4,773	11 0	0	0	0	0	1,312	5½	4,773	11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,695	0	6,407	11 4	2	9¼	28	13 2	1,697	9¼	6,438	8 6	3	0	35 9 10	6,472 2 4	2,126 1	8,140 8 8	17 9½	190 9 7

Fort St. George

16th November 1854.

Total - Bullocks		Total - Assessment		Total Rent free Kan.d / Nunjah - Cawnies		Assessment	Total Assessment	Bullocks
85		86		87		88	89	90
346	13 1/2	1415	3 1	34	11 3/4	252 1 4	1667 4 5	511 12 3/4
193	3 1/4	957	13 5	34	11 3/4	258 1 10	1213 15 3	722 7 1/2
540	3/4	2373	0 6	09	7 1/2	508 3 2	2881 3 8	1234 4 1/2
1006	3 3/4	5985	9 10	"	"	" " "	5985 9 10	9838 1/2
2146	4 1/2	8358	10 4	09	7 1/2	508 3 2	8806 13 6	2217 12 3/4
339	14 1/2	1376	14 6	34	11 3/4	252 1 4	1628 15 10	515 11 3/4
197	9 1/2	980	11 9	34	11 3/4	258 1 10	1236 13 7	722 11 1/2
537	8	2357	10 3	09	7 1/2	508 3 2	2865 13 5	1238 7 1/2
1006	2 1/2	5985	8 "	"	"	" " "	5985 8 "	9902 1/2
2143	10 1/2	8343	2 3	09	7 1/2	508 3 2	8851 5 5	2229 1/2

Remainder in the Ordinary Occupation of Ryots -

Poonjah - Survey assessment and Settlement - Bullocks	Assessment	Permanent - 1/2 Cowle - Settlement - Bullocks	Assessment	Free of Rent - Settlement - Bullocks	Assessment	Settlement -
91	92	93	94	95	96	97 -
2113 8	71 15	316 4 11	158 2 6	" "	" "	" "
3431 5 10	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
5544 6	71 15	316 4 11	158 2 6	" "	" "	" "
4208 5 11	" "	" "	" "	50 "	183 9 6	" "
9753 4 5	71 15	316 4 11	158 2 6	50 "	183 9 6	" "
2143 10 9	74 13 1/4	329 6 11	164 11 6	" "	" "	" "
3432 1 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
5575 11 10	74 13 1/4	329 6 11	164 11 6	" "	" "	" "
4230 14 10	" "	" "	" "	50 "	183 9 6	" "
9806 10 8	74 13 1/4	329 6 11	164 11 6	50 "	183 9 6	" "

15663

Fort St. Geo

16th November 1854

Total Cowle-			Gross-						Total			Ayen Garden Lands			Bagayet Turm Poonjalo-		
Bullahs-	Assessment	Settlement	Bullahs	Assessment	Settlement	Bullahs			Assessment	Settlement	Bullahs	Survey assessment and Settlement	Bullahs		Survey Assessment	Settlement	
98	99	100	101	102	103	104			105	106	107	108	109		110	111	
71 15	316 4 11	158 2 6	87 14	428 3 5	107 . 6	671 9½			2,858 1 .	2,378 11 8	34	15¼ 350 9 11	2	8¾	33 6 3	13 6 .	
" "	" " "	" " "	138 10½	655 15 11	164 2 5	861 2			4,087 5 9	3,595 8 3	19	15¼ 251 1 .	1	3	15 12 4	6 1 8	
71 15	316 4 11	158 2 6	226 8½	1,084 3 4	271 2 11	1532 11½			6,945 6 9	5,974 3 11	54	14½ 601 10 11	3	11¾	49 2 7	19 7 8	
50 .	183 9 6	" " "	54 6 9	224 6 2	56 2 6	1580 1½			6,641 5 7	4,770 12 3	4	1¼ 41 10 9	1	9¾	20 2 5	8 2 7	
121 15	499 14 5	158 2 6	773 1½	3333 9 6	833 9 3	3112 13			13,586 12 4	10,745 . 2	58	15¾ 643 5 8	5	5½	69 5 .	27 10 3	
74 13¼	329 6 11	164 11 6	87 15¾	423 3 11	105 12 7	678 8½			2,896 5 7	2,414 2 10	34	9¼ 346 3 5	2	14¾	37 12 9	15 1 .	
" "	" " "	" " "	135 ¼	657 1 6	159 6 5	857 12½			4,069 2 7	3,591 7 6	19	15¼ 251 1 .	1	3	15 12 4	6 1 8	
74 13¼	329 6 11	164 11 6	223 .	1,080 5 5	265 3 .	1530 5½			6,965 8 2	6,005 10 4	54	8½ 597 4 5	4	1¾	53 9 1	21 2 8	
50 .	183 9 6	" " "	540 5½	2229 11 2	557 7 7	1580 1½			6,644 3 6	4,788 6 5	4	1¼ 41 10 9	1	9¾	20 2 5	8 2 7	
124 13¼	513 . 5	164 11 6	763 5½	3290 . 7	822 10 7	3117 3½			13,609 11 8	10,794 . 9	58	9¾ 638 15 2	5	11½	73 11 6	29 5 3	

Obj

15664

Fort St George

16th November 1854

Bagayet grofs-				Total			Total Bagayet and Sooruja			Fixed Sourradayem			
Bullocks	Assessment	Settlement		Bullocks	Assessment	Settlement	Bullocks	Assessment	Settlement	Tax on Trees-	Hill rent	Total	Tax including fixed Sourradayems-
112	113	114		115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124
- - - - - - - - -				37 8	384 - 2	363 15 11	709	1 3/4	324 2 1 2	27 4 2 11 7	20 8 - - - -	20 8 -	2,763 3 7
- - - - - - - - -				21 2 1/4	266 13 4	257 2 8	882	4 1/4	4,354 3 1	3,852 10 11	6 8 - - - -	6 8 -	3,859 2 11
- - - - - - - - -				58 10 1/4	650 13 6	621 2 1	1,591	6	7,596 4 3	6595 6 6	27 - - - - -	27 - -	6,622 6 6
2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	7 11	91 3 5	57 2 10		1587	12 1/2	6732 9 -	4,827 15 1	27 - -	59 - -	86 - - 4,913 15 1
2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	66 5 1/4	742 - 11	678 5 5		3,179	2 1/2	14,328 13 3	11,423 5 7	54 - -	59 - -	113 - - 11,536 5 7
- - - - - - - - -				37 8	384 - 2	361 4 5	716	3/4	3,280 5 9	2,775 7 3	18 8 - - - -	18 8 -	2,793 15 3
- - - - - - - - -				21 2 1/4	266 13 4	257 2 8	878	14	4,335 15 11	3,848 10 2	6 8 - - - -	6 8 -	3,855 2 2
- - - - - - - - -				58 10 1/4	650 13 6	618 7 1	1,594	14 3/4	7,616 5 8	6,624 1 5	25 - - - - -	25 - -	6,649 1 5
2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	7 11	91 3 5	57 2 10		1,585	9 3/4	6,735 6 11	4,845 9 3	27 - -	91 4 4	118 4 4 4,963 13 7
2 -	29 6 3	7 5 6	66 5 1/4	742 - 11	675 9 11		3,183	8 1/2	14,351 12 7	11,469 10 8	52 - -	94 4 4	146 4 4 11,612 15 -

Fort St George 16th November 1854

Moturpha

Tax on Houses	Tax on Looms	Tax on Shops	Professional Tax	Total	Total including Moturpha	Deduct charges on account of Establishment	Remainder	Deduct Peishcush to Government	Net Surplus
125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134
31 11 -	92 6 9	8 12 10	54 15 5	187 14 -	2,951 1 7	250 - -	2,701 1 7	1,683 2 6	1,017 15 1
43 2 1	8 12 10	5 4 6	28 7 7	85 11 -	3,944 13 11	275 - -	3,669 13 11	1,860 15 5	1,808 14 6
74 13 1	101 3 7	14 1 4	83 7 -	273 9 -	6,895 15 6	525 - -	6,370 15 6	3,544 1 11	2,826 13 7
48 7 1	58 2 10	18 8 1	136 3 -	261 5 -	5,175 4 1	324 11 -	4,850 9 1	2,804 12 6	2,045 12 7
123 4 2	159 6 5	32 9 5	219 10 -	534 14 -	12,071 3 7	849 11 -	11221 8 7	6348 14 5	4,872 10 2
24 10 4	94 12 9	8 12 10	46 6 10	174 10 9	2,968 10 0	250 - -	2,718 10 -	1,683 2 6	1,035 7 6
49 4 8	18 12 8	1 3 -	25 8 5	94 12 9	3,949 14 11	275 - -	3,674 14 11	1,860 15 5	1,813 15 6
73 15 -	113 9 5	9 15 10	71 15 3	269 7 6	6,918 8 11	525 - -	6,393 8 11	3,544 1 11	2,849 7 -
42 4 5	62 9 3	13 3 9	135 13 10	253 15 3	5,217 12 10	324 11 -	4,893 1 10	2,804 12 6	2,088 5 4
116 3 5	176 2 8	23 3 7	207 13 1	523 6 9	12,136 5 9	849 11 -	11,286 10 9	6,348 14 5	4,937 12 4

Coimbatore. 11th July 1854.

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Acting Sub Collector in charge

Statement

15666

Fort St George 16th November 1854

No 2 Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of the Shamuttoor Cotamputty and Poravepolliem Polliputs in the Polachy Talook in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1263.-

Names of the Polliputs	Total Demand	Within the Fusly Collection	Upto the end of October 1854	Total Collection	Balance
Shamuttoor	2,718 10 ,	1,972 12 6	350 , ,	2322 12 6	395 13 6
Cotamputty	3,674 14 11	2442 5 5	450 , ,	2892 5 5	782 9 6
Total	6393 8 11	4415 1 11	800 , ,	5215 1 11	1178 7 -
Poravepolliem	4893 1 10	4079 10 2	489 9 3	4569 3 5	323 14 5
Grand Total	11,286 10 9	8494 12 1	1289 9 3	9784 5 4	1502 5 5

Coimbatore 11th July 1854. E E [illegible]

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Acting Sub Collector in Charge

Account

15667

Fort St George 16th November 1854

No 3. Account Current shewing the receipts and disbursements on account of Shamatoor Cotamputty and Poraveapolliem Polliputs in the Polachy Talook in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1263.-

Particulars	Shamuttoor and Cotamputty	Poraveapolliem	Total
Amount of balance remaining in the Treasury under the Head of Deposit on the 11th July 1853	3836 6 5	4505 11 7	8342 2 0
Add receipts for Fusly 1263-			
Collections of Land Revenue for Fusly 1263	4415 1 11	4079 10 2	8494 12 1
Do 1262	700 - -	710 15 9	1410 15 9
Do 1261	82 11 2	11 - -	93 11 2
Do 1260	38 10 2	- - -	38 10 2
Do 1259	4 14 8	- - -	4 14 8
	5241 5 11	4801 9 11	10042 15 10
Total	9077 12 4	9307 5 6	18385 1 10
Deduct disbursements.-			
Amount of Seisheash for Fusly 1263 as per Statement No 4	3544 1 11	2804 12 6	6348 14 5
Amount disbursed on account of subsistence of the minor Poligar of Shamuttoor as per sanction of Court of Wards dated 27th May 1841 from June 1852 to May 1854 at 50 Rupees per month	1200 - -	- - -	1200 - -
Do Do of the Minor Poligar of Poraveapolliem as per Do dated 17th February 1851 from July 1853 to June 1854 @ 50 Rs per Mensem	- - -	600 - -	600 - -
Pay of the Establishment kept up from 1st to 24th July 1853	13 15 -	- - -	13 15 -
Do Do from July 1853 to June 1854 after deducting stoppages &c	- - -	394 5 7	394 5 7
Amount disbursed for repairing the House of the Minor Poligar	- - -	28 10 3	28 10 3
Do Do for repairing Yalavaccary Channel	1000 - -	- - -	1000 - -
Hire paid for conveying the Estates of the Minor Poligar to Coimbatore	1 8 -	- - -	1 8 -
Amount of Commission charged on the Estates @ 1½ per Cent on the gross Collection of Land Revenue &c for Fusly 1263 and Carried to the credit of Government	78 9 11	72 - 5	150 10 4
Total	5838 2 10	3899 12 9	9737 15 7
Balance remaining in the Treasury under the Head of Deposit on the 11th July 1854	3239 9 6	5407 8 9	8647 2 3

Coimbatore 11th July 1854 - E E Tyrr

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Act Sub Collector in Charge

Statement

15668

Fort St George 16th November 1854

No 4. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Peishcush of the Poliputs of Shamuttoor Cotamputty and Poravespolliem for Fusly 1263.-

Names of the Poliputs	Total Peishcush Demand	Collection within the Fusly	Balance
1	2	3	4
Shamuttoor	1683 2 6	1683 2 6	- - -
Cotamputty	1860 15 5	1860 15 5	- - -
Total	3544 1 11	3544 1 11	- - -
Poravespolliem	2804 12 6	2804 12 6	- - -
Grand Total	6348 14 5	6348 14 5	- - -

Coimbatore 11th July 1854. E E y/fr

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Asst Sub Collector in Charge

Statement

1569

Fort St George 16th November 1854

No 5. Statement shewing the Collection and Balance of arrears of the Shamuttoor Cotampully and Poravepolliem Soliputs in the Pulachey Talook in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1263.

Names of the Soliputs.	Fuslies	Balance on the 11th July 1263.	Collection up to the end of Fusly 1263	Subsequent Collection up to the 31st October 1854	Total Collection	Balances
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Shamuttoor	1260	14 12 9	14 12 9	"	14 12 9	" " "
	1261	54 6 10	" " "	54 6 10	54 6 10	" " "
	1262	367 15 1	300 " "	67 15 1	367 15 1	" " "
	Total	437 2 8	314 12 9	122 5 11	437 2 8	" " "
Cotamputty	1259	28 6 8	4 14 8	" " "	4 14 8	23 8 "
	1260	106 15 7	23 13 5	12 15 8	36 13 1	70 2 6
	1261	269 9 2	82 11 2	72 3 5	154 14 7	114 10 7
	1262	858 14 6	400 " "	322 8 1	722 8 1	136 6 5
	Total	1263 15 11	511 7 3	407 11 2	919 2 5	344 11 6
	Grand Total	1701 " 7	826 4 "	530 1 1	1356 5 1	344 11 6
Poravepolliem	1261	8 15 7	" " "	8 " "	8 " "	15 7
	1262	170 3 "	" " "	8 " "	11 " "	159 3 "
	1263	729 3 4	11 " "	" " "	710 15 9	18 3 7
	Total	908 5 11	710 15 9	" " "	710 15 9	178 6 2
	Grand Total	2609 6 6	721 15 9	8 " "	729 15 9	178 6 2
			1548 3 9	538 1 1	2086 4 10	523 1 8

Coimbatore 11th July 1854. E E Tyn

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Asst Sub Collector in charge

Rent

15670

Fort St George 16th November 1854

No 6. Rent Roll of the Minor Polliputs of Shamuttoor & Cottampuctty and Poraveepolliem in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1263:-

	Shamuttoor		Cottampuctty		Poraveepolliem		Total	
	No	Amount	No	Amount	No	Amount	No	Amount
Paying 1000 Rs and upwards								
D: between 750 and 1000 Rs								
D: D: 500 and 750 D:								
D: D: 250 and 500 D:								
D: D: 200 and 250 D:								
D: D: 150 and 200 D:								
D: D: 100 and 150 D:	1	164 . 2					1	164 . 2
D: D: 75 and 100 D:	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
D: D: 50 and 75 D:	4	326 9 7	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
D: D: 40 and 50 D:	4	227 13 9	1	116 8 1			2	245 . 1
D: D: 30 and 40 D:	9	383 10 2	1	128 8 .			5	404 1 1
D: D: 20 and 30 D:	10	345 12 5	1	77 7 6	"	"	25	1445 7 8
D: D: 10 and 20 D:	16	389 10 10	9	511 8 6	12	706 1 5	24	1043 15 7
D: Under 10 Rupees	25	357 10 8	5	219 10 .	10	440 11 5	40	1381 6 6
	10	72 12 5	13	453 4 1	17	582 6 .	57	1381 7 4
			16	375 13 9	25	615 14 9	76	1111 9 1
			25	362 6 7	26	391 7 10	55	341 8 4
			14	104 3 5	31	164 8 6		
Total	79	2268 . .	84	2232 13 10	122	3017 10 .	285	7518 7 10
Joint Puttahs	21	525 15 3	46	1622 4 4	72	1946 3 7	139	4094 7 2
Grand Total	100	2793 15 3	130	3855 2 2	194	4963 13 7	424	11612 15 .

Coimbatore. 11th July 1854.-

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Asst Sub Collector in Charge

Comparative

15671 ||

Fort St. George

16th November 1854

No. 7. Comparative Statement Shewing the Extent of Cotton cultivation in the Minor Poliputs of Shamuttoor Cotamputty and Poraviepollicum in the District of Coimbatore between Fuslies 1262 and 1263.—

Names of Poliputs	Fusly 1262 Cawnies	Settlement	Fusly 1263 Cawnies	Settlement	Increase Cawnies	Settlement	Decrease Cawnies	Settlement
Shamuttoor	10 6/16	19 3 1	9 9/16	17 " "			" " " 13/16	2 2 11
Cottamputty	20 8/16	40 2 5	31 14/16	59 7 4	10 12 3/4 16	19 4 11	" " " "	" " " "
Total	30 14/16	59 5 6	40 14/16	76 7 4	10 12 3/4 16	19 4 11	13/16	2 2 11
Poraviepollicum	74 7/16	191 4 10	511 15/16	904 3 "	437 8/16	712 14 4	" " " "	" " " "
Total	105 5/16	250 10 4	552 13/16	980 10 3	448 5/16	732 3 3	13/16	2 2 11
Deduct Decrease							13/16	2 2 11
Net							447 1/2	730 " 4

Coimbatore 11th July 1854.

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Asst Sub Collector in Charge

(36-37)
Order thereon. Ordered to lie over. Statement.

15672

A. after Bz. No. 4341-

No 2 - Statement shewing the Balance of Salt on hand at the beginning of the year the Quantity Manufactured during the year and the Quantity Stored annually from the manufactures of the previous and current years including gain by measurement Spontaneous and Smuggled Salt &c. and close with the Financial Results of this source of Revenue from Fort St George.

38

Fuslies -

1	Balance on hand at the commencement of the year.	Quantity manufactured during the year including gain by measurement Spontaneous and Smuggled Salt &c.	Total in Stores as per Cols 2 and 4	Quantity sold during the year - Quantity sold for consumption within the District.	Quantity sold for consumption within the Territories exclusive of Column 6	Quantity sold for Transportation across the frontier for the supply of the native States in the interior	Quantity sold to French Government	Exportation by Sea - Underrequisition from Government	Exportation by Sea - On private account whether to Calcutta or other places
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	In'ds M'ds S T	In'ds M'ds S T	In'ds M'ds S T	In'ds M'ds S T	In'ds M'ds S T	In'ds M'ds S T	In'ds M'ds S T			
1258	347978 14 70	563555 23 70	563558 23 70	911533 38 60	199597 35 30	93342 36 ,	153110 28 ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,
1259	429044 32 40	725397 34 70	725397 34 70	1154442 27 30	196822 21 10	92066 , ,	165957 12 ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,
1260	670459 17 ,	484784 22 30	484784 22 30	1155243 39 30	198437 11 10	101079 12 ,	163695 24 ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,
1261	649926 39 75	525700 21 25	525700 21 25	1175627 21 20	200760 36 60	79535 16 ,	163972 8 ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,
1262	674236 23 15	552338 32 40	552338 32 40	1226575 15 55	192764 8 40	91410 12 ,	176103 24 ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,
1263	766297 11 15	630832 35 ,	630832 35 ,	1397130 6 15	209714 13 75	73648 20 ,	165645 , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,

16th November 1854

and sold during the year and the Balance in Store at its 1258 to 1263.—

Wastage	Total Cols 6 to 12—	Balance in Store at the close of the year prediffer-ence between Cols 5 and 13—	Gross Revenue derived from the Sale of Salt in Col^n 13—	Deduct Charges of all descriptions exclusive of recoverables advanced.—
12	13	14	15	16
In^n Mds S T	In^n Mds S T	In^n Mds S T	Rs a p	Rs a p
36437 26 70	4,82,489 6 20	4,29,044 32 40	4,45,503 4 3	1,11,939 13 10
29,142 17 20	4,83,983 10 30	6,70,459 17 ,	4,54,175 2 6	1,41,066 . .
42,104 32 25	50,53,16 39 35	6,49,926 39 75	4,62,429 1 8	87,061 6 7
57,122 17 25	1,01,390 38 5	6,74,236 23 15	4,43,175 5 11	98,085 4 4
. . .	4,60,278 4 40	7,66,297 11 15	4,59,641 14 8	99,871 4 10
160,718 37 30	6,09,726 31 25	7,87,403 14 70	4,48,005 15 4	1,16,741 6 3

15673 Fort St George 16th November 1854.

Net Revenue

Remarks

17

Rs a p

18

3335636 5

3135109 2 6

3753647 11 1

3450900 1 7

3597700 9 10

3312649 1

The Salt was sold at the Monopoly price of 1 Rupee per Maund both for Home and Inland consumption, except to the Islanders of Ameendavi, who are allowed Salt from the Government store at the prime cost under orders of Government &c dated 12th April 1847.—

Mangalore 31st October 1854.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector

(38-39) Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

JGS

15674 Fort St George 16th November 1854.

No. 203.

To
B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(40)

B. No. 4248.

From the Actg Collector of Madras — — — Sir, & Para 1.

Reporting on the progress that Mr Walker has made in the Survey of St Thome and Suggesting certain observations thereon.

In conformity with the instructions from the Government 6th Jany 1854 Board of Revenue 16th March Para 6. noted in Margin, to which attention was called in Mr Cunliffe's letter dated 9th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit Mr Walker's report on the progress of his Survey to the 18th of that Month, as also a previous correspondence with him.—

2 It will be observed that the Surveyor states that he has completed five hundred and forty Seven holdings of which he sends an abstract of the first 500— This abstract shows that the Surveyor has found 176 holdings without title &c, containing 139 cov 12 gro 300 sft— Many of which will probably be found liable to assessment under Act XII of 1851.—

3. The disbursements on account of the Survey to this time amount to Rs 2810 — 7 a — 2 p as follows.— April

	With Surveyor			With Collector.					
April	264	-	-	67	15	-	331	15	-
May	264	-	-	81	-	-	345	-	-
June	263	4	-	81	-	-	344	4	-
July	263	8	-	81	-	-	344	8	-
August	263	8	-	81	-	-	344	8	-
September	270	8	2	81	-	-	351	8	2
October	283	8	-	81	-	-	364	8	-
November	283	8	-	81	-	-	364	8	-
Total	2155	12	2	634	15	-	2790	11	2
				Survey Materials			19	12	-
				Grand Total.			2810	7	2

4 As the Board invite any observations on the Subject I may have to offer, I will only say that the very small variation that appears between Mr. Walker's measurements and those of our Registers on land which is entered on the latter, Strengthens the opinion I have from the first entertained that provided the outlines and area of each Subdivision be laid down correctly by Mr. Walker the Separate holdings may be filled up from our accounts to a great Extent, and that what we want is not so much a remeasurement, but a correct List of all the holdings and a Map Showing the place of each.—

5 In inquiring into the claims to Exemption from Quit Rent, it has been found that some important correspondence which has passed on this

this Subject is not forthcoming on these Records. A list of Such documents is appended, and if they are to be found in the Board's or Records, I beg to be favored with them.—

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Madras, - - - -
8th. November, 1854.

Memorandum.

1 How the cases unsettled in Sawmy Naick's Statements sent to Board on the 1st. September 1802. No. 2 and 4 were Subsequently disposed of.—

2 Board's reply to the Collector's letter dated 28th. February 1807, Sending a list of lands for exemption Certificates.—

3 The result of the Survey approved of by Government as communicated in the Board's letter dated 23d. April 1811.—

4 The List of lands sent to Board on the 21st. December 1826.—

5 The List of Lands recommended for exemption and returned by the Board on the 15th. October 1827.—

No. 1.

Memorandum.

Mr. Walker is requested to furnish a report of his work at the expiration of Six Months from its Commencement. He should state the progress of Maps, the number and extent of

of holdings measured, the number, area and Estimated assessment of lands that have hitherto Escaped assessment whether as Excess on lands held under grant or Certificate, or lands for which no grant has been issued, also judging from the work now done the probable time required to Complete the Village.—

1st. September 1854 } /Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector.—

To.

H. Stokes Esqre.
Collector of
Madras.—

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum of the 15th. Instant, I beg leave to State that I cannot at present furnish the information therein required as there are some particulars which I must obtain a Knowledge of from the Cutcherry. On the receipt of your letter, I directed the Registrar to furnish me with the particulars, but in Consequence of some portion of the rough Lists not being filled up, he was unable to do so. The Writer in the Cutcherry has, I believe, since been Employed in filling them up, and the Registrar informs me that they will be ready by tomorrow Evening. After which I will as soon as possible fur-

you with the required information.—

With reference to the latter part of your Memorandum, 6 Months have already Elapsed since I commenced the Survey. But on receiving the appointment from Mr. Bayley, I told him that I could not undertake to finish it in less than 9 Months. At that time I was not fully aware of the intricate nature of the work and the troubles I should meet with, or I should Certainly have required a longer period. I do not think that it can be finished under 6 Months from the present date.—

I am at present Engaged upon some papers connected with the Survey, which Mr. Bayley desired me to furnish him with as soon as possible.—

St. Thomé — 19th. September 1854 } /Signed/ W. H. Walker
Revenue Surveyer

To.

The Acting Collector of
Madras.—

Sir,

With respect to the information required in the letter from the Secretary to the Revenue Board to your address dated on the 9th. October 1854. I have now the honor to report the progress of the Survey of the St. Thomé Division.— The

Fort St. George 16th. November 1854

2. The Survey (altho' the Establishment was entertained from the 1st March 1854) did not actually Commence till the 16th of March - this delay was occasioned by time being required to issue Official Notices in the Fort St. George Gazette, and otherwise, to Land Holders and occupants, that the Survey was about to Commence.—

3. The Establishment entertained on the 1st of March 1854 consisted of myself, my Assistant (Mr. Cooke) 1 Tindall, and 6 Lascars, which was increased on the 20th August 1854 by a Native Writer, the Monthly pay of which formerly amounted to about Rupees 263—8—, but since the Writer has been Employed, this has been increased to Rupees 283—8—.—

4 With respect to the progress of the work, all that portion of the Division South of the Road leading from the Cenotaph, to the Sudr Court, the Luz Church, Mr. Seth Sam's house and ground on the Cutcherry Road, thence thro' Mylapoor past the large tank, to the Nawaubs property opposite Quibble Island (at present occupied by Mr. Richardson) has been Surveyed, platted, and the Area of each holding Calculated. The Number of Holdings in this portion of the District Amounts to

Fort St. George 16th. November 1854

to 527. of the first 500.—
253 Are now paying Quit Rent.—
56 Held as Maniums land exempt from Quit Rent.
175 Held without title.
16 Unoccupied holdings Tank wells &c.
500 Total.—

The areas of which may be seen on reference to the following.—

	According to the accounts Area			Cutcherry Quit Rent			According to the Survey accounts. Area			Excess in Survey above that of Cutcherry		
	C	G	S.Ft.	Rs.	a	p	C	G	S.Ft.	Rs.	a	p
253 Holdings paying Quit Rent	698	.	29137900	3	5		699	22	113 4/16	1	21	22222/16
56 Held as Maniums	83	8	793/8	Exempt			83	9	2183/8	.	.	18172
175 Without Title	"	"	"	"	"	"	139	12	300	139	12	300
16 Unoccupied land &c.	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	1	9893/4	13	1	9893/4
500												

5 With regard to the apparent Slowness in the progress of the work, I beg leave to offer one or two remarks, which I trust you will take into your consideration: 1st More than two Months, after the Survey was actually commenced, was occupied in making the Main Traverse, and the 13 Secondary Traverses and in Computing the Areas of the Same. 2dly I have on two occasions been laid up with Sickness, the first attack of which was occasioned by exposure, and recently with an attack of remittent fever. During which the District Surgeon (Dr. Cleghon prohibited me either from going out or working at home. 3dly My Assistant was for Six weeks confined in the Hospital from a disease of the eye occasioned by exposure in the Sunderly I would call your attention to the time occupied by former Surveys compared with that

15581 Fort St. George 16th. November 1854.

that allowed to me. The Tondiarpettah District comprises an area of about twice that of Mylapoor, the Survey of this District occupied about 3 years with an Establishment more than three times the number of those Employed on the present Survey. And again the Survey of Madras, under Mr. Hill, occupied very nearly three years with a larger Establishment than mine, and not one tenth of the work, while the Mylapoor District forms about 1/5th. of the whole. I do not bring this to your notice, as in any way claiming the same proportion of time, but still you will perceive that according to the Tondiarpettah Survey (which I believe was in every way Satisfactory to the Government) the time necessary for the Survey of the Mylapoor District with the same Establishment, as that employed on the Tondiarpettah Survey, would be at least 18 Months. And according to the time occupied in the Madras Survey, that of Mylapoor District, (which is 1/5 of the whole area) with a less Establishment, and about 10 times the amount of work a much longer time would be required than that which has been allowed. In conclusion I would also bring to your notice that hitherto (this I have had no Assistant, the work has only proceeded as if one person were employed; as it is necessary, that the Calculations should be checked by a second party; consequently, I have had to go over, and check his calculations, while he has had to do the same with mine, - but that now as I have a Second Assistant in the Writer, the work is proceeding and will proceed much quicker than it has hitherto done.

Trusting that the work, with this explanation, will prove Satisfactory to you.

St. Thome
18th. October, 1854.

(Signed) W. H. Walker
Assistant Surveyor

15582. Fort St. George 16th. November 1854.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(40-41)

To.
The Acting Collector
of Madras.

Bd. Pp. 2546.

To the Acting Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you with reference to your letter of 8th. November enclosing Mr. Walker's report on the Survey of the Mylapoor Division of Madras, that they are not altogether satisfied with the explanation.

