Kl·2434

9·373

7731/RH/80

Board Consultation

Vol No 2434

G.No 16640.

Year 1854

Page

in of Assess't 11569
a, Tanjore 11571
et'd 11575
11578
ving seed
"
11579
"
11580
Bothers 11581
11639
offer
11643
11647
Capoorum 11651
11654
11657
11668
11669
L
11670
11678
11679
11681
Ry
11683
11702
11703
11704
11705

1871
1876

Vol. 2439

# Contents


| Bdle No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Con.t 4 Sept.r 1854** | |
| 1877 | To Govt. | Forwarding Copy of Circular Regg. provision of Allowst. | 11569 |
| 2909 | From do. | Food distributed to destitute people, Tanjore | 11571 |
| 3031 | From do. | Charges retrenched in Kurnool, sanctd. | 11575 |
| 1869 | Order Kurnool. | Commg. the above | 11578 |
| 3129 | From Govt. | Advance for building houses & purchasing seed in N. Arcot, sanctd. | " |
| 1874 | Order N. Arcot | Commg. the above | 11577 |
| 2929 | From Masulipm. | Non transmission of returns of Choultries | " |
| 1848 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11580 |
| 2726 | From Bellary | Reporting upon a Petn. against the Headsheq. Brothers | 11581 |
| 1854 | To Govt. | On the above | 11639 |
| 2883 | From N. Arcot | Claims for compensation preferred by Moozuffer Beg on account of certain Enam | 11643 |
| 1878 | To Govt. | On the above | 11647 |
| 2480 | From N. Arcot | Respecting the Choultry at Vanoogopala tristnapooram | 11651 |
| 1895 | Pro; Govt. | On the above | 11654 |
| 3089 | From Salem | Papers regg. Suit No. 74 of 1853 | 11657 |
| 1871 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11668 |
| 3206 | From S. Arcot | Salt Statement | 11669 |
| 2306 | From Dept. P. W. | Letter from Trichy reg. the assessment on lands to be irrigated by the new Coleroon Channel | 11670 |
| 1851 | Boards Pro. | On the above | 11678 |
| 2705 | From Trichy | Remuneration to Village watchers &c. | 11679 |
| 1867 | Pro, Govt. | On the above | 11681 |
| 2839 | From Canara | Petn. from the Shroff of the Salt depot at Mookky in a case of embezzlement | 11683 |
| 1853 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11702 |
| 3197 | From Sea Customs | Calling for copies of the Import Tariff | 11703 |
| 1899 | To Govt. | Recommg. that the above be supplied | 11704 |
| 1876 | To Govt. | Statement of Collns. &c. of Extra Rev. | 11705 |

| Dt. No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1852 | To Govt. | Bullion & Specie Statements | 11719 |
| 1856 | Circular | Calling for State. reg. the Police force | 11722 |
| | To certain Collrs. | Calling for certain documents | 11723 |

Con. 7th

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2446 | From Govt. | For promoting the objects affecting the improvement of the Agricultural & Manufacturing industries &c. | 11737 |
| 1077 | Circular | On the above | 11749 |
| 2855 | From Govt. | Respecting the Lungertanas in certain districts | 11755 |
| 1805 | Order Nellore &c. | Comm. the above | 11758 |
| 2886 | From Govt. | For establishing a Choultry & Dispensary at Portonovo &c. | " |
| 1925 | Order Tanjore | Comm. the above | 11759 |
| 3072 | From Govt. | Continuance of a lapsed Enam in Madura | " |
| 1886 | Order Madura | Comm. the above | 11760 |
| 2991 | From Govt. | For continuing certain allowces paid by deductions from the Kistbundy Beriz in Tinny. | " |
| 1889 | Order Tinny. | Comm. the above | 11762 |
| 3102 | From Govt. | Respecting the sale of lands for arrears of Rev. | 11763 |
| 1933 | Order Madras | Comm. the above | 11767 |
| 2864 | From Rajahy. | Transfer of certain servants to Nellore | 11768 |
| 1890 | Pro, thereon | Approving the above | " |
| 3251 | From Rajahy. | Copies of translation of Circular Orders | 11769 |
| 2868 | From Masulipm. | " Native Almanac | 11770 |
| 1891 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11771 |
| 3259 | From do. | Respecting the employment of Uncovenanted Eur. Asstts. | " |
| | From Masulipm. &c. | On the prospects of the Season | 11783 |
| 2495 | From Cuddapah | Information reg. certain Enams | 11787 |
| 1897 | Pro, Govt. | Recomm. the continuance of the above | 11788 |
| | From Cuddapah | On the prospects of the Season | 11789 |
| 2408 | From Chingt. | Pets. 1169 & 2165 of 1853 from a Curnum Meerassidar claiming compensation for the Mailwarum &c. | 11791 |
| 1926 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11792 |
| 2653 | From do. | Respecting the sale of Mr. Juraims lands | 11793 |
| 1894 | Pro, Govt. | On the above | 11815 |

| Dt. No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2764 | From Chingt. | Explanation reg. the State. of Police force | 11816 |
| 1927 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11818 |
| 2797 | From do. | Clandestine dealings in Salt | 11819 |
| 1888 | Pro, do. | Sale of the above | 11822 |
| 3020 | From do. | On the State of the Season | " |
| 2854 | From Adjt. Engrs. | Survey Map for the Coll. of N. Arcot | 11823 |
| 1898 | Pro, Govt. | Recomm. the above | 11824 |
| | From N. Arcot &c. | On the State of the Season | 11825 |
| 3246 | From Govt. Pleader | Papers reg. Salem Suit No. 57 of 1847 | 11828 |
| 3266 | From Salem | Reporting on the above | 11829 |
| 1903 | To Govt. Pleader | Forwarding the above for observations | 11837 |
| 2775 | From S. Arcot | Letter from Capt. Priestly on the geological inspection and collection of Specimens of the district | 11839 |
| 1896 | Pro, Govt. | On the above | 11842 |
| 3172 | From S. Arcot | Relative to the capacity of the Cullum | 11843 |
| 1915 | To do. | Requesting further information on the above | 11845 |
| 3104 | From Tanjore | State. of land cultivated | 11846 |
| 3241 | From do. | Stating that the views of the Honble Court reg. well cultivation will have no serious effect on the revenue | 11847 |
| 3107 | From Trichy. | Cloth for Peons | 11848 |
| 3073 | From Madura | Remission for withered crops | " |
| 1887 | Pro, do. | Approving the above | 11854 |
| 3111 | From do. | Rules for Custody &c. of Postage Stamp Labels | 11855 |
| 1899 | Pro, Govt. | Subg. the above | 11857 |
| 3115 | From Madura | On the State of the Season | 11858 |
| 3041 | From Tinny. | Appointment of guardian to the Minor Zemr. of Paryoor | 11860 |
| 1928 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11862 |
| 3075 | From do. | For defending certain Suit, with papers reg. it | 11863 |
| 1883 | Pro, Govt. | On the above | 11887 |
| 3264 | From Tinny. | On the State of the Season | 11888 |
| 3078 | From Cimbre. | Boundary dispute between this district & Cochin | 11890 |
| 1918 | Pro, do. | On the above | 11896 |
| 3193 | From do. | On the State of the Season | " |
| 2944 | From Canara | Tabular report of lapsed Enam | 11898 |
| 1902 | Remarks Govt. | Continuance of the above | 11899 |

| Bd. No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3148 | From Canara | For purchasing Bombay Salt | 11900 |
| 1910 | To Govt. | Recommg. the above for sanction | 11901 |
| 2957 | From Madras | Sale of Salt compensation Certificates, declined | 11904 |
| 1885 | Pro. Govt. | Subg. the above | " |
| 3268 | From Madras | Circular Order regg. Police Establishts. | " |
| | To Govt. & Co. | Mr. Maltby's departure to Bellary | 11905 |
| | To Govt. & Co. | On delivering & receiving charge of Bd's Office | " |
| | To Govt. | Petr. No. 37 of 1854 claiming the Office of Meerasy | 11906 |
| 1931 | To. Do. | Statet. of Collns. of ordinary Rev. | 11908 |
| | To Govt. Pleader | To return certain letter regg. Suit in Madura | 11927 |
| | To Cuddapah | Petr. regg. the dismissal of Missal Curnums, reward to certain Petitioner | " |

11569

Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

# No. Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 4th September 1854

J. Goldingham Esquire Second Member

and

E. Maltby Esqr. Third Member.

W. Elliot Esquire Senior Member absent on duty

/ As Commissioner Northern Circars /

Minutes of the last Meeting read & approved.

Read the following Papers.

Read again Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 10th July 1854 and entered in Consultation 31st August 1854.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted to Government.

No. 405.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government.

1

Bd. No. 1877

To The Chief Secretary to Government

With reference to para 18 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 10th July

| Bd. No. | From and To whom. | Subject | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3148 | From Canara | For purchasing Bombay Salt | |
| 1910 | To Govt. | Recommg. the above for sanction | |
| 2951 | From Madras | Sale of Salt compensation Certificates, declined | |
| 1885 | Pro. Govt. | Subg. the above | |
| 3262 | From Madras | Circular order regg. Police Establishts. | |
| | To Govt. &c. | Mr. Maltby's departure to Bellary | |
| | To Govt. &c. | On delivering & receiving charge of Bd's Office | |
| | To Govt. | Petn. No. 37 of 1854 claiming the Office of Mecanny | |
| 1931 | To. Do. | Statet. of Collns. of ordinary Rev. | |
| | To Govt. Pleader | To return certain letter regg. Suit in Madura | |
| | Cuddapah Petn. | regg. the dismissal of Missal Curnums, & to certain Petitioner | |

11569

Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

# No. Diary

At a Meeting Present

Monday 4th September 1854

J. Goldingham Esquire Second Member

and

E. Maltby Esqre. Third Member

H. Elliot Esquire Senior Member absent on duty

/ As Commissioner Northern Circars /

Minutes of the last Meeting read & approved.

Read the following Papers.

Read again Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 10th July 1854 and entered in Consultation 31st August 1854.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted to Government.

No. 405.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

1

Bd. No. 1877

To The Chief Secretary to Government

With reference to para 18 of Esctract from Minutes of Consultation dated 10th July

In Cons. 31st Augt.

July 1854 directing the Board of Revenue to report within two Months the order issued and measures taken under the instructions contained in that Extract. I am desired to submit herewith a copy of a Circular dated 31st August 1854 issued by the Board containing the instructions which they have circulated to all Collectors in consequence of the receipt of the orders of Government. also copy of a separate communication to the Collector of Trichinopoly regarding the assessment in the four dry Talooks of that District.

2. With reference to para 11 of their Circular, the Board would observe that having lithographed Mr. Malby's report, and the Minutes of Consultation of 26th June 1854 reviewing their report thereon, they deemed it advisable to forward copies of the same to each Collector. An entire lithographed copy of their Circular and its accompaniments is forwarded herewith, for submission to Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st September, 1854.

/ Signed / W H Bayley
Secretary



Bd. No. 2909

From the Sect. to Government

2

No. 943

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department under date the 11th August 1854.

Read the following letter.

No. 469.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have had the honor to receive an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 22nd Ultimo No. 855.

When I took upon myself to distribute food to the destitute poor in the codorumbum Villages of this District, I was not unaware of the strong objections that exist to the general adoption of such a system, but the case appeared to me to be one that might properly be considered exceptional and in that light I hope that the Government may now be inclined to view it.

It is very true that abundant employment on public works has been this year afforded to the people, and the very fact of the number of helpless persons who have been left destitute in their

Villages

Villages shews how highly these advantages have been appreciated by all who are capable of work. The whole of the people who have received gratuitous relief are either very old or very young and are all from age or infancy quite incapable of labor. the able bodied have to a man flocked to the public works leaving behind them in their Villages those only who were physically unable to obtain a maintenance for themselves. Of these facts I have in the Tritrapoondy Talook, were the greatest destress has prevailed, had personal knowledge for the last two months, and in the returns I have received from other parts I find that in one place out of a total number of 2055 relieved, as many as 1358 were young children and in another, that the children numbered 1353 out of a total of 1963.-

I have not been less alive to the propriety of restricting relief to such limits as shall ensure that all who apply for it do so from sheer necessity, and I find that notwithstanding the high price of grain, the cost per mensem of the food supplied to the poor has been only 3 As. 2 ½ Ps. for each person relieved.-

Under



Under these circumstances and with my positive assurance that very many lives must have been sacrificed had not measures of relief been adopted, I trust that the Government will give its sanction to the expenditure already made and to its contenuance as long as it may be needful.-

From the 3rd of June to the 20th of July there was expended Rupees 1135-7-3½ in the relief of the destitute poor. there is now in deposit in this treasury about Rupees 15,000-0-0 the surplus revenue of various chuttrums from which the above sum may be most legitimately paid, and I have therefore the honor to request that I may be authorized to debit the chuttrum funds accordingly and to continue to charge to them such further sums as may be wanted.-

I regret to have to add that no rain has yet fallen in the dry lands, and that the prospects of their inhabitants continue most gloomy.-

Tanjore, - - -
Negapatam - - - -
3d August 1854

/Signed/ H. Forbes.
Collector

The

1574 Fort St. George 4th. September 1854.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observe that by the orders of Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 22nd. Ultimo it was left open to the Collector of Tanjore to issue food gratuitously in cases of evident destitution, and where the parties were physically incapable of labouring. The Governor in Council sanctions the amount already expended upon that account being charged upon the Surplus Chuttrum funds as proposed by the Collector, and permits him to continue to issue food in such instances as those referred to in his letter, on the express condition that the relief is limited to such extreme cases, and is withdrawn at the earliest period that circumstances will allow.

/ A true Copy and Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

2-3

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.—

Extract.

1575 Fort St. George 4th. September 1854

4

Bd. No. 3031

From the Secy. to Government

No. 962

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 18th. August, 1854.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

/ Here enter 24th. July 1854 No. 328 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council proceeds to pass orders on the Statements of charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor in the Accounts of the Kurnool District from Fusly 1255 to 1260 and reported upon by the Board of Revenue in the above proceedings.-

Statement No. 1 No. 107 Payments to Massoom from Fusly 1255 to 1259 inclusive at Rs. 58-8 per annum Rs. 292-8-"-

2. Under the explanation furnished respecting this charge, the Governor in Council sanctions the amount Rupees 292-8-" / Two hundred and Ninety two, and Annas eight and grants authority for continuing to Massoom the usual allowance, subject to report at his death.-

3. He also sanctions the following charges amounting to Rupees 9325-13-5.- viz.

No. 97 Pay and Batta to Streenevasa Row from Fusly 1255 to 1259 Rupees 6,705-3-7.

1266 —— " —— 1351-14-"

1261 —— " —— 1,232-4-"

9,289-6-3

No. 45 Enlarging Christian Burial Ground in Fusly 1259 -36-7-2

9,325-13-5.

| No. | Rupees | As. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 124 | 200 | " | " |
| 125 | 81 | 4 | " |
| 126 | 16 | 4 | " |
| 127 | 9 | 2 | " |
| 128 | 9 | 3 | 9 |
| 129 | 24 | 6 | " |
| 130 | 20 | 5 | " |
| 131 | 28 | 7 | " |
| 132 | 40 | 10 | " |
| 133 | 146 | 2 | 8 |
| 134 | 10 | 9 | " |
| | 586 | 5 | 5 |

4. Sanction is also granted for Rupees 586-5-5 being the disbursements made to Fusly 1259 on account of Mahomedan Festivals and ceremonies and for the Ahobalum Pagoda, as well as the charges incurred on the same account since Fusly 1259 up to the date of their discontinuance under the orders of Government dated 3rd September 1852.

5. The following charges are likewise sanctioned, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 4294-6-9.-

No. 118 Payments to ameen Saib for Fuslies 1255-1256- and 1257 and from 1st July 1848 to 1st January 1849 of Fusly —



Fusly 1258 Rupees ——— 257-8-2

No. 113 Payment of a yeomiah in arrears to Nursummah ——— 4-5-4

Land Revenue Charges.

No. 1. Amount retrenched from the salary paid to the Talook Sheristadar of Sirwell ——— 106-4-3

No. 2 to 24 Disbursements to Talook Servants ——— 2,866-7-2

No. 99 to 101 Arrears of revenue collected from certain Villages and paid to Jaghiredars ——— 17-1-10

Nos. 98-102-103 Payments certain peons ——— 918-6-"

No. 115 Payment to Bundoomah from Fusly 1255 to 1259 inclusive ——— 48-12-"

Statement No. 2.

No. 27-28. Refunds of fines ——— 9-"-"

No. 29-30. Charges incurred in supplying articles for the great Exhibition of 1851. ——— 66-10-"

4,294-6-9

6. The Governor in Council further sanctions

sanctions the payment made to Syed Alee Saheb in Fusly 1260 amounting to Rupees /73-2-0/ Seventy three, and annas two, and grants authority for substituting the name of this individual for that of Moideen Shah, erroneously entered in the application in 1843 for the conformation of the grant.

/Signed/ T. Bycroft
Secretary to Government.

4-5

Bd. No. 1869.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated with the Boards Proceedings to the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool for the information and guidance.

---

6

B. No. 3129

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date date the 22nd August 1854

/From Board 10th August 1854-/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the advance of Rupees /500/ Five hundred, recommended in the foregoing Proceedings, on the understanding that the whole amount will be repaid in April 1855.

/Signed/ T Bycroft
Secretary to Government

Orde



Bd. No. 1874

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 7th August 1854.-

6-7

---

No. 110

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

8

Bd. No. 2929.

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Sir

With reference to your letter of the 6th April last, I have the honor to state that no Choultries were built for the accommodation of Travellers in this District between the years 1850 and 1853, nor has any grant of land of the discription authorized by Government been made for the support of Choultries under the rules sanctioned under date the 20th August 1829 up to the end of 1853. The triennial return directed by the Circular of the 8th July 1852 has therefore not been submitted.-

Masulipatam Collector's Cutchery } /Signed/ T. D. Lushington
11th August, 1854.- ——— } Collector.-

11580. Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

8-9

B. No. 1848.

Proceedings thereon. The Board observe that the Collector of Masulepatam has misunderstood the intent of para 6 of the Chaulky Rules. The Return required triennially is to contain particulars of the Chaultries already in actual existence and not of those only which were constructed during the three years preceding to the date of report.

---

To

11581 Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

No. 45

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

10

B. No. 2726.

From the Collector of Bellary — Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the Extract Minutes Consultation under date 19th May last, accompanied by the orders of the Board noted in the margin which reached me while absent Head Quarters on the

Submits the Report called for in Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue of the 19th Ultimo on the Petition of C. Goorroo santapah and others of Bellary.

x Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue dated 19th June 1854.

at a distance from the 28th last Month. On my return to Bellary after making enquiries into the matter referred to, I have now the honor to submit the report called for.

2. In the Minutes of Consultation and Board's Proceedings I am required to make a full Report upon a Petition from C. Goorroosantapah, C. Santa Bosapah and others residing in Bruce pettah in Bellary and I am also required "specially to report on the allegations at the conclusion of list No. 2 touching the asserted depreciation of the assessment of the lands under the

x 1854. Revenue Department No. 584.

Malabury

Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

Malabury Pank and of the Abkary rent of the Godavery Talook.

3. In respect to the Petition generally, I think it proper to inform the Board of the circumstances which have led to this and similar Petitions being preferred. The Value to be attached to them will be then better appreciated.

4. For many years there has been a feud between the Merchants of the town of Bellary. There are two parties the Chetty ayenna and his party, and Comtal Goorroo santapah (whose name heads the Petition) and his party. The chetty is a privileged man enjoying exemption from the Peesabady Tax. The opposition party Goorroosantapah &c have demanded that he should be taxed and have, because their demand has not been acceded to, always refused to pay their tax, which is collected by sequestration of Goods. This opposition party has existed for 20 years. I endeavoured to show them the folly



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

of acting in opposition to the orders of the Collector and with the view of finally settling the disputed point of the Chetty's liability to taxation as about 2 years ago went fully into the matter and drew up a regular decree, hoping that this course would put an end to the feud, and directed the opposition party to appeal against this decision if they thought they had grounds for appeal. They never as such appealed but continued in the same state of opposition to the Circar. This party has now formed the nucleus around which a more formidable party has arrayed itself. At first, the quarrels which ensued were confined to the Merchants and the Native Officers and subordinates of the Civil and Subordinate Court of the station

lent

but in course expanded and have now involved the native servants of the Collectors' Cutchery. The feud which has now become excessively bitter seems as far as I have as learnt to have, originated in the following manner.

5, Two Merchants each of the opposite, parties, had a dispute respecting the purchase of a house which was brought into the Civil Courts. One Ragavendra Row, the brother of Gireapah the Civil Court Sheristadar, and a Goomastah in that Court and one Gootty Streenevas Row, brother-in-law of Timmapah the Sheristadar of the Subordinate Court and a Goomastah in that Court took each an active part in this House dispute. Ragavendra row connecting himself with the (I may call it) Government party - the Merchants of the Chetty side



side, and Gootty Streenevajsa row with the opposition / Goorroosantapahs / party. Mutual recriminations, charges of bribery and corruption were preferred by these Goomastahs, and both at the time were dismissed. Then the parties began to expand, and the higher Native authorities became involved in the dispute. Gireapah the Civil Court Sheristadar, and Timmapah the Subordinate Court Sheristadar taking each his side.-

6 The Collectors' Department were not allowed to remain quiet and seem to have been dragged into the feuds in this manner. The Tahsildar Beema row from friendship - I suppose - took Timmapah's / the Subordinate Court's Sheristadar / side. The Goomastah Gootty Streenevasa row, relative of Timmapah's above alluded to, seems to have been most intimate with the Tahsildar, being his agent for transacting his private affairs with

Port St. George, 4th September 1854.

with the merchants and in this way or some other; the Tahsildar has I fear connected himself with the party who pretend they have such grievances. The Head Sheristadar of the Collector's Cutchery Neelcunta row being a friend of Giresapah / the principal object of attack / has not escaped. A petty dispute about a water course between him and his son Anna Row, and Timmapah whose house adjoins Neelcuntarow's seem to have led to words between them and give fresh grounds for venting their wrath against the Head Sheristadar. The Tahsildar Bheemarow who owes every thing to Neelcuntarow, who has been his patron and strong supporter, having it is suppos-ed countenanced the opposition party, has estranged himself from his protector; and if common report supported by some circumstances can be credited has given his assistance in getting up the

petitions



Port St. George 4th September 1854.

petitions which have lately been sent to the Government.-

7. The foregoing account it is right the Board should be in possession of, for whatever causes of complaint may exist in the District, they should clearly understand that the Petitioners are actuated by no other motives than those of private revenge in bringing the subjects complained of to the notice of Government, and that Petitioners are only a small party combined together with a fixed determination to bring ruin upon some of the Government Officials. such is the state of parties and such the origin of the Petitions.-

8. It will be in the recollection of the Board that early in the year a Petition of similar purport was sent with the Board's endorse-ment to me. I refer to Petition No. 2472

of

Fort St. George, 4th September 1854.

of 1853 from certain Inhabitants and Merchants of Bellary District, bringing to the notice of the Board the oppressive and fraudulent conduct of the native officials of the District and praying that W. Elliot Esqre may be appointed Commissioner to enquire into the charges they are ready to prove." This Petition the Board refers to Collector for such notice as he may deem necessary."

See Board's endorsement dated 17th January 1854.

9. I did not deem the Petition, seeing the source from which it originated worthy of much notice. but as I had been for some time aided by my Head Sheristadar endeavoring to prevent irregularities which it was rumoured had existed for a great many years, I was willing to give every facility to parties having grievance to come forward and complain. I therefore resolved





Fort St. George 4th September 1854

resolved to send a notice to all the parties who signed that Petition, and who stated they had complaints against the Native servants, of oppression and fraudulent conduct, to come forward and represent to me their complaints. This Notice was taken to all the parties whose signatures could be realized, full liberty was given to them to come forward, they were invited to do so, yet not one came. Not one of the many sorely aggrieved Petitioners came forward in any manner to state any complaint they had against the Collectors servants, and accordingly the Petition was disposed of in the manner stated in the Register of Petitions for the Month of January dated 31st March 1854 which is before the Board.—

10. The Petition which I am now called to report upon bears no date, but appears to have been received by Government on the 3rd of May last. It bears the signatures of 50 persons represented to be "residing in the Bruce Pet: tah of Bellary." Of this number however only 22 appear to be residents of the town of

Bellary

Bellary 8 are inhabitants of other places - and 20 are not known who they are -

11. It seemed to me desirable in the first place to obtain, without previous concert if possible, a statement from each of these Petitioners, and therefore without any intimation to any one (except my European Assistants) I on one day collected all the Petitioners who could be found in Bellary, and with the aid of my Assistants reduced to writing their Statements, the same day before any concerted plan could be agreed on - 17 of the parties whose names appeared in the petition were thus obtained. -

12. I will now briefly state the purport of the several grievances these Petitioners have to complain of. -

No. 1. Cowtal gooroo santapah taken on 17th July

The first name attached to the Petition is that of Cowtal Goorooxantapah - This man is the head of the opposition Merchant party alluded to, at the commencement of this



report - He is a wealthy man and a principal merchant. A man who for a long series of years has openly resisted payment of the Mohturpha Veesabady Tax, who has had ample opportunities under many Collectors and Sub Collectors of representing his grievances, and the oppression he suffers, who has complained frequently of his alleged grievance respecting the tax (not that he is taxed, but that one, a privileged person, exempt from taxation, has not been taxed) and has his grievances frequently attended to and been referred to the Board if dissatisfied with the Collector's decision - This man's name, I say, heads the Petition - and what does he say, strange as it may appear, he actually did not sign the Petition himself - He was not present when it was drawn up, he was at the Humpy festival - His brother Santa Basapah signed his name! He will not make any complaint by himself but will combine with others who wrote the Petition

× See No. 8.

Petition in a joint complaint, and he refuses, though repeatedly urged to state any grievance he may have, to do so - He states he does not know particularly the contents of the Petition, but admits the validity of his brother's signature for him, such is the Statement of the leading man-

13. I purpose giving the pith of the Statements as they were delivered according to dates - The nexct man therefore whose deposition was taken on the 17th is Tacaree Caradapah-

No 2.

Tacary Karadapah
17th July

His reason for signing the Petition is that the people of the District suffer oppressions from the Circar authorities and in order that their grievances might be redressed - Personally he has no complaint to make against the Circar authorities of any grievances, but one which is that he and Cattaca Vencaya and others have rented the Abkarry Farm of Goolein Talook for 1261 fusly but the late renter Hanumanthapah being related to one in high Office (the Head Sheristadar is referred



referred to) and one Basawangowd of Holalagondy, were doing all in their power to prevent him from arranging the Rents of the subrenters - He had never complained of this, as it occurred only a few days ago, but intends presenting a petition on the subject - Has no other grievance at all.

14. I will here remark that the subject of the Goolein Abkarry Rents being one of the Charges against my Head Sheristadar on which I am specially called on to report, I will not enter further into this point here than to state a petition was presented to me a day or two after Karadapah had given the above deposition, through his partner C. Vencaya - This Petition has received my attention. A translation is herewith sent - and I would beg permission to remark on one part of it, particularly in this place, in order to shew the honesty and integrity of these gentlemen who profess to be so anxious about the oppressions that the people of the District suffer - The Petitioner seriously solicits me to send strict

See Enclosure No 1.

orders

orders to the Tahsildar of Goolein, to compel the under renters of the Contractor of the previous year, to pay him (the Petitioner) the same rents they paid before - The Renters, it is well known, have been serious losers last year and the failure of our early rains this year has no doubt caused anxiety to the renters of the present fusly, and yet this redresser of wrongs and oppressions would have the Collector use his authority and by strict orders to the Tahsildar, compel the sub: renters, with whom he has not been able to conclude a bargain to pay to him rents, they are under no obligation to pay to him, or any one else - This simple fact will shew much the degree of credit to be attached to the motives of the prime movers in this and similar petitions - They are doubtless as willing, had they the power to oppress the inhabitants as ever they can make out their enemies to be -

No 3.

Gadigy Ragoo,
Vencapiah

15. This Merchant signed the Petition,



Petition, because all signed it - His grievances such as they are seem to have been all redressed - They were as follows - A Charge of murder was brought against his brother, which was investigated and the case dismissed by the Magistrate - Nursing Row Vakeel

Vakeel in the Court com =

=plained against him which

matter is now under co=

investigation in the Courts.

After much asking another

grievance is stated. A case

of Fraud was got up against

his

TDG.



his brother, which was justly enquired into and dismissed.

"He complains of the authorities as the instigators of these charges and on being asked what authorities he first said the Court Servants. Then after some time all the Huzzoor Servants, and then confined himself to all the Hoozoor i Moonshees, and lastly settled it all on Anna Row, one of the Moonshees the son of Neelacumtarow. This man whatever enemies he may have ready to get him into trouble, has evidently no grievance to complain of. The authorities of the District seem to have in his case been competent to investigate the charges against him, and to deliver him out of the hands of his oppressors without the aid of a Commission.—

No 4
Chintacoonta
Chellamiah
17th July

16 This man is but a poor Brahmin apparently an intriguing man. He pays tax to Government of only Rs. a 11—8 per annum. He is however a grand mover in the Petitions. He by his own admission, with a few others got the Petition up in the house of Coutal Coorroo= =santapah, and then got others to sign the Petition. He got up the Petition with the patriotic view of putting a stop to the injustice committed in the District and to protect the people. He cannot offer proof of any injustice and

and has no complaint to make of any injustice done to himself. He cannot remember any particular acts of injustice, but stated them in the urzee, but dont recollect them. This appeared very strange that he the prime mover and writer of the Petition, should not be able to state in person the grievances complained of. I pointed out this inconsistency to him. After much equivocation he said there were many things stated in the Petition and he could not remember each. This is the man who drew up and signed the Petition to the Collector copy of which is referred to in the Minutes of Consultation and formed an Inclosure in the Petition to Government. With reference to that Petition he states — that he from long residence in the District and enquiry became acquainted with the number of the relatives of the Head Sheristadar. It will be observed that in that Petition he charged the Sheristadar with irregularities committed by him, which on being called on to explain he says he heard that through their influence the relatives of the Head Sheristadar committed many irregularities. I would herewith that neither he nor any one else seem directly to charge the Head



Sheristadar with corruption —

In that Petition he directly charges all the Moozen Sheristadars with combining together to give in a false statement of relationships which prompted him to send a correct list for the Collectors private use to be "kept secretly for the purpose of comparing "it with the false list now under preparation "in your Office." Yet it appears, that immediately after he sends a copy to the Government, and now shirks out of the charge of combination made by him, by stating in his deposition that he only heard the Sheristadars were combining to give a false statement and that it must be a mistake if he positively asserted they had. But he did so positively as is very clear from his urzee — and a charge of Gross calumny lies against him for making such an accusation, without a particle of truth to rest on. The substance then of this principal mover in the grievance petition is that he cannot state the particular subjects contained in the Petition which he got up in Gooroosantapah's house and procured signatures to, at different times that he cannot prove the charges contained in the Petition — and that he knows of no other

other acts of oppression and injustice committed by the Circar Servants than are contained in that Petition.—

No 5
Roddum Soobayya 17th July

17 This man's name appears in the Petition but he denies having signed it and has no complaint to make. This signature he states is not his.

No 6
Siva Chinnapah 17th July

18 Signed the Petition because many others signed it. Has no complaint to make separately from others. The complaint of all, this man says, is about the Veesabady Tax. because Cowtal Goroosantapahs and others property is always attached for the tax for this cause he signed the Petition

I have already explained the cause of this alleged grievance at the commencement of this report

No 7
Hooracee Caniha-supah 17th July

19 States that he was asked to sign the Petition for bringing up a Commissioner and so did sign it. Has no complaint to make except that his goods are yearly attached for the Veesabady Tax. This man is one of Goroosantapahs party who refuse to pay the tax because the cheltry is not taxed.

No 8
Gudigy Shadal-chinnapah 17th July

20 This man is a brother of Gudigy Rayer Venkapah whose statement has been above



above noticed (No 3) His grievances like those of his brothers are not very apparent and such as they are were obtained from him with difficulty. One grievance is that because he was a witness in the Courts against the Sessions Court Sheristadar Gireapah, that man procured a person to bring a false charge against him (the deponent) of taking Rupees from a person which accusation was investigated by the Magistrate Mr. Pelly and he (deponent) was acquitted of the charge.

Gireapah also got a person to accuse his brother of murdering a washerman which charge the Magistrate also investigated and dismissed.—

For these reasons he signed the Petition which contains an accusation of injustice committed by the Circar Servants.

The foregoing grievances seeming to involve only the Court people he was asked

asked if he had any complaint against the Revenue Servants. To which he first replied that he would state those complaints when the Commissioner came. He then named Nelacumta Row the Head Sheristadar as the person whom he specially alluded to- and his complaint against Nelacumtarow is that he has many relatives in the Cutcherry.

Being required to state what irregularities were committed by the Revenue servants and by what servants he admitted that the Petition was got up principally by Chintacoonta Chellamiah and Sacary Karidapah (1st hand 2) who told him to sign the Petition and that they would prove the irregularities when the Commissioner came. He however has no grievance that his property was sold to pay his tax of Veesahady, and another that the Inamdars of propte are taken for Vrtty that his Inamdy was once taken and he complained and it was at once released."

He



He has no charge to make against any other revenue servants.

No 9.

Nagareddy Chennapah 17th July } 21. This is a youth of about 15. years of age whose signature has been obtained to the Petition. His complaint is entirely confined to the Court servants. He states that his grand father filed a suit in the Court against him about 2 years ago and Giveapah (the Civil Court Sheristadar) and Ragannah (this I presume is the Goomastah between whom and Goolty Streenevasarow the quarrel which has led to all this trouble originated) these two persons troubled him much and took a bribe of 2,000 Rupees to get a decision in his favor.

This deponent signed the Petition at the solicitation of Chintacoonta Chellamiah and Sacary Coradapah but does not know the contents of the Petition- He has no complaint to make against any of the Revenue Servants-

These

№ 10 and 11
Veerana gowd and
Cootoor gowd
17th July } 22. These two persons names are attached to the Petition but they deny having signed it and have no complaint to make - were deprived of office by the Collector, and have petitioned him, and he will dispose of the matter. Don't Know any thing of the Petition to Government.

№ 12
Hootacidly Chennapah
17th July 1854 } 23. This man refuses to state any grievance - He asserts simply that he Knows injustices are practised but will not state any till the Commissioner comes.

№ 13.
Role Badana
17th July } 24. Was told by others to sign his name to a Petition but does not Know the contents and has no complaint to make.

№ 14
Heeradah Basapah
17th July } 25. He is the son of Heerad Cansapah whose name is in the Petition - He did not sign the Petition - His father / whose name appears he says, is blind and deaf

(and)



and cannot write, and is 95 years of age. He has no complaint whatever to make.

№ 15
Hagalos Chor K Ray Naga pah - 17th July } 26. He signed the Petition without Knowing any thing about it, and has no complaint to make.

№ 16.
Gopan. Ramanvah
17th July } 27. Signed the Petition because he was told to do so - has no complaint to make and Knows nothing about the Petition. -

№ 17
Seelavanta Carbasa
-pah 17th July } 28. Signed the Petition because of the irregularities and injustices that are perpetrated - He accuses the Revenue servants generally of taking bribes from complainants - but refuses to specify any instances or what servants because the Revenue servants will bribe the ryots to deny having given bribes.

29 The foregoing 17 Persons were unexpectedly summoned

on

on the 17th Instant to give their state-
ments respecting this Petition, into
which the Government have directed
me to make a full enquiry whether
they expected or not to be called
upon by me to enter into an explan-
ation regarding that Petition. I
know not. but it seemed to me very
desirable to enable the Government
to obtain a correct view of the matters
alleged, to ascertain personally from
the parties who signed the Petition
before they had any time to concoct
a preconcerted reply, the real
nature of the complaint they had
to make.

30. I must now proceed
to the Statements given by the other parties
whose names are attached to the Petition
and who of course being apprized of what
was going on would come prepared with the
reply they intended to give to the questions put
them after having advised with the
members



members of their party.

No 18

Santa Basapah
18th July

31. This man's name is the second in the Petition. He was not at hand on the 17th. He is brother of that Cowtal Goorroosanta-pah whose name has been prominently alluded to in this report. I mentioned that Cowtal Goorroosantapah did not sign the Petition himself as he was absent at Rumpee but that his brother the present deponent signed it for him. This is admitted by Santa Basapah.

Santa Basapah States that he signed the Petition because the Circar Servants are closely related and take bribes. He has no grievance to complain of himself, and has no complaint to make against any one. But he signed the Petition because Chinta-coonta Chellamiah, and Sacary Caradapah had the Petition written, and told him to put his signature to it, and that they would be answerable.

For

for proving what was written and for all consequences. This is the sum of this mans depositions.

32 I will leave the Board to pronounce their opinion upon the Statements of the two principal men whose names head the Petition and upon the depositions of the other two who by universal consent are the acting movers in the matter Chintacoonta Chellam and Sacarry Caradapah.

No 19 Coorting Bheemasai Sacharry 19th July } 33. This and the following Deponent are relations Ancharry Brahmins. The deponent shows how he came to sign the Petition. He went one day to Govroosantapahs shop where were collected Santa Bacapah, Gadegy Ragaven Rapah and some 20 others was told by the two named that the Circar people were ruining the people, and that they were writing to Government for justice, and told him to sign the Petition if he had suffered loss



loss. Having suffered loss he signed it. Being questioned as to the injuries he had sustained he said he would state them when the Commissioner comes. Being directed to state them now, he replied, that he had suffered loss in consequence of his property having been seized in execution of a decree for maintenance adjudged to his brothers wife who had brought a suit in Court against her husband.

This appearing entirely a civil Court case he was asked in what manner the Revenue Servants had committed injustice and replied they had assisted in the above alleged injustice but how they assisted he refuses to state until the Commissioner comes. He will neither give the names of the Revenue Servants he complains of, nor explain what injustice is done by them. He professes not to know what is stated in the Petition but Govroosantapah

Goorroosantapah said at the time he had suffered losses to the amount of 10 or 20,000 Rupees

No 20
Kistnacharry
19th July

34. A near relative of the previous deponent. His reason for signing the Petition is that he was an Commadhar for 5 or 6 years and got no permanent Employment & which was given to the relatives of the employer. He has charges against the Revenue Servants but refuses to state them. Does not

J.G.



not know the contents of the Petition which was got up by Goorroosantapah Karabasapah and some others. His only grievance seems to be that he is a man without food and without employment.

35 Respecting these two foregoing Deponents / Bheemasaina charry and Kistna charry / I would here observe that through the influence of Kistnacharry with the Tahsildar a false accusation was brought against the wife of Narsimcharry whom the Court had compelled to maintain his wife and the case was taken up and tried by the Assistant Magistrate and the accused party protected. The intimacy of this man with the Tahsildar Bheemarow and the party countenanced by him is sufficient grounds for his signing the Petition to redress his assumed grievances.

No 21
Kupgul Hereparvana Gowd
Hiwon Bussaia Gowd ...
19th July ...

36. Here Purvana Gowd's name appearing in the Petition he was sent for, but his son Basavum Gowd came and states his father is 95 years of age almost blind, deaf and unable

unable to walk, was taken to Bellary for medical advice and when there signed the Petition.

No. 22
Mullana Gowd of Moka 19th July ... } 37 This man a near relative of Sacari Haradapah has a number of grievances to complain of, among them injustice in being turned out of office since he signed the Petition. He will not name any of his grievances that redress may be at once given, but will wait until the Commissioner comes

This man was removed from Office for great neglect in certain Channel repairs by which great loss to Government and to the people was incurred as the season for cultivation was lost. I was not aware his name was in the Petition when I myself directed his removal from Office, which was at the Summabundy in May last.

No. 23
Cupgal Veerapana Gowd 19th July ... } 38 Will tell nothing till the Commissioner comes when he will tell his grievance

No. 24
Moka Haribavana Gowd 20th July ... } 39 A Reddy Meerasidah not in Office signed the Petition because others did. Will state his grievances before the Government Gentleman when he comes



comes, but not to us. Dont know what is in the Petition, Goorroosantapah and Haradapah know.

No. 25
Parady Gowd 21st July { 40 Signed the Petition because he was oppressed by the Talook Cutcherry and Court servants. Has no complaint to make against the Hoozoor Cutcherry servants. Refuses to state the grievances he endures from the Talook servants until a Commissioner comes.

No. 26
Movellee Muddapah 20th July { 41 Will tell nothing till the Commissioner comes & Refuses to give any reply

No. 27
Yapareddy Danapah 22d July ... { 42 till the gentleman from Madras comes.

No. 28
yemeganoor Hulsapah Chennapah ... 24 July { 43 This man states that some 2 or 3 Months ago he Came to Bellary, saw some of the Petitioners whose names he gives at some place, forgets where, signing a paper, asked what it was and was informed that the Vakeels were taking bribes and ruining them and they were therefore going to petition Government, and so he signed it as he had a Complaint to make. He will not state what his complaint

complaint is and on being asked why refuses to reply- He has complaints against the Revenue servants for bribery, but refuses to give names pr instances.

No. 29
Tijagarai Modeliar 44
24th July - - } Denies the signature as his did not sign the Petition- has no complaint to make.

No. 30
Raravi Timmana Gowd 45
25 July - - - } He states that Gooroo santapah, Seelavunta Karibasa pah told him they were going to write to Government about the Court and Cutcherry servants and asked him to sign the Petition which he did as he had been unjustly treated. Complains of injustice committed by the Cutcherry and Talooks servants, but refuses to mention names now. He has no complaint against the Khoz oor servants.

46 I have now given an abstract of the Statements of all the Petitioners who I have been able to find out. It is admitted by the writer of the Petition Chintacoontah Chellaniah that he does not know who all the persons represented by many (25) of the signatures. The Petition bears the names of 50 persons- I have succeeded in obtaining 30, of these 25 are not known to the chief agent



agent in the matter and therefore I may conclude I have procured at least from or all the real promoters of the Petition or an explanation in person of the matters they have to complain of.

47 The grievances such as they are seem to refer chiefly to the Court servants- It was with the Court servants, as I before stated, these complaints had their origin.-

48 Whatever may be the irregularities committed by the Revenue servants in the District, I think it will admitted that the party of Bellary town and its neighbourhood who have signed the Petition is not the one to bring these abuses to light- Private pique and determination to avenge themselves upon every one whom they can involve as the friends of those Court servants (with whom they have been warring appears to me the sole ground of the present complaint made to Government of alleged injustices committed by the Revenue officers. They have not ventured before me though earnestly urged to do so to state one act of any one of my servants into which

I

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

I might make a fair enquiry

4th Insulting as their Department is to me in refusing to state their grievance to me, I consider their conduct to be still more insulting to the Government. They have petitioned the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to redress their wrongs. The Collector has been directed to enquire and fully report on the grievances alleged by the Petitioners, and when he calls upon them severally to state for report to Government what they have to complain of they bring forward nothing, really nothing - And many of these are leading merchants of Bellary, men who claim the position of highly respectable inhabitants of the Town

5th The Petitioners ask for a Commissioner to enquire into and redress the wrongs committed, and could I believe the Petitioners sincere in their pretended desire to redress the wrongs of the people or could I trust them with the duty of honestly representing

TDE



Fort St. George 4th September 1854

Representing those alleged wrongs, and did I feel that the Collector was unable to do justice to the inhabitants & did to be oppressed I would myself recommend to the Board that measures should be taken for giving redress. But when I see that these pretended redresses of the grievances of the nation are but a clique Combined together with no other object than under the cloak of redressing the people's wrongs, to gratify private Revenge by seeking to ruin the Reputation of one of the most Respected of the Government Servants, and when I find after all that they have no grievance themselves to Complain of, I cannot but think their Petition will meet with the notice which it seems to me to deserve from the Government, who will not allow the Characters of their Servants to be traduced by such men.

6th Two Statements of Relationships of the Head and two other of the Hoozoor Sheristadars have been sent to Government, being documents said to have been drawn up by

Fort St George 4th September 1854

liz Chuntacoonta Chellamah (and as the Board will remember for the private information of the Collector). The absurd Connections of many of these so called Relations is obvious. I beg to Return the Statement which Contains some abusive reflections on the Head Sheristadar with my Remarks attached. The Report on the Relations ships of the public Servants will be sent in due Course, but for immediate reference I beg to send that of the Hoozoor Sheristadar without Comment here.

See enclosure No. 1

See Enclosure No. 2.

52 I will now proceed specially to report (as directed) "on the allegations at the Conclusion of Para No. 2 touching "the asserted depreciation of the assessment "of Certain Lands and of the Akkarry Rent "of the Goolcum Talook."

53 I will take the Akkarry Rent first, and I need only to point to the enclosed Statement to shew how utterly false and

* See enclosure No. 3

Calumnious



Fort St George 4th September 1854

Calumnious is the allegation that the Head Sheristadar is chargeable with an irregularity Regarding the Akkarry. The accuser says "a "person named Hanoomuntahapah the Akkarry "Contractor of the Goollum Talook, who is the "nearest Relative to him) the Head Sheristadar) is annually allowed to Contract the "same at lower terms, reserving an income "of 4,000 Rs, while there were several Competitors who could enhance the offer more "than he gives. This indulgence is chiefly "attributable to the Head Sheristadar being "his Relative."

54 The Hanoomuntahapah is a Cumum of ariberra in the Talook of Goolum. The Relationship stated to exist between him and the Head Sheristadar is that the Nephew (Son of his brother) of Neelcantaraw married 4 Years ago the daughter of Hanoomuntahapah. For many years Hanoomuntahapah has been Concerned in the Rent of this Talook. In Fusly 1246 his name as Renter appears in the Collectors books. That is

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

is five years before Neelacuntarow the present Head sheristadar came to the District, and he has rented the Farm during the administrations of Messrs. Robertson, Brumley, Mercy, Mellor and myself for a period of 18 years. The Rents have always been put up in open Cutcherry in presence of the Collector to public Competition, and there have been occasionally stout competition for the Farm. But to shew how unfounded is the charge, I beg to direct attention to the annual Rent of this Taluk during the different administrations of the Collectors (See the List) For the first period

Mr. Collector Campbell — it was 6,616 Rupees
Mr. Robertson — the second 6,032 Rupees
Mr. Montgomery — the third 6,000 Rupees
Mr. Mellor — the fourth 8,875 Rupees
Mr. Pelly — the fifth 9,710 Rupees

and it will be further seen that since Neelacuntarow came to the District as Head



Fort St. George 4th September 1854

Head sheristadar (i e from fusly 1251) the Rent has increased and has continued much higher than it ever was before. The average of the last 14 years during Neelacuntarow's time being 50 per cent above the average of the proceeding 19 years, and yet we are told that owing to the partiality of the Head sheristadar Government have been defrauded again during the present year 1264 fusly) a Competitor has out bid the late Renter and at a sum of Rupees 475 below the sum given last year. I have no doubt but that the late Renter bid against his Competitor so high as he did only with a view to cover his losses of last year, for as it appears (see para 14 of this Report) the new Renter is unable to settle his Rent to with the out Renters. I trust this instance prominently sets forth the alleged Competition in the part of Neelacuntarow will satisfy the Board and Government as to the

Catacum Vencaya
Sacrey Haradapah

the

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

the motives which prompt his accusers, and the dependance to be placed on their assertions

55 As respects the allegations of lowering the assessment to favour his Connections it is asserted by the Petitioners that "the lands under the Kalhurry Tanks "in the Adonie Taluck which have been "brought under cultivation, pay lower as- "sessment of five fanams per acre in lieu "in lieu of 3 Pagodas which is a general "standard rate of the District." In Fasly 1262 the Curnum of the Village, Bhamadroog and the Goomastah of Teramudroog, the Tahsildar of another Taluck and son-in-law of Neelacumtarow, went to some expense in Constructing a dam to save the waste water, which after passing over the Paddy fields was lost in a Nullah. By this water they brought 3 Acres of Dry lands under Cultivation, and Requested they might have it at the rate of 5 fanams. The Head Sheristadar who made the Settlement of that year, as appears from the Records, brought the case specially to my notice, the whole matter was fully discussed, and it appearing that they had been at some Cost and that the water was the Poolsoo or waste water, a rate not of five fanams as the Petitioners assert, but of 11 fanams was allowed and an order passed by myself, on the subject of this



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

This was a fair rate under the circumstances and double what the Curnums had hoped to have got.

