

Read the following letter.

No. 45.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

24

Bd. No. 1272.

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah — Para 1.

Submitting Statements shewing the proposals for Abkarry Rents of several Talooks in his District for the ensuing Fusly 1261 and also the average rents for the last 10 Fuslies and requesting that the offers may receive the sanction of the Board of Revenue.

I have the honor to submit the accompanying Statements with reference to the offers that have been made for renting the abkarry of the several Talooks attached to this District for the ensuing Fusly 1261 / 1851.52.—

2. The amount of proposals now made exhibits an increase in comparison with the rents of the Current Fusly of Rupees 14,587.— Principal Items of Decrease are in Cumbum, Zummulmudgoo, Doovoor, Koilgoontlah, Chemoor and Shidout. but the increase in the other Talooks considerably preponderates. the decrease in Zummulmudgoo Talook is principally owing to the loss sustained by the Cultivators during the inundation which took place by the Pennair &ca rivers.



The aggregate amount in the offers now made exceeds the total average of the ten preceding years by Rupees 10,106–8–—

3. I would beg to refer the Board to Para 5 of Mr. Cochrane's letter under date the 29th June 1849 wherein he recommended that neither a person named G. Govindoo nor his relations should be allowed to hold the abkarry rents of the Talooks of Muddenpully and Goorrumcondah on account of the Evil influence he possessed and exercised in these Talooks. The present purchasers of the abkarry rent for the above Talooks is a person named Sheyshadry the elder brother of Govindoo who is now deceased. I have little fear that he will follow his brother's steps as from what I can learn he is a quiet and peaceably disposed person. I shall leave it for the consideration of the Board of Revenue whether the abovementioned Sheyshadry is to be allowed

# Contents




| Board's No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1041 | To Govt. Vakeel | On the Sheivagunga case | 7452 |
| 1225 | From Govt | Destruction occasioned by Storm in Ahmdabad for alleviating the calamity to the sufferers | " |
| 1049 | Order to Cuddapah | Communicating the above | 7467 |
| 1190 | From Vizagpm | On the introduction of Act XXVI of 1850 | 7470 |
| 1095 | From Rajahmy | Observations on the new Salt rules | 7471 |
| 1059 | Pro. to Ad. | On the above | 7474 |
| 1189 | From Rajahmy | Rajpoot tribe | 7475 |
| 1187 | From Bellary | Return of Tahsildars | 7477 |
| 947 | From Chingleput | Relative to the Shrotriem Village of Maminjary | 7481 |
| 1054 | To Govt | On the above | 7486 |
| 1062 | To Chingleput | On the above | 7491 |
| 1073 | Pro. to Trichy | Respecting the course to be observed in trials under Reg. IX of 1822 | 7492 |
| 2579 | From Madura | Further explanation called for on the Settlement Report for F. 1258 | 7493 |
| 738 | From Madura | Grass tax | 7499 |
| 1218 | From Madras | Comparative Statt. of D. C. B. of Ellia Rev. for April 51 | 7509 |
| 1063 | To Accountt. Genl. | To explain the cause of increase and decrease of certain items in the Statt. of Collections in the Madras Presidency | 7510 |
| 1113 | From Rajahmy | | 7515 |
| 1080 | From Nellore | Statements of Salt for exportation | 7516 |
| 1241 | From Madras | | 7517 |
| 1177 | From Govt | Charges for conveying Stamped papers to Bellary &c. | 7518 |
| 1081 | Order to Bellary | Communicating the above | 7519 |
| 1178 | From Govt | Rewards for destroying Snakes &c. | " |
| 1083 | Order to Trichy | Comm. the above | " |

# Contents.


| No | From and to whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1207 | From Govt | Circular Orders of the Sudr & Foujdaree Udalut | 7520 |
| 1208 | From Govt | Selections from Public correspondence published by authority. North western Provinces | " |
| 1202 | From Govt | Arrears of Pension to Sinnian. Sanctg | 7521 |
| 1084 | Order to Tinnevelly | Commg the above | 7522 |
| 1233 | From Govt | Observations on the Settlement Report of Salem for F. 1257 | " |
| 1090 | Order to Nellore | Commg the above | 7527 |
| 1221 | From Govt | Sunnuds for land belonging to Mahomed Moodah | " |
| 1076 | Order to Salem | To forward the above | 7528 |
| 1223 | From Govt | Employment of a Coomastal for the sale of stamps at the Brummadasum Moonsiffs Court | " |
| 1079 | Order to Tinnevelly | Commg the above | " |
| 1226 | From Govt | Observations on the Settlement Report of Coimbre for F. 1259 | 7529 |
| 1085 | Order to Coimbre | Forwarding the above | 7530 |
| 1235 | From Govt | Observations respecting Persons tried for offences under Reg. IX of 1822 &c | " |
| 1238 | From Govt | Directing the Commr of Arrears to furnish unanswered list & the causes of failure of the Settlt of the district of Madulipatam for F. 1258 | 7537 |
| 1237 | From Govt | On the Settlt of the lands in the Cabistalum Estate | 7538 |
| 1080 | Order to Tanjore | Commg the above | 7539 |
| 1110 | From Bellary | Refund of Stamp duty | " |
| 1072 | Pro. to do | On the above | 7541 |
| 1206 | From Kurnool | Balances of Tuccavy, with certain Accounts Particular | 7542 |
| 1082 | Pro. to do | On the above | 7546 |
| 1166 | From N. Arcot | Statement of Vakeels nominated to certain Coomaldars | 7548 |
| 1077 | Pro. to do | Sanctioning the above | 7550 |
| 1190 | From N. Arcot | Translation of Plaints filed against the Collr by certain Curnums & the answers proposed to be made | |
| 1088 | To Govt | Submitting the above | |

# Contents.

| No | From and to whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1200 | From Salem | Advertisement for the sale of lands belonging to revenue defaulters | 7593 |
| 1078 | Pro. to do | Sanctioning the above | 7596 |
| 1243 | From Salem | Establishment appointed to certain attached Estates | " |
| 1080 | Pro. to do | Sanctg the above | 7599 |
| 957 | From Tinny | Division of certain lands between Govt and the Davastanums | " |
| 1074 | Pro. to do | To furnish further information on the above | 7609 |

## Con. 9th June 1858

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1170 | From Govt | Charges for medicines supplied during the prevalence of Cholera in N. Arcot. Sanctg | 7613 |
| 1104 | Order to N. Arcot | Commg the above | 7614 |
| 1107 | Circular | On Sums kept in deposit, & Bills from Accountt Genl | " |
| 1182 | From Govt | Land applied for by the Revd Mr Griffith on the Nilgherry Hills, with certain Observations | 7617 |
| 1098 | Pro. to Coimbre | Forwarding the above | 7621 |
| 1179 | From Govt | Life pension granted to the Widow of the late Head Sheristadar of Coimbatore | " |
| 1099 | Order to Coimbre | Commg the above | 7623 |
| 1209 | From Govt | Disbursement of Cawder Aubeawn's Yeomiah | " |
| 1103 | Order to Madras Kistna | On the above | 7624 |
| 1144 | From Bellary | Price Currents for the Commissariat Departt | " |
| 1101 | Pro. to do | On the above | 7636 |
| 966 | From Cuddapah | On the Cultivation of Cotton | 7637 |
| 989 | From Kurnool | On the above | 7641 |
| 1263 | From Chingleput | Statement of Moolahs attached for Arrears of Revr & the Establishment proposed for its management | 7641 |
| 1097 | Pro. to do | Sanctg the above | 7650 |
| 1081 | From Salem | Respecting the claims of the Moolahdar of Cumbanellore to arrears of quit rent on land &c at Kroomuttoor | 7657 |
| 1095 | Pro. to Govt | Subg the above | 7659 |

# Contents


| Bd No | From and to whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1104 | From Salem | Arrears due on certain Agraharum Villages &c | 7661 |
| 1100 | Pro. to Dº | On the above | 7674 |
| 1134 | From Salem | Copies of vernacular papers relating to the Sub division of the Paparaputty Moolah | " |
| 1197 | From Salem | Copy of a division Register relating to the above | 7676 |
| 1108 | Pro. to Govt | Subj. correspondence on the above | 7677 |
| 1184 | From Salem | Special Appeal Pet. by Mr. Fisher, & Pro. of Sudder Udalut in certain suits, claiming land rent | 7679 |
| 1093 | To Govt | On the above | 7709 |
| 1204 | From Salem | Death of a Rooloomdar in charge of a muttum | 7711 |
| 1105 | Remarks to Dº | Recomg. that the share enjoyed by the above Rooloomdar may be resumed | 7713 |
| 1212 | From Salem | Reporting on Pet. No. 309 of 51 from the Jaghiredar of Muddoogondahully, complaining of the levy of certain taxes | 7714 |
| 1102 | Pro. to Dº | On the above | 7725 |
| 1118 | Pro. to Govt Madura | Relative to the Settlement of the Land Rev. for F. 1259 | 7726 |
| 1192 | From Madura | Absconding of certain parties charged with Homicide and the attachment of their property | 7732 |
| 1091 | Pro. to Dº | On the above | 7738 |
| 1247 | From Coimbre | Payment of arrears made by a defaulter and cancelling the sale of certain land | 7741 |
| 1092 | Pro. to Dº | On the above | 7744 |
| 1291 | From Coimbre | Delivered over charge of the Collectorate | " |
| 1292 | From Dº | Reporting his taking charge of the Collectorate | 7746 |
| 1089 | Pro. to Govt | Subj. letters on the above | 7747 |
| 1261 | From Canara | Return of Tahsildars on the 1st May 1851 | 7748 |
| 1095 | From Malabar | Indent for Blue Cloth for Peons Jackets | 7752 |
| 1096 | Pro. to Govt | Submitting the above | 7756 |
| 1216 | From Madras | Explanation on the remission of Sooloy Revenue | " |
| 1109 | To Govt | Subj. the above | 7759 |
| 1217 | Fr. Barr. Master | Deficiencies in Sixtnes and leakage of Glass | 7761 |
| 1114 | To Govt | Rajpoot Spikes in the Provinces | 7762 |
| 1133 | To Dº | Subj. Statt of Extra Revenue for Fasly 1259 | 7763 |


# Contents


| Board No | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1126 | To Govt | Disbursements on account of Public Works during 1835/6 to 1844/5 inclusive | 7786 |
| 1127 | Circular | Reading examination in the Districts | 7788 |
| 1234 | From Govt | To obtain the opinion of Collectors on Enactment prohibiting Inoculation | 7789 |
| 1139 | Circular | Forwarding the above | 7792 |
| 1268 | From Govt | Requesting the Chief Engineer to prepare a fresh copy of the Map of Malabar | " |
| 1122 | To Malabar | Com. the above | " |
| 1273 | From Govt | Sanct. purchase of Native Almanacs | 7793 |
| 1267 | From Dº | Enam claimed by Saml Dee, and the Enams in the Vencatapheny Zy. | " |
| 1139 | To Nellore | Forwarding the above | 7796 |
| 1266 | From Govt | Directing the incorporation of the proper by. of Rayah Swamy Natchyar in Madura | 7797 |
| 1124 | To Madura | Com. the above | 7798 |
| 1269 | From Govt | To write off the wastage of Tobacco in Malabar | " |
| 1123 | To Malabar | Com. the above | 7799 |
| 1256 | From Govt | Recgn. Mr Gauby for a pension | " |
| 1120 | Pro. to Mr Gauby | Com. the above | 7800 |
| 1280 | From Govt | Calling for reference Rep. on a Pet. fr Nellore | 7801 |
| 1119 | To Dº | Forwarding the above | " |
| 1289 | From Coll. S.C. | Forwarding Add. of 6 to be sold for arrears | 7802 |
| 1111 | Pro. to Dº | Complying with the above; and requesting to forward in future copy of Vernacular Advt. | 7805 |
| 1192 | Pro. to Govt Bellary | Settlement of Sunder. for F. 1259 | " |
| 1272 | From Cuddapah | Subj. offers for Abkarry Rents for F. 1261 | 7824 |
| 1112 | Pro. to Dº | Sanct. the above | 7829 |
| 1242 | From Kurnool | Explanation on Semmalmudy F. 1259 | 7829 |
| 1116 | To Govt | Submitting the above | 7833 |

# Contents.


| Board's No. | From and To whom | Subjects | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1258 | From N. Arcot | Transfer of Pulicat and hamlets to Chingleput | 7833 |
| 1115 | Pro: to Govt | Submitting the above | 7835 |
| 1290 | From Salem | Reporting the Sale of Abkary Farm for 3 years, from F. 1261 to 1263 | " |
| 1113 | Pro. to Do | Sanctioning the above | 7840 |
| 1303 | From Madura | Forwarding Abkary Stat. for F. 1261 | " |
| 1127 | Pro to Do | Sanctioning the above | 7843 |
| 1177 | Pro. to Govt & Sum. | Settlement of Land Rev. in Sum. for F. 1259 | 7844 |
| 1106 | Pro: to Sum. | Observations on Petn No. 824 of 1848 | 7859 |
| 1232 | From Madras | Sub. Estimates for Boat Establishment | 7860 |
| 1132 | To Do | Requesting that Mr Taylor's letter on above be furnished | 7866 |
| 1294 | From Acct. Genl | Explaining the cause of increase and decrease in certain items as called for | " |
| 1279 | From Mil. Board | Intimating that Steel Stamps are ready for disposal at the Arsenal | 7868 |
| 1131 | To Rev. Con. & Bd. | Requesting to forward the above | 7869 |
| 1137 | To Govt. Chingleput | Cultivation of Cotton in District F. 1259 | " |
| 1128 | To Cuddapah | Calling for information on Rpts Nos 1131 and 1132 of 1857 | 7870 |
| 1125 | To Genl Auditor | Forwarding List of Board's Establishment | 7872 |

7452 Fort St. George the June 1851.

# Diary.

3th May Sent the following Memo:

Memo.

Bd. No. 1041
To the Government Vakeel

The Secretary to the Court of Wards requests the Government Vakeel will inform him on what date his answer to the appeal in the Shevagunga case was put in, and what Orders were passed on it. A copy of the answer is requested.

(1)

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, - -
May 30th, 1851. - - -

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

30th May. Received the following Extract

No. 504.

(2)

Bd. No. 1225.

From the Secretary to Government

Forwarding copy of a letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah reporting a great destruction to life and property in his District occasioned by the storm and inundation of the 4th & 5th May 1851 & stating that Govt. will be prepared to give every consideration to such measures which may be proposed for alleviating the calamity to the sufferers.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department, under date the 29th May, 1851.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

No. 12.

To F. P. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to Government in the
Revenue Department
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward herewith

herewith translation of two Reports
received from the Tahsildars of Pa
mulmudgoo and Parlevendalah Tah
conveying the melancholy intelligen
of the destruction of two Villages
entirely, the other only partially
a great sacrifice of human life.

2. From the Urzee addressed
by the Pummulmudgoo Tahsildar
appears that the Village of Chinta
pilly situated near the confluen
of the Pennair and Chitravaty Ri
has been totally destroyed, and
Inhabitants amounting to upw
of 300 persons have perished in
inundation — The Village has be
completely swept away together with
agricultural stock, Cattle, Sheep, a
whole of the produce of the late
-vest, a sandy waste supplies
place where a flourishing Villag
stood a few days before. In the ha
of Repally attached to the same
16 persons have lost their lives

3 In the Talook of Pa
-dalah the Village of Paupalapilly
been partially destroyed by the



of the Chitravaty River — One hundred and forty two houses have been washed away together with many of their inmates, the exact number has not as yet been ascertained, but the Tahsildar reports that 68 Corpses washed ashore have already been discovered. It is difficult to ascertain the actual number of persons who have perished in these and other Villages by this dreadful inundation which has swept this part of the Country in the neighbourhood of Pennair River.—

4. On Friday the 2d. Instant Clouds were observed to gather from the East and Northward directions when slight showers of rain fell on the neighbouring hills Lunkamala &c. these showers became more frequent, and heavy on Saturday the 3d. Instant — On the evening of Sunday the 4th the rain set in heavily, and continued without intermission the whole of Monday. This rain seems to have been very general on the west side of the Lunkamala range, which runs from the bank of Toombadra River as far as Tiruputtur

but

but on the East side the rain experienced appears to have been partial. In Conjeveram the quantity of rain shewn to have fallen by the pluviometer is 3 5/20; but much more must have fallen on the hills to cause such a sudden flood. On the evening of the 6th the Rivers flowing from the west and Northward falling into the Pennair came down in raging torrents, which hardly allowed the Villagers who dwell near their Banks to escape with their property. Besides the above named Villages several others have been washed away, but fortunately the Inhabitants have escaped though with the loss of the property consisting of Cattle grain &c left behind. No such flood has been known in this District since the year 1806. The Pennair River overflooded its Banks in some places to the extent of two Miles beyond its original limits.

5. Independent of the damage sustained by the



of their Cattle and the proceeds of their Harvests, they have suffered severely by the Indigo Crop then in an advanced state being flooded, and completely destroyed. The wells for irrigation of their land have become filled up and a large tract of arable land rendered useless by being covered with a thick coating of sand, stones and gravel in many places one yard deep.

6. In Camalapoor Taluk the irrigating Channel from the different Rivers have been in some cases wholly, in others partially filled up, and similar complaints of the destruction of other descriptions of works caused by the rains and flood have been forwarded by the other Tahsildars, the particulars of which are marginally specified. The Sidhout Tahsildar informed

| Taluks | Tanks Dasa cerca | Tanks Dasa rum dum | Channels. | Annicuts. | Cuttes. | Bridges. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sidhout | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | 1 |
| Chennoor | 3 | " | " | 5 | " | " | 8 |
| Camalapoor | 2 | " | 4 | " | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| Chitwail | 14 | " | 9 | 5 | 2 | " | 30 |
| Goorrumcondah | 7 | 53 | " | " | " | " | 60 |
| Royacholy | 24 | 51 | " | " | " | " | 75 |
| Poolevendalah | 39 | 13 | 13 | 1 | " | " | 86 |
| Total | 110 | 117 | 26 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 272 |

informed this morning that the Inhabitants of one Village were rendered perfectly destitute, their Cattle and sheep had been drowned, and their houses, washed away together with the whole of their property — their lands have been so covered up by sand and stones, that they will be unable to cultivate them in future and small wells have been so completely filled up and covered with sand that it is difficult to ascertain where they were formerly situated —

7. From the scanty information hitherto received it is impossible to form any just calculation of the damages sustained by the Villagers — The loss of Revenue which will accrue to Government from the destruction of Tanks, annicuts and Nullahs together with the large portions of land being rendered unserviceable by being covered with sand will, in all probability be considerable, and it will be necessary to grant considerable remissions to those who have lost all their property in



in late flood, and who have not as yet liquidated the last instalment of said a Statement regarding this and application for the necessary remissions being granted will be forwarded through the proper authority. —

8. The accompanying statement shews the meteorological Register kept by the Medical Officer in charge of Cuddapah. —

Cuddapah, Collectors Office, 20th May, 1851.

/Signed/ M. Murray
Acting Collector.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Translation of an Urzee addressed to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah by Veroomulrow Tahsildar of Tummulmudgoo Taluk dated 10th May 1851

After usual respects.

I have already brought to Your notice by Urzees Nos. 384 and 385 the circumstances connected with the inundation of Pinakine River, and the following are the particulars of communication received

received from the Carkoons and other the subject:-

1 Letter purporting that all the houses in the Village of Choutahully fell down and were levelled to the ground, the Cattle had been washed away, and above 300 persons (both male and female) have perished, and that all the crops were lying on the ground excepting a few which might have been washed away-

1 Ditto- that all the houses at Rapully a hamlet of the above Village had been washed away and of the People in the Village only 16 drowned, that the Vysakum crop was inundated, and the irrigating channels destroyed.-

1 Ditto that the houses in the Village of Yatoor were demolished- no loss of lives- Certain crops were washed away and a portion of the channel damaged.

1 Ditto. that all the houses in the Village of Gundloor swept away, and that corn and Cattle are alive-

Letter



1 Letter that some of the houses in the Village of Gunapoor fell to the ground, and the remaining houses as well as men and Cattle are in good condition-

1 Ditto that all the houses excepting 4 in the Village of Moochoomurry were levelled to the ground and the channel damaged.

1 Ditto. that the fields and channels in the Village of Yendamala Chintala and Bedoothoor have been inundated-

1 Ditto. that some of the houses in the Village of Bootkapalaum and Out-kapoor were destroyed and that wells were filled up.-

1 Ditto that the lands in the Village of Goodumchervoo were covered with sand the crops washed away, and the channels injured-

1 Ditto that 52 houses have been entirely demolished in the Village of Codoor, lands overflooded, and wells filled up.-

Letter

Fort St. George — June 1851.

1 Letter that several houses had fallen in the Village of Seereddy Cattapully and that cotton and grain washed away.

1 Ditto that a few houses in the Village of Yerragoody were destroyed, the inmates thereof were so much alarmed that they intend quitting the Village.

of Pedda Pasaopoola were overflooded.

1 Ditto that certain sheep died from the effects of the Storm in the Village of Gollala Osspalapand.

1 Ditto that the Village of Peddondla was surrounded by the flood, the people became alarmed, and fled to some high ground.—

All the above communications as per separate list are herewith forwarded. Of the Villages aforementioned Coutapully met with a severe catastrophe. From this inundation certain people and Cattle in Villages situated on the bank of the rivers have suffered severe injury.— Though most of them are by the grace of the Almighty, Safe their houses and the whole of their pro-



Fort St. George — June 1851.

has been washed away in the flood: they are reduced to such extremity that they are deprived of means of subsistence even for a single meal, and thus they are much alarmed and apprehensive. Owing to the severe calamity the people thus met with much obstacle is thrown in the way of collecting the Circar dues. I apprehend that much hardship will also be felt in bringing into Cultivation next year such lands as have been overflooded and thereby caused loss to the Ryots— consequently I have represented the circumstances that have transpired— I will also proceed to those Villages and represent to You the state of affairs.—

/Signed/ Timmiroy,
Tahsildar.

