Vol. 2380

217

319

exceeding Rupees / 18,310 — 13 — 5 /—

For providing a Place of arms and Buildings attached for a Regiment of Native Infantry at Palamcottah, and for removing a portion of the Fort Wall at that station ——— Rs 17,124 — 6 — 8

Purchase of Apothecarys present quarters ——— 111 — 6 — 9

Compensation for loss of present Sepoys huts ——— 2000 — — —

Erection of Boundary stones 75 — — —

Compensation to holders of Land required for erection of new Buildings and Lines — 4,000 — — —

Company's Rupees 18,310 — 13 — 5

Eighteen thousand three hundred, and ten Annas thirteen and Pice five, as marginally indicated for the purpose of providing the necessary Buildings and ground for constructing the proposed new Cantonment at Palamcottah —

/ Signed / C. A. Brown Lt. Col.
Secretary to Government

Ordered to be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for Communication to the Collector of Tinnevelly —

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govert

Ordered



Bd. No. 1488.
Order thereon
(28.26)

Ordered that the foregoing paper be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly

---

(27)

Bd. No. 1789
From the Secy to Government
Reviewing the Settlement Report of the Malabar district for Fusly 1261, and authorizing the writing off in the Acct. certain irrecoverable balance —

Revenue Department
No. 713.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 5th July 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue —

/ Here enter 6th June 1853 No. 253 /

Para 1 The South West Mondoon in the Malabar District for Fusly 1261 set in late and failed almost entirely after the first week in August. The aggregate fall of rain for the whole Fusly was only 87 inches, or 26 inches below the average of the five previous Years. The rice Crops were injuriously affected in consequence, and are stated to have been on an average 15 per cent below the produce of the preceding Fusly tho' in some parts of the District the deficiency was much greater. In Compensation the season seems to have been very favorable for garden

# Contents


| Board's No. | From, and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Con.ⁿ 14 July 1853.** | |
| 1820 | From Govᵗ | Declining to retain Rasava Naidoo in Office | 8442 |
| 1471 | Order Madras | Commᵍ the above | 8443 |
| 1812 | From Canara | For renting the Ferry farms, | 8444 |
| 1468 | Pro. dᵒ | Sanctᵍ the above | 8446 |
| 1811 | From dᵒ | For renting the Abkarry farms | " |
| 1467 | Pro. dᵒ | On the above | 8449 |
| | From V to the Fort Adjtᵗ | Examination of Lieutᵗ Young Sub Assᵗ Commʸ Gᵗ | " |
| | From Govᵗ | Forwarding certain Works | 8451 |
| 1507 | Pro. Madura | Subᵍ the above | 8452 |
| 1714 | From Govᵗ | Suits for Enams in the Civil Courts of Salem | " |
| 1500 | Order Salem | Commᵍ the above | 8453 |
| 1715 | From Govᵗ | Memorial from Goolam Cawder, Son of a deceased Enamdar in S. Arcot | " |
| 1487 | Order S. Arcot | To report on the above | 8455 |
| 1736 | From Govᵗ | Respecting traffic in Tobacco, Wadies, abolition of certain petty farms in Coimbᵗᵒ | 8456 |
| 1519 | Order Bellary Vᵃ | Commᵍ the above | 8457 |
| 1764 | From Govᵗ | Land referred to in the Memorial from Revᵈ Lobo | 8458 |
| 1480 | Order Chingᵗ | On the above | 8459 |
| 1765 | From Govᵗ | Relative to the Land Rev. Settlᵗ of S. Arcot; F. 1261 | " |
| 1501 | Order S. Arcot | Commᵍ the above | 8466 |
| 1774 | From Govᵗ | Charges for constructing certain buildings at Palamcottah - sanctᵈ | " |
| 1488 | Order Tinnʸ | Commᵍ the above | 8468 |
| 1757 | From Govᵗ | Relative to the Land Rev. Settlᵗ Malabar, F. 1261 | " |
| 1490 | Order Malabar | Commᵍ the above | 8474 |
| 1758 | From Govᵗ | Shunmoogary Moodyᵃˢ Pension, confirmed | 8475 |
| 1805 | From dᵒ | Introduction of Act XXVI of 1850 into the Town of Vellore | 8476 |
| 1479 | Order N. Arcot | Commᵍ the above | 8477 |
| 654 | From Vellore | Memorial [illegible] the renewal of certain Yeomiahs | 8478 |
| 1508 | To Govᵗ | On the above | 8507 |
| 1773 | From Bellary | Charges for erecting boundary limits of the Nuggy Abkary Topes | 8509 |
| 1485 | Pro. Govᵗ | Recommᵍ the above for sanction | 8510 |

| Board No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| | From Cuddapah Dft. | Document reg. certain Villages attached to a Tomb | 8511 |
| | From do. | Abkarry rents | 8513 |
| 1727 | From Chingleput | Nomination of Trustees to the Seroja Pandy Pagoda | 8514 |
| 1481 | Pro. do. | On the above | 8516 |
| 1728 | From do. | Manufacture of Salt | " |
| 1520 | Order Madras | Comm. the above | 8522 |
| 1484 | Boards Pro. | On the Settlt. of N. Arcot for F. 1261 | 8523 |
| 1548 | From Salem | Increase of Wages to certain Village Officers | 8539 |
| 1486 | To Govt. | On the above | 8541 |
| 1640 | From S. Arcot | Statement of Tuccavy | 8543 |
| 1780 | From Tanjore | Extract from retrenchment for Sanction | 8544 |
| 1497 | Pro. Govt. | Subg. the above for Sanction | 8546 |
| | From Trichy Dft. | Weights & Measures | " |
| | From Coimbre | Extract from retrenchment for Sanction | 8550 |
| 1498 | Pro. Govt. | Subg. the above for Sanction | 8552 |
| 1795 | From Coimbre | For renting the Abkarry farms | 8554 |
| 1491 | Pro. do. | Sanctg. the above Dfts. | 8557 |
| 1763 | From Malabar | Calling for a copy of Mr. Grant's report | 8558 |
| 1502 | Pro. do. | Forwarding the above | 8559 |
| | Pro. Madras | Extra tent Allowce | " |
| 1777 | From do. | Recomng. certain parties for the Sheristadarship | 8560 |
| 1493 | Pro. do. | On the above | 8562 |
| 1834 | From do. | Statet. of the prices of Grain Dfts. | " |
| 1495 | To Govt. | Stamp papers | 8564 |
| | From Sub Treasr. | Bills for Sanction | 8566 |
| | Pro. Govt. | Subg. the above | 8569 |
| | From Mr. Casserlias | Reg. a Stream of water in dispute | " |
| 1489 | Order Coimbre | On the above | 8573 |
| 1570 | To Govt. | Statet. of Contingent Charges for Sanction | 8574 |
| 1496 | To do. | Dismissal of Kriparama Pala, Quitrent Amildar | 8579 |
| 1494 | To Nellore | Instructions reg. the renewal of uncultivable Enams held by Village Servants | 8581 |

Con. 18 July

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1866 | From Bellary | On delivering & receiving charge of the district | 8585 |
| 1860 | From Malabar | On the effects of the Monsoons | 8595 |
| 1499 | Pro. Govt. | Subg. the above | 8596 |
| 1790 | From Govt. | Dr. Shaughnessy's letter reg. the construction of the Electric Telegraph lines | 8597 |

| Bd. No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1679 | From Govt. | On the Settlt. Report of Coimbre, F. 1261 | 8606 |
| 1528 | Order Coimbre | Comm. the above | 8616 |
| 1684 | From Govt. | Wastage of Salt | 8617 |
| 1543 | Circular | On the above | 8618 |
| 1807 | From Govt. | Disbursement of arrears of Yeomiah Dfts. | 8619 |
| 1573 | Order Madura | Comm. the above | " |
| 1809 | From Govt. | Actual Charges incurred in Canara, Sanctd. | " |
| 1894 | From do. | Advances to the Forest depart. Canara, Sanctd. | 8620 |
| 1547 | Order Canara | Comm. the above | " |
| 1883 | From Comm. (No Circars) | Statet. of D.C. &c. of Sundry Small Farms Dfts. | 8621 |
| 1869 | From Rajahmy | Statet. of Costs incurred on account of Civil Suits Dfts. | 8623 |
| 1617 | From Nellore | Statet. of Arrears due to Yeomiahdars | 8627 |
| 1527 | Remarks Govt. | Recomng. the above for Sanction | 8628 |
| 1524 | Boards Pro. | On the Land Rev. Settlt. of Bellary, F. 1261 | 8629 |
| 1531 | Pro. Bellary | Return of Persons Dfts. | 8645 |
| 1529 | Pro. do. | Omission in the arrear list | 8646 |
| 1672 | From Chingleput | Reg. Enam lands | 8648 |
| 1534 | To Govt. | On the above | 8652 |
| 1876 | From Chingleput | Return of Tahsildars | 8654 |
| 1611 | From N. Arcot | Statet. of arrears due to Dehat Enamdars | 8657 |
| 1533 | Remarks Govt. | On the above | 8658 |
| 1507 | From Salem | Respecting the Tahsildar of Paramutty | 8661 |
| 1514 | Pro. do. | On the above | 8700 |
| 1723 | From Salem | Correspce. reg. the withholding of certain Rev. charge | 8701 |
| 1522 | Pro. do. | On the above | 8726 |
| 1847 | From do. | Respecting the Forms of Annual Settlt. Accounts | 8727 |
| 1797 | From S. Arcot | To return the accounts of certain Villages | 8729 |
| 1537 | Pro. do. | Returning the above | " |
| 1842 | From do. | Payments made by the Indian Iron & Steel Coy. for Jungle rent | 8730 |
| 1859 | From Trichy | Information reg. certain Garden lands Dfts. | 8731 |
| 1813 | From Coimbre | For dispensing with Mr. Rabel's services & recomng. a gratuity be given him | 8733 |
| 1535 | Pro. Govt. | On the above | " |
| 1671 | From Canara | Information reg. the importation of Arabian Salt | 8734 |
| 1525 | To Govt. | Subg. the above | 8735 |
| 1793 | From Malabar | Correspce. from Coimbre reg. Passports | " |
| 1532 | Pro. do. | On the above - Mr. Chatfield's conduct | 8740 |

| Bd. Nr. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1861 | From Malabar | Weights & Measures | 8740 |
| 1778 | From Madras | Land Certificate to Lieut. Macdonald, Ganjam | 8742 |
| 1516 | Pro. Govt. | Recomg. the above for sanction | 8746 |
| 1795 | From Madras | Jaggery Contract | " |
| 1512 | Pro. do. | Authg. the above | 8747 |
| 1870 | From do. | Appointment of a Shr. | " |
| 1521 | Pro. do. | Sanctg. the above | 8748 |
| 1853 | From Secy. Bd. Adjt. Genl. | Examination of Probationers Commn. dept. postponed | " |
| | From Secy. Bd. Rev. | On delivering & receiving charge of office | " |

On 12 July (part)

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Account Genl. | Samples of Timber, for Railway Sleepers | 8754 |
| 1523 | To Civil Audr. | On delivering & receiving charge of office, Secy. Bd. of Rev. | 8755 |
| 1159 | From Govt. | Correspce. from Bombay, relg. Salt | 8756 |
| 1784 | From Govt. | On the settlt. of Land Rev. Madras, F. 1261 | 8817 |
| 1539 | Order Madras | Comng. the above | 8819 |
| 1810 | From Govt. | Resumption &c. of certain lapsed Inams, Bellary | " |
| 1558 | Order Bellary | Comng. the order | 8820 |
| 1828 | From Govt. | On the settlt. of Land Rev. Tanjore, F. 1261 | 8821 |
| 1561 | Order Tanjore | Comng. the above | 8825 |
| 1851 | From Govt. | Declining to furnish the Revd. Mr. Pereira with copies of certain papers | 8826 |
| 1550 | Order Madras | Comng. the above | " |
| 1735 | From Nellore | Respecting the Dungerkhanas | " |
| 1772 | From do. | Abkarry rents | 8828 |
| 1548 | Pro. do. | On the above | " |
| 1837 | From do. | Salt Statement | 8829 |
| 1912 | From do. | Cotton cultivation | 8830 |
| 1913 | From Kurnool | Charges incurred on account of Dungerkhanas &c. | 8832 |
| 1787 | From Chingt. | Statt. of Salt manufactured | 8835 |
| 1539 | Order Madras | Comng. the above | 8836 |
| 1610 | From N. Arcot | Reporting on certain Petns. regg. a Moniagarship | 8837 |
| 1545 | Pro. do. | On the above | 8841 |

8142 Fort St. George 13 July 1853

# Diary

13 July — Received the following Extracts

1

Bd. No. 1820. From the Secy. to Government.

## Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Revenue Department under date the 12 July 1853

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 4 July 1853 No. 2971

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council cannot accede to the application submitted with the above Proceedings, for the temporary retention of Hasava Naidoo in Office. He desires that immediate effect be given to the

Orders

orders regarding that individual contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 27th Ultimo.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

13th July.

B°. N°. 1471

1-2

Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter dated 2d July 1853.

---

Received



Received the following letters.

N°. 56.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

3

B°. N°. 1812.
From the Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the approval of the Board of Revenue the tenders for the Rent of the Ferry farms of this district for the ensuing Fusly 1263 being Rupees 1,130 6 — above the amount of fusly 1262, and Rupees 2,296 — — above the average of the five years past.

| | Rs | a | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1262.... | 9359 | — | — |
| 1263... | 10489 | 6 | — |
| Increase | 1130 | 6 | — |

A.D. 1853/54.

| | Rs |
|---|---|
| Average | 8193 |
| 1263 | 10489 |
| Increase | 2296 |

The decrease in the farm of the Buntwal talook amounting to Rupees 269 8 — arises from the Establishment of a Salt depot at Pane Mangalore. This enables the Salt Traders from the South Bank to obtain their Salt in that depot without crossing the river to the depôt at Buntwal as before.

Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangalore.
7th July 1853.

/Signed/ T. N. Mallby
Collector.

Statement.

8445

Fort St. George the July 1853

No. 1.

Statement shewing the highest offers that have been made for the rent of the Terry Farms of the District of Canara for Fusly 1263. compared with the rents of the preceding 5 years.

| Division | Talooks | Highest amount bid for Fusly 1263. Name of the Bidders. | Amount. | Rent of the preceding Fusly 1262. | Difference Increase | Difference Decrease | Average of the five preceding Fushes Viz 1258 to 62 | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | |
| Collectors Division. | Mangalore | Poorshotum Naik and 16 others | 3,819 " " | 3320 " " | 499 " " | " " " | 3189 6 5 | |
| | Bekul | Cheria Beary and 16 others | 654 12 " | 611 8 " | 43 4 " | " " " | 526 4 " | |
| | Buntwal | Abbo Bearing and 12 others | 761 " " | 1,030 8 " | " " " | 269 8 " | 975 10 5 | |
| | Perloor | Abokre and 2 others | 21 " " | 21 " " | " " " | " " " | 21 " " | |
| | Oodipy | Mummy Beary and 4 others | 138 2 " | 117 " " | 21 2 " | " " " | 136 3 2 | |
| | Barcoor | Joghee and 4 others | 157 " " | 235 " " | " " " | 78 " " | 234 " 9 | |
| | | | 5,550 14 " | 5,335 " " | 563 6 " | 347 8 " | 5082 8 9 | |
| Additional Sub Coll.s Division. | Coondapoor | Sheik Moydeen and 2 others | 186 8 " | 112 8 " | 74 " " | " " " | 118 8 " | |
| | Honore | Washman Shambogue & 5 others | 3,561 " " | 2,897 " " | 664 " " | " " " | 1,730 6 5 | |
| | Aukola | Krishna and 5 others | 996 " " | 863 " " | 133 " " | " " " | 1,097 9 7 | |
| | | | 4743 8 " | 3872 8 " | 871 " " | " " " | 2946 8 " | |
| Sub Coll.rs Division | Soopah | Eshwantapah | 25 " " | 20 8 " | 4 8 " | " " " | 18 14 " | |
| | Sondah | Shabjee | 170 " " | 131 " " | 39 " " | " " " | 146 " " | |
| | | | 195 " " | 151 8 " | 43 8 " | " " " | 164 14 " | |
| | | Total | 10,489 6 " | 9,359 " " | 1477 14 " | 347 8 " | 8193 14 9 | |

Net Increase 1,130 6 "

The Securities are good, and unexceptionable

Collectors Cutcherry
Moongalore
7th July 1853

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector

The

B.D. No. 1468.

Proceedings thereon, The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the offers for the rent of the Ferry Farms of the District of Canara for Fusly 1263 exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 10,489—6—and authorize the Renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms on their complying with the usual conditions.

3.4

---

12th July 1853.

No. 55.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

5

B.D. No. 1811.

From the Collector of Canara.....

Forwards a Statement of Abkarry farms of Canara for the approval of the Board of Revenue for fusly 1263 / 1853/54.

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to submit for the approval of the Board of Revenue the tenders received for the rent of the Abkarry farms of this district for the ensuing fusly 1263 / A.D. 1853/54.

2. The rent of the Mangalore talook has been divided among the Toddy drawers as in the previous years with an addition of Rupees 489 to the amount of the current fusly.

3. The Board will remember that for several years past it has been the practice to allot this farm to the Toddy drawers of Mangalore without putting it up to public competition, a course which was found to entail great suffering upon the people. For several years the Revenue has been Station-

and.



and as I thought that it was not reasonable that while the rents of the district generally were increasing those of the chief town should remain stationary, I explained to the caste that I considered it right to raise the farm by a sum equivalent to the average increase of the district below the Ghats generally. To this they have agreed and I think that the Board will consider that in the absence of the test obtained by open competition, that which I have adopted supplies its place as far as possible.

4 There is a diminution to be found in the Talooks of Sonda and Bilghi, arising from want of competition among the bidders, but the tenders now recommended are in the aggregate Rupees 2,274—10—0 in excess of the current fusly and Rupees 6067 of the average rent of the five preceding years. I therefore beg to recommend them for the early sanction of the Board.

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1262 | 78550 | 8 | 0 |
| 1263 | 80831 | 2 | 0 |
| Increase in 1263 | 2274 | 10 | 0 |
| Average of 5 years | 74761 | | |
| Fusly 1263 | 80831 | | |
| Increase in 1263 | 6067 | | |

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore. 7th July 1853.

(Signed) F. A. Maltby
Collector.

Statement

8448

# Fort St. George — July 1853

No. 1.

Statement shewing the highest Offers that have been made for the rent of the Abkarry Farms of the District of Canara for Fusly 1263 compared with the rents of the preceding 5 years.

| Division | Talook. | Highest amount bid for Fusly 1263. Name of the Bidders | Amount | Rent of the preceding fusly 1262. | Difference Increase | Difference Decrease | Average Rent of the five preceding fuslies By 1258 to 1262. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | |
| Collectors Division | Mangalore | Bujswa Poojary & 3 others | 17000 " " | 16511 " " | 489 " " | " " " | 16447 1 2 | |
| | Behul | Vastevayal & 25 others | 6337 " " | 6164 4 " | 172 12 " | " " " | 6115 10 5 | |
| | Buntwal | Dhoo | 3885 " " | 3805 " " | 80 " " | " " " | 4849 " 9 | |
| | Pootoor | Timmah and 2 others | 1005 " " | 1100 " " | " " " | 95 " " | | |
| | Oodipy | Colly and 35 others | 4976 6 " | 4932 8 " | 43 14 " | " " " | 4908 6 5 | |
| | Barcoor | Coonha and 25 others | 3062 12 " | 2978 12 " | 84 " " | " " " | 2749 1 3 | |
| | | | 36268 2 " | 35491 8 " | 869 10 " | 95 " " | 35069 10 " | |
| Additional Sub Collectors Divisions | Cundapoor | Kilekere Hunja & 3 others | 7910 " " | 6500 " " | 1410 " " | " " " | 6591 6 5 | |
| | Honore | Constancio Fernandez & Hunja Raick | 10385 " " | 10070 " " | 315 " " | " " " | 8896 " " | |
| | Aukola | Nagapah and Timmappah | 4515 " " | 4405 " " | 110 " " | " " " | 3918 " " | |
| | | | 22810 " " | 20975 " " | 1835 " " | " " " | 19405 6 5 | |
| Sub Collectors Division | Soopah | Yeshwantapah | 12305 " " | 11825 " " | 480 " " | " " " | 10853 3 2 | |
| | Sondah | Santayah | 7525 " " | 8065 " " | " " " | 540 " " | 7701 " " | |
| | Bilghy | Bermoo | 1925 " " | 2200 " " | " " " | 275 " " | 1735 " " | |
| | | | 21755 " " | 22090 " " | 480 " " | 815 " " | 20289 3 2 | |
| Total | | | 80831 2 " | 78556 8 " | 3184 10 " | 910 " " | 74764 3 7 | |
| | | Net Increase | 2274 10 " | | | | | |

The Securities are good and unexceptionable.

Collectors Cutcherry.
Mangalore.
7th July 1853.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector

The

B.o N.o 1467
Proceedings thereon,

5-6

The Board of Revenue Sanction the acceptance of the offers for the Abkary Farms of the District of Canara for Fusly 1263, exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 80,831-2-, and authorize the renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms, on their making the necessary deposits, and giving the required Security.

G.O. 14th July 1828 page 79.

The Board must express their dissatisfaction at the late period at which the offers for the abkary Farms are submitted for sanction, the one under receipt having reached this Office on the 1st day of Fusly 1263. The Collectors attention is called to paras 19 & 26 of the Standing Orders of 13th July 1826, and he is requested in future to submit his Statements within such time as will enable the Board to issue final instructions before the close of the Fusly.

C. O Book page 52.

---

7

B.o N.o 1827.
From the Fort Adjutant Madras

13th July — Received the following Extract

Extract from Garrison Orders by the Right Honorable the Governor dated Fort St. George 13th July 1853.

The Committee convened by Garrison Orders of the 1st September last for the examination of Probationers in the Commissarial Department will reassemble at the Commissary General's Office at 12 O'clock Noon on Friday the 15th Instant for the preliminary examination of Sub Assistant Commissary General Lieutenant C. W. S Young recently



appointed to that Department.

N.o 2256
Town Majors Office, Fort St. George, 13th July 1853.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Thos. Thompson Capt.n
Fort adjutant

Forwarded to
The Secretary
or
Deputy Secretary
Board of Revenue.
for information

Sent the following letter.

13th July —

7-8

To, The Fort Adjutant
Madras.

B.o N.o 1468
To the Fort Adjutant Madras

Sir,

The Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue in acknowledging Extract from Garrison Orders of this days date has the honor to represent that in the absence of the Secretary it will be extremely inconvenient to him the Sub Secretary to attend the Committee in question on the 15th instant and to request that his services may be dispensed with on that occasion.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 13th July 1853.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

---

At

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 14th July 1853 } S. Goldingam Esqre Second Member
and
S. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member absent on duty
As Commissioner Nilgiris

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

9
Bo. No. 1697
From the Secy. to Govt. (For information)
Dated 24th June 1853. Read Extract Sanctioning the Charges incurred by the Collector of Masulipatam for the purchase of Salt &c.

9.10
Order thereon,
Ordered to be recorded.

11
Bo. No. 1687
From the Secy. to Government
Read the following Extract

No. 719.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department Under date the 29th June 1853

Read the following letter from the Officiating under Secretary to the Government of Bengal

Transmitting 3 Copies each of works on the Statistics of certain districts of Bengal. (Here enter 11th June 1853 No. 1438)

Ordered that the Works received with the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's Official Memorandum



Memorandum dated 18th May 1853.

(True Extract)

(Signed) S. Pycroft.
Sec. to Government.

11.12
Bo. No. 1507
Proceedings thereon,
The Board resolve to forward to the Collr. of Madura one Copy of each of the Works received with the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation, and to deposit the remaining copies in their Office.

13
Bo. No. 1759.
From the Secy. to Govt. (For information)
Dated 1st July 1853. Read Extract issuing certain instructions for preserving the buildings within the Fort of Ramachendrapooram to the use of the present head of the family, Rajah Cankerlapoody Narsaraj.

13.14
Order thereon
Ordered to be recorded.

15
Bo. No. 1714.
From the Secy. to Government
Read the following Extract.

No. 730.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 1st July 1853.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue. (Here enter 30th May 1853 No. 229)

Para. 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that if the Civil Courts of Salem should decline to admit Suits for Duswendum Enams or other Enams which do not appear to be of the descriptions falling under Regulation IV. 1831 the parties preferring such Suits should appeal to the Court of Sudr Udalut who on a consideration of each particular case

From Coll.r 15th Jan.y 1853
To Do. 10th Feby. "
From Do. 23d March "
Bd's Pro. 18th April "
Extract Mins Cons 3d May "
From Board 30th May "

(15.16)

Bd's No. 1500,
Order thereon

case will determine whether it be within the ordinary jurisdiction of the Civil Tribunals, and pass orders accordingly.

2. We resolves however to forward the Board's letter and the correspondence connected with it to the Court of Sudr Udalut for their information.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secy to Government.

Ordered to be communicated, with the Board's Proceedings, for the information of the Collector of Salem, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 30th May 1853.

(17)

Bd's No. 1715

From the Secretary to Government — Forwarding a Memorial from Ghoolam Cawder Son of a deceased Enamdar in South Arcot and requesting to procure information on certain points therein referred to.

No. 737.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 2d July 1853.

Read the following letter from Ghoolam Cawder Son of the deceased Ghoolam Mahomed Enamdar of Canaramfet in South Arcot.

/ Here enter 2d april 1853 No. /

Para 1. Before transmitting the above Memorial to its address, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to forward one copy to the Board of Revenue, and to request them to procure information on certain points.

2. It is observed that the Board in 1839 in recommending 200 Rupees per annum for the life of Ghoolam Mahomed intimated that the question of the



the continuance if any part of the allowance for keeping up lamps as provided in the Original pur-wannah might be considered at his demise. It does not however appear that it was so considered and the Government would wish this to be explained.

3. It is remarked that the Principal Collector in his letter of 24th July 1839 stated that the Village had been originally granted by the Nabob Anawurdeen Khan and the Same would appear from the Statement submitted by Colonel Macleod on the 3d March 1805 and the Register of Enams furnished by Mr. Collector Hyde on the 17th February 1815. This Original Pur-wannah with another Original document, date and purport not stated, Copy of which was among the old records of his Office, was forwarded by Mr. Ashton with his letter of 4th November 1839. It is however represented by the Memorialist that his right to the Enam is supported by many other documents, and in particular that the Village itself was originally conferred by a Padshahie Firman of Hij 1052 of which the Sunnud of Anavurdeen Khan was merely a renewal. It should be inquired why none of these earlier deeds were produced when Colonel Macleod's and Mr. Hydes lists were prepared in 1805 and 1817, or (unless the document referred to in Mr. Ashton's letter of 4th November be one of them which should be explained) on the inquiry made by the Principal Collector in 1839.

The

8455

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

4. The Governor in Council also resolves to forward one copy of the Memorial and the Original Summuds which accompanied it to the Persian Translator to Government, and to desire him to supply translations of these documents, and give his opinion on their authenticity.-

5. The Government would further wish to know what has been the practice hitherto respecting Dehat Enam Villages generally granted under such Summuds as those now brought forward - what is the history of the Memorialists family - the character of the present applicant and his circumstances and who was the individual Kummal Mahomed for whose Fateyam the grant purports to have been made.-

/True Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft

Secy. to Government.-

17.7.8

Bd. No. 1487.

Order thereon

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation, together with the original Memorial which accompanied it, be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot for report.-

---

Extract

8450

19

Bd. No. 1750.

From the Secretary to Government—

Concurring with the Board that the present arrangement now in force in the South Arcot respecting traffic in Tobacco be not disturbed also not to interfere in respect to the Vadies or private Establishments for Carts and Bullocks which exist in the four districts referred to by the Board but that the Collectors should maintain a general supervision and see that the use of such places by the Merchants is really voluntary and that no compulsion is resorted to, and sanctioning the abolition of certain petty Farms at Coimbatore.-

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 744.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 5th July 1853.-

Read the following letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

/Here enter 16th June 1853 No. 268/

Para 1. It appears from the foregoing letter that no restrictions upon the free traffic in Tobacco, exist in any of the Districts similar to those recently brought to light in Tinnevelly and noticed in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 24th July last - The Tobacco sold at Cottacoopum a Village in South Arcot situated in the Suburbs of Pondicherry is limited to a certain quantity per diem - but as this quantity is stated to be ample in reference to the wants of the population of the place, the Government concur with the Board that under ~~the~~ special circumstances of the case, there is no reason for disturbing the arrangement now in force.-

2. The Government also concur with the Board that no interference is called for in respect to the vadies or private Establishments for Carts and Bullocks which exist in the four

8457 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

four Districts referred to in Para 3. The Collectors should maintain a general supervision and see that the use of such places by the Merchants is really Voluntary, and that no compulsion is resorted to.—

3 Under the circumstances represented by the Board, the Governor in Council sanctions the abolition of the petty farms Nos. 5, 6 and 9. in Coimbatore referred to in Para 5, amounting together to Rupees (289—4—) Two hundred and Eighty Nine and Annas Four.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ P. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

19.30

Bd. No. 1519.

Order thereon,

Ordered that Extracts from the above Minutes of Consultation be circulated to the Officers named below.—

Para 1 to the Collector of South Arcot

2 to the Collectors of North arcot, Trichinopoly Madura and Bellary.

3 to the Collector of Coimbatore

Extract.

8458 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Revenue Department.—

No. 752

Bd. No. 1764

From the Sec. to Government

21

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 5th July 1853.—

Read again letter from the Revd. M. F Lobo Dated 14th February and recorded in Diary to Consultation 4th March 1853 No. 179—

Read also the following letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 13th June 1853 No. 255/

From the above letter it appears that the land in the Village of Codumbaucum to which the Memorial of the Revd. M. F Lobo has reference was sold, in the Regular manner and according to the prescribed forms for arrears of public revenue of long standing—The reasons therefore assigned by the Board for declining to disturb the sale are valid, supposing the purchaser insisted on its being Confirmed—but under all the circumstances, some endeavour might perhaps have been made to induce him to consent to its being cancelled The Right Honorable the

Governor

Governor in Council would still wish the Collector to ascertain whether an arrangement to this effect might not be accomplished. If the purchaser will not agree, the sale must of course stand—

/ True Extract
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(21·22)

B. No. 1180.

Order thereon — Ordered to be Communicated to the Head Assistant Collector in charge of Chingleput, who will endeavour to effect an arrangement with the purchaser of the land adverted to, by which its sale may be cancelled, and report the result for the information of the Board—

---

(23)

B. No. 1765.

From the Sec. to Government

Reviewing the Settlement report of the South Arcot district for Fusly 1261. and authorizing to strike out of the Acct. certain irrecoverable balances

Revenue Department
No. 753.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 5th July 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue—

/ Here enter 16th June 1853 /

The South West Monsoon though generally sufficient for the growing Crops was deficient in some localities, and



and though the rains of the North East Monsoon were favorable in October and November, they were scanty in the two ensuing months. On the other hand, the early rains before the opening of the Fusly were unusually abundant some timely showers in February and March were of great assistance to the later Crops and the freshes in the principal rivers were throughout steady, and moderate. On the whole therefore, the season of Fusly 1261 in South Arcot was very good for agricultural operations—

2. It was also healthy. There was but little Cholera, and small Pox it was gratifying to observe, seems to be diminishing, in Consequence of the spread of Vaccination—

3. The favorableness of the season and Consequent increase of Cultivation had a depressing effect upon the prices of the Ordinary grains which shew a marked decline below those of the previous year though with the exception of Varagoo, not

not much below the Commutation rates. In compensation, the demand for Indigo was brisk towards the close of the season, when the prices of other produce were lowest, and the prices good another product, the ground nut, would also seem to be extensively cultivated, its oil being steadily in demand for the European Market.—

4. The Settlement was Conducted on the dittum System under which the Ryot is required at the commencement of the year to state the extent of land which, the season permitting, it is his intention to Cultivate. The system is of recent introduction, and is not yet fully appreciated by the people. The amount engaged for was, as in the year before, short of that actually brought under Culture. but the extent taken up subsequently to the dittum tho large is less than that similarly occupied in the preceding Fusly. Time and liberal treatment can alone dispel mistrust, and the proceedings of the Collector seem to have been discreet and moderate.

Owing



C 9961

d. 150160

Owing to the more propitious character of the Season, the Cabooleyat Bunjer or assessment remitted on waste land was less by one third than that allowed in Fusly 1260. but the teerwah on the waste land brought to account was only 8904 and of this a large proportion Rupees 3583. was for Shamilat Bunjer or on portions of fields left waste in contravention of the terms of the Hookum-namah—

5. The Settlement was formed in the following manner.—

| | Rupees | Increase or decrease Compared with Fusly 1260 |
|---|---|---|
| Dry land | 1387033 | × 13344 |
| Garden | 25697 | × 1310 |
| Wet | 1262715 | × 93329 |
| | 2675440 | × 107984 |
| Kuffer or Tax for use of Government Water | 104006 | × 34281 |
| | 2779452 | × 142265 |
| Deductions for land left unsown | 99611 | 50548 |
| | 2679840 | × 192814 |
| Deductions on cultivated land | 35186 | 3504 |
| | 2644654 | × 196319 |
| Additional— Ready money collections | 21955 | × 2475 |
| Rents &c. | 54433 | — 4988 |
| Peishcush | 8940 | 0 |
| | 2729983 | × 193805 |
| Deduct Villays Sibbendy | 207055 | × 11428 |
| | 2522927 | × 182377 |

a 346678.

b. 438924

The

The demand collections within the Fusly and after it and balance under the head of Land and Extra revenue for the year under review Compared with the result of the previous Fusly were—

| | | Demand | Collections within the Fusly and subsequently | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1260 | Land Revenue | 2340550 | 2337778 | 2771 |
| | Extra sources | 506535 | 506522 | 14 |
| | Total | 2847085 | 2844300 | 2785 |
| Fusly 1261 | Land Revenue | 2522927 | 2520874 | 2052 |
| | Extra sources | 507064 | 507051 | 13 |
| | Total | 3029991 | 3027925 | 2065 |
| | Increase | 182906 | 183625 | |

the demand for Fusly 1261 exceeds that for Fusly 1260 by Rupees 182906. and the collections by Rupees

8466 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Rupees 183, 425.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

23.24

Bd. No. 1501.

Order thereon—

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 16th June 1853.

---

25

Bd. No. 1774.

From the Secy. to Government

No. 777.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 5th July 1853.

Military Department

No. 1593.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 21st June 1853.

Read the following letters.

From the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India.

/ Here enter 27th May 1853 No. 795 /

Under the authority of the Government of India the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions an expenditure not exceeding

exceeding Rupees / 18,310 — 13 — 5 /—

For providing a Place of arms and Buildings attached for a Regiment of Native Infantry at Palamcottah, and for removing a portion of the Fort Wall at that station ——— Rs 17,124 — 6 — 8

Purchase of Apothecarys present quarters ——— 111 — 6 — 9

Compensation for loss of present Sepoys huts ——— 2000 — — —

Erection of Boundary stones 75 — — —

Compensation to holders of Land required for erection of new Buildings and Lines — 4,000 — — —

Company's Rupees 18,310 — 13 — 5

Eighteen thousand three hundred, and ten Annas thirteen and Pice five, as marginally indicated for the purpose of providing the necessary Buildings and ground for constructing the proposed new Cantonment at Palamcottah —

/ Signed / C. A. Brown Lt. Col.
Secretary to Government

Ordered to be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for Communication to the Collector of Tinnevelly —

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govert

Ordered



Bd. No. 1488.
Order thereon
(28.26)

Ordered that the foregoing paper be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly

---

(27)

Bd. No. 1789
From the Secy to Government
Reviewing the Settlement Report of the Malabar district for Fusly 1261, and authorizing the writing off in the Acct. certain irrecoverable balance —

Revenue Department
No. 713.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 5th July 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue —

/ Here enter 6th June 1853 No. 253 /

Para 1 The South West Mondoon in the Malabar District for Fusly 1261 set in late and failed almost entirely after the first week in August. The aggregate fall of rain for the whole Fusly was only 87 inches, or 26 inches below the average of the five previous Years. The rice Crops were injuriously affected in consequence, and are stated to have been on an average 15 per cent below the produce of the preceding Fusly tho' in some parts of the District the deficiency was much greater. In Compensation the season seems to have been very favorable for garden

garden Cultivation—

2. The price of rice shows but a trifling advance above the low rates of Fusly 1260 but the prices of all the products of the Cocoanut tree were good and were accompanied by a brisk external demand for that and the other staples of the District. so that the public dues were met with punctuality—

3. The District was exposed to the epidemics of Cholera and small pox which seem to have been more than usually destructive—

4. The result of the Settlement as compared with that of Fusly 1260 is as shown below—

| | Fusly 1260 | Fusly 1261 | Increase or decrease above or below Fusly 1260 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nunjah | 1130897 | 1131846 | + 949 |
| Poonjah | 54789 | 55642 | + 853 |
| Garden | 430053 | 429063 | − 990 |
| Cochin | 14101 | 14194 | + 93 |
| Cananore Bebees Peishcush | 15000 | 15000 | " |
| | 1644842 | 1645747 | + 905 |



the fluctuations either increase or decrease are not considerable. The net increase proceeds from an enhancement in the demand both on wet and dry lands which has been absorbed in some degree by a deminution in the settlement of the Baganjet or tax on Cocoanut Betielnut and Jack trees. The decrease under this head was not to be looked for in a Sea generally propitious for garden Cultivation. It is explained to have arisen from the abatement found necessary in some deteriorated plantations which were examined in the Coorneaad Talook. The inspection will be extended to other gardens in this Talook flourishing as well as unprofitable and it is anticipated that the increase found in the former will Compensate for the deficiency in the latter

5 The remissions which have been granted - are of an ordinary Character with the exception of the first item on the list Vizt that allowed to



the

to the parties who suffered from the outrages of the Moplah Fanatics - The sum remitted on this account is Rupees 1982-4 and the Government entirely approve of its having been granted

6 The Collections Current and arrears were.

| | Demand. | Collections within the Fusly | Collections subsequently to the end of October. | Total. | Balances |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Current ——— | 1,65,747 | 1,64,973 | 779 3 | 1,63,752 | 8231 |
| Arrears ——— | 35,417 | 12,914 | " | " | 22,512 |

The Current balances at the end of October had been reduced to Rupees 8,231 of which Rupees 5,000 was due by the Bebee of Cannanore - The Board have omitted to state how much of the remainder Rupees 3,230 had been realized at the last advices prior to the date of their proceedings in review of the report.

Of the arrears Rupees 22,512. Rupees 19750 are due by the Bebee of Cannanore and lie over pending the receipt of orders from the

Honorable



Honorable Court

7 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the Malabar Collectorate the balance of Land Revenue from Fusly 1253 to 1260 reported to be irrecoverable amounting to Rupees 1645-3-8 Six hundred and forty five, annas three and pice eight

8 The demand on account of Land Revenue as well as of that derived from the extra Sources for the two years 1260 and 1261 was.

| | Fusly 1260. | 1261. | Increase in Fusly 1261. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 16,44,842 | 16,45,747 | 905 |
| Extra Sources | 11,84,905 | 12,18,522 | 33,617 |
| | 28,29,747 | 28,64,269 | 34,522 |

9 The charges for collecting and administering the land revenue are entered in Appendix C at Rupees 2,52,536 or nearly 15½ per Cent on the receipts but the calculation is not correct inasmuch as the entire Salary of the

Collector

See Boards Proceedings 21st April 1853 P. 13.

Collector, his Subordinates, and of the Horzon Establishment seems to have been charged against the land revenue whereas a part of it should be debited to that drawn from the extra sources.

10 With their proceedings the Board have submitted the separate report furnished by the Collector in the experimental examination of gardens undertaken by him in accordance with the orders of Government conveyed in Extract Minutes Consultation 17th September last. Those instructions have however been but partially carried out only two gardens in one of the Collector's Talooks having been inspected and none in the Sub division or in the Head Assistant's charge. The result of the inquiry as regards the two gardens selected by the Collector and described as 'averge gardens' is that altho' there is a small increase in the number of productive Cocoanut trees there is a decrease of Rupees

43—1—3

in



in the assessment, the trees being of an inferior class to those found on the last examination and that there is a diminution both in number and assessment as regards the Betelnut and Jack trees. The Government do not think that any safe conclusions can be drawn from so limited an experiment and they desire that as recommended by the Board it be continued in the Division under the Sub Collector and Head Assistant as also it should be added in some other of the Collector's Talooks and that it include not only the deteriorated or average plantations but those localities where improvement is most apparent. With this information before them the Government will be the better able to determine whether a resurvey of gardens may be expedient and if so to what extent it should be prosecuted.

(27.28)

B. No. 1490

Order thereon

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / J. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabor with reference

reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 6th June 1853

2. Mr. Conolly's attention is called to the orders of Government contained on para 10.—

---

29

B. No 1758
From the Secretary to Government

Public Department

No. 172

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Dated 5th July, 1853

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Medical Board Reporting the unfitness for further service of Shunmoogaroy Moodelly a conditional Pensioner on the Establishment of the Board of Revenue

/Here enter 29th June 1853/

Resolved that the following entry be made in the Column of Remarks in the Certificate No. 1597 returned with the foregoing letter and that the Secretary to the Board of Revenue and the Civil Auditor be requested to have similar entries made in the Copies of the Certificate furnished to them

The



"The pensioner confirmed on the report of the Medical Board dated 23d June 1853."—

/Signed/ J. D Sim
Deputy Secy to Government

29.30

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded—Shunmooga-roy Moodelly's Certificate has been duly delivered to him—

---

31

Bd. No. 1805.—

From the Secretary to Government—

Revenue Department

No. 781

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 8th July, 1853

Read the following—

No. 514

To,
J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

Home Department.

Sir,

I am directed to draw attention to your letter No. 1019 dated the 20th October last and to request that the information therein promised with regard to the result of the extension to Vellore of the provisions of Act XXVI of 1850 may be furnished with as little further

delay

8477. Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

delay as possible.

Fort William — The 23d June 1853

/ Signed / Geo. Plowden.
Offg. Secy to the Government of Madras

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference to the

B. of Revenue 18th September 1851 No. 401
To Do — 11th October — 631
From Board 2d August 1852 No. 331
To Do — 31st Do " 560
From Do — 3d January 1853 — 4
To Do — 28th — Do 1844

Correspondence noted in the margin with a request that they will report without delay the result of the measures taken for the introduction of Act XXVI of 1850 into the Town of Vellore. It is observed that the period for keeping open the register of votes expired on the 27th February last

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

31.32

B. No. 1479

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of North Arcot for immediate report.-

Dp.

Read

8478 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 25. Read the following letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

33

B. No. 654.
From the Collr of Nellore —

+ 17th Feb. 1851
23d Oct. 1851
22d July 1853
24th July 1853

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letters noted in the margin calling attention to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 14th January 1851 Communicated with the Boards Proceedings of the 17th February following relative to a Memorial addressed to the Honorable the Court of Directors by certain Mahomedan Inhabitants of this place, soliciting the renewal of the Yeomiahs formerly enjoyed by their ancestors.

2. The Memorial purports to come from 145 persons, but after much difficulty and with the assistance of the Town Cauzee, I have been able to procure the attendance of but 104 of them. The others are not forthcoming and the inquiry has therefore been confined to those that have presented themselves. The enclosed Memorandum accordingly sets forth the claims of these parties with a succinct account of the history of each case. If any of the others should hereafter come forward their cases will be separately reported on.-

Nellore Collrs Cutcherry 3d March 1853.

/ Signed / D. White
Collector.

Memorandum

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Vide To Board 2d. June 1828 (No. 20 of the Statement)<br>From Do. 9th. February 1829 |
| 4 | Syed Abeeb Oolsah. | Prays for the continuance of the yeomiah (3 Rs) enjoyed by his Aunt (Father's sister) Sahebany Sahebah.- | On the death of Sahebany Sahiba in Septr. 1814 the allowance was claimed by her Brothers daughter, but as the latter was under the protection of her mother who was in the receipt of a Yeomiah of 3 Rupees the grant was discontinued.- |
| | | | Vide Statement of yeomiahs dated 18th. October 1823 No. 26 Sanction of Govt. 17th. August 1824. |
| 5 | Meer Ascemy | Prays that the portion (Rs. 5 12 ") resumed out of a subsistence yeomiah of 15 Rupees per mensem enjoyed by his grand father Nuzzer Ally Beg may be continued to him.- | Nuzzer ally Beg was the original holder of a subsistence life yeomiah of 15 Rupees and died in 1802. In a Statement forwarded by Mr. Fraser on the 9th. January 1819 he submitted the case of the deceaseds widow, and 3 Rupees were sanctioned in her name.-<br>Vide To Bd. 9th. January 1819 No. 25 of Statement.<br>From Do. 1st. July<br>In another Statement of yeomiahs submitted on the 18th. October 1823 the distressed circumstances of Fantima Bee Bee sum Bee and Sahib Jaun Bee all three daughters of the deceased Nauzur ally Beg were reported, and one half of the residue of the original yeomiahs |

8477. Fort St. George 14th July 1853

delay as possible.

Fort William —
The 23d June 1853

/ Signed / Geo. Plowden
Offg Secy to the Government

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference

Board of Revenue 18th September 1851 No 401
To Do — 11th October — 681
From Board 2d August 1852 No 331
To Do — 31st Do — 560
From Do — 3d January 1853 — 4
To Do — 28th — Do 1844

Correspondence noted in the margin with a request that they will report with delay the

of the measures taken for the execution of Act XXVI of 1850 in the Town of Vellore — It is observed that the period for keeping open the register of votes expired on the 27th February last

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(31.32)

B No 1479
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of North Arcot for immediate report:-

Dft

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

8479.

Memorandum detailing the history of each case of the claims preferred by certain Yeomanlikar in their Memorial to the Honorable Court with reference to Extr. Printed Consultation 17th January 1851 and Board's Proceedings 14th February 1851.

| Names of the Memorialists | Their Prayer. | A Precis of the circumstances of each case. |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Conjeeveram Ally Shaw. | Prays for the continuance of a monthly allowance of Rs 14 / of the late Yeomanlikar / Rs 3. 12 / enjoyed by his late Mother Muthoo Sactoram Bee. | On the death of Muthoo Sactoram Bee her Yeomanlikar was recommended to be continued in the names of Petitioner and his brother but Government were pleased to continue to the former the allowance to the former admission equal to two thirds of the Salary of the Late Grantee. Vide From Bd. Col. to Bd 13th Oct. 1837 No — Dt. 13th Nov. |
| 2. Muthoo Bee | Prays for the continuance of the Yeomanlikar allowance of Rs 14 enjoyed by | On the death of Bahar ally Khan who was in the enjoyment of Rs 14 the Yeomanlikar |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | her late husband Syed — — ally Shaw. | was registered in the name of his 3 widow on the 3d January 1823 but a dispute arising in the family shortly afterwards one fourth of the amount was given to Petitioners husband who was the deceased's son by the 1st wife another fourth to the 2d wife the 3d widow being allowed to retain the other moiety — Syed Ally's share 1 — 1/4 of course lapsed to Government on his death in November 1844 |
| | | | Vide To Board 19th November 1822 |
| | | | From Do 13th January 1823 |
| | | | To Do 18th March 1824 |
| | | | From Do 8th april |
| 3 | Noor Oodeen | Prays for the continuance of the yeomiah of 7½ Rupees enjoyed by his father Hawfeez Rufee Oodeen. — | Hawfeez Rufee Oodeen was in the enjoyment of a subsistence allowance of 7½ a month he died in August 1825, and 4 Rupees out of the lapsed Grant was received in the name of the deceased's Grandson Wasman Oodeen to be continued until he should attain his 18th year — These 4 Rupees were accordingly discontinued in June 1832 Petitioner says he was not present to claim the yeomiah on its first lapse in 1825. |
| | | | Vide To Board 2d June 1828 (No 20 of the Statement) |
| | | | From Do 9th February 1829 |
| 4 | Syed Abeel Oolsah. | Prays for the continuance of the yeomiah (3 Rs) enjoyed by his Aunt (Father's sister) Sahebany Sahebah. — | On the death of Sahebany Sahiba in Septr 1814 the allowance was claimed by her Brothers daughter, but as the latter was under the protection of her Mother who was in the receipt of a Yeomiah of 3 Rupees the grant was discontinued. — |
| | | | Vide Statement of yeomiahs dated 18th October — |
| | | | 1823 No 26 Sanction of Govt 17th August 1824. |
| 5 | Meer Aseemy | Prays that the portion (Rs 5 12 ") resumed out of a subsistence Yeomiah of 15 Rupees per mensem enjoyed by his grand father Nuzzer Ally Beg may be continued to him. — | Nuzzer ally Beg was the original holder of a subsistence life yeomiah of 15 Rupees and died in 1802. In a Statement forwarded by Mr Fraser on the 9th January 1819 he submitted the case of the deceaseds widow, and 3½ Rupees were sanctioned in her name. — |
| | | | Vide To Bd 9th January 1819 No 25 of Statement. |
| | | | From Do 1st July |
| | | | In another Statement of yeomiahs submitted on the 18th October 1823 the distressed circumstances of Fautima Bee Bee sum Bee and Sahib Jaun Bee all three daughters of the deceased Nauzur ally Beg were reported, and one half of the residue of the original — yeomiah |

8480. Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Yeamiah after deducting the 3/4 continued to the widow in 1819 i.e. Rupees 5—12 was sanctioned in their joint names. The Petitioner is the son of the 1st of these three daughters and claims the continuance in his name of what is still left Viz 5-12 of the original yeamiah. His mother and her two sisters are still in the enjoyment of the allowance granted to them.

Vide To Bd. 18th October 1823 / No. 67 of Statt /

From Dr. 26th August 1824.

6 Hanecfa Bee — Prays for the continuance of the yeamiah / 5 Rs. / enjoyed by her Mother Ummun Bee

Ummun Bee had 5 Rs. sanctioned in her name out of a yeamiah of 7 Rs 8 originally conferred on Saun Mahomed and afterwards held by her husband. Being a life grant the allowance, was resumed on the death of ummun Bee

Vide To Board 14th January 1834 / No. 6 of Statt /

From Dr. 26th " 1835.

