B/R (ল)

Vol. 2331

271/68

6216/RH/15.

6364/60

A60

5 9 55

233

Contents

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		On 14 June 1852.	
1164	Pro. to Canara	Returning certain Statements	6794
1364	From Govt	Tobacco Monopoly, Coimbre	"
1112	To Coimbre & Vza	Abolition of the above	6796
1096	To Govt	Delivered over charge of Office to Mr Cunliffe	6797
1097	To do	Mr Cunliffe received charge of Office	"
1098	To Civil Audr	On the above	6798
1295	From Govt	Holidays granted to Clerks	6799
1238	From Govt	Relative to the introduction of the China Cotton Gin in certain districts	6802
1122	Order Bellary & Vza	Commg the above	6808
1275	From Govt	Relative to the grant of Pensions to Public Servants	"
1254	From Govt	On the Settlement report of S. Arcot for F. 1260, &c	6810
1144	Order S. Arcot	Commg the above	6818
1296	From Govt	Increased rate of Salary to Head Shr, S. Arcot, Sanct	"
1146	Order S. Arcot	Commg the above	6819
1294	From Govt	Increased rate of Salary to Head Shr, Tinnevelly, Sanct	"
1138	Order Tinny	Commg the above	6820
1311	From Govt	Balance due by the late Proprietor of Prumbakum Moolah, to be written off the Accounts - Chingleput	6821
1147	Order Chingt	Commg the above	"
1312	From Govt	Charges incurred for the Survey of certain Estates in Canara - Sanctioned	6822
1127	Order Canara	Commg the above	"
1302	From Govt	On the Extra Sources of Rev. in Kurnool for F. 1260 and the abolition of certain taxes	"
1158	Order Kurnool	Commg the above	6824
1307	From Govt	Irrecoverable balances of Land Rev. to be written off the Accounts of Tanjore, for F. 1240 to 1257	6825
1148	Order Tanjore	Commg the above	"
1358	From Govt	Respecting the Establishment of a Trade or Caravandary at Negapatam by Mr Minchin, Asst Coll	"
1157	Order Tanjore	Commg the above	6829

Board's No:	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1320	From Comm.r N.a Circars	Forwarding certain Salt Statements	6830
1141	To do.	Returning a Salt Statement &c.a	6830
1217	From Nellore	On the dismissal of Patt.r No: 19 & 20 of 1852, Salt Officer	6834
1135	Pro. do.	Endorsement on the above	6835
1271	From Cuddapah	On Patt.r No: 898 complaining of certain taxes &c.a	6847
1136	Pro. do.	Endorsement on the above	"
1277	From Chingl.t	Charges for the removal of a Coffin from Ennore to Madras	6859
1152	Pro. Govt.	Subj.t the above for Sanction	6860
1303	From Chingl.t	Establishment for the management of attached Estates &c.a	6862
1132	Pro do.	Sanct.d the above	"
1365	From Chingl.t	On the offer made by Mr. Yonrick for the Abkarry rent	6867
1340	From Madras	Discontinuance of certain distilleries	6868
	To Chingl.t Madras	On the abkarry rent & distilleries	6869
938	From N. Arcot	Respecting the Avileondah Jaghire	6870
1149	Pro. do.	On the above	6871
1248	From Salem	Claim of Syed Tyoolla Sahib to compensation for land taken from him	6874
1145	Pro do.	Declining to submit the above to Govt.	6875
1246	From N. Arcot	Reporting on Patt.r 919 of 1852 regg.d the levy of Kistwar	6876
1124	Pro. to do.	On the above	6878
1378	From Tanjore	Statement of Abkarry rents	6888
1120	Pro. to do.	Sanct.d the offers for the above	6889
1220	From Trichy.	Translation of Aryzee from the Tahsildar of Moosery regg.d emigration of Pullers	6892
1255	From Trichy.	Subj.t translations of the above &c.a	"
1143	Pro. to do.	On the above	6895
1192	From Madura	Reporting on Patt.r No: 1692 of 1851 regg.d claim to an Ambalum Maravadee	6898
1125	Pro do.	Endorsement on the above	6901
1236	From Madura	For granting Pamission on withered Crops &c.a	6904
1126	Pro. to do.	On the above	6905
1267	From do.	Employment of a Roydum under Surveyor Kemp.t	6906
1139	Pro. do.	Authorizing the above	6907
1366	From Madura	Statements of offers for Abkarry farms	6908
1119	Pro. do.	Sanct.d the above	6912
1260	From Coimb.re	Translation of an Agreement from the Burghers & the Lands on the Neilgherries	6913
1347	From do.	Calling for a copy of Regn.	6915
1153	To Govt.	Subj.t the above for Sanction	6916
1239	From Canara	Requesting Sanction to draw Rent, Allow.ce &c.a	6917
1134	Pro. to do.	On the above	6918
1261	From do.	Revised Statement of D.C. & B. for April 1852	6919
1128	To do.	On the above	6922
840	From Malabar	Copy of Papers sent to the Bombay Govt. on the introduction of the new Purrah Measure, for Salt	6923
1140	To do.	Enquiring whether a Reply has been received from Bombay reg.g arrangements for receiving & selling Salt	6940
1228	From Madras	Lands on the North Side of the Black Town Walls	6941
1150	Pro do.	On the above	6942
1270	From Madras	Patt.r from a Toddy Shopkeeper complaining against the Servants of the Abkarry Depart.t	6943
1137	Pro do.	On the above	6954
1240	From Coll.r Sea Customs	Respecting the pay & allow.ces &c.a of the Head Clerk	6955
1154	To Govt.	Subj.t the above	6960
1279	From Presd.t Com.r Public Works	Batta to Maramut Servants	6961
1159	Pro. to do.	On the above	6963
1164	From Acc.ts Genl.	Interest of the funds of the Marmalong Bridge Charity	"
1133	Order, D.P.W.	Comm.g the above	6964
"	To Vicar St. Tho.s Mount	Estimate for the repair of the Steps, St. Tho.s Mount	"
1305	From Sub Treasurer	Bills of Charges &c.a	6965
1151	To Govt.	Subj.t the above	6967
1276	From Supt. Stamps	Balance due to Alpavoo Reddy for Paper &c.a	"
1142	Asst. to do.	Sanct.d the above	6968
1123	To Kurnool	Calling for certain Statements	"

Book No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con.t 17 June	
1130	From Madras	Respecting the property called "Brunton Villa"	6971
1174	Pro, thereon	On the above	6973
1293	From Govt.	Instructions regg. Statety. of Contingent Charges	6977
1308	From d.o	Bill for Native Almanacs - Sanctd.	6978
1280	From d.o	Charges retrenched in the Accounts of Salem, Sanctd.	6979
1184	Order Salem	Commg. the above	6981
1281	From Govt.	Relative to the Settlement of certain minor Estates Fyng.	"
1160	Order Tinny.	Commg. the above	6983
1333	From Govt.	For entertaining an Establishment for the Travellers Bungalow at Antapoor	6984
1185	Order to Bellary	Commg. the above	6986
1334	From Govt.	Sale of lands on the Pulney Hills	6987
1186	Order Madura	Commg. the above	6988
1355	From Govt.	Disposal of a lapsed Enam - Nellore	"
1166	Order Nellore	Commg. the above	6989
1327	From Govt.	Sanctg certain charges - Guntoor & Tanjore	"
1162	Order Guntoor Tanjore	Commg. the above	6990
1354	From Govt.	Disposal of lapsed Enams - Trichy	"
1168	Order Trichy	Commg. the above	6991
1353	From Govt.	Disbursement of Arrears of Pyomial due to Sheik Hussain &c.	6992
1167	Order Bellary	Commg. the above	"
1329	From Govt.	Dr. Royles Work on Cotton culture for distribution	"
1171	To Dr. Wight	On the above	6993
1310	From Govt.	For writing off the Wastage of Salt &c.	6995
1190	Circular	Commg. the above	6996
1393	From Govt.	Reduction in the duty on Salt imported from Arabia	6997
1177	Order Malabar	Commg. the above	6998
1369	From Govt.	Lands granted to Messrs Steinback & Owen	"
1212	Order Malabar	Commg. the above	"
1215	From Mr. Bicairs	Timber for railway Sleepers	6999
1182	To Mily. Board	On the above	7000
	From Rajah of Nellore	Salt Statements	7002

Book No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1283	From Chingt.	Lands proposed to be ceded by the Proprietor of Arnachella Moodyr Choultry &c.	7003
1173	To Govt.	Subj. correspondence on the above	7005
1356	From S. Arcot	On Pttn No. 32 of 1851 respecting the restoration of a Tank in Cavery pauk Talook	7007
1172	To Govt.	On the above	7009
966	From Salem	Respecting the Agraharum of Andapoorum, Salem	7011
1222	Pro, to Govt.	On the above	7058
1317	From Salem	Tabular report of Lapsed Enams	7059
1183	Remarks d.o	On the above	7060
1322	From S. Arcot	Pttn No. 110 of 1852 regg. arrears of Duttamanium Tenure	"
1193	Pro. to Govt.	On the above	7062
1300	From Tanjore	Bill for Extra Tent, Allowce. to Mr. Sullivan	7063
1165	To Civil Audr.	On the above	7065
1392	From Tanjore	Return of Tahsildars	7066
1227	From Trichy	Pttn No. 519 of 1852 regg. claim to certain Muntapum	7071
1188	Pro. d.o	Commg. Endorsement on the above	7073
1371	From Madura	Papers regg. Suit No. 35 of 1849, of the Puttanendal Village in the Shevagunga Zemy.	7074
1178	Pro. d.o	On the above	7118
1289	From Coimbre	Respecting the Burghers near Jackatalla Barracks &c.	7120
1187	Pro. to d.o	On the above	7122
1314	From Canara	Sale of land	"
1163	Pro. d.o	Confirming the above	7126
1229	From Madras	Salt Statement	7127
1335	From d.o	Certificate to Mr. Cochrane for land at Cuddapah	7128
1194	Pro. Govt.	Accomg. the above	7132
1357	From Madras	For inserting a Notice in the Gazette regg. the sale of Liquors	"
1169	Pro. d.o	Permitting the above	"
1053	From Acct. Genl.	Statets. of the Rev. Accounts	7133
1195	To Govt.	Respecting the late Tahsildar of Kurnool	7163
1181	To Cuddapah &c.	Calling for report on certain Act	7165
1156	To Coimbre	" Repair of Chuttrums	"
1170	To d.o	" On the decision of the Boundary Commissioners	7166

Diary

(1)

No. 1338

From the Collector of Canara

8th June 1852.

Received Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed in his District for fusly 1262.

(1-2)

Bo. No. 1164.

Proceedings thereon.

12th

Resolved that the foregoing Statement be returned to the Collector with reference to the Board's Proceedings of this date conveying the orders of Government for the abolition of the Tobacco monopoly.

---

(3)

Bo. No. 1364.

From the Secretary to Government.

Received the following Extract

No. 530.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 8th June 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

/ Here enter 31st May 1852. No. 220 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Board of Revenue have assigned valid reasons for altering the period at which with approval of the Honorable Court of Directors

Diary

(1)

8th June 1852.

No. 1338

From the Collector of Canara. Received Statement of

sums proposed to be disbursed in his Dis-

(1-2) trict for fasly 1262.

Bo. No. 1164

Proceedings 12th thereon. Resolved that the foregoing

Statement be returned to the Collector with

reference to the Board's Proceedings of this

date conveying the orders of Government for

the abolition of the Tobacco monopoly.

---

(3) Received the following Extract

Bo. No. 1364 No. 530.

From the Secretary to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 8th June 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 31st May 1852 No. 220 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Board of Revenue have assigned valid reasons for altering the period at which with approval of the Honorable Court of Directors

Directors, the Tobacco Monopoly is to terminate, from the expiration of the ensuing Fusly 1262 or 11th July 1853 to the close of the current Calendar year or 31st December 1852 and he resolved that the orders contained in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th ultimo Para 10 be modified according.-

Among the others measures which the Board will have to consider connected with the closing of the Monopoly at the end of the year it will be proper for them to instruct the Collector of Coimbatore to be prepared immediately on the receipt of the Courts' orders sanctioning the abolition to close the Tobacco Godowns discharge the Establishment and remove by proclamation all restrictions on the cultivation so as to admit of a free field being at once opened for the private trade.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / S. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Sent

Sent the following letter.-

(3-4)

To The Collectors of Coimbatore, Canara, and Malabar.

Bo. No. 1112

To The Collectors of Malabar, Coimbatore and Canara.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward, for your information and guidance the correspondence noted in the margin, respecting the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly.-

Extract Minutes Consultation 18th May 1852. No. 451 in Consultation 31st May.
Board to Government 31st May 1852 No. 220
Extract Minutes Consultation 8th June 1852.
No. 530 in Diary Consultation 14th June "

2. You will be so good as to state what measures are necessary, beyond the repeal of the Regulations referred to by Government in Para 10 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th May 1852 for closing the Monopoly at the end of the Current year 1852.-

To Coimbatore only.

3. Your particular attention is called to para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th June 1852.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th June 1852.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Sent

Sent the following letters.

5.

No. 234.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1096.

To The Chief Secretary to Government } Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of Government that I have this day delivered over charge of my Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue to B. Cunliffe Esqre. Sub Secretary being about to proceed to Bimlipatam by the Ship Malabar in accordance with the leave granted to me by Government under date 11th June 1852.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 12th June 1852 } (Signed) W. H. Bayley Secretary

6

No. 235.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1097

To The Chief Secretary to Government } Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of Government that I have this day received charge of the Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue from W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 12th June 1852 } (Signed) B. Cunliffe Sub Secretary.

To

7

12th

To, The Acting Civil Auditor.

B. No. 1098.

To The Acting Civil Auditor } Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of my Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue to B. Cunliffe Esqre. being about to proceed to Bimlipatam by the Ship Malabar in accordance with the leave granted to me by Government under date the 11th June 1852.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 12th June 1852 } (Signed) W. H. Bayley Secretary

8

To, The Acting Civil Auditor.

B. No. 1099

To The Acting Civil Auditor } Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day received charge of the Office of Secretary to the Board of Revenue from W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George, 12th June 1852 } (Signed) B. Cunliffe Sub Secretary

At

At a Meeting Present

Monday, 14th June 1852 } I. Goldingham Esqre Second Member

and

I. L. Blanc Esqre Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member.

Absent on duty
As Commissioner
Northern Circars.—

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Extract.

No. 491.

From the Chief Secretary to Government... } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department dated 27th May 1852.

Relative to the number of Holidays to be granted to Clerks on account of Festivals &c.

Read the following letter from the Military Board.—

Bo. No. 1295.

Bring to notice the great inconvenience felt in the conduct of Public business whenever leave is granted to native Clerks on account of their Festival, and propose, with the sanction of Government, to strike out, as an experimental measure a certain number of days from the list of leave granted to each Class.— / Here enter 26th March 1852 No. 49 /

1. The Governor in Council considers the

the number of Holidays which it has been the practice to allow at the Military Board to be excessive, and approves of the Military Boards proposition to reduce it. He does not however perceive the necessity of making general Holidays to all classes of Public Servants on Festivals and Ceremonials applicable only to particular sects and he thinks it preferable that leave should be given only on such occasions to those whose religion or usage renders their absence from duty necessary.—

2. This has been the rule of late in the Government Office, and the Governor in Council resolves to forward for the information and guidance of the Military Board a list of Holidays allowed in the Government Office which it would be desirable should be adopted by the Offices at the Presidency generally.—

3. The Governor in Council would not however desire to preclude Heads of Departments from granting to their Establishments leave on any very special occasion of the public amusement provided such indulgence is merited by the Servants and the business of the Office permit—it.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.— / A true Extract / Signed / H. C. Montgomery Chief Secretary.

Memorandum

6801

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Memorandum of Holidays allowed in the Government Offices.

	Hindoo			Mahomedan.
General grants Christians by ammended Act—see the Official Gazette.	Servants attending Cattle	Pongal	Days 2	Bukreed 2
		Sivaratri	Days 1	Mohurrum 4.
		Vaikunta Ekadasi	Days 1	Shebishaut 1
		Ramanavami	Days 1	Ramzaun 2.
Christmas } Days 3	Sick	St. Thomas	Days 1	
Easter ... 3	Wed.	Pongal	Days 2	Kripliman
Queens } 2	Days	Aadiperuku Amavasya	Days 1	Feast
Birthdays } 2	Months	Ammavasya	Days 1	in April
	Days	Dasara Feast	Days 1	Krishna
		Deepavalee Feast	Days 1	Janmasta
		Lamar Edupu	Days 1	
		Solar	Days 1	
		By Boonta grace	Days 1	
		Days	Days 8	
		Total	Days 11	

Fort St. George 9th June 1852.

(Signed) H. G. Montgomery.
Chief Secretary.

6802

11

Bd. No. 1238.

From the Chief Secretary to Government.

Ordering that ten sets of the China Cotton cleaning Machine presented by Major Balfour be made up at the Arsenal and forwarded with a Memo explaining the way of working it to Certain Collectors with a request that they will exhibit it to the Natives of their Districts or if necessary have others made for distribution in the principal Cotton Villages and after trial of its efficiency that the result be reported to Goverment

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

No. 481.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 27th May 1852.—

Read the following Memorandum from Major G. Balfour.—

Memorandum by Major George Balfour of the Artillery, Member of the Military Board.—

Having lately received from China a full size Cotton Gin, I beg to offer it for the inspection and disposal of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, believing from personal observation that it is well adapted for cleaning Cotton in this Country; and being on the same principle as the Indian Churka, only modified and improved, it would not probably meet with opposition from the prejudices of the Natives.—

This machine is so cheap. the price at Shanghai being only 1¼ Rupees that every Ryot with the smallest holding might have one in his possession. It is so simple as to be easily Constructed, or repaired by a Common Village Carpenter, it is easily worked Capable of cleaning 100 lbs of Seed Cotton daily and has the great advantage over the saw-Gin of removing the seed without the slightest injury to the fibre. It can be worked inside the house, thus giving employment to the women and children of the Ryots family and

and admitting of the Cotton being, according to the Chinese practice, cleaned the same morning on which gathered, and before the fibres have dried and adhere to the seed; whereby the more easy separation of the seed from the Cotton fibre is ensured— the Cotton being thus cleaned by the family of the Ryot, he would, on account of the additional trouble in cleaning the Cotton probably be more careful when gathering it to prevent the admixture of leaves, earth, sticks &c. and other foreign substances which so greatly depreciate the value of our Cotton, and which if well cleaned would be worth far more to the Ryot, because the first buyer being then better able to distinguish the quality of the Cotton might give a higher price for it and the Ryots thus finding it to their interest to bring perfectly cleaned Cotton to market, might probably be induced to Cultivate a superior description,— always supposing the Indian Cotton to be really of an inferior kind.—

In China so perfect is the System of cleaning Cotton by this simple Machine, that in all the hamlets round about Shanghai, (in the neighbourhood of which the fine Nankin Cotton is extensively grown) during the Cotton producing season, the poorest Chinaman will be seen carrying his Cotton gathered from his own fields and cleaned by

by his own family, to the receiving house opened for the season by the Merchant; where it is at once weighed without the bag Containing the Cotton being even opened, and the owner then and there paid by a Cheque on a Banker, without any doubt being entertained of the Cotton being clean. Limited as our trials have been at Madras, Yet, as several parties have successfully tried the Machine, I have no doubt that very little teaching, and Constant practice would soon render the young girls or boys of a family quite perfect in the use of it; and I hope it may supply a great want in our Cotton Districts and aid our Ryots in improving one of our most important articles of Commerce.

(Signed) G: Balfour
Major.

Description and Instructions for the use of the Chinese Cotton Gin.—

The Gin Consists of two rollers, one of iron and one of hard wood such a Acka, Ebony, Satin, both, about 9 or 10 inches in length the former, one inch in diameter, and the latter about three quarters of an inch. The ends of the rollers rest on a wooden stand and they are placed horizontally one above the other, the iron one uppermost with only sufficient space between to admit of the Cotton fibres passing, and preventing the passage of the seed.—

The wooden stand supporting the rollers

is

is of sufficient height to admit of the Machine being easily worked, as is done in China, by a boy or girl sitting on a three legged stool, and is, on a sufficiently wide base, and of sufficient weight to keep it steady when the rollers are in motion

Attached to one end of the wooden roller is a small crank handle by which the rollers are turned the handle part, about 3 inches, and the crank six inches long. At the opposite end of the roller is a fly bar and the axis on which it turns is a projection about 6 Inches from the iron roller and at the end of this axis and outside the fly bar is placed a small iron ring, to which is attached a string Communicating with a treddle fixed on a moveable joint at the base of the stand, and within four or five inches of the ground.—

At each side of the rollers and in the stand there are two small reservoirs one for receiving the Cotton with the seed, before being cleaned, and the other for receiving the Cotton when cleaned and after passing between the rollers, the seed then falling back into the first reservoir, and the Cotton without the seed into the opposite reservoir.—

When the cleaned Cotton reservoir becomes full the Cotton is transferred to a bag, the Mouth of which is always kept closed to prevent the entrance of dust, but the seed reservoir seldom requires emptying during

during the days work

The worker of the Machine being seated, at the side with his face to the fly bar turns the crank handle with his right hand from right to left whilst with the left feeds the Machine with Cotton, and with his left foot, on the treddle keeps in motion the fly bar, which revolves in the Contrary direction to the handle. The main use of the treddle is to ensure the fly bar revolving in Case it should be checked by the rollers being jammed up with Cotton but the foot should be applied to the treddle as seldom as possible and thus is a Criterion of the Machine being in an efficient working state.—

Considerable power over the Machine is acquired by standing up to work it, and it admits of frequent changes of hands by shifting from one side of the Machine to the other. In order to keep the iron clean nothing more is required than to rub it with Cotton after it has been used, so as to make the iron perfectly bright, but Care must be taken to keep it entirely free from rust, otherwise the rust will be liable to entangle the fibre round the rollers.—

The chief points to be attended to in the use of the Machine are cleanliness in the iron roller and the immediate cleaning of the Cotton when gathered.—

Some

Fort St. George 14th. June 1852.

Some instruction should be given to the Ryot before using the Machine and one man well taught before distributing the Cotton Gins, as otherwise, difficulties may be experienced which are not found to exist in China where the Machine is in Common use in every Cottage. Considering that the value of the Cotton sent from India to China is about 250 Lakhs and that an increase to the Madras trade might be effected, if we could supply a better cleaned article for Commerce, I hope every endeavour will be used to introduce this simple, cheap and efficient Cotton Gin amongst the Ryots of the Country and to give it a fair trial in its present state before either Condemning or trying to improve upon it.-

/Signed/ G. Balfour
Major-

Ordered that ten sets of the China Cotton Cleaning Machine presented by Major Balfour be made up at the Arsenal and forwarded to the Collectors of the Districts noted in the margin with a request that they will exhibit them to the Natives of their Districts or if necessary have others made for distribution in the principal Cotton Villages and that a trial be made of the efficiency of the Machine and the result reported to Government at the end of a year.-

Bellary.
Cuddapah.
Madura.
Tinnevelly.
Coimbatore.

Ordered that one Gin be forwarded

Fort St. George 14th. June 1852.-

forwarded to Dr. Wight

Ordered also that Copies of Major Balfour's Memorandum be printed, and Circulated to the Collectors to whom the Gins, to be made in the Arsenal, are sent, and that a Memorandum explaining the way of working the Machine be prepared in the Arsenal also to be printed and Circulated with the Gin.-

To. the President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

11-12

Bd. No. 1122.

Order thereon

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collectors of the Districts named in the Margin for their information.-

Bellary.
Cuddapah.
Madura.
Tinnevelly.
Coimbatore.

Read the following Circular-

No. 146.-

13

Bd. No. 1275

Circular.

From the Chief Secretary to Government.

The attention, of Government having been drawn to the early age at which public servants, are frequently recommended for Superannuation Pensions, and

and the heavy charge thus entailed on the State for lengthened periods. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to lay down the following Rules for the future guidance of Heads of Office.

I. The maximum rate of Pension sanctioned under the Rules 15th May 1852 shall not be granted to any party under the age of 60 years except under special Circumstances, to be fully stated in the recommendation.

II. A reduction of 1/6th shall be made in the maximum rates between the age of 55 and 60 of 1/5 between that of 55 and 50 and of 1/4 between that of 50 and 40.

III. Under the age of 40 no Pension will be granted except under peculiar Circumstances to be minutely detailed.

IV. Heads of Offices will apportion the rate of Pension recommended to the merits of applicants as prescribed by Clause 4 Rule 5, subject to the foregoing limitations.

V. The attention of Medical Officers is called to the orders of Government dated 4th June 1836 and 23d August 1839 and they are enjoined to exercise due Caution in the issue of Certificates of incapacity for further service.

To, the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

By Order of the Right Honorable the Govr in Council

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary.

13-14

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

15

B. No. 1254.

From the Secretary to Government.

Reviewing the report on the Settlement of the district of South Arcot for Fusly 1260 1850/51 and authorizing the Collector to carry out without delay in communication with the Board, certain reforms, and asking the Board to submit an application for a special Assistant.

Read the following Extract

No. 486.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 28th May 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Reporting on the Settlement of the District of South Arcot for Fusly 1260 1850/51.

/Here enter 26th April 1852. No. 150/

Para 1. The Season of Fusly 1260 in South Arcot opened favorably and continued promising till August after which month the rains, including those of the North East Monsoon failed. The consequence was a large increase in the extent of land cultivated with but a Scanty produce. As a Set off, however the freshes in the three chief rivers of the District the Coleroon Pennar, and Vellaur, were steady and abundant, and the harvest of the lands under their influence was good.

2. Prices were in advance of those of the two preceding Fusles, tho' they still fell short of those of Fusly 1257.

3. The Settlement was formed in the following manner.

Dry

	Rupees	Increase or Decrease comparative with Fusly 1259
Dry land —	13,688	+ 1,53,512
Garden —	24,387	— 305
Wet —	11,69,386	+ 62,665
	25,67,462	+ 215813
Kuppes or Tax for use of Govt. Water	69,724	+ 17,966
	26,37,186	+ 2,33,779
Deductions for land left unsown	1,50,160	— 2,84,934
	24,87,026	+ 5,18,713
Deductions on cultivated land	38,691	+ 9,938
	24,48,335	+ 5,08,775
Add Ready Money Collections	19,479	+ 986
Rents &c.	59,421	— 143
Peishcush	8,940	"
	25,36,177	+ 5,09,618
Deduct Village Sibbendi	1,95,627	+ 31,768
	23,40,550	+ 4,77,851

The

4. The increase in cultivation is in general and extends to all the Talooks. The increase in the deductions on cultivated lands and in the allowances of the Village Officers is in proportion to the larger extent cultivated and on which these remissions are chargeable.—

Report Para 25. 5. Of the total demand Rupees 23,40,550 above stated, the balance at the close of the Fusly was Rupees 1,44,942 — but of this Rupees 91,662 were collected within the month of July. The amount outstanding at the end of December was only Rupees 4,090. The balances of current land revenue in South Arcot, left for recovery after the expiration of the Fusly to which they belonged, have of late years been very heavy and a considerable improvement in this respect has already been effected by Mr. Maltby.—

6. The arrears for previous Fuslies on account of this revenue amounted to Rupees — 40,865, and the balance of Sewaye Jummah or extra revenue to Rupees 15,942. 3—6. These should be adjusted at an early date.—

Para 32 to 37. 7. In the concluding paras of his report Mr. Maltby adverts to the benefits which have been derived from the Coleroon and Vellaur annicuts and discusses the causes which in his opinion have prevented greater

greater advantage being taken of the former
of these works. "The Coleroon Annicut constru-
"ed at a computed cost of Rupees 3,00,000 has
"given an average increase of revenue of about
"90,000 Rupees per annum, in the South Arcot
"District alone, since its completion in 1838 and
"the construction of the Vellaur annicut at an
"outlay of Rupees 1,07,709. has already produced
"an annual increase of revenue to the extent of
"Rupees 19,577." That the former work has not
"been still more successful is ascribed by Mr.
"Maltby to the height of the assessment "which ob-
"liges the land holders to watch the state of the
"markets before entering upon extensive Punjah
"cultivation" a revision of the Assessment in
the Nunargoody and Chellambrum Talooks is
called for both from this cause and from the
state of the Calamalay Saghure Villages which
at present having no turms or fixed Assessment are
rented at unduly low rates in preference to being
settled by a division of the Crop as also from the
frauds practised in respect to the kuffer or water
tax on the so called Poonjah lands Mr. Maltby thinks
that the time for executing this revision has properly
arrived as the irrigating Channels and drainage works
are

Para 35 are now sufficiently advanced for the different
Villages and lands to be divided into Classes
with the aid of the Civil Engineers Department
according to their means of irrigation and
other advantages. He adds however that should
Government sanction the revision, the measure
Para 37 could only be executed by an Officer who was
able to give his entire time and attention
to the work, and had full authority to
carry into immediate effect those reforms
which on local examination were found
necessary.

8. The Board of Revenue express
their concurrence with the Collector that the
revision of the Assessment in the two Southern Talooks of his District should now be
carried out and they give some judicious
instructions on the point. They say truly that the
Collector from his acquaintance with the Dis-
trict and intercourse with the people is the
proper person for giving effect to the proposed measure
but they add that the work should not be hurried
forward, and as it is not of a character to
require the exclusive attention of the individual
conducting its details, the extra labor involved

will

will not trench materially on the Collector's time. They suggest that the Collector should he think fit might give two additional Talooks to the Sub Collector and one to the Head Assistant and that assistance generally might be afforded with much advantage by the appointment of another Assistant or even two to the District. They think that there is no objection to a compliance with Mr. Maltby's wish that the reforms should at once be carried into effect on the Board being satisfied as to the principles on which the work is going forward, it being understood that a report of its progress will from time to time be laid before them.

9. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the revision of the assessment in the Manargoody and Chellumbrum Talooks of South Arcot, and the introduction of the principle of the Hoodoos which obtain in the rest of the District has long been under consideration. It formed the subject of a representation from the Ryots to the Commissioner Mr. Dent, who recommended that no time should be lost in entering upon the work. The Government in their review of the Commissioner's report concurred in the expediency

Report 31st August 1841 P. 31.

Ex. Mins. Cons. 11th Nov. 1841 P. 17

Desp: 21st June 1842 P. 17.

expediency of the measure, and the Honorable the Court of Directors expressed their hope that it would be proceeded with without delay. Various causes have hitherto occurred to impede its prosecution - but the Government quite agree with the Board that the time has now arrived for carrying it out, and that they possess in Mr. Maltby a guarantee that its details will be carefully and efficiently performed. They desire that the Collector carry out without delay in communication with the Board any reforms that he may find desirable. They cannot however consider that the extra labor involved will not trench materially on the Collector's time nor approve of the arrangements suggested for relieving him. They believe that the Sub Collector's charge is already sufficiently extensive and they observe that the Head Assistant Collector made the Settlement for Fusly 1260 of three Talooks which is one more than usually falls to the management of a Head Assistant But little aid also could be derived from two Junior Assistants. The Government are of opinion that if Mr. Maltby is to be employed on the duty, he should be put in a position concentrate upon

Note
The revenue land and Extra Sources of the Sub Collector's Talooks for Fusly 1260 was 5 3/4 lacs of Rupees.—

Report. P. 2.

it a large portion of his time, and attention -
The most effectual as well as economical means
of enabling him to do this, would be by
the appointment of a special Assistant of
standing and experience, and qualified for
a charge equal to that of a Sub Collector.
Being thus relieved from much of his cur-
rent business the Collector would in the
judgment of Government be able to proceed
satisfactorily with the revision of the assess-
ment. The Board of Revenue will be pleas-
ed to submit an application for a special
Assistant on the pay and allowances of a
Sub Collector in the prescribed form, for the
sanction of the Government of India, and
will state for what length of time his ser-
vices are likely to be required. The extra
Establishment for the Collector's assistance
may, as the Board suggest, be includ-
ed in the annual Statement of extra charges
incurred under Estimate.

Pro. Para 22.

10. The Government in closing these
remarks feel it but due to express their
high satisfaction with the exertions of -

Mr.

Mr. Maltby and his subordinates.-

/ A true Extract /

15-16

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secy. to Government.

B. No. 1144

Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 26th April 1852.

2. Mr. Maltby will submit an applica-
tion in the prescribed form for a special Assist-
ant on the pay and allowances of a Sub Collector
and state for what length of time the services
of this Officer will be required.

+ C.O. Book p 528.

17

B. No. 1296.

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th May 1852.

/ From Board 17th May 1852 /

Read the following Resolution

No. 491.

Under the favorable opinion express-
ed by the Collector of South Arcot of the ser-
vices and character of His Head Sheristadar
Rungacharloo, the Right Honorable the Govern-
or in Council is pleased to sanction the pay-
ment to that Officer of the next increased
rate of Salary Viz. Rupees 525/ five hundred
and

and twenty five per mensem from the 16th April 1852 the date on which he completed the prescribed term of service under the Established Rules.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

17-18

B. No. 1140.
Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot, with reference to his letter dated 1st May 1852.

In Cons. 17th May 1852.

19

B. No. 1294.
From the Secretary to Government.

No. 492

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th May 1852.

/From Board 17th May 1852/

Under the favorable opinion expressed by the Collector of Tinnevelly of the official conduct of his Head Sheristadär Butcha Row, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to permit that Officer to draw the next increased rate of Salary Viz. Rupees /525/ five hundred and twenty five per Mensem from the 22d April 1852 the date on which he completed the

the prescribed term of Service under the established Rules.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

19-20

B. No. 1138.
Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 24th April 1852.

In Cons. 17th May 1852.

21

B. No. 1319
From the Secretary to Government dated 1st June 1852. Communicating a letter from the Government of India approving of the Mocaasah of Sunganapully in the Ganjam District, lately held by Soondaram, being left in the profession of his widow Sooreya Mala Inahada vee during her life.

(For information)

21-22

Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 1340.
From the Sec. to Govt. Dated 1st June 1852. Confirming the purchase on account of Government of the Chagulloor and Tolairoo Estates in the Rajahmundry District, and directing that after adjustment of arrears of Public revenue the

(For information)

23

the surplus be paid over to the late Proprietors.

23-24

Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded.

25

Read the following Resolutions.

No. 508.

Bd. No. 1311. From the Secy. to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d June 1852.—

(From Board 17th May 1852.)

Under the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue and agreeably to their recommendation the Right Honrable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off the accounts of the Chingleput Collectorate the balance due by the late Proprietor of the Perumbankum Mootah Viz Rupees 1676—0—6 Six hundred and and Seventy Six and Pice Six.—

25-26

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1147.

Resolution thereon, Resolved that Copy of the foregoing order of Government, and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply, be communicated to the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 21st April 1852.—

Intns. 17th May 1852.

Extract.

No. 509.

Bd. No. 1312. From the Secy. to Govt. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d June 1852.—

(From Bd. 20th May 1852.)

27

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges reported in the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees (181-10-8) One hundred and Eighty one, Annas ten and Pice eight.—

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.—

27-28

Bd. No. 1127.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 27th April 1852.—

Read the following Extract.

No. 513.

29

Bd. No. 1302. From the Secy. to Govt. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 4th June 1852.

Reviewing the Board's Proceedings respecting the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Hurnaad District for Fasly 1260, calling for information relative to the Nuctur, Sha and Vessalady taxes, & ordering the abolition on Vegetables and other Garden produce brought into the markets at the Town of Hurnaad.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 10th May 1852 No 171)

Para 1. The demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Hurnaad District for Fasly 1260 amounted to Pr. 14,1431- or Rupees 12228 below that of the previous year; in the the exception of an inconsiderable increase in

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.—

in Sayer all the sources of revenue present a decrease, chiefly alkany Rs. 1054 and Motursha Rupees 1700 from causes which are sufficiently explained.—

2. The Collections within the year amounted to Rupees 137532 and the balance of Rupees 3898 outstanding at its close has since been reduced to Rupees 327- Including arrears 1985 Rupees, and Extra items 258, the gross collections of the year amounted to Rupees 145743 and deducting charges 2264. the net revenue Rupees 141508 presented a decrease of Rupees 5141 below Fusly 1259.—

3. In para 18 of his report the Agent proposes to address the Board on the subject of the Veesabaddy and tax on Handicrafts, the items composing which he represents to be in some cases of a very oppressive and objectionable character. As the Motursha and Veesabady taxes are at present engaging the attention of Government— it is desired that any further information respecting them which can be furnished from Kurnool may be submitted without delay. In the meantime, one of the taxes the Coinjatee is so objectionable in its nature operating as an Extra cess on the land, that the Agent recommends its immediate discontinuance

Para 9 & 22 Report.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.—

discontinuance. The tax is levied on Vegetables fruits and other Garden produce brought into the market at the Town of Kurnool and being collected through the Agency of a renter is open to great abuse while the revenue derived to Government is inconsiderable the rent for Fusly 1259 being only Rupees 640- Under the circumstances brought to notice, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council orders the abolition of the tax from the termination of the Fusly 1261 now drawing to a close.—

/ A true Extract /

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.—

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.—

B. No. 1158.—

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated

29.30 to the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool, with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 10th May 1852.—

2 The Board await the receipt of Mr. Conway's promised report on the Motursha and Veesabundy adverted to in para 3 of the above minutes of Consultation.—

Read.

(31)

B.. No. 1307.
From the Secy.
to Govt.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.—

Read the following Resolution

No. 518.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 4th June 1852.—

/From B.. 20th May 1852/

The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council grants authority for
writing off in the accounts of the Tanjore
District the balances of Land Revenue
from Fusly 1240 to 1257 reported to be
irrecoverable amounting to Rupees—
/116407/ One lac Sixteen thousand four
hundred and Seven

(31-32)

Signed J. Pycroft.—
Secretary to Govt.

B.. No. 1148.
Resolution thereon.—

Resolved that copy of the
foregoing Order of Government and of the
Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply
be communicated for the information
and guidance of the Collector of Tanjore,
in reference to Mr. Goodwyn's letter dated 10th
April 1852.

In Cons. 20th May 1852.

(33)

Read the following Extract.

No. 531

B.. No. 1358.
From the Chief Secy.
to Government
Communicating
the decision of
Government
in respect of the

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue
Department. Under date the 9th June 1852.—

Read the following
papers

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.—

the Establishment of a vadi or caravanserai at Negapatam by the Head Assistant Collr Mr. Minchin.—

papers.—

From Sevadrama Pillay,
a broker residing in
Negapatam in the Tan. /Here enter 4th March 1852/
-jore District.—

From the Actg. Collr of Tanjore. /Here enter 1st & 3d May—/

From Mr. J. Alexander
Asst. Amildar of /Here enter 3d—"—"—/
Tranquebar in Tanjore.

From Sevadrama Pillay
residing in Negapatam /Here enter 8th—"—"—/
in the Tanjore District.

× × ×

dated 6th April on Petition No. 466 of 1852.—

1. The writer of the Petition to Government No. 466 of 1852 not having appeared when called on, the enquiries of the Acting Collector were conducted ex parte and some of the charges have been altogether thrown aside.—

2 But altho' the Acting has not prosecuted the investigation so far as the orders of Government contemplated, enough has been established to shew that there were strong grounds for the anonymous representations addressed to Government.—

3. The main subject of complaint was the establishment of a vadi

or

or Caravanserai at Negapatam by the Head Assistant Collector Mr. Minchin—

4. Previous to the receipt of

5th April the orders of Government the attention of the Acting Collector had been drawn to this point and he so far anticipated the instructions of Government as to abolish the levy of fees therein.—

5 From Mr. Minchin's explanation it seems that with the tacit consent or knowledge of the Collector, Mr. Bishop, he established the vadi thro' the agency of Mr. Warehouse, the son-in-law of the Salt Amildar Mr. Alexander rendering / by proclamation / the resort of bandies Yea compulsory and permitting the levy of fees for Mr. Warehouse's benefit—

6. Mr. Bishop will on his return to his duties be called on to explain how he permitted the Establishment of the Vadi on such objectionable conditions.—

7. The Governor in Council is compelled to declare that Minchin's explanations are wholly unsatisfactory.—

The invitations of tenders for erecting and rendering the Vadi was as might have

have been expected under the circumstances, nugatory, and the fact of no one having ventured to complain of the institution, so far from palliating the steps, only evinced the dread and apprehension of all who were subjected to its operation.—

9.— The Governor in Council cannot admit that the increase in the Salt and other traffic is any proof that the arrangement was acceptable to the people such an interference with the property and time of any class could be no otherwise than unpalatable.—

10. The proclamations issued by the Head Assistant Collector were clearly illegal, in as much as he had no authority either to compel parties to resort to the Vadi or to punish them for non attendance.—

11 The Governor in Council cannot but regard with reprobation an interference of this sort with large classes of the people, the subjecting them to a tax and the employment of Police peons in forcing such arbitrary orders.—

12 The Acting Collector will take the most effective measures to cancel Mr. Minchin's notifications by proclamation, and to apprize the Merchants and others of the view which the Government take of such unauthorized interference with their freedom of acting with their property.—

Mr

6829. Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

13. Mr. Minchin's explanations with respect to the permission given by him to a Mr. Foster to cut down the Government aloe Hedges for his own benefit, and to Mr. Alexander to remove bricks from the Fort are equally futile in the opinion of Govt.

14. After a very careful consideration of Mr. Minchin's objectionable proceedings and of the necessity of shewing the people that Government are alive to their grievances and will always redress them when properly represented, the Governor in Council is constrained to direct that Mr. Minchin be removed from Negapatam and its vicinity and that he be not again placed in any independent charge until he has acquired experience and discretion.

15. The Acting Collector will proceed fully to carry out the orders of Government dated 5th April by instituting a "personal rapid investigation" into each item of the allegations contained in the Petition No. 466 both as to general and individual charges and the Government direct his special attention to a subsequent petition No. 945 purporting to be from Sevadramapilly the original of which with a translation is herewith forwarded.

Mr. Alexander's address No. 838 of 1857 requires no notice, he will of course continue suspended from Office and pay during the Collectors further Proceedings.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed H. L. Montgomery
Chief Secy

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1187.
Order Received.
33-34
Ordered to be communicated to the Collr of Tanjore who will furnish the information called for in Para 6 and carry out the further orders contained in the above Minutes of Consultation.

J. G. B.

Read

6830. Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

35

Bd. No. 1320.

Memorandum
From the Secretary
Northern Circars

Read the following Memo:

Memorandum.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue the Statement of the financial result of the Sale of Salt this day received from the Collector of Vizagapatam. The one formerly sent was that submitted with the Collectors report on the Extra sources of Revenue for 1260 Fusly which had been inadvertently drawn in exact accordance with the form ## published in page 558 of the printed Circular Order.

The similar return for Bunder received on the 25th Instant is likewise forwarded.

The Statement ## received from the Acting Collector of Guntoor and forwarded to the Board on the 15th Ultimo being incorrect, it is requested that the one herewith sent may be substituted for it.

Vizagapatam,
Commissioners Office,
29th May 1852.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Commissioner

Statement

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.—

at the beginning of the year,

the quantity manufactured and sold during the year and the Balance in

Quantity sold during the year.—

stored on the ure of ous and years g gain ement ous and Salt Fee	Total in store as per Columns 2 and 4	Quantity sold for consumption within the District.	Quantity sold for consumption within the Madras Territories exclusive of Column 6.	Quantity sold for transportation across the frontier for the supply of the Native states in the interior	Quantity sold to French Government	Exportation by Sea. Under requisition from Government	Exportation by Sea. On Private account whether to Calcutta or other places.
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
m s c	m s c	m s c	m s c	m s c	m s c	m s c	m s c
36 "	92,102 7 12 "	98200 25 "	23,490 24 "	22,344 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 8	90,534 7 28 8	101320 28 8	21219 " "	188217 24 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6 "	100,305 22 "	105,325 26 "	14,690 4 "	2,92,357 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
24 "	87,532 98 "	99491 4 "	14119 8 "	302,473 32 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9 "	111,389 37 "	93,433 29 "	14,243 16 "	285,875 28 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
27 8	91,679 8 31 8	97,828 7 8	13,473 24 "	3,14,117 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "

by 1258

ending 1259

1 year

6832

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.—

ture at its close with the financial Results of this sources of Revenue from Fusly 1255 to 1260.—

Wastage	Total 6 columns 6 to 12	Balance in store at the close of the year, or difference between Cols 5 and 13.	Gross Revenue derived from the sale of salt in Column 13.—	Deduct charges of all descriptions exclusive of recoverable advances.	Net Revenue	Remarks.
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
M S C	M S C	M S C	Rs a p	Rs As P	Rs As P	
22128 12 "	3,67,260 24 "	5,53,766 28 "	3,45,132 14 7	27,329 10 6	3,17,803 4 1	Salt was sold for home and Inland consumption at 1 Rupee per Maund no salt was exported to Calcutta &c. by sea or supplied to the French Government.—
" " "	3,10,757 12 8	5,94,590 16 "	3,10,754 2 7	33,725 " 10	2,77,029 1 9	The rate at which salt was sold for Home and Inland consumption is as follows.—
26663 4 "	4,19,035 38 "	5,86,269 24 "	3,87,023 3 9	39,088 8 8	3,47,934 11 1	By Measurement at 1 Rupee per Indian Maund. By the Heap of 10 Garce at Rupees " — 15-4 per Do.
14,081 16 "	4,30,165 20 "	4,45,163 28 "	4,06,735 12 6	29,571 2 9	3,77,164 9 9	No salt was exported to Calcutta by Sea or supplied to the French Government.
" " "	3,93,552 33 "	7,20,341 4 "	3,84,655 5 8	52,666 6 9	3,31,986 14 11	The rates at which Salt was sold for Home and Inland consumption was as follows.—
13,769 12 "	4,39,186 7 8	4,77,612 24 "	4,12,919 1 5	24,203 3 "	3,88,715 14 5	By measurement at 1 Rupee per Indian Maund— By the Heap of 10 Garce at Rupees " — 15-4 per Maund from 12th July 1850 to 10th February 1851. and from 11th and 12th February at Rupees — " — 15-2-40 per Indian Maund as per salt Rules of 23d December 1850. Received with a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 23d January 1851. No salt was exported to Calcutta by sea or supplied to the French Government.—

Guntoor District,
Collectors Office,
Dindy 8th May 1852

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Acting Collector.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Commissioner.

Statement.

6833

No. 2. Statement of Manufactured and sold during the year, and the Balance in store at its close with the financial Rasulipatam.

Fasles	Balance on hand at the commencement of the year.	Wastage.	Total Columns 6 to 12.	Balance in store at the close of the year or difference between Columns 5 & 13.	Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in Column 13.	Deduct Charges of all descriptions exclusive of Recoverable advances.	Net Revenue.	Remarks.
1	2	12	13	14	15.	16	17	18
	Maunds Srs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	
1255	505,009 2 4	5503 22 4	408051 3 6	612727 8 8	408051 1 4	19,967 14 9	388083 2 7	The salt sold for inland consumption was at the rate of one Rupee per Maund.
1256	612,727 " "	16098 " "	293867 6 15/4	622844 28 8	293867 2 9	12,490 11 5	281,376 7 4	
1257	622,844 2 4	31802 32 8	317896 32 8	449385 " "	317896 13 4	11,940 5 8	305,956 7 4	
1258	449,385 " "	37,606 28 "	348192 36 8	413,635 20 4	348192 14 7	18,205 9 10	329,987 4 9	
1259	413,635 2 4	39,120 " "	298951 13 "	440884 11 8	298951 5 2	21,135 15 8	277,815 5 6	
1260	446,884 " "	31,601 11 8	311772 9 13	296,236 20 "	311,772 3 11	10,489 4 1	301,282 15 10	

E. J. [illegible]

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) Walter Elliot
Commissioner.

Ordered.

6834 Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.—

(35-36)

Memorandum.

TO the Commissioner.

Northern Circars.

Bd. No. 1141.

Memorandum. To the Commissioner Northern Circars. }

Sir,

The Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to observe that the + Statement noted in the Margin which accompanied the Commissioners' Memorandum of 29th May 1852, is not the one called for in the Board's letter of 17th Idem it is accordingly returned herewith—and the Sub Secretary will feel obliged if the Commissioner will be so good as to cause forward the Salt Statement which accompanied the Extra Revenue Report of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1260. a form of which, marked H will be found at page 558 of the Circular Order Book.—

+ Statement Shewing the Manufacture consumption and remainder of the Salt stored in Fuslies 1259 and 1260 of the District of Vizagapatam.

+ In Cons: 14th June 1852. 1320.

Note. The Statement which accompanied the Commissioners letter of 15th April 1852. was the one for Fusly 1259 and not that for Fusly 1260. The one for the latter Fusly is now wanted—

Revenue Board's office, Fort St. George, 14th June 1852.—

(Signed) B. Cuninliffe Sub Secretary.

Read.

S. G. B. 14/6

6835. Fort St. George 14th June 1852.—

Read the following letter.

No. 46.

(37)

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1217.

From the Collector of Nellore. —

Sir,

In reference to your letter dated 25th March 1852 forwarding the Petitions noted in the Margin for report, I have the honor to state

* Board's No. 19 & 20 of 1852. Govt. No. 1887 & 318 of 1852 returned

that in 1848 Petitioner as sub Officer of the Gogoolapully Division held an inquest on the body of one Naramah and caused a Punchayetnamah to be drawn up. The then Head of Police Hussain Rajah being dissatisfied with the Proceedings held by Petitioner, proceeded in person to the Village, exhumed the body, assembled another Punchayet, and caused another Punchayetnamah to be recorded. Petitioner then preferred a charge before the Joint Magistrate accusing the Head of Police of having extorted from the Members of the Punchayet which was originally convened by him (Petitioner) a Sum of 100 Rupees as a bribe by threatening them that he would send them to the Criminal Court. Upon this charge the Joint Magistrate Mr. Purvis committed the Head of Police to the Criminal Court from whence the case was submitted for trial before the Sessions Court. The Sessions Judge being of opinion that the charge on which the Head of Police was indicted was false and that it originated in the ill will of Petitioner acquitted the Head of Police and entered certain remarks in the Calendar in regard to Petitioner's conduct. On receipt of this Calendar Petitioner (who had been suspended for disobedience to his Superior in the same case) was dismissed by Mr. Purvis on the 11th September 1848. Petitioner presented to me a Petition on the 19th November 1849, and I directed him by an Endorsement dated 26th following to apply to the Sub Collector for re-employment. A Copy of the Calender is herewith forwarded for the information of the Board.

(Signed) D. White Collector.—

Nellore Collectorate Cutcherry 24th May 1852.

