

1777/78

Vat. 2324

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
988	From Govt.	Memorial from Shaikh Hassan Rowther, late Talr.	6127
1005	Order to Salem	To report on the above	6132
1097	From Govt.	Relative to the grant of certain land to Mr. Mc. Ivor	"
1016	Res. Coimbre	Comng. the above	6136
1126	From Govt.	Increase of Salary to certain parties in the Stamp Office	6139
1007	Order Supt. Stamps	Comng. the above	6141
1127	From Govt.	Appointment of an officiating Secy. to the Horticultural Society &c.	"
1166	From Govt.	Relative to the appointment of Mr. Poulton in the Forest Dept.	6143
1020	Order Canara	Comng. the above	6145
1170	From Govt.	Selections from the Public correspce. N. W. Ps.	"
1008	Order to S.J.W. & Comrs. Arcot	Forwarding the above	6140
1181	From Vizagm.	Statt. of population	6148
997	Pro. to Rajahy.	For appointing Tolasing a Chetty to the Office of Talr.	6149
1061	From Nellore	Madar Bee's claim to certain lapsed Enam	"
1183	From Bellary	Return of Talsildars	6151
1051	From Cuddapah	Information regg. the Forests on certain Hills, and the timber procurable therefrom	6156
1011	To Govt.	Subg. reports from Kurnool & Cuddapah on the above	6159
1147	From Chingt.	Reimbursement into the Treasury the amount of Tuccavy advances made for the Repair of the Vayaloor Canal	6161
1018	Pro. to Govt.	Subg. the above	6162
902	From N. Arcot	Tabular Report of Lapsed Enams	6163
1009	Remarks to do.	On the above	6167
1173	From N. Arcot	Calling for a copy of the Endorsemt. on Petr. 578/1852 from the Widow of a Poot Jaghiredar	6168
1017	To do.	Transmitting the above	6169
1169	From Salem	Sale of Lands for arrears of Revenue	6170
1002	Pro. to do.	Authorizing the above	6173
760	From Tanjore	On the complaint made by certain Petitioners holding Shrotrium lands	6174
1023	To Govt.	On the above	6176
1202	From Madura	Return of Talsildars	6179

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
887	From Coimbre	Indent for Regulations	6184
1036	Res. Tellicherry	Forwarding the above	6188
1089	From Coimbre	Land sold to Capt. Reilly by the Burghers	6189
1024	Pro. Govt.	On the above	6190
1098	From Malabar	On the claim of the Barbee of Cannanore to the Monopoly trade between the Laccadive Islands & Cannanore	6191
1010	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above	6193
785	From Madras	Regg. grants in the Salt Dept. &c.	6194
1014	Pro. Govt.	On the above	6195
1026	From Madras	Statt. of discrepancies between the Regr. of Quit Rent Bills & Certificates &c.	6213
1025	Pro. Govt.	On the above	6219
1128	From Madras	Petn. from Mooneappa Grammy, complaining against certain Peerwa Jastis	6220
996	Pro. to do.	On the above	6226
1171	From do.	Tamil Statt. of Extra Rev.	6227
1132	From do.	On the claim of Petnr. Ragaviah (No. 180/1852) to certain Lands	"
1022	To Govt.	On the above	6229
1131	From Sea Customs	For taking Security from Shroffs	6230
1019	Pro. do.	On the above	6231
999	To Govt.	Statt. of Charges &c. incurred in F. 1260 under the Madras Presy.	6232
1001	To do.	On Petn. No. 436 of 1852 from Nellore	6241
1012	To do.	Statt. of Ordinary Collns.	6242

Con. 31st May

1243	From Govt.	Withdrawal of Mr. Goodwyn's Applica. for leave of absence	6261
1006	Order to Tanjore	Comng. the above	"
1003	To Madras	Calling for papers regg. the Salt Lands	6262
1004	To Chief Engr.	Returning certain Plan	
1245	From Madras	Form of Bonds for the retail Sale of Beer &c.	6263
1015	To Madras	Calling for information regg. passes for liquor	6266

Board's No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1125	From Govt.	Sanctg. Saderwares & Extra Charges for F. 1260	6268
1114	From Govt.	Reduction of Import duty on Foreign Salt	6270
1165	From Govt.	Relative to the growth of Tobacco Vga Bellary	6273
1045	Order Bellary	Commg. the above	6278
1167	From Govt.	On the subject of the Tobacco Monopoly of Malabar and Canara	6279
1034	To Govt.	On the above	6291
1222	From Govt.	Enams in Endowment of Village Artisans	6294
1214	From Govt.	Orders on the Extra Sources of Rev. Chingleput for F. 1260	6295
1046	Order Chingt.	Commg. the above	6297
893	From Nellore	Application from R. Vencatasawmy for a License for the Sale of Spirituous Liquors	"
790	From Salem	Proposing certain measures for the Sale of Liquor	6300
1029	Pro. to Salem	Issuing instructions on the above	6301
1052	From Cuddapah	Regg. the Servants of the Tomb of Meer Rajah Allikhan, & the nomination of a Dhurmakurtah	6303
1037	Pro. do.	On the above	6319
1155	From Cuddapah	Reporting upon the conduct of the Head Sheristadar	6321
1031	To Govt.	Subg. the above	6322
1188	From N. Arcot	Register of Villages Vga granted on Shotriem tenure	6324
1038	Pro. Salem	To furnish a List of Land Rev. Sewaye Jumma Balances	6327
1211	From Salem	Refund of Rs 15 to the Appellant in A.S. No 34 of 1851	6328
1047	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above for Sanction	6330
1263	From Salem	Leave of absence to Ag. Head Asst. Collr.	"
927	From N. Arcot	Decrease in the Land Rev. Vga Custom Collns	6331
1057	To do.	Calling for certain information regg. the D. C. & B. Statey	6333
1008	From Madura	Discrepancy in the Salt Statet	6335
1043	Pro. to do.	Instructions on the above	6338
1148	From do.	Sale of the Kulla monthly Mootah	6339
1026	Pro. to Govt.	On the above	"
1146	From Madura	Payment of the sums awarded against the Manager of the Dindigul Davastanum Vga	6340
1149	From Tinnevelly	Disbursement of Rs 350 on account of the late Rent of Vaughton	6344
1028	Pro. to do.	Authorizing the above	6345
427	From Malabar	Boundary disputes between the Cochin State and Coimbre district	6345
851	From do.	For appointing an Ameen at Pudanagrum	6349
1044	Pro. to do.	On the above	6350
1172	From do.	Wastage of Tobacco to be written off the Accounts	6353
1030	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above for Sanction	6355
945	From Madras	Reporting on the Extent of Land to be assessed & of a proposed Survey Vga	6356
1041	To Govt.	On the above	6372
1177	From Regr. Sudr. Udalut	Succession to a Jaghire	6380
1039	Order Salem	Forwarding an answer from the Cangre regg. the above	6381
1163	From Messrs. Pharoah & Co.	Requesting to have access to the Maps of the Collectorate	6382
1032	To Govt.	Account Particulars of Charges for conveying Salt Rowannah	"
1056	Circular	Forwarding Copy of Dr. Buists work	6385
1042	To Civil Aud.	List of the Board's Establishment	6386
1049	To do.	Statet. of Uncovenanted Servants	6397

Diary.

(1)

22d May. Received the following Extracts

Bd. No. 1194 No. 459.-

From the Secretary to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 21st May, 1852.-

Read the following Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue /Here enter 19th April 1852. No. 136/

Para 1. In Conformity with the orders of the Court for the relief of Insolvent Debtors forwarded with the letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 2d April last, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council requests the Board of Revenue will instruct that Officer to hold until further orders are furnished to him by the Insolvent Court, the two third shares of the accumulated Surplus Funds, of the Shrotriem Village of Ootacand due to the Insolvents Codundasawmy Naik and Juggunadasawmy Naick

2. The Shotrium will be registered in the joint name of these two

6097 Fort St. George 22nd May 1852

two individuals, the surviving sons of Dr. Sawmy Naik as the second life, and in that of his grandson Venataramanjulu as the third life, and will Continue under the management of the Court of Wards until the Minor attains his majority, their shares of the proceeds being disbursed annually to Codundasawmy Naik and Juggannadasawmy Naik.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.—

Bd. No. 972.

Order thereon.

1-2

Ordered to be Communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 2d April 1852.

In Cons: 19th April 1852

22d. May

Received the following letter

3

No. 35.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1196

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore ----

Sir,

I have the honor to request that

6098 Fort St. George 22nd May 1852.

that you will have the goodness to Cancel my Application dated 13th. May for three months leave from the 1st. July next.—

Tanjore, Trangurbar, 19th May, 1852.

/Signed/ T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector.

Order thereon. 22nd May. Ordered to be Submitted for the information of Government.—

3-4

22nd May Sent the following letter No. 196.—

5

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 966

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government Conveyed in Extract from Consultations of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the month of April 1852, for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
22nd May, 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Received

Received the following letter.

No. 25

To,

Wm. H. Bayley Esq.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

(6)

Bd. No. 1095

From the Collector of Chingleput, Para 1

Supplying the information called for in the Extract of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue on the subject of the Arrack Distilleries established in this District.

Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22d January 1852 requiring with reference to letter from the Collector of Madras thereto annexed, information in regard to the Arrack Distilleries established in this District. I have the honor to note marginally the number of distilleries the establishment of which has been authorized in the Abkarry Cowles issued for the several years Commencing from the date of Collector Mr. Cottin's Correspondence alluded to with the Board of Revenue up to the present

Fasles	Distilleries	
1241	212	*
1242	212	
1243	160	
1244	177	
1245	209	
1246	53	
1247	143	
1248	75	
1249	72	
1250	70	
1251	69	
1252	67	
1253	70	
1254	70	
1255	70	
1256	70	
1257	70	
1258	70	
1259	70	
1260	70	
1261	70	

present period.

2. I beg to explain that the arrangement regarding the restriction to one Arrack Distillery within 10 Miles of the Abkarry limits of Madras as proposed in Mr. Cottin's letter to the Board dated 20th May 1831 and sanctioned by the Board appears to have been subsequently lost sight of from causes which I am unable satisfactorily to ascertain, but probably arising from the change of Collectors which took place at the time.

3 The Distilleries situated within the prescribed limit during the period of lease about to expire in addition to that of Coottumbaukum and the date from which they have been respectively

* Distilleries	Fasles
Poonamallee	1246
Cunnapallium	1246
Postavaidos	1245
Pooledevaukum	1255
Madoovail	1259
Moollavail	1245
Tambarumvor Cudapary	1245
Madavunkum	1245

established, are also entered in the Margin. * The remaining distilleries are either entirely beyond the specified limits or (as is the case in some instances) situated just about the

the distance prescribed, and no objection appears to exists to their being allowed to remain.

4. In regard to the first 6 of the 8 distilleries situated within the limits as above referred to, I beg to observe that their entire discontinuance is likely to create some inconvenience to the Shop keepers who received their supply of arrack from them. If the Shopkeepers in question who are in the habit of taking their supply in small lots almost daily are placed under the necessity of resorting to a distillery situated at a great distance the charges attending the transit of Arrack to their respective Shops will be greatly increased, and the Article will further be exposed to injury on the way. I would nevertheless recommend that the distillery of arrack at these places should be discontinued, but that the renters be permitted to remove Arrack to the above Godowns from any other authorized distillery for distribution to the several Shopkeepers within those limits. It does not appear that any

places

places of importance included in the Atkarry limits of Madras, are situated in the vicinity of the godowns in question and as the Collector of Madras has not reported that any injury has arisen to the Revenue of his Collectorate from them, I am not inclined to think the arrangement proposed would be open to objection as affording facilities to fraud and smuggling.—

5. I Consider the position of the remaining two distilleries of Tambaram and Madavaukum highly objectionable. They are respectively 5 and 1/2 of a mile distant from the Atkarry limits of Madras and situated in the vicinity of the Cantonments of Palaveram and St. Thomas' Mount the Atkarry of which is included in the Madras Collectorate. The necessity of their entire discontinuance is therefore obvious although it may be attended with some decrease in the revenue of this district, a decrease however which will be counterbalanced by

an

an increase in the Revenue of Madras resulting from the proposed measure.

6. In the event of the proposition submitted in my letter of the 21st Ultimo for extending the lease of the present Abkarry renters for a further term being sanctioned it will be necessary to make a deduction in the amount of the rent with reference to losses likely to result owing to the discontinuance of the said distilleries at Madavanknm and Tumbarum. The renters represent their probable losses at 2,500 and 2,000 Pagodas respectively to which extent they request that allowance may be made. It is to be observed that they have no accounts to produce in support of their statements as the Talooks of Triporoor and Sydapet in which the distilleries in question are situated have

been sub-rented.—

7. The discontinuance of the above two distilleries must likewise necessarily affect the sales and consequently the profits of the renters, which latter there is reason to suppose the facility afforded for the consumption of illicit sales of arrack by the proximity of these distilleries to the Cantonments of St. Thomas' Mount and Palaveram, has rendered lucrative

lucrative. The compensation to be granted should therefore fall ... considerably short of the losses alleged to be incurred, and in this matter I shall await the Board's decision with reference to the circumstances above detailed.-

Chunglepat District-
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry-
Coolong, 6th May, 1852 - - - -

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Collector-

Bd. No. 982.

Order thereon-

6-7

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Letter be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for such observations as he may have to offer upon it, with reference to his letter dated 8th January 1852.-

In Cons: 22d January 1852.

D.F.

Received

Received the following letter-

No. 19.

To W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

8

Bd. No. 701.
From the Collector of Tanjore Submitting a Statement for Sanction for ... Ayacut Maniem arrears-

Sir,

I have the honor to submit according to the prescribed Form a Statement of the arrears of Ayacut Maniem No. 94 amounting to Rupees 3841-1-3 due to the late Ramasawmy Pillay-

On the 17th March 1836 Government authority was granted for continuing the allowance in question amounting to Rupees 1039-3-0- per ... annum during the lives of the said Ramasawmy Pillay and his Uncle of the same name, these individuals being the heirs of Anacoody Saminada pillay the party to whom the Maniem was originally granted to promote Cultivation in a certain District.-

On the death of the Uncle the Maniem was continued in the name of the survivor who also dying on 22d March 1849 ... the Maniem lapsed-

Iyaroo pillay son and heir of Ramasawmy

6108

Fort St. George the May 1852.

Statement shewing the arrears of Iyacut Maniem due to Ramasawmy Pillay up to his death.

Number of Certificate.	Name of the Pensioners.	Amount of pensions &c. per Annum.	Date of Government authority.	Nature of the Grant.	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of arrears.	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Order of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. a P				Rs. a P			
94	Ramasawmy pillay and another of the same name, brother and adopted son of Anacoody Saminatha Pillay.—	1039 3 0	17th March 1836.	Naut Maniem	From Fasly 1255 to 22d March 1258. 3 years 8 months and 11 days.	3841 1 3	These arrears remained unpaid on account family quarrels.		

Tanjore Negapatam 18th March 1852.

/Signed/ T. W. Goodwyn.
Acting Collector.

The

Bd. No. 993.

Proceedings thereon.-

8-9

The Ayacut Manieus in question amounting to Rupees 1039-3-- per Annum has lapsed to Government by the death on the 22d. March 1849 of the only Surviving incumbent.

It appears No. 94 in the List No. 3 submitted with the Acting Collector's letter of the 6th. December 1851. and sanctioned by Government on the 6th April 1852.-

In Cons: 23d. February 1852.

In Cons: 19th April 1852.

2.

The Arrears from Fusly. 1255 to 22d. March 1849. or for 3 years 8 months and 11 days amounting to Rupees 3841-1-3 remained undrawn in consequence of family dissensions and the family, who, it is represented, were at one time one of the wealthiest in the District, paying a tax to Government on their Estate of Rupees 27,554, have now liabilities to the extent of a Lac of Rupees of private debts besides heavy arrears of Government tax, arising from mismanagement, dissensions and litigation.-

3.

On the application of the present representative of the family, the Acting Collector suggests that instead of paying over into his hands the arrears

arrears now due to the last Manieudar, amounting to Rupees 3841-1-3, that amount may be applied in part payment of the arrears of Land Revenue due by the Estate, which, even with this assistance it is apprehended, will not be finally liquidated.

4.

Under the representation made by the Acting Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the appropriation of the Arrears now due, in the manner proposed.-

---

Received the following letter.

No. 86.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

10

Bd. No. 1060.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

To Board 26th February 1849. No. 44.

From Do. 13th April 1849. No. 74.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to report the death on the 18th Ultimo of Thombeyaswamy Thoombachy Saiket the Minor Zemindar of Paryoor Zemindary in this District paying a Peishcush annually of Rupees 12,250 now under

under the management of the Court of Wards — and to inform you that the Mother of the deceased Minor and other relations have according to — the custom of their caste acknowledged Moot: = tovcoomaraswamy Thoomniah Naiker only surviving son of the former Zemindar by his 8th Wife now 11 years old to be the successor to the Estate. His minority will escpire in February 1859.—

Tinnevelly — Trichendoor — 1st May, 1852.

(Signed) C. J. Bird.
Collector.

B/ No. 994.
Proceedings thereon.
(10-11)

No. 201.

Resolved that the foregoing — letter be submitted for the information and — orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

The Court of Wards recom = = mend that Moottoo coomaraswamy Thoom = = miah Naicker, be recognized as the present proprietor of the Zemindary, in succession to — the deceased, and that the Estate be still — continued under management, on his behalf, until he attains his majority.—

Received

(12)

Bd. No. 1035.
From the Secretary to Government —

Received the following Extract.

No. 438.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 14th May, 1852.

Read the following Papers.—

Extract from the Proceedings of the — Board of Revenue.

(Here enter, 15th March, 1852 No. 94.)

× × ×

It is observed that by the — Rules recently established the personal appearance of all female Yeomiahdars above the age of 50 years is required under the same Rules which are in force in the case of Male Pensioners, and that the indulgence of exemption from — such attendance has been allowed only in — peculiar cases and when the parties claiming it are of known high rank and such as do not move abroad.— In the instances now under reference the Governor in Council sees nothing in the — report of the Collector of Madura to prove — that the applicants are women of that — rank contemplated by Government as fit — subjects

Fort St. George 〜 May 1852.

subjects for exemption and he must therefore 〜
decline to comply with the application submitted
in their behalf by the Board of Revenue.-

To, The President and Members (A true Extract)
of the
Board of Revenue.- (Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

B.d No. 987.
Order thereon.
12-13

Ordered to be communicated for
the information and guidance of the Collector of
Madura with reference to his letter dated 29th
Inclosure 15th March 1852. January 1852.-

14

Received the following Resolution.

B.d No. 1142.

No. 429.

From the Secretary to Government.— } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th May, 1852.

(From Board 29th April)

Resolved that the Collector of
Madras be authorized to disburse for the 〜
future from his Treasury the Pension of 〜
Syed Ally Sahib, in arrear from the period
to which the last payment was made by the 〜
Collectors of Nellore.—

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

Ordered

Fort St. George 〜 May 1852.

B.d No. 990.
Order thereon.
14-15.

Ordered that Copy of
the foregoing Resolution of Government and
of the Board's Proceedings to which it is
a reply be communicated for the inform-
-ation and guidance of the Collectors of
Madras and Nellore respectively.-

13th May. Received the following letter.
No. 41.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

16

B.d No. 1120.
From the Collector of Nellore. } No. 897

Sir,

In returning Petition
forwarded to me for report I have
the honor to state for the information
of the Board of Revenue that the esta-
-blished rate of hire for Bandies if
engaged for trips within the District
is 10 annas for 10 Miles, and 14 annas
if required to go out of it. This rate of
hire, however the Cart owners refuse
to receive, and insist upon the payment
of 15 Rupees a Bandy, the amount 〜
which

which used to be paid formerly when the remittance was made by land and when there was of course a greater demand for Bandies. The amount demanded by the Bandimen is in my opinion most exorbitant and what is never paid on any other occasion, but if the Board think it just I shall be happy to pay it.-

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry 11th May 1852.-

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

Bd. No. 989.
Order thereon.

(16-17)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitioner No. 897 of 1852.

"The rate objected to seems to the Board to be fair and just and is in advance of that obtaining in Madras for the same distance.-

---

10th May.

Received the following letter.
No. 68.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(18)

Bd. No. 1083.
From the Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir,

Mrs. Taynton holding under

under a Government Grant No. 143 C. S. Gds. 2-84 Sq. Pet. of land occupied by a Bungalow and Garden at the Cantonment of St. Thomas' Mount and being desirous of disposing of a portion of her property and of retaining the other herself has applied to the Brigadier Commanding the Station to obtain for her separate Grants for the same. That Officer having required information in regard to the Assessment leviable on the lands I beg to be favored with the instructions of the Board as to whether the quit rent of Rupees 3-8 (which is Comparatively light) fixed in the Grant on the entire holding is to be apportioned on the two divisions of the property, or whether I am to arrange for the levy of a new Assessment on them under the provisions of the Circular order of the Board of Revenue dated 8th July 1844, considering the terms of the Grant null in consequence of its having been subdivided.-

From the original Grant herein

herein enclosed it will be observed that it contains no provision on the point under discussion, although it permits of the transfer of the whole or a portion of the holding.-

Chinglepat District.
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry.
Covelong 6th May 1852.
(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Bd. No. 988
Proceedings thereon.

(18-19)

As there is no rule authorizing a new Assessment upon the Subdivision of a property and as it also appears by Clause 1 of the Circular Order quoted by the Collector, that the terms of the grants for lands situated in Military Cantonments shall be respected, and that such lands shall pay the amount of quitrent stipulated in those Grants, the Board of Revenue are of opinion that the quitrent of Rupees 3-8- hitherto levied on the entire ground of Mrs. Taynton referred to in the foregoing letter, should be properly apportioned on the two divisions of the property.-

---

Received

26th May. Received the following letter.
No. 20.

To.
H. A. Brett Esqre
Acting Collector of
North Arcot.

(20)

Bd. No. 1221.
From the Ag. Collr.
of North Arcot. -5

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to forward to the Board of Revenue for the sanction of Government my application for leave of absence from my station for two Months to visit Palmanair or Bangalore under Sections 11 and 12 of the amended Absentee Rules in addition to the period allowed me to join my permanent appointment at Rajahmundry.-

2. I beg to state that I do not intend to avail myself of the above leave of absence until I am relieved of my present duties by Mr. Binning who is expected to resume his Appointment early in the

the ensuing Month.-

North Arcot Sub Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, Arcot 22d May 1852.-- } (Signed) J. H. Goldie
Ag. Sub. Collector.

Recommended for sanction

Accordingly.-

North Arcot Collrs Circuit Cutcherry } (Signed) H. A. Brett
Palmanair 24th May 1852.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(20-21) 26th May.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel the Civil and Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.-

---

Received the following letter-

(22)

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Bd's No. 1090.
From the Collector of Salem. } Sir,

In reply to the order of Proceedings 26th April 1852. your Board desiring me to state with reference to Regulation X of 1831, what

what portion of the arrears payable by Mungammal, a joint proprietor of Mootah Anundoor, accrued during the Minority of that person.-

2. I have the honor to report that the amount to which the Board's question would seem to have reference is Rupees 1093-14-5-

Ain - 680-10-9
Interest 413-3-8.
1093-14-5.

3. The entire arrear outstanding against the property is Rupees 5024-9-7- If from this be deducted a sum of Rupees 649, which has become due between the March Kist of the Current year and the period when Mungammal attained her Majority the balance is Rupees 4,375-9-7-

4. It will be found that, if from the last mentioned Sum, which is in the aggregate arrear that accrued during Mungammal's nonage 3/4ths be taken, the residue will be the amount that should be apportioned to Mungammal a 1/4th sharer in the Estate. I beg however I may be permitted to mention that no instance would appear to have occurred in this Collectorate of an authoritative division of an arrear outstanding against a Mootah formed at the time of the permanent Settlement.-

3/4 Rs. 3,281-11-2-
1/4 Rs. 1093-14-5- =

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, Salem, 6th May 1852. } (Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

The

Bd. No. 992.
Proceeding thereon.

22-23

In Cons. 26th. April.

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of Revenue of the Anundoor Moolah and direct the publication in their Office of the advertisement which accompanies the Collector's letter of the 3d. April 1852. Tuesday the 29th. June 1852 being fixed for the day of sale. Mr. Phillips will be pleased to make a Corresponding alteration in the advertisement published in his District.-

Received the following letter. No. 31.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Court of Wards.-
Fort St. George.

24

Bd. No. 1108.
From the Jr. Collector of Tanjore.

Amounting to about 9,000 Rs. at the end of March.

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Sanction of the Court of Wards for disbursing the sum of 350 Rupees from the assets in hand on account of the Gundracottah Estate for defraying the expences attendant on the family's taking possession of their new residence, the building of which was sanctioned on the 3d. of December 1849.-

The Minor's Mother has applied for 1,000 Rupees for this purpose, but I think the sum

recommended is quite sufficient for all reasonable expences.-

Tanjore,
Tranquebar,
8th. May 1852.-

(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector.

Bd. No. 986.
Proceedings thereon.

24-25

The Court of Wards sanction the disbursements from the Assets in hand of the Gundracottah Estate under Management of the sum of Rupees 350, for defraying the expenses attendant in the family of the Minor taking possession of their new residence.-

Received the following letter No. 37.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

26

Bd. No. 1092.
From the Coll. of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 29th. Ultimo I have the honor to submit my opinion as to the feasibility or otherwise of granting a Pension to Mooroogu Pillay lately a Carcoon in this District.-

I should be glad to see the old man in receipt of a Pension.

2.

But

But the general Superannuation Pension Rules militate against it.-

By Appendix No. 1 it is laid down that Carcoons and others shall be eligible "if drawing more than 10 Rupees." Now, Petitioner has been in the receipt of Rupees 7 only per Mensem for the past ten years. Previous to that he was in the Sayer Department and a Carkoon elsewhere prior to that.-

Petitions returned.-

Trichinopoly District,
Cottamarcovil, - - -
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
4th ea May 1852- -

(Signed) J. Bird.
Collector.-

Bd. No. 995.

Proceedings thereon.-

(26-27)

The Board observe by the Rules respecting Gratuities to discharged Servants that Petitioner's incapacity for further Service may obtain for him a sum not exceeding one Months pay for every two years Service, Commencing with the 7th and terminating with the 20th year and the Collector will, if he sees fit submit an application direct to Government.-

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 560 & 876 of 1852.-

"The Petitioner's case does not come within

within the Pension Rules; but the Collector will, if he sees fit, recommend Petitioner for a Gratuity in Consideration of his being incapacitated from further Service.-

---

Received the following letter.-

To,
W. A. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.-

(28)

Bd. No. 1129.
From the Agt Supt. of Stamps.-

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a statement shewing the particulars of two Proposals received by me for the supply of the several Articles required for the use of this Office pursuant to the Notification published in the Official Gazette of the 20th Ultimo. The Tender of P. Varoo No. 1 is the lowest and I would beg to recommend that the Contract be given to him for a period of two years commencing from this date, upon his producing sufficient Security for the fulfilment of the same.-

Fort St. George,
General Stamp Office,
13th May, 1852.-

(Signed) W. Douglas
Acting Supt. of Stamps.

List

6125 Fort St. George

List of sealed proposals received for the supply of the undermentioned Articles for the use of the General Stamp Office. May 1852.

Number	Names	Date	Wooden Chest 1st size	Wooden Chest 2d size	Wooden Chest 3d siz	Wax Cloth of 14 Cubits 2d sort	Gunny per piece	Tape per 100 Cubits	Coarse Country Paper per Quire	Gunny twine per Viss	Bengal twine per Viss	Mat per piece	Gunny Rope	Nails per Viss	Total
			CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢	CRs. a ♢
		1851													
1	P. Vanoo	May 12	2 " "	1 9 "	1 5 "	1 14 "	" 26								
			" 8 "	" 3 "	" 4 "	" 66 "	1 6 "	3 " "	2 "	8 10 6					
2	G. Venkatasoobiah	Do 12	2 4 6	1 12 "	1 9 "	1 12 "	" 29								
			" 9 "	" 33 "	5 " "	8 6 "	1 7 "	3 " "	33	9 9 10					
	Late Contract		1 15 "	1 10 "	1 6 "	1 10 10 "	23								
			" 8 "	" 3 "	" 43 "	6 " "	1 6 "	2 6 "	2 "	8 7 4					

Fort St. George, General Stamps Office, 13th May 1852. (Signed) H. Douglas
Supt. of Stamps

Agreeably:

B.d. No. 991.

Proceedings thereon- Agreeably to the

28-29

recommendation of the Acting Superin-
tendent of Stamps the Board of
Revenue sanction the acceptance
under the usual adequate security
of the Tender of P. Vanoo
No. 1, for the Supply on contract,
of certain Articles for the use of the
General Stamp Office, for a period
of two years commencing from
the 13th May 1852.-

At

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday, 27th May 1852. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member and J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting

30 read and approved-

Read the following Extracts.

B.d No. 988. No. 385.

From the Secretary to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 26th April 1852.

Read the following.

To The Right Honble Lieutenant General Sir Henry Pottinger Bart and K.C.B. Governor in Council Fort St. George.

The respectful Memorial of V. Shashagherry Row, late Tahsildar of the Pistnagherry Talook in the District of Salem.

Humbly Sheweth,

That your Memorialist has

been

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

been a faithful and zealous servant of the Co Government and that for the period of twelve Co years he has been employed in various respons= =ible Offices, the most favorable testimony has been borne by his superiors to his abilities, Co activity and integrity.

2- That your Memorialist, not= =withstanding his previous high Character and appreciated services has been subjected to unme= =rited degradation by his removal from the Office of Tahsildar which he filled with credit and Co satisfaction to his late Employer, Walter Elliot Lockhart Esqre, Collector of Salem, that he has suffered great wrong and injustice at the hands of the present Collector Mr. Phillips, who in the course of eight months from the time he assumed charge of the District repeatedly inflicted heavy fines on your Memorialist without good and sufficient cause, then suspended him from Co Office, and afterwards removed him to an Co inferior situation of one-fifth the salary he Co previously enjoyed and finally dismissed him from that Office also, because he ventured to solicit leave of absence

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

absence on private affairs in order to proceed to Madras to represent his grievances to the Board of Revenue.

3- That your Memorialist during the whole course of his public Career never suf= =fered such harsh and unjust treatment as he has received from Mr. Phillips, who was Co induced to make certain representations to the Co Board of Revenue on matters unknown to your Memorialist and to obtain their orders for reducing him from the Office of Tahsildar of the salary of 100 Rupees per month to the low grade of Goomastah of 20 Rupees per month, without your Memorialist being heard in his defence or being permitted to offer any excpla= =nation in reference to the Charges preferred Co against him; and your Memorialist can only attribute the unjustifiable proceedings adopted Co by Mr. Phillips towards him to that Gentle= =man's mind having been greatly prejudiced Co against him by the machinations of the Co Cutcherry servants who were unfavorably disposed towards your Memorialist.

4- That your Memorialist in two separate Petitions laid the circumstances of his case before the Board of Revenue, and entreated

the interference of their authoriting in restoring him to his late Office of Tahasildar, but the Board without entering into the merits of his case, evaded his just solicitation by an endorsement refusing to reconsider the subject of his prayer and leaving your Memorialist without any remedy in the matter of his dismissal.—

5. That your Memorialist being thereby much aggrieved and feeling himself a deeply injured man, has no alternative to appeal to your Excellency in Council against the injustice and severity of the measures that have been adopted against him and to implore your protection under the orders recently issued regarding the treatment of your servants. Your Memorialist ventures to make this appeal under the assurance that the justice he seeks will not be denied him, and under the conviction that any ordeal to which your Excellency in Council may be pleased to subject him will prove him to be an honest, faithful and zealous servant of the Government, and the unfortunate victim of the capricious exercise of authority.—

6. That your Memorialist has

ventured to submit with this address a respectful Memorial to the Honorable Court of Directors, wherein the circumstances of his case are fully set forth, and he earnestly prays that upon a consideration of all the facts herein stated your Excellency in Council will be graciously pleased to call for the whole of the correspondence which has passed between the Board of Revenue and the Collector Mr. Phillips in the matter of his removal from Office and render him justice by ordering his restoration to his late Office or to forward by the earlist opportunity this humble appeal to the address of the Honorable Court of Directors in whose hand he will be content to leave the final disposal of his case.—

7. The 11 original documents of which true Copies are submitted, it is requested may be returned to Memorialist after inspection by the Honorable Members of the Government.

And your Memorialist as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

Madras
7th April 1852.

(Signed) V. Shashagherry Row
late Tahsildar of
Ristnagherry Taluk
in the Salem District.

Ordered that the above address

and

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

and one Copy of the Memorial which accompanied it be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for report-

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government-

B. No. 1005-
Order thereon-1.

30-31

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing papers with the Memorial which accompanied them, be forwarded to the Collector of Salem for such observations as he may desire to offer upon them-

2. The Board observe that they sanctioned the reduction of Memorialist to an inferior Office under date 17th April 1851- They would wish to be informed to what situation he was reduced, its salary and the reason of his final dismissal from the service.-

---

32

B. No. 1097.

From the Secretary to Government-

Communicating the decision of Government relative to the grant of land at Ootacamund and Kartairy to Mr. McIvor at the rate of assessment to be levied thereon at the termination of the lease; and to the compensation payable to the Todas for such portion of their pasture land

No. 410.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 7th May 1852.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

/Here enter 18th March 1852: No. 97./

1. The Government having had under consideration the circumstances brought to notice

land as may be taken up on lease for agricultural purposes. Stating that the discussion about the Cultivation of Opium Poppy on the Neilgherry hills is premature and calling for a report from Mr. H. I. McIvor on the state and prospects of the Horticultural Gardens at Ootacamund and on the matters in which he is at present engaged &c. to be transmitted through the Collector with his observations thereon-

notice in the correspondence accompanying the above Proceedings agree with the Board of Revenue that as the available land at Ootacamund falls short of the extent applied for by Mr. McIvor, the remainder may be made up from the lands at Kartairy which are represented by the Acting Collector to be dense Jungle which it is desirable to have cleared and Cultivated- They direct accordingly that separate leases be issued for the two holdings, that for the lands at Ootacamund being issued at once, the other for those at Kartairy as early as practicable.-

2. With respect to para 5 the Government observe that the rates of assessment therein referred to are those which are at present chargeable upon lands upon the Neilgherries but that it is in contemplation at some future time to revise these rates and that according to P. VIII of the Neilgherry Manual lands granted on lease, are on the expiration of the term for which they have been leased liable to reassessment or to the imposition of such revised assessment as may intermediately have been introduced- The rates to which Mr. McIvor's lands will become subject on the termination of his lease will therefore be those which

which may obtain generally at the time his lease ends, whether they be those now in force and referred to by the Board or any revised rates intermediately fixed, but such revised rates will be those imposed on lands of similar quality and situation upon the Hills and will not be enhanced in consequence of any improvement that Mr. McIvor may have effected in his farm and an express guarantee to that effect will be given him by the Collector on the part of Government. His proposal that the assessment of the land be settled according to the Crop is of course inadmissible.

3. The Government see no objection to Mr. James McIvor being associated with his brother in the lease and they desire that the Collector be instructed accordingly.

4. The Government concur in the remarks of the Board respecting the Goodoo or compensation payable to the Todas for such portions of their pasture lands as may be taken up on lease for agricultural purposes. It is was never intended that the rates should vary or increase with the improvements effected by settlers in such land as it seems on the whole desirable to fix one rate

rate of compensation for all lands on which a clear title to it on the part of the Todas may be made out. it appears to the Government that the rate proposed by the Board or one and a per Cawnie may be fairly assumed as the standard. This it is remarked was about the rate fixed for the area, 2158 Cawnies of the Ootacamund Cantonment, and there seems no reason why it should not be adopted generally. The term Goodoo, it may be observed, is that employed in the Neilgherry manual which has received the approval of the Court of Directors, it is used in the Neilgherry forms of lease and in the recent correspondence, and there seems no reason whatever for changing it.

5. The question of the Cultivation of the Opium Poppy should receive the attention of the Board if there be reason to anticipate that it will be carried on extensively upon the Neilgherry Hills; any discussion of the subject at present is quite premature.

6. The Government are desirous of receiving information in respect to Mr. H. S. McIvor's proceedings as Superintendent of the Horticultural

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Horticultural Gardens at Ootacamund - They direct that he be called upon thro' the Collector to report distinctly on the state and prospects of the Garden. On the matters in which he is now engaged and the success which has attended any experiments that he may have made. The Collector in transmitting the report will accompany it with any observations which he may think required.—

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

(32-33)

B. No. 1016.

Resolution thereon. 1

Resolved that copies of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the Acting Collector's letter of the 10th February 1852. No. 8.—

In Cons: 18th March 1852.

2. In associating with Mr. McIvor his brother Mr. James McIvor, the Collector will grant separate Leases for the Two holdings as directed in Para 1 and will guarantee to them on behalf

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

behalf of Government that the rates of assessment which will be fixed on the termination of the Leases will be those which may prevail generally at the time, whether they be those now in force, or revised rates fixed intermediately on lands of similar quality; but that the rates will not be enhanced in consequence of any improvement which may be effected on the lands leased by them.—

3. Mr. Thomas will observe

6138. Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

observe that the standard rate of 1 anna per Cawnie has been fixed as the Goodoo payable to Todas for all lands taken up by settlers on which the former can found a clear title.

4- He will call upon Mr. McIvor for the required report and submit the same in the manner pointed out by Government in Para 6 of the foregoing Extract.

6139. Fort St. George. 27th May 1852.

No 411.

B. No 1126- From the Secretary to Government sanctioning the increase recommended to the salaries of certain parties engaged in the Stamp Office-

34

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 7th May 1852-

Read the following Extract from a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors addressed to the Government of India in the Financial Department No 9 of 1852 dated 18th February-

Paras 242 @ 245- The Government of Madras having represented the inconvenience experienced by the Superintendent of Stamps from the want of an adequate Establishment to carry on the duties of his Office under the operation of Act No XVII of 1848 which prescribes the substitution of Stamp Duties for Fees on the institution of Civil Actions, an augmentation of the numerical strength of his Office Establishment was sanctioned at a cost of Rupees 110 per month - The decision upon a further proposition that the salaries of some of the existing places in the Office should be raised at an additional cost of Rupees 80 per month was postponed subject to the orders of the Court thereon-

We accede to the recommendation of the Government of Madras and the Board of Revenue at that Presidency that the salaries of

Fort St. George. 27th May 1852.

of certain parties engaged in the Stamp Office should be raised at an aggregate charge of Rupees 80 per month upon the ground that the operation of act XVII of 1848 will occasion them additional labour and responsibility -

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / J. Dorin
Secretary to the
Government of India -

No. 312 -

Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Honorable the Court's Despatch forwarded to the Government of Fort St George for information -

Fort William, -
Home Department,
The 12th April, 1852. -

By order of the Governor General in Council -
/ Signed / A. R. Young
under Secretary to the
Government of
India -

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue and the Civil Auditor -

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered

Fort St. George. 27th May 1852 -

B. No. 1107 -

Order thereon.

(34-35)

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Superintendent of Stamps with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 8th August 1850 -

(36)

B. No. 1127 -

No. 416 -

From the Secretary to Government Communicating that during the absence of Lieutenant Colonel Reid H. T. C. Cleghorn Esqre will officiate as Secretary to the Agri: Horticultural Society and stating that the Meeting of the Agri: cultural exhibition will be held on the 19th of May next -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date 8th May 1852.

Read the following

No. 373 -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department, under date the 20th April, 1852 -

Read the following letter -

To.
The Secretary to the
Government
Public Department -

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that during my absence from the Presidency H. T. C. Cleghorn Esqre M. D. will officiate as Secretary to the Madras Agri - Horticultural Society and that in future all papers connected with the Society will be signed by that Gentleman -

I

Fort St. George. 27th May 1852.

2- I beg also to intimate for the information of the Officers of Government who are designated in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 409 dated 28th April 1848 and directed to attend at the Agricultural Exhibition that the Meeting will be held at the Societies Garden on Wednesday the 19th May next at 4. P. M:-

Hort. Gardens, 12th April, 1852.

/Signed/ T. A. Reid-
Secretary-

The foregoing letter will be communicated to the undermentioned Officers with reference to the order of Government dated 28th April 1848-

The Members of the Board of Revenue-

The Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department-

The Secretaries to the Board of Revenue

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary-

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the order No. 444 dated 1st May

Fort St. George. 27th May 1852.

May 1848-

/Signed/ T. Pycroft-
Secretary to Government-

Ordered to be recorded-

(36·37)

Order thereon.

(38)

B. No. 1166.

From the Secretary to Government stating that Government will be prepared on the Bombay Government signifying their assent to the terms specified in the Board's Proceedings of 20th April 1852 No. 1465, to appoint Mr Boulton an Uncovenanted Assistant to the Collector of Canara, in the Forest Department-

No. 1465-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 18th May, 1852-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

(Here enter 20th April 1852. No. 1465.)

It appears from the foregoing proceedings that the Collector of Canara is favorable to the arrangement proposed by Dr. Gibson for placing Mr. Boulton on the footing of an Assistant Collector in charge of the Forests in that District but subject to certain conditions which are specified by the Board of Revenue- These are that Mr. Boulton's services may be made available when required in other parts of the Canara District than North of Mangalore, and that the adjustment of his duties

and

and other details are to be left to the discretion of the Collector who will retain the entire control of the Forest Department; and will make his own arrangements to be carried out under his orders by the Assistant.

2. The Board of Revenue recommend this measure, and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will be prepared on the Bombay Government signifying their assent to it, in the terms specified in the preceding paragraph, to appoint Mr. Boulton an Uncovenanted Assistant to the Collector of Canara in the Forest Department.

3. It appears that Mr. Boulton draws at present a salary of Rupees 300 per mensem and it is proposed by Dr. Gibson and supported by the Bombay Military Board that this should be raised to 500. the difference ceasing on the Madras Government consenting to appoint Mr. Boulton to the charge of the Forests in Canara on a fixed allowance. The Governor in Council thinks that Mr. Boulton may properly

properly be allowed a salary of Rupees 200 per mensem for his service under this Government and will on receipt of a reply from Bombay apply for the authority of the Government of India to incur the charge.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

To. The President and
Members of the
Board of Revenue.

B. No. 1020.
Order thereon.

(38-39)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated to the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 15th March 1852.

(40)

B. No. 1170.
From the Sect. to Government.
Transmitting 3 Copies of the "Selections from the public correspondence North Western Provinces".

No. 454.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 18th May, 1852.

Eight copies of the "Selections from the Public correspondence published by authority. North Western

Fort St. George. 27th May 1852-

Western Provinces Part 1" having been received, the Right- Honorable the Governor in Council resolves that the following distribution be made-

3- Copies to the Board of Revenue, one Copy of the same being for the use of the Department of Public Works and another for the Commissioner for the Northern Circars-

1 Copy to the Military Board-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

(40-41)

B. No. 1008-

Order thereon. Ordered that one Copy of the Pamphlet received with

Fort St. George. 27th May 1852-

with the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Department of Public Works- another to the Commissioner Northern Circars, and the third lodged in this Office-

---

Read the following

Statement-

Statement-

6148 | Ft George.

27th May 1852.

(42)

No 1181.

Statement No prepared from the Census taken in Fusly 1260-

	Agricultural-						Non agricultural not Hindoo-						Total-				
	Males-		Females-	Total-			Males-		Females-	Total-			Males-		Females-	Grand Total of Persons-	Grand Total Hindoo and Mohammedan-	Number of persons to each Geographical Mile-
	Adults-	Children-		Adults-	Children-		Adults-	Children-		Adults-	Children-		Adults-	Children-				
	1	2		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
	238889	148242		2284	1292	3718	2274	4238	1876	7950	4150	4815	3026	5425	2416	15682	125472	250¾

A. Robertson
Collector-

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / A. Robertson
Collector

Ordered

6149 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Order thereon — Ordered to lie over.
(42-43)

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 27th April and entered in Consultation 10th May 1852.

(44)
B. No. 997.
Proceedings thereon, The Board observe that the confirmation or otherwise of Tolasinga Chetty, in the Office of Head Serishtadar will rest with the Commissioner.

2. It was not the intention of the Board, by Para. 3 of their Circular Order dated 25th March 1852, to require Collectors in the Northern Circars to obtain their sanction when it is proposed to deviate from the general Rule prescribed by those orders. Such applications should of course be addressed to the Commissioner.

Read the following letter.

