612858

135

1

Fort St. George

…nd and the Assessment fixed

…sly 1257

…duct …cess …ent 2d …ps …and 5		Collection on account of one Crop 6		Average rate of Teerwa for Cawney on Lands in Col. 3 and amount in Col. 6 7	
…	at	Page	at	Page	at
		1105	8 3/4		6 3/4
02	9 1/8	2,595	8	1	7 3/4
61	6 1/4	143	14 3/8	2	4 3/4
3	15 5/8	2,739	6 3/8	1	8 1/4
"	"	91	6	2	1/4
"		16	2 1/2	2	4 1/8
	2 1/2	481	10 3/4	"	15 1/8
02	11 5/8	3,184	14 1/4	1	6 1/8
61	6 1/4	143	14 3/8	2	4 3/4
764	1 7/8	3,328	12 5/8	1	6 1/2

11

Ave

Fort St. George

… Fusly 1257

…duct …of …nt …a Crop …nd	Collection on account of one Crop. 6		Average rate of Teerwa per Cawney on Lands in Col. 3 and amount in Col. 6 7	
at	Page	at	Page	at
"	1,824	14 3/4	"	6 5/8
11 5/8	5,519	8 3/8	1	3 7/8
12 1/2	144	10 3/4	2	4 3/4
8 1/8	5,664	3 1/8	1	4
8 1/8	7,489	1 7/8	"	"
"	315	8	"	"
8 1/8	7,804	9 7/8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"

1st St. George

Fusly 1257.

et p t Crop d.	Collection on account of one Crop		Average rate of Teerwah per Cawney on Lands in Cols 3 and amount in Col 6	
	6		7	
as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"

11th September 1851

Average rate of Teerwah per Cawney in Fusly 1210.		Average rate of Teerwah per Cawney on the Produce of 23 Years.		Assessment of Sirtarundars.							
				Per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment.		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.		Total.	
8		9		10		11		12		13	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

12034.

Fort St. George 11th September 1851.

Assessment of Hoozoor Cutcherry Servants.								Proposal of the Ryots.								Settlement by the Collector.							
Per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment.		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop.		Total.		Per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment.		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop.		Total.		Per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment.		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop.		Total.	
14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25	
Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa	Pags	fa
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4 5/8	22	12 1/4	"	"	22	12 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4 1/2	61	4 5/8	"	"	61	4 5/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7/8	33	14 5/8	"	"	33	14 5/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	3	95	3 1/4	"	"	95	3 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	117	15 1/2	"	"	117	15 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7 7/8	17	1 7/8	"	"	17	1 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	2 3/4	10	8 1/2	"	"	10	8 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13 1/4	4	8 7/8	"	"	4	8 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	3/4	15	1 3/8	"	"	15	1 3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	32	3 1/4	"	"	32	3 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9 3/8	89	14 3/4	"	"	89	14 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	9 3/4	553	3 1/4	"	"	553	3 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	13 1/4	19	4 5/8	9	10 1/4	28	14 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10 1/8	572	7 7/8	9	10 1/4	582	2 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	662	6 5/8	9	10 1/4	672	7/8

12039.

Fort St. George 11th September 1851.

Assessment of Hoozoor Cutcherry servants.								Proposal of the Ryots								Settlement by the Collector.							
Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop		Total		Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop		Total		Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop		Total	
14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	15½	48	12⅜	"	"	48	12⅜
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	13	"	9⅞	"	4⅞	"	14¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	15⅝	49	6¼	"	4⅞	49	11⅛
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10	12	5¾	"	"	12	5¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14¼	61	2⅛	"	"	61	2⅛
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	13	"	9⅞	"	4⅞	"	14¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14⅜	61	12	"	4⅞	62	⅞
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6	2	15⅞	"	"	2	15⅞
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	2	2	8⅝	"	"	2	8⅝
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	10	"	"	"	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10⅛	6	2½	"	"	6	2½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	12	"	"	"	12
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	4	"	"	"	4	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12	2	⅝	"	"	2	⅝
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	5⅛	"	13	"	"	"	13
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	3	6	15⅝	"	"	6	13⅝
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	9⅝	-	"	7	9⅝

ort St. George

11th September 1851

Fusly 1257										Assessment of Sirkarunders.						
t : t on of l.	Collection on account of one Crop.		Average rate of Teerwah per Cawny on Lands in Col: 3 and Amount in Col: 6.		Average rate of Teerwah per Cawny in Fusly 1210.		Average rate of Teerwah per Cawny on the Produce of 23 Years.		Per Cawny.		Amount of Assessment.		Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop.		Total.	
	6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13	
as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

12041 Fort St. George 11th September 1851.

Assessment of Hoozoor Cutchery servants.								Proposal of the Ryots								Settlement by the Collector							
Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop		Total		Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		additional Teerwa for 2 Crop		Total		Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		additional Teerwa for 2 Crop		Total	
14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	6	〃	12	〃	〃	〃	12
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	1	12 3/8	74	2 1/4	〃	〃	74	2 1/4
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	2	13	〃	9 7/8	〃	4 7/8	〃	14 3/4
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	1	12 3/8	74	12 1/8	〃	4 7/8	75	1
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	75	8 1/8	〃	4 7/8	75	13
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	8	〃	14 1/8	〃	〃	〃	14 1/8
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	1	9	5	6 1/2	〃	〃	5	6 1/2
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	6	4 5/8	〃	〃	6	4 5/8
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	9 3/8	91	8 7/8	〃	〃	91	8 7/8
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	1	10	632	12	〃	〃	632	12
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	2	13 1/4	19	14 1/2	9	15 1/8	29	13 5/8
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	1	10 3/8	652	10 1/2	9	15 1/8	662	9 5/8
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	744	3 3/8	9	15 1/8	754	2 1/2
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	13,527	6 7/8	100	9 3/8	13,628	1/4
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	13,527	6 7/8	100	9 3/8	13,628	1/4

Chingleput District Collector's Cutchery Ooroor
11th November 1850

J.G.B.

E. E. Fr (Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Abstract

12041. Fort St George

Assessment of Hoozoor Cutcherry Servants. Proposal of the

Per Cawney- 14		Amount of Assessment- 15		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop. 16		Total- 17		Per Cawney. 18		Amount of Assessment- 19	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry Ooroor
11th November 1850

J.G.R.

11th September 1851.

Ryots. Settlement by the Collector

Ryots. additional Teerwa for 2 Crop- 20		Total- 21		Per Cawney- 22		Amount of assessment- 23		additional Teerwa for 2 Crop- 24		Total- 25	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	6	"	12	"	"	"	12
"	"	"	"	1	12 3/8	74	2 1/4	"	"	74	2 1/4
"	"	"	"	2	13	"	9 7/8	"	4 7/8	"	14 3/4
"	"	"	"	1	12 3/8	74	12 1/8	"	4 7/8	75	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	75	8 1/8	"	4 7/8	75	13
"	"	"	"	"	8	"	14 1/8	"	"	"	14 1/8
"	"	"	"	1	9	5	6 1/2	"	"	5	6 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	6	4 5/8	"	"	6	4 5/8
"	"	"	"	"	9 3/8	91	8 7/8	"	"	91	8 7/8
"	"	"	"	1	10	632	12	"	"	632	12
"	"	"	"	2	13 1/4	19	14 1/2	9	15 1/8	29	13 5/8
"	"	"	"	1	10 3/8	652	10 1/2	9	15 1/8	662	9 5/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	744	3 3/8	9	15 1/8	754	2 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	13,527	6 7/8	100	9 3/8	13,628	1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	13,527	6 7/8	100	9 3/8	13,628	1/4

E. E. Fr (Signed) A. Freese
Collector-

Abstract

12048

Nunjah (Cont.d)

No. 3 Picotas from Wells

1st. Crop.						2d. Crop										Total							
Extent of Land.		Amount of Produce.		Average produce P.r Cawney		Extent of Land.		Total amount of Produce.		Deduct produce of 2d. Crop.		Remaining produce of 1st. Crop		Average produce P.r Cawney.		Extent of Land.		Total amount of Produce.		Amount of 1 Crop produce after deducting that of 2d. Crop		Average produce P.r Cawney.	
18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25		26		27		28		29	
Cs.	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Cs.	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Cs.	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
33	4	83	15 1/4	2	8 3/8	3	"	9	10 7/8	6	1 1/2	3	8 7/8	1	2 7/8	36	4	93	9 5/8	87	8 1/8	2	6 5/8
33	4	69	1 1/8	2	1 1/4	4	10	13	3/8	6	1 1/4	6	15 5/8	1	8	37	14	82	1 1/2	76	1/4	2	1/8
28	1 1/2	58	1 1/2	1	8 3/8	5	"	13	3 1/2	5	12	7	7 1/2	1	7 7/8	43	1 1/2	71	5	65	9	1	8 3/8
40	15 3/8	62	5 1/8	1	8 1/4	9	6 1/2	27	2 3/4	14	6 5/8	12	12 1/8	1	5 5/8	50	5 7/8	89	7 7/8	75	1 1/4	1	7 7/8
36	2	73	6	1	8	6	"	25	7 7/8	14	14	10	9 7/8	1	12 1/4	42	2	98	13 7/8	83	15 7/8	1	15 7/8
37	4	75	11 1/4	2	1/2	9	1 1/8	26	5/8	9	10 1/4	16	6 3/8	1	12 7/8	46	5 1/8	101	11 7/8	92	1 5/8	1	15 7/8
601	10 3/8	1,179	8 1/2	"	"	83	11 5/8	271	13 3/8	129	1/4	142	12 1/8	"	"	685	6	1,451	4 7/8	1,322	4 5/8	"	"
3	"	25	5 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	25	5 3/4	25	5 1/4	"	"
598	10 3/8	1,154	2 3/4	"	"	83	11 5/8	271	12 3/8	129	1/4	142	12 1/8	"	"	682	6	1,425	15 1/8	1,296	14 7/8	"	"
34	7 3/8	65	10 1/2	"	"	13	4 3/8	46	9 3/4	21	10 1/8	24	15 3/4	"	"	41	15 3/8	92	9 1/8	78	11 5/8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
34	7 3/8	65	10 1/2	"	"	13	4 3/8	46	9 3/4	21	10 1/8	24	15 3/4	"	"	41	15 3/8	92	9 1/8	78	11 5/8	"	"
1	12 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
32	11 1/8	65	10 1/2	2	1/8	13	4 3/8	46	9 3/4	21	10 1/8	24	15 3/4	1	14 7/8	40	3 1/8	92	9 1/8	78	11 5/8	1	15 3/8

12051

Villages Cultivation (Contd.)