Mr. Walker ought at all events to have stated, and you will be good enough to call on him to do so now, the total area in Cawnies or Acres of the Division, the total extent of land measured, and total extent in Cawnies or Acres actually plotted. He has not submitted a Map or Plan of any kind, by which the Board might judge of the nature of this work, and the time within which it will be completed. Mr. Walker should also be called upon to state with reference to the last para. of his Memorandum what are the

Fort St. Geo: 16th Nov/54

* It would appear from para 2. of the Memo. that about 930 Cawnies are measured. If they are plotted also, the plans should be sent for inspection, as also the calculations of Traverses

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

the papers he is engaged upon for Mr. Bayley and D whether he refers to them as an excuse for not being so forward with his work as he ought to be.—

3 The Board would also wish to be informed what kind of supervision has been exercised over Mr. Walker in order to ensure a regular and satisfactory progress.—

4 It is not quite clear to the Board what is the distinction you appear to make between "subdivisions" and "holdings" in para 4 of your letter.—

5 It is very possible that the variation between Mr. Walker's measurements and those of such lands as are entered in the Registers, may be as yet small, but the Board do not judge of the value of the Survey by the Extra revenue that may be raised on the excess of fields or measurements which it may bring to light, but with reference to the broader grounds of the propriety of having on the Government Record a detailed Survey map of the Chief Town and Suburbs of this Presidency.—

Revenue Board office,
Fort St. George,
16th November 1854.

Signed B Cunliffe
Subsecretary in Charge

Fort St. George 16 November 1854.

No. 203.

To B Cunliffe Esqre
Subsecretary in Charge
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(42)

B. No. 3926.

From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras.

Sir,

Forwarding with reference to Proceedings of the Board, dated 7th August 1854 the Statement therein required together with documents exhibiting the Import and Export duties levied in the Port of Madras and the other Provincial Sea Custom Houses for the last 10 years with observations thereon

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue enclosing copy of a letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India requiring a Memorandum according to the Form accompanying of the amount of Import and Export duties levied in the Port of Madras for the last 10 years.—

2 In the second para instructions are given by which the Accountant General will exhibit the Land Customs and Sea Customs in the Provinces, and those levied by Sea at Madras in the manner therein directed.—

3 I have accordingly from the Department of Sea Customs framed the Document directed and with a view to supply information in the event of its being

Enclosure A

being required have transmitted from the Department of External Commerce another Statement exhibiting the Amount of Import and Export duty levied at each of the Provincial Sea Custom Houses with the refunds. An abstract is given at the end of the Statement shewing the total duty collected in each year.—

Enclosure B

† Attention however should be drawn to the fact that the refunds include draw-back originally paid on account of Duty Inland and remitted, in the shape of Draw-back on Export by Sea. From the enclosure it will be observed that it was impracticable to separate the Drawback granted on goods exported by Sea so as to apportion to the Land duty what appertained to it and to the Seaboard that which had been credited therein, consequently the Drawback on goods Exported by Sea was debited against the Sea Revenue though the greater portion was levied at the Land Chokies and credited in the Sayer or Land Custom duties. This view may be sustained by examining the accounts of the Districts of Malabar and Canara. The small amount of drawback entered in the

Enclosure C

columns from 1846/47 leads to the inference that drawback in the previous years consists of the Wastelet duties in Canara, — — —, and the Frontier duties in Malabar, remitted on the Exportation of the goods probably from Bombay and paid in Malabar and Canara on Certificates obtained from the Bombay Custom House shewing that the articles had been exported.

5 It has occurred to me that it may be the desire of the Government of India to ascertain the Revenue actually derived from the Sea Customs whereas the accounts framed according to the Form prescribed, necessarily include deductions from the Sea Revenue by the grant of Drawback of Inland duty. In order therefore to prevent any misconception as to the amount of Sea Revenue realized, I have enclosed a further † Statement shewing the gross and net Collection in the Provinces and at the Port of Madras and the total in each year of both. This exhibits the net collections of Revenue as entered in the accounts after deducting charges of collection, Drawback and refunds. On the grounds above stated I have entered in

Enclosure D

Rest.

Fort St. George 16 November 1854.

Red. ink in enclosure D the gross Reveme of the Port of Madras and of the Provinces. The gross Reveme neither being also shewn separately as well as the net Reveme from which, as before stated, not only the Charges and Collection but also Inland Drawback is deducted. It appears advisable to remark that the abolition of the duty between one Port in the East India Company's Territories and another had the effect of considerably reducing the Reveme in the Provinces whereas it did not affect the duty levied at the Port of Madras. I apprehend that from 1848/49 the Net Reveme exhibited in all the Statements is correct; by that time all the Inland duty refundable had been paid so that in, and from that year all the drawback allowed was legitimately debitable to the Sea Customs Reveme.—

6 Shame added in Red ink enclosure A the duty on spirits credited under order of Government to the Commissariat and Abkary & Reveme

Fort St. George 16 November 1854.

Reveme. This is requisite in as much as it is paid by the Importer in Bombay and in Calcutta The Madras Government as shewn in Enclosure C have placed the Commissariat in Madras on a more favorable position than at the other Presidencies. In Calcutta the Commissariat Department in regard to both Imports and Exports is treated like any private Company. Any change in the Customs Department which the Government of India may make will affect the three Presidencies and I respectfully submit that the same rules and principles should apply to each In like manner the management of Salt is under the Collector of Customs in Calcutta, and carried to the Sea Customs Reveme whereas in here it is credited to the Land Custom Reveme whether Imported or Exported. It is important that Government should bear this in mind. The accounts are now laid before Parliament annually. Our trade is increasing whereas our Sea Custom Collections appear but a unit in comparison with the other

Letter from Collector Dated 16 September 1854

other Presidencies. I am aware that the Revenue is carried to the credit of Government in some shape or another but it is right that the Supreme Government in entering into the question of the Sea Customs Revenue, should be aware of the difference that exists in practice and principle in Madras and the other Presidencies Here money ordinarily debitable to the Sea Customs by Act XI of 1844. by order of Government under Section IX is remitted by which the Commissariat charges are diminished on the one hand, while on the contrary all the money it collects on spirits sold in the Province collected by the Commissariat is carried to the credit of the abkary Revenue

The Collections of the Collector of Madras under the head of Abkary Revenue is swelled by being credited with the duty on arrack Imported it being obtained from the Commissariat

7 Salt in Calcutta adds to the Sea Custom Revenue above 60 Lacs of Rupees. In the year 1816 a cargo of salt was imported at Madras. The whole duties connected with its receipt, its weighment, devolved upon this Department It was then given

over to the Collector of Land Customs for sale and if sold would have realized 26388 Rs which would have been added to the Land Revenue From some cause or other a prejudice arose in the native mind whether generated and fostered by the Servants of the Land Custom Department I do not presume to say (but the frauds they have proved to have perpetrated shew they were capable of it) The Salt became unsaleable This Department finding Government was to lose by the transaction stepped in and obtained Tenders for a large portion of it for export by Sea. It is important to notice these facts and to lay them at this time before the Supreme Government inasmuch as the Land Custom Collectorate will soon be abolished and the duties connected therewith must either be transferred to this Department as recommended by the Finance Committee which assembled in Calcutta some years back or transferred to some other Officer The abolition of the Office of Assistant in this Department, the charge in the Revenue and Judicial Department proposed by that Commission have all been carried into

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

A

Port of Madras Sea Customs-

	Net Import Duty included Duty on Spirits see para 6-	Import Duty Less Refunds & Drawback	Escport Duty Less Refunds & Drawback	Total
1843/44	246231	246231	39163	285694
1844/45	445198	418652	196303	614955
1845/46	618139	416987	150928	567915
1846/47	615609	385783	122489	508272
1847/48	948460	387582	94500	482082
1848/49	809774	396791	88951	485742
1849/50	502447	367615	103790	471405
1850/51	473018	379472	121587	501059
1851/52	613500	390257	118683	508940
1852/53	351387	333425	192150	525578

Sea Customs House, Madras 16th October 1854 E & O.E. Signed W. E. Underwood

Collector Sea Customs

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

B

Statement shewing the Import & Export duties levied in the Port of Ganjam by sea during the last 10 years—

Years	Import Duty			Export Duty			Total			Deduct Refunds			Net Total		
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
1843/44	99	3	4	22683	6	7	22782	9	11	None			22782	9	11
1844/45	35	11	6	22627	2	3	22662	13	9				22662	13	9
1845/46	1010		3	46580	2	9	47620	3					47620	3	
1846/47	95		8	57526	6	11	57621	7	7				57621	7	7
1847/48	1633	3	2	33723	10		35356	13	2				35356	13	2
1848/49	717	8	8	1136	8	4	1854	1					1854	1	
1849/50	85	13	6	3869		9	3954	14	3	441	5		3513	9	3
1850/51	92	9	6	9665		9	9757	10	3				9757	10	3
1851/52	42	14	5	14192	8	5	14235	6	10				14235	6	10
1852/53	65	6	2	29783	15		29849	5	2				29849	5	2
	3907	7	2	241787	13	9	245695	4	11	441	5		245253	15	11

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Vizagapatam-

Years	Import Duty			Export Duty			Total		
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
1843/44	1070	9	9	14458	15	7	15529	9	4
1844/45	1523	11	10	36410	6	10	37954	5	8
1845/46	2040	10	5	24998	10	4	27039	4	9
1846/47	933		1	26555	10	3	27488	10	4
1847/48	2860	15	3	28303	14	3	31164	13	6
1848/49	3844	6	5	34531	16	7	72981		11
1849/50	2081	4	1	3980	6	10	6061	10	11
1850/51	476	14	2	7530	7		8007	5	2
1851/52	841		1	6530	4	3	7371	4	4
1852/53	1063	5		97864			108499		
	16756		1	162008	10	10	178764	10	11

15788 Etat de Voyage de Clermont 1852

Recettes-

Heures-

	Journée	Soirée	Total
	Fr. ct.	Fr. ct.	Fr. ct.
18h.3/h.h.	1791 11 5	2877 61	4669 12 5
18h.h/h.5	8416 13 8	2980 14 "	11397 11 8
18h.5/h.6	8995 18 1	3346 4 10	12342 1 11
18h.6/h.7	4401 11 9	1318 8 3	5720 4 "
18h.7/h.8	6989 7 4	2121 2 "	9110 9 4
18h.8/h.9	8416 8 3	" " "	8416 8 3
18h.9/50	1162l 12 4	180 15 2	11802 11 6
1850/51	4776 2 8	" " "	4776 2 8
1851/52	2708 10 "	181 15 4	2890 9 4
1852/53	490 15 1	258 6 1	748 5 2
	58089 9 7	13263 7 9	71383 1 4

Recettes-

Heures-

	Journée	Soirée	Total
	Fr. ct.	Fr. ct.	Fr. ct.
18h.3/h.h.	15617 15 1	1839 15 10	20457 14 11
18h.h/h.5	11073 11 7	2926 10 3	30000 5 10
18h.5/h.6	15035 1 h.	3866 h.9	53611 6 1
18h.6/h.7	9853 15 8	h.h.965 11 "	54.806 10 8
18h.7/h.8	2168? 7	2.252.5 h.	6h.279 12 6
18h.8/h.9	11.105 11 3	2167? 6 7	35785 1 10
18h.9/50	1166 5 11 "	2130 6 6	32966 h 6
1850/51	8668 1 5	7966 15 3	37853 " 8
1851/52	3088 3 8	3153 8 2 "	34626 5 8
1852/53	2.38 1 3	h.1303 6 5	7.3h.1 7 8
	113279 2 5	29909 3 11	h.1218 6 h.

15688 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Guntoor

years	Import Duty Rs	A	P	Export Duty Rs	A	P	Total Rs	A	P	Deduct Refunds Rs	A	P	Net Total Rs	A	P
1843/44	37	4	5	5250	11	5	5287	15	10	None	"	"	5287	15	10
1844/45	4019	13	1	2641	8	5	6661	5	6	"	"	"	6661	5	6
1845/46	3375	6	"	4928	15	4	8304	4	4	140	7	"	8163	13	4
1846/47	1438	11	2	2259	"	4	3697	11	6	"	"	"	3697	11	6
1847/48	3327	"	3	3283	1	1	6610	1	4	"	"	"	6610	1	4
1848/49	4595	9	9	113	3	6	4708	13	3	"	"	"	4708	13	3
1849/50	3284	14	8	93	11	10	3328	10	6	"	"	"	3328	10	6
1850/51	2203	4	1	2	10	"	2205	14	1	"	"	"	2205	14	1
1851/52	1976	5	"	77	11	11	2054	"	11	"	"	"	2054	"	11
1852/53	546	3	3	"	"	"	546	3	3	"	"	"	546	3	3
	24754	6	8	18650	9	10	43405	"	6	140	7	"	43264	9	6

15688 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Nellore -

years	Import Duty Rs	A	P	Export Duty Rs	A	P	Total Rs	A	P
1843/44	61	10	10	13527	"	6	13588	11	4
1844/45	2069	1	3	9838	12	4	11908	"	7
1845/46	1311	13	9	12985	13	"	14297	10	9
1846/47	1995	13	"	9401	3	2	11397	"	2
1847/48	1938	7	3	10358	13	10	12297	5	1
1848/49	2588	10	3	46	13	3	2635	7	6
1849/50	2258	9	"	"	"	"	2258	9	"
1850/51	57	5	1	"	"	"	57	5	1
1851/52	"	7	7	1841	7		1841	9	2
1852/53	161	6	4	"	"	"	161	6	4
	12437	7	4	56342	9	8	68780	1	4

Stanhope.

Year	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals For	Goals Against
1843/44	38	21	9	8	64	31
1844/45	38	19	11	8	58	36
1845/46	38	17	12	9	52	41
1846/47	38	16	13	9	49	44
1847/48	38	15	14	9	47	45
1848/49	38	14	15	9	44	46
1849/50	38	13	16	9	41	48
1850/51	38	12	17	9	38	51
1851/52	38	11	18	9	35	54
1852/53	38	10	19	9	32	57

Southview Division of Athens.

Year	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals For	Goals Against
1843/44	38	20	8	10	61	34
1844/45	38	18	9	11	55	38
1845/46	38	17	10	11	52	40
1846/47	38	16	11	11	49	42
1847/48	38	15	12	11	46	44
1848/49	38	14	13	11	43	46
1849/50	38	13	14	11	40	48
1850/51	38	12	15	11	37	50
1851/52	38	11	16	11	34	52
1852/53	38	10	17	11	31	54

Northcote Rovers.

Year	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals For	Goals Against
1843/44	38	22	7	9	70	29
1844/45	38	20	8	10	65	33
1845/46	38	19	9	10	62	35
1846/47	38	18	10	10	59	37
1847/48	38	17	11	10	56	39
1848/49	38	16	12	10	53	41
1849/50	38	15	13	10	50	43
1850/51	38	14	14	10	47	45
1851/52	38	13	15	10	44	47
1852/53	38	12	16	10	41	49

Oakwood Athletic.

Year	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals For	Goals Against
1843/44	38	23	6	9	73	26
1844/45	38	21	7	10	68	30
1845/46	38	20	8	10	65	33
1846/47	38	19	9	10	62	35
1847/48	38	18	10	10	59	37
1848/49	38	17	11	10	56	39
1849/50	38	16	12	10	53	41
1850/51	38	15	13	10	50	43
1851/52	38	14	14	10	47	45
1852/53	38	13	15	10	44	47

Hawthorn Vale

Year	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals For	Goals Against
1843/44	38	24	5	9	76	25
1844/45	38	22	6	10	71	29
1845/46	38	21	7	10	68	31
1846/47	38	20	8	10	65	33
1847/48	38	19	9	10	62	35
1848/49	38	18	10	10	59	37
1849/50	38	17	11	10	56	39
1850/51	38	16	12	10	53	41
1851/52	38	15	13	10	50	43
1852/53	38	14	14	10	47	45

Millbrook Wanderers

Year	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals For	Goals Against
1843/44	38	25	4	9	79	22
1844/45	38	23	5	10	74	26
1845/46	38	22	6	10	71	28
1846/47	38	21	7	10	68	30
1847/48	38	20	8	10	65	32
1848/49	38	19	9	10	62	34
1849/50	38	18	10	10	59	36
1850/51	38	17	11	10	56	38
1851/52	38	16	12	10	53	40
1852/53	38	15	13	10	50	42

15688 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Madura.

Years.	Import Duty	Escport Duty	Total
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1843/44	7470 15 5	9388 11 8	16859 11 1
1844/45	5325 6 11	18550 7 5	23875 14 4
1845/46	5231 4 7	14641 8 10	19872 13 5
1846/47	1800 9 11	10855 5 4	12655 15 3
1847/48	6153 10 2	13952 15 8	19906 9 10
1848/49	4627 11 5	9591 13 11	14219 9 4
1849/50	6019 8 3	6459 7 2	12478 15 5
1850/51	7313 9 4	6640 " 1	13953 9 5
1851/52	10767 6 7	9348 6 6	20115 13 1
1852/53	12227 11 11	7635 8 10	19863 4 9
	70237 14 6	106564 5 5	176802 3 11

15688 Fort St George 16th November 1854

Triennially

Years	Import Duty	Escport Duty	Total	Deduct Refunds	Net Total
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1843/44	7527 10 11	29168 5 9	36741 " 8	" " "	36741 " 8
1844/45	9491 14 3	170786 13 9	180278 12 "	" " "	180278 12 "
1845/46	6628 8 4	60026 3 9	66654 12 1	4 39	2 9 66235 9 4
1846/47	3966 9 1	85175 11 11	89142 5 "	" " "	89142 5 "
1847/48	6055 8 7	64265 12 1	70321 4 8	" " "	70321 4 8
1848/49	7092 3 10	55581 8 11	126673 12 9	22 6 10	126515 11
1849/50	5429 1 5	8861 8 4	14290 9 9	" " "	14290 9 9
1850/51	5599 3 8	9676 " 8	15275 4 4	108 15 2	15166 5 2
1851/52	8736 14 5	12408 8 1	21145 6 6	" " "	21145 6 6
1852/53	8850 6 6	8701 10 5	17552 " 11	30 " "	17522 " 11
	69423 1 "	454623 8 5	524095 4 8	600 8 9	523494 11 11

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Malabar

Years	Import Duty Rs	Import Duty As	Import Duty Ps	Export Duty Rs	Export Duty As	Export Duty Ps	Total Rs	Total As	Total Ps	Deduct Drawback Rs	Deduct Drawback As	Deduct Drawback Ps	Net Total Rs	Net Total As	Net Total Ps
1843/44	27600	13	0	235346	7	11	262941	4	11	24464	2	7	238477	2	4
1844/45	24196	2	9	165467	14	0	189664	0	9	26684	15	9	162979	1	0
1845/46	22422	15	8	161009	14	10	183432	14	6	19479	10	5	163953	4	1
1846/47	12171	9	10	142937	10	2	155109	4	0	2970	15	5	152138	4	7
1847/48	10434	11	4	118464	15	7	128899	10	11	720	1	5	128179	9	6
1848/49	7427	0	9	19900	15	1	27327	15	10	564	2	5	26763	13	5
1849/50	11116	14	4	19165	9	9	30282	8	1	1915	13	0	28366	11	1
1850/51	10337	13	3	21316	2	8	31653	15	11	27	3	4	31626	12	7
1851/52	9828	14	6	24650	13	1	34479	11	7	0	0	0	34479	11	7
1852/53	10625	5	4	29407	12	0	40033	1	4	151	8	8	39881	8	8
	146162	4	9	937662	3	1	1083824	7	10	76978	2	0	1006845	4	10

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1854

Canara

Years	Import Duty Rs	Import Duty As	Import Duty Ps	Export Duty Rs	Export Duty As	Export Duty Ps	Total Rs	Total As	Total Ps	Deduct Drawback Rs	Deduct Drawback As	Deduct Drawback Ps	Net Total Rs	Net Total As	Net Total Ps
1843/44	9357	4	5	320296	4	5	329653	8	10	224508	1	2	105625	7	8
1844/45	6430	7	6	274666	0	8	281096	8	2	135377	10	7	145718	13	7
1845/46	8294	10	6	232291	9	2	240586	3	8	95400	12	1	145185	7	7
1846/47	2216	6	11	128620	13	9	130837	4	8	26551	8	0	104285	12	8
1847/48	1715	15	2	112436	14	0	114152	13	2	29985	15	1	84166	14	1
1848/49	1198	7	9	56302	15	10	57501	7	7	7074	0	2	50427	7	5
1849/50	1836	2	5	45362	15	10	47199	2	3	3398	15	0	43800	3	3
1850/51	1226	9	8	40883	3	3	42109	12	11	0	0	0	42109	12	11
1851/52	2099	8	11	57258	13	7	59358	6	6	0	0	0	59358	6	6
1852/53	2114	1	10	52362	6	7	54476	8	5	0	0	0	54476	8	5
	36489	11	1	1320482	1	1	1356971	12	2	521796	14	1	835174	14	1

C

Extract from a letter from the Collector of Sea Customs to the Accountant General Fort St. George dated 10th July 1837.—

"It will be totally out of my power to furnish such information respecting the original levy of duties as will enable your Department to debit the sea or land Custom branches or the particular districts, or I may even say Presidencies with the amount of Drawback paid on Exports from Madras.—

The duty on Indian produce and Manufactures it is almost needless to state is levied at different times and places and at each Import or Export a fresh Rowannah is issued, To carry into practice the suggestions of the Board each succeeding document must bear the effects part of those which preceded it which would lead to confusion and error— while the defects in the documents presented in this Office would occasion inconvenience to importers from the delay in

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1837.

in settling accounts pending references to other Custom Houses.—

To explain this more clearly I take the case of Piece Goods subject to the inland duty of five per Cent, levied in one District and brought to a Sea port in another District there dyed and charged with an additional duty at 5 per Cent on the increased value; they are then exported to Madras, by Sea, being charged with an Export duty of eight per Cent— On Export they are accompanied with the Rowannah which specifies that including the general inland duty the full amount of Export duty has been levied— They are on import at Madras examined, valued according to the Tariff and assessed with duty at 8 per Cent, the Rowannah from the out port being received in part payment, a bill for the duty is issued which forms the Voucher on which after re-export the drawback certificate is issued— If I am to endorse the latter document the information necessary to adjust the accounts by debiting the amount to the proper

15688 Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Shewing the Total duty levied at the Provincial Custom Houses in each year.

Years	Import Duty	Export Duty	Total	Deduct Refunds	Deduct Drawback	Total	Net Total
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1843/44	1198533	722217 2	81.2070 10 2				
1844/45	1130899	1338761	4591989	235 6 4	25.2609 13 5	2.5.2609 13 5	589.160 12 9
1845/46	1179121	3798755	4.6916668	2 3	" " "	162062 10 4	827172 12 "
1846/47	958699	4.10 7361838	4.832052	8 10	114.880 6 6	115.760 " 3	801.208 2 "
1847/48	1124648	623880	99 7	36356 14 5	" " "	29572 7 5	802.530 1 5
1848/49	1114939	15 6 2537	27 2 4.368	667 1 10	" " "	30706 " 6	705650 13 11
1849/50	1100531	7	2655981	55 3 7 5	652 4 "	22 6 10	7.638 2 7	7.660 9 5	361.006 8 5
1850/51	867.2 10	2296811	2 4	3835531	2 "	14.15 "	5314 12 "	5756 1 "	369896 3 "
1851/52	1008661	8 3186577	4 4.19523	11 "		108 15 2	27 3 4	136 2 6	383417 9 8
1852/53	977659	7 3363125	8 4.31.077	15 3		" " "	" " "	" " "	419523 11 "
						30 " "	" " "	30 " "	134047 15 3
Total	10695691	7 5228289	4 8 629 7 858	63		1182 4 9	602761 8 1	6039.3 12 10	5693914 9 5

Fort St. George Reporter
External Commerce office
16th October 1854

E. Eng. Sd

W. C. Underwood
Reporter External Commerce

VSJ

Fort St. George 16th. November 1854

proper branch of customs and the particular district in which the original collection was made the duty bill or at least the Registers in this office must contain the substance of the above detail

Goods are taken from one Presidency to another Duty bills are issued and succeeded by Rowannahs which only specify the last adjustment of duty & without any Statement of the place of the original payment and it becomes impossible in some cases from these charges to trace out in what Presidency the original duty was paid—

The difficulties of the proposed adjustment were brought to the notice of Government in a letter from the Board of Trade dated the 20th. May 1816 after communication with your Office and the view taken by the Board was confirmed by the Government—From the extension of the drawbacks to Goods exported to places other than the United Kingdom the difficulties are very much increased since that

15688. Fort St. George 16th. November 1854

period—

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. E. Underwood

Collector Sea Customs

No. 607.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th. June 1844—

Read the following letter from the Commissary General Acknowledging Extract from Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 18th. May 1844 and forwards report respecting the provision and supply of Arrack by the Commissariat Department and points connected therewith—

(Here enter 29th. May 1844, No. 91)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council having had under consideration the foregoing letter resolves to direct in conformity with the suggestion offered by the Commissary General that supplies of Arrack for the Government should be obtained

Fort St. George 16th November 1837

obtained as usual through the Commissariat Department, on precisely the same footing as before the promulgation of Act No. VI of 1836 Under this Resolution all Arrack purchased on account of Government by the Commissary General will be exempted from such portion of duty now payable as will leave the amount to be paid per Gallon equal to the general import duty of 8 per cent heretofore levied

(True Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

Extract from a letter from the Board of Revenue to Government dated 29th May 1837

Under the existing system all the drawbacks on Goods Exported from Madras whether the duties returned were levied at the Sea or Land Custom House at the Subordinate Ports or the inland Chokies, are paid at the Land Custom House by this arrangement not only are the Revenue charges of the Madras Collectorate unduly

Fort St. George 16th November 1837

swelled, but as shewn in the last of Mr. Robertson's letters now submitted the public are liable to the inconvenience of being compelled to wait for payment till the Collector shall have secured sufficient funds.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th June 1837

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council having given his attentive consideration to the arrangement submitted by Mr. Robertson and recommended for adoption by the Board of Revenue is of opinion that it is well calculated not only for promoting the convenience of the Mercantile community of Madras, but also for obtaining a correct view of the Revenue charges of the Collectorate, which it must be observed from the system hitherto pursued of debiting it with items not properly appertaining to it always exhibited a fallacious return, he is accordingly pleased to give his sanction to the proposed measure by which certificates for drawback at the Presidency will henceforward be payable at the General Treasury.

(True Extract)
(Signed) W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

obtained as usual through the Commissariat Department, on precisely the same footing as before the promulgation of Act No. XI of 1836 Under this Resolution all Arrack purchased on account of Government by the Commissary General will be exempted from such portion of duty now payable as will leave the amount to be paid per Gallon equal to the general import duty of 8 per cent heretofore levied

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

Extract from a letter from the Board of Revenue to Government dated 29th May 1837.

Under the existing system all the drawbacks on Goods Exported from Madras whether the duties returned were levied at the Sea or Land Custom House at the Subordinate Ports or the inland Chokies, are paid at the Land Custom House by this arrangement not only are the Revenue charges of the Madras Collectorate unduly

15088. Fort St. George 16th November 1837

unduly swelled, but as shewn in the last of Mr. Robertson's letters now submitted the public are liable to the inconvenience of being compelled to wait for payment till the Collector shall have secured sufficient funds.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th June 1837—

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council having given his attentive consideration to the arrangement submitted by Mr. Robertson and recommended for adoption by the Board of Revenue is of opinion that it is well calculated not only for promoting the convenience of the Mercantile community of Madras, but also for obtaining a correct view of the Revenue charges of the Collectorate, which it must be observed from the system hitherto pursued of debiting it with items not properly appertaining to it always exhibited a fallacious return, he is accordingly pleased to give his sanction to the proposed measure by which certificates for drawback at the Presidency will hence forward be payable at the General Treasury.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

15690

Fort St. George 16th November 1854.

Ordered that the following Officiab

(42-43)

Memorandum be sent in consequence

Officiab Memorandum

Bd. No. 2525

Officiab Memorandum
To the Collr of Sea ...
Customs Madras ...

In Consn 16th Nov. 1854

With reference to the statement in the letter from the Collector of Sea Customs — 16th October 1854. that the import duty on Spirits purchased by the Commissariat is remitted, the Sub Secretary in charge is desired to request information whether a duty of 8% advalorem is not levied at the Custom House on all Arrack purchased by the Commissariat and if so the amount of this duty for the 10 years 1843-53.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ...
16th November 1854 ....

/Signed/ B Cumliffe
Sub Secretary in charge

No. 177

(44)

Bd. No. 3656

From Captain MacViccar

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to forward 2 Estimates of charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1264. and to request you will have the goodness to submit them for the Sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in council.-

Camp Berampore
21st September 1854

/Signed/ J MacViccar
Offg Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa
Ordered

Fort St. George 16th November 1854

D

Statement of duties collected by Sea in Madras Territories for the last 10 years

	Gross Revenue collected in the Provinces	Net Revenue collected in the Provinces	Gross Revenue collected at Custom House Madras	Net Revenue collected at Custom House Madras
1843/44	84,20,410 10 2	81,93,613 13 3	4,86,149 ..	3,54,815 5 2
1844/45	98,23,564 ..	95,66,179 1 1	6,92,061 1 1	5,13,301 4 0
1845/46	91,66,682 2 3	89,23,085 3 2	6,40,885 1 2	5,86,793 6 0
1846/47	83,90,552 8 10	81,14,284 2 9	6,31,087 8 5	5,55,149 4 6
1847/48	93,36,356 14 5	90,21,858 8 10	5,63,625 1 7	4,85,985 4 5
1848/49	93,68,662 1 10	28,24,410 3 4	5,79,220 3 1	4,61,286 12 1
1849/50	33,56,652 4 ..	30,45,324 14 2	5,35,266 2 10	4,99,084 11 11
1850/51	38,35,555 12 2	32,23,319 11 11	5,01,443 3 1	5,03,702 10 2
1851/52	41,95,231 11 ..	36,66,605 5 8	5,58,033 13 6	4,99,548 3 ..
1852/53	43,10,771 15 3	37,46,616 7 7	5,48,940 4 ..	4,99,921 12 3

1843/44 ——— 1,30,854 10 1
1844/45 ——— 68,290 4 9
1845/46 ——— 56,453 3 5
1846/47 ——— 46,613 1 3
1847/48 ——— 17,995 4 ..
1848/49 ——— 83,893 3 8
1849/50 ——— 9,10,918 6 10
1850/51 ——— 9,14,996 13 3
1851/52 ——— 9,17,557 8 6
1852/53 ——— 9,83,054 6 3

Sea Custom House Madras 16th October 1854.