No. 38.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(45)
B. No. 1001.
From the Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

In acknowledging receipt of

6150 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Encl. returned

of your letter of the 29th Ultimo on the subject of Madar Bees claim to certain lapsed shares of an Enam in this District, I have the honor to state that the case has already been laid before the Board in a Tabular Statement dated 19th April 1852.

2 As claims were advanced to the Enam shares by other Parties besides Madar Bee, there was some unavoidable delay in getting them all to attend and collecting the requisite information.

Nellore
Collector's Cutcherry
4th May 1852

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded.
(45-46)

Read the following.

Return.

Return

6151.

47

Fort St. George

27th May 1852.

Bd. No. 1183.

Return of Talsildars of the Collectorate of Bellary as they stood on the 1st May 1852.

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talooks	Amount of Collection	Cost	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Talsildar in the Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Talsildar and length of service in each Employments			Total Length of Service
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			10
				Rs.	Rs.	Y M	Y M		Y	M	Y M
Kanna Row of Sungumkotah Acting Talsildar	Brahmin	56	Bellary	2,13,945	130	0 2					
							21 10	Talook Moonshee	1		
								Ditto Karkoon	6	8	
								Ditto Peshkar	4	10	
									12	6	34 4
Kristna Row of Cuddapah	Do	51	Kumpley	1,42,597	85	1					
							6	Talook Karkoon	1	5	
								Hoozoor Sayer Goomastah	2	3	
								Ditto Dareaftee Mootsuddy	8	6	
								Talook Peshkar	12	7	
								Ditto Seristadar	5	6	
									30	3	30 9
Venkut Row of Chenadanum	Do	48	Hurpon-hully	1,39,018	100	" 11					
							19 9	Talook Maramut Goomastah	"	3	
								Ditto Moonshee	3	9	
								Ditto Karkoon	2	9	
								Ditto Peshkar	2	9	
									9	6	29 3

6152

Fort St. George

27th May 1852

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talooks	Amount of Collection	No. of Years	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the Talook	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in the Collectorate	Employments previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each Employments	Total Length of Service
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				Rs.	Rs.	y m	y m	y m	
Teroomul Row of Halahursee	Brahmin	43	Hoodeghee	193498	110	4 6	7 11	Sub Collector's Cutcherry Goomastah in the Hillah of Dharwar	4 1
								Hoozoor Moonshee in the Ditto	7
								Harkoon in the Assistant Collectors Cutcherry of Do	6 11
								Hoozoor Moonshee of Bellary	1 1
									12 8
									20 7
Ramachendra Row of Taudputtry	Do	58	Hooven Hudgaly	139153	90	5 1	5 1	Talook Harkoon	7 11
								Ditto Peshkar	3 6
								Police Ameen	4 3
								Talook Serishtadar	19 8
									35 4
									40 5
Bhaskarapah of Salasamoodrum	Do	53	Adonie	305144	130	6	6 1	Sayer Mooloodday	6
								Talook Maramut Goomastah	5 4
								Hoozoor Dareaftee Ditto	10 1
								Talook Seristadar	7 7
									23 6
									29 7
Narasinga Row of Cooreder	Do	55	Yoollem	173741	100	11	1 10	Hillah Court Goomastah	2 0
								Goomastah of Hoozoor Magistrate	3 5
								Hoozoor Moonshee	8 10
								Police Ameen of Bellary	1 4
								Talook Seristadar	18 10
									34 5
									36 3

Fort St. George

27th May 1852

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talooks	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of time as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of time as Tahsildar in the Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each Employments	Total length of service
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				Rs	Rs	Y M	Y M		
Bheema Row of Moondarghee	Braman	28	Punchapollam	214233	110	1 10	6 4	Collectors Cutcherry Goomastah in the Zillah of Belgaum	2
								Moonshee Goomastah Do	1 1
								Magistrate Ditto	2 1
								Moonshee Ditto	5
								Magistrate Moonshee in Ditto	3
								Head Moonshee and Translator in the Guntoor District	10
									4 10 — 11 2
Kondul Row of Oopputoor	Do	60	Gooty	189587	130	4 6	28 6	Talook Goomastah	5 11
								Ditto Carkoon	4 10
								Ditto Serishtadar	1 6
									12 3 — 40 9
Kundy Lashmeegeree Row of Arcot	Do	50	Yadakee	136285	90	1 11	18 7	Hoozoor Head Goomastah	2 2
								Ditto Sayer Serishtadar	9 3
									11 5 — 30 .
Sevaramapah of Raidroog Acting Tahsildar	Do	43	Raidroog	166367	120	. 1/2	1/2	Talook Carkoon	7 .
								Ditto Peishkar	15 7
								Ditto Serishtadar	3 .
									25 7 — 25 7/2
Hanoomunta Row of Bellgoopah	Do	56	Tandpatry	164472	100	1 .	6 11	Goomastah of Mooflee Court of Bellary	1 .
								Do of Zillah Court of Do	2 6
								Sub Collectors Cutcherry Head Goomastah of Ranabedanoor in the Dharwar District	9
								Moonshee in the Ditto	2 6
								Political Moonshee in the Ditto	23 1
									29 10 — 36 9

6154

Fort St. George

24th May 1852.

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talooks	Amount of Collection	Rent	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook		Total Length of Service as Talsildar in the Collectorate		Employments previously to appointment as Talsildar and length of service in each Employment		Total length of Service	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8		9		10	
				Rs.	Rs	Y	M	Y	M		Y M		
Raghavendra Row of Chintamanepet	Bramin	46	Ananta=poor	167383	110		11	12	1	Talook Cutcherry Talook Goomastah Ditto Peshkar Ditto Peshkar	5 11 5 6 4 7 16 .	28	1
Venkat Row of Kistnagherry	Ditto	50	Pengondah	148933	100	7	2	11	10	Talook Goomastah Talook Peshkar Ditto Peshkar Ditto Serishtadar	8 5 2 11 8 . 19 4	31	2
Naranapah of Nagalapoor	Ditto	40	Hodecondah	110863	85	1	7	1	7	Dannastanum Goomastah in Tanjore Zillah Ditto Hoozoor Goomastah in Ditto Manamah Goomastah of the Sub Collectors Cutcherry in Ditto Talook Serishtadar in Ditto Hoozoor Cashkeeper in the Revenue Treasury at Bellary	2 2 4 10 . 7 3 3 9 3 20 1	21	8
Peroomul Row of Pengondah	Ditto	53	Madug=serah	108394	85	3	10	3	10	Talook Cutcherry Ditto Serishtadar	15 10 14 8 30 6	34	4
Rungo Soobba Row of Madugserah	Ditto	55	Dhurma=varum	168191	110		11	19	1	Talook Serishtadar	7 .	26	4
			Total —	2911804									

Bellary Collector's Office on Circuit at Ramendroog 2d May 1852 E. E. Pr. (Signed) C. Pelly Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

47-48

Order thereon

Ordered to be

recorded.

Read again

letter from the Agent

at Kurnool dated

7th and entered in

Consultation 22d April

1852.

Read also

the following letter

to

F.C.D.

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

No. 45

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

49

Bd. 1st 1851.

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Affording information regarding the forests on the hills which separate Cumbum from Kurnool.

Sir,

I have had the honor to receive an Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 25th of March forwarding an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 28th of February, and requiring information regarding the Forests on the hills which separate Cumbum from Kurnool.

The two Talooks of Doopand and Cumbum are separated from the Kurnool District by the Gulla Mulla range which is crossed by two passes, one being the Balapilly or Yelgode pass to the North west of Cumbum which was the route followed by Captain Dallas in his late Journey, and the other the Kundy Canama situated about thirty Miles south of Cumbum To the North of the first of these two passes the jungle is about thirty Miles broad from

Nullagonta

Nullagonta in Doopaud, to Atmakoor in Kurnool, but seven Miles of this breadth only are within the limits of this District from the Yelgode Pass and for about fifty Miles to the South of it the Jungles cover the Eastern side of the Nulla Mulla range; their average breadth within this District bring about ten Miles. In the forests to the North of the Pass, timber is by no means abundant, and probably not more than ten logs of teak with a length of ten feet and a square of eight inches could be found. the price of such timber on the spot is Rupees 5½ each log, and as the distance from Bellary by any practicable road is 240 Miles, the transport of the wood would cost about ten Rupees more.—

In the Jungles south of the Pass about forty logs of Teak could be procured with a length varying from twelve to eighteen feet, and a square varying from eight inches to a foot the smaller logs would on the spot cost about 3 Rupees, and the larger ones about five Rupees.

Besides teak there are to

be found in the jungles about two hundred Yepi and Yegeh trees with lengths varying from twelve to eighteen feet and costing on the spot from two to six Rupees and any quantity of smaller woods such as is used in the construction of the Native houses, and in the transport of which to the Eastward the Carts and Cattle of the people are extensively employed when not engaged in agriculture.—

From the Reports they have received on the project for opening the Gundi Canama, the Board are aware that at present there is no direct road across the Nulla Mulla range, and that all the traffic between Cumbum, and Bellary has to make a very long Circuit to the South on this account it would therefore appear that timber cannot at present be profitably sent to the latter place from the Jungles on the East of the hills and that any supply that the forests can afford can be procured advantageously only from the western side in the district of Kurnool.

Cuddapah District. - - - - - - -
Collectors Office on Circuit at Veilpaud
30th April 1852. - - - - -

Signed H. Forbes.
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 208.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir

In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 17th March 1852 the Government upon the representation of Captain Dallas Deputy Asst. Qr. Master General Ceded Districts, that Teak red wood and Ebony are procurable in the jungle between Cumbum and the Yelligode Pass directed that the Collector of Cuddapah and Agent at Kurnool should be called upon to report on the subject.

2 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the report of those Officers

3 The Collector of Cuddapah states that probably no more than ten logs of teak with a length of 10 feet and a square of 8 inches could be had to the North of the Yelligode Pass and to the South about forty logs varying

(49-50)

Dy No. 1011

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting the reports of the Collector of Cuddapah and of the Agent at Kurnool on the representation of Captain Dallas that Teak Redwood and Ebony are procurable in the jungle between Cumbum and the Yelligode Pass.

From Cuddapah 30th Apl. in Lr. 27th May 1852.

From Kurnool 4th in Lr. 22nd Apl. 1852.

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

varying from 12 to 18 feet in length, and a square from 8 inches to a foot Besides these there are to be found about 200 yepi and 50 yegeh trees varying from 12 to 18 feet and any quantity of smaller wood such as is used in the constructions of Native houses.

4 In the Memorandum forwarded by the Agent at Kurnool furnished by his Assistant, it is stated that the range of hills called the Nulla Mulla are covered by a thick jungle from which the adjacent Countries are supplied with timber The teak however, is said to be the most rare, least sought for, and seldom attains any great size, when it does, it is found rotten and hollow, a circumstance attributed to the want of moisture both in the air and Soil Ebony also is to be found but rarely of any great size, and seldom exceeding 2 feet in diameter.

5 It would therefore seem that Teak is by no means abundant or of good growth in the forests in question, and that transporting such as could be had to Bellary, would be expensive, owing to the want of proper roads

6 If the portion of these hills extending along the Kistna in the Guntoor District be found equally wooded, the Assistant Resident thinks

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 208.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir

In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 17th March 1852 the Government upon the representation of Captain Dallas Deputy Asst. Qr. Master General Ceded Districts, that Teak red wood and Ebony are procurable in the jungle between Cumbum and the Yelligode Pass directed that the Collector of Cuddapah and Agent at Kurnool should be called upon to report on the subject.

2 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the reports of those Officers

3 The Collector of Cuddapah states that probably no more than ten logs of teak with a length of 10 feet and a square of 8 inches could be had to the North of the Yelligode Pass and to the South about forty logs varying

49-50

B. No. 1011

To the Chief Sec.t to Government

Submitting the reports of the Collector of Cuddapah and of the Agent at Kurnool on the representation of Captain Dallas that Teak Redwood and Ebony are procurable in the jungle between Cumbum and the Yelligode Pass.—

From Cuddapah 30th Apl. in lett. 27th May 1852.

From Kurnool 4th in lett. 22d Apl. 1852.

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

varying from 12 to 18 feet in length. and a square from 8 inches to a foot Besides these there are to be found about 200 yeps and 50 yegeh trees varying from 12 to 18 feet and any quantity of smaller wood such as is used in the constructions of Native houses.

4 In the Memorandum forwarded by the Agent at Kurnool furnished by his Assistant, it is stated that the range of hills called the Nulla Mulla are covered by a thick jungle from which the adjacent Countries are supplied with timber The teak however, is said to be the most rare, least sought for, and seldom attains any great size, when it does, it is found rotten and hollow, a circumstance attributed to the want of moisture both in the air and Soil Ebony also is to be found but rarely of any great size, and seldom exceeding 2 feet in diameter.

5 It would therefore seem that Teak is by no means abundant or of good growth in the forests in question, and that transporting such as could be had to Bellary, would be expensive, owing to the want of proper roads

6 If the portion of these hills extending along the Kistna in the Guntoor District be found equally wooded, the Assistant Resident thinks

thinks that the timber might be floated on the paniv down to Bezwara. On the whole, it would appear that these forests can supply but a very limited quantity of the more serviceable kinds of wood and that the difficulty of transport and other local circumstances render it difficult to bring these into use—

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George.
27th May 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter.

(51)

No. 27.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B.R. No. 1147.

From the Collr of Chingleput

Sir,

Adverting to my Estimate amounting to Rupees 1079-4-- for Treasury advances for the repair of the Canal used for transit of Salt from the Vayalore paniv to the Madras depot submitted to the Board for sanction under date the 21st Ultimo. I have the honor to state that it has been found impracticable to carry on the repairs on so large a scale without interrupting the transport of Salt to the Madras depot I have therefore recalled to the Hoozoor Treasury the amount of advances which had been debited in the Chittah and forwarded to the Talook for the work in question—

I beg to add that the manufacturers have

have at a small cost placed the Canal in a tolerably efficient state so as to render it navigable by boats for the present—

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Conjeveram
11th May 1852

Signed J. W. Cochrane
Collector

B.d. of R. 1018
Proceedings thereon—
(51-52)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the remarks of the Board on the Collector's Estimate, submitted to Government under date the 13th Instant—

It will be observed that the advances which were forwarded to the Talook Treasury for the repair of the Canal, have been recalled to the Hoozoor Treasury, as it was found unpracticable to carry on the work on a larger scale without interrupting the transport of Salt to the Madras Depot—

2— Mr. Cochrane also states that the Manufacturers have at a small cost placed the Canal in a tolerably efficient state, so as to render it navigable by boats for the present—

3— Read the following Tabular Report—

Tabular Report

6163

Bd. 9th 902.

Fort St. George

Tabular Report on the Lapsed Enams in the District of North

53

27th May 1852

Accot for the 1st quarter viz from 1st January to 31st March 1852.

Case No.	Talook and Village Extent and value of the entire Enam	When and by whom granted Name of the original Enamdars or other Documents in support of the grant	Nature of the grant Charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant	Name of the last Inter date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties Their circumstances and the means of Subsistence depended by them	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Polloor Talook Vellore Poorjah 1-8-4-3 5 Cas Rs Fds Cursatty Agaurum Poorjah 8-1-7-7 2-1-5-11-1	Not Known Granted by the Jaghiredars after Ally Khan to Enam Shaiks held under a copy of Enayet namah of Sykhoth Moolluck Baha-der, bearing the seal of Naib Sum-mah ally Cauzee dated 9th Rauab Hijry 1205 A.D. 1789-	Granted because the original grantee was a Tuckkidar	Ally Shah Son of the Original grantee died about 10 years ago-	The whole of the Maniem was enjoyed by the Deceased	1. Audum Shah adopted Son aged 50 years- 1 Kunneabee wife of Do aged 40 years- 1 Syed ally, Son of Do aged 10 years 1 Syed Enam Son of Do aged 5 years 1 Jamal Saibah daughter of Do aged 12 years 1 Fautimah Saibah Do of Do aged 9 years 1 Beereeya Saibah Mother of Audum Shah aged 82 years- Have nothing to depend upon for subsisteneee but this Maniem-	This Enam was originally granted to Enam Shah on his death his son Ally Shah got a Sunnud from Nawab Sypham Mooluck Bahadur in Hijery 1205 A.D. 1789 for the Enam in his own name ally Shah died about 10 years ago His wife and one Adum Shah who calls himself her adopted Son have entered in possession of the maniem land- They now require that the Enam be registered in the name of the said Adum Shah- In the Sunnud granted to ally Shah no mention is made of the continuation of the Enam to his posterity or of his having inherited it from his father It was granted to him as it appears because he was a Tuckkidar but the Tahsildar reports after due enquiry that there is no Tuckkidar in the place- and Ally Shah died Childless even had the Enam been hereditary- Under these circumstances the order of the Board is requested for the continuation or assumption of the Enam- January 31 52-		

Fort St. George

27th May 1852.

Case No.	Talook and Village Extent and value of the entire Enam	When and by whom granted Name of the Original grantee Summuds or other Documents in support of the grant	Nature of the grant Charity or Service - If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant	Name of the last Holder Date of his decease and the relation ship in which he stood to the grantee	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties their circumstances and the means of subsistence proposed by them -	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2	Palmanair Talook Veeralalunda Assessment Poonjah - 76 - 11½ as 129 - 11 - 2 a Kunjah - 6 - 1¾ 44 - 3 - 11 Blacal - 11 - 2 - 11 - 12 - 3 82 - 15¼ - 173 - 15 - 5 Topadayem ——— 3 - 2 - 9 177 - 2 - 2 Totacamamah Kunjah - 11 - 4¾ as 1 - 12 - 11 a Moorum Pully Kunjah 11 - 3¼ - 2 - 14 - 4 Rajavapully Kunjah 11 - 3¼ - 1 - 6 - 9 Vantgud Talook Peimamkut Kunjah - 1 - 9½ as 42 - 14 - 11 a Bodgreddy Puttadah Kunjah - 1 - 6½ 23 - 6 - 6 Condumpully Poonjah - 4 - 15 - 16 as 3 - 10 a Sherlapully Poonjah - 4 - 6½ - 10 - 1 - 11 Gufrabaud Poonjah - 9 - 4½ 21 - 4 - 4	Given by Nabob Hiza Sutkham dated 17th Rubbes awny Fusly 1145 A.D. 1735 to Ha Rum Venecata Kistniah. Is held under a Purwanah dated 17th Rubbe Sawny Fusly 1145 a D 1735 - Not Known One Purwanah dated 27th Jamadelawul 8th Joolvos. Ditto	Venecata Kistniah great grandson of the original grantee died 25th Oct. Charity 1850 -		1. Sudeeva ramiah Son of the deceased aged 5 years 2 Sengummah widow of Do Who are entirely dependent on the Maniem - ½ Do is under the enjoyment of the Nephews of the deceased named Sengiah Audesiah and Ramiah -	The Enam was given in Fusly 1145 in a.D. 1735 by Nawab Hirasut Khan to Venecata Kistniah Hareem (Physician) for "Charity" But no provision is to be found in the Purwanah for its continuance to his Posterity and hence the Enam must be considered have been "personal". But it has been enjoyed in succession by the Sons Grand Sons, and great grand Sons of Venecata Kistniah and now at the demise of the last possessor is claimed by his Son and 20 others descendants of the original grantee, who demand joint registry in their names - under these circumstances the orders of the Board is solicited for the continuation or resumption of the Enam - January 3/52		

½ share was enjoyed by the deceased -

North Arcot Collrs Circuit Cutcherry Sholinghur 15th April 1852 -

E E Jr

Signed H. A Brett
Acting Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 27th. May 1852

No. 1.

Died Caleemoolah Caujee

This Seal was fixed on the 18th. Suffer Hegeree 1205.

Copy of an Enayetnamah issued by Nabob Sujun. Mook Bahadar bearing the Seal of the late Samah ally, Naib Causy of the Polloor Purganah, in the name of Ramiah Ameel on the 9th. Shamsaub Hegree 1205.

Ally Shah Derveish the Tuckkadar of Vellore who had proceeded to Balaghat during the Mootalifs' rebellion, having now appeared at the Hoozoor. You are therefore directed to continue the Tuckkah to him according to Mamool by taking this for a peremptory order.

(A true translation)
(Signed) H. A Brett
Acting Collector

No. 2

Hurasut Khan.

Be it Known to the present and future Mootsuddies, Ameels as well as the Dasa Nooks, and Dasapaundies of the Village of Veeralabundah of the Nellipatta Summut, attached to the Sereneee Cundalah Purganah in the Soubah of Fuzzoondaboomiad Hydrabad

Fort St. George 27th. May 1852.

Hydrabad.

That the above Village and its Mugrah, Wadaypully, being conferred for the subsistence of Vencut Kistniah, doctor in Saugthur they should be put in his possession. He should enjoy them and pray for the welfare of the Government. This should be considered as a Kheed for guidance without requesting for any other order.

17th. Rabeesany 18th. Fooloo.

(A true translation)
(Signed) H. A Brett
Acting Collector

No. 3.

(Seal)

The Characters in the Seal is not ligible.

Be it Known to the present and futura Ameels, Dasapondies, Dasamookies, Curnums, Ryots, and Kool Kurnies of the Saugthur Purganah Circar in Curnatic, goorvoomcondah Circar in Fuzzoondaboomiad Hydrabad.

Tiruvupiah a doctor residing in Saugthur, represents that in accordance with the Summuds of Hayamut Khan your former Killadars, he is in the enjoyment of an Enam of three Cawnies of Punjah land with some Poonjah land, (the latter) assessed at

Fort St. George 27th May 1852-

at Canam for the purpose of rendering Medical aid to patients of the poorer class and therefore requests the Circar to favor him with a fresh Summud as before- Hence the land, below enumerated, being allotted to the said Doctor Timmupah it should be accordingly made over to him-

Two Cawnies of Kunjah in the Summut of Sautgher, Viz.t

In Areeteh tak puttadah Cawny ——— 1
In Itteeay Cawnee ——— 1

2

In Cadapanot Talook, to Cacanamah of the above Summut-

Kunjah Cawny ——— 1

27th Jamadelauell 8th Wolvos

/True translation/
/Signed H.A. Brett
Acting Collector

53-54

B.d of R.s 1009-

Remarks of the Board- The Enagetnamah did not confer the Enam in perpetuity and the holder is therein termed the Tuckeyahdar of Vellore but the Tahsildar reports that there is no Tuckeyah- As the last incumbent however died 10 years ago before the Enam Rules were promulgated, the Board are of opinion that this should not be considered as a Lapse- They therefore recommend that the Widow be

Circular 27th July 1848 C.O.B.d page 450.

Fort St. George 27th May 1852-

be left in undisturbed possession and that the case be submitted for orders on her death-

2- The Purwannah produced in support of this Enam does not confer the right in perpetuity, and the Board request the instructions of Government whether, under the terms of the grant, the moiety enjoyed by the deceased can be considered as having lapsed, or if it may be continued, as there has been long continued possession of such duration as to bring the case within the scope of the Honorable Court's instructions, and that moiety should be continued for this reason if for no other- If the former view be taken, the Board would recommend that the Moiety be continued for life to the Widow--

Extract Minutes of Consultation 9th May 1848 C.O.B. 1453-

Read the following-

Memorandum-

B.d of R.s 1173-

55

No 37-

From the Sec.y to Bd of Rev.e

Official Memorandum

The Acting Collector of North Arcot requests that the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will be good enough to furnish him with a Copy of the endorsement dated 17th June 1832

Passed

6169 | Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Read the following Letter from the Collector of North Arcot on Petition No 578 of 1832, presented to the Board of Revenue, by Assanut Bee the widow and Meer Ismail Ally Rauza a Poet Jaghirdar of the Villages of Pillary in this District, as the document in question with the other records up to the year 1832, has been destroyed agreeably to the Board's Sanction.

North Arcot Collr Circuit Cutcherry Palmanair 18th May 1852 } Signed H. A. Baett Acting Collector

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.

55-56

Bd No 1017.

To the Actg Collr of North Arcot } Collector of North Arcot in his Official Memorandum of the 18th Instant the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to transmit a Copy of the late Acting Principal Collectors Endorsement on Petition No 578 of 1832 dated 17th September 1832.

Vide From North Arcot 24 May 1832 in Cons 8 June 1832

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 27th May 1852 } Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary

Read

6170 | Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Read the following letter.

No 52

To W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

57

Bd No 1169

From the Collr of Salem }

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit for publication in your Board's Office an advertisement regarding the sale for arrears of revenue of certain lands situated in the Village Balagondapully, Salem Talook which I propose shall take place at Salem on the 21st Proximo.

Ballapully Moneygaum } 2

The defaulter whose name appears in the accompanying Statement has, it is believed, the means of liquidating the arrears of revenue due, but refuses to do so from contumacy.

3

I beg to request that may be favored with the necessary instructions in time sufficient for the publication of the advertisement in the Talook.

Salem, ...
Collector's Cutcherry
Salem, 14th May 1852 } Signed H. D. Phillips Collector

Advertisement

Fort St. George

Advertisement.

1 Notice is hereby given that the lands for Talook Ojoor in the absence of movable property, have been the assessment due thereon to Government for Fasly 1262, and 1852 at 10 Oclock A.M. at Ojoor.

2 The purchaser is required to pay 15 per cent within 30 days from the date of the sale either in the Hogoor rendd at the risk of the first purchaser. It is to be understood until the orders of the Board of Revenue are received

Talook	Village	Name of the defaulter	Amount			Name of the Village in which the lands are situated
			Rs	a	p	
Ojoor	Balagandapully	Bullapully Monagaunden	39	10	"	Balagandapully

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, Salem.

27th May 1852.

particularized below, situated in the Village Balagandapully attached in consequence of the holder thereof not having paid the same will be sold by auction to the highest bidder on the 21st June

of the purchase money at the time of sale and the balance or Talook Treasury, and in default thereof, the lands will be that the sale of the lands will not be considered valid confirming the same.

Particulars of the lands for sale

Poonjah

Name of the land	Extent			Assessment		
	Acres	G	a	Rs	a	p
No 89 Canraggedum Poonjah ———	2	18	3	3	10	8
No 113 Yaccadagedenn Do ———	1	12	4	1	15	1
	3	30	7	5	9	9

Other property.

1 A ground belonging to the defaulter, situated north to a Street, East to Venkatrialu's house, South to a hedge, and west to Chockans Backyard, inclusive of the tiled and thatched houses built on it.

1 one thatched house consisting of 3 Ankanums, situated south to a street opposite the above ground, north west to a ditch, and east to a Poojary's house.

14th May 1852 C.C. Vs / Signed / H.D. Phillips Collr.

6172

Fort St. George

27th May 1852

No. 3.

List of Unmovable property belonging to the undermentioned individual, sequestered on account of the Government — Demand for Fusly 1260, in the Village Balagendapully Talook of Sent. Zillah Salem.

Name of the Village	No.	Name of the Defaulter	Fusly	Puttah Beriz	Collections	Balance due to Government
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Balagendapully	1	Bullapully Moonoo gaun	1260	66 11 "	27 1 "	39 10 "

Particulars of the fields &c. sequestered.

Name of the land	Content	Assessment	Probable Value
	Acres G.s	Rs a p	Rs a p
No. 89 Cauragedum Poonjah	2 183	3 10 8	2 " "
No. 113 Yaccadagedum Dc	1 124	1 15 1	1 8 "
	3 307	5 99	3 8 "
Other property			
Probable value of ground belonging to the Defaulter situated North to a Street, East to Sen Karrialis house, South to Ledge, and West to Chockun's Back yard, inclusive of the tiles and thatched houses built on it		" " "	25 " "
Dc one thatched house consisting of 3 Ambanums, situated South to a Street opposite the above ground, North west to a Ditch and East to a Poojaryi house		" " "	5 " "
			33 8 "

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, Salem, 14th May 1852 CC pr / Signed / H. D. Phillips Coll.

Under

6173 Fort St. George 27th May 1852

B2 No. 1002

Proceedings thereon.

(57-58)

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue authorize the sale, for arrears of Revenue, of the lands adverted to, and direct the publication in their Office, of the Advertisement forwarded by the Collector, the date of sale being altered to Wednesday the 30th June 1852.

Mr Phillips will be pleased to make a corresponding correction in the advertisement published in his District.

Read

6174 Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Read the following letter.

No. 21.

(59)

B2 No. 760

From the Asst. Coll.r of Tanjore

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I have the honor to forward the report required by the Board's Endorsement dated 27th February 1852 on petition B2 No. 10 of 152.

2 The Petitioners are holders of Shrotriem lands in Tanjore and complain of the inconveniences to which they are subject and of the deterioration to their property from the following causes 1st Their inability to procure Stamps for the sale of their Enam lands 2d Government's refusal to receive Shrotriem lands as Security 3d The evils arising from the examination made on the death of a holder of Enam Land and 4th The appointment of Curnums to their Shrotriem Villages.

3. A detailed report on the Tanjore Enam lands has been prepared and will be submitted in a few days which will enter fully into these subjects with the view to obtain the Board's instructions on the various difficult questions arising under the Enam rules.

rules. In the meantime I may state that orders have been issued warning all parties against purchasing or lending money upon the mortgage of Enams held without Sunnud / Vide Notification to be found at Page 453 Board's C. O / and forbidding the sale of stamps for these purposes considerable doubt appears to exist whether Enam lands held on Sunnud can be sold, mortgaged &c consequently the sale of Stamped papers for the transfer of such Enam lands has only been permitted with the proviso that the sale of Stamps is not to be considered as Government admission of the holders right to transfer &c and that any such transactions will be at the risk of the parties therein concerned.

4 Enam lands have lately been refused as security as they could not be thus received — — without admitting the holders right to transfer &c which right appears doubtful under the Enam Rules.

5 I am well aware of the great disadvantages attendant on the investigation held into lapsed Enams but they have been undertaken in accordance with the Enam Rules

The

appointment of Curnums to Shrotriem Villages is manifestly necessary and is I believe only objected to by the petitioners as they consider the measure as a preleminary step to the resumption of or at any rate to a full investigation into the titles and measurements of Enam Lands.

Tanjore
Tranquebar
27th March 1852

/ Signed / J. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector

Enclosure 1 in Tamil.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

No 213.

59-60

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

B. No 1023
To the Chief Sec.
to Government

In reference to the endorsement of the Deputy Secretary to Government on Petition No 2256 of 1851 from Parcasamy and others of Tanjore in which the Board are called upon to enquire and report on the subject of their complaint I am directed to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter dated 27th March 1852 from the Acting Collector of Tanjore

in

Submitting with reference to the Endorsement of Government on Petition No 2256 of 1851 a letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore reporting on the complaint made in it by certain parties holding Shrotriem lands regarding the inconvenience they are subjected to and the deterioration of

B. No 1023 Sundries 27th May 1852

of their property from certain causes with the Board's views thereon.

in which he reports that Petitioners hold Shrotriem lands, and complain of the inconvenience to which they are subject and of the deterioration of their property from 4 causes viz 1st from the Collector's refusal to grant stamps for alienations of Enams unless held upon Summum and then with a proviso that the sale of the stamps should not be considered as any admission of the holders right to transfer the Enam 2d from his refusal to accept their Enams or Shrotriems as Security 3d from the examination under the Enam rules made on the death of the holder of the Enam land and 4th from the appointment of Cumums to Shrotriems which they view as a preliminary step to the resumption of their Shrotriems or to a full investigation into the titles and measurements of their respective holdings.

2. The 3d & 4th objections raised by Petitioners are such as cannot be altogether obviated. The Collector promises shortly to submit a report on the Tanjore Enam lands in which these points will be more fully entered into but in the mean time the Board will observe on the reference to the 2d objection that the propriety of refusing Shrotriems as security seems to depend some what on the nature of the security and the length of time for which it is required. If the security becomes valueless by the Enam lapsing to Government fresh security may be taken

As

3 In reference to the 1st objection the Board observed that these Shrotriems fall within the provisions of Regulation XV 1831 which was enacted with the distinct view of preventing such grants from being diverted from their proper holder to the use or benefit of persons who have no claim upon the state while the

Circular 27th June 1844 B.O. Book Page 284.

3d of the "Rules for preventing Forgery of Stamps" require that an endorsement on the back of each stamped paper should specify not only the date of sale and the name of the purchaser but also the purpose to which the paper is to be applied.

4 This however, the Board conceive, confers neither obligation nor authority for the vendor to enter into any enquiry as to whether the Enam is held on Summum or not, and they cannot sanction the Collector's orders for any such practise. The fact of a stamp having been purchased can not confer no right whatever that was not possessed before, and the instructions under which the Venders now act is likely to mislead.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
27th May 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following Return.

6179

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

B2 No. 1202.

61

Return of Talsildars of the Collectorate of Madura and Dendigul as it stood on the 30th April 1852.

Names and places of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Talsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Talsildar and length of Service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
		yrs		CRs	y m d	y m d		y m d	y m d	
Sattiah Row Native of Chedumbaram.	Maratah Brahmin	53	Madambaram	1929[illegible]1	120	From 16th October 1847 to 30th April 1852. 4 6 15	4 6 15	Sudanny of Elavanoor Talook and Hoogoor Assistant Gomastah in Asst from 13th July 1813 to December 1819	6 5 19	24 2 3
								Treasury Goomastah Treasury Head Goomastah Hoogoor Goomastah Sheristadar of Assessor Talook and Talsildar of Do in Salem from 1st February 1820 to November 1825 and Pymash Sheristadar in Do from 15th August 1826 to October 1828	8 " 11	
								Moogoomdar of Meyloor and Teroomungalam from 1st June 1833 to 13th September 1836	3 3 13	
								Duryaft Head Goomastah in the Rammad Gommindary and Hoogoor Acting Goomastah from 21st October 1842 to 7th January 1843	" 2 17	
								Examiner of the Settlement accounts from April to June 1845	" 3 "	
								Extra Goomastah from 20th March to 15th July 1846	" 3 21	
								Acting Moogoomdar Acting Talsildar and Moogoomdar of Madambaram Talook from 22nd September 1846 to 15th October 1847.	1 " 24	
									19 7 18	

6179

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Bd No 1202.

61

Return of Talsildars of the Collectorate of Madura and Dendigul as it stood on the 30th April 1852.

Names and places of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Talsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Talsildar and length of Service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
		Yrs		Rs		Yrs m Ds	Yrs m Ds		Yrs m Ds	
Sadhoo Rao Nakne of Cheddum Baroun.	Maratah Brahmin	53	Madambalum	192951	120	From 6th October 1847 to 30th April 1852 - 4 6 15 4 6 15	4 6 15	Assistant of Elavanoor Talook and Hoogoor Assistant Gomastah in Great from 13th July 1813 to December 1819 - - - - 6 5 19 Treasury Goomastah Treasury Head Gomastah Hoogoor Goomastah Sheristadar of ditto Talook and Talsildar of Do in Salem from 1st February 1820 to November 1825 and Pynaad Sheristadar in Do from 10th August 1826 to October 1828 — 8 " 14 Moogoomdar of Meyloor and Terumungalam from 1st June 1833 to 13th September 1836 — 3 3 13 Duryaft Head Goomastah in the Rammad Zemindary and Hoogoor Acting Goomastah from 21st October 1842 to 7th January 1843 -- " 2 17 Examiner of the Settlement accounts from April to June 1845 - - - - - - - " 3 " Extra Goomastah from 20th March to 15th July 1846 - - - - - - - - - - - - - " 3 21 Acting Moogoomdar Acting Talsildar and Moogoomdar of Madambalum Talook from 22d September 1846 to 15th October 1847. - - - - - 1 " 24 19 7 18	24 2 3	I have not been satisfied with the conduct of this Talsildar in the past year. He has been slow and wanting in clearness when called upon to furnish explanations. His Maramat also was badly performed.

Fortt & Sperno 27 May 1852.

Name and date	Locality of Land	Title	Encumbrances	Remarks
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only

Name and date	Locality of Land	Title	Encumbrances	Remarks
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only

Name and date	Locality of Land	Title	Encumbrances	Remarks
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only

Name and date	Locality of Land	Title	Encumbrances	Remarks
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only
Thomas Johnson of Melbourne Esq. 29 May 1852	Parish of Jika Jika County of Bourke	Crown Grant	Mortgage to Charles Joseph Nixon dated 10th July 1851 for £1000 with interest at 8 per cent per annum	Produced for the purpose of identification only

Fort St George 27th May 1852

Names and places of birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
		y		000		y m d	y m d		y m d	
Dhondoo Row of Trichinopoly	Marattah Brahmin	46	Tanjore	112,171	70	From 19th December 1847 to 30th April 1852 4 4 12	12 1 3	Extra Gomastah in the Hoogoor from 1820 to 1821 - - - - - - - - - - 2 " " Hoogoor Gomastah from October 1822 to 1st January 1830 - - - - - - - - - - 10 3 " Tahsildar in different Talooks in Dindigul from 2d January 1833 to 23d February 1835 and from 17th May 1842 to 18th December 1847 - - - - - 7 8 23 Moogroondar of Madaseloun Talook from 24th February 1835 to 16th May 1842 - - - 7 2 2 27 2 15	31 6 27	The Sub Collector states as follows. "I see no reason from the further experience I have obtained to alter the opinion I formerly expressed of the character and qualification of Dhondoo Row and Soobier. I shall however be glad to see more energy and industry in the former in the performance of his duties generally especially in those of the Police and Maramut and more attention
Soobier of Vemba-cottay in Tinnevelly	Gentoo Brahmin	52	Shencar-ray	158,278	70	From 21st July 1847 to 30th April 1852 - 4 9 11	4 9 11	Auxawany in the Sub Talook in Rammad from January 1819 to 1822 - - - 4 " " Roysoom in Cannody in Cannody Talook in Dr from 13th August 1824 to 21st March 1825 - - - 3 7 8 In Dindigul- Joint Magistrate's Roysoom from 22d March 1828 to 25th August 1833 - - - - - 5 5 " Ditto Javeheuvis from 24th August 1833 to 3d August 1840 - - - - - 6 11 10 Revenue Dr from 4th August 1840 to January 1841 - - - - - - - - - 5 27 Magisterial Dr from 1st February to 6th September 1841 - - - - - " 7 6 Revenue Dr from 7th September 1841 to 20th July 1847 - - - - - - - - 5 10 14 26 11 5	31 8 16	on the part of Soobier to his Maramut duties. In the discharge of his Police and Revenue duties Soobier shews much industry and judgment and talent and I consider him to be the most efficient Tahsildar in the Sub Division He wants more urbanity of manners.

Fort St George 27th May 1852

Names and places of Birth	Caste	Age	Taluk	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Talukdar in the present Taluk	Total length of Service as Talukdar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Talukdar and length of Service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
		y		Rs	y m d	y m d		y m d	y m d	
Joganatha Row of Bellary	Maratta Brahmin	44	Gyenpully	1,12,820	70	From 28th January 1848 to 30th April 1852 - 4 3 4	4 3 4	Goomastah in the Subordinate Cutcherry of Bellary from November 1821 to April 1830 - - - - - 8 6 " Head Moonshee in the Hoogoor of Cuddapah from May to July 1830 - 3 " Goomastah in the Subordinate Cutcherry of Bellary from August 1830 to January 1832 - - 1 6 " Moozoomdar of Nellacottay Taluk from 20th November 1832 to 20th April 1835 - - - - - - - - - - 2 5 1 Ditto of Maroongapoory Taluk from 21st April 1835 to September 1836 - 1 5 10 Acting Talukdar of Do in October 1836 - - - - 1 - Moozoomdar of Do from 1st November 1836 to 20th November 1839 - - - - - - - - - - 3 " 20 Do of Meylore from 21st Novr 1839 to March 1843 - - - 3 4 10 Do of Seroomungalum from April 1843 to 27th January 1848 - - - - - - - - - 4 9 27	29 8 12	"Of Joganatha Row the Sub Collector is not able to report so favorably as of the other Talukdars - He is wanting in energy in dependence and judgment - His work is decently performed and his enquiries are so defective as to require to be returned for further investigation In his Peramunt duties he is more successful than the other Talukdars"
							25 5 8			
Thiruga moottoo Pillay of Peraculam in Madura District	Sunga Natar	39	Nellacottay	2,6,268	50	From 10th June 1848 to 31st April 1852 - 3 10 21	3 10 21	Police Amsany of Shencurray Taluk from 25th January 1833 to 11th February 1843 - - - 10 11 7 He was unable to attend to his duties from illness from the 12th February 1843 to 19th February 1844 - - - - - Amsany of Tandicambon Taluk from 20th February to 12th July 1844 - - - - - " 4 23 Acting Police Peyscar of Do from 13th July to 19th August 1844 " 1 7 Amsany of Do from 20th August 1844 to 30th May 1845 " 9 11 Acting Peyscar in the Subordinate Cutcherry from 1st June to 25th August 1845 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - " 2 25 Police Amsany of Shencurray Taluk from 26th August 1845 to 20th July 1847 1 10 25 Joint Magistrate Peyscar from 21st July 1847 to 9th June 1848 - - - - - - - - - - " 10 19	19 1 28	"Thiruganamoottoo Pillay is an Officer professing a very fair degree of talent and is acquainted with the details of his office and the Regulations and Circular orders and his Revenue administration of his Taluk is alike creditable to himself and advantageous to Government but owing to the very large quantity of Police Works that devolves upon him both in the Ayen and more especially in the Zemindary Divisions of his Taluk and the very inefficient Establishment allowed him in this Department the Joint Magistrate regrets to observe that he has not succeeded in affording the like degree of satisfaction in his Police duties In his Peramunt he also is not so attentive as could be wished"
							15 3 7			

6183

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Names and Places of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Talsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Talsildar, and Length of Service in such employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks
		y			CW	y m d	y m d	y m d	y m d	
Cousin Ally of Madura	Mussalman	50	Ramgherry	92742	50	From 27th November 1826 to 30th April 1852 5 5 4	5 5 4	Mulla or Administrator of oath in the Magistrates office at Madura from 5th November 1816 to 6th July 1821 --- 4 8 2 Police Ameen in Shevagungah from 7th July 1821 to 22nd September 1828 --- 7 2 15 Sayer Ameen in Ramnad from 1825 to 11th July 1837 - - - - - - - - - 2 6 11 Ameen of Auroor Vuttagay Talook from 1st July 1838 to 1st September 1839 - - - - - - - 1 1 28 Ameen of Court Talook from 2d September 1839 to 15th May 1846 --- 6 8 14 Police Ameen of Shevagungah Daroogah from 16th May to 26th November 1846 - 6 11 22 9 22	28 2 26	Cousin ally is a respectable intelligent man, but not an efficient Talsildar In his favor and in that of the Talsildar of Nellacottah it might be said that their salary (only 50 Rupees per mensem) almost precludes the proper performance of their duties, in as much as out of so small a sum they cannot afford to meet the means of conveyance necessary to enable them to move with the requisite rapidity over their large Talooks of which they have the whole Police though not the whole revenue charge. With reference even to the Revenue under annual settlement the salary of Ramgherry Talsildar is too small the former being nearly 1 Lac per annum and the latter only 50 Rupees per mensem.

Madura, 30th April 1852 C.C. pr /Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

6184

61-62

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

No. 28.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

63

Bd. No. 887 Sir,

From the Coll.r of Coimbatore ... } I have the honor to for-
-ward an Indent in duplicate for
a further supply of 6 Copies of
Revenue and Police Regulations
required for the use of the Police Amins
ves in this District and request that the
same may be ordered to be supplied in Tamil

Coimbatore
10th April 1852 } I Signed C. B. Thomas
Collector

Indent

C. B.

6185

Fort St. George 27th May 1857

No. 1

Indent for the number of Copies of Regulations and Acts required in the District of Coimbatore.

