11700 Fort St. George — October 1852

Diary

15th October Received the following letter

To J. A. Huddleston Esqre
Collector of Land Customs.
Madras.

(1)
Bt. No. 2512
From the Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will forward my application for an extension of my leave, which expires on the 17th of this month, for five days, the state of the Road between Bangalore and Madras being such as to lead me to expect a delay.

Bangalore,
12th October, 1852. } (Signed) R. S. Ellis.
Assistant Collector.

Recommended for sanction.
(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector.

(12)
Order thereon

Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Received the following letter

(3)
Bt. No. 2482
From the Government Madras

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith

herewith for the information of the Board of Revenue a Copy of the Petition presented on the 9th Instant to the Court of Sudr Udalut by John Bruce Norton Esqr, Barrister at law, on behalf of Vasereddy Lutchmeepaty Naidoo Bahadoor, Appellant in Appeal No. 11 of 1827 on the file of the Sudr Udalut and filed this day in No. 406/1852 which appears to involve Government interest and request that I may be furnished with instructions for my guidance.

Madras, 13th October, 1852

(Signed) Charles Edw. Trevelyan
Sd. Sdr. Govt. Vakeel

A

To, the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut.

The humble Petition of Rajah Vasereddy Lutchmeepaty Naidoo Bahadoor, Munna Sultan Zemindar of Chinta-pulley-Appellant in Appeal Suit No. 11 of 1827 on the files of this Court presented by John Bruce Norton Esqr. Barrister at law.

Respectfully Sheweth,

That on or about the 18th day of August last past. Her Most Gracious

Gracious Majesty the Queen in Council was pleased to order that the Court of Sudr Udalut at Madras should "forthwith carry into execution Her "Majesty's Order in Council of the 2d of "March 1848. and that the said Court do "direct the Collectors of Guntoor and "Masulipatam and of those Districts "in which the Vasereddy Estates are "situated to put the Appellant Rajah "Lutchmeepatty Naidoo Bahadoor "in possession of the said property in "pursuance of the Report of the "Judicial Committee of the Privy "Council of the 29th of February 1848 "and of Her Majesty's said order in "Council of the 2d of March 1848 approving "the same, reserving to the Madras "Government and to all persons except "the Respondents in the original "Appeal the right to appear "for their interests if any. "Whereof the Judges of the said "Court of Sudr Dewany Uda-lut at Madras for the "time being and all other "persons whom it may concern are

"are to take notice and govern themselves
"accordingly."

Your Petitioner therefore
humbly prayeth that the Court will
issue the necessary orders for forthwith
putting the said Decree of Her Most
Gracious Majesty the Queen in-Council
in force, and giving full and immediate
effect to the same.

9th October, 1852. Madras. } (Signed) John Bruce Norton
Barrister at law

16th October 1852.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

4
B. No. 2520.
From the Government Vakeel

Sir,

With reference to my letter of 13th instant which gave cover to the Petition presented by J. B. Norton Esqr. Barrister at law, on behalf of Vassareddy Lutchmeeputty Naidoo, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of the Order this day passed by the Court of Sudr Udalut upon the said Petition, and request that I may be favored with the instructions of the Board for my guidance on the subject, as early as possible.

Madras, 16th October, 1852. } (Signed) [illegible]
Asst. Govt. Interpreter

A

In Appeal Suit No. 11 of 1827 on the file of the Sudr Udalut.

Read Motion presented on the 9th October 1852 by J. B. Norton Esqre, Barrister at Law, on behalf of Rajah Vassareddy Lutchmeepaty Naidoo Appellant in the above Appeal Suit No. 11 of 1827 submitting duly authenticated Copy of a decretal Order passed by H. M. the Queen in Council under date the 18th August 1852 and praying that the necessary order may issue forthwith putting the Decree passed by Her Majesty the Queen in Council in reversal of the decision of the Sudr Udalut in the said Appeal Suit in force, and giving full and immediate effect to the same.

Here enter S. U. - M. P. No. 406/1852

1. It having been resolved by the Court of Sudr Udalut in advertence to that clause of the decretal Order of Her Majesty the Queen in Council aforesaid which reserves "to the Madras Government "and to all others except the Respondents "in the Original Appeal the right to ca "appear if any" to call upon the Govt.

Government Vakeel to urge any objections which he might have to offer against the execution of the above decree and the said resolution having been accordingly carried into effect, application has been made by the Vakeel that he may be permitted to take a copy of the Appellants' Motion and be allowed a period of one week with a view to his obtaining the instructions of the Board of Revenue or the Government for his guidance in the matter

Ordered accordingly.

16th Oct. Sent the following Official Memo

(3.5)

To the Government Vakeel

Official Memorandum.

The Secretary is instructed to inform the Government Vakeel that the Vasseredy case having been referred by Government to the Commissioner in the Northern Circars, they are not authorized to adduce in the matter; but consider that the proper course would be for the Government Vakeel to apply to Government direct for instructions.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
16th October, 1852

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

At a Meeting present

Monday 18th October 1852

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member
Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts

(6)

No. 881.

B. R. No. 2167.

From the Secy. to Government Reviewing the Population Return of the several Districts in the Madras Presidency for July 1260 and issuing instructions for future guidance.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 6th September 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 19th July 1852 No. 299)

Para 1 With the above letter the Board

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Board of Revenue submit a
General Statement shewing the
population of the several Districts
of the Madras Presidency Compiled
from the Returns of the Census
taken in July 1260-

2. The total population amounts
to 22,30,697- Deducting the Madras
Collectorate in which it was not
found practicable to carry out the
Census, but of which the inhabitants
have been assumed in the aggregate
at 720,000 there remains 21,58,697 thus
distributed-

3 Males ——— 11,04,7139

Females ——— 10,53,558

Excess of Males above females- 51,2581

4 The Governor in Council cannot but
think that the proportions here given must
be

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

be erroneous and that the number of females is
understated. He remarks that in the Censuses
of England for 1841 and 1851 the numbers stood
severally as follows-

1841

Males ——— 9077436

Females ——— 9587325

Excess of Females above Males ——— 509889

1851

Males ——— 10192711

Females ——— 10743747

Excess of do do ——— 551036

besides a similar predominance of females above
males in Ireland and Scotland-

5 Adults ——— 14,33,259

Children ——— 7,24,438

shewing the former to be to the latter as 2 to 1.
The age at which children are considered to become
Adults is 12 for Males and 10 for females.

6 Male Adults 7,14,506

Children ——— 3,90,633

Females Adults 7,19,753

Children 3,34,805

The Adult females are in excess of the
Adults

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Adult Males, on the contrary the Male children predominate over the female. Both these facts probably proceed from the ages at which Males and females are respectively considered Adults.

Distinguishing between Hindoos and Mahomedans and others not Hindoos we have.-

Hindoos

Males Adults 6,606,756
Children 3,587,393
Females Adults 6,639,812
Children 3,067,847

19,901,808.

Mahomedans and others not Hindoos.-

Males Adults 533,750
Children 319,240
Females Adults 551,941
Children 274,958

1,679,889

8- Separating the agricultural from the non Agricultural classes we find

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Agricultural.

Hindoos.

Males Adults 4,501,395.
Children 2,418,441.
Females Adults 4,363,616
Children 2,061,272
13,344,724

Mahomedans and others not Hindoos.

Males Adults 27,725.
Children 161,338.
Females Adults 270,635.
Children 138,519. 848,217.

Total 14,192,941.

Non-Agricultural Hindoos.

Males Adults 2,105,361.
Children 1,168,952.
Females Adults 2,276,196.
Children 1,006,575. 6,557,084.

Mahomedans and others not Hindoos

Males Adults 256,025.
Children 157,902.
Female Adults 281,306.
Children 136,439. 831,672.

Total 7,388,756

To

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Agricultural 14,193,941.
Non agricultural 7,358,758
A— 1,334,472.
N.A. 655,708
A— 848,217.
N.A— 831,672.
Circular Orders
Page 509

9. The Agricultural population is on the whole not quite double the non-agricultural. The proportion among the Hindoos is somewhat above that ratio. Among the Mahomedans and others not Hindoos the Agricultural and non-agricultural classes are in numbers nearly equal. According to the Board's instructions "all persons who derive their subsistence in whole or in part from the land whether in the form of wages or rents were to be shewn as cultivators even though they might have other sources of income."

10. The Governor in Council however doubts whether these instructions have been fully acted up to in the preparation of the returns of the present census or the Agricultural would predominate much more largely over the non-agricultural. He apprehends that the population has been divided into cultivators and non-cultivators, rather than agricultural and non-agricultural in the true Statistical force of those terms.

11. The population according to the present returns exceeds that returned in 1839 by 8,334,302. This excess is said to proceed partly from the Census having been carried into the Zemindaries and other permanently settled Estates and

Fort St. George 18th October 185

from its including Kurnool, and to be in part due to the natural increase in population and to the returns having been taken with greater accuracy.

12 The Census of Fusly 1260 is stated to have been under particular instructions from the Board's Office taken with unusual care, and the Board believe that on the whole the Table which they have submitted may be relied on as a tolerably accurate document, the returns for the District of Masulipatam perhaps being an exception. Nevertheless some of the results in the Table seem open to question.

From Coll. 3d December 1851 P. 36
a 58,34,62
b. 10,12,036
c. 1,95,377
d. 9,33,690
e. 1,06,005
f. 1,22,599
g. 1,15,362
h. 10,56,333.

13 The population of Vizagapatam (exclusive of Jeypoor whence no returns could be obtained) is given at 1,254,272. This is more than double the population[a] belonging to Ryahmundry, Salem[b], Nellore[c], South Arcot[d], Bellary, Coimbatore[e], or Canara[f]. Considering the character of the inland portions of Vizagapatam district, it seems difficult to conceive that this can be correct. The population of Madura is entered at 1,756,791 it does not appear whether this includes Poodoocottah[g] or more numerous than that of any other province. It is certainly

certainly a large District. 10700 Square Miles but its population can scarcely exceed that of Nellore and Trichinopoly put together.— It is remarked that further explanation in respect to the population returns of this District has been already called for in Extract Minutes of Consultation 6th September 1852 No 878 and it is desired that it may be furnished at the same time with any observations which the Board may have to make on the other points noticed in the present Extract.

a. 935890
b. 709796
1645886

14. Again it does not seem probable that Ganjam can have a population averaging 172, and Vizapatam 251 to the square mile, and Masulipatam only 108, that Madura can have 229 and Bellary only 91, nor does it appear credible that the average number of persons to the square mile in South Arcot can be nearly equal, and the average in North Arcot, Madura, and Tinnevelly, be in excess of that of Great Britain with its populous Towns.

a 219
b. 257
C. 229
d. 227
e 221

15. The population, Mahomedan and others, not Hindoos of North Arcot, is reckoned at 72,035 or not half of that of Madura, notwithstanding that it contains the two large Mahomedan

a 162,860

Towns of Arcot and Vellore. The Mahomedan population of Tanjore with its Sea board and Ports of Tranquebar, Negapatam, and Nugore is entered a good deal lower than that of Trichinopoly.

b. 137895

C. 171142

16. It does not appear whether these returns include the Native Army and their families but the number of these serving in the Madras Provinces cannot be large. Several Districts e.g. South Arcot, Nellore, Guntoor, and Salem, have no regular Sepoys.

17. The orders contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation 9th March 1852, that the Class "Mahomedan and others not Hindoos" should be further subdivided into two parts, one for Mahomedans, and another for others, neither Hindoos nor Mahomedans, and that the grand Total (Col 36) should be divided into two heads, Agricultural and non-Agricultural have not been acted on as regards the present returns, probably because the instructions were received after the returns from the different Districts had been sent in. They should be observed in the returns of the next census.—

In

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

18- In Para 4 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation 22d September 1849 the Census is directed to be taken quinquennially. The next will therefore have to be taken in Fusly 1265 / 1855/56 when the points above noticed should receive attention and every precaution be adopted for ensuring a greater accuracy in the prepation of the Returns.

19. With these remarks, the Governor in Council directs the publication of the Returns in the Official Gazette.-

/ Atrue Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

6-7

Bd No 2119.

Order thereon.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Boards letter to which it is a reply be circulated to all Collectors for their information.-

2. The Census Return has been published in the Fort St George Gazette of 17th September 1852 page 925.-

3. The orders of Government referred to in para 17 were circulated from this office on 29th april 1852.-

Fort St George 18th October 1852

No 955

Bd No 2366-

From the Secretary to Government

Reviewing the settlement Report of the Cuddapah District for Fasly 1260 directing the discontinuance of the practice of saddling the Ryot with the utmost extent of land he could possibly cultivate and the writing off of the balance of Quit Rent on the lands occupied by the Bungalows formerly belonging to Mr. Whitford-

8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 28th September 1852.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

(Here enter 28th August 1852 No 363)

Para 1 The season of Fusly 1260 in the Cuddapah District seems to have been favorable- The rains were timely and sufficient but a violent storm and flood occurred in the Months of May which did considerable injury to the Cultivation and was attended with much loss of life among the Ryots in a few villages on the Pennar, as well as destruction of Houses and Cattle- The wet Crops suffered in some degree from blight, the Dry from the same cause, and Cotton

and Chetla Donna more especially from too much rain-

2: The Prices of Paddy and Rice were some-what below those of the previous year- Those of the Dry grains were higher than in Fasly 1259- The prices of Cotton and Indigo exceeded not only the rates of the year before but the average of the 5 preceding Fasles-

3. The Settlement is exhibited in the annexed Abstract-

	Fasly 1260.	Increase or Decrease as compared with Fasly 1259.
	Rupees	Rupees
Dittum	29,46,107	+ 9,458
Deduction on land left waste	3,18,621	+ 56,858
Gross Settlement	26,27,486	+1,48,316
Deductions on land Cultivated	6,87,743	+ 41,531
Remainder	19,39,743	+106,785
Added		
Concealed Cultivation, Sole Vem	173,600	+ 4,351
Peshcush	76,198	+ 1,000
	21,89,541	1,12,136

Report P-20
a. Sidhout
b. Rupees 35545
c. " 19547
d. " 16386-

The increase has occurred in every Taloob a except two but is most marked in Muddenpully b Gurrumcondah c

Gurrumcondah and Royachoty, all three in the Principal Division.

4. The Cabooleat Bunjer or Land in whole numbers, taken up at the dittum, and afterwards left waste by the Ryot from inability to cultivate it was as above shewn of an Assessment of Rupees 3,18,621; the remission on account of Shamelat Bunjer or on parts of fields left uncultivated amounted to Rupees 32,166 and waste to the extent of Rupees 15,542 was charged against the Ryot- Thus the Assessment on the total quantity of land said to have been agreed for but eventually left uncultivated with or without sufficient cause amounted to Rupees 3,66,329 on a dittum of Rupees 29,46,107- The Dittum has always been stated to represent the quantity of land ordinarily occupied by the Ryots- the aggregate of their usual holdings- Judging however from the Table given in Para 22 of the Collectors' Report, the extent of cultivation in Fasly 1260 would seem to have been greater than in any preceding year with one exception (Fasly 1257) and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council cannot understand how in so favorable a season, so large a proportion of the land said to have been agreed for, should

Boards Proceedings Para 10

Besides Enamety and Saman Sea or land left waste for the sake of cultivating Enamand for other causes and subjected to assessment Rupees 45896 Report Para 19

should have been left untilled except on the supposition that much land is in truth included in the Ryots engagements which he has no reasonable expectation of cultivating - The attention of the present Collector should be given to the subject - The formation of the Dittum and the determination of the deductions to be made from it both open a wide door to abuse on the part of the Native Servants, and their proceedings in both should be narrowly watched.

5. The practice of saddling the Ryot with the utmost extent of land he could by any possibility cultivate prevails in many Districts and the Governor in Council requests that the Board of Revenue will issue instructions for its discontinuance. He apprehends that it would be easy for a Collector to ascertain from past Settlements the extent of land ordinarily under cultivation in each Taluk, and to prohibit the Talisildars exceeding their Dittums beyond that average, except under orders from the Huzzoor.

6. The Board in Para 10 have requested the Collector to explain in his next report when and under what circumstances the rule was introduced which

which disallows remission for waste land if within 10 per Cent of the Ryots Puttah. The rule in question is of so much importance and must be of such general application that it would in the opinion of Government, have been preferable had the information been obtained at once instead of the explanation being deferred till the report on the next Settlement.

7 There has been an increase in the cultivation of Indigo, Cotton and Sugar Cane, in the Fasly reported on, above that of Fasly 1259 to the extent severally of

	Land Acres.	Assessment Rs.
Indigo	2,359	28,004
Cotton	9,086	19,482
Sugar Cane	1,194	4,711

8. The Collections were

	Within the Fasly.	Subsequent to 31st Dec. 1852.	Balance.
Current	203,842	138,092	14,605
Arrears	85,059	2,380	5,059

The Balances of both classes were to be settled at the Jummabundi of Fasly 1261. Looking to the circumstances under which the current Balances accrued, the Collector will no doubt have shewn all needful consideration.

9. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants the authority

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

authority for writing off in the accounts of the Cuddapah District the sum of Rupees (85-11-4) Eighty five and Eleven annas being the amount of Quit Rent for Fuslies 1264, 5, 6, 7, 8 - on the land occupied by a Bangalow which formerly belonged to the Reverend Mr Whitford.

10. The demand Collection within and after the Fusly and balance on account of the land and Extra Sources of Revenue for the two years Fusly 1259 and 1260 were.

	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase or Decrease of Fusly 1260 Compared with 1259
Land Revenue.			
Demand	2077404	2189541	+ 112137
Collection	2072966	2174935	+ 101969
Balance	4437	14605	+ 10168
Extra Revenue.			
Demand	316310	313049	— 3261
Collection	315837	312504	— 3333
Balance	474	545	+ 71

The settlement and Revenue realized on all branches for Fusly 1260 were respectively Rupees 2502295 and Rupees 2487439 exceeding the demand and Collection of the year preceding by Rupees 108876 and 98636 - - - "

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(9)
Bd No. 2075
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 26th August 1852.

(8.10)
Bd No. 2136
Further Order thereon Circulated to the Collectors — Ordered also that Copy of paras 4 and 5 be circulated to all Collectors for their information and guidance.

Read

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Read the following Extracts.

Revenue Department

(11)

Bd No. 2365.

From the Secry to Government

No. 967.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 1st October, 1852.

Read the following letter from the Commissioner, Northern Circars.

(Here Enter 6th September 1852. No. 78)

Para 1 Under the circumstances represented by the Commissioner, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to remit the penalty to which Mr Rundall has rendered himself liable by a breach of Clause 5, Section 4, Regulation I of 1820, and directs that a license for distilling Rum be issued to him.

2 The Governor in Council is of opinion that it would be well if on Europeans arriving as strangers in the Country to set up Manufactories, they were furnished by the Collectors within whose limits they may settle with information or Copies of regulations affecting their pursuits, and he requests that the Board of Revenue and the Commissioner of the Northern Circars will instruct the Collectors under

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

under their control accordingly.-

(A true Extract)
Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

11.12
Bd. No. 2066
Order thereon.

Ordered to be circulated to all Collectors for their information and guidance.-

---

13
Bd. No. 2405.
From the Secretary to Government.

Revenue Department

No. 965.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 1st October, 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 13th September 1852. No. 386.)

1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges incurred in Fusly 1260. on account of Topes in the Bellary District, Amounting to Rupees (4,362-12-) Four thousand three hundred and sixty two, and Annas Twelve.

2. These charges are in excess of those incurred in Fusly 1259 in the Sum of Rupees 1271-11-1-

3. The revenue from Topes for the year Rupees 1,842-12- is above that realized

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

realized in Fusly 1259 in the sum of Rupees 234-14-

13.14
Bd. No. 2069
Order thereon.
Inbcm. 13th Sept. 1852.

Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 9th August 1852.-

---

Judicial Department.

15
Bd. No. 2406
From the Secy. to Government.

No. 649.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 2d. October, 1852.

Read the following letter from the Subordinate Judge of Madura.-

No. 163 of 1852.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

1. As the Civil and Sessions Judge declines to forward the accompanying Correspondence to Higher Authority I do myself the honor to transmit it to you with the request that you will obtain for my future guidance the orders of Government on the two questions

Submitted

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Submitted in my letter to the Civil Judge of the 6th Instant.-

2. It will be observed that the Civil Judge views the questions simply as affecting me personally, and my scruples. It is true I am concerned in the matter, since by his letter to Government reporting that he had taken charge of the Court on the 5th / Sunday / I am made to appear a participator in a proceeding which I hold to be illegal, and contrary to the wishes and orders of the Court of Sudr. Udalut, as well as to those of the Government. yet, I would submit with all deference and respect, that the questions have a far wider Scope. They appear to me to affect the service in general if not indeed the character of the Government itself, for the point at issue is not the mere return of Mr. Baynes to Madura on a Sunday Morning, and whether it be or be not his pleasure to respect or trample on the scruples of the Officer temporarily in charge / I most willingly believe he would always choose the former course /; but whether it is legal and proper to open the Courts en en pro forma on the Sunday and

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

and to cause the attendance of the Native Officers at the house of the incumbent, in order that he may be enabled to report the resumption of his office at the expiration of his leave.

3. The acts performed may in themselves be trivial, but by them the character of the day is entirely changed. It is transferred from the list of close Holidays and becomes declared a Court day.-

4. In order that the Government may the better understand what led to this Correspondence I beg to state that at half past 8 on Sunday morning last the Sheristadar of the Civil and Sessions Court waited upon me with the Treasury and Batta Books, and produced a note from the Nazer of that Court instructing him to call upon the Sub: Judge for the Seal as Mr. Baynes wished to take charge of the Court. I declined to sign the Books which were however signed on the 6th but sent the message which will be seen in my letter of that date and in answer to which

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

which I received the following

Memorandum.

Rec'd 12 H. 30 M. Sunday 5th September 1852. /Signed/ H. W. S. Judge

The Civil Judge having arrived from leave this morning at 6 A. M. to resume charge of the Court will be obliged by the Subordinate Judge sending him his seal by the Bearer.

Madura, 8th September, 1852.

/Signed/ A. R. Baynes
Civil & Sessns Judge

Memorandum.

The Subordinate Judge in obedience to the requisitions of the Civil Judge contained in a Memorandum just received, sends by the Peon the seal, but he begs most respectfully to express a hope that this act may not be construed into a formal delivering over of the Court on a day expressly declared by the Regulations and orders of superior authority, to be a dies non.

Madura, 5th September, 1852 Sunday 1 - 10 - P. M.

/Signed/ C. H. Woodgate
Sub: Judge

To

No. 182 of 1852.

The Civil and Sessions Judge of Madura.

Sir,

Having been yesterday morning informed by the Sheristadar of your

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

your Court that you wished me to make over charge of that Court to you, I replied that I did not consider myself justified in opening the Court on a Sunday, but that if you would write officially I would state my opinion on the subject. Subsequently I received your Official Memorandum informing me of your arrival to resume charge of the Court and requesting that the seal might be sent by the Bearer. Being anxious to avoid every appearance, not only of contumacious resistance and disrespect, but of discourtesy, I sent the seal, but at the same time expressed a hope that so doing might not be construed into a formal delivering over of the Court.

2. I am perfectly aware that the delivery of the seals of the Court usually signifies the relinquishment of Office, I may therefore have erred in this case in making over the seal to you; but as it was done to avoid discussions after a 2d order and under protest, I hope I shall not be made a participator in an act which I firmly believe to be illegal.

Should

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

3

Should you however notwithstanding this explanation deem me to have transferred the Court to you on Sunday, and report to Higher Authority that you have received charge from me on that day I would most respectfully beg you will do me the favour to submit this my letter to the Government with the following questions

1st Whether an Officer in temporary charge of an Office can be required to deliver over that Office on Sunday to the permanent incumbent.—

2d Whether I should have been justified under the Circumstances in refusing to deliver over the Seal, and to hold any communication with you on the Subject of your Official Memorandum on a Sunday.—

Madura,—
6th September, 1852.

I Signed} C. H. Wodgate
Sub: Judge.

To,
The Subordinate Judge.
Sir,

Adverting to your Memorandum of the 5th and letter of the 6th relative to my having asked you for my own Seal on the former day, I can only say that

Fort. St. George 18th October 1852.

that had I for a moment thought you would feel any scruples in sending it, I would not have made the request. I imagined I was bound, if I wished to avoid stoppages, to rejoin my station and resume my duties on the 5th without respect to the day of the week, or would not have done so. Accordingly arrived at an early hour, and considered myself by that act to have rejoined and resumed my duties, I had given no order whatever to the Sheristadar about the Seal, but I thought that knowing I was coming in, you would have handed it to him as usual for delivery to me on my arrival, and on asking if he had got it he told me that you had not sent it, because you did not know if I should wish it sent on a Sunday, but would send it if I wrote for it—very probably this was not what you meant and had I understood that you had any objection I would not have sent for it. As tho' I had no scruples myself, I should certainly have respected those of others, under this impression I sent the Memorandum saying, I should feel obliged if you would send the seal, certainly not thereby intending to compel you to an act you considered improper, and I should not have made the request had I been at all

aware

Fort St. Georg 18th October 1852.

aware you would entertain any scruples on the subject. I considered myself to be in charge the moment I rejoined my station, and resumed my duties, and should not have so reported whether I had sent for the seal or not which I did not require till Monday morning as I never transact business on Sunday; tho' I was not aware of any legal objection save such acts as I consider absolutely necessary, such as receiving and opening letters &c.

Having thus explained how foreign to my intention was all idea of personal annoyance to you, or of "Compelling" you to do anything against your conscience, I must decline to make any reference on the subject as unnecessary. what is done cannot be undone, but being now aware of your sentiments on the point, I should certainly not make a similar request should a like occasion recur, which is not probable. If under these circumstances you think it necessary or expedient you can of course

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

course yourself submit this correspondence to the Government.

Madura, Septr 7th 1852.

/ Signed / C. R. Barnes
Civil and Sessn Judge.

Madura, Subordinate Court, 9th September, 1852.

/ Signed / C. H. Woodgate.
Subordinate Judge.

(True Copies)
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

The questions submitted with the above letter are whether a Judge returning to his station from leave, on a Sunday can resume charge of his Court on that day, and whether the Officer who has been in charge during his absence would be justified in declining to deliver up charge on such day.

Para 1

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the views taken by the Subordinate Judge on this subject are perfectly Correct. No Officer can be required to attend to public business on a Sunday for the private convenience of others, moreover the charge of a Court can in the opinion of the Governor in Council only be made over and received

2.

11733. Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

received in open Court, and to hold a Court for that purpose on Sunday would be at variance with Section XIV. Regulation II 1802 and opposed to the express orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors, who have approved of even the Native District Courts being closed on Sunday. It is also contrary to the spirit of the orders of the Governor General 12th January 1847

Court's Despatch 3 January 1844 P. 22.

Fort St. George Gazette Page 83.

3. The Governor in Council observes that the present reference should have been made thro' the Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Udalut. He resolves to furnish Copies of these papers to that Authority and to the Board of Revenue and to desire them to prohibit all functionaries under their control from going over or assuming charge of their Offices on the Sabbath, when an Officer may rejoin his station on the expiration of his leave on a Sunday he will not resume charge of his Office till the following Monday, and he will not be subject to Stoppages for the delay, provided he actually and bonafide returns to his station within the period of leave granted to him. In such case he will report that he rejoined his station on the date of his actual return; but the day

11734. Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

day being Sunday resumed charge of his Office on the day following.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

15.16

Bd. No. 2082.

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to all Collectors for their information and for communication to their Subordinates.

17

Bd. No. 2410.

From the Secry to Government.

Revenue Department

No. 976

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 4th October, 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Reviewing the Settlement Report of the Salem Dist. trict for Fusly 1260.

(Here enter 13th September 1852 No. 382.)

The Crops both Nunjah and Punjah in some localities are stated to have suffered from insufficient moisture in June and July; but in the other Months of the year the rains were ample, and the season of Fusly 1260 in the Salem District is said upon the whole to have been far more favorable

favorable than the preceding one, and to have left but little to desire. In such a season, the produce might have been expected to be abundant; but it is stated that on the whole, the outturn of the dry Crops throughout the District may be taken at 7/8ths. that of the wet cultivation at 11/16ths of an average yield, and again the outturn of the harvest in the Southern Talooks is said to have been very satisfactory this the Poonjab is considered to have yielded "fairly" 3/4ths of an average crop and the Nunjab 7/8ths." The Board of Revenue have properly remarked upon this inconsistency and upon the little weight to be given to such Estimates.

2. Prices were lower than in Fusly 1259, but are represented by the Board to have approximated to those of Fusly 1258. This remark however applies rather to the wet than to the dry grains Raggy, Cumboo and Cholum, shewn in the Boards Table.

† Para 11.

The

3 The following was the Settlement of the year under report.-

	Rupees.	Increase Decrease compared with the previous year.
Dittoes	13,01,424	+ 6554
Deduct Cabooleat Bunjer	17,355	−4467
	12,84,069	+48021
Add Teeroo and Fusl Sasly	45754	+ 907
	13,29823	+48928
Deduct remissions on occupied land, on pasture land, for loss by inundation by drought &c.	36118	+ 6000
	12,93705	+ 54928
Add Ready Money Collection, Hill revenue, Jaxon Trees, grazing tax, Enam quit rent, &c.	82271	+ 223
	13,75976	+55157
Deduct Village Sibbendies shares on agraharums, &c.	10779	+ 2988
	12,68797	+52163
Add Sodi Villages	18085	—
Poliput Peishcush	19941	—
Mootahs	468530	—
	17,55354	+52163

4 Of the above settlement the balances outstanding at the close of the Fusly, the Amount since realized and proportion still due, for the Mootahs and Government lands respectively, stand as under stated.

	Balance 11th July 1851.	Since collected	Remaining balance at the end of June 1852.
Mootahs	26058	25439	1079
Government Villages	32361	28157	4204

Fort St George 18th October 1852.

5. The old Balances are

Mootahdarry ——— Rs 5694

Circar ——————— " 14,316.

The Mootahdarry arrears are due on only one Estate, Samvodanum, being the same on which the Current balance has occurred, and it will be sold if the Government demand be not paid up. Out of the balances of Fusly 1259 and previous years outstanding against the Government Villages, Rupees (13,488. 6 —) thirteen thousand four hundred and eighty eight, and annas six are irrecoverable, and these Government on the recommendations of the Collector and Board of Revenue authorize their remission.

6. The Government concur in the remarks contained in Para 32 to 34 of the Boards' Proceedings as to the inutility of issuing fresh Puttahs annually, when the Ryots holding has undergone no change. It is not meant that fresh Puttahs are never to be granted under such circumstances, but simply that they need not be issued when the Ryot does not desire it. He is to choose whether he will keep his old Puttah or take a new one, and the option thus allowed him with it appears to Government to be sufficient to guard against abuses.—

(A true Extract

/Signed/ T. Pycroft

Secretary to Govt

17.18

Bd No 2064

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 13th September 1852.

11738

Fort St George 18th October 1852.

Bd No 2444

From the Secretary to Government ... Dated 5th October 1852.

19

Reads Extracts stating the views of Government relative to the ability of the Commissioner Northern Circars to adjudicate in appeal cases arising out of proceedings originated by himself; and calling for a special and early report on the state of the investigation conducted by the Special Assistant at Masulipatam.

19.20

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

21

Bd No 2424

From the Secretary to Government ...

Read the following Extracts

Revenue Department

No 981

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 5th October, 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India.—

To

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

No. 768

To,

J. P. Grant Esqr.

Secretary to the Government of India.

Sir

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to submit for the consideration and Orders of the Most Noble the Governor General in Council the accompanying application in the prescribed Form for the entertainment of a special Assistant in the District of South Arcot for the relief of the Collector whilst engaged in the revision of the Assessment in that Province.

2 The state of the Assessment in the District of South Arcot has long been the subject of discussion between the local Officers, the Board of Revenue and

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

and the Government. The Assessment on the lands in that District is higher than that in almost any other Collectorate under the Madras Presidency. The two Southern Talooks which are watered from the Coleroon Annicut the small Talook of Cuddalore and some extensive Jaghiers which have reverted to Government in other parts of the District, have never been subjected to a regular survey and assessment. In regard to the two former Talooks the defects in the classification and assessment of the lands have prevented the full benefits being derived from the construction at a cost of Rupees 300,000 of the Coleroon Annicut and the Honorable the Court of Directors in their Despatch of 21st June 1842, Para 17, expressed their desire that the survey and assessment of that part of the District should be proceeded with without delay. But the extension of the inquiry is no less needed into other parts of the District where many irregularities and inequalities are stated to prevail and where it is represented that in consequence of the pressure of the higher rates of assessment much of the superior land is left uncultivated, and labor and capital diverted to inferior soils. The undertaking has

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

"has hitherto been impeded by various causes but the Government are of opinion that the time for it has now fully arrived and that the local experience and the ability of the present Collector Mr. Maltby render him the fittest person for the prosecution of the work. It will not however be in his power to devote to it the requisite degree of time and attention unless he be relieved from much of his present current and detail duties. The object of the present application is therefore for the appointment of a special Assistant of the rank, standing and allowances of a Sub Collector who would take a considerable share of the Collector's ordinary revenue and Police business and leave him the necessary degree of leisure for the conduct of the Survey.

It is requested that sanction may be granted for the appointment for the period of two years in the first instance at the expiration of which

terno

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

terno a further application will be submitted if necessary.

Fort St. George,
6th August, 1852.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

No. 1991

Extract from the Proceedings of the Most Noble the Governor General of India in Council, in the Financial Department, Minute dated the 3rd September, 1852.

Read an Extract from the Home Department No. 692 dated the 21st Ultimo, forwarding a Despatch from the Government of Fort Saint George No. 768 of the 6th Idem soliciting sanction to the employment of a special Assistant for the relief of the Collector of South Arcot while engaged in the revision of the Assessment of that District.

Resolution.

Government of Fort St. George to Home Department 6th August 1852.

The Government of Fort St. George reports "The state of the Assessment in the District of South Arcot has long been the subject of discussion between the local Officers, the Board of Revenue, and the Government. The Assessment

on

on the lands in that District is higher than that in almost any other Collectorate under the Madras Presidency. The two Southern Talooks which are watered from the Coleroon Annicut, the small Talook of Cuddalore, and some extensive Jaghires which have reverted to Government in other parts of the District, have never been subjected to a regular Survey and assessment. In regard to the two former Talooks the defects in the classifications and assessment of the lands have prevented the full benefits being derived from the construction at a Cost of Rupees 300,000 of the Coleroon Annicut, and the Honorable the Court of Directors in their Despatch of 21st of June 1842 Para 17 expressed their desire that the Survey and assessment of that part of the District should be proceeded with without delay. But the extension of the enquiry is no less needed into other parts of the District where many irregularities and inequalities are stated to prevail and where it is represented that in Consequence of the pressure of the higher rates of Assessment much

11743 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

much of the Superior Land is left uncultivated and labour and Capital diverted to inferior soils. The undertaking has hitherto been impeded by various Causes but the Government are of opinion that the time for it has now fully arrived and that the local experience and the ability of the present Collector Mr. Maltby render him the fittest person for the prosecution of the work. It will not however be in his power to devote to it the requisite degree of time and attention unless he be relieved from much of his present Current and detail duties. The object of the present application is therefore for the appointment of a Special Assistant of the rank, Standing, and allowances of a Sub Collector, who would take a Considerable share of the Collector's Ordinary Revenue and Police business and leave him the necessary degree of leisure for the conduct of the Survey. It is requested that Sanction may be granted for the Appointment for the period of two years in the first instance at the expiration of

11743. Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

of which term a further application will be submitted if necessary."-

On this report the Most Noble the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the employment of a Special Assistant to relieve the Collector of South Arcot of his ordinary duties, on a salary of 1166. Rs. 10 a. - 8 p. and a tent allowance of 87 Rs. - 8 a. - p. per Mensem besides extra tent allowance, according to the usual rules, for a period of two years.-

Order

Ordered that a Copy of the above Resolution be forwarded to the Home Department for communication to the Government of Fort Saint George and that the papers noted in the Margin be returned.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / J. Dorin
Secretary to the Govt of India

Copy of a letter No 768 dd 6th August 1852 from Govt of Fort St George and the original Tabular Statement

No 741

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St George, with reference to Mr. Secretary Pycroft's letter No 768 dated the 6th Ultimo.

Fort William, -
Home Department,
The 17th September, 1852.

By order of the Governor General in Council
/ Signed / A. R. Young
Under Secy to the Govt of India

/ True Copies /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

11744. Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Para 1 Ordered that the foregoing Extract and the letter to which it is a reply be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract minutes of Consultation 6th August last, No 767, and also to the offices of Account and Audit-

2 Referring to the concluding Para of the Extract from the Proceedings of the 12th July 1852 the Board will be pleased after communicating with the Collector to state in what order his inquiries should proceed-

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

ordered

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

of which term a further application will be submitted if necessary.

On this report the Most Noble the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the employment of a Special Assistant to relieve the Collector of South Arcot of his ordinary duties, on a salary of 1166. 10. 8 and a tent allowance of 87-8-0 per Mensem besides extra tent allowance, according to the usual rules for a period of two years.—

Order

Ordered that a Copy of the above Resolution be forwarded to the Home Department for communication to the Government of Fort Saint George and that the papers noted in the Margin be returned.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / J. Dorin
Secretary to the Govt of India

Copy of a letter No 768 d. 6th August 1852 from Govt of Fort St George and the original Tabular Statement

No 741

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St George with reference to Mr. Secretary Pycroft's letter No 768 dated the 6th Ultimo.

Fort William,
Home Department,
The 17th September 1852.

By order of the Governor General in Council
/ Signed / A. R. Young
Under Secy to the Govt of India

/ True Copies /
/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Para 1 Ordered that the foregoing Extract and the letter to which it is a reply be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 6th August last, No. 767, and also to the offices of Account and Audit.

2 Referring to the concluding Para of the Extract from the Proceedings of the 12th July 1852 the Board will be pleased after Communicating with the Collector to state in what order his inquiries should proceed.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered

11745 Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Bd. No. 2070.

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the

(21.22)

foregoing Extract from Minutes of

Consultation and of the papers

which accompanied it be Communi-

cated to the Collector of South Arcot

with reference to the Board's Proceed-

-ings dated 16th August 1852.

2 The Collector attend-

-tion is called to para 2 of the

above Minutes of Consultation

Extract

D.G.

11746 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Bd. No. 2457.

From the Secretary to Government forwarding a letter from the Chief Magistrate & Superintendent of Police, and requesting the Board to state the grounds of their doubt whether it be intended by Act XIX of 1852 that the arrack and Toddy-Shops of Madras require a License from the Magistrates.

(23)

No. 990.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 9th October 1852.

Read the following letters

No. 33.

To

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.