(Signed) W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

15691 Fort St. George 16th November 1851.

44-45 Order thereon
Vide Remarks.
16th November 1851.

Ordered to be recorded

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

46

Bd. No. 2532
To the Chief Secy. to Government

No. 521.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.—

Sir

With reference to the order of† Government on the accompanying petition, I am desired to state for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, that the Board having learned from the Enclosed Endorsement of the collector of Chingleput, that the Petitioner Rungien had no right whatever to any portion of the Pelly Meerassy referred to by him, confirmed the decision of that officer, and passed an Endorsement on Petition No. 490 of 1852, on the Government file declaring the claim put forth by Petitioner to be inadmissible.—

† No. 1834 of 1851.
Bd. No. 35 of Dr.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th November 1851.

/Signed/ B. Cumliffe
Sub Secretary in charge

47

Bd. No. 2526

Official Memorandum

Official Memorandum
To the Actg Regr to the Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Adalut.

The Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Acting Register to the Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Adalut will

15692 Fort St. George 16th November 1851.—

will be so good as to return the original letters from the collectors of Cuddapah, Bellary and Kurnool forwarded with the Boards Proceedings of the 23d October 1851, relative to the formation of the District of Kurnool into a Zillah and collectorate, to enable the Board to submit them to Government with their report.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th November 1851.

/Signed/ B. Cumliffe
Sub Secretary in charge

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

48 Bd. No. 1050 From the collector of Malabar— Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1264.—

49 Bd. No. 3656 From the Offg Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa.— Estimate of charges proposed to be incurred on account of the Establishment &c. for Fusly 1264.—

50 Bd. No. 3656 From the Offg Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa— Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1264.—

48-51 Order on the 3 above Statements— Ordered that the necessary Remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper column of the abovementioned Statements and Submitted for the Sanction of Government.—

Read

Read the undermentioned letters and Statements.—

52 Bd's No. 1,359 — From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Imported by Sea for the Month of October last.—

53 Bd's No. 1,318 — From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Reporting that there were no Imports or Exports of Gold or Silver Bullion and Specie by Sea during the past Month.

54 Bd's No. 1,170 — From the Collector of Chingleput — Stating that no Imports and Exports of Bullion and Specie were made to or from Pulicat during the Month of October last.—

55 Bd's No. 1,203 — From the Collector of South Arcot — Reports that no Exports or Imports of Bullion and Specie have taken place during the last month.—

56 Bd's No. 1,329 — From the Collector of Malabar — Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Exported by him in the month of October last.—

57 Bd's No. 1,328 — From the Collector of Malabar — Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Imported by Sea in the month of October last.—

52-58 Order on the 6 abov[e] letters and Statements. — Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the undermentioned Registers

59 Bd's No. 1,250 — From the Collector of North Arcot — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the

the month of October last.—

60 Bd's No. 1,338 — From the Collector of Canara — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last.—

59-61 Order on the 2 above Registers. — Ordered to be lodged in the Office

Read the undermentioned letters and Statements

62 Bd's No. 1,332 — From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement Shewing the quantity of Grain Exported for the week ending 4th November 1854.—

63 Bd's No. 1,376 — From the Collector of Masulipatam — Return of Grain Exported for the week ending 4th November 1854

64 Bd's No. 1,375 — From the Collector of Masulipatam — Return of Grain Imported for the week ending 4th November 1854.—

65 Bd's No. 1,363 — From the Collector of Madura — Return of Grain Exported for the week ending 31st October last.—

66 Bd's No. 1,362 — From the Collector of Madura — Return of Grain Imported for the week ending 31st October last.—

67 Bd's No. 1,333 — From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of Grain Exported for the week ending 28th October last.—

68 Bd's No. 1,365 — From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of Grain Exported for the week ending 4th November last.—

69 Bd's No. 1,364 — From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of Grain Imported for the week ending 4th November last.—

From

Bd. No. 1349
7° From the Collector of Canara — Return of Grain Exported
62-71 and Imported for the week ending 22d October last
Order on the 9 abovd. letters and Statements — ordered to
be Submitted for the information of Government.

Received the undermentioned
72 miscellaneous letters and Statements.—
Mc. No. 1716
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor — Salt account current
73 for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—
Mc. No. 1717
From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Salt account current
74 for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—
Mc. No. 1715
From the Collector of Canara — Return of Persons charged
75 with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for
the Month of September last.—
Mc. No. 1679
From the Collector of Tanjore — Register of the State of the
76 River Cauvery at the annicut for the month of October
Mc. No. 1159 1853 & 1854.—
From the Collector of Tanjore — Indent for Salt Rowannas
76-77 required for the use of his Collectorate for 1855.
Order thereon — Ordered to be Supplied accordingly.
Mc. No. 1719
78 From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Return of Grain for
week ending 4th November last
Mc. No. 1720
79 From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement Shewing the sale
prices of Grain for the week ending 4th November last

Mr. J. D. Bourdillon

J. D. Bourdillon

No Diary

Monday
20th November
1854

At a Meeting Present.
J. D. Bourdillon Esqre. Member
and
W. A. Bayley Esqre. Jr. Member.

J. Goldingham Esqre. First Member.
Absent on duty (as Commissioner Northern Circars)
E. Maltby Esqre. Member absent on duty.—
(Bellary)

Minutes of the last Meeting
read and approved.—

Read the following Papers.

Revenue Department.

1
Bd. No. 3814
From the Deputy Secy. to Government

Memorandum.—
Eleven Copies of Professional Papers of the Madras Engineers Vol III forwarded to the President and Members of the Board of Revenue for themselves and for the Collectors of Rajahmundry, Masulipatam, Guntoor, Tanjore, Trichinopoly, Bellary, Coimbatore, Salem, and South Arcot.—

For St. George
11th October 1854
1-2
Order thereon.—

By Order.
(Signed) J. D. Sim.
Dept. Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be recorded.—

3

B. No. 3918
From the Secy. to Government.

No. 742 Judicial Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 13th October 1854.

Read the following letter from Mr. A. A. Sevestre.

/ Here enter 11th September 1854 /

Three Copies of the Reports of Cases of the "Sudder Dewanny Adawlut" forming Part 5 Vol III having been received with the foregoing letter accompanied by a Receipt of their value from Mr. Sevestre, Viz. Rupees (78) Seventy eight, ordered that one Copy be transmitted to the Board of Revenue and another to the Court of Sudder Adawlut, the remaining Copy being preserved for Government.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered to be recorded.—

3-4

Order thereon.

5

B. No. 4068.
From the Deputy Secy. to Government, ...

Revenue Department.

Memorandum.

Three Copies of Part XV of the

the Selections from the Records of Government of the North Western Provinces forwarded to the President and Members of the Board of Revenue. One Copy of the same being for the use of the Department of Public Works and another for the Commissioner for the Northern Circars.

Fort St. George,
28th October 1854.

By Order,
/ Signed / J. D. Sim,
Deputy Secy. to Govt.

5-6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.—

B. No. 4234
From the Secy. to Government

No. 1415.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date 2d November 1854.

7

No. 1146. Read the following:—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department, Under date 31st October 1854.

Read the following letters from the Reverend C. Porter.

No. 1

To Sir H. Montgomery Bart.
Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort St. George,

Sir, I take the liberty of forwarding you the enclosed Petition to the Governor in Council, and

and shall feel obliged by your laying it before the Right Honorable the Governor of Fort St. George at your earliest opportunity - Entreating the favor of an early reply.

Cuddapah,
3d October 1854

/Signed/ Edward Porter

No. 2.

To.

The Right Honorable
Governor in Council
Fort St. George.
Madras.

I beg to solicit the attention of the Governor in Council to the following facts in Connection with the cause of education in the Cuddapah and Kurnool Zillahs.-

About 18 months since a number of native families of the weaving and cultivating class in the Villages of Ubbulapooram, Peyroo-mala Chinna Ventoorlah, Pedda Ventoorlah, Roit-Koontlah, Peidalah/ situated in the Zillah of Cuddapah/ and others inhabiting Poloor, Jootoor, Chabala Rundicoor, Villages situated in the Zillah of Kurnool, became anxious to forsake the worship of idols, for

for the service of the living God, and to renounce the rights of heathenism for the religion of Christ. Upwards of 200 of the above persons have been baptized and are now very anxious to have their Children instructed in the Doctrines and precepts of the Christain faith and in the elements of a plain secular Education - I have accordingly placed School Masters in their respective villages, but I am sorry to say that there are no rooms in which the Children can assemble for instruction, and the consequence is our educational labours amongst them are much impeded - I may state here that the desire for education is not confined to professing Christians, but extends to the heathen population. I have obtained from various friends of Education upwards of 1,200 Rupees for the building of School Rooms, but I regret to add that owing chiefly to the opposition of the Native authorities and the indifference of the Collector, I have been unable to obtain ground for the above object.-

In reference to the Kurnool Zillah, I am thankful to say, T Conway Esqr. has kindly acceded to my petition and given orders to -

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

to the authorities to point out a piece of ground for the building of School Rooms in the places abovementioned, which we intend to commence shortly.

Knowing, as I do, the anxiety of the Government at Home and abroad to promote native Education, I shall feel no hesitation in re-nestly soliciting the Governor in Council to issue orders to the local authorities for the granting of suitable pieces of ground for the above purpose, and would also feel obliged if they would sanction a grant in aid for the purpose of defraying the expenses of erecting the School Rooms. I may add that as far as my knowledge extends no effort has been made by the Government for the education of the great population of this large province of Southern India, which by the last census was 12,500,000.

enclosed I have the pleasure to forward Mr. Murray's letter in answer to the request which I made for ground on which to build the School Rooms.

Hoping for a speedy reply to the above communication.

Cuddapah, October 3d, 1854

/ Signed / Edward Porter.

To

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

No. 3

To

A. C. Montgomery Esqre.
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George.

Sir,

In reply to your's of the 12th instant, I have now the pleasure of forwarding you the letter of M. Murray Esqre. referred to in my former petition to the Government.-

I regret to say that since I wrote that petition, I have received letters from Kurnool informing me that our School Masters in that Zillah have hitherto been unsuccessful in obtaining ground for School Rooms at the respective Villages mentioned in my former letter, notwithstanding the order issued by J. Conway Esqre. on the subject. This has been chiefly owing to the opposition of the Native authorities arising from their dislike to any efforts which have in view the elevation of the lower classes.-

We do not wish to occupy cultivated lands, but only request one acre, or one acre and a half in each Village, for a School Room.

Room and a house for the School Master.

We shall therefore feel greatly obliged if the Board of Revenue would grant our petitions by issuing orders to the Collectors of the Cuddapah and Kurnool Zillahs to grant such land, and thus forward the cause which the Government of late years has warmly espoused, and in which I doubt not they feel an increasing interest.

Cuddapah,
October 16th 1854. } /Signed/ Edward Porter.

Sir,

No. 4.

I received your note the other day, as well as several petitions, said to be from several parties applying for ground for schools & as these were all written by one individual, but signed by none of the parties whose names were entered in the body of the petition, no notice has been taken of them, as I am inclined to suspect all such Mazumanahs, having received so many false ones, and they are so easily got up. It is astonishing to me that the parties did not make any application for ground for schools when I was in their neighbourhood at the annual settlement which

settlement, which they had a daily opportunity of doing. The Government have frequently objected to giving a free grant of land for any purpose - I do not consider it would be of any use to apply for one on account of your schools.

I think your demand for one acre a very large one, much more so than that made by the Christians under the care of Mr. Davis, they only wish ground sufficient for a school.

I have no doubt that on your School masters applying to the Tahsildar, they will get sufficient Gramanuttum for a school - the head of the Village could grant it - Until the persons on whose account you wrote apply to the proper authorities, I must decline to interfere, should their wish be rejected, I shall then take up the matter.

/Signed/ M. Murray

13th June 1854.

Para 1.

The subject of grants in aid is at present under the consideration of Government, and the Revd Mr. Porter's application will meet with due attention - The decision of

15705 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

of Government will be communicated to him hereafter.-

2. In the mean time, the Board of Revenue will be directed in the Revenue Department, to instruct the Collector of Cuddapah and Agent at Kurnool to allot to Mr Porter, on behalf of the Society to which he belongs, such space in each Village as may be necessary for the purpose of a School and School Master's house, to be held during as it may be so appropriated.-

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue.-

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

7-8

B. No. 2581

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st October 1854, and of its enclosures No. 2 and 3 be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah and the officiating Agent to the Governor at Kurnool respectively for their information and guidance.-

Extract

15705

9

B. No. 4223.

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 1155.

From the Secretary to Government—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 3d November 1854.-

No. 84.

To Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir, I have the honor by desire of the Right Honorable the President and members of the Madras Exhibition Committee, to solicit you to name to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the sum of Rupees 1000 as the maximum of expenditure that the Collectors of the Madras Presidency may be permitted to pay to each of the Local Committees for the Madras Exhibition of Arts and manufactures of 1855 and to request that Government permit the Collectors to disburse from their Treasuries to the Local Committees of their several districts any sums up to that amount.-

Banqueting Hall
30 Octobre 1854

/Signed/ Edward Balfour
Secretary Madras Exhibition

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to authorize the Collectors of

15707 Fort St. George 20 November 1854.

of each district to make disbursements on account of the Madras Exhibition of any sums required by the Local Committee not exceeding in the aggregate One thousand Rupees.—

(Signed) W. C. Montgomery.
Chief Secretary.—

9-10

B. No. 2579.

Order thereon — Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to all Collectors for their information and guidance.—

11

B. No. 4242
From the Secy. to Govt.

No. 1420.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 3d November 1854.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue—

(Here enter 23 October 1854 No. 494)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be made on Petitions

15708 Fort St. George 20 November 1854.

Petitions Nos. 1233 and 1366 of 1854.

"From the Collectors' report on these Petitions, it appears that the objections taken by the Petitioners to the resumption of their Aggrahaarams are not well founded. and the Government cannot therefore interfere."

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

11-12

B. No. 2572

Order thereon — Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem, with reference to his letter dated 11 October 1854.—

In Con. 23 October 1854

Extract

15709 Fort St George 20 November 1854.

B. No 4223.

No 1419.

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 4 November 1854.

(13)

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue 16 October 1854 No 481)

The Government will defer passing orders upon the Coongoondy Examen until they are apprized of Mr Bourdillon's opinion on the points referred to him by the Board.—

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

(13-14)

Order thereon + 9 Nov: 1854.

Ordered to be recorded the reply of Mr Bourdillon has been duly submitted to Government.

(15)

B. No 4224.

No 1418

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 4 November 1854

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue 23 October 1854 No 510)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be made on Petition No 1491 of 1854 and communicated to the Petitioners.—

"The Government having received a report upon the subject of this Petition from the Collector through the Board of Revenue, find that the Petitioners were treated with forbearance and have no cause of complaint."—

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.—

(15-16)

B. No 2577

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 3 October 1854.

(17)

Board No 4265

No 1442.

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under dated the 7 November 1854.

List of Estimates of Tuccavy and of Extra charges for Fasly 1264 submitted by the Board of Revenue 23 October 1854.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Estimates of Tuccavy and of Extra charges for Fasly 1264, amounting, as shewn below, to Rupees (18,854—"—) Eighteen thousand, Eight hundred and fifty four.—

Estimates

15711 Fort St George 20th November 1854.

Estimates of Tuccavy proposed to be
disbursed in July 1854 in
Kurnool ——— 10766
Tanjore (additional) ——— 5,000
Nellore ——— 2,000

Estimates of Extra Charges
proposed to be incurred in July 1854 in.
Madura ——— 70-8
Chingleput ——— 1017-8
Rupees ——— 18854-0-0

(17-18)

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded, the usual
printed letters will be communicated to
Collectors.

(19)

No. 1485.

Bd No. 4353
From the Secy
to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under dated the 10th November 1854.

Read the following
No. 84.

Memorandum.

Ryeme Dhart
No 78, 21st January 53.
No 118 27th Jany 54.

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation as per margin, the Chief Engineer has the honor to forward to the Secretary to Government Revenue Department two Rolls (containing Maps

15712 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Maps each of the Kistna Survey one for the
use of this Department and the other for
transmission to the Collector of Guntoor
and to request he will be good
enough to acknowledge the receipt of the
same.

(Signed) P. O'Connell Lieut.
Adjutant of Engineers.

Chief Engineer's Office,
Late Deputy Surveyor Generals
Department, Fort St. George
6th November 1854.

Resolved that the receipt
of the two Copies of the Maps referred
to in the above Memorandum be
acknowledged and that one Copy be
forwarded to the Collector of Guntoor.
the other being retained for the Govern-
ment records.

The Government wish to know
with reference to the Minutes of
Consultation quoted in the Memo-
randum, whether the Board of
Revenue have been supplied with a
copy of these Maps.

"Received from Lieutenant
P. O'Connell Adjutant of Engineers
two Rolls containing 6 Maps each
of the Kistna Survey.

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To.

15713. Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted to Government.

No. 519.

(19-20)

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government.

B. No. 2565

To The Chief Secy to Government.

Sir.

With reference to para 2 of the minutes of Consultation dated 16th November 1854 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that they have duly received from the Adjutant of Engineers a copy of the four maps connected with the Kistna Survey

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th November 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge

(21)

To.

The Board of Revenue.

B. No. 4348.

From the Dy Secy to Government.

+65 Copies of Revenue Reports for Fasly 1262 for their use and for distribution to the Revenue Officers in the Provinces including the Northern Circars.

+One Copy has been already furnished to Mr. Bayley and two Copies to the Commissioner Northern Circars

Revenue Department,
Fort St. George
13th November 1854.

/Signed/ J. B. Sim
Dy Secretary to Government

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

(21-22)

15714. Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

(23)

No. 1472.

B. No. 4385

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the minutes of Consultation under date the 16th November 1854.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Chevereuter 16th November 1854. No. 519.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the refund to Messrs. Binny & Co. of the duty paid by them on certain packages erroneously declared to be for the use of the Officers instead of the men of Her Majesty's 74th Regiment amounting to Rupees 1150/ One hundred and fifty.—

/Aline Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govert.

(23-24)

B. No. 2566.

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Sea Customs with reference to his letter dated 19th October 1854.—

Statement

15718 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 142

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue

In charge

Fort St. George

Sir

27

Bd. No. 4017

From the Agt. Coll. of Nellore

With reference to Contract from the Proceedings of Board of 21st September 1854 submits a separate report on the Irrecoverable portion of the Balances amounting to Rs. 3782-14-2- and also explains the Jody put in the Jaliary Maniem

With reference to Para 4 of the Contract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st September 1854 I have the honor to report the particulars of the Balance of Rupees 3,782 - 14 - 2 - therein alluded to, classed as Irrecoverable, and which are as follow.-

	By Ryots dead			By Ryots deserted			By Ryots poor			By other causes			Total		
Ryotwar	102	8	1	111	3	7	2590	3	"	799	13	2	3603	11	10
Stulls Curnums	"	13	11	2	9	5	106	15	1	5	2	6	115	8	11
Chittatewar Peishcush	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	63	9	5	63	9	5
Total	103	6	"	113	13	"	2,697	2	1	868	9	1	3782	14	2

Their heading will explain why the items entered in the 3 first of above Columns cannot be realized and are consequently included as Irrecoverable but

1 Poollary	163	14	9
2 Variation in lands found on measurement	313	1	5
3 Jufted Cundigas	17	6	1
4 Boundary dispute	25	8	11
5 Cuttoobady Inamium	36	9	3
6 Teerwayaatee	15	11	8
7 Sundry remissions	28	11	1
8 Sust on lands throwm up	163	4	11
9 Assessment on Waste lands	30	"	"
10 Head Coupos Inamium	10	11	7
11 Chittatwar Peshcush	63	9	5
	868	9	1

but the sum total is classed as such under the head of "other causes" is made up of the several items shewn in the Margin, and of which I proceed to submit a detailed explanation as required.—

1 Poollary-163-14-9. (1) The great difference attendant upon correct assessment of Poollary on the Counting System, under which this sum was declared to be due caused an improper assessing of the amount in question (as per Margin) and which having been found on subsequent enquiry not to be really due, is recommended to be written off.—

Coligery Talook-	125	10	6	
Nellore——	Do	33	3	3
Woodiagiry—	Do	5	1	"
		163	14	9

2 Variations in land found on Measurement Rupees 313-1-5

2 These lands appear to have been included in the Demand on insufficient grounds as shewn by subsequent measurement.

The Talooks and Villages on which the Balance outstands are as follows:-

Talooks	Villages	Difference	Percentage remission as per Hoo-kumnamah	Within limits	Total
Cavelly——	Mungamoor——	256 3 5	13 13 2	" " "	270 " 7
Nellore——	Yelliapollem——	" " "	" " "	31 13 2	31 13 2
Do——	Chintareddy pollem	4 15 10	" " "	" " "	4 15 10
Do——	Chena cherkoor—	2 1 "	" " "	" " "	2 1 "
Do——	Nellore——	1 2 7	" " "	" " "	1 2 7
Sungum——	Tandipurty——	3 " 3	" " "	" " "	3 " 3
	Total	267 7 1	13 13 2	31 13 2	313 1 5

3 Jufted Cundrigas Rs 17-6-1- (3) This amount is outstanding against the Capoor Cundrega in Surnapully Talook, granted by Mr. Travers, and which having been resumed and incorporated with Government lands as directed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 9th August 1853, and there appearing no means by which it may be recovered, the balance outstanding is classed as Irrecoverable.—

4 Boundary disputes Rupees 25-8-11 (4) Of this sum Rupees 16-10-1- was charged against each and paid by one of the two disputing Villages and should consequently be remitted being of course only really due by one. The remaining Rupees 8-14-10- is the amount charged against a Jaghiredar, contrary to the decision

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

decision of the late Collector Mr Bishop referred to in para 7 of the report dated 20th June 1854, and which under the circumstances, requires to be writen off.—

5 Cuttoobady Maniems Rs. 36-9-3-

5 This is an amount charged on certain Cuttobady Maniems in the Village of Chermana, formerly part of the Woodiagherry Jaghire, but which on the enquiry made into the Enam titles, appears to have been rent free, previous to the assumption of the Jaghire in question. It was adverted to in Para 19 of the report on old balances under date 14th October 1853, and is recommended to be written off, having been entered by mistake among lands liable to full Assessment.

6 Teerwa pattee Rs 15-11-8-

(6) This amount is outstanding on a Cocoanut Tope planted in Magany land in the Village of Vadavasal in the Singunn Talook the particulars of which have been given in para 13 of the Report on the old Balances under date the 20th June 1854- According to Para 6 of the Board Proceedings of the 21st September 1854 authorizing the arrear being

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

being entered among the Irrecoverable balances which are to be written off— (and laying down instructions as to how plantations of the Kind should be dealt with) the present amount being for Fusly 1261 should be similarly included as Irrecoverable also—.

7 Sundry Remission Rs 25-11-1

(7) On enquiry made from time to time since the close of the settlement the Shist on the following items appears to call for remission upon the grounds stated in each case—

Talooks

Talooks	Villages	Remarks	Amount
			Rs. a. p.
Calegherry	Yadavully.	Tuerma Jastee Remission in reimbursement of the outlay on Wells	5 13 7
	Poonagadoo	Remission of Shist on a tope held free of rent in the Nabobs time	3 1 "
Woodiagherry	Totalacheroo-pully.	Erroneous entry, owing to a higher turrum having been charged than the land was liable to	8 9 "
Cavelly	Moonganoor	—— Do. Do.	13 7 "
Toommultulpoor	Cusbah	Roosaams charged on Mooloom and Jareeb contrary to custom	3 4 "
Sydapoor	Toorpoopoonda	A Shist of Rupees 2½ per Garto was charged on some Wells on the Bank of a River which should only have been assessed at 2 Rupees The difference is	1 1 5
		Total	28 11 1

This

8 Shiston lands thrown up Rs. 163-14-11-

This is a sum due on a portion (8) of some lands in the Village of Laboor, in the Nellore Talook newly taken up by certain Durkastadars, but again given up in consequence of the assessment being changed by the late Collector (Mr. White) from 4th as heretofore to 3d. Tarum The opposition of the Ryots in whose Villages the lands were situated and the losses which the holders met with in attempting to bring the lands under cultivation, caused them to be thrown up on the 9th August in Fusly 1261, for which fusly this Balance is due. Cultivation had however commenced and was prosecuted but with difficulty under special orders, by posting a Hujoor Daloyet to supervise it. The Crop was eventually partly cut and secured by the Tahsildar the Ryots refusing it to do so, but it did not nearly cover the assessment; a portion having been more over grazed by cattle and a still larger one swept away by the inundation, No one signed the Dittum for that year, nor were any Puttahs in consequence taken by the Ryots.—

Under the foregoing circumstances therefore

therfore it is recommended that the amount should be written off.—

9 Assessment on Waste lands Rupees 30-.

(9) This is the sum charged for Fasly 1261 on some waste lands attached to the Town of Nellore alluded to in Para 8 of the report on old Balances under date 20th June last. It has been since ascertained, that the Ryots do not wish to keep these waste lands and never agreed to do so; under which circumstances, the charge being clearly unauthorized it is recommended to be written off.—

10 Head Caupoo Maniems — — Rupees 10-11-7

(10) This is the Assessment charged upon 2 Goortoos of a Mettah Maniem attached to a Head Caupoo service, in Woodighery Talook, but incorporated with Government lands at the time of the Survey; tho' why, is not known. Towards the end of Fasly 1258. Mr. Haggard, then in charge of the Talook allowed the Head Caupoo, after enquiry, to cultivate the land as Maniem, and Mr. Sim, his successor, remitted the sum for Fasly 1259 under Moonsoosh. Under these circumstances, the Amount is recommended to be written off.

11 Chittatewar Peshcush Rs 63-9-5-

(11.) This is the amount due on account of Chittatewar Peshcush for Fasly 1261 The surplus that may remain out of the Roosoams after substracting the Peshcush due for the current year and the Sibbendy expences chargeable under this head, is the only source to be looked to, for the realization of this balance so far from any surplus however, there has been an increasing balance for Fasly 1262 and 163 Under these circumstances, and with reference to former precedent, this Balance is recommended to be written off.—

This Completes the detailed Explanation of the sum of Rupees 868-9-1- entered in the last column of the opening figured statement, and with those under the other heads of "Death," Desertion" & Poverty" making a total of Rupees 3,782-14-2- stated to be irrecoverable and recommended to be written off the accounts accordingly — together with Chittatwar and Woodtawar roosoams due thereon.—

2 Proceedings of the 21st September as directed by them

With reference to Para 2 of the Board's the amount of Dowle Issafa for Fasly 1261 will be reported on with the Balances of Fasly 1262.—

With

15727 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

3 With reference to Paras 7. 8. 9 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg to state, that it does not appear from the Records how or why the Chater bagum fixed in Fusly 1211 was changed to a ready Money Jody in Fusly 1246; though it may probably have arisen from the trouble caused every year in fixing the rates.-

4 The amount of remuneration the people would receive, were this Jody levied would, it appears, be really "nil" or tantamount to it- in so much that, in fusly 1246 they threw up the Enam and Service, saying that its payment left them no residue- upon and since which it was, and has been, remitted, as it would appear advisable it should continue to be, the services of the parties being requisite.-

Nellore Collector's Office
18th October 1854 ---

/Signed/ J. Ratliff
Acting Collector

Ordered

S.G.S.

15728 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the previous correspondence.-

No. 550.

27-28

B. No. 2573.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am desired by the Board of Revenue to forward the correspondence as per Margin with the Collector of Nellore, relative to the outstanding Balances of his District for Fuslies 1260-1261.-

From Collector 14th October 1853.
in Cons 5th December -"-
From Coll'r 28th July — 1854
in Cons 21st September -"-
From Coll'r 20th June — "-
in Cons 21st September -"-
Board's Proceedings 21st Sept'r 5th Dec'r — 1853.
From " Coll'r 18th October — 1854.
in Cons 20th November -"-

The total arrears are as follows.

Old arrears up to Fusly 1260	37,640-14-5.
arrears of Fusly 1261	26,162-13-2.
	63,803-11-7.

Of this Sum Rupees 4,848-5-5 have been included as Recoverable and Doubtful, and the remainder declared to be irrecoverable is apportioned as follows.-

Fusly 1260	35,165-2-8
1261	23,790-3-6
	58,955-6-2.

The

15729 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

The Board in their General Report have already requested Sanction for the remission of Rupees 20,007-5-4 and have only now to solicit permission for the writing Off of the remainder or Rupees 38,948-0-10.-

To Government 17th August 1854 Para 54.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George . . .
20th November, 1854 .-

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge.

No. 147.

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board
of Revenue in charge
Fort St. George.

29

B. No. 4038. From the Collr of Nellore.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the abKarry Renter of the Buddapoody Talook by name Betragoonta Nursimlo Arrears up to the 10th Oct. Rs. 450-10-7 / Interest - - - - - - - - - - 21-4-7 / 471-15-0 having fallen in arrears in the sum of Rupees 330-7-0 as per Margin upon account of his rent for Fusly 1263 after Realized by the sale of the Renter and Surety 141-8-0 / 330-7-0 his property and that of his Surety had been sold they have not been forwarded by the Acting Sub Collector to be impris-oned in the Zillah Jail under provisions of Section VIII

15730 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.-

VIII Clause IV of Regulation XXVIII of 1802 and Section VIII of Regulation I of 1820 and that acquies-cing in the propriety of the measure, I have sent them to Jail accordingly.-

Nellore - - - / Collector's Office - - / 24th October 1854. / Order thereon-

(Signed) J. Ratliff
Acting Collector.
Ordered to be recorded.-

29-30

No. 149.

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue in Charge.
Fort St. George.

31

B. No. 4090. From the Collr of Nellore.

Sir,

The Sum of Rupees 50-7-3 realized from the Sale of floated wood having been inadvertently carried to the Credit of Government under the Head of "Marine Receipts" instead of being forwarded to the Marine Board as required by the rules relative to recovery of property from Sea published at Page 200 of the Fort St. George Gazette for 1852 - I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain Sanction for my debiting the Amount in my Account, and transmitting it to the Marine Board.-

Nellore Collector's Office / 25th October, 1854. -

(Signed) J. Ratliff.
Acting Collector.

15731 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.-

(31-32)

Bd. No. 2561

No. 552.