Revenue.			Police.			
Year.	No. of Regulations and Acts.	Total.	Year.	No. of Regulations and Acts.	Total.	Remarks.
1802	XVII		1802	VII		
	XIX			XX	2	
	XXIII		1803	XV	1	
	XXV		1809	IV	1	
	XXVI		1810	I	1	
	XXVII		1811	VI		
	XXVIII			VII		
	XXIX			VIII	3	
	XXX		1816	IX		
	XXXI	10		X		
1803	I and II	2		XI	3	
1804	V	1	1817	III	1	
1806	II	1	1818	I		
1816	IV			IX	2	
	V		1819	II		
	VII			III		
	XII			V	3	
	XII	5	1820	II	1	
1817	VII		1821	IV	1	

6 Copies required in Tamil.

6186 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Revenue.			Police.			Remarks.
Year.	No. of Regulations and Acts.	Total.	Year.	No. of Regulations and Acts.	Total.	
1817	VIII	2	1822	II		
1819	I	1		VI	2	
1820	I	1	1824	I	1	
1822	IV		1825	I	1	
	V		1827	III		
	IX	3		VI	2	
1823	I		1829	VIII	1	
	III	2	1830	I	1	
1825	II	1	1831	V	1	
1826	I	1	1832	IX		
1828	VII	1		XIII	2	
1830	III	1	1833	II	1	
1831	III		1834	I	1	
	IV		1835	XVIII	1	
	VI		1836	XXX	1	
	X	4	1837	XVII		
1832	IV			XVIII		
	V			XXX		
	VI			XXXIII	4	
	XI	4	1840	XXII	1	
1835	XV		1841	V		
	XXI	2		XXV		

6187 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Revenue.			Police.			Remarks.
Year.	No. of Regulations and Acts.	Total.	Year.	No. of Regulations and Acts.	Total.	
1837	IV		1841	XXX	3	
	XXXVI	2	1843	VII	1	
1838	XXI		1844	III		
	XXIII	2		V	2	
1839	VII	1	1838	XX	1	
1840	VII	1	1839	II		
1843	XIX	1		XVII		
1844	XXII	1		XVIII		
		50		XXXI	4	
					50	

Coimbatore,
10th April, 1852.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Resolved

6188 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

B. No. 1035.
Resolution thereon

63-64

Resolved that a further supply of Tamil Regulations according to the following List be despatched to the Collector of Coimbatore and that he be informed that the Board are unable to furnish the remaining number required.

Year	Regulations	Number of Copies supplied
1802	XVII	1
	XIX	8
	XXIII	6
	XXV	2
	XXIX	2
	XXX	2
	XXXI	2
1816	II	4
1816	IV	1
1802	VII	6
	XX	8
1816	IX	8
1818	I	1
1819	III	3
1821	IV	8
1823	I	8
1826	I	5
1835	XXI	8
1827	III	1
1834	I	8
1836	XXX	8
1837	XVII	6
	XXXV	4

6189 Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Coimbatore dated 17th February and entered in Consultation 18th March 1852.

Read also again letter from the Acting Collector of Coimbatore dated 26th March and entered in Consultation 19th April 1852.

Read further letter.

No. 38

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George –

65

B. No. 1089.
From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th ultimo I beg to inform the Board that the Burghers sold 1 Cawny of Land to Captain Reilly with their own free Consent just before I left the District on Medical Certificate. No Money had been paid, nor was the arrangement fully completed or in a condition to be reported on, the arrangement between Captain Reilly and the Burghers was subsequently concluded in my absence after I left, and the whole has since been set aside by order of Government and the land has been returned to the Burghers –

Coimbatore,
Collector's Office,
Coonoor, 3rd May, 1852 –

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector –

Resolved

Bd. No. 1024
Proceedings
65-66

In Cons: 29th January 1852

Fort St. George 27th May 185

thereon

Resolved that the letter a
recorded and the enclosures in the first com
:nication be submitted for the consideration
orders of the Right Honorable the Governor
Council with reference to Extract Minutes
Consultation 23rd January 1852.

2

Of the 6 L. Gs. 37 1/2 of Land held
Captain Reilly on the Neilgherries in the ca
of the Cantonment it was stated that
obtained one Cawny without purchase
the Burghers thro' Mr E. B. Thomas and
latter Officer now explains that although
Bhurghers sold it yet no money was pai
nor any arrangement completed till
he left the District, but that the Land ha
been returned to the Burghers Captain Reil
appears to have purchased the remainder
the land 3. Gs. 37 1/2 from the Burghers thro
Mr A. W. Lascelles for Rupees 50. and
expended Rupees 35. in clearing it, requested
payment of the amount from the Settling
Collector who complied with his request, and
took possession of the Land on behalf of Govt

3

The Board recommend that Sanc
be granted for the disbursement.

4

They observe that Captain Reil
has 1 C. 21. 2160 of Land in the Cantonment Coll
with the consent of the Military authorities and
rules which guides Grants of this nature, and that
Officer is shortly expected from the Quarter Mas
General's Department to lay out the Cantonmen
when the grounds will be allotted by his
Excellency the Commander in Chief

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 55.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Sir,

Fort St. George

In their Proceedings dated 19th Ult.o the Board desire that I will submit my opinion upon the points adverted to by the Beebee of Cannanore in her letter transmitted with my letter dated 29th March last.

2. I have the honor to state that in my opinion the Beebee of Cannanore has made out her case in her letter of the 20th March last, and shewn that whatever the wishes of the authorities might have been in 1795 on the subject of abolishing the Monopoly of trade between the Laccadive Islands and Cannanore by the treaty+ entered into by the Government with the Beebee a year later, the Monopoly was virtually conceded.—

3. The letter of the Honorable Court of Directors of the 1st March 1797, in which they "very much approve of the measures that have been "taken for relieving the Inhabitants of the "Laccadive Islands from the oppressions of the

67

Bd. No. 1098.
From the Collector of Malabar, 1.

Submits his opinion upon the points adverted to by the Beebee of Cannanore in her letter transmitted with his letter of the 29th March last.

+ "To pay Government "Rupees 15000 per Annum "being the Jumma on the "Houses, Pursaus &c. "Situated at and "near Cannanore on "my Trade with the "Laccadive Islands, "and on my Slaves "Property on the said "Islands."—

"the Beebee of Cannanore, and allowing them the
"same freedom of trade as is enjoyed by our
"subjects in the provence of Malabar," must, I
think, have been written before they had
cognizance of the treaty contracted only four
months before-

4- No one can be more desirous than
myself that this injurious Monopoly should be
at an end, but I do not see that it can fairly
be extinguished without the consent of the
Beebee-

5- The Board remark in this
letter that Laccadive boats if not allowed
free entrance in Canara will go further up
Coast, to Goa for instance, and thus defeat
Beebee's Monopoly. I doubt if boats such
are used by the Islanders would make this
extended voyage - but at all events I doubt
whether this contingency should prevent the
application of a remedy to a present wrong -
wrong it be decided there is-

Calicut,
6th May 1852-

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector

Resolved

(67-68)

B. No. 1010.

No. 207

Proceedings thereon - 1. Resolved that the letter above
recorded together with the previous papers on the
From Collector 4th in Cons: 22d December 1851.
" — " — 29th Dec. 15th in Cons: subject be submitted for the consideration and
2d February — 1852. orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in
Proceedings 2d February. Council -
From 29th in Cons: 1852.
March — " —

2- The Board do not concur in the
opinion that the Monopoly of the Trade between
the Laccadive Islands and Cannanore by the
so called Treaty of 1796 was virtually conceded
to the Beebee of Cannanore and in their separate
Para 15. letter of the 10th May 1852, the Board have
noticed the ambiguous terms of that document as
applying to the Laccadives, but as, according to
the Collector's view, it gives the Beebee a Mono-
=poly of the Trade from the Laccadive Islands -
they think that this objection forms an additional
reason for dissolving the said Treaty -

3- Besides that part of the document
quoted in para 2 of Mr. Conolly's last letter they
find the following in the third article of the 1st
Clause of the document - "This article of agreement
"is not to do away nor is it meant to do away in
"any shape the Right which the Government have
"to the Laccadive Islands as set forth in my
"Moochilka of 9th April 1793".

Read

Read again Endorsement of Government upon Petition No. 1680 of 1851. Dated 7th March 1852.

Read also again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 3d and entered in Consultation 29th March 1852.

Read further letter.

69

B. No. 785.

From the Collector of Madras 1.

From Board 29th March 1852.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

I have the honor to report that no such Takeed as was alleged to have been sent a authorizing the transfer of 20 Garces and 2 to the head of wastage was ever issued from my Office.

2. With regard to the two daily Memorandums Mr. Ellis states it as his opinion that, that which contains the account of G. 34 – M. 238 as the quantity received was that which was first sent up to the Hoozzoor. This was returned and the other Memorandum containing the account G. 8 – M. 236 was sent up in it's place. Both these are genuine in-as-much as they are both signed by the persons whose signatures they bear, but the first is the Memorandum which states the true quantity of salt received on the date, February 23d 1849. namely G. 34 – M. 238 and which agree with the Marcal Abstract Dafs of that date.

Madras
3d April 1852.

/ Signed / J. A. Huddleston.
Collector.

69-70

B. No. 1014.

No. 210.

Proceedings thereon. 1 The charge of fraud is made by Ademoola Chitty late Boat contractor for the conveyance of Salt from the Attiput, Vulloor & Ca. pans to Madras, against I Vencata Soobiah then Sheristadar of the Madras Cutcherry (now retired on a pension) II Ramiapah the present Salt Superintendent. III Mooreapah Moodelly the present Salt Peshcar and IV. Ramakistna Pillay the present Head Sumpratty at the Madras Cutcherry.

2. The two charges most distinctly made are I. That on the 23d February 1849. 26 Garce and 2 Marcals of Salt were embezzled, and II that during August and September of the same year 15 Garce were made away with.

3. The latter case having been investigated by Mr. Taylor the former Assistant and not proved, Mr. Ellis proceeded to investigate first, the charge No. I.

From Collectors to Board 6th March in Cons: 3d April 1851.

The

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

4. The Cutcherry Salt accounts referred to, to make good the charge, are 2. Viz.t I the Alavoo Dab, or Measurement account. II. The Daily Memorandum prepared. The 1st is a Tamil Document on Cadjan at the Salt Cotaurs, in which on the receipt of a boat of Salt, an entry is made of the No. of the Boat. The No. of the Heap whence the Salt was taken. It's estimated quantity when shipped. and it's actual quantity when received.

5. The 2d. is a Tamil Memorandum on Country paper despatched every evening to the Hoozoor Cutcherry from the Salt Cotaur, shewing the quantity of Salt in store when the day began. The amount received during the day. The Home and Inland sales of the day and the Balance remaining at the close of the day.

6. These two Documents, Viz.t. the Alavoo Dab[x], and the Daily Memorandum[o], for the 23d of February 1849. were at once taken from the Records, and examined.

7. They are both signed by Ramiapah the Superintendent, Moonesamy Moodely

[x] Enclosure. C.
[o] Enclosure. B.

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Moodely the Peshcar, and Davaraja Pillay the then Seersindar, and who is now a Chief witness against the 2 former.

8. Of course these 2 accounts should correspond in that item which specifies the quantity of Salt received during the day, but they do not for while the Alavoo Dab shews an entry of Salt of Fuslies 1256/57 received from Vulloor, as follows.

	G.	M.
Estimated quantity sent	41.	385.
Actual quantity received	34.	238.

the Daily Memorandum sent to the Huzzoor shews, "received from Vulloor for Fusly 1256. G. 8 M. 236." Hence the Salt servants are charged with having received on that day G. 34 M. 238. and reporting to the Huzzoor only G. 8 M. 236, which would amount to an embezzlement of G. 26 M. 2.

9. It appears that both the Alavoo Dab, and Daily Memorandum are when received at the Huzzoor kept under the charge of Ramakistna Pillay the Sumpretty at the Huzzoor Cutcherry, the 4th person charged with embezzlement[+].

[x] Paral. of those Proc.

10. The next thing was therefore to

* Oopoo Iroopoo "Salt in hand"

to examine the Sumpretty's Duftar, or the account prepared by him from the "Oopoo Iroopoo" account* (which is a counterpart of the Daily Memorandum but does not state the estimated quantity of Salt brought by each boat) furnished and signed by the 3 Cotaur servants mentioned in para 7 of those Proceedings.—

11. This Oopoo Iroopoo account is written daily on a Cadjan, and that for 23d February 1849. enters as follows:—

	G.	M.
Stock in hand	277	25
Received from Vulloor	8	236

The Sumpretty's Duftar account prepared from the above corresponds exactly with it.

12. Next the English account book was examined. This is prepared from the "Daily Memorandum" before spoken of, the entry for 23d February 1849 is "Salt received from Vulloor 8—236."—

G.	M.
8	236

13. It will be seen therefore that while the Alavoo Dob account states that 34—238 were received on the day in question, all the others recorded i.e "the Daily Memorandum". "The Oopoo Iroopoo" "The Sumpretty's Duftar" and the English account all enter 8—236 only.

G.	M.
34	238

14. The Sumpretty's Duftar is compiled from the Oopoo Iroopoo, and the English account from the Daily Memorandum, and should agree.

15. The late Head Seristadar is implicated in-as-much as his own Goomashtah+ prepares the English account from the "Daily Memorandum," and makes a daily comparison with the Head Sumpretty's Duftar prepared from the "Oopoo Iroopoo" account and it is their duty to compare the "Daily Memorandum" with the "Oopoo Iroopoo"- It is the Sumpretty's duty to give notice to the Seristadar, of any discrepancy that may be observed, and it is he that has charge of the "Alavoo Dob" accounts as they come in, which it is also his duty to compare also with the other vouchers.

+ The Goomashtah of that time is now dead.

16. To prove that the "Alavoo Dob" account recorded and which differs from the rest was a correct entry* and that the others are false altogether, Adeemoola Chitty produced another, suppressed "Daily Memorandum" of the same day (23d February 1849.)@ which Certifies as follows:—

	G.	M.
Salt from Vulloor of 1256	8	236.
Do. Do. of 1257.	26	2.
	34	238.

* G. M. 34—238.

@ Enclosure A.

It

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

17. It would appear from this that trusting to the Alavoo Dob for that day's being overlooked, an arrangement was made for entering in all the other accounts the same G 8 M 236 only, and getting credit for the rest as "wastage."

18. Abstracts of the two "Daily Memorandum" (A the suppressed one, and B that filed in the Office) are given below. It will be seen how the quantity G 26 M 2 received in the Alavoo Dob, the former is made to pass into "wastage" in Enclosure A, and is omitted altogether in B.

Enclosure A.

or daily Memorandum first written, but suppressed.

	Salt of Fusly 1256.		Salt of Fusly 1257.		Total	
	G.	M.	G.	M.	G.	M.
Salt in Store	43.	292	233	365	277	257
Received from Vulloor	8	236	26	*2	34	238
Total	52.	128	259	367	312	95
Home Sales — 2 — 10						
Inland Do. — 4 — 180	"	"	6	190	6	190
Remain	52	128	253	177	305	305
Wastage	"	"	26	2	26	2
Remain	52.	128	227	175	279	303

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Enclosure B.

or "Daily Memorandum" substituted and filed in the Collectors Office.

	Salt of Fusly 1256.		Salt of Fusly 1257.		Total.	
	G	M	G	M	G	M.
Salt in store	43	292	233	365	277	257
Received from Vulloor	8	236	"	* "	8	236
Total	52	128	233	365	286	93
Home sales — 2 — 10						
Inland Do. — 4 — 180	"	"	6	190	6	190
Remain	52	128	227	175	279	303

19. The existence of two Daily Memorandum for the same day is explained as follows:-

Adeemoola Chitty declared he received the one in his possession and now produced from Devaraja Pillay (then Seersindar, but since dismissed) and whose signature (as stated in para 7 of these Proceedings) is seen to the Daily Memorandum on the Collectors record, as well as the one produced by Adeemoola Chitty.

20. Devaraja Pillay being called on stated that the Daily Memorandum produced by Adeemoola Chitty is the one originally prepared

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

prepared by him but that the then Sheristadar was so averse to the entry of 26—2—M as wastage that he came personally to the Cotaurs and ordered another Daily Memorandum to be prepared and signed in which instead of entering 26—2—M as wastage its receipt was struck out altogether, both from the Daily Memorandum and a fresh Oopoo Iroopoo account prepared for the Sumpretty to correspond - That he (Witness) retained possession of the original Daily Memorandum, and gave it to Adeemoola Chitty the corresponding Iroopoo account Ramiapah the Superintendent took.-

21.

It still remained to ascertain how it was that with all these precautions to make every account tally, the Cadjan Alavoo Dob filed in the Record comes to shew a receipt of 34—238—G M instead of 8—236—G M.-

22.

Mr. Ellis states (para 29) that it is not a daily account, but sent up every 10 or 15 days, sometimes several in a bundle at the end of the month. Every detail being given in the other daily accounts, it appears that it was not thought necessary to alter these

* The above Dob refers especially to the contents of each boat - both its estimated and actual out-turn - It is only kept during those months that the manufacture and supply to the Cotaur is going on -

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Vouchers, being seldom tested, or perhaps it was forgotten-

The only other accounts that could have thrown light on the transaction are the Boat Chits or receipts given to the Boatmen on landing and measuring the Salt - They are finally given up to the Office by the Contractor as Vouchers when his accounts are sent in, but those for the period in question are not to be found-

23.

In his Defence the Superintendent Ramiapah states, that the Daily Memorandum produced by Adeemoola Chitty is the genuine one, and was sent to the Huzzoor, that as the Peshcar informed him that he had obtained permission to deduct in the accounts the excess of 26. Garce he ordered the Peesindar to make the entry. He does not know how the Daily Memorandum on the Record came there, or how his signature was attached - He also urges that it was in the Inland sales that defalcations by wastage took place, and that he had received a written order from the Collector himself previously that he was to attend to the Home sales

but

but that the Peshcar Mooneapah Moodely was to attend to the Inland sales-

25- The Peshcar Mooneapah Moodely acknowledges his signature to the Document but states that he never perused the accounts trusting to Devaraja Pillay- He admits that he was cognizant of 26 odd Garce being written off as wastage by order of Mr. Dewaz late Head Writer (now dead) and therefore the Daily Memorandum produced by Adeemoola Shetty is correct-

26- These two defendants imply that the Daily Memorandum Marked A was the one they sent up, but that Devaraja Pillay wrote and (B) and got it substituted in the Record. They cannot however deny that both bear their signature-

27- The late Sheristadar states he he exercised no supervision over the Head Sumpretty who prepared the Hoozzoor accounts from those furnished by the servants at the Cotaur but that he depended on his bringing to his notice any discrepancy- He denies that the Cotaur servants ever did (as they affirm) bring the Wastage in the Inland sale heap, to his notice or that he gave any order to substitute a

a new Daily Memorandum-

28- The Head Sumpretty denies that the Daily Memorandum produced by Adee=moola Shetty was ever received at the Hoozzoor, but allows that he did not compare the one from which he prepared the Hoozzoor accounts, with the Alavoo Dob which is different-

29- With regard to the latter portion of Mr. Ellis's Report relative to the frauds said to have taken place in August and September 1849. to which Mr. Taylor directed his attention and reported on, the Board still think that though there are the strongest grounds for suspicion against the Cotaur servants, yet that the fraud is not fully proved- The case against the Salt servants is no doubt much strengthened since Mr. Taylor made his investigation by circumstances which had not come to that Officer's knowledge-

30. The Board however consider that it will not be necessary to enter further into this matter in-as-much as the events of February are quite sufficient to enable them to form a judgment on the conduct of the Salt servants-

Besides

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

31- Besides Mr. Ellis's Report, they have before them Petitions from Pistriah the late Salt Ameen, Devarajah Pillay the Seesindar, Ramiapah the present Superin=tendent and Mooneapah Moodely the present Peshcar- The first of these is not concerned in the case examined by Mr. Ellis, the 2d is a party concerned but admitted as a witness, the other 2 are of the 4 charged with fraud-

32- It appears clearly to the Board that gross irregularity has prevailed in the Salt Department, that the parties have quarrel=led and that some have informed against their colleagues:-

33- In the present case the in=former Devaraja Pillay late Seesindar bore a most conspicuous part in the tran=saction himself* and Adeemoola Chitty the prosecutor (late Boat Contractor) does not come forward as a disinterested character

34- The chief evidence however is in the written accounts themselves and the admission of the parties, and thus compensates for the very little credence to

* The Board would also refer to their Proceedings of 13th July 1850 and Extract Minutes Consultation 22d August 1850-

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

to be given to the Statements of the accusers where not thus supported.-

35- From all that is presented before them the Board do not see that there is any evidence adduced to shew that the 26 — 2 G M of Salt was actually embezzled as stated in para 46 in Mr. Ellis's report, but they perceive such a tampering with the accounts, as must at once shew the parties concerned to be unfit for Public employ-

36- The Board are inclined to believe the true state of the Case to be much as is admitted in the Defence and Petitions, that with a views to compete with and get in advance of the Singleputt market, the late Mr. DeVaz urged an excessive and unauthorized measurement to the Inland sale purchasers - It is well known to the Board that much rivalry has existed between the two Districts, and over-measurement is generally the plan resorted to at the expense of Government to obtain purchasers:-

37- The consequence was that there was a continued and increasing deficit in the Outturn accounts even beyond the usual average, ad=verted to in para 45 of Mr. Ellis's Report-

This

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

31- Besides Mr. Ellis's Report, they have before them Petitions from Ristnah the late Salt Ameen, Devarajah Pillay the Seesindar, Ramiapah the present Superin= tendent and Mooneapah Moodely the present Peshcar- The first of these is not concerned in the case examined by Mr. Ellis, the 2d is a party concerned but admitted as a witness, the other 2 are of the 4 charged with fraud-

32- It appears clearly to the Board that gross irregularity has prevailed in the Salt Department that the parties have scr= =led and that some have informed against their colleagues:-

33- In the present case the in= =former Devaraja Pillay late Seesindar bore a most conspicuous part in the tran= =saction himself and Adeemoola Chitty the prosecutor (late Boat Contractor) does not come forward as a disinterested character

34- The chief evidence however is in the written accounts themselves and the admission of the parties, and thus compensates for the very little credence to

* The Board would also refer to their Proceedings of 13th July 1850 and Extract Minutes Consultation 22d August 1850-

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

to be given to the Statements of the accusers where not thus supported.-

35- From all that is presented before them the Board do not see that there is any evidence adduced to shew that the 26 G — 2 M of Salt was actually embezzled as stated in para 46 in Mr. Ellis's report, but they perceive such a tampering with the accounts, as must at once shew the parties concerned to be unfit for Public employ-

36- The Board are inclined to believe the true state of the Case to be much as is admitted in the Defence and Petitions, that with a view to compete with and get in advance of the Singleputt market, the late Mr. DeVaz urged an excessive and unauthorized measurement to the Inland sale purchasers - It is well known to the Board that much rivalry has existed between the two Districts, and over-measurement is generally the plan resorted to at the expense of Government to obtain purchasers:-

37- The consequence was that there was a continued and increasing deficit in the Outturn accounts even beyond the usual average, ad= =verted to in para 45 of Mr. Ellis's Report-

This

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

38. This Wastage Book has been inspected by the Board. It appears that the Wastage is entered as taken from the Daily Memorandum. It occurs almost every day. On February 21st 1849. Wastage G 1 M 250. (on a 20 Garce heap) February 24th G 1 M 270. In this interval no Wastage is entered. This Wastage is that which is supposed to take place after the Salt is stored, and varies from 8 to 24 Garce, a month. It averages about 5 per Cent, an amount of Wastage which considering the short time the Salt remains in store, the Board consider next to impossible and when this deficiency had attained so large an amount that it could no longer be concealed, it was thought advisable to clear it all off, at once by entering it all as "Wastage" and that an opportunity offered on the 23d February, when a quantity of Salt G 26 M 2 was received from Vulloor and which probably was about the quantity deficient. The Board cannot doubt that the Daily Memorandum*, produced by Adeemoola Shitty is the one that was originally drawn out on this occasion and in the 26-2 is entered as "Wastage."

* Enclosure A

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

39. It then appears that fearing so large an amount of Wastage at once would be noticed (and observing probably that the quantity received, and that entered as Wastage on the same day coincided too closely to pass without suspicion) it was thought better to say nothing about Wastage - which might call for enquiry, by writing a fresh Daily Memorandum, and at once strike off the G 26 M 2. from the Receipts of Salt - The omission to alter the Alawoo Dob was one of those mistakes which so often occur in cases of fraud, and, through which such cases are often brought to light. This Cadjan account was probably thought so little of as to escape the notice of the delinquents, and there is very reason to believe that the discrepancy never would have been discovered had it not been for the part taken by Devaraja Pillay who not only was the chief actor in the fraud but kept the 1st. Document to play off against the others in the event of any subsequent misunderstanding arising between them.

× both G 26 M 2.

In thus preferring to

40. account

38- This Wastage Book has been co
inspected by the Board- It appears that the co
Wastage is entered as taken from the Daily co
Memorandum- It occurs almost every day. On
February 21st 1849. Wastage G 1 M 250- (on a 20 Garce
heap) February 24th G 1 M 270- In this interval no
Wastage is entered- This Wastage is that which
is supposed to take place after the Salt is co
stored, and varies from 8 to 24 Garce, a month
It averages about 5 per Cent, an amount of
Wastage which considering the short time
the Salt remains in store, the Board con
=sider next to impossible and when this co
deficiency had attained so large an amount
that it could no longer be concealed, it was
thought advisable to clear it all off, at once
by entering it all as "Wastage" and that an
opportunity offered on the 23d February, co
when a quantity of Salt G 26 M 2 was received
from Velloor and which probably was about
the quantity deficient- The Board cannot co
doubt that the Daily Memorandum*, produced
by Ademoola Chitty is the one that was co
originally drawn out on this occasion and in which
the 26-2 is entered as "Wastage"-

* Enclosure A

39. It then appears that fearing
so large an amount of Wastage at once would co
be noticed (and observing probably that the co
quantity received, and that entered as Wastage
on the same day coincided too closely to
pass without suspicion) it was thought co
better to say nothing about Wastage- which co
might call for enquiry, by writing a fresh
Daily Memorandum, and at once strike off
the G 26 M 2 from the Receipts of Salt- co
The omission to alter the Alavoo Dob was
one of those mistakes which so often co
occur in cases of fraud, and through which
such cases are often brought to light- This
Cadjan account was probably thought so co
little of as to escape the notice of the delin=
=quents, and there is every reason to believe that
the discrepancy never would have been dis=
=covered had it not been for the part co
taken by Devaraja Pillay who not only was
the chief actor in the fraud but kept the co
1st. Document to play off against the others
in the event of any subsequent misunderstanding
arising between them-

In thus preferring to co

40- account-

× both G. M. 26-2.

account for the deficit by attributing it chiefly to wastage arising from unauthorized measurement the Board do not wish to leave the impression that a portion of that Salt does not represent actual embezzlement. It may be so, but there is nothing to shew this, whereas there are many incidental circumstances to support the former explanation as offered by the parties.—

41. The Salt servants are still guilty, which ever way it is, and the Board see nothing in their defence to exculpate them. On this point they quite agree with what Mr. Ellis states in para 43 to 51 inclusive.

42. The abstraction of the Boat notes from the Office and also the Depositions taken before Mr. Taylor (as mentioned in paras 3 and 53 of Mr. Ellis' Report) call for a searching enquiry and they expect the Collector will make further investigation as to the abstraction of the Records and point out to the Board who are the responsible parties.—

43. It is not proved that the

late Sheristadar Vencata Soobiah personally ordered the fabrication of the account, although the suspicion that he did so is strong, but the remissness of that Officer in the supervision of so important a branch of his Duty and the fact that he knew of the fraud in the Daily Memorandum some months before the present investigation, are circumstances that gravely implicate him.

44. The Superintendent Ramia-pah. The Peshcar Mooneappah Moodelly and the Head Sumpretty Ramakistna Pillay should be dismissed. The Board would strongly urge upon the consideration of Government what is stated by Mr. Ellis in para 64 of his Report regarding the inadequacy of the pay of the Superintendant. The Salt Revenue of Madras is 5 lacs and is increasing. The article is manufactured at various Pans distant 12 and 15 Miles from the Cotaur or store. It is liable to constant plunder, waste and fraud, means for combining to deceive Government are at hand, the temptation to pilfer, smuggle

and

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

and embezzle is very great and the risk of detection small. The Board consider it their duty to represent that this important Branch of Revenue at Madras should be as Superintendant an Uncovenant assistant at such a salary as would secure the services of an efficient man, who would devote his whole attention to it. They are convinced that it would be an eventual saving to Government even if the salary were 250 or 300 Rupees per Mensem.—

Resolved that the above proceedings be submitted for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

Read

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.—

Read the following letter & No. 44

(71)

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

B.d No. 1026

From the Collector of Madras — } Sir,

With reference to the concluding portion of Para 2 of Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 12th November 1849. I have the honor to forward the accompanying Statement shewing the results of the Comparison that has been made between the Register of Grants and Certificates and the Register of Last Rent Bills.—

Great care was taken in the Comparison and the trifling errors that have been detected have, I hope been sufficiently explained in the Column of Remarks appended to this Statement.—

Madras, ——
30th April —
1852.—

I have &c.
(Signed) J. A. Hudleston.
Collector.

Report.

Fort St.e George 27.th November 1852.—

Report.—

No. of Certificate & Bill of Sale	No. of Bill	Village or Division	Street	Names	Extent	annual amount Quit Rent as per Cert.e	annual amount Quit Rent as per Bill	Difference	Remarks.
									1–1–2 being the annual Quit Rent thereon Rupees 1–1–2 were entered omitting 1 anna therein and so it was collected from him for that period therefore the difference
									of 1. Anna will be recovered from him for the last 7 years and it shall be added in his Bill for the Current year.—
									This Bill of Sale No.e 5247. was issued in 1823. in the name of Vurdapen & Co.a and afterwards on the 20.th July 1824. in the name of M. Mootoo Chetty, under No.e
5531	5	Ditto	Ditto	Maude=veram Moottiah Chetty—	"	1090	1 3 11	" " " " "	5,531. as above stated but the Annual Quit Rent thereon at Rs.– 1–3–11 was not collected from them but from D. Karekistna Chetty by mistake for 29 years from 1814. to 1842. as stated in the above remark. The Bill on this property was afterwards omitted for the last 7 years from 1843. Consequently a Quit Rent Bill should be prepared for the recovery of the arrears Rupees 8–11–5. from him for the last 7 years in the name of the present holder on this property and the Bill shall be continued from the Current year.—

When

Fort St. George 27th November 1852.

6494	10	Peddoo Naik's Pettah	Varada Mootiapen Street	Streene = vass Pillay	1	990	5	7	1	25	2	54
4550	12	Ditto	Thautha Mootiapen Street.	T. Manee = gunda Moodely	"	2149 3/16	3	14	3	3	19	126

When this Certificate was issued in 1827 after cancelling the original Quit Rent Bill No. 10. on which was paid annually Rupees 7-12-5 this property was assessed at a reduced rate of Rupees 5-7-1 upon the then valuation but instead of this latter sum being entered in the subsequent Bills the original amount of Rupees 7-12-5 was entered and it was continued to be collected accordingly from 1827 to 1850 the difference of Rupees 2-5-4. per year over collected is Rs. 56—— It appears in this case the over collection was made by oversight and therefore it is necessary to enter the actual assessment of Rs. 5-7-1 in the Bill to be prepared for the next year and to collect it accordingly hereafter from the proprietor.—

When this Certificate was renewed in 1823. it was assessed at Rupees 3-14-3 which sum is entered in the Register of Certificates but Rupees 3-1-9 was erroneously entered in the Register of Quit Rent Bills and continued to be collected from 1823. to 1849. at that rate— The difference of Annas 12— and Piece 6. per year thus omitted in the Bills during the period of the last 27 years is Rupees 21-1-6. This balance ought to be recovered from the proprietor by giving a Bill for it and the actual assessment of Rs. 3-14-3 should be entered in the Bill to be prepared for the Current year and continued to be collected.—

Fort St. George 27th November 1852.—

8547		Peddoo Coopoo Naiks Pettah	Venkata-chellier Street.—	V. Ben-=joloo Chetty.	"	760	" 117	" 107	" 14

The Original Quit Rent assessed on this property when this Bill of sale was issued in 1833 was annas 11 and pice 7 but in the bills given to the proprietor annas 10 and pice 7 was erroneously entered in omitting 1 anna and collected accordingly for the last 18 years from 1833 to 1850— The difference so omitted during the above period amounts to 1 Rupees and 2 annas which ought to be recovered from the proprietor and that the Quit Rent in future Bills should be entered at annas 11 and Pice 7.—

4483	183	Ditto	Anoo-muntaroyen Pagoda Street—	Nelloo -rumnay—	"	531	" 89	" 5	" 39

On this property annas 8 and pice 9 were assessed but 5 annas were collected having omitted 3 annas and 9 Pice in each year, so the collection was made for 28 years from 1823 to 1850 the difference to be recovered from the proprietor is Rupees 6— 9— " In future Bills for annas 8 and Pice 9 will be entered.—

On

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.—

		Peddoo Naiks Pettah Street	Lala Condapenma	D. Kistna Naick	"	15	7 0 1/8	" 9 11	" 11 11	" 5 "
1835	34	Ditto—	Cresnapa Maistry Street	C. Kistnap -pa	Moodelly	"	858	" 10 "	" 26 "	7 6
3147	16	Ditto—	Vencata -royer Street	V. Causey Moodelly		"	161 3/4	" 112 "	9 2 "	2 4
1489	91	Ditto	Mackay Mottiah Moodelly Street	Ponnary Streenevasa Moodelly and Rungasawmy Moodelly		"	197 1/2	1 13 11	1 13 10	" " 1

On this annas 5 were assess-ed but 14 annas and 11 Pice were entered in the Bills by mistake from 1814 and collected accordingly for 38 years so the over collection made at annas 9 and Pice 11 in every year from 1814. to 1851 is Rupees 23-8-10 which shall be refunded if claimed by the Proprietor.—

On this annas 10 were assessed but annas 2 and Pice 6 were entered in the Bills and collected accordingly from 1813 to 1850. The difference to be recovered at annas 7. and Pice 6 per year for 38 years is Rupees 17-13-" The annual amount annas 10 will be entered in future Bills.—

On this property annas 11. and Pice 2 were assessed but 9 annas and 2 Pice were erroneously entered in the Bills having omitted 2 annas and collected accordingly from 1814 to 1850. The difference at 2 annas per year to be recovered from the proprietor is annas 14-"—

On this Rupee 1-13-11 were assessed but collected at Rupee 1 annas 13 and Pice 10 having omitted 1 Pice from 1837 to 1850 The difference at 1 Pice to be re-covered is one anna and 2 Pice—

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.—

		Peddoo Naik's Pettah Street	Lala Condapenma	D. Kistna Naick	"	15 7/8	" 9 11	" 1 4 11	" 5
1835	34								
		Ditto	Cresnapa Maistry Street	C. Kistnap Modelly	"	8 5 8	" 10	" 2 6	" 7 6
1680	34								
		Ditto	Venkata royer Street	N. Causey Modelly	"	16 13 3/4	" 1 12	" 9 2	" 2 4
3147	16								
		Ditto	Mackay Mottiah Moodelly Street	Ponnary Streenevasa Moodelly and Rungasawmy Moodelly	"	19 7 1/2	1 3 11	1 3 10	" " 1
1489	91								

On this annas 5 were assessed but 14 annas and 11 Pice were entered in the Bills by mistake from 1814 and collected accordingly for 38 years so the over collection made at annas 9 and Pice 11 in every year from 1814. to 1851 is Rupees 23-8-10 which shall be refunded if claimed by the Proprietor.—

On this annas 10 were assessed but annas 2 and Pice 6 were entered in the Bills and collected accordingly from 1813 to 1850. The difference to be recovered at annas 7. and Pice 6 per year for 38 years is Rupees 17-13-" The annual amount annas 10 will be entered in future Bills.—

On this property annas 11. and Pice 2 were assessed but 9 annas and 2 Pice were erroneously entered in the Bills having omitted 2 annas and collected accordingly from 1844 to 1850. The difference at 2 annas per year to be recovered from the proprietor is annas 14-"—

On this Rupee 1-13-11 were assessed but collected at Rupee 1 annas 13 and Pice 10 having omitted 1 Pice from 1837 to 1850 The difference at 1 Pice to be recovered is one anna and 2 Pice—

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.—

		Peddoo Pettah Street	Lala Naick	D. Kistna						On this annas 5 were assessed but 14 annas and 11 Pice were entered in the Bills by mistake from 1814 and collected accordingly for 38 years so the over collection made at annas 9 and Pice 11 in every year from 1814 to 1851 is Rupees 23-8-10 which shall be refunded if claimed by the Proprietor.—
1835	34	Naik's	Condapenna Naick		"	15	7 0 1/8	" 9 11	" 14 11	" 5 "
		Cresappa Maistry Street	C. Kistnap							On this annas 10 were assessed but annas 2 and Pice 6 were entered in the Bills and collected accordingly
1680	34	Ditto—	-pa Moodelly		"	8	5 8	" 10	" 2 6	" 7 6 from 1813 to 1850. The difference
										to be recovered at annas 7.
										and Pice 6 per year for 38 years is Rupees 17-13-" The annual amount annas 10 will be entered in future Bills.—
		Vencata royer Street	N. Causey Moodelly							On this property annas 11 and Pice 2 were assessed but 9 annas and 2 Pice were erroneously entered in the Bills having
3147	16	Ditto—	--		"	16	13 3/4	" 11 2	" 9 2	" 2 4 omitted 2 annas and collected
										accordingly from 1814 to 1850 The difference at 2 annas per year to be recovered from the proprietor is annas 14—"—
			Ponnary Streenevassa Moodelly and Rungasawmy Moodelly							On this Rupee 1-13-11 were assessed but collected at Rupee 1 annas 13 and Pice 10 having
		Macky Mottiah Moodelly Street								omitted 1 Pice from 1837 to 1850
1489	91	Ditto			"	19	7 1/2	1 13 11	1 13 10	" " 1 The difference at 1 Pice to be re-
										covered is one anna and 2 Pice—

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.—

3115	20	Peddoo Naick's Pettah	Veneatachella maistry Street	V. Chenniah =mah—	"	1440	1	" 10	1 11	" 3	On this Rupee 1—"—10 is assessed but 1 Rupee 1 anna and 1 Pice was collected. The difference over collected thereon at 3 Pice in each year from 1806. to 1850 is annas 11—3—"—.
3802	13	Ditto	Kistnama naik Aggra=harum Street—	Veneata Eagaviah	"	1703 13/16	1	41 "	157 "	4 6	On this Rupee 1 anna 4 and Pice 1 is assessed but annas 15 and Pice 7 from 1847. to 1850. was collected having omitted annas 4 and Pice 6. The difference to be re=covered is Rupees 1—2—" Rupee 1—annas 4 Pice 1 will be entered in future Bills.—
1950	23	Ditto	Geregole Street	C. Soobba roya Moo=delly	"	1522½	1	28 "	125 "	6 3	On this property is Rupee 1—2—8. assessed but annas 12 and Pice 5 were errone=ously entered in the Bills from 1838 to 1850. and collected. The difference to be recovered at annas 6 and pice 3 per year is Rupees 5 annas 1 and Pice 3. Rupee 1 anna 2 Pice 8 will be entered in future Bills.—

Total Difference —— 14325

Deduct over Collections 80 41

Net Difference— 62 1124

Madras 30th April 1852.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Bd. No. 1025.

No. 215.

Proceedings thereon,

(71-72)

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.—

Proceedings to Government 12th November 1849.
Reso. of Govt. thereon 3d in lens: 6 Dec. 1849

2. The discrepancies enumerated in the present Statement between the Register of Grants and Certificates and the Register of Rent Bills are confined to Peddo Naiks Pattahs

3. On the Certificates marginally noted

Number of Certificates.	Loss Rs	a	p	For what period	amount Rs	a	p
2956	"	1	.	7 years	"	7	"
5531	1	3	11	7 years	8	11	5
4550	"	12	6	24 years	21	1	6
854	"	1	.	18 years	1	2	"
4483	"	3	9	28 years	6	9	"
1680	"	7	6	38 years	17	13	"
3147	"	2	"	7 years	"	14	"
1489	"	"	1	14 years	"	1	2
3802	"	4	6	4 years	1	2	"
1950	"	6	3	13 years	5	1	3
				Total	62	11	4

there is apparently from the Rupees 62-11-4 apparently from the clerical errors and omissions in the Rent Bills and it from the Column remarks to be the intention to recover that amount from the proprietors. The Board are however of opinion that limitation of six years, as the Period for which Arrears of rent are recoverable, under Section X Act XII bar the recovery of the greater portion and that if against the Rupees 62

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

the sums over-Collected amounting to Rupees 80-4-1 are allowed as a set off there will yet be an excess Collection of Rupees 17-5-9.

Number of Certificates.	Over Collection Rs	a	p	For what period.	Amount. Rs	a	p
6494	2	5	4	24 years	56	—	"
1835	"	5	"	38 years	23	8	10
3115	"	"	3	45 years	"	11	3
				Total	80	4	1

4. It therefore appears to the Board to be sufficient to issue fresh Bills containing the correct sums for the ensuing and future Faslies and to abandon the recovery of the Rupees 62-14-4.

No. 51.

(73)

To, W. B. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1128

From the Collector of Madras,
Reporting on the complaint of Mooneappa Gramny regarding his having been charged for the excess number of trees found to have been in his possession.

Sir,

With reference to the letter received from the Board of Revenue dated 3d May 1852 enclosing two Petitions Nos. 839 and 857 I have the honor to state for the information of the Board the following circumstances.

2. By the enclosures A and B it will be seen that in august 1851 the Petitioner Mooneappa Gramny had the full number of trees for his 1st and 2d Class shops, one tree only being in excess for the 2d Class shop.

Upon

3 Upon a subsequent examination in November 1851 he was found to be enjoying certain trees for both his shops in excess of the number allowed by his Moochilka. He was ordered to attend at the Cutchery to be made to pay the arrears of Jasti Tirvai upon these trees as per Moochilka enclosed. Vide Para II. He delaying attending till the middle of January 1852.

4. It is necessary to state for the information of the Board that Toddy Shopkeepers who wish to evade the payment of Jasti Tirvai (for trees in excess of the allotted number) according to the rate as in Para II of the Toddy Moochilka always make a similar delay in appearing. They draw Toddy from the excess trees during this interval. Before appearing at the Cutcherry they cut off the Palays or Spathe of the excess trees which renders these trees untraceable and then make their appearance to demand re-examination of their Gardens.

5. To guard against this fraud it is the practice of the Office to charge Jasti Tirvai for excess Trees found until the Shopkeeper appears; when he comes to the Cutcherry if he demands a re-examination and upon such re-examination being made there

does not appear any reason to doubt the former examination he is made to pay the arrears and the double is adjusted according to the condition in which the Gardens may be found upon this last examination.

6. This course which is necessary to guard against serious losses of Revenue was followed in the case of Mooneappah Grammy. Enclosure C shows the amount charged against him on the different dates.

7. This amount the shopkeeper has refused to pay and upon his refusal application has been made to the Superintendent of Police to withdraw his Licenses.

8. The resistance made by Mooneappa Grammy is an attempt to revive a claim made by the Toddy Shopkeepers formerly and decided by the Board against them, that those trees only should be taxable which are Calayam i. e. are actually producing Toddy at the time of examination.

14th April 1851.

9. This having been decided against them after mature consideration it is of importance to this Office that the Board should pass such decision upon Mooneappa Grammy's case as may appear proper to them to serve as a guide to this Office in similar cases.

Madras, 13th May, 1852.

Signed J. A. Eudleston
Collector.

Mooneappah

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

A

Mooneappah Grammy has two shops one in Black Town, the other in Tondiarpett. The Black Town Shop is 1st Class allowed 330 Trees.

The first examination of the gardens belonging to this shop was made on the 7th August 1851. The result of this examination was that there were 330 Trees the proper number of Taxable Trees.

The Second examination took place upon the 3d of November 1851.

Upon this examination being made there were found to be of Taxable Trees 394 or 64 above the number allowed. he refused either to pay the extra Tax according to his Moochilka or to deduct the trees, disputing their being Taxable because they were not at the time producing Toddy. This resistence was not made as it should have been immediately after the examination in dispute, but in January 1852, about the middle of the month. at his request another examination was made on the 17th January. The result of this examination was that of Taxable there were found 336 or 6 Trees in excess.

B.

Mooneappah Grammy 3d Class shop in Tondiarpett. This shop is allowed 165 Trees.

The first examination of this shop was made in

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

August 29th 1851. The result of this examination was that 166 Trees were found, being 1 Tree in excess for which 1 Tree he has always paid extra Tax.

This Garden was again examined on the 3d November 1851. on which date 181 Trees were found, being 15 Trees in excess.

This examination he disputed but only in the middle of January 1852.

Upon a re-examination being ordered on the 17th January, 183 Trees were found, being 17 Trees in excess.

C.

Jasti Tirvai for 1st Class shop, Mooneappah Grammy Black Town.