Chief Secretary to

Government

Fort St. George

Sir,

A doubt has been expressed on the part of the Revenue Board whether it is intended by Act XIX of 1852 that arrack and Toddy Shops require a License from the Magistrates; I have the honor therefore to request that the question may be referred for the opinion of the Advocate General Myself entertain no doubt upon this subject for Clause 12 of the act was discussed with me before the Act was passed when the principle of the English law was recognized Viz. "To enable a person to keep a Public House and sell therein Wine and Spirits Beer Cider or Perry or other excisable liquors two Licenses are necessary, 1st a Magistrate

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Magistrates License. and 2nd an excise License: neither is operative alone both together they become so Again the recent Calcutta police Regulation Clause XX distinctly points out that Houses Shops Rooms, or Places of Public resort and Entertainment must have licenses by the Magistrates I forward Copy of 2 Forms of Licenses proposed to be issued. One for Hotels and Taverns, the other for Arack and Toddy Shops I conceive that the principle of the law required is simple viz. that the Magistrates should be armed with sufficient control to repress irregularities and preserve the morals of the people and to do so efficiently the Magistrates must have authority over all Retail vendors of Spirituous or fermented liquors With regard to Wholesale Licenses, that is matter entirely Excise

† act No. XIII of 1852.

Madras General Police Office 28th September 1852

Signed E. F. Elliot
Chief Magistrate and Superintendent of Police

Ordered that the above letter be referred to the Board of Revenue who will be pleased to explain the grounds of their doubt whether it is intended by act XIX 1852 that

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

that the arrack and Toddy Shops of Madras require a License from the Magistrates.—

True Extract
Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 2071. No. 432.

Proceedings thereon

Stating the grounds on which the Board doubt the authority of the Police to grant Licences to arrack and Toddy shops and proposing an arrangement if it be thought desirable that they should.—

(23.24)

The Board of Revenue were led both from the act XIX of 1852 itself and the previous correspondence to infer that it was not intended to give authority to the Officers of Police to grant Licenses to arrack shops and Toddy shops.

2 It is from these shops that the Abkarry Revenue is derived and section VI of the act states that the Board of Revenue have the authority to regulate the form and provisions of the Licenses granted under the act and to alter & add to the conditions thereof. By section IX the Collector may withhold or withdraw a License and this section refers especially to Retail licenses. No mention is made in it of the Police authorities.—

3 The Board were of opinion from section XII that two Licenses / one from the Collector authorizing the retail sale of liquor. the other from the Justices authorizing to keep house / were necessarily only

only in the case of persons keeping Hotels or
places where parties could be provided with
Board and Lodging — They Considered that "house
of entertainment" did not include arrack and
Toddy Shops and they observe that Mr. Elliot in
In Cons. 17th June 1850. his letter to them dated 21st May 1850 enclosing
a Draft act, makes a distinction Sec XVIII
commences "Provisions to granting a licence for any
house of public entertainment &c." and in the
margin is written "Intended to relate to Hotels
"Boarding Houses Tiffin Rooms and all such
"as provide lodging and boarding as well
"as liquor Again Sec XXVII commences "Any
"person licensed to keep a shop for the Retail
"Sale of Spirits Wine Beer, arrack, Toddy, or any
"intoxicating liquor &c. whilst the Margin adds
"intended to relate to Taverns Beer Rooms Arrack
"and Toddy shops and all places where liquor
"only is sold" From this it would appear that
the superintendent of Police did not include
arrack and Toddy shops under the
head of "houses of public entertainment"
4 Section II of Mr. Elliotts
Draft act proposes that the Police
shall give licenses to persons who
shall open or keep any house of
Public

Public Entertainment such as Hotel Lodging
or Boarding houses Tavern Punch or Eating
houses or Coffee Room or any general victualling
and Lodging House or Room under any denomi-
nation whatever" There is nothing said about
arrack or Toddy shops and the Board not
considering them to come under the head of
houses of Public Entertainment concluded
that the Section did not refer to them.—
5 According to the old practice
all arrack and Toddy shop licenses were
given by the Police. That is the Collector
first made all his Revenue arrangements
and then sent up the names of the parties
he had agreed with and the Police gave
them a License as a matter of course. As
this appeared a mere form the Board
proposed in the new act to let the Collector
give those Licenses himself but that he should
† Board's Proceedings 11th November 1850 Paras 39. 40. send a List to the Police and not give a
License to persons disapproved of by them.—
6 Government in Extract Minutes
In Cons. 28th April 1851. Consultation 29th March 1851 paras 4 and 5
clearly point out what they conceive to
be the view of the Board Viz. that
all Licenses should be issued by the
Collector

Collector of Madras alone, but that the Superintendent of Police should have the power of directing the persecution" and in para 6 "The Governor in Council quite agrees with the Board on these points" The Government then proceed to direct that the Collector be obliged to revoke a License granted by him on the requisition of the Superintendant of Police on the ground of the party keeping a disorderly house &c.—

7 In para 7 the Government advertising specially to arrack & an Toddy shops direct that the List of the parties to whom the Collector proposes to grant Licenses, and the Places where shops are to be established should be submitted to the Superintendent of Police in order that he may have an opportunity of offering any special objections that occur to him.—

8 If it had been intended that the Police authorities should be authorized to License the same arrack & and Toddy shops as the Collector, which is what Mr Ellis now proposes, it would hardly have been necessary to act merely for his objections

objections as he could at once have referred a Police License.—

9 These points were replied to in paras 2 and 3 of the Boards Proceedings of 26th June 1851 on the understanding that the Collector only was to give Retail Licenses.—

10 When the Government in Extract Minutes Consultation 20th September 1851 para 8 observed "The principle laid down is that no one shall have a License from the Police who is not approved of by the Collector and that no one shall have a license from the Collector who is objected to by the Police" The Board understood the former part of the sentence to refer to a License to keep a Hotel House of Entertainment &c not including arrack & and Toddy shops and the 2d Clause of the sentence to refer to arrack & and Toddy shops and not that every man should hold 2 Licenses. The Board have hitherto taken it for granted that Police Regulation IX has been abrogated by act XIX of 1852 especially sections IV & VI.

In Con. 29th September 1851.

11 Having now in reply to the requisition

requisition of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council submitted fully the grounds of their doubt as to the Police Licenses for arack and Toddy shops the Board would add that they do not wish to offer any objection to such Licenses if they are considered desirable for the prevention of drunkenness and disorder. They would in this case suggest that all applications for Retail License be made in the first instance to the Collector and if he grants a License that the party shall then apply to the superintendant of Police for his License but that the Police shall not have authority to grant Retail Licenses in the first instance, as it might interfere with the Collectors annual arrangements of the Abkarry Revenue. They would also suggest that if the Collector thinks it proper to withdraw a Retail License, the Police authorities should be obliged to withdraw theirs, and vice versa when the Police think fit to recall any License they may have issued.—

Read the following letter

To

No. 29.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(25)

B. No. 2388

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 18th March last on the subject of the new Survey and proposed assessment of the Village of Pulkoor in the Nellore District and to express my regret that the pressure ..

11755 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Sir

In pursuance of my present duties has as yet prevented my furnishing the explanation desired on certain points connected therewith. Mr. Stokes return may now be shortly looked for when no time shall be lost in submitting the information required.

Gunloor
Collector's Office
28th September 1852

Signed A. Purvis
Acting Collector

25·26

Order thereon

Ordered to be put

P.G.S.

11756 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

No. 81.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(27)

Bd. No. 2327
From the Collector of Nellore.

Returning Petitions relating to a Yeomiah alleged to be in the fraudulent enjoyment of a Pleader of the Zillah Court. Submits his views on the case for the consideration of Government.

Sir,

In returning the Petitions noted in the Margin bearing the Endorsement of the Board of Revenue and having reference to a Yeomiah alleged to be in the fraudulent enjoyment of a Pleader of the Zillah Court of this place, I have the honor to transmit herewith the accompanying Government Petition No. 2291. of 1852 relating partly to the same subject and beg to submit the following report on them for the information of the Board of Revenue and for Communication to Government.

No. 306 of 1852.
No. 952 of 1852.

2. In regard to the first of the two points embraced in the Petition of Abdul Cauder Viz. the Yeomiah claimed by himself I beg to refer the Board

To Bd. 29th May 1833.
From Do. 6th June 1833
To Do. 17th Decr. 1834.
From Do. 19th Janry. 1835.

to the correspondence noted in the margin which explains the circumstances under which it was discontinued under

under the orders of the Board of Revenue in 1833.

3. In respect to the alleged furtive enjoyment of a Yeomiah by Mahomed Rahamatoollah. I find that this person is in the receipt of a Monthly allowance of 15 Rupees from my Treasury. The Yeomiah in question appears in No. 189 of the Register of 1804 and No. 103 of that of 1812, and is stated to have been conferred originally on Mahomed Sebutoollah for learning the Persian language. On the death of this individual the allowance was recommended to be renewed in the name of the present holder for his life under the idea that he was the son of the deceased. The renewal was accordingly sanctioned by Government under date the 11th October 1825; but in a subsequent communication from this Office, Mr. Smalley, the then Principal Collector took occasion to state that Rahamatoollah was only the adopted son of Sebutoollah, a fact of which he (the Collector) was not aware when writing in 1825 and

To B. 15th Sept. 1825.
From Dt. 17th Oct. 1825.

To B. 14th April 1827
From Dt. 19th Oct. 1827

added.

added that the adoption may or may not have been legal, but supposing it so I should not have recommended the full Pension had I known it. In consideration however of the circumstance of the allowance having been once sanctioned in the name of Rahamatoollah, and of the alleged distress of the family who were maintained by the stipend, its continuance was recommended and sanctioned by the Board.-

4. Respecting the real relationship between Sebutoollah and Rahamattoollah no enquiry seems to have been made by Mr. Smalley either in 1825 or 1827, but he appears merely to have gone on the statement of the parties seeking the renewal of the grant. I have now endeavoured to gain some information on this point, and it appears from a letter from the Civil and Sessions Judge of this place in answer to a reference from me that Rahamatoollah styled himself, in his application to the Court for the situation of Reader the son of Meera Sahib and adopted son of Ghouse Saheb, so that even the subsequent statement

Vide Enclosure I

11759 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Statement of Mr. Smalley that he was the adopted son of Seductollah is disproved. That the present holder was not entitled to succeed to the Yeomials of Seductollah as his heir, is further evident from the fact of the subscription paid by the latter when Moofty in the Zillah Court of Bellary to the late Native Pension Fund, having been refunded in 1849 to his Sister and Rahamatoollah's Mother Ummum Bee, on the Principal Suder Ameen and Moofty of this place certifying that she was the sole surviving heiress of Seductollah and entitled to draw the money. The explanation of Rahamatallah, / whom I have examined and whose deposition will be found among the enclosures) regarding his adoption by the late Yeomiahdar while representing himself to be the adopted son of Mahomed Ghouse also, is not satisfactory and under the circumstances of the case, it depends on the pleasure of Government to continue or resume the Yeomiah

Vide Encl: 2.

Vide Encl: 3.

11760 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Yeomiah as may appear to them right. Pending the receipt of their orders, I have stopped the payment of the allowance.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry, 22d September, 1852. } Signed / D. White Collector.

No. 1.

Enclosure 1.

No. 89.

To, D. White Esqre
Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

In answer to your letter dated the 18th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the Pleader Mahomed Rahamatallah usually signs his name without adding that of his father's in papers presented by him to the Court. He however, in the body of his application for the situation of Pleader styles himself the son of Mohomed Mera Sahib, Attorney to the Jaghirdar of Woodiagiri, Nephew and adopted son of Gous Sahib Merchant at Nellore, and Nephew of Mahommud Siba Kattilla Saheb Moofty of Bellary and of Hafson Saheb Dewan.

11761

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Dewan of Wudiaghiri Jaghiredar. -

Zillah Court,
Nellore,
23d June 1852.

(Signed) Mc.Murray.
Acting Civil Judge.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) D. White
Collector.

No. 2. -

The Principal Sudr Ameen in the Zillah of Nellore has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of the same Zillah under date the 13th March 1849 which accompanied a Copy of the Miscellaneous Petition No. 90 of 1849 presented by Candury Narasimhachary Pleader on behalf of Amanbibi and directing him to report to the Civil Court of the fact of the Petitioner being sister and heiress to the late Mohomed Sibactulla Saheb Mooftyof Bellary Zillah Court. He begs to report that he was acquainted with the late Mahomed Sibactulla Saheb and that he knows that the Petitioner Amanbibi is the sister and heiress of the said Sibactulla Saheb. -

Given under my hand this 20th March 1849.
(Signed) Fa Sihud. din
Principal Sudr ameen.

(L.S.)

The

11762

Fort St. George 18th October 1852. -

The Principal Sudr Ameen has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court in the Zillah of Nellore under date the 30th Ultimo informing him that he did not state whether there were any other relations of Sibactoolo Saheb surviving after him who might have a legal claim to succeed to his property, and what became of them and who their parents were &c., and whether the Petitioner is the sole heiress to him, and also directing him to inform the Civil Court of the particulars about the heirs left by Sibactulla Saheb. He begs to state that the deceased Sibactulla Saheb who had no issue had at his death left his father, his brother who had no issue, and sister Amanbibi who has issue, to have a legal claim to succeed to his property, and that afterwards his father and brother who had no issue died. The Principal Sudr Ameen therefore now begs to report that the Petitioner Amanbibi is the sole heiress to the late Sibactullah Saheb. -

Given under my hand this 3d day of April 1849.
(Signed) Fa Sihd. din.
Princ. Sudr Ameen.

(L.S.)

(True Copies.)
(Signed) John Walker
Civil Judge.

(True Copy)
(Signed) D. White
Collector.

Deposition

No. 3.

Deposition given by Mahomed Rahmutullah Saheb, an Inhabitant of Velore and a Yeomiahdar in the receipt of Rupees 15 per Mensem, dated 14th September, 1852.-

2. How are you related to Mahomed Sebuctullah Saheb?

A. I am the Son of Ammanbee the Sister of Mahomed Sibuctullah Saheb: Mahomed Sebuctullah Saheb died when I was two and a half years old. I have heard my predecessors say that he adopted me previous to his death.-

2 Did you inherit all the property of the aforesaid Sebuctullah Saheb at his death.-

A. The property of Sebuctullah Saheb according to the Mohomedan law was inherited by his father Habeebullah Saheb who was alive at the time when the former died. After the death of Habeebullah Saheb the propiety came into the possession of his other son Mahomed Gous Saheb, my Guardian who dying 4 years ago put me in

in possession of the property under a writing.-

2. You have stated above that you are the adopted Son of Mahomed Sebuctullah Saheb: In your application to the Court for the Office of Vakeel you are stated to be the adopted Son of Gous Saheb.- How do you account for this.-2

A. I am the adopted Son of Sebuctullah Saheb who having died when I was a Minor, Gous Saheb took me under his protection and supported me. I therefore wrote in my application that Gous Saheb was my foster father.-

2. A certain Sum of Native Pension fund payable to Sebuctullah Saheb, was, in default of any other heir, paid to his Sister Amunbee some time ago. Why then did you not prefer your claim to it.2

A. Ammunbee the Sister of Sebuctulla Saheb and my Mother was alone under the Mahomedan law entitled to it and she accordingly claimed and received it. There was also a portion of this Native Pension or Fund in the College which

Gous.

which Gous Saheb and Ammumbee received together about 8 years ago Jas the adopted Son having no right to the property under the Mahomedan Law did not claim it.-

2. Is this deposition given by you correct.?

A. Yes it is correct.

(Signed) Mahomed Rahamatoolla

Given before me this 14th day of September 1852.-

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

(True Translation)
(Signed) D. White.
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following Address.-

To

11765 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

27.28

Bd. No. 2065

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Submitting with the Board's observations thereon a letter from the Collector of Nellore reporting upon the allegations made by Abdool Cawder Styling himself a Service Yeomiadar of an Ansar Sheref in that Town.-

Rd. 20th October 1852.

No. 431.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

With reference to the endorsement of the Deputy Secretary to Government 6th January 1852 on Petition No. 2291/1851 calling for a report upon the allegations made by Abdool Cawder, styling himself a Service Yeomiahdar of an Ansar Sheref in the Town of Nellore, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the Consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter dated 22d. September 1852. from the Collector of Nellore and its Enclosures.-

2. The claim advanced by Petitioner to the Yeomiah of Rupees 15-"-" per Mensem for Ansar Sheref seems to the Board to be inadmissible in-as-much as that allowance

From the Principal Collector	29th May in Cons.	6th June 1833	was discontinued
To,	Do.	6th June "	under their orders
From	Do.	17th December in Cons. 8 Jany. 1835	to the Principal
To,	Do.	19th January "	Collector of 6th

June 1833 and 19th January 1835; in consequence of the abandonment of the required Service for a previous period of Two Years.-

3. In regard to the Yeomiah of Rupees 15-"-" per Mensem at present enjoyed

Fort St. George 18th. October 1832

From Govt. 11th October 1832 Consns. 17 Oct.

From Principal Collector 17th Cons: 19th April 1827

To Do. 19th April 1827

enjoyed by one Mahomed Rahamatoollah the Board observe that subsequent to the sanction of Government renewing that allowance to him, as the supposed son of the late Mahomed Sebucktoollah the Principal Collector Mr. Smalley stated that he had ascertained that Rahamatoollah was only the adopted son of Mahomed Sebucktoollah, and that if he had been aware of this fact he would not have recommended the full Pension, Mr. Smalley however felt unwilling to suggest an alteration of a grant which had been sanctioned both by the Board and by Govern- ment to a respectable family in distress and the Board in Consequence permitted its con- tinuance

4. Rahamatoollah it will be seen in his application to the Civil Court for the situation of Pleader Styled himself the adopted son of Ghouse Saheb the brother of Mahomed Sebucktoollah, in his present deposition before the Collector he states that he is the son of Ami- number the Sister of Mahomed Sebucktoollah that he was Adopted by Mahomed Sebucktoollah and that Ghouse Saheb was his Guardian or Foster father.-

5. From his position in life the Board have no reason to doubt that Rahamatoollah has stated ought but what he believed to be true and adverting to the object of the original grant which was for subsistence and to the Circumstance that it has formed a part of his income for so many years, they are disinclined at this distant period to recommend its resumption.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th. October, 1832 ---

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Read the following Statement

Fort St. George

Bd. No. 2511.

Dr. 29

Salt Account Current in the Nellore

			C Rs.	A	P.	C Rs.	A	P.
1831. July	12th.	To Balance of quantity remaining in Store at the end of last Fasly	"	"	"	89372	10	"
1832. July	11th.	To quantity Manufactured and received into Store						
	"	To the end of last quarter	242963	4	"			
		In this quarter	427455	"	"	670418	4	"
		To quantity gained by Measure- ment in the State of 10 Garce Heaps						
	"	To the end of last quarter	200	27	10			
		In this quarter	73	20	"	274	7	10
		To quantity of Confiscated Salt						
		To the end of last quarter	3	5	4			
		In this quarter	"	30	"	3	35	4
		Total Indian Maunds				1,63,868	16	14

e 18th October 1852.

11769

Collectorate from 18th Ap

Dr. — Cr.

11th By Sales Inland Consumption

To the end of last quarter — 2

In this quarter — 6

Home Consumption

To the end of last quarter — 4

In this quarter — 6

By Exportation

To Calcutta on private Trade

To the end of last quarter — 81

In this quarter — 14

To Chittagong

To the end of last quarter — 23

In this quarter — 7

By wastage occasioned

Jushy 1260 written off as

Sanction of Governme

dated 17th June 1852.

In this quarter —

Balance remaining in th

Total R

N. B. Wastage occasioned in Jushy 12

To the end of last quarter — 36284

In this quarter — 17464

38749

1852.

July 11th To Sal

To the en

In this 3 11

Ho 7 8

To the en — 26345 11

In this q

Total 10 9

To C 2 6

To Calc — 3645 13

To the

In this

12 4

8 8

To the — 1143 5

In the

To fract

To the e 1 5

In this 5 " — 41936 6

To the

In this " "

To Reco " "

To the — 725 "

In th — 73796 4

To Ca

To Re — 372037 13

In

In

In — 385854

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

11770

Read the following letter —

No. 99

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(31)

B. No. 2373.

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Para 1. I have now the honor to forward the Statement of balances due under the heads of Motarpha and Sundry Small farms furnished in my letter of the 23d Ultimo, shewing the amount which alone is recoverable, and that which I recommend may be remitted.

2. Of the sum of Rupees 287-4 entered as doubtful, Rupees 19-15-4 is owing by a female, whose house and property is under attachment by the Civil Court, and whenever the Sale takes place the balance due to Government will be first discharged from the proceeds the remaining Sums of Rupees 8-8- " is to be collected from a Merchant of Cuddapah, and will be realized very shortly.

3. The two largest items which I have entered for remission are due by persons who have left their Village or the District and by some poor Ryots who have no means of meeting the Government demand - the whole Sums of Rs. 717-15-10 bears but a very small proportion to the whole Settlement of the Ceded Sources of Revenue, and is less than 1/2 per cent in the Beriz of the past year - when therefore it is seen that the Sums is the accumulated balance of four years I do not anticipate that the Board will be unwilling to recommend to Government, its final Removal from Office.

as D " 7-7-

+ Rs. 15-2060

Cuddapah, Collr's Office, 25th September 1852.

(Signed) H. Forbes. Collector

Statement —

1771. George

State & Sicences

Years.	Instalments.	Particulars of the Years.	Number of persons who have absconded leaving no property.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	12	
1257.		Vesa. Baby.	1	"	"
		Vesababy.	2	"	" 9
				"	" 9
1258.		Bugoe Vesababy.	1	"	" "
			2	1	10 "
				1	10 "
				1	10 9
		Vesababy.	1	3	10 6
			2	"	" "
			3	"	4 "
			4	"	" "
			5	1	" 8
			6	"	8 "
			7	"	" "
			8	"	" "
				5	7 2
1259		Bugoe Vesababy.	1	2	1 "
			2	"	" "
			3	3	11 "
			4	"	" "
			5	"	" "
			6	15	8 11
			7	5	14 8
			8	6	7 "
			9	"	" "
			10	"	" "
				33	10 7
				39	1 8

18th October 1852.

Outstanding at the close of Tusly 1261.—

Particulars of Column No. 11.—

Owing by.—

Persons who have absconded.				Poor Ryots.				Tax assessed on the same party in two Villages				Tax assessed on Ryots not liable to it.—			
No. of Persons	Amount			No. of Persons	Amount.			No. of Persons	Amount.			No. of Persons.	Amount.		
13				14				15				16			
"	"	"	"	1	8	12	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	1	6	15	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	5	14	1	4	2	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	5	14	1	5	9	9	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	3	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	3	8	3	25	9	1	6	1	1	14	"	"	"	"	"
4	3	8	3	28	12	4	6	1	1	14	"	"	"	"	"
6	9	6	4	33	21	14	"	1	1	14	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	4	4	"	7	2	12	6	1	6	4	"	"	"	"	"
2	1	12	"	1	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	9	4	4	3	1	8	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	10	6	5	4	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	1	5	11	"	"	"	"	"
1	16	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
26	33	2	1	10	8	8	7	2	11	15	"	"	"	"	"
4	3	9	"	"	"	"	"	1	1	6	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	2	"	"	
1	"	1	"	4	3	5	"	3	7	3	9	"	"	"	"
5	6	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	17	10	9	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	1	"	10	"	"	"	"	"
48	31	5	"	10	11	2	11	1	1	3	1	"	"	"	"
8	7	3	3	30	17	14	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	12	14	5	7	14	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	4	10	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
88	86	9	8	51	36	11	5	9	28	1	7	4	2	"	"
104	119	11	9	61	45	4	"	11	10	"	7	4	2	"	"

11772 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Particulars of

Tax assessed on Ryots who have no trade		Tax assessed on parties who are entitled to Remission		Tax assessed on Ryots who have become paupers from their houses and property having been washed away by the flood.		Tax assessed on Ryots holding land.		Tax over assessed.		Tax due by parties confined in Jail.	
No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.
17		18		19		20		21		22	
1		4	6	6							
1		4	6	6							
1		6	12								
1		6	12								
2		11	2	6							

Column 11 / Contd.

Fort St. George

1773

Items. | Fanams. | Cash. | Pardeau Cash. | Amount

Persons who have died leaving no heirs or property

No. of Persons	Amount	
1	1	43
"	"	"
"	"	4 "
"	"	" "
"	"	" "
"	"	" "
1	"	6 "
"	"	" "
2	1	10 3
1	"	3 9
4	2	9 "
1	1	" "
"	"	" "
"	"	" "
"	"	" "
4	3	9 "
6	6	14 "
8	5	15 "
"	"	" "
24	20	4 "
26	21	14 "
62	62	10 "

Vara Cadey.

Rotary Fee.

1260.

Bagam Vara Cadey.

18th October 1852.

Particulars of Column No. 11. / Contd.

Owing by.

Persons who have absconded		Poor Ryots.		Tax assessed on the same party in two Villages			Tax assessed on Ryots not liable to it.			
No. of Persons	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount		No. of Persons	Amount.		
13		14		15			16			
4	22	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	1	5	1	2	13	"	"	"	"
1	7	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	2	11	4	2	4	4	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	1	23	4	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	35	4	2	4	30	8	4	"	"	"
6	4	11	"	2	2	4	"	"	"	"
5	4	13	"	3	1	"	"	"	"	"
63	77	11	5	11	6	14	6	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	4	10	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	1	7	"	"	22	9	1	"	"	"
44	46	7	6	13	22	9	1	3	3	10
7	5	6	7	6	2	9	"	"	"	"
22	16	3	"	2	1	4	"	"	"	"
2	5	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
153	162	3	6	37	36	8	7	5	8	4
161	197	7	8	41	67	"	11	5	8	4
271	326	9	9	136	142	15	6	17	49	9

6	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"

1774.

Fort St. George

Particulars of

18th October 1852.

Column 11 / Contd:

Tax assessed on Ryots who have no trade.		Tax assessed on parties who are entitled to Remission		Tax assessed on Ryots who have become Paupers from their Houses and property having been washed away by the floods.		Tax assessed on Ryots holding land.		Tax over assessed.		Tax due by parties confined in Jail.	
No. of Persons.	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons.	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.
17		18		19		20		21		22	
				7	30						
1	2 10 6										
1	2 10 6			7	30						
2	2 2 ..			4	20						
		1									
				1	4 8 ..						
2	2 2 ..	1		1	24 9 11						
3 .	4 12 16 .	1		11	55 9 5			2	13 15 2		
5 .	15 15 ..	1		11	55 9 5			2	13 15 2		
								43 11 1			
								1 . . 8 ..	7		

11775

Sums.	Fasilies.	Particulars of the Sums	w.h.o had leaving no property	Amount.	12
1	2	3			
Sundry Small Sums under Rs 5	1259.			62 10 11	
	1260.				

18th October 1852.

Particulars of Column 11.—

Owing by.—

Persons who have absconded		Poor Ryots		Tax assessed on the same party in two Villages		Tax assessed on ryots not liable to it.	
No. of Ryots	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount.
13		14		15		16	
271	326 99	136	142 15 6	17	49 9 1	9	4 8 "

1770

Fort St. George

18th October 1852.

Particulars of Columns.

Tax assessed on Ryots who have no trade.		Tax assessed on ryots who are entitled to remission.		Tax assessed on Ryots who have become paupers from their Houses and property having been washed away by the flood.		Tax assessed of Ryots holding land.		Tax over assessed.		Tax due by parties Confined in Jail.	
No. of Persons	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons	Amount.	No. of Persons.	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount	No. of Persons	Amount.
17		18		19		20		21		22	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

B. No. 2048.

Proceedings thereon.—

31.32

No. 2435.—

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with reference to para 6 of the Board's Proceedings dated 7th October 1852.—

Of the Sum of Rupees 883-12-11 outstanding at the close of Fusly 1261 Rs. 131-10-2 were recovered up to September 1852 and the remainder is classed as follows.—

Rupees. 5-11-7 Recoverable.—

—"—28-7-4 Doubtful.—

—"—717-15-10 Irrecoverable.—

3 Respecting the Sum of Rs. 28-7-4 entered as doubtful, the Collector states that Rs. 19-15-4 are owing by a female whose house and property are under attachment by the Civil Court, and that whenever the sale takes place the balance due to Government will be first discharged from the proceeds the remainder Rupees 8-8-11 will be shortly realized.

4. The Board recommend that sanction be granted for writing off in the accounts of the District, the irrecoverable portion, amounting to Rs. 717-15-10 Seven hundred and Seventeen, Rupees fifteen and pice ten, by persons who have left their Village or the District, and by poor ryots who have no means of meeting the Government demands.

Read.

J. G. S.

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 66.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

33

Bd. No. 2313.

From the Acting Collr. of North arcot—

Reports with reference to Exact Board's Proceedings dated 15th March last the result of his enquiry into certain charges preferred against Tolasinga Chetty & certain parties.—

+ Returned

Sir

Referring to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th March last I have the honor to report the result of my enquiry into certain charges preferred against Tolasinga Chetty the late Naib Sheristadar of this District by Sashagery now late Yeremiah Mooshriff in the Zoozoor Cutcherry of Chittoor and A Timmiah a resident of Tripatty in this District in Government petition No. 2016 of 1851 Board 17 of 1852) and No. 12th of 1852 Board No. 18 of 1852.—

In the petition presented

2 by Sashagery now (No. 2016) Tolasinga Chetty is charged 1st with having given certain permissions contrary to rule during the Immabundy settlement of Fusly 1259

2d With having received a bribe to have the Enam Village of Maganumgalum in

in the Talloot of Palmanair given to the present Emamdars some years after it had been resumed by the Government.—

3rd Q. With having received a bribe to to relinquish the Government right to certain Enam land which ought to have lapsed on the death of the Enamdars without heirs in the Village of Goondaputtah in the Bungaree Pollams.—

3 Examined Sashagery pow on the subject of the 1st charge and required him to point out any instance in which such improper remission had been given, but he was unable to name any. He was then asked to explain from what sources his information had been obtained, when he stated that he had heard persons talking on such points. He could not state the name of any such person nor could he bring any other evidence himself and could only say that his statement would be found correct if the Immanbundy accounts were examined.—

4 The 2nd Charge relates to the Enam village of Bragamungalum &c.

The

The grounds on which this Village was made over by Mr. Boardillon to the present holders are recorded at length in the register of lapsed Bounties and a Copy of the case as it is entered in this Register is now submitted.—

5 The Enam, to which the 3d Charge refers consisted of 12/4/15 Cawny Bhuttuerty enam. The Tahsildar of Chittoor having reported the death of the person in possession of this Enam without heirs Mr. Bourdillon directed the Tahsildar not to interfere as the Enam belonged to the Pollam. The Petitioner is mistaken in stating that the enams in the several Pollams were reserved by the Government. The Board will find on reference to Extract from their Proceedings dated 13th July 1829 that these Enams were included in the assets of the Pollams.—

* Tahseel dated 11 Dec. 1848.

6 In the petition presented by A Timmiah (No. 2124) he has charged Tolaxingachitty with having received bribes from himself and 4 other persons as follows.—

A Timmiah 300 Rupees to effect

the

the restoration of an Enam Village belonging to his Son in law which the Tripurty Mahunt had attached.

Senevasapow 150 Rupees to procure him an appointment in the Publick Service.—

Peyoor Condareddy 400 Rupees to procure the Office of Monigar.—

Surdachary 200 Rupees to procure Publick employment.—

Appiah Vakeel of Congoondy Zemindary 800 Rupees to procure an Isthmarara a Summud for the Zemindar.

7 Each of these persons has been examined, excepting the Petitioner, a Tinmiah, and each has deemed that he ever gave any bribe to Solavinga Chetty, or has any Knowledge of the circumstances referred to in the Petition—

8 The Petitioner A Tinmiah who has not been examined is not to be found, and appears to have purposely

purposely absented himself from his usual residence at Tripurty to evade the present inquiry. I therefore think it unnecessary to delay my report any longer on his account.—

North Arcot Collector's Circuit Cutcherry Palmanair 23rd September 1852.—

(Signed) T. A. Brett
Acting Collector

Extract

11783

Extract from a Register of lapses of Manems in Fort St. George

18th October 1852.

the Northern Division of Arcot.

1 Case	2 Taluk & Village	3 Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeomiah.	4 Whether and by whom granted	5 Summuds or other Documents in support of the grant.	6 Nature of the Grant Gift or Service on account of which it was granted by the Sirkar	7 Name of the Original Grantee	8 Name of the last holder and the relation which he stood to the Original Grantee	9 Whether enjoyed by the Grantee himself or by his Heirs or Assigns	10 Whether Original Grantee or Successor	12 Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the Family	13 Recommendation and Grounds thereof.
Laul Shah Dervish great Grand Son to the Original Grantee died in the year 1837.	Enddapanut-tum Taluk Ha-gamum galum	Whole of the Village exclusive of Enam Poket	On the 25th Zilcaud 1156 Fesly A.D. 1740. By Heerasut Khan Jaghire	1 Summud with the Seal of Heerasut Khan dated 25th Zilcaud 1156 Fesly A.D. 1740	Muddeed-mash or maintenance.	Shah Asundee Dervish	Laul Shah Dervish great Grand Son to the Original Grantee died in the year 1837.	Whole of the Enam enjoyed by the deceased.	1. Syed Mohadeen son of deceased aged 45 years. 1. Shahiba neer beebee daughter in-law of the deceased aged 30 years. 1. Syed Hossain Grand son of the deceased aged 12 years. 1. Syed Hussain Second Son of the deceased aged 35 years. 1. Kader Beebee daughter-in-law of the deceased aged 30 years. 1. Syed Mahomed Grand-son of Do. aged 10 years. 1. Syed Kader Nephew of Do. aged 31 years. 1. Syed Hassem Nephew of Do. aged 15 years. 1. Ismahlee daughter in-law of Do. aged 32 years. 1 Fatmah Beebee wife of the Brother of Do. aged 60 years	Besides this Enam they received a Yeomiah in the Zillah of Enddapah.	This Enam was granted originally as Muddud mash but it has been received several times in the same family and the present claimant is fourth in direct descent from the first grantee. The date of the original grantee is not known but his grand son obtained a confirmation in the year 1745 so that the Enam has probably subsisted not less than a century & half. The next confirmation was by Nabob Wallajah in 1788 and the party in whose favor it was made died 1837. The Lapse was duly reported by the Tahsildar in March 1837, and he sent the eldest son of deceased to the cutcherry for registration in July of the same year. The omission to make the registry appears to have been the effect of over sight only; the Enam is now ordered to be continued in the Joint names of the two sons of the deceased and the collections in fusly 1257 which have not yet been carried to account are ordered to be paid over to them. —
		354-12 3/4-761									
	Jodadayem or Produce of Groves	— — 1 8 0									
	Total ... 763 3 0										

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector

True Copy
Signed H. A. Brett
Acting Collr.

December 13th 1848.

Proceedings.

11786. Fort St. George 18th October 1852

B.O. No. 2072.

No. 433

Remarks thereon

(35.36)

Under the explana

. .

afforded by the Acting Co

lector the Board of

Revenue recommend

that Sanction be granted

for the Payment to Syed

Soffer of the arrears of

allowance due for Fusly 120

Amounting to Rupees (8—12)

Eight and annas twelve on

for the continuance of

that sum annally to him.

Read

I.G.S.

11787 Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Read the following Report.

No. 72.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

(37)

Bd. No. 2497.

From the Acting Collr. of North Arcot

Reports upon the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1261 and forwards the usual Statements.

Sir.

Para 1. I have the honor to submit the usual Statements of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Northern Division of Arcot, for Fusly 1261 Viz.

Printed Statements in Triplicate.

Statements A and B.

Statements Nos 1 and 2 with corresponding Statements in Hindoostanee.

Statement No. 3 a list of the Sugar manufactories in the District in Fusly 1261.

Statement No. 4 Showing the details of Moturpha Revenue, prepared according to the form which accompanies the Board's letter of 15th March 1849.

The following Abstract

2

of Statement No. 1 shows the Revenue from all Extra Sources for Fusly 1261 compared with that of Fusly 1260.

Fusly

Fort St George 18th October 1852

	Comparison		
	Remarks	Increase	Decrease
	1851		
Deduct being of the Villages transferred to the Collectorate of Chingleput as per order of Government dated 5th April 1851.	Fasly 1250		
Salt	2,10,45 "		
Abkarry	1,35,900 "		
Sundry small farms and Licences	6,52,158	6 6	
Stamps	29,235 10 6	" "	6,125 13 "
Motor fees	38,509 5	39,359 10 11	850 10 6
Total	23,1362 3	26,769 9 2	3,407 6 2
		Net Decrease	3,140 4 9

11789

Fort St George 18th October 1852

Salt

3 There is no Revenue under this head because the Salt Pans which belonged to this District at Poongolum were transferred, at the beginning of the present fusly to the Collectorate of Chingleput Vide orders of the Government, dated 5th April 1851.

Abkarry.

4. From the amount of this Rent a deduction of Rupees 4,910 has been allowed on account of the Gommadeepoondy Division transferred to the Collectorate of Chingleput after deducting this sum, the remaining rent agrees with that for the previous fusly as the lease to Mr Morison which expires at the end of this fusly was granted for three years.

Sundry small Farms and Licences

5 The particulars of this branch of Revenue are exhibited in the following Statement

Item

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Deduct the Revenue of the Villages transferred to the collectorate of Chingleput

Items	Fasly 1261	Remainings	Fasly 1260	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Tank fishery	6 0 0	6 0 0	6 0 0	6 0 0	
Rent of the toll on Boats and right of collecting Shells for Chunam at Ellavoor	5,742 9 2	5,842 6 11	5,855 15 8	139 13 9	
Rent of Stones used for grinding Sandalwood	100 " "	120 " "	93 " "	20 " "	25 " "
Rent of Cheeroy and Tiri	25 " "	25 " "	67 " "	139 13 9	
Fasly Hill	6 0 0	6 0 0	6 0 0	6 0 0	
	5,867 9 2	6,002 6 11	6,027 15 8	159 13 9	25 " "

11791

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

6 The chief increase under this head is in the Village of Poondy in the Canveripauk Talook, and Saravapady in the Vellore Talook. The chief decrease is in the Village of Vinnamungalum in the Vellore Talook, and in Odeandrum, and Anluttoor of the Avelcandah Taglure a greater or less supply of water is the cause of these fluctuations.

	Increase	Decrease
Canveripauk Talook Poondy	81-"-"	
Vellore & Saravapandy	134-9-5	
	215-9-5	
In other Villages of the District	120-14-6	
	336-7-11	
Deduct Decrease		
Vinnamungalum in Vellore Talook		52-"-"
Odeandrum of Avelcandah Taglure		33-"-"
Anluttoor of Do		30-"-"
		114-"-"
In other Villages of the District	82-10-2	
	196-10-2	
Net Increase	139-13-9	

Tolls on Boats

7 There is no Revenue under this head because the Village of Elavoor in the Sulwand Talook, the only Village at which a ferry toll was levied in this District, has been transferred to the collectorate of Chingleput.

Stones for grinding Sandal wood on Rent of Cheeroy on the Tripputtur Hills

8 The increase of Rupees 20-"-" under this head in the Fasly under report is owing to greater competition.

9 Under this head there was no rent in consequence of a failure in the produce.

Stamp Revenue

10 The decrease of Rupees 6,125-13-" under this head, is owing to a diminished sale of Stampt paper.

Motunpha

11 The subjoined Table shows the particulars of the increase and decrease in the several Items composing the Motunpha.

Items

Fort St George 18th October 1852

Deduct being Demands of the Villages transferred to the Chingleput District.

