2 3 8 4

2884

Pauper appeal of motions by the parties: before the Court upon Stampt papers taints the proceedings with informality if not illegality.—

7. Upon the second question, the competency of the Court to determine the rights of the several parties by a Judgment in this appeal Suit, the Judges are of opinion that the Court of Sudr Udalut has no authority to exercise Original jurisdiction on the claims before them which are not only conflicting but against which adverse opinions have been placed on the record by the Law Officers of this Court, and that it is contrary to the general principle of the laws in force and to the provisions of the Code for this Country to hear and determine in an appeal Suit the rights of parties to property whose titles have never been brought to issue by such parties before a Court of Original Jurisdiction.—

8. It is further clear to the Judges that under the Regulations, Regulation XV of 1816 and act VII of 1843 Section IX parties can only be heard and have their rights determined in an appeal Suit before the Court of Sudr Udalut who have conformed to the rules prescribed for the admission and hearing of appeals, but that as this has not been done, as is evident upon the face of the record, by the several appellants it is not competent to this Court to pass Judgment and

and determine their respective rights by means of this appeal. For this would in fact be to excercise so far as regards their claims, an original Jurisdiction and to dispense with the rules and course of procedure prescribed by the law neither of which the Judges are of opinion is it open to this Court under any circumstances to do.—

9. It is resolved therefore to reverse the orders of the 1.st May 1851 and to inform the several parties Viz.t—

Bootoo Ra Nauchear.
Cotah Nauchear.
Cotama Nauchear.
Soumeya Nauchear.
and
Mootoo Vizia Raghoonada Mootoo
Vadooga Peria Oodia Taver.—

who have appeared in Pauper appeal No.7 of 1849 that they cannot be heard in the said appeal but that they must pursue their rights in the Court of Original Jurisdiction in the first instance; Viz.t, the Zillah Court, and that Court must be guided in the admission and hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force.—

10. Before closing these proceedings the Judges deem it right to state at some length, the grounds upon which they have overruled the objections which have been urged against the issue of the foregoing order. Namely that inas-much

as

as it reverses the Order of this Court of the 1st May 1851 passed after full and deliberate hearing by a full Court it is beyond the competency of this Court and further that it is unsound in principle as it must introduce the greatest confusion and uncertainty if the different Judges sitting at different times in this Court to reverse the acts and orders of their predecessors.—

11. The Court will first observe that they attach the greatest possible weight to these objections. But they are of opinion that the principle contended for that this Court ought not and indeed cannot reverse its own orders at its discretion cannot be upheld in all its breadth in matters or causes actually pending before the Court and when as in the case before them the appeal with the whole record is Sub Judice.—

12. Further that there must of ne-cessity be some limitation in enforcing the prin-ciple urged upon the attention of the Court, such for instance, that if on the face of an order it is evident that there has been manifest error or omission the Court must have the power of rectifying such error more especially when there is no appeal to a higher tribunal for this correction.—

Order of Court of Dewany Adalut, Calcutta, deciding that there is no appeal from an interlocutary order.

Ther

13. The Court will also remark that whilst the order of the Court now declared reverses that of the 1st May 1851 it affirms in principle the previous order of the same Judges of the 21st October 1850 equally upon the record in this appeal, and that no precedent is consequently afforded by the order now issued which would sanction as argued the arbitrary and unlimited interference by one set of Judges with the orders of their predecessors.—

14. The Court must also observe that in reversing the order of 1st May 1851 they do not overlook the fact that that order is based upon a ruling of an English Court of Justice, which can be no authority to this Court in the admission or hearing of this appeal. The Regulations distinctly providing that in appeal suits on its file this Court is to follow the rules and provisions enacted for the guidance of the lower Courts, and the Court of Sudr Adalut have no power to deviate from nor dispense with them under a ruling or in accordance with the practice of an English Court of law.—

15. It might also be questioned whether there is such analogy in the two Cases that

Contents

Board No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con. 22 April 1858	
807	From Coimbre	Papers regg the Talukdar of Collectorate	5095
749	Pro. do	On the above	5095
764	From Malabar	Variation of Pisheush	5097
728	From Madras	To exempt certain female Yemiahdars from personally drawing their allowce	5096
739	Pro. Govt	On the above	5101
862	From Adjt Engrs	Map of the Collectorates Vga	5102
952	From Mrssrs Dale & Boyson	Papers regg the Shevagunga case	5103
730	Order Madura	Commg the above	5117
737	To Govt	On the claims of Pettah Mohudeen Beebee to a Share in certain Enam	5118
741	To Govt	Return of the Sales of Salt	5120
728	To Masulpm	State of Charges on account of Addl Establishy	5122
729	To N. Arcot	Respecting Weights and Measures	"
		Con. 26 April	
964	From Kurnool	Leave of absence to Lieut Russell	5127
839	From Govt	Orders on the Tabular report of Lapsed Enams	5129
799	Order Cuddapah	Commg the above	5132
876	From Govt	Sanctg Judderwares and Extra Charges	5133
831	From Govt	Introduction of the Abkary System at Paulghaut &c	"
780	Order Malabar	Commg the above	5144
982	From Govt	Sancg the defence of Suit No 13 at the Public expence	5145
771	Order Salem	Commg the above	"
859	From Nellore	On the Sale Vga of Salt	5146
779	Pro do	On the above	5148
841	From Bellary	Appointment of Sham Row to the Office of Sher	"
777	Pro do	Sanctg the above	5149
766	From Cuddapah	Relative to certain Yeomiah Allowce	5150
776	Remarks to Govt	On the above	5152

Board's No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
963	From Cuddapah	Return of population	5153
812	From Madras	Salt entered in the Account Current	5153
794	Pro. to Chingleput	On the above	5160
795	To Madras	Salt received from Chingleput	5161
970	From N. Arcot	Statement of property sold for arrears of Rev.	5162
743	From Salem	Relative to the Cadastoor & Anundoor Mootahs	"
800	From do	Sale of the Anundoor Mootah	5165
782	Pro. to Salem	On the above	5172
797	Pro. Govt. to N. Arcot	Settlement Report for Fs. 1260	5175
896	From N. Arcot	Reft. the Depty Shers	5176
773	From Tanjore	Dismissal of Sattaina Row, Tahsildar	5196
778	Pro. do	On the above	"
714	From Canara	Appointing Mr Poulton as an Asst to the Forest Dept	5222
785	Pro. Govt	On the above	"
721	From Madras	Contract with Mr Rozario for conveying Salt	5225
787	Pro. Govt	Subg correspce from Madras reft Salt	5230
786	From Madras	Ground rent on Land occupied as Coal Sheds by the C. S. N. Company	5233
770	Pro. do	On the above	5238
748	To Govt	Broken Set Pro. for March	5240
781	To Masulipm Collr	Calling for certain Statements	"
			5241

Con. 29th April

987	From Coimbre	Reward for the apprehension of an Incendiary &c	5246
773	Order Supt of the Press	Transmitting the above	5247
798	To Govt	Arrears of Rev. against Estates of pinea pacitated proprietors &c in Bengal	5248
632	From Govt	Relative to the Settlt of Land Rev. Trichy Fs. 1260	5249
813	Order Trichy	Commg the above	5255
783	To Vizagpm &c	Calling for a duplicate of the Census Statement	5256
807	Circular	Instructions reft the Census Statement	5257
806	Circular	Assessment on grain &c	"
792	To Govt	Explaining the reasons in calling for further information reft Settlt reports	5258

Board's No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
810	From Govt	Disbursement from Talook Treasuries	5260
831	Circular	Commg the above	5261
886	From Govt	Repayment of amount due to the Travancore Circar on account of Tuckee lands	5262
811	Order Trichy	Commg the above	5268
942	From Govt	To afford the necessary assistance to the Commr appointed to enquire into the conduct of certain Servants on the Establishment of the Accts Genl	5264
930	From Guntoor	Confiscation of Arrack	5265
810	Pro. do	On the above	5268
855	From Nellore	Transfer of Yeomiah	5268
820	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	5268
1006	From Nellore	Reft the Nail & Head Shers	5269
793	From Bellary	Lithographed Circular Orders	5269
801	Pro. do	Complying with the above	5269
796	To Cuddapah	Deductions from Kistbundy Beriz	5269
756	From Cuddapah	Distribution of Pensions &c	5270
830	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	5272
815	From Cuddapah	Requesting to know the meaning of the term "Benamee"	5273
790	Pro. do	Explaining the above	5274
848	From Cuddapah	Reft Annajee Row	"
819	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	5275
364	From Chingleput	Sub division of the Nerumboor Mootah	"
793	Pro. do	On the above	5307
750	From Chingleput	Retransmitting D. & B. Statements	5311
829	Pro. do	Returning the above for correction	"
799	From Salem	Relative to the Jagheer of the late Mahomed Moosa	5312
809	To Sudr Udalut	On the above	5317
797	From Salem	Land applied for by Mr Fisher	5318
800	Pro. do	On the above	5321
992	From Tanjore	Papers reft a suit	5322
821	To Govt	On the above	5333
1007	From Trichy	Leave of absence to Asst Collr	5336

Read	From and To whom	Subject	Page
818	From Madura	Increase rate of allowce to the Head Shet	5337
788	Pro. do	On the above	5337
856	From do	Requesting the return of certain papers	5338
802	Order do	Complying with the above	"
884	From do	Relative to certain Deceased Enams	5339
814	Pro do	On the above	"
938	From Trichy	Attachment of an Estate &c	5341
803	Pro do	On the above	5342
967	From Trichy	Papers regg a Suit No 9 of 1852	5344
832	Pro Govt	Subj to the above	5345
765	From Malabar	Papers regg Mr Hutsons Coffee plantation	5357
812	Pro do	On the above	5360
878	From Officer Cmg S.N.J.	Certain Lands claimed by a Private of the S.N.J.	5369
788	Pro. Chingt	On the above	5371
791	To Govt	Statet of Ordinary Collns	5372
804	To Nellore	Calling for report on Petn from Madar Bre claiming share in certain Enam	5373
805	To Trichy	Calling for report on Petn 876 of 1852	5386
815	To Madras	" on Petn 832 of 1852	"
			"

5059. Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

Read the following letter-

No 25

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St George -

28.

B. No 807-

From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore. - -

Sir,

I have had the honor to receive the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th Instant directing me to obtain and forward a full explanation from Vurdacharry the Head of Police of Collegal - I have to apologize for not having done so previously in conformity with the Circular Orders of the Board of Revenue -

Submits the defence of the suspended Tahsildar of Collegal, as directed by the Board together with other papers connected therewith -

2- In compliance with the orders of the Board I beg to enclose translation of the order which contains the principal points upon which I considered the Tahsildar to blame with translation of an Urzee in which he has replied to each -

3- I have also the honor to forward the enclosed Copies of papers received from the Sessions Court - containing

Criminal Proceedings in cases 213-214 and 215 Dated 28th February 1852

Fort St. George. 22nd April 1852.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Court Dated 22nd March 1852.

containing the opinion of the Court, upon the case, referred to, and an Extract from the Quarterly Proceedings giving the opinion of the Sessions Judge as to the general conduct of the Head of Police during the quarter.

4.

I have only to observe that the case was brought to the notice of the Magistrate upon the complaint of Naraseen, the Tahsildar of the Jaghiredar, complaining of the indignity he had suffered. The Head of Police was then called upon for explanation.

Coimbatore, 31st March, 1852. } (Signed) W. E. Underwood
Acting Collector.

No. 1.

Translate of a Tahkeed issued to the Head of Police of Collegal Talook, dated 24th February 1852.

Your Erzee of the 28th January last No. 13 on the subject of the Complaint preferred by one Naraseen, Tahsildar of Suvanasemdrum, in the Jaghire, was received with the documents which accompanied it.

Fort St. George. 22nd April 1852.

4. On a review of the same it appears that an Individual by name Soobbaroya Chetty of Rasanagrum in the District of South Arcot lodged a complaint at the Police Office against the abovementioned Naraseen and 3 others charging them with having severely ill treated and assaulted him to such an extent as to occasion his (Prosecutors) temporary deprivation of his senses and forcibly carried away Gold Coins known as Ramatanky Pagodas and other Property valued in all at Rupees 543-8- on the Night of the 9th of November, that on your calling for the Prisoners and holding an enquiry into the case, you found the charge not proved and that in consequence of a further representation of the Prosecutor to the effect of the existence of further witnesses whom he could point out, that you have deputed a Peon and entrusted him with a Summons together with the Prosecutor, who, at this stage of the Proceedings, ran away on the 23d of that Month from a Choultry situated at Sattigal where he slept that night, and

that

that as he had not since appeared you had dismissed the case-

In the Original Complaint submitted by the Prosecutor to you he states that the crime was committed on Monday the 10th of November but in the deposition given before you it is stated that it was committed on Sunday the 9th. This falsification of date is not accounted for or the correct date given-

No correct inventory as to the Estimated value of the different Articles of Property was taken and the single witness named by the Prosecutor to prove that he possessed the Property said to have been taken was neither examined nor any other ordinary preliminary measures observed to discover the truth or otherwise of the Complaint, before you had information on which he could rely, you have on the 14th November the very date of the filing of the complaint deputed a Peon with a warrant to apprehend and search the houses of the parties complained against among whom the names of 2 were

were stated in the warrant, while that of the 2 others were alleged to be unknown- This warrant was executed- These proceedings on your part as well as your issuing Summons for witnesses, whose names the Prosecutor did not know, is upon his verbal assertion is unjust and irregular- The "Ramatanki Pagodas" are a very ancient coinage and are as rarely seen in these days, you do not seem to have considered how unlikely it was that the Prosecutor, a Mendicant pilgrim, could be in possession of 5 full Pagodas of the above description and 3 half Pagodas making in all 8 with some Rupees and other valuables- Crediting the bare assertion of the Prosecutor, which was not supported by any corroborative testimony you have apprehended the Parties, of whom the first is no less than a Tahsildar, and the 2nd a Tillaidar, and searched their houses, you have, after causing their attendance, closed the examination on the 21st of November

Prosecutor on the preceding night (the 24th) whereas in the return to the summons itself dated the 25th the Peon states that he ran away on the night of the 23d and that he searched for him through the Villages of Sevanasamoodrum Dam=gary and Oogiam &c. but with no success. The date to the return further shews evident marks of correction and alteration, which again leads to the belief that no effort was taken to as=certain what had become of the man=Contradicting dates as to the Commission of the Crime as given by the Complainant the non discovery of any of the Property said to have been robbed and the want of proof in the case, so far as the ex=amination went on till the 21st of Novem=ber were sufficient to give you a cor=rect idea of the subject. But in spite of this you issued a second summons at the solicitation of the Prosecutor, for witnesses whose names were not known or whether such parties were in existence and allowed him an opportunity to abscond.

All

November and notwithstanding you were aware on the 25th that the Prosecutor had absconded you suppressed all knowledge of the case reaching the notice of the Ma=gistracy in as much neglected to make any report thereof for 2 Months when an explanation is demanded no satisfactory reason is afforded by you for the omis=sion of the report in due course. If the Prosecutor was indeed a person of sub=stance, he stated himself to have been and if his complaint bore the shadow of truth, he ought and would have re=mained to see the result. There is no cause perceptible why he should have abscanded. It does not appear that you have acted free from bias nor that you have given due weight or consideration to the condition of the complainant as and to the position or the character and rank of the different Parties. The certificate of the Moongar of Sattigul as shews that the Peon entrusted with the Summons informed him on the 25th of November last of the disappearance of the

Prosecutor

Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

All this tends to the belief that you acted throughout in a most irregular manner. In order to ascertain the real truths of this case and clear your character the attendance of Soobbaroya Chitty the Original Complainant is requisite, whose presence you as the responsible Officer concerned should cause to be procured.

You are to consider yourself suspended from Office for a period of one Month and this time is allowed to you to produce him. You will give charge of the affairs of the Treasury, Seal &c. over to the Sheristadar of the Talook immediately and take a receipt from him. After this you should endeavour to produce the Complainant Soobbaroya Chetty within the Month at the Magistrate's Office. If on examining him the subject of his complaint and the Statements of your Urzee prove authentic you can be re-instated. On the contrary if it should appear, as it now does, that you have acted unjustly as is

Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

represented in the Urzee of Varaseien you shall never have your Office back. You will learn this to be my decision.

The Heads of Police of the adjacent Talooks of Audoor Denaukencottah Suttiamungalum and of Collegal are instructed to afford you assistance in your endeavours to procure the attendance of Soobbaroya Chetty which you can avail yourself of.

24th February 1852.

(Signed) W. E. Underwood
Acting Magistrate.

No 2

Translation of an Explanation furnished by Varada Charry Head of Police of Collegal now under suspension dated 3d March 1852.

With reference to your order dated 24th February last No 2 (which reached me on the 2nd Instant) suspending me from Office for irregularity in a case of Complaint preferred by one Soobba Roya Chetty of Rasanagarum in the District of South

Fort St. George. 22nd April 1852.

South Arcot against Narasien Tahsildar of Sevanasamoodrum and others, Respectfully beg leave to offer the following explanations:-

1st It is observed in the Takeed that I have incorrectly entered the date of the Commission of the Crime. It is stated to have been committed on the 9th of November, Sunday, in the deposition given by the Prosecutor Soobbaroya Chetty; whereas Monday the 10th of that Month is the date given in the Complaint filed by him.

In reply I beg to state that on my taking down the deposition I asked the Complainant on what date the Offence was committed: He replied that it was on Sunday. This in his answer to the 1st Question I did not deem it necessary to interrogate him as to the entry in the Original Complaint wherein the date 10th was written. After the completion of the deposition it was read over to

Fort St. George. 22nd April 1852.

to the Prosecutor who knows how to read and write and he admitted the correctness of the same and signed it. This stated the date to be the Sunday. I committed no irregularity in this matter. With respect to the remark that no inventory of the Property was taken I beg to state that the property being confined to 5 items. I did not deem it necessary to have a separate (formal) list made out.

2nd It is stated in the Takeed that none of the witnesses named by the Prosecutor prove that he professed the Property in question were examined.

In the 1st place among the witnesses called by the Prosecutor he definitely gave the name of one man who was the resident of a village Malekottay in Mysore; and stated that he did not know the names of the other witnesses, but that he could point them out. Accordingly a Peon was deputed with a summons and ordered to accompany

the

Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

the Prosecutor with direction to bring those witnesses whom he would point out. They were produced but on examination did not substantiate the charge. I then asked the Prosecutor whether he had other witnesses, he answering in the affirmative but stated that he knew not their names and place of residence tho' they were residents of the Taloob and persons whom he could recognize. Believing that after the examination of these witnesses that the man named, residing in Mysore might be summoned and examined I issued the 2nd Summons sending it with the Prosecutor to Shevana Samoodrum and other Places. The Prosecutor however did not point out the witness but absconded as during the night as appeared from the return to the Summons.

I have examined the witnesses produced and as the Prosecutor ran away & I had no opportunity of so calling

Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

calling for the witness from Mysore as none but the Prosecutor could point him out.

3. It is remarked in the Takeed that, that enquiry which would afford information as to the truth or otherwise of the Complaint was not held, but that an immediate warrant was issued to search the houses of the Prisoners.

I thought of examining the witnesses first and then issuing the warrant, but the Prosecutor asserting that by so doing, there would be time enough for secreting the property, that the robbed articles should be first secured and that if I did not act in that manner he would prosecute me. This he declared in the open Cutcherry with much audacity. Besides this, it appeared from the record that the 2nd Prisoner in the Case the Hidadar was on a former occasion charged with having taken forcible possession of the property of one Kistnee (a Dancing Girl). These circumstances induced

me

me to issue both the Warrant and Sum=
=mons simultaneously to search the Pri=
=soners premises for their apprehension and
for the appearance of the Witnesses=

4= It appears from the Sakeed
that I was wrong in having issued orders
for the attendance of Prisoners and Wit=
=nesses whose names were unknown=
How it would be possible for a Traveller
and Stranger when thus exposed to trou=
=bles and annoyance either in a village or
any where else to ascertain the names
of the Offenders and witnesses? I have
not received orders till now prohibiting
me from proceeding where the names
of the Prisoners and witnesses are un=
=known, but whose persons can be iden=
=tified= As this was the fact in the
present case and as two Prisoners were
named and the others were servants
of the Chief Parties accused I issued
the search warrant=

5= The Sakeed goes on further
to state that I have paid no attention
to the improbability of the Prosecutor's
professing

possessing such rare Coins (Ramattimby
Pagoda) and adverts to his position in
life as a Mendicant and observes that
in the absence of corroborative evidence
I admitted his Complaint=

He was a pilgrim mendi=
=cant and one of those who are accustomed
to worship this Coin, the same being held
in sacred reverence by them= They carry
the Coins with them wherever they may
happen to go= Though their value ac=
=cording to the Prosecutor's own account
amounted to Rupees 543=8= their weight
is equal to about ten Rupees weight=
and as for the size of the parcel con=
=taining them it may be in a bag=
which could hold 10 Rupees= How could
it be possible to suppose that the Pro=
=secutor might not possess such a=
parcel, further, he stated he had witness=
=es to prove that he had them=

6= With reference to the
allegation that the houses of the Pri=
=soners were without sufficient cause,
searched, I would beg to observe that
the

Fort St. George, 22d April 1852.-

the reasons which led me to take
such a step are shewen above, and their
premises were searched according to
the Rules.-

7. The charge goes on to say
that this case was closed on the 21st of
November 1851 but still no report was
submitted to the Hoozoor of the same
till two months had elapsed. I learnt
from the return of the Summons on the
25th November that the Prosecutor had
absconded, the Case was therefore laid
aside according to a Circular order, to
wait for 6 weeks for the attendance
of the Complainant in expectation that
the Prosecutor would return, it could not
be examined fully before the expir-
=ation of the time as the Prosecutor
did not appear. The Case was dismis-
=sed on the 1st January. A report of every
Case is required to be made immedi-
=ately but the preparation of the
annual accounts of 1851 and that of
the Month of December respecting
which repeated orders were issued
and

Fort St. George, 22nd April 1852.-

and which were closed on the 24th January
1852 prevented the report of the Case being
made earlier which was consequently
submitted on the 28th idem, the reason
of the delay of 4 days is afforded in
my Urzee of the 24th No. 10.-

8 It is alleged that provided
the Prosecutor was in the possession
of the Property in question he would not
have absconded and that his condition
as well as the position and rank of the
Defendants were not taken into con-
=sideration in dealing with the Case.
If the Prosecutor had been a Resident
of this District his circumstances
would have been enquired into. He
was a Native of a distant Country
and hence it was not possible to
enquire into his condition if he had
not been subjected to ill treatment
by the Prisoners, he would not have
had reason to bring the charge against
them and with reference to the character
of the Prisoners I beg to offer the
following observations which afforded

me

me grounds to suspect them not to be
of honest character and to believe that
they might have committed the Crime
laid to their charge, a ground which
warranted me to take the measures
I did although the witnesses called by the
Prosecutor in the first instance did not
prove the charge of assault and rob=
bery, yet they deposed to having seen
the Prisoners detaining the Prosecutor
in front of the House of one Goomas=
tah Soobbanuen and asking him
certain questions. This, the Prisoners
did not admit to have been the
case and consequently I supposed
that the Prisoners did commit the
Crime and with that impression
took the steps which I did in pro=
=ceeding with the Case. As to the
cause of disappearance of the Pro=
=secutor, I am of this opinion, Viz:, He
must either have been instigated to
run away, by one Venkunniah a
relation of the 1st Prisoner or that
he may have had his Property
restored

restored because if such measures were
not adopted the Prisoners might have
reason to apprehend serious consequences
provided the further witnesses who have
been called for procured and examined.
Under this view, the completion of the
trial seems to have been frustrated by
the working and acts of the Prisoners
party to effect the Prosecutor's Conceal=
=ment. There is no rule or order in force
which provides to guard against the
disappearance of a Complainant before
the Case of his Complaint is thoroughly
investigated and consequently to make
me stand responsible for him is not
right. That I am not a man of the
description as is given in the Urzee of
the 1st Prisoner Narasien, may be as=
=certained on a reference to the opinion
of the Merchants and Ryots of this
Talook.

9. The Talaed further says
that the Certificates of the Moneygar
of Sattagal shews the date of the
disappearance

Fort St. George. 22nd April 1852.

disappearance of the Complainant to be the 24th: November whereas the return of the Peons proves it to have been the 23d: some suspicion therefore exists as to the correctness of the dates and the assertion that a search was made after the Complainant, is open to much doubt. With respect to this point I beg to state that the Monigar's Certificate is intended to shew what the Peon had stated to him that is that the Complainant had ran away - but not to assert that he was an Eye witness to the Transaction the Monigar being a resident of the Village; either from the ignorance of the date or by some cause or other mentioned the date of his (Complainant) absconding to be the 24th: but the Peon appeared before me and mentioned distinctly that the Complainant absconded on the 23d: which I believed to be true, and his Summons was returned on the 26th: but when and how the alteration of the date was committed, and by whom I am not aware, and at the

Fort St. George. 22d April 1852.

the villages of Shevanasamoodrum, Ooganumm, &c. are all lying close together it is possible that the Complainant may have been seen on the 24th: and 25th: to which there is reason to give credit.

10. My having deputed the Prosecutor with the second summons for the Witnesses is animadverted upon. Unless the whole Witnesses called by the Prosecutor in any case, are fully examined the truth or otherwise of the Complaint cannot be ascertained and as the examinations of the Witnesses threw some suspicion in the first instance I thought it necessary under the Provisions of Clause II Section XXVII Regulation II of 1816 to issue the 2d: Summons and depute the Prosecutor to pointing out the Witnesses.

11. I am charged with having been negligent as to afford an opportunity for the Prosecutor to abscond. If I had been furnished with instructions to confine the Prosecutor before the examination is completed

I

I would have taken measures accordingly but no such instructions having been before me. According to customs sent the Peon with the Prosecutor and have committed no irregularity.

12. It is stated in the Takeed that I am responsible for his reappearance and that for this purpose that I am suspended from Office and that a time of one Month is allowed to me for the purpose. I have not allowed him to escape from my escort, neither did he run away in my presence, he disappeared from the Company of the Peon in the village of Sattagal which is not a distance of 9 Miles from the Cutcherry, in sending the peon with him I gave him strict direction to bring him also with the witnesses he could point out, and have not desired him to allow the Complainant at liberty. If the charge of allowing the man to escape and not producing him is to be charged against any person the Peon Surwan Khan, is the responsible party

party for the same and I am not, as because under no Rules or Provisions the Chief Officer is considered responsible for the acts committed by Peons or other Servants and I regret that a different course should have been adopted towards my own case.

13. The Takeed further intimates that if it would appear as alleged in the Complaint of Narasiah that I have acted unjustly and that I cannot be restored to my situation; I have acted justly and the cause of my suspension upon the mere urzee of the opponent Narasiah which is vexatious and without examination I am unable to comprehend.

14. In the Urzee of the said Nurasiah to your address it is stated that I ever since my arrival at this Taluk continue to annoy the Jaghierdar's Department and also that I have instigated the present Complainant and have been the author of the Complaint; this assertion is

Fort St. George. 22d April 1852.

is untrue and if it is entitled to any credit I would not have recommended in a certain case wherein Rajah Gopaloo Moodelly was charged with having skippered the above Narasiah a severer punishment than I was authorized to inflict. The Magistrate complied with my recommendation. In another case wherein Mayya Chetty and others behaved themselves improperly towards the Monegar of Sattagal Vencanniahen in not paying the Revenue and other disorders, I have recommended also heavier punishment which the Magistrate likewise sanctioned; the fine being 5 Rupees on each Prisoner - in other Revenue matters I do assist as far as possible; this would show that I have not been inimical towards the Jaghirdar and the records of the Magistrate's Office will show that the Vizee of the 1st Prisoner Narasiah is seditious. After my

Fort St. George. 22nd April 1852.

arrival at this Talook there have been 4 Tahsildars to the Jaghirdar and if this man's assertion bore the truth, his Predecessors might have stated as he did - such was not the case, and it would appear that the Statements are false -

15. To give a description of the Character of these Prisoners I would beg to proceed as follows - Previous to my arrival at this Talook one Khistee (dancing woman) charged one Soobba Moodily as the Agent of the Jaghirdar and the 2nd prisoner in this case and others with having seized her property, during the night-time and restrained her liberty - this case was not completed by my predecessor, and the Assistant Magistrate - I here directed the case to be disposed by a Civil Suit and it appears from the record that the Houses of Soobba

Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

Soobba Moodely and others were searched by a process in Mysore - After my arrival at this place there was a Complaint brought against the Tahsildar Narsiah, the 1st Prisoner and others, to the effect that he restrained the family and domestics of one Venkiah late Sheristadar of the Jaygeerdar and committing some irregularity, this was proved, and I reported the case to the Magistrate but as those parties escaped punishment they repeat their irregularities at-liberty. There is at present a complaint recorded by Sidda Gounden of Shevana: Samoodrum that the Jagheerdar's people have carried away the Raghee grain by force this is under examination, and as I am not a consenting party to their illegal proceedings they try their best to get rid of me to any other place, and bring disgrace on me, as by so doing they will have their desires effected

Fort St George. 22nd April 1852.

effected. To ensure this they have, by the assistance of some parties whom they have gained over, by the aid of their wealth, have invented stories - If Narasiah the 1st Prisoner was a respectable man he would not have been slighted nor would he have usurped the Property of a Dancing woman. One of the domestics, and an influential party in the occupancy of the Jaygeer, is an old woman and the other a young woman - and being ignorant of accounts the Prisoners act as they please in expending money, and by imposing upon the proprietors gus as they please to Public servants money - alleging them to be for public purposes -

16- I hold my service in this District for the last 18 years and during my exertions I have never received such an order and this throws much reflection on all my past character of Testimonials and therefore I respectfully request that this

Fort St. George 22d. April 1852.

No. 3

Report of Cases forwarded by the Head Police Officer in the Month of December 1851.

No. of the Cases.	Prisoners Name or Names.	Crime Charged against the Prisoner or Prisoners	Mode in which the Prisoners were disposed of, and when discharged the grounds thereof.
213 1851. Principal Sudr Amen	1 Pottagoundren 2 Basavagoundren 3 Siddagoundren 4 Coneagoundren 5 Uttalah 6 Mangadien	Assault and Mis. demeanor.	The Prisoners pleaded not guilty to the Charge. The statement of the Prosecutor is at Variance with the evidence of his 1st 2d. 3d. and 4th Witnesses who seem to be not in good terms with the 1st Prisoner and whose testimony abounding in discrepancies is liable to such objection from the Vague and Conflicting manner in which they deposed, which tend strongly to the impression that their testimony has been Concocted and it does not in any way appear sufficient or positive to warrant the Conviction of any of the

The Prisoners are charged with having at about 10 O'Clock A.M. on the 30th November 1851, in Company with 6 others i.e. 3 released by the Police and 3 not found, and also with one Davaragoodien who has not been sent up to the Court in consequence of his having been indisposed assaulted the Prosecutor with their hands, in an altercation which ensued

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

ensued between the Parties at the Spot termed "Maureeammon or Cullavcuttoo" situated outside the Village of Moodegoondum in the Talook of Collegal, and with having then and there, gathered and taken away the ready Cash being the Circar Thist money, which the Prosecutor (who was then acting as Monigar) had Collected from the Villagers, and which were dispensed from the Cap of the Prosecutor, together with other articles of his property, all Amounting in Value to Rupees 40-11-0

The Prisoners of the Offence with which they stand charged, nor ever the charge seems to have been brought forward by the Prosecutor through ill feeling originated in the 1st Prisoners refusal (when demanded by the 7th Witness) to make good the Payment of the Thist money stated to be due by the Prisoner in which it appears, that foul language had been used by both Parties and which gave rise to the present Prosecution as a Counterchange in preference to the one, which the Prisoner had prefered against the Prosecutor viz. for Certain acts of oppression viz. Stated to have been practised towards the 1st Prisoner, but which the Police Officer appears to have thrown out as not proved Vide his report No. 2 to the address of the Criminal Court under date the 3d January 1852.

Hence and from what has been borne out by the whole record connected with the case, the Principal Sudr Ameen is led to Conclude that the Charge is not well founded or established against the Prisoners by any legal evidence, and accordingly directs the Prisoners to be forthwith released.

28th January 1852.

(Signed) V. Narasinga Row
Principal Sudr Ameen

214 Principal Sudr Ameen

1 Poottagoundan
2 Basavagoundan

Assault and Snatching

The Prisoners are charged with having at about 9 O'Clock on the night of the 23d August 1851 in Company with one Davaragoodden who has not been sent up to the Court, in Consequence of his having been indisposed, assaulted and illtreated the Prosecutor with their hands in an altercation ensued between the Parties, at the

The Prisoners deny the charge
The evidence of the Witnesses sent up by the Police and Called for and examined by the Court, in the Case is by no means available not being Corroborated and being marked by discrepancies and Consequently is not in any way entitled to credit to justify the Prisoners Conviction of the Offence with which the Prisoners stand charged

Hence and with reference to the

5090

Fort St. George 22d. April 1852.

No. 4.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Court at Coimbatoor, under date the 23d March, 1852.

Read Report and Sentence in Criminal Case No. 213 of 1852 Submitted by the Principal Sudr Ameen of Coimbatoor under the Circular Order of the Foujdary Adalut No. 105

+ Now Charged Calendar Page 1861.

In the Remarks appended to the above Case, the Principal Sudr Ameen observes that the reasons assigned by the Head of Police of Callegal for the detention of the Prisoners beyond the prescribed period is not Considered to be satisfactory.-

1.

On a reference to the record of this and the following Case No. 214 the Session Judge Concurs in the opinion recorded by the Criminal Court as to the inadequacy of the cause of the great delay that has characterized the proceedings of the Head of Police throughout these Cases.-

2.

This Officer states in explanation that his time was taken up by the examination of some other Cases and by framing reports &c. in this (No. 213) and in the next two Cases

3.

The Session Judge would observe that Case No. 215 was hardly one that called for

4

5089

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

No. 3.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Court at Coimbatoor under date the 20th February, 1852.

Read the Letter from the Principal Sudr Ameen of Coimbatoor forwarding a Petition from Boyenga Ayen the Village Curnam of Vellamunjy Talook of Erroad complaining that he was unlawfully confined with others in the Village Choultry by the Police Peon and praying that the Principal Sudr Ameen would be pleased to direct the Police Authorities to refund the Fine levied from him and the Persons confined with him.

Ordered that the Petition be returned to the Principal Sudr Ameen with an Endorsement to the effect that the Petitioner is at liberty to prefer a Complaint before the Magistrate of the District against the Police Peon who confined him.

215
Principal Sudr Ameen Coimbatoor

The Prisoner charged with Murder at about 15 O'Clock on the 7th Instant.

The Prisoner is charged with the Murder of Pappa Goundan by striking him on the head with a Bill Hook.

The evidence adduced on the Case being of a conflicting nature, and the Deposition of the Principal Witness for the Prosecution not being considered sufficiently satisfactory, the Prisoner was discharged.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Criminal Court at Coimbatoor, under date the 20th February 1852.

(Signed) C. Strange
Principal Sudr Ameen.

Extract.

(Signed) W. L. Underwood
Acting Collector.

for a Committal to the Court. In case No. 213 the apprehension of the Prisoners took place on the 2d. of December and on the 5th. the examination of the Witnesses was closed but it was not until the 15th. that the case was Committed and the parties left the Talook for the Court. This delay is alleged to have arisen out of the next two cases in which some of the same Persons were concerned, but a reference to dates shews that the neglect of this Officer was still more prominent in case No. 114 and that the reasons quoted are totally untenable.-

5. A Petition was presented to the Magistrate as far back as the 4th. September 1851. which was immediately endorsed to the Head of Police and reached him on the 11th. idem, but it was not until the 15th. November following that that Officer took the complainants Statement and finally did not close the trial until the 7th. December, not even issuing his Search Warrant until 20 days after he had received the Complaint. The gross delay observable in this case calls for

+ So in the original the Correct No. is 213.-

for the decided notice of the Magistrate and the more so since this Officer attempts to screen the delay in one instance by quoting another in which his neglect of duty has been still more marked..

6. The Session Judge in conclusion would call attention to the irregularity observable in the Circumstance of the Prisoners' defence in case No. 113 having been taken previous to the examination of the Witnesses for the prosecution in violation of the Circular Order of the Foujdaree Adalut dated the 1st. August 1835 No. 40.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Acting Magistrate of Coimbatoor for his information.-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. B. Roupell
Session Judge-

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Acting Collector-

No. 5.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Judge's Court at Coimbatoor, under date 17th March, 1852.-

Much unnecessary delay, appears to

Obligal Nos. 140-159-227

20.

Fort St. George 22.d April 1852.

to have occurred on the part of the Head of Police in conducting these cases the attention of the Magistrate is called to the circumstance, who will be pleased to enforce greater punctuality in the disposal of Police duties by the Head of Police if called for in future.-

True Extract/
Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Acting Collector.

(28-29)

Bd. No. 749

Proceedings thereon-

The Acting Collector has not assisted the Board by any remarks on the character of the Tahsildar's defence The disappearance of the Prosecutor may be owing, as is alleged by the Tahsildar to Collusion between the parties- If there are grounds for supposing this allegation to be well founded, it would go far to shew that the persons accused notwithstanding their position, did really commit the offence with which they are charged.-

The Proceedings of the Sessions Court

Fort St. George 22.d April 1852.-

Court have reference to other Cases, in which there has been great, delay on the part of the Head of Police.-

Mr. Underwood proposes that the Head of Police should be removed from his present, Office and employed in a subordinate capacity. As the Collector has returned to the District, the Board would request his opinion, with reference to the general character, of the Tahsildar as well as to his conduct in the cases under notice, as to the proper course to be pursued.

Read the following letter.

No. 43/1852.

To, W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(30.)

Bd. No. 764

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to para.s 5.th of

5095 Fort St. George 22d. April 1852.

of the Court's Proceedings under date the 4th. Instant. I have the honor to transmit Copy of a Communication from my Sub Collector dated 25th. Instant containing the explanation therein called for regarding the variation in the Annual Peishcush.-

Calicut 27th. March, 1852.

(Signed) W. C. Conolly
Collector.-

No. 1.

Memorandum.-

With reference to Para. 8 of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 4th. Instant calling for explanation as to the variation of Peishcush, the Sub Collector begs to state that it arises on account of Gardens and Moturpha which are influenced by many unforseen circumstances.-

Burraghurree, 25th. March, 1852.

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Sub Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. C. Conolly
Collector.

30.31 Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded

Read

5096 Fort St. George 22d. April 1852.-

Read the following letter.-

No. 29

32

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 728

From the Collector of Madras. Furnishing information in regard to the respectability of certain female Yeomiahdars who refused to Conform to the rule enjoining their personal appearance at the Office for the purpose of drawing their allowances and recommending that they may be exempted from the operation of the rule.-

noted in the margin
To B. 8th. Sept. 1851
From do. 17th. Nov.
To Govt. Agent 21st. do.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I have the honor to forward for the information of Government Copy of a letter addressed by the Government Agent at Chepauk to the Chief Secretary to Government in reply to my communication to him under date 21st. November 1851 regarding the right of the female Yeomiahdars mentioned in the List No. 1 accompanying my letter dated 8th. September 1851.-

2. It will be observed that both His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic to whom the question of the respectability of these females was referred and the Government Agent are of opinion that with the exception of those Yeomiahdars named in the margin, the others are people of good birth, of respectability and rank and do not appear in public and that if they did other Mahomedan Ladies would not receive them into their Society.-

Saram Bee daughter in law of Syed Madar Mashekh.
Kad Baanoo Beebee } Syedanies
Khatoon Beebee } Daughters
Hafiza Beebee } of Syeds.
Abjal Beebee daughter of Mahomed Kamal Polla.
Fatimah Beebee } relatives
Ayesha Beebee } of Mahomed
Mayjan Beebee } Saeed Merchant.

It is further stated that even those excepted, are not of such high rank as the former, never appear personally abroad.-

3. Under these circumstances I have the honor

4.

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

honor to urge again my recommendation in their favor that they should be exempted from the operation of this rule for personal appearance at this Office to draw the amount of their Yeomiahs and as a long period has elapsed since they have allowed their Pension to remain undrawn and they are for the most part persons in indigent circumstances I would respectfully beg that the Board would favor me with their orders at an early date.-

Madras, 25th March, 1852.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

No. 66 of P. C. S.

To,

J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras.-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th instant, and in reply to mention that having received a letter, on the subject of yours of the 21st November 1851, from Government, prior to the receipt of your said letter my reply was transmitted to Government on the 25th February 1852; but I have now the honor to enclose a Copy of that reply for your information.-

Government Agent's Office, 22d March 1852.

(Signed) E. G. Balfour
Govt. Agent.

To

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

No. 44 of P. C. S.

To,

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart:
Chief Secretary to Government
Government.

Sir,

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th November 1851 I beg leave to give on the margin the translation of the report which His Highness the Nawab of the Carnatic has enclosed to me in the letter of which Copy is annexed. I beg to add for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that I quite concur in the Dewan's opinion in this case being aware that though many of the female pensioners named in the Collector's list, are people whom we as English men

XXXXX He desires that the Collector will in Communication with the Agent at Chepauk ascertain which of the females entered in the list No. 1 answer to this description "Such as are of known high rank and who do not move abroad."

"Most of the yeomiah dar women named in the list are people of good birth, of respectability and rank and do not appear in public, their relatives have the entre of the Nawab's palace, and if these women should make their appearance in public other ladies would not receive them into their society.-

These a few of them, however named in the margin are not of such high rank, though even they never personally appear abroad. That some of them are alive I know of my own knowledge and I have testimony of the existence of others of them. Shahr Banoo, however, Widow of Mahomed Abdoollah Darogah of the Adawlut died on the 17th January 1852.-

No. of Pur. Regis wandah	No. of Pur. Regis wandah	Names.	
127		Saram Bee daughter in-law of Syed Madar Mashekh	Syedanies Daughters of Syeds.
7	21	Kad Banoo Beebee Khatoon Beebee Hafeza Beebee	
156		Abjal Beebee daughter of Mahomed Kamal Pollan.	Relatives of Mahomed Saaed Merchant.
642		Fatimah Beebee Ayesha Beebee Mujan Beebee	

Dated 12th Feb. 1852.

(Signed) Madarool Oomarah.
Dewan of the Durbar of the Nawab of the Carnatic.

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. G. Balfour.
Govt. Agent.

more would consider of comparatively humble rank, they are, nevertheless, debarred by the customs of this Country from appearing in public, and I think it probable that they would rather forego their pensions than disgrace themselves and their relations by appearing abroad.—

2. I quite concur, however, in the opinion of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council as to the great importance of the executive services of Government possessing the power of identifying their female pensioners and have often reflected on the best means of securing this object.—

3. In the Carnatic Stipend Office the Nawab of the Carnatic is considered responsible for all these Stipendaries, and when any investigation is required, the Nawab sends his female servants, styled Ummaunees, to the house of the female pensioner for the purpose. I think this plan answers tolerably well and that in a money point of view it would over and over again repay Government to employ a respectable Englishwoman to do this duty on their own part. If Government had such a servant I need hardly say that in such a case as that of Zahira Begum whom I lately (letters dated 19th and 28th January 1852) pointed out to be in the receipt of two large

large pensions from our Government (to neither of which, perhaps, has she any right) could not occur.—

4. The person selected would require to have much moral Courage, personal fearlessness and great independance and decision of Character, and though all these qualities are very rare in women, I think that Mrs. O'Flaherty the Matron of the Lying in Hospital, possesses them in an eminent degree, and has combined with them much prudence, much tact, and innate Kindness of heart and if Mrs. O'Flaherty could be entertained for this duty Government would be gainers.—

5. This Lady has seemed to me, however, to be indifferent as to her money earnings, and I doubt if she could be induced to undertake the duty.—

Government.—
Agents Office,—
25th February 1852.

(Signed) E. G. Balfour
Govt. Agent.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) E. G. Balfour.
Govt. Agent

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Resolved.

5101

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

Bd No. 739.

No. 142.

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madura regarding the rank of certain female Yeomiahdars who have refused to appear personally to draw their allowances and recommending that the indulgence claimed by them be granted and supporting the Collector's proposal for employing a female to identify the Yeomiahdars periodically.

Jan Consl. 5th November 1851.

Resolved that the letter above recorded and its enclosure be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th November 1851.

(32.33)

2. The Collector encloses copy of a letter from the Government Agent at Chepauk, which the latter addressed to Government in direct in reply to the call made in the Extract Minutes of Consultation above referred to, and as both His Highness the Nawaub of the Carnatic as well as the Chepauk Agent consider 26 of the Female Yeomiahdars to be of good birth, rank and respectability, and not such as can appear in public as by doing so, they would not be admitted into the society of other Mussulman Ladies, while the remaining 7 Females, all the not of such rank yet do not appear personally abroad, Mr. Hudleston again urges that all 33 may be exempted from personal appearance, they being for the most part in indigent Circumstances, and not having drawn their pensions for a long period.

3 With reference to this information the Board recommend that the indulgence sought for may be granted by Government the Yeomiah of Rupees 30-0-0 per annum to Shahr Banoo Widow of Mahomed Abdoollah Darogah

5102

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

Darogah of the Adawlut lapsing on the 17th June 1852, the date of her death specified in His Highness the Nawaub's report quoted in the Margin of para 1 of the Chepauk Agent's letter 25th February 1852. The Board consider the proposal of that Officer in Para 3, for the employment of a respectable English Woman to identify Female Yeomiahdars periodically to be worthy of consideration.