1838

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.-

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors & Witnesses.	Abstract of the examination ground and date of commitment for trial.-	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Remarks Trial when Commenced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or names of Assessors or Jurors.-	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13.
23 of 1848. Criminal No. 90 of 1848.	Government Vakeel Prosecutors Hafsan Raza Prisoner.	Receiving a bribe.- The Prisoner who was the Head of Police of Gogoolapilly Division is charged with the having after the Sub Office attached to the Division had caused an inquest to be held on the Corpse of Narama who was the daughter in-law of Maramreddy Vemareddy and who died at Chakirala attached to the said Division & a Punchayetnamah to be drawn out to the effect that there were no wounds on the body of the deceased, and that she died of Swoon, proceeded to the Village referred to & assembled distinct Punchayet and caused a Punchayetnamah to	26th June 1848.	1 Vantimitta Ramireddi 2. Chimala Murri Ramaya 3 Surasane Vengana. 4 Maramreddi ankula 5. Abdul Nabi 6. Mulapati Papireddi 7. Cota Ven Katadri 8 Vulleti Cotappa 9. Corine Subbaya 10 Chiruvella Rungappa 11 Dudala Papaya 12 Mahomed ameen 13 Sadem Naraidu 14 Yaramala Chinna Rachia -	Government Vakeel Keel states the charge The Prisoner denied the crime both before the Joint Magistrate and Principal Sudr Ameen but declares that he having reported to the Joint Magistrate regarding the irregularity done by the Sub Officer the Sub Officer through that enmity invented this case against him.- The 1st witness deposes that the Prisoner who was the Head of Police had placed in Custody the Punchayetdars who on the Sub Officer had originally assembled and frightened them	1 Rajamahammed. 2. Pamuru Narasimhulu 3 Khan Sahib. 4 Sheikh Ibrunnah. 5. Makhub	22d July 1848	15th August 1848.	1 When arraigned and put on his defence the Prisoner Hafsan Raza Head of District Police of Gogalapilly Division denied the charge of having while his Sub Officer had held an inquest into the death of Maramreddy Narama the daughter in law of Vemareddy in the Village of Chakirala in the said Division and obtained a Punchayetnamah to the effect that there were no wounds visible on the	1. The Session Judge approves of the Futwah and directs that the Prisoner be immediately discharged. 2. That he did not send the Members of the Punchayet to the Criminal Court though there were circumstances which might have seemed to require it of him is certain. 3. But he did not Conceal from the Joint Magistrate his opinion of the Punchayetnamah. Only it is quite clear that while he thought it a false one he thought that his own	

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors Witnesses.	Abstract of the examination grounds and date of Commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Baib.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when Commenced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or names of Assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13.

to be written by them in Contradiction of the Original Punchayetnama to the effect that there was a wound on the body of the deceased and that she was murdered by the said Vemareddi with having placed under the Custody of Peons the 3d & 14th witnesses and others whom the Sub Officer had originally assembled as a Punchayet, threatening them that he would commit them to the Criminal Court, and with having at about 1 O'Clock P.M. on Sunday the 4th June 1848 corresponding with the 3d Jaista Suddha year Lilaka, received a bribe of 100 Rupees from the 1st & 2d witness (who are the people of Original Punchayet) in the Village Mogultur in the Division

Witnesses called for by the Session Court

1 Sheik Imam

2. Varanasi Venkatadri.

them that he would forward them to the Punchayet to the Criminal Court whereby he the deponent and the 2d witness spoke to the Prisoner adjourned with him that they would bribe him 100 Rupees, & borrowed on the next day the amount of 100 Rupees through the 1st witness by giving a bond and paid it to the Prisoner, and that the Prisoner had on the 3d day set at liberty the Punchayet &c in question from the Custody. The 2d witness corroborates the evidence

the person of the deceased and that the deceased died of a wound gone forth himself to the said Village, selected another Punchayet and obtained from them a fresh verdict contradictory to the previous one to the effect that the deceased was murdered by the said Vemareddy and that there were wounds visible on the person of the deceased. and with having placed under Custody the 3d & 14th witnesses members of the Punchayet previously selected by the Sub Officer and threatened them saying that he would Commit them to the Criminal Court and with having about 1 O'Clock P.M. on Sunday.

own Sub Officer was chiefly to blame for the misrepresentation, being the person who Caused the Punchayet to assemble and presided over their investigation himself inspecting the dead body after Causing it to be disinterred for the purpose, and sending in his own report of the investigation to the Prisoner his Superior Officer, so drawn out as to create an impression that he wished to persuade his Superior that any further inspection of the body would be useless.

4. The Prisoner being dissatisfied with his Deputy's report went

6838 Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors witnesses.	Abstract of the examination ground and date of Commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when Commenced.	Trial when closed	Futwah or Names of Assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13.

Division of Gogoolapully in the Zillah of Nellore and released them from the Custody of Peons. 30th June 1848.

This case was forwarded by the Acting Joint Magistrate of Nellore.-

evidence of the 1st. The 3d. witness who is one of the Punchayets that were assembled by the Sub Officer, deposes that he had remained under the Custody of Peons and that he was set at liberty as the said Vamareddi died.

The 4th witness deposes that the 1st and 5th witnesses having acquitted him with the matter of the bribery told him that he should pay 2 Rupees for his Deponents part.

The 5th witness who is a Peon deposes that the Prisoner had kept in Custody seven persons including the 3d and 4th witnesses &c.

Sunday the 4th June 1848 or 3d Jaista Shuddha of the year Kilaka received a bribe of 100 Rupees from the 1st and 2d witnesses the relations of the 3d and 14th and then released the 3d and 14th witnesses from Custody.

2. The 3d Witness Sursani Vengana who is one of the members of the Punchayet selected by the Police Sub Officer perjured himself in this case and has been sentenced in Calendar No. 28 of 1848. for that crime.-

3 The 1st and 2d witnesses who are the Prisoners committed for trial before the Session Court in Calendar No. 29 of 1848 for bribing

went himself to T. Sakivella and caused the body to be again exhumed and a second inquest to be held over it. and he found reason to think that the deceased had been murdered.

5. The person suspected of being the murderer Maramreddy Vemareddy was the Head of the Village but being in very bad health at the time died a few days after he was taken to the Prisoner's Cutcherry at Mogullur.-

6. The day after his death such of the members of the first Punchayet as had been taken to Mogullur they were taken there by the Sub Officer not by the Prisoner

6839

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the Charges & date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors witnesses.	Abstract of the examination grounds and date of commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when commenced.	Trial when closed.	Full value or Names of Assessors or Jurors.	Remarks of the Sessions Court. Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

The 6th Witness who is one of those that had attested the Punchayetnamah deposes that he and others had remained in Custody and that the 1st & 2d witnesses told him that they paid the Head of Police the sum of 100 Rupees and got him and others released.—

The 7th witness deposes that the 1st and 2d witnesses had through his means borrowed 100 Rupees by executing a bond in favor of the 8th witness's father in law, and that he does not Know for what purpose the sum was borrowed.—

The 8th & 9th & 10th witnesses depose in support of the evidence of the 7th witness. The 9th witness adds that he and the 10th witness went to the Prisoner's house in Company with the 1st and 2d Witnesses and stood at his gate, that they the 1st and 2d witnesses went in with the Rupees and told them that they had paid the same to the Head of the Police and that the 9th witness heard the noise of those Rupees. The 10th witness also adds that he had seen the Rupees being paid to the Prisoner.—

The 11th Witness who is a Peon deposes that he at the orders of the Prisoner Kept the original Punchayet under

the Prisoner in this case, deposed that the Prisoner placed under Custody the members of the Punchayat selected by the Police Sub Officer and some others and threatened them saying that he would Commit them for trial before the Criminal Court; that they Deponents thereupon satisfied the Prisoner without the Knowledge and previous permission of the others with a promise of paying him 100 Rupees for releasing them, that they Deponents went the following day from Mogullur to the 7th witness at Badidapolem half a Cofs or 1¼ Mile from thence and asked him to lend them 100 Rupees that he did not pay them money saying that there was some dispute between

Prisoner were allowed to return to their homes.

7. The only restraint proved to have been used towards them was that of Keeping them in Custody of a Peon at the house of one of the Comatties of Mogullur, outside it, a sort of restraint which is Customary even in the case of witnesses lest they should go away without leave and the place is selected for their convenience as it is at the Comaty's house that Strangers generally apply for food.—

8. The Prisoner had just as much reason to detain them at Mogullur as his Sub Officer had to make them go there

It

6840 Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors witnesses.	Abstract of the examination, grounds and date of Commitment for trial	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Remarks of the Sessions Court - Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial commenced.	Trial when Closed.	Futwah or Names of Assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13.

under his Custody at a Comaty's house for 3 days, and that Vemareddi having subsequently died, the Prisoner released them.-

The 12th witness corroborates the evidence of the 11th Witness. The 13th witness deposes that Peons had taken him to Mogallur he having felt the pulse of the deceased Narama after her death, that the 1st and 2d witnesses desired him to pay 2 Pagodas for his share on account of the bribe of 100 Rupees given by them to the Prisoner and that he (Deponent) did not pay it.- . .

The 14 witness who is one of the Punchayets deposes that Peons took him also to Mogallur, that whilst he was in Custody of a Peon Vemareddi died whereby the Prisoner permitted him to go, that the 1st and 2d witnesses asked him (Deponent) to pay 14 Rupees for some purpose or other, but he did not pay it.-

Committed for trial by the Principal Sudr Ameen on the 20th July 1848.-

Principal Sudr Ameen's Court, Nellore, 21st July 1848.-

(L.S.) (Signed) Fakeroodeen
Principal Sudr Ameen

between the 1st witness and himself regarding some accounts, that the 8th witness obtained from them (Deponents) in the name of his father in law Valaboyadu the bond for the coming on the Record of Proceedings and caused 100 Rupees to be sent them by the 7th witness that they (Deponents) then went to the Head of Police at Mogallur, that he came home alone from the Cutcherry on his having seen them, that they then paid him the 100 Rupees near the western wall and that they had told the 7th witness it was for the Prisoner that they borrowed the money.-

4. 1st witness deposes that the Prisoner released the members of the Punchayet and others after his return from Vangapad and in answer to the question put by the Prisoner states that they

9. It is particularly to be remarked that neither the first witness for the prosecution nor the second were kept in any sort of Custody though the 1st witness acted at the first inquest as Head of the Village, the real Head man Vemareddy in whose house the deceased had died being too ill to leave his cot, and

1841. Fort St. George

14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges hereon and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Prisoners.	Names of the Prosecutors witnesses.	Abstract of the examination grounds and date of Commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when commenced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or Names of assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.	Remarks of the Sessions Court.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	

they went to the 7th witness on the evening of the day on which

7th witness did not lend them money that day.-

5. 2d witness deposes that the Prisoner released on which he received money; that on his route from Budidaya in order to get his relations released from Custody that they son and that he is living separate from him from 10 years.

6. The 7th witness an inhabitant of Budedaya witnesses borrowed 100 Rupees from them after 2 or 3 Ghadi purpose that they borrowed the money but that they did not

7 The 7th witness also deposes that he was money and that he returned home from other Villages on the night

8. The 9th and 10th witnesses inhabitants of been to the 7th witness to borrow grain; that there are dealings between the 1st and 2nd witnesses were with him that they desired him did not mention it was for bribing the Prisoner, that they they them went with the 1st and 2d witnesses to the front the house of the Prisoner came out shortly and told them that

Prisoner was agreed to receive 100 Rupees and that the and the 2d witness also attended and signed the Punchayetnamah as Curnum.

members of the Punchayet and the others on the very day to Mogallur he told the 9th and 10th witnesses it was carrying the money; that Chitambaram is his brother's 10. It is hardly to be supposed that if the Prisoner was minded to extort a bribe from the inferior members of the Puncha= yet he would have allowed the president of it and the Curnum to be at large while

and the 8th of Mogallur depose that the 1st and 2d after sun rise; that they told them it was for their own them that it was for bribing the Prisoner.

in the evening on the day previous to his lending them that day.-

and reciprocally brother-in-law depose that they had him and themselves that previously to their going to him them 100 Rupees for their own purpose, but that they (deponents) attested the bond of the 100 Rupees; that of the Prisoner; that the 1st and 2d witnesses went inside paid the 100 Rupees to the Prisoner.

The

6842

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.

Numbers of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the Charges and Date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutor's witnesses.	Abstract of the examination, grounds and date of commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when commenced.	Trial when closed	Futwah or Names of assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.	Remarks of the Sessions Court.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	

9. The 9th witness further deposes that he did not see either the Prisoner that day or the Rupees when they were paid him and that he heard the noise of the Rupees when they were given to him.—

10. The 10th witness deposes that he went inside the house and saw the Rupees being given to the Prisoner standing himself at a distance of 2 or 3 fathoms. He also deposed before the Criminal Court that the 9th witness and himself went to the 7th witness at Badidapalem previously than the 1st and 2d witnesses.

11. The 5th 11th and 12th witnesses depose that Cheemalamarri Chitambaram and Malapaully Paupeddy and some others were under charge of the 11th witness in the house of a Banian, that the Prisoner permitted them to go away on the day after his arrival there from Vangapand and after the death of Vemareddy.—

12. The 5th witness also deposes that he did not tell the Police Sub Officer of the Prisoner having received a bribe in the case of Tsakerala.—

13 The 4th 6th 13th and 14th witnesses depose that they were under charge of Peons at Rogalur and that after the disposal of Vemareddy's dead body the Prisoner gave them leave.—

14. The 2d witness called for by the Session Court (Police Sub-Officer) deposes that he submitted an Arze to the Joint Magistrate on the 8th June 1848 regarding the Prisoner having received a bribe from the people of Tsakerala and that after the Prisoner and himself returned back from Vengapand he was told by the 5th witness regarding the bribery.—

15. The Prisoner's 1st witness a Police Peon and 4th and 5th witnesses volunteers depose that they were attending on the front gate of the Prisoner's house for few days at his order during the Examination of the case of Tsakerala

while within his reach.

11. But truly the evidence given by the 1st and 2d witnesses and by the others brought to corroborate their testimony to the use they say they made of their liberty appears to the Session Judge just a mass of incredible false Statements.— The people are not so loving and friendly to their neighbours that two men will

6843

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the Charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of Apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors witnesses.	Abstract of the examination grounds and date of commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when commenced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or Names of Assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

Tsakerala when the Prisoner was the Prisoner's house at that time.— Head of District Police of Mogalur,

16. The Prisoner's 3d witness deposes that gate of the Prisoner's house and that none of the people of Tsakerala

17. The testimony of the 1st witness called for witness is of no avail.

18. Not only the Prosecutors 1st 2d 4th 6th cution and the 1st and 2d witnesses, Prisoners, in Calendar No. 29 of and 10th witnesses before the Joint Magistrate and the Criminal and is inadmissible.—

19. Moreover, the 1st witness when being examined and wanted permission to go and take water, and at another time the two times appeared different, and on being questioned as to the collecting the 100 Rupees the amount bribed he accounted the amount case the Prisoner's witness proved his defence.—

20. From the manner in which the evidence was Court from the evidence of the Prosecutors 1st 2d 7th 8th 9th and 2d witness to the Joint Magistrate on the 8th June 1848 it is clear the 2d witness called for by the Session Court in consequence of

that none of the people of Tsakerala did come to

the 1st 4th and 5th witnesses were attending on the did there come to the Prisoner's house.—

by the Session Court and that of the Prisoner's 2d

13th and 14th witnesses being concerned in the prose= 1848 but also their testimony and that of the 9th Session Courts being contradictory, their testimony

before the Session Court stated once that he was thirsty stated that he was troubled by bile. His answers at particulars of the Subscription and the mode of excessively than 100 Rupees and such being the

given by the 2d witness called for by the Session 10th witnesses, and from the Arzee submitted by the that there arose a dispute between the Prisoner and the Prisoner having held a second examination regarding

will borrow a hundred Rupees to bribe a Head of Police with, to save some of their fellow Villagers merely from being sent to the Criminal Court. It is true that an attempt has been made to shew that this money was to be repaid by a contribution from the rest of the Villagers, but the evidence about this is no better than any other part of it and the person

6844

Fort St. George

14th. June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the Charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors & Witnesses.	Abstract of the examination & grounds and date of commitment for trial.	Nature of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8.

Remarks of the Sessions Court.

Trial when Commenced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or names of Assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
9	10	11	12	13.

regarding the death of Maramreddy Naramma an inhabitant of Tsakerala that the 2d. witness called for by the Sessions Court combined with the 1st. 2d. 7th. 8th. 9th. and 10th. witnesses and reduced the Prisoner to this State in supposition it was a proof of the bribery that the Prisoner secured the 4th. 6th. and 14th. witnesses and some others who are members of the Punchayet previously selected and released them after the death of Vemareddy.

21.

Under these circumstances the Prisoner is not convicted by the testimony of trustworthy witnesses of having been bribed and his therefore entitled to release 19th. August 1848.—

person who says he lent the money acknowledges that he did not enter it in his accounts and the 1st. and 2d. witnesses acknowledge that they did not enter it in the Village accounts.—

Nor was any reason given for the Money being lent to two persons, one a Reddy the other a Brahmin, with no Cosharership between them of any kind to occasion their executing a joint bond.—

12. And it appears to the Session Judge certain that the members of the Punchayet in Custody were not released as is pretended on the day of the alleged bribe, the 4th June, but were as much in custody as before till the day after the death of Vemareddy for some of them actually attested the Mahazernamah which the Prisoner had drawn up the day after his death and he

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of apprehension of the offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors witness.	Abstract of the examination grounds and date of commitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail.	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when commenced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or names of assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.	Remarks of the Sessions Court.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	

he did not die till the night of the 5th June.

13. It does not appear that any one of the extortion. But on the 8th of June the Sub Officer sent in charges
mal practices and among them the act of extortion for which he

14- The Session Judge cannot but think that
the Joint Magistrate's attention from the unfavorable representation
tantram is highly commended, as to its expediency in certain inti

15. His Argee is on record - The production is

16. The Session Judge thought fit to send for this
to the Joint Magistrate as to the bribe at least - He said that while
Village and when he came back he found the members of the Pun
had got away and was told by him of the extortion of 100 Rupees

17- Being asked if he heard of this from any other person
he could not recollect the name of even one of them -

18- Abdul Nabi declared that he did not give such

19- But even if he had done so, a person who has served
regard for truth and justice than to send in a charge of extortion

20. However the Session Judge is persuaded by the record
the Punchayet gone is false - For it was on the 4th of June at
The Mahazernamah taken after the death of Vemareddy shews that

21. The length of time taken by him to repair to the presence

members of the Punchayet complained against the Prisoner for
against him to the Joint Magistrate accusing him of many
has now been indicted -
this was a piece of wicked artifice on his part intended to divert
which the Prisoner had previously made of him - This sort of
shastras -
indicative of a disposition alike artful and malicious -
person and ask him how he acquired the information he submitted
the enquiry had been going on he was sent on duty to another
chayet were gone - He asked the Peon Abdul Navi how they
and from what he had seen going on he thought the thing likely.
he said that many others had confirmed Abdul Nabis tale but

information to the Sub Officer -
for some years as Sub Officer of Police ought to have more
against his Superior Officer on the idle tale of a peon -
that the Sub Officer's assertion as to his finding the members of
night or evening that he returned to Mogalur as alleged by him
most of them were in Mogalur on the 6th of June -
of the Joint Magistrate after having been suspended for his disrespectful

behaviour

6846

Fort St. George

14th June 1852.

Number of the Calendar.	Names of the Parties.	Abstract of the charges and date on which they were preferred.	Date of appre=hension of the Offenders.	Names of the Prosecutors Witnesses.	Abstract of the examination grounds and date of com=mitment for trial.	Names of the Prisoners held to Bail	Names of the witnesses on the part of the Prisoners.	Trial when commen=ced.	Trial when closed.	Futwah or names of assessors or Jurors.	Sentence.	Date of Sentence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.	10	11	12	13

Remarks of the Sessions Court.

behaviour to the Prisoner may have taken for the purpose of getting up an
forth that if the Head of Police were not summoned to the presence im=
22. It may be asked why the 1st and 2d witnesses should acknowledge
are hardly ever indicted or punished for it. Moreover as the Prisoner had very
for the Sub Officer to suggest to the Members of it that unless they would side
falsehood of their Punchayetnamah. And it is obvious that if the Prisoner
first Punchayetnamah might be considered as dishonest and fraudulent as
23 The Mufti has mentioned in his futwah the circumstance
the case to make him thirsty if he had been telling the truth. Other
perceive the signs of conscious falsehood in a witness than to a
24. In conclusion the Sessions Judge has to remark that in
of the first Punchayetnamah. It is quite unusual for the body of a
some evening to be found rotten if disinterred next morning and the Sub
25. Even Maramreddy Vemareddy the Village Moonsiff in
his own death to the Head of District Police that she had been found
to write a report about it to the Head of District Police but he was
is hardly possible that the fact of her having been found hanging was
not hold any inquest till after the Prisoner had sent the Sub Officer

Zillah Court, Nellore, 31st August 1848.

For the Magistrate of Nellore agreeably
to C. L. F. W. 13th October 1845.

intrigue for his own safety sake. For in his Arzee to that Officer he set
=mediately, he would avail himself of the opportunity to tamper with witnesses.
themselves guilty of giving a bribe. The reason is that people who give bribes
nearly established the falseness of the first Punchayetnamah it was easy
with him they might be called to account by the Joint Magistrate for the
were convicted of extortion his attempts at shewing the falsehood of the
and the Punchayetnamah would then pass for true.
of the emotion manifested by the 1st witness. There was nothing in
witnesses looked equally uncomfortable. But it is more easy to
describe them.
his opinion the Prisoner was fully justified in suspecting the accuracy
person who dies suddenly without previous disease and is buried the
Officer's assertion that he found this to be the case might astonish any one.
whose house the deceased Naramma died, acknowledged before
hanging by the neck dead. He may have been too ill at that time
not so ill that he could not send for the Curnum to write it. It
unknown to the people who sat upon the inquest. Yet they did
to get one held.

/Signed/ John Walker.
Sessions Judge.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ D. White.
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 11th June 1852.

B.P. No. 1135

Order thereon-

37-38

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 19-20 and 1114 of 1852.-

"On a consideration of the remarks of the Sessions Court relative to the conduct of the Petitioner in No. 23 of the Calendar for 1848 the Board approve of his dismissal from Office."-

---

Read the following letter.

No. 53.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

39

B.P. No. 1271.

Sir,

From the Collector of Cuddapah - Para 1.

I have had the honor to receive the Board's instructions dated the 29th of April to make an early report on petition No. 898 of 1852.-

Reporting on Petition No. 898 of 1852 regarding levying Fusly assessment on certain lands.-

+ herewith sent together with Petitions Nos. 925 and 953 of 1852.

2- The petitioners who are Ryots of Pauloo Cuddapah complain, first, that fasulassessment is levied on the lands of their village if cultivated with a second crop, while in some Villages of other talooks, no such rent is taken, and they particularly adduce the cases of the Camalapoor talook and of the Village of Calacada in Goorumcondah, as bearing

D.F.

Fort St. George 11th June 1852.-

on the point which they desire to establish-

3- The taroon Summalundy of Cheenoor and Camalapoor with other Talooks was made in Fusly 1213 and the assessment was generally fixed on the lands according to its quality, and not according to the means afforded to it by irrigation of producing one or two crops. in Fusly 1216 however the lands were all classed as OK and dry fasul, and entered in account accordingly-

4- In a letter dated the 4th of September 1829 the then Collector Mr. Dalzell in discussing the question, recommended that, with one or two exceptions a fasul assessment should be charged on one crop lands cultivated with a second crop under small tanks and channels, and in their reply of the 19th of the following November the Board acquiesced in the recommendation disallowing at the same time Mr. Dalzell's exceptions to the rule- In his report on the Settlement for Fusly 1239 the Collector again referred to the question, and having reason to distrust

the

the accounts of Fusly 1216 in which the lands of Chennoor and two other Talooks had been classified as one and two crop lands, he proposed for adoption the rule that all lands watered from a tank the assessment on which was not above Six C. Pagodas the acre, and all land watered from a channel the assessment on which was not more than 5 pagodas should be considered as one crop land and pay a fasulgiasty on a second crop being raised - In their proceedings in review the Board were most averse to the accounts of Fusly 1216 being set aside, and considered that the safest plan would be to adopt those accounts of the classification of the land as far as they would go, as the basis of the new arrangement -

5- In his report on the Settlement of Fusly 1240 the Collector stated that the Board's directions referred to above arrived too late to be brought into operation in that year, and that consequently the Settlement as regarded the demands for fasulgiasty had been conducted

conducted on the principles previously proposed by Mr. Dalzell an exception being however made in the case of the village of Panta Cuddapah, the first class lands of which although bearing the high assessment of 10 C. P. was entered in account as 8 fasul, its soil was of the best quality its lands were frequently bought and sold and its vicinity to Cuddapah afforded the Ryots great facilities for procuring valuable manure and ever since, the same practice has been followed and fasulgiasty been assessed on these lands when producing a second crop - A further correspondence subsequently took place between the Collector and the Board regarding Camalapoor and some other talooks which was closed in Fusly 1252 by the Board's directions to exempt some lands from fasulgiasty entering them as two crop lands and to collect the fasulgiasty on others retained under the one crop head -

6- In Calacadah of Gooty the wet land assessed at C. Pagodas 6½ - 5½ and 4½ the acre was

was entered in the tarum Jamalundy accounts as two crop land, while land of the same class which remained in the kaiki anadi kunjer was not classed under either the one or two crop head some of the latter, taken up subsequent to the tarum settlement, having been cultivated with a second crop in Fusly 1244, was assessed with a fasulgasty, but on an appeal being made to the Collector. Mr. Gleig he decided that it ought to be placed in the same position as the lands of the same class which had been entered in the tarum Jamalundy accounts as dofasul and remitted the extra assessment.

7- I am not of opinion that in the instances they have adduced petitioners have established any precedent on which to ground a claim to exemption from a practice which has obtained in their Villay since Fusly 1239-

8- The second point of complaint in the petition is that whereas formerly the dim assessment only was entered in the Ryots puttahs, the fasulgasty

fasulgasty assessed as a second crop if cultivated being entered in account under the head of Tamalyjastee this system was subsequently abandoned, and the expected extent of cultivation entered in the puttahs as "Oomaid kunjer" the fasulgastee assessment on the land not actually cultivated being subsequently remitted- while the latter plan has been lately again departed from and for the past few years the assessment on the land entered as "Oomaid kunjer" has been collected whether the land was cultivated or not-

9- Upto Fusly 1242, it was the practice to enter in the Ryots puttahs as Oomaid kunjer, all the lands irrigated from tanks and Channels which had not been cultivated up to the time of the settlement, to collect the assessment on all subsequently cultivated and to remit it that on the land remaining waste throughout the year- From Fusly 1243 this System was modified to the extent of entering as Oomaid kunjer only such land as it

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

it was considered probable would be cultivated remitting the assessment on lands for the non-cultivation of which sufficient cause was assigned, and collecting it on all left waste which might without difficulty have been cultivated. In the Board's Proceedings of the 12th of September 1842 reviewing the settlement of Fusly 1250, the granting of any remissions after the account of the settlement had been closed was however prohibited, and these orders reaching the Collector at the commencement of Fusly 1252, from that time it has been the practice to enter in the Ryotwar puttahs the extent of land which at the time of the settlement it is considered may certainly be cultivated, to collect the assessment on it from substantial ryots whether finally cultivated or not, and to grant remission only under the sanction of Government, on a report that the outstanding balance is considered irrecoverable.—

10.— At the settlement of the current year I had the state of Pauta Cuddapah carefully examined by the most

intelligent

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

intelligent and substantial ryots of its neighbouring Villages who with the Taloo & Shristadar, having ascertained the quantity of water remaining in the tank, and the position of its dependant lands, were unanimously of opinion that seventy acres the numbers composing which they pointed out might without any difficulty be cultivated, and that extent of land was accordingly entered in the Ryotwar puttahs as Comaid Munjer I had no doubt at the time that this land might easily be cultivated, but the matter has been put beyond question by the fact that since the Settlement there have been three heavy falls of rain at Cuddapah and that so much additional water has passed into the tank in a heavy freshet in the river, that I am informed by the 1st Assistant Civil Engineer that on the 19th Instant the tank was more than half full.—

Under these circumstances I am unable to admit that petitioners have any just Ground of complaint.

11.— The third item of complaint is that petitioners have within one year

paid

paid full assessment twice, and half assessment once on the same cultivated lands.

12. As there was some difference of practice in the several talooks regarding the assessment to be demanded on a crop of Indigo the following rules were established in Fusly 1254, and have since been generally followed.

1st That the ain assessment only should be collected if indigo were cultivated on two crop lands.

2d That the ain assessment and a fasulgasty should be taken on one crop lands cultivated with indigo for the Cartee Rum crop.

3d That if one crop lands should be cultivated with a grain crop during Cartee Rum and with indigo during Vysakum, the ain assessment should be collected on the former and fasulgasty on the latter, the ain assessment for the following year being also taken if the indigo crop were a second time cut at the commencement.

commencement of the new Fusly.

4th That if land left waste during Cartee Rum were cultivated with indigo in Vysau Rum, the ain assessment should be taken, and the same for the following year if the crop were a second time cut at the commencement of the new Fusly.

13. These rules which are generally throughout the District have been acted upon in Pauta Cuddapah and are not complained of by the Ryots the point of whose complaint is that whereas they have cut their indigo twice within the Fusly the second cutting has been appropriated to the ensuing year, and full assessment charged upon it. Such is however not the case, and the following Statement shews the grounds on which fasulgasty has been charged for the present year, and that in Fusly 1260, although the land was thrice cropped, as appears in the third item of the Statement, ain assessment and fasulgasty one were charged upon the land.

Land

Fort St.e George 14.th June 1852.

	Acres	C as	Assessment	C. F. as	C. F.
Land cultivated with paddy for 1.st crop of Fusly 1260 and with indigo for 2.d crop which was cut in Fusly 1261 after which a paddy crop was raised	79	29	417 1 2½	208 5	9½
Land cultivated with indigo for 2.d Crop of Fusly 1259 which was cut in Fusly 1260 when paddy was cultivated for 2.d crop, cut and indigo again planted the latter being cut in Fusly 1261 and paddy subsequently raised	52	12¼	329 11	13	164 5 6½
Land cultivated with indigo in Fusly 1259 which was cut for 1.st crop in Fusly 1260, cultivated with paddy for 2.d Crop and afterwards with indigo which was cut once within the Fusly and again in Fusly 1261 and paddy subsequently cultivated	59	19	4½ 482 9	11¼	241 4 13½
Total	191	9	11½ 1229 1	10½	614 5 3¼

Lastly.

Fort St.e George 14.th June 1852.

14.th Lastly petitioners complain that no remissions were granted to them for losses sustained in the Storm of May 1851, and this Statement is also incorrect. On a representation being made of damage done in the storm the state of the crops was examined and it was found that those bearing a fasulgiasty assessment of Rupees 980-14-8 had been destroyed, when the circumstances of each Ryot who had suffered loss were enquired into with reference to his entire holding and what proportion of it was watered from untaxed wells. It was found that the loss sustained by Ryots within ten per Cent of the assessment of the total land they had cultivated was Rupees 677-2-8 and on this no remission was granted but the remaining sum of Rupees 303-12 Standing against those whose circumstances warranted indulgence was remitted in account.

Cuddapah District
Coll.rs Office on Circuit
Muddempully
28.th May 1852

/Signed H. Forbes
Collector

Ordered.

6859. Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

B. of R. No. 1136.
Order thereon.

(39-40)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition Nos. 898-925-953-and 1190-1852.

From the full report of the Collector upon the subject of the Petition the Board find that since Fusly 1239 fasul jasty has been charged with the Board's Sanction on Estimate fasul lands bearing a second crop.

2nd dly That the Comaid Bunjee was entered in the Puttah after a strict enquiry as to the Amount of water in the Tanks available for cultivation, which Amount has been increased by late rains.

3rd dly That although in some instances 3 crops were raised within the year, the Jin assessment and Fasul jasty have alone been levied.

4th dly That remission for loss within 10 p.c. of the puttah was withheld, though granted to the amount of 303 Rupees to indigent ryots.

Under these circumstances the complaints of Petitioners are considered devoid of any reasonable foundation.

Read

J. C. B.

6860 Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Read the following letters.

No. 82.

(41)

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 1277.

From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir

1. I have the honor to enclose an Extract from the Readit of the accounts of this Collectorate for Fusly 1258 and to request that you will obtain the sanction of Government for the Charges of Rupees-7-8-" therein alluded to which appears to have been incurred in that Fusly in removing to Madras and interring a Coffin containing the Remains of "some Gentleman unknown" which was washed on shore near Ennore. The amount in question was duly debited in the Chittas of November of the above Fusly, but sanction was omitted to be obtained for it.

2. It is seen from the records that a reference was made by my predecessor to the Accountant General on the 24th Novr. 1848 requesting to be informed of the proper head of Charge under which the amount was to be entered. That Officer having explained that it should be debited on the Journal under the head of "General Charges Extraordinary" no further steps were taken to obtain the sanction of Government for the amount on the 30th Novr. 1848.

Chingleput Collector's Cutcherry, Cuttewauk 31st May 1852.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Extract.

1861 For Ft. St. George 14th June 1852.

No. 1. Extract from the Resolution of the Board of Revenue on account of the Collector of Chingleput from 12th July 1848 to 11th July 1849 on account of Establishments for Fusly 1258 ——

Remarks of the Civil Auditor.

No. | Charges objected to. | Rs. | a | p

28. General Charges Extraordinary.
Amount of Charges incurred in Removing
to Madras and interring a Coffin —
which was recorded on Shore near Ennore
as per Instructions from the Acting
Head Assistant Accountant General
dated 30th November 1848. — 7 8 —

(True Extract)
(Signed) G. Cochrane
Collector

Proceedings —

1862 For Ft. St. George 14th June 1852.

Bd. No. 1152.

Proceedings thereon, Resolved that the fore-going letter with its inclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council. —

(41-42)

2. The Board recommend that, under the circumstances stated, the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the Charge of Rupees [illegible] 8 — retrenched from the accounts of the Collector for Fusly 1258 being the Charges incurred in Removing to Madras and interring a Coffin containing the Remains of "Same Gentleman unknown" which was washed on shore near Ennore.

No. 85.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(43)

Bd. No. 1303.

From the Collector of Chingleput — a Statement of Mostahs which have been attached for arrears of Revenue due upto 30th April of Fusly 1261 and Request that the Board will sanction the Establishment proposed for their management.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward

† Vide Statement A with a Marattah Statement.

Chingleput District, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Cuttevauk 2d June 1852.

(Signed) G. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement.

6863

Fort St. George

Statement of Estates attached in the Hillah

14th June 1852.

of Chinglepat in Fusly 1261.—

1 Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	2 Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	3 No. of Villages or Shares attached in the Zemindary or Estate.	4 Permanent Jummah of the entire Jamindary or Estate.	5 Amount of Rist due up to February of Fusly 1261.	6 Amount Collected.	Balance	8 No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	9 actual or Estimated Value of the portion proposed to be attached.	10 Establishment proposed to be employed on attachment.		11 Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estates attached.	12 Date of the attachment.	13 Remarks.
						7							
1. Chunambut	S. Aroomoogy & Moodely & Ramasawmy Moodely	3	1651 15 11	1446 " "	619 " "	827 " "	3	1851 15 11					
2. Poroor	C. Sama Row	1	200 15 8	175 " "	75 " "	100 " "	1	200 15 8	1 Moodely	4 " "			
3 Andara Coop-pum	S. Ramasawmy Moodely	1	363 13 5	319 " "	137 " "				1 Surfdar	5 " "			
						182 " "	1	363 13 5	1 Peon	3 " "			
		5	2216 13 "	1940 " "	831 " "	1109 " "	5	2216 13 "		15 " "	"	10 10	26th May 1852
4. Pondoor	Y. Aroonachella Moodely	15	3693 9 10	3231 " "	1325 6 4	1905 9 5	15	3693 9 10	1 Ameen	10 8 "			
									1 Mooshruff	7 " "			
									1 Surfdare	5 " "			
									2 Peons	6 " "			
									Sadalawar	" 8 "			
										29 " "	"	12 7	Dr
5. Chumavelly Cau-doo	P. Bawboo Row Ragoonada Row Venkata Row and Govinda Row	2	192 13 2	168 " "	72 " "	96 " "	2	192 13 2					
6 Cheracalatoor	Veejaya Reddy and Streeneva Reddy	1	44 1 5	39 " "	19 1 3	19 14 9	1	44 1 5	1 Ameen and Mooshruff	7 " "			
7 Cheroomytoor	Kristniengar and Pereyatumby Moodely	1	446 14 5	391 " "	252 " "	139 " "	1	446 14 5					
8. Kynelloor	C. Ramasawmy Moodely	1	299 7 7	262 " "	112 " "				1 Peon	3 " "			
						150 " "	1	299 7 7	Sadalawar	" 8 "			
		5	983 4 7	860 " "	455 13 "	404 14 9	5	983 4 7		10 8 "		1 1 1	Dr

1864 — For Ste Ste George — 14th June 1852

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jummah of the entire Jamindary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due up to February of Fasly 1261.	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed on attachment.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estates attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	K. Sondraya.											
9 Pondoor	Moodely	1	1,024 7 7	896 " "	548 9 1	347 6 11	1	1,024 7 7	1 Ameen and Moosharuff	7 " "		
10. Perumbadoo	Dº	1	492 1 10	431 " "	185 " "	246 " "	1	492 1 10	1 Surfdar	5 " 4		
									1 Peon	3 " "		
11. Attavatthum	Dº	1	108 12 3	95 " "	41 " "	54 " "	1	108 12 3	Sadalawar	" 8 "		
		3	1,625 5 8	1422 " "	774 9 1	647 6 11	3	1,625 5 8		15 8 "	" 15 3	Dº
12. Coonnatoor	Ramanattan died Singaiengar Shasha=dree Iyengar & Raga=va Charry	1	502 " 6	438 " "	325 13 10	112 2 2	1	502 " 6	1 Ameen and Mooshuruff	7 " "		
13 Bommarajah=poorum		1	409 8 1	358 " "	101 3 7	256 12 5	1	409 8 1	1 Peon	3 " "		
									Sadalawar	" 8 "		
		2	911 8 7	796 " "	427 1 5	368 14 7	2	911 8 7		10 8 "	1 25	Dº
14. Vellum	Chittala Ramayah. & Vencata Soobba=mah	2	1,140 3 8	996 " "	426 " "	570 " "	2	1,140 3 8	1 Ameen	8 " "		
									1 Mooshuff	6 " "		
15 Chittoor		1	221 12 "	190 " "	125 " "	65 " "	1	221 12 "	1 Peon	3 " "		
									Sadalawar	" 8 "		
		3	1,361 15 8	1,186 " "	551 " "	635 " "	3	1,361 15 8		17 8 "	1 4 6	Dº
16. Narasinga=poorum	Y. Vencatachella Moo=dely died & Yellappa Moo=dely	2	643 6 7	563 " "	159 2 "	403 14 "	2	643 6 7	1 Ameen and mooshuruff	7 " 4		
17. Matum coop=pum.	Mavelove Mooflee Syed Mohamed Abdul Vathoolhan Nakavee=saib.	2	421 15 1	368 " "	147 8 "	220 8 "	2	421 15 1	1 Peon	3 " "		
									Sadalawar	" 8 "		
		4	1,065 5 8	931 " "	306 10 "	624 6 "	4	1,065 5 8		10 8 "	" 15 9	Dº

6865

Fort St. George

14th June 1852

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages entirely attached in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to February of Fusly 1261	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed on attachment		Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portions of the Estates attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12	13
18 Reyavelloor	T. Ramasawmy Reddy	2	528 13 8	460 " "	295 " "	165 " "	2	528 13 8	1 Ameen and Mooshruff	8 " "			
19 Vattacarum	Basheyum Venkata Reddy	2	98 " 4	87 " "	38 " "	49 " "	2	98 " 4	1 Turfdar	5 " "			
									1 Peon	3 " "			
20 Chembrumbaukum	P. B. Gopallachorry	1	604 15 11	530 " "	387 14 "	142 "	1	604 15 11	Sadalawar	" 8 "			
		5	1231 14 2	1077 " "	720 14 "	356 "	5	1231 14 2		16 8 "	1 5 5	26th May 1852	
21 Vellacattah	Bashea Iyengar	5	2422 9 6	2119 " "	825 12 3	1293 3 9	5	2422 9 6	1 Ameen	8 " "			
									1 Mooshruff	6 " "			
									1 Turfdar	5 " "			
									1 Peon	3 " "			
									Sadalawar	" 8 "			
										22 8 "	" 14 10	Do	
22 Chittoocadoo	M. Pole Naidoo	4	1088 11 4	952 " "	600 " "	352 " "	4	1088 11 4	1 Ameen	8 " "			
23 Theramanum	V. Veneayah	4	1285 9 "	1126 " "	683 " "	443 " "	4	1285 9 "	1 Mooshruff	6 " "			
									1 Turfdar	5 " "			
24 Coratoor	T. Ponnatummall.	1	327 12 5	290 " "	126 " "	164 " "	1	327 12 5	2 Peons	6 " "			
									Sadalawar	" 8 "			
		9	2702 " 9	2368 " "	1409 " "	959 " "	9	2702 " 9		25 8 "	" 15 1	Do	
25 Tripassoor	Nawab Goolam Hussain Khan Bahadur Delaverjang	2	2549 8 9	2231 " "	1236 6 10	894 9 2	2	2549 8 9	1 Ameen	10 8 "			
									1 Mooshruff	8 " "			
									1 Turfdar	5 " "			
26 Autoospaucum	T. Bamboo Row	2	103 7 4	90 " "	38 " "	52 " "	2	103 7 4	2 Peons	6 " "			
									Sadalawar	" 8 "			
27 Noongumbaukum	Do	1	540 7 6	473 " "	203 8 "	269 8 "	1	540 7 6					
		5	3193 7 7	2794 " "	1547 14 10	1216 12 5	3193 7 7			30 " "	" 15 "	Do	

1866 — For Fort St. George — 14th June 1852.

1 Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	2 Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	3 No. of Villages included in the Estate.	4 Permanent Jummah of the entire Jamindary or Estate.	5 Amount of Kist due up to February of Fusly 1261	6 Amount Collected.	7 Balance.	8 No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	9 Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	10 Establishment proposed to be employed on attachment.	11 Percentage of the Establishment to the estimated value of the portion of the Estates attached.	12 Date of the attachment.	13 Remarks.
28 Ponnary	P. Lomasoondara Moodaly	1	276 3	242	104 2	137 15 10	1	276 3				Placed under the management of the Amenah of Coomarungalum Moodaly on the 10th March 1852.
29 Lutchmy pooram	Gopala Row and Kistna Row Dec'a	15	2308 2 10	2020	857 12 4	1162 3 8	15	2308 2 10	Turfdar 5			
									2 Peons 6			
						300	2	600 2 8				
30 Paddea Nellore	V. Moottapillay	2	600 2 8	525	225							
		18	3184 8 6	2787	1186 12 6	1600 3 6	18	3184 8 6	11	5 6	Do	
31 Colloor	P. Appa Row Moodely	1	330 11	288	123	165	1	330 11				
32 Condeya	P. Venayaga Moodely	1	35 15 3	31	13	18	1	35 15 3	1 Ameen 7			
33 Eeeroolapuddoo	P. Appa Row Moodely	1	287 4 11	252	108	144	1	287 4 11				
34 Tachoor	Roocmatheen Khan Saib	2	448 15 1	392	168	224	2	448 15 1	1 Mooshruff 6			
35 Vanoombaukum	N. Gopala Row	1	284 5	250	108	142	1	284 5	2 Peons 6			
36 Chembeyum Manaly	V. Veerabudra Pillay	1	212 8	187	80 4 10	106 11 2	1	212 8	Sadalawar 8			
		7	1599 1 2	1400	600 4 10	799 11 2	7	1599 1 2	19 8	1 3 6	Do	
37 Batoor	M. Paupee Moodoo & A. Paupee Reddy	3	1926 2 5	1685	722	963	3	1926 2 5	1 Ameen and Mooshruff 8 1 Turfdar 5 1 Peon 3 Sadalawar 8			
									16 8	1 3 8	26th May 1852.	
Grand Total.		89	28117 11 1	24596	11713 6 9	12882 9 3	89	28117 11 1	250	14 2		

Chingleput District Collector's Circuit Cutchery Cuttevauk,
2nd June 1852. E. E. J.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

The

6867 Fort St. George 14th June 1852

Bd. No. 1132.

Proceedings thereon

(43-44)

The Board sanction the employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of Rupees 250 per mensem, for the management of the Estates in the District of Chingleput attached for arrears of Revenue for the current Fusly.—

J.G.B.

6868 Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

(45)

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1365

From the Collector of Chingleput, J. H. Kenrick

Sir,

Forwarding an application from Mr. J. H. Kenrick offering to undertake the Ab Karry Contract of his District without competition.—

At the request of Mr. J. H. Kenrick I have the honor to submit the accompanying application offering to undertake the Ab Karry Contract of this District for a period of 3 years commencing from the ensuing Fusly 1262, at an annual rent of Rupees 78,500 for which sum he requests the lease may be given to him without putting it to public competition.—

+ Amount of Present rent of Ab Karry Revenue of Pulicat &c recently transferred to this District from North Arcot	7,320
	4,210
Total	77,410
Amount offered by Mr. Kenrick	78,500
Increase	1,090
Amount offered by Seetharama Chetty of Vellore, Rupees	77,200

2. The sum offered by Mr. Kenrick is Rupees 1,090 - 0 - 0 in excess of the rent at which the Ab Karry is now held as shewn in the Margin, and is higher than any tenders yet received in this Office for the ensuing lease. Adverting to the great respectability of the party his request might appear deserving of the favorable consideration of the Board.—

3. The rent will of course be

be contingent on the decision of the Board in regard to the discontinuance of the Arrack distilleries of Madavankum and Tambreum &c. alluded to in my communication of the 6th Ultimo with reference to which a reduction will have to be made in its amount. As the abkarry farm is proposed to be sold on the 18th Instant I request to be favored with the sentiments of the Board on this subject at an early date.-

Chingleput District, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, 11th June, 1852. ---

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Read also the following letter

No. 68.

46

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 1340.

From the Collector of Madras. -

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 25th May 1852. forwarding a letter from the Collector of Chingleput on the subject of certain distilleries within the limits fixed by the Board, as regards the protection of

of the Abkarry Revenue of this Collectorate and to state that it is extremely desirable that the two distilleries mentioned by Mr. Cochrane situated at Tambaram and Madavankum, especially the latter, should be immediately discontinued and that I entirely concur in the other alterations proposed by Mr. Cochrane.-

Madras, ----
7th June, 1852.-

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

No. 112.

45-47

To, The Collector of Chingleput, and the same to Madras.

Bd. No. 1095.

To the Collector of Chingleput. The same to Madras.

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 11th Instant, giving cover to one herewith returned, to your address from Mr. J. H. Kenrick offering to take the abkarry lease of your district for 3 years from the ensuing July at an annual rent of 78500 Rupees, I am desired to forward a Petition this day received from the parties noted Marginally,

No. 1259 of 1852.
V. Sectaramaroodly
& Canoolaulah of
Vellore.-

and to convey the Board's request that you will put up the Abkarry rent to public competition in the usual manner on the day notified, or on a future date should you think such postponement necessary for the due information of the parties concerned.-

7th in Cons 14th June 1852.

The Collector of Madras communicating with you in the expediency of restricting the number of distilleries to one within 10 Miles of the Madras Abkarry limits, the Board desire that you will discontinue those alluded to in Para 3 of your letter of the 6th May 1852. They see no grounds for remission to the renters in consequence of the abolition of these distilleries, the renters will remove liquor from an authorized distillery for distribution to the Sub renters.

In Dft Cons 27th May 1852.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th June 1852.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub. Secretary.

Read the following letter.

48

No. 33.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 838.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Affording the detailed information required in the Board's letter dated 24th November last respecting the levey of Bhogajasty and deduction of Bhoganusty in the Villages lately forming the Avelcondah Jaghire.-

Sir,

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the

the 24th November last requesting more detailed information regarding the levy of Bogajasty and deduction of Boganusty in the Villages lately forming the Avelcondah Jaghire, I have the honor to submit the following explanation.-

2. In the time of the late Jaghire-dar the nunjah lands irrigated by Tanks and channels, were not distinguished into one and two Crop lands. The Ryots cultivated two crops or one as they pleased, in every description of land, and paid accordingly. A division has now been made between one and two crop lands, with reference to the number of crops they have been yielding for some years past, and remission will be allowed on the latter, when a second crop has not been cultivated from want of water, according to the rule existing in other parts of the District to the North of the Paulaur.-

3. With regard to a second crop raised on one crop land, dependant on well irrigation, up to this time it has not been the practice in the rest of the District, to make an extra charge for a second.-

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.-

second crop, nor has remission been allowed on well irrigated lands assessed for 2 Crops. But this system has been attended with loss to the Revenue, through the Ryots finding it more for their interest to improve the one crop land for the growth of 2 Crops than to cultivate the lands assessed for two.

Under Para 13. of the Turum Hookoomnamah referring to the Jaghire of Avelcondah.

In order to equalise the relative value of these lands they have been divided in the resumed Jaghire as is usual with the other Nunjah lands under Tanks and Channels into two classes.-

4. The inhabitants of these Villages have objected to this measure because of it's apparent novelty, but if they have been in the habit of paying an extra assessment on all lands on which they have raised a second crop, they have no good ground for complaint.-

5. The result of the introduction of this new rule in Fusly 1260 is given in the Margin.

Fusooljasty	153- 11- 9-	
Deduct Boga: jasty remission	39- 11- 4	
Net Increase	114- a- 5-	

North Arcot - - - -
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Sholinghur, 8th April, 1852.-

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector.

Under-

Bd. No. 1149
Proceedings
48-49

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.-

thereon.- Under the explanation afforded by the Acting Collector, the Board will not disturb the arrangement adopted in the Avelconda Jaghire of charging Fusuljasty and deducting Fusul cummee on lands under wells, pending their final orders on the Survey, the report on which, together with the Turum Hookoomnamah they e request may be forwarded as early as e practicable.-

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions 1475, 1608 of 1851 & 749 of 1852.-

"During the Management of the late Jaghiredar, Fusuljasty was charged on a second crop. The land under wells has now been divided into 1 & 2 crop land and Fusuljasty and Fusul cummi applied accordingly to circumstances."-

"This system must continue pending the Board's final orders on the Survey. The Petitioners are clearly not entitled e to enjoy the Jaghire lands having been assessed after a careful consideration of their capabilities."-

Read the following letter.

To

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

No. 56.

To, W. H. Bayley Esq^re

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

50

Bd. No. 1248
From the Collector of Salem -- Affording further information in regard to an application from Syed Vysoolla Sahib for certain compensation on land occupied by a public road.-

Sir,

Para 1. In reply to your Board's Proceedings dated the 29th March last, relative to an application from Syed Vysoolla Sahib for certain compensation which I had submitted for orders,-

2. I have the honor to state that the ground taken up by the highroad to Bangalore, appears to have been under actual cultivation when in 1834 the road was made, not, however, for a money rent equivalent to its registered faisul assessment, but on a division of the produce.-

3. The loss thus sustained by the Enamdar would, therefore, seem to be a real and not an imaginary one.-

4. The land flooded in the tank of the Tank was Anady Bunjer.-

5. I have had the honor to represent in my letter of 13th March last, that the cause of the delay on the part of the Enamdar in bringing forward the present claim, does not satisfactorily appear.- He has been receiving during the

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.-

the last 14 years, a Military pension which was bestowed on him in February 1837, owing to one of his legs having been amputated, consequent on a kick from a horse.- He appears to have enlisted when about 16 years of age, and served in the Cavalry for three years or thereabouts. His request now under notice, was referred to the late Collector, W^m. E. Lockhart, four years ago, but giving him the benefit of that period, he has still to account for ten entire years, during which he permitted his claim to lie dormant.-

Salem Collector's Cutcherry,
Salem 24th May, 1852.-
Bd. No. 1145.
Proceedings thereon.-

(Signed) W. D. Phillips
Collector.

50-51

The portion of land flooded by the Tank having been Immemorial waste the Enamdar's real loss would therefore seem to consist of the &c. 2.- 32-8-0 assessed at Rupees 15-8-2 which was cultivated land at the time that it was appropriated by Government to the formation of the Road, 18 years ago.- Admitting that the

2. Applicant

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.-

Applicant preferred his claim to Mr. Lockhart 4 years ago, the Board observe that the claim has been allowed to remain dormant for 14 years, or instead of only 10, as represented by the Collector.-

3. Under the principle of the Statute of limitation contained in Clause 4 Section XVIII Regulation II 1802 claims after 12 years are consi:dered inadmissible and the Board do not see sufficient grounds to warrant their sub:mitting this claim to com:pensation at so late a period for the Sanction of Government.-

Read.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Read the following letter-

No. 25.

To W. H. Bayley Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

52

Bd. No. 1246
From the Collector
of South Arcot.

Sir,

In Consequence of the instructions of the Board I have the honor to return with my endorsement Petition No. 919 of 1852. and as the subject to which it relates is of much general importance in this District, being Con:nected with the Tussur question, I deem it proper to enter into a more detailed explanation.-

Reports on Petition No. 919 of 1852 and explains the abuse that is made of Mr Dents rule regard:ing exemp:tion of Pon:jah lands from Tus:sur in Cer:tain Cases.

2. The Board are aware that the Commissioner Mr. Dent pub:lished some rules, allowing Jungle and waste water to be taken for the Cultivation of Ponjah lands without a demand being made for Tussur, according to the previous Custom. These rules although brought into practical effect, do not appear to have been formally sanctioned, and my opinion was recently called for regarding the propriety

† Letter to Board 10th. July 1857.

propriety of retaining them in force
In reply to that Call I proposed that they
should be allowed to remain unaltered
pending the question of a revision of
the assessment, and gave a short sum-
mary of the relaxations which had
been made from time to time in the
Fussur Regulations.—

3. I have thus shown my
wish to secure to the people, pending a revision
of the assessment, the indulgence which
it was Mr. Dents object to confer upon
them, but I regret to observe that as my
experience with the District increases
I find that Mr. Dents rules are systema-
tically and extensively abused. Now that
the Curnums have a power of exempting
lands from the previous charge for Fussur
in some instances, they avail themselves
of it to permit large Poonjah tracts to
be Cultivated by the aid of the Sircar
Channels and Tanks, without bringing
the Fussur to account, trusting to their
ability of pleading in the event of dis-
covery that they thought flood, or waste
water had been used. In the 36th. Para. of
my

my late Tumakkandy report I pointed out
that these frauds prevailed in the 2 Southern
Talooks, and I now find that they are
equally carried on in other parts of
the district, more especially under the
Pennaur and Guddelum river Channels
in the Trevady and Villapooram Talooks
4. In the present year I call-
ed for a return of the Poonjah lands Culti-
vated with Nunjah produce throughout
the district, and the accounts which
are doubtless below the truth gave the
quantity at 26,260 Cawnies, of which only
13,257 have been charged with Fussur.
Of the other portion 1331 Cawnies were
Cultivated through the legitimate aid
of private wells, while the remaining
11,672 are professedly irrigated by Jungle
Streams and waste water, though they
are mostly intermixed with the Nunjah
fields situated along the river Chan-
nels and there is little doubt that
they would be found on enquiry to be
watered from the same sources.—
5. It appeared to me absolute-
ly necessary to check this annually
increasing

Fort St. George 14th. June 1852.

