

Contents

Board's No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con^d 1st Sept^r 1853	
	From N. Arcot.	Ord delivering & receiving charge of the district	10233
2200	From Govt —	Letter from Govt N. W. P. regg wheat seed	10238
1828	Order. Madura.	Comm^g the above	10240
2201	From Govt —	Transfer of Surveyors to the Rev. Survey of N. Arcot	"
1830	Order Gimbra. N. Arcot —	Comm^g the above	10242
2209	From Govt —	Sanction for writing off the Accounts in Canara, the wastage of Tobacco	"
1819	Order Canara	Comm^g the above	10243
2261	From Govt —	Actual Charges incurred in Mal. & Salem, Sanct^n	"
2260	From Govt —	Ground for a burial place at Vizagpm	10244
1838	Order Madras	Comm^g the above	10245
2028	From Ganjam	Remarks on the forms of Summabundy Statements	10246
			10247
1820	Pro, — d^o —	On the above	10248
2317	From d^o —	Respecting Weights & Measures	10252
1840	Pro. Agent Still tract Circars	Alteration in the Account Particular &c	10253
2323	From Chingt —	Information regg certain female Pensioners	
2321	From N. Arcot —	Salt depots & Supt^s of Salt & Abkary Revenue not required in the district	10259
2210	From Salem	State^t of Lands applied for by certain Individuals for the cultivation of Coffee &c on the Shevaroy Hills	10261
1843	Pro, d^o —	Author^g the above	10265
2258	From d^o —	Papers regg Suit No 36 of 1853 for the recovery of certain Lands	10266
			10278
1824	To — d^o —	On the above	
2177	From N. Arcot —	Respecting the Land Rev. balance due by Venkatawarada Pillay	10280
			10306
1839	Pro, d^o —	On the above	"
2192	From Trichy —	Petn from the late Carkoon of Mygalore Muttun	10308
1842	Pro, d^o —	To re employ the above Petn^r	10310
2279	From Madura	Papers regg Suit by Shasha Ummall &c	10324
1826	Pro, Govt —	On the above	
2312	From Madura	Respecting the China Cotton Gin	10333

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1888	From Malabar	Salt Statement	10337
2040	From do.	Explanation in reff. the Smuggling of Pepper &c.	10340
2164	From do.	Statet. of alterations in the Salt Establisht.	10350
1822	Pro, do.	On the above	"
2263	From do.	Re transmitting Mr Commissr Grants report	"
2266	From do.	Alterations in consequence of the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly	10351
2289	From do.	Conduct of the Sub Collr Mr Chatfield	10354
1821	To Govt.	On the above	10362
1969	From Madras	Exemption of Quitrent on certain lands	10363
1837	Order do.	On the above	"
2109	From do.	Confiscation of Wine &c.	10364
1835	To Govt.	On the above	10372
1829	Pro, Madras	Alteration in the Return of fines &c.	10374
2215	From do.	Arrangements for securing Salt	"
1844	Pro. do.	On the above	10376
2285	From do.	Plan of certain ground	"
1827	To Govt.	Subg the above	10377
2190	From Messrs Parry & Co.	Respecting the ground occupied by Coals	"
1833	Order Madras	Comng the above	10379
2181	From Onniah & Co.	Calling for copies of Letters reff. certain Choultries	10380
1823	Pro do.	On the above	"
1841	To Cuddapah	Disposal of Petns 846 & 1120 of 53	10381
		5th	
2351	From Mr Attendt	Botanical Specimens	10384
1833	To do.	Acknowledging receipt of the above	10385
2353	From Malabar	Note to be affixed to his letter of 24 Instant	"
1830	Pro, Govt.	Forwarding the above	10386
2306	From Govt.	Lands made over to Village Officers	10387
1864	Order N. Arcot	Comng the above	10388
2254	From Govt.	Honble Courts despatch respecting the rent free tenures of the Ceded Districts, & the Cuddapah Local Police	10388 "
1851	Order Cuddapah	Comng the above	10394
2307	From Govt.	For writing off the balance due by the late Proprietor of the Madoorvoyel Mootah, Chingleput	"
1861	Order Chingt.	Comng the above	10395
2271	From Govt.	Respecting the introduction of Regn V of 1822 in Malabar	10396
1849	Order Malabar	Comng the above	10410

1853.

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
2303	From Govt.	Charges incurred in certain districts, sanct.	10410
			10411
2350	From Ganjam	Salt Statement	10412
2137	From Chingt.	Sub division of the Cotoor Mootah, State.	10452
1852	Pro, do.	On the above	"
2221	From Salem	Sale of Silver Articles	"
1859	Pro, do.	Authy the above	10453
	From do.	Refund of Stamp duty	10457
1854	Pro, Govt.	Recommg the above for sanction	"
1927	From N. Arcot	Respecting the new forms of Summabundy Accounts	10462
1847	Pro, do.	On the above	10463
	From do.	State. &c. on account of Addl Establisht	10465
	From do.	List of Enams &c.	10469
2383	From Tanjore	Salt Account Current	10471
2387	From do.	Leave of absence to Asst Collr	"
1870	Boards Pro.	On the Extra Rev. Report of Trichy. F. 1262	"
2215	From Madura	Increase of Salary to the Tutor to the Minor Rent of Shavaganga &c.	10477 10479
1860	Pro, do.	Sanctg the above	"
2124	From Malabar	Respecting the transfer of certain Govt Farms	10481
1848	Pro, do.	On the above	10483
2074	From do.	New forms of Summabundy Accounts	10487
1857	Pro, do.	On the above	10488
2246	From do.	For erecting a new Talook Cutcherry at Calicut	10489
1858	Pro, do.	Approving the above	"
2216	From Madras	Sale of land	10490
1853	Pro, Govt.	Subg the above for sanction	"
2256	From Madras	Removal of the Madras Cutcherry	10492
1856	To College Board	On the above	10496
2257	From Madras	Transfer of certain lands &c. Guntoor	10499
1863	To Govt.	On the above	10500
1862	To Govt.	State. of the Sales of Salt &c.	
		8th	10506
		Leave of absence to Mr Lewin	"
2409	From N. Arcot	On the above	10507
1855	Pro, do.	To refund certain sums to the Proprietors of Pollypolum	"
2199	From Govt.	Abolition of certain imposts in Guntoor	10508
2187	From do.	Certificate for certain land, quitrent &c.	10509
2302	From do.	Comng the above	
1880	Order Madras		

No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
2300	From Govt	Copies of certain work	10509
2305	From Govt	On the Settlt report of Bellary, F. 1261	10510
1873	Order Bellary	Commg the above	10517
2348	From Govt	Sanctg the revised Police Establisht, Madura	10518
1877	Order Madura	Commg the above	10519
2380	From Govt	Orders on the correspce between the Collr & Sub Collr of Malabar, removal of the latter Officer &c	10519
2400	From Rajahy	Salt Account Current	10525
2399	From Rajahy	Relative to certain female Pensioners	10527
	Pro, Bellary	Extra tant Allowce	10530
2412	From Chingt	Salt Account Current	10531
2347	From Salem	Sale of certain attached Estates	10533
1865	Pro, do	Authog the above	10538
2277	From S. Arcot	Tabular report of a lapsed Enam	10540
1872	Pro, do	On the above	10541
2165	From Tanjore	Requesting information regg the term "Grain", Oil Seeds	"
1881	Pro, do	On the above	10542
2420	From do	Leave of absence to Collr	10543
	From do Govt agents	Promissory Notes	10544
	From Trichy	Statet of Arrears due to a Yeomiahdar	10547
1876	Remarks Govt	Recomming the above for Sanction	10548
2240	From Madura	Establisht for the management of the Ayacoody Army &c	"
1879	Pro, do	Sanctg the above	10555
2244	From do	Respecting the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar of Shivagunga &c	10557
1878	Pro, do	On the above	10561
2242	From do	Delay in forwarding the D.C. & B. Statets &c	10562
2370	From do	Statet of Land cultivated with Cotton	10563
1939	From Canara	Stamp defalcation	10567
19[illegible]	To Govt	On the above	10570
2282	From Canara	For striking off the Accounts the value of certain Stamps	10587
1871	Pro, Govt	Subg the above for Sanction	10589
2244	From Madras	Sale of certain land	"
1875	Pro, Govt	Recommg the above for Sanction	10590
	Accts Genl	Rev. Statet of F. 1261	10591
	Sub Treasurer	Stamp Rev	10593
	Supt of Stamps & Govt	Increase of Salary to the Manager, Addl Translators	10597
	To Govt	Statets of Ordinary Collns, with Remarks	10608

10233 Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

Diary.

Received the following letter.

31st August

No. 74.

To

B. Cunliffe Esqre.

Sub Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(1)

Bd. No. 2318

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have this day delivered over charge of the Northern Division of Arcot to Mr. R. B. M. Binning Sub Collector whose receipts for the balance of Cash in the Treasury and stamped papers are herewith transmitted.

North Arcot, ——
Collector's Cutcherry, ——
Chittoor, 29th August, 1853.

Signed H. A. Brett
Acting Collector.

Received

10234 Fort St. George the September 1853

No. 1 Received from H. A. Brett Esqr. Acting Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot the sum of Company's Rupees 7,17,662-3-10 Seven Lacs Seventeen thousand Six Hundred and Sixty two, annas three and Pice Ten, being the balance of Cash up to 28th August 1853 according to the accounts of the Treasury.

Species.	Description of Coins.	No. of Coins.	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins.	Amount.	Total Current Coins.	Total uncurrent Coins.	Grand Total.
			Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.
Silver.	Company's Rupees 1835/40	560,682	100 " "	560,682 " "			
	D° Half D°	709,87	50 " "	35493 8 "			
	D° Quarter D°	389,016	25 " "	97,254 " "			
	D° Double Annas	106249	12 8 "	13,281 2 "	7,067 10 "		
	New Half Annas	201952	3 2 "	6,311 " "			
	D° Quarter	196683	1 9 "	3073 2 9			
Copper.	D° Single pie pieces	9,109	" 8 4	47 7 1	9,431 9 10		
						7,16,442 3 10	
	16 Madras Bank Notes amounting to Rupees					1520 " "	
				Total Company's Rupees		7,17,662 3 10	

N.B.

In Deposit

Value of Destroyed Records — 1719 " 6

Amount received from the Government Vakeel — 7 9 "

D° Collected on account of Manor and other Villages in the Cavetting gurry Zemindary when they were under attachment — 393 4 11

2.119 4 5

One Box Containing ready Cash Gold and Silver Ornaments deposited by the obstinate Renters of South Arcot District amounting to Rupees 1345 0 received into this Treasury as per Letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 21st June 1853.-

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 29th August, 1853 — E E P^s. (Signed) R. Binning, Sub Collector in Charge

10235 Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

No. 2. Received from H. A. Brett Esqr. Acting Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot in the undermention-
ed Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 20770-12-3 / Twenty thousand Seven hundred and Seventy, annas Twelve
and Pice Three, being balance of Cash Deposited by the late Provincial C. Court, Centre Division, and late
Zillah Court and Civil and Sessions and Subordinate Courts of Chittoor, up to 28th August 1853 according
to the accounts of the Treasury.

	No.	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins.	Amount.	Total Current Coins.	Total uncurrent Coins.	Grand Total C.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Silver. Late Provincial Court Centre Division						
Company's Rupees of Coinages previous to 1835	761	100 " "	761 " "	761 " "		
Do Do of 1835/40	19	100 " "	19 " "			
Quarter Do	120	25 " "	30 " "			
Double annas Do	285	12 8 "	35 10 "	84 10 "	" " "	845 10 "
Half annas	2	3 2 "	" 1 "			
One pie pieces	15	" 8 4	" 1 3	" 2 3	" " "	" 2 3
Copper. Late Zillah Court and Civil and Sessions Court of Chittoor					Company's Rupees	845 12 3
One third Gold Rupee	9	500 " "	45 " "	45 " "		
Star Pagodas	259	350 " "	906 8 "	" " "	906 8 "	951 8 "
Company's Rupees of 1835/40	2296	100 " "	2296 " "			
Half Do	6892	50 " "	3446 " "			
Quarter Do	12,000	25 " "	3,000 " "			
Double annas	40	12 8 "	5 " "	8747 " "		
Company's Rupees of Coinage previous to 1835	6	100 " "	6 " "			
Half Do	180	50 " "	90 " "			
Quarter Do	27	25 " "	6 12 "			
Double annas	60	12 8 "	7 8 "			
Single Do	4	6 4 "	" 4 "	110 8 "		
Company's Rupees old	1000	100 " "	1000 " "			
Mylee Fanams	1776	7 12 6	133 6 "	" " "	1133 6 "	9990 14 "
Daaga Fanams	1800	" 15 6	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	
Quarter Do	4	1 9 "	" 1 "			
One pie pieces	12	" 8 4	" 1 "	" 2 "	" " "	17 10 "
18 Bank Notes Amounting to Rupees						1350 " "
Silver. Subordinate Court of Chittoor					Company's Rupees	12,300 " "
Company's Rupees of 1835/40	7625	100 " "	7,625 " "	7625 " "	" " " " " "	7,625 " "
					Company's Rupees	20,770 12 3

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 29th August 1853.

E. E. Js. (Signed) P. Benning
Sub Collector in charge.

1023 6

State of Georgia — Office Surv. Gen. 1833.

No. 3. Received from Ph. A. Baldwin Esq. Surveyor Clarke Co. the following numbered Land Lots in the aforementioned County drawn in the Land Lottery of 1832 & 33 entered on the Books of this Office

Office Surveyor's

Lots	Acres	Cts.
8 Lots No. 1 Range 1 Dist. Lots	961	482
1 Lot — do — do	113	113
do — do — do	119	238
	1196	833
8 Lots No. 1 Range 2 Dist. Lots	62	31
1 Lot — do — do	39	39
9 — do — do	20	
1 — do — do	1	1
	112	94
	8029	1632

Office Surveyor Gen. Ga. Milledgeville 29th August 1833 Ex. Ingraham D.S. Pennington Clerk Executive Office

100	do — do	2	200
150	do — do	2	300
250	do — do	1	250
320	do — do	2	700
520	do — do	1	520
750	do — do	1	750
1000	do — do	1	1000
2000	do — do	1	2000
		6721	13465 12
8 Lots No. 1 Range 1 Dist. Lots		964	482
1 Lot — do — do		113	113
do — do — do		119	238
		1196	833
8 Lots No. 1 Range 2 Dist. Lots		62	31
1 Lot — do — do		39	39
9 — do — do		10	20
1 — do — do		1	1
		112	94
		8029	1632 12

4 Lots No. 1 Range 3 Dist. Lots		3333	833 4
8 Lots No. 2 Range 1 Dist. Lots		371	185 8
1 Lot — do — do		1723	1723
2 — do — do		662	1324
4 — do — do		323	1292
8 — do — do		180	1440
16 — do — do		53	880
32 — do — do		59	1888
52 — do — do		4	200

10237 Fort St. George 1st September 1853

No. 75.

To B. Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

2

Bd. No. 2319

From the Asst. Collector in Charge of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have this day taken charge of the Northern Division of Arcot and Treasury and have granted receipts in Duplicate of this date for the balance of Cash and Stamped papers to Mr. H. A Brett Acting Collector.

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor, 29th August, 1853

(Signed) R. B. M. Binnoy
Sub Collector in charge

1-3

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government

4

No. 932

From the Collector of Nellore. Received a miscellaneous letter requesting to be furnished with 24 printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance Statements.

4-5

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

(A)

10238 Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday, 1st September, 1853. } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

6

No. 2507.

B. No. 2200.

From the Secretary to Government

Communicating a letter from the Government N.W. Provinces regarding a further supply of Wheat Seed for the Madras Presidency.

Revenue Department.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 13th August, 1853.

Read the following letter.

To C. Beadon Esqre
Secretary to the
Government of Bengal,
Fort William.

Sir,

In reply to Mr. Officiating under Secretary Young's letter No. 132 dated the 16th Ultimo,

I

10239 Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

I have the honor to acquaint you that the necessary instructions have been issued from this Department to the Commissioner of the Sangor Territories to forward to your Office a further supply of wheat seed of the descriptions and proportions required by the Government of Fort St. George

Agra,
The 28th June, 1853.

(Signed) W. Muir
Secretary to Government of the N.W.P.s

No. 217.

Copy of this letter forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George for information with reference to his letter No. 463. dated the 26th April last.-

By Order of the Most Noble the Governor of Bengal

Fort William,
The 5th July, 1853.

(Signed) W. G. Young.
Officiating under Secretary to Government of Bengal.-

No. 923.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their letter dated 4th April 1853 No. 137.-

(Signed) S. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

10240 Fort St. George 1st. September 1853

B. No. 1828.
Order thereon.-

6 - 7

8

B. No. 2201.
From the Secretary to Government
Communicating the sanction of Government of India for the transfer of Sub Assistant Surveyors Messrs. McMahon and Claudius from the Trigonometrical Survey Department to the Revenue Survey of South Arcot.-

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Madura with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 9th May 1853.-

No. 925.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th August, 1853.-

Read the following

No. 1866.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Most Noble the Governor General of India in Council in the Financial Department under date the 18th July, 1853.-

Read an Endorsement from the Home Department No. 597 dated 15th Ultimo forwarding with reference to Extract from this Department No. 960 of the 13th April preceding, a Despatch from the Government of Fort St. George No. 585 dated the 28th May 1853. with Enclosures, soliciting sanction to the transfer of two Surveyors from the Trigonometrical Survey Department to the Revenue Survey of South Arcot.-

Resolution.

The Most Noble the Governor General

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

General in Council is pleased to sanction the transfer of Sub Assistant Surveyors Messrs. M.c Mahon and Claudius (the latter on the completion of the Trigonometrical Survey of North Coimbatore now assigned to him) from the Trigonometrical Survey Department to the Revenue Survey of South Arcot on their present salaries respectively of Rupees 265 and 202 per month, for the purpose of assisting Captain Priestly in the Revenue Survey of that District.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution be sent to the Home Department for communication to the Government of Fort St. George and the paper noted in the Margin returned.—

Order

Copy of a letter from the Government of Fort St. George to the Home Department No. 585 dated the 28th May 1853.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) C. Allen.

Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 565.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St. George with reference to Mr.

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

Mr. Secretary Tycroft's letter No. 585 dated the 28th May last.—

By Order of the Governor General in Council.—

Fort William
Home Department,
The 21st July 1853.

(Signed) George Cowper.
Officiating under Secretary to Government of India.

Ordered that the above Proceedings be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th May 1853.—

(Signed) J. Tycroft.
Secretary to Government.

8-9

B/- No. 1830.
Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated to the Collectors of Coimbatore and South Arcot respectively for their information.—

Read the following Resolution.

10

B/- No. 2209.
From the Secretary to Government.

No. 927.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th August 1853.—
(From Board 25th July 1853.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the Canara District

District the wastage of Tobacco in Fusslies 1261 and 1262 amounting as shewn in Statement No. 3 to Candies 13—3—11 M. P.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

10-11

Bd. No. 1819.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 23d. June 1853.

12

Bd. No. 2261.
From the Secretary to Government

Read the following Order.
No. 951.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Actual Charges incurred under and without Estimates in Fusly 1261 in the Districts of Malabar and Salem amounting in the aggregate to Rupees (11,371—1—10.) Eleven thousand three hundred and Seventy one, annas one and pice ten.—

Fort St. George 19th August 1853.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

12-13

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded the usual printed letters will be sent to the Collectors.

Read

Read the following Extract.

14

No. 950.

Bd. No. 2260.
From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 19th August 1853.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue—

(Here enter 21st July 1853 No. 337)

Before passing orders on the application submitted with the above Proceedings the Right Honorable the Governor in Council adverting to the Extract Minutes of Consultation in the ecclesiastical Department 3d May 1849. Paras 5 and 6 would wish to be informed whether a portion of the present Burial Ground at Vizagapatam could not be set apart for the interment of Roman Catholic Christians at that station, or if not, whether a piece of Ground could

could not be added to it for the same purposes—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenues.—

(A true Extract)

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to
Government.

14-15

B. No. 1838.

Order thereon—

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's Proceedings, to the Acting Collector of Madras with a request that he will obtain the information called for by Government.—

Read

Read the following letters

No. 108.

16

To Walter Elliot Esqre
Commissioner Northern Circars
Vizagapatam.

B. No. 2028

From the Collr. of Ganjam

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the circular letter of the Board of Revenue dated the 13th Ultimo and the forms of Summabundy Statements and the forms of Assessments therewith transmitted and beg to submit the following remarks for your consideration and orders.

In the District of Ganjam there are Eleven Zumindary Talooks and Moondalis, of which three only have been surveyed. In these three Talooks the land measure in use is the English acre and its component parts. In the tracts which have not been surveyed the Burmum and the garce are the measures by which the extent of land is calculated, in the Northern and Southern Divisions of the District respectively, while in no part have terms been fixed.

Under these circumstances it is obviously impossible to furnish correctly the information required to be inserted in the columns of the Statements as noted in the Margin. The estimated extent

No. of the Statements	No. of the Columns
1	2.
6	2-3-4-
7	2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-
8	2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-

1 Itchapore
2 Poobucandah
3 Mududah
4 Gromsoor
5 Goradah
6 Ruthalgaum
7 Godoor
8 Gauntalvulsah
9 Mohurry
10 Lutchempore
11 Potaukey.

Note. The aggrahrums are not included in the Surveys.

extent of land of course may be entered in the proper columns but the rates of assessment cannot be given. —

2 With the above modifications the forms may be made applicable to the District of Ganjam, but adverting to the fact that the Summaloundy Statements of the fusly just expired are in an advanced state of preparation, and that considerable enquiry is necessary to procure the information requisite to compile the new Statements in the new forms, I trust I may be permitted to express a hope that the Statements of Fusly 1262 may be prepared according to the forms hitherto in use. —

5 It is presumed that the new forms are intended entirely to supersede the accounts now in force and that in the future none of the latter are to be prepared.

Ganjam District Collectors Cutcherry Chettapore, 23d July 1853

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / A.P. Onslow
Collector

B° N° 1820

Proceedings thereon,

(16-17)

The Board observe with reference to para 3 of the foregoing letter that the extent of land in Statement N° 1-7-08 should be specified for the Surveyed or Taloob Rs, whilst the Assessment Columns, as well as those for commutation Price

Price in Statement N° 6 may be left blank. —

2 The Collector is requested to apply to the Commissioner Northern Circars for instructions as to which of the Statements now in use should be retained in addition to the new Summaloundy forms. —

N° 125

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(18)

B° N° 2317
From the Collr of Ganjam
+ Chicacole
Bobbilipore
Russellcondah
Bodo Rodondah
Bellogoondah
Hollodah
Soradah
Kennedy
Cheedy pundy
Bodogudo
Aska
Munsoorcotta
Caliingapatam

Sir,

With reference to your Circular dated the 25th April last, I have the honor to annex a Statement exhibiting the requisite information regarding the weights in use at the principal mercantile Stations of this District. —

2 My Assistant Mr Fraser examined the weights. —

3 There are two sets of weights as well as measures in this District, the one being termed "the Company's Padi" or Company's rate and the other "Native Padi" or Country rate. The following are the particulars.

Company's rate. —

4 Grain

4 Grains make one Gunja seed
4 Gunja seeds one Chinnum
30 Chinnums one Tola equal to one Companys Rupee.
5 Tolas make one ¼ Seer
2 Quarter Seers make 1 half Seer
2 Half Seers make one Seer
1¼ Make one Sava Seer
2 Sava Seers make one Pudalum
2 Pudalums make one small viss termed Chinna viss
1½ Chinna Viss make one large or Pedda viss
8 Chinna Visses make one Maund
8 Maunds make one Kunthum
2½ Kunthums make one Poottee

Cuntry rate

Rs 5–5
5–8
5–10
or 5–12
} Make one ¼ Seer.

2 Quarter Seers make one half Seer
2 Half Seers make one Seer
5 Seers make one Chinna Viss
1½ Chinna Viss make one Pedda Viss
8 Chinna Viss make one Maund.

In the accompanying Statement there will be found some slight differences between the nominal and the ascertained value of

of each weight, and this is attributable either to the irregularity of the native merchants or the wear and tear occasioned by constant use.

With regard to the 2d para of the above Circular I beg to furnish the following information:

1 Gidde
4 Giddes make 1 Sola
2 Solas make one Tuma
2 Tumas make one adda
4 Addas make one Koinchum or Toom
4 Toums make one Nowtee.
5 Nowtees make one Poottee
4 Poottees make one Burmum
30 Poottees one Garce
2 2/3 Garces make one Madras Garce

Ganjam District
Collectors Cutcheery
Chutterpore 22d August 1853

Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector

Statement

10251

Fort St George 1st September 1853

Statement of weights in use in the District of Ganjam.

No.	Stations	Description of rates	Names of the weights	Weight intented to be represented	Result of assistant Collrs examination
				CR a	CR a
1	Chicacole	Natoo Padi	Small Viss	110 "	110 6
		Compt Padi	Quarter Seer	6 "	5 14
			Half Seer	12 "	12 "
			Padalum	60 "	59 14
2	Berhampore	Compt Padi	Half Seer	12 "	12 -
			Seer	24 "	23 14
			Two tolas	2 "	2 "
			Four tolas	4 "	4 "
			Eight tolas	8 "	8 -
			Sava Seer	30 "	29 14
			Padalum	40 "	40 -
3	Rufsellcondah	Natoo Padi	Small viss	112 8	112 14
			Maund	900 -	910 -
		Compt Padi	Quarter Seer	6 "	5 14
			Half Seer	12 "	11 14
			Seer	24 "	23 12
			Padalum	60 "	58 2
4	Boddaca Atmundah	Compt Padi	Small viss	120 "	118 2
5	Bellogountah	Nadoo Padi	Langer or Pedda viss	180 "	174 4
6	Coollahdah	Compt Padi	Dr ——— Dr	165 "	163 6
7	Soorahdah	Nadoo Padi	Dr ——— Dr	180 "	182 12
8	Purla Kenaedy	Natoo Padi	Dr ——— Dr	180 "	181 8
			Quarter Seer	5 10	5 10
			Half Seer	11 4	11 4
			Seer	22 8	22 10
			Small viss	112 8	113 -
9	Chicacole proondy	Natoo Padi	Small viss	106 4	106 "
10	Bodagadah	Compt Padi	Pedda viss	180 "	181 11
11	Ass Kah	Nadoo Padi	Quarter Seer	5 12	5 12
			Half Seer	11 8	11 8
			Seer	23 "	23 2
		Compt Padi	Quarter Seer	6 "	6 3
			Half Seer	12 "	11 14
			Seer	24 "	22 8
			Small Viss	120 "	121 9
12	Monsoorcottah	Compt Padi	Half Seer	12 "	12 2
			Seer	24 "	24 10
			Small Viss	120 "	122 2
13	Calingapatam	Nadoo Padi	Small Viss	115 "	115 2

Ganjam District Collectors Cutcherry Chatterpore, 22d August 1853 CE 7/ Signed / A B Ormsbee
Collector

10252
18-19

Fort St George 1st September 1853

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

20

From the Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa } Read letter forwarding Statements of charges incurred under, and without Estimate for Sudy 1262.

1849.

Proceedings thereon.

20-21

Resolved that the foregoing Statement be returned to the Agent, with a request, that he will be good enough to alter the accounts particular No. 1 & 3 and the Statement also, if necessary, with reference to the following remarks, and retransmit them to this office, for the purpose of being submitted to Government for sanction.

2 In the account particular No. 1, maintenance of Meriah Children, the total disbursement for the Months of February 1853 is entered as Rupees 206-15-11, whereas the correct sum according to the amounts entered in the Columns "amount Monthly" should be Rs 209-15?

It is presumed there must be some mistake in the Sums carried out, and which this Office has no means of checking, as in several Items it is stated "at different rates" and in others no rates whatever are given. In the disbursement for June 1853 of the same account, the Expense for 32 Children at 12 Annas each is entered at Rupees 20-12- whereas at the rate given it should be Rupees 24.

3 In account particular No. 3 Marriage Dowry to the Meriahs the Total for August 1852 is entered at Rupees 38 instead of Rupees 36 the correct amount of the Expenditure according to the Sums entered in the Columns.—

TR

10253

Fort St George 1st September 1853

Read the following letters.

No. 149.

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

22

Sir, Fort St. George.

B. No. 2323
From the Collector of Chingleput } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 21st March last, communicating Extract of a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 1st July 1852, calling for a report as to whether the practical operation of the Rule by which the personal attendance of all Female Pensioners above the age of 50 years is rendered compulsory, interferes with or offends the feelings of the Natives.

Supplying information in regard to the practical operation of the rule for the personal appearance of female Pensioners above the age of 50 years.

2- It is only in respect to Mahsulmanee Women and Hindoo Females of the Chotria Rajboot, and Mahratta Castes that the Prejudices is so deeply seated, and though all these classes except of an inferior position in Life are until

they

they become advanced in years "Gosha" and do not, according to their Tenets, allow themselves to be seen by the male Sex, except their near relations and immediate dependents. this Seclusion among even the Medium and higher orders is not so restricted, but extends to the whole period of their life.-

3. Hindoo Women of rank belonging to other castes also object to appear before European Officers.-

4 In this District there are 24 Female Pensioners above the age of 50; Viz.t; 9 of the Mahomedan and 15 of the Hindoo Sects.-

5. Such of the Mahomedan females as are of an inferior position in life (Viz.t 4) have appeared before this Office, the remaining 5 Pensioners are the dependants of the late Kulleem Oolah Khan, and have strongly protested against their personal appearance being compelled.-

The subject has given rise to correspondence with Government, and is still under consideration. Adverting to the age and position of these Pensioners I

saw not of opinion that there were sufficient grounds on which to claim an exemption from attendance, but Taha Sahib the representative has so strongly urged a continuance of the indulgence, on the plea that their compulsory attendance would be degrading and offensive to their feelings, that I am inclined to think he would forfeit their Pensions in preference to the adaption of the alternative course, if insisted on.

6. The only instance in which the indulgence has been accorded to a Hindoo Female in consequence of her rank is that of Rungammah of the Vasa Reddy Family whose allowance has been transferred to this District under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 29th March 1847. This female is 56½ years of age- of the remaining 14 Hindoo Females all have appeared at my Office but one.-

7 The objection on their part to personal appearance before European Officers cannot be considered to arise from

from any Ideas and feelings connected with their age but rather with those of possessing a degree of rank and position - feelings which will exist so long as they either choose to consider it derogatory to appear before a European Officer or imagine that exemption from such attendance confers a degree of rank on them. That compulsory attendance of such as entertain these feelings would offend them, is beyond a doubt, but as no line can well be drawn to distinguish the degree of Rank and position which should entitle them to the indulgence they would seek on this score, forfeiture of Pensions / except in special cases which may be sanctioned / appears the only alternative unless it may be deemed expedient to relax the Rule.

8 Mahomedan or Hindoo females of the Castes alluded to in Para 2 whose Tenets forbid them to appear in public even in advanced years should form an exception to the above remarks, and the circumstance of their non appearance in public, which can be ascertained on enquiry

enquiry should in itself form the Grounds of the Indulgence of exemption to them.

9 The accompanying Statement exhibits the Number of Female Pensioners at each age above 50 at present drawing their allowances in this District.

Chingleput District,
Collectors Cutchery,
Pullecarney, 25th August, 1853.

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Memorandum

10259 Fort St. George 1st September 1853

Order thereon -

22-23

Ordered to lie over -

Read the following letter -

No. 72

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

24

Bd. No. 2321
From the Acting Collector
of North Arcot. } 91.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceed-
:ings of the Board of Revenue dated the
20th January last, forwarding Copies of
paras 6. 7. 8, 9 and 12 of the Honorable
Courts Despatch dated 31st August 1852
No. 13, relating to the Establishment of
Salt Depots at important stations in
the Inland Provinces at the Presiden-
:cy, and to the control of the Abkarry
and Salt branches of the Revenue
by means of separate Officers to be de-
:signated "Superintendents of the Salt
and Abkarry Revenues," I have the honor
to report that neither of these measures
appear to be required in this District.

2. The markets are chiefly sup-
:plied by persons who carry Sugar and
other

10258 Fort St. George 1st September 1853

No. 1. Memorandum of Female Prisoners of every Description above the age of 50 in the District of Chingleput.

Number of Females above the age of 50 in the Gaol.

Prisoners: Hindoos 13
Mahomedans 4
17

Mahomedans 1
Christians 1
Pariahs 15
9
* 24

Pariahs 1 Prisoner with 2 Children
1 Prisoner with 1 Child
Age not stated 1
Do 1
Do 1
Do 2 Defendants in Civil Suits
Do 2 Prisoners Called Over
Do 1
Do 1
7

Number Hindoos and Mahomedans

Prisoners at 51 years of Age

Number	
1	52
3	53
2	54
3	55
2	56
1	57
1	58
3	59
1	60
1	62
2	63
2	64
1	65

Pariahs at 51 years of Age

Number	
1	52
1	53
1	54
1	55
1	56
1	57
1	58
1	59
1	60
1	62
1	63
1	64
1	65

Chingleput 24th August 1853. } Signed J. S. D. Cochrane Collector.

Collectors Office Chingleput 23rd August 1853. } Ordered

Collectors Office Chingleput 24th August 1853. } Pillaiavargal Collector.

other articles to the Presidency and return with loads of Salt.. I believe that the retail Merchants throughout the District are fairly supplied by this means, and that North Arcot is too near the places in which the Salt is manufactured to allow of those fraudulent practices in adulterating the Salt to which para 9 refers..

3. In regard to the Aliaary and Salt Superintendents, the Board are aware that the former source of Revenue is farmed in this District, so that little labor is imposed on the Collector, and that the plan of employing Salt Superintendants is not applicable to this District, as Salt is not manufactured in North Arcot..

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutchery,
Chittoor,
29th August, 1853.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector.

24-25

Order thereon — Ordered to lie over

Read

Read the following letters

No. 104

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

26

Sir,

Bd. No. 2219
From the Collector of Salem

I have the honor to forward a Statement showing the Extent and particulars of certain lands applied for by Individuals for the cultivation of Coffee and gardening purposes on the Shewaray Hills, which I beg to recommend may be granted.

Salem Collector's Office,
Oct. 16th August, 1853.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Statement

10262

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

No. 1 Statement shewing the Extent of Land applied for by Individuals for Cultivating Coffee and for Building purposes on the Sheveroy Hills in Talook Salem for Fusly 1263 in the Zillah of Salem.

1 Name of the Applicant.	2 Name of the Village.	3 Name of the Hills.	4 From what Farm the Land is waste.	5 Extent.	6 Estimated value of the land entered in Column 5 at an upset price of 8 Rupees per Acre.	7 Assessment.	8 As per Board's letter dated 8th September 1842. Tax free for 5 years to Lands left waste upwards of 20 years. Extent.	9 Assessment.	10 Full Teerwah on waste lands applied for for Building purposes. Extent.	11 Assessment.	12 Remarks.
Messrs. Colin Ashton Richard son & Co	Pallastalum	jumba Poonjee bounded on the East by a Cavadoo & a small Hill lying near the Shed occupied by the Servants of Mr. Richard son on the North by a Stone Wall belonging to that Gentleman and on the South by lands cultivated by Malyalees.	Small Waste	5 36 -	47 3 2	5 14 5	5 36 -	5 14 5	- - -	- - -	The applicants have given a Durkast Moochilikah offering to take the land for Coffee Cultivation from Fusly 1262 for a term of 21 years and subsequently free of assessment for the first five years. They agree to pay the assessment whether the land be cultivated or not, and to submit to any modification in the present rate of assessment, which may hereafter be introduced by Government. They further agree to the resumption of the land in the event of its not being brought under cultivation within three years from the date of its grant, to the construction of roads through it by Government whenever required; to the formation at their own Cost of boundary marks to their entire holding; and to the Circar prohibiting them from cutting down without orders large and valuable trees standing thereon if there be any. The applicants are able to conduct the cultivation conforming to the above stipulations. They hold no other lands on the Hills. The Malyalies having received 30 days notice have signified their assent to the land in question being given to the applicants. In their Moochilikah the applicants propose to commence cultivation from Fusly 1262 but owing to that Fusly having already expired I request your Board's authority for the grant of the land from Fusly 1263.
Lazur Butler to Captain Smyth	munja Coottah attached to Do	Pullam Poonjee bounded on the West by Captain Smyth's land on the East by Sheruvoyen river, on the North by Sheruvayen Covil Adicaradoo, and on the South by lands cultivated by Malyalees.	Do	6 - -	48 - -	6 - -	6 - -	6 - -	- - -	- - -	Ditto

10203

Fort St. George 1st September 1843.

1 Name of the Applicant.	2 Name of the Village.	3 Name of the Field.	4 From what period the Land is waste.	5 Extent.	6 Estimated value of the Lands entered in Column 5 at an Assessment of 80 Rs per Acre.	7 Assessment.	As per Board's Letter dated 8th September 1842. Tax free for 5 years to Lands left waste upwards of 20 years. 8 Extent.	9 Assessment.	Full Teerwah on waste Lands applied for for building purposes. 10 Extent.	11 Assessment.	12 Remarks.
Anala Iyel Naik	Shei: gadoo attached to Moot: toonadoo	Oorcoottay Poonjee, bounded on the West by Talungot: tay Caradoo on the East by the road leading to the Building appropriated occasionally to Cutcherry purposes: on the North by Cooracanar Nagamarum, and on the South by Boggy Maistry Palanje land and Palamarum.	Perpe: tual waste	8 .. 64 ..	8	8 ..	8 ..	8 ..			The applicant has given a Durkhast Morchillika offering to take the land for building and garden purposes at the full assessment. He has agreed to act as shown in the preceding case, and is able to complete his undertaking conforming to the above Stipulations. He holds other lands on the Hills in Atteyoor to the extent of acres 15. .. .. Under these grounds I recommend the application for sanction.
Para Coop: pun	Com: maca: doo at: tached to Do	Poolihone Poonjee, bounded on the West by land cultivated by Vellyah Gounden on the East by Mylaputty river, on the North by Poolihone and on the South by Nagamarum pauray.	Perpe: tual	7 .. 56 ..	7	7 ..	7 ..	7 ..			The applicant has given a Durkast morchilikah. Offering to take the land for Coffee Cultivation, and has agreed to act as above shown. He is able to conduct the Cultivation conforming to the above conditions. He holds no other lands on the Hills. The Malgalies having received 30 days Notice one of them raised an objection to the grant of the land to the applicant, stating that he wants to occupy it at an easy rate but that he is not able to pay one Rupee an acre. His objection is clearly untenable. I therefore recommend Para Cooppuns application for sanction.
Mr I. P. Manuel	Semmadoo: noo attached to Mogum: madoo	Mundanalee Poonjee, bounded on the west by Solaga Iyahna Covil, on the East by Rama Gounden Caudoo; on the North by Palaya: putty and on the South by a Stone Wall.	Do	3 .. 24 ..	3	3 ..	3 ..	3 ..			The remarks applicable to this are the same as those entered opposite to the first case. although the applicants request is unimportant from the very limited extent of the land applied for, yet as there is no other cultivable waste land contiguous to that under notice I recommend that it may be given to the applicant whose son holds lands on the Hills to the extent of acres 14.31.8 bearing an assessment of Rupees 14.12.8.

10264

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

1 Name of the Applicant.	2 Name of the Village.	3 Name of the Field.	4 From what Kind of Land it is taken.	5 Extent.	6 Estimated value of the Lands entered in Column 5th per Acre.	7 Assessment. As per Board's letter dated 8th September 1842. Tax free for 5 years to lands left waste upwards of 20 years.	8 Extent.	9 Assessment.	10 Extent. Full Peishwah on waste lands applied for building purposes.	11 Assessment.	12 Remarks.
Pensioned Sepoy Kootyen	Semnadoo: not attached to Mangunnadoo	Adicarat: Poonjee bounded on the West by waste Calnattoo which is in the Sheranarayen Adecaradoo, on the East by Mr Balls land on the North by the roads leading respectively to Mr Ball's Seoonachella Clamp's land and to Kalagalore and on the South by the Sheranarayen river.	Poonjee	10	80	10	10	10	-	-	The applicant has agreed not only to the conditions mentioned in the preceding cases, but also to the exclusion of land within 15 yards on each side of a stream passing through the ground applied for. He is able to conduct the cultivation conforming to the usual above stipulations. He holds no other land in the Hills. The usual notice was given to the Malyalies and they having raised no objection to the grant of the lands applied for. I recommend the application for sanction.
Major J. Main	Nagalore attached to Magunnadoo	Palamarat: toocadoo Poonjee bounded on the West by Panyemcoot: tay Edy, on the Elst by Cada himarum river, on the North by Mr Herbert Richardsons land and the road leading to Pullypady and on the South by Pullypady malada Cadoo Cooltay.	Do	100	800	100	100	100	-	-	The remarks to this are the same as those entered opposite to the first case. The applicant is able to conduct the cultivation conforming to the usual Stipulation. He holds no other land on the Hills. The Malyalies having received 30 days notice have signified their assent to the land being given to the applicant. Under these grounds I recommend the application for sanction.
				13936	1119 3 2	1391 4 5	1313 0	1312 4 5	8	8	

Salem Collector's Office,
Ofsoor 16th August, 1853.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Proceedings.

10265

Fort St. George 1st September 1853

26-27

B. No. 1843

Proceedings thereon

The Board of Revenue authorize the grant of the several spots of land referred to in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter on the usual conditions.

DF

10266

Fort St. George 1st September 1853

No. 108.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George,

28

B. No. 2258.
From the Collector of Salem — 81

Submit Translation of a Plaint and the Draft of an answer which he proposes to file in the Subordinate Court of Salem against Plaintiff Kistna Shastry in Original Suit No. 36 of 1853.

No. 80 of 1853

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a translation of a Plaint filed in a Suit instituted against me in the Subordinate Court at Salem by one Kistna Shastry, a Bramin, resident in an Agraharum whose proprietors are generally speaking, a most litigious set of individuals.

2. I also submit the Draft of an answer which I propose to present, the perusal of which will satisfy the Board, I hope, that the action is quite uncalled for and unjustifiable and that it should be defended at the public expense.

3. It seems strange that the Plaintiff should have resorted to the Court instead of first seeking redress by an appeal to your Board - but I have no means of ascertaining why he has neglected the more simple course of proceeding.

4. The period to which I am limited for

for presenting my answer will expire on the 25th October 1853.

Salem Collector's Office, 22d August, 1853.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 1

Translation of a Plaint preferred in the Subordinate Court of Salem against H. D. Phillips Esqre Collector of Salem as 1st Defendant, and Bheema Row, Thasildar of Talook Denkencottah as 2d Defendant, by Kistna Sastry aged 30 years, Son of Rama Butler and an inhabitant of Togaray Agraharum Talook Denkencottah Salem District.

This suit is brought to recover — acres 1—14—9 of Nunjah land yielding 15 Rupees Mailwarum (Government Share of the produce) so as to make good a deficiency of that extent in the entire area, for which the Defendant has issued a Puttah or to secure a reduction of Rupees 8—6—2 assessment on that extent, and the grant of a Puttah for the lands now actually in my possession Viz.

acres 1—24— assessed at Rupees 9—12—8.

The boundaries of my lands are as follows. On the West my Nunjah lands in the

the aforesaid Togaray agraharum, for which there is a Puttah; On the South, Streenevasiens Nunjah and Garden lands. On the East, Streenevasiens Nunjah, and on the North, Annumtummah Kistnamah Chetty and Venkatarama Bhutter's Nunjah and Garden lands.

The details of the Podoogal lands situated within these limits are as follows. No. 534 acres — 1 — 18 — assessed at Rupees 2—12—11 No. 536 acres — 1 — 17 — 15 assessed at Rs. 2—8— — No. 538 acres — 2 — 7 — 9 assessed at Rupees 4—4—7 No. 539 acres — 2 — 7 — 8 assessed at Rupees 4—4—7 No. 540 acres — 2 — 7 — 9 assessed at Rupees 4—4—7 These 5 Nos are designated Puttah Nunjah, and their entire area is acres 2—38—9 bearing an assessment of Rupees 18—2—8 —

I presented a Durkast for the lands in question for Fusly 1262 and obtained a Toolchit from the 2d Defendant. On measuring them, which I was induced to do thro' doubts as to its extent when I undertook its cultivation there was a deficiency of acres 1—14—9 I represented the fact to the 2d Defendant and stated that should the lands be measured according to the Toolchit and made over to me I would cultivate them. The 2d Defendant having refused to measure them I addressed an Urzee on the subject to the 1st

Defendant to

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

Defendant, whereupon the latter by endorsements No. 58,93 and 131 ordered the 2d Defendant that the lands be measured and their limits marked out and given to me. Without conforming to these instructions the 2d Defendant confessing that there was a deficiency in the extent in question as surveyed during the permanent settlement addressed an Urzee to the 1st Defendant embodying certain unfounded details. The 1st Defendant relying on the truth of this Urzee, gave a final endorsement, in direct variance with his former orders, stating that the assessment of the land should be paid whether it be deficient of the recorded extent or waste, and that there was no necessity of measuring the lands. He has subsequently also given a Puttah for acres 2-38-9. I. include an area, not actually in ones possession in the Puttah and demand the assessment is at variance with Para 9 of the General Rookoonamah of 1843. In that para it is provided that, in cases where a deficiency in the extent of a field assessed at the survey exceeds 10%, a deduction is to be made for the same. In reference to this provision the indulgence is contended to

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

to be shewn the several Ryots in the aforesaid Talook. This deduction, not having been made in the present case, I gave up the cultivation of the lands. I therefore request your Court will pass a decree to the effect that the deficient tract of acres 1-14-9, assessed at Rupees 8-6-2 and yielding a produce of the value of Rupees 15 which is deficient from the Puttah for Rupees 18-2-8 given by the 1st Defendant be measured according to the survey limits, and put into my possession, or that a puttah be granted only for acres 1-24-" assessed at Rupees 9-12-6, which are now in my possession, deducting the assessment of the deficient tract in question.-

Filed on the 15th of July 1853.

Translated by Ramachandra Row.

(True Copy)

(Signed) A. D. Phillips.

Collector.

No. 2.

Draft answer proposed to be filed in the Subordinate Court of Salem by A. D. Phillips Esqre 1st Defendant in original Suit No. 36 of 1853 against Plaintiff Kistnashastry, an inhabitant of Togaray Agraharam Talook Denkencottah Salem District.

1. The Claim of the Plaintiff is that

Acres

Acres 1—14—9 of Malgoozary Nunja land yielding a Mailwarum produce of Rupees 15, be put in his possession, to make good a deficiency to that extent, in the area specified in Puttah issued to him by 1st Defendant, or otherwise that the assessment on the deficient quantity be deducted, and a Puttah granted for only such lands as are actually in his possession. This claim is untenable, the 1st Defendant contends, for the following reasons.

2. The Puttah for the five Nos. of Nunjah land measuring Acres 2—38—9 assessed at Rupees 18—2—8 which is mentioned in the Plaint, stood in the name of one Cooppyen deceased, until Fusly 1260. In Fusly 1261 the heirs of this Cooppyen failed to cultivate the fields which consequently remained "Bunjer." Relative to their omission, the 1st Defendant at the Immumabundy of that year, instituted enquiries and remitted the Government demand on the land as being Bunjer.

19th June 1851.

3. By the fact of the grant of such remission, these fields reverted to the Government. For Fusly 1262, the Plaintiff presented to the 2d Defendant (the local Thasildar) a written tender asking to be put in possession of the lands in question in order that he might cultivate

23d June 1851.

on them. Subsequent to this, Streenevasah Chetty, a brother of the said deceased Cooppen, claimed and deposed before the Thasildar that the lands for which the tender of the Plaintiff had been received should be rejected and the lands in question be made over to him. Streenevasah Chetty. He added that he was willing and prepared to pay the assessment due on them for Fusly 1261, although the Collector had agreed to its remission in consequence of their having remained fallow. The matter was submitted to the Collector for orders by an Urzee from the 2d Defendant dated the 8th July 1852 No. 632.

28th July 1852.

4. On a perusal of the papers concerning the two tenders, it appeared to the Collector to be just that as the lands, under the circumstances above stated, had become Government property, they should be made over to the Plaintiff as the first applicant for them, and orders were issued by me to the 2d Defendant accordingly, and under these instructions the Plaintiff was put in possession of them.

2d August 1852.

5. Shortly afterwards the Plaintiff addressed to me a Petition, stating that as the limits of the lands which he had thus taken up were unknown to him, he found there were obstacles to

18th August 1852.

this

their cultivation and accordingly he prayed that orders might be issued to the 2d. Defendant to measure and give the lands over to him upon this the 2d. Defendant was directed by
24th. August 1852. an endorsement on Plaintiffs Petition to point out the limits of the land to him, if no just objection appeared, in order that the obstacles referred to might be removed.-

6. A few days afterwards the 2d. Defend-
X 29th. Augt. 1852. No. 106. ant addressed an Urzee X to the Collector, reporting that the Plaintiff was well satisfied with the limits which had been shown to him. but represented, that as there was a deficiency in the extent of the lands, a reduction of assessment should be made for what was wanting- that he (the 2d. Defendant) had intimated to him (Plaintiff) that as the lands had been assessed at the period of the permanent settlement, and for a series of years had been under tillage; no fresh measurement could be made, and that he should cultivate them as they were.-

7. On this a final endorsement was
X 8th. Sept. 1852. made by the Collector on the Petition above alluded to, to the effect that as the lands in question were part of those which had been assessed during the survey, as they had been

under

under cultivation for a number of years and as they had formed matter for competition before Plaintiffs tender was accepted, there was no necessity for their measurement in the Collectors opinion, and that their assessment would be levied whether cultivated or not.-

This is what passed; no orders,
8. as the Plaintiff alleges, were issued by the 1st. Defendant requiring the Tahsildar to measure the lands and define their boundaries.-

The allegation of the Plaintiff
9 that the orders of the 1st. Defendant are at variance with Para 9 of the Rookommanah of 1843. is opposed to fact- This Rookommanah was sanctioned by Government and has been in force since fasly 1254. In its 9th. Para it is provided that "if in any field an excess in measurement of more than 10. per Cent "the whole excess should be added to the Jumabbundy and the teerwah collected at the same "rate as on the rest of the field- On the other hand "if a deficiency is found greater than 10 per "Cent the whole deficiency should be deducted any "difference one way or the other within 10 per Cent "should not be interfered with- No field however "ever should ever be measured unless there

are.