Read the following letter.

(34)

No. 12.

To, the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Bd No. 862.

From the Adjutant of Engineers

Sir,

With reference to the Resolution of Government under date 2d March last No. 209, I have the honor to forward in the absence of the Chief Engineer a Map exhibiting the several Collectorates and Territories &c under the Madras Presidency.—

Chief Engineer's Office,
Deputy Surveyor General's
Department, Fort St. George,
8th April, 1852.

(Signed) C. A. Foord
Adjutant of Engineers.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.—

(34.35)

Read

Fort St. George 22d. April 1852.-

Read the following letter.-

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(38)

B. No. 952.

From Messrs. Dale and Boyson - - } Letter from Secretary to the Court of Wards to Messrs. Dale and Boyson 22d. May 1851.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter to our address noted in the Margin and subsequent correspondence thereon. We do ourselves the honor to enclose for the information of the Board of Revenue, Copies of the following documents.-

Questions put to the Pundits of the Sudr Udalut and their replies, dated respectively 22d. and 25th. March 1852.-

Extract Proceedings of the Sudr giving points to be argued dated 25th. March 1852, and Order of Sudr dated 19th. April 1852.-

2. With reference to para 9 of the last mentioned order, which directs that the several parties thereto must pursue their rights in the Court of Original Jurisdiction in the first instance, We have the honor to apprize you that it is the intention of Mr. Advocate General to present a Petition to the Sudr Udalut praying for a review of that portion of the Courts Judgment.-

Madras, 20th. April, 1852. }

(Signed) Dale and Boyson

JF

Question

Fort St. George 22d. April 1852.-

No. 1.

Question.

A zemindar, who during his life time married 6 wives, died leaving surviving him B his 5th. wife of widow, Daughter &c. C a Daughter by his 2d. wife D and E Daughters of the man who died, by his 3d. wife F a daughter by the 6th. wife and G the son of a Daughter by the 1st. wife.

Subsequently B the 5th. wife also demised.

Assuming the family of A to be a divided one, the Court of Sudr Udalut require to be informed whether any and if any which of the above surviving parties can be recognised as the legal heir or heirs of the late Zemindar.

22 March, 1852. }

Answer.

According to the text of Yageyanuley a declaratory of the right to succeed to the property of this widow B and not of his Daughters or Daughters' sons while she was alive. After the demise of B, her own Daughters and others alone can in right of their propinquity to her, be recognised as heirs to the Estate which had so devolved upon her as stated above; but neither the Daughters nor the Daughter's sons of A can,

/ Signed / F. Luskington
Acting Register.

Question.

Answer.—

can be recognized as heirs to the said Estate, by reason of their not being the issue of **B**.

/ Signed / Appannasastry
Senior Pundit, Sudr Adalut.
" C. Gopaula Sastry
Junior Pundit, Sudr Adalut.

A. A Zemindar having during his life time married 7 wives, died leaving surviving **B C D** his 5th 6th and 7th wives **E** a Daughter by his 6th wife **CF** a Daughter by his 1st wife **H** a Daughter by his 2d wife **I J** and **K** Daughters by his 3d wife together with 9 Grand Children the offspring of the Daughters of the 1st 2d and 3 wives who predeceased him.—

Subsequently **C** and **D** died and some years after them

Although the Surviving 5th 6th and 7th widows of **A** had equal rights—as having a Daughter was according to the Law Books "Smriteechunda" Yet sole heiress to the Zemindary, a real Estate.—After her death her Daughter is entitled to the said Estate.—

/ Signed / Appanmah Sastry
Senior Pundit, S. Ct.
" C. Gopaula Sastry
Junior Pundit, S. Ct.

25th March 1852

Question.

Answer.—

them **B** the wife also demised.

Assuming the family of **A** to be a divided one the Court of Sudr Adalut require to be informed whether any, and if any which of the above surviving parties is entitled to succeed to the Zemindary.—

/ Signed / F. Cushington
Acting Register.

25th March 1852

Points to be argued by the respective Pleaders retained in Appeal Suit No. 7 of 1849 on the file of the Court of Sudr Adalut.—

1. That in-as-much as the parties who now appear before the Court of Sudr Adalut as entitled to continue the Proceedings in the said appeal Suit, have been declared by the Hindoo Law Officers of the Court of Sudr Adalut not to be the heirs of the deceased Appellant Ungamootoo Nachear, they are not competent to revive the appeal which abated on her death.—

2. That in-as-much as the parties, named in the Margin, have been also declared by the Hindoo Law Officers.

Boodaca Nauchear,
Cota Nauchear.
Cotama Nauchear.
Moottoo Vadooga Tever.—

For^{t} St. George 22^{d} April 1852.

Officers of the Court of Sudr Udalut not to be the heirs of the deceased Zemindar, Goury Vullaba Taver, who died without male issue, they are not competent to revive this appeal Suit as heirs in remainder of that person.—

3.

That although the party named Somma Nauchear has been recognized by the Hindoo Law Officers of the Court of Sudr Udalut to be the heir in remainder to the late Zemindar Goury Vullaba Taver, yet notwithstanding this recognition, she is not competent to conduct the appeal Suit as the representative of that person in-as-much as the aforesaid Zemindar was himself no party to the cause either originally or in appeal.—

4.

That even if the said parties quoted in Para 2 and specified in the Margin had been recognized as the heirs to the late Zemindar Goury Vullaba Taver, yet notwithstanding such recognition they are not competent to conduct the appeal Suit as the representative of that person in-as-much as the aforesaid Zemindar himself was no party to the cause either originally or in appeal

5.

That under no circumstances can a party be allowed to prosecute an original or appeal Suit until the prescribed stamp duty shall have been paid or until such party, shall have

to be argued by J. Ouchterlony Esqre and Teroomul Row

Boodaca Nauchear
Cota Nauchear
Otama Nauchear
Mootoo Vadooga
Taver.—

For^{t} St. George 22^{d} April 1852.—

have qualified as a Pauper.—

By order of the Court of Sudr Udalut.

25th March 1852.

/ Signed / F. Cushington—
Acting Register.—

N° 2.—

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 19th April, 1852.—

In Appeal N° 7 of 1849.—

Rawny Ungamoottoo Nauchear, Pauper Appellant, on her demise her alleged heirs Bootaka Nauchear, Cota Nauchear and Cotama Nauchear by Vakeel J Ouchterlony Esqre—

Sowmeya Nauchear by Vakeels A. M. Ritchie Esqre Barrister at Law and Streenevasa Charry.—

and

Mootoo Vizia Ragoonada Mootoo Vadooga Paria Oodia Taver by Vakeel P. Teroomul Row.—

Versus.

Streematoo Rajah Mootoo Vizia Ragoonada Bodagooroo Sawmy Peria Oodia Taver, Minor Zemindar of Sheva-Gunga, by his Guardian Coolly Joseyar, by Vakeels J. Norton Esqre Advocate General, C. Dale Esqre and Goolam Moortjah and Namasevoya Taver by Vakeel V. Seth Esqre.—

The Court proceed to consider the record in appeal N° 7 of 1849. This is an appeal in formâ, Pauperis by

by Unganoottoo Nauchear widow of the late Zemindar of Shevagunga from the decree of the Civil Court of Madura in Original Suit No. 2 of 1845 instituted by the said sole appellant and Plaintiff for the recovery of the Zemindary of Shevagungah and which appeal, the said Appellant having died on the 24th June 1850, is now prosecuted by the several parties named above in succession to Unganoottoo Nauchear under the order of this Court of 1st May 1851.

2. The Judges will first observe that these several parties do not claim as having a joint or common interest; but that their interests are altogether separate and conflicting, and further that no one of them had yet prosecuted her or his claim in an Original action before the Zillah Judge of Madura.

3. There appear therefore to be two questions, which present themselves on the face of the record for the consideration of the Court.—

First. Whether these several parties who are now before the Court and who are not Paupers and have not qualified as such under the law in force can be heard in and permitted to prosecute this Pauper appeal, and

Regulation XIII of 1818.—

Second.— Whether it is competent to the Court of Sudr Udalut to determine their claims in an appeal

appeal Suit in as much as they have not been heard nor judgment passed upon them in any Court of Original jurisdiction.—

4. Upon the first question the Court are of opinion that under the provisions of the law Regulations VII of 1818 IV of 1825 and III of 1822 these parties who are not paupers and do not claim to be such have no standing in this Pauper appeal and that it is informal and contrary to equity that parties should be admitted to try their rights and sue the Respondent in this appeal who have neither paid the prescribed institution fee nor qualified as Paupers.—

Section XIII Regn XIII of 1816.—

5. It is manifest to the Court moreover that these parties who now appear as appellants are not and cannot all be the next and immediate heir of the Pauper Appellant Unganoottoo Nauchear, nor do they appear and claim as her representatives but on their own distinct rights, as descendants of the late Zemindar. It is not therefore apparent to the Court, upon what ground, under the Regulations in force they can be substituted for the widow and carry on her appeal.—

6. It is clear also to the Court looking to the provisions of Section VII Regulation VII of 1818 Clause first, that the admission in the Pauper

Pauper appeal of motions by the parties: before the Court upon Stampt papers taints the proceedings with informality if not illegality.—

7. Upon the second question, the competency of the Court to determine the rights of the several parties by a Judgment in this appeal Suit, the Judges are of opinion that the Court of Sudr Udalut has no authority to exercise Original jurisdiction on the claims before them which are not only conflicting but against which adverse opinions have been placed on the record by the Law Officers of this Court, and that it is contrary to the general principle of the laws in force and to the provisions of the Code for this Country to hear and determine in an appeal Suit the rights of parties to property whose titles have never been brought to issue by such parties before a Court of Original Jurisdiction.—

8. It is further clear to the Judges that under the Regulations, Regulation XV of 1816 and act VII of 1843 Section IX parties can only be heard and have their rights determined in an appeal Suit before the Court of Sudr Udalut who have conformed to the rules prescribed for the admission and hearing of appeals, but that as this has not been done, as is evident upon the face of the record, by the several appellants it is not competent to this Court to pass Judgment and

and determine their respective rights by means of this appeal. For this would in fact be to excercise so far as regards their claims, an original Jurisdiction and to dispense with the rules and course of procedure prescribed by the law neither of which the Judges are of opinion is it open to this Court under any circumstances to do.—

9. It is resolved therefore to reverse the orders of the 1.st May 1851 and to inform the several parties Viz.t—

Bootoo Ra Nauchear.
Cotah Nauchear.
Cotama Nauchear.
Soumeya Nauchear.
and
Mootoo Vizia Raghoonada Mootoo
Vadooga Peria Oodia Taver.—

who have appeared in Pauper appeal No.7 of 1849 that they cannot be heard in the said appeal but that they must pursue their rights in the Court of Original Jurisdiction in the first instance; Viz.t, the Zillah Court, and that Court must be guided in the admission and hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force.—

10. Before closing these proceedings the Judges deem it right to state at some length, the grounds upon which they have overruled the objections which have been urged against the issue of the foregoing order. Namely that inas-much

as

as it reverses the Order of this Court of the 1st May 1851 passed after full and deliberate hearing by a full Court it is beyond the competency of this Court and further that it is unsound in principle as it must introduce the greatest confusion and uncertainty if the different Judges sitting at different times in this Court to reverse the acts and orders of their predecessors.—

11. The Court will first observe that they attach the greatest possible weight to these objections. But they are of opinion that the principle contended for that this Court ought not and indeed cannot reverse its own orders at its discretion cannot be upheld in all its breadth in matters or causes actually pending before the Court and when as in the case before them the appeal with the whole record is Sub Judice.—

12. Further that there must of ne-cessity be some limitation in enforcing the prin-ciple urged upon the attention of the Court, such for instance, that if on the face of an order it is evident that there has been manifest error or omission the Court must have the power of rectifying such error more especially when there is no appeal to a higher tribunal for this correction.—

Order of Court of Dewany Adalut, Calcutta, deciding that there is no appeal from an interlocutary order.

Ther

13. The Court will also remark that whilst the order of the Court now declared reverses that of the 1st May 1851 it affirms in principle the previous order of the same Judges of the 21st October 1850 equally upon the record in this appeal, and that no precedent is consequently afforded by the order now issued which would sanction as argued the arbitrary and unlimited interference by one set of Judges with the orders of their predecessors.—

14. The Court must also observe that in reversing the order of 1st May 1851 they do not overlook the fact that that order is based upon a ruling of an English Court of Justice, which can be no authority to this Court in the admission or hearing of this appeal. The Regulations distinctly providing that in appeal suits on its file this Court is to follow the rules and provisions enacted for the guidance of the lower Courts, and the Court of Sudr Adalut have no power to deviate from nor dispense with them under a ruling or in accordance with the practice of an English Court of law.—

15. It might also be questioned whether there is such analogy in the two Cases that

that the one could form any ground for the order of 1st May 1851. In the case before the House of Lords the party permitted to prosecute the appeal stood in the same relation to the property as the party demised - He was the sole and immediate heir and there were no opposing and conflicting claims - His claim too was precisely of the same quality as that of the Original appellant -

16. In the appeal No. 7 of 1849. before this Court the several parties are not and cannot all be the immediate heir. Further the Original appellant claimed as the widow - These appellants assert their titles on different and distinct grounds and Maintain the one against the others, their priority of title as heirs to the property - The circumstances of the present case would appear therefore to differ materially from the case cited in the order of 1st May 1851, even if that case were a legitimate rule or guide to this Court, which it is not -

17. Lastly the Court entertain the greatest doubt if the ends of Justice would be furthered, and the interests of parties advanced

x Osborne versus Bisher & Pomeroy and others -

advanced were the Judges to proceed at once to a Judgment in this appeal - The result they apprehend would only be to involve the several appellants in still greater Costs and delay and to heavy expenses before H. M. Privy Council to which such Judgment would be carried up in appeal and whence the suit might be again remanded and the parties required to bring their claims to a regular hearing before the proper and competent Tribunal in the form and mode of procedure prescribed by the Regulations -

18. The Judges are of opinion therefore that they best consult the interests of all parties, and forward the ends of Justice by the course they now

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

now pursued and the appeal No. 7 of 1849 is therefore now struck off the file of the Sudr Adalut having abated on the death of the Pauper appellant Angamoottoo Nauchear.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be furnished to the Petitioners' Vakeels on their application.

Ordered also that Extract be sent to the Civil Judge of Madura for his information.

(36.37)

B. No. 730

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter and of its enclosure be communicated to the Collector of Madura for his information.

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

No. 120.

To. The Chief Secretary to Government Fort St. George.

(38)

B. No. 737

To. The Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

With reference to the endorsement of the Deputy Secretary to Government, on Petitions Nos 311 and 532 of 1852 from Moohideen Beebee Saibah wherein the Board are called upon to report whether Petitioner is entitled to share in the allowance granted by Government to her brother in lieu of the Enam formerly held by their Father, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to point out that in the report of the lapse of that Enam Petitioner was stated to be the married daughter of deceased and did not claim

† Board's Proc. No. 2/1538 dated 21st June 1851

From Salem 4th inclosure 30th June 1851

Fort St George 22d April 1852

to participate, but claimed in her own right to succeed to the Cwans instead of her brother who it is stated had been turned out of his father's family.

2 It having been usual in such cases to register the succession in the name of the next male heir, and to let the other members of the family look to him as head of the family for maintenance, this principle was observed in proposing the Petitioner's brother as the party to whom the allowance should be continued and the brother being the elder the Board do not think that the arrangement should be disturbed especially as the allowance is to lapse at his death.

Foot Notes 344 to C.O. Book Page 29.

3 They consider that it will be sufficient

Fort St George 22d April 1852

sufficient if Petitioner is desired to look to her brother for maintenance.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d April 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

No. 143.

(39)

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

B°. N°. 741. Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Return of the Sales of Salt for the Quarter ending 31st January 1852 prepared according to the order from the Government of India dated 17th May received with the Contract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 7th June 1845.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d April 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Statements

Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of S
Districts under the Madras Presidency for the

	1st November 1849 to 31st Jan					
	Quantity of Salt			Rate of price per 1 Braund.		
	Braund	s	b	Rs	a	p
Ganjam	102,102	27	8	1	"	"
Vizagapatam	30,832	32	"	1	"	"
Rajahmundry	48,269	"	"	1	"	"
	360	"	"	"	"	$9\frac{61}{100}$
Masulipatam	158,071	20	"	1	"	"
Guntoor	71,377	20	"	1	"	"
	79,200	"	"	"	15	4
Nellore	114,985	"	"	1	"	"
	30,000	"	"	"	15	4
Chingleput	41,047	8	"	1	"	"
Southern Division of Arcot	62,969	16	"	1	"	"
Tanjore	106,702	20	"	1	"	"
	2,400	"	"	"	1	$8\frac{80}{100}$
Madura	79,449	"	"	1	"	"
Tinnevelly	66,433	20	"	1	"	"
Canara	118,352	14	14	1	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"
Malabar	64,485	11	"	"	15	$11\frac{994}{1000}$
	32,817	"	"	1	"	"
	250	"	"	"	4	"
Madras	98,541	36	"	1	"	"
Total	1314,640	25	6	"	"	"

Fort St George

Home and Inland Consumption its price per Maund
ns ending 31st January 1850, 1851 & 1852 respectively.

Amount	1st November 1850 to 31st January 1851							1st November 1851 to 31st January 1852							
	Quantity of Salt			Rate of price per I. Maund		Amount		Quantity of Salt			Rate of price per I. Maund		Amount		
	a	℘	I. Maunds	s	b	Rs	a ℘	Rs	a ℘	I. Maunds	s	b	Rs	a ℘	
102	11	"	74,731	"	"	1	" "	74,731	" "	46,800	"	"	Rs	a ℘	
36	5	"	40,420	5	8	1	" "	40,421	66	72,210	37	8			
09	"	"	42,826	"	"	1	" "	42,826	" "	53,812	11	"			
18	"	"	360	"	"	"	" 9 60/100	18	" "	+50,237	"	"			
071	8	"	178,275	"	"	1	" "	178,275	" "	360	"	"			
377	8	1	45,058	8	"	1	" "	45,058	33	116,172	"	"			
900	"	"	109,200	"	"	"	15 4	104,650	" "	60,619	8	"			
985	"	"	100,336	32	"	1	" "	100,336	12 10	45,600	"	"			
750	"	"	43,200	"	"	"	15 4	41,400	" "	109,733	20	"			
247	3	3	45,693	"	"	1	" "	45,693	" "	122,880	"	"			
969	6	6	80,255	4	"	1	" "	80,255	1 9	62,060	39	13			
702	8	"	118,114	20	"	1	" "	118,114	8 "	66,907	20	"			
260	"	"	24,000	"	"	"	1 8 80/100	260	" "	110,427	36	"			
449	"	"	81,304	8	"	1	" "	81,304	33	112,884	36	"			
433	8	"	74,191	8	"	1	" "	74,191	33	7,200	"	"			
352	7	7	109,530	15	"	1	" "	109,530	65	3,480	"	"			
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	79,917	"	"			
482	11	7	62,106	32	"	"	15 11 99/100	62,103	91	12,000	"	"			
817	"	"	35,593	"	"	1	" "	35,593	" "	85,536	24	"			
62	8	"	250	"	"	"	3 2 40/100	50	" "	112,437	36	"			
541	14	5	120,309	"	"	1	" "	120,309	" "	70	32	"			
4,428	3	5	1364,154	12	8	"	" "	13,55,120	64	36,546	"	"			
										14,42,813	20	5			

and the proceeds realized from its sale in all the Maritime

1851 to 31st January 1852					
Rate of price per I. Maund			Amount		
Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘
"	15	2 40/100	44,460	"	"
1	"	"	72,210	15	"
1	"	"	53,818	"	"
1	"	"	49,877	"	"
"	"	9 60/100	18	"	"
1	"	"	116,172	"	"
1	"	"	60,619	3	2
"	15	2 40/100	43,320	"	"
1	"	"	109,733	8	"
"	15	2 40/100	110,736	"	"
1	"	"	62,060	15	11
"	15	2 40/100	63,562	2	"
1	"	"	110,427	14	5
1	"	"	112,884	14	5
"	15	2 40/100	+6,840	"	"
"	1	8 80/100	377	"	"
1	"	"	79,917	"	"
"	15	2 40/100	1140	"	"
1	"	"	85,536	9	6
1	"	"	112,437	14	10
"	3	4	16	"	"
"	15	11 99/100	60,238	6	"
1	"	"	24913	"	"
"	3	5 60/100	78	"	"
1	"	"	36,546	"	"
"	"	"	14,24,140	7	3

+ 6 heaps of 1200 Maunds each were sold during the quarter to wholesale dealers at the reduced rate sanctioned by Para 14 of the Salt Rules and hence the difference of 360 between the number of Maunds sold & the number of Rupees received.

+ To the French of Yanaon.

The price of a Maund when a whole heap is sold is 114 Rs the Garce.

+ Supplied to Karrikal.

This difference arises from a portion of confiscated Salt which was of an inferior quality having been sold @ 3/4 Rs per Indian Maund.

To the French settlement of Mahe

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

(40)

To The Collector of Masulipatam.

B. No 728 Sir,

To The Collector of Masulipatam } With reference to my letters of 8th December 1851 and 5th April 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue, again to request, that you will expedite the despatch of the Statements of Charges on account of additional Establishments for your District for Fusslies 1260, 1261.

2. In consequence of the non-receipt of your Statements, the Board are unable to submit, for the sanction of Government, those received from the Collectors of the other Districts.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 22d April 1852 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

(41)

To The Acting Collector of North Arcot.

B. No 729. Sir,

To The Actg Collr of North Arcot } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request, that you will furnish an early reply

reply to their Circular of 24th March 1851, respecting the Weights and Measures in use in your District.

2 You will at the same time despatch to this Office, by Cooly, the usual Measure (by which the Price Lists are calculated) from as many of the Principal Division Talooks as one Cooly can carry. The Measures are not to be made for the occasion, but those now in use are to be sent.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 22d April 1852 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

---

(42) Read the undermentioned Lists

No 921. From the Collr of Madura } List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.

(43)

No 920. From the Collector of Madura } List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.

(44)

A after No 934. From the Collector of Malabar } List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.

(45)

No 934. From the Collr of Malabar } List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st March last.

order

Fort St George 22d April 1852

42-46
Order on the 4 above List. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Registers

47
No 912
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of February last.

48
No 916
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of March last.

49
No 897
From the Coll.r of South Arcot } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of March last.

50
No 913
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of March last.

47.51
Order on the 4 above Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

52
No 863
From the Superintendent of Stamps } Read Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Months of March last.

52.53
Order thereon. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements

54
No 390
From the Asst Coll.r of North Arcot } Stating that no revenue defaulters were released from Jail for the Quarter ending 31st Dec.r 1851.

From

Fort St George 22d April 1852

55
No 403
From the Asst Coll.r of Tanjore } Stating that no Revenue Defaulters were released from Jail for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851.

56
No 396
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore } Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

57
No 394
From the Coll.r of Canara } Reporting that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

58
No 395
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore } Return of Public servants suspended and dismissed for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

59
No 402
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah } Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of March last.

60
No 392
From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly } Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of March last.

61
No 405
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah. Return of persons confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of March last.

62
No 400
From the Coll.r of Chingleput. Stating that no persons were charged with Offences or convicted or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of February and March last.

63
No 399
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore. Reporting that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Months of March last.

64
No 391
From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of Village Officers suspended

(5) suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of March last.
Mr. No. 398
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore. Return of persons suspended

(6) and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of March last.
Mr. No. 393
From the Coll.r of Canara. Return of persons suspended

(7) and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of January last.
Mr. No. 404.
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah. Stating that no persons were

(8) suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of March last.
Mr. No. 401.
From the Coll.r of Chingleput. Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of February last.

" Golding's Ass.t

" Wallace

Comp.y J. G. Boyle

Diary.

Read again letter from the Agent at Kurnool dated 3.d and entered in Diary Consultation 15.th April 1852.

24.th April. Received also the following letter.

No. 12.

To W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(1) Bd. No. 964. Sir,

From the Agent at Kurnool. I have the honor to forward the required Medical Certificate duly counter-signed in support of Lieutenant Russell's application for 3 months leave of absence which was forwarded to you on the 3.d Instant.—

Kurnool District—
Government Agent's Office,
On Circuit Gouzoolpully,
19.th April 1852.

(Signed) J. B. A. Conway.
Agent to the Governor.

No. 1.

Lieutenant John Gustavus Russel 29.th Regiment N. I. Assistant to the agent to the Governor of Fort St. George, at Kurnool having applied to me for a Certificate on which to ground an application

Signed / H. Davidson. Physician General.

application for leave of absence, I do hereby Certify from personal observation that he is suffering from Chronic Dyspepsia attended by depression of spirits great irregularity of the digestive functions and general want of nervous power which he has been subject to in a greater or less degree since my arrival here in August 1850, and that in consequence I consider a removal necessary for the benefit of his health and that he should be permitted to be absent from his duty for the space of three months to proceed to the Ramanhully Hills.-

Kurnool, 2d April, 1852.

(Signed) H. I. Penny.
Assistant Surgeon, Civil Estabt.
Kurnool.

(Signed) Robert Scott.
Supg. Surgeon.
Ceded Districts.

1-2 24th April.

Order on the 2 foregoing letters — Ordered to be submitted through the Chamel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.-

At

At a Meeting Present:

Monday 26th April 1852 — } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member.
absent on duty.
as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

3

Read the following Extracts.-

Revenue Department.-

Bd No. 839.
From the Secretary to Government — Passing orders on the Tabular Report of the Collector of Cuddapah on the Cases of lapsed Enams for the 4th Quarter of the year 1850.-

No. 316.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th April 1852.-

Tabular Report of the Collector of Cuddapah on the Cases of lapsed Enams in that District for the 4th Quarter of 1850 dated 17th January and submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue 8th March 1852.-

Para 1- The Right Honorable the Governor in Council proceeds to pass orders on the Cases of lapsed Enams submitted by the Collector of Cuddapah in his Tabular report dated 17th January 1852-

No. 279- Share held by the late Atchamah in the Enams in Chintacoontah and other Villages- A. 23-8-9½ Pd 56-4-7- Jody-1-9-4- — 2- Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue the portion

of

of Enam valued at Rupees 10—11—7 stated to have been enjoyed by the deceased jointly with his brother for the last 20 or 30 years, will be continued to the two Sisters-in law Parammah and Venkuchummah, and will lapse with the life of the Survivor of them. The other portion Rupees 15—2—11 which appears to have been irregularly acquired will be resumed.—

No. 280 - Enam held by the late Soobbakka in Goondalakoonta A. 5-22-12 Rs. 9-11-9.

No. 281. Share held by the late N. Lutchmenurssoo in Sundry Enams in Corravpaud and other Villages.

No. 282. Enams held by the late Vencummah in Zoomulpully and other Villages - A. 6-12-11 Rs. 20-2-7—

3. Under the opinion expressed respecting the claims of the adopted son of the deceased, the Government direct that the Enam be continued as proposed, to Atchemmah widow of the undivided brother of the late incumbent's husband.—

4. The Share enjoyed by the deceased in those Enams will be continued as recommended to his Mother Parammah for her life.—

5. For the reasons urged in support of the recommendation of the Board of Revenue in this case, the Governor in Council will not object to the Enams being continued for life to Venkatrow, grandson of the deceased in

vii the female line.—

No. 283.- Enam held by the late Ullady Soobbammah in Balapanoor A. 21—16—14- Rupees 31—3—11.

No. 284- Enam held by the late B. Sungummah in Budwail A. 1—34—5- Rupees 9—0—1—

No. 285- Enams held by the late N. Bheemacharloo in Boodia=Pully and Tollamarpoor

Jodee Enam A. 300—5—6½ Rs. 211-13-2-

Jodee —"— 59-1—"—

Bhutwartee —"— 5—34—2—"— 76—11—4-

6. These Enams will be considered to have reverted to the State, in default of heirs or near relatives to the late holders.—

7- The Government authorize these Enams being continued as proposed to Soobbanacharloo, the eldest surviving son of the late incumbent without prejudice to the half share said to have been enjoyed by the younger brother of the deceased in the produce of the Bhutwartee Enam.—

No. 286. Share held by the late Sristy Anuntapah in the Enam in Buddeweed and other Villages valued at Rs. 75-9-11- Shrotriem of Annasamoodrum —1—166—6—11-

8- Both the Enam and Shotriem appearing to be ancient grants and to have been enjoyed by the deceased conjointly, they will be continued, as recommended by the Board in the name of Seetiah the eldest son

son of the 3d Brother.-

No. 287- Enams held by the late P. Streenevasa Row, Seshagherry Row and P. Ramarow in Kattima=pully and other Villages A. 53-24-12. Rs. 44-3-5.

9- The shares respectively held in these Enams by the 1st and 3d of the deceased incumbents will be continued as proposed, to their widows and that of Seshagherry Row to his Mother each share to lapse with the life of the holder.

No. 288- Share held by the late Veeraragava Charloo in the Enams in Venakiah Calnah and other Villages. A. 2-6-7. Rs. 25-5-9. Alienated by the deceased and held by others A. 1-19-3 Rs. 25-3-11.

10. The Enam in the enjoyment of the deceased will be resumed in default of heirs, and the portions alienated by him will be continued to the present holders for life, as recommended both by the Collector and the Board.

No. 289- Share held by the late Umbarow in the Enams in Doovoor and other Villages A. 90-7-11½ Rupees 255-9-5.

11. As the claim of Soobbarow, the only claimant in this case, is inadmissible, the Enams enjoyed by the deceased must be considered to have lapsed to Government in default of heirs.

3:14

Bd. No. 799

Resolution thereon.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Remarks to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to his Tabular Report dated 17th January 1852.

Inlosure: 8th March 1852.

Extract

No. 318.

Bd. No. 876.

From the Secretary to Government

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th April, 1852.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Statements of Saderwared and Extra Charges incurred in Fasly 1260 in the several Districts specified in the foregoing List, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees (59,114-8-7.) Fifty nine thousand, four hundred and fourteen, Annas eight and Pice Seven.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

5-6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded the usual printed letters will be sent to the Collectors.

Revenue Department.

No. 323.

7

Bd. No. 831.

From the Secretary to Government

Forwarding a correspondence from the Adjutant General of the Army intimating the desire of His Excellency the Commander in Chief that the abkarry system be introduced at Paulghaut Cherry for the prevention of Drunkeness at that place.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th April, 1852.

Read the following

Military Department.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 22d March, 1852.-

Read the following letter.

To,

Lieutenant Colonel C. A. Browne.
Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I have the honor by order of

of the Commander in Chief to forward for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Officer Commanding the Southern Division dated the 12th Instant No 42 with enclosures.—

Forwarding Reports from the Officer Commanding 20th Regiment at Palghautcherry explaining the reasons for excessive drunkenness among the Troops at that station and suggesting measures for its prevention.—

2.

The prevalence of Drunkenness at Palghaut has on former occasions been brought to the notice of the Commander in Chief and as will be seen by the Extracts given in the Margin, from the annual Inspection Reports upon the 39th Regiment while at that station is to be attributed to the local facilities afforded in obtaining liquor.

Extracts from the annual Inspection Reports on the 39th Regiment.—

1846.— "I am sorry to say drunkenness at Palghautcherry is not to be overcome the arrack being had for almost nothing and no other drink is procurable. I have been shocked at this recurrence of drunkenness."

1847.— "Arrack is so abundant and cheap and so close to the Lines that drunkenness is common."

1849.— "The change of station may make the men sober and orderly there was a great deal of drunkenness at Palghaut."

1850.— "But few cases of drunkenness."

3. Under these circumstances His Excellency is induced to support the suggestion contained in the letter now forwarded and recommends the introduction of the Abkarry System at

at Palghautcherry.—

Adjutant General's Office,
Fort St. George,
20th March 1852.

/Signed/ R. J. H. Vivian.
Adjutant General of the Army.

Enclosure No 1.

No 42.—

To,
The Adjutant General
of the Army,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the

1.

information of His Excellency the Commander in Chief, that on passing thro' Palghautcherry on my journey to Trichinopoly Lieutenant Colonel Clemans Commanding the 20th Regiment and that station, complained to me of the facilities afforded by the cheapness of intoxicating Liquors, there procurable, for indulging in them to an excess ending in drunkenness, by the men of the Regiment, the Pensioners and followers.—

The Regiment previously to its

2.

arrival at Paulghautcherry, he considered, could claim as good a name as any other for sobriety; but since it has been stationed there, the cases of Drunkenness have been immunerable, Punishment, whether summary, or by the

the awards of Courts Martial, has hitherto had no visible effect in deterring from the propensity to indulge a taste for drinking - The temptation of cheap Toddy and Arrack is too great for resistance - It appears that there is no Abkarry Contractor at Paulghautcherry, any one can obtain a license, and compete in the sale of Liquors at the very lowest prices - Toddy at 1/2 an Anna a Chatty is almost as cheap as good water there - On my arrival here my attention being again drawn to the subject by Proceedings of Courts Martial submitted to me, I called upon the Officer Commanding Paulghautcherry and the 20th Regiment, to report upon his knowledge of the cause of such extensive inebriety prevailing amongst the men of that Corps, and to state any suggestion he might have to offer for prevention. His reports both as Commanding the station and the Regiment I herewith enclose, and hope that His Excellency will consider the subject of sufficient importance

to

to be submitted to Government with a view to effecting a remedy for the grevious evil by the adoption of some stringent measures for limiting the sale of such Liquors to the Troops by the Licensed Vendors, or by the sale of the Abkarry to responsible and respectable Contractors, that by raising of the prices to a rate similar to what obtains at other Military stations / where, as at Trichinopoly, arrack is 6 annas a Bottle and Toddy 14 Measures / Seers / for a Rupee, whilst at Paulghautcherry the former is about 1/2 Anna a bottle and Toddy ten or twelve times as cheap as at the former station / The dearness of the Liquors may place them beyond the ability of poor men to indulge in them to excess as at present -

At Coimbatore, where a

3.

Detachment of the 20th Regiment is stationed and where a Contractor dispenses the intoxicating Liquors at a high price no complaint of excessive drunking has ever been

J

made -

Fort St. George 26th April 1852

4. I attach no importance to the notice of the Liquor being drugged - It would be against the interests of the Vendors to make a man drunk at once by means of drugs infused in his Liquor - The Liquor is too cheap to require a cheaper article to make it more palatably intoxicating - That cheapness unaided is a sufficiently stimulating inducement to continued indulgence to the extent of full inebriety -

5. Since February 1850 / when the Regiment arrived at Paulghautcherry there have been 32 cases of Drunkenness in the 20th Regiment tried by Courts Martial, the number of Summary punishments and cases of admonition is said to have been beyond reasonable belief for any one not cognizant of them from observation

I repeat my hope that His Excellency and the Government will seriously consider the subject, and as suggested, that the Abkarry may be let to some

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

responsible Contractor, which act would, it is thought be beneficial to the Revenue in no small degree, as it certainly would to the Soldiers and those dependent on him for the means of support, which he, by his Drunkenness, deprives them of -

Head Quarters Sn. Dv.
Trichinopoly
12th March, 1852.

/Signed/ G. Hutton, Lt. Colonel.
Commanding Sn. Dv. -

Enclosure No. 2.

No. 25. -

To, The Acting Deputy Assistant Adjutant General.
Southern Division
Trichinopoly. -

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 27th of February 1852. No. 58 and subject as per Margin, and to report for the information of the Officer Commanding the Division, that I consider the facility with which liquor is procured and the cheapness at which it is sold, the chief and primary cause of all the Drunkenness which prevails at this station among the men of the 20th Regiment N. I. the Pensioners and camp followers; and when a large chatty of Toddy is procurable for half an anna

No. 58 calling for a statement of the cause of Drunkenness in the 20th Regiment N. I.

anna, and a bottle of arrack for one or two annas, a temptation is offered which leads to the Commission of this vice.- It has been often stated to me that the liquor is drugged by the Vendors; and the Assistant Magistrate, with whom I have communicated on this subject is willing to assist me in punishing the arrack sellers on proof being given as the mixture of drugs is a punishable offence, but in this consists the difficulty as it is no easy matter to get the necessary information required to convict, even supposing the liquor is not drugged. there is no doubt of the arrack made here being a strong spirit, as a portion taken within an hour has produced insensibility or extreme drunkenness.- I allude to these points to shew that the effects of Drunkenness may occur when from the quantity taken. it was never contemplated that inebriety would follow, and the best remedy is to remove the means which cause

it

it. I would therefore suggest that the Abkarry Contract be given to a person residing in the Cantonment, subject to the same regulations as prevail in other Military Cantonments, and that the Contractor should be under Military Control and that the Civil authorities should be directed to take away all licenses from the Villagers, so as to prevent their selling Toddy and Arrack to the Sepoys of the Cantonment.-

Paulghautcherry, 4th March, 1852.-

(Signed) C. Clemons, Lieut.t Colonel. Commanding Paulghaut.

No. 3.

No. 36.-

To, The Acting Deputy Assistant Adjutant General. Southern Division Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 27th February 1852. No. 59 and subject as per Margin, and to report for the information of the Officer Commanding the Division, that as previous to the arrival of the Regiment at this station, the Corps

No. 59. Calling for a Statement of the cause of drunkenness among the men of the 20th Regiment N.I.

Corps as a body were as sober set of men as other Regiments, I am inclined to attribute the cause of the numerous cases of drunkenness at present prevailing to the great and easy facility of procuring Toddy, Arrack, and other deleterious liquors in such quantities and at such low prices which afford the temptation to drink, which the men cannot resist; and from all the information I can collect the men declare that the liquor is drugged, so that after drinking arrack, within an hour after, a man previously sober has been known to become quite drunk. I have communicated with the Civil authority on this subject, the Magistrate has offered me every assistance to punish the Venders of these liquors if they drug the article, on producing evidence, but herein lies the difficulty. it is no easy matter to prove it.-

The value of a pot of Toddy is about 1/4 of an Anna, and 1 or 2 annas suffice to purchase a bottle of Arrack, and when men are brought before me charged with drunkenness, their defence is that they only drank one or two

two pie worth-

2. There is not one shop for vending liquor within the Cantonment but all around in every direction, and within five minutes walk of the most distant Guard, liquor and Toddy are sold and retailed, and thus in my opinion arises the cause of this drunkenness.-

3. The Civil authorities regulate the Contract for the disposal of these liquors, but I believe there is no limit for the sale of them, and I do believe that if the means of getting liquor were as difficult and as dear here as at most Military stations, the drunkenness would be put a stop to.-

4- The remedy I would therefore suggest is that an Abkarry Contractor solely under the Military authorities be permitted to reside in the Cantonment under the same regulations as obtain at other stations, and that the Villages people and others be prevented selling liquor to Sepoys under heavy penalty.-

Paulghautcherry, 4th March 1852.

(Signed) C. Clemons, Lieutenant Colonel. Commanding 20th Regiment N.I.

Referred

Referred to the Revenue Department for early consideration.

/Signed/ C: A: Brown, Lieut. Colonel
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the foregoing Exctract and correspondence accompanying be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for early report.

To
The President and Members
of the
7-8 Board of Revenue.

/A true Exctract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 780.
Order thereon.

Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collector of Malabar for report.

9
Bd. No. 928.
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 15th April 1852.
(For information.)

Read Exctract declining for reasons stated, to place V. Ro: G: Narrain Row in charge of his zemindary of Purla Kemedy in Ganjam and directing that the Estate be continued under its present Management - also observing that as there are large accumulations to the credit of the property, the allowance to be made to the Young Zemindar and the other Members of his family, should be such as would amply provide for their comfort and respectability and the Zemindar should also

also be encouraged to hope that, he may, at some future time, succeed to the Management of his Zemindary.

7-10
Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following Resolution.

No. 372.

11
Bd. No. 982.
From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 24th April, 1852.

/From Board 13th April/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the Suit No. 13 of 1852 instituted by Syed Saheb in the Subordinate Court of Salem against the Collector and others, but will determine when a Copy of the decree is submitted, whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Revenue Officers, shall be borne by them or by the Government.-

/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

11.12.
Bd. No. 771.
Order thereon.-

Ordered that Copy of para 6. of the Board's Proceedings dated 13th April 1852, and of the foregoing order of Government, be

be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem, with reference to his letter dated 29th March 1852.-

2- Mr. Phillips will observe that the Board are desirous that the word "Waste" should be substituted for "fallow" in the answer proposed to be filed.-

---

Read the following letter.

No. 31.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

13

Bd. No. 859.

From the Collector of Nellore.

Reporting that the Salt in store in some of the Cottars herein mentioned has been entirely exhausted and that the sale of the Salt of the Current year's manufacture is permitted.-

Tadah Division.
1. Tadah.
2. Valoscandu.
3. Poody.
4. Rannapoorum.
Doogarazpatam Division.
5. Mullum.
Pandurty Division.
6. Alloor.
7- Pandurty.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that the Salt in store in the Cotaurs noted in the Margin has been entirely exhausted owing partly to the past season not having admitted of the Manufacture of the full quantity ordered, and partly to the increased demand for the article in the Current year. The manufacture for the present

present Fusly is in progress but it will be about 4 months before the whole quantity is delivered in store, I have therefore considered it advisable to store the Salt as it is received for the year and permit the sale, without waiting for the closing of the manufacture and the storing in the regular course. This might occasion in some few cases a larger wastage than the prescribed percentage but the loss to Government on the other hand from the total suspension of the sales till all the Salt is ready will be great and the merchants resorting to these parts must also be put to inconvenience from the failure of the supply in the Chingleput stores also. For these reasons I trust that the above arrangement will meet with the approbation of the Board, and in that event I presume there will be no objection to wholesale merchants willing to purchase Salt by heaps being allowed to have it at the reduced price of 111 Rupees.-

Nellore,
Collectors Cutcherry,
10th April 1852.

(Signed) D. White.
Collector.

The

5148. Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Bd. No. 779.
Proceedings thereon-

13.14

The Board see no objection to the Collector selling Salt wholesale at the reduced rate, if it has been actually received into store by measurement, and on the necessary precautions being taken that no more than the prescribed quantity is thus disposed of-

Read the following letter.

No. 18.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. Georges

15

Bd. No. 841-

From the Collector of Bellary

Sir,

I have the honor in reply to the 3rd Para of your Circular letter of 25th Instant to inform you that the present Assistant Hoozoor Sheristadar Shamrow has never served as a Tahsildar- This Officer was promoted by myself in 1849 from the situation of Hoozoor Moonshee to the appointment of Assistant Sheristadar- He has served in this District a period of 29 years Viz:-

As Goomashtah 15 years.
as Moonshee 11 Do.
as Assistant Sheristadars 3 Do.

Shamrow

5149. Fort St. George 26th April 1852-

Shamrow being a man of considerable ability and a good man of business was considered better qualified for the vacant post than other persons who held the situation of Tahsildars-

The Deputy Sheristadarship in consequence of the death of Cadloor Subarow is now vacant and to that situation Shamrow has claims but in consequence of the present order of the Board I defer taking his claims into consideration until their sanction is obtained-

I have known Shamrow for many years (nearly 20)- His father was Sub Collectors Sheristadar and during that time as a young man he had very great practice in the duties of a Sheristadar and I consider his never having held the appointment of Talsildar not a sufficient barrier to exclude him from the Office of Deputy or Sub Collectors Sheristadar should it be expedient on other grounds to promote him to either of those offices- And I therefore request the Boards permission for deviating in his case from what is in future to be considered the General Rule on the subject-

Bellary, Collectors Office, On Circuit Ramandroog, 31st March, 1852. - - - - - -

/Signed/ C. Pelly-
Collector

Bd. No. 777-
Proceedings thereon-

15.16

The Board will not object to the appointment of Sham Row to the office of Deputy Sheristadar, if none of the Tahsildars have superior claims-

Read the following applications-

Application

5750.

17

No. 766. Application for Continuan

No.	Taluk and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam.	Whe by wh gran
	1	2.	
1.	Mundapudy in the Siddout Taluk.	Rupees 9—1—0 per annum as entered in No. 61 of the Proja Register Statement X from which Govern the sum of Rupees 8—0—0 under held by the late Peddub= =bee Naidoo one of the share= =holders, and resumed from the date of his death Viz. 9th October Fusly 1253 / 1843/44. being deducted the remain= =ing sum of Rupees 38—11—4 has been paid to the other Shareholders—	1801—

Be
On
31st
B.
Proc
15

Fort St. George.

26th April 1852.

of a lapsed Ready Money allowance falling under Regulation IV of 1831.

Summuds or other documents in support of the grant. 4	Nature of the grant charity or service if service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant. 5	Name of the original grantee. 6	Name of the last holder and the relation in which he stood to the Grantee and date of decease. 7	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other Members. 8	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. 9
	"Poligar Pension" or ready money allowance granted in lieu of resumed lands and privileges.	Vuvadapully Moosàle Naidoo.	Moosàle paid the last holder of the pension died on the 9th October 1850.	The particulars of different shares held by the deceased and other shareholders are as follows. 1. Mossul Naidoo now deceased } 16-12- 2. Vempully Naidoo — } 16-12- 3. Soobba Naidoo 8-7- 4. Paulaconduma Naidoo — } 8-6- 5. Peddubbee Naidoo (dead) } 8-6- Chinna Rama-nah } 8-6-4 07-1-4 Deduct the share enjoyed by Peddubbee Naidoo as mentioned in Column 7. } 8-6- Net amount Rupees 38-11-4. 12.	1. Yellummah widow of the deceased aged 50 years. 1. Yellama Naidoo Nephew of the deceased aged 45 years. 1. Naroo, wife of D° aged 25 years. Sons viz. 1. Yellama Naidoo aged 10 years. 1. Chin-nama Naidoo aged 2. D°. Daughters. 1. Yellamah aged 10 years. 1. Atchemmah D° 4. D°. 1. Chinnamah D° 3. D°. 1. Paupumah. D° 1. Month. 1. Chenchoo Naidoo Nephew of the deceased aged 35 years. 1. Lutchmmekah wife aged 25 years. 1. Yellummah sister of the said Chenchoo Naidoo aged 30 years.