56. It is as the Petitioners (or rather the party who obtained this information for them) know, a false representation to say that the general standard rate is 3 Pagodas (i.e. 30 fanams) per Acre. This standard is not general, and under a variety of circumstances is frequently departed from. It will be sufficient to state that there are no less than 4,683 Acres of Land which have been converted into wet bearing an assessment less than even 12 fanams in the Bellary District.

| | Acres |
|---|---|
| Tank | 1016. |
| Nalah | 1068 |
| Well | 2599. |
| Acres | 4683. |

57. Again the Petitioners write. "The "similar rate" (5 fanams)" is also allowed to "Hurreherra lands, a village in the Gooleum "Taluck which belongs to Summarow the son "in-law of the Head Sheristadar". The Curnum of this Village is the father of the said Summarow, Neelacumtarow's daughter was given in Marriage to Summarow - but she is dead.

Respecting

58. Respecting this land said to be too lowly assessed, I perceive no particular orders were given. In fusly 1256 when Royapah the then Deputy Sheristadar made the Settlement 2½ Acres were first brought under wet Cultivation by the Curnum and assessed at 11 fanams Nefusl which would be 16½ fanams for two Crops. In fusly 1260, about 3 more Acres were reclaimed by the Curnum Narsingapah which was assessed at the same rate. It also appears that he had 1½ Acre more assessed at 30 fanams and 1¼ Acre at 6 fanams. There little doubt that the various rates were imposed at the time according to circumstances, but I can make further enquiries if necessary into the subject. The Iyen Survey Rate of Wet land in the Curnums possession is only 13 fanams, of which he has only 1¼ Acre. There are 6 or 7 acres of Dry land converted into wet cultivated by Ryots which are assessed at 11 fanams and under. The whole Cultivation under the Tank does not exceed 57 Acres assessed at 128 Pagodas.

Though



59. Though not directed specially to report on the acquisition of the Curneekum Meerasy of Rampoor and other Villages in the Adonie Talook by the Head Sheristadar, which the writer of the Petition insinuates have been usurped from the rightful claimants through the influence of the Head Sheristadar, I think it nevertheless right specially to notice in order to refute this false accusation. The facts of the case are as follows:-

When I came as Collector to the District in fusly 1258, a petition was presented to me by two aged women, the possessors of the Curneekum of the Villages, requesting that as they had no heirs they might be allowed to make over the Meerasy to Amarow the son of Neelcuntarow their relative, and who had from infancy been brought up by one of them after the death of his own Mother when he was only a week old. This was in fusly 1258 (January 1849.) I made enquiries on the spot and openly and was the more particular in doing so because the man in whose favor

the

the request was made, was the son of Neelacunta row. I heard of no other claimant and I saw no objection of granting the request. But refused at the time to pass any orders. that time co might be given for any party to apply, and it co was not until I had been two years in the co District that a final order was passed- co

+ See Enclosure No. 4.

A + Copy and translation of that order I co now beg to enclose for the Board's inspection. The Petitioners have not brought forward co any proper claimant for this Meerasey. But have by a cunning insinuation endeavoured to lead the Government to "suppose there may be proper claimant" whose claims have not co been attended to - Should there be any who from fear of the Head Heristadar have been co restrained from presenting their claim, they co richly deserve to lose their cause- for ample time has been given for them to come forward- It was proposed by the Head Heristadar himself that the case should be reported for the Board's orders

and



+ Nos 5 and 6. It is requested these may be co returned-

and I find there is still in existence the + draft of the letter drawn up in the Office, and I beg to send it together with a note in my own writing in co January 1849- and again in September 1850 in a Memorandum respecting this Meerasey I did co not consider it necessary to refer the matter to the Board as it was one I was quite competent to dispose of myself. Had there been any co party dissatisfied, it is not probable that for six years no petition should have been sent to the Board.-

60- I have now at great length and at a sacrifice of much time that I can ill spare, co given I trust, a full report on the Petition of Goorooswantapah and others which I trust will be satisfactory to the Board and the Right Honble the Governor in Council; as clearly shewing the Motives that have actuated the party in making Charges of so general a nature against the public co servants of my Establishment unsupported by any evidence, as setting forth the incompetency of these

men

men to act as the disinterested redressers of the wrongs of the people; and as pointing out that individually not one of the party who have signed the Petition has any real grievance to complain connected with the Collectors Establishment. And should this view of the case be taken by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, I trust that such orders may be given as will prevent so much of my time being in future occupied in investigating vague and groundless accusations against my public servants.

61. I have seen another Petition which was lately sent by the same party, by express, to Government, but it has not been sent to me Officially, and I am not therefore called upon to notice it, though it reflects much upon the principal public servants of the District, and perhaps the Government may be awaiting this my reply before any further notice as respects the Collectorate is taken of that petition, drawn up in extravagant terms to represent the oppression and grievances these men are undergoing.—

I



62. I have the honor to return the Petition with the enclosures 1. 2. and 4 as which accompanied it, and

I have &c.

Enclosure No 7.

Bellary Collectors Office,
26th July 1854

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

No 1.

Petition of Catacum Venkiah Contractor of the Akharry Farm of the Talook of Goollem, dated 19th July 1854.—

One Arakara Hanoomuntapah, as who had from a long time been the Contractor of the Akharry Farm of the Goollem Talook, having friends in the Hoozoor was assisted by the Talook and Village authorities and enabled to derive as much profit from the Farm, so that no one would enter into competition with him, but he having this year made a very low offer, I made a bid against him, and he not willing to let it pass, raised the amount to 9,000 Rupees, from which I concluded that the profits must be handsome, and made a bid of 25 Rupees higher, and obtained the contract. I was afterwards asked by some of the relatives of the said Hanumuntapah through

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

through the medium of some respectable persons, give up the Farm for the amount of the previous year, Viz. 9500 Rupees, and as I declined to comply the said Hanoomantapah, having interest, has through malice incited the Talook and Village authorities and also the abkarry Sub Contractors, and notwithstanding all my endeavours to make arrangements for working the Farm, has prevented my effecting it, though the 12th of July has escpired - And tho' it is the practice of the under contractors to retain and work the parts of the Farm under their charge, for a Month after the period of the former years contract escpires, I am informed that thro' fear of the said Hanumantapah and one Rolalagoanny Bufsnenagandoo they have ceased to distil liquor and have shut up their shops - Therefore request that strict orders may be issued to the Talook to cause the Sub Contractors to carry on the work for one Month, and to cause them to remit the amount for that time according to their stipulation for last year - As has





Fort St. George 4th September 1854

has been usual for Toddy to be carried from the Village of Goontacall in the Gooty Talook to some of the Villages of the Goolum Talook, but I understand that one Singacharry who has this year taken the Contract of the Gooty Talook Farm, in consequence of his having all along held the Goolliem Talook Farm in partnership with the said Hunmuntapah, has medistated preventing Toddy to be taken to the Goolliem Talook - I request therefore that a strict Tackeed may be sent to the Gooty Talook, so that no such prevention may be made - and also request that the Taksildar of Goolliem may be directed to assist me in making my arrangements regarding the Farm, which I may do either by inviting Toddy people from other Villages, or getting the same people of the Village to undertake the work, or if it should be under Amanut.

Translation

/ Signed / A. Pelly.
Collector.

Extract

11632

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

No. 2. Extract from List of Persons employed in the Bellary District shewing the Number of relations of each employed in the same District as they stood in July 1854 according to the Moyenzabitah.—

| Name and Office of Superintendent of Service. Serial Number. | Their relations employed in the public service. Name | Situation in which employed | How related to the Principal Person in Col. 1 | Total period of Service under Government. Years | Months | Monthly Salary | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 5 | | 6 |
| | 1 Anna Row | Hoozoor Moonshee | Eldest son | 4 | 8 | 55 | This is only an |
| | 2 Appoo Row | " Goomastah | 2nd Do. | 2 | 9 | 15 | Extract to shew |
| | 3 Tarooma Row | Tahsildar of Punchapallem Taluk | Son in law | 22 | 11 | 110 | the relationships of the Hoozoor Principal |
| | 4 Rheema Row | Sheristadar of Kumply Do. | Sisters Son | 16 | 10 | 35 | servants. The |
| | 5 Summa Row alias Nursingya Row | Hoozoor Goomastah | Late Daughters husband | 12 | 7 | 18 | complete list |
| Raw 2nd Tahsildar | 1 Sreenivas Row | Sheristadar of Rapdroog | Brother | 16 | " | 40 | will be sent to the Board with a report in due course |
| | 2 Sreenevasa Row | Do. of Punchahallem | Son | 11 | 2 | 35 | sent |

Note.

The relationships in the above list are confined to the following degrees, Viz., Sons, Brothers, Fathers, Uncles on Father and Mothers side, Sons in law (ie Daughters husbands) Brothers in law (ie wife's Brothers, and also Sisters husband) & Nephews (ie Sons of Brothers and Sisters.)

Bellary Collectors Office, 26th July 1854.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 1809 | Lumboo Cavapan | | | | 62 50 | " |
| 7 | 1240 | | Do. | | | 62 50 | " |

/Signed/ C. Pelly.
Collector.

Enclosure No. 4.

Translation of a Takeed issued to the Tahsildar of Adonie on the 14th October of Fusly 1260 No. 110

On a consideration of the Urzee No. 167, of Fusly 1258, submitted by the late Tahsildar Raghavenderrow and its enclosures relative to the document executed by Arlamah and Kristamah the Curnum Meerassidars of Rampoor and other Villages, to the effect that in consequence of their having no issue, the Hoozoor Moonshy Goondrainda Anna Row was heir to their Merassee, it appears 1st That the said Aralamah and her husband's brother's wife Kristamah have deposed to the fact of their having executed a document, constituting Aralamah's sisters son, the said Anna Row heir to their Curnum Meeras in consequence of their having no issue nor Cousins, and that it was the duty of the said Annah Row to support them during their life time and after their demise to perform their funeral rites.—

2nd That Curnum Dhasapah, a second party in the Curneekum Meerassee of the Village of Rampoor, has deposed to the effect that he holds a half share of the Meerassee and that the other half belonged to the two females in question that they the two females having had no issue executed a document making over their half share of the Meerassee and all the whole Meerassee they possessed in other small Villages to



to the said Annah Row that he attested the said document and that he had no objection to that share of the Meerassee being continued to the said Annah Row and

That Potail Ramareddy and 10 others of the Village servants have deposed to the above effect and also that they attested the document in question.—

The said Annah Row has in a Statement given by him deposed that his near relatives Arlamah and Kristamah in consideration of their having no issue and of his having been brought up by the said Arlamah from his infancy granted to him their meerassee consisting of a half of the Curnum meerassee of the Village of Rampoor and the whole of that of Dhibbanadody Kuggaloo and Shro= =trium Narranah poorum and executed a document to that effect on unstamped paper on the 9th of Jaishta Shoodda

shooddu of the year keelaka corresponding with 22nd June 1848 and prayed that the Meerassee might be transferred to him and subsequently produced a document on stampt Paper purporting to be executed by the said females to the above effect on the 30th December of the same year.—

Parties concerned in the matter

2 Persons Arlamah and Kristamah who executed the document

2 Persons of the other moiety or party Viz.

1 Dasapah

1 Yenkamah wife of Dasapah's brother

8 Village Servants of Rampoor Viz.

1 Patreddy - Ptail

1 Themmareddy Do.

1 Chenabuttiah - Muttapully

1 Iyagadoo — Taliary

1 Ramdoo — Barkee

1 Shenkaralutchmah

1 Marry buttapah

1 Kumpiah } Ryots

8

3 Village Servants of Rampoor and other Villages &c.

1 Buttwah - Carpenter

1 Thittpayah - Washerman



1 Iyapah — Barber

3

1 Nursingarow Shrotriemdar of Naramapooram

16

These individuals have deposed to the same effect as in the Talook and the Curnums of the 2nd party have stated further that they belong to the tribe of Athria and that Arlamah and Kristamah to the tribe of Sreevatsa and that besides holding shares in the Meerassee of the Village, there is no connection between them and in the deed of gift executed on stampt Paper by Arlamah and Kristamah on the 5th of Poshia shooda of the year Keelakah of Salivanah 1770 corresponding with 30th December 1848 it is as follows — "We being old and having no issue having brought you up from your infancy, you being the son of our sister and of the same lineage, and we having no other heirs grant to you our Curnum Meerassee being that of the Royadroog Maity as follows.—

Pags Fs. As.

Half share of Cusbah Rampoor. 77-5-9

| | Extent Nos. | Acres | G | A | Assessment Pags | Fs | As |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Viz. | | | | | | | |
| Bayayet | 4 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| Wet | 12 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 69 | 9 | 5 |
| | 16 | 14 | 23 | 15 | 77 | 5 | 9 |

the Jodee on the above is Pags — 67 7 8

The whole Meerassee of Dhibbanaddody

| | Nos. | Acres | G | A | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry | 3 | 57 | 9 | 2 | | | 9-5-4 |

The whole Meerassee of Ruggaloo

| | Nos. | Acres | G | A | Pags | Fs | As |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Bayayet | 4 | 49 | 31 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 11 |
| Dry | 5 | 51 | 2 | 2 | | | 13-7-5 |

The whole Meerassee of Shrotrium Narranahpooram

| | Acres | G | A | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 10 | 92 | 28 | 3 | 22-5-11½ |
| Dry | | | | Total | 123-4-1½ |

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

The Jodee payable thereon is Pagt by-7-9 these Enams and the houses &c. we grant to you in gift which you and your posterity are to enjoy hereditarily" and it appears that the mother of the said Anna row having died when he was but 5 days old, the said Arodamah took and brought him up - no opposition has been raised to the Meerassee going to Annah Row and I see no objection to granting the request of Annah Row and of the two widows in question, the transfer may therefore be made - I have affixed my signature to the documents in question and returned it to Annah Row and I have given orders in accordance with the Board's order of the 28th August 1839 Section II for Annah Row to take possession of the Meerassee and pay the Jodee thereon, and also to perform the Village service the said Annah Row and the females are to be made acquainted with this and after the Meerassee is made over to Annah Row report is to be made of the same to me when orders will be passed for Annah Row's admission as Carnam of those Villages. —

Translation.

/Signed/ C. Pelly.
Collector.

A.D.C.



Fort St. George 4th September 1854

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the following letter -

No. 402

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir;

I am directed to forward the full report from the Collector of Bellary called for by Extract Minutes of Consultation 19th May 1854 upon the Petition of Garasantapah and others against the Head Sheristadar and other public servants of that District -

2 On those points in which the allegations of Petitioners were capable of being distinctly met the explanation of the Collector is in the opinion of the Board, quite satisfactory and results in the clear exculpation of the parties accused -

3 The character of the Head Sheristadar, an old and distinguished servant of the Government, has ever stood high, remains in no way affected by the accusations now reported upon.

Being

10-11

3rd Sept 1854
To the Chief Secretary to Government —

Forwarding the full report from the Collector of Bellary called for in Extract Minutes Cons. 19th May 1854 upon a Petition against the Head Sheristadar and other public servants of that District

26th July 1854 Mr. Cons. 4th Sept.

Dr. Cons. 19th June 1854

Fort St. George 4th September 1857.

4. Being a native of the District and holding an hereditary office within it - it is not surprising that he should have relatives among the Village Servants -

5. The Board refrain from entering upon the subject of his Connections with other public Servants of the Cutcherry or of the Relationship with each other of the Officials in general, as they will recur to this question in passing orders upon the detailed Report promised by the Collector in Para 51 - They observe that the Caste of Mahratta Brahmin appears to prevail both among the Hoozoor and Taloor public Servants, and that it will probably be necessary to adopt measures in the future distribution of patronage to check the undue advancement of this class

In



Fort St. George 4th September 1857.

6. In Paras 5 and 6 the Collector brings to notice the existence of much party spirit, which, if not checked from proceeding further, must prove very embarrassing. It is stated that the Servants of both the Judicial and Revenue Departments are involved in the feuds brought to notice. In regard to the latter, Mr. Pelly instances especially Bheema Row Tahsildar of Bellary who "if Common Report, the Collector observes, "is supported by some circumstances can be credited, has given his assistance in getting up the Petitions which have been lately sent to Government," such conduct, the Board are of opinion, cannot be passed over without marked disapprobation. Bheema Rowb length of Service as Tahsildar in the Bellary District is about 8½ years - His total period of service extends to rather more than 13 years - As it is very desirable that this individual should be removed from

a

station where his influence has been exercised in so mischievous a manner, and that his misconduct should be further visited by deprivation of office for a limited period, the Board are of opinion that he should be put out of employ for the present, and on a suitable opportunity after the expiration of three months, be re-appointed to Tahsildar in some other Taluk, at a distance from his present sphere of influence, any Repetition of the intriguing spirit which he has evinced will of course be noticed by dismissal from the service.

7 The sale of the office of Curnum, it is observed, is frequent in Bellary. The Board would point out that under Regulation VII of 1831, the office and its emoluments cannot be separated. The person appointed to discharge its duties must be duly qualified and reside in the village.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 4th September 1854

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary



(12)

No. 76

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

P. No. 2553

From the Collector of North Arcot } December 17th 1845

Recommends for the favorable consideration of the Board the Claim of Mazuffur Beg son of Soobadar Shaik Ally Beg for compensation for the time that elapsed after the grant of land on Enam to their family was authorized before he actually obtained possession.

In a Despatch dated 17th 1845, the Honorable Court of Directors authorized the grant of a Shrotriem to Soobadar Major Sheik Ally Beg Sahib Bahadoor for three lives and on the 17th February 1846, the Government directed that 60 Cawnies of land on Shrotriem tenure should be assigned to him. much delay took place in finally giving effect to this order by fixing on the land to be thus granted which appears to have been partly due to the Soobadar Major himself, and partly to have been owing to Causes beyond his Control. The history of the Case will be found in the Correspondence specified in the margin. It appears that the grantee did not signify to the Board of Revenue that he had selected land till the beginning of 1848, and the Collector of North Arcot was then directed to

See Extract Proceedings of Board February 7th 1848.
To Board 9th May 1848
From Do. 25th Do. —"
To Do. 3rd August 1848
From Do. 21st Do. —"
To Do. 25th November 1853
From Do. 15th December —"

Fort St George 4th September 1854

to report respecting the land specified in which was in Goodimalore of this District.

2 Difficulties arose respecting both this land and some other afterwards selected in other Villages, and it was not till 1853 that 60 Cawnies were finally determined on to be held, not on shrotrium tenure, but on simple Enam tenure. But the Sowlander Major himself being then deceased, it was ordered that 40 Cawnies only should be taken carrying out in this manner the provision that the value of the grant should be diminished by one third in the second life. In December 1853 the 40 Cawnies were accordingly made over to Mozuffer Beg, son and heir of sheer ally Beg, being in effect from the Commencement of Fusly 1263, as no Collections for that Fusly had been made.

3 Mozuffer Beg has now petitioned that Compensation may be given him for the long time that elapsed after the grant of land was authorized, before he actually obtained possession being about six years and a half. I have already said that the delay



Fort St George 4th September 1854

delay appears to have been partly owing to the indifference or procrastination of the grantee himself. But even so far as it was so, the Circumstances were such as to entitle him, I think, to some Consideration. He was a man far advanced in years; all his life had been spent in Military service, and he Could not be supposed to have much acquaintance with business; he was Resident at Madras, and in the absence of Causes to direct his Choice, he would naturally be bewildered when setting about the selection of Sixty Cawnies of land out of the whole of the Presidency of Madras.

4 For the foregoing Reasons, the Petitioner's Claim appears to me to have much justice in it, and I beg to Commend it to the favorable Regards of the Board. It will be for their Consideration, and that of the Government whether Compensation shall be given for the whole of the intermediate time, or only for a portion of it, and if

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

if so far, what portion. I will only add, to aid in fixing the amount of Compensation to be awarded, that the assessment of the sixty Cawnies finally selected was Rs 1,465-1-3, and that that of the forty Cawnies (or strictly speaking 39-15 3/4 as) now held by the Petitioner is Rs 1,001-15-9. The former sum may be taken to represent the annual value of the Enam up to the life time of the first grantee, and the latter its value after his death.

5 Petitioner prefers a second Request, Viz., that a summud may be issued to him for the Enam, specifying its extent and tenure. His Request also seems to me reasonable, and I beg to recommend that a summud, or a Certificate, or a document in some form, may be given to him to secure his title, and preserve him from interference or molestation.

North Arcot —
Collector's Cutcherry —
Chittoor 20th July 1854

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Received



Fort St. George 4th September 1854

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the following letter —

No. 404

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(12-13)

Bd. No. 1878

To the Chief Secretary to Government —

Recommending the payment of compensation to Moozuffer Beg son of the late subadar Sheer ally Beg on account of the time that elapsed between the date of the sanction of an Enam to his late father and that of his obtaining possession of it, and recommending also the issue of a summud to him for the extent and tenure of the Enam. —

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot, recommending a claim for Compensation preferred by Moozuffer Beg, son of the late Subadar Sheer ally Beg, on account of the time that elapsed between the date of the sanction of an Enam to his late father, and that of his obtaining possession of the same —

2 In their Despatch dated 17th December 1845, the Honorable Court sanctioned the grant of a Shrotriem to Subadar Major Sheer ally Beg, for three lives, and by Extract Minutes Consultation dated 17th February 1846, in the Military Department,

From Government 3d March in Cons 2d April 1846

Do. Do.

Fort St. George 4th September 1852

Department, the extent of it was fixed at 60 Cawnies and the Subadar Major was directed to select the land.

Petition No. 1043 of 1846

3 Accordingly on the 21st July 1846, the Subadar Major presented a Memorial to the Board, in which he stated that he had selected 60 Cawnies in the Village of Vagamungalum as a Shrotrium, and begged that he might be allowed to hold the entire Village, on Bilmuctah tenure. The Report of the Collector of Chingleput on this Case, was not made till the 4th November 1846, owing to certain objections raised by the Inhabitants against the grant, and the final orders of Government directing the Subadar to make another selection were passed on the 27th January 1847.

Let Cans 11th February 1847

4 No further steps appear to have been taken by the Subadar till October 1847, when he named two other Villages in Chingleput and North Arcot, but the Inhabitants of those and other Villages were averse to his holding any Shrotrium in them.

From North Arcot 9th May 1848 in Cans 25th Do

5 The Subadar died about May 1848, but his son finally selected in 1853 a spot of land in the Villages of Cannery Pauk and Condaiyoorum, which was placed in his possession, restricting the extent to

Board's Proceedings 15th December 1853



Fort St. George 4th September 1852

to 40 Cawnies to the 2d life in accordance with the terms of the original grant.

6 This individual has now petitioned that a Compensation may be given him for the delay that has occurred after the grant was authorized, before he actually obtained possession. Considering that by this delay, the Family has lost the benefit of the larger Enam allowed to the first life, the Board are disposed to recommend the above prayer for the favorable Consideration of Government.

7 The sum asked for in Compensation may be calculated as follows

Assessment of 60 Cawnies for 2 years previous to original grantee's death, or from July 1846 when his first selection was made ——— 2,930-2-6

Do of 40 Cawnies to second life from death of original grantee to the delivery of the Enam to him or for 5 years ——— 5,009-14-9

Total Rupees 7,940-1-3

But as the original grantee was somewhat to blame for not continuing with activity his exertions to find a suitable piece of land,

and

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

and taking into Consideration also that the whole assessment might not have been realized yearly and that he might have been obliged to sublet it for a reduced Teerwah, the Board think that a moiety of the preceding amount, Viz. Rupees 3970-8-1 would be reasonable Compensation, and accordingly beg to recommend the same for the sanction of Government.

8 He late Sockalar's son, Mozaffer Beg, requests also that a Summons may be issued to him for the Enam, detailing its extent and tenure; as the Collector seems to consider this necessary to secure his title, and preserve him from interference or molestation, the Board would recommend that the Request may be complied with by a document under the Signature of the Collector might be sufficient.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

} (Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

EDS.



Fort St. George 4th September 1854

No. 70

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(14)

Bd. No. 2180.

From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to Para 2 of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated June 1st 1854 respecting the misappropriation of the funds left by the late B. Sunkara Sastry for the support of a Chuttrum, I have the honor to transmit for the Boards consideration and orders a letter dated 11th Instant from the Honorable Company's Solicitor enclosing the opinion of the Advocate General on the case.-

North Arcot,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, 14th July, 1854.

} (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Encl.
No. 95

To, J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I do myself the honor of acknowledging

Letter from Collector Nilgiri dated
to H. C. Sol.r 6.th July 1854. No 172.

Fort St.e George 4.th September 1854

acknowledging the receipt of your letter to my address noted in the margin, and of informing you, that I submitted the same and also your letter to my address under Date the 14.th June 1854 No.e 157, with the several papers which accompanied them to Mr. Advocate General, a Copy of whose opinion thereon, I have the honor to annex.-

Madras
11.th July 1854

/Signed/ Clem.t Dale
Hon.ble Co.s Solicitor

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

The necessary application to the Court will involve some costs in the first instance, and will therefore require the previous sanction of Government which however would probably not be withheld.-

If the Suit be not abated the application to the Courts as regards the payment of future interest might be made upon Petition Verified by a affidavit



Fort St.e George 4.th September 1854.

affidavit, setting forth the facts, and the non-application or misapplication of the Trust Funds

As regards the past mis-application, it seems to me very inexpedient to pass it over in silence. Meenatchee ummall should be compelled to refund. The refunds to include the Rupees 330 which she pretends to have expended on the Choultry but which it seems she has not really so expended - the Rupees 138-6-3 charged for travelling expences - and the Rupees 736-14-1 costs of the money order and of the Grant of Letters of Administration which ought I conceive to fall on, not the Trust, but the General Estate.-

For this purpose there must be a Bill or Information, and if the former Suit be abated the application as regards future interest should be included in the Prayer.-

The Will the order of the Court dated

dated 25th June 1852, and the facts stated in Mr. Bourdillon's Letter to the Board of Revenue 20th February 1854 seem conclusive as to the purpose to which the Funds ought to have been applied and as to Meenatchee Ummall's wilful and long continued misapplication of them, and the impropriety of allowing any more interest to be paid to her.-

The deficiency in the Trust Fund (Viz.t Rupees 2,137—2—0 instead of Rupees 3,301—8—0) as appearing by the Accountant General's Certificate, B. No. 2. dated 14th June 1852, should also be brought to the notice of the Court.-

10th July 1854. (Signed) T. L. Smith

(A True Copy)

(Signed) Clem.t Dale.

Honorable Company's Solicitor

(14-15)

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon

Collector.

B.d of R.e 1895.

No. 416.

Proceedings thereon Ordered that the above letter together with the correspondence noted in the Margin be

From Collector 20th February in Cons.s 14th June 1854.
B.d of Rev.e 1st June.



be forwarded for the orders of Government.-

2. From the Collector's letter of the 20th February it will be seen that one Bamagunty Sunkariah Shastry had endowed by will the Choultry at Vanoyspala Kristnapooram in the Cavetnuggur Zemindary with the following monies.-

| | Pags | Fs. | C. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Company's Bond for | 1014 | 18 | 11 |
| Sum advanced on the mortgage of the Village of Peria Nuttum | 278 | " | " |

and had desired that the interest of the former and the profits arising from the mortgage held under the latter sum should be appropriated to the maintenance of the Choultry.-

3. Meenatchee Ummal the widow of the Shastry having obtained an order of the Supreme Court dated 25th June 1852 authorizing the Government Agents to make over to her the interest due and in future accruing on the Bond has been in nominal charge of the Choultry, tho' apparently without carrying out the wishes of the Testator the Choultry having been represented as being wholly neglected, out of repair and inadequately provided with servants.-

From Collector 20th February 1854 P. 4.

4. Meenatchee has deposed before the Collector of Madras that she has received under the orders of the Court Rs 1,449—11—3 and accounts for the expenditure of 1,205—4—3 leaving a balance in her hands of 244—7—"

5. The Collector was of opinion that as no sums had really been expended on the Choultry she should be held responsible for the money received

Fort St. George. 4th September 1854.

received under the Courts' order with the exception of Rupees 330 which she had entered under certain heads as having been expended on the Choultry tho' this assertion was unsupported by any proof.-

6. The Board directing him to take steps as their local agent under Regulation VII of 1817 to obtain the payment of the Interest on the Bonds to himself in future, were of opinion that the past conduct of Meenatchee with respect to the maintenance of the Choultry might be overlooked.

7. They desired him also to enquire how the proceeds of the mortgaged village had been expended, and they have subsequently heard from him that the Village has always remained in the possession of the proprietors. that the principal had been repaid with the exception of about 350 Rupees, and that it was not expedient to attempt the recovery of the balance. In this course the Board concurred.

From Collector 1st July in Cons. 3d August 1854.

8. With reference to the future payment of the Interest due on the Bond, the Collector forwards copy of a letter from the Honorable Company's Solicitor giving cover to the opinion of the advocate General.-

9. Under the view taken by the last mentioned Officer, that Meenatchee ummal should give a regid account of the sums received by her on behalf of the Choultry, the Board will not press their opinion & on the sanction of Government which is now requested being given for incurring the costs involved in the application to the Supreme Court they will direct the Collector in communication with the Law Officers of Government to proceed as suggested by the Advocate General, the expenses incurred being repaid out of the Endowement.-



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

No. 67.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

16

Bd. No. 3089.

From the Collector of Salem — 3 dated the 1st Instt.

To Board 17th March 1854.

From Board 23d Do.

From Do. 3d April.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to submit copy of an order passed by the Subordinate Court in O. S. No. 74 of 1853, deciding against my demurrer to the Court's jurisdiction in that Suit with — Draft of an appeal petition which I propose to file in the Civil Court in the same cause. and to beg the Board will be good enough to inform me whether the latter meets with their approval.

2. I believe it is not usual to submit a mere summary appeal for the Board's sanction, but I am anxious to have their opinion in the present instance, as the point at issue is a very important one.-

3. The latest date for filing the appeal is the 16th September.-

Salem Collector's Office
On Circuit, Admoncottah,
25th August, 1854. ...

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector

No. 1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Subordinate Court at Salem under date the 16th August

August 1854.

In P 112 / 1854

1. Read again Motion presented by the Defendant in O. S. No. 74 of 1853.

In P 138 / 1854.

2. Read again Counter Motion by Plaintiff in the Suit.

3. The Plaint sets forth that Plaintiff purchased in Fusly 1261 acres 38-9-8 of lands on the Shevaroy Hills bearing a Condoyem of Rupees 18-0-1 from certain Mallayalers who held them on regular Puttahs for more than 10 years. Their Puttah was then transferred to Plaintiff who paid the Condoyem for that fusly at the above rate. For the subsequent fusly 1262 the increased condoyem of 1 Rupee an acre chargeable to settlers was demanded by the Collector and refused by the Plaintiff, on when certain property of his was distrained and sold to satisfy the Government dues. The Plaintiff therefore brings a Suit under Section IX Regulation XXVII of 1802 to recover Rupees 20-7-9 as having been unduly exacted from him by the Defendant.

4. The Defendant / Collector of Salem / states the Court have no jurisdiction in cases where



where the rates of assessment are called in question, unless the lands have been either held by a permanent Sunnud or by a Puttah permanently fixing the assessment on them, that in all other cases it rests with the Collector under the authority of the Board of Revenue to regulate the demand on the Ryots, and that such interference is authorized by Section XXXVIII to XLI Regulation II of 1803. The Defendant adduces two Decrees / Nos. 63 and 108 of 1832 / as precedents barring the Courts jurisdiction.

5. The Plaintiff Mr. G. F. Fischer opposes the motion and asserts that his case is cognizable by the Court. He represents that the Puttah which he holds from certain Mallianders had been issued to these late proprietors of the land upon which an increased assessment is now sought for 10 years past and must be regarded as the permanent settlement between Plaintiff and Government notwithstanding the transfer by natives to a European.

Order of the Court.

6. Upon a full and careful consideration of the several legislative enactments, Orders of the Board of Revenue, Sudr Adalut, Minutes of Consultation

pronounced its Jurisdiction, the case will be tried on its merits, and three months are allowed to Defendant to put in his answer.-

Ordered that a Copy of these Proceedings to be given to the parties or their Vakeels respectively on their application.-

(True Extract)

(True Copy)

(Signed) H. A. Brett.

Collector.

No. 2.

Draft of an appeal petition proposed to be filed in the Civil Court of Salem vs against an order passed by the Subordinate Court of Salem in O. S. No. 74 of 1853.

1. The object of this suit is to recover from the Defendant the Collector of Salem Rupees 20-7-9, as rent unduly exacted from the Plaintiff for certain land on the Shervaroy Hills.-

2. The Defendant demurred to the jurisdiction of the Court in this matter on the



the ground that sections XXXVIII to XLI of Regulation II of 1803 have conferred on Collectors acting under the sanction of the Board of Revenue, the right to increase or diminish at discretion, the rate of assessment in unsettled Districts, or Districts in which the permanent settlement provided for by Regulation XXV of 1802, has not been introduced.-

Para 6 of the Court's Order.

The Sub: Court has decided

3. that the Court has jurisdiction, on the ground that there is no special provision in the Regulations exempting Collectors from the jurisdiction of the Courts in this matter, and that there are several Regulations which declare "generally" that Collectors are amenable to the Courts for acts done in their Official capacity.-

Para 6.

The Regulation to which

4. the Court first refers is section VII Regulation II of 1802, which provides that "Collectors and their Officers shall be amenable to the Zillah Court in the jurisdiction of which they may reside "or carry on the public business committed

to

"to their charge, for any act or acts done in
"their official capacity in opposition to any
"established regulation."-

5. The Court, in referring to this Regulation, has omitted to quote the concluding words "in opposition to any "established Regulation."

6. The appellant admits that the exceptions specified in the succeeding Sections to Section VII Regulation II of 1802 do not make any provision for the question raised in this suit, but he argues, that it is contrary to the spirit of the law above quoted (Section VII Regulation III of 1802) that Collectors should be held amenable to the Courts for those acts which the law, by another enactment, has distinctly sanctioned.

Para 6. 7. The Sub: Court has referred to two other Regulations in support of its order "Section II Regulation I of 1823 supports," it is stated "the jurisdiction de-"clared by Regulation II of 1802, and Section "IX Regulation XXVII expressly provides the



"the course to be taken by any Proprietor or
"Farmer of land for the recovery of whatever
"money may have been unduly exacted by
"a Collector."-

8. The first of these two Regulations only settles a mode of procedure. The other Regulation is understood by the Court to apply to claims for rent in unsettled Districts. It is sufficient to say that this construction is in opposition to the construction of the Court of Sudr Udalut, Vizt "that the arrears recoverable under Regulation XXVII of 1802 are arrears of public "revenue strictly so called payable by proprie-"tors or farmers immediately to the Collec-"tor or other public Officer of Government "under settlements permanent or tem-"porary Vide "The Plaintiff in this case does not sue in the character of a Proprietor or a farmer nor is it pleaded that the lands in question belong to any estate either permanently or temporarily settled.

Note to Campbell's Edition of the Regulations Page 89

Para 6. 9. Having adverted to these Regulations the Court next remarks that there is no connection

connection between the present issue and the two decrees to which the Defendant has referred, as shewing that on two former occasions, when the same issue was raised, the Salem Courts rejected the suits for want of jurisdiction. To the Courts 1st. objection to these two decrees as precedents, the appellant replies that the Motunpha tax has been always collected under the same rules and sanctions as the land revenue. To the other objection he replies that puttahs are temporary, and permanent leases. On principles of reciprocal advantage to the Government and the people these lands are renewable from year to year tho' the Government do not deem it expedient for obvious reasons to make frequent changes in the rates of assessment.

Regulation V of 1832.

Para 7- 10. Lastly the Court adverts to some correspondence between the Government and the Board of Revenue relative to the jurisdiction of the Courts to entertain such suits as the present one



one. The appellant submits that the Sub. Judge has put a wrong construction on the sentiments expressed by Government at the time referred to, and that the Court was required to form its decision on the present occasion in accordance with its own interpretation of the law, without regard to what opinions might be entertained by the Government on the subject.

11. For the above reasons the appellant prays that the order of the Subordinate Court, which is subversive of rights that the Government has uninterruptedly enjoyed from the time it assumed the Country, may be set aside, and that the Subordinate Court may be instructed to dismiss the Suit in compliance with the original motion, as one out of its jurisdiction.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector.

Proceedings

18-19

Order thereon- Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette-

---

No. 543.

20

B. No. 2306.

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue D. P. W.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works under date the 26th June 1854.-

Read the following letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly.-

(Here enter 25th May 1854 No. 63.)

No. 63.

To

Captain J. H. Bell.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
in the Department of Public Works

Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. As directed by order No. 310 dated the 10th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit the particulars of information called for by Government in their Minutes of Consultation of the 28th March last, in reference to the project of opening a Channel of irrigation from the left bank of the Colleroon river for the irrigation of certain lands in the Talook of Woodiarpolliam.-

2. Since the receipt of the order now

under



under reply, I have visited the locality and inspected a good portion of the tract of country likely to be irrigated by this new Channel, and there can be no question of the perfect success of the project if sanctioned and of its yielding a certain profit both to the agriculturist and the Government.-

3. The Channel will run a course through the limits of twenty three Villages and irrigate when complete about 4,074 Cawnies of land of these twenty three Villages- I am of opinion that thirteen situated to the eastward of the Canal will be freely watered at all times. That six Villages to the west or at the head will only receive water during the high freshes, on account of the high elevation on which the lands lie compared with that level which must be the bed of the Channel. And that the four Villages situated in the centre of the proposed Canal course cannot be irrigated unless a weir or dam be built across a large jungle stream.-

4. I find from the accounts of these twenty three Villages that more than three parts of the soil are classed as Russaul or Black soil, the remainder as Manul or sandy. The present

present existing rate of assessment on these
classes within the Villages is as follows for the
culture of Rice grain. Viz.t.

| | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rurrasul | | | Manel | | |
| For Oolcoody Ryot | 9 | 9 | 1½ = | 7 | 15 | 9 |
| For Mahajin Cowl Oolcoody Pooracoody &c.a | 7 | 2 | 7½ = | 5 | 14 | 6 |
| Cowl Mahajin Cowl Pooracoody, Cumbuttum and others | 5 | 6 | 7½ = | 4 | 7 | 9 |

5. The population of these Villages is thin and scant and of consequence it will be impossible for the resident Ryots alone to undertake the culture of so great a tract of land, we must therefore look to the Tanjore District and other parts of the Country for Ryots to come and take up the Cultivation.

Of such enterprisers there will be no lack, for I have already received numerous applications and tenders for the land. Under this consideration of the Ryot coming from a distance I would submit to your Board the expediency of taking the Pooracoody rate of assessment on the Rurrasul tarem

Rupees



Rupees 7-2-7½ per Cawnee for the whole extent without any distinction of the soil as Rurrasul or Manal. For the reason that the sandy or inferior soil is but a small portion of the whole and because that very inferiority is not of much importance and will assuredly shortly pass away as the alluvial deposit of the Channel water is spread upon the land and the soil becomes equal to the superior sort.

6. On this basis I have formed my estimate of the revenue expected to be derived from the land likely to be brought under the plough in the event of the Channel being carried out. And I beg to submit the accompanying Statement as explanatory.

7. Your Board will observe from this table that the entire extent of land of these twenty three Villages is Cawnies 10,703, of which Cawnies 4,074 are fit for Cultivation and the land assessment derivable therefrom amounts to Rupees 29,191, from which if we deduct the tasc Rupees 5,147 at present leviable on the lands Cultivated

11676

Statement shewing the esctent of lands and the esspected Revenue by the new Channel from Form No 4

| Source of Irrigation. | Village. | Entire Land unfit for Culture. Cawnies Gs. As. | Land fit for immediate Culture. Cawnies Gs. As. | esctent of land- Imme:-morial waste. Cawnies Gs. As. | esctent of land- Man. Cawnies Gs. As. | Profit to Government- Rs. As. P. | Average Cultivation and assessment from Fusly 1260 to 1263- Cawnies Gs. As. | Rs. As. P. | Land taken from menu 3. Cawnies Gs. As. | Rs. As. P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Village situated east of the Tommary which can be irrigated- Tank | Audeekamoolay | 218 25 " | 70 26 9 | 222 70 5 | 33 | 1855 2 11¼ | 32 6 4 | 191 1 3 | 15 10 | 12 4½ |
| | Coothungoody | 43 71 14 | 70 42 3 | 131 31 4 | 24 | 1306 14 4½ | 21 40 10 | 157 9 4 | 40 16 | 11 1 |
| | Auteeraratha thumpettay | 36 31 4 | 73 28 2 | 12 29 11 4 | | 464 13 13/4 | 21 89 1 | 139 2 8 | 87 8 | " 5 |
| | Edungany | 88 15 10 | 127 7 13 | 199 81 4 | 32 | 1953 10 7½ | 55 45 5 | 389 8 4 | 3 95 5 | 7 13 " |
| | Sholanadavy | 358 20 5 | 128 93 12 | 277 25 " | 24 | 2694 " 1½ | 78 32 13 | 521 11 " | 3 39 1 | " " |
| | Ambeekapooram | 48 87 8 | 21 32 13 | 24 48 7 | 3 | 79 5 4½ | 8 53 2 | 59 " " | 3 32 0 | 7 " |
| | Anjeepolieu | 1217 84 6 | 192 3 2 | 26 98 7 | 4¼ | 3293 10 9¼ | 122 " " | 782 2 " | 5 82 12 | 5 5 |
| | Paliapoodoo Kadoovetty | " " " | " " | 62 26 9 | " | 446 1 2½ | " " " | " " " | 21 14 | 1 6 |
| | Annugar pattay | 276 71 14 | 128 40 10 | 393 57 13 | 3 | 3397 9 9½ | 53 76 9 | 404 2 9 | 4 32 12 | 3 9 |
| | Vakoramary | 266 45 5 | 83 95 5 | 41 18 12 | 3 | 1460 11 ½ | 66 17 3 | 454 9 10 | 5 13 11 | |
| | Soottamullee | 99 73 7 | 48 29 11 | 42 53 2 | 1 | 391 14 9¾ | 40 60 15 | 205 3 4 | 2 68 12 | 6 " |
| | Rungaraath Samoothrum | 221 76 9 | 44 17 3 | 19 96 14 | 1 | 400 9 5½ | 12 90 10 | 78 11 9 | 4 53 2 | 7 " |
| | Rodaly | 622 42 3 | 187 51 9 | 304 29 11 | 31 | 1439 10 3¼ | 88 26 9 | 464 15 6 | 21 68 12 | " " |
| | | 3498 45 5 | 1175 68 12 | 1758 67 3 | 33½ | 19184 1 11¼ | " " " | " " " | 4 | |

Ordered that Copy of the fore: = going letter be furnished to the Revenue Department for disposal of the question of Assessment of the land to be irrigated by the new Coleroon Channel.—

(20-21)

B. No. 1857.

Proceedings thereon.— The Board observe that under the instructions lately issued to him the Collector can fix a Suitable and moderate

31st. August 1854.— assessment upon the lands in the Woodiarpolliam Talook to be irrigated by the new Coleroon Channel without any reference to the description of persons cultivating them, such as Ool: = coodies, Paracoodies, &c.— Where time and trouble are necessary to clear brush wood and break up the land for Cultivation progressive Cowles of 4 or 5 years may be given.— The taxes termed "Carnee Vurry" and "Sathulwurry" referred to in para 10 have been already abolished.—

In



No. 64.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(22)

B. No. 2705.

From the Collector of Trichinopolly.

Sir,

Para 1. I do myself the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Board's Proceed: = ings dated the 12th. Ultimo, transmitting me Exctract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 361, under date the 20th. May 1854, and as required by Government in Para 9, I beg to submit the information" in respect of the wishes of the parties who will be most affected by the proposed arrangement for the remuneration of the Village Watchers either as payers or recipients."—

2. As directed I have placed myself in communication with both the Ryots and the Cooly Cavilgars, and endeavoured to obtain their sentiments on the proposed Change in respect to the Collection of the Fees of every description. I regret to say that the result has not been satisfactory. and has led me to form no very precise opinion of their wishes.—

3. On the whole I may venture to state that the landed proprietors would prefer the realization

of

11680 Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

of the fees in grain and Money should be left to the Cavilgar, while he, as the recipient and knowing the uncertainty of the Afset, would desire that the Government relieve him of this anxiety and trouble, and grant him his salary of Rupees 24 per Annum half in land and half in Cash.—

Some Cavilgars have declined the new arrangement altogether, and declare they are better off as they are, and desire no fixed salary

4. I have given your Board's suggestion of realizing these fees of grain and ready Money by including them in the Puttah of the Ryot my best consideration; and I am free to acknowledge that, I still consider it will most difficult, inasmuch as the system would involve a constant scrutiny and enquiry into the agricultural results and outturn of every threshing floor throughout the country apportion the fee due, as regards the grain While the ready Money fees are given at stated periods, such as festivals and the ceremonies and the like.— The

11681. Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

The experiment of charging the Ryot in his Puttah can be made, and after one year we shall be better able to say what the result is likely to be.— I am unable to give any proximate estimate of the amount likely to be realized.—

Trichinopoly District
Voitalaygoodalore
Collector's Circuit
Putcherry 29th July 1854.

(Signed) J. Bird.
Collector.

No. 1867.
Proceedings thereon-1.

No. 403

Ordered that the above letter be forwarded for the consideration and orders of Government.