Punjey								Circar Nunjey			
Beriz		Average per Cawney		Nagadiat Collection		Total		Amount of Beriz		Average &c per Cawney	
56		57		58		59		60		61	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
944	4	"	"	206	9	5,027	1	3,649	3 1/8	"	"
965	7 3/4	"	"	372	14	4,788	7 1/4	3,643	11 3/8	"	"
822	14 1/2	"	"	423	8 1/4	3,829	9 5/8	3,713	7	"	"
763	13 3/8	"	"	262	7 3/4	4,160	9 1/2	3,593	6 5/8	"	"
506	9 1/8	"	"	885	12 1/8	4,853	8 1/8	3,573	8 1/8	"	"
911	14 3/8	"	"	289	9 5/8	6,455	4 1/4	3,477	11 1/8	"	"
10,235	10 7/8	"	"	4,147	10 1/4	79,344	1/8	88,450	12 3/8	"	"
4,186	12 5/8	"	"	1,053	13 1/8	11,427	12	35,606	4 1/2	"	"
6,048	14 1/4	"	"	3,093	13 1/8	67,916	4 1/8	52,844	7 7/8	"	"
818	8 1/2	"	"	267	6 3/4	5,242	12 3/8	3,595	12 1/8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
818	8 1/2	"	"	267	6 3/4	5,242	12 3/8	3,595	12 1/8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
818	8 1/2	"	9 1/4	267	6 3/4	5,242	12 3/8	3,595	12 1/8	1	7 1/2
								First Crop 3,355	11 3/8	1	5/8

Chinglepet District, Collector's
Cutcherry, Ooroor, 11th November, 1850

Df.

11th September 1851

Collection											
Punjey				Nagadiat or Ready Money Collection		Total		Village Beriz		Circar Beriz	
Amount of Beriz		Average per Cawney									
62		63		64		65		66		67	
Pags	a	Pags	a	Pags	a	Pags	a	Pags	a	Pags	a
290	7 7/8	"	"	192	14	4,132	9	5,027	1	4,132	9
280	3	"	"	208	10 5/8	4,132	9	4,788	7 1/4	4,132	9
199	15 1/4	"	"	219	2 3/4	4,132	9	3,829	9 5/8	4,132	9
323	3 3/4	"	"	233	13 3/8	4,150	7 3/4	4,160	9 1/2	4,150	7 3/4
276	6	"	"	325	5 1/2	4,175	3 5/8	4,853	8 1/8	4,175	3 5/8
409	6 5/8	"	"	285	1 1/4	4,172	3	6,455	2 1/4	4,172	3
5,455	1/8	"	"	3,230	10 5/8	97,136	7 1/8	79,344	1/8	97,136	7 1/8
295	10	"	"	214	9 3/8	36,116	7 7/8	11,427	12	36,116	7 7/8
5,159	6 1/8	"	"	3,016	1 1/4	61,019	15 1/4	67,916	4 1/8	61,019	15 1/4
393	4	"	"	257	14 5/8	4,233	7 5/8	5,242	11 1/2	4,233	7 5/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
393	4	"	"	257	14 5/8	4,233	7 5/8	5,242	11 1/2	4,233	7 5/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
393	4	"	4 3/8	257	14 5/8	4,233	7 5/8	5,242	11 1/2	4,233	7 5/8

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Abstract

40-41

No. 394.

Bd. No. 1798.

Remarks thereon.– Under the circumstances represented by the Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the arrears claimed by Ally Sultan from 12th October 1849 to 11th July 1851 being 1 year and 9 months amounting to Rupees (77-7-..) Seventy Seven and Annas seven, and for the continuance to him from the latter date of the allowance of Rupees 44-4-.. per Annum.–

Read

Read the following Letter.

No. 12.

To, The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.–

42

Bd. No. 2148.
From the Sub Treasurer,

Gentlemen.–

In conformity to the Section IX Regulation XIII. A.D. 1816, I have the honor to transmit an account shewing the Quantity and value of the Stampt Papers and Cadjans, to which the Treasury Stamp has been affixed from 13th July 1850 to 12th July 1851.–

Fort St. George,
General Treasury,
6th September, 1851.

(Signed) S. D. Birch
Sub Treasurer.

Abstract

Fort St George 11th September 1851.

Abstract Register shewing the Quantity and Value of Stampt Papers and Cadjans &ca to which the Treasury Stamp has

ear Month and Date.	Sizes Number Value	Europe Decree Papers				Europe Papers.																
			2										2									
		As 8	Rs 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	As 4	As 8	R 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	Rs 32	Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1,000	Rs 2,000
13th 1850 to 12th 1851.	"	7,650	1,500	1,350	80	2,700	2,490	1,600	1,030	430	160	60	8	3	3	"	"	"	1	"	"	"

r affixed in the year 1850/51.

Country Papers																
									2							
As 4	As 8	R 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	Rs 32	Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1,000	Rs 2,000
509	40,442	59,079	15,208	16,800	5,440	1,995	780	261	96	25	14	5	3	4	"	2

Fort St. George

and Value of Stampt

Europe

Rs 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16
600	1,030	430	160	60

Country Papers

	Rs 32	Rs 50	2. Rs 100
5	780	261	96

11th September 1851.

Papers and Cadjans &ca to which the Treasury Stamp has

Papers.

2. Rs 32	Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1,000	Rs 2,000
8	3	3	"	"	"	1	"	"	"

Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1000	Rs 2,000
25	14	5	3	4	"	2

12139 Fort St. George 11th September 1857

Papers
6.

As 4	As 8	R 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	Rs 32	Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1,000	Rs 2,000
3,000	500	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Papers
9

As 4	As 8	R 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	Rs 32	Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1,000	Rs 2,000
30	20	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George 11th September 1851.

Cadjans.

As 4	As 8	Rs 1	Rs 2	Rs 4	Rs 8	Rs 16	1. Rs 32	Rs 50	Rs 100	Rs 150	Rs 250	Rs 350	Rs 500	Rs 750	Rs 1000	Rs 2,000
6,150	3,000	1,900	25	10	10	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Total Number of Sizes	Value	Total Company's Rupees C Rs	As
326,387	"	381,383	4

Fort St. George, General Treasury, 6th September 1851. &c. &c. &c. (Signed) S. D. Birch
Sub Treasurer.

(42-43) Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Resolved

44

Bd. No. 1803
Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the following Proceedings and letter be despatched.

Read Endorsement of the Collector of Cuddapah on Petition No. 498 of 1851 dated 3d September 1851.

The Board concur in the opinion expressed by the Collector in the foregoing Endorsement regarding the claim of the Petitioner and desire that the Enam of his deceased father be restored to him.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 498 of 1851.

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector to whom instructions have been forwarded."

Bd. No. 1804.
Proceedings thereon.

45

Read endorsement of the Collector of Cuddapah on Petition No. 2161 of 1850 dated 3d September 1851.

The Beriz of the Village of Chennore being nearly 6000 Rupees, the Board direct agreeably to the recommendation of the Collector, that a fourth Curnam be appointed instead of the one dismissed and that the Zufted Enam be restored.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 2161 of 1850.

"From the Collector's Endorsement dated 3d September 1851, the Board are of opinion that Petitioner was justly dismissed".

46

Bd. No. 1811.
To the Collector of Salem,

To,
The Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

The Board desire further information regarding the circumstances detailed in your Endorsement to Petition 1664 of 1851.

2. If as Petitioner alleges the land through which the Manuel is carried is Kuller Porumboke not hitherto taken up and the demand newly imposed in Fusly 1259 there would appear some hardship in levying so high a rate.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
11th September, 1851.

(Signed) W. A. Bayley
Secretary

47

No. 2141
From the Sub Treasurer.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statement noted below and to record their remarks therein.

Fort St. George. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the month of July last.

Ordered

Order thereon

47-48

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statement and submitted for the sanction of Government.

49

Read the undermentioned Registers.—

No. 2149.
From the Asst Collr. of North Arcot.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last.

50

No. 2150.
From the Collector of Salem.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last.

51

No. 2167.
From the Collector of South Arcot. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last.

49-52

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers.} Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

53

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

My. No. 1027.
From the Collector of Canara— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

54

My. A after 1023.
From the Collector of Madras. Stating that the Tamil

translation

translation of Demand Collection and Balance Statement to the 11th July was despatched on the 22nd Ultimo.—

55

My. No. 1028.
From the Collector of Canara.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.—

56

My. No. 1026.
From the Collector of Nellore. Stating that no Village Officers were suspended or dismissed for the month of August last.—

57

My. No. 1020.
From the Accountant General.— Indent on the Commissary General for Sundries required for the use of the Military Department for the month of September 1851.—

From

Mfs. No. 1024.-

From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary General for Boxes required for the General Treasury.-

58

57-59

Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents.- Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and returned.-

" Goldingham

" [illegible]

Compd. D. Gnls.

Diary.-

1

12th September.- Received the following Extract -

Bd. No. A after 2182.-

No. 927.-

From the Secretary to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 12th September, 1851.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 9th September 1851. No. 386.-)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to permit Mr. F. N. Maltby to visit Mysore on private affairs, with leave of absence for one month, under Section XI (amended) of the absentee Rules, and desires him, under the circumstances stated to deliver over charge of the District to Mr. Fisher as recommended by the Board of Revenue.-

To,
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft.-
Secretary to Government.-

Bd. No. 1794.-

Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 3d. Instant.-

1-2

At

At a Meeting Present.—

Monday, 15th. September 1851.—

J: Goldingham Esqre. Second Member
and
J: L: Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

3

Bd. No. 1911—
From the Secretary to Government.— Forwarding Copy of a letter from the Honble the Court of Directors dated 12th. February 1851 No. 2 with certain observations—

Read the following Extract—

Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors Dated 12th. February 1851— No. 2.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th. August 1851 No. 804.—

2 and 3 also para 2 and 3 of letter dated 16th. October (No. 66.) 1849. Reporting further proceedings respecting the Bhurtwurtee and other Enams in Cuddapah—

Para 2. Paras. 3 to 8 will be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue—

Para 3. The Government of India suggested the introduction into your Presidency of the rule observed in reference to Luckeraj

Tenures

Tenures under the Bengal Presidency, which exempts from resumption any grant not exceeding two Cawnies in extent.—

4. On this suggestion the Board of Revenue observed in their letter dated 5th. November 1846, that the "principle adverted to by the Supreme Government may be expected to "entail a considerable loss of "Revenue, and the rule itself "appears to the Board to be "generally unsuited to the "circumstances of the Madras "District."