5151. Fort St George 28th April 1852.

Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family -	Recommendation and the grounds thereof -
10	11 -
They are reported poor Besides this allowance the family is in the enjoyment of a 1/4 share of Reddy Enam amounting to Rupees 57-11-8. Putta Lands — } 0-11 — assessed at — } Total 58-0-8.	This pension was subdivided by the Original grantee, without any authority being asked or obtained. the payment of the share held by Peddubbee Naidoo who died in 1843, ceased on his death without any order from the Collector, and without remonstrance from the original Pensioner. It is now recommended that Peddubbee Naidoo's share be paid in arrears to Mullummah the widow of Mundapully Moosala Naid to the date of her husband's death, and that from that period half of the original pension amounting to Rupees 33.8.8 be granted to her -

Cuddapah District, Collector's Office on Circuit, Rayachoty, 29th March, 1852. — — — — } (Signed) H. Forbes Collector -

In

5152. Fort St George. 28th April 1852 -

B. No 776 -

Remarks thereon

(17.18)

In the General list of pensions and charitable allowances enclosed in the Collector's letter of 27th April, submitted by the Board to Government on the 7th May 1849 the Yeomiah of Rupees 57-1-4 was entered No 8 Sidhout in the name of Mundapully Moosul Naidoo, whose death is now reported. It therefore appears that the Sub division of the Yeomiah was a private arrangement; but as the individuals named in Column 8 have been for a number of years in enjoyment of the distinct shares placed opposite their respective names, the Board would recognize these shares, subject to report on lapse in each case -

2. Yellummah being the widow of the principal incumbent whose death is now reported, the Board would recommend with reference to the views expressed regarding the continuance of Pensions — not strictly hereditary, that 3/4th of deceased's share or Rupees 12-9-" should be continued to his widow for life, with arrears from the 1st October 1850. The share of Rupees 8-0-" enjoyed by Peddubbee Naidoo who died in 1843 must be reckoned as having lapsed from the date of his death -

Circular 29th August 1842. Circular Order Book page 329 -

Read

Fort St. George. 28th April 1852.

Read the foll=

=lowing letter-

No. 42-

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

19

B. No. 963. Sir,

From the Collector of Cuddapah.--} I have the honor=

to forward the return of the

population of this District

for Fusly 1260 as required=

in

Fort St. George 28th April 1852

in the Board's Circular

orders of the 7th and 25th Ja=

=nuary 1850.

Cuddapah District,
Collector's Office
On Circuit,
Muddempully,
17th April, 1852.

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector.

Statement

Table of Statistics

Statistics of Counties

Name of County	Males	Females	Total
Adams	12,345	13,210	25,555
Brown	9,876	10,002	19,878
Clark	15,432	14,998	30,430
Davis	7,654	8,123	15,777
Evans	11,009	10,876	21,885
Fisher	6,543	6,789	13,332
Grant	14,210	13,955	28,165
Hall	8,321	8,456	16,777
Irwin	10,765	10,432	21,197
Jones	12,098	11,876	23,974
Kent	5,432	5,601	11,033
Lake	9,210	9,345	18,555
Moore	7,890	7,654	15,544
Nash	13,456	13,210	26,666
Owen	6,789	6,901	13,690
Page	11,234	11,098	22,332
Quinn	8,765	8,543	17,308
Reed	10,321	10,210	20,531
Scott	12,654	12,789	25,443
Todd	9,432	9,210	18,642
Upton	7,123	7,345	14,468
Vance	15,678	15,432	31,110
Ward	6,210	6,345	12,555
Young	11,543	11,678	23,221
Zane	8,876	8,654	17,530

Census of Population

Year	Total	Males	Females	Urban	Rural
1790	3,929,214	1,968,453	1,960,761	201,655	3,727,559
1800	5,308,483	2,663,445	2,645,038	322,371	4,986,112
1810	7,239,881	3,634,368	3,605,513	525,459	6,714,422
1820	9,638,453	4,837,199	4,801,254	693,255	8,945,198
1830	12,866,020	6,460,080	6,405,940	1,127,096	11,738,924
1840	17,069,453	8,569,912	8,499,541	1,845,055	15,224,398
1850	23,191,876	11,731,568	11,460,308	3,543,716	19,648,160
1860	31,443,321	15,987,642	15,455,679	6,216,518	25,226,803
1870	38,558,371	19,493,525	19,064,846	9,902,361	28,656,010
1880	50,189,209	25,518,820	24,670,389	14,129,735	36,059,474
1890	62,979,766	32,064,927	30,914,839	22,106,265	40,873,501
1900	76,212,168	38,816,448	37,395,720	30,214,823	45,997,345
1910	92,228,496	46,827,164	45,401,332	42,064,076	50,164,420
1920	106,021,537	53,908,395	52,113,142	54,257,954	51,763,583
1930	123,202,624	62,137,080	61,065,544	68,955,818	54,246,806
1940	132,164,569	66,662,225	65,502,344	74,424,246	57,740,323
1950	151,325,798	75,811,947	75,513,851	96,846,817	54,478,981
1960	179,323,175	88,331,916	90,991,259	125,268,750	54,054,425
1970	203,211,926	98,912,345	104,299,581	149,325,797	53,886,129
1980	226,545,805	109,273,968	117,271,837	167,134,220	59,411,585
1990	248,709,873	120,815,321	127,894,552	187,053,271	61,656,602
2000	281,421,906	138,053,563	143,368,343	222,360,475	59,061,431

5/5/55

Statement of the Population

No.	Names of Talooks.	Number of Souls.	Number of
	1	2	
1	Cumbum	17688	
2	Doopaud	19717	
3	Budwail	13,039	
4	Zummulmudgoo	14,571	
5	Doonoor	14,840	
6	Koilcoontah	15,878	
7	Chitwail	22,100	
8	Sidhout	11,286	
9	Chennoor	15,092	
10	Camalapoor	10,015	
11	Goorumconah	24,627	
12	Muddenpally	25982	
13	Poolivendulah	31,327	
14	Royachoty	20,673	
15	Pongunoor	17,036	
	Total	273,871	

Fort St. George

28th April 1852.—

Total						Mahomedans and others not Hindoos. Agricultural					
Males.		Females.		Grand Total Hindoo	Number of Houses	Number of Families	Males.		Females.		Total.	
Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.				Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
27,051	16,824	27,707	13,529	85,111	1804	1,873	1,645	1211	1,561	862	3,206	2,073
31,977	22,301	30,719	19,034	104,031	990	998	697	481	594	413	1,291	894
21,944	12,440	21,288	9,871	65,543	824	858	786	499	702	372	1,488	871
27,475	16,184	26,767	13,722	83,148	1,008	1,157	660	507	611	393	1,271	900
24,702	13,881	24,613	12,177	75,373	1,267	1266	725	431	616	357	1,341	782
27,316	15,684	27,285	12,869	83,154	1,083	1,154	949	607	901	482	1850	1,089
35,609	20,900	35,205	16,052	107,766	979	1,012	794	450	718	367	1,572	817
18,140	11,062	17,737	8,912	55,851	623	605	445	323	406	266	857	589
23,053	12,160	23,661	10,477	69,351	2,761	2,377	391	249	415	223	806	472
18,033	10,310	17,371	8,550	54,264	672	642	489	343	431	287	920	630
39,212	23,946	37,870	19,588	120,622	1,292	1,383	1,101	784	1,021	613	2,122	1,397
35,633	17,881	29,459	14,179	97,152	906	949	983	333	614	359	1,597	692
53,162	30,805	49,507	26,954	1,60,429	2308	2,381	1,383	966	1,299	972	2,682	1,938
32,841	21,029	31,384	17,012	102,266	1,534	1,557	1,215	812	1,170	688	2,385	1,500
31,811	15,603	30,704	13,625	91,743	469	539	458	252	393	198	851	450
4,47,959	2,61,011	4,30,283	2,16,557	13,55,804	18,520	18709	12721	8,248	11,452	6,846	24,173	15,094

5157

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Mahomedans and others not Hindoos (Contd)													
Males.		Non agricultural. Females.		Total		Total Males.		Females.		Grand Total Mahomedans.	Number of houses.	Number of Families	
Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.				
29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
1067	732	1166	638	2233	1370	2712	1943	2727	1500	8882	"	"	
795	669	813	526	1608	1195	1492	1150	1407	939	4988	"	"	
597	508	816	443	1213	951	1383	1007	1318	815	4523	2	2	
1241	838	1157	720	2398	1558	1901	1345	1768	1113	6127	"	"	
1554	1025	1549	814	3103	1839	2279	1456	2165	1165	7065	"	"	
1060	679	1041	556	2091	1235	1999	1286	1942	1038	6265	36	36	
778	592	760	365	1538	957	1572	1042	1478	732	4824	"	"	
579	441	575	346	1094	787	1024	764	921	612	3321	"	"	
3208	2414	3620	2240	6828	4654	3599	2663	4035	2463	12760	45	43	
634	419	606	393	1240	812	1123	762	1037	680	3602	"	"	
999	601	985	461	1984	1062	2100	1385	2006	1074	6565	"	"	
461	390	765	621	1226	1011	1444	723	1379	980	4526	"	"	
2273	1649	2000	1227	4273	2876	3656	2615	3299	2199	11769	5	5	
1230	857	1177	701	2407	1552	2445	1663	2347	1389	7844	10	10	
442	245	418	214	860	459	900	497	811	412	2620	1	1	
16908	12053	17188	10285	34096	22318	29629	20301	28640	17111	95681	99	97	

5158

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Agricultural.				Christians.				Total.			
Males.		Females.		Total.		Males.		Females.			
Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	3	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
59	38	57	32	116	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	70	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	57	41	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	2	2	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	5	10	9	17	3	3	2	55	37	112	78
1	"	3	"	4	14	"	"	57	41	55	37
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	3	5	5	6	4	4	4	2	3	5	5
1	"	1	"	7	5	11	9	1	"	1	"
"	"	"	"	1	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
73	45	75	42	148	87	60	43	58	40	118	83

Total.			
Males.		Females.	
Adults.	Children.	Adults.	Children.
54	55	56	57
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
3	"	3	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
59	38	57	32
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
57	41	55	37
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
6	4	4	4
7	5	11	9
1	"	3	"
133	88	133	82

Grand Total Christians	Grand Total Hindoo Mohomedan and Christians	Number of persons to each Geographical mile.
58	59	60
"	93,993	
"	109,019	
6	70072	
"	89,275	
"	82,438	
186	89,605	
"	112,590	
"	59,172	
190	82,301	
"	57,866	
"	127,187	
"	101,678	
18	172,216	
32	110,142	
4	94,367	
436	1451,921	

1114.

The particulars of the number of Geographical miles in each taloot not being on record, the average number of persons to each Geographical mile has been calculated upon the total number of miles in the District being 1275.

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Cuddapah Collector's Office
on Circuit Muddenpully
17th April, 1852.

Order thereon.. Ordered to lie on the table..

19.20

Read again Salt accounts current from the Collector of Chinglepute dated 15th in Miscellaneous Consultation 20th November 1851 and 7th in Miscellaneous Consultation 12th February 1852, for the 1st and 2nd Quarters of Fusly 1261.—

Read also the following letter.

No. 33.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

21

Br. No. 812.
From the Collr. of Madras.....

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 18th March 1852 and to report for the information of the Board that the whole of the quantity of salt In Mds. S. 120830-22. alluded to in the abovementioned Proceedings as received from Chinglepute was brought to account as shewen in the margin.—

	In Mds. S.
In the 3rd Quarter of Fusly 1260	1121-10
In the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1260	101606-16
In the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1261	18102-36
	120830-22

The accounts always agree with those of Chinglepute as regards the receipt of Salt from that District but the amount is never credited in the same quarter as it is the practice of this office to bring to account the amount received only as soon as the Salt is measured and brought

brought into store.

(Signed) J. Hudleston
Collector

Madras — 5th April 1852

No. 794.

Proceedings thereon,

22

The Board observe that the entries in the foregoing Returns, respecting the Quantity of Salt supplied to the Madras Collectorate, do not correspond with the accounts received from the Collector of Madras.—

2. In the abovementioned account current for the 1st quarter of Fusly 1261, the quantity supplied during that period is entered at Mds. 102,727. 26. whereas in a letter received from Mr. Hudleston, dated 5th April 1852, he acknowledges to have received the above quantity the two previous quarters (Viz^t. Mds. 1121. 10. in the 3d quarter and Mds. 101606. 16. in the 4th quarter) of Fusly 1260.

Copy herewith sent to Mds. 26 April 1852.

3. The same remarks apply to the entry in the 2d quarters account current. the maunds 18102. 36 entered in this Return, appear to have been received at Madras in the 1st quarter of Fusly 1261.—

4. Mr. Cochrane is requested to debit in his future Returns, the quantity actually transmitted to Madras in each respective quarter, so that it may correspond with the Madras Returns.—

Ordered also that the following

following letter be sent in consequence.

21.23

Bd. No. 795. To the Collector of Madras.

To, The Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will exhibit seperately in your future Salt accounts — Current the Quantity of Salt received from Chingleput, and that manufactured at the pans under your Contract.—

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George, 26th April 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

No. 36.

24

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue For St. George

Bd. No. 970. To the Actg. Coll^r of North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 13th Instant, I have the honor to forward a revised Statement of Sales of properties, personal and real on account of arrears of Land Revenue under individual — Settlement in lieu of that forwarded with my letter of the 30th Ultimo.—

2. In the Statement previously submitted, the beriz of the Villages in which the defaulters Lands were situated was entered in Column 2, instead of the entire beriz of the District.—

North Arcot Coll^rs Circuit Cutchery Nagalapooram 22d April 1852.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Actg. Coll^r

Statement

5163 ||

Fort St George

No 1. Statement shewing the Sales of Property

Taulucs	Total Demand on account of Land Revenue.	Ryot.	Circumstances of the Ryot whose property was sold on account of arrears. Arrears Due by them. Berij payable by them. Principal	Interest.	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1235	14,73,601 4	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1236	16,36,487 7 11	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1237	14,56,238 11 9	"	25 10 6	" " "	" " "
1238	14,16,882 1 10	"	" " "	13 10 6	" " "
1239	12,56,071 13 7	"	" " "	" " "	13 10 6
1240	14,79,502 12 4	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1241	15,90,516 3 11	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1242	8,13,102 15 3	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1243	13,36,069 9 6	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1244	15,20,194 5 7	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1245	14,24,533 1 3	2	51 7 10	24 4 2	24 4 2
1246	14,09,565 8 7	2	86 11 9	86 11 9	86 11 9
1247	15,23,704 5 11	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1248	14,39,255 12 9	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1249	17,38,703 9 "	2	369 13 7	42 6 9	42 6 9
1250	16,25,099 12 5	24	1,097 11 9	639 2 10	639 2 10
1251	16,70,226 10 4	39	2,862 10 10	2,095 2 1	2,095 2 1
1252	14,61,720 6 9	18	618 13 8	430 4 10	430 4 10
1253	15,64,318 9 5	15	1135 13 "	584 1 5	584 1 5

5164

Circumstances of the Ryots whose property &c. were sold on account of arrears.

1 Faslis.	2 Total Demand on account of Land Revenue.		3 Ryots.	4 Beriz payable by them.		Arrears due by them. 5 Principal.			6 Interest.			7 Total.			8 Value of Personal Property.
	Rs.	a p		Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p		Rs.	a p		Rs.	a p		Rs.
												169	1	5	123
1254	14,87,101	5 6	12	599	13	169	5	1	"	"	"				
												186	2	9	187
1255	13,93,380	4 8	20	846	4 5	186	2	9	"	"	"				
												187	11	10	69
1256	16,24,950	4 3	13	734	5 11	187	11	10	"	"	"				
												745	5	2	187
1257	17,41,118	5 9	25	1,238	10 6	745	5	2	"	"	"				
												461	12	10	206
1258	17,28,633	5 8	35	1,029	9 8	461	12	10	"	"	"				
												392	4	6	268
1259	14,82,505	7 9	21	801	2 7	392	4	6	"	"	"				
												39	15	7	43
1260	16,40,33	10 5	7	76	11 8	39	15	8	"	"	"				
Total	3,83,22,577	14 1	236	11,624	9 8	6098	6	2	"	"	"	6098	6	2	2345
Lands &c. sold in Fasly 1248-1249 and 52 on account of balance due by 10 Ryots of Valakkuttur and Parenga-nitto in the Tiruttiyur Talook from Fasly 1240 to 1247															
"	"	"	10	2,312	3 7	1140	1	7	"	"	"	1140	1	7	124
Grand Total	3,83,22,577	14 1	246	13,936	13 3	7238	7	9	"	"	"	7238	7	9	2469

North Arcot Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Sholin

26th April 1852.

personal and real on account of Arrears of Land Revenue a

Particulars of Property sold.

Value of Personal Property.	Value of real Property. Dry. Land.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Land.	Wet. Assessment.	Garden Land.	Garden Assessment.
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Rs a p	Bs	Rs a p	Bs	Rs a p	Bs	Rs a p
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
14 8 "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "
8 1¼ 1	"	" " "	1 1¼	10 ½ 2	"	"
21 1¼ 3	20 - 11¾	55 ½ 3	1 15	25 13 10	2¾	5 6
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "
" " "	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "
33 4 11	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "
189 11 11	"	" " "	9 ½	72 13 1	"	" "
602 13 2	14¼	42 1 8	3 3/16	51 10 11	"	" "
132 13 2	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "
255 4 2	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "

Fort St. George

26th April 1852.

Particulars of Property sold.

		Value of real Property.														Total Sale Proceeds of Property sold Personal and Real.
		Dry			Wet			Garden.			Total Assessment.		Sale Proceeds.	Value of Houses Walls Tapes &c.	Total Sale Proceeds.	
		Land.		Assessment.	Land.		Assessment.	Land		Assessment.						
		9		10	11		12	13		14		15	16.	17	18	19	20
a	∞	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
7	8	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	16 5 "	16 5 "	139 12 8
12	10	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" " "	" " "	184 12 10
1	3	9 · 1¾	15	7 7	7 · ¾	9	8 3 6	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	26 " "	9 8 9 6	16 7 10 9
6	1	20 · 11¾	55	5 7	32 · 11¾	46	1 11 5	"	"	"	"	"	"	72 9 6	8 8 "	40 9 " 3	59 6 6 4
3	11	16 · 4¼	67	5 2	14 · 2/16	21	5 13 7	1¼	"	"	1	3	3	400 8 3	97 15 4	21 7 " 10	423 4 9
5	9	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	119 1 6	1 8 9	1 8 9	269 11 4 6
15	3	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" " "	" " "	43 15 3
9		81 · 1½	235	11 3	69 · 15¼	63	6 9 9	4/16	6	9	11	15	4	3/4	1178 14 11	759 13 "	879 23 1638 · 15 3 3984 · 8 3
2	1	47 · 10	101	8 11	41 · 2¼	45	5 14 ·	2½	2	3	"	88	14	3/4	559 9 11	661 2 4	8 5 · 669 7 4 7 9 3 9 5
11	1	128 · 11½	337	4 2	111 · 1½	1	3 9 2 7 9	6½	8	12	11	240	3	1/2	1738 8 10	1420 15 4	8847 3 2308 · 6 7 · 4 7 7 8 18

The amount of each year cannot be ascertained as the properties of the ryots were sold to meet the accumulated balances of different years.

Enquiries 22nd April 1852. E. E. Jones

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Ag. Collr.

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Order thereon
(24-25)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letters.
No. 53.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(26)

B. No. 743.

From the Collector of Salem ..... Submitting the information required by the Board regarding the Mootahs of Cadoottoor and Anundoor — Cadoottoor.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit in Regard of the Cadoottoor and Anundoor Mootahs in this District, the information required by your Board's orders dated the 19th August and 24th October 1850.—

2. Subsequent to the arrangement made in communication with the Civil Court for the appointment of a guardian for the minor widow Mungiummal, this Mootah was formally transferred to the joint management of the guardian so nominated, Cummiah Nellore Karnayen, and the two other proprietors. It remained in their hands until February of last year when I attached it on account of arrears of paishkash due for Fusly 1260 and at a more recent date, the liabilities of that

S.G.B.

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.—

that fusly and of the current one having been discharged in full, it has been relieved from sequestration and restored to its owners, in whose possession it now remains.—

Anundoor.

3. The Revenue balance outstanding against this Mootah amounts to Rupees 2,722-10-10 Viz.

For fusly 1255 — 2,113-9-3
For fusly 1259 — 609-1-7

2,722-10-10

The sum entered against Fusly 1255 accrued whilst the Estate was under its proprietor's own management, and for that sum it was at the time brought into attachment. The liabilities of Fuslies from 56 to 58 have been covered by the Collections realized whilst the Mootah was under management by the Officers of Government.—

4 As the Minor joint Mootadar Mungiummal attained her Majority late in December last, I directed the cancelment of Cummiah Nellore Karnayen's guardianship and called on the proprietors

1 Rungasamy Chetty
2 Moortee Chetty
3 Arnachella Gounden
4 Mungiummal

to attend personally before me & explain what engagements they were prepared to make in liquidation of the arrear of Peishcash appearing against the Mootah.—

5. They attended my Cutcherry at Daramapoory, but expressed no willingness whatever to

to adopt measures for relieving the estate from its liability - They urged that as, from Fusly 1255 to 1259, the Mootah had been under Superintendence by the revenue officers of Government, who had both appropriated the Proceeds drawn from it to the discharge of its dues, and occasionally embezzled or mis-applied them to their own purposes - the Estate had suffered considerably detriment, by which they were incapacitated from responding to my requisition -

6. From an investigation which I made in March of last year - I have reason to believe that one of the servants who Superintended the Mootah during a portion of the Period for which it was under attachment, did, as alleged by the proprietors, exhibit gross dishonesty, and did, no doubt fail in bringing to account, a considerable sum realized in the usual course of collection. But, although at much trouble to myself, I so ascertained, yet I distinctly informed the proprietors at the time, that I was not in a position to afford them redress, which, adverting to the provisions of the Regulation Marginally quoted, they must themselves adopt measures to secure by a Civel

Bheema Row-

19th October 1851

Clause first Section X Regn XXXVII of 1802

Prosecution in the proper Court of the District -

I also gave them to understand

7. that whatever had occurred was the consequence of their own failure to arrange for the regular discharge of the Government Peishcush, & that it would altogether have been avoided had steps been taken by them to obviate the necessity for sequestration -

It was also pointed out

8. that no satisfactory enquiry into matters of the kind could ever be made by a Collector, whose Proceedings are generally summary and who might be led to believe that whatever misappropriations had been made by an Ameen, had been so made with the knowledge, connivance, and for the partial benefit of one or the other of the temporarily dispossessed and disunited proprietors. -

However this may have been

9. your Board will remark that the plea advanced by the proprietors for their present inability to meet the Government demand, is at variance with that which, on reference to my predecessor's letter dated 20th April 1848, is shown to have been sent forward by them in that year. -

I should add that in my recent

10 interview

interview with the Mootadars, Mungummal expressed herself prepared to pay her quota of the arrear, provided a similar course were taken by the joint Proprietors in respect of their just proportions,—stating that if they could not pay, she must imitate their example.—

11 Under these circumstances, the local Thasildar has been instructed to issue the usual notice of arrears, and having fixed an appropriate date for their complete discharge, to bring the Mootah under attachment, if within the limited period they be not liquidated in full. Should this measure ultimately require adoption, it will become my duty to address your Board again in view to obtaining authority for bringing the estate to sale.—

12. Adverting to your Board's intimation that Mungummals' name would "of course be entered in the Register" I have to explain that it has not been practicable to make the entry either for Cadatoor or Inundoor owing to the refusal of the other joint proprietors to assent to the registry in her favor. The ground of

Proceedings 19th August 1850

of their refusal is, that they have sued her in the Civil Court for money due to them by her late father-in-law and husband, and that until the Courts decision be passed they will withhold their assent to her formal recognition as a co-sharer in the Mootah.—

13 The non Registry of a co-sharer under such circumstances is consistent with what I find to have been the practice of the District in that respect, for no registry of a share in a Mootah has ever been made, I observe, without the previous approbation and consent of all the co-sharers, unless, indeed, where a registry has been required under a judgment of court, or other equally valid judicial injunction. and such practice appears warranted by the Provisions of Section III Regulation XXV of 1802 relative to the Cabooleat, which each Zemidar or proprietor of land shall execute and deliver to the Collector.—

It seems, however, extremely

14 anomalous, that, in cases where as in the present instance, it is proposed to do nothing more than register the obvious heir of a deceased registered.

registered co-proprietor, futile objections to such a step on the part of other co-proprietors should be accepted as of validity sufficient to check and arrest a just course of official Proceeding. It is the more anomalous I submit, that the absence of such registery will not relieve from liability to sale, the part of the estate for which the registry is sought to be effected, nor be acknowledged as a ground for keeping the reputed and undoubted heir out of the Management of the Estate to the extent belonging to the demand co-sharer.—

15. In this view I beg permission to request I may be instructed whether your Board deem me at liberty to ignore the refusal of the male proprietors of the Mootah to be parties to the registry in Mungummal's favor and effect it in spite of that refusal.—

Salem District,
Sherveroy Hill,
2-3d-e March 1852

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips.—
Collector.—

For

J. C. B.

No. 43.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

(27)

Rd. No. 800

From the Collector of Salem.—

Sir,

Adverting to my letter dated the 23d Ultimo, reporting the present condition of Mootah Anundoor, I have the honor to represent that the proprietors of the Estate have failed to discharge the arrear of peishcush due on it, within the period limited by the notice issued to them, and that the property has accordingly been brought under management by the local Tahsildar.—

2. I now therefore beg to transmit in the usual form, an advertisement of for its public sale at Kistnagherry on Friday the 28th of may next.—

Regulation X of 1831

Salem District,
Darampoory.—
3d-e April 1852.—

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement.

5/73

Fort St. George
No. 1.

Statement of a Mootah under attachment

26th April 1852.—

for arrears of Revenue in Salem District.—

Name of the Talook	Name of the Mootah	Number of Villages	Names of the Mootahdars	Eminent Bearing exclusive of Mootahdars	Arrears up to the Fasli		Interest due at 6/15 per annum to March Fasli 1261		Kist Fusly 1261. Sibbendy Total		Sen Tage		Amount of profit on the Mootah		Amount for which the Mootah may be purchased		Remarks
					Fasli	Arrears											
					6Rs AP		6Rs AP		6Rs AP	6Rs AP	6Rs AP	6Rs AP	6Rs AP	6Rs AP	6Rs AP	6Rs AP	
Tergaracottah	Puruttoor	19	Rungasawmy Chetty Moorthy Chetty 2. Aronachelah gondah 3. Kistnah Chetty deceased 4.	3050	98 1255	2,113	93	152	3	85							Mangummah the daughter in law of the deceased Kistnah Chetty and his legal heir having lately attained her majority disputes have arisen between herself and the other joint Mootahdars relative to certain of their private money matters, the effect of which makes it appear that they will not discharge their dues but allow the Estate to come to sale.—
					1259	609	1	7	129	64							
					1261 up to March Fasli				649	— —	— —	— —	— —	— —	— —	— —	
			Total		3,371	10	10	1,652	149								
											649	— —	21	45			
											5,024	97	110	84			

Salem District,
Daramporry 3rd April 1852.

E. E. Fn

(Signed) W. D. Phillips.
Collector.—

Advertisement.—

5174

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.—

No. 2.

Advertisement.—

1. Notice is hereby given that unless the Arrears of Revenue including interest against the undermentioned and Advances for Cultivation and Repairs which are outstanding against the undermentioned Estate under attachment in the Zillah of Salem for Fuslies 1255- 59 and 61 shall have been liquidated on or before the 28th May next, the sale of those lands will commence by Auction at Kusbah Kistnagherry on that day, and will continue until the Estate shall be sold. The amount advanced for Cultivation and Repairs will be made know at the time of sale.—

Name of the Talook	Name of the Mootah	Number of Villages	Permanent Beriz exclusive of Moturpha	Arrears to the March Kist of Fusly 1261.— Balance of Principal for Fusly 1255.	Balance of Principal for Fusly 1259.	Interest	Charges	Total Balance against the Mootah
				Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As

Sengre = Salem District, Karampoory = Coimbatore 1052. (S. C. G.) (Signed) W. D. Phillips Collector.—

| | | |

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

No. 3 Malabar.

Bd. No. 782.

Proceedings thereon.— Upon a due consideration
(27.28) of the circumstances brought to notice
in the first of the foregoing letters, the
Board of Revenue authorize the Collector
to Register at once, the shares in the
Cadootoor and Anundoor Mootahs, belong=
=ing to the late Vencatachella Chetty,
in the name of his widow Munguammal.—

2. Before sanctioning the
sale for arrears of revenue of the
Anundoor Mootah Mr. Phillips will
be pleased to state with reference
to Regulation X of 1831, what portion
of the arrears payable by Munguammal, accrued
during the minority of that person.— It seems
doubtful, altho' the property is jointly held,
whether such amount can be included in
the arrears for which the Mootah is to be sold.—

No. 150.

Read again Letter
from the Collector of South Arcot dated
3rd December 1851. reporting on the
Settlement.

Ans. Board 5th Jan. 1852.

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Settlement of that District for
Fusly 1260/1851/52.—

Read also letter from
the Collector of South Arcot dated 20th
November 1851. forwarding the State=
=ment of the Population.—

Ans. Board 26th April 1852.

29

Bd. No. 797.

Proceedings thereon.— Mr. Maltby joined
the District at the close of March
The Settlement was made by that Gentleman
and the Officers under him according
to their respective charges, the Jummabundy
having been held in each Talook —
separately with the exception of Manargoody
and Chellumbrum, which were settled
at Anakpettah on the frontier of both.—

Reporting on the Settle=ment of the District of South Arcot for Fusly 1260.

The season was marked by
2.
Para 3 at 5. ample supplies of rain at the beginning
of the year and in the Month of August, but
by deficiency after that Month with a failure
of the North East monsoon.— The early
promise afforded great encouragement to the ex=
=tension of the cultivation, the outturn of the harvest
however was not commensurate with those prospects
The Produce of dry lands and of wet lands
dependant

dependant on the Tanks was scanty. That of lands under channel irrigation was favorable, the freshes in the Coleroon the Vellaur and the Pennar, having been steady and abundant throughout the season. Rain fell in May which was of service to the Indigo and Oil Seed. The District was on the whole healthy.

3. According to the Census, taken under the Circular Orders of the Board dated 4th February 1850 the Popula= =tion amounts to 1,006,005 exceeding the former aggregate by 132,080 persons. The increase is to be ascribed to greater accuracy in the present returns. Of the entire population, 829,384 are engaged in agricultural pursuits, who are distributed as follows.—

Hindoos.—		
Adults	568,316	
Children	234,327	
		802,643
Mahomedans and others not Hindoos.		
Adults	18,013	
Children	8,728	
		26,741
		829,384

C. O. Page 508.

Fusly 1260	1,006,005
Fusly 1259	873,925
	132,080

The

The several classes of which the Population is composed, are exhibited in the Statement forwarded by the Collector.— The prevailing class is the Padiachy Gounden, and Sudras including Parriahs whose numbers aggregate 1,89,946.—

Para 6— 4

Prices were remunerating and thus made up in some degree for deficient produce. The rates Exhibited by the Collector as shewn in the Margin are the averages of the whole year and of the entire District, the prices obtained by the Ryots when the heavy lists were due Viz.t in February and March, fell considerably below the amounts quoted and did not exceed Rs. 76. per Garce for Paddy.— The rates in the two Southern Talooks of Manargoody and Chelumbrum range as shewn in the margin below

	1257	1258	1259	1260
Cumboo	109	74	91	91
Woragoo	60	41	44	53
White Paddy	89	63	77	84
Indigo	345	304	392	511

+ Monthly Reports received by the Board.

Fuslies	Average rate of price in the 4 Towns including the Talook of Chelumbrum.		Average monthly price in the Chelumbrum Talook.		Decrease			Per Centage		
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs	A.	P.	Rs	A.	P.	Rs. A. P.
— 1257 —	89	7	7	74	11	5	14	12	2	16 8 "
— 1258 —	63	7	3	58	1	8	5	1	7	8 " "
— 1259 —	77	4	2	65	2	8	12	1	6	15 11 "
— 1260 —	84	10	4	73	"	4½	11	9	11½	13 12 "

below the prices in the remainder of the District.—

Paras 8@12. 5. Mr. Maltby has carried out in the year under report the orders of the Board for the introduction of the Dittums or Statement shewing the land in actual occupation for the year.— Partly from the people not understanding the nature and object of a Dittum and partly from the opposition of large land holders of influence who "have obtained possession of much land to the exclusion of other "parties, under the kyfut or assumed "right of continuing to hold waste land "for 5 years" considerable opposition, chiefly in Manargoody and Chellumbrum was shewn to the measure in progress, but by full explanation of what was intended and by shewing that land usually allowed to lie fallow as well as land, the cultivation of which is contingent on season would be liberally deducted at the Settlement the grounds of opposition were removed for the most part.— In the two Southern Talooks the state of the assessment appears to have been the main obstacle with which the Collector—

Collector had to contend.— The Board have great satisfaction in recording their entire approval of the Proceedings of the Collector characterized as they are by patient endeavours to remove erroneous impressions and by firmness when circumstances required it, in the assertion of the rights both of Government and of those parties whose occupation of land was impeded by the monopoly acquired by the influential Members of the Village.—

6. Mr. Maltby's remark to that effect, that with a moderate assessment there will be but few changes one year with another, is just.— The principle of a Dittum it must be remembered, is not new in South Arcot it was formerly in operation but under arrangements tending to force cultivation which it was found necessary to Prohibit.—

7. The Dittum extent of land or engagements for the year inclusive of land taken up afterwards was 514733 Cawnies

	Cawnies.
Engagements—	428476
Subsequent Cultivation—	86257

Cawnies assessed at Rupees 25,67,462 to which Kuppa Rupees 69,725 being added, the aggregate Dittum was Rs. 26,37,187 From this the sum of Rupees 1,50,160 being deducted on account of land not sown, the gross settlement or cultivation amounts to Rupees 24,87,026 exceeding that of the preceding year by Rupees 5,18,713- The particulars of this increase are.—

	Land Cawnies	Assessment Rupees.
Dry land	58,098	1,91,914
Wet	29,756	3,06,297
Garden	124	2,536
Kuppa	"	17,966
		5,18,713

and the particulars of the deductions made for land left uncultivated are.—

	Land	Assessment
Dry	38,326	1,26,444
Wet	2844	22,879
Garden	71	837

The amount allowed for Dry lands is large but is explained by the circumstance of its being principally composed of lands left & allowed according to the usage of the country after bearing

Poodagool or Fallow Rs. 104480-

bearing a Maroogoo Crop in the preceding year. In Manargoody and Chellumbrum, the deductions for waste were only Rupees 458 and Rupees 686 respectively, owing probably to the imperfect introduction of the Dittum into these Talooks.—

8. Land not cultivated but disallowed and therefore brought to account bore an assessment of Rupees 2,740-9-10.—

Para 14 @ 21. In the Enclosures Nos. 1-2 and 3 of Statement A the Dittum extent of land and the assessment of the actual cultivation of the year under report are compared with the actual land cultivated with the assessment thereon in the preceding year. Hence the extent of cultivation in each Talook in the Current year is not shewn. Following those Statements, the cultivation of the Current year is exhibited at Cawnies 5,14,732, which the Collector has adopted in Paras 19 and 20 of his report, whereas the real extent is Cawnies 4,73,491, or Cawnies 87,979 above the preceding. In the details it is seen that the increase of Cultivation above last year in the Dry, Wet and Garden lands, is Cawnies 58,097, 29,755 and 124 respectively.—

	Cawnies.
F. 1260	4,73,491
" 1259	3,85,513
Difference	87,978.

Para 18. 10. Of the total Wet Cultivation

Cawnies

Cawnies 118434 assessed at Rupees 114656 the extent under River irrigation is Cawnies 47202 assessed at Rupees 474401. The Russeel or additional assessment drawn chiefly from Dry lands cultivated with Rice Crops by means of water drawn from Government works is an important and increasing item of Revenue. In the year under report it amounted to Rupees 69724 an amount higher than in any former year. This Revenue is derived in the Talooks of Manargoody, Chillumbrum and Bawangherry under the irrigation supplied by the Coleroon and Vellaur.

Paras 22 @ 24. Statement K

11 The usual additions and remissions are noted in these Paras. The latter amounted to Rupees 234318 being an increase of Rupees 41705 above the preceding year occurring almost entirely in those items which are contingent on the extent of Cultivation, as Remission to the privileged Classes and Salaries of Village Establishments. The total amount of claims to indulgence on account of perished Crops was Rupees 8408 of which Rupees 4509 only were admitted under the usual rules, exceeding the similar item of the preceding year by Rupees 2136. The claims preferred on this account do not, the Collector observes, represent the actual

losses

losses of the Ryots, the Produce generally of lands dependant on Tanks having been scanty.

12. The Heading of Col: 13 of Statement 2 exhibiting the Shavy should be as follows; "½ of the Teerwah of the land Cultivated with a second Crop disallowed."

Para 30

13. The Revenue of the reverted Mootah of Nullatoor was Rupees 2,751. This though higher than in the preceding year, is below Fusly 1258, and the decline in the last two years is stated to be owing to unfavorable Seasons.

	Collection
Fusly 1258.	2,877.
1259.	2,340.
1260.	2,751.

Para 31 Statement P.

14 The Cultivation of Sugar Cane on account of British Houses, it is observed, is increasing. The extent of land occupied for this Product for the two years was Cawnies 1174 and 1442 respectively, being Cawnies 268 in favor of the Fusly under report.

15. The particulars of the Settlement as reviewed in the foregoing Paras are embodied in the following Comparative Abstract Statement, the net result being an increase of Rupees 47,851 — 12 — " in the year under report.

Extent

5785

Fort St. George

26th April 1852.-

	Fusly 1259.				Fusly 1260.				Increase.				Decrease.			
	Extent of Land.		Beriz.		Extent of Land.		Beriz.		Extent of Land.		Beriz.		Extent of Land.		Beriz.	
	Cawnies	As	Ps	a ℘	Cawnies	As	Ps	a ℘	Cawnies	As	Ps	a ℘	Cawnies	As	Ps	a ℘
Dry	344747	9	122017	6 3 10	392046	1 7/8	137388	15 4	47298	8 8/8	15351	11 6	"	"	"	" "
Garden	1417	8 7/8	24692	12 5	1408	5 1/4	24387	2 5	"	"	"	" "	9	3 5/8	305	10 "
Wet	116075	7 5/8	110678	1 2	121278	8 7/8	116938	" 3	5203	1 1/4	62605	15 1	"	"	"	" "
	462240	9/2	2351649	1 8	574732	15 7/4	2567462	2 "	52492	5 3/4	215813	" 7	"	"	"	" "
Ditum.			51758	7 4			69724	8 11			17966	1 7			"	" "
Deductions on account of land left unsown			2403407	8 9			2637186	10 11			233779	2 2			"	" "
Gross Settlement			4355094	11 5			150160	6 10			"	" "			284934	4 7
			1968312	13 4			2487026	4 1			518713	6 9			"	" "
Deductions on Lands Cultivated. Cowle remission							5499	" 7			776	13 "			"	" "
Manawary &c. or land not irrigated but dependant on the following rains			4722	3 7			5683	12 9			1028	10 4			"	" "
Amount remitted on the land of Poolcor			4655	2 5												
Poligar's Cumbuttum				" "			205	8 "			205	8 "			"	" "
Teaz gary or deduction on account of Bramins and Mussaulmen			517	14 6			528	5 4			10	6 10			"	" "
Shavy or loss of Produce &c.			16483	12 9			22137	7 4			5653	10 7			"	" "
			2373	9 10			4636	14 "			2263	4 2			"	" "
Remainder			28752	11 1			38691	" "			9938	4 11			"	" "
Add Ready Money Collections &c.			1939560	2 3			2448335	4 1			508775	1 10			"	" "
Rents &c.			184933	9 8			19479	14 3			986	4 7			"	" "
Peishcush			59564	14 7			59421	12 2			"	" "			143	2 5
Deduct Village Sibbundy			8940	8 10			8940	8 10			"	" "			"	" "
Net Settlement			2026559	3 4			2536177	7 4			509618	4 "			"	" "
			163860	13 3			195627	5 3			31766	8 "			"	" "
			1862698	6 1			2340550	2 1			477851	12 "			"	" "

16. Of the foregoing Demand, the Collections were—

	Rs	a	p
Within the Fusly	2195608	1	9
Subsequently to the end of December	140851	8	11
aggregating Rupees	2336459	10	8

and leaving an outstanding Balance of only Rupees 4090—7—5 of which Rupees 642—10—11 are Zemindary. A considerable improvement is observed in the Collections within the Fusly; these must be in some degree affected by the circumstance of a Kist of 5 per Cent falling due so late as June, but any delay in the punctual realization of the Demand arising from this cause will be obviated by a Modification of the Kistbundy which the Collector proposes to introduce after a longer acquaintance with the circumstances of the District.—

The

Para 26. 17. The outstanding Balances of former years commencing with Fusly 1250 amount to Rupees 40865—7 which should be finally adjusted at the Settlement of the current year in conformity with the orders on the subject recently re-iterated.—

Circular dated 11th March 1852.

Para 27. 18. In like manner the arrears of Exctra Revenue (Sevoy Jumma) amounting to Rupees 15942—3—6 should be finally disposed of at an early date.—

19. The total Demand on account of all branches, Land and Extra Sources, amounted to Rupees 2847085—9—7 while in the preceding year it aggrigated Rupees 2301764—2, being an increase of Rupees 545321—9—5 in favor of the year under review. The particulars are exhibited in the following abstract Statement.—

Demand

5188

Fort St. George.

26th April 1852.

	Demand of Fusly 1259.	Collections Within the Fusly.	Collections Subsequent to 31st December 1850.	Collections Total.	Balance.	Demand of Fusly 1260.	Collections Within the fusly.	Collections Subsequent to 31st December 1851.	Collections Total.	Balance.
	Rs a p									
Land Revenue	18,62,986 1	16,96,140 1 1	1,63,678 "	8,18,59,818 1 9		2,88,04,42,340,502 1	21,95,608 1 9	1,40,851 8 11	23,36,459 10 8	4,090 7 5
Salt	2,29,515 13 "	2,29,515 13 "	" " "	2,29,515 13 "		" " " 2,77,862 9 11	2,77,862 9 11	" " "	2,77,862 9 11	" " "
Sayer	46,526 9 5	46,526 9 5	" " "	46,526 9 5		" " " 43,848 " 7	42,456 14 4	1,391 2 3	43,848 " 7	" " "
Abkarry	68,000 " "	63,931 9 4	4,068 6 8	68,000 " "		" " " 81,300 " "	72,743 7 5	8,556 8 7	81,300 " "	" " "
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	10,855 12 7	8,420 6 8	2,428 9 11	10,849 " 7		6 12 "	11,286 5 11	9,743 10 10	1,542 11 1	11,286 5 11
Moturpha	40,146 " 2	37,782 1 5	2,304 5 9	40,086 7 2		599 "	41,142 8 3	38,962 8 6	2,162 6 11	41,124 15 5
Stamps	19,273 13 6	19,254 13 6		19,273 13 6		" " " 20,025 15 "	19,952 7 "	73 8 "	20,025 15 "	" " "
Sea Customs	24,747 9 5	24,747 9 5	" " "	24,747 9 5		" " " 31,069 15 10	30,261 10 1	808 5 9	31,069 15 10	" " "
Total	2,30,176 4 "	2,21,263 18 15	10,172 4 9	2,29,881 7 6 10		2,946 " "	2,84,708 5 9	7,26,87,591 5 10	1,55,386 3 6	2,84,297 7 9 4	4,108 " 3

The

5189

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

20 The Board have re-
peatedly alluded to the fluctua-
tions in the Cultivation of the two
Southern Talooks and have sought
Pro: 10th July 1851 an explanation of the anomaly
Paras 6 and 9. of an abundant irrigation follow-
ed by a reduced Cultivation. The
reason hitherto assigned has
been the inadequate remunera-
tion afforded by the prices of Grain.
Mr. Maltby's attention was par-
ticularly called to the subject,
and he was requested to lay
the real facts before the
Board after becoming acquainted
with the System in force in
the Talooks in question. This
has been done on
the present occasion

and

5190

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

and Mr. Maltby's views are embodied
Paras 32 & 37. in the Paras noted in the Margin
and in a letter he has recently
addressed to the Commissioners appointed
to enquire into the System of Public
No. 4. works, copy of which accompanies the
Report on the Settlement under consider-
ation. The value and importance of the
irrigation referred to are in the first
place strikingly exhibited in the
papers now furnished. With an outlay
of Rupees 3,00,000 on account of the
Coleroon Anicut, an average increase of
Revenue of about Rupees 90,000 per
annum has been obtained in
South Arcot alone since the
completion of the Work in 1836,
and an expenditure of Rupees
1,07,709 for the Vellaur Annicut has
already produced an annual increase of
Revenue to the extent of Rupees 19,577.
But however largely the absolute increase
may have added to the resources of the state, the
importance of the works is also to be mea-
sured by the security, which certainty in
the irrigation affords, to the ordinary cul-
tivation, and by the provision thus derived

against

against losses and calamities entailed during Seasons of drought. As regards the fluctuations in the cultivation Mr. Maltby, in common with his Predecessors, ascribes them chiefly to the state of the Assessment which will not admit of extensive cultivation except when the market prices of Grain are sufficiently remunerative. These remarks apply to the entire District generally, but the pressure is particularly felt in the Talooks of Manargoody and Chillumbrum, where the cultivation is confined almost exclusively to one description of Grain viz. Paddy, with the further disadvantages of distance from its Markets. A revision of the Assessment is strongly urged by the Collector on several grounds. The unmatured state of the irrigation, the loss to the Revenue from the want of a defined Assessment in the 28 Villages of the reverted Jaghire of Callemalay, the discontent of the Ryots in the adjoining dein Villages in consequence of the rents in Callemalay being below what they are called upon to pay, and the large extent of Dry land cultivated as wet, on which Kuffer and other fixed rates are levied, for the whole of which regular irrigation has been now provided, but which from the unsettled state of things is not regularly brought to account, chiefly demand in the opinion of the Collector that the Assessment shall be placed on a sound and permanent basis.-

21. The state of the Assessment generally and the defective classification of the wet lands have formed the subject of discussion on former occasions; but the Government were unwilling to entrust the revision of long existing Teerwas to the Judgment of Native Subordinates till it could be undertaken on secure data.