Consists of a fine plain irrigated by the Pennaur and other Channels, and after deducting the lands for which Russur has been charged in the current settlement, there still remain 2,340 Cawnies of Poonjah lands Cultivated with Punjah produce without any Russur being brought to account, chiefly on the plea that they were irrigated by flood, or waste water. The necessity of checking the abuse, of Mr. Dents' rules will be further apparent when I mention that in this Taluk the regular Punjah Cultivation consisted only of 11,950. Cawnies in the present year being less than one half of the available Punjah Ayacut omitting the Perampoke. In the five particular Villages from which the Petition emanates the lands, are mixed with the Punjah fields, only the Pennaur River Channels and while 552 Cawnies have been charged with Russur there still remain 314 Cawnies on which Punjah produce has been raised without such a charge being enforced. I mention these particulars to show that in endeavouring to check an extensive abuse

† Paukum-
Soapoor-
Rampaukum
Seravunoud.
Terospanam
-paukum-

Fort St. George 14th. June 1852.

abuse, I have only taken notice of the clearest instances. It will be observed in the case of the present petitioners as that they do not dispute having raised Punjah crops, while the fact of their having taken water from the river Channels is proved by the position of the lands and the evidence of the Village Officers.

8. It is not however to be supposed that the Cultivators will resign a pecuniary advantage, however ill-founded their claim may be, without contesting the propriety of my proceedings and as other similar representations may be made, I have entered into this detail in order that the Board may be able to take the subject into Consideration and pass their orders upon it with a view to the settlement of the entire question.

9. I still adhere to the opinion expressed in my letter of the 10th. July last that it is not advisable to rescind Mr. Dents' rule pending a revision of the assessment, but after the Punjah lands have been thus placed on a satisfactory footing, it seems to me expedient

Fort St. George 4th June 1852.

expedient that Russur should be again charged as a standing rule on all Poonjah lands which are cultivated with Nunjah produce, except when the water is obtained from wells and Tanks dug at the expense of the land holders or when lands are situated in the beds of rivers and the Cultivators take on themselves the risk of injury by floods. This rule, which is generally known elsewhere was formerly enforced in this District and experience has shown that it cannot be relaxed without giving rise to extensive abuses which injure the Nunjah Cultivation, and give one ryot an undue advantage over another. The justice of the rule seems to me incontestable for although it is urged that the Sircar is at no expense in furnishing the water supplied from jungle streams, and such sources, still when lands have the advantage of water flowing to them it seems as equitable that they should pay a superior teerwah, as that lands which have the Corresponding

natural

Fort St. George 4th June 1852

natural advantage of a superior soil should be assessed more highly than the less favored descriptions.

10. But pending a revision of the assessment it seems advisable that some precautions should be taken to prevent the abuse of Mr. Dent's rules and Continue them to their intended purpose. With this view I submit for Considerations some explanatory regulations and if I am empowered to publish them in the District with the Board's authority it appears to me that disputes and discussions will be lessened and that the progress of the annual Settlement will be facilitated.

Enclosure No. 1

South Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
25th May, 1852.

/Signed/ C. Maltby
Collector.

No. 1.

Notification

Whereas it appears that water is sometimes taken from the Circar Channels and other irrigation works for the purpose of raising Nunjah Crops on Poonjah

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Poonjah lands without the Cummunseer charging the prescribed Russur on the plea that the lands were irrigated by the jungle streams, or flood water referred to in Mr. Dent's rules, the Cultivators are hereby informed that while those rules are allowed to remain in force the following explanatory regulations will be followed.—

When Poonjah lands situated along the channels and rivers are Cultivated with Nunjah produce and it is shown that water has reached them from those channels directly or indirectly no exemption of Russur will be allowed on the plea that the water was brought by floods.—

Note

If Poonjah produce was raised the plea of floods might after due examination be admitted but as Nunjah crop require water for some months it is evident that they cannot be brought to maturity by an occasional flood and that they would not have been sown unless a more Certain irrigation was to be depended upon. It is absolutely necessary for the prevention of frauds to reject the plea of floods when Nunjah Crops are raised.—

2. When water is intercepted in its passage to irrigation works and diverted to Poonjah lands Russur will be charged. No plea regarding Vodays or jungle streams will be admitted.—

Privates

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

3d. Private wells, and Kootay Colums will be allowed as heretofore but they must be in places where they have an independant supply of water and not close to the channels or tanks to drain water from them.—

4th. Land Cultivated in the beds of tanks will continue to be exempted from Russer when no injury is done to the Nunjah Cultivation, but if it is found that injury, arises to the tank and its dependent Nunjah fields, from the bank being opened to let off the water Russer will be charged.

5th. When Poonjah fields, adjoining the channels, and Nunjah tracts are Cultivated with Nunjah Crops no distinction will be made between Crops broad sown (varrapoo) and those transplanted (nadavoo)

Note This rule is proposed because it is often unreasonably urged as a ground for exemption from Russer that the Crops were broad sown and not transplanted, though that Cultivation betokens a greater Command of water and is preferred when practicable.—

/Signed/ C. Malthby
Collector.

52-53

Bd. No. 1124
Proceedings

The Board, approve of the Collector's proceedings in having charged Russer

Fort St. George 11th June 1852.

Fusser in certain instances, of clear and well established liability to that tax, and in thus attempting gradually to diminished the prevailing abuse of the relaxation of the Fusser Rules introduced by the Commissioner.

2. They also approve of the Rules forming Enclosure to the Collector's letter, and direct their publication throughout the District.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 9194 of 1852.

"The Board having received a report from the Collector on the levy of Fusser in the instances brought within his notice, are satisfied that Petitioners are fairly liable to the charge, and that the same is just and reasonable."

Read the following letter

To, No. 43.

W. H. Bayley Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a

54

B. No. 1370

From the Collector of Tanjore.

DF

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

a Statement shewing the result of the Abkarry biddings for the ensuing Fusly 1262 and to request their confirmation by the Board, that they may be made over to the highest bidders after the usual securities have been entered into.

2. The Amount for which the rents sold for Fusly 1261 is the highest on record exceeding that of the previous year by Rupees 8,560 and much difficulty has been experienced in realizing the Rents while at the same time there is every reason to believe that the renters were compelled to resort to all sorts of irregular methods for the disposal of their liquor and payment of the demands against them. Under these circumstances they were strictly warned prior to the sale of the rents that the provisions of the regulation would be acted up to their fullest extent whenever disregarded and the effect of this threat has been to reduce the Amount of the biddings 9,100 in the Principal Division, and 1,150 in the Sub Division, giving a total decrease as compared with last year of Rupees 21,250, and on the average of the last 5 years 6,263, this an increase on the average of the preceding 5 years of 23,323.

I Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Tanjore
Wednesday
9th June 1852.

Statement

6891

Fort S.t George 14.th June 1852.

No.1. Statement Shewing the highest Offers that have been made for the rent of the 16 Parry Farms of the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1262 compared with the rents of the preceding five years.

Division	Talooks	Highest Amount bid for Fusly 1262 — Name of the bidders	Amount	Rent of the preceding Fusly 1261	Difference — Increase	Difference — Decrease	Average of the five preceding Fusles 1257 to 1261	Remarks
2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	
Principal Division	Trivady	Cundyoor Ammayapillay	9800	11120	"	1320	9818	
	Pauparnad-sum	Annamalapillay of Vungarumpettah, Punchanadumpillay of Pauparnadsum, Jyatyramoodelly of Do. and Mottoosawmy Setty of Ammapettah	6100	6020	80	"	5068	
	Trivalore	Pullikgrarum Ponnumbalapillay	3000	3450	"	450	3243	
	Keevalore	Poride Vellanandan	11850	14850	"	3000	13090	
	Tritra-sundy	Cadumbady Topspanadam Do. Chockalingana dam and Keevalore Sandapillay	2520	3520	"	1000	3158	
	Puttoocottah	Puttoocottah Coorroondapillay and Do. Paramuna-doot Coopsapillay	2750	3250	"	500	3150	
	Munnargoody	Munnargoody Gopaul Naick and Do. Soobapadiathy	2900	6520	"	1620	5348	
	Nunnillum	Nagore Kristniah Cadambady Topspandan and Do. Veroothachellanadan	4000	4810	"	810	4334	
	Codavaseel	Poodoocoody Fakeerinadan	1830	2290	"	460	2128	
	Tranquebar	Poriar Vellanadan	6030	6030	"	"	6074	
	Total 10 Taloks		52780	61880	80	9180	55411	Net 9100
Sub Division	Myaveram	Myaveram Bapoonaick Coornad Sabapaty Naick Trichempully Veerapa Naick Coottalum Ramadawmy Pillay Do. Savaramoottoondan Coornad Carruppanadan Do. Savaramoottoonadan Sayanapooram Sowrienadan	10000	12000	"	2000	9746	
	Coottalum	The above Myaveram Bapoo Naick & 8 individuals	5000	7200	"	2200	6190	
	Combaconum	Porayar Vellyanadan	13000	15700	"	2700	12300	
	Shedally	Ditto	10000	13750	"	3750	11910	
	Parellum	Ditto	7550	7550	"	"	6740	
	Velungaman	Velungaman Aspapoopilly	2500	4000	"	1500	2796	
	Total 6 Taloks		48050	60200	"	12150	57682	
	Grand Total of 16 Taloks including Principal & Sub Collrs Divisions		100830	122080	80	21330	107093	Net 21250
	Shayroot rent for the whole District	Maroongapullum Soobbarayapillay	300	480	"	180	318	

Tanjore, Khodicaray 9.th June 1852

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

The

Fort St.e George 14.th June 1852.

No. 1120

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the offers for the Ab.karry Farms of the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1262 exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter Amounting in the Aggregate to Rupees 100830 and authorize the Renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms on their making the necessary deposits, and giving the required security.

2. The Board also sanction the acceptance of the offer for the chairnrot rent for Fusly 1262 for Rupees 300 on the same terms as above.

(54-55)

C.O. 14.th July 1828 page 79.

Read the following letters.

No. 42.

To W.H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.e George.

(56)

B.d No. 1220
From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir.

I deem it my duty to submit for the information of your Board a translation of an Urzee received by me

Fort St.e George 14.th June 1852.

me this day from the Tahsildar of Moosery Talook on the inconvenience experienced by the emigration of the Puller or Agricultural serfs of the Soil.

2. During my recent Settlement of the Conauds Talook the Mirasidars were loud in their complaints against the emigration, and declaring their inability to carry on the cultivation of the Rice fields, if some protection was not accorded. I felt myself unable to grant them redress and suggested a Petition to Government on the subject.

Trichinopoly District.
Arealore
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
May 21.st 1852.

Signed J. Bird.
Collector

No. 1.

Translate of an Urzee respectfully submitted to J. Bird Esq.re Collector of Trichinopoly by Goolam Hoosain Tahsildar of Moosery Talook dated 18.th May 1852.

The Ryots of this Talook Complain to me that the Cultivation of their fields is impeded very much owing to

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

to the frequent emigration of their Pullers to the Islands of Candy and Mauritius and the Peshkar of Cuddalore Vattam had also submitted to me a report on the subject stating that 65 Pullers have already deserted their respective villages, Viz. 10 from Conadalem 30 from Awoor 10 from Mooseree 15 from Yawor and that his endeavours to put a stop to their emigration do not attend with success as the Pullers are in the habit of decamping during the night.

If the emigration of Pullers is permitted to Candy and Mauritius as above stated the Cultivation of the fields will be very much impeded. I therefore take the liberty to suggest that a letter from your honor to the Gentleman at the Sea Coast on the subject would prevent the Shipment of Pullers and enable the Ryots to carry on the Cultivation.

/ Signed Goolam Hoosain
Tahsildar

/ A true Translation /
/ Signed J. Bird
Collector

To

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

No. 43.

57

From W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 1255.

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

Sir,

In connexion with my letter of the 21st Instant, I do myself the honor to submit for your Board's consideration translations of two Urzees received by me from the Tahsildar of Vitticutty Talook.

2. The emigration of the Pullers is becoming a serious evil and will now be augmented considerably after the distribution of the enclosed notice of the Mauritius Government holding out inducement to emigrants to bring their wives and settle on the Islands.

3. I anticipate a falling off in the Revenue of the wet Talooks unless some protection is afforded.

/ Signed J. Bird
Collector.

Trichinopoly District
Woodiarpolliam
May 25th 1852.

Translate.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

No. 1.

Translate of an Arzee respectfully submitted to John Bird Esqre Collector of Trichinopoly by Veneatasawmy Naick Tahsildar of Vellicuttee dated 21st May 1852.

The Villagers of this Talook complain to me very often that Pullers of their respective villages continue to emigrate to Candy in gangs of 10 or 20 persons and that many others are intending to follow their example, and that in consequence the Cultivation of their fields will be greatly impeded.

If the Pullers as stated by the Villagers thus desert their Villages the cultivation will fall off to a great extent, and I have thought proper to communicate to you this information.

(Signed) Veneatasawmy Naick
Tahsildar

(A true Translation)
(Signed) J. Bird
Collector

No. 2.

Translate of an Arzee respectfully Submitted to John Bird Esqre Collector of Trichinopoly by Veneatasawmy Naick Tahsildar of Vellicuttee dated 22d May 1852.

I trust that my Arzee of yesterdays

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

yesterdays date No. 295 might have informed your honor the fact of the Complaint made by the Villagers stating that the Pullers of their respective Villages have left their occupation in gangs of 10 or 20.

I have this day received a Report from the Peshkar of Kistna Royaspooram Division in which that Officer states that 47 Pullers and 31 Ryots of Kistnarayapooram, 12 Pullers and 15 Ryots of Chittalavoy 12 Pullers of Veerocomboliver 20 Pullers and 6 Ryots of Poyapoottoor, have left their Villages for Mauritius. The Cultivation in the last mentioned Village cannot be carried on with the remaining 10 Pullers and the Villagers state that the cultivation during the Current fusly cannot be carried on to the full extent.

If the Pullers are to emigrate in this manner there will be a decrease in the cultivation during the current fusly. This fact I have thought proper to communicate

for

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

for your Honor's information.

(Signed) Veneatasamy Naick
Tahsildar

(A True Translation)
(Signed) J. Bird
Collector

No. 3. Malabar

56-58

No. 1143.

Proceedings stating the condition on which the Board will not refuse remission if in any particular instance a Meerasdar is unable to Cultivate the land he has engaged for in consequence of the emigrations of the Pullers.

From J. Edgell Esq. 13th May 1850 Para 3.

thereon. The Board observe that Mr. Bird reiterates the opinion he held, while acting Collector of South Arcot when he stated that however advantageous "emigration might be to the labourer "it is detrimental to the farmer "and land holder, as it draws "away his agricultural servts. "and raises the rate of "wages" but the Board would remark that the absence of the Pullers from the District may be but temporary; and caused in some instances, by an adverse season. However that

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

that maybe, the use of coercive measures to prevent the Pullers Emigrating would be unjustifiable that of which the Meerasidars now Complain would probably be obviated did they give the Pullers a respectable remuneration for their labour. Any right to their services, as they Hart et Serfs cannot of course be recognized.

2. When enquiries were set on foot 2 years ago as to the Emigration to Ceylon, the Collector generally expressed their opinion that the condition of the labourers was bettered specially as regards those from Madura.

3. If in any particular instance a Meerasidar is unable

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

unable to cultivate the land he has engaged for, in consequence of the Emigration of the Pullers, the Board will not refuse remission where the fact is ascertained by the personal investigation of the Collector, but it will not be admitted as a general excuse and the Board would wish Mr. Bird to take every opportunity of pointing out to the Meerasedars that their mean and scanty regard for the welfare of the Pullers is the chief cause probably of their wishing to quit such waters.

59

No. 1299
From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

59-60

Read letter stating that as no charges are anticipated in the next Fusly on account of Tuccavy and Extra Charges no Estimates were sent.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read.

J.S.B.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Read the following letters.

No. 97.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

61

Sir,

B. de No. 1192.
From the Collector of Madura
Reporting upon Petition No. 1692 of 1851.

1. By the Board's order, dated 16th September 1851 on Petition No. 1692 of 1851. I am desired to report further on the case because the Endorsement on Petition No. 1929 of 1850 from the opposite party which was confirmed by the Board is not sufficiently explanatory.

2. The 2 Petitions above mentioned relate to the Ambalum Meerasee of the Village of Teneervail in the Shevagungah Zemindary the Emoluments of which are the Melwaram of 4½ Cheys of Nunjah land besides Swatantrums.

3. In 1839 the Father of the Petitioner in Board No. 1929 of 1850 and others sued the Father of the Petitioner in No. 1692 of 1851, and others for the whole Ambalum Audito Emoluments on the ground that it belonged to them under a Decree of District Moonsiffs Court of Shevagungah in 1818. Mr. Blackburne on the 29th September.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

September 1839 rejected this claim, on the ground that the Moonsiffs Decree had not been executed but awarded to the Father of Petitioner in No. 1929 of 1850 1/9th of the Ambalum and its Emoluments. Thus the Petitioner in No. 1929 of 1850 is entitled to the Melwaram of 1/9th of 4 1/2 Cheys or equal to 1/2 Chey of land and 1/9th of the Swatunthrums together 1/9th share of the Office under Mr. Blackburne's Decree.

4- In O.S. No. 489 of 1839 of the Sudr Ameens Court at Madura filed in November 1839, the other Party Viz. the Father of Petitioner in Board No. 1692/1851 sued the Father of Petitioner in 1929 and others for the Codewaram only of the above mentioned 1/2 Chey. The Defendants pleaded purchase and produced a Deed. The Sudr Ameen however found that there had been a Mortgage only not a purchase and Decreed that the Plaintiff should redeem the Mortgage. This was done & the 1/2 Chey Codewaram made over to the Plaintiff Viz. the Father of Petitioner in Board No. 1692/1851 by Court Precept.

5- The Petitioner in Board No. 1692/1851 now

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

now wishes to persuade the Board that the Sudr Ameens Decree upsets the previous one of the Collector, but evidently it relates to a different description of Property Viz. the Codewaram of 1/2 Chey. The Sudr Ameen did not and could not entertain a Suit for the Maumeam of a Village. Meerasee. If the Board will refer to the Sudr Ameens Decree Enclosure 4 in Petition No. 1121 of 1851 enclosed they can satisfy themselves on this point.

6- The Petitioner in Board No. 1692/1851 also insinuates that the 1/9th share awarded to his opponents Father by Mr. Blackburne's Decision may be a different one from that which he now claims in the following words. "There is another "strong argument that one of the "9 Pungoos of the Ambalumship "generally ordered ordered by the "late Collector of the opponents "could never be and is not that "one which has been established "to have belonged to the Minors "Father by the said Decree in "Original Suit No. 489" But this insinuation rests on the false assertion that the Sudr

Ameens

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Ameens Decree awarded the 1/9th share of the Meerasee which it did not and could not do.

7. The Zemindar made this mistake in a report in 1847 and thus kept Petitioner in No. 1929/1860 for some time out of the property awarded him by Mr. Blackburne.

8. As the matter now stands the Petitioner in No. 1929/1860 holds 1/9th share of the Ambalum Meerasee and its Emoluments under Mr. Blackburne's Decision of 29th September 1839 and Petitioner in No. 1692/1851 the Codewarum of 1/2 they under the Decree of Sudr Ameen in O.S. No. 489 of 1839 dated the 1st December 1840. The Petitioner's under report relates to the former.

9. Mr. Blackburne's Decision was not appealed against within the limited time of 3 Months. It is competent however to the Board under clause Second Section V Regulation VII of 1831 to admit an Appeal even now that 14 years have past. The Decision is Enclosure 6 in Petitioner's No. 1121/1851.

Madura } 17th May 1852. } Signed R. D. Parker
B. de No. 1125. } Collector.

Order thereon - Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1692 of 1851 from the report of the Collector

61-62

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

the Board are of opinion that Petitioner has no right to the 1/9th of the Ambalum Meras claimed in this petition and that the same belongs to Pereyanem in accordance with the Principal Collector's Judgment of the 29th September 1839.

No. 107.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

63

B. de No. 1236.
From the Coll. of Madura } 1.
Recommending partial relaxation of the rules in respect of levying tax on unthreshed crops in consideration of the adversity of the Season.

Sir,

I have the honor to request permission to commute in the present year the penalty of not preserving Crops till inspected by the Revenue Authorities, Viz. refusal of remission to the exaction of a portion only of the Teerwa on the land on which such Crops were grown in Cases wherein the European Authorities are thoroughly satisfied that the Crop is really did wither. In the centre of the District the late season was very adverse. It began well and induced large Cultivation at the Commencement, but after August the rain was exceedingly deficient and the usual supply in the Vigay River failed almost entirely. Under these

these circumstances. I fear that exaction of the full Teerwa according to strict Rule from those who did not watch their withered Crops will impair the resources of the Ryots beyond what is advisable both as regards themselves and the Government. At the same time I do not think it safe to relax the Rule altogether in the present season In the Madaevlum Talook chiefly irrigated from the Vigay in which the greater part of the Shanes has occurred the withering of Crops was so general as to preclude very speedy examination by the Public Servants and the preservation of the Crops till inspected was therefore in many cases a very burdensome obligation.

Madura } Signed R. D. Parker
22d May 1852 } Collector.

B. d. No. 1126.

Proceedings thereon. The Board will leave it to the Collectors discretion to allow Shanes remission without inforcing on the Ryots the penalty of preserving their Crops until they are inspected by the Revenue authorities in all those cases in which the Collector is perfectly satisfied that the Crops have really

really withered they are confident that he will cause every possible care to be taken that this relaxation of the rule is not abused.

No. 108.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George

65

B. d. No. 1267.
From the Collr
of Madura

Sir.

I beg to forward herewith Copy of a letter to my address from the Temporary Sub Assistant Surveyor 8th Division and to recommend that Sanction may be granted for entertaining a Royasum under him on a pay of 7 Rupees per Mensem from the funds of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga.

Madura } Signed R. D. Parker
Maraveneolum } Collector.
26th May 1852 }

Ent. No. 1.

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Collector of Madura

Sir. I respectfully beg to take the liberty in troubling you with these few lines and to state that as I have to carry out my duties thro the 8 Talooks and its Ameens as also with the Manager &c. in Tamil I find it very hard to do so without the assistance of a Royasum.

At

Fort St. George 14th June 1852

. At present I am conducting the same thro the assistance of a Moor Mun / paid by me / named Mahomed Pakkerdin who was formerly as Oopradi Peishkar in this District on the receipt of 2 Pagodas per Mensem. He has served me for nearly the last Six Months and as he is one who understands the duty of a Rayasum quite well and as I am actually in want of one I beg you will be pleased to comply with my humble request and appoint the aboves aid individual as a Rayasum in my office.

/ Signed C. W. Hemp
T. Sub Hd Asstt Surveyor
8th D.

Trichinopoly
23d May 1852

65-66

/ True Copy /
/ Signed R. D. Parker
Collector

B. of R. 1139.
Proceedings thereon. 1- The Court of Wards authorize the employment of a Royasum under the Temporary Sub Asstt Surveyor on a Salary of Rupees 7 per mensum to be defrayed from the funds of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga.

2- The Court think that the appointment of the Royasum should not be left to Mr Hemp but that the Collector should appoint a person and depute him to do duty under the Surveyor

67

No 112

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

I have the honor to transmit a

B. of R. 1366.
From the Collr of Madura
Sir
1-

Madura
8th June 1852

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

a Statement shewing the result of the auction of the Shikarky of this District for the ensuing Fusly 1262.

2- The Sums offered for the Talooks of Tadicombo Dyichpully Thenkurray and Vellacottah, which form the Sub Division are about the same as the Rents of last Year which were high. In the principal Division including Ramgherry there is a large Increase both above last year when the amounts bid were low and the average of 3 years Net Collection. The Proximate Cause was brisk competition which arose I believe from the Idea that the Renters for 1261 who obtained their Farms at a low rate reaped very large profits & from the parties who make their livelihood by this speculation having failed to come to any agreement Amongst themselves to keep down the bidding

3- The following Abstract exhibits the Amount of Increase asserted to in the preceding Para.

	Rents of Fusly 1261		Three years average		Rents of Fusly 1262		Increase Col 3 above Column 1		Increase of Col 3 above Column 2	
	1		2		3		4		5	
	CRs	as	CRs	as	CRs	as	CRs	as	CRs	as
Principal Division including Ramgherry	41,190	" "	46,240	" "	57,230	" "	10,040	" "	4,990	" "
Sub Division	10,600	" "	9,228	5	4 10,640	" "	40	" "	1,411	10 8
Total	51,790	" "	55,468	5	4 67,870	" "	10,080	" "	6,401	10 8

I request permission to make over
4 the Rents to the Highest Bidders.

/ Signed R. D. Parker
Collector
Statement.

6910

Fort St. George 10th June 1852

Encl. No. 1.

Statement shewing the bidding for the Abkarry for Fusly 1262 and the names of the bidders recommended by the Collector for acceptance in the District of Madura and Dindigul.

Bidders for the rent of each Talook for Fusly 1262.

Talooks	Highest Bidders	Amount	Amount of Deposit	Average for 3 years Nett Collection
		CRs a/c	CRs a/c	CRs a/c
Madura				
Madaveloum	Manickum Pillay and 2 others	15,445 " "	1288 8 "	13,518 5 4
Tirumungalum	Muttoosawmy Pillay and another	1,435 " "	120 8 "	980 " "
Meylore	Erooloandy Pannikem and 4 others	5,285 " "	441 " "	3,960 " "
Ramanad Zemindary	Hirothiruvenkah Pillay	12,410 " "	" " "	11,650 " "
Shevagungah Do.	Do.	14,495 " "	" " "	14,191 10 8
		49,070 " "	1850 " "	44,300 " "
Dindigul				
Taudicomboo	Natchivoovotty Pillay and 2 others	3,130 " "	261 " "	2,841 10 8
Iyempooly	Ramasawmy Naik	4,120 " "	343 8 "	3,776 10 8
Tenkurray	Ramasawmy Pillay and others	1,630 " "	136 " "	1,606 10 8
Nellacottay	Vyapoory Pillay and 2 others	1,760 " "	146 " "	1,323 5 4
Ramgherry	Sungely Servey	2,160 " "	180 " "	1,940 " "
		12,800 " "	1066 8 "	11,168 5 4
	Totals	61,870 " "	2916 8 "	55,468 5 4

Madura 8th June 1852 E.E.J.P. / Signed R. D. Parker

Collector

Ab Karry.

6911.

Fort St. George 11th June 1857.

Ab Barry Collections of former Fuslies.

Fuslies	Nett Collection in Arrany	Rent Amount Collected				Balance	Total Collections on Column 2 and 6.
		As per Cowle or Puttah	Collection	Remission	Total		
1	2	3	4	5	6.	7	8
	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p
1215	" " "	7,704 9 6	7,704 9 6	" " "	7,704 9 6	" " "	7704 9 6
1216	" " "	7,079 1 "	6,667 " "	412 1 "	7,079 1 "	" " "	7,079 1 "
1217	" " "	9,583 2 11	9,129 10 6	453 8 5	9,583 2 11	" " "	9,583 2 11
1218	" " "	27,022 4 5	22,683 11 8	4338 8 9	27,022 4 5	" " "	27,022 4 5
1219	" " "	22852 3 10	22,664 9 7	187 10 3	22852 3 10	" " "	22,852 3 10
1220	8968 " 10	18051 9 7	18051 9 7	" " "	18051 9 7	" " "	27,019 10 5
1221	" " "	27548 1 "	27548 1 "	" " "	27548 1 "	" " "	27548 1 "
1222	" " "	29123 10 1	27879 8 4	1244 1 9	29123 10 11	" " "	29123 10 1
1223	11789 " 10	13556 " 6	13556 " 6	" " "	13656 " 6	" " "	85345 1 4
1224	" " "	24103 11 6	23694 6 3	409 5 3	24103 11 6	" " "	24103 11 6
1225	" " "	26107 11 3	26107 11 3	" " "	26107 11 3	" " "	26107 11 3
1226	" " "	31725 4 11	31725 4 11	" " "	31725 4 11	" " "	31725 4 11

needed for practical use, or for this Office.

3. The rights of the Todas and Burghers, are clearly defined in the Board's Manual para 21. Copy of which, is, for general reference, in the Public Office at Ootacamund, and no further proclamation or Notification seems necessary. It was with reference to the Manual, and with a view to obviate difficulty about the Barracks, that I procured the Burgher's written promise that they would not sell their "Sheical" or Cultivated Land, and resumed the few Grass Puttahs that existed, allowing them to graze free, so that all land near the Barrack-ground come fully under Government control, and precluded any sale or misappropriation of it. Under these circumstances it would be only putting Government to a large and needless cost, to buy up all Burgher's land near the Camp; which would amount to many hundred acres, and a large Sum.

Vide. accompanying Translation.

4. In reply to para 4, the ordinary Puttah of the District binds the Ryot to no specified crop - it merely states the extent of his land, and his liabilities - all other points are specified in the Rukommamah, and would not properly come into such a Document

as

as a Puttah.

Ootacamund, 25th May, 1852.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas Collector.

No. 1.

Principal Burkgers.

Translation of an Agreement entered into by Ajja Gownden a Monigar, and others, all 19 in Number, hereunder signed, of Parungamaud Village, in the Talook of Neilgherry, dated 26th September 1851.-

With a view to prevent the liquor Sellers and other undesirable persons settling in the vicinity of the European Barracks, we hereby agree not to sell any of our lands whatever, to any body, without previous Consent of the Circar, or Contrary to usage of the District.-

/Signed/ by 19 Individuals.

"True Translation"

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas.

Collector.-

69-70

Order thereon- Ordered to be recorded.-

No. 45.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.-

71

B.P. No. 1347.

From the Collector of Coimbatore, Sir,

In forwarding Copy of letter from the Joint Magistrate of Ootacamund No. 225. I have the honor to request that

a

a Copy may be sent accordingly.

Coimbatore Collector's Office, Cotacamund, 2d June, 1852. } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas Collector.

No. 1

No. 225.

To, E. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector and Magistrate of
Coimbatore.
Cotacamund.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to take measures for supplying this Office with a revised Code of Regulations similar to the one in use in your Office in order that I may have the same guide, the one in this Office having become incorrect. I believe, in many particulars, owing to successive alterations in the Regulations.

+ Campbell's Code, private property.

/Signed/ D. Babington
Joint Magistrate.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

71-72

No. 242.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

B No. 1153.

To the Chief Secretary to Government. } Sir,

I am directed by the Board

In Cons. 14th June 1852.

Board of Revenue to submit herewith a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore dated 2d June 1852, with its enclosure, requesting that a copy of the revised Code of Regulations (Clarke's) may be supplied him for the use of the Office of the Joint Magistrate of Cotacamund.—

2. The Board recommend that a copy may be sent to this Office for transmission to Mr. Thomas, if one can be spared.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th June, 1852. } /Signed/ B. Cunliffe Sub Secretary.—

Read the following letters.—

No. 41.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

73

B No. R. 1239.
From the Sub Collector in Charge of Canara, } Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue to enable me to draw Extra Tent allowance during the time I may be absent from the Sub Division in consequence of my having come to the Hooz[illegible] station to take charge of the District.—

I.

2. I beg to refer, as a Precedent, to the case of Mr. Silver who was permitted, while under similar circumstances, to draw the Extra Tent allowance, by Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date the 8th of August 1850.-

3. The Officer in charge of the Sub Division has had the use of my Cutcherry and house at Sircy, and I do not suppose that it was intended that by taking temporary charge of the District I should be placed in a worse position as regards allowances than I should have been had I remained in the Sub Division, which would however be the case if I am deprived of the Extra tent allowance which the Sub Collector is entitled to draw while residing at Sircy on condition of his providing accommodation for his Cutcherry people there.-

Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangalore, 22d May 1852. } / Signed / A. Hall
Sub Collector in charge.

B. No. 1134.
Proceedings thereon.-

(73-74)

The Board of Revenue will be prepared to pass the Extra Tent allowance that may be drawn by Mr. Hall, during his residence at the Hoozoor Station, while in temporary charge of the District.

and,

and they request that reference may be made to these Proceedings in support of the entry in the annual Statement of Extra Tent allowance.-

2. As the Officer in charge of the Sub Division has had the use of the Sub Collector's Cutcherry and house at Sircy, it is presumed that he will make no separate claim for Extra Tent allowance during the period he remained in temporary charge.-

---

No. 42.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(75)

B. No. 1201.
From the Sub Collector in charge of Canara. } Sir,

With reference to the Circular from the Board under date the 3d instant, I have the honor to state that the revised note required to be appended to the Statement of Demand, Collection and Balance has been exhibited in the accompanying account for last month, but in a somewhat different form from that laid down in the Board's Circular in order to point out in one view the cause of the difference between the total of Col.s 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1 and that of the

receipts.

receipts by Cash Collections and transfers as stated in the note, which corresponds with the monthly Journal furnished to the Accountant General.

2. In order to put the Board in possession of the particulars of these several items of Receipts which have not hitherto been entered in the Demand, Collection and Balance Sheets, I beg to forward a separate Statement marked A showing the whole Receipts and Disbursements during the month of April.

3 I have also the honor to request that I may be informed whether it will be necessary to forward a similar Statement monthly, or whether the Board consider that the note, as it stands, is sufficient to explain the cause of the difference which under the present mode of drawing out the Statement of Demand, Collection and Balance, must always exist between the full receipts by Cash Collections and transfers and the total of Cols 8 and 11 of Statement No 1.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore,
25th May, 1852.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
Signed J. Hall
Sub Collector in Charge.

Abstract

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

75-76

To, the Collector of Canara.

Bd. No. 1128.
To the Collector of Canara,

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 25th May 1852, observing that you have appended the revised note to the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance in a somewhat different form from that laid down in the Board's Circular of the 3d May 1852 and enquiring whether the separate Statement A forwarded by you shewing the whole of the Receipts and disbursements during the month may be sent in monthly, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that it is not necessary you should do so, as the receipts may be exhibited in detail under the head "Extraordinary" in No. 1 of the Demand Collection and Balance Statement, and the gross amount of Disbursements in the Treasury abstract at foot.—

instns 11th June.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th June, 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.—

Read the following letter.—

77

No. 48.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.—

Bd. No. 840
From the Collector of Malabar,

Sir,

With reference to a communication from the Bombay Government under date the 27th January last, on the subject of the measures in use for the reception of Salt, I have the honor to enclose for the Board's information copy of a letter which I thought it necessary to address to the Secretary to the Government of that Presidency, with it's enclosures, shewing the impediments that seem to exist against the introduction in this District of the New Parrah measure.—

Calicut,
5th April, 1852.

/Signed/ A. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 1

No. 426.

To, A. E. Goldsmid Esqr.
Secretary to Government
Bombay.

Sir,

On the 27th January last the Bombay Government forwarded a Set of Measures to be used by the Malabar authorities in the reception of Salt from Bombay in supercession of those hitherto employed.—

In his report of 16th July 1851 forwarded for my information on the 8th December following.—

2. The object aimed at and supposed to have been gained by the introduction of these measures was, to use Lieutenant De Lisle's words, to "ensure the delivery at the "ports along the Coast of the same quantity "of Salt that was shipped at Bombay at "least within narrow limits."—

3. The new measures were no sooner introduced than an universal cry of complaint was made by the Merchants, that we were taking from them a much larger quantity of Salt in each (Maund) Measure than they had received.—

The Complaints at first appeared to me so unreasonable as not to merit attention.— My measures had been sent from Bombay with an assurance that they were of exactly the same dimensions as those in use there.—

Why the same box should hold, as was asserted, a widely different quantity of the same material in Bombay and in Malabar, was not explained by the Petitioners, and the fact of the possibility of such being the Case, was opposed to the lengthened enquiry which had led the Bombay Government, on Lieutt. De Lisles

recommendation

Chiefly agents of parties in Bombay.—

recommendation, to resolve on a uniform measure.—

But the clamor was so continued and loud that I was forced at last to see if there were any reason in it.

4. The result of my enquiry has tended to prove that the Merchants have a most serious grievance and that the attempt to introduce a uniformity of measure has seriously compromised their interests.—

5. The explanation is very simple. The Salt, when put into the measure in Bombay, is dry and abounds in chrystals thus entailing many vacuities in the packing whereas when it arrives at Malabar, it is, more or less, wet and decomposed, and the vacuities are filled up.— 82½ pounds of Salt are sufficient to fill the measure in the first instance, whereas from 92 to 96 pounds are required in the latter. It follows that we take from 10 to 14 lbs more from the Merchants in each Maund at Malabar than he has received at Bombay.—

6. The point is explained in detail in the accompanying Memorandums from an intelligent European, who holds the Office of Salt Agent

*The portion of this is no doubt due to increased weight from position there enclosed subsequently to the leaving the Bombay Yard on this point see enclosed Memo of 27th March Para 8.

dated 10th 17th & 27th Instant

Agent at Tellicherry - His testimony is borne out by similar trials at Calicut and Ponany.

7. It would appear therefore, that the measure formerly in use in Malabar, and which is animadverted on in the 4th para: of Lieutenant De Lisle's report as only containing 812 in place of 1000 parts, much more fairly represented in Malabar the real weight of an Indian Maund, than the Measure lately sent by the Bombay Government. - 82½ lbs

8. I beg under these circumstances to suggest that the subject be reconsidered, on that the standard measure for Malabar in correspondence with the standard measure in Bombay be modified in accordance with the experience we have now arrived at. -

Calicut, 17th March, 1852. } (Signed) A. V. Conolly Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 1 in Enclosure.

Extract from a Memorandum from the Salt Agent at Tellicherry, dated 10th March, 1852. -

It is true that the Merchants have all suffered a very great loss in their Salt this season, but I beg most respectfully to

to assure the Collector that the loss does not arise from the mode of measurement but from the maund by which it was measured - A reference to Lieutenant Delysle's treatise on Salt would show the Collector that the Maund was made to contain 82¼ Pounds of Salt at the Salt pans, and a reference to the accompanying table would also point out that in Malabar it contains from 92 to 95 Pounds of Salt, it is therefore evident that the Merchant received his Salt at the rate of 82¼ Pounds at the Salt pans (with a wastage allowance of 8 per Cent (that is) if no more is deficient no notice would be taken of it) The same table will point out to the Collector that, by taking it from the Merchants with the same maund by which he received it, we take it from him at the rate of from 92 to 95 Pounds. -

The 3d line on the table shows the weight of the maund we have at present in use, which will point out to the Collector is the one by which the Salt should have been received by us, we have therefore taken about 10 per Cent too much

much Salt from the Merchant, who is
the loser of the price of that quantity of
Salt, and in the event of this new maund
being brought into use in the sale room
it is quite plain that Government will
sacrifice 10 per Cent of the duty arising
on the whole of the Salt sold in Madras
the benefit of which will be given to
the retailer, who already derives a hand-
some profit of 20 per Cent. on the Salt
he sells to the community, who would
enjoy but little of the advantage gained
by him.

The Salt at the pans when
delivered over to the Pattamars is in a
perfectly dry State, from being con-
stantly exposed to the sun; and a
large portion of it, is in large crystals
it is then reasonable to believe, that in
this state it will require a larger space
to contain 82 1/4 lbs than it would, after the
crystals have been broken up; and the
Salt with a mixture of Sand in it imbibes
a good deal of moisture from a damp
and very often leaky Pattamar, that the
large crystals have all nearly disappeared
by the time it is exposed for sale in the
Gollah.

The progress of the breaking of
Crystals is so well described in Lieutenant
Delysle's pamphlet that I cannot do better
than explain it here in a few words. The
mode of measuring the Salt at the
pans is as follows. Two men at the
maund raise it as high as their shoulders
and by a sudden jerk, dash it from them
to the distance of 5 or 6 feet, in this co-
operation commences the breaking of the
large Crystals, making the small ones,
smaller still it is then taken off to the
boat by Coolies in large baskets on
their heads and thrown into the bottom
of the boat with another dash, the
boat then goes off to the Pattamar into
the bottom of which, it receives another
dash, where it remains for 15 or 20 days
together, and perhaps longer. Here
it imbibes moisture which soften the
crystals to that degree, that the process
of dashing which commences again in
landing and stowing the rooms till
they are filled by 30 or 40 Men trampling
it down, destroys a great deal more of it.
The Salt is then allowed to remain in the
rooms for many Months before it is exposed
for Sale, by which time it unites into a solid
mass,

mass, that it requires to be loosened with a Pickaxe or Mamuty, and comes down in large flakes, that it has to be broken up with a heavy stick, so as to make it small enough for measurement, this last operation completes the breaking of nearly all the large crystals which were left.

We may then reasonably conclude that it requires a box 19 inches square by $8\frac{11}{10}$ in depth, to contain $82\frac{1}{4}$ Pounds of Salt at the pans, and at the same time requiring only a box measuring $18\frac{7}{10}$ long, by $18\frac{1}{2}$ broad, and by $7\frac{1}{4}$ in depth, to hold the same number of Pounds in its broken up state in Malabar.

To try this when I first received the Maund, I had a basket of the best kind of Salt with a good deal of large crystals taken from a Pullamar I was at the time landing and had it carefully measured and put into the scales when it weighed only $20\frac{1}{2}$ Pounds, I then had it broken up to about the size of the Salt in the sale room, and put into the maund again, when it showed a loss of $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches, this loss, the Collector will perceive was only in appearance, arising from the Salt having been broken up

up, while it retained its original weight at the same time. I gave the trial another test by using the Salt from the sale room, when it gave about the same result as the trials shown in the table.

The loss of from 9 to 10 per cent which the Merchants have sustained, is then but in appearance, and if sold at the same rate of $82\frac{1}{4}$ Pounds, which the Merchant received, it will at the end of the sale of every room make its appearance again, this would be more satisfactory than giving it away to the retailers. a moments reflection would show the Collector, that the maund on the 3d line of the table, is the one that ought to be sold by us, it will fulfil the order of the Supreme Government in giving the people the Salt at the rate of $82\frac{1}{4}$ Pounds; this maund gives a little above that weight.

The above Statement and table may not be exactly correct but to within $\frac{1}{2}$ or 2 lbs, as the whole of the trials I have made, were from Salt taken from the sale room, and the Collector will see that a good portion

portion of the Crystals got broken up in stowing the Salt into the rooms, and preparing it again for sale.—

No Salt having arrived here for some time, I have not had an opportunity of trying its weight, and measurement, before it was measured into the rooms, and just after being landed, which would be the fairest way as the Puttamars have nothing to do with loses taking place in the rooms. However, I will give it a trial in this way, by the first opportunity.

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No 2 in Enclosure

Extract from a Communication from the Salt Agent at Tellicherry, dated 17th March 1852.

I am again landing Salt and I must confess that between the merchants and Lambadies, I am completely confused. The former insisting on giving me their Salt at the rate of 82½ lbs the Same as they receive it at the Salt pans, as they cannot afford to give it here at the rate of

94 or 95 lbs to the Gollahs. The latter almost insisting on receiving it by the new maund, few of them are taking it and in numbers of them go off to the Jungles to feed their Bullocks till the new maund is brought into use. The Merchants declare that if it is brought into use they must give over supplying us with Salt, as it will not pay them for bringing it. I was rather surprized at the arrival of this salt as I did not think they would have brought any more until this dispute was settled. I shall feel obliged if you will let me know if any steps have been taken towards getting it settled satisfactorily. I do not intend to measure this salt until I have heard something further about it. the fact is it will put an end to the monopoly if the Salt is taken from them at the rate of 9 or 10 lbs above the rate they receive it at the Salt pans.

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.
(True Copy)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No 3 in Enclosure.

Extract from a letter from the Salt Agent at Tellicherry, dated 27th March, 1852.—

Para 8 Having in my last letter only

only pointed out how the Salt loses in appearance by getting broken up and contracting moisture, I beg now to point out a few ways in which Salt actually loses. First, the Salt is carried to and from the Puttamar in very coarse wicker baskets, which allow a good deal of the small Salt as well as the sand mixed with it to escape, as portions of it may be seen on the shoulders of the carriers. Second, after a mornings measuring from one room into another about 2 or 3 [illegible] between the rooms is gathered up but the distance from the boat to the room being much greater than the distance between the rooms, the loss in that instance would be far greater than that which had been recovered in the rooms. Third, while putting the Salt into the boat from the Puttamar, the boatmen often throw it in such a careless manner that portions of it strike the sides of the Boat, and fall into the Sea. Fourth, in rough weather the spray of the Sea, or a heavy wave dashing over the bow of the boat while bringing the Salt ashore

turns

turns a portion of it into liquid and lastly from the cause of clay and dirt being mixed up with the Salt brought down from the pans, which is obliged to be removed, all this must inevitably tend to diminish the quantity of Salt a great deal. However, these are but trifles when compared to the loss which takes place when the Salt is reduced to powder, and suffered to remain in that state during wet weather in the rooms.

This now leads me to point out a mistake arising in the Pamphlet lately received from Bombay, which has led that Government into a belief, that Salt only loses a mere trifle when exposed to the atmosphere in wet weather. This error if not pointed out in time, will be the cause of giving us a great deal more trouble, and most likely a great deal more correspondence between the two Governments on this subject. Not having the Pamphlet by me, I am writing from recollection and can only point out the para to the Collector, which will enable him to correct the reading,

if

if not exactly the words. See the latter part of the Secretary's letter to Lieutenant Delysle, on the subject, and it will shew the Collector, that it has led the Bombay Government to believe as above stated -

In para 25, the writer says, "to ascertain "the difference of weight in Salt caused by "the moisture of the atmosphere, I weighed "a pound of fine Salt in large brystals when "dripping wet on a rainy day, after being "well dried, I weighed it again and found "it to have lost half a grain or 1/4800 part, a "quantity quite insignificant." Having myself in the Varandah of this Tellicherry Gollah seen Salt (which had been landed from Pattamars) running out in streams in a dissolved state, which was surrounded by 4 or 5 of the crew of the Pattamars, with old gonies swabbing it up and wringing it out through the openings or windows of the Gollah. I was rather startled on reading the above assertion, and to make sure that I had not been deceived, I immediately tried Salt and sand, just as it was in the room. I was then selling in the same way

way as the writer had done - I made it wet with water from a Garden can, as it would have been after a heavy days rain, and after a few minutes I allowed the water to pass through it, I then weighed 100 tbs, and placed it in the sun to dry, it was then half past eleven O'Clock, at half past 3 in the afternoon, I weighed it again, (it was still in a very damp state) and found it had lost 8 tbs, a trifle not quite as insignificant as the one pound had lost, it was then placed in the sale room in the same basket in which it was weighed, and on the following day, I exposed it to the sun again till it was well dried, I weighed it again and found it had lost 2 tbs, 8 Oz and 5 Rupees weight more, making its total loss, a trifle above 10½ per Cent - this induces me to propose the following question. If 100 tbs of wet Salt after having been placed to dry in the sun for 4 hours, lost 8 per Cent, how much will a Pattamar of wet Salt in the Varandah of a damp Salt Gollah lose in four days? This question will not be easily answered, however, I have generally found that Salt in this

State

State had lost from 15 to 20 per cent. A little consideration would show that neither of these trials produced what they are said to do, that is what effect the Atmosphere has on Salt, but merely showed what effect drying had on wet Salt, the large Crystals them in drying have a greater advantage over the mixed and pulverized Salt tried by me, which is not in any way surprizing. I am of opinion that it did not lose any part of the Crystal at all, the loss shown was in my opinion water, which was in it when put into the scale, and which ran off into the scale during the operation of weighing still retaining its weight in the scale, and if shifted into another dry scale the Crystals would have shown the loss without being dried at all.

To try what effect the atmosphere on a rainy day has on Salt, would be to weigh the Salt when dry and expose it to the open air for a day and have it well dried in the evening and weighed, it would then show how much it had lost, and if tried on medium Salt, I greatly err if there was more than the sand and the large Crystals in a diminished size left to be weighed. I would therefore most

respectfully

respectfully beg that the Collector in addressing the Bombay authorities, would point out this mistake, and request them that the trials which I made, be tried at the pans, in medium Salt and with the same kind of Salt moistened with water and allowed to remain for one night in a place that would nearly correspond to the damp Verandah of a Salt Gollah in Malabar. Similar trials might be repeated after a fall of rain, and in heavy cloudy weather, which would enable the authorities to come to a pretty correct decision, as to what allowance should be given to the Pattamars, in the same kind of weather in which the trials were made. I could myself make these trials here with the Salt which I am now receiving, but I believe, it would be best to have these trials made at the pans where the mistake arose, which would be more satisfactory to the Government of Bombay than if made here.

In conclusion, I beg to remind the Collector, that the order is still in force, giving the choice of weight, or measurement, to the Merchants and Bazar men,

J.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852

Men, and of the Merchants insist on me taking their Salt from them by the weight, at the rate of 82½ lbs, I am compelled to do so, and on the other hand, if the Bazar men persist on me, to give them Salt by the Maund supplied from Bombay, which would give them above 90 lbs. I need not point out what the consequences will be, as the Collector would at once see it himself.

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To, the Collector of
Malabar.

(77-78)

Sir,

B. No. 1140
To the Collector of Malabar.

With reference to your letter of 5th April 1852 I am desired by the Board of Revenue to enquire of you if any reply has been received to you letter addressed to the Secretary to Government Bombay, as the Board

In Cons. 4th June 1852

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Board would not wish to decide as to the arrangements for receiving and selling Bombay Salt till they understand how far the objections you point out can be met by that Government - you will be good enough to inform the Board what measures you have in the meanwhile adopted.

2 It appears to the Board that if the importers receive so many standard Boxes of Salt at Bombay all the Malabar Salt servants have to do is to see that the same number of boxes full (allowing the usual wastage) are received - Any discrepancy between weight and measure would then be left for adjustment between the two Governments.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th June, 1852.

(Signed) P. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

(79)

B. No. 1228
From the Collector of Madras.

Read the following letter

No 7

To Sir, H. G. Montgomery Bart
Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir, With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 19th April, I have the honor to state for the information of the Government

Government that on enquiry it appears that the whole of the lands North of the Town Wall on which the native Huts are erected, is private property held under Grants and Certificates issued by this Office, and that the only measure that I can adopt for the prevention of the evil complained of is to prevent any encroachment on the 600 yards for esplanade which belongs to Government.

Madras — 21st May 1852.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Bt No. 1150
Proceedings thereon
79-80

The Collector is requested to place himself in communication with the Committee for the Black Town drainage through Major Smith and on ascertaining the exact spot to which objection is taken, he will cause it to be measured and will submit a sketch plan of the same, with a list of the several holdings which it comprizes.

2 Mr Hudleston will at the same time after communication with Major Smith on this point, state his opinion whether the lands may be taken possession of on the part of Government under Act XX of 1852 and applied to the purposes of an esplanade on the North of the Black Town Wall, in which case he will specify the probable amount of compensation which could properly be claimed on the Land in question.

D.G.

J.P.

No 63

To, W.H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

81

Bt No. 1270

From the Collector of Madras — Submitting a report on certain Petitions from Ganapaty Graming on the subject of a Toddy Shop and Charges against the Servants of the Abkarry Department.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 29th April 1852 forwarding certain Petitions from Ganapaty Grammey a Toddy Shopkeeper under this Collectorate calling for a report on the case.

2. The complaint of the Petitioner has reference to two points, the first relating to the transfer of a Shop from one locality to another and the second bearing on the conduct of the servants of the Abkarry Department in the Collection of an Extra Tax from him.—

3. On the first point the Petitioner is correct in stating that in the first instance an application was made by this Office to the Police to allow his father in Law's shop situated on the Bay Road, to be established at Mylapore according

Fort St George 14th June 1852

according to his request, but in consequence of a representation made to me that the Establishment of another shop so very near the one already situated in that locality would operate injuriously on the Interests of the first Shopkeeper, I was induced to cancel the permission previously granted to the applicant Coroor Veerasawmy, a relative of the Petitioner, that he should choose another spot, which at a later period he did and he now holds a shop at the place which he subsequently selected.