/A true translation/
/Signed/ M: Murray
Acting Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government
Translation

Translation of an Urzee addressed to
Mr. Murray Esqr. Acting Collector
Cuddapah by Gooroo Row. Tahsildar
of Poolevendalah Taluk under date
the 10th May 1851.

After usual Compliments
From a letter addressed
me by the Reddies and Curnums of
Purlapully in this Taluk it appears
that at about midnight on Monday the
4th Yasakasooddum, the overflowing of
the Chittravaty River reached the
Fort and Bazar of the Villages, and
washed away about 200 Houses
with property, Cattle, and men, those
independant of the people who have
saved their lives by getting over the
Heaps of Paddy Straw, Walls, Trees,
and Hedges, 62 men who were found
dead among the Trees on the Hills
and Fields of Goorakavandlapully
attached to a neighbouring District
/Bellary/ who were identified as
belonging to the Village Paurlapully
and the circumstance reported to the
D.C.
that they the Reddies and Curnums



forwarded a List of the said deceased
persons, and another shewing the Nos of Houses
washed away by the inundation, that
both the large Cirkar Channel and
Cotta Calvah Channel were filled up
with a large quantity of sand and
the Paddy and Indigo Crops under
them, and also all other channels
were covered with sand, that as the Streams
of Covavumka and Paulagere Vemkah
discharged their flow of Water into the
River, the Wells situated in the Lands
on the border of the River and those
around the Village were not only
entirely filled up to the level of the
ground, but also the heaps of pro-
duce reaped under them, heaps of
Cholum Straw, Paddy Straw, &c were
washed away, and the Cattle which
were tied up in the Houses were
drowned, and the Sheep which had
been driven into the Garden Houses
were also carried away. I have brought
these circumstances to your notice
and forwarded to your goodness
the Letter with 2 Lists received from
the

7465

Fort St George — June 1851

the Villagers - From the said Lists it will appear that 142 Houses with mud and Cattle were washed away and that the bodies of 62 persons were discovered - I am on my way to that Village with a view to hold an enquiry into the matter and I shall report to you hereafter the state of circumstances on my personal inspection - The Village Pedda Cottah also is situated very close to the River in question but I have not as yet heard any thing from the Villagers about it - This Village is also in the vicinity of the said Pauliapully - I shall on my personal inspection of the Village make a report regarding it - I am given to understand that the Banks of Bookkapuntnum and Durmavaram situated in the Bellary District burst the River received unusual freshes and thereby the Villages situated on the Banks of the River have been swept away - I have therefore represented these circumstances to you.

(Signed) Gomer Rice
Tahsildar

(A true Translation)
(Signed) M. Stewart
Sd Clerk

(A true Copy)
(Signed) J. Cogan
Asst to Collr
Md Govt

Fort St. George — June 1851

(Continued)

Meteorological Register kept at the Station of Cuddapah for the Month of May 1851.

| Days of the Month | At 10 A.M. Barometer | | | | | | At 4 P.M. Barometer | | | | | | At 10 P.M. Barometer | | | | | | Thermometer exposed to the Rays of the Sun at 4 P.M. | Rain gauge inches and cents. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Inches | Cents | Direction of the Wind | Temperature of the Air | Inches | Cents | Inches | Cents | Direction of the Wind | Temperature of the Air | Inches | Cents | Inches | Cents | Direction of the Wind | Temperature of the Air | Inches | Cents | | |
| 1 | 29,424 | 8,400 | East | 84° | 29,432 | 8,400 | 29,432 | 8,400 | East | 84° | 29,432 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,900 | East | 84° | 29,520 | 8,900 | | |
| 2 | 29,400 | 8,500 | D° | 84° | 29,400 | 8,400 | 29,400 | 8,400 | D° | 84° | 29,400 | 8,400 | 29,480 | 8,500 | North | 84° | 29,480 | 8,500 | | |
| 3 | 29,340 | 8,400 | West | 84° | 29,312 | 8,400 | 29,340 | 8,400 | North | 84° | 29,312 | 8,400 | 29,350 | 8,400 | D° | 84° | 29,350 | 8,400 | | |
| 4 | 29,340 | 8,000 | South | 84° | 29,280 | 8,000 | 29,340 | 8,000 | West | 84° | 29,280 | 8,000 | 29,340 | 8,400 | West | 84° | 29,340 | 8,400 | | |
| 5 | 29,340 | 8,400 | West | 84° | 29,350 | 8,400 | 29,340 | 8,400 | D° | 84° | 29,350 | 8,400 | 29,340 | 8,400 | Calm | 84° | 29,340 | 8,400 | | |
| 6 | 29,520 | 9,000 | | 84° | 29,502 | 9,000 | 29,520 | 9,000 | | 84° | 29,502 | 9,000 | 29,590 | 9,000 | | 84° | 29,590 | 9,000 | | |
| 7 | 29,520 | 8,500 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,500 | 29,520 | 8,500 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,500 | 29,590 | 8,500 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,500 | | |
| 8 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 9 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 10 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 11 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 12 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 13 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 14 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 15 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 16 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 17 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 18 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 19 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 20 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 21 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 22 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 23 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 24 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 25 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 26 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 27 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 28 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 29 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 30 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |
| 31 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,520 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,502 | 8,400 | 29,590 | 8,400 | | 84° | 29,590 | 8,400 | | |

Height of the Barometer and Thermometer on May 5th at the following hours

| | Barometer | Thermometer |
|---|---|---|
| 6 A.M. | 29,302 | 81° |
| 10 | 29,350 | 84° |
| 4 P.M. | 29,228 | 84° |
| 5 | 29,120 | 84° |
| 6 | 29,112 | 84° |
| 7 | 29,108 | 84° |
| 8 | 29,200 | 84° |

Cuddapah — 14th May 1851

(Signed) Gomer Rice
Tahsildar

(A true Copy)
(Signed) J. Cogan
Asst to Collr
Md Govt

(Signed) G. Bycroft
Collr to Govt

&c

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has received with much concern the account contained in the above letter of the destruction to life and property in the Cuddapah District occasioned by the Storm and inundation of the 4th and 5th Instant, He will be prepared to give every consideration to any measures which may be proposed by the local authorities this the Board of Revenue for alleviating the calamity, to the sufferer, by the grant of advances for rebuilding their houses and replacing their agricultural stock by the remission or postponement of the public demands or by any other means which may appear advisable under the circumstances.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Bycroft
Secy to Government

Bd No 1049
Order thereon,

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah for his information and guidance.

2-3



At a Meeting Present

Monday 2d June 1851

J. Goldingham Esqre Second member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior member
Absent on duty as Commr Northern Circars

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract

No 453.

Bd No 1183.
From the Chief Secy to Govt
4

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Department dated 22d May 1851.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay.

Written copies of the Report of the proceedings of the Board of Education for the year 1849. } Here enter 30th April 1851

Resolved that the Ten copies of the Report referred to in the foregoing letter, be distributed as follows.

3. Copies to the President and Governors of the Madras University.

2 Copies to the Medical Board for the Medical school
1—" — to the Private Secretary to the Right Honble the Governor
1—" — to the Board of Revenue
3—" — to be lodged in the Government Office.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(True Extract)
/Signed/ W.H. Montgomery
Chief Sec.y

4-5

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

---

Read the following Memo:

B.d No 1215
From the ac.g Dep.y Sec.y to Government
6

Official Memo:

The acting Deputy Secretary to Government has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to forward to this office for reference the Proceedings of the Collector of North Arcot and the Board of Revenue above noted to in the Endorsement of the Board dated 10th March 1851 on the Petition No 2651 of 1850.

Fort St. George
27th May 1851

/Signed/ J.D. Sim
Act.g Dep.y Sec.y

Ordered that the follow



Memo: be sent in reply.

B.d No 1065
To the ac.g Dep.y Sec.y to Govt

6-7

From Coll: 17th July to 8th aug.t 50
Pro: to D.o 8th august —"—
From D.o 13th aug.t to 9th Sept.r —"—
To - D.o 9th September —"—
From D.o 19th Sept.r to 25th Nov.r —"—
Pro: to D.o 21st November —"—
From D.o 21st Dec.r 50 to 10th march 1851
Pro: to D.o 10th March —"—

Official Memo:

With reference to the Memo: from the acting Deputy Secretary to Government dated 27th May 1851 the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to him the correspondence noted in the margin having reference to the case of the Petitioner in Petition No 2651 of 1850.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2d June 1851

/Signed/ W.H. Bayley
Secretary

---

Read the following letter

B.d No 1190
From the Collector of Vizagapatam
8

No 27.

To W.H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 12th Instant, and to inform you that your communication of the 30th January last received my immediate attention, but I have been much absent from the

the Hoogoor Station since then, and in the interval both my Assistants have been changed. The only place in this District which could afford an opening for the introduction of the provisions of act XXVI of 1850, is the Town of Vizagapatam. I have offered suggestions in quarters likely to take up the question with interest, but hitherto nothing definite has been proposed to me.

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 19th May 1851

Signed / A. Robertson
Collector

Order thereon.

8-9

Ordered to lie over.

---

Read the following letter

No.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

9A

B. No. 1095.
From the Collr of Rajahmundry
Forwarding certain observations on the new Salt rules.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 23d December last forwarding the new Salt Rules I have the honor to state that the [illegible] the whole they appear [illegible]



calculated to give satisfaction, there are one or two which in practice are found inconvenient here, and I therefore solicit that the Board will, under the powers vested in them permit me to modify them in the manner hereinafter mentioned.

2 Rule 11 allows the wholesale dealer, willing to purchase Salt by the heaps, and to take as it stands the next in order to have it at a reduced price. If the wholesale dealer is entitled to claim as a right the heaps next in order at the time he makes his offer, I shall be unable to prevent the frauds that will inevitably occur thro' the connivance of the Salt Servants. The wholesale dealer will arrange with the Daroga to have certain heaps stored especially for him, and will postpone his offer of purchase until the heaps next before the one he wants is in course of sale. All dangers of fraud will however be completely obviated by the Daroga reporting to me whenever a wholesale offer is made, and by the selection of the particular heap to be delivered without measurement to

to such wholesale dealer being made by myself.

3 Rule 18 provides that not less than 5 Mercals of Salt shall be sold to any one person. Tho' this rule may be convenient enough in distrits where bodies carry their burthens on their heads, it is particularly inconvenient here where the cavady is universally adopted. 5 Mercals is more than a man can carry, but is not a load for two. Each body carried with ease one maund, or 3 Mercals 4 Seers, the price of which is one Rupee, and prior to the Board's orders of the 27th May 1850, this was the limit. In my letter of the 2d august 1850 I pointed out the advantages of so fixing the limit, and tho' the Board have as a general rule fixed it at a higher rate, I request that a special exception may be made for this District.

Rajahmundry District
Alamore
6th May 1851

/Signed/ T. Prendergast
Collector.



B2 N. 1059
Proceedings
9A-10

thereon. The Board observe that the rule which requires that the sale of Salt shall take place in the order of the number attached to the heaps was framed in order to check fraud. As a long period must intervene between the storing and sale, and as wholesale Dealers generally come from a distance, and cannot regulate their movements with reference to transactions contingent on a varying demand, the Board in drawing up the rule saw no reason to apprehend that collusion between that class and the Darogah would take place. They will not however object to the Collector adopting the mode of selection proposed by him, where he may see cause to suspect such collusion.

2. The Board are averse to the introduction of the fractional parts of a Mercal, and wish to confine the sales to entire Mercals. If however the maund has as stated to the Collector, been hitherto made use of in retail sales, he is at liberty to adopt it

it in cases where the purchase of a full
mercal would be inconvenient to petty
dealers who only require one cooly
load of Salt.

---

No 11.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

B. No 1189.
From the Collr of
Rajahmundry }
11

Sir

In reply to your
letters of the 30th January
and 12th Instant, I have the
honor to report that there
is no Branch of the
Rajpoot Tribe existing in this
District.

Rajahmundry District,
Bendamoorlunka,
20th May 1851 } (Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Order thereon,
11-12

Ordered to be

over

Read



Read the following letter

No 109.

To the Collector of
Nellore.

B. No 1245.
From the Collector
of Nellore.....
13

Sir, I have the honor to request
that you will be so good as obtain for
me leave of absence on private affairs for 15
days to visit the Presidency under Section XI
(amended) of the absentee Rules.

Ramapatam,
29th May 1851 } (Signed) C. T. Arbuthnot
Acting Sub Collector.

The Collector sees no objection
to the leave of absence solicited in this ap-
plication being granted.

Nellore,
Collector's Cutcherry,
30th May, 1851 } (Signed) D. White
Collector.

Order thereon.
13-14

Ordered to be submit-
ted through the channel of the Govt
Auditor for the favorable consideration of
Government.

---

Read the following Return

Return

Fort St George 2d June 1857.

7477

15 B. No. 1187. Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Bellary as they stood on the 1st May 1857.

| 1 Names and Place of Birth. | 2 Caste. | 3 Age. years | 4 Talooks. | 5 Amount of Collection. Rupees | 6 Pay. Rs | 7 Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. years | Month | 8 Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate. years | Month | 9 Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment | years | Month | 10 Total length of Service. years | Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| D. Vencat Row of Dhurmavarum | Bramin | 50 | Bellary | 2,43,932 | 130 | 3 | 6 | 14 | | Hoozoor Cutchery Goomastah of Bellary | 3 | 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Goomastah in the Board of Revenue | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Talook Sheristadar | 8 | 4 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 13 | 9 | | |
| Raughavendra Row of Chintamanepett | Do | 43 | Rumplee | 1,42,587 | 85 | " | 2 | 11 | 1 | Talook Clerkoon | 5 | 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Goomastah | 5 | 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Paishkar | 4 | 7 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 16 | " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 27 | 1 |
| Nursing Row of Coordee | Do | 54 | Burpunhully | 1,39,846 | 100 | " | 10 | " | 10 | Killah Court Goomastah | 2 | " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Goomastah of Hoozoor magistrate | 3 | 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Moonshee | 8 | 10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Police Ameen of Bellary | 1 | 4 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Talook Sheristadar | 18 | 10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 34 | 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 35 | 3 |
| Terosmul Row of Halasharee | Do | 42 | Koodleghee | 1,93,764 | 110 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 11 | Sub Collector's Cutcherry Goomastah in the Killah of Darwar | 4 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Moonshee in the Do | " | 7 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Clerkoon in the Assistant Collector's Cutcherry of Do | 6 | 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Moonshee of Bellary District | 1 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12 | 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 19 | 7 |

Fort St. George 2d June 1851

| Names and place of Birth. | Caste. | Age. | Talooks. | Amount of Collection | Pay. | Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook | Total Length of Service as Talsildar in this Collectorate | Employments previously to appointment as Talsildar and Length of Service in each employment. | Total Length of Service. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | years | | Rs. | Rs. | years Month Days | years Month Days | years Month Days | years Month Days |
| Ramachendra Row of Tandpatry | Ramia | 57 | Bouvenhudgaly | 139731 | 90 | 4 1 4 | 1 | Talook Carkoon 7 11<br>Do. Paishkar 3 6<br>Police Ameen 4 3<br>Talook Sheristadar 19 8<br>35 4 | 39 5 |
| Ramaswamy of Arnee | Do. | 57 | Adoine | 306192 | 130 | 10 24 | | Talook Peishkar 3 4<br>Do. Sheristadar 10<br>4 2 | 28 2 |
| K. Sashagery Row | Do. | 49 | Goollem | 173203 | 100 | 6 7 17 | 7 | Hoor oor Head Goomastah 2 2<br>Do. Sayer Sheristadar 9 3 | |
| Bheem Row of Moondregy | Do. | 27 | Cunchipollem | 214289 | 110 | 10 5 | 4 | Collector's Cutcherry Goomastah in the Hillah of Belgaum 2<br>Moonshee Goomastah in the Do. 1 1<br>Magistrate Do. in the Do. 2 1<br>Moonshee Goomastah in the Do. 5<br>Magistrate Moonshee in the Do. 3<br>Head Moonshee and Translator in the Guntoor District 10<br>4 10 | 10 2 |
| Hundul Row of Poppalaor | Do. | 59 | Gooty | 189169 | 130 | 3 6 | 27 6 | Talook Goomastah 5 11<br>Do. Carkoon 4 10<br>Do. Sheristadar 1 6<br>12 3 | 39 9 |
| R. Looba Row of Madugserrah | Do. | 54 | Jadapee | 136736 | 90 | 1 6 | 18 4 | Talook Sheristadar 7 | 25 4 |
| Rasee Row of Jungum cottah | Do. | 35 | Raidroog | 166638 | 120 | 3 6 | 20 10 | Talook Moonshee 1<br>Do. Karkoon 6 8<br>Do. Paishkar 4 10<br>12 6 | 33 4 |

Fort St George 2d June 1851

| Names and place of Birth. | Caste | Age | Talooks. | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate | Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each employment. | | | | Total Length of Service. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | | 10 | |
| | | years | | Rupees | CRs | years | Month | years | Month | | years | Month | years Month |
| Venkut Row of Chem-danum | Bramin | 47 | Tandjaputty | 1,64,749 | 100 | 7 | 4 | 18 | 9 | Talook Maramut Mootaddy | 4 | 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Moonshee | 3 | 9 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Carkoon | 2 | 9 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Paishkar | 2 | 9 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 28 3 |
| Canoomunt Row of Balagooppah | Do | 55 | Anuntapoor | 1,67,860 | 110 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 11 | Goomastah of Mooftee Court of Bellary | 1 | " | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do of Killah Court of Do | 2 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Sub Collector's Cutcherry Head Goomastah of Rau-Moonshee in the Do | 2 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Political Moonshee in the Do | 23 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 29 | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 35 9 |
| Venkut Row of Kistna-gerry | Do | 49 | Pengondah | 1,48,717 | 100 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 10 | Talook Goomastah | 8 | 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Peishkar | 2 | 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Sheristadar | 8 | " | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 19 | 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 30 2 |
| Naranapah of Nuns-galapoor | Do | 39 | Hodekondah | 1,10,936 | 85 | " | 7 | " | 7 | Davastanum Goomastah in Tanjore Killah | 2 | 2 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Goomastah in Do | 4 | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Maramut Goomastah of the Sub Collector's Cutcherry in Do | " | 7 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Talook Sheristadar in Do | 3 | 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Cashkeeper in Bellary | 9 | 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 20 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 20 8 |
| Teroomul Row of Pen-gondah | Do | 52 | Madugserah | 1,07,804 | 85 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | Talook Carkoon | 15 | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Do Sheristadar | 14 | 8 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 30 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 33 4 |

7481

Fort St. George 2nd June 1851.

Read also the following letter
No. 58.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir.

17

B.d No. 947.

From the Collector of Chingleput ...

Affords the further information called for in the Board's Proceedings dated 22nd February 1851 on the subject of the succession of the present Incumbent to the Shrotriem Village of Mamunjary.

Para 1. I have the honor to afford the information called for on the several points noticed in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th February 1851 having reference to my letter dated 1st February last on the subject of the succession of the present Incumbent to the Shrotriem Village of Mamunjary.

2. In respect to the wishes alleged to have been expressed by Soobalutloo (shortly before his demise) regarding the adoption of Veerasawmy no written document is to be found bearing on the subject, his instructions were conveyed verbally as would appear from the Mahazurnamah said to have been produced by Soobummall widow of the late Soobalutloo before the Tahsildar requesting that the Village may be registered in her name conjointly with that of the said Veerasawmy a Boy then 2½ years.



Fort St. George 2nd June 1851.

Names and Place of Birth | Caste & Age | Tahsildar's Collection | Amount

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Chas Parichah of Cala | Years | Rupees Chuckrums 52 Dhuminums 10 | 110 |

Total Rupees 52-10-3

Ordered that the Collector of Chingleput be informed in reply to his letter from the Collector of Salem dated 21st ult. and entered in Consultation of this day that the Board are not aware of any Regulation or Rule which would prevent the Collector of Salem from granting the Certificate of Service applied for by Nullaya Collector Post Office ... } Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 21st February last.

Ordered that the Memorandum be recorded.

Nullaya, Collector Post Office ... }
Circuit, at Ramandroog, 2nd May 1851 }
Memorandum

Total Rupees 52-10-3

Ordered thereon

15-16

Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Collectorate

Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate

Employments previously to & about the present Appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each employment.

| Years | Months | Days | Service |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 1 | | 5 1 |
| | | | Sayer Moodeliady 6 |
| | | | Tahsildr Mannamt Tyumulah 5 4 |
| | | | Sayer Do Do 10 1 |
| | | | Tahsildr Thuttaday 7 7 |
| | | | 23 8 |
| | | | 28 7 |

years old whom she had adopted.

3. The 2d adopted son named Appasawmy is alive and is the Petitioner referred to in my last letter he now lays claim to the Shrotriem Village by virtue of the adoption and of the Registry in his name in March 1827. The adoption appears to have been then recognized but most probably not during the life time of the party first adopted there being reasons to conclude that Veerasawmy died prematurely and that the transaction in reference to his prior adoption was intentionally suppressed. The circumstances connected with Appasawmy's adoption was brought to light on the investigation of the case recently made.

Para 3.

4 The record of the case does not show when the third adoption of the present Incumbent Yegya Iyer under the fictitious name of Appasawmy took place no notice however of the transaction appears to have been given to the late Collector as required in the Notification published in the Fort St. George Gazette 16th March 1846. As regards the delay in the Registry until three Months after the demise of the adoptive Mother it appears that the Tahsildar's Urzee reporting her death was received on the 3rd April 1848 and that orders requiring the attendance of the Parties were issued on the 9th May.

30th March 1848.