5. You accordingly informed the Supreme Government in your letter dated 13th. November 1846, that you proposed to "consider the merit of "each case without reference to the "extent of the Enam however

"small, and to dispose of these grants, the titles of which may be found defective, in the mode already observed and approved by the Government of India".-

6- The general objections to which the rule under notice is open are considerably strengthened by the fact, that a large proportion of the Enam grants under your Presidency fall within the limits of two Cawnies, it is therefore satisfactory to find that the Government of India finally acquiesced in your views.-

7- Your decisions on which cases of resumed Enams as are reported in the paras under reply, do not call for comment from us.-

8- The alienation of Enams which were originally conferred solely for the personal advantage of the Enamdar

is objectionable, and the attention of the local authorities has been very properly drawn to the necessity of preventing the practice in future.-

7- Sirdar Hulder Naick rewarded for his services in being mainly instrumental in effecting the Capture of a band of Dacoits, by the grant of a piece of land in Ganjam to be continued to his next heir should the latter like his predecessor continue to render good service to the State.-

10- This individual has on four previous occasions been rewarded for his services by pecuniary grants.- The recognition of his meritorious conduct, by the grant of a piece of land, was, we observe, considered by the Superintendent of Tributary Mehals to be the most gratifying mode of acknowledging his claims to the liberality

3. This para will be communicated to the Board of Revenue and to the Commissioner in the Northern Circars.-

liberality of Government, and that course was carried into effect by you with the sanction of the Government of India.—

14 and 15 also para 16 of Letter dated 16th October (No. 66.) 1849.—Renewal of Grants originally conferred on Subadar Major Mahomed Ghose, Shaick Toda and Subadar Burrah Khan, for services rendered to the state, in favor of the legal heirs of the last incumbents.—

12.— It appears that the latter of these grants was originally conferred on Burrah Khan Subadar and his heirs in perpetuity in consideration of his meritorious services at the Battle of Assay.—

13.— On the death of Ally Khan, the adopted son

of

5.— A Copy of these paras will be furnished to the Board of Revenue with reference to their letters of the 19th February 1846 and 13th March 1848. No. 88 and 117. The Government however remark that Ali Khan was not only the adopted son of the Subadar Burrah Khan but his nephew

and

of Burrah Khan, in 1845 the grant was continued to Abdoollah Khan, son of the deceased Shortly after, a petition was presented by Shandy Khantoo, and Sheriffa Khuntoo Daughters of the original grantee, Burrah Khan, who died in 1809 complaining that their claims had been overlooked.—You therefore ordered a thorough investigation of the point, and the result of your researches was that you found the claims of the petitioners to be inadmissible.—

From

and may therefore have had a valid claim to succeed to the Shotriem in the latter capacity though not in the former.—The Board of Revenue will ascertain and report whether setting the adoption aside Ali Khan was entitled to be regarded as heir to Burrah Khan as being his nephew and if so it may be necessary to bring the matter again under the consideration of the Honorable Court.—

14. From the Report of the Board of Revenue dated 13th. March 1848, with it's accompaniments, it appears to be clearly established, that the petioners were not the legitimate Daughters of Burrah Khan, and your decision as regards the validity of their claim appears therefore to have been correct.

15. Abdoolah Khan has since died, and the Shotriem has been allowed to pass to his brother Ghose Mahomed Khan. We must here observe that Ally Khan was the adopted son of Burrah Khan, the original Shotriemdar, and that as adoption confers no legal title to succeed to the estate of an adoptive father under the Mahomedan Law, the recognition of the claim of the former to succeed

succeed to the Shotriem can merely be regarded as an act of indulgence which need not be continued after the next succession.

16 @ 18 Registry of certain Villages in Chingleput in the name of A. Rutnavaloo Chitty, as the adapted son of the last incumbent sanctioned.

16. It appears that Rutnavaloo Chitty was the Son-in-law of the deceased Enamdar, and the Pundits of the Sudder Adawlut, to whom the question was referred were of opinion that the adaption of a Son-in-law under the Hindoo law, is not valid. This law is, however, modified in it's operation according to the usage of particular castes, and testimony is borne to the

6. Paras 16. 17. 21. and 22 will be communicated to the Board of Revenue.

the fact, that the practice of adopting Sons in-law has prevailed for a long time in the Chitty Caste, and has met with general acquiescence.

17. Under these circumstances, and as the other Members of the family who poſseſsed claims to the Villages in question, were anxious to have them registered in the name of Rutnava=loo Chitty, your decision appears to have been proper.—

2. Also para 7 of Letter dated 16th October (No. 66) 1849. Proceedings relative to a ready Money Enam of Rupees 848-7-9 in the Tinnevelly District, reported.

21. In the first of the paras under reply you report to us that you had continued this Enam with arrears to the

Sharers

Sharers, who claimed to be descended from the Original grantee, and in your latter communica=tion You inform us that under the altered circumstances of the case, you have resumed the Enam, and caused the accumulated proceeds to be carried to the Credit of Government.—

22. The Enamdars having entered into a com=bination to deceive the Revenue Authorities, and having no claim to the Enam as disclosed in the correspondence transmit=ted with the latter para=graph under reply, your final decision on this case is just and proper.—

The

8@12 Continuance to certain parties of portions of the Nadgowd Enam Huckolie as a life grant, and further information called for respecting some alienated Dassaye Enams before resorting to Resumption.

24. The terms Nadgowd and Dassaye appears to be synonymous, since they were designations bestowed under native rule on Officers engaged in the Collection of the Revenue, whose functions were the same, but who exercised those functions in different localities, who agreed to pay a certain rent for the Villages over which their jurisdiction extended and who were remunerated by Enams and Russooms.

25. On the accession of the British Government the services of these functionaries were dispensed with and the Russooms were discontinued but Enams were allotted for their support under the title by which their Office was formerly designated. The whole of the Nadgowd Enams have legally reverted to Government, and where any portions of them are continued to descendants of the former possessors, it is merely to be regarded as an act of indulgence.--

26. The jurisdiction of the Dussayes extended over 236 Villages. The Enams appertaining to these Villages were divided into two unequal portions, 179 Villages being allotted to one party and 57 to another Hanomunt Row. The individual who occupies the position of holder of the Enams appertaining to the latter portion

7. The further proceedings of Government in respect of the claim of Conari Row it is observed were reported to the Hon'ble Court in para 4 of letter dated 12th September 1850 No. 47 and it seems only necessary in this place to communicate these paras of the Dispatch to the Board of Revenue and to request their attention to the principal inculcated by the Honorable Court in para 28.-

portion of the Villages, Conary Row has in his present poſseſsion the Enams attached to 39 of that number. Of the remaining 18 some are alienated, some are under dispute by the Sharers of other portions of the Villages, and others aſserted to have been resumed, because the heads of Villages neglected to give explanations to the Surveyors at the time of Survey.

27. Conary Row now lays claim to certain lands in the Village of Caranunchee, on the evidence of a Purwanah which Mr. C. P. Brown, Persian Translator to Government does not believe to be genuine, besides which the claimants father Hanumunto Row only having had a life interest in the Villages, it requires to be explained under what circumstances

circumstances Conary Row became poſseſsed of them. Under these circumstances you have very properly suspended your judgment, and have also called for an expreſsion of opinion on the part of the Board of Revenue, as to the alienated portion of these Enams and it certainly seems very doubtful how far their alienation was legal.

28. Before paſsing any dicisive opinion on this particular case, we shall await the receipt of your further proceedings. As a general principle it is desirable when emoluments are continued from motives of personal indulgence, after the duties for which they were originally aſsigned have ceased to be executed, to effect a commutation of such emoluments as were originally conveyed through

the medium of grants of land into life pensions-

14 & 15 Village of Kristnapoor in the Bellary District continued to the grandson of the female line of the late holder, to whom and to whose heirs it had been granted in perpetuity at the request of the Rajah of Anagoondy-

30- This grant was we observe, originally made at the request of the Rajah, to Sollappailacharia as family priest-

8. Paras 30-36-38-39-43 and 48 will be communicated for the information and guidance of the Bd of Revenue

6 @ 9 Enams of various denominations continued to relatives of the deceased incumbents.

10 @ 14 Reports the instructions issued for the disposal of the Pottoo and Poroopoo Enams in the Tinnevelly District and of the Enams granted by the former Zemindars of Cotah and Ramachendrapoorum in the Rajahmundry District

‡ Rev. Disp 1st July No 8 1846. Ina Disp 13th Octr No 19 1847-

36- In accordance with the views conveyed to you in the Despatches noted in the Margin‡ we entirely approve your having shewn in this case a liberal consideration and respect for long enjoyed rights however conferred-

Proceedings relative to Enams in Kurnool-

38- In this letter you refer us to Mr W. H. Bayley's general report on Kurnool Enams dated 19th June 1843, and you observe- "They consist of unauthorized grants "by the Village Officers either for their own use or "for the benefit of others, "and originated in the lax "Revenue system of the late "Patan Dynasty which "never interfered in the "Details of management, "but confined itself to the "levy of a fixed demand "termed" "Tuckla Beriz" "on each Village the amount "being arbitrarily increased "according to the exigencies of Government "under this System the "Village Officers had "recourse to the expedient of alienating "lands on easy Cowles to meet the Government demand and little or no check having been exercised on their unauthorized proceedings,— the alienations became in course of time extensive and were regarded as Enam. But though the late Government tolerated such abuses it occasionally resorted to the measure of raising the gross Beriz of the Villages or of imposing the Enamity "Tax" and reserved to itself an absolute power of resumption at pleasure," the exercise of which was never questioned."

"As the titles to lands alienated under such circumstances demanded in our opinion a careful investigation before they could be recognized by the British Government, and deeming the general instructions recently issued, in accordance with

with the views of your Honorable Court, for dealing with Enams in future, to be inapplicable to the grants in Kurnool we have resolved that each claim shall be strictly investigated and disposed of on its own merits on the recurrence of lapses"—

39. "We have carefully perused the able report of Mr. Bayley above referred to. The extraordinary account therein given of the Kurnool Enams of the delinquencies and frauds to which they owe their origin and on which claims to their continued possession must, in the majority of instances, be founded, thoroughly convince us of the propriety of the decision at which you have arrived. You will of course be careful as Mr. Bayley suggests in cases where

the aſsessment has been higher than the revenue land will bear in consideration of the circumstances that the Ryots are in poſsession of rent-free lands that in the event of the latter being brought on the public rent-roll, the revenue of the former be duly reduced-

6- Arrears of Fatimah Bee's share in the Enam Village of Vailum in North Arcot disbursed to her & her Vakeel authorized to draw it in future on producing the requisite Certificate of her existence.