	Rs. a p
Extra Tax upon 64 Trees from the 3d November 1851. to 17th January 1852 at the rate of 1 Fan.	37—8—"
:nam for 10 Trees	
Extra Tax upon 6 Trees from the 17th January 1852 to 3d May 1852.	5—1—"
Jasti Tirvai for 3d Class Shop Mooneappah Grammy Tondiarpett.	
Extra Tax upon 15 Trees from 3d November 1851 to 17th January 1852.	8—15—9
Extra Tax upon 17 Trees from the 17th January 1852 to the 3d May 1852.	14—10—"
	66—2—9

Translation

D.

Translation of a Tamil Moochilica submitted by ——— to ——— Collector of Madras

I The number of Cocoa trees ordered by you to draw Toddy from for the use of my Shop was ——. I shall get these entered into the Circar accounts and shall pay daily Fanams—

II It is my duty to get myself the 2 or 3 Cocoa trees as above mentioned for my shop and to get them entered into the Circar accounts. If I fail to do so, I shall without speaking a word pay daily the tax fixed for my Shop and I shall have nothing to object to it. Should I keep trees in excess of the regulated number for my shop, I shall pay daily at Fanam one per 10 trees in excess to the Circar.

III I shall obtain a pass to bring the Toddy of the Trees which may be allotted to my shop. The toddy to be brought without a pass or that to be taken to any other place but to my shop with Circar's permission shall be seized and I shall also pay the fine to be imposed by your Circar.

IV. If I fail to pay daily the fixed sum of money before I open my shop early in the morning, I shall agree to have my goods seized, sold, and the amount recovered and also to have my shop shut up by the Circar

Circar and you shall take back the pass.

V. Besides abiding by the said Agreement I shall also act satisfactorily according to the orders of the Police and your Cutcherry.

VI The order which has been given by your Circar in respect to my shop shall hold good until the end of the present Fusly— Subsequent to which if I wish to continue the Shop I shall present a petition and get a fresh order from the Circar and keep the shop.

VII As there are —— trees which have been allotted to my shop I shall from this day pay daily the fixed sum of money ——. Further of this Moochilka I shall present myself before you. If I fail so to come or immediately to state a proper reason to your Satisfaction I shall submit myself to the punishment prescribed in the 4th Para of this Moochilca. To this end this Moochilca has been granted of my own accord—

year 185-

Witnesses.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ J. A. Eudleston
Collector.

73-74

Bd No 996.
Proceedings thereon.

The Board approve of the Collector's proceedings in this case and direct the following Endorsement to be passed on Petitions Nos 839 & 857 of 1852

"From the report of the Collector in this case

case, the Board are satisfied that the Charge of Teerwa jasti is correct, and decline to interfere in Petitioner's behalf.

No. 58.

To,

W. A. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

75

Bd. No. 1171.

From the Collector of Madras, Sir,

With reference to your letter under date the 17th May 1852, I have the honor to forward herewith the Tamil Statements therein called for on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1261.

Madras, 19th May, 1852. } Signed J. A. Rudleston. Collector.

75-76

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 20th April and entered in Consultation 3d May 1852.

Read also the following letter

77

No. 53.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqr. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1132.

From the Collector and Regr. of Govt. Grants. Sir,

I have the honor to state in reference

to the information called for in the Board's Proceedings of the 3d May 1852, relative to the title of the Petitioner Ragaviah to a certain extent of land in this Collectorate that it appears from the Register of Government Grants Kept in this Office that a Certificate was originally issued in 1804 for 1 Ground 540 Square Feet in the name of Ponapah Grammy — which was renewed by another Certificate in 1805 to the extent of 1 Ground 820 Square Feet in the name of Cunidapah Grammy who sold the Spot to Ragaviah by a Bill of Sale which was registered in this Office.—

No. 3969 dated 1st Febr. 1804.

2. Another portion of the land 1249 Square Feet claimed by the Petitioner was held originally by one Rutnavaloo Vencata Narraina Naiken who sold 936 Square Feet of it to Mahomed Khan and Mahomed Ismal Khan, who again disposed of the ground to Ragaviah by a Bill of Sale registered in this Office on the 15th January 1825.—

3. It will thus be seen that the title of the Man Ragaviah dates so far back as 1826 and that he acquired his ground from parties who had derived theirs from the year 1804.—

4 The quit rent for both spots of Ground has been hitherto paid by Ragaviah up to the

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

the year 1850 and 1851; and I feel that it will be a matter of considerable difficulty to interfere with rights that have been acquired so long.

5. The Petitioner before this Board however appears to have been in possession for a very long time, and I don't believe that if he could prove his title to the lands in question before the small cause Court the mere fact of Ragaviah's holding a registered Bill of Sale from this Office would operate against his right to the land in question.

Madras, 14th May 1852.

/ Signed / J. A. Eudleston.
Collector and Register of Government Grants.

Order thereon

Ordered that the foregoing be submitted with the following address.

77-78

No. 212.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

B. No. 1022.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.
B. No. 32 of 1852.
20th April in Cons. 3 May 1852.
14 in Cons. 27th May.

With reference to the Endorsement of Government on Petition 180 of 1852, I am desired to forward the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras explaining that although the Petitioner has occupied the premises for the last 50 years, they have been registered in the name of one Ragaviah since 1825/26 and the assessment paid by him from that period. There are difficulties in the adjudication of this dispute by the Collector of Madras, the parties might therefore be referred to the Court of Small Causes.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 27th May 1852.

/ Signed / W. W. Bayley
Secretary

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Read the following letter-

No. 150

To W. W. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

Sir,

79

Bd. No. 1131

From the Acting Collector of Madras.

Sea Customs

Reporting in reference to the Circular order dated 6th May 1852 the practice in the Sea Custom Department in taking security for servants having Control over money and requesting to know whether the existing arrangement should be disturbed or what amount of security should be required from Shroffs

Para 1

With reference to the Circular from the Board of Revenue under date the 6th Instant Containing Rules for bringing into operation the provisions of Act XII of 1850 I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the practice of this Office has hitherto been to exact from the person holding the situation of Cash keeper a security of blank endorsed Government paper which is kept in deposit in the Cash Chest; that there are two Shroffs employed under the Cash keeper, on salaries of Rupees 15 each but that there is no head Shroff and it has not been the Custom to call on the Shroffs to give security.-

2. The present Cash keeper gives security of blank endorsed Government paper to the Amount of 11400 Rupees and one of the Shroffs

Shroffs has also of his own accord, deposited Government Paper of Rupees 1,000, as security

3.

I have the honor therefore very respectfully to request that I may be favored with the opinion of the Board as to which of the amounts of Security, set forth in the Table Contained in the Circular, may be Considered applicable to the Shroffs of this Office, or if under the Circumstances detailed, they Consider it necessary that the existing arrangement should be disturbed.

Sea Custom House,
Madras, - - - -
14th May 1852 - - - -

Signed/ R. H. Williamson
Acting Collector Sea Custom

Bd. No. 1019

Proceedings thereon

79-80

The Board observe that the amount entered in the first of the Rules Circulated under date 6th May 1852, are the Minimum of Security to be required from Public servants. There is therefore no particular necessity for making any alteration in the arrangements in his Cutcherry so far as the Head Cash Keeper is Concerned.—

2.

The Board Consider that the two Shroffs should be required to give the fourth rate of Security Viz. 2000 Rupees each.—

3.

Blank endorsed Promissory Notes may

may be received as security, an engagement being obtained from the depositor binding himself to forfeit such portion of the amount deposited, as may be required to meet the claims of Government against the party in whose behalf the security is received.—

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

No. 203.

81

Bd. No. 999

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government.—

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 6th June 1843, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying Statements Nos. 1 and 2 of actual charges incurred in Fusly 1260, under the Madras Presidency, on account of Extra Establishments and of the Estimated disbursements on the same account for Fusly 1261.—

Submitting Statements of actual charges incurred in Fusly 1260 under the Madras Presidency on account of Extra Establishments and of the Estimated disbursements on the same account for Fusly 1261 and recommending that sanction be granted.—

The

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

The actual charges of Fusly 1260 are below those of Fusly 1259 by Rupees 346-6-3 and below the Estimate disbursements of Fusly 1260 by Rupees 2,500-5-6. The causes which have led to this result are briefly explained in the Column of Remarks of Statement No. 1.

	actual
Fusly 1259	41,730-11-6
" 1260	41,384-5-3
	346-6-3
Estimate Fusly 1260	43,884-10-9
Actual " "	41,384-5-3
	2,500-5-6

3

The difference in the Estimated Amount for Fusly 1260, as entered in Column 3 of Statement No. 1, and the sum actually sanctioned by Government, arises from an increase of Rupees 693-10-" in the District of Tinnevelly on account of expenses for the Soolacknum Bridge, and Government Plantations, and a decrease of Rupees 504 in the District of Salem estimated for but omitted from the actual charges on account of a standing guard of 8 men for the safe Custody of Convicts, which Government, in Para 10 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th March 1851. directed should be submitted through the Foujdaree Udalut.—

From Government 28th March in Cons. 9th May 1851

From Govt. 22d in Cons. 24th November 1849
2 " 23d March in Cons. 9th April 1850

Estimate Sanctioned	43884-10-9
Add for Tinnevelly	693-10-"
	44578-4-9
Deduct Salem	504-"-"
	44074-4-9

4.

The General Estimate for Fusly 1261. amounting

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Estimate Fusly	1261	43929-14-11
" Fusly	1260	44074-4-9
		1055-10-2
Estimate Fusly	1261	43929-14-11
Actual Fusly	1260	41384-5-3
		4545-9-8

amounting to Rupees 43,929-14-11 is above the Estimate of the previous Fusly by Rupees 1,055-10-2 and of the actual charges of Fusly 1260 by Rupees 4545-9-8 the causes which produce this last variation are Concisely specified in the Column of remarks of Statement No. 2.

5.

The Board recommend that sanction be granted for the actual disbursements of Fusly 1260 exhibited in the Statement No. 1 Amounting to Rupees 41,384-5-3 and for the Estimated Charges for Fusly 1261, entered in Statement No. 2 Amounting to Rs. 43,929-14-11

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Baylee
Secretary.

Statement

6235

Fort St. George 27th. May 1852.

No. 1 Statement exhibiting the Actual Charges incurred on account of additional Establishments employed in Finsly 1260.—

	Total Summahlumdy Establishments		14677	. .	14324	15	7	10	8	3	362	9 2
		Deduct Increase									10	8 3
		Net Decrease									352	. 11

Other Additional Establishments

1 A	Arcot South	Land Revenue	336	" "	336	" "						
		Salt	42	" "	42	" "						
		Total	378	" "	378	" "						
2 B	Bellary	Native Doctor to treat the Patients suffering from fever		" " "	25	10	8	25	10	8	" "	
3 C	Chinglepute	Land Revenue	104	" "	104	" "						
		Police	69	" "	69	" "						
		Total	173	" "	173	" "						
4	Cuddapah	Land Revenue	280	" "	269	15	3				10	" 9
5	Coimbatore	Sundalwood	660	" "	659	15					"	1 "

The items of Increase and Decrease here also do not call for remark.—

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

		Rs. a.p.	Rs. a.p.	Rs. a.p.	Rs. a.p.	
6 Canara	Land Revenue	472 " "	484 2 7	12 2 7	" " "	The decrease is attributed chiefly to a less No. of Sibbendy employed in the Salt Department, than was Estimated for and to the whole quantity of Tobacco Contracted for the year not having been delivered.
	For destroying wild Elephants	270 " "	170 8 6	" " "	91 7 6	
	Boatmen	52 8 "	51 7 3	" " "	1 " 9	
	Sea Customs Department	" " "	7 " "	7 " "	" " "	
	Amendevi Islands	636 " "	622 4 3	" " "	13 11 9	
	Salt	2622 " "	2411 " 1	" " "	210 15 11	
	Tobacco	910 " "	700 13 10	" " "	209 2 2	
	Total	4962 8 "	4455 46	" " "	507 3 6	
7 C Canara	Land Revenue	317 " "	258 6 1	" " "	58 9 11	This Decrease requires no remark.
	Salt	48 " "	48 " "	" " "	" " "	
	Total	365 " "	306 6 1	" " "	58 9 11	
8 Ganjam	Salt	500 " "	493 " 6	" " "	6 15 6	
9 M Masulipatam	Land Revenue	813 5 "	490 5 2	" " "	314 15 10	No remark.
	Salt	960 " "	958 3 10	" " "	1 12 2	
	Total	1773 5 "	1456 9 "	" " "	316 12 "	
10 Madura	Sayer	3 " "	3 " "	" " "	" " "	
11 Malabar	Land Revenue	600 " "	634 7 5	34 7 5	" " "	Too trifling to call for remark.
	Salt Department	450 " "	451 9 0	1 9 0	" " "	
	Sayer	204 " "	204 " "	" " "	" " "	
	Sea Customs	150 " "	75 5 0	" " "	74 10 4	
	Total	1404 " "	1365 6 9	" " "	38 9 3	
12 Madras	Land Revenue	259 " "	204 12 5	" " "	54 3 7	This decrease is stated to have been caused by the Proms &c. not having been employed for the full period estimated for.
	Salt	2409 4 "	2255 12 7	" " "	153 7 5	
	Abkarry	2724 " "	2552 11 0	" " "	169 8 4	
	Total	5390 8 "	5013 4 0	" " "	377 3 4	

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

			Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
13 N	Nellore	Salt	132 " "	132 " "	" " "	" " "	
14 R	Rajahmundry	Land Revenue	25927 9	25441 1	" " "	477 12 9	
		Salt	3967 8 "	3740 1 7	" " "	227 6 5	
		Total	6559 15 9	6284 12 7	" " "	275 3 2	
15 S	Salem	Pensioned Sepoys	42204 "	39004 5	" " "	3209 7	No Remarks.
		Government Plantations	72 " "	30 10 4	" " "	" " "	
		Total	43004 "	39304 9 7	" " "	3611 5 3	
16 T	Tanjore	Salt	180 " "	180 " "	" " "	" " "	
		For lighting Coolacherie Brigade over Mr. Lyall's Tumbraphurum	5670 -	3890 9 5	" " "	179 - 7	
17	Tinnevelly	Land Revenue	230 " "	202 10 7	" " "	27 5 5	The decrease is chiefly owing to the lamps not having been lighted during Moon light nights.
		Government Plantations	126 " "	84 10 10	" " "	41 5 2	
		Total	9231 0 "	6751 4 10	" " "	2471 1 2	
18 V	Vizagapatam	Land Revenue	222 " "	150 " "	" " "	72 " "	The Decrease is attributed to the new employment of a portion of the Establishment estimated for.
		Salt	12018 "	11103 7 "	" " "	914 5	
		Total	14238 "	12603 7 "	" " "	1634 5	
Total other additional Establishments			2939749	2705962	25108	236393	
		Deduct Increase				25108	
		Net Decrease				233714 7	
Total Summahbundy and other additional Establishments			4407449	4138453	" " "	268996	

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Estimate

6238 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.-

No. 2. Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishments in Fusly 1261.-

Names of the Districts.	Establish:	Estimated Charges for Fusly	Actual Charges for Fusly	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.-
Total Summahbundy Establishment		14,697	14,305	3 3 407	91 15 124	
					15 124 Dr. Decrease	
				391 129	Net Increase.	

Other Additional Establishments.-

1 A Arcot South	Land Revenue	9 15	336		326 1		The decrease in Land Revenue arises from a Pymash Goomastah having been brought on the permanent Establishment of the District from the commencement of the Fusly and the increase in Salt from a larger quantity to be manufactured so as to have a two years supply always on hand.-
	Salt	250	42	208			
	Total	259 15	378		118 1		
2 C Chingleput	Land Revenue		104		104		The increase under salt is stated to be owing to the present Establishment being considered insufficient for the performance of the salt duties, as it is anticipated a large quantity will be manufactured in the Current Fusly than in the preceding one.-
	Salt	815	815				
	Police	69	69				
	Total	884	173	711			
3 Cuddapah	Land Revenue	280	269	153 10	9		
	Sweeper for Police Cutcherry	4 8	4 2	6			
	Total	2848	2741	3 10	69		
4 Coimbatore	Sandalwood	660	659	15			

6238 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.-

No. 2. Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishments in Fusly 1261.-

Names of the Districts.	Establishments	Estimated Charges for Fusly	Actual Charges for Fusly	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.-
Total Summahlundy Establishment		14697	14305	3	407	91 15 124
					15 124	Dt. Decrease
					391 129	Net Increase.

Other Additional Establishments.-

1 A Arcot South	Land Revenue	9 15	336		3261	The decrease in Land Revenue arises from a Pymash Goomastah having been brought on the permanent Establishment of the District from the commencement of the Fusly and the increase in Salt from a larger quantity to be manufactured so as to have a two years supply always on hand.-
	Salt	250	42	208		
	Total	259 15	378		1181	
2 C Chingleput	Land Revenue		104		104	The increase under Salt is stated to be owing to the present Establishment being considered insufficient for the performance of the Salt duties as it is anticipated a large quantity will be manufactured in the Current Fusly than in the preceding one.-
	Salt	815		815		
	Police	69	69			
	Total	884	173	711		
3 Cuddapah	Land Revenue	280	269	153 10	9	
	Sweeper for Police Cutcherry	4 8	4 2	6		
	Total	2848	2741	3 10	69	
4 Coimbatore	Sandalwood	660	659 15			

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
5	Canara	Land Revenue	472 ..	48427	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	In Salt the increase arises from an additional quantity of 500 Garces to be manufactured for Fusly 1261, which tho' ordered in the previous fusly could not be produced, as the pans were not completely formed. In Tobacco the increase in the Extra Establishment is necessary for guarding the frontier and checking smuggling, as well as for weighing the Tobacco brought down from the Mysore Country.
		For destroying wild Elephants	270	17886 9 1 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Boatmen	52 8 ..	5173 1 .. 9	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Sea Customs Department	66	7 59	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Salt Do.	2763 8 ..	2411 .. .. 1352 7 11	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Tobacco	910	700 13 10 209 2 2	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Total	4534	3833 .. 3 700 15 9	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
6	G. Guntoor	Land Revenue	312	235 14 8 7 6 1 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	Requires no remarks.
		Salt	48	48	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Total	360	283 14 8 7 6 1 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
7	Ganjam	Salt	500	493 .. 6 6 15 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
8	M Masulipatam	Land Revenue	20565	4525 2 1 6 0 3 15 10	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	The increase arises chiefly from a temporary Establishment required for certain Estates recently reverted to Government.
		Salt	960	958 3 10 1 12 2	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Total	30165	14109 .. 1 6 0 5 12	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
9	Madura	Land Revenue	720	.. .. ..	720	.. .. ..	The increase is attributed to a number of Goomastahs required for arranging and classifying 1350 old Duftors.
		Sayer	3	3	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Total	723	3	720	.. .. ..	
10	Malabar	Land Revenue	1150	6 3 4 7 5 5 1 5 8 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	The increase in Land Revenue arises from an Extra Establishment required for the Survey of the Betutnad Rajahs escheated Estates: See accompanying letter from the Collector 3 in Cons. 22 d. December 1851.
		Salt	450	451 9 8 .. .. 1 9 8	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Sayer	204	204	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Sea Customs	150	75 5 8 7 4 10 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Total	1954	1365 6 9 5 8 8 9 3	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
11	Madras	Land Revenue	258	204 12 5 5 3 3 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	This increase arises from the Conicopillays & Co. having been employed for a shorter period in Fusly 1260. than was Estimated for.
		Salt	25061 2 ..	22551 2 7 2 5 0 15 5	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Abkarry	2554 4 ..	255 2 11 8 1 8 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
		Total	5319	5013 4 8 3 0 4 11 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	

6240

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.-

| | | |

6241 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

No. 205.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

(82)

Bd. No. 1001.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the Endorsement of Government on Petitioner's No. 1361
Board's No. 30 of 1852.

1852. I am desired by the Board of
Revenue to state, that the case of the
+To Government 17th May 1852. Petitioner has been recently submitted
by them for the orders of the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council, with
19th April in Cons. remarks on the Tabular Report of
17th May 1852. Lapsed Enams in the District of
Nellore for the 2nd Quarter of
1852.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

To

6242 Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

No. 209

To

(83)

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Bd. No. 1012.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to submit for the information of the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council the
A accompanying General Statement prepared
in the usual form from the monthly accounts shewing
the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th
July 1851 to 31st March 1852 of Fusly 1261 Com.
=pared with the Collections in the same period
of Fasly 1260.—

Abstract B shews a net—
2.
Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 5,60,759-6-8
resulting from
a net Increase
of Rupees
+ 3,55,987-11-1
in the Collections
of Land Revenue

Increase in the Collections of Arrears.....	3,24,847-	-4-	
Deduct in the Collections of Current....	31,140-	10-	9-
	+ 3,55,987-	11-	1-

and a Net Increase of Rupees 2,04,771-11-7
in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue.

Abstract C shews the—
3.
Increase and Decrease in the Collections of
Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra—
Sources in the several Districts.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th May 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

General

6243

A. General Sta[tement] under the [Co]llections of

Increase

[Arr]ears. Current.

		8			9		
		Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
1	Zillah of Ganj	"	"	"	42,10	5	1
2	Vizaga.	"	"	"	25,030	3	5
3	Rajahmu	"	"	"	83,115	2	11
4	Masulip	"	"	"	48,067	13	10
5		6	5		71,640	14	5
6	Guntoor inc	6	3		56,472	14	11
7	Chingleput	"	"	"	116,104	4	11
8	Salem including	5	3	4	"	"	"
9	Madura		13	1	"	"	"
10	Nellore	3	4	4	98,563	3	10
11	Northern Divisio	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	Southern Divisio	8	11	11	"	"	"
13	Tanjore	6	5	3	10027	4	9
14	Trichinopoly		7	6	43,739	12	"
15	Tinnevelly		1	3	"	"	"
16	Bellary	9	8	11	9,240	4	8
17	Cuddapah	6	15	3	"	"	"
18	Coimbatore		"	"	"	"	"
19	Canara		"	"	"	"	"
20	Malabar	6	"	"	"	"	"
	Madras. Land-Cu		"	"	"	"	"
	Toll Collecte		"	"	"	"	"
	Sea Custo		"	"	116682	10	11
21	Kurnool		"	"	"	"	"
		10	6	9	6,82,874	15	8

Add old Bala

Deduct Collections

Collections in M

27th May 1852.

Madras Presidency from 12th July 1851 to 31st March 1852.

Arrears and Current
Land Revenue.
Comparison.

Decrease.

Net

Total			Arrears.			Current.			Total.			Increase			Decrease.		
10			11			12.			13			14			15		
Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
4210	5	1	13,846	11	"	"	"	"	13,846	11	"	"	"	"	9636	5	11
25,030	3	5	27,375	9	9	"	"	"	27,375	9	9	"	"	"	2,345	6	4
83,115	2	11	30,838	13	7	"	"	"	30,838	13	7	52,276	5	4	"	"	"
48067	13	10	46288	3	2	"	"	"	46,288	3	2	1779	10	8	"	"	"
96461	4	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	96461	4	10	"	"	"
119843	5	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	119843	5	2	"	"	"
116104	4	11	59,216	3	"	"	"	"	59,216	3	"	56,888	1	11	"	"	"
49,975	3	4	"	"	"	31,394	"	1	31,394	"	1	18581	3	3	"	"	"
10,279	13	1	"	"	"	20,865	15	9	20,865	15	9	"	"	"	10386	2	8
3,84,226	8	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,84,226	8	2	"	"	"
"	"	"	21858	10	5	40920	6	1	62779	"	6	"	"	"	62,779	"	6
17,378	11	11	"	"	"	3,31,243	10	2	3,31,243	10	2	"	"	"	3,13,864	14	3
17,283	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17,283	10	"	"	"	"
52243	3	6	"	"	"	6132	13	2	6132	13	2	638	5	10	"	"	"
6771	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	66,089	13	7	"	"	"
66089	13	7	"	"	"	209895	7	1	209895	7	1	"	"	"	199729	7	10
10,165	15	3	"	"	"	3573	11	8	3,544	10	9	"	"	"	3,544	10	9
"	"	"	30	15	1	6812	12	7	9313	6	11	"	"	"	9,313	6	11
"	"	"	2,500	10	4	1155	8	4	11,55	8	4	"	"	"	779	6	10
376	1	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	98	"	3	116,564	10	8	"	"	"
116662	10	11	98	"	3	"	"	"									
1224285	6	5	202053	12	7	651734	4	11	853788	1	6	98,2876	2	11	612378	14	"
												7614	2	5	22123	12	3
												990490	5	4	634562	10	3
												634502	10	3	Dr. Decrease		
												355987	11	1	Net Increase		

6244

Fort St. George

27th May 1852.

of Fusly 1261 Compared with the Collections in the Same Period of Fusly 1260.-

Salt.				Sayer		Abkarry.			
Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261.	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261.	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27

	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps
1	196,901	6	"	252,475	10	"	55574	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	39,074	1	11	39,213	4	0	"	"	"	660	13	3
2	94,592	14	6	116,041	2	"	21,448	3	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35195	3	11	30,379	9	4	3104	5	5	"	"	"
3	111,992	"	"	145,195	"	"	33,203	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	51006	0	"	60,596	13	7	0710	5	7	"	"	4
4	239,307	9	8	216,700	14	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6501	13	1	30,167	10	1	3505	13	"	"	"	"
5	285,020	14	9	235,952	9	"	"	"	"	22,606	10	9	1891	9	3	1897	14	9	5893	6	3	26501	13	1	30,167	10	1	3505	13	"	"	"	"
6	189,558	6	4	257,575	13	"	68,017	6	8	49,858	5	9	32,611	5	7	20717	15	4	220	10	10	12993	"	"	10,764	14	"	"	"	"	2220	2	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,113	10	2	2334	5	"	"	"	"	42205	1	2	4,1301	0	1	"	"	"	903	9	01
8	728256	3	3	249453	14	5	21197	11	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	54037	3	9	39493	"	"	4655	12	3	"	"	"
9	327,867	10	4	441040	11	9	113173	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	38245	"	"	34419	0	"	"	"	"	1025	0	"
10	12195	10	4	2761	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	982	8	"	422	5	"	"	"	"	10803	1	1	20403	9	7	1620	0	6	"	"	"
11	219716	7	6	215461	2	3	"	"	"	9434	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	70410	"	"	03620	"	"	5210	"	"	"	"	"
12	365502	13	2	383541	11	2	18038	14	"	4755	5	3	32804	6	10	39617	11	4	"	"	"	44511	4	5	40503	3	7	3991	15	2	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19681	6	5	16922	2	3	7313	4	6	75570	0	"	74396	"	"	"	"	"	974	0	"
14	241086	14	6	260523	6	4	19436	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16010	3	6	17431	5	3	613	1	9	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2764	4	2	15497	"	"	19764	"	"	4267	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35707	12	6	31951	15	3	"	"	"	213250	"	"	195617	1	"	"	"	"	17632	15	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4417	8	11	4153	15	"	3156	12	5	73387	7	0	70700	2	0	5400	11	"	"	"	"
18	289901	4	2	271864	11	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	263	9	3	47544	5	9	40377	"	"	032	10	3	"	"	"
19	231669	7	"	208574	15	11	"	"	"	18038	8	6	16260	10	6	18853	11	11	"	"	"	43009	1	1	40749	1	4	4060	"	3	"	"	"
20	347503	8	"	205521	4	10	"	"	"	23094	7	1	128	5	2	9	2	11	2592	5	9	43427	14	10	40359	5	0	"	"	"	3060	9	2
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14982	3	2	70	"	2	130	11	2	"	"	"	392595	2	9	39550	10	4	2915	1	3	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	119	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	60	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	46812	0	11	47057	6	3	244	13	4	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7340	7	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	33,81,673	1	6	34,62,684	7	3	350089	"	7	2,69,077	10	10	149314	3	2	147090	10	7	10533	12	11	12757	5	4	1410394	9	10	1433192	11	1	50092	1	9	27294	"	6
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1361	3	5	61	11	6	"	"	"	1299	7	11
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	33,81,673	1	6	34,62,684	7	3	350089	"	7	2,69,077	10	10	149314	3	2	147090	10	7	10533	12	11	12757	5	4	1411755	13	3	1433254	6	7	50092	1	9	20593	0	5
							269077	10	10	Dt Decrease									Dt Increase			10533	12	4							20593	0	5	Dt Decrease
							81011	5	9	Nt Increase									Nett Decrease			2223	0	5							24990	9	4	Net Increase
	2888237	6	1	3055603	"	1																			1237556	5	10	1261634	7	9						
	493435	11	5	407081	7	2																			174199	7	5	171619	14	10						
													120748	1	9	132687	2	8																	
													22508	1	5	14473	8	"																	

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.

	Fusly 1260.		Fusly 1261.		Increase		Decrease.		Fusly 1260.		Fusly 1261	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As
1	4077	15 "	3930	3 9	"	" "						
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	139	11 3	9302	14 "	9269	3 5
3	9220	7 9	9062	0 0	"	" "	"	" "	4670	5 2	4621	3 0
4	1876	5 7	913	7 1	842	" 11	"	" "	43370	" 0	44623	" 11
5	4274	12 "	3563	12 "	"	" "	902	4 6	15113	6 2	13475	0 2
6	10245	13 7	14443	1 "	619	3 5	711	" "	23030	6 8	23006	2 4
7	4070	13 1	6666	7	1145	9 6	"	" "	12072	" 10	15134	10 4
8	4207	2 6	2658	3 11	"	" "	"	" "	67199	6 4	69409	" 9
9	569	11 3	606	9 10	"	" "	1540	14 7	"	" "	"	" "
10	9007	7 7	3923	6 7	36	14 7	"	" "	15330	6 "	15600	14 "
11	5600	14 3	4720	7 3	3033	15 "	"	" "	11979	12 7	25344	2 3
12	21704	11 "	20357	6 3	"	" "	960	7 "	22769	4 5	21097	7 5
13	3010	14 9	2706	9 0	"	" "	433	4 9	44555	" 9	44132	3 1
14	21494	0 7	13095	14 6	"	" "	304	5 1	5967	1 9	5700	3 5
15	9227	12 7	7127	3 7	"	" "	7590	10 1	67160	14 10	69231	3 5
16	3437	5 7	5819	5 2	1501	15 7	1100	9 "	21990	0 7	20901	4 "
17	2170	4 "	1358	" "	"	" "	"	" "	12469	3 2	12026	4 3
18	40074	11 "	21940	2 6	"	" "	923	4 "	46136	1 "	44595	2 10
19	24263	9 5	23324	5 "	"	" "	2634	0 6	1413	9 3	419	12 0
	9252	9 2	7916	6 3	"	" "	1039	3 10	75776	1 "	74129	5 11
20	16721	4 2	14170	0 7	2449	4 5	336	2 11	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	860	5 0	1460	0 10	600	3 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	201327	6 6	174223	9 7	15937	2 7	43040	15 6	939902	9 4	986520	3 4
	896	3	247	14 "	205	10 "	47	2 3	359	3 8	291	11 5
	201416	12 9	174471	7 7	16142	12 7	43000	1 9	940261	14 7	986071	14 9
					A Increase		16142	12 7				
					Net Decrease		26945	5 2				
	164317	13 5	149772	5 1								
	37090	15 4	24699	2 6								
									724700	1 "	745511	3 4
									115473	13 7	121960	11 "

Motirpha

Sea Customs

	Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1260		Fusly 1261		Increase		Decrease	
	34		35		36		37		38		39	
	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As
					6741	4 2	6956	15 4	2215	11 2	"	" "
	"	" "	33	10 11	6700	" 9	6569	9 3	"	" "	130	7 6
	"	" "	57	1 6	24002	6 6	24615	4 6	612	14 "	"	" "
	1265	" 2	"	" "	3370	" 9	2062	5 2	"	" "	1315	11 7
	"	" "	1637	14 "	1030	14 10	1332	4 4	293	5 6	"	" "
	47	11 0	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2162	9 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2209	10 4	"	" "	11949	15 1	17542	5 2	6392	6 1	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	10	2 5	1047	9 2	174	6 9	"	" "
	350	0 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	13364	5 0	"	" "	"	" "	10072	15 3	"	" "	6016	9 7
	"	" "	671	13 "	24009	0 10	10024	12 11	13015	12 6	"	" "
	"	" "	422	13 4	124434	" 5	140249	12 11	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	186	14 0	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1722	4 7	"	" "	10450	5 2	15977	4 5	5510	15 3	"	" "
	"	" "	994	4 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	5057	11 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	1540	14 2	26930	0 0	44395	" "	14500	12 4	"	" "
	6	3 5	"	" "	20496	" 9	24020	13 6	3524	12 9	"	" "
	"	" "	1646	11 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1571	0 2
	"	" "	"	" "	37312	0 3	371611	5 "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	7075	10 10	"	" "								
	34069	11 3	7392	1 3	623373	7 11	671640	3 5	40249	" 4	09024	10
	05	12 5	153	6 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	34153	7 0	7545	7 6	623373	7 11	671640	3 5	40249	" 4	09024	10
	7545	7 6	A Decrease						09024	10	A Decrease	
	2661	0 " 2	Net Increase						39266	11 6	Net Increase	
					540070	5 10	569057	14 3				
					45032	1 10	27000	5 2				

Fort St. Geo. 27th. May 1852.

Tobacco — Stamps

	Fushy 1860		Fushy 1861		Increase		Decrease		Fushy 1860		Fushy 1861		Increase		Decrease	
	40		41		42		43		44		45		46		47	
	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	10503	7 "	10432	3 6	"	" "	71	3 6
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	11753	" "	12469	" "	716	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	11433	" "	11698	" "	265	" "	"	" "
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	9719	" "	7717	" "	"	" "	2002	" "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6700	12 "	6436	13 "	640	1 "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	11703	12 "	11160	10 6	"	" "	375	1 6
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	16537	" "	16497	0 "	1960	0 "	"	" "
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	23403	2 "	24004	5 "	1326	3 "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	10149	" "	17147	4 "	1990	4 "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	19520	11 "	19196	10 6	"	" "	332	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	15505	14 6	13033	" 6	"	" "	1672	14 "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	53069	0 "	45710	12 "	"	" "	8150	12 "
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	14101	15 6	14959	" "	857	" 6	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	17250	0 "	20407	12 "	3229	4 "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13904	4 "	14736	12 "	2703	2 0 "	"	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	19966	0 "	19136	4 "	"	" "	830	4 "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	15904	" "	16300	0 "	404	8 "	"	" "
18	1954546	0 0	1008654	10 2	"	" "	6799	12 6	3924413	6 4	2433	7 "	4100	9 6	"	" "
19	3535560	9 3	3033330	3 0	3927610	11 "	"	" "	427600	" "	462342	6 "	3485	10 6	"	" "
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	7365	3 6	6570	10 6	"	" "	794	9 "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
									10302	12 "	1453	" "	414	4 "	"	" "
	5460101	5 5	5370407	13 10	3927610	11 "	6799	12 6	3706001	1 "	4031651	1 "	47313	12 6	14236	12 6
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	5460101	5 5	5370407	13 10	3927610	11 "	6799	12 6	3706001	1 "	4031651	1 "	47313	12 6	14236	12 6
							6799	12 6	Dt. Decrease							
							3247645		Net Increase							
	4703604	6 5	1181112	7											14236	12 6
	6745010	11 6	6676	1 3											3307	1 "
									3280720	13 "	3673927	"			Net Increase	
									4103914	14 "	3626344	"				

Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue — Net

	Fushy 1860		Fushy 1861		Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
	48		49		50		51		52		53	
	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Rs	As P
1	2664401	" 1	3232865	8 4	57709	15 2	905	6 11	56884	8 3	"	" "
2	1529229	8 4	1780809	8 3	253480	8 11	195	9 "	25762	15 11	"	" "
3	2527996	" 2	2977700	10 4	44904	10 2	"	" "	44904	10 2	"	" "
4	3285876	10 2	2977814	12 9	3585	13 "	34358	9 1	"	" "	307742	12 1
5	3348686	5	2834701	1 8	1209	13 "	52607	7 9	"	" "	5139710	9
6	2647451	11	3398431	0 11	750377	3 7	1298	10 9	750798	9 "	"	" "
7	1454466	9 2	1534551	5 3	10009	8 1	"	" "	10009	8 1	"	" "
8	3051231	4 10	3293051	9 6	28116	4 3	3934	9 7	24181	10 8	"	" "
9	3727091	5 1	4900711	0 4	1173611	11 3	"	" "	1173611	11 3	"	" "
10	1230033	9 6	1348451	1 4	216084	4 8	9766	2 10	110842	1 10	"	" "
11	3645851	2 9	3021051	5 7	113053	3 8	13785	" 10	"	" "	24373	13 2
12	7054021	5 9	4255041	" "	338541	0 6	13753	10 3	201013	" 3	"	" "
13	398981	3 6	400771	2 "	14702	2 3	491	3 9	9781	4 6	"	" "
14	4007111	5 7	4313430	8 9	341736	8 "	19991	5 10	321010	1 8	"	" "
15	4299798	2 1	4557639	4 3	278321	5 8	830	4 "	"	" "	11261	5 11
16	2187760	8 5	2307710	10 1	128402	2 3	2364	2 2	"	" "	2402214	3
17	1118421	10 9	1107115	10 10	12377	2 3	50970	13 6	"	" "	"	" "
18	6601771	" 10	6655354	2 7	2614715	3 3	28968	1 5	173197	" 9	"	" "
19	7091861	6 11	8006505	7 8	462072	2 2	143112	15 1	"	" "	14013710	2
20	7557861	7 7	6156491	4 9	29755	12 3	"	" "	24491	4 5	"	" "
	167211	4 2	191790	8 7	24491	4 5	1511	8 2	"	" "	15110	2
	3731281	3 7	3716161	11 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	9477751	2 5	1034513	1 3	867560	8	"	" "	867560	6 0	"	" "
	7533268	5 " 8	7738665	6 3	5955614	10	389580	15 3	4582292	9	2522481	3 2
									"	" "	12000	10 "
	10094	11 11	6014	11					4502292	9	2534577	2
									2534577	2	Dt. Decrease	
	7534474	15 7	7739266	11 2					204771	11 7	Net Increase	
	6497907	3 5	6794304	5 "								
	1036307	12 2	944962	6 2								

Fort St. Geo 27th May 1852.

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue

	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Increase			Decrease		
	54			55			56			57			58			59		
	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps
1	90,645	7	9	10,337	02	10	1	57,729	15	2	10541	11	11	47,240	2	4	"	"	"
2	92,607	11	0	9,40,095		3	3	25,348	0	11	2340	13	4	27,007	9	7	"	"	"
3	16,935	20	0	11	17,90,000		0	5	97,180	15	6	"	"	"	97,180	15	6	"	"	"
4	7,13,844	2	2	7,44,057		"	9	5,365	7	0	34350	9	1	"	"	"	20493	1	5
5	1160,523	10	4	12,05,507		7	5	97,671	1	10	5260	7	9	45,963	10	1	"	"	"
6	671,560	11	3	06,6570		9	5	136,220	9	6	1770	10	7	194,941	14	2	"	"	"
7	11,99,760	4	9	12,66,665		14	9	66,897	10	"	"	"	"	66,897	10	"	"	"	"
8	13,69,551	0	"	14,12,314		5	11	46,697	7	6	3934	9	7	42,762	13	11	"	"	"
9	165,215	0	9	17,64,191		1	4	117,361	11	3	10306	2	0	106,975	0	7	"	"	"
10	12,30,293	2	10	16,34,361		12	10	405,834	12	10	9766	2	10	396,068	0	"	65250	10	"
11	179,305	10	3	16,54,126		12	7	11,305	3	8	76564	1	4	"	"	"	29,3631	11	"
12	23,65,744	0	9	20,71,900		10	9	33,854	10	6	32,600	0	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	1060,670	0	"	1006941		"	6	18758	12	3	491	3	9	10,262	0	6	"	"	"
14	16,20,476	12	2	18,61,130		0	10	86,417	3	2	10755	6	6	75,661	12	0	"	"	"
15	21,30,132	13	6	2,15,0462		5	6	20,470	13	10	19991	5	10	04799	0	"	"	"	"
16	17,16003	3	2	2,79,4905		2	5	7,09,30	3	3	030	4	"	7,01,99	13	3	"	"	"
17	16,40,755	10	11	14,39,009		3	2	1737	2	3	202093	10	"	"	"	"	200016	7	1
18	15,27,600	10	9	14,99,313		1	9	26,47	15	3	54515	0	3	"	"	"	10367	9	"
19	10,49,024	14	2	10,57,030		0	"	46207	2	2	39281	0	4	0945	9	11	"	"	"
20	79,325	12	4	6,54,409		2	4	2975	17	3	143962	5	11	"	"	"	4,498	9	"
	16721	4	2	19,170		0	7	2449	4	5	"	"	"	2,449	4	5	"	"	"
	3,73,120	3	7	3,71,616		11	5	"	"	"	1611	0	2	"	"	"	1511	0	3
21	6,14,734	"	0	7,39,974		2	"	12,5740	1	4	"	"	"	12,5240	1	4	"	"	"
	21,73,56,295		6	29,71,2,107		10	"	1570437	7	9	1,14,359	13	3	13,36,145	10	2	7,59,965	13	1
	40,476	13	"	32,750		9	2												
	29,04,106	12	6	29,74,4866		3	2							7,496	11	9	23,015	"	3
														136,342	6	"	7,02,603	"	3
														7,02,603	"	3	Alt. Division		
	22,07,4233	2	5	23,60,2252		4	6							360759	6	0	Net Increase		
	630,9073	10	1	6062613		4	0												

Collection of old Balances

Sayer.

Land Revenue

	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	60			61			62			63			64			65			66			67		
	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps
	22,613	10	10	7,928	1	3	"	"	"	14,685	9	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	33	7	8	1,300	11	8	12,67	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1029	9	5	741	5	2	"	"	"	288	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1323	9	8	994	6	1	670	12	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	370	6	9	592	5	2	221	14	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	13	5	9	179	10	4	166	4	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	882	2	1	834	8	8	"	"	"	49	9	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	499	4	2	935	2	8	435	14	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3645	6	7	2694	3	9	"	"	"	951	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1607	4	8	2437	1	2	829	12	9	"	"	"	644	7	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	686	4	7	8	15	5	"	"	"	721	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	932	3	4	210	7	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	391	1	8	412	3	11	21	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	6685	8	8	1250	5	11	587	6	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	404	1	"	1214	9	5	810	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	155	13	4	287	8	8	131	11	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	10308	4	4	5558	4	9	"	"	"	4751	15	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	812	13	8	1224	"	5	411	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	288	15	2	2349	3	11	2060	4	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	46666	14	1	32157	4	3	7611	2	5	22123	12	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

6248.

Fort St. George.

24th May 1852.

Ab Karry

Sundry small Farms.

	Fasly 1260			Fasly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Fasly 1260			Fasly 1261.		
	68			69			70			71			72			73		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	577	14	00	61	6	2												
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	516	8	8	"	11	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	389	1	8	"	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	388	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	6	7	123	"	9
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	8	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	5	"	"	"	11 9
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	5	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	103	7	10
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	6	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	8	"	5	1	8
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	394	2	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	394	2	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1361	3	5	61	11	6	"	"	"	1299	7	11	89	6	3	247	14	8

Maturpha

and Licenses

	Increase			Decrease			Fasly 1260			Fasly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	74			75			76			77			78			79		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
							15	8	6	61	14	2	46	5	8	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	2	1	"	"	"	"	14	8	6	14	8	6	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	13	"	9	3	10	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	9	2	32	9	4	"	"	"	"	1	10
	"	"	"	"	"	"	32	11	2	25	13	1	"	"	"	19	15	10
	86	10	2	"	"	"	45	12	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	15	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	3	"	"	"	10	9	5
	"	"	"	"	11	3	12	9	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	"	7
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	8	5	"	23	"	7	20	15	1	2	1	2	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	13	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11 3
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	57	2	6
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	12	"	"	98	13	6	69	13	5	13	9	3	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	56	4	2	"	14	1	"	"	"	"	"	11 6
103	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	2	6	"	1	9	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	10	9
	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	10	9	1	11	6	"	"	"	1	10	10
	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	6	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	27	1	9
	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	1	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
205	10	"	47	23	"	"	359	5	3	291	11	5	85	12	5	153	6	3

Fort St. George

27th May 1852.