7 Hussain Sahib — Prays for the continuance of the Yeamiah of 5 Rupees enjoyed by his grand father Shaw Abdul Hussain.

A Mootaliquan yeamiah of 30 Rupees a month was in the enjoyment of one Syed Mohuddeen Jamadoollah Hussany on his death it was continued in 5 equal shares as follows.—

| | | Rs |
|---|---|---|
| Mahomed Sabuetoollah | son | 5 |
| Shaw Habeeb dollah | Do | 5 |
| Shaw Abdul Hussein | Do | 5 |
| Jameela Bee | Widow | 5 |
| Paupa Bee | daughter | 5 |
| Maha Bee | Do | 5 |

On the understanding that in the death of each person his or her share should be considered as having lapsed to Government.—

Vide To Bd. 26th May 1815 / No. 92 of Statement /

From Dr. 29th June 1815

On the death of Jameeb Bee however her Stipend was continued to Fateejabee her daughter and Petitioner's Mother. The present claim of Hussain Sahib is for the continuance in his own name of Shaw Abdul Hussains

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | Hussains yeomiah which lapsed on his death on the 31st august 1826<br>Vide To Board 19th November 1822 / No 69 of Statement<br>From Dr 30th January 1823 |
| 8 Syed Mohuddeen coundry | Claims the continuance in his name of the yeomiah of Rupees 9-9 enjoyed by his late father Syed Abdul Cawder. | On the death of Syed abdul Cawder in October 1835, his Sons. Petitioner and Syed abdulla Cawdy, claimed the continuance of the allowance, but Government were pleased to allow them six months subsistence at 5 Rupees a month and resume the yeomiah.<br>Vide To Board 11th August 1837<br>From Dr 23d October " |
| 9 Yacoob Khan | Prays for the continuance in his name of the Yeomiah of 15 Rs enjoyed by his grand father Mahomed Moosa | On the death of Mahomed Moosa in august 1835 — Petitioner claimed the allowance, but his application was — rejected. 7 Rupees out of the lapsed grant was continued to the deceaseds widow and two sisters for their joint lives<br>Vide To Board 19th July 1836<br>Bd Proceedings 1st Augt " |
| 10 Vully Sahib | Claims the continuance in his name of the yeomiah of 7½ Rs enjoyed by his late father Meer Goolam ally. | Meer Goolam ally's Yeomiah of 7½ Rs being a life grant (Vide No 83 of the Register of 1804) it lapsed to Government on his death in July 1832. |
| 11 Hussain Sahib. | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of 1R 14a per mensem enjoyed by his Grand Mother Sahabany Sahib. | Shaik abdul Haleme was the original grantee in this case. On his death the yeomiah was continued to his sister Sambabany Sahiba<br>Vide To Board 9th January 1819.<br>From Dr 1st July 1819.<br>This person died on the 27th September 1835. & the yeomiah being only a life grant was resumed. |
| 12 Coolsoom Bee | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of 1R 14a enjoyed by her aunt (fathers Sister) Mum Sawkelu Bee | On the death of Mansahiba in March 1832 the Yeomiah being a life grant was resumed. There were apparently no claims preferred at the time. |
| 13. Syed Gawse. | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of (15 Rupees) enjoyed by | The original grantee in this case was one Turjuardally who was in the enjoyment of a life yeomiah of 30 Rs after. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | by his maternal grand — Mother Jauny Beegum and her daughter in law Meri. em Bee | after his death, a moiety of the allowance i e 15 Rupees was continued to his Mother and wife for life and on their deaths on the 25th September 1826 & 15th December 37 respectively the pension was resumed<br>Vide Fo Board 9th January 1819 No 1 of Statt.<br>From Dto 1st July 1819.- |
| 14 Hanjee — Camaroodeen | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rs 3—8 held by his maternal grand — mother.- | A Yeomiah of Rs 5—13—4 was held by Hussain Sahb who was one of the Mootaliquan of Shaw Jurooddeed Hussaing. On the death of the former the reduced al. =lowance of Rs 3—8 was renewed in the name of his — widow Goree Bee for life on the understanding that she had no Children to provide for her on her death on the 22d June 1847 the allowance lapsed<br>Vide Fo Board 9th January 1819 (No 10 of Statement)<br>From Dto 1st July — " |
| 15 Syed Caussim | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees 6—6—10 held by his father Syed John ally & others in the family.- | The original amount of the Yeomiah in this case is Rupees 7—8—" and appears (No 280) in the Register of 1804 in the names of Syed John ally & six others who were enjoying it in equal shares of Rs 1—12 each. The allowance being a Subsistence life grant all the shares except one which is still held by the only surviving grantee Boshum Bee have lapsed. |
| 16 Mottany sahb | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rs 4—4—6 held by his father Syed Shumshudeen.- | Shajada Beebee one of 7 persons who enjoyed in equal shares a subsistence yeomiah of 30 Rupees per Annum (Vide No 63 Statment of 1804) died in March 1826 & her pension Rs 4—4—6 was continued to her son Shum. shudeen under the sanction of Government dated 17th August 1824 on his death in December 1839 the allowance lapsed.<br>Vide Fo Board 18th October 1823 (No 8 of Statement)<br>From Dto 26th August 1824.<br>Badabee |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 17 Khanoom Saha Sah | Claims the continuance of the yeomiah of Rs. 4. 4a. 8 held by her deceased Mother Badabee Sahaba. | Badabee Sahaba was also one of the 7 persons referred to in the foregoing number she seems to have died prior to 1812 when her allowance was discontinued |
| 18 Cassim Beg. | Claims the continuance of the yeomiah of Rs. 3 – 8 a held by his father Abdoolla shaw | The original amount of the yeomiah in this case was Rs. 7 – 8 – 0 and was a life grant. The grantee died in 1803 but in 1824 3½ Rupees were continued to his son Abdoollashaw in consideration of his poverty. This man died in February 1828 and the allowance lapsed to Government.<br>Vide To Board 18th October 1823 / No. 64 of Statt.<br>From Do. 26th August 1824. |
| 19 Mahomed Hussun | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees 2 – 2 – 3 held by his late father Cherang dah ally. | Cherang dahaly was one of the 5 yeomiahdars appearing in No. 99 of the Register of 1804 and in the receipt of an allowance of Rs. 2 a. 2 p. 3 each The yeomiah being a life grant lapsed on the death of the holder in May 1830. |
| 20. Mahomed Cassim | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees 2 – 2 – 3. held by his father Goolam Bundagy. | Goolam Bundagy is another of the 5 holders referred to in the preceding case and died in October 1826 when his allowance |
| 21. Sakeena Bee. | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rs. 2 – 2 a – 3 p held by her grand Mother Toolum Bee. | The Yeomiah in question appears in No. 11 of the Register of 1812 in the name of Goolam Jaffer. It was continued to his widow Toolum Bee under the sanction of Government dated 3d January 1823 on her death in april 1833 the allowance though apparently a service grant, does not seem to have been claimed and was resumed. It is now claimed by Sakeena Bee who represents herself as the grand daughter of the last incumbent. The claim at this distance of time appears inadmissible.<br>Vide To Bd. 19th November 1822 / No. 69 of Statement /<br>From Dr. 30th January 1823. |
| 22 Cateeja Bee. | Claims the continuance of the yeomiah of Rs. 2 – 2 – 3 held by her Mother in law Jahavo Bee. | This yeomiah like the preceding appears in No. 11 of the Register of 1812 and is the same in amount It was likewise |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | likewise continued on the same date to the widow of the Grantee Sahara Bee and apparently for the same reason was resumed on her death in December 1837.— The present claimant represents herself to be the daughter in law of this person. |
| 23 Mahomed Khan | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of 15 Rupees enjoyed by his late father Abdool Hussain Khan. | This Yeomiah forms No. 241 of the Register of 1804, and was a life subsistence grant. The grantee Abdool Hussain Khan dying in November 1837. the allowance lapsed. |
| 24th Ally Hussain. | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of 7½ Rs. enjoyed by his great grand father.— | The Yeomiah in this case appears in No. 197 of the Register of 1804 and was a life subsistence grant after the death of the grantee Wanfaq. Abdool Cawder the allowance was sought to be renewed in the name of his grand son Mahomed Ghouse but it was ordered to be discontinued.— Vide To Board 18th January 1815. From Ditto 4th November 1816.— |
| 25 Mynooddeen | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees 2-3-1 held by his Grand Mother Hanefa Bee.— | Hanefa Bee was one of the 4 persons appearing in No. 32 and 155 of 1812. The Yeomiah being a subsistence grant it lapsed on her death in October 1828. |
| 26 Ghoolam Noor | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of 7½ Rupees held by his late father Ghoolam Raheem. | This Yeomiah is a life Grant appearing in No. 164 of the Register of 1804. On the death of the grantee Ghoolam Raheem in January 1814, the Government were pleased to sanction the payment of 1 Pagoda to his widow Saulya Beebee till her children were able to support her. This allowance was accordingly discontinued from 1825. Vide to Board 18th July 1815 No. 27 of the Statement From Ditto 4th November 1816.— |
| 27 Ghoolam Hussain | Claims the continuance of the yeomiah of 3 Rupees held by his late father Abdool Kareem. | The yeomiah now claimed is part of a Moolatiqeah grant appearing in No. 55 of the Register of 1804. and No. 39 of 1812 Abdool Kareem died in October 1844, when his allowance lapsed. The continuance of this allowance |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | is now solicited by Ghoolam Hussain On the death of Mahomed Ghouse and Sooran Bee two other participators in the grant their Yeomiahs were continued to the wife Cateeja Bee and son Hyder Ally respectively on the 3d March 1829 and 3d January 1823.- Vide to Board 2d June 1829 No. 22 of Statement + Sanctioned 6th March 1829. + Vide to Board 19th November 1822. From Ditto 30th January 1823 No. 10 of Statement.- |
| 28 Kyram Bee | Solicits the renewal of the Yeomiah of 3 Rupees held by her late husband Abdool Huck E. | Abdool Huck E was also one of the 5 sharers of the Yeomiah referred to in the foregoing case and died in January 1846 Petitioner is his widow and prays for the renewal of the lapsed allowance. |
| 29 Ramatoolah Khan. | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rs. 2 1/2-10 held by his late father Abdool Huckeem Khan.- | Huckeem Khan was one of the family of Abdool Samood Khan appearing in No. 250 of the Register of 1804 and No. 138 of the Register of 1812. The Yeomiah being a subsistence grant the shares lapsed as the holders died. Abdool Huckeem Khan, |
| | | the father of the Petitioner died in December 1842.- |
| 30 Rahamut Khan | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees 5-10- held by his Grand Father Mahomed Ramut Jung- | The Yeomiah now claimed is a subsistence Grant and Forms No. 36 of the Register of 1804 After the death of the Grantee the allowance was renewed in the name of the widow Sahebeebee in 1823.- Vide to Board 19th November 1822 (No. 11 of the Statt. From Ditto 30th January 1823.- Sahebee died in 1824 and 2 Rupees out of the lapsed Grant were continued to the deceased's daughter Bee Sahibee Bee for Life in 1829.- Vide to Board 2d June 1829 No. 9 of the Statement Sanction of Government 6th March 1829 This person died in December 1834 and the claimant is her brother's son or the Grand Son of Sahiba Bee.- |

8486

Fort St. George 14th July 1835.-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 31 Abdool Hoossain Khan | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rs. 12 held by his Grand Mother Fajala Bee.- | Goolam Hoossain Khan Grand father of the Petitioner was in the enjoyment of two subsistence Yeomiahs amounting together to Rupees 36-10-4. One (Rupees 7-2-4) forming No. 171 of the Register of 1812 and the other (Rupees 29-8-) transferred to this District from Chittoor in 1813. On his death in January 1835 12 Rupees of the lapsed grant were recommended to be continued in the Name of Fanjala Bee the deceased's widow and accordingly sanctioned.- |
| 32 Mahomed Cassim.- | Claims the Yeomiah Rs. 5-10- held by his aunt Sultan Bee.- | Vide to Board 15th August 1835 (only letter)<br>Extract Min. Consultations 24th November 1835.-<br>Fajala Bee having died in August 1842 Petitioner her Grand Son Solicits the renewal of the allowance.<br>The original grantee in this case is Moosammut Bheemoo in the receipt of a subsistence Yeomiah of 3 as per diem forming No. 21 of the Register of 1804 after his death the allowance was renewed in the Name of his daughter Sultany Bee for her support.- |
| 33 Ghoolam Mohideen | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees Yanhee Viz: Rupees 3-8-8.- | Vide To Board 19th November 1822 (No. 13 of the Statement)<br>From Ditto 30th January 1823.-<br>This person died in January 1844 and her Brother Ghoolam Rufsool was one of the Memorialists but as he has since died his Son Mahomed Khan prefers the Claim.-<br>The Yeomiah claimed is a part of No. 117 and 318 of the Register of 1804.- These appear in the Names of<br>1 Shunkeer Shaw<br>2 Syed Jaffer<br>3 Syed Akbar<br>The 1st died in 1818 and his share Rupees 3-8-8 was continued to his Grand Son Mahomed Hussain for life. He is still alive and enjoying the Yeomiah.-<br>Vide To Board 9th January 1819 (No. 28 of the Statement)<br>From Ditto 1st July.- " -<br>The other two sharers Syed Jaffer and Syed Ghoolam Hoossain died in April 1820 and September 1822 respectively. The allowance of the former was continued to his Son Ghoolam Mohideen and |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | and that of the latter to his Mother Rungyan Bee in 1823.<br>Vide to Board 19th November 1822 (Vide Nos. 30 and 56 of Statt.)<br>From Ditto 30th January 1823.<br>Of these the former Ghoolam Mohideen who enjoys his own share (Rs. 3-8-5-) claims that of Rungyan Bee who died in December 1834. |
| 34 Ghoolam Mahomed | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of 4 Rupees enjoyed by his foster Mother — Hajee Beegum. — | The original Amount of the Yeomiah in this case was 30 Rs. and appears in No. 41 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Khajah ahmud. After his death a Moiety of the allowance Viz. 15 Rs. was continued to the widow Cateja Beegum on the 10th of July 1812 as appears from the Register of 1812 No. 26. This woman died in August 1823 and a reduced allowance of 4 Rupees was sanctioned in the name of her daughter Hajee Beegum —<br>Vide To Board 18th October 1823 (No. 5 of Statement)<br>From Do. 26th August 1824 —<br>This last incumbent having died in December 1842, her allowance which lapsed is claimed by Petitioner as her foster Son. — |
| 35 Mooloom | Claims the Yeomiah of Rupees 3-12-4 held by his Grand Mother Janab Sahibah | In No. 94 of the Register of 1804 a Subsistence Yeomiah of 8 annas per diem or 15 Rupees a Month appears in the Name of 4 persons — The Register of 1812 shews only names of 3 of them (Vide No. 69) and the other person Beebee Sahibah seems to have died in the interim and her share resumed. Janab Sahibah dying in October 1823 her share lapsed and it is now claimed by Petitioner who alleges himself to be her Grand Son —<br>1 Cawder Mohadeen.<br>2 Mahomed Causim.<br>3 Javol Sahibah. |
| 36 Fa Eser Nissa Bee. | Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah (Rs. 3-12-) of her husband Mohomed Causim. — | Petitioner's husband Mahomed Causim is one of the three persons named in the preceding Case and on his death in August 1847 his share was resumed. — |

8488 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

37 Ameenah Sahibah — Claims the continuance of the Yeomiah of Rupees 3-12 held by her Mother Beebee Sahibah

Beebee Sahibah died prior to 1812 as stated in Case No. 35. above and it is her allowance that is now claimed by her daughter Ameenah Sahibah.

38 Mahomed Fazeer Oodeen — Claims the continuance of the yeomiah Rs. 10 held by his Aunt Syed Booddeen.

The original Amount of this Yeomiah was 30 Rupees a Month and was a Mootaliguan Grant appearing in No. 139 of the Register of 1804 in the Names of

1 Meer Hoosain Ally.
2 Cateeja Beebee.
3 Johara Bee.
4 Syed Buddeen

+Vide To. B. D. 20 October 1812 No. 2
From D. to Govt. 23 Nov. 1812 List 3
From Govt. 29 Dec. 1812 Para 8
1812 Para 4

The 1st 2nd and 3rd dying 20 Rupees were continued to their Sons Syed Ameenooddeen, Abdool Cauder and Abdool Ganee in the proportions of 10 Rupees to the former and 5 Rupees to each of the two latter. The remaining Grantee Syed Bohuddeen died in 1822 and his share of 10 Rupees was continued to his widow Saraliee for the performance of the service.

+Vide To Board 19th November 1822 No. 38.
From D. 30th January 1823.

for which it was originally granted. This woman dying in February 1836 the allowance was stopped and is that now claimed by Petitioner, Syed Ameen & Addeen and Abdul Ganee enjoying their shares to this day Abdul Cauder died in 1823, and 5 Rupees were renewed in the name of Rahim Beebee who is still holding it.—

The Yeomiah of which the share now claimed is a part was a Mastuligoan grant amounting to Rupees 15 and appearing in No. 103 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Moonsoom shaw after his death it was renewed in the names of.

1 Meer Shumshuddeen. Son
2. Tajeena Sahibah — widow
3 Meer Cooderna Ioollah Son and
4 Hancefa Sahibah. Daughter in law.

of the original grantee, and each share it was enjoined should be considered as having lapsed on the death of each holder

Vide To Board 26th May 1815. Vide No. 76 of Register
From D. 29th June 1815.

According ly

+To Board 2d June 1828 No. 6
Sanction of Government
6th March 1829.—

39. Syed Ibrahim — Claims the yeomiah Rupees 3-12 held by his late Mother Hancefa Sahibah.—

accordingly on the death of each Sharer, the allowance was renewed. That of Sakeena Bee alone was continued to her daughter Hoormut Bee for her support.

Vide To Board 19th November 1822 / No. 25 of the Statement /

From Do. 30th January 1823.

The Petitioner's claim is to the allowance enjoyed by his Mother Hufeena Sahiba who died in July 1845.

Meer Shumshuddeen is the first of the 4 individuals referred to in the foregoing case and on his death in January 1843. the allowance was resumed as already stated.

Meer Codaratoollah is the 3d. of the 4 persons referred to in Case No. 29 and on his death in December 1839 the allowance was resumed.

40 Meer Shuraff Oddeen. — Claims the Continuance of the Jeomiah of 3 — 12 held by his father Meer Shumshaddeen.

41 Meer Azmut Sahib. — Claims the Continuance of the Jeomiah Rupees 3 — 12 held by his father Meer Codaratoollah.

42 Meeran Sahiba Bee — Claims the Jeomiah Rupees 15 enjoyed by her late Mother Beebee Sakebah,

This jeomiah is a subsistence grant and appears in the name of Syed Mahomed Cawdry in No. 31 of the Register of 1804 after his death it was renewed in the name of his grand daughter Beebee Sahibah.

Vide To Board 26th May 1815 / Vide No. 2 of the Statement /

From Do. 29th June —

and on her death in June 1829 the Jeomiah was resumed on the principle laid down in para 3 of the Board's letter above quoted. Petitioner is the son of this Meeran Sahibah and claims the renewal of the allowance.

43 Hameeda Bee — Claims the Jeomiah Rupees 15 held by her Father Meer Ally Morad.

This Jeomiah amounting to 15 Rupees appears in No. 172 of the Register of 1807 and in No. 115 of that of 1812 and is stated to have been granted for learning the Persian language Jeomiahs of this description were ordered to be discontinued except where the holders had no other means of subsistence. The allowance now claimed was resumed on the death of the grantee Meer ally Morad in March 1835.

Vide From Board to Govt. 23d. November 1812 Para 5

From Government 29th Decmber 12 Para 3.

This.

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 44 Syed Ahmud. | Claims the Geomiah Rupees 13-2 held by his Grand Mother Bee Sahabee. | This Geomiah is a subsistence grant and forms No. 62 of the Register of 1804 and No. 44 of the Register of 1812:- On the death of the grantee Vully Sahibah, the allowance was Continued in two shares in the names of her daughters.-<br>Bee Sahiba Bee<br>Iyshaw Sahibah<br>for their support.-<br>Vide To Board 14th Nov. 1822 / No. 10 / of the Statement /<br>From Do. 30th January 1823.-<br>On the death of Bee Sahibah Bee / whose allowance is now claimed / in May 1824 her allowance was stopped and that of Iyshaw Sahibah was also discontinued in December 1828 in Consequence of her marriage.-<br>Vide To Board 24th December 1828<br>From Do. 3d. January 1829.- |
| 45 Abdul Hussain Khan | Claims the full amount of the Geomiah held by his father Abdul Kareem Khan.- | The original amount of this Geomiah, as a subsistence grant was 15 Rupees and appears in No. 192 of the Register of 1804 and No. 119 of that of 1812 in the name of Abdul Kareem Khan, On the death of this man in April 1831 the allowance was reduced from 15 to 10 Rs. and Conferred upon Petitioner and it is the difference of Rs. 5 thus reduced which is now claimed<br>To Board 27th October 1831.<br>From Do. 28th November -1-.- |
| 46 Coolsoom Bee | Prays for the Continuance of the Geomiah of Rupees 1-9-7 held by her late Mother Joramah | This Geomiah which was a life subsistence grant appears in No. 87 of the Register of 1804 and No. 64 of that of 1812 in the name of Joramah who having died in September 1839 the allowance was resumed. The claimant is the daughter of the deceased incumbent.- |

The

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

47 Jamal Bee — Claims the yeomiah Rupees held by her grand mother Iyshaw Bee

Vide F. B. 19 November 1822 No. 76 of Statt.

From D. 30 January 1823

The yeomiah in this Case was also a life grant and appears in No. 95 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Baulakshaw Dawiss & after his death the full allowance was continued to his daughter Munneena on Saubibah for her support. After the death of this person again a Reference of the lapsed allowance was Continued to Iyshaw Bee widow of the original Grantee

To Board 2d June 1828 / No. 10 of the Statement /

Sanction of Government 6th March 1829

Iyshaw Bee dying in February 1842 the yeomiah was resumed, and the Petitioner is stated to be the grand daughter of the deceased incumbent.-

The yeomiah claimed in both these cases is the same. It is a subsistence

48 Fakeena Bee — Claims the yeomiah 30 Rs held by her father Syed Acbar Hussainy

49 Badashaw Hussainy — Claims the yeomiah 30 Rs held by his father Syed Acbar Hussainy

life grant amounting to Rs. 30. It appears in No. 79 of the Register of 1804 & No. 58 of that of 1812. The grantee dying in October 1837 the yeomiah was of Course resumed. The present claimants are his son & daughter.

50 Ghaslam Mohideen — Claims the yeomiah Rupees 9-6- held by his Uncle Mahomed Ibrahim.-

This yeomiah was also a life Grant appearing in No. 178 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Mahomed Ibrahim. This man died in December 1811 and so late as 1823 a moiety of the yeomiah was Continued in the name of one Mahomed Yaseen, as the son of the deceased holder, but this proving to be false shortly afterwards the allowance was stopped

Vide Fort Board 18th October 1823 / No. 73 of the Statement /

Sanction of Government 17th August 1824

The

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 51 Hussain Bee | Claims the yeomiah Rupees 3-12 held by her Mother Coolsoom Bee | The yeomiah in this case is a subsistence grant and appears in No. 100 of the Registers of 1812 in the names of 2 females. Coolsoom Bee & Cateeja Bee. The latter is still alive and continues to receive her allowance. The former died in July 1834 and it is her allowance which was then resumed that is now claimed by her daughter Hussain Bee. |
| 52 Fatima Bee | Claims a yeomiah of Rupees 37-8 alleged to have been held by one Hyat Bee her Grand Mother | There is no trace of this yeomiah on the records. The Petitioner says that her grand Mother Hyat Bee died about 40 years ago. The Registers of 1804 and 1812 offer no information regarding it. |
| 53 Bumjah Bee | Claims the yeomiah Rs. 2-4-10 held by her late Mother Johara bee | The allowance claimed by these persons forms part of the subsistence life yeomiah of 36 Rupees appearing in No. 23 of the Registers of 1804 in the names of 13 persons. In the Registers of 1812 the reduced allowance of Rupees 25-5 appears in No. 89 in the names of the following 11 only. Of these persons the remaining two having died in the interim. |
| 54 Syed Mohideen | Claims the yeomiah Rupees 2-4-10 held by his late Mother Khatoone Bee | |



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 55 Banoo Sahibah | Claims the Yeomiah No. 2,4,9 held by her Mother in-law Goonaumty | 1 Coroobaumlly<br>2 Goolam Mahomed<br>3 Goolam Rufsool<br>4 Mahomed Madar<br>5 Sakheena Bee<br>6 Cateeja Bee<br>7 Cautoom Bee<br>8 Johara Bee<br>9 Asharuff Bee<br>10 Kyrunn Bee<br>11 Goonaumty |
| 56 Mahomed Khajah | Claims the yeomiah Rupees 2—4—10 held by his Father Ghoolam Rufsool | |

Of these the 8th 7th 11th and the 3rd whose yeomiahs are now claimed by the Petitioners died in December 1843, August 1848, March 1829 and September 1844 respectively, when their shares were severally resumed, as were also those of the 6th 9th and the 10th. In regard to the remaining shares, those of the 1st 2nd and 4th were continued on their deaths to their widows and that of the *5th to her daughter in consideration of their poverty.—

+ Vide T. Board 19th November 22nd No. 33/4
From Do. 30th January /23
To Do. 2nd June 1828 No. 13
Sanction of Government 6th March /29

* To Board 18th October /23 No. 20
From Do. 26th August /24

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

57 Iyshaw Bee - Prays the renewal of the Yeomiah of Rupees 1-14 formerly enjoyed by her and of another allowance of the same amount held by her deceased Sister Coolsoom Bee -

* Vide to Board 19th November /22 No. 73 of Statt.

Under sanction of Government 3d January /23.

The allowance claimed by Petitioner is a portion of the subsistence life Yeomiah of 15 Rupees appearing in No. 52 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Goolam Mohuddeen. After the death of this man, Government sanctioned the allowance in the name of Iyshaw Bee his widow, but Hoosaini Bee another wife of the deceased coming forward afterwards, a moiety (Rs 7½) was granted to her by Mr. Fraser, and after her death her share was divided apparently without any reference to the Board in 4 equal shares of 1-14 each among her 3 Daughters - Koolsoom Bee, Iyshaw Jainah Bee and a son of Goolam Mohuddeen by the 1st wife - Shortly afterwards however 3 of these shares were stopped, Coolsoom Bee alone being allowed to retain her 1-14 for the reasons explained in the correspondence noted in the Margin - It is her resumed share and that of Coolsoom Bee who died in June 1834 that Iyshaw Bee now claims -

58. Yadully Shaw - Claims the allowance R. 1. 4. held by his Grand-Mother Mereum Bee -

* Vide To Board 18th July /15 No. 2.

From Dr. 4th Novr /16

The allowance claimed in this Case is portions of the subsistence life yeomiah of 2 annas per diem appearing in No. 4 of the Register of 1804 in the names of 3 persons one of these having died in that year it appears in the names of the remaining two Iyshaw Sahibah and Fatimah Sahibah in the Register of 1812 (No. 2) after the death of the former her share (Rs 1-4 a.) was continued to her daughter Mareim Bee to be enjoyed till her sons should be able to support her, and it was accordingly discontinued after a time - It is this allowance which the Petitioner claims as the Grand-son.

† Vide To Board 19th Novr /22 No. 1 of Statement

Sanction 3d January /23.

† Fatimah Sahibahs share was renewed in the name of her grand daughter Jamat Beebee who still continues to receive it -

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 59 Mahomed Ally | Claims the Yeomiah 1-8 held by his Mother Sakeena Bee. | The allowances claimed by these form portion of an original Motalingnam Yeomiah of 15 Rupees a month appearing in the name of Mahomed Sadeck Mucky in No. 71 of the Register of 1804 and No. 57 of that of 1812. After her death the Yeomiah was sanctioned in the name of the following ten persons on the understanding that the shares were to be presumed on the death of each incumbent- |
| 6. Khateeja Bee- | Do. — Do. — Do. — held by her father Mahomed Azeemoodeen- | |
| 61. Coolsoom Bee- | Do. — Do. — Do. — held by her Mother Causmi Bee. | |

1. Mahomed Azeemoodeen-
2. Rumroo Nisbah-
3. Azeem Beebee
4. Hussain Ally
5. Mucky Sahib
6. Abzoo Beebee
7. Jamee Beebee
8. Boodder Oodeen
9. Audum Sahib
10. Pyra Beebee

accordingly the shares of the 1st, 2d, 4th, 6th and 10th were stopped on their deaths, those of the 7th 8th and 9th were transferred to Madras in 1817 and 1823. The share of the 3d Azeemah Beebee was continued to her daughter Causmi Bee for her life- Mucky Sahib's (5) allowance likewise was continued to his widow Suckeena Bee to be held till her son should attain his Majority - The former of these two died in February 1848 and the allowance has been discontinued from Fusly 1252, and it is these two lapsed shares that are now claimed by Mahomed ally and Coolsoom Bee whilst Cateejah Bee prays for the renewal of the shere of her Father Mahomed Azeem. Oodeen who died so far back as September 1841.-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 62. Akbar Hoosain- | Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 7 held by his Grand Father Goolam Mohuddeen.- | This Yeomiah was originally granted for teaching the Koran and appears in No. 270 of the Register of 1804 and No. 152 in that of 1812- The grantee dying in May 1831 the claim of his son to succeed was rejected by the Board for reasons given in their letter noted in the Margin. The present claimant is the brother of the Nizam Oodeen referred to in Mr. Whish's letter quoted above.- |
| | Vide To Board 10th October 1832. | |
| | From Do. 18th —"— —"— | |

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 63. Shaw Beebee— | Claims the full amount of the Yeomiah Rupees 5–10 held by her husband Abdul Mugjeed. | This Yeomiah is a life grant and forms No. 82 of the Register of 1804. After the death of the grantee, the reduced allowance of 1–12 was continued[x] to his widow the Petitioner for her life. Her request now is that the remainder Rupees 3. 14. which was stopped may also be granted to her.<br>[x] Vide To Board 9th January 1819 No. 32.<br>From Dr. 1st July —— |
| 64 Bhano Bee— | Claims the Yeomiah of 14 Rupees held by her Mother Jyshaw Beebee.<br>[+] Vide To Board 18th July 1815 No. 106<br>From Dr. 4th November 1816. | The original amount of the Yeomiah in this Case was Rs. 30. and appears in No. 106 in the Register of 1804 in the name of Sunbut Hussain. It is a life grant. Nevertheless after his death 14 Rupees out of the lapsed allowance was continued[x] to his widow Jyshaw Bee for her life who dying in December 1826 the Yeomiah was resumed.— Petitioners' prayer is for it's renewal. As however she has died since the date of the Memorial, the claim is now advanced by her daughter Shenda Bee.— |
| 65. Hyat Bee— | Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 2–5–6 held by her great grand Mother Jumeela Bee. | The Yeomiah of which the allowances claimed form a part is a life grant appearing in No. 140 of the Register of 1804 in the names of— |
| 66. Jainab Bee— | Do. — Do. — Do. Sahibna Beebee. | 1. Anfeeza Beebee.<br>2. Hawsa Beebee.<br>3. Jumeela Beebee.<br>4. Sahibna Beebee.<br>5. Bee Sahibna Bee.<br>The three last dying in September 1823, June 1838 and July 1823. respectively, their several shares were resumed. The two Petitioners represent themselves to be the great grand-daughters of the 3d. and 5th.— The shares of the 1st and 2d. Yeomiahdars were continued to their daughter-in-law and Grand-daughter in consequence of their poverty.<br>Vide To Board 18th October 1823 (No. 63)<br>From Board 26th August 1824.<br>Sanction of Government 26th August 1824. |
| 67. Mahomed Bahamatoolah. | Claims the Yeomiah of Rupees 30 held by his Uncle Mahomed Mitta.<br>[x] Vide To Board 26th May 1815 No. 85.<br>To Dr. 29th June 1815. | The Yeomiah was a life grant appearing in No. 119 in the name of the family of Mahomed Mitta, and after his death it was continued[x] in equal shares in the names of his brothers:—<br>1. Boodeen Cawn.<br>2. Mahomed Cawn. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | [x] To Board 19th November 1822 No. 31<br>From Do. 30th January 1823.<br>[y] Vide To Board 2d June 1828 No. 28<br>Sanction of Government 6th March 1829. | to be resumed at the death of each. This however was not done, but the share of the former was, [z] renewed in the name of his son Abdul Careme Khan and out of the 15 Rupees belonging to the latter, 5 Rupees were granted to his widow Chobee Bee. |
| 68 | Mahomed Khajah. | Claims the yeomiah of 7½ Rs. held by his Father Mahomed Acbar. | This yeomiah was originally granted for learning the Persian language and appears in No. 171 in the Register of 1804 and No. 104 in that of 1812. On the death of the Grantee in June 1837 the allowance was stopped agreeably to the orders of Government dated 29th December 1812, para 3, that Yeomiahs of this description should be considered as life grants. |
| 69 | Causim Sahib | Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 7½ held by his late father Abdul Careme. | This yeomiah was a subsistence life grant and appears in No. 17 of the Register of 1804 and No. 11 of that of 1812. On the death of the Grantee in July 1832 the allowance was resumed. |
| 70 | Sahib Beegum | Claims the Yeomiah held by her grand mother Sultana Sahiba | The original amount of the yeomiah in this case was 30 Rupees, and appears in No. 110 in the Register of 1804 in the names of:<br>1 Syed Gholam Nubee.<br>2 Sultanee Sahibah<br>3 Fatimah Canum<br>after the death of these, the yeomiah was renewed in three equal shares in the names of:<br>1 Causim Sahib Son<br>2 Pacha Beebee Daughter in law and<br>3 Nizzoo Beebee. Daughter<br>respectively of the three original Grantees. Causim Sahib dying in November 1839, his share was resumed, and is this which is now claimed by Syed abdul Cauder as Puncha Beebee died previous to the receipt of the Sanction of Government 3 Rupees out of her share of 10 Rupees was continued to her husband's Sister Sahiba Bee, who also died in august 1840. Petitioner Syed Hussain claims the 10 Rupees sanctioned in the name of Puncha Bee in 1823, as does also Sahib Beegums grand daughter |
| 71 | Syed Hussain | Claims the yeomiah held by his Mother Puncha Beebee. | |
| 72 | Syed abdul Cauder | Claims the yeomiah of his father Causim Sahib | |
| | | Vide To B[d] 19th November 1822 Nos. 78 & 79.<br>From Do. 30th January 1823 | |
| | | Vide To B[d] 2d June 1828 No. 7<br>Sanction of Government 6th March 1829. | |

daughter of Sooltan Saluba one of the 3 original grantees Nizzoo Beebee is alive and continues to draw her share.—

The original amount of the yeomiah in this case is 20 Rupees and appears in N° 194 in the name of abdul Cawder Khan- after the death of this man the Yeomiah was renewed in the name of his widow SaKeena Cantoom on the 11th august 1814, but shortly afterwards it was reduced from 20 to 10 Rupees

To Board 27th September 1814 para 6.

From Dr 29th June 1815 —"- 12-

It is the 10 Rupees thus withdrawn that is claimed by Jorabee one ammorbee performing a claim to a portion of abdul Cawder Khan's yeomiah, her case was submitted for orders, and the Board direct that a portion of the 10 Rupees continued to SaKeena Cantoom should be bestowed on Ummorbee 5 Rupees were accordingly given her, and SaKeena Cantoom's claim now is that her allowance having been thus a second time reduced it may be raised to 10 Rupees, the

73 SaKeena Cantoom — Claims the renewal of 5 Rupees which was stopped out of her Yeomiah in 1816

+Vide To Bd 20th June 1814 N-15

From Dr 11th august 1814

74 Jorabee — Claims the yeomiah of 10 Rupees held by her mother SaKeena Cantoom.

Vide To Board 18th July 1815 P.15

From Dr 4th November 1816-

75 Meera Bee alias Beerum Saluba. — Claims the yeomiah of 15 Rupees held by her adoptive mother Mirium Cantoom.

sums she was receiving before the last reduction.—

This yeomiah was a Subsistence life grant appearing in N° 195 in the Register of 1804 in the name of Mirium Cantoom.

This woman dying in august 1825 the allowance was resumed, and is now claimed by meera Bee who is represented to have been adopted by the deceased.—

76 Kareem Bee. — Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 4-4-5 held by her father Syed Asadoollah Hoosainy.

The Yeomiah claimed is a part of the Mootaliqgaun life grant (Rupees 30) appearing in N° 155 in the Register of 1804, and N° 14 in that of 1812- She allowance continued to be paid to Syed Asadoollah Hoosainy till February 1837 from which it ceased- The date of his death is not Known- The Petitioner represents herself to be his daughter and claims the Yeomiah-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 77 Mungo Bee | Claims the yeomiah of 5 Rupees held by her father Mucca Sahib<br><br>+To Board 26th May 1815 No 23<br>From Dr 29th June 1815 p. 3 p 4.<br><br>+To Bd 19th November 1822 No 10.<br>From Dr 30th January 1820. | The original amount of the yeomiah in this case was 30 Rupees and is a life grant appearing in No 34 in the Register of 1804 in the name of Syed Habeeb Dollah Caundry after whose death it was +distributed among the deceased's Mootaliguars to be held for life. as each of the sharers on whom it was conferred died the lapsed share was resumed except that of the last Jain Bee who dying in January 1822 her share of 5 Rupees was renewed in the name of her daughter's son Mucca Sahib in consideration of her poverty. On the death of this last individual in 1835 the Pension was resumed, and is that now claimed by Mungoobee as the daughter of the deceased encumbent. |
| 78 Syed Golam Dustaheer | Claims the yeomiah Rs 3-3-5 held by his grand | The original amount of the yeomiah which is now claimed by this is 12 annas per diem or 22-8 per mensem and was a Mootaliguars hereditary grant. It appears in No 19 of the Register of 1804 and No 12 of that of 1812 in the name of Syed Mohideen Caundry after his death, the allowance was +distributed among his Mootaliguars Viz:- |
| 80 Syed Mahomed Ghouse | Claims the Yeomiah (3-3-5) held by her father Syed Mohuddeen Caundry.<br><br>Claim the renewal of the yeomiah of Rs 3-3-5/ held by their mother Neemmah Sahibah. | 1 Syed Futtah Caundy<br>2 Futtah Sahibah Bee<br>3 Munsahiba Bee<br>4 Maunah Sahibah<br>5 Mansahibunah Bee<br>6 Burhanisah Shi Bee<br>7 Munowar Sahibah. |
| 82 Futta Beebee<br>83 Syed Shaw Futtah ahmed. | Claim the yeomiah of Rupees 3-3-5. held by their grandmother Mah Sahiba :na Bee. | +Vide To Bd 25th May 1815 No 2.<br>From Dr 29th June 1815 P 3 & 4. |
| | Vide To Board 18th October 1823 No 1.<br>From Dr 17th august 1822. | to be held for life, on the death of the 3d 4th and 5th of the above individuals in april 1825, June 1838 and March 1824 respectively, their shares were resumed, and are now claimed by the Petitioners, Nos 78, 80-81, 82, and 83 in Column 1 of this Statement. The share of Syed Futtah Caundry, the first of the 7 individuals enumerated above was sanctioned after his death in the name of his Son Syed Mohideen Caundry for her life, but this person dying before the receipt of the sanction of Government the allowance was stopped and is that now claimed by Petitioner No 79. |

Fort St George 12th July 1853

84 Syed Abdool Cauder

Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 6-1-6. held by his grand father's Nephew Syed Yeuff.

+ Vide To B.R. 26th May 1815 No 60
From Dr 29th June P. 3 & 4

The original amount of the Yeomiah in this case was 6½ annas per diem or 12-0- per Mensem and appears in N. 81 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Syed Mohideen Cawdry. On the 29th June 1815. the Yeomiah was renewed in equal shares in the names of

Goolam Hyder — 6-1-6
Syed Yeuff — 6-1-6

The former of these still continues to receive it, and the latter dying in august 1824. his share was resumed and is that now claimed by Petitioner.

85 Haufeez Syed Abdul Azeem Sahib

Claims three different Yeomiahs held by his father Haufeez Careemoddeen, and another formerly enjoyed by himself.

+ To B.R. 20th June 14 No 54
From Dr 11th Augt 1p. 3.

The 1st of the three Yeomiahs claimed by Petitioner, as having been held by his father is a life grant amounting to 15 Rupees and appears in N. 74 of the Register of 1804. On the death of Haufeez Careem. oddeen in September 1813, the Yeomiah was claimed by Petitioner Petitioner but was +ordered to be discontinued by the Board. Petitioner now renews his claim.

The other two Yeomiahs claimed by Petitioner as having been enjoyed by his father, are shares in his Mootaliquan grants of 30 and 15 Rupees respectively appearing in N.s 70 and 310 of the Register of 1804 after the death of the grantees, the Yeomiahs were distributed among the Mootaliquan to be held for life and Haufeez Camoroodeen's shares of these were 3-12- and 3-0- respectively. These These likewise were claimed by the present petitioner on Haufeez Camoroodeen's death in 1813 but were +ordered to be discontinued. The sum of 2-4- which was paid to Petitioner for some time out of the 30 ⁄ Pagodas placed annually at the disposal of the Collector to be distributed at his discretion among the poor and distitute relatives of deceased, is likewise claimed by him.

+ Vide To B.R. 20th June 1814 No 50
From Dr 11th Augt " p. 3.
*. To B.R. 10th Febr 1815 p. 5
From Dr 13th Janr 1817 p. 4.

The Yeomiah claimed is a part of the Mootaliquan Money allowance of 30 Rupees appearing in the names of

86 Sahib Huzurat

Claims the Yeomiah Rs. 10-12- held by his late Mother Fatma Sahibah.

# Fort St George 14th July 1853

1 Syed ally Cawdry
2 Salibany Salibah
3 Beegum Salibah
4 Baday Bee Salibah
5 Ammun Salibah

in No 88 of the Register of 1804.

Beegum Salibah's share was resumed on her marriage. Those of the 1st 2d and 5th were stopped on their deaths That of Badabee Salibah alone was continued after her decease to her daughter Fatna Salibee for her maintenance. This woman dying in July 1835 the allowance was discontinued and is that now claimed by Salih Hagwurdt.

+ Vide To Bd 19th November 1822 No 75 of Statement
Sanctioned 3d January 123
To Bd 30th January 123

87 Syed Careem Mahomed

Claims the Yeomiahs held by his grandfather's Brother Syed Mahomed Yusuff Cawdry.

Syed Mahomed Yusuff was the Registered Yeomiahdar in No 75 of the Register of 1804, The Yeomiah being a Subsistence life grant of 4 annas per diem or 7½ Rupees a month. He was likewise the Mutaliquan and as such had shares in the Yeomiahs appearing in the name of Syed Murgurut Cawdry in Nos 70 and 310 of the Register of 1804 the shares being Rupees 6-9 and 3 Rs. He died in august 1824 and the Yeomiahs were discontinued. The Petitioner represents himself to be the Grandson of this Yeomiahdar's brother.—

88. Salleena Bee on behalf of Chota Bee.

Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 1-7-11 held by Chotee Bees grand = = mother Fatma Cannoon.

The original amount of the Yeomiah in this case is 3 as 1 p 5/8 per diem appearing in No 24 of the Register of 1804 and 16 of that of 1812 in the names of.

Gohur Cannoom
Ameer Cannoom
Fatmah Cannoom
Hameedamah

Ameer Cannooms and Fatma Cannooms shares were stopped on their deaths in January 1813, and February 1839, respectively. Fatma Cannoom's share is now claimed. Gohur Cannoom's + was renewed in the named of her Daughter Fatma Bee and that of Hameedamah in that of her Grand daughter Salleena Bee These have also been subsequently resumed.—

+ Vide To Board 19th November 1822 No 7
To Bd 30th January 1823.

* To Board 7th July 1832 No 9
Sanction of Government.
30th August 1833.

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

89. Hyder Sahib — Claims a yeomiah which he alleges was held by his Grand father Ameen Sahib. — — —

There is no where any trace of this yeomiah on the records. Neither is Petitioner able to afford any information. —

90. Marum Beebee. — Claims the yeomiah of Rupees 1–14– held by her late husband Mahomed Abeeb —

The original amount of the yeomiah in this case is Rupees 7/2 and it appears in the Register of 1812 (No 283) in the names of —

Mahomed Yasuff.
Mahomed Faizul.

The yeomiah is stated to have been granted for the performance of some service in a Mosque, after the death of the former in June 1821 his moiety of the grant was renewed* in the names of his two nephews.

Mahomed Abeel — 1 — 14.
Fajal Mahomed 1 — 14.

for the performance of the service. The other grantee Mahomed Fajul dying in March 1833 his share (3–12) also was renewed in the name of his son Jamul Ooddeen who as well as Fajul Mahomed Continues

Vide To Board 19th November 1822 No 28 —
From 2d 30th January 1823 —

Vide To Board 14th January 1824. No 2
From 2d 16th January 1838

to enjoy their respective shares to this day. Mahomed Abeeb dying in January 1826 his share was resumed, and no claim for its continuance was advanced apparently at the time. —

91. Hameeda Beebee —
92. Cawder Beebee — } Claim the renewal of 25–1–3 — which is alleged to have been discontinued out of a yeomiah of 30 Rupees said to have been held by Ankul Mahomed who was the grandfather and father of Petitioners respectively. —

There seems to be a mistake in the Statement of the Petitioners in this case being unsupported by the records. In 185 of the Register of 1812 there appears a yeomiah of only Rupees 4–14–9. in the joint names of Ankul Mahomed and Shaw Abdul Hoosain for the performance of certain Ceremonies in a Mosque at Ongole. The latter dying in 1812 the whole amount of the yeomiah was continued to the former under the authority conveyed in a letter from the Board dated 29th June 1815. para 8. This person also died in March 1829. and the yeomiah was renewed* in the name of his daughters son Mahomed Sahib who still continues to draw it. The Petitioners allege that

Vide To Board 20th June 1814. No. 183.

* To Board 4th July 1832. No 3.
Sanction of Government 30th August 1833.

that the original amount of the yeomiah was 30 Rupees and that the difference between that and the amount 1-19-9 now enjoyed having been discontinued should be renewed in their names. There is no trace of this on the records.—

93. Syed Ahmed— Claims the yeomiah held by his father Syed Abdool Kye.— — — — — —

The yeomiah in this Case is a life grant appearing in No. 153 of the Register of 1804 No. 98 of that of 1812 in the name of Syed Abdool Kye. This man dying in November 1835 the yeomiah was stopped and is that now claimed by his son the Petitioner.—

94. Atalee Beebee— Claims the yeomiah Rupees 8-9-2. held by her grandmother Hazarat Sahibah.— — — — —

95. Ghouse Bee— Claims the yeomiah Rupees 8-9-2. held by her aunt aufee toonissah — — — —

The original amount of the yeomiah in this case which is a Mootaliqeah grant was Rupees 60 appearing in No. 143. of the Register of 1804. and No. 96 of that of 1812. in the names of Aufeez. Ooddeen and Mahomed Khan. on the principle laid down in Para 3 of the Board's letter of the 29th June 1815. the yeomiah was renewed in

Vide To Board 26th May 1815. No. 96.—

the names of the following Mootaliqdars of the original grantee to be held for life.—

1 Mahomed Moyen Ooddeen
2 Mahomed Ibrahim Khan
3 Hazarat Sahibah
4 Ameen Nissa
5 Aufeetoon Nissa
6 Jameetoor Nissa
7 Mahomed Khader Khan

On the death of the 1st of these individuals, his share

*Vide To Board 19th November 1822. No. 38.— From Do. 30th January 1823. — — — —

Rupees 8-9-2. was *Continued to his two widows who still enjoy the allowance. That of the last Mahomed Khader Khan was *continued in the first instance to his Grandson Mahomed Afsun-Ooddeen and afterwards to his (Mahomed Afsun-Ooddeens) father.

* Do. Do. Do. No. 39. — —

On the death of the others- the 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th their several shares were resumed. The shares now claimed are those of the 3d and 6th who died in April 1828. and December 1826. respectively.—

Fort St. George 11th July 1853.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 96. Causim Bee- | Claims the yeomiah 15 Rupees held by her Grand-father Nahomah Cantoom- | This yeomiah appears in No. 244 of the Register of 1804, after the death of the grantee Rahamut cantoon 5 Rupees out of the lapsed were granted to his daughter Beebee Sahebah for her life- This woman dying shortly afterwards in Fusly 1223. the allowance was stopped- The Petitioner claims the original amount of 15 Rupees held by Rahemut Cantoom as her granddaughter.— |
| | *Vide Statement 20th October 1812 List No. 13. From Board to Government 23d November 1812 p. 8. To Do. from Do. 29th December 1812. p. 4. | |
| 97. Ammeun Beebee- | Claims the Yeomiah Rupees 10. held by her Uncle Abdal Rufsool Cawn. | This yeomiah which was a life subsistence grant appears in No. 251. of the Register of 1804. The grantee dying in May 1840. the allow-ance was discontinued, and is that now claimed by Ammain Bee who represents herself to be his niece.— |
| 98. Mahomed Ishak. | Claims the yeomiah Rupee 1-12- held by his late father Abdul Rufsool Naubenah--- | This yeomiah consisting of a Money allowance of 1 Pice per diem and 1 Seer of grain was a subsistence life grant forming No. 84 of the Register of 1804. The grantee abdul Rufsool Naubena is stated to have died in February 1806. from which date the allowance was discontinued- His son, the Petitioner however was in the receipt of R As Ps 1-9-7. from March 1819. to September 1836. out of the 30 Pagodas placed at the disposal of the Collector for distribution to the poor relatives of deceased Yeomiahdars.— |
| 99. Aymat Cantoom. | Claims the yeomiah 5 Rupees held by her aunt Hyat Cantoom. | This yeomiah a life grant- appears in No. 234. of the Register of 1804. in the name of Hyat Cantoom- The precise date of the death of this individual is not known, but it seems to have been about 1804. when the yeomiah was discontinued, and is that now claimed by the Petitioner as the daughter of the last incumbents brother.— |

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 100, Hoofsain Bee. | Claims the yeomiah 6½ Rupees held by her late father abdul salam Khan ---- | This yeomiah forms No. 211 of the Register of 1804, and being a life grant was resumed on the death of the grantee Abdul salam Khan in July 1839. |
| 101 Abdul Hufsain Cawn on behalf of abdul Azeed Khan and — abdul Fyaz Khan. | Claims certain lapsed shares of a yeomiah—— | The original amount of the yeomiah is Rupees 25 and is a subsistence life grant appearing in No. 237 of the Register of 1804. in the name of Abdool Naby Khan. After his death in May 1820 the yeomiah was [†]continued in equal shares to his Nephews. |
| | †Vide To Board 19th November 1822. 46. From Do. 30th January 1823. | Abdul Hoofsain Khan and Ganee Khan. The former still continues to enjoy his share / 12½ Rupees / The latter dying in June 1827. a moiety of his lapsed share or Rupees 6¼ was [*]sanctioned in the Name of his younger brother Abdul Hufsain Khan for life. This person having died in August 1842. his son Abdul Azeed Khan one of the Petitioners prays for the continuance of the allowance held by his deceased father. |
| | *Vide To Board 13th May 1830. From Do. 7th June 1830. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | father. The other Petitioner Abdul Fyaz Khan is the Son of the Abdul Hussain Khan who is now drawing a moiety of the original yeomiah, and claims the 6¼ Rupees out of the other moiety withdrawn in 1823 on the death of Gunnee Khan his uncle.— |
| 102. Azaz Cautoom. | Prays that a yeomiah of 5 Rupees held by her mother Jeenan Bee may be continued to her— | There is no trace of this yeomiah on the records.— |
| 103. Abdul Cawder. | Claims the yeomiah of Rupees 2½ held by his Mother Rambee Bee — | The original amount of this yeomiah which was a Mootaliqpun Grant appears in No. 273 of the Register of 1804 in the name of Abdul Cawder, after his death, the yeomiah was divided in two equal shares and renewed for life in the names of Shareefa Bee wife Rauboo Bee Daughter of the original grantee under the ‡sanction of ‡To Board 20th October 1812. No. 153— Government dated 29th December 1812. Rauboo Bee dying in September 1841 her share was discontinued and is that now claimed by her son Abdul Cawder. On the death of the other Sharer in April 1822, her portion was ‡continued to her grand-daughter Koolsoom Bee who still draws the allowance.— |
| 104. Syed Abdoollah | ‡To Board 19th November 1822. No. 48. From Do. 30th January 1823. Claims the yeomiahs Rs. a. 18-12 and Rs. a. 3-5-4 held by his late father Syed Hussain Rajah — | Syed Hussain Rajah father of the present claimant was registered yeomiahdar in No. 107 of the Register of 1812. the amount of the yeomiah being 10 annas per diem or 18-12- per mensem and granted for learning the Persian language— He was also One of the Mootaliquan of |

8507

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 311.

(33.34)

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

B.d. No. 1508.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government.
Submitting with the Board's remarks a letter from the Collector of Nellore reporting on a Memorial presented by some of the Mahomedan Inhabitants of his district soliciting the continuance of the Yeomiah enjoyed by their Ancestors.

In Cons. 17th Feb.y 1851.

3-1

Dated 30th October 1850
No. 10.

With reference to para 33 of a despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors relative to a Memorial presented by some of the Mahomedan Inhabitants of the Nellore District soliciting the continuance of the Yeomiahs enjoyed by their ancestors, and the order of Government thereon dated 14th January 1851 requesting the Board to call upon the Collector for a report showing concisely "the circumstances of the case of each of the "Memorialists whose Yeomiah has been "discontinued or reduced, and lay the same "before Government with any remarks "which it may occur to them to offer "in illustration of the subject" I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith the report forwarded by the Collector in accordance with the above mentioned requisition.

3d March in Cons. 14th July 1853. - No. 654.

The original Memorial purported to come from 145 persons, but the

2.

Collector

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

Collector states that after much difficulty and with the assistance of the Town Cauzee he was able to procure the attendance of only 104 individuals so that the report now submitted, embraces the substance of the enquiry connected with these only.-

3. The Board have carefully gone over the particulars of each case and with the exception of Nos. 28 and 36, see no grounds to recommend any for the favorable consideration of Government -

The two escepted cases may they think be further reported on chiefly with reference to the means of subsistence which the parties may professs.-

4. The Board fully concur in the sentiments expressed by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 31st May 1849 in passing orders upon this Memorial.-

They would also remark that the long periods which have elapsed between the dates of discontinuance of the Yeomials and that of the Complaint against the orders in the several cases extending to upwards of 10 years, and in some to even



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

even 25 and 37 years, lead to the inference that the Memorial is not a bona fide representation of grievances from each individual, but has been got up by one or two persons and readily subscribed to by others.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th July, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

---

Read the following letter-
No. 34

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

35

B. No. 1773.
From the Collector
of Bellary

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement of Charges incurred in Erecting boundary Stones to define the extreme limits of the Military Ab Kerry Topes amounting to Rupees 50 and to request you will be so good as to obtain the Sanction of Government for the Same.-

Bellary
Collectors Office,
2nd July, 1853.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector.

Statement.

8510 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

Statement of Charges incurred in erecting boundary Stones to define the extreme limits of the Military Akkarry Topes in the Bellary Talook in the Bellary Division of the Ceded Districts as authorized in the Extract Minutes of Consultation of 23d October 1852.-

| Name of Talook. | Particulars of Work performed. | Amount Sanctioned. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bellary. | For Cutting and fixing 10 Stones | 40 " | |
| | Hire for Conveying Do. | 10 " | |
| | Total Rupees | 50 " | |

Bellary Collector's Office
2d July 1853.

(35.36)

Bd. No. 1485.

Proceedings thereon- Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that Sanction be granted for the above charges Amounting as per Statement to Rupees (50) Fifty the estimate of which was authorized by Government under date the

8511 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

In Cons 8th Novr 1852. the 23d October 1852.-

---

Read the following letters-

No. 36.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(37)

Bd. No. 1389. Sir,
From the Collector of Cuddapah. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 12th Instant wherein I am requested to ascertain (if necessary by communications with the Authorities in Mysore) the authenticity of the Village of the late Meer Mahomed Alli late trustee of the Goorrumcondah Mokbarah. In order to enable me to carry out the wishes of the Board of Revenue, I request you will have the goodness to forward to me, as early as convenient the original document whereby the Villages attached to the Tomb were made over by the late Meer Mahomed Alli to his Son Meer Moorad Alli Khan for transmission to the Mysore Authorities

in

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

in order to ascertain the authenticity or otherwise of the document-

Cuddapah District,- - -
Collector's Office - - -
on Circuit, Muddenpully
23d May 1853. - - - -

Signed / M. Murray
Acting Collector.