"are strong grounds for suspecting any great
"difference in its extent, as a frequent and
"minute enquiry must prove vexatious."

10. The area in question in common with other lands, was formally assessed during the general Survey, which almost immediately succeeded the cession of the Country to the British Government - ever since that period with the exception of 5 years, they have been under cultivation, and the periodical Government dues have regularly been realized - Their possession, too, has been the object of much competition - There is nothing to shew that the boundary marks have been destroyed - The authority quoted by Plaintiff from the Hookoomnamah has no application whatsoever to his case - Your Court will therefore perceive that the arguments advanced by the Plaintiff are unsound -

11. A consideration by Plaintiff of the possible benefits or losses likely to be consequent on his occupation of the lands in question, should have preceded the presentation of his tender, or his obtaining possession and receiving a Toolchit for them - To urge objections about deficient area after the receipt of a Toolchit, is absurd, even if no other party had desired to secure the lands with which he [Plaintiff] now finds fault

No

No compulsion whatever was used by the Circar to induce him to take up the lands - He desired of his own accord to have them and they were given to him in conformity with his wish -

12. Under the head of Land Revenue there are at present in this District about 13,000 Puttahs - Should the several holders of these Puttahs request, as the Plaintiff has done, that their respective lands be measured and, after measurement, be given over to them and should the Collector accede to their wish, the result would probably be totally to alter in many instances the permanent assessment originally fixed on the land; thus in fact, to commence a fresh survey - It was with a view to avoid such inconvenient results, that originally the boundaries of lands were defined and a fixed assessment imposed on them throughout the District - It will be obvious to your Court that the portion of the 9th Para of the Hookoomnamah above alluded to [which provides that "no field should ever be measured unless there are strong grounds for suspecting any great difference in its extent, as

as a frequent and minute enquiry must prove vexatious" has mainly reference to considerations of the above nature.

13. If the Plaintiff has reaped no benefit from his possession of the lands, or if he does not want them, there is nothing to prevent his presenting a Sadee Razeenamah, or deed of relinquishment, and throwing them up.

14. His demand, as now preferred, cannot be acceded to under the rule of the Western Koomnamah and the practice of the District, and should, the 1st Defendant contends be rejected.

15. In all that has been done by the 2d Defendant, he has acted under the orders and authority of the 1st Defendant who holds himself responsible in this instance for the proceedings of the Thasildar employed under him.

Signed/ W. D. Phillips.
Collector.

T.R.

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.—

(28-29)

Dic No. 1824.
To the Collector of Salem.
Conveying the Board's views on a plaint No. 30 of 1853. filed against them in the Subordinate Court of Salem and requesting to be favored with his sentiments thereon.

In Con. 1st Sept. 1853. No. 30 of 1853.

To
The Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 22d August 1853 forwarding translation of a plaint filed against you in the Subordinate Court of Salem, by one Krishna Shastry and a draft of your proposed answer.—

2. The Board have very attentively considered the case, and they regret to state that they entertain very strong doubts whether the defence can be sustained, while they are at the same time of opinion that the case is in itself hardly one which they could with propriety recommend to Government for defence at the public Cost. The main fact of a deficiency in the extent of the land, amounting to very nearly one half, is not denied, and assuming this to be the case they would be reluctant that the Collector should appear as endeavouring to perpetuate an assessment which is not in point of fact justly due, according to the rates

rates of the Survey assessment. The Board can scarcely doubt that even if you were to gain the Suit, you would eventually consider it but just and fair to make a reduction from the demand equivalent to the deficiency of land which might be ascertained on a re-measurement really to exist.

3. With respect to the line of defence taken up in your reply, the Board do not concur with you in thinking that Para 9 of the Hoor Roomanah is inapplicable. It would, in their view, clearly have been applicable if the land had remained in the possession of the late holder in which case, although the remeasurement of lands depends to a considerable extent on the Collector, they think that such remeasurement could hardly have been refused on "strong grounds for suspecting a great difference in the extent" being shown. The real circumstance of difference then seems to consist in the fact of Krishna Shastry being a new comer who had voluntarily offered to take the land, with a presumed

presumed Knowledge of what the assessment had always hitherto been and the Board think that had you used the argument contained in the 13th Para of your reply when he first began to raise objections, Viz.t, that if he was not satisfied with the land with the assessment as it stood, he was at liberty to withdraw his application, he would have had no ground for complaint. But it appears to them that you lost the benefit of this plea by making out the Puttah in his name, and insisting on his paying the assessment whether he cultivated the land or not. The option of relinquishing the land having thus been taken from him and a Puttah made out in his name it appears to the Board that he became entitled to all the privileges of a Pattadar, and one of these is, under the terms of the Hoor Roomana, that if there are strong grounds for suspecting a great deficiency of land as entered in a ryot's Puttah a remeasurement shall be made and a reduction be allowed. -

4. For these reasons as the Board have before observed, they think that the issue of the Suit

suit would be extremely doubtful while an adverse decree could hardly fail of being embarrassing to the Revenue Department as forming a precedent upon which an appeal to the Courts might in similar cases hereafter be resorted to. They therefore recommend that a remeasurement of the land should be permitted and a reduction made in proportion to the deficiency if any, which may be discovered.-

5. Having stated the Board's views with respect to the defence of the suit, I am desired to advert to that part of your reply in which the circumstances out of which the suit arose are set forth. They observe that you state that the land belonged to one Coopayen until 1260, that he died, and that it was left waste by his heirs in 1261, and that after due enquiry regarding their omission to cultivate, the demand on the land was remitted at the Summabundy of that year. You proceed to state that "by the fact of the grant of such remission these fields reverted to Government" and it is with regard to this point that I am desired to request some further explanation respecting the interpretation put upon the provisions of the Hoo Kumnamah in your district which seem to be held to sanction this view.—

Para 2 to 4

6. As the circumstances are stated in your reply to the suit it appears to the Board that the land ought to have been given to the brother of the deceased Coopayen. It is not stated whether he was one of the parties referred to as Coopayen's heirs, or whether they made any voluntary relinquishment of the land; but if not, the case as at present explained presents some features of hardship towards them. The death of a ryot not unfrequently incapacitates his family temporarily from continuing the cultivation of the land, and while it is usually held to form a sufficient reason for remitting the assessment for the year, it seems altogether insufficient for depriving them of the land altogether.-

Para 2.

7. The object of the 20th rule of the Hoo Kummanah is the Board have always considered, to prevent ryots from retaining land in their Puttahs which they do not cultivate and thus excluding others who are willing to take it up, and also to serve as a check upon those who from negligence or contumacy leave lands uncultivated without sufficient cause- but where the Collector has made enquiry into the cause for

for leaving the land waste, and seen sufficient reason to remit the Assessment the Board would consider the fact of the grant of such remission "rather as an acknowledgment on his part that there were good reasons assigned for leaving it waste, and consequently that it should remain in the Ryot's hands than as authorizing it's being transferred to others. If no good cause be assigned for leaving the land waste, and it be intended to resume it they are inclined to think that it would be the preferable course not to remit the assessment, but to leave it as a balance against the ryot either until he had pledged himself duly to cultivate it in future, or had given in a voluntary relinquishment of it.

From Coll.r 29th July in Cons.n 8th Augt. 1853.
From Coll.r 9th in Cons.n 25th August -"-

8. The Board have been induced to refer particularly to this subject because they have recently had occasion to observe

a

a disposition to stretch the provisions of Para 30th of the Hon.ble Commands somewhat beyond what they conceive to be it's original intent and meaning. The provision seems to be justified by the custom of the Country, and by the fundamental theory that a ryot retains possession of his land only as long as he continues to pay the assessment but as the Regulations lay down very distinctly the mode of realizing balances of land Revenue and it is not certain that the bare resumption of the land would be upheld by the Courts they consider that it should be resorted

to

to only in extreme cases and that it would always be the safest plan to take a written resignation from the ryot as the condition of remitting the balance due upon the land. The Board however will be glad to be favored with your sentiments on the question should there be circumstances in relation to your district which give occasion for your differing from them in their views as above expressed.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st September, 1853.

Signed B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

Read the following letter.

No. 67.

To,
W. A. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(30)

B. No. 2177.
From the Collector of South Arcot.
Submits for the orders of the Board the case of Vencatawarada Pillay against whom there is a Land Revenue balance in the accounts Amounting to Rupees 97-7-10.

Sir,

On Scrutinising the Land Revenue Balances I found an arrear which now amounts to Rupees 97 7 10 to be due for the years entered in the margin.-

1252	26	3	2
1257	13	9	1
1258	8	10	3
1259	9	7	5
1260	11	9	
1261	13	5	10
1262	15	3	4
	97	7	10

by one Vencatawarada Pillay who claims to hold his land on an easy rent under a decree passed by the Civil Court at Chingleput in 1831.

Having obtained the record of the suit from the Court, I am now able to address the Board on the subject, and have the honor to request their orders respecting the arrear, as any steps taken by me to enforce its collection in the ordinary manner might lead to further litigation and it is therefore advisable that my proceedings should have the prior approval of the Board.-

The arrear is due in the
2.
Village of Putchamcoopum in the Cuddalore
Talook

	Cawr.	As.	Teerwah	
Nunjah	"	10½	25	4 3
Poonjah	"	8	2	3 "
	1	2½	27	7 3

Taloork on some Nunjah and Poonjah land which bears an assessment of Rupees 27-7-3 in the Pymash accounts. In fusly 1216 this Village was under the management of the Collector Mr. Fallofield. In 1217 it was included in the Mootah of Tondamanuttum given to Sadasewa Reddy - and on that Mootah being Sub divided in 1252 with the Sanction of Government, it formed a part of the Sub Division which fell to the new Mootahdar, Viswanada Reddy.

In 1253 the Village reverted to Government by purchase on the Mootah being put to public sale for arrears of revenue.

3. The litigation in the Cherry Sheristadar Court arose from the present holder of the land Vencatawarda Pillay bringing a Suit against the Second Mootahdar Viswanada Reddy for collecting from him the full Pymash teerwah of Rupees 27-7-3 instead of the easy rent of Rupees 15-1-6 upon which he claimed to hold the land. In proof of this claim Vencatawarda Pillay produced a Puttah given by Mr. Fallofield in 1216 to the then occupant Vydenada Pillay showing that the sum collected in that year was Rupees 15-12 " and a Cowle given to Plaintiffs father Appoo Pillay, who afterwards

purchased

† Dated 29th July 1822.

See Mins: of Cons. dated 31st. January 1843 & 13. P 2d. February idem.

purchased the land, by the first Mootahdar Sadasewa Reddy, allowing him to enjoy it on an annual rent of Rupees 15-1-6. Plaintiff also summoned the Village Curnum as a Witness, who stated that in consequence of the heaviness of the assessment in Putchamcoopum Mr. Fallofield had obtained the authority of the Revenue Board to reduce it, and that in the reduction the teerwah of the Plaintiffs land was lowered to Rupees 15-1-6.

4. In defending the Suit the Mootahdar contended that no such reduction of the assessment of the Village had taken place - and that the Pymash teerwah of this land was included in the account or calculation upon which the Peishcush was fixed on the Sub Division of the Mootah. He further urged that as the land under litigation was Sheygal, the Cowle granted by his predecessor Sadasewa Reddy was only binding on that Mootahdar while he continued to hold the Estate, and by the express provisions of Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 had no force upon himself.

5. A decree was however given in favor of the Plaintiff by the Sudr Ameen which was afterwards confirmed on appeal

and

+ Os. 84 of 1825.
As. 93 of "
S.A. 21 of 1829

Encls Nos 1 to 5

and special appeal by the Register and Civil Judge. Copies of these Decrees together with translates of Mr Fallofield's Puttah, and the Mootahdar Sadashewa Reddy's Cowle are enclosed for the Board's information and I proceed to offer a few remarks on the case.

6. As the land in question is Sheigal there is no question that the Cowle given by the Mootahdar Sadashewa Reddy had only force during his tenure of the Estate, and could have no effect in permanently reducing the assessment. In a recent case a similar claim to hold land on a Mootahdar's Cowle was rejected by Government under the provisions of Section XV. Regulation XXX 1802

See Mins: Cons: 28th May 1847 in B. P. of 7th June idem.

The Plaintiff's right to enjoy the land on more favorable terms than the original Paymash Assessment must therefore depend on that Assessment having been modified by due authority and of this there is no trace. Mr Fallofield's Puttah for fusly 1216 was given under the special circumstances of a bad season, in consequence of which a portion of the ordinary teerwah was remitted for that year only, and there is nothing on the records to shew that Mr Fallofield applied for, or that the

the Board granted a reduction of the original assessment of the Village. The Courts assumed this fact on the evidence of the Curnum without applying to the Collector for accurate information, though that Curnum had an interest in the matter from being related to Wydenada Pilly the receiver of Mr Fallofield's Puttah, and his evidence was very unsatisfactory as he could refer to no written instructions or authority regarding the alleged reduction of assessment but avowedly spoke on hearsay only, and admitted that other ryots in the Village still paid the full original teerwah. Another point worthy of notice is that Varada Pillay continued to pay the full Teerwah to the Mootahdar until the Village reverted to Government in A.D. 1843 / Fusly 1253. and his plea of having so acted during a course of 10 years from his being then a Minor and ignorant of the decree passed by the Civil Judge in his favor in 1831. is shewn by his present age to be inadmissible.

7. It will be observed from the above detail that no reason has been shown why any reduction of teerwah should have been granted on this particular land without being extended to the rest of the

Village

Village, and that the relationship of the Curnum Venkatachella Pilly (now no longer alive) to the Cultivator of Fusly 1216 seems to have been the main influence by which a temporary modification was effected. It is further to be remarked that the decrees of the Courts now enclosed appear to be no bar against the Government enforcing the established Assessment, as the Civil Courts have no power to alter existing rates, and in the Suit which led to the Decrees Government was not a party nor was called upon to state and defend its interest.

†See Order of Govt. in Board's Circular Order Book Page 116.

It seems to me therefore proper that the holder of this land should pay for it the Teerwah which it bears in the public Accounts, and which is the same as is paid by the other land owners in the Village. If the Board concur in this opinion I propose enforcing the full Teerwah for the past Fusly 1262, and entering the previous balance in the Statement of arrears recommended for remission, for although the conduct and character of the defaulter gives him little claim to indulgence, yet as his circumstances are but moderate, and the Assessment of the

the Village is high and is about to undergo revision with the remainder of the District, the Board might not wish to cause distress by enforcing the payment of arrears due for a series of years. If the party should resort to further litigation in an endeavour to evade the full Teerwah due upon his land, the case will then come fairly before the Civil Courts, and an opportunity be presented of showing what the public demand really is.

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry. Cuddalore, 9th August, 1853.... } (Signed) E. Maltby Collector.

No. 1.

Translation.

No. 84 of 1825 on the file of the Chingleput Zillah Court.

Plaintiff	Defendants.
Venkatavurda Pillay inhabitant of Cuddalore.	Visvanada Reddy Sub-division Mottadar of Putcham-coopum in Cuddalore Talook
Chennummaul Mother of the above	residing in Trepapoor.
Vakeel Angamootoo Modelly.	Vakeel Annavier.

The following documents have been filed in the case Viz. Plaint filed by the Plaintiffs Vakeel on the 12th February

February 1825. Answer of the Defendant - Reply and Rejoinder 2 Motions of the Plaintiffs Vakeel for Witnesses and documents and 2 lists. Defendants Vakeels motion for evidence - and list - Plaintiffs documents viz. Cadjan Puttah given by Mr. Fallowfield on the 29th May 1807 Do. given by the late Yzmindar on the 1st Judy of the year Dhatoo. Agreement on stampt paper executed by Kistna Pillay Maniem of Cuddalore to Appoo Pillay father of one of the Plaintiffs Venkatavunda Pillay on the 15th August 1818, regarding the land under dispute and the depositions of the Witnesses on both sides viz. Venkatachella Pillay and Perkatamby - Padiochy; and Plaintiffs Witnesses Kistna Pillay and Govinda Pillay:-

In the Plaint marked No. 1 it is stated that in 1807 when the Village of Pucheancoopum was under Amany Mr. Fallowfield who then administered the affairs of the District granted a Puttah to Curnum Vythenada Pillay for 75 Goolies of his Ryput land called Comaty Collay on a Teerwah of 4 1/2 Pagodas inclusive of Minha, that he accordingly continued to pay Teerwah and enjoy the land during the Circar Amany management, that

that subsequently under the administration of the Mottadars Sadasiva Row Reddy and Sadasiva Reddy a Teerwah of 4 3/8 Pagodas was imposed on the said "Comaty Collay" land consisting of Nunjah and Poonjah fields that while he was enjoying the land measuring Ca. 1 - 2.12 on the above Teerwah it was brought to public sale in 1816 by the Zillah Court on account of a claim brought against him, that Kistna Pillay purchased the land at the auction and sold it immediately to Appoo Pillay father of Venkatavunda Pillay one of the Plaintiffs, that the said Appoo Pillay got a permanent Puttah for it in 1816 from the Mottadar on an annual Teerwah of Pagodas 4 5/16 and was in enjoyment of it up to the year Vishoo after which he died, and that afterwards in the year Chittrabanoo the Defendant who became the Mottadar of the Village on a Subdivision of the Estate by the former Proprietor instead of being satisfied with the assessment fixed in the former Puttahs demanded the Plaintiffs to Pay a higher amount and exacted Teerwah at the rate of Pagodas 9 1/4 for the two years Chittrabanoo and Soobanoo - and it is solicited therefore

that

that out of the 18½ Pagodas collected from the Plaintiffs for the two years, the amount due at the rate of 4 5/16 Pagodas specified in the former Puttahs or Pagodas 8 5/8 being deducted, the remainder Pagodas 9 7/8 or Rupees 3-4-9 may be recovered for them and that a decree be passed binding the Defendant for the future to levy no more than the rate specified in the former Puttahs or Rupees 15-1-6 without violating the provisions of Section IX Regulation XXX of 1802.

In the Answer marked No.5 it is asserted that in the year Chittrabanoo the Moottah of Annavully was placed in the possession of the Defendant by the Circar, that the year Rodrotcaree the last of the 26 years on the average revenue of which the Moottah Peish-Cush was fixed is the standard by which the Moottah is to be managed; that in that particular year the land now in the occupancy of the Plaintiffs was charged Teerwah at the Pymash rate of 11 Pagodas per Cawny and that Collection was accordingly made on the Cultivated portion of the lands or at Pagodas 8 15/16 for 13 As.s of land together with a Poonjah Teerwah

Teerwah of 15/16 Pagoda (total 9¼ Pagodas) that the same course has been followed towards the Plaintiffs from the year Chittrabanoo a Teerwah of 9¼ Pagodas being alone exacted on the above Poonjah and Nunjah lands, and it is contended that the Defendant is under no obligation to reduce the assessment fixed by the Government and that the amount sued for is therefore not due to the Plaintiff.

On a perusal of the Proceedings held in this case:

The Court observe that the Plaintiff sues for the recovery of Rupees 3-4-9 alleged to have been overcollected by the Defendants and for a Decree binding him to adhere to the Teerwah of Rupees 15-1-6 per Annum for the future.

From the evidence of the neutral witnesses marked No.s 19 and 21 and that of the witnesses marked No.s 20 and 22 on behalf of the Plaintiffs, it is established that on the complaint of the Cuddalore ryots to the effect that the assessment fixed on the disputed land and others in the Village of Pitchamcoopum &c.a during Mr.r Garrow's administration was

was too heavy, Mr. Fallowfield obtained orders from the Board of Revenue to reduce it to Pagodas 4 5/10; that the Teerwah during Mr. Garrow's time upon the disputed land had been Pagodas 9 1/4; that subsequently during Mr. Fallowfield's management when Putchamcoopum was under Amany, the then Cultivator of the land Vyedenada Moodelly got a Puttah for it at Pagodas 4 5/10 that afterwards the Village became a Mouttah under Sadaseva Row Reddy and Sadaseva Reddy, that at the Court sale on account of a claim against Vyedenada Moodelly, Kistna Pillay purchased the same and forthwith transferred it in sale to Appoo Pillay father of Vencatavurda Pillay, that both during the Mootahdars' and Mr. Fallowfield's management, the said Appoo Pillay got Puttahs for the disputed land at a Teerwah of Pagodas 4 5/10 and continued to pay accordingly, that even after the deaths of the said Mouttahdars Sadaseva Row Reddy and Sadaseva Reddy, the Estate continued registered in their names but that Durmaseva Reddy

brother

brother of Sadaseva Reddy managed all its affairs signing Puttahs and Moochelikahs in their names; that this state of things continued until the year Vishoo after which Puchayancoopum was separated from the Estate and conferred upon the Defendant, and that in the years Chittrabanoo and Soobanoo he exacted a Teerwah of 9 1/4 Pagodas contrary to the former Puttahs - these circumstances go to prove the Statements made in the Plaint and from the Puttahs marked No. 17 and 18 filed by the Plaintiff, it is shown that the reduced amount of 4 Pagodas and odd is the proper Teerwah - the exaction of 9 1/4 Pagodas a year made by the Defendant therefore, in excess of the rates specified in the Puttahs of Mr. Fallowfield and the subsequent Zemindars, is contrary to sections IX and XI Regulation XXX of 1802 - Moreover in the event of the Defendant wishing to collect such excess Teerwah he ought to give a Puttah mentioning the higher amount and collect it accordingly and no demand can be enforced without such a Puttah in this case no Puttah appears to have been given for the excess.

For the above reasons the Court do not receive the plea made by

by the Defendant as a valid one and decree that the Defendant do pay to Plaintiff the sum of Rupees 34-9-" proved to have been over collected by him as solicited in the Plaint and that in future he continue to levey the mamool Peerwah of Rupees 15-1-6 per annum from the Plaintiff according to the former Puttahs and that he also pay the Costs of Suit hereunder detailed.—

27th July 1825.

/Signed/ Kistnama Charry
Sudr Ameen Pundit
of the Zillah Court of
Chingleput.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector.

No 2.

The Decree of the Register's Court.

The Respondents were Plaintiffs in the Original Suit and sued the Appellant before the Sudr Ameen Pundit to recover the sum of Rupees 34-9-" being the amount of Extra Collection unjustly received for the two years Chittrabanoo and Soobbanoo on 1 32/3 of Nunjah and Poonjah land.—

The Defendant in his answer states that the sum collected by him

during the aforesaid two years, mentioned in the plaint as extra Collection, was no more than part of the Customary Fisti due by the Plaintiff.—

The Vouchers filed by the Plaintiff marked No. 16-17 and 18 prove his right to the land, and also that the sum of Pagodas 4.22-40 is the only Fisti which has been paid for the land in question for many years previous, and consequently the only due which can be justly demanded. The Evidence also which is produced on behalf of the Defendant in no way supports his assertion.—

The Court therefore confirms the Decree of the Sudr Ameen Pundit and orders that the Appellant restore to the Plaintiffs the sum of Rupees 34-9-" the amount of extra Collection made by him during the 2 years Chittrabanoo and Swabanoo or 1824 and 1825.—

/Signed/ S. Crawford.
Register.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. Maltby.
Collector.

No 3.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

No. 3.

Decree of the Zillah Court.

This is a Second or Special Appeal preferred by the Special Appellant who was the Defendant in the Original Suit instituted by the Plaintiffs (now Special Respondents) against him for the recovery of the sum of Rupees 34-9-" being the amount of kists alleged to have been over collected on 13/16 Cawnie of Nunjah and 1/2 Cawnie of Poonjah land situated in the Village of Putheeancoopum in the Province of South Arcot during the years Chittrabhanoo and Soobhanoo.—

The Defendant in his answer declares that the sum in question collected for the years specified was not an extra Collection but merely the fixed teerwah due by the Plaintiffs on their land.—

The Pundit Sudr Ameen in his Decree was of opinion that the Plaintiffs had satisfactorily proved their claim by their Documents and Witnesses and in consequence passed an award in their favor for the sum sued for.—

The Defendant being dissatisfied with

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

with that decision preferred an Appeal which was referred for trial by the Register who confirmed the Decree of the Pundit Sudr Ameen.—

The Defendant (these Appellant) being still dissatisfied preferred this Special Appeal which was admitted by the late Judge on the grounds that "the Case involves questions of a general and important nature" The Court has reviewed and well considered the whole of the Pleadings Documents and Evidences filed in the two lower Courts and Proceeds to Decree this Cause.—

The Plaintiffs filed 3 Documents and examined two Witnesses.—

No Documents were filed by the Defendant and no Witnesses were examined by him but two Witnesses were examened in behalf of both Parties.—

Plaintiffs Documents.		Defendants Document.
No 16.	An Agreement on Stamp Paper dated 15th August 1813.	None.
No 17.	A Pottah bearing date 1st Andee of Dantoo—	
No 18	A Pottah dated 29th May 1807.	

Plaintiffs Witnesses		Defendants Witnesses
1	Kistnen	None.
2	Govind Pillay	

Witnesses for both parties

1. Venkatachella Pillay

2. Parcatumbeepadiachy

No Documents or Evidence was filed in the Appeal Suit.

The Court is of opinion that the witnesses.

witnesses examined in behalf of both parties and to evidence of this description greater importance must be attached satisfactorily prove that the Defendant (now Special Appellant) did over collect the sum sued for from the Plaintiffs (now Special Respondents) which is further corroborated by the testimonies of the Witnesses for the Plaintiffs. It is further proved that in consequence of the assessment having been formerly assumed at too high a rate, a reference was made on the subject to the Board of Revenue who directed a considerable remission to be made the Teerwah was fixed accordingly and the Kists had been collected for several years upon the reduced rates until the Defendant became the Mootadar who not being content with the tax hitherto paid to his predecessors assumed the privilege of collecting from the Plaintiffs the Kists upon the rates which had been formerly fixed to the ruin and impoverishment of the inhabitants and which it had been found necessary to amend. Section VII Regulation XXX of 1802. declares that "Proprietors or Farmers of land shall not "levy any new assessment or tax on the ryots "under any name or under any pretence, "exactions other than those consolidated in

"in the Pottah or otherwise authorized by "Government shall upon proof subject the "Proprietor or Farmer to a Penalty equal to "three times the amount of each exaction" the Court considers that the renewal of the former Assessment by the Defendant without due authority was equivalent to and must be considered in the light of a new imposition or Tax upon the Plaintiffs. The Court for the above reasons confirms the Decrees of the lower Courts and further Decrees that the Special Appellant shall pay the full Costs of Suit as hereunder specified.—

+ + +

(Signed) W. W. Taylor.
Judge.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. Maltby.
Collector.

No. 4.

Translation of
Puttah given by Mr. Fallowfield to Vyedenada Pillay Ryot of Puchyancoopum attached to Treven depoorum Talooki.—

Nunjah Coolies 75	Iyen Pagodas	3 —	33 — 35.
	Minha —	" —	34 — 5.
		4 —	22 — 20

As you have undertaken the

the Izarah for Fusly 1216. from the Circar on these terms, you shall pay the amount according to the instalments making such Cultivation as you choose on the land, and enjoy the produce.—

29th May 1807.

/Signed/

/True Translation/

/Signed/ C. Maltby

Collector.

No. 5.

Translation

Puttah given by Sadaseva Row Reddiar and Sadaseva Reddiar Mottadar of Thondamanattum Pra. to Appoo Pillay on the 1st. Audy of the year Dhantoo.—

Comety Collay situated in the Naval Oday of Puchey ancoopum Subject to the above Moottah.—

Nunjah Cawny	10½	A. Pagodas	3 15/16
Poonjah —— " ——	8	" —— " ——	3/8
Total Poonjah and Nunjah ——	1 — 2½	" —— " ——	4 5/16

The above Amount of 4 5/16 Pagodas was settled for the last fusly 1225. in the names of Vydenada Pillay and Cullaya Pillay and as Kistna Pillay who purchased it at the Court Sale made it over to you and

and as you have taken the Izara for the same from the Current Fusly 1226 from the Cirkar you shall pay the proper Amount from year to year and make such cultivation as you like and enjoy its produce.—

/Signed/ Sadaseva Row Riddiar

/True Translation/

/Signed/ C. Maltby.—

Collector.

30-31

B. No. 1839.

Proceedings thereon.— The Board agree with the Collector that the claim of Venkatawarada Pillay to hold the land on reduced teerwah is unfounded, and for the reasons given in the concluding Para of the Collectors' letter concur in the arrangement proposed— enforcing the full teerwah for Fusly 1262, and entering the previous balance in the Statement of Arrears recommended for remission. The Assessment of the lands of the Village generally will come under consideration in the revision now in progress.—

Read the following letter

No. 83

32

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

B. d. No. 2192. From the Collector of Trichinopoly— Reports on the Petition No. 1507 of 1853 presented.

Sir,

2 Para 1. In reply to your letter of the

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

presented by Moorogasom Pillay late Carkon of Vyalore — Vuttum — * Secundth retirement.

the 11th Instant forwarding to me Petition No. 1507 of 1853, I have the honor to state that my reasons for declining to grant the Petitioner leave of absence to proceed to Madras were the Exigencies of the Public Service not admitting of it.—

2. At the first application in January, The inspection of the land actually cultivated, and the preparation of the accounts of Cultivation in each Vuttum for the annual Settlement were under progress— at the Second application in May, the last instalments of Revenue were due, and every Subordinate was required to be at his post to assist the Tahsildar in his endeavour to realize the outstanding balances.— Added to this reason there was another equally, if not more cogent, for not permitting an absence, namely, the necessity for energy in bringing to a Completion the new road with tunnels leading to Vyalore, and the Construction on the same line of the Coodamoorty Bridge up to the Spring of the Arches.—

3. These were my reasons for refusing leave, and when subsequently this Man wrote me on the 2nd June a further application with a request that if leave was not granted, such application was

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

was to be considered as a tender of resignation of Service, I accepted it accordingly— And I beg respectfully to submit that this was my only course without Compromising my authority— This holding out of a threat of resignation can never be admitted.—

Trichinopoly Collectors Office, 15th August 1853.— } (Signed) J. Bird. Collector.

Bd. No. 1842.

Proceedings thereon. The Board observe

(32-33)

that the occasion for which the Petitioner solicited leave is one of so much importance to a Windoo that it is only for the most cogent reasons that it is ever customary to refuse leave of absence to a public Servant. The period for which the Petitioner requested leave was only Six Weeks, and he offered to

10309

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

to provide a substitute. They also observe that if it was absolutely necessary to decline granting the leave, it would have been but fair and considerate if the Collector had assigned some period to his detention, with reference to which the Petitioner might have made the arrangement for his Marriage. Under this view they cannot but look upon the repeated refusal of leave as a very harsh measure, and with reference to the recent orders of the Honorable Court of Directors,† they feel obliged to express their expectation that the Collector will re-employ the Petitioner on his return to the district, either in his former situation or some other equivalent Office.

† 6th August 1851. Circular 15th January 1852.

J. Read.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

Read the following letter:-

No. 87.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

I have the honor to submit copy of a Special Appeal Petition presented to the Sudder Udalut by Thadchummaul the Plaintiff in O.S. No. 22 of 1849 which was defended at the public Cost under sanction conveyed in the Orders quoted in the Margin. Her application has been admitted and I have been called on to defend the Suit.

The accompanying Answer prepared by the Government Vakeel of the Sudder Udalut Wm. J. B. Norton appears completely to meet all the averments set forth in the Special Appeal Petition and is a better agreement in our favor than that contained in the Decree appealed from.

I am aware that I have deviated from the strict letter of the Circular order 17th May 1827 which requires that Answers should be prepared by the Officer against whom the Suit be brought but I trust that my reasons for

2279 Collector
Submit copy of a Special Appeal Petition presented to the Sudder Udalut by Thadchummaul the Plaintiff in O.S. No. 22 of 1849 which was defended at the public Cost under sanction conveyed in the Orders quoted in the Margin. Her application has been admitted and I have been called on to defend the Suit.
The accompanying Answer prepared by the Government Vakeel of the Sudder Udalut Wm. J. B. Norton appears completely to meet all the averments set forth in the Special Appeal Petition and is a better agreement in our favor than that contained in the Decree appealed from.
I am aware that I have deviated from the strict letter of the Circular order 17th May 1827 which requires that Answers should be prepared by the Officer against whom the Suit be brought but I trust that my reasons for

of the Sub Court of Madura Government Sanction 6th July 1849 Do. Do. 28th September 1853

the Plaintiff in O.S. No. 22 of 1829 and of an Answer prepared by the Government Vakeel of the Sudder Udalut and stating reasons for his departing from the letter of the order of 17th May 1827 and recommending that the course pursued in this case may be sanctioned in all cases of Appeal to the Sudder Udalut.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853

for departing from the letter of the order may meet with the approval of the Board and that the course pursued in this case may be sanctioned in all cases of appeal to the Sudder Udalut so long as the interests of the Government in the Sudder Courts may be committed to the able hands in which they are now placed.

5. At the time the Circular Order was issued the state of the Courts and the nature of the pleadings were widely different from what they are now especially of the Court of Sudder Udalut and of the pleadings in Special Appeal Suits which can now only be admitted on questions of Law and practice. Our grounds and as the pleadings are necessarily confined to the case as it appears on the records of the original Suit where all the facts have been fully developed it seemed to me that an able Barister filling the post of Mr Norton proposed superior advantages and was better able to guard the interests of Government involved in such suits than an unprofessional Officer whose thoughts and time were much occupied in other matters

Madura 22d August 1853

Signed J. Clarke
Acting Collector
To.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853

No 1.

To The Judges of the Sudder Udalut Court

The respectful Petition of Vasheemmall Plaintiff in O.S. No 22 of 1849 before the Sub Court and Respondent in Appeal Suit No 89 of 1851 of the files of the Civil Court of Madura by her pleader James Dunbar Long Esqre

Sheweth. That your Petitioner prays the admission of a Special Appeal from the Decree of the Civil Court of Madura on the grounds hereunder set forth.

Your Petitioner in the Original Suit sued the Collector of Madura for a certain sum Sicca Rupees 2375-8-4 being arrears of the Emoluments of the Offices of Hereditary Curnatore Sheristaram in which she claimed a right of succeeding her husband the late Huckadar both in her own behalf and for her adopted under the directions of her said late husband. The simple question involved in the claim was whether or not the Office of the said Huckadar was an hereditary one. The Subordinate Judge considered

considered the right of succession in heir
ships to be sufficiently established and
decreed accordingly but as during the per
riod for which the Arrears were claimed
another person had been performing
the executive duties of Tuckdar he only
awarded to him one half of the sum
claimed. This decree was reversed in
appeal by the Civil Judge who pronounc
ed it to be clear, that in 1802 the then
Government whether wrongly or other
wise Challenged and denied any such
hereditary rights as existing in such
Offices declaring through its functionaries
that it did not regard or recognize the
Chuttrum Estates as private property appr
plicable to the uses of the Tuckdars
professions who were but entrusted for the
assumed and exercised a controlling and
Superintending power to the extent of
entirely remodelling the system of their
management & appointing such and such
Servants among whom the Superintend
ent is ranked on fixed Salaries and
allowances and liable to dismissal for
Misconduct or neglect of duty such a
course is very similar and analogous to
what the English law would call a Writ
ceding on a 2d Warrant. The Plaintiffs
husband in common with others was
Challenged as an usurper to shew by what
authority he could support any hereditary
title and whether he answered the Challenge
and submitted to the Challengers own
Judgment against him after a Sporting
Such

"Such rights and producing his evidence
"or whether not being ready he tacitly
"acquiesced in such assertion and its con
"sequences is immaterial for he did
"acquiesce and in either case his heirs
"after a lapse of 50 years cannot be al
"lowed to receive such pretensions. The
"Civil Judge then further observed By
"Regulation VII of 1817 the Government
"declared by written law what it had
"long asserted by its practice Viz. that
"it was its duty to provide for the proper
"exercise of all such trust. In the case
"of that in which the Respondents hus
"band was concerned this had been
already done he was appointed a Chuttrum
"paid Superintendent of a Chuttrum
"nominated and appointed thereto by
"the Officers of Government liable by the
"terms of his service to dismissal for
"misconduct and holding no guarantee
"or stipulation whatever that on his
"death or dismissal the Office should
"descend to his heirs beyond such expec
"tations or hopes as might have been then
"created and since strengthened by
"observing the preference given on vacan
"cies occurring to the heirs of deceased
"incumbents. In such position the
"Regulation found and naturally em
"braced him as a Superintendent no
"minated by Government, as such
"he died and the Board of Revenue and

their

"their local Agent acting under the
"rules prescribed to them by Section
"XI, XII and XIII being of opinion that
"neither the Respondent nor her allege
"Minor Son were parties competent to
"the due discharge of the trust nomi=
=nated a party whom they considered
"to be so qualified and were in the
"opinion of the Civil Judge justified in
"so doing and he therefore reverses the
"original Decree dismissed the claim
"of the Respondent and directs that
"she pay all Costs in original and Appeal
The first grand objection which your
petitioner proposes to the above Judge
=ment is that a state of facts is
even advanced in the evidence - The
document filed by the Original defend=
=ant are recited in the Decree of the Sub
Court and your Honorable Court will at
once see that the Civil Judge could
have had no evidence before him for de=
=termining that the Government either
in 1802 or at any other time challenged
or denied the hereditary rights to Huck=
=dership Even if Mr. Hurdis had in
1802 written to Government in a
strain impeaching the hereditary
right to the Office this could in
no way affect the reality and
validity

validity of such right - It would be necessary
to shew that the Huckdar was a party to
any arrangement arising out of such impeach=
=ment of right and admitting its justice.
But Mr. Hurdis went no such length in
his report to Government. He wrote of abuses
of their office by Huckdars and doubtless did
not as the Civil Judge expresses it record or
recognize the Chattram Estates as private property
applicable to the uses of the Huckdars in
profession. But such privilege your Peti=
=tioner has never claimed - It is alto=gether
beside the sole question at issue which
has and can have nothing to do with the
Chattram Estates as a property - It is the right
of distributing the income of these which is claimed
to be an hereditary Office and it belonged
to the Defendant to shew that in dispite of
the invariable practice of heirs succeeding to
it, it did not wear this character and the Collector
of Madura could not pretend that the opportu=
=tunity of doing this was not afforded to him
for he was specially warned to bring proof to
the very present in the Sub: Courts Diary order
of the 26th June 1851.

This same Diary order went
however

however even further than this. The Civil Judge has proceeded to observe that when the Govern-ment assumed in 1802 a controlling power over the Chattrums to the extent of entirely remodel-ling the system of their management, it re-appointed and such servants among whom the Superintendent is ranked on fixed sala-ries and liable to dismissal for mis-con-duct or neglect of duty, a position which your Petitioner entirely denies. She says that no Huckdar or Superintendent was appoint-ed and that none such was liable to dis-missal and she fearlessly appeals in proof of the wants of foundation for the Courts remark to the fact that when the aforequoted Diary order of the 26th June 1851 requested the production of "Copy of the "order, if such there be, by virtue of which "Plaintiffs deceased husband held his "Office together with copy of the registry in "which that appointment with others of similar "nature may be entered" the Defendant was wholly unable to produce any thing of the kind. It became therefore a just and a legal assumption that no such appointment by

by the Government ever took place and the Statement of the reverse by the Civil Court stamps therefore its decree with illegality.

In reference to the allusion made to Regulation VII of 1817 your Petitioner feels it only necessary to remark that whole Mr Hurdis's ipse dixit in 1802 even if utter-ed could not determine legal rights so neither could this regulation alter such as were pre-existing and it did not attempt or pretend to do so. It vested a controlling power over religious and Charitable endowments of the Board of Revenue but at the same time it must be taken by its 10th and 11th Sections to have specially provided for hereditary and other rights of superintendence being preserved in tact.

Your Petitioner next objects that in reciting the pleadings in appeal the Civil Court takes no notice of an argu-ment of your Petitioner which she humbly conceives to have much force and which has thus apparently been passed without considera-tion, she asks leave to transcribe the passage herein extenso from the appeal answer. "The "Office of Huckdar has its origin and foundation as

"as is well known in Dharum, the most solemn
"and sacred mode of giving a right and
"title to property among Hindoos. When a person
"builds a Chuttrum, he naturally makes a
"provision for its due support which is gene-
"-rally in Villages or Lands and he gives those
"Villages or Lands in Dharum to some individu-
"-al, invariably a Bramin, puts him in possession
"of them, and appoints him to the charge of the
"Chuttrum and the due appropreation of the in-
"-come from such Villages or Lands. To say
"that such office so originating and founded
"is not hereditary will be destroying the valu
"of the ceremony of Dharum and unsettling
"a very large portion of the property of the
"Country, which depends upon that title alone.
"The practice of the Company in regard to the
"few Chuttrums they have built or indeed in-
"-duced individuals to build is not unsimilar. The
"lands they give in support of such Chuttrums
"are put in the name of the individual
"appointed to the charge of the same and are
"given over to him and on his death both ap
"-pointment and endowment go to his son and
"heir. This argument was fortified by a quo
"-tation from Stranges Elements of Hindoo
"Law" and by a reference to officials
papers and as exhibiting an established

established and notorious usage of the Country
and standing thus in contrast to the Civil
Court's assumption of facts respecting the
proceedings of 1802 - your Petitioner ven-
-tures now to press it particularly upon
the attention of this Honorable Court.

It has been at the out-
-set observed that the question at issue
and solely at issue in this case was whe-
-ther succession to the office of Huck-
-dar or Superintendent of a Chuttrum
(of Coronation) was or not an hereditary
right. It is not denied that for half
a century that is since the British
assumption of the Country, the following
positive facts are exhibited. 1st that al-
-though at the commencement the Govern-
-ment authority found the Huckdars
to have grossly abused the opportunities
of their offices and as a matter of course
to have merited dismissal from them a
dismissal that would doubtless have been
carried out by any authority possessing the

powers

powers of such deprivation, it nevertheless continued them in the offices of distributions of the incomes of the Chuttrums 2nd that during the whole period succession to the office of Huckdar has invariably passed to the heir of the last holder 3rd that in no case whatever has such succession been disputed, or the descent of the office been ruled not to proceed by inheritance 4th that precedent shews that widows succeeding conduct the duties of the office by a Deputy or Goomastah 5th that in your Petitioners case she on her husbands death awarded to her by the Collector while he refused to allow her to succeed to the Huckdarship one half of the salary of the office, an alienation which it is submitted, he would not have made and could not be competent to make, unless your Petitioner had some vested interest in the office, 6th that in a Razeenamah in a Judicial suit a portion of your Petitioners husbands emoluments of office was assigned away with the sanction of the Collector and 7th that in no single instance save on the present occasion has

has the ruling authority ever attempted, or even advanced a claim to interfere with the inheritance of the post. To overturn all this prima facie evidence of an heritable title it was surely necessary for the party claiming to overrule it to produce some evidence to prove that in dispute of usage and practise the right of inheritance did in fact not exist, that by some formal act it had been legally surrendered. none such however is to be found in any part of the case of the Defendant, simply of the evidence that was as indispensably requisite and so emphatically called for by the sub: Court, and in the circumstance of the Civil Judge following this and making it's pretension of facts the foundation of a Decree, upon what should be proved merits your Petitioner submits that ample legal ground is presented for admitting a special appeal from so imperfect a decision.

Your Petitioner would further object that when in a case upon which usage must have so great an influence it was obviously expedient for the ends of justice that

Fort St George 1st September 1853

that all official papers bearing on the question should be brought into the record, the Civil Judge has apparently overlooked all those additional Documents which were adverted to in her appeal pleadings and which as there stated had newly come to her knowledge.-

For all of which above recited reasons your Petitioner now prays at the hands of this Court the admission of a special appeal and that she may be allowed to plead the same as a Pauper.

And your Petitioner as in duty bound will ever humbly pray.-

Madura. - - -
27th October 1852.

(Signed) James Cuchterlony
Pleader.

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. Clark
Acting Collector.

J.C.

Fort St George 1st September 1853.

No 2

Respectfully Sheweth.-

That the appellant is under an error in stating that the action is brought in behalf of her adopted son as well as of herself for on a reference to the Original plaint it will be found that she is suing wholly and solely for her own alledged right, both as to the claim for arrears and as to the declaration to be made with respect to her hereditary rights.-

Your Petitioner refers to this as a matter of no small importance, for granting for the sake of argument that she could succeed in shewing the office to be hereditary in its nature, it is apparent that she never could obtain a decree in this suit because the moment the fact of an adoption is shewn the hereditary right must rest in the adopted son and all rights of the widow are thereby ipso facto cut away from her- neither could the adopted son obtain a decree in this suit inasmuch as he is no party to it, the Plaintiff not suing on his behalf as an infant although she describes herself as her guardian.-

On

3 On this ground alone the decision of the Subordinate Court decreeing the right on the adopted son must be set aside were there no other grounds for maintaining the decree now appealed from.—

3 Your Petitioner submits that if sufficient can be found upon the Record to maintain a Decree substantially, it will not be set aside because the Judge passing it may have over stated his inferences & conclusions in respect to one or more matters passing under his consideration

4 In this case the Plff founds her right originally upon a Copper Plate deed of Gift from one of the former rulers of the Carnatic previous to the assumption of the territory by the present Government.—

5 This deed has been pronounced by both the lower Courts a forgery-most expressly so by the Court of Original Jurisdiction, and implicitly so by the Civil Judge who however leaving his Judgement upon another point passed this by as comparatively immaterial.—

It

6 It is however of great importance in as much as it entirely cuts from under the Plaintiff all title other than that which has accrued to her late husband under the acts of Mr Hurdis the Collector of this District.—

7 The Subordinate Judge however considered that such acts gave the office an hereditary character and therefore decreed in favor of the Plaintiff and her son (who were no party to the suit).—

8 The Civil Judge revised this Judgment because he considered that so far back as 1802 the then Collector had exercised an authority totally opposed to any claim of hereditary right in which the Plaintiffs husband had acquiesced up to the time of his death in 1840-that such acts consisted in appointing servants to manage the Chuttrums, fixing their salaries and allowances, and declaring them liable to dismissal for misconduct or neglect of duty.—

9 The appellant says there is no evidence to support this statement generally and especially with respect to her

her husband and the Chuttrum in question.—

10. Your petitioner respectfully points to the report of Mr. Hudis as quoted in the Decision of the Sub. Judge which is conclusive as to the general question, and in reply to the particular objection your Petitioner refers to the Original Plaint itself which in the 2d Para expressly relies upon the appointment of her husband at that date upon a fixed salary entered in the Tusdeek. In other portions also of her Pleadings she relies upon the same event.—

11 It would therefore as your Petitioner respectfully submits have been unnecessary for him to have adduced any proof in support of a fact thus admitted by the Plaintiff in the face of her own pleadings had he thought it expedient to have pursued such a course: but in truth he adduced express evidence of the fact before the Subordinate Court by producing and filing (Document № 11) the very Tusdeck referred to by the Plaintiff and extracted from by the Subordinate Court into judgment.

12 In this Tusdeck as the Sub Court observes the Plaintiffs husband is not entered

entered as Proprietor but Superintendent and further that he with others similarly circumstanced are liable to dismissal for misconduct.—

13 It is certain that thence up to 1840 the Plaintiffs husband received without opposition the salary of 10 pons and certain rice allowance allowed to him by the Collector without any objection.—

14 It is submitted that this long continued acquiescence by the party through whom the Plaintiffs claims in the orders of the Collector without any appeal therefrom must be conclusive of the whole question unless any thing can be deduced from the Tusdeck in question warranting the assumption that the Office was then made hereditary.—

15 All ground for relying on any former grant is out of the question since the Courts below have found the fact that the Copper deed on which she relies is a forgery, but all that can be gathered from the acts of the Collector and the Tusdeck are entirely opposed to the supposition of the office being then invested with any hereditary character.