12-23

2. The Collector reports, that as far as he understands their wishes, the ryots prefer the present plan of themselves paying the fees due to the Talaries, but that the latter desire to receive them through the Officers of Government.—

3. Mr. Bird is also of opinion that the collection of the fees on the part of Government, is not feasible, as it would entail an examination of the outturn of each individual ryot, and though not objecting apparently to a trial of the levy of the fees by Government for one year, can form no estimate of the amount which might be so collected.—

The

4. The emoluments of another class of village servants, the Curnums of the 4 wet talooks of the District had not long since engaged the attention of the Board, as shewn in the correspondence marginally noted. These Officers were remunerated by receiving one cullum of grain in every forty thrashed, and these dues were realized with such difficulty that the Board endeavoured at the request of the Curnums, to effect an arrangement by which these grain fees, should be commuted into money, entered in the puttah and collected by the Government Officers, and afterwards paid to the lawful recipients, but so many difficulties were presented in arriving at any plan which might be just and agreeable to both ryots and Curnums, that the Board determined to defer the question until a revision of the assessment was commenced. It will be seen from Mr. Bird's letter of 6th August 1852, Paras 8 and 9 that the above plan obtains in the four Dry Talooks.

To Collector 21st June 1852
From Collector 6th August —"—
in Cons: 6th September —"—
Bro: Collector 6th Septr. —"—
From Collector 8th January 1853.
in Cons: 24th February —"—
To Collector 24th February —"—
From Collector 11th March —"—
in Cons: 9th May —"—
To Collector 9th May —"—
From Collector 10th June —"—
in Cons: 17th November —"—
Bd's Proc: 17th November —"—

5. The Board are of opinion that the reformation of the Village Police may be at once carried out in the four Dry Talooks, the assessment of which the Collector has been directed to revise and afterwards in the four wet Talooks, when the proposed arrangement regarding the Curnums emoluments may be also introduced.—



No. 77.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

24

Bd's No. 2039.
From the Collector of Canara.—
Forwards No. 1 Board a Petition from one Soobrow late Shroff of the Salt depôt at Moolky appealing from a decision passed against him under Regn. IX of 1822 together with the Proceedings connected with the case.—

Sir,

I have the honor to lay before the Board a Petition from Soobrow late Shroff of the Salt depôt at Moolky Appealing from a judgment given by me against him under Section VII Regulation IX of 1822 in a case of Embezzlement of Public money committed in the said depôt.

2. The Appellant and two other Servants of the same depôt were arraigned upon a charge of having Embezzled the Sum of Rupees 180-8-3 out of the Sale proceeds of Salt sold on account of Government which were found deficient in a Remittance sent from their depôt to the provincial Depôt at Mangalore in March No. 2 1853. I have fully entered in the Sentence given by me the grounds upon which I have founded my judgment against the Appellant. The establishments were responsible for the money and I distributed this responsibility according to the merits of the case. The loss to Government was most probably owing to

Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

to the irregularity committed by the Appellant in Keeping the box to his house

The Original Proceedings held in the case are herewith forwarded.-

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore, ---
3d August 1854.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector.

Encl. No. 1.

To,

The Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Appeal Petition of Soobrow, late Shroff of the Salt Tannah at Moolky in Mangalore Taloolk.-

In consequence of a deficiency of Rupees 180- 8. 3 in the Salt collections found at the said Tannah in the 2nd week of March Fusly 1262, the Collector having decided that the amount should be collected from us three persons in the following proportion Viz. Rupees 100 from me, Rupees 49--2 from the Monegar Rungarow (2nd Deft.) and Rupees 31- 8- 1- from the Tannah Shambogue

Dafsapa



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.-

Dafsapa (3d Deft.) and done accordingly and further passed orders to the Salt Darogah of Mangalore in Nos. 69 & 76. the former to the effect that I had been dismissed service, and the latter that the Salt Monegar and Shanbogue have been reinstated in their respective situations, I beg to make the following brief representation in the hope of obtaining redress.-

The Collector in his decision states to the following effect.-

In the first Para, that myself and the other two Defts. must have colluded together and embezzled the money without putting it into the Dubby, as it was collected in the 2nd that I must have broke open the seal of the Dubby when it was being conveyed from the Peishcar's Cutcherry to the Salt Cotty or back and taken out the money and that one or other of these two must be the case. in the 3d that there were no grounds for determining which of the above two suppositions was the most likely - in 4th that it was not an easy matter for so large an amount to be embezzled by me alone - in the 5th that there was.

Fort St. George 4th September 1854.-

was no proofs to establish the charge against me on the supposition mentioned in the 2nd Para - in the 6th, he repeated his beliefs in regard to the points mentioned above and then - in the 7th sustained the 2nd Para with the view of making me responsible for the greater portion of the Amount - All these will after due consideration be proved to be totally invalid, as will appear from the following reasons which are quite glaring.-

It has long been the custom for the Darogah to send the Dubby to the Tannah with leather tied to its mouth and sealed with his own seal, and for the Monegar after having examined the seal also to tie leather over it and put his own seal for the sake of greater security and to examine it always before putting the daily Collections in and seal it again as usual, and the next day after the close of the week to send it with an escort of 2 Peons to the Darogah.-

On examining the box containing the Collections of the week in which the deficiency is said to have

taken



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.-

taken place, which arrived on the 2nd April, the seals were found to be sound, as stated by the Darogah and his Shroff, as well as by the Tannah Monegar and Shanbogue and other Peons. After this matter had been put beyond a doubt and the Collector

[illegible]

had admitted it to have been the case in the 9th Para: and after it was found that the seals of both the head servants were all right, the Collector has in Para: 7. of his decision stated that the embezzlement might have been made by me as mentioned in the 2nd Para of his decision. Had the Collector taken into Consideration the above circumstance as well as the following Viz.th that it was not possible for the money to be taken out without breaking open the seal of the 1st Head Servant, even supposing that the seal of the Monegar was broken and mine put in its stead - that the Darogah would not have remained silent had his seal been broken, that it was not likely that I would have the boldness to embezzle the amount or have an opportunity of getting the Dubby into my hands while

while the road from the Colay to the Peish-
=car's Cutcherry by which the Dubby was
carried is a public road and much frequ-
on account of the bazars along it and while
the Dubby was escorted by the Head respon-
=sible Officer, the Monegar and other Peons; and
lastly that if I had done so, the seal of the
Darogah on the Dubby would not have
been in the same state as when put on,
I should not have been unjustly condemned
I attribute it to my misfortune that
the Collector should not have instituted
due enquiry into the Case and decided
fairly but that he should have on mere
supposition come to the conclusion that there
were reasons for supposing that the deed
was perpetrated by me as stated in the
7th Para. The unfairness of this decision
will be evident to the administrators of
justice on a Perusal of the said decision
and of the Proceedings of the case.-

The Collector's argument, con-
=tained in the 8th Para, to the effect that
I was trading in rice and that having been
reduced to exigency in consequence of the destruction of the
bank's al by fire, I might have been induced.

to



to perpetrate this deed, is of no avail. I beg
to say that I have not been trading in rice
and the above assertion made on the mere ground
of my having got some money for a relative of
mine that was trafficking in the said Bank's al,
and given him some myself, is therefore ground-
=less. Had it been otherwise, there was no reason
for the head Servants not to have made allusion
to this in the first instance. Such being the
case, the Collector was not justified in
grounding his decision on this unwarranted
Statement.-

The Peishcar had out of mere
Spite handcuffed me and put me into the
stocks, and thus maltreated me on which
account my elder brother presented a Petition
to the Collector and thus procured my
release. To give therefore full vent to
his spite, he made false Statements
~~~
~~~

Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

of 1819, which I attribute to my being a very poor man. If these irregular proceedings are taken into consideration I believe it will prove a check to the recurrence of the like in future.

In the said Tannah it is my duty to examine the money: the Monegar is the Head Servant and one who is responsible for every thing, and the Shanbogue as 2nd to the Monegar is also a responsible Servant. I was in the receipt of a trifling salary of Rupees 4. While the charge was not proved against me and I was not the perpetrator of the deed and it had not been decided who was the author of it, there was no reason for the Monegar and Shanbogue who are on the receipt of higher salary as well as for the Peons to give in a Reeboolyahzee before the decision was passed, stating that they they would make good the amount by making proportionate payments.

Such being the case, it is needless for me to Comment on the propriety of the Collector's decision, to the effect that I should



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

should pay a greater portion of the amount and of the order passed regarding my dismissal, while the other parties were passed over. If it should be supposed that all the Servants of the Tannah had Combined in Committing the embezzlement as mentioned in the 1st Para of the Collector's decision, it is evident that punishment should have been inflicted on all as they were equally to blame. If according to the supposition contained in the 2nd Para, the deed was perpetrated only by me there was no reason for the Collector not making me responsible for the whole amount and Collecting the same from me. The fact of the charge not being established against me, and of there being no proofs as to how the deficiency had occurred, will Appear from the decision passed by the Collector. For these reasons, it was inconsistent with justice that I was not made to pay a due proportion of the Amount as the other Servants and that the same indulgence was not shewn to me as was shewn to the Monegar and Shanbogue

and

and that I was made to pay a larger portion of the Amount accordingly to the Statements and dismissed from Office and also maltreated contrary to the Regulations and thus made to undergo a threefold payment which unjust proceeding has not taken Few place in the case of any other individual since the British administration. Therefore if the Board will take these circumstances into consideration they will be convinced of the injustice done to me.

I have been precluded from enclosing herein Copies of the decree and order passed in this case in consequence of their not having been granted to me under Sign and Seal. I therefore pray that they may be sent for by the Board.—

I further pray that the Board will in consideration of these circumstances, and of the injustice done to me, by the Collector, making me pay a larger portion of the amount, and not reinstating me in my situation as was done to the 2nd and 3rd Defendants, whom greater responsibility rests, and who



who receive higher salary, be pleased to grant me redress by causing to be paid back to me the excess of payment made by me, as well as reinstating me in my situation, and also that they will send for the order passed by the Collector as also the proceedings of the case and after perusal of them pass a fresh order, and thus deliver me from the injustice done to me.—

I beg to say that I had addressed the Board a Petition on the 5th September last which was returned with an endorsement dated 14th January to the effect that I should submit my Appeal Petition thro' the Collector, and that that Officer would forward it to them agreeably to Sec: 6 Regulation VII of 1828, and the order dated 31st December 1835. Having received this Petition from the Moolky Peishcar on the 7th May last, I have accordingly submitted this Appeal Petition in the way directed.—

(True Translation)
(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector.

Sirka } Versus { 1 Shroff Soobrow
2 Monegar Rungarow
3 Munbogue Dasappa—

The Defendants are charged with

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

with having embezzled Rupees 180- 8- 3.
out of the Salt collections amounting to Rupees
286-1-6 made at the Tannah in the 2nd
week of March or from the 23d to the end of
2. The 1st Defendant denies the charge
likewise the 2nd and 3d Defendants.-
3. From the Hatti Kurada of the
week in question, it appears that Rupees
286-1-6 were collected by the Defendants
and they themselves admit it. On examining
the Dubby on it's arrival at Mangalore
in the presence of the Peons that brought
it, the above amount of deficiency was
found.- Either all the parties must have
colluded together and embezzled the money
as it came into their hands without putting
it into the Dubby, or the 1st Defendant
must have done so, as stated in the 5th
Para: taking out the money by breaking the
seal of the dubby and and putting on
another seal while the Dubby was
being carried from the Peishcar's Cutcherry
to the Cotay during the daytime, as it was usual
to leave it at the former place at night
for the sake of security. If the amount was not
embezzled in either of these two ways, it is
the duty of the Defendants to explain in what
way the deficiency of this amount which was

286.



Fort St. George 4th September 1854.

was in their hands took place, but they have
not been able to do so. Therefore it is evident
that they are responsible to the Government
for this amount, and it now only remains to
determine in what proportions the parties are
to be made responsible for it. It has been
therefore necessary to peruse the Report No.
133 and Proceedings held by the Darogah in
this case, the papers of inquiry held before me
and those connected with the Report No. 13
of the Moolky Peishkar.-

5. Of the two ways mentioned above
in which it was thought the amount might
have been embezzled, it cannot be known
for certainty, which is the most probable,
Viz:, whether it was embezzled before
being put into the Dubby, or whether the
money was taken out by breaking the
seal of it. It is however not necessary
that this should be ascertained in order
to make the Defendants responsible for
the amount.- For they are by all means
responsible for it, as it is evident the
amount came into their hands. Had
this fact been ascertained, it could have
been known in what way the deficiency had

occurred,

accurred and who was to be held responsible
it, and punishment could have been inflict
on the guilty party. But as this does n
appear, all the Defendants are bound
make good the amount, but the greater
responsibility rests on the shroff as will
appear from the following circumstances

5. It is the business of the shroff
to put the money that has been collected
into the Dubby after having shroffed it,
which he himself admits. Consequently
the greater responsibility rests on him.
Although it is difficult for the shroff
to embezzle money without the knowledge
of the Shambogue and Monegar, still
as the money comes into his hands, it is
an easier matter for him to embezzle money
without their knowledge, than it is for
them to do so without his - Even supposing
the embezzlement to have been effected
at the time the money was being put
into the Dubby, still the greater res-
ponsibility falls on the shroff.-
This circumstance however does by
no means exempt the Monegar and

Shambogue



Shambogue from blame - For the Monegar
being the head servant of the Tannah, and
the Shanbogue the person that enters the amount
of Collections in the Head Karada, it was
their duty to exercise due supervision in order
to prevent the fraud, though they may not
have been guilty of it as they state. Besides
it is not likely that the Shroff alone could
have embezzled so large a sum - This conside-
ration also tends to confirm their responsibility.-

6. If according to the 2nd. supposition
the money was taken by the Shroff out of the
Dubby by breaking its seal, the responsi-
bility evidently falls on him - But there are
no proofs that this was the case, though there
is a strong presumption in favor of it, as
which is as follows.-

7. It appears that the Dubby con-
taining the Collections used to be taken as
every evening to the Peishkar's Cutcherry
and kept there for the sake of security
and brought back to the Cotay during
the day time. The present Monegar (2nd.
Defendant) for a short time after his
appointment, when along with the as
Dubby when it was carried to the

Peishkar's

Peishkars Outcherry and brought back
as did the former Monegar, but after=
=wards placing full reliance in the Shroff
he used to send him along with it. It
appears that the Monegar took no notice
of the delay that sometimes occurred on
the Shroffs way to the Peishkars Outchery
and back, from the time he received from him
the key of the box in the Peishkars Outchery
in which the Dubby was kept. The mouth
of the Dubby has 2 Covers one sealed with
the Darogahs seal and the other with the
Monegars. When making the remittances
it is customary to seal the outer cover of
the Dubby with the Monegars seal. From
some time after the 2nd Defendant the
Monegars appointment to this post, the
seal of the Shroff was used, as the former
had no seal of his own. After the Dubby
thus sealed had reached the Darogah at
Mangalore it appears that the money
contained in it was shroffed without any
particular notice being taken as to whether
the seal on it was the Monegars or the



Shroffs. Therefore the shroff who was greatly
in need of money, as stated in the 8th Para,
taking advantage of the trust reposed in him
by the Monegar and encouragement from the
circumstance of no notice being taken as to
whose seal the Dubby had, must have
watched an opportunity when it was being
carried to the Peishkars Outcherry, or back
and broken the seal of it and taken out the
amount, and sealed it again. For thought
the shroff had admitted before the Peish
=kar that he had taken the Dubby to his
house one day in the said week, when
bringing it from his Peishkars Outcherry
and had subsequently during his examina=
tion denied it, the Hungamy Peon who carried
the Dubby stated that he had done so. There=
fore, there appear great reason to suppose
that the money was embezzled rather by
breaking the seal of the Dubby and taking
it out than by not putting it in. But
as there is not sufficient proof of the shroff
having taken out the money by breaking
the seal, he cannot be held responsible for the
whole

whole amount. But on consideration of the circumstances alluded to above, as it appears that there are reasons for attaching greater suspicion to the Shroff, it has been decided under Sec. 6 Regulation IX of 1822, that out of this amount the shroff Soobrow, pay Rupees 100 and that the remainder Rupees 80-8-3 be collected from the Shamboque and Monegar in proportion to the amount of their respective salaries; Viz:t Rupees 49-—-2 from Monegar Rungarow and Rs. 31-8-1 from Shamboque Dasappa.-

8. The shroff appears to be a bad character. He is on the receipt of Rupees 4 a month- It appears from the Proceedings that he was leading a life of debauchery that he had taken some jewels from the woman with whom he was living in criminal intercourse, on the pledge of which he had borrowed some money for the purpose of trading in rice, that he was pressed by her to return the jewels and that he suffered loss in the trade by the destruction of the banksal by fire.

From a further consideration of these



circumstances it appears that there were greater reasons for him to embezzle the money.-

9. The said Dubby was delivered to 2 Peons at Moolky on the 2nd. April, and it reached the Darogah the same evening, and was examined, when as appears from the statements of the Darogah, the Shroff and others; the seal was sound. For this, as well as other reasons, it cannot be supposed that the embezzlement was committed on the road.-

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby
Collector

List of the Original Proceedings held in a case of embezzlement against the Salt Shroff Soobrow of Moolky.-

No 1 Report No. 133 from the Salt Darogah of Mangalore to the Collector dated 27th. April of Fusly 1262.-

2 to 39 Documents accompanying the above report as per Index.-

40 Appeal Arzee made by Dasappa to the Board and its enclosure with an arzee formerly made to the Board.-

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby
Collector

Bd. No. 1853.

Proceedings thereon.- 1. The Collector being unable to bring home the appropriation of the money to any of the Defendants, declares them jointly responsible for the amount, but as from circumstances detailed, greater suspicion, in his opinion, attaches to the shroff, he decrees that of the sum embezzled, viz. Rs. 180-8-3 the appellant shall pay Rupees 100, the Monegar 49-0-2 the Shambogue 31-8-1.

2. On a consideration of the judgment and appeal Petition, the Board are unable to see that a larger degree of criminality belongs to the shroff, than the other two implicated.-

3. With the Darogah's seal in fact, it was impossible for the shroff to have broken open the Dubby, and if the money within it can be extracted without interfering with the Darogah's seal, it is equally within the power of the other Defendants to do so, as well as to connive with the shroff in omitting to put the collections into the Dubby.

4. The fine on the shroff, it is



remarked, is equal to two years allowances, and as the Board consider that the offence, for any evidence to the contrary must be equally shared by the Defendants, they resolve to modify the Collector's decree, and to direct that the amount lost be recovered from the Defendants, in proportion to the pay they receive.

5. The sentence of dismissal forming no part of a judgment under Regulation IX. of 1822 and the Collector being of opinion that the private conduct of the shroff is such as to make it undesirable that he should continue in the public service, the Board will not interfere with that portion of this punishment.-

(24-25)

(26)

No. 151

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 3197.
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras.-

Sir,

I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to direct that at the convenience of the Superintendent of the Government Press this Department may be provided with Twelve Copies of the Tariff published in the Gazette last evening printed on Foolscap paper.-

Sea Custom House, Madras, 2nd. Sept. 1854.

/ Signed / W. D. Underwood
Coll. Sea Customs

11704

Fort St. George 4th. September 1854.

26-27

Ordered to be submitted to the Government with the following letter

Bd. No. 1879.

No. 407.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the letter recorded above be submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Collector's request be complied with.—

2. The Board also wish to be supplied with 12 Copies of the Import Tariff, made up in book form, for their own use; and, if they can be spared, a further additional number of Copies, for distribution among the Collectors of Maritime Distts.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

EDS

11705

Fort St. George 4th. September 1854.

No. 406

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 1876.

To the Chief Secretary to Government —

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying general Statement shewing the amount of Gross Collections, Charges and net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Presidency for Fusly 1262 Compared with Fusly 1261.—

Subsy Statement of Collections, Charges and Net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under the Presidency for Fusly 1262 as compared with that of Fusly 1261.

28

2. The following abstract Statement Exhibits a net Increase on the net Revenue from all sources amounting to Rupees 12,916-5-11 resulting from a Gross Increase of Rupees 210879-10-11 under the heads of Salt, Land and Sea Customs, Abkarry and Sundry small Farms and Licenses and a Gross Decrease in Tobacco Stamps and Moturpha amounting to Rupees 197963-5-.

Here enter abstract Statement.

No. 1.

3. The Gross receipts from all sources in Fusly 1262 without deductions of Charges of Purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco amounted to Rupees 1,103,059-10-10. The Gross receipts deducting those Charges were Rupees 1,056,0534-13-7 exhibiting in the receipts of Fusly 1262 a Decrease of Rupees 272837-5-5 in the former Case and of Rupees 46322-4-7 in the latter.—

4. The Gross Charges of all sources in Fusly 1262 including the purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco amounted to Rupees 1,164,466-9-11. The Gross Charges after deducting the purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco were Rupees 696941-12-8. exhibiting a decrease in the former of Rupees 285753-11-4 and of Rupees 59238-10-8 in the latter

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Gross Receipts before deductions | | |
| Fusly 1261 | 1,303,897- | -3 |
| " 1262 | 1,103,059- | 10-10 |
| Decrease | 272837- | 5-5 |
| Gross Receipts after deductions | | |
| Fusly 1261 | 1,060,857- | 2-2 |
| " 1262 | 1,056,0534- | 13-7 |
| Decrease | 46322- | 4-7 |
| Gross Charges before deductions | | |
| Fusly 1261 | 1,453220- | 5-3 |
| " 1262 | 1,164,466- | 9-11 |
| Decrease | 285753- | 11-4 |
| Gross Charges after deductions | | |
| Fusly 1261 | 756,180- | 7-2 |
| " 1262 | 696941- | 12-8 |
| Decrease | 59238- | 10-6 |

Revenue Board's office
Fort St. George
4th. September 1854

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary
General

11706

A General ... Land Customs, Sea ... er 1854.

Customs, Abkarry, Sundry small Farms and Licenses Stamps Salt

| | | Gross Revenue after deductions for manufacture and purchase: Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Charges for manufacture and purchase. Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 6 | | | 7 | | | 8 | | | 9 | | | 10 | | | 11 | | |
| | | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P |
| 1 | Zillah of Ganjam | 8145 | 10 | 9 | 350264 | 7 | 10 | | | | 37881 | 2 | 11 | 10929 | 3 | 11 | 19186 | 5 | 4 |
| 2 | Vizg | 570 | " | 2 | 163053 | 15 | 10 | 3483 | 15 | 8 | " | " | " | 4811 | 12 | 10 | 20329 | 15 | 3 |
| 3 | Ray | 352 | 6 | 5 | 240233 | " | 8 | 42880 | 10 | 3 | " | " | " | 16603 | 1 | 3 | 16539 | 14 | 5 |
| 4 | Mas | 3807 | 1 | 1 | 1380900 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " | 105716 | 2 | " | 11 | 7 | 9 | 3638 | 3 | " |
| 5 | Gu | 798 | 8 | 7 | 563332 | 8 | 2 | 25533 | 15 | 7 | " | " | " | 28643 | 2 | 3 | 29379 | 11 | 2 |
| 6 | Chi | 742 | 9 | " | 276916 | 10 | 10 | 37174 | 1 | 10 | " | " | " | 46224 | 1 | 1 | 41195 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | Sal | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | M | 719 | 7 | 2 | 368718 | 10 | 8 | 42999 | 3 | 6 | " | " | " | 24710 | " | 7 | 24664 | 14 | 7 |
| 9 | N | 917 | 11 | " | 471902 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | 72015 | 7 | 11 | 41936 | 6 | 5 | 34424 | 2 | 5 |
| 10 | Northern Divisi | 61 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2761 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | Southern Divi | 926 | 1 | 10 | 191471 | 8 | 3 | " | " | " | 48454 | 9 | 7 | 17317 | 4 | 5 | 20319 | 10 | 1 |
| 12 | Tanjore | 891 | 12 | 4 | 435256 | 4 | 8 | " | " | " | 55635 | 7 | 8 | 38983 | 15 | 2 | 44276 | " | 11 |
| 13 | Trichinopoly | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | Tinnevelly | 915 | " | 6 | 328778 | 1 | 1 | " | " | " | 4136 | 15 | 5 | 27506 | 2 | " | 22811 | 1 | 2 |
| 15 | Bellary | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | Cuddapah | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 17 | Coimbatore | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | Canara | 217 | " | 4 | 393459 | 11 | " | 7242 | 10 | 8 | " | " | " | 59311 | 4 | 7 | 64333 | 6 | 9 |
| 19 | Malabar | 080 | 13 | 9 | 247624 | 5 | 10 | 3543 | 8 | 1 | " | " | " | 75585 | 9 | 5 | 73210 | 1 | 2 |
| 20 | Madras | 724 | 15 | 1 | 405496 | 9 | 9 | 27771 | 10 | 8 | " | " | " | 44205 | 3 | 9 | 45867 | 10 | 2 |
| 21 | Ditto Sea ... | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 22 | Kurnool | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | | 570 | 10 | " | 45745 | 99 | " | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 420629 | 12 | 3 | 326601 | 5 | 6 | 436308 | 11 | 5 | 460178 | 1 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | 326601 | 5 | 6 | Dt. Decrease | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 94028 | 6 | 9 | Net Increase | | | | | | | | |

| Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | | | 13 | | |
| Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P |
| 8257 | 1 | 5 | " | " | " |
| 15518 | 2 | 5 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 63 | 2 | 10 |
| 3626 | 11 | 3 | " | " | " |
| 706 | 8 | 11 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 5028 | 15 | 11 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 42 | 2 | " |
| " | " | " | 7512 | 4 | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 3002 | 5 | 8 | " | " | " |
| 5291 | 1 | 9 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 4695 | " | 10 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5022 | 2 | 2 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 2375 | 8 | 3 |
| 1662 | 6 | 5 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 43086 | 8 | " | 19717 | 1 | 10 |
| 19717 | 1 | 10 | Dt. Decrease | | |
| 23369 | 6 | 2 | Net Increase | | |

11707

# Fort St. George

and Motarpha for Fusly 1262 Compared with Fusly 1261.

4th September 1854.

Charges for Superintendence.

| | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 14 | | 15 | | 16 | | 17 | | 18 | | 19 | |
| | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| 1 | 6,480 | 4 10 | 7,400 | 6 9 | 920 | 1 11 | " | " " | 17,409 | 8 9 | 26,586 | 12 1 |
| 2 | 9,282 | 4 1 | 7,815 | 12 6 | " | " " | 1,466 | 7 7 | 14,094 | " 11 | 28,145 | 11 9 |
| 3 | 14,577 | 11 3 | 10,936 | 2 1 | " | " " | 3,641 | 9 2 | 31,180 | 12 6 | 27,476 | " 6 |
| 4 | 6,580 | 12 5 | 10,100 | 7 1 | 3,519 | 10 8 | " | " " | 6,592 | 4 2 | 13,738 | 10 1 |
| 5 | 16,484 | 2 11 | 18,407 | 9 1 | 1,923 | 6 2 | " | " " | 45,157 | 5 2 | 47,787 | 4 3 |
| 6 | 17,197 | 1 " | 21,187 | 1 11 | 3,990 | " 11 | " | " " | 63,421 | 2 1 | 62,382 | 3 1 |
| 7 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 8 | 21,480 | 8 2 | 12,353 | 1 2 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 9 | 31,859 | 13 10 | 30,961 | 11 10 | " | " " | 9,127 | 7 " | 46,190 | 8 9 | 37,020 | 15 9 |
| 10 | 71 | 4 6 | " | " " | " | " " | 898 | 2 " | 73,796 | 4 3 | 65,385 | 14 3 |
| 11 | 18,847 | 5 8 | 34,549 | 12 9 | 15,702 | 7 1 | 71 | 4 6 | 71 | 4 6 | " | " " |
| 12 | 13,693 | 15 5 | 14,048 | 1 6 | 374 | 2 1 | " | " " | 36,164 | 10 1 | 54,869 | 6 10 |
| 13 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 52,657 | 14 7 | 58,323 | 2 5 |
| 14 | 13,013 | 14 10 | 13,646 | 12 5 | 632 | 13 7 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 15 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 40,520 | " 10 | 36,457 | 12 7 |
| 16 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 17 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 18 | 38,773 | 15 9 | 35,537 | 14 1 | " | " " | 32,36 | 1 8 | 98,085 | 4 4 | 99,871 | 4 10 |
| 19 | 17,448 | 8 " | 16,158 | 5 1 | " | " " | 1,290 | 2 11 | 93,034 | 1 5 | 89,368 | 6 3 |
| 20 | 29,882 | 4 9 | 25,122 | " 4 | 4,239 | 11 7 | " | " " | 65,087 | 8 6 | 70,989 | 10 6 |
| 21 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 22 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| | 2,46,653 | 15 5 | 2,58,225 | 2 7 | 31,302 | 6 " | 19,731 | 2 10 | 6,83,162 | 10 10 | 7,18,403 | 4 2 |

19,731 2 10 Dft Decrease

11,571 3 2 Net Increase

Salt

Total

(Continued)

Charges.

Net Revenue.

| Increase | | Decrease | | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 20 | | 21 | | 22 | | 23 | | 24 | | 25 |
| Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| 9,177 | 3 4 | " | " " | 3,81,665 | 5 11 | 3,42,864 | 1 1 | 4,950 | 7 3 | 38,801 | 4 10 |
| 14,051 | 10 10 | " | " " | 1,50,287 | 12 1 | 1,55,238 | 3 4 | 4,522 | 3 5 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 3,704 | 12 " | 18,2774 | 11 2 | 2,29,296 | 14 7 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 7,146 | 5 11 | " | " " | 2,37,226 | 4 8 | 1,27,990 | 8 " | 2,53,610 | 9 5 | 1,09,235 | 12 8 |
| 2,629 | 15 1 | " | " " | 2,91,314 | 5 8 | 5,44,924 | 15 1 | 33,184 | " 11 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 1,038 | 15 " | 2,22,545 | 5 " | 2,55,729 | 8 11 | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 52,126 | 10 6 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 9,169 | 9 " | 3,04,238 | 15 " | 3,56,365 | 9 6 | " | " " | 7,117 | 5 11 |
| " | " " | 8,410 | 6 " | 5,12,057 | 13 2 | 4,40,940 | 7 3 | " | " " | 2,690 | 3 6 |
| " | " " | 71 | 4 6 | 2,690 | 3 6 | " | " " | " | " " | 64,157 | " 8 |
| 18,704 | 12 9 | " | " " | 2,21,078 | 12 2 | 1,56,921 | 11 6 | " | " " | 56,009 | 9 9 |
| 5,665 | 3 10 | " | " " | 4,77,217 | 12 11 | 4,21,208 | 3 2 | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 4,769 | 13 " |
| " | " " | 4,062 | 3 3 | 3,19,901 | 1 8 | 3,15,131 | 4 8 | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 1,786 | " 6 | " | " " | 3,47,443 | " 7 | 3,57,921 | 12 11 | 10,478 | 12 4 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 3,665 | 11 2 | 2,26,632 | 5 9 | 2,31,466 | " 9 | 4,833 | 11 " | " | " " |
| 5,902 | 2 " | " | " " | 3,56,842 | 10 4 | 3,80,374 | 9 5 | 23,531 | 15 1 | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 65,063 | 6 3 | 30,122 | 12 11 | 42,33,916 | 10 7 | 43,16,373 | 14 2 | 4,29,238 | 5 11 | 3,46,781 | 2 4 |

30,122 12 11 Dft Decrease

34,940 9 4 Net Increase

3,46,781 2 4 Dft Decrease

82,457 3 7 Net Increase

11709

# Fort St. George

4th September 1854.

Charges for Superintendence of Tobacco monopoly

| | Fuslly 1261 | | Fuslly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | | Fuslly 1261 | | Fuslly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs 38 | A P | Rs 39 | A P | Rs 40 | A P | Rs 41 | A P | Rs 42 | A P | Rs 43 | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| 1 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 2 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 3 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 4 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 5 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 6 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 7 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 8 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 9 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 10 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 11 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 12 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 13 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 14 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 15 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 16 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 17 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 18 | 6,186 | 15 10 | 9,954 | 4 6 | " | " " | 56,232 | 11 4 | 2,05,958 | 8 6 | 11,830 | 14 11 | | | | |
| 19 | 2,5,568 | 11 8 | 7,238 | 13 " | " | " " | 18,329 | 14 8 | 1,46,018 | 5 8 | 14,754 | 15 3 | | | | |
| 20 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 21 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| 22 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | | | |
| | 91,755 | 11 6 | 17,193 | 1 6 | " | " " | 74,562 | 10 " | 3,51,986 | 14 2 | 27,539 | 13 2 | | | | |

(Continued)

| | Total Charges | | | | Net Revenue | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Increase | | Decrease | | Fuslly 1261 | | Fuslly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | |
| | Rs 44 | A P | Rs 45 | A P | Rs 46 | A P | Rs 47 | A P | Rs 48 | A P | Rs 49 | A P |
| | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " "

11710

# Fort St. George

4th September 1854.—

| | Gross Revenue | | | | | | Land | | Customs Charges | | Net Revenue. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | |
| | 50 | | 51 | | 52 | | 53 | | 54 | | 55 | | 56 | | 57 | |
| | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| 1 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 2 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 3 | 3,497 | 6 " | 4840 | 15 " | 1343 | 9 " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 2,354 | 4 7 | " | " " |
| 4 | 42,806 | 4 6 | 39214 | 14 8 | " | " " | 3591 | 5 10 | 1143 | 1 5 | 1327 | 8 9 | 38491 | 9 11 | " | " " |
| 5 | 2957 | 3 2 | 1894 | 5 " | " | " " | 1062 | 14 2 | 4314 | 10 7 | 4454 | 15 " | " | " " | 59 | 13 3 |
| 6 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 2019 | 14 5 | 1960 | 1 2 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 7 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 8 | 984 | 11 5 | 478 | 6 9 | " | " " | 306 | 4 8 | 549 | " " | 503 | 3 11 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 9 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 10 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 11 | 59215 | 4 9 | 71557 | 13 9 | 12342 | 9 " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 12 | 24954 | 9 9 | 25373 | 5 1 | 418 | 11 4 | " | " " | 8558 | " " | 8583 | 14 9 | " | " " | 45 | 12 1 |
| 13 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 3022 | 14 5 | 2987 | 6 1 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 14 | 41562 | 5 8 | 38229 | 1 4 | " | " " | 3333 | 4 4 | 3047 | 2 3 | 3090 | 8 1 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 15 | 6159 | " 3 | 6090 | 13 3 | " | " " | 68 | 3 " | 3265 | 1 6 | 3262 | 8 1 | 235 | 11 5 | " | " " |
| 16 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 17 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 18 | 39562 | 6 4 | 56242 | 11 2 | 16710 | 4 10 | " | " " | 6446 | 10 9 | 6394 | 11 10 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 19 | 12 | 2 11 | 22 | 14 7 | 10 | 11 8 | " | " " | 2346 | 1 1 | 2170 | 7 8 | " | " " | " | " " |
| 20 | 141 | 4 11 | 199 | 1 " | 57 | 12 1 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 141 | 4 11 | 199 | 1 " |
| 21 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 22 | 5694 | 10 3 | 4874 | 12 10 | " | " " | 819 | 13 5 | 2181 | 8 " | 2173 | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| | 2,27,347 | 5 11 | 2,49,049 | 2 5 | 30383 | 9 11 | 9181 | 13 5 | 36894 | " 5 | 36908 | 4 7 | 1,92,787 | 3 8 | 2,14,379 | " 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 5 | | 1,90,453 | 5 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 394 " 7 | | 379 | |
| | | | | | | | 9181 | 13 5 | D.to Decrease | | | | 379 | 12 5 | D.to Decrease | |
| | | | | | | | 2,17,01 | 12 6 | Net Increase | | | | 14 | 4 2 | Net Increase | |

| | Net Revenue. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | | | |
| | 58 | | 59 | | 60 | | 61 | | | |
| | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | | |
| | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | |
| | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | | |
| 184 | 7 4 | " | " " | 35,13 | 6 3 | 1159 | 1 8 | " | " " | |
| 140 | 4 5 | " | " " | 38491 | 9 11 | 34759 | 15 8 | " | " " | 3,31 10 3 |
| " | " " | 59 | 13 3 | 9937 | 4 9 | " | " " | " | " " | |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 260 | 8 7 | |
| " | " " | 45 | 12 1 | 235 | 11 5 | " | " " | " | " " | |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | |
| 25 | 14 9 | " | " " | 50654 | 4 9 | 62943 | 15 " | 12316 | 10 3 | " " " |
| " | " " | 35 | 9 4 | 21931 | 11 4 | 22386 | " " | 454 | 4 8 | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| 43 | 6 1 | " | " " | 38515 | 3 5 | 35138 | 9 " | " | " " | 3376 10 5 |
| " | " " | 2 | 9 5 | 2893 | 14 9 | 2828 | 5 2 | " | " " | 65 9 7 |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| " | " " | 51 | 14 11 | 33115 | 11 7 | 49877 | 15 4 | 16962 | 3 9 | " " " |
| " | " " | 175 | 9 5 | | | | | | | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | 141 | 4 11 | 199 | 1 " | 57 | 12 1 | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " " " |
| " | " " | 8 | 8 " | 3513 | 2 3 | 2701 | 12 10 | " | " " | 811 5 5 |
| | | | | 1,92,787 | 3 8 | 2,14,379 | " 3 | 30750 | " 5 | 7985 3 8 |
| | | | | 12 5 | | 1,90,453 | 5 6 | 2,12,140 | 13 10 | 30936 5 6 | 9248 13 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 9248 13 2 | D.to Decrease |
| | | | | | | | | | | 2,1687 8 4 | Net Increase |

11711

# Fort St. George

4th September 1854.-

Sea

Gross Revenue

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 62 Rs | A | P | 63 Rs | A | P | 64 Rs | A | P | 65 Rs | A | P | 66 Rs | A | P | 67 Rs | A | P |
| 1 | 14,957 | 12 | 9 | 36,854 | 10 | 8 | 21,896 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | 1,435 | 8 | 2 | 1,437 | 14 | " |
| 2 | 8,109 | 8 | 7 | 10,912 | 10 | 3 | 2,803 | 1 | 8 | " | " | " | 1,337 | 8 | " | 1,336 | 12 | " |
| 3 | 35,577 | 6 | 9 | 42,736 | " | 5 | 7,158 | 9 | 8 | " | " | " | 3931 | 7 | 11 | 3,923 | 14 | 7 |
| 4 | 3,768 | 5 | 10 | 1,231 | " | " | " | " | " | 2,537 | 5 | 10 | 1,735 | " | " | 1,887 | 8 | " |
| 5 | 2,069 | 1 | 10 | 218 | 10 | " | " | " | " | 1,850 | 7 | 10 | 348 | " | " | 383 | 4 | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | 19,411 | 4 | 11 | 20,582 | 8 | 10 | 1,171 | 3 | 11 | " | " | " | 2,226 | 13 | 11 | 2,045 | 4 | 10 |
| 9 | 184 | 9 | 2 | 172 | 4 | " | " | " | " | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1,549 | 3 | 2 | 1,428 | 4 | 7 |
| 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | 29,851 | 15 | 5 | 20,458 | 12 | 2 | " | " | " | 9,393 | 3 | 3 | 4107 | " | 1 | 4,103 | 10 | 11 |
| 12 | 205,586 | 12 | 8 | 200,058 | 2 | 5 | " | " | " | 5,528 | 10 | 3 | 8,304 | 4 | 10 | 6,076 | 3 | 11 |
| 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | 21,841 | 15 | 9 | 16,305 | 8 | 2 | " | " | " | 5,536 | 7 | 7 | 3,889 | 10 | " | 1,661 | 11 | 3 |
| 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 17 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | 60,584 | 5 | 8 | 57,181 | 10 | 7 | " | " | " | 3,405 | 11 | 1 | 16,374 | 12 | 6 | 16,417 | " | 11 |
| 19 | 33,508 | 8 | 7 | 47,498 | 13 | 2 | 13,990 | 4 | 7 | " | " | " | 15,682 | 11 | 7 | 16,812 | 8 | 10 |
| 20 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | 5,49,828 | 3 | " | 5,81,281 | 2 | 6 | 31,452 | 15 | 6 | " | " | " | 1,42,664 | 5 | 3 | 1,31,912 | 1 | 3 |
| 22 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 9,85,282 | 14 | 11 | 10,35,491 | 13 | 2 | 78,473 | 1 | 3 | 28,264 | 3 | " | 2,03,536 | 5 | 5 | 1,94,426 | 3 | " |

28,264 3 " Dt. Decrease

50,208 14 3 Net Increase

Customs Charges.

| Increase. | | | Decrease | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262. | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 68 Rs | A | P | 69 Rs | A | P | 70 Rs | A | P | 71 Rs | A | P | 72 Rs | A | P | 73 Rs | A | P |
| 2 | 5 | 10 | " | " | " | 13522 | 4 | 7 | 35,416 | 12 | 8 | 21,894 | 8 | 1 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | 12,6772 | " | 7 | 9,575 | 14 | 3 | 2,803 | 13 | 8 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 7 | 9 | 4 | 31,645 | 14 | 10 | 38,812 | 1 | 10 | 7,166 | 3 | " | " | " | " |
| 152 | 8 | " | " | " | " | 2,033 | 5 | 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | 4 | " | " | " | " | 1721 | 1 | 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 181 | 9 | 1 | 17,184 | 7 | " | 18,537 | 4 | " | 1,352 | 13 | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 100 | 14 | 7 | 1364 | 10 | " | 1276 | " | 7 | 88 | 9 | 5 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 3 | 5 | 2 | 25,744 | 15 | 4 | 16,355 | 1 | 3 | " | " | " | 9389 | 14 | 1 |
| " | " | " | 228 | " | 11 | 19,7282 | 7 | 10 | 19,1981 | 14 | 6 | " | " | " | 5300 | 9 | 4 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 2227 | 14 | 9 | 17952 | 5 | 9 | 14,643 | 12 | 11 | " | " | " | 3308 | 8 | 10 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | 44212 | 9 | 2 | 40,764 | 9 | 9 | " | " | " | 3447 | 15 | 5 |
| 42 | 4 | 4 | " | " | " | 17,825 | 13 | " | 30,886 | 4 | 4 | 12,860 | 7 | 4 | " | " | " |
| 1129 | 13 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 7752 | 4 | " | 40,7163 | 13 | 9 | 44,6369 | 1 | 3 | 39,205 | 3 | 6 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | | | | | | 783061 | 3 | 6 | 843142 | 12 | 9 | 85283 | " | 7 | 21446 | 15 | 8 |
| 1362 | 3 | 5 | 10502 | 5 | 10 | 781096 | 9 | 6 | 841045 | 10 | 2 | 85371 | 10 | " | 26022 | 9 | 4 |

Dt. Increase 1362 3 5

Net Decrease 91402 5

26022 9 4 Dt. Decrease

59349 " 8 Net Increase

Fort St. George

4th September 1854.-

| | Gross Revenue. | | | | Abkarry | | Charges. | | Net Revenue. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261. | Fusly 1262. | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease |
| | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 |
| | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. |
| 1 | 6,09,661 3 4 | 6,54,201 1 4 | 44,539 14 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6,09,661 3 4 | 6,54,201 1 4 | 44,539 14 " | " " " |
| 2 | 5,98,501 4 | 6,10,324 " | 11,822 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 5,98,501 4 | 6,10,324 " | 11,822 8 | " " " |
| 3 | 8,07,071 10 | 6,75,118 12 11 | " " " | 5,588 13 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 8,07,071 10 | 6,75,118 12 11 | " " " | 5,588 13 7 |
| 4 | 4,36,911 5 | 4,28,110 " 5 | " " " | 8,801 5 1 | 3,762 3 | 1,410 " | " " " | 235 2 3 | 4,33,151 3 | 4,26,700 " 5 | " " " | 6,451 2 10 |
| 5 | 2,04,810 2 | 2,06,580 9 3 | 1,761 5 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 12 " " | 2,04,810 2 | 2,06,571 3 3 | 1,761 3 1 | " " " |
| 6 | 6,29,984 6 | 9,03,713 7 | 2,73,728 1 | " " " | 7,552 5 | 7,950 10 9 | " " " | " " " | 6,22,434 1 | 8,95,758 10 2 | 2,73,324 9 | " " " |
| 7 | 9,32,838 7 | 9,60,330 " 8 | 27,491 8 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 9,32,838 7 | 9,60,330 " 8 | 27,491 8 1 | " " " |
| 8 | 5,32,601 0 8 | 5,78,585 4 | 45,971 0 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 5,32,601 0 8 | 5,78,585 4 | 45,971 0 8 | " " " |
| 9 | 3,07,208 8 | 2,99,898 7 | " " " | 7,310 1 | 3,360 " " | 3,388 8 " | " " " | " " " | 3,03,848 8 | 2,96,510 " 7 | " " " | 7,338 1 |
| 10 | 1,19,132 8 " | 1,20,164 8 " | 1,032 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 1,19,132 8 " | 1,20,164 8 " | 1,032 " " | " " " |
| 11 | 7,46,879 6 | 7,85,951 12 5 | 39,082 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 7,46,879 6 | 7,85,951 12 5 | 39,082 11 | " " " |
| 12 | 1,19,881 " " | 1,02,213 4 " | " " " | 17,667 12 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 1,19,881 " " | 1,02,213 4 " | " " " | 17,667 12 " |
| 13 | 2,55,541 5 " | 2,51,204 9 | " " " | 4,336 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 2,55,541 5 " | 2,51,204 9 | " " " | 4,336 11 |
| 14 | 3,11,099 11 | 2,28,204 " | " " " | 82,895 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3,11,099 11 | 2,28,204 " | " " " | 82,895 11 |
| 15 | 2,90,771 7 1 | 2,83,609 8 1 | " " " | 7,161 15 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 2,90,771 7 1 | 2,83,609 8 1 | " " " | 7,161 15 " |
| 16 | 1,29,379 9 7 | 1,17,116 9 4 | " " " | 12,263 " 3 | 3,495 9 | 4,061 5 10 | " " " | " " " | 1,29,030 3 10 | 1,16,769 9 6 | " " " | 12,320 10 4 |
| 17 | 80,982 8 8 | 91,277 6 " | 10,294 13 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 57 10 1 | 80,982 8 8 | 91,277 6 " | 10,294 13 4 | " " " |
| 18 | 7,81,981 3 2 | 7,26,342 2 | " " " | 55,641 1 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 7,81,981 3 2 | 7,26,342 2 | " " " | 55,641 1 " |
| 19 | 6,17,662 3 | 7,21,864 6 | 1,04,202 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6,17,662 3 | 7,21,864 6 | 1,04,202 3 | " " " |
| 20 | 5,46,180 5 2 | 5,75,566 1 | 29,386 " 11 | " " " | 6,374 " | 6,849 2 5 | " " " | 4,749 14 5 | 4,82,440 1 2 | 5,07,076 3 8 | 24,636 2 6 | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | 71,341 13 4 | 71,011 7 11 | " " " | 330 5 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 71,341 13 4 | 71,011 7 11 | " " " | 330 5 5 |
| | 2,13,49,331 4 11 | 2,17,10,095 4 9 | 5,57,574 5 " | 58,898 14 7 | 6,555 12 5 | 7,017 3 1 " | | | 4,851 6 10 | 235 2 3 | 2,06,377 2 6 | 2,10,143 6 4 4 |
| | | | | 58,898 14 7 | Dt. Decrease | | | | | 235 2 3 | Dt. Decrease | |
| | | | | 36,756 5 | Net Increase | | | | | 4,616 4 7 | Net Increase | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 90,783 " 3 | 58,723 14 5 | Dt. Decrease | | |
| | 32,059 1 10 | Nt. Increase | | |