Proceedings thereon.- Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

The Board recommend that Sanction be granted for transmitting to the Marine Board the amount realized by the Sale of the property adverted to as required by the Rules published at page 200 of the Fort St. George Gazette for 1852.-

---

No. 77.

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre.
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(33)

Bd. No. 4174
From the Coll. of Bellary.

Sir,

In my Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September one Lutchmapah Canumu of Vulloor in the Adonie Taloog was entered as convicted of a Charge of embezzlement of

15732. Fort St. George 20th November 1854.-

of Rupees 185-3-5, He was sentenced to make good the sum and was fined 35 Rupees, it appears he has not the means of doing either, accordingly in the usual course he should have been committed to Jail. But at the end of my Report I made a note that "for special reasons the accused had been directed to procure Security for his appearance when required." He has not chosen even to do this, but as I had no fear of his absconding I deemed it advisable for the special reasons adverted to, to let him be at large.-

The reason for not at this time exacting the penalties of the law against this man was, that an enquiry under the direct orders of Government was being conducted by Mr. Malthy the Member of the Board on deputation in Bellary in conjunction with myself into certain charges made by the Revd. Mr. Doyle of compulsory payments to the Hoozoor and Taloog public Servants by the reddies &c.

of

15733 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

of another Village - and as Mr. Doyle had also made a reference to the case of the Vulloor Curnum I thought it might have a prejudicial effect in restraining the witnesses in Mr. Doyle's case from coming forward were I to commit at that particular time the Curnum of Vulloor to Jail. I resolved therefore after consulting with Mr. Maltby to leave the man at large until the enquiry into Mr. Doyle's case was closed. I am now informed by the Curnum that he has appealed to the Board of Revenue against my decision - under these circumstances I would desire to await the orders of the Board, on his appeal which I have not seen, before taking further proceedings against the Curnum and have therefore to request that I may be favored with the Board's instructions as soon as convenient. -

Bellary Collector's Office
28th October 1854.
Bd. No. 2549.
Proceedings thereon.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

(33-34)

The Board leave the case in the Collr.'s hands. No Appeal petition has been received, and if received, would have been returned, as usual, for submission through the Collector. -

To

D.S.G.

15734 Fort St. George 26th November 1854.

To,

B. Cunliffe Esqre.

Sub Secretary in charge of the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

35

No. 4296

From the Collector of Bellary

Reports on the relationship between the public servants of the Collectorate and also between them and the Court Servants

See Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue dated 26th October 1854 Enclosing Extract Minutes Consultation dated 24th October 1854 No. 1340.

Sir

Having this day received the orders of the Board of 25th Ultimo to transmit forthwith my report on the Relationships of the public servants called for in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue circulated under date 9th March last, I have the honor to transmit a Statement drawn up in such a manner as at once to show the number of relation and the degree of relation-ship existing between each of the public servants in the Mogenzabdah of this District It seems to me that the degrees of relation-ship include all that can be properly so called - They are - Fathers, Brothers, sons Uncles - sons - in law, Brothers - in law Nephews - Fathers - in law Cousins - Wives Sisters husbands

As respects the Principal servants on the the Establishment it will be observed that

15735 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 1 That Neelacunta row the Head Sheristadar has 5 relatives Viz.t

1 Son a Goomastah in the Hoozoor
1 Son a Moonshee
1 Nephew a Talook Sheristadar.
1 Son in law / but his daughter is now dead / a Goomastah in Hoozoor
1 Son-in-law a Tahsildar

5

No. 2 Shama Row the Deputy sheristadar has three relatives Viz.t

1 a Brother- a Talook sheristadar.
1 Brother in law- a Goomastah in the sub Collector's Office
1 A son-in-law- Talook sheristadar.

3

A daughter of a Tahsildar was also married to Sham Row's son- but the son is dead and the relationship ceased.—

No. 3 Narrain Row Assistant Hoozoor Sheristadar has five relatives Viz.t

1 A brother- a Talook sheristadar.
2 Brothers- Talook Goomastahs
1 Nephew- a Hoozoor Goomastah
1 Brother-in-law- a Talook Goomastah

5

No. 4 The Head Goomastah Khundarow has only one relative- a brother in the Hoozoor Cutcherry

15736 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

No. 39 Cadaree Shashageree row Cashkeeper has four relatives.—

1 Son- Hoozoor Goomastah.
1 Son- Talook Goomastah
2 Brothers in law Talook Goomastahs

4

No. 50 Ragaviah Head English Accountant has no relatives in Office

No. 64 Chowdapah- Head Moonshee has no relatives in Office

No. 65 Anna Row- Deputy Moonshee has six relatives Viz.t

1 Brother- Hoozoor Goomastah
1 His father Neelakunta Row- Head Sheristadar
2 Brothers in law- Hoozoor Goomastahs
1 Do Do Talook Sheristadar
1 Do Do a Tahsildar

6

No. 38 Narsinga Row Moonshee has no relatives

No. 66 Kanoomunta Rauw Hoozoor Moonshee has nine relatives Viz.t

1 His brother- a Goomastah in the sub Collector's Office
1 His father- The sub Collector's Sheristadar
3 Persons who are rather relatives to his father than to him are Goomastahs in the Talooks.
1 Brother-in-law a Talook Goomastah
1 An Uncle- Hoozoor Goomastah
2 Cousins Do

9

Chelumbaram Row

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 85. Chitumbara Row - Sub Collectors Hoozoor Sheristadar has eight relations Viz.t

1 His son Moonshee on the Collectors Establishment
1 His son a Goomastah on the Sub Collectors Establishment.
1 A Brother A Goomastah on the Collectors Establishment
2 Nephews - Talook Goomastahs
1 Brother in law Do.
1 Nephew - Hoozoor Goomastah
1 Cousin - Talook Goomastah

8

No. 96. Venkatapathayah - Sub Collectors Moonshee has one relative - a cousin who is a Goomastah in the Collectors Hoozoor Establishment

No. 97. Venkatachalapaty Naidoo Sub Collectors Moonshee has two relatives Viz.t -
1 a Brother - who is a Talook Goomastah
1 a Nephew - Moonshee Goomastah, in the Sub Collectors Office.

2

The other principal servants are the Talook Tahsildars and Sheristadars - it seems unnecessary to enter into further explanation. By a reference to the Statement the Board will observe that respectively these individuals have not many relatives among

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

among the Public servants of the Establishment.

On the whole I do not think that the information thus procured of the state of relationship existing between the public servants of the Bellary Collectorate shows that there is nepotism to a great extent. In the native Cutcherry the Maharatah Bramins have almost exclusively been employed in this District and their superior qualifications for the work to be done has no doubt obtained for them the ascendancy. The Board and Government seem however to be decidedly of opinion that it would be advantageous to have other classes introduced into the Public service in the District. To this I can have no objection provided competent persons can be found - Measures will at once be adopted to procure respectable men of other castes and introduce them gradually into the Offices. And should the Board see fit to propose any measure for transferring some of the public servants of this District to other Districts and

exchanging

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

exchanging them for servants sent from other Zillahs I shall be prepared to meet their wishes

The close relationship existing between the servants of the Collector's Office and the servants of the Civil Courts of Bellary has been noticed by Government, It will be proper therefore in this place to refer to this subject also. I beg to send a Statement No shewing to what extent this supposed connection exists between the principal servants of the Collector's Establishment and the servants of the Civil Courts. from which it will be observed that my Head Sheristadar has two nephews who are Goomastahs in the Courts. That a Hoozoor Goomastah has one relative That a Moonshee who has no relatives in my Establishment has two distant relatives in the Courts. That a Persian Moonshee has one. That a Tahsildar has a distant connection. That another Tahsildar has two relatives. and one Talook sheristadar one relative. And that there are only two persons on my Establishment who have many relatives in the Courts. one of these is Body Krishtarow a Talook Sheristadar who is connected with

10 pm

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

with eight individuals in the Courts. and the other Kanoomanta Row another Talook Sheristadar has six relatives in the Courts. This appears to be the extent of relationship existing between the principal servants of the Collector's Establishment and the servants of the Courts.—

I regret that I did not send this report earlier which I certainly should have done had I considered that it was required by Government with reference to the suspension of Bheema Row but my time has been so fully occupied with matters requiring my immediate attention that I trust my apology for not having sent it earlier will be accepted.—

Bellary Collector's Office 4th November 1854.

Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

Statement.

15741 to 15747

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Statement shewing the Names of the Public servants and the relationship with each other in the District of Bellary as they stood on the 30th September 1854 as called for by the Board of Revenue in their Circular orders of the 9th March 1854.

Department Number	Names of the Servants	Nature of Employment	Period of Service: Years	Months	Authorized Pay per month	How related to other Public Servants in the List: Father to	Brother to	Son to	Uncle (on Father or Mothers side) to	Son-in-law (i.e. husband of the daughter) of	Brother-in-law (i.e. wifes brothers or sisters husband) to	Nephew (i.e. son of the brother or sister) of	Father-in-law (i.e. wifes father) to	Cousin or Uncle's son (i.e. Fathers brothers' son) to	Wifes sisters' husband to.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
1	Neelacunta Row	Head Sheristadar	49	6	700	"	30-65	"	"	120	"	"	"	15-216	"	"
2	Sam Row	Naib Dº	32	10	175	"	"	270	"	"	"	18	"	217	"	"
3	Narrain Row	Assistant Dº	32	2	150	"	"	48-204-242	"	12	"	228	"	"	"	"
4	Khunda Row	Head Goomastah	17	5	35	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Raghoonatha Row	Goomastah	33	7	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	257	"	294	"
6	Bheema Row	Dº	32	2	26	"	"	85	"	35-26-329 66-92	"	347	"	"	206	"
7	Caunta Row	Dº	48	3	21	"	122	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	283	"
8	Raupoo Row	Dº	32	6	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	Caunata Rungiah	Dº	2	3	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	Pooloomaty Soobba Row	Dº	17	1	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	119	"	"	"	"
11	Dasaratha Row	Dº	29	11	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	Manloor Venkata Row	Dº	10	1	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3-14-8-209-2-24	"	"	"
13	Dewacara Row	Dº	4	1	18	"	"	157	"	"	351	322	"	"	"	"
14	Venkataramanapah	Dº	7	7	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	65	"	"	"	"
15	Narsinga Row alias Sunnapah	Dº	12	8	18	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	216
16	Nagaloor Ramachendra Row	Dº	9	11	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	96	"
17	Soondoor Narsinga Row	Dº	31	11	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	Annajee Row	Dº	29	10	18	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	Paundoo Rungapah	Dº	41	1	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	Kaderee Veneeba Row	Dº	6	1	18	"	"	158	39	"	"	"	2 43-310	"	"	"
21	Jogeepuntooloo	Dº	27	7	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	Hurry Row	Dº	14	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	241	"	"	"

Head Gomastah Cutcherry

128

Collectorate

23 Mundly Narsapah	Do	29	11	18	"	"	"									"
24 Luddapah Veeneewasa Row	Do	12	11	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
25 Rhanapah	Do	14	5	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	341	"
26 Sewaramapah	Do	8	11	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	354-329	347-85-6	"	"	66-94-206	"
27 Madahava Row	Do	6	4	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	108	"	"	"	"	"
28 Vellore Narnapah	Do	21	11	18	"	"	275-276	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	"
29 Loobbarow	Do	11	4	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
30 Goondravoola Appoorow	Do	2	11	15	"	"	65	1	"	"	15-216-120	"	"	"	"	"
31 Kristna Row	Do	2	8	15	"	"	217	"	"	"	213	"	"	"	"	"
32 Govinda Row	Do	2	8	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	328	"
33 Raupoo Row	Do	2	9	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
34 Paulavoy Lashagerry Row	Do	17	2	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
35 Sungum Cottah Kristna Row	Do	4	3	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	90-116	73	"	"	"	
36 Pengondah Lam Row	Do	5	11	15	"	"	"	"	"	338	"	"	"	"	243	
37 Vellore Soobba Row	Do	18	4	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	275-276-28	"	
38 Buddy Venkanah	Do	4	2	15	"	"	"	"	"	132	"	378	"	144	"	
				1650	"											
39 Kadary Lashagherry Row	Cash Keeper	31	10	100	"	20-158	"	"	"	"	243-310	"	"	"	"	
40 Nunjoondapah	Goomastah	26	4	26	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
41 Kristna Row	Ag. Do	"	4	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

15748

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

42	Raughanah	Goomastah	29	5	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
43	Vurdapah	Do	32	1	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
44	Hanoomunta Row	Do	35	1	18	"	"	133-90	"	"	"	340	"	"	"	"	"
45	Govinda Row	Do	6	11	18	"	"	"	"	108	"	"	"	"	236	"	
45	Bodegoomnah Kristna Row	Do	18	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132-318	"	
					240	"											
47	Appanapuntooloo	Record keeper	24	6	35	"	308	"	"	293	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
48	Annajee Row	Do Do	34	11	248	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
49	Raughavendra Row	Goomastah	20	7	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
					748												
50	B. Raughaviah	Head Accountant	22	8	100	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
51	Mr. B. A. Blake	Translator	11	4	70	"	"	62	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
52	A. Yetteraja Moodeliar	Dy Accountant	20	8	58	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
53	M. Veerasalingum Naidoo	Writer	41	2	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
54	E. Terovengaliah	Do	4	4	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
55	A. Dhurmalinga Moodeliar	Do	11	9	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
56	Chenchooramiah	Do	23	1	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
57	M. Runganaicooloo Naidoo	Do	19	6	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
58	V. Sooboosetty	Do	5	11	5	"	"	233	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
59	M. Chellapermall Moodeliar	Do	13	2	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
60	A. Gopaulooo Moodeliar	Do	6	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
61	T. Appoo Row	Do	4	8	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
62	Mr. R. W. Blake	Do	2	"	10	8	"	51	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
63	V. Sunjeevee Moodeliar	Do	1	5	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
					475	"											
64	Chowdapah	Head Moonshee	16	10	65	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
65	Goondravoola Anna Row	Dy Do	7	10	55	"	"	30	1	"	"	14-15-216-120	"	"	"	"	"
66	Hanoomunta Row	Moonshee	8	5	30	"	"	94	85	"	"	351-329-372	6347	"	26206	"	
67	Mahomed Gows	Persian Do	11	"	25	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
68	Gooroo Row	Moonshee Goomastah	29	10	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	324	"	"
69	Lulchmun Row	Do	3	3	20	"	"	"	"	"	164	"	"	"	203	"	"
					215	"											
70	Kristnama Chetty	Head Shroff	39	3	50	"	58-233	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
71	Arroonachellum Setty	Shroff	12	5	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
72	Sooresetty	Do	7	1	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
73	Venkanah	Do	24	11	18	"	"	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
					108	"											

Collector and Assistant Collectors

15749

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

74	Bhurmapoore Narasimmah	Gollar	53	11	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
75	Sungeevoo	Dº	33	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
76	Bheemasanapah	Dº	10	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	242	"	"	"	"	"
77	Venkatakristnapah	Dº	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
					34	"												
78	Shaik Roodden	Duffadar			14	"												
79	Salaaloodeen	Dº			12													
80	Baulaujee sing	Dº			10	"												
					36	"												
81	Soobbiah	Inkmaker			7	"												
82	Venkanah	Dº			7	"												
					14	"												
83	Singapermall Naidoo	Moochee	33	9	14	"												
84	Nabee Saib	Dº	1	1	10	"												
					24	"												
					2900	8												
85	Chetumbara Row	Seristadar	35	3	175	"	66-94	6	"	354-26-329	"	317	"	"	206	"		

Collectors Kacheree Canteloury.

86	Appa Vencata Row	Head Goomastah	16	"	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	89	"	"	"	
87	Cuddapah Soobba Row	Goomastah	21	10	21	"	"	91	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
88	Kumply Raghoomatha Row	Dº	24	11	21	"	"	354-225	"	"	"	365-2-270	"	"	"	"	"	
89	Kristna Row	Dº	28	9	17	8	"	"	"	86	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
90	Appajapah	Dº	25	1	17	8	"	133-44	"	"	"	35	"	"	"	"	"	
91	Cuddapah Vencata Row	Dº	14	"	17	8	"	87	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
92	Bellary Govinda Row	Dº	7	7	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	239	"	"	249	"		
93	Ranghapah	Dº	23	10	17	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
94	Streenevasarow	Dº	1	7	14	"	"	66	85	"	352	354-329	6,347	"	2,206	"		
					348	8												
95	Venkatasawmy Naidoo	Acting Writer	4	1	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
96	Venkatapatiah	Moonshee	34	11	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"		
97	Venkatachellaputy Naidoo	Dº Dº	8	6	28	"	"	314	"	98	"	"	"	"	"	"		
					58	"												
98	Venkatachellapathy Naidoo	Acting Moonshee Goomastah	2	9	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	97-314	"	"	"		
99	Poornasing	Duffadar			10	-												
100	P. Narsimmooloo	Moochee	5	5	5	-												
					470	8												

Sub Collectors Kacheree Canteloury.

15750

Fort St. George 20th November 1834

101	Bheema Row	Taksildar	14	6	130	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
102	Ramachendra Row	Seristadar	24	"	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
103	Vencata Row	Peishcar	18	3	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
104	Streenevasa Row	Goomastah	13	7	14	"	"	"	"	15.5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
105	Venkiah	Dº	10	4	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	279	"	"	"	"	"
106	Raughavendra Row	Dº	32	5	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	152	"	"	"
107	Bhogapah	Dº	6	8	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
108	Geradhara Row	Dº	6	5	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	27	45-236	"	"	"	"
109	Vasoodava Row	Dº	27	8	12	"	"	"	"	260	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
110	Hanoomanta Row	Dº	8	3	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
111	Kristna Row	Dº	20	7	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
112	Bheema Row	Dº	"	7	10	8	"	"	"	"	166	176	"	"	"	"	"
113	Rungiah	Dº	4	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
114	Senkara Row	Dº	17	5	10	8	"	304	"	"	"	351	"	"	"	"	"
115	Streenevasa Row	Dº	12	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
116	Komarapah	Moonshee	2	5	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	35	235	"	"	"	"
117	Bheemasain Naik	Shroff	2	8	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
118	Karojee	Gollar	26	2	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
					357	12											

Bellary Taluk

119	Soobba Row	Taksildar	35	1	85	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"
120	Cullabhavee Bheema Row	Seristadar	17	"	35	"	"	"	"	216	"	65-30	1	"	"	"	"
121	Streenevasa Row	Goomastah	23	5	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	286	"	"
122	Streenevasa Row	Dº	15	8	12	"	"	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
123	Goomoortapah	Dº	2	6	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	199	"	"	"	"
124	Govindaput	Adj. Dº	"	5	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
125	Bheema Row	Goomastah	2	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
126	Venkata Row	Dº	34	11	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
127	Govinda Row	Dº	21	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Rustumbully Taluk

15751

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

128	Seenapah	Goomastah	15	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	"	"	"	"	
129	Bhavoo Row	Moonshee	37	10	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
130	Rajapah	Shroff	17	3	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
131	Venkata	Gollar	32	7	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
					234	4												
132	Hanoomunta Row	Tahsildar	41	11	100	"	"	378	"	"	"	144	"	38	"	"		
133	Nursapah	Seristadar	41	8	35	"	"	44-90	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
134	Streenevasa Row	Goomastah	31	6	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
135	Venkaba Row	Do	"	10	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	203	"	"	"		
136	Venkata Row	Do	5	5	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
137	Hanoomunta Row	Do	15	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	182	"	"	"	"	"		
138	Sashagherry Row	Do	20	3	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
139	Ramachendra Row	Do	22	10	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	274	"	"	"	"		
140	Rama Row	Do	21	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
141	Yendlapully Kristnapah	Do	24	6	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
142	Nursinga Row	Do	6	6	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	156	"	"	"	"		
143	Sam Row	Do	25	9	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
144	Treyembakarow	Moonshee	33	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	132-318	"	"	38	"		
145	Ranghanah	Shroff	"	5	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
146	Venkapah	Gollar	5	7	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
					271	12												
147	Venkata Row	Tahsildar	30	4	110	"	"	312	"	"	"	"	"	"	260	"		
148	Govinda Row	Seristadar	20	8	35	"	"	3-244-207	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"		
149	Govinda Row	Peishcar	27	"	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
150	Govindapah	Goomastah	22	3	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		

15752

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

151	Govinda Row	Goomastah	15	10	13	"	"	322	"	356-359	"	"	"	"	"	"
152	Raughavendra Row	Do.	4	5	13	"	"	"	"	"	106	"	"	"	"	"
153	Ramachendra Row	Do.	9	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	292	"	"	"
154	Streenevasa Row	Do.	6	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	260	"	"	"	"
155	Bheemasain Row	Do.	8	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	104	"	"	"
156	Lauma Row	Do.	1	9	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	142	"	"	"	"
157	Pilloo Row	Do.	14	5	12	"	"	13	"	"	"	822	"	"	"	"
158	Khunda Row	Do.	"	11	12	"	"	20	39	"	"	"	243-310	"	"	"
159	Lutchmanapunt	Acting Do.	"	6	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
160	Venkiah	Goomastah	2	11	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
161	Narnapah	Do.	2	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
162	Rauma Row	Do.	1	5	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
163	Nauna Row	Moonshee	6	9	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
164	Seenapah	Shroff	24	6	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	69	"	"
165	Bhema	Gollar	35	3	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

34012

166	Ramachandra Row	Tahsildar	42	10	90	"	176	274-259	"	"	"	"	"	"	112	"	"
167	Poorna Row	Sheristadar	21	3	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
168	Vasoodava Row	Goomastah	20	6	12	"	"	"	"	222	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
169	Bheema Row	Do.	26	2	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
170	Rauma Row	Do.	21	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
171	Bauvenahall Bheemasain Row	Do.	1	1	10	8	"	"	175	"	"	"	273	"	"	"	"
172	Sam Row	Do.	16	1	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
173	Gopaulapunt	Acting Do.	"	5	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
174	Hanoomanta Row	Goomastah	13	8	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
175	Govinda Row	Do.	35	"	10	8	171	273	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
176	Raghavendra Row	Do.	2	1	10	8	"	"	166	"	"	112	274-259	"	"	"	"
177	Venkoba Row	Do.	25	10	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	312	"	"	"	"	"
178	Venkata Row	Moonshee	"	5	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Govindagully Talook

15753 Fort St. George 20th November 1851.

| | | |

15754 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

202	Nursinga Row	Tahsildar	39	4	100	"	"	327	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
203	Naurojunt	Serishtadar	28	10	36	"	"	"	"	135	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
204	Hanoomunta Row	Goomastah	4	4	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
205	Bamboo Row	Do	31	10	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
206	Ram Row	Do	"	4	12	"	"	"	"	"	347	354-329	"	"	85-6-94-3	"	
207	Ronarce Row	Do	15	7	10 8	"	3-148-214	"	"	12	345	"	"	"	"	"	
208	Kristna Row	Do	28	10	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
209	Bhema Row	Do	29	2	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
210	Teroomul Row	Do	17	2	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
211	Lutchmun Row	Do	41	6	10 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
212	Raighavendra Row	Do	19	6	10 8	"	"	"	"	"	307	"	"	"	"	"	
213	Kreneva sa Row	Moonshee	"	5	10 8	"	"	"	"	"	31	"	"	"	"	"	
214	Junnundapah	Shroff	35	8	7	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
215	Dasapah	Collar	12	8	6 4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Collars Talooks

264 4

216	Teroomul Row	Tahsildar	23	1	110	"	"	"	"	"	1	30-65	120	"	"	15	
217	Kreneva sa Row	Sheristadar	11	4	35	"	"	31	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	
218	Gopaul Row	Peishcar	1	8	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	342	"	
219	Aswatha Row	Goomastah	22	2	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
220	Ramakristnapah	Do	21	3	13	"	"	377	"	239	"	"	"	"	"	"	
221	Runga Row	Do	27	2	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
222	Bhanapah	Do	1	9	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	168	"	"	"	
223	Chendra Row	Do	4	1	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	
224	Vasoodava Row	Do	3	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
225	Narraina Row	Do	20	7	12	"	"	351-88	"	"	"	365	"	"	"	"	
226	Ramakristnapah	Do	2	8	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
227	Bheema Row	Do	30	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	182	"	
228	Runganatha Row	Do	28	2	10 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	111	
229	Jeevana Row	Do	19	2	10 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
230	Raughavendra Row	Do	18	11	10 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
231	Samma Row	Do	27		10 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Pundapah Row Talooke

15755 Fort St. George 20th November 1854—

232	Chalapaty Row	Moonshee	3	9	108	"	"	"	"	"	319	245-269	"	"	"		
233	Moodoosetty	Acting Shroff	2	7	"	"	58	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
234	Soogiah	Gollar	2	8	54	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
					341 12												
235	Cauvee Row	Tahsildar	36	10	130	"	"	"	"	116	"	345	"	"	"	"	
236	Doddy Tristna Row	Serishtadar	17	4	40	"	"	"	"	108	"	358	"	"	45	"	
237	Cuddapah Sasha gherry Row	Peishcar	12	"	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	243	328	"	"	"	
238	Tristna Row	D°	23	9	20	"	"	259	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
239	Sashagherry Row	Goomastah	1	5	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	92-193 (252)	377-220	"	"	"	
240	Sagarum Venkoba Row	D°	9	11	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	186	"	197	"	
241	Ramasamy	D°	20	10	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
242	Hanoomanta Row	D°	23	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Gooty Taluck

243	Vasunta Row	D°	13	7	12	"	"	310	"	158-20	338	39-237	"	"	"	36	
244	Soobba Row	D°	7	9	10	8	"	311	8-207	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	
245	Ayspoo Row	D°	28	9	12	"	"	209	"	232-319	"	"	"	"	"	"	
246	Soobba Row	D°	9	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
247	Ayspiah	D°	11	7	12	"	"	"	"	216	"	"	"	"	"	"	
248	Nursinga Row	D°	1	7	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
249	Bheema Row	D°	10	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	92	"	"	
250	Kurryspenta	D°	"	Lay 7	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
251	Hanoomanta Row	D°	4	9	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
252	Pooroshothama Row	D°	"	Lay 7	10	8	"	193	"	"	"	239	"	"	"	"	
253	Sungeva Row	Moonshee	6	8	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
254	Nursapah	Shroff	5	8	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
255	Basapah	Gollar	33	11	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
					399	4											

Yadaloor Taluck

256	Savaramapah	Tahsildar	28	10	90	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
257	Vannapah	Serishtadar	32	4	30	"	"	"	"	5-294	"	"	"	313	"	"	
258	Lutchmun Row	Goomastah	25	10	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

15756 Fort St. George 20th November 1851.

Yadaka Talook Cont.d

259	Ellunda Row	Goomastah	18	7	13	"	"	166-274	"	176	"	295	"	"	"	"			
260	Soobba Row	Dº	16	10	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	154-306	109	"	147-312	"			
261	Teroonnul Row	Dº	11	9	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	297	"	"			
262	Kristna Row	Dº	33	6	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
263	Geredhara Row	Dº	14	8	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	"			
264	Lutchmana Row	Dº	28	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	198			
265	Soobba Row	Dº	6	6	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	300	"	"	"			
266	Kristna Row	Sircondhee	38	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
267	Bheemasah	Sheroff	4	2	7	"	"	302	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
268	Goorooventakatapah	Acting Collarn	4		54	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
					2306														

269	Condul Row	Tahsildar	44	8	120	"	"	245	"	232-319	"	"	"	"	"	"			
270	Streemarajsa Row	Sheristadar	16	2	40	"	2	"	"	"	"	88	"	"	"	"			

Pudrooy Talook

271	Streemajsa Row	Peishcar	29	8	20	"	"	"	"	22	"	"	"	"	"	"			
272	Vencata Row	Dº	23	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	76	"	"	"	"			
273	Venkapah	Goomastah	32	6	14	"	"	175	"	171	"	"	"	"	"	"			
274	Gopaul Row	Dº	32	4	13	"	"	160-259	"	176	"	139	"	"	"	"			
275	Culloor Soobba Row	Dº	7	5	13	"	"	288-276	"	"	300	"	"	"	37	"			
276	Culloor Kristna Row	Dº	5	3	12	"	"	28-275	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	"			
277	Paundoo Runga Row	Dº	10	11	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
278	Hanoomunta Row	Dº	6	2	10	8	"	"	378	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
279	Teroonnul Row	Dº	23	2	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	105	"	"	"	"			
280	Raughavendra Row	Dº	2	11	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
281	Timmajee Pundt	Dº	29	7	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
282	Timmapah	Dº	15	4	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
283	Soobbiah	Dº	18	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
284	Cullapah	Dº	2	1	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			

15757 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Paidoy Talook Cuddapah

285	Nursinga Row	Goomastah.	21	5	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	376	"	"	"	"	"
286	Appiah	Dº	19	3	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	121	"	"
287	Seenapah	Moonshee	15	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
288	Bhavane Row	Shroff	12	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
289	Shreenevasa Row	Gollar	5	3	5	4	"	238	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
					382	12												

290	Narnapah	Tahsildar	24	3	100	"	"	323	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
291	Honaree Row	Seristadar	31	10	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
292	Bellary Ram Row	Acting Goomastah	7	8	14	"	"	"	"	153	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
293	Vencataram Pantoolo	Dº	11	11	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47	"	308	"		
294	Panghavendra Row	Dº	10	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	257	"	5	"		
295	Ruttmacoor Ram Row	Dº	1	3	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	259	"	"	"	"		

Tandoor Talook

296	Nursinga Row	Dº	6	5	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
297	Seroomul Row	Dº	3	4	10	8	"	"	"	"	261	"	"	"	"	"		
298	Lutchma Narsapah	Dº	2	7	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
299	Vasanta Row	Dº	3	2	10	8	"	"	"	265	"	"	"	275	"	"		
300	Sungeevapah	Dº	32	8	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	366	"	"	"	"		
301	Raumadasapah	Moonshee	16	5	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
302	Govindapah	Shroff	26	8	9	"	"	267	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
303	Venkiah	Gollar	15	6	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
					203	4												

Anantapoor Talook

304	Panghavendra Row	Tahsildar	30	7	110	"	"	114	"	"	"	351	"	"	"	"		
305	Hanoomunta Row	Seristadar	17	10	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
306	Bhagavunta Row	Peishcar	13	2	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	260	"	"	"	"		
307	Govinda Row	Goomastah	7	3	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	212	"	"	"	"		
308	Conarse Row	Dº	3	3	13	"	"	"	47	"	"	"	"	"	293	"		
309	Rauma Row	Dº	19	8	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	315	"	"	"		
310	Shreenevasa Row	Dº	10	5	12	"	"	243	"	158-20	"	39	"	"	"	"		
311	Govinda Row	Dº	6	4	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		

