R/R
VOL No 22 90

Contents

Board's No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1091	From Madura	Reporting on the Sheragunga Zy for F. 1259	8324
1281	From Do	Reporting on the State of the Comaramady Zy	8365
1206	Pro. to Govt	Submitting the above	8376
1271	From Govt Cakeel	Appeal Suit of the Sheragunga Zy	8381
1298	From Tinnivelly	Incresing the allowance of a Curnum	8451
1186	Pro. to Do	To inform whether other inamdars are necessary	8455
1190	To Govt	Forwarding Statt of Ordinary Revenue	8456
1202	To Vizg Veltore and Chingleput	To despatch the Statt of Sales of Salt	8469
1203	To Masulipatam	To despatch Quarterly Statts	"
1194	To Malabar	Explaining how to prepare Statt of Cowle lands	"
1411	From Madura	Returning Cabalutnamahs from Guardians to Minor Zrs of Sheragunga	8475
1189	To Dale & Boyton	Forwarding the above	"
1378	Fr. Med. Board	Calling Teucatakistniah Dewr for examination	8476
1205	To Do	Informing that the above has been directed	8477
1069	From Madras	Relative to the draft of the Abkary Act	8478
1230	To Govt	Sub. to the above	8486
1373	From Do	Sanctg Sadarwanel & Extra Charges in Distts in F. 1259	8513
1363	From Do	Relative to the pecuniary of certain Inams in Nellore	8514
1229	Pro. to Nellore	Com. to the above	"
1355	From Govt	To write off Balance of F. 1258 in Bellary	8515
1238	Pro. to Bellary	Com. to the above	8517
1364	From Govt	Grant of land to Village Officers in Madura	
1209	Pro. to Madura	Com. to the above	8517
1357	From Govt	Jungle within Dharwar & Survey road in Canara	
1239	Pro. to Canara	Com. to the above	
1356	From Govt	Grant of land to Rev. Messrs Gruns & Handy in	
1208	Pro. to Reg. Govt Grants	Com. to the above	
1425	From Govt	Appointg of Assessors to Suits 38 & 41 of 1853 in N. Arcot	
1231	Pro. to N. Arcot	Com. to the above	

Contents.

Board's Let. and No.	From or to whom.	Subject.	Page
1097	From Kellogg	Report on Pet. No 2052 of 1849	8522
1236	Rep. to Do	To submit Stat. of Enams to Village Officers	8525
1393	From Do	Sub. inf. on business in Leasing Dept.	"
1412	From Bellamy	Sub. offers for Rent of Albany P.	8529
1210	Rep. to Do	Sanct. the acceptance of the above	8535
605	From Cuddeback	Report on Settlement for £ 1259	8536

8324. Fort St. George 23rd June 1857

No. 46 Read the following letter

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Court of Wards.

B. No. 1091.

From the Collector of Madura

Sir,

Fort St. George

I beg to submit my report on the Summalundy Settlement of the Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1259 with the Statements specified in the accompanying List.—

Report on the Settlement of Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1259.

31

2. The Sudr Udalut having by their Proceedings of the 8th October 1849 released the Estate from attachment a Manager was with the permission of the Court of Wards under dates the 22nd and 29th October 1849 re-employed from the 18th April 1850 being as soon as it was convenient to alter again the arrangement of the Establishment. The Summalundy of the Fusly was conducted by this Officer under the Rules in force during the Zemindark administration and

in

in July 1258.

3. The Cuttanoor Zemmindary with its Meerae Profits were made over to the Appellant in A.S. No. 53 of 1847 agreeably to the Decree of the Sudr Udalut. The Income of that Sub Division therefore does not enter into the Settlement of the present year. —

4. The Cultivation season in this Zemmindary which forms the North Eastern portion of the District was very unfavorable for Kunjah. In Sarahs two and three of my Jummabundy Report on the Government Talooks for July 1259 it was remarked that the freshes in the "Vegay" were moderate and that they were absorbed by the Channels in the Government Talooks before reaching the limits of the Zemindary. Sufficient irrigation therefore was wanting for lands dependant on Tanks and Channels supplied from the River and from the failure of the North Eastern Monsoon the Rain fed Tanks

Tanks also obtained but little water.

The result on the whole as regards Kunjah was a decrease in Cultivation the withering of a Considerable portion of the Crops and a low average outturn in those which came to Maturity Showers which fell in August and September were favorable to Poonjah Cultivation which was raised to an extent slightly greater than in the preceding year. —

The subjoined Statement exhibits the Comparison at one view. —

Item

8328.

Fort St. George 22nd June 1857.

5. The Prices of Paddy and Grain were less in 1259 than in 1258 owing to there being a considerable quantity on hand of the produce of former years, and it is believed an abundant harvest in Fanjore.—

6. The annexed Statement exhibits the Money results of the Settlement. It is unusually long from the necessity for the purpose of shewing clearly the causes of decrease of subtracting the Income of the Cullanoor Sub Division and adding the recent alienations which were carried to the Head of Sevay Jumma in July 1258 but included in the Ayn in the year under Review.

Abstract

8328

Fort St. George 22nd June 1857.

Ayah Cuttanoor and Mauld Sooyah Seermahut

Ayah wattahut and Seermahut

Steam

Query

| | | |

8327

Fort St. George 23rd June 1857.

July	Item.	Kunjah warraput and Seerwaput			Kunjah Mail Poonjah Seerwahput and Warraput		Poonjah Warraput and Seerwaput		
		Chey.	Kooroo: cumo.	Goontahs	Chey.	as	Kooroo: cumo.	as	Goontahs
	Total Cultivation	25533	12 3/4	850	7489	7 3/4	68451	11 3/4	406766	15 3/4
1258	Deduct Sub Division Collection	1332	2 3/8	3	427	2 7/8	3758	"	30462	13
	Remainder	24201	10 3/8	846	7062	4 7/8	64693	11 3/4	376304	2 3/4
	Total Cultivation	23755	9 7/8	442	5857	13 15/16	73146	5	379017	5 1/2
1259	Deduct the Cultivation of the assumed Villages	1412	9 1/16	3	293	13 1/4	4583	12	14611	3
	Remainder	22343	13/16	438	5558	11/16	68562	9	364406	2 1/2
	Increase	"	"	"	"	8 3/4	"	"	3868	13 1/4	"	"
	Decrease	1858	9 1/16	408	9 1/2	"	"	1504	4 3/16	"	"	1189	8	1/4

1258

Fort St. George 23rd June 1857.

From The Principal Collector of Godavery and
To The Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement of the Land Revenue and Sayer Collections which were effected in Fusly 1258 than in Fusly 1257 during the same month.

2. The Decrease is owing to the Crop having been a Comparatively bad one.

3. The Collections have been effected with much difficulty and trouble owing to the Ryots having been unwilling to pay their Kists, and it is believed that the Collections will be considerably heavier in the next month.

I have &c.

(Signed) Alexr. Shepherd
Principal Collector.

8329

Fort St. George. 23d. June 1857.

Abstract Settlement.-

Items.	As per the Settlement of Fusly 1258	As per the Settlement of Fusly 1259.	Increase	Decrease.
	Cash a ℘.	Cash a ℘	Cash a ℘	Cash a ℘
Kunjah including Waraput Varasayput and Seervaput	21279 6 8	18910 8 11 4	" " "	5368 7 6 4
Kunjah mailpoonjah including Waraput and Seervaput.	20674 7 11	12659 11 5	" " "	8014 12 6
Poonjah including Waraput and Seervaput	34151 7 9	34935 13 8	784 5 11	" " "
Poonjah Garden only on Seervaput tenure	3711 3 7	3589 1 1	" " "	122 2 6
Total	301333 4 11	270293 5 6	784 5 11	31824 5 4

Net Decrease 31039-15-5

do. for last year.

Soornadayem Straw &c.	23148 11 10	21144 11 6	" " "	2004 " 4
Total	324482 " 9	261438 1 "	784 5 11	63828 5 8

Net Decrease 63043-15-9

Kunjah including Waraput Varasayput and Seervaput	" " "	9997 13 11	9997 13 11	" " "
Kunjahmail poonjah including Waraput and Seervaput.	" " "	822 13 7	822 13 7	" " "
Poonjah including Waraput & Seervaput	" " "	2215 15 "	2215 15 "	" " "
Poonjah Garden only on Seervaput tenure	" " "	204 1 5	204 1 5	" " "
Total	" " "	13240 11 11	13240 11 11	" " "
Soornadayem Straw &c.	" " "	1485 13 1	1485 13 1	" " "
Total	" " "	14726 9 "	14726 9 "	" " "

Grand Total. 429866 15 10 364883 14 4 8570 1 10 73553 3 4 Net Decrease 64983-4-6

Alienations resumed which last year ceased to Head of Jemmabundy

From

8329

Fort St. George 23d. June 1857.

Abstract Settlement.—

Kunjah including Waraput Varseyput and Jeervaput	15154	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	15154	"	5		
Kunjah mail poonjah including Waraput and Jeervakput	1655	7	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	1655	7	4		
Poonjah including Waraput & Jeervaput	1794	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	1794	2	7		
Poonjah Garden only including Jeervaput tenure	330	6	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	330	6	4		
Total	18934	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	18934	"	8		
Poornadoyem Straw &c.	1717	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1717	14	"		
Total	20651	14	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	20651	14	8		
Kunjah including Waraput Vareseyput and Jeervaput	257950	2	1	199106	9	3	"	"	"	58843	8	10		
Kunjah mail poonjah including Waraput and Jeervaput	22329	15	3	13482	9	"	"	"	"	8847	6	3		
Poonjah including Waraput & Jeervaput	25945	10	4	37151	12	8	1206	2	4	"	"	"		
Poonjah Garden only on Jeervaput tenure	4841	9	11	3793	2	6	"	"	"	2487	5	"		
Total	320267	5	7	253534	1	5	1206	2	4	67939	6	6	Net Decrease	66733-4-2
Poornadayem Straw &c.	24866	9	10	22630	8	7	"	"	"	2236	1	3		
Total	345133	15	5	276164	10	"	1206	2	4	70175	7	9	Do.	68969-5-5
Add.														
Village Rent	9256	10	8	90	50	"	"	"	"	206	10	8		
Quit Rent of Dhurmasanem &c.	7755	"	3	64883	"	3	"	"	"	2872	"	"		
Revenue of Sollaputs	5279	8	6	12643	8	"	7363	15	6	"	"	"		
Fixed Village Rent	2041	13	"	2041	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Chank.	400	"	"	100	15	1	"	"	"	299	"	11		
Total	84733	"	5	88719	44		7363	15	6	3377	11	7	Net Increase	3986-3-11
Grand Total	429866	15	10	364883	14	4	8570	1	10	73553	3	4	Net Decrease	64983-4-6

Villages belonging to the Cullamar Div.n that were included in the last and have been restored to their respective owners Zemindar &c included from the Cullamar Fasli Settlement from the present

Total of 2 Sheets.

From

From the above it is seen that
the Settlement of the year was Rs. 3,64,883-14-4 as-p
being less than that of the year preceding by
Rs. 64983-1-6. In the Villages Common to the
accounts of both Fuslies there was a decrease
of no less than Rs. 53,687-6-4 as-p in Kunjah which
is Settled almost entirely on a division of
Crop - The loss by withered Kunjah Crops
is included in this. The decrease in the
extent Cultivated owing to want of water
has been exhibited in a preceding Statement.

Statement No. 1.

Reference to Statement 1 will shew that
the average produce per Chey also was less by
about 1/9th being 9-2 8 5-21/16 in Fusly 1258
and 8-2-19/32 in the year under review - A
further Cause of diminution was a considerable
fall of price the rate per Cullum of the Chief
produce Paddy having been Rs. as-p 1-1-1/2 in Fusly
1258 and 15-11-1/16 in Fuslys 1259.

8. The loss by the transfer of the Cullanoor
Hemindary was the difference between a Settlement
of Rs. a-p 20,651-14-8 in Fuslys 1258 and the proportion
of Peshcush 7363-15-6 which only it paid in the present

20651-14-8
7363-15-6
13287-15-2

To B.d 23d April 1822
From B.d 27th May 1822

present year, less the expenses of management
which were Rs. a-p 719-13-2 in Fuslys 1258 - It is evident
that the objections made at the time to the Suffi-
ciency of Peshcush apportioned in this Sub
Division were not without foundation though they
were over ruled.

Of the Villages entered in State-
9 ment No. 9 of the previous Fusly all with exc-
-eption of only two Viz.t Rajapooly Yamdul
and Vadagoody paria Hamdul were included
in Ayn in the Fusly under Report - Those two
have been delivered to the Cowledars the validity
of whose Cowles having been subsequently ques-
-tioned an enquiry is in progress in regard to
them as well as into the Complaints of the late
Incumbents of some of the Villages which have been
included in Ayn.

The Collections from the above
10 Villages Amounting to 14726-9-" formed an
set off to the loss of the Cullanoor Sub Division
as owing to the late period in Fusly 1258 at which
the Hemmandary was taken under the Court of Wards
the greater part of their Income in that year had
been previously appropriated leaving 1660 only to be
Collected

Vide Para 13 Report for Fusly 1258

Statement No. 7.

Collected - From the Increase of income under this Head must be deducted the decrease of Quit Rent 2872 which was obtained from them under the grantees.

11 The Chank Fishery was held under Amany from want of offers and the Net value of the Chanks obtained after deduction of the expences is estimated at Rs. 100 - 15 - 1 only but has not yet been realized.

No. 4 12. Of the Settlement the Collections within the Fasly were only 137372-7-6 and the subsequent Receipts up to the end of January last 112571-7-2 leaving a Balance then outstanding of 114939-15-8 Of this 104753-8-6 is the value of Paddy in hand. This Produce was as usual sold by Auction at rates determined by the kists the purchaser paying when taking it. Owing to the supply in the Market being greater than the demand the Contractors remove the produce very slowly. Of the ready Money balance the sum of 4443-15-2 under the Head of Poliputs is not really due but appears only from an oversight in not immediately adjusting an Amount retained for Peshcush in the delivery of the Mesne Profits of the Cuttanoor Zemindary.

The

	Rs. as. P.
The arrears of quit rent on Dhurmasanam Villages &c. are	3433-1-8
Particulars thereof-	
Amount which will be easily collected -	406-12-10
Do. to be collected from future income of Villages under attachment ..	1052-8-4
Do. to be Collected after Settlement of the disputes of certain Villages also under attachment	1045-10-7
Do. to be brought to account from the sale proceeds of the produce of certain private lands of the Zemindar.	475-15-5
Do. to be collected after Issue of final orders by the Manager - -	48-2-0
	3029-1-2
Arrears in dispute since a long time	423-3-2
Do. in dispute in Fasly 1259 ———	15-9-0
	438-12-2
Total ———	3467-13-4
Deduct over Collections made ———	34-11-8
Balance ———	3453-1-8

No. 8 13- Statement 8 shews that on the 31st

31st January last there was a Balance of Peshcush due to Government for Fusly 1259 of 108619-1-8 which is about equivalent to the Balance on the Settlement but it should be observed that the Collections of Fusly 1259 supplied all the charges of Fusly 1260 up to the period when the Revenue of that year began to be collected amounting to about Rupees 13515-3-7 besides heavy Law Charges & large expenditure on Tanks which will tell favorably on the Revenue of future years and the refund to the Cuttanoor Zemindary Amounting to 4905-2-1 Rs. as P.

No 10 14. I enclose a Statement of the Balances still outstanding in accounts for Fusly 1258 Amounting altogether to 24309-5-1 Of the 8618-12-11 in the Gummahundy values of Paddy sold in the Zemindary time to Natchy appah Chetty and paid for though withheld until certain accounts could be examined and 3255-9-9 value of grain to be delivered in lieu of an arrear of wages to Servants under the orders

orders of the Court of Wards under date July 26th of 1849. Of the remainder there are valid excuses for nonpayment of about 1800 Rupees and it will be necessary to bring a Suit for recovery of about 2500 more due by a Contractor Mootoo sawmy Pillay About 5000 Rs. worth of Grain is in hand and will be sold when Purchasers can be obtained.

No 2. 15. This Statement contains the Details of the Gummahundy and I enclose therein an additional Statement A explanatory of differences which will be observed in comparison with Statement No 2 of Fusly 1258.

16 In consequence of the Zemindary being in charge of a Manager I have considerably curtailed the length of the various Statements but still kept them more full than was the case in regard to the Rammad Zemindary when in charge of the Court of Wards I hope that the ample details afforded by the Statement No 2 of Fusly 1258 will prevent the Court being at a loss for any Particulars of the Statistics.

Statistics of the Zemindary.

17. In Conclusion I beg to state that the Minor has studied during the year the English Tamil and Telugoo lan: guages. In the former he has made satis: factory progress for his age and the time occupied and he can read and write the other two with readiness. He has resided during the Fusly at Shevagunga but a house at Madura belong: ing to the Zemmindary has been repaired for his occupation into which he will move when it is thoroughly dry.

18. During the Fusly under Report Unga: mootoo Nachear the Appellant before the Sudr Udalut died she was the sole surviving widow of the Sivaganga Zemmindar whose daughters have applied to the Sudr Udalut for permission to continue the

FR

the Appeal a question which that Court had at one time decided in the negative, but have again taken into consideration on a renewed Petition.

19. In this uncertain state of affairs I have done nothing more towards the alteration in the mode of settlement suggested in Para 10 of the Board's Proceedings of the 22d July last than to propose them to the manager's consideration in order that he may ascertain the feelings of the Ryots on the subject.

Madura, 7th May, 1851.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Abstract

Fort St. George.

23rd June 1857.

ent of each Item of the Minor Zemindary of Shevagungah for Fusly 1259 compared to that of 1258.

	Cheys.	Land: Coorkums	Land: Goontahs	Settlement of Fusly 1258. Proprietor's share: Average Quantity of produce per Chey 8 ann.	Total Quantity.	Average Rate per Cullem.	Total Amount.	Value: Average amount per Chey 18 ann.	Cheys.
	2.	3	4	5	6.	7	8	9	10
		A°	A°	A°	Cull° Mds Mre	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	
Assessment in Kind	24642	3 4/16	850	7 1/4	" "	1 1 1/2	2,45,878 8 8	9 14 3 3/8	22969 5 3/16
in Money	891	8 11/16	" "	" "	3/4	" " "	12,071 9 5	13 8 7 10/16	786 4 11/16
Total	25533	12 3/4	850	7 1/4	3/4	" " "	2,57,950 2 1	" " "	23755 9 14/16
Assessment in Kind	7078	13 1/4	" "	" "	" "	" " "	22,634 11 1/4	" " "	2097 12 12/16
in Money	410	10 1/2	" "	" "	" "	3 4 1 1/4	21359 4 1	2 14 11 3/4	547 15/16
Total	7489	7 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" " "	1,544 14 7	3 12 2 5/16	354 1 5/16
Assessment in Kind	33023	4 1/2	850	7 1/2	4 3 1/4	" " "	22,329 15 3	" " "	5851 13 15/16
in Money	" "	" "	2801	12 1/4	" "	" " "	21,359 4 1	" " "	2960 7 13/16
Total	" "	" "	65649	15 1/2	" "	" " "	2,80,280 1 4	" " "	" "
Assessment in Kind	" "	" "	68451	11 3/4	" "	6 3 1/4	1526 5 5 1/2	4 14 10 1/8	1417 7 8
in Money	" "	" "	4067	66 15 3/4	" "	" " "	34528 2 8	" " "	8 5
Total	" "	" "	68451	11 3/4	4067	66 15 3/4	35945 10 4	" " "	" "
Grand Total	33023	4 1/2	69302	3	4067	67 3	1526 5 5 1/2	" " 1 14/16	" "
Money Collections							4041 9 11	" " "	" "
							39987 4 3	" " "	" "
							252520 9 3/4	" " 29,607 7 13/16	
							32,026 5 7		
							24866 9 10		
							34,5733 15 5		
							677 55 " 3		
							5279 8 6		
							9256 10 8		
							2041 13 "		
							400 " "		
							84,733 " 5		
							42,986 15 10		

Settlement of Fusly 1259. Land: Coorkums	Goontahs	Proprietor's share: Average Quantity of produce per Chey 8 ann.	Total Quantity.	Average rate per Cullem.	Value: Total Amount.
11	12	13	14	15	16
		Cull° Mds Mre	Cull° Mds Mre	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔
442	1 3/16 "	" 12	8 2 19/32	1,88,677 9 23/4	" 15 11 1/16	1,87,762 5 10
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,344 3 5
442	1 3/4 "	" 12	" " "	1,88,677 9 23/4	" " "	199106 9 3
"	" "	" "	2 10 3 6/16	158335 6 5/4	" 12 35/32	12,137 4 2
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,345 4 10
"	" "	" "	15 835	6 5/4	" " "	13,482 9 "
442	1 3/4 "	" 12	" " "	204573 4 2	" " "	2,12,589 2 3
3361	8 3/4 "	" "	" 4 2 25/32	12,50 32 1/2	" 12 8 23/64	992 2 3
69784	10 1/4 "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	36159 10 5
73146	5 "	" "	" " "	12,50 32 1/2	" " "	37151 12 8
"	" 37	9017 11/32	" " "	" " "	" " "	3793 2 6
73146	5 "	37 9017 11/32	" " "	1250 32 1/2	" " "	40944 15 2
73588	6 3/4	37 9018 13/32	" " "	205763 7 4 1/2	" " "	2,53,534 1 3
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22630 8 7
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27,6164 10 "
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	64883 " 3
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,643 8 "
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9050 " "
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2041 13 "
"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	100 15 1
						88719 4 4
						3,64,883 14 4

8336. | Fort St. George

23rd June 1857.

Average Amount per Chey	Six Villages included in the Settlements of Fasles 1268 & 69. Land		Amount Value	Accessions during the present Ry in last year of which the proceeds above Rupees Land			Increase incorporation with Nein of Villages assumed, were then carried to Sevy Jummah		Total Land			Proprietors share of Produce	Amount Value
	Coorkums	Goontahs		Cheys	Coorkums	Goontahs	Proprietors share of Produce	Amount Value	Cheys	Coorkums	Goontahs		
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Rs a P			Rs a P									Cullas Mds Mre	Rs a P
8 2 63/64	" " " "	" " " "	" " "	14.00	8 1/16	3 12	" "					10059 11 4	9810 9 4
14 6 10 1/16	" " " 8 3/4	" " "	" " "	12 1	" "	" "	" "	187 4 7				" " "	187 4 7
" " "	" " " 8 3/4	" " "	" " "	1412	9 1/16	3 12	" "					10059 11 4	9997 13 11
2 3 3 14/16	" " " "	" " " "	" " "	293	7 1/16	" "	" "					729 6 3	822 2 8
3 12 9 31/64	" " " "	" " " "	" " "	"	5 13/16	" "	" "	10 11				" " "	10 11
" " "	" " " "	" " "	" " "	293	13 1/4	" "	" "					729 6 3	822 13 7
" " "	" " " 8 3/4	" " "	" " "	1706	6 5/16	3 12	" "					10789 5 3	10820 11 6
" 4 8 41/64	177 11 1/2	" " "	" " "			397 7	" "		158 " 3 1/2	575 2 1/2	8 "	158 " 3 1/2	135 " 10
" 8 3 21/64	3691 13 1/4	" " "	1338 12	" "	" "	4186 5	" "					" " "	3418 15 4
" " "	3868 13 1/4	" " "	1338 12	" "	" "	4583 12	" "		158 " 3 1/2	2215 15 "	" "	158 " 3 1/2	3554 " 2
" " 1 59/64	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "					" " "	204 15
" " "	3868 13 1/4	" " "	1338 12	" "	" "	4583 12	" "		158 " 3 1/2	2420 " 5	" "	158 " 3 1/2	3758 1 7
" " "	3868 13 1/4	" " 8 3/4	1338 12	1706	6 5/16	4587 8	" "		10947 6 1/2	13240 11 11	1706 6 5/16	8456 5 1/4	14611 11 3/4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "					" " "	14851 31
" " "	" " "	" " "	1338 12	" "	" "	" "	" "					" " "	16064 10 2
			7363 15 6										7363 15 6
			8702 " 8										23428 9 8

8340

For Ft St George

23rd June 1857.

Decrease.

Main Villages included in the Settlements of Fusliee 1258 & 59.

Cottanoor Zemindary Lundy restored to its

Land. Cheys.	Coorrums.	Goontahs.	Proprietor's Share of Produce.	Amount Value.	Land. Cheys.	Coorrums.
31	32	33	34	35	36	37
			Culls Ms Mre	Rs a P		
1744	7 7/16	408	9 1/2	36,122 7 3	52,813 6 6	1328	45 5/16	3	8
114	2 1/8	"	"	" "	873 15 10	3	3 1/16	"	"
1858	9 9/16	408	9 1/2	36,122 7 3	53,087 6 4	1332	26/16	3	8
1447	10 3/4	"	"	4,522 9 2 3/4	7,815 12 8	426	13 3/16	"	"
56	9 7/16	"	"	" "	198 15 10	"	5 9/16	"	"
1504	4 3/16	"	"	4,522 9 2 3/4	8614 12 6	427	2 14/16	"	"
3362	13 3/4	408	9 1/2	40,645 4 5 3/4	61702 2 10	1759	5 1/4	3	8
"	"	"	"	428 6 2	553 11 3	"	"	15	6
"	"	"	"	" "	" "	"	"	3742	10
"	"	"	"	428 6 2	553 11 3	"	"	3758	4
"	"	"	"	11898 1/4	" "	122	2 6	"	"
"	"	"	"	11898 1/4	428 6 2	675	13 9	"	"	3758	4
3362	13 3/4	408	9 1/2	11898 1/4	41073 11 1 3/4	62378	" 7	1759	5 1/4	3761	8
"	"	"	"	"	" "	2004	" 4	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	" "	64382	" 11	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	" "	2872	" "	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	" "	206	10 8	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	" "	299	" 11	"	"	"	"
						3377	11 7				
						67759	12 6				

included in the last year's Summar proprietor in the present year.

Goontahs.	Proprietor's Share of Produce.	Amount Value.
38	39	40
" "	Culls Ms Mre	Rs a P
" "	14,894 5 1/2	15,113 5 8
" "	" " "	40 10 9
" "	14,894 5 1/2	15154 " 5
" "	1730 6 2	1654 2 6
" "	" " "	1 4 10
" "	1730 6 2	1655 7 4
" "	16624 11 2 1/2	16809 7 9
" "	5 8 4 1/2	6 11 4
" "	" " "	1787 7 7
" "	5 8 4 1/2	1794 2 7
304 62 13	" " "	330 6 4
304 62 13	5 8 4 1/2	2124 8 11
304 62 13	16630 8 1	18934 " 8
" "	" " "	1717 14 "
" "	" " "	20651 14 8
" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "
		20651 14 8

Total.

Land. Cheys.	Coorrums.	Goontahs.	Proprietor's Share of Produce.	Amount Value.
41	42	43	44	45
3073	6 3/4	412 1/2	" "	51017 " 3 1/2	67926 12 2
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	914 10 7
3190	11 15/16	412 1/2	" "	51017 " 3 1/2	68841 6 9
1874	8 1/16	" "	" "	6253 3 4 3/4	9469 15 2
56	15	" "	" "	" " "	200 4 8
1931	7 1/16	" "	" "	6253 3 4 3/4	9670 3 10
5122	3	412 1/2	" "	57270 4 2 1/4	78571 10 7
"	"	156	" "	434 3 1/2	560 6 3
3742	10	" "	" "	" " "	1787 7 7
3758	4	" "	" "	434 3 1/2	2347 13 10
42360	13 1/4	" "	" "	" " "	452 8 10
37758	4	42360	13 1/4	434 3 1/2	2800 6 8
4170	1 1/2	42360	13 1/4	57704 7 2 3/4	81312 1 3
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	3721 14 4
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	85033 15 7
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	2872 " "
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	206 10 8
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	" " "
"	"	" "	" "	" " "	299 " 11
				3377 11 7
				884 11 2
			Net	64983 1 6

8341 Fort St George

23rd June 1851.

Net Increase.

Land. Coorums.	Land. Goontahs.	Value.	Average Amount per Chey 8fas.	Cheys.	Coorums.
46	47	48	49	50	51
		Pds a P			
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	1672	14 11/16	408	5½
〃 〃 〃	8 3/4	〃 〃 〃	〃 14 27/16	105	4 3/16	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	8 3/4	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	1778	2 14/16	408	5½
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	1581	10/16	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 7 11/64	56	9 3/16	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	1637	9 13/16	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	8 3/4	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	3415	12 11/16	408	5½
559 12½	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
4134 12¾	〃 〃	1631 7 9	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
4694 9¼	〃 〃	1631 7 9	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 1/64	〃	〃	〃	〃
4694 9¼	〃 〃	1631 7 9	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
4694 9¼	〃 8¾	1631 7 9	〃 〃 〃	3415	12 11/16	408	5½
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	1631 7 9	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	7363 15 6	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
		7363 15 6					
		8995 7 3					

Net Decrease.

Goontahs.	Proprietor's share of produce. Average Quantity per Chey 8fas.	Total Quantity	Average rate per Callum	Value. Total Amount.	Average Amount per Chey.
52	53	54	55	56	57
〃	1 〃 4 39/64	40957	1 3½	〃	1 27/16	58116	2 10	1 12 1 25/64
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	727	6 〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	40957	1 3½	〃 〃 〃	58843	8 10	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	3 3 23/32	8647	12 6	〃 11 7 14/16
〃 〃	1 3 14/16	5523	9 1¾	〃 〃 〃	199	9 9	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	8847	6 3	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	5523	9 1¾	〃 〃 〃	67690	15 1	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	46480	10 5¼	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	2 15/32	276	2 3	〃	2 14 9/64	425	5 5	〃 3 4 31/64
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 1 33/64
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	276	2 3	〃 〃 〃	425	5 5	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	248	7 5	〃 〃 〃
27749 10¼	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	673	12 10	〃 〃 〃
27749 10¼	〃 〃 〃	276	2 3	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
27749 10¼	〃 〃 〃	46757	12¼	〃 〃 〃	68364	11 11	〃 〃 〃
27749 10¼	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	2236	1 3	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	70600	13 2	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	2872	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	206	10 8	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	299 〃 11	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃	〃 〃 〃
					3377 11 7
					73978 8 9
				Net	64983 1 6

Madura, 11th July, 1850

E. Co. Fx. / Signed H. D. Parker Collector. Detail

Fort St. George

23d June 1851.

...t of the Minor ...dary of Shevaganga for July 1259.

...l No. of Villages.			Total No. of Ryots.	Total Cultivation.				Deductions: Shares.				Enams &c.		Total.			
...s.	Hamlets	Total.		Cheys	as	Coorm Putm	as	Cheys	as	Coorm Putm	as	Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Coorm Putm	as
3	4	5		7				8				9		10			
3	27	90	2811	2103	14 15/16	5	2	194	4 6/16	"	"	98	13 12/16	293	2 3/16	"	"
				1316	8	1	4	132	5 3/16	"	"	60	15 7/16	193	4 9/16	"	"
1/4	10	68 1/4	1534	2211	12 5/16	12	4	129	2 1/4	"	"	105	9 1/16	234	11 8/16	"	"
1/4	201 1/2	244 6/16	3175														
	134	142	1216														
	38	81 1/2	4752	3540	8 10/16	43	1 3/4	103	12 15/16	"	"	316	8 1/2	420	4 13/16	"	"
	8	96 3/4	1272	1264	1 13/16	32	4	"	"	"	"	32	15 13/16	32	15 13/16	"	"
	38	161 1/2	5017	2588	10 3/16	11	"	161	8 8/16	"	"	89	9 11/16	251	2 3/16	"	"
	"	60 6/16	1411	911	1 3/4	102	2	1	10 1/2	"	"	20	2 1/2	21	13	"	"
	24	88 12/16	1959	1767	3	29	"	7	6 3/4	"	"	40	4 1/2	47	11	"	"
	4	68 4/16	1664	1381	8 3/4	49	4	42	1/2	"	"	38	12	80	12 1/2	"	"
	52	95 1/2	2366	936	15 1/4	154	"	174	9 1/4	"	"	53	5 1/2	227	14 3/4	"	"
	31	92 12/16	2065	1215	1 14/16	3	12	272	10 15/16	1	"	44	9 5/16	317	4 1/2	1	"
	11 1/2	63	1773	1686	15 6/16	9	8	455	7 6/16	9	8	54	2 14/16	509	10 1/4	9	8
	21	91 1/2	2522	2981	14 1/4	"	"	345	7 1/4	"	"	144	4	489	11 1/4	"	"
599 3/4		1445 1/4 Parts 5 15/16	33537	23906	4 2/16	452	9 3/4	2020	5 2/16	10	8	1100	13/16	3120	6 1/4	10	8

Land Revenue.
Nunjah.
Paddy.
in Kind.

Balance.				Quantity of actual Produce.			Proprietors Share.			Deduct Grain Charges.			Balance.		
11				12			13			14			15		
Cheys	as	Coorm Putm	as	Collums	M^d	M^s	Collums	M^d	M^s	Collums	M^d	M^s	Collums	M^d	M^s
1810	12 13/16	5	2	30221	"	4 1/2	13205	8	3 1/2	102	1	1 1/2	13103	7	2
1123	3 7/16	1	4	16572	7	1	7499	2	5 1/2	90	5	3 1/2	7408	9	2
1977	13/16	12	4	37188	1	1 1/2	17336	9	4	415	11	1	16920	10	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3120	3 13/16	43	1 3/4	53794	6	4	24875	1	3	329	9	2 1/2	24545	4	1/2
1231	2	32	4	20772	4	1	9917	7	5	161	2	5 1/2	9756	4	5 1/2
2337	8	11	"	47345	5	1/2	22652	3	5	518	11	1/2	22133	4	4 1/2
889	4 3/4	102	2	18886	8	1 3/4	8856	9	3	74	3	3/4	8782	6	2 1/2
1719	8	29	"	38385	2	5	17396	10	4	133	4	1/2	17263	6	3 1/2
1300	12 1/4	49	4	19740	9	3 1/2	8815	4	5	214	8	1	8600	8	4
709	8/16	154	"	11410	7	2 1/2	5080	4	"	24		5	5056	3	1
897	13 6/16	2	12	13105	11	2	5767	6	5 1/4	157	9	4	5609	9	1 1/4
1177	5 2/16	"	"	18929	6	4	8074	6	1 1/2	799	10	4	7274	7	3 1/2
2492	3	"	"	48310	"	2 1/2	20801	5	5	271	10	"	20529	7	5
20785	13 4/16	442	13/4	374662	11	3 3/4	170279	10	2	3294	3	5 3/4	166985	6	2 1/4

8343. For. St. George

23d June 1851.—

Land
Nunjah
Paddy

Revenue
Continued.

Paying in fixed Quantity.

Total Cultivation.	Deductions. Shanee.	Deductions. Enams &c.	Deductions. Total.	Balance.	Proprietors Share.	Deduct Grain Charges.	Balance.	Land.	Proprietors share of Value Produce.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25 26
Cheys as	Cheys as	Cheys as	Cheys as	Cheys as	Colls. Ms. M	Colls. Ms. M	Colls. Ms. M	Cheys as Cowbas	Colls. Ms. M CRS as ds
4 "	4 "	4 "	4 "	4 "	4 " "	" " "	" " "	1,810 12 19/18 5 2	13,103 4 2 12,945 14 5
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,123 37/16 1 4	7,408 9 2 7,756 1 1
5 7/8 14 7/16	" "	3 5 11/16	3 5 11/16	54 8 3/4	55 7 1	" " "	557 7 1	2,031 9 9/16 12 4	17,478 5 4 17,859 1 1
14 11 7/16	1 1 10/16	89 1 3/16	90 2 13/16	1321 4 1/4	13725 1 3	" " "	13725 1 3	1,321 4 1/4 " "	13725 1 3 13131 5 11
1153 13 5/16	120 9 15/16	225 9 1/16	346 3	807 10 5/16	7420 8 3 1/2	11 2 1	7409 6 2 1/2	3,927 14 2/16 43 13 1/4	31954 10 3 28459 13 3
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1231 2 32 4	9456 4 5 1/2 7925 1 2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,337 8 11 "	22,133 4 4 1/2 21095 14 8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	889 4 3/4 102 2	8782 6 2 1/2 9468 11 "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1719 8 29 "	17263 6 3 1/2 18882 " 6
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1300 12 1/4 49 4	8600 8 4 9541 7 8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	709 1/2 154 "	5056 3 1 5372 4 9
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	897 13 6/16 2 12	5609 9 1/4 6135 12 3
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1177 5 2/16 " 4	7274 7 3 1/2 7389 5 1
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,492 3 " "	20529 7 5 21798 9 "
2623 2 3/16	121 11 9/16	317 15 13/16	439 11 1/2	2183 7 5/16	21703 5 1/2	11 2 1	21692 3 1/2	22969 5 3/16	442 13 3/4 188677 9 2 3/4 187762 5 10

D.G.

8314 Fort St. George

23rd June 1851.—

Land

Paddy.
Money Tax

Revenue.
Nuncjah.

Deductions

Akavee. Enams &c.

Balance.

Total Cultivation.		Assessment.			Land.		Assessment.		Land.		assessment.		Total. Land.		assessment.		Deduct Enams &c.		Remainder. Land.		assessment.	
27		28			29		30		31		32		33		34		35		36		37		38	
Cheys	a	C	Rs	a p	Cheys	a	Rs	a p	Cheys	a	Rs	a p	Cheys	a	Rs	a p	Cheys	a	Rs	a p	Cheys	a p	Rs	a p
650	14 9/16	7,184	1	"	161	2 6/16	1,839	15 8	17	5 6/16	184	15 10	178	7 15/16	2,074	15 6	472	6 10/16	5,109	1 6	23	5 4	5,085	12 2
"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	12	4	9	4 "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	4	"	" "	"	12	7	9 4	6	8 "	1	1 4
"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "

8345 Fort St. George

23d June 1854.—

Land Ninjah Garden

Money tax. Deductions. Enam &c.

Revenue Cont.[s] / Cont.[d] / Cont.[a] /

Money tax. Balance. | Deduct fixed allowance for cloths to parties.

Total.

Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Balance.
39		40		41	42	43		44	45	46	47	48	49	50
Cheys	as Cooks	as	Rs a p	Cheys	as	Rs a p	Cheys	a	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
26	2 1/4 "	"	1,238 6	"	"	"	1	4	15 8 11	1 4	15 8 11	24 14 1/4	1,222 13 1	" " "	1,222 13 1
"	" "	"	" " "	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	2 14/16 "	"	1 12 8	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	" "	2 14/16	1 12 8	" " "	1 12 8
"	7 11/16 "	"	28 9 5	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	" "	7 11/16	28 9 5	" " "	28 9 5
12	6 6/16 "	"	906 10 2	"	"	"	"	4	8/16 7 1	" 8/16	7 1 "	11 14 5/16	899 9 2	" " "	899 9 2
"	4 "	4	" " "	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	13 2/16 "	"	74 15 10	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	4	13 2/16	74 15 10	" " "	74 15 10
"	2 1/2 "	"	" 12 6	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	4	2 1/2	" 12 6	" " "	" 12 6
"	4 "	"	" " "	"	"	"	"	4	" "	" 4	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" "	"	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" "	"	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
30	8 7/16 "	12	1,378 3 6	"	"	"	"	"	2 "	10 "	2	"	10 "	" " "	10 "
18	12 1/4 "	"	625 8 11	"	"	"	"	"	3 "	15 "	3	"	15 "	" " "	15 "
26	17 1/16 "	"	294 14 4	"	"	"	"	"	4 3/16 1	4 10	4 3/16	1	4 10	" " "	4 10
205	14 15/16 "	"	1,924 " 3	"	8 15/16	2 99	9	7/4	16 7	11 4 10	12	3	1,377 9 6	" " "	1,377 9 6
											10	3/16	170 8 7	195 14 1/4	1,753 7 8	17 11 11	1,735 11 9
328	7/2 "	12	6,473 13 7	"	8 15/16	2 99	11	12/2	193 6 7		12	5 7/16	196 " 4	313 2/16	6,277 13 3	20 7 4	6,257 5 11

8346 Fort St. George

23d June 1851.—

Land Revenue

Nunjahmail Poonjah.

Paying in kind.

Total Money tax on Paddy fields & Gardens.		Total of Grain and Money Taxes.												Deductions.		Balance.									
Land.	Garden.	Land.				Garden.				Total Cultivation.		Shares.		Enams &c.	Total.	Land.		Actual produce.		Quantity of the Proprietor's Share.		Deduct Urdamanum &c.		Balance.		Value.		
51	52	53				54				55		56		57	58	59		60		61		62		63		64		
Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Rs	a	p	Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Cheys	as	Colls	m	m	Colls	m	m	Colls	m	m	Rs	a	p
497	4 14/16	"	"	4	6308	9	3	2,308	1 11/16	5	2	"	"	19,264	7	8	301	7	1	6	14	10	16	"	285	7	2,048	4	2½	64a	4	1½	16	6	1	623	10	½	813	14	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,123	37/16	1	4	"	"	7,756	1	1	164	7½	"	3	7	9	7	12	159	11½	1,133	2	4	383	10	3	36	6	1½	347	4	1½	306	4	11
"	14 14/16	"	"	2	14	"	"	2,032	3 7/16	12	4	"	"	17,861	8	1	256	10	"	"	9	10 6/11	9	10 6/11	246	15 10/11	2,597	7	5½	912	8	5½	3	11	4	908	9	1½	939	5	1
"	7 11/16	"	"	2	8	9	5	1,321	1 13/16	"	"	"	"	13,159	15	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	14 5/16	"	"	4	899	9	2	3,939	12 7/16	43	1¾	"	"	29,359	6	5	636	8 14/16	"	2 10/16	72	8 9/16	72	10 15/16	563	13 15/16	6,023	2	5	1,713	4	5	24	10	4½	1,688	6	½	1,441	3	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,231	2 32	4	"	"	"	7,927	1	2	137	¼	1	"	2	12	3	12	133	4¼	916	5	"	313	9	3½	28	7	2	285	"	1½	153	8	5
4	13 2/16	"	"	74	15	10	2,342	5 2/16	11	"	"	"	"	21,170	14	6	402	8½	"	4	15	7	15	7	387	1½	4,700	2	2	1,644	5	½	66	"	1½	1,578	4	6	1,046	7	7
"	2½	"	"	"	12	6	889	7/16	102	2	"	"	"	9,469	7	6	160	4½	"	"	"	11	"	11	159	9½	12,45	9	3/16	437	"	4/16	27	5	4	409	7	1/16	205	10	7
"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,719	8 29	"	"	"	"	18,892	"	6	149	11½	"	"	"	7½	"	7½	149	4	973	7	4/16	334	11	3/16	"	"	"	334	11	3/16	192	10	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,300	12/16	49	4	"	"	9,541	7	8	369	7	"	"	4	9	4	9	364	14	2721	5	3	907	1	6	30	4	5½	876	8	5½	517	5	3
30	6 1/16	"	12	1,377	9	6	739	6 7/16	154	"	"	12	6,749	14	3	1,010	10¾	"	8	27	8¼	28	¼	982	10½	10123	6	4	3,361	8	1	31	"	1¾	3,330	7	5/16	1,808	2	6
18	9¼	"	"	624	9	11	916	6 13/16	2	12	"	"	6,760	6	2	719	6 9/16	2	9	12	8	15	1	704	5 9/16	6,100	6	2	2,004	11	1¼	49	3	4½	1,950	7	2¾	1,644	5	3
25	13/16	"	"	290	14	1	1,203	2 5/16	"	"	"	"	7,699	3	2	733	5½	2	8	8	9½	11	11/16	722	4 1/16	5,804	2	4	1,830	"	3½	106	2	5¼	1,723	9	4¼	1,516	1	11
196	14 3/16	"	"	1,735	11	9	2,683	1¾	"	"	"	"	23,631	4	9	653	13	"	11	14	11	15	6	638	7	5,916	8	3½	1,863	8	5½	91	5	2	1,772	3	3½	1,547	4	7
786	4 11/16	"	12	11,344	3	5	23,755	9 14/16	442	13/4	"	12	199,106	9	3	5,698	4 15/16	8	15 10/16	191	8 11/16	200	8 5/16	5,497	12 10/16	49,304	11	1	16,348	"	3/4	512	5	1½	15,838	6	5¼	12,137	4	2

8347.

Fort St. George

23d. June 1851.—

Revenue

Ponjah.

Land

Nunjah.