Items	Jungle 1260		Remain ing 1261		Increase		Decrease	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
Cus Houses	10,524	11 11	10,457	11 "			67	11 "
Cus Mare hmen (Peadegales) & Cattahs	926	3 6	1,024	13 5	98	9 11		
Cus Drumkins & Mare hmen for Grain	619	7 7	591	11 "			28	7 7
Cus retail Shops	1,107	15 4	1,070	7 "			36	8 4
Cus Loans	13,795	3 11	14,243	12 7	448	8 8		
Cus Bul Bressers &c	2,578	" 1	2,535	11 "			42	12 1
Total	39,551	10 4	40,257	2 3	922	13 7	216	7 "
Deduct allowances for Sibbandy	888	7 3	897	7 4	9	" 1		
Remaining	38,663	3 1	39,359	10 11	913	15 6	216	7 "

Net Increase 850 10 6

Fort St George 18th October 1852

11793

12 The net increase of Rupees 850-10-6 is owing to some increase in the number of Shops &c in places in which it has been usual to levy Motturpha. The decrease under the heads of Mundies, or Ware houses for Grain, and retail Shops is to be ascribed to a deminished trade at Ranneepet since the removal of the Cavalry Regiment from that Station.

13 The particulars of the Demand Collection and Balance in the several Items, are as follow

Items

Fort St George 18th October 1852

Items	Deduct the Villages transferred to the Chingleput District.	Remain	Sunday 1261	Transferred Arrears.		Arrears Demand.	
				Fasly		Fasly	
				1260		1260	
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Car Houses		10,457 11 "	10,531 54	579 10 4			
Car Mare houses (Pandagadis) & Cattle sheds		920 3 6 "	1,234 13 5	98 9 11 "		28 6 8	
Car Bandies & Mat houses for Grain		619 7 7 "	591 " 11	" " "		34 11 4	
Car retail Shops		11,165 5 4 10 "	11,070 7 "	" " "		" " "	
Car Looms		13,795 3 11 "	14,203 12 7	408 8 8 "		" " "	
Car Oil Presses &c		2,499 14 " 79	2,535 11 "	36 2 8 "		" " "	
		39,397 7 8 2 8	40,257 213	922 15 7		63 5 "	
Deduct allowances for Kittakeedy		888 7 3 "	897 7 4	9 " 1 "		" " "	
		38,509 " 5 2 8	39,359 10 11	913 15 6		63 5 "	
Remaining		38,063 3 1	38,913 15 2	850 10 6			

Nob Chinnaya

11793

Fort St George 18th October 1852

12 The net increase of Rupees 850-10-6 is owing to some increase in the number of Shops &c in places in which it has been usual to levy Motturpha. The decrease under the heads of Mundies, or Ware houses for Grain, and retail shops is to be ascribed to a deminished trade at Ranneput since the removal of the Cavalry Regiment from that Station.

13 The particulars of the Demand Collection and Balance in the several Items, are as follow

Items

11795 Fort St George 18th October 1852

Items	Demand	Collection within the Fasly	Remaining Arrears Collected.	Balance.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Abkarry	13,990 " "	9,209 8 "	10,780 8 "	35,780 8 " 5,000 " "
Sundry Annuall farms &c		602 6 11	1,012 14 8	1989 8 3 1,669 47 320 3 8
Licences				
Stamps	23,110 " "	6 22,910 4 6	189 12 "	187 8 " 2 4 "
Motirpahs	39,359 10 11	34,124 " 10	5,235 10 1	4,512 10 1 723 " "
Total	199,452 2 4	157,256 12 "	48,195 6 4	42,149 14 8 6,045 7 8

11795 Fort St George 18th October 1852

14 The Balance (5,000 Rupees) against the Abkarry Renter is the amount of remission to be allowed to the Renter according to the conditions of the agreement, on account of the removal of the Cavalry Regiment from Ranneputt. I therefore request that sanction may be given for writing this off. A balance under the head of Motirpahs of Rupees 64-"-9- is also irrecoverable for the reasons detailed in para 18 of the Report on the Extra sources of Revenue of this District for Fasly 1259 Mr Ward has explained the only way in which it seems to be practicable to collect this tax, if it is to be continued, but the proposed arrangement has not as yet been carried out, because no orders in reference to his remarks have been received.

15 I also beg to recommend that the balance of Rupees 2-4-" under the head of Stamps, being the price of certain rolls of paper sold by the Vendor at Calastry may be written off, as this sum

11796 Fort St George 18th October 1852

sum was stolen on its way to the Woozoor Treas-
ury the Talook Sappal by the Sappal
Nevesendah of the Chittoor Talook. The
Nevesendah by whom this money was
stolen was afterwards committed to the
Criminal Court on this, and other charges.

15 The arrears for Fusly 1261
at the close of Fusly 1261 amounted to
Rupees 69-11-10. Of this the sum of Rupees
64--9 is outstanding for the reasons
stated in Para 15 of the report on the
Exctra sources of Revenue for that Fusly
The remaining sum of Rupees 5-11-1

Trivuttoor Talook Village Namely		
	C.R. a. p.	
Thaly Narain Chetty	1-15-11	
Wundiwash Talook Village Vadoor		
Soondrum	1-12-10	
Pennagar Streenevasa modely	1-14-4	
Total	5-11-1	

which is due from certain inhabitants of Trivuttoor and Wundiwash Talooks of the Sub Division is irrecoverable, as the parties have absconded leaving no property behind them. I therefore beg that sanction may be given to write off the entire

J. C. B.

11797 Fort St George 18th October 1852.

entire sum of Rupees 69-11-10 on account of the arrears of Fusly 1260.

North Arcot,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Polmanair,
12th October 1852.

(Signed) H. A. Brett.
Acting Collector.

Statement.

11798 | | For Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1259. 1260 & 1261 — the No. Districts*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the Last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections	18970	8	-	18283	8	-	2761	8	-	..	..	..	15522	..	..	No. 1. This decrease have arisen from the transfer of the Pongalum Pans to the Abstract of Chingleput —
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	798	14	7	14,25	11	7	-	-	-	..	..	..	1425	11	7	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	853	8	2	803	6	1	71	4	6	..	..	..	732	1	7	
Total Charges	1652	6	9	2229	4	8	71	4	6	..	..	..	2158	-	2	
Net Revenue	17318	1	3	16054	3	4	2690	3	6	..	..	..	13363	15	10	
Per Centage of the Charges	8	11	4	12	3	1	2	9	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges for Purchase	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges of Collection	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges „ Commission	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges „ Drawback	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	30	12	-	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges of Collection	528	-	-	56	12	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	56	12	4	No. 2 Vide Remark No. 1.
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Charges „ Drawback	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total	528	-	-	56	12	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	56	12	4	
Net Revenue	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	125401	8	6	111977	-	-	119132	8	-	7155	8	-	..	..	..	No. 3 The increase is owing to a certain sum which ought to have been collected within fusly 1260 having been realized in 1261. —
Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	125401	8	6	111977	-	-	119132	8	-	7155	8	-	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	6435	1	6	2916	1	2	7665	13	3	4749	12	1	..	..	..	No. 4 Vide Remark No. 3.
Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	6435	1	6	2916	1	2	7665	13	3	4749	12	1	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamp —																
Gross Collections	23917	3	6	27866	1	6	24270	-	6	..	..	..	3596	1	-	No. 5. This decrease is owing to a diminished sale of stamp paper, owing to the non-requisition of high price stamps in Fusly 1261. —
Charges of Commission	2440	11	10	4043	11	3	3747	13	2	..	..	..	295	13	1	No. 6. This decrease is the consequence of the decrease in the sale of stamp paper. —
Net Revenue	21476	4	8	23822	3	3	20522	1	4	..	..	..	3300	1	11	
Percentage of the Charges	10	3	3	11	8	2	13	-	6	..	..	..	..	..	..	
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	40180	3	9	27928	-	3	45703	3	7	17777	3	2	..	..	..	No. 7. Vide Remark No. 3.
Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Net Revenue	40180	3	9	27928	..	5	45703	3	7	17777	3	2	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	214935	7	3	188970	11	1	199235	1	4	29382	7	3	19118	1	-	
Charges	4021	5	7	6329	15	3	3819	3	8	..	..	..	2510	11	7	
Net Revenue	210314	1	8	182640	11	10	195415	13	8	12775	1	10	..	..	..	
Per Centage of the Charges	2	2	5	3	5	7	1	14	8	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	214136	8	8	187514	12	6	199235	1	4	29382	7	3	19118	1	-	
Charges after deductions for Do.	3822	1	-	4904	-	8	3819	3	8	..	..	..	1084	13	-	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	1	12	7	2	9	10	1	14	8	..	..	..	..	..	..	

North Arcot
Collector's Circuit Cutchery
Palmanair 12th October 1852.

I hereby Certify that this Account has been Compared with the General Abstract sent to the Accountant General and with the Abstract Demand Collection and Balance furnished to the Board of Revenue and that no Difference exists —

Nagojee Row
Sheristadar

E.C. Pym
Acting Collector

11799.

Fort St. George

No. 2 Statement of the District of Chingleput

18th October 1852.

Northern Division of Arcot for fuslies 1260 and 1261.—

	Total Collections on account of fusly 1261.	Balance of current Revenue on the 12th July 1852.	Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1261 with that of Fusly 1260.		Comparison of Total Collection of fusly 1261 with that of fusly 1261.—		
			Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
	9	10	11	12	13	14	
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Salt	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Abkarry	" 90209 8 "	+ 4,078 0 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	+ Of the balance in Column 10 Rupees 35780-8-" has been since recovered, and the remainder Rupees 5000 is the amount to be remitted to the renter in consequence of the removal of the Cavalry " Regiment from Arcot.—
Sundry Small Farms	" 4012 14 8	* 1989 8 3	" " "	" 21045 " "	" " "	18283 8 "	
Licences	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Stamps	" 22910 4 6	⊙ 139 12 "	" " "	" 4910 " "	" " "	11767 8 "	* Of this balance Rupees 1669-4-7 have been since Collected, the Remainder Rupees 320-3-8 in in Course of recovery.—
Moturpha	" 34124 " 10	S 5235 10 1	" " "	" 625 8 9	13745 5 1	" " "	⊙ Of this sum Rs 184-8-" have been since Collected the Remainder Rupees 2-4-" is the sum stolen by a Peesendah.—
			" " "	6125 13 "	" " "	4955 13 "	S. Of this sum Rupees 4512-10-1 have been since Collected - Of the remainder Rupees 64-" -9 is the sum that cannot be recovered as stated in para 14 of the Report and the rest is in course of recovery.—
	" 151256 12 "	48195 " 64	6967 10 "	" " "	6965 8 8	" " "	
			6967 10	32606 5 9	8340 13 9	35006 13 "	

October 1852.

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

Statement

11799.

Fort George

No. 2 Statement

District of —

18th October 1852.

Northern Division of Arcot for fuslies 1260 and 1261.—

	Total Collections on account of fusly 1261.	Balance of Current Revenue on the 12th July 1852.	Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1261 with that of fusly 1260.		Comparison of Total Collection of fusly 1261 with that of fusly 1261.—		
			Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
	9	10	11	12	13	14	
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Salt	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Abkarry	9,209 3 "	+4,078 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	+ Of the balance in Column 10 Rupees 35-930-8-" has been since recovered, and the remainder Rupees 5000 is the Amount to be remitted to the renter in consequence of the removal of the Cavalry — Regiment from Arcot.—
Sundry Small Farms	4,012 14 8	*1989 8 3	" " "	21,045 " "	" " "	18,233 8 "	* Of this balance Rupees 1869-4-7 have been since Collected the remainder Rupees -320-3-8 is in Course of recovery.—
Licences	" " "	" " "	" " "	4910 " "	" " "	11,763 8 "	⊖ Of this sum Rs. 184-8-" have been since Collected the Remainder Rupees -2-4-" is the sum stated by a Peesendah.—
Stamps	22,910 4 6	⊖ 189 12 "	" " "	6-25-8	9,137 5 1	" " "	
Motorpha	34,124 " 10	S 5,235-10 1	" " "	6,125 13 "	" " "	4,955 13 "	S. Of this sum Rupees 4,512-10-1 have been since Collected - Of the remainder Rupees 64-" 9 is the sum that Cannot be recovered as stated in para 14 of the Report, and the rest is in course of Recovery.—
	15,125 6 12 "	48,195- 64	6,96,710 " "	6,96,58 8 "	" " "	" " "	
			6,96,710	32,606 5-9	8,340 13 9	35,006 13 "	

E. E. J.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

October 1852.

Statement

11800

For Fort St. George

B.

No. 3. Statement Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of former fuslies for fusly 1261 in the Northern Division of Arcot.

Items.	Total Demand on account of old arrears at the close of fusly 1260.			Collection in fusly 1261.			Remitted agreeably to sanction of Government on the 26th January 1852.	Balance	Remarks.
	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260.	Total.	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Total			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Salt	" " "	2,761 8 "	2,761 8 "	" " "	2,761 8 "	2,761 8 "	" " "	" " "	
Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Ab karry	" " "	33,923 " "	33,923 " "	" " "	28,923 " "	28,923 " "	5,100- " "	" " "	
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	" " "	3,652 14 7	3,652 14 7	" " "	3,652 14 7	3,652 14 7	" " "	" " "	
Stamps	" " "	1,359 12 "	1,359 12 "	" " "	1,359 12 "	1,359 12 "	" " "	" " "	
Moturpha	68 " 9	11,351 2 7	11,419 3 4	" " "	11,281 2 9	11,281 2 9	68 " 9	69 15 10	Of this Rupees " 4- " has since been Collected and the remainder will be written of 69-11-10-
Total	68 " 9	53,048 5 2	53,116 5 11	" " "	47,978 5 4	47,978 5 4	5,068 " 9	69 15 10	

North Arcot Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair, 12th October 1852 - - - - - - - - - - -

18th October 1852.

E. E. Wm. (Signed) H. A. Brett-
Acting Collector

Statement

11802

Fort St. George

18th October 1852.

Stamps.

Demand of fusly 1251	Collections to 11th July 1852.	Balance on the 12th July 1852.	Collection since.	Balance on the 30th September.
13	14	15	16	17
Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
12,499 8 6	12,499 8 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1503 " "	1440 4 "	62 12 "	62 12 "	" " "
184 " "	172 " "	12 " "	12 " "	" " "
1973 4 "	1942 12 "	30 8 "	30 8 "	" " "
38 12 "	38 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
65 8 "	65 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,794 8 "	2,794 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
215 4 "	207 12 "	7 8 "	7 8 "	" " "
2,653 4 "	2,595 4 "	58 " "	58 " "	" " "
1010 4 "	999 12 "	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "
3 " "	2 12 "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "
9 8 "	9 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
33 4 "	32 12 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" " "
23 4 "	18 12 "	4 8 "	2 4 "	2 4 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
52 4 "	49 4 "	3 " "	3 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
23061 8 6	22872 " 6	139 8 "	187 4 "	2 4 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
38 8 "	38 4 "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "
23100 " 6	22910 4 6	189 12 "	187 8 "	2 4 "

Motunpha.

Settlement of fusly 1261	Collections to 11th July 1851.	Balance on the 12th July 1852.	Collection since	Balance on the 30th September
18	19	20	21	22
Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2481 8 9	2,413 11 11	67 12 10	62 8 11	5 3 11
2,427 12 9	1,568 5 1	859 7 8	762 6 1	97 1 7
6385 15 9	5,710 2 3	675 13 6	666 2 "	9 11 6
2221 13 9	1,908 5 10	313 7 11	313 7 11	" " "
1,493 2 "	1,200 " "	293 2 "	280 1 9	13 " 3
3333 14 6	3,383 9 5	150 5 1	71 10 2	78 10 11
10,536 2 6	10,536 13 10	19 4 8	18 4 11	" 15 9
1816 10 7	1,571 12 4	244 14 3	244 14 3	" " "
7537 15 5	7,266 15 11	270 15 6	249 6 8	21 8 10
3888 6 "	3,428 8 1	459 13 11	355 15 11	103 14 "
1893 15 3	1,466 4 7	427 10 8	313 2 7	114 8 1
1637 4 2	334 1 9	703 2 5	680 " "	23 2 5
670 7 5	482 15 1	187 8 4	187 8 4	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	21 7 "	21 7 "	" " "
55 9 "	34 2 "	24 1 "	24 1 "	" " "
108 15 5	84 14 5	22 6 9	22 6 9	" " "
213 9 11	191 3 2	12 8 11	12 8 11	" " "
186 5 7	173 12 8	70 5 "	70 5 "	" " "
358 8 1	288 3 1	16 3 11	16 3 11	" " "
60 6 1	44 2 2			
37428 6 11	32584 15 7	4840 7 4	4372 10 1	467 13 3
1499 6 10	1104 4 1	395 2 9	140 " "	225 2 9
431 13 2	431 13 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
39359 10 11	34124 " 10	5235 10 1	4512 10 1	723 " "

11802 | | Fort St. George

18th October 1852.

Stamps.

Demand of fusly 1251			Collections to 11th July 1852			Balance on the 12th July 1852			Collection since			Balance on the 30th September		
13			14			15			16			17		
Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
12,499	8	6	12,499	8	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1503	"	"	1440	4	"	62	12	"	62	12	"	"	"	"
184	"	"	172	"	"	12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"
1973	4	"	1942	12	"	30	8	"	30	8	"	"	"	"
38	12	"	38	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
65	8	"	65	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2797	8	"	2797	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
215	4	"	207	12	"	7	8	"	7	8	"	"	"	"
2653	4	"	2595	4	"	58	"	"	58	"	"	"	"	"
1010	4	"	999	12	"	10	8	"	10	8	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	2	12	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"
9	8	"	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
33	4	"	32	12	"	"	8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
23	4	"	18	12	"	4	8	"	2	4	"	2	4	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
52	4	"	49	4	"	3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23061	8	6	22872	"	6	189	8	"	187	4	"	2	4	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
38	8	"	38	4	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"
23100	"	6	22910	4	6	189	12	"	187	8	"	2	4	"

Malumpha.

Settlement of fusly 1261			Collections to 11th July 1851			Balance on the 12th July 1852			Collection since			Balance on the 30th September		
18			19			20			21			22		
Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2481	8	9	2413	11	11	67	12	10	62	8	11	5	3	11
2427	12	9	1568	5	1	859	7	8	762	6	1	97	1	7
6385	15	9	5710	2	3	675	13	6	666	2	"	9	11	6
2221	13	9	1908	5	10	313	7	11	313	7	11	"	"	"
1493	2	"	1200	"	"	293	2	"	280	1	9	13	"	3
3333	14	6	3383	9	5	150	5	1	91	10	2	78	10	11
1056	2	6	1036	13	10	19	4	8	18	4	11	"	15	9
1316	10	7	1571	12	4	244	14	3	244	14	3	"	"	"
7537	15	5	7266	15	11	240	15	6	249	6	8	21	8	10
3888	6	"	3428	8	1	459	13	11	355	15	11	103	14	"
1893	15	3	1166	4	7	427	10	8	313	2	7	114	8	1
1637	4	2	3341	9		703	2	5	680	"	"	23	2	5
670	7	5	482	15	1	187	8	4	187	8	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	21	7	"	21	7	"	"	"	"
35	9	"	34	2	"	24	1	"	24	1	"	"	"	"
108	15	5	84	14	5	22	6	9	22	6	9	"	"	"
213	9	11	191	3	2	12	8	11	12	8	11	"	"	"
186	5	7	173	12	8	70	5	"	70	5	"	"	"	"
358	8	1	288	3	1	16	3	11	16	3	11	"	"	"
60	6	1	44	2	2									
37428	6	11	32584	15	7	4840	7	4	4372	10	1	467	13	3
1499	6	10	1104	4	1	395	2	9	140	"	"	225	2	9
431	13	2	431	13	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
39359	10	11	34124	"	10	5235	10	1	4512	10	1	723	"	"

11803 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

| Sea Custom. | | |

1180[illegible] ... George

18th October 1852

No 5. Comparative ... 1261 in the ... Northern Division of Arcot.

Numbers	Name of ...	Remaining	Add Villages of another Talook in North Arcot	Total	Settlement of Fusly 1261	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
1		9		10			
		CRs a p		CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p
1	Hoshoor						
1	Chittoor						
0	Tirputty						
2	Chendrayherry	6,800		6,800			
3	Caveripauk						
4	Sholinghur						
5	Privellum						
6	Sautghur						
7/8	Palmanour						
	Arcot						
9	Vellore						
10	Trivettoor						
11	Poloor						
12	Wundavash						
13	Sutanaid						
0	Penmerry			1,810			
14	Calastry Zemindary	2,332		2,332			
15	Carvetenager D°						
16	Arnee Jaghire						
17	Goodepatty Polium						
18	Karguntty D°						
19	Poolecherla D°						
20	Cullour D°						
21	Bungary D°						
22	Coombah D°						
		9,132		10,942	11,732		790
23	Pulicat			3,100	2,200		900
24	Congoondy Pallien						
25	Kencutgherry Cott						
		9,132		14,042	13,932		1,690

11	12	13	14	15	16
CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p
11,500	232	11,732	11,732		
	8,900	8,900	8,900		
22,800		22,800	22,800		
3,000		3,000	3,000		
4,300		4,300	4,300		
8,800		8,800	8,800		
2,600		2,600	2,600		
10,000		10,000	10,000		
17,700		17,700	17,700		
5,000		5,000	5,000		
4,200		4,200	4,200		
2,100		2,100	2,100		
990		990	990		
		24,00	24,00		
24,00		6800	6800		
6800		10,900	10,900		
10,900		1000	1000		
1000		560	560		
560		145	145		
145		192	192		
192		1434	1434		
1434		37	37		
37					
116458	9,132	125590	125590		
2,200		2,200	2,200		
3,200		3,200	3,200		
121858	9,132	130990	130990		

11805

Fort St. George

18th October 1852

Sundry small Fusly

Deduction.

Settlement.	Villages transferred to the Chinglepat District.	Transfer of Villages from one Talooke to another	Total.	Remaining.
17	18	19	20	21
Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p
216 3 2				216 3 2
133 - -		133 - -	133 - -	
567 5 2				567 5 2
714 1 9				714 1 9
626 7 11				626 7 11
221 4 7				221 4 7
178 15 11				178 15 11
645 8 -				645 8 -
381 4 2				381 4 2
582 2 5				582 2 5
748 8 7				748 8 7
416 11 6				416 11 6
863 6 6	660 6 6		660 6 6	203 - -
42 11 -		42 11 -	42 11 -	
6337 10 8	660 6 6	175 11 -	836 1 6	5501 9 2
190 5 -				190 5 -
6527 15 8	660 6 6	175 11 -	836 1 6	5691 14 2

Farms and Licenses.
1260.

Add Villages of another Talooke in North street.	Total.	Settlement of Fusly 1261.	Comparison. Increase.	Comparison. Decrease.
22	23	24	25	26
Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p	Cbs. a p
17 8 -	233 11 2	220 10 9	- - -	13 - 5
153 3 -	158 3 -	170 11 -	12 8 -	- - -
	567 5 2	646 5 2	79 - -	- - -
	714 1 9	711 11 3	- - -	2 6 6
	626 7 11	583 10 9	- - -	42 13 2
	221 4 7	167 - 9	- - -	54 3 10
	178 15 11	178 15 11	- - -	- - -
	645 8 -	645 8 -	- - -	- - -
	381 4 2	537 1 10	155 13 8	- - -
	582 2 5	582 2 5	- - -	- - -
	748 8 7	748 8 7	- - -	- - -
	416 11 6	417 9 6	- 14 -	- - -
	203 - -	202 2 -	- - -	14 -
175 11 -	5677 4 2	5812 1 11	248 3 8	113 5 11
	190 5 -	190 5 -		
175 11 -	5867 9 2	6002 6 11	248 3 8	113 5 11

11806

Fort St George

18th October 1852

Stamps.

Fusly 1260.

Collection.	Deduct transferred from one Talook to another.	Remaining.	Add on account of Villages transferred from another Talook.	Total.	Collections of Fusly 1261.
27	28	29	30	31	32
Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p
17,965 9 6	- - -	17,965 9 6	- - -	17,965 9 6	12,499 8 6
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1,292 12 -	1,292 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	1,292 12 -	1,292 12 -	1,503 - -
251 8 -	- - -	251 8 -	- - -	251 8 -	184 - -
1,942 12 -	- - -	1,942 12 -	- - -	1,942 12 -	1,973 4 -
11 4 -	- - -	11 4 -	- - -	11 4 -	38 12 -
79 8 -	- - -	79 8 -	- - -	79 8 -	65 8 -
2,496 - -	- - -	2,496 - -	- - -	2,496 - -	2,797 8 -
243 - -	- - -	243 - -	- - -	243 - -	215 4 -
3,321 12 -	- - -	3,321 12 -	- - -	3,321 12 -	2,653 4 -
1,447 8 -	- - -	1,447 8 -	- - -	1,447 8 -	1,010 4 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	3 - -
7 - -	- - -	7 - -	- - -	7 - -	9 8 -
16 - -	- - -	16 - -	- - -	16 - -	33 4 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
32 8 -	- - -	32 8 -	- - -	32 8 -	23 4 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
87 8 -	- - -	87 8 -	- - -	87 8 -	52 4 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
29,194 9 6	1,292 12 -	27,901 13 6	1,292 12 -	29,194 9 6	23,061 8 6
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
31 4 -	- - -	31 4 -	- - -	31 4 -	38 8 -
29,225 13 6	1,292 12 -	27,933 1 6	1,292 12 -	29,225 13 6	23,100 - 6

Motarpha

Fusly 1260.

Comparison.		Settlement.	Deduction.		Total.
Increase.	Decrease.		Villages transferred to Chinglepat one Talook District to another.	Transfer of Villages from one Talook to another.	
33	34	35	36	37	38
Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p	Cdrs a p
- - -	5,466 1 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	2,278 5 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	1,573 3 10	- - -	1,573 3 10	1,573 3 10
2 10 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	67 8 -	6,068 14 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
30 8 -	- - -	2,133 4 10	- - -	- - -	- - -
27 8 -	- - -	1,467 8 2	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	14 - -	3,500 6 11	- - -	- - -	- - -
301 8 -	- - -	1,076 10 2	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	27 12 -	1,784 7 9	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	668 8 -	7,347 8 10	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	437 4 -	3,811 10 4	- - -	- - -	- - -
3 - -	- - -	1,898 6 9	- - -	- - -	- - -
2 8 -	- - -	977 3 10	- - -	- - -	- - -
17 4 -	- - -	789 9 11	154 2 8	- - -	154 2 8
- - -	- - -	1,082 13 6	- - -	1,082 13 6	1,082 13 6
- - -	9 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	35 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	54 9 3	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	109 14 11	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	218 9 4	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	184 13 6	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	356 14 8	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	60 8 8	- - -	- - -	- - -
592 8 -	6,725 9 -	36,775 8 2	154 2 8	2,656 1 4	2,810 4 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	1,454 12 6	- - -	- - -	- - -
7 4 -	- - -	432 14 5	- - -	- - -	- - -
599 12 -	6,725 9 -	38,663 3 1	154 2 8	2,656 1 4	2,810 4 -

Fort St George

18th October 1852

Moturpha (Contd)

Fusly 1260 (Contd)

Sea Custom.

Remaining.	Add Villages of another Taluk in a North circar.	Total.	Settlement of Fusly 1261.	Increase.	Comparison.		Collections of Fusly 1260.	Collections of Fusly 1261.	Comparison.	
					Decrease.				Increase.	Decrease.
39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.	Cks. a. p.
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2,278 5 -	212 2 5	2,490 7 5	2,481 8 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	8 14 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	2,442 3 14 11	2,443 11 11	2,427 22 9	- - -	16 2 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
6068 14 -	- - -	6068 14 -	6385 15 9	317 1 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2,133 4 10	- - -	2,133 4 10	2,221 13 9	88 8 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1467 8 2	- - -	1467 8 2	1493 2 -	25 9 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3500 6 11	- - -	3500 6 11	3533 14 6	33 7 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1076 10 2	- - -	1076 10 2	1056 2 6	- - -	20 7 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1784 7 9	- - -	1784 7 9	1816 10 7	32 2 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
7347 8 10	- - -	7347 8 10	7537 15 5	190 6 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3811 10 4	- - -	3811 10 4	3888 6 -	76 11 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1898 6 9	- - -	1898 6 9	1893 15 3	- - -	4 7 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
977 3 10	- - -	977 3 10	1037 4 2	60 - 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
635 7 3	- - -	635 7 3	670 7 5	35 - 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
54 9 3	- - -	54 9 3	55 9 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
109 14 11	- - -	109 14 11	108 15 5	- - -	15 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
218 9 4	- - -	218 9 4	213 9 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
184 13 6	- - -	184 13 6	186 5 7	1 8 1	4 15 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
356 14 8	- - -	356 14 8	358 8 1	1 9 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
60 8 8	- - -	60 8 8	60 6 1	- - -	- 2 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
33,965 4 2	2,656 1 4	36621 5 6	37428 6 11	863 2 11	56 1 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1454 12 6	- - -	1454 12 6	1499 6 10	44 10 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
432 14 5	- - -	432 14 5	431 13 2	- - -	1 1 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
35852 15 1	2,656 1 4	38509 - 5	39359 10 11	907 13 3	57 2 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

18th October 1852

Total Fusly 1260.

Deduction.

Settlement	Villages transferred to the Chingleput District.	Transfer of Villages from one Taluk to another.	Total.	Remaining.	Add Villages of another Ta. Co-op in North arcot.	Total.	Settlement of Fusly 1261.	Comparison. Increase.	Comparison. Decrease.	Net. Increase.	Net. Decrease.
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
Cr. a p	Crs a p	Cr. a p	Crs a p	Cr. a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Cr. a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p
17,965 9 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	17,965 9 6	- - -	17,965 9 6	12,499 8 6	- - -	5,466 1 -	- - -	5,466 1 -
13,994 8 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	13,994 8 2	461 10 5	14,456 2 7	14,434 3 6	- - -	21 15 1	- - -	21 15 1
9,798 15 10	- - -	9,798 15 10	9,798 15 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,794 13 4	12,794 13 4	13,001 7 9	222 12 -	16 2 2	206 9 10	- - -
29,687 11 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	29,687 11 2	- - -	29,687 11 2	30,016 4 11	396 1 9	67 8 -	328 9 9	- - -
7,790 2 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	7,790 2 7	- - -	7,790 2 7	7,906 13 -	119 - 11	2 6 6	116 10 5	- - -
6,405 4 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	6,405 4 1	- - -	6,405 4 1	6,415 8 9	53 1 10	42 13 2	10 4 8	- - -
12,601 3 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,601 3 6	- - -	12,601 3 6	12,566 7 3	33 7 7	68 3 10	- - -	34 12 3
6,351 10 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	6,351 10 1	- - -	6,351 10 1	6,632 10 5	301 8 -	20 7 8	281 - 4	- - -
12,672 15 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,672 15 9	- - -	12,672 15 9	12,677 6 7	32 2 10	27 12 -	4 6 10	- - -
28,750 9 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	28,750 9 -	- - -	28,750 9 -	28,428 5 3	346 4 3	668 8 -	- - -	322 3 9
10,841 4 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	10,841 4 9	- - -	10,841 4 9	10,480 12 5	76 11 8	437 4 -	- - -	360 8 4
6,846 15 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	6,846 15 4	- - -	6,846 15 4	6,845 7 10	3 - -	4 7 6	- - -	1 7 6
3,500 15 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	3,500 15 4	- - -	3,500 15 4	3,564 5 8	63 6 4	- - -	63 6 4	- - -
25,514 - 5	23,669 9 2	- - -	23,669 9 2	1,844 7 3	- - -	1,844 7 3	1,895 13 5	52 4 2	- 14 -	51 6 2	- - -
3,457 8 6	- - -	3,457 8 6	3,457 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2,432 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2,432 8 -	- - -	2,432 8 -	2,423 4 -	- - -	9 4 -	- - -	9 4 -
6,800 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6,800 - -	- - -	6,800 - -	6,800 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
10,987 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10,987 8 -	- - -	10,987 8 -	10,952 4 -	- - -	35 4 -	- - -	35 4 -
1,054 9 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	1,054 9 3	- - -	1,054 9 3	1,055 9 -	- 15 9	- - -	- 15 9	- - -
669 14 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	669 14 11	- - -	669 14 11	668 15 5	- - -	- 15 6	- - -	15 6
363 9 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	363 9 4	- - -	363 9 4	358 9 11	- - -	4 15 5	- - -	4 15 5
376 13 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	376 13 6	- - -	376 13 6	378 5 7	1 8 1	- - -	1 8 1	- - -
1,790 14 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	1,790 14 8	- - -	1,790 14 8	1,792 8 1	1 9 5	- - -	1 9 5	- - -
97 8 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	97 8 8	- - -	97 8 8	97 6 1	- - -	- 2 7	- - -	- 2 7
2,20,752 12 4	23,669 9 2	13,256 8 4	36,926 1 6	1,83,826 10 10	13,256 8 4	1,97,083 3 2	1,91,892 1 4	1,703 14 7	6,895 - 5	1,066 7 7	6,257 9 5
3,100 - -	3,100 - -	- - -	3,100 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3,654 12 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	3,654 12 6	- - -	3,654 12 6	3,699 6 10	44 10 4	- - -	44 10 4	- - -
3,854 7 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	3,854 7 5	- - -	3,854 7 5	3,860 10 2	7 4 -	1 1 3	6 2 9	- - -
2,31,362 - 3	26,769 9 2	13,256 8 4	40,026 1 6	1,91,335 14 9	13,256 8 4	2,04,592 7 1	1,99,452 2 4	1,755 12 11	6,896 1 8	1,117 4 8	6,257 9 5

Net 5,140 4 9

North arcot Collector's Circuit Catcherry, Palmaner, 12th October, 1852. E E ym / Signed H. A. Brett Acting Collector

Comparative

11809 | Fort St George

No 6. Comparative Statement shewing the Number

No	Name of Talookah	Settlement. Number of Malegas in which Sugar was Manufac-tured.	Number of Malegas for the Sale of Sugar.	Total.	Deduct transferred. Number of Malegas in which Sugar was manufac-tured.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Chittoor	"	3	3	-
2	Chendragherry	"	"	"	"
3	Caverypauk	"	"	-	-
4	Sholinghur	"	"	"	"
5	Trivallam	"	"	-	-
6	Satghur	"	1	1	-
7	Palmanair	2	47	49	-
8	Arcot	2	"	2	-
9	Vellore	"	"	"	"
10	Trivattoor	"	"	"	"
11	Polore	"	"	"	"
12	Wandwash	"	"	"	"
13	Satvaid	"	"	"	"
"	Penmerry	"	"	"	"
14	Veratagherry Cottah	2	57	59	-
15	Calasty Zemindary	"	"	"	"
16	Cavateenuggur Do	-	2	2	"
17	Congeondy Pollam	"	28	28	"
18	Arnee Jaghire	"	"	"	"
19	Bungaree Pollam	"	"	"	"
20	Nargunter Do	"	1	1	"
21	Goodepauty Do	"	"	"	"
22	Culloor Do	"	"	"	"
23	Poolecherlah Do	"	5	5	"
24	Poombah	"	12	12	"
	Total	6	178	184	"

North Arcot Collectors Circuit Catcherry Palmanair

18th C

of M 1261.

10

Statement shewing the wed

1261, Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Comparison.	Items.	parties digent.
20	21	22			11
					Rs a p
				on Houses	74 5 3
				on Malagay	7 12 6
7	1	7		on Murdies	45 6 4
21	2	7		on Cotahs	- - -
				on Shops	541 9 7
				on Cloth Looms	254 9 2
				on Ganny Do	- - -
				on Cumbly Do	- - -
1				on Mats Do	2 6 5
48		1		on Oil Presses	25 7 9
2				on Sugar Mills	- 1 10
				on Gollahcauram	- 7 -
				on Bullocks	- - -
				on Iron foundaries	- - -
				on Paper Mills	- - -
				on Brick Kilns	10 - -
				on Lime Do	- - -
59				on Salt petre vase	3 11 6
				on Indigo vats	- - -
2				on Carts	2 8 -
32	4			on Dying Instruments	- - -
				on Talacuttoo	- - -
2	1			on Washermens hearths	- - -
				on Barbers instruments	- - -
2		3		on Potters Wheels	- - -
13	1			on Sundry professions	- - -
				Total	968 5 4
189	9	4		educt fees to the Monigars	- - -
Net - - -	5			trums &c	- - -
				emaining	- - -
				tra Revenue	- - -
Statement.				Total	968 5 4

11811.

Fort St George

in Letter from the Board of Revenue dated 15th March

18th October 1852

1849 for Fusly 1261 in North Arcot.—

Deductions (Cont'd)

Transferred to another item.	On parties who have given up trade.	Owing to the restoration of Arrears according to Board's Proceedings 8th December 1851.	Decrease in Tax proportionate to the falling off of trade.	Total.	Remaining.
12	13	14	15	16	17
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
42 9 8	109 4 7	4 - -	3 3 6	1169 11 2	9281 15 10
9 10 3	20 8 -	- - -	- - -	107 14 9	797 4 9
1 15 6	10 12 -	- - -	- - -	73 13 8	545 9 11
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	21 - -
48 2 7	196 14 10	1 8 6	1 12 -	1207 10 2	9897 11 2
2 5 2	236 1 4	- - -	- - -	839 15 1	12,276 13 9
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	9 13 1	220 10 11
- - -	8 15 3	- - -	- - -	30 10 2	284 7 8
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7 8 8	125 4 7
- - -	12 9 7	- - -	- 7 11	52 10 9	547 12 5
- - -	1 5 -	- - -	- - -	1 6 10	44 4 9
- - -	10 6	- - -	- 14 11	9 13 1	394 4 8
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 5 -	6 - -
- - -	17 14 6	- - -	- - -	47 13 8	196 3 2
- - -	5 8 4	- - -	- - -	5 8 4	74 2 7
- - -	3 - 2	- - -	- - -	13 - 2	104 9 4
- - -	8 - 2	- - -	- - -	9 15 11	20 3 7
- - -	61 14 6	- - -	- - -	65 10 -	173 13 3
- - -	1 7 -	- - -	- - -	5 7 6	13 5 -
- - -	3 1 -	- - -	- - -	5 9 -	27 8 6
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	14 -	39 14 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	9 14 -	- - -	- - -	11 7 -	40 8 -
- - -	3 12 -	- - -	- - -	3 12 -	10 5 -
- - -	1 12 14	- - -	- - -	2 1 14	4 5 11
1 5 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 5 -	564 8 7
106 - 2	713 5 1	1 8 6	6 6 4	3684 12 4	35712 11 4
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
106 - 2	713 5 1	1 8 6	6 6 4	3684 12 4	35712 11 4

Additions.