B. of R. No. 1841.
From Meer Moorad Ally Khan Bahadoor &c.

38

Meer Moorad Ally Khan Bahadoor represents to the Honorable Members of the Board of Revenue that his late father Meer Mahomed Ally Khan Bahadoor, executed a Will in his name for the Management of the Mokhbarah of his late Grandfather Meer Rajah Ally Khan Bahadoor, a Copy of which he sent on the 12th April 1853, that now he encloses the original of that Copy and prays for justice.-

A true Translation /-
Meer Zainoolabdeen Khan-

Dated 14th July 1853.

B. of R. No. 1474.

37.39

Proceedings thereon

From Meer Moorad ally Khan

Meer Moorad Ally Khan having forwarded to the Board of Revenue the original document applied for in the foregoing letter, they resolve to transmit it



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

it to the acting Collector of Cuddapah with a request that he will return it carefully when no longer required.-

---

Read the following letter-
No. 48.

To.
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

40

B. of R. No. 1760
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

With reference to the extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, under date the 30th Ultimo wherein it is stated it is not plain whether tenders for the rent were accepted without further proceedings or whether it was disposed of by public Auction, I have the honor to state that the Abkarry of each taloke was put up for public sale by me on the 22nd of June last in the Hoozoor Cutcherry at Cuddapah and Knocked down to the highest bidders.-

Cuddapah District
Collector's Office, on Circuit
at Muddenpully
4th July 1853.-

Signed / M. Murray
Acting Collector.-

Ordered

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.
(40.41)

---

Read the following letters-
No. 104.

To,
B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue-

(42)

Bd. No. 1727.
From the Collector of Chingleput.-
Submitting for the orders of the Board of Revenue the names of two Individuals Thaneyachella Moodelly and Ramabudra Moodelly for whom the Inhabitants of Ponnary &c. &c. interested in the welfare of the Seroovoypaudy Pagoda have voted.-

Sir,

1. Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 9th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that agreeably to the instructions therein contained, I summoned before me the Meerasdars of the Villages of Ponnary Pariacavanum, Chinnacavanum, and Coomungalum, when 27 of them voted for the nomination of Thaniachella Moodely as Trustee of the Pagoda of Seroovoypady while the remaining 17 were in favor of Ramabudra Moodelly the Minor Son of the deceased Trustee. Thanicachella Moodelly being permitted to conduct the duties of the Office as Attorney during his period of monority Ramabudra Moodelly however who appears to



to be nearly of age has declined to appear before me when summoned to attend in view to his wishes being consulted in the matter.-

2. I need hardly dilate on the nature of these votes given by parties the greater part of whom in the first instance so strongly objected to the nomination of Thaniachella Moodelly or the Minor as shewn in the Petition, translation of which was forwarded with my former Communication, a circumstance which led to discussion in the matter of the succession to the Durmakurtaship. Under these circumstances, I leave it to the Board to decide which of these two Individuals should be selected for the Trusteeship. Thaniachella Moodelly although he has no landed property in this District appears to be in the employ of Government as Clerk in the M. Paymaster's Office at Madras on a salary of Rupees 50 per Mensem.-

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutchery,
Cannore, 30th June, 1853.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Proceedings

Bd. No. 1481-
Proceedings
42.43

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

thereon- The Board observe with respect to the remarks of the Collector in Para 2 that the nomination of Venkatachella chetty was not the result of an election held agreeably to the orders of the Board and Government, and that the votes of the Community have now for the first time been formally given and those of the majority have fallen on Thaniachella Moodelly.

2. Having ascertained the wishes of the people it is the province of the Collector to recommend a fit person to the Trust- He will now do this and carry out the Board's orders as conveyed in Para 4 Proceedings 9th May 1853.-

---

No. 107.

To,

B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue-
Fort St. George.

(44)

Bd. No. 1728.
From the Collector of Chingleput- Reporting on the State of Salt Manufacture in his District for the Current year.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following report on the state of the Salt Manufacture of the Current year with



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

with reference to your letter of the 13th Instant.-

2. The accompanying Memorandum E.A.S.1. exhibits the quantity of Salt Manufactured at each of the pans of this District up to the 20th Instant- The unusual heavy rains experienced towards the end of the last year greatly retarded the preparation of the pans in the first instance, while the heavy showers in March last destroyed the whole of the Salt that had been manufactured up to that date and rendered it necessary that the Pans should be prepared again in April, thus entirely putting a Stop to all Manufacture until May- Besides this general hindrance to the manufacture, certain local circumstances affected, in a greater degree particular pans- The Board are aware that usual freshes were received in the Palar, Cortillar and Arnee rivers in the Month of March- The pans of Vullooor and Vichoor situated on the borders of the Cortillar & those of Voyalloor on the Arnee river together with the Canals by which they

received

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

received their supplies of salt water were submerged by the rivers in question. These Pans could not be made available for the Manufacture of salt until the fresh water by which they were overflooded was completely got rid of, a desideratum which the low situation of the soil much retarded. The pans of Chunam-boocolum and Poongolum which are likewise very low, were also inundated by the back water and those of Chunam-but were similarly affected by a Jungle Stream.-

3. The quantity Manufactured upto the 20th Instant amounts to Garces 2,317-312 out of a Dittum ordered at the commencement of the year for Garces 7,950. This Dittum has subsequently been raised to Garces 9,950.-

4. An additional Column opened in the Memo shews the Manufactured quantity to be further anticipated up to the end of August to be Garces 2,670. This additionc will doubtlessly be Manufactured should the weather prove favorable. The

Supply-



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

supply of old salt in store is 1,179-130 so that on the whole taking the anticipated Manufacture into account Garces 6,167-42. will be available for the Consumption of the Current year, and for the supply of the Madras Cotaurs. The average sales of the ex District during the last 3 years are 2,339-24 6 which together with Garces 3,300 Sanctioned for the supply of the Madras depôt will not exceed the anticipated supply.-

| | G. | M. |
|---|---|---|
| 1259- | 2,537- | 25-0 |
| 60 | 2,251- | 203- |
| 61- | 2,230- | 110- |
| | 7,018- | 338- |

5. Fully impressed with the importance of meeting the demand for the article, every exertion has been used to carry on the Manufacture to the fullest extent at all the Pans in this District, and any failure in this respect I can safely affirm is solely attributable to the very unfavorable

nature

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

nature of the season at the Commence:
=ment by which the Manufacturers have
sustained very heavy losses I may also
add that General Instructions were
issued to the local authorities at all
the Pans, to cause as much salt
as possible to be Manufactured
within their respective Control
irrespective of the Dittum so
that any deficiency in one
locality may if possible,
be counterbalanced by an
increase in another, where
the Circumstances of the
Season may have proved more
favorable.-

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry.
Ennore, - - - - - -
30th June, 1853. - - -

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Memorandum-



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

Memorandum.-

| Names of the Pans.- | Salt Manufactured up to the 20th June 1853- | | | Salt anticipated to be Manufactured by the end of August. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | G. | M | M | G. | M | M |
| Chunambut | 141 | 70 | " | 200 | " | " |
| Cheyoor | 611 | 335 | " | 400 | " | " |
| Pyennoor | 288 | 225 | " | 250 | " | " |
| Covelong | 399 | 210 | " | 600 | " | " |
| Vadasemmanjary | 199 | 225 | " | 300 | " | " |
| Vulloor | 437 | 1 | " | 300 | " | " |
| Vayaloor | 230 | 279 | " | 250 | " | " |
| Vichoor | 8 | 382 | " | 20 | " | " |
| Poongolum | " | 150 | " | 200 | " | " |
| Soonamboocolum | " | 35 | " | 150 | " | " |
| | 2,317 | 312 | " | 2,670 | " | " |

(Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector-

Ordered.

8522 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.-

Bd. No. 1520.

Order thereon.- (44.45)

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter and of its accompaniment, be forwarded for the information of the Acting Collector of Madras, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 13th June 1853.-

---

Read

8523 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

No. 313

In Cons: 3d February 1853. (46)

Bd. No. 1484.

Proceedings thereon

Relative to the settlement of land Revenue in the North Arcot district for Fusly 1261.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, dated 27th December 1852, reporting on the Land Revenue Settlement of that District for Fusly 1261.

Here enter No. 97

The season is reported to have been a most favorable one, and the fall of rain at different periods of the year so advantageous for the several descriptions of crops, both dry and Wet, as to have resulted in a larger Revenue than in any year since Fusly 1249. Mention is made of only two talooks in which one description of rice crop "suffered to a small extent" from want of water.-

Para 3

2. The general health of the population appears to have been good, for although there were 454 deaths from Cholera reported, this number is about 30% less than occurred in the previous year. But the destruction among the Cattle from Murrain was the occasion of severe loss to the Ryots particularly in the Trivattoor and Wandiwash talooks where it amounted to 6,211. The entire loss in the whole district was 9,311. or more than three times greater than occurred in

occurred in Fusly 1260. A similar severe loss in Sheep & Goats is also said to have taken place.-

Para 4

3. Certain changes were made in the number and destribution of the Villages during the year under report, which have reduced the number of Taloks from 15 to 14. 44 Villages were, under the orders of Government, transferred to the Chingleput District, and of the 359 Villages which composed the late Penmurry taloak 78 were transferred to the Chittoor taloak; and the remaining 281 together with the 92 Villages of the late Trepetty Taloak were formed into the new Chendragherry taloak.

5th in Cons 28th April 1851

Para 5

4. There was a great falling off in the Price of Grain as compared with Fusly 1260 amounting to 15% and 21% in the Principal and Sub Divisions. This is ascribed to increased production consequent on the increased cultivation. A comparison with the commutation price according to the calculation adopted in Fusly 1257 shows a falling off of no less than 31% and 39%. The question as to the correctness of the former, or present mode of calculating the commutation rates in North Arcot was recently very fully discussed in the Board's Proceedings dated 9th May 1853. On the present occasion an adjustment has been



been made in the prices consequent, as the Collector explains, on the averages having to be struck from 10 instead of 11 taloks in the Principal Division. The difference thus shown is not of any importance; but it is remarked on reference to Statement No 10, that a different principle has been adopted for ascertaining the current, and Survey Standard rates in the new Chendraghery taloak. For the former the average of the late Penmurry and Trepetty taloaks has been adopted, but for the latter the survey rates of the Penmurry taloak alone has been taken. Had the average of the two taloks been here also adopted it would have made a difference of Rupees 4-10-8 as shewn in the margin

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Statement No 10 for Fusly 1260 Current price for Fasly 1260 per Garce | | |
| Trepetty -- | Rs | 75. 2. 11 |
| Penmurry --- | " | 76. 1. 5 |
| Average -- | | 75. 9. 0 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Statement No 10 of Fusly 1260. Tarrum rates per Garce | | |
| Trepetty Taloak | Rs | 93 - 5. 4 |
| Penmurry --- | | 84. 0 -- |
| Average -- | | 88. 10. 8 |
| As per Col 2 Statement No 10 of 1260 | | 84 |
| Difference -- | | 4. 10. 8 |

As however the number of Villages transferred from the Trepetty Taloak in which the Tarrum rates are much higher are as few in comparison with those taken from Penmurry, the more correct average allowing for this circumstance, would appear to be Rupees 86-4-10.-

Villages transferred From Trepetty 92 From Penmurry 281

Dittum

5. A net increase in the dittum or lands taken up before the Settlement amounting to Rupees 17,225 is shewn in the abstract Statement given in Para 7.-

In

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

In this abstract the Aspl. Khood or land taken up after the Dittum is distinguished from the Dittum lands, but as they are exhibited in the gross in the detailed Summahbundy Statement and are not distinguished in the Sub Division, and as the separation of them in the report must entail considerable trouble, they need not be so separated in future reports. The Board here observe that Statement A has been unnecessarily enlarged by opening 69 additional Columns - Half of those from 18 to 49 are occupied by transfers within the district from one taloork to another, and the particulars given from Column 18 to 25 are again repeated from Columns 42 to 49. As however the Board have recently circulated new forms of Summahbundy accounts, it is unnecessary on the present occasion to refer more particularly to the important subject of condensing the accounts.

13th June 1853

6. The following abstract shows a net increase in the actual cultivation of Rupees 1,25,970 in the year under review, after deducting the cultivation in the Villages transferred to Chingleput.



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

| | Fusly 1260. | Fusly 1261. |
|---|---|---|
| Poonjah | 504,836 | 536,094 |
| Nunjah | 1302,170 | 1374,975 |
| Totaaal | 33,267 | 33,746 |
| Total | 1840,273 | 1944,816 |
| Deduct Cultivation in Villages transferred to Chingleput. | 21,434 | |
| | 1818,839 | |
| Increase | | 125,976 |

The above figures are abstracted from the Statement given in Para 8 of the Collectors report, but this hardly gives the different descriptions of Cultivation in sufficient detail thus under the head of Poonjah is included Nunjah and Garden cultivated on dry lands, and under that of Garden, Nunjah cultivation on Garden lands. It is not desirable to swell the abstracts given in the report beyond what can be avoided, but if the different items were given more in correspondence with Statement A it would show the amount of Revenue derived from each source more clearly, and facilitate the comparison of the report with the accounts.

The

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

F. 1260 Rs. 1,61,8616
F. 1261 - 1,75,0822

Extract Minutes Consultation 23d October 1852 Para 7
In Cons: 20th Novr 1852.

7. The details of the Gross Demand, with the various deductions and remissions are given in Para 13, and show a net increase of Rupees 1,32,205 beyond Fusly 1260. The Collector has, in accordance with the Board's instructions deducted the Dittum Banjer separately from the Dittum. There is a large decrease in this item of Deduction, amounting to Rupees 87,195. The largest item of Remission is General remission of 12½ per cent from the Survey rates north of the Palar amounting to Rupees 1,22,999-8, and as this is more properly a permanent reduction of assessment, the Board are disposed to think that the remarks of Government with respect to the General remissions in the Districts of Cuddapah and Bellary are equally applicable to North Arcot, and that the instruction of this item only tends to increase the labor of preparing the accounts and to swell the apparent amount of annual remissions without any advantage. They will however defer issuing any orders upon the subject until the replies of the Collectors of those districts have been received. Deducting this item the remaining remissions to Ryots amount to Rupees 84,812 being 21,241 less than in last

| | Rs |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1260 | 1,77,925 |
| Fusly 1261 | 90,729 |
| Decrease | 87,196 |

Bd's Pro: 22d July 1852 Para 9-



Fort St. George 14th July 1853

last year, and is in consequence of the Superior nature of the season which rendered it unnecessary to make remission for withered crops &c. In some items however such as allowance to Bramins and Mussulmen, Shrotriam and Dusawandum remissions &c. an increase appears in proportion to the increased cultivation.

8. In para 16, Mr Brett explains that "in the case of Stull Cummi waste when less than a fourth of the Stullum was left uncultivated it was brought to account according to the provisions of the Standard Hookumnamah". This waste is of the same nature as the Caboolate waste in the Cuddapah district, concerning which the correspondence noted in the margin took place with the Government, but the rule appears to be more stringent in North Arcot than in Cuddapah. In the former it appears that waste to any amount below 25 per Cent is charged to the ryot whereas in Cuddapah it is only charged when below 10 per Cent. In the last communication the Government quote Para 6 of the Cuddapah Hookumnamah Viz. "If the waste part of a field does not exceed a tenth part of such field, no reduction is

Proceedings 25th August 1852 From Government 28th September in Consultation 18th October - a Proceedings 15th Novr - From Government 7th in Cons: 23d December.

From Govt 7th in Cons: 23d December 1852.

is to be made" and observe The meaning of this would seem to be that where the part of a field left waste is not more than 10 per cent of it's entire area, no allowance is to be made for the uncultivated portion, and not that where the whole of a field is not cultivated, waste to the extent of 10 per cent is invariably to be charged. The Board would wish to be informed what the practice in the latter case Viz. of fields left wholly uncultivated, is in North Arcot, and whether 25 per Cent of such fields is charged to the ryots. They would also wish for further explanation of the practice referred to in Para 18 from which it would appear in the case of remission for withered Crops /"Shavee"/ "10%" on the productive cultivation of the ryots" is charged. The do not clearly understand this expression, but it appears that in the present year it led to Rupees 72 being brought to account out of Rupees 204 which would have otherwise been remittable on account of last Crops. The Collector will be pleased to furnish information on these points at an early date. In the mean time the Board observe that the amount of waste brought to account during the Fasly was not large, Rupees 1,329 having been charged

while



while Rupees 17,175 were remitted; but they are inclined to think that the amount chargeable in North Arcot is fixed at too high a proportion, and that it would be desirable to assimilate the rate to that now prevailing in Cuddapah - under any circumstances a discretion ought to be left to the Collector, with reference to the circumstances of the ryot, which it would appear from his recommendation in Para 35 to remit half the demand on account of 4 Cawnies left waste out of 20 by a ryot in indigent circumstances, that he does not consider himself at liberty to exercise, the waste being, as he remarks, less than 1/4.

Pr. 5-11-10

Para 20

9. It is not apparent how there should be a falling off of Rupees 14-2-4 in the Bilmuckah Ryoat in the three Villages referred to in this para if, as the Collector states, there was an extension of cultivation in them.

10. The explanation given by the Collector in reply to the Board's remarks in Para 12 of the Proceedings on the Settlement of last year is satisfactory. It is observed from the abstract Statement given in Para 26, that there is a great falling off in the Cowl Cultivation in the three Villages of Cummapully, Mannurdoor and Baulapilly. but no separate Statement of these lands has been furnished, nor are they included as usual in the Cowl account.

22d July 1852

No. 3.

11. The Cultivation both of Indigo and

Para 30 Para 32

and Sugar Cane shows a considerable in-
crease. In the former it amounted to 2,515 Cawnies
assessed at Rs. 8240 and in the latter to 358 Cawnies assessed at Rs. 1,611 in Circars
lands, but in the Enam land there is a decrease
of Cawnies 146 – No entry however has been
made in Statement II for the Calastry
Zemindary. The large falling off in the
Cultivation of Indigo in the Vellore Ta-
look in Fusly 1260 which was noticed
by the Board is explained to have been
owing to the inadvertent insertion of
1,075 Cawnies of Poonamby land as In-
digo Cultivation in Fusly 1258 and
on deducting this the Cultivation of the
present year appears to have again
risen to nearly the same amount
as in that year, and exceeds Fusly
1260 by 800 Cawnies.

Board's Proceedings 22nd July 1852 Para 15.

12 The following
is a comparative State-
ment of the Revenue
from all sources in
Fasleis 1260 and 1261 show-
ing a net increase in
favor of the latter to the
amount of Rupees 1,27,065.

Item



| Item. | Total Beriz: | Deduct the Beriz of the Villages transferred to the Chingleput District. | Remain | Fusly 1261. | Comparison. In: crease | Comparison. De: crease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 Rs | 3 Rs | 4 Rs | 5 Rs | 6 Rs | 7 Rs |
| Land Revenue | 1,40,033 | 21,417 | 1,18,616 | 1,750821 | 132205 | - |
| Peishcush | 444185 | " | 444185 | 444185 | - | - |
| Total | 2,84,219 | 21,417 | 2,62,802 | 2,195007 | 132205 | - |
| Moturpha | 38,663 | 154 | 38,509 | 39,359 | 850 | - |
| Ahkarry | 135,900 | 4,910 | 1,30,990 | 1,30,990 | " | " |
| Sundry Small Farms & Licenses | 6527 | 660 | 5867 | 6002 | 134 | - |
| Salt Revenue | 21,045 | 21,045 | " | " | " | " |
| Stamp Do. | 29,225 | - | 29,225 | 23,100 | - | 6,125 |
| Total | 2,31,362 | 26,769 | 2,04,592 | 1,99,452 | 985 | 6,125 |
| Grand Total | 2,315581 | 48,186 | 2,267,394 | 2,394460 | 133190 | 6,125 |

Net Increase 1,27,065

Para 34

13. Of a total demand on account
of Land Revenue and Peishcush amounting
to Rupees 2,95,007- there were collected within
the Fusly Rupees 1,92,425 leaving a balance of
Rupees 2,68,583 of which all but Rupees
7084 was realized by the end of November.
This is due on account of Igen Land
Revenue, the entire demand of Peishcush
having been collected. -

The

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

18 In Statement No. 13 being the account of Sewai Summah the 12th item under Extra Revenue Viz. fines on Revenue Servants should have been included under Land Revenue Sewai Summah as more properly belonging to that head. Instructions have recently been sent to the Collector to include "Interest" and "Commission for management" with Land Revenue Sewoy Summah instead of entering them separately.-

19. No report has yet been furnished on the Survey of the resumed Pollium of Mamendoor, and Jagier of Auelcondah - This subject was noticed in the Proceedings of the Board on last year's Settlement, and they will expect to receive it at an early date - They also observe from the Sub Collector's report (Para 21) that the Shrotrium Village of

Para 5

Para 23 Coll's report



Fort St. George 14th July 1853

of Semianellore of which half has lapsed to Government had been subjected to a Survey conducted by the taloot authorities, and the Turrum terrah fixed in this Office, but no information has been furnished to the Board as to the manner in which the lands were classified or as to the rates of assessment imposed on them - This also should form the subject of brief report at the same time.

20. The Board observe that the Collection and Charges have not been noticed in the present report in accordance with their order dated 6th May 1852 - This should not in future be omitted - It is gathered from Statement No. 9 that the Charges for the year under notice were Rupees 12-11-5 per Cent on the Land

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Land Revenue including Peishcush being 2a-8p more than in the year preceding:-

21. The Board have much satisfaction in observing the favorable mention made by Mr Brett of the Zeal and ability of his European Assistants, and of the services of his Head and Taileb Sheristadars.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract, be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of North Arcot.-

---



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Read the following letter.

No. 78.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(47)

Bd. No. 1548.

From the Collector of Salem---

Sir,

I have the honor to forward in the prescribed form, a Statement showing the circumstances under which I submit that it is necessary to make unimportant assignments of land, in lieu of salaries, to certain Village Officers, who, at present, are insufficiently remunerated, and beg to request that if unobjectionable, the Statement may be laid before the proper authority for eventual sanction.--

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, Ofsoor, 14th June, 1853--

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George 14th July 1863

Land Revenue including Rishcush being 2a - 8p more than in the year preceding:-

21. The Board have much satisfaction in observing the favorable mention made by Mr Brett of the Zeal and ability of his European Assistants, and of the services of his Head and Naib Sheristadars.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract, be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of North Arcot.-

---



No. 1 Statement shewing the proposed pay for Toties, [illegible]

| | | Revenue | | | Ordinary. | | | Ex |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | CRS | A | P | C |
| A | Fusly 1258 | | | | 457 | 5 | 8 | |
| | Fusly 1259 | | | | 654 | " | 8 | |
| | Fusly 1260 | | | | 744 | " | " | |
| | Fusly 1261 | | | | 496 | 12 | 7 | |
| | | | | | 621 | 13 | 2 | |
| B | Fusly 1258 | | | | 496 | " | 7 | |
| | Fusly 1259 | | | | 547 | 10 | 3 | |
| | Fusly 1260 | | | | 539 | 3 | 7 | |
| | Fusly 1261 | | | | | | | |

| | Extract from Home Department of Government of India. | | Office to which the proposition refers. | Nature of charge | Present Scale | | | Proposed s |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No. | Date | | | CRS | A | P | |
| A | Salem Collector's Establishment Revenue Department | | Salary of a Toty attached to the Taruff yatepatty consisting of 5 Villages Talook Tingriasttak. | | 4 | 8 | 1 | Proposed Salary of Toty belonging to Taruff. |
| B | Salem Collector's Establishment Revenue Department | | Salary paid to a Toty of Gontoor Village Talook Mullapady | | 2 | 3 | 3 | Proposed Salary of the Toty belonging to this Villag |

Salem

Fort St. George

epared as per Form No. 1 accompanying the Board's Circular

| Charges. | | | | | | | | Total. | | | | | | Net. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| traordinary. | | | Ordinary. | | | Extraordinary. | | Revenue. | | | Charges. | | | Surplus. | | | Deficit. |
| Rs | A | P | CRS | A | P | CRS | A | P | CRS | A | P | CRS | A | P | CRS | A | P |
| " | " | 4 | 40 | 12 | 8 | " | " | 4 | 757 | 5 | 8 | 40 | 12 | 8 | 716 | 9 | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | 4 | 36 | 10 | 8 | " | " | 4 | 654 | " | 8 | 36 | 10 | 8 | 617 | 6 | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | 4 | 40 | 4 | 3 | " | " | 4 | 744 | " | " | 40 | 4 | 8 | 703 | 11 | 9 | " | " | " |
| " | " | 4 | 42 | 6 | " | " | " | " | 796 | 12 | 7 | 42 | 6 | " | 754 | 6 | 7 | " | " | " |
| " | " | 4 | 27 | 1 | 2 | " | " | " | 621 | 13 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 594 | 12 | " | " | " | 4 |
| " | " | 4 | 22 | " | 9 | " | " | 4 | 496 | " | 7 | 22 | " | 9 | 463 | 15 | 10 | " | " | 4 |
| " | " | 4 | 24 | 1 | 9 | " | " | 4 | 547 | 10 | 3 | 24 | 1 | 9 | 523 | 8 | 6 | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 23 | 12 | 3 | " | " | " | 539 | 3 | 7 | | | | | | | | | |

Proposition.

| Scale. | Permanent | | | | Temporary. | | | | Contract or Extra = ordinary. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Increase per annum | | Decrease per annum | | Increase per Month Period | | Decrease per Month Period | | | |
| | CRS | A | P | CRS | A | P | CRS | A | P | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the | 12 | " | " | 7 | 7 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| this | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| y | 12 | " | " | 9 | 12 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| " | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| " | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

During the permanent Settlement made in Fasly 1204 this Taruff or sub division which gave the Ryots a moderate extent of the latter was sufficient for the Ryots paying it to allow a moderate extent of the latter was sufficient for the Ryots paying it to allow a moderate extent of the latter was sufficient for the Ryots paying it to allow

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

No. 315.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(47.48)

Bd's No. 1486.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation a letter from the Collector of Salem forwarding an application in the prescribed form for the increase of the wages allotted to three Cotties in his district by unimportant assignments of ayen waste land.—

14th July 1853.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Salem forwarding an application in the prescribed form for the increase of the wages allotted to three Cotties in his District by unimportant assignments of ayen waste land.—

2. The Collector states that formerly when the Beriz of the Taruff Gatepatty comprising 5 Villages, amounted to Rupees 1708-11-6. the Ryots paying it were enabled to allow a moderate extent of fees to the Villages Cotties, on which the latter subsisted. In later times, however, owing to the mismanagement of the Mootahdar and the unfavorable locality the Villages were deserted, so that when the Mootah came under Amany in Fusly 1229, the Beriz amounted to



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

to only Rupees 591. 2-4. since which it has increased to Rupees 742-14-6 The Ryots being hence unable to contribute the original amount of Merahs, the present receipts of the cotties are insufficient for their maintenance. The Collector therefore requests sanction for allotting 12 Rupees to two cotties at 6 Rupees each, or an increase to their aggregate pay of Rupees 7-7-11.—

3. The other case in which an increase of pay is required is that of the coty of the Goontoor Village, whose present allowance is Rupees 2-3-3. This amount was fixed in Fusly 1214 when the Beriz of the Village was Rupees 7-9-7 since that period, however its resources have improved and a revenue of Rupees 621-13-2 was realized in Fusly 1258. Some of the present cultivators being Poyakarry or non resident Ryots living at distances of from 2 to 5 Miles from the Village, the Collection of the Government dues from these men added to the performance of the required Police duties, presses heavily on the Coty. For these reasons the Collector recommends an increase of his

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

his wages to Rupees 12.

4. As the Board do not consider the remuneration proposed to be given in the above instances more than adequate to the duties required of the Poties, they beg to recommend that waste lands bearing an assessment of Rupees 17-4-8. may be assigned to those Officers in addition to their present holdings agreeably to the proposition submitted by the Collector.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th July, 1853.—

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

---

Read the following letter

No. 48

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(49)

Bde No. 1640.

From the Collector of South Arcot.—

Sir,

I have the honor to state that I do not forward the Ordinary Annual Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of Taccavy in the approaching fusly 1263, as it does not appear necessary to aid cultivation by such advances. A separate Statement will be forwarded hereafter showing the amount which is required as an advance to the Salt Manufacturers.—

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 24th June, 1853.—

/Signed/ C. Maltby
Collector.

(49.50)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded.—

---

Read.



Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Read the following letter

No. 61

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(51)

Bde No. 1780

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore.—

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose an Extract from the Retrenchment of the Civil Auditor of Fusly 1261 with an Explanation for the items therein objected to, and to request that you will obtain the sanction of Government for the same.—

Tanjore,—
Kodikurray,—
5th July, 1853.—

/Signed/ H. Forbes.
Acting Collector.

Extract

B.S.C.

8546
Bd. No. 1497
Proceedings thereon
(51.52)

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its Enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with the Board's Recommendation that under the explanation afforded by the Acting Collector, in reference to the Remarks of the Audit Office, the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the Charges particularized in the Extract, amounting in all to Rupees 17-1-0 / Seven and annas one.-

2. The Board observe that the Charges should have been included in the Statement of Actual Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1261.-

---

To,
Read the following letter
W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(53)
Bd. No. 993
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the Receipt of your letter of the 10th Ultimo on the Subject of Weights and Measures.

Reporting on the subject of weights and Measures referred to in the Board's Communication of

I regret much my inattention in not having replied to your Communication

of

8545
No. 1
Extract from the Revenue Consultation dated 14th July 1853.

Extract of the Audit of the Accounts of the Collector of Tinnevelly from 12th July 1851 to 11th July 1852 on account of Contingencies for Fusly 1261.-

| No. | Charges objected to. | Remarks of the Civil Auditor. | Explanation of the Collector. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | Medical Charges &c. &c. Amount debited in the Journal for June 1852 for Establishing Medicine Chests. | The Returns of the Previous Charges in Charge of the 1st Division attached to the Collector's Office have always been required by the Auditor General of Accounts that the Amounts of Expenditure should be verified by the Certificate of the Collector. | |
| 6 | Do. in the Ledger for 11th July 1852 for carrying Medicine Chests with the Collector on Circuit.- | | |

(Signed) H. Forbes
Acting Collector

8548 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 1 Revised Statement of Rice and new Grain Compared.

| Talaichs. | Name of Measure. | Inches Diameter. | Inches Depth. | Tolas Heaped Rice. | Tolas Heaped New Grain. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Conaud | Puddy | 4 | 6½ | 105 | 108 |
| Vittoocuttay | Do. | 4 | 6 | 108 | 111 |
| Moosery | Do. | 4 | 6 | 108 | 111 |
| Laulgoody | Do. | 4½ | 4½ | 105 | 106½ |
| Torriore | Half Do. | 3½ | 5¼ | 66¾ | 69¾ |
| Vodiarpolliem | Puddy | 5 | 7 | 146¼ | 150 |
| Arrealore | Do. | 4 | 7 | 130½ | 135 |
| Voliendapoorum | Half Do. | 3 | 7½ | 65¼ | 69½ |
| The result of Examination made of Madras Struck Measures | " | " | " | 116¼ | 118½ |

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector

Ordered

8552 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

B.d No. 1498.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the

(55.56)

foregoing letter with its

enclosure be submitted

for the orders of the

Right Honorable the

Governor in Council, with

reference to the papers

noted in the margin

To Government
By. 4th July 1849.
From Dr. 3rd instn 13th
August 1849.

The Board

recommend that the

Civil Auditor be

authorized

8552 No. 1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Collects of Canjeveram dated 12th July 1849 & 11th July 1851 on Account of Expenses for Feby 1849 and 12 Mos 1850/51.

Resolved by the Civil Auditor.

No. 1 Charges objected to.

23rd June 1849 Amount of Contingent Establishment for the Collectorate

The Covenant Assistant of Chingleput for June

15th Monthly Conveyance Hire of Tents

The Covenant Assistant No 1 Conveyance Hire

Ditto Ditto Ditto Ditto Ditto

The Covenant Assistant No 2 Conveyance Hire

The Native Establishment for the Collectorate

The Native Establishment

C. B. Thomas
Collector

Resolved

2 No. 2 Statement of Items disallowed

21 Amount charged in that account

Rs. 3rd Feby 1849. 533

True Extract
Signature

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

authorized to admit the

the charge of Rupees

1533 — 9 — 3 / Five hundred

and thirty three, annas

Nine and Pice three, being

the amount paid to Mrs

Ryan for certain

lands for the Public Garden

at Ootacamund.

To,



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 49

To

(57)

W. H. Bayley Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

B. No. 1792

From the Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a State-ment of the Proposals received for the rent of the Attary Farms in this District for the ensuing Fusly $\frac{1263}{1853/54}$.

2. It will be seen from the above Statement, that the aggregate amount of rent for Fusly 1263, is Rupees 92850 — — exceeding that Fusly 1262 - 91800 — of the Fusly 1262 by Rupees 1050 — — as indicated

" 1263 - 92850 —

Increase - 1050 — — in the Margin.

3 The preliminary arrangement for the amount of Deposits and Securities to be taken from the Renters, are in progress, and I request that the Board will be good enough to sanction the Proposals as early as practicable, to enable the parties to take charge of the Farms on the Commencement of the next Fusly Viz. 12th July 1853.

4 In consideration of the punctuality with which the rent of the Neilgherry Talook for the Current Fusly has

8555

Fort St. George 4th July 1853.

has been discharged, by the individuals now again Offering for rent and of their respectability, I request the Boards Sanction, to Continue the rent of that Tank for 3 years to the present proposers Viz. from July 1263 to 1265, as in a former case from July 1250 to 1258 (vide correspondence marginially noted,) and with reference to the Minutes of Consultation dated 12th August 1847. The parties request this arrangement, as saving them from the loss which ensues to them on an annual rent from their necessary preliminary outlay, in their Establishment and arrangements for a large and regular supply. Their representations are Correct, and I would beg to support their Petition

To the Board dated 7th September 1847
From D. S. October 1847,
Page 433 of the Board's C.O. Book.

Coimbatore, 6th July, 1853.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Statement

July 1853.-

ase for the Rent of the Adkarry Farms of the District of Cimbatore for

3 years.-

| nment. | Rent of the preceding July 1262. | Increase | Decrease | Average rents of the five preceding fusles viz.t 1258 to 1262. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 150 | 19,050 | 1,100 | | 17,224 | |
| 170 | 4,455 | 715 | | 4,172 | |
| 800 | 1,600 | 200 | | 4,347 | |
| 20 | 6,630 | 190 | | 5,624 | |
| 010 | 4,725 | 285 | | 3,731 | |
| 120 | 2,930 | 190 | | 2,506 | |
| 400 | 3,060 | 340 | | 2753 | 6 5 |
| 300 | 3,855 | 45 | | 3,275 | |
| 100 | 24,600 | | 4,500 | 19,360 | 3 2 Caused by the absence of Competition Consequently by being sustained by the renters of the Current Fusly. |
| 470 | 13905 | 3165 | 4,500 | 6299 | 2 9 7 |
| 230 | 1900 | 330 | | 1869 | |
| 40 | 1515 | 195 | | 1466 | |
| 20 | 4950 | 570 | | 4,632 | |
| 200 | 3175 | 725 | | 3146 | 3 2 |
| 360 | 11540 | 1820 | | 11113 | 3 2 |
| 220 | 2935 | 285 | | 2,383 | |
| 800 | 3,420 | 380 | | 3140 | |
| 020 | 6,355 | 665 | | 5823 | |
| 850 | 9,800 | 5500 | 4500 | 79628 | 12 9 |
| | | 1050 | | | |

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

8558

Page 52.

Fort St. George 4th July 1853.-

Having only reached this Office on the last day of the Fusly. The Collectors attention is called to paras 19 & 26 of the Standing orders of 13th July 1826 and he is requested in future to submit his Statements within such time as will enable the Board to issue finial instructions within the close of the Fusly.-

---

Read the following Letter

No. 73
1853.

To,

W. H. Bayley. Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

57

B. No. 1763

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I am sorry to say that the 4th Volume of Mr. Commissioner Graves's Report to the address of the Chief Secretary to Government dated 14th January 1822 extending from Paras 938 to 1130 inclusive, belonging to this Office, has been mislaid. It was in the hands of Mr. W. Robinson and I think must have been taken away by him in the Confusion of leaving Malabar for England, I shall therefore be obliged by your obtaining for me the

8557

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

B. No. 1491.

Proceedings thereon (57.58) The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the Offers for the Alkarry Farms of the District of Coimbatore for Fasly 1263 exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Pagodas 92,850 and authorize the Renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms on their making the necessary deposits and giving the required Security.

Co 14th July 1828. page 79.

2 They are not however prepared at present to sanction the arrangement proposed in para 4 of Mr. Thomas' Letter, as the rent does not appear to have been put up to auction with this object in view. The proposition would seem to be an after Consideration of the renters. It is also observed that the rent of the Neilgherry Taluk for Fasly 1263 is considerably below that of the preceding year. On further enquiry Mr. Thomas is of opinion that it is expedient to extend this Farm to 3 years, the subject should be brought under Consideration again, with explanation of the grounds on which the extension is recommended.

3. The Board must express their dissatisfaction at the late period at which the Offers for the Alkarry Farms are submitted for sanctioning the one under consideration

8559 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

the use of the Boards Copy of the said Volume which will be returned with Care as soon as a Copy of it Can be made in my Office.

Calicut, 2d July, 1853. } (Signed) H. Conolly Collector.

Bd No. 1502.

Proceedings thereon (59.60) The Board of Revenue resolve to forward to the Collector of Malabar the Sections from their Office Copy of Mr Commissioner Graves Report dated 11th January 1822 which Contain the paras referred to by him - Mr Conolly will be so good as to retain these when no longer required -

---

(61) Bd No. 1730

From the Acting Collector of Madras. Read Letter forwarding for sanction a Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by the late Officiating Assistant Collector during July 1861.

(61.62) Bd No. 1192.

Proceedings thereon The Board sanction the Extra Tent allowance drawn by Mr R. S. Elsy Assistant Collector of Madras, during his residence at Ennore for (12) Twelve days during July 1861.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil auditor for his information -

---

Read

8560 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Read the following Letters

No. 102.

To. B. Cunliffe Esqr. Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(63) Bd No. 1777

Sir.

From the Acting Collector of Madras - - - -

With reference to the orders of Government dated 27th June 1853, I have the honor to recommend for the Office of Sheristadar on this Establishment, in succession to Kasavah Naidoo, A Tervovengala Charlu, at present Shanadar of the Cudpah Talook in Guntoor. Of his fitness for the Office I feel satisfied, as I have had an opportunity of testing his qualifications in a great variety of ways during the last eleven years. He has been employed as Magistrate's writer, Magistrates manager and Tahsildar besides being frequently selected for Special duties the last of which was to assist Mr Newell on the demarcation of the Kistna boundaries wherein he acquitted himself to the perfect satisfaction of that Gentleman. He is a man of

8561

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

of good Capacity and of accurate and diligent habits of business with an Excellent knowledge of English.-

It is proper to mention that he does not speak Tamil, tho' I believe he Could acquire it in a short time.-

Should the Board object to his appointment which I offered to him Conditionally on their approval, I have to mention that I have received an application for the vacant post from Chatrapanni Row, now Sheristadar of Masulipatam. The Board are aware of my opinion of him. If the Consent of Mr. Lushington Can only be obtained for his removal I believe a more suitable individual Cannot be found and he would be specially useful to me in the proposed Survey.

Madras, 8th July, 1853. } Signed H. Stokes

Acting Collector.

Proceedings

8562

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

B.d No. 1493.

Proceedings thereon

63. 64

The Board would have been prepared to sanction the appointment of A. Tiruvengada Charloo to the vacant situation of Sheristadar in the Madras Cutcherry, but for his want of acquaintance with the Tamil language, a deficiency, which on a recent occasion has been held to be a disqualification.

2. As regards Chakrapany Row, the Board are not satisfied of his suitableness for the Office; or that it is desirable in the present state of affairs in Masulipatam that he should be taken away from the important Office to which he has been only lately appointed in that District. They therefore request Mr. Stokes will proceed to nominate some other person.

No. 109.

To

B. Cunliffe Esqr.

Sub Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

63

B.d No. 1834

From the Ag. Collr. of Madras.....

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Circular dated 15th November 1852 I have the honor to forward a Statement showing the prices of the chief kinds

8563 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

kinds of Grain in this District for Fuslies 1260. 1261. 1262. with an Estimate of the exctent of land shown with each.

Madras. - - -
15th July 1853.

/Signed/ H. Stokes.
Acting Collector. -

No. 1.
Fuslies 1260. 1261. 1262.

| No. | Grain | Rate per Garce. | Estimated content of land sown. | Price per Garce | Estimated content of land sown | Price per Garce | Estimated content of land sown | Price per Garce | Estimated content of land sown |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. A. P. | G.s. Gs. Fs. | Rs. A. P. | G.s. Gs. Fs. | Rs. A. P. | G.s. Gs. Fs. | Rs. A. P. | G.s. Gs. Fs. |
| 1 | Paddy | 19 14 " | 819 1 238 | 82 1 6 | 957 2 469 | 68 | 1 | 2 | 1401 |
| 2 | Ragi | 99 15 3 | 47 14 2322 | 94 2 9 | 60 17 2122 | 84 | 1 | 2 | 1281 |
| 3 | Varagu | 110 3 4 | " 6 . 132 | 1 10 1 | 12 " 105 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 820 |
| 4 | Indian Corn | 87 3 11 | 2 " " 92 | " " " | " " 89 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 12 " |

/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

Madras. - - -
13th July 1853. - -

8564 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Order thereon.

65.66

Ordered to lie over. -

Read again letter from the Acting Register of Sudder Udalut dated 20th June and entered in Consultation 7th July 1853.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

No. 316.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

67

B/ No. 1495.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Sir,

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 1st April 1853, directing the Board in communication with the Judges of the Sudder Udalut, and the Superintendent of Stamps, to report the restrictions under which it will be necessary to supply stamp papers to the Government Pleader and the mode in which the Expenditure should be accounted for by that Officer and adjusted in the Public accounts, I am instructed to forward for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the correspondence with these authorities & the Collector of Madras, as noted in the Margin. -

2 It will be observed from the Acting Register's

(with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 1st April 1853 correspondence from the Superintendent of Stamps Acting Register of Sudder Udalut and Acting Collector of Madras respecting the supply of Stamp papers to the Government Pleader and the mode in which the expenditure should be accounted for by that Officer and adjusted in the Public accounts; and recommending) that the suggestion of the Acting Register of Sudr Udalut may receive the sanction of Government.

2d. Ex. of Stamps 21st July 1853.

From Supt. of Stamps 4th in Cons: 30th May 1853.
From Madras 25th April in Cons. 30th May 1853.
To Sudder Udalut 30th May 1853.
From Dr. — " — 20th June in Cons 7th July 1853.

8565 ; Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Registers letter that the Judges of Sudder Udalut concur with the Board in opinion that Mr. Norton should be instructed to apply for a stock of papers from the Collector of Madras and account to him Monthly for the expendeture, who will adjust the same in his accounts as heretofore, and that Mr. Norton's Students be confined to Stamps required for Government purposes. The Board recommended that this arrangement may receive the sanction of Government.

3 With respect to private Suitors it appears unnecessary to locate a Vender at the Sudder Court, as the distance between it and the Collectors Cutcherry is not great, and parties requiring Stamps might be required to apply for the same at the Cutcherry.

Revd. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
14th July 1853.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Collector.

68

Read the following

Bills

The

8566 " Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Bd. No. 1785

The Honorable Company ——— Dr.

Charges incurred for Packing and sending a Remittance of Copper Half and Quarter annas and single pie pieces amounting to Company's Rupees 6,000 in 49 Boxes consigned to the Commissioner at Kurnool in Charge of Lieutenant Arthur Sage Commanding a Detachment of the 52d Regiment N. I. as per letter from the Accountant General dated 21st April and despatched on the 31st May 1853.

49 Boxes not charged being in Store.

Paid hire for 10 Carts for conveying the above Treasure from Madras to Kurnool Viz.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8 Carts at 13 Rupees per cart is 6 Rs. | 104 | " | " | |
| 2 Do. at 14 Do. | 28 | " | " | |
| Rs. | 132 | " | " | |
| Ramayapatam Gunnies for Covering, Ropes for lashing & sewing Coolly Vos. at 14 As. per Box is. | 42 | 14 | " | |
| 3 Extra Gollahs employed to attend the Packing at 3 As. 9 Rce each. | " | 11 | 3 | |
| Paid the Officer Commanding the Detachment for lighting lamps Vos. with Treasure on the Road. | 10 | " | " | |
| Company's Rupees | 185 | 14 | 3 | |

Company's Rupees one hundred and Eighty five, Annas Nine, and pice three.

Fort St. George,
General Treasury,
30th June 1853.

/ Signed / S. D. Birch
Sub Treasurer

The

8567 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

The Honorable Company ——— Dr.

Charges incurred for Packing and sending a Remittance of New Silver Double Annas and Copper half and Quarter Annas and single pie pieces amounting to Company's Rupees 7000 in 41 Boxes consigned to the Collector of Bellary in charge of Lieutenant Arthur Sage Commanding a Detachment of the 52d Regement N. I. as per letter from the Accountant General dated 21st April and dispatched on the 31st May 1853.

41 Boxes not Charged being in Store.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Paid hire of 8 Carts for conveying the above Treasure from Madras to Bellary at 14 Rupees per Cart is. ——— Cr. | 112 | " | " |
| Ramayapatam Gunnies for Covering Ropes for lashing and sewing Coolly &c. at 14 Annas per Boxe is ——— | 35 | 14 | " |
| 3 Extra Gollahs employed to attend the Packing at 3 As. 9 Pice each ——— | " | 11 | 3 |
| Paid the Officer Commanding the Detachment for lighting lamps &c. with Treasure on the Road. ——— | 10 | " | " |
| Company's Rupees ——— | 158 | 9 | 3 |

Company's Rupees One hundred and fifty Eight Annas Nine & pice three. -

Fort St. George
General Treasury
30th June 1853

/ Signed / S. D. Burch
Sub Treasurer

8568 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

The Honorable Company ——— Dr.

Charges incurred for Packing and sending a Remittance of New Silver Quarter Rupees and Double Annas amounting to Company's Rupees 50000 in 6 Boxes consigned to the Collector of Malabar shipped on Board the Honorable Company's Steamer "Hugh Lindsay" A Newman Esqr. Master as per letter from the Accountant General dated 24th February and dispatched on the 2nd March 1853.

6 Boxes not charged being in store.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ramayapatam Gunnies for covering Ropes for lashing and sewing Coolly &c. at 14 As. per Boxe is. ——— | 5 | 4 | " |
| Company's Rupees ——— | 5 | 4 | " |

Company's Rupees Five and Annas four.

Fort St. George
General Treasury
30th June 1853

/ Signed / S. D. Burch
Sub Treasurer

Resolved

Fort St. George 14th July 1853

B. of R. 1509

Proceedings thereon (68-69)

Resolved that the foregoing bills be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for the above charges amounting in all as per Bills to Rupees /349-6-5/ Three hundred and forty Nine, Annas six and pice five.

---

Read the following letter.

(70)

B. of R. 1695

From A. Lacellas Esqr. Collacamund ..... } Sir.

Forwarding for consideration certain documents relative to a Stream of water at Collacamund in dispute between himself and Major Minchin.

Seven as follows
a Government Grant with Plan attached.
a Plan by the Survey Enginier.
Three prevate Notes.
Two letters from the Collector of Coimbatore.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

I have the honor to forward certain Documents relating to a stream of water, the possession of which is claimed by me, but disputed by Major Minchen, and which the Collector has ordered to be divided between us - and to request that you will do me the favor to lay the same with this Statement before the Board of Revenue, and request their favorable consideration of the same.

In 1834 Mr. I Ryan turned a small stream of about 2 square inches volume to a Cottage of his in Collacamund, the land in which the stream took its rise was at the time waste, but was subsequently



Fort St. George 14th July 1853

*Document No. 1 subsequently granted to him by Government and sold by him reserving the water, to Captain Douglas* the Plan attached to the Government grant, dated in 1842 shows the channel and stream then flowing out of Captain Douglas's Property to what was then Mr. Ryans Property now mine. A Note dated 7th February 1845* from Captain Hall the tenant of a house close to and immediately under the stream shows that at that time Mr. Ryan was possessed of that stream but then allowed it to run down to this Gentlemans house as a favor during his occupancy, and I would particularly call the attention of the Honorable Board to this document as nothing can be clearer than that at that time, Mr. Ryans right and title was undisputed. Major Minchins note to Mr. Ryans administrator Mr. Pletcher dated October 1849 shows that he had contemplated purchasing the land &c. with a view of getting possession of the stream, and alludes to a compromise of a claim which he had first set up two Months before, and which is particularly explained in the administrators note to me dated May 1850. This claim was quite set at rest by the Collector ordering the Survey Engineer in 1850 to settle the affair by entering the channel and stream in the Plan of Mr. Ryan's property which was accordingly done, and the channel is accordingly distinctly marked in the Plan as also in the Plan attached to the Grant prepared nearly ten years before. I became possessed of the property in 1850 and from that time have had undisputed possession of the water & channel until January 1853 when Major Minchin purchased Captain Douglas' property, cut a deep ditch across the Channel, and so far as

*No. 2

*No. 3

*No. 4

No. 5

as he was able, erased all marks of it, and refuses to allow the stream to flow in the channel by which it has been conveyed for nearly 20 years to my property. I appealed to the Collector, but after the lapse of five Months and after I have been thrice informed by him that he had deputed first the Joint Magistrate of Polacamund, secondly the Head Assistant Collector to settle the dispute, both of whom gave decisions in my favor and the latter of whose decision was assented to by both Major Minchen and myself.. I am now informed that the stream is to be divided between us, and it is to appeal against a settlement so unjust, unsatisfactory and impracticable, that I now address the Board and would beg with all submission to give the following reasons for so doing. 1st First the Settlement is unjust because, if Major Minchens purchase of Captain Douglas's property gives him right to interfere with rights of road, water &c. which have existed for 15 years. He has a right to not only half but the whole of the water and if he has not a right on the account of proprietorship of the land he has none whatsoever.- Secondly it is unjust because at this moment there is enough water for nearly the whole Cantonment within 80 yards of his house which would be brought to his door for 50 Rupees, whilst I cannot obtain water (without committing a trespass) within ¼ of a Mile. Thirdly it is unsatisfactory because such a settlement involves a great sacrifice of principle and induces a painful suspicion of the integrity and honesty of intention of the Administrators and preservers of the law, and lastly it is wholly impracticable, because experience has



has shewn that half of 2 Inches of water would never find its way for 500 yards along the bare side of a gravelly hill whatever it might do when pursuing its natural course in a deep and shady ravine in which it receives other small springs and the moisture of the adjoining hills, under these circumstances I most respectfully request that the Board will be so good as to order me the protection of the law in the recovery and possession of my property, leaving any other party who may feel disposed to impugn my right to do so in the proper Court and in the proper mode viz by Civil suit in the Court of the District..

In conclusion, I would beg most respectfully to call the particular attention of the Board to these facts; first that whilst Major Minchen denies the reservation of the water by Mr. Ryan when he sold the land to Captain Douglas. Major Minchen fails to produce the Deed of Sale which was, and is stated, now to be, amongst his Title Deeds, and secondly that when the Boundary ditch between the two properties was cut by Captain Douglas in 1840.. 3 feet on either side of the Channel was left untouched, thereby evincing Captain Douglas's acknowledgement of Mr. Ryan's right to the channel and water.-

I am induced to trouble the Board as the decision of the Collector in this case will, if confirmed form a very dangerous precident, for, if present purchase of land property annihilates all previous rights of road, water &c. in or through the land so purchased, endless disputes must arise, and the valuable time both of the

Collector

at Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Collector and the Board will be fruitlessly occupied in endeavouring to settle them. With all submission and respect..

Colacamund; June 6th 1853

/Signed/ A.R.H. Lacellas

P.S. Since writing the above, the Collectors letter of the 14th June / herewith forwarded/ has been received. With all submission I would wish to bring to the attention of the Board this and the letter dated 26th May & if called upon by the Board to do so, I will easily prove that the statement of the Collector that "neither the Joint Magistrate nor the Assistant Collector were deputed to settle the matter" is incorrect Command appears to be superfluous. for the case which could meet with no better support than those two letters may without injustice be deemed any thing but strong..

June 20th 1853.

/Signed/ A.R.H. Lacellas

An Inspection of Captain Cuthbertson's plan / Document No. 5/ will materially assist the Board in understanding the locality by reference to the notes made by myself for explanation.

70.71

Bd. No. 1489.

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter together with its original accompaniments be forwarded to the Collector of Coimbatore for report Mr. Thomas will be so good as to forward a Copy of his Head Assistants Decision if it was made in writing - and state his reasons for modifying it.

T.A.

Resolved



Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

No. 324.

72

Bd. No. 1570.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

A

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Comparative Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments from 1st January to 31st March 1853 sanctioned by the Board under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843.-

Fort St. George Gazette of 1843 Page 608

B 2.