15758 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Amuttaloor Talook Cowle No 1

312	Govinda Row	Goomastah	28	3	12	"	"	147	"	"	"	177	"	"	260	"	
313	Sheemapah	Do	13	4	10	8	"	"	"	"	257	"	"	"	"	"	
314	Venkataraghava Row	Do	6	7	10	8	"	97	"	98	"	"	"	"	"	"	
315	Seenapah	Acting Do	15	5	10	8	"	"	"	309	"	"	"	"	"	"	
316	Channursapah	Goomastah	3	7	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
317	Venkanah	Acting Do	7	9	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
318	Streenevasa Row	Goomastah	20	"	10	8	"	132	"	"	"	144	"	"	"	"	
319	Lutchmee Narsapah	Moonshee	10	10	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	232	209-245	"	"	"	
320	Kanoonuntapah	Shroff	4	11	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
321	Venatachellum	Collar	"	2	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
					327	4											
322	Venkata Row	Tahsildar	33	7	100	"	"	151	"	359	"	13-157	"	350	"	"	
323	Raughavendra Row	Sristadar	15	"	35	"	"	290	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
324	Raughavendra Row	Pishcar	33	6	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	68	"	
325	Ramanapah	Goomastah	11	6	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
326	Causapatapah	Do	28	4	13	"	"	"	"	345-348	"	"	"	"	"	"	
327	Narrain Row	Do	16	2	12	"	"	202	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
328	Narrainapah	Do	5	4	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	32	"	
329	Sashagherry Row	Do	4	2	10	8	"	354	347	"	"	669	4 20 626	85-6	"	"	
330	Baboo row	Do	16	7	12	"	"	364	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
331	Nursingarow	Do	20	2	10	8	"	361	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
332	Sashagherry Row	Do	5	4	10	8	"	"	183	"	339	"	"	"	"	"	
333	Goondapah	Do	29	3	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
334	Mandly Kanoomuntapah	Do	2	7	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
335	Streenevasa Row	Moonshee	19	10	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	364	"	"	"	"	
336	Kasaiah	Shroff	2	5	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
337	Rangapah	Collar	1	6	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
					293	4											

Pergunnah Talook Cowle

15759

Fort St George 20th November 1854

Kodecondah Taluk

338	Kistna Row	Tahsildar	35	10	85	-	-	-	-	237	-	-	-	36-243	-	-			
339	Ramasawmy	Acting Sheristadar	19	10	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	332	-	-			
340	Lutchmee Narrainapah	Goomastah	37	1	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	-	-	-	-			
341	Venkapah	Do	33	6	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-			
342	Cansoola Venkoba Row	Do	24	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	218	-			
343	Appajapah	Do	29	4	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
344	Kristnapah	Do	32	5	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
345	Kristna Row	Do	32	8	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	348-235	326	207	-	-			
346	Narsinga Row	Do	3	3	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	181	-	-	-			
347	Kanoomuntapah	Do	23	5	10	8	354-329	-	-	66,26,94	-	85-6	-	206	-	-			
348	Sreenevassa Row	Moonshee	26	1	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	345	326	-	-	-			
349	Venkatramaniah	Shroff	33	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
350	Soobbiah	Gollar	19	-	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						230	4												

Madugolah Taluk

357	Jeroomal Row	Tahsildar	37	-	85	-	-	38-225	-	-	-	365-304-114	-	-	13	-	-			
352	Dasayee Gopaul Row	Sheristadar	15	9	30	-	-	385	-	373	-	-	-	94	-	-			
353	Govindarow	Goomastah	28	5	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
354	Soobba Row	Do	5	8	13	-	-	329	347	-	-	206-66-94 26	85-6	-	-	-			
355	Raghavendra Row	Do	14	4	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
356	Venkoba Row	Do	9	4	10	8	-	359	-	-	322	-	151	-	-	-			
357	Dausapah	Do	22	2	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
358	Rauja Row	Do	15	2	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	236	-	-	-	-			
359	Paundoorunga Row	Do	6	-	10	8	-	356	-	-	-	-	322-151	-	-	-			
360	Konapah	Do	31	2	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
361	Rauma Row	Moonshee	6	6	10	8	-	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
362	Vencanah	Shroff	1	5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372	-	-	-			
363	Bheema	Gollar	31	11	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						329	4												

15760

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

364 Runga Scobba Row	Tahsildar	28	9	110	"	"	330	"	"	"	335	"	"	"	"		
365 Tengondah Sashaghetty Row.	Sheristadar	29	11	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	351-225.88	"	"	"	"		
366 Scobba Row	Seishcar	28	7	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	301	"	"	"	"		
367 Bheema Row	Do	28	2	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
368 Rangapah	Goomastah	33	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
369 Bhaskara Row	Do	13	9	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
370 Venkataramanapah	Do	14	8	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
371 Scobba Row	Do	2	9	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
372 Runga Row	Do	17	11	12	"	"	"	"	362	"	"	"	"	"	"		
373 Raumaratha Row	Do	4	4	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	66	352-385	"	"	"		
374 Purvatiah	Do	19	5	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
375 Raghoonatha Row	Do	35	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
376 Herancyapah	Do	11	4	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
377 Sashaghetty Row.	Do	28	7	10	8	"	220	"	239	"	285	"	"	"	"		
378 Kondul Row	Do	8	3	10	8	278	"	"	38	"	"	"	"	"	"		
379 Narrainapah	Do	15	8	10	8	"	380	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
380 Raumapah	Do	20	9	10	8	"	379	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
381 Hanoomanta Row	Do	2	7	10	8	"	"	291	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
382 Putmaswarapah	Moonshee	20	10	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
383 Sunnapah	Shroff	13	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
384 Rawoor Kristnapah	Gollar	17	11	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
				368	12												
385 Dasaye Rauma Row	Police Amen at Ramum prong.	20	7	30	"	"	352	"	373	"	"	"	"	"	"		
386 Narsinga Row	Moonshee	32	6	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
387 Sectaramapah	Goomastah	13	5	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
388 Raumaswamy Naidoo	Writer	30	8	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
				105	"												
Grand Total of Hoozoor and Talook Establishment				8666	4												

Magisterial Department

Bellary Collectors Office 4th November 1854. E E 7/m

(Signed) C. Selby
Collector

S. Statement

15761

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 2. Statement shewing the relationship existing between the Principal Servants of the Collectors Department and the Civil Courts Servants as they stood on the 17th October 1854.-

Department. No.	Names	Officials	Amount of pay per month	Relations employed in the Civil Courts. No.	Names	Office	Pay per Month	How related
1	1	2	3	4	5	6		7
			Rs				Rs as	
1	Neelacuntarow	Head Sheristadar	700	1	Kristna Row	Goomastah of Civil Court	17 8	Nephew or brothers son
				1/2 1	Nagasa Row	Do.	Do. 21 -	Do. or Sister son
2	Raghoonatharow	Hoozoor Goomastah	30	1	Ram Row	Goomastah of District Moonsiffs Court Bellary.	5 -	Son
3	Nursinga Row	Moonshee	30	1	Pundita Kristna Row	Moonshee in the Subordinate Court	30 -	Sons brotherinlaw
				1/2 1	Vencoba Row	Goomastah in the Subordinate Court	10 -	Do.
4	Mahomed Gows	Persian Moonshee	25	1	Boodun Saib	Record keeper in the Civil Court	30 -	Brother
5	Ramachendrarow	Tahsildar of Hoovinhadgaly	90	1	Narsinga Row	Vakeel in the Moonsiffs Court at Coonagood	- -	Sons Brotherinlaw or wifes brother
6	Dody Kristna Row	Sheristadar of Gooty Talooke	40	1	Dody Timmapah	Sheristadar of Subordinate Court	90 -	Brother
				1	Yagapah	2nd Writer of Do.	35 -	Do.
				1	Bheema Row	Vakeel of Do.	- -	Uncles son or Fathers brothers son
				1	Gooty Streenevasa row	Moonshee of Subordinate Court	28 -	Brotherin law or sisters husbands brother
				1	Timmapah	Moonsiff of Gooty	115 -	Sisters Fatherin law
				1	Raghavendra Row	Head Goomastah of Gooty Moonsiffs Court	15 -	Sisters husband

			1	Streeneevasa Row	Fouzdary Translator of Civil Court	50	-	Uncle's Son or Mother's Brother's son
			1	Timmapah	Goomastah of Moonsiff's Court of Bellary	5	-	Dº
7	Narrapah	Sheristadar of Yadwa-kee Talook	30	1	Rama Row	Dº	5	- Sons brother in law
8	Haroomunta Row	Sheristadar of Etmunta-poor Talook	35	1	B. Gurrayapah	Sheristadar of Civil Court	100	- Son in law's eldest Father
				1	B. Kistnapah	Goomastah of Mooftys Court	10	8 Son in law's Father
				1	B. Narasapah	Head Goomastah of Civil Court	24	8 Dº Uncle
				1	B. Raghavendra Row	Vakeel of Civil Court	-	- Dº Dº
				1	B. Madhwa Row	Nauzur of Civil Court	45	- Son in law's Grand Father
				1	B. Bheemasain Row	Goomastah of Coorugood Moonsiff's Court	15	- Dº
			6					

District-

added that many of the public servants possess hereditary Village offices, (tho performing the duties by deputy) and are related to other Village officials, thus transmitting to the Villages the influence which is seen to exist in the Taloks and Huzzoor Cutcherries.

From Collector 26th July in Cowl 4th September 1854

4. The Board have no reason to believe that the aptitude for revenue administration in other classes is inferior to that found in the Mahratta Bramin, tho the fact of the accounts having been kept in the language of the latter, has led to their more frequent employment and consequent imaginary superiority.

5. As the Collector expresses his readiness to carry out the wishes of Government in breaking up these family influences, the Board would leave the matter in his hands, and would direct, as in their Proceedings to the Collector of Cuddapah, no immediate Changes, expressing only their desire to see the introduction of all classes into public employ, so as to leave no special one predominating.-

To,

No. 81

To,

B: Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary in Charge of the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

37

Sir,

B. No. 4337.

From the Collector of Bellary

With reference to Mr. Deputy Secretary Porter's Circular letter of the 24th February 1834, I have the honor to report that no contingent charges within 20 Rupees have been incurred during the + 3d. quarter of the Calender year 1854, or from 1st July to 30th September 1854.-

+ Vide Board's Circular letter dated 14th Novr. 1844.

Bellary, Collector's Office, 6th September 1854.

(Signed) C: Pelly. Collector.

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded-

37-38

No. 45.

To, B: Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary in Charge of the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

39

Sir,

B. No. 3798.

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool.

Adverting to the orders of the Board dated 7th September 1854, I have the honor to annex a Copy of a letter from the Assistant Agent

Agent pointing out the expense and difficulty which would be experienced in carrying out the orders of the Board of issuing cooked food in lieu of money payments, to persons supported at the Lungerkhanna Institution in Kurnool.

2. The sum granted for this institution is only one Rupee a day, and it would certainly absorb a portion of the fund to require the food to be cooked, and I would therefore beg to recommend that, as suggested by Captain Russell, raw food may be issued in lieu of money payment.

Kurnool, Government Agents Office, 5th October, 1854.

(Signed) J. Conway.
Agent to the Governor.

To,
J. B. A. Conway Esqre.
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George
at Kurnool.

Sir,

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th Septr. 1854.

With reference to your letter No. 192 and its enclosure, I have the honor to bring to your notice the difficulty of applying the instructions therein contained in a place where

so many different cases partake of the liberality of Government, and how much the expenses which would be incurred by distributing cooked food in lieu of money, would reduce the very trifling sum which has been allotted to the Kurnool poor.—

2. The allowance to the Kurnool Lunger Khanna is 30 Rupees per Mensem, on a calculation I have made of the expenses which would be incurred by an alteration of the present System, this sum would be reduced to 20 Rupees. The partakers of the Government bounty are composed of all castes, Brahmin, Maharatta, Moorsulman, these are all residents in Kurnool and mustered monthly. Their utter helplessness from age and infirmities precludes the possibility of employing them to prepare the food. Some of them are actually obliged to pay a few pice to have themselves carried to muster, the others creep and crawl to it the best way they can.—

3. It appears a hardship that whereas food is not cooked in common for all

in

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

in our prisons, but each caste man is allowed to prepare his own, that the practice should apply to a charity establishment, and if I might be allowed to suggest the distribution of raw grain in lieu of money or cooked food, I believe that the benevolent intentions of Government would be most satisfactorily carriout out.-

4. I shall await your orders to act.-

Kurnool,
Assistant Agent's Office,
25th September, 1854.

(Signed) J. G. Russell.
Assistant Agent to the Governor.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) T. Conway.
Agent to the Governor.

39-40

Bd. No. 2556.

Proceedings thereon- Under the circumstances represented, the Board have no objection to Raw Rice being distributed in the Lungar at Kurnool.

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

To,
B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

41

Bd. No. 4024.

From the Acting Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir,

In the Depôts of Covelong and Semmenjary, a considerable quantity of Salt of the manufacture of fusslies 1261, and 1262 remains undisposed of. From lapse of time the thatch protecting the heaps from the rains has decayed and must be renewed. The escpence attending this process is disbursed in the first instance of storing by the Merasidars who manufacture the Salt. These men when applied to, refuse to defray the escpence of covering the Heaps a second time, and state that in Madras such second coverage is undertaken by Government, and is not a charge on the manufacturers-

As the monsoon is approaching and

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

and the necessity urgent, orders have been issued to the local authorities to have the heaps secured without delay. and the escpence incurred thereby will be reported hereafter if necessary. Meanwhile it is desired to know by whom the escpence is to be borne, whether by the Government or by the Manufacturers.

Singleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Sydapet,
25th October 1854.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Acting Collector.

Bd. No. 2550.

Proceedings thereon.
41-42

The Board of Revenue are of opinion that the escpenses incurred in covering the Salt heaps a second time should be charged to Government.

Esq

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

To B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

43

Bd. No. 2049
From the Acting Collector of Chingleput
Reporting on the Petitions Nos. 1867 and 1937 of 1854 presented to the Board of Revenue by Y. Chellaprah Moodelly & ca.

Sir

I have the honor to submit the following report on the Petitions marginally noted bearing Endorsements of the Board of Revenue and Government.

No. 1867 of 1854.
1937 of Do.
46 of Do.

Extract from the Boards Proceedings of the 2. December 1853 and their order of the 16th May 1831 therein quoted,

2

under the Sanction conveyed in

Mr. Cochrane in commencing the Settlement for Fusly 1263 decided that loses on crops withered from drought, and estimates of loss for deficient produce, should be allowed in full in cases only where such loses exceeded in amount one fourth of the demand on the entire holding of the cultivator; when the losses incurred fell below that standard, the deduction was made less 5 per cent of the whole demand on the Ryots Cultivated lands. The propriety or otherwise of this rule it is not necessary to discuss here, it is sufficient to state that

that it was enforced throughout the Settlement. It was presumed that the high price of produce in the past year would enable the Ryot to pay for a portion of the lands wholly or partially unproductive; the theory of the Ryotwar system being that the Ryot is not, of right, entitled to remission at all.—

3 The total losses from failure of crops, and deficient produce in Fusly 1263 were estimated at Rs. 1,35,920 as 12 as 6 of which Rs 119844 as 15 as 10 were remitted, and the remaining Rupees 16075—12—8 were carried to account under the circumstances stated above. Of the last mentioned sum a considerable portion has been recovered, but a good deal still remains outstanding.—

4 On taking charge of the District in June last, I was solicited in many quarters to forego this demand, but as the Fusly was nearly over, as I was personally ignorant of the

the system prevailing in Chingleput, and as I did not wish to interfere unnecessarily with my predecessors arrangements, I declined authorizing the entire abandonment of this Revenue, the more so as the demand had been realized already in good part in several Talooks. Practically, although not struck out of the Settlement, this Demand has not been regidly enforced and as the Ryots had been permitted to carry away their produce with the Rists largely in arrears — they have been enabled successfully to evade its enforcement. the — sequestration of private property to recover arrears of Revenue being discountenanced under my — management.—

15774 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

P. Y Chellappah whose signature is at the head of the Petitions, is the late Agent of the Madras Association, whose name has been repeatedly before Government,. The other Petitioneres are small cultivators.—

Chingleput District...
Collectors Cutcherry...
Sydapet 25th October 1854

/ Signed / P. B Smollett
Acting Collector

10 W

15775 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Bd. No. 2575
Proceedings thereon
(43-44)

No. 558

Ordered that the above letters be forwarded to Government with reference to Endorsement on Number 1975 of 1854.—

2 The Government will perceive from the Collectors Statement that his predecessor in anticipation of the very unfavorable season which actually followed requested, and obtained sanction for measures of the utmost liberality towards the ryots, Failure in dry crops was directed to be met equally with loss in wet produce and Nunjah lands were.

Fort St. George 21st November 1854

were permitted to be cultivated with dry crops at Poonjah rates.-

3 From the Collector's explanation of the principle followed in granting remission, it will be seen that the relief afforded was on a liberal scale and that 88 % of an estimate based upon the broadest views was actually remitted.—

4 It does not appear to the Board that interference in behalf of the Petitioners is at all required.—

To

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(45)

Bd. No. 1369
From the Collector
of Chingleput.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 13th Novr. ordering the insertion of four letters in the list of letters remaining unanswered, and desiring their retention in the lists until replied to.—

The first communication referred to is Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 15th September 1853. This had reference to a practice introduced by Mr. Cochrane of including in the Puttah issued at the time of settlement, the names of all parties who might posses a joint title to the Lands as members of an undivided family. The Board expressed their disapproval of the practice and in deference to this opinion Mr. Cochrane relinquished the System, reporting the fact in Para: 13 of his Report of the 30th November 1853 / Still undisposed of /. Under these circumstances as the communication in question was not considered important enough to require further explanation or discussion it was purposely omitted

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

omitted in the last and preceding lists.—

The same observations apply to Board's Proceedings of the 17th October 1853 regarding losses from the inundations of 1853. This subject was noticed as the Board had directed at much length in Paras 24 to 31 of Mr. Cochrane's last Summabundy Report. On that account, this Esctract from the Board's Proceedings was purposely espunged from the unanswered list of letters.—

The circular letter of the 8th May 1854. was not inserted in the list, because it was considered to be superceded by the Esctract from the Board's Proceedings on well cultivation of the 13th July 1854.— On this account the latter Esctract only was entered as unanswered— embracing as it did the whole question requiring notice and discussion.—

Lastly the Esctract from the Board's Proceedings of the 8th May 1854. regarding the abandonment of interest on Peishcush

Balances

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Balances was struck out of the list because it was held to have been replied to in the letter from this Department of the 1st August answered on the 10th idem. In accordance with the authority therein conveyed. the charge of interest was foregone and the matter, it was hoped had been finally disposed of.—

Chinglepute District.....
Collector's Cutcherry.....
Sydapet 4th November 1854.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Collector

Recorded

Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon.—
45-46

47
Bd's No 2396

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Chinglepute.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the Receipt of Esctract from the Board's Proceedings of the 13th July last requiring my opinion on the question raised by Government whether or not the public Revenue would be seriously affected in the event of all restrictions as to the locality of wells being abandoned and all being exempt from additional assessment.—

As

As dry lands are in most cases situated in high localities, and at a distance from Government works of irrigation, I see no reason to apprehend that the farther indulgence now proposed would lead to any considerable extent to the excavation of wells receiving their supplies by percolation from Public Reservoirs. I concur therefore in the opinion expressed in the Despatch from the India House, that the rules regarding the levy of Extra assessment on private wells had best be absolute and simple, unframmelled with any restrictions whatever.—

It seems to me that the principle of this measure should be farther extended and that retrospective effect should be given to its operations. It is an anomalous arrangement that the cultivation of lands under wells should be placed under a different footing in the same district merely because these wells were dug in different years. In this Collectorate at present old wells dug previously to 1818. are assessed

assessed agreeably with the Standing rules of the District, those constructed from 1818 to 1852 are allowed a remission of 1/2 the additional assessment agreeably with the orders of the 3 September 1849, while wells henceforth excavated under the instructions of the 25th November 1852 are free from enhanced Taxation.—

To obviate these anomalies, I would recommend that no additional cesses be levied on dry lands cultivated by means of private wells whether these be old or recently constructed. The total number of old wells in this District is only 281 and the enhanced taxation on them in the highest of the five last fusslies is only

Rupees 1021—2—6

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry
Sydapet 16th November 1852.

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Collector

Ordered to lie over
Statement.

Order thereon

47-48

15783 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 125

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(51)

Sir,

13d. No. 4093.

From the Collector of North Arcot — } Para 1- On the 12th February 1850, I referred to the Board of Revenue the question whether the Village Police officers in Zemindaries and other permanently settled estates were included in the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831, and in reply I was furnished with an opinion of the Court of Sudr Dedalut, afterwards published in a Circular order* to the effect that such officers were so included—

2 The purport of this order having been Communicated to the proprietors in this District, the Zemindar of Calastry wrote a long letter objecting for reasons stated, to the application of it to his case. It was my intention to bring this subject again before the Board for

* Forwards translations of two letters from the Zemindar of Calastry urging reasons against the applicability of Regn. VI of 1831 to his Zemindary and suggests the expediency of settling the question by Competent authority... * Page 514 of C. C. Book — April 3/50.

* July 25/50

15782

49 13d. No. 2304

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store on private trade at the undermentioned Place of Export to 15th November 1854 —

Gillah Chingleput.

Class of salt sold is available for export by Sea.

Quantity remaining to be exported

Quantity exported in 1852/53.

Quantity already exported

Remarks

Covelong — 50000 " " 19680 " "

Maunds 1 & Maunds 1/6

Chingleput Collector's Office
Collectorate
Dated 15th November 1854

(49-50)

Order thereon

Maunds 1 & Maunds 1/6

Chingleput District ... } Signed / D.B. Shallett.
Collectorate Cautchery ... } Collector.
Dated 15th November 1854 } Sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette
Ordered to be sent accordingly

W.F.B.

15784 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

for final decision, and I had the Zemin:
=dar's letter translated for the purpose,
but other engagements occupied me, and
when I was unexpectedly called away
from the District, the intention was
left unfulfilled. This year on my call:
=ing on the Zemindar to supply some
information required for the purposes of
the Regulation above quoted, he declined
to furnish it, alleging his former argu:
=ments against the applicability of the
enactment to his Zemindary at all. His
point is one of importance, in Connection
with the Police of the Country, and as
no order upon it from the District autho:
=rities would satisfy the Zemindar, I do
myself the honor to bring it before the
Board, with a view to its final s
settlement by Competent authority.

3 I beg to enclose translations
of the Zemindar's two letters of the 25th
July 1850, and 24th August 1854. His argu:
=ments in both will be seen to be very
similar. They are in brief, 1st "That his
Zemindary not having been formed under
the

15785 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

the Regulation of 1802, on the Pycharum
fixed after Computation of the assets, but
being an ancient Zemindary and the Peish:
=cush having been fixed in the gross, neither
Regulation XXV of 1802, nor Regulation VI
of 1831 is applicable to it, and 2d That the
Monigars, Talianies, and Totties are not
at all Concerned with Police duty, but
are his own private servants, entertain:
=ed and paid by him for the Collection
of his Revenue.

4 I apprehend that these posi:
=tions are untenable. The permanent
Summud on which the Zemindary is held
was issued subsequently to the promulga:
=tion of Regulation XXV of 1802, and its
Stipulations have evident reference to
the provisions of that enactment. It is true
that certain parts of that Regulation, in
particular those relating to Enams, are
unsuitable and inapplicable to such
Zemindaries as Calastry, Canateemuggur,
and Tuticataghery, but I conceive that those
Properties are certainly Comprehended by all
the

15786 Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

the provisions of the Regulation, excepting those exceptional parts-and as to the argument respecting Regulation VII. of 1831, according to the universal Custom of this Country the Village Officers certainly performed Police as well as Revenue duties prior to the Permanent Settlement, and though their emans may not have been ascertained and registered and specially excepted from the assets of the estate, for I apprehend the Police establishments referred to in Para 5 of the Cowle were of a different nature, still they existed, and in fact did not form a part of those assets-

5 In Consequence of the strong spirit of opposition manifested by the Zemindar, a feeling which other Zemindars tacitly share, it seems desirable that the question should be finally decided by authority- The question is one of much importance as regards the efficiency of the Police, as I stated in my letter of the 12th February 1850-

I

15787 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

If the operation of the Regulation is confirmed, it will not be necessary to interfere directly in general, but it will be very much so to register all holders of the officers Concerned, to exercise over them by that means an efficient supervision, to use on occasion the power of punishment or deprivation given by the Regulation, and on the other hand to protect the officers from being deprived of office or emolument at the mere pleasure of the Zemindar- If the Regulation is pronounced inapplicable, it will be necessary to the efficiency of the Police that a class of Servants to perform the duties of the Village Police of the Talliaries and lotties in particular, should be maintained by Government-

North Arcot
Collector's Cutchery
Chittoor
23d. October 1854.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854.

Encr. No. 1

Translation of a letter addressed by Rajah Damara Coomara Venkata-pah Naid Bahadoor to J.D. Bourdillon Esqre. Collector of North Arcot on the 25th. July 1850.

After the usual Compliments

I beg leave to acknowledge the receipt of your letters dated respectively the 21st. april 1850 and 22d. May 1850. In the first you favored me for my information, with Copies and translations of the Board's letter of the 3d. of april 1850 and its enclosure, bearing your personal Signature, signifying that it had been ruled that with the exception of the office of Curnum, Regulation VII of 1831 applies to all hereditary village and other offices in the Zemindary lands. With the second letter you favor me with a form bearing your seal and signature and directing me to furnish you with accounts according to that form, to show the present number and description of the Village Servants in my Sammustanum,

the

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854.

the Curnums accepted, informing me in the meantime that the emoluments of the General Offices should be made applicable to the particular services for which they were designed, that their sale or mortgage subsequent to the promulgation of Regulation VII of 1831 will not be admitted, nor can they be so disposed of for the future, and that when it becomes necessary to dismiss or remove any Village Servant from his office, that I should report the case, with explanations for orders.

In reply to both these letters, I beg to bring the following facts to your notice.

When our Sammustanum was formerly under the Mussulman Government, we paid our annual Peishcush of 10775 Star Pagodas to the Nabob, and with his assistance maintained a Military Establishment and obtained their services. The power over all sorts of Collections, as well as the administration of the Police Village Meerasy and other offices connected with the Sammustanum, were entirely vested in us-

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

2 On our Sannustanum being transferred from the Nabob to the Honorable the British Government, we were favored with an Emilkut Estemrars or permanent Coule, dated 24th augt. 1802, by Lord Clive - In the 2d and 3d Paras of this Coule, the Peishcush of the Sannustanum is fixed at 54,398 Star Pagodas per annum, i.e. inclu: sive both the trifling Inamool Peishcush paid to the former Government and the Charges attend: ing the maintenance of the Military Establish: ment - Para 5 of that Coule alludes to the only five items over which the Government retain their Jurisdiction, as Regards Collection and administration, and they are the Sayer, the Ab Karry, Salt, Saltpetre, and the Police, and Para 11 Contains certain distinct specifi: cations respecting the nomination of the Curnam, which is one of the offices forming the Village Meerassy - and those Conditions, the Government also received a Cabooliet from our ancestors on the date aforesaid and Para 3d of that Cabooliet has reference to Paras 1 and 2 of the Coule, Para 4 to Para 5 and Para 10 to Para 11 of the Coule -

3 In this manner the Government have in both the said Coule and Cabooliet clearly specified uniform terms, the Juris: diction to be retained by them - Had it been their

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

their intention to take at any future period the power over the other revenue offices of the Village servants (alluded to in Regulation VII of 1831) on themselves, they would have inserted that intention in the above instru: ments - That such was never their inten: tion is apparent from their entirely omitting any allusion thereto and from their freely, knowingly and willingly confirming all the powers that were vested in us by the former Government, and therefore there is no reason whatever to infer that the Government which had provided for the appointment of Curnams one of the offices connected with the same Village Meerassy omitted to mention the other offices from want of opportunity or inattention -

4 At the time of enforcing the permanent Coule and Cabooliet the Special Commissioner in Paras 10 and 11 of his letter written to Mr. Stratton on the 25th August 1802 - requested that Gentleman not only to acquaint the Zemindars of the conditions of the instruments, but also to inform him that the subject had been

under

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

under the consideration of Government for the past seven years, and that it had been laid before the Honorable the Court of Directors who acquiesced in and authorized the enforcement of the measure. From these considerations also it is to be justly concluded that the rulers who had long deliberated in the matter of the Cowle and Caboolict had not the least idea of depriving us of our jurisdiction over the Village Hereditary offices, as if they had it would have formed a distinct provision in the instruments above cited.-

5.

Thus by virtue of the Cowle and Caboolict the Government have spontaneously allowed us for a length of time to exercise a free and entire control over the Village offices, and we have availed ourselves of the power for a period of about 50 years subject to no interference from the Authorities nor to any other objections. Therefore if there are ought mentioned in any of the Regulations or orders concerning the withdrawal from us of ancient and long enjoyed privileges, they cannot from the tenure and spirit of the above permanent

Cowle

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Cowle affect us in anyway. Regulation VII of 1831 and Section VIII of that enactment like Regulation XXV of 1802 applies only to Zemindaries, the permanent revenues of which had been fixed on the resources of their lands and to the Government districts, but not to a Zemindary such as mine, the Peishcush of which, as noted in Para 3. of this letter, had been fixed with reference to the charges attending the maintenance of a Military Establishment and not on it's lands. Although Section VIII of the above Regulation VII is worded in general terms "in the districts in which the revenue was permanently fixed" still from the meaning to be arrived at from Sections I and II of the above said Regulation XXV the words apply to only those estates that had been assessed on the resources of their lands and not to my Zemindary the tribute on which was determined in the manner already described; Viz. upon the charges of the Military Establishment, nor does the Section VIII referred to withhold from the Zemindars the rights and privileges originally conferred on them by virtue of the permanent

Cowle.