Shops &c newly opened.	On trade excised for broken period in last year.	Extended trade.	Transferred from one item to another.	Total.
18	19	20	21	22
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1483 2 7	54 14 2	2 13 6	8 7 3	1549 5 6
153 1 -	20 13 7	- - -	25 10 1	199 8 8
38 - -	7 7 -	- - -	- - -	45 7 -
7 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7 - -
931 12 1	222 15 6	5 15 4	12 - 11	1172 11 10
965 14 3	213 - 2	3 1 -	- - -	1181 15 5
16 10 -	1 9 5	- - -	- - -	18 3 5
18 14 2	6 7 9	- - -	- - -	25 5 11
68 1 5	2 13 6	- - -	- - -	70 14 11
140 5 6	20 3 9	3 15 10	4 - -	168 9 1
9 3 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	9 3 -
13 1 -	- 7 10	- - -	- - -	13 8 10
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
35 3 11	4 8 11	- - -	- - -	39 12 10
10 1 10	5 8 4	- - -	- - -	15 10 2
8 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	8 8 6
8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	8 -
12 3	2 4 3	- - -	- - -	3 - 6
6 5 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6 5 -
1 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 8 -
8 -	1 4 -	- - -	- - -	1 12 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2 14 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2 14 -
10 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2 - 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	2 - 4
3914 - 10	564 6 2	15 13 8	50 2 3	4544 6 11
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3914 11 10	564 6 2	15 13 8	50 2 3	4544 6 11

Fort St George 18th October 1852

Total Settlement of Fasly 1261	Comparison between Cols 8 and 23. Increase	Decrease

23 Rs	a	p	24 Rs	a	p	25 Rs	a	p
10831	5	4	379	10	4	-	-	-
996	13	5	91	9	11	-	-	-
591	-	11	-	-	-	28	6	8
28	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
11070	7	-	-	-	-	34	14	4
13458	13	2	342	-	4	-	-	-
238	14	4	8	6	4	-	-	-
309	13	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
196	3	6	63	6	3	5	4	3
716	5	6	115	14	4	-	-	-
53	7	9	7	12	2	-	-	-
407	13	6	3	11	9	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
236	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	-
89	12	9	10	1	10	8	-	10
113	1	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	11	7	-	-	-	4	7	8
176	13	9	-	-	-	9	7	11
19	10	-	-	13	6	62	9	6
29	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	10	-	-	14	-	4	1	-
-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	15	-	-	-	-	8	9	-
4	5	11	-	-	-	3	2	-
566	8	11	-	-	-	2	1	4
40257	2	3	1032	-	1	172	5	6
897	7	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
39359	10	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39415	3	11	1032	-	1	-	-	-
Deduct Decrease			172	5	6	172	5	6
Net Increase			859	10	7			

= 37.38

North street, Collectors Cutcherry } 33 yrs
Palmanair 12th October 1852 }
Ordered to file
In order thereon.

Fort St George 18th October 1852.

Read the following Letter.
No 104

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

39

Bd No 2309

Sir

From the Collr of Salem — Requesting instructions regarding the resumption or continuance of a grant for the celebration of a Car festival which for a long series of years has ceased to be performed.

I have the honor to request the instructions of the Board relative to the resumption or continuance of an annual allowance of Rupees 95–15–11 for the celebration of the Car festival of the Luchmy Narain Swamy Pagoda at the cusbah Village Cotungaray, Talook Tengaracotta, of this District.

When the management of the 2 Devastanums was in the hands of the Officers of Government, the allowance in question appears to have been disbursed periodically, but seemingly, as a mere matter of form. It was transmitted as a usual official proceeding to the Talook, from the Hoozoor Treasury and as the observance of the festival had, for a series of years, been wholly disregarded, there being no car in existence it was the practice to send it back and periodically credit it to the

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

the Davastanums "Kufours."

3. Things were in this state, when, in fusly 1252, the Government disconnected itself from the Superintendence of the Hindoo and Mahomedan religious institutions of the Country, about which time an order had been issued by the then Collector directing that the allowance should cease, as the Car ceremony had for a very long period been wholly abandoned.

4. When, however, certain native managers of the Pagoda had been appointed and application was made by them for the allowance of Fusly 1252, and the Collector's order for the refund of the amount to the Hoozoor Treasury being cancelled, it was disbursed to them on the understanding that a Car would be constructed and strict attention paid at the proper period, to the future observance of the festival.

13th March 1843.

5. Similar disbursements were made in the seven succeeding Fusleas, the Money being duly transferred to the

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

the Pagoda Managers. In Fuslies 1260 & 61 the allowance was likewise remitted to the Talook Thasildar, but this Officer, seeing that nothing had been done in respect of constructing a Car, and finding that the festival had not been revived retained the amount in deposit, and has recently solicited instructions as to its disposal whether it is to be paid to the managing Durmas Kurtas who are three in number, thus giving them an opening for applying the grant for Fuslies 60 & 61 to their own use and benefit, if so disposed, instead of to the legitimate object to which it has not been applied in the last eight years or whether the allowance ought not altogether to be stopped.

6. Exclusive of the above mentioned sum the Pagoda receives for its support from the Government, a yearly Contribution of Rupees 213-5-

7. Not finding in any records any order directly applicable to the case, I am constrained to request that I may be favored with the opinion of

Fort St George 18th October 1852.

of the Board as to the course to be adopted.-

8 The act of the Durmakurtas is not, I apprehend, a fraudulent appropriation of funds destined by the authorities to a particular purpose, because their omission to cause the performance of the festival has been systematic and undisguised. It does not therefore appear proper to proceed against them, in the manner contemplated by the Regulation and the order by Government marginally quoted, which, under existing circumstances should be made applicable only to extreme case.—

VII of 1807.
11th August 1848
C.O. Book
Page 465.

9. The issue of orders from my Office to the local Thasildar to enforce and superintend the application of the funds in question to their original and legitimate purpose would be a contravention of the prescribed system of non-interference in such matters.

10. Moreover, there is not before me any formal or official Complaint from any of the Hindoo residents of Ostangary that the funds allotted for the festival have not been expended in its celebration; and adverting to the position of the Durmakurtas, I think it probable that

Fort St George 18th October 1852.

that any will reach my Office from such a source.-

11. Still in the due discharge of my duty it would, I consider, be an act of dubious propriety were I to pass over without notice, the conduct of the three guardians of the religious interests of the Village who, for a period of eight years, have deliberately accepted for a specific purpose, the large sum of Rupees 767-15-4, and utterly repudiated the performance of the trust reposed in them. But it would be positively objectionable, I apprehend, to continue the disbursement of the allowance when its implied conditions have so long been unobserved.

I am aware that with the
12. information before me, I am competent to remove the Managers; but a step of the kind would be no effectual remedy for what has occurred and what under new Durmakurtas may occur again.- Also, as the principle
13 has been recognized, of altogether cancelling a grant to a religious or charitable institution when it has fallen into disrepair or its object has been lost sight of, it may seem just, I submit, to give the principle

more

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

of the Board as to the course to be adopted.—

8 The act of the Durmakurtas is not, I apprehend, a fraudulent appropriation of funds destined by the authorities to a particular purpose, because their omission to cause the performance of the festival has been systematic and undisguised. It does not therefore appear proper to proceed against them, in the manner contemplated by the Regulation VII of 1807. and the orders by Government marginally quoted, which, under existing circumstances should be made applicable only to extreme case.—

11 August 1848 C.O. Book page 465.

9. The issue of orders from my Office to the local Thasildar to enforce and superintend the application of the funds in question to their original and legitimate purpose would be a contravention of the prescribed system of non-interference in such matters.

10. Moreover, there is not before me any formal or official Complaint from any of the Hindoo residents of Ootangary that the funds allotted for the festival have not been expended in its celebration; and adverting to the position of the Durmakurtas. I think it unlikely that

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

that any will reach my Office from such a source.—

11. Still in the due discharge of my duty it would, I consider, be an act of dubious propriety were I to pass over without notice, the conduct of the three guardians of the religious interests of the Village who, for a period of eight years, have deliberately accepted for a specific purpose, the large sum of Rupees 767-15-4, and utterly repudiated, the performance of the trust reposed in them. But it would be positively objectionable, I apprehend, to continue the disbursement of the allowance when its implied conditions have so long been unobserved.

I am aware that with the information before me, I am competent to remove the Managers; but a step of the kind would be no effectual remedy for what has occured and what under new Durmakurtas may occur again.— Also, as the principle

12. 13

has been recognized, of altogether cancelling a grant to a Religious or Charitable institution when it has fallen into disrepair or its object has been lost sight of, it may seem just, I submit, to give the principle

more

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

more extensive application and now declare the forfeiture of an allowance, which like that under notice, is mere moonshine and all the circumstances considered cannot, I conclude, with any show of reason be said to be required.

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry,
Oasoor, 21st September, 1852.

Signed. H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Bd. No. 2079

No. 436.

Proceedings thereon.

(39.40)

The object for which the sum of Rupees 95-15-11 alluded to in the foregoing letter, was annually allowed, having been abandoned for many years, the Board concur in opinion with the Collector that payment of this sum should be discontinued from the date of last payment in Fusly 1259 as was done in the Case of the Pagoda Enam referred to in the papers noted in the Margin.

From Salem 28th August in Cons 2d October 1851.
From Govt 11th in Cons 27th November 1851

2 Adverting to the apparent difficulty of proving the charges of malversation against the Manager the Board would not authorize the Collector to institute an investigation under Regulation VII of 1817.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Resolution be furnished for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Read again letters from the Collector of Salem respectively dated 19th and 29th March and entered in Consultation 17th April 1852.

D/f.

Read

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Read also the following letter.

No. 105.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(41)

Bd. No. 2348

From the Coll. of Salem Reporting on a Memorial presented to the Government with one intended for the Honorable Court of Directors by V. Shashagherry Row late Thasildar of the Kistna Talook

Proceedings 27 May 1852

Para 1. I have the honor to reply to your Boards instructions of the marginal date in respect of a Memorial presented to the Government by V. Shashagherry Row, late Thasildar of the Kishnagherry Talook in this District.

2. There is much in the Petitioners Memorial to the Government, as well as in the one intended by him for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors, which has been answered by anticipation in my communications to your Board of the 19th and 29th March 1851.

3. In limine I beg very distinctly to disclaim the most remote approach to the feelings of dislike by which the Petitioner asserts I have been actuated towards him. On receiving charge of the Salem District I found him and thirteen other Thasildars in Office - and had he done his duty -

Zealously.

zealously and in a careful efficient manner he might still be there so far as I am personally concerned.

4. It is not my practice to permit the Cutcherry Servants to influence my conduct towards their equals or Subordinates in Government employ and on a careful retrospect of the proceedings taken by me before I resolved on submitting to your Board an application for permission to degrade the Petitioner I cannot find that any single act of mine in his respect was not wholly spontaneous.

5. Had I not solicited this permission at the time I should, at a later period, have been compelled to demand authority to remove the Petitioner whose proceedings in various matters proved to my mind beyond any manner of doubt that he is a man of low disposition and unworthy of the trust which must be reposed in a Thasildar.

6. In view to carrying into effect your Board's Sanction for his reduction to an inferior Office I took the average amount

amount of Salary then being drawn by such Servants in the Cutcherry Establishment of the Salem District, as had been in public employ as long as the Petitioner. There were four of them the average of whose Monthly emoluments was Rupees 13½ Referring to the rank of the situation from which Petitioner was being displaced I appointed him to an Office in the Sub Division of which the pay was 20 Rupees.

10½ years

Memorial to Honorable Court para 5

7. Although he has asserted that he possesses a "perfect" Knowledge of Mahratta, I beg to deny the fact. He writes the language with great difficulty, badly and in a way which is quite unsuited to official records. He may speak it well enough perhaps but Knowledge of that kind was likely to be of no avail in my Cutcherry I therefore preferred locating him in the Sub Division where the language in use is almost entirely Tamil.

8 On receipt of his Sunnud of appointment he solicited the Office of Town Ameen at Salem or a Taloob Sarishtadarship. His unbusinesslike inert unconciliatory habits, if nothing else could have been urged against him were

were a bar to his location in the Asmeen-
-ship of the troublesome Town of Salem and
for the post of Talook Sarishtadar the grade
next below that of Tahsildar his acquire-
-ments were insufficient.

9- In giving him a situation of which
the Salary was Rupees 6½ higher than
that enjoyed by four men his equals in
standing I felt that I was doing justice
and I still feel that the arrangement was
a fair one.

10- The Petitioner, however, instead
of proceeding to take up his appointment
stayed at Kishnagherry and I believe ap-
-plied to the Sub Collector for leave of
absence.

11- Subsequently on receiving
the pay abstracts of the Sub Division
I observed that the Petitioners name
was not included in it. On enquiry
as to the cause I was informed
by the Sub Collector that as the
Petitioner had failed to attend and
enter on the duties of his Office
within the prescribed period he
had been removed from it and the
vacancy filled up by promotion
among the Subordinates

of

of the Sub Division It was pointed out
to the Sub Collector that a formal order
in respect of such a matter should have
been sent to the District Treasurer
through my department and the
omission was thereupon rectified.

12 If the Petitioner at the time had
been of opinion that he had been treated
with undue hardship or that by his
own neglect he had not forfeited his
appointment, it was his duty to appeal
to me. This course, however, he did not
adopt. The steps next taken by him
was to submit several voluminous
petitions to the Board of Revenue
complaining of his displacement from
the situation of Tahsildar which as
the Petitioner has shown in his Memo-
-rials, were unsuccessful in effecting
his restoration to Office.

13- I would here mention in
refutation of the Petitioners
allegation that he is igno-
-rant of the causes of his
degradation that his address
to the Board exhibited a ge-
-nerally accurate and detailed
knowledge of the ground
I had taken against him.

* Memorial to the Court para 5-

He

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

We certainly had not been furnished by me with a transcript of my communications to the Board all he received under my Seal and Signature being a tabled stating the reason of his displacement but I apprehend from the tenor of his addresses that he had either seen or been privately supplied by some one in my Office with the subject matter of my letters.

Petition to Board 8th 962 of 1851.

14. I would also here observe that I had no knowledge whatever of the Petitioners wish as he now alleges to obtain leave of absence to proceed to Madras to appeal to your Board. Had it been otherwise I should have rejected his application on the very obvious objection that his presence at your Board's Office would in no wise have assisted his appeal and that what he desired to submit, might readily be written and laid before your Board through the ordinary post.

15. I now proceed to note certain misstatements in the Petitioners addresses to the Honorable Court of Directors and the Government.

Memorial to the Court para 2

16. The Petitioner has not

for

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

"for nearly 12 years served the Government "in some of the most responsible Offices "of the Revenue Department." He was Head Jaisal Nawees in this District, under the late Mr. Lockhart for one year and thirteen days, and Shasildar for years fine, Months Six, and one day. He had previously served as a Goomasta in the Sub Division, Madura District, for four years and three days.

years	Month	Days
1	"	13
5	6	1
4	"	3
Total 10	6	17

17. The testimonials to which Petitioner alludes are I presume, before the Government. They have not been shown to me, and the only part of my records which is creditable to the Petitioner is an entry in the Summalundy Report by Mr. Lockhart dated 12th October 1843, which the Board may have noticed, though at present I am unable to trace the manner in which it was disposed of by them.

18. As regards the Petitioner having been "invited" by Mr. Lockhart to fill the Office of Head Jawab Nawees in Salem, I would observe that he was hanging about as an Omeedwar without any permanent employ when the permanent Jawab Nawees quitted the Cutcherry on leave

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

leave of absence. After his departure and during his absence certain matters were brought forward to his prejudice, wherewon he was removed. The Petitioner was then appointed to the vacancy, but although not actually or officially nominated to the post I am informed that when the Jawab Nawees first quitted, the Petitioner was allowed to do the work of the department

Para 3. 19. The transfer of the Petitioner from one Talook to another during his brief career as Thasildar under my predecessor Mr. Lockhart would justify the inference that he had not given "general satisfaction".

20 Whether or not he had obtained the "complete approbation and confidence" of Mr. Lockhart I have no means of now ascertaining. I am however inclained to believe that such is not the case because, when Mr. Lockhart was a second time appointed to be Collector of the Salem District the Head Jawab Nawees hip was vacant and thus available for the Petitioner had

Mr.

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Mr. Lockhart felt that he was a fit and trustworthy individual. It was not given to him and it was only after the expiration of some six months, that Mr. Lockhart made him a Tahsildar. From what I myself saw of the Petitioners public conduct when I became the late Mr. Lockhart's successor I am quite satisfied that the Petitioner was utterly unworthy of confidence and that he deserved condemnation in most respects. I found in him no single redeeming point and his habit of lying was an insurmountable bar to the proper execution of the duties of his Office.

21. The allegation that "the judicial authorities had never occasion to impute to him any delay or neglect" is very easily made, and to refute it would necessitate a search through records for which my daily occupations afford me little or no leisure. I consider it in the very highest degree incredible referring to what has been his line of conduct in other departments.

32. The Certificates of Military Gentlemen

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Gentlemen to whom Petitioner furnished supplies is not a source from which a really meritorious Thasildar who had the comfort of his ryots at heart would go to seek the means of self commendation Such supplies, it is notorious, are in a more or less degree the result of bullying on the part of Peons and other Talook Subordinates they are seldom or ever given willingly, and the people would be quite content if demands for supplies were never made on them. Moreover, what is done in such occasions for the convenience of troops, is done by Cowcows. The Thasildars see nothing of the supplies until they per chance go and meet the troops at the halting ground.

Para 4. 23. The Service of the Petitioner "for a few years in the Military Department previous to his entering the Revenue line" may or may not be true equally so its alleged satisfactory result. Any how the Official Statements of public Services on which the returns periodically laid before the Board of Revenue are

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

prepared never exhibited such Service. Had he served to the extent alleged, he ought not to have kept the fact out of sight, but have given the necessary data to his revenue superior.

Para 5. 24. The Petitioner makes an artful Statement when he declares himself not cognizant of the "precise" grounds of his removal. It was often intimated to him by myself that unless he changed his mode of doing business he would be degraded or dismissed. His acts of misconduct were noticed in the Cowcows addressed to him, some few of which were detailed in my former letter to the Board and it was the accumulation of those which compelled me to beg I might be relieved from the responsibility of working with such a man and as I have before stated he had a pretty correct knowledge of what I had reported to his detriment.

Vide Petition to B. of R. No. 962 of 1852.

25. The Petitioners averment that during Six years Service as Thasildar his fitness for that post was never for one questioned until my arrival

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

arrival at Pistmagherry, is a suppression of truth. Possibly the words used in Ta Reeds to him may not have declared him "unfit". The essence of some of those communications however, went to show that his conduct in several instances stood impugned and that he was fined is shown on the records.

Para 6- 26. Relative to the persecution of the Petitioner by the Cutcherry Servants I am not informed I have already stated that it is my practice to judge of matters for myself and I am impressed with the belief that the affairs of the District were similarly conducted by the Sub Collector. Mr. F. H. Maltby who was in charge of it for five Months after Mr. Lockhart's demise.

27. I deny having addressed the Petitioner before the Cutcherry Servants "in a high state of provocation" &c. With the special view to avoid giving unnecessary pain to a man whose incapacity for a duty he was attempting to perform to the utter confusion of all that required to be done had

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

had been clear to me for some days previously I retired from the private Bungalow (not a public one as the Petitioner states) where the annual Settlement was in progress and sent for him into a long distant verandah where he and I only being present, I told him that my patient and deliberate opinion was that he was utterly incompetent to his work. That the time was now come to settle his various acts of omission and commission that if he thought fit voluntarily to throw up his Thasildarship I would (I would) make provision for him lower down the list but that if he chose to try conclusions with me I was resolved on suspending him and applying to the Board of Revenue for permission to reduce him.

28. The Petitioners Statement that he never failed to give his early "attention to the representations of the Ryots" is a gross untruth. The arrears of orders unanswered and of complaints requiring investigation which I found in his Taloork, amounted to about 450 ranging

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

ranging over the last four years such a state of things would never have arisen under a Thasildar who had the smallest particle of Kind feeling for the well being of the inhabitants of his charge. My attention having been particularly attracted to this immense and unpardonable arrear I withdrew the Talook from the then Head Assistant Collector's management substituting a less important one and it was after this that I perceived most clearly that the Petitioner had neither the will nor the ability wanted to execute what was then required of him.

29. It is equally untrue that "not "one complaint of oppression extortion or "injustice" has reached me.

30. The Petitioners Knowledge of Mahratta so far as writing it goes, is extremely limited occasionally scraps of his own penmanship were appended to Arzees. he also at times would himself write in Mahratta, an application of leave of absence or some other equally unimportant communication. These specimens were not better than what a boy

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

boy at School would write.

31. Of his English qualifications I know nothing as I never spoke to him in that language.

32. He declined to bring the down ward steps of his own accord. The result was a Tareed which I then ordered to be written and at once signed. The further result was my addresses to your Board of the 19th and 29th of March 1851.

33. As your Board's records will supply the facts brought to notice in regard of the several Thasildars between whose cases and his own the Petitioner had drawn a comparison. I do not believe I am expected to make any remarks on the latter part of the Petitioners memorial and therefore abstain from so doing.

34. Neither do I think it necessary to make any observations in regard to the memorial addressed to the Local Government which merely gives in a reduced form what in the other memorials has been stated at greater length.

Salem Collectors Cutcherry 25th September 1852.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted with the following address-

No. 437-

To The Chief Secretary to Government-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation 20th April 1852 calling for a report upon the Memorial to the Honorable the Court of Directors addressed by Voosaladadien Shaghenny Row, late Shasildar of the Pistnagherry Taloob in Salem requesting his restoration to the Office of Tahasildar from which he was removal by the Collector under authority from the Board-

2- In reply I am directed to submit the accompanying letters dated respectively the 19th and 29th March 1851 and 25th September 1852 from the Collector of Salem, wherein the circumstances which led to Shashagherry Row's removal to an inferior Office and his forfeiture of the latter are fully detailed-

3- It will be seen that Petitioner was considered disqualified to discharge the duties of Tahsildar from inert and dilatory habits in attending to orders from the Hoozoor and when repeatedly warned endeavoured invariably to screen his errors by throwing the blame on his

Subordinate

41.42

Bd's Cons 20 May 1852

To the Chief Secy to Government-

Reporting upon the memorial addressed to the Court of Directors by Voosaladadien Shashagherry Row late Shasildar of the Pistnagherry Taloob & submitting letters from the Collector of Salem on the subject of his removal from a higher Office and his final discharge from the public service

In Cons 17th April 1851
In Cons 17th April "
In Cons 18th Oct. 1852
2346-

Fort St. George 18th October 1852-

Subordinates- From personal intercourse with the Petitioner the Collector found him ignorant of the business of his Taloob which was heavily in arrears and that he could not answer as to the extent of the Collections made or such as to the extent of the Collections made or Balances outstanding without being prompted by his Gomastahs who supplied the information which he himself should most readily have afforded- The Collector also found Petitioner wanting in truth in his Official reports, which he states, was in like manner observed by Mr. Maltby when in charge of the District as well as by Mr. Dykes the Assistant Collector under whose immediate charge Petitioner served for four years-

4- It will also be seen that due warning was given to Petitioner, and after a lapse of four Months the Collector observed no improvement in him but found that he employed himself in matters of trivial importance to the neglect of urgent and momentary questions while his deficiencies could not be remedied by his Subordinates from a want of System and of defective subjection to Petitioners authority, owing to his being on bad terms with all from the lowest Peon to the Taloob Sheristadar- The Petitioners neglect in not having closed the Dittum of his Taloob until five Months after the proper period would seem to have occasioned a loss

in

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

From Collector 29th March 1851.

in the Settlement of his Taluk in Fusly 1260, which was a most excellent year and the Collector in Submitting a record of eight cases in which Petitioners delinquencies were most glaring requested the Board to allow him to reduce the Petitioner to a lower post.

Proceedings 17th April 1851.

5. The Board after due consideration of all the circumstances represented authorized the removal of Petitioner from the Office of Thasildar to an inferior appointment.

6. From the Collector's last letter it will appear that on being reduced the Petitioner solicited the Office of Town Ameen of Salem or that of Taluk Sheristadar but finding that he wrote Mahratta with great difficulty and in a way quite unsuited to official records and that his un-business like habits and insufficient acquirements were a bar to his nomination to these posts the Collector appointed Petitioner to an Office in the Sub Division the pay of which Rupees 21 per Mensem was higher than that enjoyed by four others on the Collectors Establishment of equal standing in service with Petitioner.

7. Instead however of taking up this appointment it would seem that Petitioner obtained leave of absence from the Sub Collector but that failing to attend at the expiration of the prescribed period he forfeited his appointment.

It

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

8. It would further appear that Petitioner cannot be ignorant of the causes of his degradation he having received a written order under the Collectors Seal and Signature in which the reasons for that step are fully set forth. Mr. Phillips clearly shows that Petitioners services do not extend beyond a period of 10½ years and that the Certificates given by Military Officers for supplying Carriage &c. for Troops are nothing more than such as are usually given to Carwons - that Petitioners removal was communicated to him in a private interview with due regard to his personal feelings and that his Statement of never having failed to give early attention to the complaints of the Ryots is a gross untruth, as no less an arrear than 450 Cases of unanswered orders and complaints required investigation ranging over a period of four years.

9. This instance alone of neglect of duty and want of veracity on the part of Petitioner would the Board think be an insurmountable bar to his being retained in the responsible post of Thasildar to which they consider that he was prematurely elevated by the late Collector.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
18th October 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

Read the following letter.

18-60

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(43)

B. R. 2413.

Sir,

From the Collector of South Arcot

Submits his report on the old arrears of Sevoy Summah balanced from fusly 1250 to 1259.

1. I have the honor to submit my report on the old arrears of Sevoy Summah in this District according to the instructions given in the Board's Circular letter of the 10th March 1851.

2. The arrears upto the close of 1249 have been written off under the Sanction of Government dated 29th September 1851 the period embraced in this report extends from fusly 1250 to 1259 - The Accounts before the Board show that the arrear outstanding under this head at the close of 1260 Ambunted to Rupees 11547-6-4 and as Rupees 512-10-9 have been realized during the subsequent fusly the balance regarding which I have to give explanation is Rupees 11034-11-7.

3 - The above arrear is due for the fusslies noted in the Margin and is Composed of the

Fusly	Rs	A	P
1250	3077	4	10
1251	2406	7	3
1252	162	10	9
1253	232	1	6
1254	526	3	3
1255	421	9	9
1256	1279	4	7
1257	661	13	6
1258	1042	7	10
1259	684	12	4
	11034	11	7

J. C. B.

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

the following items.

		Rs	A	P
1	Chapparamy on concealed Cultivation	4011	8	9
2	Cultivation of lands included in disputed boundary disputes	2859	9	8
3	Cultivation after Summabundy	119	10	8
4	Do in the beds of tanks	59	5	3
5	Do in Ground allotted for threshing floors and for Village Cattle	100	2	11
6	Fyute Enam Cultivation	31	10	6
7	Excess Cultivation discovered on Agricul.	22	11	
8	Bennaris Enam Cultivation	20	4	2
9	Deserted Devastanum Inamiems	25	14	10
10	Cultivation in public road	15	9	
11	Items omitted in Summabundy	9	7	3
12	Cancelly Pooroopoo Vea for 1250 and 1257	481	5	2
13	Cancelly Enam of Wodiar pollam Poligar for 1250 and 1257	752	8	8
		8194	8	6
14	Cancelly income of Pitchaveram elder family erroneously brought to this account	163	14	8
15	Do Enam Cultivation also erroneously entered	2376	4	5
		2540	3	1
		11034	11	7

4 After a close scrutiny of the above arrears and of the Circumstances of the individuals against whom they are outstanding they appear to me devisible into the following 3 heads-

II.	Recoverable	2928-10-8
III.	Doubtful of Recovery	801-9-6
IIII.	Irrecoverable including the sum of Rupees 2540-3-1 above referred to erroneously entered in this account	7304-7-5
		11034-11-7

The years to which the sums comprising these three heads belong are shown in the following statement and I shall proceed to offer some explanatory Remarks respecting them.-

11840

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Taulucs.	Total arrear.		Irrecoverable amount Recommended for Remission.		Recoverable		Doubtful of Recovery.		Total of the Recoverable and doubtful.	
	Rs	✠	Rs	✠	Rs	✠	Rs	✠	Rs	✠
1250	3077	4 10	2915	13 9	17	6 9	144	0 4	161	7 1
1251	2406	7 3	2353	13 5	18	11 3	33	14 7	52	9 10
1252	162	10 9	159	6 3	3	4 6	0	0 0	3	4 6
1253	232	1 6	117	2 10	59	8 0	55	6 8	114	14 8
1254	526	3 3	257	13 11	114	7 0	153	14 4	268	5 4
1255	421	9 9	311	6 9	103	6 1	6	12 11	110	3 0
1256	1279	4 7	767	4 6	432	14 11	79	1 2	512	0 1
1257	601	13 6	40	15 8	560	13 10	0	0 0	560	13 10
1258	1642	7 10	221	3 8	1188	14 5	232	5 9	1421	4 2
1259	684	12 4	159	6 8	429	3 11	96	1 9	525	5 8
Total	11034	11 7	7304	7 5	2928	10 8	801	9 6	3730	4 2

+ To be remitted - 1761-4-4

To be struck off - 251-0-3-1

7304-7-5

11841

5th Shall the practice of the "Kattikai of Deepam" "Recommended under the Head of "Contingencies" "Remain in force, or shall it be abolished?

Ans. Under the Head Contingencies the Collectors are directed to pay, as Fees, Charges and Allowances, such sums for Postage, and other Contingent Charges as may be necessary in the Discharge of their Duties, and which cannot be conveniently defrayed from any other Head of Service. The Practice of paying the Kattikai of Deepam under the Head Contingencies has been discontinued, and the Collectors are directed to pay it from the Allowance for Establishment.

6th Are the Collectors empowered to remit Balances of Revenue, or to grant Indulgence to Defaulters?

Ans. The Collectors are not empowered to remit Balances of Revenue, or to grant Indulgence to Defaulters, except in the Cases specified in the Answer to the 3d Question.

7th Are the Collectors empowered to grant Leases for Lands, and for what Period?

Ans. The Collectors are empowered to grant Leases for Lands, for Periods not exceeding Five Years.

8th Are the Collectors empowered to sell Lands for Balances of Revenue, and under what Restrictions?

Ans. The Collectors are empowered to sell Lands for Balances of Revenue, under the Restrictions specified in the Answer to the 3d Question.

9th Are the Collectors empowered to sell Lands for Balances of Revenue, and under what Restrictions?

Ans. The Collectors are empowered to sell Lands for Balances of Revenue, under the Restrictions specified in the Answer to the 3d Question.

10th Are the Collectors empowered to sell Lands for Balances of Revenue, and under what Restrictions?

Ans. The Collectors are empowered to sell Lands for Balances of Revenue, under the Restrictions specified in the Answer to the 3d Question.

1 Cancelled Decrees of Collectors' Courts — 1639-7-1

2 Due by deceased Ryots — 184-7-8

3 Do by deceased Do — 178-12-1

4 Do by Ryots Do — 1527-11-8

5 Due on account of Revenue Sales, &c. — 1481-5-2

6 Do Do Cancelled Estates — 252-8-8

7 Cancelled Decrees of Collectors' Courts — 2540-3-1

Cancelled Estates and Cancelled Estates — 1764-4-4

7304-7-5

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

The first four of the above items are of an ordinary nature and as the sums entered in them are not large and are chiefly due for years long past more detailed explanation appears uncalled for. The 5th and 6th Items are due for fuslies 1250 and 1251 on account of the resumed kanelly incomes of the Vediarpolliem kanilgar, which were taken under Sirkar Management on the death of the kanilgar in fusly 1245 - On the 31st January 1842/1252 Government directed that these incomes were to be resumed and that the resumption was to have effect from fusly 1249, all the amounts realized since that period has been accordingly carried to the credit of Government but the present small arrear remains uncollected for the years between 1249 and 1252 in which the order for the resumption was received and as this balance is now of old standing and the Munnargoody Ryots have had to sustain low prices it has appeared to me proper to include it among the arrears which are recommended for remission as being incapable of being collected without causing difficulty

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

difficulty and distress -

The remaining 7th item under the irrecoverable head amounts to Rupees 2540 - 3 - 1 and consists of two sums which have been brought erroneously into this account under the following circumstances On the Vediarpolliem kanilgars death in 1245 his incomes were taken under Sirkar Management and orders were finally passed for resuming the Dutta Maniem lands and granting remuneration to the Maunniendars which orders were carried into effect from the commencement of fusly 1252 - The Collections made from 1245 to 1251 were delivered over to the Maunniendars to whom a balance of Rupees 2376 - 4 - 5 still remained due for those years; but as the Collector gave orders in 1253 after the final resumption that the Talooks Servants were not to Collect this balance and that the Maunniendars were to make their own arrangements with the Cultivators regarding the arrears due

2376 - 4 - 5	
163 - 11 - 8	
2540 - 3 - 1	

Collr. to Bd. 17th October 1854 -

due to them, this sum ought not to have been entered among the sirkar balances; but having been erroneously brought into the Taluk accounts together with the arrear due upon the Enam lands in the actual enjoyment of the Cauilgar it was afterwards carried into the Sayer Jummah arrear statements submitted to the Board. The second sum of Rupees 163-14-8 was erroneously brought into the public account under similar circumstances. It forms an arrear of Cauilly Voluntrum due to the Pitchanaren elder family for the years 1250 and 1251 when their incomes were under temporary sirkar management. The subsequent resumption of these incomes was ordered by Government on the 11th April 1842. a sum of Rupees 2,540-3-1 has thus been erroneously brought into the public accounts as an arrear of Sayer

1844 Fort St. George 18th October 1852

Sayer Jummah and it is now proposed with the Board's permission to strike it out.

8. After the Board's authority is received for removing the above erroneous item the irrecoverable portion of the real Sayer Jummah arrears for the Remission of which sanction is now requested amounts to Rupees 1,764-4-4 and as this sum extends over a period of 10 years and belongs to a branch of Revenue which from its nature is always difficult of realization, I trust that it will not be considered large.

South Arcot, - - -
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore, - -
30th September, 1852.

(Signed) C. Maltby
Collector.

1846.

Fort St. George 18th October 1852

No. 1 Statement shewing the Particulars of the outstanding Sencay Summah arrear, in the Southern Division of Arcot, from Fusly 1250 to the end of 1259-

		Doubtful		0 0	
			Total	3 4 6	
		By death		12 10 0	
1252	Irrecoverable	By desertion		29 10 3	
		By Poverty		83 12 4	
			Total	126 0 7	
		Remitted under orders of the Collector		33 5 8	
			Grand Total	162 10 9	
		Recoverable		59 8 0	
		Doubtful		55 6 8	
			Total	114 14 8	
1253	Irrecoverable	By death		14 3 7	
		By desertion		0 8 5	
		By Poverty		22 4 6	
			Total	37 0 6	
		Remitted under orders of the Collector		80 2 4	
			Grand Total	232 1 6	
		Recoverable		114 7 0	
		Doubtful		153 14 4	
			Total	268 5 4	
1254	Irrecoverable	By death		0 8 5	
		By desertion		6 11 4	
		By Poverty		204 4 8	
			Total	211 8 5	
		Remitted under orders of the Collector		46 5 0	
			Grand Total	526 3 3	

11850. Fort St. George 18th October 1852

2 It appears to me that Mr. Fletcher might with propriety be placed on the same footing as a Civil Servant first joining his station and if the Court Concurs in this view perhaps they will grant to me authority to disburse to Mr. Fletcher 8 annas a mile for travelling allowance, his salary Commencing from the date of joining his station should the Court not approve of this proposition perhaps they will be pleased to allow that Mr. Fletcher's salary and house rent should Commence from 2d. September the date of the Proceedings communicating the Court approval of Mr. Fletcher's nomination to the office of the Tutor to the Minor Zemindar-

Madura
Today Recd
28th September 1852

(Signed) T. Clarke
Sub. Collector in Charge
The

11851 Fort St. George 18th October 1852

B. No. 2068-
Proceedings thereon
(45-46)

The Board of Revenue consider that 4 annas per mile may be granted to Mr. Fletcher as travelling allowance and that he should draw his salary from the date of his joining his station-

Read the following

Statement-

Statement

1852.

Bd No. 2526.

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the quantity of salt sold and the wastage on the quantity stored in the District of Tinnevelly for the 18th to October 1852.

47

Name of the Cotaurs or Depôt	Quantity in which stored	Quantity stored - In each July	Quantity stored - Total	Quantity sold	Wastage - Amount of Wastage	Wastage - Centage	Per centage of wastage for each Cotaur fixed by the Collr. as per para 16 of the Salt Rules	Explanation of the Cause of wastage	Prime Cost of the salt wasted	Value thereof at the selling price	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Md S C	Md S C	Md S C	Md S C	Md S C			Rs A P	Rs A P	
Tyspauram	1260	6,000 " "	6,000 " "	5,932 20 "	67 20 "	1 5 "		The wastage in all	5 10 "	69 8 "	The wastage here entered as has occurred in salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption.
Munjumcolum	1260	2,059 32 "	2,400 " "	2,391 24 "	8 16 "	" 11 "	2½	The Sans has been below the authorized percentage except in Coss:	" 11 2	8 6 5	
Arasady	1260	31,800 " "	39,864 " "	38,917 20 "	946 20 "	2 11 15	2½		78 11 "	946 8 "	
	1261	5,064 " "									
Totacorin	1260	9,715 8 "	11,100 " "	11,272 8 "	127 32 "	1 3 5 8	2½	= Cas agaraputam where it has exceeded it by ½ mund.	10 10 5	127 12 9	
	1261	1,384 32 "									
Palincoil	1260	12,000 " "	14,400 " "	14,223 " "	177 " "	1 9 2½	2½	1 Seers 9 in Consequence of certain heaps having suffered wastage by exposure to rain before they were Covered.	14 12 "	177 " "	
	1261	2,400 " "									
Coilpatam	1260	12,987 " "	12,987 " "	12,891 24 "	95 16 "	" 2 9 6	2½		7 15 2	95 6 5	
Coolasagarapatam	1260	7,979 28 "	11,071 20 "	10,656 36 "	414 24 "	3 2 9 1½	2½		34 8 10	414 9 7	Do in salt sold for Home Consumption.
	1261	3,091 32 "									
Veriaputhy	1260	2,400 " "	3,600 " "	3,575 4 "	24 36 "	" 2 7 10½	2½		2 1 2	24 14 5	
	1261	1,200 " "									
Coothancoolly	1260	3,600 " "	3,600 " "	3,543 12 "	56 28 "	1 2 3 "	2½		4 11 7	56 11 2	
		108,322 20 "	106,403 28 "	1,918 32 "	1 3 0 13 1/2		2½		159 14 4	1918 12 9	

Tinnevelly
Tencanvey
4th October 1852

E E Fn / Signed / C. D. Bird
Collector.

ordered

1852.

Bd No. 2526.

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the quantity of salt sold and the wastage on the quantity stored in the District of Tinnevelly for July 1261.