5. Referring to the last point on which explanation is required touching the circumstances under which the claims of Yegya Iyer were admitted, I beg to state that the depositions of three of the residents and of the Conicopillay of the Village affirming that this Individual was the Appasawmy in whose name the Shrotriem had been jointly entered with that of Soobummah was supported by the Tahsildar in a report received from him though from all the circumstances bearing on the case a gross fraud has evidently been practised.

30th March 1848.

6. It is to be observed that although these Parties now contradict their former Statements and aver that Soobummah to the best

best of their Knowledge adopted her sisters son
they have evidently committed perjury in the
latter depositions as their former Statement
is corroborated by that of Soobbummah herself
whose Urzee addressed to Hoozoor on the 26th Febry
1827 distinctly contains the avowal that her late
Husband had advised her to adopt his cousin
one Appasawmy the 3d son of Venkatarayer a
Statement further supported by the Takeed yoused
by the then Collector Mr. Vineash on the 13th March
1827 Sanctioning the adoption of Appasawmy and
distinctly designating him as the cousin to
the deceased Incumbent. It is indisputably shewn
that the present Incumbent Yegya Iyer alias
Appasawmy is not the cousin to the late Shro-
triemdar, but the nephew in the female line to
the widow Soobummah and as the Petitioner
Appasawmy is the bonafide cousin to Soobba-
dasty in whose name the Shrotriem had
already been transferred there is no
doubt but that Yegya Iyer has since he



succeeded to the Shrotriem assumed the name
of Appasawmy.-

7. It is to be observed that the
+ Tahsildar in reporting the demise of the widow
30th March 1848. recommended the continuance of the Shrotriem
in the name of Appasawmy as the rightful
heir while a document accompanied his Urzee
from the said Residents (whose depositions have
been alluded to) declaring that Appasawmy was
also called Yegya Iyer a circumstance to which
two of them neither previously nor the Tahsildar
at the time alluded. This Officer omitted to
bring to notice that the said Appasawmy also
styled Yegya Iyer, was not Soobabutloo's cousin
and that consequently (as the Takeed on record from
Mr. Vineash would shew) he was not entitled to succeed
to the Shrotriem or to ascertain that there was another
Appasawmy (the Petitioner) who was bonafide the cousin
in whose name the Shrotriem had already been registered.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 2d April 1851.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following Address.

(17-18)

Bd. No. 1054

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Chingleput relative to the proposed resumption of the Shrotriem Village of Maunmungary and recommending under circumstances stated that the party in possession of the Shrotriem be ousted out of it and the Village resumed on account of Government.

In Consn. 2nd June 1857

No. 231.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letters from the Collector of Chingleput dated respectively 1st February and 2nd April 1857 proposing to resume the Shotriem Village of Maunmungary and to reject the claims to its succession preferred by certain parties.

2. The papers in question have reference to an enquiry held into a complaint preferred before the late Collector Mr. Freese by Petitioner Vannia Appasawmy praying that the Shrotriem which was registered in his name but in illegal possession of Yegya Iyer might be made over to him.

3. It will be seen that the Village of Maunmungary bearing a permanent Jeroy of Rupees 93-7-4 was originally granted on under a Surunnah said to have been



destroyed by fire) of Nawaub Shakeer Khan to one Banpana Somayada on Shotriem tenure at a fixed Peishcush of Rupees 35-0-0 that it descended to his son Veneadey Somayada and to his grandson Baskara Sastry and the latter having no issue appointed his grandson in the female line named Soobahlutloo who succeeded in 1815 but died in 1825 also leaving no issue having it is alleged previously expressed his wish to his Wife Soobummah to adopt Veera-vasamy a distant cousin. In proof of this a Mahazarnamah (not now forthcoming) is said to have been produced by Soobummah before the Tahsildar at the time that she requested that the Village might be continued to her conjointly with Veerasawmy who was then a child of 2½ years of age and which document together with her petition was enclosed in the Tahsildar's Urzee to the Hoozoor dated 10th October 1826.

4. It will also be seen that the Tahsildar addressed another Urzee dated 3rd March 1827 to the Hoozoor enclosing a petition from Soobummiah expressing

expressing her wish to have the Village registered in her name conjointly with one Appasawmy (Petitioner) described as a cousin of her late husband whom she had adopted and enclosing also a Mahazurnamah in proof of the adoption the Collector authorized the transfer and registry of the Village in the joint names of Soobummah and Appavawmy the fact of the 1st adoption by her of Veerasawmy having it is believed been intentionally withheld owing probably to his premature decease but the Petitioner Appasawmy has never however been placed in actual possession.

5. It will further be seen that Soobummah a 3d time adopted one Yegeya Iyer her sisters son under the fictitious name of Appasawmy but that the adoption was not only reported to the Collector and it does not appear when it took place that she (Soobummah) died on the 23d March 1848 and that her death was reported to the Collector in an Urzee from the Tahsildar dated 31st Idem who recommended the continuance of the allowance



in the name of Appasawmy but enclosed deposition of three residents and of the Conicopoly of the Village affirming that Yegeya Iyer was also styled Appasawmy and was the individual in whose name the Village had been previously registered conjointly with the deceased Soobummah an order was accordingly passed for placing him in possession.

6. The Tahsildar it is stated omitted an important fact in his Urzee namely to point out the relationship of Yegaya Iyer to Soobahkutloo and it has since been proved that yegeya Iyer alias Appasawmy is not the cousin of Soobahkutloo but the nephew in the female line of his Widow Soobummah and that the real Appasawmy who is the cousin of Soobahkutloo is the Petitioner Vanum Appasawmy. This omission taken with that in the Urzee to the Hoozoor of the 3d March 1827 in which mention of the 1st adoption by the Widow Soobummah of Veerasawmy her husbands cousin was suppressed seems very irregular but the Board observe from the Return

7

of Tahsildars 1st May 1850 that Gunnaa Row the Tahsildar of Seddapollem in which Taluk the Shotrium in question is believed to be situated is not the person who held the situation when the 1st adoption took place altho' this is not so stated by Mr. Cochrane. The Collector has been called upon to state what explanation has been afforded to him by that Officer and to name the party who was in charge in 1826/27.

+ 2d June 1857

7. Under all the circumstances of the case the Board are of opinion that the transfer of the Shotrium in the name of (Petitioner Vanum) Appasawmy was altogether improper and cannot be recognized. Not only was there fraud practised in withholding the fact of Veerasawmy's adoption but a person was put forward who it seems was a 2d adopted son (the Petitioner) and can have no claim whatever. They are of opinion that Yegeya Iyer should be ousted out of possession of the Shotrium and that the Village be resumed on account of Government.

Revd Bd Office
Fort St. George
2d June 1857

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary



Ordered also that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To
The Collector of
Chingleput.

Bd No. 1062
To the Collr of Chingleput
19

Sir,

With reference to para 7 of your letter of the 22d April 1857 on the subject of the succession to the Shotrium of Maumunjary in which it is stated that in his Urzee of the 30th March 1848 the Tahsildar omitted to bring to notice the relationship of the Appasawmy (who also styles himself Yegeya Iyer) with Soobalchutloo and to the fact of its having been proved that he was not cousin of Soobalchutloo but the nephew in the female line of Soobalchutloo's Widow the real Appasawmy cousin to Soobalchutloo being the Petitioner Vanum Appasawmy I am directed by the Board of Revenue to convey to you their opinion that this omission coupled with that in the Tahsildar's Urzee of the 3d March 1827 in which he suppressed intimation of the 1st adoption by the Widow Soobummah of Veerasawmy her husband's cousin seems very irregular. I am therefore desired to call upon you to

7492 Fort St. George 2nd June 1857.

to specify the name of the Officer who was in 1826/27 in charge of the Veddapollem Talooke in which the Shoo:trem in question is believed to be situated and to request you to state what explanation has been af:forded to you by Ganasa Row the present Tahsil:dar of his conduct in the matter.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
2nd June 1857.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read again Return from the Collector
B. No. 1073. of Trichinopoly dated 21st and entered in Coms 29th May 1857
Proceedings thereon. /

(20)

The only case recorded in the foregoing Return is that of sumadoonottum the 2d class Tearnman of Poodoor Woolamanoor in the Lalgoody Talooke who it would appear was convicted of making fraudulent entries in the Accounts one of the 4th class of offences specified in Sec:2d Section II Regulation IX of 1822 and was sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees (36) Thirty six.

2. This sentence was not however commuted to imprisonment neither does it appear that the fine was realized.

Page 63.

3. The Board therefore resolve to call the Collector's attention to para 50 of their Circular orders dated 19th July and to request that the course therein laid down may be invariably observed.

Read

7493 Fort St. George 2d June 1851.

Read the following letters.

No. 149.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(21)
B. No. 2579.
From the Collector of Madura. Submitting the explanations required in the Board's Proceedings of the 12th Ultimo on the Settlement Report for Fusly 1258.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to submit the explanations required in Paras 17. 18. and 19 of the Proceedings of the Board under date the 12th Ultimo on my Report on the settlement of this District for Fusly 1258.-

Para 17. 2. The 361 Joint Puttahs entered in Statement No. 19, Column 17, are Joint Puttahs properly so called, that is held in the names of more than one Ryot.- The 8,604 as per Statement No. 1, Column 122, are the Number of Ryots cultivating under Puttahdars, but where names are not included in the Puttahs conceiving the entry of these Persons in the accounts made by the Tahsildars from the word Gooncha Puttahs not being generally understood in this District to be altogether erroneous, I have ordered it to be discontinued.-

The

3. The 76 Villages entered in the Reports of the Collector and Acting Sub Collector in excess of the number exhibited in Statement No. 1. consist of 72 Cuttay Villages in the Principal division and 6 Villages belonging to the Cully Munthay Moottah in the Sub Division entered in the Reports because settled by the Government Officers/ less 2 waste Government Villages which appear in Statement No. 1. The 72 Cuttay Villages have since being made over to the owners.-

4. The decrease of 8-14½ in the Enam Anjacut of Fusly 1258 as compared with Fusly 1257 is owing to the grant of Enams to the Cultivators in Cosalumputty, Sedaputty, Cullar, Madum and Aundiputty, compensated in part by the resumption of unclaimed Enams and to variation arising from the rectification of accounts after enquiring into Cases in which there had been an interchange of Enam -and Ayen land.-

5. The Assessment on Enams varies from year to year, being subject to increase from Teerwah and Fussul Jastee, and to decrease from Teerwah and Fussul Cummee.-

6. Subjoined is the explanation of the decrease of Rupees 374-14-9 in the Poroopoo of Enam Lands exhibited in Statement No. 16 below the similar entry in No. 4



As per Statement No. 16 of Fusly 1258.

| | |
|---|---|
| Enam Jodi | 5778-4-7 |
| Dehat Do. | 15269.10.3 |
| Teerwah of Colar and Cavelly Lands | 786-6-2 |
| | 24834-5-0 |

Add.

| | |
|---|---|
| Amount of Colar and Paudy Cavelly Teerwah payable to the Circar by Surna Manieum Villages entered in Column 27 of the abovementioned Statement (No. 16) which the Board have omitted to enter in their Proceedings. — | 222-6-11 |
| Total — | 22056-11-11 |

Deduct.

| | |
|---|---|
| Amount of increased Pooroopoo payable to the Pooroomboor Davastanum, Carried to the account of Davastanum Deposit, and not entered in Statement No. 4 — | 632-9-0 |
| Remainder — | 21424-2-11 |

Add.

| | |
|---|---|
| Amount of Teerwah on Cavelly Lands in Certain Ayen Villages assumed by Circar which is usually carried to the Head of Pooroopoo in No. 4 (but not in No. 16) — | 35-3-4 |
| As per Statement No. 4 — | 21459-6-3 |

7. In reference to para 21 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg to recommend the alteration of Distinctions both of the Ayen and Hill Villages as particularized in the Statement subjoined.-

Statement.

7496 Fort St. George 2d June 1851.

Statement Showing the Kisthundy Settlement to be observed and that now fixed for the realization of the Revenue in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul.

| Months. | Demand | Kisthundy Coulum Crops. | | Kisthundy Cudalore early rice Crops. | | Kisthundy Village. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | At existing Kisthundy | As per present Kisthundy | At existing Kisthundy | As per present Kisthundy | At existing Kisthundy | As per present Kisthundy |
| | | As exists = avg. of last 3 fasls. | As per present alteration of last 3 fasls. | As exists = avg. of last 3 fasls. | As per present alteration of last 3 fasls. | As exists = avg. of last 3 fasls. | As per present alteration of last 3 fasls. |
| | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| November | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| December | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| January | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| February | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| March | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| April | 25 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| May | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| June | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| July | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| August | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| September | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| October | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Statement of Collections.

7497 Fort St. George 2d June 1851.

8. The Kisthundies now fixed for the Collection of Peiz on Cudy Codah or early Crops, do not exactly correspond with the recommendation contained in my letter dated the 5th December 1849; but they are strongly supported by the Superior Native Officers of the District on the ground, that though these Crops are reaped within September yet the Produce is not fully disposed of till about January in consequence of the Ryots being engaged in "Coulum" Cultivation.

9. The decrease of the percentage of last Kist for the "Kallum" Crops sown in September and October by a proportionate increase in earlier payments is proposed with the view of securing Collection within the Fusly.

10 Adverting to the observations contained in para 10 of the Minutes of Consultation of Government relative to the late period at which my Report was submitted, I beg to explain that till the 1st October 1849 my Establishment and

and myself were engaged in the Jumabundy of the Shevagungah Zemindary, then recently removed from the care of the Manager to my personal superintendence by order of the Suder Udalut. To this impediment to the preparation of the Accounts of the Hyen Talooks was added the necessity of entirely revising the latter accounts to bring them back to the Forms furnished by the Board which had been departed from in Fusly 1255.-

11. With reference to Para 8 of the Board's Proceedings, I beg to state, that a Copy thereof had been furnished to the Sub Collector to which he has not yet replied. It is probable that he will notice the point in his next Jummabundy Report.-

Madura, 30th September, 1850. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

DG.

To



No. 33.

To W. H. Bayly Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

22

Bd. No. 7938.

From the Collector of Madura ----- } Sir,

1. With reference to the Proceedings noted in the Margin, I have the honor to enclose the Rules I would propose to follow in the levy of Grass Tax, and I beg to recommend as a measure of fairness, that the Tax should be brought into operation in the Talooks of Paudicomboo and Hyemgully in the Sub division as well as in Ramgherry. They seem to me to be similarly circumstanced in all respects, containing large tracts of Waste, rearing much cattle bordering on Coimbatore where the Tax is levied and lying on the route of the cattle of other Districts passing down to the Coast for the agistment of which, it is believed, the Ryots receive money.

Para 7 Board's Proceedings 12th July 1850

Submitting for approval proposed Rules and a Statement for levy of Grass tax in the Ramgherry & Pandicomboo Talooks with a table of the rates of Grass tax collected in the District of Trichinopoly.

2. Are objection to the proposed

proposed Rules exist in the ease with which they may be evaded where the waste is very abundant. It will be difficult to detect Ryots who avoid taking pasture on the terms offered and yet graze their cattle in waste adjoining their Puttah fields. The custom of the country will secure them possession of waste whether they pay for it or not. Peons are too few and the Village officers not sufficiently faithful to guard the unappropriated waste. To avoid this difficulty I wished if practicable to adopt the Rule which obtains in some places in the Trichinopoly District of making over the entire waste of the Village to the Inhabitants at a low rate, but so great is the extent of uncultivated land in the Grass Talooks of this District that even if the low rate of 1½ or 2 annas were adopted, the impost would be much heavier than under the Rules proposed. I beg to enclose a Statement exhibiting the effect of such a system with the Revenue



Revenue hitherto obtained, and another shewing the rates in force in the Trichinopoly District. If a discretion were allowed, one system would probably be found best adopted to one Village, and the other to another. at all events I trust that the Board will permit me to propose hereafter any change or modification of the System which experience may suggest. At present the Ryots have not arrived at any settled opinion as to the terms on which it would be most for their interests to take grass land, and without their agreement it would not be practicable I think to introduce any plan other than that now submitted.—

Madura,—— 25th March, 1851. } [Signed] R. D. Parker Collector.—

No. 1.

Rules for levy of Grass Tax in the Talooks of Ramagherry, Taudicomboo and Iyempally.—

1. Each Ryot shall be allowed to hold Poonjah land for grazing

grazing to the extent of 1/16th his entire Holding on a tax of 1/4 Poonjah Rate provided there is waste in his Village available for the purpose.—

2d Of Rocky Hills, Beds of Rivers, Burial Grounds, the Gramanattam or Village Site and Topes, sufficient will be reserved for the free grazing of Ploughing cattle, Hackary Bullocks and Milch Cows kept by the Inhabitants for their own use— If such lands do not suffice the Tahsildar will propose setting apart for this purpose a further portion of the waste adjoining the Village.—

3d. The grazing of the Waste land which remains after deducting the portions appropriated under Clause 1st and 2nd will be let out on Proposals or sold by auction.— A preference will be given to the Inhabitants of the particular village if they make a suitable proposal.—

4. Ryots both of the Madura and other Districts grazing their cattle on Government waste in



in the Ramagherry Talook without authority will subject themselves to a tax of 4 annas for each Head of Cattle so grazing.—

5. Any Ryot enclosing or otherwise appropriating to himself land for Pasture in excess of 1/8th of his Holding which he has not obtained on Proposal or at auction will be liable to the full Teerwa— The Collector has discretion to relax this Rule under particular circumstances.—

6. If any Grass land held by a Ryot is required for cultivation the Government Officers are at liberty to grant it for that purpose unless the occupant is willing to pay full Teerwa, and it is to be understood that land is given on Grass tax for one year only.—

Madura, 25th March, 1851. } (Signed) R. D. Parker— Collector—

Statement.—

t showing the matter

| | | |

7505 | 1 | Fort St. George

each Village in the Ramaycherry

2d June 1857.

Syempally &c. Talooks.—

| Balance. | | | | | | | | | | | | Average of the Amount in Column 21 per each Cawney of land in Column 20. | Per centage between Columns 13 and 21. | Amount at the rates mentioned— | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Waste— | | | | Lands allotted for pasture at 5 per cent for fusly 1260— | | | | Total. | | | | | | At 6 pice per Cawney. | | At one ana per Cawney. | | At 1½ ana per Cawney. | | At 2 anas per Cawney. | |
| Land. | | Assess-ment. | | Land. | | Assess-ment. | | Land. | | Assess-ment. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | 17 | | | | 18 | | | | 19 | | 20 | | 21 | | 22 | | 23 | |
| Cs | as | Rs | as | Cs | as | Rs | as | Cs | as | Rs | as | | | Rs | as | Rs | as | Rs | as | Rs | as |
| 34,345 | 10½ | 6,728 | 1 9 | 3,343 | 11¾ | 5,702 | 15 1 | 37,691 | 6½ | 6,431 | 10 | | | 1 | 12 | 7 77/160 | | 263 | 12 8 | 1,177 | 13 9 |
| 11,626 | 5¼ | 18,922 | 10 10 | 3,975 | 10½ | 6,474 | 9 8 | 15,601 | 15¾ | 2,639 | 4 6 | | | 1 | 10 | 8 72/160 | | 105 | 8 6 | 487 | 9 " |
| 1,708 | 9 | 2,369 | 15 11 | 692 | 9½ | 955 | 2 2 | 2,401 | 2½ | 3,325 | 2 1 | | | 1 | 6 | 14/16 | | 96 | 1 " | 75 | " 7 |
| 12,720 | 14¼ | 21,133 | 15 9 | 2,975 | ½ | 4843 | 12 4 | 16,696 | 5 2¼ | 2,597 | 12 1 | | | 1 | 10 | 5 10/16 | | 141 | 7 6 | 490 | 8 4 |
| 60,401 | 7 | 10,154 | 12 3 | 10,989 | ½ | 17,976 | 7 3 | 71,390 | 14¼ | 12,131 | 3 6 | | | 1 | 11 | 4 77/160 | | 171 | 4 8½ | 2,230 | 15 8 |
| 7,053 | 8¾ | 9,310 | 42 | " | " | " | " | 7,053 | 8¾ | 9,310 | 42 | | | 1 | 6 | 1 3/16 | | 165 | 10 3 | 2,201 | 10 10 |
| 68,450 | 4 | 10,605 | 142 | " | " | " | " | 68,450 | 4 | 10,605 | 142 | | | 1 | 8 | 5 6/16 | | 129 | 10 9 | 2,139 | 6 3 |
| 199,305 | 3¾ | 30,070 | 14 7 | 10,989 | ½ | 17,976 | 7 3 | 210,294 | 11 | 32,404 | 5 10 | | | 1 | 8 | 7 14/16 | | 153 | 12 6½ | 6,572 | " 9 |
| 69 | 11¾ | 151 | 44 | " | " | " | " | 69 | 11¾ | 151 | 44 | | | 2 | 2 | 9 | " | " | " 2 | 211 | 4 5 |
| 199,374 | 15½ | 30,622 | 2 11 | 10,989 | ½ | 17,976 | 7 3 | 210,364 | 6¾ | 32,419 | 8 10 | | | 1 | 8 | 7 14/16 | | 153 | 12 6½ | 6,674 | 3 8 |

| 24 | | 25 | | 26 | | 27 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rs | as | Rs | as | Rs | as | Rs | as |
| 1,177 | 13 9 | 2,353 | 11 6 | 3,532 | 9 2 | 4,711 | 6 11 |
| 487 | 9 " | 975 | 2 " | 1,462 | 11 " | 1,950 | 4 " |
| 75 | " 7 | 150 | 1 2 | 225 | 1 9 | 300 | 2 4 |
| 490 | 8 4 | 981 | " 8 | 1,471 | 9 " | 1,962 | 1 4 |
| 2,230 | 15 8 | 4,461 | 15 4 | 6,692 | 14 11 | 8,923 | 14 7 |
| 2,201 | 10 10 | 4,403 | 5 8 | 6,605 | " 6 | 8,806 | 11 4 |
| 2,139 | 6 3 | 4,278 | 12 8 | 6,418 | 2 11 | 8,557 | 9 " |
| 6,572 | " 9 | 13,144 | 1 8 | 19,716 | 2 4 | 26,290 | 2 11 |
| 211 | 4 5 | 9 | 6 8 | 8 | 11 5 | | |
| 6,674 | 3 8 | 13,148 | 7 5 | 19,722 | 11 " | 26,298 | 14 4 |

below on lands of Column 20.—.