Nunjahmel

Money tax

Total Cultivation.			Deduct Enams &c.			Balance.			Deduct Enams &c.	Total of Grain & Money Taxes.			Balance.			Land.			Total Assessment.
Land.		Assessment.	Land.		Assessment.	Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.							
65		66	67		68	69		70	71	72		73		74		75			76
Cheys	as	Rs a p	Cheys	as	Rs a p	Cheys	as	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs	a p	Cheys	as	Rs	a p	Cheys	as	Cooks as Gokies as	Rs a p
133	13 10/16	478 8 11	"	17/16	" 5 4	133	12 15/16	478 3 7	" " "	478	3 7	419	3 3/16	1297	1 7	2727	4 14/16	5 2 " "	20551 9 3
"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	159	11/2	306	4 11	1282	14 15/16	1 4 " "	8062 6 "
1	15 5/16	8 9 3	"	"	" " "	1	15 5/16	8 9 3	7 3 3	1	3 7	248	15	940	8 8	2281	7 7/16	12 4 " "	18802 7 9
203	9 1/2	815 2 "	3	14 11/16	15 10 9	199	10 13/16	799 7 3	" " "	799	7 3	199	10 12/16	799	7 3	1521	6 3/4	" " " "	13959 6 7
8	4 5/16	41 2 10	"	3	" 15 "	8	1 1/16	40 3 10	" " "	40	3 10	571	15/4	1481	7 6	4511	11 11/16	43 1 3/4	" " 30840 13 11
"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	133	4/4	153	8 5	1364	6 1/4	32 4 " "	8080 9 7
"	5 1/2	" 10 4	"	4	" " "	"	5 1/2	" 10 4	" " "	"	10 4	387	7	1047	1 11	2729	12 2/16	11 " " "	22218 " 5
"	12	" 11 2	"	4	" " "	"	12	" 11 2	" " "	"	11 2	160	5 1/2	206	5 9	1049	12 3/4	102 2 " "	9678 13 3
"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	" " "	"	"	149	4	192	10 5	1868	12 29	" " "	19074 10 11
"	4 1/2	" 8 6	"	4	" " "	"	4 1/2	" 8 6	" " "	"	8 6	365	2 1/2	517	13 9	1665	14 3/4	49 4 " "	10059 5 5
5	1 14/16	9 9 7	"	4	" " "	5	14/16	9 9 7	" " "	9	9 7	987	12 6/16	1817	12 1	1727	2 15/16	154 " " 12	8567 10 4
"	1 3/4	1 9 4	"	4	" " "	"	6 3/4	1 9 4	" " "	1	9 4	704	15/4	1645	14 7	1621	2 15/16	2 12 " "	8406 4 9
3	11	18 12 6	"	"	" " "	2	11	18 12 6	" 10 10	13	1 8	725	7	1529	3 7	1929	1 10/16	" " " "	9208 6 9
"	"	" " "	"	4	" " "	"	2	" " "	" " "	"	" "	638	7	1547	4 7	3326	8 3/4	" " " "	25081 9 4
358	4 7/16	1370 4 5	4	3 2/16	16 15 1	354	1 2/16	1353 5 4	8 " 6	1345	4 10	5851	13 15/16	13482	9	29607	7 13/16	442 1 3/4	" 12 2125892 3

8348

Fort St. George

23d. June 1851.—

Land
Poonjah.
Dry.
Paying in
Proprietors
Share.

Total Cultivation.		Deduct Enams &c.		Balance.		Gross Produce.			Proprietors Share.		
77		78		79		80			81		
Coorks	as	Coorks	as	Coorks	as	Colls	m	m	Colls	m	m
232	"	17	8	214	8	132	2	1	39	9	5½
182	8	"	"	182	8	276	8	4	82	"	5½
282	2½	1	"	281	2½	429	6	4	126	8	2½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
594	13¼	34	13	560	¼	397	7	2½	135	5	3
93	12	"	"	93	12	118	1	3¼	39	5	5¾
101	10	"	"	101	10	46	11	"	14	8	3½
150	8	"	"	150	8	345	6	3½	120	2	5½
16	2	"	"	16	2	24	1	4½	7	10	4
101	12	"	"	101	12	88	10	4½	29	7	3½
236	14	22	8	214	6	226	9	1¾	72	7	2¾
539	6	7	"	532	6	701	6	3¼	215	"	1¼
980	10	175	8	805	2	1,537	2	1½	415	5	2
113	8	5	12	107	12	886	1	2	74	5	3
3,625	9¾	264	1	3361	8¼	4,709	3	¼	1393	6	5¾

Enams &c.		79	13
Shares		184	4

Revenue.

Kind.

Paying in Money

Total Cultivation.

Deduct Urdamanium &c.			Balance.			Value.			Land.			Assessment.		
82.			83			84			85			86		
Colls	m	m	Colls	m	m	Rs	a	p	Coorks	as		Rs	a	p
13	2	½	26	7	1	21	15	1	5,640	6½		3,445	"	7
12	4	2	39	8	3½	28	1	6	1,278	7		493	3	4
1	10	2	144	10	½	111	10	11	5,390	6		3,262	11	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	499	7		276	6	"
4	6	2	130	11	1	134	7	11	15,180	6½		8,693	10	11
3	3	2	36	2	3¾	22	12	4	1,655	2¾		610	12	9
2	11	4	11	8	5½	14	12	2	9,317	11¾		3,806	7	9
12	8	3½	107	6	2	60	4	6	1,183	10½		1,063	4	4
"	"	"	7	10	4	3	15	"	2,084	11		878	1	9
6	"	4½	23	6	5	12	4	4	1,440	1¾		624	12	11
"	"	"	72	7	2¾	55	3	3	13,113	3		6,623	1	2
28	1	5	186	10	2¼	139	9	3	4,160	4¼		1,955	9	2
24	9	¼	387	8	1¾	325	8	4	2,667	5¾		1,189	3	"
"	4	3½	74	"	5½	61	9	8	6,972	7		3,913	10	9
143	3	3¼	1250	3	2½	992	2	3	75,706	10¾		39,305	15	3

8349

Fort St. George

23d. June 1851.-

Land
Poonjah.
Dry.
Paying in Money.

Revenue.

Sharee.					Deductions. Enams &c.					Total.					Balance.					Deduct Enam &c.		Balance.			Total grain and Money taxes.				
Land.		Assessment.			Land.		Assessment.			Land.		Assessment.			Land.		Assessment.							Land.		Assessment.		
87		88			89		90			91		92			93		94			95		96			97		98		
Coorks	as	Rs	as	ps	Coorks	as	Rs	as	ps	Coorks	as	Rs	as	ps	Coorks	as	Rs	as	ps	Rs	as ps	Rs	as	ps	Coorks	as	Rs	as	ps
688	3¼	396	"	8	243	12¼	153	8	6	931	13	549	9	2	4738	9½	2925	7	5	"	" "	2925	7	5	4953	1½	2947	6	6
"	4	"	"	"	63	9¾	24	7	11	63	9¾	24	7	11	1211	13¼	468	11	1	"	" "	468	11	1	1394	5¼	496	12	7
"	"	"	"	"	311	9¼	177	14	"	311	9¼	177	14	"	5048	12¾	3084	13	2	3	7 9	3081	5	5	5359	15¼	3193	"	4
1	12½	1	1	10	360	14¾	208	6	1	362	11¼	209	7	11	4632	11¾	2506	14	1	"	" "	2506	14	1	4632	11¾	2506	14	1
"	"	"	"	"	1177	1½	601	10	1	1177	1½	601	10	1	14003	5	8092	"	10	23	15 "	8068	1	10	14563	5¼	8202	9	9
"	"	"	"	"	82	4¾	29	10	9	82	4¾	29	10	9	1572	14	581	2	"	"	" 1	581	1	11	1666	10	603	14	3
2	"	"	12	6	464	9½	186	4	4	466	9½	187	"	10	8851	2¼	3619	6	11	3	8 6	3615	14	5	8952	12¼	3630	10	7
"	4	"	"	4	58	12¾	52	15	7	58	12¾	52	15	7	1124	13¾	1010	4	9	"	" "	1010	4	9	1276	5¾	1070	9	3
"	4	"	"	4	536	11¼	171	9	6	536	11¼	171	9	6	2147	15¾	706	8	3	"	" "	706	8	3	2164	1¾	710	7	3
"	4	"	"	4	71	8½	30	7	9	71	8½	30	7	9	1368	9¼	594	5	2	"	" "	594	5	2	1470	5¼	606	9	6
"	4	"	"	4	862	3¾	358	9	7	862	3¾	358	9	7	12250	15¼	6264	7	7	"	" 4	6264	7	7	12465	5¼	6319	10	10
63	4¾	40	1	1	203	9¼	94	2	11	268	14	134	4	"	3891	6¼	1821	5	2	4	13 7	1816	7	7	4423	12¼	1956	"	10
79	½	50	2	6	146	15¼	68	12	"	225	15¾	118	14	6	2441	6	1070	4	6	93	3 5	977	1	1	3246	8	1302	9	5
110	¼	55	3	6	392	1¼	209	9	9	502	1½	264	13	3	6440	5½	3648	13	6	105	13 8	3542	15	10	6578	1½	3604	9	6
946	2¾	543	6	1	4975	11¾	2368	"	9	5921	14½	2911	6	10	69784	12¼	36394	8	5	234	14 4	36159	10	5	73146	5	37151	12	8

8350

Fort St. George

23d June 1851.—

Land

Poonjah.

Garden praying Ba

Total cultivation. Deduct Enams &c. Revenue.

Money tax.

Total.

Total

Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Balance Assessment.	Deduct Enams &c.	Balance.	Land.	Assessment.	Land Revenue.
99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109
Coorkis as	Rs a p	Goontah as	Rs a p	Goontah as	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Coorkis as	Goontah as	Rs a p	Rs a p
22589 10	201 1 5	949 5	12 6 2	2,1640 5	188 11 3	" " 4	188 11 3	4963 1½	2,1640 5	3136 1 9	2,3687 11 "
12619 8	122 6 5	1,274 4	12 7 1	11,345 4	109 15 4	" " "	109 15 4	1394 5/11	11,345 4	606 11 11	8,669 1 11
118900 15	940 4 7	167 10	1 4 "	1,18733 5	939 " 7	" 8 10	938 7 9	5359 15/11	1,18733 5	4131 8 1	22,933 15 10
" "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4632 11/11	" "	2,506 14 1	16,466 4 8
6859 7	229 7 9	213 11½	4 6 4	6,645 11½	225 1 5	7 6 3	217 11 2	14563 5/11	6,645 11½	8420 4 11	39,261 2 10
26657 1	365 13 9	55 "	1 9 8	26,602 1	361 4 1	1 13 10	362 6 3	1,666 10	26,602 1	966 4 6	9,046 14 1
94821 9	988 15 1	584 6	11 9 2	94,237 3	977 5 11	4 7 5	972 14 6	8952 12/11	94,237 3	4,603 9 1	26,821 9 6
17432 4	167 14 6	1,137 12	10 14 5	16,294 8	157 " 1	" " "	157 " 1	1,275 5/11	16,294 8	1,227 9 4	10,903 6 7
11687 4	110 " 2	905 "	8 10 "	10,782 4	101 6 2	" " "	101 6 2	2,164 13/11	10,782 4	811 13 5	19,886 8 4
4683 6	60 12 1	435 12	9 4 7	4,247 10	51 7 6	" 7 2	51 " 4	1,470 5/11	4,247 10	657 9 10	10,716 15 3
41573 2	429 3 "	2,362 "	24 3 6	39,211 2	404 15 6	" " "	404 15 6	12,465 5/11	39,211 2	6,721 10 4	15,292 4 8
12993 14	126 14 4	1,126 "	10 15 2	11,867 14	115 5 10	" 9 4	115 5 10	4423 12/11	11,867 14	2,071 6 8	10,477 11 5
18619 8	202 10 2	1,209 6	22 1 8	17,410 2	180 8 6	7 4 2	173 4 4	3246 8	17,400 2	1,478 13 9	10,684 4 6
" "	" " 4	" "	" "	" " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	6578 1½	" "	3,604 9 6	2,8,686 2 10
389437 8	3945 7 3	10,420 2½	129 11 9	3,79,017 5½	3,813 11 6	22 9 "	3,793 2 6	73146 5	3,79,017 5½	40944 15 2	2,53,534 1 5

8357 Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

Money Collections.

Tank fish Rent.	Tree tax.	Sundry taxes.	Total.	Total Land Revenue including Money Collections.	Beriz of July 1258.	Increase.	Decrease
110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
354 13 4	314 11 8	766 7 "	1,426 " "	25,113 11 "	36776 7 11	" " "	11662 12 11
118 12 8	339 13 3	573 14 8	1032 8 7	9,701 10 6	14966 11 5'	" " "	5'265' " 11
142 5' 6	325 9 1	1030 6 3	1998 4 10	24932 4 8	32954 2 1	" " "	8021 13 5'
101 14 "	" " "	194 5' 7	296 3 7	16762 8 3	19392 13 9	" " "	2630 5' 6
272 7 6	1650 4 11	3,324 12 3	5'247 8 8	44'508 11 6	5'1352 8 5'	" " "	6843 12 11
104 4 2	300 15 9	573 3 3	978 7 2	10025 5' 3	9271 10 1	753 11 2	" " "
211 7 2	1,445 12 "	1,184 9 3	2,841 13 1	29663 6 7	44'572 11 3	" " "	14909 4 8
63 8 "	421 9 2	403 9 7	888 10 9	11792 1 4	12095' 1 8	" " "	303 " 4
130 9 "	280 11 11	762 4 "	1,173 8 11	21060 1 3	20106 2 10	953 14 5'	" " "
80 2 1	351 12 1	626 13 6	1058 11 8	11775 10 11	14371 14 11	" " "	2596 4 "
93 8 3	5'23 12 10	598 4 11	1215 10 "	16507 14 8	15'633' 9 5'	872 3' 3	" " "
153 13 11	191 10 6	519 4 5	864 12 10	11342 8 3	16497 13 2	" " "	5'135' 4 11
326 12 7	182 5' 7	516 1 11	1025 4 1	11709 8 7	19'575' 3 9	" " "	7865' 11 2
766 13 10	386 4 6	1,429 14 1	2,583 " 5	31269 3 3	37'563' " 9	" " "	6295' 13 6
2,921 4 8	7,215 5' 3	12,493 14 8	22,630 8 7	2,76,164 10 "	3,45,133 15' 3'	2579 14 10	71'549 4 3
			64883 " 3		6775' " 3	" " "	2872 " "
			12643 8 4		3'279 8 6	1,303 15' 6	" " "
			9050 " "		9256 10 8	" " "	206 10 8
			2041 13 "		2041 13 "	" " "	" " "
			100 15 1		400 " "	" " "	299 " 11
			3,64,883 14 4		4,29,866 15' 10	9943 14 4	74926 15' 10
						Nett Decrease	64983 1 6

Madura 11th July 1850.

Sgd. J. R. D. Parker, Collector's Statement.

J.E.B.

8332

Fort St. George

A in No. 2 Statement shewing the number of Ayen Villages &c in

23d June 1851.

the Shevagungah Zemindary under wardship for Fusly 1259.

Taloks.	As per Summabundy of Fusly 1258.		Deduct Villages forming sub Division subsequently made over to the owner.		Balance.		Dhurmiasanum Ayen in the present Fusly.		Total as per Summabundy of Fusly 1259.	
	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets	Villages	Hamlets
1 Conoor	62	26	1	1	61	25	2	2	63	27
2 Nallarasenaatay	5¼¼	4	"	"	5¼¼	4	4	6	58¼	10
3 Sroorvattagay	141 2/16	187	"	"	141 2/16	187	2	14½	43 2/16	201¼
4 Mullacottay	8	134	"	"	8	134	"	"	8	134
5 Trispatoro	41¼	34	2	"	39½	34	4	4	43½	38
6 Sackey	86¾	8	"	"	86¾	8	2	"	88¾	8
7 Sniaravatty	12½	42	4	4	117½	35	6	3	123½	38
8 Sirooragumputh	60 6/16	"	"	"	60 6/16	"	"	"	60 6/16	"
9 Oorooratty	65¾	24	1	"	64¾	24	"	"	64¾	24
10 Mungalend	63 11/16	4	"	"	63 11/16	4	1	"	64 11/16	4
11 Emooraswarem	43½	52	"	"	43½	52	"	"	43½	52
12 Parthepanoor	62 11/16	31	4	"	58 11/16	31	3. 3/16 Parts 3/16	"	61 11/16 5/16	3
13 Marnadoo	39	6½	17	"	22	6½	9½	5	5½	11½
14 Thiroobhooranum	69½	21	"	"	69½	21	1	"	70½	21
Total	839 13¾ 16	5734	29	8	810 13¾ 16	5726	34½ 5/16 Parts	31¼	845 5¾ 16	3993¼

Madura. 11th July 1850.

E. E. Fox

Signed R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Abstract

* This added to the 3 Villages and 1 Hamlet mentioned in the Statement of 24 Villages as Dhurmiasanum Ayen, leaves 4 Villages and 1 Hamlet to be

Fort St. George

Statement of Average rate of Selling Price per Kalam of Paddy and other Grains in the Jusly 1759

	Paddy.									
	Sunnah.		Moolghee.		Coarse.					
	New		Old.		New		Old.		Raghee.	Sholum.
	3	4	5		6		7		8	9	10
	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
		1 2		1 2 2				1 2	1 1 8	1 2 3
		1 10		1 2 10				1 14	1 7	
		1 3		1 1 10		13 6		1 3	1 1 6	11 9
	1 1	1 4	1 1	1 2 10		15		1 4	1 10	
			1 3	1 1 1		14 10		15 8	1 5	8 7
										1
				1 1 2				15 7	1 1 2	8 6
	1 5	1 10	1	1 2 2		15 3	1 10		1 3	11 1
	1 9	1 6	1 6	1 2 5		15 1	1 6		1 9	
	1 3	1 4	1 11	1 1 9		13 2	1 1		13 10	
	15 10	1 4	1 5	1 2		14 10		14 10	14 10	
		1 5					1 6		15 6	1 5
		1 10							15 8	1 5
								15 4		14
							1 3		1 9	
Highest rate	1 3	1 10	1 1	1 2 10		15 6	1 4		1 6	14
Lowest rate	15 10	1 10	1 2	15 6		13 2		13 10	13 10	8

(23d) June 1854.

in the Minor Zemindary of Shevagungah.

Grain.

Cumboo.	Sama.	Thana Codaemmy	Croongoo	Varagoo
11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Cs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
" 12 2	" 12 "	" " "	" " "	" 9 9	" 8 6
" 12 2	" 10 11	" " "	" " "	" 9 2	" 8 4
" 11 2	" 11 2	" " "	" " "	" 9 6	" 8 7
" 13 "	" 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 9 1
" 12 6	" 12 5	" 13 9	" " "	" " "	" 8 6
" 8 5	" 9 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 9 1
" 11 6	" 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 9 3
" 11 "	" 10 9	" 10 3	" " "	" " "	" 8 6
" 12 4	" 11 3	" 12 1	" " "	" 12 "	" 9 1
" 11 6	" 11 2	" 11 5	1 2 "	" 9 "	" 8 "
" 13 6	" 13 3	" 12 6	" 13 4	" 11 1	" 7 9
" 7 5	" 9 6	" 12 4	" 9 10	" 8 2	" 7 6
" 12 3	" 11 10	" 12 2	" 10 8	" 9 5	" 9 3
" 12 4	" 12 3	" 12 7	" 11 7	" 10 4	" 8 7
" 11 9	" 13 3	" 12 11	1 2 "	" 12 "	" 9 3
" 7 5	" 9 3	" 10 3	" 9 10	" 8 2	" 7 16

(23d. June 1851.

Grain / Contd.

Coothoora-vales.		Rape Seed.		Green Grain.		Black Grain		Thowaray		Panespeyer.		Lamp Oil Seed.		Horse Grain.		Thuttay Pyer.		Mochay.	
	17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25		26
Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
"	8 2	3	6	1	5 6	1	13 5	1	2 "	1	4 10	2	" "	1	4 3	"	" 4	"	" "
"	8 4	2	12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 10	3	6 9	2	3 10	1	13 2	1	5 1	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 4	"	" "	"	" "
"	9 "	3	5 4	2	9 4	1	11 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1	8 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	8 5	3	6 7	2	13 "	1	10 10	1	9 5	"	" "	1	14 2	1	1 8	1	14 2	1	14 2
"	8 3	3	" "	"	" "	1	9 "	1	" 6	"	" "	"	" "	1	" 10	"	" 4	"	" "
"	9 9	3	13 2	"	" "	1	14 4	1	" 6	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	9 1	2	10 10	"	" "	"	" "	1	8 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	14 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	9 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 5	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 7	"	" "	"	" "
"	8 2	2	13 3	"	" 4	"	" 4	1	" 5	"	" "	"	" "	1	" 8	"	" 4	"	" "
"	8 1	2	9 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	15 5	1	" 3	"	" 4	1	1 5	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 9	3	2 2	"	" "	2	4 "	1	3 2	1	6 "	"	" "	1	5 "	1	2 "	1	4 8
"	8 4	3	5 5	1	8 8	"	" "	1	0 2	1	4 "	1	8 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	14 10
"	7 9	3	14 11	"	" "	"	" "	1	9 "	"	" 7	"	" "	1	4 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	9 9	3	13 2	2	13 "	2	4 "	1	9 5					1	8 "	1	14 2	1	14 2
"	7 9	2	10 10	1	5 6	1	14 4	"	15 5	1	6 "	2	" "						
														"	14 4	1	2 "	"	14 10
										1	" 3	1	8 3						

Madura, 11th July 1850.

E. E. J. Signed R. D. Parker.
Collector.
Demands.

Fort St. George

23rd June 1851.

Collection and Balance of Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1259.

Demand or Settlement of Fusly 1259.			Collections up to the end of the Fusly.			Remainder.			Subsequent Collections up to January 1851.			Balance.		
Ready Money.	Value of Paddy.	Total.	Ready Money.	Value of Paddy.	Total.	Ready Money.	Value of Paddy.	Total.	Ready Money.	Value of Paddy.	Total.	Ready Money.	Value of Paddy.	Total.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P	6Rs A P
11326 15 6	13786 11 6	25113 11 "	7832 12 10	" " 10 1	7833 6 11	3494 2 8	13786 1 5	17280 4 1	3330 9 11	7171 8 8	10502 2 7	163 8 9	6614 8 9	6778 1 6
1611 3 "	8090 7 6	9701 10 6	1136 4 10	" 26 " 11	1162 12 9	474 7 2	8064 6 7	8538 13 9	432 12 8	4519 11 6	4952 8 2	41 10 6	3544 11 1	3586 5 7
6022 3 7	18910 1 1	24932 4 8	5074 2 7	1184 2 5	6258 5 "	948 1 "	17725 14 8	18673 15 8	943 10 1	8439 10 10	9385 4 11	2 6 11	9286 3 10	9288 10 9
3631 2 4	13131 5 11	16762 8 3	3214 4 "	311 11 6	3526 6 6	416 7 4	12819 10 5	13236 1 9	399 2 3	9771 9 10	10170 12 1	17 5 1	3048 " 7	3065 5 8
14473 2 8	30036 5 10	44508 11 6	13114 5 10	5330 10 7	13645 " 5	1358 12 10	29504 14 3	30863 11 1	1149 11 4	7750 12 7	8900 7 11	209 1 6	21754 1 8	21963 3 2
1921 15 4	8103 5 11	10028 5 3	1536 7 7	" " "	1536 7 7	385 7 9	8103 5 11	8488 13 8	378 13 2	2948 13 9	3327 10 11	6 10 7	5754 8 2	5761 2 9
7506 4 2	22157 2 5	29663 6 7	6706 12 7	" " "	6205 12 7	1300 7 7	22157 2 5	23457 10 "	1255 10 "	9888 " 5	11143 10 5	14 3 7	12269 2 "	12283 15 7
205 7 7 3	9734 10 1	11792 14 4	1749 3 5	" " "	1749 3 5	308 3 10	9734 10 1	10042 13 11	308 3 10	3861 14 3	4170 2 1	" " "	5872 11 10	5872 11 10
1981 7 4	19078 9 11	21060 13 3	1742 " 11	" " "	1742 " 11	239 6 5	19078 9 11	19318 " 4	220 8 10	9153 6 4	9373 15 2	18 13 7	9925 3 7	9944 1 5
1704 9 8	10071 1 3	11775 10 11	1480 15 2	" " "	1480 13 2	223 10 6	10071 1 3	10294 11 9	213 2 2	4097 " 9	4312 2 11	8 8 4	5974 " 6	5982 8 10
9272 4 2	7235 10 6	16507 14 8	6398 8 2	" " "	6398 8 2	2873 12 "	7235 10 6	10109 6 6	2094 25	4035 9 1	6169 11 6	779 9 7	3160 1 5	3937 11 "
3422 13 6	7919 10 9	11342 8 3	2087 2 3	" " "	2087 2 3	1333 11 1	7919 10 9	9253 3 10	1019 11 8	2122 4 3	3141 15 11	315 15 3	3797 6 6	6113 5 9
2179 9 3	9229 15 4	11409 8 7	2013 15 6	97 5 4	2111 4 10	465 9 9	9132 10 "	9598 3 9	389 27	4230 9 2	4619 11 9	76 7 2	4902 " 10	4978 8 11
7861 12 "	23407 7 3	31269 3 3	5397 6 10	715 " 3	6112 7 1	2461 5 2	22692 7 "	25153 12 2	1910 12 11	15241 11 3	17152 8 2	553 8 3	7450 11 9	8004 4 "
75272 13 9	700591 12 3	276164 10 "	58984 4 8	2865 9 1	61849 13 9	16288 9 1	198026 3 2	214314 12 3	14080 1 10	93272 " "	107352 12 6	2208 7 3	104753 8 6	106961 15 9
64883 " 3	" " "	64883 " 3	56747 11 5	" " "	56747 11 5	8135 1 10	" " "	8135 1 10	4702 " 2	" " "	4702 " 2	3433 1 8	" " "	3433 1 8
12643 8 "	" " "	12643 8 "	8199 8 10	" " "	8199 8 10	4443 15 2	" " "	4443 15 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	4443 15 2	" " "	4443 15 2
9050 " "	" " "	9050 " "	8809 11 6	" " "	8809 11 6	240 4 6	" " "	240 4 6	240 4 6	" " "	240 4 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
20411 13 "	" " "	20411 13 "	17657 " "	" " "	17657 " "	2766 "	" " "	2766 "	2766 "	" " "	2766 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
100 15 1	" " "	100 15 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	100 15 1	" " "	100 15 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	100 15 1	" " "	100 15 1
88719 4 4	" " "	88719 4 4	75522 9 9	" " "	75522 9 9	13196 10 7	" " "	13196 10 7	5718 10 8	" " "	5718 10 8	7977 15 11	" " "	7977 15 11
163992 2 1	700891 12 3	864883 14 4	134506 11 11	2865 9 1	137372 7 6	29485 3 8	198026 3 2	227511 6 10	19298 12 6	93272 10 8	112571 7 2	10186 7 2	104753 8 6	114939 15 8

Madura 11th July 1850. E. E. P.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Collections on account

Arrears.

Fusly 1243	105	19	
1244	131	..	
1245	.	26	
1252	.	191	
1253		138	
1254		202	36
1255		544	76
1256		558	73
1257 Ayen Izufted Villages. Villages		1797	112
1258	86509.06.393.08	87203	46
			90563 1410

Collections on account of Fusly 1259.

Imany Collections.

Ready Money	36398-2-..		
Paddy and Grain	2340-1-4	38,738-3-4	

Village Rent — 8197-4-6

Dhurmasanaporoopoo &c — 47961-13-4

56,159-1-10 — 94897 132

Extra Revenue

Proceeds of the Zemindari Private Cultivation &c		4141	61
Refunds of Maramut		2011	115
Collections from &c			
Cattle Sold		65	12..
Collections from Horses sold		..	4..
Collections from the Zemindar's House Rent		1250	121
		7469	137
Amount remitted by Government on account of Moturpha for Fusly 1259		38656	
		46229	2 3
			196793 11
			199459 77

Account credited in [illegible]

Reheusk for Fusly 1259

Ready Money		32,910	65
Moturpha		3,561	56 — 36,771 11 11 — 179304 46

Pay of Sibbundies.

Sibbundy charges of the Hoozoor whilst in Izufted Management		1,362	15.
Pay of Hoozoor Establishment after the Management		169	73
Do of Establishments of the Manager's Cutcherry		3,892	133
Do of Talook Establishment		8998.	16424 136

Sademward

Hoozoor Sademward		230	94
Sademward of the Manager's Cutchary		113	9
Talook Sademward		404	12. — 748 61
Allowance of the Minor Zemindar and pay of his servants and Guardian and others		1451	8.
Law Charges		168	8.
Pay due to the Sibbendies of the Seemay cutcherry from 1st March to 3d April 1849		73	6 8
Paid for performing the yearly ceremonies of the late Zemmindar		3500	.. — 16193 68
Batta paid to the Hoozoor Sibbendies for Travelling expenses			54 95

Ungamee Sibbendies.

Paid to Hoozoor Sibbendies		172	44
Paid to Talook Do			
Paid to Talook Sibbendies for Fusly 1258		424	4.
Do Do Do for Fusly 1259		621	8-5 — 1045 125 — 1218 9
Cart hire for conveying Talook Treasury money			14.
Allowances of the Makalingasswara sawmy and anganayaswamy Pagodas and Periacottay Mastangony &c		86	10 4
Annuity to the Brahmins for reading Vedas		769	.. — 163 3 4
Paid to the Talook Sibbendies their pay from Fusly 1256 to 1258 Under the Zemmindar according to the orders of the Court of Wards			.. .. 2038 113

Marramut.

Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses		25516	21
Ditto of Roads		3	.. ..
Ditto of Bungalows		3288	7 8 — 28807 99
Portion of Income of a Izufted Village in Fusly 1258 credited in Fusly 1259 on account of the Proopoo Balance of 1258			3510 ..
Paid quit rent for the Bungalow of the Zemmindar situated on the North bank of the Vygga River			68 4 4
Amount held in deposit and paid to the Cuttanoor Sub Division Zemmindary		1,000	.. ..
Paid to Chank Fishers		500	.. .. — 67253 151
			196538 37

Fort St. George 23d June 1837.

| | | |

Fort St. George 23 June 1857.

Zemindary of Shevagungah for Fusly 1259 in Comparison with Fusly 1258.

Fusly 1258. Total. in kind. Dry Grain. Quantity	Value	Total including ready Money.	Ready Money.	Fusly 1259. In kind. Total Grain. Quantity	Value.	Total Including ready Money.	Difference. Increase.	Decrease.
9		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Mds m m	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Cols m m	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
4 3½	578 8 5	611 11 7	54 7 10	353 1 3	371 4 "	425 12 "	" " "	136 4 7
8 2	376 2 4	439 15 "	61 " 6	257 10 3	257 8 11	318 18 "	" " "	83 13 4
8 8 5	680 " 7	736 4 1	37 8 11	171 4 2½	169 15 7	207 7 "	" " "	474 4 9
5 3¼	231 7 1	299 4 8	70 " 11	207 " 4	228 4 7	232 11 "	4 6 4	" " "
7 1¼	1997 10 7	2,205 11 "	246 7 8	1,838 8 1½	2,113 13 8	2,506 10 10	300 15 10	" " "
2 3⅜	2727 6 8	2,997 11 8	280 8 "	2,534 1 3¼	2,752 8 "	2,828 7 "	84 12 11	" " "
" ½	625 13 9	695 5 1	87 9 6	301 3 1	519 15 11	604 5 "	348 8 5	" " "
7 2	184 15 3	200 " 6	15 11 9	131 1 4½	141 6 3	148 18 "	" " "	32 9 2
3 4½	872 2 3	1137 4 6	222 7 4	817 " 5	877 14 4	1035 13 6	157 14 2	" " "
6 4	295 12 10	319 10 10	22 " 6	306 9 2½	330 1 2	352 1 8	32 6 10	" " "
1 2½	52 6 5	68 4 10	14 14 3	87 2 1	96 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 4½	1379 8 3	1805 2 2	433 14 5	1267 3 4½	1534 13 5	1648 9 "	114 11 7	" " "
9 4	211 5 2	346 8 4	160 15 4	134 4 3½	160 8 7	290 3 "	129 14 5	" " "
1 1½	155 7	1694 13 7	156 12 7	2003 " 10	2,256 11 11	2,256 11 11	" " "	" " "
2 1	86 13 5	121 11 10	32 11 9	20 2 1	22 1 2	22 1 2	" " "	" " "
7 4	211 10 "	260 " 2	45 10 6	52 7 4½	65 10 "	65 10 "	" " "	" " "
1 1½	27 4 2	33 3 1	14 13 3	86 8 3	93 4 2	93 4 2	" " "	" " "
7 1½	403 3 5	513 8 2	62 2 9	146 4 3½	147 14 4	147 14 4	" " "	" " "
91	680 " 6	863 4 4	199 " 2	423 3 3½	481 6 2	481 6 2	" " "	" " "
10 2⅞	526 4 "	820 7 11	353 14 8	302 8 1½	335 10 3	335 10 3	" " "	" " "
4 1½	258 12 8	879 " 5	110 " 3	175 " 5½	189 " 11	189 " 11	" " "	" " "
1 5¼	14465 1 10	17049 9 9	2685 12 10	11913 1½	13,146 8 11	13,568 " 5⅝	1761 2 5	14481 2 ⅛	14907 11 2	17593 8 "	2145 9 5	1601 11 2
105¼	2984 10 8	3,416 12 8	556 13 6	2,118 7 4½	2,372 3 5	520 " 2½	423 14 11	2638 8 1	2796 2 4	3352 15 10	" " "	63 12 10

E. E. Jr. Signed R. D. Parker
Collector.

Statement

Fort St. George 23 June 1857.

Collection Account

Mds	a	p	Rs	a	p
Amount Credited on Account of Fusly 1259					
Deduct Balance					
Amount Collected					

8357.

Fort St. George

23d June 1851

ement Shewing the Gross Increase and Decrease in the Settlement of the Minor Zemeendary of Shevagunga for Fusly 1259.

Comparison. Particulars of Gross Increase from Particulars of Gross

	Settlement Fusly 1258.	Settlement Fusly 1259.	Increase.	Decrease.	Greater Extent of Cultivation.	Enhanced rate of Price	Enhanced rate of Produce.	Total.	Incorporation with ainl lands of Villages resumed in last year.	Total of 2 Items.	Reduced Extent of Cultivation.	Fall in the Price.	Fall in the rate of Produce.	Total.
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
d	2,45,578 8 8	1,87,625 10	" "	58,116 2 10	" "	" "	" "	" "	9,810 9 4	9,810 9 4	18,114 2 "	13,996 2 6	20,703 2 "	52,813 6 6
Money	12,071 9 5	11,344 3 5	" "	727 6 "	" "	" "	" "	" "	187 4 7	187 4 7	873 15 10	" " "	" " "	873 15 10
	2,57,650 2 1	1,98,969 3	" "	58,843 8 10	" "	" "	" "	" "	9,997 13 11	9,997 13 11	18,988 1 10	13,996 2 6	20,703 2 "	53,687 6 4
Kind	20,785 . 8	12,137 4 2	" "	8,647 12 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	822 2 8	822 2 8	4,163 2 2	3,407 12 4	244 4 2	7,815 12 8
Money	1,544 4 7	1,345 4 10	" "	199 9 9	" "	" "	" "	" "	" 10 11	" 10 11	198 15 10	" " "	" " "	198 15 10
	22,329 15 3	13,482 9 "	" "	8,847 6 3	" "	" "	" "	" "	822 13 7	822 13 7	4,362 2 "	3,407 12 4	244 4 2	8,014 12 6
Kind	1417 7 8	992 2 3	" "	425 5 5	51 6 2	" "	" "	51 6 2	135 " 10	186 7 "	" " "	146 13 3	458 4 2	605 1 5
Money	34528 2 8	36159 10 3	1807 9	" "	1338 1 2	" "	" "	1338 1 2	2080 14 2	3418 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	35945 10 4	37151 12 3	1807 9	425 5 5	1389 7 4	" "	" "	1389 7 4	2,215 15 "	3,605 6 4	" " "	146 13 3	458 4 2	605 1 5
Ditto	4041 9 11	3793 2 6	" "	248 7 5	" "	" "	" "	" "	204 1 5	204 1 5	122 2 6	" " "	" " "	122 2 6
Total	32,026 7 7	25,353 4 1	1807 9	6,836 11 11	1389 7 4	" "	" "	1389 7 4	13,240 11 11	14,630 3 3	23,472 6 4	17,550 12 1	21,406 4 4	62,429 6 9
Collections	24866 9 10	22,630 8 7	" "	2,236 1 3	" "	" "	" "	" "	1485 13 1	1485 13 1	866 8 1	" " "	1337 8 3	2,004 " 4
nomi &c.	6,755 " 3	4,883 " 3	" "	2,872 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,872 " "
puts	5,279 8 6	12,643 8 "	7,363 15 6	" " "	" "	" "	" "	7,363 15 6	" " "	7363 15 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	9256 10 8	9050 " 3	" "	206 10 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	206 10 8
dy Poliput	2,041 13 "	2041 13 "	" "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	400 " "	100 15 1	" "	299 " 11	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	299 " 11
Total	84733 " 5	88,719 4 4	7,363 15 6	3,377 11 7	" "	" "	" "	7,363 15 6	" " "	7363 15 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	3377 11 7
and Total	4,29,866 15 10	3,64,883 14 4	9,171 9 "	74,926 15 10	1389 7 4	" "	" "	1389 7 4	14,726 9 "	23,479 15 10	24,338 14 5	17,550 12 1	22,543 12 7	67,811 2 8
			Net	64,983 1 6										

8360. | Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

Decrease from Restoration of the Cottanoor Zemindary to its Proprietor.			Total of 2 Items.			Remarks.
16			17			
Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
15,113	5	8	67,926	12	2	
40	10	9	914	10	7	
15,154	"	5	68,841	6	9	
1,654	2	6	9,469	15	2	
1	4	10	200	4	8	
1,655	7	4	9,670	3	10	
6	11	"	611	12	5	
1,787	7	7	1,787	7	7	
1,794	2	7	2,399	4	"	
330	6	4	452	8	10	
18,934	"	8	81,363	7	5	
1,717	4	"	3,721	14	4	
"	"	"	28,72	"	"	The Decrease is the amount of Peishcush of the Villages incorporated with am in this year.
"	"	"	"	"	"	The Increase is the amount of Peishcush of Cotta- -noor Zemindary restored to the Proprietor in the Current Fasly
"	"	"	206	10	8	
"	"	"	"	"	"	Transfer to am of 2 Villages Viz.t Aroomthacody
"	"	"	299	"	11	and Papanamthel caused the Decrease
"	"	"	3,377	11	7	Managemt of Chanks this year was under Amance.
20,651	14	8	88,463	1	4	

Madura, 11th July, 1851. E.E.P.t Signed R.D. Parker Collector Demand

8360. Fort St. George 23d June 1851

No. 8 Demand & Collection and Balance of Peishcush of the Aloor Zemin.

Dowy of Shengungal for Fasly 1259.

Collectors.

From December 1849 to the 11th of July 1850.	Demand.	1259 Fasly	a/c 6 Pct.	a/c 6 Pct.	a/c 6 Pct.	Total.	Balance.
			a. p.	a. p.	a. p.		
	11,103/04 2	5-153/80	11,05,6/12	1/8		Subsequent Collec- -tions upto the end of February 11,423- 1/8	
	262500 "	50/71	11,03,7/12	1/8			
	1259						

Madura, 11th July, 1850.

Signed R. D. Parker Collector Assistant

8362

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

No. 9. Statement Shewing Law charges incurred in the Minor Zemindary of Shevagungah from 1st April 1850 or Fusly 1259 to the end of January 1851 or Fusly 1260.-

Months	Particulars.	Amount. Rs.	a	p.
	Fusly 1259.			
April-	Value of Stamp Papers for presenting a Motion to the Subr Court to stay the Proceedings of original suit No. 37 of 1847 on the file of that Court instituted by the late Zemindar against Soobaramiah of Thavacottay	2	"	"
"	" of Do. for producing Copy of a document in original Suit No. 35 of 1848 on the file of the Subr Court	8	"	"
"	" of Do. for presenting a Motion in original Suit No. 37 of 1847 on the file of the Subr Court	1	"	"
May-	" of Do. for giving a Receipt for Soopospaid by Chokandy Pulliput	2	"	"
"	" of Do. for obtaining (including the fee for writing) Copies of the Decree in original Suit No. 433 of 1840 and A. S. No. 342 of 1843	5	"	"
"	" of Do. for filing a Motion in original Suit No. 1 of 1843 on the file of the Civil Court	4	8	"
June-	" of Do. for Vakalutnamah &c. to recover Company's papers deposited in the Civil Court in the above Suit to the amount of Rupees 10,500	2	"	"
"	" of Do. for obtaining Copy of the Decree passed by the Subr Court in O.S. No. 37 of 1847	18	"	"
	" of Do. for filing an appeal against the above Decree	138	8	-
	Fusly 1260.	162	8	-
From 1st to 31st July	Fee paid for writing Copy of the above Decree	4	-	"
	Value of Stamp Papers for producing Copies of certain documents in the Appeal suit instituted by Ungamootoo Natchyar in the Suder Court	4	"	"
August	Value of Stamp used further for appeal Petitions from the Decision of the Subr Court in original suit No. 35 of 1847	16	"	"
	Vakeels fee in original suit No. 35 of 1849 on the file of the Subr Court	50	12	"
	Value of Stamp paper used for filing a Motion in A.S. No. 65 of 1849 on the file of the Civil Court	2	"	"
	" of Do. used for Copy of the Decree in original suit No. 35 of 1847 on the file of the Subr Court	20	8	"
September	Vakeels fee in the Appeal suit No. 35 of 1847 on the file of the Suder Udalut	356	11	2
	Do. on the original suit No. 37 of 1847 on the file of the Subr Court	39	6	"
	Value of Stamp Papers used for Appeal Petition &c. from the Decree of the Civil Court in Suit No. 39 of 1849	68	5	"
October	" of Do. used for Copy of a bill [illegible] and Appeal suit record [illegible] to the Board of the Civil Court	1403	6	4
	Value of Stamp Paper used for the Appeal Petition from the Decision passed in Original Suit No. 35 of 1849	16	"	"
November	" of Do. used for Receipts for drawing back the Company's papers to the amount of Rs. 10,500. deposited in A.S. No. 53 of 1847	32	"	"
	Vakeels Fees in the appeal suit No. 1 of 1850 in the file of the Suder Court	349	"	"
December	Value of Stamp Paper used for a Petition to one Chakalingen Pillay the Paddy Contractor of Ammathy Talook for Fusly 1258	363	"	"
	" of Do. used for a Motion filed in A. Suit No. 38 of 1850, on the file of the Civil Court	4	"	"

8362

No. 9. Statement Showing Land Revenue Charges incurred in the Minor Zemindary of Sheragungah for fusly 1239 ending 23d June 1831.

Fort St. George 23d June 1831.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement of the Zemindary of Sheragungah for fusly 1239 up to the end of February 1831.

Fusly	Money		Proopoo.		Total.		Paddy.		Grain.	
	3		4		5		6.			
	a	k	Ckr.	a k	Ckr	a k	Ckr	a	k	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	605	4	4	3485 3 11
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2563	3	1	171 5 10
	-	-	-	-	-	-	704	15	1	586 5 11
	-	-	-	-	-	-	285	-	6	64 13 6
9	10		132	9 10	175	3 8				" " "
"	"		1830	15 1	2075	13 1				" " "
9	10		1483	6 11	2237	- 9	3958	7	-	4310 13 2
11			423	9 2	725	10 3				" " "
"	"		364	10 3	364	10 3				" " "
14	1		"	" "	85	14 1				" " "
"	"		192	- 9	192	- 9				" " "
15	2		980	4 2	1368	3 4				" " "
"	"		"	" "	8616	12 "				" " "
"	"		"	" "	3255	9 9				" " "
"	"		"	" "	11872	5 9				" " "
"	"		"	" "	233	1 "				283 11 1
"	"		"	" "	1	12 6				" " "
"	"		"	" "	29	12 6				" " "
9	"		29	12 6	29	12 6				" " "
9	"		29	12 6	29	12 6	234	15	6	283 11 1
Curaity, 1831			3649	- 7	16065	12 3	4594	8	3	

E. E. Signed

23d June 1831.

1258 up to the end of February 1831.

Total.		
Ckr.	a	k
3893	8	3
2734	8	11
1291	5	"
394	14	"
175	3	8
2075	13	1
"	"	"
10520	4	11
725	10	3
364	10	3
85	14	1
192	-	9
1368	3	4
8616	12	"
3255	9	9
11872	5	9
516	14	1
1	12	6
29	12	6
548	7	1
24309	5	1

Balance up to December 1849 as per account forwarded ... 3493 8 2.

To the Board of Revenue - - -

8362

No. 9. Statement Showing the Land Charged included in the Jamma of the Zemindary of Shevagungah for fusly 1258 up to the end of February 1851.

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

Money.												Total.		
Fasly.		Poroopoo.			Total.			Paddy.			Grain.			
		3			4			5			6.			
a	p	C.Rs.	a	p.	C Rs	a	p.	C Rs	a	p.	C Rs	a	p.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	405	4	4	3,488	3	11	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,363	3	1	171	3	10	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	704	15	1	586	3	11	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	285	"	6	64	13	6	
9	10	152	9	10	175	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	1,830	13	1	2,075	13	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
9	10	1,983	6	11	2,251	"	9	3,958	7	"	4,310	13	2	
1	1	423	9	2	725	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	364	10	3	364	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	
14	1	"	"	"	85	14	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	192	"	9	192	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	
152		980	4	2	1,368	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8,616	12	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,255	9	9	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11,872	5	9	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	233	3	"	283	11	1	
"	"							1	12	6	"	"	"	
"	"	29	12	6	29	12	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	29	12	6	29	12	6	234	15	6	283	11	1	
9	"	2993	7	7	3,649	"	7	16,065	12	3	4,594	8	3	

Total.		
C Rs.	a	p.
3,893	8	3
2,734	8	11
1,291	5	"
394	14	"
175	3	8
2,075	13	1
10520	4	11
725	10	3
364	10	3
85	14	1
192	"	9
1,368	3	4
8,616	12	"
3,255	9	9
11,872	5	9
516	14	1
1	12	6
29	12	6
548	7	1
24,309	5	1

Balance as per December 1849 account forwarded to the Board of Revenue — C Rs. 24,309 5 1

Collections.