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses-

| | Gross Revenue | | | | | Net Revenue- | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261- | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Charges | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease |
| | 86 Rs a ꝑ | 87 Rs a ꝑ | 88 Rs a ꝑ | 89 Rs a ꝑ | 90 Rs a ꝑ | 91 Rs a ꝑ | 92 Rs a ꝑ | 93 Rs a ꝑ | 94 Rs a ꝑ |
| 1 | 4,413 11 3 | 4,597 5 10 | 183 10 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 4,413 11 2 |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | 10282 1 2 | 12475 15 10 | 2,193 14 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 10282 1 2 |
| 4 | 4,561 8 5 | 5,384 11 1 | 823 2 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 4,561 8 5 |
| 5 | 10775 6 4 | 9871 1 3 | " " " | 904 5 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 10775 6 4 |
| 6 | 19436 10 9 | 19290 " 7 | " " " | 146 10 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 19436 10 9 |
| 7 | 9094 2 1 | 10120 1 8 | 1025 15 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 9094 2 1 |
| 8 | 8339 6 4 | 8687 13 1 | 348 6 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 8339 6 4 |
| 9 | 654 4 4 | 742 2 4 | 87 14 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 654 4 4 |
| 10 | 7665 13 3 | 5528 13 4 | " " " | 2136 15 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 7665 13 3 |
| 11 | 11934 15 11 | 11803 10 9 | " " " | 131 5 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 11934 15 11 |
| 12 | 25031 4 1 | 24876 13 6 | " " " | 154 6 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 25031 4 1 |
| 13 | 4758 3 3 | 4627 4 4 | " " " | 130 14 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 4758 3 3 |
| 14 | 21846 12 6 | 17823 5 9 | " " " | 4023 6 9 | 8572 10 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 13274 1 9 |
| 15 | 27859 " " | 25760 7 3 | " " " | 2098 8 9 | " " " | 221 15 6 | " " " | " " " | 27859 " " |
| 16 | 19257 2 9 | 16155 7 4 | " " " | 3101 11 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 19257 2 9 |
| 17 | 25920 12 4 | 20627 1 7 | 1470 6 5 3 | " " " | 2617 13 " | 1618 8 9 | " " " | " " " | 24768 6 5 |
| 18 | 34601 7 8 | 33725 14 3 | " " " | 875 9 5 | 4833 1 3 | 12394 5 8 | " " " | " " " | 30581 15 6 |
| 19 | 52768 9 2 | 56147 1 11 | 3378 8 9 | " " " | 655 " " | 647 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 20 | 36660 13 9 | 38835 10 4 | 2174 12 7 | " " " | 6078 14 3 | 8694 6 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | 316 " 7 | 24356 " " | " " " | 72 11 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 316 " 7 |
| | 316178 1 10 | 327243 6 | 24922 10 11 | 13776 9 3 | 27577 3 | 23576 12 5 | 5398 12 2 | 9579 7 " | 288420 10 7 |

13776 9 3 Dr. Decrease
11146 1 8 Net Increase

Dr. Increase 5398 12 2
Net Decrease 4180 10 10

303477 1 28303 14 7 12977 2 1

12977 2 1 Dr. Decrease
15326 12 6 Net Increase

# Fort St. George

4th September 1854.

| | Gross Revenue. | | | | Net Revenue. | | | | Stamps. Charges. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease |
| | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 |
| | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P |
| 1 | 13795 12 6 | 17173 15 6 | 3378 3 " | " " " | 12543 " 2 | 14866 12 2 | 2323 12 " | " " " | 10547 " | " " " |
| 2 | 10683 4 " | 15362 " " | " " " | 1321 4 " | 3237 5 8 | 2429 5 11 | " " " | 5134 3 | 807 15 9 | 13445 14 4 |
| 3 | 18994 5 " | 15640 6 4 | " " " | 3353 14 8 | 1622 2 10 | 1363 5 8 | " " " | 30351 6 | 318 13 2 | 17372 2 2 |
| 4 | 10796 " 9 | 11178 8 1 | 382 7 4 | " " " | 1303 3 2 | 1844 2 4 | " " " | 158 7 10 | 540 15 2 | " " " |
| 5 | 10292 1 " | 10483 10 6 | 191 9 6 | " " " | 992 9 3 | 1117 12 8 | " " " | " " " | 125 3 5 | " " " |
| 6 | 16033 7 " | 14910 2 " | " " " | 1123 5 " | 1724 " 9 | 1529 6 " | " " " | 928 10 3 | " " " | 194 10 9 |
| 7 | 26198 4 " | 22645 8 " | " " " | 3552 12 " | 3008 15 11 | 2023 5 3 | " " " | 3467 1 4 | " " " | 85 10 8 |
| 8 | 33042 2 " | 36009 13 6 | 2367 11 6 | " " " | 1561 " 10 | 1891 4 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 32081 1 2 |
| 9 | 16821 12 1 | 17412 12 " | 590 15 11 | " " " | 2379 4 5 | 2558 14 8 | 2037 8 4 | " " " | 330 3 2 | " " " |
| 10 | 24270 " 6 | 23218 " " | " " " | 1052 " 6 | 3747 15 2 | 3831 1 6 | " " " | 11352 10 | 179 10 3 | " " " |
| 11 | 20101 12 6 | 26304 8 6 | 6202 12 " | " " " | 3752 4 9 | 4113 6 11 | 5841 9 10 | " " " | 83 2 4 | " " " |
| 12 | 70736 3 6 | 67971 13 " | " " " | 2764 6 6 | 7142 14 1 | 7552 8 3 | " " " | 3174 " 8 | 361 2 2 | " " " |
| 13 | 19482 4 " | 23837 4 " | 4355 " " | " " " | 2575 6 5 | 2654 11 " | 4275 11 5 | " " " | 409 10 2 | " " " |
| 14 | 28568 9 " | 29362 6 9 | 793 13 9 | " " " | 1199 3 " | 2133 4 11 | " " " | 14042 | 79 4 7 | " " " |
| 15 | 49600 4 5 | 23535 " " | " " " | 26065 4 5 | 3519 12 2 | 3321 7 9 | " " " | 25967 " " | 9341 11 | " " " |
| 16 | 27655 12 " | 27500 " " | " " " | 155 12 " | 2346 13 11 | 1952 5 8 | 198 12 3 | " " " | " " " | 198 4 5 |
| 17 | 23963 12 " | 24171 2 2 | 207 6 2 | " " " | 3306 12 1 | 3993 2 3 | " " " | 479 " " | " " " | 354 8 3 |
| 18 | 58870 2 11 | 59976 2 11 | 1106 " " | " " " | 5752 2 7 | 7483 4 " | " " " | 6251 5 | 6862 " | " " " |
| 19 | 67323 8 " | 70378 9 6 | 3055 1 6 | " " " | 12345 15 7 | 12408 15 4 | " " " | " " " | 1731 1 5 | " " " |
| 20 | 87254 " | 93757 6 6 | 5037 6 " | " " " | 2841 10 3 | 3022 3 4 | " " " | " " " | 62 15 9 | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 180 9 1 | " " " |
| 22 | 2142 " " | 2208 " " | 66 " " | " " " | 107 1 5 | 101 8 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 564696 9 2 | 548655 2 3 | 23474 2 | 39388 11 1 | 65679 6 7 | 70472 10 10 | 5 | 94203 4 14 | 7210671 | 71 94 |
| | Dt. Increase 23474 2 | | | | | | | | 6758 12 7 | 196584 |
| | Net Decrease 16041 6 11 | | | | | | | | 47934 Net Increase | |

| | |
|---|---|
| | Dt. Increase 186887 1 |
| | Net Decrease 208341 2 |

# Fort St. George

4th September 1854

| | Gross Revenue. | | | | Sirsahady and Motarpha Charges | | Net Revenue. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 |
| | 110 | 111 | 112 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 118 | 119 | 120 | 121 |
| | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ | Rs a ꝑ |
| 1 | 98798 5 | 105181 7 | 6 3 8 9 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 98798 5 | 105181 7 | 6 3 8 9 2 | " " " |
| 2 | 61595 2 | 61520 " | 5 " " | 7 4 9 | 144 " " | 144 " " | " " " | " " " | 61045 5 | 60080 " | 5 " " | 7 4 9 |
| 3 | 55544 15 " | 58628 4 3 | 3113 5 3 | " " " | 220 7 4 | 9430 5 6 | " " " | " " " | 55294 7 8 | 49197 14 9 | " " " | 6096 8 11 |
| 4 | 27547 6 7 | 27617 2 11 | 69 12 4 | " " " | 7146 5 6 | 5375 12 3 | 9209 14 2 | " " " | 20401 1 1 | 22741 6 8 | 1840 5 7 | " " " |
| 5 | 47827 9 10 | 49864 2 7 | 1536 8 9 | " " " | 319 12 7 | 334 5 7 | " " " | 1770 9 3 | 47507 13 3 | 49029 13 " | 1521 15 9 | " " " |
| 6 | 32341 9 8 | 27510 9 4 | " " " | 4831 " 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 32341 9 8 | 27510 9 4 | " " " | 4831 " 4 |
| 7 | 75660 6 9 | 80401 14 1 | 4741 7 4 | " " " | 9687 11 2 | 9683 13 11 | " " " | 3 13 3 | 65972 11 7 | 70718 " 2 | 4745 4 7 | " " " |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | 16875 10 5 | 18316 5 " | 1440 10 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 16875 10 5 | 18316 5 " | 1440 10 7 | " " " |
| 10 | 45405 3 7 | 39547 3 11 | " " " | 5857 15 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 45405 3 7 | 39547 3 11 | " " " | 5857 15 8 |
| 11 | 40779 9 10 | 43521 11 3 | 2742 1 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 40779 9 10 | 43521 11 3 | 2742 1 5 | " " " |
| 12 | 44789 3 3 | 44943 12 7 | 154 9 4 | " " " | 615 13 7 | 615 13 7 | " " " | " " " | 44173 5 8 | 44327 15 " | 154 9 4 | " " " |
| 13 | 68434 4 7 | 35262 11 " | 509 6 8 | " " " | " " " | 199 11 11 | " " " | " " " | 68434 4 7 | 35262 11 " | 509 6 8 | " " " |
| 14 | 98228 13 1 | 98965 1 8 | 6764 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 199 11 11 | " " " | 98288 13 1 | 98965 1 8 | 6764 7 | " " " |
| 15 | 27328 11 7 | 27156 3 15 1 | " " " | 1717 12 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 27328 11 7 | 27156 3 15 1 | " " " | 1717 12 6 |
| 16 | 15813 2 7 8 | 15056 9 13 8 | " " " | 756 10 " | 162 10 " | 162 10 " | " " " | " " " | 15796 9 13 8 | 15040 7 3 8 | " " " | 756 10 " |
| 17 | 7941 8 8 8 | 7718 7 8 3 | " " " | 223 1 " 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 7941 8 8 8 | 7718 7 8 3 | " " " | 223 1 " 5 |
| 18 | 16992 5 8 | 14397 5 " | " " " | 259 5 " 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 16992 5 8 | 14397 5 " | " " " | 259 5 " 8 |
| 19 | 111604 11 10 | 111855 6 11 | 250 11 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 111604 11 10 | 111855 6 11 | 250 11 1 | " " " |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | 56279 14 7 | 54603 " 11 | " " " | 1676 13 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 56279 14 7 | 54603 " 11 | " " " | 1676 13 8 |
| | 1203422 5 11 | 1193015 14 4 | 16073 2 5 | 26479 10 " | 1829 6 12 2 | 2594 6 8 9 | 9424 3 1 | 1774 6 6 | 1185125 9 9 | 1167069 5 7 | 14519 14 9 | 325762 11 |
| | Dt. Increase 16073 2 5 | | | | | | 1774 6 6 Dt. Decrease | | Dt. Increase 14519 14 9 | | | |
| | Net Decrease 10406 7 7 | | | | | | 7649 12 7 Net Increase | | Net Decrease 180562 | | | |

| | Grosl Revenue before deductions for manufacture and purchase of Salt and Tobacco. | | | | Grand Grosl Revenue after deductions for manufacture and purchase of Salt and Tobacco. | | Total. Deductions for manufacture and Tobacco. | | Charges before deductions for manufacture and purchase of Salt and Tobacco. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1261. | Fusly 1262. | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261. | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease. |
| | 122 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 126 | 127 | 128 | 129 | 130 | 131 | 132 | 133. |
| | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P | Rs. A P |
| 1 | 5,03,088 8 | 10 5,04,015 10 | 1 927 1 3 | " " " | 4,92,159 4 11 | 4,84,829 4 9 | | | 7330 " 2 | 20097 13 3 | 30331 13 5 | 10234 " 2 |
| 2 | 2,55,184 " | 1 2,76,842 13 9 | 21,658 13 8 | " " " | 2,50,372 3 3 | 2,56,372 14 6 | | | 18812 14 7 | 32055 13 8 | 13,242 15 1 | " " " |
| 3 | 4,18,529 4 | 1 4,86,213 5 10 | 47,684 1 9 | " " " | 4,01,926 2 10 | 4,49,673 7 5 | | | 38098 " " | 43461 3 " | 5,363 3 " | " " " |
| 4 | 3,76,990 2 | 5 2,69,166 7 3 | " " " | 1,07,823 11 2 | 3,76,978 10 8 | 2,65,328 4 3 | | | 1,11,450 6 5 | 2,14,167 9 8 | 27,441 15 8 | 2,745 14 3 |
| 5 | 4,30,874 11 | 2 6,85,202 9 11 | 2,54,327 14 9 | " " " | 4,02,201 8 11 | 6,55,822 14 9 | | | 48837 9 5 | 51,583 7 8 | " " " | 1,192 15 5 |
| 6 | 4,16,776 10 | " 4,70,193 11 6 | 53,417 1 6 | " " " | 3,70,552 8 11 | 4,28,998 10 4 | | | 6,900 3 3 | 64,707 3 10 | " " " | 89 7 11 |
| 7 | 2,04,236 5 | 5 2,09,200 8 5 | 4,964 3 " | " " " | 2,04,236 5 5 | 2,09,200 8 5 | | | 12,896 11 1 | 12,807 3 2 | " " " | 9,066 11 " |
| 8 | 4,65,867 11 | 1 5,17,003 8 9 | 51,135 13 8 | " " " | 4,41,157 10 6 | 4,92,335 10 2 | | | 20,527 7 6 | 41,460 12 6 | " " " | 8,329 2 4 |
| 9 | 6,51,110 14 | 1 5,72,959 5 5 | " " " | 78,151 8 8 | 6,09,174 7 8 | 5,38,553 " | | | 78,060 11 10 | 69,731 9 6 | " " " | " " " |
| 10 | 1,99,235 1 | 4,18,458 9 3 | " " " | 10,776 8 1 | 1,99,235 1 4 | 1,88,458 9 3 | | | 3,819 3 8 | 3,831 1 6 | 11 13 10 | " " " |
| 11 | 4,93,814 10 | 2 4,64,033 7 2 | " " " | 29,781 3 " | 4,76,497 5 9 | 4,43,713 13 1 | | | 71,670 7 5 | 1,90,888 6 | " " " | |
| 12 | 10,20,854 12 | 9 9,44,968 8 2 | " " " | 75,886 4 7 | 9,81,870 13 7 | 9,00,693 7 3 | | | 2,581 14 11 | 58113 9 | " " " | |
| 13 | 56,425 " | 7 60,937 4 " | 4,512 3 5 | " " " | 56,425 " | 7 60,937 4 " | | | 77,555 1 3 | 279 " 6 | " " " | |
| 14 | 6,03,639 4 | 5 5,78,994 13 11 | " " " | 28,544 6 6 | 5,76,133 2 5 | 5,52,283 12 9 | | | 2,575 6 5 | 2,854 6 11 | " " " | 13,885 4 9 |
| 15 | 6,47,671 7 | 4 6,10,559 11 8 | " " " | 37,111 11 8 | 6,47,671 7 4 | 6,10,559 11 8 | | | 43,343 6 1 | " " " | 21 1 8 | " " " |
| 16 | 3,34,425 " | " 3,11,341 14 4 | " " " | 23,083 1 8 | 3,34,425 " " | 3,11,341 14 4 | | | 57,228 10 10 | " " " | " " " | 2,961 4 2 |
| 17 | 2,38,985 4 | 2 2,41,590 4 10 | 2,605 " 8 | " " " | 2,38,985 4 2 | 2,40,036 5 10 | | | 6,805 15 4 | " " " | " " " | |
| 18 | 10,72,334 14 | 6 9,17,799 11 " | " " " | 1,54,535 3 6 | 8,73,252 1 3 | 8,51,589 9 10 | | | 6,784 13 8 | 2,521 15 6 | " " " | 641 " 11 |
| 19 | 12,14,612 13 | 5 9,55,914 11 1 | " " " | 2,58,698 2 4 | 10,18,567 10 " | 8,75,188 7 8 | | | 2,818 13 8 | 6,565 10 " | " " " | 18,805 13 10 |
| 20 | 10,13,637 14 | 8 10,75,340 12 10 | 61,702 14 2 | " " " | 9,69,432 10 11 | 10,29,473 2 8 | | | 9,924 9 1 | 1,54,391 10 1 | " " " | 13,929 6 " |
| 21 | 5,49,828 3 | " 5,81,281 2 6 | 31,452 15 6 | " " " | 5,49,828 3 " | 5,81,281 2 6 | | | 2,70,092 3 4 | 1,36,162 13 4 | " " " | |
| 22 | 1,35,774 6 | 9 1,32,940 11 2 | " " " | 2,833 11 7 | 1,35,774 6 9 | 1,32,940 11 2 | | | 37,748 5 " | 1,51,196 6 9 | 13,448 1 9 | " " " |
| | | | | | | | | | 1,42,664 5 3 | 1,34,912 1 3 | " " " | 7,752 4 " |
| | | | | | | | | | 2,288 9 5 | 2,274 8 1 | " " " | 14 1 4 |
| | 1,13,03,897 " | 3 1,10,31,059 10 10 | 5,34,388 3 4 | 80,722 5 8 | 9,10,60,857 2 2 | 10,56,053 12 7 | 5,19,754 6 1 | 56,076 10 8 | 1,45,322 5 3 | 1,16,746 9 11 | 7,681 5 5 | 3,615 " 9 |
| | D.t Increase | 5,34,388 3 4 | | | | | D.t Increase | 5,19,754 6 1 | | | D.t Increase | 7,681 5 5 |
| | Net Decrease | 2,72,837 5 5 | | | | | Net Decrease | 46,322 4 7 | | | Net Decrease | 2,85,753 11 4 |

# Fort St. George

4th September 1854.-

Charges after deductions for manufactures & purchase of Salt & Tobacco &c.

| Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|
| 134 | 135 | 136 | 137 |
| Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P |
| 1 9,168 9 4 | 11,145 8 1 | 1,976 14 9 | " " " |
| 2 14,001 1 9 | 11,725 14 5 | " " " | 2,275 3 4 |
| 3 21,494 14 9 | 26,921 4 7 | 5,426 5 10 | " " " |
| 4 21,456 1 11 | 23,803 12 8 | 2,347 10 9 | " " " |
| 5 20,164 7 2 | 22,203 12 6 | 2,039 5 4 | " " " |
| 6 19,676 2 2 | 23,512 2 8 | 3,836 " 6 | " " " |
| 7 12,696 11 1 | 12,607 3 2 | " " " | 89 7 11 |
| 8 25,817 6 11 | 16,792 13 11 | " " " | 9,024 9 " |
| 9 36,124 5 5 | 35,307 7 1 | " " " | 816 14 4 |
| 10 38,193 8 | 38,311 6 11 | 13 10 | " " " |
| 11 35,264 10 6 | 51,350 13 4 | 16,086 2 10 | " " " |
| 12 32,759 14 4 | 33,280 " 4 | 520 2 " | " " " |
| 13 2,575 6 5 | 2,854 6 11 | 279 " 6 | " " " |
| 14 29,722 8 10 | 20,532 4 11 | " " " | 9,190 3 11 |
| 15 6,784 13 8 | 6,805 15 4 | 21 1 8 | " " " |
| 16 2,818 13 8 | 2,521 15 6 | " " " | 296 14 2 |
| 17 5,924 9 1 | 5,611 11 " | " " " | 312 14 1 |
| 18 14,336 7 10 | 8,818 1 8 11 | " " " | 5,518 6 1 |
| 19 7,404 6 15 | 11 5,536 9 11 | " " " | 1,867 10 6 |
| 20 9,354 3 1 | 3,10,532 12 7 | 11,785 11 4 | " " " |
| 21 1,42,664 5 3 | 1,34,912 1 3 | " " " | 7,752 4 " |
| 22 22,888 9 5 | 22,748 1 " | " " " | 141 4 |

Grand Total Net

| Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 |
|---|---|
| 138 | 139 |
| Rs A P | Rs A P |
| 48,299 11 7 | 47,868 12 8 |
| 4,23,637 1 6 | 2,44,787 " 1 |
| 380,431 4 1 | 422,752 2 10 |
| 355,522 8 9 | 241,724 7 7 |
| 382,037 1 9 | 6,33,619 2 3 |
| 3,50,876 6 9 | 405,486 7 8 |
| 19,153 9 10 | 4 19,659 3 |
| 4,15,340 3 7 | 475,842 12 3 |
| 5,73,050 2 3 | 503,227 11 11 |
| 19,541 5 13 | 8 18,462 7 9 |
| 441,232 11 3 | 392,362 15 9 |
| 949,110 15 3 | 867,413 6 11 |
| 53,849 10 2 | 58,082 13 1 |
| 5,40,410 9 7 | 531,751 7 10 |
| 640,886 9 8 | 603,753 12 4 |
| 2,96,142 | 331,606 2 4 |
| 312,141 | 233,060 11 1 |
| 55,186 1 9 | 7,29,884 6 7 |
| 18,610 6 " | 944,520 10 1 |
| 875,889 9 8 | 924,446 1 |
| 7,752 4 " | 4,07,163 13 9 |
| 14 1 4 | 133,485 13 4 |

| | |
|---|---|
| 7,56,180 7 2 | 6,96,941 12 8 |
| Dr. Increase | 44,330 5 4 |
| Nt. Decrease | 59,238 10 6 |

(Continued)

Revenue

Percentage of charges on the Gross Revenue before deductions.

| Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 140 | 141 | 142 | 143 |
| Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P |
| " " " | 930 6 14 11 | 3 15 10 | 6 " 3 |
| 8,415 14 7 | " " " | 7 5 11 | 11 9 3 |
| 42,320 14 9 | " " " | 9 1 7 | 9 5 2 |
| " " " | 11,379 8 1 2 | 5 11 1 | 10 3 2 |
| 25,158 2 " 6 | " " " | 11 5 4 | 7 8 5 |
| 54,610 " 11 | " " " | 15 12 11 | 13 12 2 |
| 5,053 10 11 | " " " | 6 3 6 | 6 " 5 |
| 60,202 8 8 | " " " | 10 13 6 | 8 " 4 |
| " " " | 6,982 2 6 4 | 11 15 9 | 12 2 9 |
| " " " | 1,078 8 5 11 | 1 14 8 | 2 " 6 |
| " " " | 4,886 9 11 6 | 10 10 4 | 15 7 2 |
| " " " | 8,169 7 8 4 | 7 " 6 | 8 3 3 |
| 4,233 2 11 | " " " | 4 9 3 | 4 10 11 |
| " " " | 1,465 9 1 9 | 9 7 8 | 7 8 7 |
| " " " | 3,713 2 13 4 | 1 " 9 | 1 1 10 |
| " " " | 2,278 6 3 6 | " 13 9 | " 12 11 |
| 1,963 15 9 | " " " | 2 7 8 | 2 11 5 |
| 33,523 10 4 | " " " | 31 15 " | 10 13 2 |
| " " " | 12,476 8 12 4 | 22 3 9 | 14 3 11 |
| 48,254 12 5 | " " " | 13 9 5 | 14 " 11 |
| 39,205 3 6 | " " " | 25 15 2 | 23 3 5 |
| " " " | 2,819 10 3 | 1 11 " | 1 11 5 |

Percentage of charges on the Gross Revenue after deductions.

| Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 |
|---|---|
| 144 | 145 |
| Rs A P | Rs A P |
| 1 13 9 | 2 4 9 |
| 5 9 6 | 4 9 1 |
| 5 5 7 | 5 15 9 |
| 5 11 " | 8 15 5 |
| 5 " 2 | 3 6 2 |
| 5 4 11 | 5 7 8 |
| 6 3 6 | 6 " 5 |
| 5 13 8 | 3 6 7 |
| 5 14 11 | 6 8 11 |
| 1 14 8 | 2 " 6 |
| 7 6 5 | 11 9 2 |
| 3 5 4 | 3 11 1 |
| 4 9 3 | 4 10 1 |
| 5 2 6 | 3 11 5 |
| 1 " 9 | 1 1 10 |
| " 13 6 | " 12 11 |
| 2 7 8 | 2 5 5 |
| 16 6 8 | 10 5 8 |
| 7 4 4 | 6 5 6 |
| 9 10 5 | 10 3 11 |
| 25 15 2 | 23 3 5 |
| 1 11 " | 1 11 5 |

| | |
|---|---|
| 5,49,365 15 3 | 5,36,449 9 4 |
| 12,916 5 11 | Nt. Increase |
| 5,36,449 9 4 | Dr. Decrease |

11719

29

Bd. No. 1852.

To the Chief Secretary }
to Government — }

Fort St. George 4th September 1854

No. 401.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th October 1843, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Government of India the Statements of Bullion and specie Imported into and Exported from the Ports under this Presidency from and to places not subordinate thereto for the Month of June 1854 — —

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, ---
4th September 1854 - - -

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary -

Statement.

11720

No. 1. Statement of the Value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie subordinate to Madras.—

| Districts | Imported into | From | By Government. Silver Valued in Company's Rupees: Bullion | Company's Rupees | Dollars | Total | Gold Value in Company's Rupees | Total Imported by Government | Bullion | Company's Rupees | Dollars | Total | Copper Coins Valued in Company's Rupees | Total | Imported by Individuals | Grand Total of Bullion and Specie Imported |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ganjam | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Vizagapatam | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Rajahmundry | Cocanada | Pondicherry | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 500 | " | " | " | " | 500 | 500 |
| Masulipatam | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Guntoor | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Nellore | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Chingleput | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| South Arcot | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Tanjore (Tanjore District and its subordinate Ports) | | Columbo | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 17,100 | " | " | " | " | 17,100 | 17,100 |
| Madura | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Tinnevelly | Tutacorin | Columbo | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,000 | " | 300 | " | " | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| Canara | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Malabar | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | | Australia | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 6,090 | " | " | " | " | 6,090 | 6,090 |
| | | Calcutta | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 12,500 | " | " | 12,500 | 12,500 |
| | | London | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 10 | " | 2,500 | " | " | 340 | 340 |
| Madras | Madras | Mauritius | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 130 | " | 330 | " | " | 8,025 | 8,025 |
| | | Point de Galle | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,895 | " | " | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| | | Suez | " | " | " | " | " | " | 800 | " | 17,550 | 3,750 | " | " | 800 | 800 |
| | | Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | 800 | 6,230 | " | " | " | " | 79,055 | 79,055 |
| Grand Total of Imports | | | " | " | " | " | " | " | 800 | 25,830 | 17,550 | 4,475 | " | " | 98,955 | 98,955 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 17,550 | 4,475 | | | | |

Signed/ W. B. Bayley
Secretary.

To

ported by Sea.

| Bullion | Silver Company's Rupees | Gold Rupees | Silver Individuals | Grand Total of Bullion and Specie Exported |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " |

Circular

Bd. No. 1860

Circular to all Collectors
Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars ---

30

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to obtain from you for the information of Government a Statement shewing the number & costs of the Police force Employed in your District as well as the strictly Revenue Establishment.

2 The Board are aware that many peons are employed both in Revenue and Police duties, but they leave it to you to distinguish which should be entered as Revenue and which are actually paid as Police.

3 A separate List should be made of each Establishment - each list should be divided into "Bozoor" and "Talook" and should shew the number of individuals employed under one particular denomination as Police Ameens, Magistrates, Sarahnevees, Cutwals, Roysums, Duffadars, Deloyts, Sirchushms, Ashamee Peons, Police Peons &c. and the total monthly pay of each denomination - Where persons of the same denomination receive different rates of pay the total will still be entered, with a remark stating their pay to range from so many Rupees to so many Rupees.

4 A Supplementary list should contain the number of Cuttobady Peons, or any armed force permanently maintained, also the number of Taliaries and Tolies giving the total of their monthly Pay and noting if in addition to money wages they receive fees or hold Enam land, and to what estimated amount.

5 Should there be delay in preparing the Supplementary list, you will be good enough to send the other at once.

6. The list of Revenue Establishment, added to the List of Police Establishment, should correspond with the total of the Moyen Jabitah submitted periodically to this Office.

7. Should you have on your Establishment persons who may be supposed to belong to the Judicial or other Department of which the Board of Revenue have no cognizance, you will enter them in a separate List.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ---
4th September 1854 ---

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To



To The Collectors of
Vizagapatam &
Rajahmundry.

31

Bd. No. 1862

To The Collectors of Vizagapatam & Rajahmundry

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the statement of Sea Customs Collections for 1853/54 —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

32

To The Collectors of
Vizagapatam &c.

Bd. No. 1860

To The Collectors of Vizagapatam Masulipatam and Canara

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the Import and Export Bullion Statements for the month of July 1854 —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

To

To The Salt Districts
excepting Vizagapatam and Chingleput

33

Bd No. 1873.
To Salt Districts excepting Vizagapatam and Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Salt account Current for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

To The Collector of
Masulipatam

34

Bd No. 1875
To The Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Bimonthly Statements of Salt available for Export to Calcutta on "private trade".

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

To



To The Acting Collector of
Nellore

35

Bd No. 1865
To The Acting Collector of Nellore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the following periodical Returns—
Mayenzabitah for 30th April 1854—
Statement of persons Convicted and confined for half year ending 30th June 1854.
Do. of alterations in fines for quarter ending 30th June 1854.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

To The Collector of
Bellary

36

Bd No. 1870
To The Collector of Bellary

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the following periodical Returns
Statement of public Servants suspended and dismissed for Quarter ending 30th June 1854

Statement

Statements of Fines and of alterations in Fines for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.

Do: of persons charged and of those convicted under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of March, April, May and June 1854.

Do — of persons charged and of those convicted under Regulation IX of 1822 for ½ year ending 30th June 1854.

Do: of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Months of April to June 1854.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st September 1854

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

37

To The Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah.

Bd. No. 1861
To The Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the following periodical Returns—

Statement of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail and of those released and of casualties for quarter ending



ending 30th June 1854.—

Statement of Fines and of alterations in Fines for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.—

Statement of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.—

Do: of persons convicted and confined for half year ending 30th June 1854.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st September, 1854

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

38

To. The Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool.

Bd. No. 1868.
To The Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the following Returns—

List of Establishment as it stood on 30th April 1854.—

Do of Tahsildars as it stood on the 30th April 1854.—

List

List of letters remaining unanswered for Quarter ending September and December 1853. and March and June 1854.—

Statements of Revenue Defaulters confined and of those released and of Casualities for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.—

Do.— of Fines and alterations in the Fines for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.—

Do.— of Public servants suspended and dismissed for Quarter ending 30th June 1854.—

Do.— of Persons convicted and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for half year ending 30th June 1854.—

Do.— of Persons convicted and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June 1854.—

Do.— of Persons suspended and dismissed for the month of June 1854—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary



39

B.d No. 1863.

To The Acting Collector of Chingleput

To The Acting Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the dispatch of the following periodical Returns—

Statement of Sea Customs Collections for 1853/54.—

Bimonthly Statement of Salt available for Export to Calcutta on "private trade"—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

40

B.d No. 1872

To The Collector of South Arcot

To The Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the Returns of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail and of those released and of Casualities for Quarter ending

11730 Fort St. George 4th September 1854

ending 30th June 1854.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

41

Bd. No. 1859.

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.—

Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue requests that the Collector of Tinnevelly will be so good as to forward by return of Post if possible a duplicate of the Statement No. 1 which accompanied his letter of 22d April 1854 No. 120 respecting Ponnacolum Ayacut lands and is referred to in the 5th para of that letter.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

42

To The Collectors of Malabar & Salem

Bd. No. 1864

To The Collectors of Malabar & Salem.—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of the Statement of persons charged and convicted under Regulation IX of 1822 for the half year ending 30th June 185

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 1st September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

11731 Fort St. George 4th September 1854

Bd. No. 2937.

From the Secretary to Government
43 dated 8th August 1854 — Read Extract &c desiring information on certain points before passing orders for the disposal of the Estate held by the late Minar Vellunky Venkatarama Kistnah Gopaul Row.—

43-44

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.—

45

Bd. No. 3140

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of the Collections of Customs on Imports and Exports on &c British and Foreign bottoms in his District for the Official year 1853/54 or from 1st May 1853 to 30 April 1854.—

46

Bd. No. 3049.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Statement of the Collections of Customs on Imports and Exports on &c British and Foreign bottoms in his District from 1st May 1853 to 30th April 1854.—

45-47

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements.— Ordered to lie over.—

48

Bd. No. 2439.

From the Collector of Salem. Read List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered on the 30th June 1854.—

48-49

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.—

Bd. No. 3186.

From the Collector of Madura. Read letter reporting that there are no returns for the withinmentioned Statement

50

Fort St. George 4th. September 1854

and recommends a reward to the persons, wh
have apprehended the Salt stealing —

79-81

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements ... } Ordered that the con
fiscation and sale of the smuggled Salt o
enumerated in the abovementioned Statements
be authorized. —

82

Mr. No. 1310.

From the Acting Collector of Madras. } Requesting to
furnished with a further supply of 1000 Sal
Rowannahs. —

82-83

Order thereon Ordered to be supplied
accordingly —

" Goldingham

Compd. Wm. D. Gajer



Fort St. George 7th. September 1854.

## No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 7th. September 1854. } I Goldingham Esqre. Second Member
and
W H. Bayley Esqre. Acting Member
Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

1

Read the following Papers

No. 705

13th. No. 2446
From the Chief Secretary to Government ... } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Department Dated 14th. July 1854

Calling on the Board to frame instructions to the local Officers and arrange the necessary details regarding the Local Exhibition for Agricultural prizes and long term leases for Government as early as practicable and appointing a Committee

Para 1 The attention of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been recently engaged in the consideration of the efforts made in past years for the promotion of objects affecting the improvement of the Agricultural and Manufacturing Industries of this Country, and a review of the Proceedings already

Committee for the proposed exhibition of arts and Manufactures to be held in Madras

already on record in connection with this important and interesting subject has satisfied him that altho' a willing and generous desire has been manifested by Government to encourage and support individual or combined exertions directed towards the development of the resources of the country, the success which has attended such efforts has not been satisfactory. such has been the case with the operations of the Madras agri-Horticultural Society whose laudable attempts to introduce the culture of staple Agricultural Products of Commercial Value, have been liberally aided by Government by the offer of pecuniary Prizes and Medals for successful competitors at their annual Exhibitions. So little apparent benefit, however had been derived from this that the Government were at one time disposed to decline any further contributions.-

2 To give the matter over as hopeless, however seems to His Lordship in Council inadvisable, and would only form a source of future regret. It is doubtless disheartening to see the Prizes offered for competition parried away year after year by the



the same individuals and they Merchants of European descent, while little or no emulation is excited among the Natives who have remained indifferent to the efforts made in their behalf. At the same time, it should be remembered that the trial hitherto made has been of short duration-Embracing a period of very few years, that the efforts have been rather desultory than combined or continued, that the Government have taken but a secondary part in them and that they have almost entirely been confined to the Presidency. It cannot therefore be surprising that so little has been achieved.-

3 It appears to His Lordship in Council that a comprehensive movement on the part of Government would be more likely to be attended with important and rapidly beneficial effects, for it is clear that in the present state of this country where the objects of such movements are little understood and much less appreciated by the Natives, the efforts of private individuals or bodies of men, however well directed

directed, can hardly be expected to influence the great mass of the People. The Government alone from its position is fitted to take the initiative and to impart the necessary impulse- and the effort should be general, generous and long continued to ensure success.-

4 The object should be to encourage useful productions of all kinds in agriculture, manufactures and in Arts.-

5 As regards the first of these branches of Industry, it seems from past experience almost useless to hold any meetings in Madras for the exhibition of Agricultural Products- Our operations should, on the contrary, be directed exclusively to the Provinces and to the Native Producers who should be made to understand the object in view and its intimate connection with their interests. It is idle to expect in the present state of things that the Native Ryot will send his produce to the Presidency to compete for the Government Prizes- Local exhibitions and Prizes awarded on the spot can alone produce a spirit of discussion and emulation with their concomitant results. The course was indeed authorized by Government



by Government in 1845, but there is reason to believe that that the object was never properly and widely known in the Provinces and that the subject has been lost sight of, the order remaining a dead letter in most Districts to this day- To ensure success, the subject should be taken up in earnest by the local authorities- Meetings should be regularly held in every Collectorate under the direction of the Collectors who would best know from their knowledge of the general features and Capabilities of their Districts for what productions they were most fitted, and for what articles it would be most desirable to offer Prizes- From the exhibition taking place in the Provinces and the respective merits of the Products brought forward being examined and discussed and the Prizes awarded to the successful competitors amidst a concourse of their own friends and neighbours, the best possible effect may be expected to be produced.-

6 The Government also observe that the Prizes offered should rather be pecuniary grants than medals, which latter confer a mark of distinction possessing no attraction in the eyes of the generality

generality of the Natives, and than which money donations are likely to act as far better incentives to exertion. This indeed, is in accordance with the views of the Honorable Court of Directors as conveyed in a recent Despatch dated 6th July 1853.

Para 45

7 These Prizes will, as heretofore, be given by Government, leaving it optional with private individuals who may be so inclined to add any extra Prizes for particular purposes.

8 It might be found in some cases more advantageous to hold a combined meeting for two or three adjoining Collectorates. For example, a meeting might be held at Wallajahnggar the resort of natives trading with the Interior for the Districts of North Arcot, Salem & Chingleput, at which the Collectors of those Districts might be present with their establishments and some of the influential landholders of their Districts, and this being within easy reach of the Presidency might be attended by the Merchants of Madras as well as some of the officers of Government. A similar meeting might be arranged by the Collectors of Tanjore,



South Arcot and Trichinopoly at some central spot, and also by the Collectors of Bellary, Kurnool, and Cuddapah. but such details should be left to the discretion of the Collectors.

9 His Lordship in Council considers that it would also add to the effect of the plan, if a general Meeting were to be held annually for general competition, in which Prizes of a higher class should be distributed, and which might be attended with good effect, by the higher Officers of Government - but it could perhaps be brought into operation only by degrees, as the success of the initial measures rendered, those of a more comprehensive nature necessary.

10 The primary object to be held in view in encouraging agricultural experiments should be to avoid the Prizes being given away to speculators who may raise small quantities of Produce which is too often over-nursed, during its brief growth, and affords no criterion of the facilities for making it a staple product of the Country. The Prizes should always be given to bona fide Farmers,

and

Fort St. George 7th. September 1851.

that under proper encouragement they would make rapid progress and much good might be effected. He accordingly resolves to establish an exhibition to be held at the Banqueting hall early in next year. Such an exhibition might probably be made to pay a portion of the expences incurred, by allowing early admissions before it should be thrown open to the Public.

13 With the foregoing communication of his intentions and views, His Lordship in Council resolves to call upon the Board of Revenue to issue the necessary instructions to the local Officers, and in communication with them, arrange the necessary details regarding the local exhibitions for agricultural Prizes and lay before Government at an early date a complete scheme for giving effect to those views.

14 He likewise resolves to appoint the undermentioned Gentlemen to form a Committee in Madras at which His Lordship will himself preside, who will make generally known the wishes of Government regarding the proposed exhibition of Arts and Manufactures in the Presidency and draw up a scheme



Fort St. George 7th. September 1851.

scheme of all the minor and subsidiary arrangements necessary for carrying out this object.

Honorable J. F. Thomas Esqre
W. E. Morehead Esqre
W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre
Major J. T. Smithe
Dr. A. Hunter
" E. G. Balfour.
" H. F. C. Cleghorn
" G. Smith.

15 His Lordship in Council desires to take this opportunity of expressing his regret that nothing should have as yet been done under this Presidency for acquiring an extensive and practical knowledge of the inexhaustible sources of Mineral and Vegetable productions of the vast Territories under this Government.

16 At present a small pecuniary contribution to the Botanical Gardens which are supported by private subscriptions comprises all that is done in Madras, whilst an educated Horticulturist and Gardens are supported at Ootacamund.

At

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

17

At Calcutta, Bombay and it is believed, in the North Western Provinces and the Punjaub, Botanical Gardens are kept up at the sole expense of Government, and the Governor in Council has no hesitation in stating that a similar Establishment ought to be supported here and that in addition to the Gardens at Madras and in the Neilgherries with their respective Curators and Establishments, not less than two able and experienced Botanists and Mineralogists of sound practical knowledge and science should be kept continually moving about the Country, in order that a thorough knowledge of the rich and varied productions of Southern India in these important Departments of Nature might be rapidly acquired and turned to account. This object, it is evident, cannot be accomplished by the amateur exertions of men not fully Masters of the Science now practically acquainted with the arts and Manufactures of Europe.

The views of such men will be as likely to mislead as not, and they will never be received with confidence by



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

by Capitalists, and will never therefore lead to any practical or successful results. Of the importance of thus bringing Western Science and appliances to bear upon the dormant Natural resources of the Country, and the ultimate benefits which may be expected to accrue from such researches, there can hardly be a doubt, and the Governor in Council therefore trusts that the Honorable the Court of Directors, to whom these views will be communicated, will be pleased to approve of the proposition, and sanction the expense of the employment of competent men from Europe for carrying out the objects indicated.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be forwarded to the Board of Revenue

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in Consequence.

(1-2)

Circular.

B. No. 1907
To all the Collectors and Commissioners Northern Circars

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of

of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance the accompanying Extract Minutes Consultation dated 14th July 1854, on the efforts to be made for the promotion of objects, affecting the agricultural and manufacturing industries of this Country.

2 You will observe the great importance attached by Government to this subject. The nature of the exertions hitherto made and the small measure of success that has followed are traced in the papers now transmitted to you. The Government now propose to take the initiative, as best calculated to influence the great mass of the people, and to act on a comprehensive plan. The effort on their part they observe, should be "general, generous and long continued to ensure success" a hearty and well sustained co-operation is therefore looked for from the Officers in the Provinces.

3 The object is to encourage useful productions of all kinds in agriculture and Manufactures and in arts, but the first only will engage your attention under the present orders.

4 For the purpose in question meetings for the award of Prizes will be no



no longer held at Madras. Operations are to be directed exclusively to the Provinces, and to Native Producers, who are to be made "to understand the object in view and its intimate connection with their interests" Meetings are to be regularly held either in each Collectorate or at stated places, combining two or three Collectorates and the merits of the products brought forward, discussed, and prizes awarded amidst the assembled Ryots & others.

5 The prizes are to be pecuniary, they are not to be awarded to speculators who may raise small quantities of produce merely for the exhibition without shewing whether it can become a staple product of the Country, but to bona fide Farmers, and for superior products, sufficient in quantity to indicate that it can be extensively grown. attention is to be given to such products, it is stated, as from their abundance and easy cultivation, are likely to become valuable as articles of Commerce. Specimens of new products will be sent to England, when desirable, in order that their value in the Market may be ascertained.

6 It is not to be expected that the important object in view can be at once attained

attained but the Board are satisfied that the initial arrangements now ordered, if undertaken in earnest, by the local officers will be attended with the best immediate results, and that a foundation will be laid for a fuller development of the resources of the Country as a knowledge of what it is capable of producing is more generally diffused.

7 The Board are of opinion that combined meetings may be held with the best effect; Wallajahnugger has been indicated by Government as probably a fit place for this purpose for the Districts of North Arcot, Chingleput and Salem, Collectors will settle among themselves, each with the Collectors of the adjoining Districts as to the most eligible places and best time for holding these meetings, but for the first year it seems proper that they should take place at the principal station in each District. It occurs to the Board that Meetings should not be held at the same time generally - Traders and the more substantial Ryots may wish to be present at more than one Meeting; and it seems desirable that the arrangements should



should be such as may admit of their doing so.

8 The points then on which the Board require information from Collectors, are.

Firstly - The time and places for holding the Meetings in question -

Secondly - What productions are most fitted to the District and for what articles it would be most desirable to offer prizes -

Thirdly - The description of prizes to be offered - In accordance with the opinion of Government, the prizes should generally consist of donations of money - but as exhibitors of wealth and standing might be averse to receiving such rewards, Collectors are to propose some honorary decoration for these cases - a medal is often objected to, as connected in the native mind with the idea of a badge, but such ornaments as the native community are accustomed to wear, for example a gold ring or an armlet with a suitable inscription, would probably be

11754

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

be valued.