Tobacco

	Finly. 1760			Finly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Total Finly 1760			Total Finly 1261		
	80			81			82			83			84			85		
	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	23207	13	2	8057	5	7
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35	15	8	1300	11	8
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	384	1	8	14	13	10
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1031	2	"	752	2	2
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1392	11	5	2150	"	2
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	416	3	8	633	10	5
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	12	9	180	6	1
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	894	11	9	836	8	11
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	522	4	9	935	2	8
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3672	9	6	2715	2	10
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1607	4	5	2437	1	2
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	686	6	7	8	15	5
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	915	1	7	210	7	11
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	489	15	2	557	6	9
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	727	9	10	1326	3	4
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	405	10	7	1215	7	6
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	164	8	1	287	8	8
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10340	12	4	5563	1	11
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	812	13	8	1224	"	5
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	719	3	10	2358	3	11
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	48476	13	"	32758	9	2

Grand Total of Collections

Increase		Decrease		Finly 1760			Finly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
86		87		88			89			90			91		
Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	Rs.	a	℔	
"	"	"	"	"	"	1041753	15	8	32091	10	9	"	"	"	
"	"	"	15156	7	7	1009662	4	11	22807	9	7	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	926087	11	8	948895	5	3	9844	5	11	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	1695664	8	7	1792110	4	1	"	"	"	29367
1264	12	"	"	"	"	774233	3	10	744865	14	7	44784	9	8	5 3
"	"	"	374	3	10	1161554	15	11	1206339	9	7	195699	2	11	"
"	"	"	279	"	5	672961	6	8	868660	9	7	67115	"	7	"
757	1	9	"	"	"	1200184	8	5	1267299	9	"	42928	7	3	"
217	6	7	"	"	"	1369566	4	9	1312494	12	"	10691	7	9	"
165	9	4	"	"	"	1658110	4	6	1765027	10	3	39618	1	7	11
"	"	"	58	2	10	1238815	7	7	1635296	15	6	"	"	"	66216
412	13	11	"	"	"	1723058	3	9	1656841	15	5	"	"	"	4 4
"	"	"	957	6	8	2367351	13	2	2074417	11	11	"	"	"	292934
"	"	"	"	"	"	1069364	14	7	1086949	15	11	17585	1	4	1 3
829	12	9	"	"	"	1621421	13	9	1696349	"	9	71927	3	"	"
"	"	"	677	6	14	2150642	12	8	2159189	12	3	8546	15	7	"
"	"	"	734	9	8	1717612	13	"	1796311	5	9	78698	8	9	"
67	7	7	"	"	"	1641161	5	6	1441114	10	8	"	"	"	200046
598	9	6	"	"	"	1527815	2	10	1499600	10	5	"	"	"	28244
809	12	11	"	"	"	1859365	10	6	1862593	9	11	3227	15	5	"
123	"	7	"	"	"	1996138	9	8	1655033	29	"	"	"	"	140505
"	"	"	1777	10	5	1672142			1917087			2449	4	5	"
411	2	9	"	"	"	3731298	37		3716116	5		"	"	"	1511
"	"	"	"	"	"	1615453	46		1423325	11		126879	1	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"										
1639	"	1	"	"	"										
7296	12	9	23015	"	7	29184106	12	6	29744866	3	2	1319585	3	10	758825 13 2

758825 13 2 Dr. Decrease

560759 6 8 Net Increase

6250

Fort St. George

27th May 1852

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing			Balance Under 15 years standing			Total			Particulars of Arrears of Fasly 1260 and Land Revenue						Balances of Balances of prior date in train of liquidation permanently settled			Land Revenue not permanently settled						Total Land Revenue		
										Jamy Mootah			Minors			Sequestered			Rented			Aumany					
	92			93			94			95			96			97			98			99			100			101		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1		23	15	4	42464	15	1	42488	14	5	24452	12	6	272	1	8							4629	9	10	29354	8	-
2		-	-	-	125	3	9	125	3	9	11955	10	8	-	-	-				24724	14	2	-	-	-	16027	-	-	43487	1	10
3		-	-	-	70032	2	8	70032	2	8	4270	1	3	-	-	-				27460	1	10	-	-	-	21545	-	1	25815	1	4
4		-	-	-	466	5	7	466	5	7	124577	12	2	130133	11	9	155	04	7	2	4270	1	3	-	-	-	393986	11	6	2733962	15	2
5	159393	10	-	514044	12	6	673438	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	963	721	11	-	2339635	2	11	341	-	9	79010	8	6	79010	8	6
6	-	-	-	6037	4	7	6037	4	7	685	1	11	945	12	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6722	3	7	8353	1	9
7	-	-	-	13707	11	4	13707	11	4	10036	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1030	14	2	-	-	-	-	4390	-	2	14126	5	3
8	-	-	-	88	5	10	88	5	10	7591	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	10036	5	1	-	-	-	-	597	2	2	27647	15	2
9	592	7	1	29104	12	11	29697	4	-	1586	3	2	-	-	-	194	59	7	7	27050	13	-	-	-	-	183558	-	8	185144	3	10
10	-	-	-	270	10	7	270	10	7	16667	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1586	3	2	-	-	-	-	2025	1	10	18697	1	10
11	-	-	-	38170	12	10	38170	12	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16667	-	-	-	-	-	-	2771	14	2	2771	14	2
12	14322	7	11	128807	6	10	143129	14	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23742	5	1	23742	5	1
13	-	-	-	31033	15	5	31033	15	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2862	13	3	2862	13	3
14	5416	6	9	11630	10	2	17047	-	11	7428	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1642	5	10	23814	5	5
15	-	-	-	7	1	8	7	1	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7428	7	-	-	-	-	-	8263	9	9	8263	9	9
16	-	-	-	5012	4	2	5012	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15073	11	3	15073	11	3
17	-	-	-	7202	-	5	7202	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2966	3	11	2966	3	11
18	-	-	-	235	4	2	235	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	769	3	4	769	3	4
19	-	-	-	7507	12	10	7507	12	10	15000	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	924	9	10	15986	1	4
20	196	6	9	20726	15	6	20923	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6574	10	8	16574	10	8
21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61	6	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	23661	8	4	23661	8	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15000	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
																										11648	8	11	11648	8	11
	179945	5	10	950338	1	2	1130283	7	-	1338766	13	8	131351	9	8	9986859			2468804	11		402	7	4	7897	31	64	3258937	14	9

6251

Fort St. George

27th May 1852.

Balance of Current Demand of Fasly 1261

Extra Revenue

	Salt	Sayer	abkarry	Sundry Small farms and Licenses	Motunpha	Sea Customs	Tobacco	Manufs	Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109	Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Total
	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔	Rs. a. ℔
1	" " "	" " "	238 3 "	59 4 "	6 5 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	29658 4 10	90965 4 11	11131 10 6	102096 15 5
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	29 7 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	43516 9 9	20808 11 3	1563 6 8	22372 1 11
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	31 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	25846 5 4	83499 9 11	55 " "	83554 9 11
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	120 9 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27341 8 5	108889 11 8	203 6 4	109093 7 "
5	" " "	" " "	74 1 6	27 7 1	46 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	79057 7 9	" " "	" " 6	30812 4 11
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	318 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9177 15 4	9657 8 5	21154 12 6	64,14 11 6
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	506 5 7	136 11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	14596 13 9	59871 11 6	4540 " "	95481 11 5
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	33 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27647 15 2	92028 3 5	3453 8 "	24,609 12 "
9	" " "	" " "	1065 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	186290 1 5	23976 13 9	632 14 3	101422 8 "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	80 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	18764 1 11	65519 8 "	35903 " "	15334 11 10
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	72 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	2783 " "	1917 6 9	13417 5 1	510752 7 9
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	23742 5 1	494665 7 9	16089 " "	3529 " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2862 13 3	3394 " "	135 " "	54173 14 11
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2405 1 9	54063 11 11	110 " "	1532 3 "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 " 3	12 6 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	9052 9 11	" " "	1532 3 "	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "	779 " 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	15642 1 8	1050 " "	5652 " "	6702 " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	16 10 "	551 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	33925 6	48691 12 7	34352 10 7	521263 13 2
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	126 1 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	7761 4 2	17303 7 8	2723 13 10	20027 5 6
19	" " "	" " "	13 10 6	431 2 6	7 10 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	16506 12 6	21830 10 "	" " "	21830 10 "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	75 11 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	6574 10 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	937 9 8	937 9 8
	" " "	" " "	1391 " "	1090 10 1	3005 2 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	119452 3 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3264421 11 9	1636354 4 6	153587 4 5	1789941 8 11

6252 Fort St. George 27th May 1852

	Total Columns 110 and 113			Total Balance Columns 94 and 114			Remission: Of recent Arrears			Remission: Of old Balances			Total		
	114			115			116			117			118		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1	131755	1	3	174244	2	8	"	"	"	94793	15	2	94793	15	2
2	65888	11	8	66013	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	109100	15	3	179133	1	11	"	"	"	12	2	"	12	2	"
4	2843278	2	9	2843744	8	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	79057	7	9	752495	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	39990	4	3	46027	8	10	275	10	3	118	15	6	344	9	9
7	79011	9	3	92719	4	7	520	2	6	223	14	10	744	1	4
8	123129	10	7	123218	"	5	"	"	"	112	9	3	112	9	3
9	210899	13	5	240597	1	5	847	1	"	"	"	"	847	1	"
10	120186	9	11	120457	4	6	5000	"	"	68	"	9	5068	"	9
11	18119	11	10	56290	8	8	"	"	"	19	8	7	19	8	7
12	534494	12	10	677624	11	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	6391	13	3	37425	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	56577	3	8	73624	4	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	10584	12	11	10591	14	7	"	"	"	3106	2	7	3106	2	7
16	15642	1	8	20654	5	10	"	"	"	5189	7	11	5189	7	11
17	10094	5	6	17296	5	11	"	"	"	1635	"	5	1635	"	5
18	522040	11	4	522275	15	6	"	"	"	12	5	7	12	5	7
19	36534	2	"	41041	14	10	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"
20	28405	4	8	49328	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	12882	11	11	36544	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3054366	4	8	6184649	11	8	6592	13	9	105293	2	7	111886	"	4

(signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Comparative

6253

B Comparative Abstract of the Collections of Sudder Fort St. George 27th May 1852

Fort St. George 27th May 1852.

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Comparative Abstract of the Collections of Sudder Revenues 1260 and 1261 Fusly to 31st March 1852.

	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Land Revenue	2,16,49,611	12	11	2,20,35,998	3	5	3,86,386	4	6			
Salt	3,38,163	1	6	3,16,684	13	3				21,479	2	3
Sayer	1,49,314	3	2	1,47,090	14	9				2,223	8	5
Abkarry	12,11,755	13	3	14,33,254	7	7	2,21,498	9	4			
Sundry Small Items and Licenses	2,01,416	15	9	1,74,471	12	7				26,945	5	2
Mofussil	8,40,267	14	7	8,00,871	14	9				39,395	9	10
Sea Customs	6,33,373	7	11	6,72,620	3	5	39,246	11	6			
Tobacco	5,40,210	15	5	5,78,187	13	10	37,977	13	5			
Stamps	3,70,688	11	"	4,03,766	11	"	33,078	"	"			
Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue	75,34,494	15	7	77,92,661	12	2	2,58,166	13	7			
Grand Total of Lands and Extra Branches of Revenue	2,91,84,106	12	6	2,97,44,800	3	2	5,60,739	6	8			
Increase in the Collections of Current Year							5,60,739	6	8			
Do. in the Collections of Arrears							2,47,266	5	5			
							8,08,005	12	1			
Decrease in the Collections of Current Year										3,21,620	10	9
										3,55,987	11	1

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

C Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources up to 31st March 1852.

	Land Revenue				Extra sources of Revenue			
	Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
Ganjam	"	" "	24,321	15 6	56,413	10 3	"	" "
Vizagapatam	"	" "	2,345	6 4	25,152	15 11	"	" "
Rajahmundry	53,543	9 4	"	" "	44,902	2 2	"	" "
Masulipatam	1,779	10 8	"	" "	"	" "	31,146	15 11
Guntoor	96,173	" 7	"	" "	"	" "	51,388	6 11
Chingleput	1,20,514	1 7	"	" "	75,185	1 4	"	" "
Salem	57,110	" 4	"	" "	10,005	" 3	"	" "
Madura	18,747	7 10	"	" "	24,180	15 5	"	" "
Nellore	"	" "	10,433	12 1	117,357	1 10	"	" "
Northern Division of Arcot	384,662	6 8	"	" "	11,819	1 3	"	" "
Southern Division of Arcot	"	" "	63,730	3 2	"	" "	2,486	1 "
Tanjore	"	" "	3,13,035	1 6	20,101	" 3	"	" "
Trichinopoly	10,606	2 10	"	" "	978	11 6	"	" "
Tinnevelly	51,521	8 1	"	" "	23,405	10 11	"	" "
Bellary	659	8 1	"	" "	7,887	7 6	"	" "
Cuddapah	66,677	3 10	"	" "	12,021	2 11	"	" "
Coimbatore	"		1,98,918	15 5	"	" "	1127	11 5
Canara	"		34,12	15 5	"	" "	24,831	9 "
Malabar	"		14,065	6 6	17,293	5 11	"	" "
Madras — Land Customs	"		368	2 1	"	" "	120,137	2 10
Madras — Total collected on Cochrane's bonds	"		"	" "	2,429	4 5	"	" "
Madras — Sea Customs	"		"	" "	"	" "	1511	8 2
Kurnool	118,624	15 5	"	" "	8,254	2 "	"	" "
Total	9,86,619	11 3	6,30,632	2 2	4,57,401	2 10	252,629	7 3
	6,30,632	" 2	Dr. Decrease		252,629	7 3	Dr. Decrease	
	3,55,987	11 1	Net Increase		2,04,771	11 7	Net Increase	

Signed J. W. B. Bayley
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

84
No 1185

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Collr of Ganjam. Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April 1852.

85
No 1219

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April 1852.

86
No 1191

From the Collector of Malabar. Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April 1852.

87
No 1184

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April 1852.

84-88

Order on the 4 above Statements. Ordered to lie over.

Read the undermentioned Lists.

89
No 1206.

From the Collr of Bellary. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of October 1851.

90
No 1207

From the Collr of Bellary. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of November 1851.

91
No 1208.

From the Collr of Bellary. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of December 1851.

Register

92 No 1182
From the Coll[r] of South arcot. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April 1852.

93
No 1198
From the Coll[r] of Coimbatore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April 1852.

89-94
Order on the 5 above[d] Lists. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

95
Read the undermentioned Statements.
No 1178.
From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Month of March 1852.

96
No 1179
From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Month of April 1852.

97
No 1180.
From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent articles purchased for binding 100 Books for the use of his Office.

95-98
Order on the 3 above[d] Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

99
Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.
No[s] No 530
From the Coll[r] of Chingleput. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of the Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

100
No[s] No 529.
From the Coll[r] of Chingleput. Stating that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

Salt

101
No[s] No 528.
From the Asst Coll[r] of Tanjore. Salt account current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

102
No[s] No 547.
From the Collector of Nellore. Abstract of Demand for the Months Collection and Balance of April last.

103
No[s] No 545
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand for the Months Collection and Balance of April last.

104
No[s] No 549.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Abstract of Demand for the Months Collection and Balance of April last.

105
No[s] No 537.
From the Coll[r] of Chingleput. Retransmitting Demand Statements with Collection and Balance Hindoovie copies of the same.

106
No[s] No 548.
From the Coll[r] of Nellore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

107
No[s] No 546.
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

108
No[s] No 541.
From the Acting Collector of North arcot. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of

92 No 1182

From the Collr of South arcot. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April 1852.

93 No 1198.

From the Collr of Coimbatore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April 1852.

89-94

Order on the 5 above Lists. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

95 No 1178.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Month of March 1852.

96 No 1179

From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Month of April 1852.

97 No 1180.

From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent articles purchased for binding 100 Books for the use of his office.

95-98

Order on the 3 above Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

99 Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

No 530

From the Collr of Chingleput. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of the Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

100 No 529.

From the Collr of Chingleput. Stating that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

Salt

101 No 528.

From the Actg Collr of Tanjore. Salt account current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

102 No 527.

From the Collector of Nellore. Abstract of Demand collection and Balance for the Months of April last.

103 No 525

From the Collector of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand collection and Balance for the Months of April last.

104 No 529.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Abstract of Demand collection and Balance for the Months of April last.

105 No 537.

From the Collr of Chingleput. Retransmitting Demand collection and Balance Statements with Duplicates of the same.

106 No 528.

From the Collr of Nellore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

107 No 526.

From the Collector of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

108 No 521.

From the Acting Collector of North arcot. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of

92 No 1182
From the Collr of South arcot. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April 1852.

93
No 1198
From the Collr of Coimbatore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April 1852.

89-94
Order on the 5 above Lists. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

95
No 1178
From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Months of March 1852.

96
No 1179
From the Accountant General. Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of April 1852.

97
No 1180
From the Accountant General. Statement of contingent articles purchased for binding 100 Books for the use of his office.

95-98
Order on the 3 above Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

99
Mds No 530
From the Collr of Chingleput. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of the Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

100
Mds No 529
From the Collr of Chingleput. Stating that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

Salt

101
Mds No 528
From the Asst Collr of Tanjore. Salt account current for the 2quarter ending 31st March last.

102
Mds No 547
From the Collector of Nellore. Abstract of Demand collection and Balance for the Months of April last.

103
Mds No 545
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand collection and Balance for the Months of April last.

104
Mds No 549
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of April last.

105
Mds No 537
From the Collr of Chingleput. Retransmitting Demand collection and Balance Statements with Hindoostie Copies of the same.

106
Mds No 528
From the Collr of Nellore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

107
Mds No 546
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

108
Mds No 541
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of

109 of 1822 for the Month of April last.

No. No. 538.

From the Collector of Madura. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

110

No. No. 527

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot } Return of Persons convicted and confined under Regulation IX 1822 for the Month of April last.

111

No. No. 542

From the Collector of South Arcot } Reporting the non-transmission of certain Returns for the Month of April last.

112

No. No. 543

From the Collector of Madura. Reporting that no Persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

113

No. No. 532

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Reporting that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Month of April last.

114

No. No. 539.

From the Collector of Madura. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of April last.

Return

No. No. 534

From the Collector of Coimbatore } Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation

115

VI of 1831 for the Month of April last.

116

No. No. 540

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore } Reporting that no Village Officers have been suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of April last.

117

No. No. 544

From the Collector of Nellore. Register of certificates issued for the exportation of Salt to Chittagong on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 in the Months of April last.

118

No. No. 531.

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 up to 8th May 1852.

119

No. No. 550

From the Collector of Nellore } Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of the Chittagong

Fort St. George 27th May 1852

(120) Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 upto 15th May 1852.

From the Collector of Madras. Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1000 Salt Rowanahs.

(120-121) Order thereon.

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

" Pyoldingham

" T. Pears

Compe
L. E. Boyle

Fort St. George — May 1852.

Diary.-

(1) 20th May.- Received the following

Bd. No. 1243

From the Secretary to Government.- } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 25th May 1852.-

No. 460

Read the following papers

From the Civil Auditor.-

/Here enter 17th May 1852/

Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue.-

/Here enter 22d May 1852/

Ordered that Mr. Goodwyn's application for leave of absence be considered as having been with-drawn.-

/Signed/ J. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.-

(1-2) Bd. No. 1006

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letter dated 19th May 1852.

Sent the following Official Memorandum

Fort St. George 27th. May 1852.

(120) Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 upto 15th. May 1852.

From the Collector of Madras. Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1000 Salt Rowanahs.

(120-121) Order thereon.

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

" Hyderingham

" T. Oliver

" Boyle

Compd
L. L. Boyle

Fort St. George 28th. May 1852.

Diary.

(1) 28th. May. Received the following

Extract.

Bd. No. 1243

From the Secretary to Government.

No. 460

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 25th. May 1852.

Read the following papers

From the Civil Auditor.

/Here enter 17th. May 1852/

Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 22d. May 1852/

Ordered that Mr. Godwyn's application for leave of absence be considered as having been with-drawn.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

(1-2) Bd. No. 1066

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letter dated 19th. May 1852.

Sent the following Official Memorandums

6262

Fort St. George, May 1852.

3

Bd. No. 1013

To The Collectors of Madras

Memorandum.

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor under the instructions of the Board to request that the Collector of Madras will be so good as to forward to this the original depositions taken in Mr. Elliot's investigation of the Salt frauds.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley

Secretary.

From Madras 3d. inst. No. 29th March 1852

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th May, 1852.

4

Bd. No. 1014

To the Chief Engineer

Sent the following letter.

To The Chief Engineer.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the plan forwarded with Lieutenant Foord's letter of 6th April 1852, and to request that you will be good enough to have the Sapheir of Bongamapully near Kurnool, the boundaries of which are not now shewn in the map, to be marked off in it.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley

Secretary.

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George, 28th May, 1852.

Received

6263

Fort St. George, May 1852.

29th May

Received the following letter

No. 61.

To W. H. Bayley Esq.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

5

Bd. No. 1245.

From the Collector of Madras

Submitting a form of license with its Counterpart for the retail sale of Beer Wine and Spirits and requesting the orders of the Board.

Sir,

In continuation of the subject of my letter dated 21st May 1852, I have the honor to submit for the orders of the Board a form of License with its Counterpart for the retail sale of Beer, Wine and Spirits within this Collectorate.

At the same time I would take the liberty to notice that it has hitherto been the Custom of this Office to issue passes to the whole-sale dealers in European Spirits for the protection of the liquor sold by them to private individuals.

As the new Abkarry Act appears to exclude the Conduct of the whole-sale dealers in Beer Wine and Spirits from the Control of this office I would respectfully solicit the orders of the Board as to the necessity or otherwise for the issue of passes to those engaged in the wholesale trade.

Madras, 28th May, 1852.

/ Signed / J. A. Huddleston

Collector

Enclosure

Fort St. George the May 1852

Enclosure A

Form of License for selling Beer, Wine and Spirits, within the Abkarry limits of the Collectorate of Madras.

Under the special sanction of the Board of Revenue of Fort St. George I, I. A. Hudleston Esqr. Collector of Madras do hereby grant this License to you A B under the provisions of Section VI Act XIX of 1852. to the purpose following.

You are hereby permitted to hold, a shop for the retail sale of * at — Street — Village within the Abkarry limits of this Collectorate on the following Conditions.—

That you do not sell any Liquor than that for which your shop has been established and that no liquor be sold without the shop except on the permit issued from this office.—

That should you be found to be encouraging any irregular or unlawful practices in your Shop, or should you in any wise act Contrary to the conditions of this License, I am authorized to

* Beer Wine or Spirits as the Case may be

Fort St. George the May 1852.

to ~~in the Revocation of the License or will be~~ ~~under Section IX of Act XIX of 1852 to with~~ ~~draw this License and you will be subjected~~ to such further penalties as are provided for by Law and the Police Regulations

Given under my hand, and seal this — day —

1852.

Enclosure B.

Counterpart Engagement by those engaged in Selling Beer, Wine and Spirits.—

I, A. B. holding a shop under a License from the Collector of Madras situated in Street — in the Village of — within the Abkarry limits of this Collectorate of Madras do hereby of my own free will and Consent engage.—

I Not to sell in my shop aformentioned any other liquor than that for which my shop has been established and not to allow any liquor to be carried out of my shop without the permits issued by this office.—

II That should I be found to be encouraging any irregular or unlawful practices

practices

I hereby bind myself in Conformity with the Conditions of my Licence to be subjected to a withdrawal of such Licence as well as such further penalties as are provided by Law and the Police Regulations.—

29th "

Sent the following Letter

To,

The Collector of

Madras.

5-6

Bd "A" 1015

To The Collector of Madras

Sir,

With reference to Para 2 of your letter of 20th May 1852 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will state under what authority, Rule, or Regulation passes has been heretofore issued by your Office for the protection of liquor sold by the Dealers in European Spirits to private individuals and wherein the new Act you see the objection to a Continuance of the system.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
29th May, 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Jdy Cn. 31st May 1852

At

At a Meeting Present

J. Goldingham Esq. Second Member

and

Monday 31st May 1852. } T. L. Blane Esq. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esq. Senior Member

Absent on duty

/As Commissioner Northern Circars/

7

Bd "A" 1115

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 6th May 1852 ----

Read Extract

Communicating the decision of Government with reference to a letter from the Commissioner for the Northern Circars dated 26th April 1852, Relative to the expulsion of Pay coossy from Vizianagaram.—

7-8

Order thereon— Ordered to be recorded—

Read

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

9

Bd. No. 1125
From the Secretary to Government

Read the following Extract.

No. 131.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th. May 1852

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Saderwarees and Extra Charges, actually incurred in Fasly 1260 in the Revenue and Magistrial Departments of the Several Districts specified in the foregoing List amounting in the aggregate to Rupees /163593-4-2/ One Lac Sixty three thousand five hundred and Ninety three, annas four and Pice two

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

9-10

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded
the usual printed letters will be sent to the Collectors.

11

Bd. No. 1193
From the Secretary to Government

Read the undermentioned Extract

Dated 11th. May 1852.

Forwarding /a Communication from the Commissioner Northern

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

Northern Circars with the order of Government thereon sanctioning the Disbursement of Rupees 15 per Mensem for the rent of a house for the assistant to the Collector of Ganjam and his Cutcherry at Berhampore.

11-12

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

Bd. No. 1109
From the Secretary to Government
dated 11th. May 1852

13

Communicating the order of Government sanctioning the charge of Rupees 12-9-1 for articles supplied by the Collector of Rajahmundry from the stores of the Godavery Detachment for Captain Fenwick's expedition.

13-14

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

Bd. No. 1136
From the Secretary to Government
dated 11th. May 1852

Communicating Copy of a letter from the Acting Register to the Court of Foujdaree Udalut in which he states that the privileges of Act XXXII of 1839, and XXXVII of 1850 are not conceded to Revenue servants of Government whose Conduct is under investigation by the authorities under Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, with the Resolution of Government thereon in reference to the case at present before the Commissioner of the Northern Circars and the Special Assistant Collector at Masulipatam.

15

Ordered to be recorded

15-16

Order thereon.

Read the following Extract

Extract

6270

Pl. No. 114

From the Secretary to Government - Communicating the Decision of Government with reference to Certain Correspondence relative to the reduction of Import duty on Foreign Salt -

17

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 422

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 11th May, 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue -

/Here enter 19th April 1852 No. 137/

In their despatch in the Revenue Department 30th July 1851 No. 8 The Honorable the Court of Directors remarking upon the high and prohibitory duty of Rupees 3 per Indian Maund chargeable under Act VI of 1844 on Foreign Salt imported into the Madras Territories and upon the different modes of administering the Salt Revenue in the Madras Presidency and in those of Bengal and Bombay desired that this Government would take into Consideration the expediency of reducing the amount of Customs duty leviable on Foreign Salt at their Ports to the average profit derived by them from the Native Salt, so as to place the trade in the former article as far as practicable on the same footing as in the other Presidencies.

2. This Question was referred by

6271

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

by the Government Extract Minutes of Consultation 14th October 1851 for the opinion of the Board of Revenue whose reply is prefixed to this Extract - The Board report that the average net profit including wastage on the Monopoly Salt may be stated at 12 Annas per Indian Maund at which rate the customs duty on Foreign Salt might they think be fixed generally - They add that no loss to the Government revenue is apprehended by this concession nor does it appear probable that shipments of Salt if any, will take place to ports other than those of the western Coast and that of Madras -

3. In these views the Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs and if he resolves to apply to the Government of India that the customs duty on Salt leviable under Schedule A. Act VI 1844 may, in the case of Salt imported from Europe be reduced to 12 Annas per Indian Maund. He has already solicited a like reduction in the case of Arabian Salt imported into

To Government of India 2d April 1852.

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

into the Ports of Malabar and Canara and has given it as his opinion that it would not be advisable at least for the present to allow the same concession in favor of Salt from Bombay. He may now add that looking to the difficulty of regulating the import trade and preventing the smuggling he could not advise that the indulgence be extended to Salt from Ceylon.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

17-18

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.—

No. 1190
From the Secretary to Government
19
Dated 14th May 1852. } Read Extract forwarding a communication from the Commissioner Northern Circars, submitting a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam April 24th 1852, relative to the inapplicability of act XXXVII of 1850 to proceedings under Regulation IX of 1822.—

19-20

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded

Read the following Extract

Extract

21

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

No. 444.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 18th May 1852.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 5th April 1852 No. 1791)

Para 1. The Collector of Bellary has shewn in the correspondence submitted by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 5th April last above recorded that there are at present in that District 595 Government Topes containing 183193 Trees, the expenditure on which from the commencement of the undertaking in Fusly 1235 to the close of Fusly 1260 amounted to Rupees 182073, the actual income realized from the produce from Fusly 1236 to 1259 has been Rupees 10484, and that for Fusles 1259 and 1260 is assumed at 2000 Rupees, thus exhibiting the net cost of the Plantations at Rupees 169589. To this amount the cost of planting Trees on Roads and Tank Banks Rupees 17647, and Palmyra Topes 2704, being added the aggregate expenditure on account of Plantations is

B. No. 1165.
From the Secy. to Government
Reviewing the Board's Proceedings of 5th April 1852 relative to the growth of Topes &c. in the District of Bellary and Sanctioning an annual grant of Rs. 3000 for a period of 12 years for the preservation of existing Topes and directing that the income obtained from the produce of these may be appropriated towards the formation of new ones exempting from assessment in perpetuity those portions of waste land not less than an acre which may be taken up during the ensuing 10 years by private individuals for the purpose of plantations the result of which indulgence the Collector is to report upon after the termination of that

that time, and calling upon him further to submit periodically a Statement of the progress of plantations.

is exhibited at Rupees 1,89941.-

2. It has also been shewn that of the sum of Rupees 60,000 at Rupees 6,000 per annum for ten years placed under date the 11th April 1842 at the disposal of the Collector for extending the plantations only Rupees 37,005 have been expended, and that Rupees 22,995 yet remain unappropriated.-

3. The financial results from these plantations have not been equal to the expectations which Mr. Mellor led the Government to entertain, apparently from some over Estimate of the produce calculated with reference to the yield of bearing trees of full growth. This is however of secondary consideration in a District which is represented to be arid and barren and when extensive plantations are necessary for promoting the comfort of the people and the prospects of the Country. Government have fregnently expressed their opinion in favor of encouraging by every means the planting and growth of Topes of fruit bearing and plantations

plantations of Palmyra Trees, and from what has been stated by Mr. Pelly, they think that in no district can such encouragement be more necessary than in Bellary.-

4. Of 595 Topes it is stated that 520 have ceased to require further expenditure, that 75 only need tending and that the expenditure on them and on Palmyra topes has been estimated for the next 12 years at Rupees 35,665, or an average of nearly 3,000 Rupees per annum. The Collector recommends that this sum be allowed annually from Fusly 1261 to 1271, besides 2,000 Rupees for an indefinite period for extending plantations generally of all kinds. The Board of Revenue support the application of the Collector for the grant of Rupees 3,000 per annum for 12 years but suggest that the annual profits now derived estimated at Rupees 1,000 per annum be appropriated for the formation of new Topes. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council entirely approves of the Board's proposition and accordingly sanctions an annual grant of Rupees 3,000 for 12 years to commence from Fusly

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

Fusly 1262 when the present grant will expire for the preservation of the existing Topes and directs that the income from the produce now obtained from them be appropriated to the formation of new Topes.

5. The Board further recommend that the rules for encouraging the formation of private Topes on waste land, which allow exemption from assessment for 20 years, should be modified for the present in Bellary, to the extent of permitting land to be so occupied in portions of not less than an Acre rent in free in perpetuity. The Governor in Council would desire to limit the proposed modification to a certain period, after which, should it be considered desirable it might be extended, that is, he is willing to permit parties, planting Topes within the next ten Fusles on waste land of not less than an Acree in extent, to hold them rent free in perpetuity. He desires that the Collector of Bellary may be instructed to make known the

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

the intentions of Government to the inhabitants by a proclamation in the language of the District and to report at the expiration of the above named period what success has attended the alteration of the rules and whether it be expedient to continue it further in favor of parties desirous of planting Topes and if so whether the indulgence should remain general or be confined to particular localities.

6. In order that the Government may be kept periodically informed of the progress of the plantation in the Bellary District under the Resolutions now communicated, the Board of Revenue will be pleased to require the Collector to submit once every two years a separate Report on the subject embracing all details.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered.

6278 Fort St. George 31st May 1852

Bd. No. 1045

Order thereon.- Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary, with reference to his letter dated 14th In Cons: 5th April. 1852. February 1852.-

Mr. Pelly will issue the proclamation referred to in para 5 of the order of Government, and attend to the instructions contained in para 6.-

Read,

6279. Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Read the following Extract.

No. 451.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, &c
Revenue Department, under date the 18th May 1852.

Read the following Papers-

Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 22d June 1848, 19th June and 23d October 1851.- /

23

B. No. 1167.

From the Secretary to Government. } 1.

Reviewing the Board's report on the subject of the Tobacco Monopoly in the Provinces of Malabar and Canara and directing that with the approval of the Court of Directors the Monopoly cease absolutely with the end of the ensuing Fasly 1262. calling upon the Board to report on the measures necessary for carrying out this decision and to submit a draft enactment.-

Minutes of Cons: 10th September 1845.

X X X

The Report submitted by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings dated 22d June 1848 &c above recorded, on the subject of the Tobacco Monopoly furnished in pursuance of the instructions contained in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the Governor in Council, under date the 10th of September 1845, and with reference to Paragraphs 2 to 4 of the Despatch of the Court of Directors 29 of 1847, communicated to the Board on the 4th April 1848.-

2.- The Government in 1845, upon a review of the history of the Tobacco Monopoly in Malabar and Canara were led to the conclusion that the system was fraught with so many evils that it ought not to be allowed longer to continue unless they could be remedied; and would have proposed its abolition absolutely but for the apprehension that the loss of Revenue might cause embarrassment in the state of the finances of India

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

India at the time - It was resolved to direct the Board of Revenue to call upon the Collectors of the two Districts to report "on the practicability "of a Modification of the Monopoly which while it "should secure a considerable revenue, should yet "divest the tax of some of its most injurious at: "tributes," and if a beneficial modification, should appear to be impracticable then "on the possibility of finding some available substitute"

3. The Court of Directors, adverting to this resolution, observe in their Despatch above noted that "whatever be the result of this reference "whether or not it be found practicable to find some "equivalent source of Revenue we are resolved that "a tax which in its present state presses with such "unequal weight on the Inhabitants of these "Provinces to whom Tobacco is almost a neces: "=sary of life, and which leads to the demorali: "zation of the people engaged in the contraband "trade and of the public servants who connive at it "shall no longer be suffered to remain as a part of "our fiscal system, unless it can be placed on an "unobjectionable footing -

4. The net revenue derived from the Tobacco Monopoly in Malabar on the average from Fusly 1247 to Fusly 1256 inclusive, was

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Rupees 4,13,307 in Canara on the average of Fusly 1253 and 1254, Rupees 191037; the total being Rupees 604,344. This revenue, however has since fallen owing to the increase of smuggling -

5. In the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue now before Government, they trace the history of the Tobacco Monopoly from its introduction into the Western Districts in the early part of the present century - Shew the views respecting it entertained by the Chief functionaries Revenue and Judicial who have been employed in those Provinces and notice the effects of the monopoly on the social and moral condition of the people - They then consider first the expedients proposed for the improvement of the present monopoly system, and secondly the measures which have been suggested for replacing in part at least, the revenue which would be given up in the event of its abolition - They hold that any modification of the existing system which shall divest it of its most objectionable features, is impracticable. They review in turn every expedient which has been proposed for raising a Revenue in

partial

partial compensation for that to be abandoned, and point out objections to them all; and as finally conclude by stating that, "It is as "with much reluctance that they have been as "induced to advise the surrender, without an as "equivalent, of so considerable a source of as "revenue as the monopoly; and they must be "understood as doing so only because after as "much and anxious reflection on the subject, "they have been led to the conclusion, that between "such surrender and the retention of the present "system with all its evils, there is no practicable "middle course".-

6- The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has perused with deep interest and as earnest attention the above report from the Board of Revenue and he has at the same time had under his consideration both the previous as correspondence on the subject and the papers subsequently laid before him by the Board from the Collectors of Malabar and Canara, exhibiting the difficulties which they have to encounter in as the maintenance of the monopoly.-

Dy to Cons: 11th February 1853. Nos 3 to 5- & " - " - 25th March 2031. Cons: 21st October - 4284.

7- The Governor in Council deems it

unnecessary to follow the Board in their elaborate examination of the tax and will only notice briefly the various points connected with it which seem to him to have been incontestably established.-

8- These are.-

1st That the monopoly from its original introduction into Malabar and Canara has been denounced by all the ablest and most enlightened Officers, Judicial and Revenue, in those Districts, and in the adjoining Province of Coimbatore as a system fraught with the as greatest evils.-

2ndly That the large enhancement caused by the monopoly in the price of an Article which, in the damp climate of the Western Coast, is or is regarded as a necessary of life- is of itself a great hardship, and one as which presses with most severity upon the lowest and poorest classes.-

3d That the mode in which the Tobacco is as supplied for the service of the Monopoly is objectionable, and opens the door to as great abuses- In Coimbatore it subjects the growers to interference and annoyance

on the part of the Subordinate Revenue & Functionaries; and both there and in Mala: = bar and Canara the assorting of the several kinds of Tobacco leads to intrigue and & deceit and demoralizes the public servants

4th That the effect of the monopoly owing to the frauds practised by the Coimbatore assorters, the retail venders in Canara and Malabar and the Contractors in Mysore is to force upon the consumers bad, adul: = terated or damaged Tobacco, in place of the pure and whole-some article which would be supplied under the natural influence of free trade -

5th That the prohibition to cultivate Tobacco in Malabar and Canara in the latter of which Districts it might be largely raised is & protanto injurious to the resources of those Provinces -

6th That the search for illicit Tobacco concealed or pretended to be concealed by the Ryots, & leads to vexatious domiciliary visits, to & annoyance on the part of the people, and & oppressions and exactions on the part of the petty Native revenue or Police Officers

7th That the large profit derived by &

Government from the Monopoly of Tobacco & has produced and perpetuated a contraband trade of the most extensive and systematic character - That the result is to keep on foot large bodies of armed and trained men, habi: = tual violaters of the law, and disturbers of the public peace and to produce either bloody collisions or corrupt connivance between these bands and the preventive Establishment - & That a spirit of lawlessness and violence & has been thereby engendered among the popu: = lation, particularly the lowest and most ignorant, which renders them apt at the & commission of every outrage and predispo: = ses them, when worked up to fanaticism by designing men, to the perpetration of frightful atrocities as evinced by the & fact that many of the actors in the hor: = rible Moplah outbreaks which have of late years been so unhappily common, are Tobacco smugglers. and that those emeutes have, till the very last occasion, been confined to those Talooks of & Malabar which adjoin the Coimbatore & District, and through which the contraband

trade

trade chiefly passes-

8th That the monopoly itself is in fact becoming gradually undermined and the revenue accru= =ing from it diminishing in consequence in Malabar of the increasing impatience of the people at the burden, and the large quantity of illicit Tobacco introduced clandestinely from the French Settlement of Mahé- while, as regards Canara, the intrigues of the &c Contractors for providing Tobacco from &c Mysore have of late frequently led to insuffi= =cient supplies and of an inferior article &c and have sometimes threatened to cut of the supply altogether.-

9- The Government further consi= =der it to have been amply demonstrated that &c the chief evils of the monopoly are not of a nature to be remedied by any change of system, nor by any mode of administering a revenue to be raised from Tobacco consumed in the Western Districts, in-as-much as any such changes, whether in the shape of an excise duty, of a licensing system &c. are open to the fatal objection, that if the profit to be thereby realized will be large enough to bring in any

any considerable revenue to the State, it will like= =wise be high enough to hold out inducement to the contrabandist and thus smuggling, the monster &c ill of the Monopoly, will remain untouched.-

In paras 25 to 37 of their report,

10.

the Board of Revenue have considered, whether it be feasible to realize in Malabar and Canara &c from sources unconnected with Tobacco, and &c mainly from an extension of the Veesabaddy and Moturpha, a revenue which shall constitute some compensation for the surrender of the monopoly; and they give their reasons for thinking that it is not feasible- Into this subject the Government think it would not be proper in this place to &c enter- That it is impracticable to raise a revenue from Tobacco in the Western Provinces by any &c plan which would not be attended with most of the evils connected with the monopoly, seems to have been fully proved- Whether other sources of extra revenue either in Malabar and &c Canara, or throughout the Presidency generally might by more judicious management be made to yield a large revenue without pressing un= =fairly on those subjected to them, though &c

a

a most important, is yet a wholly distinct
question - The revision of the Veesaibaddy and
Moturpha Taxes which are among the most
important of the extra sources of Revenue is now
engaging the attention of Government - But any
measures which may have to be taken for the
modification and extension of these imposts
must be adopted gradually and after mature
deliberation - mean-while the crying evils of
the Tobacco Monopoly continue daily to
become more notorious, and demand an im-
=mediate remedy - The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council entertains the most decided
conviction that, as the Board of Revenue have
represented, there is no effectual remedy short of
total abolition and the expunging of so
objectionable a branch of Revenue from the
system of Indian Finance - In accordance
therefore with the instructions of the Honorable
the Court of Directors in their Despatch of
31st December 1847, and acting on the enlightened
spirit of policy therein expressed, he has
resolved, that with the approval of the Court,
the Tobacco Monopoly in the Provinces of
Malabar

Malabar and Canara shall close absolutely
with the end of the ensuing Fusly 1262. or the
11th July 1853. He would have wished
indeed to have limited its duration to the
current year, but he apprehends that so
abrut a termination might interfere with the
existing arrangements and contracts besides
that it would not leave time for a reference
to the Honorable Court - The Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue, with all the
accompanying papers and the Minutes of
the Members of Government, will now
be forwarded to the Court and their
early approval solicited; mean-while the
Board of Revenue will consider and
report the measures necessary for
closing the monopoly at the end of
Fusly 1262 - One of the chief requisites
will

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

will be the repeal of Regulation VII. VIII.

1811. and of such part of Regu-

=lation V. 1831. or of any other

Regulations and Acts which relate

to breaches of the Tobacco Laws

and the Board will be pleased to

prepare and submit the draft of an

Enactment necessary for the purpose-

To,

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft.

Secretary to

Government.

DF

Ordered

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Ordered that the following letter

be submitted.

No. 220.

(23-24)

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

Bd. St. 1034

To the Chief Secretary to Government---

Para 1

I am directed by the Board of Re-
venue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of
Consultation 10th May 1852 proposing that with the approval
of the Court of Directors, the Tobacco Monopoly now
existing in the Districts of Malabar and Canara
be closed absolutely at the end of Fusly 1262, and
calling upon the Board to report upon the measures
necessary for carrying out the decision and to submit
a Draft Enactment for the abolition of the Monopoly.

Before giving immediate effect to the
wishes of Government, the Board deem it necessary to
point out the inconvenience which is likely to arise
in Consequence of the time fixed for bringing so great
a change into operation.—

They desire me to explain that
provision must be made or at least sufficient facility
afforded for providing the Country with this necessary
article, by means of private Trade on the Termination
of the Monopoly, which it would be exceedingly
difficult to effect, if the time be fixed at the
end of Fusly 1262 or 12th July 1853, as they observe
that this is the height of the Monsoon on the Western
Coast

Pointing out the inconvenience that is likely to arise by the Tobacco Monopoly now existing in the Districts of Malabar and Canara being Closed absolutely at the end of Fusly 1262, and recommending that the time be changed to 31st December 1852 and promising to submit the draft enactment at an early date

Fr. Con 31st May 1852

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852

Coast, when it is impossible to transport Tobacco at all, without spoiling or destroying it from wet, while, until the Monopoly is abolished no private stock can be introduced this incurring the risk of leaving the Country without any supply of Tobacco for some time.—

4. Another Circumstance which the Board would beg to bring to the notice of Government in fixing the season for terminating the Monopoly is this, that the stock in hand will probably not be sufficient to last the entire Fusly, and it may therefore become necessary to form new Contracts for a fresh supply for the ensuing season; but as the Crop is cut in February and it can seldom reach the Districts of Malabar and Canara before March or April the Collectors have to enter into arrangements as detailed and extensive as if for a whole year's Contract, merely to insure a three Months Consumption Viz.th to the end of the Fusly year.