The

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

The term used to designate the office of the Plaintiffs husband is only equivalent to superintendent, not as she afsert Proprietor; and implies no hereditary quality. The Collector fixing a salary and declaring the incumbent liable to removal for misconduct in the one hand and his receiving the salary fixed on the other are equally inconsistent with his assertions of any hereditary right either previously existing in him or them for the first time created.—

16 With reference to the remarks contained in the appellants appeal touching Regulation VII of 1817 your Petitioner submits that if the Office of Appellants husband was not in its origin hereditary certainly there is nothing in that Regulation which could make it so: and that upon his death the Board of Revenue acting through its agent was the proper authority to act in the matter of appointing a successor in the manner shewn by the Records.—

17 That it is not incumbent upon the Court below to notice every argument urged by the parties in appeal; and that although no express notice may appear of such argument on the face of the Civil Courts Decree it is not therefore

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

therefore to be presumed that the Judge has not given it all the consideration to which it was entitled, and that the omission of a Court to notice on the face of its Decree every particular argument urged before it can never form a ground of appeal against such judgement, and especially with reference to this particular argument that the office of Huckdar had its origin in Dhaumm could in no way affect the Judgment of the Court in as much as the Court had found the fact that the Office was not hereditary in its origin supposing it to have dated from 1802 and that the alleged Dhaumm was a positive forgery.—

18 Although this Government may have continued the parties it found in possession yet it disavowed any claim to hereditary and incontrolable authority in such posfessors and acted in a manner diametrically opposed to such a pumption by fixing their salaries and declaring them liable to removal for misconduct

W.— That

19 That in the present case there has been no succession since 1802 until the claim of the present Plaintiff when the Government exercising its authority as stated in the Record and that its under Regulation VII of 1817 interfered not having done so in other cases wherein it may not have been expedient or necessary to interfere can form no bar to or argument against its right in any particular case in which it may think proper to exercise it.—

20 That widows are not entitled to succeed and that no instance can be addduced in the District in which this action arose of any such practice.—

21 That the benevolence of the Collector in awarding the Plaintiff maintenance on the death of her husband, along the superintendent of the Chuttrum, cannot reasonably be twisted into any admission on his part of her right and that on the contrary by appointing a third party

party to condnct the affairs of the Chuttrum he at the same time expresly negatived such right.—

22 That the Respondent can be in no way bound or affected by the proceedings in a suit between the Plaintiffs husband and a third party to which Respondents pre decessor was no party and which appears to have been compromised by a Razeenamah between the parties.—

24 That the Plaintiff asserting her right and title the onus probandi was necessarily upon her, that as she has not been able to make out her case and decree necessarily passed against her even supposing that your Petitioner had addduced no evidence in support of his Defence but that it is an error to assert that he produced none with the admissions on the Plaintiffs pleadings and the Records which he has

Fort St. George 1st September 1853

has filed in this case—
upon all these grounds
therefore your Petitioner
respectfully submits to the
Honorable Court that there is
ample on the Record
whereon to sustain the
Judgment of the Civil Court
that under no circumstance
could a decree be given in favor
of this Plaintiff and your
Petitioner therefore prays this
Honorable Court to dismiss the
Petition and uphold the Decree ap
pealed from and your Petitioner
prays to be heard orally by
his Counsel—

23d July 1853

/Signed/ J. B. Norton

/True Copy/

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

Bd No. 1826.
34-35
Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of Madura forwarding Copy of a special appeal Petition presented to the Sudder Udalut by Shasha Ummall respondent in Appeal Suit No. 89 of 1851 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura and draft of an Answer proposed to be filed in reply to the same and recommending that Sanction be granted for filing the same and for conducting the Appeal Suit at the public expense.

No. 390.

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Pro. to Govt.	25th June 1849
Ditto	11th September 1851.
Ditto	9th September 1852.

2. The papers noted in the Margin which have been already laid before Government fully detail the circumstances under which this action originated and with the Proceedings of the 9th September 1852, the Board submitted a copy of the Decree of the Civil Judge of Madura reversing the decision of the Subordinate Judge in O.S. No. 22 of 1849 which awarded the Plaintiff Shasha Ummall the widow of the late Huckidar of the Coonatoor Chuttrum, a Moiety of the Salary attached to the Office, and directed that all Costs be borne by the Collector who was defendant in the said Suit.

3. Against this decree of the Civil Court a Special Appeal has been preferred to the Sudder Udalut praying for a reversal of the Judgment and therefore a confirmation of the original award of the Subordinate Court.

4. The Special Appellant has endeavoured to refute the arguments on which the decree of the Civil Court is based but

the

the grounds set forth by her, appear to the Board to have been fully met in the draft answer submitted by the Acting Collector which has been prepared under his instructions by the Government Pleader of the Sudder Adalut. They would therefore recommend that the sanction of Government may be granted for filing the same and conducting the Appeal Suit at the public expense.

5. The reasons alleged by the Acting Collector in paras 4 and 5 of his letter for deviating in the present instance from the strict letter of the Circular Order 17th May 1827 appear satisfactory, but for the future his attention will be called to the views of Government as expressed in

In Con. 28th February 1853. Extract Minutes of Consultation 14th February 1853.

No. 88.

(36)

To, W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.-

B. of No. 2312
From the Acting Collector of Madura.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 25th Ultimo, I have the honor to report that the China Cotton Gins received with Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 14th June 1852 have not been approved by the Natives of this District who prefer

prefer the Native cleaning Machine already in use among them as more simple in structure, more effective in working and cheaper than the China one.-

2. The Machine as soon as received was exhibited to many Natives in Madura and circulated in the Cotton growing Villages of this part of the District and in the Sub Division. I myself exhibited and explained to the people it's structure and way of working it and was always told that if the expence of making and the impossibility of repairing it had not proved effectual bars to it's general introduction the difficulty in working it and the small quantity of Cotton cleaned by it as compared with the common country Gin rendered them averse to adopt it.-

3. Mr. Fischer the Moottadar of Salem also examined the Machine and made some alterations in it to adopt it to the use of the natives of this part of the country. He has kindly favored me with a report of the success attending his effort to introduce it among the Cotton growers employed by him with his own opinion on the Machine itself. I do myself the honor of forwarding a copy of his communication for the information of Government.-

Madura, - - - -
25th August, 1853.

(Signed) J. Clarke
Ag. Collector.

To

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

No. 1.

To. T. Clarke Esquire
Acting Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt yesterday of your letter of the 6th Instant.-

I had a "China Cotton Gin" very correctly made after the Muster of the one sent to the Madura Cutcherry, and after wards improved it by enabling the party working it to sit down and have given it a very fair trial, but it is condemned for the following reasons; that it is so expensive, costing 8 or 10 times as much as the common country Gin, requiring 2 people to work it and not doingly any means twice the quantity of work, and that it is not so easily repaired or adjusted as the country Gin when it gets out of order and for these reasons it has been Condemned and given up.-

+ This Gin Costme Rs. 4-8 - Made in my own Store; whereas a good country Gin can be bought for half a Rupee.

Salem, - - - - -
15th August, 1853.

(Signed) G. F. Fischer
(True Copy)
(Signed) T. Clarke
Ag Collector.-

(36-37)

Order thereon.-

Ordered to lie over.

(38)

Read the following letters-

To. W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

No. 81.

Sir,

In reply to Mr. Cunliff's letter of the 7th Instant

B. of R. No. 1888.
From the Collector of Malabar.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.-

Instant, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the average price of salt, as given in my Comparative Statement dated 30th April last, is correct, but as the explanation given in the Column of Remarks with regard to the causes of the difference, was not sufficiently clear I beg to transmit a revised Statement.-

Calicut - -
13th July - -
1853.-

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Comparative

What General Cullen states is very true - a great deal of smuggling does go in the way he represents it —

The Smuggling of Pepper was brought to the notice of Government by General Cullen in 1850 —

The neglect of the Travancore Government to pay for or take the Pepper off the hands of their Ryots for three or four years back, was assigned as a reason —

5. The Government were of opinion in the 3d Para of Minutes of Consultation dated the 9th January 1852 that "the explanation of the Collector of "Malabar regarding the Smuggling of "Travancore Pepper was satisfactory in as "much as it appeared that every thing had "been done and would be done by the "Cochin British Authorities, to aid the "Officers of Travancore and Cochin in "repressing the contraband trade; but "under the description given both by the "Resident and the Collector, of the "manner in which it is carried on

the

"the Government apprehended it was abso-
"lutely impracticable to ensure perfect
"success" —

6 I have no reason to suppose that the local Authorities at Cochin, have been supine in obeying my repeated orders to afford whatever legal aid was in their power subsequent to this period —

But as the Government surmised there is really little they can do in aid — The Pepper smugglers as the Resident himself observed to me "come in at night - well armed" They come as I heard when in Cochin the other day, in swift pulling boats with people whose business it is to fight with slings and other weapons in case they are molested. Of Course no notice is given of their approach - They hold off in the broad stream till assured the Coast is clear and then make their run to one or other of the private Warehouses of the receivers, many of which are situated on the very river bank - The property

In a letter of 27th April 1850

once

once housed cannot as I mentioned in my letter of the 7th. August 1851 be legally interfered with subsequently on the presumption however strong that may be, that it was smuggled"—

7. On the 6th. April last the Resident wrote me the following letter—

"The reports universally made to me by persons of the highest respectability, and with opportunities of acquiring information, of the enormous extent to which smuggling of Pepper is carried in the Company's Town of Cochin, induces me to bring the subject to your notice. It is confidently asserted that Travancore Pepper to the extent of 1500 to 1800 Candies, and valued at nearly one lac of Rupees has been smuggled into Cochin within the last few Months."—

"I hope you will be so good as to direct my being furnished with a Statement of the quantity of Pepper which may have been shipped in the year 1852, and in 1853, up to the present date specifying

"specifying the Ships and the quantity "on each"—

"It has also been stated to "me that whereas there was formerly "an order in force at Cochin requiring "Certificates that such Pepper did not "belong to Travancore very recently an "order has been issued removing all "obstruction to its import as well as "prohibiting all search for it as a smuggled "article and that such order has been "published at Tangacherry and at "Anjengo"

"May I beg the favor of you "informing me of the nature of the order "in question and whether there did not "formerly exist a prohibitory order "requiring Certificates that the Pepper "was not smuggled"—

On the 16th May 1853 In reply I informed General Cullen that "I cannot find on the records "of my Office any order to this effect "much less have I "very recently" issued "any instructions removing all obstructions "to its import as well as prohibiting all

"all search for it as a Smuggled article"

"It seems doubtful to me whether a prohibitory order of the nature adverted to could be issued by any lower authority than that of Government That it would be one of the best means of checking the abuse I have little doubt and would suggest the expediency of your attempting to obtain it before the opening of the next season"

"In the mean time I can only reiterate the assurance I have given before that I will readily give all the aid that I feel authorized to do, when occasion offers—That my professions of such aid are real will be evinced by the large capture of Tobacco & odd Candies which was lately on the point of being Smuggled into the Cochin State from British Cochin."

8 The latter part of this letter is applicable, it will be seen, to the Complaint preferred by General Cullen that Cochin is made a Depôt for smuggling Tobacco

Tobacco into the Cochin and Travancore Territories where the Monopoly in that article is still kept up—

The Complaint is well grounded but how an efficient remedy is to be found short of the abolition by those States of their Monopoly I do not see—

I cannot prevent a Merchant from keeping any quantity of a legal Article / such as Tobacco of every sort is now in our domains / he may please—whatever I may suspect as to his reasons.—

The Contraband trade is not encouraged by us on the contrary, as I have shewn in the letter just quoted we do all we can to repress it—but thoroughly to repress so gainful and easy a traffic by any Government orders is I believe impossible.—

9 The Resident in the 5th and 6th Para of his letter to Government animadverts on my having in a letter to the Board of the 5th March 1851—

complained

complained of a loss of Revenue of 15,000 Rupees from the Cochin Circar's Port of Mallia poor—

General Cullen observes that I have greatly over-rated the loss, as the Mallia poor Custom House books shew clearly that the loss could not have exceeded 4,000 Rs—

10 I made no mention of any particular loss in the letter referred to by General Cullen—

Para 4 In my letter to the Board of Revenue of the 20th December 1851, I observed that it was impracticable to ascertain the extent of the irregular trade carried on between the Native States of Travancore and Cochin, and this District (Malabar) but I thought it would be within the mark if the loss of Revenue were estimated at 15,000 Rupees a year evidently alluding to all the Ports in the Travancore and Cochin States (Alleppy &c.) and not only to

to Mallia poor.

The Resident who speaks in his 6th Para of having at once suggested an assimilation of duties (between Mallia poor and Cochin) on the ground that the Circars were not warranted in now establishing without the concurrence of Government a scale of duties manifestly to the prejudice of the neighbouring Port of Cochin, loses sight of the fact that I have been remonstrating on this point for twelve years past—

The traffic rates at Cochin complained of in General Cullen's letter, Para 9, were fixed under the sanction of Government of 5th July 1842 in view to enable us to compete with the rates of the Port of Mallia poor—They may now be remodified and I will take measures to that effect—

See letter to the Board dated 3d September 1841 From Dr. dated 18th July 1842

Calicut, 25th July 1853 } (Signed) H V Conolly Collector—

T.R. (40-41) B.2 No 1854.

Proceedings thereon Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to

Submitting the information of Government a letter from

10349

from the Collector of Malabar affording explanation on the representations made by the Resident of Travancore and Cochin on the subject of the loss to which those states are subjected from the smuggling of Tobacco and Pepper afforded by British Cochin—

1st Jan. Cons. 28th Oct. 1851 No. 1144
2d Jan. Cons. 14th Nov. 1851 No. 1
1st Jan. Cons. 1st Dec. 1852 No. 1

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

To Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 18th June 1853.

2. The Board observe that the subject has already been before Government in the correspondence quoted in the Margin.—

3. Mr. Conolly again admits that a great deal of smuggling is carried on in the way represented by General Cullen, He states however that it is not encouraged in any way by the British authorities, and that every aid is afforded to Travancore and Cochin to suppress the Contraband Trade which from its profitable returns and the natural features of the Country assisting it, cannot altogether be put down—The Board think that it is for those Governments to protect their own revenue making use of such assistance as the Collector may be able to afford—When the Tobacco Monopoly existed in Malabar and Canara it was found impossible to suppress smuggling into our own Territories but now that the Monopoly has been abolished by the British Government, there are strong inducements and additional facilities for smuggling from Malabar into Cochin and Travancore—

4. In paras 9 and 10 Mr. Conolly corrects an error into which General Cullen has been led in saying that Mr. Conolly complained of a loss of Revenue of 15000 Rupees from the Cochin Circar Port of Malliapooram—This amount it will be seen was estimated by the Collector as the loss of Revenue upon imports from all the Ports in Cochin and Travancore, and not from Malliapooram alone—

5. Mr. Conolly proposes taking measures for Modifying the Tariff rates at Cochin, This subject will therefore receive a separate attention—

AR.

10350.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853—

No. 103
1853.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

(42)

B. No. 2164.

From the Collector of Malabar—

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 1st Instant, I have the honor to transmit a Tabular Statement in the prescribed form for the alteration made in the authorized Mogen Gabetah for the Salt Establishment at Tanore and Cannanore—

Collectors Office,
Calicut, 10th August 1853.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector—

B. No. 1822—

Proceedings thereon—

(42-43)

page 528—

As the Tabular Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter is not in accordance with the form prescribed in the Boards Circular Order of 20th June 1850, they resolve to return it, and to request that the Collector will be good enough, with reference to their Proceedings of 20th August 1853 to forward a single Statement for the alterations in the Salt Department, alluded to in the present letter, and those for the armed Police at Wynaad referred to in the above Proceedings—

No. 107
1853.

(44)

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

B. No. 2263.

From the Collector of Malabar—

Sir.

With reference to the Extract from

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th. Ultimo, I have the honor to retransmit the Sections of Mr. Commissioner Graemes Report dated 14th. January 1822.

6.

Calicut, 15th. August, 1853.

/ Signed / H. J. Conolly
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

(44-45)

No. 110
1853.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(46)

B. No. 2266.

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

Adverting to the Orders of Government which accompanied the Boards Proceedings dated the 9th. May last, requiring a report of the reductions which have been effected in the armed Police, or other Establishments in consequence of the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly, I have the honor to state, that the preventive Establishment which was entertained in this District at an expense of Rupees 550-9-1, per Irenseem, was discontinued on the 1st. January 1853, with the exception of two Peons which under the circumstances detailed in my letter to the Board of the 17th. December 1852. No. 149 A. I found absolutely necessary to keep up for the protection of travellers between Calingode and Podachy.

Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 19th. April 1853. No. 224.

Reporting the discontinuance of the preventive Establishment of this District in consequence of the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly and proposing for reasons assigned certain additions to the Palghaut and Temalpooram Establishments.

Para 11.

2. The present Establishment of Revenue and

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

and Police Peons in the Palghaut and Temalpooram Talooks, was fixed in 1821, when not only the Tobacco, but the Sayer Establishment was in existence.

3. The position of these Establishments scattered along the wild frontier was of great service to the police which they aided directly whenever necessary. I do not think that now that this aid is at end, the duties of the two Talooks in question can be properly carried on without some addition to the number of their regular Peons.

4. The present number of Peons (Revenue and Police) is as -

Palghaut

2 Duffadars - 14 -
36 Peons - 136 -
150 " "

In Temalpooram -

2 Duffadars - 14 -
31 Peons - 117-8 "
131-8 "

Total Rupees 281-8 "

Distance from Palghaut to Calicut — Miles 87.
Temalpooram to Dillo — 97.

Many of these have to be constantly absent for several days, from their respective Stations in escorting Treasure and Prisoners to Calicut, the consequence is that much inconvenience is experienced in the due fulfilment of the duties appertaining to both the Departments

especially

10353. Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

especially police.

5. Under these circumstances I would beg to propose the employment of the following additional Establishment.

2 Duffadars at 7 Rs. each — 14.
12 Peons at —— 4 Rs. Do. — 48.
Total Rupees 62

If the Board should be pleased to approve of this measure, I shall submit the usual Tabular Statement for transmission to Government.

Calicut, 20th August, 1853. } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector.

No. 1.

Erratum

In letter from the Collector of Malabar to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue No. 110 dated 20th August 1853 para 1st for the words "at an expense of Rupees 550—9—1 per annum" read "at an expense of Rupees 550—9—1 per mensem."

Malabar, Collector's Office Calicut, 22d August 1853. } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read.

(46-47)

10354. Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

No. 114.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(48)

B. No. 2289. From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

1. The Civil Engineer having furnished me with a diary from the Overseer on the Trunk Road No. 5 containing certain criticisms on parts of it, I forwarded a copy to the Sub Collector with a request that he would obtain as needful explanations from Tahsildars and others and favor me with the result.

2. In reply to this simple request Mr. Chalfield has written a letter, in which he has chosen to make the following Statement.

"These defects were noticed by "me in my report on this road. They are "instances of Cheating, or neglect or omis- "sion, but the time is gone by for prov- "ing when, or by whom. I believe some- "thing about half the assumed expen- "diture for the last three years / Viz. 33000 "Rupees / might be accounted for, the re- "moiety has gone I believe rather in lining

pockets

"pockets than in installing the road e. g.
"some 15 roughstones for a small drain
"are charged 27 Rupees and 8 kurb stone
"stones for Poly Bridge are charged 32 Rupees
"whereas I should fancy a quarter of the sum
"would be more correct - I adduce these as
"merely specimens of egregious charges - The
"fraud I believe to have been practised on
"the road itself cannot be proved, and it
"would be invidious and useless now to
"investigate them."

3. I appeal to the Board to say whether Mr. Chatfield's conduct is allowable and whether the character of an absent fellow Civilian should be hinted away in this manner -

4. That Mr. Robinson if he had the opportunity would be able to answer fully Mr. Chatfield's charges or insinuations rather - I have no doubt as he has not the opportunity I hold it to be my duty to answer for him -

I do so with confidence -

I assert that all the Government funds allowed for the Trunk road under Mr. Robinson's management were laid out with strict care and economy and that the assertion that about half or more

All the money laid out in the time allotted to by Mr. Chatfield was laid out under Mr. Robinsons orders. See Mr. Sanderman's letter of the 21st May 1853 forwarded with minute to the Board of the 8th August No 97 -

more than 18,000 Rupees have been misappropriated in three years is a grave and unfounded libel, the worse that it has been repeated after being sufficiently answered -

In my remarks on Mr. Chatfields Memorandum of the 6th May last I particularly remarked that his charge of "misapplication or misappropriation of the funds" grounded on his recollection of what the road "15 and 12 years ago" was quite unwarrantable -

Sent to the Board -

5. Mr. Chatfield I observed might "with advantage read the detailed reports "of Captain Best, Major Cotton and other "Engineers on the history of this road - "He will find that far more has been "done than he imagines, and that it has "been done in a way to call for the ap= "=proval of the most capable and unex= "=ceptionable judges - A better workman "than Mr. Robinson and a better judge of "work than Major Cotton will be found "with difficulty in the Civil or Engineers Department

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

"Department. The work and accounts
"have been duly scrutinized up to the end
"of the official year 1851-1852. The Govern=
"ment I think will be satisfied from the
"character of the parties who have perform=
"ed this duty that there is no reason to
"apprehend any misapplication or mis=
=appropriation of funds up to that time.
"The examination of the last years work
"and expenditure, Mr. Chatfield should of
"course take means of supervising and the
"more strictly the more he has ano=
=pecion."

5. Mr. Chatfield, it will be seen, repeats his charge three months subsequently without any additional ground or enquiry. Enquiry indeed he depre=cates as invidious and useless.

The characters of such men as Major Cotton and Mr. Robinson he pro=poses then, so far as his power extends, to leave in the dubious and unsatisfactory state in which he has attempted to put them.

7. I trust this will not be allowed, and that Mr. Chatfield's slander will meet with the rebuke it merits.

The

Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

The attack on Mr. Robinson is curiously infelicitous. There never was a person more careful in laying out=
public money than Mr. Robinson. He was certainly as careful of it as of his own. It was all spent under his incessant Supervision. He was too prac=tical and experienced to be cheated to any considerable extent.

He used his own eyes, and, where needful for measurements surveys &c. his own hands.

He brought down the prices of contract work to the lowest rates con=sistent with fairness.

Every contract he made and the details of every work he performed, otherwise than by contract are to be found in the records.

9. Mr. Robinson's error, if error it were, was that he dealt too much in detail, that he saw and did too much himself.

It was from this that his health has unhappily failed and the Country been deprived of the services of one of

the

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

the most useful Officials it ever had. That such a man should be accused of having been guilty of a long continued carelessness (to say the least) which has cost the Government some 16000 Rupees, is to me quite monstrous.

10- The "defects" alluded to by Mr. Chatfield would never have been allowed to continue had Mr. Robinson remained. It clearly argued great negligence as I mentioned in my note on Mr. Chatfield's letter of the 14th June last. Notwithstanding Captain Ludlow's remarks, they should "still have existed when Mr. Chatfield "went down the road more than two "months afterwards."

The more serious and the "scandalous state" of a portion of the road in Rédinganad was owing I have no doubt to a slackness of supervision since Mr. Chatfield took charge in January last.

11. " The bad storm of March was quite enough to cause considerable damage of itself. The Malat who was

Forwarded to the Board with my letter of 8th August.

See Mr. Chatfield's Memorandum 6th May 1853. B.

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

was in charge of this part had been detached under Mr. Chatfield's permission on other duty (from March till June) and his absence not provided for.

Mr. Robinson would have been out the day after the storm to see what damage had been done and repair it. Mr. Chatfield remained in Palghaut till the end of May and then laid the blame of neglect on his absent predecessor.

As remarked in my note C on Mr. Chatfield's Memorandum 6th May 1853.

Calicut, 24th August, 1853. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly. Collector

The

10361 — Fort St. George 1st. September 1853.

P.S.

The Board will observe that in writing this letter, my main object has been to defend Mr. Robinson's fair character which will be, I doubt not, an object of care to the Board as well as myself.

I am aware that the road on the subject of which Mr. Robinson has been attacked is not under the Board.

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly

Collector

DG

10362 — Fort St. George 1st. September 1853

(48-49)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

No 889.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed with reference to my letter of the 25th August 1853 to forward a further letter from the Collector of Malabar appealing against the conduct of his Sub Collector Mr Chatfield.

2 Mr Conolly's complaint against that Gentleman is that he has, without any additional grounds or enquiry, repeated insinuations against the management, if not the integrity of the late Head Assistant Collector Mr Robinson by which it is implied that in the disposal of the funds devoted to the repair of the Ponnany Trunk road he has been guilty of long continued carelessness to say the least; which has cost the Government some Rupees 10000.

3 The management of this road is not under the general supervision of the Board, but under that of the Superintendent of Roads on whom it would more properly devolve, should the Government consider inquiry necessary, to investigate and report on the subject. In transmitting the Collector's letter therefore for the orders of Government the Board will only remark that no such serious imputation, as that implied in Mr Chatfield's letter should have been made without the most detailed and minute previous enquiry, nor unless he was prepared to substantiate it.

4 The character of Mr Robinson stands, the Board believe, so high in the estimation of Government that it seems scarcely necessary for them to add their testimony to the indefatigable zeal and unwearied industry with which every duty undertaken by him was carried out. The Board fully believe that it was owing to his having overtasked his powers in the Public service that his health failed and that he was compelled to return to Europe.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 1st September 1853

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Read

Letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 25th August 1853

Fort St George 1st September 1853

Read the following letter. —

N° 119.

(50)

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

B° N° 1969 Sir,
From the Actg Collr
of Madras

I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to inform me, if the information be on their records whether the enclosed Grant free of Quit Rent bearing date 1801 was issued unconditionally so as to entitle the representatives of the Grantee to enjoy the same exemption.

N°	Extent	Name of an original Grantee
684	C G 18-8-21	A. Venca Sachella Moodelly

2. It is requested that the Grant may be returned with your reply. —

Madras,
27th July 1853.

/ Signed / H Stokes
Acting Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(50-51)

Ordered that an Extract from the Statement submitted by Sawmy Naick the late quit rent Collector, on the 25th September 1801, relative to the land mentioned in the foregoing letter, be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Madras in answer to his requisition.

2 It will be observed from the remarks of the Board, that they did not recommend an exemption of quit rent having allowed to the late Ven Ketta Chella Moodelly and consequently to his representatives.

To

Fort St George 1st September 1853

N° 129

(52)

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

B° N° 2109 Sir,
From the Actg Collr
of Madras

To B° 3d June 1853
From D° 23d D°

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board, the accompanying copy of a letter from the Chief Magistrate, and the Memo mentioned in it from Mr. L.G. Clarke Deputy Magistrate, explaining that Mr. Elliot has no power to revise the decisions of the Deputy Magistrate, and suggesting that the opinion of the Company's Law officers should be sought on the point at issue viz: whether the wine of the sale of which no proof was brought forward, was liable to confiscation as well as the liquor some of which was proved to have been illicitly sold by the parties named in the margin —

Appraisal
Soobbapathee
Coondappah
Chetty.

Madras,
8th August 1853

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Acting Collector

N° 1
N° 279.

To H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector of Madras.

Sir. With reference to your letter of the

the 27th June, forwarding an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23d June 1853 in which the Board suggests that the decision of the Deputy Superintendent of Police as regards the confiscation of certain Wines should be sent for my consideration; I have the honor to state that, I have no authority to interfere with the Judicial acts of the Deputy Superintendent, who has exactly the same powers as myself under that particular Act, but I do not hesitate to say that, I should have ordered the confiscation of the Wine, had I adjudicated in the case. In justice, however, to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, I beg to mention that, the opinion of two Eminent Members of the legal profession, bear Mr Clarke out as to the correctness of his judgment and I forward copy of a Memorandum from Mr Clarke on the subject.—

In practice Act No XIX of 1852 has been found most perplexing, every day produces some fresh difficulty and in many essential points the Magistrates are at this moment doubtful, as to their powers. In the case under consideration the Revenue Board will perhaps consider it advisable to seek the opinion of the Law Officers of Government on the point

point at issued.—

Madras, General Police Office, 6th August 1853 } (Signed) E.F. Elliot, Chief Magistrate & Superintendent of Police.

(True copy)
(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

No 2.

Memorandum

Under the Provisions of Section XXXI of Act XIX of 1852, the Collector of Land Customs of Madras referred certain cases of Seizure of Beer and Wine by virtue of Warrants issued by him under Section XX of the Act, for adjudication.

Each of the 3 Parties named in the margin were charged in the said Warrants "with selling by retail Beer and Wine in their "respective houses."

In the two first cases large quantities of Beer, and Wine were seized and produced, as having been found in the Premises of the parties charged; and under the Provisions of Section XXXI, "the causes of these several Seizures" were examined into by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, and under Section IV the parties were convicted of the retail Sale of the Beer alone without Licence and fined 100 Rupees each, and under

Sections

A. Prasawmy
Sabba pathee
bundappah
Chetty.

	Bottles	Gallons.
Beer	1481	2.
Wine	257	1/2

Sections XXI and XXXI Judgment was given for the confiscation of "all such" Beer, as was found in the Premises seized, and produced as aforesaid and Warrants were, in due course, issued to the Collector for the Sale, and disposal of such Beer. For upon the "Examination into the causes of the Seizures" aforesaid, the Beer alone (under Section XXXII) "appeared to him" (the said Deputy Superintendent of Police) "liable to forfeiture" there not being a tittle of Evidence to convict any of the parties of the illicit sale of any portion of the Wine, hence he suggested in his letter dated 25th May 1853 that all the Wine seized in these cases be restored to the parties, as according to Section XV, the mere possession of English Beer and Wine does not render the parties liable to any penalty. These are the simple facts of the case. But the Collector doubts the correctness of this view as regards the Wine, in which doubt the Board of Revenue concur, because Section XXI, would seem to require the confiscation also of the Wine, from the facts of its having been found in the Premises of the Parties charged; but it is evident that they are merely offenders against the Act, only as regards the Beer, of the illicit sale of which alone they were convicted, and "all such

such liquor" (Beer) seized by virtue of Collector's Warrants, as having been found in the Premises was confiscated, as being in strict conformity to the provisions of that Section viz. XXI.

The doubts would seem to be founded upon the peculiar wording of that Section (XXI) viz "all such liquors found in the possession of any offender against this Act shall be seized, and confiscated," but it would be seen from the context that "all such liquors" can only mean to apply to those of which the parties are convicted. This appears to be the correct construction of the Section, for it could never have been intended that the mere possession of the Wine without conviction of its illicit sale would warrant its confiscation. Indeed Section XV is clear upon the point, as it expressly exempts English and Foreign Beer Wine &c. The parties are certainly offenders against the Act, as regards the Beer, but if dealt with as Offenders generally (because they were found also in possession of Wine, of the sale of which there is no Evidence) there is no Knowing to what mischievous consequences this construction might lead. Under such a construction although a person might be found in the mere

mere possession of large quantities of Wine (perhaps intended bona fide for his use) yet the whole might under Section XX be seized by virtue of a Warrant from the Collector.—

It will be observed that the Section XVI sets out with the Illicit sale possession and carrying of Spirituous or fermented liquors "all such liquors found in the possession of "any offender against the act, shall be "seized and confiscated." This would seem at first sight to leave no alternative, but the absolute confiscation of all, but surely such a sweeping and wholesale confiscation could never have been intended. it rather applies as already observed, only to such spirituous or fermented liquors of which the parties are bonafide convicted.—

Assuming even all that has been stated to be opposed to the letter of the Law, yet it is evident from the whole tenor of the Act, that the adjudicating Officer is left to the exercise of his own judgment (according to the evidence elicited upon his examination of the cases brought before him) as to what is, and

what

what is not, liable to confiscation. It must be admitted that however the Act might answer the purposes of protecting the Abkarry Revenue, yet, as a Law for the guidance of the adjudicating Officer, it is but with considerable difficulties and apparent contradictions impossible to reconcile. It might moreover be observed that under Section XXXVIII, any doubt of the Collector or the Board of Revenue could not supersede the

Fort St. George 1st September 1853

the Judgment of the Officer em-
powered by the Act to
adjudicate, for whoever is
aggrieved by such Judgment
can only seek redress in the
Civil Courts in the Zillah of
Chingleput.—

Town Police Office,
15th July 1853 ... } /Signed/ T.G. Clark

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ C.T. Elliot
Chief Magistrate &
Superintendent of Police.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following addrefs.—

No. 393.

(52-53)

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Bd. No. 1835.

To the Chief Secretary to Government ... } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit, for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying correspondence with the Acting Collector of Madras, relative to a recent decision of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mr. Clarke, in a case involving a breach of the abkarry Act XIX of 1852.

Submitting for the orders of Government correspondence with the acting Collector of Madras relative to a recent decision of the Deputy Superintendent of Police Mr. Clarke in a case involving a breach of the abkarry Act XIX of 1852.—

From Madras 8th Inlos
23d June 1853.
Board's Proceedings
23d June — "
From Madras 8th August.

2. It will be seen that a large quantity of Wine and Beer was found in posfesion of certain individuals, who were charged with selling the same. Mr. Clarke investigated the case, and adjudged the whole of the Beer to confiscation, but as there was no evidence of the sale of Wine, he suggested that all the Wine seized

Should

Fort St. George, 1st September 1853.

should be restored to the parties, which however has not been done pending the result of this reference.—

3. The Acting Collector, and the Board having doubts as to the correctness of Mr. Clarke's interpretation of the Act (Vide Section XVII) Mr. Stokes was requested to bring the subject under the consideration of the Superintendent of Police.—

Endorsmes 1 in From Madras 8th August 1853.

4. Mr. Elliot states in reply that he has no authority to interfere with the Judicial Acts of his Deputy, but he does not hesitate to say that he would have ordered the confiscation of the Mine, had he adjudicated in the case. He mentions however, in justice to Mr. Clarke, that two Eminent Members of the legal profession bear out that Gentleman as to the correctness of his judgment. Mr. Elliot therefore suggests that the opinion of the Law Officers of Government should be sought on the point at issue.—

5. As the question under notice is of some importance, the Board solicit, if Government see no objection, that the opinion of the Law officers of Government should be obtained upon it.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st September 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

Read again Return from the Acting Collector of Madras dated 17th and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 18th august 1853 of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.—

54

Bd. No. 1829.
Proceedings thereon.

From the foregoing Return it is observed that the pay of several of the Servants of the Cutcherry was retained in deposit, during the Quarter ending 30th June 1853, as a means of punishment for various offences committed by them.— The acting Collector's attention is called

Page 41.

to note 1 of the Circular Order of 28th July 1825, and he is requested to avoid this course in future, and to follow the instructions contained in the note above alluded to.—

2. The Board also observe that no Return of alterations of Fines has been forwarded with the above Return, which should always accompany it as required by para 35 of the Standing Orders of 13th July 1826.—

Page 58.

Read the following letter.—

55

No. 133.

To, W. H. Bayley, Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 2215
From the Acting Coll.r of Madras.....

Sir,

Referring to the 2d Para of the

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

the Board's Proceedings dated the 7th March last I have the honor to state that in the Present Manufacturing Season, the accumulation of Salt in our Pans has been generally prevented by care on the part of the Superintendent and that when it has taken place for a short time it has been from the inadequate supply of Boats by the Contractor. The Board will observe from my letter of yesterday's date that the Estimated quantity of Salt at each of our Pans on the 13th august is small as noted in the margin. At Coyalore there is reported to be about 400 Garces, but this is in consequence of delay in completing the Canal between the Pans and the back water.

2. On the 28th of April the Superintendent reported that he was in communication with the Boat Contractor on the subject of introducing water lines, but he has not since informed me of the result and the season has past without any plan having been adopted for roughly indicating the quantity brought by each boat. He has again been addressed on the subject

Madras .....
18th August 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

G.
Attiput 211.
Emore 117.
Cullivank 24.

Fort St. George, 1st September 1853.

B.D. No. 1844.
Proceedings
55-56

thereon The Board trust that the quantity of Salt remaining at the pans as shewn in the margin of the foregoing letter will be speedily removed to the Cotaurs. The Acting Collector will impress upon the Superintendent the necessity of having the Salt brought from the Pans and stored at the Cotaurs as fast as circumstances will permit.-

---

No. 145.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

57

Bd. No. 2285.

From the Acting Collr. of Madras .....

Sir,

I have the honor to forward as requested in the Boards' Proceedings of the 1st Instant the rough Sketch Plan of the Ground proposed to be purchased beyond the present boundary North of the Black Town Wall shewing the portions now offered for sale.-

Madras, ...
26th August 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

No. 391

57-58

To,

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

B. No. 1827.

To the Chief Secretary to Government ..... } Sir,

Inclos 1st augt 1853.

With reference to your Offi-
cial Memorandum dated 22d July 1853, I am
directed by the Board of Revenue to forward
the Rough sketch of the Ground proposed to be
purchased beyond the present boundary North
of the Black Town wall submitted by the
acting Collector of Madras.-

25th augt in Inclos
1st Sept. 1853.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
1st September 1853. } (Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Read the following letter-

59

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

B. No. 2198.-

From Messrs. Parry & Co. .. } Sir,
Agents Ly. S. S. Company }

Replies to Board's enquiries respecting the Ground occupied by Coals.

We have the honor to ac-
knowledge the receipt of your letter of 15th in-
Instant.-

2. In reply to your enquiry we beg
to state that in common with our neigh-
bours we have for years past as the
Consignees

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

Consignees of Coal Cargoes been in the
habit of landing them without any claim
for Ground or Dait rents being adduced -
and in seeking exemption for the present
claim of the Collector, we are not asking
for any new privilege, but merely that we
may be allowed to conduct the General Screw
Steam Company's business on the same
footing as we had heretofore done similar
business in our private capacity.-

3. With regard to the second para,
of your letter we beg to explain that our
practice in dealing with these Cargoes of Fu-
-el corresponds as closely as the nature
of the circumstances will permit with that
alluded to in the letter under reply, and
to which, as the Board remarks, the Dait
rent rules will not apply.-

4. To avoid loss in weight and the
deteriorating effects of exposure to the climate,
the coals when landed are conveyed from
the Beach to the Godowns with as little delay
as possible - a few days previous to the anticipated
arrival of the Steamers a sufficient quantity is
reconveyed from the Godown to the Beach to meet
their requirements - at the present moment
there

there are three Vessels in the Roadstead bringing coals for the use of the G. S. S. Company on another occasion there have been as many as four Vessels. Under such circumstances the Board will observe that it is impossible to keep the Beach free from Fuel for any lengthened period. but the interests of the G. S. S. Company dictate that it should be kept there as short a period as possible and we trust the Board will sanction the landing and reshipment of the Fuel like any other article of commerce without being liable to a claim for the Rent of the Ground temporarily occupied.

Madras.....
17th August 1853.

/Signed/ Parry & Co.
Agents G. S. S. Company

59-60
Bd. No. 1833.
Order thereon.

From Messrs. Parry and Co. 5th augt. 1853. without enclosures
To Do. Do. "

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter and of the correspondence noted in the Margin, be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Madras, who will report whether Messrs. Parry and Co. are correct in saying that they "are not asking any fresh privilege on behalf of the Company but merely a continuance of that which has at all times been accorded to the Consignees of

of Coal Cargoes." He will likewise say, as whether the Screw Company's Coal is always put upon the same spot of ground, and if not how his people ascertained the quantity of land occupied by it. Mr. Stokes will further inform the Board, whether the P. and O. S. Company pay Dirt Rent for land occupied in this manner by their Coals.

61

Read the following letters

No. 3

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenues.

Bd. No. 2181.
From C. V. Cunniah Chetty...

Sir,

I have the honor to request the favor of your ordering your Department to furnish me with the Copies of letters lately received from the Supreme Government requiring information regarding the Choultries erected by my Grandfather the late Collah Singanah Chetty for my information.

Madras,.....
16th August 1853

/Signed/ C. V. Cunniah Chetty

Bd. No. 1893.
Proceedings thereon.

61-62

The Board observe that Cunniah Chetty has not stated for what purpose he requiries the correspondence applied for in the foregoing

foregoing letter. If he supplies this information the Board will take his request into further consideration.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

63

B. To the Acting Coll.r of Cuddapah...

B.o N.o 1841.

The Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement on Petition N.o 846 and 1120 of 1853 the Board think that the Petitioner is entitled to be put in possession of the 2.32 acres included in his puttah and paid for by him, it should not be left to the option of Lingah Red dy to surrender it or not.

2. You will also dispose of the 2d and 3d items of complaint in Petition N.o 1120 of 1853.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 1st September 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

N.o 2330.

From the Collector of Bellary

64

Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of July last.

From

N.o 2309

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah

65

Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of June last.

N.o 2331

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah

66

Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of July last.

64-67

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

68

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

Mis.s N.o 949

From the Coll.r of Bellary.

69

Return of Fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30.th June last.

Mis.s N.o 948

From the Coll.r of Bellary

70

Return of Persons suspended and dismissed from Employment for the Quarter ending 30.th June last.

Mis.s N.o 944

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor

71

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1.st to 11.th July last.

Mis.s N.o 943

From the Acting Coll.r of Cuddapah

72

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1.st to 11.th July last.

Mis.s N.o 951.

From the Collector of Canara.

73

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1.st to 31.st July last.

Mis.s N.o 941

From the Collector of Malabar.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1.st to 11.th July last.

Mis.s N.o 945

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor

74

Statment shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt from 1.st to 11.th July last.

From

Fort St. George 1st September 1853.

75 Mis. No. 950.
From the Collector of Canara.- Statement shewing the
76 average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and
Mis. No. 942 Salt for the Month of July last.-
From the Collector of Malabar.- Statement shewing the
77 average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and
Mis. No. 947. Salt for the Month of July last.-
From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Reporting that no Person
78 was charged with offences under Regulations IX
Mis. No. 922 of 1822 during last Month.-
From the Collector of Masulipatam.- Requesting to furnish
79 the supply of certain printed forms.-
Mis. No. 946
From the Acting Collector of Madras.- Requesting to be furnish
78-80 ed with a supply of 1000 Salt Rowannahs.-
Order on the 2 abovementioned letters.- Ordered to be
supplied accordingly.-

" Pilcington

" W. Blane

Compd. Thompson

Fort St. George 2d September 1853.

Diary.-

2d September Received the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
(or Acting) Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

1 Sir,

B. No. 2351.
From the Assistant Master
Attendant.

With reference to a Memorandum from the Secretary to the Marine Board dated 23d June last, I have the honor by desire of the Master Attendant, to forward herewith One Parcel addressed to the Board of Revenue landed from the Barque "James Hall", and request you will have the goodness to cause a Certificate to be furnished specifying "whether contents of the Parcel had been received in good order and that there is nothing deficient."-

Master Attendants' Office,
Madras
1st September 1853

(Signed) J. F. McKenzie
Assistant Master
Attendant.

Sent

22d "

Sent the following Memorandum—

1-2

Memorandum—

A after Bd. No. 1833.

To the Assistant Master Attendant—

Received the Assistant Master Attendant, in good order, one parcel containing Botanical Specimens addressed to the Board of Revenue.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 2d " September 1853—

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

3d. September

Received the following Memorandum—

No. 1582.

3

Memorandum—

Bd. No. 2353.

From the Collector of Malabar—

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue is requested to allow the accompanying Note to be affixed to the margin of the 2d Paragraph of the Collectors letter of the 24th Instant No. 114 opposite "Excemplâ Gratiâ, some 15 rough stones for a small drain are charged 27 Rupees 8as 8as."—

Calicut, 29th August 1853—

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

No. 1.

These overcharges, if overcharges they be (which is being enquired into) would reflect no blame

on Mr. Robinson, as they have occurred within the last month or two.—

This fact which was not observable from Mr. Chatfields' first letter I have just learnt from him.—

3-4

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

Bd. No. 1836. 3d "

Proceedings thereon— Forwarded to the Chief Secretary—

Mr. Conolly's letter of the 24th August having been submitted to Government under date 1st September 1853.—

At

At a Meeting Present.-

Monday 5th. September 1853.- } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member.- absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

5

Read the following Resolution.-

No. 952.

B. No. 2306.
From the Secretary to Government.- } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 19th. August, 1853.-
(From Board 1st. August 1853)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Acting Collector of North Arcot to assign to the Village Officers of his District 271 Cawnies of land assessed at Rupees (1294-8-1) One thousand, two hundred and Ninety four Annas

Annas Eight and Pie one, in lieu of their service Enams which have become from various causes unfit for cultivation.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.-

5-6

B. No. 1864.
Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 29th. June 1853.-

7

Read the following Extract.-

B. No. 2254.
From the Secretary to Government.- Forwarding Extract from Court's despatch of 18th. May 1853 No. 2, with the observations of Government thereon respecting the rent free tenures of the Ceded Districts and the reform of the Cuddapah local police.- } Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 18th. May, 1853 No. 2.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Judicial Department, under date the 20th. August, 1853 No. 480.-

Para 1. From the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 10th. of August 1848 we learn that the revision of rent free tenures in the Ceded Districts has been in contemplation ever since those Districts fell into our possession but has

Para 4. In these paras the Honorable the Court of Directors advert to two questions as still

has never yet been commenced. We entirely concur in the sentiment expressed by Mr. Elliot in his Minute of the 2d. of December 1848, that. "There is nothing more "irritating than such a feeling of "insecurity which must attach in "a measure to those who have good "titles as well as to those who are "aware of defects". We consider the delay which has taken place in investigating the question of rent free tenures to be highly objectionable, and we trust that no further time will be lost in disposing finally of that question as well as of the local Police in Cuddapah which is closely connected with that question.—

still unsettled and demanding speedy Settlement I.— That of the rent free tenures of the Ceded Districts generally — II The reform of the Cuddapah local police— III With respect to the first of these subjects Viz. the rent free tenures of the Ceded Districts the Government observe that the necessity for any general investigation into these Enams was supposed to have been obviated by the instructions contained in the Honorable Courts' despatches of 13th October 1847, and

and 19th January 1848, which formed the basis of the orders respecting the treatment of rent free lands issued by the Government and by the Board of Revenue on the dates noted in the Margin; according to these orders, Enams were to be distinguished into those held with and those held without grant. The former were to be disposed of on lapse among their holders according to the conditions of the Sunnads or other documents by which they had been conferred. The Enams held without grant, and deriving their title entirely from prescriptive possession, of which nature are the Bhuteurte and Hyratee Enams of Cuddapah and Bellary and the bulk of the rent free holdings in those and all other of the Madras Provinces, were directed to be continued when they had been transmitted regularly in the families of the first grantees, or when the original grantees could not be ascertained in the families of the parties who were registered as the actual holders in the District survey accounts.

Extract Minutes Board's C.O. Consultation. Page

9th May 1848 — 453
11th — " — " — 457
4th July — " — 468.
22d August — " — 471.

Board's Proceedings.

19th June — " — 458.
27th July — " — 450.
21st December — " — 482.

Board's Proceedings 21st December 1848. Bds C.O. Page 482.

Where

When the Enam had been irregularly transmitted, &c
obtained under suspecious circumstances, or alienated
from the descendants of the first grantee, or Pyr=
=maishdar, it was directed that the case should be
reported on the occurrence of a lapse, but not &c
otherwise, for such orders as might seem to be &c
required - Conformably with this principle quarterly
returns of the lapses of such Enams as may &c
appear to have been held without due authority &c
are submitted by the several Collectors to the Board
of Revenue and laid by the Board with their own
remarks before Government when such course is
taken as may seem suitable under all the circum=
Extract Minutes of Consultation 22d. August 1848 Bds. C.O. Page 471 - =stances of the case due regard being shewn
in the case of an alienated Enam, to long posses=
=sion in vertue of such alienation or to any &c
valuable consideration which may have been given
for it if the alienation were of more recent date.
The further alienation of such Enams has &c
also been prohibited by a Notification pub=
Bds. C.O. Page 453 =lished under date 27th. July 1848 - All Enams
held without grant, and remaining in the &c
family of those on whom they were &c
originally bestowed or of those who were &c
registered

registered as their holders in the survey accounts
are allowed to pass on lapse to the heir of the
preceding holder without interference - This &c
course of procedure has the effect of bringing &c
gradually under the cognizance and review of
Government such rent free lands as may have &c
been irregularly obtained without the excpence
and agitation of the minds of the people &c
which would attend the prosecution of any &c
general inquiry into those lands -

6 - If the present mode of proceed=
=ing be deemed insufficient, there would appear
to be no alternative but to undertake a regular
and formal enquiry into these tenures generally
and not in Cuddapah and Bellary only, but
throughout the Presidency for which purpose
a distinct establishment such as that &c
maintained for some years in Bengal would
have to be employed at a heavy expense - How
impossible it would be for the present revenue
Officers to prosecute such an investigation will
fully appear from the fact, that the extent of
Enam land in the Ceded Districts alone at the
conclusion

Board's Proceedings 10th. August 1848. Para 9.

conclusion of the survey in 1817 (and it has pro= =bably not been much reduced since) was 26,00,000 Acres with a (nominal.) assessment of 1,23,5,455 = Star Pagodas. It is also to be considered that as regards Cuddapah and Bellary at least inquiry = into rent free tenures and revision of assessment are nearly connected in-as-much as the great = =extent of Enam land in those Districts is = supposed materially to affect the ability of the people to meet the Government demand.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to communicate the above = observations to the Honorable Court in reply to = their remarks on rent free tenures contained in paras 9. 10. of their Despatch, and in soliciting their attention to the system now pursued in the disposal of these holdings, strongly to recom= =mend that it be allowed to continue = unaltered.—

8- With respect to the second of the subjects adverted to by the Honorable Court = Viz. the reform of the local police of Cuddapah. it is remarked that a further report was called for from

from the Board of Revenue in Extract Minutes of Consultation 4th. June 1852 Para 12, and that it has not yet been furnished- The Board will now be desired to expedite it.-

To The President and Members of the (7-8) Board of Revenue-

(A true Extract) (Signed) J. Pycroft- Secretary to Government-

B. No. 1857.

Order thereon- 1. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah, for his information.-

2- The letter from the Collector of Cudda= =pah dated 3d. August 1852 regarding the Police of his district is now under the consideration of the Board of Revenue.-

---

(9)

B. No. 2307.

From the Secretary to Government-

Read the following Resolution.

No. 966.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 23d. August, 1853.-

(From Board 8th. August 1853.)

Under the circumstances reported, = the Right Honorable the Governor in Council = grants authority for writing off to Profit and Loss

10395

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Left the balance of Rupees (1175-7-10.)
one thousand one hundred and
seventy five, annas seven and pice two,
due by the late Proprietor of the
Madooravoyel Mootah in the District of
Chinglepat.—

(9-10)

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1861.
Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated to
the Collector of Chinglepat, with reference to
his letter dated 25th July 1853.—

Read

10396

(11)

Bd. No. 2271.
From the Sect.y to Government
Calling for a report on certain matters connected with the proposal that Regulation V of 1822 should be enforced in Malabar as in Canara

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Read the following Extract

No. 483.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department under date the 23d August 1853.

Read the following Papers.

From the Magistrate of Malabar.
(Here enter 23d April 1852.)

From Do. (Here enter 29th May —"—)

From Do. (Here enter 29th —"— —"—)

From Do. (Here enter 31st —"— —"—)

No. 1.

Extract from a Report of the Special Commissioner of Malabar dated 25th September 1852.