| At 2½ Anas per Caney. | At 3 Anas per Caney. | At 3½ Anas per Caney. | At 4 Anas per Caney. | At 4½ Anas per Caney. | Amount Contracted by Ryots. | Discretionary Amount fixed by the Tasildar. | Amount Settlement of Grass Tax of each Fusly. Fusly 1252. | Fusly 1253. | Fusly 1254. | Fusly 1255. | Fusly 1256. | F |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | |
| Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | R |
| 5,889 4 8 | 7,667 2 5 | 8,247 " " | 9,422 13 10 | 10,600 11 7 | 2,271 13 10 | 5,429 8 8 | 4,452 11 7 | 459 11 11 | 1,186 13 7 | 3,018 11 2 | 3,329 9 11 | 5,01 |
| 2,437 13 " | 2,925 6 " | 3,412 15 " | 3,900 8 " | 4,388 1 " | " " " | 1,330 10 2 | " " " | " | " " | 178 14 6 | 241 8 4 | 316 |
| 375 2 11 | 450 3 6 | 526 4 1 | 600 4 8 | 675 5 3 | " " " | 329 9 5 | " " " | " | " " | 59 6 8 | 65 9 7 | 97 |
| 2,452 9 8 | 2,943 2 " | 3,437 10 4 | 3,924 2 8 | 4,414 11 " | " " " | 1,692 13 1 | 7 7 1 | 6 " 6 | 3 4 5 | 261 4 1 | 320 7 10 | 537 |
| 11,154 10 3 | 13,385 13 11 | 15,618 13 6 | 17,847 13 2 | 20,078 12 10 | " " " | 8,782 11 " | 460 2 8. | 465 12 5 | 1,190 2 " | 3,502 4 5 | 3955 3 8 | 5,96 |
| 11,008 6 2 | 13,210 1 " | 15,411 11 10 | 17,613 6 8 | 19,815 1 6 | 1,633 11 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 475 | 4 4 8 | " " " | " |
| 10,696 15 3 | 12,836 5 8 | 14,975 11 11 | 17,115 2 " | 19,354 11 3 | " " " | 8,547 2 11 | 5 4 10 | 5 4 10 | 21 2 3 | 555 6 6 | 51 6 11 | 43 |
| 32,859 15 8 | 40,432 4 7 | 46,006 6 2 | 52,578 5 10 | 59,243 9 7 | " " " | " " " | 465 7 6 | 471 1 3 | 1,215 11 8 | 3,506 15 7 | 4,006 10 7 | 6,00 |
| 10 14 4 | 13 12 15 | 4 1 | 17 6 10 | 19 9 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " |
| 32,870 14 " | 40,445 5 9 | 46,021 9 3 | 52,593 12 8 | 59,263 4 " | " " " | " " " | 465 7 6 | 471 1 3 | 1,215 11 8 | 3,506 15 7 | 4,006 10 7 | 6,00 |

Madura

25th March, 1851. E. E. J. Superintendent R. D. P

| | | |

7509 Fort St. George

B°. N°. 1218. Statement shewing Separately the Collections Demand Collection and Balance under the several Heads compared with the average Collections of the same Period during the preceding Fuslies.—

2d. June 1857.

on account of the several Items included in the Statement of Extra Revenue from 12th. July 1850 to 30th. April 1857 &c.

| | Fuslies. | Salt. | | | Abkarry. | | | Cochrane's Canal. | | | Sundry—Small Farms and Licences | | | Stamp Papers and Cadjans. | | | Grand Total. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P |
| From 12th. July to 30th. April— | 1257 | 241030 | " | " | 422454 | 4 | 7 | 21450 | 1 | 8 | 9798 | 9 | 10 | 7673 | " | " | 702406 | " | 1 |
| | 1258 | 307243 | 8 | " | 458705 | 13 | 8 | 20513 | " | 2 | 9715 | 4 | 1 | 6492 | 15 | 6 | 802670 | 9 | 5 |
| | 1259 | 315366 | 14 | 5 | 460762 | 9 | 5 | 19983 | 13 | 3 | 9577 | 1 | 10 | 7443 | 1 | 6 | 813133 | 8 | 5 |
| | " | 863640 | 6 | 5 | 1341922 | 11 | 8 | 61946 | 15 | 1 | 29090 | 15 | 9 | 21609 | 1 | " | 2318210 | 1 | 11 |
| Average Collections— | " | 287880 | 2 | 1 | 447307 | 9 | 3 | 20648 | 15 | 8 | 9696 | 15 | 11 | 7203 | " | 4 | 772736 | 11 | 3 |
| Actual Collections from 12th. July 1850 to 30th. April 1857— | 1260 | 397246 | 8 | " | 435572 | 8 | 4 | 18077 | 1 | 9 | 9338 | 3 | 3 | 8340 | 13 | " | 868575 | 6 | 4 |

Madras,
27th. May 1857.

E. E. Jr.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon—

Ordered to be recorded.—

26-27

Read again letter from the Accountant General dated 13th and entered in Consultation 17th March 1857—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To,

The Accountant General.

Sir,

B. No. 1063.

To the Accountant General

28

With preference to the General Statement of the Gross Collections Charges and Nett Revenues of the Districts under the Madras Presidency, for Fusly 1259, which accompanied your letter of the 13th March 1857, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to explain concisely the cause of the increase and decrease in the undermentioned items as entered in that Statement and in that of the preceding Fusly received from you.—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Extra Charges connected with Revenue, but not Chargeable to any particular branch of it. | Board of Revenue | Fusly 1259. | Rupees 17,756-11-10 |
| | | Fusly 1258. | " 1,603-4-10 |



| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pensions and Charitable allowances Chargeable on the Revenues | Madras | Fusly 1259. | Rupees 10,105-8-— |
| | | Fusly 1258. | " 11,719-5-7. |
| Charges on Company's Plantations Purchase of lands and Batta or loss by exchange | Masulipatam | Fusly 1259. | " —-"-"- |
| | | Fusly 1258. | " 14,700-"-"- |
| Refunds of Revenue | | Fusly 1259. | " 12,593-"-5. |
| | Ditto | Fusly 1258 | " 10-"-"- |
| Expences on account of improvements in the Culture of Cotton | Coimbatore | Fusly 1259. | " 16,952-12-8 |
| | | Fusly 1258 | " 26,886-12-1- |
| Ditto | Tinnevelly | Fusly 1259 | " 6,056-"-"- |
| | | Fusly 1258 | " 13,640-8-7- |
| Total Sea Customs Collections | Madras | Fusly 1259 | " 4,99,181-1-1. |
| | | Fusly 1258. | " 5,01,976-15-11. |

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 2d June, 1851

(Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

No. 1162.

From the Collector of Canara.

29

Account Particular of Contingent Charges incurred in the Department of the Sub Conservator of Forests for Fusly 1259.—

Statement

No. 1079.
From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. (30)
No. 1156
Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 31st. March last.

From the Agent at Kurnool. (31)
Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st. March last.
No. 1117

From the Collector of Chingleput. (32)
Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st. March last.
No. 1094

From the Collector of Tanjore. (33)
Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st. March last.

Order on the 5 abovementioned Statements. (29-34)
Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

No. 1201
From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. (35)
Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th. April last.
No. 1191

From the Collector of Madura. (36)
Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th. April last.
No. 1227.

From the Collector of Malabar. (37)
Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th. April last.

From



No. 1239.
From the Collector Madras. (38)
Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th. April last.

Order on the 4 abovementioned Statements. (35-39)
Ordered to lie over.

No. 1205.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. (40)
Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of April last.

Order thereon. (40-41)
Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

My. 605.
From the Collector of Ganjam. (42)
Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.
Salt Account Current for the quarter ending 31st. March last.

My. 606.
From the Collector of Nellore. (43)
Salt account Current for the quarter ending 31st. March last.

My. 607.
From the Collector of Nellore. (44)
Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of Chittagong Indent up to 23d. May 1851.

My. 608.
From the Collector of Ganjam. (45)
Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

My. 603
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. (46)
Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

My. 602.
From the Collector of North Arcot. (47)
Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

From

Fort St. George 2d. June 1851.—

Mr. 609.

From the Collector of Malabar— Abstract of Demand Col:
(48) Mr. 599. = lection and Balance for the month of April last.

From the Collector of Madras. Abstract of Demand Collection
(49) Mr. 604. and Balance for the month of April last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the av=
(50) Mr. 602 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt
for the month of April last.—

From the Collector of North Arcot. Statement shewing the average
(51) Mr. 610. Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
month of April last.—

From the Collector of Malabar— Statement shewing the average
(52) Mr. 600. Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
month of April last.—

From the Collector of Madras. Statement shewing the av=
(53) Mr. 584. average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and av=
Salt for the month of April last.—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Return
(54.) of Persons confined, is not sent for the month of
April last.—

Comp.d D. Hill.

" Ryedinghaw

" J. Blane



Fort St. George 5th. June 1851.

# Diary.—

Received the following

Statements.

(1)

3ds. No. 1113 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the undermentioned Port on the 30th. April 1851.—

| Names of the Ports | Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.— | Quantity shipped from 30th. April 1851.— | Quantity remaining to be exported.— | Quantity actually in Store and ready for exportation.— |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Im.s Im.s | Im.s | Im.s | Im.s |
| Coringa | 38,800. | 12,000. | 26,800. | 26,800. |

Rajahmundry District, (Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector.

Sulamoor,
8th. May 1851.

Statement

7517 Fort St. George 5th June 1857

Bd. No. 1241

(3) Statement exhibiting the Quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of Export in the District of Madras.

| Places at which Salt is shipped. | Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1857. | Quantity already exported. | Quantity remaining to be exported. | Quantity actually in store and ready to be exported. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Maunds | Maunds | Maunds | Maunds | |
| Ennore | 192000 | " | 192000 | 2945 11/20 | The quantity remaining in store at the date of the despatch of the Statement of 1st April 1851 was Maunds 3292 4/20 of which 2877 Maunds were afterwards exported on the Barque "Calphurnia" and the residue Maunds 415 4/20 is included in Column 5 of the present Statement |

Madras 1st May 1857 } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston Collector

Order on the three abovementioned Statements. Ordered to be sent for Publication to the Fort St. George Gazette.

(1-4)

(2) 7518 Fort St. George 5th June 1857

Bd. No. 1080

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of Exports in the District of Nellore up to 30th April 1857

| No. | Places at which Salt is shipped. | Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1850/57. | Quantity remaining to be exported. | Quantity already exported. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Indian Maunds | Indian Maunds | Indian Maunds |
| 1 | Nominal Castoms | 9200 | 9,200 | |
| 2 | Collapulley | 25000 | 21,600 | 3,400 |
| 3 | Gogoolapulley | 10,800 | " | 10,800 |
| 4 | Vacancy | 15,000 | 15000 | |
| 5 | Pallitirapatam | 40,000 | 40,000 | |
| | | 100,000 | 85,800 | 14200 |

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry, 5th May, 1857.

(Signed) D. White Collector

Statement

At a Meeting Present

Thursday } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
5th June, 1851. } F. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member

Absent on Duty as Commissioner
Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last meeting
read and approved

Read the following Resolution

B/d No. 1177
From the Secy
to Government

5

No. 476

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d May, 1851 -
(From Board 12th May 1851.)

The Right Honorable
Governor in Council sanctions the
of Rupees 118. - -) Eighteen paid by
Collector of Bellary for the conveyance
Stamp Papers from Madras to the
Station in Fusly 1258.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to



B/d No. 1081
Order thereon -

5-6

Ordered to be communicated
to the Collector of Bellary with reference to
his letter dated 23d April 1851.

---

B/d No. 1178
From the Secy
to Govt -

7

No. 475

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d May, 1851.

(From Board 8th May 1851.)

The Right Honorable the Governor
in Council sanctions the sum of Rupees
/102. 2. - 8/ One hundred and two, and
Pies eight paid by the Collector of
Trichinopoly as rewards for the destruction
of Snakes in Fusly 1258.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Goverment

B/d No. 1083
Resolution thereon -

7-8

Resolved that copy of
the foregoing order of Government and of
the Board's Proceedings to which it
is a reply be communicated for the
information and guidance of the Col-
lector of Trichinopoly with reference
to his Letter dated 26th April 1851.

---

Read the following Extracts

Bd No 1207
From the Secy to Government

(9)

No 318.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Judicial Department, under date the 23d May 1851.

The Governor in Council also directs that a complete copy of the Circular orders of the Sudr and Fouzdaree Udalut be furnished to the Board of Revenue in compliance with the requisition contained in their Secretary's letter dated 18th April 1850.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon

(9-10)

Ordered to be recorded.

---

Bd No 1208
From the Secy to Government

(11)

No 479

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 23d May, 1851.

Eight Copies of the Select from Public correspondence published by authority. North Western Provinces. Vol 9 having been received, the Right Honble the Governor in Council resolves that the following distribution be made.

3 Copies to the Board of Revenue, one Copy of the same being



the use of the Department of Public Works.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon.

(11-12)

Ordered to be recorded.

---

Read the following Reso-
lution.

No 484

Bd No 1202
From the Secy to Government

(13)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 23d May 1851.

Under the explanation afforded in the case of Sinnien the Right Honble the Governor in Council grants sanction for payment of the arrears of allowance due to that individual from 1st April 1848 to 31st December 1850 amounting to Rupees (231) Two hundred and thirty one, and also for the continuance of his pension of 84 Rupees per annum.

Alias Chinniah as entered in the letter of the Magistrate of Tinnevelly to Govt dated 17th March 1813.

The remarks recorded by the Board of Revenue on the other cases call for no orders.

(By order)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Resolved

Bd. Pt. 1084

Resolution thereon - Resolved that copy of the fo
going order of Government and of the Board
Remarks to which they refer be communicat
to the Collector of Tinnevelly with refere
to his Statement dated 22d February 1851.

13-14

---

Read the following Extract

No. 502.

Bd. No. 1233

From the Secy to Government

Observations respecting the Settlement of the Land Re:venue in the Districts of Nellore for Fusly 1259

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department, under date the 27th May, 1851

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 28th April 1851 - No. 182)

15

Para 1. The nature of the Sea
of Fusly 1259 in Nellore is describ
at length in paras 3 and 4 of the Col
report. It was better in the
Collectorate than in the Principal
division of the District and is
=med up as having in the whole
commenced and terminated
=favorably, though it was
=able about the middle of
being beneficial for the cultivat

Report P.5

Fort St.

7516

Bd. No. 1080



the 2d Series of wet crops."-

2. The year was not healthy, small pox prevailed to some extent and Cholera was very destructive partic:ularly in the Sub Division.

3. The prices of the chief Staple grains are stated by the Board to have been from 3 to 15 per % below the average of the last ten years and 10 per % below the commutation rates. It would seem however from the marginal Statement in Para 9 of the Sub Col:lector's report that the prices in his division were on the average nearly 50 per % below those on which the commutation was made. This decline is loosely attributed by the Collector "partly to a falling off in the demand for the grain in places to which it was for:merly exported and partly to importations from the neighbouring Districts where the Season may have been favorable". The Board of Revenue have remarked that the Collector has not stated whether he refers to the trade by Sea or by Land. The Govern:ment desire that he be called upon for more specific information and required to state explicitly in what quarters and to what

what extent the export trade of this District in grain has declined and for what causes - as also what are the Districts whose produce has been imported into Nellore. The Government expect that the Collector will obtain such ample and complete information, as to enable him to report distinctly whether the falling off in price he refers to is attributable to partial and temporary causes and whether therefore it will be permanent or not. —

4 The settlement of Land revenue for Fusly 1259 under all its heads amounts to Rupees 1916258 and fell short of that the year preceding by Rupees 20973, the decrease was chiefly in the Ryotwar[illegible]
The settlement was thus compose[illegible]

Dittum
Deduct Cabalait Bunjer
Gross settlement
Deductions on cultivated land
Remaining
Ready money Collections, Godday
Enam Unt, Rents, Fee tax, per pa
Total Arrears
Rents
Shrotriums, Arnaums &c
Zemindary Peishcush





5 The settlement of the Arrears of land revenue includes Rupees 40143 Cessary or the assessment charged on land which was taken up by the Ryots and left waste without sufficient cause. The amount under this head tho' below the average of the preceding 8 years is Rupees 6516 above that similarly charged in Fusly 1258, which again as noticed in Extract Minutes of Consultation 25th June 1850 was higher than that brought to account in Fusly 1256 and 1257 - The Right Honorable the Governor in Council admits that, as urged by the Collector and Sub Collector in a grazing District like Nellore, it will be necessary to enforce this demand with more strictness than in Provinces differently circumstanced; but he trusts with the Board of Revenue that the Collector will be careful that it is not pressed to an extent which would entail loss or injury on the Ryots.

*Para 17 - 12.

6 Of the entire current demand Rupees 1916258 the balance on the 15th October (exclusive of a Zemindary balance of Rupees 449 which is merely nominal) was Rupees 25385 outstanding chiefly under the

the heads of Amanie Rupees 17,426 and Shrotriam Rupees 7,106.

Para 18. 7. Upon the recommendation of the Board of Revenue the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off to profit and loss in the accounts of the Nellore District the following irrecoverable balances of Fasly 1258.

| | Rs. a. |
|---|---|
| Ryotwary | 8,354.2 |
| Balances of Stalla Curnums' Possessions | 137.3 |
| Do Poligar Possessions | 296.1 |
| | 8782.3 |

total eight thousand seven hundred and eighty two Rupees- Six annas and seven Pie

The Chief Secy to Govt has also entirely approves the instructions issued by the Board in respect to the arrears generally - It is remarked that the balances for Fasly 1258 and previous years' as entered in Statement No 1 transmitted with the Collector's report amount to no less a sum than 85,380- 8- and go back as far as Fasly 1217, even the Ryotwary balances commencing from Fasly 12

The practice of retaining such items of dem in the public books is highly objection and the Government desire that they be reported on without delay

8.



Para 20 of their Proceedings should receive the Collector's attention.-

9. The Government agree with the Board of Revenue that there is still room for

Para 22. improvement in the Nellore Settlement report and approve the instructions given to the Collector on the subject.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) J. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

Bd No 1090.

Order thereon, Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Nellore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th April 1851.-

15-16 Mr White will be so good as to furnish the information called for in Para 3 of the above Minutes of Consultation.-

---

No 495.

Bd No 1221.

From the Secy to Govt Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 27th May 1851.-

Read the following Extract

17 from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 8th May 1851 No 1971

The Right Honorable the Governor in

in Council observes that the earlier of the two Summuds submitted by the Board of Revenue in the above letter purports to have been granted in lieu of one of a prior date which was then taken back and which appear to have been issued in the year 1821 or 1822. The Board are requested to obtain this Summud if it be still forthcoming and forward it to Government.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1076
Order thereon.—
Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 17th April 1851.
17-18
In Cons: 8th May/51.

Mr. Phillips will be so good as to forward the further Summud called for by Government.—

---

Bd. No. 1223
From the Secty to Government
19

No. 494.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 27th May 1851.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 8th May 1851 No. 199)

The Board of Revenue forward



modes for remunerating the Gomastah entrusted with the sale of stamps required for the Brummadasum Moonsiffs Court Vizt. by an addition of Rupees 3-8-. per mensem to his salary or by allowing him a Commission of 3% upon his actual sales—the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that it will be better to adopt the latter mode of remuneration, at least for the present. He desires the Board will accordingly issue instructions for giving effect to the arrangement, and report at the expiration of a year on its working and on the amount of commission drawn by the Gomastahs.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.—

Bd. No. 1079.
Order thereon.—
Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Timevelly with reference to his letter to Government dated 4th January 1851 No. 7.—
19-20

Ordered to be communicated also to the Acting Superintendent of Stamps, with reference to his letter dated 30th April 1851.—
In Cons 8th May/51.

---

shewing a decrease under each description of cultivation, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 15,044—4—7 counter balanced, partially by, an increase in the ready money collections, or those realized from tax on Trees, Enams, Cattle, &c hill rent, quit rent of Rupees 5,408 9 4 The decrease has occurred in the Principal Divisions In the Sub Division there has been an increase of Rupees 2,478—3—6.

5. The Collector has this year, as directed by the Board furnished a Statement of the Cotton cultivation of the season. The total extent is 113,558 Acres, Viz.t 7,804 Enam and 105754 Government lands. the Settlement of these last was Rupees 1,21,572 or on an average Rupees 1—2—4 per acre.

6. Of the entire demand for land revenue Rupees 2,34,092—7—9 were collected within the fusly leaving Rupees 10,681 outstanding which at the end of Almanay had been reduced to Rupees 3,149—14—1 Viz.t

Quit rent on the Neilgherries 836—1—1
Ryotwary balance ... 713—12—

7. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for striking out of the



public accounts the irrecoverable balances of Fuslies anterior to Fusly 1258 amounting to Rupees 1,230—14—4 one thousand two hundred and thirty Annas fourteen, and Pice four.

8. The extent of Patent waste as entered in the Thasildar's Dittum and discovered in subsequent examination in the Boozoor, appears from the Collector's Statement No.22 Col: 12 to have been Rupees 97,624—12—of which Rupees 60,548—..—8. was charged to the Ryots, Viz.t

Rupees 55,061—10—9 for Poonjah
" 5,486—5—11 for Garden

The whole bears to the net Settlement of the land revenue excluding ready money collections, the proportion of Rupees 2—12—9 per Cent but varies in different parts of the District and in the Conjeeveram Talook is as high as 8%. The amount is nearly double of that shown in the accounts of Fusly 1258 but it is explained that the extent of Patent waste actually charged has not been properly exhibited in the accounts of late years and that the present Statement has been prepared with care and is correct.