47

Name of the Cotaurs or Depôts	July in which stored	Quantity stored. In each July	Total	Quantity sold	Amount of Wastage	Centage of Wastage	Per centage of wastage for each Cotaur fixed by the Collr. as per para 16 of the Salt Rules.	Explanation of the Cause of wastage	Prime Cost thereof	Value of the salt at the wasted selling price	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Md S C	Md S C	Md S C	Md S C	Md S C			Rs A P	Rs A P	
Tyspanam	1260	6000 " "	6000 " "	5932 20 "	67 20 "	1.5 "		The wastage in all	5 10 "	69 8 "	The wastage here entered as has occurred in salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption.
Munjumcolum	1260	2059 32 "	2400 " "	2391 24 "	8 16 "	" 11 "	2½	The Pans has been below the authorized percentage except in Coss:	7 8 11 "	9 6 8 "	
	1261	340 8 "									
Aravady	1260	31800 " "	39864 " "	38917 20 "	946 20 "	2 11 11	2½	Tatacorin Coss: it has exceeded it by 3 munds.	10 10 5	127 12 9	
	1261	5064 " "									
Tatacorin	1260	9715 8 "	11100 " "	11272 8 "	127 32 "	35 "	2½	Palliacoil 1 Seers 9 in Consequence of certain heaps having suffered wastage by exposure to rain before they were covered.	11 12 "	177 " "	
	1261	1684 32 "									
Palliacoil	1260	12000 " "	14100 " "	14223 " "	177 " "	1 9 21½	2½		7 15 2	95 6 5	
	1261	2100 " "									
Coilpatam	1260	12987 " "	12987 " "	12891 24 "	95 16 "	39 "	2½		34 8 10	444 9 7	Do in salt sold
Coolasagarapatam	1260	7979 28 "	11071 20 "	10656 36 "	414 24 "	33 29½	2½		2 1 2	24 14 5	for Home Consumption.
	1261	3091 32 "									
Veriapuntty	1260	2100 " "	3600 " "	3575 4 "	24 36 "	" 27 "	2½		4 11 7	56 11 2	
	1261	1200 " "									
Coolthancoolay	1260	3600 " "	3600 " "	3543 12 "	56 28 "	1 25 "	2½				
		108322 20 "	106403 28 "	1918 32 "		1 30 "	2½		159 14 4	1918 12 9	

Tinnevelly Tencanssey.
4th October 1852

E E F. (Signed) C. L. Bird
Collector.

ordered

1853. Fort St George 18th October 1852.

Order thereon

(47.48)

Ordered to lie over

Read the following letter

No. 91

(49)

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

B. No. 2525.

From the Collector of Coimbatore — Sir,

Para. 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Circular bearing date the 23d. Ultimo.

2 The orders contained in paras 3 and 4 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 3d. Ultimo No. 857 have been carried out as directed.

3 All the allowances now discontinued were originally granted to defray the expences of certain Ceremonies and not for the maintenance of the Recipients and therefore no portion of the said allowances can be claimed as a life grant for subsistence.

Coimbatore — 11th October 1852 } (Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector

(49.50)

Order thereon Ordered to lie over.

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 10th August and entered in Consultation 2d September 1852.

Read

J. G. B.

1852. Fort St George. 18th October 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 185.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(51)

B. No. 2290.

From the Collector of Chingleput — Forwarding the depositions taken from Audesashiah.

Sir,

1 Agreeably to instructions contained in your letter of the 2d Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the original deposition with a translation in English taken before me from Audisashia, Cash keeper attached to this Department, which embraces the several points referred to on which I have endeavoured to illicit information connected with the frauds practised at the Sallcotaurs and Cutcherry of the Madras Collectorate relative to the abstraction of certain documents.

2 Audisashiah has declared that he was only occasionally employed in reading Urzees and accounts to Mr. Taylor, but that he never was entrusted with that part of the Jawabnevees as concerns charge of the Records which would have rendered him directly responsible for the missing documents. It will be observed that the depositions taken during Mr. Taylor's enquiry of July 1850 are contradicted by Audesashiah to have been written by the

the Head Translator while the Salt ac=
=counts of the Madras Cotaurs (of which
the Boat Notes now missing might have
formed a portion) are stated to have been
examined into by Mr. Taylor in conjunction
with the Sumpruttty Ramakistna Pillay and
Abharry Goomastah Vurdacharry, I am unable
from Audesashiah's deposition alone to form
any opinion on the subject. The Board will
however be enabled to arrive at a better con=
=clusion by considering it in connection with
any other depositions which may have been
or may hereafter be taken from the Parties
noted marginally whose examination would
seem to be necessary from Audesashiah's
statements.

Head Translator 1
Sewabreveees — 1
Sawmy Moodely 1
Sumpruttty Ra=
=makistna Pillay 1
Abharry Goomastah 1
Vurdacharry 1

3. I have deemed it expedient for
the present to relieve Audesashiah from his
duties as Treasurer in this District pend=
=ing the result of the decision arrived at by the
Board in reference to any degree of suspicion
that may be considered to attach to him
in the matter at issue and render him
unfit for so responsible an appointment and
one which it is so desirable should alone be
held by a person whose character is unimpeach=
=able. I shall be glad to be favored with the

Board's

Board's opinion and further instructions on
the subject.

Chingleput District, }
Collector's Cutcherry, } Signed J. H. Cochrane
Pulkecarry, 21st September, 1852. } Collector.

No. 1.

Translation of a deposition taken from Aude=
=sashier, Cashkeeper attached to the Collectorate
of Chingleput.

Question. What appointment did you lately
hold in the Madras Collectorate and when
did you first enter that Office?

Answer. A Sakeed was given to me on
the 17th April 1849 in the Madras Collector's
Cutcherry for the situation of Assistant
Accountant on a salary of 4 Pagodas and
on the following day I was directed to
transact the duties of a Goomastah in
the Sheristadar's Department, which I did
for the space of two Months, when the
Assistant Collector Mr. Taylor desired me
to interpret Tamil Urzees to him in Telugoo
and English I was engaged in this duty, as
well as that of accounts appertaining to
my situation; but I did not carry on the
business of reading constantly. The Translator
principally transacted that duty. I used to
read only when I was sent for, Sumpruttty
Ramakistnah Pillay, Abharry Goomastah
& Vurdacharry

Vurdachary, Javabneves Sawmy Moodely also read (Urzees) when sent for. I continued in the above duty until April 1851 when I obtained the appointment of Cashkeeper in this District.

Q. In whose charge were the papers connected with the Salt Department?

A. The Urzees by whomsoever they might be read were delivered to the Translator. The Budjan Accounts of the Salt and other departments were delivered to the Sumprutty, while the paper accounts of those departments were given to me. From the above paper accounts I used to fill up the English books of the different departments and present them to Mr Taylor and in his absence to the Collector, who examined and signed them.

2. In an investigation held by the Assistant Collector at Madras, it was found that certain Boat notes were missing which would have tended to prove the quantity of salt received into store on the 13th February 1849 and to verify the entries in the Alavoo Dob and daily Memorandum for that day; state who had charge of the above documents?

A. I did not receive the charge of the Boat Notes – I heard that they were kept in the custody of the Salt Superintendent.

2. It appears that at the time Mr Taylor conducted

conducted an examination into certain frauds in the Salt Department in July 1850, you acted as Javabneves and assisted the Translator in comparing the original depositions taken during the enquiry with the Translated Copies, did you transact the above duties?

A. During the enquiry in question the Translator asked the questions and Sumpruttu Ramakistna pillay wrote down the depositions, when as stated in my reply to the first question, I occasionally, when sent for, read the Urzees and accounts daily received. I neither asked the questions nor wrote down the depositions above referred to. A few days after the depositions were taken, the Translator translated the more difficult depositions, and gave me some easy ones for translation, which I translated then and there and returned to him, the original and Translation. In other cases also easy depositions were given to me which I translated and returned to the Translator.

2. Who had the charge of the original Tamil depositions which were taken during the enquiry of July 1850, as also of the Boat Chits referred to in the documents furnished to Mr Taylor by Audemoolachetty what

became

became of them?

A. As stated in the preceding reply, the depositions in question were with the Translator. I do not know what became of them. The accounts of the Salt Cotaurs were investigated by Mr. Taylor in conjunction with Sumprutty Ramakistna Pillay and Goomastah Verdacharry when one of the above two (servants) took from me as if under the orders of Mr. Taylor, the paper accounts of the Salt department for some days which were with me for the purpose of comparing them; 8 days after the comparison having been made, the paper accounts which I had given, were returned to me, I put them with the other accounts in the Almirah. The Boat bills were not given to me. I neither saw them, nor did I know what became of them.

2. The Collector of Madras is of opinion that the missing vouchers should have been in the charge of the Head Translator and Javabneveese. It also appears that you acted as Javabneveese at the time. What have you to say in reply to this?

A. The Urzees &c. records and the depositions taken in special cases as also the

the accounts connected with such cases were in the charges of the Javabneveese. The key of the Almira in which the above records were kept was with the Translator. I merely occasionally read Urzees and accounts, but did not transact the duties of a Javabneveese. There was another Sawmy Moodelly who was Javabneveese. The paper accounts which were given to me were alone in my charge.

2. Were the depositions taken by Mr. Taylor ever subsequently referred to?

A. I do not know whether the above documents were ever used for references.

(True Translation)

(51-52)

Pullecarney, 15th September 1852.

/ Signed / J. H. Cockrane
Collector.

B. No. 2062.

Proceedings thereon.

Requesting the Collector of Madras to cause a further and a strict enquiry to be made on certain points connected with the Custody of boat-notes and depositions with reference to the Salt frauds that have been recently discovered.

The Board resolve to transmit to the Collector of Madras the Original Translation of the deposition given by Audesasthiah before the Collector of Chinglepet.

2. It is impossible with the conflicting statements made in this case to arrive at any conclusion regarding the party to be held responsible for the safe Custody of the Boat notes and depositions.

Mr.

3. Mr. Hudleston states that these documents "should have been found in the Sheristadar's Department such vouchers being under the joint charge of the Head Translator and Jawabnevis but afterwards is of opinion that the "missing vouchers had been concealed by the Sheristadar and Sumpruthy for purposes of their own."

P.C.

From Madras 9th in Dy Cons: 15th July 1850 - From Government 23d in Dy Cons: 26th August 1850 -

4. The enquiry before Mr. Taylor took place in July and the orders of Government upon it were forwarded to the Collector on the 23d August 1850, afterwards the documents in the case should have passed into the record room and have been entered upon the Record Register - The Collector will state whether such entry is to be found, if not, it may be inferred that the papers never reached the record room; but were detained in the Duftier of the Jawabnevis who would in other Offices be considered responsible for the papers while the case is, as it was, unclosed -

5. It is not clear who really acted as Jawabnevis, or in whose care the documents relative to any enquiry before the

D.F.

Collector are ordinarily placed, before the case is finally transferred to the Record Department.

6. The Board wish for a distinct opinion from the Collector on these points and for this purpose he is requested to direct Mr. Ellis to make a further and strict enquiry in obtaining the attendance of Audeshashiah through the Collector of Chingleput.

Read the following letter

No. 111

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(53)

Bd. No. 2340.

From the Collector of Madras ....

Sir.

I have the honor to report agreeably to the Board's orders on a complaint from certain Merchants dealing in Beer and Wine in Black Town.

In the first place it is necessary to observe that the Petitioners are not precluded under the provisions of the new Enactment from trading in Spirituous and fermented liquors, by wholesale, the only restriction having reference to the Retail Sale of such liquors.

The

The object of the restriction being I presume to repress as much as possible the petty Sale of Beer Wine and Spirits, I have consistently with the object limited the No of Retail Licenses to 50 Forty one of the Licenses have already been issued to parties who are either large respectable European or native Shopkeepers, or persons, who have been reported on favorably by the Police or the Head Abkarry Officers of this Cutcherry. I am inclined to think that the issue of 50 Licenses for the Retail Sale of Beer Wine and Spirits is amply sufficient to meet the wants of the public at large, and it is not for the interests of Government nor for the welfare of the Police that a larger number should be given out.

Many of the parties whose names are attached to the Petition now transmitted have been objected to by the Police and the Abkarry Superintendent of this Office.

Madras, 28th September 1852. } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

B. No. 2067.
Proceedings thereon.

53·54

The number of 50 appears to be small for so extensive a District as Madras and the Collector of Madras is requested to inform the Board in what localities the 41 Licensed shops are situated and

and who are the holders. He will also inform them, how many arrack and Toddy Shops have been licensed by him this year.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To,
The Collector of
Chingleput.

Sir,

In reference to your Endorsement on Petition of ... 1900 of 1852 has brought again under the Board's consideration the levy of Fusl jasty upon Service Enams

2. They observe that the present practice was introduced in Fasly 1259 in accordance with the provisions of the Avakoomnamah and that the late Collector on explaining (Para 9 Jummahbundy Report for Fasly 1259) the increase under the head of Ready Money Collections remarked "I have some doubts of the property of invariably levying a fusl. jasty on all single Crop Enam land, because the division of the lands into one and two Crop had not, I consider, been correctly determined in framing the Survey assessment" and any such demand was not contemplated. I think when the lands were assigned as maniums

3. He proposed therefore in lieu to levy a tank inerah, but the Board did not accede to his

55

B. No. 2074.
To the Collector Chingleput
Stating the Boards view relative to the levy of Fusl jasty upon Service Enams and requesting the Collector of Chingleput to investigate these Enams in conformity thereto and to report whether it might not in future be abandoned or collected as it equalled or exceeded a fair remuneration for services rendered.
(Dated 9th May 1852.)

From Coll. 6th Nov. 1850 inclosure 24th Feb. 1851

property

Pro. 10th March 1851.

his proposition, fearing that the foregoing of the fusl jasty on service Enams would interfere with the 2d Crop Cultivation in ayen land.-

4. It may perhaps be difficult now determine what may have been the intention regarding each Enam at the Survey, with respect to the levy of a fusl jasty, but there can be no doubt that freedom from assessment was contemplated to such an amount as would make the Enam a suitable remuneration for the duties performed, and on this principle the Board would wish to proceed at the present time. They think that where the assessment for both Crops would only amount to the equivalent due to the Enamdars for Services rendered, a fusl jasty should not be imposed, and that where the teerwah foregone on the 1st Crop was sufficient for the above purpose, a fusl jasty might fairly be levied.-

5. I am desired to request that you will investigate these Enams in conformity with these views, and that having fixed upon a proper rate of remuneration, will report whether the fusl jasty might not in future be abandoned or collected, as it equalled or exceeded a fair remuneration.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

/Signed/ W.H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

56 No. 2514 From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the ordinary and Extraordinary Gross. Collections for Fusly 1261 compared with those of fusly 1260.

57 No. 2515 From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the Ordinary and Extraordinary Gross Collections Charges for Fusly 1261 compared with those of Fusly 1260.

58 No. 2463 From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement Comparative of Collections in every Branch of Revenue shewing the Increase and decrease of each Item for Fusly 1260/61.-

59 No. 2464 From the Collector of Vizagapatam.- Statement Comparative of Charges in every Branch of Revenue shewing the Increase and Decrease of each Item for Fusslies 1260/61.

60 No. 2503. From the Collector of Bellary- Statement of the Collections for Fusly 1261 / A.D. 1850/51.

61 No. 2504. From the Collector of Bellary.- Statement of the Charges for Fusly 1261. / A.D. 1850/51.

56.62 Order on the 6 abovementioned Statements.- Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Lists

From

his proposition, fearing that the foregoing of the fusl jasty on service Enams would interfere with the 2d Crop Cultivation in ayen land.

4. It may perhaps be difficult now determine what may have been the intention regarding each Enam at the Survey, with respect to the levy of a fusl jasty, but there can be no doubt that freedom from assessment was contemplated to such an amount as would make the Enam a suitable remuneration for the duties performed, and on this principle the Board would wish to proceed at the present time. They think that where the assessment for both Crops would only amount to the equivalent due to the Enamdars for Services rendered, a fusl jasty should not be imposed, and that where the teerwah foregone on the 1st Crop was sufficient for the above purpose, a fusl jasty might fairly be levied.

5. I am desired to request that you will investigate these Enams in conformity with these views, and that having fixed upon a proper rate of remuneration, will report whether the fusl jasty might not in future be abandoned or Collected, as it equalled or exceeded a fair remuneration.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 18th October 1852. } (Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

56 No. 2514. Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the ordinary and Extraordinary Gross Collections for Fusly 1261 compared with those of Fusly 1260.

57 No. 2515. From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the Ordinary and Extraordinary Gross Collections Charges for Fusly 1261 compared with those of Fusly 1260.

58 No. 2463. From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement Comparative of Collections in every Branch of Revenue shewing the Increase and decrease of each Item for Fusly 1260/61.

59 No. 2464. From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement Comparative of Charges in every Branch of Revenue shewing the Increase and Decrease of each Item for Fusly 1260/61.

60 No. 2503. From the Collector of Bellary. Statement of the Collections for Fusly 1261 / A.D. 1850/51.

61 No. 2504. From the Collector of Bellary. Statement of the Charges for Fusly 1261. / A.D. 1850/51.

56 to 62 Order on the 6 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Lists

From

63
No. 2491
From the Acting Collector of North arcot. Lists of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

64
No. 2492
From the Acting Collector of North arcot. Lists of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

65
No. 2493
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Lists of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

66
No. 2494
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last

67
No. 2495
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

68
No. 2496
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. List of addressed received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

69
No. 2435
From the Collector of Madras. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

11868 Fort St. George 18th October 1852.

70
No. 2434
From the Collector of Madras. List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

63. 71
Order on the 8 abovementioned lists. Ordered to be recorded.

72
No. 2382
From the Superintendent of Stamps. Read Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of September last.

72. 73
Order thereon, Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

74
No. 1069
From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last

75
No. 1071
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

76
No. 1067
From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt from 12th to 31st July last.

77
No. 1068
From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average

78 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.

No. 1073
From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of persons charged

79 with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.

No. 1072
From the Agent at Kurnool. Reporting the non transmission of certain Periodical Returns for the

80 Month of August last.

No. 1074
From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of Village Servants

81 suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of August last.

No. 1066
From the Collector of Chingleput. Return of Villagers Servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of August last.

" Moldingham

" T. Blane
"

Comp.d
J. G. Boyle

Diary.

18th October. Received the following letter.

No. 119.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1
B.d No. 2542.
From the Collector of Malabar
Calicut
13th October 1852.

Sir.

I have the honor to inform you that the printed forms said to have been forwarded by a Cooly in Mr. Cunliffe's letter of the 2nd. August last have not reached me as yet.

I have the honor to
Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector.

20th " Sent the following letter in consequence.

1-2
B.d No. 2076.
To the Collector of Salem.

To The Collector of Salem.

Sir.

With reference to your

78 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.
No. 1073

From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of persons charge
79 with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 f
No. 1072 the Month of August last.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Reporting the non trans
80 -mission of certain Periodical Returns for the
No. 1074 Month of August last.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of Village Serva
81 suspended and dismissed under Regulat
No. 1066 VI of 1831 for the Month of August last.

From the Collector of Chingleput. Return of Villages
Servants suspended and dismissed under Reg
lation VI of 1831 for the Month of August la

" Goldingham

" J. Blane
"

Comp.d J. F. Boyle

Diary

15th October. Received the following letters.

No. 119.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

1 Sir,

B.d No. 2542. I have the honor to
From the Collector inform you that the printed forms
of Malabar said to have been forwarded by a
Coply in Mr. Cunliffe's letter of the
2nd August last have not reached
me as yet.

Calicut I signed H. V. Conolly
13th October 1852. Collector.

20 " Sent the following letter in consequence.

1-2 To The Collector of Salem.
B.d No. 2076. Sir
To the Collector With reference to your
of Salem

Fort St. George — October 1852 —

your letter dated 6th September 1852. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will state whether the Cooly therein alluded to has yet arrived at Salem, as he had parcels for Coimbatore and Malabar also the Collectors of which Districts have written to say that he has not arrived there.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

20th Oct. Sent the following letter.
No. 434.

(3)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Bd. No. 2073.
To the Chief Secretary to Government

In conformity with the orders of Government, conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken set of their Proceedings for the Month of September 1852 for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Fort St. George 21st October 1852 —

At a Meeting Present:

Thursday 21st October 1852 — J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member and T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the undermentioned Extracts.

(4)

Bd. No. 2442.
From the Secy to Government
Dated 6th October 1852
(For information)

Directing that the Collector of Ganjam be instructed not to receive Enam Lands as security in any case.

(4-5)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

---

(6)

Bd. No. 2473.
From the Secy to Govt.
Dated 11th Oct. 1852
(For information)

Authorizing the admission of certain Charges retrenched in the Accounts of the Collector of Ganjam for Fuslies 1258 and 1259, and stating that the alteration made in the Salaries of certain Writers is wholly opposed to the Orders of Government of India as well as to all Regulation.

(6-7)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

From

Fort St. George — October 1852 —

your letter dated 6th September 1852. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will state whether the Cooly therein alluded to has yet arrived at Salem, as he had parcels for Coimbatore and Malabar also the Collectors of which Districts have written to say that he has not arrived there.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

20th Oct. Sent the following letter.
No. 434.

(3)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Bd. No. 2073.
To the Chief Secretary to Government

In conformity with the order of Government, conveyed in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Back Item Set of their Proceedings for the Month of September 1852 for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Fort St. George 21st October 1852 —

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 21st October 1852 — J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member and T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member. Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the undermentioned Extracts.

(4)

Bd. No. 2442.
From the Secy to Government
Dated 6th October 1852
(For information)

Directing that the Collector of Ganjam be instructed not to receive Enam Lands as security in any case.

(4-5)

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

---

(6)

Bd. No. 2473.
From the Secy to Govt.
Dated 11th Oct. 1852
(For information)

Authorizing the admission of certain Charges retrenched in the Accounts of the Collector of Ganjam for Fuslies 1258 and 1259, and stating that the alteration made in the Salaries of certain Writers is wholly opposed to the Orders of Government of India as well as to all Regulation.

(6-7)

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

From

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

(8)

B. No. 2460.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam — Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and his Subordinates for Fusly 1261.

(8.9)

B. No. 2084.

Proceedings thereon. The Extra Tent allowance drawn by each Officer for the number of days noted in the Margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue

W. A. Robertson	Coll.	for 57 days
E. G. R. Fane	Pd. Asst.	Collector for 96 Days.
E. B. Foord	Jr. 1st Asst.	Coll. for 82 days

Order thereon. Ordered also that a copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor for his information.

Read the following letter.

No. 28.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(10)

B. No. 2532.

Sir,

From the Collector of Masulipatam — I much regret that the

No. 1 Estimates of additional Establishment for Fusly 1262.
No. 2 Statement of Rs. for Fusly 1261.

accompanying Statement* were not forwarded at an earlier date but I kept them back in the hope that I might be able to submit

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

submit a new Moyen Jalitah for the whole District which might render any application for additional Establishments unnecessary.

The state of business and the aspect of affairs in regard to some portions of this District appear to me to render it desirable that some other questions should be definitely settled before I could propose a Moyen Jalitah which I could regard with satisfaction and consider calculated to be permanent.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 12th October 1852. — Signed T. D. Lushington Collector

* Statement.

1173

South Shore Surrey 21st October 1872.

Abstract shewing the Settlement on account of Valuation Statistical Department relating the Assessment of Valuation Statistical Department for the Assessment of Valuation Statistical Department for the Assessment of Valuation Statistical Department for

11811

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

13	Central Lands & Cocoanut Plantn	463	20	1	"	720		
	Central Lands Salsette		Years					
	Central Lands Salsette		Months					
14	Colinguilly Cocoanut Plantn	35	Do	315	"	3	"	315
	in Ryl Factor Salsette							
	Ryl Factor Salsette	20	Do	360	"	6	"	360
15	Godowns at Salsette	6	Do	216	"	1	"	216
16	Begumah Do	10	Do	360	"	1	"	360
17	Ellore Do	6	Do	216	"	1	"	216
18	Pattamah Do	8	Do	72	"	3	"	72
19	Deeny Do	10	Do	90	"	3	"	90
				Total				1059 5

Examined with the Accounts
Examined by the Board
Examined
Examined out of the
Examined and closed by
Amount Credited to
the Commissioner

Madras Collectors Cutcherry 12th October 1852 -
Ordered that the following Statement
Order thereon -

To be rendered to the Board of Revenue with the Collectors Letter according to the Circular Order dated 21st Ultimo

To be rendered to the Board of Revenue and to the Collectors Letter according to Circular Order dated 21st Ultimo

To be rendered to the Board of Revenue with

21st October 1852.

11th July 1852 Fasly 1261

			Rs	a	p
52					
July 11th	By Servants Wages				
	To the end of last Quarter		6901	6	6
	In this Quarter		3345	13	3
					10247 3 9
	By Saudermandinc luding Extra charges.				
	To the end of last Quarter		1112	"	4
	In this Quarter		2392	7	9
					3504 8 1
	By Roosoons				
	To the end of last Quarter		79	12	8
	In this Quarter		27	10	3
					107 7 1
	By Salt Manufactures Share				
	In this Quarter				28673 2 9
	By advance for Salt Manufacture				
	To the end of last Quarter				2625 " "
	Total				45157 5 2
	Net Revenue				29314 5 8
	Total Company's Rupees				336471 0 10

E. E. Signed A. Purvis
Excepted - Ordered to be recorded
Agt Collector.
Read

(12-13)

L. G. B.

11877

13 Pentafs and Cos

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

85 Ctr 88 Ctr 89 Ctr 90 Ctr 91 Ctr 92 Ctr

11880

Fort St. George 21th October 1852.-

Read the following letter-

No. 192-

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George-

(14)

B. No. 2472.

From the Collector of Chinglepat

Sir,

Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 20th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith for publication in your Office an advertisement for the sale of certain lands for arrears of Revenue proposed to be held on the 12th Proximo. —

Chinglepat District, - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry, - - - -
Pullecarney, 9th October, 1852.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Notice

11881

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Advertisement.

A Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue outstanding against the undermentioned Ryots in the Zillah of Chingleput shall have been liquidated on or before Monday the 29th November 1852 the sale of their lands under attachment as hereunder particularized will commence by Auction in the respective Villages specified on that day at 12 O'Clock and will continue until they shall be sold.—

Chittoovanjary	C. Vurdarajah	1260	12	10	Do	"	1 3/4	1	14	8	6	"	"
Jyapaurum and Coluttoovanjary	M. Paroomaul Raz	1260	2	13	9	Punjey	"	2 7/8	"	6	1	14	"
		1260	"	15	1	Nunjey	"	6 3/4	2	3	10		"
Jyapaurum and Coluttoovanjary	Vasoodava Raz	1260	"	1	3	Do	"	8 5/8	3	1	"	3	8 "
		1260	3	2	3								
Jyapaurum & Coluttoovanjary	P. Lutchoomummah	1260	2	2	3	Do	"	4 3/8	1	12	10	5	4 "
		1260	2	10	11	Do	"	5 7/8	2	9	2		
Jyapaurum	Sepoy Venkata Raz	1260	4	9	"	Punjey	"	13 3/8	4	1	7	5	" "
Jyapaurum and Coluttoovanjary	Ramanada Raz	1260	15	12	6	Punjey	"	9 1/4	1	7	2	15	" "
						Nunjey	"	13 3/8	3	15	10		
						Totacab	"	2	2	3	"		
		1260	9	10	2	Nunjey	"	14 1/4	4	15	7	12	" "
Alinjavaurum	Coopsicer	1260	42	5	6	Nunjey	12	2 1/8	57	7	8	50	" "

Alinjavaurum

11881 Fort St. George 21th October 1852.-

Advertisement.-

A Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue outstanding against the undermentioned Ryots in the Zillah of Chingleput shall have been liquidated on or before Monday the 29th November 1852 the sale of their lands under attachment as hereunder particularized will commence by Auction in the respective Pt. &c. Cutcheries & shall be decided on that day at 12 Oclock and will continue until they shall be sold.—

Chr. Coluttoovanjary	C. Vurdarajah—	1260	12	10	Dº	"	1 3/4	1	14	8	6	"	"
Iyapakum and Coluttoovanjary	M. Paroomaul Raz	1260	2	13	9	Punjey	"	2 7/8	"	6	1		
		1260	"	15	1	Nunjey	"	6 3/4	2	3	10	4	" "
Iyapaul Eum and Coluttoovanjary	Vasoodava Raz—	1260	"	1	3								
		1260	3	2	3	Dº	"	8 5/8	3	1	"	3	8 "
Iyapaul Eum & Coluttoovanjary	P. Lutchoomummah—	1260	2	2	3	Dº	"	4 3/8	1	12	10		
		1260	2	10	11	Dº	"	5 7/8	2	9	2	5	4 "
Iyapaul Eum	Sepoy Vencata Raz—	1260	4	9	"	Punjey	"	13 3/8	4	1	7	5	" "
Iyapaul Eum and Coluttoovanjary	Ramanadda Raz—	1260	15	12	6	Punjey	"	9/4	1	7	2		
						Nunjey	"	13 1/8	3	15	10	15	" "
						Totacab	"	2	2	3	"		
		1260	9	10	2	Nunjey	"	14 1/4	4	15	7	12	" "
Alinjavaul Eum	Coopsier—	1260	42	5	6	Nunjey	12	2 1/8	57	7	8	20	" "

Manumgalum

11882 Fort St. George 21st October 1852.-

Perovaloor	C. M. Teed Esq.re	1259	19	8	5	Punjey	2	1	3	9	9
		1260	19	8	5						
		1261	19	8	5						
			59	4	15						
Sembegyem		1259	40	9	6	Nunjey	4	8¾	15	14	7
		1260	40	9	6						
		1261	40	9	6						
			120	14	4						
			180	15	9						
Tirruttoor	C. Mooddoostristna Moodely	1260	3	8	"	Punjey	2	"	3	8	"
		1261	3	8	"						
			7	"	"						
Madumpankum	Moottoogooroolu	1258	7	2	5	Nunjey	2	1¾	15	"	7
		1259	2	15	3						
		1260	10	7	4						
			20	9	"						
Nolumboor	C. Mootea Moodely	1260	5	7	3	Do	1	14/8	8	14	7
		1261	4	7	1						
			9	14	4						
Do	Shunmooga Moodely	1260	16	9	10	Do	2	1/2	14	9	8
Madaveram	Mootteal Naickan	1260	17	12	8	Punjey	3	6/4	35	9	4
		1261	17	12	8						
			35	9	4						
Chenna Chem: brumpankum	Augaruppa Chetty	1260	22	10	6	Do	4	8	45	5	"
		1261	22	10	6						
			45	5	"						
Iyanaveram	G. Gnasaprasad	1260	8	13	1	Do	1	8	17	10	8
		1261	8	13	1						
			17	10	2						
Do	Vencataramanooja Naick	1260	14	11	2	Do	2	"	14	11	2
Coloor	Coffer Sary	1260	16	4	"	Do	14	13/8	16	44	17
Codumpankum	Coorasooloo Chetty	1260	36	5	11						
		1261	36	5	11						
			72	11	10						

11882 Fort St. George 21st. October 1852.-

Perovaloor	C. M. Teed Esqre.	1259	19	8	5	Punjey	2	1	3	9	9
		1260	19	8	5						
		1261	19	8	5						
Sembegem		1259	40	9	6	Nunjey	4	8¾	15	14	7
		1260	40	9	6						
		1261	40	9	6						
			180	15	9						
Tiruttoor	C. Mooddoo Kristna	1260	3	8	"	Punjey	2	"	3	8	"
	Moodely	1261	3	8	"						
			7	"	"						
Madumpankum	Moottoogoorookul	1258	7	2	5	Nunjey	2	1¾	15	"	7
		1259	2	15	3						
		1260	10	7	4						
			20	9	"						

Syd. Pagoda

Nolumboor	C. Mootea Moodely	1260	5	7	3	Do	1	14/8	8	14	7
		1261	4	7	1						
			9	14	4						
Do	Shunmooga Moodely	1260	16	9	10	Do	2	1/2	14	9	8
Madaveram	Moottea Naicken	1260	17	12	8	Punjey	3	6/4	35	9	4
		1261	17	12	8						
			35	9	4						
Chenna Chem: brumpankum	Angaruppa Chetty	1260	22	10	6	Do	4	8	45	5	"
		1261	22	10	6						
			45	5	"						
Iyanaveram	G. Gnasaprasad	1260	8	13	1	Do	1	8	17	10	8
		1261	8	13	1						
			17	10	2						
Do	Vencataramanooja Naik	1260	14	11	2	Do	2	"	14	11	2
Coloor	Coffer Sary	1260	16	4	"	Do	14	13/8	16	44	17
Codumpankum	Coorasooloo Chetty	1260	36	5	11						
		1261	36	5	11						
			72	11	10						

11883

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.-

Peddapollium.

Ecandoo	T. Sashadry Iyengar	1259	8 0 7	Nunjey	7	1 5/8	31	1 10	31	1 10
		1260	21 9 10							
			29 14 5							
	T. Iyacunnoo Moodely	1259	11 1 2	Do	1	2 1/8	10	12 10	10	12 10
Allecooly	Moottea Moodely & Cullappa Moodely	1260	28 5 6	Do	5	3 3/4	28	10 6	28	10 6
attainanacharry	Streenevassa Vurda Charry	1260	209 6 3	Do	45	7/8	213	1 "	225	" "
Nattam- Somanemjary & Tainaly	Nyneappa	1260	51 15 6	Punjey	3	7 3/4	12	3 2	61	1 5
	Naicken		4 " 6	Nunjey	6	10 1/8	39	2 1		
			56 " "							

2 Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute Authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all purchases made by such Authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner if the purchases had been made by their principals.

3. But it is required such Authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchase may be made.-

4. The intention of the above conditions being to prevent fraudulent Collusion, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the lands to be resold.-

5. The purchasers of lands will not be put in possession till the sale has been Sanctioned by the Board of Revenue but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately and Security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's Sanction or within one Month from the day of Sale, in default whereof the deposit money will be forfeited and the lands will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.-

6. In Case of the Sale not being Sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit money will be returned and the persons depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the lands.-

7. For further particulars enquire at the Collectors and Talook Cutcherries between 10 and 5 O Clock, Sundays excepted.

The

11884 Fort St. George 21th October 1852.

Bd. No. 2087.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue direct

(1415)

that the advertisement for the sale of certain lands for arrears of Revenue, which accompanies the foregoing letter, be published in their Office, the date of Sale being altered from the 12th to Monday the 29th November 1852. Mr. Cochrane is requested to make a corresponding correction in the Advertisement published in his District.-

DG

Read

11885 Fort St. George 21st October 1852

Read the following letters.

No. 65.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(16)

Sir,

B. No. 2320

From the Collr. of North Arcot

Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 5th August 1852 requesting me to explain; 1st how the proceeds of the Enam Village of Canacamah previous to Fusly 1258, were disbursed to amtool Fatmah; 2dly who was the last paying Officer, and whether he satisfied himself of the existence and identity of this female at the date of last payment, and 3dly when Cawder Paudshah alias Syed abdool Hader, the son died, and how old he was at the time of his decease.

Affords the information required by the Board in Extract Proceedings dated 5th August 1852, respecting the Debut Enamdar of Canacamah.

2 In reply to the 1st question, I beg to state that the net proceeds of the Village for Fusly 1257 was Rupees 647-4-8- and that this sum was paid to Ghoolam Hamed Yeomiahdar No. 502, Vakeel to the Enamdar, on his producing a Vakalutnamah bearing the Seal of the Cauzy of the Sudr Udalut.-

To

3 In reply to the 2d question, that the last paying Officer was Mr Bowdillon, and that he made the last payment on the faith of a Sayetwannah given by the Cauzy of the Sudr Udalut, and bearing his seal. The Collector of Madras was requested to ascertain the Pensioners existence, because, as explained in Para 3 of my letter of the 29th June last, her allowance had not been paid from Fusly 1258 in consequence of her non appearance a Copy of the Collectors letter in reply is not submitted, my letter on this subject to the Collector of Madras was written previous to the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 10th may last, in which it was remarked, in the case of the Deliant Cumdar of Chedwall, that it was not necessary for me to obtain a descriptive roll of the Pensioner from the Collector of Madras; that it "would have been sufficient to have ascertained that there was no objection to the transfer and that the proper course was to send a Copy of the descriptive roll in my Office. No descriptive

descriptive roll was sent in that case, nor has any been sent now, because it was not the practice in this Office to take descriptive rolls of Deliant Cumdars previous to 1849.

4 In reply to the 3d question that the Deliant Cumdars accounts of Fusly 1238, shew that Syed Caleemollah, or Syed Abdool Cawder, alias Cawder Padsha, died in Fusly 1237 but that the exact date is unKnown. The three different names by which the said individual was Known are entered in the Deliant Cumdars account of Fusly 1232, which was the signature of the then Collector Mr Cooke; and from this account it appears that Cawder Padsha was then 25 years old. He must therefore have been 30 years old when he died in Fusly 1237.

5 I am unable to give any explanation regarding the pension of 210 Rupees which is said to have been paid at the Madras Cutcherry from 1247.

Para 1

North Arcot Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Palmanair 23d September 1852.

[Signed] H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

To

11888 Fort St George 21st October 1852

To H. A. Brett Esqre
Acting Collector of
Sir, North Arcot.

With reference to your letter of the 23d April last, I have the honor to state that I have ascertained the existence of amtool Fatmah and her identity and to request you will be so good as to forward a certificate of the last date on which her allowance was paid to her.

Madras ----
25th June 1852 ---

/Signed/ J. A. Huddleston
Collector of Madras

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

16.17

Bd No 2091

Proceedings thereon. Before submitting the foregoing letter for the orders of Government the Board resolve to furnish the acting Collector with a copy of an entry of the yearnah drawn in 1847 by the Family of Syed Careemoollah extracted from the Statement of yearnahs enclosed in a letter from the Collector of Madras dated 7th April 1847 with the view to his ascertaining from his records under what circumstances

In Cons 29th Apl 1847

11889 Fort St George 21st October 1852

circumstances the yearnah of Rupees 840 — " — " — per annum was disbursed to the Family from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras.

2 The transfer would appear to have taken place on the 4th July 1828 but under what authority does not appear, and it is desirable to know whether independant of the income from the Debut Enam of Canacaniah any other sum equivalent to Rupees 840 per annum was payable to the family for what purpose, and under whose authority - also what was the net income realized in 1828 from the Debant Enam of Canacaniah.

3. The Acting Collector will if he deems it necessary, communicate with the Collector of Madras to whom a copy of these Proceedings will be sent.

Particulars

1890

Fort St. George

21st October 1852

Particulars of the original Purwannahs from the British Government.						Particulars of the renewed Purwannahs				Parties now in existence out of those named in Column 11 for the continuance of whose allowances sanction is now required.			
No.	Date	Name of the Officer by whom the Purwannah was signed.	Grantee.	Concise Abstract of the contents of each Purwannah	Annual Amount	No.	Date	By whom renewed	Under what authority renewed	Names of the parties for whose support the Purwannah was renewed.	Annual Amount	Total	Names	Annual amount payable to each	Total	Remarks.
		J. G. Ravenshaw Esqre Collector of Northern Division of Arcot	Syed Abdul Cawder Son of Syed Carremollah	The allowance is intended for the support of the family of Syed Careemol-lah the Father of Syed Abdul Cawder.	840 " "	12	1828 July 4th	Collector of Madras	Collector of Madras	Ameen Beebee Umtool Fatmah Mother — 210 " " Ameeroonissah Begum Sister 210 " " Fyzoo Beebee 2d Do — 210 " " Paudshaw Beebee 3d Do 210 " " of the said Syed abdool Cawdry — 840 " "			Ameen Beebee alias Umtool — Fatmah — 210 " " Ameerunissah Begum — 210 " " Fyzoo Beebee — 210 " "		630 " "	Passed by Mr. Robertson subsequent to the 19th June 1834.
12	1807 October 22d.															