Para 70. Another measure having the same aim of checking litigious discord which I have to recommend, is the requiring that Regulation V of 1822 be brought into operation in Malabar.— Under this enactment the questions chiefly in issue between the land lord and tenant may be decided summarily by the Collector, and in a manner to lead to amicable and equitable as well as prompt decisions. The Suits would be mainly those for arrears of rent, but under Section VIII arbitrary ejectments and undue enhancement of rents could also be checked and prevented.

and.

and under Section XI aggressive occupations remedied. The process of investigation which I would recommend would be that these questions should be taken up at the Jummabundy. The Collector would then be in the neighbourhood of the litigants and of the lands in question in the litigation. The parties would thus not be put to the expense of journeyings and the sources of evidence would be at hand and readily available. This would include facility for local inspections where necessary. The time being a fixed and periodical one the litigants would not be subjected to indefinite delays, and as it would come thus round year by year they might be induced to settle with each other annually and not allow as now arrears to run on for years together. At this period of the Jummabundy the local authorities and the chief people of the district visited would be assembled together, and their help in checking false pleas and in inducing the parties to come to an arrangement together would be of the highest value. In a Court a false witness will allege any thing being in the presence of those who have no information of

the subject deposed to, but if confronted with his influential neighbours whom he is aware are well acquainted with the facts of the case there are few, if any, who would have the hardihood to attempt to beat these down with a, to them, palpable falsehood. On the receipt of such suits I would have the Collector refer them for report to one of his chief Officers, who in the presence of the principal neighbours and local authorities should hold his investigation and seek to bring the parties to terms and when this had been effected he might take them before the Collector and have the matter definitively ratified. In cases involving too much difficulty to be thus disposed of a Punchayet might be called in under Section XV of the Regulation. All that delay, expense, uncertainty of issue, and bickering which are incurred in regular litigation in the Courts and which serve so much to impoverish parties and to exasperate them, the one against the other, would in this way be avoided and the tenant might cease to look upon the landlord as one whose proceedings were of a nature to ruin him, and on his part would have nothing to tempt him to fail in that deference and respect

towards

towards his land lord which relative position would render due from him.

71. The cause why this Regulation has not been had recourse to in Malabar is simply that the Collectors have taken no means to bring it into operation. The present Collector is averse to making use of it, as have been his predecessors His objections are founded upon doubts as to the system working well from the complicated nature of suits in Malabar and the unlikelihood of parties being satisfied with the summary investigations to be held under the Regulation, and he also apprehends that the onerous duties of his Office will not allow of time for meeting this fresh demand upon him. It appears to me that much of complication need not be expected in suits of the nature contemplated by the Regulation, and especially when disposed of through the intervention of neighbours already cognizant of the subjects of the litigation in the manner I have indicated nor would such a mode of adjusting these suits involve much demand upon the Collector's time, and any additional ministerial help he might require could be easily supplied him.

72. The value of the Regulation as handled in the way I have suggested would I believe be very sensibly felt. At present as I have before had occasion to remark

remark, the land lords in the parts where outbreaks have most prevailed are unable to recover their rents, and the system I am recommending would give them a facile course for realizing their dues such as is least calculated to excite to animosity, and at the same time draw out for them the countenance of the chief executive authority in the district which might serve to deter the tenant from thinking of reprisals some measure of relief to the land lords is certainly much needed, and I see none better suited for affording them help than this one which I am now proposing. Moreover it is a remedy already afforded by existing law, and there appears to me no valid reason why that law should be placed in abeyance. It has been long in operation in the adjoining district of Canara where private property exists in land as in Malabar, and the present Collector of Canara considers that it works beneficially. Finally the people to whom I have extensively appealed have hailed with pleasure the proposition to introduce a system such as I have above propounded and herein Moplas and Hindoos were without an exception of one accord.

/ A true Extract
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

towards his land lord which relative position would render due from him.

71. The cause why this Regulation has not been had recourse to in Malabar is simply that the Collectors have taken no means to bring it into operation. The present Collector is averse to making use of it, as have been his predecessors His objections are founded upon doubts as to the system working well from the complicated nature of suits in Malabar and the unlikelihood of parties being satisfied with the summary investigations to be held under the Regulation and he also apprehends that the onerous duties of his Office will not allow of time for meeting this fresh demand upon him. It appears to me that much of complication need not be expected in suits of the nature contemplated by the Regulation, and especially when disposed of through the intervention of neighbours already cognizant of the subjects of the litigation in the manner I have indicated nor would such a mode of adjusting these suits involve much demand upon the Collector's time, and any additional ministerial help he might require could be easily supplied him.

72. The value of the Regulation as handled in the way I have suggested would I believe be very sensibly felt. At present as I have before had occasion to remark,

remark, the land lords in the parts where outbreaks have most prevailed are unable to recover their rents, and the system I am recommending would give them a facile course for realizing their dues such as is least calculated to excite to animosity, and at the same time draw out for them the countenance of the chief executive authority in the district which might serve to deter the tenant from thinking of reprisals some measure of relief to the land lords is certainly much needed, and I see none better suited for affording them help than this one which I am now proposing. Moreover it is a remedy already afforded by existing law, and there appears to me no valid reason why that law should be placed in abeyance. It has been long in operation in the adjoining district of Canara where private property exists in land as in Malabar, and the present Collector of Canara considers that it works beneficially. Finally the people to whom I have extensively appealed have hailed with pleasure the proposition to introduce a system such as I have above propounded and herein Moplas and Hindoos were without an exception of one accord.

A true Extract
Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

No. 2.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to Government

Judicial Department

Fort St. George,

Sir,

1. There are certain subjects supplemental to my report of the 25th instant on the causes of the outbreaks in this district which I have thought it preferable to submit to the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in a separate communication.

2. When enquiring into the circumstances of the Mopla community from among whom the perpetrators of these outbreaks have arisen, I found the method in which the moduns, or hill cultivation, on which they are extensively engaged, is assessed to the revenue, to be a source of very urgent and universal complaint among them, and on questioning other classes and among them, those in Government employ, on the subject it has appeared clear to me that the grievance is a well founded one.

3. The ground taken up for this cultivation is not made use of oftener than once in five years, being left to lie fallow during the

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

the intervening years, and it becomes thus a matter of uncertainty to what spots these cultivators may resort each year to raise their produce. Under these circumstances annual surveys and assessments of the lands they actually cultivate are made by means of extra Gomastahs and the Village Officers, and it is this system that is so much complained of, it being stated that exactions are habitually levied from the cultivators under the threat of otherwise over assessing their grounds. For this there must be much liability as the lands in question are only entertained temporarily for this duty, and receive a very low remuneration for it. What was asked on all sides was that this cultivation should be laid under a fixed assessment, as the ordinary land Revenue. The Records of Revenue Board will show that the question how best to assess these hills, lands has met with repeated attention, and that the existing system has been decided on as the best available one, It gives rise however to so much dissatisfaction, and brings the lower class of Moplas into constantly recurring contact with Hindoo Officials of whom they make such loud

complaints

complaints, that I would propose that it should be given up and that the lands in question should be permanently assessed. To do this it will require that they should be surveyed and mapped out in convenient allotments, for at present these parambas, as they are called, are so extensive that one may afford room for several Cultivators thereupon, and as the assessment varies according to the goodness of the Crops as annually inspected the capabilities of the Soil would have to be ascertained by taking the average of what the lands may have been rated at for a certain number of years back. There would thus be the means for each cultivator yearly taking up one or more allotments in any direction open to him, and the revenue he would have to pay for the same could be known from record, without necessitating any particular survey on his account. The Collector is not prepared to admit the extensiveness of the corrupt practices complained of under the existing system but see no objection to the change I have above proposed except the labour and expense of the survey it will necessitate

4. At Condotty I found that certain Bindoo Land Lords had to pay their revenue to the

Condotty

Condotty Tangul a priest of the moplas. They complained much of the oppressive and overbearing way in which the Moplah agents of the Tangul exacted his dues from them, and as the grievance is one that can be easily remedied, and the conditions of the Bindoos in their relations with Moplas such as call for all possible consideration, I would beg to bring this subject under notice.

5. The assignment in question was granted to the Tangul in this form under the circumstance that he had then no lands which could be allotted to him on Swamanicum tenure free of revenue, but he has subsequently acquired considerable property in land and the allowance in question could now be made to him in the usual way by remitting revenue upon his lands to the extent thereof, which would leave the Bindoos referred to at liberty to pay their revenue to the Government. The Collector sees no objections to this measure.

6. A third subject which I would touch upon is the estate of the late Kallatil Keshwan Tangul whose whole family was put an end to in the Cottayam outbreak No. XXIV and which has consequently become an escheat.

This

7. This individual was adopted out of the Poollery family twenty one years ago the heads of these two houses were thus interine brothers and their places of abode were close together and the Poollery family being poor and the property of the Kallatil family extensive, the latter contributed to the support of the former, and although the adoption created in a legal point of view, a severance between the two brothers the ties of blood relationship between them, especially under their relative circumstances of dependance the one upon the other kept them bound closely together.

8. The Poollery family endeavour to substantiate a claim to the Kallatil property upon three several heads, first that they come of a common origin, secondly that two generations back there was a common maternal stock between them, and thirdly that in the deed of adoption the phrase was used that the adopted member and his heirs were to inherit the property of the individual making the adoption, the said heirs being they contend themselves.

9. The first head of claim on investigation cannot be upheld in point of fact and the two others cannot stand in law since maternal rights revert

revert to the family out of whom the female ancestor may have come and an adoption can only embrace the individual adopted, and not his natural heirs. The claims of the Poollery family upon the Kallatil property cannot then it appears to me be maintained as a point of right but I would beg to suggest to the Government the propriety of admitting it as an act of grace.

10. The Poollery family besides the loss of the countenance and substantial support to which they have been so long accustomed at the hands of the Kallatil family from the ties of blood between them, were also heavy individuals sufferers in the outbreaks, their family mansion which was a good one having been destroyed as well as plundered situated as they now are they must sink into a far lower condition than they have hitherto occupied, and it will deepen their trial that the very completeness of the vengeance taken upon the house of their relative has served to effectuate their ruin. It will have an ill appearance that the Government should be gainers by so savage a crime as

that

that which has extinguished the family in question, and the more so as the Windoos have on so many occasions been such sufferers by these outbreaks, and it has been hitherto impracticable to afford them any redress. On the other hand, by the escheat of the Kallatil property the plotters of this outbreak will have gained the very end for which it was planned. The Windoo headship which impeded their course of aggression in the Country will have been removed and through the liberal and practically permanent tenures they can secure on a Government escheat they will no doubt largely profit by the tract of Country thus laid open to them. The act of grace which I have ventured to recommend would shew the disposition to repair the injuries to which the Windoos are subjected where opportunity for so doing may occur, and in a measure at least defeat the Moplas as to their purpose in this outbreak.

Special Commissioner's Office
Tellicherry 28th Septr 1853.

(Signed) T. L. Strange
Special Commissioner

(True Copy).
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

The

45. The proposal in para 70 that Regulation V 1822. should be enforced in Malabar as in Canara seems well deserving of attention but as it has never yet been in operation in the former province, the Government before passing orders for its introduction, there think it proper to refer to the Collector upon the subject, and to request him to report upon it through the Board of Revenue explaining what have been the obstacles which have hitherto prevented its being put in force, and stating how they can be obviated.

46. The Commissioners

Supplementary

Fort St. George 5th. September 1853.

supplementary letter of 28th. September last, also recorded at the head of this Extract contains two further Suggestions one on the expediency of altering the system of assessing the Modum or upland paddy lands the other for changing the method in which his allowance is at present made to the Condotty Tangul. The Board of Revenue will be requested to report on both these points after referring to the Collector. A third topic adverted to in the same communication is the disposal of the Kallatil property, supposed to have escheated to Government on the destruction of Kishwan Tangul and his whole family at the Cotteyam outbreak. From the Magistrate's letter of 23rd. April 1852. Para 11 it would appear that reference had been made to the Judiciary Court relative to the title of Government to the property. The result of the application has not been reported. Mr. Conolly will now be asked.

Fort St. George 5th. September 1853

asked to furnish explanation on the matter, and if the right of succession has been adjudged to Government, will give his opinion on the Commissioners' recommendation that their rights be relinquished in favor of the Pollery family.—.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

To.

The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

(11-12)

№ 1849.

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the papers which accompanied be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his report.—

(13)

× Bd. №. 2303. From the Secy. to Government.

№ 970

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 26th August 1853.—

List of Estimates of Tuccavy and of Extra Charges for Fusly 1263 submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue 28th July 1853.—

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Estimate of advances on accounts of sequestered zemindaries in the District of Chingleput for Fusly 1263, Rupees 2868 and for the completion of the new Conal from the Vulloon and Voyaloor Salt pans, and for the repair of certain portions there of Rupees 5.000 amounting in all to Rupees (7868) seven thousand Eight hundred and sixty eight. He also sanctions the Estimates of extra charges proposed to be incurred during the same fusly in the Districts of Bellary and Madras amounting

Bellary	225-15-11	to gether to Rupees 19.962-4-9 Nineteen
Madras	19.736-5-9	thousand Nine hundred and sixty two,
	19.962-4-9	annas, four and pice Nine.—

To. The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.—

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

Ordered thereon.—

(13-14)

Ordered to be recorded the usual printed letters will be sent to the Collectors.—

Read the following Statement.—

Statement

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Read the following letter.

17

To

No 139.

W. A. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. Lr 2137
From the Collector of Chingleput
Forwarding for sanction accounts of the proposed Subdivision of the Colaar Mootah

Sir

Adverting to the correspondence Marginally noted, I have the honor to forward herewith for the consideration of the Board of Revenue, Statements prepared in the usual form relative to the proposed Subdivision of the Colaar Mootah in the Conjeveram Talook, consisting of 31 Villages and bearing a permanent assessment of Rupees 1926-2-8

From the Bd of Revenue
To Do 1st October 1852
From Bd 28th Do Do
To Do 6th Octr Do
From Do 8th Jany 1853.

Para 1-

2. The estate in question forms a share of the original Zemindary of Neevalore Subdivided under the sanction of the Board dated the 19th September 1810. The circumstances connected with the purchase by the Revd B. S. Amarante of the Cunnoor Village, one of the two Subdivisions of the Mootah as now proposed having been referred to in the former Correspondence, it only remains for me to bring to notice the Argument adduced by the Goomastah of Mr. Amarante against the propriety of forming the Subdivision in reference to the accounts of Cultivation for the last 7 years. He has represented that owing to the dissensions between the Joint Proprietors the affairs of the 2 Villages comprizing the Colaar Division have been greatly neglected during the above period that consequently the Proceeds have fallen off considerably, while the resources of Cunnoor have been fully developed under the efficient management of a single proprietor in whose possession it remained prior to its purchase by Mr Amarante. The Goomastah under these Circumstances, has requested that the accounts for the period of 7 years immediately preceding the last 7 years may be made the basis of the Subdivision.

3. To ascertain the correctness of the above assertion I have been induced to refer to the Accounts of the Mootah for a period of the last 50 years from which it is evident that the proceeds of the last 7 years would comparatively

T.R.

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

give results very unfavorable to the interests of the proprietor of Cunnoor. The accompanying Statements of the produce accounts of the 7 years from Fusly 1248 to 1254 have in consequence been prepared, the results of which series of years are, I conceive, better calculated to represent the actual value of the different divisions. To the adoption of accounts for these years in forming the Sub Division, only one of the other two proprietors has consented, while the remaining individual, Papoo Reddy has insisted upon the accounts of the last 7 or 11 years being taken.

4. I am not disposed to think that the General Rule of taking the produce accounts of the last 7 years should invariably be adhered to although they are ill calculated to shew the real value of the sub divisions, If my opinion however, on this point is erroneous. I submit that the Regulations do not sufficiently provide for cases of the present nature, wherein the resources of particular portions of Mootah have intentionally or otherwise been

been permitted so to deteriorate as to de=
=preciate their present value- In view to afford
all necessary information to the Board on
this matter, I have caused additional
A. B. C. & D. Statements to be prepared Shewing the
Beriz which will result according to the
accounts of the last 7- 14- and 50 years
respectively-

5. The following abstract ex=
=hibits, in one view, the several Berizes then
obtained in Comparison with the Beriz ap=
=portioned to the two divisions at the date of the
last Subdivision, and their arable
area-

Names of the Division-	Sheigal area wet	dry.	Former Beriz.	Beriz of the last 50 years.	Beriz of the last 14 years.	Beriz of the last 7 years.	Beriz of 7 years from Fusly 1248 to Fusly 1254.	Sheigal Beriz-
	C. a		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Cotoor —	648	15 1/2	1,679 12 4	1,594 15 3	1,509 2 7	1,452 6 11	1580 5 6	2,552 14 7
Cunnoor —	320	5 7/8	246 6 1	328 3 2	416 15 10	473 11 6	345 12 11	523 6 7

The Beriz in the last Column of the
above abstract has been obtained by
applying the average rate per Cawny
shewn in Statement No. A to the entire
Sheigal area, and exhibits the present
maximum

the process

maximum available assets of the two divisions-
A comparison of this Column with the
preceding ones gives the percentage of profit
which the respective proprietors are likely
to obtain under the
operation of the several
Berizes, and the Board will
not fail to notice the strik=
=ing inequality which would
be created were the accounts
of the last 7 years as=
=sumed as the basis of
the permanent assessment-

	Profit Cotoor Rs	Profit Cunnoor Rs
According to the accounts of the last 7 years	75	10
Do last 14 years	69	25 1/2
Do last 50 years	59 3/4	59
Do 7 years from fusly 1248 to 1254	64	39

I have only to add that the lands of Cotoor
are of a superior quality and possessed
of good means of irrigation, the Compara=
=tively high average rate of Rs a. P. 4-4-6 per Caw=
=ney of wet having consequently been ob=
=tained, whilst those of Cunnoor are of an
inferior description and bear an average
assessment of only Rs a. P. 3. 1. 4 per Cawney-
With these observations I shall await
the decision of the Board in respect to
the series of years on which the Beriz
ought to be assumed-

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 9th August
1853-

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement

For the S.t George

5th September 1853

Sub Divisions of the Cotoor Mootah Shewing the Nunjay and Poonjey Cultivation for 7 years from Fusly 1248 to 1254 and

Bunjey Cultivation of Fusly 1248.

				Poonjey	Fusly 1249. Nunjey.			Poonjey.	Fusly 1250. Nunjey.			Poonjah	
Average per Cawney	Rate per Pagoda.	Extent of Land	Beriz	Extent of Land.	Beriz	Average per Cawney.	Rate per Pagoda.	Extent of Land.	Beriz	Extent of Land	Beriz	Average per Cawney.	Rate per Pagoda.	Extent of Land	Beriz
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs. a p	M M	Cs. d.	Rs. a p	Cs. a.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	M M	Cs. a.	Rs. a p	Cs. a.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	M M	Cs. a.	Rs. a p
6 9 1	15 3/4	90 5 6/8	177 1 3	460 9 5/8	2720 6 2	5 4 6	18 7/16	114 6/4	196 6 6	378 1 1/8	1768 4 4	4 10 9	23 4	85 2/4	156 12 1
4 10 10	14 "	224 1 5/8	163 8 8	116 1 4	1404 10 1	3 7 9	18 3	238 3 3/4	1721 3 "	104 3/4	2299 2 8	2 3 3	24 "	222 5 3/4	163 2 2
6 5 5	4 "	314 7 1/4	340 9 11 5	476 10 5/8	3125 3 5	6 9 "	"	352 9 5/8	3693 6	482 11 7/8	1997 7 "	4 2 2	" "	307 8	319 14 3

10417

Fort St. George

and other Particulars in the Zillah of

Fusly 1851

Nunjey				Poonjey	
Extent of Land	Beriz	Average per Cawney	Rate per Pagoda	Extent of Land	Beriz
20	21	22	23	24	25
Cu a	Ru a P	Ru a P	M m	Cu a	Ru a P
1,14 11 7/8	1609 - 7	3 14 1	29 2	91 6 3/4	150 13 .
112 8 1/4	2551 1 6	2 4 4	25 7	221 6 3/8	161 - -
527 3 3/8	1864 12 1	3 8 7	- -	312 13 1/8	311 13 .

5th September 1853

Chinglepet.

Fusly 1252

Nunjey				Poonjey	
Extent of Land	Beriz	Average per Cawney	Rate per Pagoda	Extent of Land	Beriz
26	27	28	29	30	31
Cu a	Ru a P	Ru a P	M m	Cu a	Ru a P
375 11 1/4	1500 11 9	3 15 11	29 6 5/8	57 12 3/8	118 14 9
122 10 3/4	304 - 7	2 7 8	24 - -	117 9 1/2	89 7 11
498 6	1804 12 4	3 9 9	- -	175 5 7/8	208 6 8

10438

Fort St. George

5th September 1853

Fusly 1253.

Nunjah.

Extent of Land		Beriz		Average per Cawney		Rate per Pagoda	Poonjah Extent of Land		Beriz	
32		33		34		35	36		37	
Cu	cs	Rs a P		Rs a P		Fs M	Cu	cs	Rs a P	
363	2/8	1,526	8 4	4	3 3	27 1/2	43	15	97	3
163	6	394	10 11	2	6 8	25 4/2	176	7/8	121	26
526	8/8	1,921	3 3	3	10 8	" "	220	6/8	218	29

Fusly 1254.

Nunjey.

Extent of Land		Beriz		Average per Cawney		Rate per Pagoda	Poonjey Extent of Land		Beriz	
38		39		40		41	42		43	
Cu	cs	Rs a P		Rs a P		M M	Cu	cs	Rs a P	
351	13/4	1,168	8 4	2	9	22 "	56	3/2	86	59
140	9/4	355	11 10	2	8 6	24 "	9	8 2/2	80	155
492	7/8	1,823	12 6	3	11 3	" "	154	6	167	52

10419

For Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Total of 7 years.

Extent of Land	Nunjey Berij	Average per Cauney	Extent of Land	Poonjey Berij
44	45	46	47	48
Cr. a Cr.	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Cr. a Cr.	Rs. a P
2653 5/2	12,621. 1 3	4 12 1	539 3/4	983 5 7
803 3/8	2,148-12-	2 10 9	1,298 4 3/4	952 1 8
3,456 5/8	14,769 13 3	4 4 4	1,837 8/2	1,935-7 3.

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry
Pallecarney 9th August 1853

Average per year.

Extent of Land	Nunjah Berij	Average per Cauney	Poonjey Extent of Land	Poonjey Berij	Remarks
49	50.	51	52	53	54
Cr. a Cr.	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Cr. a Cr.	Rs. a P	
379 1	1,803 - 1	4. 12 1	77 3/4	140- 7 8	
114 11/2	306 15 5	2 10 9	185 7/2	136 - 3	
493 12/2	2109 15 6	4 4 4	262 8/4	276 7 11.	

Er Er Ypz. (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Nr 2.

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

No. 2.

No.	Names of the Divisions.	Average of 4 years Beriz from fusly 1208 to 1211.	Proposed Permanent Beriz.	Loss to the Moolta dar.	Per Centage	Profit to the Moolta dar.	Per Centage	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	
1	Cottoor	1,947 12 "	1550 5 6	" " "	" " "	397 6 6	20 6 5	
2	Cunnoor	230 2 "	375 12 11	145 10 11	63 4 11	" " "	" " "	
	Total	2174 14 "	1926 2 5	145 10 11	6 11 "	397 6 6	18 4 "	

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

No. 3

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

No. 3.

No.	Names of the Divisions.	Permanent Beriz.	Proposed Permanent Beriz.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6
		Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	
1	Cottoor	1679 12 4	1550 5 6	" " "	129 6 10	
2	Cunnoor	246 6 1	375 12 11	129 6 10	" " "	
	Total	1926 2 5	1926 2 5	129 6 10	129 6 10	

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector
Statement

10422

Fort St. George

5th Sept

Moottah

Cottoor Zemindary

No 2. Statement of the Proposed Sub Divisions of the Cottoor

Original Zemindary names of the Proprietors and amount of the Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary.	Names of the Proposed Sub Divisions and their Proprietors	Names and Number of the Villages	Permanent Berij of the Entire Zemindary	Present Permanent Berij as fixed by the Last Sub Division	Madiem of the current Shewn in Col. 24 of the Zemindary Statement furnished by the Collector under date the 29th March 1802.	Average of the rent of Fushes 1208 1209 1210 and 1211 before the Permanent Settlement	Land the Subject of Permanent Assessment	Difference per Cent between the actual or present rates of the Sub Divisions specified in the 2d & 3d Sub Divisions & the Permanent Assessment recommended by the Collector on each Sub Division	Particulars of the Fushes Land
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
			Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs A P	a P	Rs a P	
Cottoor Moottah Zemindars Moothetty Paupee Naick and Aricenloandy Popee Reddy.	1st Moothetty Paupee Naick and Aricculavandy Popee Reddy.	88 Cottoor Elavinay in Cottoor	1. 2009 63	1635 87	" " 1	" "	" "	" "	Sheigal 248 Lank Bunjer Anady Bunjer
			1. 99127	4439	" "	" "	" "	49	Total 5 Sheigal 8 Lank Bunjer Anady Bunjer
	2d								Total 8
Permanent Jummah including resumed Merah. Rs a P 1926 2 5.	2d Revd Anmanee		2109 2 10	1679 124	973 " "	1947 12	" "	33 8 8	Sheigal 250 Lank Bunjer Anady Bunjer
		Commd.	1 1998	2461	94 8 "	230 2 "	" "	33 8 8	Tot
	Total		3. 2308 10 10	1926 2 5	1067 8 "	2177 14 "	3 8 8	33 8 8	Sheigal 251 Lank Bunjer Anady Bunjer
									Tot

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry
Pallecarney 9th August 1853.

H.

General

Fort St George 5th September 1853

...in the Zillah of Chingleput which is divided into 2 Sub

Division ...

Ori... Uncultivated		Cultivation Poonjey						Cultivation Poonjey Garden &c			Cultivation by Resident Ryots																
											Total cal			Nunjey Under Tanks Wells &c												
	No of Ryots	Actual Exctent of Land Cultivated	Summah Sunndy Berij	Average per Cawney			Actual Exctent of land Cultivated			Summah Sunndy Berij	Average per Cawney		Actual Exctent of Land Cultivated	Additional crop in the same Land		Total			Summah Sunndy Berij			Average per Cawney		
Cate...	40	82	10	126	3	2	1	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Yen...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Pun...	40	82	10	126	3	2	1	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
ari...	40	85	6¾	123	11	7	1	7	2	"	"	"	270	11⅛	11	8	282	3⅞	1840	15	3		6	8	5	
Re...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	40	85	6¾	123	11	7	1	7	2	"	"	"	270	11⅛	11	8	282	3⅞	1840	15	3		6	8	5	
Perm...	40	78	2¾	113	"	6	1	7	2	"	"	"	247	9¼	18	3	265	12¼	2610	1	9		5	9	8	
Jum...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
incl...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
ret...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mi...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	40	78	2¾	113	"	6	1	7	2	"	"	"	247	9¼	18	3	265	12¼	2610	1	9		5	9	8	
	40	80	7⅛	110	4	7	1	5	11	"	"	"	361	9¼	28	"	389	9¼	1632	3	8		4	3	"	
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Gr...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tal...	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	40	80	7⅛	110	4	7	1	5	11	"	"	"	372	13½	52	"	424	13½	1513	10	2		3	9	"	
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	40	80	7⅛	110	4	7	1	5	11	"	"	"	372	13½	52	"	424	13½	1513	10	2		3	9	"	

10424

Fort St George

5th September 1853

Cultivation by Residents

Dependent on falling rains

Kurgey (continued)

Actual extent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same Land		Total		Summah Curnchy Beriz			Average per Cawney		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

10425

Fort St. George

5 September 1853

Wet Garden comprizing Cocoanut Trees and Arrecanuts Cultivation by Resident

Under Tanks Wells &c

Dependent on falling rains

Rys &c (Cont.)

&c

Actual Extent of land Cultivated		Annual Income Berij			Average per Cawney			Actual Extent of land Cultivated		Annual Income Berij		Average Cawney	
"	13/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	13/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"

Total

Total

Actual Extent of land Cultivated		Annual Income Berij			Average per Cawney			Actual extent of land Cultivated		Annual Income Berij		
"	13/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	367	10 1/4	1981	12	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	13/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	367	10 1/4	1981	12	10
"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	551	7 3/4	2708	15	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	551	7 3/4	2708	15	7
"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	467	14 5/8	1748	13	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	467	14 5/8	1748	13	11
"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	505	7	1627	1	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	505	7	1627	1	11

10426

Fort St George 5th September 1853

Cultivation by Royal Ryotwary

No. of Ryots	Poorijey Actual Extent of land cultivated	Poorijey Jummah Sunday Beriz	Poorijey Average per Cawney	Poorijey Garden or Tottacal Actual Extent of land cultivated	Poorijey Garden or Tottacal Jummah Sunday Beriz	Nunjey Under Tanks Wells &c. Average per Cawney	Nunjey Actual Extent of land cultivated	Nunjey Additional Crop in the same Land	Nunjey Total	Nunjey Jummah Sunday Beriz	Nunjey Average per Cawney
49	229 13¼	214 6 9	" 14 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	57 12⅞	" "	57 12⅞	369 7 .	6 6 4
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
49	229 13¼	214 6 9	" 14 11	" " "	" " "		57 12⅞	" "	57 12⅞	369 7 .	6 6 4
70	253 10¾	235 11 4	" 14 11	" " "	" " "		57 12⅞	" "	94 9⅞	478 15 8	5 1 4
"	" 13 8/8	" 9 12 7	" 11 7	" " "	" " "		94 9⅞	" "			
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
70	267 2⅞	245 7 11	" 14 10	" " "	" " "		94 9⅞	" "	94 9⅞	478 15 8	5 1 4
69	229 5¼	206 13 9	" 14 5	" " "	" " "		69 10⅛	" "	69 10⅛	311 14 2	4 7 8
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
69	229 5¼	206 13 9	" 12 5	" " "	" " "		69 10⅛	" "	69 10⅛	311 14 2	4 7 8
65	232 6	201 8 5	" 13 11	" " "	" " "		69 5/8	" "	69 5/8	282 10 .	4 1 6
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"				
65	232 6	201 8 5	" 13 11	" " "	" " "		69 5/8	" "	69 5/8	282 10 "	4 1 6

10427

Fort St George

5th September 1853

Dependant on falling rains

Actual Ecctent of land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same land		Total		Annual Income Berij			Average per County		
12	7¼	"	"	12	7¼	22	7	7	1	12	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	7¼	"	"	12	7¼	22	7	7	1	12	11
9	12 3/8	"	"	9	12 3/8	20	11	3	2	2	2
6	8 5/8	"	"	6	8 5/8	15	"	7	2	4	10
16	5	"	"	16	5	35	14	10	2	3	3
23	8½	"	"	23	8½	53	5	2	2	4	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23	8½	"	"	23	8½	53	5	2	2	4	3
33	5¼	"	"	33	5¼	68	7	11	2	"	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
33	5¼	"	"	33	5¼	68	7	11	2	"	10

Total

Actual Ecctent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same Land		Total		Annual Income Berij			Average per County		
70	3 5/8	"	"	70	3 5/8	391	14	7	5	9	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
70	3 5/8	"	"	70	3 5/8	391	14	7	5	9	3
104	5¾	"	"	104	5¾	499	13	11	4	12	1
6	8 5/8	"	"	6	8 5/8	15	"	7	2	4	10
110	14 3/8	"	"	110	14 3/8	514	14	6	4	10	3
93	2 5/8	"	"	93	2 5/8	365	3	4	3	14	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
93	2 5/8	"	"	93	2 5/8	365	3	4	3	14	9
102	5 7/8	"	"	102	5 7/8	351	1	11	3	6	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
102	5 7/8	"	"	102	5 7/8	351	1	11	3	6	11

10428

Fort St George

5th September 1853.—

Wet Garden comprizing Cocoanut Trees and Arrecanuts &c.

Under Tanks Wells &c			Dependent on falling rains		
Actual Extent of land cultivated	Summah bundy Berij	Average per Cawney	Actual Extent of land cultivated	Summah bundy Berij	Average per Cawney
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"

10429

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Total Cultivation by Nunjey Garden or Totacal.

Resident and Poyakarry Ryots.

No. of Ryots	Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Poonjey Summah-bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney		Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Summah-bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney		Under Tanks Wells &c.a. Average per Cawney		Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Additional crop in the same Land		Total		Summah-bundy Beriz			Average per Cawney		
89	314	7/4	340	9	11	1	1	4							328	7/2	11	8	339	15/2	2	2	10	6	3	6	8	
89	314	7/4	340	9	11	1	1	4							328	7/2	11	8	339	15/2	2	2	10	6	3	6	8	
110	339	1/2	359	6	11	1	1								542	2 5/8	18	3	560	5 5/8	3	0	89	1	5	5	8	2
	13	8/8	9	12			11																					
110	352	9 5/8	369	3	6	1	9								542	2 5/8	18	3	560	5 5/8	3	0	89	1	5	5	8	2
109	307	8	319	14	3	1	8								431	3 3/8	28		459	3 3/8	1	9	44	1	10	4	3	9
109	307	8	319	14	3	1	8								431	3 3/8	28		459	3 3/8	1	9	44	1	10	4	3	9
105	312	13/8	311	13		1									441	14/8	52		493	14/8	1	9	96	4	2	3	10	2
105	312	13/8	311	13		1									441	14/8	52		493	14/8	1	9	96	4	2	3	10	2

10430

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Total Cultivation by Resident or Royakarry Nunjey

Dependant on falling rains.

Actual Extent of Land Cultivation		Additional Crop in the same Land.		Total		Summah = bundy. Beriz			Average per Cawney		
12	7/4	"	"	12	7/4	22	7	7	1	12	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	7/4	"	"	12	7/4	22	7	7	1	12	11
9	12 3/8	"	"	9	12 3/8	20	14	3	2	2	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	8 5/8	"	"	6	8 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	5	"	"	16	5	15	"	7	2	4	10
23	8/2	"	"	23	8/2	35	14	10	2	3	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	53	52		2	4	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23	8/2	"	"	23	8/2	53	52		2	4	3
33	5/4	"	"	33	5/4	68	7	11	2	"	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
33	5/4	"	"	33	5/4	68	7	11	2	"	10

Ryots (Contd)

Total.

Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same Land		Total		Summah = bundy. Beriz			Average per Cawney.		
340	14 3/4	11	8	352	6 3/4	2,232	13	10	6	5	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
340	14 3/4	11	8	352	6 3/4	2,232	13	10	6	5	5
551	15	18	3	570	2	3109	15	8	5	7	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	8 5/8	"	"	6	8 5/8	15	"	7	2	4	10
558	7 5/8	18	3	576	10 5/8	3125	"	3	5	6	9
454	11 7/8	28	"	482	11 7/8	1999	7	"	4	2	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
454	11 7/8	28	"	482	11 7/8	1997	7	"	4	2	2
475	3 3/8	52	"	527	3 3/8	1864	12	1	3	8	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
475	3 3/8	52	"	527	3 3/8	1864	12	1	3	8	7

10431

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Total cultivation by Resident and Poyakary Ryots

Wet Garden Comprizing Cocoanut Trees and Arricanuts &c.a

Under Tanks Wells &c.a								Dependant on falling rains								Total				Total			
Actual Extent of Land cultivated		Summah = bundy Beriz			Average per Cawney			Actual Extent of land cultivated		Summah = bundy Beriz			Average per Cawney.			Actual Extent of Land cultivated		Summah = bundy Beriz		Actual Extent of Land cultivated		Summah = bundy Beriz	
"	13 1/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13 1/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	66	11 1/8	2	588	2	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	13 1/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13 1/8	14	10	5	17	13	10	66	11 1/8	2	588	2	2
"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	909	8 1/4	3	474	8	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20 3/4		24		13	2
"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4 3/4	5	2	3	17	5	4	929	9	3	499	6	1
"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	790	6 1/2	2	320	15	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 5/8	3	9	9	22	"	"	790	6 1/2	2	320	15	4
"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	840	2 7/8	2	179	12	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 3/8	3	3	2	21	9	4	840	2 7/8	2	179	12	3

Cont.d

10432

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Money Rent			Total of Land Revenue including Money Rent.			Collection Canoogoe and Pagoda Merah & Sea			Total including Merah.			Collection	Balance.		
Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs A P	Rupees	a	P.
"	"	"	2,588	2	2	215	14	7	2,804	"	9	2,804 " 9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	"	2,588	2	2	215	14	7	2,804	"	9	2,804 " 9	"	"	"
5	9	3	3,504	15	3	261	6	4	3,766	5	7	3,766 5 7	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
5	9	3	3,504	15	3	261	6	4	3,766	5	7	3,766 5 7	"	"	"
"	"	4	2,320	15	"	162	12	5	2,483	11	5	2,483 11 5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	4	2,320	15	"	162	12	5	2,483	11	5	2,483 11 5	"	"	"
6	11	3	2,186	4	6	152	9	8	2,339	1	2	2,339 1 2	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	"	"	"
6	11	3	2,186	4	6	152	9	8	2,339	1	2	2,339 1 2	"	"	"

Fort St. George
Cultivation by Resident
Nunjey.

5th September 1853.
Ryots (Continued)

Dependant on falling rain.															Total								
Actual Extent of Land Cultivated.		Additional Crop in the same Land		Total		Summah Sunday Beriz.			Average per Cawney.			Actual extent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same Land.		Total		Summah Sunday Beriz.			Average per Cawney.		
Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
												358	8¼	30	6	388	14¼	1,482	11	6	3	13	4
												358	8¼	30	6	388	14¼	1,482	11	6	3	13	4
												364	8⅝	38	4	402	8⅝	1,555	3	8	3	13	10
												376	15⅛			376	15⅛	1,435	3	3	3	12	11
												376	15⅛			376	15⅛	1,435	3	3	3	12	11
												2,521	1⅛	178	1	2,730	12⅛	1,207	1	3	4	6	8
												2,521	11⅛	178	1	2,730	12⅛	1,207	1	3	4	6	8
												364	10¾	29	10⅞	390	1⅝	1,724	4	9	4	6	8
												364	10¾	25	6⅞	390	1⅝	1,724	4	9	4	6	8

10430

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Cultivation by

Poonjah

Payakarry Ryots.

Number of Ryots.	Poonjee. Actual Extent of Land Cultivated	Summah Gundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Poonjah Garden or Nunjey. Actual Extent of land Cultivated	Summah Gundy Beriz	Total Average per Cawney	Under Tanks Wells &c. Actual Extent of Land Cultivated	Additional crop in the same Land	Total	Summah Gundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
	Bs. As.	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Bs. a	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Bs. a	Bs. a	Bs. a	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
52	121 1 5/8	122 15	1 3				66 7/4		66 7/4	246 5 10	3 11 4
52	121 1 5/8	122 15	1 3				66 7/4		66 7/4	246 5 10	3 11 4
77	179 4 3/8	153 3 3	1 3 8				66 9/8		66 9/8	268 14 10	4 - 7
51	106 7 1/2	111 4 2	1 9				63 13 3/4		63 13 3/4	272 4 7	4 4 3
51	106 7 1/2	111 4 2	1 9				63 13 3/4		63 13 3/4	272 4 7	4 4 3
433	1352 1/4	1245 14 8	1 14 9				487 14 5/8		487 14 5/8	2230 8 1	4 9 2
	13 8 1/8	9 12 7	1 11 7								
433	1365 9 3/8	1255 11 3	1 14 9				487 14 5/8		487 14 5/8	2230 8 1	4 9 2
62	193 2 1/2	177 15 9	1 14 9				69 11 3/8		69 11 3/8	318 10 3	4 9 2
	13 8 1/8	9 12 7	1 11 7								
62	195 1/4	179 6 2	1 14 9				69 11 3/8		69 11 3/8	318 10 3	4 9 2

Fort St. George
Cultivation by Nunjey

Dependant on falling Rains.

Actual Extent of land Cultivated		Additional crop in the same land.		Total		Summah - bundy Beriz.			Average per Cawney.		
Ca	a	Ca	a	Ca	a	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
15	11 1/8	"	"	15	11 1/8	27	5	9	1	12	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27	5 3/8	"	"	27	5 3/4	48	1	7	1	12	2
43	1/2	"	"	43	1/2	75	11	"	1	12	2
9	7 3/4	"	"	9	7 3/4	14	11	"	1	8	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
47	14 5/8	"	"	47	14 5/8	82	5	9	1	11	6
57	6 3/8	"	"	57	6 3/8	99	"	9	1	11	1
6	6 1/4	"	"	6	6 1/4	14	7	5	2	4	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
45	4	"	"	45	4	101	13	3	2	4	"
51	10 1/4	"	"	51	10 1/4	116	4	8	2	4	"
110	10 1/2	"	"	110	10 1/2	221	14	9	2	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
127	5/8	"	"	127	5/8	247	5	2	1	15	1
237	11 1/8	"	"	237	11 1/8	469	3	11	1	15	9
15	13	"	"	15	13	31	11	3	2	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
31	12 1/8	"	"	31	12 1/8	61	13	3	1	15	1
33	15 3/8	"	"	33	15 3/8	67	"	7	1	15	7

5th September 1853.
Pegakary Ryots - (Contd)

Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Additional crop in the same Land.		Total		Summah - bundy Beriz.			Average per Cawney.		
Ca	a	Ca	a	Ca	a	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
82	2 3/8	"	"	82	2 3/8	273	15	3	3	5	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27	5 3/8	"	"	27	5 3/4	48	1	7	1	12	2
109	7 3/4	"	"	109	7 3/4	322	"	10	2	15	1
76	7/8	"	"	76	7/8	283	9	10	3	11	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
47	14 5/8	"	"	47	14 5/8	82	5	9	1	11	6
123	15 1/2	"	"	123	15 1/2	365	15	7	2	15	2
70	4	"	"	70	4	286	12	"	4	1	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
45	4	"	"	45	4	101	13	3	2	4	"
115	8	"	"	115	8	388	9	3	3	5	10
598	9 1/8	"	"	598	9 1/8	2452	6	10	4	1	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
127	5/8	"	"	127	5/8	247	5	2	1	15	1
725	9 3/4	"	"	725	9 3/4	2699	12	"	3	11	6
85	8 1/4	"	"	85	8 1/4	350	5	6	4	1	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
31	12 1/8	"	"	31	12 1/8	61	13	3	1	15	1
103	10 3/4	"	"	103	10 3/4	385	10	10	3	11	6

10438

Fort St. George

Cultivation by

Wet Garden Comprizing Cocoanut Trees and arrecanuts &c.

under Tanks Wells &c.

Dependant on falling rains.

5th September 1853

Peyakary Ryots

Actual Extent of Land Culti=vated.	Summah = bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Actual extent of Land Cultivated	Summah = bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Total Actual Extent of Land Cultivated	Total Summah = bundy Beriz.
Bs as	Rs a P	Rs a P	Bs as	Rs a P	Rs a P	Bs a	Rs a P
						703 4	396 4 3
						27 5 3/8	48 1 1
						230 9 3/4	144 15 10
						255 5 3/4	136 13 1
						47 11 5/8	82 5 9
						303 4 3/8	519 2 10
						176 11 1/2	398 " 2
						45 4	101 13 3
						221 15 1/2	499 13 5
						1,950 10 3/4	3,698 5 6
						110 8 3/4	257 1 9
						2,091 3/8	3,955 7 3
						278 10 3/4	528 5 3
						35 2 1/4	64 4 5
						398 12	565 1 "

F.E.B.

10439

Fort St. George

Total Cultivation by Poonjey Nunjey Garden or Totacal

5th September 1853

Resident and Poyakarry Ryots.

Number of Ryots	Poonjey: Actual Extent of Land cultivated	Poonjey: Summah. = Pundy Beriz	Poonjey: Average per Cawney	Nunjey Garden or Totacal: Actual Extent of Land cultivated	Nunjey Garden or Totacal: Summah. = Pundy Beriz
	b. a.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.	b. a.	R. a. p.
92	175 5/8	208 6 8	1 3 .		
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
92	175 5/8	208 6 8	1 3 .		
117	220 6 5/8	218 2 9	. 15 6		
91	154 6	167 5 2	1 1 4		
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
91	154 6	167 5 2	1 1 4		
713	1824 3/8	1925 10 8	1 . 11		
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
"	13 8/8	9 12 7	. 11 7		
713	1837 8/2	1935 7 3	1 . 10		
102	260 9 3/8	275 1 6	1 . 11		
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
"	13 8/8	9 12 7	. 11 7		
102	262 8	276 7 11	1 . 10		

Under Tanks Wells &c.

Average per Cawney	Actual Extent of Land cultivated	Additional Crop in the same Land	Total	Summah. = Pundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
R. a. p.	b. a.	b. a.	b. a.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.
" " "	424 15/2	. 30 6	455 5/2	1779 1 4	3 12 9
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	424 15/2	. 30 6	455 5/2	1779 1 4	3 12 9
" " "	431 1 3/4	. 38 .	469 1 3/4	1824 2 6	3 14 3
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	431 1 3/4	. 38 .	469 1 3/4	1824 2 6	3 14 3
" " "	440 12 7/8	" "	440 12 7/8	1707 7 10	3 14 .
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	440 12 7/8	" "	440 12 7/8	1707 7 10	3 14 .
" " "	3040 9 3/4	178 1	3218 10 3/4	11,300 9 4	4 7 1
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	3040 9 3/4	178 1	3218 10 3/4	11,300 9 4	4 7 1
" " "	431 6 3/8	29 10 7/8	459 13	2012 15 .	4 7 1
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" " "	431 6 3/8	25 6 7/8	459 13	2012 15 .	4 7 1

10440

Fort St. George

Total Cultivation by Resident of Nungey

Dependant on falling rains

Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crops in the same Land		Total		Summah. = Sundy Beriz			Average per Cawney		
Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as	Rs	a	ps	Rs	a	ps
15	11 1/8	"	"	15	11 1/8	27	9	5	1	12	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27	5 3/4	"	"	27	5 3/4	48	1	7	1	12	2
43	1/2	"	"	43	1/2	75	11	"	1	12	2
9	7 3/4	"	"	9	7 3/4	11	11	"	1	8	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
47	11 5/8	"	"	47	11 5/8	82	5	9	1	11	6
57	6 3/8	"	"	57	6 3/8	97	"	9	1	11	1
6	6 1/4	"	"	6	6 1/4	14	7	5	2	4	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
45	4	"	"	45	4	101	13	3	2	4	"
51	10 1/4	"	"	51	10 1/4	116	4	8	2	4	"
110	10 1/2	"	"	110	10 1/2	221	14	9	2	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
127	5/8	"	"	127	5/8	217	5	2	1	15	1
237	11/8	"	"	237	11/8	469	3	11	1	15	7
15	13	"	"	15	13	31	11	3	2	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
31	12/8	"	"	31	12/8	61	13	3	1	15	1
33	15 3/8	"	"	33	15 3/8	67	"	7	1	15	7

5th September 1853.

Poyakarry Ryots (Contd)

Total

Actual Extent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crops in the same land		Total		Summah = Sundy Beriz			Average per Cawney		
Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as	Rs	a	ps	Rs	a	ps
440	10 5/8	30	6	471	5/8	1756	10	9	3	11	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27	5 3/8	"	"	27	5 3/8	48	1	7	1	12	2
168	"	30	6	498	6	1804	12	4	3	9	9
140	9 1/2	38	"	478	9 1/2	1858	13	6	3	13	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
47	14 5/8	"	"	47	14 5/8	82	5	9	1	11	6
488	8/8	38	"	526	8/8	1921	3	3	3	10	5
447	3/8	"	"	447	3/8	1721	15	3	3	11	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
45	4	"	"	45	4	101	13	3	2	4	"
492	7/8	"	"	492	7/8	1823	12	6	3	11	3
3151	4 1/4	178	1	3329	5 1/4	14522	8	1	4	5	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
127	5/8	"	"	127	5/8	247	5	2	1	15	1
3278	4 7/8	178	1	3450	5 7/8	14769	13	3	4	4	4
450	3	29	10 7/8	479	10 7/8	2074	10	2	4	5	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
31	12/8	"	"	31	12/8	61	13	3	1	15	1
168	5 1/2	25	6 7/8	493	12 3/8	2109	15	7	4	4	4

Fort St. George

Total Cultivation by Resident

Wet Garden Comprizing Cocoanut Trees and arricanuts

Under Tanks Wells &c.

Actual Extent of Land cultivated	Summah = Kundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
Cs. as.	Rs. a. ps.	Rs. a. ps.
1 6 7/8	26 9 7	18 9 6
1 6 7/8	26 9 7	18 9 6
" 5 3/4	6 10 5	18 9 6
" 3/4	3 12 10	18 9 6

Dependant on falling rains

Actual Extent of Land cultivated	Summah = Kundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
Cs. as.	Rs. a. ps.	Rs. a. ps.

5th September 1853

and Poyakarry Ryots (cont.d)

&c.

Total Actual Extent of Land Cultivated	Total Summah = Kundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
Cs. as.	Rs. a. ps.	Rs. a. ps.
61,4 6 1/2	1965 1 5	
27 5 3/8	48 1 7	
671 11 7/8	213 3 "	
699 1/8	205 7 " 3	
17 11 3/8	82 5 9	
746 11 3/4	2139 6 "	
601 9 1/8	1889 4 5	
45 4	101 13 3	
646 13 1/8	1991 1 8	
5154 12 1/2	16474 12 4	
140 8 3/4	257 1 9	
5295 5 1/4	16731 14 1	
736 6 3/8	2353 8 7	
35 2 1/4	64 4 5	
756 7 5/8	2390 4 3	

Money Rent	Total of Land Revenue including Money Rent	Collection Canoogee and Pagoda Menah &c.a	Total including Menah	Collection	Balance
Rupees a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
" " "	2013 3 "	149 5 8	2162 8 8	2162 8 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2013 3 "	149 5 8	2162 8 8	2162 8 8	" " "
" " "	2139 6 "	165 15 3	2305 5 3	2305 5 3	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2139 6 "	165 15 3	2305 5 3	2305 5 3	" " "
" " "	1991 1 8	154 5 6	2145 7 2	2145 7 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1991 1 8	154 5 6	2145 7 2	2145 7 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12 1 6	16714 2 7	1202 5 5	18006 8 "	18006 8 "	" " "
6 2 3	2392 " 5	109 5 1	2572 59	2572 59	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1 12 1	2392 " 5	109 5 4	2572 59	2572 59	" " "

L. L. B.

Statement shewing the sources of Irrigation.