9. In Para 28—30 of his report the Collector treats

treats at some length on the restrictions imposed upon the relinquishment of land under the Putcut system of Combatore and his remarks are noticed by the Board in Paras 10–15 of their Proceedings. The Government do not feel themselves called upon to discuss the question in this place. They observe that the remarks of the Collector are of a very general character, and that he has not explained what modifications he would introduce into the present rules for disposing of Putcut waste, nor what is the nature of the greater liberality which he advocates in cases which he has submitted in his letter of 6th December 1850, as instances of the objectionable working of the system, are stated by the Board to be such as might have been fairly disposed of under the existing Rules. It is obviously desirable that before any change be made in the present rules, it should be determined whether if duly administered they are not sufficient. The Governor in Council desires that the Collector will in his future Settlements afford to parties desirous of relinquishing their Putcut or portions of them



every consideration compatible with the provisions of the Hookumnamah, and should greater indulgence still seem called for, that he will report specially upon the subject, pointing out the particular points in which those rules are too stringent under the relaxations called for, so as to afford to the people, all the relief, consistent with the nature of the landed tenure in Coimbatore and with the just rights of Government.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

B.d No. 1085.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 24th March 1851.

21-22

2 Mr. Thomas attention is called to para 9 of the above Minutes of Consultation

---

No. 505

B.d No. 1235
From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 29th May 1851

Read the following letters

23

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.— (Here enter 14th April 1851. No. 171)

From the Commissioner Northern Circars.— (Here enter 30th April ——— 38)

The number of persons tried for offences

offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the second half of 1850 in the Madras Presidency, including Northern Circars is shewn below in comparision with the previous half of the same year.

| | Charged | committed | Ap |
|---|---|---|---|
| First half of 1850 | 181 | 168 | 3 |
| Second Do Do | 199 | 188 | 1 |
| Increase | 18 | Do 20 | Do 2 |

2. The increase occurred in the Provinces under the Commissioner, but was confined to Rajahmundry and Guntoor. No cases were tried in the remaining Districts of the Northern Circars, Masulipatam, Ganjam and Vizagapatam.

3. The greatest number of cases were in the Districts of South Arcot 69 and Tanjore 50, making a total of 119 or nearly 60 per cent of the number brought under trial. In 7 Districts no persons were brought to trial. The persons implicated in the cases tried in the remaining Districts were for the most part Village Officers, the offences charged against them being embezzlement and fraudulent entries in public accounts. only 5 appeals were preferred to the Board of Revenue the sentences

Nellore
South Arcot
Malabar
Kurnool
Ganjam
Vizagapatam
Masulipatam

7536



which were all confirmed.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.—

Order thereon.
23-24

Ordered to be recorded.—

---

B°. N°. 1238.
From the Secy to Government
25

N°. 511.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 30th May 1851.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

Forwarding a List of Communications received from Government and remaining unanswered upto 31st March 1851.— (Here enter 24th April 1851 N° 1781)

1. It is observed that the foregoing List has reference only to the communications due to Government respecting the several Districts immediately under the supervision of the Board of Revenue and does not extend to the reports in arrear connected with the Provinces under the control of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars. It is requested that a similar List may hence forth be furnished quarterly by the Commissioner also, commencing from the quarter ending 30th June next.—

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires also to call the attention of Mr. Elliot to Extract Minutes Consultation dated 5th October 1850

No

7538 Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

No. 929 and to request, that the information therein re-
for regarding the causes of the failure of the Settlem
of the Masulipatam District for Fusly 1258, may
be submitted at an early date.—

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon.
(25-26)

Ordered to be recorded.—

---

No. 510.

Bd. No. 1737.
From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Rev
Department under date the 30th May 1851.—

(27)

Read the following Extract from the Pro
ceedings of the Court of Wards.—

/Here enter 28th April 1851. No.

Para 1. The Settlement of the lands in the Bala
Estate in the Tanjore District for Fusly 1259 was
24)15 and with the addition of other items the agg
revenue amounted to Rupees 7873) exceeding that
previous Fusly in Rupees 3489. The Collections
Fusly were Rupees 2419 and subsequently Rupe
leaving a balance of Rupees 158 outstanding at
of the Collector's report.

2. Including Rs. 2990 collected in excess
the receipts of the Fusly amounted to Rupees 2
payment on account of Pushkush, charges of
allowance for subsistence &c. amounting in
Rupees 2,885, the surplus of the year
1744, which, with the balance at the
of the Fusly would have made the sum to

J.C.D.
(2)
7516

Fort St. George 24th

7539 Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

the Estate Rupees 7,950 but from subsequent
payments which reduced the amount to
Rupees 6,522.—.

3. The Government approve of
the intimation made by the Collector of
Tanjore to the Minor's Mother as reported
in the concluding para of his letter.—.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1086

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated
(27-28) to the Collector of Tanjore with reference
to the Courts Proceedings dated
28th April 1851.—.

---

Read the following letter.—

No. 33.

(29)

To,
W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1110

From the Collector of Bellary.—

Requests further instructions on the subject of Refund of Stamp Duty under Section IV Act XVII of 1848 with reference to the Board's Proceedings of 24. April 1851.—

Sir,

In the 8th Para. of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th March 1851 the Board have approved of the Collector having refused to refund the Stamp duty /refundable under Act XVII of 1848/ in instances where he has seen reason to take exception to

Fort St. George 5th June 1851.-

"to the Endorsement as provided in Section IV of that act."-

2 The Governor in Council on a review of the Proceedings in which the above quoted passage occurs has remarked "It would "seem to follow that if the Courts are not "warranted in rejecting such stamps neither "is the Collector at liberty on a certificate "being presented to him under Section IV Act "XVII of 1848 to refuse the refund of the "Stamp duty upon a plaint because "the Stamp having been purchased for a "different purpose."-

3. I would take the liberty of observing that the Courts for want of legislative power are not able to refuse the admission of Stamps not bearing the Endorsement required by a simple order of Government to be endorsed on all Stamp papers sold by the Stamp Offices- But the special provisions of the Section of the act referred to require the Collector to satisfy himself that the Endorsement is unexceptionable and as the repayment is made dependent on the unexceptionableness of the Endorsement by a specific act, I would seek



Fort St. George 5th June 1851.-

that the neglect of parties to comply with the orders of Government respecting the Endorsements which I know have been knowingly and wilfully neglected by Vakeels should forfeit their claim to the refund.-

4. If this is not a correct view of the case I must request the Board will instruct me, for my future guidance, as to what Endorsement is intended by the act to which Exception may be taken?-

Bellary Office 3d May 1851. Bd's No. 1042

District Collector's on circuit, Ramundroog, May, 1851.----------

(Signed) C. Pelly Collector.-

Proceedings thereon:- The Government having

29-30

In Cons 24th April 1851-

expressed their opinion in Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 12th April 1851 that a Collector is not "at liberty on a Certificate being presented to him, under Section IV Act XVII of 1848, to refuse the Refund of the Stamp Duty upon a Plaint, because of the Stamp having been purchased for a different purpose," Mr. Pelly will be guided by that opinion until he may receive any further instructions, which may be issued in consequence of the reference made by Government

7516

7542 Fort St. George 5th June 1851.

Government to the Sudder Udalat in
Minutes of Consultation quoted above

---

Read the following letter

№ 19

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Rev

Fort St. George

(31)

Bd № 1206

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool

Sir,

Affording explanation regarding the balance of Tuccavy amounting respectively to Rupees 171-6-4 and Rupees 231-7- and retransmitting the account Particular № 2 for sanction.—

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 9th January 1854 addressed a letter to you and signed the fair copy, but through some mistake in the office during the absence of the Head Writer it was retained for a long period and the subsequent discovery of an error which appears to have taken place in regard to the year in the entry in the account Particular of charges enclosed in the Magistrate's Department sent to the Board on 11th October 1849 and sanctioned by Government under date November 1849 renders it necessary for

7543 Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

to address a fresh letter to the Board in connexion with the subject.—

2 In reference to the remarks in Para 1st I have the honor to state that the Balances of Tuccavy Rupees 171-6-4 for Fusly 1254 and 231-7-- for Fusly 1255 were recovered as follows.—

+ The actual balance was 231-3-- and not 231-7--

For Fusly 1254.—

---

As per Journal from 1st to 11th July 1845 — 165-6-4

Dr — for October 1845 — 6--- "

171-6-- "

For Fusly 1255—

---

As per Journal from 1st to 11th July 1246 — 231-3-- "

3 In again forwarding the account Particulars № 2 I beg to request the attention of the Board to the letter addressed to them by my predecessor № 60 when expressing his hope that for the reasons therein stated the Board of Revenue would not object to becoming the medium of submission to Government of account Particulars of a similar Judicial nature, whereon the Board obtained the requisite sanction of the Government. Those charges were for Fusly 1253 as shown on comparing the English date entered in the account Particulars

+ 1st November 1849—

7516

Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

Particulars, but they were erroneously state
to be for Fusly 1254, the year in whic
similar charges, entered in the account
Particulars № 2 forwarded me were
incurred.—

4. Thus is the last year in whic
it will be necessary to submit similar charg
for sanction through the Board of Revenu
and I have therefore taken the liberty of
again forwarding account Particulars №
as my predecessor did in the hope that the
Board will not object to submit it to
Government with a request that the charg
for which sanction was granted
under date the 26th November 18
may be considered as belonging
Fusly 1253, while those now for
=warded are for Fusly 1254.—

Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 10th September 1842— № 22— Letter dated 13th April 1840. № 11.—

5. Copies of the orders calle
for are furnished herewith.—

Kurnool,
Agents Office,
22d May 1851.—

/Signed/ J. D. Lashington
Agent to the Gover



Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

№ 1.
№ 11.

To, The Comissioner at
Kurnool.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the 30th Ultimo and
to inform you that the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council does not consider it necessary
at present to allot stipends to the Exs Nabob of
Kurnool and his late Minister Sumdar
Khan, but has authorized the Magistrate of
Trichinopoly to make disbursements not exc-
=eeding Rupees 1,000. per Mensem on account
of the former and Rupees 100 per Mensem on
account of the latter.—

/Signed/ Robert Clerke.
Secretary to Government

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J. D. Lashington
Agent to the Governor

Neelgherries, —
Ootacamund, —
13th April, 1840.

№ 2.
№ 202.

Extract from an Extract of the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th September, 1842.—

Read the following letter.—

From the Commissioner at Kurnool (Here enter 29th Augt 1842 № 255.—)

From Do. Do. (Here enter 29th Do. № 256.—)

Para 6— His Lordship in Council has
no

(2) 7514

Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

no objections to Streenevass Row and Kistna Row being employed on their present pa[y] as suggested in Para 3 of the second lett[er] above recorded / in Superintending the ingu[iry] into Enam titles and otherwise affording the Commissioner their assistance, but objecti[ng] to their being considered pensioners.—

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Robert Clarke
/ A true Extract from an Extract /
/ Signed / T. D. Lushington
Agent to the Governor.—

Bd. No. 1082.

Proceedings thereon.— The Board regret hav[ing]

again to return the accounts particulars

(31-32)

noted in the Margin, owing to an error [in]

No. 3. In the last item it is stated tha[t]

two peons were engaged from 1st Jul[y]

1844. to 30th June 1845 / 12 Months / at

Rupees 2-9-8 per Month, which at

rate named, would give a

Rupees 31-1-0 or for the two Rup[ees]

62-2-0 for the whole year, inste[ad]

the sum entered in the account pa[r]

-ticular is only Rupees 34-11-0

agent is requested to have this [error]

No. 2-3-4-8-9.

(2) 7516



Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

rectified.—

2 Mr. Lushington will be good enough to submit a Statement for the whole of the charges as incurred under Estimate in the form circulated from this Office under Dated 2d May 1836, noting such explanations as he may have to offer in the proper Column.—

3 In submitting the above named Statement with the accounts particular, Mr. Lushington will likewise be pleased to transmit copy of the orders of Government, in support of the charges enumerated in the account particular No. 4 as called for in their Proceedings of 9th January 1851.—

4 Under the explanation afforded in the letter above recorded, the Board have sanctioned the actual disbursements on account of advances for Cultivation, for Fuslies 1254 and 1255.—

---

Read the following letter.— To

7548

Fort St. George 6th June 1851.-

No. 32.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1166.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the hono
forward a Statement of four individual
drawing yeomahs from my Treasury
who ask permission to nominate, as th
Vakeels, other yeomiahdars, in lieu o
their former Vakeels, the payment
whose allowances has been under sus
=sion for the reasons stated in Mr. Board
letter to you of the 28th December 1850
158. Under these circumstances I
to recommend the request of the pa
to the favorable consideration of
Board of Revenue.—

North Arcot,
Collectors' Circuit Cutcherry,
Pulicat, 16th May 1851.

/Signed/ S. N. Ward
Acting Collector

Statement

7548

Fort St. George 5th June 1851.—

No. 1.

Statement of certain inferior yeomiahdars who ask permission to nominate as their Vakeels certain other yeomiahdars in lieu of their former Vakeels.

Yeomiahdars.

| Description of yeomiahdars. | Class and Division of Regulation. | Name of the Vakeels. | Amount of yeomiah per annum | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Life Pension of Arcot | 424 | Gadilakal Gunda | 78 | 70 |
| | 444 | | | |
| Conditional Pension of Arcot | 545 | Mahomed Pakud | 86 | 201 |
| | 564 | Ayal Thiloop | 69 | 66 |
| Mottaligan Pension of Vellore | 84 | Veyapaudiah | 81 | 95 |
| Subsistence Pension for Life | 640 | Hoofainy | | |

| Remarks. |
|---|
| 10 |
| The former Vakeel was deposed by Patheen Sarvadee a Murtabder in the Select Committee's Office, see Board's Proceedings of the 7th January 1850.— |
| The former Vakeel was deposed by Syed Hyder, yeomiahdar No. 496 dec. Board's Proceedings of the 19th June 1848.— |

Signed/ S. N. Ward
Acting Collr. &c.

Under

B.D. No. 1077

Proceedings thereon.—

(33-34.)

Under the explan
contained in the foregoing letter,
Board of Revenue sanction the nom
of the following individuals as Vak
of the Pensioners set opposite their
in lieu of the Vakeels appointed
the Board's Proceedings of the
June 1848 and 7th January 1850 a
appointments are hereby cancelle

Goolam Hoosain himself a Pensione
Rupees 120 per annum to be Vakeel to A
Cawder a Pensioner of Rupees — 70-

Goolam Seer Ollah Do. of Rupees 354 to be Vakeel to
- Mahomed Dishood Do. of Rupees — 200
- Syed Hyder Do. of Rs. — 66-8

Rupees — 266-8

Shumshoodeen Do. of Rupees 180 to be Vakeel to Syed Hufseddoollah
Hoosainy Do. of Rupees — 90

From

DG



No. 34

To

W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(35)

B.D. No. 1196

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.—

Sir,

I have the honor to forward translations of two Plaints which have been filed in the Sub. Court of Chittoor by Anna Pillay and his brother Terovengada Pillay Cate Joint Curnums of Chittoor and other Villages in Caveripauk Talook against the Collector Mr. Bourdillon and others. These two Plaints were received just at the time that Officer was about to quit the District for Madras; and as the act complained of was done by him in his Official Capacity, I have taken on myself the conduct of the suits as acting Collector of the District and returned with my signatures the notices which accompanied the Plaints; and I now beg to submit Drafts of the answer which I propose filing.—

Forwards translations of two Plaints filed in the Sub. Court of Chittoor in Nos. 38 and 40 of 1851. by Anna Pillay and Terovengada Pillay Curnums of Chittoor in Caverypauk Talook against the Collector and others with the answers proposed to be made thereto by 1st. Defendant and requests that sanction may be procured to defend the suits at the public cost.—

2. The facts connected with the case and the grounds upon which the Curnums were punished under the penal provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 are given

given in full detail in the decision passed by
Mr. Bourdillon on the 18th August 1830, and
approved of by the Board in their Proceedings
+ To Board October 22d 1830 dated December 9.th 1850 and to it I beg to
From Do. November 7th 1850
To Do. Do. 11th 1830
From Do. December 9th 1850. refer the Board as well as to the correspond-
ence noted in the Margin.+

3 Under the Circumstances above de-
tailed I beg to request that the Board will
be pleased to procure for me the Sanction
of Government to defend these two suits
at the public Cost. I originally obtained a
period of two Months within which to file my
answers, but as I have inadvertently allow-
a longer time to elapse than I ought to have
done I intend (if the sanction of Government do
not reach me before the end of the Month)
to apply to have that period extended.

North Arcot.
Collector's Circuit Cutcheery,
Pulicat, 21st May, 1851.

Signed J. S. N. Watson
Acting Collector

No. 1.

Complaint of Court Vakeel Vittal Rayen
on behalf of Annapullay of Anbipaundy
Caveripauk Talook a Cummum by Cas
preferred to the Subordinate Judge of
the Zillah of Chittoor, against J. D. Brun
Collr



Collector of the Zillah of Chittoor, Ragavanaiken,[2]
of Chittoor, in the above said Talook, and
a Gollah by caste, his uncle Casavanaik,[3]
Chengulroy Naiken[4] Rama Naiken,[5] Moonesamy[6]
Naik, Rungapa Naik, of the Cumma Caste and
Cultivator, Soobroy Moodly[7] of the Angoomedy
Caste, Arnachella[8] reddy of the Vellamah[9]
Caste, and Nurson[10] a Pariah:-

That the Plaintiffs ancestors
and descendants, as claimants to the Office
of Curnum of the Villages of Chittoor,
Antoopankum, Maungadchary and Mologoo-
pandy have been in the enjoyment of
the same. That since the assumption of the
Country by the Honorable Company, it was
usual, according to the Rules, to give an
account from time to time every year in
the Talook Cutcherry of the Stullum, Number,
Cawnies and Teerwah of land Cultivated
in the said Villages, to collect the amount
of assessment thereon from the Ryots
according to the Cultivation and pay it to
the Circar, and if lands had been Cultivated
after the Summabundy, to give an account
thereof, to the Circar, as Extra Cultivation,
within the close of the Year, and to permit

the

Fort St. George 8th June 1831.

the money collected on that account to the Circar. A Blank Statement being given in when no such Extra Cultivation was made.—

2. That the Plaintiff's Younger brother Perevengadapillay, was appointed Curnum for the above said four Villages and that he also Conducts the duties of Curnum &c.—

3. That as the Summabundy for Fusly 1257 Year Plavanga was Concluded in February 1848 the Monigars and Curnums &c. of the above said Villages of Chittoor &c. had at the Mamool hitherto observed, Conducted the Adungul in January of that Year and entered all the lands Cultivated, in the Adungul account. That the above Perovengada pillay had also given an account accordingly at the Summabundy Cutcherry in the Month of February, that the same was examined by the Sheristadar &c. and individual Puttahs were issued by the then Collector Mr. Lascelles.

4. That after the close of the Summabundy Perovengada Pillay returned to the Village and after having as usual entered in the Cultivation account, certain Land which had been Cultivated as Extra Cultivation in the Village of Chittoor, he proceeded to the Talook Cutcherry to have the same written thereto.

When



Fort St. George 8th June 1831.

When, he was told by the Tahsildar that he would not take down the account, until an enquiry had been instituted on a Complaint preferred at the Hoozoor and referred to him in which the 2d & 3d Defendants charged the said Perovengadapillay Maortingien, the Monigar and the Plaintiff, who is entirely unconnected with the affair, with having concealed Cultivation and given an account of it. to the Circar.—

5. Shreepathy pow the Turfdar, deputed by the Tahsildar to make the Aznash examined the land, (said by the 2d & 3d Defendants to have been concealed) in Company with the Monigars &c. observed the crops and was fully convinced on enquiry, that neither that nor any other Cultivation had been concealed; but that the Cultivation had been made after the Summabundy. That an account was accordingly prepared, the same generally approved, signed by the defendants and certain ryots then present, except by the 1st, 3d and 9th defendants, and forwarded by the Turfdar to the Talook Cutcherry.—

6. That the Sheristadar of the Talook thereupon, examined the defendants, the 1st and 3d excepted, as well as certain

ryots

hyots and the tolies and Pallias who meas-
ed the land, when it was ascertained by depo-
sitions, that the lands complained of were
Cultivated after the Summabundy, and that
the assessment thereon was not collected
from them by the Curnum and Monigar, and
such having been the case the Plaintiff
does not know why the Tahsildar neglected to
bring these facts immediately to the notice
of the 1st defendant.—

7. That the 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 defendants as contrary to the depositions re again preferred a false Complaint to the 1st Defendant, to the effect "that Mootiya "the Monigar, had given a document, of some "sort or other, which is in the possession of "Sawmy Moodly, that another document was "also given purporting that the Plaintiff "took upon himself to Pay in the Peishwah "of the land in question to the Circar, "that they (defendants) therefore deposed "before the Talook Sheristadar that no "concealment of Cultivation had taken "place, whereas in fact the concealment had actually taken place". That the defendants had also produced a document as if written by



by the Plaintiff bearing no evidence. That this Complaint was referred to the Tahsildar, but neither the Statements of the defendants nor the documents proved, and the Tahsildar thereupon reported to the 1st defendant by an arzee, that the documents were fabricated, that the lands were cultivated after the Summabundy, that the assessment thereon is still due by the Ryots and that the Complaint is false. But that the 1st defendant instead of doing what is proper in respect to the other defendants, took a different view of the case directly opposite to that proved, and on the 15th August 1830 inflicted a fine of 250 Rupees on the Plaintiff, who is not only entirely unconnected with the affair but one who lives respectably. That he had also fined the Village Monigar 300 Rupees. the Curnum Veroovengadapilley Rupees 250, dismissed them from their situations, and confined them in Jail

Jail, and that the 1st defendant is still in course of collecting the balance of the assessment of the land, said to have been concealed.—

8. That as the 1st defendant rigidly enforced payment of the fine inflicted on the plaintiff, the plaintiff and Teroovengadapilley produced suitable documents, and made, an appeal to the Board of Revenue, where an order was passed on the 16th October 1850 directing the Plaintiff to follow the course authorized in the circular orders of the 31st December 1835. That accordingly an appeal was again made by the Plaintiff to the 1st defendant who submitted it with the records connected with the case, to the Revenue Board, but that previous to receiving their final orders, he, the 1st defendant had irregularly collected the amount of fine from the Plaintiff's Security



because an appeal was made to them.