Fasly 1259 — From January 16th to July 15th 1850 — C Rs. 2,849 10 6

Account Current — 2d Do. From July 16th to January 15th 1851 — C Rs. 21,453 6 8 — 24,303 1 2

Deduct Amount made over to the Sub Division Zemmindar — C Rs. 305 15 8 — 11172 " 8

Long balance outstanding in Collectors Hands — 20,532 1 6

Subsequently realized to the Courts Receipts — 224 2 5

Zemmindary transferred to Sub Division — 20,306 5 1

R: D: Parker
Collector

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

Signed E. E.

List

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

No. 11. List of Statements forwarded to the Court of Wards with the Settlement Report of Shevagungah Zemindary for Fusly 1259.

No.	
1	Abstract Settlement.
2	Detail Ditto with an enclosure. A
3	Settlement of average rate of selling price
4.	Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue.
5.	Account Current.
6.	Settlement of Shettrums.
7.	Statement of Gross Increase, and Decrease.
8	Demand Collection and Balance of Peshcush
9.	Statement of Law Charges.
10.	" of Balance of Fusly 1258.

Madura, 7th May, 1851.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Order thereon
(Vide Pro: to Govt 26th June)

Ordered to be brought forward a future day.

K.

D.G.

(31-32)

Fort St. George (23d) June 1851.

No. 55.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Pro. No. 1281.
From the Coll. of Madura
Reporting on the State of the Comaravandy Zemindary and forwarding 3 Statements on the subject.

To Board 11th July 1847
From Do 25th May Do
To Do 14th Nov. 1850
From Do 7th Jany. 1851.

(33)

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 7th January last and the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to submit 3 Statements exhibiting the present State of the Comaravandy Zemindary.

2. This Estate was sequestered in Fusly 1225 for arrears of Revenue amounting to Rupees 7,804-10-2. On the 5th January 1825 the Government remitted these arrears without mention of Interest and granted this Zemindar and others an allowance of 10 per cent on the average collections of 3 years during such time as their lands might be retained in possession of Government. In the Statement submitted by Mr. Blackburne dated 11th February 1847, the Balance including Interest and deducting Charges is shewn to have augmented up to the end of Fusly 1253 to 64863-1-10. Its amount up to end of Fusly 1259 was Rs. 38703-8-9. The Zemindary belongs to the class of unsettled.

Including the 7804-10-2 due up to Fusly 1225.

Sibbandy Charges ---- 30398-14-7
Interest equal to the Principal 7804-10-2
arrears... 38203-8-9.

The

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

3 The Peishcush of Cumravandy which is 17762-11-4 was fixed at 70 per Centum the Berize of the single year Fusly 1213 which amounted to 24376-5- I have been unable to obtain complete or trustworthy accounts for the Fuslies which intervened between that Fusly and Fusly 1225 when it was assumed by the Government. From that year the Settlement is exhibited in Statement No. 2. In Fusly 1225 it was 18137-13-7 and did not improve in the first 18 years the average of which 16774-8-2 a sum below the Peishcush- Subsequently however, the Zemindary has fully regained its original prosperity the average from Fusly 1243 to 1259. 17 years, being 21486-4-4 and of the last 10 years 25686-15-8 which is above the Fyaul Assets. The Berize of Fusly 1258 amounted in fact to 32269-6-1 being about Rs. 7000 more than in Fusly 1213 and even last year Fusly 1259 which was an exceedingly bad one in the Talook generally, the Income of the Estate amounted to 28441-15-8 The Settlement for that year is shewn in considerable detail in Statement No. 2. It includes I would observe 1638-10-11 for Grass Jaee not included in the Settlement of Fusly 1213-

4. On the whole there is no doubt of the Estate yielding in future a larger yearly Income than that on which the Peishcush was fixed- Any proposition therefore for a reduction is entirely unnecessary. It

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

5. It remains to be considered whether the Estate should be given up to the Zemindar who is a Minor of 4 years old, and if so whether the Balance is to be written off wholly or partially or how recovered.

6. With reference to the Minutes of Consultation of the 5th December last which I am directed to bear in mind I have no hesitation in expressing a decided opinion that the interests and wishes of the Inhabitants of the Zemindary will be best consulted by the retention of the Estate by Government. Experience has shewn that notwithstanding the advantages which Zemindars may be supposed to possess they do not in fact under the most favorable circumstances administer their Estates as well as Government lands; and where, as is more generally the case there are family dissensions and indolence or bad habits on the part of the Zemindar, the comparison is still more adverse to his management.

7. If Government should decide on restoring the Estate, it will be necessary to keep it for some time under the Court of Wards; and I think all surplus above Peishcush and Charges may be credited to Government until the Balance is liquidated.

8. Should the Estate be retained on the present footing, I beg to recommend that the sanction of Government may be obtained for continuance from the date of the death of the late Zemindar 24th September 1850, of an allowance of 10 per Cent in the name of the Minor Rajah Gopaulah Lutchia Naikar and paid during his minority to Comara Lutchia Naikar younger Brother of his father for the support of the Family.

Madura, 3d June 1851.

(Signed) R. Du Parker. Collector.

Memo:

8368.

Fort St. George

No. 1 Memorandum shewing the Settlement of Coomaravandy furnished by Mullaikummaul the mother of

Fuslies	Poonjah Extent	Poonjah Jeerwah.	Nunjah Extent	Nunjah Jeerwah.	Sundiadayem Collections	Sundiadayem Deduct.
	Coolies a Chs. fas	Chs. fas	Cawds. dz.	Chs. fas	Chs. fas	Chs fas
1213	30136 15¾	10734 6 5¾	471 6¾	887 6 14	340 34	" " "
1214	27742 6	8648 4 7	411 14	746 7 3½	340 34	36 " "
1215	28346 10¼	9123 5 6	346 10	643 4 4½	273 5 4	" " "
1216	25235 12	7333 4 6	371 "	692 5 4	303 28	" " "
1217	29045 8	9653 5 4	242 8	436 7 7	290 6 8	" " "
1218	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
	Deduct loss from Epidemic fever					
1219	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
1220	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
1221	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
1222	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
1223	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
1224	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "

Madura, 23d. June 1851.

23d. June 1851.

Pollicond Rangherry, Talook from Fusly 1213 to 1224 as per Account Coomaravandy Zemindar under date the 26th. September 1847.

Balance	Total	Deduct amount of Istemerar Peshkush.	Balance	Decrease	Remarks
Chs fas	Chs fas	Chs fas	Chs fas	Chs fas	
340 34	11962 6 7¾	8373 8 8¾	3583 7 15	" " "	
304 34	9698 4 11½	8373 8 8¾	1324 6 5¾	" " "	The Settlement accounts of some Villages only were obtained from the Curnums in the Poozoor, but those of the other Villages could not be procured, the Curnums having denied of their being any and no abstract could be made for this reason.
273 54	10040 4 11½	8373 8 8¾	1667 6 5¾	" " "	
303 28	8329 22	8373 8 8¾	" " "	44 6 6¾	
290 68	10380 93	8373 8 8¾	2007 " 10¼	" " "	
" " "	10380 93	8373 8 8¾	889 3 10½	" " "	
" " "	1117 6 15¾	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	9263 23¾				
" " "	9263 23¾	8373 8 8¾	889 3 10½	" " "	
" " "	9103 21½	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	9091 1 15½	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	9103 21½	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	9571 312½	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	8405 " 21½	" " "	" " "	" " "	

E. E. J. Signed R. D. Parker.
Collector.
Statements.

Fort St. George

23d June 1854.—

Madura and Dindigul Districts from Fasly 1765 to 1856

Settlement amount of Coomaravandy Pallams in the Rangherry Talook of the

Land		Poonjah Garden		Nunjah Poonjah		Total.		Vamsayer Garden		Land		Nunjah Poonjah		Total.		Vamsayer Garden		Paddy field	
Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.	
3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11			
Cawr	A	Cwt	A	P	Cawr	A	Cwt	A	P	Cawr	A	Cwt	A	P	Cawr	A	Cwt	A	P
2	13101	2	22770	3	10	"	10½	16	2	6	470	12½	1866	9	5				
2¾	1982	3	8	12879	13¾	20788													
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8721	6¼	15710	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7518	9½	13305	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7486	¾	13111	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7344	4	12761	15	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7454	15½	13032	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6398	11¼	11258	13	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6596	11	11762	11	9	"	8	9	9	2	665	6	2398	12	6
3	9	589	13	9	6313	2	10172	13	2	7522	3¼	13291	12	"	1	½	17	8	1	579	10	3339	10	4
1	6	1769	12	9	7200	13¾	11521	13	7	6414	6½	11754	8	7	1	"	19	16	3	352	2¾	1893	4	5
4	7¼	2058	15	5	6039	15¼	10668	15	6	6754	8½	12368	9	1	"	11¾	13	8	5	787	1¼	2913	15	5
02	9¾	2200	9		6351	10¾	11572	10	8	7705	13¼	13808	10	10	"	11¾	9	5	7	886	4	3116	6	7
410	3	2235	15	11	7295	6½	12570	1	8	8340	15	11791	5	6	3	4	32	4	5	962	14½	3571	2	7
										7788	3¼	13635	5	6	2	2¾	30	"	9	458	7½	1555	2	7
										7316	6¼	13088	7	11	3	14¾	46	6	5	505	¾	2012	15	7
										8310	15¾	14727	9	5	3	5¾	45	9	11	333	12¾	1382	9	7
										9270	½	16264	5	"	3	"	12	8	2	597	2¾	2304	14	7
										9588	14¾	16435	6	8	3	½	46	11	"	587	84	3423	2	8
										10085	"	17270	13	2	4	8	66	13	8	881	14	3421	2	8
										140618	½	247863	9	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
										7812	2	13770	3	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Revenue

Nunjah Poonjah.				Total.				Total Nunjah and Poonjah.			
Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment	
12		13		14		15		16		17	
Cawr	A	Cwt	A P	Cawr	A	Cwt	A P	Cawr	A	Cwt	A P
"	"	"	" "	171	6¾	1882	11 11	13572	6¾	74652	15 9
"	"	"	" "	577	7½	2189	10 6	9298	13¾	17400	9 11
"	"	"	" "	641	6¼	2367	6 11	8159	15¾	15673	1 1
"	"	"	" "	778	2¾	2783	2 5	8264	3¼	13594	9 6
"	"	"	" "	810	14¼	2824	14 6	8155	2¼	15592	13 7
"	"	"	" "	896	15	3135	14 6	8351	14½	16167	15 8
"	"	"	" "	549	8¼	1920	2 2	6948	3½	13209	1 7
39	8¾	64	1 2	705	6¾	2472	6 10	7302	1¾	14235	2 7
22	½	38	6 3	902	11	3398	8 8	6421	14¼	16687	4 8
17	½	32	9 2	370	10	1450	15 1	6755	¼	13205	7 8
28	23¼	43	3 7	815	15½	2968	1 8	7670	8	15336	10 9
25	12½	42	10 1	892	12	3168	15 4	8598	9¼	16977	10 2
39	2½	63	15 7	1011	14¾	3667	10 7	9352	3¾	18459	" 1
34	¼	54	15 4	524	10¼	1940	2 8	8312	13½	15573	8 2
24	7	82	7 4	533	5½	2141	12 11	7849	12	15180	4 10
18	½	34	1 9	353	2	1462	5 2	8666	13¼	16189	14 10
34	12	121	12 "	634	14½	2469	" 7	9905	"	18733	5 7
27	10½	90	1 10	622	13¾	2482	" 4	10211	12½	16917	7 "
13	9	35	10 9	899	15	3542	10 8	10984	15	20813	7 10
"	"	"	" "	12523	13	46385	13 6	15342	1	294219	7 5
"	"	"	" "	695	12¼	2670	15 11	8507	14¼	16347	3 1

Fort St. George (23rd) June 1861.

Deduct Remission in the line of Summary.					Ready Money Collection.			Total Settlement including ready money Collection
On Shares.	On Cash Pre.	Total Remission.	Remaining	Trees Pre.	Cash fish.	Total.		
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
" " "	" " "	" " "	24,652 15 9	722 5 3		722 5 3	25,375 5 "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	17,400 9 11	737 3 8		737 3 8	18,137 13 7	
" " "	" " "	" " "	15,673 1 1	666 15 3		666 15 3	16,340 " 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	15,894 9 5	730 14 8		730 14 8	16,625 8 1	
" " "	" " "	" " "	15,592 13 7	728 10 8		728 10 8	16,321 8 3	
" " "	" " "	" " "	16,167 15 8	993 12 2		993 12 2	17,161 11 10	
" " "	" " "	" " "	13,209 1 7	923 12 2		923 12 2	14,132 13 9	
" " "	" " "	" " "	11,235 2 7	923 12 2		923 12 2	15,158 14 9	
" " "	" " "	" " "	16,687 4 8	871 5 10		871 5 10	17,558 10 6	
2197 6 4	68 14 5	2266 4 9	10,939 2 11	756 6 3		756 6 3	11,695 9 2	
" " "	" " "	" " "	15,330 10 9	860 12 2		860 12 2	16,197 6 11	
232 6 1	1 9 "	233 15 1	16,743 11 1	843 " 11		843 " 11	17,586 12 "	
" " "	35 1 7	35 1 7	18,423 14 6	784 11 6		784 11 6	19,208 10 "	
8 3 8	17 15 5	26 5 1	15,549 3 1	792 3 11		792 3 11	16,341 7 "	
239 13 1	135 6 4	375 5 5	14,804 13 5	793 8 5		793 8 5	15,598 7 10	
" " "	412 6 2	412 6 2	15,777 8 8	573 9 4	167 12 3	741 3 7	16,518 14 3	
53 13 11	935 5 1	991 5 "	17,742 " 7	579 15 3	177 5 1	757 4 4	18,499 4 11	
" " "	1131 1 "	1131 1 "	17,786 6 "	567 " 2	177 5 1	744 3 3	18,530 11 3	
173 13 1	1049 " 4	1222 13 5	19,590 8 5	558 15 11	177 5 1	736 5 "	20,326 13 5	
2907 14 2	3786 13 4	6694 11 6	287,544 11 11	13686 10 5	699 11 6	14,386 5 11	301,941 1 10	
" " "	" " "	371 14 10	15,975 4 3	" " "	" " "	799 3 11	16,774 8 2	

Fort St. George

23d June 1851

Land Revenue.

	Poonjah.						Nunjah.						Total Nunjah and Poonjah.					
	Garden.		Ain Poonjah.		Total		Vanshayer Garden		Paddy field.		Nunjah mixed Poonjah.		Total		Land.		Assessment	
	Land.	Assessment	Land.	Assessment	Land.	Assessment	Land.	Assessment	Land.	Assessment	Land.	Assessment	Land.	Assessment				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15			16.	17
	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.	A. P.	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.	A. P.	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.	A. P.	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.	A. P.	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.	A. P.	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.	A. P.	Cawn.	a. ⊂Rs.
1243	451	10¼ 2429	11 -	10135	5	13864	7 3	10586	15¼	18304	2 3	3	9½	50	13 7	800	11¼	3235	13 2	24	13¼	70	1 4	828	9½	3350	12 1	11415	8¾	21660
1244	508	4½ 2756	- 8	11490	9¾	17089	13 6	11998	14¼	20745	11 2	2	10	40	11 1	765	10½	3138	10 10	27	13	78	11 8	796	½	3258	7 7	12794	15¾	24001
1245	520	15 2815	1 1	11502	14¾	18450	- 3	12323	13¼	21265	1 4	5	3½	56	- 5	1001	8½	3960	13 3	23	2	68	7 4	1029	14	4084	5 -	13353	11¼	25340
1246	535	8¼ 2877	- 3	9903	½	13531	7 7	10438	9¾	18408	7 10	5	4	52	4 5	309	5½	1278	9 5	16	10	42	- 8	331	3½	1372	14 6	10769	13¼	19781
1247	590	7½ 3182	10 4	9001	1½	14123	- 3	9591	8¾	17305	10 7	4	8½	44	2 11	913	15	3984	9 9	15	11¾	39	13 -	934	6½	4068	9 8	10525	15	21374
1248	619	13½ 3341	4 7	9843	11¾	15304	14 1	10463	9¼	18646	28	4	13	52	13 7	905	15½	3723	35	16	12	42	- 4	927	8½	3878	15 9	11198	15½	21468
1249	642	9¾ 3458	13 11	9982	7¼	15598	3 2	10628	1 -	19037	11	5	6	62	7 9	5	6¾	2328	11 7	15	13¼	39	12 5	573	14½	2430	15 9	11869	15½	22786
1250	647	15½ 3491	15 9	10514	14½	16391	8 1	11162	14	19883	7 10	7	13½	71	8 6	683	1¼	2788	10 9	16	3¾	42	66	707	1½	2902	9 9	11982	9	23310
1251	634	1½ 3409	8 3	10149	14	16236	8 9	11083	15½	19646	1 -	8	3¼	76	7 8	879	11¾	3559	15 3	10	13	27	13 11	898	9½	3664	4 10	11757	14½	22991
1252	685	8 3670	- -	10250	14	15948	6 3	10936	9612	63	10	5¾	81	12 2	804	16	3282	15 3	5	10¼	14	8 5	820	14½	3379	3 10	12050	13¼	23672
1253	688	8½ 3676	4 -	10362	14½	16081	7 11	11050	13	19737	11 11	8	4½	56	- 11	952	3¾	3833	3 1	9	8	25	10 2	1000	¼	3913	14 2	12930	13¼	22281
1254	699	14¼ 3751	- 9	9814	8½	15231	4 7	10544	6¼	18982	5 4	7	5	47	5 11	807	½	3203	11 6	15	7½	48	5 1	829	12¾	3299	6 6	11374	3½	22363
1255	733	5½ 3938	6 9	9769	11½	15186	12 10	10503	1	19123	3 4	5	10¾	49	- 5	1058	15¼	4174	5 -	5	3½	16	6 4	1069	13	4238	11 9	11573	-	23363
Ten 13 Years	7958	9¾ 42807	13 1	13335	6	20779	11 6	14309	15¾	25071	9 11 7	78	13¾	741	12 4	10466	10½	42502	43	202	7	545	4 2	10747	15¼	43789	4 9	15205	13	20450
For one Year	612	3¼ 3292	14 6	10257	12¾	15993	3 7	10870	-	19286	2 1	6	1	57	- 11	805	2	3260	66	15	9½	41	15 1	826	12¾	3368	6 6	11690	12¾	22631

8372

Deduct remission in the time of Summabundy.

Forb. Lt. George

Ready.

Ow Shares.	Ow Capital Value	Total remission.	Remaining	Trees Value	Tank Fish.	Total.	Total Settlement including ready money Collection.
18	19	20	21	22.	23	24	25
CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
26 9 1	517 8 7	544 1 8	21116 12 8	539 3 5			
108 12 2	285 " 4	393 12 6	23610 9 3	535 1 4	177 5 1	716 8 9	21833 5 5
" " "	115 " 10	115 " 10	25234 5 6	549 7 10	177 6 1	712 6 5	24322 15 8
157 5 8	113 11 10	271 1 6	19510 4 10	525 1 8	177 5 1	726 12 11	25961 2 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	21374 4 3	527 3 7	177 5 1	704 8 8	22076 8 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	22464 4 "	529 15 9	41 2 "	566 3 8	23171 8 10
213 14 6	" " "	213 14 6	21234 2 4	520 15 5	177 5 1	707 4 10	21952 6 10
3 15 6	" " "	3 15 6	22782 2 1	520 12 2	177 5 1	698 4 6	23479 14 3
9 12 2	2 1 1	11 13 3	23298 8 7	508 7 7	177 " "	697 12 2	23984 " 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	22991 10 1	518 13 5	177 " "	685 7 7	23687 7 6
96 9 8	" " "	96 9 8	23576 " 5	533 8 8	177 " "	695 13 5	24286 9 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	22281 11 10	525 14 9	177 " "	710 8 8	22984 14 "
9 4 10	" " "	9 4 10	23354 10 3	691 13 3	177 3 5	703 2 2	24226 7 7
					180 1 1	871 14 4	
626 3 7	1033 6 8	1659 10 3	297849 6 1	7026 7 1	2170 5 "	9196 12 1	302046 2 2
" " "	" " "	127 10 7	22526 14 "	" " "	" " "	707 7 1	23234 5 1

23d June 1851.

money Collection

Fort St. George

Land

23d June 1851.

Revenue.

Garden.					Acre Poonjah.				Poonjah. Total.				Vanpayer Gardens.				Paddy Field.			
Land.		Assessment.			Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.	
2		3			4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11	
Cawds	a	C.Rs	a	p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p
776	14½	4179	10	4	10897	14½	14935	7 8	11674	13	21065	2 -	1	14½	9 -	10	901	15½	3635	5 1
841	8½	4532	9	2	11581	4¼	17840	3 10	12422	12¾	22428	13 -	1	15	9 3	11	1114	3¾	4573	4 2
864	15½	4646	5	2	12964	15½	19937	2 4	13829	15	24583	7 6	1	15	9 3	11	1294	13¼	5062	6 7
847	4¼	4532	1	-	12157	¼	18840	13 5	13004	4½	23222	14 5	1	10½	7 11	9	737	12	2936	1 11
11289	4½	60,698	6	9	180952	8½	281378	9 9	192241	13	342020	- 6	86	4¾	777 -	9	14575	7	58649	6 -
664	1¼	3570	7	11	10644	4¼	16548	5 4	11308	5½	20118	13 3	5	1¼	45	11 4	853	13½	3449	15 5
7420	-	39827	12	11	108793	5½	169479	11 8	116213	5½	208307	8 7	54	14¼	417 -	8	9264	11	36989	14 7
742	-	3982	12	6	10879	5¼	16847	15 7	11621	5¼	20830	12 1	5	7¾	41	12 -	926	7¾	3698	15 10

1213					1259				Increase					Decrease				
Cawds	a	C.Rs	a	p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p		Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	
321	2¼	1982	3	8	847	4¼	4532	1 -	526	2	2549	13 4		-	-	-	- -	
12779	13¾	20788	-	2	12157	¼	18840	13 5	-	-	-	- -		622	13½	2097	2 9	
13101	-	22770	3	10	13004	4½	23222	14 5	526	2	2549	13 4		822	13½	2097	2 9	
-	10¼	16	2	6	1	10½	1	11 9	1	¼	-	- -		-	-	8	6 9	
470	12½	1866	9	5	737	12	2936	1 11	266	15½	1069	8 6		-	-	-	- -	
-	-	-	-	-	6	1½	20	7 2	6	1½	20	7 2		-	-	-	- -	
471	6¾	1882	11	11	745	8	2964	4 10	274	1¼	1089	15 8		-	-	8	6 9	
13372	6¾	24652	15	9	13749	12½	26187	3 3	800	3¼	3639	13 -		822	13½	2705	9 6	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	124	- 5	-	-	-	- -		-	-	-	- -	
13372	6¾	24652	15	9	13749	12½	26053	2 10	-	-	1410	3 1		-	-	-	- -	
-	-	722	5	3	-	-	2202	3 9	-	-	1479	14 6		-	-	-	- -	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176	9 1	-	-	176	9 1		-	-	-	- -	
-	-	722	5	3	-	-	2378	12 10	-	-	1656	7 7		-	-	-	- -	
-	-	25375	5	-	-	-	24441	15 8	-	-	3066	10 8		-	-	-	- -	

Nunjah.								Total Nunjah and Poonjah.			
Nunjah mail Poonjah.				Total.							
Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.		Land.		Assessment.	
12		13		14		15		16		17	
Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p	Cawds	a	C.Rs	a p
7	5¾	27	11 6	911	3¾	3672	1 5	12586	¾	24737	3 5
7	2¾	23	7 4	1123	5½	4545	15 5	13546	2¼	26974	12 5
7	9	23	3 4	1304	5¼	5094	13 6	15134	4¼	29678	5 -
6	1½	20	7 2	745	8	2964	4 10	13749	12½	26187	3 3
230	10	640	1 2	14832	5¾	60066	7 11	207074	2¾	402036	8 5
13	9¼	37	10 2	872	8	3533	5 1	12180	13½	23652	2 4
91	2¾	268	15 5	9410	12	37676	6 -	125624	2½	245983	14 7
9	1¾	26	14 4	941	1¼	3767	10 2	12562	6½	24598	6 3

8374 || Fort St. George

23d June 1851.

Deduct remission in the time of Summahbundy.												Ready money Collection.						Total Settlement including ready money Collection.		
On Shares.			On Coml Vca.			Total remission.			Remaining.			Tank Fish.			Total.					
18			19			20			21			22			23			24			25		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
130	14	1	23	14	1	154	12	2	24582	7	3	764	15	5	176	9	1	941	8	6	25523	15	9
"	"	"	12	7	4	12	7	4	26962	5	1	856	3	7	176	9	1	1032	12	8	27995	1	9
"	"	"	27	6	8	27	6	8	29650	14	4	2431	14	8	176	9	1	2608	7	9	32259	6	1
98	15	10	25	"	7	124	"	5	26063	2	10	2202	3	9	176	9	1	2378	12	10	28441	15	8
856	1	6	1122	3	4	1978	4	10	400108	3	7	13281	12	6	2876	9	4	16158	5	10	416266	9	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	116	5	11	23535	12	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	950	7	11	24486	4	4
349	8	1	90	13	9	440	5	10	245543	8	9	9554	11	3	1771	8	10	11326	4	1	256869	12	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	44	"	7	24554	5	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	1132	10	"	25686	15	8

Madura,
3d June, 1851 } Errors Excepted

Yrs (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Population

8375

Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

No. 3 Population &c. of Coomarawady Pollium in the Ramgherry Talook in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul.

Fusly.	Houses.	Population. Male.	Female.	Total	Ploughs.	Ryots or Puttahs. Resident.	Non Resident.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1226	3136	5275	5076	10357	1305	1217	425	1642
1227	3072	4823	4681	9504	1214	1198	442	1640
1228	3050	4894	5013	9907	1182	1109	401	1570
1229	3076	4606	4833	9439	1150	1009	347	1356
1230	3036	4494	4867	9361	1083	825	319	1144
1231	3000	4413	4642	9055	1076	836	321	1157
1232	3092	5371	5439	18810	1114	852	374	1226
1233	3186	5116	5456	10572	1074	796	315	1111
1234	3456	5192	5495	10687	1074	774	318	1092
1235	3950	6955	6965	13920	1102	818	339	1157
1236	3957	6992	7020	14012	1117	837	359	1196
1237	3929	6923	6967	13930	1081	796	318	1114
1238	4123	6970	6972	13942	1096	825	286	1111
1239	3962	7013	6970	13983	1109	846	406	1252
1240	4025	7041	6999	14040	1128	868	387	1253
1241	4005	7423	6745	14168	1185	865	404	1269
1242	4119	7406	7290	14696	1322½	1003	364	1367
1243	4221	7528	7571	15099	1181½	1012	404	1416
1244	4134	7694	7476	15170	1281½	1057	407	1461
1245	4451	7748	7658	16406	1305	1073	431	1504
1246	5948	10211	9721	19932	1370	1049	421	1470
1247	5868	10174	9657	19831	1355	1079	383	1462
1248	5883	10211	9726	19937	1369	1073	386	1459
1249	5404	10801	9603	20404	1373	1080	410	1490
1250	5404	18434	9946	20380	1418	1118	428	1546
1251	5547	9978	9713	19693	1463	1273	319	1592
1252	5591	10125	9969	20094	1461	1186	284	1470
1253	5598	10257	10069	20326	1602½	1376	247	1623
1254	5648	10152	9991	20143	1499	1394	235	1629
1255	5620	10188	9887	20075	1630½	1516	238	1754
1256	5633	10209	9924	20133	1682½	1516	276	1792
1257	5647	10273	9974	20247	1845½	1561	301	1862
1258	5647	9926	9674	19600	2226	1674	346	2020
1259	5617	9966	9691	19657	2244	1634	332	1966

Madura, 3d June, 1851. E. E. Jr. (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

(33-34)

Bd. No. 1206

Proceedings thereon

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Madura relative to the State of the Co-maranady Polliem in that District, stating that it will not be expedient pending the orders of the Hble Court for the disposal of the Valiaputty Polliem to restore the Estate to the minor and recommending in the meantime that sanction be granted for the disbursement of the Malikana to the Minor from the date of the decease of the late proprietor

No. 260

1. This Estate is one of the 6 Polliums of Manapad temporarily settled by Mr Burdis in Fusly 1213, and its Peishcush fixed at 5075-2-5½ Pagodas or 17762 Rupees.

* Vide From Bd. of Revl to Govt 5th November 1849 para 21 and enclosure 1 in letter from Collector of Madura to the Bd. dated 10th July in Cons: 21st July 1814.

2. The conditions imposed in 1841 upon the Proprietors of the assumed Polliums, of discharging in full all arrears or surrendering their Estates, receiving a Malikana of 10p% on the net revenue were suspended in respect to Comaranady in consequence of the Minority of the Poligar. He is stated to have been 15 years old in 1844, and was therefore of age in 1847.

From Bd. of Revl to Govt 5th Novr 1849 Para 31 & ea.

Min: Cons: 2d Septr 1844 in Cons: 16th Do.
Exct. Min: Cons: of Govt 6th Decr 1850 Para 2.
in Cons: 2d January 1851
From Pd Collr 29th January in Cons: 8th Feby 1844

3. The views of the Honorable Court in regard to the Marungapoory Polliem, and the other assumed Estates, in Madura having been forwarded to the Principal Collector, the Board desired him to report upon any other Estates similarly entitled to consideration and indulgence.

Fr. Secy to Govt 13th Feby in Cons: 8th April 1846 (Bd's Proc: 16th April)

To

In Cons: 25th Feby 1847

Valiaputty
Vadakarai
Combay
Amotinnah
Comaranady
Veeramalay

Para 4 From Pd Collr 11th Feby 1847

4. To this the Principal Collector replied under date 11th February 1847, recommending a reduction of Peishcush on the Estates marginally noted, the abatement on Comaranady being proposed at 8 per% of the original amount, making this recommendation however "with great diffidence" as he had not been able to satisfy himself on the extent of Porumboke and waste land excluded from the assets, upon which the Fysul settlement was based.

5. The Board before coming to a decision on this reference, requested the opinion of the Acting Principal Collector upon Mr Blackburne's proposition, and to this communication no reply was received.

In Cons: 2d January 1857

6. The Collector on the 14th November 1850 reported the death of the Poligar, and recommended the continuance of the Malikana to his son the present minor.

7. The Board thought this a fitting occasion for the adjustment of the question regarding this Polliem

From Collector 2d Sept 1857

Pollium, and requested the Collector to submit his views in this subject, bearing in mind the sentiments of the Government expressed in the case of the

Mem: Cons: 6th Dec 1850.

Valiapatty Pollium.

8.

The reply of the Collector is recorded at the head of these Proceedings

9

The estate was sequestered in Fusly 1225 for arrears of Revenue amounting to 7,804 Rupees, and this Balance, including Interest &c. is reported to have increased in Fusly 1255 to

St. July 11th 1847

64,683 Rupees, and to be at the termination of Fusly 1259 Rupees 38303

10

Statements are forwarded shewing the annual settlement from the resumption of the Estate in Fusly 1225 to Fusly 1259 and which prove that its resources for many years past have been much in excess of the Government demand and that the entertainment of "any proposition for the reduction of the latter is entirely unnecessary."

Para 4.

11.

The Collector enters upon a

consideration of the benefits attaching to the ryots from the management of the Government Officers as contrasted with the evils arising from the administration of the Poligar, and states that if a restoration of the Estate to the Minor be determined upon, it will be necessary in consequence of his extreme Youth, to retain it in the Court of Wards for a long period. In that case he proposes that all surplus be carried to the credit of existing

4 years

balances.

12.

The Board cannot discover that the terms demanded by the Government in 1841. were ever formally submitted to the late Poligar, though he would appear to have acquiesced in the continuance of

of the Malikana after the completion of his majority..

13. They observe that the disposal of the Valiapatty Pollium has been submitted for the orders of the Honorable Court, it would therefore perhaps be expedient to await their reply before the subject under discussion be determined; in the mean time they recommend that sanction be given for the disbursement of the Malikana to the Minor from the date of decease of the late Proprietor..

Min: Cons: 8th Decr 1850

To

To

B. No 1271.
From Govt Vakeel
Sudr Adalut.

35

Mr W. A. Bayley.
Secretary to the
Court of Wards.

An Arzee from Goolam Moortooza Saib, Government Vakeel in the Court of Sudr Adalut.

The Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 22nd May 1851. and a copy of their letter of the same date to Messrs Dale and Boyson reached me on that day.

According to the directions given in the said Extract, I forthwith waited upon Messrs Dale and Byson and conferred with them; agreeably to what they said, I got copies made of the English papers connected with the original appeal records of the appeal suit No 7 of 1849 in the Sudr Court's file regarding the Sevagunga Zemindary ready at the Court, and got translated certain papers connected with the same record filed in the vernacular language and not translated, and delivered them

them on the 31st May to Mr. Dale, and that Gentleman informed me that after perusing all the papers connected with the said suit if he should necessarily require any information then he will call for me.

Moreover your Memorandum of 30th May reached me that evening.

I filed an answer in the Sudr Court on the 5th November 1849 on behalf of the guardian of the Minor Zemindar, the 1st Respondent, in the said appeal suit No. 7 with a Statement prepared to shew the strength of the both parties.

The Sudr Court, beyond disposing of a case by examining the records after an appeal answer is filed in the Court, it is not usual for them to pass any other order regarding the answer after the said answer is filed.

I herewith enclose two copies of the translations of the said answer and the Statement.

I have therefore written according to orders for your information of the said particulars

4th June, 1851.
Triplicane..

/Signed/ Goolam Moortoza
Government Vakil

/A true translation/
/Signed/ S. Srenevasen
Translator

To,
The Court of Sudr Adalut

Answer Filed by Goolam Moortoozah Vakeel on behalf of Coottee Joseeyur, Guardian to Sreematoo Rajah Moodoovezia Raghoonadha Bodagoorooswamy Pareeya Oodeya Taver, Minor Zemindar and Son of the deceased Sreematoo Rajah Moodoovezia Raghoonada Gowry Vullabah Pareeya Oodaya Taver, Zemindar of Shevagunga and Respondent in Pauper Appeal No. 7 1849 of your Court's File; to the Pauper Appeal Petition presented by the Appellant in the same Appeal.

The Respondent would wish to avoid trespassing on the time of the Court by

by imitating in his Answer the great length of the appeal Petition; but as the Appellant has made use of Sophistical arguments; and has seriously misrepresented facts, and hazarded groundless assertions in the evident hope that the boldness with which they are advanced, may induce the Court to yield them Credit without close examination, the Respondent Cannot with prudence refrain from following her through the several Paragraphs of her Petition and exposing the fallacies they contain. He will however perform this duty to himself as briefly as is consistent with an effectual refutation of the claims.

2. Paras 2 and 8 of the appeal, contains nothing that Calls for Comment from the Respondent, and Para 9 states correctly that the question at issue is the fact of a Division or Non Division between the Brothers Viz.t the late Zemindar Gowry Vullabah Saver and Woya Saver.

3 In Paras 10 to 12 the appellant complains of the Civil Court having

having allowed to be filed only such part of the evidence in Original Suit No. 4/1832 Provincial Court Southern Division, as Consisted of the testimony of Parties Since dead; and Contends for the credibility of the whole of the evidence then given. The rejection of Copies of Depositions by the Civil Judge was Consonant to the intention of the Privy Council and to natural Justice. The evidence in favor of a Division given in O. S. 4/1832 was obtained under circumstances peculiarly suspecious. The fact was not pleaded in the Plaint; nor though non Division was asserted in the Answer, was it advanced in the Reply, which led the Provincial Court to consider Non Division an admitted fact and to frame their Question to the Pundits of the Sudr Adalut accordingly. The answer of those Officers shew that the Decree would be governed by that circumstance, and then Plaintiffs witnesses called to prove their matters, were induced to give evidence in this, but the Defendant had no full opportunity of Rebutting their testimony as the question was not included in the points.

The admission of this Evidence was contrary to Clause 3. Section X. Regulation XV of 1816 and the Circular orders of the Sudr Udalut dated the 14th September 1832. and 25th March 1833. The Civil Court therefore properly refused to admit Copies of Depositions, formerly given by Parties still alive; and the Respondent submits that those, if parties since dead, relative to the point of Division Cannot be received, in-as-much as they were irregularly taken. On these points the Respondent begs a reference to the Diary order of the Civil Court dated 4th August 1847. As regards its Credibility, it will be remembered that this evidence to Division in No. 4/1832 was disbelieved by the Judges who personally examined the witnesses, and who therefore had opportunities of Judging of their varacity which no other Court can posses by mere reading their Depositions and such opinion of those Judges is unalterable by any other Court sitting at

at distance under the principle of the Privy Council quoted in the end of Para 9 hereof, which refers to the oral evidences adduced by the plaintiff in the original suit under appeal.

In Para: 13 the appellant endeavours to make light of the absence of the Partition Bond. A little consideration, however, will shew that the circumstance of this Deed never having been produced is a plain proof that it never existed. The Plaintiff asserted that it remained with her husband till his Death, and then passed into the hands of Mooddoovadooga Saver. If such was the case, why was it not produced by the late Zemindar to rebut the assertion of Non Division made in the Answer to No. 4/1823 exhibit No. 168 in the Record. It would have been conclusive on the point; but not only was this Bond unnoticed in the Reply exhibit No. 169 but non Division was not even denied. It will also occur to

to the Court to ask why if the appellant was aware that her husband ever held a Document so all important to her claim, she would have omitted to plead a Division in her Suit, before the Provincial Court No. 3/1833 or in her appeal before the Sudr Udalut had she known of a Division she never would have relied on such inferior pleas as adoption, Self acquisition, and the Razeenamah of 4/1823. It may be further added, that had a deed of Partition passed, the Zemindar after the establishment of the Courts would not have failed to have had it registered according to the common practice.

5. It is natural for the appellant, being destitute of a deed essentially necessary to show Division or of any good documentary evidence to dilate on the high value of Oral testimony in the present case. The Respondent, however, begs to advert to a Circumstance that occurred before the Civil Court which will lead the Court to entertain a great suspicion

of the whole body of witnesses she produced, independently of the objections to each, which will be noticed at large hereafter. It appeared by the respresentation, to the Civil Court, of one Mooddoo Kistna Iyengar, late agent of the then Plaintiff, that when she was called upon to prove Division she wrote to her Despendant Vencata Soobyen to procure witnesses. His letters, in reply were produced by Mooddoo Kistna Iyangar in the Civil Court in April 1848 and are still among the records of that Court and if inspected by the Court will satisfy them, that Vencata Soobien's attention was directed not to the Knowledge of the facts possessed by the several Individuals, but to their age being such as would give an air of possibility to their Statements. In this particular, even it would be seen hereafter, that Plaintiff was by no means successful.

6. In Para 14 appellant again insists upon the credibility of the

the evidence to Division given in No. 4 1832 which Respondent has already shown to be neither trust-worthy nor admissible. In addition, he has only to request attention to the observations on this point, in the Civil Judge's Decree, particularly to the following, Viz.t, that they were not subjected to that cross examination they would have been had Division been recorded among the points.-

7. In the original Suit under appeal Six witnesses were examined for the Plaintiff, when by her Vakeel's declaration in the present Suit exhibit No. 236, she herself dispensed with the examination of her remaining witnesses for fear of more light being thrown upon the falsity of her pleas by the nature of their Statements. The latter part of Para 14 and Paras 15 and 25 of the appeal Petition Contain arguments to show the credibility of the evidence of the witnesses examined in. The Respondent trusts that the following remarks will convince the Court of it's utter worthlessness.-

The

The evidence in question

8.

was recorded in 1847 and the alleged Division is said to have occurred so far back as 1792- The fifth witness deposes, that he is about 70 or 71 years old, in which case he should have been 15 or 16 years old only in 1792- At the head of the deposition of the 4th witness he states that his age is 74; but in the latter part of his deposition he remarks that he was a young boy of 13 or 14 years old only at the time of the alleged partition- The 3rd witness deposes that he was an umbrella holder- His office is as low as that of a Mussalgee: He says that he held that situation as he was not well educated, and he says that he was only 19 years old in 1792- How could persons so young in age, so low in station, and void of education, have witnessed the Division and known it's particulars so as to be able to speak of them from memory after the elapse of so long a period of 55 years- In the decree of the Sudr Adalut A.S. No. 5 1835 and A.S. No. 2. 1827, they rejected as

as incredible the evidence of witnesses examined in the lower Court, the former on ground that they had deposed with uniformity to an occurrence said to have passed along time before, and the latter on the ground that they deposed to minute circumstances of an occurrence of 23 years old. Respondent submits that the principle of those decisions applies with even greater force to the appellants witnesses in the present Suit who, as remarked by the Civil Judge, narrate the most minute particulars of a transaction which took place 55 years ago with an apparent accuracy which beget suspicion, if displayed by younger men in narrating the events of a few months or even days past.—

9. The first witness Camatchy Iyen deposed that he was Vakeel of Vailoo nauchiar in 1792, which particular he did not state in his examination before the late Provincial Court No. 4 1832 whereon the 3rd witness says that another person was then employed as Vakeel and not Caumatchy Iyen. This person was a man of no respectability

D.G.

respectability or settled means of subsistence but gained his livelihood, precariously. The Deposition of the 2nd witness shows that he was employed as a sepoy in low pay and that he now earns his livelihood by keeping a school. He says that he was in the service of Vailoo nauchiar, but he is described by the 3rd witness as only an Comadewar. The 3rd witness states that Gowry Vullabah Iaver and Woya Iaver came back together from Padamatoor after dividing their lands, whereas the 4th witness states that Gowry Vullabah Iaver returned one day after Woya Iaver. The 4th witness it will be observed was only 13 years old. The Civil Court who personally examined the Plaintiffs witnesses, referred to in this and the foregoing 8 Paras; has on full consideration of the credit due to them dismissed their evidence as incredible, which dismissal is unalterable by any other Court under the principle established by the Privy Council as follows. The Privy Council in affirming under date the 11th February 1831. the decree

of

of the Sudr Udalut in No. 5/1822 (in which Raja row Vencata belamy row was appellant) observe that "The whole question must "depend upon the Credit given to those "witnesses, the Known Character of these "witnesses, and the mode in which they gave "their Testimony- Upon these circumstances "a tribunal setting at a distance cannot "be so competent to decide as the tribunal "who heard the evidence and saw the "witnesses."

10. In the Rejoinder filed by the Plaintiff's husband as Defendant in No. 630 of 1805 on the file of the late Zillah Court of Ramnad he stated that when his Cousins were Zemindars his own immediate ancestors were Polligars; by the Customs of the Country, the holders of the Padamattoor Villages were formerly, as at present, called Poliputdars as may be gathered from the prefix of Padamattoor, as the family name in para 5th of the Government proclamation of 1806 exhibit 136 on record- Moreover the Padamattoor Villages

Villages are entered as "Seevethom" in the Istimerar account assessed with a Quit Rent only. Had Division taken place in reality it ought to have followed by an arrangement in respect of the "Seevethom" but the witnesses in behalf of the Plaintiff do not at all depose to such a Division or that they were Pauligars but state on the contrary that they were cultivating ryots and that they divided certain lands- An authenticated copy of the above rejoinder was procured and filed in the Civil Court but which having been returned, a comparison of the terms used therein and of the depositions alluded to above, will fully convince the Court of the falsehood of the latter.

11. The Original Plaint sets forth that Vailoo Nauchiar with a view to adopt the Plaintiff's husband consulted his Brother and that with their mutual Consent the partition took place; whilst her Witnesses in this suit as well as those examined in No. 4/1832, deposed that the Brothers were led to the Division by a Dispute- Thus in this important

particular

particular, there is a contradiction between the Plaint and the witnesses. The latter were probably induced to alter the story originally fixed upon inorder to agree with the evidence in No. 4/1832 which had been intermediately studied. Independantly of this Contradiction, it is to be observed that the Plaintiffs' plea that Vailoo Nauchiar has effected Division, as a preliminary act of adoption is quite absurd in itself for according to the Hindoo Law an adopted son has no right to any share in the estate of his natural parents.

12. The Plaintiff in O.S. No. 2/1845 sets forth that the Division took place in 1792 and the adoption in 1793, the age of the Plaintiff's husband being given by the Plaintiff's witnesses as 20 or 22 years at the time of Division. In her suit, No. 3/1833 Provincial Court Southern Division, her witnesses were made to state Plaintiff's husband's age at the time of adoption to be 10 or 12 years. Here is a contradiction in a most material point, of such a nature that it is almost impossible to

† Vide depositions No. 230 & 231.

‡ Vide Defendants exhibits No. 274, 275 and 276.

to reconcile it with the truth of the Statement that there was a Division. The Stories of the witnesses are made to vary first to suit the plea of the time. Adoptions cannot take place at a late age; so the witnesses in No. 3/1833 which was founded on the plea of adoption, were made to depose to Gowry Vullabah's youth at the period of that event. In the present suit the plea is Division which takes place only after parties arrive at full age — consequently Plaintiff's husband is stated to have been 20 or 22 at the time of alleged division making the age of his adoption 21 or 23. which is contrary to Law and Contrary to the depositions in No. 3/1833.