5. Desirous that the Free supply of Tobacco should Commence with the introduction of the new Crop, when the private Traders can make their arrangements for purchasing and conveying down their own supply at once; for otherwise it may be brought up and Monopolized by

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

by the parties who now Contract) and with the view to obviate any serious public inconvenience, the Board trust that the Government may be induced to fix the end of the Calendar year 31st. December 1852, instead of the end of the Fusly year 12th. July 1853, as the date on which the Tobacco Monopoly will close, thus allowing seven Months for receiving orders from the Honorable Court of Directors on the Subject.

6. The Board will prepare and submit the draft of an Enactment for the abolition of the Monopoly at an early date.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st. May, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

From

B. No. 1224
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 21st. May 1852.

Read Extract concurring generally in the views of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars, relative to the Inam Village of the Moherry Talook.

25

25-26

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

27
B. No. 1222
From the Secretary to Government.

Read the following Extract.

No. 467.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 25th. May, 1852.

Read the following Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 13th. May 1852 No. 169)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council would be disposed to view the various Inams in endowment of Village artisans &c. Smith, Carpenter, &c. as "emoluments annexed by the State to hereditary Village Offices in the Revenue Department" and consequently as falling within the provisions of Regulation VII 1831; but as the point is doubtful, he resolves to request the opinion of the Court of Sudr Udalut in respect to it. The Judges will be pleased to state whether the Inams in question, do come within the scope of the above Regulation, and if not, what other Act or Regulation applies

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

27-28

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

29
B. No. 1214
From the Secretary to Government.

No. 466.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 25th. May, 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 3d. May 1852. No. 158 /

The demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue of the District of Chingleput amounted for Fusly 1260 to Rupees 303455, being Rupees 53571 below that of Fusly 1259. The Current Collections amounted to Rupees 355436 leaving a balance at the close of the year of Rupees 20019.

The revenue from the sale of

2.

Salt, Rupees 2,50,470, was Rupees 54220 below that of Fusly 1259, of which Rupees 50025 were on the sales for Inland Consumption, and Rupees 5394 in the Home sales. This decrease is attributed to the unusually large sales in the Madras Coturs which it is stated, has counterbalanced the decline in the Chingleput Pans nearest to Madras

Increase Madras	92544
Decrease in Chingleput & Coturs near Madras	77237
Rupees	17307

Madras. The falling off in the Sales for Inland Consumption occurs on the transport to Mysore and Cuddapah.

3. Including Extra Items, and arrears, the Grass Collections, amounted to Rupees 30,317. Deducting charges, on which there was a decrease of Rupees 716 under the head of Salt owing to none having been manufactured in the Cheyoor and Pyrnnoor Pans, the net Revenue Rupees 32,400 was Rupees 64,101 below that of Fusly 1259

4. The balance at the Close of the Fusly Rupees 20,019, though subsequently reduced to Rupees 2,354, was exceedingly large, and nearly double that which was outstanding at the same period of the preceding year. It was due in Abkarry viz. Rupees 13,736 out of a demand of Rupees 73,209 or 19 per Cent. Rupees 6,590 out of 13,794 or nearly 50 per Cent in Small Farms, and Rupees 7,691 out of 30,193 or 25 per Cent in Mohturpha. The attention of the Collector is Called to a more punctual realization of the public revenues. We will explain if these taxes, the Small Farms and Moturpha, press heavily on the lower classes or to what other Cause this large per centage of arrears is due.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Ordered

Bd. No. 1046
Order thereon

(29-30)

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Chingleput, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 3d. May 1852

2. Mr. Cochrane's attention is Called to the observations of Government in para 4, and he is requested to furnish the information called for in the last clause of that para.

Read the following letters.

(31)

Bd. No. 893
From the Collector of Nellore.

No. 34.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the instructions of the Board of Revenue the accompanying application from one Rajavelu Venkatasawmy, a native of this place, for a License under clause 1 Section VI Regulation I of 1820, for the sale of Spirituous Liquors in the Town of Nellore. The form of the License to be granted in such cases is apparently the same as that of the Abkarry Cowles, but whether like the Abkarry Farms the privilege of the exclusive sale of Foreign Spirits is to be thrown open to Competition, and the highest

C.O. of 29th. June 1035 and its accompaniments.

Madras. The falling off in the Sales for Inland Consumption occurs on the transport to Mysore and Cuddapah.

3. Including Extra Items, and arrears, the Grass Collections, amounted to Rupees 3,04,317. Deducting charges, on which there was a decrease of Rupees 796 under the head of Salt owing to none having been manufactured in the Cheyoor and Pyrunoor Pans, the net Revenue Rupees 3,27,400 was Rupees 64,101 below that of Fusly 1259

4. The balance at the close of the Fusly Rupees 20,019, though subsequently reduced to Rupees 2,354, was exceedingly large, and nearly double that which was outstanding at the same period of the preceding Year. It was due in Ahkarry Viz. Rupees 13,736 out of a demand of Rupees 73,209 or 19 per Cent. Rupees 6,590 out of 13,794 or nearly 50 per Cent in Small Farms, and Rupees 7,691 out of 30,193 or 25 per Cent in Mohturpha. The attention of the Collector is Called to a more punctual realization of the public revenues. We will explain if these taxes, the Small Farms and Mohturpha, press heavily on the lower classes or to what other cause this large percentage of arrears is due.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Ordered

Bd. No. 1046
Order thereon

(29-30)

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Chingleput, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 3d May 1852
Mr. Cockrane's attention is Called
2.
to the observations of Government in para 4. and he is requested to furnish the information called for in the last clause of that para.

Read the following letters.

No. 34.

To, W. H. C. Bayley Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(31)

Bd. No. 893
From the Collector of Nellore.

I have the honor to submit for the instructions of the Board of Revenue the accompanying application from one Rajavelu Venkatasawmy, a native of this place, for a License under clause 1 Section VI Regulation I of 1820, for the sale of Spirituous Liquors in the Town of Nellore. The form of the License to be granted in such cases is apparently the same as that of the Ahkarry Cowles, but whether like the Ahkarry Farms the privilege of the exclusive sale of Foreign Spirits is to be thrown open to Competition, and the highest

C.O. of 29th June 1035 and its accompaniments.

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

highest offer accepted, or in what other mode the annual Rent is to be fixed, and also whether the prices of the several descriptions of Liquors authorized to be sold are to be laid down, are points for the determination of which I have nothing to guide me and on which therefore I am induced to trouble the Board for instructions.

2. I think it right to inform the Board that until very lately Vencatasawmy was allowed to sell Brandy and other Liquors under Permits from the Collector, but Considering it contrary to the Regulations I have prohibitted the sale without a License although just at present he is permitted to sell small quantities of Brandy under special Certificates from the Zillah Surgeon in Consequence of the prevalence of Cholera.

Nellore,
Collector's Cutcherry,
15th April, 1852.

/Signed/ D. White
Collector

No. 1.

To,
D. White Esqr.
Collector of the Nellore and
Ongole Districts.

The humble Petition of Rajaula Vencata
Humbly Sheweth, sawmy Shopkeeper in the Town of Nellore.

1. That your humble Petitioner most respectfully

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

take the liberty in bringing to your honors notice that he has hitherto been protected by the different Collectors in allowing him to sell Beer, Wine, Brandy &c. and other Europe Articles in the Town of Nellore, for these 16 years.—

2. In the time of the late Mr. Smith your Petitioner had presented an English Petition requesting a License to sell Brandy on which that Gentleman made an Endorsement on it to sell that article on Chits as usual and which Petition was taken with other Papers and accounts on the 20th December 1851 by the Jamadar and Duffadar of your honors Cutcherry according to your honors orders.—

A Proclamation dated the 13th instant was published by Tom Tom on the 15th Instant prohibiting to sell all spirituous liquors unless a regular Cowle is obtained— therefore your poor and humble Petitioner takes the liberty to request your benevolent honor to be favored with a regular License that he may do so and to which effect your Petitioner has this day sent in his Gentoo arzee for your honors approval and sanction and for which act of charity and benevolence your Petitioner as in duty bound— Shall ever Pray

/Signed/ Rajeula Vencatasawmy

/True Copy/
/Signed/ D. White
Collector

To,

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

No. 30.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(32)

Bd. No. 790
From the Collector
of Salem ----- }

Proposing Certain Measures for the privilege of Selling Foreign Spirits

Sir,

1. Adverting to the instructions of your Board, relative to the withdrawal, at the close of the Current Fusly, of licenses under which Foreign Spirits are now sold at Cuddalore Salem

2. I have the honor to state, that after the best Consideration I can give the subject, it appears to me just, legal and desirable, that individuals soliciting of possessing the privilege of selling Brandy, and other Foreign Spirits, should pay for the privilege, and obtain from the local Collector the necessary License, renewable every Fusly, only on payment of a fee of twenty five (25) Rupees.

3. It should, I think, as is now the case, be stipulated that no less than one Bottle of Beer and three Bottles of Spirits be sold at a time and I would add that a formal conviction of any breach of those Conditions or of any part of the abkarry Regulation, would be held to cancel the License.

4. By the above arrangement, it will

be

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852.

be in the power of the Collector to grant Licenses only to persons of whose substance and respectability he is assured, and if during the Course of the Fusly, he has reason to believe, from his Magisterial proceedings or otherwise, that any one of the Licensed sellers has been guilty of misconduct, not amounting to actual breach of the specified Conditions or the Law, it will rest with him to decline to renew the License, without assigning reasons.

5. If my suggestions should be deemed worthy of adoption, I believe, the preparation of the License will rest with your Board under the provisions of Clause first, Section VI, Regulation I of 1820.

Salem District,
Darampoory,
31st. March, 1852

Bd. No. 1029
Proceedings thereon

(31-33)

(Signed) W. D. Phillips
Collector.

The Board are of opinion that it is the object of Regulation I of 1820 to Confine as much as possible the retail sale of spirituous liquors to the renters, and a System of Licenses for the sale of Europe liquors, and spirits would unless very Carefully supervised Certainly tend to interfere with the abkarry Rents.

There may however be instances

2.

in

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

in which a refusal to grant a License to sell Foreign Spirits would be a source of inconvenience to the Community and the Board are desirous as far as they can to meet the recommendations of the several Collectors on the Subject.

3. Under these Circumstances they desire that in those cases where the Collector may see reasonable grounds for so doing the privilege of selling Brandy, and other Foreign Spirits and fermented Liquors manufactured Westward of the Cape be not included in the arbitrary Farms rented under the orders of the Board of Revenue and in that case he will be at liberty to issue Licenses to respectable Shopkeepers or other persons whom he may consider fit.

4. No fee will for the present be demanded for such Licenses, but they are not to be issued in such number as to give any opening for illicit traffic.

5. The License should specify that it will be withdrawn whenever the Collector shall see reason to believe the privilege has been abused and the License is only to hold good for the Fasly.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract be furnished to the Collectors of Salem, Madura, Coimbatore and Nellore, for their information and guidance.

Rd

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 44.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(34)

Bd. No. 1052.

From the Collector of Tanjore Reporting upon the dismissal of the several Servants of the tomb of Meer Rajah Allikhan at Goorrumcondah and recommending their re-entertainment, also recommending the nomination of a Dhurmakurtah which Office has become vacant by the death of the late Meer Mahomed Allikhan.

Sir,

Para 1. I have had the honor to receive an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 15th of March last approving of my proposal to make a personal enquiry on the spot into the Complaints made against Meer Moorad Allikhan the Dhurmakurtah of the tomb of Meer Rajah Allikhan. also I have received petitions Nos. 491 and 621 of 1852 Complaining against the acts of the Dhurmakurtah, as well as your letter of the 1st Instant, transmitting a Counterstatement by Meer Moorad Allikhan, the Circumstances detailed in which I am directed to take into Consideration.

2. On my way to this part of the district I halted at Goorrumcondah for the purpose of personally visiting the spot regarding the right of way to which disputes have lately arisen, and of ascertaining by a viva voce examination more clearly than I have been able to do by Correspondence, the reasons which have induced Meer Moorad Allikhan to make such extensive changes as he has in the establishment of the tomb.

In

3. In my endorsements on Petitions Nos. 2537. and 2542 of 1851, I reported to the Board the result of the examinations I had instituted regarding the stoppage of a public road in the vicinity of the tomb by Meer Moorad Allikhan, and on my recommendation the Board were pleased to direct that the road should be re-opened. I am glad to be able to assure the Board that my former report upon this subject which was made on a perusal of the examination held by the Tahsildar is in every respect perfectly correct. I visited the tomb and saw where the old road had been on one side of the plat-form on which the tomb is built, and separated from it, when the road existed by a hedge - this hedge has been removed by Meer Moorad Allikhan, the space it occupied, as well as the road itself, converted into a plantain garden, and a range of sheds erected at one end to prevent any access. I also went round the paths by which alone the Petitioners have since been able to reach their Cultivation, and found that one was about six, and the other about eight times the length of the former road, and that in neither case could the lands be approached in any direction except by passing through the wet Cultivation of other parties.-

4. When in his address to the Board of the 20th of March - petition No. 663 of 1852. Meer

Moorad - Allikhan states that the former road was "a thoroughfare across the platform of the tomb." he does not put the case exactly in it's proper light - the old road as I have stated was on one side of the platform from which it was separated by a hedge, and when it was closed up, the only direct passage to the lands to which it led, was across the platform of the tomb and to that being made a thoroughfare Meer Moorad Allikhan might very properly object; but the Board will observe that his own act of closing the road, first led people to cross the platform, and that his objection to their doing that forced them to make a very wide Circuit partly through paddy Cultivation.-

5. The enclosure of the road and the formation of a private fruit garden are no doubt improvements to the tomb and a convenience to meer Moorad Allikhan, but they do not Counter-balance the general inconvenience that results from the stoppage of a public right of way. The only objection advanced to the existence of the road was that it passed so near the tomb that opportunities were afforded to theft but - on my desiring to be shewn the property about which anxiety was felt

felt I had pointed out to me nothing but large metal caldrans each of which would require eight or ten men to lift it. I did not consider the objections to the opening of the road as strong as were those to it's being shut.-

6. I found that Meer Moorad Alli Khan had not carried into effect the Board's orders for the reopening of the road which had been communicated to him immediately on their receipt, and as I found that the actual facts in every way supported the representation on which those orders were given, I informed Meer Moorad Alli Khan that I expected from him an immediate compliance with the Board's directions and the road was re-opened before I left Goorrumcondah.-

7. The greater number of the dismissed servants of the tomb, as well as of those who though still in the service, had suffered a loss of pay, appeared before me to Complain, and in the presence of them all, I called upon Meer Moorad Alli Khan to state his reasons for the dismissal of each, or for the stoppage of part of their salaries, and as this subject has occupied much of the Board's time I shall endeavor to

to have it set finally at rest by giving here an abstract of the statement made upon each individual case.-

1 Moorad Beg. 1st Darogah. 8. When Meer Moorad Alli Khan assumed charge of the tomb the 1st Darogah was Moorad Beg, a child, and as his Goomastah was one Syed Hariff.- on the death of the latter he was succeeded by his son Syed Abdool Hakeem Saib who was brother-in-law to Moorad Beg.- the Salary attached to the office was Rupees 24-1-0 which was divided in certain proportions between the Darogah and his Goomastah In the year 1849 both of them received their dismissal for which the following are stated to have been the reasons.- that the Goomastah refused to give to Meer Moorad Alli Khan a list of the property belonging to the tomb, that he persuaded the heirs of some deceased servants not to receive the amount of the balance of pay tendered to them by the Durmakurtah.- that he caused petitions against the Dhurmakurtah to be addressed to the Collector and the Board by some of the servants of the tomb.- and that in one of these petitions he stated that Meer Moorad Alli Khan &c &c &c &c

was

cs cs cs cs was intending to garrison the fort of Goorrumcondah and resist the British Government.-

9. Meer Moorad Alli Khan has informed the Board in his address of the 20th of March petition No. 663 of 1852, that this dismissal had the sanction of the Collector Mr. Cochrane, and the Board desire my particular attention to this, the assertion however is not borne out by fact- I find on record more than one urgent request from Meer Moorad Alli Khan for the Collector's direct sanction to his proceedings but he invariably received the reply that as the Board had declared that the affairs of the tomb and the control of its establishment were vested in him as Dhurma-Kurtah, the Collector would not interfere in any way whatever.-

10. The Office from which the Minor Moorad Beg was thus removed for the alleged faults of his Goomastah, was bestowed on Syed Boodeen, a relation of Meer Moorad Alli Khan, the salary being reduced to eight rupees per Mensem, Meer Moorad Alli Khan's intention being, as he states, to increase the pay in future.-

2 Abdool Kawder
2d Darogah

11. Abdool Khawder being the 2d Darogah when Meer Moorad Alli Khan took charge, was removed from his office for Combining with Syed Abdoola Hakeem Sahib in persuading the other servants to complain against the Dhurma Kurtah- and for having presented a petition himself to the Collector and when referred for

for redress by endorsement to Meer Moorad Alli Khan having proceeded in appeal to the Board of Revenue instead of going to Goorrumcondah. His place was supplied by Fakeer Sahib a relation of Meer Moorad Alli Khan and the pay of the office was reduced from Rupees 9-10-u to Rupees 6. per Mensem.-

3 Janakiram puntooloo Mootsuddie

12. Janakeeram puntooloo held the Office of Mootsuddie and was dismissed as not being acquainted with accounts, and because on some dispute with Meer Moorad Alli Khan about a deposition he had given in the Court, he said he would obtain an office under the Collector. His place was filled by the appointment of Balajee rao the salary being reduced from Rupees 12-u-6. to Rupees 11 per Mensem.-

4 Hafeez Sharafooddeen

13. Hafeez Sharafooddeen died shortly before Meer Moorad Alli Khan assumed charge of the tomb and his Office was granted not to his heir but to a relation of Meer Moorad Alli Khan, on a Salary reduced from four to three rupees a Month.-

5 Hafeez Syed Mohadeen.

14. Another Hafeez Syed Mohadeen who was in Office when Meer Moorad Alli Khan took charge was dismissed for joining the other Servants who Complained to the Collector against the Dhurma Kurtah and also for petitioning the Board. his place was filled by a

ca ca ca cir was intending to garrison the fort of Goorrumcondah and resist the British Government.-

9. Meer Moorad Alli Khan has informed the Board in his address of the 20th. of March, petition No. 663 of 1852, that this dismissal had the sanction of the Collector Mr. Cochrane, and the Board desire my particular attention to this, the assertion however is not borne out by fact- I find on record more than one urgent request from Meer Moorad Alli Khan for the Collector's direct sanction to his proceedings, but he invariably received the reply that as the Board had declared that the affairs of the tomb and the control of its establishment were vested in him as Dhurma=Kurtah, the Collector would not interfere in any way whatever.-

10. The Office from which the Minor Moorad Beg was thus removed for the alleged fault of his Goomastah, was bestowed on Syed Boodeen, a relation of Meer Moorad Alli Khan, the salary being reduced to eight rupees per Mensem, Meer Moorad Alli Khan's intention being, as he states, to increase the pay in future.-

2. Abdool Kawder 2d. Darogah

11. Abdool Khawder being the 2d. Darogah when Meer Moorad Alli Khan took charge, was removed from his office for Combining with Syed Abdool= Hakeem Sahib in persuading the other Servants to complain against the Dhurma Kurtah- and for having presented a petition himself to the Collector and when referred for

for redress by endorsement to Meer Moorad= Alli Khan having proceeded on appeal to the Board of Revenue instead of going to Goorrumcondah. His place was supplied by Fakeer Sahib a relation of Meer Moorad Alli Khan and the pay of the office was reduced from Rupees 9-10-0 to Rupees 6. per Mensem.-

3. Janakeram puntoloo Mootsaddie

12. Janakeram puntoloo held the Office of Mootsuddie and was dismissed as not being acquainted with accounts, and because on some dispute with Meer Moorad Alli Khan about a deposition he had given in the Court, he said he would obtain an office under the Collector. His place was filled by the appointment of Balajee rao the Salary being reduced from Rupees 12-0-0 to Rupees 11 per Mensem.-

4. Hafeez Sharafooddeen

13. Hafeez Sharafooddeen died shortly before Meer Moorad Alli Khan assumed charge of the tomb and his Office was granted not to his heir but to a relation of Meer Moorad Alli Khan, on a Salary reduced from four to three rupees a Month.-

5. Hafeez Syed Mohodeen.

14. Another Hafeez Syed Mohadeen who was in Office when Meer Moorad Alli Khan took charge was dismissed for joining the other Servants who Complained to the Collector against the Dhurma Kurtah and also for petition= =ing the Board. his place was filled by a

a relative of Meer Moorad Alli Khan.-

6. Hafeez Syed Ibrahim

15. A third Hafeez was Syed Ibrahim whose office was vacated by death before Meer Moorad Alli Khan was appointed his father's Goomastah - the Hafeez left a brother who was not however nominated to succeed him, in Consequence of his having taken part with Hakeem Saheb the Goomastah of Moorad Beg. The successor was one Emam Khan, who although sometimes resident at Goorrumcondah is also employed by Meer Moorad Alli Khan as his agent to transact his business in various ways and particularly in the Courts at Cuddapah.-

7. Seelar Mahammud Nagarchee.

16. Seelar Mahomed was the Nagarchee Jemadar, and is said by Moor Moorad Alli Khan to have left his service on being refused a loan of sixty Rupees on the appointment of a successor, the pay of the Office was reduced from Rupees 6-6-8- to Rupees 4. per Mensem.-

8. Sippoo Mustan Sundhun Ka Navaz.-

17. Sippoo Mustan was a drummer at the Mokbara and on his death his son Sheik Hoossain a child, was appointed to succeed him. the boy however was afterwards dismissed for having joined the above named Seelar Mahomed and on another man being nominated the pay was reduced from Rupees 4-4-2. to Rupees 3. per Mensem. Seelar Mahommud is said to be at Madras, while the boy who was dismissed for joining

joining him is at Goorrumcondah.-

9 Mahommud Omer Damany

18. Mahommud Omer was a Damany at the Mokbara on a Salary of Rupees 4-4-2. per Mensem and having left the "institution" with other dismissed Servants, afterwards returned and was nominated to a Kurnachees Office on the reduced pay of three Rupees. Canul Mahommud the Kurnachee or fifer whose Office he obtained was dismissed because some property belonging to Balajee Rao the new Mootsuddie was missing from the tomb over which this fifer had been directed to mount guard.-

10 Canal Mahommud Kurnachee

11 Sheik Madar Surnachee

19. Shaik Madar held a Nagarchee's place and is still in Office on a Salary reduced by five Annas a Month.-

12 Sheik Goodoo Jhanjee.-

20. Sheik Goodoo received on Meer Moorad Alli Khan's accession to the Management of the tomb Rupees 3-3-4. per Mensem as a Cymbal player but was transferred to a drummer's Office, being himself succeeded by his son on a reduced Salary of 2 Rupees.-

13 Balajee
14 Sheik Mohadeen Ghadiarchy

21. Balajee and Sheik Mohadeen received each per Mensem Rupees 3-3-4. for striking the Ghurry, and having been dismissed for uniting with Hakeem Saheb in petitioning against Meer Moorad Alli Khan were subsequently restored on the reduced pay of 2 Rupees.-

15 Sheik Jamall Abadar.-

22. The Abdar's Office was filled by Sheik Jamall with the pay of Rupees 2-6-6- a Month. on his

his death his Grand son was nominated his
successor and the pay reduced to 2 Rupees.-

16 Sheik Ahmud Farash. 23. Sheik Ahmud was a Farash on a Monthly Salary of Rupees 2-6-6 and being dismissed for object-ing to mount guard over the tomb, his successor's pay was reduced to two Rupees.-

17. Fakeer Ahmud Daloyet.. 24. On the death of Fakeer Mahomed a Daloyet, whose Monthly pay was Rupees 2-6-6 no successor was appointed, but 9 annas and 6 piece of the salary were given to another servant Sheik Beram holding the office of Moozavoor to perform the Daloyet's duty, and the remainder was retained by the Dhurma Kurtah.-

18 Mohodeen Padooshaw Pesh Emam 25 Mohadeen Padooshaw, was, when the affairs of the Mokbara were first taken in charge by Meer Moorad Alikhan, the Pesh Emam and drew Rupees 8-4-4 a Month as his salary. being on one occasion absent without leave, he was dismissed from his office, the pay was reduced to Rupees 4 per Mensem and the son of Meer Moorad Alikhan's former tutor was brought from Mysore to fill the vacant office.-

19 Mahommud abdoolla Moovazeen 26. On the death of Mahomed Abdoola the Mowazeen whose Monthly pay was Rupees 4-4-2. his son was put into another office and a relation of Meer Moorad Alikhan succeeded to the vacancy the pay being reduced to 3 Rupees.-

20 Goolam Hyder Moodaris 27. The duties of the Moodaris Goolam Hyder whose Monthly pay was Rupees 4-4-2 were performed by his Goomastah who complained on one occasion to Meer Moorad Alikhan that his pay was insufficient on which Goolam Hyder was dismissed from office as not qualified, and his Goomastah succeeded him on pay reduced to Rupees 3½ per Mensem.-

21 Vencatramoo doo Maleo Duffa dar.. 28. Vencutramoodoo who was head gardener was dismissed for inattention to his work, the pay being at the same time reduced from

Rupees.

22 Soobbadoo Maulee, or Gardener. Rupees 3-3-4 to Rupees 2 per mensem. The gardener Soobbadoo was also dismissed because it was reported to Meer Moorad alli Khan that he had accompanied to Madras those servants who went to petition the Board against him, and on the appointment of a successor they pay was reduced from Rupees 2-10-10 to Rupees 2 per mensem and finally the gardener Kristnah was dismissed for inattention, but restored with pay reduced as in the former case.-

23 Kristnah Maulee, or Gardener.

29. The Board have now before them in detail the reasons assigned by Meer Moorad allikhan for the extensive changes he was made in the establishment of the Goorumcondah Mokbara since his nomination as his father's Goomastah in 1842. these reasons are in my opinion very generally quite insufficient to support the acts which have led to the presentation of so many complaints against Meer Moorad allikhan, and it is very difficult to forbear the conviction that the reasons assigned are not those which actually weighed with Meer Moorad Allikhan. In many instances we find that the dismissal of an old servant was immediately followed by the appointment

to

to the office of one of Meer Moorad alli Khan's own relations or dependants, and in every case without exception it appears, that when a vacancy was made, advantage was taken of the opportunity to reduce the salary of the office – some of the servants having been dismissed and almost immediately re-appointed, apparently for no other purpose than to make new arrangements regarding their pay.

30. When Meer Moorad alli Khan was asked how the money thus deducted from the pay of the Establishment had been expended and whether he could furnish any accounts respecting it, he informed me that a part had been spent on the institution, and a part on his private account but that he had no accounts to shew, though he could prepare them if it were thought necessary, this however I did not desire, as accounts prepared for the occasion would have been very little satisfactory.

31. I recommend that Moorad beg No. 1 Abdool Kawder No. 2, Syed Mohadeen No. 5 Seik Mahommad No. 7, Liffoo Mustan No. 8 Mahommad Oommer No. 9, Sheik ahmud No. 16 Mahommad Padshaw

Padshaw No. 18, Goolam Kyder No. 20 Venkatramoodoo No. 21, and Soobbadoo No. 22, be restored to the offices from which they have been removed, with the full pay they formerly enjoyed, and that Moorad Beg No. 1, be allowed to nominate his own Goomastah to perform his duty until he comes of age – Further I recommend that the heirs of Sharafoodeen No. 4 of Syed Ibrahim No. 6, of Fakeer Mahommad No. 17, and of Mahommad abdoola No. 19. be offered succession to the offices hitherto held by their families, and to which strangers have been lately appointed and that the salaries of Sheik Madar No. 11 of Sheik Goodoo No. 12, of Balajee No. 13, of Sheik Mohadeen No. 14 of Sheik Jamall No. 15 and of Soobbadoo No. 22. be again paid to them in full.

32 The Board will observe that I have made no exception in recommending the restoration of the dismissed servants although some were stated to have been removed for inattention or absence without leave – and this is partly because I do not put implicit faith in the causes assigned for their dismissal, but attribute it in great measure to a desire to reduce their salaries to effect which with those who objected

there

there were no other means but dismissal and partly because I consider the cause assigned inadequate even if it were true. I am not accustomed in my own office to visit little inattentions with dismissal and am not inclined to sanction in Meer Moorad allikhan, a practice which borders on oppression.

33 Jamakeerampuntoolo No. 3 Sundrastand has left the district, and has not improbably found employment elsewere, but although I do not press for his restoration I consider it essential to the future well being of all who are connected with the Mokbara, that the present Mootsuddy Balajee Rao should be removed from office, and not be again re-employed in the institution. he has been a most evil adviser to Meer Moorad allikhan particularly in his management of the villages granted for the support of the Mokbara and as the Board have been informed in my report upon the petition of Immungunnah the Curnum Meerassedar of Cherlopully Nos. 1131 & 1132 of 1850 has for the sake of his own personal advantage led Meer Moorad allikhan into acts of great injustice.

34. The office of Durmakurtah of the tomb

tomb at Goorrumcondah is now vacant by the death of the late Meer Mahomed allikhan and however fit from his birth and position his son may be to succeed him, I think that his acts as detailed above during the time he exercised authority, as the Goomastah to his father, shew very clearly that he should not for some time at least, be trusted with full and unrestrained power. I would propose for the Boards approval that Meer Moorad allikhan be nominated the Dhurmakurtah of the tomb that for the space of two years following his nomination he be not authorized to make any change whatever in the Servants attached to the institution nor in their salaries without the previous sanction of the Collector who shall at the expiration of that period report to the Board his opinion as to the necessity for the continuance of the restriction.

35. In this arrangement it may at first sight appear that a more direct control will be exercised by the Collector than may be considered desirable - but if appeals from the unjust acts of the Dhurmakurtah are to lie to the Collector and

the

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

the Board, if inquiries into his conduct of affairs such as I have lately made are to be entered into, and the wrongs he has done are to be redressed, it may perhaps on consideration appear that the interference which prevents evil is not more direct than that which redresses it while its advantages are manifestly greater. It may also appear that when the Collector's sanction has to be obtained before any change can be made application for such sanction will be made only in cases which are likely to obtain it, so that in point of fact interference will actually be less exercised than it has been in the many cases which have lately come for investigation on appeal as all are appeals from acts which would never have been done had the Collector been referred to before any change was made.

38. I am unwilling to refuse to Meer Moorad Alikhan the succession to the office which his father held on office which he earnestly desires, but I am still more unwilling to recommend that the servants of the Mokhara should be in future subjected to a continuance of the many wrongs they certainly have suffered at his hands, and I do not at present see any other means of preserving the management to him, while the servants are protected from oppression, than the arrangement I have above proposed.

Cuddapah District.....
Collector's Office, on.....
Circuit, Veilpaud.....
30th April 1852.....

/Signed/ H. Forbes
Collector.

Under

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Bd. No. 1037.
Proceedings thereon.—
34-35

Under the circumstances repre-
sented in the foregoing report the Board of
Revenue sanction the restoration of the several
servants of Nos. 1-2-5-7-8-9-16-18-20-21
and 22, enumerated in Para 31, to the respective
offices from which they were removed and would
allow No. 1 to nominate a fit Gomastah as recommend-
-ed by the Collector. The Board also concur in that
Officers' proposal to offer to the heirs of Nos. 4-
6-17- and 19 succession to the offices held by
their families provided they have been
hitherto treated as hereditary and they authori-
-ze the removal of Balajee Row from the
office.—

2. The Board think that as a general rule, the former rates of pay should be adhered to but some of them may require or admit of modification with reference to the resources, and other necessary expenses of the institution. They prefer therefore to leave this point to the discretion of the Collector.—

3. The Board have not usually interfered with the control exercised by the Managers of institutions falling under Regulation VII/1817, in the removal of the subordi-
-nate servants attached to such Institu-
-tutions, but the present seems to them to

0318 Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

1852. and calling for their intervention to put down the oppression practised on the lower servants by their Principal, Meer Moorad Ali Khan, who has failed to show satisfactory grounds for his unjust Proceedings.—

4. The Board are prepared to give Meer Moorad Ali Khan an opportunity of retrieving his misconduct by nominating him to the Durmacurtha ship on the conditions proposed, provided no other member of the Family has a better claim to succeed to the charge or who would be likely to conduct the duties more satisfactorily and they would wish the Collector to inform them on this point before coming to any final determination regarding the Trusteeship now vacant.—

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 663 of 1852

"The Petitioner is referred to the Collector to whom orders have been issued. The explanation of his conduct towards the Servants of the Mokbarah is far from satisfactory."

Petitions No. 491 and 621 of 1852

"The Petitioner is referred to

0319 Fort St. George [illegible] 1852.

Collector to whom orders respecting the appointment of Durmacurtha have been issued."—

No. 49.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

36

B. No. 1155.

From the Collector of Cuddapah ——— }

Sir,

As instructed in the Board's Proceedings of the 20th of November last, I have the honor to report that I have completed the Settlement of Cuddapah for the Current Fusly.—

Of the eleven Talooks which comprise the Collectors' division of the District the settlement was made by myself in nine and I was throughout accompanied by Appoo Raothe Head Sheristadar.—

It is hardly necessary for me to say how much assistance I derived from his long experience in the Province, and his Complete acquaintance with its revenue system but with reference to the peculiar circumstances under which this report has been called for, I should add that in the conduct of this settlement and in the determination of the Various

Fort St. George 31th May 1852.

Various questions which arose in its course, I have no reason whatever to suppose that his conduct was not perfectly honest, or that his opinions were not conscientiously given.—

Cuddapah District, Coll.r's Office on Circuit, Muddenpully 13th May 1852 } /Signed/ H. Forbes Collector.—

Order thereon— Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

(36·37)

B.d N.o 1031.

To the Chief Secy.to Government——— }

No. 218.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government, a letter from the Collector of Cuddapah dated 13th May 1851. reporting in conformity with the instructions contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 18th November 1851, upon the Conduct of his Head Serishtadar Appoo Row.—

In Cons.n 31st May 1852. & Cons.n 20th Nov.r 1851.

2. Mr. Forbes states that he settled 9 out of the 11 Talooks in the Collectors division, and was accompanied throughout by Appoo Row. He acknowledges to have derived much assistance from the long experience of the

Fort St. George 31th May 1852.

the Serishtadar in the Province and his complete acquaintance with its revenue system. and he adds that in the duties of the settlement and in the determination of the various questions which arose in its course he has no reason whatever to suppose that Appoo Rows conduct was not perfectly honest, or that his opinions were not conscientiously given.—

3. With reference to this report and to the difficulty which the Board before stated that they found in effecting an exchange of Serishtadars, they would wish to express their opinion that, if it be consistent with the views of Government to permit Appa Row to remain in his present office, it would in many respects be conducive to the public interests.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 31st May 1852—— } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

Read the following

Register.

Register.

6324

Bd. No. 1188.

38

North Arcot

from the year 1806 to 1851.—

1	2 Description.	Name.	Rent fixed at the time of Grant.	Stipulation reserved to Government	Residue enjoyed by the Grantee	Remarks.
			9	10	11	12
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
Revenue Department.		Seerungapully Talook.	644 14 8	" "	644 14 8	The present incumbent Soobaramapah Naid Succeeded his father Shashama Naid who died on 28th June 1849 as reported in the last register for 1848.—
		Elopatundalum Paruk Talook.	1559 1 3	500 " "	1059 1 3	Needs no remark.—
		Vengadatoor Talook	3020 8 "	775 11 "	2244 13 "	This Village will revert to Government on the death of the present incumbent Cavoobyee Vide Minutes Consultation October 24th 1850 which accompanied the Board's Proceedings November 7th 1850.
		Valavanoor Talook	2301 13 11	226 " "	2078 13 11	The Jodee was fixed as the above sum by order of Government dated 21st November 1828. The present incumbent succeeded his father the Original Grantee.—
Military Department.		Casabah Paruk	131 4 "	" " "	131 4 "	On the death of Subadar Mohomed Ghouse his son Mohomed Dauday Said succeeded him and at his death his daughter Hanecpah the present incumbent succeeded in accordance to the instructions conveyed in the Board's letter dated 5th November 1846.—

Fort St. Geo

Description	Name of the Villages and Talooks.	Extra Village or extent of Land	Persons to whom granted.	Date of the Grants.	Perpetual or Tempo-rary	Remarks.	of the units
1	2	3	4	5	6	12.	
Military Department / Cont.d /	Casbah Caveri-peauk	" 39 15/12	Batty Subadar Ismail Cawn	Sanctioned by Government 5th April 1834	For his own Life and that of his daughter Khateejah Khatoo.	Subadar Ismail Cawn on the 13th January 1810 is daughter Khateejah too succeeded to the em —	er from of Revenue il 1806. — ned by nt 22d 36. and mud the 2d De- of the ear —
	Mahemundalum and Degoovapully of Tervellum Talook	" 30 "	To the family of the late Subadar Chegeinsing of the 2d Battalion 4th Regiment N. I.	Do. in the 27th September 1819 and Board's letter dated 30th September of the same year.	Granted for 3 lives	no remark. —	
	Keelamanoor of Vellore Talook	" 474	Syed Cassim Subadar of the 4th Regiment N. I.	Do. in the 10th July 1807.	Granted for his own life and that of his descendants	mud was issued by the tor Mr. Ross on the 5th ber 1807 stating the em to be granted to badar and his discendants	
	Chanarumpauk of Do.	" 50 "	For the family of Ameen Oodeen	Do. in the 8th June 1805.	To the family of ameen Oodeen	is no remark. —	Circ

of the units

er from of Revenue il 1806. — ned by nt 22d 36. and mud the 2d De- of the ear —

Circ

ed.

Fort St. Geo

Military Department / Cont.d

Description	Name of the Villages and Talooks.	Extra Village or extent of Land	Persons to whom granted.	Date of the Grants.	Perpetual or Tempo-rary	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	12.
	Casbah Caveri-pauk	" 39 15/12	Batty Subadar Ismail Cawn	Sanctioned by Government 5th April 1831.	For his own Life and that of his daughter Khateejah Khatoon.	Subadar Ismail Cawn on the 13th January 1810 is daughter Khateejah to succeeded to the em.
	Mahenmundalum and Degoovapully of Perveliam Talook	" 30 "	To the family of the late Subadar Chergeinsing of the 2d Battalion 4th Regiment N. I.	Sanctioned by Government 27th September 1819. in Board's letter dated 30th September of the same year.	granted for 3 lives	s no remark.
	Keelamanoor of Vellore Talook	" 474	Syed Causim Subadar of the 4th Regiment N. I.	Do. in the 10th July 1807.	granted for his own life and that of his descendants	nad was issued by the tor Mr. Ross on the 5th ber 1807 stating the em to be granted to badar and his discendants
	Chanarumpauk of Do.	" 50 "	For the family of Ameen Oadeen	Do. in the 8th June 1805.	To the family of ameen Oodeen	s no remark.

of the
nts
er from
of Revenue
l 1806.
ned by
nt 22d
36. and
nued
th De-
of the
ear.

Circ

Fort St. George 31th May 1852.—

Military Department List No. 18

Description	Perpetual or Temporary.	Reasons for which the Grants were made.	Present Incumbent and relationship to the original Grantee.—	Berij fixed at the time of Grant.		Shrotriem rent reserved to Government	Residue enjoyed by the Grantee	Remarks.
1	6	7	8	9		10	11	12
	Not known—	Not known	His son Hayee Mohadeen Karshee	333	10 "	" "	333 10"	The sunnud issued by the Collector Mr. Ross on the 8th June 1806 states that the land is granted to meer suddeer Ghoolam ally and his family as per instruction conveyed in letter from the Board of Revenue under date the 9th April 1806 which letter is not in the records of this Cutcherry.
	Granted to him and his heirs.————	For Long and faithful Service—	1 Goolam Mootooza son 1 Goolam Mohomed Do— 1 Goolam Mohadeen Do 1 Goolam Nabee Do— 1 Jamal Beebee Daughter 1 Lakeenah Beebee Fourth wife 6	1841	5 8	954 13 2	886 8 6	Forty Cawnies of Punjah land was granted to the Subadar Mohomed Sarvar on Shrotriem tenure free from Jodee in the Villages of Vey poor and Herasut poor in lieu of which this Village was granted on Shrotriem tenure at his own request on the 22d March 1836— On his decease in the year 1845 the Shrotriem was registered in the name of his eldest son Goolam Mootooza on the 24th April 1846— that registry was cancelled, and the Village was registered in the joint names of the 6 persons named in Col: 8 under the orders of Government in Extract Minutes Consultation Revenue Department No. 411. 24th April 1849.—
				14,055 8 6		2,458 6 2	11,597 2 4	

Cont. 18/

Cutcherry Palmanair
18th May 1852— } E. E. Do

/Signed/ H. A. Brett.
Acting Collector.

Ordered.

6327 Fort St. George 31st May 1852.—

Order thereon:— Ordered to be recorded.—

38-39

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 29th July and entered in Consultation 7th August 1851.—

40

Bd. No. 1038.

Proceedings thereon.— The Collector is requested to furnish a List of the particulars of the "Land Revenue" Sewaye Jumma balances amounting to Rupees 23,886-3-5. at the close of Fusly 1259. as per Abstract annexed to Para 2 of the foregoing letter. If any sums have since been recovered Mr. Phillips will submit a revised Abstract shewing the exact balances outstanding on the 31st May 1852.—

Read.

H. D.

6328 Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

Read the following letters.

No. 55.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

41

Bd. No. 1211.
From the Collector of Salem—
29th May 1852.
& From the Court of Sudr Adalut
12th May 1852.

Sir, I have the honor to annex a Copy of a letter to my address and of its enclosure from the Subordinate Judge of Salem, and request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for my remitting to the Subordinate Court the sum of Rupees Fifteen (15) being the amount repayable to the Appellant in Appeal Suit No. 34 of 1851.

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, 29th May, 1852.—

Signed A. D. Phillips
Collector.

Salem, 31st May, 1852.—

To, A. D. Phillips Esqre
Collector of
Salem.

Sir, With reference to the accompanying Copy of a letter dated 12th Instant from the Court of Sudr Adalut, I have the honor to request you will be pleased to transmit to

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

to this Court the sum of 15 out of 16 Rupees paid on the Appeal Petition No. 34 of 1851 which is herewith forwarded, and which I beg may be returned when no longer required.

Salem. Subordinate Court, 20th May, 1852. } Signed Robt. R. Cotton
Subordinate Judge.

To.
R. R Cotton Esqre
Subordinate Judge of Salem.

Sir.

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to sanction the refund of Stamp Duty applied for in your letter of the 27th Ultimo Viz. (15) Fifteen Rupees to the Appellant in Appeal Suit No. 34 of 1851 on the file of your Court.

Sudr Udalut, Registers Office, 12th May, 1852. } Signed T. Lushington
Register, Sudr Udalut.

True Copy
Signed Robt R. Cotton
Subordinate Judge

True Copies
Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

B. No. 1047.
Proceedings thereon.
(41-42)

No. 222.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for the refund of Rupees (15) Fifteen to the appellant in appeal suit No. 34 of 1851 of the Subordinate Court of Salem ordered to be returned by the Sudr Udalut.

---

(43)

To.
H. D Phillips Esqre
Collector of Salem.

B. No. 1203.
From the Collector of Salem. } Sir,

Circumstances having arisen which render it extremely inconvenient that I should return to the District of Salem at the expiration of the 5 days leave granted me on the 14th Instant I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to forward thro' the usual channels for the favorable consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council my request for a further indulgence of 25 days leave on private affairs to remain at Chittoor under section XI amended of the absents Rules.

Trusting

Fort St. George, 31st May 1852.

Trusting that this application may be supported by your approval -

Chittoor, 24th May, 1852. } /Signed/ J. R. Flindersley -
Acting Head Assistant Collector -

The Collector of Salem in submitting this application for orders by the Board of Revenue begs to recommend that the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Flindersley's request may be acceded to -

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, 27th May, 1852. } /Signed/ H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government -

(43-44)

Read the following letter -

(45)

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 927 -
From the Collector of South Arcot - } Sir,

In acknowledging your letter of the 14th Instant, I have the honor to explain that although low prices have caused some difficulty in the Land revenue Collections there

Fort St. George, 31st May 1852.

there has not been actually the decrease which the Board were led to suppose from the Demand Collection and Balance Statements for February, as this statement is framed upon the sums received into the Hoozoor Treasury within the Month it did not include some remittances which happened on this occasion to reach the Treasury after the Month had closed -

	Rs	a	
Fusly 1260 -	11,22,443	4	
1261 -	11,18,482	6	
Decrease -	3,960	14	-

The marginal Memorandum shews the actual Collections on account of Land Revenue up to the end of February in the past and the present year -

With respect to the decline of Rupees 11,236 - 14 - 4 in the Sea Customs I beg to observe that it arose from a falling off in the exports of Indigo, Oils, &c. to Europe in consequence of an unfavorable state of trade - This deficiency has been since partly made good and there are signs of greater activity in the Demand for Indigo but as the Markets may again alter it is impossible to foresee what the result of the entire fusly will be to this branch of Revenue -

South Arcot - Collector's Cutcherry, Trunnargoody 16th April, 1852 - } /Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector
Ordered -

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

Trusting that this application may be supported by your approval.

