Al-Sahih

3556

Contents

Date	From & to whom	Subject	Page
1428	From Salem	Requesting Sanction for defending the Suit at Public Expense	6475
977	To Do	On the above	6497
1345	From S Arcot	On Salt Supplied for the French territories	6498
746	From Madura	On Single Crop land	6503
973	Pro Do	On the Above	6510
948	From Do	On Suspending the Tahsildar of Tadicombo	6511
971	Pro Do	Authorizing the above	6524
1040	From Do	On the death of the Cash Keeper	6525
1288	From Coimbr	Relative to the Arrears in the Neilgherries	6526
975	Order Govt	On the Above	6527
1556	From Canara	On Salt	6529
1548	From Do	On Contributions &c	6549
1137	From Madras	On the payt for the Support of Pagodas	6550
981	Pro Do	On the Above	6551
1236	From Do	On the fees adopted in the Registration Dept	6552
974	To Supt Port Mgr Jafs	On the Above	6554
1340	From Madras	Regarding the land bid for by Messr Morrison & Co	"
988	Pro Do	On the Above	6556
1279	From Sudr Adalut	On the Translation of the Answer of the Pundits of the Court relative to the Succession of Females to Landed property	6557
989	Pro Govt & all Distts	On the Above	6559
967	To Bellary	On the Singamullay Tank	6560
978	To Cuddapah	On the Petn Nos 1713 & 2073 of 1853	6560
976	To Malabar	On the deficiency of European Spirits	6561
982	To Madras	On the Stamp Paper bearing the Water mark 1851	6562
1300	From Govt	On the Sale of Lands	6569
1013	To Madras	On Certificate grants &c	6584
1577	From Vizagt	On certain discrepancies in the Salt Dept Stat	6585

Date	From or to whom	Subject	Page
1602	From Rajahs	On the Statt shewing the difference of 16-7-6 being included in the Account Genl Statt	6587
1601	From Masulipm	On Salt	6588
1632	From Do	On the Examination of Apst Coles	6590
798	From Cuddapah	On the Settt of the Land Rev of this district for 362	6595
1621	From Do	On the Examination of Apst Coles	6718
1572	From Chingt	On the System of Excise on Salt	6720
1494	From Do	On the Entertainmt of an additional Establisht	6744
996	Pro Do	Calling for further information	6747
1598	From Guntoor	On the Examination of Apsistants &c	6594
1211	From N Arcot	Relation to the Tehsildar being fined	6748
992	Pro Do	On the above	6791
1579	From Do	On the mode adopted of granting Shasy Remissions &c	6792
993	Pro Do	On the above	6800
1584	From S Arcot	On land occupied as Salt Pans	6804
1612	From Do	On the Examination of Apsistants	6806

6475 Fort St. George 11th May 1853

No 33

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

24

Dt. 7th 1482.

From the Collr of Salem. Transmits Translation of the Plaint in O.S. No 51 of 1853 with the draft of the answer proposed by the Sub Collector and requests to obtain Sanction of Government for defending the suit at the public expense.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit a translation of the Plaint in Original Suit No 51 of 1853, against the late Collector Mr. Phillips and other parties, with the draft of an answer proposed by the Sub Collector, and to request, if the Board should think fit that an application may be made to Government to defend the suit at the public expense.

2. The land claimed by the Plaintiff is referred to in Para 4 of a letter from the late Sub Collector dated the 31st December 1852, a Copy of which Mr. Phillips sent to the Board with his letter of the 24th January 1853.

3 The Sub Collector explained that a person named Anantien was a defaulter in Fusly 1261. for the assessment due on a Poonjah land, assessed at Rs. 17-1- in

in the Village of Vengary, and recommended as the only way of Collecting the arrears, that one of 5 pieces of Nunjah land which were entered in the name of the Defaulter's late father, Moottoosawmy Vecattramanien, and were under mortgage to a third party who is the present Plaintiff, should be Sold.-

4 The Board authorized the sale of this and other lands in an Extract from their Proceedings dated the 10th February 1853.-

5 The present suit has been filed against the late Collector instead of the late Sub Collecter, on the ground, apparently, that Mr. Phillips refused to entertain the objections which the Plaintiff raised to the sale of the land.-

6 The ground taken by the present Sub Collector in his proposed draft is 1st that the Puttadar under the provisions of Section VI Regulation XXVI of 1802 is the owner of the land to the exclusion, as I understand him to mean, of any prior interest, as against Government, on the part of the mortgagee, and 2ndly that under Clause 1st Section VI Regulation XXVII of 1802 "landed Estates should be regarded as security for

for the public revenue.-

7 In regard to these Regulations, I am of opinion that the one first quoted applies to Zemindary lands only, and that even if Section III were applicable to other than permanently assessed Estates, the non-transfer would not operate against the prior right of a third party, if the revenue arrears claimed by Government were due not on the land in question but on land entirely distinct therefrom, and 2ndly, that the "landed Estate" mentioned in Clause 1st Section VI Regulation XXVII of 1802, refers exclusively to lands held under a fixed Settlement, or a Zemindary tenure.-

8. I therefore think that his grounds of defence are not tenable; but as I believe that the Sale, under the circumstances as represented, was incorrect, and I am unable to suggest any better defence, I submit the draft for the Board's consideration without attempting to amend it.-

9. The Plaintiff with the claim above described has mixed up another for damages, on the ground that the produce of the land was seized in Fasly 1262.-

It-

10 It appears from the answer that this produce was seized under orders passed by the present Sub Collector for arrears due on the land in dispute for Fusly 1262. I am therefore of opinion that the answer made to this point is sufficient, but it might be urged in addition that Mr. Phillips, the 1st Defendant, was not responsible for this portion of the proceeding as he neither passed the order directing the produce to be attached nor confirmed it in appeal.—

11 I beg to state that the date for filing the answer is at the re-opening of the Court on the 31st May—

Salem Collector's Office,
On Circuit Ossoor,
5th May, 1854.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector.

No. 1

Answer proposed to be filed in Original Suit No. 51 of 1853 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Salem by H. A. Brett Esqr. Collector of Salem for H. D. Phillips Esqr. 1st Defendant.—

1. As stated in the Plaint the 2d Defendant Anuntien a ryot in the Hamlet of Sollypollium subject to the

the Village of Vengary took by dunkast for cultivation in fusly 1261, a land denominated Vellumorattocaud poonjah No. 287, Acres 11-6-1 assessed at Rupees 17-1-0. But he having evaded the payment of the teervah till the month of September of the next fusly 1262 by absconding, and his Security Vencattaramanien being found to possess no personal property, and the land itself not being saleable, out of the 5 spots of lands situated at the cusbah Vengary consisting of Acres 1-17-0 assessed at Rupees 15—5—0 and entered in the puttahs and accounts in the name of Mootoosawmy Vencattaramaniem the late father of the defaulter and to which the latter had a claim—one spot called Anuntempadoogy Nunjah No. 1 in No. 573 Acre 0 34—3 assessed at Rupees 9-3-0 was Zufted on the 24th September 1852, by the late Tahsildar Streenevasa Row. Upon this the Board of Revenue were addressed on the 24th January 1853, to grant permission for the sale of the land, their order for so doing, was conveyed in their proceedings dated 10th February

of that year, an advertisement was made out on the 20th February about the sale of the land which was fixed to take place on the 18th March mentioning that if the defaulter would pay the arrears in the Talook, the Tahsildar would receive it till the 7th and if not, that it should be paid at the Huzzoor, before the date of the sale, which advertisement was forwarded to the Tahsildar on the same date for promulgation with a Takeed No. 73; the advertisement was accordingly published on the 25th February, in all the Villages as well as in that of the 2d Defendant.—

2. The Tahsildar reported by an Aryze No. 329 dated 7th March that the defaulter had not paid the arrears in the Talook up to that date.—

3 The Assistant Collector Mr. Dalyell was authorized to conduct the sale of the attached Inam land mentioned in the 1st Para. at the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Salem, on condition of the defaulter failing to pay the balance

balances within the time limited in the advertisement. As neither the defaulter nor his representatives paid the arrears within the above mentioned period, or at the time of the sale, the land was sold at Auction by that Officer on the appointed day.—

4 After the sale, Yadast No. ____ dated ____ was received, informing that Vecattaramanien of Vengary who is now made the 4th Defendant offered Rupees 20 for the land in question, and that no one else offered more than that amount.—

5 This was reported to the Board of Revenue on the _____ who in their proceedings dated 18th April 1853, confirmed the sale and directed that the land should be put into the possession of the highest bidder.—

6. In conformity with the above said orders, a Takeed No. 107 dated 17th June 1853, was sent to the Tahsildar, to put the land into the possession of Veneattaramien, and out of the sale proceeds of the land Rupees 20, to take 17-1-1/2 for the balance, to credit Rupees 1-4-— on account of the Commission on

on the sale, and to pay the remainder 1-11-a to the defaulter, or if there was any balance against him for fasly 1262, to take it in part payment of the same. The land was made over to Vencattaramanien accordingly-

7 Although the lands mentioned in the Plaint might have been mortgaged to the Plaintiff and another person named Paryachy Gounden for Rupees 75; and enjoyed by them in lieu of the interest, he (the Plaintiff) cannot become the owner of them. According to the provision of Section III Regulation XXVI of 1802 the puttadar is the owner of the land and not the Plaintiff who is the mortgagee of it. If the present Suit is founded on good grounds, Paryachy Gounden the joint Mortgagee would have also disputed it.-

8 Tho' no puttah was issued in the name of the 2d Defendant nor the lands transferred to him, he being the son and heir of Moottoosamy Vencattaramanien, the puttah granted in the name of the latter was equally valid as that issued in the name of the former, and therefore he was considered as

as the Puttadar. There were no arrears on the land sold at auction, yet it is mentioned in clause 1st Section VI Regulation XXVII of 1802, that landed Estates should be regarded as security for the public Revenue, as the land of the 2d Defendant put up to sale was that description of property, it was consequently attached and sold for the arrears of revenue due by the son of the puttadar - the defaulter having also further failed to give up his personal property for the purpose as mentioned in Clause 2d Section VI of the above regulation.

9 As Cusbah Salem is the principal place in the District, Sanction was obtained for selling the land at that place, where there were likly to be a greater number of competitors to bid for the land.-

10 The Plaintiff was summoned by the Tahsildar on the 18th November 1853, two Months after the land was attached and some Months prior to the publication of the advertisement, when it appears from the report of the Monigar of the Village that the Plaintiff declined appearing before the

the Tahsildar, on the plea of illness. The village where the Plaintiff resides is about 5 Miles from the Taluk, tho' he might have been unable to attend the Taluk Cutcherry, he could have easily paid the balance to the Monigar, who was always in his neighbourhood. The Plaintiff admits that the balance against the 2d Defendant was not discharged, even on the date of the sale of the land in question, his petitions of Subsequent dates requesting to take the arrears of revenue from him, were duly endorsed on the 24th August 1853, showing the irregularities which might arise by complying with his request. The 5th and 6th Defendants were

land in question, his petitions of Subsequent dates requesting to take the arrears of revenue from him, were duly endorsed on the 24th August 1853, showing the irregularities

land in question, his petitions of Subsequent dates requesting to take the arrears of revenue from him, were duly endorsed on the 24th August 1853, showing the irregularities

× Sirkar's share of the produce. ° produce of the wet season or the 1st Crop.

that according to the practice of the Villages the "Malwarum". from the produce Viz^s Modas 2 Vellums — of the lands under dispute for the Mairy Begum, and which was reaped before it was made over to the purchaser, may be attached and sold, and the sale proceeds of the same taken for the liquidation of the arrears, together with Rupees 1—11—a, being the residue of the amount of sale proceeds of the land. In answer to the said order an Arzee dated 3d January 1854 was received from the Taluk informing the Sub Collector, that the land in dispute was cultivated by the Plaintiff himself and one Paryachy Said to have taken jointly with him the land on mortgage, but that it was not cultivated on "varum" tenure, that out of the whole produce of the land 8 Modas and 11 Vellums of paddy was Zuftied and sold by Auction for Rupees of which deducting Annas 6 being commission on the above sale, there Rupees 5—15—6, as well as the a being the residue of the sale of the land, total Rupees 7-10-6 were

the Tahsildar, on the plea of illness. The village where the Plaintiff resides is about 5 Miles from the Taluk, tho' he might have been unable to attend the Taluk Cutcherry, he could have easily paid the balance to the Monigar, who was always in his neighbourhood. The Plaintiff admits that the balance against the 2d Defendant was not discharged, even on the date of the sale of the land in question, his petitions of subsequent dates requesting to take the arrears of revenue from him, were duly endorsed on the 24th August 1853, showing the irregularities which might arise by complying with his request. The 5th and 6th Defendants were parties who acted under the orders of Government and therefore it was improper to include them among the Defendants.—

11. Of Rupees 15—5—a being the assessment for fusly 1262 of the lands including the one sold at auction, Rupees 7—10—6 fell into arrears, for the collection of which an order No. 146 dated 16th November 1853, was sent to the Taluk, directing the Tahsildar that

that according to the practice of the Villages the "Malwarum" from the produce Viz 5 Modas 2 Vellums—11—2—1 of the lands under dispute for the Maury Bogum, and which was reaped before it was made over to the purchaser, may be attached and sold, and the sale proceeds of the same taken for the liquidation of the arrears, together with Rupees 1—11—a, being the residue of the amount of sale proceeds of the land. In answer to the said order an Arzee dated 3d January 1854 was received from the Taluk informing the Sub Collector, that the land in dispute was cultivated by the Plaintiff himself and one Paryachy said to have taken jointly with him the land on mortgage, but that it was not cultivated on "varum" tenure, that out of the whole produce of the land 8 Modas and 11 Vellums of paddy was assessed and sold by Auction for Rupees 6—6—6 of which deducting Annas 6 being the Commission on the above sale, the remainder Rupees 5—15—6, as well as the Rs as 1—1—a being the residue of the sale proceeds of the land, total Rupees 7—10—6 were

x Sirkar's share of the produce. produce of the wet season or the 1st Crop.

were taken on account of the balance of fusly 1262, and the remainder of the paddy was ordered to be given to the Plaintiff &c who were the cultivators — The produce of the Codybogum appears to have been destroyed by the overflow of the Cavary, and not by the interference of any of the Defendants —

† produce of the dry season or the 2d Crop.

12 It was improper on the part of the Plaintiff in having said, that the land mortgaged to him for a large sum was sold for a small price, when the Plaintiff took the lands under mortgage the putcut was as follows.

	Extent	Assessment
No 1 in No 593	—	343 9 3
" 2 in Do	—	4 14 1 5
" 3 in Do	—	6 8 1 12
" 4 in Do	—	16 4 6 —
" 5 in Do	—	8 15 2 6 6
		1 30 12 19 6

13. It appears that the Plaintiff took up all these lands under mortgage — From the above said extent, acres 13 — 12 inner No 5 assessed at Rs at 3 — 11 — 6, was deducted at the Jummabundy of fusly 1259 on account of the overflow of the river on it, and the remainder Acres 1 — 17 — assessed at Rupees 15 — 15 — continued to be entered in the Putcut

Putcut accounts till fusly 1262, one of which lands assessed at Rupees 3 — was sold for Rupees 20 — on account of the arrears of revenue. As the Plaintiff states that the land was sold for a small amount, it should be considered, that he took the lands under mortgage for a larger sum merely to increase the interest —

14 The Plaintiff states that a fraudulent advertisement was publish-ed, in regard to which the 1st Defendant begs to state that nothing of the kind took place, and that no other land was sold except the one &c advertised for sale and entered in the list of Zuft, specifying the boun-dary, number extent and assessment of the land. The land in question is situated in the Village of Vengary and Soly pollum putty is the Hamlet subject to the said village, the &c Putcuts entered in the name of the 2d Defendant and that entered in the name of his father are situat-ed in the same Village. —

15 If any sum of money was due to the Plaintiff by the Puttadar on account of his having mortgaged the land in question, the &c

Plaintiff

Plaintiff. should for the recovery of it bring an action against the 2nd Defendant. the son of the puttadar, but instead of so doing the present Suit being brought against the 1st Defendant and others is irregular. Therefore the 1st Defendant requests that the Court will on a consideration of the above mentioned reasons dismiss the Suit with Costs.—

(Signed) S. W. Cherry
Sub Collector

O.S. No. 57 of 1853.—

Translation of a Suit instituted in the Subordinate Court of the Zillah of Salem by Sachghery Achar, son of Ramaswamy Kistnachar, Bramin by Caste and cultivator by profession, resident of the Agraharum of Vengary in the Talook of Paramutty, against H. B. Phillips Esqre Collector of this District, 1 Arumtien son of Moottoswamy Venkatteramanien a bramin and Vydeey by profession and resident of Vengary agraharum, 2 Lutchmanachar son of Streenevasachar of the same agraharum a bramin, and Pregada by profession, 3 his son Venkatteramanachar. 4 Ragavandoss son

son of Timmyachar, Moonsiff and Monegar of Vengany, arnachella Coondamien (fathers name unknown) bramin by Caste and Curnum's Goomastah.—

A decree is prayed for to nullify the sale caused by the Collector, the 1st Defendant, of the Punjah land situated within the undermentioned boundaries paying an annual rent to the Circar of Rupees 4—9—6, and producing an outturn of Rupees 12—0—0, and which land is in my possession by virtue of a deed of mortgage executed in my favor by the 2nd Defendants father (deceased) on stampt paper, also to confirm my claim to it to prevent the issue of a puttah by the Circar in the name of the 4th Defendant for the said land, and to make the Defendants pay me Rupees 20—3—4 being the loss of the produce.—

In the Cusbah of Vengary agraharum, the lands entered in the Puttah and Puttah in the name of Moottoswamy Venkattaramanien the father of the 2nd Defendant, are situated on the East of the Cuttoocandy of Razacharry, on the South of the Janry Cundatryemmanjah land, on the West

west of the Coottoocand of Palanyandy, and to on the north of the Cavary river. and the particulars of them are as follows. No. 1 in 573. Annuntappa Naicken padagy nunjah land acre — 34-3 assessed at Rupees 93 — the upper half of this is acre — 17 1/2 assessed at Rupees 4-9-6 yielding an annual produce of Rupees 2 — This Suit is brought for the recovery of this land, and of the loss of produce in this and other lands. The upper half the said nunjah land 1, and also of No. 2 in No. 573 nunjah land 1, No. 3 nunjah land 1 No. 4 nunjah land 1. and No. 5 nunjah land 1. together with the red Grass Coottos attached to the same, was mortgaged to me for Rupees 75 — on the 11th of Audy of the year of Sadarnah, by their owner and puttadar Coottoosawmy Vencattaramanien, in satisfaction of a precept issued against him for a certain sum decreed to be paid by him in Suits No. 280 and 281 of 1846. on the file of the Moonsiffs Court of Namcul. On the said date Vencattapannanien 2nd Defendants father executed a deed of mortgage on stampt paper, to the effect that I should enjoy the land in lieu of the

the interest accruing on the principal of the above, and paying myself the Circar teerwah of the lands, and that I should make over the lands to him on payment of the principal in Audy season of any year. Accordingly he put the lands into my possession. I have since continued to pay the Circar teerwah and enjoy the lands. The said Vencattaramiah died the same year. His son the 2nd Defendant then took up from the Circar for Cultivation in fusly 1261 / Pesdogal / waste poonjah land assessed at Rupees 17/15 in the hamlet of Soliem pollien putty, subject to the Village Vengary, and in conformity with the rules. Produced one Vencattaramanien as security for the amount of the said teerwah - He cultivated the land with gingely in that fusly, but the crop perished owing to deficiency of rain, should the said teerwah be paid for the said fusly the land must be answerable for the same according to section VI Regulation XXVII of 1802. Instead of so doing, and even without demanding the teerwah from his security, the upper half of land No. 1 in No. 573 now under dispute, which was mortgaged

mortgaged to me by the father of the 2nd Defendant for the said principal, and which I did enjoy undisturbed on lieu of interest, likewise with also the other half mortgaged to me, Paryachy gounden, was attached in the Month of September 1852 by the then Tahsildar Streenevasa Row (now deceased) with the help of the 5 and 6 Defendants, Cunnum and Monegar of the Village, under an alleged order from the said ex Gentleman / Collector) and a fraudulent notification was published to the effect, that the 2d Defendant's putcut land at Solly & polliemputty shall be sold, and instead of selling the land either in the Village or Talook where it is situated, it was put up to sale at Salem in a distant Talook, and knocked down in the name of the 4 Defendant for Rupees 20 -. This I heard in the Month of March of the year 1853, on my return to my Village from Allathory, a Village in the limits of another District, where I had proceeded to get my body cured. Upon this I wrote three Urzees to the Collector / 1st Defendant / in the Month of Poongoony & of

of the year Piryadhy, stating that the land under dispute which belongs to me should not be confirmed in the name of the 4 Defendant at the sale, and that as I was out of the Village at the time the advertisement was promulgated it became difficult to me to be acquainted with it, no order whatever was as yet ifsued on them, while such is the case I am now informed that the Collector has sent an order to the Tahsildar dated 17 June 1853 st. 107 directing him to put the said land into the poseffion of the 4 Defendant, who bid for the same at the sale, moreover while according to Regulation, the land, whose teerwah is due to Government, is held answerable for the payment thereof, and while also the person who stood as security for the same is responcible for the amount of teerwah, it was highly improper to sell for the said afsefsment the land that was in my enjoyment, having been mortgaged to me by the father of the 2d Defendant who has no claim whatever to the same. It is still more improper on

Fort St. George 11th May 1854

ont the part of the Collector to have sent an order to the Tahsildar confirming the sale of the land under mortgage to me, and directing him to make over the same to the 4th Defendant. though I petitioned the Collector and informed the Tahsildar about my claim to the land, agreeing to pay the balance myself and objecting to the sale of the land mortgaged to me for a large amount, for the recovery of a small due, In fusly 1262 my under tenants cultivated the land in question as also other lands under mortgage to me, both in the wet and dry seasons, but at the time of the harvest, the 3d and 4th Defendants prevented the reaping of the Crop; consequently the reaping of it was delayed to an unfavorable season, and the produce was injured in both the seasons, the expected Crop, Viz 5 Padies was lost, the Value of which, according to the current price

Fort St. George 11th May 1854

price, is Rupees 20 at 4 Rupees for Pody, the 3d and 4th Defendant should be responsible for the amount. As in the Circular order dated 11th October 1827, it is stated that regular suits may be filed where a land belonging to a third party is sold at auction, and in Section VII Regulation II 1802, it is declared, that if the Collector or his Subordinates act contrary to the Regulations he or they must be subject to the authority of the Court, I have brought this regular suit against all the Defendants, and request that a decision may be passed

6496 Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

passed nullifying the sale of the land under dispute, caused by the 1st Defendant the Collector, and preventing the issue of a puttah for the land in the name of the 4th Defendant, and confirming my claim to it until the amount of the Mortgage is repaid, disallowing the other Defendants interferences with the same, and awarding to me the sum of Rupees 20 from the 3rd and 4th Defendants, being the loss of the produce.—

30th August 1854.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ J. W. Cherry.
Sub Collector.

Ordered

6497 Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

Order thereon. Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

24-25-

B.R. No. 977.

To the Coll.r of Salem

To, The Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I am desired by the Board of Revenue to inform you, with reference to your letter of 8th May 1854, transmitting papers connected with Original Suit No. 57 of 1853, that they concur with you in doubting how far the defence proposed by the Sub Collector would be successful, and they think it desirable that you should take steps to ascertain if the Suit could not be compromised should any reasonable terms of compromise be proposed. You will be good enough to inform the Board that they may take the opinion of Government. Meanwhile you should move the Court to postpone the day for hearing the case.

I/ows 11th May 1854.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
11th May 1854.

/Signed/ B. Cauliffe.
Sub Secretary in charge.

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

No. 44

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(26)
B. No. 1345

From the Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 20th Instant I have the honor to enclose a Statement shewing the quantity of Salt delivered to the Pondicherry Authorities during the last 10 years with its cost price. The average annual result is as follows.—

Furnishing the information required in Board's letter of 20th Instant, regarding the Salt shipped for the consumption of the French Territories—

	Garces	Price per Garce paid by the French Government. Manufacturer's Cooly warnum	Half of Shipping charges	Total
Cuddalore	28	8 " 2	2 12 11	10 13 1
Mercanum	222	14 8 9	5 5 10	19 14 7
	250			

The Cuddalore Salt which is of inferior quality is understood to be used principally for curing hides, in which there is an active export trade from the Port of Pondicherry.

In

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

2. In a letter addressed by the Collector Mr. Hallett to the Board dated 6th June 1842, Para 14, the Population of Pondicherry and the adjacent French territory is stated at 80,000, upon the authority apparently of the taloch accounts at the time when the French territories were restored by us in 1817 after the conclusion of the war. In the Pondicherry Almanac, which may be looked on as an official publication, the population of Pondicherry and its adjacent districts, is entered at 96,816 Souls upon a careful domiciliary census made at the close of 1852, and I see no reason to doubt the accuracy of this statement. Omitting 20 Garces of Salt as used for other purposes, an annual consumption of 230 Garces by the above population, gives 24 ~~lbs~~ per head, which appears to me a fair allowance.—

Annuaires des Establishments Français de L'Indi — pour l'année 1854. Page 119.

+Europeans	1641
Natives	95075
	96,716.

3. The French Authorities sell the Salt at our Monopoly price with a small addition of about Rupees 3½ per Garce.

farce to cover the expenses they incur on its account. It is only therefore a few of our villages, which are mixed with the French territory in the immediate neighbourhood of Pondicherry, that have any temptation to purchase Salt from the French depôts, and besides the impossibility of preventing their making their purchases at the most convenient place, the revenue we thus lose cannot be large enough to be of importance

4. Payments are still made to the French Government of 4 Lacs of Sicca Rupees per annum on account of the Indemnification fixed upon by the convention of 1815 for regulating the Salt and Opium Trades and other purposes, and of Rupees 14,000, as compensation to the proprietors of the pans around Pondicherry which remain unworked under the renewed convention signed on 1st June 1837. The former sum is paid partly in Bengal and partly from my treasury upon the receipt of particular instructions from Government. The latter amount is paid

periodically

periodically by me on the application of the Pondicherry authorities after deducting the sum due by them for the cost of the Salt, and their moiety of the shipping charges. The payment of Rupees 21,000 in fusly 1262 to which you advert, consisted of Rupees 7,000 due in arrear for the preceding half year in addition to the sum of Rupees 14,000 for that fusly as explained in Para 17 of my Extra Revenue report.

South Arcot.
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore.
24th April 1854.

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement

302

Fort St. George May 1854.

No. 1

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt supplied to the French Government at Pondicherry from Fusly 1253 to 1262 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Fusly	Supplied from Murkanum pan		Supplied from Cuddalore pan		Total		Remarks
	Garces	Mercals	Garces	Mercal	Garces	Mercal	
1253	167	200	30		187	200	
1254	250		50		300		
1255	220		40		260		
1256	240				240		
1257	180		20		200		
1258	220		30		250		
1259	230		30		260		
1260	290		50		340		
1261	276		20		296		
1262	320		20		340		
Total	2383	200	290		2673	200	
Average	238	140	29		267	140	
t average of e discovered measurement Pondicher	16	297	3½	315	17	212	3¼
Salt actually red to the h authorities	221	242	5	28	85	249	327 5

	Cost of Salt per Garce	Moiety of Shipping and charges &c. per Garce	Total
Murkanum	14 - 8 - 9 3/8	5 - 5 - 10½	19 - 14 - 7 7/8
Cuddalore	8 - " - 2	2 - 12 - 11 3/4	10 - 13 - 1 3/4

The above is the cost for Fusly 1262, but the shippingo and landing charges &c. of other years agree closely with it.-

The salt is supplied from these 2 pans according to the application of the French Government.

According to the renewed convention entered into by the Madras and Pondicherry Governments 1st June 1837 for suppressing the manufacture of salt at the French Pans the two Governments bear equally the cost incurred in the conveyance of salt from our depôts to Pondicherry.-

Cuddalore, 24th April 1854.

(Signed) E. Itally
Collector

Order thereon.-

26-27

Ordered to be recorded.

6503. Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

No. 33.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

28

B. No. 146.

From the Collector of Madura . . . } Sir,

In Statement No. 10 of the accounts submitted to the Board of Revenue with the Summabundy Report of Fusly 1262, there are entries of 626 1/16 Cawnies as Survey two crop Nunjah land cultivated. Similar entries have excisted since Fusly 1255 and are, I think, altogether a mistake.

2. Two crop land Stake to be land assessed with a consolidated Teerwah for 2 crops and I proceed to show that this land was taxed for only one crop and is now and always has been so treated.

3 In Fusly 1212 Mr. Hurdis divided the Nunjah lands into Turms, to each of which Turums was fixed a particular Teerwah. In Fusly 1218, 708 and odd Cawnies so happened to be cultivated with 2 crops and were

× Note
684 the extent mentioned in my letter of the 3rd December 1849, but the Hindoowy Gomastah who gave me the information overlooked a village.

were charged 1/2 of the Teerwah of their Ta-
=rams. In proof of the Statement I beg to
submit Extracts from the Hindovy Teerwah —
Dittum and Summalundy account of Fusly 1212.

4. In succeeding years, the abovemen-
tioned lands when not cultivated with 2 crops
were charged only with single Teerwah without
any entry under the Head of Fuseul Cummee,
and whatever other lands were cultivated with
2 crops were charged with 1/2 Teerwah of their
Tarum, the 1/2 Teerwah being entered as Fus-
sul Tastee, and so it continued till Fusly
1255 when / during the Collectorate of Mr.
Blackburne / the accounts were subsmit-
ted in the Bellary Forms.

5. Those Forms contained Columns
for two crop lands. The Gomastahs of the
Madura Cutcherry in consequence looked out
for some thing to put into them and finding
certain land cultivated with two crops in the
Survey year, entered them as two crop land,
though there was more reason for considering

them

as such than any other lands subsequently
cultivated with two crops as they are treated
exactly in the same manner, viz.t, by an ad-
=dition of 1/2 of their Tarum Teerwah when
so cultivated. The total extent of land in
the Principal Division cultivated with two
crops in 1262 amounted to no less than 12,680
Cawnies and odd.

6. Certain fields are pretended to be
shewn as this two crop land of the Survey
year. The Cummums however of many of
the villages / a list of which I enclose /
when called upon to point them out con-
=fessed that in Fusly 1255 they named num-
=bers at a venture. The Numbers or
Stulls in question in each Village do not
be in one spot, but are dispersed about
the Nunjah Ayacut.

7. From the above the Board will
I think be satisfied that there is no land as-
=sessed for a Double crop in the Ma-
=dura Talooks of this District. The
erroneous assumption in the ac-
=counts

X Madacolum
Teroomungalum

Under charge of Sub Collector { Meylore — Ramagherry

accounts will be seen on reference to render necessary a large number of additional entries and I therefore strongly recommend that all land in the Madura Talooks may be entered as single crop land.

8. The Nunjah land of the Dindigul Talooks are differently circumstanced actually paying the same teerwah whatever may be number of crops cultivated. Nevertheless they are entered as single crop land and have always been so. I leave it to the Board to state whether this is a mistake, or whether as no deduction for Fuſsul Cummee is allowed in case of the cultivation of only one crop it cannot be entered as two crop land.

Tenkurray — under Collector —
Iyenpully — Tandicamboo — Nelacottah. } D. Sub Collector.

9. On reference to my letter of the 16th June 1849, the Board will observe that I stated that the land in Madura / proper / cultivated with a second crop in Fuisly 1212 was more highly assessed in consequence

consequence. This was a mistake induced by the entry of 1½ Teerwah for this land in the accounts of Fuisly 1255. The true state of the case will be apparent to the Board from the Kindowy Extracts now sent.

Madura
4th March 1854.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Statement

6508 Fort St. George, 11th May 1854.

Statement shewing the cultivation of Villages where Double Nunjah crop was raised for Fusly 1212.

Taluk &c.	No. assigned to the villages	Names of the Villages	Gross Nunjah Cultivation of Fusly 1212. Extent		Seerwah		Deduct one crop lands Extent		Seerwa		Balance double crop lands Extent		Seerwah		Centage of Column 8 to 4.	
1	2	3	4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
			Cawjs.	as	Cully chs	Fs.	Cawjs.	as	chanks	Fs.	Cawjs.	as	chanks	Fs.	Cawjs.	as
Madacolum	2	Cusbah Madacolum	942	5½	4459	1 6/16	845	13¾	3726	8/16	96	7¾	732	3 5¼/16	10	5¾
	3	Avanyapooram	687	13¼	2971	9 11¾/16	629	5¾	2590	1/16	58	7½	381	8 10¾/16	8	8
	10	Sellore	55	6½	175	6 11¾/16	45	5½	133	8¼/16	10	1	41	8 11½/16	18	¾
	12	Pullavarayenthope	30	½	134	3 2/16	24	9¼	101	8 8½/16	5	7¼	32	2 9½/16	18	2½
	21	Achemput	71	11¾	248	7 6¾/16	64	13¾	211	6 2¾/16	6	14	37	1¼	9	9¼
	30	Anoosppanady	420	15¾	1929	4 13¾/16	344	10½	1443	3 7½/16	76	5¼	486	1 4¼/16	18	2½
	33	Ponnary	248	9	863	9¾	240	10	810	3 1/16	7	15	53	6/16	3	3
	36	Kochadah	171	8¾	722	3 5/8	137	13¾	520	8 3/8	33	11	201	4 14/16	19	10½
	40	Veraloor	203	10¼	595	2 11/16	180	12¾	479	8 83¾/16	22	13½	115	2 2¼/16	11	3½
	44	Paravay	254	9½	1000	2 3/16	252	¼	977	6 10/16	2	9¼	22	8 9/16	1	¼
	45	Vundyoore	237	11¾	1021	1 4¾/16	211	4¾	859	14/16	26	7	162	6 3¼/16	11	2½
	61	Adalay	65	2¼	217	3 5/16	45	12½	132	4 3/16	19	5¾	84	9	29	4½
	68	Triparengoondum	86	2¼	372	4 14½/16	73	13¼	289	2 6/16	12	5	83	2 8½/16	14	4¾
			3475	11	14711	8 14½/16	3096	13¾	12277	7 3¼/16	378	13¼	2434	8 6¾/16	10	14½

B09

Fort St. George

11th May 1854.

Gross Kunjah cultivation of Fasly 1212.

1	2	3	4		5		Deduct one crop lands		Balance double crop lands		Centage of Columns 8 to 4	
Talook	No. assigned to the Villages	Names of the Villages	Extent		Teerwah		Extent		Teerwah			
			6		7		8		9		10	
			Cawe	as	Chs.	Fs.	Cawe	as	Chs.	Fs.	Cawe	as
Madacolum (Contd) Talaviundam	4	Moollipallum	255	15	926	5/16	216	7 3/4	700	5 4½/16	15	7
	6	Proombalay	305	4	1254	8 53¼/16	260	7½	956	8 15¾/16	14	10
	9	Poolamaday	89	7½	373	2 4½/16	7	13¼	303	1 23¼/16	11	14
	12	Vilampully	154	3¼	541	1 10¾/16	112	2½	321	8 5½/16	27	4¼
	14	Kodimungalum	229	7¾	952	7/32	204	4½	801	2½/16	10	15¾
	16	Sanayanullore	217	12¾	873	7½	208	14	819	6 14/16	4	1½
	25	Trivadagum	242	13	860	4 8½/16	206	9¾	637	5 6/16	14	14½
	31	Kuttakolum	263	8	746	7 43¾/16	248	12½	683	2 7/16	5	9¼
			1758	7¼	6528	8 15¾/16	1536	7¾	5223	5 10/16	12	16
			5234	2¼	21740	7 14¼/16	4633	5½	17500	6 13¾/16	11	7¾
Kimungalum Kimungalum	47	Soondarapandiem	340	8¾	1130	4 12½/16	313	¾	986	1 1/32	8	1¼
	50	Kottanary	15	8¼	49	4 8¼/16	13	6	39	1 9/16	13	12¾
	53	Koonalungolum	11	14¼	40	6 14¼/16	9	.	27	5 6¼/16	24	5
		Total	367	15¼	1220	6 3/16	335	6¾	1052	7 15¾/16	8	13½
Ayan	47	Koonnawaracottah	106	5¾	328	3 2½/16	88	3¼	233	7 9½/16	17	1
		Total	474	5	1548	9 5½/16	423	10	1286	5 9¼/16	10	12¼
Konglore Tamul bare	102	Koonnattoore	267	14¾	940	37 3¾/16	223	3¾	710	2 11¾/16	16	10¾
	106	Kullimungalum	185	7¾	557	5 3¾/16	174	11¾	508	7 13¼/16	5	12¾
		Total	453	6½	1497	8 8½/16	397	15½	1218	9 13½/16	12	3½
		Grand Total	6161	13¾	24287	5 12¼/16	3754	15	2006	1 8½/16	11	7½

Madura, 4th March 1854 E E

W. (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Bd No 973
Proceedings thereon.

(28.29)

Under the explanation afforded by Mr Parker the Board concur with him that in the Madura Division of his District the entry of "Double crop" Nunjah land has arisen from a mistake. Those lands that do yield a 2d crop should pay an extra 50 pr Cent as Jus-suljastee and no Nunjah land in the Madura Division should be entered as "Double crop."

2. The Nunjah lands of the Dindigul Talooks, Mr Parker states, pay the same Teerwa whatever may be the number of crops cultivated, nevertheless they are entered as single crop land and has always been so. The Board are unable, as requested by Mr Parker, "to state whether this is a mistake." The solution of that question would appear to rest rather with the local authorities.

Bd Minute 5th June 1818 para 928 Vol I Revs Selections.

3. It is believed that Mr Hurdis in Fasly 1212 intended to recognize a difference between single crop and double crop lands, though the accounts do not appear to have been completed. as some land must undoubtedly be single crop, the Board are not prepared to adopt Mr Parker's suggestion of entering it all as "two crop land."

DG

No 47.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(30)

Bd No 948
From the Collector of Madura—

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit Copy of a Report by the Joint Magistrate proposing to suspend the Tahsildar of Tadicombos for an instance of gross carelessness in a case of Cattle theft, and beg leave to support his recommendation.

2 Other servants of the Cutchery would seem to have been equally if not more culpable, and I have heard that they have been punished by Mr Clarke, though till the monthly return is received I shall not be officially aware of the fact.

Madura
22d March 1854

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

No 1.

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Magistrate of Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice

notice and under your consideration a case of grave misconduct on the part of the Head Police Officer of Tadicumbo and to recommend that he be suspended from his Office for the period of six Months, should you be disposed to concur with me in the view I have taken of his case..

2 On recommencing the duties of my Office, I heard from several quarters that a case of Cattle theft had been compounded by the Police, the thief released, the case reported as a stray and the owner of the stolen bull made to pay a fine of ½ Rupee as pound money..

3 On the evening of the same day a Petitioner before me, on being told that his Petition would be referred to the Talook, urged, as a reason why I should personally equire into his complaint, that it was impossible to obtain justice in the Talook and he instanced this

this case in proof of his assertion..

4. The next morning Friday I called for the report which contained nothing likely to awaken suspicion against the propriety of the recommendation of the Head of Police that the bull should be restored to the owner, and that, on the ground of his poverty, only ½ Rupee should be collected from him as pound money..

5 I then sent for the owner Mullachee Counden, an ignorant, stupid Coorcomben who arrived on Saturday morning. His story was a natural and straight forward. He stated that he had been robbed, that on the following morning he represented the matter to the Village Officers who made a report of it to the Talook, that after a few days, he heard a thief with his bull had been apprehended by the Cutwall of Dindigul and was detained in the main guard Choultry, that he proceeded there and

and identified the animal as his, that he applied to the Peons about the Choultry for its restor: ation informing them it had been stolen, that they referred him on to the Head of Police, that he went to the Talook Cutcherry and waited there for 3 days, but the Head of Police did not make his appear: ance, that he returned to his Vil: lage and applied to the Nattam: gars who forwarded a second Report to the Talook stating the facts mentioned to them by Mul: lachee Counden, Viz.t the discovery of his lost bull, the attempt that was making to obtain the release of the thief and to make it appear that the animal had strayed instead of being stolen, that, on hearing a proclamation calling on the owner of the bull to appear before the Ta: look Cutcherry, he proceeded there and represented his case to the Head of Police, who desired him to say that the animal had

had strayed, to pay One Rupee and take it away, that for 3 days he remonstrated a- against the course of proceedings but at length finding remonstrance useless, he produced his witness, allowed the Paysums to write what they pleased, got the fine reduced to ½ a Rupee which he paid and received back his animal much re: duced in value. I see no reason to believe there was the slightest connec: tion between this man and the Petitioner referred to above.

6. I immediately sent for the Reports from the Talook, the first was pro: duced after some delay, the second was not at the time forthcoming. I therefore despatched a Peon to bring me the Nattam: gars and Curnum with the Copies of the reports on the Village record a depo: sition was then taken from Mullachee Counden and a Peon who was pre: sent when the thief was apprehended, who deposed that the thief confessed

before

before the Cutwal to having stolen the bull at Coroomba putty, the place where Mullachee Coundens Cattle was pen: ned. The second Report, it is stated, was found late on Saturday evening with old plais and was sent to me by the Head of Police by day break on Monday morning

7 From the enquiry it appeared that the bull was stolen on the 28th of December and a Report of the theft made to the Head of Police on the 30th.

8. On the 8th January the Cut: wal apprehended a Cullen on the public road 2 miles to the west of Dindigal at 11 OClock at night with a bull, the apprehended man, who is a notoriously bad Character and had only been re: leased from confinement a few days before, was questioned what he was doing at that time of night with the animal, he at first replied that the animal was trespassing in his field and that he was taking it to the pound but at length he confessed

to having stolen it.

9. The nesct day the Cutwal made a report to the Head of Police to the effect that he had found the man with the bull and that he had represented it was destroy: ing his grain and that therefore he had caught it and was taking it to the Vil: lage pound, but that he, the Cutwal, sent the animal and the man to the Head of Police for his orders.

10 On the 21st January the Head of Police took a deposition from the Cullen to the effect that he was driving a stray to the Village pound and, without any further enquiry, tho 3 Cattle thefts had been report: ed within the preceding 30 days, released him. This deposition was not forwarded with the Head of Police's Report regarding the stray.

11. After this a procla: : mation was made calling on the owner of the bull to appear

and

and receive it.

12 . On the 9th February the Village Officers wrote the second report, which it is proved was delivered by the Village Tatty to the record Tyne. He says he cannot recollect this olai being given him, but in such cases it is usual with him to take the olai to the Head Rayasum who receives it and places it near him.

13. On the 15th February a Petition was drafted in the presence of the Head of Police by the Rayasum for Mullachee Coundan representing that his bull had strayed, praying for its restoration as he could prove it to be his, pleading poverty and mentioning that his Nattamgars had submitted a repo

14 On the 16th February the Head Police Officer submitted a Report to the Joint Magistrate stating that the Cutwal had made a Report* that the person found with the bull

*This Report was not sent

bull represented it to be a stray, that Mullachee Coundan had given in a Petition praying for the return of his animal which he stated had strayed away from the grazing ground, that it was proved to be his, by two witnesses, that the man was very poor and could not pay 1 Rupee, and that therefore he recommended only ½ Rupee might be collected from him.

15 An order was passed on the 28th February approving of the Head Police Officer's recommendation, the bull was accordingly restored and ½ Rupee carried to account.

16. To my mind it is clearly proved that the Cullen when apprehended, confessed before the Cutwal and others that he had stolen the Cattle from Cooroomba Patty; and it is admitted by the Head of Police that he was cognizant of the receipt of a report from Gooranooth mentioning a Cattle theft there, and that an order was passed by him ordering the Village Police to endeavour to bring the thief to justice. It is not in evidence that the Head of Police was informed of the confession made by the Cullen,

tho

tho' I find it difficult to understand how he was kept ignorant of this fact.

17. It is proved also that the second report was received in the Talook Cutchery, that it had been opened and was mutilated.

18 The first Report bears date, in the hand writing of the Head of Police in Marhatta, 16th January, the day on which it was read before Him.

19. The heading of the second Report is broken off and so is the other end of the Report.

20. I find it difficult to doubt the truth of the statement made by Mullachee Counden that he made the Head of Police cognizant of the theft and remonstrated against the case being treated as a case of stray. It is admitted by the Head of Police that Mullachee Counden objected to pay 1 Rupee and prayed hard for a reduction in the amount of the fine. is it to be credited that he did not at the same time plead the injustice of his having to pay any fine at all.

He

he says he did so plead on the ground that his bull had not strayed but was stolen, and when he found it useless any longer to persist in his prayer, he said you may do as you like.

21 The Cullen was a notorious thief. tho' he has hitherto succeeded in eluding justice, he was caught at night not near his field but on the public road, with an animal which he admitted was not his own, and which he released as soon as he was caught. Such circumstances would naturally raise a primâ facie case against the man and would, it is supposed, have led the Police to endeavor, before releasing him, to ascertain who was the owner of the alleged stray. So far from displaying any precautions of this kind, the Head of Police immediately after receiving the Cullens deposition released him unconditionally on the grounds that no one had come forward to claim the animal and that there was no reason to question the truth of the Cullens Statement.

The

22 The Head of Police admits the case was not properly examined into, that he did not take the proper precautions and observe the rules issued for his guidance, but he explains that he was put off his guard by the Cutwalls report, that he did not at the time recollect any Cattle theft, that there was nothing to lead him to connect the theft reported by the Village Officers of Goorunooth with the discovery of this animal brought before him. He denies having been informed by Mullachee Counden of the theft or that Mullachee Counden remonstrated against the case being treated as a case of stray, he also declares he never saw the second Report, tho' he cannot account for its being found open and mutilated in his Office

23 There is no proof or charge of corruption and I see no reason to impute such to the Head of Police. He is naturally indolent and fond of ease and the careless and

and negligent way in which he performs his duty has often called for severe remarks both in private and officially from me.

24 With the straight forward evidence of Mullachee Counden and from all the circumstances of the case, I cannot entirely divest my mind of the idea that it was impossible for Donda Row, at the time he made his report of the 16th February, not to be aware that the animal referred to was not a stray, but had been stolen from Mullachee Counden, if he was not cognizant of this fact at the time he released the Cullen-

25 The general character of the Officers official conduct and dealings- his sending the second report as early as he could after its discovery and the tone and nature of his defence are much in his favor, and I am inclined therefore to adopt the more lenient view of the

case

case and to attribute the improper release of the Prisoner and the incorrect report to gross carelessness and neglect rather than to wilful misrepresentation such carelessness however as appears to me to merit in this officer severe punishment, the measure of which I have recommended above.

26. I beg leave to submit with this letter, the record of my enquiry into this case with an Abstract in English, together with a translate of the Reports, and of the Tahsildar's urzee and defence. Should you see reason to differ from the view taken by me of the measure of guilt chargeable against the Head of Police or of the punishment to be awarded I shall feel thankful to be favored with your instructions and wishes.

Dindigul — 13th March 1854.

/ Signed / T. Clarke
Joint Magistrate

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector

30-31

B. of R. No. 971.
Proceedings thereon

Under the circumstances stated in

in the foregoing letter and its accompaniment, the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Madura to suspend Donda Row, Head of Police of Tandicumboo for 6 Months.

No. 57

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

32

B. of R. No. 1040.
From the Collector of Madura.

From Secretary Board of Revenue 28th September 1854.

Sir,

With reference to the letter noted in the margin, I have the honor to annex Copy of a letter this day despatched to the Civil Auditor.

Madura — 30th March 1854.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

To R. L. Porter Esqre
Civil Auditor
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the annexed letter from the Secretary to the Board of

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

of Revenue dated 28th September 1837, I have the honor to report the death of the then Incumbent of the Office of Treasurer of my Cutcherry and to state that his successor will receive a salary of 100 Rupees per mensem only.-

Madura
March 1854

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

32-33

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded

No. 32.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

34
Bt. No. 288
From the Collector of Coimbatore

In reply to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th Instant, I beg to say that I propose fencing and watching the Trees more efficiently than I had the means of doing before, there was

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

was not then a Pensioner (Serjeant O'Brien) to look after them, nor fund adequate to allow of the strong fence now found absolutely necessary to keep off the Cattle, so numerous about Ootacamund.-

Conoor,
18th April 1854

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted to Government with the following letter.

No. 195

34-35

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Bt. No. 975
To the Chief Secretary to Government

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Coimbatore respecting the planting of trees on the Neilgherry Hills and recommending that sanction be granted for the expenditure of Rupees 1000.-

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the correspondence noted in the Margin, respecting the planting of trees on the Neilgherry Hills.

2. In the Orders of Government dated 20th June 1849, sanction was granted for the sum of Rupees 500 for the above object, but it appears that of the whole number then planted there are not more than 150 left, the remainder having been destroyed by frost, Cattle, and fire

× From Coimbatore 17th March in C. 10th April 54.
Pro: to " — 10th April 54.
Fr. " — 18th April 54 in C. 11th May 54.

In Cons: 28th June 1849

Fort St George 11th May 185

fice. The Collector is now desirous
making a further trial, and for this
purpose requests authority for the exp
diture of Rupees 1000.-

3 In reply to a requisition fr
the Board as to what measures
he would adopt for preserving
young trees it is intended to plan
the Collector states that he propose
to fence and watch them more efficient
than before, there being now a Pensioned
Serjeant to look after them, and the fun
solicited adequate to allow of a strong fe
to keep off the Cattle.

4 Under these circumstances
the Board recommend that sanctio
be granted for the expenditure of
the above mentioned sum of Rupe
/1,000/ One thousand.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
11th May 1854

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary
in Charge

T.D.G

Fort St George 11th May 1854.

No. 34

To
W. H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

36
Bd No. 1556.
From the Collector of Canara - - - -

On resuming charge of the district I find that your letter of the 6th Instant calls for immediate attention and addresses myself to reply to it.-

1 The nature of the Country in and adjoining to which the manufacture of Salt is carried on, the average annual produce of the District. The extent of land occupied by pans and the quantity that can be made on a given area of Land, also what additional land there is capable of being converted into pans supposing the manufacture not to be limited to particular localities.-

The Salt pans of the District are situated on the shores of the backwaters generally consisting of a mixture of alluvial mud and sand.-

The average produce amounts to 3,4.31 Garce or 4.11,720 Indian Maunds.-

The pans at Nigerbyle and Byndoor have been carefully measured and the area is found to be 238 acres. The produce of these amounts to 1,559 Garce; calculating from

Fort St. George 11th May 1854

fice. The Collector is now desirous of making a further trial, and for this purpose requests authority for the expenditure of Rupees 1000.-

3 In reply to a requisition from the Board as to what measures he would adopt for preserving the young trees it is intended to plant the Collector states that he proposes to fence and watch them more efficiently than before, there being now a Pensioned Serjeant to look after them, and the funds solicited adequate to allow of a strong fence to keep off the Cattle.

4 Under these circumstances the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the expenditure of the above mentioned sum of Rupees /1,000/ One thousand.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th May 1854

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary
in Charge

F.D.G

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

No. 34

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(36)

Bd. No. 1556.