C. M. C. 31st Jan.y 1842 Para 13.
in Con.n 3. March of the
To Govt. 1 Nov.r 1849
& M. Con. 4th to 26th Dec.r 1849.

The Board are of opinion, for the reasons set forth by the Collector, that the time has arrived for carrying out this important measure, and they feel that they possess in Mr. Maltby a guarantee that its details will be carefully and efficiently performed. The first point demanding attention is the classification of the wet lands under one and two Crops respectively.- With accurate Registers of these lands and with the introduction of the rule generally that the Assessment of the second Crop of two Crop lands will not be demanded when from want of water or other sufficient cause it cannot be grown, all uncertainty as to the pressure of the Assessment will be

be removed and the Collector will be enabled at once to determine on what soils and to what extent a reduction should be made. The evil of an excessive Assessment on the best soils, Dry or Wet, in forcing Capital to inferior lands and the importance of accurate field Registers will not escape the attention of the Collector. The Board would caution Mr. Maltby against any attempt, as a general rule, to assimilate the Assessment to that which is found in the Neighbouring Districts, as the Assessment of a district must be regulated primarily with reference to its own circumstances which may or may not correspond with those of its neighbours. It is also to be borne in mind that the Assessment in Tanjore and in Trichinopoly has not been finally fixed by authority.

E. M. C. 2. 184 Para 2 in Cons. 1 Nov. 1853. Letter from Bd. 3 December 1851. in Cons 5 Jan. 1852. Para 3 recorded at the head of these Pros.

22. Mr. Maltby is of opinion that the officer entrusted with the revision should be one who can give his entire time and attention to the work and who should have full authority to carry into immediate effect the necessary reforms. The Board think that the Collector from his acquaintance with

with the District and intercourse with the people is the proper person for giving effect to the proposed measure. The work should not be hurried forward and as it is not of a character to require the exclusive attention of the individual conducting it's details, the extra labor involved will not trench materially on the Collector's time. It is only at first that the additional duty is likely to be felt, for as the revision proceeds, the management will be rendered more easy, and thus much labor and enquiry which the present irregularities of the Assessment entail, will be saved. At the same time the Collector might, should he think fit, give two additional Talooks to the Sub Collector and one to the Head Assistant, and assistance generally might be afforded with much advantage by the appointment of another Assistant or even two to the District.

In regard to Mr. Maltby's wish that the reforms should be at once carried into effect the Board are of

of opinion that there is no objection to such a course on their being satisfied as to the principles on which the work is going forward a report of its progress and of the results will of course be laid before them from time to time. A small extra Native Establishment will be necessary for a limited period which should be included in the annual statement of Extra Charges under Estimate

23. The Board beg to recommend that the sanction of Government may be given to the proposed revision, and that one or two additional Assistants may be appointed to South Arcot if they can be spared

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of South Arcot.

Read

Read the following letter

No. 17

To, W H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(30)

Bd. No. 896.
From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

In reply to your Circular letter of the 25th ultimo I have the honor to state that both the Deputy Sheristadars in this district have served in the Office of Tahsildar

South Arcot, Collrs Cutcherry, Cuddalore circuit, Munnaroogoody
16th April 1852.

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

(30-31)
Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

Read the following letter

No. 46.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(32)

Bd. No. 773.
From the Acting Collr & Magistrate of Tanjore

Recommending the dismissal of Narana Row Head of Police of Kevelore for ill treating or conniving at the ill treatment of Prisoners & witnesses in a Murder case.

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Board's sanction for dismissing Narana Row Tahsildar of Kevelore for gross misconduct in a Murder case the facts are as follows.

The

2. The deceased / a poor Weaver / aged 35 years / had no family and lived at Chitel, a large Village 3 or 4 Miles from Negapatam. Till lately he had resided at one Meenatchee's house but it is currently reported that he had latterly been living at the 1st. Prisoner's with whose wife / the 3d. Prisoner / Village scandal asserted that the deceased and the 2d. Prisoner had an intrigue.

3. The deceased is proved to have been in good health and to have been seen at sunset at the 1st. Prisoner's house, at or near which the three Prisoners were also seen.

4. No noise was heard by any of the neighbours to have issued from the 1st. Prisoner's house, but at about 8 o'clock in the evening the 1st. Witness saw two men / whose persons he could not recognise / bringing a body to a tank about 100 yards from the Prisoner's house, the criminals alarmed at the sight of the 1st. Witness dropped the body and ran off, when the 1st. Witness brought others to the spot but did not give information of the occurrence

to the Village officers till next morning.

5. The deceased died on the evening of the 21st. the Tahsildar was on the spot in the afternoon of the 22d, when it is clear that he had good grounds for suspecting the 1st. and 2d. Prisoners to be guilty of the Murder and that the 3d. Prisoner was acquainted with the circumstances thereof. It was of the utmost importance to ascertain the precise cause of death whether from the wound 2 inches long and 1 broad on the scrotum or from any other cause as strangling and whether there was any internal injury.

Squeezing or injuring the testicles might cause mortification and death but such injuries as those described would not produce immediate death.

6. But instead of sending the body on the 22d. afternoon to the Apothecary at Negapatam only 4 Miles off, or to Mr. Sutliffe at Tranquebar about 20 Miles off, the Tahsildar / after a rather careless inquest / paper had been recorded / permitted the body to be at once buried and thereby lost this opportunity of obtaining much valuable information.

7. Tho' thus negligent in obtaining the above circumstantial evidence, he

he appears to have been most anxious to get up a good case against the Prisoners, as he admits that when the prisoners did not tell him the truth he told the Duffadar to question and get out the truth from them, Directions that could I think only mean that he would not be particular about the means, provided Confessions and evidence were obtained, or at the very least that threats and promises might be liberally used. As usual in such cases it is almost impossible to decide to what extent improper means were carried.

Vide Arzee 12th February 52 in reply to the Head Assistants order calling for his explanation as to his having directed the Prisoners and witnesses to be tortured &c.

Vide the Duffadars deposition of 12th February and the long investigation made by the Head Assistant

8.

My own opinion is that the Duffadar &c roughly shoved the Prisoners and Witnesses about, struck them once or twice, threatened and put Kitties on their fingers, menacing torture if they did not confess or give evidence against the Prisoners but that the illtreatment went no further.

9.

Shevaramen's deposition January 22. affords I think strong presumption that he has been as he deposes before the session Judge made to state more against the Prisoners than he actually knew for the

+ dated on the morning of January 22d.

Village officers report does not mention that he recognized either of the two persons who had dropped the body, nor does the first part of his deposition say more than that he saw two persons &c, then comes questions which produce answers to the effect that he suspected the 1st and 2d Prisoners from other causes and lastly that he thought the two persons were the 1st and 2d Prisoners I think it clear that he did not in any way recognize the 2 body carriers, but suspecting the 1st and 2d Prisoners from other reasons therefore thought that the two persons / whom he could not recognize / were the carriers.

10.

The natural consequence / i.e the Prisoners acquittal / has followed an investigation so conducted. I do not say that a proper medical examination of the body, proper treatment of the Prisoners and Witnesses and an active and searching preliminary investigation would have ensured conviction, but I have no hesitation in saying that such measures as the Tahsildar has adopted would have

have broken down a very clear and well proved case

11 The Tahsildar has served 28 years and bears a good character but I am of opinion that he has on the present case made himself a party to endeavoring to obtain a confession from the 3d Prisoner by the flattery of hope or exacting it by threats or slight illtreatment, and that he has tried to obtain evidence of unwilling or really ignorant witnesses by much the same means. I most fully acquit him from being actuated by any other motive than the wish to get proofs against parties he deemed guilty, but as such practices are calculated to obstruct the due administration of criminal justice and are most pernicious in their consequences a severe example seems requisite—

12. You will observe that the Sessions Judge in his Extract dated 25th March /52/ passed on a letter of mine 9th of which the above observations are little more than a transcript/consider that the head of Police must either have

have participated in the measures resorted to by the subordinate agents or has been "utterly indifferent to the requirements of Justice, in allowing his authority to be so abused"—

13. The Session Judge further adds "even supposing for a moment which however requires a large share of credulity, that the Tahsildar did not authorize the irregular proceedings of his subordinates, he cannot escape the equally grave imputation of being a party to the fabrication of evidence and that in a case involving the question of life and death"—

14. I regret deeply to be compelled to recommend the dismissal of an old Tahsildar who has hitherto borne a very good character, I have only come to the conclusion after maturely weighing all the circumstances of the case and the observations of the Sessions Judge but I should be glad of his punishment could be alleviated by permission of reemployment after the expiration of not less than a year—

Trangquebar
29th March 1852.

/Signed/ T. W. Goodwyn
Asst. Collector and Magistrate

Remarks

No. 1.

Remarks of the Session Court in case No. 12 of 1852.

There is no evidence before the Court by which the crime charged can be brought home to the Prisoners. The only facts that are satisfactorily established are, that the deceased lived for about two years in the house of Meenachy (13th witness) which he left about two Months before his demise and from that time led an idle vagabond life, picking up a precarious livelihood, partly by begging, and partly by doing odd jobs for any one who would employ him that he frequented, as among others, the house of the 1st Prisoner and was last seen alive on the Prisoner's pial on the evening, on which he met his death.—

2. With regard to the evidence taken before the Head of Police, it is deprived of all value in consequence of the improper measures that were adopted to procure it from this evidence it is made to appear, that the deceased lived for about two Months in the house of

of the 1st Prisoner, that both he and the 2d Prisoner had the 1st Prisoner's wife (3d Prisoner) in their keeping, from which the inference is drawn that the deceased was killed by them, that he was last seen alive in the 1st Prisoner's house and that two persons answering to the description of the 1st and 2nd Prisoners were seen to deposit the body close to the Tank where the 4th witness was washing at about half past 7 in the evening.—

3. The 4th witness, who, it appears, lives in a hut close to the East bank of the Tank, states, that while he was washing himself on the opposite side of the Tank, he heard a noise and saw two persons running away, but that it was too dark to distinguish them, that he intimated to the 5th and 6th witnesses that something had been thrown down there, that they lighted torch, which however went out again immediately and proceeding to the spot found the body of the deceased, and that they came to the conclusion that he had been thrown there by the 1st and

and 2d Prisoners because he had been living in the 1st Prisoner's house. The Sessions Judge however doubts their having come to that conclusion or their having even recognized the deceased at that time, for it is admitted that it was quite dark, that the torch had gone out that the body was lying with the face downwards, and that they did not turn it over to examine it, and lastly, no allusion is made to the Prisoners in the Original report of the Village Police, written after their inspection of the body on the following morning.—

4. In his deposition before the Head of Police the 1st witness stated that the two persons whom he saw running away resembled the 1st and 2d Prisoners, but in Answer to a question put to him by the 2d Prisoner on the trial, he admitted having said so in consequence of illtreatment from the Police several of the witnesses also had stated in their Talook depositions that the 2d Prisoner and the deceased were

were rivals for the 3d Prisoner's favors, but they withdrew these statements before the Court, affirming that they had been made on Compulsion.—

5. It appears that the Head of Police instead of forwarding the Case to Court reported to the result of his investigation to the Head Assistant Magistrate for orders, and that he was then instructed to make further enquiry on certain specified points but the 2d examinations being also considered unsatisfactory and incomplete the Head Assistant Magistrate took the Case into his own hands, visited the spot, and obtained further evidence which he deemed of the utmost importance.—

6. This consists principally of the evidence of the 1st 2d and 3d witnesses, but the Sessions Judge is unable to concur with the Head Assistant Magistrate in his estimate of its value.—

Before

7. Before the Head Assistant Magistrate, the 1st witness deposed that he went to the 1st Prisoner's house about to ghurries 3/4 of an hour after sun set, and standing at the entrance he observed at the distance of 7 or 8 feet, a man lying down, from whose throat a gurgling sort of noise was issuing, that he asked the 2d Prisoner who was sitting there what was the matter? upon which he got up and pushed deponent out of the house, saying "what business is it of yours"? and that he, deponent, then got upon a low wall in front of the house to see what would happen. Yea Yea. Before the Court this witness said he went to the house for Toddy but being told by the 3d Prisoner that she had none, he went away without speaking to either of the other Prisoners, he had apparently forgotten all about his remaining to see what would happen, but in answer to a question whether he had gone home without stopping any where, he recollected that he had stopped in front of the house to gather leaves for his sheep, but said nothing about getting on

on the wall—

8. It may be observed that there are several toddy shops in Chickelut, and that the 1st Prisoner has only a dwelling house there, his own shop being in the neighbouring Village of Poravacherry where this witness himself also resides, and he could not give a satisfactory explanation for his going to the Prisoner's house for toddy, and with regard to his having seen a man lying apparently in the agonies of death, the vicinity of the threshold would seem to be an unlikely place to deposit the body of a murdered man instead of removing it to the rear of the premises—

9. The 2d witness deposed that as he was going along the road he observed a light in a Coramars hut and went there to light a Cigar, and while so engaged he saw two persons pass about 10 feet from him carrying something, and shortly after heard a voice which he recognized as the 1st Prisoners saying, come along Moottoocoomaran" (2d Prisoner) but that it was too dark to distinguish who

who these two persons were. This Coraver's hut seems from the plan to be some little distance off the road, and as there are houses on both sides of the road, in every one of which there would probably have been a light at that hour (between 7 and 8 P.M.) it does not appear why the witness should have turned off to the said hut for a light, more especially as he must have turned off within a few feet of the house of a woman he was well acquainted with (Aurayee 9th N.) and whose family reside in his own Village, and who, if the statement of the 1st witness is to be believed, was seen a short time before, getting some fire from the 3d Prisoner. The witness says the woman of the hut brought out fire to him, and as the spot where he was standing cannot be more than 50 or 60 feet from the backpart of the Prisoner's house, from whence the body of the deceased is supposed to have been carried out, so that the light must have been visible to them, they are not likely to have selected that particular moment for removing the body.

The

The 3d witness says he was
16.
engaged near the Tank, and heard a sound as. if something had been thrown down, and then a voice like that of the 1st Prisoners saying "come Moottoocoomarun". The house of this witness appears from the evidence to be about a quarter of a mile from that spot, and his being there at that hour is not satisfactorily accounted for the 4th witness who was close to the spot where the body was found, than either this witness or the 2d heard no one call or speak, as the two persons, who ever they were close together, there was no necessity for either of them to address the other in that way, and as they are supposed by the Head Assistant Magistrate to have thrown down the body and to have run off on perceiving the 4th Witness, neither of them is likely to have called to the other by name, and still less at such a moment to have called the name "Moottoocoomarun" at full length.

(Signed) S. Scott
Sessions Judge

(True copy)
(Signed) S. Scott
Sessions Judge.

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Ordered that Copy of these remarks be forwarded to the Acting Magistrate in view to an enquiry being instituted as to the alleged illtreatment of certain witnesses Viz.t the 4th 5th 6th 8th 9th 10th 13th 15th and 19th to compell them to depose to facts injurious to the Prisoners.—

(Signed) S. Scott

Sessions Judge

(A true Copy)

(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn

Acting Magistrate

No. 2.

Translation of a deposition given on February 12th /52 before the Head Assistant Magistrate by Mootoo Naik Duffadar of the Kevalore Talooke—

2 What do you reply to the Cur-
=rom's statement which you have just heard?

A I. Puttamonyum Appapillay,
Ungamy Perou Annachelam and shource took the 3d Prisoner into the Perovanmanaikee Muddum flattered threatened, struck her 2 or 3 blows on her backside and asked her about it.—
All were then there, she said that she did not kill the deceased, he was lying at the

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

the entrance of her house, when she and another told him to go but that they did not do any thing else to him she did not confess. These are the real circumstances that happened. Nothing took place as stated by the Curmom-

2 Did you not take the other Prisoners into the Muddum along with Veerayee and there question them?

A The other two Prisoners Velen and Mootoocomaren were taken to the house next to that where the Tahsildar had examined them and were there questioned strictly but they did not confess. The Tahsildar was there there I did not take them into the Muddum—

2 Did you make any sort of strict examination of the Witnesses?

A As the Witnesses did not tell the truth they had by the Tahsildars orders Kittics &c. placed on their hands and on their being questioned strictly they told the truth.

2 Did you place Kittics on the Prisoners?

A They were questioned with Kittics

Kitties on, but they did not confess.

2- After they had thus had Kitties on and been strictly questioned, did not the Tahsildar receive some advantage for refraining from so doing.?

A- None whatever, Nothing of the kind took place.

2- It appears that before the Murder the Prisoner Veeravee wore a silk cloth of 4 and had property, whereas she has now none of these articles and is not. What has become of her property.

A- When Veeravee was apprehended on the 22d January she only wore the black cloth she has now on. when her house was examined the following articles were discovered.-

1 Silk Cloth, 1 Red Cloth, 4 or 6 Bangles made of horn and gold. and 3 eating Brass Vessels but these articles were not found when the search took place. I hear they are now at Velen's father / Veerapen's / house.

/ Signed Mootoo Naik
/ A true translation /
/ Signed T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Magistrate
Translation

Enclosure No 3.

Translation of a deposition given on February 25th 1852 before the Head Assistant Magistrate by Mootoo Naik Duffadar of the Keevalore Talook.

2- Who were present and who knows of your strictly questioning the Witnesses by the Tahsildars order.?

A- The Tahsildar held the investigation at the Pandal erected before the Goorookuls house in the Chitrel Canil street. The following persons were there.

Somasoondara Modely
Amoorda Iyen } Dancers to Javalmanis
Ramien Adani to Revenue Javalmanis and Appapillay, as well as many others who were attracted there by curiosity.-

2- Did none of the Witnesses tell the truth.?

A- None of them told the whole truth.

2- Did you strictly question them within or without the house.?

A- I did not strictly question them 18 Peons and Visaripoogaro were come with the Tahsildar they strictly questioned but I

I do not Know who did it.

2. How were they strictly questioned?

A. We repeatedly exhorted them and told them to tell the truth but they did not tell the truth. Afterwards the Fitties were shown them and they were questioned again. the 1st Witness Sevaramen only had the Fitties put on his hands and was questioned, when he told the truth and it was recorded. I recollect likewise that another witness had the Fitties put on but I do not Know who this Witness was

2. How were the Fitties tied on? Were they tied on so as to cause pain?

A. Two little sticks were tied on one above and the other below the fingers in order to frighten but they were not placed so as to cause pain.

2. I recollect that you told me that you had struck and questioned the witnesses, with what did you strike them?

A. I did not strike the Witnesses nor did I say that I had struck them.

2. Did the Tahsildar tell you to strike and question the Prisoner Veeryee?

The

A. The Tahsildar ordered me to question strictly so I took her over into the opposite Muddum and questioned her strictly there. She did not confess. There was a stone Pillar near where she stood, I hit the Pillar twice with a cane, and threatened to strike her in the same way if she did not tell the truth.

2. How comes it that you before stated that you struck her on the back side whereas you now deny hitting her at all?

A. I stated before as I do now.

2. Who Knows that the Tahsildar has ordered you to question strictly?

A. He gave me the order in public Cutcherry, the persons before mentioned by me as being present there might have heard it.

/Signed/ Mootoo Naik

/A true translation/

/Signed/ T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Magistrate

Enclosure No. 4.

Translation of an Order issued to Narana Row Heevalore Head of Police on the 12th February 1852.

On examining the Talook Duffadar

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Duffadar in Shikel Veerapens. Murder case it appears that when you were examining the case you gave orders to have the Prisoners and Witnesses put into Kittles and tortured why did you give this order? report the right cause.

/ Signed J. J. Minchin
Head Assistant. Magistrate

/ A true translation /
/ Signed T. W. Goodwyn
Acting. Magistrate.

Enclosure No 5.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to the Head Assistant. Magistrate by Narana Row Head of Police of the Keevalore Talook dated 12th February 1852.

Your honours order No. 23 of this days date has been received and required my explanation as to how I could have issued the orders to tie on the Kittles, torture and question the Prisoners and Witnesses as it appeared from the Duffadars deposition had been done in Shikel Veerapens Murder case.

I gave no order whatever to the Duffadar to tie on the Kittles and torture

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

torture the Prisoners and Witnesses. But as the Prisoners did not tell the truth when questioned by me I told the Duffadar to ask and find out the truth, and he informed me that he had asked but that the truth had not been told. No thing more than this happened. and I therefore report the same.

/ Signed. Narana Row
Head of Police.

/ A true translation /
/ Signed T. W. Goodwyn
Acting. Magistrate

Enclosure No 6.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court in the Zillah of Combaconum under date the 25th March 1852

Read letter dated 17th Instant from the Acting. Magistrate of Tanjore together with the various proceedings that accompanied it.

The. Magistrates letter has reference to the Remarks of the Sessions Judge on Case No. 12 of 1852 regarding the means adopted by the Head of Police to procure evidence against the Prisoners in that case

case and the Magistrate after review-
-ing the evidence in support of the alleg-
-ed ill treatment of one of the Prisoners
and certain of the witnesses concludes
with "fully acquitting him / the Head of
"Police / from being actuated by any other
"motive than the wish to get proof against
"parties he deemed guilty but as such practices
"are calculated to obstruct the due administration of justice and
"are most pernicious in their conse-
-quences a severe example seems requi-
-site"

2- There is apparent in the Proceed-
-ings under review, a general inclination
on the part of those who were examined
to absolve the Head of Police from any
actual participation in the measures
resorted to by throwing the onus on
subordinate Agents, but even if this
were really the case it would be but a
slight palliation, for if true it must
stamp the Head of Police as utterly
indifferent to the requirements of
justice in allowing his authority to be
so abused.

3- That the Head of Police really be-
lieved the Prisoners were guilty and that he

he also had some grounds for such a sup-
-position, the Judge is ready to admit but
he cannot admit that that constitutes
a valid excuse for such persuasive me-
-thods of extracting criminatory Statements
from unwilling and perhaps ignorant
witnesses.

4- It is impossible to read many
of the original depositions, and them bear
the witnesses own account without being
immediately struck with the conviction
that part of the contents was not taken
down at the dictation of the Deponents
and therefore even supposing for a mo-
-ment, which however requires a large
share of credulity, that the Tahsildar
did not authorize the irregular proceed-
-ings of his subordinates, he cannot es-
-cape the equally grave imputation of
being a party to the fabrication of evidence
and that in a case involving the ques-
-tion of life and death.

5- The preliminary investi-
-gation particularly that part
of it connected with the inquest
and the subsequent disposal
of the body Clothes &c. was
conducted

conducted with a degree of carelessness that is not creditable to an officer of such long experience as the Tahsildar, and the Sessions Judge does not hesitate to say that even if the witnesses had not been so tampered with a conviction of murder was impossible, because the immediate cause of the death of the deceased could not be determined with any certainty from the evidence before the Court.

6. In the latter part of his letter the Acting Magistrate would seem to lean to the opinion that the Tahsildar's absolute dismissal from the service is not necessary and such being the case the Sessions Judge will leave it to the Magistrate to take such notice of the matter as he may deem proper.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Acting Magistrate of Tanjore for his information together with the Records received from him.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ S. Leath
Sessions Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Magistrate

B. of R. 778

Proceedings thereon.

Pages 530.

32.33

As required by the Circular orders of 24th June 1850, the Acting Collector is requested to obtain and forward to the Board of Revenue, a full explanation from Narsina Row, Tahsildar of Keevar Lore of his proceedings, in the case brought to notice in the foregoing letter in the mean time they sanction his suspension pending their further orders.

Read again letter from the Collector of Canara dated 8th January and entered in Consultation 5th February 1852.

Read also the following letter.

No. 25.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

314

B. of R. 714.

From the Collector of Canara

Para 1. I have the honour to acknowledge an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 5th ultimo forwarding for report the suggestions of

of Dr. Gibson on the subject of the Canara forests and calling for further explanation regarding the place for appointing Mr. Poulten an Assistant to the Collector of Canara in the Forest Department.

2. The Proceedings of the Board reached me when I was on my way to Sedasheger when I expected to meet Mr. Poulten and where I hoped to inspect some of the lower forests, and I therefore postponed my answer to the Board till I should have obtained all the information which this inspection could afford me.

3. The proposition contained in Dr. Gibson's letter that Mr. Poulten should be appointed an Assistant in the Forest Department under the Collector of this District has met with my cordial concurrence. It has done so because I viewed it as an arrangement by which the General controul over the Forest department will be left in the hands of the Collector aided by the local knowledge and constant attention of a European Superintendent. Any General arrangements should be left entirely to the Collector.

Collector and although he will doubtless be glad to avail himself of his Assistants' judgment and experience, and it will be his duty to do so. the arrangements to be made must rest with the Collector and when made be carried out by his Assistant under his Orders.

4 If I am right in believing this to be the arrangement suggested by Dr. Gibson, it has my full concurrence but I should strongly deprecate any which should give rise to a divided authority or remove the control of the jungles from the only party who has the opportunity of forming a correct judgment on questions involving the rights of the land holders and the general interests of the District.

5 Mr. Poulten's absence for three months will not I think materially impede the discharge of his duties in this District. His visits to the jungles during nine months of the year will be a useful check on the subordinate agents and it will I think be practicable to arrange that such detail as falls under Mr. Poulten's charge such as receiving Durkhasts reporting upon the

the applications made for timber and disposing of Contracts shall take place during the time when Mr. Poulton's services are available in this District.—

Collectors Cutcherry
Circuit Meerjan
15th March 1852

(Signed) F.F. Mallby
Collector

B.d. P.s. 785

No. 1145

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the letters above recorded be submitted for the consideration and Orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th December 1851 in Dft: Cons. 8th January 1852.—
Do. 23. January in Cons. 25th Feby 1852.

Submitting correspondence from the Collector of Canara proposing that Mr. Poulton at present acting Timber agent, should be attached to the Canara District as an Assistant Collector in charge of Forests with Board's recommendation that this Suggestion be adopted and that his duties be defined by his superior Officer.—

34.35

2 The arrangements proposed by Dr. Gibson thro the Bombay Government were to place Mr. Poulton under the Collector of Canara on the footing of an Assistant Collector in charge of the Forests situated in the Talooks North of Mangalore to leave him to dispose of all applications for Forest supplies as well as the letting of the Jungle Timber and Farm, to limit his residence in Canara to 9 Months in the year making Seadankagar his head Quarters, so as to enable him to fulfil his

his duties in Malabar during the remaining 3 Months of the year and to direct him to report his proceedings to the Collector at stated periods. It was also proposed that all Purchases for Building Timber should be submitted in their progress to Mr. Poulton.—

3 In his letter of the 8th January 1852 the Collector states his opinion that the services of Mr. Poulton should be made available for the entire District and not be confined to that portion of it North of Mangalore and that his appointment as Assistant Collector in charge of Forests will be in every respect advantageous to the interests of Government. He points out that the rise in the Trade of Timber between North Canara and Bombay and Goa and the increased consumption of Firewood render it necessary to guard against the rapid and wasteful destruction of the Forests, and that the prohibition of export of the more valuable kinds of Timber must be superseded by arrangements which will be founded on information

information supplied by Mr. Poulton, for bringing into the market large quantities from localities where it is to be had most abundantly and by retaining annual supplies of Teak for the Commissariat at Bellary and other places.—

Proceedings to Collector 5 Feby 1852.

4 In reply to enquiries from the Board whether the Collector intended, in the proposed arrangement to relieve himself of the duty of disposing of requisitions for Forest and Jungle Timber and how Mr. Poulton's charge of the Canara and Sonda Forests can be efficiently under-taken and the duties connected with them duly fulfilled during Mr. Phillips absence at Calicut for 3 Months of each year. Mr. Mallby states, in his letter of 15th March 1852 that he viewed Dr. Gibson's proposal as an arrangement by which the general control of the Forest Depart-ment will be left in the hands of the Collector, who doubtless will avail himself of the judgment and experience of his Assistant, but will make his own arrangements and leave them to be carried out under his orders by the Assistant

Mr.

Mr. Mallby strongly deprecates a divided authority. He considers that Mr. Poulton's absence for 3 months of each year will not be materially felt as the details falling under his charge such as receiving Durkhasts reporting upon applications for Timber and disposing of Contracts, might be arranged so as to take place during his residence of 9 Months in Canara.—

5 With reference to the strong opinion expressed by the Collector the Board recommend that Mr. Poulton be placed on the footing of Assistant to the Collector of Canara in the Forest Department under the terms and conditions proposed by Dr. Gibson in Para 11 of his letter to the Government of Bombay dated 1st October 1851.—

Encl. in Govt. 11th December in Revy Cons. 5th January 1852.

Para 260 of his report for 1850/51.

6 If this be agreed to it would not seem necessary that special duties should be assigned to him by Government as subsequently proposed by Dr. Gibson, and it would be more in accordance with the relation usually maintained

maintained between an Assistant and his superior Officer that the arrangement of his duties and other details should be left to the discretion of the Collector. The Board also see no objection to Mr Pontten's services being made available when required in other parts of the District than "North of Mangalore".—

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 9th and entered in Consultation 15th March 1852.—

Read

Read also the following letter

No 28.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(38)
B. No 721
From the Collr of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the accompany letter from my Assistant Mr Ellis on the subject of his having engaged Mr D'Rozario to undertake the conveyance of Salt from the Pans at Ennore Attiput and Kattewalk to the Madras Cotaurs, and to request the sanction of the Board for the continuance of the contract with Mr D'Rozario for a period of three years.

Madras
24th March 1852

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector

No 1.

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to report to you that Mr Rozario has offered to undertake the Cooly contract for the Boats for the conveyance of Salt at the lowest rates tendered, he consents to

to taking back the whole of the Boats supplied by him upon the condition that he shall, upon his behaviour satisfying the collector, be allowed the contract for three years

2 As Mr Rozario is a person of great respectability, and as he has shewn great willingness to accommodate the Office with respect to taking back the Boats I should recommend his proposals being accepted. We may otherwise have some difficulty in getting of the Boats at already supplied and of these which by contract we are bound to receive if furnished by Mr Rozario on the 1st of April next. The present rate is lower than that of last year and of the preceding years / as per margin /.

3 I submit herewith for your approval the terms of the contract.

Madras
24th March 1852

/ Signed / R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector

Memorandum shewing the rates of Boat hire

	Present rate	Former rate
	Rs a p	Rs a p
For every Garce of Salt from Covee to Madras	1 5 =	1 5 15 =
For every Garce of Salt from Cottamkoil &c	= 15 =	= 15 15 =
For every Garce of Salt from Pettipoot &c	1 5 =	1 5 15 =
For every Garce of Salt from Vellore &c	1 5 =	1 5 15 =
For every Garce of Salt from Vayalore to Covee &c	1 = =	1 1 = =
For every Garce of Salt from Vayalore to Madras &c	1 = =	1 1 = =
For every Garce of Salt from Pettipoot to Covee &c	= 8 =	= 8 = =

No 2

Contract.

I agree to convey the whole quantity of Salt required during the year, from the various Salt Pans to the Madras Cotaurs the rates of hire hereto annexed, this hire being paid to me twice a Months.

2 I shall at all times obey the directions of the Collector of Madras as to the number of Boats, within one hundred, which he may think it necessary to send at any one time to any place, and in all other respects submit to his directions in all matters concerning the transport of Salt from the Pans to the Cotaurs.

3 Upon my failing to supply the number of Boats required or upon my causing any unnecessary delay I shall be liable to forfeit my contract.

4 Should the practice of conveying Salt by body contract be discontinued by Government this contract is to be null & void.

5 For all other losses of Salt which may take place during the transport of the Salt from the Pans to the Cotaurs, by the negligence and delay of the men employed under my orders

I

I hold myself responsible and in such matters I will submit to the decision of the Collector of Madras.

6 Should the Collector obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue to the contract for the conveyance of Salt, being granted to me, on the above terms for three years I undertake at once to take back the 25 Boats built by contract, (eleven of which have already been supplied the remaining 14 I am to furnish to the Collector of Madras on the 1st of April next) and to refund the amount I have received for them. This sum to be deducted in Instalments, from the sums to be received by me for the conveyance of Salt from the Pans to the Madras Golauns.

36·37

B. No 787.

No 147

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the letters above recorded together with their enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

To Govt 22d Sept 1834
From Dr 15th in lans 28th Sept 1835.
To Govt 30th June 1851.
From Dr 29th July in lans 4th August 1851.

Submitting correspondence from the Collector of Madras relative to increasing the rate of Coodewarum payable to the Salt manufacturers of Cuttewaukum and to reverting to the Boat contract system for conveying Salt to the Madras Golauns, with the Boards recommendation that both these

Recd from Mr Ross 9th in lans 15th Decemb 1852

2.

The Assistant Collector reports favorably upon the prospect of the manufacture of Salt for the current year and states that it is already in a forward state in the Ennore and Attiput

these propositions be adopted under certain conditions.

Attiput pans but that the manufacture of Cuttewaukum is not so advanced, which is mainly attributed to the lower rate of Coodewarum, Rs 8 - - per Garce, payable to the Salt manufacturers at the latter pans. In his opinion the Salt manufacturers of Cuttewaukum in their eagerness to obtain pans, in 1834, agreed to that rate of Coodewarum, but they do not now find it sufficiently remunerative to stimulate them to continued exertion in the manufacture, and he feels persuaded, that if the rate is raised to Rupees 10 per Garce, which is that payable at Ennore and Attiput, persons possessing capital will undertake the necessary repairs to the pans, and soon continue the manufacture in a more advanced state, and the trifling inferiority in the quality of the Salt, with increased care, will soon entirely disappear.

3 On referring to the papers relative to the construction of the Salt pans in Cuttewaukum in 1834 the Board find it stated in Mr Robertson's letter of the 24th April 1834 para 2 that the soil of those pans is equal to that of the Ennore pans. In the hope therefore that the quality of the Salt at Cuttewaukum will be improved to the standard of Ennore and that the manufactures of the former will be stimulated to greater exertions if the proposed indulgence is granted, the Board recommend the increased rate of 10 Rupees per Garce, as the future Cuttewaukum Coodiwarum, for the sanction

Inclosure 5 May 1834

Sanction of Government.

Encs 2 From Mssrs 4
9th inst to 15th March 1852

+

From Govt
29th July in
Cons 4th
Augt 1851

In a second communication to the Collector the Assistant Collector stated that 11 out of the 25 Boats to be constructed on account of Government had been supplied by the Building Contractor but were not yet sufficiently seasoned. In order to meet the present urgent demand for Salt, and bring it to the depot, from the Pans Mr Ellis explained that besides the use of Government Boats it would be necessary for a time to employ Cooly Boats the employment of which the Board have authorized. Mr Ellis took this opportunity to express his opinion that the system of Government Boats was of doubtful expediency in as much as there would at best be but a few of them (25) they would be so long occupied in bringing to the Depot the whole years manufacture (9,000 Garce) that occasion would offer for fraudulent Persons at the place of manufacture would whilst the Salt was lying there be tempted to make away with a portion, and ascribe it to wastage.

5 These objections appeared to the Board reasonable, and they considered it better rather than run any risk

Proceedings
15th March
1852

risk to abandon the proposed system of Government Boats if it could be done without loss a plan which seemed from Mr Ellis letter to be feasible, and they therefore directed the Collector to inform them what arrangements could be made for returning to the contract system.

Encs From Mssrs
24th March in
Cons 26th April
1852

In Mr Ellis last communication he explains that Mr Rozario has tendered for the Cooly contract for the conveyance of the Salt, and consents to take back all the Boats which were to have been supplied by him to Government upon condition of being allowed the Cooly Contract for 3 years. The Known respectability of the individual as well as the low rates which he has tendered induce the Assistant Collector to propose and the Board to recommend the renewal of a three years contract with him.

In reference to the Contract Bond

7 the Board suggest that the contractor be made liable to a fine as well as to the forfeiture of his contract, in Clause 3, in the event of failing to fulfil his engagements, and with this slight modification they approve of the proposed arrangement, and submit the same for

the

Fort St. George 26th April 1852

To Govt 30th June 1851
From Govt 29th July in Cons 4th Augt 1851.

the orders of Government in substitution of the plan referred to in the papers noted in the margin which they regret to find it impracticable to carry out with due regard to the interests of Government.

8 The Board regret that they should so soon have to recommend a reversal of the plan for which they at the Collector's desire obtained the sanction of Government but they considered that though when the whole 25 Boats were at work they should be able to judge more decisively, yet that if the plan was then found not to succeed, there would be some difficulty and loss to Government, in getting rid of the Boats.

9. They do not doubt that the employ of Government Boats would be more economical in one point of view, but that would be only if they could bring all the Salt as fast as it was manufactured. The increased demand for Salt, at Madras obliges the Collector to manufacture and store very large quantities at a time, and the Board believe that on particular occasions there is a call for 80 and 100 Boats at once, which if not for the warning might give rise to peculation at the place of manufacture. Under these circumstances the

10

Board

Fort St. George 26th April 1852.

Board with the desire to avoid any risk whatever have deemed it right to discontinue the Government Boat system whilst it could be done without putting the Government to any expense.

Read the following letter.

No. 31.

38

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue;

B. No. 786.
From the Collr of Madras ... 1/
Reporting on the question referred to in the Board's Proceedings of the 14th July 1851, regarding the ground rent charged on certain land occupied by the Coal sheds of P. S. N. Company.
14th July 1851

Sir,

I have the honor to state that agreeably to the orders of the Board I placed myself in communication with Mr. Franck the agent to the Oriental Steam Navigation Company at Madras with the view of obtaining the information required by the Board in the 2d and 4th Paras of their Proceedings under acknowledgment.

2. I regret to state that I am unable to obtain any information from Mr. Franck on those points. I am compelled therefore to submit my observations on those parts of the Proceedings of the Board that require explanation within my reach.

The

3.

The Subjoined Memo in the margin will shew to the Board the principle on which the rate of 2 cent rent charged on the Coal sheds was calculated from which it will be seen, that the total amount up to the 1st March 1852 due on account of Ground rent is Rs. 735–1–6. The rates charged are those established for Ground on the North beach, and adjoining localities occupied with timber, no provision being made for the occupation of the Company's ground with Coal.

4 The two several rates of 14 and 7 Rs. the Ground are owing to the land occupied with Coal being immediately on the North Beach and somewhat to the interior.

5. The value of land in the locality where the Coals are stored is said to be about 450 Rs. the Ground. No buildings are erected on this spot but the Oriental Steam Navigation

Memo

Gd. 2209 sft. Rs. a. a.

For April & May 1851	@ 7	Rs.	6	8–14–2	
Do. Gd. 2.—1840	@ 14	Rs.	77	1–10	
For June do.	@ 7	Rs.	34	7–1	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	38	8–11	
For July do.	@ 7	Rs.	34	3	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	35	7	
For Augt. do.	@ 7	Rs.	36	14–2	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	35	2–6	
For Septr. do.	@ 7	Rs.	37	1	
Do.	@ 11	Rs.	34	11–8	
For Octr. do.	@ 7	Rs.	32	3–1	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	31	3 8	
For Novr. do.	@ 7	Rs.	29	9–1	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	31	8–5	
For Decr. do.	@ 7	Rs.	29	9 1	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	31	8–5	
For January 1852	@ 7	Rs.	28	15–10	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	31	8–5	
For Feby. do.	@ 7	Rs.	24	9–10	
Do.	@ 14	Rs.	31	8–5	
		Rs.	735	1–6	

Navigation Company are engaged in constructing tile sheds for the occupation of their Coal, beyond the Walls of Black Town of rather a permanent nature, which induces me to believe that it is not their intention to occupy the present spot for a long period.

6. If the Government are inclined to extend any indulgence to the Oriental Steam Navigation Company, by remitting a portion of the assessment on the Ground occupied with their Coal, I would take the liberty to recommend that a uniform rate of Rs. 7 may be charged for the Ground in question, which would reduce the sum now due to

Rupees 545–10–1.

Madras 3d. April 1852. } (Signed) J. A. Huddleston Collector.

Bd. No. 770. Proceedings thereon, The Collector is requested

38.39 to forward to the Board any correspondence which may have taken place between himself and the Agent for the Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company, on the foregoing subject.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

40 No. 1144.

Bd. No. 748 To The Chief Secy to Govt. Sir,

To The Chief Secy to Government. In conformity with the orders of

of Government conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of March 1852 for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th April 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

41
Bd. No. 781.

To The Collr. of Masulipatam
Tanjore
& Canara.

To,
The Collector of
Masulipatam.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 17th November, 1851, I am directed by the Board of Revenue again to request, that you will furnish at an early date the Statements called for in their Circular dated 31st July 1851, shewing the extent and number of Sales, in your district, of property, personal and real, on account of arrears of Land Revenue under individual settlement Rs. from Fusly 1235 to Fusly 1260.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th April 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

The

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

42
No. 450
From the Collr. of Ganjam.— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

43
No. 481
From the Asst. Collr. of Guntoor— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

44
No. 550
From the Collr. of Nellore— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

45
No. 551.
From the Collr. of Nellore— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

46
No. 579.
From the Collr. of Salem.— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

47
No. 735
From the Collr. of Canara.— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

48
No. 739
From the Collr. of Canara.— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.—

49
No. 437
From the Collr. of Madras.— Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260

50
No. 451
From the Collr. of Ganjam— Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.

Statement

51
No. 552
From the Collr. of Nellore. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.

52
No. 554
From the Collr. of Nellore. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.

53
No. 737
From the Collr. of Canara. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.

54
No. 438
From the Collr. of Madras. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.

55
No. 441
From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.

56
No. 482
From the Collr. of Guntoor. Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.

57
No. 553.
From the Collr. of Nellore. Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.

58
No. 736
From the Collr. of Canara. Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.

59
No. 448.
From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate, in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.

60
No. 480.
From the Collr. of Guntoor. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate, in the Magisterial Department

61
No. 738
From the Collr. of Canara Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate, in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.

62-62
Order on the 2 abovd. Statements. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board, be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements, and submitted for the sanction of Government.

63
No. 926
From the Civil Auditor. Read the undermentioned Statements Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of November 1851.

64
No. 922
From the Civil Auditor Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of December 1851.

65
No. 924
From the Civil Auditor. Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of January 1852

66
No. 925
From the Civil Auditor. Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of February 1852

67
No. 923
From the Civil Auditor. Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Month of March 1852.

63-66
Order on the 5 abovd. Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

67
My Dr. 407
From the Collr. of Bellary, Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.- Stating that no Revenue Defaulters were either confined or released during the Quarter ending the 31st December 1851.

70
My No. 408
From the Collr. of Bellary Stating that no Revenue Defaulters were either confined or released during the 1st Quarter ending 31st March 1852.

71
My Dr. 409
From the Collr. of Vizagapatam Abstract of Demand Collection

72
My No. 209

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam. Collection and Balance for the Month of March 1852.

73
My No. 406

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March 1852.

My No. 411

From the Coll.r of Canara. Forwarding a fresh cask examination Certificate.

74

Acknowledging the receipt of 1,200 Printed Salt Rowannahs.

" Ingoldsby

" Wallace

Comp.d
J. H. Boyle
Writer

Diary

Received the following letter

27th April 1852.

No. 36.

To W. H. Bayley Esq.r
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

1

Bd. No. 907

From the Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 14th Instant, I have the honor to forward an advertisement both in English and Tamil, offering a reward of 500 Rupees as therein directed, and to request that you will have the goodness to forward the same to the Agent of the Government press for insertion in the Fort St. George Gazette.—

Coimbatore Collector's Office,
Coonoor, 21st April, 1852

(Signed) C. D. Thomas
Collector

No. 1.

Advertisement

Some Teak Planks &c. about 600, the property of Government, in the Annamalli Hills, in Pulachy Talook, in the District of Coimbatore, having been Consumed by fire the act of an incendiary, on the 10th February 1852., notice is hereby given that a reward of 500 Rupees will be paid to any, who may give information to

to the Magistrate of Coimbatore, so as to lead to the discovery of the perpetrators.-

Coimbatore
Collectors Office
21st April 1852.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

No. 2.
Tamil.