9. That the Board having reviewed the whole of the proceedings, passed an order on the 9th December 1850, to the effect that the aggrieved parties (Plaintiff and Teroovengadapillay) might make their complaint for damages, to the Audalut Court, and again on the 24th of the same Month, that such of the documents as might be required by the Court should be furnished by the 1st defendant and that an endorsement has also been given to the same effect.—

10. That the false complaint, brought on by the defendant, to disgrace the Plaintiff, owing to the hostile feelings existing between them (the 1st defendant excepted) was confined by the 1st defendant altho' not supported by proof, who improperly inflicted a fine on the Plaintiff, who is neither a Curnum, nor in any way connected with

with the Circar, but, one, who lives respectably by employment, and not only strictly ordered that in default of Payment, he should be confined, but also with a view of injuring his Character kept him in the Custody of Peons and troubled him. Hence the suit is preferred by him, claiming damages for defamation of character, and the recovery of the amount paid by the 1st. defendant, from the defendant.

11. That in consequence, the Plaintiff claims the recovery from the 1st defendant the sum of Rupees 250 as fees collected by him from the Plaintiff's security, and a trifling sum of Rupees 50 from the defendants as damages for defamation of Character as above stated. Total rupees three hundred to be recovered and paid to the Plaintiff.

27th February 1851.

/Signed/ Villah Ragavah
Court Vakeel

Filed in Court this 4th March 1851
/Signed/ W.A. Brodie
Sub Judge

/True translation/
/Signed/ S.N. Row
Acting Court



No. 2

Complaint of Court Vakeel Villah Ragaviah on behalf of Soroovengada pillay of Autoopautrum Caverypauk Taloot, a Curnum by Caste, preferred to the Subordinate Judge of the Zillah of Chittoor against J.D. Bourdillon Esqre, Collector of the Zillah of Chittoor.

Ragavanaiken of Chittoor in the above said Taloot and a Gollah by Caste, his uncle Cavavanaik, Chenguloy Naiken, Rama Naiken, Mooneramy Naik, Rungapa naik of the Curnum Caste and Cultivators Soobroy Moodely of the Augoomedy Caste, Arnachella reddy of the Vellamah Caste, and Nurson a Pariah.

That the Plaintiff's ancestors and descendants, as claimants to the office of Curnum of the Villages of Chittoor, Autoopautrum Maungandichary and Molagoopaudy have been in the enjoyment of the same that since the assumption of the Country by the Honorable Company, it was usual with them, according to the rules to give an account from time to time every year in the Taloot Cutcherry of the Stullum Rs. Cawnies, and Teerwah of the land cultivated in the said Villages to

to collect the amount of assessment thereon from the Ryots according to the cultivation and pay to the Circar. And if lands were cultivated after the Summabundy to give an account thereof as Extra cultivation to the Circar within the close of the year and to remit the Money collected on that account to the Circar. A blank Statement being given in when no such Extra cultivation was made—

2. That on the Summabundy for Fasly 1257 which concluded in February the Plaintiff and Monigars &c.a of the above said Villages had, as the Mamool hitherto observed, conducted the Adungul in January of that year and entered all the lands cultivated, in the Adungul Account, that the Plaintiff had also given an account accordingly at the Summabundy Cutcherry that the same was examined by the Sherristadar &c.a and individual Puttahs issued by the then Collector Mr. Lovell

3. That after the close of the Summabundy, the Plaintiff returned to the Village and after having as usual entered in the Account, certain land which had been cultivated as Extra cultivation



in the Village of Chittoor, he proceeded to the Talook Cutcherry to have the same written thereto when he was told by the Tahsildar that he would not take down the account until an enquiry had been instituted into a complaint preferred at the Hoozoor but referred to him for enquiry, in which 2d and 3d Defendants charged the Plaintiff, Moortingien the Monigar and Annapillay who is entirely unconnected in the affair with having concealed the cultivation of these lands and give no account of them to the Circar—

4. Shortly now the Tursdar deputed by the Tahsildar to make the Azmaish, examined the lands complained of by the 2d and 3d Defendants as having been concealed in Company with the Monigars &c.a observed the crops, and was fully convinced on enquiry that neither that nor any other cultivation had been concealed, but that the cultivation was made after the Summabundy—That an account was accordingly prepared, the same generally approved and being also signed by the 2d 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th and 9th defendants, and certain other Ryots, then present, the Tursdar

forwarded

Fort St. George 5th. June 1

forwarded it to the Talook Cutcherry-

5. That the Sheristadar of the Tal
thereupon, examined the Defendants th
and 3d. excepted, as well as certain ryots
the tolies and Pallianies, who measured t
land, when it was ascertained by depos
that the lands complained of were cultiv
after the Summabundy, and that the ass
=ment thereon was not collected from the
by the Curnum and Monigars, such ha
been the case it is not known to the C
=tiff why the Tahsildar neglected to br
these facts immediately to the notice
the 1st. Defendant -

6. That notwithstanding the 2d. 3d
5th. 7th. 8th. 9th. and 10th. Defendants, had th
deposed, they again preferred a false co
=plaint to the 1st. Defendant, to the effect
"Moortingien, the Monigar, had given a de
"ment of some sort or other, which is in
"profession of Saumy Moodely, that anoth
"document was also given, purporting
"Annapillay took upon himself to pay
"teerwah of the land in question to the
"and that they (defendants) therefore d
"before the Talook Sheristadar that
"cealment of cultivation had taken
"whereas in fact the



Fort St. George 5th. June 1851.

"taken place" That the Defendants had also
produced an unauthenticated document as if
written by the said Annapillay. That this com-
=plaint was referred to the Tahsildar but that
on enquiry neither the Statements of the defendants
nor the documents had been proved, and the
Tahsildar thereupon reported to the 1st Defend
=ant by an Anzee that the documents are fabri-
=cated that the lands were cultivated after the
Summabundy, that the assessment thereon is
still due by the ryots, and that the complaint
is false. But the 1st Defendant instead of
doing what is proper in respect to the other
defendants, took a different view of the case,
directly opposite to that proved, and vainly in-
flicted a fine of 250 Rupees on the 15th August
1850, on the Plaintiff who is a respectable person
that he has also fined the Monegar Moortingien
300 Rupees, and dismissed the Plaintiff from
his Office of Curnum, confined him in Jail
for 5 Months, and that the 1st Defendant is
still in course of collecting the balance of
the assessment of the land said to have been con-
=cealed, from those who cultivated it -

7. That in respect to the fine inflicted
on the plaintiff and Annapillay, they appealed
to the Board of Revenue where an order was
passed on the 16th October 1850, directed the
Petitioners to follow the course authorized
in

Fort St. George 5th June 18

in the Circular orders of the 31st Decemb
That accordingly an appeal was again made
the 1st defendant who submitted it with the
records connected in the case to the Revenue
8. That the Board having receive
whole of the proceedings passed an order on
9th December 1850 to the effect that the aggr
parties / Plaintiff and Annapillay / might
their complaint for damages to the Adalat
and an endorsement has also been given to
same effect That as the said endorsement
filed in Court with a complaint by Annap
in Suit No. 34 of 1851, it must be consider
as one connected with the subject of the
Complaint also—

9. That the false complaint bro
on by the Defendants, to disgrace the Plain
owing to the hostile feelings existing betw
them / the 1st Defendant excepted / was co
=firmed by the 1st Defendant, who contrary
the evidence of the above said records inf
=ed a fine of 250 Rupees to the disgrace
the Plaintiff and also dismissed him from
his Office and kept him in Jail for 5 Mon
Hence this Suit is preferred by him clai
damages for defamation of Character in
trifling sum of Rupees 60 and Cost of
to be paid him by the defendants—

8th March 1851.

Filed in Court this 8th March 185
/signed/ W. A. Britt
1st Judge
/ True Translation /
/ signed / S. N. Ward
Acting Collr

E.R.



Fort St. George 5th June 1857.—

No. 3.

Answer filed by S. N. Ward Esqre Acting Collector of North Arcot in behalf of J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Collector, 1st Defendant, in O. S. No. 38 of 1857 on the file of the Subr Court of Chittoor.—

1. The Plaintiff in this case Annamallay late a Joint Curnum of Chittoor Village in Cauveripauk Talook sues to recover 250 Rupees the amount of a fine levied from him by 1st Defendant and Rupees 50 as damages for defamation of Character.—

Revised Para

2. Plaintiff states two grounds of action, 1st That the charge on which he was convicted and sentenced was false; 2d that as he was not Curnum of the Village and not

2. He rests his case on 2 grounds— One— That he was not a Curnum or in any way connected with the Circar and therefore was not amenable to 1st Defendant for concealment of Cultivation.— The other— That the charge for which he was punished was unfounded.

As regards the 1st Defendant answers that Plaintiff though not formally appointed was at the time he was punished to all intents and proposes / according to the

the general practice obtain
in the district / Joint
with his brother Jeremy
pillay. and that he join
equally with him perfo
the duties of that Offic
wrote and signed accou
and gave them into the
Cutcherry, and that he is
fore equally liable to a
penal provisions of Regu
IX of 1822 under the 2d
clause 3d Section II of
enactment.

As regards the 2d
contends that the charge
proved and that the decre
plaind of is a just one

3. The facts of the
out of which the action
arisen are as follows.

In June 1848 a co
was preferred to 1st
against the Plaintiff and others charging
having concealed cultivation in the Shitto
the Cauveripauk Talook in Fasly 1257. In
year the Summahbundy proceeded the



so that tho the former had been some time over when
the Petition was presented. Orders had only just
been issued for the latter. The person deputed to
inspect Shittoor was Moonesamyer the Police Goomastah
and into his hands the complaint was given with
directions to make his examination with special
reference to its contents. Moonesamyer accordingly
commenced an Azmaish but falling sick -
Made over the conduct of the case to Streepaty Row
the Turfdar who had previously been associated
with him in the enquiry by the Tahsildar - On the
30th of July and from that date on to the 7th
August Streeputy Row made his inspection
and in the course of it became convinced that
Cultivation had beyond a doubt been concealed.
He made out a detailed account to which he
obtained the signatures of the Monigars and
Curnums and all the principal Ryots and with
the parties concerned returned to the Cutcherry.-
The Talook Sheristadar / the Tahsildar
having in the meanwhile been dismissed / took
cognizance of the case. and before him the
Village Officers admitted that Cultivation / as

contained

contained in the Azmaish account had been
omitted from the Summahbundy - but at the
time declared that the whole of it had taken place
after the Settlement was over and to this effect
gave their depositions as did also the Complain
and Ryots - In this state the case remained for
some time till the 1st Defendant's attention was
drawn to it by a Petition complaining of irreg
=larities in it's conduct and again some time
after by another that all the complaints
except the 1st had been gained over by the Ry
to aid in hushing up the matter. Nothing
further was done however till the arrival of
new Tahsildar, but when he took up the case
the parties who had been examined by the
Sheristadar retracted the Statements they had
given that the cultivation had been made
after the Summahbundy, and admitted that
in making those Statements they had been influenced
by promises given to them by friends and
mediators on behalf of the Monigar. It would
be useless to follow the case further, it suffices
to say that it again slumbered until it again



attracted the attention of the 1st Defendant; that he
then called it up and after due enquiry passed on
the order complained of as damaging Plaintiff's
Character; That Plaintiff thereupon Petitioned
the Board of Revenue, and that his Petition
was rejected.-

4. From this narrative the Court will
perceive that the excess cultivation entered in the
Azmaish das Streeputy Rows account is fully
admitted by Plaintiff. The excuse is that it all
took place after the Summahbundy.-
The 1st Defendant will show that this excuse
is futile. That the Crops had been gathered on
in prior to the first Azmaish is beyond question.
It is admitted at all hands that when Moone=
=sawmy Iyer saw the land in the middle of
July it was in stubble. Now Moonesawmy Iyer
has deposed that from the appearance it then
wore it could not have been in that state less
than 40 or 50 days. Streeputy Row who saw it
at the end of the same month says 2 months -
The Summah of the Village was settled on the
16th and 17th February and the Puttahs distributed
on the 21st February - Therefore if Plaintiff's
assertion is to be believed the Ryots could
have

have had but 3/12 months within which to prepare
to
ground for sow, reap and remove the various
of grain specified in the Aymaishdars' account
6. These grains as given in detail
that document are Car, Sumbah, (the words
and Sumbah" were afterwards fraudulently
altered to "Navarah" and "Muncutty" but
can be no doubt that when the Statement
first given in they stood as above quoted
Navarah, Muncutty and Indigo- But the
season for sowing Car is June, July and
small part of August, and that for Sumb
August, September and a small part of
October- and it follows therefore that neith
these Crops could have been raised after the
Summabundy- which was has been already
was made on 16th and 17th February and
the delinquents themselves in endeavouring
make the alteration alluded to tacitly
as much- Navarah is sown in Decem
January and a small part of February
therefore the same argument may be
to that tho not with such overwhelming



The Indigo however it must be admitted may
have been and the Munnacutty probably was
soon after the Settlement; but then the lands
must have been for the most part under prepa-
-ration at the time it was making and should
therefore have appeared in the accounts as Shair
and Pooldie" / and the whole or great part /
been included in the "Idoompier Dittum" or land
engaged to be cultivated after the Summabundy
whereas on the contrary, the whole extent was
there set down as "waste."—

Nor can this admission that a
7- portion of the land may have been cultivated
after the Settlement benefit the Plaintiff, the
fact of concealment still remains, for had
concealment not been his / and his brothers' /
intention, he would undoubtedly have reported
the cultivation in question at the Talook
Cutcherry either immediately after its
commencement or at any rate before the
harvest, to be included in the Seevy Jummah,
as laid down in the Hookoomnamah

of

of the District. Whereas the fact is that no
mention whatever was made of it till after
concealment had been brought to the notice of
Revenue authorities and an order issued that
the matter should be enquired into which
was after the Crop had /according to unan
admission/ been reaped and removed.

8. It is hoped that the above de
=tailed account of the case and of the grounds
which the order was passed will sufficiently
show the incorrectness of Plaintiffs
set forth in Plaint, and obviate the necessity
following him thro' each separate Paragraph. If
further explanation be required it may be gath
from the decision itself which will be filed
case, with other evidence in support of this

9. In conclusion 1st Defendant
that Plaintiff may be nonsuited and he /1st D
=fendant/ allowed his costs, but at the
time he would beg leave to submit to the
=ment of the Court whether the provisions of
XVIII of 1850 ought not to shield him for
action as the present.

/ Signed / S. S.



Revised Para 7.

The 1st Defendant after a —
personal examination of the case was clearly
of opinion that fraudulent concealment of
cultivation was fully proved against the —
Plaintiff and the two other accused parties,
and he accordingly passed sentence upon —
him under Clause fourth, Section V, Regu
=lation IX of 1822. As regards a large —
part of the alleged fraud viz the concealm
=ment of the Dar and Sunna Cultivation, there
is not the least room for doubt and even if some
hesitation should be felt by the Court respecting
some of the smaller items such doubt would not
affect the legality of the sentence; because the —
penalty annexed by law to this species of fraud
does not vary with the amount of the fraud —

Revised Para 8.

With regard to Plaintiff's second
Plea namely that he was not subject to Reg
=ulation IX of 1822 because he was not Curnam
of the Village and took no part in the hearing
of the Curnam the 1st Defendant begs to state
that he formally registered Curnam of the —
Village was Plaintiff's brother Doraisawmy Pi

Plaintiff in O.S. No 51 of 1851 that the two
fact he and Plaintiff jointly held the Office,
discharged it's duties, and enjoyed it's emolument

9. In North Arcot, as in other
Meerasy Districts there are often several sha
=pers in the Meerasy of a Village Office and a
though only one of them may be formally reg
others either jointly with him or by turns with him
share the emoluments of the Office and discha
it's duties not as Gomastah to the regis
cumbent but in their
all intents and purposes as much as the
holders of the Office. It was thus in the present
case. Seroungoda Pillay and his brother
Pillay of the present Plaintiff jointly
=prietors of the Gramma Meerasy of Chitto
and other Villages for several years
their father of the former registered holder
was superior and after his death they
performed all the duties and received the
emoluments. In Fasly 1257 the name of
Seroungoda Pillay was substituted
that of the Father



the two were dead some years but though only one of the
two sons was then formerly registered as Curnum
yet both continued as before to enjoy the emoluments
of the Office and to perform it's duties=

10. The 1st Defendant contends that
Arnua Pillay was Curnum of the Village as long as
Seroungoda Pillay and as long as they both were
prior to Fasly 1257 when their father was dead and
they did the duty without registration. He contends
therefore that Plaintiff is liable as Curnum to
the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 under Clause
2nd Clause 3rd Section II of that Regulation which
does not require that the Curnum shall be formally
registered as such. But even if
the Plaintiff was not "Curnum" still he is liable
under the same Clause and Clauses for he certainly
did the duty of the Office and if not as Curnum
then it must have been as "Gomastah" or "doing
duty" for the real Curnum. In either case therefore
the Plaintiff was justly liable to Proceedings

With regard to the Plaintiff's
11.
Claim to damages for defamation of Character
the 1st Defendant begs to urge that it is quite
unsustainable. Plaintiff brings his action agree-
-ably to an endorsement given to him by the Board
of Revenue in pursuance of Clause second Section VI
Regulation VII of 1822. In this enactment it is
directed

directed that on an Appeal Petition from a party fined by a Collector under Regulation IX of 1822 the Board see no reason either to grant the relief sought or to report the case to Government they should reject the Petition and refer the Petitioner to the Courts of Adawlut. It is clear that the cases in which parties are to be so referred to the Courts are the same that the Board of Revenue might have given had they seen reason to do so viz. the reversal of the Sentence only. In other words the appeal allowed to the party was to be made to the Courts of Adawlut instead of to the Board of Revenue but an Appeal in no case includes doing but is simply for the reversal or amendment of the order complained of.—

Again Act XVIII of 18[illegible] clearly protects Collectors from Actions for damages for Acts done only in Judicial capacity provided they believe at the time in good faith that they have jurisdiction such an absence of such good faith belief is even alleged against the Defendant by the present Plaintiff.

12. The facts of the case as stated with are found before the Court by the 1st Defendant therefore [illegible] the suit and award [illegible] costs.—

D.G.



No 4.—

Answer filed by S. N. Ward Esqre Acting Collector of North Arcot in behalf of J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Collector, 1st Defendant in O. S. No 41 of 1851, on the file of the Subordinate Court of Chittoor.—

1. The Plaintiff in this Case Teroovengada Pillay late a Curnum of Chittoor Village in Caveripauk Taloog sues to recover Rupees 64 as damages for defamation of Character. The case brought forward by the Plaintiff rests simply on the ground that the charge of concealing cultivation in the said Chittoor Village for which he (the Plaintiff) was punished is unfounded.—

The Circumstances of the case are as follows.—

2. In June 1848 a Complaint was preferred to 1st Defendant against the Plaintiff and others charging them with having concealed cultivation in the Chittoor Village of the Caveripauk Taloog in Fusly 1257. In that year the Summabundy preceded the Azmaish. So that tho' the former had been some time over when the petition was presented, orders had only just been issued for the latter. The person

* Plaintiff states that the charge on which he was convicted and sentenced was false.

1st Defendant replied that the charge was fully proved.

person deputed to inspect chittoor was Moonesamy Iyer, the Police Goomastah, and into his hands the Complaint was given with directions to make his ex= =amination with special reference to it's contents. Moonesamy Iyer accordingly commenced an Azmaish but falling sick made over the conduct of the case to Stree= =patty row the Tarfdar who had previously been associated with him in the Enquiry by the Tahsildar. On the 30th of July and from that date on to the 7th August Streeputty row made his inspection and in the course of it became convinced that cultivation had beyond a doubt been concealed. He made out a detailed account to which he obtained the signatures of the Monigars and Curnums and all the prin= =cipal Ryots and with the parties concerned returned to the Cutcherry. The Taloke Heristadar (the Tahsildar having in the meanwhile been dismissed) took cognizance of the case and before him the Village officers admitted that cultivation (as contained in the Azmaish Account) had been omitted from the Summabundy. but at the same time declared



declared that the whole of it had taken place after the settlement was over and to this effect gave their depositions as did also the Complainants and Ryots. In this state the case remained for some time till the 1st Defendant's atten= =tion was drawn to it by a Petition com= =plaining of irregularities in it's conduct and again some time after by another that all the Complainants except the 1st had been gained over by the Monigar to aid in hushing up the matter. Nothing further was done however till the arrival of the new Tahsildar. but when he took up the case the parties who had been examined by the Heristadar retracted the en Statements they had given that the cultivation had been made after the Summabundy and admitted that in making them they had been influenced by promises given to them by friends and Mediators on behalf of the Monigar. It would be useless to follow the case further it is enough to say that it again slumbered until it again attracted the attention of 1st Defendant that he then called it up and c after

after due enquiry passed the orders Complained of as damaging Plaintiffs character. That a personal examination of the case was clearly of opinion that fraudulent concealment of cultivation was fully proved against the Plaintiff and the two other ryots cited parties: and he accordingly passed sentence which was fully warranted under Clause 1st Section 1st Regulation IX of 1822 as regards the land which the Plaintiff stands charged with having concealed from measurement and the Collector was not the less warranted in passing sentence although the exact amount of land concealed was not ascertained with precision.