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

No. 64.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

18

B2 No. 2324
From the Acting Collr of North Arcot

Sir.

I have the honor to report to the Board that Macca Sababady Moodelly the Permanent Czaradar of the Villages of Thareway, Cundegay, Cumnum Cottah, and Caradee-pootoor in the Sutwaid Talook is reported to have died on the 15th July 1852, leaving a mother and wife, as his nearest heirs, and that the Talookdar has been informed that the person entitled to succeed should be permitted to do so

North Arcot ..... / Signed / H. A. Brett
Collector's Circuit .....
Cutcherry, Palma .....
main, 23d September 1852 .....

Acting Collr

B2 No. 2085

Proceedings thereon.

18.19

The Acting Collector will register the Rent in the name of the heir of the deceased Renter.

J. S.

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

No. 69.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

20

B2 No. 2437
From the Collector of North Arcot .....

Reporting on Petition No. 1710 of 1852 from certain inhabitants in the Calastry Zemindary.

No. 1710 of 1852.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the enclosed Petition from the inhabitants of Degoovaveedy and other Villages in the Calastry Zemindary, I have the honor to report that the Petitioners complained to me that the Zemindar was in the habit of collecting unauthorized fees from persons holding land in their Villages, in addition to the Zemindar's fair share in the produce.

2. In their Petition to the Board, the Petitioners object to my order, referring them for redress to the Civil Courts, and remarks that the Collector is competent, under the provisions of Regulation V of 1822 to grant redress himself.

3. I referred the Petitioners to the Civil Courts, because they have no Puttahs to prove on what terms they engaged to cultivate their lands, and it seems from the terms employed in Section VII Regulation XXX of 1802, that Puttahs and Moochilkahs must have previously exchanged before the penalties provided by that Section for exertions can be enforced.

I.

4. I decline to interfere, to enforce the execution of Puttahs, on the ground that the cognizance of claims for Puttahs, which by Section VIII Regulation XXX of 1802 is vested in the Courts, has not been transferred by Regulation V of 1822 from the Courts to the Collector. If the Petitioners had been able to produce any Puttahs, I should have thought myself at liberty to entertain their complaint, as it is "plainly intimated" by Section VII Regulation V of 1822, that the cognizance of claims for penalties under Section VII Regulation XXX of 1802 has been transferred to the Collector. But the only part of Regulation V of 1822 that would apply to section VIII of Regulation XXX is Clause I Section II, and this clause, as pointed out by the Court of Sudr Udalut is so erroneously worded, that it has failed to reach that description of Suits (regular Suits) for which alone Regulation XXX of 1802 provides.

5. After I had referred the Petitioners to the Civil Court, I wrote to the Zemindar to inform him that such a complaint had been made, and referred to Mr. Stratton's report, in which it was proposed to prohibit the levy of certain fees therein described, from the date of the permanent assessment in the Western zemindaries. The Zemindar has stated in reply, that no such restrictions were

x Para 9 of letter in Note to Regulation V of 1822. Campbell's Edition.

x Para 4 to 7 of letter in note.

were imposed on him by the terms of his Sunnud, that he has not been making any unusual demands on the complaints and that they were instigated to make the complaint by some persons whom he recently removed from his service, in the hope that by such means they might compel him to reinstate them.

North Arcot, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Palmanair, 6th October 1852.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Asst Collector.

Bd. No. 2086
Proceedings thereon.

2021

The Board of Revenue cannot concur in the interpretation placed by the Acting Collector upon Regulation V of 1822. If such reasoning were admitted an Enactment of great utility, and the provisions of which are constantly enforced by the Revenue authorities would become a dead letter.

2. In whatever degree the framers of it may be supposed to have erred in designating as "Summary" the Suits brought under Regulation XXX of 1802, their intent is clear, and the Regulation not having been rescinded, all cases cognizable by the Zillah Courts under Regulation XXX 1802, come under the primary cognizance of Collectors by Summary process under Act I Section II Regulation V of 1822.

P 4.

3. Indeed the Section (VIII) under which the Collector was prepared to act upon certain conditions having been fulfilled, is equally liable to the objection taken by the Sudr Udalut as any other portion of the Regulation in question.

Read the following Statement.

Statement.

11895

B° N°: 2518.

H

(22) Statement shewing the Balance of Salt on ha… at … re at its close,
with the Financial Results of this Source … of … mount of arrears due to the …

Tashies	Balance on hand at the commencement of the year.		Quantity manufactured during the year including gain by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt &c.		Quantity stored annually from the Manufacture of the Sevices and Current years including gain by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt &c.		Total in Store as per Columns 2 and 4		Net Revenue	Remarks	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears	Orders of Government
1	2		3		4		5		17	18	5	6	7	10
	B M	S C	B M	S C	B M	S C	Bill	S C					Rs A P	
1256	111,081	18 "	2,75,172	27 12	2,75,172	27 12	3,80,254	5 11	3,990 a/c			From 12th July 1844 to 11th Dº 1855	77 10 "	
1257	93,039	12 "	2,47,843	35 12	2,47,843	35 12	340,883	7 12	66,998 2			From 12th Dº Dº to 11th July 1846	61 8 2	
1258	96,341	4 "	2,69,294	18 4	2,69,294	18 4	3,65,635	22 4	3,906 " 11		For Subsistence	From 12th Dº to 11th July 1847	83 14 7	
1259	139,774	8 "	4,18,250	4 12	4,18,250	4 12	5,58,024	12 10	3,615 9 11				222 14 9	
1260	2,86,315	20 "	2,19,076	6 8	2,19,076	6 8	5,05,391	20 8	6,832 8 11			From 12th Dº to 11th July 1852 at 80 " 6 per annum for 5 years	400 2 6	
1261	157,311	12 "	204,383	39 4	204,383	39 4	361,695	11 4	7,044 1 4					
									34954 3 6			Total	823 1 3	

ly.
tor.
over
Statement.

Statement

11895

B°. N°. 2518

H

(22) Statement shewing the Balance of Salt on h... at ... re at its close, with the Financial Results of this Season ... of ... mount of arrears due to the ...

Tuslies	Balance on hand at the commencement of the year.		Quantity manufactured during the year including gain by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt &c.		Quantity stood against No. from the Manufacture of the Previous and Current years including gain by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt &c.		Total as above as per Column 3 and 4		Net Revenue	Remarks	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears	Orders of Government
1	2		3		4		5		17	18		6	7	10
	B M	S C	B M	S C	B M	S C	Bill						Rs 8 0	
1256	111.081	18 -	2,75,172	27 12	2,75,172	27 12	3,80,254	51	3,90 a°			From 12th July 1844 to 11th Dº 1853	77 10 -	
1257	93039	12 -	2,47,843	35 12	2,47,843	35 12	3,40,883	7	6,99 8 2			From 12th Dº Dº to 11th July 1840	61 8 2	
1258	96,341	4 -	2,69,294	18 4	2,69,294	18 4	3,65,635	224	3906 " 11		For Subsistence	From 12th Dº to 11th July 1847	83 14 7	
1259	139,774	8 -	4,18,250	4 12	4,18,250	4 12	5,58,024	12	3,615 9 11				222 14 9	
1260	2,86,315	20 -	2,19,076	6 8	2,19,076	6 8	3,05,391	268	6,832 8 11			From 12th Dº to 11th July 1852 at 80 " 6 per annum for 5 years	400 2 6	
1261	157,311	12 -	204,383	39 4	204,383	39 4	361,695	11 4	7,044 1 4					
									94954 3 6			Total —	623 1 3	

ly.
tor.
over
Statement.

Statement

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

Bd No. 2081
Remarks thereon.

2425

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector, the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the disbursement of the Arrears due to Kyroon Sahibah from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1852 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1623 - 1 - 3 Six hundred and Twenty three and one and pice three and for the continuance to her of the annual allowance of Rupees 80 - - 6.

2. The allowance due for the period previous to the 12th July 1847 is calculated at the rates varying in each year but subsequent to that date the arrears are taken on the Standard fixed on the 10th October 1848.

Read.

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

Read the following Report.

No. 62

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

26

Bd No. 2817.

From the Collector of South Arcot -

Reporting upon the settlement of the Esctra Sources of Revenue in the District of South Arcot for Fusly 1261 1851/52

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to report on the settlement of the Esctra Revenue in this District for the past Fusly 1261 and to forward the usual detailed accounts of the different branches of which it is Composed.

2 The Result of the year is exhibited in the annexed abstract statement which shows an increase of Rupees 792 - 13 - 2 including esctra items over the preceding year -

Items

11897

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Statement of Salt Revenue during the month of September 1852.

		Revenue in 1261.	Decrease in 1261.	Increase in 1261.
		Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps
1	Salt Revenue	2,70,140 13 11	27,862 9 11	
2	Frontier Customs	5,765 10	1,438 4 6	
3	Sea Customs	29,002 13 9	3,069 15 10	
4	Abkaree	78,000 " "	8,300 " "	
5	Sayer Inland Taxes	11,700 5 "	11,286 5 11	
6	Motes Abs	1,1999 8 3	4,442 8 3	
7	Sayer Revenues	19,804 " "	6,202 15 "	
	Total	5,07,604 2 6	50,535 7 6	1,221 14 6
8	Extra Items	2,703 1 4	2,438 16 2	266 2 2
	Grand Total	5,07,707 3 10	50,974 6 8	1,487 16 8

11899

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Statement showing the Decrease in 1261. in 1260.

Items

		Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps
1	Salt Revenue	2,70,140 13 11	27,862 9 11	
2	Frontier Customs	5,765 10	1,438 4 6	
3	Sea Customs	29,002 13 9	3,069 15 10	
4	Abkaree	78,000 " "	8,300 " "	
5	Sayer Inland Taxes	11,700 5 "	11,286 5 11	
6	Motes Abs	1,1999 8 3	4,442 8 3	
7	Sayer Revenues	19,804 " "	6,202 15 "	
	Total	5,07,604 2 6	50,535 7 6	1,221 14 6
8	Extra Items	2,703 1 4	2,438 16 2	266 2 2
	Grand Total	5,07,707 3 10	50,974 6 8	1,487 16 8

11900

Salt

Statement No 1

1260 - 7,7,862-9-11

1261 - 2,79,140-13-11

7,721-12-11

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

3 Under the head of Salt there is a decrease of Rupees 7,721-12-11, but although the Revenue of the past year furnishes a high standard of Comparison being the largest in record this falling off appears ascribable only to the failure of the stocks on hand as the Revenue shewed an increase of Rupees 23,628-11-2 on the 25th January last when the inland sales were stopped, from all the salt being exhausted. This deficiency arose from the loss and the interruption to the Manufacture caused by the heavy Storm in May 1851 which I Reported in my letter of the 18th October 1851 and I Regret to observe that my endeavours to procure a new supply within the fusly by an early manufacture in the present season were frustrated by a Continued and most unusual fall of rain in March last.

4 In the fusly under report the total sales amounted in Round Numbers to Garces 2,485 which gives a decrease of Garces 131 or Rupees 7,721-12-11 in Comparison with the preceding year. The following abstract exhibits a detail of the sales under the different heads.

Item

11900

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Statement No 1

Settlement Statement Increase Decrease
in 1260. in 1261.

		Rs	as	ps	Rs	as	ps
1	Salt Revenue	270,140	13	11	262,418	13	9
2	Farmers Customs	5,516	9	1	4,394	8	7
3	Sea Customs	29,002	13	9	34,069	15	10
4	Abkarry	7,000	"	"	8,300	"	"
5	Sayer Inland Farms	11,400	5	"	11,286	5	11
6	Miscellaneous	4,599	8	3	4,442	8	3
7	Marine Revenue	18,804	"	6	20,025	15	"
	Total	507,064	2	6	520,535	7	6
8	Extra Items	2,703	1	4	2,438	15	2
	Grand Total	509,767	3	10	508,974	6	8

Increase				Decrease			
				Rs	as	ps	
6,114	8	39	7	14,310	12	7	
				528	11	"	
2	26½	2	"				
				Net increase			
8	15,103	9	9	14,310	12	7	
				792	13	2	

Madras.

11899

Statement

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

11900

Salt

Statement No 1

1260 - 277,862-9-11

1261 - 270,140-13-11

7,721-12-11

3 Under the head of Salt there is a decrease of Rupees 7,721-12-11, but although the Revenue of the past year furnishes a high standard of Comparison being the largest in record this falling off appears ascribable only to the failure of the stocks on hand as the Revenue shewed an increase of Rupees 23,628-11-2 on the 25th January last when the inland sales were stopped, from all the Salt being exhausted. This deficiency arose from the loss and the interruption to the Manufacture caused by the heavy Storm in May 1851 which I Reported in my letter of the 18th October 1851 and I Regret to observe that my endeavours to procure a new supply within the fresh by an early manufacture in the present season were frustrated by a Continued and most unusual fall of Rain in March last.

4 In the Salt under report the total sales amounted in Round Numbers to Garces 2,485 which gives a decrease of Garces 131 or Rupees 7,721-12-11 in Comparison with the preceding year. The following abstract exhibits a detail of the sales under the different heads.

Item

11899

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Items	Settlement Sicca Rupees in 1261.	Decrease in 1261.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1 Salt Revenue	27,0,140 13 11	7,721 12 "
2 Frontier Customs	5,7,516 9 1	43,848 " 7
3 Sea Customs	29,002 13 9	31,069 15 10
4 Abkaree	78,000 " "	8,300 " "
5 Sundry Inland Items	11,700 5 "	11,286 5 11
6 Moturpha	4,1399 8 3	4,142 8 3
7 Marine Revenue	19,804 " "	6,025 15 "
Total	50,7,064 2 6	50,6,535 7 6
8 Extra Items	2,703 1 4	2,438 16 2
Grand Total	50,9,767 3 10	50,8,974 6 8

Under

11900

Salt

Statement No 1

1260 - 27,7,862-9-11

1261 - 27,0,140-13-11

7,721-12-11

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

3 Under the head of Salt there is a decrease of Rupees 7,721-12-11, but although the Revenue of the past year furnishes a high standard of Comparison being the largest in Record this falling off appears ascribable only to the failure of the Stocks on hand as the Revenue shewed an increase of Rupees 23,628-11-2 on the 25th January last when the inland sales were stopped, from all the Salt being exhausted. This deficiency arose from the loss and the interruption to the Manufacture caused by the heavy Storm in May 1851 which I Reported in my letter of the 18th October 1851 and I Regret to observe that my endeavours to procure a new supply within the fusly by an early manufacture in the present season were frustrated by a Continued and most unusual fall of rain in March last.

4. In the fusly under report the total sales amounted in Round Numbers to Garces 2,485 which gives a decrease of Garces 131 or Rupees 7,721-12-11 in Comparison with the preceding year. The following abstract exhibits a detail of the sales under the different heads.

Items

Abstr. of Items. Fasly 1261. Sunnavee Deccanee.

	Garees	Rs	Rupees	As	Ps	Rupees	As	Ps
1 Exported by sea —	132	"	5,554	"	"	"	"	"
South to Ceylon	243	33½	3,353	14	9			
2 Consumption —								
Sales for in land	265	1/2	3,736	5	11	382	2	"
3 Consumption	1453	2/3	1,41,412	12	11	"	"	15857
	766	19⅔	61979	11	1	1329	3	3
4 Home Sales —	655	2/3	24,682	10	11	"	"	"
Total	2485	100½	2,40,113	13	11	"	"	17721

Fasly 1260.

	Garees	Rs	Rupees	As	Ps	Rupees	As	Ps
1 Exported by sea —	132	"	5,554	"	"	"	"	"
South to Ceylon	243	33½	3,353	14	9			
2 Consumption —								
Sales for in land	265	1/2	3,736	5	11	382	2	"
3 Consumption	1585	2/3	1,49,282	3	4	"	"	15857
	766	19⅔	61979	11	1	1329	3	3
4 Home Sales —	655	2/3	24,682	10	11	"	"	"
Total	2485	100½	2,40,113	13	11	"	"	17721

Fo

The exports by sea are always uncertain and fluctuating as they consist solely of parcels of salt shipped to the East-ern coast by the Native Merchants when their Vessels are proceeding there with a short Cargo. It was a Convenience that in this year no applications were made for salt by these Merchants as all the Stock on hand was needed for the home and inland sales. The quantity of salt delivered to the Pondicherry Government differs so little from the preceding year as to require no Remark.

	Rs	As	Ps
1260 —	1,40,282	3	4
1261 —	1,24,424	12	11
	15,857	6	5

5 The 3rd head or the sales for inland Consumption shows a decrease of Rupees 15,857-6-5 which is entirely ascribable to the exhaustion of the salt in store. I have already observed that the sales were temporarily stopped at the close of January, or five months before the end of the fasly and the rapid manner in which the salt was purchased up at the depots of this District arose, I am informed from a similar failure of manufacture in

	July 1260.	July 1261.	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees
Salem	84,349	58,411	"	25,937
Coimbatore	29,812	13,692	"	16,121
Trichinopoly	27,380	24,008	"	3,372
Mysore	1,777 2	69,838	22,066	"
Chittoor	752	7,291	6,540	"
Chingleput	216	1184	968	"
Tanjore	1	"	"	1
Total	1,90,282	1,71,424	29,574	1,51,31
		Nett Decrease		15,857

in Chingleput and Madras. The annexed Statement exhibits the inland Districts for which the salt was purchased and it appears to me probable that the increased export to Mysore is nominal and arises from the traders taking out their Rowries in its name to obtain a longer allowance of time for the Conveyance of their salt. If this supposition is well founded it would explain the decreased exports to Salem and Coimbatore, though it may be found that many of the traders from those Districts went to the Tanjore farms on hearing that the stock of salt was running short in this District. The increased export to Chittoor or North Arcot is attributable to the want of salt in Chingleput and Madras

1261 - 91979 - 11 - 1
1260 - 70682 - 7 - 10
Increase 13297 - 3 - 3

b. The home sales show an increase of Rupees 13,297 - 3 - 3 so that there was no deficiency of salt to supply the wants of the

the District. This subject occupied much of my attention when the activity of the demand was causing the stocks on hand to disappear rapidly and there appeared Reason to fear that the dealers would endeavour to purchase up the remaining quantity with the view of establishing a Monopoly and retailing the salt at enhanced rates. Speculations for hoarding the salt in this manner were however discouraged by my making enquiries regarding the practicability of obtaining a supply from Tanjore or Nellore by sea, although I refrained finally from adopting that plan in Consequence of some difficulties about tonnage and from considering that it would be advisable, unless under very peculiar Circumstances to leave the supply of the market from those quarters to private enterprize. The only measure therefore which I eventually adopted when the stocks of salt became low was to reserve a sufficient quantity for the Pondicherry Government and to direct that the remainder was to be sold in quantities not exceeding 10 Parahs. By these means a sufficient supply

supply was retained for the Consumption of the District, while the inland traders and other parties wishing to make large purchases acted on the Notification given them and proceeded to the nearest & available Depots until the month of May, when the production of some new salt allowed the sales to be recommenced in the usual manner. Before Concluding my Remarks on the Home Sales I may observe that the people Continue to avail themselves of the Reduction lately made in the minimum quantity allowed to be sold at the pans - The Memorandum in the Margin exhibits a Considerable increase in the number of permits since this Reduction was made -

1758 - 3545
1259 - 5163
1260 - 7598
1261 - 7192

The annexed statement shows the stock of salt now on hand, and I regret to observe that unless the season should be favorable for an early Manufacture in March next there will again be a deficiency towards the close of the current year - In accordance with

	Garces	m	Seers
Stock at Commencement of Fasly 1261	1310	34	1
Quantity produced and brought to account during the fasly	1703	19	7½
	3011	50	7½
Deduct			
Quantity sold - 2427 - 11 - 4 - 7½			
Wastage — 119 - 220 - 4			
	2546	33	7½
Stock at close of Fasly	467	16	"
Add salt since made in 1262 -	1582	4	"
	2049	20	"

with the instructions of Government a dittum was given in January last for the Manufacture of 5957 Garces which would be sufficient for the Consumption of two years and every endeavour was made to obtain this quantity. The Manufacture was extended to some sets of waste Pans which had not been worked for many years past, and a new Channel was dug at Muthanum to facilitate the supply of Sea water; but these Measures were to a great extent frustrated by unfavorable falls of Rain which in March and subsequently in April and August destroyed the salt when it was nearly Ready to be taken from the beds. In Consequence of the lowness of the principal pans they are liable to be flooded after 12 hours Rain and as the Manufacture is then wholly impeded for 30 or 40 days the result of each year is necessarily precarious, every exertion will however be made in the ensuing season to obtain an early and ample supply and the Civil Engineer will be requested to see if any means can be adopted to drain the pans more rapidly after falls of Rain -

Letter to Bd. P. 11. dated 12th. March 1852 -

The

of activity except in the articles of Indigo and Piece Goods, for which there appears to have been a more favorable demand in the European Markets. The import duties show a small decrease of Rupees 533-13-8 and though this has arisen from the abandonment of duty to the amount of Rupees 6[illegible]-9-10 on many petty articles of Consumption the import trade generally appears smaller than might be expected in the neighbourhood of a large free port like Pondicherry. An increase of Rupees 1,39[illegible]-5-10 in the duty levied on imported liquors seems to show greater watchfulness on the part of the Customs Heaver servants, but it must always be difficult to prevent smuggling on so extended and so difficult a frontier.

1260	8259-5-5
1261	7725-7-9
Decrease	533-13-8

12. The Sea Customs show a decline of Rupees 2069-2-1 which is shewn by the accounts now submitted to have occurred in the exports and principally in the articles of Indigo which as already observed was sent in some instances

Sea Customs-
Statement No. 3

1260	31069-15-10
1261	29002-13-9
Decrease	2067-2-1

instances to Pondicherry to meet Vessels there instead of being shipped for Europe at Cuddalore. In the imports there was a small increase in consequence of a large importation of betel nut from the straits, and the low price of grain led to an increased export of paddy and rice to Ceylon but in other respects the trade of the year calls for no observation.

Exports.	
1260	21472-13-3
1261	21862-14-9
Decrease	2609-14-6
Imports.	
1261	7139-15-4
1260	6597-2-7
Increase	542-12-5

13. In Connection with this branch of Revenue I may observe that the state of the two Harbours of Cuddalore and Porto Novo excites much Complaint on the part of the mercantile Community. Native Vessels of small tonnage have more difficulty in entering both these Ports and at Cuddalore especially mercantile operations are seriously impeded as loaded boats even can only Cross the bar and Carry Cargo to the shipping at high water. The state of these harbours was formerly so different that I should hope measures might be found practicable at no unreasonable expence to make them again of Commercial utility

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

utility and importance.

No. My predecessor Sir W. Broughton was of opinion that the low at Cuddalore could not be improved and therefore proposed throwing a bridge across the back water in front of the Custom House with a view to Cargoes being landed and shipped on the open beach as at Madras and Pondicherry. But it seems so feasible to connect the harbours of Cuddalore and Porto Novo by a short Canal and thus concentrate the waters of the Vallaur, Gudelalum and Pennaur Rivers for their joint improvement that the subject appears worthy of investigation before resolving to abandon at Cuddalore the advantages which a harbour gives. I lately brought the question under the consideration of the Marine Board on learning that the Chief Engineer was likely to pass along this part of the coast but have not yet received a reply probably in Consequence of a change in Colonel Cotton's plans. I felt induced to take this step both in consequence of the importance of the subject and the local difficulty which it presents

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

presents as it seems desirable to arrange a plan for the joint improvement of the two harbours and they happen to be in the divisions of two separate Civil Engineers; but perhaps the Board may deem it desirable that Major Lawford and Captain Smythe should meet and take the subject into their consideration.

15. The Statements No. 2 in 3 shows the quantity of Sugar and Rum exported from this District to the United Kingdom in the year under review.

Sugar	Candies
1261	5377
1260	4621
Increase	756

In Sugar there was an increase of 756 Candies but in Rum the exportation fell off to the extent of 13,560 Gallons. Part of the Rum distilled in the District is purchased by the Abkarry Contractor besides which I learn that considerable stock is on hand at the principal factory and that spirits are now much used in clarifying Sugar.

Rum	Gallons
1260	32,059
1261	18,499
Decrease	13,560

The Abkarry which forms the next head of Revenue exhibits a decrease of 3,300 in consequence of lower offers.

Abkarry Statement No. 4.	16.
1260	81,300
1261	78,000
Decrease	3,300

Fort St. George 21st October ~~1852~~.

of lower offers being made for the farm. As the Board sanctioned the acceptance of the highest offer obtained and the abolishing farms shows a considerable increase during recent years, further remark appears uncalled for.-

Small Farms. 17.

Statement No. 5.

1261	11,700-5-4	
1260	11,286-5-11	
Increase	413-15-1	

In the Small farms there is an increase of Rs. 413-15-1 occasioned by competition for the ferry farms which constitute one of the principal items of this branch of Revenue. In accordance with the instructions given by the Board in their letter of the 27th December 1848, the Village tank fisheries will shortly undergo the scrutiny and revision which has been hitherto delayed by my recent acquaintance with the District, and on the subject of the jungle rents will form the subject of a separate report in reply to the Board's Circular of the 1st July last. On this occasion I shall confine

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

myself to noticing the Chank rent which furnishes a petty revenue of Rupees 35, and gives the renter a vexatious power of interference with the coast fishermen who are not allowed to dispose of the Chanks which they obtain in their fishing expeditions to any other party; such power seems sure to be abused and unless any general considerations interfere I should join in my predecessor's recommendation to abolish this small farm, and free the fishermen from restriction and vexatious interference.-

Letter to Board 19th Octo 1843.

Moturpha. 18.

Moturpha Statement

Net Revenue	
1261	41,899-8-3
1260	41,142-8-3
Increase	757-"-"-

The Moturpha revenue shews a Net increase of Rupees 757 which was principally occasioned by the employment of 193 additional looms and 63 new oil presses. The Number of looms at work is always liable to fluctuate but as the Ground nut oil is in demand in the European Market the cultivation of this product appears to be steadily

steadily on the increase and promises to benefit the agricultural community. In this District the Moturpha revenue is composed almost entirely of a tax on looms, and on Bazaars or shops. The remaining items are inconsiderable in amount. –

Loom tax	28,190 - 15 - 7	
Bazar Do	8,829 - 14 - 7	
House Do	3089 - 2 - 3	
Palacut for Poll and Cattle Tax	3,979 - 4 - 9	
Oil presses	1,160 - 8 - 11	
Other taxes	1,544 - 5 - 9	
Total Gross Revenue	46,794 - 3 - 10	

Stamps. 19 The sale of Stamps during the fusly amounted to Rupees 18,804 - ". 6. or Rupees 1,221 - 14 - 6. less than in the preceding year. This decrease is owing to the state of business in the Civil Courts including the Districts Moonsiffs. and may arise from temporary causes unconnected with the recent change in the Stamp Regulations. there was an increase under this head of Revenue in the preceding fusly.

Statement No. 6.	
1260	20,025 - 15 - " 6
1261	18,804 - " - 6
Decrease	1,221 - 14 - 6

The

Extra Items - 20. The extra items of revenue are detailed in the Statement No. 1 in A but do not call for any remark as they are not important in amount and a comparison with the preceding year shows no marked difference. -

1261	2,703 - 1 - 4 -	
1260	2,438 - 15 - 2 -	
Increase	264 - 2 - 2 -	

21. Of the total demand for the year which Amounts as shown in Statement A to Rupees 509,767-3-10. the Sum of Rupees 509,709-2-9- has been collected leaving a small arrear of Rupees 58 - 1 - 1. which is due under the heads of small farms and Moturpha and is in course of recovery. The Statement B shows that the outstanding balance for the preceding fusly 1260 is limited to an arrear of Rupees 13 - 1 - 10 due also under the head of Moturpha, and as a portion of it Amounting to Rupees 5-8-8- seems incapable of realization I request Authority for writing off that sum. -

Collected within fusly	479254 - 9 - 6 -	
Do since	30454 - 9 - 3 -	
	509709 - 2 - 9 -	
Moturpha	- 47 - 7 - 1	
Small farms	- 10 - 10 - "	
	58 - 1 - 1	

22. In forwarding the printed Statement in Triplicate showing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all branches, I beg to offer some remarks on the result which is observable on comparing the charges of the last

Total Charges
1260-64161-7-5-
1261-52581-14-11
Decrease 11579-28-6-

last two years. The total Charge exhibits a Net decrease of Rupees 11,579- and it will be observed that in the Salt Depart=ment there was a diminished expendi=ture, the Amount of Rupees 11,954-10-10 of this sum Rupees 7,000 is nominal as it arose from the Pondicherry Government not drawing all the compensation for=their suppressed pans within the fasly=e The payment to our own Manufacturers exhi=bits a decrease of Rupees 4,385-10-6 in consequence of a smaller quantity of salt having been manufactured and the remaining di=minution of Charge arose from less expen=diture in covering the heaps and in shipping salt purchased by Merchants trading to the Eastern Ports- In the Sea Customs there appears a small increase of charge incon=sequence of some draw backs which was paid in Accordance with the provisions of Act VI of 1844 under the rules publish=ed in page 333 of the Fort St. George G=Gazette for 1851 and which counterbalanced a decrease in the actual expense of the establishment. The small increase of charge in the Frontier Customs may be deemed nominal as it arose from fewer

Salt Charges
1260-48119-4-11
1261-36164-10-1
Decrease 11954-10-10

1261-4407-u-1
1260-4058-8-1
Increase 348-8-u-

#1261-8558-u-u
1260-8390-15-8-
167-u-4-

fewer vacancies occurring in the Establish=ment during the year and the Authorized salaries being in consequence more fully disbursed. The Stamp Revenue shows an increased expenditure of Rupees 159-10- which arises from the refunds made in accordance with Act XVII of 1848 being entered in the Accounts among the Charges. In Consequence of the Stamp sales being below those of the preceding year, the Commission disbursed to the venders showed a Corresponding decrease of Rupees 99-6-u-

1261-3752-4-9.
1260-3592-10-9.
159-10-u-

South Arcot
Coll[r]s Cutcherry
Cuddalore,
11th October 1852

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector.

Statement

11919

No. 1

George

21st October 1852

District of the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore

Revenue in the Fusly 1260 A.D. 1850/51 and 1261 A.D. 1851/52.

	Total Balance Collections of Current Amount Revenue on the 1st July 1851			Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1261 with that of Fusly 1260				Comparison of Total Collections of Fusley 1261 with that of Fusly 1260				Remarks
				Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease		
	10		11	12		13		14		15		16
Salt	3 6 3	13752	15 2	" " "	7721	12 "		" " "		21474	11 2	
Sayer	2 6	" "	"	13668	8 6	" "		15059	10 9	" "		
Sea Customs	9 8	" "	"	" " "	2067	2 1		" " "		1258	12 1	
Abkarry	" 11	12080	" "	" " "	3300	" "		" " "		6823	7 5	
Sundry Assn and Licens	1 10	1317	1 6	413	15 1	" "		639	8 8	" "		
Stamps	4 6	13	12 "	" " "	1221	14 6		" " "		1162	2 6	
Motunphas	12 10	33148	13 8	757	" "	" "		" " "		411	13 11	A. Of this arrear Rupees 30454-9-3 were Collected immediately after the Fusly so that the present balance is limited to a small sum of Rupees 58-1-1 which is under recovery.
	9 6	30512	10 4	14839	7 7	11310	12 7	15699	3 5	31130	15 4	

E. 7th

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement

11920

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

No. 1 in A

No. 1 in No. 1 in the Extra

Statement shewing the Particulars of Extra Items included in the Extra Branches of Revenue in Fusslies 1260 and 1261 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

No.	Items	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Remarks
		C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a	p	
	Extra Revenue.													
1	Sale proceeds of smugged Sayer Articles	476	1	10	294	3	2	"	"	"	181	14	8	
2	Do. of smuggled Sea Custom Articles	7	4	9	40	1	3	32	12	6	"	"	"	
3	Do. of Smuggled Liquors	"	"	"	8	13	"	8	13	"	"	"	"	
4	Moturpha Revenue not included in the Summahbundy	56	5	"	41	2	3	"	"	"	15	2	9	
5	Penalties levied on unstamped deeds	1168	17	"	1238	"	"	69	1	"	"	"	"	
6	Revenue of Sundry small Farms not included in the Summahbundy	"	"	"	8	2	"	8	2	"	"	"	"	
	Total Rupees	1708	7	7	1630	5	8	118	15	6	197	1	5	
	Extraordinary													
7	Recoveries of advance for the Manufacture of Salt	513	2	"	814	15	8	301	13	8	"	"	"	
8	Refund from the Charges for Covering salt heaps	"	12	4	28	7	10	27	11	6	"	"	"	
9	Refund from Do. for Making Murkals	"	"	"	12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	
10	Refund of Sayer Charges	13	"	"	3	6	3	"	"	"	9	9	9	
11	Rent of a Land Custom house	"	"	"	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	
12	Interest recovered from the Abkary Renters	203	8	11	202	3	11	"	"	"	1	5	"	
13	Do. Do. from the holders of small Farms	"	"	4	"	15	4	"	15	"	"	"	"	
14	Refund from Sea Custom Charges	"	"	"	"	10	8	"	10	8	"	"	"	
	Total Rupees	730	7	7	1072	11	8	353	2	10	10	14	9	
	Grand Total Rupees	2438	15	2	2703	1	4	472	2	4	208	"	2	

11th July 1852. E. E. Ft. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement

11921

George

No. 2 Sta

on account

21st October 1852

of former Fuslies in Fusly 1261 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore
A.D. 1851/52

	Balance on account of Fusly 1259	Deduct: collection on account of Fusly 1259	Deduct: Remission	Deduct: Total	Balance
Balance.					
	12	13	14	15	16
	₹ Rs. a ₹	₹ Rs. a ₹	₹ Rs. a ₹	₹ Rs. a ₹	₹ Rs. a ₹
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

11921

George

No. 2 Sta

on account

21st October 1852

of former Fuslies in Fusly 1261 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore
A.D. 1851/52

	Balance on account of Fusly 1259		Deduct collection on account of Fusly 1259		Remission		Total		Balance	
Balance.										
	11		12		13		14		15	16
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p

11922

Fort St. George

21st October 1852

Balance on account of Fusly 1260	Deduct: Collection on account of Fusly 1266	Deduct: Remission	Deduct: Total	Balance	Total Balance	Deduct: Collection	Deduct: Remission	Deduct: Total	Balance	Remarks
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	Rs. a ℘	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
1,391 2 3	1,391 2 3	" " "	1,391 2 3	" " "	1,391 2 3	" " "	" " "	1,391 2 3	" " "	
808 5 9	808 5 9	" " "	808 5 9	" " "	808 5 9	" " "	" " "	808 5 9	" " "	
8,556 8 7	8,556 8 7	" " "	8,556 8 7	" " "	8,556 8 7	" " "	" " "	8,556 8 7	" " "	
1,542 11 1	1,542 11 1	" " "	1,542 11 1	" " "	1,543 13 11	1 2 10		1,543 13 11	" " "	
73 8 "	73 8 "	" " "	73 8 "	" " "	73 8 "	" " "	" " "	73 8 "	" " "	
2,179 15 9	2,166 13 11	" " "	2,166 13 11	13 1 10	2,219 4 7	18 5 9		2,206 2 9	13 1 10	
14,552 3 5	14,539 1 7	" " "	14,539 1 7	13 1 10	14,592 11 1	19 8 7		14,579 9 3	13 1 10	

11th July 1852.

E. E. Jr.

†. As per sanction of Government dated 20th Dec. 1851.

(Signed) E. Matthey Collector

Statement

H923.

St. George

21st October 1852

No. 3 Statement with Treasury A.D.

Number	Names of the Districts from which the Salt	Increase Salt				Decrease Amount				Salt				Amount			
		Md.	Sacks	M	M	Md.	Sacks	M	M	Md.	Sacks	M	M	Md.	Sacks	M	M
1	Murkanus	8	72	354	2/2	871.6	11	60	13,664	6	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	Teagavelly	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132	55	"	15,856	20	"	25,856	7 9
3	Killay	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22	45	"	2653	20	"	2653	8 2
4	Cuddalore	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	149	2	6044	31	"	4876	2 8
		11	72	354	2/2	8,746	11	60	13,664	6	7	204	249	2	24,554	31	"	23,386	2 7
	Partic																
	Inland, Co	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132	58	"	15,857	16	"	15,857	6 5
	Home	1	110	324	1/2	13,297	8	60	13,297	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Exported	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132	"	"	15,840	"	"	55,44	" "
	D: to the Fren	11	21	239	"	2,591	28	"	382	7	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		11	132	163	1/2	15,888	36	60	13,679	10	5	264	58	"	31,697	16	"	21,401	6 5

1824

Sworn before me at Leonard Street 21st October 1832.

No. 4 Statement showing the Amount of the Assessed Taxes in the Division of Clerkenwell.

Assessed 1831/32 ... Commissioners with Allowance of 12½ in the £ on Duplicates 1831/32 ... Deficiency

14	Assessments			537	11	4	175	15	4	46	11	4	
15	Inhabitants			164	9	2	211	9	10	47	11	8	
16	Commissioners			83	2	4	70	3	6				12 14 10
17	Clerks			29	3	2	30	3	7	21		5	
18	Bonds &c.			98	11	3	111	9		42	13	9	
19	Papers			3		5	28	9	3	25	8	10	
20	Hounds Dogs			79	10	1	73	12	10				5 13 3
21	Waiters			22	10	6	23	7	11		13	5	
	Total			3399	6	10	4916	9	8	1712	13	9	1058 11 8
	Duty levied on particulars detailed			13	14	7	19	2	10	3	14	3	
	Duty levied by the Commissioners upon the Exhibitors of Hair Powder and Bonds delivered			90	3		199	1		108	14		
	Total			3505	8	5	4967	12	9	1712	7		1058 11 8
	Duty levied on certain Articles exempted from Duty			2083	2	9	103	14	7				1979 14 2
	Amount												
				3586	11	2	4971	1	4	1712	7		3034 9 10

9	Clerks			1301	13		1081	9	10				219 10 2
10	Windows	Commrs		339	14	7	337	11	2				1 9 5
11	Carpets			9257	14	1	9133	8	5	475	10	4	
12	Clocks			332		4	678	14	8	46	14	4	
13	Plate	Old	Goods										
14	Assessments			537		4	175	15	4	46	14	4	

1102 - 6 - 2

11925

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Articles Imported into the South Arcot from Pondicherry.