			In Repair		To be repaired		Total	
			Tanks &c.a	Revenue thence derived	Tanks	Revenue at present derived	Amount necessary to keep them in repair	Tanks Revenue
				Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P		Rs. a P
Cawril Beriz	79 " "							
Average Beriz of 9 years previous to Hiders inundation	1539 " "							
Ds. Ds. of 10 Ds. after Ds.	1078 " "	Tanks Spring and Well Irrigated from falling rain	25	2042 15 "	"	"	"	2042 15 "
Average Beriz from Fasly 1202 to 1204	1092 " "							
Ds. Ds. from Fasly 1205 to 1207	1396 8 "							
Average for the above Beriz	1067 8 "							
Mootah Beriz	1802 8 "							
Permanent assessment	2308 10 10			67 7 "	"	"	"	67 7 "
Fasly 1248	2804 " 9							
1249	3766 5 7							
1250	2483 11 5							
1251	2339 1 2							
1252	2162 8 8							
1253	2805 5 3							
1254	2114 5 7 2		Total	25	2109 15 7	"	"	2109 15 7
Total	18006 8 "							
Average	2572 59							
Permanent Beriz	1926 2 5							

Chingleput District
Collector's Catchery,
Pullecarney, 9th August, 1853

E E Jm (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Statement

10444

Fort St George 5th September 1853

No 4 Statement of the Ayacut of Cotoor Mootah in the Zillah of Chingleput.-

No	Division.	Villages.	Nunjey. Sheigal or cultivated Land. Cs	ct	Laick or waste fit for cultivation. Cs	ct	Stready Bunjer or immemorial waste. Cs	ct	Total. Cs	ct	Sheigal or Cultivated Land Cs	ct
1	Cotoor	Cotoor	482	6¼	20	9½	379	11	882	10¾	113	15⅞
		Elavem cotoor	50	5⅛	4	8⅜	9	13¾	64	11¼	2	4¼
			532	11⅜	25	1⅞	389	8¾	947	6	116	4⅛
2	Cunnoor	Cunnoor	95	5⅜	-	4¾	61	3⅛	156	13¼	224	11¼
		Total	628	3¼	25	6⅝	450	11⅞	1104	3¼	340	15⅜

Poonjey. Laick or waste fit for Cultivation. Cs	ct	Stready Bunjer or immemorial waste. Cs	ct	Total. Cs	ct	Total. Sheigal or cultivated Land. Cs	ct	Laick or waste fit for cultivation. Cs	ct	Stready Bunjer or immemorial waste. Cs	ct	Total. Cs	ct	Remarks
5	12⅛	158	2¾	277	14¾	596	6⅛	26	5⅝	573	13¾	1160	9½	
5	8⅝	18	15½	26	12⅜	52	9⅜	10	1	28	13¼	91	7⅝	
11	4¾	117	2¼	304	11⅛	648	15½	36	6⅝	566	11	1252	1⅛	
13	7⅝	118	15	357	1⅞	320	⅝	13	12⅜	180	2⅛	513	15⅛	
24	12⅜	296	1¼	661	13	969	⅛	50	3	746	13⅛	1776	¼	

Chingleput District, Collector's Cutcherry, Fullcarney, 9th August, 1853. (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement

10445

A

Fort St George 5th September 1853

No 5. Statement shewing the Beriz on Auyacut Lands of Cotoor Mootah in the Zillah of Chingleput.

Names of Villages.	Fusles.	Nunjey. Land Cultivated.		Produce.			Average rate per Cawny.			Poonjey. Land Cultivated.		Produce.			Average rate per Cawny.			Rockada-yem-Fees			Total.		
		Cs	ct	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Cs	ct	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Cotoor	From Fusly 1255 to 1261	276	4 3/8	1185	12	3		4	4	8	62	15 7/8	103	12	1	1	10	4	86	13	1	1376	5	5
Elamayen Cotoor	Do — Do	7	11 1/2	30	-	-		3	14	2	-	3 3/8	-	1	5	-	6	4	2	1	6	32	2	11
		283	15 7/8	1215	12	3		4	4	6	63	3 1/4	103	13	6	1	10	3	88	14	7	1408	8	4
Cunnoor	Do — Do	96	3 3/8	296	11	4		3	1	4	147	1 7/8	104	8	5	-	11	4	58	27		459	6	4
		380	3 1/4	1512	7	7	-	-	-		210	5 1/8	208	5	11	-	-	-	147	1	2	1867	14	8

Proposed Beriz of Sheigal Auyacut Land calculated on actual produce.

Cotoor and Elamayen Cotoor	532	2261	-	4	4	116 -	203 - -	1 12 - 88 14 7 2552 14 7
Cunnoor	95	296	14	3	2	224 8	168 6 -	- 12 - 58 27 523 6 7
	627	2557	14	-	-	340 8	371 6 -	- - - 147 1 2 3076 5 2

Chingleput District Collector's Catchery, Pullecarney, 9th August 1853. E E Jr (Signed) J. H. Cochrane Collector

Statement

B

Fort St George 5th September 1853.

No 6 Statement shewing the actual Beriz of Zillah of

Cotoor Mootah from Fusly 1255 to 1261 in the Chingleput.

Names of the Divisions.	Names of the Villages.	Beriz of Fusly 1255.	Beriz of Fusly 1256.	Beriz of Fusly 1257.	Beriz of Fusly 1258.	Beriz of Fusly 1259.	Beriz of Fusly 1260.	Beriz of Fusly 1261.	Total.	Average.	Permanent Beriz.
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Cotoor	Cotoor	1,222 15 2	1,769 15 5	1,755 10 2	1,153 7 6	989 14 4	954 4 2	1,788 3 1	9,634 5 10	1,376 5 5	- - -
	Elamayen Cotoor	21 6 2	80 11 1	28 5 8	9 15 8	6 6 5	5 3 1	73 4 1	225 4 2	32 2 11	- - -
		1,244 5 4	1,850 10 6	1,783 15 10	1,163 7 2	996 4 9	959 7 3	1,861 7 2	9,859 10 -	1,408 8 4	1,452 6 11
Cunnoor	Cunnoor	385 13 6	685 3 9	559 6 10	489 1 6	300 7 9	313 11 -	481 15 10	3,215 12 2	459 6 4	473 11 6
		1,630 2 10	2,535 14 3	2,343 6 8	1,652 8 8	1,296 12 6	1,273 2 3	2,343 7 -	13,075 6 2	1,867 14 8	1,926 2 5

Chingleput District, Collector's Cutcherry, Pullicarney, 9th August 1853. (Signed) J. H. Cochrane

Collector.

Statement

10447

Fort St George

C

No 7 Statement shewing the actual Beriz of Cotoor

Names of the Divisions	Names of the Villages	Beriz of Fusly 1248.	Beriz of Fusly 1249.	Beriz of Fusly 1250.	Beriz of Fusly 1251.	Beriz of Fusly 1252.	Total	Average	Permanent Beriz	Beriz of Fusly 1210.	Beriz of Fusly 1211.	Beriz of Fusly 1228.
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p						
Cotoor	Cotoor	2364 14	3036 6 2	2007 14 11	1811 8 10	1660 7 8						
	Elemayen Cotoor	17 10 8	69 9 10	34 12	55 11 8	60 3 5						
		2381 12	3106 . .	2042 . 1	1867 4 6	1720 11 1						
Cunnoor	Cunnoor	4224 9	6605 7	4411 4	4171 12 8	4411 3 7						
		2804 9	3766 5 7	2483 11 5	2339 1 2	2162 8 8						

Chingleput District,

Cochrane
Collector

Statement

DJ

Fort St. George

3rd September 1853.

Beriz of Tusly 1241.	Beriz of Tusly 1242.	Beriz of Tusly 1243.	Beriz of Tusly 1244.	Beriz of Tusly 1245.	Beriz of Tusly 1246.
Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.
1873 13 5	1350 6 4	2179 12 2	1593 1 8	1030 4 7	2135 7 5
43 7 11 " "	28 " "	5 10 2 "	7 " "	" " "	" " "
1916 15 4	1350 6 4	2207 12 2	1598 11 10	1030 11 7	2135 7 5
480 4 3	131 " 11	318 9 3	275 13 11	283 4 1	435 10 9
2397 3 7	1481 7 3	2526 5 5	1874 9 9	1313 15 8	2571 2 2

Beriz of Tusly 1247.	Beriz of Tusly 1248.	Beriz of Tusly 1249.	Beriz of Tusly 1250.	Beriz of Tusly 1251.	Beriz of Tusly 1252.
Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.
2156 13 7	2364 1 4	3036 6 2	2007 14 1	1811 8 10	1666 7 8
2 3 10	17 10 8	69 9 10	34 1 2	55 11 8	80 3 5
2159 1 5	2381 12 "	3106 " "	2042 " 1	1867 4 6	1720 11 1
530 8 9	422 4 9	660 5 7	441 11 4	471 12 8	441 13 7
2689 10 2	2804 " 9	3766 5 7	2483 11 5	2339 1 2	2162 8 8

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Beriz of Fusly 1253.	Beriz of Fusly 1254.	Beriz of Fusly 1255.	Beriz of Fusly 1256.	Beriz of Fusly 1257.	Beriz of Fusly 1258.	Beriz of Fusly 1259.	Beriz of Fusly 1260.	Beriz of Fusly 1261.	Total.	Average.	Permanent Beriz.
Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.
1671 11 11	1599 3 8	1222 15 2	1769 15 6	1755 10 2	1153 7 6	989 11 4	954 4 2	1788 3 1	94533 14 4	1890 10 10	1559 6 4
50 11 2	53 13 11	21 6 2	80 11 1	28 5 8	9 15 8	6 6 5	5 3 1	34 1 2103	7 3 40 11 11	38 8 11	
1722 7 1	1653 1 7	1244 5 4	1850 10 6	1783 15 10	1163 7 2	996 4 6	959 7 3	1861 7 2	96637 5 7	1937 6 9	1597 15 3
582 14 2	492 5 7	385 13 6	685 3 9	559 6 10	489 1 6	300 7 9	313 11 "	481 15 10	16712 7 6	397 14 8	328 3 2
2305 5 3	2145 7 2	1630 2 10	2535 14 3	2343 6 8	1652 8 8	1296 12 6	1273 2 3	2343 7 "	113349 13 1	2335 5 5	1926 2 5

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry,

Pullecarney, 9th August, 1853. E. E. Jr.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Proceedings

10452

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

B. No. 1852.

Proceedings thereon -

(17-18)

The Board observe that Section VII Regulation XXVII of 1802 requires that the assessment shall be fixed on the years next preceding the then current year. They think therefore that under the circumstances reported by the Collector it will be fair to all parties to take the period of 14 years. The Subdivision Statements as above submitted are sanctioned on this Principle Viz. Pucka Cash of Coloar Rupees 1,509-2-7 and that of Cannoar Rupees 456-15-10.

Read the following letter

No. 107.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(19)

B. No. 2221.

From the Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to request authority to sell by auction for the benefit of Government certain Silver articles as under written, which are now lying in the Treasury of this Collectorate and which, under existing rules, are not again likely to be brought into use -

Six Silver Choldar's Sticks -
Forty Silver Knobs and ferrules -
One Silver water pan being Rupees 23 - 4
formerly used for distributing betel -

Salem Collectors Office,
Assoor. - - -
17th August, 1853. - - -

} Signed H. D. Phillips.

B. No. 1859.

Proceedings thereon.

(19-20)

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale of the Silver articles alluded to in the foregoing letter the proceeds to be carried to the credit of Government -

---

10453

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

No. 109.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George. -

(21)

B. No. 2297

From the Collector of Salem, ...

17th August 1853 & 12th do

Sir,

I have the honor to annex a copy of a letter to my address and of its enclosure, from the Subordinate Judge of Salem, and request you will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government for my remitting to the Sub. Court the sum of Rupee (1) one, being a portion of the amount of Stamp duty ordered by the Sudr Udalut to be repaid to the Appellant in Appeal Suit No. 130 of 1852. -

Salem, Collectors Office,
Assoor,
22d August, 1853,

} Signed H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

To H. D. Phillips.
Collector of Salem. -

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose copy of a letter dated 12th Instant to my address from the Acting Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut and request that

10454 For Ft St. George 5th September 1853.

that Rupee One being a portion of the value of the accompanying Stampt paper may be transmitted to me and the Appeal Petition returned.

× A. S. 13 of 1852

Salem, Subordinate Court, 17th August, 1853. } /Signed/ C. W. Reade Subordinate Judge.

To, C. W. Reade Esqre Subordinate Judge of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to sanction the refund of Stampt duty applied for in your letter of the 1st Instant Viz. Rupee 1 One to the Appellant in the Appeal Suit therein referred to.

Sudder Udalut, Registers Office, 12th August, 1853. } /Signed/ A. J. Arbuthnot Acting Register.

/True Copy/ /Signed/ C. W. Reade Subordinate Judge.

/True Copies/ /Signed/ A. D. Phillips Collector.

To

10455 Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

No. 110.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(22)

B.C. No. 2298. From the Collector of Salem, ... } 19th Augt. 1853 R. 5th Do.

Sir,

I have the honor to annex a copy of a letter to my address and of its enclosure, from the Civil Judge of Salem, and request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for my remitting to the Civil Court, the sum of Rupees (396) Three Hundred and Ninety Six, being the amount of Stamp duty ordered by the Sudr Udalut to be refunded to the Appellants in Appeal Suits Nos. 26/1848 145. 150/1851 and 171 146/1851 1850 and 44/1853.

Salem Collectors Office, Oct. 22nd August, 1853. . . . } /Signed/ A. D. Phillips Collector.

To

To,

H. D. Phillips Esqre

Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be so good as to remit to this Court (396) Three hundred and Ninety Six Rupees, being the amount of Stamp duty to be refunded to the Appellants in A. S. Nos 26/1848 145-150/1851 146/1850 and 44/1853 as sanctioned in the annexed copy of the Acting Register's letter of the 5th Instant.

Salem Civil Court, 19th August, 1853.

(Signed) T. A. Goodwyn. Civil Judge.

To, T. A. Goodwyn Esqre Civil Judge Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Suder Udalut to sanction the refund of Stamp duty applied for in your letter of the 20th Ultimo Vizt Rupees (396) Three Hundred and ninety Six to the Appellants in the Appeal Suits referred to therein.

Suder Udalut, Register's Office, 5th August, 1853.

(Signed) A. J. Arbuthnot. Acting Register.

True Copy (Signed) T. A. Goodwyn Civil Judge.

True Copies (Signed) H. D. Phillips Collector.

Resolved

Bd No 1854. Proceedings thereon. (21-23)

Resolved that the foregoing letters be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that Sanction be granted for the refund in all of Rupees (397) Three hundred & ninety Seven. Viz Vz. 396 to the appellants in A Suits Nos 26/1848 145-150/1851 171-146/1851-1850 and 44/1853 and No 1 to the appellant in A.S. No 130 of 1852 being the amount of Stamp duty repayable under the orders of the Sudder Udalut.

---

(24)

Bd No 1927. From the Collector of South Arcot.

Read the following letter

No 57

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your Circular letter of the 13th Ultimo forwarding 28 forms with instructions to use them in preparing the future accounts which accompany the annual Jummabundy report.

2. These forms will accordingly be followed in preparing my accounts for the expired fusly 1262. as far as is in my power, but from their not having reached my office until the Goomastahs employed in preparing

preparing the Jummahbundy Accounts had returned from the talooks to the Huzoor the Statements Nos. 10 11 and 13 must unavoidably be sent in an imperfect form on this occasion, while a short delay will occur in preparing the Quinquennial accounts Nos. 3 7 and 8 which will therefore follow the Jummahbundy report as soon as completed, instead of accompanying it.—

3. Being invited in Para 3 of your letter to offer remarks regarding any of these forms which seem to require modification to suit the circumstances of my District, I beg to observe that I view with apprehension the additional labour which my establishment will have to undergo in preparing the Statements Nos. 8 and 13. In the former it is required to shew not only whether each piece of Enam land, including all the numerous small Enams enjoyed by Village Servants are cultivated or waste, but also whether they are cultivated by the proprietor or another party and again whether that other party holds any Government land or not. It would be a work of great labor to collect these latter details and as the Circumstances of the District do not appear to give them any value, I hope that the Board will deem it sufficient if this Statement

is

is so prepared as to shew all the enam lands, and the portions of them which are cultivated and left waste.—

4. With respect to the Statement No. 13 I beg to observe that the details entered in it Could only be prepared after many weeks labour which would inconveniently detain the Gomastahs and Curnoms from their other duties. Some of the information intended to be given by it is shown in the other Statements, while the principal causes of decrease and increase in the Cultivation are explained in the Jummahbundy report.—

The information given by No. 13 would moreover be comparatively useless to the Board from its not shewing the taluk or Village where changes occurred.— I should therefore be glad if the Board would allow me to substitute for this District a Simpler Statement in the form now enclosed with such additions as may be found necessary during its preparation.

5 The only other remarks which I will now offer relates to statement No. 28. That form is declared applicable to private and public Topes planted under the new rules, and the Statement will be prepared should the Board see fit to direct it, but objections appear to me to exist against allowing such interference with these plantations on the part of the Talook and Village Servants as would be necessary to obtain the minute information regarding the trees and young plants called for in this form of Statement.—

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 20th July, 1853. } [Signed] E. Maltby
Collector.

Statement

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Statement shewing the particulars of Gross Increase and Decrease between Fusly 1260 and 61 South Arcot.

Items.	Land.	Dry. Assessment.	Land.	Garden. Assessment.	Land.	Wet. Assessment.	Land.	Wet Garden and Manavary Nunjah Pusfor. Assessment.	Land.	Dry Cultivated with wet Garden and dry produce. Assessment.	Land.	Garden cultivated with wet. Assessment.
No.	353,719	7 3/8	124,724 5	1 3	1,337	6 1/4	23,549	15 7	11,843 4	114,650 6	104	
61.	363,284	5	128,956 0	8 2	1,497	15 1/8	25,662	2 11	13,120 0	15	12,606 12	3 "
Net Increase	9,564	13 5/8	42,315	6 11	160	8 7/8	2,112	3 4	12,766	11	114,105	8 8
Net Decrease	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Items.	Land.	Wet Garden and Manavary Nunjah Pusfor. Assessment.	Land.	Dry Cultivated with wet Garden and dry produce. Assessment.	Land.	Garden cultivated with wet. Assessment.
No.	1050 2½	3017 3 7	11,999 3	66,704 8 2	" "	" "
61.	1291 7½	3526 " "	17,178 4½	100,477 2 11	" "	" "
Increase	241 5	508 12 5	5,179 8½	33,772 10 9	" "	" "
Decrease	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

Increase of Gross Increase
Cultivated by New Ryots
Re cultivated
of Cultivation made by
owing to the favorable
ason and price &c.
Total
for Gross Decrease
waste from death
desertion
overishment
of Cultivation by unfa
ness of Season &c.
Total

10461 Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Kaiser in Garden Land.		Total.				
Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Deduction.	Net Settlement.	
1 1/2	2 13 2	4,73,491	15/8	2,48,702	64 1	16553 8 8 2,47,047 2 11 5
1 1	2 14 6	4,95,983	31/8	2,67,984	0 15 6	11,623 12 1 2,66,821 7 3 5
1/2	" 1 4	22,492	1 1/2	1,92,814	11 5	" " " 19,744 8 "
	" " "	" "	"	"	"	" " 4,929 12 7 " " "

South Arcot. Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 20th July, 1853.

E. E. &c. / Signed / E. Maltby
Collector

10462 Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Bd No. 1847.
Proceedings thereon.
(24-25)

With reference to para 2 of the foregoing letter the Collector is informed that the new forms should be brought fully into operation from the Commencement of fusly 1263 but they may be adhered to as far as practicable for the accounts of fusly 1262.

2. As the Collector states that it will be impracticable for him to prepare the accounts for fusly 1262 according to form No. 8 he is desired to exhibit in this Statement the Enam lands in the grosses with the portions cultivated and left waste. Mr. Maltby is also authorized to substitute the form submitted by him for Statement No. 13.

3. In regard to form No. 28 the Board observe that it will be sufficient if the Collector can give the particulars of Col 1 to 4 on account of Private topes, as the Board would wish to see whether private plantations increase under the new rules.

Read the following Statements.

Statement.

10463

No. 2336.

For St. George

Statement exhibiting the Actual charges incurred on account of additional Establishment employed in

(26) Fusly 1262 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

5th September 1853.

Number.	Description of Servants.	Estimated for Fusly 1262. Number of Servants.	Rate of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Period of Employment.	Estimated Charges.	Actual charges for Fusly 1262.	Comparison between Colms 6 and 7. Increase.	Comparison between Colms 6 and 7. Decrease.	Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	11	12	13
	Collector's Cutcherry.												
1	Boatman at Teagavelly Salt Pans	1	3-8	42	1 year	42	42			Letter from the Board of Revenue dated 11th February 1833	For Keeping a Boat to ferry over the back water merchants who resort to the Teagavelly Pans to purchase Salt. Nos 2 and 3. This Establishment was entertained to prepare the account of the settlement shewing the Cultivation of each individual and other details.		
2	Jummahbundy additional Sibbendy	58	7	609	1/2 month	609	608	73					
	Sub Collector's Cutcherry												
3	Jummahbundy additional Sibbendy	24	7	252	1/2 Do.	252	235	119					
									16	43			
	Total Company's Rupees	903		886	3				16	13			

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore 29th August 1853. E. E. Dr. (Signed) E. Maltby Collector.

Estimate.

10464

No. 2337.

Fort St. George

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of Additional Establishment in Fusly 1263 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

5th September 1853.

(27)

No.	Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1263. Number of Servants.	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay.	Anticipated period of Employment.	Estimated Charges.	Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1262.	Comparison between Column 6 and 7. Increase.	Comparison between Column 6 and 7. Decrease.	Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Collectors Cutcherry.										
	Boat man at Seagavelly Salt Pans.	1	3-8--	42	1 year	42 -- --	42 -- --		-- -- --	Letter from the Board of Revenue dated 11th February 1833.	No. 1 In keeping a Boat to ferry over the back water Merchants who resort to the Seagavelly Pans to purchase Salt.
2	Jummahbundy additional Gomastahs	5	8 7 --	609	1½ Month	609 -- --	608 7 3		0 8 9 -- --		Nos. 2 and 3 This Establishment is required for preparing the detailed account of the Settlement of each Talook.
	Sub Collectors Cutcherry.										
3	Jummahbundy additional Gomastahs.	2	7 7 --	252	1½ Do.	252 -- --	235 11 9		16 4 3 -- --		
	Total Company's Rupees		903 -- --			886 3 --			16 13 -- -- --		

South Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 29th August 1853. (Signed) C. Malthy Collector.

(26-28) Order on the 2 foregoing Statements.

C. C. Jr. Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter—

(29)

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George—

B. No. 2385.

From the Collector of South Arcot, Sir,

In acknowledging Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward a Statement Containing list of the Enams in this District which in my opinion do, and do not, fall under Regulation IV of 1831 and Act XXXI of 1836.

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Cuddalore. 1st September, 1853.

[Signed] E. Maltby
Collector.

List shewing the different descriptions of Enams which do, and do not, come under Regulation IV of 1831 and Act XXXI of 1836 in South Arcot.—

Enams which do come under Regulation IV of 1831 and Act XXXI of 1836.—

No.

1 Pensions granted in lieu of resumed Serva maniem Villages.—

2. Pensions assigned to the families of Jagheerdars on the Jagheers being resumed, such as Shuncaraporum, Rungungary, Malacharry and Peshevendum &ca.

3. Pensions given to Cavilgars on the resumption of their Cavelly privileges.—

4 Pensions granted by Government to Superannuated Servants.—

5 Pensions given to the families of deceased Native Servants who subscribed to the late Native Pension fund.—

6 Pensions given to certain Individuals on the resumption of the Dutta Enams which they had obtained from the late cavilgars of Woodiarpollium and Pitchavarum.—

7 All yeomiah pensions of a charitable Nature.—

8 Shrotriums granted by the Native Government in Charity for the Support of the original grantees and their families.—

9 Shrotriums granted by the British Government to their Servants for meritorious services rendered to the State.—

10 Cuttabady Enams granted by the Nabobs Government in lieu of wages for police and revenue services.—

11 Cuttabady Enams given by the late Nabob

to

to retired servants instead of a money Pension.

12 All other Enams either Sunnud or Jumpady which have been granted or continued by the British Government in Charity for the support of the original grantees and their families except the Enam gardens within the limits of Fort Saint Davids farm- see Boards Proceedings 12th August 1850.

13 All Charitable allowances assigned to British subjects and European foreigners ~~Enams~~ or ready money grants which do not come under Regulation IV of 1831 and Act XXXI of 1836.

14 All Enams or other immunities assigned to the hereditary Office of Curnums in permanently settled Zemindary Villages under the provisions of Regulation XXIX of 1802 under Regulation VII of 1817.

15 all grants either inland or money for the support of Mosques, Durgah and other Mohommedan religious institutions.

16 all grants for the support of Hindoo Temples, Mhuttu and other religious institutions.

all

17 all grants for the support of Colleges Schools &c.

18 all grants for maintaining choultries for the accommodation of Travellers.

19 all grants for supplying food to indigent persons under the denomination of Dungerkanah or other pious and beneficial purposes.

Under Regulation VII of 1831.

Village Revenue Servants.

Enam and other privileges.

See opinion of the Sudr Court communicated with Boards Proceedings 28th August 1851 and 2d August 1852.	20	Puttamanigar.
	21	Curnums.
	22	Notagar.
	23	Carpenter.
	24	Ironsmith.
	25	Punchangly.
	26	Cumboocully.
	27	River channel watchers.
	28	Potmaker.
	29	Snake Doctor.
	30	Washerman.
	31	Barber.
	32	Servant employed for opening and closing sluices.
	33	Toty.

Police Department.

	34	Unresumed Cavilgar Enam and other incomes.
29-30	35	Village Taliars or Watchers.

(Signed) O. Maltby
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.
Read the following Statement

10469

B.D. No. 2383.

(31)

Fort St. George,

A

Dr. { Salt Account Current in the District of Tanjore from 1st April to 11th July 1853 } Cr.

1852.			Maunds	Sr.	Chs.	Mds.	Sr.	Cs.
July 12th	To Balance of Quantity remaining in store at the end of last Fusly					105208	8	"
1853 July 11th	To Quantity manufactured and received into store							
	To the end of last Quarter		469263	36	"			
	In this Quarter		1200	"	"			
						470463	36	"
	To Quantity gained by measurement in the Salt of 10 Garce Heaps-							
	To the end of last Quarter		2240	34	"			
	In this Quarter		457	32	"			
						2698	26	"
	To Quantity confiscated Salt-							
	To the end of last Quarter		151	33	"			
	In this Quarter		17	4	"			
						168	37	"
						479331	19	"
	Total Indian Maunds					578539	27	"

5th September 1852

trict of Tanjore from } Cr.
of Fusly 1262. }

1853 July			Maunds	Sr.	Cs.	Maunds	Sr.	Cs.
11th	By Sales for Inland consumption-							
	To the end of last Quarter		93700	20	"			
	In this Quarter		14364	"	"	108064	20	"
	By Home consumption-							
	To the end of last Quarter		26778	2	"			
	In this Quarter		103327	20	"	311105	22	"
	Quantity delivered to the French Government of Karikal-							
	To the end of last Quarter		8040	"	"			
	In this Quarter		4800	"	"	12840		
	By Exportation by Sea-							
	To the end of last Quarter		1800	"	"			
	In this Quarter		"	"	"	1800	"	"
	By supply made to South Arcot-							
	To the end of last Quarter		"	"	"			
	In this Quarter		6000	"	"	6000	"	"
	Confiscated Salt-							
	To the end of last Quarter		151	33	"			
	In this Quarter		17	4	"			
						168	37	"
						499978	39	"
	Balance in Stores					77193	12	"
	By wastage occasioned, Viz^t., 1503—24 in Fusly 1260, and 1443—36- in 1261- written off under Sanction of Government as communicated in letters of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 17th June 1852 and 18th July 1853. No. 73.							
	By wastage occasioned in Fusly 1262							
	To the end of last Quarter		276	12	"			
	In this Quarter		1091	4	"	1367	16	"
	Total Indian Maunds					578539	27	"

10470

Fort St. George

5th September 1853.

Dr. { Money Account Current for Salt of July — from 1st April to 11th July 1853. 1262. } Cr.

			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1853 July 11th	To sales for Inland Consumption-							
	To the end of last Quarter	91,840	8	"				
	In this Quarter	14,784	"	"	106624			
	To Home Consumption.							
	To the end of last Quarter	26,778	"	11				
	In this Quarter	103327	8	"	37,1105	8	11	
	To the French Government of Karikal-							
	To the end of last Quarter	1,313	"	"				
	In this Quarter		"	"	1,313	"	"	
	To Exportation by Sea-							
	To the end of last Quarter	630	"	"				
	In this Quarter		"	"	630	"	"	
	Total at 1 Rupee per Maund	43837	0	"	11			
	Do. at 15 Annas 2 Pice 40 Cent per Do.	3876	0	"				
	Do. at 1 anna 8 Pice 80 Cent per Do.	1313	"	"				
	Do. at 5 Annas 7 Pice 20 Cent per Do.	630	"	"				
	To Fines &c.				47907	"	11	
	To the end of last Quarter	436	15	"				
	In this Quarter	21	5	3				
					458	4	3	
	Total Company's Rupees				479531	5	2	

Tanjore, 11th July 1853.

			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1853 July 11th	By Servants wages							
	To the end of last Quarter	8,416	"	1				
	In this Quarter	4467	10	6				
						12,883	10	7
	By Saderward including Extra Charges-							
	To the end of last Quarter	158	13	3				
	In this Quarter	828	14	3				
						987	11	6
	By Salt Manufacturers Share.							
	To the end of last Quarter	43633	10	10				
	In this Quarter	641	6	1				
						44,275	"	11
	Total					58146	7	"
	Net Revenue					42,1384	14	2
	Total Company's Rupees					479,531	5	2

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector.

Ordered.

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

31-32

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following letter.-

33

To, W. Forbes Esqre
Collector of Tanjore

Bd. No. 2387.

From the Collr. of Tanjore.....

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will forward my application for 6 weeks leave under sections XI and XII amended of the absentee Rules to enable me to proceed to the Presidency prior to applying for leave to proceed to England on Furlough.

Munnargoody... 30th August 1853.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Special assistant Collector.

The leave of absence requested by Mr. Grant is submitted for sanction.-

Tanjore... 31st August 1853.

/Signed/ W. Forbes
Collector.

Order thereon.

33-34

Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil auditor, for the favorable consideration of Government.-

No. 400

Read letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 20th July 1853, reporting on the Extra Sources of Revenue of his District for Fusly 1262.-

Islands 28th July 1853.

35

Bd. No. 1870

Proceedings Relative to Extra

/Here enter No. 76/

The annexed abstract Statement shews that the demand for the year was Rupees 6,03,418 10

or

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Extra Sources of Revenue of the Trichinopoly District for Fusly 1262

or an increase of Rupees 3,645—3.

3 over the preceding Fusly.-

	Fusly 1261			Fusly 1262			Increase			Decrease		
Abkarry	25,410	"	"	25,150	"	"	"	"	"	260	"	"
Small Farms	4977	1	1	4,269	6	4	"	"	"	707	10	9
Stamps	19,392	4	"	23,802	"	"	4409	12	"	"	"	"
Motorpha	6,917	"	6	7,120	2	6	203	2	"	"	"	"
Total	56,896	5	7	60,341	8	10	4612	14	"	967	10	9
Deduct Decrease							967	10	9			
Net Increase							3645	3	3.			

Abkarry and Small Farms.

2. In both the branches of abkarry and Small Farms, a decrease of Rupees 260 Rupees 707 10 9 respectively is exhibited, attributed, under the former, to the Lalgoody Talook having been rented for a sum of Rupees 290 below the contract of the previous year, owing to the difficulties sustained by the late renters, and the confused state into which the Farms was thrown by the bids having been carried too high in Fusly 1261, which led to its being

From Collr. 15th inst. 21st June/52

put

up again to auction - and in small Farms to the fall in the rents of the privilege of grazing the Fort Ditch, Mill rent, and Fisheries, and the limited sale of Durbah grass.

Stamp and Motorpha

3. An increase of Rupees 440 9 12 - " and Rupees 203 - 2 - " appears under these heads respectively and arose, in stamps from the operation of act XVII of 1848, and to greater litigation during the year - The excess in motorpha calls for no remark.

4. Of the total Demand, Viz. Rupees 60,341 - 8 - 10, the sum of Rupees 58,911 - 8 - " was recovered within the Fusly, leaving a balance of Rupees 1,430 " - 10. to be still realized, which it is stated will be collected within a few days.

5. The charges for the year were Rupees 2,854 - 6 - 11 or Rupees 279 - - 6. above those of Fusly 1261, arising from a larger amount of Commission having been paid to the stamp Vendors,

and

and the increased refunds of stamp revenue.

6. The whole of the Collections including current and arrears within the Fusly, amounted to Rupees 60,937 - 4 - " from which the above charges being deducted, there remained a net revenue of Rupees 58,082 - 13 - 1. or Rupees 4,233 - 2 - 11 above that of Fusly 1261.

7. No Sugar, fit for exportation, was manufactured in the District, during the year.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections, charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Trichinopoly

| | | |

10476

Fort St. George, 5th September 1853.

Salary of Mr. Fletcher as a remuneration for the additional labor and responsibility imposed on him.—

The Peshcush is ——— 26,500.— 2

One Tenth of which is ——— 2,650.—

Present Establishment including Tutor ——— 24,079 " "

Add allowance to Manaver woya Tavier uncle of the present Minor at Rupees 150 per Mensem sanctioned 14th April 1853 ——— 1,800 " "

25,879 " "

Remainder ——— 371 " "

Add amount of Salary reduced of the Guardian @ 45 Rupees per Mensem ——— 540

Add saving by death of a relative of the Zemindar on receipt of 10 Rupees per Mensem from 1st July 1853 ——— 120 " "

1031 " "

Deduct proposed addition of 50 Rs. per Mensem to Tutor ——— 600 " "

Unappropriated Balance ——— 431 " " 3

The Peshcush allowed for the maintenance of the Minor and his relatives

The State-ment in the Margin shews the expenditure already sanctioned from the amount of the percentage on the

The reduction of 45 Rupees per Mensem in the Salary of the Guardian recommended in my letter of the 15th August 1853 will cause an available surplus after the payment of the increase to Mr. Fletcher of Rupees 41 — 14 — 8 per Mensem or Rupees

Rupees 431 per Annum.

Madura, 18th August 1853 } Signed J. Clarke Acting Collector

Rd. No. 1860.

Proceedings thereon.— The Court of Wards receive with pleasure the announcement of the arrival at Madura, of the younger brother of the Zemindar of Shevagunga, for the purpose of being placed under the tuition of Mr. Fletcher, and for the additional labor thus devolving upon that Gentleman, sanction an increase of 15 Rupees monthly to his Salary, which they consider sufficient as the remuneration which Mr. Fletcher now receives was fixed on a very liberal scale.—

Read the following letters

No. 91

(38)

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Rd. No. 2124.
From the Collr. of Malabar ——

Sir,

Under the idea that it will be more explanatory than a sketch Map I forward a Memorandum shewing the particulars and situation of the Government farms alluded to in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th Instant.—

Calicut, 28th July 1853 } Signed H. V. Conolly Collector.

Memorandum

10480

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

Memorandum

Designation of the Farms	Situation	Estimated Distance from British Cochin	Amount of annual Rent
Aithayakaad	Shertally in Travancore	28 Miles S	30 8 -
Toorabally	Toorabally in Ditto	34 " S	18 7 1
Kattoor	Kattoor in Ditto	32 " S	17 12 -
Manakodale	Manakode in Ditto	8 " S	200 2 3
Domingo Fernandez Palakil	Manacherry in the Cochin Rajahs Country	8 " S	210 8 4
Antonio Fernandez	Kalancherry in Ditto	8 " S	48 - -
Duarte Lemos	Manacherry in Ditto	6 " S	590 5 3
Hendrick Sylva	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	556 13 1
Ditto Moondavelly	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	1464 - -
Sant Louis	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	62 4 -
Southiekal	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	44 6 11
Belchor Rodrigues	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	80 2 8
Koorochinguel Pedro	Koorochinguel in Ditto	8 " S	82 8 -
Southiekel Joao Correya Silva	Manacherry in Ditto	5 " S	43 7 10
Sant Iago	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	619 4 3
Ditto Tayveroo	Ditto in Ditto	6 " S	193 6 3
Teko Poroonpoongarah	Coomblungah in Ditto	7 " SE	60 " "
		Total Rupees	4321 15 11

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Proceedings

38·39

Bd. No. 1818.

Proceedings thereon

Requesting information respecting the transfer of certain Government Farms to the Cochin and Travancore Circars—

From Bd. 4th inst. 24th June 1852.
Bd. Pro: 24th June.

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

The Board observe that the Collector was requested to report upon the proposal of General Cullen to sell, or transfer the Management of certain Government Farms, to the Cochin and Travancore Circars, and to forward the plan called for by Government. He has however submitted a Statement in lieu of the latter, without comment upon the question regitated by the President.—

2.

When the subject was formerly laid before them, the Board stated that if the Collector should agree in the opinion of General Cullen that the transfer, either by rent or sale, was advisable on the ground of public expediency, they would be prepared to take the subject into consideration. To this no reply has as yet been received, although the Board may gather from General Cullen's letter to Government 1st June 1853. para 10. that Mr. Conolly does not

From Govt. 29th June inst. No. 11. July 1853.

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

not approve of his suggestions. They would wish however to receive Mr. Conolly's sentiments on this point.—

3.

General Cullen alludes to 26 Farms. 12 in the Shevetully district of Travancore, and 14 in the district of Circar Cochin. From Mr. Conolly's Statement now forwarded, it would seem however that there are 4 farms in Travancore and 13 in Cochin, making 17 in all. This apparent discrepancy requires explanation.—

4.

General Cullen states that Travancore has no lands to transfer. This does not seem correct, as lands have recently been made over to that State from Tinnevelly and might be available for the purpose. It is explained why Cochin refuses to transfer Tekemungalum.—

5.

The British Government can have no desire to sell or transfer any portion of their Territories to native

native States; and unless strong grounds of public expediency are shewn in the present case, the Board would be unwilling to recommend a transfer of lands particularly as the owners, are averse to the measure.—

No. 93.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to Para 3 of the Board's Circular dated 13th ultimo, I have the honor to transmit a Memorandum shewing that some of the 28 forms forwarded by the Board do not appear applicable to this District, as well as the doubts entertained in respect to a few others.

May I request to be favored with the Board's further instructions on the subject—

I have &c
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Memo:

(40)

B^d No. 2074
From the Collector of Malabar—

Collector's Office
Calicut
30th July 1853.

Memorandum

No. 3. Details of Irrigation (Quinquennial)
This form does not appear to be applicable to Malabar

No. 6. Prices of Grain—It is proposed to alter the headings of Columns 7, 8 and 9 by substituting "1st sort Paddy" "2 Sort Ditto" and Ellos or Oil seed"—being the only species of Dry Grain assessed to Revenue in this District

No. 7. Statement shewing the Ayacut (Quinquennial) It is doubtful whether this Statement is applicable to Malabar. If it is, it can only be furnished from Fusly 1263, just commenced, to admit of the requisite information being obtained from the Talooks and in that case, it is requested that information be furnished whether the term "Enam" (Columns 6 and 7) applies to lands &c made over in lieu of Pagoda and "Mosque allowance" formerly paid in money—

No. 8 Statement of the Enam cultivation (Quinquennial) The same doubt attaches to

to this Statement.-

No. 9 Comparative Statement of Dittum-

No. 10 Comparative Statement of Cultivation-

No. 11 Statement shewing the particulars of Dittum, Bunjer or Waste charged- Adverting to the nature of the land tenure and the principle of assess=ment in Malabar, it does not appear that these three Statements are applicable to this District-

No. 12. Comparative Statement of Cultivation &c.- It is presumed that the term "Garden" over Column 10 @ 17 applies to Gardens, such as those in the Carnatic, cultivated with Cholum, Cumbos, Horse Gram &c. and subject to a land tax; and that the "Cocoanut Gardens" of Malabar are to be brought in Col: 26 to 33. However the Board's further instructions

instructions on this point are requested-

No. 18. Statement of Shavy or Crops dried up- This form will only shew Remissions for "Crops dried up"- It is desirable to know whether additional Columns might not be introduced to exhibit the remissions for Crops injured by inundation, which are not uncommon in Malabar, or whether it will suffice to enter Remissions of this nature in State=ment No. 14-

No. 19. Statement shewing the Cultivation &c. of Sugar Cane Cotton, and Indigo- Not applicable to Malabar, there being no such cultivation-

No. 28 Statement of Government Plantation and Fruit Trees therein — Not applicable to this District-

(Signed) H. V. Conolly

Collector

Proceedings

10487 Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Bd No. 1857.

Proceedings thereon

(40-41)

The Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Malabar to prepare his new forms of Sumamabundy accounts in the manner proposed in the Memo: accompanying the foregoing letter.—

2. Form No. 7 may as requested, be forwarded from Fasly 1263— Care should be taken to exhibit in it the lands given over to Pagodas and Mosques in lieu of ready money allowance—

Col: 647.

T.R.

To

10488 Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

No. 1057/1853.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(42)

Bd No. 2246.

From the Collector of Malabar—

Submits a Sketch Map indicating the site he has chosen for the new Talook Cutcherry at Calicut.

In reply to extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th July 1852.

Sir,

I informed the Board in my Register of unanswered letters for December last that I would delay naming a site for the proposed new Cutcherry for the Calicut Talook till some determination had been arrived at Concerning the location of the new Moonsif's

It has now been resolved that an extra Moonsif be placed at Calicut and the Civil Judge will be glad to be saved the trouble of building a Cutcherry for his accommodation by taking the Thanadar's. The present Talook Cutcherry was built in 1832 at a Cost of 1497-4-11 Rupees.

The place which I have chosen as a site for a new Cutcherry is indicated in the accompanying Sketch Map Captain Ludlow went with me to see it and approves of the choice.

I forward thro' the Department of Public Works an Estimate for the

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

2 Dr S. Balfour has stated in his letter, I examined the building with him, and have since had it inspected by the Native Heads of Departments. I think the upper rooms of the College will afford ample accommodation for the records and Establishment of this Office with the exception of the Obituary Department, including as it does a quantity of liquor, which could not conveniently be located upstairs. I think it will be necessary to vacate for it part of the Out Houses at present occupied by the College Library and Mackenzie Manuscripts. If this can be done I think the proposed arrangement a much better appropriation of the two buildings than the present, with one proviso to be mentioned presently

3. I would suggest that the Engineer Department should report upon the security of the rooms to be occupied as the Treasury.—

4. I must also express my decided opinion that no part of the building which is occupied with the public records Stamps

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Stamps and Cash of this Office, ought ever on my account, to be used for Theatrical entertainments, nor indeed for other publick amusements at night, which might give occasion for the presence of disorderly persons, and thus involve the risk of fire, and loss of public property in other ways.

5. I understand that the Government are in no way committed to allow the College Hall to be used as a theatre, nor indeed for any publick purpose except as an adjunct, and this I apprehend only when applied for by some respectable portion of the Presidency Public.—

Madras, 22d August, 1853.— } /Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.—

(46-47)

To,
The Secretary to the
College Board.

B. No. 1836

To the Secretary to the College Board.— }

Sir,

With reference to

Requesting the opinion of the College Board on the

Int. Cons. 4th Aug. 1853

the order of Government dated 6th August 1853, directing the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

the Suggestion of Dr. Balfour of removing the Madras Cutcherry to the upper Floor of the College and to leave the Pantheon as available for the Central Museum.

in communication with the College Board, to report upon the feasibility of the arrangements suggested by Doctor Balfour, of removing the Madras Cutcherry to the upper floor of the College, so as to leave the Pantheon available for the Central Museum. I am instructed to forward for the information of the College Board

22. August 1853 in Cons. 5th Sept. 1853

Copy of a letter which the Board of Revenue have received from the Acting Collector of Madras on the subject.—

2. It will be seen that Mr. Stokes thinks the upper Rooms of the College will afford ample accommodation for the Records and Establishments of his Office. It will be necessary however to clear a part of the Authorses at present occupied by the College Library and Mackenzie Manuscripts to make room for the Military Department.—

3. Mr. Stokes also expresses his decided opinion that no part of the Building which is occupied with the public Records Stamps and Cash of his Office should

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

Should ever, on any account, be used for theatrical entertainments, nor indeed for other public amusements at night, which might give occasion for the presence of disorderly persons and thus involve the risk of fire and loss of public property in other ways.

The Board of Revenue look upon the proposal as open to serious objection for many reasons. Public Examinations and the Meetings of the Literary Society, are held at the College, and it is the only place available except the Banqueting Room at Government House for Public Meetings of any sort. And although it is proposed to occupy only the upper Story as the Cutcherry, it seems to them that it will be

10495

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

with the crowd and confusion, and the number of persons hanging about a Cutcherry, the lower story would be rendered unfit for the purposes to which it is now devoted.-

5. Before however addressing Government on the subject the Board of Revenue are desirous of being favored with the opinion of the College Board respecting the proposed arrangement.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th September, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

10496

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

Read the following letter.-

No. 741.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(48)

B. No. 2257.
From the Acting Collector of Madras.

6th August 1853.

"To Reverend George "Marty Missionary "of the Evangelical "Lutheran Church "of the United States "of North America "his lawful Col= "=league and suc= "=cessors in the Guntur "Mission to be used "for Missionary "purposes-

Dated 13th August 1853.

Sir,

1. With reference to my letter of the 6th Instant, I have the honor to forward Mr. Purvis' reply to a reference from this Office, stating that no formal transfer to Mr. Growning was made of the land for which a Certificate is required, a letter having been addressed by Mr. Martz to the Civil Judge and apparently not acted on, stating that the property was purchased for the use of the Mission, and might be conveyed in the terms shewn in the Margin.-

2. I am not aware that any objection exists to embodying these terms in the draft of Certificate forwarded in my letter above noticed for the approval of the Board.-

3. I take this opportunity of forwarding Copy of a Second letter from the Collector of Coimbatore stating that Lands on the Neilgheries on account of Societies in Foreign Countries are so entered in his accounts.-

Madras,
23d August, 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Acting Collector.

To,

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

No. 1.

To,

H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector of
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 6th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that lands on the Nilgherries on account of Societies in Foreign Countries are duly entered so in my accounts.

Coimbatore,
13th August, 1853.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas.
Collector.

No. 88.

To,

H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector and Register of
Government Grants
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6th Instant, and in reply to state that it does not appear that Mr. Martz made any formal transfer of the land referred to in my letter of the 19th May last, to Mr. Growning or to any other party. From a letter addressed by the first named Gentleman to the Civil Judge, which is now on record in the Office, it appears that the property was purchased for the use of the Mission. Mr. Martz observing

Fort St. George 5th September 1853.

observing it would not perhaps be best to have the writings simply in my name, but it might be conveyed as follows, "to Reverend George J. Martz Missionary "of the Evangelical Lutheran Church of the United "States of North America his lawful Colleagues and "Successors in the Guntoor Mission to be used for "Missionary purposes &ca." which would obviate the necessity of transferring the property from one Missionary to another in cases of removal or death.

Guntoor District,
17th August, 1853.

(Signed) A. Purvis.
Acting Collector.

(True Copies)

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Acting Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence upon the subject be submitted with the following address.

No. 398.

(48-49)

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

B. No. 1863
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting correspondence with the Acting Collector of Madras respecting

1. With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th March 1853, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the

In Council 18th April 1853.

respecting the permission solicited by the Revd. E. W. Growning to hold certain land in the District of Guntoor, and the manner in which lands held by Foreign Missions are registered.

the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the correspondence noted in the Margin, respecting the permission solicited by the Revd. C. W. Growning to hold certain land in the District of Guntoor.—

From Madras 6th in Cons: 22d August 1853.
Proceedings 22d August —"—
From —"— 23d August —"—
In Cons: 5th Sept. —"—

2. The extent of the land in question is acres 15, and bears an Annual assessment of Rupees 10-11-4. No formal transfer was made to the Revd. Mr. Growning, but a letter was addressed by the Revd. Mr. Martz the purchaser, to the Civil Judge which was not acted on, stating that the property was purchased for the use of the Evangelical Lutheran Mission and requesting that it might be conveyed to him, his lawful Colleagues and successors in Office, to be used for Missionary purposes.—

3. The Board recommend that a permission Certificate as per form accompanying Mr. Stokes letter of 6th August 1853, be granted in the name of the Revd. Mr. Martz, for a period of 99 years, renewable every 33 years, as was done in the case of Messrs. Gunn and Martz. They also see no objection to embodying in it the terms requested by Mr. Martz.

To Government 17th in Cons: 26th June 1857.