4. While he was selecting a place the Petitioner induced his relative Coroor Veerasawmy to register the trees of his class in his, the Petitioner's name, which agreeably to the practice of the Office he undertook to pay for in addition to the trees of his own shop as Extra Tax.

5. As regards the second point noticed above, I should state that the first intimation I got of an attempt on the part of my servants to defraud the Government was from the Chief Magistrate, whose communication with the deposition

Beg

Fort St George 14th June 1852

I beg to submit herewith for the Boards information.

6. In investigating the charge of fraud alleged to have been committed I did not find that there was any proof of an intention to commit a fraud, but I found that there had been great carelessness shewn in this instance by the Acting Superintendent the Sumpettie, and Conecopolies of these Servants. The Acting Superintendent complained of, is no longer doing duty in that Department.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Madras, - - -
31st May, 1852.

No 1.
No 96.

To, J. A. Hudleston Esqr
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

This morning at report time one Ganapatty Grameney stated that he had a charge against one Venkatachary, who was then present. The account he

6944

6946

Fort St George 14th June 1852

he gave of some transaction with the
Venkatachary appeared no matter for my
-terial interference but it was evident that
accusation was against some parties con
-ed with your Department. I directed
therefore the Statement of the Gramicay
to be taken down as well as that of Venk
-chary which I have the honor to forward
I am not sufficiently cognizant of the
business to pronounce that positive fra
was intended. but it strikes me that the
transaction is one which requires some
investigation by yourself, and therefore I
forward the papers for your information

Madras, General Police Office,
The 18th March, 1852.

(Signed) E. J. Elliot
Chief Magistrate &
Superintendent of
Police.

No 2

The information of Ganapathy Gramicay
an Inhabitant of Madras.

I keep a Toddy Shop in
Tondavaroya Gramicay's Street in Myla-
-poor of Madras, for which I pay a Tax
of

6947

Fort St George 14th June 1852

of 17 fanams a day to Government, a
Conicopoly named Venkatachary, and a
Peon named Govindoo of the Abkarry
Department of the Office of the Madras
Collector receive the tax from me every
day. My father-in-law Doroo Veeraswamy
Gramicay had a Toddy Shop near Kaupan
Choultry beyond Etdyar the Loca City of
which not being good he closed it with
the intention of opening a Shop in Mylapoor,
and in order to prevent any loss he asked
me to dispose of the Toddy contracted for
his Shop, in my own, until he opened
a Shop in Mylapoor, I brought this cir-
-cumstance to the notice of the Superintendent
of the Abkarry Department named Kroo-
-param Lalah who granted me a per-
-mit to receive the Toddy in question
into my Shop in addition to the usual
quantity of Toddy allowed to my Shop
on the understanding that I was to pay to
Government an extra tax of 12 annas

and

and 8 piece a day, this was on the 5th Instt
/February/ from which date I have paid the
extra tax together with 17 fanams the tax paid
for my Shop as stated above unto the Head
the Conicopoly Venkatachurry and the Serv-
Govindoo Naick. On Monday the 15th Instt
I went to the Collectors Office on business, at
when a Sumpathy of the said office named
Rungasawmy Moodelly asked me, why
I had not paid the extra tax, I told
him what had passed on the following
Morning about 7 OClock the Conicopoly
Venkatachurry came to my Shop which being
an unusal hour I asked him what made him
come so early, he said that the Superintend-
-ent Kroparum Lalah had desired him
to repay the whole of the extra tax collect-
-ed from me amounting to Rupees Twenty.
and that he would bring the money in the
evening. I asked him why he had not
paid the same at the Collectors Office
as he ought to have done, he said
that the Superintendent and the Head
Sampathy of the said Office named
Streenevasa Pillay had desired him to
keep the money with him. I thought that there

was

was something wrong in the transaction and
therefore did not see the Conicopoly that
evening - On the following day yesterday about
3 OClock in the afternoon I was going to the
Collectors Office to ask the Superintendent about
it but on the road in My Capoor I met the
Conicopoly who requested me not to go to
the Collectors Office before I had received
the Money from him I asked him - if he
had the Money then in his possession, he
answered in the negative and said that
he would [illegible] and bring it - I told
him I would wait for him at the Gate
of the Collectors Office he went away -
I then proceeded to the Darogahs Tannah at
Egmore and reported the circumstances
to the Darogha named Kistna sing and
requested him to send a Tallier to see
the Money paid to me accordingly he
sent a Tallier named Vurdiah with me
We waited at the Gate of the Collectors
Office where the Conicopoly Venkatachurry
came and paid me the sum of 20 Rupees

which

Fort St George 14th June 1852

which the Tallian took charge of.

Solemnly affirmed at the General Police Office in Madras the contents having been first explain-ed by the Sworn Interpreter this 18th day of March 1852

(Signed) in Tamil

Before me

(Signed) P. Maricasoo-say
Justice of the Peace

The Statement of Vencata Chetty an Inhabitant of Madras.

I am a Conicopoly in the Abkarry Department of the Office of the Collector of Madras, and my duty is to col-lect the Tax from Toddy Shop-keepers and pay the same to a Shroff in the Office every evening. I am not authorized to keep the Collections with me for any time.

The Complainant Ganapathy Graminy keeps a Toddy Shop in Mylapoor from whom I collect a Tax of 17 fanams a day. On the 12th ultimo (February) the Acting Super-intendent of my Department named Hroopa-rom Lalah gave me a written order to collect

Fort St George 14th June 1852.

collect an Extra Tax of 12 annas and 8 piece per day from the Complainant from the 5th of that Month, in addition to the usual Tax of 17 fanams, on account of an additional supply of Toddy allowed to his Shop. On the same day I collected from the Com-plainant a sum of 3¾ Rupees in part pay-ment of the Extra Tax and took it to the Office that evening when the Head Sumpraty Sheerawsa Pillay asked me how much the extra Tax I had collected from the Complainant-ant, I collected from the Complainant 3¾ Rupees. he of the extra Tax. I so then took me to Hroopa-rom Lalah who ordered me not to pay the extra col-lection made from the Complainant to the Shroff but to keep it with me and continue the Collection; upon which I paid the usual Collection 17 fanams to the Shroff and kept the extra Col-lection in my house after which I collected from the Complainant on account of the extra Tax a further sum of 16¼ Rupees in small sums until the 16th Instant on which date there was a balance

balance of 13¼ Rupees due by the Com-
-plainant on the same account. On the after-
-noon of Monday the 15th Instant about 4 in
O'Clock I went to the Collectors Office
as usual to pay the Collections made on
that day when a Sumpathy named Runga-
-sawmy Moodelly asked me whether I had
collected the extra Tax from the Com-
-plainant at this time the Head Sumpathy
Streenevasa Pillay made a sign
to me upon which I told Rungasawmy
Pillay that the Complainant had not
paid me the extra Tax the Head
Sampathy then told the Superintendent
that I was in attendance at the Offici
he said "Do as I told you yesterday"
Streenevasa Pillay then told me in
private to repay to the Complainant the
whole of the Money collected from him on
account of the extra Tax. On the following
morning I went to the Shop of the Complain-
-ant and told him that I had been order-
-ed to repay him the extra Tax and that
I would bring the Money on the same day
about

about noon when I went back to his Shop
he was not to be seen there. On the follow-
-ing day I met the Complainant in the
Bazar street in Mylapoor and offered
him the Money, he said he would re-
-ceive it at the Collectors Office about
5 O'Clock in the evening I went to the
Collectors Office and found him sit-
-ting at the Gate with another Graming
I paid him the sum of Twenty Rupees
being the whole of the extra Tax col-
-lected from him as stated above, —
when a Police Taliar named Varadiah
came up suddenly and took charge of
the Money and took me and the Com-
-plainant to the nearest Tannah.—

Taken and acknow-
-ledged at the General
Police Office in Ma-
-dras the contents having
been first explained
by the Sworn Interpreter
this 18th day of March 1852.

(Signed) in Tamil

Before me

(Signed) P. Maricasoosay

Justice of the Peace

With

6954

Fort St George 14th June 1852

Bd No 1137.

Proceedings thereon.

(81-82)

With regard to the 2nd Charge of Petitioner that the sum of 20 Rupees was collected from him from 5th to the 16th March as portion of the Extra Tax of 12 2/3 annas daily, and repaid to him on the 17th March, the explanation is very unsatisfactory. It is plain that the Money was collected and it should have been shown why it was not carried to account instead of being repaid to the Toddy Vender. The Collector will explain fully these points, and how a more serious offence than "great carelessness" does not attach to the Government Servants concerned. He will state the amount of fine imposed, also for what period Kroopiam Lalah acted as Abkarry Superintendent, and to what situation he has been transferred and for what reason.—

D.G.

6955.

Fort St George 14th June 1852.

Read the following letter.

No 164.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St George.

(83)

Bd No 1240.

From the Acting Collector of Sea Customs, Madras.

Reporting with reference to a letter from the Collector of Sea Customs at Bombay as to the Pay and allowances drawn by the Officers in this Establishment filling a similar appointment and performing similar duties of the one denominated "Head Clerk" in that Office.—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 3d Instant communicating Extract from Minutes of Consultation No 375 dated the 26th April 1852 with letter from the Government of Bombay requesting with reference to an annexed letter from the Collector of Sea Customs at that Port, information as to the Pay and allowances drawn by the Officers on this Establishment filling a similar appointment and performing similar duties to the one denominated "Head Clerk" in the Custom House of Bombay.

2. The Head Clerk adverted to by the Collector of Bombay appears to exercise the duties of principal accountant of the Office and of Record-keeper and also to control the Department of correspondence.—

The

Office of George 11th June 1852.

Head Clerk of the Board of Customs
Madras

Sir

I am directed to request that you will be pleased to furnish me with a statement of the Collections of annual average of Revenue from the year 1844/45 to 1850/51 inclusive, distinguishing the deductions on account of Establishment of Servants, Collectors Salary &c. and the net Revenue, and to forward the same as early as practicable to this office.

I am Sir
Your obedient Servant
(Signed) G. H. Williamson
Secretary Collector's Office
Customs

Collectorate
Madras
21st August 1852.

Office St. George 11th June 1852.

Statement of Collections of annual average of Revenue from the year 1844/45 to 1850/51.

	Revenue. Gross Collection.	Deduct charges. Establishment of Servants.	Collectors Salary 1/8 ca.	Total.	Net Revenue.
1844/45	7,18,081 " "	29,804 " "	62,106 " "		
1845/46	6,52,982 " "	33,116 " "	60,145 " "		
1846/47	6,15,827 " "	45,644 " "	58,582 " "		
1847/48	5,59,030 " "	55,040 " "	55,470 " "		
1848/49	5,59,202 " "	55,092 " "	54,358 " "		
1849/50	5,42,125 " "	55,092 " "	55,159 " "		
1850/51	5,67,905 " "	55,092 " "	56,360 " "		
Total	42,15,152 " "	3,28,880 " "	4,02,180 " "	7,31,060 " "	
Average for 7 years	6,02,165 " "	46,983 " "	57,454 " "	1,04,437 " "	4,97,728 " "

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 243.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

83-84

B. No. 1154.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

In Cons: 3d May 1852

With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date 26th April 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Sea Customs dated 27th May 1852, giving the information required by the Bombay Government respecting the pay and duties of the Office on his Establishment holding a similar appointment to the one denominated "Head Clerk" in the Custom House of Bombay; and annexing a Statement of Collections of the annual average Revenue from the year 1844/45 to 1850/51. It will be seen that the Officer in question is designated "Head Manager" exercises a general Superintendence, the department of the appraiser excepted, and draws a salary of Rupees 200 per Mensem.

In Cons: 14th June 1852.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th June, 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 272.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

85

B. No. 1279.

From the President of the Commission. Public Works.

In investigating the working of the Maramut system, the Public Works Commissioners found it expedient to call before them, for personal examination some of the Maramut servants of the three Districts nearest to Madras. In reply to their application for batta, those individuals were directed to apply for it to their respective Collectors. But I do myself the honor to address you on the part of the Public Works Commissioners to express a hope that the claim will be passed by the Board, and to forward a Memorandum of the length of time that these Officers were respectively in actual attendance on the Commissioners, independently of the time required for the Journey to and from Madras.

Fort St. George
/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
President of the Commission.

6962.

Memorandum shewing the length of time during which certain Maramut servants to were in attendance on the Public Works Commissioners

Name.	Rank.	Date of attendance at the Commissioners Office.	Date of Dismissal.
District.	Talook.		
North Arcot	Cavery Pauk	25th April 1852	16th May 1852
Arcot	Vencata Row	29th Do.	11th Do.
Chingleput	Rama Row	2d May 1852	14th Do.
Do.	Hood Do.	Do.	12th Do.
Do.	Mr. Macaulay Surgeon	Do.	Do.
Do.	Vencata Row	Do.	19th Do.
Do.	Vencata Row	11th Do.	28th Do.
Do.	Veeraraghava Row	14th Do.	28th Do.

Signed S. D. Bourdillon
President of the Commissioners

The

6963

Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

Bd. No. 1159.

Resolution thereon.

85-86

The Board of Revenue will be prepared to pass the charge for batta for the Maramut servants referred to in the foregoing letter, on the same being included in the annual Statement of Extra charges incurred without Estimate, and these Proceedings quoted in support of the Entries.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter, and of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Collectors of North Arcot, Chingleput and South Arcot, for their information and guidance.

Read the following letter.

87

Bd. No. 1164.

From the Advocate General

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

In reply to the Resolution of your Board dated 3d Instant referring for my advice with respect to the communication from Major Henderson therewith transmitted to me, I have the honor to state that it is not at all necessary to consult me from time to time as to the appropriation of the interest of the funds which under the order of Court your Board are to draw for

for the purpose of carrying into effect the objects of the Maramalong Bridge Charity. Yours Board are the Trustees who are to exercise your own sound and responsible discretion in the matter.

Fort St. George
18th May, 1852.

/Signed/ Geo: Norton.
Advocate General.

B/ No. 1133.
Order thereon.

88

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter be communicated to the Department of Public Works, with the view to the disposal in that Department of the Estimate for the repair of the steps of St. Thomas' Mount.

Ordered also that the following letter be despatched.

87-89

To,
The Vicar of St. Thomas Mount.

Sir,

A after
B/ No. 1133
To the Vicar of St. Thomas Mount
In Cons: 3/ May 1852.

In reply to your letter of the 23d April 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Estimate for the repair of the steps of St. Thomas' Mount will be disposed of at an early date in the Department of Public Works.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th June, 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

Read the following Bills

The

90

B/ No. 1305.
From the Sub Treasurer.

The Honorable Company ——— Dr.

Charges incurred for Packing and sending a Remittance of New Copper Half and Quarter Annas amounting to Company's Rupees 3000 in 25 Boxes consigned to the Commissioner at Kurnool in charge of Colour Havildar Rul=liance Commanding a Detachment of the 51st Regiment N. I. as per letter from the Accountant General dated 1st May 1852 and dispatched on the 31st Idem 25 Boxes not charged being in store.—

Paid hire of 5 Carts for conveying the above Treasure from Madras to Kurnool at 11½ Rupees per Cart is

Compy Rupees 57-8-0

Ranayapatam Gunnies for covering, Ropes for lashing and Sewing Cooly 8 Cas at 11 As per Box is 21-14-0

1 Escrew Gollah employed to attend the Packing — 0-3-9

Paid the Colour Havildar for lighting Lamps 8 Cas with Treasure on the Road ——— 10-0-0

Company's Rupees 89-9-9

Company's Rupees Eighty Nine, Annas Nine, and pice Nine.

Fort St. George,
General Treasury,
2d June, 1852.

/Signed/ S. D. Birch.
Sub Treasurer.

The

The Honorable Company ——— Dr.

Charges incurred for Packing and Sending as per Remittance of New Silver Half Rupees and Copper half and Quarter Annas and Single pie pieces as per Amounting to Company's Rupees 6,000 in 33 Boxes consigned to the Collector of Bellary in charge of Colour Havildar Rullaance, Commanding a Detachment of the 51st Regiment N. I. as per letter from the Accountant General dated 1st May 1852 and dispatched on the 31st Idem 33 Boxes not charged Being in Store.

Paid Hire of 7 Carts for conveying the above Treasure from Madras to Bellary at 11½ Rupees per Cart

Compt. Rupees ——— 80-8-0

Ramayapatam Gunnies for covering, Ropes for lashing, and sewing Cooly Hire at 14 Annas per Box is ——— 28-14-0

1. Exctra Gollah employed to attend the Packing — 0-3-9.

Paid the Colour Havildars for Lighting Lamps with Treasure on the Road ——— 10-0-0

Company's Rupees ——— 119-9-9.

Company's Rupees One Hundred and Nineteen, Annas Nine and pice Nine.

Fort St. George, General Treasury, 2d June 1852 ———

(Signed) S. D. Birch.
Sub Treasurer.

Ordered that the foregoing Bills be submitted with the following address

To

No. 240.

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

(90-91)

B. No. 1157.

To the Chief Secretary to Government ——— 1.

2d in Cons: 14th June 1852.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying two bills from the Sub Treasurer, on account of Charges incurred for the packing and remittance of Treasure to the Agent at Kurnool.

The Board recommend that

2.

sanction be granted for the abovementioned Charges amounting in all to Rupees (209-3-6.) Two hundred and nine, annas three and pice Six.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th June, 1852 ———

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(92)

B. No. 1276.

From the Acting Supt. of Stamps ———

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of the balance due to P. Appavoo Reddy for Paper and Cadjans supplied for the use of the Stamp Department amounting to Company's Rupees 379-1-7. also an Indent for the usual advance of Compt. Rupees 700-0-0; both of which I request may be passed and returned to

to this Office-

Fort St. George,
General Stamp Office,
1st June, 1852.

Signed W. Douglas.
Acting Superintendent of Stamps.

B. No. 1142.

Resolution thereon.

92-93 Resolved that the Statement & Indent received with the foregoing letter be passed and returned to the Superintendent of Stamps.-

---

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

94

To,
The Agent at
Kurnool.

B. No. 1123.

To the Agent at Kurnool.

Sir,

1. With reference to my letter of 5th & April 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue again to request that you will submit without further delay your Statements of Collections and charges for Fusly 1260-

2. The Board only await your Returns to forward their annual Statements to Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th June, 1852.

Signed B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Statements,

From,

95

B. No. 1343.

From the Sub Treasurer- Statement of Contingent charges

96 incurred for the month of April last.

B. No. 1298.

From the Acting Superintendent of Stamps- Statement of Contingent charges

95-97 incurred for the month of May last.

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements.

98 Resolved that the necessary & order be passed.-

B. No. 1361.

From the Collector of Cuddapah- Read Registers of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the & Board of Revenue for the month of May last.

98-99

Order thereon- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Received the undermentioned &

100 Miscellaneous Statements.-

M. No. 613.

From the Collector of Nellore- Statement shewing the & quantity of Salt in store and ready for Exportation on account of Chittagong Indent for &

101 1851/52 upto 31st May 1852.-

M. No. 603.

From the Collector of South Arcot- Indent for 5000 Salt & Rowannahs for Fusly 1262.

101-102

Order thereon- Ordered to be supplied accordingly.-

From,

6970 Fort St. George 14th June 1852.

No. A after No. 591.

From the Collector of Malabar. Indent on the Commissary General of Ordnance at Cannanore for Sundries required for the Teak Forests at Nellumboor.

103

103-104

Order thereon.—

Ordered that the original Indent be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.—

" Goldingham

Cmp. d. Gills.

" H. Blane

"

6971 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday, 17th June 1852. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.

and

T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member. Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.—

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read again Extract from the Secretary to Government dated 21st and entered in Consultation 31st July 1851.—

Read also again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 28th February and entered in Consultation 18th March 1852.—

Read further letter.—

1

Bd. No. 1130. From the Collector of Madras. Submitting explanation on the

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th March 1852 on the Subject

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

the subject of the land designated Brunton's Villa and the ground adjacent.-

subject of the property called Brunton's Villa.

2. In reply I would take the liberty to observe that it appears from the Register of Government Grants that a Grant was issued in 1804 to Lieutenant Colonel James Brunton for a Spot of Ground denominated Brunton's Villa measuring in extent 4 Cawnies 22 Grounds and 1437½ Square feet situated at Quibble Island in the Village of St. Thomé. The boundaries of this Spot of land are set forth as follows. On the North and South by open Ground on the East by the Sea and on the West by a Water course and a road.-

3. This property now belongs to Mr. Waddel who pays the Quit Rent on it Pagodas 7-18-42 or Rupees 25-15-1 to this Department.-

4. The Spot Marked A in the Plan sent by the Collector of Chingleput appears according to the boundaries above defined to form a portion of the property termed Brunton's Villa lying within the Watercourse which forms its western limit. The other spot B is, it will be observed, beyond this limit, but it should be pointed out that the whole ground belonging to Brunton's Villa including the portion marked A and B in the Plan is found on measurement to amount to 4 Cawnies 17 Grounds 1701½ Square feet

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

feet which is some-what less in extent to that given in the grant issued in favor of Colonel Brunton.-

5. The spots A and B are waste ground, and no quit rent is charged on them separately and there is nothing on the records of this Office to show whether any person has a title to these portions of land.-

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.-

Madras,
13th May 1852

Bd's No. 1174.
1-2
Proceedings thereon Submitting papers on the claims advanced by Mr. Wm. Waddell to lands & cs connected with and adjoining the Brunton Villa on the south side of the Adyar with the Board's views thereon.

No. 246.

A. Sketch Map of the premises of Brunton's Villa surrounding lands and the Spots of which possession is requested by Mr. Waddell accompanies the letter from the Collector of Chingleput.-

2. These portions are claimed by Mr. Waddell, either as falling within as A and D, the limits of his Grant, or as having been purchased as C M E and L.-

From Collector 28th February 1852 Para 6.-

3. A however is claimed by another party as having been obtained by purchase.-

4. A consideration of the rights of the so called former proprietors, and of the titles conveyed by these sales, brings this dispute, the Board think, within a narrow compass.-

A

Para 6

5. A is stated to have been originally purchased from the Meerasidars of St. Thomé and to have come through one or two conveyances into the hands of Mr. Waddell.

From Collector Madras 13th May P.5.

6. It is Perembokre land the right to dispose of which by sale is not vested in the Meerasidars, the sale was consequently illegal.-

From Chingleput 28th Febauary 1852. Para 12. C. includes M and D Para 25.

7. D. C. M and L are also Perembokre, declared to have been purchased in 1839 from the Meerasidars of Ooroor by Mr. Waddell, and from the remarks in the previous Para this sale also was invalid and was as regards C. so declared at the time by the Collector, who also then informed Mr. Waddell of this decision.-

Para 15 Correspondence A. From Collector 21st October 1839.-

8. Notwithstanding this announcement it was discovered that the Curnam had without authority, and indeed in the face of the above prohibition made out two years afterwards puttahs for the land C in the name of Mr. Waddell, these leases (and also that for F. granted to Major Clerk were however instantly cancelled and the amount of assessment ordered to be returned.-

Para 19. Correspondence A. From Coll. 23d April 1844.

9. That Mr. Waddell should after his purchase in 1839 had been expressly disallowed by the Collector, have two years subsequently received Puttahs for the land through the Curnum, proves that in

this instance (and the same may be said of his other alleged purchases) he avoided the regular mode of application for waste lands Viz. to the local Government Authority.-

Para 20

10. E. is stated to have been also purchased from the Ooroor Meerasidars in August 1839. It contains partly Enam land belonging to the Vanien Choultry and partly Perembokre, of which equally with the other lands the Meerasidars could not rightly dispose.-

11. It will thus be seen that these lands are still retained by the Government, on usurpation as regards C, being set aside as soon as discovered and are exhibited in the Circar accounts as waste and unoccupied, and that any so called sales and transfers of them between private individuals need not be recognized.-

From Coll. Madras 13th May P. 2.-

The only good title which Mr. Waddell can produce is as the holder of the Grant to Colonel Brunton which contains 4 Cowries, 22 Grounds and 1437½ Feet, and as he pays Quit Rent for this extent such portion of land should be placed in his possession, and with this view the Board recommend that land in the direction of the spots Marked A and D being those supposed to have formed portions of the original Grant.

From Coll. Chingleput 28th February Para 5.

Grant measured out and made over to him by the Collector.-

12. The portions C and M the Board think should remain as at present on as being merely the sea face to properties to the westward, be joined to them- if the proprietors are willing on additional Quit Rent.-

Paras 20 and 21. 13. E. Appearing to be applied to use may be made over to Mr. Waddell on a lease from the Collector.-

Para 25. 14. I. They are of opinion should remain unoccupied, indeed it is difficult to understand for what purpose Mr. Waddell could have wished to acquire this narrow slip of land between an unfrequented road and the River which could be of no benefit to himself, tho' very detrimental to the interests of Mr. Hooper if so occupied by another. If thought of sufficient importance it might be thrown into Mr. Hooper's property adjoining on increased Quit Rent.-

Some 10 or 15 yards in breadth

15. The only remaining point for discussion appears to be that of the road through New Orosor, which is now rarely used, but which Mr. Waddell requests may be regularly opened since the construction of the metalled road I the old one has been almost closed, and in the Village

Para 26-27-

Village has been in several places encroached upon- as the Collector remarks, the public accommodation afforded by it is insufficient to warrant the expense of keeping it up; and the new road I which has superseded it, would be an equally convenient approach to Brunton's Villa- It is metalled as far as O, and if Mr. Waddell accepts the proposal that he should be allowed to hold the land E by a separate lease from the Collector he could open a road thro' this land directly up to his house, at a trifling cost- He should be called upon to enclose the premises proposed to be made over to him, a measure which if originally carried out, would have obviated much of the subsequent misunderstanding in this case

3

Read the following Resolution.-

No. 490

B. of No. 1293.
From the Secretary to Government- } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th May 1852.

(From Bd. 13th May)

Referring to Statement B

the Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires that the Board of Revenue will in future submit

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Accountant General
Sub Treasurer.
Saving's Bank R.
Civil Auditor

3-4

Order thereon.

5.

Bd. No. 1308.
From the Sec.y to Government.

submit to Government in the Public Dep-
-ment the Comparative Statements of Contin-
Charges of the Offices noted in the Margin, the
the Stamp and Sea Customs Offices being furnish
to the Revenue Department.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Ordered to be recorded.-

No. 489.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 29th May 1852.-
(From Bd. 13th May)

The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council sanctions the Bill for
Native Almanacs purchased for the use of
Revenue Officers amounting to Rupees 88 and
desires that the Board of Revenue will in future
include the charge on this account in the Contingent
charges of their Office, which are passed by the
Committee appointed under the orders of Govern-
-ment of 1st June 1841. the Board quoting
in support of it the authority granted to
them on the 5th April 1849 No. 345.-

The Committee above referred
to will in future submit to Government in the

Revenue-

Published at Page
456 of the Fort St.
George Gazette.-

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

Revenue and Judicial Departments respectively,
the Comparative Statements of Contingent
Charges of the Office of Board of Revenue and
Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Adawlut required
by the orders of the 28th July 1843 published
at page 608 of the Fort St. George Gazette
for that year.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

5-6

Ordered to be recorded.-

Order thereon.

7

Read the following Extracts.-

Bd. No. 1280.
From the Sec.y to Government -
Authorizing the admission of certain charges under retrenchment in the accounts of the Collector of Salem for Fasly 1256 and requesting that the actual payments made to Moodoo Venkatachella Reddy from the Proceeds of the Mootah Paidpulty to the close of the past fusly may be reported for sanction and directing that his future allowance be fixed on the average of the last 10 years disbursements and Govt. informed of the amount.-

No. 496.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
in the Revenue Department, under date the
1st June, 1852.

Read again Extract from the
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th March
and recorded in Consultation 6th April 1852, No. 303.-

Read also the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 13th May 1852 No. 172)

Para 1. From the Statement furnished
by the Civil Auditor and Submitted through the
Board of Revenue, it appears that the sum of
Rupees 521-14-11- retrenched in the accounts
of the Collector of Salem for Fusly 1256 comprises
the payments of allowances due for two years of Fuslies

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Mootah Pullypatty Jaghiredar paid for Fuslies 1255 and 1256 — Rupees 145-13-9
Allowance for Dit at Rs. 61-1-11 per annum — 122-3-10

Difference 22-9-11.

Fuslies 1255 and 1256 but the actual + payments on account of the third item differs from the Amount specified in para 4 of the Board's Proceedings of the 18th March 1852 in consequence it would seem of the allowance varying according to the proceeds from the Mootah of Pullypatty.

2. Under this explanation the Governor in Council Authorizes the Civil Auditor to admit the charges under retrenchment Amounting to Rupees 521-14-11 as well as the subsequent disbursements on account of the 1st 2d and 4th items at the fixed rates noted in the Margin, for the continuance of which sanction is accordingly granted subject to report on lapse.—

	Per Annum.
No. 1 To Pagodas Rupees	94-9-6
" 2 Ditto — "	49-" -"
" 4 Cauzy — "	44-7-1

3. In regard to the 3d item, being the allowance payable to Moodoo Venatachella Reddy from the proceeds of the Mootah Pullypatty, the Government desire that the actual payments on this account to the close of the past Fusly may be reported for sanction to obviate retrenchment in the Accounts, and that the Board of Revenue will in the mean while fix the allowance to be paid

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

paid hereafter on the average of the past 10 years' disbursements as proposed by them, in view to the necessary authority being communicated to the Audit Office for its guidance in passing future payments.—

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

7-8

Bd. No. 1184

Order thereon.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the papers noted in the Margin, be forwarded for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 14th February 1852.—

+ Proceedings to Government 18th March 1852
From Government to Revenue 19th April 1852
In Con 18th March "

2. Mr. Phillips will report the actual payment made to the Pullypatty Jaghiredar to the close of the past Fusly and what is the average of the disbursements to that individual for the last 10 years.—

9

Bd. No. 1281.
From the Secretary to Government.

No. 494

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 1st June, 1852.—

Reviewing the Report on the Settlement of the Minor Zemindaries of Parey-oor and Mannarcottah in the District of Trunconally for Fusly 1260 and authorizing

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards.—
(Here enter 10th May 1852 No. 170)

Para 1. The Settlement of the Minor Estate

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

the writing off of the Arrears said to be irrecoverable.-

Estate of Pareyoor in the Tinnevelly District amounted for Fusly 1260 to Rupees 17,319 or Rupees 1,390 above Fusly 1259, which is attributed to the season being favorable. The Collections on account of Current revenue to the 31st of December amounted to 16,954 leaving an outstanding balance of Rupees 365 and on account of arrears Rupees 1934.-

2. Including Extra revenue and the sum in deposit at the close of Fusly 1259 the total assets of this Estate in Fusly 1260 amounted to Rupees 21774-11-2 Deducting Peishcush 12,250, Charges of Management and of subsistence and repairs to Tanks and other minor items of expenditure, there was a balance on hand at the close of Fusly 1260 of Rupees 6,115-8-4 and of this sum it is proposed to invest 5,000 in Public securities which the Government approve.-

3. The arrears due to this Estate and reported to be irrecoverable, Viz.t Rupees 87-2-2 will be written off as recommended by the Court of Wards.-

Rupees Eighty seven Annas two and Pice two.

Mannarcottah.

The settlement of Manarcottah also the property of a Minor in the same District amounted for Fusly 1260 to Rupees 10,830

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

10,830 or Rupees 1,616 above the previous year, arising from timely rains having caused an extension of Cultivations. Deducting Enams the net demand was Rupees 10536 of which only Rupees 69-6-10 remained uncollected on the 31st December last.-

5. The income of the year including Rupees 1,035 on account of arrears, and Rupees 1,192 in deposit at the close of Fusly 1259, amounted to Rupees 9,061-3-6; of this Peishcush 5,208 and charges of Management subsistence &c being deducted there remained in hand at the end of Fusly 1260 a balance of Rupees 1,011-6-0.-

6. The irrecoverable sum of Rupees 50-14-7. outstanding under the head of Arrears will be struck out of the accounts of this Estate as recommended in para 9.-

Rupees Fifty Annas fourteen and pice seven.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.-

9-10

Bd. No. 1160.

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to the Court's Proceedings dated 10th May 1852.-

Extract

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

B. No. 1333.

From the Sect. to Govt. Communicating the order of the Government of India Authorizing the employment of an Establishment for the Travellers Bungalow at Autapoor in the District of Bellary.-

No. 511.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 3d June, 1852.-

Read the following

No. 888.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Most Noble the Governor General of India in Council, in the Financial Department under date the 7th May 1852.-

Read an Extract from the Home Department No. 302 dated 15th Ultimo forwarding a Despatch from the Government of Fort St. George No. 255 of the 17th March last with Enclosures and a Tabular Statement requesting authority for the employment of an Establishment for the Travellers Bungalow at Autapoor in the District of Bellary.-

Resolution.

The Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the employment of an Establishment to the extent of 4-12-9 per Month as per Margin for the Bungalow built at Autapoor in the District of Bellary for

1 Pensioned Sepoy	Rupees	2-12-9-
1 Sweeper	"	2-" -"
Contingencies	"	0-0-0
Per Month		4-12-9.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

for the accommodation of European Travellers to be continued only so long as the Bungalow shall be maintained in repair and applied to its present purposes.-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution be forwarded to the Home Department for communication to the Government of Fort St. George and that the papers noted in the Margin be returned.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Dorin
Secretary to the
Government of India.-

Order

Copy of a letter from the Government of Fort St. George to the Home Department No. 255 dated 17th March 1852 Original Tabular Statement Letter to the Government of Fort St. George No. 317 dated 10th April 1852.-

No. 398.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St. George with reference to the 2nd para of my letter No. 317 dated the 10th Ultimo.-

By Order of the Governor General in Council

Fort William,
Home Department,
The 13th May 1852.

(Signed) A. R. Young.
Under Sect. to the Govt. of India.-

Ordered

6986. Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Ordered that the fore-going Proceedings be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 8th May last No. 415, and also to the Offices of Account and Audit.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1185
11-12
Order thereon

Ordered that the foregoing Papers be communicated to the Collector of Bellary, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 17th May 1852.

Extract

6987. Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

13

B. No. 1334.

From the Chief Secretary to Government

No. 507.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 3rd June, 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 17th May 1852, No. 195)

Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing Proceedings and correspondence accompanying, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to permit the sale, without prior competition or exposure, of the several spots of Land on the Pulney Hills to the applicants named below, at the prices set opposite to each, and for the issue to them of the necessary Leases.

	Land applied for Cawn. G. Sfeet.	Prices. Rs. A. P.
Mr. R. D. Parker	9 – 12 – 900	74 – 8 – "
" C. R. Baynes	6 – 20 – 1500	64 – 4 – "
" T. Clark	10 – 1 – 300	76 – 9 – 4
The Reverend American Missionaries	2 – 7 – 2,100	47 – " – 8
Captain Horsely, Engineers	6 – 15 – 668	63 – 8 – "
Total	35 – 9 – 668	325 – 14 – "

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

To Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Bd. No. 1186.
Order thereon-

Inclos: 17th May 1852.

13-14

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 10th April 1852-

---

15

Bd. No. 1355.

From the Chief Secretary to Government-

Read the following Resolution-

No. 516.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th June, 1852-

(From Bd. 17th May.)

Para 1- Under the opinion expressed by the Collector of Nellore: and concurred in by the Board of Revenue, and agreeably to their recommendation, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the 4/5 share held by the late Syed Ally Shaw and Hussun Ally in the Enam referred to be registered in the name of Madar Bee, the Widow of the former, and that she and Kunnee Bee the Niece of the deceased holders, be allowed the enjoyment of their shares on the understanding that the whole four-fifths will lapse to Government on the death of Madar Bee-

2- It does not appear that Shandee Bee is dead- but if so, Kunnee Bee may hold for her life the one-fifth share which she

has inherited from her Mother- Should Shandee Bee be still alive, her share will, upon lapse, be reported for the orders of Government-

Fort St. George
4th June, 1852-

(Signed) J. Pycroft-
Secretary to Government-

15-16

Bd. No. 1166.
Order thereon-

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Nellore with reference to his Enam Report dated 19th April 1852-

Inclos: 7th May 1852.

17

Bd. No. 1327-

From the Secretary to Government-

No. 514-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date 4th June, 1852-

List of Statement of Extra charges for Fusly 1260 submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue 20th May 1852-

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Extra charges incurred in Fusly 1260 in the Districts of Guntoor and Tanjore amounting together to Rupees (15,082-7-1-) Fifteen thousand and eighty two, Annas seven and Pie one, also

also the additional Charges of Rupees (8) Eight, on account of the items entered by the Acting Collector of Guntoor in para 4 of his letter of 17th April 1852.

Pay of a sueeper at Pedda Ganjam disbursed in Fusliers 1258 and 1260 ——— Rupees 6—11—11—

Pay of Vetty men disbursed in Fusly 1259 ——— Rupees 2—11—11—

Rupees 8—11—11—

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1162.

Order thereon.—

17·18

Guntoor dated 17th April 1852. for Rupees 11,513-3-1.
Tanjore, dated 27th April 1852 for Rupees 569-4-11—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information of the Acting Collector of Guntoor and Collector of Tanjore, with reference to their Statements of Extra charges as quoted in the Margin.—

19

B. No. 1354.
From the Secretary to Government.

Read the following Resolution.
No. 515.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 4th June, 1852.

/ From Board 17th May /

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs in opinion with the Board of Revenue that in the first of the two cases submitted by the Collector of Trichinopoly it will

will be sufficient to continue only the Enam lands in Poattoor, yielding an annual revenue of Rupees 191—1—11— for the support of Yamoona Beebee, the widowed daughter of the late incumbent Zainab Katoon alias Majoobee— to be enjoyed by her for life and without the condition of service— The remaining portion of the Enam attached to the Durgah, which is situated at Thennoor and assessed at Rupees 84—6—1. will be resumed.—

2. Under the circumstances represented by the Collector in the next case entered in his report, the Government direct that the Shrotriem of Avattoor held by the late Coopanad Iyengar be resumed and incorporated with the Aun lands as recommended both by that Officer and the Board of Revenue.—

Fort St. George, 4th June, 1852.

/ Signed / J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

19-20

B. No. 1168.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Trichinopoly, with reference to his Enam Statement dated 24th February 1852.—

Extract

21

B. No. 1353.

From the Secretary to Government

No. 520.

Extract Minutes of Consultation, &c
dated 5th June, 1852.

(From Board 20th May)

Resolved that the Collector of Bellary be authorized to disburse subject to audit, the arrears of Yeomiah due to shaik Hussain from 1st October 1849 to 30th April 1852, amounting to Rupees (62-5-2.) Sixty two, annas five and pice two, and to continue the payment of his allowance from the latter date.

Fort St. George,
5th June, 1852.

(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1167.

Order thereon-

21-22

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary, with reference to his arrears &c Statement dated 1st May 1852.-

---

Read the following Extract.

No. 521.

23

B. No. 1329.

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 5th June, 1852.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council proceeds to pass orders on the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated the 13th April 1852. No. 5.

A Copy of this Despatch will

will be furnished to the Board of Revenue who &c will in communication with Dr. Wight suggest &c what number of Copies of the Work on Cotton &c Culture and Commerce expected by the "Tabaveras" &c should be distributed gratuitously, and to what &c parties, and what number of Copies should be sent for sale into the Provinces, the price fixed at Rupees 10 per Copy.

(Here enter Honorable Courts letter dated 13th April 1852 No. 5.-

To,
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue-

(A true Extract and Copy)
(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

23-24

B. No. 1171-

To the Superintendent of Cotton Farms, Coimbatore

To,
Doctor Wight, the Superintendent of
Cotton Farms, Coimbatore-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to address you with reference to Extract &c from Minutes of Consultation dated 5th June 1852- No. 521.-

The Board are not aware &c what

2-

what Number of Copies of Doctor Royles work you will require for your own use and for distribution to others - but they purpose suggesting to Government that a Copy of it should be lodged at their Office, another with the Commissioner Northern Circars, and that a Copy be also sent to each of the Collectors noted in the Margin -

Nellore.
Guntoor.
Cuddapah.
Kurnool.
Bellary.
Madura.
Coimbatore.
Tinnevelly.

3. The Board do not think it advisable that any Copies should be forwarded to the Provinces for sale as the Collectors of Cotton growing Districts might be asked to give notice to parties connected with the Cotton Trade that the work is to be had at the College at Madras, where it would be desirable to place for sale such Copies as may remain on hand after supplying those required by you and for the Revenue Department -

Revenue Board Office;
Fort St. George,
17th June, 1852.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary -

Read

25

Rd. No. 1310.
From the Secretary to Government

Read the following Extract -

No. 524 -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date 5th June, 1852 -

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue -

Here enter 17th May 1852 No. 185 /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off the wastage of Salt in Fusly 1200 amounting to Maunds (189739) one lac, Eighty nine thousand seven hundred and thirty nine, and Seers (67/8) Six and Seven Eighths -

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue -

Ordered that the following Order thereon -

Circular to all Salt Districts be sent -

Circular

Circular.

25-26

To,

The Collector of

Ganjam.

Sir,

B. No. 1190.
Circular to all Salt Districts and Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars.

With reference to your Statement of Salt Wastage for Fusly 1260 under date the 8th October 1851, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that Government have been pleased to Grant authority for writing off the wastage of Salt which occurred in your District in that Fusly amounting to 7174 Indian Maunds and 15 3/11 Seers.

The same to the following Districts.

Districts	Date.	Quantity. Maunds.	S.
Vizagapatam	11th inclos: 20th October 1851	2884	27 1/2
Rajahmundry	2d inclos: 8th January 1852		
Masulipatam	31st March inclos: 15th April 1852	830	20
Guntoor	17th inclos: 27th November 1851	31,601	11 1/2
Chingleput	20th inclos: 25th September 1851	3,249	24
Madura	12th July inclos: 25th September 1851	9,946	9 7/8
Nellore	18th inclos: 22d December 1851	5,352	12
North Arcot	10th inclos: 15th January 1852	13,763	19
South Arcot	18th inclos: 23d October 1851	1,551	12
Tanjore	2d inclos: 15th March 1852	26,934	24
Tinnevelly	6th inclos: 16th October 1851	1,503	24
Canara	30th January inclos: 12th February 1852	381	24
Malabar	21st January inclos: 16th February 1852	57,122	17 1/11
Madras	22d inclos: 26th January 1852	645	"
Total		26,798	6
		189,739	6 7/8

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 17th June, 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

Read

Read the following Extract.

27

B. No. 1393.
From the Secretary to Government

No. 551.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 11th June, 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 420.

To, J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Government of
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 298 dated the 2d Ultimo, with enclosure, and in reply to state that the Governor General in Council approves of the proposal of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to reduce the Import duty leviable on Salt under Schedule A Act VI 1844 from Rupees 3 to 12 annas per Maund in the case of Salt imported into the Ports of Canara and Malabar from Arabia.

Fort William,
The 21st May, 1852.

/Signed/ F. J. Halliday.
Secretary to the Government of
India.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to para 15 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 2d April 1852 No. 296, and that they be requested to submit for publication in the Official Gazette a Notification announcing the reduction in the duty on

on Salt imported from Arabia into Malabar and South Canara, specifying the Ports in those Districts in which Arabian Salt will be allowed to be imported on such reduced rates.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

27-28

B. No. 1177.
Order thereon.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collectors of Malabar and Canara with a request that they will specify the Ports in their respective districts at which Arabian Salt should be imported at the reduced rate of duty.

29

B. No. 1369.
From the Secretary to Government.

No. 540.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 11th June, 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 17th May 1852 No. 194./

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves and sanctions the measures authorized by the Board of Revenue in respect of the additional land 64 Acres granted to Mr. Steinback as reported in para 2 of the above letter and authorizes the quantity (200 two hundred Cawnies) of Forest land at Wala-ghat applied for by Dr. Owen being given him on the terms of the Grant made to Mr. Hutson.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1212.
Order thereon.—

29-30

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated to the Collector of Malabar, with reference to his letter dated 14th April 1852.

In Cons. 17th May 1852.

Read

Read the following letter.

No. 225.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

31

B. No. 1215.
From the Commissioner Northern Circars.

Sir,

To enable the local officers the more easily to furnish the information called for in the Boards Proceeding of the 10th Instant, on the subject of railway Sleepers, I have the honor to request that you will favor me with a notice of the description of Timber, which is best adopted for the purpose. The result of Captain Fenwicks' expedition to the forests on the tributaries of the Godavery forbids the expectation of obtaining teak, but there are many other useful trees procurable in abundance along the whole range of Hills bordering the Western frontier of the Circars some of which might answer the purpose. A species of saul, (but not the true saul) called the dammer tree, exists in great quantity, and is much esteemed by the people of the Country. If the Military Board can furnish a list of names of trees suitable for the purpose, it will be easy to say what quantities are procurable.—

To Govt. 31st October 1850 No. 179.

The proceedings of the Central Committee of the eschibition of 1851 ought to supply ample data regarding

7000

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

regarding the names and qualities of the various kinds of timber.-

Vizagapatam, Commissioner's Office, 19th May, 1852.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.-
Commissioner.-

Order thereon.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

31-32

To The Secretary to the Military Board.

Sir,

B. No. 1182.

To the Secretary to the Military Board.

In Cons. 10th May 1852.

With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 15th April 1852, Public Department, No. 387, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before the Military Board, the accompanying Copy of a letter which they have received from the Commissioner, Northern Circars, dated 19th May 1851.-

2.

The Revenue Board will feel obliged if the Military Board can furnish them with the information which Mr. Walter Elliot has applied for, and it would be also desirable to know the dimensions of the Sleepers, as well as the description of Wood that will answer the purpose, to enable Collectors to estimate the probable cost at which they can be supplied from the Forests in their Districts.-

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 17th June, 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.-

Read the following Statement

Statement

Fort St. George, 17th June 1852.

To the Secretary to the Board of Exploration to Collectors on Coast.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit herewith a Statement shewing the quantity of Sallow now available at each of the several places of Export in the Districts of Rajahmundry and Ganjam, on the 31st May 1852.

Remarks.-

At the Kome Valley have been many Logs of Sallow and at the Vallai Pass there are now also many Logs ready for Export, but the Collector will be requested to send down Coolies to meet emergencies.-

I have &c.

/Signed/ T. Pendergast
Collector

Statement of Sallow for Exportation to Calcutta on Account of the District of Rajahmundry on the 31st May 1852.

Places at which Sallow is available for Export by Sea.-	Quantity Exported in 1851.-	Quantity Exported in 1852.-	Remarks.-
Coringa	14,500 Logs	5,500 "	At the Kome Valley have been many Logs of Sallow and at the Vallai Pass there are now also many Logs ready for Export, but the Collector will be requested to send down Coolies to meet emergencies.-
Yanam			
Narsapore			
Pallacole			
Amalapore			
Ravulapalem			
Cottapilly			
Yellamanchilly			
Nagadumoody			
Pundy			
Cocanada			
Samulcotta			
Pittapore			
Peddapore			
Tuny			
Chicacole			
Ichapore			
Calingapatam			
Narsingapatam			
Ganjam			
Total	14,500	5,500	

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 5th June, 1852.

/Signed/

33

1003- Fort St. George. 17th June 1852-

Read the following letter -

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(36)

B: N: 1283-
From the Collector
of Chingleput - - -

Sir,

Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 10th Instant requiring me to report "whether the lands proposed to be given up "by the proprietor of Arnachella Moodelly's "Choultry are as likely to yield a revenue as "certain as the lands as had for have done", "certain as the lands as had for have done", I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that from the circumstances of the last mentioned lands being wholly Nunjah and dependant upon a certain source of irrigation whilst a mo= =iety only of the former is of that class, it appeared to me highly probable that the ap= =plicant would be the gainer to some tri= =fling extent, by the arraugment. The institu= =tution however being of a charitable nature, intended for the accommodation of Travel= =lers, I was induced to think that "the "Board may feel disposed to waive any such "existing objections in Petitioner's favor" and was more led to take into consideration the relative rents at which the lands were assessed -

Since

1002- Fort St. George. 17th June 1852-

34 Statement exhibiting the quantity of Land in Acres available for Cultivation to Calculation of the Private Trade at each of the undermentioned places in the District of Nellore as per Collector's Report dated 31st May 1852.

No.	Names of Places	Quantity of Land in Acres available for Cultivation	Quantity actually Cultivated	Quantity remaining to be Cultivated	Quantity to be Exported	Remarks
1	Cattapatty	60000	1800			
2	Varery	24000	"			
3	Pudina Patam	40000	1000			
		124000	14800			

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry, E. H. Elliott
17th June, 1852. - - - - - D. Hall
Collector

33-35 Order on the 2 foregoing Statements - Ordered to be sent for Publication in the Fort St. George Gazette -

Read

Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

Charges annually incurred during 10 years from the lands applied for — 24 Rs
Do. from the lands proposed to be given up — 19-8-9
Difference — 5-2-7

2. Since the receipt of the Board's Proceedings now under reply, I have called on the Tahsildar to furnish me with accounts of the revenue derived from the lands under discussion during the last 10 years and the result as entered in the margin shows that the average annual sums realizable on the lands applied for are in excess of those derived from the lands proposed to be given up by Rupees a p
5-2-7. In respect of this excess it is to be observed that the proprietor of the Choultry being resident at Madras and having no other concern in the village in which the Enams are situated, is not likely to have paid due attention to the cultivation of the lands at present held. I am of opinion that the proceeds of the lands applied for by the proprietor will under any circumstances be in excess of the revenue derivable from the lands proposed to be given up, but not to any material extent.

Chingleput District. —
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Cuttevauk, 31st May, 1852. — } (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing

Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address —

No. 245.

(36-37)

To. The Chief Secretary to Government —

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the margin, respecting an application from the present proprietor of the Arnachellum Moodely's Choultry, for fresh lands in lieu of the endowment granted for the support of this Institution —

The Choultry in question is situated on the Southern Trunk road, about 4 Miles from Caroongoly and was endowed with 5 Cawnies of Poonjah and 5 Cawnies of Nunjah waste land, in the Village of Aroongoonum, these lands are about 10 Miles distant

From Chingleput 10th April in Cons: 10th May 1852 —
Proceedings to Collector 10th May 1852 —
From Chingleput 31st May in Cons: 17th June 1852 —

B. No. 1173 —
To the Chief Secretary to Government — }
Submitting a correspondence respecting an application from the present proprietor of the Arnachellum Moodely's Choultry in the District of Chingleput for fresh lands in lieu of the endowment granted for the support of this Institution and recommending that the request be complied with —

From Government 3 in Cons: 13th May 1816 —

Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

distant from the building, and were selected in consequence of the Village adjoining it being then held under Zemindary tenure.

3. The applicant represents that owing to the distance of the endowment he is subjected to inconvenience and loss and solicits in exchange for it, as Cawnies 4-4 7/8 of Nunjah Sheycal land, situated in the Village of Carvor, which is close to the Choultry, and is assessed at Rupees 29-15-6 or Ans 2-8 above the annual value of the Enams proposed to be given up.

Rupees 29-12-10.

4. Upon the Board enquiring whether the lands forming the present endowment are as likely to yield a revenue as certain as those asked for have done, Mr. Cochrane states that the average annual sums realizable on the lands applied for, are in excess of those derived from the lands proposed to be given up by Rupees 5-2-7. but adds that from the proprietor being a resident at Madras and having no other concerns in the Village in which the Enams are situated, it is likely that due attention was not paid to

Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

to their cultivation. Should any differ=ence exist, it will be inconsiderable.

5. As the Choultry is stated to be kept up in an efficient state, and as the lands applied for would have been given originally if practicable. Adverting also to the order of Government dated 25th February 1837, prescribing that Endowments should be selected in the Village in which the Choultry may be situated, the Board beg to recommend that the application of the petitioner be complied with.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 17th June, 1852. } (Signed) B. Cunliffe. Sub Secretary.

N.A. I. C. O. Book Page 100.

Read the following letter.

No. 39.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

38

B. No. 1350.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. } Sir,

I have the honor to return the Petition noted in the margin with the Government endorsement requiring a report on the subject referred to by the Petitioner.

The Petition was presented by

2.

B. Portion of Petition No. 32 Government No. 1020 of 1851 from appearing Woods and others respecting the relinquishment of Endowment of Nunjundeswara Swamy Tank in Conjeveram Taluk.