Plaintiff thereupon Petitioned the Board of Revenue, and that his Petition was rejected.-

3. From this narrative the Court will perceive that the excess cultivation entered in the Azmaishdar's Streeputy



Streeputy Row's account is fully admitted by Plaintiff. The excuse is that it all took place after the Jummabundy.-

The 1st Defendant will show that this excuse is futile. That the Crops had been gathered in prior to the first Azmaish is beyond question. It is admitted at all hands that when Moonesawmy Iyer saw the land in the middle of July it was in stubble. Now, Moonesamy Iyer has deposed that from the appearance it then wore it could not have been in that state less than 40 or 50 days. Streeputy Row who saw it at the end of the same Month says 2 Months. The Jumma of the Village was settled on the 16th and 17th February and the Puttahs distributed on the 21st February. Therefore if Plaintiff's assertion is to be believed the Ryots could have had but 3½ Months within which to prepare their grounds for sowing, reaping and removing the various kinds of grain specified in the Azmaishdar's account.-

These grains as given in detail in that document are Car, Sambah (the words "Car" and "Sumbah" were afterwards fraudulently altered to "Navarah" and "Munnacutty" - but there can be no doubt that when

when the Statement was first given in, they stood as above quoted) Navarah- Mannacutty and Indigo: But the proper season for sowing Cav is June, July and a small part of August & and that for Cumbah, August, September and a small part of October- and it follows therefore that neither of these Crops could have been raised after the Cummabundy- which as has been already stated was made on 16th and 17th Febry and indeed the delinquents themselves in endeavouring to make the alteration alluded to tacitly admit as much- Navarah is sown in December/January and a small part of February, and therefore the same argument may be applied to that tho' not with such over-whelming force- The Indigo however it must be admitted may have been and the Munnucutty probably was sown after the settlement, but then the land must have been for the most part under preparation at the time it was making and should therefore have appeared in the accounts as "Shair" and "Pooldie"- (and the whole of a



a great part) been included in the "Doompier Dittum" or land engaged to be cultivated after the Cummabundy- Whereas on the contrary the whole extent was there set down as "waste"-

Nor can this admission that a portion of the land may have been cultivated after the settlement benefit the Plaintiffs- the fact of concealment still remains- for had concealment not been his/ and his brothers/ intention, he would undoubtedly have reported the Cultivation in question at the Taloof Cutcherry either immediately after it's commencement or at any rate before the harvest, to be included in the Sevoy Jummah, as laid down in the Hoor Koominamah of the District, whereas the fact is that no mention whatever was made of it till after it's concealment had been brought to the notice of the Revenue authorities and an order issued that the matter should be enquired into which was after the Crop had (according to universal admission) been reaped and removed.-

It is hoped that the

4.

above

above detailed account of the case and of the grounds on which the order was passed will sufficiently shew the incorrectness of Plaintiff's assertions as set forth in Plaint. and obviate the necessity of following him thro' each separate Paragraph. If further explanation be required it may be gathered from the decision itself which will be filed in the case with other evidence in support of this Statement.

5. In conclusion 1st Defendant prays that Plaintiff may be nonsuited and he (1st Defendant) allowed his costs but at the same time he would beg leave to submit to the Judgment of the Court whether the provisions of Act XVIII of 1850 ought not to shield him from such an action as the present.

(Signed) S. N. Ward
Acting Collector.

Revised Case. 5. With regard to the Plaintiff's claim to damages for defamation of character the 1st Defendant begs to urge that it is quite unsustainable, Plaintiff brings his action agreeably to amendment given by the Board of Revenue in mandamus



Case record, Section VI, Regul VII, of 1828. In this enactment it is directed that on an appeal Petition from a party fined by Collector under Regulation IX of 1822, if the Board see no reason to grant the redress sought on the representation case to Government shall reject the Petition and transmit the Petition to the Civil Court [illegible] that the suit to be instituted by the Board of Revenue and the Court of Judicature [illegible] the Board of Revenue [illegible] by for the remedial of Amendment of the order complained of. [illegible] Act XVIII of 1850 [illegible] Collectors [illegible] actions for damages [illegible] Judicial Capacity provided

that believe at the time in good faith that they have jurisdiction and no evidence of bad faith will be even alleged against the Defendants by the present Plaintiff.—

2. Due leave at the time to adduce stated will be asked before the Court and the 1st & 2nd Defendant therefore prays the Court to dismiss the suit and award him his costs.—

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

No. 1088.

35-36

P. No. 234.

To the Chief Secty to Government

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter dated the 21st May 1851, from the Collector of North Arcot, forwarding translations of Two plaints filed in Suits Nos. 38 and 41 of 1851, in the Sub. Court of Chittoor against the

To the Chief Secty to Government submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot reporting the institution of 2 Suits against himself and others for the recovery of Compensation inflicted and damages for defamation of character. stating the draft answers with certain amendments.



amendments constitute a good and sufficient defence and recommending that the Collector be authorized to proceed with the same at the public cost.—

the Collector and others with draft of the proposed Answers and requesting sanction to proceed with the Defence at the public Cost.—

2. The Plaintiff, Annapillay in Suit No. 38 states two grounds of action. 1st that the charge of concealed cultivation with fraudulent intent on which he was convicted and fined by the Collector under Regulation IX of 1822 was false. 2d that as he was not Curnum and took no part in the duties of the Office with his brother he therefore was not liable to process.—

3. With several amendments which the Board proposed to make to the draft Answer it is urged in defence that the charge was fully proved after personal examination before the Collector, especially as regards the concealment of the Car and Samba Cultivation, and if there be any doubt respecting the minor items such should not affect the legality of the sentence, because the penalty annexed to this species of fraud does not vary with the amount of fraud. and it is shewn that Plaintiff's excuse that the excess took place after the Jummabundy is futile. In defence of the 2d ground of action it is not deemed that Plaintiff's

Fort St. George 5th June 1851.

Plaintiff's brother (Seroovengada Pillay, Plaintiffs in Suit 41 of 1851) was the only formally registered Curnum, but it is argued that both jointly held the Office, discharged the duties and enjoyed the emoluments, first when their Father, the former holder was an infirm and again after his death in Fusly 1257 when the name of Seroovengada Pillay was registered instead of that of their father. It is also urged that one brother was no less Curnum of the Village than the other and no less than both were prior to their father's death in Fusly 1257, so that Plaintiff was liable to the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822, and came under the description of the 2d Class of persons named in Clause 3 Section II of the Regulation, which does not require that a Curnum coming under it's provisions must needs be formally registered as such. If however it be ruled that Plaintiff was not Curnum then it is urged that he is yet liable as Gomastah or as "doing duty" as, or for the real Curnum, and being either of these that he is liable to proceedings under the Regulation.-

4. In reference to the grounds of



Fort St. George 5th June 1851.

of action suing for damages for defamation of Character the Defence sets forth that the Appeal allowed to the Courts of Adawlut instead of to the Board of Revenue in no way can include damages, but is simply for the reversal or amendment of the order complained of, and that Act XVIII of 1850 clearly protects Collectors from actions for damages for acts done in their Judicial capacity provided that they believe, at the time, in good faith that they have jurisdiction; and no absence of good faith is alleged against the defendant by the Plaintiffs. The 1st defendant therefore prays for the dismissal of the Suit and for his Costs being awarded him.-

5 The Plaintiffs in Suit No. 41 of 1851, Seroovengada Pillay, brother to the above was a Curnum of the Chittoor Village and seeks the recovery of Rupees 64 as damages for defamation of Character on the plea that the charges for concealed Cultivation with fraudulent intent on which he was convicted and sentenced by the 1st Defendant were false.-

6 With the amendments which have been proposed by the Board to the Draft answer in this case it is urged in defence as

as was urged in the former Suit that the Charge against Plaintiff was proved and that if there should be doubts of those minor items of fraud such should not affect the legality of the sentence and it is likewise shewn that Plaintiff's excuse that the excess cultivation took place after the Jummabundy is worthless.-

7. A precisely similar ground of defence is taken by the 1st defendant to defeat the claim of Plaintiff to damages for defamation of character and the 1st defendant in like manner prays the Court to dismiss this suit also and to award him his costs.-

8. The Board are of opinion that with the several amendments in both the Draft answers in red ink, they constitute a good and sufficient Defence, and they therefore recommend that the Collector may be authorized to proceed with the same at the public cost.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th June, 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Dfp



Read the following letters:-

No. 71

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Bd's No. 200
From the Collr
of Salem

37

I have the honor to forward for publication in your Board's Office, an Advertisement regarding the proposed sale of the lands belonging to certain revenue Defaulters in Taluk Salem, which are now under attachment

2 The circumstances under which the sale of the lands specified in the Advertisement is rendered necessary are these. The ryots have reaped and appropriated to their own use the produce of their lands, without however making any arrangement for discharging the Teerua due thereon, and there is no moveable property forthcoming to meet the balance due. They have evaded all arrangements for its adjustment and are reported to be persons who never will come to terms until, as has before been the case, the last extremity is resorted to.

They therefore to request that the sale of the lands in question, the particulars of which are exhibited in the accompanying Statement, may be sanctioned, and that the necessary instructions may be communicated to me on the subject in sufficient time for the publication of the Advertisement in the Taluks.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector
Salem District,
Tirupatore Collr's Cutcherry,
21st May 1851.

Notice

7594

Notice is hereby given that the Lands part
have been attached, in consequence of the holders not having
Purchase Money at the Hoozzoor Cutcherry in Salem.

The Purchaser is required to pay 15 per Cent of the
or Talook Treasury, and in default thereof the land
Lands will not be considered valid until this

| Talook. | Village. | Name of the Defaulter. | Amount. | | | Name of the Village in which the Lands are situated. | Name of the Land. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Yathapoor. | | Iyanar Alagun | | | | | |
| | | Do Ranguen | | | | | N: 827 Mootoovalyar |
| | | Dawaray Ranguen | 8 | 3 | 11 | Yathapoor | Alungaree Poonjah Land belonging to Iyanar Alagun |
| | | Ana Ranguen | | | | | |
| Salem. | Poodoopolliem | Ammyen — 1 | | | | | |
| | | Mootoo — 2 | | | | | |
| | | Peddoo — 3 | | | | | |
| | | Nullah Iyen — 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | Poodoo Polliem | |
| | | Paraiyan — 5 | | | | | |
| | Yerramanmodrum. | Vencatachellum 1 | | | | | |
| | | Munnada Gounden 2 | 30 | 14 | 7 | Yerrama Samoodrum. | N: 43 Auri Poonjah |
| | | | | | | | 44 Vaura Do |
| | | | | | | | 64 Ana Poonjah |
| | | | | | | | 65 Doroo Kotteroo Poonjah |
| | | | | | | | 71 Anady Poonjah |
| | | | | | | | Total |

Salem District, Tahsildar, Collector's Cutchery,

Fo

ularized [illegible] able property D
paid the a/s highest bidder on

[illegible] ther in the Hoozzoor
purchase M [illegible] sale of the
will be re
orders of

| Particul | | | Total. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Poonjah | | | | | | |
| Extent. | Extent. | | | Assess-ment | | |
| | A | G a | R a P | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 26 12 | 6 | 25 13 | 24 | 4 7 |
| | | | 3 | | | |
| | | | 6 | | | |
| | | | 3 | | | |
| | a | a a | 1 | 24 4 | 6 | 6 8 |
| | a | 35 | 9 | | | |
| | a | 19 | 19 | 11 | 17 12 | 32 | 10 10 |
| | a | 28 | 10 | | | |
| | 3 | 13 | 1.0 | | | |
| | a | 9 | 3 | | | |
| | a | 27 | | | | |

21st [illegible]
Collector.

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Poonjah Lands situated in | 1 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 4 0 |
| 11 | Village Yathapoor belonging to | | | | | | |
| | 6 Iyanar Alagun | | | | | | |
| | Sal: N: 819 Chinna Poonjah | | | | | | |
| | Alungaree Situated in | a | 23 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 7 4 0 |
| | Village Yathapoor belonging | | | | | | |
| | to Iyanar Ranguen | | | | | | |
| | Ditto Ditto part of Poonjah Land Ditto | a | 14 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| | N: 2252 Chinna Poonjah Alungaree | | | | | | |
| | Iyanar Alagun — 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | Ditto Ranguen — 2 | | | | | | |
| | Dawaraya Ranguen — 3 | a | 12 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 1 17 7 0 |
| | Ana Ranguen — 4 | | | | | | |

21st May, 1857 —

Salem — Talook

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Nunjah land in the above Village belonging to Iyanar Alagum and Iyanar Rungun joint Puttahdars | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3 0 7 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 3 8 0 |
| | | | | | | No: 2258 Ditto Nunjah Ditto | 1 | 2 | 7 | 10 8 13 3 | |
| | | | | | | No: 2256 Chinna Rajah Alungaree Nunjah belonging to Dauarya Rungun | " | 2 | 5 | 5 1 10 6 | 3 8 0 |
| | | | | | | No: 228 Alungaree Totum situated in Village Pootragaunden pollium belonging to Iyanar Rungun | " | 2 | 1 | 8 2 13 5 | 1 6 0 |
| | | | | | | | 6 | 2 | 5 | 13 24 4 7 | 8 14 0 |
| 2 | Ammyen | 1 | | | | In No: 314 Calayee Alan poonjah Totum situated in Village Poodoo pollium belonging to these five Persons joint Puttahdars | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 6 8 | 1 8 0 |
| | Mootoo | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Peddoo | 3 | 1259 | 6 | 6 8 | 1 14 0 | 4 8 8 | | | | |
| | Nulla Iyen | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pariyan | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Vencatachellum | Ditto | 48 | 13 | 7 | 18 1 0 | 30 14 7 | No: 44 Tauna poonjah | " | 1 | 9 | 11 5 1 | 5 0 |
| | Munnada Gounden-2 | | | | | | | No: 64 Aura Poonjah | " | 2 | 8 | 11 " 12 0 | 1 8 0 |
| | | | | | | | | No: 65 Oorookotaroo Poonjah | 3 | 1 | 3 | 12 5 0 7 | |
| | | | | | | No: 71 Aruady Poonjah | " | 9 | 9 | " 6 0 | " 2 0 |
| | | | | | | In No: 66 Totum | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 10 11 | 5 8 0 |
| | | | | | | No: 45 Poonjah land converted into Nunjah | 1 | 6 | 5 | 7 9 0 | 3 " 0 |
| | | | | | | No: 43 Ditto Ditto | " | 1 | 1 | 5 1 5 9 0 | 8 " |
| | | | | | | In No: 44 Ditto Ditto | " | 2 | 8 | 11 " 7 6 | " 8 0 |
| | | | | | | No: 47 Ditto Ditto | 1 | 7 | 12 | 3 14 6 | 3 0 0 |
| | | | | | | " " part of Ditto | " | 2 | 8 | 11 4 10 6 | 3 " 0 |
| | | | | | | No: 46 Nunjah Totum | " | 9 | 9 | 1 14 4 | 13 6 0 |
| | | | | | | | 11 | 1 | 7 | 12 32 10 10 | 31 " 0 |

Salem District,
Tripatore,
Collector's Cutchery,
21st May, 1851

E. E. Jr

(Signed) W. D. Phillips
Collector

B. No 1078
Proceedings thereon

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue authorize the sale, for arrears of Revenue, of the lands adverted to, and direct the publication in their Office of the Advertisement forwarded by the Collector; Friday the 11th of July being fixed for the day of sale. Mr Phillips will be pleased to insert that date in the Advertisement published in the District.

2 The probable value of the land, it is observed, is entered at a very low amount. If it should appear at the time of sale that the land is more valuable than is here represented, the Collector will be careful to put up so much only as may be necessary to cover the arrears

37-38

---

No. 74

To, W. A. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit

39
B. No. 1248
From the Collector of Salem —



in the prescribed form, a Statement regarding certain Mootahs sequestered for arrears of Revenue due up to the 11th April, or the Pungony Fleet of Fusly 1260, and request the sanction of the Board for the establishment employed to take charge of them.

Salem Zillah, }
Mettoor, "
Collector's Cutcherry }
27th May, 1851.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement

Fort St. George 5th June 1851

Statement of Lands Sequestered for Arrears of Revenue to 11th April or Paingoony Kist of Fusly 1260 in the Zillah of Salem with the Lootahs Sequestered to their charge requiring the Sanction of the Board of Revenue.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 | | | 10 | | | 11 | | | 12 | 13 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Name of the Zemindar or Proprietors. | Number of Villages included in the Zemindaree or Estate. | Permanent Summa of the entire Estate. | | | Amount of Kist demandable for the current Fusly. | | | Amount collected. | | | Balance. | | | Number of Villages proposed to be attached | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached. | | | Establishment proposed to be employed in the attachment. | | | Per centage of the Establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached. | | | Date of attachment. | Remarks. |
| | | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | | Rs | a | p | | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | | |
| 2 | 5 | 2,629 | 1 | 3 | 2,223 | 9 | 3 | 2,042 | 11 | 6 | 180 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 2,629 | 1 | 3 | Ameen — 1<br>Peons — 2<br>3 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 22d April 1851 | |
| Cupee Reddy — dead — 1<br>Moodely — 2<br>Odiam — 3<br>Chetty<br>Chetty — 4 | 4 | 4,311 | 4 | 0 | 3,640 | 10 | 10 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 640 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 4,311 | 4 | 0 | Ameen — 1<br>Peons — 2<br>3 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | Ditto | |
| Moodely — 1<br>Moodely — 2<br>Odiam — 3<br>Chetty<br>Chetty — 4 | 5 | 3,091 | 8 | 1 | 2,625 | 8 | 1 | 2,232 | 3 | 2 | 393 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 3,091 | 8 | 1 | Ameen — 1<br>Peon — 1<br>2 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 23d Do | |
| | 6 | 578 | 10 | 5 | 489 | 0 | 0 | 462 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 578 | 10 | 5 | Ameen — 1<br>Peon — 1<br>2 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 19 Do | |
| | 9 | 1,857 | 10 | 3 | 1569 | 15 | 2 | 953 | 11 | 0 | 616 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 1857 | 10 | 3 | Ameen — 1<br>Peons — 2<br>3 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 12 Do | |
| Moodely — 1<br>Chetty — 2<br>Chetty — 3<br>Chetty — 4<br>Chetty — 5<br>Chetty — 6<br>Chetty — 7<br>Chetty — 8<br>Moodely — 1<br>Moodely — 2 | 47 | 5,501 | 4 | 1 | 4,650 | 0 | 1 | 2,948 | 1 | 0 | 1,701 | 15 | 1 | 47 | 5501 | 4 | 1 | Ameen — 1<br>Peons — 2<br>3 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 11th April 1851 | |
| | 49 | 3,641 | 11 | 6 | 3084 | 15 | 8 | 2545 | 0 | 0 | 539 | 15 | 8 | 49 | 3841 | 11 | 6 | Ameen — 1<br>Peons — 2<br>3<br>115 | 10 | 8 | 0<br>0<br>0<br>8 | 7 | 8 | 20 | Do | |
| | | 21,611 | 1 | 7 | 18283 | 11 | 1 | 14184 | 3 | 7 | 4099 | 7 | 6 | | 21,611 | 1 | 7 | | | | | | | | | |

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Collector's Cutcherry 27th May, 1851.

The

Bd. No. 1080.
Proceedings thereon

39-40

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the several establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of Rupees 115-8-. per mensem, for the management of the Estates in the District of Salem attached for arrears of Revenues for the current Fusly.

---

Read the following letter.

No. 87.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

41
Bd. No. 957.

From the Collr of Tinnevelly
Submitting for the approval of the Board a scheme for the division of the lands of 3 out of 11 Villages between Government and the Devastanums which have a right respectively to a half share of each.

Para 1 I have the honor to submit for the approval of the Board of Revenue with reference to Paras 8 to 11 of Extract from their Proceedings dated 1st July last, a scheme for the division of the lands of three Villages between Government and the Devastanums which have a right respectively to a half share of each.

Similar



2 Similar accounts are in preparation for the remaining 8 Villages in which the Government and Devastanum interests are blended, but before submitting them, I beg to be furnished with any instructions that may be considered necessary upon view of those now submitted.

3. It appears from the accounts of this District that in every one of the Villages to be divided between Government and the Devastanums, the proportionate share of the Devastanums extends to the waste as well as to the usually cultivated lands.

The plan consequently follow-

4. ed in making the distribution has been after separating from the entire Village such manium and other lands as appertain to the Government or Devastanums exclusively or to some distinct party- to give to the Government and to the Devastanums portions of usually cultivated

cultivated lands producing equal yearly revenue and to divide the waste lands similarly with reference to equal value in assessment according to the accounts. In doing this it has been considered most convenient in many instances to allot a larger portion of Nunjah to one party and to compensate for it by a smaller portion of Garden or Punjah revenue:

5 Some of the lands to be made over to the Devastanums are subject to Devaseam fees for which as they vary with the extent of cultivation it would not be easy to assign an equivalent in land which might be taken from the Devastanum shares leaving the remainder entirely rent free. It is therefore proposed to continue this demand which will not be objected to, as it is common even in Sircar Manium lands in many parts of this District. But in the case of certain Enam Maniums depending also upon extent of cultivation, some of which are payable by Government on

D.G.



their division of the Village to the Devastanams and others by the Devastanams on their division to Government who disburse the same to individual Maniendars, it has been judged better to take the average of their amount for 5 years from Fasly 1253 to 1257 and to give to the Government and Devastanums respectively in lieu of those Maniems an extent of land usually cultivated bearing an amount of assessment equal to that average.