4. Referring to the concluding part of para 2 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation quoted in para 1 it

will be observed that the Acting Collector of Madras addressed the Collectors of those Districts, where he supposed there were agents of Foreign Missions, and from the replies received it appears that in Coimbatore lands on the Neilgherries on account of societies in Foreign Countries are so entered in the accounts. In Canara the German Evangelical Missionary Society of Basle holds lands which have been obtained by purchase or on Durkhast or in gift by the Missionaries in the same manner as they would have been obtained by any natives of the Country. No permission was obtained from Government for the holding of these lands. In Malabar the same society has 45 Cawnies of land taken up for Cultivation, which was granted on the same terms as would have been done to any other individuals.—

From Collr. 23d August 1853.
From Collr. 6th August 1853

5. No replies have yet been received from Tanjore Rajahmundry and Madura on their receipt at this Office, they would be forwarded to Government.—

6. In Madura the Board are able to state from the records of their Office, that recently the sale of two spots of land on the Pulney Hills for building purposes to Dr. Shelton of the American Board of Missions was confirmed by Government, and authority granted for the issue of separate leases to that Gentleman.

To Govt. 24th January 1853 in Cons: 31st Jany. —"—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 5th September 1853.

(Signed) B: Ouchterlony
Sub Secretary.

50

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.— No. 394.

B/ No. 1862.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying comparative Statement of the sales of Salt for the Official year ending 30th April 1851-52 and 53 prepared agreeably to the instructions conveyed in a letter from the Government of India under date the 4th June received with the orders of Government dated 2d July 1852.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 5th September 1853.

(Signed) B: Ouchterlony
Sub Secretary.

Comparative

10501

Fin: Sn: George 5th September 1853.

Comparat... from 1st May 1851. to 30th April 1852. ... From 1st May 1852 to 30th April 1853.

under the D...	Quantity of Salt-		Rate of price per. India on Maund-		Amount-		Quantity of Salt-		Rate of price per India Maund.		Amount.		Remarks-
	Mds.	S	C	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Mds.	S C	Rs. A P		Rs.	A P	
	745	24	"	1	" "	49,745	9 7	45,257	5 "	1	" "	45,257	5 11	
	800	"	"	"	15 2 40/100	38,760	" "	4,920	" "	"	15 2 40/100	4,671	0 "	
Ganjam—	100	"	"	"	1 8 80/100	1,430	" "	1,284	0 "	"	1 8 86/100	1,391	" "	Full heaps are sold at 114 Rupees per Garce supplied to Karical-
	621	36	"	1	" "	32,162	14 5	37,814	1 "	1	" "	37,814	1 "	
Vizagapatam	800	"	"	"	15 2 40/100	4,560	" "	840	" "	"	15 2 40/100	798	0 "	
	733	24	"	1	" "	37,173	9 7	37,680	15 15	1	" "	37,680	6 6	
Rajahmu...	897	19	14	1	" "	45,089	7 8	45,203	18 4	1	" "	45,203	6 1	
	25	8	"	"	3 3 15/16	193	6 9	1,106	2 8	"	3 2 7/16	221	3 4	
Masulipat...	46	16	"	"	3 4	29	" 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
	636	38	8	"	15 11 43/100	22,996	8 11	26,760	21 "	"	15 11 67/100	25,224	4 1	
	886	20	"	1	" "	76,886	8 "	80,899	23 8	"	15 11 39 11/100	80,854	7 1	
Nellore—	60	"	"	"	3 5 60/100	78	" "	1,250	" "	"	3 10 24/100	301	" 8	
	704	12	"	1	" "	37,904	4 10	47,074	3 8	1	" "	47,043	15 3	
Shingle/su...	306	33	5 1/2	"	" "	47,881	8 2	50,942	36 12 7/8	"	" "	50,217	11 "	

Sn: Dn: of George, 5th September, 1853.—

/Signed/ B: Cunliffe
Sub Secretary-

* This difference arises from 30 Maunds and 10 Seers of Salt having been sold at 12 ax: and 1 Maund and 36 Seers at 8 ax: the Maund besides 83 Maund 30 Seers at 13 ax: 9 Pice and 8377-20 at 9 annas 2 Pice which were sold as per Board's letter of the 18th March 1852 at Cochin Chowghat Otapalam Palghaut and alatoor. The difference in the rate sold for Inland consumption is consequent on 36 Maunds and 23 1/2 Seers of smuggled salt having been sold at 12 annas and 72 Maunds at 8 annas the Maund.—

To the French Settlement of Mahe,-

Read

51

Read the undermentioned registers-

B. No. 2366.
From the Collector of Chingleput- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last-

52

B. No. 2373.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last-

53

B. No. 2376.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last-

51-54

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers- Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letter and Statements-

55

M. No. 953.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last-

56

M. No. 954.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam- Abstract of Demand

Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last-

57

M. No. 964
From the Collector of Nellore- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last-

58

M. No. 960.
From the Collector of Chingleput- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last-

59

M. No. 959.
From the Collector of Coimbatore- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last-

60

M. No. 955.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last-

61

M. No. 965.
From the Collector of Nellore- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last-

62

M. No. 961.
From the Collector of Chingleput- Statement shewing the average Bazar

Fort St. George 5th September 1853

63
Mr. No. 958.
From the Collector of Salem— Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.—

64
Mr. No. 962.
From the Collector of Salem— Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of July last.—

65
Mr. No. 957.
From the Collector of Salem— Reporting the non-transmission of the Return of persons confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of July last.—

Return of Village Officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI for the month of July last.—

Comp. A. Hills.

" Yolaingham

" J. Blane
"

Fort St. George 6th September 1853.—

Diary.

7th September. Received the following letter—

1

To, The Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

B. No. 2409.
From the Sub Collector in charge of North Arcot.

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to forward my application for an extension of one months' leave under the provisions of Section XII of the Absentee Rules.—

September 2d, 1853.
Sherweoy Hills.

(Signed) R. Cotton Lewin.

Recommended for sanction accordingly.—

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 5th September, 1853.

(Signed) R. Mc. Binning.
Sub Collector in Charge.

B. No. 1855.
Proceedings thereon.

1-2

The Board of Revenue observe that subsequent to the date of the above application Mr. Lewin has been appointed to act as Head Assistant Collector of North Arcot and before submitting his application to Government they request to be informed whether he still continues to wish for leave, and whether its being granted to him will be attended with any inconvenience.—

At

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 8th. September 1853 } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member
and
J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

3

B. No. 2199.

From the Secretary to Government 13th. August 1853. } Read the undermentioned Extracts.

Authorizing the Collector of Rajahmundry to refund to the Exproprietor of Pollepollem Rupees 25 being the Collections of the Estate after the sale inadvertantly credited to Government.

3-4

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded.

B. No. 2187.

From the Secretary to Government

5 Dated 16th. August 1853. } Granting authority for the abolition of two imposts which continue to be levied in the Guntoor District, Viz^t. the Tax on Grain entering the Town of Mungalagherry and the Demand made on the Weavers of Venteapollem in addition to the regular Moturpha payable by them.

5-6

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded.

Read

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

7

Read the following Extract.

No. 964.

B. No. 2302

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 23d. August, 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Herewith 8th. August 1853 No. 358.)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the grant of a Certificate to the Reverend C. F. Kremmer for the additional land to be occupied as the Lutheran Mission Burial Ground the land to be held rent free so long as it is [illegible] purpose.—

2- The Governor in Council cannot sanction the remission of quit rent on the two pieces of Ground which for the site of a Church for Native Christians in connexion with the above Mission, nor grant authority for writing off the arrears due on the same. He observes that no answer has yet been received from the Honorable the Court of Directors to the reference made to them regarding the remission of quit rent on the land on which Christ Church is built.—

(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

To The Board of Revenue.

Ordered

B. No. 1880.

Order thereon.-

7-8

Inland: 8th. Aug. 5th. 1853.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter dated 13th. July 1853.-

---

Read the following Memorandum.-

Revenue Department.

Memorandum.-

9

B. No. 2300.

From the Secretary to Government.-

Four Copies of Commercial Annual of a tabular Statement of the External Commerce of Bengal during 1851-52 and 1852-53 forwarded to the President and Members of the Board of Revenues.-

Fort St. George, 26th. August, 1853.-

(By Order)

(Signed) J. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

9-10

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

11

B. No. 2332.

From the Secretary to Government.-

Dated 27th. August 1853.-

Read Extract reviewing the Settlement Report of the Guntoor District for Fusly 1261.-

11-12

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read

13

B. No. 2305.

From the Secretary to Government.- Reviewing the Settlement Report of the Bellary District for Fusly 1261.-

Read the following Extract.-

No. 974.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 27th. August, 1853.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 18th. July 1853 No. 372)

Para 1.- The season of Fusly 1261. in Bellary though not so favorable as that of the preceding year was on the whole good. As regards the dry lands it was better adapted to the black than to the lighter soils.- The Storm of the 5th. and 6th. May 1851 which damaged many of the tanks and water courses and covered the Salah lands with sand, checked the wet cultivation, which was further diminished by the smaller supply of water received in those Tanks, which had not been breached or were promptly repaired. The Collector has entered into details to shew how vigorously he acted upon the discretion granted him by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 3rd. June 1851 which authorized him to proceed at once and without waiting for formal sanction with the repairs of all works, the estimated cost of which did not

exceed

exceeded four years revenue: and the good effects which followed his exertions. By the expenditure within the year of Rs 3,45,839, revenue to the amount of more than three lacs of Rupees has been saved, and the decrease on the cultivation under the works injured by the Storm as compared with the Settlement of Fusly 1260, reduced to Rupees 64,918 - a sum which would have been still further diminished had the supply of water in Fusly 1261, been as ample as in the previous year. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council entirely agrees with the Board of Revenue that Mr. Selby has fully justified the confidence reposed in him and that every credit is due to him, as well as to his Native Establishment.

Board's Proceedings Para 6.

2. The Sanatory condition of the District generally is not stated, but Cholera was considerably less prevalent than in Fusly 1260. The loss of Cattle was greater.

3. Prices of all kinds of produce were lower than in the preceding year and were also much below those which obtained at the time when the Survey and assessment were made.

Para 3. But more near to the average of the years

years the Collections of which were taken into consideration in determining the Assessment. The prices indeed of some grains and of Cotton exceeded or almost equalled the average of those years. The decline below the rates of Fusly 1260 is ascribed partly to an abundant harvest and in part to the circumstance that the injury occasioned by the storm to the grain pits obliged the Ryots to bring their accumulated stores into the Market. The Board speaking of Paddy only have entered into calculations to shew that under the operation of low prices, the share of the gross produce taken by Government of late years, at the present actual assessment, after deduction of the general remissions made in 1820, is about 46 per cent; or about the proportion which it bore before the grant of those remissions. It is to be observed however that the Board have not only confined this comparison to one species of produce only, but have overlooked the prices with reference to which the assessment was assumed to bear the proportion mentioned by them. Taking those prices into consideration in comparison with the prices of the present time their calculation will

will not hold good- It is further to be observed that the Board in referring to Colonel Munro's plan of a permanent Ryotwar Settlement have overlooked that he was proposing an assessment to be collected to the full invariably and therefore made allowance on it once for all for the casualties of season, for which there was to be no annual Ryotwar Settlements- There is obviously no analogy between an assessment adopted to a Settlement of that nature, and an assessment adapted to a system of annual Settlement which provides for abatements to meet such casualties as they occur- The general question respecting the decline in prices of late years and its bearing on the assessment of the Country has, it is observed, been referred to the Board for their careful inquiry and consideration, in Extract Minutes of Consultation 24th September 1852, and the Governor in Council therefore abstains from entering more into the subject in the present place, or in connection with a single District-

4- The mode in which the Settlement was formed will be seen from the annexed Abstract.-

Fusly

	Fusly 1261.	Increase or Decrease compared with Fusly 1260-
Dittum	30,58,495	— 41,130
Deduct Caboolate Bunjer or land left waste from unavoidable causes	32,7137	+ 4,0046
Gross Settlement A	27,31,358	— 81,176
Particulars of the above.		
Black Soils	82,7803	+ 8,594
Red or mixed Soils	63,8,574	— 11,464
Total dry lands	14,66,377	— 2870
Lands irrigated-		
By Tanks	5,19,781	— 59,295
" Nullahs	3,92,387	— 13,209
" Wells	3,52,858	— 5,803
Total irrigated land	12,64,981	— 78,307
Total lands dry and irrigated as above A	27,31,358	— 81,176
Deductions on cultivated land	7,31,729	— 19,190
Remainder	19,99,629	— 61,986
Add Sase on Exam Cultivation, Quit rent, 8 ca	177,379	— 5,879
	21,77,008	— 67,865
Deduct ready Money allowance	1,896	+ 185
Net Settlement	21,75,112	— 68,050

The

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

The Net decrease Rupees 68,050 has occurred in consequence of the season and of the injury occasioned to the works of irrigation by the Storm- It has had place in every description of Cultivation except the black soils but chiefly in the irrigated lands.-

5- Para 35 of the report shews that the total Ayacut of the Charitable and subsistence Enams, or those known under the designation of Davadaya, Bhuteertee, Ryatee, &c. and including Dusswentum or those granted for the repair of tanks, stood in Fusly 1261 at 590,683 Acres bearing a (nominal) assessment of Rupees 836,084- The difference by which this Ayacut falls below that of the preceding year arises from the resumptions which have been made on lapses among the holders- The decrease is 295 Acres assessed at Rupees 852 and sufficiently indicates the moderation with which the rules of 1848 have been applied.-

6- The Collections were.

	Rs.
Within the Fusly	214,039.
Subsequently to the end of May	24,661.
leaving a balance on that date of	6,412-

The

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

7 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for striking out of the public accounts the sums of Rupees (6,246-1-9) Six thousand, two hundred and forty Six, Anna one, and Pice Nine, being the irrecoverable balance of land revenue for Fusly 1260- and Rupees (34-8-11) Thirty four and Annas Eight, arrears of Sewaye Jumma, in all Rupees (6280-9-9) Six thousand, two hundred and Eighty, Annas Nine and Pice Nine..

8. From the table given in Para 42 of the report the Charges of collecting and managing the revenue are shown to bear to the gross Collections both from Land and Extra Sources the ratio of Rupees 7 13/16 per cent- These charges include the pay of the entire establishment, European and Native, district and Koozoor, who are employed on Police and Miscellaneous, as well as strictly revenue duties- On the other hand they do not include the emoluments of the Village Officers- The Village Service Enams, it is perceived from Para 35, amount in the aggregate to 798595 acres assessed at Rupees 985893- besides Cavalee and Cuttoo-lady Enams acres 89954 Rupees 120378.-

9. The demand on account of

Land

land revenue, and of that, drawn from the extra sources for Fusly 1261 as compared with the year that preceded it was..

	Fusly 1261	Decrease compared with Fusly 1260
Land Revenue	21,75,112	68,050
Extra Sources	6,06,228	48,221
Total	27,81,340	1,16,271

the result being a decrease under all heads of Rupees 1,16,271.-

10.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has remarked with satisfaction the testimony borne by Mr Pelly to the exertions in a year of more than usual labor of his Sub Collector Mr. Daniel- his other European Subordinates and his Head Sheristadar Neel Kunt Row, and regrets the loss which the public service appears to have sustained by the death of the Sheristadar Soobarsen.

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

13-14

Bd N. 1873
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 18th July 1853.

Extract

N. 982

Bd N. 2348
From the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated the 30th August, 1853.

15

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

/Here enter 15th August 1853. N. 365./

In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 21st June 1853 N. 686, the Government sanctioned the proposition made by the Collector of Madura and supported by the Court of Wards, of vesting in the Revenue Ameens within the Shevagunga Zemindary the Police authority now exercised by the Ameens of Police. The result of the arrangement as reported in the foregoing Proceedings of the Board of Revenue being a monthly saving of 75 Rupees in the present charge - The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the revised Establishment involving an expenditure of Rupees 284 per mensem, and desires that it may be entertained at once - He requests that a Statement, in the prescribed form, may be forwarded for transmission to the Government of India that their confirmation may be obtained to the arrangement.-

Present Establishment — 359
Proposed
8 Peons @ Rs 25 — 200
20 Peons — @ Rs 8 — 80
40 Do — @ 3½ Rs 140 — 284
Saving Rs 75

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

To Board of Revenue
Accountant General
& Civil Auditor.

Bd N. 1877
Order thereon.

15-16

Ordered to be communicated for

for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Madura, with reference to his letter dated 12th July 1853.-

In Cons: 15th Augt 1853.

2. Mr. Clarke will forward a Statement, in the prescribed form, exhibiting the arrangement now sanctioned.-

17

No. 1013

3d No. 2380
From the Secretary to Government

Reviewing the correspondence which has taken place between the Collector and Sub Collector of Malabar on the subject of a Proclamation issued by the latter Officer, and removing Mr Chatfield from his present appointment and placing him on the out of employ allowances.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 3d September, 1853.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th August 1853 No. 385)

With the above letter the Board of Revenue submit for the consideration and orders of Government certain correspondence which has passed between the Collector and Magistrate of Malabar, Mr. Conolly, and his Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate, Mr. Chatfield, as also certain communications addressed to the Board by those Gentlemen.-

2 These papers relate to two subjects- One in the Police and the other in the Road Department. The first of these questions is that on which reference was originally made to the Board, the correspondence connected with the second has been submitted by Mr. Conolly in consequence of allusion having

being made to it by his Sub Collector in one of his letters to the Board...

From Magistrate to Board 18th June 1853

3. With regard to the matter which led immediately to the present reference, it appears that on the 21st March last, the Joint Magistrate issued in the four Taloks under his charge a proclamation attended with very stringent subsidiary rules, ordering that no parties, save those who were publicly known to be respectable characters, should be allowed to quit the Parish in which they lived to proceed to another Parish, without a passport from the Adigaree or Head man of their Parish nor to proceed to another Zillah without obtaining a similar pass from the Head of Police of their Taluk. Mr Chatfield furnished copy of this Proclamation and of the rules to the Magistrate and to the Special Assistant, with a suggestion that the Proclamation should likewise be published in their respective Divisions.-

4 The Magistrate wholly disapproved of the Proclamation, and under date 14th April informed the Joint Magistrate, that, for certain reasons, he considered it desirable that it should be cancelled. No notice having been taken by the Joint Magistrate of this intimation, the Magistrate again addressed him on the 17th May

but

but without success - and again on the 8th June. The Proclamation was eventually cancelled by Mr. Chatfield on the 10th June, 56 days after instructions to that effect had been sent to him, and the fact of its having been annulled was reported by him to his Superior in a letter containing grave insinuations against the Official Character of that Functionary and his management of the District under his charge.

5. On the 23d May or nearly Six weeks after the Magistrate's disapproval of the Proclamation had been intimated to him, Mr. Chatfield applied to Mr Thomas the Magistrate of the adjoining Province of Coimbatore, and invited his co-operation in carrying out the passport system or that very system to which his own Superior had objected, and had directed him to cancel. Mr Thomas declined to accede to Mr Chatfield's proposition, for reasons similar to those which had occurred to Mr Conally, and very properly communicated the correspondence for the information of that Officer. In his reply to Mr Thomas' letter, Mr Chatfield has indulged in reflections highly injurious to Mr Conally.

6 The Board of Revenue to whom the above proceedings were referred by Mr Conolly having expressed their disapproval of Mr Chatfield's proceedings, and style of

x 27th & 29th July of writing, that Gentleman has written to them two letters in vindication of his conduct - The first of these, 27th July, is chiefly occupied with a disquisition on the state of Crime and condition of the Police in the Malabar District, which are denounced in the most unmeasured terms. In the second, Mr Chatfield attempts some explanation of his proceedings in regard to the Proclamation. The Board in forwarding this letter state, that in their opinion it furnishes no adequate excuse for Mr Chatfield's delay in complying with Mr Conolly's orders to cancel that document and in this view, the Government concur. The Board have at the same time properly remarked upon Mr Conolly's inattention to the orders of Government, 28th November 1848, prohibiting communications on subjects of importance by means of Yadasts written in the Native Language.

7. The correspondence forwarded to the Board by the Collector with his letter of the 8th ultimo is that which has passed between himself and his Sub-Collector on the state of the Trunk road (No 5) from Palghaut to Ponany. As already noticed, Mr Conolly did not originally intend to lay these papers before the higher authorities, that he has been induced to submit them in consequence of some allusion made to the

Subject

subject by Mr Chatfield in his letter to the Board of 29th July last. The tone adopted by Mr Chatfield in this correspondence is even more offensive than that which pervades his letters relative to the Proclamation -

8- The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has very attentively considered the whole of the correspondence transmitted by the Board and he has with regret arrived at the conclusion, that the conduct of Mr Chatfield in both the matters included in the present reference was wholly unjustifiable and such as to require serious notice. Of the impropriety of the Proclamation issued by Mr Chatfield, and of the propriety of Mr Conolly's interference, and of his order that it should be cancelled, there can be no question. The Magistrate's order however was not simply disregarded, or met with merely passive disobedience, but was openly contemned and set at nought by the Joint Magistrate, who in direct defiance of it endeavoured to engage the Magistrate of Coimbatore to support and assist him in a policy which his own Superior had condemned and ordered to be reversed. Ultimately, when after repeated injunctions and the lapse of 56 days from the date of the order, the Joint Magistrate reported that he had at last complied with it, he made his report the medium of contumacious and unwarranted reflections upon his Superior Officer - and his administration, and when warned of the impropriety of his conduct by the Board of Revenue he still persisted in it, and in order to justify himself in the line he had taken proceeded with the purpose of aggravation to make further allegations in the same tone & spirit.

DG.

On

9- On a full review of all these circumstances, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is clearly of opinion that the further continuance of Mr Chatfield in the Malabar District would not be for the advantage of the public service - and he considers it necessary to visit that Gentleman's misconduct with some decided mark of the displeasure of Government. He therefore resolves to remove Mr Chatfield from his present appointment as Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate of Malabar. Mr J. D. Sinclair who has been appointed in his room will proceed to Paulghaut with all convenient despatch and relieve Mr Chatfield who from the date of his delivering over charge of his Office will be placed on the out of employ allowances -

Resolved that Copy of the above orders be furnished direct to Mr Chatfield and to Mr Conolly and that Copy be transmitted to the Board of Revenue in reference to their Sub Secretary's letter of the 25th Ultimo -

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(True Copy)
Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded

Salt

17-18

10525-

(19)

Fort St. George

B.to S.r 2500 Dr. Salt Account Current in the District of Rajahmundry from 1st April to 11th July 1853 of fusly 1262 Cr.

8th September 1853.

A

1852									
July 11th	To balance of quantity remaining in store at the end of last fusly				78098	38	1853 July 11th	By sales for inland Consumption	
1853								To the end of last quarter	36833 20 "
July 11th	To quantity Manufactured and received into Store							In this quarter	7961 " " 44794 20 "
	To the end of last quarter	18923 " "						By Home Consumption	
	In this quarter	2350						To the end of last quarter	150730 20 "
				21273	" "			In this quarter	51950 20 "
	To quantity gained by Measurement in the Salt of 1200 Maund heaps								202781 " "
	To the end of last quarter	60 " "						By exportation to Calcutta	
	In this quarter	50 " "						To the end of last quarter	19916 " "
				110	" "			In this quarter	" " " 19916 " "
	To Smuggled Salt							By quantity sold to the French Chief of Yanaon	
	To the end of last quarter	" 28						To the end of last quarter	1080 " "
	In this quarter	29 2012						In this quarter	360 " " 1440 " "
				29	23	4			
	To Muster Salt							By quantity of Smuggled Salt	
	To the end of last quarter	1 14 "						In this quarter	" " " 58 26 "
	In this quarter	" 24 "							
				1	38			By quantity of Muster Salt sold	
	N.B.							To the end of last quarter	1 14 "
	This quantity includes M 2753 - 20 being the wastage for fusly 1261.							In this quarter	" 24 " 1 38
								By quantity of wastage occurred in fusly 1261 written off as per letter of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 18th July 1853 conveying the authority of Government	2753 20 "
									270746 24 "
								Balance in Store	
								Manufactured Salt	6394 20 "
								Smuggled Salt	29 20 12 16424 " 12
									287169 24 12
								N.B	
								By Wastage	
								To the end of last quarter	8426 " "
								In this quarter	1247 " " 9693 " "
	Total Indian Maunds				296862 24 12			Total Indian Maunds	296862 24 12

10526

Fort St. George

8th September 1853.

Dr. Money account Current for Salt from 1st April to 11th July 1853 of Fusly 1262 Cr.

1853		Rs	as	P	Rs	as
July 11th	To Sales for inland Consumption					
	To the end of last quarter	36233	8	"		
	In this quarter	7961	"	"		
					44194	8 "
	To Home Consumption					
	To the end of last quarter	150190	8	"		
	In this quarter	30930	8	"		
					201121	" "
	Total					
	At 1 Rupee per Maund					
	at 4.15-2 C Lo per Dr.	221373	8	"		
		23940	"	"		
					245315	8 "
	To exportation by Sea to Calcutta					
	To the end of last quarter	2987	6	5		
	In this quarter	"	"	"		
					2987	6 5
	To the French Chief of Yanaon					
	To the end of last quarter	54	"	"		
	In this quarter	18	"	"		
					72	" "
	To the Sale proceeds of Smuggled Salt					
	To the end of last quarter	47	12	3		
	In this quarter	"	"	"		
					47	12 3
	To Drs. of Musters Salt					
	To the end of last quarter	1	6	10		
	In this quarter	"	9	7		
					2	5
	To fines Collected					
	To the end of last quarter	21	4	10		
	In this quarter	56	14	4		
					78	3 2
	To recoveries of advances made for Salt					
	Manufacturers					
	To the end of last quarter	1393	12	10		
	In this quarter	1320	"	"		
					2713	12 10
	To refunds of Extra Charges					
	To the end of last quarter	120	11	9		
	In this quarter	8	7	"		
					129	2 9
					251345	15 10

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 11th July 1853 E. E. J. Signed V. Prendergast Collector

1853		Rs	as	P	Rs	as
July 11th	By Servants wages					
	To the end of last quarter	5971	1	8		
	In this quarter	1265	9	5		
					7236	11 1
	By Underwards including Extra Charges					
	To the end of last quarter	13317	10			
	In this quarter	24999	15	6		
					38317	7 6
	By Roofpoons					
	To the end of last quarter	462	7	7		
	In this quarter	57	10	5		
					520	2 "
	By Manufacturers Shares					
	To the end of last quarter	9303	3	7		
	In this quarter	1320	"	"		
					10623	3 7
	By advances made for the Manufacturers					
	To the end of last quarter	"	"	"		
	In this quarter	5916	10	8		
					5916	10 8
	Total				281282	8
	Net Revenue					
	Amount credited actually	217846	5	2		
	Drs to be credited in the account of next Fusly	5371	8	"		
					223217	13 2
	Total Company's Rupees				251345	15 10

Collected To.

10527 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

(19-20)

To B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(21)

Sir,

B. of R. 2399

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Reporting on the operation of Paragraph of the Pension rules circulated with the Board's letter of the 1st July 1851 regarding the personal appearance of females above the age of 50 for identification.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 21st March 1853 forwarding Copy of the 41st Para of the Honorable Court's Despatch dated 1st July 1852 No 9, and calling for a report on the practical operation of Paragraph II of the rules to the personal appearance of all female pensioners above the age of 50 circulated with the Board's letter of the 1st July 1850" and for a Statement of the female pensioners living at each age above fifty.

2— There are two Mussulmans and four Rajah caste ladies who from their high rank appear to me

10528 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

to be privileged to receive their pensions without personally appearing before me. One of the latter Reddy Venkapuramma is in a conspicuous position being the widow of a Zemindar and to her I have confided the duty of examining and certifying the identity of the other five.

3— The remaining twenty one pensioners have appeared before me with more or less scrupulosity and I see no reason why the practice should not in a few years become universal. The Board must be aware that among the higher Circars there are families of great distinction as the former Nabobs of Rajahmundry and the descendants of the great Zemindars whose Estates have passed into the hands of Government. I have taken upon myself the responsibility of exempting such parties from the imaginary degradation of appearing before men in authority, but I will not cease to endeavor to persuade them to relax the rigidity of their exclusivement.

Rajahmundry District Collectors Office
Cocanada 30th August 1853

Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

a Memo.

10529. Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Memorandum of Pensioners above the age of 50 drawing pay at the Treasury of Cocanada.

No.	Names of Pensioners	Age	Remarks.
1	Sillar beebee	51	
2	Gowroo	52	
3	Bhangky	53	
4	Reddy Venkyummah	54	Does not appear
5	Woolummah	54	
6	Saudut Begum	54	Examined by Pensioner No. 1.
7	Jungle beebee	54	
8	Cundoocoory Bramarumba	55	
9	Amunah Comamma	55	
10	Pentapaty Chalmamma	56	
11	Cannum beebee	56	
12	Inkeshmerdary	56	
13	Juggah	57	
14	Roofsain beebee	57	Examined by Pensioner No. 1.
15	Pettycunty Venkobayamma	58	
16	Swabhamma	58	
17	Lakshoomboyamma	59	
18	Kurdumma	61	
19	Ramalingee	62	

10530 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

20	Gollamoo Koola Seetayya	62	
21	Boorshaid beebee	64	
22	Amunah Seetumma	65	
23	Mylavarapoo Kursumma	65	
24	Amunah Venkumma	66	
25	Chinna Bavamey	67	
26	Gollamoo Koola Rajayya	73	Examined by Pensioner No. 1.
27	Poosapaty Kursayya	78	

Rajahmundry District
Collector's Office
Cocanada 30th August 1853.

Signed T. Prendergast
Collector.

21-22

Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon.

23

No. 2264

From the Collector of Bellary. Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and his subordinates for Fasly 1262.

23-24

Bd. No. 1866.

Proceedings thereon. Before sanctioning the foregoing Statement the Board request that the Collector will be good enough to afford explanation respecting the protracted residence of W. L. D. Daniell at Bellary for 64 days and at Penmagundah for 77 days to enable them to pass the charge

10531.

Fort St. George

A

B.d S.o 2412

Dr. Salt Account Current in the District of Shinglepet

25

1852		Md	S	C	Md	S	C
July 12.	To Balance of Quantity remaining in Stores at the end of last Fusly				208	69	34
1853							
July 11.	To Quantity Manufactured and received into Store						
	To the end of last Quarter	324	66	"			
	In this Quarter	74	52	24			
					399	18	24
	To Quantity gained by Measurement in the Sale of 10 Garce Heaps						
	To the end of last Quarter	"	"	"			
	In this Quarter	10	8	"			
					10	8	"
	To Quantity of Confiscated Salt						
	To the end of last Quarter	202	28	1/8			
	In this Quarter	18	16	7/8			
					221	5	5/8
	To Quantity of Muster Salt						
	To the end of last Quarter	"	"	"			
	In this Quarter	"	"	"			
					"	"	"
	Total Indian Maunds				607	881	31 5/8

Shinglepet District Collectors Cutcherry

8th September 1853.

from 1st April to 11th July 1853 of Fusly 1262

Cr.

1853		Md	S	C	Md	S	C
July 11.	By Sale for Inland Consumption						
	To the end of last Quarter	187	087	20	"		
	In this Quarter	96	891	"	"		
					283	978	20
	By Home Consumption						
	To the end of last Quarter	199	77	8	1/8		
	In this Quarter	125	52	16	7/8		
					325	29	25 5/8
	By Exportation						
	To Calcutta on Private Trade						
	To the end of last Quarter	"	"	"			
	In this Quarter	"	"	"			
					"	"	"
	To Shitlagung						
	To the end of last Quarter	"	"	"			
	In this Quarter	"	"	"			
					"	"	"
	By Quantity supplied from Vellore Salt Rams to the Madras Coast as per Order of the Board of Revenue dated 9th March 1848						
	To the end of last Quarter	34	82	36	"		
	In this Quarter	67	320	24	"		
					102	103	20
	By Quantity of useless Salt destroyed at Vellore in Fusly 1261 written off under the Authority of the Board of Revenue dated 16 October 1851				4	291	20
	By Wastage occasioned in Fusly 1261 written off under the Sanction of Govt as Communicated in a letter of the Secy to the Bd of Revd dated 18th July 1853				12	711	6
					17	002	26
					233	614	11 5/8
	Balance in Stores				160	850	28
	N.B.						
	By Wastage occasioned in Fusly 1262						
	To the end of last Quarter	67	35	28	"		
	In this Quarter	56	81	4	"		
					114	16	32
	Total Indian Maunds				607	881	31 5/8

Enmore 31st Aug.t 1853. E.E.E.C. / Signed / J. H. Cochrane

Collector.

10532.

Fort St. George

Dr. Money Account Current for Salt from 1st April 8th September 1853

to 11th July 1853 of Fusly 1262. Cr.

1853		Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P
July 11th	To Sale of Salt for Home Consumption						
	To the end of last Quarter	183681	8	0			
	In this Quarter	94754	10	0	278336	2	0
	For Home Consumption						
	To the end of last Quarter	19900	5	7			
	In this Quarter	12551	10	7	32452	0	2
	Total at 1 Rupee per Maund						
	Do at 16-2-40 per Do	202808	2	2			
	To Exportation	108300	0	0	310808	2	2
	To Calcutta on private Trade						
	To the end of last Quarter	0	0	0			
	In this Quarter	0	0	0			
	To Chittagong						
	To the end of last Quarter	0	0	0			
	In this Quarter	0	0	0			
	To Fractions gained by Retail Sales				0	0	0
	To the end of last Quarter	0	0	0			
	In this Quarter	0	0	0			
	To Fines &c.				0	0	0
	To the end of last Quarter	0	0	0			
	In this Quarter	0	0	0			
	To Refunds of Extra Charges				0	0	0
	To the end of last Quarter	8	0	0			
	In this Quarter	0	0	0			
	To Recoveries of advances made for Salt Manufacture	379	0	0	8	0	0
	To the end of last Quarter	8	6	5			
	In this Quarter				387	6	9
	To Refund of Salt Manufacturers Share on account of Salt supplied from Vellore						
	Vide Salt Pass to the Madras Cotaurs -						
	To the end of last Quarter	2105	5	5			
	In this Quarter	4688	8	2			
	Total Company's Rupees				7293	13	7
	Particulars of Rawanahs remaining on the 11th July 1853				318497	3	6
	Balance of Rawanahs remaining on the 31st March 1853	0	0	0			
	Received from 1st April to 11th July 1853	9481	0	0			
	Deduct Issued from Do to Do	0	0	0			
	Total remaining on the 11th July 1853	9481	0	0			
	Single Put District Collectors Cutcherry Entries	2678	0	0			
		6803	0	0			

J.A.C.

1853		Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P
July 11th	By Servants Wages						
	To the end of last Quarter	8267	11	5			
	In this Quarter	4192	2	6	12459	13	11
	By Sundrywares including Extra Charges.						
	To the end of last Quarter	4281	13	7			
	In this Quarter	1598	0	11	5879	14	6
	By Roopsoorns.						
	To the end of last Quarter	0	0	0			
	In this Quarter	0	0	0	0	0	0
	By Salt Manufacturers share						
	To the end of last Quarter	34864	1	9			
	In this Quarter	6455	2	2	41319	3	11
	By Advances for Salt Manufacture						
	To the end of last Quarter	500	0	0			
	In this Quarter	3000	0	0	3500	0	0
	Total				63159	0	4
	Net Revenue To the end of last Quarter	158580	8	7			
	In this Quarter	96757	13	7			
					255338	6	2
	Total Company's Rupees				318497	6	6

31st August 1853 E. E. Fr. / Signed J. A. Cochrane
Collector
Order

10533

25-26

Order thereon

Fort St. George 8th September 1853-

Ordered to be recorded-

Read the following letter

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

27

Bd. No. 2347-

From the Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit an advertisement for the Sale of certain Estates at present under attachment for arrears of Revenue due on account of the expired Fusly 1262 / A. D. 1852 / 53 /, also a Statement showing the profits estimated to be derivable from each Mootah, together with the probable amount for which each may be purchased-

Most

10534

Fort St. George 8th September 1853-

Most of these Estates will, it is expected, be redeemed before the day of Sale-

2. None of the Estates sequestered for arrears are below the average of 5 per cent-

3. I beg to propose that the sale shall take place on the 22nd October next at Kistnagherry-

Salem,
Collectors Office
Ojoor,
29th August 1853.

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector

Advertisement

10535

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Advertisement

1 Notice is hereby given that unless the Arrears of revenue including interest, Charges of sequestration, and advances for Cultivation and Repairs, which are outstanding against the undermentioned Estates under attachment in the Villahs of Salem for Fusly 1262 shall in have been liquidated on or before the 22d October next, the Sale of those lands will commence by Auction at the Collector's Cutcherry at Kishnagherry on that day, and will continue until the whole of the lands shall be sold. The amount advanced for Cultivation and Repairs will be made known at the time of Sale.

Names of the Mootahs	No. of Villages	Permanent Pariz exclusive of Motursiha	Arrears to 11th July 1853 or end of Fusly 1262. Balance or Principal	Interest	Charges	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Vanienubady	1	2949 12 4	939 11 7	19 - 3	78 12 8	987 11 6
Davustanum	2	10 3177 2 7	1804 6 -	53 - 4	46 4 8	1903 11 -
Poottagarum	3	22 7099 15 1	1076 5 4	14 5 6	3 - 9	1093 11 7
Triyalum	4	19 4689 10 9	2872 - 7	87 14 9	40 4 11	3000 4 3
Cumbanelloor	5	78 8633 13 7	457 15 4	4 13 2	63 - -	525 12 6
Sankaglierry	6	5 3966 14 3	185 6 2	- - -	- - -	185 6 2
Annymoor	7	13 6381 4 8	619 11 4	6 5 -	- - -	626 - 4
Moonjanoor	8	2 1529 8 8	226 11 3	2 11 7	- - -	229 6 10
Molyfully	9	6 4999 15 9	1365 10 9	22 4 10	7 7 3	1395 6 10
Minnambully	10	4 3404 3 8	1095 3 8	18 8 5	25 11 11	1139 8 -
Pungoonuttum	11	25 3860 6 2	747 6 -	9 8 8	- - -	756 14 8
Total		49993 11 6	11390 11 -	238 8 6	714 10 2	11843 13 8

2 Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made

made by the Principals

3 But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of the constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made—

4 The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion Notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made in a fictitious name the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the Estate to be resold—

5 A Summud i. Milkeat Istimrar or deed of permanent rights has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligation to be encurred and the rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this Advertisement all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation—

6 The purchaser of the Estate will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately, and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction, or within one month from the day of sale, in default whereof the Estate will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser—

7 In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Estate—

8 The arrears of Revenue which are outstanding against the above mentioned Mootahs will be received by the Tahsildars in their respective Talooks until the 12th October after which date the Proprietors should pay the balance into the Hoozoor Cutcherry—

9 For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collectors Cutcherry between 10, and 5, O'Clock Sunday excepted—

By Order.

Salem, Collectors Office
Ofsoor, 29th August 1853

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

No. 2.

Fort St. George

8th September 1853.

...chement for arrears of Revenue for Fusly 1262.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
...es of the ...tahdars				Permanent Beriz reductions of Assessment	Collections to the close of Fusly 1262	Arrears to the close of Fusly 1262 — Arrears	Interest	Sibbendy	Total	Centage between Columns 8 and 9	Amount of yearly profit on each Mootah	Amount for which each Mootah may be purchased.
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...tapa Chitty				2919 12 4	2009 13 9	939 14 7	19 - 3	28 12 8	987 11 6	31 13 10	562 8 2	5000 - -
...Row				3177 2 7	1372 12 7	1804 6 -	53 - 4	46 4 8	1903 11 -	56 12 9	1063 1 10	7000 - -
...ubbee												
...Emam												
...Emam Son of ...			1½	7099 15 1	6023 9 9	1076 5 4	14 5 6	3 - 9	1093 11 7	15 2 7	1870 14 5	13000 - -
...Sail												
...ayee Soobar			1									
			1									
...tapaty Naidoo			1	4689 10 9	1817 10 2	2872 - 7	87 14 9	40 4 4	3000 4 3	61 3 10	1573 8 9	12000 - -
...annual			4									
...heannual			5									
			1									
...ghery Row died			1	8633 13 7	8175 14 5	457 15 4	4 13 2	63 - -	525 12 6	5 4 10	2000 - -	15000 - -
...ee Row. Present			2									
			1									
...a Gondah			1	3266 14 3	3081 8 1	185 6 2	- - -	- - -	185 6 2	5 10 9	1022 - -	7000 - -
...amy Pillay died			2									
			1									
...boy			1	6381 4 8	5761 9 4	619 11 4	6 5 -	- - -	626 - 4	9 11 5	1063 9 5	7000 - -
...y			2									
...Goudah alias Coo...				1529 8 8	1302 13 5	226 4 3	2 11 7	- - -	229 6 10	11 13 1	498 2 6	3000 - -
...Goudah			tal 1									
...a Goudah alias Coolly			1	4999 15 9	3654 5 -	1345 10 9	22 4 10	7 7 3	1395 6 10	27 5 -	751 7 10	6000 - -
...a Goudah			2									
...a Goudah			1	3404 3 8	2309 - -	1095 3 8	18 8 5	25 11 11	1139 8 -	32 2 9	315 7 9	3000 - -
...availa Goudah			2									
...na Row			1									
...hendra Row			1	3860 6 2	3113 - 2	747 6 -	9 8 2	- - -	756 14 8	19 5 9	600 - -	6000 - -
			2									
				49992 11 6	38602 - 6	11390 11 -	238 8 6	214 10 2	11843 13 8	- - -	11320 12 9	84000 - -

Remarks.

No. 1. Owing to disagreements between the Mootadars and their Ryots, the Collection of the revenue by the former from the latter has been difficult; hence it is likely that the Estate will be permitted to be sold—

No. 2. The arrear, it is expected will be paid up before the day of sale—

No. 3 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 4 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 5 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 6 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 7 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 8 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 9 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 10 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

No. 11 ____ D.o ____ D.o ____

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Proceedings

...1000, 29th ... 1853

10538

(27-28)

B. N. 1855.

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue authorize the Sale for arrears of Revenue of the Estates advertised to, and direct the publication in their Office of the advertisement forwarded by the Collector in the foregoing letter.

J. G. B.

They

10539

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

2. They also authorize that the Sale of the lands take place at Kistna gherry as requested by Mr. Phillips.

Tabular.

10540

29. B:W:2277

Fort St. George

Tabular Report on a lapsed Enam in the District of South Arcot

Case.	Taloo Kand Village. Extent and Value of the entire grant.	When and by whom granted. Name of the Original grantee. Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant	Nature of the grant Charity or Service - if of his descendants Services and the Nature of the duty on the performance prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of the Government.
1	2	3	4	5	9	10
Nursimacharya Grandson by daughter and also adopted Son of one Streene: Cautoopyoor Pootook Venkatta Iyengar Claims the Succession of Enam.	Sothumtharum Enam Aumeyoor - 2 - 8 - 9 - 15 - 7 Nunjah " 7½ 8 - 3 - 3 18 - 2 - 10	Sunnud Enam. Nunjah Fearnah Cha: Sal: Rs. as. Ps. 1 -- 13 - 9 - 0 1 -- 8 - 12 - 0 2 22 - 5 - 0 Besides several Annual Jahgeer Sunnuds presented in support on the long enjoyment, it appears by a Persian Nishan: Yurnamah bearing the Seal of the Moofftee Shyrauzooden Mahamed dated 2nd of Rubbeelawul Month of Hijree 1180 that original Sunnuds of Nabob Saudutoolah Khan &c were lost during war.	Mudud: Mash or charitable tenure -	Kroenadatiwumbent Iyengar's on the once 1847 Sowrapody Iyengar Grantee of Rungapoorah Iyengar on Kreepa's but Iyengaly		

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore, 23d August 1853.

8th September

of South Arcot

...ceedings...

10541 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.-

B.d. No. 1872.

Proceedings thereon. From the remarks in Col. 3 it appears that there is a Mahazarnamah in support of this grant dated in Hijri 1180 which corresponds to A.D. 1766. The Enam therefore comes under the Orders of Government dated 16th July 1853. agreeably to which it is not subject to interference or resumption and is exempt from the operation of the rules in force regarding adoption. The Board, therefore request that the Collector will be guided by these instructions in disposing of the lapse.-

(29-30)

C.O. 11. dug.t 1853.

Read the following letter.

No. 71

(31)

B.d. No. 2165.

To, W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Tanjore.-

Sir,

By an order of Government the duty on grain taken free into Karical, and thence exported, is collected by the French authorities on behalf of the British Government, and a case has lately occurred in which the term grain, having been understood to include Oil Seeds, export duty has been levied on the article.-

I

10542 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.-

I have the honor to request the instructions of the Board regarding this interpretation of the Government order.-

Tanjore, - - - - -
Negapatam, - -
10th August, 1853.-

(Signed) W. Forbes.
Acting Collector.-

B.d. No. 1881.

Proceedings thereon.. The Board are of opinion that the term "grain" cannot be held to include Oil seeds. They observe that Oil seeds imported into Pondicherry from South Arcot are liable to duty and they are of opinion that this product should either be included in the schedule of articles liable to duty on importation into Karical, if taken there in such quantities as to render it necessary that the duty should not be relinquished, or that the duty should be collected on it's exportation by sea from Karical in the same way as Grain, and as has been done in the instance reported in the foregoing letter. If it is to be made liable to duty it will be necessary to bring the same to the notice of Government.-

(31-32)

Read

10543 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.-

Read the following letter-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Madras.

33

Bd. Wo. 2420
From J. F. Bishop Esqre
Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that a Medical Certificate has been forwarded to the Superintending Surgeon S. D. for countersignature and transmission direct to Madras recommending that an extension of leave for three Months may be granted me in addition to that under which I am now absent from duty. On the receipt of this document from the Medical Board I have the honor to request my application for extended leave under the provisions of Sections V VI and VIII may be forwarded to Government.-

Ootacamund,
13th August, 1853.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop.

No. 1.

J. F. Bishop Esqre Collector and Magistrate of Tanjore, having applied to me for a certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence; I do hereby certify from personal observation that he is suffering from derangement of the Digestive organs, occasional Diarrhœa and pain in the right Hyponchondriac and humeral regions, coupled with general debility; and being of opinion

(Signed) J. M. Thornan
Physician Surgeon

10544 Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

opinion that a bracing climate is necessary for his recovery, I would recommend his being permitted to reside on the Neilgherries with the option of visiting the Presidency and to be absent from his duty until the 18th November 1853.-

Ootacamund,
11th August, 1853.

(Signed) James. Thompson.
Assistant Surgeon
Medical Officer, Neilgherries.

(Signed) G Beetson.
Superintendent Surgeon.
S. D.

33-34

Order thereon- Ordered to be Submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favorable consideration of Government.-

---

Read the following letter-

No. 77.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St. George-

35

Bo. Wo. 2403.
From the Collector of Tanjore-
No. 4 Bond for 52 of 1841
Ankle 5 14,500
from the Collector
Kundra Stall
Solipet.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the promissory note particularized in the Margin in favor of the Secretary to the Court of Wards received from the Accountant General with his letter of the 20th August 1853.

Tanjore,
3d September 1853.

(Signed) H. Forbes.
Collector.-

Ordered

10545. Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Ordered that the following letters be

(36) sent in consequence.

B. W. 1869.

To The Collector of Tanjore.

Sir,

To the Collector of Tanjore. I am directed by the Court of Wards to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3d Inst. No. 8 and 8th Sept. 8th September 1853. forwarding for deposit with the Government Agents a Promissory Note No. 46252 of 1841/42. Dated 30th June 1841. for C. Rupees 14000 Fourteen thousand on account of the Minor Poligar of Gundracottah Poliput of your District.

2. The Board take this opportunity of requesting that you will on all occasions of addressing them quote any previous correspondence which may have passed on the subject under reference. Rote Pp: 472 C.O.B.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 8th September, 1853. (Signed) B. Cunliffe. Sub Secretary.

To The Government Agents.

B. W. 1868.

(35-37)

To the Government Agents. Sir, I am directed by the Court of Wards to transmit to you the undermentioned Promissory Note in favor of "the Secretary to the Court of Wards for the time being" and to request that you will receive it in deposit, drawing the interest and reinvesting it on behalf of the Minor

D/

10540 Fort St. George 8th September 1853

minor Poligar of Gundracottah Poliput in Tanjore District No. 46252 of 1841/42 dated 30th June 1841 for Rupees 14,000.

2 You will please acknowledge the receipt of the Board.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 8th September, 1853. (Signed) B. Cunliffe. Sub Secretary.

Read the following

Statement.

Statement

10547.

B.t No. 2253.

Fort St. George

8th September 1853.

38

Statment Shewing the Amount of Arrears due to the undermentioned Jemiahdar in the Trichinopoly District

No. of Certificate	Name of the Jemeadar.	Amount of Jemiah Per Annum.	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant.	Period for which arrears are Due.	Total amount of Arrears.	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of Payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. A P.				Rs. a P.			
Torriore 2	Badshaw beebee alias Pacha Babee	132 9 " 11 " 9 per Months	25th January 1847 No. 103 Para 9	Originally granted for the performance of Ceremonies at the tombs of or from the Nabob Begum and of Anwar Oud Omra the Second son of the Nabob Wallajah But under the Order of Government Dated 26th January 1846 this was to be regarded as a Charitable Grant and to be discontinued on the death of the present incumbent.	2 Years and 5 Months 1st March 1851 to 31st July 1853	320 5 9	The Jemiahdar being above the age of Fifty years she was desired agreeably to the Board's Circular dated 1st July 1850 Para 2 to prove her identity by a personal appearance before the Collector or one of his Assistants, but the Pensioner refused to do so on the ground of being a Gosha Woman she however made her appearance before the Collector on the 17th August 1853 Owing to her absence her allowance has not been disbursed for 29 Months, and as the Collector is not now aware of any further objection, Sanction is requested for discharging the arrears and Continuing the Payment of the Jemiah.- The Ceremonies for which the allowance was originally bestowed is still kept up and performed by one of her relatives.- The age of the Jemeahdar is 63 years Height 5— 7 1/2 3 has her right ear torn and Corresponds with the descriptive roll kept in the Collectors Office as verified by that Officer.-		

/Signed/ J. Bird
Collector

Under

Trichinopoly.—
20th August, 1853.