13. The appellant then passes to the evidence which she terms circumstantial, and contends, in the first place that the documents No. 156 and 198 Viz^t. The Proclamation of Government and the Sunnud Istimerar, necessarily show a state of division between Gowry Vullabah Tauer and his elder brother Woya Tauer, the fair inference from these documents is exactly the reverse.

In

In neither documents is a Division mentioned, and as the preference which is naturally attached to Seniority of birth would be altogether unimpaired by Division, it is plain that this was not the cause of selection. It is notorious, and is shown clearly by Mr Hughes's evidence exhibit No. 163 in the record (if that be admitted) that the elder brother was infirm in body and mind, wholely unsuited to the task of transquelling a disturbed country; His younger brother, a Man of vigour was therefore naturally preferred to him, and Woya Tauer's whole Conduct proved that he consented to the arrangement. If any other circumstances had been the ground of selection the tenor of the proclamation clearly shows that it would have been stated; for the Government assigned full reasons for passing over Vengum Paria odia Tauer, and Woya Tauer, had he not been insignificant and satisfied with the arrangement, would not have been treated with less consideration. If Gowry Vullabah Tauer had possessed, as asserted by the Appellant, the perfect title to the

Estate

Estate afforded, according to Hindoo Law, by a legal adoption, why did Government not mention the same, but declare to have selected as a Zemindar a Collateral relation of the original Zemindar, Sasseevarna Tauer? and why did they deem it necessary to discuss the claim of Vengom Peria Oodya Tauer? why did Gowry Vallabah Tauer himself state in his pleadings* filed in Ramnad Court as a Defendant a short time after his selection as a Zemindar, that the Government had selected him as a Zemindar, by virtue of his having been a collateral relations of the original Zemindar Sasseevarna Tauer? without adoption. Division would have weighed nothing against the claim afforded to Woya Tauer by seniority of birth, and the above reason will satisfy the Court that the proclamation and Sunnud Istimerar yield a strong inference that there was neither adoption nor Division - that there was no adoption further appears from the pleadings# filed in Ramnad Court wherein Gowry Vullabah Tauer states Vailoo Naachiar - his collateral relation and makes no mention of adoption. The

Brothers

* Rejoinder in S. 630 in which Doraodeecotay Veerayee was Plaintiff, Rejoinder of 11th July 1805 in suit in which another female Veerayee was Plaintiff. Rejoinder of 5th April 1806 in suit in which Nearoodayee was Plaintiff. Answer and Rejoinder in Suit No. 973 in which Rockattal was Plaintiff.

Gowry Vullabah Tauer's answers in Nos. 630 & 973 of Ramnad Court file, his rejoinders dated 5th and 13th April 1806 in Suit in which Naroodayee and Veerayee were Plaintiffs.

Brothers being undivided, to pass over the incompetent elder one in silence was unnatural, the right of the family being recognized by the appointment of the younger. But Division would have rendered the brothers independant Competitors, and there a reason for departing from the common course and chosing the younger would certainly have been assigned.

14. At the end of Para 28 the appellant states, that Woya Tayer had 3 grown up sons at the time of their uncles instalment? and asks why they were passed over if infirmity was the cause of their Fathers supercession? now in truth Woya Tayer had only two Sons at the time of instalation, the eldest of whom was not more than 10 years old - Moodduo Vadooga Tayer being about 40 years old when he died in 1831 - From the boldness with which the above assertion was hazarded by the appellant may be inferred how ready she is to impose on the Court with any plausible statement - The times demanded an able man

man as Zemindar, and the youth of the Children constituted as strong an objection to them as infirmity did to their Father.

15. From Column 29 to 37 the appellant endeavours to draw inferences in favor of her claim from the proceedings and the Razeenamah in No. 4 / 1823. a suit brought by her husband to resume Padamattoor and other Villages 8 in Number with arrears of Quit Rent at the full rate entered in the Istimerar account - As quit only was entered in the assets on which the Peishcush account Paish Cush of Shevagunga was fixed, the Villages could not have been resumed without infraction of Section XII Regulation XXV of 1802, and the Zemindar had long accepted a lower rate of Quit Rent which obtained before the permanent Settlement, and was only raised at the time to subserve the calculations for an increased Paish Cush - The demand, therefore was illegal in one respect, and very unfair in another - In point of fact the Suit originated from enmity

enmity occasioned by the part taken against the Zemindar in the family dissensions which arose when in 1818, he gave under a deed what is called the Catanoor Subdivision to his illegitimate Son, Mooddoo Ramalinga Tauer, thus deminishing the value of the Estate to heirs, who were first his own son then alive and then the son of his undivided brother. That then was a dispute originating in the Cause assigned; will be seen by the plaint itself in No. 4/1823 exhibit No. 167 on record in which it is expressly adverted to. In their answer (exhibit No. 168 on record) the defendants in the above suit introduced a pretension on their part to the Zemindary itself as descendants of an undivided Coheir. Whether this claim referred to half the Zemindary or to the whole, and whether such claim was an absurd or a good one, are points quite irrelevant to the main question at issue in this case, and consequently utterly undeserving of any comment here. The only point therewith connected which deserves consideration in this case is the

assertion

assertion used in plain terms by the Defendants in No. 4/1823 as the ground of their pretention alluded to and which is that they were the descendants of an undivided coheir such a pretention founded in such assertion must have greatly exasperated the already irritated Zemindar and if a Division had taken place between him and his brother and he was possessed; as the appellant asserts, of a division Bond it is impossible to suppose that he would have refrained from bringing the circumstances forward in his reply exhibit No. 169. But he was entirely silent regarding them, pleading only self acquisition a plea which virtually admitted union since the word "Swas Argitum" Implies only to the gains of an undivided brother. Moreover although the offensive pretention and assertion were repeated in the Rejoinder he finally compromised the Suit not mentioning even in the Razeenamah (exhibit 159) either any deed of division or at least the fact of any such division having taken place, but remitting a portion

of

of the balance and agreeing to accept for the future a rate of Quit Rent which was less than is entered in the Istemerar accounts. It is in vain for the appellant to argue as she does in the 37th Para that as there was a Razeenamah it was needless for the plaintiff to plead Division. The Razeenamah was not filed till the pleadings had been finished and the list delivered of witnesses and documents among which on the plaintiff's part, the partition deed was not one.

16. In Para 34 the appellant attaches great importance to the Razeenamah itself as surrendering the right to the Zemindary now enjoyed by the Respondent. It is only necessary to read the Razeenamah to see that it does no such thing and intended no such thing. It resigns nothing. It simply acknowledges that the Defendant had no right whatever to the Shevagunga Zemindary, as stated in their Answer. It was true, that they had no right to the Zemindary then as the Zemindar had a son and many fruitful wives alive at that time. But some years afterwards when the son and subsequently Zemindar

D.G.

himself

himself had died without male issue a valid right did accrue, which was by no means affected by the Razeenamah passed many years before in a suit about another matter. That Razeenamah bears on the face of it a proof that it refers to the pretension set forth in Para 2 of the Answer only and to nothing whatever prospective. Even admitting, merely for argument sake, that the said Razeenamah did resign to any right which might accrue to them at future time according to Sastery, still the respondent submits that such resignation by the respondent's grandfather in prejudice to the right of his subsequent heirs is illegal and invalid and not binding upon his future heirs under the principle of your Court's decree in A. S. No. 5/1824 which rejected a Razeenamah as illegal, entered into by a prior heir in prejudice to the rights of the future heirs. The Respondent, moreover, begs to submit for your attentive consideration that the Razeenamah as resigning the rights, founded one of the three grounds of the Plaintiff's

former

former Suit No. 3/1833 and that all those three grounds including the Razeenamah were set aside finally by Her Majesty's decree, and therefore it is quite vain as it is incompetent for the appellant to allude to the same as a ground in favor of her present suit.

17. If reference be made to the passage in page 183 of Sir Thomas Strange's work it will be seen that the case contemplated by him is that of a co-heir, at the time of partition waiving his share by acceptance of a trifle destroying a right then existent but not that which might accure subsequently. The Razeenamah did not occur at a time of partition, but in his suit for arrears of Quit Rent which had no connection whatever with partition and the Villages were secured to the Defendants by Regulation XXV of 1802.

18 Before leaving this subject it is necessary to draw attention to a lax translation of part of the Razeenamah in page 15 of the printed

proceedings before the Privy Council as conscience may lead to a reference to that publication. The passage is there given "to the Shevagunga Zemindary in the other estate" but in the original Tamil the words rendered "other estate" are "Dhona Roonam=galoo Room" - that is "wealth and debts" - other estate might be erroneously supposed to refer to the dependant Poliput of Padamatoor.

19. If the Razeenamah be held to refer, at all to the right which has subsequently accrued the Respondent would beg consideration to the argument of the Civil Judge that it was illegal as settling matter not involved in the suit which was brought for the recovery of certain Villages and arrears of Quit Rent and to the order of the S. C. of 31st March 1836 which rejected a Razeenamah as contained matters other than those mentioned in the plaint.

20. In the 38, 39 and 40. Paras of the appeal Petition the appellant endeavours to invalidate the whole evidence adduced on behalf of the Defendant by imputing

imputing Forgery in regard to the Record of a Suit in the Ramnad Court. The Respondent is aware of the prejudice under which his family labour in the matter; but he would beg to observe, that though the strongest measures were taken to prove evidence of Forgery no such proof was obtained, and it is his misfortune; therefore, that because his uncle obtained a copy of the Documents, he should be suspected of having caused the originals to be altered.

21.

The allegation in 4.1 Para of Appeal Petition, that Division is to be inferred because in the Suit of 1804 on the Ramnad Court, Woya Taver is not jointly sued with Plaintiff's husband is utterly futile. The property which led to the above Suit fell into the hands of the Appellant's husband alone and owing to his possession of the Zemindary in which non-division gave his brother no share; There was no reason whatever for including Woya Taver in the Suit.

22.

The Testimony of

Mr. Hughes, alluded to in the 42. Para, if admitted, will tell much more for the Defendant than the Plaintiff. It shows, that Woya Taver was an insignificant person and his Brother a man of Consequence, facts which Respondent has also stated; and it shows, also, that there was no legal Adoption nor Division, for had these ceremonies taken place Mr. Hughes, attentive as he was to the concerns of the family, would certainly have heard of them, but he attributes the selection of Gowry Vullabah Taver, to the circumstances of his life, and not to formal Instruments, and says that he was "virtually" adopted by the Ranee and that he Knows nothing of a Division.

23.

It is true, as stated in Para 44 of Appeal Petition, that the Civil Court was mistaken in supposing that Plaintiff was included in the Document 172. The mistake is easily accounted for by one of the Parties being mentioned as Maternal Uncle of herself and her Sisters. But though she was not one of the Authors of that Report the agreement

of

of herself and two Sisters No. 175 of which a detailed account is given in paras 26 and 27 hereof is equally explicit. The Document No. 172 was given by the 8 Persons whole signatures therein were acknowledged in the presence of the Collector as would appear from the Collector's certificates at the foot of that Document so far from the truth is the assertion in Para 45 of the Appeal Petition, that no one was ever called to give evidence in its favor. It is evident from the correspondence which passed that the opinion of the European Authorities was in the favor of Moottoo Vadooga Tayer, who was first allowed to manage the Zemindary and after Purvadda Vurdhony Nauchear had produced a female Child allowed to retain it on his own right.

24.

It is necessary to take more particular notice of one assertion in Para 45 of the Appeal Petition Viz. that the documents 181 to 186 connected with the O. S. No. 4/1832 do not exhibit a direct assertion of non division, while, on the other hand, the Plaintiff in both of the

the Plaint and Reply No. 181 and 183 most distinctly used the terms divided distinct coheirs. The 13th Para of the Rejoinder marked 184 clearly sets forth that the Defendants Ancesters were members of an undivided family. Whilst the 15th Para of the Answer marked No. 182 observes that according to the Doctrine of the Shasters Viz. that in the event of any one of the owners of a property dying without male issue, his share of it should revert to the undivided coheirs. The Defendants father had become entitled to the Zemindary under litigation. Further more the concluding part of Para 4 of the above Rejoinder states, that in consequence of the father of the Plaintiff in the above No. having had no Son, his nephew who was the father of the Defendant in this Suit, became entitled to the Zemindary according to the Sasters. and right of Succession. The foregoing Statements clearly allege non-Division. The Plea that Plaintiff both in her Plaint and Reply most distinctly used the terms divided

distant

distant coheirs is an extraordinary instance of the affrontery with which the Appellant attempts to mislead the Court by misrepresentation. If reference be made to the said Plaint and Reply, marked 181 and 183, it will be seen that it is stated in the former, that the Progeniters of Gowry Vullabah Paria Oodia Tauer, the Father of the then Plaintiff were distant and divided coheirs of Moottoo Vadoogahnadha Paria Wodia Tauer, the son of Shasavarna Paria Wodia Tauer, and in the latter that the Defendants' Ancestors were not nearst but divided distant cocheirs of Shasavurna Paria Wodia Tauer; Allusion is made to the division between the Common Ancesters of Plaintiff and Defendant on the one hand, and Shasavurna Paria Wodia Tauer and his son on the other, and not to a devision between the Istemerar Zemindar Gowry Vullabah Tauer and his Elder Brother Woya Tauer.

25. It is not shown throughout the Pleadings of the Suit alluded to Viz.t 4 1832. that the conviction of the Plaintiff

Plaintiff was that Division had taken place. On the Contrary the reverse is inferible, because self acquesition was the plea relied on.

26 In Para 4th of the appeal Petition objection is made to the exhibits 175, 176, 179, and 180. In consequence of Purvadavurdhany Nauchiar, who was Plaintiffs sister as well as wife of her husband, and who had been pregnant, not having brought forth a male Child, she and the Plaintiff together with another Sister, aware of what was the extent of their right, and that of the Defendants father obtained some Villages from him for their subsistence with an agreement for the continuance to their Posterity and she, Purvadavurdhany Nauchiar submitted it to the Collector of the Distruct with her urzee marked 177 for registry which was done and returned. The counter part Document No. 175 dated July 29th 1830 executed by the above 3 females was also submitted to the Collector and was returned after registry with his order

order marked 176. It often happens that to suit their present purposes persons deny Documents really possessed by them. In like manner the above mentioned Parvada Vurdhany Kauchiar informed the higher Authorities that the above Document was not executed by her. This was done not as stated in appeal Plaint by Urzee of the 15th January 1830 (a date preceding that of the agreement itself) but subsequently. The Collector issued orders on the 4th and 6th December 1830. that he would inquire into it but she failed to bring the matter to investigation. Its genuineness however was inquired into on Oath and fully established in two different Suits, and it was not determined by any authorities to be factitious. I enter copies of 2 Depositions taken in the above examination marked 179 and 180 were filed in the case.

27. In the latter part of Para 48 and in the beginning of Para 49 of the appeal Petition, it is stated, that

that the Plaintiff being a Gosha Woman at the time of passing the Document No. 175 was ignorant of her own right. In refutation of this Plea, it is to be observed, that from the time of the death of her husband, the Plaintiff and her Sister, the other wife of her husband, were free from Gosha, and being visited by many individuals, they had the means of being made acquainted with their own right a circumstance which a reference to their several urzees to the higher authorities is suffecient to prove. Certain respectable officers of Government Viz. Vencatachela Sastry the District Moonsiff of Sevagunga and Paupur Sawyur Ameen and some others were not only present at the time the Document No. 175 was executed by the Plaintiff but also attested the same. The witnesses were examined on oath before the late Provincial Court in Suit No. 4 1832, and No. 3 1833, which latter was brought by Plaintiff and in Answer to a Counter question put to them by the Plaintiff's Vakeel in No. 4 as to whether the

the above Women were Joshas or not they stated that they were not. In No. 3 1833 the Plaintiffs Vakeel did not put such a question, but contented himself with the Voluntary Statement of the witnesses, that they were present with others at the time the Plaintiff executed the document in question, and copies of their two Depositions marked 179 and 180, were filed in the Suit. The fact of the Plaintiff being at Madras in 1830 and living there publicly added to her other habits of life, is a proof of her intercourse with Society. Hence it will appear to the Court that her assertion in the appeal Petition, that she was unable to understand the nature of her right is false, and that the observations of the Civil Court in its Decree in the Document in question are well founded.

28. In the latter of Para 49 of the appeal Petition, the observation of the Civil Court in its Decree, that the absence of any mention in the Plaintiffs' former † Appeal Petition regarding the Division in question was a sufficient proof

† L.W. appeal No. 13 1835

to

to conclude that no division had taken place, is met by the Plea that such mention was uncalled for. The original Plaint in the Civil Court asserts that the Ranee Vailoo Nauchiar in order to adopt Plaintiffs' husband caused him to have his property Divided and afterwards adopted him and the Plaintiff was aware of this particular at the time she brought the Suit No. 3 of 1833, but omitted it in that Plaint in consequence of her having been advised by her Dependants that the mere mention of adoption was sufficient. This is a very unsatisfactory reason even as regards the original Plaint 3/1833. But after the importance of the point of Division had appeared from the original answer of the Sudr Udalut Pundits and the Decree of the Provincial Court, it is incomprehensible if the division had really occurred, that she should have omitted to plead it in her appeal Petition No. 13/1835 to the Sudr Udalut evidently, the Idea was then too new to her mind to admit of her attempting to support it as a Plea and the opinion of the allegation expressed by

Ⓓ exhibit No. 186 on record.

the

the Civil Court in the Decree under appeal is perfectly correct.

29. It is to be here remarked that so great was the disadvantageous effect to the respondent of the Sudr Udalut having mixed the Appeals 2 & 11 of 13 / 1835 together that though Division is no where pleaded in 13 / 1835 it is nevertheless entered by the Sudr Udalut as a ground of their decree. So far is Division from being then brought forward, that respondent is confident that a patient consideration of that appeal Petition alone will convince the Court that the Plaintiff then vertually admitted the contrary fact of "Non Division." The pleas advanced are first adoption. Secondly self acquisition. Pleas that no widow would think of making against the claim of decendants of a divided co-brother of her husband.

30. The first part of Para.a 51 of the Appeal Petition intimates, that the Civil Court unfairly rejected the appellants application to produce certain Documents. To refute this Statement it is only necessary to refer to the Court's Diary† where the reasons are given. In the said Para.a appellant objects to

† 30th June and 4th August 1847.

to the Civil Court having inferred from the Takeed (exhibit No.a 272) issued by Mr.s Peter to the Zemindar on the 17th June 1822, that at the time the Zemindar wrote his Urzee regarding succession Viz.t on 11th April 1822, there was enmity between him and respondent's Grandfather Moottoo Vadooga Tauer, respondent contends that the inference was Just and that the long eulogy on the three Zemindars respectability is inflated and vain as an argument. The dissention between the parties was as, already shown, of long standing. The Zemindar Viz.t Gowry Vullabah Tauer, had given Cuttanoor and other Villages to his son by a concubine, which diminution of the value of the inheritance was displeasing to his son's mother, who inlisted the respondents Grandfather in her Side. The Zemindar to shew his resentment by attempting to deprive respondents Grandfather of his Villages Padamatoor Vea.a by letting them to a Soucar. To shew that this state of enmity continued to the time of the writing of the urzee in april 1822. Respondents father filed the Takeed alluded to above, satisfactorily, establishing the fact, which can be supported by many other Documents on the Public records, if required.

The

The argument which appellant introduces at the conclusion of the 51 Para Viz.t that grofs contradiction between pleadings and evidence in an eſſential point is immeterial is too absurd to need remark. The importance of the contradiction in regard to the age of Gowry Vallabah Taver at the time of Division and adoption has been shown in Para 12 and cannot but be allowed to affect the credibility of the witneſſes to the Division most seriously.

31. From Para 52 to 60 inclusive appellant attempts to show the Document No.1/1, being an Urzee written by the Zemindar Gowry Vallabah Taver on the 7th October 1806 in answer to a requisition by Mr Klinlock Collector of Poligar Peshcush to declare the order of Succeſſion to be a Forgery.—

32. In Para 52 this urzee is stated to occupy a prominent place in fixing the Civil Court's Decision. The document is a genuine one, but the respondent cannot admit that the decree in his favor rests principally upon it. It is one among many proofs of non Division.

33. The imputation cast on its

its authenticity in Paras 53 and 54, because the pleadings of former suits were wrong by a few days as regards the date of the urzee, and supposed that it was addreſſed to Mr Lushington is groundleſs. The persons who wrote the pleadings were aware that an urzee of a particular import had been written, but as the language was Persian and the draft much injured they did not know its precise date, nor the name of the Gentleman addreſſed. After copy of the urzee had been obtained from the Hoozoor records the errors in these respects were rectified through a Petition. The observation in Para 54 that Plaintiff in Para 7 of the Reply in O.S. No. 4/1832 queſtioned the existence of such an urzee defeats its own object. It is unqueſtionable that an urzee was addreſſed to Mr Klinlock, and the doubt expreſſed as to its existence only shows the vagueneſs of the objections raised by the then Plaintiff. It is common for Litigants in this country to denounce Documents oppoſed to their succeſs as Forgeries. If the Plaintiff had really been afraid that the Defendant

would

would fabricate an urzee in lieu of one on the Collectors records, why did she not address the Collector with a view to his taking effectual precautions against such a fraud?

The particulars of the urzee in question were shown in the Proceedings of Suit Nos. 3 and 4 of 1833 long before the date on which an authenticated copy of it was obtained. Is it possible to suppose that parties would have ventured to anticipate in their Pleadings the contents of a false Document they intended to substitute long before the fabrication while the true Document could be brought from the records of a Public office to refute their assertion.

34. The omission by the Zemindar Woya Taver himself as one of his probable successors in the said urzee adverted to, in Para 55 will not be deemed extraordinary when it is considered that Woya Taver was older than the Zemindar, who might therefore naturally expect to be the survivor and also that this Woya Taver had already been passed over on account of his infirmities.

Para

35. Para 56 of the appeal Petition states that the Civil Judge said in open Court, in allusion to the urzee in question, that the Paper certainly appeared new and fresh, the Civil Judge had no occasion or necessity to make any such verbal observation, and his recorded approval of the document is plain proof of his opinion that it is a genuine document, and it is by no means extraordinary that a Document written on highly sized paper, enclosed in a light envelope, and probably never opened but once or twice since it was first received should retain the freshness of its appearance. There are doubtless to be found hundreds of Documents similarly circumstanced to prove the fact.

36. With reference to Para 59 of the appeal, the respondent observes that Mr Fischer, who is concerned in the appeal laid before the magistrate on accusation against Ebram Ally of having forged this Document No. 171, which that officer dismissed. It is not proper to accept the appellants account of what opinion the magistrate expressed on that occasion. The respondent has reason to believe that the Magistrate did not use the words attributed

to

to him. and has understood that he has recorded his grounds of decision in writing which the Court can refer to. In the Suit O.S. 4/1832 the genuineness of this urzee was tested and proved by the examination of witnesses among whom the Zemindar's former Persian Moonshee who wrote it. So serious an enmity existed between the Plaintiffs husband and the defendants father and others between 1818. and 1825 that it gave rise to cases before the magistrate, criminal and Circuit Courts respectively, which circumstance and that of the Defendants father being resident at Padamathoor at the time the urzee was written in 1822 prevented his knowing its contents and objecting to them before the Collector. In the Suit No. 4/1823 the Production of the urzee of 7th October 1806 would have controverted instead of assisting the pretention introduced in the 2nd Para of the answer, in as much as it makes the Defendants right of succession posterior to that of the Plaintiffs husband and his son - The Mochelikas exhibited Nos 157 and 158 alleged by Plaintiff in 4/1823 to have been passed in 1803 and 1815 were denounced as false at

at the time, and never brought to proof. On the contrary the Plaintiff compromised his Suit. The respondent is unable to perceive of what avail this urzee regarding succession would have been to disprove alleged Moochelekas for Parpoo. There is no mention in them of Division or non Division. The omission of Woya Tavet name has already been explained - There is no reason whatever for the mention of the urzee in the Will, or (as it would be more properly termed) the Zemindar's declaration of his legal successor. These declarations are made by every Zemindar before his death, and it would be a most extraordinary circumstance if in a short death bed Statement allusion should be made to an urzee written 23 years before which could not form a foundation of right - The 2 papers however agree as it is fit they should do. The respondent has already shown reasons in support of the Civil Judge's rejection of the urzee of 1822 - It is preposterous to argue that if the urzee of 1806 was of different import to that of 1822 the Collector would have examined into the Case - If he had been aware of the existence of the urzee of 1806, he need not have called

called for another report on the same subj
but that urzee was written to the Collecto
of Pesh-kush and though some of the
records of that office were after its abolition
made over to the Collector of Madura, can it b
supposed that the latter officer was acquain
with every document they contained? In 1806
correspondence with the Zemindars was condu
-ed in Persian. Before 1822 the Tamil lang
had been substituted and few if any servants
the collector's cutcherry were then acquainte
with Persian with regard to the Seal, thoug
the order may have directed that the
Answer should be signed and sealed the
Signature only may have been affixed to the
letter and the Seal to the Cover, as is the
Custom in the Zemindary. It is unre
-sonable to infer forgery from this de
-ficiency in the letter, because if such
taken place, and the genuine Urzee
had borne a Seal, it was so easy to
have obtained the Seal, which was in
the Defendants possession that this would
doubtless have been affixed. The absence
a Seal therefore is a proof of authority rather than
contrary: A forger would have allowed no obsc

difference to exist that he could possibly
have avoided. Appellant lastly attempted to
throw doubt in the Urzee of 1806 by saying
that it only made its appearance when
that of 1822 had been produced in Court in
No. 4/1832 and established conclusion hostile to
the Defendants' claim. On reference to the
Record of that Suit No. 4/1832 it will be seen that
Plaintiff in the plaint cited the Urzee
of 1822 and Defendant in answer the
Urzee in question of 1806. How could the
defendant refer to it early?

37 In answer to Para 62 the
respondent begs to observe that he has
rebutted the imputation of forgery cause-
lessly laid on the Urzee of 1806, and he
denies that even if it be set aside the
Appellant's case is proved by the other
circumstances she mentions. Had the
Elder Brother been a Competent person
to be invested with the zemindary in
troubled times, division from his younger
Brother would not have rendered him
less illigible. The Padamathoor Palipit
or Seevethow was the old subsistance of
the Branch of the family from which

it

it derived its distinguishing name and, &c
which as such being entered in the Steemerar
Biriz Accounts for a Suit Rent only and not
for full Teeva could not have been withdrawn
from the family by the Shevagunga &c
Zemindar without infraction of Section XII
Regulation XXV of 1807 though he could
show kindness by reducing the Suit rent
to the only standard. In the same
manner the other documents and circum-
stances mentioned by Appellant in this
Para have been shown to avail her nothing

38 Referring to Para 63 the
Respondent submits that the inference
drawn by the Civil Court from the o-
mission of the Plaintiff in 4/1823 to plead
and give proof of non division was as
has been already shown perfectly just-
The suit was for arrears of Canoopoo or Suit
Rent and by no means implied Divisi-
on. When Defendants in their answer
had stated a right to the Zemindary as
undivided Coheirs - the assertion of a
Division of such had taken place, was
naturally to have been expected in the
reply as well as the insertion of the
Partition

Partition deed among the Plaintiffs list of
of documents and a Razeenamah, entered
into after the pleadings had been closed and
lists of documents and Witnesses filed, by no &
means accounts for such an important &
omission - An Omission which the Respondent
again pleads leads to the strongest possible
inference in favor of non division.

39 In opposition to Para 64 of
Appeal Petition respondent submits that the
Correspondence which passed at the time
shows that the Government authorities did
acknowledge the Prima facie right of the
Respondents grand father, and that the
Civil Court was correct in terming the
Will a mere "Declaration of right" it is
only necessary to refer to Regulation V
of 1829 to perceive that it could not &
possibly be more, and that in quoting the
Government Officers could only have perceived
it without right and not as a bequest - It
was nevertheless an authentic document
as was proved by many witnesses before
the principal Collector and Provincial
Court - as stated in the 23d Column of
this Answer, it will plainly appear by
the document No 172 which was examined

and

and received by the late Principal Collector of the Zillah that the right in question was investigated by him and it was further acknowledged by Plaintiff and her Sisters by the agreement No. 175.

40 In the 65th Column of appeal Petition it is observed that the particulars of a document under date the 4th August 1829 unconnected with the present Case as shown in the 28th Para of the decision on the original Suit. Copy of the above document was filed in O.S. No. 4/1832 as Defendants document No. 27 and the particulars of it are described in the 9 "Column of answer to that original Suit which answer is filed in the original Suit on appeal as Defendants exhibit No. 182 - The above Copy bearing the abstract is inserted in Page 115 in the translation of the Case which was printed in England. The printed Book was presented by the agent of the Plaintiff to the Civil Court during the investigation of the Case which led to the particulars of the documents being mentioned in the decision under appeal —

In

In the 66th and 67th Columns of 41 the appeal Petition, it is observed that the Sisters of the defendants were married to the Son of the Plaintiffs husband and the daughter of her husband to the Brother of the Defendant and that such Marriages could only have occurred in a state of division — These marriages however are altogether insufficient to establish her inference. According to Hindoo Law Cousins, whether divided or undivided, should not marry - The marriages in question therefore were authorized only by the Custom of the Caste - The Hindoo Law is common to the 4 Castes nevertheless each Caste and Subdivision of Caste, has its different customs which regulate its conduct - It is prescribed in the Hindoo Law that if a pregnant woman to whom the Ceremony called the Seemantum has not been performed bears a child it should not be seen by the father - But this Ceremony is omitted to be performed by some of the Brahmins as well as other Castes - The Law prescribes that one should not wed the daughter of his mothers' Brother or the daughter of his Father's Sister - But many make

make such alliances - The Law allows young women who have become widows before cohabitation with any husband to be Married - But the Brahmins especially and some other Castes have made a compact that such Marriages shall not be formed, while Among some persons of Sudra caste women do remarry - and it may be especially noted that wives of some Sudra Caste divorce their husbands and marry others a practice not at all countenanced by the Hindoo Law - In Marava caste to which the both parties belong, it is customary that they marry daughters of their dayadies or Coheirs - In proof of the existence of such custom, the Respondent refers your Court to paras 10 and 17 of the reply and para 12 of the Rejoinder in No. 4 1837 in which the particulars of such custom are detailed - The said reply and rejoinder are filed in the present O.S. as Defendants Nos. 183 and 184 Vide printed Book page 61 and 66 - In short the various castes act according to their respective usages - It is particularly prescribed in the Law, that

that the daughter of one who has been adopted into another's family, should not be married to the Son of his brother - In opposition to this the eldest daughter of Ramasamy Sasthoopathy the late Zemindar of Ramanad was married to his elder Brother the late Manager Mootto Chella Tavers Son - Consideration of these circumstances will convince the Court that the marriages alluded to do not imply Division - The Respondent would further observe that the Marriage with Sawmiah Nauchiar could not as insinuated by the Appellant, have been contracted for the purpose of strengthening a weak claim, as Gowry Vullabah Taver had several daughters, elder than Sowmiah Nauchiar -

In conclusion the Respon-
42 dent begs to set forth a brief recapitula-
tion of the circumstances which establish his right -

After the death without
43 issue of the daughter of the Zemindar Vulladoogunata Taver and of his widow no lenial descendants from the first Zemindar of Shevagunga Shashanurna Taver

Sauer was left in existence consequently
the Government looked to the Padamathoor
a Collateral branch of the family of
Shasamurna Sauer, for a Successor. This
Consisted of 2 Brothers, the Elder Woya
Sauer infirm both in mind and body,
and the younger Gowry Vullabah Sauer
a man of consider-able energy. The
times being unsettled, the latter was
selected by the Government and &c
proclaimed Zemindar on the 6th July 1801.
The Proclamation itself shows that
the preference given to Gowry Vallabah
Sauer was owing to his superior abilities,
and the Consent tacit or expressed of
his brother, and not to adoption or Division.
If either of these latter grounds existed
they would certainly have been noticed
in the Proclamation inasmuch as the
claim of Vengum Paria Wodia Sauer, &
another collateral descendant of the ancestor
of Shasamurna Sauer is not passed
over without a reason Viz. Rebellion
being assigned and an Elder Brother
whether divided or undivided would
equally have stood in the way of a
younger Brother, unless under such &c
circumstances

circumstances as insignificance from want
of ability which is shown by Mr Hughes'
testimony and Woya Sauers [illegible]
consent.—

64 Younger Brother having been
selected as the Zemindar the elder one retained
the family subordinate Estate of Padamathoor,
Consisting of 8 Villages paying a Quit Rent,
which only, and not the full Teerwah, is &c
entered in the Istimerar account. From &c
natural favor the younger Brother accepted
less than the full Quit Rent, but when &c
after the death of the Elder, dissension for
causes which have been assigned, broke
out between his sons and the Zemindar,
the latter brought the often quoted Suit
4/1823 to recover arrears of Quit Rent at an
enhanced rate, and to assume the Villages
in support of which he fabricated certain
documents, enraged at this treatment and
desirous of frightening the Zemindar the
sons in the 2nd Para of their Answer, intis-
-mated their intention of suing the &c
Zemindar for the Estate on the ground
of their being undivided Coheirs. In his
reply the Zemindar did not assert division
nor offer to produce the Partition deed
as he naturally would have done; if
this Ceremony so important to his family
had

had taken place, but tacitly acknowledged the allegation of the Defendant - Division, therefore was not included in the points given by the Judge - after completion of the pleadings and filing lists of witnesses the Plaintiff compromised the suit. Accepting a smaller amount of arrears than he claimed and confirming the Padamathoor 8 Villages to the Defendants for the future at a lower rate of Quit Rent than is inserted in the Istemirar Beriz - The Defendants at the same time acknowledged that they possessed no claim to Shevagunga Zemindary, or its wealth and debts as asserted in their answer. This Razeenamah as regards the Defendants, assigns no right; for at the time they possessed no right - It only acknowledges the fact of their having possessed no right to the Zemindary at the time - which acknowledgement by no means compromises that valid right which occurred to the eldest of the Defendants, some years afterwards by the death of the Zemindars son and the Zemindar himself, when the latter was dying in 1829 he wrote the usual Urzee to the Collector inclosing a statement of the right of succession - and therein mentioned

mentioned that it rested in the undivided Brother's son Moottoo Codooga Tauer, if one of his wives who was pregant should not bring forth a male Child. The genuineness of this document which from the force of English habit, has been termed Will in the Voluminous proceedings of the Suits for the Zemindry was investigated by the Collector and proved to his satisfaction & the Government permitted Moottoo Codooga Tauer, first to manage the Zemindary & pending the Pregnancy of the widow, and afterwards on her producing a male Child, to hold it in his own right - Various members of the family notified their conviction of the justness of his claim and the present appeal Petitioner herself and her two Sisters also (widows of her husband) agreed thereto and accepted maintenance from him by a Document & registered in the Collectors Cutcherry - More than two years afterwards the daughter of the Zemindar was instigated to bring Suit No. 4/1832 before the Provincial Court Southern Division claiming the Zemindary for her Son, as Grand Son and she neither pleaded Division in her plaint nor in her reply though non Division was asserted in the Defendants answer Consequently the

the Judges assuming it is an admitted fact put questions to the Pundits of the Sudr Udalut regarding the rights of the Documents of an undivided brother and after their answers had shown the importance of the state of Non Division the Plaintiffs Vakeel questioned his witnesses, called to prove other points regarding a division their evidence to this fact was so little satisfactory to the Judges who enjoyed the superior means of weighing its merit, afforded by a personal examination, that they decided against the Plaintiff thus affirming the right of the Defendant as descendant of an undivided Brother. The present Appellant also brought a suit No. 3/1833 to gain the Zemindary for herself as widow of Gowry Vullabah Yaver, and another widow Mootloovernanancheir brought one in No. 4/1833 in behalf of an alleged adopted son but the Provincial Court decreed against them they neither pleaded nor produced evidence to division but as has been shown set forth grounds inconsistent with the knowledge of the division, against the decrees of the Provincial Court in these three suits appeals were presented in the Sudr Udalut. In none of these appeals was division pleaded except in 11/1835 preferred by the Plaintiff in 4/1837. The Sudr Udalut however affirming the decree in O.S. 4/1833 and pronouncing no decision in the appeal from No. 4/1837 reversed the decree in No. 3/1833 and awarded the Estate to the present Appellant in the ground of Division which she never pleaded, and on the testimony of witnesses examined in another Case which as division was not entered among the points the Defendant could have seen no necessity to contend it to full extent and which was inadmissible both by

by the plain declaration of the Law and the repeated orders of Sudr Udalut themselves.

45. This decree was set aside by the Privy Council on the ground that the right to the Zemindary depended on whether there had been a Division, and that the evidence to this point had been irregularly taken, but leave being Granted to the Plaintiff to bring a fresh action, she has since preferred the Suit No. 2/1845 to the Civil Court and the plea of Division. Reasons have been assigned in the course of this answer for rejecting her oral evidence as utterly worthless and for regarding the documentary and unpremeditated proof in the side of the Defendant as greatly superior. This is the view which had been taken by the Civil Court in a decree fraught with most convincing arguments against which the present Appeal is preferred.

46. The Respondent requests your Court will, on Consideration of all the foregoing circumstances and of the subjoined abstract, be pleased to pass judgment dismissing the appeal in question with all costs and confirming the original decision passed in favor of the Respondent.

The Respondent begs permission for the sake of Convenience, to subjoin for your Court's perusal, abstracts illustrative, at one single view, of all the proofs and Arguments on both sides of the main question, namely "Division" or "Non Division".

5th November 1849.

/Signed/ Goolam Moortooza
Pleader
For

Depositions of some Wit=
=nesses examined for the
Plaintiff in Suit No. 4/1832 of the
late Southern Provincial
Court's file shew partition to
have taken place -

The Plaintiff in this suit never pleaded
Division in her pleadings nor was it mentioned
in the points defined for proof, nor were the
Witnesses called to prove that point - Consequently,
the testimony of these Witnesses relative to that
point is not valid under Clause 3. Section X Re:
=gulation XV of 1806 and Circular Orders of the
Sudr Udalut - It was on the same ground, that
their testimony was rejected by Her Majesty in
Council - Moreover the Provincial Court who
examined these Witnesses personally dismissed their
testimony as incredible which dismissal is unalter=
=able under the principle established by the Privy
Council - For further particulars see paras 3 and 5
of the answer vide concluding part of the refutation
in No 2 -

---

2. The evidence of the Plain=
=tiffs' Witnesses Examined
in the Original Suit now
under Appeal, proves
partition -

As per their own shewing, these Witnesses
were severally 13 to 19 years old in 1792 - Fifty five
years afterwards i.e. at the time of their examin=
=ation in this suit their memories were impaired
by old age. They are uneducated Common persons
destitute of settled means of subsistence - So circum=
=stanced as they are, they deposed, with uniformity
to the minute details of the Division said to have
taken place in 1792 - Considering all this as well
as the credit due to them and the mode in
which they gave testimony the Civil Court re=
=jected their evidence as incredible which rejec=
=tion is not only consonant with the principle
of the decrees of the Sudr Udalut in Appeal
No. 5 and 2, but also unalterable by any other
1835 1827
Court under the principle established by the Privy
Council in their report in the appeal from the
Decree of Sudr Udalut in Appeal No. 5 - For
1822
further particulars see paras 5 - 8 - 9 and 10 of
the answer -

---

3. The Government Notifi=
=cation and Isteemerar
Sunnud shew partition,
because, in these, the
Younger brother was select=
=ed Zemindar, while there
was Elder brother -

The Younger brother was selected,
because, the Elder was incompetent - The
right of Seniority could not be affected or
impaired by Division - If Division had been
the cause of passing over the Elder brother,
the same would have been mentioned
in these Documents just in the same
manner as Vengum Paria Oodya Taver was
mentioned to have been thrown out for
rebellion - These papers rather tend
to shew union than Division - See
para 13 of the answer -

Fort St. George. 23rd June 1857.

4- The Razenamah and other Proceedings connected with the suit No. 4/1823 shew partition -

The suit was filed for 8 Villages and arrears of Rist- In their answer to this suit Defendants represented themselves to have been undivided members of the family- This Plaintiff in his reply, tacitly admitted, neither pleading Division nor producing deed of partition, nor even in the Razenamah entered into by him with the Defendants, did he cause mention to be made of a Partition deed or of a Division having taken place- Under these Circumstances these papers shew rather Union than Division- See Paras 15 and 38 of the answer -

5- In the above Razenamah, the Defendants in No 4/1823 gave up their right to the Zemindary and it forms the Chief Document to shew that their descendants can have no claim to the Zemindary -

This plea was advanced by the present Appellant in her former suit 3/1833 but was set aside by the Privy Council. As at the time of the filing this Razenamah, the Appellants husband had a son as well as many fruitful wives it is true that the Defendants in 4/1823 had then no right to the Zemindary nor was likely to have - It is quite impossible that those Defendants should have, in the said Razenamah given up that prospective right which might, after the death of Gowry Vullabah Tauer and his son accrue to his Nephews according to Shaster- In the Sudr Udalut Decree No 5/1824. it is ruled that a Razeenamah entered into by a prior heir in prejudice to the rights of future heirs is invalid and not binding upon the latter- Under this rule, the Razeenamah in question is not binding on the future heir Viz: the Respondent- See para 16 of the answer -

Fort St. George. 23d June 1857.

6- Sir Thomas Strange's work on Hindoo Law declares that if a Cohair once accepted a trifle or account of his share and relinquishes the remainder he can never demand such remainder again- In the present case Razenamah was given accepting some Villages This is tant-amount-to Division-

At the time of the filing of the Razeenamah no partition of Family property took place- The Suit with which it has connection was filed for resuming certain Villages then in the possession of these Defendants and secured to them by Regulation XXV of 1802- The continuance of the Villages to those Defendants was confirmed by the Razeenamah- But neither those Villages nor any thing else were at that time given a new to the Defendants on account of their Share- The Law opinion of Sir Thomas Strange refers to the case wherein a Cohair may, at the time of actual partition wave his right by accepting a trifle and is not applicable to the Razenamah in question- Moreover the Sudr Udalut in their order of 31st March 1836, ruled that a Razenamah containing matters not set at issue in the plaint is illegal and invalid- See para 17 of the answer-

7- The Moochilkah Nos 157 and 158 shew Division-

These two Moochilkas are not bona fide, but mala fide, Documents- they were not brought to test nor was their genuineness proved before any Court- Even in these forged Documents Division is not mentioned- See para 30 of the answer-

8- The plaint and reply in No 4 / 1832 Contain mention of terms "Divided distant Cohairs" and this shews Division between Goury Vellabah Taver and Ooya Taver-

This is a gross misrepresentation made by the Appellant to mislead the Court. On referring to the passages both preceding and following the terms- "Divided distant Cohairs" In the same Plaint and Reply, it will be perceived that the terms alluded to refer to Division between

Fort St: George, 23rd June 1851.

		between the son of the Original Zemmindar Shaseevarna Taver and the progenitors of Gowry Vallabha Taver, and not to any Division between Gowry Vallabha Taver and Ooya Taver. See paras 24 and 25 of the answer.
9.	The Zemmidar's Urzee of 1822, regarding order of succession to the Zamindary does not contain mention of the right of his nephew Moottoovadooga Taver. This shews division.	This Urzee is not consistent either with the state of Division with that of Union, If undivided, the nephews and if Divided, the wife become heirs according to saster. But neither of these two orders of succession was men-tioned in the Urzee, in which Gowry Vallabha Taver, according to his own pleasure and caprice, declared his Daughters to be his immediate successors. Hence this Urzee would appear to be an irregular one addressed by him, whilest the entertained ill will towards his wife and other heirs as detailed in para 30 of this answer.
10.	There are some forgeries in the Records of Rammad Court. This shews Division.	It is not proved in any Court that the Defendant was the cause of these forgeries. The Defendant could by no means be considered to have perpetrated the forgeries in the Original papers merely for his having upon regular application, obtained attested Copies thereof. See para 20 of the answer.
11.	Ooya Taver was not included as Defendant in the Suit of Rammad Court's file jointly with Gowry Vallabha Taver. This shews Division.	The property claimed in this suit came into posession of and remained with Gowry Vallabha Taver as posessor of the Zemmindary, unindivisible property, and it is usual to see the managing member of a family alone. See para 21 of the answer.

Fort St. George. 23rd June 1857.