From the Collector of Canara - - - -

On resuming charge of the district I find that your letter of the 6th Instant calls for immediate attention and addresses myself to reply to it.-

1 The nature of the Country in and adjoining to which the manufacture of Salt is carried on, the average annual produce of the District. The extent of land occupied by pans and the quantity that can be made on a given area of Land, also what additional land there is capable of being converted into pans supposing the manufacture not to be limited to particular localities.-

The Salt pans of the District are situated on the shores of the backwaters generally consisting of a mixture of alluvial mud and sand.-

The average produce amounts to 3,431 Garce or 4,11,720 Indian Maunds.-

The pans at Nigybyle and Byndoor have been carefully measured and the area is found to be 238 acres. The produce of these amounts to 1559 Garce; calculating from

*Letter dated 20th March 1854.

from this date the area of Salt pans of the district may be estimated at 61 acres.—

The portion of the pans is shown in the map submitted to the Board.

There is abundance of land in all parts of the district capable of producing Salt and on which Salt was produced before the introduction of the Monopoly. but generally speaking it improves in quality towards the north of the district. The amount of such land cannot be stated.—

2. The manufacturers who they are generally are, their rights and privileges and the arrangements and terms upon which they carry on the manufacture.—

The manufacturers are the ordinary labourers of the Country who manufacture the Salt on the same term as the cultivation of the land of the district is carried on, under the proprietors of the pans who have obtained the

°See Letter to Board of Revenue dated 10th November 1852.

the privileges of supplying the Government monopoly with a certain quantity of Salt. The salt is delivered at the Government stores and paid for at certain fixed rates ranging from 7 to 12 Rs.—

3. The extent of manufacture of earth Salt or of spontaneous or swamp Salt its selling prices and mode of manufacture.—

There is no earth Salt in the District. A great deal of swamp Salt is used in curing fish and the permission to use it for this purpose has given rise to a great deal of fraud. I think that this permission was an error and that if a Monopoly is maintained no infringements of it should be allowed.

The manufacture of earth salt in Mysore is very extensive and as it seriously influences the trade in Sea Salt. I beg to call the special attention of the Commissioner to

to it. I found that in some of the central Talookes of Mysore the whole body of the population consume earth Salt known to be of unwholesome quality because they cannot afford to purchase Sea Salt at its present prices. A reduction of prices and improved roads now in progress would, if operating conjointly, undoubtedly lead to a great extension of our Salt trade.

4th. The process of manufacture of Sea Salt, the places and mode of storage, and the method of keeping an account of the produce so as to secure the whole as being accounted for.—

The Sea Salt of this district is manufactured entirely by solar evaporation. The water is exposed first in a reservoir and afterwards in shallow pans of beaten earth to the Sun's rays and every evening the Salt which has crystalised is collected in

a wooden scoop and is heaped up at the common junction of four pans to drain and dry. It is then conveyed to the yard in front of the Government Stores and there heaped. When it is considered to be sufficiently dry it is measured into the Government Store houses. It is there stored generally in bins or divisions containing each ten Garcas. In the newest and improved Store houses these bins instead of as being temporary and made of bamboos and leaves are made of planks and on the post is a scale of feet and inches, the bin thus serving the purpose of a measure and aiding the Overseer in keeping a check on the Measurers.—

The

The whole arrange-
-ment depends on the vi-
-gilance and honesty of
the peons appointed to
guard the pans and see
that no Salt is remov-
on the activity of the overs-
and on the check afforded
by the accounts of the quan-
-tity which the pans have
generally yielded. That
these fail to prevent smu-
-gling is proved by the fa-
that the sales in the neig-
-bourhood of the pans fal-
off in proportion to the
advantages of the seasons
for the pro-duction of Salt

5- Whether Salt is ever actually sold by weight and on what occasions.—

Salt is never sold by weight.—

6- The mode of protecting the reve-
-nue.—

I have described also the mode of protecting the revenue. It consists in the endeavour made to ensure that all the Salt manufactured shall be brought

brought to the Government store houses. To this is to be added the Sea Customs esta-
-blishment and the establish-
-ment on the Goa frontier to prevent the importation where prohibited or to col-
-lect the duty upon it where the importation has been legalized.—

N:1 1st The entire agency Covenanted and un-
-covenanted and all Establishments Minis-
-terial, manufactur-
-ing and preventive employed in each District with a de-
-scription of the duties of each class of Officers—

A list of the Servants of the Salt department is appended. They may be divided into those employ-
-ed at the places of manu-
-facture and those employ-
-ed at the places of sale. They may be classed as Superintendents, Accountants, measurers and peons. The transport of the Salt from the place of manufacture to that of sale is effect-
-ed by contracts fixed by public competition.—

Smuggling

8 The extent of smuggling and the modes in which it is principally carried on with the grounds for believing it to be great or small.—

Smuggling is extensively carried on in the North of the district both across the Goa frontier and also by sea especially in the neighbourhood of Sedasheegur. This is proved by the fact that scarcely any salt is sold at the Government depôts at Sedasheegur a great deal of Salt is surreptitiously sold during the transport of the Salt to the sale depots and the deficiency accounted for as waste.

9. The mode in which and the persons through whom the internal consumption is supplied, the quantity of Salt indigenous and foreign consumed internally and the amount of Revenue derived from each kind, the purity or impurity of the Salt supplied

The inland Salt trade is carried on by the dealers of the upper Country who bring down grain and return with Salt. It is purchased by the dealers directly from the Government Cotays and is carried by them in a very pure state. It is one of the compensations for the mon-

-opolised by the dealers for internal consumption. The estimated consumption of Salt is per head per annum, and on what data it is framed.—

that the Salt is sold in a state of purity which will most probably be unknown if the trade passes into private hands.—

The quantity of Salt consumed in the district and exported inland is shewn below.—

	Average of 3 years.
Home Consumption Canara Salt	1561
Goa Salt imported	76
Inland Export Canara & Bombay Salt	2,155
Do Goa Salt on payment of frontier duty	335

Computing the consumption per head by dividing the home consumption by the population the result is 625½ T.olas or about 15½ lbs per head. This includes a very considerable quantity used in curing fishes for inland export which it is impossible to ascertain correctly.—

No

10- The mode in which the persons through whom and the arrange-ments under which Exportations by sea are carried on- The places to which the Exportations are made the quantity export-ed and the amount of revenue derived from the Export to each place, the quantity supplied to the French Govern-ment and the terms on which it is sup-plied.-

No Salt is exported from Canara by sea

+ No 2

11 The average wastage upon each Garce of Salt manu-factured in the district after it has been measured and carried to account.-

The wastage account of last year herewith sent. The ac-tual wastage upon a given amount of Salt manufactured and bought can only be estimated by taking a long period and supposing the amount in stock at the beginning and end of the period to be about the same

same ascertaining the dif-ference between the quan-tity purchased and that sold in the interval- This has been done and the account is appended. It shews the wastage to be 10 per Cent- This occurs 1st in the store, 2nd in the transport and 3rd in the sale store houses-

* No 3

The result above given is the average on all the Salt manufactured and bought.-

12 The average cost to Government of each Garce of Salt and the par-ticulars of the same, each items of charge with the amount being shewn distinctly.-

A Statement of the several items of the cost of Salt to Government is append-ed.-

Cost

Fort St George 11th May 1854

Statement

Cost for Goa and the whole quantity imported during working year.	On the Salt manufactured in Canara	On Bombay Salt
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Net purchase price	21 7 11	16 2 10¼
Berij on Salt pans	11 5 5	23 - 6
Fixed Establishment	- - -	2 4 9½
Sundry ward	4 1 10	- - -
Extra Establishment	4 2 8	- - -
Settlement and Boat freight	~ 1 5	3 5 2½
Repairs of Cotays &c	~ 9 1½	" 1 2
	~ - -	~ 7 9¾
	4 5 9	2 13 3
	~ - -	11 2
	13 5½	- - -
Total	21 2 7	25 7 8
		25 14 3
		25 12 4

Fort St George 11th May 1854

13 The cost at which it could be made were it left entirely to the people without any Government interference.- I believe that Salt could be manufactured for about 5 Rs per Garce if the manufacture were entirely free and that this is about the cost at which it is manufactured in the Goa territory.-

14- The names of the places where Salt is manufactured, the average extent of ground cultivated at each with the outturn and sale and the distances each set of pans is from the other.- Vide the Map.-

15 The places from which Salt is imported by Sea or by land the quantity imported and the amount of revenue derived from the imports from each place and the price paid by Government for Bombay Salt.- Salt is imported from Bombay for the use of the Monopoly and from Goa (by land only) on payment of duty. The frontier duty on Goa salt for the last 3 years has been as follows.-

Statement

6542 Fort St George 11th May 1854

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt imported from Goa and the duty collected thereon for Faslies 1260-61 & 62.

Names of Chowkies	Fasly 1260 Quantity of Salt in Bengal Maunds and the amount of duty.-			Fasly 1261			Fasly 1262		
	Mds	Rs	a p	Mds	Rs	a p	Mds	Rs	a p
Dokarpah	9,903	7,427	- -	9,217	6,913	- -	12395	9,296	- -
Koondal	3265	2449	- -	5,184	3,888	- -	5,094	3,821	- -
Dighy	12,040	9,030	- -	17,389	13,042	- -	22,319	16,739	- -
Kooveesy	11,160	8,371	- -	11,791	8,844	- -	24,189	18,144	- -
Thivey	3,397	2548	- -	693	519	- -	150	113	- -
Majelly	-	-	- -	-	-	- -	-	-	- -
Total	39765	29,825	- -	44,274	33,206	- -	64,147	48,113	- -

Fort St George 11th May 1854.

The following is a list of the Vessels on which Bombay Salt has been shaded during the past four years.-

	Chowkies Names
Fasly 1259	Koondal 2
	Dighy 20
	Kooveesy 15
1260	" 19
1261	" 22
1262	" 24
1263	" 21

The following vessels above alluded to have been duped on more fully in my Letters of the 30th September and 3rd October 1851 and 10th November 1852:-

Sloop to add that it has not been usual Surveyor called once to find that a complaint of the Inhabitants on the import of shallow Bombay Salt from Bombay has not been the intention of the Custom of Madras Private Traders of Salt from either Presidency the Duty is levied on the Quantity of Salt shaded during the past four years.

Although that the fact above stated proves that on the coast the Monopoly is considered almost as objectionable as that private trader

Fort St George 11th May 1854

trade could be carried on. I had a thought that the difference between Rupees 90 the duty on a Garce of Salt and 120 the Government selling price was sufficient to leave room for a large profit, but it is evidently not very large or private parties would have hastened to import on their own account.—

But since the evil of the Monopoly is great in as much it enhances the cost and limits the sphere of the home manufacture. The salt sold in the South of the district is burdened with the cost of transport from the North when it could probably be

Fort St George 11th May 1854

be made close to the place of sale, and we have the example of Bombay in favor of a system of excise.—

Collector's Cutcherry,
on Circuit, Agoomba,
1st May 1854.

/Signed/ F. N. Matthy
Collector.

Sd

0540

Part 1st. George IIIrd. May 1852.

No. 1

List of Servants employed in the Salt Department in the Zillah of Canara.

Talook	Tannahs	Mootuddies and Monigars or Superintendents	Monthly Pay	Sheristadars and Coomastahs or accountants	Monthly Pay	Shroffs	Monthly Pay	Duffadars and Peons	Monthly Pay	Measurers	Monthly Pay	Total Establishment	Monthly Pay	Remarks shewing the duties performed by each class of servants
		No.	Rs. a	No.	Rs. a	No.	Rs. a	No.	Rs. a	No.	Rs. a	No.	Rs. a	
Honore	Collectors Office	"	"	"	"	2	40 8	"	"	"	"	2	40 8	These servants are employed in examining the accounts of the manufacture and sales of salt received from the Talookdars and preparing the periodical accounts kept in the Collectors Office and such as are furnished to the Presidency.
Manufacturing Places.														
Cundapoor	Amgaly	1	24 8	2	19 4	1	7 "	7	28 "	2	9 "	13	87 12	In these Stations Salt is both manufactured and sold.
	Woderhobly	1	14 "	1	8 12	"	" "	3	12 "	2	9 "	7	43 12	The Mootuddies and Monigars superintend the manufacture distribution and sales and keep a general control in their respective divisions in guarding against smuggling and protecting the revenues.
	Harnaad	1	14 "	2	14 "	"	" "	8	32 "	2	9 "	13	69 "	
	Byndoor	1	14 "	3	18 "	"	" "	10	40 "	2	9 "	16	81 "	
Honore	Coomta	1	21 "	2	16 8	1	7 "	8	32 "	3	13 8	15	90 "	The 2d Class of servants (Sheristadars and Coomastahs) are employed in keeping the prescribed accounts of manufacture and sales and assisting the Superintendents in their general duties.
	Shirally Buttkul	1	14 "	2	14 "	"	" "	8	32	3	13 8	14	73 8	
	Byloor	"	"	1	7 "	"	" "	3	12 "	1	4 8	5	23 8	Shroffs are employed for examining the money received on the sale of Salt where the Collections are in any way considerable.
Ankola	Nagurbyle or Sanchulty	1	40 "	4	59 "	1	7 "	21	88 "	5	25 "	32	219 "	The Peons are employed in watching the Salt manufactured and stored in guarding against smuggling and in aiding in the protection of the Monopoly. The measurers besides attending to their duties of measuring Salt
		7	141 8	17	156 8	3	21 "	68	276 "	20	92 8	115	687 8	

| | | |

Stock Exchange 11th January 1854

Statement showing the Wantage investments on deposit in the District of Canada for Study 1852-1853

Names of Depositors.	Amount of Deposit.	Interest allowed.	Remarks.
Thomas Allen Esqr Montreal	1000	6 per Cent	Interest allowed on Deposits from 1st January 1852

| | | |

Fort St. George 11th May 1852.

| | | |

65.19

Joint Stock Company 1854.

| | | |

Poole Pt. Peerage 11th. May 1852.

Jno. Stephens Esq. Surveyor

Jno. Stephens Esq. Surveyor

Jno. Stephens Esq. Surveyor

| | | |

Thornbridge Leonore 11 th January 1854

| | | |

6547

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

				Quantity Shipped for Transportation			Quantity delivered					
				In^2 W^ts	S	T	In^2 W^ts	S	T			
Mangalore	Mangalore	1st	1262	33180	30085	8	30941	32	9 6/16	5	1659	
		2d	1262	14730	13279	32	11450	8	9 11/16		7362	20
	Mooltky Bajnad	2d	1262	7500	6951	12	6068	28	8 1/16		378	
Bekul	Manjeshwar	1st	1262	8250	7580	28	6991	2	8 7/16		414	
	Coombla	1st	1262	7200	6759	12	4402	8	8 2/16		360	
	Cafsergode	1st	1262	7200	6747	36	4524		8 3/16		360	
	Neelishwar	1st	1262	4800	4399	32	4008		8 6/16	7 1/2	360	
Buntwal	Bambay Salt	1st	1262	2132628	2108532	240	36		1 2/16	1	212	28
	Ditto Ditto	2d	1262	4356	8	4308624	477	24	1 2/16	1	435	24
	Home Ditto	3d	1262	9640	8762	16	777	24	8 1/16	6	572	16
	Home Ditto	1st	1262	8760	8011	20	825	20	7 12/16	6	518	16
	Ditto	5th	1262	5720	5131	16	588	24	8 12/16		403	8
	Bombay Salt		1262	3806628	3768828		378		1	1	380	28
	Home Ditto	1st	1262	6280	5789	4	690	36	10 11/16	6	388	32
	Bombay do	2d	1262	12150	36	12000			1 4/16	1	121	20
Poodipy	Podeawer	1st	1262	6000	5349		651		10 12/16	5	300	
	Caup	2d	1262	6000	5448		552		9 4/16		300	
Barcoore	Mahbokul	1st	1262	6000	5457	36	542	4	9		300	
		1st	1262	2580	2403	32	520	8	12 9/16	7 1/2	342	
		2d	1262	276	260	4	15	36	6		20	28
	Bajeh	3d	1262	13041	28	11482	20	1558	8	11 15/16		977	28
		4th	1262	10203	12	9112	8	1091	4	10 11/16		765	24

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

| | | |

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

Items	Quantity Stored	Quantity Sold.	Wastage Amount of Wastage	Wastage Per Centage	Per Centage of the wastage allowable for sale of Salt stored by the Collectors and Deputies as per Board's Proceedings dated 19th May 1851	Wastage allowable:— Amount of wastage according to this per Centage	Quantity measured by Mercals of larger Capacity authorized by orders of Board dated 19th May 1851	Difference or loss on allowable on this quantity at 4 per Cent	Total Columns 7 and 9.	Value of Salt lost Cols 7 and 9 At the average purchase Prices	At the average selling Monopoly Prices	Explanation of the Cause of wastage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Ind. Md.	S. T.	Ind. Md.	S. T.	Ind. Md.	S. T.	Ind. Md.	S. T.	Ind. Md.	S. T.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	
Abstract.														
Wastage on Sales of Salt		507604	4 65	49213 5	1830	16468	26 38	3 1/16		13905	13 4½	44215 32	17 68	17 15 150
Ditto - on Salt cleared for transportation	Cleared	3702929	40	321898 20	"	48394	9 40	13 1/16	"	18512	25 15	20943 24	" 837	1860 19350
Ditto on the delivery of ditto into the several Depots	Shipped: Delivered	433261	4 "	41091 0	" "	22254	4 "	5 3/16	"	15060	27 "	" "	" "	15060 27
Lost by Ship wreck		2310	" "	" "	" "	2310	" "	100	"	"	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total		13135703	8 25	12249433	8 30	8862639	75	6 3/4	"	47478	25 62½	65159 16	" 2605	35 75 50084 21 57½

1st May 1854.

[illegible]

† Italy
1260 @ 12-13-12
1261 @ 13-8-9 3/16
1262 @ 13-15-8 1/2

10017 8 9 8827 " "

(Signed) C. F. Chamier
Head Assistant Collector
No. 3

6548.

Fort St. George 11th May 1854

No. 3

Statement shewing the Proportion of Salt Mustage on the Canara District upon an average of 10 years.

Years.	Quantity of Bombay and Goa Salt purchased upon which Mustage was levied.	Mustage thereon.	Total Bombay Goa & Canara Salt purchased = Candies.	Total Mustage = Rupees.
	Candies.	Rupees.		
1250	1049	25	1088	115
1253	1520	31	3424	325
1254	230	10	2105	209
1254½	1405	33	3057	389
1255	754	18	612	36½
1257	2869	65	1728	345
1258	2258	50	3364	247
1259	2165	52	3408	177
1260	715	18	3319	333
1261	998	25	3380	151
Total	14438	350	26310	3391
Average	1443	35	2631 0	340
Average per Cent	"	2½	"	13

1st May 1854.

(Signed) C. F. Chamier
Sub. Dy. Commr. &c.

6549

Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

36.37

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.-

No. 35.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

38

B°. N°. 1548.

From the Collector of Canara ...

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 13th. April, I have the honor to state that I have no reason to believe that any attempts have been made to levy contributions in this district under the pretences alluded to in these proceedings - after a visit lately paid by Mr. Danby Seymour accompanied by some Members of the Native Association, I enquired whether any subscriptions or contributions had been raised by them - and was told that one had been raised - and was told that one had been raised here.-

Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit,
Agoombah, 3d May,
1854.

(Signed) F. A. Maltby
Collector

38-39

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.-

To

No. 64.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

From the Acting Collr of Madras.....

With reference to Para 2 of the Extract Minutes Consultation dated 23d. Ultimo communicated with the Board's Proceedings dated 6th. Instant, I have the honor to explain that I did not understand any report from me to be called for, as the Governor in Council had already, as it seemed to me, after quoting the Board's suggestions which referred exclusively to the allowance from the Abkarry Department, disposed of the Question in the following words.-

"But as respects the Abkarry allowances he does not consider that the management of any portion of this branch of revenue could properly be entrusted to the Pagodas."

And in communicating these orders the Board required merely a report on the condition of the Choultries.

2. But on now considering the matter I do not see any other arrangement that I could recommend as likely to obviate the evil referred to

.40

Bd. No. 1137.

Bd. Pro: 6th June 1853. Para 13.

to either as regards the payment from the Quit Rent, or from the Abkarry Revenue. Both payments are now made at a fixed annual sum.- Government considers itself pledged to continue them. As regards the principle involved it seems to make little difference whether they be paid as at present thro' this Office, or direct by the Holders of land in one case, and the Abkarry Contractors in the other. There being this additional objection in the latter case, that the shops being let separately, the apportionment of the payments to the Pagoda from individuals would be attended with considerable inconvenience.-

3. The orders of Government regarding the Choultries will I presume be communicated to the Collector of Chingleput by the Board direct.-

Madras.....
10th April 1854.

(Signed) H Stokes.
Acting Collector.

Bd. No. 981.

Proceedings thereon.- The Board observe that with reference to para: 1 of the foregoing letter that the suggestion in para: 13 of their Proceedings dated 6th June 1853 referred to the allowances of the Pagodas both

40.41

both from the Abkarry and Quit rent Departments, and not to that from the former Department exclusively, as may be further seen from para 5 of the order of Government dated 26th July 1853.

2. The object of the requisition to the Acting Collector was to ascertain, whether means could not be devised for relieving the Officers of Government from the necessity of collecting the Pagoda dues from the Quit payers by allowing the Trustees to employ their own agency for the purpose.-

3. The Acting Collector will be pleased to communicate the orders of Government, relative to the Gollan and Streeharicottah Choultries, to the Collector of Chingleput, as the correspondence on the subject has passed thro' his Department.-

× 23d March
inform: 6th April 54

No. 68.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to the orders of Government communicated with Extract from

.42
Bd. No. 1236
From the Acting Coll. of Madras
× 23d March 1854

from the Board's Proceedings dated 10th instant to submit the accompanying draft of a Notification to be published, if approved by the Board, in English and Tamil, in the Official Gazette.-

2. The Board will observe that I have used the term "new Form Certificate" instead of Grants and Certificates, because the same form of instrument is now used whether the extent of land be less than one Cawney or otherwise, and the distinction between Grants and Certificates being thus obsolete.-

Madras... } [Signed] H. Stokes.
18th April 1854 } Acting Collector.

/

Notification

The following revised scale of Fees now in force in this Office under the Sanction of Government dated the 23d March 1854 is published for general information.-

On the issue of each new Form Certificate	Rs a p 3-8-0
For the registry of transfers, Mortgages or Title deed	2-0-0
For each measurement	1-0-0

By Order of Government
[Signed] H. Stokes.
Actg Regr of Govt Grants

Madras... }
11th May 1854 }

No

Note

It is requested that the Board will be pleased to obtain the Tamil Translation from the Translator to Government

(Signed) H Stokes
Actg Collector

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in consequence.-

(42-43)

Bd. No. 974

To the Superintendent of Fort St. George Gazette Press

Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue requests that the Superintendent of the Fort St. George Gazette Press, will insert the accompanying Advertisement with its translation, in the next issue of the Gazette - and two or three succeeding issues.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th May 1854

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge

---

(44)

Bd. No. 1340

From the Acting Collector of Madras

No. 77.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

the receipt of the Extract from the Boards' Proceedings dated the 20th Instant, communicating the orders of Government which confirm the sale of a piece of Government land to O. Narrainsawmy chetty, and call for further explanation regarding that for which Mr. Corbett of Morrison and Co. has bid 200 Rupees.-

Dated 6th April 1854

The land referred to lying in juxtaposition with Mr. Morrison's premises is not capable of being built upon by other parties and as it is not in a part of the Street where Shops are want to be kept, or Goods exposed for sale - it has not hitherto yielded

2.

6556 Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

yielded Ground rent. The Government can decline to confirm the purchase and allow other competitors to come forward if they are to be found

Madras,
25th April 1854. } (Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

B. of R. No. 988. No. 197.

Proceedings thereon.— Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government, with reference to para 2 of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 8th April 1854.—

TDG

To

6557 Fort St. George 11th May 1854.

To,
W. H. Bayley
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

46
B. of R. No. 1279.
From the Actg Register to the Court of Sudder Udalut } Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Sudder Udalut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th ultimo, and to transmit the accompanying Copy of an English translation of the Answer of the Pundits of the Court to the question referred in the correspondence which accompanied your letter.—

Sudder Udalut
Register's Office
20th April, 1854. } (Signed) A. J. Arbuthnot
Acting Registrar.—

No. 1.

Question to the Pundits of the Court of Sudder Udalut.—

What is the state of the Law in respect of the succession of females to landed property, both generally and as respects the Naidoo caste?

22nd March 1854.—

Answer of the Pundits.

1.— Under the provisions of the Hindoo Law

Law books, entitled "Vignanaswareyum" &c, the property of the person, who having separated from his coheirs and not subsequently re-united with them, dies having no male issue, devolves first upon his widow, and then upon his daughters. If the latter leave no sons surviving them, the said property would then go to his mother unless after her, there be the other heirs, specified up to his brother's sons*, the property in question would go to his paternal grand mother.

2. A female has right also to any real property which might have been given to her by her husband thro' affection. daughters are entitled to succeed to their mother's property tho' she has sons. Females are likewise entitled to the property given to them as Dowry at their nuptials. This rule extends to females of all classes. There is no special provision as respects the females

* Viz. the deceased's father brother, or brother's sons.

females of the Naidoo caste.

27th March 1854

(Signed) Appanna Sastry.
Senior Pundit S. M.
(") C. Gopaula Sastry
Junior Pundit S. M.

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. I. Arbuthnot.
Acting Register.

No. 196

(46-47)

B. No. 989.

Proceedings thereon.
Copy to Govt. and Bellary
Copy of the Pundits' Opinion circulated to all Districts and to Commr. &c. Circars

Orders 29th Sept. 1853

Resolved that a copy of the above Opinion of the Pundits be circulated to all Collectors, for their information, and to the Collector of Bellary with reference to the order of Government in case No. 2 of the tabular report of lapsed Enams in his District, dated 21st July 1853. Mr. Petty will observe, that under the foregoing exposition of the law, Lutchmee Naranamah and her elder Sister are entitled to succeed to the lapsed Enam as the legal heirs, but as the latter has waived her claim, the Enam might be registered in the name of the former only.

Resolved also that a copy of the Pundits' opinion and of these Proceedings be submitted for the information of Government with reference

reference to their order's dated 11th February 1851 in case No. 2 of the Tabular report of lapsed Enams abovementioned.—

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

(48)
B. No. 967.
To the Collector of Bellary.....

To,
The Collector of
Bellary

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to expedite the despatch of your reply to their Proceedings of 20th May 1850, regarding the proportion to be borne by Enamdars in the expences to be incurred in repairing the Singananallay Tank.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
11th May 1854.....

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary in charge.

---

(49)
B. No. 978.
To the Collector of Cuddapah—

To,
The Collector of
Cuddapah

Sir,

In transmitting the enclosed Petition No. 891 of 1854 from V. Vencanmah, Curnum Meerasidar of Madanapully Talook, complaining of the resumption of her

her late husband's Enam lands, I am desired by the Board of Revenue to request, that you will be pleased to submit, without further delay, the report called for in their endorsements on Petitions Nos. 1743 and 2073 of 1853.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
11th May 1854.....

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary in charge.

---

(50)
B. No. 977.
To the Collector of Malabar...
Nos. 858 and 930 of 1854

To,
The Collector of
Malabar.

Sir,

I am desired to forward the Petitions, noted in the Margin, for your disposal—No. 930 being apparently numerously and respectably signed, the Board request you will take measures, that the community be not put to further inconvenience by the Abkarry Renter keeping an insufficient supply of European spirits on retail

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
11th May 1854.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge.

Official

51

Bd. No. 982.

Official Memorandum to the Acg. Collector of Madras.

Official Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request, that the Acting Collector of Madras will be good enough to send for inspection a bundle of 1st sort Stamp Paper bearing the water mark 1857.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
11th May 1854.

(Signed) B. Cuntliffe.
Sub. Secretary in charge

Read the undermentioned Extracts.-

52

Bd. No. 1400.

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 21st April 1854.

Reporting the view of the Collector of Masulipatam of the cases decided under Regulation IX 1822 by his late Special Assistant Mr. C. Bird, and brought before himself in Appeal under Regulation VII of 1828.-

53

Bd. No. 1453.

From the Secy. to Government
Dated 22d April 1854.

Confirming the decision of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars relative to the dismissal from office of C. Narrasinga late Head Sheristadar of the District of Rajamundry, and informing Petitioner that Government will

will not interfere in his case.-

54

Bd. No. 1355.

From the Secy. to Govt.
Dated 24th April 1854.

Resolving that the profits accruing from the disposal of the Village of Rajum and the Misne remain in abeyance pending the decision of the Suit, to be instituted by the Zemindar of Jurlah for the recovery of the two Villages Rettipad and Comberigar.-

---

55

Bd. No. 1424.

From the Secy. to Govt.
Dated 27th April 1854.

Approving the course suggested by the Commissioner for the Northern Circars relative to the taxes treated of in the Report of 27th March 1854, and demanded by the Zemindar of Pratapagherry from his tenants, and desiring that that course be carried out.-

---

56

Bd. No. 1457.

From the Secy. to Govt.
Dated 27th April 1854.

Recording observations and orders on the report of the Commissioner Northern Circars respecting the condition of the English and Native Records in the Districts under his supervision.-

52-57

Order on the abovementioned 5 Extracts.- Ordered to be recorded.-

---

Bd. No. 1545.

From the Collector of North Arcot.

58

Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the month of April last.-

Ordered.

58-59
Order thereon.— Ordered to be lodged in the office

Read the undermentioned letters and Statements.—

60
Bd. No. 1404.
From the Actg. Collector of Ganjam Stating that the return of Bullion and Specie imported and exported were not forwarded for the month of March last.—

61
Bd. No. 1392.
From the Collr. of Vizagapatam.— Reporting that the Bullion and Specie Returns were not forwarded for the month of March last.—

62
Bd. No. 1432.
From the Collr. of Masulipatam. Stating that no Import or Export of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie has occurred during the months of March last.—

63
Bd. No. 1552.
From the Collr. of Nellore.— Stating that there were no Exports of Bullion or Specie by Sea in the month of April last.—

64
Bd. No. 1551
From the Collr. of Nellore.— Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie imported by Sea in the month of April last.—

65
Bd. No. 1366
From the Collr. of Canara } Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie exported by Sea in the month of March last.—

From

66
Bd. No. 1368.
From the Collector of Canara— Statement of the value of Gold and Silver Bullion and Specie imported by Sea in the month of March last.—

60-67
Order on the abovd. } letters and Statements } Ordered to lie over.—

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

68
Bd. No. 1529.
From the Collr. of Rajahmundry— Report shewing the quantity of Paddy, Rice and other principal kinds of Grain exported at the Ports of his District for the Week ending 29th April 1854.—

69
Bd. No. 1530
From the Collr. of Rajahmundry Report shewing the quantity of Paddy, Rice and other principal kinds of Grain imported at the Ports of his District for the Week ending 29th April 1854.—

70
Bd. No. 1536.
From the Actg. Collr. of Guntoor— Report shewing the quantity of Paddy, Rice and other principal kinds of Grain exported by sea from his District for the Week ending 30th April 1854.—

71
Bd. No. 1537
From the Actg. Collr. of Guntoor— Report shewing the quantity of Paddy, Rice and other principal kinds of Grain imported by sea from his District for the Week ending 30th April last.—

From

72
Bd. No. 1555.
From the Collr. of South Arcot.— Statement of Grain exported from the Sea Ports of his District for the Week ending from 30th April to 6th May 1854.—

73
Bd. No. 1553
From the Collr. of Malabar.— Statement of Grain and Pulse exported from the Ports of his District for the Week ending 29th April 1854.—

74
Bd. No. 1554
From the Collr. of Malabar.— Return of Grain and Pulse imported at the Ports of his District for the Week ending 29th April 1854.—

68-75
Order on the 7 abovementioned Statements.— Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Read the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

76
Ms. No. 668.
From the Collr. of Masulipatam.— Salt and money Account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.—

77
Ms. No. 665.
From the Collr. of Madura.— Salt Account current for the Quarter ending 31st March last

78
Ms. No. 669
From the Acting Collector of Chingleput... Stating that certain Returns

Returns for the Quarter ending 31st March 1854 were not forwarded.—

79
Ms. No. 668.
From the Asst. Collr. of Chingleput.— Return of Fines imposed and Stoppages made from the Pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.—

80
Ms. No. 881.
From the Collr. of Nellore.— Reporting that no persons were tried or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.—

81
Ms. No. 883
From the Collr. of Salem.— Stating that no persons were confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the months of February and March last.—

82
Ms. No. 862.
From the Collr. of North Arcot... Return of Villages Officers suspended and dismissed from employment under Regulation VII of 1831 for the month of March last.—

83
Ms. No. 880.
From the Collr. of Nellore.— Stating that there were no suspension or dismissal of Persons under Regulation VII of 1831 for the month of April last.—

84
Ms. No. 887
From the Collr. of Nellore... Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent for 1854/55 at his Ports up to 30th April last.—

From

Fort St. George 11th May 1854

85
Mr. No. 672

From the Asst. Coll.r of Ganjam Return of the wholesale Prices of Grain for the Week ending 24th April last.-

86
Mr. No. 673

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.- Return of the wholesale Prices of Grain for the Week ending 1st May 1854.-

87
Mr. No. 670

From the Asst. Coll.r of Guntoor- Return of the wholesale Prices of Grain for the Week ending 30th April last.-

88
Mr. No. 674

From the Asst. Coll.r of Chingleput.- Return exhibiting the Prices of Grain for the Week ending 13th April last.-

Comp.d R. H. Lyons.

" J. Goldingham

" W. H. Bayley

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.-

A Diary

At a Meeting Present.-

Monday 15th May 1854.

J. Goldingham Esq.re Second Member.

and

W. H. Bayley Esq.re Officiating Member

Walter Elliot Esq.re Senior Member Absent on duty as commissioner Northern Circar.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

Read the following Papers.-

No. 467.

1

B. No. 1300

From the Sec.y to Government

Communicating a letter from the Advocate General respecting the sale of lands within the local limits of Madras and the propriety of passing an Act authorizing their sale.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 21st April, 1854.-

Read the following letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir. With reference to the Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d December 1853, and the Extract Minutes of Consultation under

under date 11th February 1854 and the correspondence (as per margin) forwarded to me therewith, and from Mr. Stokes the Acting Collector of Madras, I have the honor to submit the following observations for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue 27th October 1853 paras 6 to 9
Dr. Letter from acting Collector of Madras to Secy to Revenue Board Office 2d September 1853 Paras 8 to 14.—
Letter from Dr. to Dr. 3d September 1853
Extract letter from Mr. C. to Lt. Col. to Secretary to Government 26 August 1808.
Letter Dr. No. 76 from D. Elliot Secretary Board of Revenue to Chief Secretary to Government 28th Feby 1828.
Form of grant in use from 1808 to 1822 Form of Certificate in use from 1808 to 1822 Letter from W. Oliver Secretary Board of Revenue to Register of Government Grants 30th March 1812.
Dr. from J. W. Ellis Collector of Madras to Secretary Revenue Board 30th April 1812.
Dr. from W. Oliver Secy Revenue Board. To Collector Madras 7th May 1812 (and) Extract Minutes of Consultation 8th September 1808 accompanying Dr. from Coll. Murray to Revenue Board 26th October 28.
From R. Clerk Secretary Revenue Board to Collector Murray 26th October 1820.—
From Collector Murray to Revenue Board 9th November 1820 from Rev. Board to Collector Murray 6th Nov. 20 from Collector Murray to Rev. Board 1st November 1825.
From Secretary Revenue Board to Coll. Murray 3d November 1825
From Collector Murray to Rev. Board 8th November 1825 From Rev. Board to Collector Murray 10th November—
From Collector Murray to Revenue Board 21st November 1825—

Mr. H. Stokes Acting Collector of Madras Commutation No. 23 dated 13th September 1853.—

Mr. H. Stokes Acting Collector of Madras Commutation No. 2 dated 11th February 1854.—

From Acting Collector 23rd February 1854.—

2 I quite concur with the Board of Revenue that land within the local limits of Madras is not saleable under the provisions of Act XII of 1851 for arrears of Revenue or Quit Rent.

3 As to the regard to the papering

the sale of land so situated for arrears of Revenue. I am not aware of any obstacle to it, if accompanied with due provisions for protecting the interests of absent proprietors, other than the indisposition to pass any Legislative Enactments not shown to be absolutely and imperatively required.—

4 If the course ordinarily pursued in England in similar matters had been adopted here, namely to refer to the Law officers of Government, which in practice here, in 9 cases out of 10 would mean to the Honorable Company's Solicitor alone for the interposition of the Advocate General would very rarely be required, all parties applying for a grant, Certificate or any Instrument of Title from Government, the difficulties experienced by the Collector of Madras in realizing the Land Revenue would not have occurred. There would have been no expence to Government,

the

Fort St. George, 15th May 1854.—

the Revenue authorities would so have been relieved from much trouble, and both they and the Government itself from a very serious responsibility, and the expense to each applicant would have been small in itself, and very small indeed compared with the security it would afford to purchasers. The Natives, at least the upper classes, appear to me more sensible than formerly of the value and necessity of regular conveyance. There might have been a few cases one where investigation of title was necessary; another when such investigation was not required, and taking one case with another, the easy with the difficult, a moderate fee would have sufficed especially in the latter class of cases. The simplicity and facility of such a course, the fact that it is the course pursued in England in similar matters, and that if adapted here the proposed Act would not have been requisite, appear to me the only objects

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

proposing or passing a Legislative act having due regard to the interests of absent proprietors, authorizing the sale of land in Madras for arrears of Land Revenue or Quit Rent.—

5 With regard to the old form of grant marked E sent to me as that in use from 1808 to 1828 it is quite clear that the Honorable Company under that form on regular demand of the Quit Rent due had an indisputable right of re-entry; and that, after such re-entry, the ground might be sold for the Company.—

6 It is equally clear that in the forms A and B forwarded by Mr. D. Elliot secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government along with his letter dated 28th February 1828, as prepared by my Predecessor, the words contained in the old form which gave the Honorable Company the right of re-entry have been altogether omitted and the only power they give

gene/ as a remedy in case of non
=payment of Land Rent/ is that of
entry for the purpose of distraint,
and of carrying away and if necessa
selling the distress.—

7 The old form of grant appe
is me to have been a very proper and
well drawn form, if not for all cas
certainly for all where a party in
posession of the ground could show
no title to it (and was willing to
receive a grant of it from the Honorable
Company - Whether the Honorable
Company as Trustees for the Crow
are or are not Lords Paramount of
the soil is a point which I believe
has never been formally decided; bu
the opposite opinions exist upon it; bu
at least no where decided that the
Honorable Company have not such
a right, and it is at least desirable
that no unnecessary difficulti
should be created by their
own acts, or rather those of
their authorized officers.

8 I cannot therefore concur
in the opinion which from the letter
already quoted, both my predecessor
and the Board of Revenue seem to
have arrived at; viz: that the old
form of grant was "extremely
objectionable" and I think that
whatever form is used ought to
contain not only a power to
enter, distrain, remove and sell
the distress, according to the law of
distress as the same prevails in England
which last words it will be prudent
to add as there is no law of distress
in this Country except under special
enactments/ but also a power to
enter upon the land x have possess and
enjoy it under which, after entry
/having previously made demand/
it will be competent to the Collector
to sell the land. I learn from the
Honorable Company's Solicitor that
such a clause has been inserted by
him in the Leases prepared by him
for the lands in the Hill districts.

x Secretary of Revenue Board to Chief Secretary to Government 28th February 1828. Para 4.—

9 Whatever the merits or demerits
of the old form of grant, there can be

no

no doubt that the practice of the Collectors
office in the spirit of it as stated in the
above quoted letter from the Secretary of
Revenue Board, was extremely objectionable
+ Para 3. It appears + that every [illegible] applicant was required to surrender the
title deeds by which he obtained and
holds possession of his ground, and to
consent to their being cancelled. Now
such a practice could have originated or
people could have been so ill advised as
submit to a requisition so illegal or
more to consent to the extinction
good titles and of all the evidence thereof in
order to obtain a questionable title is
quite surprising.—

10 While upon this point, it will
I trust be deemed superfluous or intrusive
my part to bring under the notice of the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council a somewhat similar
and present matter which has been recalled to
recollection by this old practice so emphatically
condemned by my Predecessor and the Board of
Revenue of requiring people to surrender their
title Deeds.—

11 [illegible]

Fort St. George Gazette of the 10th Instant
from the Acting Collector "that all
"parties in the Saint Thomé division
"holding grants or certificates should
"be prepared to produce them when required
"for the inspection of the officer authorized
by the Acting Collector in that behalf"
The next para merely requests that access
may be allowed the Surveyors. I know not
whether it was intentionally that the word
"required" was used in the former para, and
the word "requested" substituted in the latter,
but if so, in-as-much as the Collector has no
power whatever legally to "require" any thing
of the Kind nor to authorize any officer to
inspect any man's Title Deeds, it is to be
hoped he will at least not take any
steps to enforce compliance. I have heard
my predecessor say that he believed there
was no such thing as a perfect title in Madras
and to expose a defective Title to any
"inspection" is a ticklish matter. Courts
of Justice are most careful not to let
any parties use their process whether
by what are called "fishing Bills" or
otherwise, to obtain inspection of any
document connected with Title.—

Para

12. Paras 5 and 6 of the Letter from the Secretary of the Revenue Board set forth the steps which my predecessor is said to have advised that the Collector should take previous to issuing Grants in the forms A and B, the former in the "case of an original purchase from Proprietors", the latter in the "case of purchase or transfer from an intermediate holder".

13 The Collector is, in the first case para 5, to "ascertain the tenure under "which the Village is now holden, that the "persons professing to sell have authority "to do, and that all the persons really entitled "and each of them have and has joined in "the transfer" and then he is to report that these points have been satisfactorily obtained.

14 In the second case the applicant is to be "required to produce a "regular conveyance shewing distinctly "whence the Original title to it was derived "and how it has descended to him, and "to exhibit the several Documents of "Title referred to therein" and no grant is to issue until the Collector reports "these Documents

"examined and approved as constituting a clear and complete title".

15. After such report, he on behalf of Government is to issue a grant A or B, which both declare that the Honorable Company "for and in "consideration of the Premises and of "the covenant here-in after mentioned "have ratified and confirmed and "do hereby ratify and confirm the "said sale and transfer to the said of "the right title and interest of the "said-of in and to the said Premises".

16 All this appears to me open to very serious objection. Firstly it is altogether unnecessary, and imposes on the Collector, needlessly the duty of investigating and deciding questions of great legal intricacy and difficulty, those of title are proverbially so and of reporting that he has ascertained that, which in nine cases out of ten probably does not exist and which if it did exist, it is hardly possible he could be competent to pronounce upon

6580. Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

upon - Secondly it is needless to point out the inconvenience which would ensue to Government through their officers in matters which it would seem to be especially their interest to steer clear of, and avoid being mixed up with exacting on through their Civil Courts of Justice - Their only interest in the matter is to have their Just Rights and to guarantee the purchaser against any demand or molestation on their part so long as he pays it - Why should they "ratify (and confirm" to him his dealings with third parties strange to them? Thirdly and lastly there is a very nice question whether a "ratification and confirmation" by Government of the conveyance might especially when coming after such an investigation and report by the Collector would not be held to amount to an "insurance" on the part of Government such as would make Government liable to the purchaser in case it turned out that the "Vendors had no title to the land Granted by them"

6581. Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

17. As regards the new forms C and D, accompanying the letter of the 28th February 1828, C being an acknowledgment by the applicants and D a certificate, it seems to me that C with the addition of a consideration or if shewn to be connected with D and executed at the same time would be a proper form and would have the advantage of being capable of being enforced at law, without the necessity of resorting to equity - As it stands however it occurs to me that there might be some difficulty in bringing an action on C or justifying under it. I would here advert generally to the great objection there is to ever departing from the settled and established forms of conveyances or other instruments adopted to establish ed and settled forms of Pleading. A Lease and Counterpart for instance is familiar to every lawyer, and no difficulty can occur in enforcing its provisions or justifying under them, but to do either with amateur conveyances necessarily partakes some-what of the nature of an experiment - As regards D, I do not perceive that it has any advantage over the old form sent to me, marked E as in use from 1808

to

to 1828. On the whole I prefer the old form

18. The 8th para: of the Letter of 28 February 1828, sets forth the objections (but whether my predecessors or the Revenue Board does not clearly appear) to the old form of Certificate in terms which almost lead me to conclude that these objections refer to some other form of Certificate and not to that now before me - It is said of the old Form: - "spoken of in that para: the Collector testifies "under his seal and signature to the truth of the "matter alleged therein regarding the title, of "whose name it runs and his possession of the "property mentioned in it and warrants the "whole to be correct" If the form spoken of did this, it was very objectionable, but the Form F now before me as the old Form, does to me to do no such thing - It merely testifies as I read it to the truth not of the statement made but of the fact of such statements having been made except as regards measurement and amount of Quit Rent, which are the only points to which in my opinion it at all behoves the Honorable Company - I do not see any difference between the effect of the old Form

Form of Certificate and that of the new one as regards the right to Quit Rent in the one hand or the liability to it on the other -

19. My opinion was not distinctly called for I believe on all these matters, but from the General way in which the subject was referred to me I deemed I should best discharge my duty in laying before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the several matters and points which struck me as important in these several forms and the correspondence bearing upon them -

Fort St. George
14th March 1854.

(Signed) T. S Smyth.
Advocate General.

Ordered that the above letter be forwarded to the Board of Revenue in reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 23d December last, for any observations they may desire to offer, that that their attention be called to the information required by Government in the last para of that Extract which has not yet been furnished -

(True Extract)
(Signed) S. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

1-2
Bd. No. 1013.
To the Acting Coll.r of Madras.

To,

The Acting Collector of Madras.

Sir

With reference to the enclosure in your letter of 29th July 1853, and Board's Proceedings of 13th October 1853, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to enquire of you in what cases Form B is likely to be most available.- In the letter from the Board to Government dated 28th February 1828, and sent to Collectors with letter dated 29th July 1853, at the time when these forms were introduced it was stated * that the form B applied to "the case of purchase or transfer from an intermediate possessor".- But the Board in their Proceedings of 13th October 1853 concurred with you in your objections to the issue of Re-Grants under the new Forms.- The Form B would therefore seem to be limited to cases of purchase from Government, and such cases the Board believe can be but few.- They wish however your opinion as to the extent that the form may be required.-

The Board desire me at the same time to forward to you copy of a letter from the Advocate General to the

Letter 22 Aug 1853

* para 4

Received with the C. in C. 21st April 1300

15 May 1854

March 1854 for any observations that you may have to make on it, especially with regard to the conformation and Notification of Grants by Government.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 15th May 1854....

[Signed] B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary in charge.

3
Bd. No. 1577.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your letter under date the 24th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit a Memorandum explanatory of certain discrepancies observed in the entries in the Statement of gross collections and charges in the Salt Department furnished to the Accountant General for Fusly 1260 and those in the Statements transmitted to the Board of Revenue for the same year.-

[Signed] A. Robertson
Collector.

Memorandum.

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

Memorandum

Particulars.	as per account Generals Statements			as per Boards Salt Revenue report			Difference			Remarks
Gross Collections										
The proceeds of Salt	139443	15	.	139443	15	"	"	"	.	This item was included in the accounts submitted by the Collector to the Board but was omitted in their Statement.
Succarees	1828	6	8	"	"	"	1828	6	8.	
Refund of advance made to the Tanadars for manufacture of Salt	720	13	10	"	"	"	720	13	10.	Under instructions from the Accountant General dated 17th June 1844 No. 96 this sum was entered in the account sent to the accountant General but as it was a recovery of advance it was not inserted in the accounts furnished to the Board as their forms did not require it.
Total	141,992	3	6	139443	15	"	2549	4	6.	
Charges										
Purchase of Salt	11191	10	2	11191	10	2	"	"	"	
Pay of the Hoozoor Establishment	180	"	"	180	"	"	"	"	"	
Do. of the District	3915	15	5	3915	15	5	"	"	"	
District Tasildars Remittance charges	157	8	.	157	8	.	"	"	"	
Succarees	14	3	1	14	3	1	"	"	"	
Extra charges such as additional Gumbendy and maraumut &c.	3033	9	"	"	"	"	3033	9	"	This item was entered in the accounts sent to the Board but it was omitted in their Statement.
	3018	7	10	2228	4	10	790	3	2	In the accountant Generals accounts all sums disbursed on this account are immediately entered that the charges come within the year in which they are incurred, whereas in the accounts sent to the Board such charges are not inserted till sanction is received, hence the cause of the difference.
Total	21505	5	6.	17681	9	6	3823	12	"	

Vizagapatam, Collectors Office, 5th May 1854. E. E. J.C. /Signed/ A. Robertson

Collector.

Order thereon

3-4

Ordered to lie over.

6387 Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

No. 24.

To,

W. Hy. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

5

Br. No. 1602.

Sir,

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

In reply to your letter of the 21st ultimo I have the honor to state that the difference of seateen Rs. 16/ Seven annas 11 and six pice 6 observable both in the Gross Salt revenue and in the Salt charges as entered in the Accountant Generals Statement and in the Salt Revenue Report arises from the refunds of Extra Charges being included in the former, but not in the latter, as will be seen in the annexed Memo.

Rajahmundry Collectorate, 9th May, 1854.

/Signed/ T. Prendergast
Collector.

Refunds from the Extra Charges not included in the Salt Revenue Report for Fusly 1260—		
From the amount debited for repairing Hungamma sheds	5	8 "
From the amount debited for palmyra leaves for Covering salt heaps	7	14 6
From the amount debited for travelling Charges for Fusly 1259 being an Excess Charge	2	" 6
From the amount debited for charges of exportation	"	" 6
Total Rs.	16	7 6

/Signed/ T. Prendergast
Collector.

5-6

order thereon

ordered to lie over

To,

6587 Fort St. George 15th May 1854 —

No. 24

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

5

No. 1602.

Sir,

In the Collector
Rajahmundry.

In reply to your letter of the 24th ultimo
I have the honor to state that the difference of sixteen
Rs. 16/ Seven annas 7/ and six piece 6/ observable both in the
Gross Salt revenue and in the Salt charges as entered in
the Accountant General's Statement and in the
Salt Revenue Report arises from the refunds of Extra
Charges being included in the former, but not in the
latter, as will be seen in the annexed Memo.

/ Signed / T. Prendergast
Collector

Rajahmundry
District,
Canada,
May, 1854.

Refunds from the Extra Charges not included
in the Salt Revenue Report for Fusly 1260 —
From the amount debited for repairing Hangamma sheds. — 5 — 8 —
From the amount debited for palmyra leaves for Covering salt heaps. 7 — 14 — 6
From the amount debited for travelling Charges for Fusly 1259 being an Excess Charge. 2 — 6
From the amount debited for charges of exportation — — — 6

Total Rs. 16 — 7 — 6

/ Signed / T. Prendergast
Collector

5-6
ter thereon

ordered to lie over

To

No 53

To.

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No 1601.

From the Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

With reference to your circular letter of the 24th Ultimo requesting me to explain why the gross revenue and charges of salt for Fusly 1260, exhibited in the Statement prepared, in the Board's Office, from the accounts sent from this Office differed from the entries in the Accountant General's Office for the same fusly, I have the honor to refer you to the following abstract of gross Collections, explaining the Cause of the difference.—

Gross salt revenue for Fusly 1260.