43- We perceive that the payment of Fatimah Bee's allowance was suspended in consequence of doubts as to her identity but that these doubts have now been removed-

---

14- The Dehat Enam Village of Bommia-pollium formerly under the management of the Collector of South Arcot made over to the Pundarum of the Muttum and the surplus proceeds refunded to him-

48- It appears from the proceedings which accompany this para that a money allowance had been granted in lieu of this Village and that in restoring the Village to the Pundarum you have merely followed the rule observed with reference to Dehat Enams generally-

17@19 Certain Shotriums lapsed in the Chinglepût District registered in the names of the heirs of the late holders, and decision of the registry of the Village of Ca-noor deferred untill the receipt of instructions from the Court on a similar case.

51- Our instructions on the case alluded to the Shotrium Village of Chwotrapullem in Nellore were communicated to you in paragraphs 7 to 9 of

9- It is observed that the Shotriem of Chwotro-pollium was conferred by a Zemindar of Sydapore in the year 1790 and that the Honorable Court considered the of our Despatch dated 22d August (No. 10) 1849.

the grant to have been from the first unauthorized and the lands consequently liable to resumption, but as the widow and brother of the late holder were represented to have no other means of subsistence the Court were pleased as an act of grace, to allow the parties to continue in possession of the Village during their lives.

10. In regard to the Shotrium of Carvor which the Government permitted to continue in the enjoyment of the present holders pending the decision of the Honorable Court on the foregoing case it was represented by the Board of Revenue in their letter of the 24th July 1848 No. 380 that the Village was said to have been originally granted by the Nabob Annawurde Khan in perpetuity that the Purwanah having

having been lost the date terms and purpose of the grant could not be conclusively known and that the Shrotrium had been in the enjoyment of the family from a period antecedent of 1790. The Governor in Council however observes that the first Jaree Sunnud in 1790 makes no reference to a Purwanah of Aunawurdikhan and though it is evident, so far as it goes, of a precedent possession it does not indicate when that possession commenced. The strength of the claim therefore lies in the possession from 1790 without a Sunnud — 1768 being the date after which no Grants by any Authority but the

Government of Madras could be recognized. The Case of the Carvor Shotrium differs however from that of Chootroo pulliem in two respects 1st that it is possible that the former was as alleged conferred by the Nawaub Annawurdi Khan whereas the latter was confessedly the grant merely of a Zemindar, and Secondly that the Village of Carvor is now in the enjoyment of the male descendants of the Original grantee while the family of the first grantee of Chootroopolliem in the male line are extinct. On the whole the Governor in Council directs that the Shotrium of Carvor be registered in the manner detailed in the Board's letter of 24th July 1848 and that each share be reported for orders on lapse.

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy to Govt.

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1845.
Proceedings thereon –

3-4

Ordered that the following paras be communicated to the undermentioned Collectors. The paras requiring no remark will be recorded –

H. C. D.	E. M. C.	
	2	To the Collector of Cuddapah
3 @ 8		No remark
	3	To the Collector of South Arcot who will report whether Alikhan was heir to Bumah Khan as being his Nephew, independently of his adoption –
10		
	5	
12 @ 15		To the Collector of Chingleput
16 & 17	0	To the Collector of Tinnevelly
21 & 22	0	To the Collector of Bellary
24 @ 28	7	No remark
30	0	To the Collector of Tinnevelly
36	0	To the Agent at Kurnool
38 & 39	0	No remark
43 & 48	0	To the Collector of Chingleput with copy of the Boards' letter to Government dated 24th July 1848 –
51	9 & 10	

5

Read the following Extracts –

No. 909 –

Bd. No. 2168
From Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department Under date the 8th September 1851 –

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue –

(Here enter 4th Augt. 1851, No. 339)

From a consideration of the foregoing letter and of the correspondence accompanying it, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion, with the Board of Revenue, that the arrangement proposed by the Collector of Canara for erecting the Poottoor Division of the Buntwal Talook into a separate Tahsildary is desirable in every point of view. The revenue of this Division for Fusly 1259 was Rupees 1,12,422 and the Establishment necessary for its efficient supervision and management will involve an additional expence of Rupees 204 per mensem or Rupees 2,448 per Annum, but there is reason to believe that the increase of revenue will far more than cover this additional expence, inclusive of all other more important advantages. The Governor in Council resolves accordingly to submit the arrangement with his earnest recommendation in its favor for the sanction of the Government of India.

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 1840

5-6

Resolution thereon. Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to Government dated 4th August 1851 to which it is a reply, be communicated to the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 10th July 1851.

In Cons 4th Augt. 1851.

Extract

Bd. No. 2171.

From Secy to Government Forwarding for communication to the Collector of Madura, copy of a letter from the Qr. Mr. Genl. of the Army respecting certain huts occupied by the detachment stationed at that place

7

No. 912.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department Under date the 9th September 1851.

Read the following.

Military Department.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 28th August 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 204.

To
Lieutenant Colonel C. A. Browne
Secretary to Government
Military Department

Sir,

Government is aware that at Madura there are public hutting lines for two Companies, the Men composing which pay a Monthly Rent to Government for the huts they severally occupy. Recently the detachment at that place has been reduced to one Company, and consequently there are about 96 huts the property of Government untenanted and exposed to damage. To obviate this loss the Officer Commanding the detachment has suggested that the pensioners there be allowed to occupy them at the rates marginally indicated the money so realized to be available for keeping the huts of the spare lines only in good repair. His Excellency

	a.	p.
A Subadars house	8	"
Jemidars	6	"
Havildars	3	6
Naigues	2	6
Privates	2	"

Excellency the Commander in Chief approves of the suggestions, and recommends it accordingly to Government for adoption and he would add a remark by the Brigadier Commanding the Southern Division that the experiment will be a good one to prove how far such a measure would remunerate Government for the Original outlay should the gradual introduction of public lines, be at any future time in consideration. At present he believes the rent paid for a hut in the lines tenanted by the detachment is three Annas a Month for every grade. The Vacant huts are reported to be in good repair.

Quarter Master Genl. Office
Fort St. George
27th August 1851
(Signed) F. A. Reid
Qr. Mr. Genl.

Referred

Referred to the Revenue Department
By order
(Signed) C. A. Browne Lt. Col.
Secy. to Government

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura through the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy. to Government

Bd. No. 1818
Order thereon.
7-8

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura, as directed by Government.

Read the following Statements

Statement.

12175

9 Bd No 2190.

Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

Statement exhibiting the Actual Charges incurred in Account of Additional Establishments employed in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1260.

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1260					Actual Charges for Fusly 1260	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7		Dates of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishments has been authorized	Remarks.
	Number of Servants	Rates of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated Charges		Increase	Decrease		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		
Salt Revenue Peons and Measurers &ca.	33	2½	82½	From 1st April to 31st July 1851.	330 " "					The Deficit below the estimated charges of Fusly 1260 is too trifling to merit notice.
Do. and Do.	8	2½	20	Do. 1st Novr. 1850 to 30th June 1851.	160 " "					
Peon	1	2½	2½	For January and Feby. 1851.	5 " "					
Do.	1	2½	2½	For April and May 1851.	5 " "					
Total	43		107½		500 " "	493 " 6	" "	6 15 6		

Ganjam, 5th September 1851.

E. E. ffo (Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Estimate

12176 Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

10 Bd No. 2189.

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of Additional Establishment in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1261

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1261.					Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1260	Comparison of Columns 6 & 7.		Dates of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishments has been authorized	Remarks
	Number of Servants	Rates of Pay	Amount of Pay	Proposed period of employment	Estimated Charges		Increase	Decrease		
					Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as		
Salt Revenue										The Entertainment of this Establishment is requisite to prevent in the different Pans during the period of Manufacture the smuggling of Salt and the Increase above the actual Charges of the previous Fusly 1260 is too trifling for comment.
Peons and Measurers &ca	33	2½	82½	From 1st April to 31st July 1852	330 " "					
Do. and Do.	8	2½	20	Do. 1st Novr. 1851 to 30th June 1852	160 " "					
Peon	1	2½	2½	For January and February 1852	5 " "					
Do.	1	2½	2½	Do. April and May 1852	5 " "					
Total	43		107½		500 " "	493 " 6	6 15 6	" "		

Ganjam, 5th September 1851. E. E. Jr.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Ordered.

12177 Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

9-11

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements - Ordered to lie over

12

No. 1989 -

From the Collr. of Masulipatam - Read Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1259 -

Bd. No. 1816 -

Proceedings thereon - Before submitting the foregoing Statement for the sanction of Government, the Board request information on the following point - In Col. 3, the Estimate for Fusly 1259, for District Sadarwared, is entered at Rupees 732 - whereas in that for the preceding fusly 1258, it was Rupees 534 - 4 - 4 the cause of this increase should be explained -

12-13

The Board also take this opportunity of again calling the attention of the Collector to their letter of 21st March 1851, wherein they requested him to expedite the despatch of the Estimated of Sadarwared charges for Fusly 1260, called for in their Circular of 30th June 1850 -

---

Read the following Statement -

Statement -

12178 Fort St. George 15th September 1851

Bd. No. 2089 - Statement shewing the Amount of Arrears due to the undermentioned Yeomiahdar in the Talook of Adonie in the District of Bellary during the year 1851 -

14

No. of Certificate	Name of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per Annum		Date of Government authority	Nature of the Grant	Periods for which Arrears are due	Total Amount of Arrears	Explanation of the cause of suspension of Payment	Remarks of the Bd. of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs.	as.				Rs. as.			
46	Vedeyapaty	"	12 10	18th July 1820	For praying for the prosperity of Government -	For 15 Months or from 1st May 1850 to 31st July 1851 -	12 " 6	The yeomiah allowance of this individual was discontinued in consequence of his not having made his appearence before the Hoozoor to receive a new certificate pursuant to the instructions contained in an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th February 1850 which was communicated in the Board's Proceedings 25th Idem, but he appeared before the Collector on the 9th August 1851 and received a Certificate No. 16 - The Collector requests sanction for disbursing the arrears of the allowance of the Yeomiahdar, and for continuing the payment in future -		
	Chunnavarapacharry -	Rs per mensem or 9 10 " per Annum								

Bellary Collector's Office, 23d August 1851 - (Signed) C. Pelly Collector

Under -

Bd. No. 1859. No. 404.