		CRS	A	P	CRS	A	P	CRS	A	P	CRS	A	P
1	Piece Goods Vda	1,008	6	1	782	2	7	"	"	"	226	3	6
2	Liquor Vda	2,440	"	4	3834	6	2	1394	5	10	"	"	"
3	Benjamin	245	10	4	173	6	5	"	"	"	72	3	11
4	Nutmeg	229	"	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	226	"	10
5	Cloves	170	10	10	142	5	"	"	"	"	28	5	10
6	Betle	310	4	2	295	11	5	"	"	"	14	8	9
7	Copper	270	14	2	357	13	8	87	1	6	"	"	"
8	Thread Vda	362	"	3	389	3	"	27	2	9	"	"	"
9	Timber	319	9	11	192	2	"	"	"	"	127	7	11
10	Nut Vda	604	11	11	277	13	4	"	"	"	326	14	7
11	Mace	97	"	7	1	10	1	"	"	"	95	6	6
12	Camphire	118	3	3	122	7	4	4	4	1	"	"	"
13	Jarah	347	14	9	287	14	3	"	"	"	60	"	6
"	Betjam	17	10	1	15	15	10	"	"	"	1	10	3
15	Cocoanuts	54	12	6	112	1	"	57	4	6	"	"	"
16	Allum	23	12	11	69	9	3	45	12	4	"	"	"
17	Ornaments Vlea	97	2	3	1	11	10	"	"	"	95	6	5
18	Ornaments Vda	"	"	"	23	12	4	23	12	4	"	"	"
19	Dry Cocoanuts	"	4	11	"	6	4	"	1	5	"	"	"
20	Iron	175	7	8	139	2	3	"	"	"	36	5	5
21	Stationery	225	7	3	160	6	5	"	"	"	65	"	10
22	Sulphur	11	3	4	161	14	5	150	11	1	"	"	"
23	Vase Country	3	7	6	6	9	2	3	1	8	"	"	"
24	Cutchoo	40	11	4	9	"	10	"	"	"	31	10	6
25	Lead	117	9	7	143	13	2	26	3	7	"	"	"
26	Salt	2	5	"	"	9	10	"	"	"	1	11	2
	Total	7,294	5	9	7,703	1	11	1819	13	1	1409	"	11
	Duty levied on certain articles prior to their exemption from duty	964	15	8	20	5	10	"	"	"	944	9	10
	Total Imports	8259	5	5	7725	7	9	1819	13	1	2353	10	9
	Total Export and Import	43848	"	7	37516	9	1	19056	13	1	8388	4	7

Nett Decrease
533-13-8.
13668-8-6.

11th July 1852. — E. E. Signed E. Maltby.
Collector.

Statement.

11926

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

No. 3.

No. 5. Statement shewing the Settlement of the Sea Customs of Fusly 1261 A.D. 1851/52 compared with that of Fusly 1260 A.D. 1850/51 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.

		Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
		CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	
Cuddalore	Export	20,216 9 2	14,067 14 4	" " "	6,148 10 10	
	Import	3,161 15 9	2,701 " "	" " "	460 15 9	
		23,378 8 11	16,768 14 4	" " "	6,609 10 7	
Porto Novo	Export	4,256 4 1	7,795 " 5	3538 12 4	" " "	
	Import	3435 2 10	4,438 13 "	1003 12 2	" " "	
		7,691 6 11	12,233 13 5	4,542 8 6	" " "	
Markanam	Export	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Import	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Total	Export	24,472 13 3	21,862 14 9	3,538 12 4	6,148 10 10	
	Import	6,597 2 7	7,139 15 "	1003 12 2	460 15 9	
		31,069 15 10	29,002 13 9	4,542 8 6	6,609 10 7	
				Deduct Increase	4,542 8 6	
				Net Decrease	2067 2 1	

11th July 1852. E. E. F. (Signed) E. Matthew.
Collector.

Statement B.

11927.

Fort St. George 21st October 1857

No. 1 in 3.

No. 1 in No. 3. Statement shewing the principal articles on which the Export and Import Sea Customs were collected in Fusly 1261 in Comparison with that of Fusly 1260 / A.D. 1850/51 at the Sea Ports in the District of South Arcot.

Port Cuddalore.

Number	Articles	Customs			Customs			Comparison			
2	Cotton Piece Goods	448	10	3	322	14	9	"	"	"	725 11 10
3	Beetle nut	1446	4	2	1259	3	3	"	"	"	187 " 11
4	Benjamin	207	1	10	11	3	5	"	"	"	195 12 5
5	Copper	292	3	6	42	6	7	"	"	"	249 12 11
6	Tin	"	"	"	76	"	4	76	"	4	" " "
7	Iron	47	15	5	4	6	1	"	"	"	43 9 4
8	Tamarind	"	"	"	59	6	5	59	6	5	" " "
9	Palmyrahs	322	15	8	263	1	4	"	"	"	59 14 4
10	Sundries	346	13	9	316	15	7	121	6	2	157 4 4
	Total	3161	15	9	2701	"	"	532	2	"	1013 1 9
1	Boiled Nut	996	14	3	3551	10	5	2554	12	2	" " " This is from Adion Port.
2	Iron	114	14	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	114 14 7
3	Palmyrahs	401	11	2	284	8	1	"	"	"	117 3 1
4	Benjamin	188	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	188 1 8
5	Pepper	825	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	825 " 3 Of this Rs. 540-11 is in Imports from Padang Rs.
6	Cut nut	453	"	4	293	14	10	"	"	"	156 1 6 284-8-3 is from that of Malacca.
7	Sundries	455	8	7	308	13	8	253	6	3	400 1 2
	Total	3435	2	10	1438	15	"	2808	2	5	1804 6 3
	Total Imports	6597	2	7	7139	15	"	3360	4	5	2817 8 " Net Increase. 542-12-5
	Grand Total	31069	15	10	29002	13	9	7782	1	7	9849 3 8 Net Decrease 3067. 2. 1

Port Novo.

11th July 1857. E. E. J. Signed E. Maltby.

Collector.

Comparative.

11928

Fort St. George

21st October 1852.

No. 2 in No. 3.

No. 2 in No. 3. Comparative Statement Shewing the Quantity of Sugar and Rum Exported to the united Kingdom from the Factories Established at Cuddalore in the Southern Division of Arcot during the Fuslies 1260 and 1261.

No.	Name of the Proprietors	Sugar						Rum					
		Fusly 1260		Fusly 1261		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
		Candies	Mds	C	Mds	C	Mds	C	Mds	Gallons	Gallons	Gallons	Gallons	
1	Messrs. Parry & Co.	4,329	"	4,585	2	256	2	"	"	32,059	18,499	"	13,560	
2	Messrs. Griffiths & Co.	292	6	53/4	55	1	10½	23	9	14 3/4	"	"	"	"	
3	Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.	"	"	"	24	1	21	24	1	21	"	"	"	"	
	Total	4,621	6	53/4	5,377	12	6½	756	6	3/4	"	"	32,059	18,499	"	13,560
	Fusly 1255	5,401	11	12							"	"	"	43763 3/4		
	" 1256	4,982	18	10							"	"	"	39,096 1/4		
	" 1257	4,525	16	10							"	"	"	46272 3/4		
	" 1258	2,607	5	11							"	"	"	46710		
	" 1259	3417	"	10							"	"	"	12664 1/2		

11th July 1852. E. E.

(Signed) E. Maltby.
Collector.

Statement

11929

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

No. 4.

No. 6. Statement shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Beriz of Sharry Revenue for Fuslies 1260 A.D. 1850/51 and 1261 A.D. 1851/52 in South Arcot and Cuddalore

Number	Taloks.	Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1.	Tindevanum	5,625 " "	5,420 " "	" " "	205 " "	
2.	Trevady	7,011 " "	6,755 " "	" " "	256 " "	
3.	Villaparam	12,489 " "	11,900 " "	" " "	589 " "	
4.	Bowaingherry	6,981 " "	6,717 " "	" " "	264 " "	
5.	Munnargoody	2,289 " "	2,206 " "	" " "	83 " "	
6.	Chellumbrum	1,697 " "	1,526 " "	" " "	171 " "	
7.	Trenomallie	2,636 " "	2,540 " "	" " "	96 " "	
8.	Verdachellum	2,408 " "	2,320 " "	" " "	88 " "	
9.	Ellavanasore	2,411 " "	2,323 " "	" " "	88 " "	
10.	Trecullore	2,430 " "	2,341 " "	" " "	89 " "	
11.	Cullacoorchy	2,693 " "	2,595 " "	" " "	98 " "	
12.	Chaitput	2,014 " "	1,941 " "	" " "	73 " "	
		53,684 " "	51,594 " "	" " "	2,090 " "	
13.	Cuddalore	27,616 " "	26,406 " "	" " "	1,210 " "	
	Total	81,300 " "	78,000 " "	" " "	3,300 " "	

11th July 1852. E. E. Wm. (Signed) E. Maltby. Collector

Statement.

11930

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

No. 5

No. 7. Statement shewing the Settlement on account of Sundry small Farms and Licences for Fusly 1261 / A.D. 1851/52 in Comparison with Fusly 1260 / A.D. 1850/51 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.

No.	Description.	Fusly 1260. CRs. a. p.	Fusly 1261. CRs. a. p.	Increase CRs. a. p.	Decrease CRs. a. p.	Remarks.
1	Tindevanum	1,045 15 8	1,043 15 8	" " "	2 " "	
2	Trevady	322 14 "	322 14 "	" " "	" " "	
3	Villapooram	916 11 4	934 15 4	18 4 "	" " "	
4	Bowangherry	595 2 6	658 10 6	63 8 "	" " "	
5	Munnargoody	377 10 6	311 10 6	" " "	66 " "	
6	Challumbrum	1,734 8 "	2,050 4 "	315 12 "	" " "	
7	Trenomallie	880 9 3	862 9 3	" " "	18 " "	
8	Viridachellum	320 13 11	320 13 11	" " "	" " "	
9	Ellavanasore	1,179 4 "	1,177 4 "	" " "	2 " "	
10	Trecullore	1,090 5 5	1,073 10 5	" " "	16 11 "	
11	Cullacoorchy	887 3 11	886 6 "	" " "	" 13 11	
12	Chaitput	1,261 7 5	1,243 7 5	" " "	18 " "	
		10,612 9 11	10,886 9 "	397 8 "	123 8 11	
13.	Cuddalore	673 12 "	813 12 "	140 " "	" " "	Net Increase
	Grand Total.	11,286 5 11	11,700 5 "	537 8 "	123 8 11	413. 15. 4.
	Particulars of the above.					
1.	Ferry Rented	2,920 " "	3,395 " "	475 " "	" " "	
2.	Semboorampattay and Jungle produce Rented	1,080 " "	1,020 " "	" " "	60 " "	
3.	Fishery — Rented	326 12 "	313 8 "	" " "	13 4 "	
	Amany	6,871 13 2	6,882 14 2	11 1 "	" " "	
4.	Palmirah Jaggery Rent	25 " "	25 " "	" " "	" " "	
5	Chank Ds. — Do.	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	
6.	Summadum Amany	27 12 9	28 14 10	1 2 1	" " "	
	Total — Rented	4,386 12 "	4,738 8 "	475 " "	73 4 "	
	Amany	6,899 9 11	6,911. 13 "	12 3 1	" " "	
		11,286 5 11	11,700 5 "	487 3 1	73 4 "	

11th July 1852. E. E. Wm.

(Signed) E. Maltby Sellr

Statement.

11931. Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

No 8. Statement Shewing the Sale of the Stampt Papers and Cadjans Fusly 1261 A.D. 1851/52 in Comparison with Fusly 1260 A.D. 1850/51 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Number	Taloks.	Fusly 1260. CRs. a P	Fusly 1261. CRs. a P	Increase CRs. a P	Decrease CRs. a P
1	Tindevanum	86 " "	76 8 "	" " "	9 8 "
2	Trivady	78 8 "	98 12 "	20 4 "	" " "
3	Villapooram	1,408 4 "	1,470 4 "	62 " "	" " "
4	Bowangherry	280 8 "	260 4 "	" " "	20 4 "
5	Munnargoody	126 4 "	96 8 "	" " "	29 12 "
6	Chellumbrum	1,301 " "	1,275 4 "	" " "	25 12 "
7	Trenomallie	53 8 "	56 8 "	3 " "	" " "
8	Virdachellum	79 4 "	56 " "	" " "	23 4 "
9	Ellavanasore	14 12 "	18 12 "	4 " "	" " "
10	Precullore	1,165 8 "	989 " "	" " "	176 8 "
11	Cullacoorchy	38 4 "	29 " "	" " "	9 4 "
12	Chaitput	33 12 "	54 12 "	21 " "	" " "
	Total	4,665 8 "	4,481 8 "	110 4 "	294 4 "
13	Cuddalore	15,360 " "	14,322 " "	" " "	1,038 " "
	The additional fees at half an anna per Roll leviable on Europe Paper as per orders of Government dated 31st March 1853.	" 7 "	" 8 6	" 1 6	" " "
	Grand Total	20,025 15 "	18,804 " 6	110 5 6	1,332 4 "

Net Decrease 1,221 - 14 - 6.

11932 | | Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Particulars for the above

No.		Fusly 1260.			Fusly 1261.			Increase			Decrease		
		No.	Rs.	a	No.	Rs.	a	No.	Rs.	a	No.	Rs.	a
	Decree Paper												
	8 Annas	569	284	8	329	164	8	"	"	"	240	120	"
2.	1 Rupee	7	7	"	42	42	"	35	35	"	"	"	"
	2 Do.	82	164	"	31	62	"	"	"	"	51	102	"
		658	455	8	402	268	8	35	35	"	291	222	"
	Law Papers												
	4 Annas Paper	7198	1799	8	6275	1568	12	"	"	"	923	230	12
	8 Do.	1136	568	"	1127	563	8	"	"	"	9	4	8
	1 Rupee	2230	2230	"	2425	2425	"	195	195	"	"	"	"
	2 Do.	888	1776	"	865	1730	"	"	"	"	23	46	"
	1/4 Do.	818	3272	"	741	2964	"	"	"	"	77	308	"
	8 Do.	340	2720	"	343	2744	"	3	24	"	"	"	"
	16 Do.	131	2096	"	121	1936	"	"	"	"	10	160	"
	32 Do.	73	2336	"	52	1664	"	"	"	"	21	672	"
	50 Do.	17	850	"	14	700	"	"	"	"	3	150	"
													Net Decrease
	Total	15928	20025	15	14736	18304	1/2	244	724	5/2	1436	1946	4	1192 - 1221 - 14 1/2

11th July 1852. E. E. Wm. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement.

5	Munnargoody	994	8	3	1039	14	1	45	5	10	"	"	"	
6	Chellumbrum	5523	2	10	5626	11	4	103	8	6	"	"	"	
7	Trenomallee	1591	1	11	1585	10	7	"	"	"	5	7	4	
8	Verdachellum	5140	3	1	5139	14	1	"	"	"	"	5	"	
9	Ellavanasore	2076	15	5	2171	12	8	94	13	3	"	"	"	
10	Trecullore	2607	2	11	2655	13	8	48	10	9	"	"	"	

South Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 11th July 1852 E. E. Wm. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector
Statement

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

No. 1 in 7.

...sly 1260 in Comparison with that of Fusly 1261 in Southern Division
A.D. 1850/51 1851/52

of Arcot prepared according to the form received from Board with their Circular dated March

Total.		Remaining.		Additions. Newly set up.		on trades exercised for broken period of last year.		Extended Trade	
5		6.		7		8		9	
Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.
08	2122 12 8	11315	25603 1 4	1101	2587 14 3	"	" " "	"	" " "
60	799 11 9	4387	7944 15 10	526	884 14 9	"	" " "	"	" " "
15	141 8 4	2013	2887 13 7	133	201 1 8	"	" " "	"	" " "
3¼	215 10 2	1982	3674 1 2	169½	305 3 7	"	" " "	"	" " "
6	89 15 1	396	934 11 "	99	225 13 11	"	" " "	"	" " "
5	76 6 3	370	608 13 "	41	77 7 6	"	" " "	"	" " "
1	31 6 4	117	344 6 11	13	37 9 2	"	" " "	"	" " "
	" " "	8	26 4 "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
	" " 4	3	21 8 "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
	" 10 "	4	3 2 "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
3	15 "	13	17 8 9	3	4 1 7	"	" " "	"	" " "
	" " "	3	3 " "	1	1 " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
8	28 9 3	174	218 11 3	30	35 6 7	"	" " "	"	" " "
7	43 5 "	54	60 13 "	30	36 5 "	"	" " "	"	" " "
2¼	3533 13 10	20839	42348 13 10	2146½	4397 1 "	"	" " "	"	" " "

Total Settlement for Fusly 1261.		Comparison between Columns 2 and 11. Increase		Decrease	
10.		11.		12	13
Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.	Nos.	CRs. a P.
1101	2587 14 3	12416	28190 15 7	193	465 1 7	"	" " "
526	884 14 9	4913	8829 14 7	66	85 3 "	"	" " "
133	201 1 8	2116	3089 2 3	18	59 12 4	"	" " "
169½	305 3 7	2151½	3979 4 9	41¼	89 9 5	"	" " "
99	225 13 11	495	1160 8 11	63	135 14 10	"	" " "
41	77 7 6	411	686 4 6	"	1 1 3	1	" "
13	37 9 2	130	382 " 1	2	6 2 10	"	" "
"	" " "	8	26 4 "	"	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	3	21 8 "	"	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	4	3 2 "	"	" " "	1	" 10
3	4 1 7	16	21 10 4	"	" 2 7	"	" "
1	1 " "	1	4 " "	1	1 " "	"	" "
30	35 6 7	24	254 1 10	12	6 13 4	"	" "
30	36 5 "	34	97 2 "	"	" " 4	7	7 "
2146½	4394 1 "	22985½	46745 14 10	396¼	850 13 2	12	7 10

Deduct.

Woolegum	3324	8	4
Sabry Durustanams &c.	1570	3	3
Total	4894	11	7
Balance	41851	3	3
Add Moolah Moturpah	48	5	"
Total	41899	8	3

(Signed) E. Maltby
Coll

Grand Total

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement

For Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

8

11932

July 1852. E. E. ...

11936.

Form No. 11. Commissioners' Statement showing the quantities and value of the Spirits and Tobacco entered for Home Consumption, and Duties thereon, during the Quarter ended 21st October 1852.

Statement of Spirits and Tobacco entered for Home Consumption, and Duties thereon, during the Quarter ended 21st October 1852.

	Proof Gallons	Duty	Tobacco lbs.	Duty
Brought forward	104 2d 8	69 9 4	1 201 2	38 28 "	37-7-4
Amount of Duties	21907 6 8	20432 39 4	33937 lb 12	6864 9 24 "	14692-7-4

Queen Dock ——— Clerk in Charge

South Shore, Collector Declining Quantities, 11th July 1852 ——— Signed & Attested

Collector

Statement

Gross Collections ..... 250 7s 11d 302 8s 11d 2983 1s 5d 16 4s 5d

Charges { of Collection ..... 45 12 3 60 8s 8d 590 9 6 ... ... 14 1 4
" Commission ....
" Drawback 197 9 4 192 9 4

Total ..... 204 13 3 262 10 8 2580 1 5 ... ... 206 13 8

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)

Gross Collections ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Charged ....

Net Revenue ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Per Centage of the Charges ..... 1965 18 8 1975 7 8 1834 9 9 ... ... 1192 8 6

Total ..... 4049 11 2 4085 7 11 3844 2 3 ... ... 2230 14 8

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges { of Collection ....
" Commission ....
" Drawback ....

Total ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

SEA CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections ..... 250 7s 11d 302 8s 11d 2983 1s 5d 16 4s 5d

Charges { of Collection ..... 45 12 3 60 8s 8d 590 9 6 ... ... 14 1 4
" Commission ....
" Drawback 197 9 4 192 9 4

Total ..... 204 13 3 262 10 8 2580 1 5 ... ... 206 13 8

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

SEA CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections .....

Charges for Purchase .....

Do. Superintendence and Sales &c. .....

Total Charges .....

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

LAND CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections ..... 1707 7 ... 1696 ... 1 1/2 1629 4 7 ... ...

Charges { of Collection ..... 8882 18 8 8896 15 8 8888 ... 167 ... 4 ... ...
" Commission ....
" Drawback ....

Total ..... 8882 18 8 8896 15 8 8888 ... 167 ... 4 ... ...

Net Revenue ..... 1707 7 ... 1696 ... 1 1/2 1629 4 7 ... ...

Per Centage of the Charges ....

EXCISE MONOPOLY.

Collections .....

Charges for Purchase .....

Do. Superintendence and Sales &c. .....

Total Charges .....

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

ARRARR.

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)

Gross Collections ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Charged ....

Net Revenue ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Per Centage of the Charges ..... 1965 18 8 1975 7 8 1834 9 9 ... ... 1192 8 6

Total ..... 4049 11 2 4085 7 11 3844 2 3 ... ... 2230 14 8

A

B

C

11932

Form No. 11. Commissioners' Statement showing the quantities and value of the Spirits and Tobacco entered for Home Consumption, and Duties thereon, during the Quarter ended 21st October 1852.

	Proof Gallons	Duty	Tobacco lbs.	Duty
Brought forward	104 2d 8	69 9 4	1 201 2	38 28 "	37-7-4
Amount of Duties	21907 6 8	20432 39 4	33937 lb 12	6864 9 24 "	14692-7-4

Queen Dock ——— Clerk in Charge

South Shore, Collector Declining Quantities, 11th July 1852 ——— Signed & Attested

Collector

Statement

Gross Collections ..... 250 7s 11d 302 8s 11d 2983 1s 5d 16 4s 5d

Charges { of Collection ..... 45 12 3 60 8s 8d 590 9 6 ... ... 14 1 4
" Commission ....
" Drawback 197 9 4 192 9 4

Total ..... 204 13 3 262 10 8 2580 1 5 ... ... 206 13 8

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)

Gross Collections ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Charged ....

Net Revenue ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Per Centage of the Charges ..... 1965 18 8 1975 7 8 1834 9 9 ... ... 1192 8 6

Total ..... 4049 11 2 4085 7 11 3844 2 3 ... ... 2230 14 8

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

SEA CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections ..... 250 7s 11d 302 8s 11d 2983 1s 5d 16 4s 5d

Charges { of Collection ..... 45 12 3 60 8s 8d 590 9 6 ... ... 14 1 4
" Commission ....
" Drawback 197 9 4 192 9 4

Total ..... 204 13 3 262 10 8 2580 1 5 ... ... 206 13 8

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

SEA CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections .....

Charges for Purchase .....

Do. Superintendence and Sales &c. .....

Total Charges .....

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

LAND CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections ..... 1707 7 ... 1696 ... 1 1/2 1629 4 7 ... ...

Charges { of Collection ..... 8882 18 8 8896 15 8 8888 ... 167 ... 4 ... ...
" Commission ....
" Drawback ....

Total ..... 8882 18 8 8896 15 8 8888 ... 167 ... 4 ... ...

Net Revenue ..... 1707 7 ... 1696 ... 1 1/2 1629 4 7 ... ...

Per Centage of the Charges ....

EXCISE MONOPOLY.

Collections .....

Charges for Purchase .....

Do. Superintendence and Sales &c. .....

Total Charges .....

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

ARRARR.

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)

Gross Collections ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Charged ....

Net Revenue ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Per Centage of the Charges ..... 1965 18 8 1975 7 8 1834 9 9 ... ... 1192 8 6

Total ..... 4049 11 2 4085 7 11 3844 2 3 ... ... 2230 14 8

A

B

C

11932

Form No. 11. Commissioners' Statement showing the quantities and value of the Spirits and Tobacco entered for Home Consumption, and Duties thereon, during the Quarter ended 21st October 1852.

	Proof Gallons	Duty	Tobacco lbs.	Duty
Brought forward	104 2d 8	69 9 4	1 201 2	38 28 "	37-7-4
Amount of Duties	21907 6 8	20432 39 4	33937 lb 12	6864 9 24 "	14692-7-4

Queen Dock ——— Clerk in Charge

South Shore, Collector Declining Quantities, 11th July 1852 ——— Signed & Attested

Collector

Statement

Gross Collections ..... 250 7s 11d 302 8s 11d 2983 1s 5d 16 4s 5d

Charges { of Collection ..... 45 12 3 60 8s 8d 590 9 6 ... ... 14 1 4
" Commission ....
" Drawback 197 9 4 192 9 4

Total ..... 204 13 3 262 10 8 2580 1 5 ... ... 206 13 8

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)

Gross Collections ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Charged ....

Net Revenue ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Per Centage of the Charges ..... 1965 18 8 1975 7 8 1834 9 9 ... ... 1192 8 6

Total ..... 4049 11 2 4085 7 11 3844 2 3 ... ... 2230 14 8

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

SEA CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections ..... 250 7s 11d 302 8s 11d 2983 1s 5d 16 4s 5d

Charges { of Collection ..... 45 12 3 60 8s 8d 590 9 6 ... ... 14 1 4
" Commission ....
" Drawback 197 9 4 192 9 4

Total ..... 204 13 3 262 10 8 2580 1 5 ... ... 206 13 8

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

SEA CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections .....

Charges for Purchase .....

Do. Superintendence and Sales &c. .....

Total Charges .....

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

LAND CUSTOMS.

Gross Collections ..... 1707 7 ... 1696 ... 1 1/2 1629 4 7 ... ...

Charges { of Collection ..... 8882 18 8 8896 15 8 8888 ... 167 ... 4 ... ...
" Commission ....
" Drawback ....

Total ..... 8882 18 8 8896 15 8 8888 ... 167 ... 4 ... ...

Net Revenue ..... 1707 7 ... 1696 ... 1 1/2 1629 4 7 ... ...

Per Centage of the Charges ....

EXCISE MONOPOLY.

Collections .....

Charges for Purchase .....

Do. Superintendence and Sales &c. .....

Total Charges .....

Net Revenue .....

Per Centage of the Charges .....

ARRARR.

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.

Gross Collections ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Charges ....

Net Revenue ..... 1966 1 0 2018 12 1 1934 18 11 ... ... 251 12 2

Per Centage of the Charges ....

(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)

Gross Collections ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Charged ....

Net Revenue ..... 2083 13 6 2110 0 3 2010 12 6 ... ... 1038 6 6

Per Centage of the Charges ..... 1965 18 8 1975 7 8 1834 9 9 ... ... 1192 8 6

Total ..... 4049 11 2 4085 7 11 3844 2 3 ... ... 2230 14 8

A

B

C

11932

11932

Court House Yard 21st October 1852.

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith the Measurements of Materials furnished by Contractors for the Court House Yard, and to request that you will cause Payment to be made to the parties entitled thereto.

I am Sir
Your obedient Servant
Thos. Lilly
Architect

To
Thos. Lilly Esqr
Architect
Dublin

Names of Contractors	Description of Materials furnished	Measurements taken in Granite	Measurements taken in Stone	Amount
Thos. Lilly	Excavating Foundations	1890 yds	3103 ft	3114 24
		2502 "	1819 "	837 8
	Excavating Drains	" "	2190 24	2190 24
		5392 yds	21115 ft	18722 16
Patrick Carter	Granite Steps	8972 "	23892 ft	11620
	Rubble Masonry	1432 "	5488 ft	4038
	Foundations	432 "	3723 ft	469 32
		10836 yds	34206 ft	23330
Thos. Devenish	Chimney Pots	2189 doz	20431 ft	3993 36
		36 doz	38 3	1 20 12
	Do. repairing Drains	66 4 "	29 ft "	" "
		104 26 "	69 19	1 20 12
		21976 yds	204383 ft	3957 16 12

Dublin Street Collection Committee, 21st July 1852

Examined & Passed

Clerk of Works

Measurements taken in Granite

Measurements taken in Stone

Amount

Remarks

Mr J. Lilly				
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Foundations	1433 yds	11938 ft	2475 12
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Drains	2763 "	2475 yds	2515 20
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Foundations	3538 "	" "	538 20
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Drains	1945 "	" "	1945 20
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Foundations	1170 "	" "	1170 12
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Drains	1136 "	" "	1136 32
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Foundations	18930 "	12069 "	6810 36
Mr J. Lilly	Excavating Drains	1319 "	2330 ft	1483 12

Examined & Passed

Clerk of Works

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

No. 1. Names of the Firms.

1. Thos. Lilly
Excavating Foundations
Excavating Drains
2. Patrick Carter
Granite Steps
Rubble Masonry
Excavating Foundations
3. Thos. Devenish
Chimney Pots
Do. repairing Drains
Excavating Foundations
Excavating Drains
4. Thos. Lilly
Excavating Foundations
Excavating Drains
5. Thos. Lilly
Excavating Foundations
Excavating Drains
6. Thos. Lilly
Excavating Foundations
Excavating Drains
7. Thos. Lilly
Excavating Foundations
Excavating Drains

Examined & Passed

Clerk of Works

1937

Fort St. George

No. 12. Statement shewing the places to which Salt has been Ex-
ported, whether by Land or Sea, from Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore from July 1861 to 21st October 1852.

I.

Supply to other Districts.						Supplied to		Foreign States.		Exported by Sea						Total of Columns 4-8 and 12.
Names of the Districts.	Quantity.	Rate per Garce.	Value.			Name of Foreign States.	Quantity.	Rate per Garce.	Value.	Names of places.	Quantity	Rate per Garce.	Value.			
1	2		3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12		13
	M	SC	Rs. a p.		Rs. a p.		M SC	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.		M SC		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.		Rs. a p.
Salem	58,411	20	[1 Rupee per Maund or 120 per Garce]	"	58,411 8											
Coimbatore	13,692	"	"	"	13,692 "											
Chittore	7,291	20	"	"	7,291 8	Mysoor	69,838 20	[1 Rupee per Maund or 120 per Garce]	69,838 8	"						
Trichinopoly	24,007	32	"	"	24007 12 11											
Chunglaput	1,183	20	"	"	1183 8											
Total	104,586	12	"	"	104,586 4 11		69,838 20		69,838 8	"				174,424 12 11		

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 11th July 1857.

E & P.

(Signed) E Maltby
Collector

Statement

11938

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

K.

No. 13. Statement shewing the Particulars of money transactions in Receipt and Disbursements on account of Salt for Fuslies 1260 and 1261 in South Arcot and Cuddalore

Particulars.	Fusly 1260.			Fusly 1261.			Comparison of the two Fuslies. Increase.			Decrease.		
	C.Rs.	A.	P.	C.Rs.	A.	P.	C.Rs.	A.	P.	C.Rs.	A.	P.
Receipts												
Balance of Cash in hand at the Commencement of the year	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cash by sales for Consumption within the District	78,646	14	8	91,781	11	7	13,136	12	11	"	"	"
Cash by sales to other District	14,2510	3	4	9,2634	4	11	"	"	"	4,9875	14	5
Cash by sales to Foreign States	4,777 2	"	"	6,9838	8	"	2,2066	8	"	"	"	"
Cash by Export by sea	5,544	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5544	"	"
Refund of Sibbendy Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Refund of Coodevarum for salt supplied to the French Government	3,353	14	9	2,100	15	7	"	"	"	1,252	15	2
Refunds of Tuccavy	513	2	"	814	15	8	301	13	8	"	"	"
Refund of Mavaimut Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Fines	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	36	9	2	32	6	8	"	"	"	4	2	6
Balance on the 11th July Rupees	230257	3	4	22107	8	12	2	"	"	917	8	7

11th July, 1852.

E E pr. (Signed) E Maltby
Collector
Statement

C.Rs.	A.	P.			
36,480	9	8	9	8	11
39,14 55,703	15	1	15	2	4
24,14½ 3,1529	1	10	1	3	3
3 8 4,136 8	13	9	9	6	9
4 " 40,4,5	2	11	3	2	8
39,12 58,165	"	11	"	"	"
19,12 69,419	8	3	8	6	9
22 4 30,883	8	11	8	13	"
16 2 4,53 63	1	17	1	13	"
18 8 1,8 682	1	10	"	11	9
25,14½ 4,6927	10	7	11	5	11
10 8½ 46,927	2	8	13	5½	6
27 4 99179	11	1	10	4	5
16,14½ 2,5052	8	5	"	5	1½
" " "	"	"	"	"	"
" " "	"	"	"	"	"

Examined, (Signed) Illegible
Asst. Sup. 1852.

11940

No. 15. Statement of the Collection of the Cost of the Salt sold on account of the Government.

Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore for Fusly 1260 Submitted

Names of	Price which the Salt sold to the Government.			The value of salt to Government at the selling price	Percentage of Wastage for each Colaw formerly fixed by the Collector under the Salt Rules.	Explanation of the Cause of wastage.
	Rs.	a	p.			
Southern						
Mur Ranum	80	13	2		G. M. 12 — 200 — " per every 100 Garces.	
Teagavelly	61	4	6		18 — 300 — " per Do. Do.	The wastage incurred in Fusly 1260 being within the rates formerly fixed in this District any explanation regarding the same appears unnecessary.
Killay	71	14	1		18 — 300 — " per Do. Do.	
Cu	133	15	9		25 — " — " per Do. Do.	
Cuddalore	29	8	2			
Wastage occurred to the French Gov	63	7	11			
Murkanum						
Cuddalore	27 2	12	8		12 — 200 — " per Do. Do.	
	6	7	10		25 — " — " per Do. Do.	

Rs. 4 14
1033 — 1 — 8

804 — 2 — 4

1441 — 4 — "

Value of 91 — 8 — " of Wastage on Salt sold to Co for Home and Inland — 120 — 14 — 4

Consumption at 120 Rs per Cent

Duties of 28 — 16 — " of Do. on Rs. supplied to Government 27 — 243 — " m. at Rs 14 - 8 - 9¾ and 8. 324 — " at Rs 8 — " — 2 per Garce — 81 — " —

120 — 1444 — "

/Signed/ C. Maltby
Collector.

List

11941

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

No. 15. List of the accounts accompanying the report on the Extra branches of Revenue for Fusly 1261.

A Statement of Demand Collection and Balance with an Enclosure No. 1 with Windsoor.

B Ditto of Demand Collection and Balance in arrears with Do.

1 Ditto shewing the quantity of Salt sold with Ditto

2 Ditto Ditto the Settlement of Frontier Sayer Revenue with Do.

3 Ditto Ditto Sea Custom Collection with 2 Enclosures with Do.

4 Ditto Ditto Abkarry Revenue with Ditto

5 Ditto Ditto Sundry Small Farms &c. with Do.

6 Ditto Ditto Stamp Revenue with Ditto

7 Ditto Ditto Motirpha Revenue with an Enclosure with Do.

3 Printed Statements in triplicate.

H Statement shewing the Balance of Salt on hand at the beginning of the year, the quantity manufactured and sold during the year and the Balance in store at its close with the financial result of this source of Revenue from Fusly 1256 to 1261.

I Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt manufactured at each of the Pans.

J Statement shewing the places to which Salt has been exported whether by land or Sea

K Ditto Ditto the particulars of money transactions in receipts and disbursements on account of Salt for Fusly 1260 and 1261.

L Ditto Ditto the annual sales of Salt

1 Statement of Salt wastage for Fusly 1261 Marked F3.

South Arcot,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 11th October, 1852.

(Signed) E Mallby
Collr

26-27
Order thereon
meeting:-

Df

Ordered to be brought forward at a Sessions

Read the following Statements

Statements

Vide Sl. No. 1 Rules sanctioned by Government in Sl. No. 1 of Consultation dated 15th December 1852

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Deputy Secretary

1850 Revenue

11942

Fort St. 1852.

B. No. 25. employed in Fusly d to be incurred on A letter in the Southern Division

28

Remarks of the Board of Revenue. Order of Government. for Fusly 1262.

Des		Amount	Proposed Period of Employment	Estimated Charges	
		Pay			Revenue, George,
Ser					
	12 13	7	5	6	
				Rs A P	

Collecto

1 Pymash		42	1 year	42 " "	correspond: the ce ue the ce mit ce Proceedings Adalut- tion of of the mission f the ce 39 of legality l ce s- t the ce the ce ity of E the ce etation
2 Boat m	ks				
-gavelly Case	ga:	609	1½ month	609 " "	
3 Summah	ce				
-tional Ce	re				
	ce				
Sub C	the				
Outc	w:	252	1½ Do.	252 " "	
4 Summa		903		" "	
-ditiona					
		Rs	(Signed)		
Sou		ing Statement.			

interpretation put upon their letter to Government of the 22d. April 1831 by Government and the Board of Revenue. The Court also remark that the Privy Council noticed in their decree, Neeladry Row Versus Vencatapatty Rauze dated 27th. June 1834 that it was perfectly competent for the zemindar to alienate them (waste and uncultivated lands.)

3. Considering how very much the real value of Estates may be impaired by such alienations of waste, I cannot say that the observations of the Court satisfy me that it was the intention of Regulation XXX of 1802. to authorize them; but as the question could not be more plainly stated than in the special appeal Petition and the answer now given is equally plain, aquiesence, is I suppose, the only course to be pursued.-

4. The Board are aware that the letter of the Sudder Udalut to Government alluded to above, is published in their Circular orders as shewing that Permanent Cowles by Zemindars are illegal

it.

it is for their consideration, therefore, whether a fresh Circular is not rendered necessary by the present decision.-

Madura,
8th. December, 1851.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr. Udalut, under date the 10th. November, 1851.-

Read Petition presented by Goolam Moortoozah Vakeel, on the 1st. July 1851. on behalf of Streemato Rajah Moottoovizia Ragoonada Bodagooroo Sawmy Perreya Oodia Taver Minor zemindar of Shevagunga praying for the admission of a special appeal from the Decree of the Civil Judge of Madura in appeal Suit No. 39 of 1850, confirming the Sub: Court's decision in original Suit No. 37 of 1847.-

/Here enter S. A. P. No. 122 of 1851./

1. The original Suit was brought to set aside under Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 Certain Cowles granted in 1831 by the

the late zemindar of Shevagunga and to recover 3 Villages valued at Rupees 1593, also to set co aside a Decree of the Subordinate Court in a Suit filed by the 1st and 2d Defendants co against the 3d Defendant for a portion of these lands in which the interests of the co Plaintiff as zemindar had been compromised.

2. The Plaintiff alleged that co when the Cowles were issued the lands were not, as described in the deeds entirely waste, and further that the boundaries of the Villages co had been incorrectly specified and that lands had been included in the Cowles which the co Lessor had not intended to grant.-

3. The Acting Subordinate Judge considered that the execution of the Cowles co had been fully proved that the lands specified in the Plaint and under dispute in the co former Suit fell within the boundaries co specified in the Cowles and that the Plaintiff had failed to shew that any part of the co lands included in the Cowles had prior to the grant been under Cultivation. He consequently dismissed

dismissed the Suit.-

The Civil Judge was of opinion 4.- that no grounds existed for disturbing the co Judgment of the Sub: Court, the alleged frauds appeared to him, on Appellants own shewing, to consist of neglect or carelessness on the co part of his ancestor or his servants, and it could not be allowed that a zemindar having granted such Cowles should after a lapse of time and after the labor and Capital of the Cowledars had been expended on the lands nullify his own acts by asserting that he co was not sufficiently careful when he performed them; the Civil Judge thought that the co boundaries specified in the Cowles had been very clearly defined and understanding the co original Decree to declare that the Cowles which Appellant would set aside, were co valid and binding and that the lands co alluded to in original Suit No. 41 of 1843 were within the limits therein defined, co whatever other lands might or might not be

he

he confirmed the original decree with Costs.