Items	As per accountant General's Statement	As per Salt revenue report Fusly	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
Gross collections	280170 15 4	280170 15 4	— — —	— — —
Value of wastage	— — —	31601 4 7	31601 4 7	— — —
Exctra revenue	5544 15 6			
Extra Charges recovered	54 10 8			
Balance of fusly 1259	3063 11 2			
Advance for the manufacture of Salt for fusly 1259 recovered in this fusly	554 9 8			
	9217 15 —	— — —	— — —	9217 15 —
	289388 4 4	311772 3 11	31601 4 7	9217 15 —
Deduct decrease				9217 15 —
Net increase as noticed in the Statement received with the Circular letter under reply				22383 5 7

Items	As per Statement I. sent to the Board of Revenue	As per abstract sent to the accountant General for fusly 1260.	2. With regard to the Salt Charges for fusly 1260,
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
	10489 4 1	10489 4 1	I have the
Salt Charges			
Particulars.—			honor to
Salaries of Huzoor and District establishment	" " "	5229 " 9	
Sadewared	" " "	70 8 "	inform you
Extra Charges of each source of revenue	" " "	3366 9 11	
Charges for the manufacture of Salt	" " "	1441 7 11	that the
		10107 10 7	entries
			on this
Batta to Huzoor Salt Resident for having proceeded on Circuit which is included in the extra revenue Charges	" " "	27 10 4	head, in the
			Statements sent
Salt Rossoomes included under the head of Pensions and charitable allowances chargeable on the revenues and Pagoda Masque allowances	" " "	408 9 10	both to the
			Accountant
			General and to
Grand Total	10543 14 9		the Board, exactly
Deduct Charges recovered	54 10 8		correspond with
Net	10489 4 1		each other, as
			particularized in
			the Margin;

and as I cannot discover where the figures Rs. 10890 6. 1 have been taken, I am unable to give any explanation on this point.—

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry, 10th May, 1854.

Signed J. D. Cushington
Collector.

Order thereon

7-8

Ordered to lie over

To

No. 52

To,

The Secretary
to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

Bd. No. 1622

From The Collector
of Masulipatam.

Replying to
the Board's Circular
respecting the
examination of
Assistant Collectors
in the Vernacular
languages.—

your letter of the 4th Instant, and in reply to inform you, that, viewing the question, which I am at present called upon to answer, as applicable to the examination of junior Civil Servants, who have not attained the grade of Head Assistant, which is to take place next month, there are no Assistants in the Masulipatam District, whose cases requires consideration under the rule recorded in Co. para. of Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date 15th February

2 Mr. Chase is the only Junior Assistant, and he has been in a District in which no other language but Telugu is known since he quitted College.—

3. The Special Assistant Mr. Ballard, and the Head Assistant Mr. Holloway were both employed in Tamil Districts before they came to Masulipatam. The period for their examination will not arrive for 7 months, and I have requested each of these Gentlemen to submit to me by the end of the month of October any observations which they may wish to offer on the subject with reference to their own cases, and to the principles laid down by Government in the Co. para. of the Minutes of Consultation above alluded to.—

Masulipatam, —
Collectors Cutcherry,
15th May, 1854 —

/Signed/ T. D. Lushington
Collector
To

6592 Fort St. George 15th May 1854

9-10

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.—

A.C. 14

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

11

B. A.C. 1598 Sir,

From the Acting
Collector Guntoor

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th Ultimo transmitting a memorandum showing the difference in the Grafs revenue and charges on account of Salt exhibited in the accounts submitted from this Office to the Board, and the entries in the Accountant General's Statement, and in reply to state that the difference of Rs 1,802 — a 12 — I exhibited under the head of Grafs Revenue between the two Statements is caused by the items noted in the margin not having been included in the Board's Statement. These items were exhibited in the quarterly Statement forwarded from this Office for the Fusly in question, marked A, but not in the Statement H submitted with the extra revenue report which includes the proceeds from actual sales

Recoveries as a/c of Tuccavy 1802 — 10 — /
Sale proceeds of old Ammunitions/credited under refunds of revenue Charges/ — — 6 —

1802-12-1

6593 Fort St. George 15th May 1854

2. As regards the difference under the head of charges the sum entered as per Salt revenue report is exclusive of Tuccavy on which account a sum of Rs 1700 was disbursed, making a total of 25,903 — 3 — This however exceeds the sum entered in the Accountant General's Statement, the discrepancy is believed partly to be occasioned by certain ex transfer entries not having been included in the accounts from that Office, as exhibited in the margin. This gives however an excess of Rs 19 — 1 — a 8. I'm a memorandum showing the different sums composing the total amount of charges according to the Accountant General's Statement is furnished me, I trust I shall be able to explain the apparent discrepancy.

+
25903-3-
24730-5-11
1152-13-1

Repairs of Platforms 812-3-10
Do tank and ponds for Bringaries 172-10-3
Do banks 142-4-2
Do Sepoyshuts 24-12-6
1171-14-9

As per Accountant General's Statement in Board's Memorandum 24730-5-11
25922-4-8
As per Collector's Accounts 25903-3-
Excess 19-1-8.

Guntoor District,
Collector Office,
Dindy, 8th May 1854

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Acting Collector

Order thereon
11-12

Ordered to lie over To

6594 Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

No. 15

To

B Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary to the Board

of Revenue in Charge

Fort St. George

13

B. No. 1580.

From The Acting Collector of Guntoor

Forwarding with reference to the Board's letter of the 4th Instant Copy of a communication addressed by him in reply to the Proceedings of the Commissioner of the 19th January last on the subject of the examination of Assistants in the Vernacular languages.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th Instant calling for my reply to the Circular of the 13th February last on the subject of the examination of Assistants in the vernacular languages, and in reply to forward Copy of a letter addressed by me to the Commissioner for the Northern Cirkars in reply to his Proceedings of the 19th January last on the same subject. I regret that by inadvertence the Circular to which my attention has now been called should have been left unnoticed.

Guntoor District, Collector's Office, 9th May 1854.

I have &c.

(Signed) A. Purvis
Acting Collector

No. 24

To

Walter Elliot Esqre

Commissioner Northern Circars

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Proceedings under date the 19th January last transmitting Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 11th of the same month on the subject of the examination of Assistants and in reply to state that the only Assistant at present on the establishment of this District to whom the rule would not appear to come under the category referred to in Para 10 of the order of Government under reference, is Mr Gordon stationed in September last after his return from leave to England in the Pairs, having been previous to this leaving the Country employed as Assistant to the Collector of Chingleput. He cannot expect promotion to a higher grade for some little time. Mr Gordon has just been appointed Head Assistant and will not, I presume, be called upon to pass the examination till December next.

Guntoor District, Collector's Office, Dated 15th April, 1854.

(Signed) A. Purvis
Acting Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A Purvis

6595 Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

Order thereon 13-14

Ordered to lie over.

No. 20

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

15

B. No. 798.

From the Collector of Cuddapah

Forwarding the annual Report and the statements relative to the Settlement of Land revenue in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the annual report, together with the accompanying statements, prepared according to the forms furnished by the Board of Revenue, with their Circular letter under date the 13th June 1853, relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the district of Cuddapah for Fusly $\frac{1262.}{1252-53.}$

2. The Settlement in the Principal Division was commenced on the 27th November 1852 and completed on the 11th June 1853, while that of the Sub division was begun on the 31st of

of January and closed on the 18th of April. Statement No. 4 shews the Talookwar settlement and the names of the different officers who conducted it.-

3. In the early part of the season - June, July, and August - seasonable rains were experienced throughout the District, by which the tanks in some places received a full supply of water, although in others it was defective. This enabled the Ryots to bring their red and mixed lands under cultivation, and sow the seed in the wet lands for the Cheytcum crop. The subsequent rains which fell on the 12th and 13th September, and from the 8th to the 10th of October, were very general - the whole of the tanks and reservoirs received full supplies - both dry

dry and wet crops were much benefited by it.-

4. From the commencement of October to the 22d, more particularly towards the latter period, unusual heavy rains fell, which caused many of the tanks to burst, and in some places the rivers, from the excess of water, flooded their banks, destroyed and carried away much of the crops. In March 1853 the tanks received an additional supply of water which proved beneficial to the Rysa-kram crop.-

5. The following statement gives a comparative view of the quantity of water the various tanks received in Fuslies 1261 (1851-52) and 1262 (1852-53).- Talooks

Fort St. George,

15th May 1854.

Of which No. of tanks which received supplies of water.

Talooks	Total No. of tanks as per Statement 6 No. 3.			Full.		From 7/8th to 3/4ths		From 3/4 to 1/2		From 1/2 to 1/4		Within 1/4		Total		Number of tanks which received no water and those in damaged state.	
	No. of Tanks in repair as entered in Column 1 to 2 No. 3 of the above Statement.	No. of Tanks out of repair	Total	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262	Fasly 1261	Fasly 1262
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Principal Division																		
Juanmulmudgoor	28	3	31	5	28	2	"			2	"	7	"	18	28	13	3
Doovoor	26	3	29	8	24	"	"			6	"	2	"	17	24	12	5
Coilcoontlah	23	2	25	14	19	"	"			4	"	1	"	23	24	2	1
Chitwail	113	1	114	60	109	5	1	1	4	7	1	1	"	85	112	29	2
Sidhout	116	7	123	35	57	6	4	18	9	24	12	13	14	96	96	27	27
Chennoor	61	4	65	22	41	6	3	6	9	14	3	8	1	56	57	9	8
Camalapoor	15	4	19	4	14	3	2	2	9	14	"	2	"	12	14	7	5
Goottumcondah	1381	75	1456	463	778	109	6	311	113	230	38	101	11	1214	1202	242	257
Muddenpully	852	132	982	238	813	69	11	249	5	117	16	80	12	753	852	231	132
Polvendalah	304	144	448	62	114	3	2	38	34	81	31	57	26	235	223	213	225
Royachoty	913	138	1051	276	371	26	29	132	95	179	137	119	85	732	717	319	334
Total	3832	573	4345	1187	2368	229	323	775	267	685	242	385	149	3241	3349	1104	996
2nd Division																	
Cumbum	75	12	87	20	68	3	1	14	3	25	4	10	"	72	76	15	11
Doopaud	99	9	108	2	56	"	2	"	15	4	7	69	1	75	83	33	25
Budwail	129	15	144	35	123	13	4	17	2	28	3	28	4	121	134	23	10
Total	303	36	339	57	247	16	7	31	20	57	14	107	5	268	293	71	46
Total	4135	549	4684	1244	2615	245	330	806	287	722	256	492	154	3509	3642	1175	1042
Poonganoor	1312	62	1374	969	1072	2	2	125	97	111	67	28	8	1235	1248	139	126
Grand Total	5447	611	6058	2213	3687	247	332	931	384	833	323	520	162	4744	4890	1314	1168

received supplies of waters.

Zemindary

6 Altho' some of the tanks in the Southern Talooks did not receive a sufficient supply of water at the commencement of the Cartieken sowing, yet from the rains that fell in September and October, most of them were fill but from the heavy rains experienced on the 21st and 22d of the latter months, many of the reservoirs were seriously injured, whilst others were more or less so. Of these such as could be restored on an emergent estimate were repaired without loss of time, to prevent the further escape of water. The measure proved serviceable not only to the growing crops, but also for the Vysakhum Consequently the increase in the demand is not proportionate to the number of tanks which received supplies during the July under review, which is greater than that in the preceding.

7 The Sugga, Arecaloe, Cumbu Raghee and other crops sown in red and mixed lands during the months of June and July, yielded in some places only

from ¼ to ½ of a full crop, on account of the excess of rains and blight, whilst in others the harvest yielded a full remuneration to the cultivators.

8 Cotton, Jonna, Green grain &c. sown in September, did not, by the heavy rains in October, produce a full crop in most of the localities but on the whole the harvest must be considered below that produced in an average year.

9 The wet and garden crops, viz. Paddy Jonna, Raghy, &c. under tanks, channels and Wells, where no damage was sustained, yielded a full return; but in some places from the deficiency in the means of irrigation under channels which were choaked up with sand and mud, and the bursting of tanks in consequence of the floods, the produce of these lands has fallen short.

10 The season throughout has been a healthy one. Neither Cholera nor fever prevailed to any great extent, the mortality from these causes was small.

11 The loss amongst cattle and sheep by diseases incidental to them has been inconsiderable in all the Talooks during the year under

under review.

12. Agreeably to the instructions conveyed in the Minutes of Consultation dated the 11th of April 1853, urging the necessity of promoting and extending vaccination throughout the district, a Vaccinator accompanied the Collector's Cutcherry during the annual tour, and was found very useful in vaccinating himself and superintending the vaccination performed by others and thus carrying out the wishes of Government. The number vaccinated by him during the period on circuit was 1486.

13. The Dresser attached to the Collector's establishment has made himself very useful in the treatment of diseases and sores prevalent in the District. At first the people seemed to be alarmed at the English method of treatment, but it has given way, as he has been compelled from the scanty supply of medicine allowed, to have recourse not only to the use

of European, but also Native remedies and has been the means of giving relief to many poor sufferers. He now seems in a great measure to have obtained the general confidence of the people, who are not backward in applying to him for advice.

14. The following statement shews the prices of the different species of grain and other produce for the last ten years respectively - with a comparison between the Fushlies $\frac{1261}{1851-52}$ and $\frac{1262}{1852-53}$ between the latter & the average of the last five years; and also the extent of land on which these crops were produced, prepared according to the form annexed to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated the 15th of November 1852.

Rate.

Fort St. George

Rate for the last 10 years.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		Fasly 1253	1253	1254	1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260	1261	1262	Increase	Decrease	Average for the 5 years from Fs. 1257 to 1261.	Increase	Decrease	Extent of land cultivated with each description of grain during Fs. 1262.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
1	Rice 1st Sort	188	171	171	246	240	226	214	190	192	181	180	"	1	202	"	22	140,184
2	Do 2d Do	164	158	159	226	219	204	193	175	175	168	163	"	3	183	"	20	
3	Paddy 1st Do	85	81	81	112	109	103	99	85	84	79	79	"	"	91	"	12	
4	Do 2d Do	76	73	73	103	100	93	88	79	78	73	72	"	1	82	"	10	
5	Cholum	81	79	81	125	119	116	106	91	98	100	103	3	"	102	1	"	5,65,981
6	Raghee	75	73	76	116	113	108	99	85	88	89	90	1	"	94	"	4	1,71,423
7	Suzzaloo	79	78	79	121	120	114	100	89	93	94	98	4	"	98	"	"	2,73,781
8	Aurecaloo	62	61	61	89	83	87	71	71	71	68	70	2	"	74	"	4	73,857
9	Corralloo	74	73	72	97	98	88	90	80	83	80	84	4	"	84	"	"	68,766
10	Horse Gram	87	85	85	131	151	123	98	85	91	91	107	16	"	97	10	"	2,21,498
11	Green gram	130	137	158	265	271	186	147	131	144	139	173	34	"	151	22	"	57,323
12	Bengal gram	224	231	244	277	333	317	227	231	239	237	246	9	"	245	1	"	2,620
13	Wheat	215	203	193	257	302	267	215	215	244	216	191	"	25	235	"	44	5,421
14	Black gram	218	199	190	257	275	268	225	181	180	171	192	21	"	205	"	13	5,608
15	Red gram	106	112	119	197	232	173	132	111	110	108	130	22	"	126	4	"	50,194
16	Dholl	155	169	182	291	323	258	205	171	170	165	206	41	"	193	13	"	16,67,650
17	Cotton	43	42	42	44	44	43	47	41	58	55	51	"	4	49	2	"	80,708
18	Indigo	568	576	583	589	583	539	504	576	676	554	633	79	"	566	67	"	39,734
																		17,94,092

Per garce of 3,200 pucklies.

Per Candy of 560 lbs.

15th May 1854.

Comparison between Col. 12 and 13.

Comparison between Col. 13 and 16.

From

Fort St. George, 15th May 1854.

15. From the above statement it will be observed that there has been a fall in the prices of the principal kinds of grain, viz. Rice, Paddy, and Raghy in Fusly $\frac{1262}{1852-53}$ when compared with the average prices of the preceding five years, and a slight rise in the value of dry grain, Pulses and Vegetables in the present, above preceding year. These prices cannot however be considered unfavorable to the Ryots and merchants, as a fair return is received upon the capital and labor expended.

16. The high price of Indigo is owing to the great demand for this article for exportation to the European markets has proved

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

proved favorable both to the grower of the leaf and the merchant trading in this article.

17. The following statement shews the extent and description of land agreed to be taken up for cultivation, at the commencement of the season, as well as the assessment thereon, with the quantity left waste in whole numbers.

Fusly.

6606

Fort St. George

Fusly 1261.

1	2	Total Land agreed for. Extent of Land	Assessment	average per acre.	Deduct land left waste in whole Nos. Extent of Land	Assessment	Remaining Extent of Land	Assessment
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		acres	Rupees	Rs	A P	acres	Rs	acres	Rs
1	Dry	12,77,563	12,99,303	1	" 2	1,19,638	8,5501	11,57,925	12,04,303
2	Garden	55,252	4,51,255	8	2 8	5,940	46,259	49,312	4,04,996
3	Wet	99,784	9,27,472	9	4 9	2,1057	1,83,470	7,87,727	7,44,002
4	Poonasa	3,455	17,373	5	" 5	813	4,804	2,642	12,569
5	Turry Bayayet	498	6,332	12	11 8	30	453	468	5,879
6	Teervajasty	"	1,13,076	"	" "	"	2,359	"	1,10,717
7	Fusul jasty	"	12,7329	"	" "	"	20165	"	10,7164
	Total	14,36,552	29,33,140	2	" 8	1,47,478	3,43,011	12,89,074	25,90,129
1	Dry consisting of Black, red and mixed soils	12,61,771	12,70,053	1	" 1	1,19,505	85,258	11,42,266	11,84,795
1	Tanks	85,568	8,33,414	9	11 10	17,657	1,61,872	67,911	6,71,542
2	Nullahs	28,885	3,09,707	10	11 7	4,183	43,687	24,702	2,66,020
3	Wells	6,0328	5,19,966	8	9 11	6,133	52,194	54,195	4,67,772
	Total	1,74,781	16,63,087	9	8 3	27,973	2,57,753	146,808	14,05,334
	Grand Total	14,36,552	29,33,140	2	" 8	1,47,478	3,43,011	12,89,074	25,90,129

As per Cultivation of the Current Fusly.

15th May 1854

Fusly 1262.

Total land agreed for. Extent of Land.	Assessment	average per acre.	Deduct land left waste in whole Nos. Extent of Land.	Assessment	Remaining Extent of Land.	Assessment	Comparison between Col.s 3-4-10-and-11 Increase Extent of Land	Increase Assessment	Decrease Extent of Land	Decrease Assessment
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
acres	Rs	Rs A P	acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs
12,89,118	12,98,636	" " 2	1,30,864	9,5428	11,58,254	12,03,208	11,555	8,333	"	"
55,233	4,51,768	8 2 10	6,127	48,699	49,106	4,03,069	"	513	19	"
1,00,356	9,30,039	9 4 4	20,315	17,1324	80,041	7,58,766	572	2,617	"	"
3,463	17,467	5 " 9	476	2,931	2,987	14,536	8	94	"	"
500	6,343	12 11 "	26	391	474	5,952	2	11	"	"
"	12,4410	" " "	"	2,778	"	12,1632	"	11,334	"	"
"	122585	" " "	"	26968	"	95617	"	"	"	4,744
14,48,670	29,51,298	2 " 7	1,57,808	3,48,519	12,90,862	26,02,779	12,13,722	902	19	4,744
12,72,209	12,77,690	1 " 1	1,30,777	95236	11,41,432	11,82,454	19,438	7,637	"	"
87,539	8,45,864	9 10 7	16,567	1,53,747	70,972	6,92,117	1,971	12,450	"	"
29,644	3,16,282	10 10 9	4,342	46,272	25,302	2,70,010	759	6,575	"	"
592,785	11,462	8 10 1	6,122	53,264	53,156	4,58,198	"	"	1,050	8,504
17,6461	1,67,3608	9 7 7	27,031	2,53,283	14,9430	14,20,325	2,730	19,025	1,050	8,504
14,48,670	29,51,298	2 " 7	1,57,808	3,48,519	12,90,862	26,02,779	13,16,826	26,662	1,050	8,504

Net Increase — 12,118 18,158.

18. There is an increase in the distribution of all description of land, attributable to the ryots having taken up greater portions of those from the Ayacut Bunjer at the commencement of the Fusly.—

19. The increase in the anticipated amount derivable from teeruajastee has resulted from certain lands liable to additional assessment having been agreed for, consequent on a belief there would be a sufficiency of water in the tanks and channels on account of the favorable appearance at the commencement of the season. The decrease under the head Fusly is owing to lands usually yielding dry crops in Goorrumcondah and other lands having been left waste during the Cartieckum, there not being a sufficient supply

supply of water - Throughout the whole district however there is an increase under the head Caboolaty amounting to acres 12,118 assessed at Rupees 18,158.—

20 The decrease in the extent of land dependent on wells taken up may be ascribed to lands of this description having been transferred in the accounts to the head tanks and channels, in consequence of their being irrigated by means of water drawn from them - and partly to Ryots in some places having from impoverished and other circumstances, relinquished their lands.—

21. Subjoined is a comparative statement, exhibiting the extent of land actually cultivated, and the assessment thereon.—

Fusly.

6609

Fort St. George

Fusly 1261.

Land sown

1	2	Extent of Land	Assessment	Average per acre	
		3	4	5	
		Acres	Rupees	Rs	A
1	Dry	1053357	1139911	1	1
2	Garden	47023	390638	8	4
3	Wet	75281	713771	9	7
4	Poonata	2452	14672	4	12
5	Turry Bagoyet	462	5788	12	8
6	Teeravajasty	"	110181	"	"
7	Fasuljasty	"	104124	"	"
	Total	1178575	2476085	2	1
1	Dry consisting of Black, Red and mixed soils	1037755	1119981	1	1
1	Tanks	65245	647685	9	14
2	Nullahs	23648	256902	10	13
3	Wells	51927	451517	8	11
	Total	140820	1356104	9	10
	Grand Total	1178575	2476085	2	1

Abstract of Cultivation of the Current Fusly.

15th May 1854

Fusly 1262.

Land sown

Comparison between Columns 3-6-and 4-7-.

Extent of land	Assessment	Average per acre.			Increase Extent of land	Increase Assessment	Decrease Extent of land	Decrease Assessment
6	7	8			9	10	11	12
Acres	Rupees	Rs	A	P	Acres	Rupees	Acres	Rupees
1062062	1143223	1	1	3	8705	3312	"	"
47072	390052	8	4	7	49	"	"	586
77141	736569	9	8	9	1860	22798	"	"
2684	13316	4	15	5	232	1644	"	"
470	5900	12	8	10	8	112	"	"
"	421407	"	"	"	"	11226	"	"
"	93828	"	"	"	"	"	"	10296
1189429	2504295	2	1	8	10854	39092	"	10882
1045273	1422521	1	1	2	7518	2540	"	"
68369	673962	9	13	9	3124	26277	"	"
24609	263810	10	11	6	961	6908	"	"
51178	444002	8	10	10	"	"	749	7515
144156	1384774	9	9	4	4085	33185	749	7515
1489429	2504295	2	1	8	11603	35725	749	7515

Net Increase — 10854 28210

22. The foregoing statement will exhibit an increase amounting to Rupees 39092 in the cultivation of dry, Wet, Poonasah, Tary Bagayet, as well as in Peervajasty, and a decrease under the heads Garden and Fasuljastee, of Rs. 10882.-

23 The reasons assigned in para 20 show the cause of the decrease under the head Garden, to which may be added that certain lands under wells, which were destroyed by the floods and rendered unfit for cultivation, were left waste, and the decrease under the head Fasul=jasty is owing to certain portions of lands in Goorrumcondah, Maddenpally and

and Royachoty, which were cultivated with two crops in the preceding Fusly, having yielded only one crop during the present, arising from the supply of water for the 1st Crop not having been generally sufficient, and also in the three talooks above referred to, together with Chitwail, in all four, a material deficiency of water was experienced by the bursting of the tanks and reservoirs, which took place in October, this prevented the cultivation of Rysakum or 2d crop in several localities.-

24. The annexed Statement exhibits the remissions granted in the Fusly under review, compared with that of the preceding.- Fusly

6612

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

1	2	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
		3	4	5	6
	Temporary remissions				
1	Shamelat banjer	36998	22006	"	14992
2	Loss of crops	1399	1467	68	"
3	Paneboodety or land sown, but subsequently inundated	502	2519	2017	"
4	Poyamalee or land sown, but subsequently washed away	36	24830	24794	"
5	Banjer cowle	16587	17940	1353	"
	Total	55522	68762	28232	14992
	Established remissions				
6	1/3d remissions	92939	90109	"	2830
7	1/4th do	479213	481302	2089	"
8	Cattoogotta	4582	5063	481	"
9	Gudstanum	10110	9440	-	670
10	Coolacharum	2307	1958	"	349
11	Remissions at 50 Rs per cent	3057	3427	370	"
12	do at 33-5-4 per do	1748	1595	"	153
13	do at 25 per cent	148	144	"	1
14	do at 12 Rs 8 A per do	41	41	"	"
15	do on the cultivation of land held by the Poligars	50	49	"	1
16	do on the do do of the relatives of do	207	253	46	"
17	Remissions to Shrotriumdars	13335	13078	"	257
18	do to Dasavundumdars	4501	4834	333	"
19	do for the Village Servants on their Enams	1303	1303	"	"
20	Pagoda remissions	70	70	"	"
21	Teerva Cummi	9378	10476	1098	"
22	Pymaish do	1671	1740	69	"
23	In dla Cadoogoo, or remissions granted on lands occupied by houses	15	15	"	"
24	Remissions granted for topes planted for Charitable purposes	167	212	45	"
25	Remissions for Jack trees	8	8	"	"
	Usual remissions.				
	Total	624847	625117	4531	4261
	Grand Total	680369	693879	32763	19253
			Net Increase	13510	

25. The decrease in the remission granted under the head Shamelat Bunjer has arisen from only a small portion of land out of the whole numbers having been left waste in the Fusly under review that under the head one third remission, is ascribed to lands under wells liable to this remission having been irrigated from tanks, and that under the items numbered 9-10-12 and 17 is partly owing to remissions having been granted for crops which perished by the inundation, and portions of land having been left uncultivated for want of means of irrigation.—

26. The increase in the remission granted under the head, loss of produce, has arisen from the wet crops having perished in consequent on the channels by which the land was irrigated having been choaked up with sand by the freshes which overflooded the banks of the rivers in the Poolevendala talook - that under the head "Panibootty" or land sown, but afterwards flooded and destroyed, arises from the crops in the beds of the tanks in Cumbum, Budwail &c.a talookes having perished on account of these reservoirs having received their full supplies

27. The increase in the remissions under the head "Poyamali" or crops washed away in the five talooks of Chitwail, Sidhout Cheroor Canalapoor and Poolevendalah amounting to Rupees 22,800, arises from the crops having been destroyed in the villages situated on the

R.M.L

the neighbourhood of the banks of the rivers, and that in Gummulmudgoo and 7 other talooks is Rupees 1,900. The increase under the head "Bunjer cowle" may be attributed to a larger extent of land having been taken up from the Ayacut bunjer in the present than in the preceding year, and that under the items Nos. 7-8-11-16-18-21 & 22, is owing to a greater portion of these descriptions of land having been brought under cultivation during the year under review. There is also a small increase in that granted for topes planted for charitable purposes consequent on the carrying out of the rules for the planting of topes and orchards.

28 The net increase of remission granted under the several heads in the present Fusly amounts to Rupees 13,510-

29 The following statement exhibits the gross assessment of the different remissions, and the net levy for Fusly $\frac{1262}{1852/53}$ in comparison with the preceding.—

Fusly

			Comparison	
	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease
1	2 Rs	3 Rs	4 Rs	5 Rs
1 Gross assessment	29,33,140	29,51,298	18,158	"
2 Deduct waste land in whole numbers &c	3,43,041	3,48,549	5,508	"
3 Remaining land taken up for cultivation	25,90,129	26,02,779	12,650	"
4 Deduct remissions as per Para 24 of this report	6,80,369	6,90,879	13,510	"
5 Remaing Collections	19,09,760	19,08,900	"	860
Particulars of the above				
6 Land Cess	18,50,713	18,49,338	"	1,375
7 Waste land not remitted as per Statement No 11	59,047	59,562	515	"
Total	19,09,760	19,08,900	515	1,375
8 Amount of concealed cultivation brought to account subsequent to the Immanabundy	7,717	19,414	11,697	"
Total	19,17,477	19,28,314	12,212	1,375
9 Jody or quit rent	12,6086	12,71,23	4,037	"
10 Inamaty, Teevajasty, Neersanghees &c	15,819	18,775	2,956	"
11 Inamaty Jufferick	22,020	21,894	"	126
Total	1,63,925	1,68,092	4,293	126
Grand Total	20,81,402	20,96,406	16,505	1,501
12 Peshkush of Vencatagherry	15,198	15,198	"	"
13 D° of Poonganoor	62,000	63,000	1,000	"
Total	77,198	78,198	1,000	"
Grand Total	21,58,600	21,74,604	17,505	1,501
Net Increase			16,004	

Cultivated Lands — Do on Gram Lands — Lewable on Gram Lands

All this

30 All tho' there is an increase in the extent of cultivation amounting to Rs 28,210 as explained in paras 21 & 22, yet from the increased remissions granted during the Fusly, above that of the previous, viz: Rs 29,330 under the various heads, crops washed away, or under water, for those which have perished for want of water, and also for bootle and teervacummi; there is a decrease of Rs 1501 in the amount of collections under the heads, Land Cess, and Enamaty tufferic-

31 There is an increase under the head "Tarra Ryotty" arising from certain lands having been brought under cultivation after the conclusion of the annual settlement, and from particular care having been taken to have the lands in nearly all the villages throughout the district examined by the Carnoo and other public servants, prior to the close of the Fusly, and that under the head "waste land paying tax" is owing to remission being withheld from the ryots, on account of their having neglected to bring their lands under cultivation, tho' there was sufficient water for their irrigation, and that under the heads Jody or quit rent, Enamaty teervajasty, Neersanghee &c may be ascribed to the increased cultivation of lands liable to these demands.

32 The net increase as above explained inclusive of the Poonganoor Peshkush, is shewn to be Rs 16,004-

33 The annexed Statement exhibits the increase and decrease in the revenue settlement of each talooke.

Talooke

Divisions	Taloks	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Comparision Increase	Comparision Decrease	Centage between Col 3 & 5
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	as
Trichinopoly Division	1 Jummulmudgoo	1,74,134	1,80,053	5,919	"	3 6
	2 Dorroor	1,63,032	1,64,998	1,966	"	1 3
	3 Hoilcoontah	2,01,762	2,05,115	3,353	"	1 11
	4 Chetwail	1,97,943	1,88,879	"	9,064	4 9
	5 Sidhout	1,13,702	1,07,838	"	5,864	5 2
	6 Chennoor	1,09,094	1,09,010	"	84	" 1
	7 Camalapoor	1,31,369	1,26,334	"	5,035	3 13
	8 Goorumcondah	1,64,683	1,56,343	"	8,340	5 1
	9 Muddenpully	1,49,389	1,46,452	"	2,937	1 15
	10 Poolevendalah	2,14,323	2,18,107	3,784	"	1 12
	11 Royachaty	1,42,746	1,38,329	"	4,417	3 1
	12 Poonganoor	62,000	63,000	1,000	"	1 9
	Total	18,24,177	18,04,458	16,022	35,741	1 11
Dub Division	1 Cumbum	1,37,722	1,47,458	9,736	"	7 11
	2 Doospund	93,500	1,06,845	13,345	"	14 2
	3 Budwail	1,03,201	1,15,843	12,642	"	12 4
	Total	3,34,423	3,70,146	35,723	"	10 10
	Grand Total	21,58,600	21,74,604	51,745	35,741	" 11
	Net Increase	16,004				amount

34 Annexed is a comparative Statement of the different items of increase and decrease in the past compared with the preceding Fusly—

		amount
		Rupees
Jummabundy Collections of Fusly 1261		19,69,760
D° D° of Fusly 1262		19,08,900
		860
Net Decrease		
Increase: As per Gross assessment D°		34,2399
Increase in the amount of cultivation D°		
Increase in the amount of Fusly and Feerpaisty and in that of land which was left waste in the preceding year but cultivated this year	119,233	
		161,632
Decrease: Decrease by death, emigration and impoverished circumstances of the Ryots &c	33961	
Do in the amount of Fusly Feerpaisty arising from deficiency in the means of irrigation &c	128,531	
		162,492
Net Decrease		860

Note
Vide Statement No 13 which exhibits the details of the Increase and Decrease above referred to—

35 The following tabular Statement drawn up for a series of years affords a comparative view of circumstances and extent cultivation since Fusly 1238 / 1828-29.

Circumstances

Fort St George

15th May 1854

Showing Statement of Cultivation and Ayacut with the Inam lands in the Villages between Suskies Fasly 1238 and 1262 1852-53-

		Circar					Inam land in the Pongavoor Zemindary				Inam lands in villages between Columns 2 & 3		Inam lands in villages between Columns 2 & 4	
		Amount of Ayacut	amount of cultivation	Centage			Amount of Cultivation	amount of Ayacut	amount of Cultivation	Remarks	Amount	Centage	Amount	Centage
	1	2	3	4	a	8	6	7	8	9	5		6	
		Rs	Rs	Rs	a	8	Rs	Rs	Rs		Rs	a 8	Rs	a 8
1	Fasly 1238	4,234,630	2,195,556	51	13	7	11,48,486	2,06,123	89,891	Amanie	9,521	77 9 11	78 7 4	
2	" 1239	4,235,286	2,171,119	51	4	2	14,01,908	2,06,123	82,326	de				
3	" 1240	4,235,762	2,283,937	53	14	8	14,99,032	2,06,123	97,870	de	0,306	82 7 10	81 11 11	
4	" 1241	4,237,494	2,192,711	51	11	10	14,17,688	2,06,123	84,392	de				
5	" 1242	4,238,723	1,798,014	42	6	8	11,34,335	2,06,123	53,792	de				
6	" 1243	4,241,712	2,189,127	51	9	9	15,50,495	2,06,123	95,291	Cashmanda	1,207	73 9 8	73 12 3	
7	" 1244	4,244,424	2,213,499	52	2	5	15,22,591	2,06,123	100,887	de				
8	" 1245	4,245,455	2,132,753	50	3	11	14,53,812	2,06,123	75,317	de				
9	" 1246	4,246,649	2,059,962	48	8	2	14,16,078	2,06,123	91,473	de	1,666	79 8 5	78 14 3	
10	" 1247	4,240,526	2,306,018	54	6	2	15,78,441	2,06,123	117,677	de				
11	" 1248	4,242,133	2,016,937	47	8	9	14,02,553	2,06,123	77,134	de				
12	" 1249	4,243,535	2,452,902	57	12	10	16,05,255	2,06,123	1,18,691	de	1,150	79 11 1	83 11 2	
13	" 1250	4,245,037	2,322,010	54	11	2	15,10,053	2,06,123	81,659	de				
14	" 1251	4,247,318	2,481,073	58	6	8	15,79,703	2,06,123	1,21,242	de				
15	" 1252	4,247,318	2,303,922	54	3	11	15,16,030	2,06,123	1,02,313	de	10,850	76 8 1	76 11 1	
16	" 1253	4,262,821	2,341,724	54	14	11	15,09,671	2,06,123	1,16,013	de				
17	" 1254	4,264,111	2,323,016	54	7	8	15,35,349	2,06,123	1,20,281	de				
18	" 1255	4,264,965	2,176,907	51	"	8	14,24,721	2,06,123	70,108	de				
19	" 1256	4,266,450	2,515,758	58	15	6	15,71,291	2,06,123	1,18,795	de				
20	" 1257	4,267,505	2,544,864	59	10	1	16,04,624	2,06,123	1,23,393	de				
21	" 1258	4,269,788	2,383,469	55	13	2	15,38,853	2,06,123	1,00,449	de				
22	" 1259	4,291,967	2,380,969	55	7	7	15,20,424	2,05,896	99,277	de				
23	" 1260	4,308,540	2,515,496	58	6	2	15,64,553	2,05,895	1,19,417	de				
24	" 1261	4,308,873	2,476,085	57	7	5	15,52,579	2,05,895	1,20,066	de				
25	" 1262	4,312,585	2,501,295	58	1	1	15,57,802	2,05,895	1,23,048	de				

Columns of the several
as shown in Statement

of Indigo, Cotton and
shewn in the following

Total

6622

Fort St. George

15th May 1854

1854.-

		Total extent and assessment of land cultivated in Fusly 1262		Of which lands with Indigo		D:- cultivated with cotton				D:- cultivated with Sugar cane				
				Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262	
		Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs	acres	Rs
1	Cumbum	150,969	307,602	2,310	9,913	2,361	11,818	3,370	4,699	4,757	5,576	166	3,043	177	3,112
2	Doorpaud	157,513	227,020	1,297	4,439	1,338	3,866	3,187	2,932	3,309	3,036	4	75	3	51
3	Budwail	91,968	219,314	3,534	14,867	3,417	11,779	3,266	3,136	3,018	3,013	70	1,256	77	1,363
4	Gummulmudgoo	187,943	363,558	2,391	7,872	2,685	8,369	27,182	15,188	23,089	36,239	"	"	"	"
5	Doovoor	156,560	369,318	5,697	16,794	5,859	15,524	17,542	24,520	9,540	18,003	3	74	4	44
6	Coilcontlah	209,266	416,539	2,511	11,355	4,012	15,426	35,462	57,725	33,231	50,542	23	495	27	613
7	Chutwail	76,396	362,775	1,094	2,197	4,352	23,177	16	91	9	78	7	56	10	150
8	Sidhout	34,224	202,581	5,855	39,444	5,637	1,096	2,480	4,567	153	564	"	"	"	"
9	Chennoor	73,410	219,755	1,738	14,260	2,281	19,328	571	587	336	407	5	102	1	15
10	Carnalapoor	115,236	234,549	5,195	11,620	5,947	12,498	2,663	3,095	2,009	2,343	"	"	"	"
11	Gootrumcondah	108,026	299,031	2	10	74	309	68	15	55	23	694	9,138	1,090	13,207
12	Muddenpully	121,828	231,323	"	"	"	"	267	130	408	178	929	10,160	1,012	10,495
13	Poolevendalah	278,530	367,499	724	5,504	991	4,992	5,459	4,113	5,351	4,695	201	1,611	233	1,714
14	Rozacholy	131,661	247,233	811	3,924	750	4,327	1,115	676	1,325	865	138	1,690	150	1,660
	Total	1893570	4062097	36189	162,021	39,734	171,870	95,648	148,474	86,590	125,567	2,240	27,700	2,784	32,424
	Details														
	Dry lands	1665154	1874295	26035	57,955	30,118	66,647	95,417	147,298	86,425	124,463	70	45	97	48
	Wet do	228,416	2187,802	10,154	104,066	9,616	105,223	231	1176	165	1104	2,170	27,655	2,687	32,376
	Total	1893570	4062097	36189	162,021	39,734	171,870	95,648	148,474	86,590	125,567	2,240	27,700	2,784	32,424
	Circar lands	1189429	2504295	26985	123,832	29,763	132,423	58,570	87,888	52,323	72,237	1926	24,129	2,284	27,208
	Inam do	704141	1557,802	9,204	38,189	9,971	39,447	37,078	60,586	34,267	53,328	314	3,571	500	5,216
	Total	1893570	4062097	36189	162,021	39,734	171,870	95,648	148,474	86,590	125,567	2,240	27,700	2,784	32,424
	Poongamoot	"	"	"	"	"	"	191	335	118	214	2,782	20,697	3,033	23,678
	Grand Total			36,189	162,021	39,734	171,870	95,839	148,809	86,708	125,781	5,022	48,397	5,817	56,102
					Net Increase	3,545	9,849	Net decrease		9,131	23,028	Net Increase		795	7,705

of indigo cultivation for this article in markets. extends the ter extent

sown in nsiderably ring the ual heavy son, the owing the se under this

tivation of ly greater nd the e y considerable to the e quantities reported on

ment of all 12 Compared 53 lated in

demand.

6625 . . Fort St. George . . 15th May 1854.-

	1	2	Demand Fusly 1261. 3	Particulars of the Collections within the Fusly 1262. 4	Collections after the close of the Fusly 5	Column 4 Balance Remaining 6	Comparison Between Columns 3 and 4. Increase 7	Decrease 8	9
Land Revenue	1	Peyshcush	77198	78198	"	"	1000	"	
	2	Aumanee	208402	206910	2408	3088	15004	"	
		Total	285600	285108	2408	3088	16004	"	
Extra Revenue	3	Abkarry	123402	118616	2039	"	"	4786	
	4	Small farms	14101	13845	892	"	"	256	
	5	Moturpha	152061	150336	2131	303	"	1725	
	6	Stamps	27221	27246	438	"	25	"	
		Total	316784	310043	5500	303	25	6767	
		Grand Total	2470384	2484647	34908	3391	16029	6767	
Land Revenue	7	Sanadyjasty	11568	10433	6416	3009	1008	"	1135
	8	Marahut tuffaric	2022	261	261	"	"	"	1761
	9	Fines	5414	5784	5257	519	8	370	"
		Total	19004	16478	11934	3528	1016	370	2896
Extra Revenue	10	Interest realized from the Abkarry Renters	656	540	540	"	"	"	116
	11	Sale Proceeds of unproductive Trees, Renalties &c	3281	2348	2348	"	"	"	933
	12	Sanadyjasty in the Moturpha Revenue	253	134	126	"	8	"	119
	13	Refunds of Stamp duty	153	303	303	"	"	150	"
		Total	4343	3325	3317	"	8	150	1168
		Total Land and Extra Revenue	2334787	19803	15251	3528	1024	520	4064
		Grand total of all the Items. -	2498732	2504450	2461599	38436	4415	16549	10831

Net Increase 5718.-

43. The increase under the head Peshcush and Amany has been previously explained in Paras: 30. 31. and 32. The particulars of the increase and decrease in the items Nos. 3. 4. 5. and 6 are shown in my report on the extra sources of revenue for the Fusly under review, forwarded to the Board of Revenue on the 28th October 1853.

44. The decrease in item No. 7 is owing to the Amount of Tanakyjasty being incorporated with that of demand consequent on the examination of the cultivated lands in most of the villages having been completed prior to the close of the Fusly and to only a few of the village servants being suspended whereby the amount leviable upon their gnam was inconsiderable. There has also been a decrease in the 8th item which has arisen from the whole amount leviable under the head Maramut tuffies not having been ascertained on account of the non-receipt within the Fusly of the accounts shewing the extent of work performed to Tanks and Channels in the several talooks, upon only such sum as was actually collected being entered under the head demand. The different Cutcherries having forwarded smaller sums

sums in the present than in the preceding Fusly a decrease under the head penalty is observed.

45. A greater portion of the Amount of balance outstanding has since been collected as shewn in column 6 of the Statement above referred to. Of the sum which remains to be collected as specified in column 7, a small portion is due by ryots dead and emigrated, whilst the remainder consists of those due by ryots in indigent circumstances and by Enamdars paying Jody, whose lands were left uncultivated. The particulars of the several items of arrears shall be carefully enquired into at the time of the annual settlement of the Current Fusly and such sums only as are ascertained to be irrecoverable shall be recommended to be struck out of the accounts.

46. The following Statement exhibits the particulars of Collections from the outstanding balances in the Fusly under review in comparison with the preceding one.

Collections

6628 Fort St. George

15th May 1854.

		Collections in Fusly 1261 Current	Collections in Fusly 1261 Arrears	Collections in Fusly 1262 Total	Comparison between Col.s 3 & 8. Increase	Comparison between Col.s 3 & 8. Decrease
1		2	3	4	5	6
Land revenue	1 Peishcush	77198	15198	92396	78198	" 14198
	2 Amanie	2047313	125611	2172931	2063910	31170 209080 " 77851
	Total	2124511	140811	2265327	2142108	31170 217278 " 92049
Excise Revenue.	3 Abkarry	123402	5321	128723	116577	" 116577 " 12146
	4 Sundry Small farms and Licenses	13254	2704	15958	12953	846 13799 " 2159
	5 Moturpha	149352	8520	157872	147902	2516 150418 " 7454
	6 Stamps	26833	670	27503	26808	388 27196 " 307
	Total	312841	17215	330056	304240	3750 307990 " 22066
	Total of land revenue	2437352	158031	2595383	2446348	34920 2481268 " 114115
Land revenue.	7 Amount of concealed Cultivation	8171	4084	12255	6416	3220 9636 " 2619
	8 Maramut tufferick's	824	2720	3544	261	2192 2453 " 1091
	9 Fines	4634	880	5514	5257	788 6045 531 "
	Total	13629	7684	21313	11934	6200 18134 531 3710
Extra Revenue.	10 Interest realized from the Abkarry Renters	656	"	656	540	" 540 " 116
	11 Sale proceeds of unproductive Stock Paddies &c.	3271	28	3299	2348	9 2357 " 942
	12 Sana Kroyas struck in the Moturpha Revenue	226	35	261	126	27 153 " 108
	13 Refunds of Stamps duty	153	"	153	303	" 303 150 "
	Total	4306	63	4369	3317	36 3353 150 1166
	Total Land and Extra Revenue	17935	7747	25682	15251	6236 21487 681 4876
	Grand Total of all the Items	2455287	165778	2621065	2461599	41156 2502755 681 118991

Net decrease.. 118310

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.-

4th From the foregoing Statement it will be observed that a decrease of the Land, with the exception of two Districts "Kurnool" and "Nellore" attributable to the decrease in Farthy collections, the outstanding balances remaining uncollected at the end of Fusly 1261 (1851-52) do considerable, as it was at the end of Fusly 1260 (1850-51) and partly to the deficiency in Revenue derivable from Abkarry, Motorpha & in the Presidency Division 4th The following Statement shows according to the instructions of the Board of Revenue in their Circular dated the 6th of May 1852, the charges of the management collections on account of the Revenue charitable and religious allowances & charges on the Land Revenue and the Profession Tax separately. The increase and decrease of each item will be seen in numerical order.-

Comparison

Items-	Fusly 1261.	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Land irrigated by Tanks	Nellore & Wells. Gross assessment	Estimated Collections.
1 Land Revenue	22,86,640	21,91,412		95,228			
2 Abkarry	1,29,379	1,17,117		12,262			
3 Sundry Small farms & Licences	19,257	16,156		3,101			
4 Motorpha	1,58,133	1,50,571		7,562			
5 Stamps	27,656	27,499		157			
Totals	26,21,065	25,02,755		1,18,310			

Fusly 1261 — 13,56,104 — 10,17,078

Fusly 1262 — 13,81,74 — 10,36,330

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

Items		Actual Charges incurred		Comparison		Centage between Collections & Charges.-	
		Fusly	Fusly	Increase	Decrease	Fusly	Fusly
		1261	1262			1261	1262
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Collectors Salary and Commission	54613	45947	"	8666	2 63	2 17
2	Deputation allowance	1302	3015	1713	"	" 11	" 23
3	Tent allowance	6060	5497		563	" 43	" 4 "
4	House and office rent	867	838		29	" 7	" 7
5	Native establishment of the Hoozoor & Talooks	126805	119554		7251	5 89	5 73
6	Saderward charges	5240	3901		1339	" 38	" 21
7	Extra revenue charges	5194	5554	360	"	" 37	" 41
	Total	200081	184306	2073	17848	8 12	8 67
8	Repairs of Tanks and Watercourses	45495	49901	4406	"	4 77	4 13
9	Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed lands privileges &c	35981	30392	"	5589	1 92	1 62
10	Pagoda and Mosque allowances	1744	1204	"	540	" 13	" 11
11	Compensation in lieu of resumed Lands Offices and previleges	56123	49644	"	6479	2 73	2 43
	Total	93848	81240	"	12608	4 18	3 114
12	Pensions and charitable allowances chargeable on the revenue	3481	3251	"	230	" 25	" 24
13	Pensions and charitable allowances of a general nature	205	215	10	"	" 1	" 2
14	Pensions to the Families of the deceased Servants connected with the late Native Pension Fund	826	928	102	"	" 7	" 8
15	Pensions revenue Superannuation	1425	1455	30	"	" 1	" 11
16	Pensions of the late Native Pension Fund	284	270	"	14	" 2	" 2
17	Medical revenue charges	760	714	"	46	" 6	" 6
18	Law charges of the revenue Department	24	10	"	14	" 1	" 1
19	Repairs of Revenue buildings	143	156	13	"	" 1	" 1
20	Advances for cultivation	54	"	"	54	" 1	" "
		7202	6999	155	358	" 5	" 51
	Total Land revenue Charges	346626	322446	6634	30814	15 27	14 115

6633

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

1. The salary of the late Collector Mr. Freese for June and his personal allowance from November to June $\frac{1260}{1850-51}$ and that of Mr. Smith for June of the above Fusly was drawn in arrears $\frac{1261}{1851-52}$ while in the Fusly under review the above charges have not been incurred - Independent of this the salary of Mr. Forbes for May and June was not charged within the Fusly, and that of the present Assistant Collector Mr. Grame is less than that of his predecessor Mr. Smith, and a large sum has been carried to the head "refund of revenue charges" consequent on the one third of Mr. Forbes' salary having been retrenched during his absence on leave, a decrease is therefore apparent under the head Collectors' salary.—

2. The increase in the sum drawn as deputation allowance is attributed to Messrs. Wedderburn and Blair having drawn this allowance for the whole Fusly as acting Sub and Head Assistant Collectors, and the present Collector having held the acting appointment from the 27th of January to the close of the Fusly.—

The

6632.

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

1. Charges on account of Allowances Moorchalkas
1 Refunds of allowances to the Surveyor
1 Refunds of Salaries
arrears
1. Commissions to the Darogah and vendors
2 Refunds of Stamp duty

			57
349	407	58	44
			19
163	163		
			5 18
1497	1403		94
			5 69
			1 15 11
810	549		261
			2 15
2307	1952		355
			8 59
			7 17
34945	32498	66 92	31159
			13 54
			12 159

Net decrease 24477

Grand Total

3. The decrease under the head "tent allowance" has arisen from the fixed tent allowance of Mr. Blair having been adjusted with the Collector of North arcot during the period he was acting for the Head Assistant, and from the Extra tent allowance of the Acting Sub Collector Mr. Wedderburn not having been drawn during the time he was in charge of the district, consequent on Mr. Forbes' absence on leave.—

Chittavail and Poolevendalah.