9 Collectors will confer with persons of intelligence among the Ryots and others of their respective Districts as to the best mode of carrying out the intentions of Government, and the Board trust that their arrangements will be sufficiently matured within one month after the receipt of this letter to admit of their reporting on the subject.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
7th September 1854

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

True Extract

11755

3

Bd No 2855

From the Secy to Government

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

No 930

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department, Under date the 8th August 1854

Read the following letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

/Here enter 20th July 1854 No 318/

Para. 1. With the above letter the Board of Revenue submit reports on the management during 1853 of the Sungerhanas maintained at Kurnool, Nellore, Negapatain, Comboconum, Coimbatore, Arcot and Vellore.—

2 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers the condition of these Sungerhanahs to be generally satisfactory and sanctions the charges incurred on their account during 1853 amounting to Rupees /10,313. 6 – 10/ ten thousand three hundred and thirteen annas Six and Pice ten as shown below.—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kurnool | Rupees | 351 | " " |
| Nellore | | 2812 | 10 8 |
| Tanjore | | 1328 | 13 3 |
| Coimbatore | | 452 | 12 6 |
| North Arcot | | 5368 | 2 5 |
| | Rupees | 10313 | 6 10 |

3 The Collector of Nellore having been requested in Extract Minutes of Consultation 15th November

November last to reconsider the plan of substi=
=tuting cooked food for money payments to
the persons resorting to the Sungerkanah
at that station, states that he still continues
averse to the change. His objections, as advanced
in his report are not admitted by the Board
to be valid. "It was this plan" they state,
"of regularly maintaining a certain number
"of out-door pensioners, by granting a cu
"money allowance, which he to the fla=
="grant abuses reported by the Collector
"of North arcot and Coimbatore and the
"Board are strongly of opinion that
"these irregularities are inherent in the system
"and cannot be prevented by any vigilance
"on the part of the Collector" In these
views the Governor in Council entirely
concurs, and he therefore desires that the
system of money payments at Nellore be
immediately discontinued in the cases of all parties
residing in the Town or its vicinity and cooked
food be issued instead. In respect to the
few Sungardars who may be living at
a distance from the Hoozoor, it will
be sufficient to give a notice of 3 months



within which the parties may remove to Nellore
to be fed there, and after which their allowances
in cash will positively cease - except in the case
of those who must be very few, who from
infirmity and decrepitude are utterly unable to
leave their villages, and whose money payments
may therefore be continued for their lives. -

4 The Board will instruct the
Collector to see that the food distributed cu
is in all practicable cases eaten on the cu
spot, and that the benefits of the Institu=
=tion are strictly confined to the destitute poor,
who by age, disease, or accident, may be phy=
=sically incapacitated from earning a livelihood. -

5 From the Statement enclosed
in the Report from Kurnool Col. 8 it would
seem that money allowances, though on a cu
moderate scale are also given at that place
if so, they should be stopped and food
be issued in lieu. -

6 With regard to the suggestion
of the Board in para: 16, that a small Dis=
=pensary be opened in connection with the
Sungurkanah at Nellore from the surplus
funds

funds that may accrue in consequence of the charge above sanctioned it is observed that there is already a Dispensary at that Station.—

See Fort St. George Gazette 1st April 1842 page 251

/True Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycraft

Secretary to Government

3-4

Bd. No. 1892

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collectors of the Districts noted in the Margin for their information and guidance.—

Kurnool
Nellore
Tanjore
Coimbatore
North Arcot

5

Bd. No. 2886

From the Secretary to Government — — — 1854

No. 936

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 10th August

Read the following letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

/Here enter 27th July 1854 No. 331/

Under the circumstances represented in the above letter and in the correspondence accompanying it the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the measure proposed by the Collector of Tanjore and supported



supported by the Board of Revenue for erecting a Choultry at Puttoocottah out of the proceeds of the endowment of the disused Choultry of Paroovalundum and of transferring to it so much of that endowment as may be sufficient for its maintenance. With regard to the mode in which the Board propose to employ the remainder of the endowment or that of establishing a Dispensary at Puttoocottah, the Government request that they will consult the Collector and ascertain from him whether arrangements can be made for the due Superintendence of such an institution at Puttoocottah and for keeping it in a state of efficiency.

5-6

/Signed/ T. Pycraft

Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 1925

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated with the Boards letter to the Collector of Tanjore who will furnish the information called for by Government at the end of para 2.—

7

Bd. No. 3072

From the Secretary to Government

No. 961

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th August 1854

From Board 27th July 1854

Agreeably to the recommendation of

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for continuing the ‡ Enam lands in the Madura Poonjah and Nunjah Cauv. 5-8½ assessed at Rupees — 30.10.11 district held by the deceased Coolaasooba in the name of her grand daughter Muhdaambee subject to report on lapse.—

By order

/Signed/ J. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

(7-8)

Bd. No. 1886

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated with the Boards Remarks, to the Collector of Madura, whose attention is called to the Boards observations.—

---

(9)

Bd. No. 2991

No. 972

From the Secretary to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department Under date the 19th August 1854—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 8th May 1854, No. 189/

With the above Extract from their proceedings the Board of Revenue submit a letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly with Six Statements showing the allowances paid by deduction from the Fisli Kundy Peis in



Fort St. George 7th September 1854

in his District.—

2. The payments entered in the Statements No. 1 and 2 are stated to be service as shown by the entries in Mr. Pushington's list in the Jummabundy accounts of Fuslies 1211 to 1217. and in the Registers of Messrs Cotton Huddleston and other former Collectors of the district. The allowances in Statement No. 1 are for ceremonies to be performed services to be rendered in different Pagodas. They are in number 117 amounting collectively to Rupees 3,510-2-.- of which 2,813-2-.- is in money and 697 in Grain or on an average Rupees 30 each but ranging from Rupees 140 to 7 annas. They are said / Statement 1 Col. 11/ to be of a date previous to the assumption of the Provinces by the British Government. The Collector has recommended that arable Waste lands may be granted in lieu of these Grain and Money payments. The Governor in Council assents to this proposal, and he desires that the Board will instruct the Collector accordingly to carry it out. The allowances, the payment of which has been suspended, will be made good up to the date on which the lands are made over.—

3 The allowances borne in list 1 are not

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

not attached to any religious institutions but have been held chiefly for reading Vedas and Pooranums in Villages or by the banks of rivers or for instructing Children in the Vedas and Shastrums. There seems nothing in these grants which should render it necessary to continue them in perpetuity. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that they be paid to the parties now in enjoyment for their maintenance during their lives and be resumed on their deaths. the incumbents being freed from all obligation of performing service and from all interference on the part of the District officers. It is observed that No. 2 is for reading Punchangum in the Cutcherry, this reading will be immediately discontinued.—

4 The entries in the four remaining Statements Nos. 3-4-5-6 are merely subsistance grants which will be continued for the lives of the parties and fall in to Government on lapses occurring.

5 The allowances, the temporary continuance of which is authorized in the two preceding paras, will be paid in arrears from the date of last disbursement.—

6 The Statements that accompanied the Collectors letter will be communicated to the Civil Auditor for his information and guidance.

(9-10)

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 1889
Order thereon

Order to be communicated with the Boards Proceedings to the Collector of Tinnevelly for his information and guidance.—

J.B.B.

Esctract



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.—

Bd. No. 3102
From the Secy. to Government

(11)

No. 1000

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, Under dated the 24th August 1854.—

Read again letter from the Advocate General dated 14th March and recorded in Consultation 21st April 1854 No. 297.—

Read also the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 24th July 1854 No. 307)

In his letter of the 14th March last, the Advocate General in reply to the reference made to him in Extract Minutes of Consultation 23 December 1853 reports that he quite concurs with the Board of Revenue that land within the local limits of Madras is not saleable under the provisions of act XII of 1851 for arrears of Revenue or Quit rent, and that he is not aware of any obstacle to the passing of an act authorizing the Sale of land so situated for arrears of Revenue, if accompanied with due provisions for protecting the interests of absent proprietors, other than the indisposition to pass any Legislative enactments not shewn to be absolutely and imperatively required.—

2. The Advocate General further offers some remarks.

remarks upon the forms of Government grants and Certificates under which lands are held at Madras and seems to object to those now in use- drawn up by a former Advocate General Mr. (afterwards Sir Herbert) Compton in 1828 and employed ever since on the ground that they appear to commit the Collector to an enquiry into the title of the party by whom the land is held- as also that they contain a ratification on the part of the Company of the procedure whereby the land has come, or is said to have come into the possession of its present holder.-

3. The letter of the Advocate General having been referred by Government for the observations of the Board of Revenue, and by them communicated to the Acting Collector of Madras, that Officer remarks that the views of the present Advocate General are at variance with those held by his predecessor Mr. George Norton, as shewn in his letter of 9th September 1828 to which Mr. Norton there distinctly states that these forms of conveyance do not pledge the Government to the correctness of the Titles, and that it is not expedient that the Collector should be any further strict in his scrutiny than to acquire a reasonable certainty of the



the correctness of the applicants title- as regards the practical bearing of the matter Mr. Stokes adds "it may be sufficient to advert to the fact that during the twenty six years in which Mr. Norton's forms have been in use, not a single instance appears on record in which their suitableness has been publicly contested or Government involved in any legal liability by their use."-

4. In sending up the above letter from the Acting Collector, the Board of Revenue repeat their recommendation that the Government of India be applied to for the passing of a short act conferring upon the Revenue authorities of the Madras Collectorate, the power to sell lands for arrears of rent due to Government- They think that the forms of grant drawn up by Mr. Norton should be retained suggesting that "if necessary that part of them which seems to pledge Government to the correctness of the title may be omitted:" but observing that such was not the view of the Advocate General and that in the 26 years during which these Forms have been in use, the liability of Government has in no single instance been adduced."-

5. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council on a review of this subject is clearly of

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

of opinion that an Act as sought for by the Board, legalizing the Sale of land within the Madras Collectorate for arrears of Revenue, would be a decided improvement, and he therefore requests that the Advocate General will in communication with the Acting Collector of Madras prepare the draft of such an Enactment for submission to the Legislative Council, adverting to the possibility of the Madras Collectorate being eventually merged into that of Chingleput, it is desirable that following the precedent of Act XIX 1852. Sec XL. the draft should be so worded as to include any Officer charged with the Collection of the public revenue within the Town of Madras under whatever designation.-

b. With respect to the forms of grants and Certificates the question is one of so strictly a legal character that the Government are not prepared to offer an opinion upon it.- Looking to the length of time during which the present forms have been in use without apparently any disadvantageous results to Government, they are not now disposed to authorize a



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

change but the remarks of the Advocate General will be communicated to the Legislative Council for their consideration in connection with the Draft act.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(11-12)

Bd. No. 1933.

Order thereon. Ordered to be Communicated, with the Board's Proceedings to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance.-

To

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

No. 54.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

13

Bd. No. 2864.
From the Sub: Collr. in Charge of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 20th April last, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the transfer of Naroopuntoola Seetapatty row Tahsildar of Buddapoody in the Nellore District, and of Coomba-conum Soobarow Amildar of Cottapilly in this Zillah, has been arranged between the Collector of Nellore and the late Collector of this District, and will be carried out if approved by the Board.

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 5th August 1854.

(Signed) G. N. Taylor
Sub Collr. in Charge.

Bd. No. 1890.

Proceedings thereon.-

13-14

The Board of Revenue approve of the transfer of Naroopuntoola Seetapatty Row, Tahsildar of Buddapoody in the Nellore District, and of Coombaconum Soobarow, Amildar of Cottapilly in the Rajahmundry



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

Rajahmundry District, as arranged by their respective Collectors; and desire that a Copy of these proceedings be forwarded, for information and guidance, to the Sub Collector in Charge of Rajahmundry and the Acting Collector of Nellore.

---

No. 70.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

15

Bd. No. 3251.
From the Sub. Collr. in Charge of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant, and to state that 26 Copies of Telugoo translation of Circular Orders of the Board of Revenue, are required for the use of the Native Revenue Establishment and principal Native Officers in this District as follows.-

1. For the use of the Native Establishment of Collector's Huzzoor Cutcherry.-
1. Do. Sub Collector's Do.
1. Do. Head Assistant Collector's Do.

Assistant

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

1. For the use of the Head Sheristadar
1. Do. Sub Sheristadar.
1. Do. Naib Sheristadar
14. Do. of Amildars.
2. Do. Two Ameens.
2. Do. of Darogahs.
1 Do. — Head Revenue Officer of Coringa.
26 Total

Rajahmundry District
Rajahmundry - - -
1st September 1854

(Signed) G. N. Taylor
Sub Coll. in Charge.

Order thereon -

(15-16)

Ordered to lie over

---

No. 105.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(17)

Bd. No. 2868.
From the Coll. of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to furnish me with 4 Copies of the Native Almanac for the year Anunda for the use of my Office and those of my Assistants. -

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 7th August 1854.

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Collector.

The



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

Bd. No. 1891.

Proceedings thereon.

(17-18)

The Board observe that agreeably to the request contained in the Collector's letter of 25th September 1848, the Government authorized them to purchase Annually and Forward to Masulipatam three Copies of the Native Almanac. This Number was accordingly purchased for the Current year and despatched to Mr. Lushington on the 18th March 1854. The Board are therefore unable to comply with the present requisition of the Collector. The Substitution of the South Indian Vernacular Almanac for the Native Compilation previously purchased for distribution to Collectors was authorized by the Board at the Suggestion of the College Board. -

---

No. 118.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(19)

Bd. No. 3259.
From the Coll. of Masulipatam
Forwarding Copy of the draft letter regarding the

Sir.

In acknowledging your letter of the 27th Ultimo, I beg to express my

the uncovenanted European Assistants-

my regret that so much delay has occurred in transmitting a reply to your Circular of 28th October 1852, and in explanation to state that a reply was drafted so far back as the Month of May in last year, expressing my views on the proposal, that I put it aside for further consideration in connexion with other contemplated arrangements for the more efficient administration of the affairs of this District, and that the Head Writer, the only man in the Department in this District who had any knowledge of the routine work of the Office, having died, the fact that the Circular still remained unacknowledged, had not been brought to my attention before the receipt of your letter now under acknowledgement and some time elapsed before it, and the reply which had been prepared could be discovered.-

2. In that letter, Copy of which is transmitted, I advocated the employment of East Indian or uncovenanted European Assistants both in the Salt and Land Customs Department in this District,

recommending



recommending for reasons stated, that the Uncovenanted Assistant in Charge of the latter should be a Deputy Magistrate under Act XV of 1843. The recent abolition of all land Customs between the British territory and that of His Highness the Nizam of course nullifies that proposal so far as it had reference to the Revenue from Land Customs. My application for the Establishment of a Sub Collectorate in the frontier Talooks of this District has been already submitted with the favorable recommendation of the Government of Fort St. George to the Supreme Government, and at present I will not offer any other recommendation in regard to the frontier Talooks.-

3. Whatever may be the result of the present enquiries into the existing system of realizing a Revenue from Salt and whether it remain as at present or be replaced by the far less easily controlled System of excise, I hold that an energetic East Indian on a suitable Salary ought, subject to the Control of the Collector, to be placed in charge of the Salt Establishments in this District. My

Correspondence

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

Assistants as placing them in charge of large Towns, I believe that there are few places in the Madras Presidency in which if we really intend to have an effective Police and at once to check crime and to prevent its Commission with impunity, the services of a really able East Indian Uncovenanted Assistant with the powers of Deputy Magistrate would be more valuable than in the Town of Masulipatam.-

Masulipatam, -
Coll.rs Cutcherry, -
30th August 1854. -

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Collector

No. 1.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings, dated 28th October 1852, recording Extract Minutes Consultation dated 1st June and 14th May 1852, and directing me to report upon the expediency of having the



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

the Regulations and acts therein referred to regarding the appointment of Uncovenanted Deputy Collectors and Magistrates extended to the Madras Presidency, I have the honor to state in reply my full conviction that it will be most desirable to extend the Regulations and acts in question to this Presidency as they have been recently to that of Bombay.-

2. I am of opinion that the extension of these Regulations to this District will be attended with special benefits, but more particularly in reference to the appointment of a Deputy Collector to take the supervision of the Departments in connection with the Salt and Sayer Revenue at Buntomilly and Juggiapett.

3. The investigation into the Malversation of this District commenced by the Commissioner for the Northern Circars, and continued by the Special Assistant Collector, have elicited the fact that in connexion with both these Departments, the most extensive frauds can be successfully carried out for a series of years in spite of every precaution

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

precaution, and every check on the part of the European Officers of Government. This certainly is in part explained by the fact that the Agents employed in the immediate Superintendence of these Departments have been always underpaid and altogether untrustworthy Checks have been multiplied for the prevention of frauds, but hitherto in vain, chiefly it appears to me because an inferior description of public native Servants has been employed on a totally inadequate salary in positions of certainly important trust.-

4. As an illustration of my views on this subject. I beg leave to point out that the pay of the Salt Ameen at Buntoomilly has been hitherto for a Number of years about Rs. 60 a Month or Rs. 720 per Annum. The amount of Salt annually disposed of by this Official (generally a Bramin of no extraordinary character for integrity) for the last 10 years is shewn Marginally. It will be seen at a glance that the temptations to peculation specially in a District

| Publics Ledger | No. of Years | Value in Rupees. |
|---|---|---|
| 1251. | | |
| 1252. | | |
| 1253. | | |
| 1254. | | |
| 1255. | | |
| 1256. | | |
| 1257. | | |
| 1258. | | |
| 1259. | | |
| 1260 | | |
| 1261. | | |

where



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

where official profligacy amongst the native public Servants has been long proverbial, have been very great. The facilities for frauds even of the most extensive kind are probably greater in the Department of the Salt Revenue than in any other, and their detection when the whole of the Native Subordinates of the Collector are acting in combination almost impossible.-

5. To correct this state of things and introduce a more wholesome system as appears to be possible in only one way namely by placing at the head of the Department as a Superintendent, of the details in connection with the manufacture and sale of the Article, an Officer who individually offers some guarantee for honesty by his known character and principles, and who being adequately paid shall be comparatively above temptation by its being less worth his while to connive at dishonest practices

6. On these grounds I would strongly recommend the appointment of a Deputy Collector with a Salary of from 300 to 400 Rupees a Month for the Superintendence of the Manufacture

and

redress has effectually checked complaints. To station an Assistant Magistrate at Juggeahpet has been found impossible, for this District has ever been under officered and now the construction of the Anicut over the Kistna at Bezwarah requires the constant presence of the Head Assistant at that place where his time and attention are fully occupied in details connected with that work as in the Revenue arrangements of the Talooks under his charge.

9 The adoption of the measures I have ventured to suggest in these two instances will doubtless be attended with expence, but I trust I have satisfactorily shown that the work now done is the cheaply, unsatisfactorily performed, the Agents employed are wholly without principle, and being under paid are therefore hardly expected to be honest. The salaries &c at present granted are at the best &c premia on dishonesty, and where Agents have been employed whose interest it has been to play into the hands of their &c native superiors in Office the loss to Government



Government has been consequently very large.

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 31st May 1853

Collector

/True Copy /Signed/ T. D. Lushington Collector

Ordered to lie over.—

Order thereon.—

19-20

No. 119

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

21

Pt. No. 3254

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir,

Since my last report dated the 21st Ultimo, upon the state of the Current season, showers have been reported in nearly all the Talooks, but on the whole the fall of rain has been less than was desirable.

The deficiency of water in the Tanks of Goodewadah Talook mentioned in my last Report has in some measure been made up by a heavy fall of rain in this Talook, but many of the Tanks of the Deevy and Pentapaud Talooks had not received any additional supply until the date of the latest report. The progress of the young plants is favorably spoken of

Masulipatam Cutcherry 1st September 1854 } /Signed/ T. D Lushington Collector

11786

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

as directed in the Boards Circular letter of the 13th Ultimo my trimonthly report on the state of the season - Since my last report on the 26th Instant, there has been rain in some parts of the District but no general fall - In some places the rain fell heavily, particularly after the 28th Instant. In Bellary, Codecondah, Pen=gondah, Goallem, and Anantapoor Talooks there has been but little rain reported. At Cudpah Bellary it has been but a mere drizzle - which shows how partial the fall has been - But on the whole I am enabled to report much more favorably than hereto=fore and as rain still seems to be hanging about; and as we are in the best part of our rainy season. I have much hopes that the distress for want of rain will subside

Bellary Collectors Office
31st August 1854 — } (Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

Order thereon -
(25-26) T.D.C.
Ordered to be recorded -
Read again Tabular Report from the Collr of Cuddapah dated 14th January and entered in Consultn of 24th April 1854 -

To

11787

Fort St. George 7th September 1854 -

No. 54

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

(27)

B. No. 2495
From the Collector of Cuddapah } Sir, Para 1 -

affording information in respect to the age of the deceased in No. 466 of the Office Register of Enams and the natural relationship of the adopted son to him as required in the Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 15th June 1854 -

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 15th June 1854, wherein the Collector is called upon to state the age of the deceased in No. 466 of the Office Register of Enams and the natural rela=tionship of the adopted Son to him, and in compliance with their request, I beg to state that on the receipt of the above proceedings, an application was made to the Tahsildar of Hummulmadoogoo talook for the infor=mation required - the following is the result of his enquiry -

2. The age of the deceased, Soonaty=a Narasimhacharloo at the time of his demise is stated to be 56 years, and the adoptive Son named Ramacharloo is of the same "Gotrum" or tribe with the deceased, but is not connected with him by blood - The father of

of the deceased named Soomaty Kristnachari is stated to have died in Fasly 12 1254 / 1834-35 when his son, the last encumbent, succeeded to the Shotrium, and the adoption took place when the boy was four years of age, prior to the adoptive father succeeding to the Shotrium.—

Cuddapah District, —
Collector's Office, on Circuit,
Muddenpully,
12th July 1854 —

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector

27-28

No. 418.

Bd. No. 1897.
Proceedings thereon

In their remarks dated 24th April 1854 on the above case, the Board expressed doubts as to the adoption said to have been made by the deceased; and proposed to submit the case separately for the orders of Government after the receipt of certain information they had called for. This information has now been supplied by the Collector's letter of the 12th July 1854.—

In Cons. 7th Sept. 1854.

2. From this it appears that the adopted



adopted son is not connected by blood with the deceased; but as the Shrotrium has apparently been a long time in the possession of the family, who are reported to be in indigent circumstances, the Board would recommend that the Enam be continued in the name of Soobamah the Widow of the

C.O. 15th Sept. Page 38 deceased incumbent, for life.—

Bd. No. 2930

From the Collector of Cuddapah — Read letter from the Sub Collector in Charge of Cuddapah dated 12th

29

August 1854, Submitting Copy of a report on the State of the Season in the Sub Division of the Cuddapah District — with reference to Board's Circular of 19th January 1854.—

29-30

Bd. No. 1913.
Resolution thereon

Resolved that the letter recorded above with its enclosure, be transferred to the Department of Public Works for disposal.—

---

No. 72.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

31

Bd. No. 3275

From the Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah

During the period from

from the 17th to the 26th Ultimo, rain fell, on the 25th Ultimo over most part of this District to an Extent sufficient to be beneficial to the Standing crops and for the purpose of ploughing. The tanks did not receive water, I am glad to say that heavy Showers have fallen subsequent to the latter date which however is the latest date of report from the talooks.—

Caddapah, — —
Collectors Office,
1st September 1854

Signed A. Wedderburn
Sub Collector in charge

31-32

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.—

To,



No. 154

To
B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

33

Bd. No. 2408
From the Aff. Collector
of Chingleput —

Sir,

I have the honor to report on the accompanying Petitions Nos. 1169 and 2166 of 1853 from Narraina Pillay Curnum Merasidar of Venkatapooram in the Zemindary of Palaveram bearing the endorsement of the Board of Revenue dated the 24th June 1853.—

× Cawnies
Wet — 4
Dry — 1 1/4
5 1/4

2 4 Cawnies of wet land out of the 5 1/4 × Cawnies of Maniems assigned to the Office of Curnum held by the Petitioner, were together with other lands of the Village of Venkatapooram, enclosed in the Guindy Park about the year 1823 — From the Statement No. 2 accompanying Mr. Collectors Viveash's letter to the Board of Revenue dated the 26th June 1826 it will be observed that a sum of Rupees 140 was paid in purchase of the Coodevaram right of the Petitioner's Enam lands, but no Compensation was then applied for or granted for the Mailwarum right due to the Petitioner, nor was any deduction allowed in the Peishcush on under that head for this altho' it was granted on all other lands at the rate of 5 Rs 8 As 0 Ps per Cawney — The claims therefore advanced by the Petitioner for compensation for the Mailwarum, are not altogether unreasonable — He desires on payment at the rate allowed to the Zemindar Viz.ts Rupees 5 — 8 — 0 per Cawney, which makes his whole claim Rupees 21 per Annum The sum paid to the Zemindar is universally believed to have been exctravagantly high on considering

11793 Fort St. George 7th. September 1854

considering the nature and condition of the ground purchased, and it will be for the Board to determine whether, considering the time which the Petitioner has permitted to elapse before preferring his claim he should now be paid at the rate claimed, and whether or not in arrears - His explanation is that he was in hopes of obtaining compensation thro' the Zemindar, but this is not considered a valid explanation for the great delay in advancing the present claim -

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapet 8th. July 1854

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

B. No. 1936.
Proceedings thereon. — The claim in arrears will not be allowed, but it is necessary to pass orders as to the future remuneration of the Curnum, if no compensation has, as alleged, been given for his Mailwarum right -

(33-34)

2 In the Margin of Para 2 the Enam is stated to be 4 Cawnies of wet and 1/4 of Dry Land, whereas in a Copy of a portion of Bernard's Jarapady in the Board Office, this specification is exactly reversed - If the Enam is Dry land it is probable that both the Mail and Coodewarum were purchased for the 150 Rupees paid to the Curnum. —

3 The Petitioner moreover in his address to Mr. Cochrane of the 11th August 1853 declares his Enam to be 4 Cawnies of Poonjah. At the time the lands were purchased, it is probable that a Razeenamah or other document was taken from the Petitioner, and search should be made for it. The entire Village of Veneta-poorum is now, it appears, Comprised of Gardens attached to Gentlemen's residences, and it may therefore be unnecessary to entertain a Curnum. —

4 The Board would wish the opinion of the Collector on these points at an early date, also what duties the Petitioner has to perform and what remuneration he receives

To

EBS.

6

11793. Fort St. George 7th. September 1854.

To B Cunliffe Esqre.
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(35)

B. No. 2653
From the Acting
Collr. of Chingleput

Sir.

In compliance with the orders conveyed in the Board's Proceedings of the 5th June and 5th July I have the honor to transmit translates of a memorandum furnished by the Head Sheristadar of this District together with explanations supplied by the Tahsildars of Ostramalore and Poonamallee, of the part taken by them in the sale of Mr. Thomas Juraim's land in Estor Village in September 1852.

Explaining the part taken by the several Native Officers of this District in the sale of Mr. Juraim's lands reports on the merits of the case as shewn by the Records.

As my opinion is required on the conduct of these Parties, I shall briefly review the entire case as it stands on the records of this Office - It would seem that an advertisement for the sale of a considerable number of holdings in the various Talooks of this district for arrears of Revenue was submitted by Mr. Cochrane under date the 21st December 1851 and that this

Advertisement

Advertisement contained a notification for the sale of Mr. Juraim's lands of Cotoor to the extent of 4cr - 10cs/4.

3. Mr. Juraim has two pieces of land in Cotoor - The one under reference is situated at the east end of the Government grounds at Giriendy, it measures Cawnies 1-4cs of dry and 6cr 15-9 5/8 of wet land, the Assessment originally was Rupees 54-10cs-7c upon the whole; but, in July 1259+. Juraim having represented to Mr. Freese the unproductive nature of the ground and the oppressive weight of the taxation the wet assessment was reduced and the demand was fixed at Rupees 18-1-2 with the concurrence of the Board of Revenue.

Settlement Report for July 1260 dated 15th November 1851 Para 20 - Board's Proceedings thereon dated 11th March 1852 - Para 12.

4. Mr. Juraim seems generally to have been in arrears and in 1851 to enforce payment a portion of the garden was attached valued at Rs 38-2-0 about 1/4 part of the whole enclosure. The Balance standing against Juraim was Rupees 38-4-0 and the Talr & authorities, I believe, generally roughly value the land they sequester at



at the amount of the annual assessment with such additions as may be necessary for trees &c standing thereon.

See Urges 21st July.

5. The lands in question were first exposed to sale on the 7th July 1852, by Kistnah now Talsildar of Cotramalore, who reported that no offers could be got for Juraim's lands and that for several other spots of ground in like manner, he could not find purchasers. It should be observed that in July Juraim's balance had been reduced from 38-4cs to 13-4cs only.

6. The said Talsildar having been removed to Cotramalore and succeeded by Streenevassa Row now Talsildar of Poonnamallee, the latter received a peremptory order from Mr. Cochrane to issue a fresh notification intimating that the lands in question i.e. Juraims, and others would be again exposed to sale and specially insisting that the balances should be recovered. In obedience to these injunctions the sale in question took place on the 2nd September 1854.

1852, the various Spots of ground were exposed for sale in their several localities and in presence of the villagers. Iurain's land was put up for sale in his own presence. The sale would not have taken place had he tendered the balance; but he refused ultimately as the Board are aware, the lot was knocked down to one A. Naramiah for Rupees 18, and the Tahsildar reported his Proceedings in a letter to the Collector translate of which herewith accompanies.

7. Enquiries have been made and it has been ascertained that the two Tahsildars referred to in these proceedings are not connected in any away with the Head or Naib Sheristadars.

8. Personally I have inspected the ground in question, it is a very unpromising and unproductive Spot. True it has been valued at Rupees 5·00, but I fancy the valuators would be very sorry to give that sum for the entire holding much less for the fourth part of the garden





garden, the trees are stunted and unhealthy looking, and the place much neglected. A European Gentleman wishing to erect a dwelling house in that locality might give Rupees 5·00 for the <u>whole ground</u> but the land as it now stands, has no value to a Ryot, and at a forced or Sheriffs' sale it would be nearly unsaleable. It is understood that Iurain bought the ground at 10 Pagodas a Cawney, a very high price for waste land of that quality with a high assessment on it, and in my Judgment it has not improved in his hands. In fact the land did not yield enough to pay the wet assessment in any year and consequently it had to be reduced and the arrears written off.

9. On the whole I can see nothing in the circumstances on record to induce the belief that there was any combination or concert in the public servants to get Mr Iurain from a valuable holding to

get

get it for themselves. The land is not a spot that any native is likely to covet. The Salook Officials do not appear to have made any concealment. The Collector seems to have been resolved to enforce the balances standing against Purain, and the latter appears to have been determined not to pay what he considered an unfair demand. The conduct pursued towards him may have been harsh, and possibly uncalled for, but, I do not think that any concert existed in this Office to cause the property to be sold unfairly.

10- The only suspicious circumstance is the Omission in the English advertisement of the Cocoanut trees adverted to in Para: 8 of the Board's Proceedings of the 5th June last. In the Native and Rough English advertisement prepared in this Office for the sale, there is an entry of 100 young trees, why it was omitted in the fair copy is not easily explained, but I am not inclined to



to believe that any impropriety was meant and the notice that has now been taken by the Board and Government of the whole affair will operate as a warning, and must lead to greater care being taken in cases of the like nature in future.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Syedapet, 27th July, 1854.

Signed / P.B. Smollett
Acting Collector.

A

Translation of an Extract from an Urzee from Kistnier Sahreildar of Sydapet, dated the 21st July 1852, addressed to J. H. Cochrane Esqr.

There was a balance of Rupees 38- 4- from Fusly 1258 to 1260 against the garden of Purain the Coffer situated to the East of the Governor's garden in the Village of Cattoor, and comprising 4 Cawnies 17- 13-5/8 of dry and wet land. A sum of Rupees 25 having been recovered consequent on the attachment there remains a balance of Rupees 13- 4- "for which I proceeded to the spot and put up the garden for sale

on

on the 7th Instant but no one having bid for it, it is still under sequestration.

/Signed/ Kistnier
Tahsildar

/True Translation/
/Signed/ P.B. Smollett
Acting Collector

B.

Translation of an Extract of an Urzee dated the 12th September 1852 from Streenevasa Row, Tahsildar of Sydapet to J A Cochrane Esqre -

\+ \+ \+

I proceeded on the 2d to Cotoor met Juvain the African and made a demand on him to discharge the balance of Rupees 13- 4- ., due by him, intimating that in default the garden attached on account of the above balance will be put up to sale. He replied that he owes Rupees 9 and that he paid the remaining Rs 4- 4- ., to the former Talook Duffadar Ragavoolo Naick, (subsequently discharged) he will not now pay it. He added that in the event of the land being



sold at public auction by Government the purchasers must first pay down the value of the land which he purchased at 20 Pagodas per Cawney and then enter on its possession. Putting forth these groundless objections he used all manner of threats to prevent any one from bidding for it at the sale. I gave satisfactory explanation to the parties who attended the sale with the object of bidding for the garden and induced them to compete for it. when Seroya moot Anumtha Naranier offered 18 Rupees and no one was willing to outbid him -

/Signed/ Streenevasa Row
Tahsildar

/True Translation/
/Signed/ P.B. Smollett
Acting Collector

C

Translation of an Urzee dated 13th July 1852 addressed to the Acting Collector of Chunglepet by Kistnah Tahsildar of Cotramalloor late Tahsildar of Sydapet -

Received on the 2d Instant

Fort St George 7th September 1854

Instant a Sarkeed dated the 30th Ultimo requiring explanation from me in respect of my having undervalued 4 Cawnies 10 cws forming a portion of the garden of Thomas Swain in the Village of Cottoor in the Sydapet of Talook which was attached by me for a revenue balance of Rupees 13-2-0 appertaining to Fasly 1260. Explanation is also called for in respect of my having reported that the land in question found no purchasers during the sale.

Mr Swain having adopted no measures for the liquidation of the balance of Rupees 38 outstanding against him, and delayed payment I directed the Zilladar of Valachary in which Sagit / division / the village in question is included, to attach property equivalent to the above balance. The Zilladar attached the lands and sent an account of the same, which I handed over to the Talook Sherishtadar. This Officer after examining it prepared it on

paper



Fort St George 7th September 1854

paper and delivered it to me, when I forwarded the same to the Hoozoor. On the date fixed in the advertisement which was received from the Hoozoor I held the sale, of lands equivalent to the balances then outstanding in the presence of the Villages of Cattoor, Pulliput &c. when no parties having come forward to bid I reported the circumstances to the Hoozoor. I subsequently again held the sale, of the lands when the above Villagers likewise held back under the impression that the land attached was not good, that the fruit trees were not likely to grow with vigour

and

11804

Fort St. George 7th September. 1854

and come into bearing in proper time, and that their safety would be endangered in the event of their purchasing the property without the consent of Juraim. I reported to the Huzoor the circumstance of the property not having found purchasers on this occasion. This was all that occurred. I did not attach the lands.

/Signed/ Kistniah
Tahsildar

/True Translation/

/Signed/ T. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

DG.

Translation.

11805

Fort St. George 7th September. 1854

No. 4.

Translation of an Urzee dated 10th July 1854 from the Tahsildar of Poonamally (late a Tahsildar of Sydapet)

After compliments I have received your order No. 80 dated June 30th requiring me to report in detail the circumstances connected with the sale when I was Tahsildar at Sydapet to Anunta Naraniah of Teroovamoor in Public auction for Rupees 18 a portion of Thomas Juraim's garden lands in Cotoor measuring 4-0 Cawnies 11 Annas which were estimated at Rupees 38-4-0 and held in attachment by my Predecessor Kistnier on account of arrears of Quit Rent for Fasly 1260 amounting to Rupees 13-4-0

Agreeably with the instructions just received, I beg to represent the facts which transpired in the case in the following lines. In 1852 when Kistnier was Tahsildar of Sydapet a Quit Rent of Rupees 13-4-0 was due on Mr Juraim's lands in Cotoor on account of

Fasly

Fort St. George 7th September 1852

Fusly 1260 and the property was sequester-
:ed under the Hoozoor orders. The ac-
:counts of sequestration having been
approved of in the Hoozoor were submit-
:ted to the Board of Revenue and on their
sanction an advertisement issued from
the Hoozoor for the sale of the lands was
duly promulgated, and after the time
therein specified had expired, the said
garden was put up to public sale by
the said Kistniah. No party having
offered to purchase the same the circum-
:stance was brought to the notice
of the Hoozoor and the sale postponed.

When I was transferred
from Manemungalum Taluk to Sydapet
in August 1852 an order No. 129 dated
the 26th August 1852 was issued to me
stating that the representation made
by the late Tahsildar that the said
garden lands as well as others
in Dorvor &c. which had been
attached on account of arrear
of Revenue were unsaleable was
open to objection, and directing me to



Fort St. George 7th September 1852

to issue another advertisement promul-
:gating the intended sale of the lands
to all the European residents in the
neighbourhood and to use my best en-
:deavours in bringing the lands in question
to sale, and intimating that in the event
of my not acting in conformity with the
above instructions and pleading that
no parties came forward to bid for the
lands at the sale, the servants of the
Hoozoor would be deputed to bring the
said lands to sale which if they
should carry out proper notice would
be taken of my conduct, that I need not
consider myself restricted to the
estimated value of the lands in Coloor
and Dorvor, but that they may be knocked
down for whatever smaller amount
may be tendered and that I should
submit a report on the subject re-
=ceiving a deposit proportionate to the
sum for which the lands might be
knocked down, when the necessary orders
would be issued thereon. Agreeably
to the above order the garden was sold

and

and at the time of its sale Rungiah Naidoo Head Rayasum of the Talook, Sashiah Zilladar, the Curnum, the Vet:-tyan and the Talliary and the proprietor of the garden Thomas Surain, were present with me. The highest and last bid made for the lands was that of Anunta Naraniah of Terinamoor for Rupees 18 and no Party came forward to out bid him. I then submitted a Report of the whole matter to the Hoozoor, together with a sale list. At the time of the sale I asked Thomas Surain to liquidate the balance of Rupees 13. 4— " outstanding against him, in default of which, the lands under sequestration would be sold in public auction. He replied that the amount due by him was only Rupees 9 and that as the balance of Rupees 4 had already been paid by him to the Talook Dafadar Ragava Naik who was discharged, he could not pay that amount again, that if his lands were sold in auction



duction the purchaser must pay him at Pagodas 20 per Cawny at which he had purchased them, and then enter on the Premises. Thus, Mr Surain made use of threats and threw obstacles in the way of People who had intentions of purchasing his lands. I escorted the parties present, and put up the lands to competition, when the said Anunta Naraniah offered Rupees 18 for the same, and no Party offered a higher sum. I then brought all the circumstances to the notice of the Hoozoor in Urzee No. 98 dated 12th September 1852. It was left to the Hoozoor to confirm the sale, or to annul it. While so, I received a Takeed forwarding a Certificate in the name of the said Anunta Naraniah and ordering me to place him in possession of the Property purchased by him and to enter his name in the accounts. In the Takeed No. 129 ordering me to use my best exertions in the sale of the garden, no call was made upon me to ascertain the

the correctness of the estimated value of the garden, and as no parties have offered for it the amount at which it was estimated by the late Tahsildar, I considered that the estimate itself was overrated. The Cocoanut trees situated in that portion of the garden under attachment being young and not having come into bearing and as it was usual in this District, to estimate the value of lands sequestered on account of Ryotwaree and Quit Rent balances at the assessment fixed thereon, and as the same has been approved of and sanctioned. I did not consider that the estimate framed by the late Tahsildar. Kistnee was open to question and it was not necessary to report upon the sums at which the adjoining lands were sold.

Under these circumstances it will be seen that I have not acted in this matter on my own responsibility but in obedience of the orders from the Hoozoor, I request your Honr will



will therefore take these facts into your deep consideration and when reporting upon the subject to the Board recommend that they may not be offended with me.

Please to know

(Signed) Streenevass Row
Tahsildar

(True Translation)
(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

No. 5.

Translation of a Yadast dated the 19th July 1854 addressed to the Acting Collector of Chingleput by the Hoozoor Head Sheristadar.

I beg to state the following circumstances in reference to the Board's communication dated the 6th July 1854.

Under instructions issued to the Tahsildars in this District to attach the necessary extent of property at a fair valuation in satisfaction of balances, these officers bring property under sequestration and forward accounts of the same to the Hoozoor, where they

they are examined and compared with ac:counts &c. previously received in re respect of the amounts of the balances therein specified. As regards the va:luation of the property, it is only in cases in which the owners may im:pugn its correctness that servants are deputed from the Hoozoor to examine into the case. In the absence of such complaints the Tahsildar's valuation is presumed to be correct, a report is submitted to the Board and on their sanction the property is sold. This is the long existing practice.-

In the list received from the Tahsildar in regard to the attach:ment of the garden of Mr. Thomas Swan, the lands &c. were valued at Rupees 38. 4 -.- As it was believed that the particulars and valuation of property would have been in the usual course correctly entered in the list examined into and sub:mitted by so high a functionary as the Tahsildar who has the responsible charge of a Taluk and who attached the property and



and as Thomas Swan did not either immediately after the attachment or within the period of one Month al:lowed in the advertisement for the sale or prior to the submission of the sale list for the sanction of the Board prefer complaints of the omission in the list of any portion of the property or of its undervaluation it was not deemed necessary to depute any servants from the Hoozoor to examine into the case. The omission therefore in the list of a Tank and Cocoanut trees and the undervaluation of the property by the Tahsildar who attached it did not attract attention.-

Subsequently when the garden in question was in accordance with the advertisement put up for sale by means of the Tahsildar that Officer (Pistrier) who was also the Officer that attached the land, reported that no body came forward to bid for it. A Takeed was in the first instance issued to the Tahsildar directing him to hold the garden under his Superintendence on behalf of Government. Mr. Cochrane however having some distrust in regard to the correctness

of

of the Tahsildar Ristnen's report to the effect that the garden found no purchaser, sent out an order to the Tahsildar Streenevassa Row who was newly nominated to that Taluk to resell the garden - This Officer having accordingly put up the land again, knocked it down for Rupees 18, reporting that no higher bids were made, but altho' he visited the garden on the occasion of the sale, he did not bring to notice the undervaluation of the property or the omission of any portion of it in the list of attachment.

I was not aware of and did not knowingly omit to enquire into the irregularities in the proceedings of the Tahsildars as above detailed. Both the Tahsildars are of a different caste from me and therefore not re:
:lated to me.-

(Signed) Streenevassa Row
Head Sheristadar

(True Translation)
(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector



No. 414

Bd. No. 1894
Proceedings thereon
(35-36)

Sir Comm. 13 July 1854

The Board in conformity with the orders of Government, (Extract Minutes Consultation 20th June 1854, Para 5) forward the Acting Collector's report on the conduct of the public Officers connected with the sale of Surain's land, and are of opinion, that as these officials do not appear to have been influenced in this matter by motives of private interest, the notice already taken by Government of the case is sufficient to introduce greater cir:
:cumspection in future with respect to the sale of lands.-

2 The Head Sheristadar's explanation (E) shews that the Taluk valu:
:ations are taken very much upon credit, whereas the Village Officers and the Tahsildar should in future be strictly held responsible for a correct specification of the lands attached.-

3 The fact of 208 Cocoanut trees, however situated, existing on the land, and its valuation at 510 Rupees "by the Mail Sheristadar and a few of the most respectable inhabitants of Porel" shew that the value of the property may be estimated differently from the

From Collr. 1st April inclos: 1st May 1854

the Acting Collector's view - But as the land is now described to be more fitted for building than for agricultural purposes, so that its value would much depend on its being in request for the former object when put up to sale, as no offer was obtained for it in the first instance, and as the irregularities in the mode of conducting the sale have been noticed - it appears to the Board unnecessary to pursue the subject further.-

No. 188.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

Sir,

Bd. No. 2764
From the Agt Collr of Chingleput 3
To Board 2d May 1854
From Do 15th Do
To Do 16th Do
From Do 8th June

With reference to the correspondence marginally noted, I beg to state, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that under present circumstances it does not seem necessary to entertain the Extra Establishment applied for by Mr Cochrane (and





and Mr Ellis - The Board are aware that one Duffadar and a party of thirty Peons were at once entertained in the Sydapet Taluk to aid the Police - These will be continued with the Board's concurrence for a couple of months more, when if circumstances admit, a Report will be submitted of the expenses incurred and sanction obtained for charging the same in the Public accounts.

Under these circumstances, I trust it will not be considered necessary to prepare the statement called for in Para 2 of the Board's Proceedings of the 15th May - The entire fixed Establishment in this District consists of 24 Duffadars and 816 Peons - In Chingleput there is no distinction between

Fort St. George 7th September 1852

between Revenue and Police Peons, and the preparation of the Statements in question would require for some time the attention of my English Establishment at present abundantly occupied with important business.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Sydapet 3d August 1852

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Bd's No. 1927
Proceedings thereon

(37-38)

Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector of Chingleput in the foregoing letter, the Board will dispense with the Statement called for in para 2 of their Proceedings of 15th May 1852.

2 Mr. Smollett will however submit, after the expiration of the two months a report of the expenses incurred on account of the Extra Peons entertained to aid the Police of the district, with his observations as to the good that has resulted from their employ.

To

H.D.G.



Fort St. George 7th September 1852

No. 189.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

Bd's No. 2797
From the Asst Coll. of Chingleput

Requesting instructions for the disposal of certain Salt clandestinely purchased from the Vadasamenjary Pans in this District and the appropriation of its proceeds in the manner recommended.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the orders of the Board of Revenue the following circumstances connected with the clandestine purchase of certain Salt from the Vadasamenjary Pans in this District.

It would seem that on the 22d
2. of June last, the Superintendent of Salt under the Collector of Madras deputed certain Peons of his Department as to under the guise of traders with money to buy Salt clandestinely from the Salt Ryots of the Chingleput pans. These people by their own account remained for nearly nine days in the neighbourhood of the Salt Cotaur of Vadasamenjary, and during that period they obtained surreptitiously from ten individuals small quantities of Salt amounting in the aggregate to Measls 29—4 Puddies and for which they paid Rupees as per
3—15—0.

The

3 The Parties accused of having supplied the Salt in question were brought before me, and examined, they denied all Knowledge of the transaction or of any communication with the inform: ing Peons. The Statements of the latter too, were not altogether consistent with each other.-

4 An investigation into the ma: nagement of the Pans have been made by confidential servants deputed from my Office. In the prosecution of their enquiries a quantity of new Salt to the extent of 22-1 Mds was discovered in the houses of six individuals employed as labourers in the Pans, but nothing has transpired to lead to the conclusion that smuggling to a great extent has prevailed, and the small quantity which the madr Peons obtained after eight days bargaining would lead to a like belief. Pilfering to some extent at all times is carried on during the ma: nufacture of Salt, and in the present season of high prices and of suffering to

Some



some extent amongst the labouring classes, this misdemeanor may be supposed to have been more systematically pursued by the lower orders in the vicinity of the Pans.

5 The present Peshcar of Vada: samanjary is one Dasekah Chetty- He has only joined his station on the 24th June having been nominated thereto by my pre predecessor Mr Ellis, and his manage: ment is favorably reported on- The Peons and Shroff who have been em: ployed at this station for a long time will be changed elsewhere.-

6. In conclusion it only remains for me to solicit authority for the sale of the Salt, remitting Rs a P 8—1—6 to Mr Stokes being the expenses incurred by the Parties who laid the information, and for carrying the remainder Rupees 7-6-2 as P to the credit of Government. This includes the value of the Salt found in the huts of the labourers.-

7 The individuals found with Salt clandestinely in their possession will be disposed of agreeably

Signed by the Madras Peons Marakk 29-4 Pattiv 22-1 51-5

Signed by this Office

agreeably with the Regulations.

Chingleput District —
Collector's Cutchery
Sydapet, 3rd August 1854.
(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Bd. No. 1888
Proceedings thereon

(39-40)

The Board of Revenue authorize the Acting Collector of Chingleput to seize the quantity of Salt Mercalls 0 seized from certain parties as specified in the margin, remitting Rupees 8-1-6 to Mr. Stokes on account of the expenses incurred by the persons who gave the information and to carry the remainder Rupees 7-6-2 to the credit of Government.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Seized by the Madras Peons | 29 | 4 |
| Seized by the Chingleput D. | 22 | 1 |
| | 51 | 5 |

---

(41)

No. 202

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd. No. 3029
From the Ag. Collr. of Chingleput — 3

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that since the date of my last report there has been a considerable fall of rain in some divisions of



of this District, but still the supply is insufficient after so long a period of drought and besides the rains are partial. Cholera is reported to be prevalent in many parts of Chingleput.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutchery
Sydapet, 21st August 1854.
Order thereon.