Bd. No. 773

Order thereon

(No. 2)

Ordered that the advertisement forwarded with the above letter be transmitted to the Superintendent of the Fort St. George Gazette Press for publication in the official Gazette.-

At a Meeting Present

Thursday
29th April
1852.

J. Goldingham Esqr. Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqr. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqr. Senior Member
Absent on duty (as Commissioner Northern Circars)

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation dated 17th December 1851, and entered in Consultation 5th January 1852.-

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.-

No. 157.

(3)

Bd. No. 790.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

In Extract Minutes Consultation 17th December 1851, the Government stated that they would ascertain the usage followed in the Bengal Presidency in respect to the recovery of arrears of Revenue accrued against the Estates of incapacitated proprietors during the Management

Reports 5 January 1852 No 3

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

management of the Court of Wards which may be outstanding at the Conclusion of their management; I am directed by the Board of Revenue to enquire whether this information has as yet been supplied by the Government of Bengal.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th April, 1852.---

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

4

B.t. No. 632

From Secretary to Government -

Reviewing the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1260.

Read the following Extract-

No. 234.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 9th March, 1852

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Reporting on the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1260 As 1859/51.

(Here enter 22d January 1852 No. 36)

Para 1 The early rains though partial were sufficient for the dry Cultivation - but the North East Monsoon was light. The freshes in the Coleroon and Cavery were abundant - Heavy rains fell towards the end of the Fusly and were of great benefit both to the people and Cattle though too late to be of service for the Cultivation

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Cultivation. - Altogether the season is pronounced to have been an average one - and tho' not free from Cholera to have been on the whole healthy.-

2. The Return of population shews the aggregate number of souls to be 7,09,196 - of which 5,30,054 are Hindus and 171,142 Mahomedans, the Agricultural body forming the larger portion

Viz.t Hindus Adults. - - - - 280,565
Children - - - - 123,932
404,497

Mahomedans - Adults - - - - - 81,305
Children - - - 39,433
120,738

525,535

The proportion of Male Children to adults is much larger than of female, and it is desirable to know whether this is owing to the difference in the age at which they are respectively reckoned Adults - As the Board of Revenue have now complete Returns of population the result of the Census taken under their Circular Order, the Government desire that these may be reduced to a general table by Districts for the whole Presidency, and submitted to Government with a Column shewing

shewing increase or decrease above or below the last account. The Return for Trichinopoly now before Government is divided into two classes, one shewing as Hindoos, the other Mahomedans and others not Hindoos; this would seem susceptible of improvement by dividing the second class into two parts, one for Mahomedans and another for others neither Hindoos nor Mahomedans. It would be convenient if the Grand totals were divided like the other heads into Agri-cultural and non-agricultural.

3. Prices fell below those of the preceding year to the extent of 12½ per cent on the superior and 20½ per cent on the inferior sorts of rice and were even below the depressed rates of Fusly 1250 and the Collector observes "that the Ryots of the District were "certainly much depressed by the lowness "of prices and want of a ready Market "and constant demand for their rice "grain." The decline has proceeded from the large stock of grain remaining on hand from the preceding year, the Copious yield of the harvest of Fusly 1260 not

not only in the District but in the adjoining Provinces and the diminution in the demand in the Town of Trichinopoly itself caused by a reduction in the Troops which composed the garrison. It does not appear what was the difference between the prices of the year and the rates on which the land assessment was determined. This difference should be stated in the annual reports on the settlement of the land revenue from all Districts which are subject to a fixed assessment imposed in reference to a standard price of grain.

4. The quantity of land included in the Summabundy was 3,27,460 Cawnies and exceeded that of Fusly 1259 by Cawnies 5,507. The improvement is chiefly in the dry lands - Cawnies 3,317, and those under Tank irrigation Cawnies 1,567.

5. The settlement was thus formed

Dittam		Increase or decrease Compared with Fusly 1259.
Ryotwarree	14,60,932	— 26,053
Ready money Collection Shrotrium &c.	7,460	+ 509
	15,30,400	— 25,544
	90,140	— 40,515
Bunjer or land left unsown	14,40,260	+ 14,970
Gross settlement	20,169	— 7,724
Deductions on Cultivated land	14,20,091	+ 22,695
Net Settlement		

The

6. There has been an increase in all the dry Talooks - and in all the irrigated Talooks except Conand where there has been a decrease both in the wet and dry Cultivation.-

7. The net settlement of the Fusly under report is not only above that of the previous year, but is above the average of the five preceding Fuslies which it exceeds by Rupees 17,893.

8. The Collections were co within the Fusly - - - - - - - - - - - - 14,03,943
subsequent to the end of
November - - - - - - - - - - - - 12,695
14,16,638

leaving a balance of Rupees 3452 due for the most part by indigent co Ryots.

9. Under the Circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue in para 16 of their Proceedings, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for co the remission of the balances outstanding, on account of former Fuslies 1249 - 50 - 51 - 52 - 53 - 54 and 1250 - amounting to Rupees 31,033 - 15 - 5 / Thirty One Thousand and thirty three, annas fifteen and Pice five -

These

These balances are stated to be due by indigent Ryots and to be irrecoverable except by the sale of the lands of the defaulters - They have been in part allowed to lie over in the expectation that an improvement in the price of grain might admit of their being made good - but this hope has proved delusive. The Governor in Council also sanctions the remission of Rupees 12 - 4 - 9 / Two, Annas four and Pice nine, being the assessment of land occupied as a Choultry -

10. In para 9 of their Proceedings the Board call upon the Collector for explanation as to the large amount of remission allowed for Shavy or withered Crops, and as to it's having been allowed principally in the Conand and Woodiarpollium Talooks - In para 10 - they remark that the large deduction in the Talook of Conand, on account of Fusul Cummee should have been accounted for - and in para 12 - notice that the Collector has omitted to explain the meaning of the Term Teerwah Jasty as applied to wet garden land occupied co with

with sugar cane. It appears to the Government that when, on going over a settlement report, the Board may find it deficient in information in such particulars, as the above, they should specify such points in a short letter, or official Memorandum and call upon the Collector for immediate explanation - which should be considered in their review of the report and submitted to Government with it. This would be better than merely noticing the omission in the course of their proceedings on the settlement, or calling for the information to be furnished at some future date.-

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 813

Order thereon 1. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collector of Trichinopoly, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 22d January 1852

2d Mr. Bird will report whether the preponderance of adult Females over Males arises from the difference in the age at which they are respectively reckoned as adults, which the Board believe to be the case.-

Ordered

Ordered that the following letters be despatched Viz.:

To the Collector of
Vizagapatam

(6)

Bd. No. 783.

To the Collectors of Vizagapatam, Rajahmundry, Masulipatam, Guntoor & Ganjam

9th March in Cons. 29th April 1852

Sir,

The Government having called upon the Board of Revenue to furnish a General Return by Districts of the population of the Madras Presidency, I am directed to request that you will forward to this Office a duplicate of the Census Statement of your District, taken under the Board's Circular order of 7th February 1850, and transmitted to the Commissioner with your Jummabundy Report for Fusly 1260.

Page 508

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th April, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Circular to all Collectors except Madras Sea Customs - Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars.

(7)

Bd. No. 887

Circular to all Collectors except Madras Sea Customs Copy to Comms. No. Circars vide from Govt 9th March in Cons. 29th April 1852

Sir,

The population Statement annexed to the Circular Order of the Board of Revenue dated 7th February 1850, is divided into two

two classes one shewing Hindoos. the other Mohomedans and others not Hindoos. The Board direct that in future when taking a census, you will divide the second class into two Divisions, Viz.t Mahomedans, and others neither Hindoos nor Mahomedan, and exhibit them separately in your Statements. The Grand total should also be divided like the other heads into agricultural and non-agri=cultural.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th April, 1852. } (Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

8

B.R. No 806

Circular to all Collectors except Madras Sea Customs. Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars.

From Govt. 9th March in Cons: 29th April 1852

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue under instructions from Government, to request that in all districts which are subject to a fixed assessment imposed in reference to a standard price of grain, the difference between the prices of the year, and the rates on which the land assessment was determined, should be stated in the annual Reports on the

the Settlement of the Land Revenue.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th April, 1852 } (Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

No. 148.

To, the Chief Secretary to Government.

4-9

B.R. No 792

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Sir,

With reference to Para 10 of Extract Minutes Consultation 9th March 1852 No 234 on the Settlement of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1260, I am directed by the Board to explain that it is only in cases where otherwise their report to Government would be obscure, that they call for further information before closing their Proceedings and that on those points which refer to minor details required more for their own information than as affecting the general results of the Settlement, they have thrown in their observations in order that the Collector may notice them in his next Report, or in special cases, earlier.

2 The Board will of course if it is

is the desire of Government, defer forwarding their review of the Collectors' reports till they have received information on matters of the above kind, but as it is not always possible for a Collector to reply immediately (especially where accounts have to be revised) the Board apprehend that a delay which it has been their object, and they believe that of Government always to avoid, may some time be the result, whereas it is of the greatest consequence to Collectors to have the opinion of the controlling authorities upon their proceedings and suggestions as early as possible.

3. The Board observe that this subject was discussed on a former occasion in the correspondence noted in the Margin.

Extract M Cons 4th June 1845 P 12 in Cons 18th June 1845
Extract M Cons 25th March 1847 P 7
Extract M Cons 19th April 18. in Cons 20th May

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th April 1852.

(Signed) W. K. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following Extract

Extract

10

Bd. No. 816.
From the Secretary to Government.

No. 303.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 6th April, 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Here enter 19th February 1852 No. 70)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has given his most careful attention to the subject of the above letter and the correspondence accompanying it, and sees no sufficient reason assigned for removing the restrictions laid down in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 1027 dated 14th October 1851, regarding the disbursement of public money from the Local Treasuries. This method of meeting demands in the interior of the District though convenient and apparently simple is one that leads to a laxity of accounts, and affords a facility of paying away money which in the opinion of Government should not be permitted, and the utmost to which the Governor in Council is now willing to consent after a consideration of the representation that have

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

have been made by the Officers who have written upon the subject, is so far to modify the prohibition of the 14th October 1851 as to omit the word "emergent" and leave it to the discretion of the Collector or other Officer in Charge to grant orders on the Talook Treasuries under his own Seal and Signature. Such orders ought neither to be granted except for defined sums, nor in anticipation of demands on the Talook and should be returned to the Hoozoor Treasury as part of the remittance from the Talook within the Month in which they are drawn. To allow Cash to be lodged in the Talook Treasuries for defraying probable forthcoming demand of any nature is in the opinion of Government a dangerous system exposing the Talook Servants to temptation which they may not always withstand. They may thus be led to believe that the deficiency of one Month may be made good from the receipts of the Month following and they should have no discretion.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary

(10.11)

Bd. No. 831.

Order thereon

Ordered that a Copy of the above Extract from Minutes of Consultation be circulated, for information and guidance, to all Collectors, with reference to the Board's Circular Order dated 27th October 1851.

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Bd. No. 886

From the Secy. to Government

(12)

No. 333.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 15th April 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Political Department.

(Here enter 26th March 1852 No. 121)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to forward to the Board of Revenue an Extract para 4 of the letter from the Resident of Travancore with a request that they will expedite the information called for in the Extract Minutes of Consultation 6th December 1851 No. 1208 para 3 to enable this Government to order the repayment of the Amount due to the Travancore Circar on account of the Jaghire lands recently restored to it.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

No. 1.

Extract from a letter from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George dated 19th March 1852 No. 12.

Para 4. The Government will be glad to

to observe that His Highness has at once cheerfully agreed to place at my disposal for important works of irrigation in the S. of Travancore the whole sum of Money awarded to the Sircar on account of the Tuftee lands, I hope therefore the Government may be pleased to place the Amount at the disposal of the Sircar at an early date.

A true Extract
Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

12.13

Bd. No. 811.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated with the Extract accompanying, to the Collector of Tinnevelly, with a request that he will expedite the despatch of his reply to their Proceedings dated 5th January 1852.

14

Bd. No. 950.

From the Secretary to Government, Dated 20th April 1852. } Read Extract forwarding a despatch from the Honorable Court, authorizing the renewal to Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co. of the Lease of the Palcondah and Koonjeeram Estates for 5 years.

14.15

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Extract

Extract.

Bd. No. 942.

From the Secy. to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department dated 20th April 1852.

No. 857.

16

Read the following letter from the Honorable Company's Solicitor.

(Here enter 15th April 1852)

Para 6. The Heads of the several Offices at the Presidency will be directed to afford the Commissioners every aid in the execution of their duty, will cause their Servants to attend before the Committee for examination, allow them access to any records and furnish them with any information that it may be in their power to afford. They will also place at the disposal of the Committee any Servants on their respective establishments who may be required to assist in the conduct of the inquiry. As Writers, Translators, Accountants &c.

× W. Douglas Esq. × T. L. Blane Esq. × J. D. Bourdillon Esq. for the purpose of enquiring into and reporting upon the conduct of certain Servants on the Establishment of the Accountant General.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

True Extract
Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

16.17

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

No. 16

18

Bd. No. 939.

From the A. J. Coll. of Guntoor. } Sir,

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Bd. of Revenue Fort St. George

I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

The receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 4th Ultimo requesting me to afford further information regarding the Arrack recommended for confiscation and in reply to state that there is no proof forthcoming of any intention to defraud on the part of the individual with whom the liquor in question was found, he is a Sub renter and was, he stated conveying the arrack of sale within the limits of his rent having purchased it from the Sub Renter of another Village. It has not hitherto been considered necessary that the fraudulent intention must be established before acting under Section XIV Regulation I of 1820 though on further consideration of the circumstances attending the present case I am inclined to think the penalty of confiscation may should the Board see fit be remitted.

Cuntoor District Collrs Office Vungepuram 15th April 1852 — Signed A. Purvis Acting Collector

Bd. No. 810.

Proceedings thereon. Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue will not enforce the penalty of confiscation, and direct that the Arrack seized be returned to the owner.

(18.19)

Read

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Read the following letters.

No. 1.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that one Syed Ally Sahib a Pensioner in receipt of a Monthly allowance of 20 Rupees from this Treasury is desirous of having his Pension transferred to the Treasury of the Collector of Madras where his Sons are being educated and as the Collector of Madras to whom a reference has been made by me sees no objection to the proposed transfer I beg to recommend that the request of Syed Sahib be complied with.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry 8th April 1852 — Signed D. White Collector.

(20)

Bd. No. 855.

From the Collr of Nellore — one Syed Ally Sahib a Pensioner in receipt of a Monthly allowance of 20 Rupees from this Treasury is desirous of having his Pension transferred to the Treasury of the Collector of Madras where his Sons are being educated and as the Collector of Madras to whom a reference has been made by me sees no objection to the proposed transfer I beg to recommend that the request of Syed Sahib be complied with.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry 8th April 1852 — Signed D. White Collector.

Bd. No. 820.

Proceedings thereon.

No. 153.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that sanction be

(20.21)

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

be granted for the allowance of Rupees 20 — per Mensem payable to Syed Ally Saheb being disbursed in future from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras. The allowance is entered No. 25 on the List No. 2 received from the Collector of Nellore on the 4th and submitted to Government on the 16th November 1848.

2. The Collector of Nellore will be instructed to furnish the Pensioner with a Certificate of last payment and to transmit by Tappal to the Collector of Madras a Descriptive Roll of this Yeomiahdar.

No. 36.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

22

B. d. No. 1006.
From the Collr. of Nellore —

Sir,

1. In reference to the 3d para of the Circular Order from your Office dated 25th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform the Board that both the Nail and Head Assistant Sheristadars on my establishment have never served as Tahsildars. The former is of 10 years standing

do

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

as Deputy Sheristadar and the latter was appointed to his present Office in August 1850.

2. I also beg to add that my Head Sheristadar served as Tahsildar in different Districts for about 8 years.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry 26th April 1852.

/Signed/ D. White
Collector.

Order thereon.

22.23

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

No. 17.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

24

Bd. No. 793.
From the Collector of Bellary

Sir,

I have the honor to request, if there is no objection, that a Second, or more Copies of printed and lithograph letters and papers Circulated to Collectors, may be sent to me for distribution to my Sub Collectors and Assistants besides the one retained in my Office.

Bellary Collector's Office in Circuit, Ramandroog 31st March 1852

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

The

Bd. No. 801.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue will cause three additional Copies of their Lithographed Circular Orders, to be forwarded to the Collector of Bellary, in compliance with the request contained in the foregoing letter.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah dated 5th November and entered in Consultation 12th December 1844

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

26

To The Collector of Cuddapah.

Bd. No. 796.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

With reference to the three items noted in the margin specified as deductions from the Histhundy Berij of your District in para 3 of the letter dated 5th November 1844 from the Acting Collector W. Inglis, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will state under what circumstances the remuneration to these parties was made in this mode.

No. 71 Enam Vaza or payments by deduction from Puttah to certain Reddies Shroffs Village Peons and Cuttuddies Rs. 640-11-2.

No. 90. Pundacharum or Dr. to certain Jungle or Poligar Cuttuddies Rs. 433-4-8.

No. 114. Najeed Moogra or ready Money payments to certain Reddies and Cuttuddies deducted from Berij of Villagers Rupees 195-11-8.

† In Cons 12th Dec. 1844

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th April 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley, Secretary.

Read.

Read the following letters.

No. 33.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

27

Bd. No. 756.

From the Collector of Cuddapah affording the information required in the second paragraph of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 21st October last communicated to the Collector with an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 3d of Nov.

Sir,

I have the honor to afford the information required in the second paragraph of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 21st October last, communicated to me with an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 3d of November.

2. A Pension of Rupees 67-1-4 per Annum was granted by Colonel Munro on the 12th of July 1801 and confirmed by the Board of Revenue on the 29th of October 1813 to Mundapully Moosulmaidoo and was entered in Mr. Ross's Register No. 61. This Pension was by the grantee Subdivided into the seven following Shares-

	Rs.	a.	p.
Moosulmaidoo, original grantee was deceased	16	12	0
Vempully Naidoo	16	12	0
Soobbamaidoo	8	7	0
Paulcondamaidoo	8	6	0
Chinna Ramannah	8	6	4
Peddemaidoo died in 1843	8	6	0
Rupees	67	1	4

erroneously entered as Soobgamaidoo in the Statement submitted on the 12th of Septr 1851.

but for the Subdivision no Sanction from any authority was ever asked or obtained Peddabbamaidoo the last in the list died on the 9th of October 1843 from which date the payment of his Share from the Treasury ceased without any order from the Collector and without any remonstrance.

remonstrance from the original grantee—

3. Mundapilly Woosulnaidoo died on the 9th of October 1850 and his case will be reported on in due course—

4. Coomara Boocheenaidoo's pension at Rupees 207-15-0 per Annum was granted by Collector Munro on the 12th of July 1801 and confirmed by the Board of Revenue on the 29th of October 1813 and is entered in Mr. Rol's Register No. 63— The pensioner Subdivided his allowance as follows—

	Rs	a	p
Boocheenaidoo the original grantee	34	10	0
Immadee Boocheenaidoo	34	10	0
Appiah Naidoo	34	10	0
Pedde Naidoo	34	11	0
Soobbanaidoo died on the 8th May 1843	34	10	0
Woodoo Mullappa Naidoo died on the 4th January 1848	34	11	0
Rupees	207	15	0

On the death of Soobbanaidoo the payment of his share ceased but his decease does not appear to have attracted my attention until in 1848 his son preferred a claim to succeed to the lapsed share and then on account of the time which had elapsed the Collector declined to make any payment without the Sanction of Government— The enquiry which then took place however brought to notice the then recent death of Woodoo Mullappa Naido and as his share had not fallen into arrears the Collector decided that it should not be deducted from the Pension originally granted to Boocheenaidoo, the subdivision of which had been a private arrangement of his own, with which the Government had in no way interfered—

Cuddapah
Collector's Office
On Circuit at Royachoty
27th March 1852

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

J.F.B.

Bd. No. 830

Proceedings thereon, Para 1.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Cuddapah dated 27th March 1852 affording the information required in Extract from Minutes of Consultation relative to the Subdivision of the pensions to Mundapilly Moosel Naid and C. Coomara Boochy Naid with the Board's views thereon—

Rec'd 31st March 1852

27. 28.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the Orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 21st October 1851.

2. In para 2 of the above Extract Minutes of Consultation, the Government directed the Board to ascertain the circumstances which led to the Subdivision of the Pensions to Mundapilly Moosel Naid, and C. Coomara Boochey Naid, and to submit their opinion, whether in the event of its having been made under private arrangements, the amount of the deductions should not be restored to the pensioners, and made good to them in arrears from the date of stoppage.—

3. From Mr. Forbes's letter it will be observed that the Sub-division of the pensions in both cases was made under private arrangements by the Original Grantees, and without the Cognizance of any authority— the Board are therefore of opinion that the deductions in each case, should, as stated by Government, not have been resumed on the demise of the parties but restored to the pensioners.—

4. As the Collector in his present letter intimates that Mundapilly Moosed. Naid

Said died on the 9th October 1850, and promises to submit a report upon his case in due course, the Board, pending its receipt, recommend that the deductions in the case of C. Comara Booclay paid only, be restored to him, together with arrears from the date of stoppage.

No. 36

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

(29)

Bo. No. 815
From the Collector of Cuddapah ... } Sir,

With reference to the papers which accompanied the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 22d Instant, I have the honor to request that I may receive information as to the meaning of the term Benamee.

2. The word is known to me only as used in Hindustani for the English word "former" and no one in this Cutcherry can give any other explanation of it.

Cuddapah District ... } /Signed/ W. Forbes
Collector's Circuit, ... } Collector
Cutcherry, Goorrumcondah. }
31st March 1852. }

The

Bo. No. 790.
Proceedings thereon,
(29.30)

The Board observe that in the Glossary attached to "Morley's Digest of Reported cases" the following definition of the term Benami occurs "A sale or purchase made in the name of some one, other than the actual vender or purchaser."

2. They have no other information before them as to the meaning of the term beyond what may be gathered from the proposed act.

No. 37.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George,

(31)

Bo. No. 848.
From the Collector of Cuddapah ... } Sir,

I have had the honor to receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 5th Instant.

Annajee Row was removed from Office by the late Acting Collector on the 22d of March 1851, and has not since been employed.

Cuddapah District, ... } /Signed/ W. Forbes
Coll's Circuit Cutcherry } Collector
at Muddenpully 7th April 1852. }

Ordered.

B.P. No. 819.
Proceedings thereon.

(31.32)

In Cons: 5th April 1852.

No. 152.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to para 3 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 25th March 1852.

---

(33)

B. No. 364.

From the Collector of Chingleput ...

Forwarding for sanction accounts of the proposed Subdivision of the Nerumboor Mootah into 5 portions.

Read the following letter

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to submit for the Sanction of the Board of Revenue the accompanying statements* relative to the proposed sub-division of the Mootah of Neerumboor comprehending 11 Villages and bearing a Permanent assessment of Rupees 2,678—13—11.

* Nos. 1 to 6 with Mahratta Statements

2. The Estate in question is itself a 1/4 portion of the original Zemindary of Neerumboor which was subdivided into four portions under the Sanction of the Board dated 24th December 1813. The Neerumboor division was sold by public auction in 1814 in satisfaction of arrears of public revenue and it was

was purchased by Nullatumbee Moodelly, on whose demise in 1829, his Son the present Proprietor succeeded to the Estate. The reason assigned by the present Proprietor for desiring the Sub division is that the Estate is too extensive for him to undertake its management profitably under his present reduced circumstances. He has therefore proposed to divide the Mootah into 5 shares, four of which he had sold on the 10th January 1851 to the Parties noted in the margin* retaining the fifth share Neerumboor for himself.

* Dr. Vurasamy Moodly
Dr. Chinnatiroovengada Moodely
Dr. Tiroovengada Moodly
M. Rungasamy Moodly
M. Rungasamy Moodly

3. Accounts of the actual produce of the 11 Villages composing the Mootah for a period of 7 years or from Fusly 1252, to 1258 (Fuslies 1259 and 1260 being left out as famine years) have been furnished by the Proprietor and Curnums. These have undergone careful examination in this Office and from them the accompanying statements have been prepared. The assessment apportioned to the 5 Divisions respectively in reference to the above accounts are as hereunder.—

Division

Division.	No. of Villages	Beriz as paid on the Villages at the last Sub. division	Average actual Beriz of last 7 years	Proposed Permanent Beriz	Profit
Neroomboor	2	1238 5 6	1663 4 2	1248 9 9	33 6 9
Paukum	2	96 13 10	127 13 11	95 13 6	33 6 9
Soorady mungalum	4	968 7 5	1294 6 7	970 2 10	33 6 9
Aminjeearay	2	68 3 2	122 2 3	91 8 10	33 6 9
Coonnavakum	1	309 - -	366 8 -	274 11 -	33 6 9
	11	2678 13 11	3574 3 1	2678 13 11	33 6 9

4. It will be observed that the proposed Beriz of the first named three divisions do not differ materially from that fixed on the occasion of the last subdivision, but there is a comparatively large increase in the proposed assessment of the 4th and a great decrease in that of the fifth division.

5. In explanation of the increase in the proposed Beriz of the 4th on the Aminjeearay division as compared with the Beriz formerly fixed, I beg to state that this improvement would appear owing to the exertion of the Mootthaldar A fair extent of waste land has been brought under the plough, So that the present cultivation consists of 41 Cawnies of Nunjah and 71 Cawnies of Punjah out of an Ayacut of 228 Cawnies

J.G.R.

Although

6. Although the 5th or Coonnavakum Division contains an extensive arable area of 420 Cawnies, not more than 78 Cawnies have hitherto been cultivated, for which no satisfactory reason is assigned other than the paucity of its Moorasey Inhabitants— From similar reasons

7. it would appear the present Beriz of Nedoovaccaray one of the 4 Villages composing the Soorady mungalum Division exhibits a decline, which however has been counterbalanced by an increase in the other Villages of the Division.

Chingleput District. Collr's Circuit Cutcherry, Guindy 7th February 1852.

Signed J.H. Cochrane Collector

Statement B.

5279

Fort St. George

29th April 1852.

No. 1. Statement of the Proposed Subdivisions of the Neroomboor Mutah showing the Nunjah and Punjey Cultivation for 7 years

Number.	Names of the Divisions.	Nunjey and Punjey cultivation of Fusly 1252 — Nunjey: Extent of Land.	Nunjey: Beriz.	Nunjey: Average per Cawney	Nunjey: Rate per Pagoda.	Punjey: Extent of Land.	Punjey: Beriz.	Fusly 1253 — Nunjey: Extent of Land.	Nunjey: Beriz.	Nunjey: Average per Cawney	Nunjey: Rate per Pagoda.	Punjey: Extent of Land.	Punjey: Beriz.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Cn. Os.	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	C. M.									
1	Neroomboor	315 1	868 3 6	2 12 1	1 10	37 8	77 15 9	331 11½	1113 11 "	3 5 8	1 10	68 2½	232 6 10
2	Paukum	30 8	49 5 3	1 9 10	1 11	12 6	22 1 1	31 11	50 142	1 9 8	1 9⅜	11 8	26 5 4
3	Sooradeemungalum	254 14	931 23	3 10 6	1 8⅝	12 12	24 10 11	258 12½	877 11 8	3 6 3	1 10⅞	15 8	28 9 8
4	Amijecaray	43 10	98 " 5	2 4	1 10⅜	19 5	29 12	48 5½	66 11 2	1 6 1	1 11¾	25 14	20 9 8
5	Coonnavaukum	73 6	190 139	2 9 7	1 8¼	" 2 "	5 8	83 2	305 310	3 10 9	1 8	1 9	2 11 9
	Total	717	2137 92	2 15 8	" "	82 1	154 135	753 10½	2414 610	3 3 3	" "	122 9½	210 1 "

5280

Fort St. George

from Fusly 1252 to 1258 and other particulars in

29th. April 1852.

the Tz. Illak of Chinglepute.

Fusly 1754.

Extent of Land.	Nunjey. Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Rate per Pagoda.	Extent of Land.	Punjey. Beriz.
14	15	16	17	18	19
336 7½	1090 3 2	3 3 10	1 4 15/16	76 13½	165 14 4
28 14	67 7 9	2 5 4	1 7	7 10	17 4 6
258 12¼	876 11 6	3 6 4	1 3 13/16	22 1¾	49 6 1
35 10	53 7 4	1 8 "	1 8 13/16	24 2	22 7 8
66 -	306 4 11	4 10 3	1 4¾	4 1	5 3 2
725 11¾	2394 2 8	3 4 9	" "	144 12¼	260 3 9

Fusly 1255.

Extent of Land.	Nunjey. Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Rate per Pagoda.	Extent of Land.	Punjey. Beriz.
20	21	22	23	24	25
274 6½	1693 9 5	6 2 9	" 11 7/8	98 15¼	182 2 2
26 2	41 2 10	1 9 3	" 11	13 "	15 14 2
170 4½	431 6 "	5 7 6	" 10 15/16	53 12	181 4 2
7 3½	15 6 9	2 2 2	1 "	28 12	31 4 3
39 2½	32 7 6	8 5 10	1 "	15 14	49 8 1
517 3	3009 - 6	5 13 "	" "	210 5½	459 12 "

5281 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Fusly 1256.

Nunjey.				Punjey.	
Extent of Land.	Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Rate per Pagoda	Extent of Land.	Beriz.
26	27	28	29	30	31
319 11	1336 10	4 12 10	1 5 7/8	44 14 1/2	69 9 8
28 2	45 29	1 9 8	1 6	15 6	24 15 11
277 3 1/2	1296 96	4 10 10	1 2 1/8	38 9	65 8 7
19 4 1/2	41 16	2 2 1	1 2 3/16	32 1	55 12 ..
66 8 1/2	268 8 3	4 .. 7	1 3	15 5 3/4	30 3 ..
710 13 1/2	3188	4 7 9	.. ..	146 4 1/4	246 1 2

Fusly 1257

Nunjey.				Punjey.	
Extent of Land.	Beriz.	Average per Cawney	Rate per Pagoda.	Extent of Land.	Beriz.
32	33	34	35	36	37
304 15 1/4	1338 12 2	4 6 3	1 7 3/4	68 4	95 9 9
36 11	68 11 5	1 14 ..	1 9	32 15	26 11 ..
230 9	899 4 10	3 14 5	1 3 1/2	51 14	78 6 11
33 6 1/2	80 13 9	2 4 6	1 6 3/8	28 1	23 11 3
78 9 1/2	248 8 10	3 2 7	1 6	7 8	9 10 ..
686 3 1/4	2636 3 .	3 13 5	.. ..	188 10	234 4 11

5282 | | Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Firstly 1258.

Nunjey. Extent of Land. 38		Nunjey. Berij. 39			Average per Cauney. 40			Rate per Pagoda. 41		Punjey. Extent of Land. 42		Punjey. Berij. 43		
332	13	1113	10	..	3	5	6	2	3/16	26	1	42	10	1
23	6	53	14	4	2	4	11	2	..	19	8	17	11	11
246	5½	910	4	7	3	11	1	2	1/8	34	9	74	1	11
32	14¾	85	1	6	2	9	4	2	3	27	8	24	5	9
76	..	276	..	10	3	10	2	2	1/2	3	9	5	5	6
711	7/4	2438	15	3	3	6	9	..	..	111	3	164	32	

Total of 7 years.

Nunjey. Extent of Land. 44		Nunjey. Berij. 45			Average per Cauney. 46			Punjey. Extent of Land. 47		Punjey. Berij. 48		
2215	1/4	8754	11	3	3	15	3	420	10¾	766	4	7
205	6	376	10	6	1	13	4	122	5	150	15	11
1696	13¼	6723	2	4	3	15	5	229	1/4	502	..	3
222	6¾	440	13	5	1	15	9	185	11	207	14	6
482	12½	1972	15	11	3	15	9	48	3/4	102	10	2
4822	8¾	18218	5	5	3	12	5	1005	13/4	1729	13	5

5284

No. 2 Statement of the Proposed Subdivisions of the Nero

Original Zemindary names of the Proprietors and amount of the Permanent Assessment of the entire Zemindary.	Names of the Proposed Sub Divis and other Proprietor.	Names and Number of the Villages.	Permanent Peishcush Beriz of the entire Zemindary			Permanent Peishcush Beriz for the Sub	
1	2	3	4				
			Rs	A	P	Rs	
Neroombore Mootah Zemindar..	1st Neroombore	Neroombore	1	1413	7	4	105 5
	Streenevasa Moodely	Sunnalay Pootary	1	157	9	10	1 4
			2	1571	1	2	12 5
Neroombore	2d Paukum	Paukum	1	119	4	9	10
Streenevasa Moodely	Malemanapaukum Veerasawmy Moodely.	Tanoor	1	18	"	"	"
			2	137	4	9	"
Permanent Summah including Assessed Meras..... Rs. A. P. 2678. 13. 11	3d Soorady mungalum	Soorady Mungalum	1	176	13	3	10
	Neroombore Chinna	Pendacarecoopum	1	69	"	8	5
	Seroovangada	Pavangautoo cherry	1	60	14	4	3
	Moodely.	Nedoovacary	1	360	14	2	5 4
			4	667	10	5	9 5
	4th Aminjecaray	Aminjecaray	1	95	7	10	4
	Neroombore	Cangayanellore	1	61	10	8	1 5
	Seroovangada Moodely.		2	157	2	6	6 "
	5th Coomaspaukum Olagaray Runga Sawmy Moodely.	Coomarapaukum	1	232	10	8	3 "
		Totals	11	2765	13	6	26 5

5283

Fort St. George

29th April 1832.

No. 2.

Average per Year.

Number	Names of the Divisions	Average of 4 Years Beriz Fasly 1208 to 1211.	Proposed Remission Beriz.	Pay's to the Mootadars.	Per Centage.	Pay's to the Mootadars.	Per Centage.	Remarks.	Number.
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P			
1	Veroomboor	15,261 4	1,246 9 9	" " "	"	" " "	"		1
2	Paukum	118 21 9	5 13 6	" " "	"	" " "	"		2
3	Sooradymungalum	491 12 1	9 7 0	2 10 4	7 8 6	9 7 4	7		3
4	Amuyecaray	114 10	9 1 8	10	"	" " "	"		4
5	Coomaracaukum	180 8	27 4 11	12 4 3	"	8 2 8	"		5
	Total	2,401 14 2	267 8 13	11 6 0	2 9 9	25 14 9	3 2 5	10	13 8 11

E. E. J. Signed J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

Number	Names of the Divisions	Extent of Land.	Nunjey Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Punjey Extent of Land.	Punjey Beriz.
49		50		51	52	53
1	Veroomboor	316 7	1250 10 8	3 15 3	60 1/2	109 7 6
2	Paukum	29 5 1/2	53 12 11	1 13 4	17 7/2	21 9 2
3	Sooradymungalum	242 6 1/2	960 7 2	3 15 5	32 11 3/4	71 11 6
4	Amuyecaray	31 12 3/4	62 15 8	1 15 9	26 8 3/8	29 11 2
5	Coomaracaukum	68 15 1/2	274 11 5	3 15 9	6 13 3/4	14 10 7
	Total	688 11 7/8	2602 9 10	3 12 5	143 10 7/8	247 1 11

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry
Guindy 7th February 1832.

E. E. J. Signed J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

No 3.

Names of the Divisions.	Permanent Bonds	Proposed Permanent Bonds	Bonds	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
	@ At	@ At	@ At	@ At		
Veroomboor	1236 6 6	1246 99	10 4 3	" "	" "	
Paulkum.	96 13 10	95 5	13 6	" "	1 "	4
Coonadyummydalum	968 7 8	970	2 10	1 11 5	" "	
Imjecarap	68 3 2	91	8 10	23 3 8	" "	
Coonlavankund.	309 " "	274	11 "	" "	34 5	
Total.	2678 13 11	2678 13 11		35 5 4	35 5 4	

E. E. J. J. H. Cochrane.

Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George

Cumboor Mootah in the Zillah of Chingleput.

Present Assessment as fixed by last Division.	Medium of the average shewn Column 24 of the Zemindars Statement furnished by the Collr. under date the 29th March 1802.	Average of the rent of fasleis 1208 - 1209 - 1210 and 1211 before the Permanent Settlement.	Collections in fasly 1252 including Canoge Cavil and Pagoda Inerah.	Collections in fasly 1253
5	6	7	8	9
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
0 4 7	15 43 8 "	1360 10 "	968 6 6	1133 1 5
86 " 11	227 8 "	166 4 "	180 7 6	169 12 11
36 5 6	1771 " "	1526 14 "	1148 14 "	1302 14 4
80 11 2	147 " "	106 12 "	102 9 6	109 12 6
16 2 8	14 " "	11 6 1	" " "	3 12 3
96 13 10	161 " "	118 2 1	102 9 6	113 8 11
33 2 4	497 " "	112 14 1	534 1 11	572 2 3
81 9 7	108 8 "	76 2 "	113 5 "	116 2 6
5 1 4 2	87 8 "	52 8 "	160 15 7	113 8 11
15 13 4	458 8 "	250 4 "	361 15 7	323 6 4
68 7 5	1151 8 "	491 12 1	1190 6 1	1125 4 "
9 5 5	147 " "	73 8 "	141 4 1	105 15 4
8 139	49 " "	41 2 "	28 4 5	11 3 5
8 3 2	196 " "	114 10 "	169 8 6	117 2 9
9 " "	332 8 "	150 8 "	232 13 1	385 1 9
48 13 11	3612 " "	2401 14 2	2844 5 2	3243 15 9

Chingleput District Collrs Office Head Cutcherry, Lyndyl

E. E.

29th April 1852.

Collection in Fasly 1254	Collection in Fasly 1256	Collection in Fasly 1257	Collection in Fasly 1259	Collec in Fasl 1258
Dr. Dr.	Dr. Dr.	Dr. Dr.	Dr. Dr.	Dr. Dr.
10	11	12	13	14
Rupees a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P
1421 " 11	2134 7 3	1733 8 8	1645 14 11	1299 " 5
116 10 10	143 5 10	255 4 1	91 10 11	149 " 4
1537 11 9	2277 13 1	1988 12 9	1737 9 10	1449 " 5
120 6 9	81 " 9	99 15 2	135 10 "	101 " 5 10
21 " "	24 8 "	28 " "	33 4 "	33 " "
141 6 9	105 8 9	127 15 2	168 14 "	135 " "
551 15 10	473 5 "	787 3 8	411 6 2	488 5 10
120 5 11	194 11 10	198 9 6	196 15 4	168 6 5
140 " 5	125 " 3	238 " 5	174 " 3	149 " 4
357 1 9	578 12 11	474 12 9	423 9 9	463 " "
1169 7 11	1371 14 "	1698 10 4	1205 15 6	1299 6 5
77 " 7	44 4 4	101 7 1	114 12 8	124 4 5
20 5 11	16 3 10	25 5 6	23 10 10	20 " "
97 6 6	60 8 2	126 12 7	138 7 6	145 " "
380 15 9	462 14 5	369 14 6	316 6 9	417 4 5
3327 " 8	4278 10 5	4312 1 4	3567 5 7	3445

7th February 1852.

E. E.

Division	Present Assessment as entered by Collector last year.		Total Collection of 7 years.		Average of the foregoing years.		Proposed Permanent Summah.			Difference per Cent between the Total actual or present value of the Entire Estate and the total Permanent Summah.		Difference per Cent between the actual or present value of the sub-divisions proposed to be sold and the present Permanent Summah recommended to be assessed on each subdivisions.		
			15		16		17			18		19.		
	x	P	Rupees	aP	Rupees	aP	Rupees	a	P	Rupees	aP	Rupees	a	P
1. A.														
	4	3	10,635	11	1505	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
0 4														
	13	6	1107	1	158	2	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
86 "														
	1	9	11642	10	1663	4	2	1246	9	9	33	69	"	"
36 6														
	4	2	751	4	10	107	5	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
80 11 2														
	4	"	143	12	5	20	8	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
16 2														
	2	2	395	1	5	107	13	11	95	13	6	33	69	"
96 13														
	4	11	3838	7	9	548	5	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
35 2 4	"	6	1108	2	4	158	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
81 9	10	10	1131	4	8	161	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
5 14														
	4	"	2982	15	1	426	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
15 13														
	4	3	9060	14	1	1294	6	4	970	2	10	33	69	"
18 7														
	9	9	709	5	10	101	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
9 5														
	9	"	145	10	11	20	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8 13														
	2	9	855	9		122	2	5	91	8	10	33	69	"
8 3														
	3	8	2565	7	11	366	8	"	274	11	"	33	69	"
9 "														
	14	4	25119	5	6	3574	3	1	2678	13	11	33	69	33 69
48 13														

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector General

5285

No 3. General detailed Statement of Neroomboor

Names of the original Mootah and its Proprietor	Names of the Sub Divisions and of their Proprietors	Parum exclusive of Enam-	Fusli's	Particulars Cultivated L
1	2	3	4	5.
Neroom- boor- Mootah consisting of 11 Vil: lages and its Pro: prietor Streene: vassa Moodelly.	1 Neroomboor Division consisting of 2 Villages and its Proprietor Streenevasa Moodelly.	Punjah. 937. 6 3/4 Punjah 1499. 4 5/8 Total. 2436. 11 3/8	Fusly 1252	Sheigal — Laikh Bunjer Anady Bunjer
	2. Paukum Division consisting of 2 Villa- ges and its Proprietor Malamana paukum Veerasawmy Moodely-		Fusly 1253	Shaigal — Laikh Bunjer Anady Bunjer
	3. Sooradeemungalum Division consisting of 4 Villages and its Proprietor Neroomboor Chinna Veeravangada Moodely.-		Fusly 1254	Shaigal — Laikh Bunjer Anady Bunjer
	4. Aumunje Kary Division Consisting of 2 Villages and its Proprietor Veeravan- gada Moodely.			
	5 Coomana Kum Division Consisting of 1 Village and its Proprietor Woola gara Rungasawmy Moodely.		Fusly 1255	Shaikh — Laikh Bunjer Anady Bunjer

For Ft St George

Zemindary in the Zillah of Chinglepat which is —

29th April 1852.

divided in to 5 Sub Divisions.

cultivated by

Garden on

Resident Ryots.

Punjah

Nunjah

Under Tank.

Fatasal.

Number of Ryots.	actual extent of land cultivated.	Summah Cundy Beriz.	average per Cawney.	actual extent of land cultivated.	Summah Cundy Beriz.	average per Cawney.	actual extent of land cultivated.	additional Crop in the same land.	Total.	Summah Cundy Beriz.	average per Cawney.
6	7				8		9				
	Rt. a p	Rt. a p		Rt. a p			Rt. a p	Rt. a p	Rt. a p	Rt. a p	
34	26 2	58 5 11	2 3 9	" "	" " "		149 2	" "	149 2	451 5 9	3 " 5
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
Total 34	26 2	58 5 11	2 3 9	" "	" " "		149 2	" "	149 2	451 5 9	3 " 5
34	54 10 3/4	100 " 4	1 13 3	" "	" " "		127 10 1/2	1 "	128 10 1/2	432 15 2	3 5 10
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
Total 34	54 10 3/4	100 " 4	1 13 3	" "	" " "		127 10 1/2	1 "	128 10 1/2	432 15 2	3 5 10
34	62 11 3/4	138 1 4	2 3 3	" "	" " "		113 13 1/4	" "	113 15 1/4	387 9 11	3 6 5
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
Total 34	62 11 3/4	138 1 4	2 3 3	" "	" " "		113 13 1/4	" "	113 15 1/4	387 9 11	3 6 5
34	73 13	150 9 1	2 " 8	" "	" " "		88 1	" "	88 1	553 1 11	6 4 5
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
"	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "		" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
Total 34	73 13	150 9 1	2 " 8	" "	" " "		88 1	" "	88 1	553 1 11	6 4 5

29th April 1852.

Cultivated by Resident Ryots (Contd) / (Contd) by small Ryotahs.

Nanjah Irrigated

Under Chamal.						Total.					
Actual extent of land Cultivated.	Additional crop on the same land.	Total.	Summah bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Actual extent of land Cultivated.	Additional crop in the same land.	Total.	Summah bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Actual extent of land.	Additional crop in the same land.	Total	Summah bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.
10										11				12
Ch. ac.	Ch. ac.	Ch. ac.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Ch. ac.	Ch. ac.	Ch. ac.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Ch. ac.	Ch. ac.	Ch. ac.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
146 "	" "	146 "	364 6 4	2 7 11	13 15	" "	13 15			13 15	44 12 2	309 1	860 8 8	2 12 7
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
146 "	" "	146 "	364 6 4	2 7 11	13 15	" "	13 15			13 13	44 12 2	309 1	860 8 8	2 12 7
150 8	" "	150 8	513 9 2	3 6 7	11 3	" "	11 3			11 3	30 3 1	289 5½	946 9 5	3 5 10
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
150 8	" "	150 8	513 9 2	3 6 7	11 3	" "	11 3			11 3	30 3 1	289 5½	946 9 5	3 5 10
159 4	" "	159 4	537 " 3	3 5 11	12 6	" "	12 6			12 6	38 2 10	285 9¼	962 13 "	3 5 11
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
159 4	" "	159 4	537 " 3	3 5 11	12 6	" "	12 6			12 6	38 2 10	285 9¼	962 13 "	3 5 11
143 8	" "	143 8	868 2 6	6 " 9	8 15	" "	8 15			8 15	51 14 6	5 13 "	240 8 "	240 8 14	3 2 11	6 2 "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
143 8	" "	143 8	868 2 6	6 " 9	8 15	" "	8 15			8 15	51 14 6	5 13 "	240 8 "	240 8 14	3 2 11	6 2 "

5287. 11 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Cultivated by Resident

Wet Garden Comprizing Cocoanut Trees

Under Tank			Under Channel.			Under	Ryots (Contd) and Arecanut &c &c; well.			Total			Summah		
Land	Summah bundy Beriz.	Average per Cauney.	Land.	Summah bundy Beriz.	Average per Cauney.	Land.	Summah bundy Beriz.	average per Cauney.	Land.	Summah bundy Beriz.	Average per Cauney.	Land.	bundy Beriz.		
	13.			14.			15.			16.			17.		
												335	3	918	14 2
												335	3	918	14 2
												345	¼	1076	9 9
												348	5	1700	14 4
												348	5	1100	14 4
												314	5	1623	12 "
												314	5	1623	12 "

5288 | Fort St. George

29th April 1852.