5. The Salaries of certain Tahsildars for three months which had been held in deposit in Fusly 1261/1851-52 on account of neglect of duty having been disbursed in Fusly 1262/1852-53 there ought to have been an increase under the head "Native Establishments of the Hoozoor and talooks" but from the salaries of the talook establishments for June 1261/1851-52 having been paid within the Fusly, while that for the same month of Fusly 1260/1850-51 was not charged till the commencement of the next Fusly and from the present Head Sheristadar being on the receipt of a decreased salary of Rs. 350 per mensem, a decrease under this head is observable.—

The

6 The Saderward charges increased in June, Fusly 1260/1850-51, and the value of paper purchased for books required for 1262/1852-53 were charged within 1261/1851-52, but the absence of any similar charge in the current Fusly and the due precaution used to prevent any unnecessary expences, accounts for the decrease under this head.—

Reasons for decrease × Items

1 Batta to servants — The No. of days required for travelling by the servants of the Hoozoor Cutcherry being less, a decrease in the amount of batta arises.—

From less sum being expended under this head — Rewards for killing wild beasts —

From precaution used to prevent unnecessary expences — Charges for removing records along with the Circuit and the expences incurrible in the remittances of treasure.—

Repairs of tables, Chairs Scales Weights & Cca — Less repairs being required.—

7. Tho' there is a decrease under the head "extra revenue charge" amounting to Rs. 1410 arising from the expenditure appertaining to the month of June 1260/1850-51 and charged in 1261/1851-52 not having been incurred in 1262/1852-53, and from a less amount defrayed in the Fusly under review than in the preceding in the several items noted in the margin for reasons assigned opposite to each of them, yet from the allowance amounting to

to Rupees 1,800 due to the extra establishment employed for assisting the permanent servants during the Samabundy of Fusly 1261 (1851-52) having been disbursed in 1262 (1852-53) a net increase of Rupees 300 is apparent.—

8. The increase in the repairs of Tanks and Watercourses is attributable to the carrying out of extensive repairs to the tanks and channels which had been damaged by the heavy rains, and very heavy freshes experienced during the month of October of this Fusly.—

9 and 10. The amount due for item No. 9 for the quarter ending Fusly 1260 (1850-51) was charged in 1261, but in 1262 it has been paid within the close of the Fusly and the payment of the item No. 10 was suspended until the final instructions of the higher authorities were received, as

as directed in a Circular letter received from the Board of Revenue dated the 23rd of September 1852, which account for the decrease under the two items above referred to.—

11. The decrease under this head is partly owing to this allowance for the month of June 1260 (1850-51) having been paid in 1261 (1851-52) and also to a smaller No. of Pensioners drawing these allowances being absent on leave and to fewer casualties having occurred.—

49. The remaining items do not call for any particular remark.—

50 The following statement exhibits the details of the arrears outstanding in this District from Fusly 1254 (1844-45) to 1261 (1851-52).

Fuslies.

6638

From Fort St George

15th May 1854

Particulars of Column 6.

1 Description.	2	3 Total balance up to the end of Fusly 1261.	4 Of which in Fusly Collections	5 Collections &c on 1262. Remissions granted as per Orders of Government dated 28th September 1852. and 19th March 1853.	6 Balance up to the end of Fusly 1262.	7 Collections up to the end of January 1854.	8 Remissions granted as per sanction of Government Dated the 16th July 1853.	9 Recoverable	10 Irrecoverable
Land Revenue.									
	Fuslies.								
1	Fusly 1254	17 " 1	" "	17 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	" 1255	17 " 1	" "	17 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	" 1256	17 " 1	" "	17 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	" 1257	18 8 2	" "	18 8 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	" 1258	937 10 5	319 11 4	610 15 8	6 15 9	6 15 9	" " "	83 14 9	" " "
6	" 1259	2936 9 3	173 12 8	2675 6 10	87 5 9	3 7 "	" " "	2458	24 15 1
7	" 1260	13743 6 4	777 15 2	12756 2 5	209 4 9	160 " "	" " "	73 " 4	3,668 5 6
8	" 1261	34089 1 4	29898 13 11	" " "	4190 3 5	448 13 7	" " "	181 4 9	3,693 4 7
	Total	51776 3 9	31170 4 9	16112 1 4	4493 13 8	619 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
Abkarree Tax Collection	1 Fusly 1261	54 " "	54 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Excise Revenue	1 Fusly 1258	17206 11 10	1449 1 11	" " "	15,757 9 11	183 3 7	15,470 8 3	103 14 1	" " "
	2 " 1259	1393 5 10	149 12 1	" " "	1243 9 9	" " "	1,212 11 6	12 10 4	18 3 11
	3 " 1260	1628 2 "	316 15 7	" " "	1311 2 5	6 11 1	1,244 3 "	8 10 6	51 9 10
	4 " 1261	5412 3 1	4319 11 11	" " "	1092 7 2	202 12 4	" " "	27 14 8	6 18 6 2
	Total	25640 6 9	6235 9 6	" " "	19404 13 3	392 11 "	17,927 6 9	396 7 7	688 3 11
	Grand Total	77470 10 6	37459 14 3	16112 1 4	23898 10 11	1011 15 4	17,927 6 9	577 12 4	4,381 8 6

51. Of the amount of Rupees 4381-8-6 entered in the 16th column of the foregoing statement, the sum of Rs. 94-12-10 is the balance appertaining to fuslies 1259/1849-50 and 1260/1850-51 and the remaining sum of Rupees 4286-11-8 is due by ryots for fusly 1261/1851-52. Of the former item, Rupees 67-13-1 is a portion of the amount due by one Venkata Soobbiah Curnum of Doneedah, in the Poolevendala Taluk, which was entered in the last report under the head "recoverable" A greater portion of the sum due by this individual has since been realized from the sale of his Puttah lands agreeably to the orders of the Board of Revenue, Rupees 20-8-2 is the amount of Maramut Tuffrick due by Enamdars who have been reduced in circumstances, consequent on their enam lands having been destroyed, and

and Rupees 6-7-7 is the sum due by certain enamdars who resigned their enam lands The aforementioned sum of Rupees 4,381-8-6 being considered desperate, I solicit permission to strike the same off the accounts. The particulars of these balances are shewn in detail in the accompanying Statements Nos 23 and 24.—

52. The following is a Statement shewing the No. of Topes planted agreeably to the Rules established by the Board of Revenue, in their Circular under date the 6th of April 1848, and the extent of land appropriated for the purpose, together with the assessment thereon.— The

6641 Fort St. George 15th May 1854

The extent of land appropriated for topes.—

		No. of Topes	Land	Assessment	No. of Trees Planted.
1		2	3	4	5
			acres Cs as	Rs A P	
1	Planted up to Fusly 1261	16	32 31 7	170 8 "	1,713
2	Do during the Fusly 1262	8	21 35 5	41 4 11	855
	Total	24	54 26 12	211 12 11	2,568
	Particulars				
	Planted for Charitable purposes	15	32 37 4	190 11 8	1,456
	Do for private purposes	9	21 29 8	21 1 3	1,112
	Total	24	54 26 12	211 12 11	2,568

No. 42.

From the Collector of Chingleput, 10th May 1854.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the accompanying Statement of the Revenue Settlement of the Dewanoor Tope with the Collector's Report thereon.

2nd. In conclusion I beg to state that I have received much valuable information and able assistance from Mr. Medlicott the Head Collector, and Mr. Assistants Blair the Head Grame the Assistants. The Head Assistant Sreenevasa Pantulu has been of much service in this Dewaut, but from want of knowledge of the English language and unacquainted with Engineering and measuring, I have fully merits the Zillah Examiner be fully merits the Zillah Examiner be fully explained that have been restored upon character that have been restored upon the Sum. He must consider towards the Sum. He must consider towards the Sum. He must consider towards

6643.

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement of the Number of Villages in each Taluk in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262

	Names of the Taluks	Acres as per ayaut	Sunnud Surunjam & Inaum Villages	Ryotwar Villages		Rented Villages	Summary			Shrotriem &c.		Total Government Villages		Permanently settled	
				Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	Cummulmudgoo	214087 16 15½	6	128	68	"	"	"	"	5	"	133	68	"	"
2	Doosor	148221 17 10	5	81	200	"	"	"	"	14	5	95	205	"	"
3	Koilgoontlah	223919 31 9½	12	100	52	"	"	"	"	1	"	101	52	"	"
4	Chitwail	264426 35 7¾	8	92	443	"	"	"	"	48	32	140	475	"	"
5	Sidhout	166346 1 13½	8	80	180	"	"	"	"	2	"	82	180	"	"
6	Chennoor	98806 23 9¼	4	65	189	"	"	"	"	12	3	77	192	"	"
7	Kamalapoor	104386 4 2¼	6	71	164	"	"	"	"	13	"	84	144	"	"
8	Goorrumcondah	337773 29 3¼	20	108	1781	"	"	"	"	2	1	110	1782	"	"
9	Maddenpully	301981 26 9	18	112	1486	"	"	"	"	2	"	114	1486	"	"
10	Poolwendalah	502951 18 8	11	216	782	"	"	"	"	12	1	227	783	"	"
11	Roychooty	303957 9 5¾	12	113	2110	"	"	"	"	2	"	115	2110	"	"
12	Soonganoor	225781 24 8½	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	68	66
	Total	2952639396¼	120	1165	7435	"	"	"	"	113	42	1278	7477	68	66
1	Cumbum	290569 2 15¼	12	168	128	"	"	"	"	4	3	172	131	"	"
2	Doopand	560766 6 1½	15	325	109	"	"	"	"	1	2	326	111	"	"
3	Budwail	300050 3 12	7	116	263	"	"	"	"	28	2	144	265	"	"
	Total	1151385 12 12¾	34	609	500	"	"	"	"	33	7	642	507	"	"
	Grand Total	4104025 12 3	154	1774	7935	"	"	—	—	146	49	1920	7984	68	66

Collector.

Asst Collector.

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853. E&G

15th May 1854.

Pilipinto -		Motuphia -		Total -		Remarks.
Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	
16	17	18	19	20	21	
"	"	"	"	133	68	Particulars of Columns Nos. 10 & 11.
"	"	"	"	95	205	
"	"	"	"	101	52	
"	"	1	"	161	476	
"	"	"	"	82	180	Shrotriums for 3 Lives — Villages 2 - Hamlets 2 -
"	"	1	"	78	192	
"	"	"	"	84	166	Permanent Shrotriums do — 166 — 47 -
"	"	"	"	110	1,782	
"	"	"	"	114	1,486	Total 166 — 49.
"	"	"	"	227	783	
"	"	"	"	115	2110	
"	"	"	"	68	869	
"	"	2	"	1348	8146	
"	"	"	"	172	131	
"	"	"	"	326	111	
"	"	"	"	166	265	
"	"	"	"	662	507	
"	"	2	"	1990	8653	

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.-

1854.

No. 2 Population &c.a statistics in the Zillah of Fort St.a George

15th. May 1854

Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Number—	Houses— Occupied	Unoccupied	To Let	Unfinished	Total	Hindoos— Adults	Children	Total	Mussulmen— Adults	Children	Total	Christians— Adults	Children	Total	Grand Total of Columns 8-11 and 12-14— Adults	Children	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1 Cumbum	"	"	"	"	19492	54758	30353	85111	5139	3443	8882	"	"	"	60197	33796	93993
2 Doopaud	"	"	"	"	20707	62896	41335	104031	2899	2089	4988	"	"	"	65595	43424	109019
3 Budwail	"	"	"	"	13865	43232	22311	66543	2701	1822	4523	6	"	6	45939	24133	70072
4 Jummulmudgoo	"	"	"	"	15679	53242	29906	83148	3669	2458	6127	"	"	"	60911	32364	89275
5 Doovoor	"	"	"	"	16107	49315	28058	75373	4444	2621	7065	"	"	"	53759	28679	82438
6 Koilcoontlah	"	"	"	"	16997	54501	28653	83154	3941	2324	6265	116	70	186	58058	30947	89005
7 Chitwail	"	"	"	"	23079	70814	36952	107768	3050	1774	4824	"	"	"	73864	38726	112590
8 Sidhout	"	"	"	"	11909	35877	19974	55851	1945	1376	3321	"	"	"	37822	21350	59172
9 Chunnoor	"	"	"	"	17898	46714	22637	69351	7834	5126	12760	112	78	190	54460	27841	82301
10 Kamalapoor	"	"	"	"	10687	35404	18886	54264	2160	1442	3602	"	"	"	37564	20302	57866
11 Goorrumcondah	"	"	"	"	25919	77088	43534	120622	4106	2459	6565	"	"	"	81194	45993	127187
12 Muddanpully	"	"	"	"	20888	66092	32060	97152	2823	1703	4526	"	"	"	67915	33703	101618
13 Poolevendlah	"	"	"	"	33640	102669	57760	160429	6955	4814	11769	10	8	18	109634	62582	172216
14 Royachoty	"	"	"	"	22217	64225	38041	102266	4792	3052	7844	18	14	32	69035	41107	110142
15 Pongunoor	"	"	"	"	17506	62515	29225	91734	1711	909	2620	4	"	4	64230	30137	94367
Total	"	"	"	"	292490	878242	477852	1355804	58269	37412	95681	288	170	436	936777	515144	1451921

Total of Column — No. 17

Particulars of Adults

Males — 477721

Females — 459056 — 936777

Children —

Boys — 281600

Girls — 233544 — 515144

Cultivating Class

Ryots — Single — Joint — Under Tenants — 972299

Labourers — Non Cultivating do — 479622

Total — 1451921

Cuddapah. 11th. July 1853.

E. E. J.P.

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector
Ploughs

6845

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

A in N: 2 Ploughs and cattle in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262

N:	Talooks	Ploughs-	Tilling Cattle-	Cows-	Buffaloes-	Sheep
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Jummulmudgoo	5454½	12377	2743	10795	21432
2	Doowor	5783	11243	2445	9072	6193
3	Koolgoontlah	5590½	13444	2310	11741	14559
4	Chitwail	6305	19952	15041	8113	60994
5	Sidhout	4193	8996	8700	6910	46169
6	Chennoor	4079½	9894	2714	7627	13376
7	Kamalapoor	3983	10628	2346	7862	16518
8	Goorrumcondah	10010	21273	20301	1558	54334
9	Muddempully	6578	14485	13178	2297	49824
10	Poolevendlah	11074½	23741	16111	10918	71014
11	Royachoty	7221	15637	10767	4941	57587
	Total	70278	161070	102656	81734	411999
1	Cummum	6751	19205	13900	18331	40125
2	Doopaud	6019½	12992	7912	5[illegible]8	132800
3	Budwail	4214	10300	5115	7045	43674
	Total	16984½	42497	26927	30514	216399
	Poonganoor	-	20908	28330	4331	29175
	Grand Total	87262½	225075	157913	116579	657573

Sub Collector

Cuddapah. 11th July 1863. C. C. R. / Signed / C. M. Murray Collector

Pyoto

8826-

Fort St. George 15th May 1854-

B. in No. 2 Ryots and Puttahs in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262-

No.	Talooks-	Ryots			Puttahs		
		Resident	Pagaries-	Total	Single-	Goonchee or Joint	Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Jummulmudgoo	7470	1500	8970	6728	807	7535
2	Doovor	7035	1391	8426	7115	486	7601
3	Koilgoontlah	7638	1593	9231	7076	848	7924
4	Chitvail	12280	2090	14370	12389	777	13166
5	Sidhout	7743	1193	8936	7596	431	8027
6	Chennoor	6597	1690	8287	7543	186	7729
7	Pamalapaur	5231	527	5758	5375	472	5847
8	Goorrumcondah	13602	2023	15625	11958	1514	13472
9	Muddenpully	8094	1176	10170	8619	1405	8024
10	Poaleendlah	15302	2302	17604	13946	1469	15415
11	Royachoty	13835	2543	16378	14138	943	15081
	Total	105727	19028	124755	100483	9338	109821
1	Cumbum	8666	3333	11999	10160	721	10881
2	Doopaud	7586	2372	9958	8626	665	9291
3	Budwail	7533	1813	9346	7840	648	8488
	Total	23785	7518	31303	26626	2034	28660
	Grand Total	129512	26546	156058	127109	11372	138481

Asst. Collector-

Cuddapah. 11th July 1853

C. C. J.: (Signed) M: Murray
Collector

Details

6647

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

No. 3. Details of Irrigation in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Number	Names of the Talooks	Tanks — Repaired by Government	Tanks — Repaired by Ryots	Tanks — Unrepaired	River Channels — Repaired by Government	River Channels — Repaired by Ryots	River Channels — Unrepaired	Spring Channels — Repaired by Ryots	Spring Channels — Unrepaired	Anicut — Repaired by Ryots	Anicut — Unrepaired	Anicut — Repaired by Government	Wells — Repaired by Ryots	Wells — Unrepaired
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	Sunnumulmadgoo	21	"	7	9	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	837	30
2	Doovoor	25	"	1	9	4	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	970	137
3	Kailgoontlah	20	"	3	2	4	"	25	"	"	"	"	"	"	417	4
4	Cuttavail	99	"	14	27	4	4	37	"	2	2	"	1	"	3582	14
5	Sidhout	96	"	20	"	4	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	2272	164
6	Cheemoor	31	"	30	2	4	"	33	"	3	4	"	"	"	1288	519
7	Elamalapoor	8	"	7	29	"	"	92	"	1	4	"	"	"	890	169
8	Goorrumcondah	194	"	1187	48	"	66	50	"	157	"	"	"	"	2711	1771
9	Mudderupully	159	"	693	17	4	16	33	"	106	1	"	6	"	1711	1013
10	Poolevendlah	140	"	164	33	"	17	20	"	50	5	"	"	"	4025	2434
11	Royachoty	194	"	719	38	"	91	16	"	116	3	"	1	"	3283	3560
12	Poonganoor	164	"	1148	9	4	29	40	"	12	"	"	"	"	871	497
	Total	1151	"	3993	223	4	224	467	"	447	11	"	8	"	22803	10308
1	Cumbum	39	"	36	5	"	4	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	1162	14
2	Doosaud	55	"	46	"	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	2792	7
3	Budvail	92	"	37	1	4	"	1	"	1	3	"	1	"	1485	84
	Total	186	"	117	6	"	4	17	"	1	3	"	1	"	5439	105
	Grand Total	1337	"	4110	229	"	228	484	"	448	14	"	9	"	28302	10413

Particulars of works of Irrigation out of Repair —

Government Tanks 476
Private Ditto 135 — 611

Government Channels 208
Private Ditto 15 — 223

Government Anicut 4
Private Ditto 1 — 5

Government Wells —
Old 3824
New 1318 — 5143
Dasswundum 19 — 5161

Particulars of Column 14—
Old Wells 12025
New Ditto enjoyed under the orders allowing improvements by means of Private Capital without additional assessment 10274 — 28302

Cuddapah 11th July 1853. C. E. [illegible]

Signed / A. Murray / Collector

Statement

6648-

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

No. 4- Statement shewing the places where the Summabundy was settled and the Puttahs distributed in the Zillah of Cuddapah for fusly 1262.-

Names of the European Officers	Names of the Talooks-	Number of Villages	Total Puttahs-	Names of the Stations	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6.
Mr. A. Murray Collector	Sidhout	80	8027	Sidhout	
	Chitwail	92	13166	Chitwail	
	Pooleyendlah	212	15415	Vempully	
	Royacholy	113	15081	Royacholy	
	Goorrumcondah	108	13472	Vobilspaud	
	Muddempully	112	8024	Muddempully	
		719	73185		
Mr. A. Wedderburn Sub Collector	Summulmudgoo	128	7535	Summulmudgoo	
	Kamalapoor	71	5847	Kamalapoor and Vallur	
	Chennoor	65	7729	Cuddapah	
	Budwail	116	8488	Budwail	
	Doopaud	309	9291	Mareapoor	
	Cumbum	168	10881	Cumbum	
		857	49771		
Mr. W. T. Blair Head assistant Collector	Doovoor	81	7601	Chintacoontah	
	Koilgoontlah	100	7924	Koilgoontlah	
		181	15525		
	Total	1757	138481		

Cuddapah.
11th July 1853.

C. C. Jr. /Signed/ M. Murray
Collector

Rent-

6619-

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

No. 5. Rent Roll in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262

	Number	Amount of assessment	
Ryots. paying 1000 Rupees and upwards	1	1008	3 8
Do. from 750 Do. to 1000 Rupees	2	1619	3 10
Do. from 500 Do. to 750 Do.	7	3779	13 5
Do. from 250 Do. to 500 Do.	79	24950	5 1
Do. from 200 Do. to 250 Do.	88	19443	14
Do. from 150 Do. to 200 Do.	239	40405	3 -
Do. from 100 Do. to 150 Do.	777	92183	3 3
Do. from 75 Do. to 100 Do.	1200	101963	9 1
Do. from 50 Do. to 75 Do.	3405	201341	12 10
Do. from 40 Do. to 50 Do.	3172	121118	13 1
Do. from 30 Do. to 40 Do.	5949	204678	8 4
Do. from 20 Do. to 30 Do.	11322	274800	9 5
Do. from 10 Do. to 20 Do.	23202	329815	4 10
Do. under 10 Do.	71231	274006	6 6
Total Puttahs	120674	1714114	11 11
Goonchee or Joint Puttahs	10659	208363	- 6
Total	131333	1922477	15 5

Cuddapah
17th July 1853.

C. C. P. [Signed] M. Murray
Collector

Prices

6650-

Fort St. George

No. 6. Prices of Grain in the Zillah of Cuddapah.

Talooks	Commutation price in the Terms originally fixed	Commutation Price converted to Rupees and Madras Garce of 3200 cubic Madras Measures- Nunjah-	Poonjah-
1	2	3	4
1 Immulmudgoo	" " "	85 " "	131 " "
2 Doovoor	" " "	90 " "	136 " "
3 Koilgoontlah	" " "	83 " "	131 " "
4 Chitwail	" " "	69 " "	150 " "
5 Sidhout	" " "	90 " "	161 " "
6 Chennoor	" " "	88 " "	143 " "
7 Ramalapoor	" " "	85 " "	146 " "
8 Goorrumcondah	" " "	52 " "	152 " "
9 Muddempully	" " "	53 " "	148 " "
10 Poolevendlah	" " "	58 " "	120 " "
11 Royachoty	" " "	67 " "	159 " "
Collector- Total	" " "	820 " "	1586 " "
1 Cumbum	" " "	81 " "	121 " "
2 Doopaud	" " "	87 " "	131 " "
3 Budwail	" " "	86 " "	144 " "
Collector- Total	" " "	254 " "	396 " "
Poonganoor	" " "	55 " "	158 " "
Grand Total	" " "	1129 " "	2140 " "
Average	" " "	75 " "	143 " "

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853-

15th May 1854

for Fusly 1262

Merchants whole-sale prices.

Paddy. 1st Sort	Paddy. 2nd Sort	Dry Grain. Jawary or Cholum.	Dry Grain. Suzjaloo or Cumboo	Dry Grain. Horse Gram.	Dry Grain. Raggy.
5	6	7	8	9	10
86 " "	84 " "	119 " "	115 " "	101 " "	111 " "
92 " "	89 " "	121 " "	116 " "	101 " "	109 " "
84 " "	82 " "	114 " "	116 " "	95 " "	95 " "
73 " "	65 " "	81 " "	90 " "	124 " "	85 " "
94 " "	86 " "	111 " "	108 " "	133 " "	106 " "
93 " "	82 " "	140 " "	112 " "	117 " "	113 " "
97 " "	74 " "	129 " "	113 " "	118 " "	115 " "
54 " "	50 " "	89 " "	70 " "	99 " "	69 " "
54 " "	52 " "	87 " "	75 " "	98 " "	58 " "
61 " "	55 " "	78 " "	79 " "	78 " "	79 " "
69 " "	65 " "	84 " "	86 " "	106 " "	86 " "
857 " "	784 " "	1153 " "	1080 " "	1170 " "	1026 " "
85 " "	76 " "	96 " "	96 " "	100 " "	89 " "
89 " "	86 " "	101 " "	102 " "	107 " "	89 " "
94 " "	77 " "	99 " "	102 " "	117 " "	93 " "
268 " "	239 " "	296 " "	300 " "	324 " "	271 " "
55 " "	54 " "	" " "	" " "	113 " "	55 " "
1180 " "	1077 " "	1449 " "	1380 " "	1607 " "	1352 " "
79 " "	72 " "	103 " "	98 " "	107 " "	90 " "

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.

Statement.

6651

No 7 Statement shewing the Ayacut in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Fort St George

Talooks	Total Ayacut	Deduct Pesrumboke	Remainder. Land.	Assessment.	Deduct Enam. Land	Assessment	Remaining Cowar land. Land.	Assessment	Add Portions of Land.
1	2 Acres G as.	3 Acres G as.	4 A G as.	5 Rs A P	6 A G as.	7 Rs A P	8 A G as.	9 Rs A P	10
Jummulmudgoo	353562 24 "	64097 10 12¾	289465 13 3¼	444701 10 10	78891 30 "	151339 14 9	210573 23 3¼	293361 12 1	1695 29 3¼
Doovoor	342244 1 15	120847 24 5¼	221396 1 9¾	467186 1 1	84470 38 13¾	186282 15 6	136935 19 8	270903 1 7	2807 15 10¾
Koilgoontlah	375631 34 14½	56724 15 13¼	318907 19 1¼	471455 13 4	99943 32 3½	182964 9 8	218963 26 13¾	288491 3 8	1822 24 2¾
Chitwail	342355 16 7½	25766 38 5¾	316588 18 1¾	522150 2 3	57251 " 6¼	173467 10 9	259337 17 11¼	348682 7 6	851 1 10¼
Sidhout	193034 10 6¾	12212 31 4¼	180821 19 2½	338799 1 11	15583 15 6¼	75298 8 7	165238 3 12¼	263500 9 4	732 8 11¾
Chennoor	378861 17 5	245355 15 12½	133506 1 8½	279542 7 2	38024 16 7½	102634 10 8	95181 25 1¼	176907 12 6	2760 24 3½
Kamalapoor	222625 2 11	70065 9 13½	152559 32 13½	285926 3 11	51920 4 6¼	98736 11 8	100639 28 7¼	187189 8 3	2486 30 2½
Goorrumcondah	430323 24 18¾	46206 37 5½	384116 27 10¼	516788 4 10	49107 36 14	175643 13 6	335008 30 12¼	341144 7 4	1110 2 10½
Muddenpully	381512 26 1½	37369 20 2	344143 5 15½	381378 14 10	46083 3 5	110350 8 9	298060 2 10½	271028 6 1	2630 19 14
Poolevendlah	1394193 20 15¼	809731 14 8	584462 6 6½	597713 13 8	95991 39 11½	152911 11 9	488470 6 11	444802 1 11	11264 25 7¼
Royachoty	434140 24 10	29153 29 15¼	404986 34 10¾	439833 4 8	46379 21 5¾	128795 2 4	358607 13 5	311028 2 4	1074 10 3¼
Total	4848485 4 6¼	1517531 8 2¾	3330953 36 3½	4735465 14 6	663947 38 3¾	1538426 5 11	2667005 37 15¾	3197039 8 7	29235 31 13¾
Cumbum	397395 22 9¾	14836 20 11¾	382559 1 14	450114 14 1	96550 39 5¾	169389 9 1	286008 2 8¼	280725 5 "	1162 19 4½
Doopaud	709062 36 2½	17173 20 2	691889 16 ½	569651 2 "	137602 36 9	156767 11 "	554286 19 7½	412883 7 "	4655 19 14
Budwail	365731 9 15¾	11235 9 3½	354496 " 12¼	380242 9 5	56619 34 11¾	113871 15 2	297876 5 13½	264370 10 3	867 30 12½
Total	1472189 28 12	43245 10 1¼	1428944 18 10¾	1400008 9 6	290773 30 13½	442029 3 3	1138170 27 13¼	957979 6 3	6685 29 14¾
Grand Total	6320674 33 2¼	1560776 18 4	4759898 14 14¼	6135474 8 "	954721 29 1¼	1980455 9 2	3885176 25 13	4155018 14 10	35921 21 12
Permanent Poishcush —	334019 19 4¼	13098 8 "	320921 11 4¼	518875 10 10	96787 24 4½	205894 11 11	224133 26 15¾	312980 14 11	102 32 "
Grand Total	6654694 12 6½	1573874 26 4	5080819 26 2½	6654350 2 10	1051509 13 5¾	2186350 5 1	4029310 12 12¾	4467999 13 9	36024 13 12
Dry					922269 23 10¾	1019008 10 9	117461 36 2¼	272163 14 8	Sangor or Lands under Cultivation
Garden					30883 20 7½	245049 8 8			
Wet					96207 7 3¾	909932 11 5	70567 8 7½	813990 4 "	Lanky or Lands available for Cultivation
Wet Garden					113 39 6¾	1374 8 "			
Poonasa					2035 2 9	10984 14 3	2144281 8 3	932545 11 1	Amadee Bunjer or Lands left waste from time immemorial
Total					1051509 13 5¾	2186350 5 1	4029310 12 12¾	4467999 13 9	

15th May 1854

Add of

Porumboke and Enam lands brought under Circar Cultivation.—

Porumboke cultivated.						Sequestered Enam.						Total					
Assessment			Land			Assessment			Land			Assessment		
11			12			13			14			15		
2559	12	11	1818	4	9	3111	14	1	3513	33	12¼	5671	11	"
5810	11	1	8488	22	7¼	20819	3	11	11295	38	2	26629	15	"
2304	7	11	3133	20	9	7144	8	8	4956	4	11¾	9649	"	7
1776	1	11	4238	16	2¼	11186	12	3	5089	17	12½	12962	14	2
2317	11	10	375	29	5½	2092	2	4	1107	38	1¼	4409	14	2
4289	7	9	864	14	4½	2999	3	1	3624	38	8	7288	10	10
4120	3	10	1259	25	8½	3047	12	9	3746	15	11	7168	"	7
2754	12	"	1654	35	12½	8292	1	"	2764	38	7	11046	13	"
5189	13	7	1291	4	½	6883	10	"	3921	23	14½	12073	7	7
12068	9	11	3216	26	5¾	7688	15	"	14481	11	13	19757	8	11
1713	13	11	4275	26	"	14534	8	4	5349	36	3¼	16248	6	3
45105	10	8	30616	25	3¼	87800	11	5	59852	16	14	132906	6	1
2144	15	2	3398	21	2¾	6812	1	6	4561	"	7	8957	"	8
7368	8	1	1824	6	12	2659	6	11	6479	26	10	10027	15	"
2126	7	5	1306	7	2	3548	13	2	2173	37	14½	5675	4	7
11639	14	8	6528	35	3¼	13020	5	7	13214	24	15½	24660	4	3
36745	9	4	37145	20	1½	100821	1	"	73067	1	13½	157566	10	4
348	"	9	1545	5	8¾	4431	3	10	1647	37	8¾	4779	4	7
57093	10	1	38690	25	10¼	105252	4	10	74714	39	6¼	162345	14	11

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

Total Circar Ayacut				Deduct Waste.				Remaining Cultivation brought to Jummahbundy.				Assessment
Land.			Assessment.	Land			Assessment	Land				
16			17	18			19	20				21
214087	16	15½	299033	7	1	87436	3 6¾	85153	3 "	126651	13 8¾	240880 4 1
148221	17	10	297533	"	7	50828	13 11¾	92637	5 3	91393	3 14¼	204895 11 4
223919	31	9¼	298140	4	3	103644	39 8	58126	3 9	120274	32 1½	240014 " 6
264426	35	7¾	361645	5	8	210862	7 1½	154917	1 3	53864	25 6½	206728 4 5
166346	1	13½	267910	7	6	133882	15 6¾	100633	3 "	32263	26 6¾	167277 4 6
98806	23	9¼	184196	7	4	44659	31 13¼	50149	10 6	54146	31 12	134046 12 10
104386	4	2¼	194337	8	10	25804	22 8¼	41225	10 11	78381	21 10	153131 13 11
337773	29	3¼	352191	4	4	240119	30 13¼	144412	4 9	97623	38 6	207778 15 7
301981	26	9	283101	13	8	172263	26 11¾	89722	6 11	129717	39 10¼	193379 6 9
302951	18	8	404559	10	10	232383	13 13¼	157710	12 10	270588	4 10½	306848 14 "
363957	9	5¾	327276	8	7	224064	7 8¼	134622	3 7	139893	1 13½	192654 5 "
2726858	14	13¾	3829945	14	8	1531979	12 9¾	1082310	1 9	1194879	2 4	2247635 12 11
290569	2	15¼	289682	5	8	209144	38 15¼	124074	" 10	81424	4 ¼	165608 4 10
560766	6	1½	422911	6	"	251561	21 14¼	273430	4 3	109204	24 3¼	149481 1 9
300050	3	12	270046	14	10	236887	20 11¾	136633	6 8	63162	23 ¼	133412 8 2
1151385	12	12¾	982639	10	6	897692	1 9	534137	11 9	253791	11 3¼	448501 14 9
3878243	27	10½	4312585	9	2	2429573	14 2¾	1616447	13 6	1448670	13 7¾	2696137 11 8
225781	24	8½	317760	3	6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
4104025	12	3	4630345	12	8	2429573	14 2¾	1616447	13 6	1448670	13 7¾	2696137 11 "
Dry— 3862148	36	5½	2632299	6	"							
Garden 78586	32	13½	634016	3	10							
Wet— 158062	28	6¾	1332344	11	5							
Wet Garden 713	20	6	8885	1	1							
Pymaise 4513	14	3¼	22800	6	4							
Total 4104025	12	3	4630345	12	8							

The Particulars as to Dry Wet and Garden for each Talook has not been obtained for this year. The requisite information will be inserted in the Statements for the subsequent years.—

E E Jr. / Signed / M. Murry Collector.—

Statement

Cuddapah 11th July 1854.

6653

No. 8 Statement of the Enam cultivation in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly

Fort St. George

		Extent of Enam land	Dry		Garden		Wet		Poonsa	
		Total	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Dumaulyem.	Pagodas		23975 13 4¼	28620 11 6	436 30 12	3206 6 5	2299 21 6¾	21581 9 9	46 37 6½	242 3 4
	Village Goddess		8190 32 ¾	9363 10 10	94 6 10½	676 6 11	525 7 12¾	4868 " 7	1 39 2	10 5 5
	Mosque		3313 36 14	3101 13 6	14 7 1 2¼	1594 11 7	228 21 15	2475 13 10	2 22 "	2 11 "
	Total		35480 2 3	41086 3 10	677 38 8¾	5477 8 11	3053 11 2½	28925 8 2	51 18 8½	265 5 9
Sumaulyem.	Bhutwarty Enam		214552 3 15¾	264527 7 2	4850 13 4½	35475 6 1	17572 30 5¾	178982 8 9	637 4 11½	3156 14 4
	Khyrat Enam		38875 39 ¾	42344 4 4	791 36 15¼	6623 6 4	2739 19 ¾	29528 2 11	75 29 14	428 12 4
	Total		288428 3 3	306868 11 6	5642 10 3¾	42098 12 5	20312 9 6½	208510 11 8	712 34 9½	3585 10 8
Manneam Lands. Service Enams. Miscellaneous Enams to Village servants	Potails Enam		63496 9 3	81680 15 9	2595 12 3	24498 " 8	5282 19 14¼	50863 11 5	112 3 11½	496 5 8
	Curnums Enam		115190 16 12¾	149706 12 9	4386 16 1¾	36513 10 11	8535 22 10¾	89115 7 2	317 31 15	1979 3 1
	Sundry Village servants, Tolies, Tundulgars &c.		204048 11 11¼	236255 4 3	4518 15 13¼	36669 " 8	17867 22 10½	166965 10 2	412 27 3½	2492 3 1
	Total		382734 37 11	467643 " 9	11500 4 2	97680 12 3	31685 25 3½	312944 12 9	842 22 14	4667 12 1
	Cuttoolady Enam		76792 13 1½	106555 7 4	3818 21 14¼	27683 8 7	5935 10 13¼	58683 3 8	164 13 13	804 7 1
	Dasavundum Enam		7305 29 8	9789 1 5	606 3 12 3¼	55750 7 10	2718 4 8 9¼	24638 3 10	160 38 13	1020 10 1
	Zemindars Yca. Enam		7808 21 9¾	8236 5 5	153 " 10¼	1108 11 11	593 23 6¾	7609 9 2	68 31 10	513 4 11
	Total		91906 24 3¼	124580 14 2	10034 34 11¾	84542 12 4	33713 2 13¼	312661 " 8	394 4 4	2338 6 8
	Total Enams		198549 27 4¼	940178 14 3	27855 7 10¼	229799 13 11	88764 8 9¾	863042 1 3	2001 " 4	10857 3 7
Mannem Villages.	Cayem Jody and Surv. Enam Villages and Jaghears given for service rendered to the Company		12319 36 6½	78879 12 6	3028 12 13¼	15249 10 9	7442 38 10	46890 10 2	34 2 5	127 10 8
	Gand Total		922269 23 10¾	1019008 10 9	30883 20 7½	245049 8 8	96207 7 3¾	909932 11 5	2035 2 9	10984 14 3

16th January 1834

1202

Act of Alienation.

Present.

Not connected into Garden

Lands	Acres	Roods	Perches	Total Money Settlement	Money including Improvements	Total Money Settlement after Improvements
Section 10						
Lot 5	2	1	25	£ 3 13 6		£ 3 13 6
" 5	2	1	25	8 12 5	10	18 17 5
" 5	2	1	36	9 2 6	10	19 12 6
" 5	2	1	39	9 3 6	10	19 13 6
" 5	2	1	48	9 4 6	10	19 14

Extract of Corn due to Attwaters Mill

Duty — Grinders

Sacks	Assessment	Sacks	Assessment	Sacks	Assessment
	16	17	18	19	20
17796	4 "	19784	14 3	330	6 8¾	2414	8 9	1719	9 4½
6828	11 3	8263	12 3	78	6 1½	338	15 3	360	30 4¾
2440	3 9¼	2389	2 11	99	30 8½	1183	4 4	163	13 2½
17064	18 8¼	34657	13 7	608	3 23¾	4148	12 4	2243	22 12
18237	24 11¾	20807	14 8	3959	8 13½	39082	8 11	14349	18 "
28962	17 1¼	33679	2 7	593	30 8	4813	1 5	2217	19 1¼
6447	13 9	29625	13 6	2634	38 6	19814	7 5	3203	35 11¼
6447	12 8	19852	8 4	34389	33 2½	40306	6 5	6807	23 11¼

Duty — Grinders

Sacks	Assessment	Sacks	Assessment	Sacks	Assessment
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
1638	11 9	26	26 9¼	114	13 6	"	3 "	2	" "	1927	11 6¾	4173	" 6
3648	8 10	1	39 2	10	5 5	"	"	"	" "	7109	6 13¾	1247	9 9
1903	11 8	2	13 9	10	13 6	"	"	"	" "	3705	30 7¼	5857	3 5
1490	3 3	30	39 4¼	166	" 5	"	5 "	2	" 1	9854	7 11¼	6089	13 8
1926	11 7	455	13 1½	2917	7 8	3	12½	10	9 11	2011	25 13	3915	37 7 9
3415	12 5		63	20 11½	365	10	10	33½	192	12 4	3148	1	6¾	6348	6 7 2

16th January 1824.

Duty — Grinders

Sacks	Assessment	Sacks	Assessment	Sacks	Assessment
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
1792	11 "	318	34 "	2692	6 10	1194	193	6 3	2239	0574	3½	4537	53 11	1
4239	11 10		88	33 1½	400	8 3	3	34 2		69	6 11	3684	2 4	1317	7 10
6479	5 2		294	27 3½	1768	9 8	3	73 3		66	4 5	1978	10 3½	2	1249	69 1	7
1925	7 "		322	34 "	1810	4 7	2	10 1½	32	4 "	1760	13 9	3½	4	2981	16 5	11
2035	6 4		688	14 5	3979	6 6	11	32 1½	16	7 4	3291	29 4½	1639	2	4
1883	5 1		141	14 "	745	" 1	"	8 3	6	2 "	6656	23 11½	1895	3	10
1926	11 3		120	16 8	705	10 9	36	31½	502	9 11	2481	19 11	1934	8	4
6132	8 8		39	25 5	791	12 "	"	"	"	" "	5357	26 9¾	1383	1	"
2015	17		1722	"							3648	10 8½	2035	4	11
1490	3 8		992	2 11							2171	28 11½	6	1	10
1903	11 6		2	13 9	10	13 6	"	"	"	" "	3705	30 7¼	6587	3	5
1490	3 3		30	39 4¼	166	" 5	"	5 "	2	" 1	9854	7 11¼	6089	13	8
1926	11 7		455	13 1½	2917	7 8	3	12½	10	9 11	2011	25 13	3915	37	7 9
3415	12 5		63	20 11½	365	10	10	33½	192	12 4	3148	1	6¾	6348	6 7 2

Carried to Attwaters / Cattle

Tuesday 1762.

Carried to Attwaters Mill

Cattle

If the net sum payable be altered in the Assessment, the Assessment meeting to the farm ceased on the Assessment must be altered to.

Carried

6655

Fort St. George

15th May 1854

Extent of Enam land and its cultivation / Cont'd / in Fusly 1262

Particulars of Column 27. —
Cultivated by others. —

Cultivated by the Maniendar himself	By others holding Government Land	By others holding no Government Land	Total	Total Columns 28 and 31.	Ready Money Collections	Total	Remaining Waste. Land	Assessment
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
14,689 8 6	24,366 6 8	3,677 1 4	28,043 8 "	42,733 " 6	" " "	42,733 " 6	6,886 16 6 3/4	10,920 " 7
5,541 10 5	6,034 4 6	897 10 10	6,931 15 4	12,473 9 9	" " "	12,473 9 9	1,542 38 12 3/4	2,444 14 "
1,788 " 1	3,410 " 7	489 2 9	3,899 3 4	5,687 3 5	" " "	5,687 3 5	986 11 8	1,497 14 6
22,019 3 "	33,810 11 9	5,063 14 11	38,874 10 8	60,893 13 8	" " "	60,893 13 8	9,415 22 11 1/2	14,862 13 1
1,16,850 8 10	2,45,908 15 "	28,797 15 11	2,74,706 14 11	3,91,557 7 9	" " "	3,91,557 7 9	7,1,400 25 13 1/2	9,005 7 13 3
24,794 10 1	34,950 5 1	3,751 8 "	38,701 13 1	63,496 7 2	" " "	63,496 7 2	10,640 9 9 3/4	15,552 12 2
1,41,645 2 11	2,80,859 4 1	32,549 7 11	3,13,408 12 "	4,55,053 14 11	" " "	4,55,053 14 11	8,2,100 35 7 1/4	10,6,210 9 5
95,982 4 "	32,735 7 5	3,559 15 5	36,295 6 10	1,32,277 10 10	" " "	1,32,277 10 10	15,001 38 6 1/4	3,1,345 4 1
8,6264 " 3	1,25,642 14 1	13,062 3 3	1,38,705 1 4	2,24,969 1 7	" " "	2,24,969 1 7	28,051 8 6 1/2	5,2,429 5 11
19,6365 15 9	1,47,157 14 7	15,628 7 7	1,62,780 6 2	3,59,146 5 11	" " "	3,59,146 5 11	48,836 20 13 1/4	8,2,983 10 3
3,78,612 4 "	3,05,530 4 1	32,250 10 3	3,37,780 14 4	7,16,393 2 4	" " "	7,16,393 2 4	9,2,489 33 10	1,66,758 4 3
1,14,228 15 4	43,177 6 5	5,653 " 1	48,830 6 6	1,63,059 5 10	" " "	1,63,059 5 10	19,814 35 13 1/2	3,0,678 8 10
1,36,757 6 8	5,5,303 7 11	5,333 10 9	6,0,637 2 8	19,7,394 8 4	" " "	19,7,394 8 4	1,4,284 0 11 1/2	1,10,190 " 1
5,496 6 11	7,783 7 8	551 1 5	8,334 9 1	13,831 " "	" " "	13,831 " "	3,271 " 11	3,030 15 5
2,56,482 11 11	10,6264 6 "	1,1,537 12 3	1,17,802 2 3	3,74,284 14 2	" " "	3,74,284 14 2	37,370 3 4	1,50,505 8 4
7,98,759 5 10	7,26,464 9 11	81,401 13 4	8,07,866 7 3	16,06,625 13 1	" " "	16,06,625 13 1	2,21,382 19 3/4	4,38,337 3 1
" " "	" " "	7,4224 15 11	7,4224 15 11	7,4224 15 11	" " "	7,4224 15 11	9,1,278 39 11 1/4	6,7,162 5 "
7,98,759 5 10	7,26,464 9 11	1,55,026 13 3	8,82,091 7 2	10,80,850 13 "	" " "	10,80,850 13 "	3,12,661 18 12	5,05,499 8 1

Cuddapah 11th July 1855.

E. E. F. S. / Signed / A. Murray
Collector. Comparative

15th " May 1834.

No 9

Cuddapah for Fusly 1262

1264.			Fusly 1262.				Increase.		Decrease.	
Fusul Sastee and Zeerwa Sastee.	Total.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Fusul Sastee Zeerwa Sastee.	Total.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assess-ment.
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
7,076 6 "	12,70052 14 3	12,72209 4 3¼	12,69524 11 9	8164 15 7	12,77,689 11 4	10438 3 6	7,636 13 1	" " "	" " "
3,441 7 9	2,1123 8 1	2,968 31 43¼	17,400 11 3	3273 9 4	20,674 4 7	" " "	" " "	74 12½	449 3 6
" " "	1,764 10 6	250 6 5¾	1770 5 1	" " "	1,770 5 1	1 11 10¾	5 10 9	" " "	" " "
3,441 7 9	2,2888 2 7	3,218 37 10½	19,171 " 4	3273 9 4	2,24449 8	1 11 10¾	5 10 7	74 12½	449 3 6
2,543 14 1	18,191 14 2	2,837 " 7	15,374 8 4	2,472 8 11	17,847 1 3	" " "	" " 4	40 21 5¾	344 12 11
" " "	5,287 2 1	535 5 8½	5210 9 4	" " "	5,210 9 4	" " "	" " 4	4 4 3¾	76 8 9
2,543 14 1	23,479 " 3	3,372 5 15½	20,585 1 8	2,472 8 11	23,057 10 7	" " "	" " 4	44 25 9	421 5 8
219 5 8	81,942 7 8	12,501 7 3¼	80515 2 2	188 8 "	80,703 10 2	" " "	" " 4	347 12 ¼	1,238 13 6
" " "	3,13097 15 6	33,232 34 9¼	3,08,408 9 "	" " "	3,08,408 9 "	" " "	" " 4	426 20 15¼	4,689 6 6
219 5 8	39,5040 7 2	45,734 1 12½	3,88,923 11 2	188 8 "	3,89,112 3 2	" " "	" " "	773 32 15½	5,928 4 "
6,204 11 6	44,1407 10 "	52,325 5 6½	4,28,679 13 2	5934 10 3	4,34,614 7 5	1 11 10¾	5 10 7	892 30 9	6,798 13 2
9,3968 11 9	59,2382 14 3	60,066 12 5	4,99,873 9 10	9,0126 " 11	5,89,999 10 9	340 39 15½	" " "	" " 4	2,383 3 6
" " "	1,30,394 4 3	11,614 30 5½	1,31,889 7 7	" " "	1,31,889 7 7	186 1 5¼	1,495 3 4	" " 4	" " "
9,3968 11 9	7,22,777 2 6	71,681 2 10½	6,31,763 1 5	9,0126 " 11	7,21,889 2 4	527 1 4½	1,495 3 4	" " "	2,383 3 6
24,735 8 "	1,30,473 3 "	13,343 20 1¾	1,05,623 2 2	23,966 7 10	1,29,589 10 "	54 15 6½	" " 4	" " "	883 9 "
" " "	1,10,660 10 9	7,549 7 ¾	1,10,441 15 10	" " "	1,10,441 15 10	" " "	" " 4	11 21 13	218 10 11
24,735 8 "	2,41,133 13 9	20,892 27 2½	2,16,065 2 "	23,966 7 10	2,40,031 9 10	54 15 6½	" " "	11 21 13	1,102 3 11
770 12 "	21,411 14 7	2,714 30 9	20,923 13 7	669 10 10	21,593 8 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	61,624 9 3	5,067 5 1¼	61,337 2 10	" " "	61,337 2 10	21 30 10½	181 9 10	20 12 6¼	287 6 5
770 12 "	83,036 7 10	7,781 35 10¼	82,261 " 5	669 10 10	82,930 11 3	" " "	" " "	20 12 6¼	287 6 5
11,947 15 9	10,46,947 8 1	100,355 25 7¼	9,30,089 3 10	11,762 3 7	10,44,851 7 5	21 30 10½	181 9 10	31 34 3¼	3772 13 10
327 15 9	4,727 7 8	368 1 7¾	4,396 10 1	354 14 8	4,751 8 9	603 7 5½	1,676 13 2	" " "	" " "
145 7 2	2,032 15 6	127 14 8¾	1,901 8 4	150 11 11	2,052 4 3	" 27 3¼	24 1 1	" " "	" " "
" " "	44 15 7	4 16 2½	44 15 7	" " "	44 15 7	1 18 6	19 4 9	" " "	" " "
473 6 11	6,805 6 9	499 32 3	6,343 2 "	505 10 7	6,848 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
						2 5 9¼	43 5 10		

6656

Fort St. George

No. 9 Comparative Statement of Dittum in the Zillah of Ganjam

According to the Survey

			Extent of Land			Assessment		
			1			2		
Dry			12,617	1	1¾	12,629	8	3
Garden Channels	Under Tanks	One Crop	3,043	3	8¼	1,682	1	4
		Two Crop	248	34	11	1,764	10	6
		Total	2,291	38	¼	19,446	10	10
	Wells	One Crop	2,877	21	12¾	15,648	1	1
		Two Crop	539	9	11¾	5,287	2	1
		Total	3,416	31	8½	20,935	2	2
Total Garden		One Crop	12,848	19	3½	81,723	2	7
		Two Crop	33,659	15	8½	3,13,097	15	6
		Total	46,507	34	12	3,94,821	1	6
Kunjah Channels	Under Tanks	One Crop	53,216	24	4¾	4,35,202	14	6
		Two Crop	59,725	17	5¾	49,814	2	6
		Total	11,428	29	¼	1,30,394	4	3
	Wells	One Crop	1,1154	1	6	628808	6	9
		Two Crop	13,289	4	11¼	1,05,737	11	11
		Total	7,560	28	13¾	1,10,660	10	9
Total Kunjah		One Crop	20,849	33	9	2,16,398	5	9
		Two Crop	2,692	39	4½	20,641	2	7
		Total	3,687	17	7½	61,624	9	3
		Total	1,780	17	6	82,265	11	10
Kunjah Lands	Under Tanks		99,784	12	6	9,27,472	8	4
	Do. Nullahs		367	14	4½	4,399	1	14
	Do. Wells		125	36	2¾	1,887	8	4
			4	16	2½	44	15	7
		Total	497	26	9¾	6,331	13	10

1796

6657

Fort St. George

Fusly 1261.

Fusly 1262.