B. No. 32 of 1851. Govt. No. 2010 of Do.

by certain of the Village Officers and inhabitants of 8 Villages, in the Cauverypauk Talook, who pray that the Mahendrawandy Tank may not be restored, on the ground that they would sustain a loss of about 44,800 Rupees, by the destruction of their Manieus, and Meerasy lands, houses, wells, Topes, Channels, &c.a &c.a-

*Vadantangul-
Coothumbaukum-
Vadulwady-
Vanapooram-
Nungalam-
Poodoor-
Sooracolum-
Keelvaranum-

3. The complete restoration of the Tank was originally proposed by the Civil Engineer as one of the advantages to be derived from the projected amicut across the Paulaur, but it will be seen from Paras 11 and 12 of my letter to the Civil Engineer dated 16th April 1852 No 79, that he has abandoned his first intention on this point, and has now proposed to restore the Tank only in part, so that the Petitioners will be deprived of a few wells only, and a small portion of the cultivated land-

4- I have also ascertained that the parties whose interests are affected by the measure at present in view, have no objection to make, provided other lands be

be given to them and some compensation made for the Wells-

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 8th June, 1852- } Signed H. A. Brett, Acting Collector-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address-

No 244-

38.39

To, The Chief Secretary to Government-

Sir, With reference to the Endorsement of Government No 2010 of 1851, the Acting Collector of North Arcot was directed to report upon the Statements of Petitioners, and I am now desired to forward his reply for the information of Government-

2- It would appear that the original plan for the complete restoration of the Mahendrawady Tank has been abandoned in favor of a proposal to restore it in part, which will deprive

B. No 1172- To the Chief Secretary to Government

B. No 32 of 1851. 8th Aug. 17th June 1852.

Revenue
orge
mit
nd
2
Tervo-
11-

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

deprive Petitioners of a few wells and a small portion of cultivated land only, to which they have no objection provided that compensation be granted them for the former, and other land in lieu of the latter.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th June, 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Read the following letter
No. 46.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(40)

B.d No. 966
From the Collr of Salem
Submitting a Statement of circumstances relative to the unlawful appropriation of Andapoorum a jaree Agraharum in the Salem District by Teroomalla Reddy Mootahdar of Mootah Maytoopetty by which act Teroomalla Reddy has become liable to a claim on behalf of the Government amounting to Rupees 20308 6 11.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for consideration and orders by your Board a statement of circumstances relative to the unlawful appropriation of Andapoorum, a Jaree Agraharum in this District, by Teroomalla Reddy, Mootahdar of Mootah Maytoopetty, by which act adverting to the authorities marginally quoted, I believe that Teroomalla Reddy has become liable to a claim on behalf of the Government, amounting to Rupees 20308 6 11.

Section IV XII XIII of Regr XXV of 1802, and clause 1st of the Sunnud i Milkeut Istimrar, also Regr IV of 1831.

The Andapoorum Agraha-
-rum Situated within the limits of the Mootah of which Teroomalla Reddy is the registered proprietor was granted to certain Bramins at the period of the permanent Settlement of the land revenue, on

on a jodee or easy rent of Rupees 1145 – 5 – 8;
the sum of Rupees 1318 – 5 – 8 being the
amount of faisul assessment, from the pay-
ment of which they were thus exempted –

3. The circumstance of the
Agraharum having passed from the pos-
session of the Brahmin grantees to that
of Peroomalla Reddy, became known to the
late Sub Collector, Mr. Conway, during the
course of his enquiry into a complaint
respecting another matter, which had been
received in his Office from an informer
named Pychoovien, in the course of 1850,
and in which the transfer of Andapoorum
was incidentally mentioned. With the result
of Mr. Conway's investigation I was inform-
ed by a Vernacular memorandum, accom-
panied by the record of the proceedings taken
with Sub Collector's Cutcherry. As it appear-
ed to me that a point of some import-
ance had been overlooked by the Sub Col-
lector, I requested that the omission might
be supplied, and am now in a position to
lay before your Board the under stated
particulars –

4. The Agraharum of Andeepoorum
appears.

appears originally to have been bestowed by
Ramachendra Nark, Poligar of Lainda-
mungalum, on certain Brahmins, with
whom and their descendants it remained
as an hereditary profession. During the
Sovereignty of Tippoo, the Agraharum was
sequestrated, and subsequently formed into
two divisions, on one of which a fixed
jodee was assessed, whilst the other was left
with the Agraharundars free from any
public demand whatever, and this arrange-
ment as regards the Village, is seen
to have prevailed until Fasly 1205.
When in the following year, after the
transfer of the province of Salem to
the British Government, Captain Macleod
was charged with the duty of introducing
the Survey assessment into the Southern
part of this District, he fixed
the Jodee of the Village at Rupees
1445 – 5 – 8, in the manner below exhi-
bited.

Statement No. 1

Total of the faisul assessment
on the Andeapoorum Agra-
harum ——— 490 – 5 – 5

Deductions

Deductions

By the resumption as unclaimed property of 3/8 of the 32 Shares into which it had been divided and was held 201 – 4

By the grant of Sundry Enams 244 – 13 – 1

445 – 13 – 5

Remainder 4456 8 –

Deduct the assessment of the lands / Kunja, Poonja, and Soomadayum included / which the Agraharumdars were permitted to hold as "Luwa Enams"

1819 12 6

Remainder 2636 11 – 6

Deduct again as a favorable remission, a moiety of the last mentioned sum on account of the 31 3/8 shares of the holdens of the Village 1318 5 – 8

and a Balance is found of Rupees 1318 – 5 – 10

Adding to which the *Teerwah leviable on certain Cultivated lands appertaining to shares unclaimed and resumed for the Government 120 13 8

Together with certain gut rent due on Sundry Enams 6 2 2

1318 5 10
*120 13 8
6 2 2
1445 – 5 – 8

and

and the aggregate amount of those three sums Viz 1445 – 5 – 8 forms the net Jodee then assessed as payable on the Agraharum. When this liability had thus been fixed individual puttahs were issued to the extent of Rupees 1318 – 5 – 10 in proportion to the number of shares to which each Agraharumdar was considered to have a title.

5 On the formation of Mootahs in Fusly 1211 the Agraharum under notice was incorporated with Mootah Aroor the jodee payable by its favored Bramin proprietors being included in the assets on which the permanent assessment of the Aroor Estate was determined. From that time the Aroor Mootahdar thus became the recipient of the established jodee which the Agraharumdars were bound to pay; and matters so continued until A. D. 1809.

6 During the following year a division was made of the Aroor Mootah. It was broken up into two Mootahs, Aroor and Maytooputty the Andapoorum Agraharum being included in the

the latter estate, the formal registry of which was made in favor of one Nullapa Reddy. This individual having demised, he was succeeded by his brother Teroo=malla Reddy who, on the 18th December 1810, was registered as Matooputty Mootahdar, and appears to have been in the habit of realizing the prescribed jodee from the Brahmin proprietors of Andapoorum up to fusly 1229.

7 It is found that in the year preceding, on the plea of their com=parative unproductiveness, the Brahmins made over to Teroomalla Reddy, by a deed of relinquishment, those portions of the Village in which the jodee had been assessed, retaining however, in their enjoyment, all the lands which had been granted to them free of revenue demand, as Surva Enams. It further appears that the Mootahdar had possession of the relinquished portions for six years, or until Fusly 1235. In fusly 1236 he restored them to the Agraharum=dars, who from that time up to Fusly 1243 seem only to have discharged their jodee liabilities.

In

8 In Fusly 1249 the Mootahdar is shown once more to have become the sole possessor of the whole of the shares of the Agraharum (the Surva Enams again excepted) owing to the Brahmin proprietors having in Fusly 1246 commenced to throw up their jaree fields, on the ground former=ly alleged and taken by them, that their yield was not sufficiently ample to meet the jodee demand and these jaree fields have since that period remained, and at the present time still remain in the undisturbed possession and enjoyment of the Mootahdar Teroo=malla Reddy.

9 I would here beg to submit that adverting to the law in force to the conditions on which Teroomalla Reddy holds the Mayteeputty Mootah it was not competent to him, as Mootahdar, to avail himself of the abandonment, whatever its true cause and real origin by the recog=nised Agraharumdars, of the jaree or any other portion of the Village granted to them under the favorable terms above detailed, since as seen to be the case, his only lawful concern in the

Agraharum

Agraharum was to receive from it the established favorable quit rent, Viz. Rupees 1445 — 5 — 8 per annum, and nothing more —

10.

In support of this view I would refer, first to Regulation XXV of 1817, which in its IV.th Section declares that the Government have reserved to itself a very comprehensive discretion in regard to continuing or abolishing the revenue derived from lands paying only favorable quit rents; and that the permanent assessment of the land tax on Zemundaries, of which the Maytoopully Mootah is one, shall be made exclusively of the said item and other articles —

11.

The XII Section of that Regulation further declares that it shall not be competent to a proprietor of land, to resume lands allotted to charitable purposes under the denomination, amongst others, of Agraharum, unless the consent of the Government shall previously have been obtained for that purpose.

The

12. The following or XIIIth Section prescribes, that where the consent of the Government may have been obtained to a particular appropriation of lands of the class of those under observation, the proprietor of the Estate in which such lands may be situated, shall pay such assessment of revenue as may be fixed on the said lands by the collector of the Zillah — And in the 4th clause of the Caboolial which connects ponds with the dunnuds i Melbeut Istimrar, Mootahdar Teroomalla Reddy is specially bound to a strict

strict and honest observance of the stipulations above described—

13. In justification of his proceedings the Mootahdar has represented that the Bramins came and stated to him that consequent on the periodical failure of the crops of their jaree Agraharum holdings they were considerably embarrassed in respect of discharging the jodee and did not wish to retain them in their occupancy,—that thereupon, the Agraharum being within his Mootah, he accepted their Rasseenama or deed of relinquishment, that he is ignorant of the law

law bearing on such a matter, that on a former occasion when one Royachanny complained to the then Collector in regard of certain Enams which he held in the Audapoorum Village, he was referred to the ordinary Civil tribunals for redress, and that acting on the precedent he / Mootahdar / assumed possession of the Agraharum. A few of the Agrahaurndars I would here mention, have come forward and supported the Mootahdar's assertion as to the reason of their throwing up their shares, and stated that they had nothing of its being requisite they should resign them into the hands of the Government Officers—

14. The Mootahdar adds that beyond the mere realization of the fixed jodee of the Village, its enjoyment by him has tended to his benefit in no degree whatever, and that he will readily refund any sums which it can be proved have been received by him in excess of the annual quit rent—

15. He has delivered to me what purport to be the patent accounts of

of several years and however those of
fusly 1250 and states that in the event
of the Government resolving to resume
the jaree agraharum, he expects a
reduction in the paishkush of his Estate,
corresponding with the amount of jodee
formerly included in it, but that he is
not prepared to consent to the paishcush
being augmented by Rupees 1,318 – 5 – 8,
which, as your Board will perceive,
is the moiety of the fasuel assessment
on the jaree portion of Andipooram,
from payment of which the Agraharum
=dars were originally relieved. From
what I have reported, your Board will
observe that of the two distinct periods
during which Teroomalla Reddy has
held in his enjoyment, this favorable
assessed Village, the first period was
of six years. He is either unwilling or
unable to bring forward any documents
or accounts relative to those six years,
asserting that they were mislaid and
lost, at the time of certain domestic
dissensions which took place in his
family – The deficiency cannot be
supplied

Rs 3150 – 13 – 4

* Rs 1445 – 5 – 8

Para 4

From Fusly 1230
(Year Vekrana)
to 1235

supplied by reference to the Curnum, who,
not receiving any official remuneration
under the arrangements which gave pos-
session of the Agraharum to the Brahmin
proprietors, has, on his part, as he asserts,
kept no accounts –

16 It would therefore seem
useless at the present time and under
existing circumstances to adjudge that
the Mootahdar shall make good the
sum due for the six years in question.
But as regards the jaree shares
which Teroomalla Reddy has from
various dates illegally appropriated
for a period of twenty two years,
ranging from Fusly 1214 to 60, he seems
to me clearly liable to the extent
of the difference between the quit-
rent

Fort St. George 17th. June 1852.

rent with which they were charg-
able and the fasiul assessment
derivable from them had they, on the
relinquishment by the Brahmins
reverted to the Government and been
made available in the usual course for
general cultivation under Amany
management by the Revenue Officers
of the Government
17.

In this view then, I do
myself the honor to transmit a
Statement exhibiting the
amount of the fasiul Teer-
-wah respectively due on the shares
of the Agraharam from the period of
their coming into the Moodiahdaris
profession

No 2.

Rs 3150-
Rs 1645-
Paras 4-

Fort St. George 17th. June 1852.

possession up to Fusly 1260 from which it is
seen that the aggregate amount due to the
Government by Teroomalla Reddy is Rupees
20,308-6-11.

18 It is now proper to notice the
papers purporting to be putcut accounts
which have been brought forward by Tero-
-malla Reddy in regard to the yearly proceeds
of the Tillage. These show that a gross sum
of Rupees 21,108-6-5 has been collected from
Fusly 1244 to 1259 inclusive. It is asserted
by him that from this amount Rupees
1,259- - - should be deducted on account
of Sibbendy, the remaining balance being
thus, Rupees 19,849-6-5. The aggregate
amount of quit rent to which, for the Fusslies
in question, the Mootahdar has a just claim,
is. Rupees 20,722-4-7. Assuming then, that his
accounts are genuine and correct, that the
Village was relinquished by its Agraharum
-dars because of the embarrassments it
was causing to them; that this was stated
to him to be the reason of its relinquishment,
and that he accepted the Village as a relief
to the Brahmins, it must also be supposed
that whilst there was no necessity
whatever for his so doing, he accepted
a losing concern which has been un-
productive

Vide Statement No. 3.

Sodee due 20722-4-7
Receipts 19849-6-5
Deficiency 872-14-2

872. 14. 2.

productive to him since the period of its coming under his own sole and unicontrolled management, of a loss of Rupees

19.

It is wholly impracticable for me, I regret to add, to test the value of the soi disant putcul accounts of the last twenty two years which the Mootahdar has produced, and the absence of any officially recognized remuneration for the Office of Cummum, furnishes an excuse which closes the only avenue for enquiry that otherwise would have been open to me. The omission in the Sub: Collectors enquiry to which I have referred in paragraph 3 of this communication was the non-secural by prompt and unexpected measures in the Sub Division, of such Cummum or other accounts as would have tended to show what had been the annual extent of the cultivation in Andapooram during the successive years in which the relinquished shares had been enjoyed by Teroomalla Reddyi and my communication to the then Sub Collector, made with the knowledge of only one servant of my establishment, had for its sole object to remedy that omission.

My

Coll.r Yadasht dated 25. June 1851
Dr reached Sub Coll.r 28. " — .
Pychooviem appeared 7. July — .
Pychooviem appeared and was examined } " — — —
Order to Tahsildar for search } 9th — . —
Tahsildar reported result — — — } 17th — . —
Teroomalla Reddy's examination began the } 3. Aug.t —
Dr closed — 11. Oct.r — .

Vernacular Memorandum dated the 22. October 1851.

20. My wishes in this respect do not seem to have been carried into execcution as I had hoped they would have been. The informer Pychooviem was cited (unnecessarily to my idea) and publicly examined, and opportunity was thus afforded to the Mootahdar, or the people about him, for the concoction of the accounts needed to prove that twenty two years of cultivation in lands voluntarily taken possession of by a Mootahdar under feelings of unusual liberality, for which no just cause is assigned, had resulted in not profit, but loss to the liberal Mootahdar.

21 The late Sub Collector having been transferred to a higher grade, the present Acting Sub Collector, Mr. W.E. Cochrane, has furnished me with information relative to the final steps taken by his predecessor with whom he differs as to the responsibility which the Mootahdar has incurred.

22 The Acting Sub Collector remarks in his Tamil yadasht to my address, that

Fort St George 17th June 1852

that the Agraharum would not have been made over to the Moatahdar if the yearly proceeds of the Village had been in excess of its quit rent; that if after that step had been taken, the Bramins had learnt that it was yielding profitably they would have required its resurrender to them: that the putcul account produced by the Moatahdar seems to be corroborated in its correctness to a certain extent by some papers brought forward by private individuals at the enquiry; that the average rate of teerwah which the land bears is high and presses heavily on it; that the works of irrigation in the Village have been neglected by the Agraharundars from inability to preserve them in order; that nothing appears to show that the Moatahdars putcul account is incorrect, that if the Village be now resumed its cultivation for the first year after resumption will not produce more than the jodee fixed on it; but that in the event of the tanks and watercourses being completely restored and placed again in good order, doubtless an increase of revenue may be fairly anticipated.—

	Rs	a	p
Poonjee	1-	13.	11
Bajayet	8-	7.	3
Nattjah	15-	...	

I

Fort St George 17th June 1852

23

I regret being unable to appreciate the cogency of the above reasonings because I cannot believe that the Moatahdar would have committed so suicidal an act as that of accepting, instead of tangible revenue, a Village which did not yield sufficient to pay the quit rent he was entitled to receive from it. But it is impossible under present circumstances to fathom the depths of the transaction between him and the Bramins. The ignorance of the law which is alleged by the Moatahdar does not appear to me entitled to belief. The inhabitants of the Salem District have a nice perception of the relative privileges of the governor and the governed, and among no class is this feeling so strongly marked as amongst the landed gentry of the Collectorate, the Moatahdars. Whether his plea be true or not it can form no excuse, should your Board be of opinion that his proceedings has been against the law and the conditions of his covenant, and on this point I would beg your Board to remark, that the Moatahdar may not have been living in the gross legal ignorance

No 4

ignorance which he has imputed to him-
-self, since in his deposition, of which a
translation is forwarded, he has ac know-
-ledged that the first restoration of the
agraharum to its Brahminical proprietors,
Fusly 1230 to 35. after he had held it for sive years, was
consequent on his having been warned
that he would be incurring a penalty
by the retention in his own possession
of the jaree lands of a village, which
yielded a quit rent of 412 Pagodas.

24 The inference inevitable
from such an admission is that when
in Fusly 1244 he entered on the enjoyment
of the jaree Village without the previous
knowledge or consent of the Government,
he did so for his own advantage and
benefit, and knew that the step was one
which would necessarily involve him
in certain penalties.

25 As respects the genuineness
of the Mootahdar's putcut account, I
would observe that proceedings relating
to this enquiry have been in progress
since Pychooviens complaint in 1850,
and that ample opportunity would
thus seem to have been afforded
for the manufacture of a far more
complicated and extensive set of
documents

documents than those which Teroomalla
Reddy has submitted.

26 Although, then, it cannot
be proved that the Mootahdar has
actually enjoyed the whole of that part
of the jaree Bareez of the Village originally
allotted to the Brahmins, it seems difficult
to suppose that without some cause tend-
-ing to his own benefit, he would have
entered on possession of the agraharum;
as such possession is apparently illegal
and unjustifiable under the circumstances
which have come to light, and as the in-
-fraction of the law to the extent shown
renders the Mootahdar, I submit, liable
for the payment of the sum of Rupees 20308-
6-11, I have the honor to request I may
be instructed whether I am at liberty to
call on him to discharge that amount.

27 Should your Board deem the
Mootahdar not to be liable for the
above sum, I submit that it is necessary
now to resume the Agraharum, in which
event the sum of Rupees 1,445-5-8 as
should yearly be paid to the Mootah-
-dar as quit rent, or sanction be pro-
-procured for a corresponding reduction

in

7032 Fort St. George 17th June 1852

in the parisheush of the Mayloopatty Mootah.

28. Respecting the Mootahdar's reference to the case in which one Royacharry is stated by him to have been informed by a former Collector that the question relative to his (Royacharry) enams must be adjudicated by the Courts, I find that Royacharry the individual in question had officially represented himself to be aggrieved, because certain parties had forcibly removed the produce of his enams. The subject of his address to the Collector having been referred to the local Tahsildar for enquiry and report, I observe that, on the 8th May 1822, it was disposed of in the Magisterial Department by an intimation that the lands in dispute were to be regarded as the property of the complainant, and that the persons who, on the strength of a pattah from the Mootahdar, had interfered and removed the produce of the fields, must seek redress through an action at law.

Salem Collector's Cutcherry,
17th April, 1852 ——.

(Signed) H. D. Phillipps
Collector.

Teroomalareddy

7033 Fort St. George 17th June 1852

P.S

Teroomal reddy, I beg to add has recently demised.

(Signed) H. D. P.
Collector

7034

Fort St. George

An account shewing the Beriz &c. as fixed for the year Sethartthy on the Agraharum of Ardapoor

	Poonjah		Nunjah		
	Land-	Assess- ment-	Land-	Assess- ment	
1	2	3	4	5	6
Total assessed Ayacut exclusive of Porumbokke	697 - -	1,375 - 10	209 16 4	330 9 -	
Surra Enams enjoyed by Agrahardars	129 - -	315 4 6	9 1 10 -	149 - -	
Sundry Enams	52 5 -	90 13 5	9 34 6	153 13 5	
Total	181 5 -	406 1 11	101 4 6	164 5 -	
Remaining	575 35 -	968 11 11	108 12 8	1725 - -	
Lands assigned to the Agraharundars paying a Jodey of half Beriz under cultivation	477 25 -	892 15 8	103 22 8	1,857 11 1	
Shares resumed on account of Government at the Pymash and under cultivation	5 15 -	8 13 3	3 37 8	39 - -	
Do Do waste	32 25 -	67 2 - -	32 8	13 - -	
Total	38 - -	75 15 3 .	4 30 .	72 5 -	

Total Jodigy pay

17th April, 1852. E. E.

Continued

17th June 1852

No 1

by Captain Mc Leod in Fusly 1209 corresponding with the Taloik Namcul.

Bagayet- Land-	Bagayet- Assessment-	Total- Land-	Total- Assessment-	Ready money Collection-	Total-	Deduct: Aggrahars Saury Share	Deduct: Sodigy.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
10 35 -	91 11 10	917 11 4	4,835 15 10	66 5 7	4,902 5 5	- - -	- -
- - -	- - -	220 10 -	180 6 8 6	13 4 -	1,819 12 6	1,819 12 5	- - -
- - -	- - -	61 39 6	244 13 1	- - -	244 13 1	238 10 11	6 2 2
- - -	- - -	282 9 6	2057 5 7	13 4 -	2,069 7 7	2,058 7 5	6 2 2
10 35 -	91 11 10	635 2 8	2,784 10 3	53 1 7	2,837 11 10	- - -	- - -
10 30 -	90 13 7	592 7 8	2,635 7 4	1 4 2	2,636 11 6	1,318 5 8	1,318 5 10
- 5 -	- 14 3	9 17 8	69 - 3	57 13 5	120 13 8	- - -	120 13 8
- - -	- - -	33 17 8	80 2 8	- - -	80 2 8	- - -	- - -
- 5 -	- 14 3	42 35 -	149 2 11	57 13 5	201 - 4	- - -	- - -

able by the Agraharundars and included in the Permanent Beriz } 1,415 15 8

E. (Signed) H. D Phillips
Collector-
Statement

7035 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

No 2

Statement of Shares in Agraharum Andapoorum, Jaury Mootah Mayttoopuitta, Talook Nuncal, resigned to the Mootahdar from time to time, their Jaury Teerua in proportion to the Shares 31 3/8 and their Jaury amount 1,318-5-10 as fixed in Fusly 1209-

Fuslees		Share assumed by the Mootahdar on their resignations	Their Jaury amount in proportion to that of the Shares fixed in Fusly 1209.		
1	1244	14 1/2	609	1	6
2	1245	16 14/16	711	11	2
3	1246	16 15/16	711	11	2
4	1247	28 7/16	1,194	14	10
5	1248	30	1,260	9	3
6	1249	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
7	1250	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
8	1257	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
9	1252	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
10	1253	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
11	1254	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
12	1255	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
13	1256	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
14	1257	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
15	1258	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
16	1259	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
17	1260	31 3/8	1,318	5	8
			20,308	6	11

17th April, 1852 E. E. Jr (Signed) H. D Phillips
Collector
Statement

7036

Fort St. George

17th June 1852.

Statement shewing the Shares as Fixed in Fasly 1209, those that have been resigned to the Moottahdar from time to time and their actual Berij and proceeds at Andapoorum, Jaury Mootah Maittoo patty

1	2 Total Shares as fixed in Fasly 1209 inclusive of Jaury and Zuptd.	3 Jodighy Shares.	4 Shares resigned to the Moottahdar by the agrahars.	5 Proportion of Jodighy.	6 Proceeds as per Moottah Total Berij of Land Rice and Varnadaya pus.	7 Deduct pay of Monegar &c.	8 Balance.	9 Comparison. Between Columns 5 & 6. Increase.	9 Decrease.	10 Between Columns 5 & 8. Increase.	11 Decrease.	12
1 1244	32	1445 5 8	14 1/2	654 12 3	577 4		55 0 0	522 14 11	0 0 0	76 13 4	0 0 0	131 13 4
2 1245	32	1445 5 8	16 17/16	764 13 9	647 0		55 0 0	592 0 5	0 0 0	117 13 4	0 0 0	172 13 4
3 1246	32	1445 5 8	16 15/16	764 13 9	667 7		55 0 0	612 7 8	0 0 0	97 6 1	0 0 0	152 6 1
4 1247	32	1445 5 8	28 7/16	1284 4 0	1176 7		55 0 0	1121 9 0	0 0 0	107 11 0	0 0 0	162 11 0
5 1248	32	1445 5 8	30	1354 10 6	1324. 2		55 0 0	1269 2 11	0 0 0	30 7 7	0 0 0	85 7 7
6 1249	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1444 1		72 0 0	1372 1 0	0 0 0	1 4 8	0 0 0	73 4 8
7 1250	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1409 5		72 0 0	1397 5 0	23 15 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	48 0 8
8 1251	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1484 0		72 0 0	1412 6 3	39 0 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	32 15 5
9 1252	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1508 13		96 0 0	1412 13 9	63 3 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	32 7 11
10 1253	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1509 1		96 0 0	1413 11 3	64 5 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	31 10 5
11 1254	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1576 12		96 0 0	1420 12 6	71 6 10	0 0 0	0 0 0	24 9 2
12 1255	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1547 2		96 0 0	1451 4 3	101 14 7	0 0 0	5 14 7	0 0 0
13 1256	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1558 13		96 0 0	1462 13 3	113 7 7	0 0 0	17 7 7	0 0 0
14 1257	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1570 7		96 0 0	1480 7 9	131 2 1	0 0 0	35 2 1	0 0 0
15 1258	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1509 13		96 0 0	1473 11 3	124 5 7	0 0 0	28 5 7	0 0 0
16 1259	32	1445 5 8	32	1445 5 8	1529 1		96 0 0	1433 13 3	84 7 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	11 8 5
Total	23125 10 8			20722 4 7	21108		1259 0 0	19819 6 5	817 9 10	431 8 0	86 13 10	959 12 0
								Net	386 1 10		Net	872 14 2

17th April, 1852. E. E. Jr (Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector
Translation

No. 4.

Translation of a deposition given on the 3d August 1857 before Kistna Row, Subdivision Sheristadar of Zillah Salem by Tremala Reddy, son of Terama Reddy, aged 50 years, Mootahdar of Mayttoopatty, Taluk Namcal, and residing in Cushah Mayttoopatty.

2. How and when did you acquire the Mootah in question?

A. When the Mootah of Aroor was sold by the Circar in Fusly 1211 corresponding with the year Thoormutty, my father Terama reddy paid a Nuzzeranah of about 500 Pooly Pagodas, and purchased the Mootah during the sale. My father continued to enjoy it during the succeeding 6 or 7 years, and departed this life. The year of his death is not known to me, I do not well recollect it. Subsequently the Mootah was registered in the sole name of my elder brother Nullapa reddy who enjoyed it for a period of 6 or 7 years and then expired. During my brother's enjoyment of the Mootah it was subdivided into two parts, one of which, Mayttoopatty was registered in the name of my elder sister's husband Tremalareddy. They enjoyed the Mootah for about 10 years, my elder brother

brother died in the year Yoona corresponding with Fusly 1225, the Subdivision of Mayttoopatty was registered in my name in the year Thathoo corresponding with Fusly 1226, and while it was in my enjoyment, I purchased the Subdivision of Aroor from my elder sisters husband for a sum of Rupees 5,000, I thus enjoyed both shares. I do not recollect the year in which the Subdivision of Aroor was registered in my name. While the registry of both the parts stood in my name, I sold half a share of Aroor to Goonnama reddy, and Sadasevareddy in satisfaction of a debt of Rupees 3000 which I owed to them; the names of these two individuals were thus united with mine in respect of the registry of Aroor. Whilst we three held the Subdivision in enjoyment for 2 or 3 years, balances had accrued in consequence of a misunderstanding amongst us, and the division was sold for a balance of Rupees 4,000 and was purchased and incorporated with the Amany for a sum somewhat greater than the above balance. I recollect, it was sold in the year Nundanah. Thence forward I have enjoyed only the Mayttoopatty division.

2. How are Goonnama reddy and Sadasevareddy related to you?

A. Sadasevareddy is brotherin law to me I having married his elder sister, Goonnamareddy is my father-in-law by Collateral relationship

relationship. Both of them are not alive now, they are dead.

2. What Villages are comprehended in Mayttoopatty Mootah?

A. Cusbah Mayttooputty and its hamlet Vudoogapatty and Sodigy Agraram of Andaposrum.

2. What is the permanent Beriz payable on the Mayttooputty Moottah?

A. The permanent Beriz of Mayttooputty Mootah inclusive of Samarnadayem is Rupees 3150-13-4, from which deducting the remission on account of Motarpha Viz. Rupees 38-4- the remainder I continue to pay to the Circar.

2. What portion of the above forms the Beriz payable annually by the Andaposrum Agraramdars?

A. Pooly Pagodas 412 Myle 43 Cash 68 equal to Rupees 1,445-5-10

2. Besides this is the Sodigy of any Sundry Enams included in the Beriz?

A. Besides the Sodey of the Agraram no Sodigy of Sundry Enams is included in my Mootah.

2 Have you given any deposition on the 25th March 1850 during the investigation of the Samaul Tasildar Soondralinga Moodely in respect to a complaint of Pichooviengar that you gave out for cultivation to Vencata-chella reddy the land forming the vent of the surplus water of the Nunjah lands of Andapoodien

Andaposrum Agraram?

A. I have given a deposition The one now shewn is mine and the signature is also mine.

2. From the above deposition it appears that all the proprietors of the 32 shares of the Agraram executed a Padoogal Moochilika to you resigning the Agraram with the Jaury portion and that you yourself have enjoyed it inclusive of the Jaury portion from Fusly 1243, is it true that you have been enjoying the Sodigy Agraram inclusive of the Jaury and Sodigy portions?

A. I myself have been enjoying it.

2. From the investigation before me of such Agraramdars as were present, and were directed to appear, and from the 14 Cadjan Moochilikas of the Agraramdars delivered by you to the Tahsildar the total shares are 32 as follows.

	Shares
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 10th Month Chittary year Jaya of Soobramanea Iyer son of Soobien	3/4
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 10th Chittary year Velumbee of Govinddien, son of Naraniem	1 6/16
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 25th Audy year Jaya of Rama Chary son of Coopa Chary	2
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 12th Chittary, year Jaya, of Ramien son of Venkatamien	1 11/16

as

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 12th Chittary year Saya of Soobien son of Senkarien &c. — 1 14/16

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 2d Chittary year Saya of Aramadoo Sjengar — 1

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 19th Chittary year Havelumbee of Ramiengar son of Streenevasiengar — 1

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 29th Karthike, year Saya, of Abyara=mammal heiress of Gopalien — 1

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 1st Chittary, year Saya, of Letch=meenaranien adopted son of Syem=petta Naranien — 3 1/2

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 3d Margaly, year Saya, of Aby Ramien Senkarasoobien — 23/64

As per particular in the Moochilika dated 6th Pungoony, year Thoormooky, of Appoonien — 1/2

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 6th Pungoony, year Thoormooky, of Samier son of Goorroosawmy Syah &c. — 5

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 6th Pungoony, year Thoormooky, of Streenevas=jengar son of Ramasawmy Syengar — 1 1/2

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 11th Chittary, year Saya, of Nurdiah and Soobiah — 1 1/2

Total shares as per the 14 Moochilikas 20 3/64

&c &c

2. Have you obtained any documents from the proprietors of the remaining 5 4/64 shares?

A. Of the shares in question, the owner of 2 26/64 shares Rajah Chary having kept back=ances of Sodigy, a plaint was preferred in the Nameal Moonsiff's Court, and his shares were sold under orders of the Court, when they were purchased for Rupees 40 by Veeraspa pillay. This individual having sustained loss for 2 years, resigned his shares like the other Brahmins under a Padoogal Moochilika — I do not recollect in what year he so re=signed, I must refer to the accounts and state it. The Moochilika was lost, and though searched has not been discovered — The documents regarding the shares 2 of Narasimmachary son of Chindaloody Ram=gachary &c. 1/2 of Chinna Streenevasiengar 1/2 of Rama Chary 29/64 of Goorroonien, and 6/64 the share common to the Cutcha, in all 3 35/64 shares having been deposited in the house of Mo=nien Samain of Andapoonum, were con=sumed while the house took fire in the Month of Thy, year Soobacruthoo= I do not recollect in what year the re=ments in question were executed; and I did not enter them in the accounts too. As the shares might have lapsed to me in

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

in portions annually. I am precluded from stating the particulars regarding them

2. What is the cause of some documents only having been deposited in the Monigar's house?

A. As they were obtained by me when I proceeded to Andapooram I kept them in his house.

2. It appears from the depositions of the Agearundars that prior to the execution of their Moochilikas you had similarly enjoyed the entire agearm

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

Agraram inclusive of jaury and jodigy from the year Vicrama to Parthiva; Have you also enjoyed it during the 6 years?

A. At that time too, the Agraramdars all united together and jointly executed a Moochilka to the effect that they did not require the Agraram as it did not yield to them even the amount of jodigy. In pursuance of that Moochilka, I did enjoy. Subsequently some public Servants having pointed out to me that objection would be raised to my enjoying an Agraram inclusive of the jaury portion and bearing a jodigy of Pagodas 412 and odd, I restored it to the agraramdars requiring them to pay the jodigy.

2 Have you the Moochilka which you then obtained from them jointly?

A When there was a combination of the ryots in the years Survajeethoo or Survadary they plundered and carried away all the documents in my house this document was then lost with the others.

Continuation

continuation of the investigation on the 4th August

2 It is stated that you have enjoyed the Agraram inclusive of the Jaury portion exceeding the Jodigy Rupees 1445—5—10 included in the permanent Beriz of your Mootah, the annual Jaury sum assigned to the Bramins viz Pagodas 376—30—25 equal to Rupees 1318—5—6 amounts to—

	Rs. a. p.
From the year Jaya or Fusly 1246 to Sattarara of Fusly 1260 being 17 Years.	22141-13-6
From the year Vicrama / Fusly 1230 / to Partheva / Fusly 1235 / or 6 years	7910-1-0
Rupees	30321-14-6

what do you say to this amount for which you have to be answerable to the Circar?

A. If the agraram was profitable the agramundars would have never relinquished it inclusive of the Jaury portion. He requested me very much to accept Rajeenamah stating that they were unable to pay the jodigy. I declined to accept Rajeenamah pointing out to them

them in several ways to go and present the Rajeenamahs to the Circar themselves and that I should be satisfied with a remission of the Jodigy from the permanent Bariz of my Mootah. As they stated that they did not know the Circar and that I being the Mootahdar I myself should accept the Rajeenamah, I did so—

2. Did you report to the then Collector the circumstance of their having stated the above?

A. I was not aware of the rule requiring that I should report to the Collector, as the Circar attach my Mootah in the event of its Beriz falling into arrears, I did not consider it necessary to report as the Agraram was included in my Mootah—

2. By Section IV and XII, Regulation XXV of 1802, Para 3 of the Istemorar Sunnud and the decision of the Sudr Court in Appeal No. 6 of 1821, it is understood that the Mootahdar is entitled only to the Jodigy of Villages and Sundry Enams included in the permanent Beriz of the Mootah, but not to the Jaury amount—

These

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

These enactments are now read to you have and give your reply?

A. I have heard the above Regulat= read. I have not hitherto been aware of the provisions therein contained. During the I have been in the enjoyment of the Agraarm, had I derived the profit mentio= in the foregoing query, I shall submit to any orders of the Concar in regard to the amount.

2 Whether you have derived profi= or not there is reason to hold you ac= =countable for the amount, how is your assertion that you have enjoyed no profit therefrom to be believed?

A. I have in my profession Putt= accounts for the lands I made over to the ryots annually for cultivation, and for the Swarnadayem. If those Putt= accounts and the Puttahs issued by me to the ryots are inspected, the fact will be known.

2 By whom do you get the Curnum duties of the agraarm performed?

A. There is no pay or manium

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

to the Curnum assigned by the Concar, there is no Curnum appointed. There is one Vyra= paroomapilly who is called the Merasidar, he is unable to write accounts, I get the accounts written by my own man.

2 What account do you posses to ascertain the Teerwa fixed on the lands of the agraarm at the Survey, and to give out the lands for cultivation?

A. When the Agraarmdars put me in posession of the Village they wrote and gave me an account of the Teerwa of the differ= =ent fields. It is with reference to this account that I have written the Putcut, but the account furnished by the Agraarmdars was consumed in fire, it is not with me now.

2. Have you now given out the lands for cultivation at the faisul rates or on reduced rates.

A. Only some lands which posses the means of irrigation, have been given to Bram= =mins at the faisul rates the other lands have been given on reduced rates.

2 Have all the lands been given for money payment, or have lands been given on Wornum tenure also?

A. I had lands cultivated for Wornum as well as

as for Money payments, the particulars of which I have entered in the Putcut

2 Have you cultivation on your own account?

A. I have no cultivation of my own

2 What Sources of irrigation do the Nunjah lands of the jodigy Agraram possess?

A. They possess on Tank and one Anicut

2 Are they in repair?

A. The are all filled up and do not retain water

2 Have you executed repairs to them since your acquisition of the Agraram?

A. I have executed some repairs, their cost is almost 500 Rupees, the Tank and Anicut are common to the Sirva Manium and jodigy portions of the Agraram, as the water of the Anicut and Tank irrigates the Sirva Manium lands also, it has been usual to share the cost of the repairs executed, between the Sirva Maniemdars and the proprietors of the Jodigy lands

2 It appears from the deposition of the Agramdars that the Jodigy of each share of the agraram is Rupees 45 2. 6; what profit over and above the jodigy has

has each share yielded to you since your acquisition of the agraram?

A. It will appear on reference to the accounts

2 The lands, Nunjah, Poonjah and Tottacul of the agraram have been long in your enjoyment what is their condition?

A. The Nunjah lands are not of a superior quality, they are entirely Cullur, they are not like the Nunjah lands of the adjoining villages of Mayttoopatty, Aroor &c., these are superior lands and bear an easy assessment as the lands of the Agraram bear besides a heavy assessment, the Nunjah and Poonjah lie waste

2 What extent of the lands of the Agraram lie waste?

A. A fourth of the Nunjah may have lain waste, also 10 Villums of Poonjah.

2 Of what years do you possess the Putcut accounts?

A. The Putcut of the year Sathanah alone has not been written, the Putcut of all the other years has been written. —

continuation

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Continuation of the investigation on the 10th October 1851.

2 You have none furnished on paper with your signature copy of the Putcut accounts as kept by you from fusly 1249; are they all correct accounts?

A. All the Putcut accounts I have delivered are correct ones; there is not the least error in them, there is not also any discrepancy in the Kuraput accounts furnished; they are correct ones.—

2 On a comparison of some Puttahs produced by the ryots with the Putcut, there appears an excess in the amount of the Putcut over that of the Puttah what is the cause of it—

A. If any lands have been ploughed over and above those contained in the Puttah, we add them only to the Putcut there will be no Puttahs for them, hence there will appear an excess—

2 Why have not the Putcut accounts for the three years from fusly 1246 to 1246 been written so as to specify the Nunjah, Poonjah and Tottum fields?

The

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

A. The entire Village was not in my profession during the three years in question some share only were acquired by me, and some were in the profession of the Agraarumdars. For the shares acquired by me the Agraarumdars used to furnish me with accounts shewing the dues from the different ryots to whom the shares had been previously made over for cultivation by them according to those accounts, the Nugud or money alone has been entered in the Putcut. It has not therefore been possible to specify the Nunjah Poonjah &c.

2 Some Puttahs purport to have been issued by Samien &co. under your orders. Have you given orders to that effect?

A. As they performed the Moniem duties in my Thurf, I have given orders to them to issue Puttahs as above during my absence, these Puttahs too should be recognized as Puttahs issued by me—

2. You have stated that the Agraarm was in your profession from the year Vicrama to Parthiva; why have you not delivered the Putcut of those 6 years; state what Beriz was produced during

during those 6 years?

A. When the ryots combined and plundered in the year Surnajeethoo, they carried away those accounts also. At that time too, the proceeds could not have been greater than the Jodigy. I have neither the Putcut, nor the accounts of Collections and balance connected therewith.

2 In the accounts now prepared, you have furnished the charges of Village Sibbundy; have they all been disbursed in the respective fusles?

A They have as above been disbursed to them.

2 Why have you not got the Putcut of every year written by Vyraperoomapillay who performs the Motumpha Conrnekans duties?

A. The above individual has no pay he has not asked me to dictate the Putcut accounts. I have not dictated, some time ago, an Istyar was received requiring the Mootahdars to communicate the Putcut accounts; when he wrote the Putcut accounts of one or two years' even

even these do not appear to have been written fully.

2 According to the Putcut accounts now furnished by you, the Ain land revenue from Fusly 1214 to 1259 amounts to Rupees 19677-8-6 and under the head of Moturpha the amount is Rupees 1379-15-; under the head of Maraput it is Rupees 50-14-11, or in all Rupees 21108-6-5. Have you made no collections whatever above that amount?

A I have not collected a cash over that amount.

2 Although the Putcut for Fusly 1260 has not been written, state what has been realized from the ryots?

A There may be balances still outstanding against the ryots, the Nugud or demand has not yet been formed, the amount of Collections has not yet been Calculated, it is not therefore practicable to state the amount of Collections.

Investigation immediately continued.

2 In your foregoing replies you have stated that balances having occurred on

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

on the 2 26/64 shares belonging to Raja Charry, a plaint was preferred in the Nameul=
Moonsiffs Court and the shares were sold under the orders of the Court when they were purchased for 40 Rupees by Verapper, from whom you obtained a Poodogal Moo=
=chilika, and that the Moochilika was lost; Have you got the Courts decree in respect thereto, or Certificates &c. documents for=
having purchased the shares at the sale?

A. I have no documents in my=
=possession, the above Rajahcharry having preferred a complaint during the adminis=
=tration of Mr. Cockburn, year Unknown, in regard to my having had his shares=
Cultivated, or in some other effect, an=
enquiry having been instituted his petition was registered, it having been decided that I myself the Moottahdar who joined the Puttahs was entitled to the lands, and that the former jodiggdar Raja Charry had no right to the lands. It will appear on referring to the records.

(Signed) Teeroomulreddy.

Investigation.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

Investigation before the Acting Sub=
collector on the 10th October 1851.

2 Is this the deposition that has been=
given before the Huzzoor Sheristadar?

A. It is the deposition I have given=

2 From the above deposition and the=
Putcut accounts furnished by you, you have to stand answerable for the following sums?

For 16 years from fusly 1244 to 1259
deducting Jodiggy Rs. 20722—2—9
from the Thurum Beriz of
the agraram 39629—6—0 the
excess Rupees ——————— 18907—3—3

For 6 years from fusly 1230 to 1235
deducting Jodiggy Rupees 8672—1—0
from Thurum Beriz Rupees
16582—11—6, the difference Rupees— 7910—10—6

Total under both heads Rs. 26817—13—9

what say you to it?

A. If cultivation has been carried on=
and I realized to that extent, I shall stand=
=answerable for the amount so also for=
fusly 1260.

2 This subject will be adjusted=
according to the decision given by the Collector.

7053

7057

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

Collector, Henceforward from fusly 1261, do you agree to the difference viz. Rupees 1,315 - - 10 between the Jirum Beriz and Jodigy being added to the permanent Beriz of your Mootah r

A.

As it / Agraram / does not yield a sum sufficient even for the Jodigy I do not agree to the above measure, I agree to the Circar assuming the management of the Jodigy Agram and allowing a remission in the permanent Beriz of my Mootah r

/ Signed / Seroomalreddy

/ Signed / W. E. Cochrane

Asst. Sub. Collector

/ True translation /

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips.

Collector.

S & R

7058.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

B. No 1222.

Proceedings thereon.

(40-41)

Resolved that the letter above record= =ed together with its enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council -

2- Under all the circumstances detail= =ed in the letter and enclosures above record= =ed and particularly with reference to the fact that the Mootahdar appears to have been res= =ponsible to Government for the amount of Dait= =rent on the Agraharam which it is not shewn that he had any means of Collecting except by un= =dertaking the cultivation of it himself, the Board are not prepared to concur in the justice or pro= =priety of holding him responsible for so large a sum as Rupees 20,308-6-11, which the Collector has fixed on the supposition that the Land belonging to the Agraharam yielded a profit to the full extent. The Board are of opinion that the Agraharmdars would not have aban= =doned the Land if it had yielded a profit, and that the Mootahdar could not therefore have obtained profit to the full extent as supposed and as he is now no longer alive, the Board recommend that the claim upon him by Go= =vernment for unlawful appropriation may be abandoned, but that the Agraharam be resumed and the lands incorporated with other Government lands paying full assess= =ment -

3- They also recommend in accordance with the suggestion contained in the 27th para of the Collector's letter that a reduction of Rupees 1445-5-8 equivalent to the Jodee= =hitherto levyable by the Mootahdar on the Agraharam in question be sanctioned in the Paishcush of the Mayloputty Mootah, commenc= =ing from the ensuing Fusly 1262 -

Read the following Tabular report -

Tabular

1059.

15: No: 1317.

42

Fort St George

Tabular Report of lapsed Enams

Taloob and Village Extent and value of the entire Enam	When and by whom granted, name of the original Grantee, Sunnud or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant Charity or service. If service nature of the service prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease and the relationship which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members.
1 2	3	4	5	6
1 Denhenottah Taloob Natrapoly-em Village. Poorah 6.3.1773 Landed	From the Report of the Tahsildar it appears that the Enam was granted by Veroopala Naick Polygar of Alum-baby to one Anuntah Chary but no Sunnuds or other documents connected with the grant as forthcoming nor is there any mention made of this in the Pymash accounts.	It was granted for Charity.	Narahary Acharry is the last holder, the precise date of his decease is not to be ascertained it is calculated at about thirty years ago he was the legitimate Son of the original grantee.	The whole share was in the enjoyment of the deceased.

Salem, Collectors Cutcherry, Daraapooram

17th June 1852.

in the District of Salem for Fusly 1261.

Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
7	8	9	10
Atchunnaik the widow of the deceased Narahary Acharry is about 60 years of age. Besides the Enam under notice she has other means of subsistence viz. a Buttawanty Enam on the Allahullay a village in the Taloob Denhanecottah and similar Rent free lands of the value of 57 Pagodas in Allahullay Villages in Taloob Houhanhully of the Mysore division. She also possesses some Punchangum Meelaz.	The Enam appears to have been granted by the Collector Mr Wargave in Fusly 1218 (A.D. 1808-1809) or 7 years after the permanent settlement of the Salem District. It was first enjoyed by Anuntah Charry who died in Fusly 1222, then by his son Narahary Acharry, who held it for a few years, when he would seem to have made it over in "Dhanam" to Streenevasah Charry the husband of his daughter Narahary Acharry demised prior to Fusly 1230, and it does not appear that Streenevasah Charry, who died of late years (though when is not precisely known) ever held possession or had the enjoyment of the Enam. In Fusly 1230 it was resumed by Mr Collector Cockburne as being a new Enam, subsequently its cultivation proceeds less the annual Jodhee (7 annas) have been brought to account as Sevoy Jemma. These circumstances came officially to my notice consequent on a claim being preferred by Atchunnaik the widow of the abovementioned Narahary Acharry to the renewal of the Enam in question in her own favor. I submit that she has no right whatever to it and beg to recommend that I be now authorized permanently to assess the Enam to the Revenue and thus remove the periodically recurring necessity for any further entry of the proceeds of the Enam in the Sevoy jummah of the District.		

2nd June 1852. (Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Remarks

7066-

Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

B. No. 1183-

Remarks thereon-

42-43.

The Enam now claimed having been resumed in July 1781 more than 30 years ago it was not necessary to submit this case for Orders. The assignment should at once be brought to the head of Agency-

Read the following letter

No. 20-

To

W. H. Bayley Esq.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

44

B. No. 1322-

From the Collector of South Arcot-

Reporting on Petition No. 110 of 1852 from Venkatarow for the arrears of Dittamanniam Pension-

In returning Petition No. 110 of 1852 with its enclosures I have the honor to offer the following observations on the application made by the Petitioner Venkatarow for the arrears of a Dittamanniem pension and its future payment-

2-

On referring to item No. 21 in the Statement sent to the Board on 12th April 1841. it will be observed that out of the Putchavarum Cavilgars granted for Cannies of Nunjah land bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 46-3-4. only of his Cavil Enam to one Krishna row

7066-

Fort St. George. 17th June 1852-

of Tirrovatoor. On the death of the late Cavilgar a claim was advanced by Venkata Row to this Enam. on the ground that it had been purchased from Kristna row by his maternal Grand father, Trimalrow and this claim was sanctioned in consequence, it would appear, of no opposing party appearing and it being clear that the land had been long alienated by the Cavilgar- The records of my Office do not show when the deed of sale was executed or whether Venkata row is the nearest heir of his maternal grandfather, Trimalrow; and I have not been able to make enquiries on these points as Venkata row appears to reside in Madura, or Tanjore and could not be found by the Chellum-brum Tahsildar who was directed to make enquiries of him-

It seems however to me

3-

that his present application is inadmissible under the orders of Government dated 19th September 1848, irrespective of any question about his being the heir and representative of Trimalrow.

The

1062. Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

The period laid down in that order for the lapse to Government of such allowances by default has been more than twice exceeded in this instance, and the failure of the Petitioner to attend and draw his allowance shows that he has other means of subsistence. Moreover Petitioner cannot plead ignorance as after having formerly brought forward his claim-

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore. 2. June, 1852. B. No. 1193.

Q. / Signed / C. Maltby Collector.

Proceedings thereon- (44-45)

No. 249- Ordered that the above letter be forwarded for the information of Government with reference to the Endorsement on Petition 110 of 1852.

B. No. 24 of 1852.

2. Adverting to the Inamdar having passed out of the Family to whom it was originally given, and to the probability that the failure of the Petitioner to attend and draw his allowance has arisen from his having other means of subsistence. the Board agree with the Collector that the

1063. Fort St. George. 17th June 1852.

the Orders of Government under date 19th September 1848 should not be relaxed in favor of Petitioner-

---

Read the following letter-

No. 42-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(46)

B. No. 1300- From the Collector of Tanjore-

Sir, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that Mr R. J. Sullivan late Acting Sub Collector of Tanjore having remained in charge of the Office from 1st to 7th March last. the Bill of Extra Tent allowance received with your letter of the 8th Ultimo is herewith returned duly certified-

Tanjore District. Trangambar. 1st June, 1852.

I have &c / Signed / J. F. Bishop. Collector

Statement-

7065

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

Ordered that the follow-ing letter be sent in consequence.

(46-47)

To, The Acting Civil Auditor.

Sir,

B.o N.o 1165.

To the Acting Civil Auditor. With reference to Mr. Brices letter of the 24th April 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return herewith the original bill of Mr. R. J. Sullivan, late Acting Sub Collector of Tanjore for Extra Tent allowance, which you will observe has been duly certified by the Collector.

2 A Statement of particulars is also forwarded, and the Board are of opinion that Mr. Sullivan is entitled to the allowance, Viz.t Rupees 36- 12-0.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
17th June 1852

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Read the following Return

Return

South
Collec
Cudd
2. Jun
B. No.
Proceed
(44-45)

B. No. 24 g

Fort St. George, 14 June 1852.

Statement shewing the Place and Number of Days for which Mr. R. J. Sullivan late Acting Sub Collector of Tanjore is entitled to Extra Tent Allowance for the Month of March 1852.

Name and Designation.	No. of Days on which the Officer received Allowance.	Place and Date.	Amount.
R. J. Sullivan Esqr. late Acting Sub Collector of Tanjore.	One.	From 1st to 31st March 1852.	36 12 0

Covery Station.
Sub Collectors Office.
25th May 1852.

(Signed) J. S. Grant
Acting Sub Collector.

Name and Designation.
R. J. Sullivan Esqr. late Acting Sub Collector of Tanjore.

No. of Days on which the Officer received Allowance.
One.

Place and Date.
From 1st to 31st March 1852.

Amount.
36 12 0

Covery Station.
Sub Collectors Office.
25th May 1852.