(41-42)

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Proceed to lie over.

Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot Co. dated 28th November and entered in Consultation 15th December 1853.

No. 47.

(43)

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 2954
From the Adjutant of Engineers — 3

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 15th Instant I have the honor to state that the Map of the Salem Collectorate defines the Boundary of North Arcot District along the Congoondy Zemindary, but to supply this original Map

11824 Fort St. George 7th September 1854

Map for transmission to the Interior, the authority of Government is required, as stated in a former letter from this Department to your address bearing date 12th April last. No. 13.-

Chief Engineer's Office
Late Departt Surt Genl Departt
Fort St. George 24th December 1853.

(Signed) A. Cotton
Lt Coll Chief
Engineer in Charge
of the late Dy
Surveyor General's
Department.

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / P. O'Connel Lt
Adjutant of Engineers

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th August 1854..

(43-44)

No. 415.

Bt No. 1898
Proceedings thereon

Resolved that the foregoing letters be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that the Chief Engineer may be authorized to forward to the Collector of North Arcot the Survey Map applied for by him.-

DE

For

11825. Fort St. George 7th September 1854

No. 96

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(45)

Sir,

Bt No. 3023
From the Collector of North Arcot.

With reference to your Circular letter of the 13th July last, I have the honor to report on the state of the season during the last 10 days..

2 Since my last report there has been a pretty good fall of rain in some parts of the District, and some tanks have been half filled Rar and Nat Raggy have been sown to the full esctent of the means of irrigation. In general the want of rain is still severally felt, and in those parts of the District which have not shared in the rain abovementioned, the Poonjah crops are much parched up. For many days past there has been every indication of heavy rain coming, but it still continues to hold off..

3 The price of grain and of all agricultural produce still continues high, and straw for Cattle is becoming more and more scarce. Cholera prevails to some extent in the Talooks of Chittoor Chendragherry and Sutnaid :-

North Arcot
Collrs Circuit Cutchery
Palmanair 21st August 1854

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Ordered to lie over-

Order thereon
(45-46)

11826 Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 100

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

47

Bd. No. 3295
From the Collector
of North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to your Circular letter of the 13th July last, I have the honor to report on the state of the season during the last ten days.-

2 Since my last report the prospects of the season have become somewhat more favorable - In the Talooks named in the margin rain has fallen in sufficient quantities to give several months water to a number of the Tanks and a considerable extent of land under such tanks and under channels and wells wherein there is water have been prepared for the sowing of Sumbah - The Poonjah crops which were perishing for want of rain appear to be in great measure recovering and Poonjah cultivation is going on actively even in those parts of the District where the Tanks are not yet supplied.-

× Chittoor
Chendragherry
Caumery Paut
Sautghur
Vellore
Polour
Trivallum

3 The price of agricultural produce is much the same as at the date of my last report some loss has occurred among the Cattle in consequence of their feeding on the fresh green grass.

North Arcot
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 31st August 1854

(Signed) I. D Bourdillon
Collector.

Order thereon
Ordered to lie over

47-48

To

11827 Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 66.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

49

Bd. No. 3050
From the Collector
of Salem

Sir,

Since my last report on the prospects of the season and the state of cultivation in this District, - I have the honor to state that there has been a very general fall of rain throughout the District, which has removed any further apprehension for the Poonjah crops now on the ground, and has led to further extensive cultivation of the Poonjah lands.-

2 The Nunjah cultivation has also assumed a promising appearance, and the Tanks in most parts of the District have been more or less supplied with water during the last few days - This favorable change in the weather has not had any effect on the price of grains.-

Salem Collector's Office
On Circuit, Womalore,
21st August 1854 —

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector

Order thereon
Ordered to lie over

49-50

To

No. 69

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

51

Bd. No. 3229
From the Collector of Salem —

Sir,

I have the honor to state that since the date of my last report upon the prospects of the season the falls of rain have been frequent and general and that in consequence all descriptions of crops already sown are in a flourishing state. The tanks have received a very good supply of water and the ryots are extending the cultivation both of Poonjah and Nunjah lands. No general decrease in the price of grain has as yet taken place.

Salem, Collector's Office
On circuit at Namakal
1st September 1854 —

(Signed) W. A. Brett
Collector

Order thereon
51-52

Ordered to be recorded.

---

No. 21

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

53

Bd. No. 3246
From the Government Pleader - - - - -

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Minutes of Consultation of Government under date 28th August 1854 regarding the proposed appeal for the decision of the Civil Judge of Salem in No. 57 of 1847.

I beg to return the papers forwarded me from your Office in this matter.

Madras 5th September 1854

(Signed) John Bruce Norton
Govt. Pleader



No. 72

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

54

Bd. No. 3268
From the Collector of Salem —

Forwards the Documents called for in the Board's Proceedings dated the 28th ultimo relative to the Special appeal proposed to be filed in the Court of Sadr Adalut in appeal suit No. 67 of 1847 on the file of the Civil Court of Salem.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 23d ultimo, forwarding copy of a letter dated the 19th idem from the Government pleader and requesting me to submit without delay the documents referred to in Para 14, with any others that I might think it proper to lay before Mr. Norton — I have the honor to transmit the papers referred to in the margin.

1 Tooloochit and Translation to be returned.
2 Original Summud (Milkeat Istimarar (of another mootah) to be returned.
3 Original Rabooliat (of another Mootah) to be returned.
4 Mr. Malthy's letter dated 3d December 1847. to be returned.
5 Copy of a Decree of the Court of Sadr Adalut in S. a No. 37 of 1847 to be returned.

2. Mr. Norton remarks in Para 2 of his letter that the whole case appears to rest upon the fact that the lands in dispute are of "a particular" quality "Gidda-gauel" and that if they be not of that quality the Government right to resume them appears to be entirely cut

cut from under them.-

3 They to reply that the case does not rest upon the fact that the lands are of a particular quality, but exclusively on the fact that they belong to a particular class in the accounts. If it can be proved by these accounts that they belonged to a reserved class, which did not form any portion of the lands included in the moatah, it seems immaterial what the quality of the lands might be, if by "quality" be meant either the name by which they are distinguished in the revenue accounts, or the use to which they have been, or might be applied.- If the Registers shew that the Government never parted with these lands, it seems a very minor and immaterial point whether they were put to this or that use, or are usually known by the term, "Giddagaunel" "Bhanseepodar" "Shedeecandoo" or any other name.-

4 The fact that bamboos grew



grew on the lands now claimed, and that rent was paid for the right of cutting such bamboos is one description of evidence whereby to identify the lands, but it is not the only nor the best evidence for this purpose, when the law provides for a system of registration and has specified boundaries and land marks as the primary means of distinguishing one land from another.-

5 It has been the practice in this District to apply the term "Giddagaunel" generally to all the Jungle lands included in a timber farm, or a bamboo farm, but it happens that this wood is not to be found in any of the Revenue accounts in the Nanicul Talook, in which the Plaintiffs moatah is situated.- Such lands are described in the accounts of that Talook as Bhanseepoddar (Bamboo thicket) or as Shedeekadoo (a thicket of bushes)

The Canarese word "Gidda" does not signify a bamboo but simply a tree

6 . As the Lower Courts have failed to give any clear opinion on the case as I now put it. I trust that

that the Sudr Udalut will deem this omission a sufficient cause for remanding the case, in order that the Civil Court may come to a distinct finding on the proper issue - I view the remark of the Civil Court to which Mr Norton adverts as ambiguous in regard to the real point - It applies exclusively to the term by which the lands are known, or to the question whether rent was paid on them at some period or other, and to such evidence on these two minor circumstances as the Defendant may have produced - The correct Etymology of the term "Giddagaval" might have led the Court to a clearer appreciation of the real point, as for the word "Gaval", or more properly "Kaval", signifying "protected", is somewhat advantageous to the term "reserved".

Para 3

7 As the Plaintiffs' reply in the original Suit gives in general a very correct view of the most material issue in



* To be returned

in the case, I have sent it with the other papers for Mr Norton's perusal. The 1st 2d and 3d Paras put the question in a right light, tho' the Plaintiff has subsequently resorted, in regard to the Summons and its adaption to settle disputes of every description, to erroneous reasoning for which he unfortunately finds support in certain old decrees of the Salem Courts.

Para 8 of this reply contains

8. a very clear admission that some of the disputed lands are reserved lands - The argument, by which the Plaintiff reconciled this fact with his claim, is disputed as unsound - But his false reasoning here does not affect his previous admission, nor is that admission consistent with the Civil Judge's remark that "the Plaintiff has proved that they are" not such, "Viz Giddagaval" This remark however is itself ambiguous so long as we are not informed by what means the Plaintiff proved

proved a negative.

Mr. Maltby's letter dated 3d December 1847

9 I also beg to recommend that the letter entered in the margin may be placed before Mr. Norton for his perusal - Paras: 16 and 17 explain what steps were taken to procure the evidence necessary to prove that the lands were not entered on the schedule; but in referring to this letter I think it right to state that I do not agree in all the views therein expressed.-

10 I do not think it necessary for reasons already shewn to "prove that the land in question is distinguished from ordinary Poramboke."

Paras 5 of Mr Maltby's letter

11 It is also open to remark that Mr Maltby has argued the question as one of general policy as well as one of right. It seems to me that these two points should be kept perfectly distinct, for if they are not so separated there is great danger, as observed in my Memo; that the rights of private parties as well as the rights of the Government to lands to which a Mootahdar has no title may be materially compromised.-

The



12 The Government gave up certain Poramboke lands on the occasion referred to by Mr Maltby, but the lands in question had been hastily attached, without that fair & prior consideration to the particular circumstances of the case which equity required, as, for instance, the beds of tanks out of repair, in respect to which this classed as Poramboke, a Mootahdar might justly argue that the cultivation on such an occasion but inadequately compensates him for the loss he sustains on the manjah lands below the Tanks, but such an argument is not applicable to all reserved lands, nor is it an equitable ground for preferring the present claim that the Government have already been so liberal as to bestow on other Mootahdars all the waste lands entered under the 3d head of the schedule, free of all charge in calculating the fixed assessment on their "estates".

13 If it be expedient at any time to annex reserved lands to an estate, it is just to make a proportionate increase in

the

the permanent assessment, and it is sound policy to place the arrangement on its proper ground, as one which the Government has a right to make or not, at its discretion..

14 I should be sorry to take advantage of the Law of Limitations to defend the Plaintiff's claim..

15 In regard to para 7 of Mr. Norton's letter, I beg to state that a Suit is now pending before the Sub Court, in which the same questions are in issue. Dock No. 5 is the decree referred to in Para 16 of my Memo..

16 Application was made to the Civil Court on the 10th ultimo to furnish an authenticated Copy of the appeal decree, that it may be filed with the Special appeal Petition. This Copy has not yet been received. The Copy on stampt paper of the original Decree is sent with the other papers..

17 The schedule for which I have been waiting for some days, will be forwarded as soon as it arrives at my Camp.

Salem Collector's Office - - - - -
On Circuit,
Ademen Cottah, 2d September 1854
FDG

(Signed) W. A. Brett.
Collector

+ O.S. No. 40 of 1852 Defence sanctioned Board's Proceedings dated 10th March 1853

x Taken to Govt Vakeel at Salem.

x O.S. No. 57 of 1847 on the file of Sub Court



Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence..

To Government Pleader
Sudder Udalut.

53-55

Bd. No. 1903
To Government Pleader Sudder Udalut
O.S. No. 57 of 1847.

Sir,

With reference to the Appeal Suit No. 167 of 1851 on the file of the Civil Court of Salem, the papers connected with which were returned by you on the 5th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying letter

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

2d inclos:
7th Septr
1854

Letter received from the
Collector of Salem with
a request that you will be
pleased to favor them
with such observations
as it may occur to you
to offer thereon..

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
7th September 1854

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary
in Charge

A.B.



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 110.

To
B Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

56

Bd No. 2775

From the Collector of South Arcot

Submitting copy of a letter from Captain Priestly and Soliciting instructions thereon.-

Sir, I have the honor to submit the accompanying Copy of a letter, dated 31st ultimo, received from Captain Priestly on conducting the South Arcot Survey, with a view of obtaining instructions from the Board of Revenue regarding the course which should be pursued, in order to carry out the views of Government expressed in Para 6 of Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 3 July 1854.

2 The Board will observe that Captain Priestly states that he has no person on his Establishment possessing sufficient knowledge or leisure, to attend to the Geological inspection of the land and Collection of specimens of the soil and minerals, which the Government wish to have made. It will therefore be necessary to attach a qualified person to him for the purpose, but I am at a loss to know where such an individual can

Fort St. George 7 September 1854

can be found.

3 I beg therefore to solicit the instructions of the Board as to how I ought to proceed, and also to request their sanction for the disbursement of whatever funds it is found necessary to expend in order to attain the object contemplated by Government.—

South Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore,
2d August 1854.—

I Signed A. Hall
Collector.

No. 1

To.
The Collector of
South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th Instant, and with regard to Para 2, referring to the duplicate geological specimens and inspection of soils contemplated by Government, I beg to state that I have no one in my department with either sufficient knowledge or leisure to make the minute examination &c, which I fancy is wished for, though as far as general superintendence, I shall be happy to assist. I should however be able to speak more confidently if a detailed explanation of what would be required were furnished me.—

your



Fort St. George 7 September 1854.

Your Instructions contained in Paras 3-4-5 shall be attended to.

In accordance with your wishes I have made choice of the Village of Allyoor in the Pallimcottah Jaghire for the trial of brick and mortar boundary pillars. My reasons for selecting this Village are, that it is the one in which Chayatiah Tope, where I am encamped, is situated, and that from being at the Pallaur annient where bricks and Chunam have been collected. I think that no difficulty will be met with in procuring materials.

I shall feel much obliged by your obtaining for me the four or five youths whom the Master of the Provincial school &c recommends; acting on what I understood to be your wishes expressed to me at Cuddalore about a week ago, I have permitted some Volunteers to enter my Office and to accompany the people employed whilst at work with a view to qualifying themselves, and with your sanction will include their

their names from the 1st Proximo in the list of paid Volunteers.-

Camp Chaityah Tope
31st July 1854.

/Signed/ F. J. B. Priestly
In charge of
S. A. Survey.

/A True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Hall.
Collector

56-57

B. No. 1890.

No. 412.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the above letter and its enclosure be submitted for the orders of Government with reference to para 6 Extract Minutes Consultation dated 3 July 1854.

2. It will be observed that Captain Priestly states that he has no person on his Establishment possessing sufficient knowledge or leisure to attend to the geological inspection of the land and collection of specimens of the soil and minerals.-

3 The Board do not know exactly to what detail the information is required, on being further informed on this point they will again address the Collector, as they are inclined to believe that if nothing more can be done by Captain Priestly's Department, it may be deemed desirable to collect specimens of



of soils, rocks and such minerals as may come to hand, without entering into any Geological opinion, or expending time in searching for minerals and Fossils or exploring the Hills where the Survey does not extend. At the same time they would not be indifferent to any further information, much of which must depend on individual habits and pursuits.-

---

58

No. 129.

To W. W. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 3172.

From the Collector of South arcot

Sir, In reply to your letter of the 21st Instant, requesting information regarding the capacity of the Cullum in general use here, I have the honor to state that I have, as suggested by the Board, sent for a Cullum of Rice and of Grain from the three principal bazaars near Cuddalore, Viz., Munjacoupum, Trepapaloor and old Town, and have had them severally weighed and measured in my Office - The result is shown in the accompanying table.-

South arcot
Collector's Cutcherry.
Cuddalore, 29th August 1854

/Signed/ A. Hall
Collector
Comparative

11844

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 1.

Comparative Statement shewing the Capacity of a Cullum of Grain called Goondoo cullum used around Cuddalore.—

| | By the Bazar men's measure heaped | By English weight | By the Treasury Standard measure heaped | By the Treasury Standard measure struck. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chumba Rice | | | | | |
| From Munjacoopum | 24 | 83 1/8 tts | 24 13/15 | 28 5/15 | |
| " Trepaploor | 24 | 82 5/15 | 24 14/15 | 28 5/15 | Well cleaned |
| " Cuddalore | 24 | 82 | 24 14/15 | 28 3/15 | Do |
| Horse Gram | | | | | |
| From Munjacoopum | 24 | 82 3/4 | 25 | 28 1/8 | More than six months old. |
| " Trepaploor | 24 | 83 3/8 | 25 1/8 | 28 | |
| " Cuddalore | 24 | 85/8 | 25 | 27 7/8 | Under six months do. |

(Signed) A. Hall
Collector

x No Grain under six months old could be found in these Markets.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To

11845

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

To

The Collector of
South Arcot

(58-59)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the Collector of the Chingleput Zillah, a Report from the Collector of South Arcot and the Engineer in Grain letter of the 29th ultimo, relative to the capacity of the "Cullum," adopted in those districts by the Board of Revenue proceedings of the 7th instant.

2. The Board of Revenue also enclose a letter from the Collector of Tanjore, stating the result of the experiments which he has made to ascertain the capacity of the Cullum used in that District.

3. The Board have also to request that you will report to them whether the Cullum adopted in the Chingleput Zillah is the same as that used in the Northern Districts.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) W. Thackeray
Secretary

Chief Secretary's Office,
Fort St. George,
the 7th September 1854.

11847.

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 78.

From W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

62

Bd. No. 3241
From the Collector
of Tanjore

Sir,

I have had the honor to receive an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 13th of July last.-

In my letter to you of the 19th of last November I said that the wells were in Tanjore so few that it had not been found necessary to make any restrictive rules regarding the distance from old works of irrigation at which new wells might be sunk, without rendering the land dependent on them liable to an increased teerwash- This being the case, I am of opinion that there is in Tanjore no reason to fear that the adoption of the view of the Honorable Court will have any serious effect on the revenue at present derived from irrigated lands.-

Tanjore
Tranquebar
2d September 1854

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

62-63
Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

For
Table

11846

Bd. No. 3104. Statement showing the extent of Land Cultivated and the quantity of Seed sown from 1st to 10th August 1854.-

60

For Fort St. George

| Particulars of the Cultivators | Quantity of Seed sown | | |

11848 Fort St. George 7th September 1854

No. 78

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(64)

Bd. No. 3107
From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

With reference to the supplemental Indent forwarded to your Board on the 17th January last for 12 Yards of Red Cloth to make belts for the Jamadar, Duffadars and Daloyets of my establishment. I have the honor to state that the Belts now in use are completely worn out and in rags. I therefore solicit that sanction may be accorded for the early supply of this article.-

Trichinopoly — Collector's Office, 25th August 1854

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector

(64-65)
Order thereon
Ordered to be recorded.-

(Copy to Supt. of Stationery 7th September 1854.)

---

No. 131

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(66)

Bd. No. 3073
From the Collector of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit copy of a letter from the Sub Collector reporting that under the adverse circumstances of the season he had granted the unusual concession of

* with its enclosures

11849 Fort St. George 7th September 1854

of remission for withered Poonjah crops in certain Villages in the lower range of the Palney Hills, which, by a subsequent letter he informs me belong to the assumed Veroopatchee Zemindary in the Iyempully Talook.

It appears to me, that Mr Clarke exercised a sound discretion, and I trust the Board will approve of what he has done.

Madura
Poodoocottah,
19th August 1854

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 1.
No. 80.

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that in consequence of the very unfavorable character of the late season the Villages in the lower range of the Palney Hills, especially those of Cannanore and Vadacados which are near the low Country suffered very greatly from droughts. In some instances the ryots lost almost all their produce and the dry grain sown by them was parched soon after it was cast in the ground. Under such circumstances I deemed I should be justified in anticipating the sanction of the Board to the usual concession of remission for Poonjah crops withered.-

The enclosed Table will shew the 2 persons to whom the remission was granted, the amount of such remission and the amount of the Pattahs of the receivers of remission.-

The remission was only granted 3 after strict enquiry and I trust may receive the sanction of the Superior authority.-

Aycoody —
2d August 1854

(Signed) T. Clarke
Sub Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Table

11850

Fort St. George 7th Sept

No. 2 A Table of Poonjah Remission granted to the Villages of Vi 263..

| Name of the Village & No. of Pattah | Name of the Puttahdars | Joint Puttahdars | Poonjah Land | Poonjah Tase | Remission Poonjah Land | Remission Poonjah Tase | Remission Total Land | Remission Total Tase | Total Land | Total Tase |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Cawds As | Rs a P | Cawds As | Rs a P | Cawds As | Rs a | Cawds As | Rs a P |
| 1 | Borthamuddy | | 18 9 | 17 4 10 | 5 4 5 | 1 6¼ | 2 10 5 | 6 14¾ | 7 14 | 3 13 2 |
| | | Peroomal Naik | 10 ½ | 10 2 | 1 6¼ | | | | 5 11 | |
| | | Aroomoogum | 1 1¼ | 1 0 6 | " " | | | | 8 ¼ | 1 0 - |
| | | Total | 20 4¾ | 18 15 6 | 5 4 5 | 1 6¼ | 2 10 5 | 6 14¾ | 7 14 | 15 3 |
| 4 | Coopsandy | | 1 15¾ | 1 15 10 | 1 6¼ | | | | 1 14 | |
| | | Palloo Permal | 3 3¼ | 3 1 - | " - 15 3 | 1 9¾ | 3 13 2 | 9¾ | 4 - | - - |
| | | Andymaneyakaren | 1 12 | 1 10 9 | 1 9¾ | | | | " 4 | |
| | | Moothery Palaneyandy | 4 4½ | 3 15 7 | " " | | | | 1 0 | |
| | | Alageya Counden | 2 3½ | 1 1 - | " " | | | | 3 3 | |
| | | Total | 13 7 | 11 12 2 | " - 15 3 | 1 9¾ | 3 13 2 | 9¾ | 4 - 6 | - - |
| 5 | Chuckly Ramen | | 2 9¾ | 2 4 6 | 1 9¾ | | | | " 11 | |
| 6 | Puttymunnady | | 9 1½ | 8 3 3 | " 3 5 | " " | " " | 3½ | " 35 | 1 - 137 |
| | | Chenny | " 4¾ | - 4 6 | " | | | | - 13 | |
| | | Total | 9 6¼ | 8 7 9 | " 3 5 | " " | " " | 3½ | " 35 | 1 - 137 |
| | | | | | " 3½ | " 3 | " " | 3½ | " 69 | - 3½ - 69 |
| | | | | | " | | | | 5 3 | 2 7 11 |
| | | | | | " 3½ | - - - | 3½ | - 69 | - 3½ - 69 | 71 1 21 3 10 |

Commanore

11852

Fort St. George

Name of Village
No. of Pattah

| Name of the Puttahdars | Joint Puttahdars | Poonjah Land | | Poonjah Tax | | | Nunjah Land | | Nunjah Tax | | | Total Land | | Total Tax | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Cawds | as | Rs | a | P | Cawds | as | Rs | a | P | Cawds | as | Rs | a | P |
| 1 Nealeyuppa Coundan | | 13 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | 2 | 2½ | 2 | 10 | |
| 5 Karoatheya Coundan | | 4 | " | 3 | 11 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | 12½ | " | 11 | 10 | |
| 6 Mosttoocurpa pillay | | 14 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| 7 Vellekun | | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 8 Nunjayee | | 16 | 23/4 | 15 | 7 | 11 | " | ½ | " | " | " | 2 | 10¼ | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| 9 Mulienpoosary | | 5 | 9¾ | 5 | 5 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 2¾ | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 11 Caroppa Coundan | | 4 | 15¾ | 4 | 11 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | 14¼ | " | 13 | 7 | |
| Vadacadoo | Calleappa Coundan | " | 7 | " | 3 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | |
| | Total | 5 | 6¾ | 4 | 15 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 Chittelingum | | 5 | 9¼ | 5 | 5 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 Vuttoona Peraauen | | 6 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 Shamban Sotty | | 4 | 1¾ | 4 | " | 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Paraya Nistunun | " | 7 | " | 6 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vunnen Naley | " | 2¾ | " | 1 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Para Teroomun | " | 7½ | " | 3 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dº Vellien | " | 7 | " | 3 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 5 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | |

| Total Land | | Total Tax | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |
| 3 | 7 | " | 9 | 4 |
| | 10¼ | " | " | " |
| | 6½ | " | " | " |
| | 3½ | " | " | " |
| 4 | 11¼ | " | 9 | 4 |
| 5 | 11¾ | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| 2 | 6¾ | " | 5 | 1 |
| 6 | 3¾ | " | 7 | 11 |
| " | 12 | " | " | " |
| 6 | 12¾ | " | 7 | 11 |
| 1 | 3¾ | " | 4 | 9 |
| 2 | 12 | " | 5 | 11 |
| 5 | 7 | " | 10 | 2 |
| " | 10¾ | " | " | " |
| " | 7 | " | " | " |
| 6 | 8¾ | " | 10 | 2 |
| 123 | 12 | 16 | 6 | 1 |
| 194 | 13¼ | 37 | 9 | 4 |

ceedings

1852

Fort St. George

Name of Village & No. of Patta

Total | Poonjah: | Produce realized Nunjah

| | Tax | | Land | | Tax | | Land | | Tax | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | a P | Cawds | as | Rs | a P | Cawds | as | Rs | a P |
| | 12 | 12 9 | 12 | 13¼ | 12 | 3 5 | . . | . . | 12 | 13¼ |
| 1 Neale | " | 10 " | " | 10¼ | " | 10 " | " . | . . | . | 10¼ |
| 4 | " | 6 4 | " | 6½ | " | 6 4 | " . | . . | . | 6½ |
| 5 Karoo | " | 3 5 | " | 3½ | " | 3 5 | " . | . . | . | 3½ |
| 6 Most | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14 | 6 | 14 | 1½ | 13 | 7 2 | . . | . . | 14 | 1½ |
| 7 Velle | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 5 1 | 4 | 2¾ | 3 | 13 4 | . . | . . | 4 | 2¾ |
| 8 Nunj | 2 | 4 11 | 2 | 1¼ | 1 | 15 10 | . . | . . | 2 | 1¼ |
| 9 Mule | 5 | 12 4 | 5 | 8½ | 5 | 4 5 | " . | . . | 5 | 8½ |
| 11 Caro | " | 11 7 | " | 12 | " | 11 7 | " . | . . | " | 12 |
| | 6 | 7 11 | 6 | 4½ | 6 | . . | " . | . . | 6 | 4½ |
| | " | 13 10 | " | 11¾ | " | 9 1 | " . | . . | " | 11¾ |
| | 2 | 5 7 | 2 | 5¾ | 1 | 15 8 | " . | . . | 2 | 5¾ |
| 14 Chit | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 3 1 | 4 | 12¼ | 4 | 8 11 | " . | . . | 4 | 12¼ |
| 19 Tatto | " | 5 . | " | 10¾ | " | 5 " | . . | . . | . | 10¾ |
| 22 Shan | " | 3 5 | " | 7 | " | 3 5 | " . | . . | " | 7 |
| | 5 | 11 6 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 14 | " . | . . | 5 | 14 |
| | 116 | 7 3 | 106 | 8½ | 99 | 15 1 | " ½ | " 21 | 106 | 9 |
| | 125 | 11 | 158 | 6¾ | 146 | 11 3 | " 2¾ | " 11 9 | 158 | 9½ |

Demand
Remission
Collection

Vadacadoo

7th September 1854

Total | Remission: Poonjah | Nunjah | Total

| | Tax | | Land | | Tax | | Land | | Tax | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | a P | Cawds | as | Rs | a P | Cawds | as | Rs | a P |
| | 12 | 3 5 | " | 9¾ | " | 9 4 | " . | . . | 9¾ | " 9 4 |
| | " | 10 " | " | " | " | " | " . | . . | " | " " |
| | " | 6 4 | " | " | " | " | " . | . . | " | " " |
| | " | 3 5 | " | " | " | " | " . | . . | " | " " |
| | 13 | 7 2 | " | 9¾ | " | 9 4 | " . | . . | 9¾ | " 9 4 |
| | 3 | 13 4 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 9 | " . | . . | 1 | 9 1 7 9 |
| | 1 | 15 10 | " | 5½ | " | 5 1 | " . | . . | 5½ | " 5 1 |
| | 5 | 4 5 | " | 8¼ | " | 7 11 | " . | . . | 8¼ | " 7 11 |
| | " | 11 7 | " | " | " | " | " . | . . | " | " " |
| | 6 | " " | " | 8¼ | " | 7 11 | " . | . . | 8¼ | " 7 11 |
| | " | 9 1 | " | 5 | " | 4 9 | " . | . . | 5 | " 4 9 |
| | 1 | 15 8 | " | 6¼ | " | 5 11 | " . | . . | 6¼ | " 5 11 |
| | 4 | 8 11 | " | 10¾ | " | 10 2 | " . | . . | 10¾ | " 10 2 |
| | " | 5 " | " | " | " | " | " . | . . | " | " " |
| | " | 3 5 | " | " | " | " | " . | . . | " | " " |
| | 5 | 1 4 | " | 10¾ | " | 10 2 | " . | . . | 10¾ | " 10 2 |
| | 100 | 1 2 | 17 | 3 | 16 | 6 1 | " . | . . | 17 | 3 16 6 1 |
| | 147 | 7 " | 33 | ¼ | 31 | 7 6 | 3 3½ | 6 2 5 | 38 | 3¾ 37 9 4 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Rs | a | P |
| 37 | 3 | 9 |
| 37 | 9 | 11 |
| 333 | 10 | " |

/Signed/ T. Clarke
Sub Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Proceedings

1854

(66-67)

Bd. No. 1887

Proceedings thereon

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

The Board of

Revenue approve of the

remissions granted by the

Sub Collector of Madu

in certain Villages in t

lower range of the

Pulney Hills, as re

ported in his letter

to the Collector

dated 2d August

1854.

896

1855.

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 133/54.

(68)

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 3111.

From the Collr of Madura....

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose Copy of No. 13 of the Rules for Custody &c. of Postage Stamp Labels, of a question put by me to the Post Master General on the 3d Instant and of his answer, and to request the Board to afford or procure an interpretation of the Rule on the point referred by me to the Post Master General.

Madura Poodoocottah, 21st August, 1854.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 1.

Rules for the Custody, Distribution and Sale of Postage Stamp Labels.

Para. 13. On the first open day of each Month and on every Monday during the Month the Treasurer shall prepare in the vernacular and submit to the Officer in charge of the Postage Stamps an Indent for supply of Postage Stamps for the following week. The Indent after being approved

approved or altered by the Officer in charg
of the Postage Stamps, shall be signed by
and complied with, as directed in the follow
rule. The indent thus signed shall be the
Warrant for the issue of the Stamps.-
Letter to the Post Master General 3d. August

25. Can a Collector delegate charge
the Postage Stamps to an Assistant or an
Uncovenanted Servant, such as the Stamp Daroga
and if so will it be requisite that the same
Assistant or Uncovenanted Servant should
always be employed on this duty or can he be ch
ed at the discretion of the Collector. By Rule
13-14- & 15 the person in charge of Stamps
is required to be present at the Treasury
every Monday or oftener if necessary, which a
Collector of a large District cannot be without
giving up Ummahbundy or other circuits.-
Letter from the Post Master General 16th Augt.

A. I cannot venture to reply to your
fifth question. If inconvenience is experienced
by Officers in charge of Treasuries from the oper
of the rules, the Government will no doubt provide

(True Extract)

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collr.



68-69

Bo. No. 1899.

No. 417.

Resolution thereon Resolved that the
foregoing letter be submitted for
the orders of the Right Honor-
able the Governor in Council

2. The Board are
of opinion that the Revenue
Treasury Rules might be
made applicable to the Custo-
dy and issue of Postage
Stamps.-

To

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 132.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(70)

Bo. No. 3115.

From the Collector of Madura ... 8/

Sir,

During the last ten days, a great improvement has taken place in the prospects of the year. Rain has fallen every where and in most quarters abundantly not only enabling the Ryots to Plough and sow, but also bringing a supply into the Tank. For the first time since May, there is a fresh in the Vigay River.-

2. The Periodical returns of cultivation up to the end of July shew an increase of Poonjay cultivation above last year; but a very large decrease in Nunjah. The Ryots however, have providently raised Paddy in seed beds and are now busy in transplanting.-

3. I am sorry to say, that the



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

the rain has come too late to prevent a considerable loss of Cattle from want of forage, and I fear that the cultivation of the year may be restricted by this cause, particularly in the Sub Division.-

4. Cholera prevails to a considerable extent in various parts of the District; and Gang robberies for want of food in the Ramnad and Shevagungah Zemindaries. We have reason to hope that both these evils will in a great measure cease in consequence of the late rains.-

5. My Subordinates in their last reports do not particularly mention the state of the Crops. Round Poodoocottah the Cumboo and Rape Seed are near maturity, and look well. I hear a good account also of Poonjah Crops as far South as Meyloor. Previous reports from Mr. Clarke spoke badly of the crops in his Division and I fear that

the

the recent rains were too late to be of material benefit to them.-

6. I am sorry to mention that part of road No. 15 between Madura and Dindigul was carried away by the rain of the 17th which Mr. Clark describes as the heaviest fall he ever recollects to have seen - I am writing to the Assistant Civil Engineer on the subject.-

Madura,
Coodoocottah,
21st August, 1854.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Order thereon.-

70-71

Ordered to be recorded.-

---

No. 254

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St. George.

72

Bo. No. 3041.

Sir,

From the Collr of Tinnevelly.

With reference to Extract from



from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated the 15th May last authorizing the defence at the expence of the Paryoor Minor of the Suit therein mentioned, I have the honor to inform you that the Government Vakeel of Madura to whom an order was sent on the subject having reported to me that a Vakalathnamah from the Guardian of the Minor was necessary to empower him to plead for him in the Court, I have at the recommendation of Meenammal, Step Mother of the Minor who now takes care of him, appointed as Guardian one moothoocoomaraswamy Naicker who is the Son of one of the 4 Brothers (all of whom are dead) of the deceased Zemindar her husband; but not the surviving nearest of kin to the Minor and have ordered the requisite Vakaluthnamah to be executed by the said Moottoocoomaraswamy Naicker.-

Tinnevelly,
Tencansey,
18th August, 1854.

/Signed/ C. J. Bird
Collector

A.D.

1862

Fort St. George 7th September 1857.

B. No. 1928.

Proceedings thereon. (72-73.)

As the nomination of Moottoocoomaraswamy Nacker to the Office of Guardian of the minor Zemindar of Paryoor seems to be opposed to Clause third, Section XIX, Regulation V of 1804, the Court of Wards request the Collector will furnish further explanation on the subject, or recommend some other person to fill the Office of Guardian.

DG

1863

Fort St. George 7th September 1857.

No. 258.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(74)

B. No. 3075.
From the Collr. of Tennevelly.

Sir,

With reference to my letter to you of the 20th April and the Board's reply of 15th May 1852, conveying the Sanction of Government for defending at the public expence Suit No. 9 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen of this District, I have the honor to inform you that the Decree that Court having been passed against the Plaintiffs, he has made an Appeal to the Civil Court, and I request you will be good enough to procure the Sanction of Government for defending this Appeal Suit also at the public expence. Translations of the Decree in the Original Suit, the Appeal Petitions presented by the Plaintiffs and of the Answer I propose to file are herewith submitted for the information

of

of the Board of Revenue.-

Tinnevelly Tencausey. } (Signed) C. J. Bird.
21st August 1854. } Collector.

No. 1.

Translation of a Decree passed by the Principal Sadr Ameen of Tinnevelly in Suit No. 9 of 1852.

Plaintiff — Pul Palavasamadasy. Coodoomboom of Cullam: = parayan Thenthirapairy Subject to Streengoondum: Taloor. No Vakeel

Defendants — C. J. Bird Esqr: Collector of Tinnevelly. Arundia Pillay Tah: Sildars of Punjamy Taloor. Government Vakeel Sashagherry rajah.

1. The Plaint states that the land situated within the four limits specified therein was purchased on the 15th Chittirai Aundoo 932 answering to the year 1756 by the Plaintiff's Caste people for Rupees 57-7-8 as per Pul Eroolappen (now deceased) from the Ryots of Trichendoor for the purpose offering therefrom "Sheroo Kundathe" to the deity at Trichendoor when brought in procession and a seat called



called Simmasaniaderadoo" erected thereon, and the Plaintiff's ancestors appointed overseer to see to the due performance of the said offerings- accordingly the Plaintiff's ancestor, and himself (the Plaintiff) continued to superintendent the said ceremony. The Plaint further states that the 1st Defendant issued an order to the 2nd to select an unoccupied site for Constructing a Taloor Cutcherry, who in reply wrote an Urzee to the address of the 1st Defendant stating that the disputed locality is a fit place for constructing a Cutcherry upon. Thereupon the 1st Defendant sent an order accordingly to the 2nd Defendant. In conclusion the Plaintiff prays for the recovery of the ground valued at Rupees 30 after removing the building (Cutcherry) erected thereon, for the reconstruction of the seat valued at 20 Rupees which has been pulled down and for the refund of 10 Rupees being the value of 10 Portia trees felled and misappropriated.-

2. The answer filed by the 1st Defendant sets forth as follows.-

The

Fort St. George 7th. September 1854

The disputed land which Originally formed the site of a Palace of the Carnatic Rulers had been lying unoccupied and dirty. As the ground pertained to the former Rulers it of course belongs to the Circar. Neither the Villagers nor the Plaintiff has any right to it as there was no public Building for the use of the Punjamahl Saloot Cutcherry one was built, under the sanction of Government upon the disputed site which belongs to the Circar. There was no seat called "Simmasanacoradoo" on the said ground nor were any Sperootsunsattoo offering &c. being made at the place by the Plaintiff &c. as stated in the Plaint. The Portia trees that stood on the ground were planted and reared by certain others and the deed of sale spoken of by the Plaintiff is a fabricated one.

3. The answer filed by the 2nd Defendant besides supporting the Statement of the 1st Defendant states "The Mookoo or angle to the east of the disputed site is from a long time called



Fort St. George 7th. September 1854

called Theroomialay Naiken Sejjayady Mookoo. In Auttoor and many other places the places and the grounds attached to them, which belonged to the Carnatic Rulers constitute Circar property. In the same manner the disputed Site belongs to the Circar. Only 3 Portia trees stood on the ground, which trees happening to stand within the place marked for digging foundations were cut down and sold in auction by the Government.

4. The Plaintiff in his Reply admits, as stated in the answer that the disputed ground had formed the site of the Palace of the Carnatic Rulers, but states that the said Palace having gone to ruins the ground in question was sold by the Countrymen as stated in the Plaint during the days of a subsequent Government and that consequently the ground in question was not considered as Circar property from the commencement of the present Government. He further states that as the document in question is of an old date, the enjoyment of the site itself should be held to confer a title on those who occupied it that the objections urged in the answer that

the

the disputed ground was neither in the enjoy-
=ment of him (Plaintiff) or his Caste people
is not right as the same had been in
their enjoyment.-

5th. The Rejoinder filed by the 1st & 2d
Defendants contain objections to the Reply.

7. The Points given to the Plaintiff

1. The Plaintiff should file the deed of
sale on which he grounds his claim.
2. He should prove that the Seat called
"Simmasandcoradoo" was erected for
the purpose of performing "Theroo Euw
Sattoo" & other Ceremonies, and that he and his
Ancestors had planted trees on the ground
in question, and that he held the ground
in his possession for making the Seat
halting place for the Soobramanya sawmy.
3. He should prove the amount
of Costs incurred in erecting the said
Seat, as also the value of the trees
under dispute.
4. As to the continuance of his
enjoyment of a part of the ground
under dispute and that the Villagers
had the right to dispute of the ground
in question.-

Points



Points to the Defendants.

The Defendants should prove that
the disputed Site belongs to Government,
that no Seat called Simmasavacoradoo
was erected thereon and enjoyed by the
Plaintiff, that there were only 3 Portia trees
on the ground in question, and that these
trees had been planted by some others
and not by the Plaintiff or his Ancestors.-

8. Documents filed in favor of
the Plaintiff.-

A No. 24. One Cadjan Deed of
Sale of the ground executed on the 15th of
Chittiray Aundoo 932 by Carayalen & Co.
Inhabitants of Trichendoor to Eroo-
=luppenpundarum for 27 Pons and 1 Janam.-

B No. 47. Copy of a Petition pre-
=sented to the Magistrate by one Soobra-
=mania Pillay on the 17th March 1852.
In which he states that he has heard
that Pullers had erected a "Puthoolay"
on the ground in question.-

C No. 48 Copy of a Deposition
given at the Cutchery on the 26th March
1852, by Coomarasawmy Moodelliyar, Trustee
of the Trichendoor Pagoda - who states

that

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

that the ground in question had formerly the site of a Palace of the Carnatic Rulers that there was a Seat thereon, that he does not know by whom it was built and that no "Theroo Kumsattoo" &c. offerings were ever made from the place for the last 10 years or from the time he was made Trustee of the said Pagoda.-

Documents filed in favor the Defendants.-

I No. 32 Copy of a Mahazurnamah executed by Naranamoottien &c. 19 respectable Inhabitants of Trichendoor on the 11th February 1851, which states that the ground under dispute does not belong to the Villagers, but it pertains exclusively to the Circar, and that there is no objection to the construction of Sloose Cutcherry thereon-

II No. 33 Copy of a Deposition given at the Huzzoor by Soobbien &c. stating Inhabitants of Trichendoor, under date 11th March 1851- This Deposition Contains the purport of the document No. 32, but also states that there was no Seat called "Simmasanacoil" on



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.-

on the ground in question, nor "Theroo Kumsattoo" &c. offerings were ever made therefrom.-

III No. 34 Copy of a Deposition given before the Tahsildar of Punjamah Talook by one Soobbien on the 28th March 1851- This Deposition states that there has been no Curnum in the Village by name Suravany permal Pillay, who is stated by the Plaintiffs to have engrossed the document Marked A.

IV No. 35 Copy of a Deposition given by one Moottien under the said date to the same effect.-

Soobramanya Pillay 1.
Coodalambattoo Coodoombun — 2
Soobramanya Pillay 3.
Pul Mottoo coo: = mara Pundu: = Rum — 4
Coorumtha: = coodoom: = Rum — 5

10. The Plaintiff cited 13 Witnesses, of whom 5 Witnesses named in the Margin were examined, the remaining ones having been withdrawn by the Plaintiff as unnecessary.-

11 Out of the 15 Witnesses named for the defence, excepting one who died in the interim the remaining 14 Witnesses mentioned below were examined, Viz.

1 Sathasiva thayen- 2 Arooinoogum Pillay 3 Samynaitha Iyen, 4 Sivasoobramania Pillay, 5 Saravany Iyen 6 Naranavanthayan 7 Sheetha = rappa Moodaly 8 Thoppia Pillay 9 and = bathyappa Pilly 10 Moottien 11 Soopien 12 Witnesses

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

Chithumbram Iyen[12] Ramasawmy Iyen[13] & Soobramania[14] &c.

12. In the Motion filed by the Plaintiff in W.P. 1020 it is stated that instead of removing the Cutcherry erected on the ground in question, the value of the ground and the cost incurred in erecting the Seat called "Simma sawacoradoo" Rupees 30 & 20 respectively should be adjudged in favor of the Plaintiff.

13. It was ordered on the 8th December 1853 that the (Plaintiff) should prove the correctness of the value referred to above of the ground in question. About this fact the 3d & 4th Witnesses for the prosecution were again examined.

14. The Principal Sudr Ameen after a Mature consideration of all the Records connected with the case, comes to the following conclusion.

15. The 1st Witness for prosecution by name Soobramonia Pillay of Vellala Caste, aged 35 years deposes that he has Witnessed for a Space of 20 years the fact of Soobramaniasamy having been, when brought in procession placed on the Seat, called "Summasanacoradoo" erected by the Plaintiff on the ground in question, and that he was not present when the Seat was erected, nor does he know from what income it was Constructed. Contrary to the Plaint which states there were 10 Portia trees valued at 10 Rupees, and a Seat called "Simmasanacoradoo" erected at a cost of 20 Rupees, this Witness deposes that there were 20 Portia trees and a "Simmasana-coradoo" valued at 15 & 100 Rupees respectively. He further admits that he is an enemy to the 2nd Defendant & was punished with a fine for certain offences both by the Magistrate and the District Moonsiff.

16. The 2nd Witness Soodalamoottoo Coodoombum of Pulla Caste, aged 58 years deposes that he has Witnessed for the last 30 or 40 years the offering called "Theroot Cunsattoo" to Soobramania-sawamy while halting at the stone seat





Fort St. George 7th September 1854

seat erected by the Plaintiff on the disputed locality & that he was not present when the said seat was erected by the Plaintiff, but has heard that it was built by Plaintiff's ancestors, and that he doesn't know from what source it was constructed. He further states in exaggeration of the amount mentioned in the Plaint that the cost of the stone seat would be 100 or 200 and odd Rupees and that there stood about 10 or 13 Portia trees valued at 10 or 13 Rupees.

17 The 3rd Witness Soobramania Pillay of Vellala Caste, aged 58 years, states in his deposition that the Plaintiff having built a seat on the disputed ground continued making the offering called "Theroocursathoo" to the God of the Trichendoor Pagoda there; that he does not know how many years ago the seat was built and how the Plaintiff came to the enjoyment of the ground in question; that about 10 or 15 Portia trees were standing on the said ground but he does not know by whom they were planted, and that the trees are worth 10 Rupees. In opposition to the Plaint this Witness deposes that the cost of the seat would be 120 Rupees. He moreover admits that a fine of 15 Rupees was once imposed on him for giving false evidence in this Court, and gives the value of the disputed ground at 30 or 32 Rupees.

18 The 4th Witness Mottoo Comarasawmy

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

pundarum of Pulla Caste, aged 54 years, deposes that he had not seen when the seat was erected by the ancestor of the Plaintiff, but has witnessed the offering "Therookumsathoo" which was being made by the Plaintiff. In contradiction with the Plaintiff he further states that the value of the said seat would be 60 or 70 Rupees, that there were also 10 or 15 large and the same number of small Portia trees valued at about 10 or 15 Rupees, and that the value of the ground under dispute would be 30 or 31 Rupees.

19 The 5th Witness Cooroomthacoo dumbum of Pulla Caste, aged 53 years, deposes that he has seen while the offering "Therookumsathoo" was being made by the Plaintiff and his ancestors, and that he did not see when the seat was constructed. He further states contrary to the Plaintiff that there were about 10 or 15 Portia trees valued at about 12 or 15 Rupees, and that the value of the spot would be 40 pnd odd Rupees.

20 As the Plaintiff himself admits that the document (Marked A) filed in support of the sale of the disputed locality by the Villagers of Trichinoor to the ancestor of the Plaintiff being of a very Do date he is not able to prove the execution of the said document, the Court is unable to establish the right of purchase to the disputed ground in favor of the Plaintiff.