1		Extent of Land. 2	Assessment. 3	Fusfsul Sastee and Teerwa Sastee. 4	Total. 5	Extent of Land. 6	Assessment. 7	Fusfsul Sastee. Teerwa Sastee. 8
Tanks	One Crop	2,786 20 1¾	13641 13 10	1,122 13 11	14764 11 9	2,612 9 10¼	12,400 5 3	1,261 5 10
Nullahs	One Crop	124 " 3¼	841 1 1	52 8 1	893 9 2	119 21 6½	818 13 8	121 8 5
Wells.	One Crop	26 1 12	112 8 11	" " "	112 8 11	26 1 12	112 8 11	" " "
	Two Crop	2 23 4	5 10 5	" " "	5 10 5	2 23 4	5 10 5	" " "
	Total	28 25 "	118 3 4	" " "	118 3 4	28 25 "	118 3 4	" " "
	Total Doonasa	2,939 5 5	14,601 2 3	1,175 6 "	15,776 8 3	2,760 16 ½	13,337 6 3	1,382 14 3
Dry Land converted into Garden	Under Tanks	6,345 15 14	6,420 8 2	42544 15 7	48965 7 9	7,390 7 10½	7,433 6 2	48521 12 11
	Do Channels	3442 24 5¼	3,471 15 9	25,981 7 4	29453 7 1	3,797 21 2	3838 12 7	28581 " 3
	Do Wells	6,003 38 5½	10364 8 4	31,308 4 3	41672 12 7	5,721 19 7	9,674 " 4	29473 5 10
	Total	15,791 38 8½	20257 " 3	99,834 11 2	1,20,091 11 5	16,909 8 3½	20,946 3 1	1,06,576 3 "
Garden Land converted into Nunjuk.	Under Tanks	1164 20 4	8818 4 8	5338 " 10	14156 5 6	1,624 32 12¾	12,662 7 7	7078 10 5
	Do Channels	868 7 8	7,189 6 11	4694 3 2	11883 10 1	1275 27 15¾	10338 8 8	6529 " 10
	Do Wells	2 18 12	44 4 2	8 8 6	52 12 8	7 24 9	86 10 4	22 5 6
	Total	2035 6 8	16,051 15 9	10,040 12 6	26092 12 3	2,908 5 5½	23087 10 7	13630 " 8
Private Land converted into Garden.	Under Tanks	458 12 9¾	2,241 13 11	2893 2 11	5135 " 10	643 16 13½	3594 1 9	3826 2 7
	Do Channels	57 38 12¾	529 13 3	300 12 "	830 10 3	59 19 12¾	535 7 3	377 6 7
	Total	516 11 6½	2771 11 2	3193 15 11	5965 11 1	702 36 10¼	4129 9 "	4203 9 2
	Total Teerwa Sastee	18343 16 7	39080 11 2	1,13069 7 7	1,52150 2 9	20520 10 3¼	48163 6 8	1,24409 12 10
	Grand Total	4,36552 5 12¾	26,85,665 12 4	2,47474 5 9	29,33,140 2 1	14,48,670 13 7¾	26,96,137 11 8	2,55,160 3 1
Sastee Land.	Actual Cultivation	1,17,866 15 11¼	22,52,692 7 6	2,10,472 11 10	24,63,165 3 4	11,88,479 33 6¾	22,76,682 11 2	2,04,531 12 5
	Caboolaty Buxjee	258685 30 1½	4,32,973 4 10	37,001 9 11	4,69,974 14 9	2,59,473 16 2¼	4,14,352 10 6	33088 9 7
	Vishanka Dowlee	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 7 4	15¾ 5,102 6 "	17539 13 1
	Total	14,36552 5 12¾	26,85,665 12 4	2,47474 5 9	29,33,140 2 1	14,48,670 13 7¾	26,96,137 11 8	2,55,160 3 1
	Deduct Caboolaty Buxjee	14,47,478 24 2¼	3,19,647 9 "	23363 6 9	3,43,010 15 9	1,57,808 24 7½	3,17,740 1 10	30,778 15 4
	Net Cultivation	12,89,073 21 10½	23,66,018 3 4	2,24,110 15 "	25,90,129 2 4	12,90,861 29 ¼	23,78,397 9 10	2,24,381 3 9

6656

No 9 Co.

1261.

Fort Fust.n George

Fusly 1262

15th May 1854

According to the Survey.

			Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Fort Fust.n Lascar. Teerwa Lascar.		Increase. Total.		Land.		Assessment.		Decrease. Land.		Assessment.	
			5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13	
Dry																		
Garden Channels	Condon Tanks	One Crop	3	11	14,764	11	9	2,612	9	10½	12,400	5	3	1,261	5	10	13,661	11	1	"
		Two Crop	1		893	9	2	119	21	6¼	818	13	8	121	8	5	940	6	1	"
			"		112	8	11	26	1	12	112	8	11	"	"	"	112	8	11	"
			"		5	10	5	2	23	4	5	10	5	"	"	"	5	10	5	"
			"		118	3	4	28	25	"	118	3	4	"	"	"	118	3	4	"
			"		15,776	8	3	2,760	16	½	13,937	6	3	1,382	14	3	14,720	4	6	"
		One Crop	7		48,965	7	9	7,390	7	10½	7,433	6	2	48,521	12	11	55,955	3	1	1,044
		Two Crop	4		29,453	7	1	3,797	21	2	3,838	12	7	28,581	"	3	32,419	12	10	354
			3		41,672	12	7	5,721	19	7	9,674	"	4	29,473	5	10	39,147	6	2	"
			2		120,091	11	5	16,909	8	3½	20,946	3	1	106,576	3	"	127,522	6	1	1,399
Mella		One Crop	10		14,156	5	6	1,624	32	12¾	12,662	7	7	7,078	10	5	19,741	2	"	460
		Tows Crop	2		11,883	10	1	1,275	27	15¾	10,338	8	8	6,529	"	10	16,867	9	6	407
			6		52	12	8	7	24	9	86	10	4	22	5	6	108	15	9	5
Aunjah Channels	Condon Tanks	One Crop	6		26,092	12	3	2,908	5	5½	23,087	10	7	13,630	"	8	36,717	11	3	872
		Two Crop	11		5,135	"	10	643	16	13½	3,594	1	9	3,826	2	7	7,420	4	4	185
			"		830	10	3	59	19	12¾	535	7	3	377	6	7	912	13	10	1
			11		59,65	11	1	702	36	10¼	4,129	9	"	4,203	9	2	8,333	2	2	186
			7		152,150	2	9	20,520	10	3¼	48,163	6	8	124,409	12	10	172,573	3	6	2,459
			9		293,140	2	1	14,486	70	13 7¾	269,613	7	11 8	25,516	0	3 1	295,129	14	9	13,504
Mella		One Crop	10		246,316	5	3 4	11,884	79	33 6¾	227,682	11	2	204,531	12	5	248,214	7	7	"
		Two Crop	11		46,997	4	9	2,594	73	16 2¼	41,4,352	10	6	33,088	9	7	44,744	1	1	"
			"		"	"	"	717	4	15¾	5,102	6	"	17,539	13	1	22,642	3	1	"
Aunjah Garden		Under Tanks	9		293,140	2	1	14,486	70	13 7¾	269,613	7	11 8	25,516	0	3 1	295,129	14	9	"
		Do Nullah																		
		Do Mella	9		243,010	15	9	15,7,808	24	7½ 31	7,740	1	10	30,778	15	4	348,519	1	2	"
			"		2590,129	2	4	129,086	29	1/4	2378,397	9	10	224,381	3	9	2602,778	13	7	"

Cuddapah, 11 July, 1853. E. E. Fort (Signed) M. Murray. Collector.

15th May 1854.

No. 10

in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.

Fusly 1261. Fusul Jastee and Teerwa Jastee.	Total.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Fusly 1262. Fusul Jastee and Teerwa Jastee.	Total.	Increase. Extent of Land.	Increase. Assessment.	Decrease. Extent of Land.	Decrease. Assessment.
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
6229 15 9	11847 95 " 10	11414 32 32 1/2	11753 21 12 4	7132 8 6	11824 54 4 10	" " "	" " "	823 15 2 1/4	2340 12 "
2615 7 "	17358 4 9	2403 38 15	13873 14 6	2599 15 3	16473 13 9	" " "	" " "	136 16 7 3/4	884 7 "
" " "	1674 13 10	226 38 9 1/2	1651 12 3	" " "	1651 12 3	" " "	" " "	6 20 14	23 1 7
2615 7 "	19033 2 7	2630 37 8 1/2	15525 10 9	2599 15 3	18125 10 "	" " "	" " "	142 37 5 3/4	907 8 7
2346 7 11	15515 3 2	2278 15 5	12586 12 6	2060 14 3	14647 10 9	" " "	" " "	55 34 1/4	867 8 5
" " "	4859 4 6	446 37 11	4541 12 6	" " "	4541 12 6	" " "	" " "	50 30 2 3/4	317 8 "
2346 7 11	20374 7 8	2725 13 "	17128 9 "	2060 14 3	19189 7 3	" " "	" " "	106 24 3	1185 " 5
199 9 9	72736 6 7	10795 16 14 1/2	70475 8 2	182 9 8	70658 1 10	" " "	" " "	420 39 1 1/4	2078 4 9
" " "	28014 3 10	30051 7 12 3/4	27689 5 8	" " "	27689 5 8	" " "	" " "	407 11 5 3/4	5114 14 2
199 9 9	35475 0 10 5	40846 24 11 1/4	34737 4 13 10	182 9 8	34755 7 6	" " "	" " "	828 10 7	7193 2 11
5161 8 8	39415 8 4 8	46202 35 33 1/4	38002 9 1 7	4843 7 2	38487 2 8 9	" " "	" " "	1077 31 15 3/4	9285 11 11
79737 8 9	46187 0 12 11	46859 33 15 3/4	39915 0 13 "	70194 8 2	46934 5 5 2	1296 17 2 1/4	7474 8 3	" " "	" " "
" " "	10981 7 11 11	9389 38 6 3/4	10865 4 13 "	" " "	10865 4 13 "	16 18 1/2	" " "	" " "	1162 14 11
79737 8 9	57168 8 8 10	56249 32 6 1/2	50780 5 10 "	70194 8 2	57800 0 2 2	1312 35 2 3/4	7474 8 3	" " "	1162 14 11
20043 6 "	10365 9 7 11	10648 20 8 1/2	82962 15 4	18237 7 3	10100 0 6 7	" " "	" " "	41 29 14 1/4	2659 1 4
" " "	9788 1 10 9	6573 20 8 1/2	9745 0 3 7	" " "	9745 0 3 7	" " "	" " "	24 18 9 1/2	431 7 2
20043 6 "	20154 1 2 8	17222 1 1	18021 3 2 11	18237 7 3	19845 0 10 2	" " "	" " "	66 8 7 3/4	3090 8 6
760 12 4	18537 10 6	2319 36 14 3/4	17944 2 "	652 14 6	18599 " 6	26 28 3 3/4	61 6 "	" " "	" " "
" " "	5277 6 " 1	4249 21 1 3/4	5279 9 8 1	" " "	5279 9 8 1	41 6 14 1/4	23 8 "	" " "	" " "
760 12 4	71313 10 7	6569 18 1/2	70745 10 1	652 14 6	71398 8 7	67 35 2	84 14 "	66 8 7 3/4	4253 7 5
100541 11 1	84454 3 6 1	80041 11 8	75876 4 7 "	89084 18 11	84784 9 4 11	1380 30 4 3/4	7559 6 3	" " "	" " "
308 5 3	4409 2 5	351 2 5 1/2	4139 10 4	335 15 8	4475 9 8	4 13 8	66 7 3	" " "	" " "
145 7 2	1881 1 10	118 24 4 1/4	1774 9 8	150 11 11	1925 5 7	1 22 13 1/4	44 3 9	" 22 7	4 3 4
" " "	42 3 "	3 20 3 1/2	37 15 8	" " "	37 15 8	" " "	" " "	" 22 7	4 3 4
453 12 5	6332 7 3	473 12 13 1/4	5952 3 4	486 11 7	6438 14 11	5 36 5 1/4	110 11 "		

1658

Fort St. George

Comparative Statement of Cultivation

According to the Survey

1			Extent of Land 2			Assessment 3	
Dry			11,422	66	7 23/4	11,785	65 1
Garden	Tanks	One Crop	2,540	15	6 3/4	14,742	13 4
		Two Crop	233	19	7 1/2	1,674	13 11
		Total	2,773	34	4/4	16,417	11 7
	Channels	One Crop	2,334	9	5/4	13,168	11 6
		Two Crop	497	27	13 3/4	4,859	4 6
		Total	2,831	37	3	18,027	15 12
	Wells	One Crop	11,216	15	15 3/4	72,536	12 4
		Two Crop	30,458	19	2/2	282,014	3 11
		Total	41,674	35	2/4	354,531	15 9
Total Garden			47,280	27	3/2	388,996	12 4
Wet	Tanks	One Crop	45,563	16	13/2	382,133	4 2
		Two Crop	9,373	20	6/4	109,817	11 11
		Total	54,936	37	3 3/4	491,951	15 13
	Channels	One Crop	10,690	10	6 3/4	83,616	1 11
		Two Crop	6,597	39	2	97,881	10 7
		Total	17,288	9	8 3/4	181,497	12 8
	Wells	One Crop	2,293	8	11	17,776	14 2
		Two Crop	4,208	14	3/2	52,776	14 8
		Total	6501	22	14/2	70,552	14 8
Total Wet			78,726	29	11	744,001	11 9
Wet Garden under	Tanks		346	28	13/2	4,100	13 2
	Nullahs		117	1	7	1,735	10 8
	Wells		4	8	10/2	42	3 11

Fort St. George

1	Extent of Land. 2	Assessment. 3	Fusly 1261. Fusul Jastee and Teerwa Jastee. 4	Total. 5	Extent of Land. 6	Assessment. 7	Fusly 1262. Fusul Jastee and Teerwa Jastee. 8
Ponnada.							
Under Tanks	2,069 13 4½	9,447 " "	954 7 2	10,401 7 2	2,197 21 13¾	9,823 14 11	1,080 " 6
Ditto Channels	103 13 12	670 13 6	52 8 1	723 5 7	113 22 12¾	768 8 11	121 8 5
Ditto Wells — One crop	26 1 12	112 8 11	" " "	112 8 11	26 1 12	112 8 11	" " "
Ditto Wells — Two crop	2 23 4	5 10 5	" " "	5 10 5	2 23 4	5 10 5	" " "
	28 25 "	118 3 4	" " "	118 3 4	28 25 "	118 3 4	" " "
Total	2,201 12 ½	10,236 " 10	1,006 15 3	11,243 " 1	2,339 29 10½	10,710 11 2	1,201 8 11
Dry converted into Garden and Wet.							
Under Tanks	6,240 2 10¾	6,226 6 3	41,511 9 1	47,737 15 4	7,334 15 12½	7,273 9 3	46,977 6 4
Ditto Channels	3,435 14 1¼	3,464 14 8	25,511 5 1	28,976 3 9	3,787 15 ¼	3,829 " 7	27,994 " 3
Ditto Wells	5,983 8 8¾	10,315 11 5	31,178 8 7	41,494 4 "	5,699 14 2¾	9,650 14 6	29,326 2 5
Total	15,659 25 4¾	20,007 " 4	98,201 6 9	118,208 7 1	16,821 4 15½	20,753 8 4	104,297 9 "
Teerwa jastee. Garden converted into Wet.							
Under Tanks	1,160 21 10½	8,765 15 7	5,285 12 5	14,051 12 "	1,619 21 13¾	12,615 " 3	699 1 9
Ditto Channels	868 7 8	7,189 6 11	4,503 9 "	11,692 15 11	1,275 27 15¾	10,338 8 8	6,480 1 3
Ditto Wells	2 18 12	44 4 2	8 8 6	52 12 8	7 24 9	86 10 4	22 5 "
Total	2,031 7 14½	15,999 10 8	9,797 13 11	25,797 8 7	2,902 33 10½	23,040 3 3	13,494 " 1
Ponnada converted into Wet.							
Under Tanks	382 34 9¾	1,803 7 4	2,416 14 2	4,220 5 6	588 19 5½	3,290 3 7	3,463 1 "
Ditto Channels	57 38 12¾	529 13 3	300 13 "	830 10 3	59 9 12¾	535 7 3	377 6 "
Ditto Wells	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	440 33 6½	2,333 4 7	2,717 11 2	5,050 15 9	647 29 2¼	3,825 10 10	3,840 8 "
Total Teerwa Jastee	18,130 26 9¾	38,339 15 7	110,716 15 10	149,056 15 5	20,371 27 12¼	47,619 6 5	12,163 21 3
Grand Total	128,907 321 10½	23,660 18 3 4	2,241 16 15 "	25,901 29 2 4	12,908 29 ¼	23,783 97 9 10	2,243 81 3
Deduct remissinan at the settlement	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,803 69 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Cultivation	" " "	" " "	" " "	185 13 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
Waste brought to account	" " "	" " "	" " "	59 46 12 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	190 97 59 14 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
Add Peishcush Quit Rent according to Statement No 15	" " "	" " "	" " "	24 88 40 4 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total Settlement	" " "	" " "	" " "	215 86 00 3 3	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. Revenue.

15th " May 1854

Total.	Increase. Extent of Land.	Increase. Assessment.	Decrease. Extent of Land.	Decrease. Assessment.
9	10	11	12	13
10,903 15 5	128 8 9/4	502 8 3	" " "	" " "
890 1 4	10 9 3/4	166 11 9	" " "	" " "
112 8 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 10 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
118 3 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11,912 4 1	138 17 10	669 4 4	" " "	" " "
54,250 15 7	1,094 13 3/4	6,513 " 3	" " "	" " "
31,823 " 10	352 " 15	2,846 13 1	" " "	" " "
38,977 " 11	" " "	" " "	283 34 6	2,517 3 1
125,051 1 4	1,446 14 3/4	9,359 13 4	283 34 6	2,517 3 1
19,606 9 4	458 39 7/4	5,554 13 4	" " "	" " "
16,818 10 4	407 20 3/4	5,125 10 5	" " "	" " "
108 15 9	5 5 13	56 3 1	" " "	" " "
36,534 3 5	871 25 12	10,736 10 10	" " "	" " "
6,753 5 6	205 24 11 3/4	2,533 " "	" " "	" " "
912 13 10	1 11 "	82 3 7	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7,666 3 4	206 35 11 3/4	2,615 3 7	" " "	" " "
169,251 8 1	2,524 35 8 1/2	22,711 11 9	283 34 6	2,517 3 1
2,602,778 13 7	40,149 39 12 1/2	31,051 1 "	2,261 32 6 3/4	18,401 5 9
69,389 " 4	" " "	13,509 12 4	" " "	" " "
184,933 11 6	" " "	17,025 15 10	" " "	18,401 5 9
59,562 1 9	" " "	515 4 10	" " "	" " "
190,899 13 3	" " "	17,541 4 8	" " "	18,401 5 9
2,657,031 1	" " "	16,863 6 2	" " "	" " "
2,174,603 8 4	" " "	34,404 10 10	" " "	18,401 5 9

Deduct Decrease 18,401 5 9

Net Increase 16,003 5 1

Cuddapah 11th July 1853. E.E. Vz (Signed) M. Murray Collector.

Fort St. George

15th May 1854

No. 11. Statement shewing the particulars of Settum Bunjer or Waste

Charged in the accounts in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Talooks.	Land left waste by Ryots through neglect.		Land retained for grazing Cattle.		Land left waste notwithstanding the existence of water.		Cowle land left waste.		Total.		Amount Collected on waste Land brought to account.
	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1 Summulmudgoo	3406 21 6	2924 3 4	" " "	" " "	295 13 12½	1837 " 2	" 30 "	3 8 "	3702 25 2½	4764 11 6	3601 7 1
2 Doovoor	3094 29 9¼	3041 12 4	" " "	" " "	131 23 15¼	1166 8 7	41 23 10½	104 14 10	3267 37 3	4313 3 9	3167 15 11
3 Hoolgoontlah	1962 23 12¾	1708 14 7	333 13 2	395 11 9	28 30 11¼	284 23	6 3411	12 2 10	2331 22 5	2400 15 5	1836 9 2
4 Chitwail	2728 6 1¼	4417 9 7	693 5 15	703 13 7	260 26 8	495 38	" " "	" " "	3681 38 8¼	5616 10 10	4087 14 10
5 Sidaut	1663 3 13¼	2438 5 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1663 3 13¼	2438 5 3	1692 1 4
6 Cheninoor	2628 15 1¾	2252 3 11	" " "	" " "	177 22 11½	1456 1 5	" " "	" " "	2805 37 13¼	3708 5 4	2841 " 11
7 Kamalapoor	3254 12 6¾	4530 4 11	" " "	" " "	3 13 9	26 36	" " "	" " "	3257 25 15¾	4556 8 5	3561 3 2
8 Goorrumcondahs	6710 22 1¼	3698 6 7	" " "	" " "	394 13 4	2892 11 11	" " "	" " "	7104 35 5¼	6591 2 6	5324 8 10
9 Muddenpully	10622 7 6¼	4563 " 11	123 5 12	14 12 3	359 23 2½	1580 6 9	" " "	" " "	11104 36 4¾	6158 3 11	5027 4 2
10 Poolivendalah	18451 39 13½	8012 5 5	" " "	" " "	333 3 4½	2401 15 10	" " "	" " "	18785 3 2	10414 5 3	8532 10 2
11 Ray Chooty	9857 35 12	4904 15 6	" " "	" " "	299 18 10½	1941 2 8	" " "	" " "	10157 14 6½	6846 2 2	5705 9 11
Total	64380 17 4	42492 2 4	1149 24 13	1114 5 7	2283 29 9	14081 8 9	49 8 5½	120 9 8	67862 39 15½	57808 10 4	45378 5 6
1 Cumbum	4506 16 5¾	3192 11 "	149 13 8	123 8 2	177 26 15	1245 12 "	" " "	" " "	4833 16 12¾	4561 15 2	3505 8 5
2 Doopaud	10330 10 7½	7659 2 4	874 16 4¼	630 12 9	80 4 14	425 6 5	" " "	" " "	11284 31 9¾	8715 5 6	6626 14 "
3 Budwail	4300 25 13¾	4162 15 8	147 2 5½	73 8 7	74 36 10¼	1156 6 5	" " "	" " "	4522 24 13½	5392 14 8	4051 5 10
Total	19137 12 11	15014 13 4	1170 32 13¼	827 13 6	332 28 7¼	2827 8 10	" " "	" " "	20640 33 4	18670 3 4	14183 11 3
Grand Total	83517 29 15	57506 15 4	2320 16 14¾	1942 3 1	2616 18 7¼	16909 1 7	49 8 5½	120 9 8	88503 33 3½	76478 13 8	59562 1 9

Cuddapah 11th July 1853. E.E. J^r^ [Signed] M. Murray
Collector.

6661

Fort St. George

No. 12 Comparative Statement of Cultivation and Assessment including Fusly Jastee in the Zillah of

Dry.

	Fusly 1261.				Fusly 1262.				Comparison. Increase.				Comparison. Decrease				Fusly 1261.	
	Land.		Amount.		Land.		Amount		Land.		Amount.		Land.		Amount.		Land.	Amount
1	2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	11
Collector's Division. 1 Summulmudgoo	115	21	52	7 3½	164	89	9	2 10	114	81	7 9	13 3/4	164	72	4 10	7	" "	" "
2 Doovoor	81	19	4	38 1¼	134	88	3	13 7	80	87	5 1	8 3/4	134	74	6 6	11	" "	" "
3 Kailgoontlah	113	25	8	7 5¼	190	49	0	15 9	112	66	4 21	3 3/4	190	100	9 2	3	406 13 14½	518 2 6
4 Chitwail	26	47	3	5 10½	29	57	5	2 6	27	53	4 17	3/4	31	28	7 12	4	1061 11 6¼	1712 9 10
5 Sidhaut	185	6	3	22 ½	38	29	9	12 1	17	28	0 14	2½	36	46	6 8	11	" " "	" " "
6 Chennoor	41	97	9	28 9½	41	70	1	7 "	41	48	9 38	6¼	41	15	5 7	1	" " "	" " "
7 Kamalapoor	68	03	9	12 9	81	83	8	15 5	67	29	0 11	2	80	92	5 "	7	" " "	" " "
8 Goorrumcondah	70	88	8	6 7½	61	08	3	14 6	71	58	7 23	4¾	69	38	8 10	1	699 16 13¼	" " "
9 Muddenpully	90	69	3	20 7¼	53	92	2	5 6	91	98	1 14	14¼	55	15	2 "	3	1287 34 7	1229 10 9
10 Poolevendlah	21	48	1	7 31 8½	13	84	4	8 11	21	12	7 19	6¼	13	45	6 15	10	" " "	" " "
11 Ray Chooty	102	57	6	36 4¾	66	44	1	8 11	99	91	8 27	7¾	65	24	4 10	2	" " "	" " "
Total	948	70	0	36 3¼	100	15	7	8 11	937	71	8 38	6¾	995	66	6 15	3	3454 36 9	3460 7 1
Sub Collector's Division. 1 Mumbum	67	37	2	6 10	77	57	3	11 3	69	82	7 36	2¼	79	68	0 4	1	1955 29 8¼	2106 8 10
2 Doopaud	84	83	4	20 7¾	66	81	6	6 10	87	88	7 5	1½	68	54	3 5	7	3052 24 9¾	1726 14 9
3 Badwail	46	35	8	23 13¾	38	82	6	3 9	46	49	8 32	6	38	56	3 11	11	140 8 8¼	" " "
Total	198	56	5	10 15½	183	21	6	5 10	208	71	3 33	9¾	186	78	7 5	7	5148 22 10¼	3833 7 7
Grand Total	1142	66	7	2¾	1184	79	5	" 10	1144	32	8 32	½	1182	45	4 10	8	8603 19 3¼	7293 14 8

	Fusly 1261.		
	Land.		Amount
1	10		11
Collector's Division. 1 Summulmudgoo	898	17 5¾	174 8 3
2 Doovoor	319	36 8½	137 6 8
3 Kailgoontlah	"	" "	" " "
4 Chitwail	"	" "	" " "
5 Sidhaut	"	" "	" " "
6 Chennoor	1283	7 13¾	1833 8 11
7 Kamalapoor	489	30 3¾	545 15 11
8 Goorrumcondah	749	1 7	913 14 10
9 Muddenpully	695	4 5	394 17 12½
10 Poolevendlah	"	" "	" " "
11 Ray Chooty	3538	12 2¼	3874 9 1
Total	2658	8 13	1196 14 9
Sub Collector's Division. 1 Mumbum	9436	34 5½	9372 2 10
2 Doopaud	"	" "	" " "
3 Badwail	"	" "	" " "
Total	2062	7 10	2411 28 1
Grand Total	2062	7 10	11437 12 9¾

	Comparison. Increase.		Comparison. Decrease		Fusly 1261.	
	Land.	Amount.	Land.	Amount.	Land.	Amount
1	10	11	10	11	10	11
Collector's Division. 1 Summulmudgoo	2394	29 4½	2470	4 7		
2 Doovoor	2520	11 9¾	2539	8 11		
3 Kailgoontlah	1653	35 "	1271	6 8		
4 Chitwail	9119	36 2½	7204	2 10		
5 Sidhaut	5006	29 10¾	6950	8 12		
6 Chennoor	3466	13 6½	3818	5 2		
7 Kamalapoor	2607	38 10½	2062	3 5		
8 Goorrumcondah	394	17 12½	226	3 7		
9 Muddenpully	1050	9 6	576	9 3		
10 Poolevendlah	4994	12 3½	4111	5 4		
11 Ray Chooty	1634	21 7½	1192	3 12		
Total	35843	14 9¾	31524	6 12		
Sub Collector's Division. 1 Mumbum	2641	10 6½	1693	5 "		
2 Doopaud	6384	14 2¼	3851	5 15		
3 Badwail	2411	28 1	2346	0 8		
Total	11437	12 9¾	7891	1 11		
Grand Total	47286	27 3½	39415	8 8		

15th May 1854

Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Garden Fusly 1262.				Comparison.							
				Increase.				Decrease.			
Land.		Amount.		Land.		Amount.		Land.		Amount.	
12		13		14		15		16		17	
3364	9 7½	24252	9 11	"	" "	"	" "	30	19 12¾	451	13 7
2485	28 4½	25295	5 1	"	" "	"	" "	34	23 6¼	100	14 9
1616	7 11½	12709	2 8	"	" "	"	" "	37	27 4½	7	5 10
8852	4 3	69219	14 1	"	" "	"	" "	267	31 15½	2822	12 3
4923	22 2¾	60344	1 11	"	" "	"	" "	83	7 8	159	10 9
3516	32 2¼	38705	5 6	50	18 11¾	520	3 4	"	" "	"	" "
2457	11 ½	19497	" 11	"	" "	"	" "	150	27 10	1129	2 6
403	17 1¼	2273	12 "	8	39 4¾	10	4 6	"	" "	"	" "
1069	16 13¼	5843	11 8	19	7 7¼	74	8 1	"	" "	"	" "
4500	8 11	36721	12 2	"	" "	"	" "	494	3 8½	4393	8 5
1583	26 7¾	11125	13 3	"	" "	"	" "	50	34 15¾	797	15 6
34772	24 1¼	305988	9 2	78	25 7¾	604	15 11	1149	16 1¼	9863	3 7
2703	8 11¼	17495	2 10	61	38 4¾	560	2 5	"	" "	"	" "
6370	6 12	38305	" 8	"	" "	"	" "	14	7 6¼	210	14 6
2356	35 11¼	23083	12 1	"	" "	"	" "	54	32 5¾	376	12 2
11430	11 2½	78883	15 7	61	38 4¾	560	2 5	68	39 12	587	10 8
46202	35 3¾	384872	8 9	140	23 12½	1165	2 4	1218	15 12¼	10450	14 3

Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Wet Comparison Increase		Wet Garden, as Cocoanut Trees &c. Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Decrease	
Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
1,829 6 15¾	31,605 8 1	2,261 15 4	36,732 " 11	432 8 4¼	5,126 8 10	" " "	" " "	2 2 8	21 14 "	2 2 8	21 14 "
2,813 34 13	32,750 9 2	2,962 1 7¼	34,290 1 9	148 6 10¼	1,539 8 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,094 19 6	33,118 10 1	2,180 23 3¾	35,639 4 8	86 3 13¾	2,520 10 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9,391 30 6	106,546 4 8	9,108 17 7¾	99,041 13 1	" " "	" "	283 12 14¼	7,504 7 7	192 10 3¾	2,832 9 6	194 " 10¾	2,884 7 6 10
3,597 8 10¼	45,114 7 5	3,444 31 14½	42,351 2 9	" " "	" "	152 16 11¾	2,763 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3,524 2 7¾	48,240 3 8	3,629 23 6	44,844 6 "	105 20 14¼	" "	" " "	3,395 13 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3,433 26 15¼	43,781 9 9	3,291 25 3¼	42,177 15 8	" " "	" "	142 1 12	1,603 10 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12,537 24 10¼	123,152 9 10	12,283 22 4¼	117,182 13 7	" " "	" "	252 26¼	10,969 123	76 12 2¾	1,069 9 1	78 12 8¼	1,106 3 "
13,774 19 14¾	112,037 3 7	12,968 33 7¾	103,758 6 7	" " "	" "	805 26 7	8,278 13 "	193 2 7	2,326 1 8	194 19 15¾	2,346 10 5
7,782 22 1	70,258 1 1	7,961 8 12	68,665 15 6	178 26 11	" "	" " "	1,592 1 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9,444 12 11¾	82,889 6 3	9,330 32 12¼	78,727 2 6	" " "	" "	113 19 15½	4,162 3 9	4 11 9½	82 5 "	4 7 2½	76 12 8
70,223 9 "	734,494 9 7	69,422 35 23¾	703,411 3 "	950 26 5½	91,186 12 "	1751 " 2¾	40,270 2 7	467 38 15	6,332 7 3	473 12 13¼	6,438 14 11
3,835 10 10¾	56,815 10 11	4,231 - 3¼	67,260 7 10	395 29 8½	10,444 12 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
898 30 5¾	11,000 9 9	1,886 3 6¾	23,328 5 2	987 13 1	12,327 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3,769 19 10½	42,232 7 10	4,501 12 11	53,849 4 11	731 33½	11,616 13 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,503 20 11	110,048 12 6	10,618 16 5	144,438 1 11	2,114 35 10	34,389 5 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7,872 29 11	84,454 36 1	80,041 11 7¾	847,849 4 11	3,065 21 15½	43,576 15 "	1751 " 2¾	40,270 2 7	467 38 15	6,332 7 3	473 12 13¼	6,438 14 11

Fort St. George

15th May 1854.

Comparison.

Increase. Decrease.

Fuoly 1261

Land			Amount			Land			Amount		
30			31			32			33		
1	30	½	54	13	4						
2	"	5½	36	9	11						
1	24	8¾	20	8	9						
5	18	5¼	112	"	"	"	47		5	8	4
5	18	5¼	112	"	"	"	47		5	8	4

Fuoly 1261

Land			Amount			
34						
43	23	13¼	404	1	2	
173	12	14½	754	½	6	
217	1	113¾	1158	8	½	
826	19	10	3306	14	"	
233	16	½	1118	14	8	
924	14	10¼	5658	14	6	
1984	104	¾	10084	½	6	
2201	12	½	11243	"	1	

Poonaa.

Fuoly 1262.

Land			Amount			
36			37			
51	34	8	474	12	½	
172	14	15	669	9	11	
224	9	½	1144	6	6	
824	19	9	3601	8	5	
281	5	9¾	1228	6	2	
1006	35	¾	5937	15	"	
2115	10	3½	10767	13	½	
2339	29	10½	11912	14	1	

Comparison.

Increase. Decrease.

Land			Amount			Land			Amount		
38			39			40			41		
8	6	10¾	70	11	5						
						37	15½		84	13	6
8	6	10¾	70	11	5	"	37	15½	84	13	6
"	39	15	294	14	1						
47	19	9¼	109	7	6						
82	20	6½	279	"	6						
131	9	14¾	683	6	1						
754	1	6	"	37	15½	84	13	6			

15th May 1854.

Dry converted into Wet and Garden

July 1861 Land	July 1861 Amount	July 1862 Land	July 1862 Amount	Increase Land	Increase Amount
42	43	44	45	46	47
828 11 1¾	5542 14 1	875 21 4	5859 6 3	47 10 2¼	316 8 2
1080 29 15	8119 " 9	1066 22 12½	8603 2 8	" " "	484 1 11
341 35 11½	2993 2 4	369 5 9	3157 " 3	27 9 13½	157 13 11
857 39 4¾	6221 3 7	886 28 8¼	5866 14 6	34 29 3½	" "
1037 12 14	8172 5 2	974 25 4½	7476 6 4	" " "	" "
1075 8 4¼	8809 11 9	1086 14 5¼	8357 13 3	11 6 1	" "
1574 33 5¾	13968 10 2	1470 9 6½	12826 15 6	" " "	" "
1063 30 6¾	9288 2 11	1113 36 4½	8949 3 9	50 6 7¾	" "
1523 11 15¾	11047 13 8	1620 21 8	11222 10 3	97 9 8¼	174 12 7
2314 2 1	18023 6 6	2823 9 9¾	23047 4 9	509 7 8¾	5023 14 3
1541 15 5¾	11074 12 3	1557 38 11¼	11069 1 "	16 23 5½	" "
13232 30 6¼	103261 3 2	13844 33 13½	106423 14 6	793 22 2½	6157 2 11
2042 22 6¼	15721 4 2	2342 5 8¾	15837 11 7	30 3 2½	324 13 5
1024 10 12½	5898 11 8	1473 8 8½	8482 10 6	448 37 12	2583 14 10
1197 1 11¾	7535 10 1	1268 17 3¼	8306 12 9	71 15 5	771 2 8
2425 34 14½	14947 3 11	2976 11 2	18627 2 10	550 16 3½	3679 14 11
15658 25 4¾	118208 7 1	16821 4 15½	125057 1 4	1343 38 6	9837 1 9

Comparison

Decrease Land	Decrease Amount	July 1861 Land	July 1861 Amount	July 1862 Land	July 1862 Amount
48	49	50	51	52	53
" " "	" " "	161 35 5	2497 6 6	191 8 13¼	2894 5 6
14 7 2½	" " "	186 39 2¾	2311 9 4	213 " 9	2868 3 7
" " "	" " "	115 29 9½	1994 15 3	114 18 8½	1833 2 5
" " "	354 5 7	464 16 2¼	5676 11 5	607 2 1	7127 6 6
62 27 9½	695 14 10	651 8 9¼	8824 " 5	88 15 9¼	1357 10 "
" " "	457 14 6	711 34 10¾	7951 3 9	89 18 11¾	919 " "
104 23 15¼	1141 10 8	157 10 13¼	1830 7 6	183 8 5	2000 10 1
" " "	338 15 2	50 10 9¾	592 " 5	63 12 7½	728 14 11
" " "	" " "	102 10 6¼	1058 7 1	112 13 14¾	1163 2 1
" " "	" " "	299 39 1½	3576 1 11	723 13 13¾	9213 " 6
" " "	5 11 3	209 35 5¾	2569 10 8	2621 6¾	30871 4 5
181 18 11¼	2994 7 6	1885 39 12	23787 4 3	2647 34 4½	33187 6 "
" " "	" " "	47 12 6¼	621 6 6	59 27 13	693 " 9
" " "	" " "	29 29 5½	294 7 "	59 4 7½	597 13 "
" " "	" " "	68 6 6¾	1094 6 10	136 7 1½	2055 15 8
" " "	" " "	145 8 2½	2070 4 4	254 39 6	3346 13 5
181 18 11¼	2994 7 6	2031 7 14½	25799 8 7	2902 33 10½	36534 3 5

6665 Fort St George 15th May 1854.

Garden converted into Wet

Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount
54		55	56	57	58	59
29 13 8¼	39 6 15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
26 1 6¼	556 10 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	1 11 1	161 12 10	4 " 4	104 9 8
142 25 14¾	1450 11 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22 37 "	467 9 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17 24 1	123 2 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
25 37 7¾	170 2 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13 1 13¾	136 14 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10 3 8½	104 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
423 14 12¼	5636 14 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
52 6 1	578 3 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
763 5 9½	9561 14 7	1 11 1	161 12 10	4 " 4	104 9 8
12 15 6¾	71 10 3	" " "	" " "	178 29 13½	1918 1 7
29 15 2	303 6 "	" " "	" " "	12 10 10	272 10 "
68 " 10¾	961 8 10	" " "	" " "	245 32 11	2755 10 4
109 31 3½	1336 9 1	" " "	" " "	436 33 2½	4946 8 1
872 36 13	10898 7 8	1 11 1	161 12 10	440 33 6½	5080 15 3

Comparison

Increase Decrease

Fasly 1269

Fasly 1262

Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount
60	61	62	63	64	65
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6 9 1	173 14 6	2 8 13	69 5 10	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6 9 1	173 14 6	2 8 13	69 5 10	" " "	" " "
219 12 14	2356 7 1	40 23½	438 5 6	" " "	" " "
68 39 13¼	1057 8 1	56 28 7¾	778 14 1	" " "	" " "
353 8 1½	4082 5 8	107 15 6½	1328 11 2	" " "	" " "
641 20 1¼	7492 4 10	204 26 14¾	2545 14 9	" " "	" " "
647 29 2¼	7666 3 4	206 35 11¾	2615 4 7	" " "	" " "

Journal of Household Expenses

Table 126

Week	Amount	Cash	Amount	Remarks
66	12134 32 6¼	72927½	12157127½	234482 15 2
67	8717 96 33 9¾	20346 1 4	8760 14 10	205803 4 4
68	117 571 36 1½	24182 14 9	11862 39 13½	244990 7 4
69	4649 93 17 13¾	22894 10	4182 29 15½	215231 3 4
70	2824 43 24 11	15372 28 13	2688 4 ½	18269 5 9
71	5711 7 6¾	13772 31 6	4982 6 15¾	13392 5 15
72	7581 3 5¾	12647 5 14	3 2578 15½	7 9
73	12907 21 10½	25901 29 2	12907 21 10½	25901 29 2
74	22 54 22 13¾	45737 10 2	6803 69 4	6938 79 4
75	8 852 22 13¾	45737 10 2	3683 18 10	11 9509 1 3
76	2753 38 12½	5650 1 10	37642 5	3578 6 5
77	7921 6 10¾	" "	6598 6 1	6197 9 "
	" "	" "	6647 9 10	43453 14 2
	7133 36 14	20244 9 12 3	8535 30 4	8 19062 15½
	7581 3 5¾	12647 5 14	3 2578 15½	7 9
	1120 17 4½	4618 3 6	4212 15 3	4242 5 11 1
	305 9 7	3753 6 6	3627 1 6	3815 7 6 9
	1559 20 10½	5769 11 1	4573 10 11	4786 2 9 6
	" " "	" "	1160 36 4	13080 5 5
	" " "	" "	3625 1 1	4021 5 10
	" " "	" "	3198 8	4985 5 8
	" " "	" "	8259 6 10	3929 7 7
	" " "	" "	3457 27 "	9 3980 7
	" " "	" "	2 3570 11	3650 4 3
	" " "	" "	3575 4 3	1789 " 4
	" " "	" "	1123 12	3 1032 6 2

15 May 1852

Abstract of Receipts at the Establishment

Week	Amount	Week	Amount	Remarks
72	6788 5 9	73	6383 9 11	379 4 2
" "	59607 13 3	" "	59667 7 8	194 18 15¾
" "	6336 6 7	" "	6375 2 3	582 3 7
" "	6031 13 7	" "	6387 8 11	2698 9 10
" "	4573 2 10	" "	4786 2 9	2729 14 7
" "	3625 1 1	" "	4021 5 10	3776 4 9
" "	1160 36 4	" "	1308 05 5	5 1402 1 3
" "	6938 79 4	" "	2353 3 6	1092 2 2
" "	3198 8	" "	4985 5 8	
" "	8259 6 10	" "	3929 7 7	
" "	3457 27 "	" "	9 3980 7

Remaining Net Settlement				Add Sudr or Deduct		Rent Qea		Grand Total			
Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease
78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
164267 15 3	169101 5 3	4833 6 "	" " "	9376 11 6	9697 3 7	320 8 1	" " "	173644 10 9	178798 8 10	5753 14 1	" " "
143798 13 "	146195 6 9	2396 9 9	" " "	17905 9 6	17667 5 9	" " "	238 3 9	161704 6 6	163862 12 6	2158 6 "	" " "
178070 11 9	180654 " 9	2583 5 "	" " "	22856 2 11	23657 5 3	801 2 4	" " "	201926 14 8	205311 6 "	3384 7 4	" " "
162580 10 11	152418 10 5	" " "	10162 " 6	35462 13 "	34806 1 10	" " "	256 11 2	197643 7 11	187224 12 3	" " "	10418 11 8
108596 2 3	100796 8 9	" " "	7799 9 6	4206 " 4	4124 11 5	" " "	81 4 11	112802 2 7	104921 4 2	" " "	7880 14 5
107484 4 10	95824 9 1	" " "	5659 11 9	7245 13 6	7534 5 2	288 7 8	" " "	108730 2 4	103358 14 3	" " "	5371 4 1
120832 15 "	115001 15 8	" " "	5830 15 4	9782 2 8	9432 13 11	" " "	349 4 9	130615 1 8	124434 13 7	" " "	6180 4 1
156524 9 3	148215 1 6	" " "	8309 7 9	7769 12 6	7800 3 "	30 6 6	" " "	164294 5 9	156015 4 6	" " "	8279 1 3
142707 4 11	139577 15 4	" " "	3129 5 7	6646 8 11	6613 " 4	" " "	33 8 7	149353 13 10	146190 15 8	" " "	3162 14 2
205428 5 11	208017 15 10	2589 9 11	" " "	8097 5 6	9354 13 6	1257 8 "	" " "	213525 11 5	217372 13 4	3847 1 11	" " "
137339 5 5	132812 15 7	" " "	4526 5 10	4958 " 7	5295 14 7	337 14 "	" " "	142297 6 "	138108 14 2	" " "	4188 7 10
1621631 2 6	1588616 8 11	12402 14 2	45417 8 3	133907 " 11	135983 14 4	3035 14 7	959 1 2	1755538 3 5	1724607 3	14543 13 4	45481 9 6
113473 4 10	122726 2 7	9252 13 9	" " "	8920 13 8	9439 14 8	579 1 "	" " "	122394 2 6	132166 1 3	9771 14 9	" " "
89336 10 4	101696 9 7	12359 15 3	" " "	3794 15 11	4920 14 9	1125 14 10	" " "	93131 10 3	106617 8 4	13485 14 1	" " "
85318 12 8	95860 8 2	10541 11 6	" " "	17301 14 1	17746 7 8	444 9 7	" " "	102620 10 9	113606 15 10	10986 5 1	" " "
288128 11 10	320283 4 4	32154 8 6	" " "	30017 11 8	32107 5 7	2089 9 5	" " "	318146 7 6	352390 9 5	34244 1 11	" " "
1909759 14 4	1908899 13 3	44557 7 2	45417 8 3	163924 12 7	168091 3 5	5725 8 "	959 1 2	2073684 10 11	2076991 " 8	48787 15 3	45481 9 6

Net Increase 3306 5 9

Amount of concealed cultivation descovered prior to the termination of the Peishcush of Poonganoor and Venkatagherry - - -

6668

Fort St George 15th May 1854

No 13 Statement shewing the particulars of Gross Increase and Decrease in the Zillah of

Items	Dry		Garden		Wet		Wet Garden		Poonasa		Dry Cultivated with wet and Garden	
	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Fasly 1261	126 1771	" 13¼	127 0752	14 3	53216	24 4¾	441407	10 "	99784	125	1046947	8 1	497 20 9 3/4	6805 6 9	2939 5 5	15776 8 3	15791 38 8½	120091 11 5
Fasly 1262	127 2209	4 3¼	127 7689	11 4	52325	5 6½	434614	7 5	117353	25 7¼	1044857	4 5	499 32 3	8848 12 7	2760 16 ½	14720 4 6	16909 8 3½	127522 6 1
Increase of Cultivation	10438	3 6	7636	13 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	571	13 2¼	" "	" "	2 5 9¼	23 5 10	" " "	" " "	1117 9 11	7430 10 8
Decrease of Cultivation	" " "	" " "	" " "	891 18 11¼	6793	2 7	" " "	2096 " 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	178 29 4½	1050 3 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
1 Ayacut Bunjer or waste Cultivated	1997 2 3¼	3½	12 0733	5	316	27 14	2943	5 6	836	30 7½	4873	13 11	6 2 3/4	80 1 10	19 33 9½	188 " 9	187 8 2¼	131 6 7
2 Pramboke Ditto	789	36 14½	463	7 6	18 17	13¾	159	9 11	68	7 2	612	8 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 Discovered by measurement	1218	30 15¼	736	13 2	40	25 7¼	272	9 10	47	36 11	490	13 7	4 8	1 7 7	" " "	" " "	6 28 8	" 2 7
4 Lands taken up in lieu of those abandoned	9049	22 ½	7546	9 1	145	27 7¾	1323	15 10	145	16 3¼	1386	1 7	" " "	" " "	12 14 3	74 13 9	1 12 "	" 6 6
5 Recoveries of air from Waniem land	1097	31 9¼	1213	1 11	21	34 15	204	6 4	177	13 4¼	1939	14 10	" " "	" " "	3 27 "	6 1 6	9 14 1	18 12 2
6 land converted	1852	39 4¾	2673	11 10	384	6 5¾	3522	2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22 23 14½	142 12 a	2782 16 1¾	3063 11 4
7 bowle by large Loughs	486	28 5¼	1180	10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8 Chuttee bowlees Progressive Cultivation	366	21 7	641	7 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total increase of Land	34835	1 12	26459	" 9	927	19 15½	8426	2 1	1275	23 9½	9303	14 5	8 6 8 3/4	81 9 5	58 18 11	411 11 11	2980 38 13	3213 6 9
1 One Crop Lands cultivated with two Crops	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	572	12 11	" " "	" " "	24686	14 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	686 14 10	" " "	2386 8 11
2 Dry converted into Garden and Wet	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	53 5 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 Garden converted in the Poonasa and Wet bowle land transferred to the head	" " "	" " "	1790	11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20863 13 9
4 Land paying full assessment	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Increase of assessment on lands taken on Progressive bowle	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Decrease on account of deduction	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total increase of Assessment	" " "	" " "	1790	11 "	" " "	" " "	572	12 11	" " "	" " "	24686	14 5	" " "	53 5 7	" " "	686 14 10	" " "	23240 6 8
Total Gross Increase	34835	1 12	28249	11 9	927	19 15½	8938	15 "	1275	23 9¼	33990	2 5	8 6 8 3/4	134 15 "	58 18 11	1098 10 9	2980 38 13	26453 13 5

Total gross increase and decrease on account of Assessment

Increase on account of Assessment

1669.

Fort St. George

15th May 1854.

Garden Cultivated with wet			Poonsa Cultivated with wet			Total			Rayter or Assessment			Remaining Collection			Tankey assessment or supposed Cultivation			Nil Settlement		
Land	Assessment		Land	Assessment		Land			Deduction											
14	15		16	17		18			19	20		21			22			23		
2035 6 8	26092 12 3		516 11 6½	5965 11 1		114365 5 12¾			29331 40 2 1	1023380 3 9		190975 9 14 4			7717 1 3			19174 76 15 7		
2908 5 6½	36717 11 3		702 36 10¼	8333 2 2		114867 0 13 7¾			29512 97 14 9	1012398 1 6		190899 13 3			19414 " 7			19283 12 13 10		
872 38 13½	10624 15 "		180 25 3¾	2307 7 1		12118 7 11			18157 12 8	19017 13 9		" " "			1196 15 4			1038 14 3		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		" " "			" " "	" " "		860 1 1			" " "			" " "		
77 24 1¼	449 4 7		3 18 8	31 15 5		21420 15 12¾			20701 3 11	6207 4 8		1443 15 3			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		" " "			12335 9 8	288 7 2		94 2 6			" " "			" " "		
" 36 "	" " "		" " "	" " "		876 21 14½			1501 14 9	411 " 9		1090 14 "			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		1315 2 1½			10331 13 8	2732 6 2		7599 7 6			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		9364 11 13			3382 4 8	620 14 2		2461 6 6			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		1310 " 13½			20943 11 5	5173 11 "		15770 " 5			" " "			" " "		
1180 29 ¾	" " "		208 28 2¼	1513 12 9		6431 23 ¼			1180 10 "	1144 14 9		35 11 3			" " "			" " "		
" " "	10028 8 3		" " "	" " "		286 28 8½			621 7 10	621 7 10		" " "			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		366 21 7			59918 11 11	17520 2 6		42398 9 5			" " "			" " "		
1259 9 4½	10477 12 10		212 6 8¼	1525 12 2		41561 5 2½			29160 7 4	7920 3 7		21240 3 9			" " "			" " "		
" " "	853 14 8		" " "	" " "		" " "			28980 3 4	7161 6 5		21818 12 11			" " "			" " "		
" " "	5054 15 11		" " "	1280 10 8		" " "						229 9 1			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		" " "						56 11 7			" " "			" " "		
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "		" " "						7588 13 3			" " "			" " "		
" " "	5908 14 7		" " "	1280 10 8		" " "			58140 10 8	15081 10 "		119233 2 7			" " "			" " "		
1259 9 4½	16386 11 5		212 6 8¼	2826 6 10		41561 5 2½			118059 6 7	32601 12 6		101631 12 "			" " "			" " "		

670

Fort St. George, 15th May 1854.