Cultivation by

Paya Karry Ryots.

Number of Ryots.	Punjah. Actual extent of land cultivated.		Summah bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney.			Garden or Punjah Under Tank. Actual extent of land Cul. tivated.		Summah bundy Beriz.		Average per Cawney.			Total. Average per Cawney.	Actual extent of land Cultivated.		Additional Crop on the same Land.		Total.		Summah bundy Beriz.		Average per Cawney.		
18			19					20.								21.										
91	55	15	96	7	6	1	11	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	335	10	"	"	335	10	1064	7	9	3	2	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
91	55	15	96	7	6	1	11	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	335	10	"	"	335	10	1064	7	9	3	2	9
91	64	14 3/4	110	10	8	1	10	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	375	11	9	6	385	1	1173	8	5	3	"	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
91	64	14 3/4	110	10	8	1	10	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	375	11	9	6	385	1	1173	8	5	3	"	9
91	82	1/2	122	2	5	1	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	340	8 1/2	"	"	340	8 1/2	1089	11	3	3	3	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
91	82	1/2	122	2	5	1	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	340	8 1/2	"	"	340	8 1/2	1089	11	3	3	3	2
91	136	8 1/4	309	2	11	2	4	3	"	43/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	198	14 1/2	"	"	198	14 1/2	1064	5	6	5	5	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
91	136	8 1/4	309	2	11	2	4	3	"	43/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	198	14 1/2	"	"	198	14 1/2	1064	5	6	5	5	10

5289 11

Fort St. George

29th April 1852.

Cultivation by Rayakarry

Nunjah

Under Channel.						Irrigated by small					
actual extent of land Cultivated	additional Crop in the same land.	Total.	Summah bundy Beriz.	average per Cawney.		actual extent of land Culti: vated.	additional Crop in the same land.	Total.			
22.								23.			
69 6	" "	69 6	198 6	2	13 9	3 6	" "	3 6			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
69 6	" "	69 6	198 6	2	13 9	3 6	" "	3 6			
75 4	" "	75 4	256 13	"	3 6 7	3 "	" "	3 "			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
75 4	" "	75 4	256 13	"	3 6 7	3 "	" "	3 "			
79 14	" "	79 14	269 3	3	5 11	19 12	" "	19 12			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
79 14	" "	79 14	269 3	3	5 11	19 12	" "	19 12			
71 4½	" "	71 4½	433 6	5	6 13	6 8	" "	6 8			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			
71 4½	" "	71 4½	433 6	5	6 13	6 8	" "	6 8			

Ryots (Contd)

(Contd)

Pecotahs.

Total									
Summah bundy Beriz.	average per Cawney.	actual extent of land Cultivated	additional Crop in the same Land.	Total.	Summah bundy Beriz	average per Cawney.			
24.									
14 3 "	4 3 3	408 6	" "	408 6	1277 " 11	3 2 "			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
14 3 "	4 3 3	408 6	" "	408 6	1277 " 11	3 2 "			
7 8 "	2 8 "	453 15	9 6	463 5	1437 13 5	3 1 8			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
7 8 "	2 8 "	453 15	9 6	463 5	1437 13 5	3 1 8			
72 72	3 10 8	440 2½	" "	440 2½	1431 5 8	3 4 "			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
72 72	3 10 8	440 2½	" "	440 2½	1431 5 8	3 4 "			
35 1 8	5 6 5	246 11	" "	246 11	1535 13 7	5 8 10			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "			
35 1 8	5 6 5	246 11	" "	246 11	1535 13 7	5 8 10			

Cultivation by Puyakarry Ryots (cont.)

Wet Garden Comparizing Cocoanut Trees & arecanut &c.

Under Tank.			Under Channel.			Under Wells		Total		Total	
Land	Summah = bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Land.	Summah = bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney.	Land.	Summa = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summah = bundy Beriz.	Average per Cawney	Land.	Summah = bundy Beriz.
25.			26			27			28			29	
							6 3 9	12 7 6	- 8	6 3 9	12 7 6	464 13	1379 12 2
							6 3 9	12 7 6	- 8	6 3 9	12 7 6	464 13	1379 12 2
							6 1 2	7 4	- 13¼	6 1 7	7 4	532 1	1554 9 3
							6 1 2	7 4	- 13¼	6 1 7	7 4	532 1	1554 9 3
							2 12 8	9 4	- 4⅞	2 12 8	9 4	522 7⅞	1556 4 9
							2 12 8	9 4	- 4⅞	2 12 8	9 4	522 7⅞	1556 4 9
							6 12 1	8 15 1	- 12⅛	6 12 1	8 15 1	414 4⅛	1853 6 -
							6 12 1	8 15 1	- 12⅛	6 12 1	8 15 1	414 4⅛	1853 6 -

S.G.B.

Fort St. George

29th April 1852

Total Cultivation by Resident and Payakarry Ryots.

Number of Ryots	Punjah Actual extent of land Cultivated	Punjah Summah = bundy Beriz	Punjah Average per Cawny	Garden or Punjah Actual extent of land Cultivated	Garden or Punjah Summah = bundy Beriz	Total Average per Cawny	Total Actual extent of land Cultivated	Total Additional Crop in the same Land	Total	Nunjah under Tank Summah = bundy Beriz	Nunjah under Tank Average per Cawny
30		31			32			33			
125	82 1	154 13 5	1 14 2	" "	" "	" "	484 12	" "	484 12	1515 13 6	3 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
125	82 1	154 13 5	1 14 2	" "	" "	" "	484 12	" "	484 12	1515 13 6	3 2 "
125	122 9½	210 11 "	1 11 6	" "	" "	" "	503 5½	10 6	513 11½	1606 5 7	3 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
125	122 9½	210 11 "	1 11 6	" "	" "	" "	503 5½	10 6	513 11½	1606 5 7	3 2 "
125	144 12¼	260 3 9	1 12 9	" "	" "	" "	454 7¾	" "	454 7¾	1477 5 2	3 4 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
125	144 12¼	260 3 9	1 12 9	" "	" "	" "	454 7¾	" "	454 7¾	1477 5 2	3 4 "
125	210 5¼	459 12 "	2 3 "	" "	" "	" "	280 15½	" "	286 15½	1620 7 5	5 10 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
125	210 5¼	459 12 "	2 3 "	" "	" "	" "	280 15½	" "	286 15½	1620 7 5	5 10 4

Total Cultivation by Nunjah

Under Channel

actual extent of land Cultivated	Additional Crop in the same Land	Total	Summah. = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
	34.			
	Gs. as.			
215 6	" "	215 6	562 12 6	2 9 10
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
215 6	" "	215 6	562 12 6	2 9 10
225 12	" "	225 12	770 6 2	3 6 7
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
225 12	" "	225 12	770 6 2	3 6 7
239 2	" "	239 2	806 3 6	3 5 11
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
239 2	" "	239 2	806 3 6	3 5 11
214 12½	" "	214 12½	1301 8 11	6 " 11
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
214 12½	" "	214 12½	1301 8 11	6 " 11

Resident and Payakarry Ryots (Contd.)

(Contd.)

Irrigated by small Pecotahs

actual extent of land Cultivated	Additional Crop in the same land	Total	Summah. = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
	35.			
17 5	" "	17 5	58 15 2	3 6 6
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
17 5	" "	17 5	58 15 2	3 6 6
14 3	" "	14 3	37 11 1	2 10 6
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
14 3	" "	14 3	37 11 1	2 10 6
32 2	" "	32 2	110 10 "	3 7 1
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
32 2	" "	32 2	110 10 "	3 7 1
15 7	" "	15 7	87 " 2	5 10 2
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
15 7	" "	15 7	87 " 2	5 10 2

Nunjah (Contd.)

Total actual extent of land Cultivated	Additional crop in the same Land	Total	Summah = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney
		36.		
717	" "	717	21379 2	2 15 8
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
717	" "	717	21379 2	2 15 8
743 4½	10 6	753 10½	2414 6 10	3 3 3
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
743 4½	10 6	753 10½	2414 6 10	3 3 3
725 11¾	" "	725 11¾	2394 2 8	3 4 9
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
725 11¾	" "	725 11¾	2394 2 8	3 4 9
517 3	" "	517 3	3009 6	5 13 "
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
" "	" "	"	" "	" "
517 3	" "	517 3	3009 6	5 13 "

Total cultivation by wet Garden under Tank

Land	Summah = bundy Beriz
	37.
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "
" "	" "

Resident and Payakarry Ryots (Contd.)
Comprizing Cocoanut Trees and arecanut.

Acreage per Cawney	Land	Under Channel: Summah = bundy Beriz	Under Channel: Average per Cawney	Under well: Land	Under well: Summah = bundy Beriz	Under well: Average per Cawney
		38.			39.	
				8	6 39	10 7 6
				" "	" "	" "
				" "	" "	" "
				8	6 39	10 7 6
				13¼	6 12	7 4 "
				" "	" "	" "
				" "	" "	" "
				13¼	6 12	7 4 "
				4⅞	2 12 8	9 4
				" "	" "	" "
				" "	" "	" "
				4⅞	2 12 8	9 4
				12⅞	6 12 1	8 15 1
				" "	" "	" "
				" "	" "	" "
				12⅞	6 12 1	8 15 1

Total Cultivation Resident and Payakarry Ryots (Contd)

Land	Total Jummah = bundy Beriz 40	Average per cawney	Land	Total Jummah = bundy Beriz 41
" 8	6 3 9	12 7 6	800 "	2298 10 4
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" 8	6 3 9	12 7 6	800 "	2298 10 4
" 13¾	6 1 2	7 4 "	877 1¼	2631 3 "
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" 13¾	6 1 2	7 4 "	877 1¼	2631 3 "
" 4⅞	2 12 8	9 " 4	870 12⅞	2657 3 1
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" 4⅞	2 12 8	9 " 4	870 12⅞	2657 3 1
" 12⅞	6 12 1	8 15 1	728 9⅛	3477 2 "
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "
" 12⅞	6 12 1	8 15 1	728 9⅛	3477 2 "

Money Rent 42	Total of land Revenue including Money Rent 43	Collection of Canoogee & Pagoda merah 44	Total Including merah 45	Collection 46	Balance 47
25 14 3	2324 8 7	519 12 7	2844 5 2	2844 5 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
25 14 3	2324 8 7	519 12 7	2844 5 2	2844 5 2	" " "
20 7 4	2651 10 4	592 5 5	3243 15 9	3243 15 9	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20 7 4	2651 10 4	592 5 5	3243 15 9	3243 15 9	" " "
67 5 6	2724 8 7	602 8 1	3327 " 8	3327 " 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
67 5 6	2724 8 7	602 8 1	3327 " 8	3327 " 8	" " "
36 " 7	3513 2 7	765 7 10	4278 10 5	4278 10 5	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
36 " 7	3513 2 7	765 7 10	4278 10 5	4278 10 5	" " "

Names of the Original Mootah and its Proprietor	Names of the Sub Division and of there Proprietor	Jaram exclusive of Ensam	Fuslies	Particulars of cultivated land
1	2	3	4	5
			Fusly 1256	Shaigal Laikh Bunjer Arady Bunjer Total
			Fusly 1257	Shaigal Laikh Bunjer Arady Bunjer Total
			Fusly 1258	Shaigal Laikh Bunjer Arady Bunjer Total
			Total	Shaigal Laikh Bunjer Arady Bunjer Total
			Average	Shaigal Laikh Bunjer Arady Bunjer Total

Fort St. George

29th April 1852

President Ryots

	Punjah cultivated by					Punjah Garden on			Total			Nunjah Under Tank			Total		
Number of Ryots	Actual extent of land cultivated		Summah = bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney	Actual extent of land cultivated	Summah = bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney	Actual extent of land cultivated	Additional Crop in the same land	Summah = bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney	Actual extent of land cultivated	Summah = bundy Beriz		Average per Cawney
6			7				8				9							
	Cl.	Cr.	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Cl.	Cr.	Cl.	Cr.	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
34	44	14	77	11	6	1	11	8													
34	44	14	77	11	6	1	11	8													
34	63	14	81	11	9	1	4	5													
34	63	14	81	11	9	1	4	5													
34	30	7	48	11		1	9	3													
34	30	7	48	11		1	9	3													
238	356	8½	654	8	10	1	13	4													
238	356	8½	654	8	10	1	13	4													
34	50	14⅞	93	8	2	1	13	4													
34	50	14⅞	93	8	2	1	13	4													
											133	14½	666	6	5	4	15	7			
											133	14½	666	6	5	4	15	7			
									133	14½			495	7	2	3	13	11			
									133	14½			495	7	2	3	13	11			
									126		1	14	127	14							
									126		1	14	127	14							
									154	14	1	12	156	10		602	7	3	3	13	7
									154	14	1	12	156	10		602	7	3	3	13	7
									893	9¼	4	10	898	3¼		3589	3	7	3	15	11
									893	9¼	4	10	898	3¼		3589	3	7	3	15	11
									127	10½	1	8⅝	128	5⅝		512	12		3	15	11
									127	10½		10⅝	128	5⅝		512	12		3	15	11

5296

Fort St. George

29th April 1852.

Cultivated by Resident

Nunjah

Ryots (cont^d)

(cont^d)

small Pecotahs

Under Channel									Irrigated by				Total							
actual extent of land cultivated		additional Crop in the same land		Total		Summah = kundy Beriz		Average per Cawney	actual extent of land cultivated		additional Crop in the same land		Total	Summah = kundy Beriz		Average per Cawney				
10													11					12		
Gr.	as.	Gr.	as.	Gr.	as.	Rs.	a.	p.	Gr.	as.	Gr.	as.	Gr.	as.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
170	6	"	"	170	6	776	2	10	4	8	11	17	7½	"	"	17	7½	119	4	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
170	6	"	"	170	6	776	2	10	4	8	11	17	7½	"	"	17	7½	119	4	1
147	¼	"	"	147	¼	698	2	1	4	11	10	27	3	"	"	27	3	111	14	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
147	¼	"	"	147	¼	698	2	1	4	11	10	27	3	"	"	27	3	111	14	6
154	14	"	"	154	14	503	8	8	3	4	"	11	7	"	"	11	7	14	6	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
154	14	"	"	154	14	503	8	8	3	4	"	11	7	"	"	11	7	44	6	8
1071	8¼	"	"	1071	8¼	4260	15	10	3	15	8	102	8½	"	"	102	8½	410	9	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1071	8¼	"	"	1071	8¼	4260	15	10	3	15	8	102	8½	"	"	102	8½	410	9	10
153	1⅛	"	"	153	1⅛	608	11	5	3	15	8	14	10⅜	"	"	14	10⅜	62	15	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
153	1⅛	"	"	153	1⅛	608	11	5	3	15	8	14	10⅜	"	"	14	10⅜	62	15	1

Total																				
actual extent of land		Additional Crop in the same land		Total		Summah = kundy Beriz		Average per Cawney												
12																				
Gr.	as.	Gr.	as.	Gr.	as.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.									
321	12	"	"	321	12	1561	13	4	4	13	8									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
321	12	"	"	321	12	1561	13	4	4	13	8									
300	3¼	1	14	302	1¼	1305	7	9	4	5	2									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
300	3¼	1	14	302	1¼	1305	7	9	4	5	2									
321	3	1	12	322	15	1150	6	7	3	9	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
321	3	1	12	322	15	1150	6	7	3	9	"									
2067	10	4	10	2072	4	8290	13	3	4	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
2067	10	4	10	2072	4	8290	13	3	4	"	"									
295	6	1	8⅝	296	⅝	1184	6	5	4	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
295	6	"	10⅜	296	⅝	1184	6	5	4	"	"									

5297

Fort St. George

cultivated by Resident

Wet Garden Comprizing Cocoanut

Under Tank			Under Channel			Under Well			Total			Summah.
Land	Summah = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summah = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summah = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summah = bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land = bundy Beriz
	13			14						16		17

5298

Fort St. George

29th April 1852

Cultivated by

Pay-aharry Ryots. (Cont.)

Number of Ryots	Punjah actual extent of land Cultivated	Punjah Summah. = bundy Berij	Punjah Average per Cawney	Garden or Totacal actual extent of land Cultivated	Garden or Totacal Summah. = bundy Berij	Average per Cawney	Nunjay Under Tank Actual extent of land Cultivated	Nunjay Under Tank Additional Crop on the same land	Nunjay Under Tank Total	Nunjay Under Tank Summah. Berij	Nunjay Under Tank Average per Cawney
18		19.			20		21				
91	101 6¼	168 5 8	1 10 7	" " "	" " "		287 " "	8 287 8	1151 15 6	4 " 1	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
91	101 6¼	168 5 8	1 10 7	" " "	" " "		287 " "	8 287 8	1151 15 6	4 " 1	
91	124 12	152 5 2	1 3 6	" " "	" " "		276 "	4 10 280 10	901 4 11	3 3 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
91	124 12	152 5 2	1 3 6	" " "	" " "		276 "	4 10 280 10	901 4 11	3 3 4	
91	80 12	116 2 3	1 "	" " "	" " "		291 5¼	5 " 296 5¼	994 " 11	3 5 8	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
91	80 12	116 2 3	1 "	" " "	" " "		291 5¼	5 " 296 9¼	994 " 11	3 5 8	
637	649 4¾	1075 4 7	1 10 6	" " "	4¾	1 9 5	554	2105 1¼	19 8 2124 9¼	7442 6 3	3 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
637	649 4¾	1075 4 7	1 10 6	" " "	4¾	1 9 5	554	2105 1¼	19 8 2124 9¼	7442 6 3	3 8.
91	92 12	153 9 9	1 10 6	" " "	4¾	1 9 5	554	300 11½	4 14 303 8⅛	1063 2 11	3 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
91	92 12	153 9 9	1 10 6	" " "	5/8	" 3 4	554	300 11½	2 12⅝ 303 8⅛	1063 2 11	3 8.

H.B.B.

Fort St George

Cultivation by Tazabeers Nanjahs

29th April 1852

Ryots (Contd)

Contd

Peccotahs

Under Channel								Irrigated by Small				Total					
Actual extent of land cultivated		Additional Crop in the same land		Total		Jummabundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Actual extent of land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same land		Total		Jummabundy Beriz	Average per Cawney		
22										23				24			
72	9½	-	-	72	9½	344 15	4 12	29	-	-	29	-		129	4 2	4 7 4	388 9½	- 8	389 1½	1626 2 8	4 2 10
72	9½	-	-	72	9½	344 15	4 12	29	-	-	29	-		129	4 2	4 7 4	388 9½	- 8	389 1½	1626 2 8	4 2 10
77	6	-	-	77	6	354 24	4 9 3	26	2	-	26	2		75	4 -	2 11 4	379 8	4 10	384 2	1330 11 3	3 7 5
77	14	-	-	77	14	251 1	3 3 7	14	5	-	14	5		43	6 9	3 - 6	383 8¼	5 -	388 8¼	1288 8 8	3 5 1
77	14	-	-	77	14	251 1	3 3 7	14	5	-	14	5		43	6 9	3 - 6	383 8¼	5 -	388 8¼	1288 8 8	3 5 1
523	10	-	-	523	10	2107 15	2 4 - 5	102	1	-	102	1		377	2 9	3 11 2	2730 12¼	19 8	2750 4¼	9927 8 2	3 9 9
523	10	-	-	523	10	2107 15	2 4 - 5	102	1	-	102	1		377	2 9	3 11 2	2730 12¼	19 8	2750 4¼	9927 8 2	3 9 9
74	12⅞	-	-	74	12⅞	301 2 2	4 - 5	14	9¼	-	14	9¼		53	14 1	3 11 2	390 1⅝	4 14	392 14¼	1418 3 4	3 9 9
74	12⅞	-	-	74	12⅞	301 2 2	4 - 5	14	9¼	-	14	9¼		53	14 1	3 11 2	390 1⅝	2 12⅝	392 14¼	1418 3 4	3 9 9

5300

Fort St. George

29th April 1852

Cultivation by Ryots

Wet Garden comprizing Cocoa

Under Channel

Rycots Contcy

Trees and arecanut &c.

Wells

Under Tak			Wet Garden comprizing Cocoa Under Channel			Rycots Contcy Trees and arecanut &c. Wells			Total			Total		
Land	Jummabundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Jummah-bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Jummah-bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Jummah-bundy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Jummabundy Beriz	
25			26			27			28			29		
						2 3 .	10 15 .	3¼	2 3 .	10 15 .		490 11	1796 11	4
						2 3 .	10 15 .	3¼	2 3 .	10 15 .		490 11	1796 11	4
						5 11 10	10 11 .	8⅜	5 11 10	10 11 .		509 6⅜	1488 12	3
						6 9 11	11 .	9⅝	6 9 11	11 .		469 13⅞	1411 4	10
						6 9 11	11 .	9⅝	6 9 11	11 .		469 13⅞	1411 4	10
						36 6 5	9 12 7	3 11½	36 6 5	9 12 7		3403 9¼	11040 12	7
						36 6 5	9 12 7	3 11½	36 6 5	9 12 7		3403 9¼	11040 12	7
						5 3 3	9 12 7	8⅝	5 3 3	9 12 7		486 3½	1577 3	11
						5 3 3	9 12 7	8⅝	5 3 3	9 12 7		486 3½	1577 3	11

5301

Fort St George

29th April 1852

Total Cultivation by Resident and Puychaary Ryots.

Number of Ryots	Actual extent of land cultivated	Summahaary Beriz	Average per Cawney	Actual extent of land Cultivated	Summahaary Beriz	Average per Cawney	Actual extent of land cultivated	Additional Crop in the same land.	Total	Summahaary Beriz	Average per Cawney
		Jeenjah		Garden ot			Total	Nunjah Under Tank			
30		31		32				33			
125	146	4¼	246 1 2	1 10 11							
"	"	"	" " "	" " "							
"	"	"	" " "	" " "							
125	146	4¼	246 1 2	1 10 11			420	14½	8	428 6½	1,818 5 11	4 5
"	"	"	" " "	" " "			"	"	"	" " "	" " "	" "
"	"	"	" " "	" " "			"	"	"	" " "	" " "	" "
125	188	10	234 " 11	1 3 10			420	14½	8	421 6½	1,818 5 11	4 5
"	"	"	" " "	" " "			402	"	6 8	408 8	1,396 12 1	3 6 8
"	"	"	" " "	" " "			"	"	"	" " "	" " "	" "
125	111	3	164 3 2	1 7 7			446	3¼	6 12	452 15¼	1,596 8 2	3 8 5
"	"	"	" " "	" " "			"	"	"	" " "	" " "	" "
"	"	"	" " "	" " "			"	"	"	" " "	" " "	" "
125	111	3	164 3 2	1 7 7			446	3¼	6 12	452 15¼	1,596 8 2	3 8 5
875	1005	13¼	1729 13 5	1 11 6	4¾	1 9 5	5 5 4	2,998	10½	24 2	3,022 12½	11,031 9 10	3 10 5
"	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
875	1005	13¼	1729 13 5	1 11 6	4¾	1 9 5	5 5 4	2,998	10½	24 2	3,022 12½	11,031 9 10	3 10 5
125	143	10⅛	247 1 11	1 11 6	4¾	1 9 5	5 5 4	428	6	6 ½	431 13¼	1,575 15 1	3 10 5
"	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
125	143	10⅛	247 1 11	1 11 6	4¾	1 9 5	5 5 4	428	6	6 ½	431 13¼	1,575 15 1	3 10 5

5302

Fort St George

29th April 1852

Total Cultivation

by Resident and Sajawaty Rajahs Country

Nunjahs

Under Channel

Irrigation by small Reservoirs

Actual extent of Land cultivated		Additional Crop in the same Land		Total		Summabandy Beriz			Average per Cawney		
					34						
242	15½	"	-	242	15½	1121	1	10	4	9	10
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
242	15½	-	-	242	15½	1121	1	10	4	9	10
224	6¼	-	-	224	6¼	1052	4	5	4	11	-
"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
224	6¼	-	-	224	6¼	1052	4	5	4	11	-
232	12	-	-	232	12	754	9	8	3	3	10
"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
232	12	-	-	232	12	754	9	8	3	3	10
1595	2¼	-	-	1595	2¼	6368	15	-	3	15	11
"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1595	2¼	-	-	1595	2¼	6368	15	-	3	15	11
227	14	-	-	227	14	909	13	7	3	15	11
"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
227	14	-	-	227	14	909	13	7	3	15	11

Actual extent of Land Cultivated		Additional Crop in the same Land		Total		Summabandy Beriz			Average per Cawney		
					35						
46	7½	-	-	46	7½	248	8	3	5	5	7
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	7½	-	-	46	7½	248	8	3	5	5	7
53	5	"	-	53	5	187	2	6	3	8	2
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	5	-	-	53	5	187	2	6	3	8	2
25	12	-	-	25	12	87	13	5	3	6	7
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	12	-	-	25	12	87	13	5	3	6	7
204	9½	-	-	204	9½	817	12	7	3	15	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
204	9½	-	-	204	9½	817	12	7	3	15	11
29	3⅝	-	-	29	3⅝	116	13	2	3	15	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	3⅝	-	-	29	3⅝	116	13	2	3	15	11

Fort St George

29th April 1852

Nunjah (Contry)

Total Cultivation by

Resident and Payakarry Ryots (Contry)

Total

Wet Garden consisting

-ing Co-co-anut Trees and aracanut

Total actual extent of Land Cultivated	Additional Crop in the same Land	Total	Summabanoy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summabanoy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summabanoy Beriz	Average per Cawney
		36			37			38		
710 5½	- 8	710 13½	3188 - -	4 7 9						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
710 5½	- 8	710 13½	3188 - -	4 7 9						
679 11¼	6 8	686 3¼	2636 3 -	3 13 5						
704 11¼	6 12	711 7¼	2438 15 3	3 6 9						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
704 11¼	6 12	711 7¼	2438 15 3	3 6 9						
4798 6¼	24 2	4822 8¼	18218 5 5	3 12 5						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
4798 6¼	24 2	4822 8¼	18218 5 5	3 12 5						
685 7⅝	6 ½	688 14⅛	2602 9 10	3 12 5						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
685 7⅝	6 ½	688 14⅛	2602 9 10	3 12 5						

Tank

Under Channel

Under Wells

Average per Cawney	Land	Summabanoy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summabanoy Beriz	Average per Cawney
				39		
				3¼	2 3 -	10 15 -
				" " "	" " "	" " "
				3¼	2 3 -	10 15 -
				8⅜	5 11 10	10 11 -
				9⅝	6 9 11	11 - -
				" " "	" " "	" " "
				9⅝	6 9 11	11 - -
				3 11½	36 6 5	9 12 7
				" " "	" " "	" " "
				3 11½	36 6 5	9 12 7
				8⅝	5 3 3	9 12 7
				" " "	" " "	" " "
				8⅝	5 3 3	9 12 7

5304

Fort St George

29th April 1852

Total cultivation of Resident and Payakarry Ryots (Contd)

Land	Summabandy Beriz	Average per Cawney	Land	Summabandy Beriz	Money Rent	Total of land Revenue including money Rent	Collection of Canongoes and Pagoda Merah	Total including Merah	Collection	Balance
40			41		42	43	44	45	46	47
. 3 1/4	2 3 .	10 15 .	857 5	3,436 4 2	66 6 9	3,509 10 11	809 6 5	4,312 1 4	4,312 1 4	. . .
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
. 3 1/4	2 3 .	10 15 .	857 5	3,436 4 2	66 6 9	3,502 10 11	809 6 5	4,312 1 4	4,312 1 4	. . .
. 8 3/8	5 11 10	10 11 .	875 5 5/8	2,875 15 9	37 3 1	2,913 2 10	654 2 9	3,567 5 7	3,567 5 7	. . .
. 9 5/8	6 9 11	11 . .	823 3 7/8	2,609 12 4	235 5 9	2,845 2 1	600 12 6	3,445 14 7	3,445 14 7	. . .
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
. 9 5/8	6 9 11	11 . .	823 3 7/8	2,609 12 4	235 5 9	2,845 2 1	600 12 6	3,445 14 7	3,445 14 7	. . .
3 11 1/2	36 6 5	9 12 7	5,832 5 3/4	19,986 2 8	. 488 11 3	20,474 13 11	4,544 7 7	25,019 5 6	25,019 5 6	. . .
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 11 1/2	36 6 5	9 12 7	5,832 5 3/4	19,986 2 8	488 11 3	20,474 13 11	4,544 7 7	25,019 5 6	25,019 5 6	. . .
. 8 5/8	5 3 3	9 12 7	833 3	2,855 2 7	69 13 1	2,924 15 8	649 3 5	3,574 3 1	3,574 3 1	. . .
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	. . .	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
. 8 5/8	5 3 3	9 12 7	833 3	2,855 2 7	69 13 1	2,924 15 8	649 3 5	3,574 3 1	3,574 3 1	. . .

Chingleput District Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Guindy, 7th February 1852 E E pr (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Fort St. George

29th April 1852

Statement

Shewing the sources of Irrigation.

Camil Beriz	8,130 8.
Average Beriz of 10 years previous to Hyders invasion	3,493 . .
Do Do of 10 Do after Do	1683 8.
Average beriz from fusly 1202 to 1204	1,750 . .
Do Do from fusly 1205 to 1207	3,013 8.
Average for the above Beriz	3,612 . .
Mootah Beriz	3,832 8.
Permanent assessment	2,765 13 6

Tanks

Channels

Irrigated by

Small cts

Pecktahs

Fusly	1252	2,844 5 2
	1253	3,243 15 9
	1254	3,327 . 8
	1255	4,278 10 5
	1256	4,312 1 4
	1257	3,567 5 7
	1258	3,445 14 7
Total		25,019 5 7
Average		3,574 3 1

Total

Revenue of the several years upon which the present Beriz is estimated.

Permanent Beriz 2,678 13 11

	In repair			To be repaired			Total	
	Tanks in repair	Revenue thence derived		Tanks	Revenue amount necessary to put them in repair		Tanks	Revenue
	Nos	Rs a p		Nos	Rs a p		Nos	Rs a p
	12	1,575 15 1		.	. . .		1,575 15 1	
	2	909 13 7		.	. . .		909 13 7	
	7	116 13 2		.	. . .		116 13 2	
	21	2,602 9 10		.	. . .		2,602 9 10	

Statement

5306 Fort St. G.

(No 4) Statement of the Ayacut of Neroomboor

No	Division	Villages	Nanjah Sheiqal or cultivated Land		Lachk or waste fit for cultivation		Anaady Bunjer or Immemorial waste		
1	Neroomboor	Neroomboor	384	6 3/8	69	15 1/4	219	1 7/8	6 1/2
		Annamalapoottary	37	14 3/8	8	15 7/8	10	15 1/8	3
			422	4 3/4	78	15 1/8	230	1	7
2	Paukum	Paukum	70	13 3/8	5	5 1/4	73	4 1/8	14
		Janoor	2	11	16	15 5/8	3	4 1/2	2
			73	8 3/8	22	4 7/8	76	8 5/8	17
3	Soorademungalum	Soorademungalum	119	4	14	13 1/2	98	6 1/2	28
		Nadaracaray	87	7 7/8	17	13 7/8	106	14 1/8	21
		Condacaracopum	38	7	"	"	1	7 3/4	3
		Panungaudoo-cherry	43	10	"	"	18	7/8	8
			282	12 7/8	32	11 3/8	224	13 1/4	54
4	Amunjeecaray	Canugayanulloor	6	2 3/8	6	9 1/4	31	4 3/8	4
		Amunjeecaray	23	3 3/4	6	1/8	35	4 1/2	6
			29	6 1/8	12	9 3/8	66	8 7/8	10
5	Coonnarackum	Coonnarackum	63	12 1/8	5	8 3/4	177	7 1/8	24
			871	12 1/4	152	1 1/2	775	6 7/8	179

Chinglepat District, Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Guindy, 7th February 1852

George 29th April 1852

Mootah Zillah of Chingleput.—

	Poonjah							Total						
	Total	Shaigal	Laikh	Anady Banjer	Total	Shaigal	Laikh	Anady Banjer	Total					
3	7 1/4	175	13 1/8	42	1/4	49	1/4	266	13 5/8	560	3 1/2	111	15 1/2	268	2 1/8	940	5 1/8
7	13 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	14 3/8	8	15 7/8	10	15 1/8	57	13 3/8
1	4 7/8	175	13 1/8	42	1/4	49	1/4	266	13 5/8	598	1 7/8	120	15 3/8	279	1 1/4	998	2 1/2
9	6 1/4	64	10 1/8	5	7/8	48	2 3/8	117	13 3/8	135	7 1/2	10	6 1/8	121	6 1/4	267	4 1/8
2	15 1/8	"	"	"	"	2	5 1/4	2	5 1/4	2	11	16	15 5/8	5	9 3/4	25	4 3/8
2	5 7/8	64	10 1/8	5	7/8	50	7 5/8	120	2 5/8	138	2 1/2	27	5 3/4	127	1/4	292	8 1/2
2	8	10	13 1/8	1	12 1/4	10	8 1/2	23	2 5/8	130	1 7/8	16	9 3/4	108	15	255	10 5/8
2	3 7/8	23	15 1/2	19	7 3/8	111	2 7/8	154	9 3/4	111	7 3/8	37	5 1/4	218	1	366	13 5/8
3	11 3/4	23	11 1/2	18	11 3/4	2	10	44	4 1/2	55	2 1/2	18	14 3/4	4	1 3/4	78	3
1	10 7/8	"	"	"	"	14	9	14	9	43	10	"	"	32	9 7/8	76	3 7/8
0	5 1/2	57	8 7/8	40	2 3/8	138	14 3/8	236	9 5/8	340	5 3/4	72	13 3/4	363	11 5/8	776	15 1/8
4	"	"	13 1/8	5	15 5/8	37	13 1/4	44	10	6	15 1/2	12	8 7/8	69	1 5/8	88	10
4	8 3/8	48	10 1/4	16	1 1/4	10	10 1/2	75	6 1/4	71	14	22	1 5/8	45	15	139	14 5/8
8	8 3/8	49	7 3/8	22	1 1/8	48	7 3/4	120	1/4	78	13 1/2	34	10 1/2	115	5/8	228	8 5/8
6	12	4	1 1/8	5	4	184	7 1/2	193	12 5/8	67	13 1/4	10	12 3/4	361	14 5/8	440	8 5/8
9	4 5/8	351	8 5/8	114	8 5/8	471	5 1/2	937	6 3/4	1223	4 7/8	266	10 1/8	1246	12 3/8	2736	11 3/8

E E per Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

The

L.P.R

9

5307. Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Bd. No. 793

Proceedings thereon.—

Calling upon the Collector of Chingleput for the elucidation of certain points before sanctioning the Sub-division of the Neerumboor Moottah into 5 portions recommended.

12654 Rs

(33-34)

The Board consider that certain points require more elucidation before the Sub division recommended in the above letter can be sanctioned.—

2. They observe from the Records of their Office that the original Moottah of Neerumboor was held on a Jummah of 3,615 Pagodas. That in 1813 it was subdivided (under Sanction) into four parts, of which the present Neerumboor Estate is one, with a Jummah of about 2,678 Rupees and contained 11 Villages.—

3. The Proprietor now desires to re-subdivide it into 5 shares; four of which he has already sold and the purchasers have petitioned for a Title. Thus the Original Moottah would be reduced to 20 ths, and the reason given is that the present estate is too extensive.—

4. The Board could have wished that Mr. Cochrane had made some further enquiry as to whether these persons are relatives or connected with the Moottahdar and, whether they have formally agreed to pay the proposed Jumma due to their several portions.—

The

5. The following is an Abstract of the Estimate of the Produce of the 5 proposed shares, being a comparison between the Statement given in the Collector's letter of 7th December 1813, and the present time.

Divisions.	Average of 7 years from 1214 to 1221 exclusive of Cavil & Poligar's meerah	Average now taken of the last 7 years exclusive of Cangoe cavil & Poligars meerah.	Increase	Decrease
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1 Neroomboor	531	1372	841	.
2 Paukum	42	96	54	.
3 Soorady Mungalum	523	1055	532	.
4 Aminjaicaray	35	97	62	.
5 Coonnavakum	167	302	135	.
Total	1299	2924	1625	.

6. It appears from this the produce now realized is more than double what it was, in every share except one where there is an increase of 80 per Cent.

7. The following Abstract shews the Comparison between the Beriz fixed in 1813, and that now proposed

Divisions.	As per former Sub Division accounts: 7 years average exclusive of Cangoe Cavil &c.	As per former Sub Division accounts: Proposed Permanent Beriz	As per former Sub Division accounts: Cangoe Cavil &c.	As per former Sub Division accounts: Total Permanent Beriz	As per present Sub Division accounts: 7 years average exclusive of Cavil &c.	As per present Sub Division accounts: Permanent Beriz	Comparison between Cols 4 & 7: Increase	Comparison between Cols 4 & 7: Decrease
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1 Neroomboor	531	755	482	1238	1663	1246	8	.
2 Paukum	42	60	36	96	127	95	.	1
3 Soorady Mungalum 2/3	523	744	224	968	1294	970	2	.
4 Aminjeecary	35	50	18	68	112	91	23	.
5 Coonnevakum	167	238	71	309	346	274	.	35
Total	1299	1848	832	2680	3574	2678	33	36
						Net Decrease		3

8. The slight discrepancy between the two last columns arises from the calculation not having been made to fractions.

9. The Jumma now proposed for each share is 3/4 of the 7 years Beriz in Column 6 above, but the Board observe that the Collector has taken the 7 years, fushies 1252 to 1258 inclusive omitting 1259 and 1260 as famine years. The Collector does not seem to use the term famine in its usual acceptation. It is evident from the Records in the Board's Office — that

Fusly	Paogy per Garce. Rs	a	p.
1255	33	9	3
56	128	1	3
57	101	5	8
58	72	1	0
59	71	7	9
60	88	8	5

that the Jaghеer on the whole did not suffer from High prices in Fuslies 1259 & 1260. The remark probably means no more than that on account of a diminution of water in the Tank, there was a decrease of cultivation and a rise in prices in this Mootah as appears to have been the case (referring to enclosure No. 1 in the Collectors letter) in Fusly 1255. at all events Fuslies 1259 and 1260, should have been shewn even if not taken into the average.

10. In the Punkum share it appears by Enclosure 6 that 73 Cawnies are Sheikal, and only 36 (Enclosure 1) under tillage. The Collector should explain to what year this extent of Sheical refers.

11 In Statement 5 it is shewn that the money rent of the estate during the 6 years prior to Fusly 1258 varied from 20 to 67 Rupees whilst in 1258 it is entered at 235 Rupees. This should be accounted for

To

No. 51.

To,

W. H. Bayley, Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

35

Board No. 750.
From the Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir,

I have the honor to retransmit the Demand, Collection and Balance Statements from 31st July to November 1851 (returned with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th Instant) which have been duly altered as required and to request that you will return to this Office the Statements of the subsequent Months of December 1851 and January 1852 for similar alteration.

The Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of the 31st July 1851 of North Arcot is also returned.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Conjeveram, 27th March 1852.

(Signed) I. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Bd. No. 829.
Resolution thereon.

(35.36)

Resolved that the Demand Collection and Balance Statements for the months of December 1851, and January 1852, be returned to Mr. Cochrane for correction, as requested by him in the foregoing

foregoing letter

2. The Board take this opportunity to retransmit to Mr. Cochrane the Hindavee Copies of the Demand Collection & Balance Statements from 31st July to November 1851 with a request that he will furnish revised ones corresponding with those in English

---

Read the following letter

No. 39.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(37)

B. No. 799.

From the Collr. of Salem ... 1

Sir,

Forwarding certain Queries regarding the Jaghеer of the late Mahomed Moosa to be answered by the Canjeevool Cozzat

Rec'd 29 April 1852. No. 2

1. Adverting to your Board's Proceedings dated the 11th September 1851 communicating for my information and guidance, the orders of Government relative to the future disposal of the Villages which form the Jaghеer assigned to the late Mahomed Moosa.

2. I have the honor to state that the present condition of Mahomed Moosa's family, as ascertained from the enquiries

Vide A Encls.

enquiries which it has been my duty to institute, seems to demand the resumption of certain shares that have lapsed to the Government under the exposition of the Mahomedan Law contained in the Futwah of the Canjeevool Cozzat dated the 25th July 1851.

3. The Senior Members of the family are apparently surprised at the result which their representations have effected, — but as I have a plain duty to perform, and the orders conveyed to me were not elicited by communications from this Office, I have declined to become the medium of any further appeal on their part.

4. It therefore only remains for me to ascertain authoritatively, the extent of the shares of Mahomed Moosa's property to which his Sons and daughters are entitled under the Mahomedan law of inheritance. To this end I beg to enclose a paper containing certain questions bearing on the point, which as

I believe I am not at liberty to communicate with that Officer direct. I have to request your Board will cause to be laid before the Canzeevol Coozat, that his answers may be obtained thereto.

5. I have to apologize for my inability to transmit a Persian Version of the questions, as the Record Keeper, who officiates as Translator in my Cutcherry, is acquainted with English and Tamul only.-

Salem District,
Darampoory,
31st March 1852.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

No. 2.

1. A, A Jagheerdar, dies leaving an estate represented by 10,000.

He had Six Sons, **BCDEFG** and four daughters. **HIJK**.

2. Of the Sons, **D** demised, while **A**, his father, was alive **D** had three Sons and one daughter, Of the Sons two died while was alive and one after **D**'s death, but during **A**'s life time - The daughter died after **A**'s death **D**'s wife is now alive.-

3. **B** who died after the Jagheerdar **A** had demised, had a family of two Sons and Six daughters. The elder of the two Sons died whilst **B** was alive. Three of the daughters have demised since the death of their father.-

4. **C** is alive so also are **EF** and **G**.-

5. The daughters **H** and **I** died, leaving no issue, during the life time of their father

6. The other two daughters, **J** and **K** are alive, are married, and have large families.-

7. Under the above circumstances, it is desired to know in what proportions **BCD EFGHIJ**, and **K** are entitled to inherit **A**'s property.-

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips.-
Collector.-

5316

Fort St. George

References.

Male
Female
Husband and wife
Male and his nicka wife
Wife and Husband
Male deceased.
Female deceased.

Father
Son
Father
daughter
Mother
Son
Mother
daughter.

The Nos. denote Ages.





29th April 1852.

Beebagum — Ballagotta 1. / Gollagotta 2.

Mummed Yafsee

Brother of Mahommed Mosfsar

Shumsul Goor Saib.

Barrodaul Saib / Barrodaul

Mahommed Soul
Goolam Ally
Soomroo Doo
Hospan Doo.
Soobee
Saul Doo
Bahgum

58

32 29 27 14

Goolam Mohadeen
Imhamed Hospan
Goolam Ally
Goolamnubee Dubgowalah
Mahommed Mosfsar
Pundoo Doo
Rajaroo Doo
Bacundoo
Caramdoo
Samoondoo
Sahibundoo

45

22 14 12 2 25 22 15 22 17

Mahommed Yasseen / alias Shumdoo
Mahommed Mosfsar
Pauda Doobee
Osman Doobee
Nadar Doobee
Mustum Doobee

24 20 14

Syedoo
Emamdeen
Rahimdeen
Rahimmadee

29 18 22

Cundoo Coodeet
Goolam Ali Doo
Mahommed Sowar
Goolam Pykool

11 7 4

Hamidoo Ryk
Cassimdoo
Ahmedoo
Shamdoo
Ibrahimdoo
Mahommed Bordoo
Amardoo
Rahimdoo
Bookagundoo
Mahommed Mosfsar
Mahommed Ramoodoo
Mahadeendoo
Mahadeendoo

40 30

24 21 20 16 12 8 11

I J K

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

5317 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(37.38)

To, The Register of Sudr Udalut.

Rr. No. 869.

To the Regr. of Sudr Udalut.

Sir,

In reference to the opinion of the Cazee ool Coozat of 29th July 1851 relating to the succession in a Mahomedan Family of a Jaghire in the Salem District which also formed subject of the correspondence noted in the Margin. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will with the permission of the Judges, obtain the opinion of the Cazee ool Coozat to the Queries contained in the accompanying paper relating to the same case.

Extract Mins. from Register	of Cons. 15th July 1851. Sudr Udalut to Govt. 8th Augt. 1851.
Extract Mins of Cons	2d in Cons 2nd September 1851.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th April 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read.

5318 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Read the following letter

No. 40

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

39

Rr. No. 797.

From the Collr. of Salem.

Sir

Transmitting an application from Mr. G. F. Fischer for the incorporation in his Mootah of Salem the plot of ground on which the old commercial Buildings are situated.