Accompanying is also submitted a Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Offices at the Presidency for the same period.-

+ Stamps Office - Sea Custom Depot. Reporter of External Commerce -

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th July, 1853

/Signed/ B. Cuncliffe Sub Secretary

Statement

8575

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

A

Statement Shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the Districts under the Madras Presidency from 1st January to 31st March 1853. and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

| | Actual Charges incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1852. | | | Actual Charges incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1853. | | | Comparison. Increase. | | | Decrease. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rupees | a | p. | Rupees | a | p. | Rupees | a | p. | Rupees | a | p. |
| Ganjam | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Vizagapatam | 33 | " | 4 | 65 | 14 | 11 | 32 | 14 | 7 | " | " | " |
| Rajahmundry | 18 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 8 | 9 | " | " | " | 5 | 12 | 6 |
| Masulipatam | 9 | 6 | 10 | 36 | 4 | 9 | 28 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " |
| Guntoor | 13 | 2 | 2 | 42 | 14 | 3 | 29 | 8 | 1 | " | " | " |
| Chingleput | 12 | 9 | 11 | 30 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 7 | 9 | " | " | " |
| Salem | 24 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 9 | " | " | " | 15 | 2 | 11 |
| Madura | 26 | " | " | 74 | " | 5 | 48 | " | 5 | " | " | " |
| Nellore | 8 | " | 11 | 17 | 11 | " | 9 | 10 | 1 | " | " | " |
| Northern Division of Arcot | 14 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 13 | " | " | " | " | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Southern Division of Arcot | 29 | 14 | " | 17 | 15 | " | " | " | " | 11 | 15 | " |
| Tanjore | 9 | 7 | 5 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 9 | " | " | " |
| Trichinopoly | 2 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 1 | " | 3 |
| Tinnevelly | 17 | 11 | 3 | 45 | 5 | 4 | 27 | 10 | 1 | " | " | " |
| Bellary | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Cuddapah | 3 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 11 | " | " | " | " |
| Coimbatore | 7 | 6 | 6 | 41 | 14 | " | 34 | 7 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Canara | 75 | 13 | 5 | 68 | 1 | 3 | " | " | " | 7 | 12 | 2 |
| Malabar | 54 | 13 | 11 | 59 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 6 | " | " | " | " |
| Madras | 42 | 2 | 6 | 65 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 3 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Kurnool | 3 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 8 | " | " | " | " | 1 | 14 | 8 |
| Total | 406 | 3 | 11 | 616 | 13 | 4 | 265 | 7 | 5 | 54 | 4 | " |

54 4 " Dr. Decrease
210 9 5 Net Increase

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub-Secretary

Statement

8577 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 325.

(73)

Bd. No. 1511

To the Chief Secy to Government.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

In obedience to the instructions conveyed in the Resolutions of Government under date the 29th May 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Comparative Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Offices at the Presidency named in the Margin from 1st January to 31st March 1853, sanctioned by the Board under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843—

Accountant Generals Office
Sub Treasurer
Sauig's Bank
Civil Auditor

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th July 1853

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Statement

8577 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Statement Showing the Contingent Charges incurred in the Undermentioned Offices from 1st January to 31st March 1853, and Sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843 viz.

Published at the Fort St. George Gazette etc.—

| Offices | Actual Charges Admitted | Rupees | a/c | Rupees | a/c | Rupees | a/c |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Stamps Office | Actual Charges incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1853 | 58 | 7 | 142 | | | |
| Sea Customs Depart. | " " " | 130 | 7 | 85 | | | |
| Accountant Generals Office | " " " | 6 | " | 3 | | | |
| Total | | 194 | 14 | 210 | | | |

Add Amount of Establishment

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Stamps Office | | 84 | 5 | 64 | 13 | | |
| Sea Customs Depart. | | 54 | 13 | Dft. Do. | | | |
| Add Amount of Establishment | | 19 | 8 | Dft. Do. | | | |
| Total | | 274 | 10 | 210 | | | |

Actual Charges Admitted
incurred from 1st April to 30th June 1853
1st July 1853 Sanctioned by the Board under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843
31st March 1853 Published in the Fort St. George Gazette etc.

Secretary's Office
Fort St. George
14th July 1853

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government.
Sir,

8548 Fort St. George 14th July 1853

Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred on the undermentioned Offices from 1st January to 31st March 1853 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the Orders of Government dated 23rd July 1853 published in the Fort St. George Gazette

| Offices. | Pension Rs. | Pension As. | Pension Ps. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Accountant General's Office | 14 | 11 | " | Articles obtained on Indent from the Commissariat and Ordnance Departments and not entered in the Store Ledger Value not being shewn |
| Civil Auditor | 1 | 12 | 8 | " " " |
| Sudder Examiner | " | 11 | " | " " " |
| Revenue Board | " | " | " | " " " |
| Civil Auditor | " | " | " | 4 10 " |
| Total | 15 | 12 | 8 | 4 10 " |

| Offices. | Actual Charges incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1853. | | | Estimate for the Quarter ending 30th June 1853. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rupees | a. | p. | Rupees | a. | p. |
| Accountant General's Office | 15 | 6 | 8 | 54 | 1 | 9 |
| Civil Auditor | 112 | 12 | 10 | 114 | 9 | 6 |
| Sudder Examiner | 13 | 13 | 3 | 13 | 14 | 10 |
| Revenue Board | 34 | 15 | 8 | 30 | 5 | 8 |
| Total | 174 | 13 | 5 | 211 | 5 | 9 |

8579 Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

No. 317.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government.

74

B. No. 1496

To the Chief Secretary to Government —

Sir,

In accordance with the orders of Government, conveyed in Endorsement on Petition 1162 of 1853. I have the honor to report the circumstances under which the Board sanctioned the dismissal of Kriparam Lalah by the Acting Collector as communicated in his letter 2d April 1853.—

Reporting with reference to the orders of Government conveyed in Endorsement on Petition No. 1162 of 1853. the circumstances under which the Board sanctioned the dismissal of Kriparam Lalah Quit Rent amildar.

Sent Cons. 25th April 1853.

2. Kriparam Lalah first held a situation in the Accountant General's Office from which he was removed on a charge of appropriating paper, was tried in the Supreme Court for the offence, and acquitted.—

3. He was then (1844) entertained in the Madras Cutcherry on the permission of Government for his re-employment, and appointed to the situation, Assistant Registar, and subsequently to the posts Marginally noted, each of which however he held but a short time, and was dismissed in April 1853.—

Tasildy Amildar
Quit Rent Do.
Acting Cutcherry Superintendent.

4. In August 1852, on an investigation held by the assistant Collector (report on which accompanies) it was proved that 28 Rs.

From Collector 28th August in Cons. 13th September 1852.—

levied

Fort St. George 11th July 1853.

levied in lieu from a Toddy Shop Keeper of St. Thomé, had been withheld from account from the 5th of February to the 16th March. This circumstance was not brought to the notice of the Collector except by a Communication from the Police Authorities.—

5. On an enquiry being instituted the Conucopoly who Collected the Money declared that he had delayed Carrying it to account upon the order of the Petitioner but this declaration was unsupported, was denied by the Petitioner and the Conucopoly was discharged. The Board however Considered the Proceedings of the Petitioner as open to Suspicion that they desired the Collector to keep a watch over his future Conduct.—

Proceedings 13th September 1852—

6 On the 5th November, Petitioner was suspended on a Charge of having sold for 3 Rs 00 Mercals of Rayee of the value of 23 Rupees this property having been distrained for arrears of Quit Rent.—

From Acting Collector 2d April 1853.

7. The Acting Collector, whose report is enclosed, was of opinion that this Charge was not established, but another accusation having been immediately preferred against Petitioner of having on the 24th of September for the Consideration of Rupees 6-2, Returned without authority



Fort St. George 11th July 1853.

authority, (property) valued at 47 Rupees distrained on the 8th July. This transaction was in the opinion of the Acting Collector clearly established, and on this fact, Coupled with the suspicion attaching to Petitioner on a former occasion Mr. Stokes dismissed him from Office and the Board in a review of his proceedings would not disturb them.—

8. They may add that Petitioner's Conduct as Quit Rent Amildar, has lately come before them in a very suspicious light, and as exhibiting great neglect of duty, in a Case reported by the Acting Collector, of 22 Cawnies of land being held by one Arnooga Moodely in excess of his Quit Rent Bill. Petitioner having been already dismissed further Proceedings against him were unnecessary.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th July, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cumliffe
Sub Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

75
Bd. No. 1194.

To the Collector of Nellore.

To The Collector of Nellore

Sir,

The subject of the renewal of unencumbered Enams held by Village servants having again come under the Consideration of the Board

Board, I am directed to inform you with reference to my letter of the 9th June 1853 that the Enams held by Pagodas and dancing girls only need be excluded from the Statements returned for remission, while those held by Barbers, Calendar Brahmins, &c. may be included if you think proper, stating your reasons for so doing.—

2. The Circular order of the 2d August 1852 declares the Enams of Village Artisans to fall under the head of "Hereditary" Village Offices in the Revenue Department, and with reference to this Enactment, the Board think that it may be proper to extend the same Consideration towards them as towards the Holdings of the strictly called Village Revenue and Police Officers—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th July 1853 ———

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub. Secretary

76 From the Coll. of Masulipatam.— Read Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption for the Official year 1853 (76-77)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

78 From the Collector of Trichinopoly— Read detailed List of Revenue and Magisterial Establishments including Sea Customs Department as they stood on the 30th April 1853. (78-79)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded—

80 From the Collector of Bellary— Read Abstract Statement shewing



shewing the Number of Persons charged Convicted acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge under Regulation IX of 1822 from 1st January to 30th June 1853.— (E. 81)

Order thereon— Ordered to lie over, until the Receipt of the Returns from the Districts when an abstract of the whole, will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.—

Read the undermentioned Lists.—

82 From the Collector of Bellary— List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1853.—

83 From the Collector of Trichinopoly— List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1853.—

85 From the Collector of Tinnevelly— List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1853.—

86 From the Collector of Tinnevelly— List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1853.—

87 Order on the above mentioned Lists.— Ordered to be recorded.

Received the undermentioned Mins.

88 Mis. No. 763 — Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

87 From the Collector of Nellore.— Stating that no Revenue defaulters were Confined or Released during the Quarter ending 30th June 1853.—

From the Head Assistant Collr. in Charge of Chingleput— Stating that no persons were suspended

Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

90 Mr. No. 765. Suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.—

From the Collector of Ganjam. Acknowledging the receipt of 26 Blank printed Statements of Demand Collection and Balance.—

91 Mr. No. 764.

From the Collector of Nellore. Acknowledging the receipt of 3000 blank forms of Salt Rowannahs.—

92 Mr. No. 764

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 at his Ports up to 30th June 1853.—

93 Mr. No. 783.

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Requesting to be furnished with 32 Copies of the Printed Forms of Demand Collection and Balance Statement.—

93.94

Order thereon Ordered to be supplied accordingly

Comp. C. A. Hill.

H Goodingham

H Maine



Fort St. George July 1853.

Diary No. 40 Sent the following letter—

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

1

Bd. No. 1866.

From the Collector of Bellary

Sir,

With reference to the Circular Orders of the Board of Revenue under dated the 16th January 1834 and 14th April 1853 I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Board of Revenue that I yesterday evening delivered over charge to the Sub Collector Mr. Daniell whose receipt for the balance of Cash, Stamp Papers, Court and other deposits in my Honzoor Treasury, are herewith forwarded.

See Fort St. George Gazette Page 666 dated 6th July 1853.

In conformity with the leave granted to me I have the honor to inform you that I quit the station this day.

Bellary Collectors Office 9th July 1853

Signed C. Pelly Collector

Received

8586

# Fort St.t George 21st July 1853.

No. 1 Received from C. Pelly Esq.re Collector of Bellary in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees 11,00,777-14- being the balance of Cash up to this date according to the Accounts of the Treasury.

| Species. | Coins. | No. of Coins. | Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins. | Amount. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | | | CRs as | CRs as | CRs as |
| | Company's Rupees of 1835/40. | 10,10,518 | 100 " " | 10,10,518 " " | |
| | Ditto ½ Do. | 21517 | 50 " " | 10758 8 " | |
| | Ditto ¼ Do. | 141523 | 25 " " | 35380 12 " | |
| | Ditto Double Annas | 64905 | 12 8 " | 8113 2 " | |
| | Company's Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835 | 17228 | 100 " " | 17228 " " | |
| | Do. ½ Do. | 4382 | 50 " " | 2191 " " | |
| | Do. ¼ Do. | 2458 | 25 " " | 614 8 " | |
| | Do. Double Annas | 5068 | 12 8 " | 633 8 " | |
| Silver. | Ditto Single annas | 5901 | 6 4 " | 368 13 " | |
| | Old Company's Rupees | 4 | 100 " " | 4 " " | |
| | Surat Rupees | 13819 | 100 " " | 13819 " " | |
| | Ditto ½ Ditto | 1899 | 50 " " | 949 8 " | |
| | Ditto ¼ Ditto | 328 | 25 " " | 82 " " | |
| | Furrukabad Rupees | 6 | 100 " " | 6 " " | |
| | Half Pagoda Pieces | 4 | 175 " " | 7 " " | |
| | Quarter Do. Do. | 11 | 87 8 " | 9 10 " | |
| | | | | | 11,00,835 " |
| | New Half annas | " | " " " | " " " | |
| | Ditto Quarter Do. | " | " " " | " " " | |
| | Ditto One pie pieces | 876 | " 8 4 | 4 9 " | |
| Copper. | Europe 6 pie Do. | 960 | 3 2 " | 30 " " | |
| | Do. 3 pie Do. | 3840 | 1 9 " | 60 " " | |
| | | | | | 94 9 " |
| | | | Total Company's Rupees | | 11,00,777 14 " |

Eleven Lacs, Seven hundred and Seventy Seven, and Annas Fourteen

Bellary Collector's Office, 8.th July, 1853.

Signed D Daniell.
Sub Collector.

Received

8587 Fort St. George 1st July 1853.

No. 2. Received from C Pelly Esqr. Collector of Bellary the undermentioned Stamp Papers being the balance up to this date according to the accounts of the Stamp Darogah.

| Sizes No. | Description of Papers | Roll. | Value. Rs | a |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 4 Annas Country Papers | 2993 | 748 | 4 |
| | 8 Do. Do. | 465 | 232 | 8 |
| | 1 Rupee Do. | 625 | 625 | " |
| | 2 Rs. Do. | 481 | 962 | " |
| 2 | 4 Do. Do. | 151 | 604 | " |
| | 8 Do. Do. | 433 | 3464 | " |
| Money | 16 Do. Do. | 108 | 1728 | " |
| | 32 Do. Do. | 134 | 4288 | " |
| | 50 Do. Do. | 172 | 8600 | " |
| | 100 Do. Do. | 2 | 200 | " |
| | 150 Do. Do. | 3 | 450 | " |
| | 350 Do. Do. | 2 | 700 | " |
| Cuttack Decree Papers | 8 Annas Do. | 400 | 200 | " |
| | 1 Rupee Do. | 648 | 648 | " |
| 2 | 2 Do. Do. | 450 | 900 | " |
| | 1 Manfee Rowanahs Do. | 10600 | " | " |
| | Total | 17667 | 24349 | 12 |

Twenty Four Thousand Three hundred and Forty Nine Rupees, and Annas twelve.

Bellary Collectors Office, 8th July, 1853. / Signed D. Daniell Sub Collector

8588

# Fort St. George the 1st July 1853

No. 3. Received from C. Pelly Esqre Collector of Bellary in the undermentioned Cash Bonds Jewels &c. &c. being Deposits in the Hoogoor Treasury.

| Species. | Coins. | No. of Coins. | Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins. | Amount. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Silver | Amount of Deposits made by the Zillah Court of Bellary | " | " | 4000 " " | |
| | Total Company's Rupees | " | " | | 4000 " " |

Ratzeenamah Amount

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Silver and Copper | Amount received from the Subordinate Court of Bellary being the Sale proceeds of the effects of a deceased Pensioned Gunner lodged Separately | " | " | 65 12 8 | |
| | Batta to be paid to a Goomastah of Sub Collectors Cutcherry for April 1853 | " | " | 2 13 " | |
| | Salaries of Pensioned Sepoys received from a Military Pay Office in charge of Travellers Bungalows | " | " | 119 " " | |
| | Total Company's Rupees | | | | 187 9 8 |

## Jewels Deposited by Ab Karry Renters

| Weight | Names of Jewels. | Value. |
|---|---|---|
| Pr 17 10/16 | 1 Kadadora or Gold Zone | 282 " " |
| 14 6/16 | 1 Chundrahárum or Necklace | 215 " " |
| 6 | 1 Kuttanee or Ditto | 80 4 " |
| 3 3/4 | 1 Pair of Pautty or hand ornaments | 50 2 6 |
| 28/8 | 1 Gold Cummurputty or Zone worne by women | 201 12 " |
| | Total Rupees | 1029 12 6 |

## Government Promissary Notes Deposited by the Ab Karry Contractor M. Abraham

| | No. of the Bond | Amount | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2709 | 5000 | " " |
| 2 | 31374 | 2000 | " " |
| 3 | 3341 | 5000 | " " |
| 4 | 35671 | 4000 | " " |
| 5 | 9665 | 5000 | " " |
| 6 | 51363 | 500 | " " |
| Total C R | | 21500 | " " |

8590 | Fort St. George — July 1853

No. 39.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

2

B. No. 1865.

From the Sub Coll.r of Bellary. ——

Sir,

I have the honor to — enclose the Triplicate of my Receipt to given to C. Pelly Esq.re Collector of — Bellary for the balance of Cash, Stamp Papers, Court, and other Deposits, remaining in the Treasury and delivered over to my Charge by Mr. Pelly this day. —

Bellary, Collector's Office, 8th July 1853.

/ Signed / L. D. Daniell
Sub Collector. —

Received

8590 Fort St. George July 1853

Letter Documents

2 Vouchers on the above subject.
2 Bonds of Sale of Mr. Maitland's Bungalow & Land
on the Hill Top. Mr. Shanlin's of Kudrad Esq.
1 Auction Papers on Sale of Mr. Shanlin's
1 Document of Sale written by Mr. Pelly
Bellary.

2/

3 Bills of Sale of Mr. Mellon's House & Furniture
1 Sale Papers of Mr. Mellon
1 Court Bonds &c
1 Receipt granted to the Honorable Company

3/

5 Adamis Bungalow Sold by Mr. Mellon & Furniture
2 Plans
2 Notes of Mr. Adams to Mr. Mellon
1 Indenture or Sale of House Papers written
in Kannada & completed by Mr. Shanlin

5/

Bellary Collector's Office, 8 July 1853.

8591 Fort St. George — July 1853

No. 1 Received from C. Pelly Esqr. Collector of Bellary in the undermentioned coins the Sum of Company's Rupees 1100777 – 11 – being the balance of Cash up to this date according to the Accounts of the Treasury –

| Species | Coins | Number of Coins | Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | | | C Rs. a ℘ | C Rs. a ℘ | C Rs. a ℘ |
| Silver | C. Rupees of 1835/40 | 1010578 | 100 – – | 1010578 – – | |
| | D: ___ 1/2 ___ D: | 21517 | 50 – – | 10758 8 – | |
| | D: ___ 1/4 ___ D: | 141523 | 25 – – | 35380 12 – | |
| | D: Double Annas | 64905 | 12 8 – | 8113 2 – | |
| | C. Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835 | 17728 | 100 – – | 17728 – – | |
| | D: ___ 1/2 ___ D: | 1382 | 50 – – | 2191 – – | |
| | D: ___ 1/4 ___ D: | 2458 | 25 – – | 614 8 – | |
| | D: Double Annas | 5068 | 12 8 – | 633 8 – | |
| | D: Single Annas | 5901 | 6 4 – | 368 13 – | |
| | Old Company's Rupees | 4 | 100 – – | 4 – – | |
| | Surat Rupees | 13819 | 100 – – | 13819 – – | |
| | D: ___ 1/2 ___ D: | 1899 | 50 – – | 949 8 – | |
| | D: ___ 1/4 ___ D: | 328 | 25 – – | 82 – – | |
| | Furkabad Rupees | 6 | 100 – – | 6 – – | |
| | Half Pagoda Pieces | 4 | 175 – – | 7 – – | |
| | Quarter D: D: | 11 | 87 8 – | 9 10 – | 1100683 5 – |
| Copper | New Half Annas | " | " " " | " " " | |
| | D: Quarter Annas | " | " " " | " " " | |
| | D: One pie pieces | 876 | – 84 | 4 9 – | |
| | Europe 6 pie D: | 960 | 3 2 – | 30 – – | |
| | D: 3 pie D: | 3840 | 1 9 – | 60 – – | 94 9 – |
| | Total Company's Rupees | | | | 1100777 11 – |

Eleven Lacs, Seven Hundred Seventy Seven & Annas Fourteen –

Bellary Collector's Office, 8th July 1853

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Sub Collector

8592 Fort St. George — July 1853.

No. 2 Received from C. Tolly Esqre Collector of Bellary the undermentioned Stamp Papers being the balance up to this date according to the accounts of the Stamp Darogah—

| Size No: | | Description of Paper | Roll | Value | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. | Rupees | 4. Annas Country Paper— | 2993 | 748 | 4 |
| | | 8. ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 463 | 232 | 8 |
| | | 1 Rupee ___ D: ___ | 625 | 625 | " |
| | | 2 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 481 | 962 | " |
| | | 4 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 151 | 604 | " |
| | | 8 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 433 | 3464 | " |
| | | 16 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 108 | 1728 | " |
| | Moneys | 32 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 134 | 4288 | " |
| | | 50 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 172 | 8600 | " |
| | | 100 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 2 | 200 | " |
| | | 150 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 3 | 450 | " |
| | | 350 ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 2 | 700 | " |
| | Europe Decree Papers | 8 Annas Decree Paper ___ | 400 | 200 | " |
| | | 1. Rupee ___ D: ___ | 648 | 648 | " |
| 2. | | 2. ___ D: ___ D: ___ | 450 | 900 | " |
| | | Manfee Romanahs ___ | 10,600 | " | " |
| | | Total | 17667 | 24349 | 12 |

Twenty four thousand three hundred and forty Nine and Annas Twelve—

Bellary, Collectors Office,
8th July 1853.

(Signed) L. D. Daniell
Sub Collector.

8593 Fort St. George — July 1853.

No. 3 Received from C. Selby Esqr. Collector of Bellary in the undermentioned Cash, Bonds, Jewels &c. being deposits in the Hoozoor Treasury.—

| Species | Coins | Number of Coins | Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| Silver | Amount of deposit made by the Zillah Court of Bellary | . | " - - | 4000 - - | |
| | | | Total 6 Rupees | | 4000 - - |

Razeenamah Amount

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Silver and Copper | Amount received from the Subordinate Court Bellary being the sale proceeds of the effects of a deceased Pensioned Gunner lodged separately Batta to be paid to a Goomastah of Sub Collectors Cutcherry for April 1853 | . | " - - | 65 12 8 | |
| | | " | " - - | 2 13 - | |
| | Salaries of Pensioned Sepoys received from Military Pay Office in charge of Travellers Bungalows to be sent to the Tahsildy | . | " " - | 119 - - | |
| | | | | | 187 9 8 |
| | | | Total Company's Rupees | | 187 9 8 |

Jewels deposited by Abkarry Renters—

| Weight | Names of Jewels | Value |
|---|---|---|
| 17 10/16 | 1 Kadadora or Gold Bone | 282 " " |
| 11 6/16 | 1 Chundraharum or Necklace | 215 10 - |
| 6 | 1 Kuttanee or Do. | 80 4 - |
| 3 3/4 | 1 Pair of Pautly or Hand ornament | 50 2 6 |
| 28 1/8 | 1 Gold Cummerpuly or Bone worn by women | 401 12 - |
| | Total 6. Rupees | 1079 12 6 |

Government Promissory notes deposited by the Abkarry Contractor Mr. Abraham—

| | No. of the Bond | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2,709 | 5000 " - |
| 2 | 31,374 | 1,000 " - |
| 3 | 3,341 | 5000 " - |
| 4 | 35671 | 4000 - - |
| 5 | 9,665 | 5000 |
| 6 | 51,363 | 500 " - |
| Total 6 Nos. | | 21500 " - |

Other Documents.

2. Vouchers on the above Subject
2. Bonds of Sale of Mr. Sebastian's Bungalow situated at E Bellary executed on Stamp Paper by Mr. Ross on behalf of Mr. Mahon Vizt.
1 Authority Paper given by Mr. Mahon Vizt.
1 Authority Paper given by Mr. Ross to Mr. Mahon
Documents of Sale written by Mr. Ross to the Honorable Company through the Collector of Bellary.
2. Bills of Sale of Mr. Mellor's House at Bellary and 2 Papers connected with it Vizt.
1 Sale Paper of Mr. Mellor
1 Land Tax Bill
1 Receipt granted to the Honorable Company through the Collector of Bellary by Mr. Mellor—
3. Bungalow sold by Mr. Mellor to Government for Travellers Paper connected with it Vizt.
2 Bonds
2 Sales of Mr. Abraham to Mr. Mellor
1 Indenture or Sale of House paper written and which was executed by Mr. Abraham in favor of Mr. Mellor

12

Bellary, Collector's Office
8th July 1853.

(1-3)

Signed L. D. Dowell
Sub Collector.
ordered that Sebastian's letter be sent to the Collector of Malabar for information & report
H. Ross Sec. to Bd

---

8595 Fort St. George — July 1853.

18th July 53. Received the following letter

No. 76.
1853.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

(4)
Bd. No. 1860
From the Collr. of Malabar...

Sir,

The Monsoon up to the present date has been unexampled for at least the last 15 years—seventy five inches fell in June in the Tellicherry division.

The fall to the Southward and Centre of Malabar tho' less has been very great

I was out two days ago in the Shernad Talook and found the Country in a more inundated condition than I or any one I know have ever seen it.

I shall have I fear to report considerable damage to roads, bridges and crops. I have already heard of the following.—

Two Bridges of 15 & 12 feet span at Codally in the Perambaddy Trunk road No. 4.—

Two Bridges on the Coottisaddy Ghat Road.—

The Chemmully dam at Tambercherry.

A Bridge on the road from Tiroovangady to Malapooram.—

The Poorapparamba bridge on the road from Calicut towards Paulghaut.—

(Two or three places on the above road seriously damaged.)

The

The Karkadacum Bridge on the road from Munjerry to Angunddiporam.

The Cerungutodoo Bridge near Palghat on Trunk road No. 5.

(Signed) H. V Conolly
Collector.

No. 320

(4-5)

Bd. No. 1499.

Proceedings thereon

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council and the Collector requested to report further, as occasions may require, upon the state of the Season.

---



At a Meeting Present.

Monday ... 18th July 1853 } I. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.
and
T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

---

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member.

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following letter

(6)

Bd. No. 1790.

From the Secy. to Government } forwarding copy of Dr. Shaughnessy's letter to the Secretary to the Honorable East India Company respecting the construction of the Electric Telegraph lines.

To,
J. C. Melville Esqre.
Secretary to the Honorable East India Company.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 30th September in which I am desired to furnish the Court with any suggestions I may have to make with regard to

Electric Telegraph
Distribution of materials and other preparations in India.

the distribution of the articles at the respective Presidencies for the construction of the Electric Telegraph lines, and also with reference to the preparations which, in other respects should be made for the commencement of operations.

In reply I have the honor to offer the following suggestions.

With

1st With respect to the distribution of the materials to be sent from England.-

The Honorable Court having entrusted to their Governments in India, the selection of the precise lines through which the Telegraph is to be led, I am unable to specify the Depots to which the Stores should be forwarded, but as it is of the utmost importance that Field operations should commence simultaneously on the 1st October 1853, in as many different localities as practicable, the distribution of the Stores intended for, say 20 Depots, should commence at latest by the 1st to 15th June, when the state of the rivers will permit water carriage to be had recourse to in many localities.-

On arrival at the Presidencies, the Stores should be received in the Arsenals, their receipt brought to account and reissue noted in separate Books.-

8. Coils of Galvanised Rod. Iron weight one Ton
16 Screwed Piles 8 cut. in all
16 Oak Brackets with Screws.
16 Insulating Caps with Screws.
40 Earthenware Insulators
16 Tal Teak or Iron Wood posts (if required see para)
Gutta Percha coated Wire as per Indent.-

For Bengal and Agra, I would recommend that the Governments be requested to call upon Major Bazely the Principal Commissary of Ordnance at Fort William in conjunction with Captain Shellier Deputy Surveyor General to report on the Depots to which the stores should be forwarded in quantities per mile as stated per Margin.

Experienced



Experienced Non-Commissioned Officers should proceed in charge of the Boats or Huckeries with strict orders to protect the Stores from contact of water or exposure to the sun.-

The Stores in the Arsenals and Depots should be placed under cover, sheltered from rain or sun, off the ground, in charge of a trustworthy person in the Department of Public Works.-

The most stringent orders should be issued to every one entrusted with the custody of the Stores that no portion thereof should be issued for any other purpose than that of the Telegraph and that only on the written authority of the Chief or Deputy Superintendents.-

The like measures may be suggested for adoption at Bombay and Madras.-

Together with the above steps and in order to carry out effectually the great object of commencing operations simultaneously in several places in October 1853, I would respectfully recommend.-

That the Governments of Bengal Bombay and Madras issue immediately a Circular to the Executive Officers Department Public Works in every circle along the lines to be followed calling for an immediate Report founded on actual special inspection on the state of the roads, the number, breadth and depth of unbridged rivers and watercourses in the dry and rainy seasons, the total length

of

of streets, market places or other crowded thoroughfares to be traversed, stating whether supplies of Teak, Saul, Iron wood or other durable and straight Timber be procurable in posts of 20 to 24 feet long and five to 7 inches in diameter, specifying the usual prices, the same regarding Bamboos, further stating whether Native Carpenters, Smiths, Builder or Grainers be available and whether working parties can depend on the local bazars for supplies of food. Lastly affording information as to the habits of the population and the necessity or otherwise for the working parties being provided with an Escort.

It would further be very desirable for the Executive Officers to return the names of one or more Subordinate and Non-Commissioned Officers or persons not in the Service, of activity, Zeal and integrety who may be employed in the construction of the lines, receiving an allowance of 10 (ten) Rupees for every mile finished and approved of by the Chief or Deputy Superintendent of Telegraphs after careful inspection.

The Reports from the Executive Officers should be ordered for Returns in all March in Duplicate copies to be sent to Calcutta and Bombay available for the Telegraph Officers entrusted with the direction of the works.

Supplies, Tools, Native Workmen and



and two or more trained European Artificers, now under instruction at Warley, will be provided for each circle of operations and a printed set of instructions with plates supplied giving exact practical directions for the road and field work. Each party can with ease erect a mile at least in each working day. This information should be communicated to the Executive Officers addressed. Further I attach great importance to the most peremptory orders being issued by the Honorable Court that the printed instructions are to be followed with literal precision as no deviation whatever can be allowed without endangering the success of the whole line of communications.

If the above preparations be now sanctioned much will be done thereby to secure the effective commencement of field and road work by the 1st of October 1853.

Certainly not sooner with reference to the season, their health and the danger of their falling into bad habits and forgetting what they have been taught if sent out sooner and detained in idleness at the Presidencies.

The Sappers and other Artificers now in training at Warley should be dispatched so as to arrive in all June in the number allotted to the several Presidencies. The Chief Superintendent, should proceed to India overland so as to arrive at

at the same time.

2 Materials to be prepared in India and other arrangements there.

As Iron Rods, Wire, Screw Piles, Tools, Brackets Caps and Insulators are despatched from England nothing remains to be provided in India but 16 posts per mile of stout and durable timber.

The best of all timber for the purpose is the Iron wood of Arracan which according to Captain Nuthall's * letter herewith forwarded is procurable in that Country at eight annas say one shilling each per post, 16 feet long by 5 inches square. Our posts should be 20 to 24 feet long. The trees of that size unsquared would be preferable to as well as cheaper than the sawn timber.

* Executive Officer in Arracan 20th October 1851.

I respectfully and earnestly recommend that orders be sent to Arracan to purchase as many such posts as can be procured up to 50,000 (fifty thousand) and that advantage be taken of return Steamers and Transports to convey them to Calcutta, Madras and Bombay for gradual distribution along the line, which can in the first place be erected provisionally on stout Bamboos, Jamun posts, or other cheap light timber locally available.

Instructions should be further issued to Mr. Siddons in charge of the present Telegraph lines at Calcutta to prepare 200 sets of the little Telegraphs and reversers now in use in



his Offices to be issued to the Stations along the lines as fast as these can be opened.

By advices received by the last Mail I have learned on the highest authority that the Most Noble the Governor General has sanctioned a School of Signallers which is now open in Calcutta and which will supply our Offices in that Presidency as fast as hands are wanted. Similar Schools can be opened in June or July in Agra, Lahore, Bombay and Madras, so that we can depend safely on a full staff of Signallers and Clerks being provided in the Country itself long before the whole lines are brought into correspondence.

With regard to the local superintendence and management of the lines, one experienced acclimatized and Scientific Officer should be employed in Calcutta, Agra, Lahore, Bombay and Madras as Deputy Superintendent under the orders or control of the Chief Superintendent whose duties would be chiefly of a migratory character and his usual Head Quarters at Agra, the heart of the system.

The construction of the Telegraph lines and the arrangement of the Officers is so simple a matter that it calls for no Engineering or technical skill. Good sense, activity and local knowledge are the essential requisites. Moreover the printed instructions

to

to be issued will render the direction of the road operations the plainest and easiest duty imaginable.

For Signallers again we want only boys to work the present systems and there is every probability that inventions will soon be found available which will enable any Clerk or Writer without any special training whatever to discharge the duties of Telegraph correspondences.

Under these circumstances I am strongly of opinion that it is entirely unnecessary to send from England a Staff of Superintendents or Signallers. There is no subject on which more popular mystification prevails than that of the Telegraph, its construction and working. It is represented as a difficult matter in order to keep its management in particular hands. The Services and the Uncovenanted Employees in India can supply at a day's notice any number of highly respectable Zealous and able men who by the aid of the printed instructions referred to could construct and organize our lines with immeasurably more efficiency and celerity, than the unacclimatized and locally unexperienced gentlemen, who are now in London seeking to be sent out to India on the strength of their having been employed for some time on the worst constructed lines and worst worked Offices of any Country in Europe or America.

I



am only disposed to expect from the above remarks the Office of a confidential Assistant or Clerk to the Superintendent* who could cooperate in his heavy Office duties and correspondence and in the event of the illness of that Officer or casualty to him, might protempore supply his place, and who might be thoroughly depended upon to carry out the views and measures sanctioned by the Honorable Court. As an Assistant of this kind, I should desire a Gentleman of proved discretion and ability of mature years and considerable scientific and some literary attainments. The Telegraph he could learn in a Month. For such an Assistant I avow at once that I should prefer some personal friend on whom from my own knowledge I could place thorough dependence. With such aid and trusting altogether to India for the Staff of Deputies, Executive Officers and Signallers, I should commence field work on the 1st. of October 1853 with the most assured conviction of the lines being opened by myself or my successor between all the Presidencies or Lahore & Simla for public correspondence on or before Christmas day of 1855.

(Signed) W. B. O. Shaughnessy

Ordered to be recorded.

Read.

6-7

London 10th. October 1852.

Order thereon.

*Like the Secretary to the Superintendent of Marine" The designation of the Office is a matter of no difference and a highly qualified person may be found for about 400 Rs a month.

8606.

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

8

B. No. 679.

From the Secy. to Govt. Reviewing the Settlement Report of Coimbatore for Fusly 1261.

Read the following Extracts.

No. 709

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 28th June 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 25th April 1853 No. 185)

Both the South West and North East monsoons were scanty and the season of Fusly 1261 in Coimbatore was but indifferent either for dry, wet, or excepting Tobacco garden cultivation. The district was not free from dis=ease either as regards men or Cattle.

2. The price of Paddy did not differ materially from that of the preceding year. The prices of Oil seeds, gingely and lamp Oil have fallen off considerably. those of the various descriptions of dry grain shew a slight improvement. The demand for Cotton has been dull and its price has in consequence, declined. The Collector has exhibited the commutation rates which were taken as the basis of the assessment in Fusly $\frac{1212}{1802/3}$ in juxtaposition with the current prices. The Comparison shows a large falling off in the prices of Raghee and Cumbu and a very marked increase

H.

in

8607.

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

horse gram and both Kinds of Oil Seeds Paddy and Cholum have undergone little variation.

3. The Settlement was formed in the manner following.

| | | Increase or Decrease in the Fusly 1260. |
|---|---|---|
| Dittum | 2507326 | + 6685 |
| Deduct Cabooleat Bunjer | 31800 | + 20312 |
| Remainder | 2475545 | – 13626 |
| Additional Assessment on Fusul and Teeroa Fasly | 2271 | + 60 |
| Total Gross Settlement | 2477816 | – 13136 |
| Deduction on cultivated lands | 329542 | + 11422 |
| Remainder | 2148274 | – 24989 |
| Particulars. | | |
| Actual Cultivation | 2062623 | – 27477 |
| Waste brought to account | 49791 | + 3382 |
| Tax on pasture lands | 35860 | – 893 |
| | 2148274 | – 24989 |
| Deduct 1/5 and 1/8 th remission | 914 | – 1629 |
| | 2147360 | – 23359 |
| Fixed Soornadayem Quit rent Vey. | 146304 | – 4818 |
| Poliput Peishcush | 28019 | |
| Grand Total | 2320683 | – 28177 |

The

Report Para 4

The aggregate net Settlement Rupees 23,20,683 though below that of the year preceding to the extent of Rupees 28177 in consequence of the season is yet in advance of the average revenue of the past 6 years in the sum of Rupees 14,717. The falling off in Fusly 1261 compared with Fusly 1260 has been mainly in the Nunjah lands Rupees 22,938.

Statement in Para 9 of Collectors Report.

4. The deductions on account of Cabolati Bunjer and remissions for Shaie or withered Crops exceed the sums similarly allowed in Fusly 1260 by Rupees 20,312 and Rupees 3,466 respectively. The increase in both cases has proceeded from the deficient supply of rain. The Government however observe that the waste brought to account was Rupees 3,387 more than that charged for in the previous year.

5. The expression used in the accounts "Insolvency of the Ryots" is as explained by the Board para 7 erroneous and liable to mislead some more appropriate term should be substituted.

6. It is stated in para 4 of the Board's Proceedings that five Talooks were settled by the Assistant Collector but it would appear from Mr. Thomas' letter of 28th March that the Settlement was in fact made by the Head Sheristadar and the Puttahs only.



only distributed by his Assistant the Acting Collector. Mr. Underwood not having visited the Talooks at all for the purpose of the Jummabundy. This neglect of his chief duty on Mr. Underwood's part should not have passed unnoticed.

7. Of the entire demand 23,20,683 Rupees 22,90,790 were collected within the Fusly and the balance on the 31st January last stood at only Rupees 649-4-2.

8. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council under the explanation afforded by the Board grants authority for writing off in the public accounts the irrecoverable balances of Seroye Jummah Amounting to Rupees 17,328-10-7 Seventeen thousand three hundred and twenty eight, Annas ten and Pice Seven.

9. The Settlement Collection within the Fusly and after it and balance of the land revenue and that derived from the extra Sources for the year under report compared with that which preceded it were.

| | | Demand | Collection within the Fusly and Subsequently | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1260 | Land Revenue | 2348861 | 2344358 | 4503 |
| | Extra Sources | 184457 | 184004 | 453 |
| | Total | 2533318 | 2528361 | 4956 |
| 1261 | Land Revenue | 2320684 | 2320034 | 649 |
| | Extra Sources | 184297 | 184297 | " |
| | Total | 2504981 | 2504332 | 649 |
| Decrease | | 28337 | 24029 | " |

Shewing

showing a decrease in the total Settlement and Collections of Rupees 28337 and Rupees 24,029 — respectively -

10. The Charges of Collection and management for the land and Extra Sources are given in Para 29 of the Board's Proceedings and enclosure No. 16 of the Collector's report at 24,2632 being Rupees 7919 below those of Fusly 1260 and 9-5 per Cent on the gross Collections - The manner however in which the Charges are mixed up in that enclosure prevents any just idea being formed of them. It is observed from the Board's review of the Settlement of Canara for Fusly 1261 that they propose furnishing Collectors with a form by which the Charges and per centage will be more distinctly exhibited -

Proc. 21st April/53 Para 63 -

11. In Para 16 of his report Mr Thomas deprecates the application to Kunjah lands of the rule requiring the relinquishment of good and bad lands in equal portions observing that this rule has in Coimbatore hitherto been applied to dry and garden lands with reference to the lower rate of Assessment on such lands but the case of wet lands is different the Amount of demands in this description of Soil is high and cannot bear this stringent rule".

The



The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will not enter into this question / indeed he considers that such topics should be discussed separately, and are out of place in a Settlement report / further than by observing that the rule as explained by the Board (Proceedings Paras 32-33) would seem meant only to prevent a ryot relinquishing one fields of his holding but retaining the others all around it - so that the abandoned lands would not be accessible or if accessible would not be an eligible holding to another ryot from the circumstances of its situation - To the rule as thus explained there would seem to be no objection but should it with this modification be found to operate injuriously the Collector may recur to the subject in a separate address - It is observed that a deteriorated fields or Garden or a field altogether unprofitable may be given up without restriction -

12. In the concluding Paras of their Proceedings the Board advert to the question of the Assessment on double Crop Kunjah lands applied to Dhruppye or Orchard cultivation which has been brought under their consideration by the Collector in

Paras.

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

paras 48 and 49 of this report according to the usage which has obtained since 1841, the levy of 2d. Crop or of one third of the full two Crop assessment on double Crop land thus appropriated is foregone for the period of ten years by which time the trees are supposed to have come into bearing. It is then collected in addition to the full double Crop assessment until the amount deferred has been made good that is for the term of ten years. After which time the double Crop assessment is alone charged. The Collector considers that the existing practice does not hold out sufficient inducement to the Cultivator of Dhrupyre, and proposes that one-third of the assessment be remitted absolutely for the space of fifteen years in place of being only temporarily suspended for ten. The Board review the discussions which have taken place on this subject from the assumption of the District and they arrive at the conclusion that "as the rule which fixes the assessment on the land itself without reference to the Crop is being generally enforced, and as the assessment where it is excessive is in course of reduction it does not occur to them that a temporary remission is called for to

stimulate



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

"stimulate the cultivation of Dhrupyre, they "would leave it entirely to the Ryot to cultivate "Rice or Dhrupyre as suits his interests the "fixed assessment of the land being collected "without interruption" that is as the Government understand it they would levy the full double Crop leer vah from the first and discontinue the present custom of allowing a partial postponement of the demand.

13. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that as the arrangement respecting Dhrupyre cultivation would seem to have been a deal letter since it's introduction in 1841, it appears desirable that it should be reconsidered there being no doubt that this species of cultivation adds very much to the wealth and stability of those who engage in it, and it may be added to the improvement and beauty of the face of the Country. It does not appear to him that the present rule is wrong in principle. A Ryot occupies land capable of yielding two Crops of rice and pays accordingly the double Crop assessment. He wishes for his own profit to convert it in to an orchard which he may do at his own pleasure if he chooses to pay the proper assessment adjusted to the capability of the land under rice cultivation. That

assessment

Assessment is say 15 Rupees. He cannot
afford to pay this for want of Capital while
his trees are unproductive. The Circar
then comes to his aid and remits 1/3 of the
Assessment for 10 years on the condition of
his paying after that when the trees will
be bearing the full Assessment of 15 Rupees
and repaying gradually at the rate of
5 Rupees per annum the Amount of the
ten years remission which will be liqui-
-dated in ten years. There is no reason
to doubt that when the trees become pro-
-ductive the Ryot will be perfectly well
able to pay the annual instalment of
5 Rupees in addition to the land tax from
the additional profit accruing to him by
this employment of his land. After 10 years
the whole profit will be reaped by him
without deduction.

14. On the principle of the Assess-
-ment by which the land is taxed accord-
-ing to its capability for the growing of
rice, with certain means of irrigation and
on the principle of the present administra-
-tion of the land revenue which leaves it to
the Ryots to do what they please with their
land in order to its improvement so long
as they pay the fixed Assessment and

gives



gives up to them the entire profit of the
improvement there ought to be no
abatement while the improvement is in
progress. The only reason for allowing a
temporary abatement is the Ryots want
of Capital to bear the expence of planting
the land with the annual outgoing of
the full tax, while he has no income
from the land in its transition state.
The obligation to pay even 2/3ds of the
full Assessment while there is no produce
to meet the charge may possible be more
burdensome to a Ryot of small means
than the paying of the full Assessment
with 1/3d Additional to redeem the ten
years remission after the trees have
come in to bearing. Very probably he could
then better pay the full Assessment with
1/2 Additional to redeem a remission
of 1/2 during the 10 years. These are points
which it would be well to investigate.
While as at present advised the Govern-
-ment would maintain the principle of re-
-covering the remission they would con-
-sistently with that principle, make
the whole arrangement as easy as possible
to the Ryot, and adopt it with that
view as nearly as possible to his
means as they will be improved

when

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

when he comes to reap his expected profits. Thus they would not object to allow a largere remission say one half during the unproductive 10 years and to permit the Ryot to hold at the full assessment for 6 years afterwards laying on the redemption charge at the expiration of 15 years when the trees will have come to full bearing. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to consider the subject further in reference to the foregoing remarks, and bring it again under the notice of Government after consulting the Collector.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/ A true Extract /
Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Bd No 1528.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 25th April 1853.

8.9

2. Mr. Thomas's attention is called to para 7 and he will revert to the subject discussed in para 12 & 14 in a separate letter in reference to the present observations of Government.

Extract



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

No 714.

Bd No 1634

From the Secy to Government

10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 29th June, 1853.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue / Recd enter 2 June 1853 No 238 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off the wastage of Salt in Fasly 1261 Amounting to Maunds 22,755 - 1/16 - Seers -

2. He observes that in Vizagapatam the wastage Amounted to 13,092 - Maunds out of 125,719 - Maunds or 10½ per Cent while in the adjoining Districts of Ganjam, Rajahmundry and Masulipatam, the wastage was trifling -

| | Quantity on which wastage occurred. | Amount of Wastage. | Per Centage. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ganjam | 419,576 | 12409 | 2 15/16 |
| Rajahmundry | 294,824 | 2753 | 15/16 |
| Masulipatam | 219,162 | 5377 | 2 3/16 |

The attention of the Commissioner of the Northern Circars will be called to this subject

/ True Extract /
Signed T. Pycroft
Secy to Govt

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.

Circular

(12-11)

Bd. No. 1543.

To the Collectors of Ganjam & the same to Northern Circars

In Cons. 29th July 1853

To,

The Collector of Ganjam.

Sir,

With reference to your Statement of Salt Wastage for Fusly 1261 dated 10th September 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that Government have been pleased to grant authority for writing off the wastage of Salt which accrued in your District in that Fusly, amounting to Indian Maunds 12,409 & Seers 2.

| Districts | Date | Quantity | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vezagapatam | From Coll.r 13 in Cons.s 25th Nov.r /52 | 130.92 | 39 |
| Rajahmundry | " " 28 April in Cons.s 26 May /53 | 27.53 | 20 |
| Masulipatam | " " 9th in Cons.s 17th Feby /53 | 83.77 | 20 |
| Guntoor | " 8th in Cons.s 22nd Nov.r /52 | 188.24 | 16 |
| Chingleput | " 15th in Cons.s 23rd Sept.r /52 | 12.711 | 6 |
| Madura | " 12th July in Cons.s 11th Oct.r /52 | 11.046 | " |
| Nellore | " 5th in Cons.s 15th November /52 | 387.49 | 7 5/8 |
| South Arcot | " 11th Oct.r in Cons.s 29th Nov.r /52 | 14.443 | 8 |
| Tanjore | " 13th Dec.r /52 in Cons.s 10th May /53 | 1.443 | 36 |
| Pennerelly | " 4th in Cons.s 18th October /52 | 1.918 | 32 |
| Canara | " 24th Jany in Cons.s 11th April /53 | 72.091 | 37 7/16 |
| Malabar | " 14th in Cons.s 25th Dec.r /52 | 339 | " |
| Madras | " 15th in Cons.s 17th Feb.y /53 | 17.552 | 20 |
| | Total | 227.58 | 1/16 |

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 18th July, 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley, Secretary.

Read.



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Read the following resolution—

(12)

Bd. No. 1807.

From the Secretary to Government

No. 732.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 1st July, 1853.—

(From Board 16th June 1853.)

Resolved that the Acting Collector of Madura be authorized to disburse, subject to audit, the arrears of yeomiah due to Shaik Dawood from 1st November 1851 to 31st March 1853 amounting to Rupees (45—11—0) Forty five and annas eleven, and to convey to him his allowance from the date of last payment.—

By Order

(Signed) T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

(12-13)

Bd. No. 1513

Order thereon—

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Madura with reference to his Yeomiah Statement dated 18th November 1853.—

---

(14)

Bd. No. 1809.

From the Secretary to Government

Read the following resolution—

No. 786.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 9th July, 1853.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the actual Charges incurred in Fusly 1261 in the Revenue and Magisterial Department of the District of Canara amounting in the aggregate

aggregate to Rupees (47700-1-6-) Forty Seven thousand and Seven hundred Anna one and pice Six.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

14·15
Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded the usual printed letters will be sent to the Collector.

---

16
B. No. 1894.
From the Secretary to Government

Read the following resolution-

No. 828.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 16th July, 1853.-

(From Board 4th July 1853.)

Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Canara to make advances to the extent of Rupees (20,000) Twenty thousand for the service of Government in the Forest Department, submitting thro the Board a Statement of the charges that may be incurred on this account-

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

16·17
B. No. 1517.
Order thereon-

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 16th June 1853.-

---

Read



Read the following Official Memorandum.-

No. 38.

Official Memorandum.-

18
B. No. 1883.
From the Commissioner Northern Circars.

The Commissioner has the honor to forward a Statement of the Demand Collection and Balance on account of Sundry small farms and Licenses for 1260 Fusly called for in the Official Memorandum of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 21st Ultimo.-

Commissioner's Office, Vizagapatam, 17th May, 1853.

(Signed) Walter Elliot.
Commissioner.

Detailed

18th July 1853.

in the 5 Districts of the Northern Circars—

| Demand. | Gunter- Collections upto 31st March 1853. | Balance. | Demand. | Total. Collections upto 31st March 1853. | Balance. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P |
| 8782 " " | 8782 " " | " " " | 8782 " " | 8782 " " | " " " |
| 160 4 " | 160 4 " | " " " | 160 4 " | 160 4 " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | 18680 8 5 | 18595 10 11 | 84 13 6 |
| 1927 14 3 | 1927 14 3 | " " " | 1927 14 3 | 1927 14 3 | " " " |
| 232 8 " | 232 8 " | " " " | 232 8 " | 232 8 " | " " " |
| 11102 10 3 | 11102 10 3 | " " " | 29783 2 8 | 29698 5 2 | 84 13 6 |

In the Commissioners Proceedings of 16th December 1852 it was pointed out that the tax on Shayarost for 1260 Fusly amounting to Rupees 7041 which were included in the Land Revenue should be brought under the head of Small Farms as well as 2 other items Viz't. Coast Jungle rent Rupees 1011 and the tax on Soap Nuts Rupees 1813.—

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Commissioner

Ordered

8620

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

aggregate to Rupees (47700-1-6-) Forty Seven thousand and Seven hundred Anna one and pice Six-

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

14.15
Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded the usual printed letters will be sent to the Collectors-

---

16
B. No. 1894.

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 16th July, 1853.-

(From Board 4th July 1853.)

Read the following resolution-

No. 828.

Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Canara to make advances to the exctent of Rupees (20,000) Twenty thousand for the service of Government in the Forest Department, submitting thro the Board a Statement of the charges that may be incurred on this account-

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

16.17
B. No. 1517.
Order thereon-

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 16th June 1853.-

---

Read

8622

No. 1. Detailed Statement shewing the Demand Collection and C

| Number | Items. | Ganjam. Demand - | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | Balance. | Demand. | Vizag Col up to Mo |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 Rs. A. P. | 3 Rs. A. P. | 4 Rs. A. P. | 5 Rs. A. P. | R |
| 1 | Tax on Hill Palmyra trees | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " |
| 2 | Rent of Tamarind trees | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " |
| 3 | Fishery | 4263 1 " | 4,204 13 " | 58 4 " | " " " | " |
| 4 | Roofsoom of Dursee and Cocherlacottah | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " |
| 5 | Rent of Ferries | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " |
| | Total | 4263 1 " | 4,204 13 " | 58 4 " | " " " | " |

+ The nature of this item is not known and the Acting Collector has been required to explain it in his next Report.