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Cowle.-

6. It is well known that the direction contained in Section III of Regulation XXV of 1802 to the effect that in every case the conditions specified in the Cowle and Cabooliat should be followed has been invariably observed and acted pw.-

7. It is also to be observed that because the Regulation in question was inconsistent with the tenure of the permanent Cowle and general system of my Zemindary and consequently inapplicable to it, neither the Board nor the former Collectors ever thought it worth while to communicate its import to us since its enactment in 1831; again in 1839 some persons who have interests in the Village Offices now in discussion by the evil persuasion of others, our enemies, got up some frivolous stories and presented Petitions against us. These Petitions the Government and the Board of Revenue rejected. I only mention this to show that even then they started no objections as to the legality of our authority and

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

and on such understanding allowed us to exercise it unquestioned for the last 20 years succeeding the enactment of the Regulations.-

8. I shall add another transaction of a similar nature, Section IV Regulation XXV of 1802 prescribes the levying of the Motarpha tax to be retained by the Circar. Upon this the late Collector Mr. Cooke took measures to collect that tax in our Zemindary but on our ancestors assigning reasons to convince him that under the stipulations contained in the permanent Cowle the provisions of the said Section IV could affect us in any way the Honorable Government and the Board of Revenue admitted the propriety of those reasons as well as the validity of the Cowle as an instrument of greater value than the Regulation, and Mr. Cookie himself on the 6th January 1821 addressed a letter to our grand father directing the tax to be collected by ourselves and thus restored to us our rights. Another instance Peddapooloor a Lakiraj Village given as Enam by our ancestors to one Neelacondah Naicken of Madras having fallen in abeyance was attached by the Government

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Government under the provisions of the Said Section IX of the above quoted Regulation, but on our advancing our claim to it, Mr. Ogilvie according to the orders of Government in their Minutes of Consultation dated April 6th 1839 addressed a letter to us on the 26th of that Month restoring to us not only the Village, but also the balance which had accrued on it.-

9. From the numerous instances adduced above there seems no ground whatever either for withdrawing from us our authority, or for ourselves to throw it up, and should we however resign it now upon your direction, the consequence will be not only the defeat of all my just orders &c but also material interference with the quiet and effectual transaction of business thereby causing difficulties in the discharge of my revenue duties as well as losses and other inconveniences.-

The

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

The Village Servants are a set of insignificant people. In revenue matters however their assistance is necessary but if the Jurisdiction over them is not in the hands of him who is vested with the revenue administration business will be neglected or ill conducted. It is with this understanding that the Courts take no cognizance of any complaints bearing on this point. It is a matter which under Regulation VII of 1831 rests with the Collector. Such being the case I leave it to you to judge whether if I was to resign this authority I should not suffer as well in consideration as a revenue administrator as by the diminution of the revenue.-

You.

15798 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

10

You are all in all to us and it rests with you together yes pedress from the Government. Please take the reasons advanced in the preceding paragraphs into your mature consideration, Communicate the case to the Board with your favorable recommendation and preserve to us the authority over the Meerasdy Offices, so that we may not be under the necessity of furnishing the accounts now required and thus dopped justice, according to the conditions of the Cowle, which we were led to believe to be irrecoverable.-

(Signed) Rajah Demara
Comara Vencatapah Naid Bahadoor

(A true translation)
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Translation

15799 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

No. 2.

Translation of a letter written by Rajah Damara Coomara Vencatappa Naid Bahadoor, Zemindar of Kalastry to J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Collector of North Arcot on the 24th August 1854 No. 47.

(After the usual Compliments)

I have received on the 17th your letter of the 15th Ultimo replying to mine of the 5th idem: in the latter I informed you that the Maderpauk Head of Police had called upon the Curnums of my Zemindary to produce Accounts of the emoluments enjoyed by the Village Servants, and requested you to cause that demand to be withdrawn. as those Village Officers are nominated by me for the performance of the Revenue business, and are maintained at my own expense. In reply you state in your letter under reference that under the Circular orders of the Board of Revenue dated the 3d April 1850. the provisions of Regulation VII of 1831, is applicable to all the servants of a Zemmindary with the exception of the Curnums; that accordingly a Collector has jurisdiction over them, that the accounts required must be produced by the

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

the Curnums, and that my request, begging to be excused furnishing them cannot be acceded to. I beg to submit the following observations on this point.

1st. When our Samastanum was under the Mussulman Government we paid an annual Peishcush of 10775 Star Pagodas and maintained a Military Establishment. The power over all sorts of Collections and the Village Officers was entirely vested in us. On the Samastanum being taken under the protection of the Honorable Govern= =ment, they fixed an annual Peishcush of 54,398 Star Pagodas, including the charges attending the maintenance of a Military force, in the Mamool Peishcush, and favored us with a permanent Cowle on the 24th August 1802.

2. In Paras 2 and 3, of the said permanent Cowle, it is specified that the Peishcush was fixed by amalgamating the Mamool Peishcush with the Military charges: In Pcu Para 5, it is said the authority over five items, Viz.: the Sayer, Abkary, Salt, Saltpetre and the Police only will be retained by Government, and Pcu Para 11 contains certain

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

certain observations respecting the appointment of Curnums, one of the Officers connected with the Village Merasies of my Samastanum and on these conditions the Government obtained a Caboolict from our ancestors.

3. In this manner the Govern= =ment have in both the said Cowle and Caboolict, clearly specified in uniform terms the jurisdiction to be retained by them. Had it been their intention to take, at any future period, the power over the other revenue Officers of the Village Servants, (alluded to in Regulation VII of 1831) on themselves, they would have inserted that intention in the above instruments. That such was never their intention is Apparent from their entirely omitting any allusion thereto and from their freely conscientiously and willingly confirming all the powers that were formerly vested in us; and therefore, there is no reason whatever to infer, that the Government, who had provided for the Appointment of Curnums, one of the Offices connected with the same Village Merafsy, omitted to mention the other Offices from want of opportunity or attention.

4. At the time of enforcing the permanent Cowle and Caboolict, the Special Commissioner

Commissioner, in a letter written to Mr. Stratton on the 25th August 1802 requested that Gentleman not only to acquaint the Zemindars of the conditions of the instruments and to inform them that the subject had been under consideration by the Government for the past 7 years, that it had been laid before the Honorable Court of Directors, who acquiesced in, and authorized the enforcement of the measure, but also to assure them (the Zemindars) that the conditions specified in the instruments should never undergo any change whatever. From these considerations it is to be justly concluded that the rulers who had long deliberated in the matter of the Coule and Cabooliat, had not the least idea of depriving us of our jurisdiction over the Village Merassy Offices, as if they had, it would have formed a distinct provision in the instruments above cited.

5. It is well known, that the direction contained in Section III Regulation XXV of 1802 to the effect that in every case the conditions laid down in the Coule and Cabooliat

should be followed, has been invariably observed. Accordingly by virtue of those instruments, the Government have spontaneously allowed us for a length of time, to exercise a free and entire control over the Village Officers, and we have availed ourselves of the power for a period of about 50 years, subject to no interference from the authorities nor to any other objections. Therefore if there be aught mentioned in any of the Regulations or orders concerning the withdrawal from us of our ancient and long enjoyed privileges, they cannot from the tenure and spirit of the above permanent Coule, affect us in any way. Regulation VII of 1831, like Regulation XXV of 1802, applies only to Zemindaries, the permanent revenue of which had been fixed on the resources of their lands, and to the Government districts, but not to a Zemindary such as mine, the Peishcush of which, as noted in Paras: 1 and 2 of this letter, had been fixed with reference to the charges attending the maintenance of a Military Establishment and not on pitl's lands. Altho' Section VIII of the above Regulation VII is worded in general terms, "in the Districts in which the revenue was permanently

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

permanently fixed." Still, from the meaning to be arrived at from Sections 1 and 2 of the above said Regulation XXV, the words apply to only those estates which had been assessed on the resources of their lands, and not to my Zemindary, the tribute on which was determined in the manner already described, Viz.t upon the Charges of the Military Establishment. Nor does Regulation VII or the orders beforementioned withhold from the Zemindars the rights and privileges originally conferred on them.

b. Besides this a case of a similar nature occurring about the Butwarty and Kyratty mamiems, it formed the subject of "Proceedings" from the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut on the 24th January 1848, which being sent to the Civil Judge of Nellore on the 19th December of that year, that Gentleman was of opinion that it applied to the Venkatagherry Zemindary. The conditions of the Cowle of this Zemindary are in form similar to that of mine and were issued on the same occasion. The Court of Sudr Udalut, however, after fully weighing the merits

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

merits of the conditions of the Cowle and much other evidence in support of it came to the conclusion that the aforesaid Circular was not applicable to that Samustanum; and that, as the clause in the Sunnud granted to the Northern Zemindaries was omitted, in that granted to the Venkatagherry Samustanum; even the enactments upon which that order had emanated, Viz.t Regulation IV of 1831 and Act 31 of 1836, were not applicable to that Samustanum. This their opinion, the Court clearly recorded in their Proceedings dated the 5th August 1851, and it was approved of in the Minutes of Consultation of the 15th August and Board's Proceedings of the 21st of the same Month. Consequently the present Circular, which is a mere general order and similar to the one above, as well as the said Regulation VII, can only be applicable to the Circar Amany lands, and the Zemindaries held as above, but not to mine. If you will call up and review these orders you will also come to the same view of the Circular order, Viz.t that the right over all the Village Offices &c. belongs to us and it would seem

seem that there is no obligation binding the Gumums to produce the account of the emolument. I will further convince you that the Gentlemen of the Board of Revenue were and are well aware of the fact that the order is not intended to affect my Samastanum.

7. I shall here add another transaction of a similar nature. Section IV Regulation XXV of 1802 prescribes the levying of the Moturpha tax to be retained by the Circar. Upon this, the late Collector Mr. Cooke, took measures to collect that tax in our Zemindary, but on our ancestors assigning reasons to convince him that under the Stipulations contained in the permanent Cowle, the provisions of the said Section IV cannot affect us in any way, the Honorable Government and the Board of Revenue admitted the propriety of those reasons, as well as the validity of the Cowle, as an instrument which had been more carefully and longer debated than the Regulation.

and

And Mr. Cooke himself, on the 6th November 1821 addressed a letter to our grand father, in which, after quoting the order of the 4th December 1820, directed him to collect the Moturpha tax himself, thus conforming to us our own rights. Another instance. Peddapooloor a Lackiraj Village, given as Enam by our ancestors to one Nelacontah Naicken, having fallen in abeyance, was attached by the Government, but on our advancing our claim to it, they restored it, together with the balance which had accrued on it.

8. It is also to be observed that because the Regulation in question was inconsistent with the condition of the permanent Cowle and general system of my Zemindary and consequently inapplicable to it, neither the Board of Revenue nor any other authority ever thought it worth while to communicate it's import to us, since it was enacted in 1831 July 3rd again, in 1831, Some persons, who have interests in the Village Offices, now in discussions by

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.-

by the evil persuasion of others, our enemies, got up some frivolous stories and presented Petitions against us, these Petitions the Government and the Board of Revenue, rejected. I only mention this to show that even then they started no objections as to the legality of our authority and on such understanding have allowed us to exercise it unquestioned for the last 20 years, after the Regulation had been enacted.-

9. From the numerous instances adduced above, there seems no ground whatever either for withdrawing from us our authority or for ourselves to resign it, nor am I under any apprehension for the legality and permanency of our rights in complying with your desire and furnishing the accounts in question; but as these Village Servants are very insignificant people, my calling upon them to render the accounts would only interfere with my orders and business being executed effectually, and thereby cause not only difficulties in the discharge of my revenue administration, but also losses and other

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.-

other inconveniences. It is from these Village Servants, that assistance in such matters as regards the revenue, is expected, and if the jurisdiction over them is not in the hands of him, who is vested with the Revenue administration, the consequence will be, that the business will be ill conducted and neglected. It is with this understanding, that the Courts take no cognizance of any complaints bearing on this point, the Collector is the functionary to decide them. Such being the case, I leave it to you to judge, If I was to resign this authority, would not I suffer, as well in consideration as a revenue administrator, as in the absolute diminution of the revenue.

10. At all events, as mentioned in my letter of the 5th July, I would beg to say that under the conditions of the permanent Cowle, there appears to exist no obligation on me to furnish the accounts of the emoluments of the Village Servants, as they are appointed and maintained at my own expense for the performance of the revenue duties of my Karnindy -

11. In reference to this very Circular order you communicated to me on the 24th April and 22nd May 1850, and I replied to them on the 25th June of that year. Again Mr. H. A. Brett the late Collector, with reference to

15810

Fort St. George 20th November 1854:-

to the Circular Order of 28th October 1851 wrote to me on the 8th October 1852, this I replied to on the 24th October and assigned various reasons for its non application to me, but as I received no answers to my letters I was led to believe that you considered that all orders issued in the shape of Circulars, as proved in Para 6 of this letter, were not applicable to my Zemindary. While things stood thus pending your liberal consideration, I was again called upon to furnish accounts of my servants to the Police. If this is to be the case, failing you, who can I expect will view the conditions of our Cowle and Cabooliat in their proper light and sense.-

12. You are all in all to us. the task of winning our Causes from Government is with you. I request therefore you will kindly go over our per=manent Cowle and Cabooliat, the letters dated June 25th 1850, October 24th /52, July 5th /54, and that you will apply for and peruse the decisions therein referred to as come to by several authorities and so that the Government will not diminish in make a favorable recommendation to the Board, mine, and in other minds the lasting and firm confidence we repose in the conditions of our per=manent Cowle as irrevocable, but on the contrary that, that hope may be further encouraged and augmented, also that the Circular order of the Court of Sudr Udalut may not be made applicable to my Samastanam; and finally that the intentions of furnishing the accounts in question to the Police may be given up. In this and in all other respects pray redress us

(Signed) Rajah Damara
Comara Venkatappa Naidoo
Bahadoor.

(A true translation)
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

DBJ

15811

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the following letter:-
No. 559.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(51-52)

B. No. 2576.
To the Chief Secty to Government
dated 23 Oct.
in Cons: 20 Nov. 1854.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot and its enclosures, having reference to a claim of the Zamindar of Calastry to exemption from his Zemindary from the operation of Regulation VII of 1831. The Court of Sudar Audalut have ruled that the Provi=sions of that Regulation extend to Zemindaries, but this Zemindar maintains that his Estate must be excepted on the ground that the terms of his Permanent Sunnud, which differ from those of the modern Zemindaries, created in 1802, secure him from such interference.-

Circular Order of Bd of Revr April 3/1850. Page 517.

2. On comparing the permanent Sunnud of Calastry with that adopted from the moderm Zemin=daries, the only difference material to the matter now at issue appears to be the omission in clause 5 (corresponding to clause 3 in the General form) of the words which reserve power.

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

power over the alienated or Kheraj lands to the Government accordingly that power has been conceded to the Zemindar as respects enams properly so called, but it appears to the Board very doubtful whether the enams attached as emoluments to village Officers, can be held to be included in the exemptions.

3. It appears to the Board however that the case is one in which there is fairly room for a difference of opinion, and as under the circumstances stated by this Collector, as well as on general grounds, it is very desirable that doubts should be removed, the Board beg to support the recommendation that the question should be laid before the interpreters of the law. The matter at issue is whether under the terms of the Calastry Permanent Sunnud or the circumstances under which the Peishcush was fixed, as detailed in the Zemindars two letters that estate is exempt from the operation of Regulation VII of 1831 to which Zemindaries generally have been declared to be subject. It seems desirable that the opinion of the Court of Sudr Adalut should be obtained, and if it is deemed inexpedient to apply to them for an extrajudicial opinion, it may be proper to consider how the question can be brought before them judicially.

Enclosures in the Coll.r's Letter of Oct.r 23/54.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort Saint George,
20th November 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Sec.t in charge

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

No. 135

To
W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(53)

B.d No. 4378

From the Collector of North Arcot. - -

Reports the occurrence of serious disturbances and plunder of granaries in the Towns of Vellore and Wallajahpett, and details the measures adopted for preventing a recurrence of the same.-

Sir,

Para 1-

I have the honor to report to the Board of Revenue for the information of Government the occurrence of serious disturbances and plunder of Granaries in the Towns of Vellore and Wallajahpett on the 10th and 11th Instant. In the former town the assembly of a large body of people with obvious intention of plundering was reported to the Head Assistant Magistrate Mr. Sullivan by the Head of Police and Police Sirdar about 3 O'Clock in the afternoon of the 10th Instant, Mr. Sullivan in his report to me states that he proceeded at once to the spot, but before he could reach it the plundering had commenced. Finding the small Civil force at his disposal inadequate to disperse the crowd, Mr. Sullivan applied to the Brigadier Commanding Vellore for assistance, and the mob was dispersed, but not, I regret to say, till several grain stores had been plundered. General quiet was restored by 6 O'Clock. Mr. Sullivan further remarks that as the very high price of Grain at Vellore is likely to continue without any material fall for the next two months,

he

he considers it necessary that there is should be a guard near the Grain Bazar and has therefore put himself in communication with the Brigadier Commanding on the subject.-

2 At Wallajahpet there is no European Magistrate, and the task of putting down the disturbance and dispersing the crowd devolved entirely on the Head of Police- He also was obliged to call on the aid of the Military- The plundering however, I regret to say, continued for 3 hours, and in this instance several bandies loaded with grain which were passing along the road were robbed of their contents, in addition to the grain stores in the town.-

3 I am happy to be able to add that in neither instance was there any loss of human life, neither Mr Sullivan nor the Head of Police and Police Officer of Vellore mention the quantity or value of the grain carried off.-

4 In Wallajahpet, the value of

of the grain plundered is reported by the Head of Police to be 450 Rupees, and the quantity contained in the bandies robbed has not been ascertained- I received the report of the Wallajahpet Head of Police yesterday- I immediately wrote to request that the Commanding Officer at Ranneepett, distant about 2 Miles, would be so good as to station for the present a detachment of Troops at Vallajahpet- Mr Sullivan has, as I have abovementioned, taken similar precautions.-

5 As Wallajahpet is 28 Miles from Chittoor, and only 2 Miles from Ranneepet which is under the charge of the Joint Magistrate Mr Binning, where also there is a Jail of which he has the Superintendence, I have requested him to take charge of the Police of Wallajahpet, for the present, while the present high price of grain continues and there is in consequence any apprehension of the recurrence of similar outrages.-

6 I have also considered it advisable to issue a proclamation pointing out to the misguided persons committing those robberies

robberies that they not only render themselves liable to punishment according to law, but that they are really aggravating the evil they now endure by depriving the grain Merchants of that security which can alone enable them to carry on their trade.-

7- I trust that these precautions may be effectual in preventing the re-currence of these outbreaks and meanwhile the attention of myself and my subordinates will be directed to the ap-prehension and conviction of the rioters in the late disturbances.-

North Arcot, Collectors Cutchery } (Signed) C. Whittingham
Chittoor, 14th November 1854.- } Collector

(53-54)

Bd No 2555 No 546

Proceedings thereon.

The Board of Revenue approve of the measures adopted by the Collector as re-ported in the foregoing letter, and hope that no means will be

be neglected for bringing to justice the offenders in the two disturbances.-

2. The Board also resolve to submit the Collector's letter with the above remarks for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

No 94

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(55)

Bd No 4403. Sir,
From the Collector of Salem -- } I have the honor to enclose a List of Estates of incapacitated Proprietors under the management of the Proprietors' relatives, or of parties unconnected with the Court of Wards during Fusly 1263, with the dates of authority under which those arrangements were made.-

2 I beg to state that the several Guardians have been regular in paying the Peishcush of the Estates under their control, and that the Estates appear to be satisfactorily managed.-

Salem Collectors Office } (Signed) H. of Brett
Ofsooor, 15th November 1854.- } Collector

List.

15818

Fort St George 20th November 1854

№ 1. List of Estates of Incapacitated Proprietors which have been left to the Management of the relatives of the Proprietors or of parties unconnected with the Court of Wards in the District of Salem Fusly 1263.-

№	Estates.	Names of Mootadars	Permanent Beriz.	Date of authority for present arrangement	Names of parties entrusted with the Management of Estates and their relationship to the Mootadars.-
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Luddeevady in the Namcal Talook-		3,223 1 10		
2	Sooloor in the Paramaty Talook-	Vencatarama Reddy aged 16 years	4,552 14 6	Board's Proceedings dated 26th April 1849-	Punja Veeramma Grand mother on mother's side.-
3	Chaloor in Dº —		4,461 13 1		
			12237 13 4		
4	Sandamungalum in the Namcal Talook	Maka Sarama Odayan	4,800 15 5	Sudr Adalut Courts order dated the 25th January 1849. which accompanied the Civil Courts letter of the 2nd February 1849.-	Meenatchee Ummall Mother and Tagha Odayan paternal Uncle.-
5	Tolloor in Dº —	Son of Ramalinga Odayan deceased / aged 14 years-	3,601 14 2		
			8,402 13 7		
6	Noolahully in the Darumpoory Talook	1 Sinnoo Moodelly alias Moottoovala Moodely aged 13 years and → 2 Vyapoory Moodely aged 11 years-	2,516 9 9	Boards Proceedings dated the 11th July 1850.-	Kona Moodely paternal uncle of Vyapoory Moodely paternal grand father of the minors.-
7	Valacoorchy in the Namcal Talook-	1 Nunja gounden aged 11 years.- 2 Palanewala gounden aged 10 Years- Sons of Soobaroya gounden (deceased)	8074 8 -	To Civil Judge dated 30th August 1853- requesting the appointment of a guardian.	

8 Senkaghetty in the Senkaghetty droog Talook	1 Samanada Pillay aged 10 years 2 Chennaroya Pillay aged 8 years. 3 Vencatachella Pillay aged 6 years. 4 Ramachendra Pillay aged 2 years. Sons of Ramasawmy Pillay deceased.-	3,500 13 -	Sudr Adalut Courts Order dated the 19th Decr 1853 which accompanied the Civil Courts letter of the 24th December 1853.-	Arayee mother and Appavoo Pillay, maternal uncle.-
9 Arnandoor in the Tengaracottah Talook 10 Nattum in the Tripatoor Talook	Vencatarama Chetty aged 7 Years.-	312 8 9 2 259 6 8 4	To Civil Judge dated 16th February 1853- requesting the appointment of a guardian.-	
		5,725 1 6		

15819 Fort St George 20th November 1854

11	Collector in the Jungars	}	Assisting as agent 10 years	84	22 2 3
	Cottah Talook	}			
12	Balanpilly in the	}	Shaick Madar daid aged	}	5866 14 3
	Kistna Poorry Talook	}	14 years		
			and		
13	Boonagaree in the	}	Mahomed Hoosain aged	}	5572 6 3
	Jaggayapettah Talook	}	7 years		
					11388 10 3
14	Sooliagherry Serishtadar in	}	Mastak Ematy Ramudu	}	5629 12 9
	the Gudoor Talook	}	Clerk 4 years aged 8 years		

To Civil Judge dated 21st June 1853 acquainting the appointment of a guardian.

Steam ship Sir William Peel Ramsamiengar late Moonsiff of Cattan and father of the Minor was appointed guardian as per writ executed by the deceased Mootaradan.

Board's Proceedings dated 31st July 1853. Enclosure stating of Cowl dated 24th Ditto.

(Signed) H. A. Brett Collector

Ordered to lie over

To Native Commandant of Mattermal

Salem Collector's Office 16th November 1854.

Order thereon. (55-56)

15820. Fort St George 20th November 1854

No 95.

To. B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St George

57

Bc No 14407.

Sir,

From the Collector of Salem - - - } 1

Adverting to the Board's letter dated the 7th September last, forwarding Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 14th July preceding, on the efforts to be made for the promotion of objects affecting the agricultural and manufacturing "industries of the Country" and pointing out that the object more immediately in view is to encourage useful productions of all kinds in agriculture, and calling for information on certain points as to the time and place &c for holding local meetings for the distribution of prizes for superior productions; I have the honor to state that the Town of "Salem" would appear to be the most appropriate place for such meetings, and to suggest that they should be convened twice in the ensuing year, once in February and once in July. By holding two meetings, one in each

Replies to the Board's letter dated 7th September last, with reference to the information called for as to the time and place for holding local meetings for the distribution of prizes &c

Fort St George 20th November 1854

each of these months, the Ryots will have full scope for exhibiting the several products that are cut at the two seasons - Superior Paddy, Raggy, Cholum, and other grains, as well as Toovar, Bullor, Gram, and other pulse grains, are reaped by the end of January, while Turmeric, Sugar cane, Betel leaves, and other Garden products are cut before July.-

1 Paddy
2 Cumbo
3 Cholum
4 Raggy
5 Bullor
6 Toovar
7 Campoil seeds
8 Gram
9 Coicorth
10 Moong
11 Jaggery
12 Sugar
13 Gingely oil Seed
14 Plantain
15 Turmeric
16 Sugar Cane
17 Coopay Seeds
18 Tamarind
19 Do Jaggery
20 Coffee
21 Indigo
22 Cotton
23 Betel leaves

2

The products most fitted for the district and for which I would recommend to offer prizes are enumerated in the Margin.- Of these, Nos 2-3 and 14 are not so extensively cultivated as to be exported to other Districts. The rest are grown in a larger quantities than are required for local consumption.-

3

With reference to the last point as to the description of prizes to be offered, I submit that donations of money will be more acceptable than "honorary decorations". These donations might be accompanied with a writing on parchment as

Fort St George 20th November 1854

as evidence that the holder had received the reward.-

Salem Collector's Office, 16th November 1854.- } (Signed) H.t. Brett Collector

Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon.-

57-58

Statement

15823

Fort St. George

Bs. et after No. 3873 — Statement shewing the Balance of Salt on hand at the beginning of the Year, the Quantity Manu-

59

Juslies.	Balance on hand at the commencement of the year	Quantity manufactured during the year including gain by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt ℔ca	Quantity stored annually from the manufacture of the previous and Current years including gain by measurement Spontaneous and Smuggled Salt ℔ca.	Total in Store as per Cols 2 and 4.	Quantity Sold for consumption within the District	Quantity Sold for consumption within the Madras Territories inclusive of Column 6.	Quantity Sold for transportation across the Frontier for the subsistence of the native states in the interior.	Quantity Sold to French Government
	Quantity sold during the year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1258	96341 4 -	269294 18 4	269294 18 4	365635 22 4	30883 22 4	13096 3 20 -	22309 20 -	28255 20 -
1259	139774 8 -	418250 4 12	418250 4 12	558024 12 12	45563 16 12	15119 2 32 -	28309 20 -	29652 12 -
1260	286315 20 -	219076 6 8	219076 6 8	505391 26 8	78682 18 8	142510 8 -	47772 - -	36341 4 -
1261	157311 12 -	204383 39 4	204383 39 4	361695 11 4	91979 27 4	104586 12 -	69838 20 -	24772 32 -
1262	56074 32 -	259798 11 -	259798 11 -	315873 3 -	58861 21 8	78561 36 -	55350 - -	43848 12 -
1263	61349 4 -	912956 6 10	912956 6 10	974305 10 10	37124 30 10	95961 12 -	36040 20 -	45003 12 -

9th November 1854

tured and Sold during the year and Balances in Store at

| Exportation by Sea | | |

15824 Fort St George 20th November 1854

its close with the Financial Results of this source of Revenue from Fusly 1258 to 1263.

Gross Revenue derived from the Sale of Salt in Coln	Deduct charges of all descriptions exclusive of recoverable advances.	Nett Revenue.
13	15	16	17
187,387 15 -	48,772 5 1	138,615 9 11
229,515 13 -	72,683 4 1	156,832 8 11
277,862 9 11	47,418 8 7	230,444 1 4
270,140 13 11	35,186 10 3	234,954 3 8
198,895 11 8	53,881 8 5	145,014 3 3
175,523 14 6	73,340 4 6	102,183 10 -

E E Jm

(Signed) H. Hall
Collector.

59-60

Order thereon - Ordered to lie over

DLY

15825. Fort St George 20th November 1854

No 137

To,
W H Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

61

Bd No 3304-
From the Collector of South Arcot
Submits his opinion regarding the effect that the revision of the Assessment lately ordered to be carried out will have on the lands of his District and offering certain suggestions on the subject

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 3304 of the 6th instant, forwarding a Report from the Collector of South Arcot, submitting his opinion regarding the effect that the revision of the Assessment lately ordered to be carried out will have on the lands of his District and offering certain suggestions on the subject.

2 I am strongly of opinion that one of the principles to be laid down when assessment is being fixed upon land, is that the Ryot should bear the ordinary risk of the season and any temporary loss which in the course of cultivating his holding he may find it necessary to incur by reason of leaving fields fallow or partially cultivated

3 To justify however a strict adherence to such a rule the Government demand must be light, never, in my opinion, exceeding a fair equivalent of the average value of one

15824 Fort St George 20th November 1854

its close with the Financial Results of this Source of Revenue from Fusly 1258 to 1263.

Gross Revenue derived from the Sale of Salt in Coln	Deduct charges of all descriptions exclusive of recoverable advances.	Nett Revenue.
13		
15	16	17
187387 15 -	48772 5 1	138615 9 11
229515 13 -	72683 4 1	156832 8 11
277862 9 11	47418 8 7	230444 1 4
270140 13 11	35186 10 3	234954 3 8
198895 11 8	53881 8 5	145014 3 3
175523 14 6	73340 4 6	102183 10 -

E E Jr (Signed) A. Hall
59-60 Collector.
Order thereon - Ordered to lie over

15825 Fort St George 20th November 1854

No 139

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

61

Bd No 3304- From the Collector of South Arcot Submits his opinion regarding the effect that the revision of the Assessment lately ordered to be carried out will have on the lands of his District and offering certain suggestions on the Subject

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 3304 of the 15th Instant, and in reply to state that some little delay will unavoidably occur in preparing the Draft of a New Hookumnamah for the Board's approval as called for in para 4 of their Proceedings dated 17th Ultimo

I beg leave to submit at once my opinion on the two points referred to in paras 2 & 5 and at the same time, to solicit instructions regarding them at an early period to enable me to frame the Hookumnamah rules accordingly

2 I am strongly of opinion that one of the principles to be laid down when assessment is being fixed upon land, is that the Ryot should bear the ordinary risk of the season and any temporary loss which in the course of cultivating his holding he may find it necessary to incur by reason of leaving fields fallow or partially cultivated

3 To justify however a strict adherence to such a rule the Government demand must be light, never, in my opinion, exceeding a fair equivalent of the average value of

one

Fort St. George 20th November 1834.

one third of the gross produce of Nunjah and one quarter of that of Poonjah. Had I found that the revision lately sanctioned for this District had brought down the Assessment to this rate I should unhesitatingly have offered it as my opinion that the Ryots should be held responsible for the full teerwah of all fields they undertake to Cultivate exceepting for Nunjah when accident may cut off the source of irrigation, and that there was no necessity to grant remissions at the annual Settlement for Poonjah Cabooleat Bunjer or for land left fallow after a Crop of varagoo &c. As however the revision is only professedly intended to reduce the teerwah on Nunjah to 33 1/3 and 40 per Cent of the gross produce and on Poonjah to 25 and 33 1/3 I feel doubtful whether it would be fairly laid down as a general rule that the Ryot must pay the teerwah of every field he undertakes to Cultivate / excepting Nunjah when the source of irrigation is cut off / and that no remission is to be granted for Poonjah Cabooleat Bunjer.