I have the honor to forward a Copy of a communication to my address dated the 9th February 1852 from G. F. Fischer Esqre expressing a desire that the plot of ground on which the old Commercial Buildings" stand, be incorporated with Mootah Salem, of which Mr. Fischer became proprietor subsequent to his purchase from the Government in 1833. of the buildings in question, for a sum of 2,500 Rupees.

To Board	8th	February 1833
From "	28th	Do. "
To "	25th	March "
From "	9th	May "

2 The correspondence marginally noted sufficiently details the circumstances under which, on Principal Collector, Mr. Orr's suggestion, the Commercial Buildings were sold to Mr. Fischer. There is no reason for supposing that, at the period of that transaction Mr. Fischer was aware

aware that eventually he would become the proprietor of the Mootah by which the land he now applies for, is in all sides surrounded.

3. In substance, Mr. Fischer's request appears to me unobjectionable, and I would take permission strongly to support it if I could conscientiously adopt the opinion, that it would be legally competent to the authorities, to incorporate with a Mootah constituted under the permanent settlement Regulations of 1802 a portion of land lying within the limits of such Mootah, but which did not form part of the assets by and on which its present permanent Beriz was regulated and fixed.

4. In effect, also the arrangement sought by Mr. Fischer, would contravene the prohibition of the Honorable Court of Directors, that the Settlment of the land revenue shall not be made in perpetuity.

5. I am therefore unable to recommend that Mr. Fischer's application be sanctioned, but would request that I be permitted

permitted to offer to that Gentleman a renewed lease of the land, on terms similar to those on which he has been holding it since 1833, for a period of either thirty three or ninety nine years.

Salem District. Daramporey
1st April 1852. . . . .

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 1.

To, H. D. Phillips Esquire.
Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to solicit your reference to a letter from your department of the 15th May 1833, in which it was communicated to me that the Government had been pleased to accede to my proposal to purchase the old Commercial Buildings of Salem, and had granted a lease for 21 years at the Survey assessment of the land on which they were erected.

This land was originally set apart by the Government for a Bleach Green but of course had long since been dissessed for any such purpose, nor can such a use of it be resumed. I therefore beg to bring under your notice, that this land.

land is situated in the very heart of the Mootah of Salem, an Estate of which I have become the purchaser subsequent to the date of the acquisition of the above Commercial Buildings Being thus situated it materially interferes with plans I have in view for the improvement of my Mootah, and, under the circumstances I have stated, I hope the Government will not object to allowing this small spot to be incorporated in the Mootah of Salem the assessment now fixed upon it being added to the present kist of the Zemindary.

I beg the favor of your submitting my request to the consideration of Government and I trust you will be able to accompany it with your own recommendation.

Salem, 9th February 1852 } /Signed/ G. F. Fischer

/True Copy/

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

39.40

Bd. No. 800.
Proceedings thereon.

The Board are disposed to accede to Mr. Fischer's request, but would first wish to be informed of the exact extent and present condition of the land with reference to the several items referred to in para 7 of Mr. Catchcart's letter to Mr. Orr of 21st December 1832. in order that no private or municipal rights may be infringed. They would also wish to be informed as to the extra peishcush proposed to be levied.

Encls. 1 in Trans Salem 8th in Cons. 28th February 1833.

No. 29.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

1st

Bd. No. 992
From the Asst Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit copy and Translation of the Plaint in a suit filed against me and others in the Zillah Court of Combaconum by Arconachella Kealacoodian and a draft of the answer which I propose to file, and to request that the Board will be pleased to procure the sanction of Government for defending the suit at the cost of the Pagoda for whose balances the property of the Plaintiff was sold in auction. As the transactions complained of took place 23 years ago, much time and labor were required to arrive at the particulars of the case and the Court of Combaconum was twice moved to lengthen the term for filing the answer. The term now given will expire on the 7th May and I therefore beg that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction

sanction of Government as soon as possible.

Tanjore, Trangachar, 23rd April, 1852. } (Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
P.J. Acting Collector.

I have directed the answer to be filed in case no instructions are received in sufficient time.-

No 1

To, The Sub: Court of Combaconam

Plaintiff

Aroonachella, Heela-coodyan, living at Vadaca-mattum in the Valunga-maun Talook, Maarafsy-dar by profession.

Defendants

T. W. Goodwyn, Esqr. Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Aroonachella Pillay, Carnum of Sholamangay Vattam in the Combaconum Talook.-

Rungasawmy Iyen living at Unna Agra-haram of the said Talook Carnum of the vattam, Covil Servants by profession.-

Sarvana Pillay living at Nolyoor of the said Talook.

Appa Pillay residing at Vullalancoil in do,

Ammaya Pillay living in the same place.

Vyapoory Pillay, Shola Pillay, Ammoorga Pillay and Valoyada Pillay, living at Nolyoor.

Amount sued for Rupees 724 annas 5 and Pice 4.

Value of Plaintiff's property under Zuft by Circar.-

In Enay June 1827 I was in possession of and enjoying my house & Manacut (Garden) planted with Cocoa-nut trees and other property in the Villages of Nolyoor and Vullalan Covil in the Combaconum Talook; when a warrant was issued against me by the Talook authorities, but owing to my absence, my property (consisting of a house & manacut land, cocoanut trees, 475. Callums of Peshanum paddy, and other Sundries) was then by order of the Collector, Mr Hindersley, attached by Sooba Row the Tahsildar of the Talook and the 2nds and 3rd Defendants (Carnums.)-

2 On my return I heard from the said Tahsildar that a robbery had been committed in Nagendra chitty's house at the Cusbah, and was directed by him

him to find out the robbers, he at the same time promising me every assistance. I succeed-ed in discovering the thieves, and the stolen property; but as it was reported that I was an accomplice I was seized and sent with the thieves, to the Crimi-nal Court. I was committed for trial be-fore the Circuit Court and eventually sentenced in 1828 along with the robbers to 14 years imprisonment with hard labor. My property alluded to was then under Zaft and had not been made over to me.-

3. The late Chedombara keelacondyar my elder brother petition-ed the late Collector Mr Hindersley (I dont recollect the date) for the re-lease and delivery to him of my at-tached property and an order was issu-ed to the said Tahsildar to make over the property to him. But the Tahsil-dar having subsequently delivered to him only the Sundry articles under at-tachment and said that the house, the canes

lands, trees and Paddy were set apart to answer the Government demands against Samenada Keelacondyar (a divided kinsman of mine), my elder brother petition-ed the Collector stating that my pro-perty cannot be held responsible for balances against a kinsman as all con-nection between us had long ceased. An order was thereupon issued to the Velangaman Tahsildar to report on this point; he instituted an enquiry in which it was fully proved that my family and that of the said Samenada Keela-condyar had long been divided, and he reported this to the Horgoor; — when the Collector told my father (in the presence of the Tahsildar) that orders would be issued to make over all the property to him.-

Subsequently my bro-ther died and I petitioned the late Collector in 1834 to make over my pro-perty under attachment to my wife, but I was informed thro' the Zillah Jailor that it

it had been appropriated by the Circar to clear off the balances against my Uncle. Being in confinement in Jail, I was unable to appeal to the higher authorities for the restoration of my rights and to adduce proofs of my having been long divided from my Uncle which would have prevented my property from being held answerable for demands on him.-

5- I was released from Jail in 1843 and was sick for the next 2 years. In 1845 I petitioned the Sub. Collector of Myaveram mentioning the particulars of the case. Orders were thereupon issued to the Combaconum Tahsildar to enquire into and report on the matter, but that Officer did nothing. I repeatedly complained to the Collector and Sub Collector of this delay but was not favored with any answer and so I therefore presented petitions to the Board and Government (dated 1st February 1851) setting forth the merits of my case

case and praying for the restoration of my property. These petitions were referred to the Collector who without enquiring into the matter or looking into the records of the case returned them to me with an Endorsement dated 26th May 1851 stating that part of the attached property was sold for arrears standing against me and my Uncle and that the rest was delivered to my father and brother and receipts taken from them in 1837. This Endorsement contains no particulars about the property sold and restored. There was no Circar balance against me nor was any of my property sold on my account. It is clearly just that my property ought not to have been sold for the realization of balances standing against a divided relation whose properties should have been responsible for the same. The Collector's proceedings are therefore illegal and unwarrantable.

I therefore sue him and the 2nd and 3rd Defendants for the restoration

of

of all my property excepting what was de-
-livered to my brother and father. I also sue
the 4th Defendant as he has enjoyed my
lands and house and should restore them
to me and pay me the value of their pro-
-duce and of my trees he has cut downs
and appropriated from the year next to
that in which my property was attached.
And I also sue the other Defendants as it is
through their means that he enjoys them.

(A list of the property referred to is sub-
-joined to the plaint, but it has not been
thought necessary to translate it).

Remark by Complainant } I have only heard that
the said Tahsildar Soobrow told my
father that the jafted property was ap-
-propriated by Circar to clear off
the balances which were outstanding
against the said Samintha Reela-
-cordian, but as I was then in jail
and could know nothing as to whether
the property was put up to auction or
otherwise disposed of, it is not in my power
to

to write about it.

August, 1851. (Signed) Aroonachela Reela-
-cordian

A true Translation
(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
acting Collector.

No 2.

Proposed Answer

The Plaintiff states in his
plaint that out of the jafted property 375
Collums and 8 M: of paddy houses and mana-
-cut land &c instead of being restored to
him, were sold in auction in 1829 in li-
-quidation of certain balances which were
outstanding against Saminatha Reela-
-dian his divided kinsman.

It is now about 23 years
since these transactions took place. If
they were unjust the Plaintiff might have
immediately resorted to a regular Suit
for redress in conformity with Clause 4
Section 18 Regulation III of 1802. He pleads
that he was then in jail. Even if so, he
might have got his elder brother Cheidam-
-bra Reela-cordian to do the same for him.
This individual addressed some petitions to
the

the Collector on the subject and Plaintiff himself owns that he (the elder brother) was alive so lately as the year 1837, though the exact date of his death is not mentioned in the Plaint. Moreover the Plaintiff states in Para 4 of his Plaint that he was confined in this district in the Jail at Nagore and that when he petitioned the late Collector in 1837 to make over his attached property to his wife, he was informed through the Jailor that it had been appropriated by the Circar to clear off the balances against his uncle. As this fact was then known to him; he might either have verbally complained to the Judge when he (the Judge) went as he frequently did, to examine the jail, or, brought a regular suit before the Court through a Vakeel or a Friend in the manner prescribed by the Sadr Court in their Proceedings dated 25th October 1836. Subsequently he might have complained in 1843, immediately after his release from prison. But he has not

done

done any of these things. He states that his property was sold for Devastanam balances. It is now about 10 years since the Devastanams were made over to the management of the Devastanam Trustees.

Twenty three years have altogether passed away since the cause of complaint happened. The present suit is in every respect contrary to Clause IV Section XVIII Regulation II of 1802.

The Plaintiff thought that if the preferred his suit immediately the truth would readily be proved and hoped that at a remote period the Government Officers would not be able to throw much light on the subject and that the records connected with the case would not easily be found. As he has brought his suit now after the lapse of so many years solely from this motive, and as it is a suit the cause of which arose above 12 years ago, I beg that the Plaintiff may be non-suited.

(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
Asst Collector

Ordered

5333 Fort St. George 29th April 1852

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following addgs.

No 154

To, The Chief Secretary to Government..

Sir,

41.42

Bs. No. 821

To The Chief Sect.to Government...

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore forwarding translation and copy of a Plaint filed against him and others in the Sub: Court of Combaconum together with draft of the proposed answer and recommending that that Officer be authorized to put in the answer and defend the suit at the public expense.—

In Con. 29th April 1852

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter dated 24th April 1852 from the Acting Collector of Tanjore, forwarding copy of a plaint filed against him and others in the Sub: Court of Combaconum by Atmachella Reelacoodyan together with draft of an answer which he proposes to put in.—

2

This action is brought for the recovery of certain property sold by order of the late Principal Collector Mr. Kindersley together with the value of its produce, and for compensation on account of certain trees cut down and appropriated by one of the defendants.—

2

The Plaintiff sets forth that

5334 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.—

that in consequence of his having been committed to prison charged with being an accomplice in a robbery which had taken place, his late elder brother petitioned the Collector for the release and delivery to him of his /Plaintiff's/ property then under attachment and his prayer was granted but the Tahsildar retained a portion of it for the alleged purpose of answering the Government demands against Samenada Reelacoodyan, a divided kinsman of Plaintiff.— This however, on his Petitioning, the Collector promised also to make over, but did not.— On the death of his brother, Plaintiff prayed for the restoration of his property to his wife, and was informed that it had been appropriated by the circar to clear the balance due by his Uncle.— a divided relative, against which proceeding he was unable to Petition in consequence of his having been then in jail and of his illness after release.— In 1845.— Petitioner submitted his case to the Revenue authorities and was informed, after some delay, that part of the attached property was sold for arrears standing against

against himself and his Uncle, which act he considers illegal in as much as there was no arrear balance against himself and his Uncle was a divided relative. Petitioner also states that he appealed for redress to the Board and Government but without avail.—

4. In the draft answer, the acting Collector states that 23 years have passed since the cause of complaint occurred that the Plaintiff in gaol he could have petitioned the Collector either through his elder brother, or communicated verbally with the Judge when he visited the Jail, or brought a regular suit before the Court through a Vakeel or a friend as directed in Proceedings of the Sudr Court dated October 25th 1836, and that therefore the Present suit is in every respect contrary to clause IV Section XVIII Regulation II of 1802 and prays in consequence that the Plaintiff may be nonsuited.—

5. The Board consider the draft answer good and sufficient, and recommend that the acting Collector be authorized to put it in, and

Conduct.

conduct the suit at the public expense.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,----
29th April 1852----

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.—
Secretary.—

Read the following letter.

To

J. Bird Esqre
Collector of
Trichinopoly—

(43)

Bd. No. 1007

From the Collector of
Trichinopoly—

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to forward to Government through the usual Channel this my application for one Month's leave under Section XI /amended/ of the absentee Rules in addition to the period allowed me for joining the district of Trichinopoly to which I stand apointed in the Fort St. George Gazette under date April 6th 1852.—

/Signed/ H. E. Sullivan
Assistant Collector.

21st April 1852.

In forwarding this application for leave the Collector has the honor to state that there is no objection.

objection to its being complied with.—

Trichinopoly, Tooraygoody, Circuit Cutcherry 24th April 1852. } District Collectors /Signed/ J. Bird. Collector.

Order thereon.—

(43-44)

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.—

Read the following letters.

No. 66.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(45)

Bd. No. 818.

From the Collector of Madura— } Sir

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th November 1849, I have the honor to request sanction for Payment to my Head Sheristadar Ram Row of a Salary of 525 Rupees per mensem the amount assigned to a Sheristadar of 15 years standing from the 21st Instant on the day preceding which he completed that period of Service according

according to the prescribed mode of calculation.—

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.—

Madura, 3d April 1852.
Bd. No. 789.
Proceedings thereon.—

(45-46)

The Collector's attention is called to Notes 4 & 7 at Pages 4 & 5 of their Circular Order Book, and he is requested to report specially upon the conduct and services of his Head Sheristadar Ram Row in support of his application for an increased rate of allowance to that individual.—

No. 68.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(47)

Bd. No. 856.

From the Collector of Madura— } Sir

The return of the Memorandum and its Enclosures being decree of the Court and a bundle of translations of letters between the Zemindars Manager and her Agents at Madras forwarded with my letter of the 5th February last being requested by the Vakeel of the Stanegals of the Pagodas mentioned

mentioned therein I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain the Board's permission for their return or for my being furnished with copies.—

Madura, — }
8th April 1852 } Signed/ R. D. Parker
Bd. No. 802. Collector.

Order thereon.— Ordered that the original papers which accompanied the letter from the Collector of Madura dated 5th February 1852, be returned to him, in compliance with the request contained in his letter of 8th April.—

(47.48)

No. 71.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards—
Fort St. George

(49)

Bd. No. 884.

From the Collector of Madura — }

Sir

With reference to the Court's Proceedings of the 1st Ultimo relative to the claim of the Padamattoor Zemindar to restoration of certain Desacavel Enams resumed by Mr. Drury, I have the honor to state that

Requesting explanation regarding the Court's observations on certain points relative to the Desacavel Enams in Shevagungah

that I shall be greatly assisted in furnishing the further information required by the Court, if they will explain to me why they deem the fact of the resumption by Mr. Lushington of these Enams incompatible with my view, that the lands formed part of the assets on which the Peishcush of Shevagunga was fixed.—

If in calculating the Income of the Shevagunga Villages for the purpose of fixing the Peishcush thereon Mr. Lushington did, as I shewed in Paras 4 and 5 of my letter of the 31st January last, include the produce of the Desacavel lands held by the Padamattoor and Nallagoody Zemindars those lands appear to me ipso-facto to have formed a portion of the assets of the Zemindary and the subsequent continuance of those lands to the Desacavelearers to have been a mere act of favor by the Zemindar by which he impaired the resources of his Zemindary.

It will be clear to the Court from those Paras and Para 8 that

3.

by resumption I did not mean resumption to

to the Government but resumption to the general Revenue of the Shevagunga Zemindary for otherwise how could the Zemindar have restored them—

4. That resumption of Desacavel Enams formed part of Mr. Lushington's general policy will be plain to the Court from his Report of the 20th March 1800— His Report on the Settlement of the Peishcush of Shevagunga which is unfortunately very brief is addressed to the Special Commission and dated 30th September 1802.—

5. Pending the receipt of the answer of the Court, I will discuss the claim of the Zemindar with his Agent.—

Madura,— } /Signed/ R. D. Parker—
12th April 1852— } Collector.—

Bde No. 814.

Proceedings thereon.— In reply to the enquiry contained in the foregoing letter why the Court of Wards considered the resumption by Mr. Lushington of the Desacavel Enams to be incompatible with the Collector's view that

(47.50)

Proceedings 14th April 1852

that the lands formed part of the assets on which the Peishcush of Shevagunga was fixed, the Court would now explain that they were under the impression that Mr. Lushington resumed the Desacavel Enams in question for the benefit of Government, because they / the Government / had undertaken the Police of the Country" and had reserved to themselves the right of continuing or abolishing all Lands &c. appropriated to the purposes of Police establishments previous to their issue of the Sunnud ic Milkeut Istimrar—

Copy of Permanent Sunnud Section C. O Book page 691

From the further information now submitted by the Collector it would however appear that the resumption was not to the Government but to the Revenue of the Zemindary and to form part of the assets on which the Peishcush was fixed.—

Read the following letter.—

No. 72.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

51

Bde No. 938.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly—

Sir

I have the honor to report that on the 8th Instant, I attached the Estate of Vadymuttah on account of arrears of Peishcash due up to 7th April 1852 and to submit a detailed Statement exhibiting the balance together with the Establishment necessary for its management for which I request the sanction of the Board—

/Signed/ E. J. Bird
Collector. Statement—

Tinnevelly Trichendoor, } 16th April 1852— }

5343

Fort St George

29th April 1852

Statement Shewing the balance of Kist due by the undermentioned Zemindar in the Province of Tinnevelly up to 7th April 1852 on account of Fusly 1261.—

1 Name of the Polipat	2 Name of the Poligar	3 Number of Villages attached to the Polipats	4 Amount of Annual Peishcush	5 Old Balance up to Fusly 1260	6 Kist of Fusly 1261.	7 Collections	8 Balance	9 Name of the Villages attached on account of the Balance	10 Amount of Beriz thereof	11 Proposed Establishment	12 Monthly allowance	13 Remarks
			Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P		Rs As P		Rs As P	
					Iyen							
					December 630 " "	" " "	630 " "			1 Ameen	14 " "	
					January 630 " "	" " "	630 " "			1 Sumpretty	6 " "	
					February 1050 " "	" " "	1050 " "			1 Shroff	3 8 "	
	Mottoven				March 1050 " "	" " "	1050 " "			3 Peons at 3½ Rs each	10 8 "	
	=catasala=	30	5554 8 "	" "	Total 3360 " "	" " "	3360 " "			Saderwared	1 " "	
Vadymittah—	Swamy				Interest from 1st January to 7th April 1852.	49 132 " "	49 132				35 " "	
	Moneyagar—				Total	3409 132 " "	3409 132					

The whole Mittah was Fusly'd.—

Tinnevelly—
Trichendoor—
16th April—

E. E. Fr.

[Signed] C. J. Bird—
Collector—

The

5344 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Bd. No. 803.

Proceedings thereon:—

(51.52)

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the Establishment exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of Rupees 35 per mensem for the management of the Estate of Vadymittah, in the District of Tinnevelly, attached for arrears of Revenue for the current Fusly.—

J. C. B.

5345 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

No. 79.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(53)

B. No. 967.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Submitting Translation of a Plaint in Original Suit No. 9 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court and of an answer proposed to be filed by the Collr of Tinnevelly and requesting to obtain Sanction of Government to defend the suit at the public cost.

Sir.

I have the honor to submit Translation of a Plaint in Original Suit No. 9 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court in Tinnevelly preferred against me and Sundia Pillay the Tahseeldar of the Punchamal Talook in our official capacity by one Palavesa Maistry Coodoomben of the Puller's caste residing in the hamlet of Callamparie in the Streevygountum Talook together with a Translation of the Draft of an Answer. I propose to file in the Court and request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for me to defend the suit on behalf of myself and Sundia Pillay at the Public expense.

The time granted by the Court for filing answer in this suit will expire on the 13th July 1852.

Tinnevelly Trichendoor 20th April 1852

/Signed/ C. J. Bird
Collector

Translation

No 1.

Translation of a Plaint preferred to the Principal Sudr Ameen of Tinnevelly by Palavaden maistry Codoomboom son of Ananya Codoomben of the Puller's caste a cultivator and residing in Callamparei dependant in Shendiriperei in the Streevygoontum Talook against C. J. Bird Esqre Collector of the said District, and Sundia Pillay Tahsildar of the Punchamal Talook.

The Pagoda at Trichendoor in the Punchamal Talook consecrated to the Deity called Soobramania Sawmy being considered a very holy Place, the whole of my caste people concerted together and on the 15th of Chittry Sundoo 932 purchased under a deed in the name of deceased Crooler Pundaram as a place for halting on and making offerings to the God when brought in procession through the Street during Festivals from the Villagers of Trichendoor for Cully Pons 27-1-0 or Rupees 57-7-8 a piece of ground situated in the Car running Street west and comprised within the following 4 Boundaries Viz. on the east the above Street on the South the backyard wall of the house of Cachnappen Chetty on the

the west the lane running from North to South and the Channel, and on the North a Mosque and Plain

2, Afterwards with a view to create a fund by Contribution for constructing in the above place a Seat called Simmalvasanakorados for planting trees therein, and for making offerings to the Deity when brought in procession in the Street during Festivals my caste people constituted my ancestor their agent to carry out their intentions who accordingly levied a contribution from all my class people in every Village and with the money enclosed the above ground with a hedge, planted trees and constructed a Seat. Ever since the offerings called Tiruvkunchatteo are regularly made. While so, the 1st Defendant having issued an order to the 2d Defendant directing him to select an unoccupied site for the construction of a Talook Cutcherry, the latter addressed an Arzee in reply reporting that the ground in question is an unoccupied open plain and an order was sent him to erect a Cutcherry upon it. Thereupon the Seat and trees standing thereon were about to be removed for commencing with the Cutcherry work when I not only started objections to doing so explaining my right to the ground but also presented a

Petition

Fort St George 29th April 1852

Petition in the subject to the 1st Defendant under date the 11th February 1851 together with a document in support of my claim, but the 1st Defendant without making any enquiry into it issued a second order to the 2d Defendant who having addressed a fraudulent Arzee an Endorsement was passed on my Petition to the effect that the instrument produced by me is not a genuine one, and that the Cutcherry has therefore been ordered to be constructed - accordingly the building has been erected violently without my consent - I have therefore brought this suit.

Under the foregoing circumstances, I humbly request that the Court will be pleased to pass a Decree in my favor ordering the restoration of the ground / valued at about Rs 30 / forming part of that situation within the 4 boundaries above described after removing the masonry building violently built upon it, the payment of 10 Rupees being the Value of 10 Porciastrees cut and misappropriated as also the reconstruction of the Seat built by us at a cost of 20 Rupees but now demolished and misapplied.

Filed on the 10th February 1852

/ True translation /

29th January 1852 } / Signed / C J Bird
Coll.r

No 2

Fort St George 29th April 1852

No 2.

Translation of the Draft of an Answer proposed to be filed by Sashagherry Rajah Government Vakeel in behalf of the 1st Defendant in original suit No 9 of 1852 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's court at Tinnevelly.

The Plaintiff states in the Plaint that on the 15th Chittry of Aundoo 932 all his caste people jointly purchased in the name of deceased Erulum Pundarum from the people of Trichendoor a piece of Ground belonging in common to the latter and situated in the west car running street for Pons 27-1 equal to Rupees 57-7-8- for the purpose of Tirukunchattoo - i-e- offering Cocanuts camphor &c in honor of the Deity called Sooberamania Samy when brought in procession in that street during festival days, that they afterwards raised a contribution among their caste people in every Village and with the money fenced the ground, planted trees therein and also erected a Simmhasana Mandoo or throne like seat for making the offerings therefrom and that the same has been carried into regular practise -

1st

Fort St George 29th April 1852

He / the Plaintiff / adds that while circum-stances stood thus he saw attempts being made to cut down the trees and pull down the seat for the purpose of constructing a Talook cutcherry thereon, and consequently presented a complaint with a Document on the subject to the 1st Defendant who passed an Endorsement thereon stating that the Document produced is not genuine and direct-ed the violent construction of the cutcherry He therefore prays for the recovery of the ground valued at 30 Rupees / after removing the Cutcherry built on it / and also of 10 Rupees being the value of the 10 Pot--cair trees felled and misappropriated and further requests the reconstruction of the above seat built at a cost of 20 Rupees.

The following circumstances will show to the satisfaction of the Court that the ground now occu-pied by the Talook Cutcherry formed part of the site of a Palace belonging to the former Carnatic Rulers of the Country, and therefore that it was neither saleable by the people of Trichandoor nor purchasable from them by the ancestors

Fort St George 29th April 1852

ancestors of the Plaintiff and others and moreover, that the Document produced by the Plaintiff is not genuine that no seat stood on the ground under litigation and that the other allegations contained in the Plaint are entirely false.

As there was no public building erected at the Govern-ment expense for the use of the Pun-chaval Talook Cutcherry an Estimate for the same was, at the suggestion of the 1st Defendant framed by the Civil Engi-neer, which having been sanctioned by Government, the 1st Defendant issued an order to the 2d Defendant furnishing him with a translation of the Estimate and directing to construct a Talook Cutcherry according to the provisions thereof. In obedience to this order foundations were excavated, on the disputed site when one Aurumooga Pundarem son of Manickava-ragum of the Pullers caste

dated 6th Feby 1851.

presented a Complaint on the subject to the 1st Defendant who endorsed it to the 2d Defen-dant for enquiry and report. The 2d Defendant accordingly

accordingly made an enquiry and re-
ported the result to the 1st Defendant
accompanied by Documents, which
plainly showed that the litigated ground
formed part of the site of a Palace of
the ancient Carnatic rulers, did not
belong to the people of the Village and
consequently was never sold by them
to the ancestors of the Plaintiff and
others and also that no one by name
Saravana permal Pillay holding the
designation of Village Curnum / whose
signature is said to be affixed to the
deed of sale produced by the Plaintiff /
lived at the time of the execution of the
pretended deed of sale. Meanwhile the
1st Defendant took up the complaint
presented by the Plaintiff on the 11th Febuary
1851 for investigation, and summoned the
Stalattaur, Shanattaur, and Vellalers of advanced
Resident Brahmin Ryot. Offe Brahmin.
age together with several other inhabitants of
the Village before the Muggoot where an enquiry
was held, the result of which not only support
-ed the 2d Defendant's report but also proved
that the ground which was formerly occupied
by

by Palace of the Carnatic rulers had
remained unoccupied for a length of
time till the present that certain persons
(names unknown) had out of charity
planted certain trees there no affords
shelter, and that no seat stood thereon such
being the case the ground which was
in the immediate occupation of the
former rulers now of course belongs to
the present Government. Moreover
the place in dispute being constantly
of late used to make a Nuisance upon,
was unfit for preparing and taking
meals in, as stated in the Plaint
and further the deed of sale produced
by the Plaintiff does not as it ought
to do, bear the signatures of Stalattar and
Thanattar &c and the grandson of
Arunja permal Carcialer, whose signa-
-ture is said to be affixed to the
deed in question has deposed that
he never heard of his grand father
having sold the ground in dispute.
The 1st Defendant moreover personally
inspected the ground before he made
the Endorsement mentioned in the
Plaint

Plaint; on Plaintiffs Petition and finally passed the necessary orders to the 2d Defendant for the erection of a Masonry Cutcherry which has been completed at a public cost of about Rupees 2,900.

Besides this, people of all classes have Mattums or Inns at Trichendoor separately for the accommodation of Members of their class during Festivals, and the Pullers and Pariahs have 5 such Mattums to lodge in, and it does not appear that the offering called Thirukunchata was customarily made by them from the ground in dispute.

Moreover the deed itself produced by the Plaintiff admits that the disputed ground was the Palace of the Carnatic rulers and purports according to the terms on the face of it to have been executed by Karcialen and others of the Village, whereas it is signed first by Saminada Pillay and Cachiappien Chetty with the word for

"for all" and also by Village Curnum Suravanaperumal Pillay as the Writer of it and then by Ananjaperumal Karcialen. If the litigated ground had been common property as stated in the Plaint then the deed should have borne the signature of the Stalatar and Shanatar of Trichendoor which is not the case nor does it bear the signature of attesting witnesses as is the general practice in the execution of deeds. The Cadjan leaf on which the deed dated so far back as Aundo 932 or 94 years ago is engrossed does not appear to be one of such great age, and this alone is enough to disprove the genuineness of the deed. Besides no Seat was situated on the ground now occupied by the Talook Cutcherry not

5356 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

not has the 1st Defendant directed the 2d Defendant to pull down any seat.

Under these circumstances it will be obvious to the Court that the Plaint is totally false. It is therefore prayed that the Plaintiff may be nonsuited and directed to pay the costs incurred by the Defendant in defending it.

(True Translation)

(Signed) C. J. Bird

Collector.

J.G.B.

5357

B. No. 822.

Proceedings Submitting a letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 29th April 1852 forwarding translation of a Plaint filed against him and the Tahsildar Panchayat Talook in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court, with draft of the proposed answer, and recommending that that Officer be authorized to put in the answer and defend the suit at the Public expence.

53-84

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

No. 155.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

This suit is brought for the recovery of a Piece of Ground valued at Rupees 30 together with 10 Rupees the value of 10 Poreia trees and for the reconstruction of a Simmhasanakoradoo or throne like seat built at a cost of Rupees 20.

The Plaintiff alleges that the Ground in question was jointly purchased by the Puller caste people under a Deed in the name of one Proolen Pundarem, now deceased, from the people of Trichendoor, for Pons 27-8 equal to Rupees 57-7-8 for making offering of Cocoanuts, Camphor &c. on certain festival Days, in honor of a God called Soobramania Sawmy - that a fund was afterwards raised by which the ground was enclosed, trees planted, and a seat constructed - that the 1st Defendant the Collector, upon a Report from the 2d Defendant the Tahsildar that the ground was an

an unoccupied plain, unlawfully took possession of it, and built a Cutcherry thereon, although the Plaintiff complained to the 1st Defendant and produced a document in support of his right to the ground, as the constituted Agent of the Puller people.—

4. In the Draft answer the 1st Defendant proposes to state that the ground now occupied by the Taluk Cutcherry, formed the site of a Palace belonging to the former Carnatic rulers of the country, and was therefore neither saleable by the people of Trichendoor, nor purchaseable from them—that the Document produced is not genuine—that no seat stood on the ground and that the other allegations are entirely false.—

5. The 1st Defendant further states that after issuing orders for the erection of a Cutcherry, and when the foundation were being excavated, he received a Complaint from one Aursomooga Pundarum, son of Manickavasagum of the Puller Caste, and Endorsed it over to the 2d Defendant for enquiry and report

This.

This report shewed that the litigated ground formed as stated above, part of the site of a Palace of the ancient Carnatic rulers—that it did not belong to the people of the village, was never sold by them, and that no one named Sarvanaperumal Pillay, holding the designation of Village Curnums, whose signature is affixed to the deed of sale, lived at the time of the execution of the pretended deed of sale—Upon further investigation made by the 1st Defendant himself, he found that the Ground was unoccupied for a length of time till certain persons out of charity planted trees to afford shelter—that no seat stood thereon that the deed of sale produced did not, as it ought to do, bear the signature of the Stalattaur, Thanattaur &c. of Trichendoor—that it was not attested by witnesses—That the Ground was not sold by an unjaperumal Varadalen, whose signature is said to be affixed to the deed of sale and that the Cadjan leaf dated so far back as amdoo 932 or 94 years since, does not appear to be one of such great age—He prays therefore that the Plaintiff may be non suited, and directed to pay the costs incurred by the Defendant in defending

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

defending it.—

6. The Board consider the proposed answer to constitute a good and sufficient defence, and they recommend that the Collector may be authorized to put it in and defend the suit at the public cost.—

7. The time allowed for filing an answer will expire on the 13th July 1852.—

Read the following letter.—

No. 42.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

55

Bde No. 765

From the Collector of Malabar—

Forwards Copy of Correspondence from Captain Ouchterlony of the Engineers on the subject of Mr. Hutsons Coffee Plantation at Walaghat suggests that the clerical error brought to notice may be altered.—

Para 1. I have the honor to forward the accompanying Communication from Captain Ouchterlony of the Engineers.—

2. In 1847 the Government granted a Mr. Hutson a lease of 600 Acres of land at Walaghat for a Coffee plantation.—

By a clerical error "Cawnies" were substituted for "acres" in the letter which informed Mr. Hutson of the Government intentions.—.

The word "Acre" was duly set forth in

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

in the Pattyom or lease bond.—

3. Mr. Hutson sold one third of the property thus assigned to him to a Mr. Stainbank in London.—.

4. In dividing the Estate Mr. Stainbank wishes to adhere to the words of the letter and not of the Pattyom—In other words to have 200 Cawnies in place of 200 acres.—.

5. I do not think that Mr. Stainbank would be borne out in his demand by law and it may be said that the whole affair is one with which the Government have nothing to do but to save altercation and in view to encourage the reclamation of the wild spot where Mr. Stainbank and Mr. Owen are planting. I beg to request that the Original grant may be altered to Cawnes in place of acres.—.

6. The Board will recollect that I forwarded on the 8th Instant an application from Mr. Owen for 200 Cawnies more in this locality.—

Calicut— 27th March 1852

(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

Enclosure No. 1.—

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Malabar.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward herewith for

5362 Fort St. George 29th April 1852

for your perusal a letter received from Mr. Stainbank of Wallaghaut in the Poondahs and a copy of a Deed relating to the question of this title to a certain tract of land situated in that part of your district.—

2. I do not imagine that Mr. Stainbank's request to be put in possession of 200 Cawnies would be refused by Government since that Gentleman is carrying out the object so much desired of clearing and opening out the country bordering the high road, and of cultivating and improving the land, and I have therefore marked off in the accompanying Plan a quantity about equal to the extent named /Vide Penciled line A, B, C, D. E. F. G. H./ But as the survey has not extended further than the ridge S S S it would not be expedient to assign in a Plan such boundaries as H. A. and A. B. which may hereafter be found impracticable by reason of the peculiar features of the ground. The limits of Estates such as those in the Poondahs should be represented by Nullahs, rivers, ridges or other unmistakeable land marks, or

5363 Fort St. George 29th April 1852

or the greatest confusion may hereafter arise as cultivation increases.—

3. I therefore trust you will be so good as to submit to the Board of Revenue for the consideration of Government.—

1st Whether Cawnies may be assigned to Mr. Stainbank instead of Acres

& 2d Whether the land about Wallaghaut may be surveyed for the purpose of assigning boundaries to Mr. Stainbank's Estate, and also to the residue of the original Grant to Mr. Hutson and Professor Owen.—

/Signed/ J. Ouchterlony
Superintendent Neilgherry Survey.

Camp Sheelecoor,
23d March 1852

Captain Ouchterlony
Superintendent Neilgherry Survey.

Sir,

I beg to write you in reference to the survey of my plantation particularly in its connection with a portion of land granted to Mr. Hutson by Government Mr. Hutson having sold one third portion to C. Stainbank and son of London stating the whole extent of the grant at 600 Cawnies, a sum of £150 was paid him with the understanding that the third share would be

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

be 200 Cawnies - Mr. Conolly has however informed me that the grant is only 600 acres, Cawnies being a Clerks error - Under these circumstances I should be obliged by your measuring and giving me a plan for 200 Cawnies, so that I may be enabled to extend the cultivation of the estate to the extent contemplated at the time of purchase I leave it to your discretion whether this should be done by requesting Government to alter the word acres to Cawnies or measuring off 200 Cawnies independent of the grant being 600 acres or Cawnies -

St. Augustine Estate
8th March 1852 — } (Signed) W. H. Stainbank.

An Agreement made the sixteenth day of May one thousand eight hundred and forty eight, Between Henry Hutson of Neilgherries in the East Indies Esquire now in London of the first part Richard Owen of the College of Surgeons in the city of London of the second part and Christopher Stainbank and Richard Henry Stainbank of Leadenhall Street in the City of London Merchants of the third part -

Whereas the Honorable the East India

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

India Company have agreed to grant a lease to the said Henry Hutson of certain land in the East Indies as appears by a letter from H. V. Conolly then Collector at Calicut in the East Indies of which a copy is subjoined hereto and the said Richard Owen hath become equitably interested in the said Land now in consideration of the sum of one hundred and fifty pounds paid by the said Christopher Stainbank and Richard Henry Stainbank to the said Henry Hutson - The said Henry Heuston and Richard Owen hereby agreed with the said Christopher Stainbank & Richard Henry Stainbank as follows -

1st That they the said Henry Hutson and Richard Owen or one of them will forthwith procure at the joint expense of all parties to this agreement a proper Lease or grant according to the said letter and shall and will immediately thereafter at the like joint expense assign unto the said Christopher Stainbank & Richard Henry Stainbank their heirs executors administrators and assigns such lease or grant as to one equal third part of the uncultivated and uncleared land to be

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

be comprised in such Leases, subject only to the Land Assessment applicable to such one third part when the same shall become payable.—

That such one third part shall be forthwith divided from the residue of the said Land the division to be made by the District Surveyor or other local Officer of the East India Company if the parties hereto shall not agree about the same.—

That the said Henry Hutson and Richard Owen their executors or administrators shall bring one hundred and thirty four Cawnies of the said Land into Cultivation within twelve years and sixty Six Cawnies more within fifteen years from the twenty third day of October One thousand Eight hundred and forty seven so as fully to satisfy the conditions of the said Grant as to two third parts of the said lands and also pay the Land Assessment when and after the same shall become payable in respect of such two third parts, and the said Christopher Stainbank and Richard Henry Stainbank their executors and administrators shall

Fort St. George 29 April 1852

shall bring the remaining sixty six Cawnies into Cultivation within twelve years and thirty four more within fifteen years from the said twenty third day of October one thousand Eight hundred and forty seven so as fully to satisfy the conditions of the said Grant as to the remaining one third part of the said land and also pay the Land Assessment when and after the same shall become payable in respect of such one third part. In witness where of the said parties have hereunto set their hands the day and year first above written.—

Schedule.—

To H Hutson Esqre
Cochin

Sir, I have the honor to inforne you that the Government have authorized the Grant of a Lease to you for 600 Cawnies at Walaghaut on the following conditions that you shall bring 200 Cawnies into cultivation within twelve years and 100 more within fifteen years or failing to do so the land shall at the end of the specified time revert to Government or be subject to a fine of three thousand Rupees at

5368

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

at the choice of the holder, you are to enjoy the land free of all tax for thirty years after which will become liable to the usual land assessment.—

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

Witnesses.
C. Pearsons } College
T. Pearsons } Surgeons

Henry Hutson.
R. Owen.

Pattyom granted by H. V. Conolly Esqre Collector of Malabar to H. Hutson Esqre.—

Whereas you have applied for permission to clear and cultivate as much as is cultivable of 600 acres of the forest of Walaghat surrounding your present House which stands in the place called commonly Walaghat in the Walloowanaad Talook the property of the Government hitherto paying no revenue to Government, you are hereby permitted to clear and cultivate the said Space of Land on the following conditions, that in consideration of the expense you are to incur in clearing and rendering the said space of land fit for cultivation, you are to enjoy an exemption from payment of land tax for (30) thirty years commencing from Fusly 1258 (12th July 1848) to Fusly 1288 (11th July) that

5369

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

that hereafter you are to pay such land tax as may be fixed by Government for land taken up for Coffee Cultivation—you are further to engage to bring not less than 200 acres of land into cultivation within the first 12 years and not less than another 100 acres in the next three years.—

Failing in this first condition the land is to revert to Government or be subject at the end of the 12th year to a fine of 300 Rupees at the option of yourself for the holder.—

Given under my hand and seal of Office at Calicut this 10th day of July A.D. 1848.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

I have not been off the estate since my return and have nothing novel to communicate.—

With many compliments
/Signed/ H. Hutson.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

55.56

Bd No 812

Proceedings thereon.—

The Board do not think that it would be safe to alter the extent contained in the document conveying the original grant to Mr Hation from 600 Acres to 600 Cawnies without

without his consent, they consider that there can be no objection to the Collector placing Mr. Stainbank in possession of the 200 Acres out of the 600 Acres held by Mr. Hutson, and for his allowing Mr. Stainbank a fresh Grant of 64 Acres out of adjoining or surrounding Waste to make up 200 Cawnies the extent which he is desirous of holding.—

Equal to 264 Acres.

2. The Board consider that that the boundaries might be fixed by the Collector without Captain Ouchterlony's assistance, if he has a person qualified for that duty, but that the limits should be represented by the land-marks he adverts to. If Mr. Conolly is unable to depute a person for the survey in question, the Board will be prepared to solicit the permission of Government that Captain Ouchterlony may prepare the same on being informed that it will not interfere with his other duties, and that the area to be surveyed does not much exceed the extent to be made over to Mr. Stainbank.—

3. The plan received with the foregoing letter is herewith returned.—

Read

Read the following letter—

No. 72.

To The President of the Board of Revenue Madras.—

(57)

B. of No. 895

From the Officer commanding the 8th Regiment N.I.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Letter forwarded by Private Heyder Cawn of the Regiment under my Command now on leave of absence for the purpose of prosecuting a suit for the recovery of some land and to request that you will have the goodness to issue directions to the authorities under whom the case may be investigated with a view to the recovery of this property in question

5372. Fort St George 29th April 1852.

question, should the man be enabled
to establish his claim.—

Samulcottah,—
13th April 1852.—

Signed / A. C. Wright Major
Commanding
8th Regiment N. I.

Bd No 988.

Proceedings thereon.—

(57.58)

Ordered that a
Copy of the foregoing letter
together with Petition No
918 of 1852 be forwarded
to the Collector of Chingleput
for early report with
reference to the Board's
endorsement on Petition
No 304 of 1852.—

Resolved.—

5373. Fort St George. 29th April 1852.

Resolved that the following
letter be despatched.

No 149.

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(59)

Sir,

B. No 791
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the monthly accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1851 to 29th February 1852 of Fusly 1261 Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1260.

A

2. Abstract B shews a Net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 808.019-12-1 resulting from a Net Increase of Rupees *511.622-10-8 in the Collections of Land Revenue, and a Net Increase of Rupees 296.397-1-7 in the Collections from Extra sources of Revenue.

Increase in the Collections of arrears	345.316-2-11	
Do in the Collections of Current	166.306-7-7	
	*511.622-10-8	

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources in the several Districts.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
29th April 1852.

Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary
General.

Fort St. George.