Total gross increase and Decrease / Contains
Particulars of gross Decrease
Decrease of Land Assessment

Items	Dry - Land	Dry - Assessment	Garden - Land	Garden - Assessment	Wet - Land	Wet - Assessment	Wet Garden - Land	Wet Garden - Assessment	Poonasa - Land	Poonasa - Assessment	Dry Cultivated and Garden - Land
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	13
1 Lands left waste from death	805 3. 14	520 15 7	34 4 3¼	298 12 4	18 19 ¼	198 6 11	" " "	" " "	1 39 6	18 5 1	" " "
2 Do — Do — desertion	1547 20 10	1067 " 9	73 32 13½	672 3 11	45 35 13½	480 4 2	" " "	" " "	1 32 "	17 4 6	" 2 "
3 Do — Do — impoverishment	11512 18 "	8730 3 13	404 2 15¼	3834 7 "	580 28 6¼	5383 8 9	4 " 15½	70 7 3	8 31 6¼	58 6 2	11 8 14½
4 Improper entry of ain among Enam Lands	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 Lands taken in for roads	4 39 11	2 99 "	3 "	7 13 4	" 4 "	1 " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6 Lands taken in for enlarging Villages	15 30 11¼	9 14 3	" " "	" " "	1 19 1	17 10 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 Pancheodthy	35 23 5	33 14 "	20 8 2½	43 7 8	1 29 11	22 11 3	" " "	" " "	22 8	5 2 6	" " "
8 Payamaly	555 7 15	254 6 2	7 38 "	112 1 3	13 16 13	106 11 7	" " "	" " "	5 21 6	32 11 1	" " "
9 Lands taken up in lieu of those abandoned	7135 38 2	6236 6 8	97 11 8	722 4 "	42 17 10¼	673 14 3	" " "	" " "	9 31 3	49 5 "	5 18 14¾
10 Lands transferred	2782 16 1¾	3062 11 11	1180 29 3¼	10028 8 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	208 28 2¼	1513 12 9	1852 39 4¾
11 Enam lands restored	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total Decrease of Lands	24396 38 6.	19918 2 11	1818 38 13¾	14919 9 9	704 10 7¼	6944 3 10	4 " 15½	70 7 3	237 7 15½	169 4 15 1	1869 29 2 2
Decrease of Assessment 1 Two Crop lands Cultivated with one crop	" " "	" " "	" " "	812 7 10	" " "	29 12 1 15 3	" " "	21 1 11	" " "	439 15 5	" " "
2 Wet cultivated with dry	" " "	694 11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 Casts remission for waste land	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4 Increase on account of Deductions	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total Decrease of assessment	" " "	694 11 9	" " "	812 7 10	" " "	29 12 1 15 3	" " "	21 1 11	" " "	439 15 5	" " "
Total Gross Decrease	24396 38 6.	20612 14 8.	1818 38 13¾	15732 1 7	704 10 7¼	36086 3 1.	4 " 15½	91 9 2	237 7 15½	2134 14 6	1869 29 2 1

15th May 1854.

Wet Gardens –				Ponasa –				Dry Cultivated with wet and Gardens –			
Land –		Assessment		Land –		Assessment		Land		Assessment	
8				9				10		11	
"	"	"	"	"	"	1	39	6	18	5	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	1	32	"	17	4	6
4	"	15½	70	7	3	8	31	6¼	58	6	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24	8	5	2	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	5	21	6	32	11	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	9	31	3	49	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	208	28	2¼	1513	12	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	15½	70	7	3	237	7	15½	1694	15	1
"	"	"	21	1	11	"	"	"	439	15	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	21	1	11	"	"	"	439	15	5
4	"	15½	91	9	2	237	7	15½	2134	14	6

Land		Assessment		
12		13.		
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	2	"	"
11	8	14½	21	7
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	5	18	14¾
1869	29	2	1852	39
"	"	"	"	"
1869	29	2	2700	12
"	"	"	2217	9
"	"	"	14104	12
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	16322	11
1869	29	2	19023	2

5	4	3	2	1
4	3	2	1	0

Fort St. George,

15th May 1854.

Garden Cultivated with wet.				Bonasa Cultivated with wet						Total Assessment	Raytee or Deduction	Remaining Collection	Sankrejastee or Suppressed Cultivation	Net Settlement
Land			Assessment	Land			Assessment							
14			15	16			17			18.	19	20	21	22	23.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	859 20 7½	1030 7 11	563 " "	573 7 11	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1669 3 5	2238 14 4	1101 9 7	1135 4 9	"	"
2	4	1¼	12	13	9	2	14	15	8 8 7	12525 30 10	17319 14 4	6593 8 10	10726 5 6	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5 34 11	11 7 5	3 8 3	7 15 2	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	179 12½	27 8 7	6 15 10	20 10 9	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	58 5 10½	105 3 5	36 0 2	68 10 3	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	582 20 9	574 14 2	306 0 11	268 7 3	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7260 37 6	7068 7 2	2030 7 9	5850 15 5	"	"
384	6	5¾	3522	2	1	22	23	16½	742 12 "	6431 23 ¼	20913 11 5	5334 13 3	15608 14 2	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
386	10	7	3535	"	5	25	21	4½	166 4 8	29442 37 7½	49943 8 9	15982 13 7	33960 11 2	"	"
"	"	"	572	4	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	33205 5 10	8052 10 3	25152 11 7	"	"
"	"	"	1654	8	"	"	"	"	298 11 1	"	16752 11 4	4215 7 4	12537 4 "	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	171 12 3	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"	90669 6 1	"	"
"	"	"	2226	12	"	"	"	"	298 11 1	"	49958 1 2	12268 1 7	128581 1 11	"	"
386	10	7	5761	12	5	25	21	4½	458 15 9	29442 37 7½	99901 9 11	28250 15 2	162491 13 1	"	"

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853.

E. E. P. Sr. / Signed / M. Murray / Collector

Particulars

1672.

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

No. 11

Particulars of Remissions in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.

	Items	Fusly 1261.	Fusly 1262.	Increase.	Decrease.
	1	2	3	4	5
	Occasional				
	Chuckurpoddy or land ploughed but not sown	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Stulla Cummee or waste portions of fields	30997 15 4	22005 3 5	" " "	14992 11 11
	Shavey or withered Crops	1399 " 5	1467 " 4	68 " 11	" " "
	Pauny Boddy or land flooded in the beds of Tanks	501 6 4	2518 15 11	2017 9 7	" " "
	Paynmallee or Cultivation destroyed by inundation	36 2 10	24830 3 9	24794 " 11	" " "
	Cowle or Bunjer land	16587 6 2	17940 " 4	1352 10 2	" " "
	Total	55521 15 1	68761 8 9	28232 5 7	14992 11 11
	Customary				
	Sheergaree or 1/3 remission	92939 3 7	90109 8 11	" " "	2829 10 8
8	Chatoorbhogum or 1/4 remission	479212 11 10	481302 3 4	2089 4 6	" " "
9	Cuttagoottava	4582 8 10	5063 7 10	480 15 "	" " "
10	Geddateroo	10109 14 3	9440 6 8	" " "	669 7 7
11	Conlacharum	2307 " 9	1958 " 10	" " "	348 15 11
12	Neaup ryotee or half remission	30560 13 10	34274 5	370 6 7	" " "
13	Thezargeedust or 1/3 D:	1748 " 11	1594 10 4	" " "	153 6 7
14	Powoodust or Quarter D:	144 11 1	143 7 "	" " "	1 4 1
15	Two annas duster 1/8 remission	40 9 9	41 " 7	" 6 10	" " "
16	Charee	49 14 4	48 12 7	" " "	1 1 9
17	Sagavut	206 15 11	252 11 8	45 11 9	" " "
18	Remissions to Shrotriendars	13335 3 3	13078 5 4	" " "	256 13 11
19	Ditto for New Tanks Nullahs and Shanelat Dasavandum	4501 5 3	4834 4 9	332 15 6	" " "
20	Ditto for Village Servants on their Enams	1303 4 10	1303 4 10	" " "	" " "
21	Dawstanum Remission	70 " "	70 " "	" " "	" " "
22	Teerwa Cummee	9377 11 "	10475 10 4	1097 15 4	" " "
23	General Remission from the Survey Rates	1671 9 7	1739 9 9	68 " 2	" " "
24	Remissions for the Houses erected on the assessed lands	14 12 7	14 12 7	" " "	" " "
25	Ditto for Fruit Gardens	166 9 5	211 12 11	45 3 6	" " "
26	Ditto for Jack Tree Plantations	8 " 11	8 " 11	" " "	" " "
	Total	624847 4 11	625117 7 7	4530 15 2	4260 12 6
	Grand Total	680369 4 "	693879 " 4	32763 4 9	19253 8 5
			Net Increase	13509 12 4	

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853. C. C. J. P. / Signed / M Murray

Collector

Statement.

6673-

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

No. 15

Statement shewing the Several items added to the Settlement in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262-

	Items	Fusly 1261-	Fusly 1262-	Increase-	Decrease-
	1	2	3	4	5
1	Jodee of Enam Land	10311 8 4	10405 11 11	93 10 7	" " "
2	Ditto of Dawustanum Land	2309 " 8	2614 12 10	305 12 2	" " "
3	Ditto of Village Enam	18878 6 8	18803 3 6	" " "	75 3 2
4	Talaroo Dan or Grain collected in lieu of Tufferick from the Dasawundum Tanks	1780 9 4	1825 3 9	44 10 5	" " "
5	Jodee of Dasawundum Tanks on account of repairs made by the Circar	" " "	120 " "	120 " "	" " "
6	Teerwa Tastee on Enam lands and Neer-saragee or Tax on water for irrigating Enam lands with Circar water	15818 10 "	18774 9 3	2955 15 3	" " "
7	Enam Tufferick on assessment levied from Ryots for holding a larger proportion of Enam lands than Established by Rule	22019 13 7	21893 7 2	" " "	126 6 5
8	Tunkeejastee upon Huzmash or conceal-ed cultivation	7717 1 3	19444 " 7	11696 15 4	" " "
	Total	171641 13 10	187805 4 "	16064 15 9	201 9 7
			Net Increase	15863 6 3	

Cuddapah. 11th July 1853. E. E. P. S. / Signed / M. Murray / Collector

Abstract

Brought										
Total	2642	7	1256	6	8	2987	18 12½	1536	6	1967
Total	12890	13	21	10½	2372	48	3	12908	86 29	½
Interest Totally										
Annual Salary										
Total	12890	13	21	10½	26901	29	2	12908	86 29	½
Deductions necessary to Settlement No. 11.										
Remaining										
Deductions necessary to Settlement No. 15										
Total										
Gross Total										

Cashier's Office, 15th January 1836.

Statement of the Collection on the Casual Revenue of the Borough for the Year 1262.

Compared with the Return of Cashier for the Year 1261.

Year 1262. Year 1261. Increase. Decrease.

Fund appropriated to Land-Revenue.

1 2 3 4 5

Rent 1179 12 6½ 1201 8 2 2 1158 12 4½ 1694 4 4

Ground Rent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Garden Rent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Total Rent 1179 12 6½ 1201 8 2 2 1158 12 4½ 1694 4 4

Fines 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Total Land-Revenue 1179 12 6½ 1201 8 2 2 1158 12 4½ 1694 4 4

Auditor's Office, 11th July 1853. E. E. Dyer, Esq., Auditor.

Examined, Collected, Statement.

Fort St. George 15th May 1851

Statement of Lands held on Cowle in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Items	Fusly 1261. Land.	Assessment	Deduct Cowle remission.	Remainder Collection.	Land charged with full rent. Land.	Assessment	Collection.	Land.	Deduct. left waste. Assessment	Collection	Progressive Land	Increa Assessmen
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Free												
One fourth Rent	106 39 8	186 9 1	186 9 1	" " "	1 " "	1 1 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	106 39 8	186 7
One half Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Three fourth Rent	59 22 10¾	86 5 5	42 2 8	43 2 9	56 12 2¼	53 " 5	28 8 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 10 8½	33 6
Eight Annas and two puce per acre	7 " "	8 8 6	2 2 1	6 6 5	7 " "	8 8 6	6 6 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Total	173 22 2¾	281 7 "	231 13 10	49 9 2	64 12 2¼	62 10 5	32 14 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	109 10 ½	218 12
Free												
¼ Rent	7 27 3	70 11 7	70 11 7	" " "	7 27 3	70 11 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
½ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
¾ Rent	12 18 3	42 7 4	21 3 8	21 3 8	12 18 3	42 7 4	21 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Free	20 5 6	113 2 11	91 15 3	21 3 8	20 5 6	113 2 11	21 3 8					
¼ Rent												
½ Rent	6 32 10	129 3 11	129 3 11	" " "	6 32 10	129 3 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
¾ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Total	33 33 11½	333 11 4	188 13 8	166 13 8	20 22 4	217 6 8	108 11 4	1 10 "	17 11 3	8 13 7	" " "	" "
	24 "	13 2 "	3 4 6	9 13 6	" 21 "	13 2 "	9 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
	41 10 8½	436 1 3	299 6 1	176 11 2	27 38 14	359 12 7	118 8 10	1 10 "	17 11 3	8 13 7	" " "	" "
Free												
¼ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
½ Rent	4 26 2	42 1 4	31 9 "	10 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 26 2	42 1 4
¾ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
	4 26 2	42 1 4	31 9 "	10 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 26 2	42 1 4
Free												
¼ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
½ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
¾ Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
	" 10 "	2 3 "	1 1 6	1 1 6	" 10 "	2 3 "	1 1 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
	" 10 "	2 3 "	1 1 6	1 1 6	" 10 "	2 3 "	1 1 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Total Kunjah or Wet	66 2 ½	633 8 6	423 15 10	209 8 8	48 14 2	475 2 6	140 14 "	1 10 "	17 11 3	8 13 7	4 26 2	42 1 4
Total Dry and Wet	239 34 3¼	914 15 6	655 13 8	259 1 10	112 26 6¼	537 12 11	173 12 8	1 10 "	17 11 3	8 13 7	113 36 2½	280 13 11

15th " May 1854

Deduct. left waste.			Progressive Increase. —		
Assessment	Collection	Land	Assessment	Collection	
10	11	12	13	14	
		105 39 8	185 7 7		
		3 10 8½	33 5 "	16 10 6	
		109 10 ½	218 12 7	16 10 6	
17 11 3	8 13 7				
17 11 3	8 13 7				
		4 26 2	42 1 4	10 8 4	
		4 26 2	42 1 4	10 8 4	
17 11 3	8 13 7	4 26 2	42 1 4	10 8 4	
17 11 3	8 13 7	113 36 2½	280 13 11	29 2 10	

Deduct / Continued /
Total Decrease.

Land.			Assessment			Collection		
15			16			17		
106	39	8	186	9	1			
59	22	10¾	86	5	5	43	2	9
7			8	8	6	6	6	5
173	22	2¾	281	7	0	49	9	2
7	27	3	70	11	7			
12	18	3	42	7	4	21	3	8
20	5	6	113	2	11	21	3	8
6	32	10	129	3	11			
21	38	4	235	1	11	117	8	11
	24		13	2		9	13	6
29	14	14	377	10		127	6	6
4	26	2	42	1	4	10	8	4
4	26	2	42	1	4	10	8	4
	10		2	3		1	1	6
	10		2	3		1	1	6
54	18	6	534	15	1	160	3	11
227	38	8¾	816	6	1	209	13	1

Remainder

Land			Assessment			Collection		
18			19			20		

Form left ″ George

15th ″ May 1854

Fusly 1262 [Continued]
Total Increase.

Land	Assessment	Collection
27	28	29
38 37 1	64 11 9	" " "
1 21 14	2 5 11	" 9 6
" " "	" " "	" " "
3 10 8½	33 5 "	24 15 9
105 39 8	185 7 7	84 1 8
478 28 15½	805 14 3	79 10 11
1 11 15	20 13 1	" " "
6 15 6	60 4 1	12 15 6
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
7 27 5	81 1 2	12 15 6
2 2 15	31 5 4	" " "
8 36 7¼	118 13 8	19 7 5
2 1 4	22 3 3	7 6 5
" " "	" " "	" " "
13 " 10¼	172 6 3	20 13 10
" " "	" " "	" " "
3 34 11½	23 11 "	5 14 9
4 26 2	42 1 4	4 13 "
" " "	" " "	" " "
8 20 13½	85 12 4	10 11 9
" " "	" " "	" " "
1 38 8½	12 1 5	3 " 4
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
1 38 8½	12 1 5	3 " 4
31 7 5¼	331 5 2	53 9 5
509 36 4¾	1197 3 5	133 4 4

Total in Fusly 1262

Land	Assessment	Deduct Cowle.
30	31	32
38 37 1	64 11 9	484 5 8
1 21 14	2 5 11	1 12 5
" " "	" " "	" " "
3 10 8½	33 5 "	8 5 3
105 39 8	185 7 7	85 " "
478 28 15½	805 14 3	579 9 4
1 11 15	20 13 1	20 13 1
6 15 6	60 4 1	38 14 8
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
7 27 5	81 1 2	57 11 9
2 2 15	31 5 4	31 5 4
8 36 7¼	118 13 8	58 5 5
13 36 11½	120 12 8	56 11 1
" " "	" " "	" " "
24 36 4¾	270 15 8	146 5 10
" " "	" " "	" " "
3 34 11½	23 11 "	17 12 3
4 26 2	42 1 4	4 12 11
" " "	" " "	" " "
8 20 13½	85 12 4	229 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "
1 38 8½	12 1 5	9 1 1
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
1 38 8½	12 1 5	9 1 1
43 2 15¾	429 14 7	234 11 10
5213 15¼	1295 12 10	817 3 2

Cuddapah, 11th ″ July 1853.

inclusive of increase.-

Pode Teerwa or remission for clearing Jungle	Payamallee wajah or remission for inundation	Total Deduction	Net Amount collected.
33	34	35	36.
160 6 1	" " "	644 11 9	" " "
" " "	" " "	1 12 5	" 9 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	8 5 3	24 15 9
46 5 11	" " "	131 5 11	54 1 8
206 12 "	" " "	786 3 4	79 10 11
" " "	" " "	20 13 1	" " "
" " "	8 5 11	47 4 7	12 15 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	8 5 11	68 1 8	12 15 6
" " "	" " "	31 5 4	" " "
" " "	41 " 10	99 6 3	19 7 5
" " "	7 6 5	64 1 6	58 11 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	28 7 3	192 13 1	76 2 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	17 12 3	5 14 9
" " "	" " "	37 4 4	4 13 "
" " "	32 7 5	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	32 7 5	55 " 7	10 11 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	9 1 1	3 " 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	9 1 1	3 " 4
" " "	89 4 7	327 " 5	102 14 2
206 12 "	89 4 7	1113 3 9	182 9 1

E. E. P. [Signed] M. Murray Collector. Register.

1858

Fort St. George

1. in 17 Register Shewing the Extent of Land held under Cowle in the Zillah of Cuddapah during the Fu

Description of Land	For what Fusly granted	No. of years	Total Land Fusly 1262		Deduction Under Cultivation		Deduction Bunjer		Total Deduction		Balance	
			Acres.	Tarum	Acres	Tarum	Acres	Tarum	Acres	Tarum	Acres	Tarum
1		2	3	4.	5.	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	From Fusly 1260 to 1264	5	3751	11 3/4	12084	1 11	"	"	"	"	3751	11 3/4
	From Fusly 1261 to 1265	5	85	16 5	28	13 2	"	"	"	"	85	16 5
	From Fusly 1262 to 1266	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	From Fusly 1260 to 1262	3	1054	24 1/4	396	5 4	"	"	"	"	1054	24 1/4
	From Fusly 1262 to 1264	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			"	4891	1 2	1633	3 1	"	"	"	"	4891	1 2
	Chadtie Cowle		"	23934	3 1/4	914	15 6	112	28 6 1/4	53	12 11	115	12 2 1/2
	Total		"	5130	35 5 1/4	1752	2 7	112	28 6 1/4	53	12 11	115	12 2 1/2

Land held for a term of 5 years from Fusly 1260 to Fusly 1264 inclusive

Portion of Pulle Cheluma

Cowle for a period of 5 years from Fusly 1260 to Fusly 1264 inclusive

Guy Land

Poyanda.

"	2391	3 1/4	914	15 6	112	28 6 1/4	53	12 11	115	12 2 1/2	2278	2 22
"	5130	35 5 1/4	1752	2 7	112	28 6 1/4	53	12 11	115	12 2 1/2	2278	2 22

388 3/4	816	6 1	11	3610 1/2	98	4	388 3/4	816	6 1	4902	36 12 1/2	1643

Cuddapah. 11th July 1853.

15th " May 1854

1262.

Increase waste land brought under cultivation in Fusly 1262.		Total		Remission.			
Acres	Tarum	Acres	Tarum	Remission of Assessment	Cowle Remission	Total Remission	Balance
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
" " " " "		3751 "	11½ 1208	1 11	" " "	1180 7 15 "	1180 7 15 "	2 7 6 2 11
" " " " "		85 16 5	28 7 13	2 " "	" " "	281 8 10	281 8 10	6 4 4
8	12½ 1124	11 6	457 8	12½ 1124	11 6	" " "	1091 2 8	1091 2 8	33 8 10
" " " " "		1054 24	1½ 3965	4 " "	" " "	3888 6 7	3888 6 7	76 13 5
19	55 14 6	29	19	55 14 6	" " "	53 12 1	53 12 1	2 2 5
28	6½ 1180	10 "	53 7	29 7	¼ 1757	13 1	" " "	1712 13 2	1712 13 2	39 4 15 11
30	4½ 1197	3 5	521	31	15¼ 1295	12 10	" " "	1113 3 9	1113 3 9	182 9 1
24	10 23 7	13 5	899	21	6½ 1813	9 11	" " "	1823 6 " 11	1823 6 " 11	57 7 9 "
								1794 0 " 4		

Aryan Coule-
Poto Teerwa Commee remission for cleaning Jungle- } 20 6 12 "
Payamaty wajabee remission for mundatee 8 9 4 7

18236 " 11.

E. E. P. [Signed] M. Murray Collector

Statement

6679

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

No. 18 Statement of Shavy or Crops dried up in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.

		Ryots who obtained remission for Shavy						
Talooks	No. of Ryots	Settlement after deducting the general remission on the cultivation at the Jamabundy	Assessment of the Shavy Land		Of which Remission granted		Per centage between Columns 4 and 5	Per Centage between Columns 3 and 5
1	2	3	4		5		6	7
1 Cumbum	52	1166	2 8	754 15 5	754	15 8	100 - -	64 11 10
2 Poolwendalah	51	1639	9 3	977 14 5	712	1 8	72 13 2	43 6 11
Totals	103	2805	11 11	1732 14 1	1467	1 4	84 10 7	52 4 7

Cuddapah, 11th July, 1853. E. E.

/Signed/ M. Murray
Collector.

Statement.

6680

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

No. 19 Statement shewing the Cultivation of Sugar Cane, Cotton and Indigo, in the Zillah of Cuddapah Fusly 1262.

	Sugar Cane			Cotton.			Indigo.		
	Land	Assessment		Land	Assessment		Land	Assessment	
	1	2		3	4		5	6	7
Ayen									
Dry	96 4 15½	47 8 11		52,236 4	15¾	1,735 15 6	22,188 4	11¼	509 4 10
Garden	64 35 12½	617 15 10		61 17 6½		420 5 6	357 6 6	8¾	320 0 2 2
Poonasa	" " "	" " "		23 13 15½		7 7 4 8	225 12 1		10 3 9 10 1
Wet	1959 5 ½	2022 8 3		2 8 10¾		5 12 10	302 1 23	11¾	41 322 15 2
Wet Garden	" 14 2	5 12 2		" " "		" " "	17 38 3		11 2 14 1
Teerwa Jastee	162 39 5	161 10 8		" " "		" " "	43 4 16 8¾		30 9 6 " 4
Fussul Jastee	" " "	46 9 7 14 8		" " "		" " "	" " "		38 5 9 12 3
Total	2283 19 3½	2,720 8 6 6		52,323 5 ½		2,239 6 6	2,976 3 20	13½	1,32 4 22 10 11

FDG

Crane.									
Dry	" 35 -	" 9 8	84 188	19 3¾	52,726 11	"	7,630 10	23¾	1,569 7 11 11
Garden	11 12 7¼	116 9 5	61	26 10¼	432 2	3	1,046 39	13	85 7 7 5 8
Poonasa	" " "	" " "	6	22 -	34	3 7	32 25	13	2 30 11 3
Wet	482 16 5½	505 2 9 10	9	38 14½	134	8 6	1,245 30	8¾	1,480 8 6 7
Wet Garden	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" 4	14	2 1 10
Teerwa Jastee	5 20 11	46 5 "	"	" "	"	" "	15 2	8	130 10 11
Total	500 4 7¾	5,216 1 11	34,266	26 12½	53,327 9	4	9,970 33	11½	3,945 7 2
Permanently Settled —									
Dry	12 39 14	35 12 1	118	5 12¼	213	13 8	" "		" "
Garden	3 4 4½	19 15 7	"	" "	"	" "	" "		" "
Wet	2,633 24 7¾	1,662 12 7	"	" "	"	" "	" "		" "
Wet Garden	" 19 2	3 2 2	"	" "	"	" "	" "		" "
Teerwa Jastee	383 21 8½	281 3 13 8	"	" "	"	" "	" "		" "
Fussul Jastee	" " "	41 8 3 7 -	"	" "	"	" "	" "		" "
Total	3033 29 4¾	2,367 7 15 1	118	5 12¼	213	13 8	" "		" "
Grand Total	5,817 13 -	56,102 7 6	86,707	37 9¼	125,780	13 6	39,734 14 9		17,186 9 11 1

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853.

E. E. Fr. (Signed) M. Murray, Collector

No. 20.

6681

Fort St. George

No. 20.—

Statement Shewing the Tenure in the Zillah of

15th May 1854

Kistbundy of lands held on permanent Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Saury Minor and resumed Zemindaries	Names of the Zemindaries	Names of the Zemindar.	Particulars whether ancient or Proprietary	Amount of Peishcash	Amount of the remission of the Moturpha tax included in the permanent Beriz
1.	2	3	4	5	6
1 Saury Zemindaries	Poonganoor	Immady Shankur Trayel	Ancient	63,000 " "	" " "
	Venkatagherry	Comara Gauchama Naidoo	Ditto	15,198 7 1	" " "
			Total	78,198 7 1	" " "

Cuddapah, 11th July, 1853.

C. C. Ft. Signed / M. Murray

Collector

No. 21

6682

Fort St. George

No. 21. Statement shewing the Kist. of Cuddapah for

15th May 1854

bundy of the Government Villages in the Zillah

Fusly 1262 - -

Number	Talooks.	Names of Tahsildars	Summah of the year	November Kist bundy	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Cumbum	Vencatrow	132259 4 5	13225 14 11	26451 13 8	26451 13 8	26451 13 8	26451 13 8	13225 14 10	" " " " " "	
2	Doopaud	Ramanarow	106844 10 "	10684 7 3	21368 14 10	21368 14 10	21368 14 11	21368 14 11	10684 7 3	" " " " " "	
3	Budwail	Raganendrarow	45843 4 5	11584 5 3	23168 10 6	23168 10 6	23168 10 6	23168 10 5	11584 5 3	" " " " " "	
4	Jummulmudgoo	Durmajee Teermalrow	180063 1 11	18005 5 "	36010 9 11	36010 10 "	36010 10 "	36010 10 "	18005 5 "	" " " " " "	
5	Doovoor	M. Streenevasarow	164997 12 7	16499 12 6	32999 8 11	32999 8 11	32999 8 10	32999 8 10	16499 12 7	" " " " " "	
6	Koilgoontlah	Dadarow	205115 3 1	20511 8 4	41023 " 8	41023 " 7	41023 " 7	41023 " 7	20511 8 4	" " " " " "	
7	Chitwail	Soobarow	188878 14 3	18887 14 4	37775 12 5	37775 12 5	37775 12 5	37775 12 5	18887 14 3	" " " " " "	
8	Sidhout	Venautrow	107837 12 11	10783 12 6	21567 9 "	21567 9 "	21567 9 "	21567 9 "	10783 12 5	" " " " " "	
9	Chennoor	Cumbagereerow	109009 11 4	10820 15 6	21641 15 1	21641 15 1	21641 15 1	21641 15 1	11020 15 6	300 " " 300 " "	
10	Kamalapoor	Streenevasarow	126334 8 9	12633 7 3	25266 14 6	25266 14 7	25266 14 7	25266 14 7	12633 7 3	" " " " " "	
11	Goorrumcondah	Cundee Vencatrow	156342 12 1	15634 4 5	31268 8 9	31268 8 10	31268 8 10	31268 8 10	15634 4 5	" " " " " "	
12	Muddenpully	Naveelee Appoorow	146451 11 4	14645 2 9	29290 5 5	29290 5 5	29290 5 5	29290 5 6	14645 2 10	" " " " " "	
13	Poolvendlah	Gooroorow	218107 2 8	21610 11 5	43221 7 "	43221 6 11	43221 6 11	43221 6 11	22210 11 6	700 " " 700 " "	
14	Roychooty	Bunder Teroomulrow	138329 3 6	13832 14 9	27665 13 6	27665 13 5	27665 13 6	27665 13 6	13832 14 10	" " " " " "	
	Total		2096405 1 3	209360 8 2	418721 " 2	418721 " 2	418721 " 3	418721 " 3	210160 8 3	1000 " " 1000 " "	

Cuddapah 11th July 1853 E. E.

Dr. /Signed/ M. Murray

Collector.

No. 22.

6683

Fort St. George

No. 22. Statement Shewing the Demand Rydwar and Peramanently Settled in

		Fusly 1261. Demand	Fusly 1261. Collection within the Fusly	
	1	2	3	
1	Cumbum	12,252 3 7	11,951 0 9	
2	Doopaud	9,349 9 12 2	9,326 5 15 9	
3	Budwail	10,320 1 5 1	9,959 4 11 4	
4	Jummulmudgoo	1,741 3 3 9 5	1,669 3 6 13 7	
5	Doovoor	1,630 3 2 4 1	1,619 4 3 2	
6	Kailyoontlah	2,017 6 2 7 2	2,018 1 0 11	
7	Chitwail	1,979 4 3 1 10	1,952 7 6 7 5	
8	Sidhout	1,137 0 1 14 9	1,117 5 4 0 0	
9	Chennoor	1,090 9 4 9 5	1,060 9 4 15 11	
10	Kamalapoor	1,313 6 8 14 9	1,306 6 3 8 6	
11	Goorrumcondah	1,646 8 2 15 4	1,630 6 8 7 9	
12	Muddenpully	1,493 8 8 13 10	1,441 0 4 0 8 8	
13	Poolevendalah	2,143 2 2 9 4	2,121 5 1 13 9	
14	Rayachoty	1,427 4 5 15 3	1,424 8 9 15 4	
	Total	20,814 0 1 12 2	20,473 1 2 10 10	
Zemindaree Ancient Zemindaree	1 Poonganoor	6,200 0 0 -	6,200 0 0 -	
	2 Vencatagherry	1,519 8 7 1	1,519 8 7 1	
	Total	7,719 8 7 1	7,719 8 7 1	
	Grand Total	21,586 0 3 3	21,245 1 1 11	

Cuddapah 11th July 1853.

15th May 1854

Collection and Balance of "Current Revenue" the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.—

Fusly 1262 Balance	Fusly 1262 Demand	Fusly 1262 Collection within the Fusly	Fusly 1262 Balance	Subsequent Collection of Balance in Column 7 to the end of 31st January 1854.—	Balance	Remarks.
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3,013 7 -	1,32,259 4 5	1,31,482 6 7	776 13 10	536 5 4	240 8 6	
243 12 5	10,684 4 10 -	10,663 8 7 11	20 6 21	20 6 21	- - -	
3,606 9 9	1,15,843 4 5	1,13,971 4 10	1,871 15 7	1,713 - 2	158 15 5	
7,196 11 10	1,80,053 1 11	1,77,254 2 9	2,798 15 2	2,462 1 -	336 14 2	
1,838 - 11	1,64,997 12 7	1,64,694 8 9	303 3 10	250 12 1	52 7 9	
581 6 3	2,05,115 3 1	2,05,031 14 5	83 4 8	69 14 8	13 6 -	
2,666 10 5	1,88,978 14 3	1,87,311 6 8	1,567 7 7	1,337 7 -	230 - 7	
1,947 14 9	1,07,837 12 11	1,06,358 10 2	1,479 2 9	869 6 1	609 12 8	
2,999 9 6	1,09,009 11 4	1,07,782 14 3	1,226 13 1	969 13 1	257 - -	
705 6 3	1,26,334 8 9	1,25,165 4 4	1,169 4 5	863 14 -	305 6 5	
1,614 7 7	1,56,342 12 1	1,51,908 11 5	4,434 - 8	4,150 1 3	283 15 5	
5,248 5 2	1,46,451 11 4	1,34,334 14 4	12,116 13 -	12,084 10 4	32 2 8	
2,170 11 7	2,18,107 2 8	2,13,825 5 3	4,281 13 5	3,798 2 11	483 10 6	
255 15 11	1,38,329 3 6	1,38,149 10 2	179 9 4	96 - 8	83 8 8	
34,089 1 4	20,96,405 1 3	20,63,999 9 10	32,495 7 5	29,407 10 8	3,087 12 9	
- - -	63,000 - -	63,000 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
- - -	15,198 7 1	15,198 7 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	
- - -	78,198 7 1	78,198 7 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	
34,089 1 4	21,74,603 8 4	21,42,198 - 11	32,495 7 5	29,407 10 8	3,087 12 9	

E. E. J.r [signed] M. Murray
Collector.

No. 23.

6684

For Fort St. George

15th " May 1854

3. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance on account of "Sevoy Jummah" in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.-

Items	Demand			Collections upto the 11th July of Fusly 1262		Balance				
	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Remission
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Land Revenue										
Is not included in Pattah	3379 13 9	3845 13 9	7225 11 6	951 2 6	3256 14 1	4208 " 7	2428 11 3	588 15 8	3017 10 11	2094 13 8
ration of attached Enams	1972 " 4	2313 13 7	4285 13 11	523 13 11	1601 5 2	2125 3 1	1448 2 5	712 8 5	2160 10 10	1130 2 5
ation of Enam Lands under disputes	45 9 6	281 9 8	327 3 2	33 11 10	115 5 6	149 1 4	11 13 8	166 4 2	178 1 10	11 13 8
ration of unclaimed Enam Lands	83 13 9	286 3 3	370 1 "	47 10 3	101 11 11	149 6 2	36 3 6	184 7 4	220 10 10	36 3 6
ation of the Enam Lands of Suspended						3004 6 7	2313 9 2	2364 15 3	4678 8 5	1843 6 10
dismissed Reddies & Karnums	3977 5 1	3705 9 11	7682 15 "	1663 11 11	1340 10 8	107 1 5	329 10 3	" " "	329 10 3	329 10 3
ation of the Dasavandum Enams	345 11 4	91 " 4	436 11 8	16 1 1	91 " 4	2345 8 9	12748 7 10	" " "	12748 7 10	12393 1 3
ivation of the Tufaric Enams	14924 " 11	169 15 8	15094 " 7	2175 9 1	169 15 8	12088 11 11	19316 10 1	4017 2 10	23333 12 11	17839 3 7
	24728 6 8	10694 2 2	35422 8 10	5411 12 7	6676 15 4	708 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
ble proceeds of wood	9 5 "	699 7 2	708 12 2	9 5 "	699 7 2	152 3 11	22 1 6	8 15 10	31 1 4	22 1 6
ep in Mutrpha not exhibited in the Settlement accounts	49 2 "	134 3 3	183 5 3	27 " 6	125 3 5	1648 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
alty collected on Documents executed on unstamped Papers	" " "	1648 " "	1648 " "	" " "	1648 " "	6045 6 4	66 1 8	526 5 1	592 6 9	66 1 8
nes	853 9 1	5784 4 "	6637 13 1	787 7 5	5257 14 11	148 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
erest on account of abkarry Rents	" " "	148 12 6	148 12 6	" " "	148 12 6	390 15 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
e Proceeds of abkarry Mouchilkahs	" " "	390 15 6	390 15 6	" " "	390 15 6	303 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
funds of Stamp Revenue	" " "	303 8 "	303 8 "	" " "	303 8 "	9397 10 5	88 3 2	535 4 11	623 8 1	88 3 2
	912 " 1	9109 2 5	10021 2 6	823 12 11	8573 13 6	21486 6 4	19404 13 3	4552 7 9	23957 5 "	17927 6 9
Total	25640 6 9	19803 4 7	45443 11 4	6235 9 6	15250 12 10	635 2 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
harges Collection Rep	" " "	635 2 10	635 2 10	" " "	635 2 10	2965 13 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
unded	" " "	2965 13 8	2965 13 8	" " "	2965 13 8	334 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
funds of Collectors Salary and C	54 " "	280 " "	334 " "	54 " "	280 " "					
mission						25421 6 10	19404 13 3	4552 7 9	23957 5 "	17927 6 9
tte of advances for Cultiva										
tion										
Grand Total	25694 6 9	23684 5 1	49378 11 10	6289 9 6	19131 13 4					

6685 — Fort St. George — 15th May 1854

Subsequent Collections to the end of January 1854. — Arrears			Current			Total			Balance Arrears Recoverable			Balance Arrears Irrecoverable			Total			Current			Total			Remarks.
12			13			14			15			16			17			18			19			20
36	"	"	558	2	8	594	2	8	194	5	4	103	8	3	297	13	7	30	13	"	328	10	7	The irrecoverable Balance
163	11	9	480	7	9	644	3	6	95	6	10	58	13	5	154	4	3	232	"	8	386	4	11	is outstanding principally
"	"	"	160	3	6	160	3	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	8	6	"	8	on parties who have become
"	"	"	158	4	3	158	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	26	3	1	26	3	1	poor or who have died or
18	3	8	1651	14	1	1670	1	9	42	7	7	409	7	1	451	14	8	713	1	2	1164	15	10	absconded. —
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
174	11	7	"	"	"	174	11	7	64	3	10	116	7	2	180	11	"	"	"	"	180	11	"	
392	11	"	3009	"	3	3401	11	3	396	7	7	688	3	11	1084	11	6	1008	2	7	2092	14	1	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	12	10	"	12	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	3	"	8	3	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	518	5	1	518	5	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	8	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	519	1	11	519	1	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	3	"	16	3	"	
392	11	"	3528	2	2	3920	13	2	396	7	7	688	3	11	1084	11	6	1024	5	7	2109	1	1	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
392	11	"	2528	2	2	3920	13	2	396	7	7	688	3	11	1084	11	6	1024	5	7	2109	1	1	

Cuddapah 11th July, 1853. E. E. [illegible]

(Signed) M. Murray Collector.

No 24

6686

Fort St. George

No. 24. Statement shewing the Collections on account of "arrears" of Land Revenue for former Fus= =lies in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262-

15th May 1854

Fuslies	Arrears at the commence= =ment of Fusly 1262	Collections Up to 11th July 1853 or Fusly 1262	Remissions	Total	Balance at the close of the Fusly	Subse= =quent collection	Balance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fusly 1254	17 " 1	" " "	17 " 1	17 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	Enquiry was made at the time of Commis= =sioner's in regard to the Balances of which Rupees 181-4-9 are re= =coverable, and Rupees 3,693-4-7 irrecoverable and the latter amount is outstanding principally on parties who have become poor or who have died or absconded.
" 1255	17 " 1	" " "	17 " 1	17 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" 1256	17 " 1	" " "	17 " 1	17 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" 1257	18 8 2	" " "	18 8 2	18 8 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" 1258	937 10 5	319 11 "	618 15 8	930 10 8	6 15 9	6 15 9	" " "	
" 1259	2,936 9 3	173 12 8	2,675 6 11	2,829 3 6	87 5 9	3 7 "	83 14 9	
" 1260	13,743 6 4	777 15 2	12,756 2 5	13,534 1 7	209 4 9	160 " "	49 4 9	
" 1261	34,089 1 4	29,898 13 11	" " "	29,898 13 4	4,190 3 5	448 13 7	3,741 5 10	
	51,776 3 9	31,170 4 9	16,112 1 8	47,282 6 1	4,493 13 8	619 4 4	3,874 9 4	

Cuddapah 11th July 1853. E. E.

Per / Signed / Mc. Murray
Collector

F.D.G

Statement A

6687

Fort St George

15th May 1854

No 25 Statement shewing the Collections on account of Tuccavy or advances made, on account of Cultivation in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262

Talooks	Sanctioned on account of Tuccavy transmitted to the Talooks	Of which amount returned to the Hoozoor not distributed	Remainder distributed among the Ryots	Deduct Collections up to the 11th July of Fusly 1262	Balance	Subsequent Collections	Balance	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Jummulmudgoo	60	"	"	60	60	"	"	"	"
Doorvoor	200	"	48	152	152	"	"	"	"
Kamalapoor	20	"	"	20	20	"	"	"	"
Total	280	"	48	232	232	"	"	"	"

Collector

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853. Errors Excepted per / Signed / M. Murray

Collector

Statement

6688. Fort St George

15th May 1854

No 26 Statement shewing the Demand collection and Balance of Land Revenue with remissions written off under sanction for 10 years in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262

Fusly	Demand			Collection			Balance			Remissions			Outstanding			Remarks
Fusly	1252	20,159	93	5	7	20,147	00	12	8	1,292	6	11	1,292	6	11	Remission sanctioned by Government on the 19th July 1845
"	1253	20,520	52	4		20,505	87	4	3	14,64	2	1	14,64	2	1	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 9th May 1846
"	1254	20,483	84	10	6	20,417	74	7	11	1,610	2	7	1,610	2	7	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 17th May 1847
"	1255	19,00	289	7	9	18,97	484	12	5	2,804	11	4	2,804	11	4	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 3d June 1848-8th June 1849-3d August 1850 & 22d September 1852
"	1256	21,92	497	6	2	21,91	158	4	"	14,39	2	2	14,39	2	2	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 8th June 1849 3d August 1850 & 22d September 1852
"	1257	22,180	72	"	7	22,15	949	5	1	2,122	11	6	2,122	11	6	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 3d August 1850 21st Augt 1851 22d September 1852 & 19th March 1853
"	1258	20,79	480	6	"	20,77	413	5	3	2,067	"	9	2,060	1	"	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 21st August 1851 22d September 1852 & 19th March 1853
"	1259	20,77	404	6	2	20,74	641	9	7	2,762	12	7	2,675	6	11	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 19th March 1853
"	1260	21,89	541	1	2	21,76	575	10	"	12,965	7	2	12,750	2	5	Ditto Ditto by Dr on the 19th Dr
"	1261	21,58	600	3	3	21,54	409	15	10	4,190	3	5	4,190	3	5	
Total		209,73	434	15	6	208,94	596	3	"	32,718	12	6	28,224	14	11	4,493 13 8

Cuddapah 11th July 1853 Errors Excepted

Per /Signed/ M. Murray
Collector

General

689

Fort St. George

No. 27 General Abstract Statement of the whole Revenue from the Land and from Extra Sources in the Distr

Fusly 1262

Divi sion	Names of the Taloocks	Permanently Settled	Village rents for more than one year	Under Ryotwar	Land Revenue annual Settlement Village Rents	Amanie or Division of the Produce	Total	Shotrum Jodighee &c.	Total Columns 7 and 8	Total Land Revenue
	1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	10
	1 Immulmudgoo	" " "	" "	170,355 14 4	" " "	" " "	170,355 14 4	9,697 3 7	180053 1 11	180,053 1 11
	2 Doovvoor	" " "	" "	147,330 6 10	" "	" "	147,330 6 10	17,667 5 9	164,997 12 7	164,997 12 7
	3 Hosilgoontah	" " "	" "	181,457 13 10	" "	" "	181,457 13 10	23,657 5 3	205,115 3 1	205,115 3 1
	4 Chutwail	" " "	" "	154,072 12 5	" "	" "	154,072 12 5	34,800 1 10	188878 14 3	188,878 14 3
	5 Gidhout	" " "	" "	103,713 1 6	" "	" "	103,713 1 6	4,124 11 5	107837 12 11	107,837 12 11
	6 Chevvoor	" " "	" "	101,475 6 2	" "	" "	101,475 6 2	7,534 5 2	109,009 11 4	109,009 11 4
	7 Coomalapoor	" " "	" "	116,901 10 10	" "	" "	116,901 10 10	9,432 13 11	126,334 8 9	126,334 8 9
	8 Goottumcondah	" " "	" "	148,542 9 1	" "	" "	148,542 9 1	7,800 3 "	156342 12 1	156,342 12 1
	9 Muddenpilly	" " "	" "	139,838 11 "	" "	" "	139,838 11 "	6,613 " 4	146457 11 4	146,457 11 4
	10 Poolevendlah	" " "	" "	208,752 5 2	" "	" "	208,752 5 2	9,254 13 6	218,107 2 8	218,107 2 8
	11 Royachooty	" " "	" "	133,033 4 11	" "	" "	133,033 4 11	5,295 14 7	138329 3 6	138,329 3 6
	12 Poonganoor	63,000 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	63,000 " "
	Total	63,000 " "	" "	1605,474 " 1	" "	" "	1605474 " 1	135,983 14 4	1741457 14 5	1804,457 14 5
Ch. Collector	1 Cumbum including Vencatagherry Peshkush	15,198 7 1	" "	122,819 5 9	" "	" "	122,819 5 9	9,439 14 8	132259 4 5	147,457 11 "
	2 Doorpaud	" " "	" "	101,923 11 3	" "	" "	101,923 11 3	4,920 14 9	106844 10 "	106,844 10 "
	3 Buttucail	" " "	" "	98,096 12 9	" "	" "	98,096 12 9	17,746 7 8	115843 4 5	115,843 4 5
	Total	15,198 7 1	" "	322,839 13 9	" "	" "	322,839 13 9	32,107 5 1	354,947 2 10	370,145 2 10
	Grand Total	78,198 7 1	" "	1928313 13 10	" "	" "	1928313 13 10	168,091 3 5	2096405 1 3	2174,603 3 3

15th May 1854

of Cuddapah for Fasly 1263-

Extra branches of Revenue

Abstract

Sayer

Rented	Amanie	Total	Rented	Amanie	Total
11	12	13	14	15	16
			6330 " "	" " "	6330 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	7100 " "	" " "	7100 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	7350 " "	" " "	7350 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	7257 " "	" " "	7257 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	3230 " "	" " "	3230 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	21000 " "	" " "	21000 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	3380 " "	" " "	3380 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	9850 " "	" " "	9850 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	12220 " "	" " "	12220 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	14520 " "	" " "	14520 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	5300 " "	" " "	5300 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	7310 " "	" " "	7310 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	104841 " "	" " "	104841 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	9010 " "	" " "	9010 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	2065 " "	" " "	2065 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	2700 " "	" " "	2700 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	13775 " "	" " "	13775 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	118616 " "	" " "	118616 " "

6690

Fort St. George

15th May 1854

Sundry Small farms & Licences

Rented	Amanie	Total	Motunpalle	Stamps	Salt	Sea Customs	Total Extra branches of Revenue	Total including Land Revenue of columns 10 and 24
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
611 " "	" " "	611 " "	11,374 3 1	20 12 "	" " "	" " "	18,335 15 1	198,389 1 "
380 14 "	" " "	380 14 "	14,294 1 3	2,007 12 "	" " "	" " "	23,782 11 3	188,780 7 10
1,103 10 8	11 8 "	1,115 2 8	13,074 10 "	1,669 8 "	" " "	" " "	23,209 4 8	228,324 7 9
1,079 6 "	333 1 "	1,412 7 "	11,710 " 10	14,33 12 "	" " "	" " "	21,807 3 10	210,686 2 1
546 12 "	" " "	546 12 "	5,373 " 8	38 8 "	" " "	" " "	9,188 4 8	117,026 1 7
1,007 4 6	1 2 6	1,008 7 "	7,701 1 "	1,255 " "	" " "	" " "	30,964 8 "	139,974 3 4
92 2 "	" " "	92 2 "	6,611 12 11	17 4 "	" " "	" " "	10,101 2 11	136,435 11 8
1,196 12 "	4 " "	1,200 12 "	10,752 4 2	1,728 8 "	" " "	" " "	23,531 8 2	179,874 4 3
2,399 " "	22 " "	2,421 " "	9,853 4 5	1,512 8 "	" " "	" " "	26,006 12 5	172,458 7 9
2,349 10 "	144 " "	2,493 10 "	17,054 15 5	1,263 12 "	" " "	" " "	35,332 5 5	253,439 8 1
1,449 8 "	34 9 "	1,484 1 "	10,197 9 4	16 8 "	" " "	" " "	10,998 2 4	155,327 5 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	6,032 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,322 6 "	76,342 6 "
12,215 15 2	550 4 6	12,766 3 8	124,029 5 1	10,963 12 "	" " "	" " "	252,660 4 9	2,057,058 3 2
500 13 "	33 15 "	534 12 "	10,294 6 3	1,667 8 "	" " "	" " "	21,506 10 3	168,964 5 9
314 1 "	" " "	314 1 "	8,646 9 10	6 4 "	" " "	" " "	11,031 14 10	117,876 8 10
213 10 "	16 " "	229 10 "	7,366 " 9	23 4 "	" " "	" " "	10,318 14 9	126,162 3 2
1,028 8 "	49 15 "	1,078 7 "	26,307 " 10	1,697 " "	" " "	" " "	42,857 7 10	413,003 1 9
13,244 7 2	600 1 6	13,844 10 8	150,336 5 11	12,660 12 "	" " "	" " "	295,457 12 7	2,470,061 4 11
Sale proceeds of Stamps papers the Killah Court Vendor for July 1262				14,469 4 "	" " "	" " "	14,469 4 "	12,469 4 "
Recovered Value of Stamps papers issued to Servants for Security Bonds and for defending Suits brought against public Servants				116 4 "	" " "	" " "	116 4 "	116 4 "
			Total	27,246 4 "	" " "	" " "	310,043 4 7	2,482,646 12 11

Cuddapah 11th July 1853. Errors Excepted

Per [signed] M. Murray
Collector

6691

Fort St George 15th May 1854

No 28 Statement of the Number of Government Plantations and Trees in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262-

Divisions	Names of the Talooks	Villages where Plantations have been formed.	Plantation	Extent of Land occupied for Plantations	Description and number of Trees Standing in the Fusly 1262											
					Tamarind	Mango	Pyre	Narvale or Country Plum	Date	Guava & Small Fruit Trees	Total	Timber	Total	Grand Total	Have trees ready to dispose of	Plants ready for transplantings
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
1	Davoor	Goreegarroot	1	1 2 4	20	40	"	10	"	4	74	8	82	82	"	"
		Vellalah	1	4 10 "	1	25	"	"	"	4	30	140	170	170	"	"
			2	5 12 4	21	65	"	10	"	8	104	148	252	252	"	"
2	Heilgoontz Cahs	Campamullah	1	1 " "	40	"	"	6	"	"	46	6	52	52	"	"
		Woyalavada	1	3 " "	30	2	"	"	"	3	25	9	44	44	"	29
		Kristnapandoo	1	1 20 "	20	4	"	"	"	14	58	10	68	68	"	"
		Goandamullah	1	1 20 "	48	4	"	"	"	10	62	6	68	68	"	"
			4	7 " "	158	10	"	6	"	27	201	31	232	232	"	29
3	Cemmoor	Soonkalalah	1	4 29	140	4	"	17	"	3	164	11	175	175	"	"
4	Goottum Condah Cs	Chinnagottregullo	1	1 15	13	20	120	"	"	"	15	155	11	166	166	"	"
		Goottumcondah	1	4 " "	50	30	"	"	"	20	100	107	207	207	"	"
		Yanogondapolam	1	2 4	8	7	12	"	"	"	3	22	6	28	28	"	"
			3	7 30	5	77	162	"	"	"	38	277	124	401	401	"	"

5 Muddenpully	Co Macotah	——	1	4	"	"	30	60	25	30	"	"	125	30	175	175	"	"
6 Poolevendlah	Chunnarungapo puram	}	1	1	35	"	20	20	8	8	2	10	68	17	85	85	"	"
——	Ditto	——	1	1	"	"	8	12	"	2	"	5	27	13	40	40	"	"
	Singalah	——	1	2	"	"	100	"	"	"	"	"	100	10	100	110	"	"
	Morahareee Chen talah	}	1	1	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	1	5	"	5	5	"	"
			4	5	35	"	128	36	8	10	2	16	200	40	240	240	"	"
7 Royachoty	Tootpovareegaa		4	4	20	6	1	355	"	7	"	"	363	13	376	376	"	"
	Kasanapoorum		1	4	20	13	60	150	"	50	"	"	260	"	260	260	"	"
	Linkeereddy pullem	}	1	4	8	5	300	"	"	"	"	"	300	"	300	300	"	"
			6	13	9	8	361	505	"	57	"	"	923	13	936	936	"	"
Total		——	21	47	9	10	915	842	33	100	2	92	2014	397	2411	2411	"	29

6692

Fort St George 15th May 1854

| | | |

but that disease was not very fatal up to the close of the Fusly. The Dresser attached to the Sub Collector's Cutcherry gave general medical aid during the Circuit and performed 161 successful cases of Vaccination

4. The early rains of this Fusly were plentiful and favorable for the sowing of the dry Crops beginning in June of Fusly 1261, and continuing during July and August of Fusly 1262. By these a partial supply of water was received by Tanks and a partial cultivation of the 1st wet Crop carried on under some Tanks, but the subsequent rains were prejudicial to the dry Crops. The rains in September and October were heavy and filled nearly all the tanks. † As the fall of rain was much heavier in Budwail than in the other Taloks a considerable number of small Tanks in the former Talook were breached, temporary repairs were however immediately caused to be made at the time by village labor, that the water might not be lost, and the cultivation was thereby not impaired, but the Tanks were enabled to hold the small additional supply of water which was received in the subsequent months

† 8½ Inches on the 21st October 1853

so that a second Crop also was obtained.