5 The present rule regarding the charge for teerwah upon fields partially cultivated

Fort St. George 20th November 1834.

Cultivated is, that if the land is Poonjah and the field does not exceed one Cawny in extent, the teerwah of the whole must be paid, if it exceeds one Cawny in size, and more than one Cawny is cultivated, the Ryot is only liable for the teerwah of the actual extent he tills but if in such a field less than one Cawny is cultivated he can only be called upon to pay the teerwah of one Cawny

6 A similar Rule is in force with regard to Nunjah, but in the higher turums half a Cawny and in the lower one Cawny is taken as the extent of the field to which the liability is limited

7 It seems to me that it will be fair under the modified Assessment to enforce such rules as at present, but to increase the limitation

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

limitation extent of the fields to two Cawnies in Poonjah and one Cawny in Nunjah and to hold the Ryot responsible for the whole teerwah of a field whatever may be its extent above this limit when his cultivation exceeds the two Cawnies in Poonjah and one in Nunjah

8 In all cases of Poonjah or Caboolat Bunjer the ryot should be required to show cause for his having left the land waste and if it is satisfactorily established that he was absolutely prevented by unforeseen circumstances from bringing it under cultivation a remission for the whole might be granted, otherwise he should be charged the full teerwah He ought also to be bound to give

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

give notice, I think, when he intends to leave a field fallow for a whole season as is generally done after rearing a crop of varagoo or of sugar cane. This would be tantamount to his declining to cultivate the field for that year, when according to the present system, he would not have to pay the teerwah

9 If I might be allowed to express my opinion I would respectfully beg to suggest that the practice of calling upon the Ryots to enter into engagements annually for the land they are willing to cultivate, should be discontinued and that

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

that the Puttahs of the previous
year should be considered as
holding good, excepting for such fields
as the ryots notify within a specified
period that they intend to throw up,
before which time also they should be
bound to give in a written application
for the waste puttah lands they wish
to take up

10 The present mode of requiring
agreements from the ryots before the
season for Cultivation commences is
of little or no use, and only gives an
opportunity for vexatious interference
and oppression on the part of the Talook
and Village Officials In theory it may seem a
good arrangement but practically it serves
I think, no useful end and is in many
respects objectionable.—

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore 6th September 1854 } Signed A Hall
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Pte No 2545

Proceedings thereon

(61-62)

The Government having already
determined what portion of the Gross produce
shall form the future Government demand
in South Arcot, the Board do not think it
necessary to reagitate that question

2 As regards "Kait toondoo" the
Board are of opinion that under the modified
assessment about to be introduced the Ryot
should, generally speaking, be liable to the
assessment on each field which he may under-
take to cultivate, but to meet the case of
large fields of 5– 10 or 20 Cawnies, if such
exist, the Board would propose that if the
waste exceed 1/4 th of the cultivated portion
and is also beyond one Cawney in extent
the assessment on the excess over 1/4 th of the
cultivated portion should be remitted

3 Dittum Bunjer can be treated
as the Collector proposes i.e charged
if no satisfactory reason for the waste is
established – The present custom of fallow
after Varagoo or Sugar cane need not be
disturbed.

The

15832 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

4 The Board would be glad to find the necessity of a Dittum superseded by other arrangements if such are practicable. With this view they have no objection to the experiment being tried of suspending the Dittum and accounting the extent included in the previous years Putta, including of course that on which remission was allowed as the engagement for the current one, if notice is not given by the Ryot within all September of his wish to relinquish a portion of his last years holding.

J.

15833 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 189

To B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(63)

Sir,

Bd. No. 4071.

From the Collector of South Arcot Reporting the defalcation found in the Treasury and recommending that the Cash-Keeper be removed from his situation and the Head Shroff be suspended for one year.—

I have the honor to report for the information and orders of the Board that upon examining my treasury here on the 25th Instant I found there was a deficiency of Cash to the amount of Rs. 1628-6-

2 Having had reason to suspect that irregularities were being practised in the Treasury I directed the Head Sheristadar, without giving previous notice to the cash keeper, to assist me in making a scrutiny into the accounts and into the state of the cash boxes.—

The result was that a deficiency

3 was detected in both the cash for current expenditure, and in the deposits under the charge of the treasurer "Nursimmaloo Naick"—

The former should have amount

4 ed according to the Chittah to Rs. 5078-9-6- but only Rs. 4637-9-6- were forthcoming, while Rs. 144-9-6-

were

5078-9-6
4637-9-6

Deficiency 441-- --

were alone found in the deposit box, instead of Rupees 1,301-15-6 which ought to have been in it, as follows.—

	Rs	As	Ps
Cash at the disposal of the Acting Head Assistant for Town improvement	200	"	"
Balance of Collector's Salary	221	7	1
Do. of Acting Sub Collectors' Do.	187	2	1
Cash on Account of Provincial school	268	9	9
Due to 2 Peons	9	9	1
Due to owner of Salt Pan	11	12	2
Balance of money for the purchase of tools	7	13	9
Peon's Pay	5	8	11
Balance of Trylinn Road money	9	9	9
Peon's Pay	1	5	11
Trinady Road money	22	11	"
Pondicherry Do. Do.	44	3	8
Bandypollium Do. Do.	12	2	4
Total	1301	15	6
Actually found	114	9	6
Deficit	1187	6	"
Do.	441	"	"
Total defalcation	1628	6	"

Rs As Ps
1628-6-"

4 Nursimmooloo Naick at once confessed that he had made private use of the missing money and that he would restore

restore it as he always intended to do.—

This he has since done upon my

5

formal demand and he has represented his willingness to resign his situation if he is permitted to do so, at the same time declining to give any written explanation of his conduct in thus appropriating to his own use money entrusted to his charge as Treasurer.—

The Head Shroff Davanaiga Chetty also admits that he was cognizant of the fact of the cash keeper having made use of the money in question, and indeed it could not have been removed from the Treasury without his knowledge and acquiescence.—

Both he and Nursimmooloo Naick

6

are embarrassed with their debts, especially the latter, who by his own acknowledgment seems to be very seriously involved. He was evidently induced to appropriate the money which is wanting in the treasury, for the purpose of relieving himself from his most pressing creditors, and I dare say he fully purposed, when he took the money, to repay it when he was able to do so.—

He has been Treasurer for eighteen years and Davanaiga Chetty has held the Office

Fort St George 20th November 1854

Office of Shroff since March 1828 having then succeeded his Father in the situation—

7 Nursimmooloo Naick has clearly rendered himself liable to a judgment being passed upon him under section V Regulation IX of 1822, but as he has made good the full Amount misappropriated, it may not perhaps be considered necessary for me to proceed to pass sentence upon him—

8 As however he has shewn himself so untrustworthy in the charge of money, I would beg to recommend that as a punishment for what he has done and an example to others, he be dismissed from the service and that the Head Shroff, Davanaiga Chetty, be suspended from his situation for a period of one year—

9 I have deemed it right to suspend both of them from their respective situations, pending instructions from the Board, and for the present, I have placed, Sedasheiva Pillay the Translator in my Office, in charge of the Treasury. He is a respectable, trustworthy man and will, I feel sure, discharge faithfully his duties till final arrangements are made

Fort St George 20th November 1854

made to fill up the vacancy.—

South Arcot ——
Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore ——————
21st October 1854 —
Bd No 2553
Proceedings thereon

(63-64)

/Signed/ A. Hall.
Collector.

Under the circumstances brought to notice in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue direct the dismissal of Nursimmoolo Naick, Cash Keeper of the South Arcot Treasury, from the Public service. The punishment proposed to be inflicted on the Head Shroff Devanaiga Chetty is somewhat lenient; yet as the Collector seems to think it sufficient, the Board will not enhance it, and accordingly authorize his suspension for a period of 12 Months—

No 190

To B. Cunliffe Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(65)

Sir.

Bd No 4111
From the Collector
of South Arcot ——

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 26th Instant, I have the honor to state that the seed here usually designated "Rape". is the Country Mustard, called in Tamil Sarsi (Brassica). It grows in this District and is exported to England—

South Arcot ——
Collrs Cutchy
Cuddalore ———
31st October 1854

(65-66)

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Statement

15839. Fort St. George 20th November 1854

No. 204

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

69

B. No. 43444
From the Collector
of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to state that as I have no means of getting the Theodolite x dated 10th November alluded to in the accompanying letter and a memorandum from Captain Priestley put into order here, I have forwarded it by a Cooly to your address.—

I beg to request that the documents which Captain Priestley wishes to be returned to him may be forwarded, if they are in your Office, as early as possible, so as to enable him to complete those of them which are unfinished, while he is here with his Establishment during the monsoon.—

Captain Priestley informs me that it will be essentially necessary for him to have another Theodolite besides the one now sent down to you to be repaired, when he is joined by his European Assistants.—

15838 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

67 No. 14330
Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt manufactured and exported in the Districts of South Arcot and Chingleput from 1st October 1853 to 31st October 1854.

No.	Place at which Salt is manufactured	Quantity manufactured	Quantity remaining in store and available to be exported	Remarks
1	Pondicherry	12,000	10,000	The duty of Salt remaining on hand amply admits of this exportation of this amount. The Salt is ready for immediate shipment.— A Flag is hoisted at the Pilot's Post of Muttukaumun for the guidance of Vessels coming for Salt.—
2	Muttukaumun & Pulicat Roads	12,000	10,000	
3	Total			

4 5 6

Muttukaumun & Pulicat Roads
Pondicherry

South Arcot
Collectorate Cuddalore
Cuddalore
Fort St. George
Nov. 20th 1854

(67-68) Order thereon

Signed

15840 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

Assistants.—

South Arcot.
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
11th November 1854.

/Signed/ A Hall
Collector

To No 1

A. Hall Esqre
Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to send you a Theodolite which requires repair, and enclose a list of defects &c. should it be found that it will not be fit for use again by the end of the year I beg to suggest that another be supplied in its place, as I hope to recommence field work early in January.—

I beg to remind you that the Sketch maps of the Villages named in the Margin have not yet been returned to me, unless they are sent soon it will cause some inconvenience, as they have to be finished during this recess.—

The

15841 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

The maps, field books, Land registers,

* Audeipalliem
Goundanallore
Punnavelly
Sholatharum
Naikarpet
Covil Nackarpattah
Thimmasamoodrum

and Traverses of 7 * completed Villages of the Pallemeattah Jaghire also, which are now required here, have not yet reached me, I shall therefore feel much obliged by your obtaining them for me.—

South Arcot Survey Office,
Cuddalore 10th November 1854

/Signed/ F. J. B. Priestley Captain
Superintendent Revenue Survey

Theodolite No. 280 by Thomas Jones.
Telescope level broken/glass Cracked/
Vernier clamp out of order.
Lower plate clamp out of Order
Bottom of footscrews worn.
Collimating wires broken.

This instrument was unfortunately entrusted to a Mr. Theobald, a Missionary, who stated himself to be Competent to clean it. He received strict injunctions not to take it to pieces, however on its being returned, it was found to be clogged with grease, as much as possible

Myahilloor
Kalkadandan
Lutchingoody
Kelnadoomboor
Kedoora Kanie
Kalayanaloy

Kahnoor

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

possible of which was immediately removed, he had also evidently taken the instrument asunder and had returned the cracked part of the upper level out of sight by which most of the spirit has escaped.—

Revenue Survey Office
Cuddalore, ---
10th. November 1854

(Signed) F.J.B. Priestley Captain
Superintendent Revenue Survey

True Copies
(Signed) A. Hall
Collector

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the following letter.

No. 557.

(69-70)

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

B. No. 2574.

To the Chief Sect. to Government

Sir,

With reference to the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot and its enclosure, the Board

Dated 11th inst. 20th Nov. 1854

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

of Revenue direct me to state that they are not aware that there is any person in Madras capable of repairing a Theodolite so much out of order, as Captain Priestley represents his to be. They propose however to return it to the Arsenal in order that the authorities there may do with it what seems proper. During the time that must elapse before it is repaired Captain Priestley requires an instrument for immediate use, the Board request authority to purchase one which Mr. Henrick has for sale, they believe at the Cost price and carriage. It is a 6 inch one, Everest's pattern, by the well known makers Troughton and Simms and has never been used. In the event of Government giving sanction the Board would have the instrument examined by a competent person previous to purchase.—

Cost price 25£ = at 1/10 = 275 Rupees and about 17 more for carriage

2. The Board have before addressed Government on the subject of

of the Plans and field books. Some of these, are, they find, yet in their office, and they will be dispatched forthwith.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th November 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge

Read again Letter from the Collector of Tanjore dated 26th September and entered in Consultation 16th October 1854.—

71

Read also Petition of No. 2197 of 1854 from Ramien late Curnum of Neiraksel dated 30th October 1854.—

71-72

B. No. 2580.

Proceedings thereon.— In their Proceedings of the 18th September 1854, reviewing the reasons assigned by the Collector for annulling the sentence passed by the Sub Collector on Ramien, Curnum of Neiraksel, charged by Bampoo &c. Annual with receiving a Bribe

of 50 Rupees from her, to enter her &c. Village of Raeloor in the Coottalum Talook as "Varalachadum", the Board, after a careful consideration of the explanation of the Sub Collector, and the evidence adduced in the case, &c. thought those reasons insufficient and confirmed the judgement of the latter Officer.— But from the above letter it now appears that farther light has been thrown on the subject, and that the complainant has admitted on solemn affirmation before the Collector that the payment to the Curnum did not occur as she stated before the Sub Collector, and has produced an account of the sums she disbursed on the occasion to the Curnum, Naut Curnum, and Peishcar amounting to Rupees 30 — 3 — 10.—

15844 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

2. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petition of [illegible] dated 21st October 1854 should be granted, and that the Petitioner be informed that he has no claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

3. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

4. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

5. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

6. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

7. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

8. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

9. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

10. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

11. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

12. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

13. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

14. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

15. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

16. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

17. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

18. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

19. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

20. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

21. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

22. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

23. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

24. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

25. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

26. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

27. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

28. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

29. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

30. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

31. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

32. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

33. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

34. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

35. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

36. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

37. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

38. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

39. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

40. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

41. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

42. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

43. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

44. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

45. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

46. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

47. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

48. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

49. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

50. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

51. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

52. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

53. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

54. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

55. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

56. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

57. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

58. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

59. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

60. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

61. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

62. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

63. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

64. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

65. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

66. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

67. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

68. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

69. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

70. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

71. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

72. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

73. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

74. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

75. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

76. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

77. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

78. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

79. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

80. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

81. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

82. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

83. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

84. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

85. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

86. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

87. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

88. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

89. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

90. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

91. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

92. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

93. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

94. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

95. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

96. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

97. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

98. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

99. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

100. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

101. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

102. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

103. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

104. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

105. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

106. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

107. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

108. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

109. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

110. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

111. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

112. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

113. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

114. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

115. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

116. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

117. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

118. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

119. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

120. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

121. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

122. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

123. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

124. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

125. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

126. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

127. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

128. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

129. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

130. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

131. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

132. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

133. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

134. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

135. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

136. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

137. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

138. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

139. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

140. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

141. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

142. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

143. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

144. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

145. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

146. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

147. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

148. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

149. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

150. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

151. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

152. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

153. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

154. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

155. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

156. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

157. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

158. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

159. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

160. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

161. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

162. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

163. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

164. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

165. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

166. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

167. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

168. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

169. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

170. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

171. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

172. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

173. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

174. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

175. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

176. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

177. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

178. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

179. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

180. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

181. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

182. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

183. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

184. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

185. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

186. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

187. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

188. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

189. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

190. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

191. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

192. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

193. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

194. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

195. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

196. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

197. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

198. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

199. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

200. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

201. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

202. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

203. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

204. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

205. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

206. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

207. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

208. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

209. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

210. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

211. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

212. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

213. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

214. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

215. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

216. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

217. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

218. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

219. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

220. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

221. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

222. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

223. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

224. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

225. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

226. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

227. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

228. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

229. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

230. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

231. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

232. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

233. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

234. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

235. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

236. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

237. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

238. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

239. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

240. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

241. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

242. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

243. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

244. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

245. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

246. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

247. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

248. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

249. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

250. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

251. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

252. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

253. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

254. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

255. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

256. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

257. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

258. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

259. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

260. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

261. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

262. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

263. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

264. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

265. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

266. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

267. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

268. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

269. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

270. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

271. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

272. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

273. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

274. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

275. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

276. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

277. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

278. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

279. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

280. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

281. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

282. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

283. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

284. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

285. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

286. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

287. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

288. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

289. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

290. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

291. Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Commissioners do not consider that the Petitioner has established any claim to the remission of the duty levied on the salt in question.

292.

15848 Fort St. George 20th. November 1854.

B. No. 4276.

From the Collector of Madura
Dated 31st. October 1854 } Read register of
75 Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue
undisposed of in October 1854.—

75-76
B. No. 2551.
Proceedings thereon The Board observe from the
Memos. appended to the above Return, that
some of the Petitions referred to the Collector
have remained undisposed of for several years.
It is requested that they may receive early
attention from the Collector.—

To Read the following Papers.
No. 110

B. Cunliffe Esqre.
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

77
B. No. 4136.
From the Collector of Canara — } Sir,
1. With reference to the
Extract from the Proceedings of the Board
under date the 9th. Instant, I have the
honor to forward two Memoranda shewing the
details of the subsequent Collections and
Balance under each Head of the Outstanding
Sums appearing in Columns 19 and 20 of the
Statements

15849 Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

Statements of Demand Collection and Balance
ending 31st. July and 30 August last — The same
details have been given in the Statement now sent
to the Board for the Month of September last —
With regard to the sum of Rupees 8964-8-
2.
being the advances of Tuccavy for Fusly 1264 which
the Board remark does not appear in the State-
ment of 11th. July, I beg to state that the amount
though advanced in Fusly 1263, was not shewn in
that year, but brought to account in the first
Statement of the Fusly in which it formed
a demand, as has hitherto been the practice —
This mode of entry was adopted, it appears,
with the view of shewing the amount as
a demand in the Fusly to which it
appertained — This seems to be still desir-
-able, but I have made an alteration in
the Statement now returned by striking
the amount from the Column "to the end
of last Month" and transferring it to that of
"for the Current Month" — This appears to me to be
the proper method of bringing the sum into the account —

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
23d. October 1854

Signed F. N. Mattby
Collector
From

15850

Fort St. George

From 12th to 31st

Remarks

Causes of Balance on account of Arrears /Col. 19/

22

Of the Balance in Column 19 amount recommended for Remission under date 11th October 1853.

Land Revenue

1260	14	"	"
1261	278	12	11
	292	12	11

	Amount since Collected			Outstanding		
Land Revenue						
Prior to 1263	32	"	5	695	1	3
1263	22,120	14	8	15,539	11	7
Abkarry	1977	6	2	3385	5	2
Small farms	635	11	9	1913	9	2
Sayer	16	12	2	"	"	"
Salt	"	"	"	50	3	6
Molürpha						
Prior to 1263	"	"	"	5	4	2
1263	817	"	7	653	12	3
Stamps	220	3	"	"	"	"
Sevoy Jummah	290	4	5	5312	7	6
Tuccavy	"	"	"	83	"	"
Total	26,110	5	2	27,668	6	7x
Last year				22280	9	5
Increase				5387	13	2

x This amount is recoverable with trifling exception.

20th November 1854

July 1854

Causes of Balance on account of Current Revenue /Col. 20/

23.

Of the Balance in Col. 20 the subsequent Recoveries and outstanding Balance are shewn below with the exception of the advance of Tuccavy Rupees 12,605-8-0 recoverable within the year.—

	Since received into the Treasury			Outstanding		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Sayer	"	"	"			
Salt	2,401	13	1	2,625	14	2
Stamps	1108	8	"	900	7	"
	3510	5	1	3606	12	3x
Last year				3507	12	"
Increase				99	"	3

x This balance is recoverable.—

/Signed/ F. N. Mattby

Collector

For

1585/

Encr. No. 2.

Fort St. George

For the Month of

Remarks.

Causes of Balance on account of Arrears /Col. 19/

22

Of the Balance in Column 19 the subsequent Recoveries &c. are as follows.—

Remission recommended for Remission 11th October 1853.

Land Revenue.

Fusly 1260 ——— 14 — " — "

1261 ——— 278 — 12 — 11

292 — 12 — 11

	Subsequent Receipts			Outstanding		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Land Revenue						
Prior to 1263	4	5	3	680	15	"
1263	3132	2	5	5220	10	7
Ab Karry	703	5	"	1813	2	6
Small farms	224	4	2	1624	15	"
Satt	"	"	"	50	3	6
Moturpha						
Prior to 1263	"	6	7	4	13	7
1263	166	"	1	278	15	"
Sevoy Jummah	"	"	"	5245	11	5
Tuccavey	"	"	"	23	"	"
	4230	7	6	15942	6	7*
Last year				9901	15	6
Increase				6040	7	1

*The whole of this balance is recoverable with the exception of trifling amount.—

20th November 1854

August 1854

Causes of Balance on account of Current Revenue /Col. 20/

23

Of the Balance in Column 20 the subsequent Recoveries &c. stand as follows with the exception of Tuccavy Rupees 12,784 recoverable within the fusly—

	Subsequent Recoveries			Outstanding		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Ab Karry	2660	4	11	6899	15	10
Small farms	283	13	7	989	8	7
Sayer	114	4	10	6	12	10
Satt	1892	4	5	"	"	"
Stamps	659	7	6	"	4	6
	5610	3	3	7896	9	9*
Last year				5786	2	5
Increase				2,110	7	4

*This balance is recoverable

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby

Collector

Ordered

1585-2 to 1585-4 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

77-78

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded.—

To

No. 1441

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

79

B. No. 4177

From the Collector of Malabar — } Sir,

Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st Ultimo, I have the honor to intimate that I have nothing of importance to state with reference to the Papers received therewith.—

Calicut — — — } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
30th October 1854 } Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

79-80

Read

1585-5 Fort St. George 20 November 1854

Read again letter from N. Arcot dated 29th June & entered in C 24th Augt 1854 No. 186

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

81

B. No. 3951.

From the Acting Collector of Madras — } Sir,

As directed in the Extract from the Boards Proceedings dated 22d August, I have the honor to report that from inquiries made by this Department, as also by the Superintendent of Police, copy of whose letter is sent, it is found that the Petitioner Rookoolla Shah is the grandson of Ameeroonissa Begum, daughter of Amtool Fatmah. Petitioners Mother is dead, but his father Shahnoorollah is living. Petitioner thus claims to inherit the allowance from his maternal Grandmother of whom he seems to be the oldest surviving representative. Ameeroonissa Begum is reported to have died 30th December 1853 and Amtool Fatmah on 9th January 1854.—

2. The original Sunnuds produced by the Petitioner, 5 in number, with the Petitions received from the

1856 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

the Board are transmitted herewith.—

Madras - - - }
19th October 1854 }

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector

To,

The Acting Collector of
Madras

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 21st Ultimo, I have the honor to annex Copy of a report by a trustworthy Agent respecting the individual named Roohollah Shah.—

The Petition is returned herewith.—

Madras - - - - }
13th October 1854 }

/Signed/ E. F. Elliot
Chief Magistrate &
Superintendent of Police

Report regarding one Roohollah shah by Shaik Hoosain Jemadar of Police.—

Amtool Fatmah is the widow of Syed Kareemoollah Shah who was a Jagheerdar of Cunnyaunnaya Ameeroosa Begum was a daughter of the said Amtool Fatmah—Ameeroonissa died leaving a daughter named Shumshoonissa alias Bebanoo and 2 sons named Roohoollah shah and

1857 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

and Khader Mohiddeen Shumshoonissa is married and lives with her husband—Roohoollah Shah and Khader Mohiddeen live together at Mylapoor—Amtool Fatmah had another daughter named Patchoo Beebee who is also dead. But her son named Mownaddeen Pachoi is now living in a Village called Skilla in the District of Cuddalore.—

13th October 1854

/Signed/ E. F. Elliot
Chief Magistrate and
Superintendent of Police

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector

No. 1 to 5 Petitions.—
No. 6.
No. 1.

Translation of an Enayet Namah bearing date the 25th Rujub 1268 of Hegery under the Seal of His Highness Omdatool Omrah Ameerool Hind Walajah.—

Seal

To. Aunund Rayoo.

That a Village of Cauneerunyah, in

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

in the Talook of Valumput at Berungi-
poor Vca being the jagheer of the Fort
of Vellore, except the lands of Cutpaoly
Marah, and Maganaah, as well as the
shares of the farmers Vca was granted as
a continual Enam or heredetary allow-
ance in the Name of the family of
Syed Kareemoollah Shaw instead of a
yoomiah of One Rupees, for the expence
of Ansara Shareef from Fusly 1209 as
his request, signed and recorded in ou
Dewan's Register The present revenue of
the said Village being 360 Rupees yearly
according to the list of the Jamabundy
which was sent by you agreeably to the
orders of His Highness. It is necessary
that the aforesaid Village should be ou
delivered in the possession of the aforesaid
family from Fusly 1209 and having ou
taken a copy of this letter in your Record
deliver the original to the said family.—

ou /Signed/ by His Highness.

Translation

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

No. 2.

Cauzy's Seal.

Translation of a Petition dated 12th. Rabee
Osaunee of Hijery 1215 under the Seal of
the Cauzy confirmed it with the Petetion
was signed and sealed by His Highness.—

Being a Copy of a Petetion
syed Kareemoollah Shaw dated 7th. Rabee-
-osany of Higeery 1215.—

Whereas through the favor and
generosity the Village of Cannecanyah in
the Talook of Vellore was granted to be
the place of Ansaur Shareef, but in this
year only 10 Rupees and 5 Cullahs of
Paddy were produced in it. Besides this,
some of the Peons of Cudpady possessed
themselves with some land without having
any documents for them. Although the
said grounds from former times is includ-
-ed in that Village.—

Therefore I hope your boundless
generosity will be pleased to grant me the
said

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

said ground because it can be used for the expence of Ausaura Shareef and in Fautaha of the Prophet.—

Sealed by Aumund Roy.

No. 3.—

Translation of a Takeed written by Aumund Roy to his Pashkaur Ram Ranadated 9th. of Rabee Ossany of Hijeery 1215 under his Seal.—

I Klausaussar / the Marks of respect / be in safety.—

I have been informed frequently by the Vakeel of the family of Syed Kareemoollah Shaw, that the Cutpaudy people of the Villages of Conneecunnyah, which was granted as an Jimam to the family of the aforesaid Shaw agreeably to the Innayet Namah (or order) dated the 6th. Rujul of Hijeery 1214 are disturbing and bothering the farmers and subjects of the said Village, through their wickedness and obstinacy. It is

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

necessary for you that you should apply to punish the obstinate of the place properly and make a good arrangement of it in order that this complaint may not reach His Highness.—

In this case you must think it is a confirmation order and be guided accordingly

Encl. No. 7.

No. 4.

Seal by His Highness Nabob Omdatool Omrah

Be this known to the present and future Collectors of Berungpore district and other places of the Jaghier of the Vellore Fort attached to the Carnatic Payen Ghat in the province of Mahomed Poor otherwise called Arcot, that the Village of Counycuniah in the Valumpur Talook attached to the said district, the present produce of which including that of the Cutpaudy ex lands & exclusive of the Inmams, duties, fees, & other liabilities to be discharged there, amounts

to

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

to three hundred and Sixty Rupees, is in
accordance to my letter dated the. 28th. of
Rujjub in the year of Hijury 1214 / or 21st.
December 1799 / granted to and enjoyed by the
dependants of Said Kareemoollah Shaw
excepting the Cultpady land from the
Fusly year 1209 in lieu of One Rupee yes-
miah or daily allowance. Now agreeably to
the question bearing my signature the said
Village has been Confirmed in perpetuity
or hereditary together with the Cultpady
lands, by way of Inaam to the dependants
of the said Shah from the begining of
the Fusly 1210 as per endorsement It
is required that the said Village be
delivered in the possession of the said
dependants that they may by apply-
ing the produce of the same towards
the expences of their Maintainance be
engaged for ever in the devotion of God
and in praying for the Continuance
of my everlasting reign. Written on the 26th.
of Jamadyoosany of the Hijury 1216 or 14th.
November 1800.—

/ Signed / of His Highness

Translation

Fort St. George 20th. November 1854

Seal

No. 5

Translation of an Enayetnamah
bearing the Seal of Signature of Hise
Highness the Nawab Omthatool Oomrah
Bahader dated 20th. day of Zeejah 1215
Hijury.—

To. Roy Anund Rava Moostagir
of Vellore Talook.—

Izzut Ansar.

That the Village of Kuny
Kunya Talookah of Veyalumpit the
Purgunah of Beringepoor situated in
the Jaghier of Vellore Fort yielding the
produce according to the former List of
Jumabundy 620 Rupees and the present
revenue 360 Rupees was granted to the
family of Syed Kareemoollah Shaw
as a hereditary Enam, where it has been
informed that Peons of Cultpady have
fomented

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

fomented some disturbance, you should therefore quell it in such a manner that none of them may hereafter dare to do so, for the said village is dedicated for the performance of the expences of the Flowers, Benjamin and other Ceremonies of Ausare Shareef, although it seems on this family's Name, but a Petition of Syed Kareemoollah Shah was presented on this subject and recorded with my signature.—

You will inform to the family of Syed Kareemoollah Shaw that they should apply this produce of the said Village without any dimenution thereunto to the expenditure of Flowers, Benjamin, Oil and other ceremonies of ansura Shareef, for which purpose I have granted it. This original letter may be delivered over to the aforesaid family.—

/ Signed / by His Highness

DJ

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the following letter

No. 553.