Chittoor, 24th May, 1852. } /Signed/ J. R. Hindersley.
Acting Head Assistant Collector.

The Collector of Salem, in submitting this application for orders by the Board of Revenue begs to recommend that the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Hindersley's request may be acceded to.

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, 27th May, 1852. } /Signed/ H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Order thereon.

(43-44) Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read the following letter.

(45)

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 927.
From the Collector of South Arcot. } Sir,

In acknowledging your letter of the 14th Instant, I have the honor to explain that although low prices have caused some difficulty in the Land revenue Collections there

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

there has not been actually the decrease which the Board were led to suppose from the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for February, as this statement is framed upon the sums received into the Hooyoor Treasury within the Month it did not include some remittances which happened on this occasion to reach the Treasury after the Month had closed.

	Rs	a	
Fusly 1260	11,22,443	4	
1261	11,18,482	6	
Decrease	3,960	14	

The marginal Memorandum shews the actual Collections on account of Land Revenue up to the end of February in the past and the present year.

With respect to the decline of Rupees 11,236-14-4 in the Sea Customs I beg to observe that it arose from a falling off in the exports of Indigo, Oils, &c. to Europe in consequence of an unfavorable state of trade. This deficiency has been since partly made good and there are signs of greater activity in the Demand for Indigo but as the Markets may again alter it is impossible to foresee what the result of the entire fusly will be to this branch of Revenue.

South Arcot. Collector's Cutcherry, Munnargoody, 16th April, 1852. } /Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector.

Ordered.

Fort St. George, 31st May 1852-

Trusting that this application may be supported by your approval-

Chittoor, 24th May, 1852- } /Signed/ J. R. Hindersley-
Acting Head Assistant Collector-

The Collector of Salem, in submitting this application for orders by the Board of Revenue begs to recommend that the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Hinderslay's request may be acceded to-

Salem. Collector's Cutcherry, 27th May, 1852- } /Signed/ H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Order thereon.

(43-44)

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government-

---

(45)

Read the following letter-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 927-

From the Collector of South Arcot- } Sir,

In acknowledging your letter of the 14th Instant, I have the honor to explain that although low prices have caused some difficulty in the land revenue collections there

Fort St. George, 31st May 1852-

there has not been actually the decrease which the Board were led to suppose from the Demand Collection and Balance Statements for February, as this statement is framed upon the sums received into the Hoozoor Treasury within the Month it did not include some remittances which happened on this occasion to reach the Treasury after the Month had closed-

	Rs	a	
Fusly 1260-	11,22,443	4	The Marginal Memorandum
1261-	11,18,482	6	shews the actual Collections on
Decrease-	3,960	14	account of Land Revenue up

to the end of February in the past and the present year-

With respect to the decline of Rupees 11,236-14-4 in the Sea Customs I beg to observe that it arose from a falling off in the exports of Indigo, Oils, &c. to Europe in consequence of an unfavorable state of trade- This deficiency has been since partly made good and there are signs of greater activity in the Demand for Indigo but as the Markets may again alter it is impossible to foresee what the result of the entire fusly will be to this branch of Revenue-

South Arcot- Collector's Cutcherry, Jinnargoody, 16th April, 1852- } /Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector

Ordered-

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(45-46)

To. The Collector of South Arcot.

B. No. 1057.

To the Collector of South Arcot.

Calling for certain information respecting the Demand Collection and Balance Statements of the District of South Arcot for February 1852.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th April 1852 explaining the cause of the decrease in certain items in your Demand Collection and Balance Statements of February 1852, and to request that you will afford information on the following points.

1st Whether the Comparative Statement in the Margin of para 1 of your letter exhibits the sums received into the Hoozoor Treasury within the Month and those received after the Month had closed for Fusly 1260. as well as for Fusly 1261. for if both totals do not contain similar items the Comparison can hardly be deemed a correct one.

2d Whether the Rupees 1118482—0—" noted in the Margin of that letter as "the actual Collections" up to the end of February is given according to the "Collection Reports" from Tahsildars.

or

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

or whether it is the total of the sum entered in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for February together with the Collections stated to have been received for the Month subsequent to its close.

It is observed that the amount of actual Collections noticed above exceeds the Hoozoor Treasury Receipts entered in the Demand Collection and Balance Sheets for February for more than one fourth.

Actual Collections Rs 1118482-0-" Collections entered in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for February — — } 771852—"—"

Difference 346630—0—"

Taking also the actual Receipts to the end of February at Rupees 1118482—0—" and comparing them with the amount said to have been collected to the end of March it would seem that

Actual Collections for February — — } 1118482—"—" Collections exhibited in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for March. — — } 1149850—"—"

Difference Rs. 31368—"—"

the actual collections during March were but Rupees 31368—"—" whilst the Kists due for that Month Amounted to Four Lacs of Rupees. If such be the case it should be explained

why

why so small a portion of the Trusts due was collected during that Months-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May, 1852. } Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary-

Read the following letters-
No. 84-

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(47)

B. No. 1008.

From the Collector of Madura- } Sir,

In explanation of the difference between the Salt Statements A. B. C D. submitted for the quarter ending 30th September 1851 which was pointed out in the Board's Proceedings of the 18th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that while the 2 former exhibit the actual receipts and Expenditures of Salt at the Depots, the 2 latter have been supposed to be intended to include only perfect Heaps-

Any portions of Heaps received in a quarter would not be entered in Statement C among the receipts of that quarter, but in those of the next- when the Heaps would be

Explaining the discrepancy in the Statement of Salt for the Quarter ending 30th September 1851-

be completed- The Sales would be similarly entered in Statement D- The receipts and sales in any quarter as entered in the accounts C. and D would therefore exceed or fall short of the actual receipts and sales in the proportion borne by the Quantity of Salt obtained from the quarter preceding to that left to the quarter succeeding in Receipts or Sales as the case may be-

No Salt in Pans not received into Store is included in Statement C-

The entry in Column 10 of Statement C is the Contents of full Heaps in which there was no wastage which were necessarily very few-

The only reason I can obtain of this System of entry is that for many years it has been Customary- After receipt of sanction for wastage such wastage and the Heaps to which it relates are entered in Column 10 of Statement C and not before-

I have the honor to subjoin a Statement in further elucidation of the difference and shall be obliged by

Fort St. George, 31st May 1852.

by instructions for my future guidance.

Madura, 24th April, 1852.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Statement

		Maunds. S.
1.	Balance quantity of Salt including wastage, as entered in Statements A and B	370829.38
	Deduct.	
2.	the imperfect Heaps not included in the Balance entered in Statement C	9,070.20
3.	Balance	361259.16
4	Balance as entered in Statement C	609600 "
	Excess above Item 3	242340.24
	Particulars thereof.	
5.	Quantity of Salt sold which Composed the Heaps that proved deficient when measured out for sale	236478.24
6.	Quantity of Salt sold which belongs to the Heaps in the course of Sales	5862. "
		242340.24

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Proceedings

Fort St. George, 31st May 1852.

B. No. 1043.

Proceedings thereon.

(47-48)

Mr. Parker observes that Statements A and B exhibit the actual receipts and expenditure of Salt at the Depots, and C and D only perfect heaps, portions of any not being accounted for until they are complete, so that necessarily the two latter Statements falling short as they do of the actual quantity received, and sold, remaining on hand, cannot tally with the two first which exhibit the gross Salt transactions.

He also says that the entry in Col. 10 of Statement C is the contents of full heaps in which there was no wastage, and that the actual wastage as given in Statement D such wastage will be entered in Columns 10 [11] of Statement C and not before.

This method of filling up these Returns renders them incomplete and fails to shew the actual sales in each. Truly, the Collector will therefore be good enough to insert in future in Statement C the quantity of full heaps of Salt disposed of, and of wastage and surplus in Columns 10. 11 and 13 respectively; as also in a foot-note on this and in Statement D the receipts and sales of portions of heaps in

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

in order that they may correspond with A.

No. 93.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(49)

B. No. 1148.

From the Collector of Madura. } Sir,

1. Under the authority granted to me by the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Ultimo, I this day put up the Kullamonthoy Mootah to sale for arrears of Peishcush to the end of Fasly 1260 amounting to Rupees 1459-7-7 and bought it in on account of Government for the sum of ten thousand Rupees.

2. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th January last, I request sanction for crediting the account of Peishcush, and debiting the Head of "Sales and Purchase of Lands" with this amount and writing off the balance of 9459-7-7 to Profit and Loss.

3. One Person made a few bids under a misapprehension of the advertisement.

Madura, 10th May, 1852. } /Signed/ R. D. Parker

Collector.

B. No. 1026.

Proceedings thereon. 1. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honble the Governor in council with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

(49-50)

To Govt. 18th June 1852.
From Govt. 10th in Cons. 19th February 1852.

The

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

2. The Board recommend that the sale of the Kulla Munitha Mootah which was purchased on account of Government for Rupees 1000 may be confirmed and that sanction be granted for crediting the account of Peishcush and debiting that of "Sales and Purchase of Lands" with the Amount, and the Collector authorized to write off to Profit and Loss the balance of the arrears of Peishcush Amounting to Rupees 9459-7-7 Nine thousand four hundred and fifty-nine, annas seven and pice seven.

No. 95.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(51)

B. No. 1146.

From the Collector of Madura. } Sir,

3d May 1852.

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Sub Collector from which the Board will perceive that Comara Sepa Pilley the Manager of the Dindigul Devastanum has paid the sums awarded against him and taken charge of the Institution. No further Orders from the Board therefore are now requisite.

The payment and assumption

2. of the charge took place before the date of my letter. I trusted to information obtained

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

in order that they may correspond with A.

No. 93.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(49)

B. No. 1128.
From the Collector of Madura. } Sir,

1. Under the authority granted to me by the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Ultimo, I this day put up the Kullannoothoy Mootah to sale for arrears of Peishcush to the end of Fusly 1260 amounting to Rupees 9459-7-7 and bought it in on account of Government for the sum of ten thousand Rupees.

2. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th January last, I request sanction for crediting the account of Peishcush, and debiting the Head of "Sales and Purchase of Lands" with this amount and writing off the balance of 9459-7-7 to Profit and Loss.

3. One Person made a few bids under a misapprehension of the advertisement.

Madura, 10th May, 1852. } Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector.

B. No. 1020.
Proceedings thereon. 1. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

(49-50)

To Govt. 15th Jany 1852.
From Govt. 10th in Cons. 19th February 1852.

The

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

2. The Board recommend that the sale of the Kulla Kunitha Mootah which was purchased on account of Government for Rupees 10000 may be confirmed and that sanction be granted for crediting the account of Peishcush and debiting that of "Sales and Purchase of Lands" with the Amount, and the Collector authorized to write off to Profit and Loss the balance of the arrears of Peishcush Amounting to Rupees (9459-7-7) Nine thousand four hundred and fifty-nine, annas seven and pice seven.

No. 95.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(51)

B. No. 1126.
From the Collector of Madura. } Sir,

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Sub Collector from which the Board will perceive that Comara Kapa Pillay the Manager of the Dindigul Devastanum has paid the sums awarded against him and taken charge of the Institution. No further Orders from the Board therefore are now requisite.

3 May 1852.

2. The payment and assumption of the charge took place before the date of my letter. Trusted to information obtained

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

in order that they may correspond with A.

No. 93.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(49)

B. No. 1148.
From the Collector of Madura. — 3

Sir,

1. Under the authority granted to me by the Board's Proceedings of the 1st Ultimo, I this day put up the Kullamonthoy Mootah to sale for arrears of Peishcush to the end of Fusly 1260 amounting to Rupees 9459-7-7 and bought it in on account of Government for the sum of ten thousand Rupees.

2. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th January last, I requested sanction for crediting the account of Peishcush, and debiting the Head of "Sales and Purchase of Lands" with this amount and writing off the balance of 9459-7-7 to Profit and Loss.

3. One Person made a few bids under a misapprehension of the advertisement.

Madura, 10th May, 1852. } /Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

B. No. 1020.
Proceedings thereon. 1. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

(49-50)

To Govt. 15th Jany 1852
From Govt 10th in Cons 19th February 1852.

The

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

2. The Board recommend that the sale of the Kulla Mountha Mootah which was purchased on account of Government for Rupees 10000 may be confirmed and that sanction be granted for crediting the account of Peishcush and debiting that of "Sales and Purchase of Lands" with the amount, and the Collector authorized to write off to Profit and Loss the balance of the arrears of Peishcush amounting to Rupees (9459-7-7) Nine thousand four hundred and fifty-nine, annas seven and pice seven.

No. 95.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(51)

B. No. 1146.
From the Collector of Madura. — 1

Sir,

1 With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Sub Collector from which the Board will perceive that Comaraspa Pillay the Manager of the Dindigul Devastanam has paid the sums awarded against him and taken charge of the Institution. No further Orders from the Board therefore are now requisite.

2. The payment and assumption of the charge took place before the date of my letter. I studied to information obtained

Fort St George 31st May 1852.

obtained at Madura which it appears was in=
=correct.

Madura
13th May 1852.

Signed R D Parker
Collector.

Enc: No 1 to 47.

To R D Parker Esqre
Collector of Madura.

Sir,

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th February 1852 to the Board of Revenue and of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th April 1852 held thereon relative to the Hukdar of the Dindigul Devastanum together with an Official Memorandum from yourself of the 11th April appended to the Board's Proceedings.

In your Memorandum you request me to report whether the Hukdar has made good the amount of the sentence award=ed by Mr Knox and whether he is or has been present and is ready to assume the Office of Manager and in event of his not being present or declining to discharge the trust, you desire to be informed what person or persons I would recom=mend for that Office mentioning their occupation in life and general fitness, and excluding all public servants.

2 The Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 15th September 1851 =

Confirming

Fort St George 31st May 1852.

Confirming the sentences of yourself and the acting Sub Collector appealed from by Coma=rappa Pillay only reached me on 7th October 1851 at Madura and I took early steps thro' the Tahsildar of Tandicombo to procure the appearance of Comarappa Pillay who was then absent from Dindigul. His Family and friends having failed to cause his at=tendance I on the 4th and 8th of October =issued notices requiring him to appear or to stand the consequence of his failure.

3 On the 17th November Comarappa Pillay appeared before my Circuit Cutcherry and having expressed his willingness and desire to resume his Office of Manager, was directed to do so, having been at the same time put in possession of the Orders of the Board on his appeal, and ordered to pay the amount of the sentence passed against him.

4 He urged many reasons why a protracted period should be allowed him for refunding the sum belonging to the Devas=tanum alledging that it had been put out to interest for the benefit of the Establish=ment. I declined to accede to his request and allowed him a fortnight to make it good in.

5 The time having elapsed and the money not being paid, the Tahsildar was on the 4th December desired to Collect it immediately from Comarappa Pillay.

6 From this date, a series of excuses and impediments which Natives are so able and versed in raising against the execution of a decree was commenced to be practised by

Fort St. George. 31st May 1852.

by Comarappa Pillay and it was only after actu-
-ally bringing property which was proved to be his
to the very hammer on 6th February 1852 that the
money was all paid-

7- The fine was paid on 6th December
1851 and was on that date carried to the credit
of Government-

8- I should here report that on the 25th
November the Tahsildar of Tandecombo reported
that Comarappa Pillay appeared before him and
informed him that he was authorized by me to
receive the Monthly allowances allotted to
the Devastanum, but that not having himself
received any orders he solicited instructions on
the subject-

9- The Tahsildar was in reply on the 4th
December desired to pay the stipends and
allowances to Comarappa Pillay-

10- Having undertaken to forward the Peti-
-tions of the inhabitants of Dindygal thro' you
to the Board of Revenue I deemed I should be
justified in retaining in deposit until the
receipt of the Board's reply the Rupees 440-13-
Collected by Comarappa Pillay-

11 In every other particular it will be
seen that the orders of the Board of the 15th
September last were carried out as early as circum-
-stances would allow of, and as no reply seemed
to be required from me except in the event of the
trust becoming vacant, I submitted no report
of my proceedings- Orders have now been
issued to pay to Comarappa Pillay the 440-
13- Collected from him and the further
instructions contained in the proceedings of
the Board will be strictly adhered to
in future-

Kody Kanal, }
30th April, 1852- }

/Signed/ T. Clark.
Sub Collector.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Ordered to be recorded-

(51-52)

Order thereon.

D/f.

Read

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Read the following letter.

N° 89.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George.

(53)

B° N° 1129 Sir,

From the Collr of Tinnevelly }

To Board 21st July 1851.
To Do 22d Sept. "
From Do 24th Nov. "-

With reference to the
Correspondence noted
in the Margin, I have the
honor to inform you
that the Mother of the Minor Zemin
-dar of Sauptoor in this District re-
-quested in an Arzee addressed
to me, that she might be allowed to
to expend Rupees 650 in performing
the Anniversary ceremonies of the
deceased Zemindar; but as the amount
appeared to me to be very large the
Zemindars of Ettiaporum and Cloomaday
who are of the same caste as the Sauptoor
Zemindar were required to state what
sum is usually required on such occasions
to which they have replied that this ceremony
is usually conducted among their caste
people at a cost of Rupees 300 or 350- I have
therefore

6345 Fort St. George 31st. May 1852

therefore the honor to request that you will be good enough to procure the sanction of the Court of Wards for the disbursement of Rupees 350 to the Widow of the late Zemindar out of the surplus funds in Deposit of the Estate amounting at the end of last month to Rupees 5,567-10-8.

Tinnevelly
Poodoocoody
10th May 1852

/ Signed / C. J. Bird
Collector

B.R. No 1028.

Proceedings thereon.

(53-54)

The Court of Wards authorize the disbursement of Rupees 350 to the Mother of the minor Zemindar of Sauptoor, from the surplus funds of the Estate, for performing the anniversary ceremonies of the late Zemindar.

Read the following letter

No 23.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(55)

B.R. No 427 Sir
From the Coll.r of
Malabar

I have the honor to acknowledge the

6346 Fort St. George 31st. May 1852

the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 19th Ultimo together with the orders of Government dated 9th Idem regarding certain Boundary disputes between the Cochin State and the Company's District of Coimbatore and Malabar, and to state that so far as the question relates to this District, I am not aware of any obstacles to carrying out the decision which the Boundary Commissioners arrived at in the year 1815.

2. So soon as it has been confirmed, I would beg the favor of being furnished with a complete copy of Captain Arthur's map for my future guidance.

Calicut
14th February 1852

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Order thereon.

(55-56)

Ordered to lie over.

No 29.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(57)

B.R. No 851. Sir,
From the Coll.r
of Malabar

With reference to the

To Board of Revenue dated 6th April 1850
From Sr. 29 & Dr.

the Correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from Mr. Robinson of the 13th Ultimo recommending that an Ameen be appointed at Pudinagrom with the powers authorized by section XI. Regulation XI of 1816. Section II Regulation IV of 1821 and act XXX of 1837.

2. Mr. Robinson's plan it will be seen is somewhat modified from that proposed in 1850 and will I hope meet acceptance - a trial of nearly two years has satisfied us of the necessity of having a detached Officer in the Paulghat Talook of the nature adverted by Mr Robinson.

Calicut,
7th April 1852 }

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector and Magistrate

No 1
No 13.

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Magistrate of
Malabar.

Sir.

With reference to my former letters on the subject, I have the honor to recommend and urge that the necessary

necessary sanction be obtained to enable the sub Officer and Police Ameen of Pudunagrom to act under the Provisions of Enactments noted in the Margin.

Section XI. Regn. XI of 1816.
" II — IV of 1821
Act XXX of 1837

2 The excessively heavy nature of the business of the Paulghat Talook I do not require to certify - the file of the Committals alone of last year to the subordinate Court amounts to 47 and within the last two months and thirteen days 20 cases have been committed. The distances to be travelled are great and the cases often intricate and troublesome, frequently Gang Robbery cases requiring personal energy and supervision of the Head of Police.

3 Paulghat is a large and active place - It is a European station with a Military and Camp followers population of two or three thousand persons - The population of the Talook of 33 Divisions / amshoms / exceeds 125,000 - The Revenue amounts to above Rupees 151,000 and the Pannash business is

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852

is probably heavier than in any Taluk in the District. The proximity to Coimbatore renders the smuggling business here very great the Court File shews not one twentieth part of this branch of the Tahsildars and the Head Assistants work - all cases below 10 Rupees worth of tobacco being dealt with in the Revenue Department. There is further a Tobacco Godown and Hospoot Treasury The Public Works too have to be managed in part by the Tahsildar. The miscellaneous Police duties assault, occupancy of land, water, &c. cases constitute also a very large file.

4

Under these circumstances it is impossible that one man, even with the assistance of Sub Officers of Police, should execute all his duties satisfactorily and I am most anxious to relieve him of part by constituting the Pudunagrom Police ameen an officer of the description contemplated by Provisions of the above Regulations.

The

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852

The present incumbent

5

in the office is a remarkably intelligent and efficient officer and has held the appointment already one year and nine months and may be most safely entrusted with the duty, which I will make a matter of my closest supervision. The small Establishment needful will be provided from the Taluk.

Wadakenchery 13th. March 1852

/Signed/ W. Robinson
14th Asst. Magistrate

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H.V. Conolly
Coll. and Magistrate

57.58

B. No. 1024
Proceedings thereon.

From Govt. 11th in Cons. 17th December 1849.

In the year 1849. the Government of India Sanctioned the entertainment of a Police Establishment for the Palghaut Taluk, consisting of the undermentioned Officers.

1.	Sub Officer at Palghat Rupees	17-8-"
1.	Ameen at Poodoonagarom	8-4-"
2.	Peons at Do.	8-4-"
	Total	33-12-"

In the following year it was

2

proposed by Mr. Robinson supported by

From Coll. & C. in Cons 29 April 1850

Mr

Mr Connolly that the salary of Sub Officer on Rupees 17-8- should be blended with that of Revenue Peishcar of Palghat on Rupees 17-8- the united pay 35 Rupees being given to an ameen, with a charge of 10 parishes- The Poodoonagarom Ameen on Rupees 8-4- was to be converted into a Moonshee, and the two peons were to form the rest of the Establishment.

Pro 29th April 1850

3 The Board were unwilling at that time to trouble the superior authorities so soon after the alterations in the Malabar Moyen Zubetah had been sanctioned, especially as the arrangement would reduce the numerical strength of the Palghat Establishment they therefore wished that it should have a fair trial on its then footing- but left it open to Mr Connolly to renew his application at a future period if he should think fit-

13th March From Collector 7th April 1852

4 In the letter from Mr Robinson now forwarded by the Collector, it is proposed that the "Sub Officer

Officer and Police Ameen of Pudunagrom should be permitted to act under the provisions of the Enactments noted in the margin- It is observed also, that in para 4 Mr Robinson styles this individual the "Pudanagrom Police Ameen"

Section XI Regn XI - 1816.
Section II Regn IV - 1821
Act XXX of ------ 1837.

5 The Board conclude that the proposition does not refer to the "Ameen of Poodoonagarom" whose appointment on Rupees 8-4- was sanctioned in 1849- but to the Sub Officer of Palghat on Rupees 17-8-

6 In any case however the salary would seem inadequate to the duties required of the new appointment on this subject the Collector is referred to the correspondence noted in the margin.

CO 23d June 1834 P. 162 & Note 10 & 11 pp. 162-56

7 The Board admit the necessity for the appointment at Palghat of an ameen with special powers, but they think that his salary should be fixed at least at

at 6 or 7 Pagodas per mensem. If Mr. Connolly can effect any arriangement by which the Salary can be raised to this amount without extra expence to the State they will be prepared to support it.

From Govt. inCons 17 Dec 1849.

8. The Government of India have expressed themselves averse to reducing existing Salaries with a view to increase others, but the Board think such an arriangement preferable to appointing a superior description of officer upon an insufficient Salary.

No 59.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

59

B2 No 1172

From the Collr of Malabar

Sir.

I have the honor to request the Board will be good enough to obtain the special sanction of Government for writing off in the accounts of

of this District the following quantity of Tobacco on account of the supplies received from Coimbatore in Fusly 1260/1850/51.

	C	m	lbs
Deficiency in transit from Dryage	55	4	27¼
Ditto from Kolkundy or Sorting	"	3	31¾
Ditto from Damage and unsaleable Tobacco	37	17	12¾
Total	93	6	3¼

Annexed is a Memorandum shewing the total quantity of Tobacco on which this wastage occurred and the value of the same at the average cost and charges amounting to Rupees 3,878-5-9 as per

Calicut. 14th May 1852

/Signed/ H. V. Connolly
Collector

Memorandum

Quantity of Tobacco received in 1260/1850/51.			Quantity and Value of the wastage at the average cost and charges. Quantity			Value			Average loss for every 100 candies		
C	m	lbs	C	m	lbs	Rs	a	p	C	m	lbs
2523	3	14½	93	6	3¼	3878	5	9	3	13	32½

/Signed/ H. V. Connolly
Collector

Received

at 6 or 7 Pagodas per mensem. If Mr Conolly can effect any arriangement by which the Salary can be raised to this ammint without exctra expence to the State they will be prepared to support it.

From Govt in Cons 17th Dec 1849.

8. The Government of India have expressed themselves averse to reducing existing Salaries with a view to increase others, but the Board think such an arriangement preferable to appointing a superior description of officer upon an insufficient Salary.

No 59.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

59

Bd No 1172

From the Collr of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Board will be good enough to obtain the special sanction of Government for writing off in the accounts of

of this District the following quantity of Tobacco on account of the supplies received from Coimbatore in Fasly 1260 / 1850/51.

	C	m	lbs
Deficiency in transit from Dryage	55	4	27¼
Ditto from Rotbundy or sorting	"	3	31¾
Ditto from Damage and unsaleable Tobacco	37	17	12¾
Total	93	6	3¾

Annexed is a Memorandum shewing the total quantity of Tobacco on which this wastage occurred and the value of the same at the average cost and charges amounting to Rupees 3,878-5-9 as ∅

Calicut. 11th May 1852

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Memorandum

Quantity of Tobacco received in 1260 / 1850/51.			Quantity and Value of the wastage at the average cost and charges.						Average loss for every 100 candies		
			Quantity			Value					
C	m	lbs	C	m	lbs	Rs	a	∅	C	m	lbs
2,523	3	11½	93	6	3¾	3,878	5	9	3	13	32½

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Resolved

at 6 or 7 Pagodas per mensem. If Mr Conolly can effect any arriangement by which the Salary can be raised to this amount without extra expence to the State they will be prepared to support it.

From Govt in Cons 17th Dec 1849.

8.

The Government of India have expressed themselves averse to reducing existing Salaries with a view to increase others, but the Board think such an arrangement preferable to appointing a superior description of officer upon an insufficient Salary.

No 59.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

59

Bd No 1172

From the Collr of Malabar

Sir.

I have the honor to request the Board will be good enough to obtain the special sanction of Government for writing off in the accounts of

of this District the following quantity of Tobacco on account of the Supplies received from Coimbatore in Fusly 1260 / 1850/51.

	C	m	lbs
Deficiency in transit from Dryage	55	4	27¼
Ditto from Rolbundy or sorting	"	3	31¾
Ditto from Damage and unsaleable Tobacco	37	17	12¾
Total	93	6	3¾

Annexed is a Memorandum shewing the total quantity of Tobacco on which this wastage occurred and the value of the same at the average cost and charges amounting to Rupees 3,878-5-9

Calicut 14th May 1852

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Memorandum

Quantity of Tobacco received in 1260 1850/51.			Quantity and Value of the wastage at the average cost and charges.						Average loss for every 100 bandies		
			Quantity			Value					
C	m	lbs	C	m	lbs	Rs	a	p	C	m	lbs
2,523	3	11½	93	6	3¼	3878	5	9	3	13	32½

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Resolved

6353 | Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

6345

(59-60)

B.R. 3rd June 1852.

Proceedings thereon.

No 217

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for writing off in the accounts of the District of Malabar for Fesly 1260, the wastage of Tobacco, amounting to candies 93 — 6 — 3/4, the value of which at the average cost and charges, is Rupees (3,878-5-9) Three thousand Eight hundred and seventy eight, annas five and pice nine.

Read

T.P.S.

6356 | Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Read the following letter—

No. 40

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

(61)

B.R. No. 945

From the Collector of Madras.

Submitting a Report on the Extent of Land remaining to be assessed in his District and the measures that should be adopted to carry out the objects—

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that for some time past I have been led to believe that the Land Revenue of this Office might be considerably increased if an accurate investigation were made into the tenures of land by parties enjoying exemption and by a remeasurement of the lands of those parties at present paying Quit Rent but who have considerably more land than that included in their grants and Certificates.

2 Until the passing of the Act 12 1851 I did not think it necessary to bring these circumstances to the notice of the Board of Revenue, it being extremely difficult for the Servants of this Office to enter the premises of any private Individual for the purpose of taking such information as would be necessary for the purposes of assessment

3 This is no longer the case, and

and I have now the honor to forward the following Statements to the Board shewing the probable extent of Land liable to assessment which is at present unassessed. Statements 1-2-3 have been drawn up by the Quit Rent collecting Conicopillays and are merely rough estimates made by them. From these it will be perceived that there are

Of lands within and without the Walls of Black Town at present unassessed which on proper examination would be found assessable

Cawnies G. Sft Rs A. P

2 358. 11-1517. 2799-1-3

Lands held under supposed Lakheraj Tenures within and without the Walls of Black Town.

Cawnies G. Sft Rs a P

861 - 13-1042½. 4,207-15-11

It is believed that the holders of these lands have no right to exemption. Many Chatrums on these lands are no longer used as such, much of the land held as Pagoda and Mosque lands is not appropriated to religious purposes.

Lands

3 Lands within and without the Walls of Black Town in excess of the Grants and Certificates by which they are said to be held. On an extent of Land

Cawnies G. Sft Rs a P
344 - 9/2. 1624 1808 - 12-5. There is an estimated excess of

Cawnies G. Sft Rs a P
63 - 21 - 1900. 338 - 11-3.

4. These rough Estimates would make the increase of Revenue to be collected to amount to Rupees 7,345-12-5 but should an Extra Establishment be granted to me for the double purpose of investigating these circumstances and of making a Survey plan of those parts of the Black Town & Madras at present unsurveyed, I have reason to believe that the increase of revenue would be much greater. The lands included in the Madras Collectorate are the same as the total area of the ground included in the limits of the Supreme Court which calculated from Hills Map is Cawnies G. Sft. 12824 — 8 — 121.3 or Square Miles 25-4966.

The

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Statement A

Particulars-	Extent of Lands-	Amount of Quit Rent & Ground Rent-	Value of the Lands purchased by Government-
	C G Sft	Rs a p	Rs a p
Extent of lands under Grants & Certificates &c and those having no Certificates & Grants within the limits of the Black Town Walls	257 13 1844/8	19522 11 10	- - -
Do Do without d: d: d:	6368 12 780	44942 6 7	" " -
Exempt lands do d: d:	613 7 979/8	3974 12 7	" " -
Uncertificate land of which extent is not ascertained	" " "	19 4 -	- - -
Total	7233 9 1204/2	68459 3 -	
Extent of Government land purchased within 600 yards North Esplanade	122 10 210 3/4		
Do Do of West Do	46 23 1850/2	- - -	124585 14 -
Total	169 9 2061/4		
Do Do Do in several Villages	33 11 1525/4	- - -	47467 10 9
Do Do of Ground Rent lands	43 17 2352	8427 5 -	
	246 15 1158/2	76886 8 -	
Total	7480 : 2363		
Do Do of Government land which Boat People occupy in the Village of Royapooram	29 13 178		
Grand Total	7509 14 141		

5 The Statement A which is subjoined gives a detail of the Lands under their different heads, which came under the control of this Office. It will be seen by this Statement that the total of these lands is Cawnies 7509 — Sft 141. If to this total are added the unassessed lands contained in the Conicopillays Rough Estimates 1 — 2 — 3 Viz.t Cawnies 1283 — 2 — Sft 2059

We

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Can. Gs Sft

We find a total 8793 — 12 — 2200 —

6 This last total represents the whole of the lands of which this Office has at present taken any account, but upon comparing this total with the area of the land contained within the limits of the Madras Collectorate namely Cawnies 12824 — 8 — Sft 1213 there remain Cawnies 4030 — 19 — Sft 1413 not accounted for by this Office.

7 Allowing that one third of this will be found upon examination to be Poramboke Land there would remain Cawnies 3587 — 5 — 144 of land, all of which would prove assessable. If to this land be added that contained in the Statements of the Conicopillays 1 — 2 — 3 — i.e. Cawnies 1283 — 2 — Sft 2059 we have a total Cawnies 3971 — 3 — Sft 2203 —

8 This is the total of land at present unassessed upon which it is probable Land Revenue might be collected and which at the ordinary rate of assessment Rupees 5 1/4 per Cawny would annually be about 20,000 Rupees.

9 As these calculations are made upon very rough Estimates I do not pretend that so large a sum as

as this would actually be realized, but I trust that I have shewn sufficient grounds to induce the Board to take into their favorable consideration the advantage that would result from a complete Survey being made of the lands assessable by this Office.

From the Bd. of Revl to Govt. 20th July 1826. Para 5. From Govt to the Bd. of Revl 11th August 1826.

10. With this view I would draw the attention of the Board to the correspondence noted in the Margin, in which the Commissioners Messrs. Hodgson and Murray who were engaged in enquiring into the irregularities prevailing in the Land Rent Department strongly advised establishing "some means of easy reference by which every spot of ground referred to in the Bills, Grants and Certificates, may be immediately ascertained with certainty" and suggested "that accurate Maps should be constructed by actual survey of the whole of the Madras Collectorate." The Board concurred in this suggestion and directed that the Inspector General of Civil Estimates should be

be charged with this work.

11. In 1838 10 Maps of the Survey of the Lands of Attapollicum and Tondiarpett were made and forwarded to this Office.

12. These 10 Maps consisted of 1 large Key Map of Tondiarpett on the scale of 200 Feet to 1/2 an inch corresponding to this are 9 Maps of the Subdivisions of Tondiarpett on the scale of 100 Feet to an inch. These 9 divisions give accurately the situation of the lands contained in the Grants and Certificates in this Office, the Numbers on the Maps from this Office, corresponding to those in the Certificates. These Maps also shew accurately the Inamdar lands, the Tanks, Watercourses &c &c of this portion of the Madras Collectorate.

13. Should the Board sanction the completion of this Survey which in 1826 was ordered and of which these Maps are the commencement, this Office would be supplied with plans which would simplify and facilitate greatly the collection of the Land Revenue.

14. It would be easy to obtain from the assessment Office a Copy of their Map of

of the Black Town. The Survey for Atta=
=pollium and Tondiarpett which is
already made would with few alterations
be all that is required for that district and
it would therfore only be necessary
to execute a Survey similar to that ordered
by the Board in 1826 for the remaining
14 divisions of the Madras Collectorate.

15. I take this opportunity to lay
before the Board the present condition
of this Office as regards the plans and
Surveys connected with the collection
of the Land Revenue of the Madras
Collectorate

16. Of the 16 Villages (vide margin)
into which the Collection of Land Revenue
in the Collectorate of Madras is divided,
there is only in the Office a regular Survey
Plan of Tondiarpett (which includes Atta=
=pollium) as above described.

- Moottal Pettah
- Peddoo Naik's Pettah
- Chintadrepettah
- St. Thomé
- Triplicane
- Comaleswaren Coil
- Nungumbaukum
- Egmore
- Vepery
- Porasawaukum
- Nadoombaray
- Chetput
- Perambore
- Veyasurpandy
- Eroongoondum
- Tondiarpett

17. Of the remaining 15 Villages
there are no authenticated Surveys. The plans which
this Office possesses being detached plans generally
shewing three or four streets with the situation
and size of the Grounds contained in
these streets.

18. These detached and unau=
=thenticated plans were executed some

some 45 years ago and seem to have been
made by Maistries attached to this Office.

	No. having Certificate been marked.	No. without Do.	Useless.
Moottal Pettah	3	25	12
Peddoo Naik's Pettah	10	24	
Chintadry Pettah	8	7	
St. Thomé	12	25	28
Triplicane	12	12	31
Comaleswaren Coil	2	4	2
Nungumbaukum	4	11	
Egmore			14
Vepery	11	9	
Porasawaukum	12	25	
Nadoombaray	1		
Chetput	1	4	8
Perambore		2	
Veyasurpandy		2	7
Eroongoondum		2	

19. In the Margin will be seen a list of these Plans shewing those that have the Grants and Certificates issued from this Office marked upon them and those which have not. These Plans are very insufficient. They only give tolerably correct ideas of the form of the lands, and many of them are in a very delapidated condition. These are now being recopied because it is found that in disputed cases of which the original Grants or Certificates are of a very early date they are of use as furnishing the names of the proprietors of that time, the situation of Government Lands, Charities

Charities Yes Yes

20- It will therefore be advisable to continue the Copying and preservation of these old Surveys which will be also of considerable use as guides in any new Survey should the Board think such new Survey advisable.

21. There are also 2 General Plans. One of the Town and Suburbs of Madras, the other on a large scale of the Town of Madras.

22. The former has an idea which names the different proprietors of Land in this Collectorate for about the last 50 Years, and this plan also gives a general outline of the Country.

23. This plan is very much defaced and has the serious disadvantage of being unauthenticated. There are also some plans of Amingeecurray Poraysamauram and Tondamundalum. These are not strictly speaking within the Madras Collectorate but some of the Grants and Certificates from this Office have a portion of their land in these places.-

We

24. We have also Miscellaneous plans authenticated of the 600 yards and a plan of the Adkary limits.-

The Establishment which I would propose is as follows-

	Rs a P.
Surveyor	80..
2 Draftsmen at 21 Rupees each	42..
2 Conicopillays at 10 Rs each	20..
2 Maistries at 17½ Rupees each	35..
5 Lascars at 7 Rs each	35..
Rupees	212..

Note.

These Draftsmen would also be of great service in Copying the old plans mentioned above.

25. Under these circumstances I request that the Board may give sanction for the employment of an adequate Establishment for the purposes of Survey which I have mentioned. The expense of such an establishment for one or two years would be trifling compared with the probable increase of yearly Revenue and the increased certainty and facility with which the business of this Office in the Quit Rent and Register's Departments would be conducted

26. The occasion of making a new Survey of the Lands under the Madras Collectorate appear to be a favorable opportunity for making some alteration in the System that at present prevails

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

farmers with regard to the Lands under cultivation. These Lands are often taxed above the ordinary rate, 5½ Rupees per Cawney on account of the superior quality of the soil or from their facility of irrigation, while others fall below this average rate. These variations are founded on the reports of the Conicopillays which are by no means satisfactory as a ground for the settlement of the assessment.

27. Should the Board sanction the proposed Survey I shall have the honor at a future period to forward for the consideration

DG

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

of the Board the further reasons, which induce me to think that some Permanent assessment of the Cultivable Lands within the Collectorate of Madras, — founded upon accurate Survey, should be substituted for the present — System —

Madras
20th April 1852.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Statement

0369 Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 1. Statement Shewing the Extent of assessable lands in the Villages within and without the lands of the walls of Black Town under the Madras Collectorate.

Divisions	Names of the Villages	Number of Villages	Extent			Amount of Quit Rent			Remarks.
1	2	3	4			5			6
			G	Y	Sq.Y	Rs.	A.	P.	
1st	Saint Thome		144	2	1200	685	15	6	
2d	Ditto		4	9	1800	26	12	-	
3d	Noongumbaukum		3	7	1700	26	-	4	
	Moravanoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Sapaukum Wallajah Cherry		2	22	1500	107	10	-	
	Poodoopaukum		1	11	"	12	4	-	
4	Egmore		18	3	300	135	14	6	
	Ichel Ghat		23	16	-	150	-	6	
	Kilpaukum		12	21	1200	92	6	6	These lands are held by different individuals partly as Paddy fields, Gardens, Backyards,
5th	Porasavaulkum		20	1	400	221	14	8	
6th	Perambore		36	16	1000	249	12	-	and Topes &c. They are partly built upon Wint. Houses, Bungalows, and
	Veppery Pandy		25	5	767	262	13	-	other sorts of buildings and they
	Nadumbaray		7	6	2000	71	12	-	are partly lying waste. The
7th	Vepury		5	4	500	57	-	-	quantity of land shown in this
	Vroongoonrum		2	5	700	27	2	-	Statement is taken down by
8th	Attepaulum		6	4	1900	178	8	-	mere estimate but not by
	Tondiarpettah		4	6	-	22	5	-	actual measurement.
9th	Ditto		6	10	200	60	14	-	
10th	Mootteal Pettah		-	-	1800	1	8	11	
11th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	
12th	Peddoo Naiks Pettah		-	2	900	3	11	9	
13th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	
14th	Ditto		1	1	-	9	11	7	
15th	Triplicane		32	2	450	395	1	-	
			358	11	1517	2799	1	3	

Madras
20th April 1852

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Statement

6370

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 2. Statement Shewing the Extent of lands of Sakeraj tenure held under exemption Grants and Certificates within and without the limits of the wall of Black Town in the Madras Collectorate.

Divisions	Names of the Villages	Number of Villages	Extent			Rate of Quit Rent		Remarks
1	2	3	4			5		6
			G	G	Sq.Ft.	Rs	As	
1st.	Saint Thome		28	1	842	204	4 -	
2nd.	Ditto		178	22	1088	1020	2 6	
3rd.	Noongumbaukum		163	4	1800	919	15 -	
	Morasanoor		110	-	-	577	8 -	
	Saipaukum Walajah Cherry		1	3	.	14	- -	These lands are held and enjoyed by a very few of the original incumbents and their heirs partly as Paddy fields Gardens, Backyards &c. They are partly built upon Viz. Houses, Bungalows, Chuttrums or Charity Houses, Pagodas, Square Tanks Mosques &c.
	Poodoopaukum		40	19	-	217	2 -	
4th.	Egmore		6	13	325	36	9 1	
	Chetput		-	-	-	-	- -	
	Kilpaukum		3	6	1943	17	4 7	
5th.	Porasawaukum		20	5	140	127	5 -	
6th.	Perambore		24	19	332	89	1 1	partly they are lying as Samoodayem land: They are partly held by different individuals by private sales transfers and assignments without paying Quit rent thereon and several of the Chatrums or Charity Houses are not left for the intended purposes but occupied only by the Donors and they are therefore liable to Quit Rent. The quantity of land shown in this Statement is taken down by mere estimate but not by actual measurement
	Veyserpaudy		35	13	2302	146	- -	
	Nadembaray		3	11	1000	18	3 11	
7th.	Vepery		1	8	1677	9	15 9	
	Veroongoonnum		7	11	428	39	2 2	
8th.	Attapaulum		9	30	-	79	12 -	
	Tondiarpettah		1	16	-	22	- -	
9th.	Ditto		12	18	-	82	15 -	
10th.	Moottial Pettah		1	1	1500	32	6 11	
11th.	Ditto		6	5	-	197	7 5	
12th.	Peddoo Naiks, Pettah		-	4	351	19	10 3	
13th.	Ditto		1	18	1500	50	2 8	
14th.	Ditto		1	12	372	22	15 6	
15th.	Triplicane		38	17	2242	264	1 6	
	Ditto		163	-	-	-	- -	
			861	13	1042	4267	15 11	

Madras — 3
20th April 1852 — 3

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

6371

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 3 Statement Shewing the Extent of lands in excess or exceeding dimensions under Grants and Certificates &c. in possession and enjoyment of the Private individuals within and without the limits of the walls of Black Town in the Madras Collectorate

Division	Names of the Villages	No. of Villages	Actual extent under Grants and Certificates			Amount of Quit Rent		Excess			Amount of Quit Rent		Remarks
1	2	3		4		5		6			7		8
			C^s	G	Sf^s	R^s	A^s	C^s	G	Sf^s	R^s	A^s	
			11	13	1705	-	-	6	12	-	34	2	
1st.	Saint Thome		34	19	1691	-	-	14	12	1200	76	3	9
2d.	Ditto		17	12	1047	-	-	2	4	-	11	6	-
3d.	Nungumbaukum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Woravanore		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sapaukum Walluja Cherry		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Poodoopaukum		2	22	-	-	-	2	-	-	10	8	-
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
4th.	Egmore		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	4	-
	Chettoopat		1	19	2838	-	-	1	-	-	23	10	-
	Keelpaukum		32	16	876	-	-	4	12	-			
			6	16	1464	-	-	1	2	-	5	11	-
5th.	Pursewakum												
6th.	Parumbore		47	12	1136	-	-	9	-	-	47	4	-
	Veasurpaudy		80	8	567	-	-	8	12	-	14	10	-
	Nadoombaray		4	1	1152	-	-	-	12	-	2	10	-
7th.	Vepery		-	11	619	-	-	-	3	600	2	2	-
	Eroongoondrum		-	4	867	-	-	-	-	1300	1	-	-
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8th.	Attapaulum		32	1	566	-	-	3	16	-	19	4	-
	Tondiarpett		71	19	1124	-	-	10	7	-	54	6	
9th.	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10th.	Mooleal Chettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11th.	Ditta		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12th.	Peddoo Naikes Pettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13th.	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14th.	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15th.	Triplicane		-	1	372	-	-	-	-	1200	1	-	-
			314	12	1624	1808	12 5	63	21	1900	338	11	3

These lands are held as Paddy fields, Gardens, Backyards and Topes &c. partly built upon as Houses Bangalows &c. and they are partly lying waste without paying Quit Rent. The quantity of land shewn in this Statement is taken down by mere Estimate but not by actual measurement.