5- The Appellant applied for a review of Judgment on the ground that the Cowles were null and void abinitio as being in their very nature without the scope of Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 producing in support of this plea a Copy of a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 6th June 1831, and of a letter from the Court of Sudr Udalut to Government dated 22d April 1831.

6- The Civil Judge rejected the above application remarking that the opinion expressed in the letter of the Sudr Udalut was opposed to the Petitioner's construction of the Laws-

7- In the present application the Petitioner abandons the pleas on which he relied in the original and appeal trials and contends that the term "in perpetuity" occurring in Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 applies only to

to grants of sites for dwelling houses and factories and of Gardens, and that this was virtually ruled by the Court of Sudr Udalut in their letter of the 22d April 1831-

8- The Court of Sudr Udalut are of opinion that the ground urged by the Petitioner for the admission of a special Appeal is not a valid one-

9. The Court of Sudr Udalut in their letter quoted by the Petitioner expressed their opinion that "in respect to the nature of the Puttah which Zemindars are competent to grant for clearing and bringing lands into Cultivation, no rules are prescribed in the Regulations, and the parties would therefore appear to be competent to enter into such agreement as they may think proper"

The Court also observed that the Privy Council noticed in their decree Seeladry Row Versus Vencatapulty Pauze dated 27th June 1834. "that it was perfectly competent for the Zemindar to alienate their (waste and uncultivated lands.")

Ordered

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Ordered also that Exctract &c
from these Proceedings be sent to the Civil
Judge of Madura for his information.-

Ordered also that Exctract &c
from these Proceedings be granted to the &c
Petitioner's Vakeel on his application.-

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

(31.32)

Bd. No. 2098.

No. 442.

Resolution thereon - 1.

Submitting the question of the right of zemindars to grant Cowles in perpetuity on a low rent, &c and offering &c suggestions.-

Resolved that the question of the right of zemindars to grant Cowles in perpetuity on a low Rent, be referred for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

2. It appears to the Board that the question is one of importance, for, if according to the view of the Sudder Court, these alienations are legal, an opening is made for a fraudulent deterioration of Estates which may within no distant period fall into the hands of Government.-

Jur-

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

3. In order to place the Government in full possession of all that appears in the records of the Board relative to the question, it will be necessary to refer first to the Board's letter to Government of 17th October 1825 wherein they gave their opinion on a Petition from Vizagapatam (referred to them by Government) claiming the recovery of a certain Maniam said to have been granted by a former Zemindar. The Board state "the Petitioner "refers in support of his rights to Section XV "Regulation XXX of 1802; but that rule is "applicable to Pattahs for clearing waste "lands which are to be in force only for "a definite period according to the Custom "of the Country" The Petition seems to have been disposed of by Government without reference to these remarks.

To Collector 21st October 1830
From Collector 5th in cons. 8th November 1830
Bd. Pro: 9th December 1830 para 16.
From Collector 31st January in cons. 3d February 1831.
Bd. Pro: 6th June 1831.
/see also C.O Book/ para 103 note/
Bd. Pro: 25th September 1843
From Government 5th in cons. 11th December 1843
Bd. Pro: 11th July 1844.

4. Again in 1830 the Board's attention was drawn to the nature of certain Cowle lands in Chingleput and when the details were furnished by the Collector stating that the lands in question was waste when the Mootahdar gave them on perpetual low Cowle, the Board stated that

Section

Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 did not appear to them to "legalize the alienation "of waste land by a zemmindar in perpetuity "at a rate below the proper assessment."

5. The Proceedings of the Board in 1825 had in the mean time come before the Sudder Court the question having been referred to them by Government, and the Sudder in their letter to Government dated 22d April 1831 (received by the Board 17th May 1831) laid down 4 expositions of the Law.—

Intimated 9th May 1831 Vide C.O. Book page 323. note

1st That no zemmindar could under Section XII Regulation XXV of 1802 alienate "valuable" lands.—

2d That Cowles given for bringing waste lands into Cultivation though binding on future Proprietors, do not exempt such lands from being attached for arrears of Revenue.—

3d That no rules as to the form of Puttahs for such Cowles, are laid down in the Regulation, the parties therefore would appear to be Competent to enter into such agreements as they may think proper.—

4th Section VIII Regulation VIII of 1793 of the Bengal Code defines the nature of

of Puttahs granted for this purpose and the provision is illustrated by a Case in Volume 2 of Reports of Cases determined by the Sudder Dewany.—

6. In 1835 another Correspondence took place with the Collector of Chingleput relative to 2 Villages the sales of which, being overgrown with jungle, had been granted on perpetual Cowle at a low rent. In one instance the land had not been cleared, and it was therefore resumed. In the other case it had been cleared and cultivated, and as the parties had been in possession 25 years, the terms of the Cowle, though exceedingly low, were allowed to remain. On this occasion the Board expressed no opinion as to the right of a zemmindar to grant such Cowles but they observed that the 3d and 5th Clauses of Section IV Regulation XXX of 1802 applied only to the usually occupied and cultivated lands. Though the question in cases where "valuable" lands were not concerned seemed yet undetermined as occasion for discussing the matter occurred till 1851 and 1852.

Appendix A.

15th Pro: 23d February 1835

7. 1st The Village of Woollyoody in the Shwaguinga zemmindary had been granted

(Appendix B) or Precis

granted.

Fort St. George 21st. October 1852.

granted by a former Zemindar in perpetual Cowle. When the Zemindary was attached, it was attached also, when the Zemindar came under the Court of Wards application was made for release, but it has been referred till the issue of a similar Suit in the same Zemindary was known.-

8. In the last mentioned case 3 Villages had been given by a former Zemindar on a perpetual Cowle, but his successor claimed to have them brought under Jyen. The Subordinate Court decreed against the Zemindar. An appeal was instituted in the Civil Court and this again failed. The Collector under instructions from the Court of Wards made a Special appeal to the Sudr Court confining himself entirely to the provisions of Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 and was again defeated.-

9. The Board cannot expect that under these Circumstances any further reference to the Courts of Judicature can be made, but they are of opinion that some Declaratory enactment as the intention and purport of the Section and Regulation referred

Fort St. George 21st. October 1852.

referred to is advisable in order to protect the resources of Zemindaries from being impaired to the loss both of Government and of future Proprietors, they would however observe that the reference to Nilakdy Rao's case is not to the point. In that case (Appendix C) the Cowle was given in the year 1778 long before the Zemindary Regulations were framed: Moreover the section of the Bengal Regulation quoted in the Sudder Adalut Letter to Government 22d. April 1801 is quite foreign to the case in point, referring as it does to a Puttah or grant given before Zemindaries were established under Regulation. It is also singular that the Sudder Adalut in disallowing the alienation of valuable lands should have excluded from that Category Waste lands, as these when brought under Cultivation acquire a value and were expressly given in order that by "progressive improvements" the Zemindars might be enabled "with the greatest readiness to discharge "the public demand, to secure to himself "and family every necessary comfort, and to "have besides a surplus to answer any "possible emergency".-

General Instructions 15th. October 1799. Para. 27.

10. The Board have already

in

in the special appeal, submitted their views, and though the decision of the Sudder Court limits the Cowles to waste lands as before they limited it to lands not "valuable"; yet there is sufficient room left for fraudulent alienation.-

11. The particular villages in question were overgrown with jungle and the Board would hardly press a restriction against clearing land of this nature, but land is often left waste for a few years although quite fit for the plough and to authorize such an land being alienated under the pretence of a Cowle of Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 would be to all interests and purposes evasion of Section XII Regulation XXV of 1802 framed expressly as the Sudder Court in 1821 observed to defeat improper alienations to the prejudice of the rights of Government or of the Successor to the Estate.-

Select Cases P. 287.

12. The Board consider that whatever alienations are made in perpetuity, whether a single village or a perpetual Cowle, it should bear its

its share of the public tax. In the first instance the case is provided for in Sec: VIII Regulation XXV of 1802, and should include cases such as those under consideration. In the second if as ruled by the Sudder Court, the land is liable for arrears due on the Zemindary equally with all other lands it seems but just that a fair equality should also be mentioned in the assessment.

13. The Board are not aware how far a Declaratory Enactment is limited but they would propose if possible that no Cowle for upwards of 20 years be given except the details are furnished to the Collector, and approved of by him and the Board of Revenue, or at all events that they should not be binding on the Zemindar's Successors.-

14. The Board trust that the importance of the question will be considered by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sufficient to justify them in troubling Government with this Communication.-

Appendix

Appendix A.

From Chingleput 12th to 23d February 1835

Collector Reports to the Board that there are 2 Villages on the Mootah of Streeperumatoor which reverted to Government last year, having been held by certain individuals under Puttahs issued by the late Mootahdar.-

+ Dry---340
Wet---50
390
of which 16¼ is waste

The 1st Village Seegoondy+ has been held by Ramalinga Reddy since Fusly 1219 on a permanent Ejerah under a puttah dated 14th February 1810 which states that the place is uninhabited and overgrown with jungle and is given to him in perpetuity under section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 on progressive Cowle Viz. 1 Pagoda for the first year and 1 Pagoda additional for every year to Fusly 1234 when 20 Pagodas shall be the permanent rate never to be increased.- Barnard's Survey shew that before the Mootah was formed the land was immemorial waste and no Beriz was fixed on it at the permanent settlement.- R. Reddy held it till Fusly 1243, when the Mootah came under Circar Managment, and he was only

only allowed to rent it for that year but claims it in Continuity.-

It appears that he has received from it 2,541 Rupees and paid 1120 as rent so that his profit is 1421 Rupees whilst he says he has spent 3364 in forming and improving the Village which the Collector values at 1500 Rs. The Collector thinks that Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 sanctions the grant of lease in perpetuity, but he does not think that the requirements of that Regulation as regards Puttahs have been fulfilled, as the one produced does not specify the extent of land and rate of assessment.- He proposes resumption but to give R. Chetty a Grama Mamium as Meerassidar.-

The 2d Village a small one of 35 Cawnies was alienated in like manner in 1814 but the Grantee has never attempted to restore or improve it, it is still waste. The Beriz fixed on it at the Permanent Settlement was only 37 Fanams.-

The Board without expressing any

23d February 1835.

any opinion as to the right of a Zemindar to grant permanent leases of the description observe that the 3d. & 5th. clauses of section IV of Regulation XXX of 1802 apply only to the usually occupied and cultivated lands and not to cases like the present although it is very desirable they should be so extended They would continue the Village to B. Chetty and on his death, the subject should be reconsidered.-

The other Village, as the terms of the lease have not been fulfilled, should be resumed.-

8th. February in Cons. 25th. May 1837.

Collector reports the death of Ramalinga Chetty.-

22d. June 1837.

The Board authorize the Collector to give over the Village of Segoundy to Ramalinga's son on the same terms that his father held it.-

9th in Cons: 30th April 1838.

Collector brings to notice that Segoundy is only paying 70 Rupees a year Rent whereas the late measurements shew it to contain 348 Cawnies of land which would bear an assessment of 818 Rupees that about half is usually cultivated, and probably the whole will be in a short time.

Collector

Collector thinks it doubtful if Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 binds Government to continue for ever to the heirs of a Cowledar the terms of the original rent, especially when comprising an entire Village.-

30th. April 1838

The Board think that no sufficient "grounds have been shewn for disturbing "the occupancy of the present Holder."

Appendix B.

Precis of Correspondence respecting Permanent Cowles in the Shevagunga Zemindary in Madura.-

343 From Madura 6th in Cons: 24 February 1851.

The Village of Mollyoody in the Shevagunga Zemindary was in 1831 granted on Cowle by Zemindar Bodagoorasawmy Taver to one Soobien on a quit rent of Rupees 20-2-5; which was increased to Rupees 374-1-5 on its being discovered that Certain Ayn lands had been encroached upon. On the attachment of the Zemindary in 1838, for arrears of revenue an order was issued by the Collector of Madura apparently in ignorance of the additional quit rent, to sequester the Ayn Lands included in the Village in question; but from some misapprehension.

misapprehension on the part of the ameen and in the absence of the Cowledar, the whole Village was assumed. When the Zemindary was released from attachment Soobbarayer covered this Village. On the Zemindary being laid Rent under the Court of Wards, an order was issued to resume the Village held as Dyn during the former sequestration in consequence of which this Village was again assumed. On enquiry, the Collector discovered that it was held on a bonafide Cowle from the late Zemindar and as additional Teerwah had been imposed for the land laid Rent beyond the original boundaries specified in the Cowle he recommended, that the Village should be restored on the quit rent of Rs 54-1-5. Before Authorizing the measure the [2] Board desired to be informed, whether with reference to certain [3] Instruments and Correspondence such a restoration was admissible. In [4] reply Mr. Parker declared that if the opinion of the illegality of Permanent Cowles propounded in the Regulations and Papers alluded to by the Board be established, Mollyoody should

[2] 400 To Madura 24th February 1851.

[3] Sec. XV Reg. XXX of 1802. " XII " XXV " Select Decrees I.L. I. 287. S.L. to Govt. 22d April 1831 Rect. from Govt. 17th in Cons. 19th May 1831 To Chingleput 6th June "

[4] 619 From Madura 8th in Con 24th March 1851.

should not be restored, however he suggested that as a Suit bearing upon this point, was before the local Court, the proposition of restoration should be suffered to remain in abeyance until the result of the Suit became known. The Board approved of the Collector's suggestion.

[5] Bd. Rec. to Madura 24th March 1851.

---

[1] 412 To Madura 13th in Cons 24th Feb. 1851.

The case referred to above by Mr. Parker is this. The Subordinate Court of Madura had decreed to Plaintiffs in Suit No. 41. of 1843. the 13 Villages in the Shevagunga Zemindary to which they had laid claim, on the grounds that 2 of the Villages had been granted them in 1831 by a former Zemindar on Permanent Cowle, and the other which also was held on the same tenure, they had purchased of the Original Cowledar. The late Zemindar dissatisfied with the decision of the Court, requested permission either to appeal against the decree of the Subordinate Court or to be allowed to bring on a fresh Suit. The latter request was complied with and a Suit filed before the Hindoo Sudr Ameen, but as the Amount claimed was beyond the cognizance of that Officer, it was transferred to the

Sub.

Fort St. George 21st October 1851.

Sub Court. The object of the action was to set aside under Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802, the Cowles granted to the defendants by the Zemindar of Shevagunga for the time being on the grounds that the lands were not entirely waste when so granted and that the said Cowles were fraudulently obtained. The Sub Court in O.S. No. 37 of 1847 dismissed the Plaintiffs claim on the Villages with costs and thus recognized the right of a Zemindar to alienate waste on a permanent Cowle. The following are the grounds of dismissal.

7/Encls:1

1st That certain documents filed by defendants clearly proved that the Villages claimed by the Plaintiff were leased out to them by the Zemindar specifying the boundaries in the clearest manner. The Plaintiff had entirely failed in bringing any evidence to weaken the claims of the defendants, as based upon these Cowles.

2nd That the decree of the Sub Court was explicit in pointing out the extent of land situated in

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

in the 2 Villages claimed by the Plaintiff as being the property of the defendants, and stated that they were set forth in the Cowles held by them.

3rd That no evidence had been adduced in proof of the existence of any cultivation within the boundaries granted by the Cowles prior to the date of such grants except that at the time the Cowles were granted, the Villages consisted of dense jungle which defendants had been at the pains of clearing.

This decision was not considered satisfactory and accordingly an appeal Suit No. 39 of 1850 was instituted in the Civil Court of Madura. This Court confirmed the judgment of the Sub Court upon these grounds.

8/Encls. No. 2.

1st That there was no evidence whatever that the Cowledars practised any frauds which could under their Cowles null. the so called frauds consisted on the appellants own showing of neglect and carelessness on the part of his ancestor, or his servants.

2. That the Cowles which the appellant wished to be set aside are valid and binding

bindings, and that the lands alluded to in O.S. No. 41 of 1843 are within the limits therein defined whatever other lands may or may not have been so situated.

9/399 To Madura 24th Feby 1851.

With reference to these decisions the Board desired the Collector to state whether, in his judgment, they were reconcilable with the Regulations and papers quoted in previous communication. In reply, Mr. Parker stated that the Board's Orders to the

10/400 To Madura 24th February 1851.

11/618 From Do. 8th Inst. 24th March — "

12/613/399 To Do. 24th Feby.

Collector of Shunglepet, which he presumed were founded upon the letter of the Sudr Udalut to Government declares that Section XX Regulation XXX of 1802 does not legalize the alienation of waste land by a Zemindar in perpetuity at a rate below the proper assessment with such a doctrine respecting Permanent Cowles, he conceived the decrees of the Madura Court to be altogether irreconcilable, and therefore proposed to move for revision of judgment. In his letter Mr. Parker also explained that the supposition of the

11/Para 5

the legality of Permanent Cowles which has hitherto obtained in Madura arose from the Sudr Adalut having acquiesced in opinion with the late Principal Collector that Permanent Cowles were not alienations such as are forbidden by Section XII Regulation XXX of 1802, and therefore refrained from ordering them to be cancelled, although they had directed the resumption of alienations in general. The Board approved of the Collector's proposal that a motion for revision of judgment should first be made to the Civil Judge of Madura.

13/Enclosure No. 1.

16/605 Res. to Madura 24th March 1851.

Application was accordingly made on the ground that Permanent Cowles by Zemindars were null and void as being in their very nature without the scope of Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 the motion was supported by the documents forwarded by the Board.

17/1109. From Madura 9 encls. 19th May 1851.

18/600 To Madura 24th Febr 1851

The Civil Judge declined to ask permission to review his judgment for these reasons.

19/Encl. No. 1 From Madura 9 encls. 19th May 1851.

1st That the documents exhibited by Applicant in support of his construction

of

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

The Appeal urges that waste land capable of being made valuable by cultivation are "valuable" lands and as such come within the prohibition of the Sudder - and that what follows about Puttahs in para 5 of the Sudr Adalats' letter implies that an eventual full Teerwah was involved in the Puttah.

The Sudder Court confirmed the Original Decree.* They observe that para 5 of their letter of 22d April 1831 declares that the parties may in the Puttah enter into such arrangements as they may think proper, and they further observe that the Privy Council in the case of Nelady Row /see note to Section XV. Regulation XXX of 1802. Campbell's edition/ ruled that it was perfectly competent for a Zemindar to alienate waste and uncultivated lands.

In

* From Madura 8th December 1851. Enclosure In Cons 21st Oct. 1851.

Fort St. George 21st October 1852

C.

In the Privy Council.

In Appeal from Madras

Between

Sree Raja Row Vencata Niladry Row

Appellant -

and

Vutchanoy Vencatapatty Baz - Respondent

Judgment
of the
Judicial Committee of the Privy Council
27th June 1834.

The Chief Judge of the Bankruptcy Court / Mr. Erskine /

This was an Appeal by Sree Raja Row Vencata Niladry Row against a Decree of the Sudder Demany Adawlut at Madras Confirming a Decree of the Provincial Court of the Northern Division.

The subject in dispute is the title to the rents and profits of a hamlet called Jaggapuntty sagaram consisting of one hundred and seventy seven acres of land, locally situated within the Mootah of

of Kirlumpoody, which was formerly part of the Zemindary of Peddapoor, & within the Zillah of Rajahmundry

In the year 1818 the Revenues payable by the Zemindar of Peddapoor having fallen in arrear the Mootah of Kirlumpoody was sold by the Collector to satisfy the arrears - The Appellant became the purchaser; but in 1819 resold the Mootah to his Nephew Cotaghery Nilladry Row, who thereby as correctly stated in the Appellants' Case, was substituted for the Zemindar of Peddapore he would be liable to the Government for all future assessments upon the Mootah, and would be entitled to receive from the Ryots all the rents which at the time of the sale were payable to the Zemindar of Peddapore. The Mootah originally consisted of two Villages only Kirlumpoody and Chillungy. About the year 1784, a jungle lying between the two Villages partly situated in one and partly in the other, was cleared and brought into Cultivation, and formed into a distinct hamlet, under the name of

of Iggapully nagarum. This hamlet was at the time of the sale, and had been for some time, but for how long was a matter of dispute, in the occupation of the Respondent's Vutchavoy Vencatapully Raz who had received and appropriated to his own use the Rents and profits of the land. Cotaghery Nilladry Row conceiving that he was entitled to the rents of this hamlet as parcel of the Mootah of Kirlumpoody which he had purchased, filed his plaint in the Provincial Courts in the Northern Division against the Respondent, and claimed the Rents received since the date of the sale, amounting to 6,466 Siccа Rupees, and 1 anna and 3 pice. The Respondent resisted the claim and defended his right to the rents by virtue of a grant made in 1778 by Rajah Sree Vutchavoy Iggapully Raz, the then Zemindar of Peddapore, by which the Rajah declared that he had given to the Respondent as Inamyam, one hundred and Eighty Veesums of land which had never been Cultivated, and which was overrun with wood, situated between the two Villages of Chellangy and Kirlumpoody, appertaining to

to the talook of Kirnmoor, and that the Respondent was to cut down the jungle on that ground, and build thereon a village bearing the Rajah's name. The Plaintiff insisted that the Zemindar had no right to alienate as Inam and any lands upon which the permanent assessment of the Revenue to Government had been fixed, and that as the permanent Settlement had been fixed on the talook of Peddapoor on the basis of the Circuit Committee account, and as these lands were included in those accounts, the Respondent had no right to the rents of lands which formed part of the Mootah, and had been conveyed to him by virtue of the public sale of the Zemindar's rights thereon.

Upon the hearing of the Pleadings read, the Provincial Court required that amongst other things the Plaintiff should prove that the lands he claimed were strictly jeroyetty lands at the period of the permanent Settlement with the Zemindar of Peddapore.

The Plaintiff accordingly produced

Extracts

Extracts from the accounts of the Circuit Committee for the Villages of Pirlumpoody and Chillungy and other documents, with a view to establish that fact, and to shew that the Revenue had been actually Collected from the hamlets of Duggapatty-nagarum as part of the Mootah of Pirlumpoody; and he also examined several witnesses with a view to prove the same fact, and also to shew that the Revenue had been Collected from the lands in Duggapatty-nagarum for the Government, and that till within a very few years, the Zemindar, and not the Respondent, had received the Rents of the hamlets.

The Respondent in his defence, produced the puttah or deed of 1778, the subsistance of which I have already stated by which, as he alleged, the Raja Duggaputty Raz Conveyed to him the land in dispute, purporting to be signed by the Rajah, but without any attestation, and he examined several witnesses, one of whom deposed to his having himself written the puttah in the year 1778, and seen Raja Duggaputty Raz sign and seal it, and two other witnesses swore that they

they were also present and saw it executed. Some of his witnesses also swore to the land having been jungle and uncultivated at the time of the grant, and that the Respondent brought it into cultivation and built a tank, and had enjoyed the profits of it ever since. Some of them also swore that the land of Jugga=puttymagarum was not included in the accounts of the Circuit Committee, and that it had never paid any rent or re=venue to the Zemindar or the Govern=ment, and for the purpose of satisfying the Court that the land in dispute was not included in the admeasurement specified in the accounts of the Circuit Committee, the Respondent petitioned the Court to have the lands measured, and to compare the actual admeasure=ments with the quantities specified in the accounts of the Circuit Committee. The Court declined to delay the judgment for the purpose of admeasurement, and on the 18th day of November 1822 pronounced its Decree in favour of the Respondent, dismissing the Plaintiff's Suit

suit with costs, upon the ground that the pattahs set up by the Defendant was sufficiently proved by his witnesses, and was not impeached by the evidence in the other side, and that it was clearly proved by all the evidence, that the Res=pondent had been in possession of the land some years before the sale, and that the Plaintiffs had failed to make out that the lands in question were either included in the Circuit Committee accounts, or that it formed part of the proyetty land of the Peddapoor Zemindary when the per=manent assessment was fixed, and therefore that if the lands were held by an invalid title, it was for the Collector and not the Zemindar to call it in question.

Pending the proceedings the Plaintiff Cotaghery Niladry Row, died, and an Ap=peal having been preferred by his widow before the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut the present Appellant was admitted as joint Appellant with her, and on the 5th of May 1825, the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut pronounced its Decree, concurring in the judgment of the Provincial Court

Fort St George 21st October 1852

Court, and dismissing the appeal.-
Against these Decrees the present appeal
has been made to his Hir Majesty in Council,
and the appellant Contends, that those
Decrees should be Reversed for the reasons
stated in his Case of appeal, and it was
pressed with great ability in the argument,
that as all lands prima facie are subject
to the payment of Revenue to the Government,
and as these lands were admitted to be
locally situated within the Mootah of
Kirlumpoody and to have appertained
to the Villages of Kirlumpoody and
Chittungly, the land must be assumed
to have been included in the permanent
assessment until the contrary be proved.
That the Provincial Court therefore was
wrong in casting the onus of proof on the
Plaintiff below, and that as the De:
=fendants had not made out any legal
exemption, the Plaintiff was entitled to
Recover the Rents he claimed, or at all
events to have his Case Reconsidered. But
that, if the burthen of proof had been
properly cast on the Plaintiff, that is
evidence proved that the land

ques

Fort St George 21st October 1852

question were included in the permanent
assessment as jeroyetty lands in 1818.-

Without entering into the question
whether the Provincial Court was right in
calling upon the Plaintiff to prove that the
land in question was jeroyetty land included
in the permanent assessment of 1802, or whether
the Plaintiff below might have been justified
in resting his claim upon the Prima facie
Case, which he now contends would have
been sufficient to cast upon the Defendant
the burthen of making out his exemption,
it is enough to remark, that the Plaintiff
in his Plaints, rested his Case upon the
fact, that the lands in question were in:
=cluded in the permanent Settlement
as jeroyetty lands. That when the Pro:
=vincial Court required him to establish
that fact in proof, he made no objection
to the course prescribed, but produced
documentary and oral testimony to esta:
=blish the fact alleged in his plaint,
which was met by contradictory evidence
on the other side, and that although
the Decree of the Provincial Court rested
mainly

mainly upon the negative ground, that the Plaintiff had failed to prove the fact alleged; yet that the objection, now taken, formed no part of the complaint upon appeal to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut; but that the ground relied upon was, that the Plaintiff below had clearly proved that the lands in question were included in the Circuit Committee accounts: But the most Conclusive answer to this objection is found in the judgment of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, which proceeded upon the opinion of that Court, that upon the whole of the evidence before them, the hamlet of Suggapullymagarum was not included in the permanent settlement, and that the Defendant had made out in proof his title to the rents which the Plaintiff might recover. Unless therefore, it can be shewn, that the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut formed an erroneous judgment upon that head, it will be unnecessary to enquire whether the burthen of proof rested upon the Plaintiff or Defendant-

The puttah under which the Defendants

Defendants claimed was sworn to by three Witnesses present at its execution, and was only impeached by inference drawn from disputed facts, and both the Provincial Court and the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut Considered the instrument satisfactorily proved to be genuine. That the Defendant had been in possession of the disputed land for some time prior to the sale, was admitted even by the evidence produced by the Plaintiff himself; that he had occupied it from the date of the puttah in 1778, had cleared it and brought it into cultivation and had always held it clear of rent and revenue, was directly sworn to by several witnesses, whose evidence, though opposed by conflicting testimony, was credited by the Court below-

Now, although the date of the puttah would be and answer at once to any claim set up against the Government, whose right to set aside the grant is clearly reserved by the Regulations of 1802, yet as, at the date of the grant, the lands are admitted

to

to have been waste and uncultivated, it was perfectly competent for the Zemindar to alienate them, and in the letter from the Board of Revenue upon which the Plaintiff relied in his Plaint, it is admitted that the Zemindar has no interest in any lands excluded from the assets upon which the permanent assessment was fixed.

The permanent Revenue was assessed upon the lands included in the accounts of the Circuit Committee. The Respondent alleges, that the lands in question are not included in those accounts, and therefore they were not included in the permanent settlement. - The accounts are produced, the hamlet is not specified by name, but an explanation is given and evidence produced, to shew that these lands were included in the Jeroyetty lands of the Villages of Kirlumpoody and Chillangy. The Provincial Court thought that the evidence did not make out that fact, the Sudder's Dewanny Adawlut were of opinion that upon the whole evidence, they were not included in the settlement and

And their Lordships are of opinion that they ought not to disturb the judgment of the Court below unless they are satisfied that their Conclusion upon this fact is erroneous. They have examined the evidence on both sides with much attention, and have applied to it the observations urged during the argument, and although I am not authorized to say that the evidence convinces their Lordships that the lands in question were excluded from the Circuit Committee accounts

11985

Fort St. George 21st. October 1852

accounts I am directed to acquaint

their Lordships unanimous opinion

that there is nothing in the ca-

case which enables them to

decide that the Sudder Dewanny

Adawlut was wrong in coming

to that conclusion.

Their Lordships there-

-fore feel it to be their duty to

to advise His Majesty to dismiss

the appeal with Costs.

D.G.

Read

11985. Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Read the following Letter

No. 84

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

33

Bd. No. 2357

From the Collector of Canara --

States in reply to the Board's Proceedings 2d. September that no material difficulty has been experienced in receiving the Bombay Salt and suggesting the expediency of admitting it as on Certificate of Trade on payment of the excise duty of 90 Rupees Pr. Garce

No. 1.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 2d. September 1852 and to reply to the question whether I have experienced any difficulty on the receipt of Bombay Salt, and if so, in what manner it should in my judgment be overcome.-

2. I beg to state that I have not experienced any material difficulty. The Bombay Salt is received by the measures established by the Board and converted into Bombay Maunds on the Certificates given to the Merchants. The annexed table will shew the per centage of loss.-

3 There is no doubt great difficulty in determining what is the proper per centage to be allowed for loss during the voyage and in delivery, but I think whatever the allowance may be, it is undecidedly preferable that it be made distinctly by using the same measure at

at both places and writing off this quantity than by adopting a large measure at Bombay and a small one on the Coast.-

4. When this allowance is once fixed I think that the merchants will easily settle the question by adjusting the price to the prospect of gain or loss

5. But there is an inherent difficulty in the arrangement of a monopoly which I think must entail loss on the Government. If the trader delivers more than the quantity, he only receives the price say 2½ Rupees per Garce. if he meets with bad weather or the salt is pilfered by his crew, he has to pay the price, plus 90 Rupees or 118 Rupees on all that is deficient. So great a risk raises the price of the whole quantity to the Government and thus the monopoly becomes a very wasteful trade.-

6 The question thus forcibly upon us, is the monopoly necessary as far as Bombay Salt is concerned.-

7. Bombay salt is manufactured under excise laws, the manufacture being guarded by a very expensive Establishment The Salt excised at 90 Rupees for 120 Maunds is then free for the trade of the Bombay Presidency

8. I think that if it should be admitted

admitted into this Presidency on the same terms, the article would be cheapened to the Consumer, a great deal of fraud would be saved by the whole transaction being left to private parties and the revenue of Government be thereby greatly increased.-

9 The Government will then receive 90 Rupees on every 120 Maunds which leave the pans of Bombay.-

10. The only question appears to me to be whether the Merchants will take the trade into their hands. Of this I feel not the least doubt although it may be only gradually that they will do so, and the experiment is perfectly safe, for the Government Monopoly will only be discontinued as the people find the Salt cheaper elsewhere.-

11. At present a double expense is incurred by the Bombay Government, to guard the manufacture, by the Madras Government, to conduct the monopoly.-

+ Para 7. 12. I have already written fully on this subject in my + letter of the 3d. September 1851, and I still think that if the same concession should be made with reference to Bombay Salt as has been made with reference to that of Arabia and Goa, the experiment will be fairly tried

13. A great deal of Bombay Salt now goes to Bengal and I believe it is there admitted on the same Conditions as that of England and Arabia, and Competes with the monopoly Salt of Bengal. A similar arrangement with reference to the ports of this Coast appears to me to be desirable.-

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 22d September, 1852.

(Signed) F. A. Malthy Collector.

Statement.

9	4	2030		150	37	40	7	6/16			
	23	1960		131	19	47	6	13/16			
	22	2100		131	26	18	6	4/16			
	21	1730		89	17	26	3	2/16			
	20	625		37	33	9	7	3/16			
	19	2800		178	19	41	9	15/16			
	18	1120		110	33	39/2	9	4/16			
	17	840		73	28	40	8	13/16			
	16	1400		77	18	79	3	5/16			
	15	770		53	39	26	7				
	14	980		44	7	40	8	8/16	38	1820	116	29'0	6/2
	13	630		73	11	46	12				
	12	700		26	12	76	8		36	1400	30	20 60	3/2
	11	1960		130	14	52	6	0/16	34	1540	75	27"	3

9	4	2030		150	37	40	7	6/16	48	770	63	29'30	10 1/8
	23	1960		131	19	47	6	13/16	47	910	68	30 "	7/2
	22	2100		131	26	18	6	4/16	46	1190	49	28 25	4/8
	21	1730		89	17	26	3	2/16	45	980	64	13 30	6/2
	20	625		37	33	9	7	3/16	44	1190	138	14 17	13/4
	19	2800		178	19	41	9	15/16	43	770	62	24 45	9
	18	1120		110	33	39/2	9	4/16	42	1400	81	16 18	5 3/4
	17	840		73	28	40	8	13/16	41	1050	78	7 24	7/2
	16	1400		77	18	79	3	5/16	40	770	55	12 13	8
	15	770		53	39	26	7		39	770	19	22 14	3 3/4

11990

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

	Indian Measures.	Ind. Measures.	S. T.	
49	1050	102 2	"	9 3/4
50	1120	46 21	35	4 3/16
51	910	65 26	37	7 1/4
52	700	1 38	12	1/4
53	700	47 6	35	6 3/4
54	1400	60 2	49	4 1/4
55	1400	61 30	"	4 7/16
56	840	40 34	61	4 7/8
57	1190	117 37	48	9 7/8
58	700	25 10	30	3 5/8
59	1470	33 38	67	3 5/8
60	980	45 32	27	4 13/16
61	1330	29 15	4	2 3/16
62	2100	52 7	32	2 1/2
63	1260	46 39	25	3 3/4
64	700	34 7	19	7 3/4
65	1120	68 39	78	6 3/16
66	2800	233 11	46	8 3/8
67	800	58 6	1	7 1/4
68	2380	118 9	11	5
69	700	33 38	44	4 7/8
70	525	9 29	75	1 3/4
71	2100	156 25	66	7 7/16
72	1260	55 38	39	4 1/2

Mangalore, 22d. September 1852.

	Indian Measures.	Ind. Measures.	S. T.	
73	1750	230 36	66	13 3/16
74	420	37 33	60	9
75	910	39 21	74	4 6/16
76	1190	72 18	6	6 1/16
77	1585	56 14	77	3 9/16
78	630	34 6	37	5 7/16
79	1190	124 15	74	10 7/16
80	980	76 27	65	7 7/8
81	630	31 2	73	4 15/16
82	1400	83 37	25	6
83	2450	138 32	30	5 11/16
84	770	77 11	44	10
85	770	54 33	"	7 1/16
86	1960	90 19	60	4 5/8
87	1680	79 30	71	4 3/4
88	1960	213 22	11	10 7/8
89	1100	127 2	30	11 9/16
90	3600	189 9	21	5 1/4
91	980	64 24	69	7
92	1680	149 16	27	9
93	700	82 10	32	11 3/4
94	1010	21 24	30	2 1/8
95	1400	103 12	23	7 6/16

Signed F. N. Maltby
Collector.

Ordered

11990

Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

	Indian Measures.	Ind. Measures S. T.		
49	1050	102 2 "		9 3/4
50	1120	46 21 35		4 3/16
51	910	65 26 37		7 1/4
52	700	1 38 12		1/4
53	700	47 6 35		6 3/4
54	1400	60 2 49		4 1/4
55	1400	61 30 "		4 7/16
56	840	40 34 61		4 7/8
57	1190	117 37 48		9 7/8
58	700	25 10 30		3 5/8
59	1470	33 38 67		3 5/8
60	980	43 32 27		4 13/16
61	1330	29 15 4		2 3/16
62	2100	52 7 32		2 1/2
63	1260	46 39 25		3 3/4
64	700	54 7 19		7 3/4
65	1120	68 39 78		6 3/16
66	2800	233 11 46		8 3/8
67	800	58 6 1		7 1/4
68	2380	118 9 11		5
69	700	33 38 44		4 7/8
70	525	9 29 75		1 3/4
71	2100	136 25 66		7 7/16
72	1260	55 38 59		4 1/2

Mangalore, - -
22d. September 1852.

	Indian Measures.	Ind. Measures S. T.		
73	1750	230 36 66		13 3/16
74	420	37 33 60		9
75	910	39 21 74		4 6/16
76	1190	72 18 6		6 1/16
77	1585	56 14 77		3 9/16
78	630	34 6 37		5 7/16
79	1190	124 15 74		10 7/16
80	980	76 2 65		7 7/8
81	630	31 2 73		4 15/16
82	1400	83 37 25		6
83	2430	138 32 30		5 11/16
84	770	77 11 44		10
85	770	54 33 "		7 7/16
86	1960	90 19 60		4 3/8
87	1680	79 30 71		4 3/4
88	1960	213 22 11		10 7/8
89	1100	127 2 30		11 9/16
90	3600	189 9 21		5 1/4
91	980	64 24 69		7
92	1680	149 16 27		9
93	700	82 10 32		11 3/4
94	1010	21 24 30		2 1/8
95	1400	103 12 23		7 6/16

Signed F. N. Maltby
Collector.

Ordered

11991 Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

33.34 Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read the undermentioned

35 Bd. No. 2549 Lists.

From the Collector of Malabar - - - - - List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September 1852.

36 Bd. No. 2548

From the Collector of Malabar - List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th September 1852.

35.37 Order on the 2 abovementioned Lists recorded.-

Ordered to lie

38 Bd. No. 2533

Registers.-

Read the undermentioned

From the Collector of Nellore - - - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of September 1852.

39 Bd. No. 2529

From the Collector of Bellary - - - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petition referred by the Board for the Month of September 1852

38.40 Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received

11992 Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

41 M. No. 1088

From the Collector of Masulipatam. - Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 31st July 1852.-

42 M. No. 1079

From the Collector of Tanjore. - Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 31st August 1852.-

43 M. No. 1089

From the Collector of Masulipatam. - Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July 1852.-

44 M. No. 1080

From the Collector of Tanjore - - - - Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of August 1852.-

45 M. No. 1081

From the Collector of Tanjore - - - Register of the State of the River Cauvery at the Annicut for the month of August 1852.

46 M. No. 1086

From the Collector of Tanjore - - - Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September 1852.-

47 M. No. 1076

From the Collector of Nellore - - Reporting that no persons were tried or confined under Regulation

4993 Fort St. George 21st October 1852.

48 Regulation IX of 1822. for the month of
M. No. 1087 September 1852.—

From the Sub Collector in charge of Madura. Reporting that
49 certain Statements were not forwarded
M. No. 1059 for the month of September last.—

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing
the quantity of Salt in store, and ready
for Exportation, on account of the Chitta
50 gong Indent for 1851/52 up to 30th
M. No. 1084 September 1852.—

From the Collector of Nellore Statement shewing
the quantity of Salt in store and ready
for Exportation on account of the
Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 up to 8th
October 1852.—

Comp: D. Pitts

// Goldingham

// W. Blane
//