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government

(81-82)

B. No. 2562.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the following case of a lapsed Dehaut Enam, first brought to the notice of the Board by Petetion No. 710 of 1854, and subsequently reported on by the Collector of North Arcot and Acting Collector of Madras.—

Recommending that the lapsed Dehaut Enam may be continued without diminution in the name of the Petitioner subject to report on his death, and to be disbursed from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras.—

2 The village of Cunny Cunniah in the Vellore Talook was granted by the Nabob Oomdut Oal Oomrah in Hegira 1214. A. D. 1799/1800 as an Enam to the family of one Syed Kareem Oollah Shah, in lieu of a Yeomiah of one Rupee daily. The holder of the grants at the time of the British assumption appears to

29th June 1854
Cons 2d August 1854
19th October 1854
in Cons 20th November

15866 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

to have been Oomtul Fatimah, widow of the above mentioned Kareem Oollah Shah. This female resided at the Presidency and

[x] in 1849

received the proceeds of the Enam / commuted / to a fixed allowance of Rupees 575 / thro' the Collector of Madras, agreeably to the sanction

[y] In Cons 17th February 1853

of Government dated 1st February [y] 1853. She, as well as her daughter Anseroonissa, were also in the receipt of separate pensions of Rupees 210 each, as appears by the above order, terminable with their lives

See enclosures in letter from Collector of Madras dated 19th October 1854

Amtool Fatimah died on the 30 December 1853 and Anseroonissa on the 9th January 1854, leaving a daughter and two sons, of whom the Petitioner Rootolla Shah is the eldest. It appears, further that a grand son of the late Amtool Fatimah by her 3d daughter Saudikah Beebee, is alive, and is also dependant on the Petitioner for his subsistence. The Petitioner therefore prays that the Dehaut Enam may be continued in

15867 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

in his name as head of the family.—

3. With his report of the 19th October 1854, the Acting Collector of Madras transmits 5 original documents in support of the Enam, from which it would appear that the village was originally assigned for the subsistence of Syed Karreemoola Shah's family, as well as for the performance of the ceremonies at what is called a sacred shrine thereof.[x]—

[x] Sacred Shrine wherein are supposed to be deposited some relations of the Mahomet

4. The Board do not consider the nature of the Enam as elicited by these documents to be such as to require its continuance in perpetuity any more than an ordinary Yeomiah, while its entry in the Hyderies list as hereditary has been declared by Government in Extract

From Collector of N. Arcot 29th June 1854 Para 4.

In Cons 3d July 1854

Minutes of Consultation 20th June 1854, to be insufficient in the absence of any

Summeds

15868 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

summeds. or Purwanahs clearly conveying an hereditary title. Adverting however to the fact of two Yeomiahs of Rupees 210 each enjoyed by the same family having lapsed within 10 days of each other, the Board would recommend that the Dehaut allowance of Rupees 575 may be continued without diminution in the name of the Petitioner Rahoolla Shah as head of the family, subject to report at his death; and that it be disbursed as heretofore, from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras, the parties concerned having been resident here for many years.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 20th November 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge

Read the following Papers
To

15869 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

No. 194

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

83

B. No. 4155

From the Acting Collector of Madras

Sir,

In obedience to the Orders of Government communicated with the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th Instant, I have the honor to submit a concise report of the Circumstances under which the Memorialist Calatee Pillay was removed from his situation.—

Reporting on the memorial of Calatee Pillay and stating that he feels incompetent to pass any opinion on his conduct and character anterior to the Period of their coming under his own immediate supervision, but that since that period he has had no reason to take Exception to them.—
*3d March 1852

2 In reporting the result of his inquiries regarding the loss of 26 of Salt, Mr. Ellis stated that certain documents connected with an investigation made by Mr. Taylor were not forthcoming, and the Government in passing final orders on the Subject state as follows as regards these documents—"They will also see that Efficient measures are taken without delay to ascertain the parties responsible for the Vouchers missing from the Collectors Office"

*Extract Min. of Consn. 17th July 1852 Pp.

Mr. Hudleston reported on the further Enquiry ordered to be instituted on the 10 August 1852, and concludes with these words: "My impression is

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

is now the same as that which Entertained "at the time of Mr. Ellis' investigation, that "these missing Vouchers had been Concealed by the "Serishtadar and Sumpretty for purposes of their "own, and that the Serishtadar attempted to "shift the blame of their disappearance upon "his Subordinates".—

18th October 1852 3 In their Proceedings on this letter, the Board call for further Enquiry which they request Mr. Ellis to make, who commencing the Enquiry by an Examination of Calatee Pillay requested the Collector to suspend on him pending the trial of the case, giving as his reason for recommending this measure that he finds "that the circumstances are of "so suspicious a nature as to induce him to "make the request". Accordingly Takeed was issued to this Effect.—

Mr. Ellis reported to the Collector the result of his investigation on the 4th November, in which after reciting the Substance of the depositions taken before him, he gave it as his opinion that "Calatee Pillay "was the person who had charge of the missing "documents, and that he is the person responsible for their disappearance". This letter accompanied

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

From Coll. 8 Nov. 1852 accompained one from the Collector who stated as follows, - "the party that should be considered responsible for the missing documents has been Established. This Person is Calatee Pillay the translator, and if the Board should be of opinion that he has not fraudulently made away with these papers, I would take the liberty respectfully to recommend in consideration of his long Services and present old age that he should be allowed to retire on a Superannuation allowance.—

24 years 82

2nd December 1852 5 The Board in their Proceedings on this letter observe - "In the case of the missing "records, the Enquiries to which they refer more "closely affected the late Serishtadar than any "other Officer now on the Collectors Establish="ment, and it seems not improbable, as alleged "by Calatee Pillay, that those papers were pur="posely retained. It was never theless the duty "of Calatee Pillay to ascertain why the docu="ments in question had not been returned, and "the Plea that they Concerned the Serishtadar "does not altogether justify his omission to do "so. There are no grounds however for supposing "that he was a party to their abstraction, and it

15872 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

"it is this consideration that operates with the
"Board in not noticing his neglect further, and
"in permitting him to retire on the pension to
"which his age and past Services recommended him".—

28th January 1853 6 On the receipt of these Orders Calatee Pillay produced a Memorandum from Docter Kellie—

1st February 1853 refusing to Certify to his disqualification for the
17th Dec. Dec. public Service, which was forwarded to the Board
with a request that permission may be granted
to give him a Situation of lower Emolument than
what he held. To this the Board acceded and
Calatee Pillay was directed to take charge of the
Office of Stamp Vender and give Security, which he did.—

7 I feel incompetent to pronounce any opinion
on the Memorialists Character or conduct anterior
to the time when they come under my own observa-
tion. As Stamp Venter I have had no fault to find
with him since he took charge of this Office, he has
received in the Shape of Commission Rs. 975-1-10
being at the average rate of 65-0-1 per Mensem.—

8 The Memorial, with its Enclosures, ac-
companying the Proceedings under reply, is returned.—

Madras, 1st November, 1854.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Proceedings

15873 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Bd. No. 2564

No. 551

Proceedings thereon

(83-84)

Ordered that the above
letter together with the Memorial in
Duplicate of Collatee Pillay be forward-
-ed to Government with reference to
Extract Minutes Consultation 5th September
In Cons. 12th October 1854. 1854.—

2 The acting Collector having
furnished the "concise report" called
for by Government in this case, the
Board will not report the detail

3 Important papers for the
safe custody of which Memorialist
was responsible were not forthcoming
and the Board acquitting him of guilty
participation in their abstraction, gave
permission for his retiring on a
superannuation Pension As he was pronoun-
-ced to be not qualified for a pen-
-sion, the Board authorized his being
re-employed in an inferior position
and in the situation of Stamp Vender
to which he was consequently nominated
He has received on an average 65
Rupees per mensem his pay as Trans-
-lator having been 70 Rupees.—

To

1584

Fort St George 20th November 1854

No 210

To

(85)

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bt No 4336

From the Acting Collector of Madras

Sir

I have the honor to transmit the accompanying reports from the Superintendent of Salt for the consideration of the Board with reference to my letter dated 10th Instant.

No 4214 4223 dated 10th & 11th Instant To be returned.

Madras 13th November 1854

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Acting Collector

No 1
No 421

To

H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector of Madras

Sir

Pco ra 1

With reference to your Memorandum No 199 of the 10th Instant I have the honor to inform you that I know of no objection to Salt being given to Vencat Reddy from the Madras Cotaur for ships Ballast, in ships to proceed to Ennore, when such ships are intended to take in the remainder for Public Indent to Bengal

1585

Fort St George 20th November 1854

Bengal, on his defraying the cost of cart hire - The Boat hire and charge at Madras is much less than at Ennore, and a saving is effected in this way, which will cover the additional expence which Government undergo in getting down Salt stored at Madras instead of Ennore - The usual cost to the Merchants in taking in sand Ballast is Rupees 1-8-0 per Boat load each Boat being supposed to hold Two Tons or a little and more, or 60 Indian Maunds - If therefore Government bear the Boat hire charge for Salt Ballast and the Merchant only the cart hire to the Beach of 2 Rupees per Garce or 1 Rupee per Boat load of 60 Indian Maunds, it must be clear to you that, as the Merchant will be a gainer 50 per cent by this arrangement, and the Government will not be losers, the Merchant should have the benefit given to him unless there be very strong objection of which I am not aware.

2. Vencat Reddy has been here and tells me that he will want probably 5500 Indian Maunds for one ships

on

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

on Monday, and 6000 Indian Maunds for the second Vessel soon after, as Bal=
=last, and that he is willing to employ one man for every Peon that I send with his carts, and that he will direct his Conicopoly to sign receipts for con=
=tents of each Bandy load and Ship as was done by Messrs Bainbridge & Co

3 It would be advisable to weigh the Salt from the new Platform, and as far apart from the Home and Retail sales as possible.

Madras Cotaur
10th November 1854

I have the honor &c
(Signed) R. P. Campbell
Superintendent of Salt

Enclosure No 2
No 423

To
H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector of Madras

Sir

Para 1 With reference to the propo=
=sal made by me in person to you on the morning of the 2d November to allow Salt from the Madras Cotaur to be taken to the Beach and shipped as Ballast instead of sand on board Vessels proceeding to Cannore &c for Salt on Public Indent upon the Contractor paying

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

paying the Cart hire to the beach, and to the proposal contained in the Letter to your address from the Chamber of Commerce of the 4th Idem for the forma=
=tion of a Salt Depot at Madras to the North of Clives Battery in substi=
=tution of the Depôt at Ennore, I have the honor, in the accompanying Me=
=morandum, to contrast the Boat hire charges that have been incurred during the last season of shipment at Ennore with the charge which would be incurred here, exclusive of carting the Salt to the Beach As I find the Govern=
=ment are decided gainers by the pro=
=posal to ship Salt from Madras if the charge for Cart hire is borne by the Merchant and you consider that the proposal from the Chamber of Commerce deserves prompt consideration, I lose no time in placing the matter before you In my letter No 421 of today I have shown how the Merchant will benefit 50 per cent if allowed to ship salt instead of Sand-Ballast, himself paying the cost of Cart hire, but instead of saddling him with the trouble of seeing

to

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

to the transit of salt from the Depôt to the Beach, if the Government prefer it, the charge for salt might to private Traders, be Rupees 1 1/2 per 100 Indian Maunds, to cover the extra expense that would be incurred, and if Government bear the cost of cart hire to bring the Salt from the Madras Plat form to the Beach.—

2 At the same time if Government would forego the slight advantage which they would derive by seeking the re-imbursement of this trifling charge, the impetus which it is likely will arise in the export Trade would in the long run, far out-pay them, and the probable result would be that it would re-establish the Trade with Bengal, which once existed and has in recent years, been lost to this coast.

3 As many months must elapse before the suggestions of the Chamber of Commerce to establish the new Depôt can be carried out, I would suggest that the work on the new Platform be expedited so as to complete it by January that all our store for the next year be piled at Madras- that the shipments for

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

for export take place from these Roads free of all charge to the shipper, except that for cart hire- or exclusive of that charge. also, on increasing the cost price of Salt to the private shipper and that the checks observed in sending the salt by carts in the recent shipment viz by Messrs. Bainbridge and Co. per "Scotia" with any other checks, which growing experience may suggest, be adopted, until the new Depôt at the Beach, if one is to be sanctioned is established.

4 I am in favor of this plan to that of a separate Depôt, on the Beach, as it will not involve the necessity of separate supervision, and as the entire transaction will be as far as possible, under my own eye if only one General Depot is kept up though for safety, it would always seem to be essential that the sales for export by sea should take place, as far as possible, apart from those for the Home and Inland consumption lest the carts get mixed up, or other frauds be carried on— This could be managed by a space being devoted to

Fort St George 20th November 1854

to this purpose solely on the new Platform
In concentrating the Ennore Establishment
of servants and Peons, with those here, the
advantage for the security of the salt and
for checking frauds would be greater, as
their services would be available, when not
shipping salt, for other employ in watching
the store or sales for Inland and Home
consumption.—

5 I believe that 30 years ago
the shipments of salt took place from
the Madras Platform, and that all the
Chittagong Sloops carried salt instead
of sand Ballast, but this mode of ship=
=ment was abandoned, and the Ennore
Cotaur substituted, in consequence
of the heavy charge for canal Toll which
was levied at Cochrane's canal by Mr
Cochrane's agents.—

Madras .....
11th November 1854 } /Signed/ R P. Campbell
Superintendent of Salt

Memorandum

15881

Fort St. George 20th November 1854

Memorandum contrasting the Actual Boat hire charges incurred for shipping Salt from the Pans to the Beach, and from the latter to the Ships in Ennore Roads, compared with the probable Boat hire that will be if Salt is brought down to Madras and shipped from the Madras Roads on Vessels lying here.—

Names of the Ships	Quantity Shipped in Indian Maunds	Charges for Shipment Rs a p	Average charge per Hundred Indian Maunds Rs a p
John Brightman	10,000	310 1 u	
Amazon	4,800	104 4 u	
Ayrs hire	8,200	270 11 u	
Bargul Augusta	14280	444 4 u	
Gloucester	19500	605 3 u	
Edward Marguard	9200	321 3 u	
Tutte Rohonon	12000	375 u u	
Emerald Isle	16000	503 5 u	
Bellairs	14040	433 2 u	
Barque Eliza Penelope	4560	156 1 u	
	112580	3526 2 u	3 2 1 3/8
Boat hire for conveying Salt from Alipuit Pans to Ennore at 8 Annas per Garce	u	u u u u	u 6 8
	u	u u u u	3 8 9 3/8
Difference in the Boat contract rates by Cochranes Canal			
From the Pans to Madras and from the Pans to Ennore Cotaur per Garce		13 u	
Boat hire from Madras Beach to Vessels at 2 Boats per Garce		3 u u	
Or per 100 Indian Maunds		u u u	3 2 10
Rate in favor of Government if shipments at Ennore is discontinued		u	5 11 3/8

Names of the Sloops	Quantity shipped in Indian Maunds	Charges for Shipment Rs a p	Average charge per Hundred Indian Maunds Rs a p
Stree Jugurnaud Presaud	6000	138 u u	
Eshance Presaud	3000	125 2 u	
Anlegue Presaud	3120	127 u u	
Rida Presaud	3120	93 4 u	
Stree Vencalaswovarloo	5500	138 12 u	
	20740	622 2 u	2 15 15/16
	u	u u u u	u 6 8
	u	u u u u	3 6 7 15/16
	u	u 13 u u u u	
		1 14 u u u u	
	u	u u u	2 3 10
	u	u u u	1 2 9 1/16

Madras Cotaur 11th November 1854

(Signed) R. D. Campbell
Superintendent of Salt

Order thereon—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

15882 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

(85-86)

To

The Acting Collector of

Madras

Bd. No. 2567.

To the Acting Collector of Madras ..... Para 1 Sir, With reference to your letter

In Cons. 20th November 1854

of 13th November 1854 and its enclosures, the Board would have wished to have had your own opinion on each of the points noticed by Mr. Campbell in his letter of 11th November especially as to storing all next year's Salt at Madras They presume that you consider Mr. Campbell's calculations correct, but it is not clearly shewn how the Merchants benefit 50 per cent by shipping at Madras.

2 From the Estimate of "charges of Manufacture" submitted with your letter of 13th April 1854 it would appear that the cost to Government is 19 Rupees a Garce, or nearly 16 Rupees per 100 Indian "Maunds" or yet it appears that you propose to encourage the sales at 15 Rupees per 100 Maunds

In Cons. 20th April 1854

3 In that statement also the Boat hire and landing charges for the salt brought from the Pans to Ennore is 1/4 Rupees per Garce or near to 1 Rupee per 100 Maunds. whereas Mr. Campbell makes it 0-6-8 per 100 Maunds - In the former

15883 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

former statement the Boat hire from Ennore beach to the ship is 2-14- per Garce, and 6 annas more for bringing the Boats to Madras It is supposed that both these are included in the present estimate of 2-15 11 15/16 per 100 Maunds though the 16 Rs. of a price might have been unnoticed.-

4 The Board think that the difference of expense could be better shewn if the average expenses of every item for 100 Maunds, when shipped at Ennore, and when shipped at Madras were shewn separately, distinguishing the charges borne by Government with the charges borne by the Shipper.-

5. If the Salt is likely to be brought in large quantities to Madras, it might be better to have a Depôt nearer to the bank of the Canal close to Attiput, than at Ennore

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, ...
20th November 1854

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge
No. 211

To

B. Cunliffe Esqr.
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(87)

Bd. No. 4371

From the Acting Collector of Madras ..... Sir With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I have the honor to

From Board 20th October 1854
From Dr. 6th November 1854

15884 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

to request the orders of the Board as to whether I may comply with an applica-tion from Vencat Reddy to ship for Cal-cutta 15220 In Mans. of Salt from these stores in-stead of other Ports on this coast to which I am aware of no objection as far as this Department is concerned.—

Madras .....
15th November 1854. } (Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Bd No. 2552

Proceedings thereon The Board of Revenue authorize
(87-88) the Acting Collector of Madras to supply Vencatareddy with 15220 additional Maunds of Salt— and requests he will ascertain as from that individual at what other ports he wishes the supply authorized to be made to him to be reduced by this quantity.—

No. 212

To

(89) B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd No. 4377
From the Acting Collector Sir
of Madras ..... I have the honor to

submit for the Boards orders the accompany-ing tender from Binny & Co. to convey Salt to Calcutta— As they require an

immediate

15885 Fort St. George 20th November 1854—

immediate reply, I shall request them to attend for it at the Boards Office.—

Madras .....
10th November 1854. } (Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

No. 1

To

The Collector of
Madras

Sir

1 We have the honor to tender the Ship "Affghan" to convey to Calcutta 700 Tons of Salt— One half to be laden at Madras as required by the Ship at Rupees 9 per Ton and the other half at Ennore at 11 Rupees per Ton

2 The "Affghan" will be ready to receive Salt on the 17th Instant

3 This tender is made on the under-standing that the Salt shall be taken from the ship at Calcutta at the rate of not less than 50 Tons per day from the time when the Ship may be reported ready to discharge—

4 May we request to be favored with an immediate reply to this tender Despatch being an object of some consequence

Madras .....
15th November 1854. } (Signed) Binny & Co.

Proceedings

15886 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

Bd No 2554

Proceedings thereon

89-90

Messrs Binny & Co having intimated that they have made arrangement with Vencata reddy for conveying part of his contract Salt to Calcutta by the "Affghan" it is not necessary to pass any orders on the above letter.

No 83

91

Bd No 4235

From the Adjutant of Engineers

Memorandum

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation as per margin, the chief Engineer has the honor to forward to the Secretary to the Revenue Board a Roll containing Maps of the Kistna survey, and to request he will be good enough to acknowledge the receipt of the same.

Revenue Department No 78 21st January 1853 No 118 24th January 1854

By order

Chief Engineers Office
Late Deputy Survey Generals Department
Fort St George 6th November 1854.

/Signed/ P. O'Connell
Adjutant of Engineers

Order thereon

91-92

Bd No 2548

To the Adjutant of Engineers

Memorandum

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply

Receipt be forwarded to the Adjutant of Engineers and the Maps received therewith placed on the Records of the Boards Office

Received from Lieutenant & P. O'connell Adjutant of Engineers, One Roll containing four Maps of the Kistna Survey

W.T.W.

Resolved

15887 Fort St George 20th November 1854.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

No 547

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

93

Bd No 2557

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir.

In conformity with the orders of Government, conveyed in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken set of their Proceedings for the month of October 1854, for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George,
20th November 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary in charge.

No 548

94

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

Bd No 2559

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for transmission to the

15888 Fort St. George 20th November 1854

the Honorable the Court of Directors:
the Broken Set of the Proceedings
of the Commissioner in the Northern
Circars for the month of July
1854.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
20th November 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary
in Charge

No. 502

To,

(95)

Bo. No. 2577

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

A I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Comparative Statement of contingent Charges incurred in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments from 1st April to 30th June 1854

sanctioned

15889 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

sanctioned by the Board under the Orders of Government dated 28th July 1843.

Fort St. George Gazette of 1843. Page 608.

B.

2.- Accompanying is also submitted a Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Offices[x] at the Presidency for the same period.

[x] Stamp Office
Sea Customs Department
Reporter of External Commerce.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
20th November 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary
in Charge

Statement

15890

Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

A

No. 1. Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the Districts under the Madras Presidency from 1st April to 30th June 1854 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1853 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Districts	Actual Charges incurred from 1st April to 30th June 1853.			Actual charges incurred from 1st April to 30th June 1854			Comparison: Increase			Decrease			Remarks.
	Rupees	A	P.	Rs	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	
Ganjam	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Vizagapatam	45	9	5	63	10	7	18	1	2	"	"	"	
Rajahmundry	26	14	7	40	8	3	13	9	8	"	"	"	
Masulipatam	22	15	"	30	7	5	7	8	5	"	"	"	
Guntoor	48	14	3	29	13	5	"	"	"	19	"	10	
Chinglepat	12	9	11	19	"	"	6	6	1	"	"	"	
Salem	9	7	8	9	4	6	"	"	"	"	3	2	
Madura	70	5	6	40	10	1	"	"	"	29	11	5	
Nellore	5	6	3	5	5	1	"	"	"	"	1	2	
Northern Division of Arcot	40	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	40	5	"	
Southern Division of Arcot	16	7	6	14	3	"	"	"	"	2	4	6	
Tanjore	20	14	"	16	7	1	"	"	"	4	6	11	
Trichinopoly	4	2	"	1	8	"	"	"	"	2	10	"	
Tinnevelly	15	2	6	26	7	"	11	4	6	"	"	"	
Bellary	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	8	"	
Cuddapah	9	13	"	10	12	"	"	4	2	"	"	"	
Coimbatore	9	9	"	18	9	10	9	"	10	"	"	"	
Canara	15	15	9	33	14	5	17	14	8	"	"	"	
Malabar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Madras	61	6	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	61	6	1	
Kurnool	1	1	"	1	14	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	
Total	441	6	5	361	11	10	84	14	6	164	9	1	

Dr. Increase — 84 14 6

Net Decrease — 79 10 7

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, —
20th November 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge.

15892 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

No. 561

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(96)

B° N°-2578.

To The Chief Secretary to Government. Sir

In obedience to the instructions conveyed in the Resolution of Government under date the 29th May 1852. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Comparative Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Offices at the Presidency named in the Margin, from 1st April to 30th June 1854, sanctioned by the Board under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843.-

Accountant Generals Office
Sub Treasurer
Savings Bank
Civil Auditor.

Fort St. George Gazette of 1843. Page 608.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th November 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge

Statement

15891 Fort St. George, 20th November 1854.

B

Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the undermentioned Offices from 1st April to 30th June 1854 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

	Actual Charges from 1st April to 30th June 1853.			Actual Charges incurred from 1st April to 30th June 1854.			Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps			
Stamp Office	63	13	9	94	6	11	30	2	Articles obtained on Indent from the Commissariat and Ordnance Departments are not entered in the Statement, their Value not being known.
Sea Customs Department	73	3	6	123	6	4	50	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
Reporter of External Commerce	4	12	"	5	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	141	13	3	222	13	3		81	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
20th November 1854

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge.

15893. Fort St. George, 20th November 1854.

C

Statement shewing the contingent Charges incurred in the undermentioned Offices from 1st April to 30th June 1854, and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

	Actual Charges incurred from 1st April to 30th June 1853.		Actual Charges incurred from 1st April to 30th June 1854.		Increase		Decrease		Remarks
	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	
Accountant General's Office	54	7 2	120	11 6	68	4 4	"	" "	Articles obtained on Indent from the Commissariat and Ordnance Departments are not entered in this Statement, their Value not being known
Sub Treasurer	142	1 2	222	7 "	80	5 10	"	" "	
Savings' Bank	3	6 "	4	8 8	1	2 8	"	" "	
Civil Auditor	94	3 7	16	7 6	"	" "	77	12 1	
Total	294	1 11	364	2 6	147	12 10	77	12 1	
					77	12 1	Dr. Decrease		
					70	" 9	Nt Increase		

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in Charge

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th November 1854.

15894. Fort St. George, 20th November 1854.

(97)

Read Petition 2130 of 1854 from Messrs. A. P. Burd & Co. Merchants of Masulipatam in the District of Guntoor Rangachar in the Province Mr. H. Bagel and Petition 2127 of 1854 from Messrs. Burd & Co. Merchants of Guntoor.

The Board of Revenue record Proceedings thereon—

Dd. 4-2-55

Ordered to forward the above Petitions to the Collector of Guntoor for report.

The Collector of Guntoor will be so good as to explain

2. whether the privilege of selling Foreign Spirits under the Sanction of the Dawn of Guntoor, in Rangachar and the general Abkary rent had been included in the general Abkary rent for the current Fasly, for the first time, and do in the event of this course, and explain how he will state the reasons which induced him to adopt this course, and explain how if he do not state the material change in the terms of the Station, when submitting for the Boards' approval the tenders made in the letter of June 6th 1854.

It is observed that the rent of

3. Triangy Talook for Fasly 1264 is 400 Rupees less than that of Rangachar 250 Rupees less than the receipts of the former year, but the receipts of that of the former year, but the Board were not aware when they sanctioned the acceptance of the Offers for these.

these divisions for the Current Fasly that any change had been made in the nature of the rent.

4. It occurs to the Board that the discretion allowed to Collectors in Para 3 of their C. O of 31st May 1852, to exclude the sale of Foreign liquors from the general rent, might properly be exercised in the case of such places as Tranquebar, which contains a large community of Europeans Vizt. Foreign Liquors are expensive, they pay a prescribed duty on import and incur other charges in their transit to provincial stations it is therefore not the desire of the Board to subject them to any further taxation so as to place them beyond the reach of Europeans in the provinces. It is stated that the Abkarry Renter of Tranquebar wishes to levy a tax of 12 annas on every gallon sold which is equal to one half of the Government Import duty.

5. Before issuing final orders in the present case the Board would wish to be favored with the Collector's sentiments

sentiments on the subject, with reference to the foregoing observations.

98

Read the undermentioned Extracts

B. No. 4307.
From the Chief Secretary to Government dated 3d November 1854 ... } Calling the attention of Collectors to the suggestion conveyed in para 12 of the Medical Board's report with a view to their affording every facility and encouragement to the Medical Subordinates in disseminating vaccination.

98-99

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

B. No. 4305.
From the Secretary to Government dated 8th November 1854 ... } Desiring that full enquiry be made by the Officer in Magisterial charge at Bezorah into the circumstances connected with the construction of the Choultry at that station by Soondaragherry Ramanoja Row late Head Sheristadar of Masulipatam.

100

100-101

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

102 B. No. 4279.
From the Secretary to Government dated 17th November 1854 ... } Sanctioning the disbursement from the undrawn Salary of the late Head Sheristadar

15897 Fort St. George, 20th November 1854.

Sheristadar Ship of Masulipatam to C. Chackrapany Row, present Head Sheristadar, the amount required to make good to him the full allowance of his post, from 9th June 1852 to 31st December 1853.

102-103

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

104

Bd. No. 4304.

From the Secretary to Government dated 7th November 1854 — Authorizing the Civil auditor to pass the charges already incurred by the Collector of Masulipatam in the present form, and to continue to admit those for the future until a revised Establishment is sanctioned.

104-105

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

106

Bd. No. 4405.

From the Collector of Chingleput — Read the undermentioned Registers

107

Bd. No. 4270.

From the Collector of Madura — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of October last.

106-108

Order on the abovementioned Registers — Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read.

15898 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

109

Bd. No. 3977

From the Collector of Madura — Return of grain Exported for the week ending 30th September last.

110

Bd. No. 3978

From the Collector of Madura — Return of grain Imported for the week ending 30th September last.

111

Bd. No. 4408.

From the Collector of Malabar — Return of grain Imported for the week ending 4th November 1854.

109-112

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements — Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.

113

Ms. No. 1737

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Salt and money account current for the Quarter ending 30th September 1854.

114

Ms. No. 1728

From the Collector of Nellore — Salt and money account current for the Quarter ending 30th September 1854.

115

Ms. No. 1735.

From the Collector of Canara — Reports that the accounts of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th September 1854 is blank.

116

Ms. No. 1732

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Reporting that no alterations of fines or stoppages were made during the Quarter ending 30th September last.

117

Ms. No. 1731.

From the Acting Collector of Nellore — Informs that no persons were tried or in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 during the month of October 1854.

118

Ms. No. 1733.

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Abstract of Demand Collection and

15899 Fort St. George 20th November 1854.

119 and Balance for the month of October 1854.

Mr. No. 1730

From the Acting Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1853/54 up to 8th November 1854

120

Mr. No. 1718

From the Collector of Bellary. Sending a supplemental Indent for articles required for immediate use.

120-121

Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.

122

Mr. No. 1727

From the Collector of Ganjam. Statrment shewing the quantity of grain exported from and Imported at the different Sea Ports of his district for the week ending 25th August last.

123

Mr. No. 1726

From the Collector of Ganjam. Return of the whole sale Prices of grain for the week ending 30th September last.

124

Mr. No. 1725

From the Collector of Ganjam. Return of the whole sale Prices of grain for the week ending 8th October 1854

125

Mr. No. 1738.

From the Collector of Madura. Return of the whole sale Prices of grain for the week ending 31st October last.

"J. D. Thomason

Compd. W. E. Williams