Madras 20th April 1852

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

6371

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

No. 3 Statement Shewing the Extent of lands in excess or exceeding dimensions under Grants and Certificates &c. in possession and enjoyment of the Private individuals within and without the limits of the walls of Black Town in the Madras Collectorate

Division	Names of the Villages	No. of Villages	Actual extent under Grants and Certificates			Amount of Quit Rent			Excess			Amount of Quit Rent			Remarks
1	2	3		4			5			6			7		8
			Cs.	Gs.	Sft.	Rs	As	Ps	Cs.	Gs.	Sft.	Rs	As	Ps	
			11	13	1705	-	-	-	6	12	-	34	2	-	
1st	Saint Thomie		34	19	1691	-	-	-	14	12	1200	76	3	9	
2d	Ditto		17	12	1047	-	-	-	2	4	-	11	6	-	
3d	Nungumbaukum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Moravanore		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Sapaukum Wallaja Cherry		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			2	22	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	10	8	-	These lands are held
	Poodoopaukum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	as Paddy fields, Gardens
4th	Egmore		1	19	2838	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	4	-	Backyards and Topes &c.
	Chettoopat		32	16	876	-	-	-	4	12	-	23	10	-	partly built upon as
	Keelpaukum		6	16	1464	-	-	-	1	2	-	5	11	-	Houses Bangalows &c.
5th	Purusemakum														and they are partly
															lying waste without
6th	Parumbore		47	12	1136	-	-	-	9	-	-	47	4	-	paying Quit Rent.
	Veasurpaudy		80	8	567	-	-	-	8	12	-	1,4	10	-	The quantity of land
	Nadoombaray		4	1	1152	-	-	-	-	12	-	2	10	-	shewn in this State-
			-	11	619	-	-	-	-	3	600	2	2	-	ment is taken down
7th	Vejeny		-	1	867	-	-	-	-	-	1300	1	-	-	by mere Estimate
	Eroongoondrum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	but not by actual
8th	Attapaulum		32	1	566	-	-	-	3	16	-	19	4	-	measurement.
	Tondiarpatt		71	19	1124	-	-	-	10	7	-	54	-	6	
9th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10th	Moodeal Pettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12th	Peddoo Naikis Pettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15th	Triplicane		-	1	372	-	-	-	-	-	1200	1	-	-	
			314	12	1624	1808	12	5	63	21	1900	338	11	3	

Madras 20th April 1852

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 221

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter and enclosures from the Collector of Madras, on the subject of the defective state of the Survey accounts of his Collectorate, and the probability of a great evasion of Revenue—

2. The late Act of XII of 1851 has given to the Collector a power of investigating the land tenures of Madras, which he never had before, and enables him now to urge an enquiry into the extent of land actually occupied which previous to the passing of the Act he could not even propose though it was known that many irregularities existed.—

The

61-62

B. No. 1041.
To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras relative to the defective state of the survey accounts of his Collectorate & the probability of a great evasion of Revenue & recommending that the original orders of Government to have a complete survey of the whole of the Houses and Grounds of Madras be carried out, under certain modifications adding that at the same time a revision of the assessment on cultivated lands might conveniently be entered upon

Forwarded with Mr. Hudleston's letter of 31st May 1852.

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

3. The Collector forwards 3 Statements in detail, prepared from estimate and not by survey, by his Conoscopolies who from their long experience are able no doubt to point out within reasonable limits the extent of encroachments and unauthorized tenures.

One Map is 8 inches to the mile, but the one most largely published is 6 inches. This is much too small for any practical use in investigating individual occupations or Boundaries.

4. Mr. Hudleston observes that Hills map of Madras the only complete one in existence, the area of his Collectorate * should be 13824 Cawnies or 26½ Square Miles

* The limits of the Madras Collectorate are fixed by Section XII Regulation II of 1801.

This is confirmed by the Printed Statements laid before the House of Commons: *

* The Trigonometrical Survey of India ordered to be printed 15th April 1851.

5. The Collector then shews that if all the land on his Records under its various denominations is added up, it only amounts to 7,510 Cawnies, so that there must be 5,314 unaccounted for, to the detriment of the rights of Government in a place where Land is valuable.

6. The 3 Statements above referred to bring to light some of the missing

land

"possibly accumulate without considerable neg-
"lect on the part of every person in the Depart-
"ment when the collecting Conicopoly finds
"any difficulty in fixing upon the property ass-
"ed a reference to the Office will immedi-
"ately shew him where to look for payment, &
"if he does not make this reference the fault
"will be with himself alone and the Head
"of the Department should periodically call
"all the Conicopolies to account for any
"Bills they may have remaining in their
"hands uncollected."

"We conceive that the only mode in
"which this reference can be made is by causing
"accurate Maps to be constructed by actual
"survey of the whole of the Madras Collecto-
=rate each Village, Hamlet &c. where the
"property is much subdivided being on
"such a scale as to enable the Houses or
"Ground of each individual to be laid down
"of such a size that the number of the Grant
"or certificate may be written distinctly within
"the space. In cases of any subdivisions of
"the property after the survey shall have
been

"been completed it would be the duty of the Survey
"or of the Office to mark them accurately on
"the Map with their respective new refer-
"ences and to certify that he had
"done so before the new Grant or
"Certificate should be issued.

"The owner of each spot of
"ground should be required to produce the
"Company's Grant or Certificate under
"which he holds it or if this should be
"deemed objectionable he should be desired
"to state the No. and date of the docu-
=ment in order that the Registers
"of the Office may be compared with the
"actual survey as it is carried on and
"any differences noted. by this means
"every Grant or Certificate would
"have its corresponding entry in
"the Map and we cannot conceive
"that any pretence could then exist for
"allowing arrears to accumulate in
"cases where the assessment was
"justly made some spots of Ground
"may also be found which have not yet
"been subjected to any assessment.

The

"The accurate survey which
"we propose to have made of the
"Collectorate of Madras will no doubt
"be attended with considerable trouble
"but we think its usefulness will fully
"counterbalance this objection the gene-
"ral Map at present in the Office is
"that of Major Ravenshaw which
"except for the Garden Houses is
"on much too confined a scale to be
"of any use. the
"work will however oc-
"cupy a long time if the Surveyor of
"Office only is employed upon the bu-
"siness - we would therefore beg to
"suggest that proper persons for this
"business be put under his orders from
"the Surveyor Generals' Office or any other
"Department that can furnish the assistance
"required and that the Survey be commenced
"without delay. The measuring Maistries
"of the Office must attend and make their
"own plans in the manner they are accustomed
"to do.

12. The facts which sufficed to im-
press Government with the conviction of
the necessity of a survey exist at the present day
and the Board are quite unable to discover
why the orders of Government in 1826 were
not carried out.

13. In 1838 or 12 years after the
Inspector General of Civil Estimates when called
on by the Collector, furnished the squares con-
taining the survey of one out of the 16 Divi-
sions into which Madras is divided,
and no more has ever been obtained - No in-
formation is to be found in the Records of
the Board of Revenue in the Depart-
ment Public Works into which the Inspector
Generals' Department subsequently
merged, and it therefore only remains for the
Board strongly to recommend that the origi-
nal orders of Government be carried out with this
modification that the Board be permitted to form
their own survey Establishment and previous to
commencing operations submit it for sanction.
It may be necessary not to abide exactly by
the detail given in para 23 of the Collector's letter, and
the Board would wish to scrutinize more closely
the

the abilities of the parties, and the method they intend to pursue. It might perhaps be advisable to commence with one Division and report on that when completed. In this case St. Thome should be taken as it appears from the Collector's letter that the irregularities of account are greatest in that quarter.

14. Along with the survey a revision of the Assessment on cultivated land might conveniently be entered on, as suggested in para 26 of the Collector's letter.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

(63)

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Read the following letter

B° N° 1177.

From the Asst Register ... Sir
to the Court of Sudr Udalut

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudder Udalut to transmit to you the accompanying † copy of the reply of their Mahomedan Law Officer to the Queries submitted with your letter of the 29th ultimo.

† with translation

Sudder Udalut
Registers Office,
19th May 1852.

/Signed/ F. Lushington
Asst Register

Answer

Answer of the Cauzee Ool Cauzat of the Court of Sudder Udalut.

The whole of A's property, after deducting for funeral expences, debts and bequests should be have left any, be divided into 12 portions, and distributed at 2 portions to each of the 5 Sons. B, C E F and G in all 10 portions, the remaining two portions distributed in equal shares between the 2 daughters J and K. The death of D the Son, H and K the daughters, previous to A's demise, precludes their obtaining any share of A's property. The Son, daughter and the Widow of D cannot therefore inherit any portion of A's property. The 2 portions of B must be handed over to his Son and daughters, so that the Son may receive a double portion to the daughters.

10th May 1852. (Seal of the Cauzee Ool Cauzat)

/Translated by/
Soobah Cund
3d Class Translator.

(63-64)

B° N° 1039.

Order thereon.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ F. Lushington
Acting Register

Ordered that copy of the above answer from the Cazee of the Sudder Udalut be forwarded to the Collector of Salem in reference to his letter dated 31st March 1852.

D. Cons 29th April 1852.

Read

the abilities of the parties, and the method
they intend to
adv

(65)

of Government under date the 25th May 1847 allow
ing Mr. Pharoah the use of the Maps of the
Collectorates, we beg you will be good enough
to let us have access to them once more one
by one to enable our Draftsman to compare
the Copies of the Maps prepared for Mr.
Pharoah's Gazetteer prior to dispatching them
to him to Europe.

Athenæum Library
Madras, 18th May 1852. } /Signed/ Pharoah & Co.

Order thereon.

(65-66)

Ordered to be recorded the Maps
required by Messrs. Pharoah & Co. will be
furnished to them one by one as they may
be required.

Resolved that the following
letter be despatched.

(67)

No. 219.

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

B. No. 1032
To the Chief Sec.y } Sir,
to Government }

With reference to the Resolution of

of Government under date the 14th April 1851
I am directed by the Board of Revenue to
submit for the special Sanction of the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council the
accompanying account Particulars of
actual charges incurred in conveying Salt
Rewanahs &c. from this Office to different
Collectors in the year 1851/52 amounting
to Rupees 254—15—10.

The Board further request
2. that credit on the General Treasury to the
extent of Rupees 250 may be granted to
the Secretary to enable him to make
the requisite advances for the current
year. The account particulars of the
actual charges will be submitted at
the end of the year.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
31st May. 1852. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Account

6385 Fort St. George 31st May 1852

Resolved that the following Circular be despatched.

(68)

B. No. 1056
Circular to all Collectors Commissr. Northern Circars and Surv. Dept. Pub. Works

Circular

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the use of your office a Copy of Dr George Buists "Manual of Physical Researches adapted for India."

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
31st May 1852.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved

6386 Fort St. George 31st May 1852

Resolved that the following letters be despatched

(69)

B. No. 1042.
To The Acting Civil Auditor

To The Acting Civil Auditor
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the notification from your Office dated 8th May 1852 published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 14th Idem, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a detailed List of this Establishment as it stood on the 30th April, and a Memorandum of Contingent charges from 1st May 1851 to 30th April 1852.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May, 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Statement

6387 Fort St George 31st May 1852.

No 1 Statement shewing the detailed List of Establishment of the Board of Revevenue as it stood on the 30th April 1852

Date of Entertainment on the Boards Establishment	Names	Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 11th September 1848 made up to 30th April 1852 Years	Months	Employ	Monthly Pay Rs	a	p	Total Rs	a	p
16th September 1845	Mr John Maskell			Manager	210	-	-			
1st July 1848	Mr J. D. Jordan			Uncovenanted Assistant	200	-	-			
23rd February 1847	Mr R. F. Campbell			D°	200	-	-			
23rd September 1850	Mr J. Taylor	30	2	Deputy Manager	125	-	-			
1st June 1851	Mr Thomas Haughton	29	9	Indexer	120	-	-			
January 1831	P. Venkatanursoo Row			Assistant Manager	87	8	-			
15th February 1836	Mr D. Geils			Examiner	70	-	-			
1st April 1830	Mr J. G. Boyle			D°	70	-	-			
February 1837	T. Streenevasah Pillay			Deputy Petition Reg[r] and Translator	60	-	-			
August 1822	C. Parthasarady Naidoo			I Class Clerk	55	-	-			
October 1833	D. Nyneappah Moodelly			D°	55	-	-			
1st July 1837	C. Soondaraiah Brahminy			D°	55	-	-			
September 1818	C. Shunmoogaroy Moodelly			D°	50	-	-			
1st July 1837	P. V. Kistna Row			D°	50	-	-			
16th November 1837	C. Soobaroy Chitty			D°	50	-	-			
	Mr M. Caldiera			II Class Clerk from 1st to 19 @ 45 Rs pr Month	28	8	-			
1st May 1845	Mr R. H. Lyons			D° from 20th to 30 @ D°	16	8	-			
15th February 1836	Mr J. A. Ritchie			D°	45	-	-			
1st October 1834	P. S. Soobramonyah Moodelly			D°	45	-	-			
18th October 1851	A. P. Streenevasa	23	-	D°	45	-	-			
1st July 1850	Mr F. D. Gager	28	1	D°	45	-	-			
September 1824	Mr H. E. Boyle			D°	40	-	-			
1st May 1829	T. Ramasawmy Pillay			D°	40	-	-			
1st September 1848	Mr C Burton			D°	40	-	-			
1st May 1845	Mr R. H. Lyons			D° from 1 to 19 @ 40 Rs per Month	25	5	4			
20th April 1852	Mr W. P. Williams	23	7	D° from 20 to 30 @ D°	14	10	8			
				Carried forward				1842	8	-

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

Date of Entertainment on the Boards Establishment	Names	Age of Individuals Entertained subsequent to 11th September 1848 made up to 30th April 1852	Employ	Monthly Pay	Total
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
			Brought Over	1842 8 .	
18th October 1851	Mr. F. B. Pedroza		II Class Clerk	40 . .	
August 1819	Veerasawmy Brameny		III D°	35 . .	
27th September 1837	P. Patchapah Moodelly		D°	35 . .	
From 1812 to 1814 & from 1821	S. Tiroovengada Moodelly		D°	35 . .	
October 1831	Mr. J. Bonjour		D°	35 . .	
15th October 1835	V. Parthasarady Brameny		D°	35 . .	
July 1832	T. Appasawmy Chitty		D°	35 . .	
17th October 1849	Mr. E. Robinson	25 5	D°	35 . .	
October 1833	C. Venkatachella Chitty		D°	30 . .	
1st July 1837	C. Ramachendrah Brameny		D°	30 . .	
1st November 1823	V. Tolasingum Naick		D°	30 . .	
March 1836	W. Wicker		D°	30 . .	
24th December 1850	Zynoolabdeen		D°	30 . .	
8 February 1848	Mr. J. H. Willis		D°	30 . .	
1st November 1851	Soodershena Row		D°	30 . .	
1st July 1837	C. Govindoo Moodelly		I Class Copyist	25 . .	
1st July 1837	Mr. J. D'Costa		D°	25 . .	
January 1836	S. Shanmoogum Moodelly		D°	25 . .	
22nd October 1851	Mr. J. Duarte		D°	25 . .	
18th December 1846	K. Veerasawmy Naidoo		D°	25 . .	
16th March 1836	A. Poonnasawmy Moodelly		D°	25 . .	
1st July 1837	N. Parthasarady Naidoo		D°	20 . .	
15th June 1836	S. Veerasawmy Moodelly		D°	20 . .	
15th February 1837	B. Parthasarady Naidoo		D°	20 . .	
March 1836	P. Kistniah		D°	20 . .	
24th June 1839	Mr. R. Davis		D°	20 . .	
2d July 1850	Amoorthy Pillay		D°	20 . .	
1st July 1837	P. Balakistna Moodelly		D°	20 . .	
1st July 1837	M. Sooba Row		D°	20 . .	
1st July 1837	P. Annasawmy Pillay		D°	20 . .	
From 13th Septr 1842 to Janr 1843 and from 1st Septr 1843	C. Soorianarrianah Brameny		D°	20 . .	
1st July 1837	H. Venkat Row		II Class Copyist	17 8 .	
1st July 1837	P. Mooneappah Moodelly		D°	17 8 .	
			Carried Over	2722 8 .	

Fort St George 31st May 1852

Date of Entertainment on the Board's Establishment	Names	Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 11th September 1844 made up to 30th April 1852	Employ	Monthly Pay	Total
				Rs. a p	Rs. a p
			Brought over	2,722 8	
11th January 1838	R. Ellaparavy		II class Copyist	17 8	
1st July 1837	C. Casavalu Naidoo		Ditto	17 8	
27th February 1849	V. Desinga Chetty	25	Ditto	17 8	
1st July 1837	M. Sabapathy Moodelly		Ditto	17 8	
	C. S. Sedasheva Moodelly		D. from 1st to 8th at 17½ Rs. per month	4 10 8	
			D. from 9th to 30th D.	12 13 4	
1st July 1837	B. Annuntagherry Row		Ditto	17 8	
1st September 1847	T. Venkatachellum Moodelly		Ditto	17 8	
1st July 1837	P. Ramasawmy Moodelly		Ditto	17 8	
1st July 1837	T. Sabapathy Moodelly		Ditto	17 8	
26th May 1841	A. Cunnyah Pillay		Ditto	15	
28th March 1824	P. V. Ragavalu Naidoo		Ditto	15	
4th February 1842	S. Chenniah Moodelly		Ditto	15	
13th June 1839	M. Venkatarungum Chetty		Ditto	15	
4th October 1839	D. Audenaramiah Bro.		Ditto	15	
24th December 1850	Mr. John Harding	20 3	Ditto	15	
23d July 1841	P. Arumugum Pillay		Ditto	15	
October 1840	T. Tercoumull Row		D. from 9th to 30th D. 15 Rs. p. month	11	
From 19th January 1842 to 31st January 1843 and from 1st July 1840	C. Sabapathy		D. D.	11	
1st July 1837	C. Chengovarum		III Class Copyist	12	
1st September 1843	C. Streenevasah Moodelly		Ditto	12	
6th February 1847	C. Ramanoojum		Ditto	12	
13th April 1848	Parcoumull Rauj		Ditto	12	
From 23d November 1842 to 31st Jany 1843 & from 1st January 1844	Chuckrapany		Ditto	12	
May 1845	C. Mottoosawmy Moodelly		Ditto	12	
24th February 1847	T. Saravanum Moodelly		Ditto	12	
24th July 1839	A. Ramanjiah Bro.		Ditto	12	
1st January 1850	Mr. W. C. Newbigging	20 7	Ditto	12	
1 April 1850	T. Vurdapulley	32	Ditto	12	
9th April 1850	A. Mootookistnah Pillay	28	Ditto	10	
3d September 1850	D. Ramanoojum	32 8	Ditto	10	
7th March 1851	T. Sodagopum	32	Ditto	10	
			Carried over	3,139 8	

Fort St George 31st May 1852

Date of Entertainment on the Establishment	Names	Age of Invalids entertained subsequent to 11th September 1848 made up to 30th April 1853	Employ	Monthly Pay		Total	
				Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
			Brought over	3139	8 "		
1st April 1851	Mr A Giels	20	III Class Copyist	10	" "		
From 1st August to 17th October 1851: 9th April 1852	M. Ragavaloo	28	Do from 9th to 30 at 10 Rupees per month	7	5 4	3156	13 4
2d June 1818	Mootoosawmy Moodelly		Moochee	10	8 "		
January 1818	Cundapah Moodelly		Ditto	10	8 "		
May 1821	Mooneappah Moodelly		Ditto	9	" "		
1st July 1837	Ramasawmy Moodelly		Ditto	9	" "	49	8 "
Do	Moonesawmy Pillay		Jamadar	17	8 "		
27th July 1836	Mohomed Hoseen		Head Deloyet	10	8 "		
20th May 1822	Kistnajee		Deputy Deloyet	9	" "		
	Currie Reing		Peon	7	" "		
	Hameed						
	Potanah		Ditto	7	" "		
	P. Veerasawmy		Ditto	7	" "		
	Mohomed Cossim		Ditto	7	" "		
	Rajah ram		Ditto	7	" "		
	Shaick Hoosein		Ditto	7	" "		
	C. Rungiah		Ditto	7	" "		
	Sooba Row		Ditto	7	" "		
	Iyaloo		Ditto	7	" "		
	D. Rogeyee		Ditto	7	" "		
	Vydelingum		Ditto	7	" "		
	Parvomall		Ditto	7	" "		
	Punchemsing		Ditto	7	" "		
	Narrainsawmy		Ditto	7	" "		
	Chainsing		Ditto	7	" "		
	Shaick ally		Ditto	7	" "		
	Rajah Gopaul		Ditto	7	" "		
	Parthasarady		Ditto	7	" "		
	Moonesawmy		Carried over	170	" "	3206	5 4

Fort St. George 31st. May 1852

Date of Entertainment on the Board's Establishment	Names.	Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 11th September 1848 made up to 30th April 1852.	Employ.	Monthly Pay.	Total.
				Rs a p.	Rs a p
			Brought over	170	3,206 .5 4
	M. Veerasawmy		Peon	7	
	Santajee Row		Ditto	7	
	Mohomed Kaseim		Ditto	7	
	Coopering		Ditto	7	
	M. Rungiah		Ditto	7	
	N. Chenniatumby		Ditto	7	
	P. Veerasawmy		Ditto	7	
	Kanaryaprasaud		Ditto	7	
	Rungiah		Ditto	7	
	Narrainsawmy		Ditto	7	
	R. Rungiah		Ditto	7	
	A Chenniatumby		Ditto	7	
	Munga putty		Record cleaner	7	
	Ramaing		Ditto	7	
	C. Moonesawmy		Ditto	7	
	Mootoo		Lascar	7	
	Pitchee		Sty	5 4 ..	
	Ninzy		Sweeper	3 8 ..	
	Naigum		Ditto	3 8 ..	
					294 4 ..
	Not Classified.				
From 1815 to 1819 & from Sept. 1833	Mr R. Newbigging		Coxswain	140	
26th March 1838	Mr M. G. Plumbe		Ditto	129	
1st May 1848	Mr G. Gomperts		Ditto	120	
Sept. 1806	Mr T. Ritchie		Ditto	105	
1st August 1826	T. Kistna Row		Record Keeper	94	
February 1815	C. Kistnasawmy Brahmin		Accountant	87 8 ..	
27th August 1841	Mr F Rencontre		Assistant Manager	76 8 ..	
21st August 1850	Mr John McCarthy	29 "	Petition Reg.r and Translator	62 8 ..	
13th May 1840	S. Ponnapillay		Writer	22 8 ..	
March 1837	Mr A Ritchie		Ditto	22 8 ..	
December 1836	Mr M. Vancel		Ditto	22 8 ..	
18th January 1837	M. Annasawmy Modelly		Ditto	21	
1st July 1837	A Veerasawmy		Dr from 9th to 30 @ 20 Rs pr Month	14 10 8	
Dr.	A. Veerasawmy		Dr from 1st to 8th @ 18 Rs pr Dr.	4 12 9	
			Carried forward	922 7 5	3,500 9 4

6392

Fort St George 31st May 1852

Date of Entertainment on the Board's Establishment	Names	Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 11th September 1848 made up to 30th April 1852	Employ	Monthly Pay	Total
				Rs a p	Rs a p
			Brought over	922 7 5	3,500 9 4
1st July 1837	M. Balakistnah Naidoo		Writer	18	
1st July 1837	B. Aumuntagherry Row		Do from 1st to 8th @ 10 Rs per month	14 3	
October 1840	T. Seroomull Row		Do from 1st to 8th @ 15 Rs per month	3 7 0	
From 19th June 1842 to 31st Dec 1843 and from 1st July 1843	C. Sabapathy		Ditto Ditto	3 7 0	
					951 10 8
	Dubay Ramaprasaud Pear		Pear Acting for Ramaprasaud on duty with the Commissioner	7	
	M Govindoo		Row	7	
	Eterajaloo		Do	7	
					21
	Deduct amount of Pay to be drawn at the Commissioner's				4473 4
	Office of the following Individuals				
	Mr. T. B. Padroza		II Class Clerk	40	
	Sodersheva Row		III Do	30	
	Govindoo Moodely		Do	25	
					95
			Total Company's Rupees		4378 4

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

6393.

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No 2.

Date of Pension.	Names.	Office	Amount of Monthly Pension.	Total
			C.R. A. P.	C.R. A. P.
2d June 1818	Govindoo	Peon	7 " "	
Ditto	Chellapen	Moochee	7 " "	
20th March 1820	Bungariah	Peon from 1st to 22d at 5 Rs per Month	3 10 8	
22d February 1836	A Johnstone	Writer	25 " "	
Do	P Rungum	Do	16 12 "	
19th April 1845	P. Iyen Moodelly	Do	25 " "	
Ditto	C. Durmaroya Moodelly	Do	9 3 10	
Ditto	T. Pareatombee Moodelly	Do	8 2 3	
18th August 1845	A. Veerasawmy Moodelly	Assistant Manager	17 8 "	
4th October 1845	T. Veerasawmy Pillay	Deputy Do	63 " "	
1st September 1847	P Kistnah Braminy	Writer	7 5 4	
27th September 1848	Mr. T. Rencontre	Senior Uncovenanted and Manager	307 8 "	
28th June 1850	P. Venkata Kistniah Bj	Writer	20 " "	
4th March 1851	Mr. J. Gibson	Examiner	23 " "	
20th April 1852	Mr. M. Caldiera	Clerk from 20th to 30th at 10 Rs per Month	3 10 8	
22d August 1837	Rajah Row	Tahsildar and Head of Police of North Arcot	32 9 3	
		Total Company's Rupees		576 6 "

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
31st May 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

6394 Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 3.

Contingent. & Charges incurred in the Office of the Board of Revenue from 1st May 1851 to 30th April 1852

Rs. As. P.

Contingent Charges from 1st May to 30th April 1852 ..... 580 15 7

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

6395 Fort St. George 31st May 1852

No. 4.

Statement shewing the detailed list of the Native Establishment attached to the Board of Revenue as it stood on the 30th April 1852

Date of

Deduct amount of Pay to be drawn at the Commissioners Office of the following Individuals.

Royapah
Enagoonta Shenbar Row
Rama Row

Age of Individual entertained subsequent to the date		Monthly
Deputy Sheristadar		250 " "
Goomastah		53 " "
Do	35	" "
		338 " "
Total Company's Rupees		1313 8 "

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st May 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

6397

To

The Acting Civil Auditor

Fort St. George

(70)

B2 No 1049.

To The Acting Civil Auditor,

Sir,

With reference to Mr Bruce's letter of the 6th October 1846 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit according to the form annexed thereto a Statement of the Uncovenanted Servants holding the Offices of Head or Deputy Sheristadar on their Establishment as they stood on the 1st May 1852.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
31st May, 1852.

Signed W. A. Bayley
Secretary.

Statement

6398

For Ft. St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 1 Statement of all Uncovenanted Servants holding Establishment of the Board of Revenue as on the 1st May 1852.

Names.	Age.	Date and Description of present appointment.	Length of Service.	Total Amount of Salary per Annum.	Total Amount of Salary per Annum.
	years	Rd	years	Rs a p	Rs a p
Pundy Sarawinga Pow.	54	1st January 1835 Head Sheristadar.	34. 11	960	960
Poyaskah	47	1st February 1849 Sub Sheristadar.	28	250	3,000
				1050	12,600

N.B. Poyaskah is now doing duty with the Commissioner in the Northern Circars.

6399

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

M. No. 1247.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

71. Read Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th April last.

71-72 Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon. Read the undermentioned

73 Registers.

B. No. 1234.
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of March last.

74

B. No. 1235.
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of April last.

73-75

Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read the undermentioned

76 Miscellaneous Statements.

M. No. 563.
From the Collector of Ganjam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

77

M. No. 557.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

78

M. No. 569.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

Abstract

79
M. No. 567
From the Collector of Bellary. Abstract of Demand Collection and
80 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 561
From the Agent at Kurnool. Abstract of Demand Collection and
81 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 571.
From the Collector of Chingleput. Abstract of Demand Collection and
82 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 552.
From the Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and
83 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 554.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and
84 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 565.
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Abstract of Demand Collection and
85 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 559.
From the Collector of Madras. Abstract of Demand Collection and
86 Balance for the month of April last.
M. No. 564.
From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average
Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
87 month of April last.
M. No. 558.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the average

Bazar

Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for
88 the month of April last.
M. No. 570.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt
89 for the month of April last.
M. No. 568.
From the Collector of Bellary. Statement shewing the average
Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for
90 the month of April last.
M. No. 572.
From the Agent at Kurnool. Statement shewing the average Bazar
91 wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month
of April last.
M. No. 573.
From the Collector of Chingleput. Statement shewing the average
92 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
month of April last.
M. No. 553.
From the Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the average
93 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
month of April last.
M. No. 555.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement shewing the average
94 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
month of April last.
M. No. 566.
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the average

Bazar

0369 Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 1. Statement Shewing the Extent of assessable lands in the Villages within and without the lands of the walls of Black Town under the Madras Collectorate.

Divisions	Names of the Villages	Number of Villages	Extent			Amount of Quit Rent			Remarks.
1	2	3	4			5			6
			G	Y	Sq.Y	Rs.	A.	P.	
1st	Saint Thome		144	2	1200	685	15	6	
2d	Ditto		4	9	1800	26	12	-	
3d	Noongumbaukum		3	7	1700	26	-	4	
	Moravanoor		"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Sapaukum Wallajah Cherry		2	22	1500	107	10	-	
	Poodoopaukum		1	11	"	12	4	-	
4	Egmore		18	3	300	135	14	6	
	Ichel Ghat		23	16	-	150	-	6	
	Kilpaukum		12	21	1200	92	6	6	These lands are held by different individuals partly as Paddy fields, Gardens, Backyards,
5th	Porasavaulkum		20	1	400	221	14	8	
6th	Perambore		36	16	1000	249	12	-	and Topes &c. They are partly built upon Wint. Houses, Bungalows, and
	Veppery Pandy		25	5	767	262	13	-	other sorts of buildings and they
	Nadumbaray		7	6	2000	71	12	-	are partly lying waste. The
7th	Vepury		5	4	500	57	-	-	quantity of land shown in this
	Vroongoonrum		2	5	700	27	2	-	Statement is taken down by
8th	Attepaulum		6	4	1900	178	8	-	mere estimate but not by
	Tondiarpettah		4	6	-	22	5	-	actual measurement.
9th	Ditto		6	10	200	60	14	-	
10th	Mootteal Pettah		-	-	1800	1	8	11	
11th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	
12th	Peddoo Naiks Pettah		-	2	900	3	11	9	
13th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	
14th	Ditto		1	1	-	9	11	7	
15th	Triplicane		32	2	450	395	1	-	
			358	11	1517	2799	1	3	

Madras
20th April 1852

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Statement

6370

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 2. Statement Shewing the Extent of lands of Sakeraj tenure held under exemption Grants and Certificates within and without the limits of the wall of Black Town in the Madras Collectorate.

Divisions	Names of the Villages	Number of Villages	Extent			Rate of Quit Rent		Remarks
1	2	3	4			5		6
			G	G	Sq.Ft.	Rs	As	
1st.	Saint Thome		28	1	842	204	4 -	
2nd.	Ditto		178	22	1088	1020	2 6	
3rd.	Noongumbaukum		163	4	1800	919	15 -	
	Morasanoor		110	-	-	577	8 -	
	Saipaukum Walajah Cherry		1	3	.	14	- -	These lands are held and enjoyed by a very few of the original incumbents and their heirs partly as Paddy fields Gardens, Backyards &c. They are partly built upon Viz. Houses, Bungalows, Chuttrums or Charity Houses, Pagodas, Square Tanks Mosques &c.
	Poodoopaukum		40	19	-	217	2 -	
4th.	Egmore		6	13	325	36	9 1	
	Chetput		-	-	-	-	- -	
	Kilpaukum		3	6	1943	17	4 7	
5th.	Porasawaukum		20	5	140	127	5 -	
6th.	Perambore		24	19	332	89	1 1	partly they are lying as Samoodayem land: They are partly held by different individuals by private sales transfers and assignments without paying Quit rent thereon and several of the Chatrums or Charity Houses are not left for the intended purposes but occupied only by the Donors and they are therefore liable to Quit Rent. The quantity of land shown in this Statement is taken down by mere estimate but not by actual measurement
	Veyserpaudy		35	13	2302	146	- -	
	Nadembaray		3	11	1000	18	3 11	
7th.	Vepery		1	8	1677	9	15 9	
	Veroongoonnum		7	11	428	39	2 2	
8th.	Attapaulum		9	30	-	79	12 -	
	Tondiarpettah		1	16	-	22	- -	
9th.	Ditto		12	18	-	82	15 -	
10th.	Moottial Pettah		1	1	1500	32	6 11	
11th.	Ditto		6	5	-	197	7 5	
12th.	Peddoo Naiks, Pettah		-	4	351	19	10 3	
13th.	Ditto		1	18	1500	50	2 8	
14th.	Ditto		1	12	372	22	15 6	
15th.	Triplicane		38	17	2242	264	1 6	
	Ditto		163	-	-	-	- -	
			861	13	1042	4267	15 11	

Madras — 3
20th April 1852 — 3

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

6371

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

No. 3 Statement Shewing the Extent of lands in excess or exceeding dimensions under Grants and Certificates &c. in possession and enjoyment of the Private individuals within and without the limits of the walls of Black Town in the Madras Collectorate

Division	Names of the Villages	No. of Villages	Actual extent under Grants and Certificates			Amount of Quit Rent		Excess			Amount of Quit Rent		Remarks
1	2	3		4		5		6			7		8
			C^s	G	Sf^s	R^s	A^s	C^s	G	Sf^s	R^s	A^s	
			11	13	1705	-	-	6	12	-	34	2	
1st.	Saint Thome		34	19	1691	-	-	14	12	1200	76	3	9
2d.	Ditto		17	12	1047	-	-	2	4	-	11	6	-
3d.	Nungumbaukum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Woravanore		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sapaukum Walluja Cherry		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Poodoopaukum		2	22	-	-	-	2	-	-	10	8	-
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
4th.	Egmore		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	4	-
	Chettoopat		1	19	2838	-	-	1	-	-	23	10	-
	Keelpaukum		32	16	876	-	-	4	12	-			
			6	16	1464	-	-	1	2	-	5	11	-
5th.	Pursewakum												
6th.	Parumbore		47	12	1136	-	-	9	-	-	47	4	-
	Veasurpaudy		80	8	567	-	-	8	12	-	14	10	-
	Nadoombaray		4	1	1152	-	-	-	12	-	2	10	-
7th.	Vepery		-	11	619	-	-	-	3	600	2	2	-
	Eroongoondrum		-	4	867	-	-	-	-	1300	1	-	-
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8th.	Attapaulum		32	1	566	-	-	3	16	-	19	4	-
	Tondiarpett		71	19	1124	-	-	10	7	-	54	6	
9th.	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10th.	Mooleal Chettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11th.	Ditta		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12th.	Peddoo Naikes Pettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13th.	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14th.	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15th.	Triplicane		-	1	372	-	-	-	-	1200	1	-	-
			314	12	1624	1808	12 5	63	21	1900	338	11	3

These lands are held as Paddy fields, Gardens, Backyards and Topes &c. partly built upon as Houses Bangalows &c. and they are partly lying waste without paying Quit Rent. The quantity of land shewn in this Statement is taken down by mere Estimate but not by actual measurement.

Madras 20th April 1852

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

6371

Fort St. George 31st May 1852

No. 3 Statement Shewing the Extent of lands in excess or exceeding dimensions under Grants and Certificates &c. in possession and enjoyment of the Private individuals within and without the limits of the walls of Black Town in the Madras Collectorate

Division	Names of the Villages	No. of Villages	Actual extent under Grants and Certificates			Amount of Quit Rent			Excess			Amount of Quit Rent			Remarks
1	2	3		4			5			6			7		8
			Cs.	Gs.	Sft.	Rs	As	Ps	Cs.	Gs.	Sft.	Rs	As	Ps	
			11	13	1705	-	-	-	6	12	-	34	2	-	
1st	Saint Thomie		34	19	1691	-	-	-	14	12	1200	76	3	9	
2d	Ditto		17	12	1047	-	-	-	2	4	-	11	6	-	
3d	Nungumbaukum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Moravanore		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Sapaukum Wallaja Cherry		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			2	22	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	10	8	-	These lands are held
	Poodoopaukum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	as Paddy fields, Gardens
4th	Egmore		1	19	2838	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	4	-	Backyards and Topes &c.
	Chettoopat		32	16	876	-	-	-	4	12	-	23	10	-	partly built upon as
	Keelpaukum		6	16	1464	-	-	-	1	2	-	5	11	-	Houses Bangalows &c.
5th	Purusemakum														and they are partly
															lying waste without
6th	Parumbore		47	12	1136	-	-	-	9	-	-	47	4	-	paying Quit Rent.
	Veasurpaudy		80	8	567	-	-	-	8	12	-	1,4	10	-	The quantity of land
	Nadoombaray		4	1	1152	-	-	-	-	12	-	2	10	-	shewn in this State-
			-	11	619	-	-	-	-	3	600	2	2	-	ment is taken down
7th	Vejeny		-	1	867	-	-	-	-	-	1300	1	-	-	by mere Estimate
	Eroongoondrum		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	but not by actual
8th	Attapaulum		32	1	566	-	-	-	3	16	-	19	4	-	measurement.
	Tondiarpatt		71	19	1124	-	-	-	10	7	-	54	-	6	
9th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10th	Moodeal Pettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12th	Peddoo Naikis Pettah		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14th	Ditto		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15th	Triplicane		-	1	372	-	-	-	-	-	1200	1	-	-	
			314	12	1624	1808	12	5	63	21	1900	338	11	3	

Madras 20th April 1852

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 221

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter and enclosures from the Collector of Madras, on the subject of the defective state of the Survey accounts of his Collectorate, and the probability of a great evasion of Revenue—

2. The late Act of XII of 1851 has given to the Collector a power of investigating the land tenures of Madras, which he never had before, and enables him now to urge an enquiry into the extent of land actually occupied which previous to the passing of the Act he could not even propose though it was known that many irregularities existed.—

The

61-62

B. No. 1041.
To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras relative to the defective state of the survey accounts of his Collectorate & the probability of a great evasion of Revenue & recommending that the original orders of Government to have a complete survey of the whole of the Houses and Grounds of Madras be carried out, under certain modifications adding that at the same time a revision of the assessment on cultivated lands might conveniently be entered upon

Forwarded with Mr. Hudleston's letter of 31st May 1852.

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

3. The Collector forwards 3 Statements in detail, prepared from estimate and not by survey, by his Conoscopolies who from their long experience are able no doubt to point out within reasonable limits the extent of encroachments and unauthorized tenures.

One Map is 8 inches to the mile, but the one most largely published is 6 inches. This is much too small for any practical use in investigating individual occupations or Boundaries.

4. Mr. Hudleston observes that Hills map of Madras the only complete one in existence, the area of his Collectorate * should be 13824 Cawnies or 26½ Square Miles

* The limits of the Madras Collectorate are fixed by Section XII Regulation II of 1801.

This is confirmed by the Printed Statements laid before the House of Commons: *

* The Trigonometrical Survey of India ordered to be printed 15th April 1851.

5. The Collector then shews that if all the land on his Records under its various denominations is added up, it only amounts to 7,510 Cawnies, so that there must be 5,314 unaccounted for, to the detriment of the rights of Government in a place where Land is valuable.

6. The 3 Statements above referred to bring to light some of the missing

land

"possibly accumulate without considerable neg-
"lect on the part of every person in the Depart-
"ment when the collecting Conicopoly finds
"any difficulty in fixing upon the property ass-
"ed a reference to the Office will immedi-
"ately shew him where to look for payment, &
"if he does not make this reference the fault
"will be with himself alone and the Head
"of the Department should periodically call
"all the Conicopolies to account for any
"Bills they may have remaining in their
"hands uncollected."

"We conceive that the only mode in
"which this reference can be made is by causing
"accurate Maps to be constructed by actual
"survey of the whole of the Madras Collecto-
=rate each Village, Hamlet &c. where the
"property is much subdivided being on
"such a scale as to enable the Houses or
"Ground of each individual to be laid down
"of such a size that the number of the Grant
"or certificate may be written distinctly within
"the space. In cases of any subdivisions of
"the property after the survey shall have
been

"been completed it would be the duty of the Survey
"or of the Office to mark them accurately on
"the Map with their respective new refer-
"ences and to certify that he had
"done so before the new Grant or
"Certificate should be issued.

"The owner of each spot of
"ground should be required to produce the
"Company's Grant or Certificate under
"which he holds it or if this should be
"deemed objectionable he should be desired
"to state the No. and date of the docu-
=ment in order that the Registers
"of the Office may be compared with the
"actual survey as it is carried on and
"any differences noted. by this means
"every Grant or Certificate would
"have its corresponding entry in
"the Map and we cannot conceive
"that any pretence could then exist for
"allowing arrears to accumulate in
"cases where the assessment was
"justly made some spots of Ground
"may also be found which have not yet
"been subjected to any assessment.

The

"The accurate survey which
"we propose to have made of the
"Collectorate of Madras will no doubt
"be attended with considerable trouble
"but we think its usefulness will fully
"counterbalance this objection the gene-
"ral Map at present in the Office is
"that of Major Ravenshaw which
"except for the Garden Houses is
"on much too confined a scale to be
"of any use. the
"work will however oc-
"cupy a long time if the Surveyor of
"Office only is employed upon the bu-
"siness - we would therefore beg to
"suggest that proper persons for this
"business be put under his orders from
"the Surveyor Generals' Office or any other
"Department that can furnish the assistance
"required and that the Survey be commenced
"without delay. The measuring Maistries
"of the Office must attend and make their
"own plans in the manner they are accustomed
"to do.

12. The facts which sufficed to im-
press Government with the conviction of
the necessity of a survey exist at the present day
and the Board are quite unable to discover
why the orders of Government in 1826 were
not carried out.

13. In 1838 or 12 years after the
Inspector General of Civil Estimates when called
on by the Collector, furnished the squares con-
taining the survey of one out of the 16 Divi-
sions into which Madras is divided,
and no more has ever been obtained - No in-
formation is to be found in the Records of
the Board of Revenue in the Depart-
ment Public Works into which the Inspector
Generals' Department subsequently
merged, and it therefore only remains for the
Board strongly to recommend that the origi-
nal orders of Government be carried out with this
modification that the Board be permitted to form
their own survey Establishment and previous to
commencing operations submit it for sanction.
It may be necessary not to abide exactly by
the detail given in para 23 of the Collector's letter, and
the Board would wish to scrutinize more closely
the

6402

Fort St. George 31st May 1852.

(95) Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

M. No. 500.

From the Collector of Madras. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for

(96) the month of April last.

M. No. 526.

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the

(96-97) month of March last.

Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

" Molesworth

Comp. A. Smith

" [illegible]

"