Remarks thereon. Under the circumstances re-

14-15

presented by the Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the payment of the arrears of Yeomiah due to Vedeyapaty Chinnavarapacharry from 1st May 1850 to 31st July 1850 amounting to Rupees (12 " 6) Twelve and pice Six and for the continuance to him from the latter date of the Annual sum of Rupees 9-10-" per Annum.

The name of the claimant does not appear in the Register Z of charitable allowances from Mr. Chaplin dated 20th Septr. 1814 nor in Mr. Thackeray's letter of 17th Novr. 1819 (the arrangements in which were sanctioned by Government 18th July 1820) but in both those documents it is stated that altho' held in the name of one yet that the Pension of Rupees 38-11-6 "has been divided for many years into four shares."

The present claimant holds the only remaining share as would appear from the General List of Yeomiahs enclosure 2 of Mr. Pelly's letter of the 20th August 1849 submitted to Government on the 10th Septr. 1849 in which his name only appears.

16

A. after No. 2161.

From the Collector of Bellary. Read Register of Petitions received from the Board of Revenue for the Month of August last.

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

16-17

To The Collector of
Bellary.

Bd. No. 1807
To the Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

With reference to the blank Register of Petitions furnished by you for the Month of August 1851, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to para 9 of their C.O. 13th July 1826 desiring that no blank returns should be forwarded to this Office but that a short letter be sent in lieu stating why the required returns have not been furnished.

page 50

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
15th September 1851

Read the following letters.

No. 89.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

18

Bd. No. 2058
From the Collr. of Cuddapah

Sir,

Para 1. Among other petitions referred by the Board to this Office for report, I find one No. 1362+ of 1850, from Soonkereddy of Vattheeralla complaining that notwithstanding the Board's order in his favor, the maniem lands of which he

+ herewith returned

he was deprived on the misrepresentation of the Tahsildar, have not been placed in his possession, and bearing the Board's endorsement to the Collector to state what has bee done in the matter with reference to the Board's order on petition No. 66 of 1846- On referring to the register I find that the request of the latter petition was that certain waste land which petitioner had undertaken to cultivate while Reddy of his Village should be transferred to his successo in Office, and the Board's endorsemen was to refer the question to the Collecto for such notice as it might appear to demand-

2- The subject of the two petitions is therefore quite distinct, and they would appear to have been written by differen parties bearing the same name-

3- With reference to Petition No. 1362 of 1850 now to be reported on, I request the Board's attention of Mr Cochrane's endorsement on petition No. 849 of 1848, in which the name of petitioner and of his Village, and the subject of the petition itself are so much like the same particulars in the one now in question that there is little doubt but that the writer of both is the same

Cuddapah
Collrs. Office
25th August 1851

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Proceedings

Bd. No. 1822
Proceedings thereon-

18-19.

From the foregoing letter, it is observed that a mistake has occurred regarding Petition No. 1362 of 1850, upon which the following Endorsement is passed-

Petitioner is referred to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 849 of 1848-

No. 91-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

20

Bd. No. 2111-
From the Collector
of Cuddapah

Sir

I find on the records of this Office an extract from the proceedings of the Board dated the 12th June 1851, calling for an opinion as to whether innoculation is practiced in Southern India, and whether legislative measures are desirable to put a stop to the practice if it should obtain-

The Government were pleased to call upon me for an opinion upon the question before I received my present appointment, and having already addressed the Government it is perhaps unnecessary that I should trouble the Board upon the subject-

Cuddapah
Collrs. Office
29th August 1851

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Proceedings

12183 Fort St. George 15th September 18

(20-21)

Bd. No. 1809.

Proceedings thereon. The Board wish to be favored with the sentiments of th Collector of Cuddapah upon the subject of inoculation in common with those of the Collectors of other Districts. It will be sufficient however, instead of transmitting a separate Report in reply to their Circular of 12th June 1851, if Mr. Forbes transmits to them Copy of the communication which he states that he has addressed t Government and they request th he will do so, at his earliest convenience.

J.G.B.

From

12184 Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

No. 92.

(22)

Bds. No. 2112.

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Statement called for in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 24th of June last, transmitted with an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of the 3d of July.

Cuddapah.
Collector's Office,
29th August, 1851.

(Signed) H. Forbes.
Collector.

Statement.

12187- Fort St George 15th September 1851.-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address:-

No 397.

22.23

Bd No 1836- To The Chief Secy to Govt.

In Cons 15th Septr 1851- vide Cons 3d July 1851-

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.-

Sir

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 24th June 1851 requesting that the Collector of Cuddapah be instructed to prepare a Statement of certain retrenchments in his accounts under the head of Pensionary allowances exhibiting the Nos in the audit and Mr Ross' registers, the names of the incumbents, and the families to which they belong the allowance payable to each, the sum total requiring sanction, the nature of each grant, the authority under which it was continued together with a note of the correspondence respecting it -

2. In reply I am instructed to forward herewith the accompanying letter from Mr Forbes, dated 29th August 1851 transmitting a Statement of the particulars required by Government.-

3- In their Proceedings of 22d May 1851, the Board requested sanction for the amount paid from Fusly 1252 to 1255 but in the present statement Mr Forbes has included the payments made for Fusly 1256 also- the Board therefore request that the Civil Auditor may be authorized to admit the charges incurred up to the last named Fusly and that sanction be granted for the continuance of the allowances to the present incumbents.-

Revenue Board Office,-
Fort St George,-
15th September, 1851.-

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary-

D.G.

12188 Fort St George 15th September 1851.-

Read the following letters-

No 40.-

Bd No 2,208

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Boar of Revenue,-
Fort St George

24

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the Government Agency to T. B. A. Conway Esquire whose receipt for the amount now in the Treasury I beg to enclose.-

Kurnool,------
Government Agent's Office,
5th September, 1851.---

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Agent to the
Governor-

Received.-

15 Re

12189 Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

Received from T. D. Lushington Esquire Commissioner of Kurnool the sum of Company's Rupees Three Lacs fifteen thousand five hundred and Seventy One – Annas two and Pice ten, as follows.–

Specie	Description of Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per % Pieces of Coins	Amount	Total Current Coins	Total Uncurrent Coins	Grand Total
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835	108,342	100 „ „	108,342 „ „			
	Ditto Halves	544	50 „ „	272 „ „			
	Ditto Quarters	478	25 „ „	119 8 „			
	Ditto Rupees of 1840	188,363	100 „ „	188,363 „ „			
	Ditto Halves	946	50 „ „	473 „ „			
	Ditto Quarters	2,582	25 „ „	645 8 „			
	Ditto Double annas of Ditto	28,850	12 8 „	3,606 4 „	301,821 4 „		
	Bombay Rupees	3,309	100 „ „	3,309 „ „			
	Ditto Halves	405	50 „ „	202 8 „			
	Ditto Quarters	89	25 „ „	22 4 „			
	Old Company's Rupees	6,205	100 „ „	6,205 „ „			
	Ditto Halves	1,800	50 „ „	900 „ „			
	Ditto Quarters	1,011	25 „ „	252 12 „			
	Ditto Double annas	1,925	12 8 „	240 10 „			
	Ditto Single Ditto	1,500	6 4 „	93 12 „	„ „ „	11,225 14 „	
					Total Silver Coins		313,047 2 „
Copper	New Half annas	11,792	3 2 „	368 8 „			
	Ditto Quarter Ditto	102,108	1 9 „	1,595 7 „			
	Ditto Single pie pieces	43,508	„ 8 4	226 9 8	2,190 8 8		
	Old Half annas	1,430	3 2 „	44 11 „			
	Ditto Quarter Ditto	6,376	1 9 „	99 10 „			
	Ditto Single pie pieces	1401	„ 8 4	7 4 9			
	Ditto 4 Ditto	1,794	2 1 4	37 6 „			
	Ditto 2 Ditto	854	1 „ 8	8 14 4			
	Ditto 20 Cash Ditto	4,115	2 1 4	85 11 8			
	Ditto 10 Ditto	4,764	1 „ 8	49 10 „			
	Ditto 5 Ditto	53	„ 8 4	„ 4 5	„ „ „	338 8 2	
					Total Copper Coins		2,524 „ 10
					Total Company's Rupees		315,571 2 10

Kurnool Territory Commissioners' Office, 5th September, 1851. E. E. &c. (Signed) T. Conway
Commissioner

To

No. 41

To,
W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

25

Bd. No. 2207
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool— }

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day received charge of the Government Agency from T. D. Lushington Esquire — Agent to the Governor.—

Kurnool,— — —
Government Agent's Office,
5th September, 1851— — — } (Signed) T. Conway
Agent to the Governor—

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.—

24-26

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.—

Read again letter from the Collector of Chinglepat dated 1st and entered in Consultation 14th August 1851.—

Read also the following letter—

27

No. 35

To,
W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 2096—
From the Collector of Chinglepat— — }

Sir,

Adverting to the Extract from the

the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th Instant, I have the honor to forward in charge of a Peon of my Office 2 Measures of the samples of the Vullore Salt proposed to be destroyed.—

Chinglepat District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 29th August,
1851— } (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector—

Bd. No. 1815—

Proceedings thereon.—

27-28

Under the circumstances stated in the 1st letter recorded above, the Board of Revenue sanction the destruction of 35 Garces and 305 Mercals of old salt, remaining unsold at the Vulloor Pans, of the manufacture of Fusly 1256 and 1257, and request the Collector will be good enough to submit a statement of its value, to enable them to obtain the sanction of Government for writing it off in his accounts.—

Read the following Statement.—

Bd. No. 1858.
Remarks thereon.—

29-30

No. 403.—

Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector, the Board recommend that the share of Goolam Hyder amounting to Rupees 70-12-10, may be continued from the 18th May 1851. the date of the Tahsildar's report, to his Cousin Mahomed Rahemut Ollah.—

No. 54.—

31

Bd. No. 2125.
From the Ag. Collector of North Arcot ———

To,
W. H. Bayley Esquire.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 12th May last calling upon me for certain information respecting the claim of Vencatasawmy Naik, Enamdar of Custumpully, I have the honor to inform you that the Petitioner is not to be found in this District should he be in attendance on the Board at their Office, I beg leave to request that you will have the goodness to direct him to appear before me to answer certain questions which I wish to put to him preparatory to supplying the information required by the Board in your letter under acknowledgement.—

North Arcot, ————
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair, 29th August, 1851—

(Signed) S. N. Ward
Acting Collector

Bd. No. 1823.
Proceedings thereon.—

31-32

With reference to the foregoing letter, the Board observe that the Petitioner is not to be found at their Office, and that if he should hereafter make his appearance, he will be directed to attend at the Collector's Cutcherry.—

Read the following Statement.—

No. 1.—

Extract from the Nabob's Pharist of Vellore for Fasly 1210.—

A sum of half a Rupee per diem, Fifteen Rupees per mensem or Rupees 177 per annum, after deducting from Rupees 180 the sum of Rupees 3, on account of the difference between the Solar and Lunar Months, is allotted for the subsistence of Mahomed Abdoollah as Cauzy of Vellore.—

(A true translation)

(Signed) S. N. Ward.—

Acting Collector.—

33-34

Bd. No. 1860—

No. 405.—

Remarks thereon.—

Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector, the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the continuance to Mahomed Mohodeen of the allowance of Rupees 177—"—" per annum from the period of his having taken charge of the duties of Cauzee, in lieu of Mahomed Abdoollah the late Cauzee dismissed for misconduct by order of the Court of Sudr Udalut on the 30th April 1851.—

The allowance in question is entered No. 613 on the List of Conditional Pensions from Mr. Grame dated 12th September 1815.—

D.G.