21 To confirm the right to the disputed ground in favor of the Plaintiff with reference to enjoyment, is impossible in as much as the Depositions of the Witnesses in the subject are contradictory with



Fort St. George 7th September 1854

each other and with the Plaint. Moreover the 1st and 3d Witnesses are not trustworthy as appears from their Depositions

22 Considering the nature of the evidence addduced, it is quite impossible to say that the Plaintiff never occupied the disputed ground, but it is not established by what right he entered upon the same.

23 The Plaintiff is only unable to substantiate his claim, for considering the poor state of the Plaintiff and the Comparative Authority possessed by the 1st Defendant the Principal Sudr Ameen has no reason to think that the Plaintiff has brought forward a false suit.

24 The Malabars of the Pagoda are the competent Witnesses to prove that a Throne like seat was erected on the ground under litigation for the purpose of making the offering called "Therookumsathoo" therefrom; and that the Ceremony in question was being performed thereat. The Principal Sudr Ameen cannot therefore help regretting the inability of the Plaintiff to prove his enjoyment by such Witnesses as above mentioned.

25 The very document marked G filed in behalf of the Plaintiff which is an authenticated Copy of the Deposition given at the Muzzoor by the Trustee of the said Pagoda invalidates the claim of the Plaintiff the deponent states that there was a seat in the disputed locality, that he does not know by whom it was built, and that no offerings called "Therookumsathoo" &c were ever made at the place in question for the last 10 years or from the time he was made Trustee of the said Pagoda.

26 The Plaintiff admits that the ground in question had formed the site of a Palace of the Carnatic Rulers and as he does not

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

not state that he or his ancestor ever constructed any substantial building, and lived in it, or paid quit Rent, or derived any benefit from the land for the support of his family, the putting the Plaintiff out of the possession of the said locality cannot entail any loss upon him.

27 All the Witnesses for the defence uniformly state that the disputed ground belongs to the Circar, and that no one has built any seat thereon and made the offering called "Therookumsathoo" from the place.

28 Under the foregoing reasons the Plaintiff is nonsuited, and ordered to bear all the costs of the suit.

Particulars of Costs

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Value of Stamp paper used by the Defendants | Rs. | as | P. |
| Vakeel fees | 11 | " | " |
| | 3 | " | " |
| Total Cost of the Defendants to be paid by the Plaintiff | 14 | " | " |
| Value of stamp papers used by the Plaintiff | 14 | " | " |
| Batta paid to peons who served Summons &c. | 8 | " | " |
| Total Costs of the Plaintiff to be borne by himself | 22 | 10 | " |

Decreed on the 26th December 1853.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court of Tinnevelly this 31st day of December 1853

The Plaintiff and the Defendants are hereby informed that in conformity with the order of the Sudder Udalut No. 48, no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid unless it first receives the sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed.

No Motion requesting the execution of this Decree presented after a lapse of 12 years shall be accepted unless it be shewn that the delay was owing to any of the causes mentioned in Clause fourth Section x VIII Regulation ii of 1802.

The party appealing from this Decision should with his appeal Petition tender Competent Security for the charges of the suit. If the Security first offered was not approved of, the party will have to lose his claim for making an appeal.

The term of appeal will expire on the 30th January 1854

Tinnevelly
Jencandy
21st August 1854

Signed Arunachellum Pillay
Principal Sudder Ameen
True translation
Signed C. [illegible] Bird



Fort St. George 7th September 1854

No 2

Translation of an Appeal Petition presented to the Civil Court of Tinnevelly by Palavasia maistry Coodoolieu son of Anunjan Coodoomben of the Pullen Caste, a cultivator residing at Cullampaurei attached to Thondercoopairy in the Streevycuntum Talook, Plaintiff in O. S. No 9 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court against the Defendants in the said Suit, Viz. C. J. Bird Esqre Collector of the said District, and Arundiah Pillay late Tahsildar of Punchamal Talook.

I instituted the Original Suit against the Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 30 being the value of the ground violently usurped by them Rupees 20, the Cost of a Throne-like Seat erected thereon, and Rupees 10 being the value of certain trees which stood there. The Principal Sudder Ameen, notwithstanding that he was in possession of the proofs adduced by me, passed a Decree on the 26th December 1853 nonsuiting me and adjudging me further to bear my costs Rupees 22-10-0 and to pay to the Defendants Rupees 14 being the cost incurred by them. This Decree was signed on the 31st Idem, and the term of appeal therein extended to the 30th January 1854 I am dissatisfied with the said Decree, I beg to prefer

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

prefer this appeal therefrom. I am willing to make a deposit of ready money equal to the Charges which the Respondents might incur.

I therefor pray that the Court will be pleased to peruse this together with the detailed appeal Petition that will be presented by me hereafter and the Proceedings connected with the Original Suit, and pass an appeal Decree reversing the Original one.

/ Signed / Palavasa accordaren

26th January 1854

Received 26th January 1854

/ Signed / Arnachellum Pillay

Principal Sudder Ameen

Filed on the 1st March 1854

/ Signed / C. H. Woodsale

Acting Civil Judge

True Translation

/ Signed / C. J. Bird

Collector

Tinnevelly
Tencaasy
21st August 1854

No. 3.

Translation of a detailed appeal Petition presented to the Civil Court of Tinnevelly by Palavaza Maistry Coodoomburn appellant in Appeal Suit No. 13 of 1854 on the file of the said Court under date the 27th March 1854.—

That the grounds at issue belong to



Fort St. George 7th September 1854

to my ancestors will be fully proved by the alavalogee account of Rundee 978 alone. Though this account is in the Huzzoor Record, yet it is stated in a yadast (received from the Collectors Office) to have been consumed by fire at the Talook together with the other records of the Talook. The stamped alavalogee in question must and ought to be in the Huzzoor Record and would not be kept among the Talook Records. If the Court will be pleased to examine the said Records in my presence, it would be apparent that the 1st Respondents yadast stating that the said record has been destroyed by fire is false, and that the claim advanced by me who am poor, is just.—

2 The resident witnesses referred to in the Original Decree were cited by me, and they also presented themselves for examination, but the 1st Defendant intimidated them through the Trustee of the Trichendoor Pagoda and the Huzzoor servants, by fear that he will injure them and deprive them of their allowances, if they dare give evidence against him, consequently they declined to bear witness

Fort St. Geoge 7th September 1854

I therefore gave a deposition stating that their evidence is not required in my behalf.

3 If the Court will be pleased to consider this and the 2 Documents filed in my behalf, as well as the opinion expressed by the Principal Sudr Ameen in Para 23 of his Decree, and that part of the pleadings which admit the existence of the seat, it will be obvious that the Plaint is true and the original Decree unjust. As all the different classes of people in this and other Districts are interested in the Pagoda in question, my Witnesses have stated that these particulars are known to all. Therefore the objection taken to the evidence given by them should be over-ruled.—

I therefore request that the original proceedings be taken into consideration and a decision passed reversing the original Decree

/Signed/ Palavarayacoodoomben

22nd March 1854

Filed on the 24th March 1854

/Signed/ C. W. Woodgate

Acting Civil Judge

True Translation

/Signed/ C. H. Bird

DG



Fort St. George 7th. September 1854

No. 4

Translation of the Draft of an Appeal-Answer proposed to be filed by Shaishagherry rayah Government Vakeel in behalf of C. I. Bird Esqre Collector of Tinnevelly and the Respondent in A. S. No. 13 of 1854 on the file of the Civil Court at Tinnevelly.-

In para 24th. of the Original Decree it is admitted that the 1st. Defendant (who is also 1st. Respondent) has by the evidence of 14 Witnesses and 4 Authentic Documents proved the points given him.- The Oogoo Accounts adverted to by Appellant for Trichendoor and certain other Villages have been destroyed by fire, and Copies are not found on the Mooziyoor Records.- This information was given by the 1st Respondent in his vadast to the Court.- The assertion therefore in the Appeal Petition that the Oogoo Account in question is to be found among the Mooziyoor records and that a search of the records should therefore be made in his presence,

presence, has been made by the ex
Appellant with a fraudulent intent
of making the Court to believe what
he states. —

The Appellant with a
view to make it appear that the Sait
preferred by him was a true one,
first named some resident ex
Witnesses, and then with drew
them on the ground that they
were not required by him. The
Statement in para 2 of the Appel
Petition that the 1st. Respondent
made use of intimidations is a
vain excuse and without foun-
dation, for, if what he says were
true, and the assertion in his ex
Appeal Plaint that many has
Knew the facts correct, he would
have made allusion to it in his
motion No. 577 presented under
date the 7th. June 1853 and filed
on the 20th. Idem requesting ex
to be allowed to name more ex
Witnesses - But he did not do so.

Appellant



Appellant states in
his detailed Petition that the existence
of the Seat is admitted by the Plead-
-ings - But this is contrary to the fact,
for 1st & 2d. Defendants in their
answers expressly denied it. The
genuineness of the document No. 24
filed by the Plaintiff in his behalf
and on which he rests his claim ex
has not been proved - The Plaintiff
himself has stated in para 1 of
his reply that the genuineness
of the Document in question
cannot be proved by Witnesses ex
Hence it is mentioned in ex
para 20 of the Decree that this
Document is of no avail - ex
Further the fabrication of that
document is made evident by
the Document No. 34 filed
in behalf of the Defendant
That the Plaintiff did not
possess even a right of occu-
-pancy to the litigated ex
ground is fully proved by the
Document No. 48 marked C.

and

and filed on his behalf and by the opinion expressed in para 21 of the Decree. The Document marked No. 47 appears to have been written subsequent to the occurrence of the cause of this Action by one Soobramania pillay at the instigation of the Plaintiff No. That the Document No. 48 of such a nature as to weaken the Plaintiffs claim is stated in para 26 of the Decree. Thus all these 3 Documents are unavailing to support the claim brought forward by the Plaintiff.—

In conclusion this Respondent considers it necessary to remark upon the observations contained in para 23 of the Decree under Appeal to the effect that the Plaintiff failed to prove his claim solely from inability and that he appears from the state of his poverty compared



compared with the authority possessed by the 1st Defendant as not to have brought a vexatious or false Suit. These observations involve an inference injurious to this Respondent that he may have used his influence to defeat the just rights of the Appellant, and it is submitted that nothing on the record of this trial can be found to countenance such an inference, but on the contrary it is allowed by the Principal Sudder Ameen himself in his Decree that the Witnesses for the Appellant are untrustworthy, mean while no such

slur

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

slur is cast upon those for this Respondent, who therefore requests that the whole record connected with t Original Suit be gone into tog with this Appeal Answer and a decision be passed setting aside the opinion expressed by t Principal Sudder Ameen in pa 23 of his Decree, and confirming all other parts of it.—

/True Translation/

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
21st August

/Signed/ C. J. Bird
Collector

RDE



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.—

Bd. No. 1883.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

(74-75)

See Proceedings to Government 29th April 1852

From Government 14th May 1852 mo. 15th Dec. a

2. This Suit was originally brought by the Plaintiff for the recovery of a piece of ground valued at Rupees 30 together with 10 Rupees the value of 10 Porcia Trees and for the reconstruction of a Simhasana Koradoor throne-like Seat built at a cost of Rupees 20. The Suit was defended under authority of Government granted in Extract Minutes Consultation 14th May 1852 No. 435.—

3 The Principal Sudr Ameen considering the depositions of the the witnesses adduced by the Plaintiff to be contradictory to each other and to the plaint, and the 1st and 3d witnesses untrustworthy, decreed in favor of the Collector by adjudging the land claimed by the Plaintiff to be Circar property.—

4. Against this decision the Plaintiff has appealed to the Civil Court, but the arguments advanced by him do not in any way strengthen his claim, and are unworthy of notice being grounded wholly on false assertion.—

5. The Board beg to recommend that the Collector may be authorized to file the draft answer submitted by him and to conduct the defence of the Appeal Suit at the Public cost.—

To

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 275.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(76)

Bd. No. 3264.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly - -

Sir,

Para 1. Subsequently to the date of my last report on the of the season there has been don rain in various parts of the District not sufficient how = ever to serve for cultivation purposes - No freshes have gone d the rivers - very little has been done in ploughing and sowing - Some further losses of crops are reported along the irrigation from Minor rivers, but the Cro watered from the Tambraporn will



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

will it is hoped come to maturity. -

2. Details of cultivation have not been received for the period under report. The list of prices of grains for the same are herewith submitted. -

Prices.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Paddy per Garce Rupees | | | 145-3-2. |
| Cumboo | " | " | 162-4-10 |
| Raggy | " | " | 140-4-7 |
| Cholum | " | " | 140-6-9 |
| Varagoo | " | " | 94-15-7 |
| Tenny | " | " | 143-11-2 |
| Coolty | " | " | 215-2-2 |

Tinnevelly.....
Tuticorin.....
1st September 1854.

Signed/ C. J. Bird
Collector

To

Order thereon. -
76-77

Ordered to be recorded. -

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

78

No. 208.

To Captain J. H. Bell
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Dept. Public Works

Sir,

Transferred to the Revenue Dept.

With reference to the Board's order dated 5th June last No. 492, conveying Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 2d Idem, I have the honor to forward for the Board's consideration, Copy of a letter from Lieutenant Michael containing observations on the Settlement of the boundary question between this District and Cochin.-

2. Adverting to Para 6 of the Minutes of Consultation, I beg to forward Copies of letter and Enclosure from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin dated 10th Inst. from which it will appear that the Cochin Circar is not disposed to lease the forest in question.-

Coimbatore - Collector's Office - 10th August 1854.

(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector.

To

Bd. No. 3078
From the Collector of Coimbatore -
Forwards Copy of letter from Lt. Michael making observations on the Settlement of the disputed boundary by Captain Horsley together with Copy of letter from the Resident of Travancore declining to lease the Forest of Cochin State. -



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

Enclosure No. 1.

No. 1204.

To C. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit to you copy of the observations of the Dewan of Cochin on the suggestion in your letter No. 217 of the 20th Ultimo, that the Cochin Sircar should lease the Forest Tract referred to Government on the same terms and conditions as that entered into by the Numbeady of Colungode.-

You will observe however that the Cochin Circar independent of their desire to retain all their forests in their own hands estimate the value of these forests very differently from the Company's Authorities for while the Coimbatore Renter was to pay but 5 Rupees per tree the Cochin Sircar expect to realize a net profit of not less than 30 Rupees on each log.-

Resident's Office Trevandum - 10th July 1854.

(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector.

To

Enclosure No. 2.

No. 366.

To. Lieutenant General W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1182 of the 23d. Ultimo, forwarding copy of a communication No. 217 of the 20th. Idem from the Collector of Coimbatore and to state that the Circar is not desirous of leasing the Forest Tract therein alluded to.-

In fact it would not be expedient to do so, our other Forests are almost exhausted at present of good Teak Timber, and it is necessary for the Sircar to keep the Forest in question under the Management of its own Servants in order that a regular supply of good and Marketable Teak logs may be brought down annually to meet the requirements of the building Yard at Cochin.-

The logs from the Nelliampathy Forest have always hitherto been brought down to Cochin and the Forest Tract alluded to



to in the communication under reply is a portion of the same forest and closer to the River. Its logs therefore can be brought down with greater facility.-

Mr. Thomas speaks of 5 Rupees per tree of every size as the fee proposed to be given, but I can assure you that the Circar will derive from logs of ordinary size a net profit of about 25 Rupees per log.-

Huzzoor Cutcherry
Ernacolum 6th July 1854.-

(Signed) Shungra Wurrier.
Dewan

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector.

Enclosure No. 3.

To C. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 20th June 1854, in which you forward

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

forward Extract of Minutes of Consultation under date 2d June 1854, together with other correspondence regarding the Tract of the Forest land claimed from Government by the Cochin Sircar, I would beg to offer the following remarks.

2. Captain Horsley in defining the boundary line marked in Map No. 1 as the limits of the Coimbatore District and the Cochin Territory respectively not perhaps made aware that a claim to a considerable portion of the Forest in question, is made by the Cullungode Numbiady as well as by the Cochin Sircar.

3. I believe this claim on the part of the Cullungode Numbiady has not been officially preferred, no doubt if Captain Horsley had prosecuted his enquiries on the Spot the claim would have been made, and the documents shewing the grounds on which it rested would have been produced.

4. Captain Horsley's decision must



Fort St. George 7th September 1854

must have been almost wholly arrived at from the perusal of documents produced by the Cochin Sircar far beyond the plea "of possession of the land from time immemorial" by the Jungle Tribes of Coimbatore, there is nothing to prove the claim and no documents in support of it have been furnished from the Records of this District. An Examination of the Grandhavaury and other Records belonging to the Cullungode Numbiady might however, elucidate the matter very considerably.-

5. Under these circumstances and taking the immense value of the Forest claimed into consideration, I think it my duty to bring to your notice the great importance of a most minute enquiry being instituted into all the claims to the Forest or any part of it, for in the event of a portion being proved to be the property of the Cullungode Numbiady, Government may obtain a lease of it from him, a most desirable arrangement

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

arrangement, because what with the Tract ceded to him 3 years ago and that now claimed by Cochin the Teak Forest now left, the undisputed property of Government is exceedingly small and nearly exhausted of Trees capable of yielding Dockyard Planks.-

Coimbatore — 25th July 1854.

(Signed) J. Michael
Superintendent Annimalai Forests.-

(78-79)

(True Copy
(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Bd. No. 1918.

Proceedings thereon-

If the Numbikode of Cullengode has any claim to prefer to any portion of the Forest land awarded to the Cochin Sirkar by Extract Minutes of Consultation Department of Public Works of the 2d June 1854 he should advance it through the Collector of Malabar.-

Rec'd 5th June 1854.

No. 103.

To W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Rev.
Fort St. George.

(80)

Bd. No. 2193.
From the Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state for



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

for the information of the Board that since my last report dated 21st Instant No. 97, some showers have fallen, but not sufficient for much cultivation the prices of grain are still high, and the state of the season is nearly the same as last reported - grass for the surviving cattle is appearing but wells are nearly dry.-

Coimbatore, 31st August 1854.

(80-81)

(Signed) E: B: Thomas-
Collector.

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Tabular

B

11898

82 B. No. 2944.

# Fort St. George

## Tabular Report on the lapsed Enam in the District of Canara.

7th September 1854.

| Case Number. | Talook and Village Enctent and value of the entire Enam. | When and by whom granted. Name of the original grantee summed and other documents in support of the grant. | Nature of the grant charity or service. Specify nature of the duty prescribed by the grant. | Name of the last holder. date of his decease and his relationship to the | Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members. | Family left by the deceased and name and age of the heirs. Their circumstances and the means of subsistence supplied by them | Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made. | Recommendation of the Board of Revenue. | Decision of Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | Behul Talook Nelleishwer Village Annual Pay of<br>5 Rajahs — 2000-0-0<br>Endowment to the female part of the family — 1200-0-0<br>3200-0-0 | Granted by the Honorable Company in 1801, to Rama Warmar Rajah and his relations.<br>The deceased 1st Rajah Roomar Wurmah, when enquired about Oonam Tambraty in 1849, stated, that the documents in his profession were destroyed by fire - but there are different orders issued on the subject by the former Collectors to the several Tahsildars since the year 1801, as will appear from the Huzoor Records themselves. | Granted on account of resumed lands and privileges - The holders ancestors were native Rajahs over the Moganies of Nelleish: wer Taicat and alwatnad | Brot Tambraty of the late female for who pension lieu of resumed lands on the February | Particulars of the Enam Sanctioned on the 14th April 1818.-<br>The deceased was in receipt of Rupees - 180-0-0<br>The other Members receive as follows.<br>Oodaya Wurmah 1st Rajah - 600-0-0<br>Roomar Wurmah 2d Do. — 500-0-0<br>Roosna Wurmah 3d Do. — 400-0-0<br>Pekkool Oodaya Wurmah 4th Do. — 300-0-0<br>Mottadakool Kristna Wurmah 5th Do. — 200-0-0<br>Mattadakool Ootama Tambraty female - 600-0-0<br>Pekkool Pankie Tambraty — Do. — 240-0-0<br>Rimanorkool Hom anah Tambraty — Do. — 180-0-0<br>3200-0-0 | Family Tambraty Younger Sister aged 32 years - besides<br>The whole family is supported by the Pension and Lands held<br>of the net produce of Rupees 1944-8-0 | I recommend that the allowance which the deceased enjoyed be continued from the date of her demise to her present younger Sister Pankee Tambraty aged 32 years who is entitled to the same according to the succession observed in the family.<br>The grounds of this recommendation are that the pension to this family was declared in the letters of Government to the Board of Revenue dated 14th April 1818, and 4th March 1819. to be granted in perpetuity. | | |

(Signed) F. N. Maltby.
Collector.

Collectors Cutcherry, Mangalore, 10th August 185

Remarks

11899 Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

82-83

B. No. 1902

No. 419.

Remarks thereon -

It is clear from the letter from Government dated 14th April 1818 that the pension to the Nelishwar family is granted in perpetuity. The Board therefore concur in the Collectors recommendation that the allowance enjoyed by the deceased may be continued in the name of her younger Sister Pankee Tambe = ty according to the order of succession observed in the family.-

Inclos: 27th April 1818.

11900 Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 88.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

84

B. No. 3148.

From the Collector of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the purchase of 1450 Garces of Bombay salt at a cost of Rupees 28725, for sale at the depots of Buntwal Pane- Mangalore, and Cassergode, to the traders from above the Ghauts.

| | | Pds |
|---|---|---|
| Garces 50 at 22 each — | | 1100 |
| " 100 at 20 1/4 | " | 2025 |
| " 100 at 20 3/4 | " | 2075 |
| " 500 at 19 3/4 | " | 9875. |
| " 700 at 19 1/2 | " | 13650. |
| 1450 at an average of 19-13-0 — | | 28725. |

| | Average rate per Garce. Pds A. P. |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1259 | 26-15-6. |
| " 1260 | 19-4-0 |
| " 1261 | 22-0-10- |
| " 1262 | 24-15-3- |
| " 1263 | 21-9-1- |
| Average | 22-15-4- |
| Present contract for 1264 | 19-13-0- |
| Difference | 32-4-0- |
| Difference between 1263 and 64 | 1-12-1- |

The contract prices now obtained amounting on an average to Rupees 19-13-0 as p. per Garce are Rupees 3-2-4 as p. below those paid for the last five years, and Rupees 1-12-1 as p. below the average cost of the past fusly- The present contracts may therefore be considered favorable, and I beg to recommend them for the sanction of Government.-

3- Of the proposed expenditure of Rupees 28725, I request sanction for paying an advance

Rupees 9575.

advance of one third of this sum to the contractor on good security.—

4. I have further the honor to request that I may have authority to purchase an additional supply of 1000 Garees in the event of prices falling so low as to afford a favorable opportunity for increasing the stock. This authority has been granted to me in the two last seasons, but prices have not hitherto been so low as to induce to act upon it.

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore 23d August 1854.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby. Collector.

Ordered to be submitted with the following

No. 420.

84-85

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

B. No. 1910.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Para 1.

Recommending for sanction the request of the Collr. of Canara to purchase 1450 Garees of Bombay Salt, and also 1000 Garees more, in the event of prices falling during the season.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Canara dated



Inclos: 7th Sept. 1854

dated 23d August 1854 requesting sanction for the purchase of 1450 Garees of Bombay Salt.—

2. The present contracts were obtained at prices varying from Rupees 19—8—0 to Rupees 22—0—0 or an average of Rupees 19—13—0 per Garee, which is Rupees 1—12—1 below that of the past fusly and Rupees 3—2—4 below those of the five preceding years.

3. The Board recommend that sanction be granted for the purchase of the 1450 Garees at an outlay of Rupees 28,725, and for

for an advance of Rupees 9,575 to the contractors, being one third of the cost.—

4.- They also recommend that the Collector be authorized agreeably to his request to purchase 1000 Garees, in addition to the above stock, in the event of prices so falling during the season is to make the purchase desirable.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
7th. September 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary in charge



No. 1148.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

86

B. No. 2957.
From the Acting Collector of Madras

Sir,

In reply to the enquiry contained in Para 2 of Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 28th. July forwarded with the Proceedings of the Board of the 10th. Instant, I have the honor to report that it appears that on the receipt of the order referred to the holders of Salt compensation Certificates on being asked declined to sell them to Government for less than 20 years purchase, which was considered too much. They will now again be applied to, and the result reported.—

Madras
17th. August, 1854.

(Signed) W. Stokes.
Acting Collector.

B. No. 1885.
Proceedings thereon
86-87.
In Cons: 10th. July 1854.

No. 411.

Resolved that the letter recorded above be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th. July 1854.—

No. 160.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

88

B. No. 3268.
From the Acting Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt

receipt of your Circular letter dated the 4th Instant.
It does not apply to this Collectorate where there is no
Police Establishment under the Collector.-

Madras,
6th September 1854.

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Acting Collector.

Order thereon.

88-89

Ordered to lie over.

---

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

90

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

No. 408.

B. No. 1880.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government and
Civil Auditor

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that I propose leaving the Presidency this day for Bellary agreeably to the instructions contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 2d September 1854.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
7th September 1854.

(Signed) E. Maltby.
3d Member.

---

91

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

No. 409.

B. No. 1881.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government and
Civil Auditor

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day assumed my seat at the Board in pursuance of my



my appointment to act as a Member.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
7th September 1854.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Acting third Member.-

---

No. 410.

92

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

B. No. 1882
To the Chief Secretary
to Government and
Civil Auditor

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that I have this day assumed charge of the Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
7th September 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge.

---

No. 421.

93

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

B. No. 1916.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government
B. No. 37 of
1854.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board to observe with reference to the Endorsement of Government on Petition No. 1363 of 1854, that the Office claimed by the Petitioners as their "Meerasey" is that of "Head of the Village" which is not necessarily

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

necessarily hereditary, though usually allowed to descend in the same family, when the heir is competent to fulfil the duties of the Office.

2. From the Collector's Endorsement of the 17th May 1854 it appears that in order to stop discord in the Village he found it necessary to appoint a third party as a joint Mongar unconnected with the incumbents of the Office, a course often followed under such circumstances, and hitherto considered unobjectionable, as the appointment is an Official one, and disposed of by the Revenue authorities in the manner best tending to an efficient discharge of its' duties.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
7th September 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary in Charge.



Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

No. 423

94

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

B. No. 1931

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting a Statement of Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1853 to 30th June 1854 of Fusly 1263 compared with the Collections in the same period for Fusly 1262.

A

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the monthly accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1853 to 30th June 1854 of Fusly 1263 compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1262.

2

Abstract B. shews a net Decrease in the aggregate of Rupees 3858108-2-4 resulting from a net decrease of Rupees 3081006-9-1 in the Collections of Land Revenue and a net decrease of Rs. 777101-9-3 in the Collections of extra sources of Revenue.

| | |
|---|---|
| Decrease in the Collections of Current | 3155070-4-9 |
| Deduct Increase in the Collection of arrears | 74063-11-8 |
| | 3081006-9-1 |

3

Abstract C. shews the Increase and decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from extra sources.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
7th September 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge
General

eptember 1854

th July 1853 to 30th June 1854 of Fusly 1263 compared with the

nd Current

e

ision

| Total | | | Arrears | | | Decrease Current | | | Total | | | Net Increase | | | Net Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | | | 11 | | | 12 | | | 13 | | | 14 | | | 15 | | |
| 51441 | 3 | 10 | " | " | " | 35356 | 13 | 7 | 35356 | 13 | 6 | " | " | " | 10215 | 9 | 9 |
| " | " | " | 2565 | 10 | 5 | 24244 | 10 | 10 | 26810 | 5 | 3 | " | " | " | 26810 | 5 | 3 |
| 44419 | 2 | 5 | 9775 | 9 | 2 | " | " | " | 9775 | 9 | 2 | 44643 | 9 | 3 | " | " | " |
| 04471 | 10 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 100471 | 10 | 5 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 17085 | 7 | 1 | 22522 | 15 | 10 | 39608 | 6 | 11 | " | " | " | 39608 | 6 | 11 |
| 44473 | 8 | 1 | " | " | " | 434455 | 12 | 2 | 434455 | 12 | 2 | 34017 | 11 | 11 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 23936 | 6 | 7 | 33465 | 11 | 2 | 57402 | 1 | 9 | " | " | " | 57402 | 1 | 9 |
| 44420 | 7 | 8 | 23602 | 7 | 2 | " | " | " | 23602 | 7 | 2 | 80818 | 6 | | " | " | " |
| 3965 | 3 | 7 | " | " | " | 623267 | 14 | 3 | 623267 | 14 | 3 | " | " | " | 608872 | 10 | 8 |
| 189 | 1 | 11 | " | " | " | 417633 | 13 | 2 | 417633 | 13 | 2 | " | " | " | 404444 | 11 | 3 |
| " | " | " | 66798 | 1 | 3 | 411577 | 1 | 8 | 478375 | 2 | 11 | " | " | " | 478375 | 2 | 11 |
| 37 | 7 | 3 | " | " | " | 509928 | 3 | 8 | 509928 | 3 | 8 | " | " | " | 501790 | 12 | 5 |
| 637 | 1 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 42437 | 1 | 2 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 6645 | 11 | 10 | 270939 | 2 | 3 | 277584 | 14 | 1 | " | " | " | 277584 | 14 | 1 |
| 60 | 15 | 11 | " | " | " | 616853 | 1 | 10 | 616853 | 1 | 10 | " | " | " | 613192 | 1 | 11 |
| 15 | 11 | 7 | " | " | " | 241045 | 7 | 7 | 241045 | 7 | 7 | " | " | " | 240529 | 12 | " |
| " | " | " | 5543 | 8 | 10 | 18120 | 6 | 1 | 23663 | 14 | 11 | " | " | " | 23663 | 14 | 11 |
| 69 | 2 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 133569 | 2 | 9 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 216 | 5 | 11 | 33339 | 2 | 4 | 33555 | 8 | 3 | " | " | " | 33555 | 8 | 3 |
| 7 | 6 | 9 | 541 | 9 | " | " | " | " | 541 | 9 | " | 825 | 13 | 9 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | " | " | " | 93812 | 13 | 8 | 93812 | 13 | 8 | " | " | " | 93570 | 11 | 7 |
| 5 | 5 | | 15671 | 0 | 13 | 3395563 | 2 | 1 | 3552273 | 15 | 4 | 396783 | 1 | 9 | 3410116 | 11 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 6928 | 5 | 8 | 74601 | 4 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 403711 | 7 | 5 | 3484718 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Dr Increase | | | 403711 | 7 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Net Decrease | | | 3081006 | 9 | 1 |

Fort St Geo 7th September 1854.

Collections in the same period of Fusly 1262.-

Salt

| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 1 | 365832 1 2 | 335460 13 7 | | | | | | |
| 2 | 170833 9 - | 174346 10 10 | | 30371 3 7 | | | | |
| 3 | 240416 12 8 | 212753 14 4 | 3513 1 10 | | | | | |
| 4 | 138222 3 9 | 274819 8 - | | 27662 14 4 | 4125 - 1 | 4217 1 | | |
| 5 | 586475 11 4 | 371345 2 2 | 136597 4 3 | | 35806 14 5 | 38590 2 | | |
| 6 | 295197 12 6 | 149461 14 2 | | 215130 9 2 | 1834 4 8 | 1732 6 | | |
| 7 | | | | 145735 14 4 | | | | |
| 8 | 393300 - - | 331115 1 7 | | | | | | |
| 9 | 489087 1 3 | 440480 8 4 | | 62184 14 5 | 478 6 9 | 631 11 | | |
| 10 | | | | 48606 8 11 | | | | |
| 11 | 207817 7 3 | 165637 9 8 | | | | | | |
| 12 | 464806 8 4 | 437097 11 4 | | 42179 13 7 | 64127 2 9 | 66598 9 | | |
| 13 | | | | 27708 13 - | 23362 14 5 | 24881 3 | | |
| 14 | 332025 14 5 | 303800 2 11 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | 28225 11 6 | 32624 4 - | 37103 8 | | |
| 16 | | | | | 5685 3 1 | 5048 10 | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 448524 12 - | 440039 9 8 | | | | | | |
| 19 | 305770 14 4 | 314511 14 2 | 8740 15 10 | 8485 2 4 | 55899 1 9 | 52777 15 | | |
| 20 | 424320 7 3 | 551472 - - | | 127151 8 9 | 16 2 10 | 43 14 | | |
| | | | | | 199 1 - | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| | 4862631 3 3 | 4502342 8 9 | 276002 14 8 | 636291 9 2 | 228741 14 3 | 235544 14 | | |
| | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | | |
| | 4862631 3 3 | 4502342 8 9 | 276002 14 8 | 636291 9 2 | 228741 14 3 | 235544 14 | | |
| | | | Dt Increase | 276002 14 8 | | | | |
| | | | Net Decrease | 360288 10 6 | | | | |
| | 4495531 2 1 | 4190142 2 11 | | | | | | |
| | 367100 1 2 | 312200 5 10 | | | 207257 7 9 | 218177 13 | | |
| | | | | | 21484 6 6 | 17363 - 1 | | |

Sayer

Atkarry

| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | | |
| | " - - | " - - | 55577 7 6 | 50829 3 11 | - - - | 4748 3 7 | | |
| | " - - | " - - | 52059 4 10 | 52946 8 11 | 887 4 1 | " - - | | |
| 92 | 1 3 | " - - | 72515 14 9 | 79691 1 7 | 7175 2 10 | - - - | | |
| 2783 | 4 3 | " - - | 38529 11 7 | 40195 6 5 | 1665 10 10 | - - - | | |
| " | - - | 101 5 7 | 20379 10 1 | 20026 13 5 | " - - | 352 12 8 | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 65035 7 4 | 40022 4 - | - - - | 25013 3 4 | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 85006 - - | 73326 12 - | - - - | 11679 4 - | | |
| 153 | 5 11 | " - - | 56702 13 4 | 60804 - - | 4101 2 8 | " - - | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 28723 6 - | 26985 4 4 | - - - | 1738 1 8 | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 117244 8 - | 102001 - - | - - - | 15243 8 - | | |
| 2471 | 7 - | " - - | 75430 7 8 | 98120 11 2 | 22690 3 6 | - - - | | |
| 1518 | 10 5 | " - - | 101986 12 - | 105771 13 6 | 3785 1 6 | - - - | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 23298 10 3 | 23740 5 6 | 441 11 3 | - - - | | |
| 4479 | 4 4 | " - - | 20052 - - | 28830 - - | 8778 - - | - - - | | |
| " | - - | 636 8 8 | 251840 4 8 | 250602 8 10 | - - - | 1237 11 10 | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 113492 12 - | 123767 9 2 | 10274 13 2 | - - - | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 82304 - - | 77210 6 5 | - - - | 5093 9 7 | | |
| " | - - | 3121 2 8 | 68738 13 - | 76968 1 9 | 8229 4 9 | - - - | | |
| 27 | 11 10 | " - - | 64862 6 4 | 62395 12 3 | - - - | 2466 10 1 | | |
| " | - - | 199 1 - | 566857 9 2 | 596864 15 5 | 40007 6 3 | - - - | | |
| " | - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | | |
| " | - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | " - - | | |
| " | - - | 668 10 7 | 64694 - - | 59553 5 4 | - - - | 5140 10 8 | | |
| 11525 | 13 - | 4726 12 6 | 2015331 14 6 | 2050653 15 11 | 108035 12 10 | 72713 11 5 | | |
| " | - - | " - - | 458 - | 818 13 3 | 818 13 3 | 458 - | | |
| 11525 | 13 - | 4726 12 6 | 2015377 6 6 | 2051472 13 2 | 108854 10 1 | 72759 3 5 | | |
| 4726 | 12 6 | Dt Decrease | | | 72759 3 5 | Dt Decrease | | |
| 6799 | - 6 | Net Increase | | | 36095 6 8 | Net Increase | | |
| | | | 1837314 4 6 | 1870614 7 7 | | | | |
| | | | 178063 2 - | 180858 5 7 | | | | |

11911

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

Sundry Small farms and Licenses

| | Fusly 1262 | | | Fusly 1263 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Fusly 1263 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 28 | | | 29 | | | 30 | | | 31 | | | 32 | | | 33 | | |
| 1 | 4,526 | 9 | 10 | 4,408 | 15 | 10 | | | | 117 | 10 | - | 10,478 | 8 | 5 | 9,406 | | |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,419 | 15 | 1 | 4,863 | | |
| 3 | 11,835 | 2 | 1 | 10,239 | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 56,781 | - | 7 | 51,623 | | |
| 4 | 2,498 | 6 | 6 | 3,997 | 7 | 2 | 1,499 | - | 8 | 1,595 | 9 | 5 | 25,601 | 4 | 4 | 24,289 | | |
| 5 | 6,480 | 8 | 6 | 8,209 | 7 | 4 | 1,728 | 14 | 10 | - | - | - | 46,436 | 10 | 9 | 45,132 | | |
| 6 | 17,866 | - | 2 | 13,465 | 13 | 1 | - | - | - | 4,400 | 3 | 1 | 18,565 | 4 | 1 | 24,345 | | |
| 7 | 9,181 | 14 | 8 | 9,484 | 11 | 1 | 302 | 12 | 5 | - | - | - | 78,674 | 5 | 3 | 78,821 | | |
| 8 | 7,450 | 5 | 10 | 13,274 | 11 | 3 | 5,824 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 9 | 642 | 6 | 4 | 453 | 15 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17,133 | | |
| 10 | 4,114 | 1 | 10 | 3,650 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | 188 | 7 | - | 17,968 | 6 | 7 | 2,363 | | |
| 11 | 10,078 | 15 | 4 | 7,566 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - | 463 | 13 | 2 | 35,009 | 7 | 9 | 34,622 | | |
| 12 | 24,641 | 13 | 1 | 22,655 | - | 10 | - | - | - | 2,512 | 5 | 1 | 37,285 | 1 | 9 | 4,326 | | |
| 13 | 4,107 | 8 | 1 | 3,999 | 10 | 9 | - | - | - | 1,986 | 12 | 3 | 44,911 | 14 | 6 | 6,481 | | |
| 14 | 13,246 | 1 | 7 | 15,038 | 10 | 6 | 1,792 | 8 | 11 | 107 | 13 | 4 | 7,200 | 11 | 9 | 86,593 | | |
| 15 | 15,784 | 15 | 6 | 21,830 | 7 | 7 | 6,045 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 92,422 | 11 | 6 | 24,794 | | |
| 16 | 12,418 | 3 | 11 | 14,310 | 1 | 4 | 1,891 | 13 | 5 | - | - | - | 26,886 | 9 | 5 | 14,636 | | |
| 17 | 2,382 | 5 | - | 2,635 | 8 | - | 253 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 14,867 | 3 | 9 | 7,777 | | |
| 18 | 28,864 | - | 10 | 39,951 | 14 | 10 | 11,087 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 76,057 | 9 | 8 | 15,138 | | |
| 19 | 43,780 | 4 | 8 | 49,142 | 3 | 2 | 5,361 | 14 | 6 | - | - | - | 10,679 | 3 | 8 | 105,208 | | |
| 20 | 9,565 | 14 | 11 | 4,828 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 104,940 | 3 | 11 | - | | |
| | 28,387 | 12 | - | 21,378 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | 4,737 | 3 | 11 | - | - | - | - | | |
| | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7,009 | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - | | |
| 21 | 184 | 12 | - | 305 | 4 | 3 | 120 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | 258,038 | 2 | 8 | 270,827 | - | 1 | 35,907 | 13 | 6 | 23,119 | - | 1 | 1139,599 | 3 | 6 | 1077,042 | | |
| | 181 | 3 | 10 | 707 | 9 | 7 | 542 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 12 | - | 905 | 3 | 7 | 335 | | |
| | 258,219 | 6 | 6 | 271,534 | 9 | 8 | 36,449 | 15 | 3 | 23,134 | 12 | 1 | 1140,504 | 7 | 1 | 1077,379 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 23,134 | 12 | 1 | Dt Decrease | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 13,315 | 3 | 2 | Net Increase | | | | | |
| | 219,323 | 15 | 6 | 238,404 | 7 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 38,895 | 7 | - | 33,130 | 2 | - | | | | | | | 1073,849 | 1 | 5 | 1011,185 | 11 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 66,655 | 5 | 8 | 66,193 | 5 | 6 |

Motupha

| | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Fusly 1263 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 34 | | | 35 | | | 36 | | | 37 | | | 38 | | | 39 | | |
| | - | - | - | 1,072 | 6 | 8 | 33,900 | 3 | 7 | 25,240 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 8,660 | 2 | 6 |
| | - | - | - | 550 | 11 | 4 | 9,910 | 2 | 10 | 10,738 | 4 | - | 828 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 5,157 | 14 | 2 | 38,977 | 2 | 7 | 34,497 | 6 | 11 | - | - | - | 4,479 | 11 | 8 |
| | - | - | - | 1311 | 15 | - | 492 | 9 | 3 | 655 | 15 | 11 | 163 | 6 | 8 | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 1306 | 5 | 3 | 154 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 150 | 15 | 7 |
| | 5,780 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 146 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20,582 | 8 | 10 | 25,891 | 1 | - | 5,308 | 8 | 2 | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 834 | 7 | 4 | 161 | 6 | 4 | 24 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 137 | 5 | 1 |
| | - | - | - | 11,372 | 7 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 2,662 | 7 | 4 | 19,884 | 5 | 4 | 19,568 | 7 | 9 | - | - | - | 315 | 13 | 7 |
| | - | - | - | 1646 | 4 | 11 | 191,570 | 8 | - | 170,443 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | 21,126 | 9 | 11 |
| | - | - | - | 718 | 13 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 5,919 | 2 | 1 | 15,387 | 2 | 7 | 15,235 | 9 | 8 | - | - | - | 151 | 8 | 11 |
| | - | - | - | 27,072 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 4,046 | 14 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 4,280 | 9 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 4,459 | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | 55,597 | - | - | 57,014 | 11 | 9 | 1,417 | 11 | 9 | - | - | - |
| | 267 | 15 | 8 | - | - | - | 4,988 | 2 | 11 | 59,756 | 6 | 6 | 12,768 | 3 | 7 | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | - | - | - | 539,858 | 10 | 5 | 546,695 | 6 | 11 | 6,836 | 12 | 6 | - | - | - |
| | - | - | - | 5257 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 10,654 | 13 | 8 | 73,210 | 6 | 2 | 973,464 | 1 | 5 | 965,764 | 10 | - | 27,322 | 11 | 10 | 35,022 | 3 | 3 |
| | 78 | 8 | - | 648 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 10,733 | 5 | 8 | 73,858 | 11 | 3 | 973,464 | 1 | 5 | 965,764 | 10 | - | 27,322 | 11 | 10 | 35,022 | 3 | 3 |
| Dt Increase | 10,733 | 5 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | Dt Increase | 27,322 | 11 | 10 | | |
| Net Decrease | 63,125 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | Net Decrease | 7,699 | 7 | 5 | | |
| | 892,823 | 3 | 9 | 879,114 | 9 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 80,640 | 13 | 8 | 86,650 | - | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sea Customs

1912

Fort St. Ge

Tobacco

| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Stamps Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| 1 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | | | | |
| 2 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 15415 4 - | 14747 13 6 | - - - | 667 6 6 |
| 3 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 14482 8 - | 13681 8 - | - - - | 801 - - |
| 4 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 13920 8 - | 14805 - - | 884 8 - | - - - |
| 5 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 10318 12 - | 10494 - - | 175 4 - | - - - |
| 6 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 9621 2 6 | 11487 12 - | 1866 9 6 | - - - |
| 7 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 12822 11 6 | 14433 4 - | 1610 8 6 | 1815 8 - |
| 8 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 20520 12 - | 18705 4 - | - - - | - - - |
| 9 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 34272 11 6 | 36396 9 - | 2123 13 6 | - - - |
| 10 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 16100 - - | 17565 8 - | 1465 8 - | - - - |
| 11 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 22436 8 - | 22939 1 6 | 502 9 6 | - - - |
| 12 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 24652 3 - | 20090 6 - | - - - | 4561 13 9 |
| 13 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 60817 - - | 70006 12 - | 9189 12 - | - - - |
| 14 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 22601 8 - | 25521 4 - | 2919 12 - | - - - |
| 15 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 25947 4 - | 27281 12 - | 1334 8 - | - - - |
| 16 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 19766 4 - | 20999 4 - | 1233 - - | - - - |
| 17 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 25641 4 - | 23353 4 - | - - - | 2288 - - |
| 18 | 165379 7 10 | - - - | - - - | 165379 7 10 | 54464 14 - | 54264 14 6 | - - - | 200 - 4 |
| 19 | 274395 15 3 | - - - | - - - | 274395 15 3 | 63428 10 - | 78181 3 6 | 14752 9 6 | - - - |
| 20 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 8998 1 6 | 17505 13 - | 8507 11 6 | - - - |
| | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 21 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | 1966 8 - | 2483 4 - | 516 12 - | - - - |
| | 439775 7 1 | - - - | - - - | 439775 7 1 | 499831 6 - | 537409 1 - | 47921 6 - | 10343 11 - |
| | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| | 439775 7 1 | - - - | - - - | 439775 7 1 | 499831 6 - | 537409 1 - | 47921 6 - | 10343 11 - |
| | | | | | | | | Dr Increase 37577 11 - |
| | 439775 7 1 | | | | 463738 5 6 | 499629 15 6 | | Net Increase |
| | - - - | | | | 36093 - 6 | 40979 1 6 | | |