5. Statement showing the number of Tanks which received full and partial supplies of water during the Fusly compared with the last year.

Taloks	Tanks in good order	Tanks breached	Total Tanks	Full		Above ¾		Above ½		Above ¼		Within ¼		Total		Received no water whatever	
				Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Cumbum	75	12	87	20	68	3	1	14	3	25	4	10	"	72	76	15	11
Dorpaud	99	9	108	2	56	.	4	.	15	4	7	69	1	75	83	33	25
Budwail	129	15	144	35	123	13	2	17	2	28	3	28	4	121	134	23	10
Total	303	36	339	57	247	16	7	31	20	57	14	107	5	268	293	71	46

6. The produce of all descriptions of grain wet, dry &c in the Division was 14/16 of a full Crop.

Sujjalos, Jonnalos and Ragi were in some places injured by blight, and elsewhere by the excess of the September and October rains. Flowering Crops such as Dall or red gram, lamp Oil plant &c suffered from blight the dry Crops produced on the whole 8¾/16 of a full Crop.

The

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

The North east wind in November checked the rice crop when coming into ear, and there was a considerable loss of Indigo seed during the season of 2d Crop, the produce under Tanks may be estimated at 15/16 of a full Crop.

Chillies and such like garden Crops under wells produced 15/16 of a full Crop.

The lands watered by channels produced fully, so that the decrease upon the whole Crops wet and dry &c. is only 2/16 less than a full Crop and looking at the large extent of cultivation, the season must be considered a profitable one for the Cultivators.

7. Statement shewing the prices of different kinds of grain compared with the five previous years.

		Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Comparison between Col. 8 & 9 Increase	Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Paddy 1st Sort	98	90	84	88	87	83	"	4
2	Do 2d Do	88	83	74	75	78	75	"	3
3	Jonnalou or Cholum	115	102	82	88	94	98	4	"
4	Ragoolou	108	91	74	76	82	87	5	"
5	Sajjalou	116	96	81	89	96	97	1	"
6	Arekalou	69	65	57	58	61	60	"	1
7	Wheat	270	195	226	242	202	174	"	28
8	Bengal gram	264	170	152	190	199	186	"	13
9	Indigo	492	462	541	654	542	638	96	"
10	Cotton	40	40	40	52				2

for 7 years containing 3276 measures.

for 5 years containing 20 Measures

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

8 (1) The dry Crops of Jonna, Raggy and Sujjah as has before been noticed, having been affected by the later rains, and being the chief food of the farming and laboring population the supply was rather less than the demand, and prices rose a little. Indigo was in demand also.

(2) The abundant production of Paddy, Bengal Gram and wheat, and the small exportation of these products are the cause of the fall in their price.

9. Statement shewing the Cabooliaty &c. of the Sub-Division compared with that of the preceding year.

Fusly

6698.

Fort St. George

15th May 1854.

	Fusly			1261 Fusly 1262			Increase		Decrease	
		Acres.	Assessment	Average per Acre	Acres	Assessment	Average per Garce	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Dry land	2,22,925	2,04,745	" 14 8	2,25,818	2,06,756	" 14 8	2,893	2011	"	"
2	Garden Do	12555	86,029	6 14 .	12,599	86,573	6 13 11	44	244	"	"
3	Wet Do	12,105	1,38,573	11 7 2	12,202	1,39,472	11 6 11	96	879	"	"
4	Poonasah Do	3,160	15,577	4 14 10	3,172	15,701	4 5 2	12	124	"	"
5	Teeruajasty	"	16,252	" " "	"	20,644	" " "	"	4,392	"	"
6	Tasulgasty	"	15,775	" " "	"	25,513	" " "	"	9.737	"	"
	Total	2.50.746	4,77,272	1 14 5	2,53,791	4,94,659	1 15 2	3,045	17.387	"	"
Cudgerum Thanjam	Dry land	2,20,475	2,01,735	" 14 8	2,22,825	2,03,149	" 14 7	2,350	1.441	"	"
Accumulation of Lands dependent on irrigation	Tender Tanks	16.499	1,80,287	10 14 9	17207	1,96,376	11 6 7	708	16,189	"	"
	Do Channels	741	5,430	7 11 9	767	0,235	8 2 1	26	505	"	"
	Do Wells	13.031	89.680	6 14 .	12992	88899	6 13 6	"	"	39	701
	Total	30,271	2,75,537	9 1 8	30,905	2,91,570	9 0 7	734	16,694	39	701
	Grand Total	2,50,746	4,77,272	1 14 5	2,53,791	4,94,659	1 15 2	3084	18088	39	701
Particulars	Actual Cultivation	190.911	3,76,937	1 14 9	2,05,265	4,19,803	2 " 9	9354	42866	"	"
	Caboolaty Bunjer	54.835	1,01,335	1 13 3	48,501	7,1836	1 7 8	"	"	6334	28499
	Fishakh Dowle	"	"	" " "	25	3020	120 12 10	25	3020	"	"
	Total	2,50,746	4,77,272	1 14 5	2,53,791	4,94,659	1 15 2	9,379	45,886	6,334	28,499
	Deduct Caboolaty Bunjer	27.248	73,107	2 10 11	22040	44,315	2 " 2	"	"	5,208	28,792
	Net Cultivation	2,23,498	4,04,165	1 12 11	2,31,751	4,50,344	1 15 1	8,253	40,179	"	"

The

6699

[illegible] Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

(1) Use increase of land & taram of

(2) Use increase of Farmanaikanship allowance granted for the Fasholi year.

Read the 3 large Cauds Nos. 6 Cumbum, Pottanamcillah and Dechanaic Cauds

Dry Do Lands not of the Ryotwar Kistwar

to the amount of Rupees 32 0[illegible] and

Cultivated Lands Rupees 20[illegible] Cauds

Potta Lands for Summ. and Tadigan Kistwar Rupees 14,129 were

& Kuttagi Lands in Cumbum Taluk

Cup not Land

(3) The Ryotwar accounts of Pattam

Poi in Ganjam Land rated by water in part

Beach the Land Lands were converted into

wet with Tank water and entered under

Tarunjaram.

(4) The increased cultivation during

Fasli given under fields uncultivated at the

Commission of the Inam Settlement 28,798

as exclusive.

(5) Statement explaining the Increase

"Age or Lands gone in Compensation

the Fuelbridge Road &c &c Follows.

Thos

6700

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

		Fasly 1261			Fasly 1262			Increase		Decrease	
		Acres	Assessment	Average per Acre	Acres	Assessment	Average per Acre	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Dry land	1,74,632	1,68,756	" 15 7	1,81,602	1,72,397	" 15 2	6,970	3,641	"	"
2	Garden Do	11,185	77585	6 15 "	11,273	78,228	6 15 "	88	643	"	"
3	Wet Do	7,998	90,013	11 4 1	10,079	1,14,706	11 6 2	2,081	24,693	"	"
4	Poonasah Do	2,254	10658	4 11 8	2468	11,225	4 15 4	212	1567	"	"
5	Teerwajasty	"	15,420	" " "	"	19,958	" " "	"	4,538	"	"
6	Fasuljasty	"	15,568	" " "	"	24,932	" " "	"	9364	"	"
	Total	1,96,069	3,78,000	1 15 8	2,05,420	4,22,586	2 " 11	9,351	44,505	"	"
Ceded Districts	Dry land	1,72,246	1,65,922	" 15 5	1,78,650	1,68,948	" 15 2	6,404	3,026	"	"
Nellore Chingleput	Under Tanks	11,346	1,24,952	11 " 2	11,766	1,06,403	11 10 8	2,920	41,451	"	"
	Do Channels	662	5,324	8 " 8	683	5,751	8 6 9	21	427	"	"
	Do Wells	11,815	81,802	6 14 9	11,821	81,404	6 14 2	6	"	"	398
	Total	23,823	2,12,078	8 14 5	26,770	2,53,558	9 7 7	2,947	41,878	"	398
	Grand Total	1,96,069	3,78,000	1 15 8	2,05,420	4,22,586	2 " 11	9,351	44,904	"	398

Thos

12 (1) There is a difference between the amount specified in Columns 5 and 6 under the head of actual cultivation in a statement of land agreed for, and the grand Total of the same Columns in the Statement of Tokoomaze or land sown, subsequent to the Jamabundy, land amounting to (acres 133 Rupees 304) which was entered as Cabooliaty Bunjer or agreed for, but uncultivated at the Jamabundy, but not remitted, was afterwards cultivated. Likewise double or land (acres 22 Rupees 2,399 together with Fasulgasty) entered as likely to be cultivated, and therefore not remitted, was also cultivated. The addition of these two items to the first amount makes up the difference, and shows the entire cultivation "

(2.) The remarks in para 4 and 20 explain the cause of the increase of all land cultivated.

(3) The increase in acres and decrease in Assessment of lands dependent on wells compared with above the preceding Fusly are caused by the conversion of highly assessed well garden land into

into Tank watered land and so entered under that head, and by the taking up well land of lower assessment out of the Agamut Bunjer or unoccupied land.

13 The annexed statement exhibits the remissions granted in the Fusly under review Compared with the preceding one.

		Fusly 1267	Fusly 1262	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
1	Stulla cummee or waste portions of Fields	8827	9167	340	"
2	Shanjon withered Crops and destroyed seed	1163	755	"	408
3	Paumy Boodily or lands flooded in the beds of Tanks	465	2,218	1753	"
4	Payamallee or cultivation destroyed by inundation	"	345	345	"
5	Cowle for Bunjer land	1	"	"	1
6	Cayeda or ½ & ¼ remissions	91363	101,185	9822	"
7	Maumool or usual remission	10000	11607	1607	"
8	Enam Vaza or Circar rent remitted to village officers for service	31	31	"	"
9	Teerwacummee or diminished Assessment	2,803	3,278	475	"
10	Pymaysh Cummee or land found to be less than the survey accounts	1,274	1,366	92	"
11	Ready money remissions for Dasturam &c	85	85	"	"
12	Remissions for Jack trees &c	24	24	"	"
	Total	116,036	1,30,061	14434	409
	Net Increase	"	"	14,025	"

14. (1) Fewer whole fields were remitted this year, but from the more extended cultivation, more partial remissions were given to the poorer ryots

(2) In the preceding Fusly 1264 Rupees 1,163 were remitted for withered crops or Shavy. There was no occasion for remission under this head this Fusly. But as the Indigo seed had been repeatedly destroyed and the season for another sowing lapsed over, under the Cumbum Tank a remission was made of Rupees 755 under the head of destroyed seed. The net decrease exhibited is Rupees 408.

(3) Crops were sown in the beds of large Tanks in case of their not receiving water, but as the Tanks filled before the Crops were reaped a large amount was flooded. After local enquiry a remission of Rupees 1,753 above that of last year, or total Rupees 2,218 was granted.

4. The remission upon cultivation destroyed by flood was in consequence of the small Tanks in Budwail having

having been breached. After examination Rupees 340 were remitted on this account in Budwail, and Rupees 5 in Doopaud.

(5) More extended cultivation of other lands entitled to the established Fusly remissions, show of course an increase under these heads.

15. The following Statement shews the Gyr Moojarah or waste land charged in the Current Fusly

Items.	Acres	Assessment	Amount liable to collection after deducting remissions.
Land left waste by ryots through neglect	19,137	15,015	11,464
Land retained for grazing Cattle	1171	828	627
Land left waste notwithstanding the existence of water	330	2,206	1,675
Wiskakh dowle	3	621	418
Total	20,641	18,670	14,184.

16 (1) The non-remission of putta land uncultivated to ryots who have new well lands assessed at the dry rate, or who cultivated inams of others to the detriment of their putta land or their own inams under the same circumstances, or who bestowed their labour

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

labour upon the light, to the exclusion of the heavy, assessed land, in their puttahs, is carried out with reference to the circumstances of each case, according to the practice of the District

(2) Ryots keeping their puttah land waste for grazing purposes were not exempted from the rent due for it.

(3) The withholding of remissions under this head was determined by the individual circumstances of those who did not cultivate their wet land when there was tank water.

(4) The settlement of Budwail having been concluded in February, and the cultivation of the 2d Crop not having been fully finished by that time, the amount of Rupees 2,165 was entered as likely to be cultivated for second crop on account of the quantity of water still remaining. This calculation by last accounts has been verified to the amount of Rupees 2160 collected leaving Rupees 5 uncollected.

17 The following Statement shews the several items added to the settlement.

Rs
Land cultivated 1747
Do uncultivated 418.
2165

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

6705

											In Conjunction	
											Cocoanuts	Dottos
											Trees	5
											Cocoanuts	4
											Trees	3
Trees	1201	Trees	2								1262	
20,227		150		1646		1013		104		294	20950	129
											92	58
											1646	
											1448	315
											130	26
											301	1
											2327	643
											649	83
											4559	285
30018											32108	2148
15198											15198	"
45216											47306	2148
Net Increase												

Total

Grand total

Net Increase " " 2090

1 Sodee of Inam land _____
2 Do of Dawastanum Inam land _____
3 Do of Village _____
4 Talawaddanum or amount levied for the support of Tanks _____
5 Kalingum Sodee or local tax in one village _____
6 Pungum Sodee ____ Do ____ Do _____
7 Peerangkee or simple tax for Ceraes waters _____
8 Emmaty Jaffrick at 1 fanam pr. C. Pagoda _____
9 Do ____ do 1/2 fanam pr. Do _____
10 Poishoosh of 1/2 Mootayberry _____

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

18. A Temple Enam land in Budwail was resumed on the resignation of the Temple Servant from inability to pay the quit rent, which shows a decrease of Rupees 58 under this head, but all other Enams were extensively cultivated.-

19. The following statement shows the settlement on actual cultivation after deducting Caboolaty Bunjer.-

Items	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Increase	Decrease
	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment		
Dry land	200991	186106	206890	190270	4164	"
Garden Do.	11582	79718	11685	80590	872	"
Wet Do.	8504	95731	10619	120562	24831	"
Poonasah Do.	2421	11416	2757	13358	1942	"
Total	223498	372971	231751	404780	31809	"
Seerwajasty	"	15515	"	20051	4536	"
Fusuljasty	"	15679	"	25513	9834	"
Grand Total	"	404165	"	450344	46179	"
Deduct remissions	"	116036	"	130061	14025	"
Remainning	"	288129	"	320283	32154	"
Additions						
Tankijasty	"	1078	"	2557	1479	"
According to Statement in para 17	"	45218	"	47306	2090	"
Total	"	334423	"	370146	35723	"

Trans

Fort St. George, 15th May 1854.

20 From this it appears that there is an increase of Rupees 35723 in the Beriz of the Division above the preceding Fusly- the particulars of the Talookwarry is given in the next statement.-

Talooks	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Percentage between Columns 2, 4 and 5.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1 Cumbum	131722	141458	9736	.	7 1 1
2 Doopand	93500	106845	13345	"	14 4 4
3 Budwail	113201	115843	12642	"	12 4 .
Total	334423	370146	35723	"	10 10 11.
Net Increase	"	"	35723.	"	" " "

21. The result of the settlement, including all branches of Revenue for Fusly 1262, is exhibited in the following Statement.-

Fusly

		Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Centage for columns 2. 4 or 5.	
1	2	3	4	5	6.		
1 Land Revenue	Peishcush	15198	15198	"	"	"	"
	Assany	3,19,225	3,54,948	35,723	"	11	3 1
	Total	3,34,423	3,70,146.	35,723	"	10	10 11
2 Abkarry		13,466	13,775	315	"	2	5 5
3 Sundry Small farms & Licenses		1,156	1,083	"	73	6	5 6
4 Stamp Revenue		1,337	1,701	364	"	27	3 8
5 Motorpha		26,175	26,307	132	"	"	8 1
Total		42,128	42,866	811	73	1	12 "
Net Increase		"	"	738	"	"	" "
Grand Total		376,551	413,012	36,534	73	"	" "
Net Increase		"	"	36,461	"	9	10 1

22 With reference to the increase under the head of land Revenue, reasons were assigned in the above paras, whilst the cause of increase and decrease of Extra Sources of Revenue is explained in a separate report.

23. The following Statement exhibits the extent of each description of Inam land and the portions which have been cultivated in Fusly 1262 compared with the preceding Fusly.—

Total

		Total Ayacut Acres	Cultivation Fusly 1261	Cultivation Fusly 1262	Per Centage Between Columns 2 & 3	Per Centage Between Columns 2 and 4
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Devadayam	1 Pagodas	9044	5479	5768	60 9 4	63 12 5
	2 Village Goddes	899	780	803	86 12 3	89 5 2
	3 Mosque	1,517	1187	1,235	78 3 9	81 6 7
	Total	11,460	7,446.	7,806	64 15 7	68 1 10
Dharmadayam	1 Bhatavritty	150513	94878	107484	63 " 7	71 6 7
	2 Kkyrot Inam	29095	21345	22,181	73 5 10	76 3 10
	Total	179,608	116223	129,665	64 11 4	72 3 1
Ottis Inam	1 Zemindar Inam	8047	5706	6,539	70 14 7	81 4 2
	2 Dasavundum Inam	12,571	9350	9,663	74 6 1	76 13 11
	3 Cuttoobady	27070	20638	22,123	76 3 10	81 11 7
	Total	47,688	35,694	38,325	74 13 7	80 5 10
Service Inams	1 Potails Inams	29596	21766	22,814	72 1 5	77 1 4
	2 Curnums Inams	75686	56945	58965	75 3 10	77 14 6
	3 Sundry	92,779	65962	70705	71 1 6	76 3 4
	Total	198061	144,673	152,484	73 " 9	76 15 10
	Total Inams	436,817	304,036	328,280	69 9 8	75 2 5
	1 Cayem Jodee Villages	4892	3113	3150	63 10 2	64 6 3
	2 Surva Inam Dº	320	"	"	" " "	" " "
	Total	5212	3113	3150	59 11 11	60 7 "
	Grand Total	442029	307149	331430	69 7 9	74 15 8
	1 Dry land	261,129	167,246	180,523	64 " 9	69 2 1
	2 Garden Dº	34334	29,800	30,107	86 12 9	87 11 "
	3 Wet Dº	137,104	102,668	113520	74 11 2	82 12 9
	4 Poonasah Dº	9,462	7435	7,280	78 9 3	76 15 "
	Total	442,029	307,149.	331,430	69 7 9	74 15 8

Thos.

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

24. We the following Statement shewing the Extent and cultivation in Fusly Kistnah and Guntoor Circars and Guntoor, compared with the preceding Fusly

Kistnah

Guntoor

Dividers	Name of Taluk	Amount of Demand for Fusly 1262	Collection Balance	Amount of Collection up to the end of Fusly 1262	Balance	Amount of Cultivation	Balance	Amount of Cultivation	Balance
1	2	3	4	5	6				
1	1262	9,80,275	3,8,000	38	8 11	44,4288	30,149	69	2 1
2	1262	9,82,659	42,506	45	15 11	44,2029	33,430	74	15 8

25. The above shews a per centage increase of Rupees 4-½ in Kistnah, Rupees 5-13-½ in Guntoor, Rupees 30-9-2 in the preceding Fusly and Rupees 31-15-9 in the current year in the Guntoor cultivation under the Circars. The increase in the Kistnah is owing to the good state of the seasons, and that of the Guntoor to the same cause and to the owners of the Guntoor having leased their lands at an easy rent for 2 Years.

The following Statement shewing the demand Collection and Balance of Peishcush to 15th November 1853.

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

No.	Talooks.	Demand for Fusly 1262.	Collection up to the end of Fusly 1262.	Remaining	Collection up to 15th November 1853.	Balance.
1	Cumbum	1,32,259 4 5	1,31,482 6 7	776 13 10	528 12 11	248 - 11
2	Doopand	106844 10 -	106638 7 11	206 2 1	189 3 3	16 14 10
3	Budwail	115843 4 5	113,971 4 10	1,871 15 7	1,302 2 -	569 13 7
	Total	354,947 2 10	352092 3 4	2,854 15 6	2,020 2 2	834 13 4
4	Peishcush of Pencatagerry	15198 7 1	15198 7 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Grand Total	370145 9 11	367290 10 5	2,854 15 6	2,020 2 2	834 13 4

Under

From Ft. St. George 15th May 1854.

27. Under the head of balance is a sum of Rupees 834—13—4 in which is included a portion of the assessment of waste land of Vishakh dowle; that of Indigo seed destroyed, and a portion of the assessment due by poor ryots & no due measures have been taken to recover the amount of undisputed, and an enquiry will be made into the amount of disputed balance, and exhibited in the next Settlement report.—

28. The following Statement exhibits the details of arrears outstanding in the Sub Division.—

Fasly's	Arrears at the Commencement of Fasly 1262.		Collection upto 11th July 1262.		Remissions		Total.		Balance at the close of Fasly 1262		Collection upto 15th November 1853		Balance		Particulars of the balance		
1	2		3		4		5		6		7		8		Recoverable. 9		Irrecoverable 10	
Fasly 1258	417	3 5	285	10 7	131	8 10	417	3 5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1259	559	9 5	121	3 11	438	5 5	559	9 4	"	1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
1260	1097	3 7	319	6 8	754	5 1	1073	11 7	23	8	23	7	11	"	"	1	"	"
1261	1863	10 2	550	9 14	3	"	5509	14 3	1353	14 11	121	14	1232	" 11	43	15 7	1188	14
Total	8937	13 7	6238	0 3	1324	3 4	7560	6 7	1377	7	145	6	1232	1 "	43	15 8	1188	14

From Ft. St. George 15th May 1854.

29. (1) The Collection of the amount of Rupees 43—15—8 under the head of Recoverable in Budwail Talook has been ordered.—

(2) The amount of Rupees 337—13—7 is ascribed to the death without heirs, desertion and poverty of ryots who have no other means of livelihood. Rupees 628—14— outstanding against many poor ryots whose paddy, onions, &c. sown in Vishakh crop under the large Tank of Cumbum in the last fusly, did not yield a full produce, as stated in Clause 4th para. 10 of the settlement report of the last Fusly, in consequence of the water being let off for some months before, and stopped for some months during the repair of the North sluice, even tho' those ryots sold their paddy straw, they were unable to pay the assessment, and as Rupees 221—5— were improperly charged against them in the Talook accounts, on the ground that these ryots had new Wells and other means of subsistence, which was not the case in

total

Fort St. George, 15th May 1854.

total amounting to Rupees 1188-1-4 deemed irrecoverable after personal enquiry at the time of the settlement, is recommended to be written off the accounts with the sanction of the Board of Revenue.

30 The undermentioned Statement shews the cultivation of Sugar Cane, Cotton, and Indigo.

	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Increase		Decrease	
	Acres	Apsp. ment	Acres	Apsp. ment	Acres	Apsp. ment	Acres	Apsp. ment
Sugar	241	43/4	257	4526	16	152	"	"
Cotton	9824	19/67	11084	11625	1260	858	"	"
Indigo	7141	29213	7116	26963	"	"	25	2250
Total	17206	44354	18457	43114	1278	1010	25	2250

31 The increase in the cultivation of Cotton in dry lands, and of Sugar Cane arises from the favorableness of the season. The decrease of the cultivation of Indigo below the preceding Fusly is owing to the lands under Tanks being too moist to admit of its being sown at the proper time.

After

Fort St. George, 15th May 1854.

32 After two years experience of the qualifications of the Division Sheristadar Narrain Row, I can fully bear testimony to the favorable opinion of him expressed in your letter to the late Collector dated 7th October 1851.

Cuddapah Sub Collr's Office at Cumbum, 20th November 1853.

/Signed/ A. Wedderburn
Sub Collector.

No. 30

List of Jummabundy Statements in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.

No.	Headings of Statements for Fusly 1262.
1	Statement shewing the number of Villages in each Talook in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.
2	Ditto Ditto Population &c. Statistics in the Zillah of Ditto for Ditto
A	Ploughs and Cattle } Enclosures in No. 2.
B	Ryots and Puttahs }
3	Statement shewing the details of Irrigation in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
4	Ditto Ditto Places when the Jummabundy was settled and the Puttahs distributed in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
5	Ditto Ditto Rent Roll in the Ditto for Ditto
6	Ditto Ditto Prices of Grain in the Ditto of Ditto
7	Ditto Ditto Ayan Ayacut in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
8	Ditto Ditto Enam Ayacut & Cultivation in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
9	Ditto Ditto Comparative Dittum account in the Ditto of Ditto for Do.
10	Ditto Ditto Comparative Cultivation in the Ditto of Do. for Ditto

Statement.

11 Statement shewing the particulars of Dittum Waste charged in the accounts in the Zillah of Cuddapah for Fusly 1262.
12. Ditto Ditto Comparative statement of cultivation and assessment including Fussul Jastee in the Ditto of Ditto.
13 Ditto Ditto Items of Gross Increase & Decrease in the Ditto of Ditto for
14 Ditto Ditto Particulars of Remissions on the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
15 Ditto Ditto Several Items added to the Settlement in the Ditto of Do. for Do.
16 Abstract Statement of the Settlement on the actual cultivation after deducting Cabooleaty Bungey in the Do. of Ditto for Ditto.
17 Statement of Lands held on Cowle in the Ditto of Ditto for Do.
A Enclosure in No. 17
18 Ditto of Shavy or Crops dried up in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
19 Ditto shewing the cultivation of Sugar Cane, Cotton, and Indigo in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto.
20. Ditto Do. The Kistbundy of Lands held on Permanent tenure in the Do. of Do. for Do.
21 Ditto Ditto the Kistbundy of Government Villages in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto.
22 Ditto Ditto the Demand Collection and Balance of "Current Revenue" "Ryotwar" and Permanent settled in the Do. of Do. for Do.
23 Ditto Ditto Demand Collection & Balance of Sewoy Jummah in the Do. of Do. for Do.
24 Ditto Do. the Collection on account of "arrears" of Land Revenue for former fusles in the Do. of Do. for Do.
25 Ditto Shewing the Collections on account of "Tuccavy" or advances made on account of cultivation in the Do. of Do. for Do.
26 Ditto Ditto the Demand Collection & Balance of Land Revenue with remissions written off under sanction, for 10 years in the Ditto of Ditto for Do.
27. General Abstract Statement of the whole Revenue from the Land and from Extra Sources in the Ditto of Ditto for Ditto
28 Statement of the Number of Government Plantations & Trees therein in the Do. of Do. for Do.
29 List of Jummabundy statement transmitted to the Board of Revenue with the Collectors report of the Land Revenue in the Ditto of Do. for Do.

Cuddapah, 11th July 1853. E. E. (Signed) M. Murray Collector.

R&C

Order Memo. 15-16 Admd to Cy. 6/7

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(17)

Bo. No. 1621.
From the Collector of Cuddapah
Replying to the Board's Circular respecting the examination of Assistant Collectors in the vernacular languages.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 13th February 1854 annexing copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th January 1854, requesting that the several Collectors will submit their opinion as to whether any of their Assistants should be dealt with in the manner referred to by Government.-

I beg in reply to state that as the Hindoostance language is very much spoken throughout this District I see no objection to its being taken up as a second language, more particularly as Moonshees or instructors in it are more easily obtained than for Tamil. Should one of the Assistants pass his examination in Telooguo, the vernacular

Fort St George 15th May 1854

vernacular language of this Country, and be required to pass in Tamil as his second language, the difficulty he will experience in obtaining a Moonshee will be great, as this language is only understood by a few of the Southern, who proceed to this place for trade, and a few of the writers in the English Department attached to the Collector's Establishment - he will have no opportunity of practising himself in the colloquial part of the language, as it is understood by so few, his knowledge will be confined to the reading and writing

The two Assistants Messrs W. J. Blair and A. M. S. Graeme, were requested to state whether it was their wish to take up Hindoostanee as their second language, the former Gentleman replied it was, and he is now engaged in the study of it, while the latter merely requested that he might be allowed to take his choice at a future time. As it is the wish of Mr Blair to take up Hindoostanee for his second language, I hope the Board will have no objections to his doing so and comply with his request.

(Signed) M. Murray

Collector

Cuddapah
Coll.rs Office
12th May 1854.

Fort St George 15th May 1854

No 103

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

19

B.d No 1572
From the Coll.r
of Chingleput

Sir,

Para 1. As required in your letter of the 11th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit my opinion on the expediency of substituting an excise duty on Salt, for the present Monopoly, the Honorable Court of Directors having in their Despatch therein referred to dated 5th October 1853, expressed their desire, that Government should, if possible, cease to be connected with the Manufacture of the commodity, and confine its functions to the Collection of the duty, and suggested for consideration a general system of excise, allowing the Manufacture absolutely free to all Persons, or a System of modified excise, such as that of granting licenses to particular Persons to

Manufacture

Manufacture the article at stated lo-
-calities, in the event of the impracti-
-cability of carrying out the former Sys-
embracing as it does such a general
freedom of manufacture &c.

2 The levy of an excise duty on
the article while in the possession of the
Producers and Manufacturers may
perhaps be considered a measure of
difficult of introduction in the Bengal
Presidency, where the boiling process
of producing salt is so extensively carried
on, and in sheltered localities owing to the
stringent Rules it would be necessary
to frame for the protection of the Public
Revenue, and to the necessity of main-
-taining an extensive preventive esta-
-blishment, that I am induced to believe
that the question is disencumbered of the
difficulties as regards this Presidency where
the manufacture is carried on in defined localities
along the Sea Coasts. Here it becomes im-
-necessary

unnecessary to impose any restrictions as
regards the persons manufacturing or the
localities where the manufactories are to be
established, or even to require that the
quantity produced should be limited to
any particular extent, restrictions which
however have apparently been contem-
-plated by the Honorable Court in suggest-
-ing a modified excise or that of granting
Licenses to particular Individual for
raising salt at appointed stations.

3 Salt raised by the process of boiling
can never be placed in competition with
that produced by means of solar evaporation
in consequence of the great cost which is
comparatively attendant on the former Sys-
-tem. The process of manufacture by solar
evaporation can only be carried on, on lands
situated along the Coast and adjoining
backwater, to which places the manufac
-ture may, without inconvenience, be
restricted.

4 Most of the Tracts available
for

for the purpose have already been turned to account, so that the unrestricted freedom of manufacture would only lead to the extension of the Pans at the present Salt Stations, and to the Establishment of new Pans at a few adjoining localities all of which could conveniently be brought under the control of the same establishment as at present employed to superintend the manufacture and sale of the article under the Monopoly.

5 It will be necessary to consider the arrangements that should continue to be adopted for the prevention of smuggling under the proposed System, and as the abolishment of but few Rules as at present in force appears to me desirable, it may not be out of place briefly to refer to the course now pursued to guard the Public Revenue. At the commencement of the season, orders are issued for the manufacture of a fixed quantity of Salt, which is allotted

allotted to the several Pans at each Station. During the period of manufacture the Pans are vigilantly guarded by the Ameen and his Establishment and the Extra Peons annually sanctioned for the purpose. At two stages of the manufacture estimates are framed by the Ameen of the quantity of Salt raised, which are daily furnished to this Office, as a check to the subsequent fabrication of accounts. The Salt is eventually removed to a Platform where it is measured and heaped in regular order, each Heap containing an equal quantity and being of the same dimensions. When the required quantity has been secured, the manufacture is closed and the Sales commence in the numerical order of the Heaps. The Ameens who are furnished with blank Rowanahs (stamped and numbered) are required to distribute them to Parties making purchases of the articles, entering therein the name of the Party, the place for which

which consigned, the quantity and the
date of the purchase, as a protection for the
Transport of the article, sending daily
accounts of these transactions to this office.
On reasonable ground for suspicion,
Police Officers at any Stations in the
interior are authorized to examine
the salt en route and compare it with
the Rowanah, when, should an excess
be detected, the consignment is liable
to confiscation.

6 I am of opinion that the Excise
should be levied at the place of Manufac-
ture on the quantity of the article ascer-
tained to have been raised, and at a
fixed amount per Ounce, that the
quantity and mode of manufacture
should be left to the discretion of the
Producers. The other arrangements in force
under the present monopoly, as above
detailed, might, with slight modifica-
tions, be reasonably insisted on under the
System of excise now under contemplation: and

and cannot be considered of a more
more stringent than necessary for the
protection of the Revenue. The closing of
the Manufacture at a period of the year
when it can no longer be advantageously
continued is no hardship the removal
of the Salt to a common Platform appears
an indispensable precaution in the
adoption of a System according to which
the duty is to be levied on the actual sale
of the article, whilst the distribution
of Rowanahs on consignments being
effected, enable the Police Officers to
satisfy themselves that the excise on
the article, while on transport to its
place of destination has been paid.

It was formerly
7
usual to require that the Rowanahs
should be delivered up to the
nearest Police Officer for retrans-
mission to the Collectorate in which
they were furnished, whenever the
Salt was sold at any intermediate
station

Station en route to the place to which
consigned but this practice has been
recently prohibited as oppressive.
With all due deference I am however
of opinion that this Rule should be
strictly enforced, as it affords the
only check to Parties obtaining, in
collusion with the local officers at the
Pans, an additional supply on the same
Rowanah. The Rule equally applies
to the excise System under the arrange
-ment above detailed, and unless strictly
enforced it is obvious there is no neces
-sity of granting Rowanahs at all,
all check and supervision to guard
against frauds committed by over
-storing would be at an end.

8 Under either System some theft
it must be expected will occur during the
period of Manufacture, while against
the more serious evil of connivance of
the Government Servants in these frauds,
the jealousy which will naturally ex-

-ist, in some degree, between them and the
manufacturers, the vigilance of the Police
employed serving as a preventive establish
-ment, and the offer of rewards to them, and
to the community at large for the detection
of Frands, will operate as a combined
check. The jealousy amongst the manu
-facturs must necessarily be greater under
a System of general competition amongst
private Traders, than under a Government
monopoly. I have been induced to enter
into these details more with the view
of exhibiting the practicability of an
excise on Salt, rather than of laying down
any definite Rules for its introduction,
which must eventually be determined
on a reference to those in force at Bom
-bay where the System of excise appears
already to be in successful operation.

9 The System of granting licenses
to particular Individuals would in all
probability merge into a monopoly by
the combination of a few of the most
Influential

Fort St George 15th May 1854

Influential Traders and even of Sub-
stance to the disadvantage of the con-
sumers an apprehension which need
not for a moment be entertained under
the general excise contemplated. The
Salt Pans are held as Private Property
in very minute Divisions by a nu-
merous Body of Proprietors of all classes
among whom a combination for that
purpose would amount to an impossibi-
lity and is contrary to all reason and
experience.

It has been seen that the prices of
agricultural Produce which were high
when the Government received the main
warum Share of the Crops in Kind and
disposed of it as a monopolist, have
fallen off on the introduction of the Field
money Assessment in consequence of the
competition on the part of the agriculturists to
dispose of the produce of their labour. An excitement
competition in any article of Trade has in
variably been attended with a reduction in price

10 Arguments might with great

Fort St George 15th May 1854

reason be advanced against the system of
unlimited manufacture, as tending on the
other hand to so great a reduction in profits
as not to render it a matter of sufficient
inducement to furnish the market with
a sufficient supply of the article, but
as there is every reason to believe that
the operations of the Salt Trade will
be very extensive, I can see no grounds
for giving these arguments any undue
weight. The Producers will feel disposed
to raise as much Salt as they can, as
the cost of manufacture is trifling, and
as they will not be called upon to
pay the heavy duty on the article
until they find purchasers to remove
it from the stores on what they consider
profitable terms.

11 There appears other important
advantages in favor of the system proposed,
and which require particular notice. I have
already adverted to the probability of a
reduction in the profits of manufacture
and

and whatever this may be, the consumers
are to such extent the gainers — I shall
therefore proceed to shew the extent
of this advantage as applicable to
this District. The manufacturers
are remunerated by fixed rates of
Coodemarum for each Garce of Salt,
supplied to the Government Stores.
These rates were determined in refer-
-ence to the shares paid to them by
Government from the Prices obtained
for the Salt prior to the Monopoly,
and consequently varied considera-
-ably according to the degree of perfection
attained in the manufacture at the
different localities. The Rates are
now paid vary from Rupees 6 to
Rupees 25, the average being Rupees
12-6-5, to which the other charges in-
-cidental to the monopoly being addi-
viz: compensation when it is necessary to
suppress the manufacture at particular
localities, the actual cost per Garce is raised
to Rs. 14-13-8. I have not included in the

the charge of Establishment amounting to
Rupees 4-10-10 per Garce, which though
partly incurred in superintending the
manufacture, more properly appertains
to the sale of the commodity under the
Government Monopoly and will be
an equally necessary Item of expenditure
in carrying on operations for the levy
of the duty and the prevention of smugg-
-ling under the excise system. Private
individuals on the other hand can
readily raise a Garce of Salt at Rupees
6-0-0 which is the rate of Coodemarum
paid at some of the Pans, to which a
Profit of one or two Rupees being added,
the difference between the two charges
amounting to Rupees 7 will be the gain
which will be ensured to the public
in the purchase of the article.

The Manufacturers
12
will on the other hand lose the
high rates of Coodemarum which
they have hitherto enjoyed. A loss
however for which they will be
amply compensated by the extensive
market

market which under a system of excise will be opened for the consumption of the Salt manufactured by them, and to which the high cost of the article under a Government Monopoly, em: :bracing the high rates of Coodemaram above referred to, and other items of charges has hitherto proved a bar. The actual cost of manufacture by solar evaporation in Bombay appears to be nearly the same as in this Presi: :dency Viz. Rupees 7 the Garce, whilst the freight for the transport of the articles to Calcutta will be charged at a lower rate in the latter case, both in consequence of the shorter distance and from the balance of trade between Bengal and Madras being in favor of the former, which will induce the importers of grain into Madras to take return Cargoes of Salt to Calcutta at a reduced freight. Not: withstanding this advantage the export of Salt from Madras to Calcutta has been about a third of the export from Bombay. An anomaly which can

only be accounted for by the effects of the two systems in operation in the above Presidencies which by creating an artificial difference in the cost of manufacture operates as a bounty to an equal amount on Bombay Salt.

13. Under a system of unrestrict: :ed manufacture, the Pans now under cultivation in the District under my charge are capable of yielding annually Garces 9,000, from which deduct: :ing Garces 2,000 for the inland sales the remaining Garces 7,000 or Bengal Maunds 840,000 would be available for export to Calcutta, independently of the article produced to any increased quantity by the extension of Pans which may hereafter take place.

14. The above quantity is nearly five times that at pres: :ent exported from the Presidency of Madras, twice as much as is exported from Bombay, half the supply imported from Great Britain, and 1/5th of the entire consumption of the Bengal

Bengal Presidency. I need hardly en-
large on the inexpediency of a system
which may in principle be considered
to curtail the natural resources of
the Country to such an extent.

15 I have already observed that
the actual cost of Salt is 7 Rupees
the Garce, to which the charges of ship-
ment and freight being added, the
article can be landed in Calcutta at
from 50 to 55 Rupees per Garce (Maund
120) a sum which considerably falls
short of the cost of manufacture by the
Bengal process of Boiling. Hopes
may therefore be entertained of the
unrestricted export of Salt from the
Madras Presidency eventually tending
to the supercession of the Bengal
Manufacture, which, whether carried
on under a system of excise or
Monopoly must materially re-
duce the charges for main-
taining a large establish-
ment, and might reasonably
be discontinued when natural

causes and circumstances are shewn
to place it clearly at a disadvantage.

16. Before closing this part
of the subject I shall allude to an ad-
ditional consideration which presents
itself, Viz^t, the uniformity of taxation,
which a system of excise is calculated
to secure. This will not appear an
unimportant matter, when the difficulty,
I may say, the impracticability of exactly
adjusting the import duty on the foreign
article to the Monopoly price is borne
in mind. The various questions raised
for consideration on this point have
not yet been satisfactorily settled,
either in this or in the Bengal Pre-
sidency. The difficulty of separating
the actual cost of manufacture
from the other charges attendant
on the Monopoly, of making reason-
able allowance for the ex-
pensive nature of the Govern-
ment manufacture as compared
with a private undertaking, and
of fixing upon an average

rate

rate of import duty calculated to meet the circumstances of all collectorates must be obvious.

17 The Government of Madras has hitherto imposed a high protective duty on the Foreign article which vir-tually prohibited its importation, should the proposed reduction of the duty to 90 Rupees be carried into effect. Complaints might on the other hand be raised by the Home manufacturers of a Bounty of Rupees 23 being conferred on Foreign Salt, and to whatever extent the Freight attendant on importation may be considered a protection, the Govern-ment could not with justice to approve of a measure which under so great an advantage admits of imports being made to the su-persession to an equal quantity of Home Manufacture.

18 The Honorable Court have remarked that "a question "of far greater importance than the mode of

"of collecting the Duty is its amount" "and although the rate would not have "been directly affected by the clause above "referred to, there can be no doubt that a "reduction in the rate was the object "chiefly sought by many who supported it."

19 Various opinions have been offered as to the degree of incon-venience that is experienced by the Inhabitants of the Madras Pre-sidency consequent on the high Mo-nopoly price of Salt. I have no reason to believe that the pri-vation of this necessary of life is felt to any considerable extent in this Collectorate, as doubtlessly a large quantity of smuggled Salt is consumed by the lower classes of the People in every manufacturing District despite every vigilance that is used to guard against these fraudulent transactions. This will be readily seen by a reference to the average annual consumption of the Government Salt in

in this District, which amounts only to 2 measures per head, whilst from the enquiries I have instituted from 6 measures to 1 Mercal appears to be the actual consumption per head, the latter of which may be assumed as a fair maximum allowance - There can be no question as to the consumption being far below this standard in the inland Districts, on the Inhabitants of which any reduction of the monopoly price must necessarily confer a proportionate advantage. The point therefore to be considered, is how far the augmented consumption attendant on such reduction would tend to keep up the Revenue at present derived.

A Statement forwarded with the replies to the queries circulated by the Board exhibits the annual sales of Salt for inland consumption under the different monopoly prices which

which obtained from Fasly 1215 - the average sales from Fasly 1215 to 1218 under a Monopoly price of 70 Rs the Garce, were Garces 2838 - During the subsequent 12 years when the price was raised to Rupees 105, the average sales fell off, but to a small extent, being Garces 2798.

The price having then been lowered to Rupees 70, the sales in 5 years during which it was in force exhibited considerable improvement, viz. Garces 4,232, per annum. From Fasly 1237 when the Monopoly price was again fixed at Rupees 105 to Fasly 1253 the sales amounted to Garces 3,985, p annum, being a loss of Garces 150 in comparison with the preceding series of years - results which after making due allowance for the increased demand arising from the natural increase of population and the greater facilities of communication with the inland Districts

Districts which were gradually effected during the above period must be considered as decidedly arguing in favor of a reduction of price being followed by increased sales. The effects of the further augmentation of the price to Rupees 120 cannot well be shewn in this District as the falling off in the sales from Fusly 1267 has resulted principally from the opening of the Madras Depôt for inland supply.

22

The effects of the alterations of the Monopoly price will be more satisfactorily seen by a reference to the fluctuations in the aggregate inland sales of the entire Presidency during the different series of years referred to in the preceding Para, the sales of a single District being subject to alterations from various minor causes other than the Monopoly price. I would observe that to whatever extent the above calculations may justify the belief that a

a reduction in the Monopoly price will be followed by increased sales, any opinion as to the exact amount of such anticipated increase founded on data of the above nature must be received with caution. The high rate of carriage hire to the interior added to the high Monopoly price must necessarily, to some extent, limit the consumption of this necessary of life. Cart hire to Salem, Bangalore, Mysore, Cuddapah and Bellary exceeds the Monopoly price of the article, any reduction in the latter item therefore cannot in itself afford full relief to the Inhabitants of the above Districts.

Till the period actually

23

arrives when the amount of land carriage is considerably reduced as is to long will be the case in the completion of the railways and on improvements being effected on the main lines of communication, the anticipated increase

of

of sale must be comparatively small - I would therefore recommend that the proposed reduction in the rate of duty may be effected by degrees, to prevent any sudden falling off of the Revenue. In the event of a system of exercise being resolved upon, it will be necessary in view to place this Presidency in an equality with Bombay to fix an excise of Rupees 90 the Garce which in itself would be a reduction of the rate. Its further reduction to Rupees 70 or 60 the Garce may then be taken into consideration.

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Conjeeveram
25th April 1854

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collector

P.P.C.

No.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(4)

Bc. No. 1494
From the Ag. Collector
of Chingleput - 3

Sir,

Mr. Cochrane at the time he was suddenly taken ill was about to address the Board on the insufficiency of the Establishment of Peons to maintain the peace and order of the District during the present scarcity. From his report for the past year the Government will have the satisfaction of observing a material decrease in the number of serious offences. The present scarcity however has deprived many of the lower orders of the Inhabitants of their ordinary means of livelihood so much encouraged the commission of crime that reports have been received of several robberies committed in the different Talooks and the Chief Magistrate and the Supt. of Police Madras has likewise brought to notice in the accompanying copy of a communication the circumstance of several parties of notorious thieves harbouring on the borders of his jurisdiction.

The

Fort St. George 15th May 1852.

The duties devolving on the Establishment of Peons are unusually heavy in this District in consequence of its vicinity to the Presidency, its containing three important Cantonments and its being intersected by four principal Trunk Roads. There are nevertheless but 816 Peons and 24 Duffadars employed in the Taloks for Revenue, Maramut, Police and miscellaneous purposes inclusive of the guard for the Talook Treasuries whose services are wholly inadequate for the purpose of protecting the persons and property of the inhabitants, I have the honor therefore to request the early sanction of the Board for entertaining 100 additional Peons, 4 Duffadars, and one Royasum for a period of 6 Months at a Monthly Charge of Rupees 385-.- As immediate measures appeared to be called for I have in anticipation of the approval of the Board ordered the entertainment of 30 additional Peons and 1 Duffadar in the Sydapet Talook and directed the Tahsildar to place himself at once in Cooperation with the Madras Police to assist in the discovery and apprehension of the gangs referred to in the Chief Magistrate's communication.-

100 Peons each at 3½ Rs per Mensem ... 350-.-.
4 Duffadars at 7 Do per Do ... 28-.-.
1 Royasum at 7 Do per Do ... 7-.-.
385-.-.

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry Guindy 6th May 1852

(Signed) G. H. Ellis
Acting Collector

From

Fort St. George 15th May 1852.

No 169

From E. F. Elliot Esqre
Chief Magistrate and Supt of Police

To J. H. Cochrane Esqre
Magistrate of Chingleput

Sir,

Frequent complaints have been made of late by persons who have been robbed by Gangs of Thieves on the plains just beyond the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court in the direction of Manamally and on the roads about Triviltoor. This day Statements have been made, copies of which I enclose, and I have the honor to state that I am prepared to issue instructions to the Madras Police in that neighbourhood to assist your people in every way to bring the Offenders to justice. On the 29th ultimo, I forwarded for your information the Report of a Police Pallier who went in that direction and who found several parties of Known Thieves which, it was said, were permitted to remain unmolested by the Village authorities. The inhabitants of Madras complain loudly that they cannot move into the neighbouring

neighbouring Villages without fear of being plundered with violence, I repeat that I shall be happy to co-operate with you to apprehend these Marauders.

Madras General Police Office 27th April 1854.

(Signed) E. F. Elliot
Chief Magt and Supt of Police

/ True Copy /
(Signed) G. H. Ellis
Acting Magistrate

4-22

Bd No 996.

Proceedings thereon

As it appears from the concluding part of the foregoing letter that the Acting Collector has already entertained 30 Peons and 1 Duffadar the Board will not now take any objection to this proceeding, but before recommending to Government the employment of the remaining number, they request that he will inform them what measures have been taken to place the Chingleput and Madras Police in communication with each other and what has been done in regard to the "parties of known thieves, who are left unmolested" alluded to in the letter from the Chief Magistrate and Superintendent of Police at Madras.

2 Mr Ellis will also be good enough to state where the Peons are employed in his District, giving the names of each station, and the duties connected with it.

T.D.G.

No 37

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

23

Bd No 1211

From the Collr of North Arcot

Para 1

Solicits sanction for the imposition of a heavy fine on the Tahsildar of Trivatoor under the circumstances detailed.

+ Enclosure No 1.

Sir.

I have the honor to solicit the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the imposition of a fine on the Tahsildar of Trivatoor, beyond the amount which it is competent to me to impose of my own authority, under the following circumstances.

In a letter dated July 30th 1853, 2 the Assistant Civil Engineer, Lieutenant Rideout, reported to the Civil Engineer that Gopaulacharry the Tahsildar of Sholingur Talook had treated him with great disrespect, and refused him necessary information asked for, and that in particular he had concealed from him that work had been executed to the Durma Chuttrum at Sholingar and that when he (Lieutenant Rideout) having casually heard that such work had been done, went to inspect it

it, he found the work very badly done and of very bad materials; and lastly that having left his Maistry at Sholingar, with orders to obtain and bring a Copy of the details of the Estimate, that information was refused by order of the Tahsildar.