10548. Fort St. George 8th September 1853

No. 403.

Bd. No. 1876

Remarks thereon. Under the circumstances stated, the Board beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment to the female Zemindar Badsha Beebee, of the arrears amounting to Rupees 320-8-9, which have accumulated to 31st July 1853, and for the continuance of the authorized allowance to her for the future.

38-39

Read the following letters.

No. 184.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23d May 1853 Communicating the authority of Government for taking the Ayacoody Pollium and the Pettiambady Mootah attached to it under the management of the Court of Wards on behalf of the Minor Proprietor, I have the honor to submit Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector reporting that the Estate had been assumed and submitting a List of the Establishment which he

40

Bd. No. 2240

From the Acting Collr. of Madura — 1

Submitting Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector for the Establishment and Maintenance proposed to be allotted to the support of the minor of the Ayacoody Estate taken under the Management of the Court of Wards under the authority of the Board of Revenue dated 23d May 1853 for the Courts sanction.

10549. Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

he proposes to employ. The Establishment is by no means large and falls below 4½ per Cent of the Peruvy.

2. Mr. Walhouse's letter included a Statement shewing the amount which he proposes to allot to the support of the Minor and such members of his family as are entitled to maintenance at his expense.

3 The total amount, or Rupees 2,064, falls short of 10 per Cent. of the permanent assessment of the Estate by Rupees 812-6-2.

4. The Domestic Subsidy of the Minor includes instructions in Tamil and English but as the Minor is now 12 years old I would propose that he be sent to Madura to be placed under the charge of Mr. Fletcher, who I have ascertained would be willing to undertake his instruction in addition to that of the Minors of the Shevagungah Zemindary, and I doubt not but that under the judicious and able instruction of Mr. Fletcher the joint education of these Minors in one class will

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

will prove of great benefit to them and will tend to quicken and call forth and strengthen the energies and good feelings of each.

5. Should this proposition meet with the approval of the Court of Wards I would beg leave to recommend that a salary of 70 Rupees per mensem be allowed to Mr. F. Fletcher from the Ayacoody Estate - even with this deduction the charge for the English and Tamil Tutors which would be no larger required, the charges for the Minor and his relations falls short of the 10 per Cent on the Peishcush by Rupees 128-6-2.

6. With this modification I beg leave to recommend the charges for the Establishment and maintenance submitted by Mr. Walhouse.

7. Considering the amount proposed to be granted to the several members of the family it does not appear to me advisable to entertain the application alluded to in the 4th Para of the Acting Sub Collector's letter of the 9th July 1853.

Madura, 13th August 1853.

(Signed) T. Clarke
Acting Collector.

For

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

No. 1.

No. 78.

To T. Clarke Esqre.
Acting Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 1st June Communicating the sanction of Government to take the Ayacoody Zemindary under the management of the Court of Wards, I have the honor to report that the Zemindary was formally attached on the 29th Ultimo.

2. Lists of the Ready money (Rs. 34-4-4) found in the Treasury and of the jewelry and house-hold property in daily use of the family have been taken by the Tahsildar, translations of which are annexed. The other jewelry and Cash has been previously examined and sealed up by Mr. Lewinge.

3. The affairs of the Zemmindary I find, are in a most confused and unsatisfactory state. The Obligo Jummabundy and other accounts are extremely imperfect and have been kept with no regularity. Many of the Mauriums appear to be held on insufficient tenures,

and

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

and numbers of Fields are totally destitute of boundary marks, to bring this into order and to Correct abuses, I would recommend the employment of an additional establishment, in which it would be expedient to include the Tahsildar and Moozomdar of the Taluk with adequate remuneration for their increased labor and responsibility, and that the Tahsildar should assume the management of the Zemindary in place of Odalacoon Dana Nayeker, the late manager who still remains Guardian. The strength and pay of the Establishment being had to the heirs of the Estate, viz.ⁿ Rupees 41.25 / in last July, I would propose to be as follows.—

	Rs. a p.
Tahsildar as Manager	
Moozomdar	30 — " — "
1 Permanent Gomastah	7 — " — "
3 Anganny Koonkees at 4 Rupees each	14 — " — "
1 Anganny Asanny	30 — " — "
1 Poyasunn	4 — " — "
1 Asanny	7 — " — "
1 Yellidar	4 — " — "
1 Sadelward	10 — " — "
1 Huzoor Asanny	3 — 8 — "
Sadelward	4 — " — "
10 Peons @ 3 Rupees each	30 — " — "
	145 — 8 — "

This

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

This I think will not be excessive for the work to be done. The Anganies, to be entertained for one year, which will leave the permanent establishment at a Cost of Rupees 111 — 8 — " Monthly. —

3. For the Household, personal and sundry expenses of the minor, including pay of Sibbendy and Poojah. I would after due Consideration recommended an allowance of Rupees 95 — 12 per Month, this includes an English Teacher at Rupees 10 and a Tamil Do. at Rupees 3 which in the event of future arrangements for his education, would be struck off Rs 6 are also incurred for the Keeper Do. of an elephant, which should be sold as soon as possible. An allowance of Rupees 32 for House-hold and personal expenses is made to Ginee annual the late Zemmindar's first widow and Rupees 29 — 4 — 6 Rookasmany annual the second widow. The personal allowance of the first has been increased by Rupees 2 — 13 — 4 in Consideration of her having an

10554. Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

an unmarried daughter to support and that of the second by Rupees 1 13—4 her situation appearing to de-=mand it. The minor's elder sister Velly ammal is married, but her husband being in poor Circumstances is unable to maintain her, and she has hitherto been allowed 13 Rupees for her support, which sum is Continued to her. The total allowance will therefore be:-

	Monthly	Yearly
Minor	95 — 12	1149
Girce Ammal	32 — "	384
Rookmany Ammal	29 — 4	357
Velly Ammal	15 — 4	180
	172 — "	2064

which will amount to Rupees 7 — 2 — 10 — per Cent of the Peishcush of the Zemmindary Viz. Rupees 287 63 — 13 — 5:-

4. Application was made for sums to be allowed for the perfor-=mance of Ceremonies for the deceased Zemmindar, for the Deepavalee, Nava-=ratee and other Festivals, but it does not seem advisable to allot regular charges for these. I am of opinion that on

10555. Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

on each occasion Special application should be made, and the Circumstances of each demand Considered.-

5. It would seem very de-=sirable that an efficient and respect-=able Tutor should be procured for the minor, for whose salary Rupees 80 per Measem might be assigned. To arrange this it is probable the minor and his Guardian might be induced to visit Madura, where, or in Dindigul, it would be better he should reside. If in the latter place the Tutor might perhaps also under-take an English school, for which the Officers of this Cutcherry and the respectable inhabitants of Dindigul are very desirous and would no doubt subscribe liberally

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Acting Sub Collector.

Pulney 9th July 1853.

(True Copy)
(Signed) D. Clarke
Acting Collector.

(40-41)

Bd. No. 1879

Proceedings thereon.- The Court of Wards sanction the Establishment proposed to be entertained for the management of

10536

Para 2 From Ag. Sub Coll. 9th July 1853.-

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

of the Estate amounting to 145-8- monthly.

2.

The Court entirely approve of the young Zemindar being brought to Madura and placed under the tuition of Mr. Fletcher, who is willing it is stated, to undertake his instruction conjunctly with that of the Zemindar of Sherugunga and his younger brother - The Court cannot but anticipate great benefit to these youths in thus being educated together, and are prepared to sanction the payment of 25 Rupees per account of the Agaardy

3.

This arrangement will supercede the necessity of English and Tamul Tutors, and will reduce to the extent of 13 Rupees the proposed monthly expenditure on account of the minor and will bring the charge for the maintenance of himself and members of his family to 159 Rupees monthly or 1908 per annum, which the Court sanction.-

4.

As the Court think that special applications for the performance of the Customary Ceremonies

and

10537

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

and festivals alluded to in Para 4 of the acting Sub Collector's letter will be productive only of unnecessary trouble. They will be prepared to sanction such an addition to the expenditure for maintenance as will provide for such items.-

---

No. 83.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Court of Wards.

Fort St. George.

(42)

18th No. 2244

From the Acting Collector of Madura - Reporting the death of Kootty Jasiah the late Guardian of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga and Soliciting sanction to the appointment of Pannyoor Ramiah Deputy Jawbrevis on the Establishment of the Collector to the situation on a reduced Salary of 130 Rupees per Mensem.-

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Court of Wards the death on the 9th June 1853 of Kootty Jasiah the late Guardian of the minor Zemindar of Shevagunga and to solicit their sanction to the appointment of Pannyoor Ramier Deputy Jawbrevis, on the Establishment of the Collector to the situation on a reduced Salary of 130 Rupees per Mensem.-

I have felt much difficulty in

2.

selecting a successor to Kootty Jasiah whose intimate knowledge of the affairs of the Zemindary, practical acquaintance with the Circumstances and history of each litigated Cause, and with the forms

and

and procedure of the Courts of Law; urbanity of manner, and staunch and unwavering adherance to the interests of his ward peculiarly fitted him for the post he filled.

3. Through the kindness and assistance of Mr. Parker I have been able to make choice of a person who though he may not possess all the qualifications of his predecessor, enjoys a greater share of talent and of experience in Revenue administration than he did and is more intimately acquainted with the peculiar tenures of the Zemindary and its general affairs than any other officer on the Establishment of the Collector. He is a man of respectable family and character and a servant of long standing, having been appointed so far back as the 11th February 1821, is a native of Shevagungah and was much employed in examining the accounts and preparing statements during the late attachment of the estate from 1837 to 1842, and lately as Deputy Javabnevis he has been employed under the Collector in conducting the correspondence with the manager of Shevagungah.

4. The circumstances which render

render it fit that so large a salary as 175 Rupees should be given to Koolty Josiah are not considered to apply to the present nominee. His antecedents are not at all parallel to those of Koolty Josiah, he is now only in the receipt of 28 Rupees per mensem. Koolty Josiah was an old staunch servant of the Zemmindar and his predecessor, highly paid and highly honored by his Masters whose full confidence he possessed and whose chief adviser he was. At the time of the late Zemmindar's death Koolty Josiah was in the receipt of a salary of equal amount to that conferred on him as Guardian, and several large and intricate suits against the Estate were at that time being litigated in the Courts.

5. Enclosed I have the honor to submit translate of an Urzee addressed to me by Koolty Josiah on his deathbed, and his sons also personally requested me to recommend the case to the indulgent Consideration of the Court of Wards on the grounds of their father's prominent services to the family of the Minor Zemmindar and of their incapacity (the only son of the deceased) to do anything

1056.

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

thing to obtain a livelihood in consequence of their total blindness.

6. The services of Hoolty Josiah undoubtedly demand some substantial recognition from the family of the late Zemmindar and the condition of his two sons calls for their sympathy and consideration. I have made such enquiries as circumstances permitted me into the solvency of Hoolty Josiah at his death and am led to believe that though he died in debt he was possessed of landed property yielding an annual income which must please his sons during the above want even after the liquidation of all claims.

7. Under these circumstances I do not feel at liberty in the present encumbered position of the Estate to recommend the grant of any pension to the sons of the deceased tho' I should have been glad had it been in my power to support the claim for some recognition of the Father's services.

Madura, 15th August, 1853. } / Signed / T. Clarke
Acting Collector.

To,

1057.

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

No. 1.

To, The Presence of T. Clarke Esqr
Acting Collector of
Madura.

The humble Urzee of Coolty
Josier Guardian of the Minor
Zemmindar of Shevagungah

Respectfully Sheweth,

My disease is gnawing worse and there is no hope of my living any longer. The public as well as your Honor know very well how assiduously I laboured for the prosperity of the Zemmindary and what various difficulties I encountered for the last 20 years during which I was employed in managing the affairs of the Shevagungah Zemmindary My family is large and my sons are young and incapable of holding office, I therefore request that your Honor will be pleased to recommend effectually to the Court of Wards to bestow upon my eldest son Doorasawmy Tyrung as a Monthly allowance equal to my full pay or at least Rs. 105 Fully believing that your honor will grant this favor.

9th June, 1853 — Shevagungah. } / Signed / Coolty Josier.

15. No. 1878

Proceedings thereon

The Court of Wards sanction the

(42-43)

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

the appointment of Paumyan Ramier as Gumastah of the Minor Zemindar of Sherva-
-gunga on a Salary of 130 Rupees per Mensem.

2. The Collector will inform the sons of the late Hoolly Josier, that being amply provided for by the Estate left by their deceased Father, the Court are pre-
-cluded from acceding to their request for a pension.

3. The Court fully recognise the Eminent services rendered to the Zemin-
-dary by their late Father and doubt not that the Zemindar will ever hold those services in grateful remembrance.-

No. 85.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(44)

B. No. 2243
From the Ag. Collector of Madura - - }
1.

I extremely regret that it has not been in my power to forward the Demand Collection and Balance Statement required by the Circular Order 20th January 1850 in time to reach the Board's Office by the 1st Instant.

2. The Settlement in this District cannot

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

cannot be commenced before the 1st week in May and in the Sub Division and the Mayloor Talook under the charge of the Head Assistant it was not completed before the close of July - The Sheristadar being on Circuit on important and pressing business connected with a Suit, there has been unavoidably some delay in preparing the explanatory Statements required, which could not be furnished, translated and engrossed earlier than this date.-

3 The same explanation is offered regarding the delay of one day in transmitting the Demand Collection and Balance Statement up to the close of July which I hope the Board will be pleased to accept and admit.-

Madura - - }
18th August 1853. } /Signed/ T. Clarke
Acting Collector.

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.-

(44-45)

(46)

No. 90.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Bd. No. 2370
From the Ag. Collector of Madura - - }

In answer to your letter of the

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

or some days even been added up. He allowed himself to be put off from time to time with excuses instead of at once referring to the Collector for his authority peremptorily to carry out the order. But beyond this very great fault there is not a suspicion against him. He seems to have had the weakness to shrink from interference with the Dubash's Department. But this is, I most fully believe, all. I do not therefore hold that he is responsible to the extensive frauds discovered, but he is that there is a difference between the Vendee's Cathcha-das and the remittances, on several occasions amounting to Rupees 96-15-6.

With respect to the 3d. and 4th. points noticed by Government, the Head Sheristadar has forwarded an explanatory Petition at some length, of which the Board will only remark a few leading points. He states that the death of Mr. Felix Parker occurred only 40 days after his own accession to Office, and that on that event

Enc: 2 in Fl. Consn. 29th April 1853

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

event occurring he brought to the notice of the Collector Mr. Blane the necessity of taking fresh security from the Stamp Darogah Mr. L. Parker, and the circumstances of the Stamp accounts having long been the subject of his Office in fact ever since 1813. That Mr. Blane replied that the Darogah was in distress at the death of his father that he (Boojungaiah) had only recently been appointed that the Nail and Head Sheristadar were new in Office, and that the accounts of the district in arrear that therefore the renewal of the Darogah's security might be deferred "for a few months" when also the Stamp Accounts would be brought under the inspection of the Sheristadar's Department. He adds that he was accordingly closely engaged in bringing up the accounts, that from January till May he was called away into the district on Summabundy, and that in June Mr. Blane left the district for his present appointment, in consequence of which he had not another opportunity of again requesting orders

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853

Orders, but that he brought the Circumstance to the notice of Mr. Maltby in March 1851, and subsequently on the Stamps accounts being transferred to this Department was the means of discovering the deficiency. He further urges that the orders of the Board and Government were not regularly communicated to his Department, and cannot be found in the books kept for that purpose.—

8. In regard to his omission to examine the securities in conformity to the Orders issued to the talooks by the Collector in 1842, he explains that this duty having been performed by his predecessor for Fasly $\frac{1258}{1848/49}$ a similar account was not due till June 1850 and that owing to the non-receipt of the securities from some of the talooks and to the pressure of other business he was not able to get it ready till April 1851 when in pursuing the necessary examination he found that the Stamp Vendor also had not given proper security. The deficiency itself came to light immediately afterwards.—

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

The foregoing are the chief points in Boyungarow's Statement. Mr. Blane has no recollection of the Circumstance referred to above and thinks some of the details of the Conversation said to have been held with him impossible but admitting that he authorized the taking of fresh security from the Daroguh to be deferred for a short time the Board think that the Sheristadar has failed to account satisfactorily for the great delay of 18 Months which took place before the matter was brought to the notice of Mr. Maltby notices this omission (Paras 13 and 14) but thinks that it should not be allowed to weigh against the Sheristadar when it is considered that the Circumstance was subsequently reported and the defalcation brought to light by him. The Board are also inclined to make some allowance for the enormous quantity of work passing through the Sheristadar's hands. The examination of securities appears to be a much more onerous task in Canara than in other Districts, owing to the Circumstance of its

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

Insp. 27th. Sept. 1847
Fk. Coll. 30th. June
Insp. 16th. July 1849.

sts having been customary to take on
Security from nearly all the Labour
Servants in the District as noticed
by the Collector in his letter of the
16th. September 1847. The return fur-
nished in 1849 shews the number
to have been upwards of 800, and with
the constant pressure of other busi-
ness some delay in the yearly ex-
amination of so great a number
of Security Bonds is not without excuse.

10. The fifth Cause referred to by
Government is "the false
furnishing Security said to
the Board". The Board regret that
the nature of this return was not
further explained by the Collector
or by themselves, in their former
communications, for the omission to
do so has apparently misled the
Government as to its nature and object.
The information called for by the
Board was with reference not to
the validity of the Securities given
by public Servants but to a general
question then under discussion as to
the class of Servants from whom it
was desirable to demand it. They

Fort St. George 8th. September 1853.

had noticed a discrepancy in the
practice of the several Districts and
issued a † Circular Order calling
for an explanation of the custom
in each District and desiring the
Collectors "to specify the various
descriptions of Officers in the service
of Government, from whom it is cus-
tomary to require Security". It was
therefore considered sufficient to fur-
nish information as to the Custom
and Class of Servants: and the Board's
Order did not contemplate or direct
any special investigation, which
would have entailed great delay
and required that the original docu-
ments should be sent for.

† 26 Aug.t 1847

The Sixth Cause is the omis-
sion to carry out the Orders of 1842 for
the annual examination of Security
Bonds. No direction was apparently
given to extend this scrutiny to the
Revenue but in respect to the delay
in the annual examination for
Fasly 1859 the Sheristadars explana-
tion has been given and noticed
in a preceding Paragraph.

The exclusion of the Paragraphs
11. and

and Vendor's names from the list alluded to by the Collector in Para 23 is prima facie open to some suspicion. They think however that it may be accounted for by the circumstance that the Stamp Servants are not borne on the regular Moyurzabitah of the Collector's Establishment; and that when a detailed nominal list of the Servants who had given security was under preparation the Moyurzabitah would naturally be taken as a guide. Circumstances however the Alamut Sheristadar, Joachim Fernandez who is now dead, it having been apparently made out either directly by him, or under his immediate superintendence. The Board will now desire that the Durogah and all Stamp Vendors be included in the Moyurzabitah under their respective Talooks.

13. The remainder only for the Board to refer to the mode in which the net deficiency should be recovered in accordance with the

the remarks of Government, and with reference to their desire that the Board should submit their opinion on the relative degree of responsibility attached to the Public Servants referred to. This they find a matter of extreme difficulty, owing to the lapse of time during which the frauds have been going on (nearly 7 years) and the death of so many of the public servants who have held office during that period. The Board requested the opinion of the Collector on this point but he has declined to allot to each a distinct share of liability, and submits his urgent recommendation that the loss to the Government be thrown out of consideration, after the guilty parties have been duly punished, and expresses the hope that when the Civil action is decided, he may be allowed to lay before the Government a statement of the actual loss for adjustment. In support of this request Mr. Maltby urges that of the two parties actually guilty. One has been sentenced to

Para 6

Para 4

7

7 years imprisonment in irons, and the other has been dismissed from Office with the loss of all his property, and of all his prospects in life. That at the time of the discovery of the crime if he would have permitted of a compromise, the amount of defalcation might have been recovered, and he thinks therefore that the loss should not fall upon the respectable & upon Servants" against whom there is not a suspicion of "in any thing beyond an omission of one item of their multifarious duties." He particularly adverts to the very low salaries of which they are in receipt and observes "I do not hesitate to say that these salaries are so low that to impose a heavy fine on any one of them would be to embarrass him most seriously" and he submits that such a salary does not render a party competent to the risk of loss by the frauds of others.—

Para 7

4. The two parties to whom the most blame is attached by Government are the Head Shroffs Sudar and the Treasurer. With respect to

the former, while Mr. Maltby does not acquit him of all blame, he trusts that it will be remembered that the irregularities were of long standing, and that it was on his applying himself to enquire into and check them that this long continued fraud came to light" and he thinks that "considering the extent of the Shroffs daily duties, the fact of his having brought these circumstances to notice should weigh against that of his not having done so immediately on his accession to office."—

Para 12.

As regards Mr. Low the Treasurer the degree of blame attached to him by Mr. Maltby has been referred to above. The amount of loss occasioned by his negligence and disregarded of orders is stated to be Rs 95-15-6. and the Collector thinks that a fine to this extent as a mark of the severe displeasure of Government may justly be awarded, but that beyond this pecuniary punishment is not desirable. He adds "I should hold a larger fine equivalent to dismissal, for I should not think it

Para 14

Para 28.

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

it desirable to retain as Treasurer a person embarrassed to the degree he would lie by being required to make good any considerable proportion of the amount receiving the small salary he does; and he remarks that he should not know where to look for a Treasurer to replace him with any hope of finding one more respectable or trustworthy—

16 The Board have attentively considered these remarks of the Collector, and in expressing their general Concurrance in their propriety they are most with the liberal and indulgent Consideration of the Government. In particular with respect to the responsibility of the upper Native Servants, they see very great difficulty in carrying out the principle of enforcing on them pecuniary liability for the defalcation of others. The Board are not aware that this has ever hitherto been attempted except where personal delinquency is chargeable to the individual himself, and they are

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

Para 10 are disposed to give much weight to what the Sheristadar has urged upon this point in the following passage of his address to the Collector "The Revenues of this district be observed" under every description of account coming within my supervision, amount to Rupees 29,37,000. while my Salary is only Rupees 3,360 per annum, averaging Rupees 1.6, per cent of the Revenue, and if the Head Sheristadar is required to make good every embezzled portion of this enormous sum of money [illegible] I have sons of a great number of servants, I do not know how I am to meet these demands and support my family"

Should the Government however

17 not be prepared to accede to the Collectors urgent recommendation that with the exception of the fine he has proposed to levy from the Treasurer the loss for which due punishment has been inflicted may be borne by the State it becomes the duty of the Board to suggest another mode by which the defalcation may be made good wholly or in part

and

and this they would do by extending the liability to the European, as well as the native officers. for although adverting to the multifarious duties devolving on the collector and that those oblige him to repose very extensive trust in his Sheristadar in the matter of accounts and Returns, while as stated by Mr Maltby "the whole fraud has been practiced by means of a false account" and considering that the main omissions in regard to recovery took place many years ago, the Board are not inclined to attribute any great degree of negligence to those who have recently held the Office of Collector, yet they do not gain by the general responsibility of those officers, as laid down by Government in Para 17 of their Minutes of Consultation however harsh it may in some cases be literally to enforce it. They think therefore that if the recovery of the amount be insisted on, it should be carried out to their loss, as well as that of the Native Servants.

18 Under this view of the case the Board would propose that a Statement be prepared of

Deveapoolay Krishna Row Inamdar Vernacular

showing the exact amount of defalcation which took place during the tenures of office of each Collector and each Sheristadar, that the deficiency which occurred in the time of those who have retired or are no longer living should be written off and that the remainder should be made good in the proportion of 2/3rds by the Collector and 1/3rd by the Sheristadars of the Sheristadars, the three noted in the Margin are, dead and there appears to be no means of recovering any thing from the 1st and 3d with preference however to the enquiry of Government

Para 24 regarding the pension at present

enjoyed by the family of Krishna

Para 25 Row the Collector states that he holds under his charge invested in Public Securities Rupees 15,000 in the name of his adopted Son who enjoys a pension of Rupees 250 as the second in succession to the pension granted for 3 lives A portion of this Viz Rupees 5,606 is the accumulated arrear of pension due to Krishna Row himself at the time

time of his death, and might be made available for his share but the Board feel some difficulty in absolutely recommending this course. on the one hand they think that more blame is to be attributed to him than to the others in regard to the original omission to take security from the renders or to renew that of Darogah, after it was destroyed and they believe that he held Office in July 1844 when both these appointments were made, but on the other hand some objection seems to exist against touching a pension after it has once been granted for faithful services even tho these may in one instance have been neglected.

19. I am desired in conclusion briefly to point out that by Section X Regulation XIII of 1816 a Commission of 5 per cent on the Stamp collections is assigned to the collectors in consideration of the additional care and responsibility thus devolving on them. This provision has not been repealed but the allowance was discontinued in common with the

the Commission on other sources of Extra Revenue and has since been carried to the credit of Government. The circumstance may perhaps be viewed as one reason for a liberal consideration of the present case. for while the Collectors have been deprived of the extra remuneration avowedly assigned to them to meet the responsibility of the charge there can be no doubt that the addition al amount accruing to Government must far exceed any increase arising from the very rare occasions of defalcation which have since taken places.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
8th September 1853

/Signed/ B. Cumlaffe
Sub Secretary

Read the following letter.

N. 80

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

50

Sir.

B. N. 2284
From the Collr.
of Canara.

With reference to my letter

Fort St George 8th September 1853

letter of the 23d August 1852. I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for my striking off the accounts of this Department the amount of Rupees 2. being value of Stamps used in O.S. 137 of 1841 brought by one Yekhare Mina junicna Shetty against the Revenue Department.

It will be observed that the above amount was entered in the Table which accompanied my letter, above referred to, under the head of Suits "still pending" and Consequently considered as requiring no immediate orders. On subsequently moving the Court for the recovery of the amount from the Plaintiff, it was ordered that as the Suit in question was struck off the file by desire of the Plaintiff before its defence by the Collector the amount is not recoverable from him the same being value of 2 Stamp papers which were used for a petition praying for time to answer and for a Vakalutnamah.

Under these circumstances I beg the Board will obtain the early

Fort St George 8th September 1853

early Sanction of Government for my striking off my accounts this small amount.

Mangalore Collrs Cutcherry 22d August 1853

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby
Collector

B. No. 1871

Proceedings thereon.

(50-51)

To Govt 23d Sept. 52

To B. 12th Oct. 1852

N. 399.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin.

The Board recommends that under the explanation afforded for writing off in the accounts of the District the sum of Rupees (2) Two being the value of two Stamped papers used in O.S. No. 137 of 1841 brought against the Revenue Department.

Read the following letter

No. 119

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(52)

B. No. 2344
From the Acting Collr of Madras

Sir,

With reference to

10590 Fort St George 8th September 1853

Alagasungary Chetty

To Extract from the Boards proceedings dated 2d Ultimo, I have the honor to report that the Spot of ground advertized for sale was put up this day and Knocked down to the party who applied to purchase the land at the upset price of Rupees 126-2-6, and to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for the sale accordingly.

Madras
1st September 1853 } /Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector

B2 N° 1875
N° 202

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with the Board's recommendation that the sale of the land may be confirmed.

(52-53)

Read

10591 Fort St George 8th September 1853

Read the following letter.

N° 535.

To,

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(54)

B2 N° 913
From the Accountant General ... } Gentlemen

I have the honor to transmit to you the accompanying General Statement of the Revenue Department for the past Fusly year 1261 / A.D. 1851/52 which has been prepared with reference to the instructions conveyed in the General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Revenue Department dated 22d May 1818.

Fort St George.
Accountant Generals Office } /Signed/ J. V. Stonhouse
7th April 1853 ... } Accountant General

Ordered

…t. George for the Fusly year 1261 and shewing also the Demand, Collections,
…count of Land Revenue

8th September 1853

Remissions and Balances of Land Revenue of that yea

Arrears.- Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260			Total Arrears			Current Fusly 1261			Total			Balances antecedent to Fusly 1258			Fusly 1258		
3			4			5			6			7			8			9		
846	1	6	9,608	8	1	31755	14	9	65,940	13	3	97,696	12	-						
381	4	-	18,218	6	7	18741	3	5												
2778	6	2	22,235	3	10	20651	13	8	1914101	13	4	1932843	-	9						
5338	4	11	5,451	13	8	62790	1	11	2320683	15	4	2351335	13	-				14		
4253	3	5	152698	3	1	160137	13	2	215111	15	-	223900	-	11						
1,230	11	5	23,200	-	2	35417	6	9	2158600	3	3	2318738	-	5						
2,334	12	1	86,842	12	4	239873	4	6	1645747	4	10	1681164	-	11	185	15	1	1449		
									3648343	12	6	3882217	1	-	103564					
557	1	2	3284	1	3	17227	14	11												
									2563220	15	1	2735548	14	-	12344	9	1			
4,101	4	5	191569	2	6	199225	2	6	12842	10	3	17842	10	3						
									1169757			1368976	10	10	56	6	10	1617	13	
5,031	11	4	85290	15	4	156399	6	8												
18555	9	3	290481	12	4	2872184	13	7	10215561	9	11	2202136	7	3				2371	14	1
3,881	2	3	108510	13	-	1102291	1	1	1256067	2	-	3893746	7	6	19817	6	1	47221	13	1
												1858358	3	1						
3273	14	6	11801	14	2	52002	11	6												
8,420	13	10	82311	8	-	2259061	-	1	1245382	14	11	1290335	10	5						
6,013	13	10	54287	6	3	70,1200	4	2	930160	3	4	1156066	3	11						
									174036	5	8	1823436	9	10	89,133	8	-			
895	1	-	11716	8	8	38758	13	-	642654	13	10	681393	10	10	7054	1	2	618	8	1
			11482	15	11	11482	15	11	47324	14	3	58806	14	2						
45	2	2	9799	12	11	11273	7	5	53759	9	1	65033	-	6						
									16101	3	10	16101	3	10						
6750	11	11	27647	14	5	68607	12	9	728278	10	2	796886	6	11	1201	6	6	6032	7	9
182	13	2	1258390	1	10	4905308	2	3	25101309	6	9	30006617	9	-	233057	13	1	39225	9	3
4128	2	9	2141674	11	-	6284978	4	5	36800713	5	9	42892115	10	2	2880610	4		60925	8	7

Remissions Arrears.- Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260			Total Arrears			Current Fusly 1261			Total		
10			11			12			13			14		
39	14	11				53	15	4				53	15	4
4926	1	-				4926	1	-				4926	1	-
						1635	-	5				1635	-	5
						103564	9	4				103564	9	4
						12344	9	1				12344	9	1
118	15	6	901	10	9	2694	14	1				2694	14	1
						2371	14	1				2371	14	1
35227	15	-	48439	14	3	150706	15	5				150706	15	5
5660	7	2				94793	15	2				94793	15	2
43	3	1	520	2	6	563	5	7				563	5	7
894	3	6	922	11	6	9489	8	3				9849	8	3
13542	1	7				20775	15	10				20775	15	10
60452	13	9	50784	7	-	403920	11	7				403920	11	7
60735	3	5	51066	12	8	438789	3	-				438789	3	-

10596 — Fort St. George 8th September 1853

Cadjans.

As.	As.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4	8	1	2	4	8	16	32	50	100	150
10062	5635	2390	1065	15	"	"	"	"	"	"

Cadjans (Continued)

Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Total Number of Sizes	Value	Total Company's Rupees
250	350	500	750	1000	2000			
"	"	"	"	"	"	388074	"	490023

Fort St. George.
General Treasury. } E. E. Js. (Signed) S. D. Birch
5th September 1853 } Sub Treasurer.
Order thereon — Ordered to lie over.
(56-57)

10597 — Fort St. George 8th September 1853

Read the following letter —

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

(58.)

B. No. 2170.
From the Superintendent of Stamps }

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will lay before the Board of Revenue, for the purpose of being submitted to Government, the accompanying Copy of a Draft of a letter which was prepared by my Predecessor and which I have reason to believe he intended to have submitted to the Board previously to resigning charge of the office of Superintendent of Stamps, but which he omitted to do, in consequence apparently of other business having fully occupied his attention on his final departure from India.

It will be seen that the letter contains an application for an addition of Rupees 30 per mensem to the pay of the Manager in the Stamp Department (who also performs the duty of auditing the returns made under the provisions of Act XVII of 1848) and for two additional translators for the translation of the Certificates on which

Requests to lay before the Board of Revenue for the purpose of being submitted to Government the accompanying Copy of a Draft of a letter which was prepared by his Predecessor containing an application for an addition of 30 Rs per mensem to the pay of the Manager and for two additional Translators and submits an application in the prescribed Tabular Form for transmission to the Government of India.

which those refunds are granted. the number of those certificates having increased to such an exctent as to render it impossible that they can be properly translated by the single Translator at present employed in the work.

Having detained the draft for a short time to make myself acquainted with the correspondence to which it refers, I trust that the Board will not take exception to my now forwarding my Predecessors application in the present shape which I do first, because I think it would not be fair towards a meritorious servant of the Government that the mere fact of my having only just assumed charge of the office should cause a further postponement of his claim to the addition to his salary, which my Predecessor advocates, and to which both the Board and Government considered him entitled nearly 1½ year ago: and secondly - because there appears to be immediate need of the translators applied for, to get through the work of translating the enormously increased

Board's Proceedings 29th March 1852 conveying Minutes of Consultation 20th March 1852

increased number of Certificates on which refunds are made under Regulation XVII of 1828. the necessity for a careful inspection of which is obvious, and has been fully recognized by Government in their Minutes of consultation of the 20th March 1852 above referred to.

An application therefore in the prescribed Tabular Form is hereunto submitted for transmission to the Government of India

Fort St George
General Stamp Office
12th August 1853

} Signed R J Porter
Superintendent of Stamps

Copy of Draft of a proposed letter from W. Douglas Esqre Superintendent of Stamps to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

In the Proceedings of the Government of India in the Financial Department dated 30th April 1852 No 852 which were communicated to me under date 3d June 1852 Sanction was granted for the employment of an assistant Accountant on 30 Rupees per Month and a Translator on 50 Rupees per Month, in consequence of the great

increase

increase in the general duties of the Stamp Office, but the Supreme Government declined to grant the addition proposed to the Salary of the Manager and Auditor, on the ground that it would be premature to concede it so soon after the augmentation sanctioned in 1849.

2 These Proceedings having been communicated to me shortly after I had assumed charge of the Office, I did not consider the subject to call for any immediate notice, while yet imperfectly acquainted with the working of the Establishment, but now that a Year's Knowledge and experience of the duties of the Department has shewn the necessity of a Stronger and better paid Establishment to perform efficiently those duties and to protect the interests of Government I venture respectfully to submit the following circumstances for the consideration of the Board and trust that they will see sufficient reason to support my present application with their strong recommendation to Government.

3 It will be seen from the

annexed Memorandum that in Fasly 1258 the number of Vouchers for refunds made under the provisions of Section IV of Act No XVII of 1848 which came into operation in that year was only 1248, the amount paid thereupon being Rupees 81,21-1-6. In Fasly 1259 the number of Vouchers was 2,611 for refunds amounting to Rupees 21,528-- and in the following year it increased to Vouchers 7,970 for Rupees 32,845-- But in Fasly 1261 when my Predecessor renewed his application for a portion of the Establishment which was disallowed by the Government, the number of Vouchers forwarded to this Office for audit, was considerably augmented, much beyond what Mr Bruce anticipated when he submitted his application, for he had not the actual results of that year before him at the date of his letter. The Vouchers it will be observed, amounted to the large number of 10,295 for refunds to the extent of Rupees 40,627-8-- For the current year 1262 (Fasly) the number of Vouchers is estimated at 12,000 for refunds amounting to Rupees 25,000--

	Number of Vouchers	Amount Paid		
		Rs	a	p
Fasly 1258	1248	81,21	1	6
D° 1259	2,611	21,528	"	"
D° 1260	7,970	32,845	"	"
D° 1261	10,295	40,627	8	"
Estimated for Fasly 1262	12,000	25,000	"	"

The Board will also observe from the Memorandum to the Tabular Statement submitted with this application that the Stamp Revenue has been steadily improving from Fasly 1258 when it amounted

to

to Rupees 4,22,321-5-8- In Fusly 1259 it was Rupees 4,86,055-3-3 in Fusly 1260 Rupees 5,38,184-10-4- in Fusly 1261 Rupees 5,74,284-11-3- and for Fusly 1262 the Revenue is estimated at Rupees 6,00,000- Thus with a gradual increase of Revenue, there has been a corresponding increase in the responsibilities imposed under the provisions of the Act which has entailed a large amount of labour on the Establishment of this office, and greatly augmented the duties and responsibilities of the Manager and Auditor. It is now four years since a small addition was made to the Salary of this Officer. The increase having been granted from 1st May 1849, but this was felt to be quite inadequate to the onerous and responsible nature of the duties which devolved upon him under Section IV of Act No. XVII of 1848, and my Predecessor therefore deemed it necessary to urge again upon the attention of the authorities his original proposition for raising the Salary of the Manager from Rupees 120 to Rupees 150-.-.- which, as before observed was refused on the ground that the first increase (not granted to the extent recommended by Mr Bruce) was only recently sanctioned-

4 I beg however to submit that the augmentation of the Manager's Salary was not recommended by my Predecessor with reference to the individual merits of the person filling that situation, but solely in consequence of the increased duties and responsibilities of the office, and it is upon these grounds, I would respectfully renew the application for the Salary of the Manager being raised to Rupees 150-.-.- per Mensem

feeling

feeling assured that this sum will not be considered more than adequate for a person holding so important a position in the office, and that the interest of Government would be still more carefully looked after and protected by the bestowal of a remuneration commensurate with the labour and responsibilities of the post.

I would take this opportunity to solicit also sanction for the employment of two Assistant Translators on a Salary of Rupees 25-.-.- each per Mensem, as the only Translator on the Establishment is unable owing to the large number of Vouchers coming under audit, to perform the duties himself- From what I have seen of the business of the Office, I consider this aid to be absolutely necessary, and I do not think that without it the duties can be discharged, considering that the number of Vouchers has since Fusly 1259 increased from 4,611 to 12,000 for which certainly the services of one Translator could not be deemed sufficient. I therefore trust the Board of Revenue will see good ground to support the present application with their recommendation to Government-

6. An application in the prescribed Tabular Form is herewith submitted for transmission to the Government of India-

/ True Copy of the original Draft /

/ Signed / R. J. Porter
Superintendent of
Stamps
General

Fort St George

8th September 1853

No 1

General Stamp Office.

Revenues			Charges			Total			Net					
ordinary			extraordinary			ordinary			Extraordinary			Revenue			charges			Surplus			Deficit		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
460,156	5	-	25893	14	3	18632	13	8	31474	9	-	418605	3	3	80107	6	8	405947	12	7	"	"	"
506,657	15	-	31526	11	4	53120	12	11	38065	8	4	538184	10	4	91480	7	3	446698	3	1	"	"	"
515,406	13	6	58877	13	9	53320	2	3	44221	13	11	574284	11	3	97568	-	2	476716	11	1	"	"	"
535,000	"	"	65,000	"	"	52,000	"	"	46,900	"	"	600,000	"	"	100,000	"	"	500000	"	"	"	"	"

Proposition

Increased Scale.	Rs	a	p	Permanent Increase per Month Rs	a	p	Permanent Decrease per Month Rs	a	p	Temporary Increase per Month Rs	a	p	Period	Temporary Decrease per Month Rs	a	p	Period	Casual or Extraordinary
Auditor	150	"	"	30	"	"												
Accountant	70	"	"															
Dr	40	"	"															
Dr	30	"	"															
Keeper & Indexer	40	"	"															
	25	"	"															
	12	"	"															
	12	"	"															
	10	"	"															
or and Writer	50	"	"															
Translators Rupees each	50	"	"									50	"	"				
Register	24	8	"															
Distributors Writer	35	"	"															
Peons at 12 Rs each	84	"	"															
	12	"	"															
Jemadar	14	"	"															
Dr	8	"	"															
Supplies at Rupees each	12	"	"															
Bearers at 7 Rs each	35	"	"															
Peons at 7 Rs each	28	"	"															
Sweeper	3	8	"															
Rupees	753	"	"							80	"	"						

Grounds of Proposition	Local Government's Recommendation	Orders of the Government of India: Home Department Remarks	Orders of the Government of India: Financial Department Remarks	Orders of the Government of India: Financial Department Orders
Entailing great increase of Expenses and responsibility consequent on the operation of Act No XVII of 1848				

Fort St George
General Stamp office
12th August 1853

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Superintendent of Stamps

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

No 205

(58-59)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

B. No 1884.
To The Chief Secy. to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Superintendent of Stamps dated 12th August 1853, forwarding Copy of draft of a proposed letter to this office, from the late Superintendent respecting an increase of Salary to the Manager, and the employment of two additional Translators which he appears to have omitted to despatch from other business having fully occupied his attention, on his final departure from India.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation a letter from the Superintendent of Stamps soliciting an increase to the salary of the Manager of this office, also for the entertainment of two additional Translators

2 In sanctioning the appointments of an Assistant Accountant and a Translator for the Stamp Office, the Government of India were of opinion that it was premature to raise the pay of the Manager which

From Govt 25th May in Cons: 3rd June 752

which was also then recommended. Since that period however, as will appear from Mr. Douglas's letter, the business has greatly increased, the No of vouchers sent in Fusly 1258, for audit on account of refunds made under the provisions of act XVII of 1848 was only 1248, while that actually received in Fusly 1261, was 10,195 and the estimated No for Fusly 1262 is 12,000. The Stamp revenue has also been greatly augmented, the amount derived from this source in Fusly 1258 being Rupees 4,22,321 while in Fusly 1261 it was Rupees 5,17,204, and the Estimate for Fusly 1262 is given at Rupees 6,00,000.

3 As the increase of business above shewn has tended to a corresponding increase of refunds under the act, and consequently entailed a large amount of labour on the Establishment, thereby greatly adding to the duties and responsibilities of the Manager, who likewise audits the refunds, the Board concur with the late and present Superintendents of Stamps in opinion that the pay at present enjoyed by this individual is quite inadequate to the

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

the onerous and responsible duties which devolve upon him, and they therefore beg to recommend that the salary be raised from Rupees 120 to Rupees 150 per mensem. The Government will observe that this rate is recommended as the pay of the Office, irrespective of the individual who may fill it.

4 It will be seen that it is now upwards of four years since the addition was made to the salary of the Manager.

5 The Board also concur with the Civil auditor that the services of the Translator are insufficient for translating the certificates of refunds, which have increased, as already shewn, to a very considerable extent, and they further recommend that two additional Clerks be sanctioned, on a salary of Rupees 25 each per mensem, so as to enable the Stamp Office, to perform the work with efficiency and despatch.

6 A Tabular Statement in the prescribed form is herewith submitted.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 8th September 1853.

(Signed) J. B. Cunliffe
Asst. Secretary

G.G.B.

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

(6)

Bd. No. 1889.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the monthly accounts shewing the Collections of ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1852 to 30th June 1853 of Fusly 1262 Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1261.

A.

2. Abstract B shews a Net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 1,67,210-10- resulting from a net Increase of Rupees 2,45,089-15-8 in the Collections of Land Revenue and a net Decrease of Rupees 77879-5-8 in the Collections from Exctra Sources of Revenue.

Increase in the Collections of current	4,16,108-15-5	
Deduct Decrease in the Collections of arrears	1,71,018-13-9	
	+ 2,45,089-15-8	

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 8th September 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Acting Secretary.

General

Fort St. George

8th September 1853

Statement of Ordinary Revenue in the Several Districts under the Madras Presidency from 12th July 1852 to 31st June 1853 of Fusly 1262.

Collections of Fusly 1261 — Collections of Fusly 1262 — Increase / Decrease

Arrears and Current.

Land Revenue Comparison.