12. The Deposition of Mr. Hughes Plaintiffs ~~Witness~~ in suit No. 4/1832 shews Division -

This Witness distinctly stated in the Deposition that he knew nothing ~~about~~ about Division - This Deposition came ~~under~~ under the consideration of the Home ~~Authorities~~ Authorities, who under an opinion that it ~~offers~~ offers no proof of Division, permitted a fresh suit on that point - It rather proves union - See ~~Para~~ Para 22 of the answer -

13. Some members of the Defendants branch ~~married~~ married some of the Plaintiffs husband's branch - This shews Division -

The Hindoo Laws prescribes general rules for the guidance of the people of the four different Castes including Brah~~mins~~mins - yet each Caste has some peculiar Custom reserved from time immemorial, Contrary to those rules - Particulars are ~~given~~ given in Para 41 of the Answer - The marriages now alluded to by the Appellants were performed in accordance with the long established Custom of Illavo Caste to which the both parties ~~belong~~ belong - Consequently, these marriages have no reference at all ~~either~~ either to Division or Union - See Para 41 of the Answer -

For

No. Respondents grounds

1. There had been neither partition nor partition deed-

Partition deed did pass and remain in the hands of Gowry Vullabah Taver until his death, when it went to the hands of Moottoo vadooga Taver, in Consequence of which the Appellant is unable to produce the deed-

In Suit No. 4/1823, the Defendants having distinctly pleaded in their answer that themselves and Gowry Vullabha Taver were undivided Members of the family- The latter Plaintiff would not have failed to urge Devision in his reply if it were true, as stated by the Appellant, that a partition deed had passed and remained with Gowry Vullabha Taver- Nor would he have omitted to insert such deed in his list of Documents or to produce it in the Court or at least to cause allusion to be made to Division in the Pajea-namah- He would not have omitted to get the deed Registered- Moreover even in the Appellants own Petition of Appeal to the Sudr Udalut No. 13/1835 from the Provincial Courts' Decree which went against her solely on the ground of the family being an undivided one, she made no mention at all of Division, but rested her claim on inferior grounds Viz. Adoption, self acquisition and Pajee-namah in No. 4/1823. A consideration of these Circumstances will shew that no Division has ever taken place nor was a deed passed- See para 4 of the Answer-

---

2- Absence of Oral Evidence Credible, to partition-

Partition took place in 1792- His Witnesses with good memory Correctly deposed the particulars thereof- If evidence of Witnesses were to be rejected, both on the ground that it is systematical, when they depose with Uniformity, and on the ground, that it is contradictory, when there is difference in their Statements, there shall be no evidence of any nature that can receive admission-

Vide Respondents to reference to No. 2 in the abstract "for Division"-

Fort St. George, 23rd June 1857.

3- The Urzee addressed by Gowry Vallabha Taver in 1806 regarding order of Succession to the Estate states that after him and his son his bro- =ther's son, were entitled to succeed to the Zemin- =dary - This shews now Divisions -

The Urzee is forgery - His brother's right was passed over in it - It made no appearance till suits of 1832 - This Urzee is not alluded to in the will, and it does not correspond with the Urzee of 1822 -

This Urzee has never been declared as forgery by any Authority, or Court - A Charge preferred against one Ebram ally of having forged it was dismissed by the Magistrate of Madura - Moreover, this Urzee was filed and decided to be a true one by Courts of Justice in the former as well as present Suits - As it was for the reason of his infirmity and incompetency that Ooya Taver had been Originally ex- =cluded, Gowry Vallabha Taver had not reason to declare him fit to succeed him to the Zemindary - Previous to 1832 there occurred no necessity at all for the appearance of this Document nor was there any occasion for its being alluded to in the will - It is impossible that Gowry Vallabha Taver should have in his short death bed Statement remembered this Urzee written 23 years before that time - The purports of these two papers, however agree with each other - As for the irregular nature of the Urzee of 1822, see Respondents refuta- =tion to No 9 in the abstract "for Division" - The disagreement of this irregular Urzee with the reasonable Urzee of 1806, can do no harm to the latter -

See Paras 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of the answer -

4- In his will exe- -cuted at his death- bed, Gowry Vullabha Taver declared that if his wife then preg- -nant should bring forth female Child, his brother's son shall be entitled to succeed to his Zemindary as his immediate heir - This implies non Division-	This Will is forgery- It contains no allusion to the Urzee of 1806-	The genuineness of this Will was acknowledged by the appellants herself and her sister, wives, in their Urzee addressed to the Collector on the 4th August 1829- which was filed in suit No. 4/1832 as Defendants exe- -hibit No. 27- The particulars of it were mentioned in the 9th Para of the answer to that suit, which answer is filed on the record of the present C. S. as exhibit No. 182- As for second objection- See Re- -futation to the preceding No. 3-
5- After Gowry Vulla- -bha Tavers death his nearest relations in- cluding the Mater- -nal Uncle of the appellant, presented an Urzee to the Col- -lector No. 172 acknow- -ledging the Justness of the right of Gow- -ry Vullabha Taver's brother's son Moottoo Vadooga Taver to succeed to the Estate. This shews non Division-	Not one of these relatives was called and examined by the Collector regarding the truth of the Urzee- It is not proved and it is a suspicious one-	It was in the Col- -lector's own presence that the appellants Maternal Uncle and others acknow- -ledged their signatures in this Urzee as certifi- -ed by the Collector at the foot thereof- There- -fore the appellant's objections are quite Vain- See para 23 of the an- -swer-

Fort St. George, 23rd June 1851.

6- The appellant and her sister, wives, obtained from Mootoovadoo-ganadah Taver some Villages for their maintenance together with an agreement to that effect and executed to him a Counter Document. This shews non Division-

The appellant was in Gosha and she executed this Document in ignorance of her right-

The respectable public Officers, who attested this Counter agreement as Witnesses gave their testimony before the Provincial Court in the former suit to say that at the time of the execution of this Document the Appellant and others were not in Gosha and had signed this Document in their presence- Besides in No. 1830 the appellant came to Madras where she was keeping intercourse with society ordinarily without Gosha - See paras 20 and 29 of the answer-

---

7- As an adopted son has no right under Saster to x x in the Estate of his natural family either before or after such adoption the plea of the appellant that it was for the purpose of adopting her husband, that Valoo Nauchearyar effected partition, is as absurd as it is false - moreover this plea of the appellant is opposed to the Statements of her own Witnesses who deposed that the brothers disputing with each other. Came to Valoo Nauchearyar and entered into partition - See para 11 of the answer-

8449 Fort St. George, 23rd June 1851.

8- The appellant's husband Gowry Vullabah Taver as Plaintiff in No. 4/1823 admitted without objection the assertion used by the Defendants in their answer and rejoinder that they were the sons of the undivided Elder brother of Gowry Vullabha Taver-

As the suit No. 4/1823 was filed for arrears of rent and for Villages and as a Rajeenamah was filed in it, there was no necessity for him to mention Division-

As the Defendants in answering this suit asserted non-Division and a consequent right to the Zemindary itself the Plaintiff, if he had been divided, would not have omitted to mention the same and if a partition deed had existed to produce such deed- He took care, it will be observed, to have the claim retracted in the Rajeenamah- It was after the close of all the pleadings and the filing of the List of Documents, and Witnesses, that the Rajeenamah was filed, Consequently the filing of the Rajeenamah can by no means account for the omission in the pleadings- Even the Rajeenamah does not mention any thing about Division- See paras 15 and 38 of the answer-

---

9- Documents Nos. 181 to 186 Vzt. pleadings &c. Connected with the suit No. 4/1832 shew non Division-

These pleadings do not contain direct assertion of non Division as made by the Defendants, on the other hand the plaint and reply contain mention of the terms "divided distant Coheirs"-

In the 15th Para of the answer and in Para 13 of the rejoinder, the Defendants urged, in distinct terms that their Progenitors were members of an undivided family and that the Zemindary came to Moottoovadoogandada Taver by virtue of his right as undivided member of the family- For further particulars, See para 24 of the answer- As for the Exception, See respondent's refutation to No. 8 in the abstract for Division-

8457 Fort St. George, 23d June 1857.

Read the following letter.

No. 115

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(37)

B. N. 1298.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly - - } Sir,

Par. 1. I have the honor to

Submitting an application for increasing the allowance of a Number Cunnum in his District who is at present much under remunerated for the labour and responsibility of his Office.

submit in the prescribed form an application for increasing the allowance of a Number Cunnum in this District who is at present much under remunerated for the labour and responsibility of his Office.

2. The Cunnum of the Village of Savarymuttoo alias in the Talook of Ottapedarum to whom I refer at present enjoys no salary from the State and is not on the Establishment of Number Cunnums as settled in 1845 in consequence, it is presumed, of there being a small Inamiem in land annexed to the Office and a customary gratuity payable by the Ryots. The Inamiem consists

8457 Fort St. George, 23d June 1857.

The Appellant had

Upon the Decree on the Original

not at all proceeded against

her defendants, as stated in

Division either in her

Suit, omitted to Appeal

Suit No. 3 of 1833 in her favour

Division in the

Appeal to the Sudder

necessary.

Adalut No. 12 of 1835.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read

5th November 1849.

Order thereon.

(35-36)

Fort St. George, 23d June 1851.

consists of 2½ Chains (equal to 9-1 Acres) of Poonjah land, yielding an annual income of Rupees 11-11-5, and the gratuity amounts to Rupees 22-4-5. yearly; making the total Emoluments of the Curnomship Rupees 33-4-12 per annum, or Rupees 3-13-4 per Monsoon.

3. The Village of Savarymuttygalum produces an average annual Revenue of nearly Rupees 1,200 and it is most desirable that the Curnom of a Village of that magnitude should not be placed on a lower footing than the majority of his fellows. I propose therefore that the receipts of the Office in question should be raised to the rate of 4 3/8 Rupees per Monsoon, for which purpose it will be necessary to allow him a Salary from the state amounting to Rupees 1-9-4 a Month.

4. The Boards are aware that the Total of the Curnom Marah funds of this District, to which

Board's Proceedings 17th November 1836. Para 34. was carried to Ayen in Fasly 1253, amounting

Fort St. George, 23d June 1851.

to Rupees 34,722-4-4 while the aggregate Salaries of the several Number Curnoms on the Establishment does not exceed Rupees 28,740- leaving an unappropriated surplus of Rupees 5,982.

Board's letter 26th May 1846 with Enclosure and Board's Proceedings 18th February 1847.

Tinnevelly, Sevaccasey, 31st May, 1851.

/Signed/ C. J. Bird.
Collector.

Application

8454 ... George.

23rd June 1857.

Applica... ary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George dated 31st May 1857.

	Total-			Net-	
	...nues-	Charges-		Surplus-	Deficit-
	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
Actual ar...	2726	5,35,757		1593969	"
Do. ... ar...	2227	508973		1554254	"
Do. ... ar...	038	4,58,413		1418625	"
Estimated ar...	038	4,58,413		1418625	"

Extract- from Home Department- of Government- of India-	...ase ...er ...ths-	Office to which Establishment belongs.	Number & Designation of Establishment.	Casual or Extraordinary.
No. Date.				
1 2		3	11	12

8455 Fort S.t George. 23.d June 1851.

B. N.o 1180.

Proceedings thereon.

37-38

The foregoing application being of too trifling a nature to be made subject of special reference to Government and perhaps to the Government of India, the Board, before submitting it, request that the Collector will state, whether there are any other Village service Enams or Emoluments in his District which are considered to be insufficient remuneration for Services rendered and which could be reported on, together with that now before them; and whether any and what portion of the surplus adverted to in para 4 might be made available for such purposes.—

Resolved.

8456 Fort S.t George 23.d June 1851.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

N.o 255.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

B.d N.o 1190

Sir,

To the Chief Secretary to Government

39

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly Accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12.th July 1850 to 30.th April 1851 of Fusly 1260 Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1259.

A

Abstract B shews a Net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 4,14,598-13-1 resulting from a Net

Increase in the Collections of Current ... 12,968-15-9

Deduct Decrease in the Collections of arrears ... 3,05,328-6-4

= 3,07,640-9-5

Increase of Rupees 3,07,640-9-10 in the Collections of Land revenue and a Net Increase of Rupees 1,06,958-3-8 in the Collections from Exctra Sources of Revenue.

Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the revenue from Exctra Sources in the Several Districts.

Revenue B.d Office
Fort S.t George
23.d June 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary
General

Fort St. George 23rd June 1851.

al Statement shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue in the Several Districts under the Madras Presidency f... 12th July 1850 to 30th April 1851 of Fusly 1260 compared with the ...

Collections of

	Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260			Increase		Arrears and Current Land Revenue Comparison									
									Decrease				Net					
	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Increase	Decrease				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15				
of Ganjam	25315 2 ..	729123 12 ..	754438 14 ..	68737 13 7	757035 10 11	825773 8 6	43422 11 7	27911	71334 10 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	71334 10 6	.. .. ..				
Vizagapatam	23410 11 1	939048 1 5	962458 .. 3	38295 7 5	936115 .. 9	974410 8 2	14884 12 4	.. .. ..	14884 12 4	.. .. ..	2933 .. 8	2933 .. 8	11951 11 8	.. .. ..				
Rajahmundry	84093 8 6	1520595 7 9	1604689 .. 4	101278 14 11	1603276 15 5	1704555 14 4	17185 6 5	82681 7 ..	99866 14 1	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	99866 14 1	.. .. ..				
Masulipatam	116573 7 1	322687 15 3	439261 7 4	191983 15 5	298920 9 3	490904 8 8	75410 8 4	.. .. ..	75410 8 4	.. .. ..	23767 6 ..	23767 6 ..	51643 2 4	.. .. ..				
Guntoor [incls. Palnaud / Chingleput]	201113 12 ..	1131959 11 6	1333073 7 6	157261 3 1	698055 14 5	855317 1 6	.. .. ..	477756 10 ..	185352 8 11	1519033 13 1	1337256 6 ..	.. .. ..	337256 6 ..					
Nellore [incls. Balaghaut / Madura]	176254 15 1	311653 1 4	487908 .. 3	126935 12 ..	370786 1 9	497721 13 9	.. .. ..	59133 .. 5	49319 3 1	.. .. ..	49319 3 1	.. .. ..	9813 13 4	.. .. ..				
	514804 7 10	1318579 1 5	1170383 .. 6	107291 8 10	1256166 4 11	1363457 11 9	55486 15 ..	137857	193074 2 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	193074 2 6	.. .. ..				
Arcot	322850 1 8	893899 13 10	1216749 .. 5	211086 6 1	1099931 10 11	1281038 1 ..	.. .. ..	170031	176031 13 1	111743 11 7	.. .. ..	111743 11 7	64288 1 6	.. .. ..				
South Arcot	97610 10 ..	1427614 12 ..	1525225 .. 5	47944 6 7	1426001 8 ..	1473945 14 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	49666 3 5	1613 4 ..	51279 7 5	.. .. ..	51279 7 5				
	543114 9	1155682 8 8	1209993 .. 14	84909 .. 1	1282659 3 11	1367568 4 ..	30597 11 4	1296971	157574 6 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	157574 6 7	.. .. ..				
	345839 5 11	1206613 8 5	1552452 14 1	164079 8 1	1545973 .. 9	1710052 8 10	.. .. ..	339351	339359 8 4	181759 13 10	.. .. ..	181759 13 10	157599 10 6	.. .. ..				
	16469 12 8	2433864 9 5	2450334 .. 9	49173 15 7	2306845 4 4	2355019 3 11	32704 2 11	.. .. ..	32704 2 11	.. .. ..	127019 5 1	127019 5 1	.. .. ..	94315 2 2				
	17061 1 4	1178254 2 5	1195315 .. 2	10055 11 4	1184381 .. 7	1194436 11 11	.. .. ..	61206	6126 14 2	7005 6 ..	.. .. ..	7005 6 ..	.. .. ..	878 7 10				
	34827 2 3	1552816 8 11	1587643 11 1	42655 9 10	1492219 9 8	1534875 3 6	7828 7 7	.. .. ..	7828 7 7	.. .. ..	60596 15 3	60596 15 3	.. .. ..	52768 7 8				
	20866 2 8	1894860 5 5	1915726 8 1	42547 2 3	1924847 5 7	1967394 7 10	21680 15 7	209871	51667 15 9	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	51667 15 9	.. .. ..				
	121965 8 ..	1630651 1 11	1752616 .. 11	81257 11 ..	1688970 8 6	1770228 3 6	.. .. ..	58319 6 7	58319 6 7	40707 13 ..	.. .. ..	40707 13 ..	17611 9 7	.. .. ..				
	10725 13 3	1904025 7 2	1914751 .. 11	9103 5 ..	1891508 9 10	1900611 14 10	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	1622 8 3	12516 13 4	14139 5 7	.. .. ..	14139 5 7				
Land Customs	19277 10 8	1204216 13 3	1223494 .. 2	17537 3 9	1120588 11 2	1138125 14 11	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	1740 6 11	83628 2 1	85368 9 ..	.. .. ..	85368 9 ..				
Collected on cochrane Canal	4104 13 11	1236192 3 3	1240297 .. 5	9738 8 11	1232301 13 8	1242040 6 7	5633 11 ..	.. .. ..	5633 11 ..	.. .. ..	3890 5 7	3890 5 7	1743 5 5	.. .. ..				
Customs	2725 11 8	44131 1 9	46856	2753 6 1	43521 6 2	46274 12 3	27 10 5	.. .. ..	27 10 5	.. .. ..	609 11 7	609 11 7	.. .. ..	582 1 2				
	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..

8458 Fort St. George

23d June 1857.

Collections in the same period of Fusly 1259.

	Salt				Collections of arrears and Sayer				Current Abkarry							
	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease				
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27				
1	2,39,709 1 3	2,25,308 14 ..	.. .. ..	14,400 3 3	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	42,989 15 3	43,372 11 11	382 12 8	.. .. ..				
2	12,263 10 ..	11,580 15 6	.. .. ..	682 10 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	35,581 1 1	41,730 5 11	6,149 4 10	.. .. ..				
3	1,58,088 1 ..	1,29,370	.. .. ..	28,718 1 ..	3,810 6 10	2,338 6 4	1,472 .. 6	60,718 3 2	58,494 11 1	.. .. ..	2,223 8 1					
4	2,41,631 2 ..	2,59,053 9 8	17,422 7 8	.. .. ..	28,636 4 2	35,387 6 7	6,751 2 5	.. .. ..	31,132 3 10	29,863 8 2	.. .. ..	1,268 11 8				
5	3,29,530 .. 7	3,50,072 5 ..	20,542 4 5	.. .. ..	2,173 13 5	2,302 2 8	128 5 3	.. .. ..	16,263 12 ..	14,130 12 ..	.. .. ..	2,133				
6	2,26,143 8 3	2,19,930 8 14	.. .. ..	14,112 15 11	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	61,552 15 11	49,336 15 8	.. .. ..	12,216 .. 3				
7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	62,986 8 ..	61,829 7 9	.. .. ..	1,157 .. 3				
8	2,69,139	2,52,965 11 3	.. .. ..	16,173 4 9	962 6 ..	1,045 2 4	82 12 4	.. .. ..	36,796 12 ..	41,675	4,878 4 ..	.. .. ..				
9	4,63,631 5 2	3,71,464 11 11	.. .. ..	92,166 9 3	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	24,119 8 1	21,436 15 9	.. .. ..	2,682 8 4				
10	14,341 8 ..	14,694 10 4	353 2 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	98,607 8 ..	83,880	.. .. ..	14,727 8 ..				
11	1,82,497 13 1	2,34,073 9 10	51,575 12 9	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	2,078 8 1	66,416 2 4	50,217 10 7	.. .. ..	16,198 7 9				
12	3,74,026 15 7	4,04,943 14 5	30,916 14 10	.. .. ..	37,395 6 10	35,316 14 9	5,896 15 3	.. .. ..	80,914 5 9	85,129	4,214 10 3	.. .. ..				
13	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	14,385 13 3	20,282 12 6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	18,417 15 ..	18,880 3 6	462 4 6	.. .. ..				
14	2,44,816 11 4	2,71,092 4 11	26,475 9 7	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	2,258 1 6	.. .. ..	18,335	17,960	.. .. ..	375				
15	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	36,396 4 5	38,654 5 11	72 15 5	.. .. ..	2,34,340 1 10	2,37,047 14 ..	2,707 12 2	.. .. ..				
16	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	4,877 14 ..	4,950 13 5	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	81,593 3 ..	82,487 2 4	.. .. ..	4,106 .. 8				
17	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	52,012	57,933 13 9	5,921 13 9	.. .. ..				
18	3,34,905 5 ..	3,40,528 1 10	5,622 12 10	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	1,202 8 11	.. .. ..	51,226 10 7	49,266 10 1	.. .. ..	1,960 .. 6				
19	2,62,847 11 4	2,68,613 8 8	5,965 13 4	.. .. ..	13,299 3 7	25,319 12 6	 4	15 5	47,244 12 1	47,532 15 10	288 3 9	.. .. ..				
	3,15,166 14 5	3,97,246 8 ..	81,879 9 7	.. .. ..	344 1 1	139 1 8	 96	6 3	4,60,762 9 5	4,35,572 8 4	.. .. ..	25,190 1 1				
20	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	177 13 6	87 7 3	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..				
	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..				
	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..				
21	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	 259	13 11	57,604 12 7	53,077 1 ..	.. .. ..	4,527 11 7				
	37,77,438 11 ..	38,46,439 5 8	2,40,754 7 4	1,71,753 12 8	1,46,903 10 6	1,70,202 11 5	27,210 13 1	3911 12 2	16,44,615 15 11	15,80,855 7 8	25,005 1 11	88,765 10 2				
	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	198 11 9	1361 3 5	1177 1 3	14 9 7				
	37,77,438 11 ..	38,46,439 5 8	2,40,754 7 4	1,71,753 12 8	1,46,903 10 6	1,70,202 11 5	27,210 13 1	3911 12 2	16,44,814 11 8	15,82,216 11 1	26,182 3 2	88,780 3 9				
			1,71,753 12 8	D.t Decrease			3911 12 2	D.t Decrease			D.t Increase	26,182 3 2				
			69,000 10 8	Net Increase			23,299 .. 11	Net Increase			Net Decrease	62,598 .. 7				
	33,12,492 13 5	33,81,673 1 6							14,60,329 9 9	14,11,755 13 3						
	4,64,945 13 7	4,64,766 4 2			1,28,748 6 6	1,49,314 3 2			1,84,485 1 11	1,70,460 13 10						
					18,155 4 ..	20,333 8 3										

8459

Fort St. George

23d. June 1857.

Sundry Small Farms and Licences.

Collections of arrears

Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260
28	29	30	31	32	33
1 3709 8 6	4169 14 "	460 5 6	" " "	6938 9 10	9040 8 3
2 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5173 6 6	5041 8 11
3 8361 8 9	8657 6 6	295 13 9	" " "	45261 9 "	46614 9 8
4 857 2 "	2186 1 7	1328 15 7	" " "	17638 2 10	17985 9 2
5 5779 10 3	5027 8 "	" " "	752 2 3	26980 6 2	26730 11 9
6 8685 7 1	9059 8 4	374 1 3	" " "	12253 8 11	13998 5 4
7 5455 6 8	5564 15 1	109 8 5	" " "	66850 14 7	70330 7 5
8 6998 " 10	5276 14 2	" " "	1721 2 8	" " "	" " "
9 673 9 3	623 3 3	" " "	50 6 "	16441 3 2	15840 4 3
10 1103 9 8	1026 2 7	" " "	77 7 1	17748 1 "	14783 14 4
11 5606 13 11	6091 3 10	484 5 11	" " "	24576 " 8	23066 2 6
12 23116 5 4	22680 8 "	" " "	435 13 4	43254 14 10	44603 5 6
13 3468 11 10	3436 7 2	" " "	32 4 8	5946 10 11	6260 13 6
14 27212 10 8	24587 6 4	" " "	2625 4 4	77407 " 4	75729 8 11
15 8872 9 10	12405 8 8	3532 14 10	" " "	237808 5 6	242335 3 8
16 7700 5 4	5957 7 2	" " "	1740 14 2	133426 7 3	130678 9 3
17 308 10 6	2436 10 6	2128 " "	" " "	55234 12 2	54578 9 4
18 15450 14 10	52616 14 2	37165 15 4	" " "	3728 6 4	2784 14 5
19 27652 " 4	40110 1 1	12458 " 9	" " "	87089 8 2	86881 3 2
9577 1 10	9338 7 3	" " "	2,38 10 7	" " "	" " "
20 19983 13 3	18077 1 9	" " "	1906 11 6	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21 2808 5 9	2266 4 5	" " "	542 1 4	47801 4 7	47800 4 3
193382 6 5	241597 9 10	58338 1 4	10122 13 11	9315594 9 7	9342709 7
338 11 8	89 6 3	42 8 8	291 14 1	338 6 8	385 4 7
193721 2 1	241687 " 1	58380 10 "	10414 12 "	9318977 11 "	9346557 14 3
		10414 12 " D.t Decrease			
166297 13 2	201416 12 9	47965 14 " Net Increase			
27423 4 11	40270 3 4			8250414 5	8402614 7
				106856 6 7	94393 15 7

Molurpha and Current

Sea Customs

Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
34	35	36	37	38	39
2107 14 5	" " "	3870 1 6	8866 4 2	4996 2 8	" " "
" " "	131 13 7	3859 11 7	7235 4 10	3375 9 3	" " "
1353 " 8	" " "	22604 14 6	25637 7 10	3032 9 4	" " "
347 6 4	" " "	8166 4 2	3519 11 5	" " "	4646 8 9
" " "	849 10 5	95 7 1	1546 1 10	1450 10 9	" " "
1744 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3479 8 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	10854 12 9	12708 1 "	1853 4 3	" " "
" " "	600 14 11	516 12 7	10 2 5	" " "	506 10 2
" " "	2964 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1509 14 2	20617 9 8	26964 " "	6346 6 4	" " "
1348 6 8	" " "	14724 5 10	3 1509 11 8	1 3665 13 10	" " "
114 2 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1677 7 5	11748 5 11	12433 9 4	685 3 5	" " "
4526 14 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2747 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	656 2 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	943 7 11	44531 9 11	39660 5 8	" " "	4871 4 3
" " "	228 5 "	27943 8 5	26985 9 2	" " "	977 15 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	389986 4 6	400948 2 1	10961 13 7	" " "
" " "	1 " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15022 2 1	12310 13 3	692041 " 10	717406 3 10	36367 9 5	11002 6 5
174 13 10	127 15 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15196 15 11	12438 12 9	692041 " 10	717406 3 10	36367 9 5	11002 6 5
				11002 6 5	D.t Decrease
12438 12 9	D.t Decrease			25365 3 "	Net Increase
2758 3 2	Net Increase				
		609341 6 7	633373 7 11		
		82699 10 3	84032 11 11		

	Tobacco				Collections of arrears and Stamps				Current Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue				Net	
	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
1	"	"	"	"	11,206 2 6	11,170 7 6	"	"	308423 6 10	301934 11 10	7947 3 3	14435 14 3	"	6488 11 "
2	"	"	"	"	12207 12 "	13,247 8 "	1039 12 "	"	178085 9 2	182335 11 2	10,584 10 1	6314 8 1	4250 2 "	"
3	"	"	"	"	11402 12 "	12,712 12 "	1310 " "	"	310247 7 3	283825 5 5	5991 7 9	32413 9 7	"	26422 1 10
4	"	"	"	"	8469 " "	10564 12 "	2095 12 "	"	336530 3 "	358560 10 7	27945 12 "	5915 4 5	22030 7 7	"
5	"	"	"	"	5974 " "	6620 " "	646 " "	"	386797 1 6	405829 9 3	22767 4 5	3734 12 8	19032 7 9	"
6	"	"	"	"	12095 5 6	12969 11 "	874 5 6	"	320630 13 8	297295 " 8	2993 3 2	26329 " 2	"	23335 13 "
7	"	"	"	"	10047 12 "	18554 4 "	2506 8 "	"	151340 9 3	156279 2 3	6095 9 3	1157 " 3	4938 9 "	"
8	"	"	"	"	20667 11 "	25520 2 6	4852 7 6	"	345418 10 7	339190 15 3	11666 12 1	17894 7 5	"	6227 11 4
9	"	"	"	"	9981 12 "	11593 " "	1611 4 "	"	515364 2 3	420968 5 7	1611 4 "	9600 7 " 8	"	9439 5 12 8
10	"	"	"	"	20027 11 6	22153 4 6	2125 9 "	"	151828 6 2	136537 15 9	2478 11 4	17769 1 9	"	15290 6 5
11	"	"	"	"	15030 12 6	17093 14 6	2063 2 "	"	352140 11 "	392823 8 "	60469 11 "	19786 14 "	40682 13 "	"
12	"	"	"	"	45880 12 "	58934 " "	13053 4 "	"	728824 13 "	787485 " 6	59996 " 10	435 13 4	58660 3 6	"
13	"	"	"	"	15038 " "	16379 3 6	1341 3 6	"	428771 5 9	447561 11 8	19917 10 7	32 4 8	1885 5 11	"
14	"	"	"	"	19067 " "	19968 12 "	901 12 "	"	434783 " 8	460425 15 5	30320 10 6	4077 11 9	25642 14 9	"
15	"	"	"	"	12185 " "	15948 8 "	3763 8 "	"	498083 15 2	572687 15 9	14604 " 7	" " "	14604 " 7	"
16	"	"	"	"	20899 12 "	22228 8 "	1328 12 "	"	248619 11 7	241353 10 9	1328 12 "	8594 12 10	" " "	7266 " 10
17	"	"	"	"	16694 12 "	17507 4 "	813 8 "	"	124250 2 8	132456 5 7	8862 5 9	656 2 10	8206 2 11	"
18	23425 79	52156 14 7	8 "	"	18643 1 9	40840 5 "	40413 9 6	"	738240 " 8	766204 11 10	54809 5 1	26844 9 11	27964 11 2	"
19	49993 4	73946 13 10	"	"	25787 6 9	42979 14 6	48445 11 "	5465 12 6	915656 12 6	912836 " 5	24177 14 4	26998 10 5	" " "	2820 12 1
	"	"	"	"	"	7443 1 6	8340 13 "	897 11 6	793327 8 8	850579 11 10	82777 5 1	25525 1 11	57252 3 2	"
20	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	"	19983 13 3	18077 1 9	" " "	1906 11 6	" " "	1906 11 6
	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	"	389986 4 6	400948 2 1	10961 13 7	" " "	10961 13 7	"
21	"	"	"	"	1829 8 "	1200 8 "	" " "	629 " "	114688 2 4	108728 7 2	" " "	5959 11 2	" " "	5959 11 2
	65421 14 "	60978 5 6	"	"	44430 8 6	365968 12 "	411566 9 "	46689 3 6	840622 11 5	851212 0 14 6	449387 6 8	343389 3 7	296111 14 11	190113 11 10
	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	"	875 13 8	1835 14 3			960 " 7	"
	65421 14 "	60978 5 6	"	"	44430 8 6	365968 12 "	411566 9 "	46689 3 6	840698 9 1	851395 12 9			207071 15 6	190113 11 10
													190113 11 10	Dr Decrease
													106958 3 8	Net Increase
	58490 3 6	55460 10 15 5						1091 6 6	Dr Decrease					
	6924 9 7	6377 1 6 1			328170 12 "	370886 11 "		45397 13 "	Net Increase					
					3779 8 "	4087 14 "								
									741538 5 3	753449 15 7				
									99163 " 10	97946 13 2				

8461

Fort St George

23° June 1851.

Collections of arrears and Current.
Total including Extra Branches of Revenue

	Fuoly 1259			Fuoly 1260			Increase			Decrease			Net Increase			Net Decrease		
	54			55			56			57			58			59		
1	10,62,806	4	10	11,27,708	4	4	79,281	13	9	14435	14	3	64845	15	6	"	"	"
2	11,40,544	5	8	11,56,746	3	4	22,516	5	9	6314	8	1	16201	13	8	"	"	"
3	19,14,936	7	6	19,88,381	3	9	105858	5	10	32413	9	7	73,144	12	3	"	"	"
4	7,75,791	9	4	8,49,465	3	3	79,588	14	4	5915	4	5	73673	9	11	"	"	"
5	17,19,870	9	"	14,01,646	10	9	22,767	4	5	3,40,991	2	8	"	"	"	318223	14	3
6	80,85,38	14	1	79,501	6	14	5	12807	"	6	26329	"	2	"	"	"	13521	15	8
7	13,21,724	2	6	15,19,736	14	"	199169	11	9	1157	"	3	198012	11	6	"	"	"
8	1562168	10	1	16,20,229	"	3	75954	13	7	17894	7	5	58060	6	2	"	"	"
9	20,40,589	8	3	18,94,914	4	2	1611	4	"	147286	8	1	"	"	"	145675	4	1
10	1361822	3	7	1504106	3	9	160053	1	11	17769	1	9	142284	"	2	"	"	"
11	19,04,593	9	4	21,02,876	"	10	218069	5	6	19786	14	"	198282	7	6	"	"	"
12	31,79,159	3	1	31,43,504	4	5	59096	"	10	94750	15	6	"	"	"	35854	14	8
13	1238186	9	6	1239193	7	7	1917	10	7	910	12	6	1006	14	1	"	"	"
14	20,22,426	11	10	19,95,301	2	11	30320	10	6	57446	3	5	"	"	"	27125	8	11
15	24,13,810	7	3	24,80,082	7	7	66272	"	4	"	"	"	66272	"	4	"	"	"
16	2001236	5	6	20,11,581	14	3	18940	5	7	8594	12	10	10345	8	9	"	"	"
17	20,39,001	7	1	20,33,068	4	5	8862	5	9	14795	8	5	"	"	"	5933	2	8
18	19,61,734	8	7	19,04,330	10	9	54809	5	1	11,2213	2	11	"	"	"	57403	13	10
19	21,55,953	13	8	21,54,876	7	"	25921	3	9	26998	10	5	"	"	"	1077	6	8
	8,40,184	6	1	8,96,854	8	1	82777	5	1	26107	3	1	58670	2	"	"	"	"
20	19,983	13	3	18,077	1	9	"	"	"	1906	11	6	"	"	"	1906	11	6
	3,89,986	4	6	4,00,943	2	1	10961	13	7	"	"	"	10961	13	7	"	"	"
21	6,96,264	14	2	7,34,968	12	2	44663	9	2	5959	11	2	38703	14	"	"	"	"
	3,45,71,370	12	8	349,73,614	1	10	1382220	7	7	979977	2	5	10087861	5	6	6065221	2	3
	37,840	15	2	50,196	7	1												
	3,46,09,211	11	10	350,23,810	8	11							15776	13	10	34215	11	
													10,24,54,215	3		6099442	2	
													6099442	2	2	D° Decrease		
	2,88,17,165	4	5	291,84,106	12	6							44,59,813	1		Net Increase		
	57,92,046	7	5	5,83,970	8	12	5											

Collections of Old Balances
Land Revenue

	Fuoly 1259			Fuoly 1260			Increase			Decrease			Fuoly 1259			Fuoly 1260			Increase			Decrease		
	60			61			62			63			64			65			66			67		
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	22044	"	8	22,92287			878	7	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	107	14	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	146	9	9	38	11	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,04	4	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2273	1	14	1068	13	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	583	9	3	1885	10	2	1302	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	589	8	6	477	13	9	"	"	"	91	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	14	34	16	13	9		2	10	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	598	21	923	14	1		325	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	570	15	10	499	4	2	"	"	"	71	11	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3913	7	1	3904	6	11	"	"	"	9	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	929	11	11	1657	"	1	"	"	"	278	11	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	56	126	686	67			629	10	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	583	7	1	932	3	4	428	12	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	859	12	"	391	1	8	"	"	"	468	10	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	546	62	738	56			191		154	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	104	7	5	479	8	"	375	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	249	122	157	26			"	"	"	929	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	133	3	7	10308	4	4	10175	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1830	1	10	888	15	5	"	"	"	941	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	37	125	389	9	8	351	13	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3696	5	1	6	48360	8	10	14661	3	6	32651	22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

8462 Fort St George 23d June 1851

	Abkarry						Collections of old Balances. Sundry Small					
	Fuoly 1259		Fuoly 1260		Increase		Decrease		Fuoly 1259		Fuoly 1260	
	68		69		70		71		72		73	
1	54	2 2	577	14 10	523	12 8	"	" "	1	" "	"	11 "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	"	" "	389	1 8	389	1 8	"	" "	"	" "	2	1 "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	144	14 7	36	6 7
8	14	9 7	"	" "	"	" "	14	9 7	86	8 11	1	7 "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	105	12 2	8	5 "
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	12	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	8 "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2	6 "
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	26	1 8
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	130	" "	394	2 11	264	2 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	198	11 9	1361	3 5	1177	1 3	14	9 7	338	11 8	89	6 3

	Farms and Licenses				Motursha					
	Increase		Decrease		Fuoly 1259		Fuoly 1260		Increase		Decrease	
	74		75		76		77		78		79	
	"	" "	"	5 "	22	3 2	39	2 6	16	15 4	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2	1 "	"	" "	"	14 "	"	7 "	"	" "	"	7 "
	"	" "	"	" "	65	12 9	"	" "	"	" "	65	12 9
	"	" "	"	" "	14	15 9	1	9 2	"	" "	13	6 7
	"	" "	108	8 "	45	11 6	32	11 2	"	" "	13	" 14
	"	" "	"	" "	69	10 3	48	2 3	"	" "	21	8 "
	"	" "	85	1 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	15 3	12	9 8	11	10 5	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	1	2 "	23	" 7	21	14 7	"	" "
	"	" "	97	7 2	23	9 6	18	13 11	"	" "	4	11 7
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	12	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	14 3	"	14 3	"	" "
	"	" "	"	8 "	18	8 2	98	13 6	50	5 4	"	" "
	2	6 "	"	" "	27	13 1	56	4 2	28	7 1	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	10	10 10	1	9 7	"	" "	9	1 3
	"	" "	"	" "	3	8 "	8	10 9	5	2 9	"	" "
	26	1 8	"	" "	"	" "	6	6 4	6	6 4	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	3	" "	36	1 9	33	1 9	"	" "
	42	8 8	291	14 1	338	6 3	385	14 7	174	13 10	127	15 6

Collection of old Balances.

	Tobacco				Total	
	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260
	80	81	82	83	84	85
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,212 1 6 "	23,540 4 11
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	147 7 9	47 3 3
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65 12 9	389 1 8
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2288 1 8	1070 6 3
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	774 3 4	1954 11 11
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	639 2 9	526 " "
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	115 5 10	18 4 9
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	599 1 4	936 7 9
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	572 1 10	522 4 9
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4042 12 9	3931 9 10
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1929 11 11	1651 " 1
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	56 12 6	686 6 7
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	503 7 1	945 1 7
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	908 12 2	489 15 2
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	574 3 3	796 15 8
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	115 2 3	481 1 7
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	253 4 2	165 13 3
19	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	133 3 7	10,340 12 4
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1830 1 10	888 15 5
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21						
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	170 12 5	819 14 4
					37,840 15 2	50196 7 1

Grand Total of Collections.

Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
86	87	88	89	90	91
1418 14 11	" " "	10,84,988 10 10	11,57,248 9 3	66264 14 5	" " "
" " "	" " "	1140,544 5 8	11,58,746 3 4	16,201 13 8	" " "
" " "	106 4 6	19,15083 15 3	19,88,422 7 "	73338 7 9	" " "
323 4 11	" " "	7,75,857 6 1	8,49,854 4 11	73,996 14 10	" " "
" " "	1217 11 5	17,22158 10 8	14,02,717 1 "	" " "	219441 9 8
1180 8 7	" " "	809313 1 5	7,96,971 10 4	" " "	12,341 7 1
" " "	113 2 9	13,22363 5 3	15,20,262 14 "	197,899 8 9	" " "
" " "	97 1 1	1582283 15 11	16,20,247 5 "	37,963 5 1	" " "
337 6 5	" " "	2041188 9 7	18,95,850 11 11	" " "	145337 13 8
" " "	49 13 1	1362394 5 5	15,04,628 8 6	142234 3 1	" " "
" " "	111 2 11	1908836 6 1	21,06,807 10 8	198171 4 7	" " "
" " "	278 11 10	3181088 15 "	3145755 4 6	" " "	35933 10 6
629 10 1	" " "	1238243 6 "	12,39,879 14 2	1636 8 2	" " "
441 10 6	" " "	2022930 2 11	19,96,246 4 6	" " "	26683 14 5
" " "	418 13 "	2414719 3 5	24,80,572 6 9	65853 3 4	" " "
222 12 5	" " "	2001810 8 9	2012,378 13 11	10568 5 2	" " "
365 15 4	" " "	2039116 9 4	20,33549 6 "	" " "	5567 3 4
" " "	87 6 11	1961987 12 9	19,04496 8 "	" " "	57491 4 9
10207 8 9	" " "	2150087 1 3	21,65,217 3 4	9130 2 1	" " "
" " "	941 2 5	842014 7 11	897743 7 6	55728 15 7	" " "
" " "	" " "	19983 13 3	18077 1 9	" " "	1906 11 6
" " "	" " "	389986 4 6	400948 2 1	10961 13 7	" " "
649 1 11	" " "	696435 10 7	735788 10 6	39352 15 11	" " "
15776 13 10	3421 5 11	34609211 11 10	35023810 8 11	1019302 8 "	604703 10 11
				604703 10 11	Dr. Decrease
				414598 13 1	Net Increase

8464 | Fort St. George

23d June 1857.

Particulars of Arrears of July 1259 and Land Revenue

Balances

Balances of prior date in train of liquidation.

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing			Balance under 15 years Standing			Total			Jaury Moolahs			Minors		
	92			93			94			95			96		
1	21	7	4	1,33025	2	10	1,33046	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	117	5	"	117	5	"	14535	10	8	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	16463	8	3	16463	8	3	6505	10	7	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	40	8	1	40	8	1	113846	15	3	130133	11	9
5	138700	12	9	42387	14	"	500088	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	4863	2	9	4863	2	9	252	9	1	6750	13	6
7	"	"	"	7023	8	5	7023	8	5	8680	8	4	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	242	"	11	242	"	11	9157	14	"	70478	5	6
9	394	2	5	23966	8	8	24360	11	1	934	9	8	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	1238	2	4	1238	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	38952	7	3	38952	7	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	10854	2	8	121137	14	11	131992	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	31047	14	10	31047	14	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	5938	14	3	12493	4	2	18432	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	5421	7	5	5421	7	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	3593	3	4	3593	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	8430	"	10	8430	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	263	2	2	263	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	2009	15	2	2009	15	2	10000	"	11	"	"	"
20	33	2	4	16554	3	11	16587	6	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	9083	10	9	9083	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	155942	9	9	857353	2	"	1013297	11	9	1188533	14	6	200362	14	9

	permanently Settled				Land Revenue not permanently settled					
	Sequestered			Total			Rented			Summary			Total Land Revenue		
	97			98			99			100			101		
1	13314	1	2	13314	1	2	"	"	"	5529	14	4	18843	15	6
2	15504	7	2	30060	1	10	"	"	"	12862	3	7	42922	5	5
3	"	"	"	6505	10	7	"	"	"	74751	5	8	81257	"	3
4	947240	8	7	2215821	3	7	341	"	9	369033	1	8	2585195	6	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	114757	12	4	114757	12	4
6	"	"	"	7003	6	7	32	4	3	4865	3	7	11900	14	5
7	"	"	"	8680	8	4	"	"	"	14718	15	4	23399	7	8
8	18536	12	1	98172	15	7	"	"	"	357	8	1	985530	7	8
9	"	"	"	934	9	8	"	"	"	174996	7	6	175931	1	2
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	93	9	5	93	9	5
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2478	12	11	2478	12	11
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14210	3	2	14210	3	2
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	3386	11	8	3386	11	8	"	"	"	1852	2	1	5238	13	9
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5680	15	3	5680	15	3
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4643	2	3	4643	2	3
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1537	9	9	1537	9	9
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	462	10	8	462	10	8
19	"	"	"	10000	"	11	63	"	"	1241	3	6	11304	4	5
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6233	10	2	6233	10	2
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17722	8	7	17722	8	7
	997982	8	8	2393879	5	11	436	5	"	828028	15	10	3222344	10	9

8465 ||

Fort S. George

23d June 1857.

Particulars of Balances.

Arrears of July 1259 and Balances of prior

Extra Revenue

date in train of liquidation

Balances of Current Demand of July 1260

	Salt	Sayer	Abkarry	Sundry small Farms and Licences.	Moturpha	Sea Customs	Tobacco	Stamps	Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109.	Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Total
	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18,979 13 8	1,26,577 8 8	9,118 8 8	1,35,896 1 4
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	42,936 " 2	29,486 12 3	4,940 6 11	34,427 3 2
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 10 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	81,281 15 3	1,05,361 12 "	7,651 10 11	1,13,013 6 11
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	12 "	24 3 "	" " "	" " "	5 "	2,58,678 " 4	2,31,734 15 "	7,088 4 3	2,38,823 3 3
5	" " "	" " "	289 11 4	131 7 6	61 2 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,14,773 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 5 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,778 " 9	62,175 10 8	9,162 " 5	71,337 11 1
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 20 14 7	256 3 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	23,639 " 11	82,052 15 1	5,893 12 "	87,946 11 1
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	45 8 "	194 1 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	98,543 10 "	2,10,316 6 4	3,282 8 "	2,13,598 14 4
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 2 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,602 8 8	24,189 10 3	2,886 9 7	27,076 3 10
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	95 7 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	161 10 2	10,061 " "	28,191 15 "	38,252 15 "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	68 " 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,506 14 "	4,339 10 7	15,687 6 6	20,027 1 1
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 "	27 7 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	14,210 3 2	3,78,795 11 6	9,241 " "	3,88,036 11 6
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,318 4 8	37,483 6 2	1,209 " "	38,692 6 2
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	64 10 9	14 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,179 5 4	" " "	7,939 15 "	7,939 15 "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	105 15 10	392 6 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,123 13 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "	470 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,550 13 5	141 " "	2,474 2 3	2,615 2 3
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	471 1 8	5,86,717 9 2	32,431 11 6	6,19,149 4 8
19	" " "	" " "	5 12 7	321 2 10	8 7 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,720 3 5	12,346 8 6	3,227 13 10	15,574 6 4
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	88 15 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,233 10 2	20,023 10 11	1,670 2 7	21,693 13 6
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,957 13 3	" " "	6,669 " 4	6,669 " 4
	" " "	" " "	323 6 11	1,345 11 10	2,055 14 2	" " "	" " "	5 "	32,26,070 " 8	19,21,804 3 1	1,58,765 15 9	20,80,570 2 10

Fort St. George 23d. June 1857.