To this should be added the sum of Rupees 59 at which the Chayaroot rent was given for 1260 Fusly but which has been improperly included in the Land Revenue.-

In also the 1260 fusly Rupees in the La

Vezagapata

## Fort St. George

Balance on account of Sundry small Farms and Licenses for 1260 fusly

Masulipatam.

| Collections to 31st March 1853. | | Rajahmundry. Demand. | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | Demand. | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 | | 10 | | 11 | |
| Rs | A P | Rs | A P | | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | | Rs | A P |
| " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " |
| " | " " | 10,110 | 3 10 | | 10,110 | 3 10 | " | " " | | 4307 | 3 7 |
| " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " | " | " " | | " | " " |
| " | " " | 10,110 | 3 10 | | 10,110 | 3 10 | " | " " | | 4307 | 3 7 |

| Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | | Balance. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | | | 13 | | |
| Rs | A | P | Rs | A | P |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4280 | 10 | 1 | 26 | 9 | 6 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4280 | 10 | 1 | 26 | 9 | 6 |

this District
yaroot rent for
amounting to 6
s been included
d Revenue -

In the Commissioners Proceedings of 15th November 1853 the Collector was requested to transfer to the head of Sundry small Farms the following items which were found to be improperly included in the Land Revenue of 1259 fusly and which were accordingly brought to account under that head in 1261 fusly -

| | Rs |
|---|---|
| Tax on Toomatrees | 891. |
| Do on Soap Nuts | 1157- |
| Do on Spontaneous Chayaroot | 219- |
| Do on Jungle products | 116- |
| Do on Date trees | 19- |
| Sale proceeds of long Grass | 82- |
| | 2478 |

Commissioners Office 17th May 1853.-

18th July 1853.

in the 5 Districts of the Northern Circars -

Guntoor -

| Demand. | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | | 15 | | 16 | |
| Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| 8782 | " " | 8782 | " " | " | " " |
| 160 | 4 " | 160 | 4 " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 1927 | 14 3 | 1927 | 14 3 | " | " " |
| 232 | 8 " | 232 | 8 " | " | " " |
| 11102 | 10 3 | 11102 | 10 3 | " | " " |

Total.

| Demand. | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | | 18 | | 19 | |
| Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| 8782 | " " | 8782 | " " | " | " " |
| 160 | 4 " | 160 | 4 " | " | " " |
| 18680 | 8 5 | 18595 | 10 11 | 84 | 13 6 |
| 1927 | 14 3 | 1927 | 14 3 | " | " " |
| 232 | 8 " | 232 | 8 " | " | " " |
| 29783 | 2 8 | 29698 | 5 2 | 84 | 13 6 |

In the Commissioners Proceedings of 16th December 1852 it was pointed out that the tax on Chayaroot for 1260 Fusly amounting to Rupees 7041 which were included in the Land Revenue should be brought under the head of Small Farms as well as 2 other items Viz^t. Coast Jungle rent Rupees 1011 and the tax on Soap Nuts Rupees 1813.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Commissioner.

Ordered

8623 Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Order thereon-
18.19

Ordered to be recorded-

---

Read the following letter.

No. 32-

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue-
Fort St. George

Sir,

20
B. No. 1869.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th November last and to forward a Statement shewing the amount of unrecovered Costs on account of Civil Suits brought against me in my Capacity as Collector. This Statement commences with the year in which the Civil and Subordinate Courts were established because the Government Vakeel states that from the confused state of the Records of earlier years he finds it difficult, if not impossible, to find the required information.-

I have

8624 Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

I have also included in this State-
-ment an item of 17 Rupees which I have to
pay into Court.-

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada 11th July,
1853.

(Signed) T. Prendergast.
Collector.

Statement

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | of | Do. | 1 | 12 | " | " | " |
| 73 | of | 1847 | 4 | 1 | " | " | " |
| 78 | of | Do. | " | 12 | " | " | " |
| 97 | of | Do. | " | 12 | " | " | " |
| 166 | of | Do. | 1 | " | " | " | " |
| 53 | of | 1848 | 4 | " | " | " | " |
| 68 | of | 1844 | 49 | " | " | " | " |

Civil Courts file in Appeal.

This is due from Merlah Venkanah the complainant as per Decree of the Subordinate Court Do. Do. Do. Do. Shella Streeramooloo Do. Do. Do. Do.

Alloory Atchootarayaloo Do. Do. Do.

Do. from Vuddepurty Rajanah the complainant as per Proceedings of the Sub: Court.

This is due from Vutchavoy Terapully Ranze the complainant as per Decree of the Sub: Court appealed in the Civil Court against the decree of the Sub: Court in No. 14 of 1851. the appeal Suit is still under trial the Cost in original is therefore held in.

This suit was withdrawn. The money is due from Booloosoo Venkara Seelanty the complainant and is not recovered in consequence of the necessary application not having been made to the Court on behalf of the Collector for its realization.

This is due from Madoonoory Boochanah the complainant to the Collector as per Decree of the Civil Court Viz^t.

Costs incurred by the Collector in Appeal Suit — 4 — " —

Do. Do. in the original Suit filed on the late zillah Court of Adalut in No. 783 of 1841. } 45 — " — "

49 — " — "

No application has yet been made on behalf of the Collector for its recovery

Fort St. George

Balance on account of Sundry small Farms and Licenses for 1260 fusly

| Pulicat. | | | Rajahmundry. | | | | | | Masulipatam. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Collections to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | Demand. | | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | | Demand. | | Collections up to 31st March 1853. | | Balance. | |
| 6 | | 7 | 8 | | 9 | | 10 | | 11 | | 12 | | 13 | |
| Rs | A P | Rs | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 10,110 | 3 10 | 10,110 | 3 10 | " | " | 4307 | 3 7 | 4,280 | 10 1 | 26 | 9 6 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | 10,110 | 3 10 | 10,110 | 3 10 | " | " | 4307 | 3 7 | 4,280 | 10 1 | 26 | 9 6 |

this District
y arrack rent for
amounting to 6
s been included
d Revenue=

In the Commissioners Proceedings of 15th November 1854 the Collector was requested to transfer to the head of Sundry small Farms the following items which were found to be improperly included in the Land Revenue of 1259 fusly and which were accordingly brought to account under that head in 1261 fusly—

| | Rs |
|---|---|
| Taxe on Toomatrees | 891. |
| Do on Soap Nuts | 1157. |
| Do on Spontaneous Chayaroot | 219. |
| Do on Jungle products | 116. |
| Do on Date trees | 19. |
| Sale proceeds of long Grass | 82. |
| | 2478 |

, Commissioners Office 17th May 1853.

8625

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Statement showing the balances of Costs incurred on account of Civil suits brought against the Collector in the Dist. Court and Civil Court of Rajahmundry and which are still outstanding.—

| Number and years of Suit. | Cost of suits brought against the Collector and not recovered from the Plaintiff from inconsequence of Courts which cannot be ascertained | Cost of suits decided in favour of Government but not recovered from the Plaintiff from inconsequence of the Court of Rajahmundry adverse. | Cost of Suits discontinued by their being allowed by the Court of Rajahmundry adverse. | Remarks &c. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rajahmundry | | | | |
| 12 of 1843. | 1 | " | " | This suit was withdrawn in the year 1844 |
| | | | | Subsequent to the Collector's application to the Court of Rajahmundry for its withdrawal |
| | | | | This Court has not yet been made on behalf of the Collector for its recovery |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 36 | of D° | 1 | " | " | " | D° D° from Palamlingoo Nadaah the Complain= ant D° D°. |
| 27 | of 1844 | 2 | " | " | " | D° D° from Nelaxanamutta Ramana D° D° |
| 143 | of D° | 3 | 2 | 3 | " | This money is due from Goorajapoo Atchanah to the Collector as per Decree of the Sub: Court D° D° |
| 126 | of D° | 6 | " | " | " | D° from Complainants Chaganty Ramachendra and Soobummah Soobarayadoo as per Decree of the Sub: Court D° D° |
| 163 | of D° | 4 | " | " | " | This Suit was withdrawn. This sum is due from Chaganty Ra= machendradoo and Soobunah alias Soobarayadoo D° D° D° |
| 181 | of D° | " | 4 | " | " | D° D° from Boyanapilly Chellama the Complain= ant D° D° |
| 218 | of D° | 1 | 8 | " | " | This is due from Ojjarapoo Ramajoghy the complainant as per Decree of the Sub: Court D° D° |
| 255 | of D° | 1 | 4 | " | " | D° from Nadoomoory Venkiah D° D° D° D° D° |
| 293 | of D° | 2 | " | " | " | This suit was withdrawn - This is due from Merla Vencanah the Complainant D° D° D° |
| 361 | of D° | " | 8 | " | " | This is due from Vadravoo Venkatrauz the Complainant as per Decree of the Sub: Court D° D° |
| 35 | of 1845 | " | 8 | " | " | This is due from Madada Veeraya the complainant the suit not having been carried on by him it was strick off the file D° D° |
| 6 | of 1846 | " | 4 | " | " | This suit is withdrawn and the amount is due from Colloory Soobanah the complainant D° D° D° |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | of D° | 1 | 12 | " | " | This is due from Merlah Venkanah the complainant as per Decree of the Subordinate Court D° D° D° |
| 73 | of 1847 | 4 | 1 | " | " | D° Chella Streeramooloo D° D° D° D° |
| 78 | of D° | " | 12 | " | " | Alloory Atchootaraydoo D° D° D° |
| 97 | of D° | " | 12 | " | " | D° from Vuddepurty Rajanah the complainant as per Proceedings of the Sub: Court - |
| 166 | of D° | 1 | " | " | " | This is due from Vutchavoy Terapully Ranze the complainant as per Decree of the Sub: Court appealed in the Civil Court against the decree of the Sub: Court in N° 14 of 1851. the appeal Suit is still under trial the Court in original is there= fore held in - |
| 53 | of 1848 | 4 | " | " | " | This suit was withdrawn. The money is due from Booloovoo Venkata Seelanty the complainant and is not recovered in consequence of the necessary application not having been made to the Court on behalf of the Collector for its realization - |
| 68 | of 1844 | 49 | " | " | " | This is due from Nadoomoory Boochanah the complainant to the Collector as per Decree of the Civil Court Viz^t. |
| Civil Courts file in Appeal - | | | | | | Costs incurred by the Collector in appeal suit — 4 — " — " — |
| | | | | | | D° D° in the original Suit filed on the late zillah Court of Adalut in N° 783 of 1841 — 45 — " — " — |
| | | | | | | 49 — " — " — |
| | | | | | | No application has yet been made on behalf of the Collector for its recovery |

8626 Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 552 | of 1845 | 11 | " | " | " | This is due from the 1st 2d and 3d Defendants Cha: = ginty Basanah Veerauzy and Pauperiah to the Collector as per Decree of the Civil Court as follows |
| | Do: | | | | | Costs incurred in Appeal 11 " " |
| | | | | | | Do: in original Suit No: 161 of 1844 Sub Court's file D: D: 7 " " " |
| | | | | | | 11 " " |
| 6 | of 1846 | 28 | " | " | " | This is due from Pauper Complainant Vatchavoy Terapatyranz to the Collector as per Decree of the Civil Court Do: Do: |
| | Do: | | | | | |
| 12 | of 1851 | 4 | " | " | " | This suit is still pending in appeal. |
| | Do: | | | | | |
| 57 | of 1844 | 17 | " | " | " | The Civil Court decided that this sum should be paid by the Collector - |
| | | 143 | 11 | 3 | | |

/Signed/ Sarapelly Suttanah
Acting Government Vakeel.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. Prendergast
Collector.

13th April, 1853.

(20.21)

Order thereon -

Ordered to lie over -

Read the following Statement -

Statement

8629

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

No. 327.

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 22d December 1852. reporting on the Land Revenue Settlement of that District for Fasly 1261.

In Con: 11th April 1853.

24

Bd. No. 1524.

Proceedings thereon.—

Relative to the Settlement Report of the Bellary District for Fasly 1261.

Para 2.

Para 4.

The season is reported to have been generally favorable particularly for the Black Lands in which a considerable addition to the cultivation is shewn to have taken place, but there was a decrease in wet cultivation which was mainly owing to the disastrous effects of the storm which took place in the early part of May 1851. by which upwards of 500 Tanks and Nullahs were burst or otherwise damaged, and much land either destroyed or rendred unfit for cultivation. Full particulars of the damage thus sustained as well as of the progress made in repairing it are given by the Collector and in the opinion of the Board very great credit is due to Mr. Pelly for the energy and Zeal with which he has availed himself of the permission granted by Government in this Emergency, to commence the repair without delay of these valuable Sources.

Para 7

Tanks — 243.
Nullahs — 196
Coontahs — ½
511.

Paras 5 to 7.

Subsequently an application was presented in June 1852 and the necessary steps were taken to ascertain the Validity of the Pahalutamahs produced and to examine if the applicants are the identical Yeomiahdars. From an Arzee latterly received from the Tasildar Cazee under date the 30th May 1853 it appears that the Yeomiahdars are the identical persons in whose names the Yeomiahs are registered and that they are in existence. The Collector in his late letter solicits sanction for the disbursement of the arrears due for the period specified in the Statement.—

(Signed) F. B. Elton.
Collector.

Remarks

Collector's Office, 22nd June, 1853.

sources of irrigation. He states himself to have been well seconded in his exertions by the Native Revenue Servants, and the result has been that upwards of 3 lacs of Revenue was saved at an expense within the year of Rupees 3,45,839. The decrease of Revenue from these injured Tanks as compared with Fusly 1260 was Rupees 64,918 which may be taken as the net loss occasioned by the storm, exclusive of the expenditure in repairs, and it is stated that even this amount would have been diminished had the supply of water to the tanks been as abundant as in Fusly 1260.

| | |
|---|---|
| Land Revenue | Rupees 2,84,284 |
| Sodee | 28,751 |
| | 3,13,035 |

2. The general healthiness or otherwise of the district is not specially referred to but the Collector observes that there was a considerable diminution in the cases of Cholera as will be seen in the Margin. The loss of Cattle is stated to have been greater than in the preceding year.

Para 10.

| | Cases. | Deaths. |
|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1260 | 6624 | 4271 |
| 1261 | 2155 | 1393 |

3. The prices of every description of grain Exhibits a falling of below that of Fusly 1260. This is attributed partly to



to an abundant harvest but in some degree also to the injury done by the storm to the grain pits which obliged the Ryots to bring their accumulated stores into the Market. The price of Cotton fell about 16 per Cent below † Fusly 1260, but in that year it was unusually high. The prices is still in excess of the average of the preceding 10 years. Mr. Pelly has with reference to the Board's Circular order, 29th April 1852, forwarded a Statement of the price of grain for each year since the commencement of the Company's Government an abstract of this account being given in Para 8 of his report. He observes at the same time that the Board's order does not properly apply to Bellary as the Land assessment was not made with reference to the price of Grain. This is true, the gross assessment of each Village having been mainly determined with reference to previous Collection. But it is of great importance to be able to compare the prices at the time the Settlement was made with those now prevailing in order to judge how the assessment bears upon the ryot. It was supposed to be about 45% of the gross produce when the Settlement was

| | Rupees |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1260 | 50 |
| Fusly 1261 | 42 |
| Decrease | 8 or 16% |

| | Rs | As |
|---|---|---|
| Average 10 | 38 | |
| Fusly 1261 | 42. | |

From P. Collector 15th August 1807.

was made but having been converted into a money payment the prosperity of the Ryots must always greatly depend on the price they can obtain for their produce and the share virtually taken by Government will increase in proportion to the decrease in the price of grain. The average price of Paddy during the first four years of the Company's Government was, it will be seen as high as 152 Rupees a Garce, but the last two appears to have been years of great scarcity, during the next period of 4 years the price of only one year / Fusly 1218 / is given when it was Rupees 104 per Garce. Taking the last 40 years in even periods of 10 years the average price has been —

Fusly 1211 to 1214.

Fusly 1215 to Fusly 1218.

From Fusly 1222 to Fusly 1231 Rupees 115 per Garce.
1232 ——— 1241 ——— 101
1242 ——— 1251 ——— 103
1252 ——— 1261 ——— 84

Had the assessment remained unaltered therefore the average share of the produce taken by Government during the past 10 years would have been 61% assuming it to have been 45% when Paddy was at 15 Rupees per Garce. But the general remissions of 25% and 33% made in 1820 were considered to have



have reduced the share of Government to 33% in accordance with what Colonel Munro in his plan for a permanent Ryotwar Settlement considered it ought to be and the share of Government would now appear to have risen again thro' the operation of low prices to 46 per cent of the Gross produce or about what it was previous to the general remissions.

15th August 1807. Page 942 appendix to Fifth Report.

Para 12. 4. — The Caboolati or lands agreed for at the commencement of the Season comprised 2,18,235 acres assessed at Rupees 30,58,495 being a net decrease of acres 3876 and Rupees 41,130 below the Caboolate of the preceding year. This decrease is principally in wet lands under Tanks which were injured, or which received an inadequate supply of water. In connection with this subject Mr. Pelly has entered into some explanation

Para 13. with reference to the remarks made by the Board in their Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1260 which satisfactorily show that the authority given to the amildars to exclude certain waste from the

the Caboolatti was not as the
Board were led to believe, a
Para 14 recent innovation. The question
as to what description and quantity
of waste should be included in
the puttahs of the ryots is one of
great importance, and the Board
will be glad to receive the
further report which the
Collector promises after the
close of the Summahbundy
settlement, as well as that
referred to in Para 28 con-
Extract Minutes = nected with the recent orders
Consultation 23d October 1852.
Para 7.
In Cons 25th November 1852. of the Government proposing to
exclude the general remissions
from the accounts and recognize the



the bonafide assessment as
the standard rate. —

Paras 16 & 17 5 The net Settlement
of the year amounted to
Rupees 21,75,112 being a net
decrease of Rupees 6,805 0 below
that of Fusly 1260, as shown
in the subjoined abstract
Statement in which
the gross assessment, the
deductions and remis-
= sions under various
heads are clearly exhibited,
in Comparison with the preced-
= ing year. —

Items.

8636 || Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

| Items. | Fusly 1260. | Fusly 1261. | Increase. | Decrease. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Land Paying full rent | 3040295 | 2982488 | " | 57807 |
| Land held at a reduced Rent | 34971 | 34775 | " | 196 |
| Mamool Cowle | 24359 | 26709 | 2350 | " |
| Pauyamalee Cowle | " | 14523 | 14523 | " |
| Total amount of assessment according to the Survey | 3099625 | 3058495 | " | 41130 |
| Deductions on land left unsown from unavoidable Causes | 287091 | 327137 | 40046 | " |
| Gross Settlement | 2812534 | 2731358 | " | 81176 |
| **Deductions on Land Cultivated.** | | | | |
| General remission of 33 and 25 % | 643051 | 620062 | " | 22987 |
| Usual remissions to Cundachar Peons &c. | 35635 | 34048 | " | 1587 |
| Amount of usual Cowle remissions | 21258 | 24000 | 2742 | " |
| Temporary Pauyamalee Remissions | " | 9261 | 9261 | " |
| Remission for loss of Crops &c. | 33142 | 35762 | 2620 | " |
| Miscellaneous Remissions | 7742 | 8596 | 854 | " |
| Loss sustained after Summahbundy | 10091 | " | " | 10091 |
| Total Remission | 750919 | 731729 | " | 19190 |
| Add. | 206615 | 199629 | " | 6986 |
| Tax on Enam Cultivation, Quit rent &c. | 183258 | 177379 | " | 5879 |
| | 2244873 | 2177008 | " | 67865 |
| Deduct Ready Money allowances to Pagodas &c. | 1711 | 1896 | 185 | " |
| Net Demand | 2243162 | 2175112 | " | 68050 |

an explanation of each item of addition and remission is given by the Collector, and as these are of the usual nature they do not call for special notice from the Board with the exception of one new head of remission Viz.te Temporary Paymally cowle remissions, Rupees 9261, which were granted from 1 to 3 years to enable the Ryots to restore the land destroyed by the floods and freshes during the storm of May 1851. and which under the circumstances of the case were authorized by the Board in their letter of 17th July 1851.—

Statement No. 9. Paras 24-25.

| | Rupees |
|---|---|
| Fixed Cowle— | 23987 |
| Progressive— | 2723 |

6. Exclusive of these special Cowles, the lands held under Cowle both fixed and progressive amount to Rupees 26710 on which the Cowle remissions were Rupees 24000 Of the lands whose Cowle has Expired, about one fourth appear to be still only partially reclaimed and this proportion is much exceeded in Gooty, where, of the lands which have come under full assessment, the partially reclaimed lands exceed those fully cleared—as shown in the Margin:— It

| | |
|---|---|
| Fully reclaimed— | 2442 |
| Partially— | 872 |
| | 3314 |

Stat.t 12 Cl. 20. 22

| | acres | Rupees |
|---|---|---|
| Fully cleared | 200 | 342 |
| Partially— | 273 | 451 |



7. It is observed from Para 33 that the quantity of waste assessed to the Revenue exceeds that of Fusly 1260. by Rupees 3114 and amounts this year to Rupees 1-14-0 per Cent on the total net demand while in Fusly 1260 it was 1-10-6 per Cent. The increase is under the two heads of Ryots' cultivating Enam in excess / Rupees 1173 / and on "Ryots cultivating profitable fields" only, or when only 1/10 of the field was waste / Rupees 1965 / the amount of assessed waste appears large, but the question is intimately connected with that referred to by Government in Extract Minutes Consultation 23d October 1852. and will no doubt receive the Collector's attention in preparing the separate report promised in Para 14. The total Enam under Cultivation exhibits a decrease of Rupees 44682 of which Rupees 14939 is the net decrease of land cultivated by others than the Enamdars, so that it is not clear whence arises the increase of

| Fusly 1261. | |
|---|---|
| Land Sown | Rupees 1963839. |
| waste | " 37345. |
| **Fusly 1260.** | |
| Land Sown | 2030038. |
| waste | 34231 |

Statt. No. 5 Cl. 100.

8634

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

| | Kowndindyally Taluk. | Tandantotty Taluk. |
|---|---|---|
| | Circar. Enam. | Circar. Enam. |
| July 1850 | Rupees Rupees | Rupees Rupees |
| | 2,42,53 42,129 | 1,99,014 54,016 |
| July 1851 | 2,13,511 42,601 | 1,95,950 54,013 |
| | 5 2 | 2 |
| | : : | : : |
| | 25 | 2 |
| Increase | 4 2 | 2 |
| Decrease | : : | : : |
| | = | = |

of Rupees 1,173 assessed on Enam Cultiv
tion unless it be exclusively on the
portion cultivated by Ryots not
holding any Circar Land in which th
is an increase of Rupees 3853
8 Referring to
the Statement of
Enam lands in Pa
35 and to the explan
nation given by the
Collector of the
Cause of increase
Rupees 473 in the
Kowndindyally Taluk
The Board observe
on reference to
Statement No 5 that
there is also an increase of Rupees 27
Enam assessment and a corresponding decrease
of Circar assessment in the Tadpatri Taluk
which should also have been explained.

9 The following is a
Comparative Statement of the
Revenue of the District from all Sources and
shows a Net decrease in the year under review
of Rupees 116271.

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Comparison.

| | Bonds of Thirty 12 Cs | Showcars. | Dewan Chobdars. | Peons. | | |

Fort St. George 18th July. 1853

Para 37.

10 Of the total demand on account of Land Revenue Rupees 217,712 there were collected within the Fusly Rupees 214,039 leaving a balance of Rupees 3,673 of which Rupees 2,288 were subsequently realized up to the end of October

+ Rs. 7785

Of the + Balance remaining Rupees 1,057 is considered at the date of the Report irrecoverable, but it is observed that a portion has since been Collected, the balance at the end of May being shewn in the Demand Collection Balance Statement to be Rupees 6,412.—

11. The total outstanding balance at the close of Fusly 1263 was Rupees 57,451—13—. of which Rupees 50,918—12—7

Para 38.

Balance up to May— { Rs. 6,465.

has since been collected leaving a present balance of Rupees 6,533—11—5. The Collector in Compliance

11th March 1852. with the Board's Circular order made a full enquiry into this balance, and ascertained that Rupees 286—14—8 is recoverable and Rupees 6,246—1—9 irrecoverable and he requests the sanction of Government for writing off this latter sum, which under the explanation given, the Board beg



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

beg to recommend may be accorded, as well as for the sum of Rupees 34—..—8 the irrecoverable balance of Land Revenue shewn Summa referred to in the Concluding part of Para 41—

Para 42

12. The Comparative Statement of Collection and Charges shows an increase of Rupees 2—9— per Cent under all heads of charge which is owing to the unusual amount of outlay for the repair of Tank and water Courses rendered necessary by the floods of May 1851. The per Centage on this account is given at 7-2- which is stated in the first Abstract Statement in Para 42 to be "estimated on the irrigated land only" and in the 2d to be "on the total collections"— the latter seems Correct. The Charges are in the 2d Abstract also given at Rupees 22—10— per Cent on the total Collections from all irrigated lands, but the Board Cannot Correctly trace the Amount of Collections there given / Rupees 89,400 / tho' it would appear to be the actual Collection on the gross demand on irrigated lands which is shown in Para

16.

16 to be Rupees 12,64,981.—

Para 43. 13. With reference to the explanation given by the Collector on the Board's remarks in the proceedings on the settlement of last year as to the mode in which the advances made on account of Tuccavy are exhibited in the accounts it is observed the sum of Rupees 49,784 entered in Column 7 of the abstract Statement appended to Para 43 was advanced at different periods and for different Fuslies Viz.t Rupees 13,400 in Fusly 1260 on account of Fusly 1264 Rupees 25,304 in Fusly 1261 on account of the same Fusly and Rupees 11,080 in Fusly 1261 on account of Fusly 1262 and the Collector proposes now to bring the last sum into the Demand Collection Balance of Fusly 1262 on account of which it was issued, but the Board think that it would be a preferable plan, and tend to prevent confusion, if the Tuccavy advances were exhibited in the Demand Collection and Balance Sheets of that Fusly in which they were actually issued without reference to the year for which they were made Any explanation on this latter point which might seem requisite could be given in the Summahbundy



Summahbundy report. Unless therefore the Collector sees any strong objection to this plan it is requested that he will adopt it in future.

14. The Cultivation of Sugar Cane, appears to be increasing and appears to be carried on chiefly in 6 Talooks among which it exhibits an increase of 397 Acres in Hurpenhilly and Hoodlaghee and a decrease of 260 Acres in the remainder but there is a net increase on the whole, exclusive of Enam Lands of 137 Acres. There is one point connected with this subject which the Board would wish to have explained Viz.t that the Fuzul Fusly Collected on Sugar Cane grown on Turry or wet lands in the Bellary Talook appears

Jyen Assessment Rs. 357. to be equal to the Jyen

Fuzul Fusly - - - 357/707 assessment.—

15. The Board are gratified at observing the favorable terms in which

Para 11 Mr. Pelly refers to the assistance which he has derived from the zealous exertions of his Sub Collector and other European Subordinates in carrying on the business of the district, rendered in this year unusually heavy by the large quantity

of

of Maramut repairs to be performed on and the high estimation which he Continues to place on the Services and Conduct of his Head Shanistadar, Neelakunt Row.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Bellary.

---

(25)

M. No. 762.

From the Collector of Bellary.- Read a Miscellaneous letter reporting that no Persons have been charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for January last and forwarding a Return for February as requested in this letter under date the 18th April 1853.-

(25.26)

B. No. 1531

Proceedings thereon.- The Board observe that the Return of persons Convicted and Confined under Regulation IX of 1822, in the month of February last called for in their letter of 18th April 1853, is not forwarded with the above letter- That of persons charged has been duly received.-

---

From



B. No. 1818

From the Collector of Bellary.- Read List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1853.-

(27)

B. No. 1579

Proceedings thereon.- The Board observe that the undermentioned calls are omitted in the above List and request that they may be inserted in future arrear Lists until replied to.-

(27.28)

Circular 8th November 1852.

Respecting the bearing of commutation rates on the Condition of the people.-

Circular 15th November 1852.-

Calling for a Table of the Price of

8647 Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

of Grain during Certain Fasslies.

Circular 20th January 1853.

Respecting the Establishment of Salt pans, and the appointment of Covenanted Officers to superintend that branch of Revenue.

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 17th December 1852, and entered in Consultation 6th January 1853.

Read also the following letter

To,

8648 Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

No. 99.

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(24)

Bd. No. 1672 Sir,
From the Collector
of Chingleput

Advertising to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 6th January last requiring me in reference to my letter of the 17th December preceding to report the causes by which the Enam lands of Village Officers therein alluded to have become uncultivable and unprofitable, I have the honour to state that some of the lands in question are situated in the beds of Tanks, the supplies to which have been improved since the date of their allotment, and on the borders of river streams and Salt water lakes being thus frequently liable to inundation. Some lands again have been much injured and washed away by river floods and Jungle streams, and have been rendered uncultivable and others are either situated at a distance from the Village at the foot of hills, or are of such inferior description of soil as to render their Cultivation altogether unprofitable. Thus in every instance, there is either a positive impediment to Cultivation or the lands if cultivated, do not yield a profitable return. The lower grades of the Village officers are generally too reduced in circumstances to admit of their improvements of the above description being improved by them, and the inadequacy of their means for this purpose is sufficiently supported by the circumstance of the lands having in almost all cases remained waste from 10 to 50 years. Annexed is a detailed Statement shewing the extent of the Enam belonging to each description of Village Officer, which has been rendered uncultivable from the various causes set forth.

Signed J. B. Cochrane
Collector.

Statement.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Enmore, 23d June 1853.

8049

To the Sr. George

18th July 1853

No. Statement Shewing the waste Enam lands of the Village Servants in the Zillah of Chingleput

| No. | Description of Land | Poonjah | | | | | Kunjah | | | | | Totah | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | P | as | R | a | P | P | as | R | a | P | P | as | R | a | P |
| 1 | Calia Yca (Barren) | 116 | 13½ | 218 | 11 | 1 | 39 | 7⅝ | 159 | 7 | 7 | 156 | 5⅛ | 378 | 2 | 8 |
| 2 | Pamaneebooddhy Yca or inundation of Water | 17 | 1¼ | 27 | 3 | " | 56 | 7⅛ | 273 | 4 | 0 | 73 | 8⅜ | 300 | 8 | 9 |
| 3 | Munthaualy or Sheep Yca Farm | 26 | 11⅝ | 48 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 27 | 15⅜ | 53 | 14 | 3 |
| 4 | Roads Yca | 5 | 7½ | 7 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 8⅛ | 37 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 15⅝ | 44 | 10 | 1 |
| | Totah | 116 | 1⅝ | 301 | 11 | 6 | 102 | 10⅞ | 475 | 8 | 3 | 268 | 12½ | 777 | 3 | 9 |

Tookery Enam

| Poonjah | | | | | Kunjah | | | | | Totah | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| P | as | R | a | P | P | as | R | a | P | P | as | R | a | P |
| 32 | 15½ | 61 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 6¾ | 18 | " | 8 | 43 | 3¼ | 109 | 15 | 1 |
| 6 | 13½ | 15 | 15 | 7 | 21 | 13⅞ | 95 | 12 | 10 | 28 | 11⅜ | 111 | 12 | 5 |
| 16 | 9¼ | 28 | 13 | 7 | — | 1 | 11½ | 8 | " | 2 | 18 | 4¾ | 36 | 13 | 9 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 36 | 6¼ | 106 | 11 | 7 | 33 | 13⅛ | 151 | 13 | 8 | 90 | 3⅜ | 258 | 9 | 3 |

8650 Tott St.on George 18th July 1853

Village Emaan

| Poonjah | | | | Kunjah | | | | Total | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| C | a | R | a | C | a | R | a | C | a | R | a |
| 49 | 10½ | 101 | 9 | 8 | 25 | 10⅞ | 117 | 11 | 6 | 75 | 5⅜ | 219 | 3 | 2 |
| " | " | " | " | " | 12 | 3 | 66 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 66 | 8 | 3 |
| 1 | 3¼ | 3 | 2 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 3¼ | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 50 | 13¾ | 104 | 12 | " | 37 | 13⅞ | 184 | 3 | 9 | 88 | 11⅝ | 288 | 15 | 9 |

Totty Emaan

| Poonjah | | | | Kunjah | | | | Total | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| C | a | R | a | C | a | R | a | C | a | R | a |
| 21 | 8⅜ | 41 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 14⅞ | 20 | 12 | 6 | 25 | 7¼ | 62 | 11 | 3 |
| 7 | 6⅛ | 9 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 39 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 7⅛ | 48 | 10 | 9 |
| 21 | 6¼ | 47 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 7⅜ | 7 | 13 | 7 | 23 | 13⅝ | 55 | 13 | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 50 | 4¾ | 99 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 7¼ | 67 | 15 | 2 | 65 | 12 | 167 | 3 | " |

8051 Fort St. George

18th July 1853.

Nata Enaam

| Poorjah | | | | Kurjah | | | | Totah | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ℒ | ₰ | ℛ | ₳ | ℒ | ₰ | ℛ | ₳ | ℒ | ₰ | ℛ | ₳ |
| 13 | 9 7/8 | 72 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 6 7/8 | 17 | 11 | 6 | 19 3/4 | 40 | 7 | 11 |
| 2 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 26 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 33 | 9 | 3 |
| 6 | 13 1/4 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 5 1/8 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 2 3/8 | 25 | 5 | 6 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 22 | 9 1/8 | 46 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 52 | 8 | " | 35 | 1/8 | 99 | 6 | 8 |

(Signed) District Coll.rs Cutcherry Ennore 25th June 1853.

Totah Enaam

| Poorjah | | | | Kurjah | | | | Totah | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ℒ | ₰ | ℛ | ₳ | ℒ | ₰ | ℛ | ₳ | ℒ | ₰ | ℛ | ₳ |
| 234 | 9 3/8 | 446 | 14 | 4 | 84 | 12 | 363 | 11 | 9 | 319 | 5 5/4 | 810 | 10 | 1 |
| 33 | 6 7/8 | 59 | 4 | 9 | 104 | 4 | 501 | 12 | 8 | 137 | 10 7/8 | 561 | 1 | 5 |
| 72 | 11 3/8 | 143 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 29 | 1 | " | 80 | 7 3/8 | 175 | " | 10 |
| 5 | 7 1/2 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 8 1/8 | 34 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 15 5/8 | 44 | 10 | 1 |
| 346 | 3 1/2 | 659 | 5 | 7 | 202 | 4/8 | 932 | " | 10 | 548 | 7 5/8 | 1591 | 6 | 5 |

E. E. per

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

29.30

No. 1534.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Submitting with the Bd's recommendation letters from the Collector of Chingleput transmitting Statements of lands proposed to be given to Village Officers in exchange for those Enams which have become unfit for cultivation.

Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted with the following address.

No. 333.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letters from the Collector of Chingleput transmitting Statements of lands proposed to be given to Village Officers in exchange for those Enams which have become unfit for cultivation.

2. The causes which have led to this result are explained in the second of the letters herewith forwarded and it will be seen that they are of a nature beyond the control of the parties concerned. Some of the Enams are situated in the bed of tanks whose improvement has rendered them uncultivable, others are on the borders of rivers and salt water lakes and are thus liable to inundation, some again have been actually



actually injured by river floods and jungle streams and have thus become useless, and others are situated at such a distance from the Village or at the foot of hills, or the soil is of such inferior description as to render their cultivation altogether unprofitable.

3. The total ayacut of the Village service Enams in the District amounts to 2,080 cawnies assessed at Rupees 8,679 of this 548 cawnies assessed at Rupees 1,591 are lying waste from the cause above mentioned and the Collector proposes to grant in lieu of them 529 cawnies of fresh waste or Shergal land assessed Rupees 1561.

4. As the principle of receiving unserviceable Enams of Village Servants has been recognised by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 2nd October 1850, and has already been carried out in some Districts, the Board beg to recommend that the proposition submitted by the Collector may receive the Sanction of Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 18th July 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following

Return.

Return

8654 (31) Fort St George 18th July 1853

B. No 1876 Return of Talookdars in the Collectorate of Chingleput as they Stood on the 1st May 1853.

| Names and Place of Birth | Caste | Age | Talook | amount of collection | Cess | Length of Service as Talookdar in the Present Talook | Total length of Service as Talookdar in this Collectorate | Employment previous to appointment as Talookdar and length of Service in each employment | Total length of Service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | years | Rs | Rs | y m d | y m d | | y m d | y m d | |
| | | | | | | | | Chingleput Zillah | | |
| 1 Ramasawmy Iyer Tiruvamattoor | Telugu Brahmin | 48 | Caroor | 119548 | 100 | 1 7 15 | 14 7 14 | Hoozoor Sayer Sumpratty — | 3 2 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | Dr Revenue Gomastah — | 6 2 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Sheristadar in the Sydapet Talook — | 1 9 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | Dr in the Chingleput Dr — | 1 . 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 12 6 10 | 27 1 24 |
| | | | | | | | | Chingleput Zillah | | |
| 2 Parthasarady Iyengar Colatoor | Tamil Vishnu Brahmin | 41 | Ootramalore | 121959 | 85 | 3 2 . | 6 . 20 | Deputy Accountant — | . 3 29 | |
| | | | | | | | | Translator — | 11 3 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 11 7 9 | 17 7 29 |
| | | | | | | | | Chingleput Zillah | | |
| 3 Appasawmy Pillay Cavery Mapet | Native | 46 | Conjeveram | 134362 | 100 | 4 1 7 | 4 1 7 | Hoozoor Oymash Sumpratty — | 5 3 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Dr Sayer Sumpratty — | . 8 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Dr Revenue Dr — | 4 3 17 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 10 3 17 | 15 2 24 |
| | | | | | | | | Chingleput Zillah | | |
| | | | | | | | | Deputy Translator | | |
| 4 Narrain Sawmy Naidoo | Balija Caste | 33 | Chingleput | 77791 | 70 | 1 7 15 | 1 7 15 | in the Hoozoor — | 6 2 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | Javalenevis on Dr — | 5 3 8 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 11 5 18 | 13 1 13 |
| | | | | | | | | Chittoor Zillah | | |
| | | | | | | | | Magistrate Gomastah — | 7 7 9 | |
| 5 Samaniah Vadama Machiavy | Brahmin | 41 | Manemunga Cum | 100712 | 70 | . 8 14 | . 8 14 | Cuddapah Zillah Hoozoor Gomastah — | . . 17 | |
| | | | | | | | | Magistrate Javalenevis in the Sub Collector's Office — | 5 8 14 | |
| | | | | | | | | Magistrate Head Gomastah — | 4 29 | |
| | | | | | | | | Town Police Cummeen — | 1 2 25 | |
| | | | | | | | | Magistrate Head Javalenevis — | 5 4 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 20 4 8 | 21 . 22 |

8656

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

| | | | | | y | R. | A. | y | m | d | y | m | d | | y | m | d |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Chingleput Zillah | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Manimungalum Talook | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Pymash ——— | | 6 | |
| 10 | Rayaroo Chetty Pa-rendoor | Tamil Vistna -va Bramin | 56 | Nayer | 115 | 854 | 85 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 621 | | Hoozoor Malabar Goomastah ——— | 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Tahsildar in the Caran-gooly Talook ——— | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Sayer Sumpretty — | 2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Mane Tahsildar in the Conjeveram Talook ——— | | 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Peishcar in the Peddapol-liem and Nayer Do. — | | 16 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Acting Tahsildar in the Peddapolliem Talook — | | 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sayer Ameenah in Conjeveram Do. ——— | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Salt Peishcar in Cha--namkut ——— | | 16 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Do. in Soonnapoogoor-tah ——— | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17 | 2 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Tanjore District | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Tahsildar in the Nunne-lum and Combace--num Talooks ——— | | 16 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | 10 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 25 | 5 | |

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry
Guindy 15th July 1853

(31.32)
Order thereon.

/ Signed / George Fullerton
Head Assistant Coll.r in charge

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Statements.

1857 | (33)

# Fort St. George 18th July 1853

B. No. 1611 Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Deshaut Enamdars in the District of North Arcot in Fusly 1262.

| No. | Names of the Pensioners. | amount of Pension per annum | Date of Government authority | Nature of the Grant | Period for which arrears are due | Total amount of arrears | Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment. | Remarks of the Board | Order of Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | Syed Mohadeen a Shareer in the Deshaut Enam Village of Pongee in Caverepauk Talook. | 13 " " | 13th June 1851 | Commutation allowance | For Fusly 1259 upon the heriz. 11-13-" For Do. 1261-13-11-" For Do. 1262 1st Kist — 3-4-" 2d Kist — 3-4-" 3d Kist — 3-4-" 9-12-" | 37 9- | In Fusly 1267 an order was issued by Mr. Ward for the payment of the allowance of this Enamdar for Fusslies 1259 and 1260- The Enamdar accordingly drew his allowance for Fusly 1260 but fell sick before he received his allowance for Fusly 1259- He has now attended and claimed the arrears due to him. The Acting Collector requests that the sanction of Government may be obtained for disbursing the amount in Column 6 and for continuing the allowance. The age and appearance of this claimant correspond with the descriptive roll. | | |
| 2 | Hoossain beebee daughter in law and Mahomed Syed Grand Son of the deceased Sahebunah beebee a Shareer in the Deshaut Enam of Do. Do. Deshaut the Share of Hoossain beebee 13 " " | 26 " " | | | For Fusly 1261-26-" This Kist of Fusly 1262-19-8-" 45 8-" | | In the Statement sent to the Board of Revenue under date the 12th November 1850, it is shewn that Hoossain beebee and Mahomed Syed are jointly in the receipt of an allowance of 26 Rupees a year Hoossain beebee continued to receive her share of the allowance thro her Vakeel up to Fusly 1260 and Mahomed Syed his share in person up to the same period- as Hoossain beebee failed to have her Sey-atramah prepared in accordance with the Pension rules the payment of the allowance was suspended in Fusly 1261 but Mahomed Syed contends that the default of Hoossain beebee to furnish her Sey-atramah is not a valid reason for suspending the payment of his share of the allowance and he prays that the arrears due may be disbursed to him. The acting Collector requests that the permission of Government may be obtained for paying the arrear due to Syed Mahomed Vizt Rupees 22-12-" and for continuing his share of the allowance. The age and appearance of this claimant correspondes with the descriptive roll. | | |
| | Remaining Mahomed Syed's Share ——— | 13 " " | Do. Do. Do. Do. | Deduct the Share of Hoossain beebee — 22 12-" The Share of Mahomed Syed — 22 12-" | | | | | |

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor, 21st June 1853

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

The

8658

Fort St George 18th July 1853

No 1533

Remarks thereon. — The identity of the Cranmadar being established, and the reason assigned for his non appearance at the proper periods to draw his allowance being satisfactory, the Board beg to recommend that the arrears amounting to Rupees 37-9- which have accumulated from Fusly 1259 to the 3d Rist of Fusly 1262, may be paid to him and that the authorized allowance may be continued for the future.

Case No 1

(33.34)

Case No 2.

The Board concur in the Statement that the default of Hoja-Sain Beebee to furnish her 3yet nammah or life Certificate, is not a valid reason for suspending the payment of the Share due to the Cranmdar Mahomed Syed, and therefore recommend that permission be granted for the disbursement to him of the arrears which have accrued from Fusly 1261 to the 3d Rist of Fusly 1262 Viz. Rupees 22-12- and for the continuance of his Share for the future.

---

Statement

8661

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

Read the following letters

No. 76.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

37

B/d No. 1507

From the collector of Salem.

Requesting authority to reduce Histrien Tahsildar of Paramutty to a lower Office.

Sir

I have the honor to bring to notice certain misconduct on the part of Histrien Tahsildar of Talook Paramutty, which taken in connection with the blameable character of several of his proceedings since the period of my promoting him from a Sarishtah Goomashtahship to the rank of Tahsildar, induces me reluctantly to submit that he seems scarcely worthy of retention in his present responsible post.

2. In explanation of my act in promoting him, I beg to mention that from personal communication in respect of Sarishta matters I had satisfied myself that Histrien possessed good intelligence, a fair knowledge of existing Regulations and excellent acquaintance with the past history and affairs of Salem. Referring to his age and long service and to the fact of his being then in no better situation than a Goomashtahship

on

Recd 21st August 1851.

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutchery,
Chittoor, 4th July 1853

H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

Mudeliar

8660 Fort St. George 18th July 1853

No. 1526

Remarks thereon. Under the circumstances stated, the Board of Revenue recommend that the sanction of Government may be accorded for the payment of the arrears of the Deduct Cream, which have accumulated to 11th April 1853, and amounting to Rupees 119-10; and for the continuance of the allowance for the future.—

35·36

Read

T.R.

8661 Fort St. George 18th July 1853

Read the following letters

No. 76.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

37

Bd. No. 1507

From the Collector of Salem. ... 1

Requesting authority to reduce the Kistnien Tahsildar of Paramutty to a lower Office.—

Sir

I have the honor to bring to notice certain misconduct on the part of Kistnien Tahsildar of Talook Paramutty, which taken in connection with the blamable character of several of his proceedings since the period of my promoting him from a Sarishtah Goomashtahship to the rank of Tahsildar, induces me reluctantly to submit that he seems scarcely worthy of retention in his present responsible post.—

2. In explanation of my act in promoting him, I beg to mention that from personal communication in respect of Sarishta matters I had satisfied myself that Kistnien possessed good intelligence, a fair knowledge of existing Regulations and excellent acquaintance with the past history and affairs of Salem. Referring to his age and long service and to the fact of his being then in no better situation than a Goomashtahship

on

on 35 Rupees a Month I made enquiries as to the cause of his backward position and ascertained that on quitting the District Mr. Principal Collector Gleig had expressed to the assembled servants that his strong mistrust of Kistnien had prevented his giving him the promotion to which otherwise he was entitled

3 The Head Sarishtadar had previously led me to believe that he thought Kistnien a likely man to do good work as Thaseldar. On the whole therefore, although his years and sight were perhaps some-what against him as I was in difficulty about an eligible person for a Thasildarship temporarily Vacant, I resolved to relieve him from the incubus under which he had so long labored and test his administrative qualities, a new and more honorable situation. He acted as Thasildar of Kameul for six Months during the suspension for that period of the permanent incumbent and received the con-firmed appointment when the latter, who did not rejoin this District on the expiration of the period of his suspension was employed by the acting Collector of North Arcot.

13th March 1852.

4 I should also mention that Kistnien is the Thasildar to



30th March 1853. paras 2 and 4.

to whom I have alluded in my communication to your Board of the Marginal date, as having so neglected his duties that I had almost resolved to bring his behaviour specially to your Board's notice. For convenient reference I enclose a Copy of that communication.—

19th December 1851

5. On reference to my records I further find that on a charge of assault committed by means of one of his peons Kistnien was fined by the Joint Magis-trate in a sum of Rupees 15. the peon being at the same time amerced in a smaller amount of Rupees one. This case passed under review by the Session Court without comment or objection.—

6. On another matter that came before me through an appeal from the aggrieved person against the trifling punish-ment inflicted - I found that Kistnien had been fined 10 Ru-pees by the Joint Magistrate for abuse of authority in having stop-ped and destroyed an Urzee, which had been formally posted at the Kameul Talook Cutcherry, to the address of the Sub Collector for transmission by the Talook Dawk. The Foujdaree Udalut who had intermediately called for explanation subsequently, cancelled this sentence on the ground of the Thasildar's act not being an offence falling

falling within the range of Regulation III of 1819 which the Joint Magistrate had applied to it and pointed out that any punishment awarded should be awarded under the general powers of the Magistracy - The matter has there rested for I did not deem it advisable to direct the revival of the prosecution as from my consideration of the record, it occurred to me that there was a deficiency of legal proof though beyond doubt the circumstances of the case left the Thasildars conduct in an unfavorable light.-

7- ... In an appeal from the decision of the Sub Collector, I have found Kistnien charged with the embezzlement and appropriation to his own use of Rupees 95-- being part of the Collections made in a Mootah recently under attachment but released from it when Kistnien was said to have received the above sum - The Sub Collector did not consider the accustom proved but adverting to the part Kistnien had taken in the business fined him 5 Rupees for irregular conduct - I agreed with the Sub Collector, seeing that there were some contradictions in the evidence as to the allegation that at an unusually late hour of the afternoon (8 P. M.) 95 Rupees had been brought to Kistnien's private residence instead of the Talook Cutcherry close at hand - Without now troubling the Board



Board with details of the enquiry, it will suffice for me here to state that I am entirely satisfied that Kistnien was not ignorant of the provisions of the law which close the connection of a Thasildar with an attached Mootah when it has been relieved from attachment and that whether he was justly liable to the imputation cast upon him in regard to the Rupees 95-- or not he must have been quite aware that the Collections and balances of a Mootah no longer under his management, was no affair of his, but rested between the Proprietors and the ameen - In considering it impossible he could have been ignorant of this fact and rule, I speak with reference to what occurred when he was a Goomasta in my Cutcherry some months ago.- Nevertheless, he had most undoubtedly, to a certain extent gone and mixed himself up with the affairs of the Mootah when it had been restored to its owners and therefore was peculiarly blameable - Had he kept his proper position, as he ought to have done, he would have afforded no opening for the charge preferred.-

Clause 1 Section X Regn. XXVII of 1802

8. The circumstances under which my present representation immediately arises are these.-

During

9 During the absence of a Sub Collector I lately requested the Assistant Collector Mr. Innes, to conduct the settlement of the Sub Division Talooks, and it was in progress, when a complaint was made to Mr. Innes, that Kistnien was in possession of four Cows, each of which had been presented to him in token of the assistance he had rendered in a similar number of cases to four parties concerned. Mr. Innes adopted immediate measures to ascertain the truth of the accusation and found that the cattle adverted to, were certainly in Kistnien's house but the manner in which Kistnien had obtained them was so explained that no proof could adduced of their unlawful obtention. As regards one, I should however mention that Kistnien himself acknowledges that he had received it from an influential Moodaar of the Namcul Talook, where and when he / Kistnien / was recently employed as Thasildar, but he has added that it was as a temporary arrangement only, in order that he might have the advantage of it whilst it was in Milk.

10. The measures taken by Mr. Innes led to the Talook Duffters being sealed. This done, as



as your Board will perceive from the three papers I submit, it was ascertained beyond any doubt whatever, that there was then in the Talook Cutcherry a sum of Rupees 6 3/8 which had been improperly collected from a poor man named Yamah Gounden, on the plea of a trespass by his sheep on ground the property of Government, which is covered with reeds and long grass by the sides of the Cauvery. The amount originally ordered to be realized from Yamah Gounden for the imputed trespass was Rupees 7-0-6. but for reasons which Kistnien advances in justification of his unlawful and unfeeling conduct, it had been resolved to carry only one Rupee to the public credit and restore the balance to the individual annoyed.—

11. Your Board will perceive further, that at the time when the Assistant Collector's unexpected requisition reached Paramutty, neither the one nor the other resolution had been carried into effect; that the 6 3/8 Rupees had not been repaid to Yamah Gounden and that the one Rupee did not appear in the Talook Chittah.—

12. Kistnen's attempted removal of personal responsibility from himself to the Royasum and the Goller may, to a limited extent, affect the degree of proof requisite to establish against him an intention of misappropriating the

the sum of Rupees 6 3/8 and on a review of the papers which I have the honor to place before the Board, I am free to admit that such a charge is not clearly made out.-

13. It may also be alleged as favorable to a supposition that he intended to restore the 6 3/8 Rupees to Yamah Gounden, that he told him at Vayloor during the Settlement there, that the Money would be paid to him if he would come over to the Cusbah and receive it.-

14 On this point I would observe that the proximity of the European Assistant would probably have created at Vayloor, a disposition apparently favorable to the poor man which under different circumstances might not have been exhibited - Whether or not, it is sufficiently obvious that in a small Talook like Paramutty there could have been no difficulty in repaying to the petty owner of a few Sheep, the large sum that had been squeezed out of him, for an alleged trespass on ground by which no real injury was visible or pretended the money realized from Yamah



Yamah Gounden was not having been entered in the public accounts, what obstacle was there to Kistnien's at once paying back the fine, when at Vayloor the man applied for it - It was particularly incumbent on him so to do, I submit, and the more especially as Kistnien has acknowledged that throughout this business, he had been unable to satisfy himself that there were grounds for the amercement, of which nevertheless, the Collection had officially been enforced by him under threats that if the fine were not paid up, worse would follow the restraint Yamah Gounden was then placed under.-

15. Assuming, however, that Kistnien first realized the fine under a wrong conception of his duties, and under feelings of irritation that a mere shepherd should have dared to gainsay his dictum, it seems clear, that subsequent reflection had somewhat modified his notion and diminished his anger, that he had found the trespass

trespass of doubtful reality, that he felt he had done what was unjustificable, and that the act of injustice should be rectified. I submit that with these sentiments which your Board will see that he avows, he ought not to have retained the money in his possession one day. That he did retain it, is rather conclusive against the existence of those praiseworthy sensations depicted in his deposition before Mr. Innes.

16. Further, I would draw your Board's attention to the way in which from a man of the Shepherd class, a fine of Rupees 6 3/0 was in the first instance collected. A person newly raised to the rank of Duffadar, was sent out, on a roving expedition apparently, to seek for and report trespasses of cattle and sheep on Government waste. He transmits to his Thasildar, a Statement that certain individuals have allowed their sheep to graze on the Government soil, and forthwith the Thasildar imposes a



a fine about equal in amount to the regular assessment paid individually by many thousands of ryots in the Salem Collectorate, for land occupied by them during the entire year.

17. The imposition of the fine not having been preceded by any enquiry whatever regarding the truth or otherwise of the Duffadar's report, it is clear that its acceptance by the Thasildar of the Talook, as correct and sufficient, was a move of immense importance to the future local authority of a Subordinate of the rank of Duffadar. Should the information submitted by this new officer have perchance been sent to the Thasildar's Cutcherry because the Duffadar had failed in extracting from the Shepherds or others, a price for with-holding a threatened report, whether true or false, the consequences to the Duffadar would be invaluable; for seeing the way in which his Statements had been treated by the head of the Talook, it is not likely that in the sequel, the Duffadar would ever have been thwarted. He would have been conciliated; trespasses whether real or presumed would have been paid for to

to the Duffadar at the Duffadar's rate, he had played his game and had won.-

18. The Thasildar's conduct I submit, appears to prove that he is reckless; that he is improperly regardless of the welfare of the inhabitants in his Taluk and that he has such slight notions of justice that it is unsafe any longer to entrust to him the powers which a Thasildar weilds- His antecedents, too, being of no favourable character- I deem it to be my duty to solicit your permission to reduce him to a grade yielding as nearly as present circumstances will admit, emoluments equal in amount to those which he enjoyed previous to my withdrawing him from the Serishta Department of my Office.-

Salem
Collector's Cutcherry,
Ofsoon;
8th June, 1853.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.-

Deposition.