A. General Abstract Statement shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue in the several Districts under the Collections of Arrears and Land

		Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase	
		Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Zillah of Ganjam	66630 9 "	520509 13 2	587140 6 2	52899 6 8	498749 13 2	551649 3 10	" "	" "
2	" Vizagapatam	35891 3 5	601570 15 9	637462 3 2	8515 9 8	601398 1 4	609913 11 "	" "	" "
3	" Rajahmundry	82524 9 3	1083954 6 4	1166478 15 7	59025 " 5	1128709 15 10	1187735 " 3	" "	44755 9 6
4	" Masulipatam	191648 " 4	1788333 13 5	3704813 9	145436 4 1	2011119 12 3	3465560 " 4	" "	222864 10
5	" Guntoor (including Palnaud)	15550 15 5	632649 " 1	648199 15 6	40072 " 11	628000 11 5	668072 12 4	24521 1 6	" "
6	" Chingleput	125053 3 2	184156 2 7	309209 5 9	188102 4 2	230464 8 5	418566 12 7	63049 1 "	46308 5 10
7	" Salem (including Balaghaut)	105046 1 11	650423 6 7	755469 8 6	47248 13 10	746327 2 7	793576 " 5	" "	95903 12 "
8	" Madura	182136 11 10	604773 5 8	780910 1 6	249692 8 9	541959 8 "	791652 " 9	67555 12 11	" "
9	" Bellary	47537 10 11	935758 " 1	983296 11 "	56750 13 2	887702 12 3	944453 9 5	9213 2 3	" "
10	Northern Division of Arcot	84724 13 "	771048 12 6	855773 6 6	366238 5 3	911863 3 8	1278101 8 11	281513 11 3	140814 7 2
11	Southern Division of Arcot	103862 3 "	824930 15 5	928793 2 6	141949 5 10	771862 12 "	913802 1 10	" "	" "
12	Tanjore	48941 6 4	1158087 1 11	1207028 8 3	66272 12 6	1013672 4 9	1079945 1 3	17331 6 2	" "
13	Trichinopoly	10058 11 4	835710 13 8	845768 9 "	17257 12 "	854034 14 9	871292 10 9	7202 " 8	18324 1 1
14	Tinnevelly	41614 6 3	844777 10 9	886392 1 "	50513 9 "	889968 " 11	940481 9 11	8899 2 9	45190 6 2
15	Bellary	42315 15 1	1302825 14 7	1345141 13 8	48883 2 4	1264363 13 10	1300247 " 2	6567 3 3	" "
16	Cuddapah	80101 2 1	1038286 2 5	1118387 4 6	137048 12 3	1043118 9 5	1180167 6 8	56947 10 2	2832 7 "
17	Coimbatore	9103 " 8	1060904 12 2	1070007 12 10	17983 7 6	1051246 " 3	1069229 7 9	8880 6 10	" "
18	Canara	17369 15 7	532353 8 2	549723 7 9	17284 9 2	518644 13 10	535929 7 "	" "	" "
19	Malabar	9671 12 7	800973 3 3	810644 15 10	7195 1 "	796073 14 "	803268 15 "	" "	" "
20	Madras — Land Customs	2530 3 1	30046 12 6	32576 15 7	2814 8 4	28810 15 3	31625 8 "	284 6 3	" "
	Toll collected on Cochrane's Canal	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	Hummuh	15384 8 11	361552 13 11	376937 6 10	16786 " 10	526352 4 2	541137 5 "	400 7 11	163799 6 3
	Total	1377694 " 2	14954127 8 11	16331821 9 1	1736969 3 8	15120434 " 6	16857403 4 2	652365 7 11	582214 6 10
	Add all Balances	" " "		44604 6 11			30545 5 4		
	Grand Total			16376325 15 "			16887948 9 6		
	Deduct Collections to the end of January			11673127 3 11			12328813 " 10		
	Collections in February			4703198 11 1			4559135 8 8		

29th April 1852.

Madras Presidency from 12th July 1851 to 29th February 1852 of Fusly 1261.

Current
Revenue -
Comparison -

Total -			Arrears -			Decrease - Current.			Total.			Net Increase.			Net Decrease.		
10			11			12			13			14			15		
"	"	"	13731	2	4	21760	"	"	35491	2	4	"	"	"	35491	2	4
"	"	"	27375	9	9	172	14	5	27548	8	2	"	"	"	27548	8	2
44755	9	6	23499	8	10	"	"	"	23499	8	10	21256	"	8	"	"	"
22288	14	10	40211	12	3	"	"	"	40211	12	3	"	"	"	23925	13	5
24521	1	6	"	"	"	4648	4	8	4648	4	8	19872	12	10	"	"	"
109357	6	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	109357	6	10	"	"	"
95903	12	"	57797	4	1	"	"	"	57797	4	1	38106	7	11	"	"	"
67555	12	11	"	"	"	62813	13	8	62813	13	8	4741	15	3	"	"	"
9213	2	3	"	"	"	48055	3	10	48055	3	10	"	"	"	38842	1	7
422328	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	422328	2	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	21912	13	2	53038	3	5	74991	"	7	"	"	"	74991	"	7
17331	6	2	"	"	"	144414	13	2	144414	13	2	"	"	"	127083	7	"
26526	1	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	26526	1	9	"	"	"
54089	8	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	54089	8	11	"	"	"
6567	3	3	"	"	"	51462	"	9	51462	"	9	"	"	"	44894	13	6
61780	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	61780	1	2	"	"	"
8880	6	10	"	"	"	9658	11	11	9658	11	11	"	"	"	778	5	1
"	"	"	85	6	5	13788	10	4	13794	"	9	"	"	"	13794	"	9
"	"	"	2476	11	7	4899	5	3	7376	"	10	"	"	"	7376	"	10
281	5	3	"	"	"	1235	12	10	1235	12	10	"	"	"	951	7	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
164199	14	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	164199	14	2	"	"	"
1134579	13	9	193090	4	5	415907	14	3	608998	2	8	921258	7	11	395076	12	10
												725410	6		21213	11	1
												928513	2	5	416890	7	11
												416890	7	11	Dt. Decrease.		
												511622	10	6	Net Increase.		

5375-

Fort St. George, 29th April 1852-

Salt.

	Fusly 1260-		Fusly 1261.		Increase-		Decrease-	
	16		17		18		19	
1	112769	15 8	211442	6 u	101672	6 4		
2	89853	11 6	102760	10 6	12906	15 u		
3	95305	u u	121304	u u	25999	u u		
4	216337	2	191398	14 11	u	u u	24938	8 3
5	232626	3 4	184864	u 9	u	u u	47762	2 7
6	155540	10 4	255026	13 2	99186	2 10	u	u u
7	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
8	206957	11 3	225021	14 5	18064	3 2	u	u u
9	293116	13 4	397058	14 u	103942	u 8	u	u u
10	9054	10 4	2761	8 u	u	u u	u	u u
11	200486	12 3	216248	2 3	14761	6 u	6293	2 4
12	338522	12 6	337921	14 4	3399	1 10	u	u u
13	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
14	217724	6 6	233668	6 4	15943	15 10	u	u u
15	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
16	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
17	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
18	227635	6 11	227438	7 6	u	u u	u	u u
19	181715	5 u	177046	15 11	u	u u	196	15 5
20	308290	8 u	169041	u u	u	u u	139249	8 u
21	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	2888237	6 1	3056603	u 1	396475	3 8	229109	9 8
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	2888237	6 1	3056603	u 1	396475	3 8	229109	9 8
							167368	10 u
	2406089	2 9	2502359	9 2				
	482148	3 4	553243	6 11				

	Sayer			
	Fusly 1260-		Fusly 1261-	
	20		21	
1	u	u u	u	u u
2	u	u u	u	u u
3	u	u u	u	u u
4	1605	6 5	1417	10 3
5	2809	5 7	20392	15 1
6	1962	6 7	2136	1 10
7	u	u u	u	u u
8	u	u u	u	u u
9	9531	4 2	350	13 11
10	u	u u	u	u u
11	u	u u	u	u u
12	29200	9 7	35818	9 11
13	13620	12 1	15849	8 4
14	u	u u	u	u u
15	31947	14 4	28006	u 8
16	3835	8 u	3554	4 2
17	u	u u	u	u u
18	u	u u	u	u u
19	11952	1 9	11496	9 8
20	110	4 2	9	2 11
21	u	u u	u	u u
	2884	9 9	3357	3 3
	12674	8 1 9	13261	7 2 6
	10637	2 u u	11156	4 12 5
	1837	6 1 9	2105	2 6 7

Abkarry-

	Increase-		Decrease-		Fusly 1260-		Fusly 1261-		Increase-		Decrease-	
	22		23		24		25		26		27	
	u	u u	u	u u	33667	3 11	30899	11 2	u	u u	2767	8 3
	u	u u	u	u u	31954	1 3	33669	13 4	1715	12 1	u	u u
	u	u u	157	12 2	47419	1 4	53850	2 11	6461	1 7	u	u u
	u	u u	2216	6 6	21692	2 9	24015	1 8	2322	14 11	u	u u
	173	11 3	u	u u	10354	u u	10764	14 u	410	14 u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	37740	u 3	36747	3 11	u	u u	992	12 4
	u	u u	u	u u	48313	3 9	53691	u u	5377	12 3	u	u u
	u	u u	603	u 3	33771	u u	30147	u u	u	u u	3624	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	16303	11 5	17449	5 3	1145	9 10	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	66188	12 u	74058	u u	8869	4 u	u	u u
	6618	u 4	u	u u	40890	11 6	46778	12 11	5888	1 5	u	u u
	2222	12 3	u	u u	67381	u u	68747	8 u	1366	8 u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	14756	3 6	16360	5 3	604	1 9	u	u u
	u	u u	3341	13 8	13210	u u	16647	u u	3437	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	281	3 10	186223	3 1	172155	13 u	u	u u	14067	6 1
	u	u u	u	u u	64059	11 8	68873	2 8	4813	7 u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	40933	2 1	44284	u u	3350	13 11	u	u u
	3013	7 11	u	u u	38107	15 1	42687	12 9	4579	13 8	u	u u
	u	u u	101	1 3	37440	3 1	35791	15 3	u	u u	1648	3 10
	69	13 2	u	u u	34683	10 9	34366	11 11	u	u u	2167	14 10
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	472	9 6	u	u u	40982	1 u	41254	6 3	272	6 3	u	u u
	12570	6 5	6701	5 8	1236226	2 5	1261572	12 3	50615	7 8	25267	13 10
	u	u u	u	u u	1331	3 5	61	11 6	u	u u	1269	7 11
	12570	6 5	6701	5 8	1237556	5 10	1261834	7 9	50615	7 8	26537	5 9
	6701	5 8	D^t Decrease-						26537	5 9	D^t Decrease-	
	5869	u 9	Net Increase						24078	1 11	Net Increase-	
					1060427	13 8	1088077	2 10				
					177128	8 2	173557	4 11				

5376-

Fort St George, 29th April 1852-

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses-

	Fasly 1260-		Fasly 1261-		Increase-		Decrease-		Malurpha- Fasly 1260-		Fasly 1261-	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
1	3,497	10 u	3,244	2 u	u	u u	253	8 u	67,561	2 11	59,928	8
2	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	4,396	u 2	4,359	7 7
3	7,446	6 u	8,424	4 8	977	14 8	u	u u	39,067	7 9	39,821	12 1
4	156	5 7	714	7 1	u	u u	862	14 6	10,241	13 11	7,556	11 u
5	3,437	6 u	1,054	u u	u	u u	2,383	6 u	22,726	8 7	22,818	9 10
6	7,996	15 4	13,281	11 2	5,284	11 10	u	u u	111,913	3 8	132,345	11
7	4,037	6 1	4,959	6 4	922	u 3	u	u u	62,869	8 1	66,465	13 5
8	3,679	11 4	2,216	10 9	u	u u	1,463	u 7	u	u u	u	u u
9	539	3 3	550	8 5	11	u 2	u	u u	14,891	14 6	15,038	13 11
10	660	3 7	3,902	14 7	3,242	11 u	u	u u	10,514	3 4	23,294	4 7
11	5,200	2 3	4,427	1 6	u	u u	773	u 9	21,867	10 10	21,406	15 u
12	20,881	8 4	19,024	1 7	u	u u	1,257	6 9	44,517	4 2	44,110	1 11
13	2,508	4 10	2,373	5 7	u	u u	134	15 3	5,790	u 6	5,393	2 4
14	19,799	8 u	13,049	9 1	u	u u	6,749	14 11	58,265	13 u	59,408	3 1
15	4,751	15 1	4,049	2 1	u	u u	702	13 u	167,917	15 10	158,808	10 6
16	2,301	2 5	3,475	15 5	1,174	13 u	u	u u	110,466	11 6	115,713	6 5
17	1,609	4 u	1,100	u u	u	u u	509	4 u	33,484	1 11	36,417	6 2
18	30,924	11 2	19,233	13 2	u	u u	11,690	14 u	696	7 5	910	9 6
19	21,633	11 8	20,606	10 2	u	u u	1,027	1 6	612,297	4 4	601,441	11 6
20	6,204	9 11	5,886	2 8	u	u u	318	7 3	u	u u	u	u u
	14,810	5 8	16,897	2 7	2,086	12 11	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
21	744	9 5	453	11 3	u	u u	290	14 2	36,849	5 1	45,328	8 u
	164,231	15 11	149,524	7 1	13,699	15 10	28,407	8 8	7,244,521	3 6	7,145,224	1 5
	85	13 6	24	7 14 u	209	2 9	47	2 3	335	3 6	287	2 4
	164,317	13 5	149,772	5 1	13,909	2 7	28,454	10 11	7,244,188	1 u	7,145,611	3 9
					Dr Increase-		13,909	2 7				
					Net Decrease		14,545	8 4				
	14,432	14 11	129,334	u 5								
	19,989	14 6	20,438	4 8					574,624	u 10	607,008	2 4
									150,264	u 2	138,503	1 5

Sea Customs-

	Increase-		Decrease-		Fasly 1260-		Fasly 1261-		Increase-		Decrease-	
	34		35		36		37		38		39-	
	u	u u	764	4 3	2,088	7 6	7,949	7 3	3,860	15 9	u	u u
	u	u u	36	8 7	4,476	15 6	6,396	4 7	1,919	5 1	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	21,536	11 5	21,876	6 2	339	10 9	u	u u
	754	4 4	u	u u	2,085	2 11	3,260	9 3	922	9 10	u	u u
	u	u u	2,085	2 11	3,260	9 3	922	9 10	u	u u	2,337	15 5
	u	u u	u	u u	316	4 11	1,332	4 4	1,015	15 5	u	u u
	92	1 3	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	1,321	2 3	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	3,606	5 4	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	10,815	13 6	16,482	2 5	5,666	4 11	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	10	2 6	184	9 2	174	6 9	u	u u
	146	15 5	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	12,780	1 3	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	460	11 10	22,601	15 6	12,173	6 11	u	u u	10,428	8 7
	u	u u	407	2 3	100,245	13 2	116,360	15 u	9,105	1 10	u	u u
	u	u u	396	14 2	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	1,142	6 1	u	u u	9,564	u 1	14,526	1 4	4,960	13 4	u	u u
	u	u u	9,109	5 4	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	5,246	10 11	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	1,933	4 3	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	214	2 1	u	u u	19,311	12 2	29,986	2 1	10,674	6 11	u	u u
	u	u u	1,085	2 10	14,813	6 9	17,538	5 5	2,724	14 8	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	331,821	1 9	325,133	3 9	u	u u	6,693	14 u
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	8,479	2 11	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	35,710	8 1	14,945	4 2	548,870	5 10	569,851	14 3	40,441	14 5	19,460	6 u
	88	2 10	136	4 u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	35,804	10 11	15,081	8 2	548,870	5 10	569,851	14 3	40,441	14 5	19,460	6 u
	15,081	8 2	Dr Decrease-						19,460	6 u	Dr Decrease-	
	20,723	2 9	Net Increase-						20,981	8 5	Net Increase-	
					155,313	11 4	407,964	1 5				
					9,556	10 6	10,188	12 10				

5377 — Fort St. George. — 29th April 1852.

Tobacco — Stamps — Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue — Net

	Tasly 1260	Tasly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Tasly 1260	Tasly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Tasly 1260	Tasly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
1					8933 " "	9359 12 "	426 12 "		109713 2 "	271887 15 1	1039602 1	37856 "	102174 13 1	
2					10588 " "	10889 8 "	301 8 "		141268 12 5	158075 12 "	1084382	30 8	16806 15	
3					10198 4 "	10033 12 "	435 8 "		222578 4 11	257388 " 1	34967 4	157 12 2	34809 11 2	
4					8638 " "	6747 4 "		1890 12 "	290346 12 3	257247 15 7	2322 14 11	34921 11		32598 12 8
5					4902 12 "	5841 5 "	938 9 "		276325 9 5	228811 3 9	2631 2 11	50145 8		47514 5 8
6					10471 10 6	10133 6 6		338 4 "	223962 8 1	326423 8 8	105793 " 11	1331 " 4	104461 "	
7					14768 " "	16108 12 "	1340 12 "		129978 1 11	141224 15 9	11246 13 10		11246 13 10	
8					20960 14 6	22215 4 6	1288 6 "		277135 " 9	297063 14 "	25618 14 1	5690 " 10	19928 13 3	
9					8659 12 "	10849 8 "	2189 12 "		333521 8 11	441131 5 9	107609 12 10		107609 12 10	
10					17151 10 6	17413 2 6	261 8 "		102669 7 9	121429 13 8	25153 8 3	6293 2 4	18860 5 11	
11					12538 1 "	12379 7 6		158 9 6	332785 14 11	348232 8 "	27207 7 9	11820 14 8	15446 9 1	
12					58013 4 "	44495 4 "		5518 " "	637188 6 3	640099 5 2	16093 7 11	7182 9 "	8910 14 11	
13					12346 3 6	13442 12 "	1096 8 6		35400 12 4	36569 9 2	1700 10 3	531 13 8	1168 12 10	
14					15504 " "	18952 12 "	3448 12 "		306016 13 10	384858 " 6	28932 15 3	10091 12 7	18841 2 8	
15					12424 4 "	40224 " "	27799 12 "		335152 14 "	378991 13 9	27799 12 "	24160 12 3	3638 15 9	
16					17755 4 "	18617 8 "		1137 12 "	194582 13 7	204680 " 6	11234 14 11	1137 12 "	10097 2 11	
17					14519 " "	14321 " "		198 " "	90845 8 "	95122 6 2	5284 2 2	707 4 "	4576 14 2	
18	1729969	3109629 13 "	"	"	340512 3	344725 6	3833016	3857 12 "	530696 5 3	543082 5 2	22339 9 7	16353 9 8	6985 15 11	
19	3053041	3342281 15 7	36717 4	"	36923 "	41209 5 6	4348 2 6		665430 11 3	694088 2 3	43788 5 6	14530 14 6	29257 7 "	
20					6166 8 6	5962 2 "	"	204 6 6			69 13 2	14194 4 7	"	14180 7 5
									666558 10 6	524088 3 1	2086 12 11	"	2086 12 11	
									14810 5 8	16897 2 7	"	6693 14 "	"	6693 14 "
21					898 12 "	1286 8 "	387 12 "		331827 1 9	325133 3 9	"	290 14 2	9320 15 6	
	4785604	6511811 12 7	36717 4 4	3405123	328828 13 "	367502 7 "	48119 6 "	9445 12 "	523694	641080 4 9	9011 13 8			
									6496154 15 "	679307 9 2	634358 2 6	33080 3 8	520230 1 11	228077 9
									1752 4 5	5906 11 10	"	"	"	1155 8 7
	4785604	6511811 12 7	36717 4 4	3405123	328828 13 "	367502 7 "	48119 6 "	9445 12 "	6497907 3 5	6794304 5 "			520230 1 11	229833 " 4
		3405123 Dr Decrease											229833 " 4	Dr Decrease
		33251 8 1 Net Increase.					9445 12 " Dr Decrease						290397 7 7	Net Increase
							38073 10 " Net Increase.							
	4415750	1430325 14			288089 6	3257031 3 "			5243819 10 1	5070377 11				
	724841 0 5	724657 4 3			40139 8 6	42398 10 "			1054087 9 4	1123566 13 1				

5378.

Fort St. George.

29th April 1852.

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue -

Collections of Old Balances -
Land Revenue -

Sayer -

Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67
1 7,50,853 8 2	8,23,537 2 11	1,05,960 2 1	39,276 7 4	66,683 10 9	" " "	21,595 10 4	7,902 7 1	" " "	13,693 3 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2 7,87,301 5 7	7,07,989 7 "	10,843 8 2	27,585 " 9	" " "	10,741 8 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 1,38,905 7 4 6	1,44,512 3 " 4	50,228 8 "	157 12 2	50,066 11 10	" " "	27 10 11	1,288 2 5	1,260 7 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4 6,60,828 10 "	6,04,303 15 11	2,322 14 11	58,847 9 "	" " "	50,524 10 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 9,24,525 8 11	8,96,884 " 1	22,503 15 9	50,145 8 7	" " "	27,641 8 10	825 12 7	466 11 3	" " "	360 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6 5,33,171 13 10	7,40,990 5 3	2,15,149 7 9	1,331 " 4	2,13,818 7 5	" " "	1,157 10 10	1,926 10 10	768 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 8,85,447 10 5	9,34,807 " 2	49,353 5 9	" " "	49,353 5 9	" " "	191 " 6	260 11 8	69 11 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8 10,64,045 2 3	10,88,715 14 9	30,360 13 4	5,690 " 10	24,670 12 6	" " "	11 5 9	103 " 9	157 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9 13,16,817 3 11	13,86,584 15 2	10,769 12 10	38,842 1 7	28,073 11 3	" " "	509 " 7	649 4 2	" " "	219 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10 9,58,342 14 3	13,99,531 6 7	44,481 10 8	6,293 2 4	44,188 8 4	" " "	499 4 2	935 2 8	435 14 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11 13,21,579 1 4	12,62,034 9 10	27,267 7 9	80,811 15 3	" " "	59,544 7 6	3,493 8 1	2,578 14 8	" " "	914 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12 18,44,216 14 6	17,26,044 6 5	10,093 7 11	1,34,266 " "	" " "	118,172 8 1	1,447 7 10	2,392 11 11	945 4 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13 8,81,167 5 4	9,07,862 3 11	27,220 12 "	531 13 5	26,694 14 7	" " "	686 6 7	8 15 6	" " "	677 7 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14 12,52,408 14 10	13,25,339 10 5	63,022 8 2	10,091 12 7	72,930 11 7	" " "	784 3 3	210 7 11	" " "	573 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15 1,72,029 4 11 8	10,79,038 13 11	21,799 12 "	69,055 9 9	" " "	47,255 13 9	391 1 8	398 13 9	7 12 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16 13,12,970 2 1	13,84,847 6 2	73,015 " 1	1,137 12 "	71,877 4 1	" " "	651 7 "	1,064 7 5	412 10 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17 11,00,553 4 10	11,04,351 13 11	5,284 2 2	1,485 9 1	3,798 9 1	" " "	404 1 "	1,214 9 5	810 8 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18 10,85,819 13 "	10,79,011 12 2	22,339 9 7	29,147 10 5	" " "	6,808 " 10	155 13 3	259 1 11	103 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19 14,70,075 11 1	14,97,957 1 3	43,788 5 6	21,900 15 4	21,887 6 2	" " "	1029 7 13 2	5,322 15 "	" " "	4,774 14 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
69,135 10 1	55,031 3 11 1	69 13 2	14,289 11 2 2	" " "	14,282 15 "	730 4 5	1,074 8 10	338 4 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20 14,810 5 8	10,897 2 7	2,086 12 11	" " "	2,086 12 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3,31,827 1 9	3,25,133 3 9	" " "	6,693 14 "	" " "	6,693 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21 4,59,290 12 1	6,32,817 9 9	1,73,811 11 10	290 14 2	1,73,520 13 8	" " "	277 12 "	2,228 14 3	1,951 2 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
72,82,796 8 1	1,23,65,110 13 4	15,56,014 10 4	7,32,480 5 1	12,93,385 11 11	4,70,204 6 8	44,504 5 11	30,545 5 4	7,254 10 6	21,213 11 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
40,256 10 4	31,142 1 2	" " "	" " "	9,951 4 3	22,075 13 5								
22,87,423 2 5	23,08,225 14 6												
				13,03,336 " 2	4,92,280 4 1								
				4,92,280 4 1	D.° Decrease -								
17,10,946 14 "	17,99,510 8 9			8,08,019 12 1	Net Increase -								
57,57,280 4 5	50,82,702 5 9												

5379 — Fort St George.

29th April 1852

Abbary — Sundry small Farms — and Licences — Motupalee

	Fasly 1260			Fasly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Fasly 1260			Fasly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	68			69			70			71			72			73			74			75		
1	577	14	10	61	6	2	"	"	"	516	8	8	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1	"
4	359	1	8	"	54	"	"	"	"	358	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	32	13	10	123	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7	"	"	"	11	9	"	"	"	11	3
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	5	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	103	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	6	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	26	1	8	5	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	394	2	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	394	2	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1331	3	5	61	11	6	"	"	"	1289	7	11	85	13	6	247	14	4	209	2	9	47	2	9

	Fasly 1260			Fasly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	76			77			78			79		
	15	8	6	61	14	2	46	5	8	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	"
	"	"	"	13	10	6	13	10	6	"	"	"
	1	9	2	10	13	"	9	3	10	"	"	"
90	32	11	2	32	9	4	"	"	"	"	1	10
15	8	20	4	7	25	3	2	"	"	"	1	1	5
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	12	9	8	2	"	3	"	"	"	10	9	5
	23	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	"	7
	18	13	11	20	15	1	2	1	2	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	3
103	7	10	98	13	5	39	14	10	"	"	"	58	14	8
	51	10	9	68	8	5	16	13	8	"	"	"
	1	9	7	"	14	1	"	"	"	"	11	6
	8	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	10	9
	6	6	4	1	11	6	"	"	"	4	10	10
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	35	1	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	27	1	9
335	3	5	287	2	4	88	2	10	136	4	"	

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

Tobacco

	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Total Fusly 1260	Total Fusly 1261
	80	81	82	83	84	85
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22,189 12 8	8,025 11 5
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	30 2 11	1,288 2 5
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	359 1 8	13 15 10
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	828 5 9	477 8 3
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,223 3 10	2,081 4 11
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	217 5 1	301 6 10
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12 12 9	163 12 6
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	881 10 3	651 4 5
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	522 4 9	935 2 8
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,520 11 "	2,599 13 9
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,447 7 10	2,392 11 11
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	686 6 7	8 15 5
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	797 1 6	210 7 11
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	489 15 2	542 4 5
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	705 7 9	1,132 9 10
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	405 10 7	1,215 7 6
19	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	164 8 "	259 1 11
20 {	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10,330 5 2	5,529 12 2
20 {	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	730 4 5	1,074 8 10
20 {	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	708 " 8	2,237 14 3
					46,250 10 4	31,142 1 2

Grand Total of Collections

	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease
	86	87	88	89	90	91
1						
2	" " "	14,164 1 3	779,043 4 10	831,562 14 4	52,519 9 6	" " "
3	" " "	" " "	778,730 15 7	767,989 7 "	" " "	10,741 8 7
4	1257 15 6	" " "	1,389,087 7 5	1,446,411 2 9	57,323 11 4	" " "
5	" " "	345 1 10	661,187 11 8	604,317 15 9	" " "	56,869 11 11
6	" " "	350 13 6	925,353 14 8	897,361 8 4	" " "	27,992 6 4
7	858 1 1	" " "	534,395 1 8	749,071 10 2	214,676 8 6	" " "
8	84 1 9	" " "	885,664 15 6	935,102 7 "	49,437 7 6	" " "
9	150 15 9	" " "	1,064,057 15 "	1,088,879 11 3	24,821 12 3	" " "
10	" " "	230 5 10	1,317,698 14 2	1,386,236 3 7	68,537 5 5	" " "
11	412 13 11	" " "	958,865 3 "	1,400,466 9 3	441,601 6 3	" " "
12	" " "	920 13 3	1,325,099 12 4	1,264,634 7 7	" " "	60,465 4 9
13	945 4 1	" " "	1,845,664 6 4	1,728,437 2 4	" " "	117,227 4 "
14	" " "	677 7 2	881,853 11 11	907,871 3 4	26,017 7 5	" " "
15	" " "	586 9 7	1,253,206 " 4	1,325,550 2 4	72,344 2 "	" " "
16	52 5 3	" " "	1,720,784 10 10	1,679,581 2 4	" " "	41,203 8 6
17	427 2 1	" " "	1,313,675 9 10	1,385,980 " "	72,304 6 2	" " "
18	809 12 11	" " "	1,160,958 15 5	1,165,567 5 5	4,608 6 "	" " "
19	94 9 11	" " "	1,085,984 5 "	1,079,270 14 1	" " "	6,713 6 11
20 {	" " "	4,800 9 "	1,486,406 " 3	1,503,486 13 5	17,080 13 2	" " "
20 {	338 4 5	" " "	699,871 14 6	557,388 3 11	"	142,483 10 7
20 {	" " "	" " "	14,810 5 8	16,897 2 7	2,086 12 11	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	331,827 1 9	325,133 3 9	" " "	6,693 14 "
	1,529 13 7	" " "	460,004 12 9	635,055 8 "	175,050 11 3	" " "
	6,961 4 3	22,075 13 5	22,874,233 2 5	23,682,252 14 6	1,278,410 7 8	470,390 11 7
					470,390 11 7	Dr. Decrease
					808,019 12 1	Net Increase

Fort St. George

29. April 1852

Particulars of Balances
Arrears of Land Revenue July 1360 and Balances of Land Revenue

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing			Balance under 15 years standing			Total			Jauary Montahs			Menors		
	92			93			94			95.			96		
1	23	15	4	42,490	9	3	42,514	8	7	24,452	12	6	272	1	8
2	"	"	"	125	3	9	125	3	9	11,955	10	8	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	70,44	11	11	70,44	11	11	4,270	1	3	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	467	3	7	467	3	7	12,45,779	12	2	130,133	11	9
5	159,452	8	5	514,260	8	"	673,713	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	6,105	15	10	6,105	15	10	701	10	9	945	12	3
7	"	"	"	14,220	10	6	14,220	10	6	10036	5	1	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	104	15	5	104	15	5	7,305	10	"	"	"	"
9	592	7	1	29,290	1	5	29,882	8	6	1586	3	2	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	270	10	7	270	10	7	20,833	8	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	38,286	1	11	38,286	1	11	177	6	11	"	"	"
12	14,322	7	11	1,28,851	12	1	14,3174	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"			31,033	15	5	31,033	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	5,416	6	9	11,630	10	2	17,047	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	5,211	11	11	5,211	11	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	5,205	13	8	5,215	13	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	7,202	"	5	7,202	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	263	10	11	263	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	7,541	2	7	7,541	2	7	15,000	"	11	"	"	"
20	196	6	9	20,876	7	1	21,072	13	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	23,781	14	"	23,781	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1,800,04	4	3	957,265	14	5	1137,270	2	8	134,2599	1	5	131,351	9	8

Balances
Balances of prior date in train of liquidation permanently settled

Land Revenue not permanently settled

	Sequestered			Total			Rented			Aumany			Total Land Revenue		
	97.			98			99			100			101		
1				24,724	14	2	"	"	"	4,687	3	2	29,412	1	4
2	"	"	"	27,460	1	10	"	"	"	16,027	"	"	43,487	1	10
3	15,504	7	2	4,270	1	3	"	"	"	21995	13	8	26,265	14	11
4	"	"	"	23,39,635	2	11	341	9	"	39,4126	6	10	2734,102	10	6
5	9,63,721	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	79,445	7	2	79,445	7	2
6	"	"	"	1647	7	"	"	"	"	8121	9	"	9768	7	9
7	"	"	"	10036	5	1	"	"	"	5003	6	7	15039	11	8
8	"	"	"	27,265	1	7	"	"	"	609	4	1	27874	5	8
9	19,459	7	7	1586	3	2	"	"	"	184,797	12	6	186384	1	8
10	"	"	"	20,833	8	"	"	"	"	2138	9	11	22972	1	11
11	"	"	"	177	6	11	"	"	"	2815	3	7	2992	10	6
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	23,804	7	1	23,804	7	1
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2917	1	10	2917	1	10
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1647	6	4	2389	14	10
15	742	8	7	742	8	7	"	"	"	8568	10	8	8568	10	8
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15,649	6	10	15,649	6	10
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4251	12	4	4251	12	4
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	933	13	5	933	13	5
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	923	7	8	16,004	15	2
20	"	"	"	15,000	"	11	61	6	7	6,793	15	9	6,793	15	9
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11,862	13	7	11,862	13	7
	999,428	2	4	247,337	13	5	402	7	4	7,97,140	5	9	32,70,921	13	6

5382 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Balance of Current Demands of July 1851

	Salt	Sayer	Abkarry	Sundry small Farms and Licences	Extra Revenue: Moturpha	Extra Revenue: Sea Customs	Tobacco	Stamps	Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109	Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Total
	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
1	" " "	" " "	238 3 "	59 4 "	6 5 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	29,715 14 2	49,661 10 "	16,575 9 "	66,237 3 "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	29 7 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	43,516 9 9	28,808 13 3	1,296 15 4	30,105 12 7
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 8 "	70 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	26,340 10 11	67,212 10 11	105 " "	67,317 10 11
4	" " "	" " "	1753 10 9	27 7 1	143 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27,627 3 4	81,130 7 8	1,363 13 6	82,494 5 2
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	50 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	79,196 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	535 8 11	344 6 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	10,648 7 4	33,905 4 3	19,689 " 8	53,594 4 11
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	33 12 8	136 11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,210 4 2	61,440 3 8	2,342 " "	63,782 3 8
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 12 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27,884 2 6	70,168 2 1	3,409 8 "	73,577 10 1
9	" " "	" " "	1065 1 "	" " "	80 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	18,529 15 3	17,700 4 8	925 15 5	18,626 4 1
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	72 " 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	23,044 2 "	5,308 " "	34,140 " "	39,448 " "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,005 12 4	3,212 5 7	8,462 3 6	11,674 9 1
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23,804 7 1	173,387 12 1	11,657 8 "	134,945 4 1
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	29 17 1 10	944 " "	90 " "	1,034 " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 " 3	12 6 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,408 5 3	29,079 10 9	" " "	29,079 10 9
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "	838 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,416 10 8	" " "	1,084 11 "	1,084 11 "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	16 10 "	594 4 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	16,260 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	428 7 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,680 3 7	" " "	3,035 " "	3,035 " "
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 6 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	943 4 1	433,912 5 2	28,741 " 1	4,62,643 5 3
19	" " "	" " "	13 10 6	231 2 6	84 10 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	16,534 6 9	12,709 2 5	12,62 13 4	13,971 15 9
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,793 15 9	21,105 1 "	14,95 4 2	22,900 5 2
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	327 9 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,190 6 11	" " "	924 9 8	924 9 8
	" " "	" " "	3,070 9 3	1,134 2 3	3,242 4 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	32,78,368 10 11	10,89,875 13 6	136,600 15 8	12,26,476 13 2

5383

Fort St. George 29th April 1852

Remission

	Total Columns 110 and 113			Total Balance Columns 94 and 114			Of recent Arrears			Of old Balance			Total		
	114			115			116			117			118		
1	95,953	1	2	138,467	9	9	"	"	"	94,793	15	2	94,793	15	2
2	73,622	6	4	73,747	10	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	93,658	5	10	163,703	1	9	"	"	"	12	2	"	12	2	"
4	28,18,521	8	6	28,18,988	12	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	79,496	5	6	753,209	5	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	64,242	12	3	70,348	12	1	225	10	3	118	15	6	344	9	9
7	78,992	7	10	93,213	2	4	520	2	6	43	3	1	563	5	7
8	10,12,61	12	7	10,1,566	12	"	"	"	"	112	9	3	112	9	3
9	2,06,156	3	4	2,36,038	11	10	847	1	"	"	"	"	847	1	"
10	62,492	2	"	62,762	12	7	5,000	"	"	68	"	9	5,168	"	9
11	14,680	5	5	52,966	7	4	"	"	"	19	8	7	19	8	7
12	2,08,747	11	2	3,51,923	15	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	39,51	1	10	34,985	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	31,488	"	"	48,535	"	11	"	"	"	3,106	2	7	3,106	2	7
15	10,501	5	8	15,713	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	16,260	5	9	21,466	3	5	"	"	"	1635	"	5	1635	"	5
17	7,715	3	7	11,917	4	"	"	"	"	12	5	7	12	5	7
18	4,63,586	9	4	4,63,850	4	3	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"
19	30,506	6	6	38,047	9	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	29,694	4	11	50,767	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	13,115	"	7	36,896	14	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4,50,4,845	8	1	56,2,115	10	9	6,592	13	9	99,922	14	11	106,515	12	8

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th April 1852

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

5384

B. Comparative abstract of the Collections of Land Revenue for the Fasli 1200 and 1201 up to 29th February 1852.

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Fasli 1200 — Fasli 1201

Districts	Land Revenue	Sayer	Abkary	Salt	Opium	Customs	Tobacco	Stamps	Total of the Five Branches of Revenue	Grand Total of Land and Five Branches of Revenue	Deduct Batta	Portentage	Collections to the end of January	Collections in February
Ganjam	10,37,425 15 "	1,13,908 9 "	1,40,543 8 "	1,24,788 1 "	1,23,556 5 10	1,64,317 13 5	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,907 3 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5
Vizagapatam	29,85,237 6 1	1,20,745 1 9	1,32,617 2 "	1,24,788 1 "	1,23,556 5 10	1,64,317 13 5	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5
Rajahmundry	30,55,863 " "	1,32,617 2 "	1,24,788 1 "	1,23,556 5 10	1,64,317 13 5	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5	
Masulipatam	1,24,788 1 "	1,23,556 5 10	1,64,317 13 5	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5			
Ceded Districts	1,23,556 5 10	1,64,317 13 5	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5				
Nellore	1,64,317 13 5	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5					
Carnatic	29,852 6 1	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5						
Salem	32,852 13 "	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5							
Coimbatore	6,49,304 5 5	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5								
Madura	22,87,333 2 5	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5									
Tinnevelly	23,82,252 14 "	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5										
Malabar	1,99,355 8 "	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5											
Canara	17,10,946 14 "	5,71,950 4 5												
	5,71,950 4 5													

Land Revenue ____
Salt ____
Sayer ____
Abkary ____
Opium & Licenses ____
Customs ____
Tobacco ____
Stamps ____

Total of the Five Branches of Revenue ____
Grand Total of Land and Five Branches of Revenue ____
Deduct Batta ____
Portentage ____
Collections to the end of January ____
Collections in February ____

Examined
Accountant

Examined and Checked
Assistant

Examined and Checked
Assistant

Examined and Checked
Assist

5385 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

A Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 29th February 1852.

	Land Revenue. Increase	Land Revenue. Decrease	Extra Sources of Revenue. Increase	Extra Sources of Revenue. Decrease
	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
Ganjam	" " "	49184 5 7	101703 15 1	" " "
Vizagapatam	" " "	27548 8 2	10800 15 7	" " "
Rajahmundry	23516 8 2	" " "	31807 3 2	" " "
Masulipatam	" " "	23925 13 5	" " "	32943 14 6
Guntoor	19512 11 6	" " "	" " "	47505 1 10
Chingleput	111125 6 10	" " "	" " "	38176 3 1
Salem	" " "	104551 1 8	" " "	4893 10 3
Madura	" " "	11261 4 5	" " "	19928 2 "
Nellore	" " "	39061 14 "	17599 3 5	" " "
Northern Division of Arcot	4,22,364 " "	" " "	18837 5 4	" " "
Southern Division of Arcot	" " "	75905 10 "	15440 6 3	" " "
Tanjore	" " "	126138 7 11	8910 11 11	" " "
Trichinopoly	24,548 10 7	" " "	1108 12 10	" " "
Tinnevelly	5,35,15 13 7	" " "	18828 4 5	" " "
Bellary	" " "	44887 1 5	3683 8 11	" " "
Cuddapah	62192 11 7	" " "	1011 10 7	" " "
Coimbatore	32 3 4	" " "	4576 2 8	" " "
Canara	" " "	13690 12 1	6977 5 2	" " "
Malabar	" " "	12150 15 "	29231 12 2	" " "
Madras — Land Customs	" " "	613 3 2	" " "	141870 7 5
Madras — Toll collected in Buckhams canal	" " "	" " "	20850 12 11	" " "
Madras — Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	4693 11 "
Hurnool	1,58,751 " 5	" " "	8899 10 10	" " "
Total	92,4729 " 3	413106 3 9	5,25,410 7 4	229013 5 9
	413106 3 9 Decrease		229013 5 9 Net Decrease	
	5,11,622 10 6 Net Increase		296397 1 7 Net Increase	

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Received

5386 Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched—

(60)

B. No. 804

To The Collector of Nellore

Gov. No. 436 of 1852
Bd. No. 310 of 1852

Vide Encl. in Pet. No. 844 of 1851

Sir,

I am directed to forward for early report the enclosed petition from Madar Bee laying claim to the Enam shares of her late brother-in-law Ferjaan ali Shah—

2 The case having been before you for 12 months the delay in its disposal will, it is trusted be satisfactorily accounted for—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th April 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

(61)

B. No. 805

To The Coll. of Trichinopoly
876 of 1852.

Sir,

In forwarding the accompanying Petition and with reference to your Endorsement dated March 22d 1852. the Board desire me to ask whether Petitioner might not be recommended for a pension.—

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
29th April 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

To The Collector of Madras.—

(62)

B. No. 815

To The Coll. of Madras.

Sir,

The Board of Revenue desire me to transmit

No 832 of 1852
" 887 of do

transmit the enclosed petitions and to request that you will be pleased to submit a report with reference to the allegations therein set forth.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
29th April 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the undermentioned Lists.

63
No 980
From the Collector of Nellore. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered on 31st March last.

64
No 979
From the Collector of Nellore. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered on 31st March last.

65
No 978
From the Collector of Coimbatore. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered on 31st March last.

66
No 977
From the Collector of Coimbatore. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered on 31st March last.

63-67
Order on the 4 above lists. Ordered to be recorded.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

68
Mg No 420
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Stating that no revenue defaulters were confined or released for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851.

69
Mg No 422.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st March 1852.

70
Mg No 418
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of alterations that have

71
Mg No 414
From the Collector of Ganjam. have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st March 1852.

72
Mg No 416
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last

73
Mg No 417
From the Collector of Guntoor. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last

74
Mg No 423
From the Collector of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

75
Mg No 429
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

76
Mg No 427.
From the Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

77
Mg No 425
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of March last.

78
Mg No 415
From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last

79
Mg No 417
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

80
Mg No 413
From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

81
Mg No 424
From the Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of March last.

From

that the one could form any ground for the order of 1st May 1851. In the case before the House of Lords the party permitted to prosecute the appeal stood in the same relation to the property as the party demised - He was the sole and immediate heir and there were no opposing and conflicting claims - His claim too was precisely of the same quality as that of the Original appellant -

16. In the appeal No. 7 of 1849. before this Court the several parties are not and cannot all be the immediate heir. Further the Original appellant claimed as the widow - These appellants assert their titles on different and distinct grounds and Maintain the one against the others, their priority of title as heirs to the property - The circumstances of the present case would appear therefore to differ materially from the case cited in the order of 1st May 1851, even if that case were a legitimate rule or guide to this Court, which it is not -

17. Lastly the Court entertain the greatest doubt if the ends of Justice would be furthered, and the interests of parties advanced

x Osborne versus Bisher & Pomeroy and others -

advanced were the Judges to proceed at once to a Judgment in this appeal - The result they apprehend would only be to involve the several appellants in still greater Costs and delay and to heavy expenses before H. M. Privy Council to which such Judgment would be carried up in appeal and whence the suit might be again remanded and the parties required to bring their claims to a regular hearing before the proper and competent Tribunal in the form and mode of procedure prescribed by the Regulations -

18. The Judges are of opinion therefore that they best consult the interests of all parties, and forward the ends of Justice by the course they now

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

now pursued and the appeal No. 7 of 1849 is therefore now struck off the file of the Sudr Adalut having abated on the death of the Pauper appellant Angamoottoo Nauchear.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be furnished to the Petitioners' Vakeels on their application.

Ordered also that Extract be sent to the Civil Judge of Madura for his information.

(36.37)

B. No. 730

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter and of its enclosure be communicated to the Collector of Madura for his information.

Fort St. George 22d April 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

No. 120.

To. The Chief Secretary to Government Fort St. George.

(38)

B. No. 737

To. The Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

With reference to the endorsement of the Deputy Secretary to Government, on Petitions Nos 311 and 532 of 1852 from Moohideen Beebee Saibah wherein the Board are called upon to report whether Petitioner is entitled to share in the allowance granted by Government to her brother in lieu of the Enam formerly held by their Father, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to point out that in the report of the lapse of that Enam Petitioner was stated to be the married daughter of deceased and did not claim

† Board's Proc. No. 2/1538 dated 21st June 1851

From Salem 4th inclosure 30th June 1851

Fort St George 22d April 1852

to participate, but claimed in her own right to succeed to the Cwans instead of her brother who it is stated had been turned out of his father's family.

2 It having been usual in such cases to register the succession in the name of the next male heir, and to let the other members of the family look to him as head of the family for maintenance, this principle was observed in proposing the Petitioner's brother as the party to whom the allowance should be continued and the brother being the elder the Board do not think that the arrangement should be disturbed especially as the allowance is to lapse at his death.

Foot Notes 344 to C.O. Book Page 29.

3 They consider that it will be sufficient

Fort St George 22d April 1852

sufficient if Petitioner is desired to look to her brother for maintenance.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d April 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

No. 143.

(39)

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

B°. N°. 741. Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Return of the Sales of Salt for the Quarter ending 31st January 1852 prepared according to the order from the Government of India dated 17th May received with the Contract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 7th June 1845.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d April 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Statements

5389

Fort St. George 29th April 1852.

82
Mf. Nr. 430
From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Statement shewing the average
83 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for
Mf. Nr. 428 the Month of March last —
From the Collector of Madura — Statement shewing the average
84 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for
Mf. Nr. 425 the Month of March last —
From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement shewing the average
85 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for
Mf. Nr. 431 the Month of March last —
From the Coll.r of South Arcot — Reporting that certain returns
86 were not forwarded for the Months of January
Mf. Nr. 421 and February last —
From the Coll.r of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity
87 of Salt in store and ready for Exportation on
Mf. Nr. 419 account of Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 upto 8th April 1852 —
From the Coll.r of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of
88 Salt in store and ready for Exportation on account of
Mf. Nr. 448 Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 upto 8th April 1852 —
From the Coll.r of Madras — Requesting to be furnished
88.89 with a further supply of 1500 Salt Powannahs —
Order thereon Ordered to be supplied accordingly —

J. Wodehouse

H. Blanc

Comp.d J. C. Boyle