	Total Columns 110 and 113	Total Balances Columns 94 and 114	Remission Of Recent Arrears	Remission Of Old Balances	Total
	114	115	116	117	118
1	154675 15 "	287722 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	77363 3 4	77480 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	194295 6 2	210758 14 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	2824501 3 7	2824541 11 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	114773 2 "	674861 12 9	" " "	2052 2 3	2052 2 3
6	84115 11 10	88978 14 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	111585 12 "	118609 4 5	" " "	4238 14 6	4238 14 6
8	312142 8 4	312384 9 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	203102 12 6	227463 7 7	" " "	19631 13 11	19631 13 11
10	38414 9 2	39652 11 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	22533 15 1	671486 6 4	3015 5 4	4764 8 6	4764 8 6
12	402246 14 8	534239 " 3	" " "	73 5 8	3088 11 "
13	" " "	31047 14 10	87 5 6	105 8 6	105 8 6
14	44010 10 10	624442 13 3	171 " 4	153 8 "	240 13 6
15	14119 4 4	195540 11 9	" " "	634 9 10	805 10 2
16	5123 13 8	8717 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	4165 15 8	125796 " 6	" " "	196 10 2	196 10 2
18	619620 6 4	619883 8 6	" " "	2467 7 9	2467 7 9
19	27294 9 9	29304 8 11	" " "	8 3 6	8 3 6
20	27927 7 8	445514 13 11	" " "	199 3 10	199 3 10
	" " "	" " "	129 1 3	" " "	129 1 3
21	24626 13 7	33710 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
	5306640 3 6	6319937 15 3	3402 12 5	34526 " 5	37928 12 10

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
23d. June 1857.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Comparative

Fort St. George 23d. June 1857.

Abstract of Balances and Collections on the 30th April 1857.

	Balances	Increase	Decrease	Net	Balances	Increase	Decrease	Net	Collections
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Land Revenue	2620223 2 9	265098539 12 2	307640 9 5	" " "	267312122 14 4	2139861 12 9	1070289 1	1069573 8 8	264242548 13 1
Salt	377438 14 "	38464439 5 5	69000 10 8	" " "	38772877 9 1	2139861 12 9	1070289 1	1069573 8 8	37703304 13 5
Sayer	144903 10 8	1702022 11 5	23299 " 11	" " "	1823626 6 2	21016 5 "	10508 2 6	10508 2 6	1813118 4 8
Abkiarry	1634814 11 8	15822216 11 1	" " "	62598 " 7	17519431 6 8	1479655 14 "	739827 13 "	739827 13 "	16779775 13 8
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	19372 1 2	241687 " "	" " "	" " "	261059 1 2	27588 3 2	13794 1 "	13794 1 2	247264 " "
Malabar	93189 11 "	934655 14 "	" " "	" " "	1027845 9 "	253653 " "	126826 8 "	126826 8 "	900992 1 "
Sea Customs	69204 " "	717406 3 10	" " "	44130 8 6	742480 3 4	25578 2 "	12789 1 "	12789 1 "	729691 2 4
Tobacco	6542 12 14 "	66978 2 5	" " "	" " "	73520 14 5	4555 7 13 "	2277 13 "	2277 13 "	71242 15 5
Stamps	3652 8 12 "	41152 6 9 "	455 7 13 "	" " "	44260 7 8	1070289 1	535144 8 "	535144 8 "	43725 6 8
Total Collector Branches of Revenue	8446998 9 1	85139566 12 9	2139861 12 9	1070289 1	90516335 14 10	2139861 12 9	1070289 1	1069573 8 8	87446762 1 2
Grand Total of Land and Sea Branches of Revenue	3460911 10	35023810 8 11	521627 6 "	260813 13 "	38244222 13 9	1479655 14 "	739827 13 "	739827 13 "	37504395 " 9
Particulars.									
Collections to the end of March	289716 5 4	2991841 12 6	" " "	" " "	3281558 1 10	36941 8 1	18470 14 "	18470 14 1	3262087 2 9
Collections in April	579204 7 5	5839703 12 5	" " "	" " "	6418908 4 5	47057 5 "	23528 12 6	23528 12 6	6395379 11 11
Revenue Board Office 23d June 1857.									

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Abstract

8468 Fort S.t George 23.d June 1851.

C Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 30.th April 1851.

	Land Revenue						Extra Sources of Rev.e					
	Increase			Decrease			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Ganjam	72,213	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	5948	4	"
Vizagapatam	11,951	11	8	"	"	"	4,250	2	"	"	"	"
Rajahmundry	99,758	15	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	28,420	7	10
Masulipatam	51,643	2	4	"	"	"	22,353	12	6	"	"	"
Guntoor	"	"	"	33,8460	10	10	19,019	1	2	"	"	"
Chingleput	11,115	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	23457	5	4
Salem	19,2982	7	9	"	"	"	4,917	1	"	"	"	"
Madura	64,290	11	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	6327	6	10
Nellore	"	"	"	50953	11	5	"	"	"	94384	2	3
Arn.d Dn.r of Arcot	157,502	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	15,268	7	10
Sn.r Dn.r of Arcot	157,590	10	4	"	"	"	40,580	10	3	"	"	"
Tanjore	"	"	"	94,593	14	"	58,660	3	6	"	"	"
Trichinopoly	"	"	"	248	13	9	1,885	5	11	"	"	"
Tinnevelly	"	"	"	52,339	11	5	25,655	13	"	"	"	"
Bellary	51,199	5	5	"	"	"	14,655	13	11	"	"	"
Cuddapah	17,803	8	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,235	3	9
Coimbatore	"	"	"	13,764	5	"	8,197	1	8	"	"	"
Canara	"	"	"	85,461	2	8	27,969	13	11	"	"	"
Malabar	11,918	6	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,788	4	1
Madras. Land Customs	"	"	"	1,523	3	7	57,252	3	2	"	"	"
Madras. Toll collected on Cochrane's Canal	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1906	11	6
Madras. Sea Customs	"	"	"	"	"	"	10,961	13	7	"	"	"
Kurnool	45,015	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,662	6	6
Total	944,986	2	1	637,345	8	8	296,358	15	7	189,398	11	11
	637,345	8	8	Dr. Decrease			189,398	11	11	Dr. Decrease		
	307,640	9	5	Net Increase			106,958	3	8	Net Increase		

Revenue Board Office
Fort S.t George
23.d June 1851.

/Signed/ W.m H. Bayley
Secretary

JCB

8469 Fort S.t George 23.d June 1851.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.

B.d S.r 1202
To the collectors of Vizagapatam. Nellore and Chingleput

Sir I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to expedite the despatch of the statment of the sales of salt for the quarter ending 30.th April 1851.

40

Revenue Board Office
Fort S.t George,
23.d June, 1851.

/Signed/ W.m H. Bayley
Secretary.

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

41

B.d S.r 1203
To the Collector of Masulipatam.
Statt of sales of salt for the quarter ending 30.th April 1851.
Statt of Sea Custom Collections for the quarter ending 30.th April 1851.

Sir I am directed by the Board of of Revenue to request you will be so good as to expedite the despatch of the Quarterly Statments noted in the Margin.

Revenue Board Office
Fort S.t George,
23.d June, 1851.

/Signed/ W.m H. Bayley
Secretary.

To the Collector of Malabar.

42

B.d S.r 1204
To the Collector of Malabar.
Explaining in what manner the Board would wish his Statement of Cowle lands to be in future prepared

Sir With reference to their Proceedings of the 15.th May 1851. para 12 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to explain to

Fort St. George 23d June 1831.

to you more fully the manner in which they would wish Your Statement of Cowle lands No. 24 to be in future prepared.-

2. The entries in Columns 4 and 13 intended to shew the particulars of the portion transferred to full assessment, do not in reality consist entirely of lands so defined as it evidently appears that out of 227 * Cowles 87 have obtained Cowle remission or in other words have not arrived at full teerwah.-

* As per Column 7

Cawaay	19
Colistie	24
Ernaad	10
Waloowanaad	33
Wynaad	1
	87

From Columns 14 to 20 under the head of deduction, the extent, assessment Vea of 347 Cowles which arrived at partial assessment in Fusly 1259 are specified. These however are not exhibited in the subsequent Columns of the Statement, as they ought properly to have been but are incorporated with the "total" in Column 33. In the form transmitted for your guidance it was the intention of the Board that the item of "progressive assessment" should be included with the entry in Columns In disposing of this Item in the Statement therefore, either the form may be followed or a fresh Columns may be opened for it

Fort St. George 23d June 1831.

it. after Column 47 should it be found desirable to exhibit it apart, as unless either of these arrangements are effected, the accounts can hardly be said to be accurate.-

4. The following Statement will illustrate more clearly what the Board mean on the Subject.-

No. 1 As per Boards Form.-

Fusly 1238	No. of Cowles
	913
Deduct.	
Full assessment	140
Waste	69
	209
Remaining Progressive assessment	704
Newly brought under Cowles	126
	830

No. 2 As per Collector's Statement No. 24

	No. of Cowles
Fusly 1238	913
Deduct	
Full assessment	227*
Progressive Do	347
Waste	69
	643
Remaining	270
Newly brought under Cowle	126
Total	396 o

* of which 87 are not under full assessment

o Total entered by the Collector to 743 which is obtained by adding 347 Cowles under progressive assessment

No. 3. Form to be followed in the event of exhibiting the item of progressive assessment apart from the rest.

Fusly 1238	913
Deduct Decrease.	
Full rent	140
Progressive rent	434*
Waste	69
	643
Remaining	270
Add increase in Fusly 1259 newly brought under Cowle	126
Progressive assessment	434
	360
Grand Total	830

* As per Transfered from full assessment

	347
	87
	434

Fort St.e George 23d June 1831.

Particulars as per No.3

Items.	No. of Cowles.	Extent of land.	Cowle assessment.	Remission	Remainder Rayoyet.
Cowles to the end of Fusly 1258 Column 2 Cowle Statment	913.	402276	8522	66	63653 2 2,157 31
Deduct Decrease in Fusly 1259 Transferred to full assessment Column 7	14a	305511	7721	54	67 19 705 14 2
Do. Progressive assessment included in Column 7	87.	434344	8386	11	47 35 891 36
As per Column 14	347.	1068516	2326	158	1766 43 560 15
Total	434.	1502860	3265	67	1814 1 8 1,451 41 1
Left uncultivated	69.	399298	1359	12.	1359 12. " " "
	643.	2407 69	3398	26	3240 15 5 2,157 31
Remainder in Fusly 1259 As per Column 83	270	1615207	3124	4.	3124 4. " " "
Added Increase in Fusly 1259 Waste newly brought under Cultivation Column 3	126	437914	1029	9	2,027 11. 1 42
Cultivation in Lands which had been under Cowle some years before	"	" "	"	"	" " " " "
Progressive Rent Column 43	434	1502860	3265	67	1,814 1 8 1,451 41 1
Increase in Progressive Rent	"	" "	"	"	" 431 135 431 135
Total	"	" "	"	"	" 1382 43 1,883 24
Total increase in Fusly 1259	360.	1940174	4294	15	9 2,409 15 3 1,885. 6
Total Cowle lands at the end of Fusly 1259	830	3355381	7419	3	9 5,534 3 3 1,885. 6

Revenue Board Office
Fort St.e George
23d June, 1831.

Signed W. H. C. Bayley
Secretary

Read

Fort St.e George 23d June 1831.

No. 1372 (43) From the Sub Collector in charge of Coimbatore. Read Detailed List of the Revenue and Magisterial Establishments in his District as they stood on the 30th April 1831.

(43-44) Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

No. 1369. (45) From the Collator of Chingleput. Read the undermentioned Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of April last.

No. 1370 (46) From the Collector of Chingleput. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of May last.

No. 1345. (47) From the Collector of Tanjore. Register of Petitions referred for disposal by him and remaining undisposed of as per list sent to the Board of Revenue on 25th November 1830.

Order on the above mentioned Registers. (45-48) Ordered to be lodged in the Office. Read the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statments.

My 718 (49) From the Collector of Bellary. Reporting that no persons have been either charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.

My 714 (50) From the Collector of Tanjore. Stating that no persons have been confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of May last.

My 710 (51) From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of May last.

My 710 (52) From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of April last.

My 713 (53) From the Collector of Tanjore. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.

My 717 (54) From the Collector of Bellary. Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of April last. Return

8474 Fort St. George 23d June 1851.

My 711
From the (58) Acting Collector of North Arcot — Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation XI of 1831 for the Month of May last.

My 715
From the (56) Collector of South Arcot — Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation XI of 1831 for the Month of April last.

My 712
From the (57) Collector of Madras — Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1000 Salt Rowanahs —

57-58 Order thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

My 709
From the (59) Collector of Madras — Transmitting as required a copy of Mr. Taylor's letter dated 4th March 1851.

Comp. D. Gulls

Nolcaingham

J. Blane
11

8475. Fort St. George 23 June 1851.

Diary

Received the following letter
No.
To W. H. Bayley. Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George

(1)
B. No. 1111
From the Coll. of Madura

Sir With reference to the Courts Proceedings of the 11th Instant, I have the honor to return duly signed and attested, two Vakalut Namahs from the Guardian of the Minor Shevagunga to Mr. Dale and another to enable them to plead on his behalf in the Special Appeal No. 7 of 1849 before the Sudr Adalut.

Madura
20th June 1851

(Signed) P. D. Parker.
Collector

24th June Sent the following letter

(1-2.)
To Messrs. Dale and Boyson

B. No. 1189
To Messrs. Dale and Boyson

Gentlemen,

In D. Com. 16 June 1851.

I am directed by the Court of Wards to forward to you herewith the two Vakalut Namahs received with your letter of 13th June 1851, duly signed by the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga and properly

properly attested.—

The Court authorize you to fill in the Christian name of the Barrister who may accept the retainer.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
24th June 1837.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter—

No. 816.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

3.

Bd. No. 1378
From the Secy
to the Medical Board.

Sir, With reference to the Extract from Minutes of Consultation of Government in the Public Department No. 164 dated 28th June 1850, I have the honor to request that you will direct P. Vencatakistniah Bty a conditional Pensioner on your Establishment to appear before the Medical Board on Thursday next the 26th Instant, at 11 O'Clock in the forenoon for examination and that the pension certificate of that individual may be forwarded to this Office for transmission to Government with the Board's report on his case

case as directed in the 2d para of the Order above adverted to.

Fort St. George.
20th June 1851.

/Signed/ A. Lorimer
Secy. Medical Board

25th

Sent the following letter

3-4.

To The Secretary to the
Medical Board

Bd. No. 1705
To the Secretary to
the Medical Board.

Sir, The bearer P. Vencatakistniah Bty has been directed to wait on you with this letter at 11 A. M. to-morrow, in compliance with the request contained in your communication of 20th June 1837.

2 The Conditional Pension Certificate of that individual is also forwarded herewith.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
25th June 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

At

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 25th June 1857. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.
and
J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member.

Absent on duty as Commr. Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March and entered in Consultation 28th April 1857.

Read also the following letter

No. 40.

5.

To W. H. Bayley. Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 28th Ultimo transmitting copy of part of Para 11 and Para 12 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 29th March together with transcript of sections III and XX of the draft of the

Bd. Rr. 1069
from the Coll.r of Madras.
Submitting certain observations on Paras 11 & 12 of Extract Minutes of Cons.t 29th March 1857 relative to the Draft of the Madras Abkary act now under consideration.

the Madras Abkarry Act and calling upon me by order of the Board of Revenue to submit such observations upon them as may seem called for.—

2 The following is an abstract of the draft of the Bengal Act, the draft of the Madras Act submitted by me and that furnished by the Board as well as of act XI of 1849 in reference to the rules therein laid down and now under review.—

As per draft from furnished by the Board 7th June 1849.—	As per draft submitted to the Board 13th July 1849.	As per draft furnished by the Board 28th March 1857.	As per Act XI of 1849.
III A sale of English or Foreign Beer Wine or Spirits "less" than 2 Gallons and of Bengal arrack or Rum "less" than 1 Seer of Toddy "less" than 4 Seers deemed a retail sale.—	III A sale of European or Spirits less than 2½ Gallons and of arrack and other Eastern Spirits less than 5 Leaguers and of Beer less than 6 Bottles & Toddy in any quantity whatever deemed a retail sale.—	III A sale of European spirits less than 2½ Gallons and of arrack & other Eastern Spirits less than 5 Leaguers and of Beer or Wine less than 6 Bottles and of Toddy in any quantity whatever deemed a retail sale.—	V A sale of English or Foreign Beer, Wine or spirits not exceeding 2 Gallons Bengal arrack or Rum not exceeding 1 Seer and Toddy not exceeding 4 Seers" deemed a retail sale
XII Any unlicensed party having in his possession or carrying any quantity of spirits &c. excepting English and Foreign Beer Wine and spirits such quantity being greater than the above and not being protected by an Pass or Permit liable to a fine not exceeding 500 Rupees.—	XII Any unlicensed person having in his possession or carrying less than the above and not being protected by a Pass or Permit liable to a fine not exceeding 500 Rupees.	XX Any unlicensed person having in his possession or carrying less than the above and not being protected by a Pass or Permit liable to a fine not exceeding 500 Rupees.—	XV Any unlicensed person having in his possession or carrying any greater quantity of spirits &c. than the above excepting English or Foreign Beer Wine or Spirits and not being protected by a Pass or Permit liable to a fine not exceeding 500 Rupees.

26

3 It is requisite to premise adverting to the observations of Government that to impose on all Eastern Spirits sold Wholesale a duty of from 3 to 3½ Rupees per Gallon would be tantamount to prohibiting the sale altogether that it is evident that Regulation I of 1813 had no other object in view than to confine the supply of such Spirits to the dealers licensed by this Office for sale by retail, for on a representation made in the same year by the Ceylon Government that the effect of the Regulation would be to place the importation of Arrack on a footing entirely new; and apparently very discouraging and disadvantageous to the arrack trade of the Island which was one of its principal staples the Government of this Presidency stated in reply that with the exception of the 8 per cent spirits of the description in question "remained untasted till they were to be consumed and that all the vigilance of the Officers of Government was insufficient to prevent the greater part from being consumed without payment of the excise duty adding

From Board 10th January 1814

Note Columbo Arrack is now supplied to the licensed retail dealers at 4th Fanams per Gallon which includes the excise duty whereas the price to a private individual or merchant including the duty will be only 12 Fanams per Gallon Vide Letter to Board 3rd June 1844 Para 2.

adding that the general interests of the people and of the Government which require that all possible encouragement should be afforded to commerce were so far from requiring the trade in Spirits to be encouraged that one of the express objects of the said Regulation was to restrict the consumption of that commodity.—

To Board 4th January 1818.

4 Before the enactment of that Regulation the then Collector observed that wholesale dealers were permitted at the time to sell a quarter of a Leaguer which, for stated reasons, he considered to be too low. The minimum was therefore raised to 5 Leaguers.—

Note In the Provinces the limit is 2 leaguers Vide See XII & XIII Reg. I of 1820.

To Board 28th May 1840.

5 As stated before I am not aware that advantage was ever taken by any of the wholesale dealers to get any liquor of the description alluded to, passed under this part of the law.—

6 My reasons for fixing in the draft Act the same quantity, Viz. 5 Leaguers, as the limit of the retail sale are explained in Paras 2 to 6 of my letter of the 31st August 1849 and which it is not necessary to recapitulate in this place,

place but it is of importance that I should draw attention to the fact that at that time I had not the most distant idea that so essential a provision as that contained in Section VII of Act XI of 1849 that the unlicensed sale of Eastern Spirits in larger quantities than those specified above is prohibited was to be introduced into it, which alone induced me to propose a rule differing so materially from the Bengal Act as the only means of affording security to the revenue.—

7 The provision of the new Act however is so well adapted to meet the evil that it is only necessary now to introduce it into the Madras Act also in substitution of the rule that the limit of the retail sale shall be 5 Leaguers.

8 It was not intended, by the draft proposed by me to interfere with the sale of "Wine" which it appears one of the articles the sale of which is to be restricted by the draft furnished by the Board, nor can I see at present that it is requisite to frame any rule to regulate the sale thereof.

9 In Act XI of 1849 it is stated that the sale of Beer in any quantity not exceeding 2 Gallons shall be deemed a retail sale, but by Section XV the possession or carrying of any quantity whether more or less does not amount to an offence that is punishable by any part of the act In the like manner whilst in the Madras Act 2½ Gallons of European Spirits and 6 Bottles of Beer are fixed as the limit a provision corresponding with the Bengal Act may be introduced into the Madras Act also to obviate the objection to Section XX of the draft pointed out by Government.—

10 As regards Toddy 1 Seer may be fixed as the limit for the retail sale at Madras, without interfering with the supply to the Bakeries for the details of the present arrangement in order to give effect to it, as well as to check illicit practices may be entered in the Vendors Moochilka.—

11 With reference to the foregoing observations I would take the liberty

liberty to suggest that the following be substituted for Section III and XX of the draft act furnished by the Board.—

III "A sale of European Spirits in a less quantity than two and half Gallons old Wine measure/ie one dozen of quart bottles/ and of arrack or Rum or any other spirits manufactured to the Eastward of the Cape of Good Hope in a less quantity than one Seer and of English and Foreign Beer in a less quantity than six quarts Bottles and of Toddy in a less quantity than one Seer shall be deemed a retail sale within the meaning of this Act."

XX "Any person not being a licensed dealer having in his possession and any person carrying within the Town of Madras and of that portion of the surrounding country which is situated within the limits of the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court and which extends 8 Miles beyond those limits any quantity of spirituous or fermented liquors, excepting English and Foreign Beer and spirits such quantity being greater than the quantity specified for each article in Section III and not being

protected

protected by a Pass or Permit from the Collector or other Officer duly empowered in that behalf shall be liable to a fine not exceeding 500 Rupees.—

12 It is moreover requisite as I have already stated to add Section VI of Act XI of 1849 for the protection of the Revenue under my management.—

13 But I would respectfully submit at the same time, that the Madras Act even with the modifications now suggested, is not sufficient to supersede the necessity of the old Regulation I of 1813 for as observed in Paras 7 and 8 of my letter of 31st August 1849 at present there is no other Regulation which authorizes the levy of the existing consumption duty. Besides this, the rules laid down in Section VII regarding the mode of supplying the licensed retail dealers, and that relating to the establishment of Distilleries which is contained in Section X are most essential and should in my opinion be retained for in no part of the new Act is there any corresponding provision to be found whilst the Section

8486 Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

Section VII again is particularly referred to in Section II Act XXXII of 1845 as an important rule to prevent the imports from the Distilleries in the Provinces or from interfering in any way with the sale in the different Shops licensed by this Office.—

Madras 5th May 1851. } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in consequence.—

No. 252.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1851 proposing certain modifications of the draft Act relating to the Abkarry Revenue of the Town of Madras submitted by the Board under date 11th November 1850.—

2 In para 6 the Government think with reference to Section II VII and XVII of the draft Act, that the law should be so framed as also to give the Superintendent of Police ample powers to check disorder. With this view Section XVII has been modified

5-b.

To the Chief Secy to Government. Submitting with reference to Extract on Minutes of Consultation 29th March 1851 a revised Draft Act on relating to the Abkarry Revenue of the Town of Madras with the Modifications suggested by Government.

In Con. 28th April 1851.

8487 Fort St. George 26th June 1851.—

modified as to make it obligatory on the Collector to revoke a license granted by him on the requisition of the Superintendent of Police, on reasons which are specially to be assigned and in the event of any disagreement arising between these two officers that the subject should be referred for the orders of the Board of Revenue.—

Para 7. 3. In regard to the observations of Government, relating to the number of the Shops and the places where they should be established the Board under the impression that the Government do not desire a modification of the draft Act, are of opinion that it will be sufficient to instruct the Collector to pursue the course laid down by Government.—

Para 10. 4. It would appear that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that it would be advisable to omit Sections IX and X of the Draft Act* as the principles on which they are based would involve the necessity for continuing the present Abkarry System at Madras. These Sections have accordingly been left out

* These form the two clauses of Section VII of Regulation I of 1813.

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

out and an addition made to Section VII in the manner suggested by Government providing for the payment of the price fixed by Government the Liquor is supplied by the Officers of Government or otherwise. The Board did not consider themselves authorized to leave the question so open as the avowed object of the Act was to secure the Revenue appertaining under the existing system of management.—

5. It is necessary however to remark that the Collector of Madras has again in a subsequent letter deprecated the suppression of any portion of the old Regulation I of 1813, first on the ground that there is no other regulation that authorizes the levy of the existing consumption duty and secondly that the present mode of supplying the retail dealers laid down in Section VII of that Regulation and the establishment of Distilleries noticed in Section X are most essential and should be retained.—

6. Mr. Middleton has urged these grounds not having seen the last forms of the Draft Act

© The words proposed by Government are not exceeding 3½ Rupees per Gallon. In the Regn. I of 1813, the words "in addition to the cost of the Liquor."

* Dated 6th May 1851 In Cons 26th June—

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.—

Act as it was submitted to Government in November last which embodied the points noticed above the omission of the Section corresponding to Section VII of Regulation I of 1813, agreeably to the suggestions of Government would seem to deprive the present system under which the retail sale of country Spirits is carried on, of the express sanction of the Law, yet the system itself would, in the opinion of the Board, be sufficiently protected by the modified provision appended to Section VI of the Draft Act now submitted.—

Para 11. 7. It will be seen that the verbal alterations suggested in para 11 of the Extract under acknowledgment have been carried out by the substitution of the following words "and a further duty equal to the price not exceeding 1 Pagoda a Gallon paid by retail dealers under Section VII."—

8. With reference to para 14 of the foregoing Extract, the Board have with the view of obviating the necessity of a constant reference to the arbitrary limits defined in Section II of the Draft Act stated in the body

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

body of that Section that wherever the words "Town and Suburbs of Madras" are made use of throughout this Act they shall be held to signify the Town of Madras, the limits of the Supreme Court as laid down in Section XII Regulation II of 1802 and within eight miles beyond those limits.

9. Agreeably to the instructions of Government the Board have substituted a single Section for those numbered from to XIII to XVI in the Draft Act. It now forms Section XXVII of the revised Draft, the subject of Distilleries being placed after the provisions regarding the sale of Spirituous Liquors this being considered the more orderly arrangement. A few explanatory words have also been added to what is now Section XXVII.

Para 16.— 10 It is necessary to explain that the words "for the purpose of being drunk" used in Section II and noticed by Government were introduced because spirits are sometimes sold for blacking, Varnishing or preserving objects of Natural History, and Damaged Wines or Beer for converting into

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

Vinegar. The words can however be omitted should the Government on this explanation think them unnecessary.

11. It will be seen that the provision in the last sentence of Section III has been omitted with reference to the remarks contained in para 17 of the letter from Government under reply, though the Board do not perceive how it can apply to cases where the whole quantity to be sold at auction is less than a dozen bottles.

12 The Board have they believe noticed the main points adverted in the Extract of the Minutes of Consultation under acknowledgment, it will be perhaps therefore be sufficient for them without going further into details to state in conclusion, that the Draft Act now submitted has been revised both as to the arrangement of its Sections and the verbal alteration proposed by Government in the paras noted in the Margin*, the last Section has been taken out and the words proposed by Government substituted.

*paras 14. 15. 19. and 20.

Revenue Bd Office,
Fort St George
26th June 1851.

Signed W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Act

Fort St. George 26th. June 1851.

Act No. — of

For Securing the Abkarry Revenue of Madras.

For better securing the Abkarry Revenue of the Town and Suburbs of Madras and for extending certain parts of Regulation I of 1820 of the Madras Code and of Act XXXII of 1845. It is enacted as follows.

I. Regulation I of 1813 of the Madras Code, and so much of Clause C. Sec. IX of an Act of Parliament numbered C. Sess. 1. c. II of the Statutes passed in the Thirty Ninth year of King George the Third as relates to the Sale of Arrack or other Spirituous Liquors within the Town of Madras and to the punishment of unlicensed Traders in Spirits or Spirituous Liquors within the said Town are respealed.

II The Collection of the Revenue arising from the retail sale of spirituous or fermented Liquors within the Town and Suburbs of Madras shall be under the charge of the Collector of Madras who shall perform the duties connected therewith under the control of the Board of Revenue and wherever the words "Town and Suburbs

Fort St. George 26th. June 1851.

Suburbs of Madras" are made use of throughout this, they shall be held to signify the Town of Madras, the limits of the Supreme Court as laid down in Section XII, Regulation II of 1802, and within eight miles beyond those limits.

III The Collector may appoint Conicopolies, Pycusts Jemadars, Peons and other Officers for collection of the said Revenue and prevention of smuggling and the Officers so appointed besides their ordinary respective designations shall be styled "Abkarry Officers."

IV. Every person who shall sell by retail any spirituous or fermented Liquors within the Town and Suburbs of Madras without a license for that purpose under the hand and Seal of the Collector of Madras, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees for each sale; but this enacted shall not apply to wholesale dealers selling quantities of Beer, Wine or spirits as may appear to the Collector to be intended only as samples.

A

Fort St. George 26th June 1837.

V. A sale of European spirits in a less quantity than two and half Gallons old Wine measure / viz one Dozen quart bottles / and of Arrack or Rum or any other spirits manufactured to the Eastward of the Cape of Good Hope in a less quantity than one Seer and of English and Foreign Beer in a less quantity than Six quart Bottles, and of Toddy in a less quantity than one Seer, shall be deemed a retail Sale within the meaning of this Act.

VI. The Board of Revenue shall have authority at all times to regulate the form and provisions of Licenses to be granted under this Act, and to alter and add to the conditions thereof, each License shall distinctly signify the kind or kinds of Liquor the holder is authorized to sell, the manner in which and source whence such liquor is to be supplied to him, and if it shall be supplied to him by the Officers of Government, the price / not exceeding 3:8: per Gallon / which he

Fort St. George 26th June 1837.

is to pay for it whether it is supplied by the Officers of Government or otherwise. The License shall further specify the District or place, Street or road, and House or shop in which the sale is to be carried on.

VII. The sale of Arrack or Rum or other Country spirits or of Toddy in quantities larger than those specified for each article in Section V of this Act, is prohibited and every person who shall act in breach of this prohibition, shall be liable to the fine prescribed in Section XV for the illicit possession of this Article but this prohibition does not apply to the sale of Spirituous or fermented Liquors imported into Madras under passes from the Collector, or other Officer duly empowered in that behalf, and supplied by wholesale to licensed retail dealers or to the sale of Rum under bond for exportation by Sea and covered by a certificate to that effect.

VIII. Every person taking out a license for the retail sale of Spirituous or fermented Liquors or intoxicating drugs under this Act, shall

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

shall execute a counterpart engagement in exact conformity with the tenor of such licenses.

IX. The Collector may withhold or recall a license, if any of the conditions upon which the license is granted be not complied with, or with the sanction of the Board of Revenue for any other cause, giving one months notice of such withdrawal; and any person selling by retail, any spirituous or fermented Liquor within the "Town and Suburbs of Madras" in which such license is withheld or after it is recalled, shall be subject to all the penalties provided by this Act for the unlicensed sale of spirituous or fermented Liquors.

X. No spirituous liquor manufactured Eastward of the Cape of Good Hope shall be removed from the Sea Custom House to any Warehouse Shop or private dwelling or from one Warehouse, Shop, or private dwelling to another, without the Permit of the Collector of Madras, in which permit must accompany all Liquors so removed, but persons having paid the Duty required by Act IX of 1845 and the further duty equal to the price not exceeding a

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

exceeding 1 Pagoda a Gallon, paid by retail dealers under Section VI shall be entitled to dispose of it by wholesale.

All Spirituous Liquors

XI. manufactured by the European method of Distillation shall when imported into Madras by land be placed under the charge of the Collector of Sea Customs who will have them guaged and tested. The Liquor may be either kept in the custody of the importer on his furnishing security for its exportation or sale under the provisions of Section II. Act XXXII of 1843, or under the joint custody of the Collector of Sea Customs, and the Importer, or it may be deposited in the Sea Customs Warehouse on payment of the usual Warehouse rent. In the last two cases security for its exportation or sale shall be required and that required in the first case shall be fixed as to its amount, and to the time allowed for its exportation by the Governor in Council.

XII. It shall not be lawful for the Justices to grant a license to open or establish or keep open any Lodging house, Boarding

Boarding house, Eating house, Punch house, Coffee Room Tavern, Hotel, or any other house, of Public entertainment within their Jurisdiction, in which any spirituous or fermented liquor, may be sold, to any person, who has not taken out a license for the retail sale of such liquors and any such license granted by the Justices shall become void whenever the license for the retail of such liquor, granted to such person, shall be withheld or recalled, by the Collector under this Act. On the requisition of the Superintendent of Police, the Collector shall be obliged to revoke a license already granted by him on the ground of the party keeping a disorderly house, or for other misconduct to be specially assigned and in the event of any disagreement arising between the Superintendents of Police and the Collector regarding such license, the subject shall be submitted for the final orders of the Board of Revenue.

XIII. The Collector after demand made in writing may levy any arrears of Tax, or duty, due on account of any license

license granted under this Act, by distress and sale of the Goods and Chattels of the person from whom the same is due, provided that no such arrears shall be recoverable after the end of two years next after the same shall have became due, or next after an acknowledgment of the same in writing shall have been given by the person by whom the same is payable.

XIV A breach of any of the conditions of a license granted under this Act shall, besides forfeiture of the license, be punishable by a fine not exceeding Fifty Rupees notwithstanding that such breach may have been owing to the default or carelessness of the Servant or other person in charge of the Shop.

XV Any person not being a licensed dealer, having in his profession, and any person carrying within the Town and Suburbs of Madras any quantity of Spirituous or fermented liquors, excepting English and Foreign Beer and Spirits, such quantity being greater than the quantity specified for each article in Section V and not being protected by a Pass or Permit from the Collector

Collector or other officer duly empowered in that behalf shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees.

XVI Besides the penalties above specified for the illicit sale, possession carrying of Spiritnous or fermented liquor found in the possession of any offender against this Act, shall be seized and confiscated with the vessels, Packages and coverings in which such liquors are found, and the animals and conveyances used in carrying them shall also be liable to seizure and confiscation.

XVII Any Abkarry officer above the rank of Peon may enter and inspect at any time by day or by night, or for the purposes contemplated in this Act, the house or shop in which any licensed retail Dealer shall carry on the sale of Spiritnous or fermented liquor under this Act.

XVIII. Every person holding a license for the retail sale of spiritnous or fermented liquors shall keep such license at the house or shop specified in the license, and shall shew the license on

the Demand of any Abkarry Officer who shall desire to see the same, and any licensed Dealer, who shall refuse or be unable to produce his license on the Demand of any Abkarry Officer, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding two hundred Rupees.

XIX Any Abkarry Officer may stop and detain any person carrying any Spiritnous or fermented liquors or without a Pass or otherwise liable to confiscation under this Act, and may seize the liquors with the Vessels, Packages and coverings in which the liquors are found, and the animals and conveyances used in carrying them.

XX If the Collector has good reason to believe, either from information given by any Abkarry Officer or other person to be taken down in writing or from his own Knowledge, or from the proceedings in any other case, that any Spiritnous or fermented liquor liable to confiscation under this Act, are Kept or concealed in any place, the Collector by Warrant under his hand, may empower any Abkarry Officer above the rank of Jemadar of Peons, between

Sunrise

Sunrise and Sunset, but always in the presence of a Constable or other officer of the Peace, to enter into every such place where any such liquor are suspected to be Kept or concealed, and to seize and carry away such liquor, and in case of resistance to break open any door and to force and remove any other obstacle to such entry, search, seizure or removal, as aforesaid, and to arrest and detain the owner or occupier of the premises, with all parties whom he suspects to be concerned in the unlawful Keeping or concealing of such liquors, whom he shall find on the premises, Provided that, where there is ground to suspect that such liquors are unlawfully concealed in any apartment of the women in houses belonging to the classes whose women do not appear in Public, the Officer charged with the execution of the Warrant shall follow, as closely as may be, the rules for the Seizure of property so concealed adopted by the Supreme Court of Judicature at Fort St. George

XXI. All Constables and other Ministerial

Ministerial officers of the Peace are required to aid the AbKarry officers in the due execution of this Act upon notice given, or request made by any such AbKarry Officer, and any officer, who without lawful excuse shall refuse or neglect to assist as aforesaid, on being required to do so, shall be liable to the penalty prescribed by Section XXXII of this Act for AbKarry officers conniving at the escape of a person arrested under this Act.

XXII. Whenever an AbKarry officer duly authorized under this Act shall arrest any person or shall seize any Spirituous or fermented liquor or shall enter any house or Shop for the purpose of searching for such illicit liquors he shall carry the person arrested with the illicit liquors seized, with all convenient despatch to the Collector, and shall, within twenty four hours thereafter, make a full report to the Collector of all the particulars. And the Collector, after such further enquiry as he deems necessary, shall forthwith either release the person arrested or send him in custody

to

to the Superintendent of Police or one of his Deputies.

XXIII Every person who shall maliciously give false information against any person for being engaged in the unlicensed sale of spirituous or fermented liquors, or for having in his possession or carrying or in respect of there being in any house or Shop any Spirituous or fermented liquors in contravention of this Act shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees or to imprisonment in the common Jail, for a period not exceeding Six months, or to both.

XXIV Every person who shall obstruct or molest any Abkarry officer or any person acting in aid of such officer in the due execution of this Act, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees and such person shall be further liable, if any affray or breach of the peace shall happen in consequence of his resistance on conviction of the same before a competent tribunal, to such punishment as is prescribed by Law for cases of affray and breach of the peace in addition to the penalty above prescribed for resistance of process.

XXV Any Abkarry officer who shall

delay carrying to the Collector any person arrested or any illicit liquors seized under this Act, or who shall neglect to report the particulars of an arrest, seizure, or search, within twenty four hours thereafter, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding two hundred Rupees

XXVI. Any Abkarry officer who shall vexatiously and unnecessarily seize the goods or chattels of any person on the pretence of seizing or searching for illicit Spirituous or fermented liquors or who shall vexatiously and unnecessarily arrest any person, or commit any other excess not required for the execution of his duty, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees

XXVII The provisions regarding Distilleries in Section X Regulation I of 1813, Section IV Regulation I of 1820, and Section II and III of Act XXXII of 1845, except such portion of Section II as relates to the sale of the produce of the Distilleries to the licensed retail dealers of Madras are made applicable to the Town of Madras and the limits defined in Section II of this Act.

XXVIII Any Officer employed in the Abkarry Department, who shall

on

unlawfully release or connive at the escape of any person arrested under this Act, or connive at the sale of Spiritu-ous or fermented liquors without a license or by any licensed Dealer contrary to the terms of such license, or act in a manner inconsistent with his duty, for the pur-pose of enabling any persons to do any thing, whereby any of the provisions of this Act may be evaded or broken, or the Abkarry Revenue be defrauded, shall be liable to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees.

XXIX Any Officer employed in the Abkarry Department, who shall ask or take any gratuity not authorized by any law or order of Government or of the Board of Revenue, in consideration of doing or of omitting to do any act in his official ca-pacity and any person who shall offer a bribe to any such officer, in order to induce such officer to act in a manner inconsistent with his duty, shall be liable, for every such offence, to a fine not exceeding five hundred Rupees.

XXX When any goods or Chattels shall

shall be seized by an Abkarry Officer, as liable to confiscation under this Act, such seizure shall, upon information exhibited by order of the Collector, be heard and de-termined in a summary manner by the Superintendent of Police or one of his Deputies, who shall cause the persons to whom such goods and Chattels belong, to be sum-moned to appear, and upon their ap-pearance or default shall examine into the cause of the seizure thereof and give judgment, and if such judgment shall be for confiscation of the goods or Chattels seized, shall issue his warrant to the Co-llector for the sale or disposal thereof according to such orders as he may receive from the Board of Revenue.

XXXI Whenever any goods or Chat-tels shall be seized as aforesaid, and within one Calendar Month no person shall appear before the Collector to claim the same, the Superintendent of Police, or one of his Deputies shall examine into the cause of the seizure at a place and time of which notice shall have been given by the Collector in the Fort St. George Gazette, and give judgment for the confiscation of such

of

of the goods and chattels as upon such examination shall appear to him liable to forfeiture, and upon confiscation thereof, shall issue his Warrant for the disposal of them, as if the owner had been Summoned to attend before the said Superintendent or Justice, one of his Deputies.

XXXII All fines leviable under this act shall be adjudged by the Superintendent of Police or one of his Deputies, either of whom upon information exhibited before him by order of the Collector shall, within three Calendar months next after the act by which the fine was incurred and not afterwards, summon the parties accused, and upon their appearance or default, shall examine into the matter, and upon due proof made thereof by the voluntary confession of the parties or by the oath or solemn affirmation in cases wherein a solemn affirmation is receivable by law instead of an oath of one or more creditable witnesses shall give judgment

judgment accordingly, and, in default of payment of any fine to which an offender is judged, he shall be liable by Warrant of the said Superintendent of Police or one of his Deputies, to imprisonment in the common Jail, for a period not exceeding six months, or until the fine be sooner paid and no proceedings shall be taken under this Section by any Justice of the Peace after the expiration of three calender months from the date of the offence by which the fine was incurred.

XXXIII Whenever any person shall be convicted of an offence against this Act, after having been previously convicted of a like offence, he shall be liable, in addition to the penalty attached to such offence to imprisonment in the common Jail for a period not exceeding Six months, and a like punishment of imprisonment not exceeding Six months shall be incurred in addition to the punishment which may be inflicted for a first offence upon every subsequent conviction after the second.

XXXIV One half of all fines levied

levied from persons convicted of the illicit profession, carrying on sale of Spirituous or fermented liquors and of the proceeds from the sale of liquors, Vessels, Packages conveyances, Stills &c confiscated under this Act shall, upon adjudication of the case be awarded in such proportion as the Superintendent of Police &c may think proper, to the officer or officers who apprehended the offender or seized the illicit liquors or other articles and the other half shall be given to the Informer, and if no fine be realized, the Board of Revenue may grant such reasonable reward, not exceeding the sum of two hundred Rupees, as may appear to them fit. Provided that the Board of Revenue may determine, by General order, what class of Abkarry officers shall receive rewards, and what classes shall have no title to share therein.

XXXV. All fines levied under this Act, the disposal of which is not specially provided for, shall belong to Government but the officer adjudicating the case may grant any portion thereof not exceeding one half as rewards to Informers

or

H. C. B.

or as compensation to parties injured by any proceedings under this Act.

XXXVI. No writ of certiorari shall be issued at the suit of any party out of the Supreme Court of Judicature, to supercede, stay, remove, or in any wise affect any information or judicial proceeding before the Superintendent of Police or any of his Deputies in pursuance of this Act, and no judgment thereupon shall be quashed except for error of law apparent on the face of the judgment.

XXXVII. All actions and prosecutions to be instituted against the Collector or any Abkarry Officer, or any person acting in aid of any such Officer, for any thing done in pursuance of this Act, shall be commenced within three Calendar months after the fact committed and not afterwards, and notice in writing of such action, and of the cause thereof, shall be given to the Defendant, one Calendar month at least before the commencement of the action, and no Plaintiff shall recover in any such action, if tender of sufficient amends shall have been made

before

before such action brought, or if after action brought, a sufficient sum of money, shall have been paid into Court with costs by or on behalf of the Defendant.-

XXXVIII. The Collector in respect of the duties to be performed by him under this Act, shall have power to punish any contempt committed in his presence in open Cutchery by the imposition of a fine not exceeding two hundred Rupees, commutable, if not paid, to imprisonment in the common Jail for a period not exceeding one month.- Provided that an appeal from any order passed under this section shall lie to the Board of Revenue, and the decision of the Board thereon shall be final.-

XXXIX. And it is hereby further enacted that by the term "Collector of Madras" wherever it occurs in this Act shall be understood to mean the Officer who may at any time be charged by the Governor in Council of Fort St. George with the Superintendence and Collection of the Public Revenue within the Town of Madras, although such Officer may

may not be officially designated, Collector of Madras.-

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

7.

P. No. 1373.

From the Secretary to Government.

Read the following Extract.

No. 592.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 17th June, 1851.-

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Saderwared and Extra Charges incurred in Fusly 1259 in the several Districts specified in the foregoing List amounting in the aggregate to Rupees (94,618-8-9) Ninety four thousand Six hundred and eighteen, annas eight and pice nine, being exclusive of the item Nos 6 and 24 entered in

6 Canal Toll on Salt. Rupees 8370--3- } Statement No. 704.
24 Value of Batavia arrack credited to Mily. Books " 27750--- } of the Collector

of Madras, respecting which instructions have already been issued.-

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

7-8.

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded. The usual printed letters will be sent to Collectors.-

From the Secretary to Government.

9. Dated 17th June 1851.- Read Extract authorizing the Civil

Fort St. George 26th. June 1857.

Civil Auditor to admit the Charges of Rupees 207-1-6- paid by the Collector of Masulipatam to Mohomed Alikhan one of the dependants of the late Bezee Bagum-

9-10.

Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded-

Read the following Extracts-

B/. No. 1363.

No. 588.

From the Secretary to Government-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department, under date the 17th. June 1857.

11.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

/Here enter 19th. May 1857 No. 213/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council under the provisions of Clause I Section II Regulation V 1831 refers Maringunty Vencata Narsimha Charloo to the Courts of Adewlut for the recovery of the Enams in the Nellore District at present held by Tantah Charloo-

Resolved that a Copy of the above order be furnished to Maringunty Vencata Narsimha Charloo through the Collector of Nellore-

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government-

To,

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

Ordered to be communicated for

B/. No. 1229.