D.F.



No. 1.

Deposition taken on Solemn affirmation on the 2d April 1853. at Namcul before the Assistant Magistrate /Mr. L. C. Innes/ from Yamah Cownden Son of Yamah Cownden a resident of Bommalapolyem a Village in Taluk Peeramutty aged about 30 years, a Pully by Caste of Sewah Religion and a Cultivator by profession. —

2. What language will you Speak?

A. Tamil.-

2. Has the Tahsildar collected any fine from you?

A. I let my sheep graze on the patches of waste which form a portion of my Putcut lands, for this, The Moorman who recently was appointed Duffadar of Paramutty, and whose name I do not remember, took me to the Tahsildar, who on examining me and witnesses at Paramutty told me that my defence was not established by the evidence adduced, that my animals had grazed in the Government land, and that I was liable to

to a fine and so required me to pay 6 Rupees and 3 Fanams but I urged objections against the payment of the sum Upon this, the Tahsildar having threatened that if I persisted in not paying the amount, I should be handcuffed and sent to Salem, and that he would also have my sheep sold to realize the required sum from the sale proceeds, - and placed me in the custody of a Peon, I went to my Village whilst the Tahsildar was at Iyempolyem, on which my Mother Auvaly having gone to Iyempolyem, obtained from a Weaver a loan of 6 Rupees and 3 Fanams on the promise of a certain rate of interest, assuring the creditor that she would make good the debt from the sale proceeds of the sheep, and brought the same to me. I received, took and put it before Tahsildar This occurred a month ago - The payment was made by me at the entrance of one Pichyen's house in the Iyempolyem Agraharum. At this time the said Pichyen and

Streenevasayengar



Streenevasayengar the Corumboo Monigar (known also as Parpattyacar) witnessed the occurrence - In the first instance, when the Tahsildar demanded from me at Paramutty, the payment of the fine, he placed me in the custody of a Peon - I thence went to my native place under custody and having failed in getting money also at that place, I borrowed it at Iyempoliem and made the payment - Subsequently, as the Tahsildar told me at Vayloor that he would refund to me 5 Rupees and 3 Fanams, after deducting a fine of 1 Rupee, and that I must come and appear at Paramutty to receive the same. I went to Paramutty yesterday - Meanwhile, my attendance was required by the Gentleman (meaning the Assistant Magistrate) at the time of my paying the money, there were 4 or 5 Peons - I do not know their names I paid the Money into the Tahsildar's own hands - The loan Incurred still

remains

remains unliquidated.

Yamah Cowndens Mark.

Solemnly affirmed before me this
2d day of April 1853.

/Signed/ L. C. Innes.
Assistant Magistrate.

Immediate Re-examination

2. Does your mother know that you paid the money to the Tah=sildar and was she then present.—

A. She did not see when I paid the Money she got the Money from the weaver and was holding it in readiness at my house. I there re=ceived it from her and paid it to the Tahsildar at Iyempolyem.—

2. You have stated above that the Tahsildar having demanded of you the payment of the fine, you were sent in custody, and that when you arrived at Iyempolyem, it was there that your mother gave you that money you have now deposed that your mother had previously borrowed and kept the money ready with her. How do you account



account for this difference.—

A. In the first instance, the Tahsildar examined me at Paramatty and then, after having required me to pay the money, he allowed me to go under custody to my native Village, I was not able to get the money. Thence the Peon took me to the Tahsildar. Not=withstanding this I was not freed from liability for the fine and the Tahsildar placed me in custody. Meanwhile, my Mother having heard of the troubles that had happened to me, borrowed and kept the Money ready at home. When I went to my Village the second time, she gave me the Money telling me that she had borrowed it. I took it to Iyempolyem and there put it before the Tahsildar.—

The mark of Yamah

Cowndan Solemnly affirmed before me this 2d day of April 1853.—

/Signed/ L. C. Innes.
Assistant Magistrate.

True Translation
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Deposition.

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

No. 2

Deposition taken on the 2d April 1853 at Nankal before the Assistant Magistrate of Salem (Mr. L. M. Innes) from Kistniah, Son of Ragaviah aged about 51 years, a Bramin by Caste of Sevah Religion, now Tahsildar of Paramutty.—

2. You have heard the Deposition of Yamah Cownden now read, what have you to say to it?

A. One day about the beginning of March or the latter part of February (I do not recollect which) Duffadar Sheik Hyder sent me in a report that certain Sheep, Bullocks and other Cattle belonging to the individual now present and some others, had grazed in patches of Government waste on the bank of the Cavery known by the name of Coottay (where weeds and grass are allowed to grow for the safety of the bank) and requested that fines might be imposed in the usual manner. Upon this a list for levying the

fines.



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

fines was prepared in the usual course showing the names of the individuals and the amount of fines imposed on them at the usual rate of 2¼ annas per head of Cattle. I despatched it with a Takeed to the aforesaid Duffadar ordering the fines to be realized. Upon this either because only those imposed on one or two individuals were collected or none at all (I do not recollect which) the Duffadar came to the Cusbah bringing with him this Yamah Cownden and some other individuals. None of these persons raised any material objections to the payment of their respective fines, excepting this person who stated that his 50 head of Cattle had not grazed in the Coottay but within his own limits and mentioned as witnesses the names of two or three of the shepherds whom the Duffadar had brought with him. I then said to "him I will send either a Summons or a "Peon to bring witnesses. Meantime do you "arrange for the payment of your fine

"and

"and have it ready, Ultimately I shall
"pass such orders as may appear con=
"sistent with the result of the investiga=
tion" The witnesses arrived when I
was at Paramutty and were there ex=
=amined. Whether Yamah Cowden
was then present or not, I do not now
recollect. The next or the 3d. day
afterwards I cannot remember which,
I went to Iyempolyem to inspect the
Cavary Channel from its head down=
=wards, and to enquire into a theft
which had taken place there. Either
on the day of my arrival at Iyempolyem,
or the following one, this individual
brought and put before me
whilst I was holding Cutcherry
Rupees 6 3/8 and told me that he
could pay no more, as even this sum,
which he then paid, had been bor=
=rowed. On this I said to him "To=
=morrow I will come and inspect
the ground where the cattle are re=
=ported to have been grazed and will
then issue the necessary instructions

as.





as to the Collection of the full amount
of fine or for refunding the amount
already Collected" Accordingly the follow=
=ing morning I went and inspected the
spot in Company with the Corumboo
Monigar and some Peons. However
even after my personal inspection,
I could not satisfy myself either that
the Cattle had or had not been grazed
in the Circar Cottay. In addition to
this, as the witnesses cited, denied, having
seen the cattle enter the Cottay I thought
it would press hard on the man to im=
=pose so heavy a fine and therefore re=
=solved to fine him only a Rupee as a
warning that he might take care that
his Cattle did not go to the Circar
Cottay any more and to refund the
balance to him. Accordingly I issued
instructions to the Police Royasum
to enter in the Diary the above
remarks in support of the Demand
of the fine. As Yamah Cowden then
happened to be absent the balance could
not be made over to him. Subsequently
when the Jammabundy
Settlement

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Settlement was in progress at Vellore, he appeared before me, I then said to him "from out of the Rupees 6 3/8 levied "from you, one Rupee has been taken "for the fine and the balance will be "repaid to you on your making a per= "sonal requisition for it at Paramutty" agreeably to this he himself appeared before me last evening. In order to return the money to him, I immediately enquired of the Police Royasum, if the fine had been duly credited in the Chittah. He replied that the money having been put in the hands of the Gollah, it was still with him and had not been credited in the Chittah. Upon this, I ordered the Nagody Goomastah to enter the fine of one Rupee in the Chittah and to bring the balance. The Gollah accordingly went to bring it. Yamah Cownden was at this time standing in my presence. In the interval Goolam Rasool Sahib Tahsildar of Namacul, Soobiah and others having come, they tied and sealed up



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

up the Dufters and the desk and consequently the balance brought by the Gollah was not paid to the party, but remained with him. As abovementioned, the fine of one Rupee has been entered in the Diary as also in the Chittah the balance has to be hereafter refunded.

/Signed/ Kistniah Tahsildar

Taken this 2nd day of April 1853.

/Signed/ L. C. Innes
Assistant Magistrate

Re-examined on the 4th April 1853

2. You have deposed that when you enforced the realization of Rupees 6 3/8 the matter to your mind was nei= =ther proved nor disproved under such circumstances, why did you levy the fine the truth of the case not being known to you?=

A. In cases like this it is usual to order and cause fines to be levied on the mere receipt of reports without en= =tering into a formal examination of witnesses. Thus, I issued orders in the present instance, But yamah Cownden

Cownden without discharging the fine demanded of him, appeared before me in person and stated that his cattle had not been grazed on the Circar land, that it was while grazing on his own ground that the Duffadar took them by surprise and brought them here, and that he had witnesses to prove his Statement. Even on an examination of those witnesses, as doubts still remained in my mind and as it was not satisfactorily established that the Cattle had not grazed in the Government land, I intimated to him that he should pay the fine and sent him away. He did not bring the entire amount of fine due on 50 head of Cattle, but paid only a sum of 6 Rupees and 6 annas. I then informed him that I would give final orders on a personal inspection of the spot, and the fact of my having accordingly visited the locality on the next day and issued decisive orders will be evident from the detailed particulars I have deposed above.—

Why





2. Why did you not credit Rupees 6 3/8 in the Chittah as soon as collected.?

A. From out of that sum I fixed one Rupee as the fine and told the Royasum to credit it in the Chittah, but did not order him to enter in the Chittah the remaining Rupees 5 3/8 subsequently, on the 2d April, Yamah Cownden made his appearance. When on my ordering the Rupees due to him to be produced the Royasum informed me that the whole of the Rupees 6 3/8 was still in deposit. Thus either through negligence or some other cause, the Royasum omitted to credit in the Chittah, the fine of one Rupee. Consequently I told him to enter it in the Chittah and the remainder is still under deposit.—

It was in the month of March (the precise date I do not recollect) while I was absent from the Cusbah, that the amount in question was collected and I ordered one Rupee from it to be credited in the Chittah as fine. as the

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

the Royasum was then with me the fine could not be credited in the Chittah immediately, but it might have been entered in the Chittah as soon as we returned to the Cusbah. That it was not so done, even then, is attributable to the Royasum.—

2. On what ground did you deem yourself authorized to refund a portion of an amount you had once collected.—

A. I resolved to refund the Money considering that the party would be much aggrieved, if I credited the entire amount that had been collected, and because the result of my inspection of the spot had not satisfied me.—

(Signed) Kistniah Tahsildar

Taken before me this 4th day of April 1853.—

(Signed) L. C. Innes.
Assistant Magistrate.

Immediate re-examination.

2. It is necessary that the precise date



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

date of your collection of the fine at Iyempolyem, should be known. Refer to the record and tell me.—

A. I have referred to the papers in the Police Royasums' Dufter. On the 11th March I was at Iyempolyem. I have given this date on a reference to the Deposition of one Cattah Naik, Prosecutor in a case of theft of Oil seeds. On that date I collected the fine it was on the following day on my inspection of the spot

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

spot that I ordered a fine

of one Rupee to be credited.-

/Signed/ Kistniah.

Tahsildar.

Taken before me this 4th day

of April 1853.-

/Signed/ L. le. Innes.

Assistant Magistrate.

True Translation.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips

Collector.

No. 3.



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

No. 3.

Translation of a Yadast from the Assistant Collector to the Collector of Salem, dated Nameul 23d April, 1853.-

One Mamoondy Soobiah having appeared before me on the 1st instant and verbally made certain statements to the effect that Kistniah, Thasildar of Paramutty had suppressed several circumstances owing to the gift to him as bribes, of Cattle and ready money, that he had imbezzled fines and that the (Soobiah) was prepared to prove them all & took it from him, on Solemn affirmation a Deposition setting forth the certain matters.- As it appeared important that the truth of his statements should be ascertained and tested, I sent for the individuals concerned, the records, and cattle necessary for the purpose, and proceeded with the investigations. As the Complaints set forth the Thasildar's irregularities in both the Police and Revenue departments without distinction and the examination was conducted in a corresponding manner there appears no necessity now to classify them. consequently the particulars of all the proceedings are hereunder detailed.-

1. As the allegations of Soobiah that the Tahsildar had suppressed and misrepresented the result of certain of his investigations

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

investigations in consequence of having received a Bribe of four head of cattle in the matter of the disbursement to Vadagary Ahtoor Chinnanah Cowndem of his Salary in deposit and in three other instances, were not satisfactorily established on investigation as will be observed from the documents marginally entered, his (Soobiah) Complaint was dismissed and the Ryots returned to their owner. The above will be obvious on a reference to the record. On searching the Dufters, no suspicious documents bearing upon the complaint were discovered.-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| +Prosecutor's | Deposition | 1 |
| Tahsildar's | Do | 1 |
| Prosecutor's Witnesses. | | |
| The depositions of Chinnanah Cowndem Voonnahmalyah Chetty Vungrah Cowndem Palany Cowndem and Adoocolly ramah Naick | | 5 |
| Tahsildar's Witnesses- | | |
| Do of Ramasing Duffadar Amasay Cowndem and Vattyen | | 3 |
| Warrant issued for the production of the cattle and its accompanying lists | | 2 |
| Summons issued from Nos 13 and 17 | | 5 |
| Receipt | | 1 |
| Warrant issued searching the Dufter and its accompanying lists | | 2 |
| | | 20 |

2.- The complainant further alleged that on one Venkatramah Chetty and others having applied to the Tahsildar for a piece of land forming a burning ground, he had refused to grant it unless each of them paid him a Rupee, and that this would



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

would be proved if Venkatramah Chetty was examined, as also the others after him On my accordingly summoning and examining Venkataramah Chetty, the matter was not established. The marginal documents will explain this circumstance.-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| +Venkatramah Chetty's Deposition | | 1 |
| Summons | | 1 |
| | | 2 |

3. Besides the Statement made by complainant Mamoondy Soobbiah that the Tahsildar had not carried to the credit of Government a fine of Rupees 6 3/8 levied from one Yamah Cowndem it was brought to notice that when the Hoozoor Cavalneavis and others who had been entrusted with a Warrant reached Paramutty on the evening of the 1st April and tied up the Dufters, it was pointed out to them by the complainant that one Rupee of the above sum was just then being entered in the Chittah as a fine. The proceedings taken by me on the examination of this charge go to shew that originally it had been reported to the Tahsildar that 50 head of Yamah Cowndem's cattle had been grazed on the Government ‡Cooltay, that without instituting any examination whatever, the Thasildar had realized Rupees 6-6-- out of a sum of Rupees 7-- 0-- 0 the Amount imposed as a fine

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| °Yamah Cowndem's Deposition | | 1 |
| Tahsildar's Do | | 1 |
| | | 2 |

‡Patches of waste land by the side of the River Cauvery where weeds & reeds and high grass are allowed to grow for the preservation of its Bank.-

fine on the 50 Cattle at the rate of 2¼ annas per head, that he did not enter it's realization in the Chittah and thus, did not carry it to the credit of Government, and that after a long interval he had credited only one Rupee in the Chitta.-

The Statement made by the Tahsildar in his defence cannot be deemed to be true, for the following grounds.-

1: Tahsildar has deposed that, immediately on the receipt of the report from the Daffadar he demanded of Yamah Counden the fine. that Yamah Counden urged that his cattle had not been grazed on Circar land and, demanded the examination of his witnesses. that on his (Tahsildar) promising to make the examination if payment of the fine were first made Yamah Counden paid it. that he was not able to credit the fine in the Chittah on the 11th March, owing to his absence from the Cutcherry. that subsequently when he inspected the ground where the cattle were reported to have been grazed, the result was not satisfactory to him. that although the matter was not

proved.-



proved, yet, as there was some suspicion, he fined him (Yamah Counden) in one Rupee in order to bring him to his senses, and ordering the same to be entered in the Diary, retained the remainder in deposit with a view of refunding it to Yamah Counden. that Yamah Counden was then absent and when he subsequently appeared before him (Tahsildar) at Vayloor, while the Jummabundy settlement was in progress, he told him that on his demanding the balance at the Cutcherry, it would be made over to him, that upon this, Yamah Counden appeared at the Cutcherry on the 1st April, that when then on enquiry, the Tahsildar having come to know that the amount of the fine had not been credited in the Chittah he caused it to be entered but that immediately after this, as the Officers who bore the Hoozoor Warrant arrived and tied up the Duftars, the balance was not refunded to him.-

2. If on receipt of the report from (the Daffadar) it had appeared credible to him (Tahsildar) he should at once have collected the fine and carried it to the Credit of Government. If it had seemed to him to be otherwise, and if he had then been of

opinion

opinion that an examination of Witnesses and others was called for, he should have made the examination and then have disposed of the matter, as seemed just and proper. Without pursuing either of these Courses, the Tahsildar promised to make an enquiry after payment of the fine and accordingly collected it. Moreover, when on a subsequent examination, it was found that there was no proof of the fact charged he should have refunded the entire amount and have obtained a receipt for it. Even this however, he did not do. In a matter where a simple warning to Yamah Counden would have been enough if he thought there was any suspision he imposed, on suspision only a fine of one Rupee, which punishment he was not likely to inflict only on satisfactory proof. There appears no justification for the infliction of such a punishment.

3. The Thasildar has stated that having been absent from the Cusbah, he did not credit the fine to Government. In the long space of twenty two days which intervened between the 11th March the date of the Collection of the fine and the 1st April, he visited the Cushah on several occasions. The man who paid the fine does not reside



reside at any great distance from the spot inspected by the Tahsildar. There was nothing to prevent him either from sending to the Sarishtadar at the Cusbah, the one Rupee which had to be credited in the Accounts, or from himself doing it when he visited the Cusbah before the 1st April. also, he might have sent for and refunded to Yamah Counden the balance immediately on the issue of his final order. Without following either of these courses when Yamah Counden at Veyloor during the Gunnabundy made a personal request that the money might be repaid to him the Tahsildar pacified him by desiring him to come over to the Cusbah for it and caused one Rupee to be entered in the Chittah only after the arrival of the Hoozoor Servants to institute a search, the payment of the balance to Yamah Counden having been postponed up to that time. Moreover, the Tahsildar had no power to refund a Sum once realized.

4. Even supposing that the Tahsildar were to urge that he would have had no authority to refund the Sum if he had credited it to the Ayen head, by entering it in the Chittah, there was still nothing to prevent him from carrying to the credit of Government, just

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

just as much as should properly have been credited, or from entering the remainder under the head of deposit with any necessary remarks and from thence disbursing it when convenient. Even this would be a course of doubtful propriety.-

5. Under these circumstances the matter under notice is one to which much suspicion attaches, and has therefore to be disposed of as the Collector may think proper.-

(Signed) L. C. Innes
Assistant Magistrate.-

(True Translation)
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.-

No. 4.

Memorandum by the Collector with reference to Para 9 of his letter.-

In his deposition of the 1st April 1853. Mamoondy Soobbyen Complainant alleges that in a matter in which it was reported by a Village Moonsiff that a sub-Renter of Abkarry had sold liquor without a license, the Tahsildar had instituted enquiries and had received a Cow as bribe through the mediation of Rajah Counden Mootadar of Coottoor.-

M.



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Mr. Innes states in his Yadast that the enquiry which he instituted does not go to establish this matter.-

In his deposition of the 2d April 1853 Kistnien, Tahsildar, states he had sent word to Rajah Cowunden, Mootadar of Poottoor, Taloog Trichungode, that he wanted to purchase two Cows, to which the Mootadar replied that as no Cows were then to be purchased he had sent him (Tahsildar) one of his own Cows with its Calf, allowing him (Tasildar) to retain them whilst the former was in mild.-

Statement of Kistniens services up to the 30th April 1853.-

| | Years | Months | Days. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Period of service previous to the appointment as Tahsildar | 29 | 9 | 26 |
| Do. as Tahsildar | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| Total period of service | 31 | 5 | 28 |

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Enclosure 5-
No. 45.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir, Fort St. George.

1. I have had the honor to receive the observations recorded by your Board on

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

on the 17th instant, on the Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants of this Collectorate, for the 4th Quarter of 1852.-

2. Respecting the fines imposed on Kistniah, Thasildar of Namcul, I would explain that during the last quarter of the past year, I had almost made up my mind to bring his conduct specially to your Board's notice in view to authority being granted to me for reducing him to the Office from which I had myself promoted him some ten Months previously. Before so doing however, I had resolved to see what extra severity would effect and therefore it is that so many fines appear against his name.-

3. Eventually, I thought it advisable to have him up to my Cutcherry and see what expostulation and advice would do, previous to troubling the Board with a report of the Kind which I am always most unwilling to submit.-

4. When I came to communicate with him personally I saw reason to believe that he had, to a considerable extent, been thwarted by his Sheristadar, an Officer of old standing who perhaps, was



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.-

was somewhat anxious to find a vacancy in an Office to which he is an aspirant. I consequently removed Kistniah to a less difficult Talook than Namcul and hope by this arrangement to avoid the necessity of bringing his proceedings to the Board's notice. I was not unaware of the Circular order to which my attention has been drawn.-

5. On this point, I beg to mention that the fine of Rupees 14 as it appears in the statement, comprises two separate fines of Rupees 10 and 4 respectively. It seems to have been the practice to blend into one item amercements for which the same cause has to be assigned. Hence the sum total is in excess of the amount to which a Collector's authority extends.-

6. Under the same system the first fine of Rupees 7 entered at Kistniah's name, is composed of three fines of 1. 5. and 1 Rupee. The fine of 8 annas is a single fine, so also is that of 10 Rupees.-

7. Unless otherwise instructed I shall arrange that future statements exhibit

exhibit each fine and it's cause.-

Salem Collectors Cutcherry
Ofsoor. - - - - - - }
30th March 1853. - - } (Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.-

(37.38)

Bd. No. 1514.

Proceedings thereon:- Under ordinary circumstances the Board of Revenue would not have considered the offence charged against Kistnien, Tahsildar of Paramutty, sufficient to warrant so severe a punishment as that of reducing him. But as it was by Mr. Phillips that this individual was promoted and as he appears to have lost confidence in him, the Board will not object to his retransfer to his former office or his reduction to any other, the salary of which is equivalent to that which he before received.-

To



No. 83

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(39)

Bd. No. 1723
From the Collector of Salem - - -
Submitting a copy of a correspondence between the Head Assistant Collector Mr Hodgson and himself with the view of eliciting the Board's determination on certain points connected therewith.
30th June 1853.

Sir,

I. My Head Assistant, Mr William Hodgson, having, in a communication addressed to your Board called in question the propriety of my exercising in this respect, the authority invested in Collectors by standing orders and intimated in a communication to myself that he "must decline to become the medium of the submission of his letter to your Board, I am doubtful whether I might not err in declining to forward such a communication and therefore have now the honor to transmit it.

2 As Collector of Salem, I have felt and still feel, that by performing the work of my Division myself, to the utmost of my own ability, I more conscientiously discharge the responsibilities reposed in me, than I should do if I availed myself of the assistance which Mr Hodgson has proffered, and I am quite unsatisfied

Fort St George 18th July 1853

satisfied that that measure best consults the interests of the State, and the comfort and well being of such of the inhabitants of the District as require my Official aid or intervention in their affairs.-

3 I am fully prepared to shew that the facts and conclusions stated in Mr Hodgson's letter of the marginal date, are erroneous and incorrect, but I could not meet a communication of that length and of so irrelevant a character, without laying before the Board a Statement of circumstances of various kinds, which I have no doubt whatever would entirely satisfy the Board and the Government that I am completely justified in exercising the privilege attaching to my Office. I therefore, as much out of consideration for Mr Hodgson, as from unwillingness to impede the business of my Cutcherry by writing a long communication, now abstain from troubling your Board with those circumstancial details.

23d June 1853.

4 Should your Board, however, be of opinion on reference to Mr Hodgson's letters to me, that in his particular case, I am debarred the



Fort St George 18th July 1853

the use of the privilege which by the marginal Circular* Order and the Regulations of Government, all Collectors would seem to possess, I shall then deem it necessary to represent in detail why it will be inadvisable in my opinion to confide to Mr Hodgson the conduct of that additional business, for which he himself is evidently not satisfied that he possesses the physical or official capacity.

*Board's Circular Order 7th July 1825 Para 19 Page 31.

From 7th Asst Collector 23d June 1853 Paras 7 & 11

5. There is but one point in Mr Hodgson's letters on which I feel that it is necessary I should now comment. I refer to Mr Hodgson's unauthorized mention of the aid I gave him in drafting a note to the Governor's private Secretary Mr Woosnam, and in this respect I trust your Board will pronounce an opinion whether in my communication to Mr Hodgson of the marginal date I have not properly objected to Mr Hodgson's reference to such a matter.

25th June 1853 Para 3

6. The case was this: When Mr R. R. Cotton quitted Salem to proceed to England in the early part of the year, Mr Hodgson was nominated ad interim to officiate

Fort St George 18th July 1853

officiate as Subordinate Judge of Salem - Whilst he was so employed, the Sub Collector Mr Cochrane took ill and applied for leave of absence under Medical Certificate - Just at this crisis, one day Mr Hodgson, on his way to his Court, and Mr Dalyell, the Assistant Collector, called on me when I was in my room at the Salem Cutcherry at Office work, and for a time conversed on ordinary matters. Mr Hodgson then said he was going to apply to the Governor for the Acting Sub Collectorate, and remarking that I could "knock off" a matter of the kind better than he, begged me to draft him a note to Mr Woosnam - I was rather surprised, but did not like to decline, in fact, the request was so sudden, that I had not time to consider whether a favor of so simple a nature involved any ultimate Official consequences, such as those of which Mr Hodgson now desires to take undue advantage - I asked him on what grounds he would base his application, and finding that he did not seem very clear on this head, I assisted him to the idea that he might state that, adverting to his

Position



Fort St George 18th July 1853

position on the Civil List, the fact of his having been away at home sick for six years odd, whilst his contemporaries were doing their duty in India, ought not to operate to his detriment in the way of receiving promotion and to this effect, in the middle of the conversation then going on between us, I drafted the point very roughly on a scrap of Office Country paper. When I read it to Mr Hodgson, that Gentleman paused and hesitatingly said he thought it would not do, as Sir H. Pottinger had already told him during an interview which he had with Sir Henry, that the Government would not and could not turn his unfortunate had health to his (Mr Hodgson's) disadvantage -

I was then rather perplexed, and observed that perhaps it would be well to say, that in all probability as the vacancy was likely to be only a short one, the Governor might desire to put in some one who had some "experience" of the District - Mr Hodgson however, took my proposition "au pied de la lettre", if I may use the term, said he thought it

would

would do well to state his case in that way, and on another scrap of paper I wrote two or three lines, so far as I recollect, embodying the plea Mr Hodgson put it in his pocket and went his way - But, whether a Head Assistant Collector employed as a Sub Judge, who, thus, visited me and thus availed himself of my aid as a private Gentleman is justified in attempting to secure the benefit of the occurrence in a matter which should depend on his and its intrinsic merits, and whether Mr Hodgson has, as he is pleased to assume, placed me in "a false position", I respectfully leave your Board to determine. I do not hesitate to submit that his reference to the matter at all, is most improper and indelicate..

Salem Collector's Office,
1st July, 1853

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

No. 1.

To, H. D. Phillips Esqre
Magestrate and Collector
of Salem.

Sir,

Having on the 24th March resumed my duties as Head Assistant in this



this District after a short interval of temporary employment in the Zillah Court. I observed that by your Vernacular Yadasht of the 28th March the Revenue charge of Taluk "Tengricottah" had not been reassigned to me; and conceiving that at some subsequent period I should either be favored with your instructions either in regard of that or some other Revenue charge or with your reasons for withholding any, I have allowed the circumstance of the withdrawal hitherto to pass unnoticed.

2. Feeling as I do that the observation of the most important duties usually devolving upon an officer holding the appointment to which I so recently succeeded, precludes the possibility of my gaining any experience in Revenue matters while it seems to imply a want of confidence on your part and is calculated to lessen my official influence over the Subordinate Officers of this District-

I have the honor to request

3. that you will at your earliest convenience, be

be good enough either to place me in such a position in respect of Revenue responsibility as my official designation or would seem to warrant or do me the favor to intimate to me the grounds of your objections.

Salem
H.M. Asstt. Magte & Collector's Office,
31st May 1853

(Signed) W. Hodgson
H.M. Asstt. Magistrate
and Collector.

A Copy is requested for records in this Office

To W. Hodgson Esqre
Head Assistant Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt this morning of your letter dated the 31st ultimo relative to the new reassignment to you of the separate charge of Palook Tengaracatta.

2. In reply, I beg to observe, that in the exercise of the discretion and authority which, under the law and orders in force, I believe to be vested in a Collector, I regard myself at full liberty to take advantage of the Subordinate European Agency at my disposal in such a manner



manner and to such extent as, with reference to the qualifications and experience of my Assistants, may, in my opinion, be most conducive to the well-being of the inhabitants and the interests of the Government;- and adverting to your position in the Collectorate, I regret that I do not feel bound to furnish you with any explanation of the grounds on or which in respect either of past or present arrangements I may exercise such discretion.

3. The miscellaneous revenue duties which from time to time, are likely to be confided to you, will, I trust afford you opportunities for the acquisition of revenue information; but judging from the delays which I brought to your notice in my letter of the day before Yesterday, I am compelled to infer that even now, your Magisterial duties furnish you with very sufficient official occupation.

4. As regards the possible limitation of your "official influence" (meaning I presume "authority") over the "Subordinate Officers of this District"-

I

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

I must doubt whether the mere extent or nature of the charge entrusted to you, would produce such a result. But whether or not, as such decrease would be apparent only from instances of actual neglect or disobedience of your orders, none of which have been reported by you, - I can but mention that if on occurrence, your own powers are not ample, it will become my duty to repress them.

Salem Collectors Cutcherry
Ofsoor,
2d June, 1853.

Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector.

---

To, H. D. Phillips Esqre.
Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2d Instant, in which you decline either to assign to me a Revenue charge, or to furnish me with your reasons for such refusal "adverting to" my "position in the Collectorate" as the reason for the latter.

2. I cannot of course dispute your authority to act as you please in



Fort St. George 18th July 1853

in the matter, but as your observations in para 2 are unmistakable and imply an indirect reflection on my efficiency for the performance of the duties I seek, you will not, I am sure, be surprised that I should be reluctant to pass them by unnoticed.

3. In connection with the subject of my efficiency or otherwise I would beg to remind you that I acted for Mr. McDonell as Head Assistant in South Arcot and that as an Assistant in that District I had a Revenue charge and was entrusted with the Summahbundy of my Talook and further that I am led to believe my promotion to my present office was consequent upon a favorable report of my qualifications by Mr. Maltby to Government. It is not, however, my province to express an opinion upon his capabilities of forming such judgment, as compared with yours, though I have not reason to suppose them inferior.

4. If in your judgment, I am unfit as Head Assistant in

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

in this District to perform the duties which, as I understand, are usually confided to an officer holding that an appointment, I conceive that it devolves upon you to report my inefficiency to the Government who have appointed me and while I do not deny the discretion with which you consider you are vested in employing the European Agency under you / though it would appear questionable with reference to the Board's orders of the marginal dates / I cannot but think that in its exercise feelings foreign to those affecting the public good are liable to operate, and thus, as the Government have nominated me to a post with which, I believe, Revenue duties are invariably associated, and as, before my assuming charge of a superior office, those duties were to some extent accorded to me, the present withholding them from me is an act which reflects personally upon myself.-

5 The Government thought proper to permit me to act in an office of considerable responsibility and

7th February 1825 Para 19 Bd of Revenue to Government C.O: 5th January 1824 5th May 1836 Section VII of Para I and Para 3 of the same order



Fort St. George 18th July 1853

and importance for nearly six weeks, and yet, on my resuming the duties of my permanent office under you, I find myself lowered in the estimation of the people (proportionate as it usually is to the extent of power) by your withholding from me that portion of them which I had conducted up to the date of my acting appointment in the Court, and without which a man can hardly be said to be doing that for which he is paid, and which is undoubtedly expected of him as the test of his general competence.-

Having when I was in the

6. Subordinate Court, done me the favor to draft a letter of application to His Excellency the Governor for the acting appointment of Sub Collector in this District, and, of your own accord, therein noticed that it might be deemed desirable to elect an officer who had already some experience of the District I certainly was not prepared on resuming the office of Head Assistant to find you

you pronouncing me incapable of a Re-
=venue charge in the terms of para 2 of
your letter under acknowledgment—
More particularly as on leaving the
Court you favored me with instructions
to proceed to Tengaricottah for the annual
settlement of that Taluck. It therefore
appears strange to me that you should
have found me sufficiently efficient for
the most important Revenue duty of
the year, and yet, subsequently, unequal
to the performance of the subsidiary
functions of a Revenue Officer.

7. I do not in the least desire
to set myself in opposition to you especi-
=ally as I am quite sensible that I may
not have been as energetic and zealous
in my public duties, as compared with
your own exertions, you might reason-
=ably have expected your Head Assist-
=ant should be, but, if allowed, I
think that with an English Writer
and an Additional Goomastah, I
should have little difficulty
in shewing that this has been
owing rather to my misfortune
than my fault. I have for years

suffered



suffered from constitutional illness
which has sent me to England twice
and which not only at times in-
=terferes with the efficient dis-
=charge of my public duties but
also mars the enjoyment I might
otherwise have in private life.

8. I yield to no one in my
desire to do my duty and though,
consequent on my repeated ab-
=sence, I am aware that I may not be
as efficient as some of my contemps-
=raries, yet I would put it to you
how I am ever to become efficient if
the opportunity of gaining experience
be not generally afforded me and
I am to depend in future upon the
miscellaneous duties which from time
to time are likely to be confided to me
for the acquisition of Revenue information
and it is on these grounds, and because
I desire to render myself fit and equal
for the duties of a Revenue Officer, and
especially in reference to my future
prospects, that I am desirous at once
of entering permanently on those duties-

With

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

9. With reference to your inference from certain delays in my English correspondence "that even now my Magisterial duties furnish" me "with very sufficient official occupation" it may not be foreign to the subject of this application to draw your attention to the inadequacy of my present establishment. Should you be of opinion that it is sufficient for the work which even now falls to my share, I can only remark that whatever my predecessors may have found, my experience proves the contrary as you are aware it consists of a Tasildarnevis and a Brahmin Deloyet, who draws 5 Rupees a Month, but happening to be a brother of the Tahsildar of this Talook possibly may not feel that his services are under paid or may be induced to undertake the various labors that are exacted of him in the hope of promotion. Be that as it may, I would submit that he is not properly filling his post if he cannot by reason of his caste be expected



Fort St. George 18th July 1853

expected to wear his belt as a Deloyet and perform those duties which his entertainment in the Cutcherry implies. With this establishment it must often occur that while the Tasevbenevis is engaged in dictating and the Deloyet in taking down depositions that all other business must be for such time in abeyance and it frequently happens on the occurrence of a gang robbery that the labor of writing the Takeeds and the lists of stolen property on each occasion devolves on this Deloyet as there are no Volunteers in this Office and this, in addition to various current duties, the nature of which is sufficiently known to you. When I first arrived in this District you allotted me but three Peons and a Deloyet to act as a Duffadar when you gave me also but one Talook of Revenue leaving the other (hitherto under the head Assistant) with Mr. Innes and since I resumed my Magisterial duties you have been good enough to send two additional Deloyets as but the permanent Duffadar of

of this Cutcherry had been ordered from Mr. Innes' office to your Huzzoor on that Gentleman's promotion. Here I observe that I do not deem it a consiederate act that you should have officially referred me to the several Tahsil Writers of the principal stations of my charge for the transcription of my rough drafts of correspondence. I believe you will give me credit for a proper amount of independent feeling which it will not be deemed unbecoming in an Officer, even "in my position in the Collectorate," thus with deference to express.

10- But still under these disadvantages I confidently refer to the records of my Office to prove that though the quantity may have dissatisfied you the quality of my work has not called for any reversals of my decisions by yourself either in Magisterial or Revenue matters or by the Court in the former and, I believe, that with the exception of the remission of 3 fines imposed upon as many Tahsildars you have not had occasion to find fault with



with me and that the delays in my English correspondence adverted to in your letter of the 31st May are generally in matters of the most trivial importance while the arrears of work in my Cutcherry are insignificant and few as far as I am concerned in their accumulation.

11 I believe I can in truth affirm that all you have apparently to say against me is entirely the result of physical causes and in no way proceeds from any unwillingness on my part to meet your wishes or fulfil the present duties you have assigned to me but it is probable that my apparent apathy may in part have its origin in the disappointment I have certainly felt at your giving me only Magesterial work and a miserable establishment. These circumstances, I confess, have occasioned me annoyance though, for long, I have deferred to bring the subject to your notice.

12 I do not dwell on the results of my being deprived of the usual charge

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

charge of the Head Assistant. I fancied they would be sufficiently understood by you and all I would now do is to repeat my desire on public grounds to be placed in that position in the District to which my Office entitles me and if, on trial and with an efficient establishment, I am found wanting I can then have nothing to say to your withdrawing from me all Revenue powers; but should you still see proper to decline my request I hope you will not think it unseemly or unbecoming in me to solicit that the question be, at once, referred to the Board of Revenue or if necessary to the Government.

Salem, Collectors Office, Darumpoory, 23d June, 1853.

Head Assistant
Signed / H. Hodgson
Head Assistant Collr.

To. H. Hodgson Esqre
Head Assistant Collector
of Salem.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 23d Instant commenting on the intimation recently conveyed to you from this Office, that, as the responsible head of the Collectorate,



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Collectorate, I am not prepared to assign to you a separate revenue charge, and requesting a reference of the question to the Board of Revenue, or, if necessary to the Government.

2. I have the honor to state that having duly considered such portions of your communication as are relevant to the matter, I see no reason to doubt the extent or nature of my authority in respect of distributing among my Assistants the business of the Talooks under my immediate superintendence; and am unable to discover any sufficient grounds for soliciting orders from the Board of Revenue on a point which, to my mind, is quite clear and obvious.

Para 6. 3. Your reference publicly to certain and which at your special request and in my private capacity I afforded when you were privately addressing Mr. Woosnam, Private Secretary to the Governor, is as much in contravention of the usages of society, as beyond doubt, it is opposed to the rules of official correspondence.

Salem, Collectors Office, Ejsoor, 25th June, 1853.

Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector.

To. H. D. Phillips Esqre
Collector of Salem.

Sir.

I much regret that you should

2d June 1853.

Expressed in your letter of the 25th June and Received 28th

should have withheld my appeal to the Board of Revenue or Government while you maintain your determination to withhold from me Revenue duties but as the question between us involves another which materially affects me I feel that I have no option and that in self defence I am called upon to appeal to that authority to which we are both subject.

2. I beg in consequence, to enclose a letter addressed by myself to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue and I send it through you as the proper medium of communication, and however indisposed you may yourself be to lay the matter before higher authority I must request that this letter together with a copy of the previous correspondance between us may at once be sent on for the opinion and decision of the Members.

3. I am conscious of having introduced in my recent letter† matters not strictly relevant to my object in addressing you but I should hope that, if this is a mistake in my part, it will not influence a judgment

† 25th June 1853.



judgment in regard to the real point at issue between us.

4. I must disclaim all intention of deviating from "the usages of society or of acting in opposition to" the rules of official correspondence when bringing to your remembrance the assistance you were kind enough to afford me in furtherance of my desire to be appointed Acting Sub Collector. I did not myself regard my application to the Private Secretary altogether in the light of a private communication, and as I felt grateful for your aid and appreciated it's value your official treatment of me suggested the contrast and rendered it difficult for me to reconcile your then apparent willingness that I should be appointed as Sub Collector with your subsequent disinclination to invest me with the ordinary duties of a Head Assistant and thus I fell into what may perhaps be an error of judgment in introducing a point which however pertinant to my case, was

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

was calculated. I now feel, to place you in a false position; and I certainly regret it, if, as you seem to think, I have in transgressed either "the usages of society" or the rules of official correspondence. but, I must add that I do not agree with you in this opinion.

5. I shall feel much obliged by your transmitting a copy of this letter when forwarding our previous correspondence to the Board of Revenue.

Salem. Head Assistant's
Collector's Office. - -
Daramsoory. - - - - -
30th June, 1853. - - - -

/Signed/ W. Hodgson
Head Assistant Collector

/True Copies/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 2.

To.

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir.

1. I do myself the honor to address you through the Collector of the District in order to bring before the Members of the Board of Revenue my appeal against Mr. Phillips's determination to withhold from me a separate Revenue charge.

2. It will not be necessary that I



Fort St. George 18 July 1853.

I should enter into further detail of the grounds, on which I so reluctantly place myself in opposition to the authority to which I am immediately subordinate, because they will be found fully explained in a letter addressed by me to Mr. Phillips on which I attempted to remonstrate in the hope that he would concede what I sought. His refusal to do this has alone necessitated my making an appeal to the authority competent to decide between us and I respectfully solicit the attention of the Board to my arguments in that letter and I have requested Mr. Phillips to be good enough to forward to the Board a copy of it as well as of his own letter which called it forth and of my original application asking for a Revenue charge and in making Mr. Phillips the Channel of communication I have also requested that Gentleman to lay before the Members a further letter, addressed by me to himself of this date.

I trust, whatever the result

3. that the Board of Revenue will acquit me of having been actuated by any other feelings in the matter than those which in truth, I can state, have also influenced me

+ 23d. June 1853.
+ 25th. June -
+ 2d. June 1853.
+ + 31st May -
+ 30th June 1853.

me throughout and which I hope and believe, are sufficiently apparent on the face of all that I have urged in support of my position -

Salem. Head Assistant's Collector's Office, Dharumpooky, 30th June, 1853. } Signed W. Hodgson Heade Assistant Collector of Salem -

B. No. 1522 -

Proceedings thereon.

(39.40)

It is usual to commit to the Head Assistant both the Revenue and Magistrates duties of two talooks, at the same time it would appear discretionary with the Collector to transfer his authority in the degree most conducive in his opinion to the public interests -

C.O. p. 31 -

2- Mr Hodgson having remonstrated against the determination of his superior to withhold from him the usual charge of an Head Assistant, it is necessary that the Collector should submit his reasons for thus acting before the Board can pass their orders on the subject - They would observe however, with reference to the objects to be gained by giving subordinate Officers a separate charge, that it is desirable that Assistants Collectors should be so employed, particularly those of the standing of Mr Hodgson, except in cases in which it can be fully shewn that authority cannot be transferred with safety and advantage -

C. O Pages 27 & 31
Paras 5 & 19 -

To



No. 88.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(41)

B. No. 1847 -

From the Collector of Salem -

Advertising to the circumstances of the Salem Distant submitting certain suggestions in regard to some of the forms of annual settlement accounts lately received -

Sir,

1 Adverting to your Boards Circular dated the 13th Ultimo transmitting certain forms of annual settlement accounts for future adoption: I beg to submit the following remarks relative to some of these as affected by the circumstances of the Salem Collectorate -

At the permanent settlement

2. the land was not classified according to the number of Crops yielded by it - therefore the entries in the comparative Statements of Dittam and cultivation cannot exhibit the particulars of the "one and two" crop land -

Nos 9 and 10 -

3. In the first of these Viz. the Dittam account; one of the headings entered sideways is "Dry land converted into Nunjah Gardens" Referring to a similar heading in the second Return. Viz. the cultivation Statement - I infer that the said heading should be thus "Dry land converted into Nunjah and Garden" but your Boards permission is necessary for the alteration -

Under the above General heading.

4- I propose opening two separate headings, the one for "Dry converted into wet" the other for "Dry converted

converted into Garden."

† N.8. 5. Column 20 of Statement of Enam cultivation is headed "collected by the Maniendar himself". I apprehend, on reference to the object of the Return, that "cultivated by the Maniendar himself" is intended.

* N.13 6. In the Statement which exhibits the particulars of Gross increase and decrease, I find no Column is provided for the entry of "Garden cultivation in dry lands". One will be necessary I conclude. The

° N.17. same remark is applicable to the Statement of Cowle lands.

° N.25 7. The Return of Tuccavy will be superfluous so far as concerns this District where no such advances are made.

° N.7. 8. The preparation of the annual
N.8. Statements in accordance with the forms furnished for Fusly 1262 and a few entries in some others, and, I fear, scarcely practicable for want of time to obtain the necessary details of information from the Talooks, whence the several Summabundy accounts, drawn up according to the forms hitherto in force have already reached my Cutcherry.

9. In these cases, unless otherwise instructed, I purpose adhering for the Fusly which has just closed to the old forms.

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, Ossoor, 12th July, 1853. /Signed/ H. D. Phillips Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

(41.42)

Read



Read the following letters.

No. 52.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(43)

B. No. 1797. From the Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

During the enquiries made by me preparatory to recommending measures for the revision of the land assessment, I have not been able to find the accounts of 5 Villages in the Verdachellum Talook, and as they appear to have been sent to the Board with a letter from the Sub Collector in charge dated 8th October 1828, I have the honor to request that they may be returned to my Office.

Candyencoopum, Eralampatti, Comingalum, Nemely and Nerramangum.

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 7th July, 1853. /Signed/ E. Maltby Collector.

B.C. No. 1537.

Proceedings thereon.

(43.44)

The Board observe that the accounts relating to the Village of Comingalum have already been sent to the Collector under date the 1st November 1832 those relating to the remaining 4 Villages are herewith returned in compliance with the Collector's request.

* Candyencoopum, Eralampatti, Nemely, Nerramangum.

To

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

No. 54.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

45

B. No 1842.

From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

In acknowledging Extract from the Board's Proceedings of 7th Instant, I have the honor to state that the Indian Iron and Steel Company have continued to discharge up to the 31st December last the amount periodically due by them as rent for the privilege of cutting firewood in certain Talooks of this District and appear to have availed themselves of their privilege in proportion to the small extent of work which they have latterly carried on at their factory at Porto Novo.

2. The agreement entered into by Government with this Company was adverted to in my letter of 18th March last, and I then expressed an opinion that it would not be advisable to extend their lease to the remaining portion of this District.

South Arcot, - - -
Cuddalore, - - - - -
Collectors Cutcherry,
12th July 1853. - -

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector

Order thereon.

45.46

Ordered to lie over.

Read



Fort St. George 18th July 1853

Read the following letter

No. 70

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

47

B. 1859

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

Adverting to the 1st and 5th paras of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 25th November 1852, I have the honor to submit the information required in reference to the Old Garden lands of this District, which have been abandoned and thrown out of Cultivation.

2. From all the enquiries I have been enabled to make during my recent tour through the District for the Settlement of the Annual Revenue, as also from the reports of the several Tahsildars, I am of opinion that the Garden tax is no where excessive, being on an Average throughout the Province below ten Rupees the Cawnie, and that where Gardens have been thrown up or abandoned, the cause has been either the failure of the Well or the complete exhaustion of the Soil rendering the future production of Garden products impossible.

For such Gardens I would suggest that they at once revert to the Punjey assessment of the Talooks in which they are situated, and if cultivated, the demand be regulated accordingly.

3. I beg to submit a Statement which will put your Board in possession of the Specific information required by para 5 of the Proceedings under reply. It will be observed that the entire Garden land of the District Amounts to Cawnies 17034. Of which Cawnies 8504 were originally classed as Garden and Cawnies 3031 obtained by conversion from Poonjay in Subsequent Years. And of the entire Extent of Garden land Cawnies 4863 are abandoned or thrown out of Cultivation. The aggregate Amount of assessment of this is Rupees 27,118 and to permit this land to revert to the head of Punjay requires a remission of Rupees 13267.

/Signed/ J. Bird
Collector.

Statement

Trichinopoly District, - - -
Voitalay goodalore - - - -
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
12th July 1853 - - - - -

18th July 1853

Extent of Garden land abandoned for various reasons

| No. of Wells | Land | Assessment | Assessment to be fixed at Poonjah rate | Difference or Decrease of assessment to be abandoned | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | C G Acs | Rs a ℔ | Rs a ℔ | Rs a ℔ | |
| 41 | 40 37 5 | 95 1 3 | 95 1 3 | " " " | Requires no reduction being very low. — |
| 281 | 422 11 8 | 1551 12 8 | 538 10 4 | 1013 2 4 | |
| 173 | 240 88 2 | 1279 10 7 | 650 8 9 | 629 1 10 | |
| 186 | 324 72 . | 1606 1 . | 770 5 4 | 835 11 8 | |
| 652 | 2877 88 4/2 | 13704 9 11 | 6854 5 11 | 6850 4 " | |
| 178 | 138 95 5 | 1489 13 5 | 1489 13 5 | " " " | The assessment for Poonjah and Garden is not one rate. — |
| 91 | 82 12 8 | 856 5 10/2 | 183 7 2 | 672 11 8/2 | |
| 363 | 730 49 3/2 | 6534 11 7 | 3267 11 7 | 3267 " " | |
| 1965 | 1863 54 4 | 27118 2 3/2 | 13819 15 9 | 13298 2 6/2 | |

Signed J. Bird
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

converted into Garden."

r No: 8. 5. Column 20 of Statement of Enam cultivation is headed "collected by the Maniendar himself". I apprehend, on reference to the object of the Return, that "cultivated by the Maniendar himself" is intended.

* No: 13 6. In the Statement which exhibits the particulars of Gross increase and decrease I find no Column is provided for the entry of "Garden cultivation in dry lands". One will be necessary I conclude. The

o No: 17. same remark is applicable to the Statement of Cowle lands.

o No: 25 7. The Return of Tuccavy will be superfluous so far as concerns this District where no such advances are made.

p No: 7- 8. The preparation of the annual
No: 8- Statements in accordance with the forms furnished for Fusly 1262 and a few entries in some others, and, I fear, scarcely practicable for want of time to obtain the necessary details of information from the Talooks, whence the several Summabundy accounts, drawn up according to the forms hitherto in force have already reached my Cutcherry.

{Entry in Column 2 Statement No: 1- Referred to as to "Dasawunderum Tanks" and "Tanks repaired by the Ryots" in Statement No: 3-

9. In these cases, unless otherwise instructed, I purpose adhering for the Fusly which has just closed to the old forms.

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, Ossoor, 12th July, 1853

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Order thereon.

(41.42)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read

8732

Statement Shewing the Extent of Garden land

| Talook | The Present Extent and Teerwa of land under Bagayet Cultivation | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No: of Wells | Land | Assessment | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Conaud | | 62 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| Vittoocully | 556 | 815 | 29 | 9 | 189 | 0 |
| Moosery | 1115 | 1889 | 47 | 12 | 5384 | 15 |
| Lailgoody | 712 | 1093 | 41 | 14 | 6282 | 11 |
| Torriore | 566 | 1027 | 87 | 3 | 6516 | - |
| Codiarpolliem | 4766 | 8801 | 70 | 5 | 76535 | 6 |
| Arrealore | 891 | 423 | 64 | 1 | 4656 | 5 |
| Volcondapoorum | 878 | 688 | 87 | 8 | 7589 | 6 |
| | 1418 | 2294 | 27 | 5/2 | 21085 | 15 |
| | 10882 | 17034 | 58 | 9/2 | 130028 | 3 |

Trichinopoly District Vaitalay goodalore

# Fort St. George

## Cultivated under Wells in the Trichenopoly District.

| Land originally classed as Garden | | | | | | Land originally as Poonjay now converted into Garden | | | | | | Assessment as Poonjah prior to its conversion into Garden | | | Jeerwah Jastee | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| No. of Wells | Land | | | Assessment | | No. of Wells | Land | | | Assessment | | | | | | | |
| | G | Gs | As | Rs | a | P | | G | Gs | As | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P |
| 293 | 422 | 56 | 4 | 1150 | 13 | 2 | 222 | 332 | 36 | - | 651 | 8 | 4 | 237 | 2 | 7 | 414 | 5 | 9 |
| 538 | 982 | 58 | 13 | 2908 | 6 | 3 | 296 | 484 | 77 | 7 | 1424 | 12 | 5 | 488 | 6 | 3 | 936 | 6 | 2 |
| 450 | 716 | 36 | 11 | 1261 | 2 | 2 | 89 | 130 | 17 | 1 | 741 | 14 | 5 | 169 | 10 | 2 | 572 | 4 | 3 |
| 266 | 497 | 37 | 13 | 1376 | 1 | - | 111 | 205 | 77 | 6 | 1333 | 14 | 7 | 452 | 6 | 2 | 881 | 8 | 5 |
| 3006 | 4553 | 32 | 13 | 14837 | 6 | 3 | 1088 | 1370 | 49 | 3½ | 12793 | 5 | 10½ | 3917 | 8 | 7 | 8875 | 13 | 3½ |
| 452 | 187 | 4 | 11 | 2083 | 15 | 8 | 261 | 97 | 64 | 1 | 1069 | 8 | 5½ | 872 | 8 | 11½ | 189 | 15 | 6 |
| 209 | 153 | 95 | 5 | 1617 | 11 | 9½ | 578 | 452 | 79 | 1 | 5115 | 1 | 11 | 3173 | 2 | 6½ | 1942 | 2 | 4½ |
| 672 | 971 | 55 | 7½ | 9392 | 3 | 7 | 383 | 592 | 22 | 10½ | 5159 | - | 6 | 2757 | 2 | 6 | 2401 | 11 | - |
| 5882 | 8504 | 77 | 13½ | 74627 | 11 | 10½ | 3031 | 3666 | 23 | 8 | 28282 | 5 | 6 | 12067 | 15 | 9 | 16214 | 5 | 9 |

12th July 1853.—

18th July 1853

Extent of Garden land abandoned for various reasons

| No. of Wells | Land | | | | Assessment | | | Assessment to be fixed at Poonjay rate | | | Difference or Decrease of Assessment to be abandoned | | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | G | Gs | As | | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | |
| 41 | 40 | 37 | 5 | | 95 | 1 | 3 | 95 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | Requires no reduction being very low.— |
| 281 | 422 | 11 | 8 | | 1551 | 12 | 8 | 538 | 10 | 4 | 1013 | 2 | 4 | |
| 173 | 246 | 88 | 2 | | 1279 | 10 | 7 | 650 | 8 | 9 | 629 | 1 | 10 | |
| 186 | 324 | 72 | - | | 1606 | 1 | - | 770 | 5 | 4 | 835 | 11 | 8 | |
| 652 | 2877 | 88 | 4½ | | 13704 | 9 | 11 | 6854 | 5 | 11 | 6850 | 4 | - | |
| 178 | 138 | 95 | 5 | | 1489 | 13 | 5 | 1489 | 13 | 5 | - | - | - | The assessment for Poonjah and Garden is not one rate.— |
| 91 | 82 | 12 | 8 | | 856 | 5 | 10½ | 183 | 7 | 2 | 672 | 11 | 8½ | |
| 363 | 730 | 49 | 3½ | | 6534 | 11 | 7 | 3267 | 11 | 7 | 3267 | - | - | |
| 1965 | 1863 | 54 | 4 | | 27118 | 2 | 3½ | 15849 | 15 | 9 | 13268 | 2 | 6½ | |

Signed J. Bird
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

Order thereon—

47.48

Ordered to lie over.—

Read the following letter

No. 50.