Fusly 1261 Current		Fusly 1261 Total		Fusly 1262 Arrears		Fusly 1262 Current		Fusly 1262 Total		Increase Arrears		Increase Current		Net Increase		Net Decrease	
3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11	
Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
4 87,836	12 10	3,33,926	2 2	13,314	12 11	8,80,514	" 7	8,93,828	13 6			4,152	3 9			40,097	4 8
1 11,669	2 14 8	1,47,581	10 9	10,919	8 4	11,58,693	" 10	11,69,609	9 2	2,125	12 3	"	"			5,872	1 7
4 19,180	8 9 9	1,97,994	4 1	5,556	10 4	19,28,745	11 5	19,84,307	5 9	"	"	10,685	1 8				
6	99,463	7 10	8,46,685	10 10	73,867	12 1	8,32,568	9 4	9,06,436	5 5			1,33,115	1 6			
4 13,113	3 6 11	1,35,182	9 11	26,549	7 2	1,34,504	1 8	1,37,157	3 8 10			33,707	6 1				
	7,678	9 5	95,820	7 7	24,058	11 1	6,15,509	10 4	8,56,090	5 5	49,555	10 1	"				
3 16,15,700	" 11	16,63,742	6 2	6,14,61	15 "	16,37,988	2 1	16,99,450	1 1	13,413	9 9	22,288	1 2				
5 7	14,842	8 6 1 9	1,34,670	1 4	2,53,542	2 1	16,33,495	1 1	18,87,037	3 2	3,158	2 6	1,19,208	15 4			
6	18,36,303	6 1	13,95,529	1 7	82,455	9 7	17,57,239	13 6	18,39,695	7 1	23,229	14 1	"	"			
5	1,69,725	15 3	20,78,989	2 8	2,66,390	15 4	16,90,310	5 1	19,56,701	4 5	"	"	"	"			
6	2,50,581	13 6	22,93,049	15 "	1,62,259	7 10	21,98,425	13 5	23,60,685	5 3	19,791	6 4	47,843	15 11			
4 4	35,536	8 9	3,60,411	5 7 1	83,364	6 4	35,79,545	2 5	36,62,909	8 9	15,637	8 "	4,31,569	3 "			
0	13,47,888	5 6	13,65,254	15 6	29,425	9 8	12,95,886	6 8	13,25,312	" 4	12,058	15 8	"	"			
4	21,89,949	6 "	19,49,226	10 2	85,596	15 5	20,06,546	5 1	20,92,143	4 6	34,319	11 3	1,08,596	15 1			
4	2,13,985	3 "	2,18,231	1 4	24,820	9 9	2,18,563	" 10	2,20,383	10 7	"	"	5,257	13 10			
9	20,61,321	14 1	21,99,889	14 10	29,704	8 8	20,96,215	4 5	21,25,919	13 1	"	"	34,893	6 4			
5 1	22,45,741	2 "	22,66,177	1 1	24,371	3 7	23,10,929	10 "	23,35,300	13 7	4,768	14 6	63,354	14 "			
9	16,58,252	7 4	16,75,986	8 1	22,653	4 4	16,59,623	2 3	16,82,276	6 7	4,920	3 7	1,370	10 11			
2 7	15,35,800	6 6	15,42,272	9 1	8,282	6 7	16,39,113	15 10	15,47,396	6 5	910	7 "	4,113	9 4			
4	57,315	2 "	5,48,879	3 4	3,961	7 7	56,310	2 2	60,271	9 9	397	6 3	1,995	2 2			
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2 6	7,09,985	"	7,26,223	2 6	6,962	8 10	7,08,098	12 4	7,14,861	5 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4 2	3,26,99,059	5 11	34,48,427	4 1	15,65,742	" 6	3,31,16,169	3 4	3,46,80,910	3 10	18,43,387	6 3	7,11,059	12 9			
"	"	"	46,850	12 6	"	"	"	"	94,517	12 5	"	"	"	"			
"	"	"	3,45,30,338	" 7	"	"	"	"	3,47,75,426	" 3	"	"	"	"			
			3,12,13,468	8 11													
			33,68,869	" 8					3,16,72,876	6 4							
									3,10,25,611	9 11							

Land Revenue Comparison.	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Increase	Decrease
	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
	1,152 3 9	44,249 8 5	" " "	44,249 8 5	" " "	40,097 4 8
	2,125 12 3	" " "	7,997 13 10	7,997 13 10	" " "	5,872 1 7
	10,685 1 8	6,326 " "	" " "	6,326 " "	4,359 1 8	" " "
	1,33,115 1 6	73,364 6 11	" " "	73,364 6 11	59,750 10 7	" " "
	33,707 6 1	15,296 7 2	" " "	15,296 7 2	18,410 14 11	" " "
	49,655 10 1	" " "	15,2385 12 3	15,2385 12 3	" " "	102,730 2 2
	35,701 10 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	35,701 10 11	" " "
	15,2367 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,2367 1 10	" " "
	23,229 14 1	" " "	7,906 3 8 7	7,906 3 8 7	" " "	5,833 10 6
	" " "	1,20,872 4 1	1,416 10 2	1,22,287 14 3	" " "	1,22,287 14 3
	67,635 6 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	67,635 6 3	" " "
	5,879 4 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,879 4 1 8	" " "
	12,058 15 8	" " "	5,220 14 10	5,220 14 10	" " "	40,142 15 2
	1,42,916 10 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,42,916 10 4	" " "
	5,257 13 10	25,625 7 7	" " "	25,625 7 7	26,952 6 3	" " "
	34,893 6 4	10,886 3 8 1	" " "	10,886 3 8 1	" " "	73,970 1 9
	68,123 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	68,123 12 6	" " "
	6,290 14 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,290 14 6	" " "
	5,023 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,023 13 4	" " "
	2,392 6 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,392 6 5	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	9,475 9 8	1,886 3 8	11,361 13 4	" " "	11,361 13 4
	39,544 7 3	4,04,073 3 11	2,94,950 15 4	6,99,024 3 3	64,871 8 15	2,45,295 15 5
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	56,750 9 11	8,083 10 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,05,469 9 1	4,60,379 9 5
					4,60,379 9 5	Dr. Decrease
					2,45,089 15 8	Net Increase

10

10/10

Fort St. George

Compared with the Collections in the same period

Salt

	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262
	16	17	18	19	20	21
	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
1	3,98,467 9 "	3,65,832 12	" " "	32,635 7 10	" " "	" " "
2	1,52,749 4 "	1,70,833 9 "	18,084 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	1,96,653 9 11	2,40,416 12 8	43,763 2 9	" " "	2,999 12 9	1,125 " 1
4	2,39,701 11 1	1,38,222 3 9	" " "	101,479 7 4	38,448 1 8	35,806 14 8
5	32,603 1 5	3,54,864 15 11 4	2,60,441 6 1	" " "	2,863 3 11	1,834 4 8
6	2,59,313 8 4	2,95,197 12 6	35,884 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	3,50,358 14 5	3,93,300 " "	42,941 1 7	" " "	784 6 8	478 6 9
9	5,67,367 11	2,48,908 7 13	" " "	78,280 9 11	" " "	" " "
10	2,761 8 "	" " "	" " "	2,761 8 "	" " "	" " "
11	2,53,438 14 10	2,07,817 7 3	" " "	45,621 7 7	53,330 10 3	64,127 2 9
12	5,14,428 1 5	4,64,806 8 4	" " "	49,621 9 1	24,606 14 7	23,362 14 5
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	3,17,271 8 10	3,32,025 14 5	14,754 5 7	" " "	39,537 5 6	32,624 4 "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,588 3 11	5,685 3 1
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	4,26,801 3 10	4,48,524 12 "	21,723 8 2	" " "	37,726 8 "	55,799 1 9
19	30,156 9 "	9,30,770 14 4	1,201 13 7	" " "	12 2 11	16 2 10
20	3,88,005 4 10	4,24,320 7 3	36,315 2 5	" " "	141 3 9	199 1 "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	46,49,919 3 8	48,62,631 3 3	4,78,112 1 4	3,10,400 1 9	2,12,729 4 9	2,28,741 14 3
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	46,49,919 3 8	48,62,631 3 3	4,78,112 1 4	3,10,400 1 9	2,12,729 4 9	2,28,741 14 3
				3,10,400 1 9 D.t Decrease		
				1,67,711 15 7 Nt Increase		
	43,95,351 15 11	44,95,531 2 1			1,38,646 5 4	2,07,257 7 9
	3,99,567 3 9	3,67,100 1 2			26,052 15 6	21,434 6 6

8th September 1853

of Fusly 1261

Sayer

Increase	Decrease
22	23
Rs. a P	Rs. a P
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
1125 3 4	" " "
" " "	2,641 3 3
" " "	1028 15 3
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	305 15 11
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
1059 6 8	" " "
" " "	1744 " 2
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	6913 1 6
96 15 2	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
17072 9 9	" " "
3 15 11	" " "
57 13 3	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "
" " "	807 4 4
28953 1 11	12,940 8 5
" " "	" " "
28953 1 11	12,940 8 5
12,940 8 5 D.t Decrease	
16,012 9 6 Nett Increase	

Abkarry

Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease
24	25	26	27
Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
50,115 14 4	55,577 7 6	5,461 9 2	" " "
5,1517 13 4	3,2059 4 10	541 7 6	" " "
80,686 13 7	72,515 14 9	" " "	8,170 14 10
38,285 " 10	38,529 11 7	244 10 9	" " "
17,807 10 2	20,379 10 1	2,571 15 11	" " "
58,396 14 8	65,035 7 4	6638 8 8	" " "
85,194 " "	85,006 " "	" " "	188 " "
49,164 " "	56,702 13 4	7,538 13 4	" " "
26,929 4 8	28,723 6 "	1,794 1 4	" " "
119,132 8 "	117,244 8 "	" " "	1,888 " "
69,706 8 7	75,430 7 8	5,723 15 1	" " "
1,19,881 " "	1,01,986 12 "	" " "	17,894 4 "
23,600 11 8	23,298 10 3	" " "	302 1 5
27,074 " "	20,052 " "	" " "	7,022 " "
2,62,583 5 "	2,51,840 4 8	" " "	10,743 " 4
1,20,426 1 7	1,13,492 12 "	" " "	6,933 5 7
67,577 " 6	82,304 " "	14,726 15 6	" " "
6,8009 10 10	6,8738 13 "	729 2 2	" " "
56,976 1 "	64,862 6 4	7886 5 4	" " "
5,27,688 14 "	5,56,857 9 2	29,168 11 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
64,591 6 3	64,694 " "	102 9 9	" " "
19,85,344 11 "	20,15,331 14 6	83,128 13 8	53,141 10 2
" " "	61 11 6	45 8 "	" " "
19,85,406 6 6	20,15,377 6 6	83,128 13 8	53,157 13 8
		53,157 13 8 D.t Decrease	
		29,971 " " Nett Increase	
17,93,588 7 10	18,37,314 4 6		
1,91,817 14 8	1,78,063 2 "		

Fort St. George

8th September 1853

Sundry Small Farms and Licences

	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	4,384	" 5	4,526	9 10	142	9 5	"	" "	9,678	3 2	10,478	8 5
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5,263	10 1	5,419	15 1
3	10,047	10 8	11,835	2 1	1,787	7 5	"	" "	5,399	5 11	5,677	1 7
4	2,020	7 5	2,498	6 6	477	15 1	"	" "	23,995	8 4	25,601	4 4
5	6,313	2 11	6,480	8 6	167	5 7	"	" "	43,998	1 8	46,436	10 9
6	17,359	10 3	17,866	" 2	506	5 11	"	" "	22,649	13	18,565	4 1
7	8,428	10 "	9,181	14 8	753	4 8	"	" "	74,180	2 2	78,674	5 3
8	7,195	6 1	7,450	5 10	254	15 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	645	8 10	642	6 4	"	" "	3	2 6	16,760	14 3	17,968	5 7
10	5,025	14 3	4,114	1 10	"	" "	911	12 5	32,417	1 6	37,485	1 9
11	816	1 "	1,909	14 4	"	" "	"	" "	44,721	12 4	44,911	14 6
12	24,724	8 5	24,641	13 1	"	" "	82	11 4	6,457	8 8	7,200	11 9
13	4,037	" 8	4,107	8 1	70	7 5	"	" "	900	15 13	10 9,242	2 6
14	19,061	5 9	13,246	1 7	"	" "	5,815	4 2	27,142	4 1	10 26,886	8 5
15	19,906	15 5	15,784	15 6	"	" "	4,121	15 11	154,733	15 6	148,673	7 9
16	14,768	9 1	17,418	3 11	"	" "	2,350	5 2	77,945	8 5	76,057	9 8
17	2,540	2 6	2,382	5 "	"	" "	157	13 6	9,688	" 4	10,679	8 8
18	29,763	12 "	28,864	" 10	"	" "	899	11 2	104,911	" 5	104,940	3 11
19	40,491	6 4	43,780	4 8	3,288	14 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	10,340	1 11	9,565	4 11	"	" "	774	3 "	"	" "	"	" "
20	25,421	15 6	28,387	12 "	2,965	12 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	289	" 7	184	12 "	"	" "	104	4 7	54,656	12 "	53,624	10 9
	60,934	6 "	258,038	2 8	12,325	" 5	15,221	3 9	1,135,263	" 1	1,139,604	3 6
	324	1 7	181	3 10	44	4 4	190	2 1	348	3 "	905	3 7
	261,261	7 7	258,219	6 6	12,369	4 9	15,411	5 10	1,135,611	3 1	1,140,504	7 1
					Dt. Increase	12,369 4 9						
					Net Decrease	5,042 1 1						
	22,776	3 6	219,323	15 6								
	33,505	4 2	38,895	4 "								
									1,065,486	10	1,073,849	1 5
									70,124	9 3	66,655	5 8

Motiurpha

	Increase		Decrease	
	34		35	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
	200	5 3	"	" "
	15 6	5 "	"	" "
	2,785	1 9	"	" "
	1,605	12 "	"	" "
	2,438	9 1	"	" "
	"	" "	4,084	8 11
	4,494	3 1	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	1,207	8 4	"	" "
	"	" "	2,759	10 "
	4,868	" 3	"	" "
	190	2 2	"	" "
	743	3 1	"	" "
	2,406	13 8	"	" "
	"	" "	2,355	8 5
	"	" "	60,607	9
	"	" "	1,887	14 9
	"	" "	5,382	2 11
	991	3 4	"	" "
	29	3 6	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	1,032	2 3
	22,716	6 6	18,380	3 1
	653	13 5	96	12 10
	23,370	3 11	18,476	15 11
	18,476	15 11	Dt. Decrease	
	4,893	4 "	Net Increase	

Sea Customs

	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Increase		Decrease	
	36		37		38		39	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
	14,930	10 "	33,900	3 7	18,969	9 7	"	" "
	7,750	10 1	9,910	2 10	2,159	8 9	"	" "
	33,034	14 3	38,977	2 7	5,942	4 4	"	" "
	2,425	2 11	492	9 3	"	" "	1,932	9 8
	1,835	" 9	154	2 9	"	" "	1,680	14 "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	19,411	4 11	20,582	8 10	1,171	3 11	"	" "
	184	9 2	161	6 4	"	" "	23	2 10
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	19,222	3 3	15,387	2 7	"	" "	3,835	" 8
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	5,882	" 11	55,597	" "	"	" "	3,225	" 11
	32,943	" 8	46,988	2 11	14,045	2 3	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	5,032,012	5 5	5,398,581	0 5	365,614	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	918,950	13 4	973,464	1 5	78,944	10 10	24,431	6 9
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	918,950	13 4	973,464	1 5	78,944	10 10	24,431	6 9
							24,431 6 9	Dt. Decrease
							54,513 4 1	Net Increase
	831,702	6 3	892,823	3 9				
	87,243	7 1	80,640	13 8				

Charles Pratt Esqre.

Folio

	Anno 1261	Anno 1262	Anno 1261	Anno 1262
	40	41	42	43
1	Rent	Rent	Rent	Rent
2	1263. 1 b	18-418- L.	2764 2 b	" "
3	" " " "	18-718 "	14482 8 -	" " 1235-8
4	" " " "	18-316 L.	1920 3 -	" " 1965-12
5	" " " "	9785- L.	10318 12 -	5-33 3 - " "
6	" " " "	8783 1 -	9621 2 b	333 1 b " "
7	" " " "	14376 2 b	12822 11 b	" " 16-5-3
8	" " " "	23212 "	2025-20 12 -	" " 2691 4 -
9	" " " "	3135-2 8 "	34272 11 b	2920 3 b " "
10	" " " "	18-783-12 "	1610 "	" " 614 4 - " "
11	" " " "	2314- "	62436 8 "	" " 708 8 b
12	" " " "	17628- L.	24632 3 "	" " 7026 18- " "
13	" " " "	6983 L.	6981 7 "	" " 69 " "
14	" " " "	1902 0- "	22601. 2 "	3581 8 - " "
15	" " " "	2607 1 12 "	25947 L.	" " 124 8-
16	" " " "	18-831 12 "	19766 L.	" " 2381 8-
17	" " " "	2311 8 "	25611 L.	5-7 12 " "
18	" " " "	2107 1 8 -	2163 7 "	" " 5-65 8 - " "
19	5-7983 13 11	1653-19 7 10	83408 7 1	" " 5-125 1 L.
	1943985-15 3	" " " "	25348214 3	" " 6182 1. 3 -
20				
21				

7198666 12 10 4398745 7 1 " " 2029 " 1966 3 " " 412 8 "

" " " " "

For H.R. George

8th September 1853

	Tobaccos				Stamps			
	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12651. 1 6	15,415 4 -	2764 2 6	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,718 " -	14,482 8 -	" " "	1,235-8 "
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,316 4 -	13,920 8 -	" " "	1,395-12 -
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,785 4 -	10,318 12 -	533 8 -	" " "
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,788 1 -	9,621 2 6	833 1 6	" " "
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14,376 2 6	12,822 11 6	" " "	1,553 7 -
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23212 " -	20,520 12 -	" " "	2,691 4 -
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3135-2 8 " -	34,272 11 6	2,920 3 6	" " "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,485-12 " -	16,100 " -	614 4 -	" " "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23,145 " -	6 22,436 8 "	" " "	708 8 6
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,625 4 -	24,652 3 " -	7,026 15 " -	" " "
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,088 6 " -	6,081 4 " -	" " "	6 9 " -
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19,020 " -	22,601. 8 -	3,581 8 -	" " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	26,071 12 -	25,947 4 -	" " "	124 8 -
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	45,851 12 -	19,766 4 " -	" " "	25,815 8 -
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	25,113 8 " -	25,641 4 -	527 12 -	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21,071 8 -	21,637 " -	565 8 -	" " "
18	25,078 7 14 11	16,537 9 7 10	" " "	8,540 8 7 1	5,125 1 4 6	5,464 14 -	3213 9 6	" " "
19	5,278 7 8 13 11	2,743 9 5 15 3	" " "	2,534 8 2 4 8	6,182 1 - 3	6,342 8 10 -	160 7 -	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,598 1 " -	8,998 16 " -	400 - 6	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,009 " " -	1,966 8 " -	" " "	42 8 " -
	7,78,666 12 10	4,39,775 7 1	" " "	3,38,891 5 9	5,08,879 6 -	4,99,831 6 -	24,587 15 6	33,635 15 6
	7,78,666 12 10	4,39,775 7 1	" " "	3,38,891 5 9	5,08,879 6 -	4,99,831 6 -	24,587 15 6	33,635 15 6
	7,11,997 1	4,39,775 7 1					D. Decrease	24,587 15 6
	66,669 11 6	" " "			4,76,468 13 -	4,63,738 5 6	Net Increase	9,048 " "
					33,420 9 -	36,093 - 6		

	Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue				Net	
	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	48	49	50	51	52	53
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
	4,90,227 6 5	4,86,730 2 6	28138. 8 11	32,635-7 10	" " "	4497. 3 11.
	2,32,999 5 6	2,62,705 7 9	20,941. 10 3	1,235. 8 -	19,706 2 5	" " "
	3,92,735 " "	4,38,571 8 9	55,403. 3 7	9,356 10 10	45,836 8 9	" " "
	3,54,661 4 3	2,51,460 9 13 10	2861 13 10	106053 4 3	" " "	103,191 6 5
	4,07,636 9 8	6,71,382 2 7	2,66,455 6 2	2,709 13 3	2,63,745 8 11	" " "
	3,72,096 " 9	4,09,487 3 7	43,029. 2 9	5,637. 15 11	37,391 2 10	" " "
	1,91,014. 12 2	1,93,382 15 11	5,247 7 9	2,879 4 -	2,368 3 9	" " "
	45,8266 8 1	5,12,786 14 3	54,826 6 1	305. 15 11	54,520 6 2	" " "
	6,27,373 12 4	5,6,2,682 10 6	3,615. 13 8	7,8306. 15 3	" " "	74,691. 1 7
	1,84,834 " 6	1,78,804. 9 7	" " "	9,029 6 11	" " "	9,029 6 11
	4,60,904. 13 7	4,39,275 11 1	30,125. 5 2	5,1754 7 8	" " "	21,629 2 6
	9,38,420. 7 4	9,12,097. 6 4	190 2 2	7,65,13 3 2	" " "	76,323 1 -
	5,31,15- 5 "	5,7,208. 6 1	4395 2 6	302 15 4093 11	" " "	
	5,38,254 12	5,31,705. 6 1	17,161 3 3	23,709 14 4	" " "	6,548 11 1
	6,05,084 6 2	5,61,945 4 8	96 15 2	43,236 " 8	" " "	43,139 1 6
	3,15,042 2 2	3,00,225. 11 8	527 12 -	15,344 2 6	" " "	14,816 6 6
	1,69,134 3 5	1,82,380. 14 8	15,292 7 6	2,045 12 3	13,246 11 3	" " "
	9,33,950 7 4	8,88,147 5 1	43730 " 11	89,533 3 2	" " "	45,803 2 3
	1,12,602 13 "	9,04,182 12 3	31,062 13 11	2,53,482 14 8	" " "	222,420 " 9
	9,34,773 9 6	9,99,941. 1 10	65,941. 11 4	7,743 - 6	57,167 8 4	" " "
	25,421. 15 6	28,387 12 -	2,965 12 6	" " "	2,965 12 6	" " "
	5,03,201 12 5	5,39,858 10 5	36,656. 14 "	" " "	36,656 14 "	" " "
	1,26,936 13 8	1,25,053 - 5 3	102 9 9	1,986 22	" " "	1,883 8 5
	10,49,687 9 8	10,41,741 3 8	7,28,768 2 2	80,704 2 7	2,54,897 15 10	6,23,972 4 10
	7,87 " 1	1,131. 15 5	" " "	" " "	394 15 4	" " "
	10,49,64,499	10,41,85,254 1	" " "	" " "	54,609 2 15	6,23,972 4 10
					D. Increase	54,609 2 15 2
	9,58,821 7 14 11	9,62,961 2 15 7			Net Decrease	7,787 9 5 8
	9,08,406 10 10	7,88,932 4 6				

10/13

Fort H. George

8th September 1853.

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue.

	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Increase		Decrease		Net Increase		Net Decrease	
	54		55		56		57		58		59	
	Rn	a ℘	Rn	a ℘	Rn	a ℘	Rn	a ℘	Rn	a ℘	Rn	a ℘
1	14,24153	8 7	13,79559	" "	28,138	3 11	72,732	12 6	"	" "	4,594	8 7
2	14,08481	" 3	14,22315	" 11	20,941	10 3	7007	9 7	13834	" 8	"	" "
3	2,37,26,834	1	2,42,28,775	14 6	59,762	5 3	9,566	10 10	50,195	10 5	"	" "
4	1,20,13,461	5 1	1,15,79,063	3 3	62,612	8 5	1,06,053	4 3	"	" "	4,31,401	11 10
5	1,76,08,193	7	2,04,29,755	11 5	2,84,886	5 1	2,709	13 3	2,82,156	7 10	"	" "
6	1,33,09,168	4 1	2,62,55,777	9 "	43,029	2 9	10,836	8 2 1	"	" "	66,338	15 4
7	1,85,47,632	4	1,89,28,331	"	40,949	2 8	2,879	4 "	38,069	11 8	"	" "
8	2,19,29,369	5	2,39,98,241	5	20,719	3 11	305	15 11	20,688	7 8	"	" "
9	25,22,902	138	23,92,378	1 7	3,615	138	134,140	99	"	" "	130,524	12 1
10	22,66,823	3 2	21,35,505	14 "	"	" "	"	" "	131,314	5 2	"	" "
11	27,53,954	12 7	27,99,961	" 4	99,760	11 5	51,764	7 8	46,006	3 9	"	" "
12	45,92,535	14 5	45,75,006	15 1	58,984	3 10	76,513	3 2	"	" "	17,528	15 4
13	14,18,370	4 6	13,82,320	6 5	43,952	6	40,445	7	"	" "	36,049	14 1
14	24,87,480	11 4	26,23,848	10 7	1,60,077	13 7	23,709	14 4	136,367	15 3	"	" "
15	27,87,515	10 6	27,71,328	15 3	27,049	5 5	43,236	" 8	"	" "	16,136	11 3
16	25,14,932	1 "	24,26,145	8 9	52,712	"	89,314	4 3	"	" "	88,736	8 3
17	24,36,311	4 6	25,17,681	12 3	83,416	4 "	20,415	12 3	81,370	7 9	"	" "
18	26,09,935	15 5	25,70,423	11 8	50,020	15 5	89,533	3 2	"	" "	39,512	3 9
19	26,68,875	6 1	24,51,479	2 8	36,086	11 3	2,53,487	14 8	"	" "	21,396	3 5
	99,26,52	12 10	10,60,212	11 7	68,334	1 9	7743	"	67,659	14 9	"	" "
20	25,421	15 6	28,387	12 "	2,965	12 6	"	" "	2,965	12 6	"	" "
	5,03,201	12 5	5,39,858	10 5	36,656	14 "	"	" "	36,656	14 "	"	" "
21	8,53,160	" 2	8,39,914	10 5	102	9 9	13,347	15 6	"	" "	13,245	5 9
	4,49,80,174	13 9	4,50,98,323	8 6	13,77,427	14	12,69,338	6 7	96,207	13 7	84,392	2 10
	46,58,7	12 7	95,649	11 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	57,191	7 7
	4,50,26,962	10 4	4,51,93,973	4 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1019,261	6 2
											852,051	11 2
											852,051	11 2
											167,210	10 "
	4,08,01,486	7 10	4,13,02,449	5 11								
	42,25,276	2 6	38,91,483	14 5								

Collections of Old Balances

	Land Revenue				Sayer			
	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease
	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67
	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘	Rn a ℘
	14,794 9 6	4,37,57 15 9	28,963 6 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	14,67 6 7	4,767 " 11	3,299 10 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1,842 4 3	11,914 " 8	10,071 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	2191 1 4	1,27,24 6	" " "	918 2 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	624 15 "	446 " 8	" " "	178 14 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	212 15 4	461 4 "	248 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	2,268 13 7	4,065 12 8	1,696 15 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	935 1 8	1,329 6 10	394 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	3,603 3 9	4,120 3 1	516 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	4,106 10 5	11,557 14 4	7,451 3 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	8 15 5	1,595 1 1	1,586 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	210 7 11	429 84	219 " 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	411 3 11	286 12 11	" " "	125 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1,637 10 1	1,270 9 10	" " "	367 " 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1,214 9 5	2,670 7 8	1,455 14 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	292 6 9	31 " 2	" " "	261 6 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	5,580 13 2	542 7 1	" " "	5,038 6 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1,955 " 3	2,802 1 8	847 15 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	2,391 7 2	1,197 23 "	" " "	1194 4 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	45,350 12 6	94,517 12 5	56,750 9 11	8,083 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. Georges

8th September 1853

Abkarry

	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262		Increase		Decrease	
	68		69		70		71	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	61	6 2	45	8 "	"	" "	15	14 2
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	"	5 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	5 4
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	61	11 6	45	8 "	"	" "	16	3 6

Sundry small

	Fusly 1261		Fusly 1262	
	72		73	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	26	12 "
2	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "
4	"	" "	"	" "
5	"	6	8	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "
7	190	14 8	134	1 1
8	15	8 "	2	13 1
9	12	11 "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "
13	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "
18	103	7 10	"	" "
19	"	" "	"	" "
20	5	1 8	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "
	327	1 4	181	3 1

Farms and Licenses

	Fusly 1261		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1262		Increase		Decrease	
	74		75		76		77		78		79	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	26	12 "	"	" "	62	13 2	13	15 2	"	" "	48	14 "
2	"	" "	"	" "	7	12 "	7	12 "	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	31	4 "	31	4 "	"	" "
4	"	" "	"	" "	30	1 6	20	15 3	"	" "	9	2 3
5	7	15 6	"	" "	10	13 "	8	" 11	"	" "	2	12 1
6	"	" "	"	" "	32	9 4	9	14 9	"	" "	22	10 9
7	"	" "	56	13 7	25	13 1	28	2 6	2	5 5	"	" "
8	"	" "	12	10 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	13	" 11	"	" "	2	" 3	42	1 5	4	2 12
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2	3 11	2	3 11	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	20	15 1	7	9 2	"	" "	13	5 11
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
16	"	" "	103	7 10	48	12 8	47	2 11	28	6 3	"	" "
17	"	" "	95	11 "	15	2 8 11	56	13 11	"	" "	"	" "
18	9	8 10	"	" "	14	1 4	24	9 9	423	11 8	"	" "
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	8 "	"	8 "	"	" "	"	" "
20	"	" "	5	1 8	1	11 6	4	" 2	5	4 8	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	44	4 4	190	2 1	348	3 "	905	3 7	653	13 5	96	12 10

10615

Fort St George

8th September 1853

Tobacco.

	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1262	Increase	Decrease	Total Fusly 1261	Total Fusly 1262
	80 Rs a ℘	81 Rs a ℘	82 Rs a ℘	83 Rs a ℘	84 Rs a ℘	85 Rs a ℘
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14,918 12 10	43,844 2 14
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 12 "
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1467 6 7	4,793 4 4
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	30 7 4	22 15 3
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1858 1 3	11,922 1 7
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2414 9 4	1,416 14 4
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	666 4 1	4,744 1 1
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	226 " 3	161 4 "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2370 13 10	4,109 14 1
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	935 2 8	1331 10 9
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3624 2 10	4,127 12 3
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4106 10 6	11,557 14 4
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8 15 5	1595 " 1
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	210 7 11	429 " 37
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	564 8 5	383 15 10
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1733 5 1	1432 11 7
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1215 7 6	3095 " 15
19	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	292 6 9	31 8 2
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5587 10 4	649 7 3
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1965 " 3	2802 1 8
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2407 7 6	1266 9 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	46587 11 7	95649 11 10

Grand Total of Collections.

Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1261	Fusly 1263	Increase	Decrease
86 Rs a ℘	87 Rs a ℘	88 Rs a ℘	89 Rs a ℘	90 Rs a ℘	91 Rs a ℘
" " "	" " "	14,39,412 5 5	14,23,403 2 11	" " "	15669 2 6
28925 6 1	" " "	14,08,481 " 3	14,22,322 12 11	13,841 12 8	" " "
7 12 "	" " "	2374150 10 8	24,27,693 3 5	53,526 8 9	" " "
3330 14 4	" " "	1,20,1377 6 5	11,57,935 2 6	" " "	43,442 3 11
" " "	1 8 1	1,76,26,724 10	20,54,897 13 "	29,225 8 2	" " "
10069 " 4	" " "	13,33,331 7 8	12,66,994 7 4	" " "	66,336 10 4
" " "	997 11 "	1855429 6 5	1893310 2 1	37,830 11 8	" " "
" " "	129 3 "	2193161 9 8	2400285 5 5	20,712 3 11 9	" " "
236 3 9	" " "	25,26,273 11 6	2376487 15 8	" " "	1,28,785 11 10
1739 " 3	" " "	2264758 5 10	2136837 8 9	" " "	13,920 13 1
396 8 "	" " "	2735758 15 5	2804088 12 7	46,509 13 2	" " "
503 9 5	" " "	4596642 8 10	4686564 13 5	" " "	10,077 11 5
7451 3 11	" " "	14,18,379 3 11	13,83,915 7 6	" " "	34,463 12 5
1586 1 8	" " "	2487691 3 3	2624278 2 11	1,36,586 15 8	" " "
219 " 5	" " "	2788080 2 11	2771692 15 1	" " "	16,387 3 10
" " "	200 8 7	25,16,665 6 1	24,27,578 4 4	" " "	89,087 1 9
" " "	300 9 6	2437526 12 "	2520776 13 8	83,250 1 8	" " "
1879 9 11	" " "	26,10,228 6 2	25,70,455 3 10	" " "	39,773 24
" " "	260 14 7	2674463 " 5	2452028 9 11	" " "	2,22,434 6 6
" " "	5038 3 1	9,94,607 13 1	10,63,014 13 3	68,407 " 2	" " "
847 1 5	" " "	25,421 15 6	28,387 12 "	2,965 12 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	503201 12 5	539858 10 5	36,656 14 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	85,5564 7 8	84,1181 3 5	" " "	14,386 4 3
" " "	1140 14 6	45,19,373 4 4	97,39,74 14 2	81,764 4 2	
57191 7 7	81,29 8 4	45,02,647 2 10 4			

81,764 4 2 Dr. Decrease

1,67,210 10 " Net Increase

Fort St. George

8th September 1853

Particulars of Fasly 1261 and Balances Land Revenue

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing. 92 Rs. a p.	Balance under 15 years standing. 93 Rs. a p.	Arrears of Fasly 1261 and Balances Land Revenue: Total 94 Rs. a p.	Jaury Mistakes 95 Rs. a p.	Minors 96 Rs. a p.	Balances of prior date in train of Liquidation Land Revenue not permanently settled: Sequestered 97 Rs. a p.	Total 98 Rs. a p.	Rented 99 Rs. a p.	Aumany 100 Rs. a p.	Total Land Revenue 101 Rs. a p.
1	45 9 10	7,173 2 10	7,223 12 8						4,579 " 7	4,579 " 7
2	" " "	138 12 4	138 12 4	6,455 10 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,877 4 9	15,332 15 5
3	" " "	18,735 " "	18,735 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,455 10 8	" " "	20,682 7 5	20,682 7 5
4	" " "	558 " 2	558 " 2	10,77,487 " 6	56,875 4 "	" " "	" " "	341 " 9	42,0994 6 2	24,82,930 1 5
5	17,6022 6 2	30,1532 3 5	4,77,5549 7	" " "	" " "	9,27,132 6 "	20,61,594 10 6	" " "	70,807 6 1	70,807 6 1
6	" " "	1,933 8 11	1,933 8 11	2,385 13 1	943 12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	19,857 4 9	23,189 14 1
7	" " "	3,941 11 11	3,941 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,331 9 4	1 " "	7,367 12 7	7,367 12 7
8	" " "	124 12 1	124 12 1	5,662 12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	603 1 3	6,967 2 11
9	791 11 4	39,378 11 8	40,170 7 "	1,637 5 1	" " "	701 5 5	6364 1 8	" " "	1,98,235 8 7	1,998,72,138
10	" " "	809 1 9	809 1 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,637 5 1	" " "	2,192 4 1	2,192 4 1
11	" " "	35,335 12 3	35,335 12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,028 4 9	2028 4 9
12	36 8 8	34,720 3 1	34,756 11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,430 1 5	11,430 1 5
13	" " "	1,191 8 3	1,191 8 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,470 1 "	2,470 1 "
14	5,450 14 "	11,538 11 8	16,989 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,409 7 4	5,954 7 4
15	" " "	6,485 5 2	6,485 5 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,252 4 8	6,252 4 8
16	" " "	308 2 4	308 2 4	" " "	" " "	1,545 " "	4,545 " "	" " "	4,384 8 8	4,384 8 8
17	" " "	7,154 7 3	7,154 7 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	522 6 3	522 6 3
18	" " "	235 " "	235 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,052 8 5	1,052 8 5
19	" " "	22,847 9 9	22,847 9 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2841 1 9	7,841 1 9
20	270 5 11	22,022 12 9	22,293 2 8	5,000 " "	" " "	" " "	5,100 " "	" " "	5238 15 9	5,238 15 9
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	2,785 13 10	2,785 13 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,985 6 "	7,985 6 "
	1,82,617 7 11	5,18,958 15 3	7,01,576 7 2	10,93,628 9 7	57,821 " 3	9,32,478 11 5	20,88,928 5 3	342 " 9	7,99,811 10 3	2889082 " 3

1847

Port St. George

	Salt	Sayer	Abkary	Sundry small Farms and Licenses.	Extra Revenue Motarpha	Sea Customs
	102 Rs a ℗	103 Rs a ℗	104 Rs a ℗	105 Rs a ℗	106 Rs a ℗	107 Rs a ℗
1	" " "	" " "	130 " 3	53 " "	6 15 2	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	" " "	51 13 3	" " "	" " "	3 10 11	" " "
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 14 "	104 14 10	" " "
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	20 4 "	197 3 10	" " "
6	" " "	" " "	22298 13 2	640 14 5	271 7 1	" " "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	158 9 7	" " "
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	434 10 8	" " "	" " "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	128 8 9	" " "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 7 10	" " "
12	" " "	" " "	591 10 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20 6 1	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	462 12 8	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	11 " "	367 1 "	" " "
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	" " "	" " "	21 14 10	338 9 2	9 2 2	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
23	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
24	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	164 8 "	" " "
	" " "	51 13 3	23042 6 9	1505 4 3	2105 " "	" " "

8th September 1853

Balance of Current Demand of 1st July 1262

Stamps	Total	Grand Total of Columns 101 and 109.	Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Total
108 Rs a ℗	109 Rs a ℗	110 Rs a ℗	111 Rs a ℗	112 Rs a ℗	113 Rs a ℗
" " "	189 15 5	4769 " "	45723 11 1	50555 1 2	50778 12 3
" " "	3 10 11	15336 10 4	30346 2 8	3886 14 10	34233 2 6
" " "	163 10 1	20846 1 6	41588 12 3	4979 1 3	46547 13 6
" " "	217 7 10	24881 7 9	140505 8 10	636 15 1	141142 7 11
" " "	33 8 9	70340 14 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	23211 2 8	46401 " 9	38265 9 6	21063 11 7	59329 5 1
" " "	158 9 7	7526 6 2	41432 12 6	5749 " "	47181 12 6
" " "	434 10 8	7401 13 7	184763 13 3	1893 8 "	186657 5 3
" " "	128 8 9	200101 6 5	302751 11 1	445 15 7	303211 0 8
" " "	9 7 10	2263 9 10	102405 11 "	13869 " "	116274 11 "
" " "	591 10 6	12021 11 11	58470 8 8	2456 " "	60926 8 8
" " "	20 6 1	2470 1 "	36471 10 "	1909 " "	38380 10 "
" " "	462 12 8	59774 13 5	730361 2 8	10796 12 4	741158 12 12
" " "	367 1 "	6715 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	47629 8 "	262 8 "	1844 " "	2106 8 "
" " "	12 5 9	52263	254484 8 1	26826 13 10	281311 5 11
" " "	452 10 "	106414 2	2744708 9	42505 "	320213 9
" " "	" " "	82931 11 9	90861	1226 6 9	20812 7
" " "	" " "	52381 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	164 8 "	8149 14 "	20338 2 2	2809 15 "	23148 12 "
" " "	26704 8 3	291536 3 6	1630253 " 4	123171 8 3	1753426 8 7

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

	Total Columns 110 and 113	Total Balance Col. 94 and 114	Remission Of recent Arrears	Remission Of old Balances	Total
	114 Rs a p	115 Rs a p	116 Rs a p	117 Rs a p	118 Rs a p
1	55547 12 3	62771 8 11	" " "	5552 1 4	5552 1 4
2	49569 12 10	49708 9 2	25848 - 5	" " "	25848 - 5
3	64393 15 "	36128 15 "	" " "	67334 9 8	67334 9 8
4	2624290 1 2	2624848 1 4	68439 13 10	" " "	68439 13 10
5	570840 14 10	548395 8 5	" " "	259541 - 10	259541 - 10
6	1057730 5 10	1076863 14 9	" " "	6242 13 11	6242 13 11
7	54708 2 8	58649 14 7	3488 14 1	13574 7 4	17063 5 5
8	194059 2 10	194183 14 11	" " "	18 6 7	18 6 7
9	2307231 1	270893 8 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	1185384 10	1193467 7	5002 4 "	134 8 11	5136 12 11
11	49211 11 4	54547 7 7	" " "	5 8 8	5 8 8
12	5977972 2 8	6327281 4 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	6387 1 "	7578 9 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	80920 10 1	97910 3 9	" " "	1272 9 "	1272 9 "
15	17491 13 8	23977 2 10	" " "	582 6 1	582 6 1
16	4762 9 8	5040 12 3	" " "	16830 1 2	16830 1 2
17	2628 14 3	9486 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	282376 4 1	282611 11 8	18 2 8	272 4 1	290 6 9
19	403149 6	63162 3 3	" " "	295 - 11	295 - 11
20	14447 12 4	36740 15 "	4 6 "	8 12 "	13 2 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	312977 15 2	340833 13 "	" " "	10361 11 9	10361 11 9
	4669213 1 1	5370789 8 3	102801 9 -	382026 6 3	4843271 5 3

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Dy Secretary

A.R.

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

Remarks upon Statement A

Collections of arrears of Land Revenue Column 11.

In North Arcot Cuddapah, Masulipatam Ganjam and Bellary the deficiency in the Collections is owing to the demand on account of arrears at the beginning of Fasly 1261 being less than that of the corresponding period in the preceding year.

Collections of Current Land Revenue Col. 12.

2 In Chingleput the decrease is attributable to a less extent of the Cultivation owing to the unfavorable nature of the season, to the depressed state of the grain Market, and to the injurious consequences of the inundation of crops.—

Do. 3 The decrease in Nellore is owing to the crops having been destroyed by floods, and several Tanks having burst their banks by the storm in March—In cultivation also there was a falling off to the extent of Rupees 9,928.—

Do. 4 In Trichinopoly the decrease is attributable to the Storm of the 24th March last by which a great portion of the productive [illegible] to fruit trees, crops on the ground and those already reaped and left on the Threshing floor were destroyed.—

Balance on account of arrears of Land Revenue Col. 101.

5 Of the large balance in Masulipatam

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

Masulipatam only the small amount of Rupees 117 was collected the greater portion of the remaining Sum Viz. Rupees 26,594 appertains to the proprietary Estates, almost all of which have been purchased by Government, and as report on the same is promised respecting the balances in Circar Villages the Collector states that he will shortly forward a special report to the Commissioner for the Northern Circars.—

Balance on account of arrears of Land Revenue Col. 101 (Continued)

In Nellore the balance exists chiefly under the head of Canam, the portion 8th the recoverable portion of this will soon be realized.—

7 In Guntoor the balance is belongs partly to village Servants fees and Enam Jody 8th and the remaining is stated to be owing to successive bad seasons and to the effects of the Storm which visited the district on the 7th November 1851 a portion of the balance will however it is stated be brought to account.

Do. 8 Of the balance in Chingleput outstanding against the Canam 8th Villages Rupees

64

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

64 have since been collected and the remainder (19793) is in course of recovery.—

Balance on account of arrears of Land Revenue Colr 101. (Continued)

9 The balance in Rajahmundry is due by Government Estates.—

Balance on account of current Land Revenue Column III.

10 In Ganjam the balance which is entirely zemindary, it is stated will be collected hereafter.—

Do. 11 The balance in Vizagapatam is due by the Proprietory Estates which it is observed will be realized as soon as possible.—

Do. 12 The balance in Rajahmundry were subsequently reduced to Rupees 34,301 which is in course of Collection.—

Do. 13 Of the balance in Masulipatam Rupees 5,836 have since been collected and the remainder it is stated will soon be collected.—

Do. 14 The balance in Chingleput is in course of recovery.

Do. 15 Of the balance in Salem, Rupees 8,111 were received at the Hoozoor Treasury subsequent to the Month of June and Rupees 1158 were realized

and

and credited at the Talook Treasuries up to the end of the same month, the remainder it is stated will be hereafter recovered.—

Balance on account of Land Revenue Colr. III / Cont.d /

16 Of the balance in Madura Rupees 21,181 have been collected and the remainder will be collected hereafter.—

Do. 17 Respecting the balance in Nellore Rupees 785 have since been collected, and for the realization of the remainder measures have been adopted.—

Do. 18 Of the balance in North Arcot, Rupees 64,633 have since been recovered and the remainder it is stated will soon be collected.—

Do. 19 The balance in Tanjore is composed of Rupees 3,601 under Peishcush Rupees 6,923 under Shrotriem 8vo. and Rupees 5,74,186 under Village 8vo. and are in course of collection.—

Do. 20 In Tinnevelly the balance has been reduced to Rupees 6,971 and is in course of recovery.—

Balance on account of Current Land Revenue Colr. III / Cont.d /

21 Of the balance in Canara Rupees 1,54,143 have been subsequently collected.—

Balance on account of Current Land Revenue Colr. III / Cont.d /

22 The balance in Malabar it is stated will be collected next month.—

Do. 23 For the balance in Kurnool no remark is offered by the Agent.—

Collections of Abkarry Revenue Column 27.

24 The decrease in Tanjore is owing to the falling off in the bidings of this branch of Revenue.—

Collections of Salt Revenue Colr. 19.—

25 The decrease in Manalipatam is owing to the failure in the Manufacture of Salt in consequence of unseasonable falls of Rain.—

Do. 26 In Nellore the decrease is attributable to the Merchants having been prevented from resorting to the Pans owing to sickness among their cattle, and the bad state of the roads, occasioned by the late floods.—

Do. 27 In South Arcot and Tanjore the decrease is owing, in the former, to the failure of the Stocks on hand for sale, and in the latter to the entire loss of fruit this season.—

The

10624

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Collections of Salt Revenue Colr 19.—

28 The decrease in Ganjam is owing to fluctuation in the demand.—

Collections of Tobacco Revenue Column 43.—

29 The decrease in Canara and Malabar is in consequence of the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly.—

Balance on account of arrears of Extra Revenue Colr 103 & 105.

30 In Chingleput the balance of arrears is considerable being Rupees 2,32,11 of this sum Rupees 22798 occurs under the head of abkary, and regarding which no Explanation is offered by the Collector.—

Balance on account of Current Extra Revenue Colr 112.—

31 Of the current balance in Chingleput Rupees 24063 appears under the head of abkary and is stated to be in course of recovery.—

32 In Canara the current balance is Rupees 24826 appearing under the several heads of Sayer, abkary Small Farms, Moturpha Salt and Stamps, some portion of this however appears from the Collectors remark for the total of Land and Extra Sources of Revenue, to have been since recovered.—

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Comparative

Fort St. George 8th September 1853.

Increase Decrease Increase Decrease

Land Revenue Rupees 24530338 " } 341554 28 " "

Salt " 1694919 3 8 488263 31 " "

Sayer " 212729 4 9 22851 41 " "

Abkary " 1986405 6 8 201537 " "

Sundry Small Farms and Licences 207287 " " 25821 9 "

Moturpha " 1135507 3 1 114050 4 "

Sea Customs " 915950 13 4 49134 4 "

Tobacco " 55655 12 10 43911 5 "

Stamps " 508879 5 " 49853 1 "

Total of the Collectorates Rupees 104904 24 9 9 10818 5 8 "

Land Revenue Rupees 2,45,089 15 8 " 2,35,094 5 10 " 11,819 "

Sundry Small Farms and Licences " 15 1 " " " "

Moturpha " 9 6 " " " "

Sea Customs " 3042 1 1 " " "

Tobacco " 48903 4 " " " "

Stamps " 54573 5 1 " " "

Total of the Collectorates Rupees 3,38,891 5 9 " " "

Land Revenue Rupees 9048 " " " 10,350 9 10 " 501 002 14 1

Sundry Small Farms and Licences " " " " 10,350 9 10 " 333 92 4 1

Increase as per Collections of Land Revenue } 1,16,108 — 13 — 8

Decrease as per Collections of Tobacco Revenue } 1,71,018 — 13 — 9

Net Decrease } 54,909 — 13 — 8

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

M. 625

B. Comparative Abstract of the Collections of Land Revenue, Sayer, Abkary, Small Farms, Moturpha, Sea Customs, Tobacco, Stamps, and Extra Revenue, for the Fasly 1262 and 1263 ending 30th June 1853.

Sources of Revenue	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.
Land Revenue	24530338	"		24508915	8							
Salt	1694919	3	8	1552631	3							
Sayer	212729	4	9	225141	11							
Abkary	1986405	6	8	2015371	6							
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	207287	"	"	258219	6							
Moturpha	1135507	3	1	1140504	1							
Sea Customs	915950	13	4	491344	1							
Tobacco	55655	12	10	43911	5							
Stamps	508879	5	"	499831	5							
Total of the Collectorates	10490424	9	9	10818518	4							
Land Revenue Rupees 2,45,089 15 8 "				2,35,094	5	10	"	11819	"			
Sundry Small Farms and Licences "				"	"	"	"	"	"			
Moturpha "				"	"	"	"	"	"			
Sea Customs "				"	"	"	"	"	"			
Tobacco "				"	"	"	"	"	"			
Stamps "				"	"	"	"	"	"			
Total of the Collectorates Rupees				10,350	9	10	"	501002	14	1	"	
Land Revenue Rupees				10,350	9	10	"	333	92	4	1	
Sundry Small Farms and Licences "				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Moturpha "				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Sea Customs "				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tobacco "				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Stamps "				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total of the Collectorates Rupees				10,350	9	10	"	333	92	4	1	

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Land Revenue Rupees	24530338	"	}	341554	28	"	"					
Salt "	1694919	3	8	488263	31	"	"					
Sayer "	212729	4	9	22851	41	"	"					
Abkary "	1986405	6	8	201537	"	"	"					
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	207287	"	"	25821	9	"	"					
Moturpha "	1135507	3	1	114050	4	"	"					
Sea Customs "	915950	13	4	49134	1	"	"					
Tobacco "	55655	12	10	4391	5	"	"					
Stamps "	508879	5	"	49853	1	"	"					
Total of the Collectorates Rupees	10490424	9	9	1081851	8	"	"					
Land Revenue Rupees	2,45,089	15	8	"	2,35,094	5	10	"	11819	"		
Sundry Small Farms and Licences "	"	15	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Moturpha "	9	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Sea Customs "	3042	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Tobacco "	48903	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Stamps "	54573	5	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Total of the Collectorates Rupees	3,38,891	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Land Revenue Rupees	9048	"	"	"	10,350	9	10	"	501002	14	1	
Sundry Small Farms and Licences "	"	"	"	"	10,350	9	10	"	333	92	4	1
Moturpha "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sea Customs "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tobacco "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Stamps "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total of the Collectorates Rupees					10,350	9	10	"	333	92	4	1

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Land Revenue Rupees	24530338	"	}	341554	28	"	"					
Salt "	1694919	3	8	488263	31	"	"					
Sayer "	212729	4	9	22851	41	"	"					
Abkary "	1986405	6	8	201537	"	"	"					
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	207287	"	"	25821	9	"	"					
Moturpha "	1135507	3	1	114050	4	"	"					
Sea Customs "	915950	13	4	49134	1	"	"					
Tobacco "	55655	12	10	4391	5	"	"					
Stamps "	508879	5	"	49853	1	"	"					
Total of the Collectorates Rupees	10490424	9	9	1081851	8	"	"					
Land Revenue Rupees	2,45,089	15	8	"	2,35,094	5	10	"	11819	"		
Sundry Small Farms and Licences "	"	15	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Moturpha "	9	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Sea Customs "	3042	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Tobacco "	48903	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Stamps "	54573	5	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Total of the Collectorates Rupees	3,38,891	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Land Revenue Rupees	9048	"	"	"	10,350	9	10	"	501002	14	1	
Sundry Small Farms and Licences "	"	"	"	"	10,350	9	10	"	333	92	4	1
Moturpha "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sea Customs "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tobacco "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Stamps "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total of the Collectorates Rupees					10,350	9	10	"	333	92	4	1

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Increase as per Collections of Land Revenue } 1,16,108 — 13 — 8

Decrease as per Collections of Tobacco Revenue } 1,71,018 — 13 — 9

× 54,909 — 13 — 8

C. Abstract Shewing the Increase and Decrease on the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 30th June 1853.—

	Land Revenue						Extra Sources of Revenue					
	Increase			Decrease			Increase			Decrease		
	Rupees	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Ganjam	"	"	"	11133	14	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vizagapatam	"	"	"	5872	1	7	19713	14	3	"	"	"
Rajahmundry	7658	12	"	"	"	"	45867	12	9	"	"	"
Masulipatam	59750	10	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	10319	2	5
Guntoor	28482	11	4	"	"	"	26374	2	10	"	"	"
Chingleput	"	"	"	10364	8	"	3731	10	8	"	"	"
Salem	35522	12	7	"	"	"	2357	15	1	"	"	"
Madura	15265	5	6	"	"	"	5450	8	3	"	"	"
Nellore	"	"	"	5413	6	11	"	"	"	7464	9	5
N.r D.o of Arcot	"	"	"	1218	9	3	10	1	"	902	7	3
Tanjore	66245	5	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	2164	2	8
Trichinopoly	"	"	"	3855	6	5	40	9	3	7632	3	1
Tinnevelly	143135	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	654	8	11
Bellary	25825	15	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	4321	4	3
Cuddapah	"	"	"	7433	7	2	"	"	"	1474	9	15
Coimbatore	69579	10	9	"	"	"	1367	6	11	"	"	"
Canara	6029	7	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	4580	10	3
Malabar	"	"	"	14	8	9	"	"	"	2241	13	9
Madras — Land Customs	3239	7	10	"	"	"	6516	8	4	"	"	"
Madras — Toll collected on Cochrane's canal	"	"	"	"	"	"	296	5	12	"	"	"
Madras — Sea Customs	"	"	"	"	"	"	3665	6	14	"	"	"
Kurnool	"	"	"	1255	6	2	"	"	"	183	2	"
Total	867239	4	8	422149	5	"	54605	6	1	62393	5	4
	422149	5	"	Dr Decrease			Dr Increase			546056	1	8
	445089	15	8	Nett Increase			Nett Decrease			77379	5	8

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Subsecretary

Received

61 — Mfr. No. 977 — Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July 1853.—

62 — Mfr. No. 974

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July 1853.—

63 — Mfr. No. 973

From the Acting Collector of Madras. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July 1853.—

64 — Mfr. No. 978

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

65 — Mfr. No. 975

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.—

66 — Mfr. No. 966

From the Sub Collector in charge of North Arcot — Reporting that no Persons were charged sentenced or confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of July last.—

67 — Mfr. No. 968

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of Village Officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of July last.

From

10628

Fort St. George 8th September 1853

M.J. No. 963

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the

68 Quantity of Salt in store and ready for

Exportation on account of the Chittagong

69 Indent for 1851/52 at the Ports, up to

M.J. No. 972 15th August 1853.—

From the Acting Collector of Madras. Acknowledging

70 the receipt of 1000 Salt Cawannahs.

M.J. No. 956

From the Collector of Canara. Indent on the Superintendent

of Stationery for Stationery and Sundries required for the

70-71 year 1854.—

Order thereon.

Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.—

" Nolan

" Monteath

" Maw

21

Certified
J. G. Boyle