3 A Copy of this letter was sent to the late Acting Collector by the Civil Engineer on the 1st August, and the Tahsildar was called on for an explanation of his conduct which he furnished in an Urzee+ dated August 17th 1853. In that paper the Tahsildar admitted that he made no reply until the 18th July to an order from Lieutenant Rideout, calling for information as to the works in progress in his Taluk, and those requiring repairs, though that order was repeated in the interval again and again. Further he admitted that even when he did reply, he sent no list of works requiring repair, as he considered it to be his business and that of the Civil Engineer's Department to make the Estimate. But he asserted that he did on the 18th July, send

+ Enclosure No 3

send the Maramut Goomastah to Lieutenant Rideout with a list and other accounts of works in progress, and of works to be examined, with all particulars.

With respect to the repair of the Chuttrum, the Tahsildar declared that he sent the accounts by the Goomastah with the other accounts of the Taluk; — asserted that the work was well done and of good materials, and denied that he had any wish to prevent the Assistant Civil Engineer from seeing it, or that he refused to give the accounts to his Maistry.

4 After the receipt of this explanation the Tahsildar was directed to send up a Statement of the cost of materials — purchased for the Chuttrum repair, and a Statement was sent up accordingly by the present Tahsildar containing the whole charges of the work. This paper contains no information of importance in the case, and I do not send it up.

5 The matter remained undisposed of; and after my return to the District in December 1853, my attention was called

called to the Subject. On looking into the papers I found the case as above described - as the Tahsildar's argie directly contradicted the the assertions of the Assistant Civil Engineer on same points, I considered it necessary to get more certain information upon them - I therefore wrote to the Civil Engineer on the 21st January 1854, as King for more exact information as to the Tahsildar having sent accounts of works on the 18th July; and also requesting that the examination of the Chuttrum by the Assistant Civil Engineer might be verified. The former point is replied to in a letter from the Assistant Civil Engineer to the Civil Engineer, dated Feby 13-1854, and the latter in a letter from the same Officer to the same dated March 2- 1854, enclosing one from Mr Assistant Overseer Bush to himself dated 25th February 1854; of all which letters copies were furnished to this Office by the Civil Engineer.

+ Enclosure 4

+ Enclosure 5

* Enclosure 6

(+) Enclosure 7

6 I also sent for and examined the Talook Maramut Goomastah Ragoonada Row, as well as accara Cummee the Ministry referred to in Para 2 of Lieutenant

Lieutenant Rideout's letter of the 2d March.

7 Having carefully considered the Papers, I beg to State the following as my opinion

The misconduct charged against the Tahsildar consists of the following instances.

1st Having performed extremely bad work to the Chuttrum, and charged the full price for it.

2d Having refused to give the Assistant Civil Engineer, a Copy of the Estimate, or the accounts of the work done -

3d Having failed to furnish the list of works in progress and to be examined -

4th Disrespect in neglecting even to reply to his order of the 27th June, till it had been twice repeated -

8 Of these the first is by far the most serious, and the evidence in support of it is contained in Mr Rideout's Diary of the 27th July 1853, and in Mr Assistant Revenue Surveyer Bush's letter to that Officer dated February 25- 1854 - I think that evidence must be admitted to prove the charge. The work done was carefully examined by

+ Enclosure 2

by Lieutenant Rideout, and the valuation made by him was fully confirmed by Mr Bird, who is well skilled in the duty, and who even thinks Lieutenant Rideout's valuation much too high in some particulars. I am of opinion therefore that the sum allowed by the last named Officer as the value of the work, Viz: Rs. 110-10-4, must be regarded as correct; whereas the Tahsildar's account for work done up to the time of the Inspection shows an expenditure of 207-13-9 being an overcharge of 97-3-5. The Tahsildar is directly connected with this bad work and overcharge by the fact that the work, which was at his Cutcherry, was done under his immediate superintendence.

I may mention here with reference to a statement in Para 2 of Lieutenant Rideout's letter of the 2d March / enclosure 6 / that the Maistry alluded to when called up and examined made no statement such as is there imputed to him, or any other reflecting on the Tahsildar.

The

9 The second charge is refusing to give the Assistant Civil Engineer the accounts connected with the work. This is denied by the Tahsildar, but it appears to be substantially proved by the Statement of the Mavarum Goomastah. In his deposition before me, as well as in his letter to the Assistant Civil Engineer's Maistry, of both which documents translations are enclosed (Nos 8 & 9) the Goomastah states that having been asked for the account of work done he went to ask the Tahsildar's leave to give it, but that he was immediately interrupted and scolded and abused for having shown the work to the Assistant Civil Engineer, and that he was afraid to repeat the request.

As to the list of works, the Goomastah says he gave a list to the Assistant Civil Engineer's Maistry when he went to Ramjett, while the Maistry declares that it was not till after the inspection of the work at the Chuttrum. Both agree that it was a bare list of works done and not with all particulars

particulars" stated in the Talsildar's argie.

The Talsildar admits the neglect to answer Lieutenant Rideout's Sa Reeds, and only offers the inadmissible plea that his Peons were all engaged on other duty.

10 On the whole it is my opinion that independently of the offaults in the Chuttrum work, the Talsildar did from whatever cause evince unwillingness that the Civil Engineer should inspect that work, or should be furnished with the accounts, and further that he displayed a degree of Supercilious disrespect in his behaviour to that Officer, altogether unwarrantable, and quite inconsistent with the interests of the public Service. And this misconduct is aggravated by the tone and tenor of his argie of explanation. I think it necessary to mark such conduct with Something more than censure. Suspension from Office for a certain period would be a Suitable punishment; but such a Sentence must embarrass the Public Service, especially at the present season.

season. I think it is to be regretted that while the present matter was still undisposed of, Gopaulachary should have been promoted from the 3d class Talook of Sholingar, to the 1st class Talook of Trivatoor; but the arrangement having been made, I am unwilling to disturb it, for the reason just stated. On the whole I think the best course will be simply to levy from the Talsildar the amount of the discrepancy found by the Civil Engineer's Department between his charges and the real value of the work done. I therefore beg the Board's Sanction to my fining him the Sum of 97-3-5 Rs. a.p. and I hope this punishment will be sufficient to render him more guarded in his conduct for the future.

I may add that this

11 Talsildar is the Same Officer who on a former occasion incurred the displeasure of Government for an instance of negligence or indolence, tending to Secure impunity from punishment for a man who had greatly defrauded the Government.

See para 2 of an Extract Minutes of Consultation

Consultation June 5th 1850, in Extract from Proceedings of Board of Revenue 17th idem.

North Arcot Collectors
Circuit Cutcherry Polore } / Signed / J.D. Bourdillon
11th April 1851. Collector

No 1

From Lieutenant A.W. Rideout
2d Assistant Civil Engineer 4th Division
to Captain G.C. Collyer, Civil Engineer
4th Division.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice the conduct displayed towards me by the Shasildar of Sholinghur since my arrival at Arcot, especially on my visiting Sholinghur on the 27th Instant, and I trust that should you be of opinion that the Tahsildar has been wanting in that respect due to me, in my Official capacity; you will kindly bring the circumstances of the case to the notice of higher authority.

Wishing to make myself aquainted with what works were in progress in the neighbourhood of Arcot

Arcot, I wrote to the Tahsildars of different Taloks for information on the 27th June, I sent a letter to the Tahsildar of Sholinghur, requesting he would furnish me with a Memo showing works in progress, works executed that had not been examined, and works requiring repairs in his Talook. To this, up to the 11th July, I received no reply, accordingly on the 11th July I despatched another letter, requesting he would inform me what works in his Talook near the line of road from Arcot to Sholinghur required examination or repair, as I should be occupied in a Survey in that direction in a few days; To this again, I received no reply, accordingly on the 17th of the Month I wrote again, and thinking he might pay more attention to a letter in my own hand writing, I wrote one myself in English, and appended a Tamil translation. To this letter the Tahsildar promptly replied and the only reason assigned for not answering my former notes was that

Fort St George 15th May 1854

that he had no Peons. What the want of Peons could have to do with furnishing me with the information I had requested, I am at a loss to imagine.

Even in this letter, however, no mention was made of any examination required merely that no Estimates were wanted at present.

On the 27th of this month, being at Sholinghur engaged in the Survey of the new road, the Gomastah casually mentioned that repairs had been executed to a Government Choultry, called Dharma Chuttrum, at the foot of Sholinghur Hill, and that the Estimate amounted to Rupees 240, he said it had only been lately finished, and had not been examined by any one. In conversation with the Tahsildar during the day, I mentioned this Choultry and from his manner I plainly saw he did not wish me to inspect it. In the evening I proceeded to examine it, and soon formed an opinion in my own mind, why it was not

JDG

Fort St George 15th May 1854.

not wished that I should inspect the work. I found the whole had been done execrably and the materials used of the very worst description; the work done certainly should not have cost more than 80 or 90 Rupees. Having examined all, and being anxious to return that evening to Peringany, where I had work, I left my writer at Sholinghur to receive from the Gomastah the details of the estimate of Rupees 240 in order that I might compare them with my own examination. I also instructed the Goomastah to furnish my Maistry with these and that he would bring them to me the next day. After my departure, the Gomastah refused to give the Statement required in consequence of having received strict orders from the Tahsildar, not to do so. The Gomastah also plainly informed my Maistry in the presence of another witness that the Tahsildar had been very angry with him in consequence of his having mentioned any thing about the Choultry, to me; My Maistry before leaving sent to the Tahsildar for permission to take a copy of the Estimate and accounts but was again refused

refused- Under these circumstances I have considered it my duty to lay the whole be=fore you without having any further commun=cation with the Tahsildar. I believe it is always customary for the Gomastahs to furnish Copies of any Estimates but in this particular instance it was refused. I consider also the conduct of the Tahsildar is to blame in not having himself informed me of this work when he wrote.-

/Signed/ J. H. Rideout Lieut.
2nd " Asst. Civil Engineer 4th Div.

No. 2.

27th " Sholinghur Talook.
Durma Chuttrum (Choultry)

Hearing that this Choultry, the properly of Government had lately been repaired and the Estimate made by the Talook Maistry amounted to Rupees 240-4-" I took the opportunity of Excamining it. I had previously requested the Tahsildar to inform me if any works required Excamination near Sholinghur but this he failed to do, and it was quite by chance I learn=ed from the Gomastah that this Choultry had

had repairs done to it. I found the work very badly executed and the Materials used of the very worst description. The Plaster used for the roof of the Choultry is very bad, rough and no trouble has been taken in mixing it properly, the proportion of sand is much too great and nothing has been used, such as Jaggery water, to give it any consistency, at least, I imagine so from the fact of its crumbling away in the hand like so much sand. The 2nd " Coat of Plaster which should have been of much superior quality is very little better, it is coarse and no care whatever has been taken in grinding the Materials. The whole plastering is decidedly bad and even at this early stage is cracking in every direction.

I asked the Choultry Monegar why such infamous plaster had been used, his reply was that the Talook Maistry continually came to inspect the work in progress, and had seen the plaster and also approved of it before it was used. 60 New joists have been supplied for the terraced roof at the rate of 1 Rupee each, I believe. The wood should have been, Vengai, good strong wood, but the

the commonest jungle wood called Yelopi has been used, for which 8 annas each is abundant. This alone makes a difference of Rupees 30. The varoots in the tiled roof which have been supplied are all of bad wood, some of them are quite worm eaten and quite useless. The bamboos in the roof, which should have been new are nearly all old and rotten, and I should say there is on an average of ½" of an inch between each. On the whole I consider that had any one tried his utmost to do bad work, he could not have succeeded better than the Talook Maistry has in the repair of this Chaultry. Instead of Rupees 240-4" which I am given to understand is the amount of the Estimate, the work done is not worth more than Rupees 110-" according to the revised Estimate which I have made. It was evidently intended not to say a word about this Chaultry. The Talook Maistry, is an old, but a useless and lazy old fellow and for this systematic attempt at fraud deserves punishment.

J.

I have been unable to examine the former Estimate or to compare it with mine as a Copy was refused me. The latter subject I have represented to the Civil Engineer.

Revised Estimate.

52 × 16 =	832-"	— Roof of Terrace	
11-3 × 10 =	122-6	— D° of D°	
39 × 16 =	624-"	— D° of D°	
	1,568-6	— Total	
	18-6	Deduct	
	1,550-"	Taking down	

Old Chunam from the terrace Roof covering the same with two course of flat tiles and plastering with 2 coats of Chunam at Rupees per square ——— 31-"-"

68-9 × 9 - 618¾ Roof of new Verandah

648¾ Square feet of Roofing with small Bamboos Nails ing with pantiles and plastering with Chunam at 1-9-" per square Rupees —— 9-10-8

720 × 9-" =	648-"	Roof of old Verandah	
23 × 9-" =	207-"	D° of D°	
3-6 × 9-" =	31-6	D° of D°	
	886-6	Square feet of Repairing the Roof with ⅛ part of Bamboos	

Bamboos ¼ part of pantiles & plastering
Chunam at ¾ Rupees per square Rs. 6-10-4
14 " × 8 " = 112 Over the Roof
35 " × 6 -" = 210 " Parapet
71 -" × 2-6 -" 177-6 Retaining wall
6 " × 6 " = 36 -" Cross Wall.

535-6 Square feet of Plastering
with one coat of Chunam at 10 As.
per square Rupees ——— 3-5-6

153-9 Cubic feet of Brick in mud
at 10 Pe. per Cubic feet Rupees ——— 8-" 1

1445-6 Square feet of Plastering with
Mud at 4 As. per square Rupees ——— 3-9-9

10 Sunglewood Pillars with head each
5 feet by 4½ in Diameter at 4 As. per
each Pillar Rupees ——— 2-8-"

56 Wooden Carots at 9 Pice per each Rupees- 2-10-"

18 End Piece of Roof each 6½ feet by
4½ inches at 2 annas per each Rs. ——— 2-4-"

9 Country wood Rafters each 8 feet
by 4 in by 3 inches at 4 Annas per
each Rupees ——— 2-4-"

27 Joists each 10 feet by 5 inches by
4 inches at 8 As. per each Rupees ——— 13-8-"

29 Joists each 8½ feet by 4 inches
by 3 inches at 6 annas per each Rs. ——— 10-14-"

54 Do. Cooly hire for taking down
old Joists and fixing the same
new one-Rupees ——— 10-"-"

5 Square of Ceiling Plastering with
1 Coat of Chunam at "—14—" per
Square Rupees ——— 4-6-"

2 Doors incomplete ——— "-"-"

Total Rupees ——— 110-10-4

Estimate Rupees ——— 240-4-"

Remaining Rupees ——— 129-9-8

Arcot, 20th. August, 1853. } /Signed/ J. W. Rideout Lieut.
2nd. Agst. Civil Engineer 4th. Div.
/Signed/ G. C. Collyer Captain
Civil Engineer.-

No. 3

Urzee addressed to H. A. Brett Esquire,
Acting Collector of North Arcot by Gopala
Charry, Thasildar of Sholinghur Talook,
and dated 17th. August 1853.-

Respecting a letter from the —
Civil Engineer of the 4th. Division, Received
an order, in the Inaamut Department, dated
the 4th. August, acquainting me that I had
shown

shown some disrespect to Lieutenant Rideout, 2nd. Assistant Civil Engeneer, when he halted at the Cusbah of Sholinghur on the 27th. of July last, with certain other matters.-

In reply I beg to state that the said 2nd Assistant Civil Engineer halted at the Traveller's Bungalow, in the Cusbah of Sholinghur Morning of the 27th. of last Month. I waited on him immediately. He put some questions to me as to the Nature of the Charity observed in the Rayajee's Choultry in the place, and some conversation passed on that subject, but nothing was said about the repair of the Choultry or about the Estimate or accounts connected with it, the particulars of the work performed. The Gentleman was supplied with every thing according to Mamool and I understood that he left this place at 4 O'Clock the same evening. This is all that passed; and therefore it is for you to judge as to the truth of what he has written to my having been wanting in respect to him.-

It is mentioned in Para 2 of the above

above order that the said Gentleman wrote to me from Arcot on the 27th. of last June, directing me to send a Memo: of the work in progress in the neighbourhood of Arcot, of the works requiring examination, and of those to be repaired; and that he received no reply to it till the 11th. of July last. In reply I beg to state that a short delay unavoidably took place in sending the Peons accounts, &c. as called for by the Gentleman, because the whole of the Peons were then out in the Villages particularly engaged at the close of the year, in collecting the Circar balances.- I enclose for your perusal a true Copy of the Urzee I addressed to the Gentleman on the 18th. of July last informing him of the above particulars. With respect to the Maramut works to be executed in the Talook for the ensuing year it was my business myself to see and prepare a correct list, after the Monsoon was over, therefore with the exception of this list, I, on the 18th. July sent to that Gentleman to Ranneepet in Charge of Gomastah

Gomastah Ragoonadrow, a list and other ac-
counts &c. of the works in progress and of
works to be examined with all particulars.
I also mentioned that I had done so in my
aforesaid Urzee to that Gentleman - Such
being the case it is left with you to judge
how far his statement that I did not furnish
him with the above accounts, is true.

3. It is mentioned in Paras 7-8-9 &
10 of the above order that the 2nd Assistant
Civil Engineer went and looked at the repairs
executed to the Rayajee Charidy Choultry,
that the work was badly done, and that
the repair would have cost only 80 or 90 Rup-
pees, that it appeared that it was not my
intention that that Gentleman should have
examined the work, that he sent his Maistry
to fetch the account of Cost and Estimate,
when the Gomastah refused to give them, on my
positively forbidding him to do so; that the
Gomastah told the Maistry that I was
very angry with him, / Gomastah / for
having mentioned the work executed to the
Choultry to the Gentleman. In reply there

there is nothing else to be said than that
as mentioned in Para 2 I sent the ac-
counts of the Choultry and all the other
Maramut accounts in Charge of the Gomastah
Ragoonadrow to that Gentleman to Ranepet,
which fact will be evinced by the above said
Copy of my Urzee to that Gentleman and
the enclosed deposition given by the Gomastah
Ragoonadrow, before me, and the Talook
Peishcar. I did not tell the Gomastah
not to give either to the 2nd Assistant
Civil Engineer or to any one of his De-
partment, any Cirear account connected
with the Maramut of this Talook; nor
was there any reason for me to do so. The work
to the Choultry was executed according to the
Estimate, with the Materials procurable in
these parts, and is properly done. I understand
that you saw the Choultry when you were
at Sholinghur on Summabundy, and
therefore I dont think it necessary to trouble
you with details of the work performed to it.
It appears to me that the 2nd Assistant
Civil Engineer on the mere inspection of
a

a few Minutes came to the conclusion that the repairs of the Choultry would have cost but 80 or 90 Rupees. Whereas the cost of only the wooden Materials and sundries amounted to about 140 Rupees. The accounts are not yet settled as the workmen have not attended. The settlement shall however be made as quickly as possible and the accounts forwarded to the Hoozoor.

4 Further one of the connections of the Gomastah Ragoonadraw, who conducts the Maramut duties in this Talook Cutchery since about 4 or 5 Months has a Plantain Garden in the Gramanuttum of this Village, which is in the enjoyment of Ragoonadraw. Pro Circar Assessment was fixed on it till fusly 1261, although it has the benefit of the Tank irrigation, but on my taking charge of the Talook I assessed a teerwah both on this and two other Gardens of the like description belonging to others. On this account Goomastah Ragoonadraw entertain ill feeling towards me and is

D.D.G.

in that spirit, joined with the evil advices of one or two more reprobates has in order to set up something wrong against me, leagued with the Maistry (Name not known a Comata by caste) who accompanied the 2nd Assistant Civil Engineer, in telling a parcel of falsehood to the Gentleman. I attribute the vain cry against me to nothing but to the Gentleman having taken the stories for truth. Therefore, if Gentlemen proceed to act in such cases without fully considering the merits of the case, and the general character of the Natives, Tahsildars and other Officers who are jealous of their Character, will stand but a poor chance in getting work of the nature in question done.

Further - The Goomastah Ragoonadraw came to me on the morning of the 28th July last, the day after the above Gentleman left this, I was casually informed that on the 26th and 27th he was engaged with the Gentleman's Maistry in devising some false words, I told him, that it was not proper for him, to do so. Also, a few days ago, this Goomastah prepared the Beriz Pottah which was sent to the Hoozoor, in it, he added 4 Rupees

Rupees in the amount of the Durghast in the Village of Allatoor, in excess of the Durghast, and I asked him why he was so heedless as to do so- he took offence at this and himself neglecting to furnish the Engineer Officer with the accounts he called for, and to satisfy his enquiries he got up this vain outcry and complaint against me- I particularly request that you will kindly take the foregoing into careful consideration and afford me redress, to obtain which, I make this report

(Signed) Gopolacharry.
Tahsildar

No. 4

From J. D. Bourdillon Esqre, Collector of North Arcot, to Captain J. C. Collyer Civil Engineer 4th Division.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 1st August 1853 to the address of the late Acting Collector, inclosing Copy of one to yourself from your Assistant Mr. Rideout, complaining of the

conduct of the late Tahsildar of Sholinghur in various particulars-

2 In reply to a requisition from Mr. Brett, the Tahsildar furnished an explanation which is now before me, and to enable me to dispose of the subject, I wish to have exact information from Lieutenant Rideout on one point- In his letter above quoted he says, speaking of the Tahsildar's arzee of the 18th July, that no mention was made in it of any examinations required, but in his present arzee of explanation the Tahsildar states that on the 18th July he sent to Lieutenant Rideout at Ranipett, in charge of Goomastah Ragoonath Row, "a list and other accounts &c. of the works in progress, and of works to be examined with all particulars," and he adds that he mentioned having done so in his arzee of the same date. As this assertion is directly opposed to Lieutenant Rideout's, I shall be obliged to you to obtain from that Officer precise information whether the Tahsildar did on the 18th July send any accounts of works in progress, and of those to be examined, and if so whether the repair to the Sholinghur Choultrum was included among either- The Tahsildar has sent

sent up a copy of his arzee of the 18th July, but for greater exactness I shall be glad if Lieutenant Rideout will find me the original.—

3 As respects the works done to the Chultrums which Lieutenant Rideout represents to have been done "execrably" I begs to be informed how in your opinion the work should be dealt with. In the first place, as the Tahsildar disputes the correctness of Lieutenant Rideout's examination, is that Officer of opinion that it was so carefully made that it may be safely adopted; on the whole, and as Lieutenant Rideout had all the details of the Estimate, I think that it would be more satisfactory if it could be verified, either by Lieutenant Rideout himself or by yourself or some other competent member of your Department. Having an authentic valuation of the materials and work as compared with the Estimate and the Talook account, it will then remain to determine whether the work shall be allowed to stand, being paid for only in such valuation, or whether it shall be entirely disallowed and be done over

over again.—

(Signed) A. D. Bourdillon
Collector

No. 5

From Lieutenant J. W. Rideout, 2d Assistant Civil Engineer, 4th Division, to Captain J. C. Colleyer, Civil Engineer 4th Division.

Sir,

With reference to my letter No. 7 dated 30th January I have the honor to reply to the letter No. 22 dated 24th January from the Collector of North Arcot.

Referring to the 2nd Para: of Mr Bourdillon's letter, having now examined the Urzee from the Tahsildar of Sholinghur, I am surprized to find that in one part he does state that "he has sent by the Goomastah a list of works in progress &c" This is apparently a discrepancy in my letter where I assert that "no mention was made of any examinations required." I regret I should have been led to write this, but the facts of the case, as they happened naturally caused me to

to credit fully the translation of the above Urzee as given me by my writer.—

In the first place, from first to last, having received no list of works in progress &c. from the Goomastah and secondly my writer when reading the Urzee before me having positively stated that in it there was no mention at all of any Examinations only that no Estimates were required; and again the refusal on the part of the Tahsildar and his authorities as still declared by my Maistry to give up the accounts, all this together naturally assured me that what I was stating was quite correct.—

Considering also that at the time having only just joined the Department I had no knowledge of the Tamil language, I had to trust almost entirely to the Translation given by my writer. In reply to the concluding part of the above para, I have to inform you that the Goomastah did not at any time deliver to me any statement of accounts, tho' he may have been ordered to do so, and I only learnt on Examining my writer the

receipt of the above letter from the Collector, that a statement shewing the Total of various Estimates had been delivered to him, on his departure from Sholinghur, when I myself had quitted the Taluk. In this list the Sholinghur Chuttrum is entered and the amount of the Estimate, but no particulars of any kind. I much regret that my writer should have misinformed me in the first place, and that he should in the second have never mentioned having received this statement; you will observe however that the list was given by the Goomastah after I have left the Taluk, when it was of no use whatever, that it was never given to me, and that no particulars were furnished as stated by the Tahsildar. In confirmation of that part of my letter, where I mention the refusal to give the details of the Estimate, I beg to enclose a letter to my writer from the Goomastah of Sholinghur himself, which I have now had given me.—

In reply to the 3rd Para of Mr. Bourdillon's letter, I beg to state that I took every care in making the Examination and

and that I consider the valuation fixed by me, for such bad work, was ample.

The Tahsildar doubtless in any dispute the correctness, as he states he has paid more than I have entered. altho' I saw the work that had been executed and for valuing this no details were requisite. The Estimate was, I imagine, made for good work, whereas that executed was the contrary. Should there be any further doubt, I would suggest that Surveyor Bush who is in that neighbourhood, and who is experienced in the price of materials in that locality should be furnished with a copy of the original Estimate, and with one also of my revised Estimate, and that he should be allowed to accompany me to examine the work again. The Talook authorities would then be present also, and I could personally point out the defects noticed in my examination. The Urzee from the Tahsildar of Sholinghur is herewith enclosed as requested.

Arcot 13th February 1854.

Signed J. W. Rideout Lieutenant
2nd Assistant Civil
Engineer 4th Division

No 6

From Lieutenant J. W. Rideout
2nd Assistant Civil Engineer 4th Division to Captain G. C.
Collyer Civil Engineer 4th Division.

Sir,

In accordance with the request contained in a letter from the Collector of North arcot to your address, a copy of which was furnished to me, I have the honor to inform you that on Friday last the 24th Ultimo I proceeded with Surveyor Bush to examine and report on the work lately executed to the Sholinghur Chuttrum, and which was examined by me in the month of July last and reported on in my diary for that month. I have now the honor to annex for your information Copy of a letter from Surveyor Bush, containing his opinion with reference to the rates charged in my revised Estimate and which were disputed by the Tahsildar of Sholinghur; as the examination in the first instance was in my opinion made with every care, and full value allowed for the materials used, I trust that as Surveyor Bush perfectly coincides with me

and

and even with reference to some of the work thinks it should have been altogether condemned, there will be no longer any doubt that the work is bad and that Government would have been defrauded had the Tahsildar's accounts been received.—

2 I consider it my duty with reference to the work to this Chuttrum, to state whilst at Sholinghur, a native maistry petitioned me stating that he had been compelled against his inclination after three days confinement in the Talook Cutcherry, to sign a contract that he would supply the Chunam &c. for the Chuttrum, but that afterwards the Tahsildar and Talook maistry themselves supplied all the materials, merely employing the maistry to execute the work. Now that the work has in a measure been condemned, the Tahsildar has deducted the difference between the rate entered in the Estimate and that allowed in my examination from his the Maistry's daily hire and that of his own, stating the forced contract as his warrant for so doing.—

Signed G.L.C.

Signed G.L.C.

3 The Maistry has however a document in his possession signed by the Talook maistry certifying that materials were

were supplied by him and the Tahsildar.—

As this, if true, is a great piece of tyranny I have here mentioned it.

Vellore 2nd March 1854

Signed J. W. Ridout
Assistant Civil Engineer 4th Divn.

A true Copy
Signed G. L. Collyer Captain
Civil Engineer 4th Division.

No. 7

From D. D. Bush Assistant Revenue Surveyor
4th Division to Lieutenant J. W. Ridout Assistant Civil Engineer 4th Divn.

Sir,

Agreeably to your request I now forward you the following observations on your revised Estimate for the repair of the Sholinghur Purnea Chuttrum, having accompanied you on your second examination of the building as directed in a letter dated 19th Instant from the Civil Engineer of the Division.—

1st An examination of the Joists each 10 - X - 5 — 4 — 27 of which you have priced at 8 annas each and 29 (8½ X — 4 X — 3) each at five annas. I consider the rate ample as they are of common timber, some being of Neem, & one of Cartavah

Cartarah, and some of yelleppay wood, and are besides roughly dressed

2 On examination of the terrace roof from above, having perforated it by a crowbar, I consider from the villainous Chunam which has been used, that the 2 Rupees per square you have allowed, is a great deal too much, even for the Northern portion.

The western side I consider should be entirely condemned, the so called plastering is nothing but rubbish.—

3 The plastering of the sloping roof Western Verandah, is nothing but rubbish and from the present state of it requires immediately renewing, as it has several large fissures in it. The bamboos in this are carelessly placed, in some places are as much as 2 inches a part.—

Referring to the price per square you have allowed for repairing the roof, 1/8 part of bamboos and 1/4 part of pantiles at 12 annas per square the price is quite enough. The bamboos which have been used, are badly placed and nearly all old.

You have allowed 10 As per square for plastering over the roof parapet and cornice and Retaining wall western Verandah which

which I consider, taking into consideration the shameful manner in which it has been done, is a very liberal rate.—

Referring to the mud Plastering I consider the rate a fair one, as the whole of it has been carelessly done, and I even doubt from the very uneven appearance it has, whether all of it is new.—

You have allowed 4 annas for each Verandah Pillar and as several of them are much worn eaten, the price is sufficient.—

Relative to the Coroots I think they should be rejected in toto as most of them have already become useless, and having given away the roof will require renewing after the first heavy rain.—

Arcot 25th February 1854 / Signed / J. H. Bush.

/ true Copy /
/ Signed / J. H. Rideout
2d Asst Civil Engineer 4th Division

/ Signed / G. B. Collyer, Captain
Civil Engineer 4th Division.

Extract from a letter from Mr Sub Assistant Surveyor Bush to Captain Collyer Civil Engineer 4th Dn

I have been with Mr Rideout to

to the bhuttrum the work is most Villainous a great deal worse than Mr Rideaut's report states.-

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / J. G. Collyer Captain
Civil Engineer 4th Division

No 8

Deposition of Rugaonada Row, Maramut goomastah of Sholinghur Talook before the Collector of North Arcot on the 24th March 1834

Question — Did Mr Rideaut the Assistant Civil Engineer some months ago when he was at Ranneepett ask you for any accounts relating to the Maramut works executed in the Sholinghur Talook and did you take them to him? If you did, state in detail what those accounts were

Answer — In June or July 1833 I do not recollect which the said Gentleman sent a takeed from Ranneepett to Gopaulacharry, then Tahsildar of the Talook, directing him to send the Goomastah to him with the accounts of works in progress under Estimates in the Talook and of works to be estimated for the Thasildar directed me to proceed and take with

with me the accounts of Estimated works in progress I accordingly went to Ranneepett and waited on the Gentleman He directed me to proceed to the Village of Neelacumbrayenpett whither I arrived the following day and the Gentleman himself came there also There the writer who accompanied him and whose name I do not know, told me that I was ordered by the Gentleman to prepare a list of all the Villages in the sholinghur Talook in which works were in progress, shewing the nature and amount of the works, I accordingly prepared a detailed account of the Estimated works in progress shewing their amount and gave it to the writer In this the amount of Estimate for the work under execution to the Rayaca bhuttrum in the Cusbah of Sholinghur was included I was then ordered to go back to the Cusbah and I came away The gentleman did not then go to sholinghur but about a fortnight afterwards He sent a takeed to the Thasildar to send me to Neelacumtaroyapett I accordingly went thither The Gentleman set out inspecting on his way the proposed line of road from Wallajahpett to sholinghur and

and as I accompained him to Sholinghur he asked me on the way what works were under execution at the Sholinghur Cusbah. I told him the Royapee Chuttrum work in progress The Gentleman halted at the Traveller's Bungalow at Sholinghur, and desired me and Punnapah the Talook Maistry to be at Royapee's Chuttrum at 4 o'block in the afternoon, when he would go there to inspect the work. I then went to my house The Gentleman sent word in the afternoon that he was going to the Chuttrum, the Maistry was not present, but I went up to the Gentleman together with the Bricklayer and he bade us go on to the Chuttrum I then sent on the Bricklayers before and went to the Tahsildar's house to inform him of the matter, the Tasildar was inside his house, and as it was not usual for any one to go in I told the Tahsildars son and the Talook Goomastah Ragavah Chetty who were there that the Gentleman was going to inspect the Chuttrum and that I was going there too and learning that the Gentleman had already gone there I made haste and joined

him. The Bricklayers however, hid themselves and were not there The Gentleman inspected the work, and took the measurement and went away to Paroonganchee His Maistry afterwards told me that he was ordered to make a further inspection of the Chuttrum, and must therefore come back in the morning. I went home and informed all the circumstances to the Tahsildar. The following morning I and the Maistry went to the Chuttrum, he inspected and took measurement of the work again, and we returned home The Maistry then asked me to give him the particulars of the cost of the Materials used for the Chuttrum as well as of the hire of the workmen &c. Some of the accounts of the materials purchased were with the Bricklayer maistry's acquaintance. In order therefore to obtain the permission of the Tahsildar to procure these accounts from the Bricklayer and to furnish the other information required by the Maistry, I went to the Tahsildar, but as soon as I began to speak, he called out that I had brought Mr Rideaut over and showed him the Chuttrum work and had

had made a disturbance, and he called me an upstart and bade me get away - I waited for same time, and then went back to him for orders about giving the required accounts He again told me angrily to go away, and so I did - The Maistry again asked me for the accounts, and I told him I must obtain the permission of the Tahseldar, that he was then angry with me, but that I would ask him another time and get them for him The Maistry then went away But the Tahseldar continued his anger towards me, I neither asked him about the accounts again nor did I give them to the maistry -

2 You have heard read to you Copy of a letter produced as having been written by you to Chengulroya Charry Was that letter written by you?

A Yes - I wrote it

2 Are its contents true -

A Yes

2 It appears that Mr Redeaut Maistry told him / Mr Redeaut / that the accounts which you say you gave at Neelacantrayapet

Neelacantroyapet was in fact given at Sholinghur what do you say to this -

A I remember to have given the first account at Neelacantrayapitt -

2 You have heard this deposition read to you Is it right -

A Yes it is right -

/ Signed / Ragoonada row
Gomastah Sholinghur Talook -

Before me March 24th / 1834
/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

No 9

Translation of a letter addressed by Ragoonada Row Goomastah of the Sholinghur Talook to Chungulray charry Assistant Civil Engineers Maistry -

I have informed you of all the Thasildars feelings of indignation towards me on the occasion of your inspection of the Chuttrum work here / Sholingur / I was told by some person that I ought not to give the accounts of the Estimate of the said Chuttrum without his (Tahsildars) orders, and fearing his further displeasure I did not send them At 12 o'block at night, after the close of the Cutcherry, I went to speak to you at the house where you halted, but as the people were all asleep I did not succeed - This is my fault. I was told that you were angry

very angry with me and I am uneasy and afraid since I heard this for I am ignorant of any offence I informed Dasa Shanroyer of all the circumstances which transpired here and it is likely you have also made acquainted with them by him. Join a good man and entreat you will kindly remove all displeasure from me, and that I may be convinced that you have done so I request you will vouchsafe to send me a favorable reply. You had better send a Takeed to the Tahsildar about the accounts of the Estimate Fasl of the Chuttrum and should he not make a reply to it, you can then undertake the matter above alluded to into consideration, or otherwise a man like me can meet with nothing but oppression between men such as you and the Tahsildar.-

With more can I say to one who knows all

/Signed/ Ragoonada Row

/True Copies/

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

23-24

Bd. No. 992

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue authorize the Collectors imposing the fine alluded to in the concluding part of para 10 of the foregoing letter, on the Tahsildar of Trivatoor.

J.C.B.

J.C.

No. 45

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

25.

Bd. No. 1579

From the Collector of North Arcot — Reports for the approval of the Board and of Government the mode adopted by him of granting Shaunramis-sions in the present Samabundy.-

In my letter of the 14th January } Para 1
replying to the Boards enquires as to the state of the season and the District, I stated, Para 17, that a principal feature in the case was that the food of the poor had been lost in a much larger proportion than the food of the rich, and that as many Ryots in the dry parts of the District had lost a large part of the grain on which they usually rely for their own subsistence, some unusual indulgence would be needed at the settlement- This was said chiefly with reference to the Poonjah lands, but even in the case of the Nunjah, it has been found necessary to depart in some degree from the ordinary rules of remission- I now proceed to report for the Boards aproval the measures of relief which I have considered it necessary to adopt as regards both Nunjah and Poonjah lands.-

In my letter already quoted

J

2

I said that the yield of the Poonjah crops of the South and East Talooks of the District had not exceeded one third of the ordinary produce, and of those in the North and West Talooks not more than one half. Further enquiry led me to the conviction that this estimate was too favorable in both cases. From the almost total want of rain in the early part of the Season, after the unseasonable very heavy rain in March, the dry crops in the South and East, appear, as far as I can judge, to have hardly produced at all in many cases and in few to have exceeded the third of an ordinary Crop. Those in the North and West were somewhat better, but even there the yield was very small. As respects the produce of the Nunjah lands too my estimate was too favorable. I stated that the Car Crop generally had yielded well, that the Sumbah would fail in some quarters but not extensively, and that the Kovilah or late Crop would only be planted where there was a certain supply of water. But I regret to have to state that a good deal of Shaury occurred in the Sumbah, and has occurred and still

still continues to occur in the Kovilah, as the sources of irrigation became exhausted by the continued draught and that after the date of my former letter I learnt on information to which I could not refuse credit, that some Shaury occurred even in the Car Corp.

Thus the Season was one in

3

which much forbearance was necessary in settling the demand, if the evil was to be avoided of anticipating the produce of future years, the rules which I adopted were as follows.

First as to the Nunjah. It was a question, whether the rise in price on productive land might be set off against the loss of Crops on other lands, but I was of opinion that this set off could not fairly be made. The rise of price benefitted only a portion of the Ryots, because it did not commence till after a large proportion of the rice Corps had been reaped and sold, especially those of the poorer Ryots. Further, in years of draught even lands fully watered do not yield a full Corp because they want that general refreshment by showers from above, the efficacy of which cannot be supplied by the most copious irrigation. Lastly it must be

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

be remembered that practically there are an infinity of degrees between a fair Crop, & and a total failure, but the Shanvy inspector recognizes none. His register contains only two items, productive and perished. It necessarily happens in years of extensive failure, that & in some fields the Crop just gets into ear and then dies, which in others it is able to struggle on a little longer, and yields a few mercals or even a few Cullums of Grain to the cawny; but all such Crops are entered as productive. This could not be avoided without opening a wide door to fraud or without admitting estimates of partial failure, equally fertile in imposition, on the foregoing considerations I thought it necessary to give full remission for proved Shanvy

4

Seven set aside the rule which requires that Shanvy should be present for inspection. I knew well that Shanvy had occurred extensively in some localities, several weeks and even months before the aznaish, and that, under the great scarcity of forage, the Ryots grudged to.

Fort St. George 15th May 1854.

to see even the dry Stalks of Shanvy waiting in the fields, when it might help to keep the cattle alive. Under such circumstances to refuse remission for Shanvy, because not present for inspection, would have been to apply a general rule to cases not properly falling within it, and so to take advantage of a point of form and routine to withhold relief & which I felt to be necessary and just &. I therefore gave remission even for Shanvy not present, where satisfactorily proved.—

Next, with respect to the Poonjah

5

in such a year of failure, it was absolutely & necessary to depart from the rule which refuses remission for Poonjah Shanvy, and as in the case of Nunjah I granted the remission without reserve or qualification where the perished Crops were present; and in rarer cases even where they were not present, when there was good reason to believe that they had really perished.—

But in Poonjah I considered

6

it necessary to go further, and to give remission for partial failure, because such failure & had been universal, which was far from being the case with Poonjah. The next point was

was to determine how this remission was to be given. I was very unwilling to resort to the plan of an actual estimate, which besides the enormous labour involved gives scope for a vast amount of fraud and extortion. I was also anxious to give the boon in such a form as to effect the poor more largely than the rich, because the loss of their Crops certainly falls more heavily on the former class who have no other resource from which to meet it. The plan which I ultimately adopted was to assume that the whole of the Maunaway Poonjah Crops had been deficient, and then to give remission according to a graduated scale under which the relief in each case should vary inversely as the gain accruing to the Ryot from certain special sources of profit, Viz. from cultivation in Poonjah by means of, private wells and from Cnam other than Village service Cnams.—

The following is the scale which I adopted.—

Having

Having sources of profit of the two kinds just stated in the proportion shown below to their Maunaway Poonjah Puttahs.—

Names within 5 per Cent	About 5 to 20 per Cent	above 20 to 50 per Cent	Above 50 per Cent
Ryots having Puttahs not exceeding 3 Pagodas	One half remitted	One fourth remitted	One eighth remitted	No remission
Ryots with Puttahs of above 3 Pagodas	One third remitted			

I debated whether it would be proper to have a different scale for the North west and South East Talooks, seeing that the failure had been more marked in one than in the other. But such difference of treatment would have involved either inequality and unfairness in many cases on the borders of the two Divisions, or the much exercise of discretionary power to prevent such unfairness which I was anxious to avoid giving place for discretionary power in individual cases, and to reduce the whole arrangement as far as possible to general rules. On the whole therefore, and as the losses in all parts of the District had undoubtedly been heavy, I considered it best to have but one scale for the whole.—

These

These remissions seem large but it must be remembered that the losses which made them necessary were so also. If a Ryot loses half his Crop, he loses not the half only but the whole; and more than the whole of his legitimate means of paying his kist which is generally estimated in Poonjah ~~land~~ to be about one third of an ordinary Crop— and the actual losses in the present case far exceeded a moiety of the Crop in a great number of instances. Indeed much Poonjah on which the Crop had perished entirely comes in fact under this remission for deficient produce, for a great proportion of such entire failure having occurred many months ago, is not susceptible of proof, and instead of getting remission as Shawy comes in under the general rule. This is the reason why the amount of Poonjah Shawy remission is so small.—

8

9 As nearly as I can judge at present, only about three Talooks of the whole District having been yet settled, the annual remissions given this year will probably be to the following amounts.—

Nunjah

Nunjah Shawy—— 95,000 Rs.
Poonjah Shawy—— 15,000 Rs
Poonjah short produce— 120,000-0-
Total—— 230,000 Rupees.

The cultivation Beriz, or fixed assessment of the land cultivated is about 2,60,000 Rupees less than that of last year, and there will be a further loss in Bogajasty. On the other hand some customary remission which vary with the amount of the settlement, will be less than last year, yet on the whole it seems likely that the total falling off of Revenue in the Ryotwar Talooks of the District, will not fall far short of five lacks of Rupees.

I have only to add that I shape my proceedings in connection with the settlement of the Demand in this disastrous season, will obtain the approval of the Board and of Government.—

J. D. Bourdillon—
Collector.

10

North Arcot—
Collector's Circuit—
Cutcherry Vengulatoor—
15th May 1854—

No. 902.
25-26
Proceeding

Mr. Bourdillon's Report thereon of the 14th of January 1854, had in some measure prepared

Recording observations on the mode adopted by

by the Collector of North Arcot for the grant of Shaury Remission during the Jummabundy

Fort St. George 15th May 1834

prepared the Board to expect an urgent demand for remission on account of withered Crops (Shaury) They regret however to observe that since that period the Drought has continued unabated, and that the difficulties of the agricultural classes are even greater than were contemplated.—

2 The present is one of those disastrous Seasons which render it necessary to relase the usual rules as regards ~~the~~ granting of remission, and thus considerable responsibility is thrown on the local Officers, for it is with reference to the confidence placed in the accuracy of their reports and the soundness of their judgment that the Board must act. It is on occasions such as these that the whole body of the Ryots must look up to the Collector as the only person who can rightly understand their position, and represent their case.—

3 It is a satisfaction to the Board to know that they can fully depend upon Mr. Baurdillon having given the subject his deepest consideration, and that they can concur in the principles enumerated by him.—

4 The Board are fully aware that a rise in price does not necessarily relieve that class

Fort St. George 15th May 1834

class of people who claim remission. It is one of the unfortunate circumstances that cannot but be acknowledged with regard to the Agriculturists of this Country that they are people of little or no capital, and either obliged to sell their produce before the time of high prices came or else mortgage to money lenders their Crops before they are reaped. The Board are not inclined therefore to press the circumstance of high prices as a set off against short produce, and the facts above stated will also shew that the poorer class of Ryots suffer more than those of more means, and this justifies Mr. Baurdillon's plan of making a distinction in the rate of remission.—

5 The Board also concur with Mr. Baurdillon that in a season like the present the general rule prohibiting remission to Poonjah (unirrigated) Crops must be modified. The reason for refusing as a general rule remission on Poonjah land is that the assessment was fixed much lower than on Punjah (irrigated) on the very understanding that in some years drought was to be expected— But such a drought as that is now

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

now experienced is most unusual, and is beyond the scope of ordinary rules, which also contemplated that droughts would be partial, and a loss in one part might be made up by a reasonable Crop in another.—

6 The Board are also of opinion with Mr. Bourdillon that it is better to give a few general rules as regards remission, than to attempt to define by a detailed scale, the various ratios of loss and compensation. It is true they have in general expected enquiries of this nature to be made, with a view of preventing unnecessary profuseness of remission, and as a check upon the Talook servants, the less scrupulous of whom generally profit considerably by a sanction for remission. But in the present case the Board are willing to leave the matter as proposed by the Collector in the assurance that whilst commiserating the misfortune of the Ryot he will not be forgetful of the just claims of Government who are obliged to trust considerably to his discretion.

7 The principle on which remissions are to be given being once decided, it is unnecessary for the Board to deprecate the extent to which it may affect the Government

CO Book P 114 and Note I P 115 with reference to Sir T Munro's views

JDG

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

Land Revenue
July 1262
Demand 21,600 0 Rt
Balance Current
Balance Arrears
26/7/88

Government demand, they observe that Mr. Bourdillon estimates the amount of remission at 2,30,000 Rupees, besides which the actual cultivation is 2,60,000 Rupees less than last year.—

No. 55

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary in Charge of the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the extent of land now occupied for the manufacture of Salt, and the additional ground fit to be converted into Salt pans as promised in my letter of the 21st Ultimo.—

/Signed/ E Maltby.
Collector

Statement

27

Bd No. 1584
of
from the Collector
South Arcot

Date answer
Dec 1st

South Arcot,
Master's Cutcherry,
Vellalore,
15th May. 1854.

6805

Fort St. George &c.

5th May 1851.

Statement shewing the extent of the Salt Pans in the Southern Division of Arcot

No.	Station	No.	Villages.	Total area of the Salt swamp and back water	Deduct Extent not applied to the use of the Salt Pans	Nett Extent of Salt Pans	Particulars of Columns								Remarks &c.
							Pans and Sheds in use		Pans ready for use but without Sheds		Pans out of use on account of requiring repairs		Pans in which the Salt made is of inferior quality		
1.		2		3	4	5	6		7		8		9		10
				Cawny annas	c a	c a	c a		c a		c a		c a		
1 Murkanum in Tondeveroum Taluk		1	Murkanum	334	232 1/4	102 6 3/4	95 6 3/4		" "		7 "		" "		The Pans in the Villages No.s 7-12-16-17-18-19-20 and 21 have been out of use for many years.
		2	Cundand	83	39 4 1/2	44 2	22 2		" "		22 "		" "		
		3	Nadoocoopum	64	24 10	30 7 3/4	19 7 3/4		" "		20 "		" "		
		4	Teroocunnoor	19	9 4 1/2	9 15 1/4	4 15 1/4		" "		5 "		" "		
		5	Ooranoy	59	37 5 3/4	20 2 1/2	15 2 1/2		" "		5 "		" "		
		6	Aunoomunday	23	7 8 1/2	14 7	6 7		" "		10 "		" "		
			Total	582	350 1 1/2	232 9 1/4	163 9 1/4		" "		69 "		" "		
2 Madalaputto in Villapooram Taluk		7	Madalaputto	8	8	" "	" "		" "		" "		" "		
		8	Teagavully	91	91	" "	" "		" "		8 11 3/4		" "		
3 Teagavully in Bowanagherry Taluk		9	Killay	18	18	" "	" "		" "		40 6 3/4		" "		
		10	Tillagadungum	6	6	" "	" "		" "		3 "		20 "		
4 Killay in Chellumbarum Taluk		11	Macravandungoody	11	6 1/2	2 5	1 6 1/2		2 5		" "		" "		
		12	Pimatoor	6	11	13 1/2	8 10 1/2		2 2		1 3/4		25 "		
			Total	42	42	15 3/4	22 1 1/2		10 12 1/2		10 1 3/4		52 "		
5. Cuddalore		13	Cuddalore	15	9 3/4	12 11 1/4	11 10 1/4		" "		1 1		" "		
		14	Coodecand	16	3/4	13 14	6 10		" 15 3/4		6 4 1/4		" "		
		15	Putchiangoopum	12	10	3 1/2	" "		1 14		1 2 1/2		" "		
		16	Chellancoopum	21	13 1/2	15 7	" "		" "		15 7		" "		
		17	Thondamanuttum	1	12	1 12	" "		" "		1 12		" "		
		18	Auruyogoodey	95	13	35 12 1/2	" "		" "		35 12 1/2		" "		
		19	Manum Candy	3		3 13	" "		" "		3 13		" "		
		20	Paria Coomaty	5		5 "	" "		" "		5 "		" "		
		21	Chinn Coomaty	4	8	4 8	" "		" "		4 8		" "		
			Total	117	8 1/4	95 14 1/4	18 4 1/4		2 13 3/4		74 12 1/4		" "		
			Grand Total	842	3 1/2	471 1 1/2	254 9 3/4		13 10 1/4		203 1/2		52 "		

E. E. Sgd/ E. Maltby Collector - Ordered -

(27-28)

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

No. 56

To,

B. Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(29)

Bc. No. 1612

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge

From the Collr.
of South Arcot. }

your letter of the 4th Instant and to explain

that I deferred my reply to the Boards'

Circular of the 13th February because

I thought it would not be wished for

until the examination rules which I was

expecting to receive had been circulated

My present answer is written after obtain-

-ing a copy of them for perusal from

Mr. Bambury.—

The

2. The Assistant Collectors

now in the District, to whom the rules

apply, are Messrs. Bambury and Puckle

Both these Gentlemen have made Tamil

the Vernacular of the District, their

first language, and as they have given

some attention to Telugoo and a consider-

-able time must elapse before their 2d examination,

it does not seem necessary to depart from

the ordinary rules in their case. Mr. Puckle

has studied Hindoostanee to some extent

as well as Telugoo.—

3 The Head Assistant Collector

Mr. MacDonell, is now officiating as Subor-

-dinate Judge at Combaconum, and I feel

doubt whether the Board will therefore wish

him to be included in this report. But in

case they should do so, I beg to observe that

Mr. MacDonell from having been employ-

-ed entirely in Tamil Districts has given his

attention

6808

Fort St. George 15th May 1854

attention to that language in which he is able to perform business with much efficiency. But from his position in the service, the time has arrived for his passing the 2d examination and as he has had no opportunity or motive for keeping up his acquaintance with Telugoo, if he studied that language at College on which point I am not informed, the rule regarding a 2d language, unless modified, would bear with hardship upon him from coming unexpectedly and with a retrospective effect. It would consequently be just not to defer his promotion during the time which would be necessary to enable him to acquire a colloquial knowledge of that language.—

South Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuddalore 11th May 1854.

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector

Order thereon

29-30

Ordered to lie over.—

To.