Order thereon-

11-2.

Fort St. George 26th. June 1857.

for the information and guidance of the Collector of Nellore with reference to his letter dated 21st. April 1857-

---

In Cons. 19th. May 1857.

13.

No. 578.

B/. No. 1355.

From the Secretary to Government-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 17th. June 1857.

Directing that certain outstanding Balances for Fusly 1258 in the District of Bellary be written off the accounts & authorizing that certain Enam lands belonging to the Taliars of the Mahalimia Village who had deserted it, about 20 years ago, be restored to the heirs of the former Taliaries on their performing the Village Service, and for writing off the balance of revenue on those lands for Fusly 1259-

Paras 1. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

/Here enter 22nd. May 1857 No. 220/

The Balance of land revenue outstanding on account of Fusly 1258 in the District of Bellary amounts to Rupees 5,348-4-2- of which only Rupees 73-11-10 are recoverable. The remainder comprizes demands of various descriptions which on careful enquiry instituted by the European Officers of the District during the Settlement of Fusly 1259 have been found wholly irrecoverable, and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Revenue to grant authority for writing off the amount in the Public accounts, Viz. Rupees /5,274-8-4/ five thousand two hundred and seventy four,

amas

annas eight and Pice fours.-

2- One of the items included in the sum now remitted is the demand on certain Enam lands belonging to the Taliars of the Magalimen Village, who it is reported deserted it about 20 years ago and were induced to return and reoccupy their Lands on Cowle on the promise of having their Enam restored.- The Enam lands amount to Acres 188-34-7. are assessed at Rupees 491-11-9. and bear a Jodigee of Rupees 154-2-4. As these lands have been incorporated with the Circar Ayacut the Governor in Council grants authority for this extent being deducted from the Ayacut and restored to the Heirs of the former Taliaries on their performing the Village service and for writing off the Balance of Revenue which accrued on these lands for fusly 1259, amounting to Rupees /137-8-11-/ One hundred and thirty seven and annas eight.-

3. The proposition in regard to the remaining shares of the Taliary Enams and to the others service Enams similarly circumstanced

is approved, and the Governor in Council will be prepared to give his consideration to the arrangement when finally brought before him.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1238.
Orders thereon.- 1
(134.)

In Cons. 22d May 1857.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary, with reference to his letter dated 12th April 1857.-

2. Mr. Pelly's attention is called to Para 6 of the Board's Proceedings and to the observations of Government upon it contained in Para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation.-

---

No. 590.

B. No. 1364.
From the Secretary to Government
(15.)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 17th June, 1857.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 12th May 1857 No. 209./

Para 1. Adverting to the difficulties which are represented by the Collector of Madura

annas eight and Pice four.-

2- One of the items included in the sum now remitted is the demand on certain Enam lands belonging to the Taliars of the Magalimna Village, who it is reported deserted it about 20 years ago and were induced to return and re-occupy their Lands on Cowle on the promise of having their Enam restored.- The Enam lands amount to Acres 188-34-7. are assessed at Rupees 491-11-9. and bear a Jodigee of Rupees 154-2-4. As these lands have been incorporated with the Circar Ayacut the Governor in Council grants authority for this extent being deducted from the Ayacut and restored to the Heirs of the former Taliaries on their performing the Village service and for writing off the Balance of Revenue which accrued on these lands for fusly 1259, amounting to Rupees /137-8-11-/ One hundred and thirty seven and annas eight.-

3- The proposition in regard to the remaining shares of the Taliary Enams and to the other service Enams similarly circumstanced is

is approved, and the Governor in Council will be prepared to give his consideration to the arrangement when finally brought before him.-

To

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1238.
Order thereon.- 1
(13-4.)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary, with reference to his letter dated 12th April 1857.-

2. Mr. Pelly's attention is called to Para 6 of the Board's Proceedings and to the observations of Government upon it contained in Para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation-

In Cons: 22d May 1857.

B. No. 1364.
From the Secretary to Government.
15.

No. 590.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 17th June, 1857.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 12th May 1857 No. 209/

Para 1. Adverting to the difficulties which are represented by the Collector of Madura

Madura and the Board of Revenue to attend the preparation of the application for fresh grants of land to Village Officers in the form prescribed for Establishments by the late orders of the Government of India, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will not press the point, especially as it appears that on a recent occasion application was made to the Government of India for the grant of land to certain Toty Merasidars in the Salem District by letter and without any form accompanying and no objection was taken by the Supreme Government. He resolves therefore to forward to that Government the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th March 1851 No. 114 and to recommend the grant in the 5 Cases referred to of waste land to the extent of Cawnies 118-9- assessed at Rupees 242-8-6.-

4th June 1850. No. 548.

2- The Board will proceed with the submission of the further cases referred to in Para 4 of their Secretary's letter.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1209.
Order thereon-
15-6.
In Cons: 12th May 1851.

B. No. 1357.
From the Secretary to Government
17.

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 22d April 1851.

No. 580.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 17th June, 1851.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 19th May 1851. No. 211 /

Under the circumstances explained by Mr. Maltby in his letter to the Board of Revenue dated 12th April 1851. No. 21 and agreeably to his application, supported as it is by their recommendation, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant authority for land reclaimed from Jungle within 110 yards of the Dharwar and Sircy road being held free of Assessment until after the Fusly year 1271, and that along the Yellapoor and Moondagode and the Yellapoor and Hullial roads till after Fusly 1274. From what is stated in para 5 of the Board's proceedings, the Governor in Council will not insist upon the

the stipulation in respect to the constant cultivation of the lands bordering on the above roads but will leave the matter to the discretion of the local authorities.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1239.
Order thereon.-

17-8.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 12th April 1857.

In lieu: 19th May 1857.

B. No. 1356.
From the Secretary to Government.

19.

No. 579.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 17th June, 1857.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 28th May 1857 No. 229./

1.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for 2½ Acres of Land in the Village of Ventapollem subject to an annual Assessment of Rupees 6¼ being made over to the Reverend Messrs. Gumm and Martz for Building purposes in

in lieu of 2½ Cawnies. authorized by the order of the 22d April last.-

2.

Dy. 29th April 1857 No. 19-20.

As the Reverend Messrs. Gumm and Martz are represented to be Citizens of the United states of America the Governor in Council directs that the Certificates to be granted them shall not be in perpetuity but for the term of 99 years or for any shorter period that those Gentlemen may prefer.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1208.
Order thereon.-

In lieu: 26th May 1857.

19-20.

Ordered to be communicated to the Register of Government Grants, with reference to his letter dated 16th May 1857.

B. No. 1425.
From the Secretary to Government.

21.

No. 616.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 24th June, 1857.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 5th June 1857 No. 234./

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the answers proposed to be made to the two Suits Nos. 38 and 41 of 1857 brought against the Collector of North Arcot in the Subordinate Court of Chittoor as amended by the Board

Board of Revenue, and grants authority for their being filed and the defence conducted at the public expense in the first instance, but he will determine hereafter when the Decrees are submitted whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by him or by the Government.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1231.
Order thereon.-
21-2.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the answers proposed to be filed in Suit Nos. 38 and 41 of 1851 in the Sub: Court, as modified by the Board, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot, with reference to his letter dated 21st May 1851.-

In Cons: 5th June 1851.

Read the following letter.
No. 41.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

23.

B. No. 1097.
From the Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt

Submitting further their report on the Petition No. 2052 of 1849.-

receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue calling for further information on the subject of Petition No. 2052 of 1849 in reference to my report upon it of the 12th March 1850

2. Petitioners Enam is situated in the bed of the Mypaud Tank and so long as this reservoir was dependant on the failing rains the land was capable of cultivation except perhaps in seasons in which the Tank received large supplies of water but the digging of a new supplying channel in Fasly 1236 having ensured a fuller supply to the Tank the Enam as well as other land similarly situated is necessarily under water for the greater part of the year and is consequently unfit for cultivation - This circumstance however, it is clear, cannot detract from the efficiency of the new source of irrigation as respects the other lands of the Village.

3. There can be no question as to the justice of providing for the due remuneration of the Village Servants so long as service is exacted from them, and in the present instance the

Present Maximum

Class Soil	Extent Rs	a	p	Assessment Rs	a	p
Wet land 2d	1	1	.	43	.	6
Do Do 3d	.	12	8	17	15	6
	1	13	8	61	.	.

Principal Division

Talooks	Land: Extent G	a	a	Assessment: Rs	a	p
Sunnapully	28	11	.	406	10	11
Cottah	14	14	.	230	5	8
Nellore	15	15	3	284	2	1
Talamunchy	8	7	3	126	8	6
Sungum	43	9	1	280	8	6
Cauiley	29	10	.	244	10	6
Pauvoor	56	7	2/4	485	14	4
Caleghery	8	.	2	68	14	2
Woodenghery	13	6	3	62	14	1
Sydapoonum	9	14	.	38	6	5
	269	7	1/4	2496	3	7

Sub Division

Buddapoody	35	8	3/4	377	3	10
Damagadoor	2	.	.	79	4	4
Ongole	15	9	.	64	11	7
Cramamanelloor	15	4	.	74	6	3
Chendalore	30	3	.	104	6	.
	109	1	3	760	9	4
Grand Total	378	8	3	3256	12	7

Proposed Maximum

Class. Soil	Extent G	a	p	Assessment Rs	a	p
Wet land	3d 2	10	8	61	1	6

the existing Enam being useless, the request of the Cumums for other land seems reasonable, and I recommend that a fresh grant of waste land of equivalent value be made to them in the event of the Board being prepared to extend the same consideration to other Village servants whose maximums are similarly situated, the extent and value of these, of every description being so far as I have been able to ascertain, as shewn in the margin.

4 The Board desire to be informed why the subject of Petitioners' complaint did not receive earlier attention as they represent the same have been complained of since 1240. — For many years past they appear to have been bringing

their case to notice annually at the time of Jammabundy but as complaints of this nature have always been numerous, the consideration of them seems to have been deferred pending a contemplated reference to higher authority on the subject of replacing the unprofitable maximums of the Village Servants generally throughout the District.

Nellore, Collr's Cutchery, 8th May, 1851. — (Signed) D. White, Collector

Bd No 1236. Proceedings thereon

(234.) The Collector is requested to submit a Statement shewing the Enams which it is proposed to grant to the Village Officers referred to in para 3 of the foregoing letter, in lieu of those rendered unfit for cultivation to enable the Board to lay it before Government for their sanction.

No 53.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

Sir,

(25.) Bd No 1393 From the Collr of Nellore. Submitting information in

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 9th June 1851 calling

in regarding to the manner of carrying on business in the Treasury Departement

calling for information in regard to the manner of carrying on business in the Treasury Department in this District.

2. In reply I have the honor to state that the pay of the Talook servants, advances for Maramut, &c. are debited in the Treasury Books on the day on which the amount is despatched from the Treasury the only instance in which charges are debited and not immediately paid being sums due to Salt manufacturers for Salt received from them into store and the amount of Accountant Generals Bills for hire due to Bearers posted for Travellers; but these it must be remarked are not bona fide cash transactions but merely transfer entries in the accounts debiting the proper head of charge and crediting to Deposits in the Revenue Department and finally debiting under the latter head when the disbursement is actually made.

3. There are therefore no deposits of the nature alluded to in your letter Viz. sums struck off the accounts and kept in a separate Chest

Chest under the responsibility of the Cashkeeper.

4. No disbursements are allowed to be made from the Talook Treasuries except payments of Accountant Generals Bills when so required, and of the Drafts of the Deputy Paymaster on account of the Troops at Orgole, and these are paid only under special order from the Collector.

5. For payment of the Talook Servants and for other disbursements money is sent direct from the Huzzoor Treasury, the receipt of which is entered by the Talook authorities in a Book in which each disbursement is entered the same day it is made, and if any of the money sent remains undisbursed at the end of 3 months it is retransmitted to the Huzzoor and there kept under the head of Deposits.

6. When the Collector is absent from the Huzzoor, Accountant Generals Bills are received by the Head Accountant (who remains at the Huzzoor Station) who causes the necessary orders to the Cashkeeper to be prepared and

forwarded

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

forwards them with the Bills to the Collector. The letters of advice being with the Collector the Bills are compared and accepted on the day they come before the Collector, and not on the day they are presented at the Huzzoor. This has hitherto been the practice obtaining here, but might I think be with justice altered. The right should in my opinion be calculated from the presentation of the Bills at the Huzzoor.

The amount of Cash left in charge of the Cash Keeper to meet ordinary demands in occasions of the Collectors absence (the Surplus being under the Collectors Seal and Key, another and a different Key being Kept by the Cash Keeper) does not usually exceed about Rupees 20,000, when I took charge of the District. I found it had been the practice in case of the Collectors absence to allow the Head Accountant, Head or Deputy Sheristadar to open the large Chest and supply the Cash Keeper with money, but as I consider this practice objectionable I in January of this year left Rupees 50,000 in the Cash Keepers hands to meet Bills to a large amount drawn by

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

the Accountant General on any Treasury.

Nellore Collrs Cutcherry } (Signed) D. White
19th June, 1851 — } Collector.

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

(25-6.)

Read the following letter.

No. 45.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(27.)

Bs. No. 1412.
From the Collr of Bellary —

Sir,

I have the honor to report

Submitting a Tabular Statement of Offers for the Rent of Fusly Tumeum of his District for Fusly 1261 amounting to Rs. 286905 and requesting early Sanction of the Board for accepting the present Offers.

that the Sale by Public auction of the Adkanny Rents for Fusly 1261 took place before me on the 15th ultimo, in my Huzzoor Cutchery at Bellary. A Statement of the Offers accepted amounting to Rupees 286,905 is enclosed. They were Rupees 22,046 below the Bariz of Fusly 1260. But as shewn in Column 4 of the Statement in question they exceed the average amount of the past 10 years from Fusly 1250 to 1259 by Rupees 4873.

Average Bariz from Fusly 1250 to 1259 — 282032
Bariz of Fusly 1261 — 286905
Net Increase — 4873

2. The Renters of Raidroog and Bellary have deposited 2 months Kists. The other Contractors have deposited one months Kist in Ready Cash and produced — Satisfactory

Note
* Except Gudahee & Dhurmo-veram } See note at the end of this Letter

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

satisfactory securities for 3 Kists.

3 Subjoined is an abstract Statement shewing the differences between the offers made for Fusly 1260 and 1261 in each Talook

	Talooks	Beriz of Fusly 1260.	Offers for Fusly 1261.	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5
Talooks the Offers for which are the same as in Fusly 1260 - 1261.	1 Bellary	20,600	20,600	.	.
	2 Hurponhully	6,700	6,700	.	.
	3 Goollem	9,500	9,500	.	.
	4 Tandpatry	6,200	6,200	.	.
	5 Mudugscerah	8,650	8,650	.	.
	Total	51,650	51,650	.	.
Talooks the Offers for which are above the Rent for Fusly 1260	1 Hoovenhudgaly	8,150	9,600	1,450	.
	2 Codecondah	8,000	8,650	650	.
	Total	16,150	18,250	2,100	.
Talooks the Offers for which are below the Rent for Fusly 1260.	1 Kumply	23,050	21,900	.	1,150
	2 Hoodleghee	21,650	21,050	.	600
	3 Adonie	50,000	42,051	.	7,949
	4 Punchapollem	31,000	30,702	.	298
	5 Gooty	28,750	27,000	.	1,750
	6 Yadakee	12,000	10,700	.	1,300
	7 Raidroog	19,700	17,600	.	2,100
	8 Anantapoor	16,701	13,001	.	3,700
	9 Pengondah	16,900	15,000	.	1,900
	10 Dhurmaverum	21,400	18,001	.	3,399
	Total	241,151	217,055	.	24,146
	Grand Total	308,951	286,905	2,100	24,146

Net Decrease 22,046

Pursuant

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

4. Pursuant to the instructions contained in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th June 1850. I have confirmed the Rent of Bellary Talook for 3 years from Fusly 1260 to 1262 to Mr. J. Abraham for the annual Rent of Rupees 20,600. This Renter deposited in my Hoozzoor Treasury two Government Promissory Notes for 7000 Rupees instead of 6,866-10-8. in cash which is the amount of 2 kists of each of the fusslies 1261 and 62 at Rupees 3,433-5-4 per year. The Total Beriz of both fusslies being Rupees 41,200.-

+ Hurponhully
Goollem
Tandpatry
Mudugscerah

5. The Offers made for 4 Talooks are of the same amounts as last year and in 2 Talooks, Vizt., Hoovinhudgaly and Codecondah the amount exceeds those of the former years by Rupees 2,100. The offers for the remaining 10 Talooks were considerably below those of the preceding fusly, Vizt., Rupees 24,146- This is chiefly owing to considerable losses having been sustained

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

* Decrease in offers for fusly 1261.
Adoni — 7949
Anantapoor — 3700
Bhurmaverum — 3399
15048.

sustained by the Contractors of the Talooks noted in the margin, and not a little perhaps in consequence of an apprehension on the part of the renters that the Farms will not so remunerating this year, owing to the severe losses the inhabitants of several Villages have been subjected to by the falling of houses and the destruction of their property by the late flood.—

Under these circumstances I trust that the Board will confirm the Rents of the ensuing Fusly 1261 and favor me with an early answer.

7. The Renters of the yadakys and Bhurmaverum Talooks have not yet procured security but as I expect they will do so shortly I have not detained this report longer for the sanction of the Board. These parties will not be put in possession of their Farms

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

until the security is given.

Bellary Collector's Office 16th June 1851.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George

26th June 1851.

shewing the Offers for the Rent of Abkarry Revenue in the Bellary Division of the ceded Districts for Fusly 1261

Renters.	Talooks offered for	Number of Villages	average of 10 years from Fusly 1250 to 1259	Amount of Collections Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Comparison Rent of Fusly 1260	Offers for Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Deposit in cash or the amount in Column 9 Months	Amount	Securities Months	Amount	Remarks
2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			CRupees	CRupees	CRs.	CRupees	CRupees	CRupees	CRs.	CRs.		CRs a s		CRs a s	
	Bellary	96	20,326	19,000	22,500	21,635	20,600	20,600	-	-	4	7,000 - -			
															Was deposited 2 Government Promissory Notes Viz. No 23137 4 of 1841/42 Company's Rupees 2,000 No 2709 of 1842/43 Company's Rupees 5000 7,000
	Kumply	68	19,233	21,000	21,300	23,940	23050	21,900	-	1150	1	1825 - -			in lieu of ready money deposit amounting to 6,866 - 10 - 8 being equal to 2 months kists of each year of Fuslies 1261 and 1262.
	Kurpenlilly	93	3,918	5,000	6,200	7,440	6,700	6,700	-	-	1	558 8 -	3	547 5 -	Venkanagowda and Streenevasagowda Patails of Kumply
Ramatha Pillay	Koodleghee	58	1,176	19,800	19,800	21,840	21,650	21,050	-	600	1	1754 - -	3	167 5 8	Crossfenakerry Chinaveeragowda, Hanasa Cattoo Gowda Talook of Koodleghee
Son of Ramapah	Hoovenhudgalee	122	6,175	6,000	6300	7,920	8,150	9,600	1450	-	1	800 - -	3	526 2 -	Chittevadghe Kistnajowda Beruly Timmapah Do of Do
Narasappah	Adonie	180	5,307	59,700	57,000	54,050	50,000	42051	-	7,949	1	3,504 4 -	3	240 - -	Suranathavanakara Settara Venkappah Talook of Koodleghee
Ramumtapah	Goollem	56	9043	9,000	12,000	10,550	9,500	9,500	-	-	1	792 - -	3	1051 12 -	Adonie Nagatha Rajavandra Rah Gopsaram Nazaree Talook of Adonie
Ramumtapah	Punchapollum	194	27870	27,900	34,000	31,000	31,000	30702	-	298	1	2,558 8 -	3	237 6 -	Holalagondee Basavanagowda and Jorapoorum Narrain reddy Talook of Goollem
	Goorty	118	25664	30,207	30,209	28,750	28750	27,000	-	1750	1	2250 - -	3	767 5 8	Piravaly Goondareddy and Jenamgul Kasavappah Talook of Punchapollum
	Yadakee	63	17125	13,000	12,200	12,000	12,000	10700	-	1300	1	891 10 8	3	675 - -	Jorapoorum Narrain Reddy Talook of Goollem
	Raindroog	83	15380	16,010	17,150	19000	19700	17,800	-	2,100	2	2934 - -	8	- - -	
	Jandputty	40	5784	6,070	6,070	6,850	0,200	6,200	-	-	1	517 - -	3	1551 - -	Potail Pedda obuleddy Talook of Jandputty
	Anantapoor	106	14876	18,100	18,450	16,000	16,701	13,001	-	3700	1	1083 8 -	3	325 8 -	Bookarayasamoodram Ruppumah Talook of Anantapoor
Naraee Narapah	Penecondah	85	13599	16,300	18,900	17,500	16,900	15,000	-	1900	1	1750 - -	3	375 - -	Cottacherroo Cantheza Chinna Basappa Talook of Penecondah
Ajjappah	Rodeecondah	69	7778	8400	8,400	9,150	8,000	8,650	650	-	1	721 - -	3	216 3 -	Hindupoor Beeta Jadaliah and Bavanahully Yeedega yerrapa Talook of Rodeekondah
Mullannah	Mudugseralı	71	6427	6,310	6,310	6,850	8,650	8,650	-	-	1	721 - -	3	216 3 -	Mootukoor Salunker Rahiah Talook of Mudugseralı
	Dhurmavarum	119	18091	20,000	20,000	21,000	21,400	18,001	-	3399	1	1500 - -	-	450 - -	
		1021	287632	301,997	316789	315,515	300951	286905	2100	24146	-	60660 6 8	-	2479 4 -	

Nil 22,046

Ready money deposit — 22,007 10 8

In Jewels — 1852 12 -

23,860 6 8

In Promissory Notes — 7000 - -

30860 6 8

E. E. Pte (Signed) A. Pelly

Collector

8535 Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

B. No. 1210.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the offers for the Abkarry Farms of the District of Bellary for Fusly 1261, reported in the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 286,905/ including the Bellary Talook Farms rented to Mr. F. Abraham for 3 years from Fusly 1260/ and authorize the rent-ers being placed in possession of their respective Farms, on their making the necessary deposits and giving the required security.

(27-8.)

C.O. 14th July/28. page 79.

Mr. Pelly is requested to submit future abkarry Statements in the prescribed forms.

C.O. Book page 33.

Read.

J.S.B.

8536 Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

Read the following Report

No. 14.-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(29.)

B. No. 605.

From the Agl. Collr. of Cuddapah Reporting on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of his District for Fusly 1259-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the accompanying Statements relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1259.-

for Fusly 1259 / 1849-50.-

1. Detailed Jumahbundy Statement for Fusly 1259 / 1849/50.-
2. General Revenue Statement Taloot &c.-
3. Register shewing the extent &c. of land held under Cowle.-
4. Register exhibiting the particulars of land held under progressive Cowle.-
5. Comparative Statement of land held under Cowle during Fuslies 1258 / 1848-49. & 1259 / 1849-50.
6. Statement exhibiting the Survey rent &c. of Enam lands.-
7. Jamah and Kistbundy Statement.-
8. Statement of the Demand Collection and balance.-

Statement

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.-

9-A- Statement Shewing the outstanding balance.-

B- Statement Shewing the particulars of the outstanding balance from Fusly 1254 / 1844-45 to 1258 / 1848-49.-

10- Comparative Statement of all Sources of Revenue.-

11- Statement of remissions granted for failure of wet Crops.-

12. Abstract Comparative Statement between the charges of Fusles 1258 / 1848-49. & 1259 / 1849-50.-

13-A- Statement of the extent and Survey Assessment of each description of land Cultivated.-

B- Detailed Statement of the Survey Assessment of each description of land and the remissions allowed.

14- Statement of average price of grain-

15- Statement of the particulars of Peeve Cummis-

16- Talook war Statement Shewing the rent Roll of the District of Cuddapah-

17- Demand Collection and balance Statement exhibiting the several Items Comprising the Extra Sources of Revenue.-

Statement

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.-

18. Statement Shewing the different descriptions of land Cultivated with Sugar.-

19- Statement Shewing the extent of land Cultivated with Cotton.-

2. Mr. Cochrane superintended the Settlement of the following 8 Talooks Viz.t Yummulmudgoo, Doovoor, Koil-goontlah, Camalapoor, Gurremcondah, Muddenpully, Poolemendlah and Roy-achoty.-

3- The Settlement of three Talooks forming the sub Division Viz.t Cumbum, Doopand and Budwail was conducted by me as Sub Collector, that of the three following Talooks in the Principal Division Viz.t Chitwail and Sidhout by Mr. A. Wedderburn the Head Assistant Collector, while the superintendence of the remaining Talook of Chennoor was entrusted to Mr. Smith the assistant Collector.-

4. The following Statement exhibits the Stations where the Jamabundy was held in each Talook in Fusly 1259 / 1849-50.-

Names

Names of Gentlemen.	Talooks.	Stations where Puttahs were distributed.-
By Mr. Cochrane	1. Zummulmudgoo	Town of Zummulmudgoo-
	2. Doovoor	Chintacoontah and Vellalah-
	3. Koilgoonttah	Nolsum-
	4. Camalapoor	Appiapully
	5 Goorrumcondah	Voilpaud-
	6. Muddenpully	Town of Muddenpully
	7. Poolevendalah	Town of Poolevendalah-
	8. Royachoty	Moolloor-
By Mr. Murray the Sub Collector	9. Cumbum	Town of Cumbum and Moondalapaut-
	10. Doopaud	Markapoor and Cangery-
	11. Budwail	Town of Budwail
By Mr. A. Wedderburn 2d Asst. Collector	12. Chetwail	Mundalore.
	13. Sidhout	Wontemittah
By Mr. Smith the Asst. Collector	14. Chennoor	Town of Chennoor.-

5. The rain experienced throughout the District at the commencement of the Season in the month of June enabled the Ryots to till their red and mixed lands. the fall of rain subsequently received during the months of July, August, September, and October was sufficient to prove beneficial to the cultivation of certain Black, red and mixed lands. the Tanks thereby were replenished in some localities, while in other

others the supplies varied from 1/4 to 3/4 of a full one. These supplies of water were not only favorable to the Cartekiam or 1st Crop, but also tended in some measure to be of Assistance towards the Cultivation of the Vysakham or 2d Crop also.-

6. None of the Tanks received any additional supply of water from the partial rain experienced in some parts of the District during November and December. Under the Circumstances of the season as above described, most of the Tanks in the Talooks of Zummulmudgoo and Coilgoonttah, and a fair proportion of those in the Poolevendlah Talook received their full supplies of water while a few Tanks in Goorrumcondah and Royachoty received supplies more abundant than in the preceding Fasly tho' with regard to the greater Number of Tanks in the last mentioned two Talooks, the supplies may be Considered to have been indifferent the result has been an increase in the aforementioned five Talooks. There has been a decrease of Revenue in the remaining nine Talooks which is attributable mainly to the deficient supplies of water received into the Tanks and to the Cultivation of dry Crops especially in Doovoor and Camalapoor Talooks being deterred consequent on the heavy rains experienced, as also to the empoverished State of the Ryots.-

7. The following Statement exhibits the supply of water received in the several Tanks and reservoirs in each Talook during the prevailing monsoon.-

Total

8541

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

		Total Ayacut Tanks as per Column 14 of the Statement No. 2.	Of which the extent of supplies of water the Tanks and reservoirs received during the Fusly:-						Remainder Tanks which received no supplies of water at all and those in a decayed State.-	
			Full.	From 3/4 to 7/8th	From 1/2 to 3/4	From 1/4 to 1/2	within 1/4	Total Tanks.		
		Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Divisional	1 Jummalamudgoo	31	31	1	15	"	"	3	4	10	5	9	4	23	28	8	3
	2 Doovoor	29	29	7	25	1	"	9	"	7	"	"	"	24	25	5	4
	3 Koilgoontla	25	25	13	16	1	1	2	1	3	5	4	1	23	24	2	1
	4 Chitwail	114	114	20	1	1	6	4	18	35	17	45	29	105	71	9	43
	5 Sidhout	123	123	11	21	6	4	22	18	28	36	29	21	96	100	27	23
	6 Chennoor	64	64	21	13	3	6	8	11	15	13	7	11	54	54	10	10
	7 Camalapoor	19	19	11	9	"	"	2	2	"	2	1	"	14	13	5	6
Principal	8 Goorrumcondah	1456	1456	30	33	7	16	61	59	111	134	348	444	557	686	899	770
	9 Muddenpully	984	984	13	50	4	10	17	62	66	189	355	266	455	577	529	407
	10 Poolevendalah	448	448	3	61	2	14	10	46	33	64	78	80	126	265	322	183
	11 Royachoty	1052	1052	9	63	2	18	17	59	61	81	144	189	233	410	819	642
	Total	4345	4345	139	307	27	75	155	280	369	546	1020	1045	1710	2253	2635	2092
Sub Division	1 Cumbum	85	86	17	38	4	4	9	14	16	7	13	3	59	66	26	20
	2 Doopaud	103	108	61	31	3	3	2	15	6	13	4	8	76	70	27	38
	3 Budwail	144	144	58	49	7	21	13	26	15	17	29	13	122	126	22	18
	Total	332	338	136	118	14	28	24	55	37	37	46	24	257	262	75	76
	Total	4677	4683	275	425	41	103	179	335	406	583	1066	1069	1967	2515	2710	2168
Zemindary.	1 Poonganoor	1374	1374	68	83	9	2	58	202	471	466	398	359	1004	1112	370	262
	Grand Total	6051	6057	343	508	50	105	237	537	877	1049	1464	1428	2971	3627	3080	2430

8541/2

From-

8. From the foregoing statement it will be observed that a greater Number of Tanks received supplies of water than in the preceding Fusly, and that under the head "full" there is an increase of 165 Tanks, but of these a few only are large reservoirs - there is in consequence a small decrease of Revenue in the past Compared with the preceding Fusly.-

9. The heavy rain experienced in September and October proved injurious to Chitta Jonna and Cotton Crops Cultivated in black land in certain localities in the Doovoor Talooks while in other places in the same Talooks, the continued falls of rain that were received upto an advanced period of the Cultivating season prevented the Ryots from sowing their lands. In other Talooks these Crops partially suffered from blight.-

10. The want of rain in November in some degree was unfavorable to the Crops of Bengal Gram and Wheat raised on dry lands in certain parts of the District. The yield of the aforementioned Crops may be considered to average from 1/4 to 3/4 of a full harvest.-

11. The Crops of ear Corn consisting of Sujja, Aurakaloo and Corralo, and of pulse

pulse Viz. Horse Gram, Oil plants, Cumdooloo or Doll, Green Gram, &c. cultivated in Red and mixed lands failed to some extent from the deficiency of seasonable rain and from injury sustained from blight. These Crops however Yielded fully in some places and in others from 1/4 to 3/4 of a full harvest.-

12. The lands dependent under Tanks, in which the Cultivation of Paddy, Sugar, Betel garden, Turmeric, Indigo &c. are usually raised, yielded in some places both the Cartee Kumb and Vysakh Crops from 1/4 to 3/4 of a full harvest dependent on the sufficiency or otherwise of water and the degree of injury occasioned by attacks of blight.-

13 The wet Crops cultivated in anticipation of the Tanks receiving further supplies failed

failed in some measure. The supply of water in the large Tanks of Panta Cuddapah in the Chennoor Talook proved deficient for bringing to maturity the whole extent of wet cultivation raised on lands under this reservoir. Every exertion was used to mitigate the evil by conveying water from the Booga Spring Channel into this Tank by means of Village labour, and measures were adopted to guard against the disproportionate use of water on the part of Cumdars. As was to be anticipated the Crops perished to some extent as did remissions did those under a few other Tanks in the same Talook as well as in Sikhout Vas. Talooks and remission was granted to the Amount of Rupees 921-2-10- as here under particularized

particularized.-

	Rs.	a.	p.
In Chennoor Talook	532	12	3
In other Talooks	388	6	7
Total Rupees	921	2	10

14. The lands dependent on Channels and Wells yielded both for the Cartee Kunn and Vysak Crops in full in some localities but in others only from 1/4 to 3/4 of a full Crop.-

15. The year cannot be considered a healty one. Fever and Ague were prevalent as usual throughout the District. The Cholera which broke out in the District in the preceding Fusly continued to commit it's ravages up to the close of the Fusly and the number of persons that have fallen victims to this disease is computed at about 2800. as exhibited in the following Statement.-

Talooks.-

Number	Taloks.	Fusly 1259. Cases of Cholera occurred during the Fusly	Of which Number of persons died.	Particulars of Columns 3. Of this Number of persons died upto the end of September as per details in the Immumbundy Report for Fusly 1258-	Remainder upto the end of Current Fusly.
	1	2	3	4.	5.
1	Cumbum	239	156	156	"
2	Doopaud	152	65	65	"
3	Budwail	426	283	205	78
4	Immmulmudgoo	421	209	209	"
5	Doovoor	150	96	66	30
6	Koilgoontlah	138	73	71	2
7	Chitwail	492	326	46	280
8	Sidhout	430	261	103	158
9	Chennoor	300	181	181	"
10	Camalapoor	845	513	513	"
11	Goorrumcondah	109	87	"	87
12	Muddenpully	150	100	7	93
13	Poolevendalah	342	174	174	"
14	Royachoty	308	226	25	201
15	Poonganoor	50	50	"	50
	Total	4,552	2800	1821	979

16. The Mortality among Cattle and Sheep occasioned by diseases incidental to them was on a par with the last Fusly.-

17. The following Statement exhibits the average prices of the principal Kinds of Grain, also of Cotton and Indigo during the last 10 years, with a Comparison between those of the last and the preceding Fusly.-

Fusly-

8549 — Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

18 From the foregoing Statement it will be observed that the rise in prices has been confined to wheat and Indigo alone during the Fusly under review. The depression in the prices of other species of grain has been disadvantageous to the agricultural Interests of the Ryots as regards the just remuneration for their labour and Industry and every consistent consideration has been shewn them in the realization of the Government dues. —

19. The following Comparative Statements exhibits the extent and description of land which the Ryots agreed to Cultivate at the begining of the season and the Assessment thereon. —

Fusly.

	Cultivation	Average of the last seven years for the Fusly 1250 to 1256	Comparison of the last column of the preceding Statement
	Measured	Pagodas	Pagodas
1 Rice 1st Sort	251	217	200
2 Ditto 2d Ditto	227	198	180
3 Cuddy 1st Ditto	115	100	90
4 Ditto 2d Ditto	104	91	83
5 Cholam	125	100	85
6 Ragy	118	95	81
7 Sawalu	119	97	83
8 Kaddaloo	93	76	70
9 Corralloo	113	91	78
10 Horse Gram	149	112	91
11 Wheat	300	281	230
12 Bolls	291	194	166
13 Bengal Gram	361	283	226
14 Cotton	58	46	44
15 Indigo	709	614	606

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

	Pagodas 1234	Pagodas 1235	Pagodas 1236	Pagodas 1237	Pagodas 1238	Pagodas 1239	Pagodas 1240	Pagodas 1241	Pagodas 1242	Pagodas 1243	Pagodas 1244	Pagodas 1245	Pagodas 1246	Pagodas 1247	Pagodas 1248	Pagodas 1249	Pagodas 1250	Pagodas 1251	Pagodas 1252
1 Rice 1st Sort	177	240	240	226	214	196	225	229	177	240	226	214	196	225	229	177	240	226	214
2 Ditto 2d Ditto	158	226	219	204	193	178	204	208	158	226	219	204	193	204	208	158	226	219	204
3 Cuddy 1st Ditto	81	81	112	109	103	99	88	102	104	81	112	109	103	99	88	102	104	81	112
4 Ditto 2d Ditto	73	73	103	100	93	88	79	93	95	73	103	100	93	88	79	93	95	73	103
5 Cholam	79	81	125	119	116	106	91	111	114	79	125	119	116	106	91	111	114	79	125
6 Ragy	73	76	116	113	108	99	85	104	107	73	116	113	108	99	85	104	107	73	116
7 Sawalu	78	79	121	120	114	100	89	109	112	78	121	120	114	100	89	109	112	78	121
8 Kaddaloo	61	61	89	83	87	71	72	80	83	61	89	83	87	71	72	80	83	61	89
9 Corralloo	73	72	97	98	88	90	80	91	94	73	97	98	88	90	80	91	94	73	97
10 Horse Gram	85	85	131	131	123	98	84	117	120	85	131	131	123	98	84	117	120	85	131
11 Wheat	203	193	251	302	287	215	232	253	261	203	251	302	287	215	232	253	261	203	251
12 Bolls	169	182	291	323	258	205	166	249	257	169	291	323	258	205	166	249	257	169	291
13 Bengal Gram	231	244	277	333	317	227	207	272	280	231	277	333	317	227	207	272	280	231	277
14 Cotton	42	42	44	44	43	47	43	44	45	42	44	44	43	47	43	44	45	42	44
15 Indigo	570	583	589	583	539	504	555	554	571	570	583	589	583	539	504	555	571	570	583

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

	Pagodas 1253	Pagodas 1254	Pagodas 1255	Pagodas 1256	Pagodas 1257	Pagodas 1258	Pagodas 1259	Average of the last seven years for the Fusly 1250 to 1256	Comparison of the last column of the preceding Statement
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Measured	Measured	Measured	Measured	Pagodas	Pagodas
1 Rice 1st Sort	177	240	240	226	214	196	225	217	200
2 Ditto 2d Ditto	158	226	219	204	193	178	204	198	180
3 Cuddy 1st Ditto	81	81	112	109	103	99	88	100	90
4 Ditto 2d Ditto	73	73	103	100	93	88	79	91	83
5 Cholam	79	81	125	119	116	106	91	100	85
6 Ragy	73	76	116	113	108	99	85	95	81
7 Sawalu	78	79	121	120	114	100	89	97	83
8 Kaddaloo	61	61	89	83	87	71	72	76	70
9 Corralloo	73	72	97	98	88	90	80	91	78
10 Horse Gram	85	85	131	131	123	98	84	112	91
11 Wheat	203	193	251	302	287	215	232	281	230
12 Bolls	169	182	291	323	258	205	166	194	166
13 Bengal Gram	231	244	277	333	317	227	207	283	226
14 Cotton	42	42	44	44	43	47	43	46	44
15 Indigo	570	583	589	583	539	504	555	614	606

8550

Fort St. George

Fasly.

Abstract of Assessments per Statement No 2.-

Cauvery Lands or Dry.-

Lands for Statement No 1.-

Nanjanad Lands or Wet.-

1	2	Total land agreed for. Extent of land. 3	Assessment. 4	Average per acre. 5	Remaining. Land. 8	Assessment. 9	Decrease. Land. 19	Assessment. 20.
		Acres b a	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Acres b a	Rs a ℘	Acres b a	Rs a ℘
1	Dry	12,31,10 35 1¾	12,51,677 9 1	. 1 . 3	94,702 17 12¾	69,645 15 5	" " "	" " "
2	Garden	5,510 5 37 12¾	4,50,401 1 8	8 2 9	3,869 15 10	3,147 6 3 10	" " "	207 2 3
3	Wet "	97,038 " 10¾	90,900 3 9 5	9 5 10	31,392 12 6¾	24,602 12 7	" " "	" " "
4	Poonasah	3,481 20 7¼	17,439 11 8	5 " 2	453 18 12¾	2,673 8 3	" " "	" " "
5	Tuzzy Bagayet	486 39 2	6,258 7 2	12 13 6	28 21 ¾	386 5 9	" " "	" " "
6	Teervajasty	" " "	96,961 4 1	" " "	" " "	1,749 12 7	" " "	" " "
7	Tusuljasty	" " "	1,08,305 10 8	" " "	" " "	22,088 9 4	" " "	1,868 15 4
	Total	13,87,423 13 2½	2,84,004 7 5 9	2 " 9	130,446 5 11	3,74,042 3 9	12,56,977 7½	24,66,005 2 "
							5 " " "	207 6 1 7
1	Black Soil	2,62,344 14 6	4,61,715 7 4	1 12 2	56,362 1¼	10,047 14 1	2,56,708 12 4¾	4,51,667 9 3
2	Mixed Ditto	5,799 60 18 14¾	5,06,399 11 4	" 14 "	54,587 37 15	4,020 7 12 3	52,537 20 15¾	4,66,191 15 1
3	Red Ditto	3,74,152 9 12	2,63,597 15 4	" 11 4	34,366 12 5½	1,923 2 15 6	33,978 5 37 6½	2,44,364 15 10
	Total	12,16,457 3 ¾	12,31,713 2 "	1 " 2	94,590 12 5¾	69,488 9 10	11,21,866 30 11	11,62,224 8 2
1	Tanks	82,013 11 15	7,86,856 4 10	9 9 6	28,171 27 12	2,37,589 14 9	53,841 24 3	5,49,266 6 1
2	Nullahs	27,812 29¼	2,95,513 3 1	10 10 "	3,808 37 3½	32,229 2 11	24,003 55¾	2,63,284 " 2
3	Wells	6,114 0 35 9½	52,596 4 11 10	8 9 8	387 5 8 5¾	34,734 8 3	57,265 27 3¾	4,912 30 3 7
	Total	1,70,966 10 1¾	16,08,334 3 9	9 6 6	35,855 33 5¼	3,04,553 9 11	135 110 16 12½	13,03,780 9 10
							10	404 104¼
	Grand Total	138,742 313 2½	284,004 7 3 9	2 " 9	130,446 5 11	3,74,042 3 9	12,56,977 7½	24,66,005 2 "
							4	404 104¼

20th June 1851.-

1258.-

Reduction of Land left waste in the whole Nº

Ll. 3. 4. and 10. 11.-

3,931 4 6

3,931 4 6

From

Abstract Statement No 2.-

Fusly 1259.-

	Total extent of land agreed for.			Reduction of land left waste in whole &c.		Remaining		Comparison between Col.s 3-4- and 10-11- Increase.				Decrease.			
	Land.	Assess: ment.	Average per Acre.	Land.	Assess: ment.	Land-	Assess: ment.	Land.		Assess: ment.		Land.		Assess ment.	
1	2	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17		18		19	20.
		Acres	Cas Rs a P	Rs a P	Acres	Cas	Rs a P	Acres	Cas	Rs	a P	Acres	Cas	Rs a P	
1 Dry		2.50.18	52 9/12	6 0 6	53 "	1 "	2 9 7 0 0 6	7 "	11/4	7 3 8	1 6				
2 Garden		55.11	4 2 2 9 10/2	4.5 0	193 15	5 8	2 8 4 6 4 0	25	7/2	3 7 2	12 8				
3 Wet		97.7	6 0 24	15 3/4	9.14.11	8 7 5	9 5 7 2 9	28	5 14	9 1/2	2 3 6	8 0 4	10		
4 Poonasah		3.4	8 1 3 6	5 1.7	5 2 9	10 5	5 "	7	4 6	7.30	1 3/4	2.5	9 5	6 "	
5 Turry Ba		4	8 7 35	7/4	6.2	9 4	15 9	12	4 5	39	3 1	5	5 8	" 9	
6 Teervajas		"	" "		99.3	8 0	3 3	"	" "	"	"	"	"	" "	
7 Tusuljasty		"	" "		10.6	4 3	6 11	4 "	" "	"	"	"	"	" "	
		140.7	0 5 8	32 1/2	28.5	4 6	4 9 2	7	2 "	6	13	1 4 3	9 "	15 3	7 5 7 9 9 1
1 Black		26.2	3 7 7	37 11/2	4.6	1 9	5 8 7	3	1	2 2	8 0	8 1	24	2 13	4 9 9
2 Mixed		59.20	9 3 2	14 1/2	5.13	2 3	6 11	8 "	13	10	5 3	3 3	5 8	5/2 3	8 7 8 6 2 4
3 Red		3.8	0 7 6	5 . 3	2 6	6	8 7 3	8 7	"	11	2 3	5 4	4 5	3 8 3/4	2 11 7 4 "
		12.3	5 2 3	6 . 13	12.4	1 2	6 8 11	6	1 "	1	9 6	8 6	2 3	0 10 3/4	7 3 3 9 8 " 6
1 Tanks		83.0	3 6	33 3	7.9	2 6	9 9 4	1	9 8	9	2 5	8 7	0 2	3 6 1/2	2 2 6 1 3 1
2 Nullah		28.0	4 9	12 11/4	2.9	8 6	4 7 11	8	10	10	4 4	0 9	2 3	7 2 1/2	3 5 0 5 8 6 10
3 Wells		6.0	7 3 6	255/4	5.2	2 0	3 3 7	4	8 9	6	4 6	1 2	9	11/4	4 0 2 6 1 5 1 2
		17.1	8 2 2	23 1/2	16.1	3 8	0 7	1	9 6	3	3 4	5 7	6	10 4/4	3 1 2 8 1 9 "
		140.7	0 5 8	32 1/2	28.5	4 6	4 9 2	7	2 "	6	13	1 4 3	9 "	15 3	7 5 7 9 9 1

As per Statement No 1.-
Varaumbdum or Cauttarumbum or Dity.-

		11.38	3 7 3	10 2/4	11.6	7 8	7 0 11	5	1 8	7 7	8 3	7	12/4	9.5	5 5 9 6 "
		57.16	6 9	12 1/2	56.5	9 3	8 1 "	1	0 2	3	2 1	4	5/2	8 4	2 1 5 3 "
		23.9	5 6	158 3/4	2.6	3 5	8 9 4	10	2 3	7	10	2	3	1 3	4 8 7 "
		56.1	2 3	35 10	4.8	1.7	7 1	8	3 "	"	"	"	"	"	" 3.9 3 1 4 6
		137.2	4 6	20 15/4	13.1	2 9	8 1 4	1	1.2	6	0 3	1	6	8.9	7 7 10 4 0 4 10 4/4 3.9 3 1 4 6
		12.7	5 6	19 31 1/2	2.4	7 9	1 6 9	9	6	2.0	0 3	9	2	9 2/4	1 8.5 3 3 1 4 4 0 4 10 4/4 3 9 3 1 4 6
															Net Increase 19.6 3 5 18 14 14.6 0 1 12 10

From

20. From the above Statement it will be observed that in Comparison with the preceding Fusly there has been an increase Amounting to Rupees 16,877-14-5. in all descriptions of lands agreed for with the exception of garden lands and those liable to Fusuljasty under which two heads deducting a decrease of Rupees 2,076-1-7- a net increase of Rupees 14,801-12-10. is exhibited. The increase under the heads of Dry Wet Vegd. is ascribed to Ryots having at their discretion taken up a greater portion of these descriptions of lands from the Ayacut Bunjer than in the preceding Fusly, and to lands liable to Teervajasty having been agreed for in certain localities where tanks received tolerable supplies.-

21. A portion of the garden lands under decayed wells principally in the Chennoor Taloor having been surrendered by Ryots at the time of Villawary owing to their inability to restore the Works, the same has been included in the Ayacut Bunjer- and in other places Comparatively more lightly assessed garden lands having been taken up by certain other Ryots from the Ayacut Bunjer- there has been a trifling increase in the number of acres agreed for under this head, and a decrease in the assessment thereon Amounting to Rupees 207-2-3. There has been a decrease of

of Rupees 1,868-15-4- under the head of Fusuljasty, which is attributable to lands on which two Crops are usually raised having this year been entered in the Villawary accounts as capable of Yielding a single Crop only in consequence of the insufficiency of water in the several Tanks.-

22. It will be observed that there has been an increase in all description of land agreed for with the exception of that dependent under wells Vizt. dry and e those dependent on various sources of e Irrigation- The decrease of Rupees- 3,931-4-6- in the Amount of lands under wells alone is ascribed to certain portion of dry lands which having been cultivated with Garden Crops last year, have this year been taken up for the cultivation of dry Crops in consequence of the deficiency of the means of irrigation by wells, and owing also to other Garden lands having from Similar cause, been cultivated with wet Crop by means of Irrigation from Tanks and thus become made liable to Teervajasty.-

23. The following Statement exhibits the extent of land e actually cultivated and the assessment thereon for Fusly 1259.-
1849-50-

Fusly

8554

8554/2

Fort St. George

4th June 1851.