(Signed) J. S. Grant
Acting Sub Collector.

Statement shewing the Place and Number of Days for which Mr. R. J. Sullivan late Acting Sub Collector of Tanjore is entitled to Extra Tent Allowance for the Month of March 1852.

Fort St. George, 14 June 1852.

(Signed) A. C. Harrison
Cashier.

B. No. 24 g

Proceedings (44-45)

2. June

Cudd

Collec

South

7066

48

Fort St. Georg

Bd. No. 392 Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of

17th June 1852

Tanjore for the year ending 30th April 1852.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age year	Age month	Taluk	Amount of Collection	Pay per Month	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taluk year	month	days	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to the appointment of Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment and in what District.	year	month	days	Total Service. year	month	days
					Rs. A. P.	Rs.	year	m.	d.	years m. d.							
Veyasa Row of Singanellore in Combaconum	Brahmin	45	"	Trivady	368,285 1 10	120	2	12	11		Huzzoor stamp Gomastah in Tanjore	"	7	"			
											Ditto Revenue Dr in Dr	7	5	"			
											Morgumdar in Puttoocottah and Covaveysel Taluks in Dr	2	6	"			
											Dr Tahsildar of Singiputty in Ditto	1	5	"			
												11	11	"	24	11	"
Tattiengare of Covealum in Trichinopoly	Dr	41	"	Pamprasum	24,39,34 7 10	100	"	9	"	3 4 28	Peishcar of Vapatoor in Combaconum, Triengand in Shcally and Shelumpettah in Nyaveram Taluk in Tanjore	5	3	26			
											Deputy Tahsildar of Vellengamaud, Parallum and Shcally in Ditto	6	5	18			
												11	9	14	15	2	12
Chithumbaram Pellay of Nagumbady	Soodra	45	"	Trivalore	3,32,426 4 6	100	"	"	"	" 2 27	Peishcar in Trutrapondy and Nunnillum Taluks	17	1	28			
											Deputy Tahsildar of Nunnillum	1	7	25			
											Acting Tahsildar of Trivalore	"	1	8			
												18	11	1	19	1	28

Fort St George

17th June 1852

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age year	Age month	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay per month	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook year	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook month	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook day
					Rs A P	Rs			
Narrainu Row of Coimbatore	Brahmin	51	"	Heravaroo	30,993 14 "	120	6	2	"
Govinda Row of Chittoor	Ditto	38	"	Tutrapoundy	30,982 3 7	120	2	1	14
Sarna Row of Chittoor	Ditto	57	"	Puttoocottah	16,82,647	85	1	2	"
Rengiah Naido of Madras	Gentoo	28	"	Munnargoody	20,9,597 11 8	120	4	7	28

Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate years	m	d	Employment previous to the appointment of Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment and in what District				Total Service year	m	d
				year	Month	d			
13	3	"	Huzzoor Goomastah and Head Goomastah in Coimbatore	5	6	"			
			Davestanum Head Goomastah in Tanjore	2	10	"			
			Ditto Seristadar in D°	5	5	"			
			Sayer Amuldar in D°	1	1	"			
				14	10	"	28	1	"
2	3	18	Tamil Goomastah in the Court of Chittoor	1	6	"			
			Goomastah in the Huzzoor Treasury of Tanjore	"	3	19			
			Huzzoor Javabenavis in D°	13	.	27			
			Police ameen of Tanjore	1	11	12			
				16	9	28	19	1	16
19	4	"	Division Huzzoor Goomastah and Javabenavis in Tanjore	1	7	"			
			D° Head Javabenavis in Madura	3	9	"			
			Tahsildar in D°	1	4	"			
			D° in Trichinopoly	1	6	"			
			Davestanum Tahsildar in D°	"	3	"			
				8	5	"	27	9	"
4	10	15	Huzzoor Sayer Goomastah and Moonshee in Tinnevelly	4	1	13			
			Deputy Tahsildar of Paparapatum and Police ameen of ardangee in Puttoocottah Talook	2	"	"			
			Tahsildar of Collegherry and Sungum in Nellore	1	7	28			
				7	9	11	12	7	26

Fort St. George

17th June 1852

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age year	Age month	Taluk	Amount of Collection	Pay per month	Length of Service as Tahsildar in this present Taluk year	month	day	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate year	month	day	Employment previous to the appointment of Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment and in what District. year	month	day	Total Service year	month	day
					Rs a p	Rs												
Appoo Row of Tanjore	Brahmin	62	"	Nunnillum	252302	6 4	100	4	10	15	12	4	"	Huzzoor Sayer Gomastah in Tanjore	4	10	15			
														Javabnavis in the Myaveram Division in Dº	2	11	17			
														Magistrate Head Javabnavis in Dº	11	3	29			
														Police ameen of Negapatam in Dº	3	2	14			
														Acting Tahsildar of Trivalore in Ditto	"	1	"			
															22	5	15	34	9	15
Nagalinga Moodely of Attipauk Mum in Parallum Taluk	Sodra	51	"	Codavasel	222986	14 8	100	1	2	"	3	2	"	Salt Curnum of the Pans of Negapatam and Vedarmum	1	1	"			
														Sayer Dº of Cottallum and Combaconum	2	6	21			
														Salt Sumpraty in Naidavasel Sendalay and Arasanagherry Division	2	10	"			
														Salt Monagar of Covilput in Negapatam Division	2	"	"			
														Salt Peishcar of Sendalay Arasanagherry Naidavasel Negapatam and Adrampatam	5	7	20			
														Deputy Tahsildar of Codavasel Munargoody, Vadarmum, Mudian joomum, Kheally, Paralum and Kevalore Taluk	11	11	6			
														Acting Tahsildar of Velungemaun	"	2	19			
															20	3	6	29	5	6
Ragaviengar Heleyare	Brahmin	50	"	Tranquebar	12759	10 "	50	1	11	"	1	11	"	Sea Customs Curnum at Sopparaya	7	"	"			
														Peravoo Kralatta Naut Curnum in Tritra poondy	8	9	16			
														Acting Peishcar at Cullemedoo in Dº	"	6	"			
														Naut Curnum of Talanayer in Dº	"	9	6			
														Peishcar at Edoombavanum in Dº	2	4	27			
														2d Deputy Tahsildar in Dº	1	9	3			
															21	2	22	23	1	22

Fort St. George

17th June 1852

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age year	Age month	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay per Month	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to the appointment of Tahsildar and length of service in each employment and in what District	year	month	Dys	Total Service year	m	D.
					Rs a P	Rs	years m D	years m D							
Narrainna Pillay of Mannargoody	Soodra	64	"	Myaveram	2561781 0 8	110	15 6 "	18 8 "	Sayer Curnum, Monieam, Salt Sumpretty Peishcar and Deputy Tahsildar in Tanjore	22	1	"	40	9	"
									Candoomuthul ameen in Combaconum	"	5	"			
									Pymash Gomastah in Shcally	"	3	29			
									Deputy Tahsildar at Trivady and Puttiscottah	"	11	6			
Kistna Row of Mullicum	Brahmin	57	"	Cottalum	2911411 " 8	100	1 11 "	9 6 9	Peishcar at Combaconum and Velungemaun	3	11	23			
									Deputy Tahsildar at Shcally Parellum and Myaveram	9	2	"			
										14	9	28	24	4	7
									Moniagar of the aumany Villages in Trivady Trivalore and Vellengemaun	4	9	15			
									Curnum in Combaconum Talook	"	2	4			
									Candoomodel ameen in Keevalore and Valengemaun	"	2	14			
Chockalinga Pillay of Maleyoor	Soodra	49	"	Combaconum	2514658 10	110	" 10 26	" 10 26	Peishcar in Trivady Poulpa-nadum, Keevalore Cottalum Combaconum Shcally and Vel-lengamaun	14	7	18			
									Deputy Tahsildar of Shcally	2	6	3			
										22	4	1	23	2	27

7070

Fort St. George

17th June 1852

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age year	Age month	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay per month	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook year	month	day	Total length of Service as Talsildar in this Collectorate year	month	day	Employment previous to the appointment of Talsildar and length of Service in each employment and in what District.	year	month	day	Total Service year	month	day
					Rs A P	Rs A P	years	month	day	years	month	day							
Condulrow of Truchinopoly	Brahmin	45	"	Sheally	2514945 11 "	100	+ 4	4	15	6	6	"	Additional Gomastah in Madura	1	"	"			
													Adjutant's Writer in Military Department	2	"	"			
													Quarter Masters — Do — in Do —	"	6	"			
													Fort Adjutant — Do — in Do —	1	7	"			
													Engineer — Do — in Truchinopoly	"	6	"			
													Huzzoor Javabnavis in Truchinopoly	2	"	"			
													Gomastah of Laulgoody Talook in Do	"	8	"			
													Huzzoor Devastanum Gomastah in Do	1	"	"			
													Do — Do — Sheristadar in Do —	1	"	"			
													Huzzoor Head Javabnavis in Do —	1	"	"			
													Talsildar of Vauleconda Sroorum Moodely Vettoocutty and Canaud Talooks in Do	2	10	"			
														15	1	"	21	7	4
Vercut row of Durmapoory	Ditto	38	"	Parellum	2671680 2 1	100	4	10	15	7	6	"	Huzzoor Cymash Gomastah in Tanjore —	4	7	17			
													Mogumdar in Trutrapoondy and Nunnilum in Do —	3	"	16			
													Deputy Talsildar of Trivalore, Codavasal Mannargoody and Trutrapoondy and Police Canaud of Tirupputty in Do —	6	11	24			
													Acting Talsildar of Caupparapoorum Talook in Do —	"	2	25			
														11	10	22	22	4	22
Soobarow of Pranargoody	Ditto	52	"	Vellangemaud	2320197 11 7	100	"	9	"	5	10	20	Deputy Marraimut Superintendent at Coimbatore	2	2	15			
													Superintendent Do in Do —	12	6	27			
													Talsildar of Erode in Do —	"	1	"			
													Sheristadar of the Sub Collr in Do	1	6	27			
													Talsildar of Madacolum Talook in Madura	"	3	18			
														16	8	27	22	7	23

+ This is exclusive of the 6th month he was under Suspension

Tanjore, 30th April 1852.

C. C. Jr.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

48-49

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

No. 41

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

50

B2 No. 1227

From the Collector of Trichinopolly

Submits Report on Petition No. 519 of 1852 Dated 13th March last.

Sir.

In obedience to the endorsement on Petition No. 519 of 1852 dated 13th March last, I have the honor to submit my report.

2 Petitioners in this case are the resident inhabitants of the Village of Pinnavasseal and prefer claim to a certain Mantapum which has been Knocked down by my orders and the granite Stabs taken for the Secros Mangalum annicut, now under construction.

3 This edifice is Known by the name of Lalla Muntapum throughout the district and has been in a ruined state for upwards of thirty five years - never used for any purposes, and was usually a receptacle for filth and a place for sheep, cattle and

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

and asses to frequent. It was never at any time used for the Pagoda as stated by the Petitioners. And its very name proves it to have been built by the Carnatica Rajahs for the use of travellers.

It is deposed in evidence by many of the most respectable inhabitants of the neighbourhood that this is an unclaimed Mantapum, and belongs to the ruling power only. I have no hesitation whatever in declaring Petitioners have no right or title to the building or its materials and should they feel inclined to put their threat into execution of trying the case in the Court, I shall be prepared to answer the suit.

The Original Petition is herewith returned.

Trichinopoly District
Areaton
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
May 21st 1852

/Signed/ J. Bird
Collector
Proceedings

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

B. No. 1188

Proceedings thereon.

50-51

Although the Muntapum was dilapidated and of no further benefit to the public, the Board think that a reference should have been made to them ere it was destroyed, and its materials appropriated in the manner stated, and they desire that this course may be in future adopted.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon Petition No. 519 of 1852.

"The Board finds from the report of the Collector on this subject, that the Muntapum in question was the property of the State, was built formerly for the use of travellers, was latterly in a ruined condition and not made available for any public purpose. The buildings having been already taken down, further notice is unnecessary.

H.C.B.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Read the following Letter.

No. 111 of 1852

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St. George.

52

Bt. No. 1371
From the Collector of Madura -
Forwarding Copy of the Decree of the Sub: Court in O.S. No. 35 of 1849 together with Draft of proposed Appeal Petition relative to Puttanandal Village in the Shevagunga Zemindary

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit Copy of a Decree of the Subordinate Court of Madura nonsuiting the Zemindar of Shevagunga in an action brought by him for recovering of Puttanandal, consisting of 30 Cheys of Nunjah and 60 Coorookums of Poonjah Land, and as alleged by the Plaintiff to be a Hamlet of the Ayn Village of Canoor in the Shevagunga Zemindary, with the Draft of a Petition which the Guardian proposes to file in Appeal.

2. The contest for this Hamlet began so far back as the time of the Istimrar Zemindar who made the assertion that it was included in his Istimrar accounts as a Hamlet of Canoor. A decision was given against him in O.S. No. 53 of 1823 by the Zillah Court of Madura and

and he made an Appeal to the Provincial Court of Southern Division during the pendency of which he and his opponents (who are Mahajanums of a neighbouring Village and claim the land as included in their limits) executed an agreement on Stamped Paper by which this Hamlet was made over to the Zemindar, the other party retaining some other land which they (it is asserted) had wrongfully seized, and it was stipulated that this agreement should be observed whatever might be the Decree of the Provincial Court. Accordingly the Zemindar took no pains in the Appeal which was given against him; but the Hamlet in dispute was agreeably to the compromise actually placed in his possession and enjoyed by him and a Person to whom he alienated it on Seemithum tenure for a series of years. The other party then brought a suit for it in the Sub Court which in the first instance awarded it to them on the strength of the Decree of the Provincial Court and the fact of the compromise not having been sanctioned by it,

but

but being directed by the Civil Judge to decide the case on its merits, as the order requiring the sanction of the Court to the compromise was of subsequent date, has passed the Decree now appealed against.

3. For a more detailed account of the Proceedings which have taken place from the commencement I refer the Board to the Abstract of the Plaint in the Sub: Court Decree.

4. From the Appeal Petition (which is drawn up by myself on facts stated in a very long one prepared by the Guardian) the Court will observe, that I think the reasons given by the Sub: Court for rejecting the Agreement, which is written on stamped paper are very inconclusive, and I am the more inclined to believe as an authentic document because the 1st Witness thereto is a Vakeel of the Sessions Court of so respectable a character that he has been selected to act as Government Vakeel, Such being the case it appears to me that the Guardian should be allowed to make the Appeal.

I t

5. It will occur to the Court to ask why the Istimrar Accounts are not filed? The answer is that Mr Lushington placed no Istimrar Accounts on the Records, and that those afterwards obtained from the Zimindar in Fusly 1226, have been so much impugned by Mr Peter and others that their evidence was rejected by the Court in the Suit for this very land in 1823. Consequently it is considered preferable to rely entirely in the agreement. The want of Istimrar Accounts of established credit embarrasses me exceedingly in every investigation in which I am engaged in regard to the Shevagunga Estate.

Madura;
7th June, 1852. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 1.

No. 1

Madura Subordinate Court Decree
Subordinate Court Original Suit No. 35.
of 1849.

Sivanathoo Rajah Mootoo
vejia Ragoonada Govery Tal
alaba Paria Oodia Thaver ze: Plaintiffs
minder of Shevagunga. And Vakeel
on his demise, his Son and heir Sunkara
Rajah Mootoo Vejia Ragoo: Sastry
nada Bodagooroo Sawmy
Paria Oodia Thaver a Minor

By
His Guardian Coottee Sosier

Versus

1st Sanampathy Sastry, 2d Arunda
narraina Tandyaar, 3d Appa
nauthyar, 4th Soobbien, 5th
Soobbasastry, 6th Avoonachella
Royer, 7th Soobbah Sosier, 8
Soobbah Royer, 9th Soobroya
Sastry, 10 Ammaniammal,
11 Ramasawmy Igen, 12
Somasoondramien, 13 Soon
dramien, 14 Soondraram
thangal, 15 Lungh Rone, 16
Paridacurpen, 17 Cunsaun

Defendants.

Vakeel for 2d 3d 4th 8th Defendants Annadey
Vakeel for the other Defendants.

18 Paria Caroopen, 19 Chinna
=mien, 20 Paria Pyel, 21 Natch.
appen, 22 Paramattam (deceased)
his widow and heir Veerayee) 23
Swighy Coan, 24 Samayan, 25
Coopparen, 26 Chinnanara
=yuttien, 27 Veeranum, 28 Chin.
=naniem, 29 Veerappen, 30 Peria
Caroopen, (deceased his widow
and heir Chellayee) 31 Vellyan
Ambalam, 32 Maneagarnen,
33 Moottoocaroppen, (deceased
his widow and heir C
34 Vell
=doomarayer,
35 Paria Caroopen,
36 Veerabaddren, 37 Chockum.
=putty Veeranun, 38 Malyalum=
=gharum, 39 Moottoocaroop.
=pen Sheeroy, 40 Goora=
=nadem Sheiroy, 41 Veera=
=baddren, Sheiroy, 42 Aunde=
=appen, 43 Veeranen umbalum,
44 Thony paundien, alias
Gowery Tullabah Thaver,
Zemindar of Padamatoor
& 45 Chinnasawmy Thaver

This

This is an action brought by Plaintiff, for a Decree to be passed, directing the Village of Puttanaindul in Plaintiffs possession comprising 30 Cheys of Nunjah and 60 Coorookums of Poonjah land annually yielding Rupees 1015, together with all the appertenances thereunto belonging, to be appended to the Amin of the Shevagunga Zemindary without being restored to the Defendants from 1 to 13

Malateroomanaindul, or Sadunghy and Puttanaindul, the hamlets of Canoor Village, were entered as Amin in the Jemmar account of the Shevagunga Zemindary, and were ever since attached to Canoor. The 1st Defendants' father and others who are the Mahajanums of the neighbouring Durmasanum Villages Caroongoolum, and Puttanaindul sued the younger paternal grand father of the Plaintiff and late Zemindar of Shevagungah in original Suit No. 44 of 1819 on the file of the late Zillah Court for the recovery of the above alluded to Malateroomanaindul as being

the

the hamlet of the above said Dur-
-masamum Villages - A Decree
was passed by the Register reject-
-ing their claim, stating that it ap-
-pertained to the Ari land as a hamlet
of Canoor: this Decree was confirm-
-ed in Appeal.

2. Again in 1819 a Suit was
brought by the same parties against
the Zemindar for the recovery of 10
Cheys of Nunjah land (boundaries
given) belonging to the Carroongolum
and Puttanaindul Villages but this
Suit was subsequently withdrawn

3. The Zemindar in con-
-sequence of the wrongful possession
which the Mahajanams had taken
of the Sadunghy and Puttanaindul
Villages sued them in Original
Suit No. 53 of 1823 for the
recovery thereof with costs
of produce - A Decree was
passed awarding to him
the 10 Cheys claimed by
the Mahajanams in 1819, in
against this Decree he appealed
in

in Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825 on
the file of the late Provincial
Court.

4. While this Suit was
pending before the Provincial Court
the Mahajanams offered to give up
to the Zemindar the Village called
Pettanaindul on condition of
their being allowed to retain Sa-
-dunghy and having remitted to
them 660 and odd Chookie pons the
arrears of Durmasamum tax due
by them on account of the Villages
of Carroongolum and Puttanaindul
also that they should receive
a receipt for the same and that the
Zemindar should forego all claim
to loss of produce - Accordingly the fa-
-ther of the 1st Defendant the mother of the
3d his grand father the 4th and 6th Defend-
-ants the father-in-law of the 10th the Grand
-father of the 12th the father of the 13th the
4th Defendant and same others 17 in number
(who were Respondents in Appeal Suit No
3 of 1825) passed an agreement on Stamp
paper to the Zemindar (Appellant) stipu-
-lating that he should enjoy the 10 Cheys
before

before alluded to as well as the Nunjah and Poonjah lands appertaining to the Village of Puttanaindel, by annexing it to the Aun as a hamlet of Canoor Village, and that they and others should enjoy the other lands in the bed of the Tank of the said Puttanaindal together with the Village of Sadumghy-

The Mahajanums having received a Counter agreement from the Zemindar and receiving from the Durmasanum Cutcheiry of the Zemindar a receipt certifying the payment of 660 and odd Pons, gave up the Village of Pettanaindal, and the 10 Cheys of land in the beds of its Tank.

5. Some of the Mahajanums who were Respondents in Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825 being females and some absent at different places and unable to nominate a Vakeel, no Razeenamah could be filed conjointly with the Zemindar in the Appeal Suit, But as he looked upon the Documents passed between him and them as valid, and as there was a clear understanding that the agreement should be acted up to, whatever might be the ultimate

ultimate decision in the Appeal Suit, the Zemindar took no pains to produce any proofs in support of his claim before the Appeal Court, a Decree was consequently passed on the 24th July of 1827 confirming the original. The Zemindar preferred no Special Appeal nor did the Mahajanums apply for the execution of the Decree.

6. The Zemindar (Appellant) died in 1829 some time previous to which the Zemindary was sequestered for the arrears of Peishcush, the Village of Puttanaindal and the 10 Cheys of land in the bed of its Tank, were also held under attachment. The father of Plaintiff who was the Successor of the Zemindar enjoyed the said Village and lands for a period of 2 years when he allowed them as Jeyetum for the subsistence of the 4th Defendant an illegitimate son of his younger brother. Upon this, the Defendants 1 to 14 petitioned the Collector of Madura regarding these lands under Regulation V of 1822 and their Petition was

was dismissed - Six years after this the father of the 9th the husband of the 10th the Guardian of the 13th Defendants and others, instituted a Suit No. 12 of 1842 on the file of the late Zillah Court against the 14th Defendant and 32 others for the recovery of Puttanaindul Village, and the 10 Cheys of land: this Suit was filed when the Zemindary was under sequestration, and the Plaintiff was not included as a Defendant therein the Suit was; after the pleadings had been filed, referred to the Sudr Ameen, but on certain repre-sentations by the Collector of the District, this the Government Talook, the Suit was called up before the Subordinate Court. The Plaintiff being put in possession of the Zemindary in 1844, was directed with reference to a Motion, dated November 24th 1844, to prepare an answer but the Subordinate Court before receiving Plaintiff's answer, decreed that the Mahajanams were entitled to the lands of the Village claimed by them in Original Suit No. 12 of 1842 - The Circuit Court

Court with reference to Plaintiffs motion suspended the execution of the decree directing him to bring a regular Suit for the recovery of the lands within two Months, the Plaintiff observed that with reference to the Circular Order of the Sudr Addawlut dated 15th August 1837, ruling that any compromise entered into a Suit by the parties subsequent to a passing of the Decree will not be held valid unless represented to the Court, therefore any compromises en-tered into by the parties previous to the passing of the Decree, are valid and any Decrees passed by the Court con-trary to such agreements are invalid - In these circumstances the Plaintiff prays the Court for a Decree awarding to him the Village of Puttanaindul &c as set forth in the Preamble of the Plaint-

Filed 13th April 1847.

8 The 1st 3d 6th 8th 11th 13th 24th 28th 31st and 37th Defendants deny the truth of the Plaint in toto - They state that the Villages of Caroongolum and Puttanaindul

Puttanaindul were long held as Dur-
=masanum by the Mahajanoms to-
=gether with the 5 hamlets, Sadungy
Puttanaindul, Malateroomaunaindul, Keela-
=teroomanaindul and Venkitty. The Mahaja-
=noms at the request of the Carnatic ruler
gave up the hamlet of Keelateroomaunaindul
to be annexed to the Ain Canoor Village in
return for certain remission in the
Durmasanum tax.

9. In fusly 1224 subsequent to
the assumption of the Country by the Com-
=pany the Collector instituted an enquiry
regarding the Durmasanum Villages and
on the 12th October 1814 the Villages with
their 5 hamlets (as mentioned in Par.
1 of the answer) were entered in the Ma-
=nium Registry accounts as Durmasanum
Villages these were declared (with the ex-
=ception of Keelateroomaunaindul Ceded
to the Zemindar) to be the property of Venkata-
=soobbasastry the father of the 13th Defend-
=ant and other Mahajanoms.

10. The late Zemindar Goviery
Vullaba

Vullaba Tayer instigated by the 40th Defend-
=ant and others inimical to the Mahajanums
forcibly enjoyed the Malewarum produce
of some of the lands of Malateroomananain-
=dul as appertaining to the Village Ceded to
him and of the 10 Chays of Coodepathree
in the bed of the Tank of Puttanaindul. The
Mahajanums filed a Suit No. 44 of 1819 regard-
=ing these lands and another No. 45 of the
same year on the file of the late Zillah
Court regarding the lands of Puttanain-
=dul against the Zemindar. A Decree was pass-
=ed in Suit No. 44, that the land therein sued
for belongs to the Zemindary and all the
rest to the Durmasanom. As the
lands sued for in No. 45 had come into
the possession of the Mahajanums they
withdrew the Suit.-

11 A decree was passed on the
31st December 1834 In Original Suit No. 53
of 1823 by the Zillah Court to the effect that
the 2 Villages Sadungy and Puttainain-
=dul were attached to the Durmasanom
and that the Defendats might bring
a

a Suit for the 10 Cheys of land regarding which the Suit No. 45 of 1819 had been filed but afterwards withdrawn

12th

Against this decree the Zemindar appeals as did the Mahajanums but only against that part of the Decree which directed them to bring a Suit for the recovery of 10 Cheys of land so much decree of the Zillah Court as declared the two Villages to be Durmasomum was by Decree of the provincial Court in appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825 confirmed the Mahajanums were directed to continue in the enjoyment of the 10 Cheys of land and the Zemindar directed to bring a regular Suit for their recovery.

13. While the Defendant and others were in the enjoyment of the Villages beforementioned the Defendants from 15 to 43 at the instigation of the 14th and with the assistance of the 44th & 45th Defendants forcibly appropriated the produce of the said Puttanaindul. The 1st Defendant and others instituted a Suit No. 12 of 1842 on the file of the

the late Zillah Court for recovery of the lands of the said Village. This Suit was refiled as Original Suit No. 9 of 1843 in the Subordinate Court and a Decree passed on the 31st March 1846 that the Defendants 1 to 13 were the sole proprietors of the land. Against this Decree no Appeal was made. The assertion made by the Plaintiff that the Mahajanums have during the pending of the said Appeal Suit passed an Agreement entitling him to the disputed Village and on that account obtained from him some remission of arrears of Tax is totally false, the arrears were paid by them to the Zemindar, and they were furnished with a receipt for such payment If the agreement had really been executed one or other or both of the parties would have made mention of it before the Provincial Court. Any agreement entered into between parties while a suit is pending has been ruled by the Sudr Udalut to be invalid. The 14th Defendants and others enemies of the Mahajanums purchased the Stampt paper in

&

a fraudulent manner and fabricated this agreement, otherwise it would have been produced by the Plaintiff or his elder brother during certain enquiries held before the Collector in 1837 regarding this very Village the Plaintiff is not in possession of the Village nor has he at any time enjoyed the produce thereof

Filed 1st July 1847

14. The reply re-iterate the claims of Plaintiff to the land adding that one agreement was passed at the house of the 1st Defendant and a counter one at the palace of the Plaintiff.-

Filed 12th August 1847.

15. The Rejoinder of the 1st 3d 6th 8th 11th 13th 24th 28th 31st and 37 Defendants supports the answer but contains no important additional matter.-

Filed 21st September 1847

16. The other Defendants allowed the case to be tried exparte against them.-

Points for Plaintiff.-

17. 1. Prove by Documents and Witnesses that

that the disputed Puttanaindul Village has been entered as Ayen in the Istimirar Puttah at the time of its preparation that it was enjoyed as being annexed to the ayen that on the 12th October 1826, the 1st Defendants father and 16 others executed an agreement on a Stampt paper, allowing the late Zemindar to enjoy the said Puttanaindul Village wherein the disputed lands are situated and also delivered up the Village to him of their own accord that during the attachment under which the Zemindary subsequently fell the income of the said Village was realized being included in the Zuft and that the Plaintiffs father since continued to enjoy the same.-

2. File the orders passed upon the motions presented by Plaintiff to the Sub Court on the 24th November 1844 and to the Civil Court on the 20th August 1846 when the Original Suit No. 12 of 1842 on the file of the late Zillah Court instituted by the father of the 9th Defendant and others against the 14th Defendant Venkatasubbiah regarding

regarding the said Village was pending.

18. Points for the 1st 3d 6th 8th 11th 13th 24th 28th 31st 37th Defendants

1 Prove that the disputed Puttanaindul Village has been on the 12th October 1844 entered in the Maniem Registry account at the Huzzoor Cutcherry as Durmasanom Village belonging to the father of the 13th Defendant and other Mahajanums that it was accordingly enjoyed by the Mahajanums that the Decree in Original Suit No. 44 of 1819 on the file of the late Zillah Court declares that the said Puttanaindul Village is a Durmasanom of the Mahajanoms that the Lands thereof were then in their possession and that the disputed Agreement is false.

2. File the letter addressed by the Collector on the 21st January 1824 regarding the entry of the disputed Puttanaindul Village in the Istimirar account, the Decrees in Original Suit No. 53 of 1823 and Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825 and in Original Suit 12 of 1842 or 9 of 1843 on the file of the Subordinate Court.

No

19 No points for the other Defendants who were exparte.

(Signed) Narainasastry Vindoo
Sudr Ameen.

20. Plaintiff's Documents.

1. A Razeenamah agreement No. 49. passed to Gowry Vullaba Saver the late Zemindar of Shevagungah by Venkata Soobbien and 16 others Mahajanoms of Caroongoolum Puttanaindul Vge under date the 12th October 1826.

2. An authenticated Copy of the Answer (No. 79) put in by the 14th Defendant in Original Suit No. 9 of 1843. on the file of this Court as 30 Defendant.

21 Documents of the 1st 3d 6th 8th 11th 13th 24th 28th 31st and 37th Defendants.

1 Authenticated Copy of the Maniem Registry (No. 67) Account No. 310 prepared by the Collector of this Zillah in October 1814. shewing that Venkatasoobba Sastry father of the 13th Defendant and others are the Mahajanoms of the Durmasanom Villages including the disputed Puttanaindul.

Authenticated

2. Authenticated Copy of a letter /No. 68/ addressed by the Collector to the Zillah Judge of Madura on the 21st January 1824 stating — the Ladingly and Puttanaindul are entered in the Registry made in his Office in 1814 as hamlets of Caroongoolum and — Puttanaindul Durmasanom Villages.

3 Do. of the Decree /No. 69/ passed in Original Suit No. 53 of 1823 on the file of the late Zillah Court under date the 31st — December 1824 — nonsuiting the Plaintiff's Zemindary claim to the 2 Villages Puttah — naindul and Ladingly.

4. Authenticated Copy of the Decree /No. 70/ passed in an Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court under date the 24th February 1827 affirming the original Decree of the — Judge.

5. Do. of the Decree /No. 71/ passed in Original Suit No. 12 of 1842 on the file of the late Zillah Court or No. 9 of 1843 on the file of this Court under date the 31st March 1846 awarding the disputed

lands

lands to the Plaintiffs Mahajanums.—

6 Authenticated Copy of the objecting motions No. 72 put in by the Plaintiff in this No. in Original Suit No. 9 of 1843 on the file of this Court under date the 21st November 1844.

7 Do. Copy of the Diary order /No. 73/ passed upon the aforesaid motion by the — Subordinate Judge under date the 31st March 1846 referring the Plaintiff to the Decree in Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court.—

8. Do. Copy of an Urzee /No. 74/ addressed on the 20th October 1845 by the Plaintiff to the Magistrate who called upon him for explanation regarding the complaint preferred against him by the 31st Defendant and — others.—

9. Do. Copy of a Deed of Sale /No. 75/ passed by Soondravanhangul the 14th Defendant to Soobbroyer elder paternal Uncle of the 11th Defendant under date the 21st Paralasy Oct. year 1829 Verothee disposing of to him one of his 4 Shares in Caroongoolum

and

and Puttanaindul Villages.

10. Authenticated Copy of the Extract No. 83 from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut under date the 30th April 1838 directing the late Provincial Court to instruct Principal Collector to attach the lands appertaining to the Shevagungah Zemindary which were alienated by Plaintiff's father.

11. Do. Copy of a Yakeed or Order No. 84 issued by the Collector to the Ameen of Canara Talook dated the 2d March 1839 directing him to hold under sequestration such Villages within his Talook as might have been granted by Plaintiffs father.

22. Plaintiff's Witnesses

1. Soobbanarasiah 2. Soobbien 3 Gopanla Syazar 4 Moottoocurpa Pillay 5 Canttavara-jah Pillay. 6 Caderabavana Pillay and 7 Parimanum Pillay.

23. Defendant named no witnesses.

24. After the Plaintiff had filed lists of Documents and Witnesses in this Suit which was pending before the Hindoo Sudr Ameen in No. 27 of 1847. the Government Vakeel applied

applied to the Civil Court to call up the Suit and refer it to the Subordinate Court on the ground that the Original Plaintiff was dead and that the Zemindar to whom the Village sued for belonged was under the management of the Collector subject to the Court of Wards. The application of the Government Vakeel was complied with.

25. For the reasons as stated in his Decree the Acting Subordinate Judge Mr. Ellis nonsuited Plaintiff with Costs under Circular Order of Sudr Udalut 15th August 1837 leaving him at liberty to sue for the recovery of the 10 Cheys of land under the Puttaindul Tank as awarded by the late Southern Provincial Court in Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825.

26 Against this Decree the Plaintiff appealed in No. 58 of 1850 on the file of the Civil Court, and the Civil Judge remanded the Suit with instructions to pass a Judgment denovo on the merits.

Decree

Decree

27. Plaintiffs claim to the Village of Puttanaindul as a[illegible] of his Zemindary rests chiefly on the agreement Bond No. 49 which he alleges to have been executed by 1st Defendants' father and other Mahajanums thereof on the 12th of October 1826 when the Suit instituted for it against them by his ancestors and afterwards decreed against himself was pending in appeal in No. 3 of 1828 before the Provincial Court which Court on the 24th Febrary 1827 decided that the Village was not a[illegible] but Durmasanom belonging to the Mahajanums and that the Zemindar is at liberty to sue them for the 10 Cheys of lands situated therein. He states that under this Agreement he possesses as all lands of the Village including the above 10 Cheys the Mailwarums thereon being paid to him and that it should still remain annexed to the [illegible] of his Zemindary and not made over over to Defendants from 1. to 3, according to the Decree in

S.S.B.

in Original Suit No. 9 of 1843 on the file of the Subordinate Court.-

28. To prove this agreement which made the 1st appearance in public in 1844 Plaintiff has filed [No. 79] Copy of an Answer given by Soondaravadayy in Suit No. 9 who admits therein not only the agreement but the transfer under it of the Village to the Zemindar. The Defendant on the other hand produced an authenticated Copy [No. 75] of a deed of sale passed by this self same Soondaravadayy to one Soobaroyer 3 years subsequent to the Agreement to the effect that the Village is Dhurmasanem that he held 4 shares in it and has sold one of them to him for permanent enjoyment.-

29. In the reply this Agreement is alleged to have been executed in the house of the 1st Defendant. Plaintiffs 1st Witness declares it to have been executed in his Brother's house while the 6th Witness will have it that it was executed in the Vakeel Severam Pillays. Plaintiffs 1st & 6th Witness denies having seen any of the Mahajanums

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Mahajanums sign this Document.- The 4th Witness deposes to it's having been drawn out in Madura carried to Shevagunga by Soondaravadavy and other Mahajanums and that there the executors affixed their signatures in presence of the Karbar Mootoo Curpa Pillay. The 5th Witness is that some of the Mahajanums signed it at Madura, and the remainder at Shevagunga; and the 6th that he saw Soondaravadavy and Venkatasoobien set their hands to it at Madura.-

30. The Court now proceeds to investigate the Counter agreement alleged to have been executed to the Mahajanums by the Zemindar. The 2d 3d 4th & 5th Witnesses depose to it's having been drawn out in the Zemindar's house at Shevagungah and signed by him immediately while the Plaint and other proceedings show that it was passed on the same date as the agreement in question namely the 12th October 1826 but even if it were probable that the agreement was written out and signed by some at Madura on the 12th then conveyed to Shevagungah near 30 Miles-

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Miles to the East, and there obtained the signature of others the counterpart being executed on the same day still this would be upset by the evidence of Plaintiffs 6th Witness who declares that by order of the Zemindar he accompanied Soondaravadavy and others to Madura for the purchase of Stampt Paper and execution of the Document in question that the agreement was then prepared and signed by Soondravedavy and an other that the former when called upon to account for the omission of signature of the other Mahajenums said that the chief person among them was absent at Maroongapoory 40 Miles due North of Madura, that after taking his signature he would prevail upon others to sign the Document and that accordingly he returned to Shevagungah and reported the same to the Zemindar. Similar discripancies in the deposition of Plaintiffs and other Witnesses throw overwhelming suspicions on the whole proceedings. The

Subordinate

subordinate Judge cannot lose sight of the fact that the Mahajenums had no interest in the execution of this Document which was directly opposed to the original Decree given already in their favor nor can he find any conceivable motive to induce the absentee to return with such race horse speed as to fly over a distance of 80 Miles in the short space of one day merely to join others on this occasion.-

31. The said document stipulates that a Razeenamah shewing the particulars of a compromise entered into shall be filed in the Appeal Suit No. 3 then pending before the late Provincial Court. This the Plaintiff did not effect although he was more interested than others in the result, he would have experienced no difficulty in so doing for the Appointment jointly with others of a Vakeel would have saved all further trouble. The failure to do so in such an important point assists the Court in coming to the conclusion that the Document itself is as false as the assertion that in a single day all the Mahajanoms from different Quarters and

and at great distances were collected for the occasion of it's execution. Four and a half Months subsequently the appeal Decree was given against the Zemindar. A Document open to so many serious objections can in no way be rendered valid by the mere neglect on the part of the Defendants to collect from the Plaintiff so trifling Amount as the Cost of Suit of the said Decree, particularly when consideration is given that that the sum Total to be collected is 36-4-0 which sum is to be divided among 17 individuals.-

32. From the Collector's letter No. 68 filed by Defendant it would appear that the Zemindar has long been desirous of annexing the Dhurmasanom Village in question to the Din of his Zemindary but hitherto without success and that he therefore resorted to the invention of the Document herein sued on.-

33. On a reference to Morley's Analytical Dygest Volume I part I Page 100 the Subordinate Judge finds a decision by the late Mr. Colebrooke to the following

following effect." a Compromise entered into between the parties to a suit while such suit was depending was set aside in consequence of one of them not having performed the conditions of it" 5th June 1807. Now, if a Compromise was rendered invalid for the reasons that it's conditions were not performed by one of the parties why should not the one now under investiga= tion in which neither party have ful= filled it's conditions meet with a similar fate.-

34. The Plaintiff's Witnesses en= deavour to support his assertion that the Village in question has been annexed to his Zemindary but it is impossible to place reliance upon such evidence in the total absence of accounts &c &c or Documents of any kind which he most certainly would have possessed to prove that the Malwarum was included in the din, neither is his enjoyment nor the alleged transfer thereof by his Ancestor to the 45th Defendant for subsistance have been made good by the productions of Documentary evidence which assuredly would

would have been prepaired to show the same during the period the Zemindary was under sequestration, and also at the time the lands alienated by his ancestor were attached with reference to Copy of Extract of Proceedings of Sudr Adalut and Collector's Order (No. 83 & 84) filed by Defendant.-

35. The Documentary evidence on the other hand filed by De= fendants prove at once the Mahaje= noms possession of and title to the Village in question as Dharmasonam The Subordinate Judge therefore nonsuits Plaintiff with all Costs and Concides with Mr. Ellis in directing him, should he feel so disposed, to bring a suit for the recovery of the 10 Chegs of land in the Pattanaindel Tank, this being the course pointed out as proper by the Provincial Court in Appeal Suit No. 3 of 1825.-

Costs.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

Costs incurred to the Minor Plaintiff through his Guardian.-

Value of Stampt Paper	66	"
Batta to the Peon who served the Summons &ca	7	12 "
Batta to the Witnesses	"	7 "
Vakeels fees	50	12 "
	124	15 "

Do. Do. by the 1st 3d 6th 8th 11th 13th 24th 28th 31st & 37th Defendants.

Value of Stampt paper	448	"
Batta to the Peon who served the notice &ca	1	" "
Vakeels fees	50	12 "
	96	4 "
Total Rupees	221	3 "

Deduct

Costs borne by the Minor Plaintiff through his Guardian 124 15 "

Balance to be paid by Do. Do. to the above said Defendants 96-4- "

No costs were incurred by either party in the reinvestigation of the Suit.-

Given under my hand in the Subordinate Court at Madura on the 10th day of February A.D. 1852.-

The

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

The Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby informed that in conformity with the order of the Sudr Udalut No. 348 no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid unless it first receives the Sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed.-

Signed and sealed in open Court this 31st day of March A.D. 1852.-

The period for preferring an appeal from this Decree will expire on the 30th day of April A.D. 1852 within which time the application for Appeal accompanied by a Copy of the Decree previously passed on the case must be presented to the Civil Court or the application will be rejected.-

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker.
Collector.-

No. 2.-

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

No. 2.-

Draft of a proposed Appeal from the Decision of the Sub: Court in O. S. No. 35 of 1849.-

Plaintiff Appellant. Cootlee Iseyer Guardian to Streemathoo Moodoo vejia Ragoonada Bo: =dagooroosawmy Perica Podia taver, Minor Ze: =mindar of Shevagungah.

Defendants Respondents Senapathy Sastry & 40. others Residents of Madura and Sub: =sisting by Agri: =culture.-

1. This Appeal is brought to obtain reversal of the Decree of the Sub: =ordinate Court in O. S. No. 35 of 1849 which nonsuits the claim preferred by the Ap: =pellant to the Hamlet of Puttanaindel (boundaries given in the Plaint) consisting of 30 Chays Nunjah and 60 Coorookums Poonjah Malagoozary lands with it's ap: =pertenances, and yielding an annual Revenue of 1,015 Rupees and an award of the same to the Appellant together with the Costs incurred by him in the Original Suit and rehearing & de: =posit for the amount of Costs which may be incurred by the Defendants is herewith

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

herewith made.-

2. It is unnecessary to report the narrative of the proceedings relative to this Village which were detailed in the Original plaint and have been abstracted without any essential error in the Sub: Judge's Decree.-

3. The Appellant's claim is founded on the fact, that the Hamlet with the land in question was included in the Istimrar Accounts as Ayn and included in the Village of Cannoor and having been wrongfully taken pos: =session of by some of the Defendants and the Ancestors of the others were re: =signed to the then Zemindar of Sheva: =gungah, Gowry Vallaba Taver, by an agreement dated the 12th October 1826.

4. This Agreement which is written on stamped paper Appel: =lant has proved by Witnesses. but the Subor: =dinate Court misappreciating the evidence and even incorrectly stating part of thereof in his Decree has declared the Agreement to be false, and chiefly on this ground

nonsuited

nonsuited the Appellant.-

5. The Appellant proceeds to e= notice the remarks of the Sub. Court alluded to above and to shew that they cannot be sustained.- .-

Twenty five years and upwards have passed since the execution of the Agreement. It is not to be expected that the evidence of Witnesses to a transaction which took place at so distant a period should agree with perfect exactness. Such an agreement on the contrary would beget the strongest suspecion of the truth of their story since experience shews that such complete correspondence is gene= =rally the result of conspiracy and fabrication.-

6. In the present case the difference in the Statements is was not such as should throw discredit on the Witnesses; and much of which is held up as discrepant by the Decree is owing to misquotation or misapprehension.-

7. Six Witnesses deposed to the execution of the Agreement. The Sub-

Sub Court notices that the reply declares it to have been executed in the house of the 1st Defendant, and the 1st Witness in that of 1st Defendant's Brother. The difference is apparent only; for the Brothers were undivided and lived in the same house, a fact which can be ascertained from the 1st Witness.

8. The Sub-Judge further points out that the 6th Witness says the e= Document was produced in the house of the Vakeel Sevarama Pillay. It is probable that the Draft thereof was made in the house of Sevarama Pillay, (who was a pleader in the late Zillah Court) because he was then the Zemindar's Vakeel, and the fair Copy of it on stamped paper in the house of the 1st Defendant.-

9. The Sub-Court next remarks that the 1st Witness denies having seen any of the Mahajanums sign the docu= =ment. This is natural and correct. The 1st Witness deposes only to seeing the Document copied at Madura; while it was signed at Shevagungah where he

he was not present. This agrees with the Statement of the 4th Witness who says it was drawn out at Madura and carried to Shevagungah by Soondravadavy and other Mahajenums.-

10. The observations of the Sub-Court that the 5th Witness deposed to some of the Mahajenums having signed at Madura and some at Shevagungah is incorrect. The Witness did not so depose, but only to the signature at Shevagungah. As the 5th Witness is a man of very advanced age it is reasonable that a proper allowance should be made for his failure of memory in stating things which passed 25 years ago, that the clear and corresponding statements of the Witnesses from 1 to 5 who are in full possession of their faculties and men of respectability should not be invalidated thereby.-

11. As regards the Counter agreement, the Sub-Court deems it extraordinary that the document should have been signed on the same date as the agreement

agreement. But there is no cause for surprize. The stamped paper was procured at Madura on the 11th October. When the agreement was written thereon, whether on that date or the 12th is not stated. If it was copied on the 11th or early on the 12th there would be no difficulty in its conveyance 27 Miles to Shevagungah and the procuring of the Counter agreement on the 12th.-

12. A difficulty in the matter which has no real existence is created by a misquotation by the Sub-Court from the evidence of the 6th Witness Viz. the Court mentions that the 6th Witness deposed that he was informed that one of the necessary Witnesses was at Maroongapoory (40 Miles distant) but on reference to a Copy of that Witnesses evidence no such assertion can be found. All the strong observation relative to the extraordinary journey of 80 Miles and adverse inferences drawn therefrom consequently fall to the ground.-

13. The failure to file a Razee-namah in the Appeal Suit before the Provincial

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.—

Provincial Court cannot be deemed extra-ordinary. The Defendants were 17 in Number including 2 Women. To get the signatures of so many to a Vakalut would be attended with some, if not very great, trouble, and it is not surprizing that they should have avoided all trouble when they had nothing to gain by it. The Zemindar on the other hand, was negligent because the Agreement Contained a clause that it should remain in force whatever the Decree of the Pro-vincial Court might be and it will be remembered that the Circular order of the Sudr. Udalut declaring Compromises of no avail if not produced before the Court had not then been passed and matters were not then carried 25 years ago so carefully as at present.

14. It is surprizing that while the Sub: Court deems the non-delivery to the Provincial Court by the Respondent of a Razeenamah by which they had nothing to gain of so much importance she should treat as quite natural their neglect to take out a Precept for Costs Amounting to

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.—

to Rupees 36 and 6as because he observes it was not worth the while of so many persons to give Vakalut for so small a sum. The Inhabitants of India it may be remarked are not apt to be indifferent to sums of this Amount and the Appellant submits that their failure to apply for a Precept affords strong evidence of a Compromise—

15. After the Compromise the Hamlet of Puttamaindel came in the professsion of the Zemindar and was included among his Punnay Lands till in 1831 the then Zemindar Moottoovadoogoo Taver gave it as Seirthum to Chinnasawmy Taver the 4 5th Defendant in the Original Suit who was the illegitimate son of his younger Brother. This person enjoyed it till Fusly 1254 (1844-45) when the late Zemindar resumed it.—

16. It was in the professsion of the 4 5th Defendant when the Zemindary was sequestered owing to the Suit of Unga-moottoo Natchear and was not en-rolled because not included in the list which she presented to the Sudr Court. The professsion of the Zemindar and

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

and 45th Defendant have been proved by Witnesses. As the lands in question form a Hamlet of Canoor only, and were given to the 45th Defendant without reservation of a quit Rent it will not appear specially in the Ayen Accounts.-

17. Appellant has not a Copy of Morley's Analytical Digest he is consequently unable to refer to the Decision of Mr. Colebrooke referred to by the Sub. Court as mentioned therein, but he strongly suspects that it is not applicable to the present case in which it is averred and has been proved that both parties did perform the conditions of the compromise for many years. Probably in the case referred to one Party failed to perform the condition from the very commencement. It can scarcely be that a Party could be allowed to adhere to a comprimise for a length of time and then abrogate it at his convenience.-

18. In conclusion the Appellant begs to express his hope, that this Court will take into it's deliberate consideration the above circumstances, the evidence of the documents & Witnesses, the respectability of the latter, and the admission of some of the Defendants themselves of the contested document & enjoyment of the Village in question & so pass a just Decision.-

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.-

Bd. No. 1178

Proceedings thereon.- The Court of Wards

(52-53)

approve of the Draft of the proposed Appeal in against the decision of the Subordinate Court of Madura in Original Suit No. 35 of 1849 and authorize the Collector of Madura to file that appeal and to conduct the Suit at the expense of the Shevagunga Zemindary.-

To

711 7719

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

2. To the evidence of a Compromise addu:=ced in Para 14 might be added that of the Defendants failing to seek execution of the Decree in appeal Suit No. 3 of 1125. It is observed that there are Several Villages designated Puttanda:=dal in M.r Par.r Ers List

Read

7720 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Read the following letter—

54

No. 43.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esq.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge Extract from the Board's Proceedings, dated the 5th Instant, and to report on the points advert=ed to—

Bd. No. 1209

From the Collector of Coimbatore

Explaining objections on the part of Burgers near Jackatala Barracks & pro=mising a re=port on the amount of Compensation due to them.

2. I have visited the spots in Com=pany with Captain Francis, and the Bur=ghers, and trust that the fears and diffi=culties felt by them are disappearing; the Kraal (an old one and not now used) was removed by workmen of the Camp, but it was by a mistake, and the owners (the Todars) have accepted Rupees 30—"—" in Compensation.

3. The road, alluded to, approach=ed near a small Burgher Village. Captain Francis has since, at my desire turned the line somewhat further off. it will now not molest the Village but as it runs partially thro' Cultivated land, some

Compensation

7121

Fort St. George 17th. June 1852.

Compensation is due, for which after due enquiry I have fixed Rupees 40 — a — a.

4 The "Stone" alluded to, has never been removed, but is where I saw it 2 years ago and will remain so, not being in the way of any Military works, but at a distance from them.

5. Compensation for all the points here alluded to, and some others fairly due, are under Consideration, and will be shortly reported on; and if the careful and efficient discipline now maintained by Captain Seymour in Command be only Continued, the Natives of the Hills will soon I trust, lose their dislike and fear of the Barracks. It is only due to Captain Seymour to say that though 200 Men have been lately added to the Detachment, the men are never seen in liquor, nor out of their own limits, and then only with leave; and never go in or near any of the neighbouring Burgher Villages.

Ootacamund 28th. May 1852.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Proceedings

7122

Fort St. George 17th. June 1852.

Bd. No. 1107

Proceedings thereon. In reference to para 2 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th. November 1851, the Board will be prepared to submit for the orders of Government, all claims which may be preferred, thro' the Collector, for Compensation as well for the purposes pointed out in the foregoing Communication, as for other purposes, the claims to which are under investigation, upon the Collector furnishing, as promised in para 5, a Concise report, specifying the several items, with his own opinion upon each.

Read the following letter

(54-55)

To No. 43.
W. H. Bayley Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(56)

Bd. No. 1314

From the Collector of Canara

Requests Confirmation of the Board of Revenue for the sale of the land No. 2 on the list forwarded with letter of the 19th. December 1851

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Confirmation of the Board of Revenue for the sale of the land No. 2 on the list forwarded with my letter of the 19th. December last, the sale of which was sanctioned by the Board in their Proceedings of the 2d. February,

7.

7123

Fort St. George 17th. June 1852.

February - The usual Statement of the sales herewith submitted -

2. The assessment on the land No. 2, and that on the land No. 3 on the list was readjusted as directed in Para 2 of the Board's Proceedings, and that in the former fixed at Rupees 74-7-2 and that in the latter at Rupees 39-0-5, and the land No. 2. was put up to sale on the 9th. March the date fixed by the Board, but no person whatever came forward, and it was only on the 15th. of April that Soodgode Krishnuppa made an offer on the Conditions that the full amount of assessment should not be Collected till Fusly 1265, and as that for the intervening 3 years, Viz. for Fuslies 1262-1263- and 1264 he should be allowed to pay Rupees 48-56- and 64 respectively on the Land -

3. The purchase amount so offered by Soodgode Krishnuppa covered the arrears due by the estate to Government Viz. Rupees 82-7-2, and the Conditions proposed by him being not unreasonable with

7124

Fort St. George 17th. June 1852.

with reference to the decayed state of the land, and its present rental* Rupees 35-10-2 I considered it advisable to accept the offer on the Conditions proposed, and the sale was accordingly concluded -

* for Fusly 1264

4. Soodgode Krishnuppa is a Pottail possessed of landed property, and it is hoped that under his management the land will be duly improved and the assessment perfectly secured I therefore beg to recommend that the sale be Confirmed -

5. I shall address the Board hereafter on the subject of the other lands for the sale of which their sanction was obtained, at the same time with that now under report -

Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
29th. May, 1852.