Read the following letter.

No. 115.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George

35

Bd. No. 2114.

From the Collector of Salem—

Reporting the result of the attempt at introducing into his District a breed of Merino Sheep from the Mysore territory.

Sir,

Prol. July 5th 1849
" February 10th 1851

1 Adverting to the instructions of your Board noted marginally, I have the honor to report the result of the attempt at introducing into this District, a breed of Merino Sheep—

2. In July and August 1849, two flocks of sheep were received from the Experimental farm in the Mysore territory, amounting in the aggregate at 247. Rams and Ewes, of which 50 Rams were forwarded to the Collector of Coimbatore—of those retained in this Collectorate 5 Rams and 3 Ewes died at Oossoor, very soon after the arrival of the flocks within Salem limits.—In view to carrying out the object of Government, the late Collector Mr. Lockhart grazed in the his own private flock in the Oossoor Talook two rams and a similar number of Ewes but these two soon died.—The remaining part of the Government flocks consisting of 80 Rams and 105 Ewes were distributed to different individuals in the

Six

Darampoory,
Tripatoor,
Tenguracottah,
Denkanacottah,
Kistnagherry,
Oossoor.-

Six Divisions noted Marginally, and such instructions relative to the mode of treating, rearing and feeding this breed of sheep as seemed necessary, were duly communicated to the parties to whom the Sheep were entrusted.

3. Notwithstanding these precautions and the attention which appears to have been bestowed on the sheep in the localities selected by my predecessor, the number of casualties among the imported flocks has been very great, so many as 120 having died out of 197 originally supplied.-

23 Rams
43 Ewes
66

4. The produce of these flocks is represented to have been 66 whilst the accounts available to me show that the yield of country ewes tupped by Merino rams has been but 16. I fear the latter result has not been correctly reported but referring to your Board's instructions, I do not feel at liberty now to delay this communication in order to remove the doubt - The feeling towards the Merino description of sheep which prevails amongst the Salem breeders is, however, clearly unfavorable owing to the greater care and attention which they require when compared with the more hardy country animal and I apprehend that adverting to the short sighted economy of this class of people it

---

it will be impracticable to remove the impression until the possible success of the Experiments in Mysore shall prove notoriously, that the better sort of wool yields a more profitable return than the coarse hair now regarded by the Salem shepherds as sufficiently remunerative.-

5. Of the 66 lambs dropped by the ewes introduced from Mysore, only 12 have died - It may be inferred from this result that the localities to which they were transferred are not objectionable or unhealthy in so far as respects the Younger stock.-

Rams 42
Ewes 29
71

6. The present remnant of the imported flocks is 71 sheep, that of their yield 54, total 125; or less by 72 than the aggregate of the original experimental stock, and I regret to find that no disposition is exhibited for taking advantage of the superior rams placed within reach of the sheep farmer by the liberality of Government.-

Salem District, -
Ossoor,
Collector's Cutcherry, -
29th August, 1851.-

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.-

Statement

12201

Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

Deduct Casualties.												Remaining.											
Casualties among those received.			Casualties of the Produce of those received.			Casualties of the Produce from the Country Ewes tupped by Merino Rams.			Total.			Remnant of the Sheep received.			Remnant of the Produce of Sheep received.			Remnant of the Produce from the Country Ewe tupped by Merino Rams.			Total.		
Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.	Rams.	Ewes.	Total.
24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42.	43	44	45	46	47.
5	8	13	1	1	2	"	"	"	6	9	15	5	2	7	3	4	7	1	1	2	9	7	16.
10	5	15	"	1	1	"	"	"	10	6	16	5	5	10	1	3	4	"	"	"	6	8	14
7	34	41	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	34	41	11	14	25	8	23	31	"	"	"	19	37	56
4	7	11	3	1	4	"	"	"	7	8	15	4	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	1	5
8	14	22	2	2	4	"	"	"	10	16	26	11	5	16	3	4	7	6	8	14	20	17	37
4	8	12	1	"	1	"	"	"	5	8	13	6	2	8	1	4	5	"	"	"	7	6	13
38	76	114	7	5	12	"	"	"	45	81	126	42	29	71	16	38	54	7	9	16	65	76	141
2	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
45	81	126	7	5	12	"	"	"	52	86	138	42	29	71	16	38	54	7	9	16	65	76	141

Salem District; Ossoor, Collector's Cutcherry, 29th August 1851

E E per

(Signed) F. C. D. Phillips
Collector

The

Bd. No. 1017.

35-36

Proceedings thereon — The Collector is requested to submit a Statement of any Charges which may have been incurred in his District for the purpose indicated in the foregoing letter in order that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the amount

D.F.

Read the following letter.

No. 59

37

Bd. No. 1940

From the Collr. of South Arcot }

Containing further information respecting the old Sevoy Summa Balance.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge Extract from Board's Proceedings dated the 28th Ultimo, calling for further information regarding the old Sevoy Summah balances, which have formed the subject of the reports mentioned in the Margin.

From Acting Collector
24th April 1850.
From Ag. Collector
7th October 1850.
From Actg. Collector
14th June 1851.

2 I beg in the first place to observe that the demand of Sevoy Summah from fusly 1231 to 1258 was stated by me at Rupees 266,203 - " - 4 - from specific accounts of the Collections and arrears and not upon mere estimate. It is not in my power to give the exact demand for each fusly under this head for reasons which I shall proceed to detail but the district accounts both Huzoor and Talook show the total of the gross demand, the collections

and the outstanding balances.

3 I have already remarked that in consequence apparently of the varied and fluctuating nature of these items and the demand being often unknown until after the close of the year to which they belong the Board was satisfied on until a recent period with receiving information of the actual collections under this head. These yearly collections were chiefly on account of the current demand but included a portion of the outstanding balances and from the manner in which the accounts were then kept it seems to me impossible now to obtain the precise information which the Board require by separating the total Sevoy Jummah demand into the particular years for which it was due.

4 To explain this point I beg to observe that the usual practice followed in this District has been to bring the actual Collections to account as of due for the year when they were realized. The Sevoy Jummah accounts have thus been kept in a running

running form, the balance of one year being carried forward into the account of the next, without a distinction being made in the Collections between sums realized for arrears and those for current revenue. The talook accounts moreover have not been kept on a uniform System. the demand for concealed cultivation is sometimes assigned to the year when the cultivation took place, and sometimes to the year when the discovery was made, and the headings of the items comprised under Sevoy Jummah are not always alike. The attempt to re-arrange all these accounts for a period of 28 years would not only require time and labour far beyond what my Establishment could spare without neglecting the heavy current business, but would in many instances prove abortive from the difficulties which I have mentioned. I fear too that in some instances where the annual talook Statements and Huzoor records do not contain full particulars of the sums which have been realized and brought to account the talook records would not now in all instances supply the information as the injuries of damp and accidental fires during so long a term leave little hope that the Village Statements in the talook records would be always found complete.

5 I trust therefore that the information now before the Board will be considered sufficient regarding this head of Revenue, especially since the old outstanding balances are small in amount and there is no prospect as observed by Government of their being now realized.

6 With respect to the observations made in Para 3 of the Board's Proceedings I beg to observe that the Huzur items shewn in the Statements from fusly 1235 to 1257 are included in the Statement No 1 which accompanied my late report under the head of "Cultivation made after the Jummabundy." Almost all the demand under this head was realized and brought to account and does not therefore appear again in Statement No 2 which shows the outstanding balances. On the subject of the difference exhibited in the

Marginal

Marginal Comparative abstract in the same Para of the Board's Proceedings. I beg to observe that the Jummabundy Statement referred to by the Board was closed at the end of the fusly, while my account No 2 includes items afterwards discovered a correspondence between them cannot therefore be expected.

7 In reference to the Board's observations in Para 4 I can only repeat that the Collections under the head of Sivoy Jummah in 1259 consisted of Rupees 3,888-14-5 on account of arrears and of Rupees 6,021-7-2 on account of the current demand. It was to render this more clear that I mentioned in my letter the demand of that fusly as being Rupees 77,64-5-10. The Board observe that the demand includes a sum of Rupees 1097-13-7 under "Fines and forfeitures" though no such item appears in Statement No 2 which accompanied my report, but in explanation of this I beg to observe that the Statement referred to showed only the arrears, and that none exist under the head of "Fines and forfeitures" such items being immediately realized.

I

8. I will only add in reference to the point immediately under discussion that the misunderstanding regarding the extent of the revenue realized under the head of Sevoy Jummah seems to have originated in the headings affixed to the Statements lately submitted. Mr Birds Statement No 1 was in reality "an account shewing the arrears of Sevoy Jummah from 1230 to 1258 inclusive, the portion collected in 1259 and the balance outstanding at the close of that fusly." while the Statement F which accompanied the last Jummahbundy report should have been designated a "Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Extra Revenue in South Arcot for 1259." It will however be observed that the details of the arrears in these 2 Statements strictly correspond and agree with the account which was submitted by me.