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

49

Bd. No. 1813.
From the Collector of Coimbatore—

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th May last, and to para 3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 9th April 1853, I beg to inform you that I will arrange to dispense with the writer Mr Rabelo's Services by the 1st September next and that I would beg to recommend him for a gratuity of 2 Months Salary or 80 × 50 = 100 Rupees—

Coimbatore,
8th July 1853.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector—

Bd. No. 1535.
Resolution thereon—

4950

In Cons 12th May 1853.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of Government with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 29th April 1853. The Board are not aware of the length of Mr. Rabelo's Services, and cannot therefore offer an opinion as to the adequacy or otherwise of the proposed gratuity to that individual. If he is an old Servant of Government the amount might be regulated by the Rules recently published.—

Gazette 1851 Page 1161.

Read



Fort St. George 18th July 1853

Read the following letter

No. 51.

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

51

Bd. No. 1671
From the Collector of Canara—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th June requesting me to furnish the information called for by Government in Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation on the Dummahlundy Report of Fusly 1261 Viz. whether any increased importation of Arabian Salt has followed upon the reduction of the duty upon that article—

In reply I beg to state that there has been yet no importation of Arabian Salt into this District. Before the late alteration the duty was prohibitive and no parties have yet availed themselves of the permission to import One Arabian Vessel brought some Salt but the Merchants of the place did not find it to their interest to purchase it at the price demanded and it was not landed—

3 As the Arabian Salt competes with that of Bombay and England in Bengal, I cannot but think that it will eventually be imported into Canara, but there are strong prejudices to be overcome and an investment of this article would at present be made at a great risk—

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore
23d 1853.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector—

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

No. 328.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(51-52)
Bd. No. 1525
To the Chief Secretary to Government—
Sn. Cons. 6th June 1853

Sir,

With reference to the latter part of para 2 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 17th May 1853, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith a letter from the Collector of Canara, dated 23d June 1853, from which it will be seen that no parties have availed themselves of the permission to import Arabian Salt at the reduced duty of 12 Annas per Indian Maund Mr. Maltby however anticipates that as the Arabian Salt competes with that of Bombay and England in Bengal it will eventually be imported into Canara—

Revenue Board office,
Fort St. George,
18th July 1853

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.—

---

Read the following letters—

No. 75.

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue—
Fort St. George.—

(53)
Bd. No. 1793
From the Collector of Malabar—

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 18th Ultimo, No. 65, I have the honor to forward copy of a communication just received from the Collector



Collector and Magistrate of Coimbatore—

2. It will be seen that Mr. Chatfield 38 days after receiving my decision against carrying out a System of Passports proposed to Mr. Thomas, without alluding to my opinion to undertake with him Mr. Chatfield the very policy of which I had disapproved. and that on Mr. Thomas declining on much the same ground as I had done—Mr. Chatfield gave vent, in a most extraordinary and gratuitous manner, to calumnious aspersions on my public Character—

Calicut
5th July 1853

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

P.S. Mr. Thomas, it will be seen by his letter to me of the 1st instant, is "not aware of any more frequent or worse inroads by Mopla robbers of late into Coimbatore than formerly" So that the very ground on which Mr. Chatfield proposed his extraordinary measures is unsound—

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

From the Joint Magistrate of Malabar No. 113
Dated 23d May 1853
To Dft. 13th June 1853
From Dft. 16th Do.—

No. 1
No. 224

To H. V. Conolly Esquire
Magistrate of Malabar

Sir,

As the system proposed in the accompanying proposition of your Joint Magistrate Mr. Chatfield, seems to involve a general principle connected with both your District and my own, I beg to communicate Copy to you not knowing your views or wishes on the matter—

Sam

2 I am not myself aware of any more frequent or worse inroads of late into Coimbatore, than in the time of the Monopoly though it might be fairly and naturally feared that its cessation would throw out of their illicit but gainful employ, a considerable body of smugglers. If the same watchful and energetic Surveillance be continued, as was maintained by your Head Assistant Mr. W. Robinson, I trust no more stringent measures may be found necessary.—

Coimbatore, 1st July 1853

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Magistrate

No. 113.

To E. B. Thomas Esquire
Magistrate of Coimbatore

Sir,

My attention has been drawn to several extensive Gang Robberies in Coimbatore which are supposed to have been designed and perpetrated wholly or in part by Malabar thieves as there is not apparently much resistence likely to be offered by the people of Coimbatore to the older Moplah plunderers of Malabar, I should be extremly glad to discover any means for the checking of a State of things likely to increase rather than diminish as the Tobacco Smugglers find themselves pressed for subsistence during the Monsoon. It seems to me that people



people, Moplahs in particular, leaving Malabar under the pretence of trade should be provided with a Rahdaree from the local Officer and that any person without this document should be seized by your or my Police Officers and sent back to their Villages. This is no new plan but was formerly adopted in Malabar and even now is resorted to by the respectable. I would only restore it and make it applicable to the rogues as some Check to their free wanderings. I should of course appreciend any parties returning from, or going to Coimbatore, without a Rahdaree or without their showing some good reasons for their movements.—

Joint Magistrates Office, Paulghaut, 23d May 1853

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Joint Magistrate

No. 204

To R. Chatfield Esquire
Joint Magistrate of Malabar

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 23d of May last proposing measures for Checking Moplah robberies in the Coimbatore District, I beg to say that though much obliged by your consideration, I do not think the plan you propose would quite answer the end in view, and might possibly lead to abuse. I would suggest that for the present, a strict surveillance by the heads of Villages would be more advisable. I will take care that this is carried out in my

my District, and if you will kindly see that the same is done on the Malabar side, I should hope that further measures will not be necessary—

Coimbatore, Dotacamund, Magistrates Office, 13th June 1853 } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas Magistrate

No. 135.

To E. B. Thomas Esquire
Magistrate of Coimbatore

Sir,

In acknowledging your letter of the 13th Instant, I have the honor to state that I will do my utmost to restrain the Malabar thieves from wandering into and molesting the inhabitants of Coimbatore—I fear however the result will be small as the vagabonds of this District have so long had their own way and take things so coolly, that our Police has become totally prostrated and when it is not afraid it is bribed, and when it is not bribed it is asleep—

The most daring depredators of Malabar proceed from Ernad and Shernad, and Mr. Conolly, I fear, will hardly be persuaded to admit any interference with them for, having been long accustomed to entire independance of action, they might consider any obstruction exstremely distasteful and possibly resent even a slight degree of surveillance on the part of the subservient Police as an infringement of their rights and liberties—

Joint Magistrates Office, Paulghaut, 16th June 1853 } /Signed/ R. Chatfield Joint Magistrate

/True Copies/ /Signed/ E. B. Thomas Magistrate

/True Copies/ /Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector



B. No. 1532
Proceedings thereon—
53. 54

Had the Board received the above communication before disposing of Mr. Conolly's letter of the 18th Ultimo, they would have felt it their duty to submit the whole correspondence for the consideration and orders of Government, but having already replied to that communication they will not have recourse to this measure unless Mr. Conolly should specially desire it—They cannot however omit to repeat their disapprobation of the tone of Mr. Chatfield's correspondence, and to express their surprise that an Officer of his standing and experience should have permitted himself to adopt so insubordinate a line of conduct as is evinced not only by his delay to comply with the orders which he had received to cancel his proclamation respecting Passports, but more especially by his having, after the receipt of those orders, entered into a correspondence with the Magistrate of Coimbatore with a view of carrying out the very measures which had already been disallowed by his Superior Officer—

Pro. to Coll. 7th July 1853

No. 77

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

55

B. No. 1861
From the Collector of Malabar }

With reference to the Board's Circular

8741

Fort St. George 18th July 1853

circular letter under date the 25th April last, requesting certain information as to the names and relative proportions of the several Weights and Measures of every Denomination current in this District,- I have the honor to inform you that the information called for appears to have been already furnished in full detail in the Statement which accompanied Mr. Clementson's letter to the Board under date the 15th August 1836, there have been no changes in the use of the said Weights and Measures in this District between that and this period. I had one of the two species of "Vellikole or Steel Yards" alluded to in the 8th column of Mr. Clementson's Statement under the Head of the Calicut Talook, tested in my presence, and found a Maund to be equal to 1,360 Rupees weight.

Calicut, 11th July 1853. (Signed) H. V. Conolly. Collector.

HR. Order thereon. Ordered to lie over. Read.

(55-56)

742. Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Read the following letter.

No. 100.

To,

B. Cunliffe Esqre

Sub Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

57

No. 1778.

From the Acting Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for transmission to the Board of Revenue, the papers noted in the margin relative to a Land Certificate applied for by Lieutenant R. M. Macdonald 29th Regiment N. I. holding the appointment of Assistant Agent in the District of Ganjam for certain land situated on the Berhampore Race Course and to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for his occupying the ground on the terms specified in the Statement.

Madras, 7th July, 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes.

Acting Collector and Registar of Government Grants.

Description

8743.

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

Description of Ground the proprietor of which has applied for a Land Certificate from the Honorable Company.—

| Name of the Proprietor. | Situation of the ground. | Since when enclosed. | Title under which it is held. | Extent of the ground. Cawnies | Grounds. | Square Feet. | Nature of the Soil. | How laid out whether any building upon it. | Quit Rent proposed per Cawney. | Remarks of the Collector of Madras. | Remarks of the Board of Revenue. | Decision of Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lt. R. M. Macdonald 29th Regt. N.I. | On the Berhampore Race Course between the Zeroyettee Village of Goy-londy and the Mofussil Village of Lingapilly in the Talook of Mohery. | Marked out by means of a Ditch in April 1853 but not enclosed in any other way. | Waste Zeroyettee land (no title at present) under a Puttah. | 8 | 23 | 2364 | Red rocky Soil. | Entirely open and covered with rocks and bushes no buildings of any description with exception of the foundation of a Bungalow. | On the Zeroyettee lands Annas 6-7½ and on the Mofussil land Annas 12-1½ | It is recommended that the sanction of Government may be granted for the issue of a Land Certificate to the Applicant in the form A accompanying the Circular letter from this Office of the 30th June 1851. | | |
| | | | | 4 | 21 | 1455 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 13 | 21. | 1419. | | | | | | |

Madras, 7th July, 1853.—

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Acting Collector.

8744

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

No. 102.

From

The Register of Government Lands

To

Messrs. Mackenzie & Co.

Madras.—

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit an application from Lieutenant R. M. Macdonald of the 29th Regiment N.I. soliciting the assignment of Waste Zeroyettee land in the Division for cemetery to specify a piece of land containing 5 Cawnies 8 Grounds 23 Square Feet Mofussil Zeroyettee Trial Mofussil.

2. The proposed rates and amounts of ground rent respectively on the Zeroyettee and Mofussil are laid down in the Circular letter to be observed that the assignment of rent on the portion of Mofussil land will commence when the Mofussil rates. The rates being the average of the rates of Khandel Inferior wet lands taken for agricultural purposes the lands are of no very great description.

They to state that the entry under the head "how laid out" is correct—

Zeroyettee numbers 8— 23— 23½. of 6 annas 7½ Mofussil numbers 4— 21— 14½. of 12 annas 1½

Yours Obedient Servant
Collectors Cutcherry
Cutcherry, 25th June, 1853.

(Signed) H. D. Onslow
Collector.

8746. Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

B. No. 1516.

Proceedings thereon.

57.58

No. 326.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

The Board recommend that a Certificate in the form A, for land consisting of Cawnies 13–21–1419 situated on the Berhampore Race Course, in the District of Ganjam, be granted to Lieutenant R. Mc. Macdonald, 29th Regiment N.I. on an annual Quit rent of 6–7 3/4 as per Cawney for the Jerayetty and 12–1/2 a. p. per Cawney for the Surva Mokhassa land the latter sum leviable on the lapse of the Enam.

T. Gov. 1st April 1854.

---

To

B. Cunliffe Esqr.
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

No. 105.

59

B. No. 1995.

From the Acting Collector of Madras.

Sir,

1. With reference to the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 16th December 1852, I have the honor to report that the Jaggery Contract for the supply of the Article for the use of the Distillery of the Madras Collectorate was sold by public Auction on the 9th May 1853 to Comaravaloo Pillay at 11 1/2 Rupees per Candy, and that Bonds to the amount of 2000 Rupees have been deposited as security for its fulfilment.

2. The rates at which the Contract has been given for the previous five Fuslies are noted in the Margin.

| | Rt. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 11 | 4 | — |
| " 1259 | 12 | 15 | — |
| " 1260 | 11 | 13 | — |
| " 1261 | 8 | 14 | — |
| " 1262 | 9 | — | — |

Madras, 9th July 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Acting Collector.

The

---

8745

To The Collectors of Ganjam.
Sir

Rajahmundry, 15th June, 1853.

I request you will procure the Surveyor's

| Situation of the Ground. | Since when enclosed. | Title under which it is held | Contents in: Cawnies | Cawnies | Cawnies | Nature of the Soil. | Boundaries on the |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| On the Berhampore race course between the Jerayetty Village and the Govt. land and the Mokhassa Village of Surya Jerayetty in the Taluk of Parlakimedy. | Marked out by means of a ditch at the end of April 1853. | Part Jerayetty land (no title at present) | 8 | 23 | 2364 | Red rocky Soil. Entirely open and covered with rocks and bushes. No buildings of any description with the exception of the foundation of a bungalow. | Sau. Mk. South. East. West. Part of the land of Jerayetty. Waste land of Jerayetty and E. waste land of Surya Jerayetty. Waste land of Jerayetty and the Govt. waste land of Surya Jerayetty. |
| | | Mokhassa land | 4 | 21 | 1455 | | |
| | | | 13 | 21 | 1419 | | |

I do hereby certify that the ground above described does not interfere with any Public Works.

(Signed) A. St. Orr Lowe
Collector

Proceedings

No. 4 Plan.

8747. Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

B. No. 1512.

Proceedings thereon-

59.60

The Board of Revenue approve of the Proceedings of the Acting Collector reported in the foregoing letter.-

---

No. 111.

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 11th Instant.-

I considered it proper to state that A. Terovengalew Sherloo did not speak Tamil. But at the same time I can assure the Board that I do not anticipate from this circumstance any practical difficulty at all commensurate with the disadvantage of getting a Stranger to myself or an incompetent person to fill the Office of Serristadar. Probably half the servants of the Establishment and nearly an equal proportion of the Natives Resident here speak Telugu. But as Terovengalu Charloo is a Vaishnia Brahmin Tamil is the religious language of his order. I have little doubt that he will be able to read and speak that language in Six months- I trust therefore the Board will if he accepts Office on that understanding, be pleased to waive their objection- Otherwise I apprehend considerable delay and embarrassment in finding a Substitute from whose appointment I could confidently expect satisfaction.

Madras 16th July, 1853-

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

61
B. No. 1870.
From the Acting Collector of Madras.

Under

8748. Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

B. No. 1521.

Proceedings thereon-

61.62

Under the further representations of the Acting Collector the Board of Revenue sanction the appointment of A. Terovengalacharloo as Serristadar of the Madras Cutchery on the understanding that he be required to qualify in Tamil within 6 months at the end of which time the Collector will report whether he has acquired a sufficient knowledge of the language to be able to conduct his duties efficiently.-

---

63

B. No. 1853.
From the Fort Adjutant Fort St. George.

Read the following Extract-

Extract from Garrison after orders by the Right Honorable the Governor dated Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

"The reassembly of the Committee for the examination of Probationers in the Commissariat Department ordered for tomorrow is postponed until further orders."

(A true Extract)

(Signed) Tho. Thompson, Captain.
Fort Adjutant.

No. 2283.
Town Majors Office, Fort St. George 14th July 1853.

Forwarded to
The Sub Secretary
Board of Revenue
for information.

63.64

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded-

---

Resolved that the following letters be despatched-

No. 322.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

65
B. No. 1505.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered

Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

delivered over charge of the Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue to W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th July, 1853

(Signed) B: Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

---

66

B. No. 1846.
To the Civil Auditor

To,
The Civil Auditor.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue to W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th July, 1853

(Signed) B: Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

---

No. 321.

67

B. No. 1853.
To the Chief Secretary to Government

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I have the honor to report, for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that I returned to the Presidency yesterday by the Ship Malabar, and have this day assumed charge of my Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th July 1853

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

---

6548

B. No. 1854.
To the Civil Auditor

To,
The Civil Auditor.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day



Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

assumed charge of my Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th July, 1853

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

---

69
B. No. 1871.
From the Collector of Chingleput.

Read letter submitting a Detailed List of the Hoozoor and District Establishments as they stood on the 30th April 1853.

Ordered to be recorded.

69.70
Order thereon.

B. No. 1881.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Read Abstract Statement of the number of persons charged, convicted and acquitted &c. under Regulation IX of 1822 for the half year ending 30th June last.

71

71.72
Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over, until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an Abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

73
B. No. 1867.
From the Collector of Nellore.

Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the month of June last.

74
B. No. 1849.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the month of June last.

75
B. No. 1874.
From the Collector of South Arcot.

Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the month of June last.

From

76
B. No. 1863.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the month of June last-

73-77
Order on the 4 abovementioned Registers- Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Read the undermentioned Statements and Bill-.

78
B. No. 1814.
From the Accountant General- Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the month of April last-

79
B. No. 1816.
From the Accountant General- Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the month of May last-

80
B. No. 1817.
From the Accountant General- Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the month of June last-

81
B. No. 1815.
From the Accountant General- Bill for binding Books-

78-82
Order on the abovementioned 4 Statements and Bill- Resolved that the necessary orders be passed-

Received the undermentioned

83
M. No. 773
From the Collector of Trichinopoly- Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last-

From,



84
M. No. 774.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of June last-

85
M. No. 770.
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah- Supplement to the Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of April last-

86
M. No. 769.
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of May last-

87
M. No. 775.
From the Head Assistant Collector of Singleput- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last-

88
M. No. 771
From the Collector of Tanjore- Stating that no persons have been charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last-

87
M. No. 768.
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah- Reporting that there were no cases of persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of May last-

90
M. No. 772.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly- Stating that the Return of persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 was not forwarded for the month of June last-

From,

8753. Fort St. George 18th July 1853.

91

Mr. No. 767.

From the Acting Collector of Madras. Acknowledging the receipt of 1000 Salt Rowannahs.—

" Goldingham

Comp. D. Gibb

" Blane

8754 Fort. St. George July 1853

# Diary.—

Received the following

19th July

Official Memorandum.

1

Bd. No. 1890.

From the Acctt. General

Official Memorandum.

The Accountant General has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will inform this Department whether the Samples of Timber referred to in the accompanying Bill, are intended to be used for any, and what Public Works, in order to enable this Office, to determine the head of account to which the Freight on the Timber, should be debited.

Fort St. George,
Acctt. Genl. Office,
15th July 1853.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.—

/ Signed / T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

19th

Sent the following Memorandum.

1-2

Bd. No. 1518

To the Acctt. General

Official Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to inform the Accountant General, with reference to his Official Memorandum of 15th July 1853, that the Samples of Timber for Railway Sleepers therein referred to, were under orders of Government forwarded by the Marine

In Sec. Con. 21st July 53

† Extract Minutes Cons. 23d March and 11th April 1853. Public Dept. No. 298.

Marine Board to Major Pears Railway consulting Engineer.

The Original Document forwarded by the Accountant General are returned herewith.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George, ----
19th July 1853 ----

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

19th July. Sent the following letter

(3)

B2 N. 1523
To the Civil Auditor
3d June 1853
18th July —

To. The Civil Auditor —

Sir.

With reference to my letters noted in the margin, I have the honor to acquaint you that I delivered over charge of my Office, after the duties of the day had been finished, on the evening of the 3d Ultimo, and assumed charge before 11 A.M. on the 18th Instant, I conceive therefore that both those days should be excluded from the period I availed myself of the leave recently granted to me. —

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George, ——
19th July 1853 ——

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Sent the following letter. —

N. 332.

(4)

B2 N. 1530
To the Chief Secy to Government

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir.

In conformity with the orders of



of Government conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the month of June 1853 for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George, ——
20th July 1853 —

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

At a Meeting Present —

Thursday 21st July 1853 } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

---

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member.

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read, and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

(5)

B2 N. 1159
From the Secy to Government

Furnishing correspondence from the Government of Bombay and others relative to the measure which is used in Malabar for measuring Salt.

N. 477.

Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 29th April 1853.

Read the following.

N. 1739 of 1853.

Territorial Department Revr

To. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to Government of Fort St George

Sir. I have been directed by the Right —

Right Honorable the Governor in Council

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1008 dated the 15th October last, and to inform you that a reference will be made to the Honorable the Court of Directors on the subject of para 4.

2. With reference to your letters Nos. 73 and 208 of the 19th January and 21st Ultimo, I have been desired to annex for the information of the Government of Fort St. George, Copy

Memoranda to the Collectors of Malabar and Canara Nos. 385 & 386 dated 21st January 1853 with Enclosures.
Ditto to Ditto - Ditto Nos. 389 & 390 D/21st January 1853 with enclosures.
Letters from the Collector of Malabar Nos. 2371-2377 & 24 & 28th & 29th Dec. /52 and 8th January 1853.
Letter to Dr. No. 359 D/20th January /53 with Enclosures.
Memo to the Collector of Canara No. 360 of 20th January 1853.
Letter from the Collector of Malabar No. 196 D/3rd February 1853.
Report by the Acting Collector of Customs Bombay No. 138 dated 22nd February 1853.
Letter to the Collector of Malabar No. 1018 D/7th March 1853.

of the Correspondence quoted in the margin with the Collectors of Malabar and Canara.

Bombay Castle
21st March 1853

/Signed/ H. C. Goldsmid
Secy to Govt.

To The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Bombay

My Lord,

We have the honor to bring to the notice of your Lordship in Council the following



following circumstance, and humbly crave your Lordship's favorable consideration thereto.

That on our transmitting salt to Malabar Coast as usual, we were subjected to a great hardship by the Collector by his taking from us a measurement of 98 lbs per Parah of Indian named instead of 82 lbs as hitherto for we were allowed. This difference has arisen from taking the measurement of salt in a quite different way. We were formerly in the habits of filling up to the brim, and not allowing the salt being pressed in the Parah so that it may hold in it a large quantity. When the Collector did not like to adhere to his former Custom our Agents at that Station did not receive the amount, not the receipt on this the Collector offered to our Agents the amount of 105 Parahs for every 100 Parahs, and desired them to wait until he has been favored with the decision of your Lordship in Council to the reference made by him on the subject under date the 25th March last. On this condition our agents accepted his offer.

We therefore humbly request your Lordship in Council will be pleased to grant us redress by directing the Collector who has been satisfied as to the weight being greater and unusual to pay us in full and grant

us

as a receipt of the whole quantity he has actually received from our Agents.—

In conclusion we humbly beg to acquaint your Lordships in Council that the Salt transmitted by us to Cochin and Alpie was ordered to be measured by a Pharah of 82 lbs as usual and we were not subjected to any loss whatever.

Bombay 15th June 1853.

We have &c.
(Signed) J. Harriddoss Moo Gee and others.

No 336 of 1852

Territorial Department

Revenue

Report of the Officer in Charge Land Revenue Collector's Office.—

The Officer in charge of the Land Revenue Collector's Office has the honor in reply to report for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, that of the four parties who have signed this petition only one Viz.

Hurrymullubdoss Hurgovendoss has exported Salt from the Bombay Salt pans since the transmission of Lieutenant De Lestes measures to the Cost. The quantity Exported by him, as follows.

To



| To what part of the coast Salt was exported | Quantity exported | Quantity shewn by certificates to have been landed. | More | per Cantayo | Less | per Cantayo |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | 9 Measures | 9 Measures Solitalo | | | m. d. s. | 0 2 |
| Canara | 1190 | 1125 - 38 - 70 | " | " | 64 - 1 - 10 | 5 - 4 |
| Malabar | 15,075 | 13636 - " - " | " | " | 1439 - " - " | 9 - 5 |

On referring to the certificates from the Coasts it would appear from the endorsement thereon, that Salt landed at Canara has been measured by the Measal of 328⅓ Cubic inches whilst the Salt landed at Malabar appears to have been measured by the Garce of 120 Indian Measures the Cubic contents of which however has not been stated. The equivalent in Indian Measures of both these measurements as shewn in the certificates have been given in the Statement above.—

On Multiplying the number of Measals shewn in the certificates from Canara and dividing them by the number of Indian Measures, which have been put down as their equivalent, the Officer in charge Land Revenue Collector's Office ascertains that the Cubic contents of the Indian Measure has been reckoned at 29.50 Cubic inches.—

As the Cubic contents of the Garce by which the measurements at Malabar have

have been made has not been given. it is impossible to say what the Cubic content of the Indian Maund has there been reckoned at, it is however to be presumed that it must have been reckoned according to the dimensions of the new Bombay measure Viz. 2924-1 as on several of the Certificates from thence a Memorandum has been made, to the effect that "the delay in granting the Certificates was "in consequence of its having been necessary "to ascertain the exact quantity of Salt by the "new mode of measurement."

5 The Bombay Government having in consequence of the representations made by the Madras Government consented to a modification of the new Standard measure and having thereby admitted that it represents on the Coast more than the equivalent of the Salt exported from thence, the officer in charge of the Land Revenue Collectors' Office, is of opinion that until the adjustment of the Size of the measure to be used on the Coast be made, the former System of reckoning the equivalent of the Indian Maund should be adhered to which is in accordance with the instructions conveyed in para 2 of Mr Chief Secretary Mallett's

Letter



letter No 7269 dated 14th July 1851.

6 The simplest plan would perhaps be to allow the Collector to write off as much of the deficiencies as may be accounted for by estimating the Indian Maund at the dimensions fixed by the Madras Government Viz. 2761-1 Cubic inches. To do this all that will be necessary will be to write to the Collector of Malabar, and ascertain the Cubic contents in inches of the Garce shewn in the Certificates granted by him as the Cubic contents of the Parcel in the Certificates from Canara have been given. Supposing this course were adopted and taking for granted that the Cubic contents of the Indian Maund at Canara has been as stated in para 4 reckoned at 2,924-1 Cubic inches, the result on the Shipment shewn in the Statement in para 1 would be as follows.

| | Indian Maunds | Seers | Tolahs |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shipped to Malabar | 15075 | " | " |
| Deduct allowance for wastage at 5 per Cent | 753 | 30 | " |
| Balance | 14,321 | 10 | " |
| Deduct difference between the Cubic contents of Indian Maund reckoned at 2761-1 instead of 2950 Cubic inches | 933 | 17 | 1 |
| Net out turn | 13,387 | 32 | 79 |

which

which on having compared with the amount shewn in the certificates Viz- 13636 Indian Maunds gives as landed in excess of that shipped from hence about 248 Indian Maunds

* Vide Column 3 of Statement given in para 1

| | Maunds | Seers | Tolahs |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shipped to Canara Deduct | 1190 | — | — |
| Deduct allowance for wastage at 5 per Cent | 59 | 20 | — |
| Balance | 1130 | 20 | — |
| Deduct difference between the Cubic Contents of 1190 Indian Maunds reckoned at 2761-1 instead of 2924-1 Cubic inches | 66 | 19 | — |
| Net out turn | 1064 | 1 | — |

which on being compared with the amount shewn in the Certificate Viz- 1125-38-70- gives as landed in excess of that shipped about 62 Indian Maunds- From the above it will be perceived that the plan proposed is in both instances in favor of the Exporter, especially at Canara where the deduction for wastage above (without taking into consideration any thing for the difference in the out- turn of the new measure there and at this place) brings the amount shewn in the Certificates to within 5 Maunds of the amount Exported from hence- and from

* Vide Column 3 of Statement given in para 1

Indian Maunds Seers Tolahs
1125 - 38 - 70



from this circumstance may be drawn the inference that the measure of 2,761 Cubic Inches which the Madras Government is of opinion represents the corresponding dimensions of the Indian Maund of Salt as measured here when it arrives at the Coast, is less than it ought to be.—

7 On referring to the Register of Bonds the Officer in charge of the Land Revenue Collector's Office finds that the letter № 7269 dated 12th July 1851 above referred to has been quoted as an authority for writing off excesses, but this appears to him to be an error for it, as reported by Mr Hutchinson the Cubic Contents of the Indian Maunds was previous to the communication of the 24th December 1850 from this Department reckoned at 2,566 3/4 Cubic inches, the increase to 2,950 would produce a deficiency of the out turn on the Coast instead of an excess

8 Although it has been above noted that only one of the Petitioners has exported Salt from the Bombay Salt Pans it may be mentioned that all the traders complain that there is a considerable deficiency shewn by their Certificates.—

Bombay Collector's Office, 28th August 1852

(Signed) W. D. Robertson
In Charge Land Revenue Collector's Office.

№

8765 Fort St. George 21st July 1853

No 6431 of 1852.

Territorial Department Revenue.-

Mr. Robertson is requested to consult Lieutenant De Leslie and state whether that Officer concurs with him in the above remarks and suggestions.

Bombay Castle. 6th October 1852

By Order &c
(Signed) A Malet
Chief Secy to Govt

No 453. of 1852.

Territorial Department
Revenue

The Officer in Charge of the Land Revenue Collector's Office, begs to report that in accordance with the above instructions he has consulted Lieutenant De Leslie, Copy of whose letter upon the petition and report, is herewith submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

2 A reference to the Madras authorities will have to be made with reference to para 2 of Lieutenant De Leslie's letter.

3 Paragraph 3 of his letter does not appear to call for observation.

4 In respect to para 4 of Lieutenant De Leslies letter, the Officer in charge is of opinion that he is in correcting the Cubic contents of the Indian Maund shewn in the Certificates from Canara 2950 Cubic inches to

F.R.

8766 Fort St. George 21st July 1853.-

to 2924. 1- inches as there is nothing on them to shew that the new Bombay Measure had been adopted, indeed had it been adopted the Measurement by Mercals would have been abandoned.-

5. A reference to the report from this Department No. 331 dated the 21st June 1851 para 1. will shew Government that it was by the desire of this Department that the Cubic Contents of the Maund were reckoned at 2950 Cubic Inches and although in that report the Collector of Canara is shewn to have stated the Cubic Contents of the Mercal as 800 Cubic Inches which is also stated by Lieutenant D Leslie, yet the Officer in Charge of the Collector's Office is respectfully of opinion that an error must have been made by the Collector of Canara, for from paras 5- 22, and 24 of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue at Madras, dated the 8th March last and which was forwarded with the letter from the Madras Government No. 360 dated the 7th April following, it is clear that the Salt Mercal was fixed at 828 1/3 Cubic inches and that it was larger than the Standard Mercal which is only 800 Cubic inches. It is probable that the Collector of Canara in his communication to this Department has also.

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.-

also in his letter quoted by Lieutenant D. Leslie erroneously gave the demensions of the Standard Mercal instead of the Salt Mercal.-

6. The Officer in charge of the Collector's Office begs to state that he concurs in the suggestion made by Lieutenant D. Leslie in paragraph 5 of his letter that the Shippers should bear whatever portion of the variation may be considered as due to the Sea unworthiness of the boats in which the Salt was exported until however it be ascertained that the Bombay Measure has been used which Lieutenant D. Leslie has simply taken for granted, but which the Officiating Collector doubts to have been the case, it would not be desirable to act upon that suggestion.-

7. As stated in para 8 of the Officiating Collector's report, the Complaint of the Petitioners is one which is common to all the traders. He does not therefore think that it would be desirable to adopt the course recommended by Lieutenant D. Leslie in paragraph 6. of his letter, (which is suggested from striking the Average of a few Certificates only) until it has been ascertained from the Authorities on the Coast what Measures they used in measuring the Salt, and whether the Salt was prefsed into or in the



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.-

the Measures or otherwise.

Bombay Collectors Office, 29th October, 1852.-

(Signed) A. D. Robertson.
In Charge Land
Revenue Collectors Office

Accompaniment to the Report from the Officer in charge Land Revenue Collectors Office No. 453 dated 29th October 1852.-

To.

A. D. Robertson Esqre
In Charge Land Revenue Collrs Offices

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 405 of the 7th Instant, I have the honor to offer the following remarks on the petition therewith forwarded.-

2. The petitioners Statement that at the receiving posts the salt was prefsed in the Measure should be inquired into, as it was never intended that the Measure should be so used.-

3. On comparing the Certificates given by the receiving Officers on the Coast it appears that at Alleppee and Cochin where the Salt has been received by weight, the deficiencies seem to have been unimportant at the latter place, indeed the quantity delivered is in excefs of the quantity exported even without any deductions for wastage shewing either that the quantity ifsued at Bombay was in

excefs-

excess of the real weight or that the salt had imbibed a sufficient quantity of Moisture to compensate for the loss by wastage. The excess is however most likely due to both these causes it being well known that measure: =ment as compared with weight is in favor of the purchasers at the Bombay Pans.-

4. The Calculation of the equivalent number of Maunds from the Canara Mercal as given in the Certificate No. 90 is I am inclined to think erroneous as the Cubic Contents of the Indian Maund should have been taken at 2924.1- inches instead of 2950 the former being the dimensions of the Measure now in use. The corrected amount would be about 1132½ Maunds. The receipts state the Cubic Content of the Mercal at 828⅓ Cubic inches while in the former letter from the Collector of Canara dated 18th March 1850. it is given at Cubic inches 800 or from the dimensions given in the same letter 792.15.- the Measure therefore seems to have been changed. The deficiency shown on the corrected quantities is then 58 Maunds or 4.9. per Cent a quantity within the usual allowance for wastage.-

5. From the Statement of the quantities delivered at Calicut it appears that the deficiencies vary from 5.6.- to as much as 12.1.- per Cent. Now if the Measure: =ment has been the same for all these Cargoes



Cargoes I think it may be inferred that some part of this variation at least is due to the more or less seaworthiness of the boats in which the salt was exported and should be fairly borne by the Shippers. It does not however appear on the Certificates as mentioned on Para 4 of your report whether the new Measure was used or in what manner which, from the Statement of the Petitioners if true, seems to have been wrong the Salt having been pressed into the box.-

6. Column 9 in the annexed tabular Statement shews this per centage in the Original Shipment after deducting the allowance for wastage, the quantity not accounted for varying from 0.6- to 7.1 per Cent. In para 15, Page 5 of my report on the measurement of Salt it is mentioned that this process is uncertain to the extent of about 3 per Cent and I afterwards recommended that this allowance should be made in the case of the quantity delivered being short. Column 11 shews the Net outturn after this deduction the average deficiency being 1.3. or 1½ per Cent which cannot be considered a very unreasonable amount of loss considering the mode of Carriage in open boats. The diff: =ference between the Bombay and Madras Measures being about 6 per Cent I would suggest as an amendment on the proposition

2950.
2761 :: 1.

contained

8771.

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

contained in Para 6 of your report that an extra allowance of 3 per Cent be substituted for it. In the Cargoes marked 34-28-19-47-70-34 it will be remarked that the losses are almost entirely covered by this rate and it may be I think fairly assumed that in the other Viz. 17-44-52-53- some other cause has combined with the allowed for to produce the great deficiencies.

Bombay. - - - - }
9th October, 1852. }

(Signed) A. D. Leslie Lind
Engineer.

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. D. Robertson.
In Charge Land Revenue
Collector's Office.

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

Statement of Quantity Cocoas delivered. Shipped deliveries.

| Cargoes. | Depôts. | Per Centage Depôts. | Depôts. Emoy. | Depôts. Madras. | Depôts. Cuddalore. | Depôts. Coimbatore. | Per Centage for Different Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | Delisher | 22½ | 2 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| 40 | " | 23 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| 36 | " | 30 | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| 79 | " | 57½ | 4 | 9 | 5 | | | |
| 63 | Cochin | 55 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 81 | " | 129 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 90 | Canara | 98 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 34 | Cadiaut | 96 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 17 | " | 333 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 28 | " | 141 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 19 | " | 243 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 44 | " | 148 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| 52 | " | 95 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | | |
| 47 | " | 100 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | | |
| 53 | " | | | | | | | |
| 70 | " | | | | | | | |
| 34 | " | | | | | | | |
| | | | 143 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 3 |

| Cargoes. | Quantity Cocoas delivered. | Shipped deliveries. | Depôts. Emoy. | Depôts. Madras. | Depôts. Cuddalore. | Depôts. Coimbatore. | Per Centage for Different Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Delisher | 1050 | 1052½ | | 2½ | | | |
| " | 850 | 825¼ | | | | | |
| " | 810 | 820 | | | | | |
| " | 2100 | 2070 | | | 22 | | |
| Cochin | 1900 | 1982 | | | 54 | | |
| " | 1750 | 1804 | | | | | |
| Canara | 1190 | 1132½ | | | | | |
| Cadiaut | 980 | 925 | | | | | |
| " | 1120 | 991 | | | | | |
| " | 1120 | 1022 | | | | | |
| " | 1120 | 1024 | | | | | |
| " | 2755 | 2422 | | | | | |
| " | 1260 | 1119 | | | | | |
| " | 2940 | 2097 | | | | | |
| " | 1400 | 1252 | | | | | |
| " | 1120 | 1024 | | | | | |
| " | 1260 | 1100 | | | | | |

Average

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. D. Robertson
In charge Land Revenue Collection

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

No. 383 of 1853

Territorial Department

Revenue.

To, R. Spooner Esqre

Acting Collector of Salt Revenue

Bombay.

Sir,

No. 335 dated 28th August 1852
No. 405 dated 29th October. with accompaniments.

I have placed before Government Mr. Roberts on's Reports as per Margin on a Petition from Hurridass Mooljee and others, Traders in Salt at Bombay.

2. His Lordship in Council has been pleased to sanction the adoption of the course suggested in Para 6 of Mr. Roberts on's Report of the 28th August last No. 335 and to authorize the Collector of Salt Revenue to make such further percentage deductions in these old cases as on enquiry, may to them appear proper.

3. A copy of Mr Roberts on's very clear Reports and accompaniments and of this Letter will be sent to Mr. Laard, and similar transcripts will be furnished to the Collectors of Malabar and Canara

The



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

4 The Petitioners have been re-ferred to you.

Bombay Castle, 21st January, 1853.

(Signed) H. E. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.

No. 385 of 1853

Territorial Department

Revenue.

For The Collector of Malabar

No. 386 of 1853

For The Collector of Canara

No. 491 of 1852

Territorial Department

Revenue

To, Arthur Malet Esqre

Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

In continuation of my report on the Petition of Hurridass Mooljee and others, which was forwarded under Endorsement No. 4302 dated the 24th June last, I have the honor to submit three Statements Marked A. B. and C. respectively shewing the result of all the Shipments of Salt during the past season to the Malabar Coast. the first Statement comprises the Shipments to the ports under the Collector of Canara. The

Second

Second gives those for the ports under the Collector of Malabar and the third the Shipments to the Foreign ports on the Coast.

2 It will be as well to consider each Statement separately.-

3. Firstly then, on looking at the Statement for the Shipments of Salt landed at the ports under the Collector of Canara, it will be perceived that in no case has any excess been landed-

Statement A

2ndly. The deficiency is considerable amounting on an average on all the Shipments to 7-4. per Cent- and out of 83 Shipments, the total number of Shipments, there is a deficit of 10 per Cent and upwards in eleven Shipments, or in 13.2 per Cent of the total Shipments whilst in twenty Six Shipments, or 31-3 per Cent of the total Shipments, the deficiency falls within 5 per cent, the allowance made on account of wastage and there are thirty five Shipments, or 43-8 per Cent of the total Shipments on which the deficiency is within 8 per Cent, on the per centage of wastage and for difference of measurements.-

3dly It will be seen that the per centage of the deficiency varies considerably with



with the parties by whom the Salt has been so shipped. The order in which the Shippers' names in this respect stand, is as shewn in the Statement marked A 2.

Statement B

4 On examining the State-ment of the Salt landed at the ports under the Collector of Malabar, we also find that Firstly no excess has been landed at any of them.

2ndly The total deficiency amounts to Indian Maunds 5921, or 8-9. per Cent on the total Shipments and out of thirty Shipments there is a deficit of 10 per Cent and upwards on nine Shipments or 29 per Cent of them- whilst the defi-ciency falls within 5 per Cent on one Shipment only or 3-2 per Cent of the Shipments and that on twelve Shipments or 18.7 per centage of them, it falls within 8 per Cent.

3dly The per Centage by which the difference according to the Shippers, varies, is as given in the Statement marked B.6

Statement C

5. The Statement for the Foreign ports shews but a trifling deficit as Com-pared with the two other Statements. The total deficit amounts to 3,170- Indian Maunds

Maunds, or 2 per cent of the quantity Shipped - whilst on several Shipments the quantity landed exceeds the quantity exported.

6 As already stated in para 3 of my report No. 336 dated the 28th August, I believe that in measuring the Salt at the ports under the Collector of Canara, the Indian Maund has been estimated, as formerly, at 2,950 Cubic Inches, instead of in accordance with the dimensions of the new Bombay measure - Viz. 2,924-1. Cubic Inches - and I might have referred His Lordship in Council, in para 4 of my report of the 29th ultimo No. 453 to the calculation on which I stated in that report. I had come to the conclusion that the 2950 Cubic Inches had been adopted as the dimensions of the Indian Maund measure.

† Para 3 of No. 336

7 This would cause very nearly a deficiency of one per Cent in the measurements at these ports.

8. On examining the certificates from the Collector of Canara, I find that a Shipment of Salt which was landed on



on the 27th December, was measured with a Mercal, of 800 Cubic Inches, and that a Shipment which was landed on the 4th January, was measured with a Mercal of 828 1/3 Cubic Inches - As the Certificates for these Shipments are both dated the 14th January, I am led to conclude that the larger sized Mercal must have been adopted from the 1st January last, in lieu of the smaller sized one - and I am confirmed in this opinion by the fact that all the Shipments landed in December, whilst in the Certificates for those landed in January and subsequently, the former has been entered.

Vide para 4 of my report No. 336 dated 28th August 1852

9 The measurements at the ports under the Collector of Malabar, have I believe, been made in accordance with the dimensions of the new Bombay measure.

10. At the Foreign ports the Salt is weighed.

11 On taking into consideration the results given above in paragraphs 3 and 4 I think that if 31. 3 per cent of the Shipment to the ports under the Collector of Canara; the Salt could be landed with a deficiency

not

not exceeding 5 per cent, the remainder of the Shipments to the ports could with ordi=nary care on the part of the Shippers have been landed without the great defici=ency which has been shewn against them.

12. Deducting 5 per Cent on the total of the Shipments to Canara and Malabar from the Certified deficiency, there is a difference of 5,150 Indian Maunds, which, I am disposed to believe, could have, and probably has been clandestinely landed at ports within this Presidency, or disposed of to the Fishing Vessels and Coasting Craft which the Salt Boats pass on their voyage down the Coast.

13. As Lieutenant De Lisle however Estimates that 2½ to 3 per Cent of the deficiency may be occasioned at times by the difference in the mode of measuring the Salt if in addition to the allowance of 5 per cent for wastage, we deduct 2½ per Cent from all the Shipments in which the defici=ency exceeds 7½ per cent, we will find a deficiency of 4,808 Indian Maunds, in which the Shippers are, I think, undoubtedly answerable



answerable for, at the full rate of the Excise duty of 12 annas per Indian maund.

14. Some modification in the rules at present in force for the adjustment of the Bonds entered into by Shippers to the Malabar Coast is, I consider, necessary for the protection of the Salt Revenue, and before closing the bonds of the parties whose names are shewn in the State=ments, I think it desirable to await the instructions of His Lordship in Council, and the result of any reference that may be made to the authorities on the Coast, for the purpose of ascertain=ing the nature of the measures used, and the method of using them.

15. The practice at present is to adjust the Bonds upon this average of the Shipments and Mr Rose in para 4 of his letter to Government No. 469 dated the 6th September 1851 has stated that it would be distasteful to the Merchants to adjust each Shipment separately and that it would be likely to cause confusion and hardship. also that it did not occur to his experience or foresight, that

that any good can result from it.

16. With due deference to one of Mr. Rose's judgement and experience I respectfully beg to state that I feel disposed to differ with him, and think that the adjustments should be made on each Shipment, as it would act as a strong preventive against the clandestine disposal of portions of the Cargoes on their voyage down the Coast - that the arrangement would be distasteful to those who have the means at present of defrauding the Government Revenue, is not, I humbly consider, a sufficient reason for disregarding so simple a method of protecting the Government interests - I should however suggest that the arrangement be carried out in such a manner as not to entail any hardship upon the Traders.

17. With this view I would propose that an allowance as heretofore of 5 per cent for wastage, and 2½ per cent for difference in measurement, be allowed, or in all 7½ per cent - and that no account be taken of any difference, so long as it may fall within that amount, on



on all Shipments however, in which the difference may exceed 7½ per cent, the full excise duty should be levied.

18. As remarked above, if 31-3 per cent of the Shipments to the ports under the Collector of Canara can be landed with a deficiency not exceeding 5 per cent, there can be no difficulty in landing the whole, with ordinary care, with a deficiency of 7½ per cent - If in consequence of the unseaworthiness of their boats, the wastage exceeds 5 per cent, it is but fair that the Merchants should receive no extra allowance on that score.

Bombay Collector of Land Revenue Office 15th November 1852 -

(Signed) A. D. Robertson
In Charge Office of
Coll.r of Land Revenue
Bombay -

Statement

| | | |

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

that any good can result from it.

16. With due deference to one of Mr. Rose's judgement and experience I respectfully beg to state that I feel disposed to differ with him, and think that the adjustments should be made on each Shipment, as it would act as a strong preventive against the clandestine disposal of portions of the Cargoes on their voyage down the Coast: that the arrangement would be distasteful to those who have the means at present of defrauding the Government Revenue, is not, I humbly consider, a sufficient reason for disregarding so simple a method of protecting the Government interests. I should however suggest that the arrangement be carried out in such a manner as not to entail any hardship upon the Traders.

17. With this view I would propose that an allowance as heretofore of 5 per cent for mastage, and 2½ per cent for difference in measurement, be allowed, or in all 7½ per cent: and that no account be taken of any difference, so long as it may fall within that amount, or

8783 Fort St. George 21st July 1853

A

Statement of Certification of Collectors of Customs at Ports under the Collectorate of Bimlipatam

| Name of Collector | Quantity Collected at Port | Quantity landed on the Coast from Bombay | Deficit | Excess |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Vizianagram Collr | 24450 | 2491 | 28 | |
| Kistna Collr | 1820 | 1885 | 16 | |
| Nellore Collr | 700 | 637 | 15 | 5 |
| Chingleput Collr | 1050 | 193 | 5 | 15 |
| Salem Collr | 770 | 705 | 31 | 50 |
| Coimbatore Collr | 420 | 390 | 24 | " |
| Bimlipatam Collr | 1820 | 1854 | 21 | 12 |
| Vizianagram Collr | 1250 | 1177 | 35 | 53 |
| Kistna Collr | 1750 | 1695 | 21 | 24 |

8784 Fonds appartenant à M^rs Duffy 1863

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Allaire Est | 720 | 653 | 28 | 40 | " | " | " | " | " | 44 | 11 40 6- 6 |
| " | 630 | 854 | 28 | 20 | " | " | " | " | " | 75 | 11 60 11- 9 |
| " | 702 | 643 | 27 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 56 | 12 76 8- " |
| " | 1402 | 1282 | 29 | 52 | " | " | " | " | " | 117 | 10 28 8- 3 |
| " | 770 | 701 | 7 | 20 | " | " | " | " | " | 68 | 32 60 8 9 |
| " | 840 | 766. | 11. | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | 73 | 28 70 8 7 |
| " | 2802 | 2521 | 20 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | 278 | 19 72 9-9 |
| " | 525. | 487 | 6 | 71 | " | " | " | " | " | 37 | 33 9 7- 2 |
| " | 1750 | 1660 | 12 | 54 | " | " | " | " | " | 89 | 27 26 5-1 |
| " | 2100 | 1968 | 13 | 62 | " | " | " | " | " | 131 | 26 18 6-2 |
| " | 1960 | 1843 | 38 | 13 | " | " | " | " | " | 116 | 17 67 5-9 |
| " | 2240 | 2070 | 32 | 27 | " | " | " | " | " | 169 | 6 53 7- 5 |
| " | 1826 | 1725 | 7 | 15 | " | " | " | " | " | 94 | 32 65 3- 2 |
| " | 1826 | 1725 | 7 | 15 | " | " | " | " | " | 94 | 32 65 3- 2 |
| " | 1590 | 1425 | 38 | 70 | " | " | " | " | " | 64 | 1 10 5- 3 |
| 3 Heirs Walcott Plan = pounds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25210 | 23309 | 28 | 38 | " | " | " | " | " | 1900 | 11 42 7- 5 |
| " | 1585 | 1528 | 25 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | 56 | 14 77 3-5 |
| " | 980 | 934 | 7 | 53 | " | " | " | " | " | 45 | 32 27 4-6 |
| " | 1050 | 947 | 38 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 102 | 2 " 9-7 |
| " | 1190 | 1072 | 2 | 32 | " | " | " | " | " | 117 | 37 48 9-8 |
| " | 1190 | 1031 | 25 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | 158 | 14 77 13-3 |
| " | 980 | 915 | 26 | 30 | " | " | " | " | " | 64 | 13 50 6-5 |

8785

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 Hajee Ebram Mamon | 980 | 935 | 32 | 40 | " | " | " | " | " | 44 | 7 | 40 | 4 | 4 |
| " | 770 | 716 | " | 54 | " | " | " | " | " | 53 | 39 | 26 | 7 | " |
| " | 1400 | 1322 | 21 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | 77 | 18 | 79 | 5 | 5 |
| " | 840 | 793 | 9 | 30 | " | " | " | " | " | 16 | 30 | 50 | 5 | 4 |
| " | 1540 | 1464 | 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 75 | 27 | " | 4 | 8 |
| " | 700 | 646 | 15 | 18 | " | " | " | " | " | 53 | 24 | 62 | 7 | 5 |
| " | 1400 | 1349 | 19 | 20 | " | " | " | " | " | 50 | 20 | 60 | 3 | 5 |
| " | 910 | 844 | 13 | 43 | " | " | " | " | " | 65 | 26 | 37 | 7 | 1 |
| " | 1120 | 1073 | 18 | 45 | " | " | " | " | " | 46 | 21 | 35 | 4 | 1 |
| " | 1330 | 1300 | 24 | 76 | " | " | " | " | " | 29 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| " | 1010 | 988 | 15 | 30 | " | " | " | " | " | 21 | 24 | 50 | 2 | 7 |
| " | 12,600 | 11,434 | 23 | 37 | " | " | " | " | " | 565 | 16 | 43 | " | " |
| 5 Mamool Vaabhoo | 630 | 599 | 19 | 42 | " | " | " | " | " | 30 | 20 | 38 | 4 | 7 |
| " | 1960 | 1829 | 25 | 28 | " | " | " | " | " | 130 | 14 | 52 | 6 | 6 |
| " | 1820 | 1703 | 10 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | 116 | 29 | 70 | 6 | 3 |
| " | 1120 | 1039 | 6 | 49½ | " | " | " | " | " | 110 | 33 | 39½ | 9 | 8 |
| " | 1960 | 1828 | 20 | 33 | " | " | " | " | " | 131 | 19 | 47 | 6 | 6 |
| " | 1260 | 1194 | 30 | 49½ | " | " | " | " | " | 65 | 9 | 30½ | 5 | 7 |
| | 8750 | 8164 | 32 | 43 | " | " | " | " | " | 585 | 7 | 37 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 Mooza Seet | 2800 | 2566 | 28 | 34 | " | " | " | " | " | 233 | 11 | 46 | 8 | 3 |
| " | 800 | 741 | 33 | 79 | " | " | " | " | " | 58 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| " | 3600 | 3308 | 22 | 33 | " | " | " | " | " | 291 | 17 | 17 | 8 | " |
| 7 Munjanaathajee | 1750 | 1519 | 3 | 14 | " | " | " | " | " | 230 | 36 | 66 | 13 | 1 |
| " | 1190 | 1117 | 11 | 74 | " | " | " | " | " | 72 | 28 | 6 | 6 | " |
| " | 1960 | 1746 | 17 | 69 | " | " | " | " | " | 213 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 8 |
| " | 1100 | 972 | 37 | 50 | " | " | " | " | " | 127 | 2 | 30 | 11 | 5 |
| | 6000 | 5355 | 30 | 47 | " | " | " | " | " | 644 | 9 | 33 | 10 | 7 |
| Grand Total | 104,210 | 96,445 | 34 | 64 | " | " | " | " | " | 7764 | 5 | 16 | 7 | 4 |

Bombay Land Revenue Collrs. Office
15th November 1853

(Signed) A. D. Robertson
Incharge Office of Collr. of Land Revenue

8786 ||

Fort St. George 21st to 26th July 1853

A a.

| Names | Quantity Shipped | Quantity Landed | Deficiency: Quantity Deficient | Deficiency: Percentage on the quantity Shipped | Total Number of Shipments | Deficiency above 10 per cent: Number of Shipments | Deficiency above 10 per cent: Per centage on the whole Number of Shipments | Deficiency within 10 per Cent: Number of Shipments | Deficiency within 10 per Cent: Per centage on the whole Number of Shipments | Deficiency 5 per Cent and under: Number of Shipments | Deficiency 5 per Cent and under: Percentage on the whole Number of Shipments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Ms. Ss. | Ms. Ss. | Ms. Ss. | | | | | | | | |
| Byraanjee Sett | 17,460 " " | 43746 | 18 36 | 37 13 | 40 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 37. 5 | 16 | 40 - " |
| Abdulla Sett | 25,210 " " | 23,309 | 28 38 | 1900 11 42 | 19 | 4 | 21 - 05 | 8 | 42 1 | 3 | 15 7 |
| Hurrymullub Hurgovindass | 1190 " " | 1125 | 38 70 | 64 1 10 5 3 | 1 | " | " | 1 | 100 " | " | " |
| Magee Ibrahim Mehmon | 12,000 " " | 11,434 | 23 37 | 565 16 43 4 - 7 | 11 | " | " | 5 | 45 4 | 6 | 54 - 5 |
| Manoel Purbhoo | 8750 " " | 8164 | 32 43 | 585 7 37 6 - 6 | 6 | " | " | 4 | 66 - 6 | 1 | 16 - 6 |
| Moosa Sett | 3,600 " " | 3,308 | 22 33 | 291 17 47 8 - " | 2 | " | " | 1 | 50 " | " | " |
| Munjunathjee | 6,000 " " | 5355 | 30 47 | 644 9 3 10 - 7 | 4 | 3 | 75 | 1 | 25 " | " | " |

Bombay, ---------

Land Revenue Call^rs Office,

15th November 1852.