/Signed/ F. S. Malthby
Collector -

List

7126 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Bd. No. 1163 The Board of Re-
Proceedings thereon. venue confirm the sale of the land
(57.57) No. 2. upon the conditions pro-
posed in para 2. of the fore-
going letter, and authorize
the Collector, after carrying
to the credit of Government
the amount of arrears
against the late Proprietor
to disburse to him the remain-
ing sale proceeds.-

Read the fol-
lowing Statement -

Statement

7125 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

List of Candidates for Confirmation
of the Board in the Zillah of Canara.-

Sale when recommended.- Authority

No. in the List of the Collector.	Date of Col.r's Letter, accompanying it.	Authority for the Sale.	Name of the Estate sold for Arrears of Revenue.	Amount for which sold.	Date on which it was sold by the Collector.	Name of Purchaser.
1	19th December 1851	1859 February 2nd 1852	Soodgoree Tirthmoja	64 "	10 April	Soodgoree Tirthmoja

Signed F. C. Mallett
Collector.

Fee

7127 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

58 Bd. No. 1129

Statement exhibiting the Quantity of Salt in Store, available for exportation to Calcutta, over private trade at each of the several places it export in the District of Madras.

Places at which Salt is Shipped.	Quantity of Salt to be exported in the year 1852.	Quantity already Exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in Store and ready to be exported.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	
Ennore	100,000	None.	100,000	64,106 10/20	

Madras
26th May 1852. } (Signed) T. A. Hudleston
Collector.

58-59 Order thereon - Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.
Read the following letters.
T.

7128 Fort St. George 17th June 1852

No. 65

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

60

Bd. No. 1335
From the Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue the papers noted in the Margin relative to a Land Certificate applied for by J. H. Cochrane Esqre of the Madras Local Service for certain land in the Village of Nagarauzepully Talook of Chennoor in the District of Cuddapah and to request that the Sanction of Government may be obtained for his occupying the ground on the term specified in the Statement.

1 Explanatory Statement from the Register of Government Grants.
1 Letter from the Collector of Cuddapah 15th May 1852.
1 Application.
1 Plan.

Madras
7th June 1852. } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Description

7129

Fort St. George

No. 1.

Description of Ground the proprietor of which has applied for a Land certificate from the Honorable Company 17th June 1832.

Name of the Proprietor	Situation of the ground	since when Enclosed	Title under which it is held	Extent of the Ground. Acres	Cawnies	Annas	Nature of the Soil	How laid out whether any building upon it	Quit Rent proposed per annum	Remarks of the Collector of Madras	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government
J.H. Cochrane Esqre. of the Civil service	In the Village of Nagaranze Pully Talook of Chennoor	Since Fusly 1259	A piece of land held under a pottah annually issued at the time of the revenue Settlement	1/0	11	3/2	Unfertile and full of lime Stone	Dwelling and out houses and Garden	Rupees 25 2 4	It is recommended that the Sanction of Government may be granted for the issue of a Land certificate to the Applicant in the forms accompanying my Circular letter of the 30th June 1831.		

Madras 17th June 1832

(Signed) J.A. Hudleston
Collector

To

7130 Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

No. 2

No. 339.

To

The Register of Government

Grants.

Sir,

Madras

I have the honor to forward an application with the usual accompaniment from J. H. Cochrane Esqre for a grant for a piece of Ground in this District on which he has built a house, and to request that a grant may be given accordingly—

The entries in the application are all correct, and correspond with the facts of the case, and the amount of quit rent proposed is the assessment fixed on the land at the time of the Tarum Settlement.

Cuddapah District,
Collector's Office,
Circuit
Muddenpully 15th May 1852.

/Signed/ H. Forbes
Collector

To

7131. Fort St. George 17th June 1852

To The Collector of Cuddapah

Sir, I request you will procure the permission of Government for my occupying the Ground hereunder described—

Contents in	Situation of the ground	Nature of soil	How laid out and whether any buildings upon it	Boundaries on the
Square Yards				
Acres Roods Square Yards	In the Village of Nagarampully Taluk of Chennoor	Since when enclosed	Since July 1850.	North South East West
Land Tax Rs. 25 2 4				
10 11 3½			Unfurnished Dwelling and out houses and garden	
25 2 4				

I do hereby certify that the ground above described does not interfere with any public Works

/Signed/ H. Forbes
Collector

Cuddapah Road

No. 1 Plan

1132

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Bd. No. 1194
Proceedings thereon.

No. 218.

(60-61)

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in bouncil

2

The Board recommend that a Certificate in the form D, for land consisting of Acres 1.0.11 Cawny 3½ Acres situated in the Village of Nagarawzepilly Talook of Chennoor, in the District of Cuddapah, be granted to J. H. Cochrane Esqre. of the Civil Service, on a Quit rent of Rupees 25-2-4 per annum

---

(62)

No. 69

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 1357.

From the Collr. of Madras ——

Sir,

I have the honor to request the permission of the Board to insert the accompanying Notice in the Official Gazette for the issue of Licenses to retail Beer Wine and spirits under Act XIX of 1852.

Madras, —— 9th June 1852

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector

No. 1.

Notice is hereby given that parties wishing to take out Licenses for the retail Sale of Beer, Wine or Spirits under the provisions of Act XIX of 1852, should prefer their applications to this Office on or before the 11th July 1852.

Madras —— 9th June 1852

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Bd. No. 1169.
Proceedings thereon.

(62-63)

The Board of Revenue have no objection to the Collector of Madras publishing the notice forwarded with the above letter.

7133

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

No. 4

To,

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(64)

Gentlemen,

Bd. No. 1053.

From the Accountant General ——

I have the honor to forward to you a set of the Revenue Accounts of this Presidency for Fasly 1260. A.D. 1850/51. prepared in conformity with the directions contained in the Honorable Court's despatch under date the 22nd May 1818.—

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office, 3rd May, 1852

/Signed/ J. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General.

Statement

7134 | ...t St. George

No 1. Statement ...ge on account ... 17th June 1852

... of the Zummah of Fusly 1260 or from 12th July 1850 to 11th July 1851 and

| | Collection. | | |

7135

Fort St George

Shewing also the Collections from that date to the 31st October 1851

Permanently Settled.	Rents for more than one year.	Ryotwar.	Rents.	Gross annual Demand or Division of the Produce.
71092 4 9	" " 10 10	45221 2 9	6640 7 8	14 11 10
54177 10 7	" " "	50414 2	446 8 "	" " "
40012 13 4	" " "	1113 2 3	43887 11 "	" " "
217769 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	72711 14 4
" " "	" " "	88946 9 1	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	24 5 3
1187 2 6	592 10 2	1435 6	" " "	" " "
32793 1 2	5 " "	64970 9 3	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	134117 15 5	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	2386 2 8	29 3 1
104205 1 5	1159 9 1	266031 11 4	8219 6 3	1389 1 10
4613 10 8	" " "	6514 6 6	6698 15 1	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	91 8 "	" " "
2373 6 8	" " "	126243 1 1	2698 5 7	52126 10
" " "	" " "	5954 12 6	" " "	" " "
15198 7 1	" " "	57451 13 "	" " "	" " "
26057 11 1	" " "	124818 14 5	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	32256 15 "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	47 14 6	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	56 2 1	" " "	" " "
417 6 7	" " "	22235 3 10	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	18032 3 11	61850 9 2	5005 14 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	1466 2 2	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	1768 5 1	" " "
183444 3 3	" " "	16147 10 "	" " "	" " "
7684 7 11	" " "	21016 12 11	" " "	" " "
44852 10 6	" " "	4032 " 9	" " "	" " "
15000 " 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 5 7	116 8 7	8412 9 8	4580 15 7	830 9 "
" " "	" " "	18211 1 "	" " "	" " "
71757 6 "	1874 6 8	27647 14 5	" " "	" " "
		1056723 " 6	139268 14 1	8544 2 4

1st June 1852

th the Net balance then due.—

Balance. ttlement. Total.	Shotcem Jodegeeas	Total Land Revenue.	Deduct Remissions. Permanently Settled.	Rents for more than one year.	Fasly
					tal.
11176 6 3	42 2 2	82311 8 "	" " "	" " "	6 2 1
54187 12 2	896 7 5	11301 14 2	" " "	" " "	15 6
45000 13 3	277 4 9	85290 15 4	" " "	" " "	9 4
72711 14 4	" " "	290481 12 4	" " "	" " "	4 2
89194 14 4	19315 14 8	108510 13 "	" " "	" " "	7 1
1435 " 6	9571 10 7	11006 11 1	" " "	" " "	14 3
64970 9 3	15358 5 10	82108 11 9	" " "	" " "	4 10
136533 5 2	22237 12 2	191569 2 6	" " "	" " "	5 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 3
9608 8 1	" " "	9608 8 1	" " "	" " "	6 8
272730 10 5	5954 12 2	384050 1 1	" " "	" " "	5
6605 14 6	263 6 9	11482 15 11	" " "	" " "	11
134153 13 6	988 5 11	135142 3 5	" " "	" " "	9
59541 12 6	1471 9 9	9799 12 11	" " "	" " "	10
57451 13 "	" " "	57451 13 "	" " "	" " "	2
124818 14 5	12680 13 7	152698 3 1	" " "	" " "	0
32256 15 "	" " "	58314 10 1	" " "	" " "	7
47 14 6	" " "	47 14 6	" " "	" " "	1
56 2 1	" " "	56 2 1	" " "	" " "	
22235 3 10	" " "	22235 3 10	" " "	" " "	
84888 11 3	1906 10 6	86842 12 4	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	3234 7 3	" " "	" " "	
3234 7 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	16147 10 "	" " "	" " "	
16147 10 "	" " "	204461 " 2	" " "	" " "	
21016 12 11	" " "	11716 8 8	" " "	" " "	
4032 " 9	" " "	50264 3 1	" " "	" " "	
5411 8 7	" " "	23529 3 2	" " "	" " "	
8412 9 8	" " "	18218 6 7	" " "	" " "	
18211 1 "	" " "	27647 14 5	" " "	" " "	
27647 14 5	" " "	2146031 1 10	" " "	" " "	
1281434 " 11	90965 4 3				

Fort St George

Deduct Remissions Century under annual Settlement.

Ryotwar. Rents.	Amany or division of the produce.	Total.	Shotcums Judegas fees	Total Land Revenue.	Net Balance Standing Permanently Settled.	Rents for more than one year.
					71092 4 9	10 10
					5417 10 7	" "
					40012 13 14	" "
					21776 9 14	" "
					" " "	" "
					" " "	" "
					1187 2 6	592 10 2
					32793 1 2	5 " "
				Since debited	" " "	144 15 "
					" " "	" "
					104205 1 5	1159 9 1
					4613 10 8	" " "
					" " "	" "
					2373 6 8	" " "
					" " "	" "
					15198 7 1	" " "
					26057 11 1	" " "
					" " "	" "
					" " "	" "
					47 6 7	" " "
					" " "	" "
					" " "	" "
					" " "	" "
					" " "	" "
					183444 3 3	" " "
					7684 7 11	" " "
329 3 "		329 3 "		329 3 "	44852 10 6	" " "
					15000 " 11	116 8 7
					7 5 7	" " "
329 3 "		329 3 "		329 3 "	77757 6 "	1874 6 8
				Since debited		144 15 "

Net Balance Standing

Fort St George

1st June 1852

Left in the Country at the expiration of July 1260.

Deduct Remissions Century under annual Settlement.

Ryotwar. Rents.	Amany or division of the produce.	Total.	Shotcums Judegas fees	Total Land Revenue.
4521 2 9	6640 7 8	14 11 10	11176 6 3	42 2 2	8231 8 "
5041 2 2	446 8 "	" " "	5487 12 2	896 7 5	11801 14 2
1113 2 3	43887 11 "	72711 14 4	45000 13 3	277 4 9	85240 15 4
" " "	" " "	248 5 3	72711 14 4	" " "	29048 12 4
88946 9 1	" " "	" " "	89194 14 4	19315 14 8	108510 13 "
1435 " 6	" " "	" " "	1435 " 6	9571 10 7	11006 11 1
64970 9 3	" " "	29 3 1	64970 9 3	15358 5 10	82108 11 9
136533 5 2	" " "	" " "	136533 5 2	22237 12 2	191569 2 6
6490 1 8	" " "	" " "	6490 1 8	" " "	6490 8 "
9608 8 1	" " "	" " "	9608 8 1	" " "	9608 8 1
131117 15 5	2386 2 8	1389 1 10	272730 11 5	59514 12 2	384050 1 1
6299 8 7	45 10 1	" " "	6605 14 6	263 6 9	111482 15 11
" " "	8219 6 3	" " "	134153 13 6	988 5 11	135142 3 5
266031 11 4	6698 15 1	" " "	5954 12 6	1471 9 9	9799 12 11
6514 6 6	91 8 "	5212 1 10	57451 13 "	" " "	57451 13 "
12643 1 1	2698 5 7	" " "	124818 14 5	12680 13 7	152698 3 1
5954 12 6	" " "	" " "	32256 15 "	" " "	58314 10 1
57451 13 "	" " "	" " "	47 14 6	" " "	47 14 6
124818 14 5	" " "	" " "	56 2 1	" " "	56 2 1
32256 15 "	" " "	" " "	22235 3 10	" " "	22235 3 10
47 14 6	" " "	" " "	84888 11 3	1906 10 6	86842 12 4
56 2 1	" " "	5005 14 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
22235 3 10	" " "	" " "	3234 7 3	" " "	3234 7 3
18032 3 11	61850 9 2	" " "	16147 10 "	" " "	16147 10 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	21016 12 11	" " "	204461 " 2
1466 2 2	1768 5 1	" " "	4032 " 9	" " "	11716 8 8
16147 10 "	" " "	" " "	5411 8 7	" " "	50264 3 1
21016 12 11	" " "	" " "	8083 6 8	" " "	23200 " "
4032 " 9	" " "	830 9 "	1821 1 "	" " "	18218 6 7
" " "	4580 15 7	" " "	27647 14 5	" " "	27647 14 5
8083 6 8	" " "	" " "	1281104 13 11	9906 5 4 3	214570 14 10
1821 1 "	" " "	" " "	6490 1 8	" " "	6490 1 8
27647 14 5	" " "	" " "			
1056393 13 6	139268 14 1	85442 14			
6299 8 7	45 10 1	" " "			

the Tusky

	Total.
	98180 3 1
	41016 15 6
	27717 9 4
	5476 4 2
	7255 7 1
	240 14 3
	8639 4 10
	966 5 8
	315 15 3
	379 6 8
	105 15 5
	33 5 11
	2 5 9
	9 7 10
	7 15 2
	1 10
	159
	12 10
	1 "
	11 "
	8 6
	1 11
	49
	5
	"
	5
	"
	1
	5

7137 Fort St. George

Deduct Collections from 12th July to 31st October 1857.

Under annual Settlement.

Permanently Settled.			Rents for more than one year.			Ryotwar.			Rents.			Summaries of division of the Produce.		
46367	6	7	-	10	10	1215	7	9	5156	14	6	7	11	8
5397	8	5	-	-	-	2630	6	1	355	-	-	-	-	-
27851	-	2	-	-	-	285	12	1	18664	15	4	-	-	-
66604	10	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40028	14	7
-	-	-	-	-	-	22928	6	9	-	-	-	144	6	9
-	-	-	-	-	-	31	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
534	2	6	592	10	2	43731	11	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
29834	1	9	5	-	-	108709	6	-	1582	3	7	28	14	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1755	13	1	400	14	1
49205	1	5	1159	9	1	254440	1	2	6254	7	6	-	-	-
4613	10	8	-	-	-	6385	3	9	91	8	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	120798	-	5	2286	2	10	4317	12	2
777	-	1	-	-	-	5185	5	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	44703	14	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
15198	7	1	-	-	-	103498	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
13854	12	7	-	-	-	24126	6	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	47	14	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	19	12	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	16465	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	6	7	-	-	-	16577	12	8	39746	12	2	4992	13	5
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	1233	15	11	851	-	11	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	12579	15	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
69013	11	1	-	-	-	20141	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
5118	12	11	-	-	-	3957	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
42189	13	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	2872	6	10	670	13	-
-	-	-	55	2	-	6671	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	15211	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	12674	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
376607	9	4	1813	-	1	844251	11	5	79617	4	9	50592	3	9

Fort Saint George, Accountant Generals

A.G.

17-

of the Demand the Fusly

Shrotrium & Jodeje Fee			Total Land Revenues				Old a/cs from Fus	Total		
4	4	18	4	7	29539	7	1			
6	1	789	10	5	3312	2	8		98180	3 1
1	10	157	14	4	38309	13	4	13640	41016	15 6
15	9	"	"	"	183848	3	7	2723	27717	9 4
"	10	16355	6	9	82477	7	7	1381	95476	4 2
8	6	9554	15	6	10958	8	.	21398	17255	7 1
13	10	8340	9	9	30232	7	7	too	32269	240 14 3
.	2	1074	7	10	38736	6	5			
12	11	"	"	"	7457	12	11	maud	14987	48639 4 10
1	9	138	13	6	69174	15	3		14971	29966 5 8
2	9	"	"	"	729	2	9		329	4315 15 3
14	1	109	13	3	6861	11	4			
6	8	314	10	10	2680	8	1		7469	85379 6 8
14	4	"	"	"	12747	14	4	petticot	719	105 15 5
14	"	1457	9	11	22778	7	11	andy	"	61633 5 11
8	5	"	"	"	20933	6	11	petticot	2509	8752 5 9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	cloce	393	43149 7 10
5	3	"	"	"	3553				"	82717 15 2
5	10	"	"	"	5709	5	10		474	107373 1 10
5	"	344	14	"	23916	3	"	u	17647	1457 15 9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	kapaudy	84	9925 12 10
6	5	"	"	"	1149	6	5		3078	50109 1 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	ee	11964	1288 11 "
10	8	"	"	"	3567	10	8	ece	12160	2 8 6
10	8	"	"	"	115306	2	10	guebar	"	10747 1 11
7	3	"	"	"	2020	2	3		31277 6	179857 14 9
4	9	"	"	"	4531	1	11	dura		16249 " 5
12	1	"	"	"	16473	3	7	indigul	27005	44335 4 "
21	1	"	"	"	3007	"	8		15402	20141 4 5
155		"	"	"	14972	15	5		948	17891 13 "
									55	18120 13 11
128		38657	2	8	747002	1	7		15403	

Rupees 3229282 520113 15 3

utic

t General Statement

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Collection and Balance of arrears of Land Revenue of the Presidency of Fort Saint George within the Fusly

Demand at the beginning of Fusly 1260.

Permanently Settled.	Rents for more than one y	Old account previous to Fusly 1257	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Total.
46367 6 7	-	7 3	12633 7 4	5758 - -	87581 13 1	241780 11 8
5397 8 5	-	5 5	16547 10 5	9680 3 -	27758 10 -	81217 12 10
27851 - 2	-	1 4 6	2087 7 2	2954 10 7	182219 12 8	201078 2 11
66604 10 2	-	4 - 9	100523 8 11	242725 10 2	294935 1 7	777179 5 5
- - -	-	14 10	20429 12 3	42444 3 6	119730 11 1	515274 9 8
534 2 6	592 7 5 2		9293 3 11	10674 8 2	10788 4 4	180633 5 7
29834 1 9	5 3 10		10591 4 9	19691 6 3	67752 4 3	247747 3 1
- - -	- - 1		3030 5 2	5816 1 4	133272 5 8	145410 12 3
49205 1 5	1159 3 11		4844 15 11	5762 1 10	8987 - 3	26463 5 11
4613 10 8	- 12 5		111 - 7	899 5 8	85639 13 11	87370 - 7
- - -	- - 2 7		- - -	7 3 10	104 1 5	111 5 3
777 - 1	- 12 3		7775 14 2	7098 2 4	160372 4 5	200937 7 6
- - -	- - 8 9		2316 13 11	5665 11 -	6186 - 7	8226 - 3
15198 7 1	- 2 6		7167 7 9	3807 2 1	48228 1 6	53893 12 6
13854 12 7	- 11 3		18 10 3	8011 14 2	85900 13 3	92499 6 -
- - -	- 10 8		2350 3 4	66 15 8	122558 13 2	155385 5 5
- - -	- 8 -		4176 12 8	3480 10 10	2078 9 3	2248 14 5
47 6 7	- - 11		333 10 8	12330 7 2	10640 14 9	19550 7 7
- - -	- - -		2 8 6	1071 9 5	61525 13 4	197199 9 2
- - -	- 13 10		- - -	- - -	1716 13 8	15282 2 8
- - -	- 3 3		- - -	1091 1 5	- - -	2 8 6
- - -	- 5 -		- - -	105 7 7	10225 11 8	42595 10 11
69013 11 1	- 9 -		1460 13 9	622 2 2	214691 14 1	214803 8 11
5118 12 11	- - 7		229 - 2	2846 11 7	15643 13 7	43271 4 9
42189 13 4	- 3 8		229 - 3	10527 4 10	47347 6 10	87057 9 2
- - -	- 8 11		1720 14 9	329 11 4	21042 13 4	32747 2 11
- - -				7517 7 6	17999 14 5	18013 13 8
376607 9 4	15 2	207958 - 2	411957 11 3	1878463 9 2	3427862 3 9	5927862 3 9

Collections.

Old account previous to Fusly 1257	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Total.
15802 3 3	10100 8 11	3122 7 8	69186 15 3	98180 3 1
7021 7 3	1978 8 9	7532 4 -	24484 11 6	41016 15 6
12 11 -	11 4 11	505 8 1	127188 1 4	127717 9 4
6213 2 2	11329 14 3	2453 11 5	175479 8 4	195476 4 2
1030 13 6	173 11 -	201 5 9	15849 8 10	17255 7 1
57 3 10	47 7 6	31 8 1	110 10 10	246 14 3
1306 14 9	116 15 1	724 8 3	46490 14 9	48639 4 10
64 15 11	776 10 10	1525 2 1	127599 8 10	129966 5 8
450 13 10	304 11 2	419 7 6	3140 14 9	4315 15 3
20 14 9	86 2 1	390 5 4	84882 - 6	85379 6 8
- - -	- - -	1 14 -	104 1 5	105 15 5
956 14 8	1120 14 8	1456 11 4	158098 13 3	161633 5 11
- - -	- - -	611 7 4	6140 14 5	6752 5 9
- - -	- - -	391 2 8	42758 5 2	43149 7 10
- - -	130 15 6	939 5 10	81647 9 10	82717 15 2
24 7 9	100 14 5	702 12 4	106574 15 4	107373 1 10
- - -	- - -	- - -	1457 15 9	1457 15 9
471 1 -	5 15 1	86 4 2	9362 8 7	9925 12 10
1164 8 9	30 10 3	782 12 9	48191 1 3	50169 1 -
114 12 9	- - -	8 1 9	1165 12 6	1288 11 -
- - -	2 8 6	- - -	- - -	2 8 6
451 1 6	- - -	240 5 1	10055 11 4	10747 1 11
3 - 4	- - -	26 1 11	179828 12 6	179857 14 9
1500 - -	- - -	310	14748 12 7	16249 - 5
1076 10 -	1 6 11	401 3 2	42855 15 11	44335 4 -
55 15 8	107 9 6	10165 9 4	9812 1 11	20141 4 5
47 3 8	84 12 -	141 2 11	17618 10 5	17891 13 -
41 4 -	18 11 4	378 13 5	17682 1 2	18120 13 11
37882 4 4	26530 4 8	33240 4 -	1422461 2 3	1520113 15 3

Fort Sain

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

1260 or from 12th July 1850 to 11th July 1851 and shewing also the Collections from the latter date to the 31st October 1851 with the Net Balance then due.

Deduct Permanent Settlement	Old account previous to Fusly 1257	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Total	Deduct Remission. Old account previous to Fusly 1257	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Total
	Gross Balance.									
46367	120605 4	2532 14 5	2035 8 4	18420 13 10	143594					
5397	20209 14 2	14569 1 8	2147 15	3273 14 6	40200					
27851	13803 9 6	2076 2 3	2449 2 6	55031 11 4	73360		2052 2 3			2052 2 3
66604	2133680 14 7	89193 10 8	240272 14 9	118555 9 3	2581703					
	337639 1 4	20250 1 3	42242 13 9	103881 2 3	198019	4238 14 6				4238 14 6
534	149826 1 4	9245 12 5	10643 1	10677 9 6	180392					
29834	148405 5 1	10474 5 8	18966 14	21207 5 6	199107	99 12	4554 7 2	8352 8 7		13006 11 9
	3227 2	2253 10 4	4290 15 3	5672 12 10	15444	3223 11	2011 10 6	981 4 8	1571 12 5	7788 6 7
4920	7018 6 1	4540 4 9	4742 10 4	5846 1 6	22147					
4614	698 13 8	24 14 6	509 4	757 13 5	1990	271 12 6	24 14 6	437 9		734 4
			5 5 10		5			5 5 10		5 5 10
77	24134 3 11	6654 15 6	6241 7	2273 7 2	39304					
	393 12 3	75 5 7	959 6 6	45 2 2	1473					
151	474 8 9	2185 14 5	5274 8 4	5469 12 4	10724	5274 8 4	131 7 5			5406 15 9
138	17622 10 7	7066 9 4	2867 12 3	4253 3 5	9781	423 8 6	1918 4 3			2341 12 9
	84 11 3	18 10 3	7309 1 10	16013 13 10	48012	14225 9 9	5914 7 1	5759 4 10		25899 5 8
	2607 9 8	2344 4 3	66 15 8	620 9 6	790	84 11 3	18 10 3	66 15 8		170 5 2
	17999 15 3	4148 2 5	3394 6 8	1278 6 2	9624	977 4 7	330 12 4			1208 11
	12015 4 2	333 10 8	11547 10 5	13334 12 1	147030					
			1063 7 8	557 1 2	13993					
	30820 12 4					31 1		603 8 10	171 4	805 10 2
	3 2 11		850 12 4							
	28505 5		79 5 8	171 4	31848 9					
	14325 15		621 14 4	34863 1 7	34945 10					
	892 11	1459 6 10	2445 8 5	895 1	27022 4					
	8	121 6 8	361 11 6	4491 6 11	22722	346 15 9	1 9 1	39 15 1		388 7 11
	15362 4 11	144 4 3	188 8 5	11230 11 5	12605 14	8	84 14 8	106 5 2		199 3 10
		1708 3 5	7138 10 1	381 4	722					
	3191400 10 10	181427 11 6	578717 7 3	456002 6 11	4207548 4 6	23931 4 10	16811 12 1	21627 6	1874 4 2	64244 11 1

Fort St. George

Net Balance standing against the Country at the expiration of Fusly 1260.

Perma Set	Old account previous to Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Total.
463	120605 4 .	2532 14 5	2035 8 4	18420 13 10	143594 8 7
53	20209 14 2	14509 1 8	2147 15 .	3273 14 6	Remission 40200 3 4
278	13803 9 6	24 . .	2449 2 6	55031 11 4	71308 7 8
66	2133680 14 7	89193 10 8	240272 14 9	118555 9 3	2581703 1 3
.	327480 2 10	20258 1 3	42242 13 9	103881 2 3	493780 4 1
.	149826 1 4	9245 12 5	10643 . 1	10677 9 6	180392 7 4
29	148305 9 1	5919 14 6	10614 5 5	21261 5 6	186101 2 6
.	3 5 2	241 15 10	3309 10 7	4101 . 5	7656 . .
4	7018 6 1	4540 4 9	4742 10 4	5846 1 6	22147 6 9
1	427 1 2	. . .	71 7 4	757 13 5	1256 5 11
.	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
.	24134 3 11	6654 15 6	6241 7 .	2273 7 2	39304 17 5
.	393 12 3	75 5 7	959 6 6	45 2 2	1473 10 6
.	51 . 3	267 10 2	2857 12 3	5338 4 11	5338 4 11
.	3397 . 10	1152 2 3	1549 13 .	4253 3 5	7439 10 7
.	. . .	. . .	. . .	16013 13 10	22112 13 11
.	1630 5 1	2113 7 11	3394 6 8	620 9 6	620 9 6
.	117999 15 3	4148 2 5	11547 10 5	1278 6 2	8416 9 10
.	12045 4 2	333 10 8	1063 7 8	13334 12 1	147030 8 2
.	. . .	. . .	. . .	557 1 2	13993 7 5
.	30795 11 4	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .
.	3 2 11	. . .	247 3 6	. . .	31042 14 10
.	25505 5 .	. . .	79 5 8	34863 1 7	34945 10 2
.	14325 15 .	1459 6 10	621 14 4	895 1 .	27022 4 4
.	545 1 2	119 13 7	2445 8 5	4491 6 11	22722 5 2
.	. . .	59 5 7	321 12 5	11230 11 5	12217 6 7
.	15362 4 11	1708 3 5	82 3 3	381 4 .	522 12 10
	3167469 6 .	164615 15 5	357090 1 3	454128 2 9	4143303 9 5

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office,

November 1851.

Fusly 1259.	Total.	and dry and the rent ar.	Balances of former years.	Total of Alienated and Sunder Small Farms and Licenses.
12391 5 4	43650 15 10			
3273 6 6	40200 5 4	3 1 .	112 2 .	65380 4 5
54312 7 9	70585 4 4	" "	" "	61081 9 6
117079 3 1	2579610 9 6	2 3 10	423 " 4	88423 14 1
103853 " 10	493724 9 2	7 3 7	1587 15 "	49005 1 11
10677 9 6	180392 5 6	7 12 "	29 4 .	28893 " .
20840 11 8	185447 2 .	4 14 3	" " 3	4486 14 3
3253 1 10	6537 4 3	7 13 .	129 14 .	5 29743 10 11
5624 1 7	21588 12 5	4 14 .	5510 10 .	10 75154 13 .
476 7 10	547 9 4	1 5 10	" " 10	4 11 10
" " "	" "	7 10 8	278 7 .	56629 10 4
" " "	" "	" "	" "	30220 15 7
2189 11 3	38364 2 11	2 5 .	" " 2	110 652 12 2
45 2 2	1473 10 6	2 9 11	2524 3 9	2233 15 6
4992 . 2	4992 " 2	3 12 .	" " .	4240 5 .
3948 6 9	5465 4 1	6 8 .	2532 9 14	2 337083 7 2
15872 14 .	21949 8 2	3 2 .	1655 10 . 11	131906 6 14
618 1 2	618 1 2	2 8 1	1763 9 1 .	97635 8 .
1142 12 9	7676 " 9	" "	" " 3	605 . .
12731 7 11	14612 15 3	2 10 3	4 . .	84096 10 3
557 1 2	13988 6 4	9 7 9	181 15 . 11	116401 5 .
" " "	" "	9 7 11	68 14 .	16849 8 11
	31033 15 5	8 12 .	" " 7	6098 12 .
3228 11 11	3228 11 11	6 11 7	893 15 . 7	29340 4 10
826 9 9	20992 3 3	2 3 10	" " 11	54808 7 7
1959 12 1	20137 . 5	4 3 6	1 7 6	14108 13 11
11211 11 .	12159 11 6	6 10 9	1107 5 . 3	56957 14 6
	465 2 5	4 8 6	1567 4 . 3	116542 12 5
323 9 7		6 15 11	1172 12 . 6	117404 6 8
		6 4 6	609 2 .	82339 12 6
15471 4 .	39509 2 2	1 11 8	22154 3 1	5 243727 4 2
3 406944 11 7	3996450 14 1			

Statemen

Fort St. George

or from 12th July 1850 to 11th July 1851 and shewing also the Collection

Balance standing against the Country at the expiration of Fasly
Ab:Kary or exclusive sales of Spirituous Liquors.

Account of the Current year.		Account of former years.		Total.		Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.	Account of the Current year.		Account of former years.		Total.	
4668	2 2	1422	4 8	6090	6 10		81	4			49	8
133	5 4	"	"	133	5 4		"	"	"	"	"	"
380	13 7	"	"	380	13 7		546	8 6	"	"	"	12
3945	1 11	289	5 8	4234	7 7		631	" 2	"	"	127	7 6
28	" "	"	"	288	" "		"	"	"	"	"	"
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		"	"	"	"	"	"
1887	9 1	"	"	1887	9 1		123	8 "	"	"	"	"
13736	4 7	"	"	13736	4 7		659	14 8	"	"	574	12 8
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		"	"	"	"	"	"
32573	" "	"	"	32573	" "		3890	6 1	"	"	"	"
1350	" "	"	"	1350	" "		"	"	"	"	"	"
8550	8 7	"	"	8550	8 7		1482	4 1	"	"	1	2 10
5865	4 "	"	"	5865	4 "		607	" "	"	"	"	"
5321	5 4	"	"	5321	5 4		1414	1 7	"	"	103	7 10
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		2709	10 1	"	"	3	5 "
335	" "	"	"	335	" "		1522	5 1	"	"	40	8 "
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		"	"	"	"	"	"
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		24	" "	"	"	"	"
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		118	2 9	"	"	"	"
625	5 3	"	"	625	5 3		"	"	"	"	"	"
3355	" "	"	"	3355	" "		739	4 1	"	"	"	"
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		2109	12 3	"	"	"	"
"	" "	"	"	"	" "		86	12 7	"	"	"	"
98	13 6	6	6	104	14 "		1719	8 1	"	"	"	"
3444	9 5	"	"	3444	9 5		836	5 3	"	"	210	9 4
1309	6 3	"	"	1309	6 3		437	10 5	"	"	737	10 10
							55	4 "	"	"	"	"
87879	9 "	1717	10 10	89597	3 10		25176	" 8			7849	4 "

17th June 1852.

from that date to 31st October 1851 and the Net Balance then out-
standing-

1850-51 Licenses.	Total of Ab: Kary and Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.		Deduct Collections from 12th July to 31st October 1851. Ab:Kary or exclusive sales of Spirituous Liquors. Account of the Current year.		Account of former years.		Total.		Total Kary and Small Farms and Licenses.	
Total.										
130	12 "	6221	2 10	4429	15 2	61	6 2	4491	5 4	13 6
"	" "	133	5 4	133	5 4	"	" "	380	13 7	" "
547	4 6	934	2 1	380	13 7	"	5 4	232	8 6	8 "
758	7 8	4992	15 3	232	2 10	"	" "	288	" "	8 "
"	" "	288	" "	288	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	822	8 1	" "
120	8 "	2008	1 1	822	8 1	"	" "	13736	4 7	9 "
7165	11 4	20901	15 11	13736	4 7	"	" "	"	" "	181
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	27573	" "	" "
3890	6 1	30463	6 1	27573	" "	"	" "	1350	" "	41
"	" "	1350	" "	1350	" "	"	" "	8550	8 7	" "
1483	6 11	10039	15 6	8550	8 7	"	" "	"	" "	94
60	7 "	60	7 "	"	" "	"	" "	5865	4 "	" "
1517	9 5	7382	13 5	5865	4 "	"	" "	5321	5 4	76
2712	15 1	8034	4 5	5321	5 4	"	" "	"	" "	72
1502	13 1	1502	13 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	10
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	335	" "	" "
24	" "	359	" "	335	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "
118	2 9	118	2 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	625	5 3	" "
"	" "	"	" "	625	5 3	"	" "	3355	" "	" "
739	4 1	1364	9 4	3355	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4 "
2109	12 3	5464	12 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "
86	12 7	86	12 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	" "
1930	1 5	1930	1 5	853	" "	"	" "	3000	1 5	7 "
1574	" 1	1678	14 1	3000	1 5	"	" "	1199	6 3	4 "
437	10 5	3882	3 10	1199	6 3					" "
55	4 "	1364	10 3							" "
27025	4 8	116622	8 6	77295	3 5	61	11 6	77350	14 11	6

7143

Fort St. George

17th June 1852.

Balance on the 1st November 1851.

Sundry Small Farms and Licences												Balance Abkarry or Esc
Account of the Current year.			Account of former years.			Total.			Total of Abkarry and Sundry Small Farms and Licences.			Account of the Current year.
22						22			4573	5	4	238 3
									133	5	4	
521	12	6				521	12	6	908	10	1	
602	15	1				602	15	1	835	7	3	3712 15 1
									288			
23						23						
3851	8	5	56	7	9	3908		2	17644	4	9	1065 1
3604	8					3604	8		31177	8		5000
									1350			
1416	12	7				1416	12	7	9973	5	2	
60	7					60	7		60	7		
1235		2		15	10	1236			7101	4		
2533	5	1				2533	5	1	7854	10	5	
1059	9		12	4	3	1071	13	3	1071	13	3	
24						24			359			
118	2	9				118	2	9	118	2	9	
739	4	1				739	4	1	1364	9	4	
1872	13	11				1872	13	11	5227	13	11	
86	12	7				86	12	7	86	12	7	
1713	7	10				1713	7	10	1713	7	10	
366	10	9				366	10	9	457	13	9	
437	10	5				437	10	5	3437	11	10	13 10 6
55	4					55	4		1254	10	3	444 8
												110
20345		2	89	11	10	20414	12		97771	10	11	10584 57

Balance on the 1st November 1851.												
Sundry Small Farms and Licences.												Total Abkarry and Sundry Small Farms and Licences.
Account of former years.			Total.			Account of the Current year.			Account of former years.			Total.
1360	14	6	1599	1	6	59	4		49	8		108 12 1707 13 6
						24	12			12		26 8 26 8
289			4001	15	5	28	1	1	127	7	6	155 8 7 4157 8
			1065	1		97	8					97 8 1162 9
						2831	8	2	518	4	11	3349 13 1 3349 13 1
			5000			285	14	1				285 14 1 5285 14 1
						65	7	6	1	2	10	66 10 4 66 10 4
						179	1	5	102	8		281 9 5 281 9 5
						176	3		3	8		179 10 179 10
						462	12	1	28	3	9	490 15 10 490 15 10
						236	14	4				236 14 4 236 14 4
						6		3	210	9	4	216 9 7 216 9 7
6		6	19	11		469	10	6	737	10	10	1207 5 4 1227	4
			444	8								444 8
			110									10
1655	15	4	12240	4	11	4923	2	5	1779	8	2	6702 10 7 13942 16 6

E. E. J^no. (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Statement.

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office,

LG

For Ft. St. George

No. 4. Statement Shewing the Demand collected and Balance of Mofussil Revenue of Year 1250 Fusly & Year 1260 Fusly to date to the 31st October 1851 and Shewing also the Collections from that date to the 31st October 1852.

Districts	Demand for the current year.	Demand Balance of former years.	Demand Total.	Collection Account of the current year.	Collection Account of former years.	Collection Total.	Balance of the Current Year.	Alphabetical Number of Statement Vide.	Gross Customs Salt Monopoly & Sea Customs Collections as per Statement annexed 17th June 1852.
Ganjam	9,790 7 5	653 3 11	10,443 4 4	9,647 5 4	385 6 "	10,032 11 4	10,001 3 8	2	12,275 18,920 11 11
Vizagapatam	6033 7 11	130 15 9	6164 7 8	5,959 12 2	5 12 "	5,965 10 2	5,955 15 14	1	7,994 21,505 5 6
Rajahmundry	56,045 6 3	1,445 4 7	57,490 10 10	54,062 8 "	1409 7 7	55,471 15 7	55,121 8 2	5	33,330 23,304 11 3
Masulipatam	28,925 13 11	4,403 13 9	33,329 11 8	26,741 5 "	4,339 7 6	31,080 12 6	29,283 9 14	4	54,632 08,900 6 1
Guntoor &c. { Guntoor / Palnaad	42,640 8 11	628 8 8	43,269 1 7	42,202 8 1	610 11 5	42,813 4 10	42,309 7 9	2	191,3 24,750 5 11
Nellore	3161 9 1	" 7 "	3,162 " 1	3,155 9 1	" 7 "	3,162 " 1	3,162 " 1	3	3740 " " "
Chingleput	17,651 5 10	1039 14 5	18,691 4 3	17,129 10 8	279 12 2	17,409 6 10	17,409 9 5	2	11 43,289 4 10
	30,193 1 9	6781 " 8	36,974 2 5	22,501 6 4	6425 7 8	28,927 14 "	28,972 14 "	2	" 3,530 12 1
Mm. Dr. of Head Qrs. { Mm. Dr. of Head Qrs. / Bangoondy	36,776 8 2	583 14 4	37,359 6 6	25,888 6 5	126 " 3	26,014 6 8	26,419 12 8	2	103 13,867 8 8
		Remission	2214 11 3	1,270 1 10	451 14 11	1,722 " 9	1,633 5 7	2	5,11874 " " "
2nd Dr. of Head Qrs. { 1st Mm. Dr. of Head Qrs. / Cuddalore	1,887 10 11	327 " 4			317 9 4	317 9 4			" 2,229 4 8
	38,086 4 8	2,488 15 1	40,575 3 9	36,099 15 6	2,302 9 "	38,402 9 2	38,458 13 8	6	1,2930 7,194 4 8
Bellary	30,56 3 7		Remission				2,915 5 5	2	36,268 2,409 14 5
	2,74,183 3 "	83 4 2	3139 7 9	2,862 9 1	177 5 "	177 5 "			
Cuddapah	1,52,057 11 10	6592 11 3	280,775 14 3	267,433 1 "	32 12 "	38,999 " 8	27,3634 13 1	5	6151 " " "
Salem &c. { Salem / Mullapaudy	73154 8 4	5405 10 "	Remission		6083 13 "	33,082 13 "	14,8431 5 3		" " " "
			157463 5 10	143194 " 2	196 11 "	1,565 " "			
	302 6 4	2303 6 11	Remission		4749 "	4,194 5 "	74,849 " 4	9	" " " "
Coimbatore	78,245 4 11	6 10 4	75,457 15 3	72,675 " "	125 " "	125 " "	300 " 8		" " " "
			Remission		1949 "	5,369 14 1	76801 2 7	10	15 " " "
Tanjore &c. { Tanjore / Nagore / Tranquebar	40,516 10 3	584 9 4	309 " 8	302 6 4	177 " 2	177 " 2	40517 7 3	3	83768 45123 8 1
			78829 14 3	76198 9 "	6 " "	" " "			" " " "
	4,057 4 3	8 3 10	Remission		5627 " "	5,540 4 6			" " " "
Trichinopoly	606 4 "	" " "	40524 14 1	40505 10 7	1 10 3	1 10 3			" " " "
Tinnevelly	6,492 15 10	" " "	4027 4 3	4057 4 3	" " "	" " "	4057 4 3	8	11 99 50 14 "
Malabar	94,018 1 8	277 13 10	606 4 "	606 4 "	" " "	" " "	606 4 "	2	10,7820 23522 600 " "
Canara	115,930 5 6	389 11 5	6770 13 8	6361 6 2	" " "	" " "	6639 4 "	10	" " " "
Kurnool Territory	17,147 4 5	760 8 8	94407 13 1	93800 " 5	" 10 1 9	82 8 6		7	14,431 4,125 1 6 3
Total Company's Rupees	55,642 15 5	390 6 5	116690 14 2	116326 12 6	6 38 15 1	218 2 4		13	1382 " " "
	11,87,602 8 2	2,607 10 11	17537 10 10	16589 13 1	3 2 1 " "	41 13 4		11	6,3892 4,0032 13 2
			582,50 10 4	553,194 7 2	4 502 11 8	1,060 15 8		5	33,1797 7,913 3 2
			12,25,496 5 9	11,37,345 3 11	3159 8 3	9,819 11 "		12	79,740 8,486 6 9 10
			Remission					14	1 17063 15 1
								10	" 5,5416 15 4
									6129 " " "
								7 10	11,72,921 12 11
									11,24,144 31,671 " 6

For Ft. St. George, Accountant General's Office.

Statement

7146.

7143

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Sundry Accounts the Current Year

Gross charges (Contd.)

Charges Collections of Tobacco Monopoly as per Statement No. 11.	Charges Collections of the Superintendent of Stamps as per Statement No. 12.	Total Charges disbursed within the Fasly 1260.
" " "	998 2 7	2,38,756 13 2
" " "	2,958 13 7	147,380 5 1
" " "	1,416 13 9	6,55,388 7 2
" " "	2,093 7 11	1,94,414 13 5
" " "	787 2 1	2,84,440 5 5
" " "	" " "	19,604 15 7
" " "	1,735 9 8	3,60,855 8 5
" " "	1,576 14 11	32,191 3 6
" " "	2,503 15 7	2,20,363 14 5
" " "	" " "	1,23,157 2 10
" " "	4,042 13 9	33,139 5 11
" " "	1 " 6	20,45 " 4
" " "	3,592 10 9	4,93,175 4 1
" " "	" " "	12,918 2 11
" " "	2,282 8 10	4,54,027 9 7
" " "	2,232 5 6	2,88,022 3 2
" " "	3,137 9 10	2,88,182 7 6
" " "	" " "	500 8 "
1,71,297 9 8	2,879 6 9	5,26,810 5 "
" " "	6,604 12 "	69,6810 7 "
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	693 6 5
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	47,741 9 11	2,24,879 7 10
" " "	16,597 5 "	1,459 13 10
" " "	2,194 4 7	3,16,483 2 8
" " "	2,763 10 2	2,88,098 7 7
" " "	6 7 8	6,07,55 8 11
" " "	3,420 13 8	5,43,037 7 3
10,6065 3 2	9,808 11 6	72,6,678 4 5
9,7651 14 "	5,628 14 4	6,35,135 1 2
" " "	161 3 1	33,020 14 9
37,6014 10 10	62,828 5 "	8,24,988 11 2
" " "	32,080 15 "	3,16,15 6 10 6
37,6014 10 10	94,909 4 "	8,94,114 5 5 8

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office, 3rd May 1852.

Net Revenue after deducting the charges

Land Revenue.	Abkarry and Sundry small Farms and Licenses	Motur phs.	Customs Land and Sea.	Salt Monopoly.	Tobacco Monopoly.	Stamps.	Total.
4,62,219 2 1	65,386 4 5	10043 8 2	10,810 11 11	2,67,808 2 3	" " "	13,610 10 5	11,29,878 7 3
11,60,038 12 10	61,081 9 6	5,815 12 2	6,664 9 3	1,20,487 14 "	" " "	14,166 13 3	13,68,256 7 "
15,03,596 4 10	88,502 14 5	50,681 7 11	32,317 3 "	1,65,191 12 3	" " "	14,619 6 3	18,44,809 " 8
7,30,338 10 7	49,263 3 "	10,185 13 10	48,610 12 6	2,78,498 8 3	" " "	11,104 5 1	11,28,001 5 3
8,74,343 15 4	30,207 12 5	4,25,57 14 4	919 5 1	38,9,971 7 7	" " "	7,691 14 8	13,45,686 5 5
17,3,354 8 3	46,72 7 9	3,162 " 1	2,401 5 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,83,490 6 "
15,81,881 10 10	29,539 15 2	17,409 9 5	1747 1 1	39,8,014 3 4	" " "	12,049 10 4	20,37,148 " "
60,2,455 5 6	74,361 9 2	28,472 14 "	" " "	20,7,066 5 8	" " "	14,219 11 1	9,27,075 13 5
10,712 7 10	48,005 2 13 9	" " "	103 13 4	4,41,525 6 4	" " "	7,139 3 11	9,39,533 13 2
2,413 4 11	" " "	" " "	39,1131 1 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,88,717 12 7
14,50,749 3 3	110,781 " 8	26,419 12 8	56 12 4	16,174 5 8	" " "	23,969 15 9	16,28,027 9 8
36,943 2 6	4,240 5 "	1,633 5 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	25 3 6	41,842 " 7
19,10,782 " 6	609 68 9 6	38,458 13 8	34,557 " 10	20,3,241 12 8	" " "	16,382 12 3	22,64,391 1 6
47,106 8 6	28,229 5 "	2,915 5 5	26,231 7 3	25,5,30 8 6	" " "	" " "	1,30,013 2 8
1,32,949 9 8	337,989 " 5	2,73,634 13 1	2,884 12 3	" " "	" " "	18,484 11 2	24,62,942 14 7
18,63,141 14 11	132,719 7 11	14,8,263 11 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	25,608 6 6	21,69,738 8 7
15,64,731 7 11	97,725 14 9	64,396 11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	19,819 14 3	17,46,673 13 9
17,607 10 4	605 " "	309 " 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18,521 11 "
2,02,407 10 8	84,144 7 10	76,801 2 7	15 13 5	" " "	8403 4 4	18,880 13 3	22,08,653 4 1
3,07,888 5 11	116,651 9 3	39,901 9 8	76,716 12 "	3,72,069 6 "	" " "	62,364 4 "	37,46,591 13 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	693 6 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,30,990 4 9
2,24,879 7 10	16,849 8 11	4,057 4 3	104,312 13 9	80,891 2 "	" " "	" " "	31,554 3 5
1,459 13 10	6098 12 "	606 4 "	22,381 15 8	3,927 1 7	" " "	17,669 7 5	11,67,707 10 1
11,13,759 4 1	29,639 10 7	6,639 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27,541 6 10	13,53,958 2 "
10,02,229 6 6	55,808 4 7	" " "	12,160 2 3	2,57,218 10 10	" " "	123 11 4	65,190 4 4
6,36,624 12 4	14,108 13 11	" " "	832 15 1	" " "	" " "	21,357 2 4	20,84,315 15 6
15,51,983 9 1	46,512 3 11	94,175 11 10	59,295 5 4	3,10,991 15 "	" " "	52,411 11 3	21,12,241 6 8
11,34,332 5 1	1,16,006 12 5	1,15,967 3 6	14,830 8 10	2,61,734 1 10	4,16,952 18 9	4,3840 2 10	23,18,027 4 8
15,20,193 1 3	1,06,789 3 7	17,063 15 1	57,063 3 8	3,79,237 10 7	19,3839 15 8	1,665 12 11	55,13,714 14 5
4,07,932 7 8	82,418 " 10	6,64,15 15 4	3,941 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,80,7,003 1 9	2,33,0,254 12 8	1,13,54,489 " 4	90,6,379 7 1	41,69,580 5 4	6,19,202 1 9	44,4,637 2 7	38,412,345 15 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,80,7,003 1 9	2,33,0,254 12 8	1,13,54,489 " 4	90,6,379 7 1	41,69,580 5 4	6,19,202 1 9	44,13,499 4 6	38,097,238 15 6

E. E. Jpr. (Signed) F. F. Stonhouse, Accountant General

Examined

7147.

No. 6. Statement of the Revenue of the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260.

14th June 1852.