9. In reference to the Board's remark on the difference between the Demand Collection and Balance Statements ending 11th July 1850 and the Statement F. I enclose

a

a Memorandum detailing the items which composed the sum forming the difference or Rupees 34,672-14-8. Explanatory remarks are added to this Statement, and render further observations from me unnecessary.

10. In conclusion I beg to observe that the instructions of the Board will continue to be obeyed for entering in the Monthly Statements the details of this head of Revenue and under the explanation which has been given to shew that the arrears due up to 1249 (Rupees 12031-5-11) from a small portion of a considerable amount of revenue and are irrecoverable, I trust that sanction will be obtained for writing them off, and placing the Sevoy Jummah accounts in the same footing as those of the ordinary land revenue.

South Arcot,
Collector's Cutchery
Cuddalore
9th August 1851

(Signed) C. Maltby
Collector

Memorandum

No. 1.

Memorandum shewing the Items composing the amount of Extra Land Revenue including refunds under the head of Extraordinary entered in the abstract Demand Collection and Balance Statement for 11th July 1851 for Fusly 1259.

Amount entered in the said Statements	42,437-4-6
Deduct amount of Extra Revenue for Fusly 1259	7,764-6-10
Net	34,672-14-8
Deduct amount of Extra Revenue Collection made in Fusly 1259 on account of arrears due up to the end of Fusly 1258	3,888-14-5
Net	30,784 " 3

Particulars for the above –

Tuccavy advance for Fusly 1259	24,000-"-"
Amount received from interest from defaulters	457-15-5
Amount refunds of Salary of the Revenue Establishment	21-8-5
Do. Do. for Sanderwared	23-14-5
Amount refunds of Batta &c. paid to Servants	8-10-9
Amount recovery of advance made for repairs of Tanks &c.	4,470-4-4
Amount sale proceeds of Cloths &c. in the Court	1,802-10-11
	30,784-"-3

As these items do not from their nature come properly under the head of Sevoy Jummah revenue they are excluded from the Monthly Statements D.C. & B. now sent to the Board –

(Signed) C. Maltby
Collector.

J.G.B.

No. 398 –

In Cons: 28th July 1851 –

Read again letter dated 11th June 1851 from the Collector of South Arcot reporting upon the Sevoy Jumma balances of his District –

(Here enter No. 39.)

Read also Board's Proceedings dated 28th July 1851 calling for further information on the subject –

37-38

(Here enter No. 59.)

B. No. 1837 –
Proceedings thereon –

Submitting with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 10th December 1850 a correspondence with the Collector of South Arcot on the Sevoy Jumma balances of that district and recommending that sanction be granted for writing off the sum from Fusly 1230 to 1249 inclusive amounting to Rupees 12,031-5-11 –

In Cons: 10th December 1850 –

Resolved that the foregoing papers together with their enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation of 10th December 1850 –

In Cons: 13th October 1850 –

2 – The Board perceive that there has been some misunderstanding in regard to the Sevoy Jumma in South Arcot, that this source of Revenue has not been so neglected as was supposed – They acknowledge to having been misled by what they cannot but consider the imperfect explanation afforded by Mr. J. Bird in para 2 of his letter of 7th October 1850, and the Statement No. 1 enclosed in that letter only confirmed the erroneous impression* –

* Vide para 8 of Mr. Maltby's letter of 9th August 1851 –

3 – The Board in their Proceedings of

Fort St. George. 15th September 1851-

of 31st October 1850 did indeed show that the balances outstanding at the close of fusly 1259 were 23,238 Rupees but it would have been more correct to have stated that "the arrears due on "Sevoy Jumma had during 29 years accumulated "to Rs. 27,127 by the commencement of fusly 1259, but "a Collection of part of those arrears during "that fusly, (to the amount of 3,889 Rupees) "had reduced the balances to 23,238 Rupees."

4- Again in the following para being misled by the above Enclosure in Mr. Bird's letter it was stated that the Collections from fusly 1230 to 1259 amounted only to 14-11-, whereas it should have been "from fusly 1230 to 1249" or 20 years instead of 30 years-

×Extract Minutes Consultation 10th December 1850-

5. The Government in reviewing these Proceedings× observed that the total Demand of Sevoy Jumma for 29 fuslies i.e. from 1230 to 1258 amounted to 27,127 of which only 3,889 were collected during the whole period-

*From Mr. Maltby 14th June 1851 para 5 and Enclosure No. 2-

6- It is now clear* that this 3,889 Rupees were collected during fusly 1259 only, and the total Demand during the whole period of 29 fuslies was 2,60,203 Rupees of which 2,42,965 have been collected (including the 3,889 Rupees of fusly 1259)-

The

Fort St. George, 15th September 1851-

The following is an Abstract of the Chief Items of Collections-

	Rupees	a	P.
Cultivation made after the Jummahbundy-	99,006	„	8
Concealed Cultivation-	29,880	6	10
Boundary dispute land-	10,490	3	2
Cultivation of land belonging to roads-	361	14	9
Profit in the price of Circar share of grain in Jaghire Villages-	2,769	4	2
Resumed Cavelly income of Woodiarpolliem-	27,797	1	9
Cutbady Enam land Cultivation-	20,498	9	8
Kyratee Enam land Cultivation-	10,822	1	10
Bavasee Enam land Cultivation-	619	12	10
Davustanum land Cultivation where no Poojah is performed-	5,555	3	4
Village Officers' allowance-	13,125	14	6
Other items-	15,950	7	5
Total Rupees-	242,965	„	11

There

7– There was however other apparent discrepancies when the accounts came to be examined in detail regarding which the Board+ required information, as also whether it was certainly known what the Groſs Demand was, Mr Bird having in the last para of his letter of 7th October 1850 stated that it was not usual to exhibit in the monthly accounts the Demand, simply to enter the Collections and consider that as the demand –

+Proceedings 28th July 1851 –

8. In his letter of 9th August 1850 Mr Maltby has somewhat misunderstood one remark of the Board from his designating as Statement No 1 that which the Board have called No 2, but it is not neceſsary to call for further explanation –

9– With regard to the Groſs Demand for each individual year of the 29, Mr Maltby observes that from the fluctuating nature of the items composing the Sevoy Jumma and the demand being often unknown until after the close of the year to which they belong it is impoſsible now to prepare such

such an account; but that in future all details will be entered in the Monthly Demand Collection and Balance accounts. On this the Board have only to notice that under the head of "Sevoy Jummah" in the Demand Collection Balance account ending 11th July 1851, Collections of arrears and Tuccavy refunds seem to be mixed up together, as also the Collections of the Current and the Old Demand. This should be rectified –

10– The following is an abstract of the Items forming the "Irrecoverable Balances" of Sevoy Jumma from Fusly 1230 to 1249 inclusive; which the Board again recommend+ shall be written off –

+Proceedings 31st October 1850 para 6 –

	Rs.	a	P
Cultivation made after Jumma bundy –	3,550	6	11
Concealed Cultivation –	5123	13	11
Boundary disputed land –	682	1	9
Cultivation of land belonging to Roads –	13	1	2
Cutbady Enam Cultivation	263	8	7
Hyratee Enam Cultivation –	262	11	2
Cultivation of Enam land without heirs –	30	7	1
Davastanum Enam Cultivation where no Poojah is performed –	419	14	2
Other items –	1,685	5	1
Total Rupees –	12,031	3	11

This

12210

Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

" This balance it will now be seen forms but a small amount, considering the difficulty that exists in realizing money disputed items such as often occur in the Sevoy Jumma Demand.

Read the following Statement.

Statement

12217.

Fort St. George 15th September 1851.

B. No. 2137.

(39) Statement shewing the extent of Land Cultivated with Indigo plant and the Assessment thereof together with the estimated Quantity of Produce said to have been reaped therefrom during Fusly 1260 in the District of South Arcot.

	Extent of Land actually Cultivated.		Assessment fixed thereon.			Extent of land from which Crop is said to have been reaped.		Estimated Quantity of dry leaves produced therefrom.		Remarks.
	Cawnies	As.	C Rs.	a	P.	Cawnies	As.	Collums	M	
For Fusly 1260.	38,083	3/4	18,177	13	5	37,885	14 1/8	238,369	11.	Note. 100 Collums are equal to a Madras Garce, about 800 Collum of leaves is supposed to yield one Candy of Indigo. At this rate the quantity of leaves here shewn will produce 297 15/16 Candies, as however this Estimate is founded on information supplied by the Village Officers it cannot be perfectly relied upon.

South Arcot.
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Trivady, 30th August, 1851.

Errors Excepted (Signed) / E. Maltby
Collector

Resolved.

12218 Fort St. George, 15th September 1851-

(39-40)

No. 1808-

Resolution thereon- Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Statement be forwarded to the Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce with reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 28th January 1847-

In Cons: 15th February 1851-

Read

12219 Fort St. George 15th September 1851

Read the following letter-

No. 71

(41)

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Bd. No. 1552
From the Collr.
of Madura } Para. 1.

Recommending either discontinuance of the additional tax on Sugar Cane Cultivation or its reduction from 80 to 50 per Cent on the Teerwa.

Sir,

Fort St. George

I have the honor with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 23d. Ultimo, communicating an Extract from a Despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors, dated 11th December 1850, to request, that the Board will reconsider the assessment on Sugar Cane Cultivation in the Dindigul District.

2 Up to Fusly 1248 Sugar Cane in Dindigul bore a peculiar rate of tax as a species of Vanpype.

3 In their letter of the 13th November 1837, the Board under orders from Government directed that land cultivated with Sugar Cane by irrigation be taxed hereafter in the same manner as land employed in the cultivation of Rice.

To Bd. 8th Decr. 1837
From Do. 15th Feby 1838
To Do. 19th March
From Do. 4th June
To Do. 2d. July
From Do. 20th Septr.

4 The correspondence noted in the Margin ensued, in consequence of which only simple Nunjah Teerwa was levied on Sugar Cane Cultivation from Fusly 1248 to Fusly 1253 both inclusive.

In

5 In Para 18 of the Board's Proceedings of the 1st February 1843, reviewing the Summahbundy Settlement of Fusly 1251, they remarked, that in the Statement of Sugar Cane all the land was entered as one crop, but the Column for Fussul Jastee omitted altogether. An explanation was required, the demand for which was reiterated in their Proceedings of 6th March 1845, Para 12.

See Para 11 Board's Proceedings 22d Octr 1846.