/ Signed /

A. D. Robertson

Incharge Office of Call^rs of Land Revenue

Statement

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | Hurry mullubh Hurgovindass | 980 | 925 | " | " | 55 | 5 - 6 |
| | " " | 1260 | 1160 | " | " | 100 | 7 9 |
| | " " | 2755 | 2422 | " | " | 333 | 12 - " |
| | " " | 2940 | 2697 | " | " | 243 | 8 - 2 |
| | " " | 1400 | 1252 | " | " | 148 | 10 - 5 |
| | " " | 1260 | 1119 | " | " | 141 | 11 - 2 |
| | " " | 1120 | 991 | " | " | 129 | 11 - 5 |
| | " " | 1120 | 1024 | " | " | 96 | 8 - 5 |
| | " " | 1120 | 1022 | " | " | 98 | 8 - 7 |
| | " " | 1120 | 1024 | " | " | 96 | 8 5 |
| | Total | 15075 | 13636 | " | " | 1439 | 9 - 5 |
| 3 | Woonan wookerda | 2100 | 1842 | " | " | 258 | 13 - 7 |
| | Total | 2100 | 1842 | " | " | 258 | 13 - 7 |
| | | 66,485 | 60564 | " | " | 5921 | 8 - 9 |

Bombay Land Revenue Coll[r] office
15[th] November 1852

/ Signed / A. D. Robertson
In charge office of Coll[r] of Land Revenue

8787

# Statement of Particulars of Fast Vessels at Date under the Collector of Statistics.

B

| Names of Ports | Vessels | Tonnage | Number of Vessels | Tonnage | Number of Vessels | Tonnage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Atlantic Ports | Steamers | 1430 | 1328 | " " | 102 | 7- 1 |
| | Sailing Vessels | 2940 | 2,699 | " " | 241 | 8- 2 |
| | Steamers | 1120 | 1037 | " " | 83 | 7- 4 |
| | Sailing Vessels | 770 | 727 | " " | 43 | 6- 5 |
| | Steamers | 1260 | 1144 | " " | 116 | 9 2 |
| | Sailing Vessels | 1960 | 1795 | " " | 165 | 8- 4 |
| | Steamers | 1000 | 1215 | " " | 685 | 13- 9 |

Statement of Particulars of Fast Vessels at Date under the Collector of Statistics.

A 12.

Fund for Cargo

| Names of Ports | Number of Vessels | Tonnage | Number of Vessels | Tonnage | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Steamers | | Steamers | | |
| | Sailing Vessels | | Sailing Vessels | | |
| | Steamers | | Steamers | | |
| | Sailing Vessels | | Sailing Vessels | | |
| | Steamers | | Steamers | | |
| | Sailing Vessels | | Sailing Vessels | | |

Bombay, ---

Land Revenue Collector's Office,

15th November 1852.

Signed

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1,400 | 1,240 | " | " | 160 | 11- | 4 |
| | 3,500 | 3,227 | " | " | 273 | 7- | 8 |
| | 1,260 | 1,133 | " | " | 127 | 10- | 1 |
| | 4,900 | 4,586 | " | " | 314 | 6- | 4 |
| | 840 | 798 | " | " | 42 | 5 | " |
| | 2110 | 1930 | " | " | 180 | 8 | 5 |
| | 2,620 | 2,423 | " | " | 197 | 7 | 5 |
| | 560 | 528 | " | " | 32 | 5 | 7 |
| | 3,010 | 2,841 | " | " | 169 | 5 | 6 |
| | 2,800 | 2,584 | " | " | 216 | 7- | 7 |
| | 6,330 | 5,795 | " | " | 535 | 8 | 4 |
| | 2,660 | 2,323 | " | " | 337 | 12- | 6 |
| | 2,940 | 2,733 | " | " | 207 | 7 | " |
| Total | 49,310 | 45,086 | " | " | 4224 | 8- | 5 |

T.R.

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 Hurry vullubh Hurgorindas | 980 | 925 | " | " | 55 | 5- | 6 |
| | 1260 | 1160 | " | " | 100 | 7 | 9 |
| | 2755 | 2422 | " | " | 333 | 12- | " |
| | 2940 | 2697 | " | " | 243 | 8- | 2 |
| | 1400 | 1252 | " | " | 148 | 10- | 5 |
| | 1260 | 1119 | " | " | 141 | 11- | 2 |
| | 1120 | 991 | " | " | 129 | 11- | 5 |
| | 1120 | 1024 | " | " | 96 | 8- | 5 |
| | 1120 | 1022 | " | " | 98 | 8- | 7 |
| | 1120 | 1024 | " | " | 96 | 8 | 5 |
| Total | 15075 | 13636 | " | " | 1439 | 9- | 5 |
| 3 Mooman wookerda | 2100 | 1842 | " | " | 258 | 13- | 7 |
| Total | 2100 | 1842 | " | " | 258 | 13- | 7 |
| | 66,485 | 60564 | " | " | 5921 | 8- | 9 |

Bombay Land Revenue Collr. office
15th November 1852

/Signed/ A. D. Robertson
In charge office of Collr. of Land Revenue

8788

Fort St. George

B. 6.

21st July 1853

| Names | Quantity Shipped | Quantity Landed | Deficiency Quantity Deficient | Deficiency Percentage on the Quantity Shipped | Total Number of Shipments | Deficiency above 10 per cent Number of Shipments | Deficiency above 10 per cent Percentage the whole Number of Shipments | Deficiency within 8 percent Number of Shipments | Deficiency within 8 percent Percentage on the whole Number of Shipments | Deficiency 5 per cent & under Number of Shipments | Deficiency 5 per cent & under Percentage on the whole Number of Shipments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 Mds | Sr | 1 Mds | Sr | 1 Mds | Sr | | | | | |
| Abdool Rahimon Alloo | 49010 | " | 45086 | " | 4224 | " | 8-5 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 5 |
| Hurrywallal Hurgo mindass | 15075 | " | 13,636 | " | 1439 | " | 9-5 | 10 | 4 | 40 | 2 | 20 | " | " |
| Woosman Wookeda | 2100 | " | 1842 | " | 258 | " | 13-7 | 1 | 1 | 100 | " | " | " | " |

Bombay Land Revenue Collectors Office

15th November 1852

/Signed/ A. D. Robertson

In charge Office/ Coll.r of Land Revenue

Statement

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | " | 2150 | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | 980 | 1014 | 24 | 3- 6 | " |
| " | " | 2450 | 2391 | " | " | 59 2- 5 |
| " | " | 2380 | 2350 | " | " | " |
| " | " | 2660 | 2620 | " | " | 40 1- 5 |
| " | " | 1420 | 1424 | 24 | 1- 7 | " |
| " | " | 1820 | 1920 | 10 | 5- 3 | " |
| " | " | 840 | " | " | " | " |
| Total | | 24 850 | 24801 | 198 | " 7 | 947 " 9 |
| 3 | Brought Over | 2170 | 2920 | 10 | 2- 7 | " |
| " | " | 1260 | 1297 | 37 | 2- 1 | " |
| " | " | 2590 | 2548 | " | " | 42 1- 6 |
| " | " | 1120 | 1120 | " | " | " |
| Clear | | 7070 | 7065 | 137 | 1- 9 | 42 " 5 |

8788 || Fort St. George

B. 6.

| Names | Quantity Shipped | Quantity Landed | Deficiency Quantity Deficient | Deficiency Percentage on the Quantity Shipped |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 Mds. 1 | 1 Mds. 1 | 1 Mds. 1 | |
| Abdool Rahiman Alloo | 49010 " " | 45086 " " | 4224 " " | 8-5 |
| Hurry wallal. Hurgo nindas | 15075 " " | 13,636 " " | 1439 " " | 9-5 |
| Woosman Wookeda | 2100 " " | 1842 " " | 258 " " | 13-7 |

Bombay Land Revenue Collectors Office

15th November 1852

8789 || Fort St. George

Statement of Certificates of Salt Landed at Foreign Ports on the Coast.—

| Names of Exporters | Quantity exported from Bombay | Quantity Landed in the Ports | Deficient Grains Indian | Deficient Grains Maunds | Deficient Cwt. Indian | Deficient Cwt. Maunds | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Abdool Rahiman Alloo | 1260 | 1249 | | | 31 | 2-4 | |
| " | 1120 | 1142½ | | | 22½ | 1-9 | |
| " | 1330 | 1302½ | | | 27½ | 2-4 | |
| " | 1260 | 1206¾ | | | 53¼ | 4-2 | |
| " | 2100 | 2042½ | | | 57½ | 2-7 | |
| " | 3150 | 3037 | | | 113 | 3-5 | |
| " | 1190 | 1090 | | | 100 | 8-4 | |
| | 17350 | 17332 | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | " | 2120 | 2180 | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | 980 | 1014 | 34 | 3 | 6 | " " |
| " | " | 9450 | 2391 | " | " | 59 | 2 3 |
| " | " | 1380 | 2350 | " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 2660 | 2620 | " | " | " | 10 1 3 |
| " | " | 1420 | 1424 | 24 | 1 | 7 | " " |
| " | " | 1820 | 1920 | 10 | 5 | 3 | " " |
| " | " | 840 | 840 | " | " | " | " " |
| Total | | 24 850 | 24801 | 198 | " | 7 | 947 " 9 |
| 3 Thayer Bros. Mfg. Co. | | 2120 | 2250 | 100 | 2 | 7 | " " |
| " | " | 1260 | 1297 | 37 | 2 | 1 | " " |
| " | " | 2590 | 2548 | " | " | " | 42 1 6 |
| " | " | 1120 | 1120 | " | " | " | " " |
| Over | | 7070 | 7065 | 137 | 1 | 9 | 42 " 3 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | " | 3150 | 3041 | " | " | " | 109 3 3 |
| " | " | 3150 | 3150 | " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 1750 | 1711 | " | " | " | 39 1 2 |
| " | " | 1190 | 1190 | " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 980 | 1020 | 40 | 4 | " | " " |
| " | " | 330 | 420 | " | " | " | 70 13 2 |
| " | " | 1460 | 14 623/2 | 62 1/2 | 4 | 4 | " " |
| " | " | 2240 | 2208 | " | " | " | 32 1 4 |
| " | " | 1960 | 1752 | " | " | " | 208 10 6 |
| " | " | 980 | 975 | " | " | " | 5 3 |
| " | " | 1460 | 820 | " | " | " | 640 42 5 |
| Total | | 31 350 | 30 441 | 852 | " | 3 | 1308 1/4 5 10 |
| 2 Peerless Cast Co. | | 3150 | 3041 | " | " | " | 109 3 3 |
| " | " | 3150 | 3150 | " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 1750 | 1711 | " | " | " | 39 1 2 |
| " | " | 1190 | 1190 | " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 980 | 1020 | 40 | 4 | " | " " |
| Total | | 7070 | 7065 | 137 | 1 | 9 | 42 " 3 |

8790

Stock Register 1st January 1853

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Glenny middle Yearnouth | 1960 | 1982 | 22 | 1 – 1 | " " | " |
| | " " | 1750 | 1804 | 34 | 3 – 1 | " " | " |
| | " " | 840 | 820 | " | " " | 20 | " 2 |
| | " " | 850 | 827¼ | " | " | 22¾ | 2 – 6 |
| | " " | 1050 | 1052½ | 2½ | " 2 | " " | " |
| | " " | 2100 | 2070 | " | " " | 32 | 1 – 4 |
| | Total | 8650 | 8353¾ | 98½ | " 8 | 712 | " |
| 5 | Glenny middle Yearnouth | 3850 | 3063 | " | " " | 787 | 20 – 4 |
| | " " | 3600 | 3271 | " | " | 229 | 6 – 5 |
| | " " | 3150 | 3216 | 66 | 2 – " | " | " |
| | " " | 1050 | 1036 | " | " " | 14 | 1 – 3 |
| | " " | 2240 | 2080 | " | " | 160 | 7 – 1 |
| | " " | 1890 | 1918 | 28 | 1 – 4 | " | " |
| | " " | 2240 | 2210 | " | " | 30 | 1 – 3 |
| | " " | 700 | 710 | 10 | 1 – 4 | " | " |
| | " " | 840 | 816 | " | " " | 24 | 2 – 8 |

8792

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

No. 29 of 1853

To A. C. Galdsmid Esqre

Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Robertson's letter No. 491 dated 15th November last, I have the honor to state for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that the Salt Merchants appear to be unwilling to export any Salt to the Coast until the percentage to which they will be entitled as wastage is definitely settled; under these circumstances I beg to solicit the early instruction of Government on this point as the season for the exportation of Salt to the Malabar Coast is fast approaching to a close.

Regarding per Centage to be allowed as wastage on Salt exported to the Malabar Coast.

Bombay Custom House, 10th January 1853.

/Signed/ R. Spooner.

Acting Collector of Customs

HR.

8793

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

No. 388.

To

R. Spooner Esqre

Acting Collector of Salt Revs.

Bombay.

Sir,

I have been directed to acknowledge the receipt of the Letters noted in the Margin relative to the per centage to be allowed as wastage on Salt exported to the Malabar Coast.

In reply I have been desired to observe that there may be a good deal of truth in what Mr. Roberts on urges in paragraph 16 of his communication, but for the present at any rate Government does not think it advisable to alter the existing arrangements.

With reference to Para 17 I have been instructed to state that His Lordship in Council will not object to allow even up to (10) ten per Cent provided the Collectors of Salt Revenue have

Letter from Mr. Robertson No. 491 dated 15th Novr. 1852 with accompaniments

Do. from you No. 29 dated 10 January 1853.

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

have no reason to suspect fraud.

4. Copies of the Letters under acknowledgement and of this reply will be sent to the Collectors of Malabar and Canara.

Bombay Castle, 21st January, 1853.

(Signed) H. E. Goldsmid.
Secretary to Government.

No. 389.

Territorial Department
Revenue

For the Collector of Malabar with reference to Government endorsement No. 385 of this date.

No. 390.

For the Collector of Canara with reference to Government Endorsement No. 385 of this date.

No. 2371.

To

H. E. Goldsmid Esqre.
Secretary to Government
Territorial Department
Revenue
Bombay.

Sir,

No one single Boat laden with Salt has made its appearance in



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

in Malabar this season.

2. The Bombay Merchants I understand have resolved to abstain from sending any so long as the new measure forwarded by the Bombay Government for the use of the Malabar Monopoly in January last is in use.

3. Under these circumstances I am constrained to appeal to the Bombay Government for aid and to request that they will have engagements made for the supply of Salt as per Margin all details as to measure

| | |
|---|---|
| Cannanore | 250 |
| Tellicherry | 330 |
| Calicut | 650 |
| Ponany | 700 |
| Chowghaut | 50 |
| Cochin | 20 |
| Total | 2000 |

Garce M. Rd.

I respectfully leave to the Judgment of the Bombay authorities merely urging for the sake of the large interests concerned that arrangements be made for our wants so soon as possible.

Calicut, 28th December, 1852.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

To.

8796

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

No. 2377

To.

W. E. Goldsmid Esqre
Secretary to Government
Territorial Department
Revenue, Bombay.

Sir,

Since writing my letter of yesterday some wealthy Merchants of Tellicherry have offered to enter into a contract to furnish me with 1200 Garce of Salt on the same terms as regards wastage and measurement that Salt has been furnished this year by the Collector of Canara what the terms are I do not exactly know, but cannot be wrong in following. I will if necessary write further when I hear from that Gentleman.

In the meantime I would solicit that supplies which I have requested the Bombay Government to have sent may be lessened and sent as follows.

| | |
|---|---|
| Cunnanore and Tellicherry | None |
| Calicut | 250 Garce |
| Ponnany | 700 " |
| Chowghaut | 50 " |
| Cochin | None |

Calicut, 29th December, 1852

/ Signed W. T. Conolly
Collector.

The

8797

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

P.S.

The rates at which the Merchants have contracted are Rupees 34 per Garce for Calicut Rupees 32½ for Cunnanore and Tellicherry

/ Signed W. T. Conolly
Collector.

No. 44.

To.

W. E. Goldsmid Esqre
Secretary to Government
Territorial Department
Revenue Bombay.

Sir,

Not having received any reply to my letter to the Collector of Canara referred to in my communication of the 29th December last and fearing further delay I take the liberty of requesting that the Bombay Government will allow the parties who have contracted to supply Malabar with Salt may have the same privileges granted them (whatever they may be) as were granted to the party who supplies Salt to Canara this year.

Calicut, 8th January 1853.

/ Signed W. T. Conolly
Collector.

To.

8798

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

No. 359.

To W. J. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have been directed by His Lordship in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letters as noted in the Margin

Export of Salt on payment of duty @ 3 pies per Maund from Bombay to Malabar
No. 2371 - 28th December 1852
" 2377 - 29th Do.
" 44 - 8th January 1853.

2. In reply I have been directed to transmit for your information Copy of a letter and accompaniment from Mr. Maltby the Collector of Canara dated the 20th September last and to state that under the arrangements made by that Gentleman with the Merchants on the Coast no less a quantity than 67,305 Indian Maunds of Salt have up to the present date been exported from Bombay to Mangalore besides what may and have been exported from the Ports under the Continental Customs.

&c.

8799

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

3. It thus appears that although you are unable to procure Salt at Malabar no great difficulties have been experienced by the authorities at Canara.

4. His Lordship in Council is not acquainted with the particulars of the Subsidiary arrangements which may be entered into by Mr. Maltby with the Salt Merchants but infers from your letters that if similar arrangements are made by you the difficulties in procuring Salt will be removed.

See para 1 of your Letter dated 29th December No. 2377.

5. With reference more particularly to the 2d Para of your letter No. 2371 dated the 28th December last it appears to His Lordship in Council that the real cause of the non-transmission of Salt to the Ports under your jurisdiction is not entirely owing to the cause assigned by you for it appears for the Certificates

Copy of one of which is herewith enclosed

Lodts Mds Seers Tolas Mercuts.
As 660 - 28 - 4 - 2353/of 828½ Cubic Inches
Imp. Mds Inches
1 " 2950 nearly.

granted by the Collector of Canara that

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

that he has reckoned the Indian Maunds at 2950 Cubic Inches or about 1 per Cent more than the new Bombay measure of 2924 Cubic Inches but that notwithstanding this a consignment of Salt which consisted of 700 Indian Maunds when exported from Bombay was found when landed at Canara to be 700 - 28 - 4 or showing a deficiency of less than 6 per Cent.

6. Adverting to the concluding para of your letter above referred to No. 237 I am instructed to state that the Collector of Salt Revenue has given every publicity to your notifications calling for tenders for supply of Salt, but that beyond this Government have not the means of inducing traders to meet your requirements -

7. There is a large quantity say 150,000 Indian Maunds of Salt lying at the Government Salt Pans of this Island belonging conjointly to Government and the Salt makers which



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

which the Collector of Salt Revenue will be directed to send you in event of his finding the Salt traders still refusing to export, the price of the Salt varies from Rupees 100 @ 110 per Raja of 1120 Maunds.

8. With reference to your letter of the 8th Instant No. 44 I am directed to inform you that His Lordship in Council has no objection to allow the parties who have contracted to supply Malabar with Salt similar privileges to those which you state were granted to the party who supplied Salt to Canara this year and the Collector of Salt Revenue have been informed -

9. His Lordship in Council has no knowledge whatever as to what these privileges may be and he requests therefore that you will have the goodness to favor him with information on this point - It would however appear from Mr. Maltby's letter adverted to in Para 2. that no special privileges are granted at any rate if any privileges are allowed they are privileges at

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

at the place of import not of export.

10. The Governor in Council is inclined to think that the Salt is not measured at Malabar in the same way as it is measured at Bombay but that the Salt after it has been put into the measure is pressed down or the measure is shaken and if these surmises are correct the facts will fully account for the outturn at Malabar appearing to fall short of the quantity originally shipped at Bombay.

11. The Collector of Salt Revenue has urged that the Indian Maund in use at Bombay is a measure containing 2924 Cubic Inches and is of opinion that if in order to compensate for wastage drayage &c. a Measure of 2650 Cubic inches (or about 9 per Cent less than the Bombay Measure) be used in re-measuring the Salt at Malabar all difficulties would be removed or in other words if a Merchant export from Bombay on Indian Maunds of Salt reckoned at 2924 Cubic Inches and if the



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

this Salt when measured at Malabar is found to fill a measure of 2650 Cubic Inches he should be considered as having duly imported into Malabar the Salt which he had exported from Bombay and his bonds should be cancelled.

12. The favor of your opinion on this point is requested.

Bombay Castle, 20th January, 1853.

(Signed) H. E. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.

To,

A. Malet Esqre
Chief Secretary to Government
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of Government that I have accepted Tenders from the persons noted in the Margin for the supply of (1050) One thousand and fifty Garces of Bombay Salt for the use of Government Monopoly in this province.

| | |
|---|---|
| Memon Moosa Sheth | 750 |
| Memon Bajee Abdool Takoor Sheth | 200 |
| Memon Bajee Ebrahim Sheth | 100 |
| | 1050. |

The

8804 — Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

2nd The Contractors have tendered good and unexceptionable security for the payment of the excise duty of 12 annas per Indian Maund leviable under Notification dated 14th September 1844 on their failure to produce certificates of delivery from the authorities of the district within the prescribed period. They have also bound themselves to undertake all losses from sea and water risks except such as arise from actual wreck to be proved to the satisfaction of the authorities. I have therefore the honor to request that the Bombay Government will be pleased to give instructions for allowing the abovementioned quantity of Salt to be exported free of excise duty without a further demand of security at the place of Export.

3rd Each of the aforesaid Contractors has been furnished with a Certificate in the annexed form to be presented at Bombay.

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 20th September, 1852.

(Signed) F. K. Malthy. Collector.

8G.

8805 Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

This is to Certify that Memon Moosa Seth Merchant of Mangalore has contracted to supply seven hundred and fifty Garces of Bombay Salt for the use of Government within the Province of Canara and that he has furnished good and unexceptionable Security before me for the payment of the excise duty leviable under orders of the Bombay Government dated 14th September 1844 should he fail to produce to the Collector of Customs at the place of Export a Certificate of the Import of the Salt from the authorities of the Province within the prescribed period.—

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 10th September, 1853.

(Signed) F. K. Malthy. Collector.

Certified

Haji Abdool Thakur Sheik for 200 Garces.
Do. to Haji Ebrahim Sheik for 100 Do.

8806

Fort St. George 21st July 1853

No. 44

Certified that of the undermentioned

quantity of Salt there have been

loaded at Mangalore on the 20th November

1852 by Hajee Ebram Sheth (2353) Two

thousand three hundred and fifty three

Mescals of 828 1/3 Cubic Inches each

equal to Indian Maunds of Bombay

Measurement. (660-28-4-) also Seven hundred

and sixty Eight Sheers and Two fourths

Company's Collector's Office, Bombay 29th November 1852.

(Signed) D. F. A. Mackenzie
Collector.

8807

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

No. 33. This is to Certify that Hajee Ebram has Exported on the same day 1852 per Py Khodabux Tindel Doonanpoo to Mangalore the undermentioned Salt on which duty has been paid as herein entered.-

| Weight of Salt. | Place where produced. | Number Date of certificate from the Salt excise authorities - Number. | Date. | Party in whose name the certificate stands - | Rate of duty per Ins Mds | Total amount of duty. | Name of the Department by which the duty has been levied. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| Indian Mands 700 Seven hundred Indians Maunds - /Signed/ | Bombay - | 63 | Nov. 5 | Hajee Ebram | 1/4 | 10 15. Rupees ten and fifteen annas | Collector of Land Revenue - | |

(Signed) E. Brown.
Assistant Collr of Customs -

(True Copy)

Bombay Custom House the 8th November 1852.

Acting Collector of Customs

No. 360.

No. 360.

Territorial Department

Revenue.

Forwarded for the information of the Collector of Canara.—

Bombay Castle,
20th January, 1853.

(Signed) H. E. Goldsmid.
Secretary to Government.

No. 496.

To,
H. E. Goldsmid Esqre
Secretary to Government.
Territorial Department.

Bombay.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Ultimo, and take the liberty to express my respectful acknowledgments for the aid which the Bombay Government propose to give me by directing the Collector of Salt Revenue to send me a supply of Salt in case the Salt traders still refuse to export Salt to Malabar.

2. I am unable to say decidedly how it is that the Collector of Canara

has



has had no difficulty in obtaining tenders for the supply of Salt for his District, while not one bona fide tender was made to me tho' my offers for tender were published in the Bombay Bazar as well as elsewhere.—

It may be partially accounted for perhaps by the facts that there are more Capitalists at Mangalore that at Calicut that the distance from Bombay is less and that the Purrah measure which prevailed in Canara previous to the adoption of the new standard Purrah was as will be seen by Captain De Lisle's Pamphlet larger than that in use in Malabar. The grievance from the introduction of the more capacious Purrah was less therefore in the one than the other Country.—

3. I am not aware that the Merchants have any real cause of Complaint as the Governor in Council seems to think probable in the 10th para of your letter in

the

the way that Salt is measured at Malabar.-

The Salt was received last year exactly in the same way that it has been received for many years past. I have long aimed at having due justice done to all parties in the receipt as well as the sale of Salt and set my face against any of the methods by which an unfair quantity can be extorted from the Importer. My Agents in the three principal Depôts Tellicherry, Calicut and Ponany are very respectable Europeans who are fully aware of my wishes on this point. We tried various schemes last year to check the Coolies from putting too much into the measures by having a wide grating to break and disperse the force of the fall of the Salt but all these expedients were so inconvenient and productive of delay that I was forced to trust, as I have trusted heretofore, and to the satisfaction of the Merchants to the

Agents



Agents seeing to fair play, and that the standing orders under which the Salt is poured into the Purrah without any subsequent depression or shaking were duly attended to.-

But I will not lose sight of the desirableness of taking care that any thing like just complaint on this head is duly attended to and will redouble my efforts especially at Ponany where the chief complaints arose, to see that no irregularity prevails.-

4. The only advantage which the Importers of Salt would appear to have had in Canara is that they were allowed to give security for the payment of duty at Mangalore in place of Bombay. This they seem to attach importance to.-

They will have no extra advantage here.-

5. It seems to me, with reference to the question proposed in the last para of your letter, that it would be preferable to allow a wastage of 10 per Cent (which

I

I observe His Lordship in Council in his letter of the 21st January last incline to) that to make a new Purrah of 2650 Cubic Inches for the receipt of Salt in Malabar in place of the one of 2924 inches lately furnished by the Bombay Government.—

8th December 1852.

It will be necessary as it seems to me at present to have a Purrah* containing only 2395 3/4 Cubical Inches (the Purrah we have had for the last eight years, and from which indeed we are selling at present) in order to give the Malabar Customer no more than 82 1/2 pounds of Salt for his Rupee.—

* 18.5 × 18.5 × 7.

The use of the three several Purrahs would be embarassing.—

Calicut 3d February 1853.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

No. 138.

Territorial Department
Revenue.

The Collector of Malabar

it



No. 2371-28th Dec. 1852.

it would appear originally required 2000 Garces of Salt or Indian Maunds 240000.—

2. Up to the present date Indian Maunds 184730 have been exported from Bombay to the Collectorate of Malabar and I conclude therefore, that Mr. Conolly will be able to supply himself with such quantity of Salt as may be required without any assistance from Government.—

3. I have sold all of the Salt belonging to the Government Pans because, as a very fair price was offered for it and as a moiety of the Proceeds belongs to the Salt makers I did not consider that I should be acting fairly towards them if I postponed the sale, merely on the chance of Mr. Conolly wishing to purchase any portion of the Salt.—

4. There is a large quantity of Salt belonging to private individuals at Salsette and Carinja and therefore should Mr. Conolly still require any, it might be purchased from those places.—

With

5. With reference to paras 2 and 3 of the letter under reply I would respectfully beg to urge that, if Mr. Conolly experiences any difficulties in procuring Salt, it is for him to ascertain the cause of those difficulties every facility is given by this Department to shippers of Salt, and if therefore at any time shippers are unwilling to send Salt to Malabar it may I think be fairly assumed that either a sufficiently high price is not given by Mr. Conolly or there are some difficulties unconnected with this Port for instance say bad anchorage on the Malabar Coast, difficulty in obtaining small boats to land the Salt delay in the weighment of the Salt and receiving it at the Government depôts or delay in the payment for the Salt &c. &c.—

6. I see no objection to the suggestion conveyed in the closing Paras of Mr. Conolly's letter Viz. to measure the Salt in Indian Maund measures of 2424 Cubic inches, and then in settling the accounts with the exporters to



to allow wastage to the extent of 10 per Cent or there abouts at the discretion of the Collector of Salt Revenue.—

Bombay Custom House,
22d February, 1853.

(Signed) R. Spooner.
Acting Collector of Customs.

No. 1118.

Territorial Department
Revenue.

To,
H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

1. With reference to your letter No. 196. dated 3d Instant, I have been directed to forward herewith Copy of a report made thereon by the Acting Collector of Salt Revenue, Bombay No. 138 dated 22d Ultimo, and to inform you, that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council fully concurs in your objection to using different sized measures, and that it is preferable to grant a greater allowance for wastages.—

2. His Lordship in Council has directed the Collector of Salt Revenue to allow as far as 10 per Cent.—

Bombay Castle,
7th March, 1853.

(Signed) H. E. Goldsmith.
Secretary to Government.

Ordered

8816 Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

Ordered that the above letter and the correspondence accompanying it be forwarded to the Board of Revenue in reference to Exctract from the Minutes of Consultation 15th October 1852 and their letters dated 16th December 1852 and 31st January 1853 Nos. 512 and 50.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon—

5-6

As the whole of the papers transmitted with the above Exctract from Minutes of Consultation appear to be on the records of the Collectors of Malabar and Canara, and do not require any orders from the Board, they resolve to cause them to be placed upon the Records of their Office.—

Extract

8817

7

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

No. 780.

Bd. No. 1784

From the Secretary to Government

Reviewing the Report on the Settlement of Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1261 and requesting to call the attention of the Acting Collector to certain points alluded to.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department, under date the 8th July 1853.

Read the following Exctract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

[Here enter 30th May 1853. No. 2335]

The Land Revenue demands of the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1261 amounted with Rupees 232-8-7 on account of arrears erroneously included in it, to Rupees 65,940 being Rupees 4 in excess of that of Fusly 1260. The Collections within the year amounted to Rupees 56,738 and the balance of Rupees 9,203 outstanding at its close was reduced in February 1853 to Rupees 5,887.—

Board's Proceedings Para 6.

2. Of arrears amounting to Rupees 31,756, Rupees 6,652 were collected within the Fusly and Rupees 1,668 subsequently, leaving Rupees 23,436 still to be accounted for, no time should be lost in the final settlement of these balances.—

Collector's Report Para 23.

3. Including current, arrears and extra items, the gross Collections amounted to Rupees 67,994 from which charges Rupees 19,455 being deducted, the net revenue Rupees 48,539 was Rupees 524 in excess of that of Fusly 1260. The charges are very heavy and it is remarked that

Statement H°

that nearly half, Rupees 8,546 is in the Quit rent Department. The great number of petty holdings on which Quit rent is collected necessitates the keeping up of a very expensive establishment for its realization. The Governor in Council would wish the Board to consider whether measures might not be taken for reducing and ultimately dispensing with this item of charge, by enabling the holders of land chargeable with Quit rent to redeem it on payment at once of a fixed sum equal to a certain number of years purchase of the rent:—

paras 2 and 5. 4. The Government observe that the Board of Revenue have given but occasion to remark upon points in respect to which they had drawn the attention of the Collector in their review of the settlement report for the past year. They have para 3 and 4. likewise noticed certain Anomalies and apparent discrepancies in the Statements furnished by the Collector regarding which no explanation has been furnished. The Government trust that these and other points noticed by the Board will receive the attention of the Collr.



Collector without any further delay.—

/ True Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

To

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1559.

Order thereon.

7-8

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madras, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 30th May 1853.—

2. Mr. Stokes will report, whether holders of land chargeable with quit rent are likely to redeem it on payment of a fixed sum and at how many years purchase he would fix the redemption. His attention is also called to para 4.—

9

Read the following Resolution.

Bd. No. 1810. No. 787.

From the Secretary to Government.—

Sanctioning the resumption and continuance of certain lapsed Enams in the District of Bellary.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th July, 1853.—

/ From Board 13th June /

Para 1. Will be resumed the deceased having left no heirs or legitimate claimants.—

2. In conformity with the principle inculcated by the Honorable Court in their Dispatch of the 12th February 1851. No. 2. this Enam will be continued to Vencatanursoo the adopted son of the deceased for his maintenance during life.—

Will

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

3. Will be resumed under the circumstances represented.—

4. Under the circumstances represented by the Collector, the Government will not object to this Enam being continued to Poojaree Poddiah during his life.—

5 and 6. Will be resumed, the Pagodas having been allowed to fall into decay and the service in them discontinued.—

7. Will be continued in consideration of long enjoyment to Streenum Narsoo, the son of the deceased.—

8. Deceased has left neither wife nor male issue - but during his life time adopted his daughters son, the present claimant - It is stated that the latter performed the funeral rites of the deceased - and having lost his natural father, has no means of support but this Enam. Under these circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction its continuance to him during life, as recommended by the Board of Revenue.—

By Order

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

(9.10)

B.C. No. 7538.

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Orders of Government, and of the Boards



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

Board's Remarks dated 13th June 1853 be furnished to the Collector of Bellary, with reference to his Tabular Report of lapsed Enams dated 21st February 1853.—

Read the following Extract.

No. 807.

(11)

B.d. No. 1828.

From the Secretary to Government.—

Reviewing the Settlement Report of the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1261.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 11th July 1853.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 20th June 1853 No. 273)

Para 1. The season of Fusly 1261 in Tanjore is represented as having been on the whole an average one. though the river freshes were low and the rains scanty in some months of the year - occasioning short produce and diminished extent of Cultivation in some localities.—

2. Cholera appeared in many parts of the District in the month of February, but not in a very fatal form.—

3. Prices were 1¼ per Cent above those of Fusly 1260 but 4 11/16 below the commutation rates.—

4. The lapse of the Shotrium Village of Sadaracandoo has increased by one the number of the Tariff on Government Villages.

Looking

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

Looking to the changes in the several modes of Settlement which obtain in the Villages, it appears that 17 Amanny Villages have passed to Oolungoo, 16 to Colungoo varying with price and one to Oolungoo unaffected by price under which head the resumed Shatrium has also been settled. On the other hand 7 Villages have reverted from Woolungoo to Amannie. The number of Amannie Villages was thus 45 with a / Report P 11/ Settlement including Cavil fees of Rupees 32421.—

5. The following abstract exhibits the Settlement and the increase or decrease under each head in comparison with the year before.

| Tariff on Government Villages 1743 and Gardens 11. | Land Vaglies. | Settlements. | Increase or decrease of Settlement compared with Fusly 1260. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Wet land | 102767 | 32,04,985 | — 3247 |
| Dry — Do: | 25885 | 198188 | + 1079 |
| Garden | 5942 | 140581 | + 212 |
| Topes and Jungle rent | 3257 | 916137 | + 776 |
| Total | 137853 | 36,36,894 | — 1186 |
| Ready money Items Shrotium, Poliput Peishcush &c. | " | 103196 | — 411 |
| Cavil Trees | " | 178197 | — 144 |
| Grand Total | " | 39,18,288 | — 1743 |

Thus



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

Thus the results of the two years are nearly on a par. The extent of land actually under Cultivation in the Tariff Villages for / Proceedings P5 / Fusly 1261 exceeded the Cultivation of the previous year by 1015 Valies but there is a decrease in the Settlement / Proceedings P5 / to the amount of Rupees 1186. Looking to the Statement given in Para 7 it is perceived that while there has been an improvement in the Oolungoo and grain rented there has been a decrease in all the other Villages proceeding from diminished cultivation or short produce the consequence of the season.—

6. The remissions Rupees 7,2278 have been heavy as compared with those granted of late years. The bulk has been allowed in the Oolungoo / Report Para 12 rented Villages Rupees 25,289. on account of deficient produce, and in the Field assessed Villages Rupees 2,0268. on account of land left uncultivated or injured by drought.—

7. The revenue derived from the lands watered from the Coleroon Anicut was Rupees 30,70,388 or Rupees 10451. below that of the previous year. but it exceeds by Rupees 2,38,948 the average revenue similarly yielded in the Six years preceding the construction

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

construction of the Anicut.

8. The Collections were within the

Fusly ——— 38,23,493

Subsequently to 31st March last ——— 81879

leaving a balance at the latter date of Rs. 12914

9. The charges for Fusly 1261 with their percentage on the revenues are shown below.

| | Amount of Charges. | Per cent on the revenue. |
|---|---|---|
| | Rupees | Rupees |
| Charges of collecting and administering the land revenue ——— | 314393 | 7/8 on the whole land revenue |
| Mosques and Pagoda allowances pay=ments in lieu of resumed Offices &c. | 158156 | 3 15/16 on Do Do |
| Repairs of Tanks and Water courses ——— | 179705 | 5/8 on the Nunjah Revenue |
| Total Land Revenue ——— | 652254 | 16 7/8 on the whole revenue |
| Exctra Branches of Revenue ——— | 71744 | 7 on revenue yielded by the exctra sources. |
| Grand Total ——— | 723998 | 14 3/8 on the whole revenue land and Exctra |
| Miscellaneous charges Advance for cultivation | 41450 | 1/4 " |

10. The demand collections within and after the fusly and balances of land and exctra revenue for the two years 1260 and 1261 were

Collection



Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

Collection

| | | Demand. | Within the Fusly. | Subsequently to 31st March. | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1260. | Land Revenue | 39,20,031 | 38,29,954 | 66,334 | 23,742 |
| | Exctra Sources | 9,91,568 | 9,53,726 | 37,842 | " |
| | | 49,11,599 | 47,83,680 | 104,176 | 23,742 |
| Fusly 1261. | Land Revenue | 39,18,288 | 38,23,493 | 81,879 | 12,914 |
| | Exctra Sources | 10,12,188 | 9,76,097 | 35,343 | 749 |
| | | 49,30,476 | 47,99,590 | 117,222 | 13,663 |

shewing an increase in the demand under all heads of Rupees 18,877 and in the collection of Rupees 28,955.

/ A true Exctract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1561.

Order thereon.

11.12

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tanjore, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 20th June 1853.

Exctract

8820

13

Bd. No. 1851.

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

No. 815

From the Secretary to Government, } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 11th July 1853.

Read the following letter from the Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

/ Here enter 27th June 1853. No. 2791

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council see no necessity for furnishing the Reverend Mr. Perrira with Copies of the papers for which he has applied. If the Collector of Chingleput requires them for the decisions of claims pending before him, they may be furnished to that Officer on his requisition.—

/ A true Extract. /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1550.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 10th June 1853.

13.14

---

Read the following letters.

No. 79.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St George.

15

Bd. No. 1735.

From the Collector of Nellore. — } Sir,

In reply to para 2 of Extract from

8827

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th February 1853. requesting the Collecton to consider and report whether the principle observed in the Coimbatore Lunger Khana, Cooked food, with Medical aid from the zillah Surgeon cannot be introduced in this District, I have the honor to state that I do not consider it expedient to substitute the distribution of Cooked food for the present money allowance, as it is probable that the consequence would be that many at present receiving support from the charity would no longer participate in its benifits, and the usefulness of the Institution would thus be considerably circumscribed moreover the adoption of the proposed measure would entail the necessity of providing a seperate building, and establishment for the maintenance of the Institution and the expense which must be incurred would it appears to me, be without any commensurate advantage.—

Nellore, — — } / Signed / F. B. Elton

Collector's Office, 2nd July 1853. — }

Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

15.16

To

8828. Fort St. George 20th July 1853.

No 80.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the,

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(17)

Bd. No 1772.

From the Collector of Nellore. — Sir,

In reply to the 2nd Para of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 30th Ultimo calling upon me to explain why the Abkarry Rents were not put up to Public Auction, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the Rents in question were actually put up to public Auction before me and not disposed of on tenders.—

The Auction here does not however close the same day it commences, people require time to consider over the matter, and any attempt at present to confine it to one day would most likely cause a considerable loss to Government.

Nellore Collectors Office, 6th July, 1853. — Signed F. B. Elton Collector.

Bd. No 1548.

Proceedings thereon.— The Board are of opinion that the Abkarry rents should be put up to auction and knocked down on the same day. Parties interested should be invited to attend on a fixed day and hour, and to bid for the rents in presence of each other, the highest bidder being declared the Renter subject to the usual conditions.

Read the following Statement

(17.18)

8828 (19) Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

Bd. No 1537.

Statement of the quantity of Salt manufactured & sold by the Contractors of Salt Revenue at the Stations of Nellore & Coleroon for the Year 1852-53.

Submitted at the Board's Office on the 6th ult. & returned to the Collector on the 8th Instant.

| No | Stations | Quantity manufactured | Quantity sold | Remaining in Store | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Candies | Candies | Candies | |
| 1 | Nellore | 32,950 | 22,950 | 10,000 | Exclusive of 1,000 Candies sold to the Contractor for his own consumption |
| 2 | Coleroon | 12,300 | 2,300 | 10,000 | |
| 3 | Kistnapatam | 7,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 | |
| | | 52,250 | 28,250 | 24,000 | |

Nellore Collector's Office, 11th July, 1853. F. B. Elton Collector

Ordered

8830

Fort St. George 21st. July 1853.

Office thereon
19.20

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read the following letter.

No. 85.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

21

Bd. No. 1912.

Sir,

From the Collector of
Nellore ——— 1.

With reference to your letter of the 27th. Ultimo requesting me to expedite the despatch of my Report on the Cultivation of Cotton for Fusly 1261. I have the honor to point out that the Statement shewing the total extent of Land Cultivated with Cotton for Fusly 1261 was sent with the accounts which accompanied the Summachundy Report for that Fusly, and it does not appear to have been in Contemplation to send any separate Report.—

Replying to Board's letter dated 27th Ultimo relative to the Report on the Cotton Cultivation in his District for Fusly 1261

Vide Statement No. 24.

Cotton

8831.

Fort St. George 21st. July 1853.

2

Cotton is cultivated to but a limited extent in this District there is however Comparatively speaking rather a Considerable increase during the Fusly under report over the previous one as shown by the marginal Comparative Statement. No particular Remarks beyond this appear to be called for as regards the Fusly in question.—

Statement shewing the increase in Extent of Cultivation of Cotton in Fusly 1261 as Compared with that of Fusly 1260

| | Increase. | | Decrease. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Acres | Mfs. | acres | Mfs. |
| Sungum | 17 | " | " | " |
| Cavaly | 11 | 6 | " | " |
| Soomultalpore | 27 | 40 | " | " |
| Goondavole | " | " | 17 | 12 |
| Ravoor | 79 | 50 | " | " |
| Calegherry | 135 | 147 | " | " |
| Woodiegherry | " | " | 83 | 156 |
| Sydapoor | " | " | 5 | 1 |
| Buddapoodly | 4 | 17 | " | " |
| Davagoodoor | 53 | 65 | " | " |
| Ongole | 66 | 234 | " | " |
| Enamanamellore | 69 | 207 | " | " |
| Cihendaloor | 43 | 148 | " | " |
| Total | 554 | 1070 | 105 | 169 |
| Net Increase | 449 | 901 | " | " |

I have since transmitted

3.

a

8832

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

a Report on the Cultivation of American Cotton for Fasly 1262, and taken advantage of an opportunity that offered itself of giving it a fair trial, by giving a Considerable quantity of seed to a Gentleman who was about to undertake its Cultivation Imention this to show that the subject is receiving attention, though it does not prefer to Fasly 1261.

Nellore, Collector's Office, 19th July 1853. } (Signed) F. B. Elton Collector.

Order thereon:- Ordered to lie over

21.22

Read the following letter

No. 37.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George

23

B. No. 1913

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool } Sir,

With reference to the Circular order of the 27th March 1851 I have the honor to submit the required Return of the actual expenditure on account of the Tungerkhana Establishment in this District

8833.

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

District for the year 1852.

2. In my report of last year I explained from what Funds this institution was supported, and the description of persons receiving relief. There have been 7 Casualties during the year, and the vacancies occasioned thereby, have been filled up by other deserving objects.

3 I have no remarks to offer in Connection with the institution further than to observe, that the instructions Conveyed in the 2nd and 3rd Paras of your letter of the 16th December 1852. have been duly attended to.

Kurnool, Governor's Agents Office, 15th July, 1853. } (Signed) T. Conway. Agent to the Governor

Statement

8834 Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

No. 1

Statement shewing the Actual Charges incurred on account of Persons supported by the Funds of the Lungerkhana at Kurnool from 1st January to 31st December 1852.—

| No. | Description of Persons. | Lame. | Blind. | Persons having no Hands. | Persons having not the use of their limbs. | Poor having no means of Subsistence. | Total. Male. | Female. | Total. | Amount allowed to each individual per diem. | No. of days. | Total Amount paid. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | Rs. a. p. | | Rs. a. p. | |
| 1 | Bramins | 2 | 3 | " | " | " | 3 | 2 | 5 | " " 6 | 1795 | 56 16 | |
| 2 | Mussulmen | 5 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 23 | " " 6 | 8237 | 258 6 | |
| 3 | Canakies | " | 1 | " | " | " | 1 | " | 1 | " " 6 | 359 | 11 3 6 | |
| 4 | Marattas | " | " | " | " | 1 | " | 1 | 1 | " " 6 | 359 | 11 3 6 | |
| 5 | Bearer Caste | " | 1 | " | " | " | 1 | " | 1 | " " 6 | 359 | 11 3 6 | |
| 6 | Fordoor Tellers | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | " " 6 | 359 | 11 3 6 | |
| | Total | 7 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 14 | 32 | " " " | 11488 | 359 " " | |

Abstract of Receipts and Disbursements on account of Lungerkhana at Kurnool for the year 1852.—

| | Rs. a. p. |
|---|---|
| Balance for the year 1851 | 9 13 " |
| Add | |
| Amount for the year 1852 at 30 Rs. per Mensem | 360 " " |
| | 369 13 " |
| Deduct | |
| Amount disbursed as stated in the Statement | 359 " " |
| Balance on hands | 10 13 " |

Kurnool Government Agent's Office 1st July 1853.—

(Signed) T. Conway
Agent to the Governor

Ordered

8835 Fort St George 21st July 1853.

23.24

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

To B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

From the Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Chingleput

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 13th Ultimo, and Mr Cochrane's reply thereto, of the 30th June, I have the honor to forward herewith a Memorandum shewing the quantity of Salt manufactured at the several Pans of this District up to the close of the last Month.—

I beg also to state, for the information of the Board, that heavy showers fell during the last few days, or which is likely to prove injurious to the Manufacture, particularly in the Northern Pans of the District.—

25

Bd No. 1787

No. 6

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuddy, 8th July, 1853.

(Signed) George Fullerton
Head Assistant
Collector in Charge.

Memorandum

8836 Fort St George 21st July 1853.

No. 1

Memorandum Showing the quantity of Salt manufactured at the Pans of the Chingleput District up to 30th June 1853.—

| | Quantity Manufactured | |
|---|---|---|
| Sunambut | 180 | — |
| Cheyoor | 734 | 275 |
| Pyanoor and Cadoombandy | 380 | 200 |
| Covelong and | 620 | 15 |
| Vadasemmunjery | 270 | 165 |
| Soomapoogountah and Opasamoodrum | 3 | 115 |
| Poongolum and Oppoonelvoy | 4 | 43 |
| Vulloor | 507 | 185 |
| Voyalore | 304 | 176 |
| Vetteloor | 18 | 272 |
| Total | 3027 | 246 |

(Signed) George Fullerton
Head Assistant
Collector in Charge.

25.26

Bd No. 1539

Order thereon.— Ordered to be Communicated with the statement which accompanies it, for the information of the Acting Collector of Madras, with reference to

8837 Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

to the Board's Proceedings dated 14th July 1853

Read the following letters

No. 45.

To B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(27)

Bd. No. 1610

From the Acting Collr. of North Arcot

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 4th April last, forwarding the enclosed Petitions and requesting me with reference to my Endorsement on Petition No. 2772 of 1857, to state the grounds on which the divided Uncle of the late Ramajayah Moodely Monigar of Arcot was appointed to succeed him in preference to the Petitioner Sabapathy Moodely, who acted as the Monigar for three Months under the order of the Sub Collector.

Explaining in reply to the Board's letter dated 4th April last the grounds on which the divided Uncle of the late Ramajayah Moodely Monigar of Arcot was appointed to succeed him in preference to Sabapathy Moodely Petitioner in Board's Petition No. 557 of 1853.—

| | |
|---|---|
| No. 2772 of 1857 | |
| 557 of 1853 | |
| 594 of " | |

I have the honor to report that Mr. Ward over-ruled the decision of Mr. Goldie, the late Acting Sub Collector, and appointed Mootoo Moodely to the Monigarship, on the ground that he was the next heir according to the Hindoo Law.—

2. From the Proceedings it appears that

8838 Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

that Coondasawmy Moodelly, the grand father of the late Ramajayah Moodely who died without issue, had 3 Sons Viz. Veerayaga Moodely the father of the deceased Ramajayah Moodely, Mootoo Moodely, and Sobaroya Moodely.— As the person whom the widow wished to appoint is decended from Soobaroya Moodely the 3d. Son of Kundasawmy Moodely, Mr. Ward was of opinion that Mootoo Moodely, as the 2d. Son had the better title of the two.—

3. Mr. Ward in his order has not adverted to the point advanced by the widow of Ramajayah Moodely, that the father of her late husband and his brothers were divided.—

4. If the question were one to be decided by the Hindoo Law, the division might be a bar to the succession of Mootoo Moodely, but it is not expedient to treat Service Enams as if they were private or family property nor has it been usual to admit that the law which governs succession in the latter case must be followed with equal strictness as in the other.—

5. I am therefore of opinion

8839

Fort St. George 21st July 1853.

That Mootiah Moodely, as the next lineal descendant from Tundapawmy Moodely, should be allowed to continue in the Monegarship provided he agree to maintain the widow during her life. As the Board have recognized that a female may have an interest of this kind in the Office, the Petitioner in No. 594 of 1853 as the Widow of the late Ramajayah Moodely, may claim the means of support from the person who performs the duty; but it is more for the public interests to entrust this duty to the person who would have the best title to succeed at her demise, than to appoint on her behalf a Pomastiah who would be liable to be displaced when that event occurred.

2. If the Board concur in this view, I will require Mootiah Moodely to maintain the Petitioner, and will recognize him in favor of his Nephew if he should refuse to do so.

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry (Vellore),
21st June, 1853.
Bd. No. 1,574.

Signed H. A. Britt
Acting Collector

Proceedings thereon

(27.28)

The Board Concur in the view expressed in Para. 2 of the foregoing letter and authorize the acting Collector to call upon Mootiah Moodely to maintain the Petitioner Patchaiammal and in the event of his refusing to do so to recognize him in favor of his Nephew. Adapting the Modification

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 557 and 594 of 1853.

"The Petitioner is referred to the acting Collector of North Arcot to whom the orders of the Board on the subject of this Appeal have been Communicated."

Page 29 Co. Book No. 65