Abstract for Statement No. 2.

Dry.

Wet.

	Fusly 1258. Total Land Sowid.		Average per acre.	Fusly 1259. Total Land Sowid.		Average per acre.	Comparative between Col.s 3-4- and 6-7- Increase.		Decrease.	
	Land.	Assessment.		Land.	Assessment.		Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Acres	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Acres	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Dry	1,05,482	24 7/4	11,30,085	4 5	1,07,707	4 3/4	11,32,469	7 9	1 1 "	12,624	22 13/2	2,383	9 4	" " "	" " "
2	Garden	4,937	4 23 15/4	4,06,809	5 9	4,954	9 7	4,00,083	3 4	8 3 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	825	14 1/2	6,726	2 5
3	Wet	6,368	12 9/4	6,47,834	12 2	6,997	39 1/2	6,50,269	7 5	10 " 1	131	6 36	7/4	2,434	11 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	Poonasah	2,827	16 1/4	13,758	1 9	2,705	2 5/4	13,891	6 "	4 15 "	" " "	" " "	133	43	22	13	11	" " "
5	Turry Bagayet	4,552	2 14	5,837	5 3	4,444	26 12/4	5,667	1 1	12 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10	36	1 3/4	170	4 2
6	Teeravajasty	"	" "	94,345	9 1	"	" "	96,406	12 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,061	3 2	"	" "	"	" "	" "
7	Fusuljasty	"	" "	84,798	6 3	"	" "	82,182	" 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	2,616	5 4
	Total	11,70,821	9 14 1/2	23,83,469	6 8	11,83,904	5 6	23,80,969	6 9	2 " 2	13,941	19 4 3/4	7,012	12 "	858	23 13/4	9,512	11 11
Dry. 1	Black Soil	2,50,373	4 13	4,43,354	2 7	2,47,598	29 13/4	4,38,912	9 4	1 12 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,774	14 15/4	4,441	9 3
2	Mixed Ditto	4,78,604	35 9/4	4,37,235	8 "	4,88,584	9 7 1/2	4,44,393	9 5	" 14 6	9,979	13 13/4	7,158	1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	Red Ditto	3,10,825	29 1/4	2,29,775	7 5	"	" "	3,16,180	6 1/4	2,30,090	5 "	" 11	7	5,354	17 "	254	13	7 " "	" " "
	Total	10,39,803	29 7	11,10,365	2 "	10,52,363	5 5	11,33,367	9 "	1 " 11	15,333	30 13/4	7,412	15 "	2,774	14	15/4	4,441	9 3
1	Tanks	52,236	5 12 1/2	5,36,891	8 5	54,290	6 10	5,43,258	4 3	10 " 2	2,054	" 14	6,366	11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	Nullahs	23,280	21 14/4	2,57,290	14 11	23,083	15 2 1/4	2,56,411	7 9	" 11 1	9	" " "	" " "	" " "	197	6 12 1/2	879	7 2
3	Wells	55,500	32 12/4	4,78,921	13 4	54,167	18 4/4	4,67,963	3 "	8 10 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,333	14 8	10,958	10 4
	Total	1,31,017	20 7 1/2	12,73,104	8 9	1,31,541	" 1	12,67,632	15 "	9 10 2	2,054	" 14	6,366	11 10	1,530	21 4 1/2	11,838	1 6
	Grand Total	11,70,821	9 14 1/2	23,83,469	6 8	11,83,904	5 6	23,80,969	6 9	2 " 2	17,387	31 11 3/4	13,779	10 10	4,304	36 4/4	16,279	10 9

Net Increase --- 13,082 35 7 1/2 Net Decrease --- 2,499 15 11

E. E. Rave

Dry.
Wet.
Poonasah.

24. There has been an increase in the Assessment of three descriptions of lands actually Cultivated as specified in the Margin and in Teeruvajasty Amounting in the total to Rupees 7,012-12-11 and a decrease of Rupees 9,512-11-11 under the heads of Bagayet or Garden land, Tari Bagayet or wet land Cultivated with Garden produce, Cocoanuts Vet and Fusuljasty, or additional Assessment leviable on one Crop land on which second Crop has been raised. The above Statement thus exhibits a net decrease of Rupees 2,499-15-11.

25. The increase as above detailed has arisen from a certain portion of lands left waste last year having been Cultivated this year, as well as from some lands so newly taken up this year having been brought under Cultivation by Ryots in addition to their usual holdings and is owing also to some dry lands liable to Teeruvajasty having been Cultivated this year dependent on the supplies of water the tanks have received.-

26. In respect to the falling off in the Assessment of Garden land the explanation given for the decrease in land agreed for of this description is not only applicable but is also attributed to certain Ryots leaving their lands waste

Consequently

consequent on adventitious Circumstances, in reference to the season. The decrease under the head "Turry Bagayet" which usually yielded Paddy owing to the declension of certain Co=coanut gardens and that under Fusuljasty is ascribed to the insufficient supplies of water in Tanks.-

27. Of Kadarambum or lands liable to dry Cultivation, there has been an increase of Rupees 7,412-15-11 in the Assessment of Red and Mixed lands, and a decrease of Rupees 4,441-9-3 in that of black lands actually Cultivated. The remark Contained in Para 9 of this report applies in explanation of the cause of this decrease. Of Neeyarumbum or lands dependent solely on various means of Irrigation there has been also an increase Amounting to Rupees 6,366-11-10 in the Cultivation of lands dependent on Tanks, and a decrease of Rupees 879-7-2 on that of lands dependent on channels; which decrease is attributed to the deficiency of the means of irrigation.

In regard to the decrease of Rupees 10,958-10-4 under the head "Wells," the explanation already given in reference to lands of this description taken up for Cultivation is applicable.-

+ Vide Para 22.

28. The subjoined Statement exhibits the remission granted in the past Compared with the preceding Fusly.-

Fusly.

8557.

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.-

8558 Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

Numbers.	Description.	Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Comparison. Increase.	Comparison. Decrease.
		Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
1	Shamelat Punjer	19,369 8 5	38,208 12 3	18,839 3 10	" " "
2	Established remissions	5,54,053 4 8	5,51,101 8 1	" " "	2,951 12 7
3	Punjer Cowle	148 11 6	185 10 2	36 14 8	" " "
4	Usual remissions	3,94,18 7 5	3,74,03 1 "	" " "	2,015 6 5
5	Teerwa Cummi	9,882 3 2	9,496 10 4	" " "	385 8 10
6	Pymaish Cummi	17,945 9	17,960 12 8	2 6 11	" " "
7	Enam Vazza	678 " 6	659 11 4	" " "	18 5 2
8	Loss of Crops	1,402 " 5	921 2 10	" " "	480 13 7
9	Paunee bodly or Sown land washed away and over-flooded by inundation	243 7 5	6,148 4 10	5,904 13 5	" " "
10	Remissions for Jack Trees	8 " 11	8 " 11	" " "	" " "
11	Remission for Topes planted for Charitable purposes	" " "	16 4 11	16 4 11	" " "
12	Ready money remissions	265 11 7	265 11 7	" " "	" " "
	Total	6,27,263 13 9	6,46,211 10 11	24,799 11 9	5,851 14 7
			Net Increase	18,947 13 2	

Rs. as per last year's Report 1,00,130 6 1 6

Deduction of waste land in whole numbers 37,042 2 9 12

Remainder 6,27,263 13 9

Punchum Paunee bodly Sown land washed away and over-flooded by inundation 52,18,7 8

Total of the Punchum Deduction 5904 13 5

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

8558

Under the Head of Shamelat Punjer or deduction from the total Assessment for such portions as have been left waste or uncultivated from satisfactory causes; is comprised lands both of the wet and night for Cultivation with regard to the latter description was as usual granted, while in respect to lands let for Cultivation but left waste remission had been granted only in the employed or reduced Circumstances of some Ryots and to other reasons considered satisfactory and rendering the advancement of the assessment expedient. The remissions had granted under this head in the Fusly under review exceeded that of the preceding one by Rupees 18,839-3-10.- 30.

13- 5. in the Account remitted under the head "Punjer Cowle" and "Exempt" etc the deviation principally to a greater portion of lands having been Cultivated at the commencement of the season within the bed of the Cumbum & also to increase in the large Reservoir receiving additional supplies and to the Tope occurrence in other Villages also to unusual extent of lands to a certain extent occasioned by the overflowing of the supplies channels of this said Cumbum Tank and by two Sluices in the

the Muddenpilly and Doovoor Talooks having burst their embankments. The increase on the remissions granted under the head "Bunjer Cowle" is attributable to certain extent of lands under Tanks in the Doovoor Talook having this year been brought under Cultivation on the terms of progressive Cowle. The increase in the sums remitted for Topes planted for Charitable purposes has arisen from the Tax usually leviable on lands made applicable for this purpose from the Ayacut Bunjer not having been Collected in reference to the Rules for affording encouragement to the plantation of Topes furnished thro' the Board of Revenue.-

31.- The decrease under the 4 heads of established and usual remissions Teerva Commi and Enam Wazzeda has arisen from a small portion of lands liable to these remissions not having been brought under Cultivation, and that under the head of loss of Crops is ascribed to Crops having perished to a limited extent in the Year under review.-

32.- The following Statement exhibits the Gross Assessment the different remissions, and the Beriz for Fusly 1259 in Comparison with the preceding year.-

	Fusly 1258-	Fusly 1259-	Comparison Increase-	Decrease-
Gross Assessment-	284,047 5 9	285,649 2 7	" "	" "
Deduction of waste lands in whole Numbers	37,042 3 9	37,547 9 1	" "	" "
Remaining-	246,005 2 "	247,916 9 6	" "	" "
Of which deduct Remissions as per P. 28-	62,726 3 13 9	64,621 10 11	" "	" "
Remaining Viz:-				
Land Sown	179,026 4 13 2	178,638 13 1	" "	389.1
Waste land paying Tax- On Enamaty Upaun and New well land-	36,510 15 7	31,570 4 6	" "	" "
On Looksaun Dahaky	11,965 7 6	15,018 13 "	" "	" "
Total	48,476 7 1	46,589 1 6	" "	188 7 7
Total land Sown and waste	183,874 14 3	183,295 14 7	" "	578 3 8
Amount of Tanaky Jasty or Concealed Cultivation detected subsequent to the Jumabundy	5,147 8 5	6,952 9 8	1,805 1 3	" "
Total	184,388 12 8	183,991 8 3	1,805 1 3	578 3 8
Jody or Quit Rent	127,824 14 2	127,294 15 "	" "	530 12 9
Enamaty Teervajasty Nearsaurghy &c.	11,703 3 11	13,279 5 10	1,576 1 11	" "
Enamaty Tufferick	2,186 " 2	2,172 1 5 7	" "	14 3 " 7
Total	141,713 2 3	142,295 6 10	1,576 1 11	673 13 4
Grand Total	200,528 14 11	200,220 5 5 1	338 13 2	64 5 7 3 "
Peishkush of Pongamoor and Venkatagery	7,419 8 7 1	7,519 8 7 1	1,000 " "	" "
Grand Total	207,948 6 "	207,740 4 6 2	438 13 2	64 5 7 3 "
			Net Decrease	207 15 10

The-

33- The amount carried to Account subsequent to the Jammabundy under the Head of Tanakey Jasty being the leviable Assessment of lands the Cultivation of which had been concealed, and the sum leviable also for Wysakum or 2d Crop raised in some localities subsequent to the Completion of the Settlement is Rupees 6952-9-8- being in excess of the sum so demandable in the preceding Fusly- there has also been an increase under the Heads of Enamaty Peer-vajasty and Neersarghy in reference to the demand leviable on Dry and garden Enam lands having been converted into wet by means of irrigation from Circar Tanks and Channels.-

34- There has been a decrease under the Head of Waste land paying Tax of Rupees 1,887-5-7- The amount levied under this Head from Ryots who are held responsible under the Rules in force for such lands as they have left uncultivated without sufficient reason, is Rupees 4,589-1-6. The decrease under the head of Enamaty Jody has arisen from certain portion of lands liable to

this

this demand in the Talooks of Chitwail and Doopaud having this year been left waste to which cause is attributable likewise the decrease in the Item of Enamaty Tuffrick-

35 Deducting from the Gross assessment the remissions and adding the Extra Item of Charges Viz. Concealed Cultivation, Punk rent, Enam Peervajasty, Enam Tuffrick and Peshcush of Pongamoo, the actual Settlement stands at Rupees 2,07,404-6-2 leaving a decrease on the net Beriz of the preceding year of Rupees 2,075-15-10-

36 The annexed Statement exhibits the different Items of increase and decrease on the Revenue Settlement of each Talook-

Fusly

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

		Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Cantago for 35 and 36 Col.s
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1	Summulmudgoo	184151 15 8	186110 12 11	1988 13 3	" " "	1 13
2	Doouoor	163681 9 3	165323 9 5	" " "	2357 15 10	1 71
3	Coilgoontlah	200541 2 6	202247 3 1	1706 " 7	" " "	1 37
4	Chitnail	171610 13 "	161675 10 9	" " "	6935 2 3	4 " 8
5	Sidhout	113473 14 1	112178 12 6	" " "	1295 1 7	1 23
6	Chennoor	111118 11 9	108163 4 4	" " "	2955 7 5	2 107
7	Canalapoor	133102 11 6	132982 6 3	" " "	120 5 3	" 5 "
8	Goorrumcondah	121963 3 7	123652 8 7	1689 5 "	" " "	1 62
9	Muddenpully	116830 1 6	116299 2 9	" " "	530 11 9	" 73
10	Poolevendalah	213588 5 3	227996 8 10	14108 3 7	" " "	6 11 11
11	Royachoty	122257 6 "	124922 11 4	2665 5 4	" " "	2 211
12	Poonganoor	59000 " "	60000 " "	1000 " "	" " "	1 111
	Total	17111619 14 1	17205821 0 9	23457 11 9	14494 15 1	" " "
1	Cumbum	116782 10 "	115810 6 9	" " "	972 3 3	" 10 7
2	Doopaud	110501 3 8	100515 10 11	" " "	9985 8 9	9 " 7
3	Budwail	110576 10 3	110149 5 9	" " "	81 " 6	" 1 2
	Total	3678607 11 3	5682115	" " "	11038 12 6	" " "
	Grand Total	20794806 "	20770401 6 2	23457 11 9	25533 11 7	" " "
			Net Decrease		2075 15 10	" 17

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

37. From the above State=
=ment it will be perceived that there has been an increase in the Collections of Summulmudgoo and five other Talooks inclusive of that of Poonganoor Zemindary, and a decrease in each of the Remaining Talooks which has principially resulted in the Talooks of Doopaud= and Chitnail=

38 Annexed is a Statement of different Items of in=
=crease and decrease in the past Compared with the pre=
=ceding Fusly=

Pittbundy

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

	Rs	A.	P.	Rs	A.	P.
Kistbundy Beriz for Fusly 1258				20,79,180	6	4
Increase for Fusly 1259-						
Waste land cultivated as per Columns No. 278 Statement No. 2	50,821	12	1			
Land left waste in the preceding year and cultivated this year as per Ditto No. 281 Ditto Ditto	5,669	4	11			
Increase of Neersarjee as per Columns No. 282 Ditto Ditto	2,153	9	11			
Ditto of Tuffricks as per Ditto No. 283 Ditto Ditto	381	2	2			
Ditto of Quit rent as per Ditto No. 285 Ditto Ditto	508	8	6			
Ditto in the Amount of Peshkush of Poonganoor as per gradation rate as per Ditto No. 86 Ditto Ditto	1,000	-	-	1,11,561	5	7
				21,91,041	11	7
Deduct Items of decrease for Fusly 1259-						
By death of Ryots as per Columns No. 288 Statement No. 2	318	7	4			
By Emigration of Ditto as per Ditto No. 289 - Ditto Ditto	614	9	6			
Relinquished by Poyalkarees as per Ditto No. 290 - Ditto Ditto	588	4	3			
Ditto by poor Ryots wholly as per Ditto No. 291 - Ditto Ditto	3,002	1	11			
Ditto by Ditto partly as per Ditto No. 292 - Ditto Ditto	5,484	-	-			
Land left waste partly by wealthy Ryots as per Columns No. 294 Ditto - - Ditto	13	12	6			
Land left waste for want of water as per Do. No. 296 Ditto - Ditto	26,135	7	9			
Ditto Cultivated last year and left waste this year as per Columns No. 299 Ditto Ditto	72,784	15	-			
Ditto Cultivated, the Crops of which perished this year as per Ditto No. 300 Ditto Ditto	651	8	11			
Ditto Ditto but overflooded in this as per Do. No. 301 Ditto - -	1,903	6	6			
Decreave in Neersarjee as per Do. No. 303 Do. Do. - -	577	-	7 - 9			
Ditto in Enamaty Tuffricks as per Do. No. 304 Do. Do. -	523	-	14 - 9			
Ditto in Quit rent as per Do. No. 306 Do. Do.	1039	-	5 - 3			
				2,140	11	9
				1,13,637	5	5
Remaining demand for Fusly 1259-				20,77,404	6	2

Ste

8566.

Fort St. George 26th June 1857.

39 The following Tabular Statement drawn up for a series of years affords a Comparative view of the Circar and Enam Cultivation since Fusly 1238-1828-29-

Fusly.	Circar.			Enam including Poonganoor		
	Amount of Ayacut.	Amount of Cultivation.	Centage of 2 and 3 Columns.	Amount of Ayacut.	Amount of Cultivation.	Centage of 5 and 6 Columns.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps
1 Fusly 1238	4,23,4,629 15 10	2,19,5556 " 9	51 13 7	2,22,1038 8 10	15,38,376 9 5	69 4 3
2 " 1239	4,23,5286 3 10	2,17,1119 2 9	51 4 2	2,22,1038 8 10	14,84,233 12 8	66 13 3
3 " 1240	4,23,5762 5 7	2,28,3937 1 "	53 11 8	2,22,0787 12 5	15,96,901 11 8	71 4 6
4 " 1241	4,23,7493 13 6	2,19,2710 15 "	51 11 10	2,22,0787 12 5	15,02,079 13 1	67 10 6
5 " 1242	4,23,8722 8 9	1,79,8014 2 10	42 6 8	2,22,0787 12 5	11,88,127 3 4	53 8 "
6 " 1243	4,24,1711 11 3	2,13,9126 10 1	51 9 9	2,22,0752 8 1	16,45,785 12 4	74 1 10
7 " 1244	4,24,4238 1	2,21,4984 4 11	[illegible]			
8 " 1245	4,24,5455 7 10	2,13,2735				
9 " 1246	4,24,6648 9 4	2,05,9962 6 "	48 8 2	2,21,9098 8 8	15,07,551 2 10	67 15 "
10 " 1247	4,24,0526 5 10	2,30,6017 8 8	54 6 2	2,22,6330 10 4	16,96,117 11 11	76 3 "
11 " 1248	4,21,2133 7 6	2,01,6937 4 9	47 8 9	2,22,6330 10 4	14,79,687 3 1	66 7 5
12 " 1249	4,24,3534 14 6	2,45,2901 12 3	57 12 10	2,22,6330 10 4	17,23,946 7 2	77 6 11
13 " 1250	4,24,5037 3 11	2,32,2010 1 10	54 11 2	2,22,6418 3 6	15,91,712 3 11	71 7 11
14 " 1251	4,24,7317 11 4	2,48,1072 12 1	58 6 8	2,22,6397 " 7	17,00,947 1 4	76 6 5
15 " 1252	4,24,7317 11 4	2,30,3921 12 4	54 3 11	2,22,6397 " 7	16,83,143 6 4	72 11 "
16 " 1253	4,26,2820 13 9	2,34,1724 1 2	54 14 11	2,22,6292 10 3	16,25,684 6 8	73 " 4
17 " 1254	4,26,4111 4 3	2,32,3015 13 2	54 7 8	2,22,5696 2 4	16,55,629 10 2	74 6 2
18 " 1255	4,26,4656 3	2,17,6906 12 8	51 " 8	2,22,5602 1 11	14,94,888 15 3	67 2 8
19 " 1256	4,26,6449 12 1	2,51,5758 2 11	58 15 6	2,22,5505 5 "	16,90,085 10 "	75 15 1
20 " 1257	4,26,7506 " 11	2,54,4864 " 7	59 10 1	2,22,5345 1 "	17,28,017 7 3	77 10 5
21 " 1258	4,26,9788 7 9	2,38,3469 6 8	55 13 2	2,22,4591 13 1	16,39,301 14 9	73 11 "
22 " 1259	4,29,1966 12 7	2,38,0969 6 9	55 7 7	2,20,3150 15 8	16,19,701 6 "	73 8 3

8566/2

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

40 It will be perceived that the extent of Enam cultivation has some-what declined in the Fusly under review as compared with the preceding which is ascribed to a slight decrease in the extent of Cirkar land cultivated. Nevertheless the Centage of the Enam Cultivation exceeds that of the whole extent of Cirkar land brought under cultiva=tion in the Fusly in the rates of Pdo 73-8-3 to 55-7-7 Pdo

41 The following statement exhibits the total Enam lands with percentage of Cultivation -

			Total Ayacut	Of which land Cultivated - Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Centage between Cols 3 and 4	Centage between Cols 3 & 5
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	As As	As Ps
Pagodas.	1	Pagodas	53878 7 7	40645 2 2	41817 7 3	75 7 -	77 9 10
	2	Village Goddess	14943 5 -	11863 10 1	11472 12 11	79 7 -	76 12 5
	3	Mosques	7185 1 11	5632 11 10	5802 1 3	78 6 2	80 12 1
			76006 14 6	58141 13 1	59092 5 5	76 8 -	77 11 11
Charitable Enams.	1	Charitable Enams to Brahmins	483729 7 10	401060 8 1	381231 - 7	82 14 7	78 13 -
	2	Ditto Ditto to Inoculumers	79539 13 9	63793 5 10	63151 3 8	80 3 2	79 6 4
			563269 5 7	464853 13 11	444382 4 3	82 8 5	78 14 4
Reddies.	1	Reddy Enam	164284 11 7	131236 3 1	130349 - 8	79 14 2	79 5 6
	2	Curnum Ditto	279676 6 5	231503 12 -	228526 12 7	82 12 5	81 11 5
	3	Sundry Village Servants	44288 6 2	34318 7 8	34617 4 15 10	77 8 11	78 3 9
			886449 8 2	705927 7 5	705060 13 1	79 10 2	79 8 7
Sundry Services.	1	Cuttoodady	203612 8 4	161142 5 11	157490 7 7	79 2 3	77 5 7
	2	Dasanunduns	314301 15 11	164216 8 7	168161 12 1	52 4 -	53 8 1
	3	Zemindary	18123 6 3	11345 9 10 11	13282 9 11	74 4 3	73 4 8
			536037 14 6	338818 9 5	338934 13 7	63 3 4	63 3 8
		Total of four Items	2061763 10 9	1567741 11 10	1547460 4 4	76 - 8	75 - 11
	1	Jody Suruva Enam Villages	141387 4 11	71560 2 11	72241 1 8	50 9 10	51 1 6
		Grand Total	2203150 15 8	1639301 14 9	1619701 6 -	74 6 6	73 8 3
Particulars of Lands.	1	Dry	1028332 13 9	824619 1 9	800810 2 5	80 3 -	77 14 -
	2	Garden	245889 1 6	216809 13 8	200480 13 6	88 2 9	81 8 6
	3	Wet	916533 11 4	587976 1 3	608301 6 8	64 2 5	66 5 11
	4	Poonasa	11024 13 1	8794 12 11	9013 11 2	79 12 10	81 12 7
	5	Turry Bagayet	1374 8 -	1102 1 2	1095 4 3	80 3 -	79 11 -
		Total	2203150 15 8	1639301 14 9	1619701 6 -	74 6 6	73 8 -

8568

Fort St. George 26th June 1851.

42. The Result of the Settlement of all Branches of Revenue for Fusly $\frac{1259}{1849\text{-}50}$ is exhibited in the subjoined Statement.

		Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Comparison. Increase.	Comparison. Decrease.	Centage between Cols. 2-4 and 5.
1		2	3	4	5	6
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
1	Land Revenue — Peishcush	74,198 7 1	75,198 7 1	1000 " "	" " "	1 5 7
	Land Revenue — Inamy Ryetwar	2,005,281 11 11	2,002,205 15 1	" " "	3,075 15 10	" 2 5
	Total	2,079,480 6 "	2,077,404 6 2	1000 " "	3,075 15 10	" " "
2	Abkarry	120,982 " "	123,601 " "	2619 " "	" " "	2 2 8
3	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	15,294 6 11	15,043 11 9	" " "	250 11 2	1 10 3
4	Stamp Revenue	23,740 12 "	26,115 12 "	2375 " "	" " "	10 " 1
5	Moturpha	1,50,169 15 1	1,51,550 " 9	1,380 1 8	" " "	" 15 "
	Total	3,10,187 2 "	3,16,310 8 6	6,374 1 8	250 11 2	" " "
	Grand Total	2,389,667 8 "	2,393,714 14 8	7,374 1 8	3,326 11 "	" " "
				Net increase 4047 6 8		

8569

43. The Causes of the decrease under the head Land Revenue for 1259 fusly are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last, to remarks offered by the Revenue Boards.

44. Abkarry.

The Decrease in Collection and Balance of Revenue of the District are as follows

	Rs. A P
Demand	2,07,404-6-2
Collection exclusive the Farm	1,66,503-8-11
Balance at the close of Fusly	85,900-13-3
Collections to the end of January 1851	1,66,521-14-1
Remaining Balance	Rs. 63,760-9-3.

45. Of the above outstanding balance of Rupees 63,760-9-3 Rupees 15,000 is considered recoverable, the Remainder must remain uncollected.

46. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Land Revenue, as referred to Reports Paragraph 43 and 44 have already been explained.

47. The Causes of the Decrease under the Head Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Stamp Revenue to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

48. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

49. The Collection with Reference to the Demand is very satisfactory.

50. The Balance outstanding is chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance chiefly Connecting to Regard to Land Revenue, particularly stated and the Reasons why the Collection could not be effected are explained in the Report of Fusly 1258 and the Orders thereon.

51. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to the Decrease under Abkarry are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

52. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

53. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

54. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

55. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

56. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

57. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

58. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

59. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

60. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

61. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

62. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

63. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

64. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

65. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

66. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

67. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

68. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

69. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

70. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

71. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

72. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

73. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

74. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

75. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

76. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

77. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

78. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

79. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

80. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

81. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

82. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

83. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

84. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

85. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

86. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

87. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

88. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

89. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

90. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

91. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

92. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

93. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

94. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

95. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

96. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

97. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Sundry Small Farms and Licenses are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

98. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Stamp Revenue are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

99. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Moturpha are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

100. The Causes chiefly Connecting to Regard to Other Balance are explained in Col. 29 of Statement No. 38 of the Report and the Increase under Peishcush to the Boards Proceedings dated 14th November last.

8570

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

Jusulies.	Total amount of balance up to end of Jusly 1258.	Collections during the Jusly 1259.	Remaining Balance.	Remissions under the authority of Government dated 3d August 1850.	Remaining Balance.	Collections up to the end of January 1851.	Remaining Balance.	Particulars of the Balance in Column 8. Doubtful.	Irrecoverable.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1 Jusly 1254	17 4 1	— — —	17 4 1	— — —	17 4 1	— — —	17 4 1	17 4 1	— — —
2 — " — 1256	54 13 3	31 5 2	23 8 1	6 8 4	17 4 1	— — —	17 4 1	17 4 1	— — —
3 — " — 1256	511 14 7	80 14 4	430 4 7	417 4 6	17 4 1	— — —	17 4 1	17 4 1	— — —
4 — " — 1257	2861 14 5	515 4 6	2316 13 11	1918 4 3	398 9 8	78 12 7	319 13 1	133 14 4	185 15 1
5 — " — 1258	126462 4 7	22655 2 6	38072 1	— — —	38072 1	569 3 7	32371 4 6	1788 13 2	1449 1 4
Total	129910 4 11	23312 6 2	6598 8 9	2341 12 9	4256 12 4	648 4 2	3608 11 10	1973 11 5	1635 4 5

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

85[illegible]

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 4th instant with its enclosure, and in reply to state that the Balance of Rupees 59763-11-5 in Collections stated in the Remaining Balance of Rupees 1635-4-5 in the Zemindary of Ellore, is considered doubtful.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) [illegible]
Secretary to Government

To
The Accountant General

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

however the Amount in question shall be realized from such persons as may become legally liable for the same, the Remainder is Composed of Sums due for Fuslies 1257 and 1258 by persons whose property had been sequestered by the Civil Courts in satisfaction of Decrees, and which Sums is required to be re-imbursed from the sale proceeds of the said property and also of Sums due by Individuals who have entered into Stipulations to discharge the Same by instalments after their Crops for the Current fusly have been reaped and of those who are reduced in Circumstances &c. &c. of this Amount, such sums as can be realized with facility within the Current fusly shall be Collected and the particulars of the balance irrecoverable shall be recommended in due Course for writing off the accounts—

50 The Sum of Rupees 1,635-0-5 entered in Column 10 of the foregoing Statements as irrecoverable

Fort St. George 26th June 1851

is due for fuslies 1257 and 1258 by Ryots dead, emigrated and emporerished, and also for sums to be remitted for lands having been left waste but which was entered in the Sum=maabundy accounts as having been Cultivated &c. &c. The aforementioned Sum being Considered desperate I solicit permission to strike the same out of the accounts—

51. The accompanying State=ment No. 9 B will exhibit the details of the Recoverable and irre=coverable balances—

52. The following Tabular Statement exhibits the particulars in respect to the Indigo and Cotton Cultivation of the District during the fusly 1259—

Total

8574

Fort St. George

Total extent and assessment of land cultivated in Fasly 1259.

1		Land. 2 Acres	C	as	Rs.	a	P.	Assessment. 3 Rs.	a	P.
1	Cunlaum	45287	12	3¼	3,14,198	10	10			
2	Doopaud	162126	23	4	2,10,830	6	11			
3	Budwail	92,975	6	7¼	2,21,418	1	3			
4	Sunnimulmudgoo	187,183	32	10¼	3,72,089	5	1			
5	Doonoor	152899	38	12	3,56,357	12	8			
6	Coilgoontlah	205274	11	11½	4,08,820	2	4			
7	Chittwail	64,937	3	5¾	3,05,780	14	4			
8	Sidhout	36282	32	7	2,13,519	11	2			
9	Cheenoor	75427	31	4¾	2,18,070	11	11			
10	Canalapoor	418413	4	13¼	2,43,911	12	"			
11	Goorrumcondah	97,802	27	8½	2,37,936	4	11			
12	Muddenpully	113367	16	6	1,89,899	9	10			
13	Poolevendalah	290,685	33	33¼	3,85,211	6	8			
14	Royachoty	13596	1	35	12,223	8	11	6		
	Total	1868625	30	43¼	39,01,393	9	5			
	Details									
	Dry land Vea	1658284	8	93¼	13,68,250	13	9			
	Wet land Vea	210341	21	11	20,33,142	11	8			
	Total	1868625	30	43¼	39,01,393	9	5			
	Circar land	1183904	5	6	23,80,969	6	9			
	Enam land	684721	24	143¼	15,20,424	2	8			
	Total	1868625	30	43¼	39,01,393	9	5			
	Pongamoor	"	"	"	"	"	"			
	Total	1868625	30	43¼	39,01,393	9	5			

20th June 1857.

Of which land Cultivated with Indigo -

	Fasly 1258 - Land. 4 Acres	C	as	Assessment. 5 Rs.	a	P.	Fasly 1259 - Land. 6 Acres	C	as	Assessment. 7 Rs.	a	P.
	1975	15	10¾	4,607	14	5	1228	9	8¼	2972	5	11
	1000	10	9	2,326	8	7	394	20	½	1346	4	3
	3112	11	11½	15361	4	2	3334	35	5¾	15904	13	9
	1160	27	8½	4221	12	4	1360	7	¼	4045	14	5
	2305	14	10¾	7491	12	10	4301	25	14	10229	7	3
	1457	5	11¼	4585	3	11	1299	30	6	4227	9	3
	2598	34	7½	15357	13	3	4410	13	13¾	29280	8	"
	4755	30	2½	29304	8	10	5078	3	1	31459	9	7
	882	30	14¾	8090	1	11	1267	22	3¼	19814	5	9
	2140	18	14	8184	"	1	3708	3	4½	13560	1	5
	3	30	8¼	30	8	8	1	34	2½	9	14	1
	50	30	6	231	1	6	1	24	8½	12	7	4
	351	10	10	1220	12	2	380	22	5¾	2249	"	7
	397	10	15¾	2450	7	4	458	16	12¼	2597	14	7
	22252	14	12½	101466	12	"	27231	34	6¼	128710	4	2
	1507	7	1	33725	6	3	1825	2	5	39829	2	3
	6575	13	12	67741	5	9	8500	32	11¼	88881	1	11
	22252	14	12½	101466	12	"	27231	34	6¼	128710	4	2
	1506	5	14	73154	8	7	20040	8	11¼	92083	13	11
	6291	7	14½	28312	3	5	7191	25	11	30020	6	3
	22252	14	12½	101466	12	"	27231	34	6¼	128710	4	2
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	22252	14	12½	101466	12	"	27231	34	6¼	128710	4	2
							Net Increase	4979	19	9¾	27243	8	2

D.F.

8574

8575 Fort St. George

Land Cultivated with Cotton

Fusly 1258 — Fusly 1259

20th June 1859

Contage.

	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Indigo Crop. Between Columns 2 and 6	Indigo Crop. Between Columns 3 and 7	Cotton Crop. Between Columns 2 and 10	Cotton Crop. Between Columns 3 and 11
	8		9		10		11	
	Acres	C a P.	Rs.	a P.	Acres	C a P.	Rs.	a P.
1 Cumbum	4236	24 14½	4318	12 11	3124	2 8	2063	14
2 Dospaud	4447	5 9	4072	5 9	2312	29 8	1931	0
3 Budwail	23423	16 6	30777	7 10	23339	3½	35080	2
4 Summulmudgoo	12149	8 6	21491	10 8	9315	10 9½	16454	5
5 Doonoor	28719	12 43¾	41501	11 11	30700	33 12	28994	"
6 Coilgoontlah	"	" "	"	" "				
7 Chitwail	265	12 7¼	1034	" 11	1	24 13	2	13
8 Sidhout	532	38 5¾	554	7 6	377	10 11½	1495	2
9 Chennoor	3979	5 14½	4861	6 2	852	20 "	880	7
10 Canalapoor	96	3 ¼	52	11 10	3186	6 4½	3267	2
11 Goorrumcondah	8232	5 6	4552	15 6	42	9 9½	18	15
12 Muddenpully	4411	17 7	3948	11 6	811	29 7	262	3
13 Poolevendalah	1104	24 13½	592	8 8	4910	" 7¾	3932	12
14 Royachoty					1126	12¼	603	"
	87309	339¼	120183	2 4	82164	36 13¼	119528	04
Detail								
Dry land Cea	87133	256¾	12549	2 6	82010	27 3½	118705	11
Wet land Cea	176	8 2½	1063	15 10	148	9 14¼	822	14
	87309	339¼	120183	2 4	82164	36 13¼	119528	04
Circar land	50655	27	68991	11 11	50166	7 0½	67077	13
Enam land	36654	31 2¼	51191	6 5	31998	8 11¼	51850	9
	87309	339¼	120183	2 4	82164	36 13¼	119528	04
Poonganoor	202	22 6½	350	6 "	200	27 15¼	337	34
	87512	75 15¼	120533	8 4	82368	24 1¼	119865	38

Net Duncan 51140 31 11¼ 66774

1

1 Cumbum
2 Dospaud
3 Budwail
4 Summulmudgoo
5 Doonoor
6 Coilgoontlah
7 Chitwail
8 Sidhout
9 Chennoor
10 Canalapoor
11 Goorrumcondah
12 Muddenpully
13 Poolevendalah
14 Royachoty

Indigo

Fort St. George 20th June 1851.

53- Indigo being classed as Garden crop the land under Tanks cannot profitably be cultivated with this description of produce during the Cartechum or first Crop, when they (Tanks) receive their full supply, but during 2d Crop or 2. Crop when they retain a moderate quantity of water, lands dependent on them as well as those under Channels, wells and Dry lands which receive moisture from seasonable rain are usually cultivated with Indigo. The price of Grain during the Fasly under review having suffered great depression and the price of Indigo greatly increased a preference for the Cultivation of this produce has been given on account of the great demand for exportation to Madras and other places. In consequence of which Lands dependent on

Fort St. George June 1851.

on certain Tanks in Chitwail Taloo which received but scanty supply of water hardly sufficient for the Cultivation of Paddy as well as dry land in the Doovoor and Camalapoor Talooks have been Cultivated with Indigo which shews a large extent of land and assessment during the present Fasly Compared with the preceding one as particularized in the Margin.

	Land-	Assessment-
	A.	C. as.	Rs. a. P.
Increase in the Cultivation of Indigo in Chitwail and 7 other Talooks	6540	30 13½	30632 4
Deduct decrease in Do. of Do. in Cumbum and 5 other Talooks	1561	14 3½	3388 8 2
Net Increase	4979	199 ¾	27243 8 2

54- With regard to the Cotton Cultivation it is to be observed that lands frequently sown with the same produce will not yield a remuneration return, the Cultivators are in the habit of Cultivating Jonna or other Crops for one or two years after the production of Cotton and the 3. or 4th. Year this produce is again sown. In the Cottagittah Talook during the sowing season for cotton a seasonable rain having fallen there has been a considerable increase in the extent of lands cultivated in that Talook viz. Acres 1981-21-¾ assessed at Rupees 4109-4-3 as manifest, whilst in Sidhout and other

other Talooks the increase is considerable, being acres 963-4-11 assessed at Rupees 802-6-6-

55. The decrease in the Cultivation of this description of product in the 10 other Talooks particularly in that of Doovoor — amounting in all to acres 8,091-18-3/4 assessed at Rupees 11,960-9-5 is partly to be attributed to the excessive falls of rain at the time of sowing, which prevented certain Ryots from growing this produce, and the Crops which had been previously sown in other lands were so severely injured as to induce the Cultivators to plough up the Cotton sown, and Cultivate Jonna and other Crops instead. The net decrease under this head during the fusly under review in Comparison with the preceding one is shewn to be acres 5,140-31-14½ assessed at Rupees 8,589-14-8.

56. In Conformity with the Circular letter from the Board of Revenue dated 25th July 1850, Statement No 19 shewing the extent and assessment of land Cultivated with Cotton produce in this District during the Fusly 1259 is herewith submitted —

57. The subjoined Comparative Talookwar Statement shews the extent and assessment of land applied to the Cultivation of Sugar Cane —

Talooks

Talooks.	Fusly 1258 — Land —	Fusly 1258 — Assessment —	Fusly 1259 — Land —	Fusly 1259 — Assessment —
	Acres Cas.	Rupees a P.	Acres Cas.	Rupees a P.
1. Cuntham	148 19 10½	285 3 10	84 24 15½	75 10 4 8
2 Doopaud	3 25 13½	6 6 1 u	3 37 2	6 5 15 u
3 Budwail	122 30 3	185 10 1	21 35½	6 6 5 14 11
4 Gunnalumdgoo	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
5 Doovoor	u u u	u u u	1 14 6	2 4 14 5
6 Coolgoontlah	47 17 15¼	112 3 6 u	25 12 8¼	6 10 11 8
7 Chitwail	u u u	u u u	1 12 11	2 1 u 10
8 Sidhout	8 6 14	12 9 u 7	u u u	u u u
9 Chennoor	3 10 15	5 5 6 5	5 7 14½	8 2 6 3
10 Cumalapoor	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
11 Goramcondah	57 4 38¼	6 18 6 7	6 14 14 13½	8 0 4 4 1 5
12 Muddenpully	163 7 8 3½	168 19 15 5	83 0 16 ½	8 9 3 0 9 1
13 Poalevendalah	183 30 11¼	1 7 5 8 1 9	188 15 14	18 0 1 4 7
14 Poyachoty	180 39 4	2 16 5 10 9	135 18 7¼	14 2 0 1 4
15 Poonganoor	98 4 3 3¼	7 2 4 8 15 9	21 7 3 11 4 3/4	10 8 9 0 14 11
Total	3,900 21 6½	4,025 7 11 2	4,105 21 2	4,00 7 4 3 3

Net Increase 204 30 11½ — Net Decrease 183 10 11

For

58. For the production of Sugar Cane a considerable supply of water is required, it is therefore usually raised on lands dependent on Tanks which have received an ample supply of water and also under channels and wells for a 1st Crop - whilst in some places it is reared for a Cartecknm or 2d Crop. There is an increase of Acres 1238-14-13½ assessed at Rupees 11,615-12-3 in the Cultivation of this produce in Poonga-noor, Goorumcondah and other Taloohs, but chiefly in the former, where advances were made to the Ryots by Merchants for the manufacture of Raujoo Sugar. There is a decrease of acres 1,033-15-2 assessed at Rupees 11,799-7-2 in Muddenpully and 5 other Taloohs attributable to the deficiency of water received in Tanks &c. From the above it will be observed that tho' there is a net increase in Acres Viz. 204-39-11½ yet there is a decrease in the assessment of Rupees 183-10-11.

59. As directed in the Circular letter from the Board of Revenue dated 13th June 1850, a Taloohwar Statement No. 18 prepared in the form therewith forwarded exhibiting the extent of both Cottar and Enam land Cultivated with Sugar Cane &c. is herewith transmitted.

60. The following Statement shews the No. of Sugar Manufactories in this District up to the end of Fusly 1259.

	Goorumconda	Muddenpully	Poonga	Total
No. of Sugar Manufactories upto Fusly 1258	27	20	94	141
Of which deduct No. of Do. since abolished	5	"	15	20
Remainder	22	20	79	121
No. of Manufactures Newly Established Fusly 1259	26	10	20	56
Total	48	30	99	177

In other Taloohs the Cane Cultivation is carried on to a

8582

Fort St. George. 26th June 1851.

extent, but Jaggery alone is manufactured on account of no Sugar Manufactories having been established - The records of this Office shew that Certificates under the Provisions of Act XV of 1849 have been granted from 12th July 1849 to 11th July 1850 for 4,10,200 maunds of Sugar for exportation, being an increase of 1,45,900 Maunds over the preceding July

62 The various points on which information has been called for in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th June 1850 - containing observations on the Jamabundy Report for Fusly 1258 - form a separate Communication -

63. Agreeably to the Instructions annexed to the Circular letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated the 28th August 1849 Statements of lands held by public Servants in the Hoozoor and Taluk [illegible] have been prepared but as errors have been discovered, a reference to the Talook Accountants is found necessary to rectify the respective Tahsildars Statements