6 It was afforded in Para 11 of Mr Blackburne's letter of 17th December 1845. He stated that no distinction of Single and double crop assessment was observed in the Dindigul Survey; but consolidated rates, to the number of 12 classes were imposed on all lands capable of yielding a second crop; and Mr Elliot the Sub Collector to whom was left the application of the Board's orders explained that he had assumed all land above 20 Cully Fanams the Goontah to be two Crop land and had imposed an addition of 80 per Cent on Sugar Cane fields assessed at less than that rate; but where such addition caused the aggregate Teerwa to exceed the amount of the original higher (or so called double Crop) rates, he remitted the excess. This mode of assessing Sugar Cane has subsisted ever since.

In

7 In their Proceedings of the 22d October 1846 Para 11 the Board observe, that the Rule adopted by Mr Elliot for distinguishing between double and Single crops is too indefinite to lead to correct conclusions, and that in the Statements furnished to them numerous examples occur both of Single and double crop land rates above 20 Cully Fanams and that some of the former are even as high as 30 Cully Fanams, and they add, that however proper it may be to impose the additional tax on the former when producing a Sugar Cane crop - it would be manifestly improper to subject the latter to a like addition, because it must be presumed to have been fully assessed according to it's productiveness as 2 crop land in the original Survey.

8 They had previously remarked, that in the Principal Collector's explanatory Paper No 269 a Statement is given of the Original classification proposed by Mr Hurdis' Turrumdars in which the Superior or double crop lands alone are arranged under 12 Classes with Separate rates of Teerwa for the first and Second crop, and that if detailed accounts of the application of these rates to fields

are still forthcoming the lands actually capable of yielding 2 crops will be seen at once and there will be no further difficulty.

9 It is more than doubtful however whether these calculations of the Turrumdars, were acted upon. If reference be made to Mr. Hurdis' report under date 16th February 1803 - Para 73 it will be seen, that he there follows the general rule of assuming a moiety as the outturn of the 2d Crop compared with the first, and the same rate is exhibited in the Statement found on the Records and forwarded with my letter of the 27th ultimo. In the Field accounts the distinction of one and two crop lands is wholly wanting and as I am unable to discover any foundation for the accounts furnished by Mr Blackburne shewing this distinction for some Villages in the gross, I conclude that the Tahsildars framed them on supposition derived from present Cultivation or their sources of irrigation. Mr Blackburne's Statement adverted to in Para 6 is

See Para 11 of his report.

tantamount

tantamount to an admission of this.

10. The settlement therefore of the whole question Vizt what have been assessed as single and what as double crop lands will take a long time, perhaps require a fresh survey; but an amendment of the Sugar Cane assessment need not I think be deferred.

11. The extra tax Vizt 80 per Cent on the Teerwa of one Crop seems to me at all events too high. It was assumed by Mr Blackburne, in his letter of the 19th March 1838 Para 2, as the proportion fixed by Mr Hurdis for the cultivation of a 2d Crop, on the strength of Statement No 5, forwarded with Mr Viveash's report of the 24th October 1831 and described by him in Para 27th as a Statement of the Estimated "Produce of Nunjah Poonjah and Garden "calculated by the Hooloos Neveez appointed for "the assessment of lands in Dindigul." —

12. Mr Hurdis' own report, however, to which I have already referred, seems to me far more trustworthy, and as it shews 50 per Cent to have been the proportionate Teerwa for the 2d Crop, I would submit, that this is the utmost

that

12224 Fort St. George 15th September 1851

that can be assessed as Fussel Jastee for Sugar Cane.

13 But as no Nunjah land in ~~in~~ Dindigul however highly assessed would be charged Fussul Jastee for an Extra crop of Paddy, I consider it more just, that pending the settlement of the general question, Sugar cane should be exempt from Extra Teerwa - Otherwise it will stand at a disadvantage as compared with Paddy, tho' if any distinction were made between the two, expediency would favor Sugar Cane, as a product in demand in foreign Countries, which the market is over=stocked with Paddy - I beg to enclose a Statement shewing that the cultivation of Sugar Cane in Dindigul is small and nearly stationery.

14 I have the honor therefore to recommend that the Levy of Extra Teerwa for Sugar Cane be dis=continued - or if that be deemed too great an indulgence, that it be reduced to 50 per Cent.

15 In conclusion I beg to refer the Board to Mr. Clarke's observations on this Subject in Paras 45 & 78 in his Jummahbundy Report for Fusly 1256 dated 30th November 1847.-

Madura, 4th July 1851

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

P.S.

It appears to me that the objections of the Honorable Court apply to Vanpyre Teerwa in General but I refrain from observation on this point as my attention has not been called to it.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Memorandum

12225 Fort St. George 15th September 1851

No. 1

Memorandum shewing the extent of Sugar Cane Cultivation in the Dindigul District from Fusly 1250 to 1259

Fuslies	Extent of Nunjah Land		Amount of Vanpyre or Garden Tax -			Nunjah tax -			Add 80 per Cent to lands taxed below 20 fs per Goontah.			Total.			Difference between Columns 3 & 6. Decrease			Increase.		
1	2		3			4			5			6			7			8		
	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1250	190	7 1/2	3,223	7	3	1,859	10	5	.	.	.	1,859	10	5	1,363	12	10	.	.	.
1251	228	6 3/4	3,193	7	.	1,837	5	7	.	.	.	1,837	5	7	1,356	1	5	.	.	.
1252	167	3/4	2,791	3	10	1,657	12	3	.	.	.	1,657	12	3	1,133	7	7	.	.	.
1253	152	1 1/2	2,482	2	4	1,394	.	3	.	.	.	1,394	.	3	1,088	2	1	.	.	.
1254	124	14	2,043	.	5	1,079	4	6	429	.	9	1,508	5	3	534	11	2	.	.	.
1255	129	6 1/4	2,100	5	4	1,817	3	.	460	3	8	1,677	6	8	422	10	8			
1256	149	3 1/4	2,419	6	11	1,374	12	5	485	.	4	1,859	12	9	559	14	2	.	.	.
1257	155	8 1/4	2,528	14	.	1,448	14	2	484	1	2	1,932	15	4	595	14	8	.	.	.
1258	147	9 3/4	2,436	14	6	1,390	1	3	489	14	.	1,879	15	3	556	15	3	.	.	.
1259	173	3/4	"	"	"	1,635	11	5	445	11	6	2,181	6	11	"	"	"			

Madura,
4th July, 1851.

The Garden tax in Col 3 for Fusly 1259 is omitted in consequence of no provision being made in the new form received from the Board.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

The

Bd No 1810
Proceedings thereon

Recording certain obser:vations respect:ing the proposal of the Collector of Madura to abolish the present tax on Sugar Cane in Dindigul or to reduce it from 80 to 50 per Cent.

Bds Pro 23d Octr 1848.

41-42

Para 1 The Board had hitherto encouraged the hope that further search into the records would have discovered the Survey double crop rates, and their application to the land, by which the remaining Nunjah might have been pronounced to be Single Crop, and the Fuzl Jasty, if liable, levied accordingly.-

2. The Collector now reports that such Scrutiny has been unsuccessful, and that the Stulwar accounts contain no distinctions as to the estimated productiveness of the land, that the rates are consolidated, and paid with:out reference to the ryot cropping once or twice.

3 He objects to the Fuzl jasty of 80 per % levied on Sugar Cane on so called Single crop lands, in-as-much, as though this rate was taken from the Hooloos nevis' calculation of the Second crop, it is more than doubtful whether this standard was ever acted upon, and he proves from the illustrations of the Settlement in Mr Hurdis's report of the 6th April 1803, that the Estimate of that Gentleman gave 50 and not 80 per % as the outturn of the Second crop..

In F.P.C. 19th March 1838 in Cons: 2d Apl —.

In Cons: 25th June 1804.

4. On these grounds Mr Parker proposes that the former rate be the

amount

amount of Fuzle jausty, if such be continued, but recommends rather that Sugar Cane be altogether exempt from this Extra teerwah, for the reason that in Dindigul it is never demanded for an additional crop, on rice lands, thus carrying out the intentions of the Honorable Court, that Sugar Cane be charged at no higher rate than any ordinary product..

5 The Board have no objection that for the present the Sugar Cane cultivation in Dindigul be similarly taxed to that of rice, though they trust that no long period will elapse before the Collector is enabled, either by the result of the labours of the Extra Establishment sanctioned on the 14th February 1851, or by actual inspection of the fields, to class the wet lands under their proper designations of one and two Crop, with the levy of an extra tax equal to 50 per Cent for Sugar Cane and for a second Rice crop on single crop lands, while Remission of the second crop will be granted to two Crop lands cultivated with only a single Crop..

Fo

No. 102

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

43

Bd. No. 2129
From the Collector of Madura.

Sir,

In reply to the Boards' Proceedings of the 21st Ultimo, I have the honor to transmit the Proceedings held in the case of the charge under Regulation IX of 1822 against the Manager of the Dindigul Pagoda with an abstract of the evidence and some short notes of my own which will be found to serve as an Index.

Madura,
28th August, 1851.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 102.

Bd. No. 1820
Proceedings thereon

43-44

Para 1. Resolved that the original Proceedings be returned to the Collector, the Board confirming the sentence to which they have reference.

2. The Collector will proceed with the disposal of Petitioners property according to Section 10 of the Regulation under which he was convicted, and Petitioner not appearing within a reasonable period, will place the Pagoda Trust in other hands.

Ordered that the following Endorsement

Endorsement be passed on Petitions 658. 1166 & 2489/1850

"The Board of Revenue on review of the Original Proceedings have approved of the judgment passed against Petitioner under Regulation IX of 1822."

Read the following letter.

No. 185

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

45

Bd. No. 2073
From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the Endorsement on Petition No. 886 of 1850, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that from a report and its Enclosures received from the Tahsildar of the Vullyoor Talook in which the lands claimed by the Petitioner are situated, it appears that the documents × 5 in No. produced by the Petitioner in support of his claim are mostly of a private nature, and that only one of them has any reference to the public accounts on record and that one called by the Petitioner a Copy of Oloogoo account of Aundoo

× Herewith returned

× 1 Cadjan astachy purporting to be executed by the Ryots to the Petitioner's Father under date the 3d. Auvany of Aundoo 983..
2 Copy of an astachy said to be executed by the Petitioner's Father under the above date
3 Copy of Kythady account of the said Aundoo
4 Copy of Oloogoo Account of Aundoo 984.
5 Copy of Kalanedie and or private account of the distribution of the proceeds of crops.