Districts	Recoveries of Advances for Cultivation and Rupees	Extraordinary: Recoveries of Charges — Collection of sequestered Zemindaries	Interest on account of arrears unpaid.	Receipts on account of Company's Cr Plantation — sales of lands and Paltas or Gain by Exchange.	Refunds of Revenue Charges.	Total Extraordinary.	Total Gross Revenue Collection within Fusly 1260.
Ganjam	20625 11 4	" " "	263 6 5	" " "	1695 4 6	22584 13 4	979892 8 9
Vizagapatam	6180 " "	264 10 1	878 15 11	" " "	4629 5 7	11952 15 7	1281431 2 3
Rajahmundry	74592 " 4	1701 11 4	5083 12 4	" " "	3695 8 8	85063 " 8	2123416 5 5
Masulipatam	13631 " 3	" " "	9169 10 2	2 3 11	5677 4 "	27981 12 4	824686 5 5
Guntoor	6931 11 5	" " "	1 10 7	" 4 1	10142 " 11	17045 11 "	1131096 " 11
Nellore	1234 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	354 15 5	1588 15 5	191619 14 9
Chingleput	1174 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2312 10 11	1876236 " 3
Madras	9409 6 9	" " "	144 5 4	" 3 3	990 10 4	13733 5 4	878679 10 5
Government Sea	" " "	2131 14 4	1807 10 11	" " "	384 5 4	72 2 1	65150 15 10
Do of Arcot	" " "	" " "	" " "	41 12 "	30 6 1	" " "	" " "
Do of Arcot	18906 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22901 14 5	1776815 9 5
Bellary	25090 13 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	22790 4 10	253 2 2	379987 2 4
Cuddapah	260 " "	" " "	109 9 7	" " "	225 7 3	27325 12 2	2344787 8 6
Salem	" " "	" " "	27 10 11	329 21 "	7922 6 3	351 1 5	535577 5 5
Coimbatore	5 " "	" " "	167 7 "	" " "	82 5 9	33561 4 2	2278427 8 2
Tanjore	35722 4 3	" " "	268 11 8	" " "	8455 6 3	61849 2	2148412 13 6
Trichinopoly	404 " "	" " "	" " "	15 " "	5924 2 "	25230 13 5	1830324 1 1
Madura	893 6 "	4272 " 10	3626 2 7	18 15 "	17313 11 "	" " "	18108 2 4
Tinnevelly	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11306 7 5	12387 9 9	2373040 15 3
Malabar	10084 2 8	" " "	" " "	10 7 6 2 4	14469 9 2	50844 13 8	3716311 " 11
Canara	19300 " "	" " "	635 12 "	17 4 3	" " "	" " "	963 6 5
Kurnool Territory	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	246847 12 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3002 4 9	2590162 12 8
Presidency	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 4 9	" " "	412 4 9	13396 14 5
Grand Total Co	246847 12 11	" " "	1 1 3	" " 6	411 3 "	9786 7 2	1428018 2 2
		" " "	" " "	" " 11	9362 6 3	5826 3 3	1244041 13 2
		" " "	406 6 6	" " "	4526 6 9	2694 9 10	696823 13 7
		386 10 2	761 11 1	" " "	15464 7	11770 6 9	2035521 " 6
		" " "	1392 6 7	2363 10 8	8014 5 4	247 " 1	1643244 6 7
		" " "	1 5 8	10 4 "	235 6 5	12079 1 3	1933595 6 1
		" " "	2 15 3	" " "	1991 15 4	2033 5 3	735804 2 10
		" " "	" " "	" 4 "	1034 1 3	" " "	" " "
		8756 14 9	24730 11 10	3877 15 9	143015 10 8	472229 1 11	35993782 10 4
		" " "	" " "	" " "	6 9 6	6 9 6	6 9 6
		8756 14 9	24730 11 10	3877 15 9	143022 4 2	472235 11 5	35993789 3 10

N.B. A partial alteration has been made in the statement by entering in a separate Column all Refunds of Charges under their respective heads of Revenue instead of deducting them from the Charges as hitherto. This has been done in accordance with the instructions from the Honorable Court of Directors in their despatch of 20th May 1835

Fena
Acc
the C
y

Fort St. George

17th June 1852.

Salary and Commission	Hoozoor and District Establishments	Saunderwards	Ordinary Charges. Extra charges	Repairs of Tanks and Watercourses	Miscellaneous Charges. Extra charges Connected with Revenue but not chargeable to any particular Source of Revenue	Rent allowances	Surgeons allowances	Pensions and Charitable allowances as per Statement No 13	Total Ordinary
55122 10 8	6791 3 9	2315 3 4	10556 3 6	18750 10 9		4990 2 "	3968 15 10	2465 9 "	193928 6 8
6,160 " 11 9	32200 10 9	1125 10 6	" " "	8634 " 1		4248 6 6	540 " "	6165 14 11	116129 11 4
32,905 " 11 "	10,867 11 8	3733 2 2	3509 2 7	32,658 11 3		6952 5 4	4,688 6 10	36,721 12 3	542,849 4 2
33,083 9 11	40,859 1 6	2,512 1 "	311 10 6	37,585 15 6		3730 2 "	327 10 5	14,552 9 7	1,415,23 15 10
39,581 6 8	9,2321 4 6	2522 8 9	" " "	4,616 13 5		3488 4 2	4,065 7 4	58,700 2 1	250226 3 3
" " "	8858 1 7	258 15 9	" " "	6740 " "		" " "	" " "	746 2 "	17,017 6 6
49,995 13 8	161,304 7 5	5038 7 7	" " "	42,622 15 4		4510 5 11	896 14 5	23,275 " 2	2,92,169 1 5
44,807 15 8	108,294 " 1	3172 8 4	30 8 1	53,176 5 4		3705 1 "	785 4 "	47,264 15 5	2,64,161 " 9
1841 3 "	15,374 1 2	174 6 9	104 " "	1051 6 "		420 " "	" " "	35,191 9 7	54,438 8 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	203 14 4	" " "		" " "	" " "	2400 " "	2400 " "
53,139 1 3	107,744 10 4	5232 8 "	" " "	62,613 1 1		" " "	609 7 4	77,023 11 5	316178 8 9
61513 11 9	1083 15 7	33 13 3	" " "	47 11 "		5533 13 9	" " "	878 8 "	2,043 15 10
" " "	120,610 12 10	3652 6 2	" " "	68,811 14 10		" " "	1582 11 7	14,819 2 9	4,143 28 9 7
80,060 15 9	2,602 " "	100 " "	" " "	35 8 6 1		5241 9 9	" " "	3332 " "	6401 4 4
54,213 4 6	1,46,667 15 9	7052 14 2	" " "	1,10,496 4 10		" " "	3476 6 2	54,455 14 6	4,18,407 10 6
56,158 12 10	172239 2 8	6,106 6 1	" " "	26,818 6 1		6435 7 9	454 8 "	72,749 3 2	284,668 5 7
" " "	111,474 11 "	4081 3 8	" " "	16,556 " 9		5673 12 10	1491 " 9	58,799 3 8	264,538 11 7
75,483 2 8	416 8 "	24 " "	864 " "	" " "		6199 14 11	" " "	60 " "	500 8 "
70,834 15 6	124,359 8 "	4072 8 7	" " "	43,004 8 5		" " "	1336 13 3	55,268 11 10	336,716 4 2
" " "	20,116 12 "	9142 10 1	" " "	14,688 9 3 4		5590 6 "	5537 5 8	16,139 14 7	60,2921 11 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		7687 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	11282 8 10	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1845 5 6	294 " "	" " "	15097 " "		" " "	" " "	6609 12 "	33283 4 10
39962 11 9	81607 7 "	53 13 3	" " "	8352 13 11		" " "	" " "	300 " "	14856 12 3
59833 9 2	53463 1 6	2726 " 7	" " "	10,6535 4 4		" " "	307 1 2	76083 6 10	313624 9 4
" " "	13430 3 8	3302 13 6	9 " "	4,994 2 9		" " "	" " "	55128 10 4	229113 5 "
66607 11 5	13365 7 14 5	850 12 10	" " "	14,548 7 1		2828 12 1	314 " "	18578 14 6	59812 7 1
55533 10 1	169726 15 5	3572 8 "	" " "	7,1359 14 6		" " "	" " "	194894 11 5	4,80010 8 2
71525 13 3	148424 11 7	3483 4 9	1130 " "	" " "		4860 8 3	" " "	263790 12 2	513,705 5 4
31559 13 1	77119 15 "	4864 3 7	" " "	" " "		6571 2 8	5222 3 3	154358 1 7	404165 7 3
1118931 10 4	2265665 1 8	2105 10 11	" " "	10528 6 10		5551 14 2	836 11 7	184725 4 3	318317 11 2
167033 13 11	72408 5 6	81612 9 7	15218 7 "	129198 6 6		7930 11 6	5635 6 1	1826355 12 "	6838929 3 8
12859 63 8 3	2338073 7 2	4178 " 9	" " "	20431 9 "		2452 13 2	42726 5 8	" " "	284075 11 6
		82090 10 4	16218 7 "	1310629 15 6		104603 2 1	" " "	1826355 12 "	7143004 15 2
						" " "	42726 5 8		
						104603 2 1			

7149.

Fort St. George.

Charges (Contd.) Extraordinary.

Advances for Cultivation and Repairs	Advances for Charges Collection of Sequestered Remittances.	Law Charges.	Charges on the Company's Plantations purchase of land and Batta or Gain by Exchange.	Refunds of Revenue.
23443 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5030 " "	282 10 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
7,0264 8 "	1439 4 1	62 14 4	5200 " "	" " "
12714 " "	" " "	9 12 "	" " "	2 8 "
6899 8 "	" " "	43 6 "	" " "	" " "
1248 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	353 4 4
1185 " "	" " "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "
9669 " "	2397 8 2	6 12 "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	10 10 "	" " "	8877 3 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19,000 " "	" " "	176 14 5	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	69 8 7
25700 " "	" " "	" " "	4362 12 "	7 8 "
260 " "	" " "	22 2 "	" " "	10 " "
" " "	4307 6 8	421 9 4	30 10 4	5294 3 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
34500 " "	" " "	1 " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
404 " "	" " "	9 " "	" " "	251 4 9
1579 " "	" " "	97 8 "	" " "	11022 9 8
" " "	386 10 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
373 " "	" " "	249 14 5	1263 " 4	1 " "
" " "	" " "	206 12 2	" " "	" " "
9183 8 "	" " "	53 5 7	" " "	" " "
9550 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 " "
232004 8 "	8813 7 2	1401 12 3	10856 6 8	25893 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
232004 8 "	8813 7 2	1401 12 3	10856 6 8	25893 2 "

Fort St. George Accountant Genl Office, 3d May 1852.

J. C. C.

17th June 1852

Expences on account of Improvements in the Culture of Cotton.	Total Extraordinary.	Total Charges disbursed within the Fasly 1860.	Net Revenue after deducting Charges.
" " "	23443 " "	21737 6 8	76219 2 1
" " "	5312 10 1	1711442 5 5	1160038 12 10
" " "	76969 7 5	619819 " 7	1503596 4 10
" " "	12703 12 "	151247 11 10	730338 10 7
" " "	7326 2 4	257582 5 7	874343 15 4
" " "	1248 " "	18265 6 6	173354 8 3
" " "	1185 4 "	293354 5 5	1581881 10 10
" " "	19073 4 2	246224 4 11	602455 5 6
" " "	" " "	54438 8 "	10712 7 10
13 4 4	813 4 11	2413 4 11	2413 4 11
" " "	8887 13 5	325066 6 2	1450749 3 3
" " "	" " "	2043 15 10	359143 2 6
" " "	19176 14 5	431005 8 "	1910382 " 6
" " "	69 8 7	6470 12 11	47106 8 6
" " "	36070 4 "	448477 14 6	1829949 9 8
310 7 "	602 9 "	28577 0 11 7	1863541 14 11
" " "	10053 13 7	274592 9 2	1564731 7 11
" " "	" " "	500 8 "	17607 10 4
15917 " 5	15917 " 5	352633 4 7	2070407 10 8
" " "	34501 " "	637422 11 "	3078888 5 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	693 6 5
" " "	1000 " "	31783 4 10	224879 7 10
" " "	" " "	14856 12 3	1459 13 10
" " "	6614 9	314288 11 1	1113759 4 1
" " "	12699 1 8	21812 6 8	1003779 6 6
" " "	386 10 2	60199 1 3	636624 12 4
9640 4 6	4527 3 3	484637 11 5	1537983 9 1
" " "	206 12 2	53912 1 6	1134332 5 1
" " "	9236 13 7	413402 4 10	1520193 1 3
" " "	9554 " "	37787 11 2	407932 7 8
18881 " 10	297850 4 11	7186779 8 7	28807003 1 9
" " "	" " "	284075 11 6	284069 2 "
18881 " 10	297850 4 11	7470853 4 1	28572933 15 9

[Signed] J. V. Stonhouse.
Acctt Genl.

Statement.

7149

Advance
Cultiva
and Re

2344
50
702
127
6 84
12
11
96
19
2

7150

No 7. Statement of Small

Dio

17th June 1852.

Farms and Licenses under the Presidency of

	Gross Collections within the Fusly 1260.	Small farms and Licenses. Extra Revenue.			Interest on account of arrears unpaid.			Total.			Total of Sundry and Small Farms and Licenses.		
Ganjam	4244 7							4211	7		65386	4	5
Vizagapatam	" "							"	"		61081	9	6
Rajahmundry	9985 15 4		8					9986	7	4	88302	14	5
Masulipatam	5736 11	1	12					5738	7		49488	9	5
Guntoor Vca	8657 "	1335	13	5		1	3	9993	14	8	30245	13	8
Nellore	2474 14 3	4	12			1	11	2481	1	2	4572	7	9
Chingleput	697 3 5							697	3	5	30058		2
Madras	12092 1 5	15	7	9				12107	9	3	75170	12	9
No Dn of Arcot Vca	31021 5 10	22	9	3				34043	15	1	569606	11	11
So Dn of Arcot Vca	2725 12 2	125	2	6				2850	14	8	110781		8
Bellary	190 5							190	5		4240	5	
Cuddapah	11573 6 9			4				11573	7		60968	9	6
Salem Vca	613 5							613	5		28729	5	
Coimbatore	20711 8 2	92	12	6				20804	4	8	337459		5
Tanjore Vca	13525 13 4	697	15	8				14223	13		133075	13	
Trichinopoly	8910 4 11	36			36	6	4	8978	11	3	97725	11	9
Madura	" " "							"	"		605		
Tinnevelly	3880 10 3	12	8					3893	2	3	84144	7	10
Malabar	23701 5	250	4	3				23951	9	3	116631	9	3
Canara	2049 8 11							2049	8	11	16849	8	11
Kurnool	78 12							78	12		6098	12	
	4901 6 7	244	8					5145	14	7	29639	10	7
	9972 7 7							9972	7	7	54808	7	7
	3133 13 11							3133	13	11	14108	13	11
	31903 14 6	9	3	3				31913	1	9	536961	5	1
	49036 11 3					7	9	49036	3		1166361	12	5
	44992 2 3	172	4	1				45164	6	4	1147061	14	1
	5180 2 6	8	4				9	5188	7	3	824118		10
Total Collections	314390 " 5	3029	13		35	7		317455	4	5	2443752	7	10

Statement b. No 6.

7151

Fort St. George

Fort St. George within the Limits of Establishments for the Year 1260

Charges.

Advances	Commission to the Collectors	Hoozoor and Districts Establishments.	Saderward.	Extra Charges.	Total	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.	Grand Total.
						42447 7 ..	65386 4 5
						.. .. ..	61081 9 6
						9986 7 4	88502 14 5
		225 6 5			225 6 5	5138 7 ..	49263 3 .
				38 1 3	38 1 3	9993 14 8	30207 12 5
		465 5 ..	52 12 ..		518 1 ..	2481 1 2	41572 7 9
		714		95 3 7	809 3 7	697 3 5	29539 15 2
15148 3 1		19531 1 3	43 14 4	46749 . 11	81472 3 7	12107 9 3	74361 9 2
						25962 4 6	480052 13 9
						2830 14 8	110781 .. 8
						190 5 ..	42240 5 ..
						11573 7 1	60968 9 6
						613 5 ..	28229 5 ..
						20804 4 8	337989 .. 5
						14223 13 ..	132719 7 11
				356 5 1	356 5 1	8978 11 3	97725 11 9
						.. .. ..	605 .. ..
						3893 2 3	81441 7 10
						23951 9 3	116657 9 3
						2049 8 11	16849 8 11
						78 12 ..	6098 12 ..
						5145 14 7	29639 10 7
						9972 7 7	54808 7 7
						3133 13 11	14108 13 11
						21464 .. 7	46872 3 11
						48406 3 ..	116006 12 5
						34246 11 10	106789 3 7
						5188 7 3	82418 .. 10
15148 3 1	20935 12 8	96 10 4	47238 10 10	83419 4 11		287376 14 2	2330254 12 8

Fort St. George

General. — General.

after Including the Charges—

Grand Total. 2330254 12 8

Deduct 3156 .. 1 6

Remains 2327098 11 2

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses 42873 3 5

Grand Total 2369971 14 7

Deduct Charges of Collection 28026 14 ..

Total Collections 2341945 12 8

Deduct Charges of Collection 11785 1 8

Remains 2330159 11 ..

Deduct Charges of Collection 33946 10 .. 37432 10 .. 1135789 .. 4

Remains 2296212 1 4

Madras 1211099 5 .. .. 1409 9 5

Ceded Districts 280261 4 .. .. 28042 14 ..

Total Collections 1491360 9 4 12 11 3360 2 7 176 .. 33946 10 .. 37432 10 .. 1135789 .. 4

Remains 1409 9 5

Examined & Passed in the Madras Audit Office.

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office, 3d May 1852.

Accountant General.

Examined & Passed in the Madras Audit Office.

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office, 3d May 1852.

Accountant General.

7/14

Adve
Cult
and

2
1
1

17th June 1852.

Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260.—

Total Receipts within the Fusly 1260.	Charges Sayer and Land Duties. Hoozoor and District Establishment		Saderwared		Extra Charges		Total.	
12275	3 11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7994	5 10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
38330	10 5	1959	6 3	31	1 6	"	1990	7 9
54632	15 4	4340	14 10	75	" "	"	4415	14 10
1943	11 8	643	1 7	"	" "	"	643	1 7
37140	15 "	1291	9 1	48	" "	"	1339	9 1
11	2 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
103	13 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
371874	15 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	8382	12 8
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
112939	73 6	8296	17 8	156	" "	"	3267	2 3
30268	14 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
6157	14 6	3172	12 3	94	6 "	"	"	" "
15	13 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
83760	6 4	2964	" "	61	1 10	"	3025	1 13
107820	4 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
23522	8 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
14431	2 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	" "
1382	15 1	525	" "	21	" "	"	550	" "
6392	5 2	2842	8 8	96	" "	10 8 "	2949	" 8
3179	7 11	2319	13 11	11	6 "	228 3 1	2559	7 "
79740	15 4	5974	7 4	58	" 9	117 3 "	6149	11 1
6129	10 2	2083	8 6	90	" "	14 8 "	2188	- 6
1174144	1 7	86343	15 1	742	" 1	374 6 1	37460	5 3

Fort St. George

17th June 1852.

Commission p.d. to the Collectors from the Public Treasuries	Hoozoor and Districk Establishment.	Sea Customs. Saderward	Extra Charges	Drawback	Total Charges	Total Charges disbursed within the Finly year 1260	Nett Revenue after deducting the Charges
" " "	1437 8 "	27 " "	" " "	" " "	1464 8 "	1464 8 "	10810 11 11
" " "	1185 12 7	24 " "	120 " "	" " "	1329 12 7	1329 12 7	6664 9 3
" " "	3732 11 11	175 3 9	115 " "	" " "	4022 15 8	6013 7 5	32317 3 "
" " "	1542 " "	64 4 "	" " "	" " "	1606 4 "	6022 2 10	48610 12 6
" " "	352 " "	24 " "	5 5 "	" " "	381 5 "	1024 6 7	919 5 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1339 9 1	2401 5 11
" " "	1691 2 6	64 1 "	" " "	" " "	1758 3 5	1758 3 6	1747 1 1
56261 12 8	55092 " "	433 11 "	1253 " 6	7703 2 9	120743 13 11	" " "	103 13 4
" " "	56 12 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	56 12 4	120743 13 11	39113 1 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	56 12 4	56 12 4
" " "	3965 2 1	72 5 5	" " "	" " "	4037 7 6	8382 12 8	34557 " 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4037 7 6	26231 7 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3267 23 "	2884 12 3
" " "	3833 8 10	115 11 7	69 14 1	" " "	4018 8 5	" " "	15 13 5
" " "	2984 10 9	84 " "	438 12 4	" " "	3507 7 1	7043 10 4	76716 12 "
" " "	7104 18 9	35 " "	" " "	" " "	1140 8 9	3507 7 1	104312 13 9
" " "	2208 15 5	62 1 "	" " "	" " "	2271 " 5	1140 8 9	22381 15 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2271 " 5	12160 2 3
" " "	1488 " "	42 " "	117 15 2	" " "	1647 15 2	550 " "	832 15 1
" " "	15131 8 1	388 7 "	242 7 8	" " "	15759 10 1	4596 15 10	39295 5 4
" " "	16175 3 7	308 11 2	62 14 10	27 34	16528 " 7	18349 1 1	14830 6 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22677 11 8	57063 3 8
56261 12 8	111981 8 11	1921 14 "	2408 11 7	7730 6 1	180304 3 3	2188 " 6	3941 9 8
						217764 10 6	906379 7 1

Fort St. George, Acct. Genl's Office, 3d May 1852.

J. G. B.

E. E. Yps

(Signed) F. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Statement.

17th June 1852.

Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260.

Charges.						Net Revenue after deducting the Charges.
Extra Charges	Salt Manufacturers share.	Salt Compensation.	Advances for the Monopoly of Salt	Total Charges disbursed within the Fusly year 1260.		
1626 6 3	12,505 13 9	138 " "	350 " "	18,920 11 11	2,67,808 2 3	
3032 10 11	11,191 10 2	" " "	3,033 9 "	21,505 5 6	1,20,487 14 "	
4,715 6 2	8,727 15 1	" " "	2,531 4 "	23,304 11 3	1,55,191 12 3	
1,149 5 5	141 7 11	" " "	1,300 " "	10,890 6 1	2,78,198 8 3	
914 11 2	11,459 14 3	119 11 "	1,700 " "	24,750 5 11	3,89,971 7 7	
6,227 9 6	27,810 14 5	1,134 14 "	680 " "	6,3289 4 10	3,98,014 3 4	
2669 7	28,080 6 4	3,381 15 "	" " "	43,580 12 1	2,07,066 5 8	
19,383 8 8	30,089 5 11	3,960 5 1	" " "	73,867 8 8	4,41,525 6 4	
" " "	1,425 14 7	" " "	" " "	2,229 4 8	1,61,74 5 8	
18,595 " 10	21,657 13 2	1,664 9 4	500 " "	47,194 4 8	2,03,241 12 8	
29 8 "	10,75 13 6	" " "	200 " "	2,409 14 5	25,530 8 6	
1,101 12 2	32,033 12 "	" " "	" " "	45,123 8 1	3,72,069 5 "	
42 " "	8,390 14 "	" " "	" " "	9,950 14 "	80,891 2 "	
" " "	600 " "	" " "	" " "	600 " "	3,927 1 7	
27 4 "	27,334 12 2	138 10 4	" " "	41,251 6 3	2,37,218 10 10	
505 12 10	27,462 11 3	1552 8 "	" " "	40,032 13 2	3,10,991 15 "	
7,982 7 1	" " "	56 1 1	" " "	77,913 3 2	2,61,734 1 10	
6,883 5 6 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	84,856 9 10	3,79,237 10 7	
20,435 9 7	2,49,989 2 6	12,146 9 10	10,294 13 "	63,167 1 "	6 4,16,580 5 4	

(Signed) J. V. Monhouse
Accountant General

Statement

7156

No. 11 Statement of the Gross Collections & Charges and Net Receipts from the Tobacco Monopoly within the Fasly year 1260 under the Presidency of Fort St. George.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Districts.	Gross Collections within the Fasly 1260.	Extra Revenue	Refunds of Charges.	Recoveries of advances for the purchase of Tobacco for the Monopoly.	Total Charges.	Charges. Hoozoor and Establishments.	Saudermard.	Extra Charges.	Purchase of Tobacco.	Advances for the purchase of Tobacco on account of the Monopoly	Total Charges Disbursed within the Fasly year 1260.	Net Revenue after deducting the Charges.
Coimbatore	35,526.14 8	" "	58 9 6	1,144 11 6	5 10 1	8,307 4 9	151 3 3	14,130 2 8	" " "	14,284 8 -	1,71,297 9 8	8,403 4 4
Malabar	5,228 4 6	15 4	" "	147 1 7	" "	5,230 2 "						
Canara	2,91,249 6 10	238 10 10	3 12	" "	" "	9,475 1 11	19 9 5	14,105 3 8	82,654 2 "	" "	1,06,065 3 2	4,16,938 13 9
Total Company's Rupees	3,49,653 4 10	238 10 10	209 7 1	1,144 11 6	5 10 1	22,012 9 1	170 12 8	28,235 5 8	82,654 2 "	14,284 8 -	2,77,363 12 10	4,25,342 2 1
						4403 10 "	227 15 3	4,259 2 10	33,648 1 11	4,508 3 "	9,476 11 "	19,383 15 8
						31,886 7 8	398 11 11	32,494 9 2	1,16,443 6 "	19,791 8 "	37,501 4 10 10	6,19,202 1 9

N.B. Vide Remark in the Statement No. 6.

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office, 3d May 1852.

E. E. Yr. (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Statement -

(Continued.)

Articles.	Quantity.	Value.	Amount of Duty thereon collected.
		£ s. d.	£ s. d.
Hops	lbs.		
Horned Cattle	No.		
Horses	No.		
Hosiery			
Household Furniture			
Indian Corn	bushels		
Indian Meal	cwt.		
Iron, Bar, Rod, and Hoop	cwt.		
Iron, Cast	cwt.		
Iron, Pig	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Nails and Spikes	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Anchors	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Chain Cables	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Castings	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Steel	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Wire	cwt.		
Iron, Wrought, Manufactures of			
Jewellery			
Lard	lbs.		
Lead, Pig, Bar, Sheet, and Shot	cwt.		
Leather			
Linseed or Flax Seed	bushels		
Live Animals	No.		
Machinery			
Malt	bushels		
Molasses	gallons		
Nails, Brads, and Spikes	cwt.		
Oakum	cwt.		
Oats	bushels		
Oil, Cod, Seal, and Fish	gallons		
Oil, Olive	gallons		
Oil, Palm	gallons		
Oil, Spermaceti	gallons		
Oil, Whale	gallons		
Oil, Other sorts	gallons		
Oil Cake	cwt.		
Paints, Ochre, and Colours			
Paper			
Pease	bushels		
Pickles			
Pitch	cwt.		
Plaster of Paris	tons		
Plate, Gold and Silver			
Pork	lbs.		
Potatoes	bushels		
Provisions			
Rice	lbs.		
Rosin	cwt.		
Salt	bushels		
Saltpetre	cwt.		
Seeds, Clover	lbs.		
Seeds, Grass	lbs.		
Seeds, Other sorts			
Shingles	No.		
Ships and Vessels	tons		
Skins and Furs			
Soap	lbs.		
Spirits, Brandy	gallons		
Spirits, Gin	gallons		
Spirits, Rum	gallons		
Spirits, Whiskey	gallons		
Spirits, Other sorts	gallons		
Starch	lbs.		
Stone, Hewn			
Sugar, Refined	lbs.		
Sugar, Unrefined	lbs.		
Tallow	lbs.		
Tar	cwt.		
Tea	lbs.		
Timber, Square			
Timber, Deals			
Timber, Staves			
Tobacco, Manufactured	lbs.		
Tobacco, Unmanufactured	lbs.		
Turpentine, Spirits of	gallons		
Vegetables			
Vessels, Copper			
Vessels, Glass			
Vessels, Tin			
Vessels, Wooden			
Vinegar	gallons		
Wax	lbs.		
Wheat	bushels		
Wheat Flour	barrels		
Wine	gallons		
Wool	lbs.		
Woollens			
Yarn, Cotton	lbs.		
Yarn, Flax	lbs.		
Yarn, Woollen	lbs.		
Other Articles			
Total			

7158 Ft. St. George.

No. 13. Statement of Compensation Offices for presumed Revenue Pensions and Charitable Allowances and Pagoda

	Compensation in lieu of Resumed Lands Offices and Privileges	Pensions and Charitable Allowances chargeable on the Revenues	Pagoda and Mosque Allowances in lieu of Resumed Lands and Privileges &c.a	Pagoda and Mosque Allowances	Total
Ganjam	20956 8 5	" " "	2350 12 7	1623 8 4	24936 13 4
Vizagapatam	4013 3 2	" " "	80 8 "	2074 3 9	6167 14 11
Rajahmundry	31726 14 5	173 8 "	4677 7 5	143 14 5	36721 12 3
Masulipatam	12473 2 "	881 15 7	" " "	1194 8 "	14552 9 7
Guntoor &c.a	56434 2 8	586 6 4	" " "	1679 9 1	58700 2 1
Nellore	360 " "	" " "	" " "	386 2 "	746 2 "
Chingleput	10188 7 2	5772 3 3	" " "	7299 3 9	23259 14 2
Madras	7391 14 7	2534 5 7	34305 15 1	32 12 2	47264 15 5
Sea Customs	18582 " 4	7452 11 1	9156 14 2	" " "	35191 9 7
Northern Division	2400 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2400 " "
	28922 10 8	21137 7 "	26961 7 5	" " "	77021 9 1
Southern Division	" " "	" " "	878 8 "	" " "	878 8 "
	77508 4 1	4191 11 8	66443 12 9	" " "	148143 12 6
	" " "	" " "	3332 " "	" " "	3332 " "
Bellary	32484 2 10	3435 1 "	18137 10 8	399 " "	54455 14 6
Cuddapah	42689 " 10	3338 2 5	25372 12 4	1341 15 "	72741 14 7
Salem &c.a	" " "	552 9 8	54441 7 7	3805 2 5	58799 3 8
	" " "	60 " "	" " "	" " "	60 " "
Coimbatore	2313 14 8	" " "	52954 13 2	" " "	55268 11 10
Tanjore &c.a	121 " 3	11951 11 9	6467 15 2	132599 2 7	151139 13 9
	" " "	8 12 "	" " "	6601 " "	6609 12 "
Trichinopoly	" " "	300 " "	" " "	" " "	300 " "
Madura &c.a	15246 4 5	3941 15 8	56364 3 3	" " "	75552 7 4
Tinnevelly	8844 7 7	3650 8 "	42224 2 6	1200 1 1	55119 3 2
Malabar	2165 5 1	" " "	16413 7 4	" " "	18578 12 5
Canara	12344 4 5	789 14 9	181760 8 3	" " "	194894 11 5
Kurnool	263790 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	263790 12 2
	22043 10 2	977 13 3	127299 3 11	3832 6 3	154153 1 7
	173151 7 11	7978 9 "	" " "	3595 3 4	184725 4 3
Fort St. George	845351 9 10	79718 6 "	732629 9 7	167807 12 2	1825507 5 7

Signed J. W. Stonhouse
Accountant General

General

17th June 1852.

ment / connected with the Administration of Civil Criminal

Total Judicial Charges	Police under the Magistrate				Total Judicial and Police Charges disbursed within the Fasly year 1260
	Magistrates Salary	Native Establishments	Contingent	Police	
257749 10 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	257749 10 6
63206 3 4	2933 5 -	4329 2 2	714 12 6	14670 7 8	85853 14 8
24861 - 11	3642 14 11	17324 3 4	17253 3 8	44852 12 1	107934 2 11
96315 8 6	2955 12 5	29157 3 7	1456 2 11	9554 13 11	139469 9 4
68284 2 1	2933 5 -	1542 3 6	1400 4 9	31261 11 1	105421 10 5
56410 14 -	3229 2 10	6801 14 3	1217 8 10	37856 1 3	105515 9 2
73976 12 -	2233 5 -	3747 2 -	2130 10 6	14374 14 7	96462 12 1
87754 - 1	3459 15 8	456 - -	597 7 -	466 14 4	92734 5 1
99476 1 4	2940 13 5	5340 9 2	9272 7 11	30654 10 3	147684 10 1
119036 8 4	2933 5 -	1762 - -	1291 3 4	52773 3 10	177796 4 6
103887 6 7	2992 7 2	10822 13 7	7633 2 3	38839 1 5	164174 15 -
74590 8 7	2933 5 -	3042 2 7	1233 9 1	41201 10 8	123001 3 11
113774 7 4	3262 3 2	3691 10 4	1514 5 3	67652 4 3	189894 14 4
87123 2 10	3232 3 7	2006 4 8	653 15 4	4130 10 8	97146 5 1
103618 4 5	3015 4 9	5079 10 5	1781 2 5	34006 15 3	147501 5 3
71856 13 11	2795 9 11	4617 14 4	827 11 9	13017 14 9	93116 - 8
89541 11 -	3333 5 -	1708 10 2	749 4 5	2226 5 2	97553 3 9
98588 1 1	2944 6 9	1816 6 -	1858 13 7	- - -	105207 11 5
181102 12 8	3333 5 -	1188 - -	2373 3 8	14603 8 8	232600 14 -
190958 14 1	2688 13 11	5834 - 9	7657 6 9	39286 5 -	246425 8 6
17004 6 -	- - -	3314 1 4	246 3 3	88344 6 11	108909 1 6
1821397 11 1	57792 15 6	113582 - 2	61862 11 2	609768 11 9	2664404 1 8
2079147 5 7	57792 15 6	113582 - 2	61862 11 2	609768 11 9	2922153 12 2

Fort St. George

17th June 1852.

Justice to the Native Inhabitants under this Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260.—

Receipts Judicial					Police and the Magistracy	Total Judicial and Police Receipts within the Fusly year 1260	Net Charges of the Judicial Department within the Fusly year 1260
Fees	Fines and Forfeitures	Refunds of Charges &c.	Total				
2 ~ ~	256 12 1						
6 8 ~	74 4 ~	214 3 11	147 3 ~		1173 2		
23 2 8	459 ~ 2	262 7 ~	314 3 ~		2272 15		
39 4 8	124 9 5	1526 14 4	2008 7 2		1658 14		
8 ~ ~	71 7 9	144 13 9	308 11 10		1783 5		
68 ~ ~	530 ~ ~	606 5 7	685 13 4		2312 12		
62 4 ~	244 2 11	356 3 7	954 3 2		3822 6		
25 ~ ~	352 4 5	2795 6 ~	3101 12 11		1954 15		
64 ~ ~	812 15 ~	1088 9 11	1465 14 4		2858 8		
408 1 5	377 7 ~	3522 12 8	4399 11 8		6247 6		
16 ~ ~	139 12 ~	530 9 9	1316 2 2		3073 9		
13 4 ~	4520 9 8	1468 13 9	1624 9 9		2651 15		
51 ~ ~	504 15 8	3235 4	4957 3 ~		3928 10		
312 8 8	1602 14 6	5795 9 4	5751 9 ~		3252 14		
86 8 ~	477 6 ~	4861 10 ~	6777 1 2		5048 1		
31 3 3	719 10 ~	6798 6 7	7362 1 7		2791 7		
3752 3 3	769 14 3	926 7 10	1677 5 1		2158 11		
114 4 11	4163 9 6	1088 14 10	1858 13 1		3386 2		
~ ~ ~	690 14 ~	1666 12 9	7881 9 6		2901 7		
	24 ~ ~	1527 11 ~	2332 3 10		2121 8		
		~ 5 10	21 5 10		987 7		
5083 4 10	15315 8 4	34905 3 3	55304 ~ 5		56179 8 9		
5083 4 10	15315 8 4	34905 3 3	55304 ~ 5		56179 8 9	111483 9 2	2552920 8 6
						111483 9 2	2810670 3 ~

Fort St. George Accountant General's Office 18th May 1852.

E. E. F.

/Signed/ T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

1824 ... 161 3 1 1665-12 ~
... 4 4 4 62,828 5 ~ 44,63 2 4

Parishes
... 1 ... 1 ... Inhabitants

Tanjore
Trichinopoly
Madura
Tinnevelly

N.W.	1 Territory		1827. " "	161	3	1	1,665 12 11
		Purchase	145	4	7	62,828 5 11	44,63,7 2 7
		& Papers					

7161.

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

No. 15. List of Revenue Accounts prepared for Fusly 1260 in pursuance of the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors according to the Forms in use at the Presidency of Bengal—

1 Statement of the Demand collection and Balance of Land Revenue of the Presidency Fort St. George on account of the Summah of Fusly 1260 or from 12th July 1850 to 11th July 1851 and shewing also the collections from that date to the 31st October 1851 with the Net Balance then due—

2 Statement of the Demand collection and Balance of Arrears of Land Revenue of the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly 1260 or from 12th July 1850 to 11th July 1851 and shewing also the collections from the latter date to the 31st October 1851 with the Net Balance then due—

3 Statement shewing the Demand collection and Balance of Abkarry and small Farms and Licenses under the Presidency of Fort St. George for Fusly year 1260 or from 12th July 1850 to 11th July 1851 and shewing also the collections from that date to the 31st October 1851 and the Net Balance then outstanding—

4 Statement shewing the Demand collection and Balance of Moturpha under the Presidency of Fort St. George for the Fusly year [illegible]

July 1851 and shewing also the collections from that date to the 31st October 1851 and the Net Balance then outstanding—

5. Statement of the Gross and Net Revenue collected in the several Districts under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260—

6. Statement Shewing the Gross collections charges and Net Receipts of Land Revenue of the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260—

7. Statement of the Gross collections charges and Net Receipts from Abkarry and small Farms and Licences under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260.

8. Statement of the Gross Collections Charges and Net Receipts from Moturpha under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260—

9. Statement of the Gross collections Charges and Net Receipts from the Land and Sea Customs under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260—

10. Statement of the Gross collections Charges and Net Receipts from the Salt Monopoly under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260—

11 Statement of the Gross collections Charges and Net Receipts from Tobacco Monopoly under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1260—

Statement

1824 . . . 161 3 1 1865-12-11

. . . 45 4 4 82,828 5 11 44,634 2 4

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

12 Statement of the produce of Mauzahs under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fasly year 1260

13 General Statement of the Receipts and Disbursements and Net Payments under the Heads of Comprehensive for renewal, Officers and Privileged Persons and Charitable Allowances and Expds and Monegue Allowances at Fort St. George within the Fasly year 1260

14 General Statement of the Charges Receipts and Net Payments on account of the Judicial Department connected with the administration of Civil and Criminal Justice in the Provinces under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fasly year 1260

T. V. Monbonde
Revenue Land Secretary
Collector & Magistrate

Fort St. George
Accountant Generals Office
3d May 1852

(64:65)

Under Steven
Asst Sec

Territory
Purchase
Dollars

1163

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

(66)

No. 247

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Bd No. 1195

To The Chief Secretary to Government.....

Sir,

Adverting to the Endorsement of the Deputy Secretary to Government dated 1st June 1852, on Petition No. 753 of 1852 addressed by Casava Row brother of Streenevasa Row late Tahsildar of the Nundicoolur Talook in Kurnool, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that the papers respecting the removal of that Officer from his Tahsildary on conviction, under Regulation IX of 1822 of making illegal exactions to the amount of Rupees 9,194-8-- were submitted to Government on the 23d October 1851.

Stating that the papers respecting the removal of Streenevasa Row from the Tahsildary were submitted to Government and forwarding the Endorsement by the Agent at Kurnool on Casava Row's Petition, laying claim to certain property sold in satisfaction of the judgment passed on the late Tahsildar of the Nundicoolur Talook.

† Board's No. 35 of 1852. transmitted herewith.

2. I am also directed to submit the accompanying Endorsement dated 16th March 1852, by the Agent at Kurnool on Casava Row's Petition No. 410 of 1852, addressed to the Board, from which it will be seen that in satisfaction of the judgment passed on Streenevasa Row's property to the value of Rupees 3,627-12-9 in Cuddapah and of Rupees 1,538-5-5 in Kurnool was attached but

but before proceeding with the sale a reference was made to the Collector of Cuddapah to know whether any objection existed when that Officer pointed out that after deducting the value of certain Bhuntarwutty Enams and other joint and ancestral property, there was no objection to the sale of the remainder, or Rupees 634-3-6, which as far as the Board are informed is the only portion of the Cuddapah property that was sold. It will also be found that Petitioner failed to appear within three months to prove his claim to the property at Kurnool and that as the Agent was satisfied that Petitioner had no just claim thereto / for it was acquired by the dismissed Tahsildar during his service in the Kurnool Province / it appears to have been sold in due course.

3.

Mr. Conway adds in his Endorsement that Petitioner appears to have been an active Agent in his brother's delinquencies and withdrew from the Kurnool District as soon as enquiry into them was begun.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th June 1852.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Resolved

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

67.

Bd. No. 1181.

To the Collectors of Cuddapah Tanjore and Malabar.

To The Collectors of Cuddapah Tanjore & Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings of 22d March 1852, forwarding copy of a printed Minute and Draft act for the discouragement of fraudulent and secret transfer of property and calling for your opinion on the provisions of the proposed act. I am directed to request that you will submit your report as soon as possible as the Government are desirous of receiving an early reply.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th June 1852.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

68

Bd. No. 1150

To The Collector of Coimbatore

To The Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish them at an early date with the information called for in their Proceedings of 13th October 1851, respecting the repair of certain private

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

private chuttrums in your District.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th June 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

69

Bo. No. 1170.

To The Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

The Collector of Coimbatore.

With reference to my letter of 15th April 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue again to request that you will report whether any obstacles have arisen since 1815 to interfere with the decision of the Boundary Commissioners of that year being acted on.

2. The Collector of Malabar having long since submitted his report on the subject, the Board are desirous of laying it, together with the one from you, before Government without further delay.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
17th June 1852.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

70

No. 1389. Statement.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Statement shewing comparatively the receipts of Land Revenue and Extra Sources

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

71

No. 1390

From the Agent at Kurnool. Statement shewing comparatively the charges collections of his District for Fusslies 1259 and 1260.

70-72

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

No. 1383

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Read Statement

73

shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption for the quarter ending 30th April last.

73-74

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

No. 1208.

From the Collector of Nellore. Read Statement

75

of contingent charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st March last.

75-76

Order thereon. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned

77

Registers.

No. 1384

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred

78

by the Board for the Month of May last.

No. 1381

From the Collector of Madura. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of May last.

Order

Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

77-79

80
My No. 622. Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.
From the Collector of Madras. Salt account Current

81
My No. 619 for the Quarter ending 31st March last.
From the Collector of Canara. Return of Persons charged

82
My No. 621 with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822
From the Collector of Cuddapah. for the Month of March last.

83
My No. 620 Return of Persons confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Month of May last.
Stating that there were no cases of Persons charged with Offences

84 under Regulation IX of 1822, and of Persons
My No. 623 suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of
From the Collector of Tanjore May last.
Reporting that no Persons

85 have been charged or confined under Regulation
My No. 616 IX of 1822, for the Month of May last.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.
Stating that the Returns of Persons tried for Offences and confined

86 under Regulation IX of 1822 were not forwarded for the Month of May last.
My No. 618
From the Collector of Nellore. Reporting that no Village Moonsiffs were suspended and dismissed

87 under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of May last.
My No. 617
From the Collector of Madura. Reporting that there were no Returns for certain Statements

88 for the Month of May last.
My No. 612
From the Collector of Canara. Statement of smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for

88-89 the Month of April last.
Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned State-

90 ment be authorized.
My No. 624
From the Collector of Madras. Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1000

91 Salt Rowannahs.
My No. 614
From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary General for Carts &c. required for the General Treasury.

From

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 12th Chittary year Saya of Soobien son of Senkarien &c. — 1 14/16

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 2d Chittary year Saya of Aramadoo Sjengar — 1

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 19th Chittary year Havelumbee of Ramiengar son of Streenevasiengar — 1

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 29th Karthike, year Saya, of Abyara=mammal heiress of Gopalien — 1

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 1st Chittary, year Saya, of Letch=meenaranien adopted son of Syem=petta Naranien — 3 1/2

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 3d Margaly, year Saya, of Aby Ramien Senkarasoobien — 23/64

As per particular in the Moochilika dated 6th Pungoony, year Thoormooky, of Appoonien — 1/2

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 6th Pungoony, year Thoormooky, of Samier son of Goorroosawmy Syah &c. — 5

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 6th Pungoony, year Thoormooky, of Streenevas=jengar son of Ramasawmy Syengar — 1 1/2

As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 11th Chittary, year Saya, of Nurdiah and Soobiah — 1 1/2

Total shares as per the 14 Moochilikas 20 3/64

&c &c

2. Have you obtained any documents from the proprietors of the remaining 5 4/64 shares?

A. Of the shares in question, the owner of 2 26/64 shares Rajah Chary having kept back=ances of Sodigy, a plaint was preferred in the Nameal Moonsiff's Court, and his shares were sold under orders of the Court, when they were purchased for Rupees 40 by Veeraspa pillay. This individual having sustained loss for 2 years, resigned his shares like the other Brahmins under a Padoogal Moochilika — I do not recollect in what year he so re=signed, I must refer to the accounts and state it. The Moochilika was lost, and though searched has not been discovered — The documents regarding the shares 2 of Narasimmachary son of Chindaloody Ram=gachary &c. 1/2 of Chinna Streenevasiengar 1/2 of Rama Chary 29/64 of Goorroonien, and 6/64 the share common to the Cutcha, in all 3 35/64 shares having been deposited in the house of Mo=nien Samain of Andapoonum, were con=sumed while the house took fire in the Month of Thy, year Soobacruthoo= I do not recollect in what year the re=ments in question were executed; and I did not enter them in the accounts too. As the shares might have lapsed to me in

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

in portions annually. I am precluded from stating the particulars regarding them

2. What is the cause of some documents only having been deposited in the Monigar's house?

A. As they were obtained by me when I proceeded to Andapooram I kept them in his house.

2. It appears from the depositions of the Agearundars that prior to the execution of their Moochilikas you had similarly enjoyed the entire agearm

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

Agraram inclusive of jaury and jodigy from the year Vicrama to Parthiva; Have you also enjoyed it during the 6 years?

A. At that time too, the Agraramdars all united together and jointly executed a Moochilka to the effect that they did not require the Agraram as it did not yield to them even the amount of jodigy. In pursuance of that Moochilka, I did enjoy. Subsequently some public Servants having pointed out to me that objection would be raised to my enjoying an Agraram inclusive of the jaury portion and bearing a jodigy of Pagodas 412 and odd, I restored it to the agraramdars requiring them to pay the jodigy.

2 Have you the Moochilka which you then obtained from them jointly?

A When there was a combination of the ryots in the years Survajeethoo or Survadary they plundered and carried away all the documents in my house this document was then lost with the others.

Continuation

continuation of the investigation on the 4th August

2 It is stated that you have enjoyed the Agraram inclusive of the Jaury portion exceeding the Jodigy Rupees 1445—5—10 included in the permanent Beriz of your Mootah, the annual Jaury sum assigned to the Bramins viz Pagodas 376—30—25 equal to Rupees 1318—5—6 amounts to—

	Rs. a. p.
From the year Jaya or Fusly 1246 to Sattarara of Fusly 1260 being 17 Years.	22141-13-6
From the year Vicrama / Fusly 1230 / to Partheva / Fusly 1235 / or 6 years	7910-1-0
Rupees	30321-14-6

what do you say to this amount for which you have to be answerable to the Circar?

A. If the agraram was profitable the agramundars would have never relinquished it inclusive of the Jaury portion. He requested me very much to accept Rajeenamah stating that they were unable to pay the jodigy. I declined to accept Rajeenamah pointing out to them

them in several ways to go and present the Rajeenamahs to the Circar themselves and that I should be satisfied with a remission of the Jodigy from the permanent Bariz of my Mootah. As they stated that they did not know the Circar and that I being the Mootahdar I myself should accept the Rajeenamah, I did so—

2. Did you report to the then Collector the circumstance of their having stated the above?

A. I was not aware of the rule requiring that I should report to the Collector, as the Circar attach my Mootah in the event of its Beriz falling into arrears, I did not consider it necessary to report as the Agraram was included in my Mootah—

2. By Section IV and XII, Regulation XXV of 1802, Para 3 of the Istemorar Sunnud and the decision of the Sudr Court in Appeal No. 6 of 1821, it is understood that the Mootahdar is entitled only to the Jodigy of Villages and Sundry Enams included in the permanent Beriz of the Mootah, but not to the Jaury amount—

These

Fort St. George 17th June 1852.

These enactments are now read to you have and give your reply?

A. I have heard the above Regulat= read. I have not hitherto been aware of the provisions therein contained. During the I have been in the enjoyment of the Agraarm, had I derived the profit mentio= in the foregoing query, I shall submit to any orders of the Concar in regard to the amount.

2 Whether you have derived profi= or not there is reason to hold you ac= =countable for the amount, how is your assertion that you have enjoyed no profit therefrom to be believed?

A. I have in my profession Putt= accounts for the lands I made over to the ryots annually for cultivation, and for the Swarnadayem. If those Putt= accounts and the Puttahs issued by me to the ryots are inspected, the fact will be known.

2 By whom do you get the Curnum duties of the agraarm performed?

A. There is no pay or manium

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

to the Curnum assigned by the Concar, there is no Curnum appointed. There is one Vyra= paroomapilly who is called the Merasidar, he is unable to write accounts, I get the accounts written by my own man.

2 What account do you posses to ascertain the Teerwa fixed on the lands of the agraarm at the Survey, and to give out the lands for cultivation?

A. When the Agraarmdars put me in posession of the Village they wrote and gave me an account of the Teerwa of the differ= =ent fields. It is with reference to this account that I have written the Putcut, but the account furnished by the Agraarmdars was consumed in fire, it is not with me now.

2. Have you now given out the lands for cultivation at the faisul rates or on reduced rates.

A. Only some lands which posses the means of irrigation, have been given to Bram= =mins at the faisul rates the other lands have been given on reduced rates.

2 Have all the lands been given for money payment, or have lands been given on Wornum tenure also?

A. I had lands cultivated for Wornum as well as

as for Money payments, the particulars of which I have entered in the Putcut

2 Have you cultivation on your own account?

A. I have no cultivation of my own

2 What Sources of irrigation do the Nunjah lands of the jodigy Agraram possess?

A. They possess on Tank and one Anicut

2 Are they in repair?

A. The are all filled up and do not retain water

2 Have you executed repairs to them since your acquisition of the Agraram?

A. I have executed some repairs, their cost is almost 500 Rupees, the Tank and Anicut are common to the Sirva Manium and jodigy portions of the Agraram, as the water of the Anicut and Tank irrigates the Sirva Manium lands also, it has been usual to share the cost of the repairs executed, between the Sirva Maniemdars and the proprietors of the Jodigy lands

2 It appears from the deposition of the Agramdars that the Jodigy of each share of the agraram is Rupees 45 2. 6; what profit over and above the jodigy has

has each share yielded to you since your acquisition of the agraram?

A. It will appear on reference to the accounts

2 The lands, Nunjah, Poonjah and Tottacul of the agraram have been long in your enjoyment what is their condition?

A. The Nunjah lands are not of a superior quality, they are entirely Cullur, they are not like the Nunjah lands of the adjoining villages of Mayttoopatty, Aroor &c., these are superior lands and bear an easy assessment as the lands of the Agraram bear besides a heavy assessment, the Nunjah and Poonjah lie waste

2 What extent of the lands of the Agraram lie waste?

A. A fourth of the Nunjah may have lain waste, also 10 Villums of Poonjah.

2 Of what years do you possess the Putcut accounts?

A. The Putcut of the year Sathanah alone has not been written, the Putcut of all the other years has been written. —

continuation

relationship. Both of them are not alive now, they are dead.

2. What Villages are comprehended in Mayttoopatty Mootah?

A. Cusbah Mayttooputty and its hamlet Vudoogapatty and Sodigy Agraram of Andaposrum.

2. What is the permanent Beriz payable on the Mayttooputty Moottah?

A. The permanent Beriz of Mayttooputty Mootah inclusive of Samarnadayem is Rupees 3150-13-4, from which deducting the remission on account of Motarpha Viz. Rupees 38-4- the remainder I continue to pay to the Circar.

2. What portion of the above forms the Beriz payable annually by the Andaposrum Agraramdars?

A. Pooly Pagodas 412 Myle 43 Cash 68 equal to Rupees 1,445-5-10

2. Besides this is the Sodigy of any Sundry Enams included in the Beriz?

A. Besides the Sodey of the Agraram no Sodigy of Sundry Enams is included in my Mootah.

2 Have you given any deposition on the 25th March 1850 during the investigation of the Samaul Tasildar Soondralinga Moodely in respect to a complaint of Pichooviengar that you gave out for cultivation to Vencata-chella reddy the land forming the vent of the surplus water of the Nunjah lands of Andapoodien

Andaposrum Agraram?

A. I have given a deposition The one now shewn is mine and the signature is also mine.

2. From the above deposition it appears that all the proprietors of the 32 shares of the Agraram executed a Padoogal Moochilika to you resigning the Agraram with the Jaury portion and that you yourself have enjoyed it inclusive of the Jaury portion from Fusly 1243, is it true that you have been enjoying the Sodigy Agraram inclusive of the Jaury and Sodigy portions?

A. I myself have been enjoying it.

2. From the investigation before me of such Agraramdars as were present, and were directed to appear, and from the 14 Cadjan Moochilikas of the Agraramdars delivered by you to the Tahsildar the total shares are 32 as follows.

	Shares
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 10th Month Chittary year Jaya of Soobramanea Iyer son of Soobien	3/4
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 10th Chittary year Velumbee of Govinddien, son of Naraniem	1 6/16
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 25th Audy year Jaya of Rama Chary son of Coopa Chary	2
As per particulars in the Moochilika dated 12th Chittary, year Jaya, of Ramien son of Venkatamien	1 11/16

as

1170

Fort St. George 17th June 1852

Mes. No. 615

From the Sub Treasurer General for Carpenters

(92.)

Indent on the Commissary

Order on the 2. abovementioned

(91-93.)

Indents

Ordered that the original Indents be passed and returned.

" Goldingham

" J. Blane

Comp.d J. E. Boyle

1827 . . 161 3 1 1665 12 11

15 4 4 2828 5 " 1446 34 2 4

Pay Sheet

Ten Sent to Corp.