7th September 1854

Total of the extra branches of Revenue

| Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Nett. Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| 485730 2 6 | 440093 1 8 | - - - | 45637 - 10 | - - - | 45637 - 10 |
| 252705 7 9 | 256582 3 6 | 5228 7 1 | 1351 11 4 | 3876 11 9 | - - - |
| 438571 8 9 | 407827 3 3 | 8151 12 1 | 38896 1 7 | - - - | 30744 5 6 |
| 251469 13 10 | 393041 13 6 | 142883 14 8 | 1311 15 - | 141571 15 8 | - - - |
| 671382 2 7 | 457935 10 8 | 3595 8 4 | 217042 - 3 | - - - | 213446 7 11 |
| 409487 3 7 | 241728 13 7 | 7390 14 9 | 175149 4 9 | - - - | 167758 6 - |
| 193382 15 11 | 180337 14 4 | 449 10 5 | 13494 12 - | - - - | 13045 1 7 |
| 512786 14 3 | 468113 3 6 | 17511 3 8 | 62184 14 5 | - - - | 44673 10 9 |
| 552682 10 6 | 502643 4 6 | 14658 - | 51504 14 - | - - - | 50039 6 - |
| 178804 9 7 | 152227 6 6 | 502 9 6 | 27079 12 7 | - - - | 26577 3 1 |
| 439275 11 1 | 412205 1 - | 25161 10 6 | 52232 4 7 | - - - | 27070 10 1 |
| 912097 6 4 | 874122 6 2 | 14493 7 11 | 52468 8 1 | - - - | 37975 - 2 |
| 57208 6 1 | 59743 2 11 | 3361 7 3 | 826 10 5 | 2534 12 10 | - - - |
| 531705 6 1 | 513793 4 10 | 16384 5 3 | 34296 6 6 | - - - | 17912 1 3 |
| 561946 4 8 | 540277 2 2 | 7278 8 1 | 28946 10 7 | - - - | 21668 2 6 |
| 300225 11 8 | 306057 7 7 | 12166 10 7 | 6334 14 8 | 5831 11 11 | - - - |
| 182380 14 8 | 174098 6 5 | 1091 11 - | 9374 3 3 | - - - | 8282 8 3 |
| 888147 5 1 | 736145 7 - | 25193 14 3 | 177195 12 4 | - - - | 152001 14 1 |
| 904182 12 3 | 669239 9 10 | 41919 6 11 | 276862 9 4 | - - - | 234943 2 5 |
| 999941 1 10 | 1170671 7 5 | 175666 10 6 | 4936 4 11 | 170730 5 7 | - - - |
| 28387 12 - | 21378 9 2 | - - - | 7009 2 10 | - - - | 7009 2 10 |
| 539858 10 5 | 546695 6 11 | 6836 12 6 | - - - | 6836 12 6 | - - - |
| 125053 5 3 | 114623 11 1 | 637 4 3 | 11066 14 5 | - - - | 10429 10 2 |
| 10417413 4 8 | 9639581 13 6 | 517371 5 6 | 1295202 12 8 | 331382 6 3 | 1109213 13 5 |
| 1131 15 5 | 1861 13 4 | - - - | - - - | 729 13 11 | - - - |
| 10418545 4 1 | 9541443 10 10 | - - - | - - - | 332112 4 2 | 1109213 13 5 |
| | | | | Dr Increase | 332112 4 2 |
| | | | | Nett Decrease | 777101 9 3 |
| 9629612 15 7 | 8904069 2 9 | | | | |
| 788932 4 6 | 737374 8 1 | | | | |

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

Total including extra branches of Revenue

| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Net Increase | Net Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | |
| 1 | 13,79,559 - - | 13,23,706 3 5 | " | 55,852 10 7 | " | 55,852 10 7 |
| 2 | 14,22,315 - 11 | 13,99,381 7 5 | 5228 7 1 | 28162 - 7 | " | 22,933 7 6 |
| 3 | 24,22,878 14 6 | 23,96,778 2 3 | 12,795 5 4 | 38,896 1 7 | " | 26,100 12 3 |
| 4 | 11,57,906 3 3 | 13,99,949 13 4 | 2,43,355 9 1 | 1311 15 - | 2,42,043 10 1 | " |
| 5 | 20,42,975 11 5 | 17,89,920 12 7 | 3595 8 4 | 2,56,650 7 2 | " | 2,53,054 14 10 |
| 6 | 12,65,577 9 - | 11,31,836 14 11 | 41,408 10 8 | 1,75,149 4 9 | " | 1,33,740 14 1 |
| 7 | 18,92,833 1 - | 18,22,385 13 8 | 449 10 5 | 70,896 13 9 | " | 70,446 3 4 |
| 8 | 23,99,824 1 5 | 24,35,968 7 2 | 98,329 4 2 | 62,184 14 5 | 36,144 5 9 | " |
| 9 | 23,92,378 1 7 | 17,33,466 - 11 | 1465 8 - | 6,60,377 8 8 | " | 6,58,911 8 8 |
| 10 | 21,35,505 14 - | 17,03,483 15 8 | 502 9 6 | 4,32,524 7 10 | " | 4,32,021 14 4 |
| 11 | 27,99,961 - 4 | 22,94,515 3 4 | 25,161 10 6 | 5,30,607 7 6 | " | 5,05,446 12 - |
| 12 | 4,57,500 6 15 | 1,40,357 4 1 2 6 | 14,493 7 11 | 5,53,759 4 6 | " | 5,39,265 12 7 |
| 13 | 13,82,320 6 5 | 14,27,292 4 5 | 45,798 8 5 | 826 10 5 | 44,971 14 - | " |
| 14 | 26,23,848 10 7 | 23,28,351 11 3 | 16,384 5 3 | 3,11,881 4 7 | " | 2,95,496 15 4 |
| 15 | 27,71,328 15 3 | 21,36,468 10 10 | 7278 8 1 | 6,42,138 12 6 | " | 6,34,859 12 5 |
| 16 | 24,26,145 8 9 | 21,91,447 8 8 | 12,166 10 7 | 2,46,864 10 8 | " | 2,34,698 - 1 |
| 17 | 25,17,681 12 3 | 24,85,725 5 1 | 1091 11 - | 33,038 2 2 | " | 31,946 6 2 |
| 18 | 25,70,423 11 8 | 25,51,991 - 4 | 1,58,763 1 - | 1,77,195 12 4 | " | 18,432 11 4 |
| 19 | 24,51,479 2 8 | 21,82,980 8 - | 4,1919 6 11 | 3,04,181 7 | " | 2,99,261 12 7 |
| 20 | 10,60,212 11 7 | 12,31,768 14 11 | 1,76,492 8 3 | 4936 4 11 | 1,71,556 3 4 | " |
| | 28,387 12 - | 21,378 9 2 | " | 7,009 2 10 | " | 7,009 2 10 |
| | 539,858 10 5 | 54,669 5 6 11 | 6836 12 6 | " | 6836 12 6 | " |
| 21 | 8,39,914 10 5 | 7,35,914 4 8 | 637 4 3 | 104,637 10 - | " | 104,000 5 5 |
| | 450,98,323 8 6 | 413,07,158 7 5 | 9,14,154 7 3 | 47,05,319 8 4 | 501,552 13 8 | 429,777 12 11 |
| | 95,649 11 10 | 28,706 10 7 | | | 7,881 6 11 | 74,324 - 3 |
| | 451,93,973 4 4 | 413,35,865 2 - | | | 509,434 4 7 | 436,752 12 2 |
| | | | | | Net Increase | 509,434 4 7 |
| | | | | | Net Decrease | 38,581 8 5 |
| | 413,02,489 5 11 | 380,18,578 7 3 | | | | |
| | 38,91,483 14 5 | 33,17,286 10 9 | | | | |

Collections of old Balances

| | Land Revenue | | | | Sayer | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease |
| | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 |
| | 43,757 15 9 | 14,18 13 4 | " | 42,339 2 5 | " | " | " | " |
| | " " " | " " " | " | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 4767 - 11 | 370 3 14 | " | 4396 13 7 | " | " | " | " |
| | " " " | " " " | " | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 11,914 - 8 | 6068 5 8 | " | 5845 11 - | " | " | " | " |
| | 1272 14 6 | 5234 15 7 | 3962 1 1 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 446 - 8 | 1163 9 5 | 717 8 9 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 461 4 - | 196 14 - | " | 264 6 " | " | " | " | " |
| | 4065 12 8 | 1663 10 1 | " | 2402 2 7 | " | " | " | " |
| | 1329 6 10 | 961 6 - | " | 368 - 10 | " | " | " | " |
| | 4120 3 1 | 611 4 8 | " | 3508 14 5 | " | " | " | " |
| | 11,557 14 4 | 1675 3 4 | " | 9882 11 - | " | " | " | " |
| | 1595 1 1 | 13 1 2 | " | 1581 15 11 | " | " | " | " |
| | 429 8 4 | 490 9 3 | 61 - 11 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 286 12 11 | 745 13 - | 459 - 1 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 1270 9 10 | 752 2 1 | " | 518 7 9 | " | " | " | " |
| | 2670 7 8 | 154 3 11 | " | 2516 3 9 | " | " | " | " |
| | 31 - 2 | 604 3 - | 573 2 10 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 542 7 1 | 771 5 10 | 228 14 9 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 2802 1 8 | 3728 10 11 | 926 9 3 | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | " " " | " " " | " | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | " " " | " " " | " | " " | " | " | " | " |
| | 1197 2 3 | 220 6 8 | " | 976 11 7 | " | " | " | " |
| | 94,817 12 5 | 25,844 13 3 | 6928 5 8 | 74,601 4 10 | " | " | " | " |

11914

Fort St George 7th September 1854

| | Abkarry | | | | Sundry Small Sources and Licenses | | | | Moturpha | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease |
| | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 |
| 1 | 458 | | | 458 | 26 12 | 11 | | 15 12 | 13 15 2 | 25 7 6 | 11 8 1 | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | 7 12 | 2 2 | 5 10 | |
| 3 | | | | | | 5 | | | 31 4 | 4 | 27 4 | |
| 4 | | | | | | 50 | | | 20 15 3 | 1 12 6 | 19 2 9 | |
| 5 | | 178 7 | 178 7 | | 8 | | | | 8 11 | 8 13 10 | 12 11 | |
| 6 | | | | | | 375 | 239 14 3 | | 9 11 9 | 47 6 6 | 37 7 9 | |
| 7 | | 388 13 1 | 388 13 1 | | 134 1 1 | 24 | 21 12 1 | | 28 2 6 | 16 3 1 | 11 15 5 | |
| 8 | | | | | 2 13 11 | 179 | 179 12 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | 44 1 5 | 37 10 2 | 6 7 3 | |
| 10 | | 5 | 5 | | | | | | 2 3 11 | 6 11 6 | 4 7 7 | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | 7 9 2 | 2 8 2 | 5 1 6 | |
| 12 | | 251 10 7 | 251 10 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | 6 | 8 | 3 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | 77 2 11 | 88 10 6 | 11 7 7 | |
| 16 | | | | | | | 172 | | 152 8 11 | 33 13 9 | 118 11 2 | |
| 17 | | | | | 9 8 10 | | | | 424 9 9 | 5 | 424 4 9 | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | 8 | 3 4 11 | 4 12 11 | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | 7 2 | 14 15 1 | 7 14 11 | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | 69 6 9 | 39 10 | 29 12 9 | |
| | 458 | 818 13 3 | 818 13 3 | 458 | 181 3 10 | 767 | 542 1 9 | | 905 3 7 | 335 6 6 | 78 8 | 648 5 1 |

286

11915

Fort St. George 7th September 1854

## Tobacco

| | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease | Total Fusly 1262 | Total Fusly 1263 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 |
| 1 | | | | | 43844 2 11 | 1455 4 |
| 2 | | | | | 7 12 . | 2 2 |
| 3 | | | | | 4798 4 11 | 379 7 |
| 4 | | | | | 28 15 3 | 275 15 |
| 5 | | | | | 11922 1 7 | 6077 3 |
| 6 | | | | | 1416 14 4 | 6045 2 |
| 7 | | | | | 477 1 1 | 1204 1 |
| 8 | | | | | 461 1 . | 370 11 |
| 9 | | | | | 4109 14 1 | 1701 9 |
| 10 | | | | | 1331 10 9 | 968 1 |
| 11 | | | | | 4127 12 3 | 613 12 |
| 12 | | | | | 11557 14 4 | 1926 15 |
| 13 | | | | | 1595 1 1 | 13 1 |
| 14 | | | | | 129 8 1 | 496 9 |
| 15 | | | | | 363 15 10 | 834 7 |
| 16 | | | | | 1432 11 7 | 796 15 |
| 17 | | | | | 3095 1 5 | 154 8 |
| 18 | | | | | 31 8 2 | 609 7 |
| 19 | | | | | 549 7 3 | 780 1 |
| 20 | | | | | 2802 1 8 | 3728 11 |
| 21 | | | | | 1266 9 . . | 260 . . |
| | | | | | 95649 11 10 | 28709 10 . |

## Grand Total of collections

| Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1262 | Fusly 1263 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 |
| | 42388 14 1 | 1473403 2 11 | 1325161 10 3 | | 98241 8 8 |
| | 5 10 . | 1422322 12 11 | 1399383 9 5 | | 22939 3 6 |
| | 4418 13 7 | 1427677 3 5 | 1397157 9 7 | | 30519 9 10 |
| 246 13 10 | | 1157935 2 6 | 1400225 10 5 | 242290 7 11 | |
| | 5844 14 1 | 1054897 13 . | 1795998 . 1 | | 258899 12 11 |
| 4628 1 2 | | 1266994 7 4 | 1137882 1 5 | | 129112 5 11 |
| 727 5 5 | | 1893310 2 1 | 1823590 1 2 | | 69719 13 11 |
| | 84 10 . | 2400285 5 5 | 2436345 1 2 | 36059 11 9 | |
| | 2408 1 10 | 2396487 15 8 | 1735167 10 2 | | 661320 5 6 |
| | 363 9 3 | 2136837 8 9 | 1701452 1 2 | | 432385 7 7 |
| | 3513 15 5 | 2801088 12 7 | 2295129 . 2 | | 508959 12 5 |
| | 9631 . 5 | 4586564 13 5 | 1037668 . 5 | | 548896 13 . |
| | 1581 15 11 | 1383915 7 6 | 1427305 5 7 | 133891 1 | |
| 67 1 2 | | 2624278 2 11 | 2328848 4 9 | | 295429 11 2 |
| 170 7 8 | | 2771692 15 1 | 2137303 2 4 | | 634389 12 9 |
| | 635 11 9 | 2427578 4 4 | 2192244 8 6 | | 235333 11 10 |
| | 2940 8 6 | 2520776 13 8 | 2485889 14 . | | 34886 15 8 |
| 577 15 9 | | 2570455 3 10 | 2557600 8 3 | | 17854 11 7 |
| 236 13 8 | | 2452028 9 11 | 2183766 12 11 | | 268261 13 . |
| 926 9 3 | | 1063014 13 3 | 1235497 9 10 | 172482 12 7 | |
| | | 28387 12 . | 21378 9 2 | | 7009 2 10 |
| | | 539838 10 5 | 546695 6 11 | 68361 2 6 | |
| | 1006 8 4 | 841181 3 5 | 736174 5 4 | | 105006 14 1 |
| 7881 6 11 | 74824 8 2 | 45193973 4 4 | 41335865 2 . | 501059 10 10 | 4359107 13 2 |
| | | | | Deduct Increase | 501059 10 10 |
| | | | | Net Decrease | 3858108 2 4 |

11916

Tord St Georg

7th September 1854

Particulars of Balances.

| | Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing | | | Balance under 15 years standing | | | Total | | | Arrears of Jusly 12th Land Revenue | | | | | | Land Revenue not permanently settled | | | | | | Total Land Revenue | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | Jaury Mootahs | | | Minors | | | Sequestered | | | Total | | | Rented | | | Jumany | | |
| | 92 | | | 93 | | | 94 | | | 95 | | | 96 | | | 97 | | | 98 | | | 99 | | | 100 | | |
| 1 | | | | 4965 | 11 | 2 | 4965 | 11 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2730 | 2 | 8 |
| 2 | | | | 11 | 1 | | 11 | 1 | | 6881 | 11 | 5 | | | | | | | 6881 | 11 | 5 | | | | 13347 | 15 | 7 |
| 3 | | | | 20518 | 2 | 4 | 20518 | 2 | 4 | 793 | 14 | 4 | | | | | | | 793 | 14 | 4 | | | | 45700 | 13 | 2 |
| 4 | | | | 176 | 8 | 5 | 176 | 8 | 5 | 1077187 | | 6 | 56875 | | 4 | 925809 | 1 | | 2066171 | 5 | 6 | 341 | | 9 | 451770 | | 9 |
| 5 | 191222 | 11 | 11 | 349544 | | 11 | 540766 | 12 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 55719 | 9 | 6 |
| 6 | | | | 16324 | 2 | 2 | 16324 | 2 | 2 | 417 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | 417 | 8 | 8 | | | | 48935 | 8 | 4 |
| 7 | | | | 4644 | 1 | 6 | 4644 | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5545 | 6 | 9 |
| 8 | | | | 528 | 4 | 6 | 528 | 4 | 6 | 4162 | 12 | 3 | | | | | | | 4162 | 12 | 3 | | | | 58600 | | |
| 9 | 1115 | | 3 | 64188 | 7 | 2 | 65303 | 7 | 5 | 812 | 10 | 6 | | | | | | | 812 | 10 | 6 | | | | 207313 | 3 | 5 |
| 10 | | | | 1830 | 6 | 1 | 1830 | 6 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1350 | 14 | 7 |
| 11 | | | | 11282 | 3 | 3 | 11282 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1931 | 6 | 4 |
| 12 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 21491 | 3 | 6 | 21505 | 8 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 334931 | 1 | 2 |
| 13 | | | | 3648 | 8 | 1 | 3648 | 8 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5467 | 14 | 2 |
| 14 | 5656 | 6 | 3 | 10891 | 14 | 6 | 16548 | 4 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1985 | 15 | 5 |
| 15 | | | | 5411 | 5 | 1 | 5411 | 5 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4984 | 13 | 11 |
| 16 | | | | 3741 | 11 | 7 | 3741 | 11 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2275 | 3 | 2 |
| 17 | | | | 7503 | 1 | 4 | 7503 | 1 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 233 | 12 | 1 |
| 18 | | | | 651 | 15 | 7 | 651 | 15 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 647 | 8 | |
| 19 | | | | 28187 | 1 | 3 | 28187 | 1 | 3 | 15000 | | 11 | | | | | | | 15000 | | 11 | | | | 16072 | 13 | 8 |
| 20 | 384 | 7 | 1 | 22978 | 2 | 5 | 23362 | 9 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3940 | | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | 2300 | 17 | 1 | 2300 | 17 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8087 | | 5 |
| | 198392 | 14 | 9 | 581118 | 15 | 5 | 779511 | 11 | 2 | 110558 | 10 | 7 | 56875 | | 4 | 925809 | 1 | | 2088269 | 15 | 7 | 341 | 1 | 4 | 1198554 | 11 | 11 | 3287168 | 15 | 10 |

1917

Fort St. Georg

7th September 1854

| | Salt | Sayer | Abkarry | Sundry Small Farms and Licenses | Motirpha | Extra Revenue Sea Customs |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 |
| 1 | | | | 23 12 . | 32 4 2 | |
| 2 | | | | | 60 3 5 | |
| 3 | | | 138 13 3 | 5 11 3 | 5 3 . | |
| 4 | | | | 121 13 4 | 242 11 5 | |
| 5 | | | 10 12 . | 7 8 . | 22 8 5 | |
| 6 | | | | 282 8 5 | 276 5 5 | |
| 7 | | | | 75 15 2 | 129 . 5 | |
| 8 | | | | | 190 6 9 | |
| 9 | | | | | 69 6 7 | |
| 10 | | | | 128 9 4 | 28 14 7 | |
| 11 | | | | 66 4 . | | |
| 12 | | | | 15 . . | | |
| 13 | | | | 1 10 7 | | |
| 14 | | | | | 732 4 8 | |
| 15 | | | | | 258 14 3 | |
| 16 | | | | 10 . . | 5 5 4 | |
| 17 | | | | | 7 4 2 | |
| 18 | | | 1 10 11 10 | 330 . . | 32 11 5 | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | 216 4 1 | |
| | | | 190 5 1 | 1,068 15 1 | 2,329 12 1 | |

Balance of current Demand of Fasly 1263.

| | Stamps | Total | Grand Total of Columns 101 and 109 | Land Revenue | Extra Revenue | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 108 | 109 | 110 | 111 | 112 | 113 |
| 1 | | 56 . 2 | 2,786 2 10 | 59,118 10 9 | 9,132 1 10 | 68,250 15 7 |
| 2 | | 60 3 5 | 20,289 14 5 | 53,606 15 7 | 1,009 13 5 | 57,616 13 . |
| 3 | | 149 11 6 | 4,661 4 10 . | 60,813 9 7 | 1,009 12 9 | 64,835 6 4 |
| 4 | | 364 8 9 | 25,120 4 6 15 9 | 65,799 10 4 | 1,054 9 7 | 66,834 3 11 |
| 5 | | 40 12 5 | 5,576 0 5 11 | 50,256 5 9 | 57,165 11 5 | 107,422 1 2 |
| 6 | | 538 13 10 | 49,911 14 10 | 50,256 5 9 | 57,165 11 5 | 107,422 1 2 |
| 7 | | 204 15 7 | 5,750 6 4 | 10,211 11 2 | 17,448 1 . | 57,660 2 2 |
| 8 | | . . . | 4,719 9 . | 134,138 11 8 | 2,631 8 . | 136,770 3 8 |
| 9 | | 190 6 9 | 20,834 6 4 8 | 95,008 2 5 | 1,184 10 7 | 99,192 13 . |
| 10 | | 69 6 7 | 1,420 5 2 | 140,978 8 . | 12,948 . . | 153,926 8 . |
| 11 | | 157 7 11 | 2,088 11 3 | 2,275 7 3 | 7,978 8 9 | 10,254 . . |
| 12 | | 66 4 . | 33,499 7 5 2 | 4,891 4 11 | 10,193 . . | 15,084 4 11 |
| 13 | | 15 . . | 5,487 11 2 | . . . | 2,183 6 . | 2,183 6 . |
| 14 | | 1 10 7 | 1,987 10 . | 64,015 11 2 | 3,536 4 . | 67,552 2 2 |
| 15 | | 732 4 8 | 5,717 2 7 | . . . | 15,429 11 . | 15,429 11 . |
| 16 | | 258 11 8 | 2,534 1 5 | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| 17 | | 15 5 4 | 219 1 5 | 262 8 . | 8,427 9 7 | 8,690 1 7 |
| 18 | | 7 1 2 | 654 12 2 | 219,681 4 11 | 27,850 7 5 | 247,531 12 4 |
| 19 | | 423 7 3 | 16,496 4 11 | 25,838 15 . | 2,281 11 3 | 28,120 10 3 |
| 20 | | . . . | 3,940 . 7 | 12,067 5 1 | 12 13 5 | 12,080 2 6 |
| | | . . . | . . . | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| | | . . . | . . . | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| 21 | | . . . | . . . | 21 8 . | 5,542 1 1 | 5,563 9 1 |
| | | 216 4 1 | 8,303 4 6 | | | |
| | | 3,589 . 3 | 329,758 . 1 | 1,029,018 11 7 | 196,020 3 1 | 1,225,038 14 8 |

1918

# Fort St. George 7th September 18

| | Total Columns 110 and 113. | Total Balance Columns 94 and 114. | Remission Of recent Arrears | Remission Of old Balances | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 114 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 118 |
| 1 | 71,037 2 5 | 76002 13 7 | " " " | 3716 9 10 | 3746 9 10 |
| 2 | 77,906 11 5 | 77917 12 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | 111,500 " 4 | 132018 2 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 4 | 2579501 3 8 | 2579977 12 1 | " " 2 | 18581 " 2 | 18581 " 2 |
| 5 | 55760 5 11 | 596527 2 9 | " " " | 5 13 " | " " " |
| 6 | 157334 " " | 173658 2 2 | 2013 11 3 | " " " | 2582 8 3 |
| 7 | 63410 8 6 | 68054 10 " | " " " | 568 10 1 | 5311 1 1 |
| 8 | 141519 12 8 | 142018 1 2 | " " " | 5311 1 11 | 253 12 9 |
| 9 | 307539 1 8 | 372812 9 1 | " " " | 253 12 9 | 1066 15 6 |
| 10 | 155346 13 2 | 157177 3 3 | 928 6 3 | 138 9 6 | 206 11 2 |
| 11 | 12342 14 3 | 23695 1 6 | " " " | 206 11 2 | 6 15 8 |
| 12 | 350081 10 1 | 371587 2 10 | " " " | 6 15 8 | 23191 12 3 |
| 13 | 7666 1 2 | 11314 12 3 | " " " | 23191 12 3 | " " " |
| 14 | 69539 12 2 | 86088 " 11 | " " " | " " " | 1168 15 8 |
| 15 | 21146 13 7 | 26558 2 11 | " " " | 1168 15 8 | 6641 12 5 |
| 16 | 2534 1 5 | 6275 13 " | " " " | 6641 12 5 | 315 " 10 |
| 17 | 8939 3 " | 16112 1 4 | " " " | 315 " 10 | " " " |
| 18 | 218186 8 6 | 248838 8 1 | " " " | " " " | 22 12 " |
| 19 | 14616 15 2 | 72804 3 5 | 19 8 " | 3 1 " | 416 8 " |
| 20 | 16070 3 1 | 39382 12 7 | " " " | 416 8 " | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | 13866 13 7 | 16164 9 11 | " " " | 8334 12 2 | 8334 12 2 |
| | 4575796 14 9 | 5295308 12 11 | 2961 12 6 | 68894 5 5 | 71856 11 11 |

[Signed] W. H. Bayley
Secretary
[illegible]

I.G.B.

1919

B Comparative Abstract of the Collections

Fort St. George

Fasly 1262. Fasly 1263. Increase Decrease Remarks

Not

| | Rupees | A | P | Rupees | A | P | Rupees | A | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 5177428 | 3 | 3 | 1502342 | 8 | 9 | | | |
| Salt | 1862631 | 3 | 3 | 1502342 | 8 | 9 | | | |
| Sayer | 228741 | 11 | 3 | 233540 | 14 | 9 | 4799 | | |
| Abkary | 261537 | 6 | 6 | 203742 | 13 | 2 | 36095 | | |
| Stamp Duties & Licenses | 25821 | 9 | 6 | 27153 | 9 | 8 | 13315 | | |
| Motorfeta | 114050 | 7 | 1 | 101737 | 1 | 6 | " | | |
| Sea Customs | 97546 | 1 | 5 | 96576 | 10 | " | " | | |
| Tobacco | 43917 | 7 | 1 | " | " | " | " | | |
| Marine | 19983 | 6 | " | 53709 | 1 | 2 | 34547 | | |
| Total of the Extra branches | 10118545 | 1 | 1 | 9611913 | 10 | 10 | 93787 | | |
| of Revenue | | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total of Land and Extra branches of Revenue | 15293913 | 1 | 4 | 14153865 | 2 | 4 | 93787 | | |
| Sea Customs | | | | | | | | | |
| Collections to the end of May | 1130289 | 5 | 11 | 3801858 | 7 | 3 | " | | |
| Collections in June | 58911 | 83 | 11 | 5 | 3317286 | 10 | 9 | " | |

Increase on the Collections of Current Year 7206311-8
Decrease 3081006-9-1

Signed J. B. Greeves
Asst. Secretary in charge
Abstract

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources upto 30th June 1854.

| | Land Revenue | | | | | | Extra sources of Revenue | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
| | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. |
| Ganjam | " | " | " | 52554 | 12 | 2 | " | " | " | 45086 | 12 | 6 |
| Vizagapatam | " | " | " | 26810 | 5 | 3 | 3871 | 1 | 9 | " | " | " |
| Rajahmundry | 216 | 11 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 30766 | 5 | 6 |
| Masulipatam | 100171 | 10 | 5 | " | " | " | 11818 | 13 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Guntoor | " | " | " | 15454 | 1 | 11 | " | " | " | 213445 | 11 | " |
| Chingleput | 37979 | 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 16709 | 2 | 11 |
| Salem | " | " | " | 56684 | 9 | 6 | " | " | " | 13035 | 4 | 11 |
| Madura | 80553 | 10 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 14493 | 14 | 9 |
| Nellore | " | " | " | 611274 | 13 | 3 | " | " | " | 50045 | 8 | 3 |
| Nr. Dn. of Arcot | " | " | " | 405812 | 12 | 1 | " | " | " | 26572 | 11 | 6 |
| Sr. Dn. of Arcot | " | " | " | 481884 | 1 | 11 | " | " | " | 27075 | 11 | 1 |
| Tanjore | " | " | " | 511173 | 7 | 5 | " | " | " | 37723 | 5 | 7 |
| Trichinopoly | 40855 | 1 | 3 | " | " | " | 25341 | 2 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Tinnevelly | " | " | " | 277523 | 13 | 2 | " | " | " | 17906 | 1 | " |
| Bellary | " | " | " | 612733 | 1 | 10 | " | " | " | 21656 | 10 | 11 |
| Cuddapah | " | " | " | 211018 | 3 | 9 | 5714 | 7 | 11 | " | " | " |
| Coimbatore | " | " | " | 26180 | 2 | 8 | " | " | " | 8706 | 13 | " |
| Canara | 131142 | 5 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 151997 | 1 | 2 |
| Malabar | " | " | " | 33326 | 9 | 6 | " | " | " | 234935 | 3 | 6 |
| Madras Land Customs | 1752 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Madras Toll Collected on Cochrane Canal | " | " | " | " | " | " | 170730 | 5 | 7 | " | " | " |
| Madras Sea Customs | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 7009 | 2 | 10 |
| Kurnool | " | " | " | " | " | " | 6836 | 12 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Total | 396001 | 11 | 5 | 317008 | 11 | 6 | 33150 | 6 | 1 | 110860 | 13 | 11 |
| Dt. Increase | 396001 | 11 | 5 | | | | Dt. Increase | 33150 | 6 | 1 | | |
| Net Decrease | 308100 | 9 | 1 | | | | Net Decrease | 77710 | 9 | 5 | | |

(signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge



Encl. 1.

Remarks upon Statement A for the Month of June 1854.

Collections of arrears of Land Revenue Column 11.

1. The decrease in the collections of arrears in South Arcot, Madura, Salem and Guntoor is owing to the demand at the beginning of Fasly 1263 being less than that of the Corresponding period of the previous year.—

Current Collections of Land Revenue Column 12

2. In Nellore, Bellary, North Arcot South Arcot, Cuddapah, Kurnool, Chingleput, Malabar and Salem the decrease is attributable to the unfavorableness of the Season.—

3. In Tanjore the decrease is owing partly to a change in the period of transmitting the Collections from the Talooks to the Huzzoor Cutcherry and partly to the adverse Nature of the Season.—

4. In Tinnevelly the decrease is owing to the adverse Nature of the Season this amount has however been since reduced by a subsequent Collection of Rupees 84060.—

5. The decrease in Ganjam occurred partly under permanently Settled Estates and partly under Zumany. the falling off in the former is owing to the withdrawal of some of the Estates from the Management of the Agent and the latter to the effects of the bad Season.—

6. Regarding the decrease in Vizagapatam and Guntoor, no explanation has been afforded by the Collector.—

Af

Balance on account of arrears of Land Revenue Colunn 101.

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

7. Of the balance in Masulipatam Rupees 20601-1 appertains to proprietary Estates which has been sold and purchased on account of Government. A Report it is stated will be submitted at an early date after the Close of the Fasly for writing off the balance as per Boards Circular of the 1st March 1849. Of the remaining sum of Rupees 1,51,770 which is due by the Circar Villages only Rupees 2693 have been since collected. Orders have however been issued for the recovery of the remainder. A special Report on this subject will also be transmitted by the Collector to the Commissioner for the Northern Circars as early as practicable after the Conclusion of the Land Revenue Settlement.

8 The balance in Tanjore is in course of Collection.

9. The balance in Nellore is composed of Peishcush and Aumany. The former it is stated will be realized from the Ryotwar of the Poligars if the Season allow of its Collection, for the latter it is stated that necessary steps are being adopted for the Collection of the realizable portion with reference to the late enquiries made into these balances.

10 Of the balance in Guntoor a large portion Rupees 31669 appertains to Village Servants fees Vundra Enams &c. The remainder has not been collected in consequence of



Balance on account of arrears of Land Revenue Collections Colr. 101 (Contd.)

Fort St. George 7th September 1854.

of a succession of bad Seasons but a small portion of it will be recovered.

11. Of the Chingleput balance Rupees 139 have been subsequently Collected and the remainder is in course of recovery.

12. Of the balance in Rajahmundry a sum of Rupees 45700 appertains to Government Estates regarding which no explanation has been given by the Collector. The Remaining Peishcush balance Rupees 793 is due by one Estate which was Sold in public Auction.

13. The balance in Vizagapatam is partly under Zemindary and partly under Ryotwar. The former balance alone will be realized hereafter.

Balance on account of Current Land Revenue Colr. 111.

14. Of the balance in Canara Rupees 128196 is stated to have been Subsequently realized which is inclusive of the Collections on account of the balance of Extra Sources of Revenue. The remainder will be collected hereafter.

15. The balance in North Arcot is Chiefly due from certain Zemindars. Of this Rupees 9267 have been since recovered and the remainder will shortly be realized.

16. The balance in Madura has been Subsequently reduced to Rupees 73576 and will be collected hereafter.

17. Of the balance in Nellore a sum of Rupees 59563 have been Subsequently Collected necessary steps are being adopted for the recoverable portion of the remainder.

Regarding

Balance on account of Current Land Revenue Colm III.

18. Regarding the balance in Masulipatam Rupees 12500 have been since Collected and for the remainder strict orders have been issued to the Zemindars for the liquidation of their arrears— Two Estates have been attached agreeably to a precept from the Court and for the arrears of Peishcush outstanding thereon—

19. In Tinnevelly Rupees 23481 have been Subsequently Collected The remaining balance it is stated is in course of Recovery—

20. Of the balance in Rajahmundry Rupees 7719 have been since Collected— The remainder will be collected hereafter— One Estate having been Sold in Public Auction and another being under the Court of Wards—

21. Of the balance in Ganjam Rupees 182 have been subsequently Collected the remainder is in course of recovery—

22. Regarding the balance in Vizagapatam Rupees 5641 have been since collected and the rest will be recovered hereafter—

23. The Chingleput balance it is stated is in Course of recovery.—

24. The balance in Salem has been reduced to Rupees 28382— which it is stated will be collected hereafter—

25. The balance in Malabar is expected to be realized by next Month—

Collections of Salt Revenue Colm 19.

26. The decrease in Guntoor is chiefly attributable to the deficiency of forage and water in that part of the



Collections of Salt Revenue Colm 19. (Contd)

Country through which the Lumbadies pass on their way to the Salt Pans— The sales however were peculiarly high in last year—

27. The decrease in Chingleput is owing to the augmented sales at the Madras Cotaurs—

28. The Decrease in Madura is owing to the Bandies of the District being occupied in the Conveyance of Grain to the districts in which it is scarce in this year—

29. The Decrease in Nellore arises from the more limited resort of Merchants to the Cotaurs for the purchase of Salt in consequence of the adverse Nature of the Season—

30. In Ganjam the decrease is partly attributable to the limited resort of Merchants to the Salt Cotaurs in consequence of the unfavorableness of the Season and to the prevalance of Cholera—

31. In South Arcot the decrease arises partly from the prevalence of Cholera and partly from the unfavorableness of the Season— The amount which was Rupees 42429 at the end of May last has been since reduced to Rupees 30124—

32. In Tanjore the decrease is owing partly to deminished Sales and partly to a change of periods in the transmission of the Collections to the Huzzoor alluded to under the head of Land Revenue—

33. Of the decrease in Tinnevelly Rupees 20520 being the amount Sales of Salt in the Month under Notice have been since brought to account and the remainder Rupees 1886 is owing to a falling off in the Sales—

34. Regarding the decrease in Rajahmundry for which no explanation has been given by the Collector— The

Collectors of Abkarry Revenue Col.s 4.—

35. The falling off in Canara is owing to the prevalence of Cholera in this and the upper districts.

36. The Decrease in Chingleput is owing chiefly to the failure of payments of Kists by the Renter, instructions have however been given to the Acting Collector under date the 24th July last to attach the Farms unless satisfactory arrangements were made by the renters.

Collections of Motorpha Revenue Col.s 35.

37. The decrease in Salem it is stated to be made good from the deposits lodged by the renter.

38. The decrease in Bellary is owing to many of the weavers who pay Motorpha Taxe having deserted their Villages in consequence of the famine.

39. In North Arcot the decrease is owing to the Motorpha Beriz not having been Settled in consequence of the Land Revenue Settlement having been made later than usual.

Collections of Sea Customs Col.s 39.

40. The decrease in Tanjore is stated to be partly owing to the Non receipt of the remittances in consequence of a New arrangement and partly to the decreased exportation of Rice & Paddy.

Collections of Tobacco Col.s 43.

41. The decrease in Canara and Malabar is attributable to the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly.

42. The remarks appended to the decrease of Collections in Abkarry are equally applicable to the balances under this head.

Balance on account of current Extra Sources of Revenue Col.s 112.

43. Of the balance of Abkarry in Salem Rupees 1,344 have been since Collected. The remainder will hereafter be made good from the Renters Deposits.

44. The Balance of Abkarry in North Arcot has been since reduced by a subsequent Collection of Rupees 3,251. The remainder is in Course of recovery.

45. The Abkarry balance of Tanjore and in Bellary will be collected hereafter.

46. The Balance in Canara is chiefly under the heads of Salt, Abkarry and Motorpha Revenue. The amount of subsequent Collections on account of these items Cannot be detailed as it has been blended with the Collections of the Current Land Revenue balances.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge



95.

B.d No. 1884.

Official Memorandum to Government Pleader.

Official Memorandum.—

The Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue requests that the Government Pleader will be so good as to return to this Office the letter from the Collector of Madura dated 17th July 1854 which accompanied the Board's communication of 31st August 1854 having reference to the revised Decree in Special appeal No. 34 of 1853 on the file of the Court of Madura.—

In Con. 21st Aug. 1854.

Should this letter again be required by the Government Pleader it will be returned to him.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
7th September, 1854.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe.—
Sub Secretary in charge.—

To
The Collector of
Cuddapah.—

96

B.d No. 1914.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

B.d No. 34 of 1854
1857 of

Sir,

In forwarding the Petition Government No. 1104 of 1854, I am desired to request that you will in obedience to the Orders of Government as conveyed in the Endorsement, dismiss the Mirasi Curnums Shasshippah and Soobbiah from their Merasy Situations, and that if you are of opinion upon a further consideration of the case, that Petitioner P. Govinda Rao is entitled to reward for information that you will state to the Board the manner

manner in which you propose to remune-
-rate him.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
7th September, 1854.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe.—
Sub Secretary in charge.

97

Bd. No. 2445.

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

From the Acting Collector of Chingleput.— Comparative Statement
Shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold for Home and
Inland consumption for the Official years
97-98 1851/52 52/53 and 1853/54.—

Order thereon Ordered to lie over.—

Bd. No. 3145.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.— Statement of the Collections of
99 Sea Customs realized from the Coasting trade
99-100 for the Official year 1853/54.—

Order thereon, Ordered to lie over

101 Read the undermentioned Statements.—

Bd. No. 3026.

From the Sub Collector of Rajahmundry.— Statement shewing the
102 value of Gold and Silver Bullion and specie
Bd. No. 2789. Imported by Sea for the month of July 1854.—

From the Collector of South Arcot.— Statement Shewing the
103 value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Import-
Bd. No. 3240. -ed by Sea for the month of July 1854.—

From the Collector South Arcot.— Statement Shewing the value
of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Imported
by Sea for the month of August 1854.— From



Bd. No. 3003

From the Collector of Tanjore.— Statement Shewing the value
104 of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Imported
105 by Sea for the month of July 1854.—

Bd. No. 3042

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.— Statement Shewing the value
106 of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie Imported by
Bd. No. 3037. Sea for the month of July 1854.—

From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras.— Statement Shewing
107 the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie ex-
Bd. No. 3036 -ported for the month of July 1854.—

From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras.— Statement Shewing the
value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie import-
101-108 -ed for the month of July 1854.—

Order on the 7 abovementioned Statements.— Ordered to lie over.—

Read the undermentioned Registers and letter.—

109

Bd. No. 3265.

From the Offg. Collector of Chingleput.— Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
for the month of August 1854.—

110

Bd. No. 3322.

From the Collector of Trichinopaly.— Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
for the month of August 1854.—

111

Bd. No. 3330.

From the Offg. Collector of Madras.— Reporting that no Endorsement
was made on any Petition during the last month.—

109-112

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers and letter in the Office.— Ordered to be lodged

Read

113

Bd. No. 3055.

Read the undermentioned Bills —

From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras. — Bill for Contingent

114

Bd. No. 3053.

charges incurred in his Office for the month of July 1854 —

From the Reporter of External Commerce. — Bill for contingent charges

113-115

incurred in his Office for the months of May, June and July 1854. —

Order on the 3 abovementioned Bills. — Resolved that the

116

necessary Orders be passed. —

Read the undermentioned Statements. —

Bd. No. 3258.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain ex-

117

Bd. No. 3257.

ported for the week ending the 19th August 1854

From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain Export-

118

-ed for the week ending the 19th August. — 1854 —

Bd. No. 3255.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain Exported

119

for the week ending the 26th August 1854. —

Bd. No. 3256.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain Im-

116-120

-ported for the week ending the 26th August 1854. —

Order on the 4 abovementioned Statements. — Ordered to be Sub-

mitted for the information of Government. —

Received the undermentioned Mis-

121

-cellaneous Letters and Statements. —

Mr. No. 1334.

From the Actg Collector of Madras. — Return of fines imposed and

stoppages made from the pays of the public Servants for



for the quarter ending 30th June 1854. —

122

Mr. No. 1325.

From the Actg Collector of Madras. — Return of public Servants sus-

-pended or dismissed for the Quarter ending 30 June 1854. —

123

Mr. No. 1327.

From the Collector of Cuddapah. — Informing that no persons were con-

fined Vca under Regulation IX of 1822 during the

124

month of July last. —

Mr. No. 1330.

From the Collector of Salem. — Informing that no persons were charged

125

with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for or July last. —

Mr. No. 1328.

From the Collector of Madura. — Reporting that there are no

returns under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month

126

of July last. —

Mr. No. 1326.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — Return of persons charged under

127

Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of July 1854. —

Mr. No. 1335.

From the Collector of North Arcot. — Reports that no Village

Officers were suspended or dismissed under Regu-

128

-lation VI of 1831 for the month of July last. —

Mr. No. 1337.

From the Collector of Salem. — Return of persons holding village

Offices suspended or dismissed under Regulation

129

VI of 1831 for the month of July 1854. —

Mr. No. 1339.

From the Collector of Madura. — Return of persons suspended Vca

130

under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of July 1854 —

Mr. No. 1336.

From the Collector of Ganjam. — Abstract of Demand Collection

and Balance to 11th July 1854. — From

131
Mg. No. 1333.
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Abstract of Demand
132 Collection and Balance to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1338.
From the Ag. Collector of Chingleput. — Abstract of Demand
133 Collection and Balance to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1334.
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Statement Shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
134 Salt from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1339.
From the Ag. Collector of Chingleput. — Statement Shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
135 Salt from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1361.
From the Sub Collector in Charge of Rajahmundry. — Additional en
Indent on the Superintendent of Stationery for
136 Stationery required for the use of his district
for the year 1854. —
Mg. No. 1390
From the Collector of Trichinopaly. — Indent on the Superin-
tendent for Stationery and Sundries required for the
137 use of his district for the year 1855. —
Mg. No. 1321.
From the Collector of Canara. — Indent on the Superintendent
for Stationery and Sundries required for the use
of his district for the year 1855. —

Ordered



135-138.
Order on the 3 abovemd Indents — Ordered that the Original
Indents be passed and forwarded to the
Secretary to the Military Board. —
139
After Mg. No. 1334 —
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras. — Comparative Statement
of the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fusslies
1262 & 63 from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
140
After Mg. No. 1334
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras. — Comparative Statement
of the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fusslies
1263 & 1264 from 12th to 31st July last. —
141
Mg. No. 1330.
From the Sub Collector in charge of Rajahmundry. — Statement of Grain
for the week ending 25th August last. —
142
Mg. No. 1295.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain for
the week ending 21st August 1854. —
143
Mg. No. 1332.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain for
the week ending 28th August 1854. —
144
Mg. No. 1335.
From the Collector of Nellore. — Return of Grain for the
week ending 8th August last. —
145
Mg. No. 1399
From the Collector of Bellary. — Return of Grain for the week
ending 15th August last. — From

131
Mg. No. 1333.
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Abstract of Demand
132 Collection and Balance to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1338.
From the Ag. Collector of Chingleput. — Abstract of Demand
133 Collection and Balance to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1334.
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Statement Shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
134 Salt from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1339.
From the Ag. Collector of Chingleput. — Statement Shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
135 Salt from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1361.
From the Sub Collector in Charge of Rajahmundry. — Additional in
Indent on the Superintendent of Stationery for
136 Stationery required for the use of his District
for the year 1854. —
Mg. No. 1390
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. — Indent on the Superin-
tendent for Stationery and Sundries required for the
137 use of his district for the year 1855. —
Mg. No. 1321.
From the Collector of Canara. — Indent on the Superintendent
for Stationery and Sundries required for the use
of his District for the year 1855. —

(Ordered



135-138 Indents be passed and forwarded to the
Indents on the 3 abovemd Secretary to the Military Board. —
139
Mg. No. 1324. —
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras. — Comparative Statement
of the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fusslies
1262 & 63 from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
140
Mg. No. 1324
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras. — Comparative Statement
of the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fusslies
141 1263 & 1264 from 12th to 31st July last. —
Mg. No. 1330.
From the Sub Collector in charge of Rajahmundry. — Statement of Grain
for the week ending 26th August last. —
142
Mg. No. 1295.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain for
the week ending 21st August 1854. —
143
Mg. No. 1332.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain for
the week ending 28th August 1854. —
144
Mg. No. 1335.
From the Collector of Bellary. — Return of Grain for the
week ending 8th August last. —
145
Mg. No. 1399
From the Collector of Bellary. — Return of Grain for the week
ending 15th August last. —

From

134
Mg. No. 1333.
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Abstract of Demand
132 Collection and Balance to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1338.
From the Ag. Collector of Chingleput. — Abstract of Demand
133 Collection and Balance to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1334.
From the Ag. Collector of Guntoor. — Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
134 Salt from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1339.
From the Ag. Collector of Chingleput. — Statement shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
135 Salt from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
Mg. No. 1361.
From the Sub Collector in Charge of Rajahmundry. — Additional an
Indent on the Superintendent of Stationery for
136 Stationery required for the use of his district
Mg. No. 1390 for the year 1854. —
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. — Indent on the Superin-
tendent for Stationery and Sundries required for the
137 use of his district for the year 1855. —
Mg. No. 1321.
From the Collector of Canara. — Indent on the Superintendent
for Stationery and Sundries required for the use
of his district for the year 1855. —

Ordered



135-138.
Under the 3 above Indents — Ordered that the Original
Indents be passed and forwarded to the
Secretary to the Military Board. —
139
After Mg. No. 1324.
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras. — Comparative Statement
of the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fusslies
1362 & 63 from 1st to 11th July 1854. —
140
After Mg. No. 1324
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras — Comparative Statement
of the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fusslies
141 1363 & 1364 from 12th to 31st July last. —
Mg. No. 1330.
From the Sub Collector in charge of Rajahmundry. — Statement of Grain
for the week ending 26th August last. —
142
Mg. No. 1295.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain for
the week ending 21st August 1854. —
143
Mg. No. 1332.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Statement of Grain for
the week ending 28th August 1854. —
144
Mg. No. 1335.
From the Collector of Nellore. — Return of Grain for the
week ending 8th August last. —
145
Mg. No. 1399.
From the Collector of Bellary. — Return of Grain for the Week
ending 13th August last. —

From

11934 Fort St. George 7th September 1864.

146

Mid. No. 1322.

From the Collector of Tanjore. — Statement of Grain for the week ending 22d. August last. —

147

Mid. No. 1393

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — Statement shewing the wholesale prices of Grain for the week ending 19th August last. —

" Nolcingham

" W. H. Bayley

Comm. Mid. Genl.