+ Vide enclosed Statements Nos. 2 & 3.

6. Where Chuckbundy Enams were found in which the Devastanums and Ryots were sharers they have been divided between the parties. The Enams due to Village servants are to be paid in equal proportions by Government and the Devastanums.

7. The above explanations with the remarks contained in the accompanying Statement will I trust render the particulars of the proposed distribution of these three Villages intelligible and be considered satisfactory.

× No. 1.

Tinnevelly, Trichendoor, 17th April 1851.

Signed C. J. Bird.
Collector.

Statement A.

Fort St. George

5th June 1854.

wing the particulars of the proposed apportionment of lands in the Undermentioned 3 Villages in the District of Tinnevelly in which the interests of Gov

| Description of Land. | Total Extent of Land as per Tyacub | Deduct: Extent of Land appertaining exclusively to the Pagodas | Deduct: Extent of Land appertaining exclusively to the Government | Deduct: Extent of undivisible land appertaining to both Pagodas and Government | Total Deductions | Remaining Extent of Lands to be divided | Berij thereof | Government Share of the lands in Col. 9. | Berij thereof | Add lands taken from the Pagodas share in exchange for lands given from the Government share in consequence of the Government lands being anteriously situated in the Pagoda Lands | Berij |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | Pl. m. m | | Pl. m. m | | Pl. m. m | Pl. m. m | Cm | A P | | Cm A P | Cm A P |
| Parumbokie | 50. 18. 3 1/8 | " | 50. 18. 3 1/8 | " | 50. 18. 3 1/8 | " | " " " | | " | " " " | " " " |
| Chuckbundy Maniem | 14. 2. 6 1/2 | Pl. m. m 1. 1. 7 5/8 | 13. " 6 7/8 | " | 14. 2. 6 1/2 | " | " " " | | " | " " " | " " " |
| 1st Class land usually cultivated | 12. 1. 5 7/8 | " | " | " | " | 12. 1. 5 7/8 | 63 1 6 | 6. " 6 7/8 | 13 8 9 | Pl. m. m 6. " 7 | 31 8 9 |
| Do fit for Cultivation | 4. 20 2 7/8 | " | " | " | " | 4. 20 2 7/8 | 73 6 8 | 2. 10. 1 | 11 11 4 | 2. 10. 1 5/8 | 11 11 4 |
| | 82. 1 1 7/8 | 1. 1. 7 5/8 | 63. 19. 2 | " | 65. " 1 5/8 | 17. 1. " | 86 8 2 | 8. 10. 7 7/8 | 43 4 1 | 8. 11. 1/8 | 43 4 1 |
| Chuckbundy Maniem | 3. 2. 2 5/8 | " | 3. 2. 2 5/8 | " | 3. 2. 2 5/8 | " | " " " | | " | " " " | " " " |
| 2d Class land under Cultivation | 13. 18. 5 1/8 | " | " | " | " | 13. 8. 5 1/8 | 126 2 2 | 6. 19. 6 5/8 | 63 1 1 | " | " " " |
| Do fit for Do | 5. 20. 6 5/8 | " | " | " | " | 5. 20. 5 5/8 | 38 6 2 | 2. 20. 6 3/4 | 29 3 1 | " | " " " |
| | 22. 20. 5 3/8 | " | 3. 2. 2 5/8 | " | 3. 2. 2 5/8 | 19. 18. 2 3/4 | 184 8 4 | 9. 19. 5 3/8 | 92 4 2 | " | " " " |
| Parumbokie | Chains 102 1/8 | Chains 19 1/4 | Chains 74 29/32 | Chains 8 9/32 | Chains 102 1/8 | " | " " " | | " | " " " | " " " |
| Desa cavel lands in which Pagodas have no share | 18 5/8 | " | 18 5/8 | " | 18 5/8 | " | " " " | | " | " " " | " " " |
| 2d Class land under cultivation | 5 11 63/64 | * 22 5/32 | * 5 9/64 | " | 27 19/64 | 484 11/16 | 1731 2 5 | 248. 13/16 | 863 9 2 | " | " " " |
| Do fit for Do | 243 27/64 | " | " | " | " | 243 27/64 | 717 4 2 | 124 1/4 | 358 10 1 | " | " " " |
| | 876 5/32 | 41 12/32 | 98 23/64 | 8 9/32 | 148 3/64 | 728 7/64 | 2448 6 7 | 370 1/16 | 1224 3 3 | " | " " " |
| Topes | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3 3 8 | " | 1 10 10 | 4 | " " " |
| Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2722 12 9 | " | 1361 6 4 | " | 43 4 1 |

Fort St. George

5th June 1851.

Government and the Pagodas are blended.

| Total Land. | Beriz thereof. | Deduct land given from the Government share in exchange for land taken from the Pagoda share in consequence of the Pagoda land being contigious to Government land. Land. | Beriz. | Total Government Share. Land | Beriz. | Net Pagoda share. Land | Beriz. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | |
| Pts. M | CRs A P | | CRs A P | Pt. M. M. | CRs A P | | CRs A P | |
| " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | 1. There being only one Tank with a single sluice to it in this Village the Trustee of the Pagoda for fear that disputes may arise regarding the division of water requested that the whole of the Nunjah lands under the Tank might be taken by Government and Garden lands given in exchange which has accordingly been done. |
| " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | |
| 1. 5 7/8 | 63 1 6 | " | " " " | 19. 1. 5 7/8 | 63 1 6 | " | " " " | |
| 20. 2 1/8 | 23 6 8 | " | " " " | 4. 20. 2 1/8 | 23 6 8 | " | " " " | |
| 1. " | 86 8 2 | " | " " " | 17. 1. " | 86 8 2 | " | " " " | |
| " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | Pt. Mt. On | | |
| | Pt. Mt. On | | | | | | | |
| 19. 6 5/8 | 63 1 1 3. 9 3 1/2 | | 31 8 9 | 3. 10 3 1/8 | 31 8 4 | 10. 8. 2 | 94 9 10 | 2. The increase in the Pagoda share under the Head of Bagayet is owing to some Bagayet lands having been given in exchange for Nunjah lands as mentioned in remark. No. 1. If Tobacco Cultivation be made on these lands the Trustees is to pay to Government 30 fanams per Chain on account of Desavavel fees. |
| 20. 6 3/4 | 29 3 1 1. 4. 7 | | 11 11 4 | 1. 15. 7 3/4 | 17 7 9 | 14. 12. 7 3/8 | 135. 8 3 | |
| 9. 5 3/8 | 92 4 2 4. 14. 2 1/2 | | 43 4 1 | 3. 5. 2 7/8 | 49 " 1 | " | " " " | 3. For the extent of Poonjah lands cultivated in the Pagoda share in each Fusly the Trustee is to pay 3/4 of a fanam per Chain on account of Desavavel fees. |
| " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " " " | |
| | | | | | | 238 7/8 | 865. 9 3 | |
| 5. 13/16 | 865. 9 2 | " | " " " | 245. 13/16 | 865. 9 2 | 119 11/64 | 338 10 1 | |
| 1/4 | 338 10 1 | " | " " " | 124 1/4 | 358 10 1 | 385. 3/64 | 1224 3 4 | |
| 1/16 | 1224 3 4 | " | " " " | 370 1/16 | 1224 3 3 | " | 1 10 10 | |
| | 1 10 10 | " | " " " | " | 1 10 10 | " | 1361 6 3 | |
| | 1404 10 5 | " | 43 4 1 | " | 1361 6 4 | | | |

Fort St. George

3rd June 1857.

Summary Estimate Register

Names of Pagodas.

| Description of land | Total Extent of Land as per ayacutt. | Deduct. Extent of land appertaining exclusively to the Pagodas. | Extent of land appertaining exclusively to the Government. | Extent of indivisible land appertaining to both Pagodas and Government | Total deduction | Remaining Extent of land to be divided | Berij thereof | Government share of the land in Colg | Berij thereof | Add land taken from the Pagoda share in exchange for land given to the Government share in consequence of the Government land being contiguously situated to Pagodas Land. | Berij. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| | M. M. | | | | | | | C R | A P | C R | A P | |
| Poramboke | 65 9 7 | " | 68 2 7 | " | 68 2 7 | " | | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| Chuckbundy Mamiow | 2 5 1 2/8 | " | 2 8 1 3/8 | " | 2 8 1 3/8 | " | | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| 2d Class lands fit for cultivation | 18 5 4 1/4 | " | " | " | " | " | 10 5 | 31 7 " | 5 2 6 1/8 | 17 3 6 | 5 2 6 1/8 | 17 3 6 |
| | 80 16 4 3/8 | " | 70 11 3/8 | " | 70 11 3/8 | " | 10 8 | 31 7 " | 5 2 6 1/8 | 17 3 6 | 5 2 6 1/8 | 17 3 6 |
| 1st Class lands under Cultivation | 9 17 2 1/8 | " | " | " | " | " | 9 17 | 73 9 6 | 4 19 1 1/8 | 36 12 9 | " | " |
| 2d Do fit for Do | 1 18 3 1/2 | " | " | " | " | " | 1 1 | 13 2 " | " 18 1 3/4 | 6 9 " | " | " |
| | 11 5 5/8 | " | " | " | " | " | 11 11 | 86 11 6 | 5 16 2 7/8 | 43 8 9 | " | " |
| | Chains 76 3/64 | Chains 30 1/8 | Chains 30 1/8 | 15 57/64 | 76 3/64 | 570 | | " " | " " | " " | " " | " " |
| Poramboke | 600 49/64 | 8 31/64 | " | " | 8 31/64 | 592 | | 2164 9 6 | 294 3/16 | 1083 12 9 | " | " |
| 1st Class land under Cultivation | 98 | " | " | " | " | 98 | | 367 12 " | 45 29/32 | 183 11 " | " | " |
| 2d Do fit for Do | 774 23/32 | 38 27/64 | 30 1/8 | 15 57/64 | 84 17/32 | 690 | | 2332 5 6 | 340 3/32 | 1267 10 9 | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | | 5 9 1 | " | 2 12 7 | " | " |
| Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | | 2662 1 1 | " | 1331 " 7 | " | 17 3 6 |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | | " " | " | " " | " | " |
| | Chains 47 25/64 | Chains 20 3/4 | Chains 20 3/4 | 7 19/64 | 47 25/64 | | | " " | " | " " | " | " |
| Poramboke | 1 | " | 1 | " | 1 | 367 | | 1143 5 10 | 170 23/32 | 722 10 11 | " | " |
| Chuckbundy mamiow | 367 | " | " | " | 27/32 | 366 | | 149 1 3 | 47 31/32 | 224 8 7 | " | " |
| 1st Class lands under cultivation | 104 3/64 | 27/32 | " | " | " | 103 | | 1894 7 1 | 218 11/16 | 947 3 6 | " | " |
| 2d Do fit for Do | 549 1/2 | 20 57/64 | 21 3/64 | 7 19/64 | 49 15/64 | 500 | | 4 12 4 | " | 2 6 2 | " | 2 6 2 |
| Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | | 1899 3 5 | " | 949 9 8 | " | 2 6 2 |

Fort St. George

3rd June 1831

| Total Extent of Government Land. | Beriz Thereof | Deduct land given from the Government Share in exchange for land taken from the Pagoda share in consequence of the Pagoda land being contiguous to Government lands. Land | Beriz | Total Government Share. Land | Beriz | Pagoda Share. Land | Beriz | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 15 | 16 Chs A Ps | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 Chs A Ps | 21 | 22 | |
| " | " " " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " " " | 4. The Tank under which these lands are situated is out of repair and no cultivation has been under it for some years back and there are also many Cocoa Trees in the beds of the Tank and consequently it has been thought proper not to make any division of the waste lands but to retain the whole under Government and to give Poonjah lands in exchange to the Pagoda. |
| " | " " " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " " " | |
| " | " " " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " " " | |
| 10 - 5 - 4 1/4 | 34 7 " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " " " | |
| 10 - 5 - 4 1/4 | 34 7 " | " | " | 10 - 5 - 4 1/4 | 34 7 " | 4 - 19 - 1 | 36 12 9 | |
| 4 - 19 - 1 1/8 | 36 12 9 | " | " | " | " " " | " 18 - 1 3/4 | 6 9 " | |
| " 18 - 1 3/4 | 6 9 " | " | " | 4 - 19 - 1 1/8 | 36 12 9 | 5 - 16 - 2 3/4 | 43 5 9 | |
| 5 - 16 - 2 7/8 | 43 5 9 | " | " | " 18 - 1 3/4 | 6 9 " | " | " " " | |
| " | " " " | " | " | 5 - 16 - 2 7/8 | 43 5 9 | Chains | | 5. The increase in the extent of Poonjah lands in the Pagoda in excess of the Government share is owing to certain lands from which would be Government share having been given over to the Pagoda in exchange for Nunjah lands retained as shewn in remark No. 4 — On land cultivated in each Fasly the Trustee will pay to Government at the rate of 15/16 fanams per chain on account of Desavaul fees. |
| 294 3/16 | 1083 12 9 | 4 55/64 | 17 3 6 | 259 21/64 | 1066 9 3 | 302 53/64 | 1101 " 3 | |
| 45 29/32 | 183 14 " | " | " " " | 45 29/32 | 183 14 " | 5 2 3/32 | 183 14 " | |
| 340 3/32 | 1267 10 9 | 4 55/64 | 17 3 6 | 335 15/64 | 1250 7 3 | 354 61/64 | 1284 14 3 | |
| " | 2 12 7 | " | " 3 10 | " | 2 8 9 | " | 3 " 4 | 6. The Slight increase in the Pagoda share under the Head of Tope is owing to some trees bearing a very light rate of Assessment having been assigned to the Pagoda. — |
| " | 1348 4 1 | " | 17 7 4 | " | 1330 12 4 | " | 1331 4 4 | |
| " | " " " | " | " " " | " | " | " | " " " | 7. The increase in the extent of Poonjah lands for the Pagoda in excess of that for the Government share is owing to certain lands having been assigned to the Pagoda in exchange for Topes retained for Government in consequence of all the Topes in the Village being in one locality. For the extent of Poonjah land cultivated in the Pagoda share in each Fasly the Trustee will pay 2 15/16 fanams per Chain to Government on account of Desavaul fees. |
| 170 23/32 | 722 10 11 | 3/4 | " " " | " | " | " | " " " | |
| 47 31/32 | 224 8 7 | " | 2 6 2 | 169 31/32 | 720 4 9 | 196 3/16 | 725 1 1 | |
| 218 11/16 | 947 3 6 | 3/4 | " " " | 47 31/32 | 224 8 7 | 56 9/64 | 224 8 8 | |
| " | 1 12 4 | " | 2 6 2 | 217 15/16 | 944 12 2 | 252 21/64 | 949 9 9 | |
| " | 951 15 10 | " | " " " | " | 4 12 7 | " | " " " | 8. The Tope in the Village being retained for Government as mentioned in the above remarks is the cause of none appearing as part of the Pagoda share. — |
| | | | 2 6 2 | " | 949 9 9 | " | 949 9 9 | Note. The extents of lands marked thus * are Cavel Dowas — pertaining to the Devastanums and Government Vide Statement No. 2. |

Tinnevelly Tricklendoor 17th April 1831.

Signed C. A. Bird. —
Collector.

Particulars

Fort St. George

5th June 1851.

Particulars of Cavoo Crane in the 3 Villages hereundermentioned Calculated on an average of the Beriz 5 Fuslies from 1253 to 1257 as shown in Statement No. 3.

| | Cunjercottah. | | | | | | Moothoolapoorum. | | | | | | Mauvelapetty. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Proposed to be kept under Circar Management. | | Ditto under the Management of the Trustees of the Pagodas | | Total. | | Proposed to be kept under Circar Management. | | Ditto under the Management of the Trustees of the Pagodas. | | Total. | | Proposed to be kept under Circar Management. | | Ditto under the Management of the Trustees of the Pagodas. | | Total. | |
| | Extent of Land. | Beriz | Extent of Land. | Beriz | Extent of Land | Beriz | Extent of Land | Beriz | Extent of Land. | Beriz | Extent of Land. | Beriz | Extent of Land. | Beriz | Extent of land. | Beriz | Extent of Land. | Beriz |
| | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P | Chains | Rs A P |
| Lands enjoyed by Pagodas | | | " " " | 22 10/64 | 78 8 4 | 22 10/64 | 78 8 4 | | " " " | 8 31/64 | 31 2 3 | 8 31/64 | 31 2 3 | | " " " | 3 64/64 | 3 64/64 | | |
| Lands to be kept under Circar Management. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ditto to Inhabitants | | | | 5 9/64 | 18 2 4 | 5 9/64 | 18 2 4 | | " " " | | | | | | " " " | | | |
| | 17 19/64 | 61 6 2 | 17 19/64 | 61 6 2 | 34 38/64 | 122 12 4 | 33 1/16 | 117 13 3 | 35 8/64 | 117 13 4 | 70 7/64 | 235 10 7 | 21 58/64 | 81 7 1 | 21 58/64 | 81 7 1 | 43 13/16 | 162 14 2 |
| Total | 22 4/16 | 79 8 6 | 39 29/64 | 139 14 6 | 61 57/64 | 219 7 1 | 33 1/16 | 117 13 3 | 43 34/64 | 148 13 7 | 78 35/64 | 266 10 10 | 21 58/64 | 81 7 1 | 22 3/4 | 84 13 8 | 44 42/64 | 166 4 9 |

Tinnevelly, Trichendoor, 17th April 1851.

Signed C. J. Bird.
Collector.

Particulars.

1608

Fort St. George 3rd June 1851

No 3 Particulars shewing the Extents of Lands and Beriz of Two Enams of the undermentioned 5 Fusslies.

| Fusslies | Enger Cottah. Extent of Land | Beriz. | | | Moothoolaspooram. Extent of Land | Beriz. | | | Mauvelespuh Extent of land | Beriz | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Chains | Rs | As | Chains | Rs | As | Chains | | Rs | As |
| 1253 | 62 47/64 | 222 | 2 9 | 70 63/64 | 238 | 9 3 | 40 43/64 | | 151 | 11 |
| 1254 | 62 53/64 | 222 | 15 7 | 79 37/64 | 271 | 11 8 | 43 47/64 | | 163 | 12 6 |
| 1255 | 61 62/64 | 229 | 15 7 | 82 41/64 | 281 | 14 4 | 45 26/64 | | 170 | 12 |
| 1256 | 60 7/64 | 190 | 3 8 | 77 34/64 | 261 | 5 8 | 1.6 38/64 | | 172 | 3 2 |
| 1257 | 61 50/64 | 231 | 13 5 | 82 15/64 | 280 | 7 3 | 1.6 61/64 | | 173 | 9 2 |
| Total | 309 29/64 | 1097 | 3 | 392 62/64 | 1334 | 2 | 273 17/64 | | 831 | 7 9 |
| Average of the 5 Fusslies | 61 57/64 | 219 | 7 | 78 35/64 | 266 | 12 10 | 44 42/64 | | 166 | 4 9 |

Tinnevelly, Trichendoor, 17th April 1851

Signed C. J. Bird
Collector

Before

1009

Board's Proceedings 1874.

41-42

Fort St George 5th June 1851

Read the following Proceedings of Revenue Board under date the 31st May 1851.

Read also the letter above noted from the Board of Revenue, forwarding for the information of Government a Statement of the Extents of Land and Beriz of two Enams of the undermentioned 5 Fusslies, the Property of the Company, the Collector of Tinnevelly has been directed to make Settlement accordingly for the Fusslies.

2

Read also the letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 17th April 1851 forwarding the Statement called for in the Board's Proceedings of the 31st March 1851.

Read also the Report from the Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1851 on the Petition of the Inhabitants of the Fusslies of Vellaneri, Pudoor, Sholinghur, Arcot, Chittoor and Tripassore praying for a reduction of the Assessment on the Land in the said Fusslies.

3.

Resolved to call for the opinion of the Advocate General on the Petitions of the Inhabitants of the Fusslies of Vellaneri &c. &c. and on the Report of the Board of Revenue thereon.

Ordered that the Board of Revenue be informed of the above Resolution.

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. Stracey
Secy to Govt

Note to Authorities in Para 5.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Copy to the Chief Secretary to Government

Copy to the Collector of Tinnevelly

Copy to the Advocate General

Morahs and the Tripany Collections.—

5. In the Abstract to which reference is above made, the Ayacut of Mavelipatty is given at 7,640 Rupees and by the Accounts now under consideration 1899 Rupees, which difference should be explained.—

---

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

Bd. No. 1228.

From the Acting Superintendent of Stamps — I have the honor to transmit herewith an Indent for Company's Rupees Seven Hundred (700) as an advance to P. Apparoo the Paper and Cuddapah contractor, which I request may be passed and returned.—

(43-4)

Fort St. George, General Stamps Office, 30th May 1851. — Signed G. L. Prendergast. Ag. Superintendent of Stamps.

Bd. No. 1075.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the Indent received with the foregoing letter be passed and returned to the Acting Superintendent of Stamps.—

(43-44)

---

No. 1245

From the Collector of Madras. — Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of May last.—

(45)

Order thereon.



Ordered to be lodged in the Office.—

(45-46)

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

My. 618.

From the Collector of Nellore. — Register of Certificates issued for the Exportation of Salt to Chittagong from the Ports of his District on account of the Chittagong Indent for the Year 1850/51 in the Month of April last.—

(47)

My. 612

From the Collector of Bellary. — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.—

(48)

My. 614

From the Collector of Tanjore. — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.—

(49)

My. 620

From the Collector of Canara. — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of April last.—

(50)

My. 613.

From the Collector of Bellary. — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.—

(51)

My. 615.

From the Collector of Tanjore — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.—

(52)

Statement—

My. 621.
From the Collector of Canara — Statement showing the average
53 Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
Month of April last.

My. 611
From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Return of Village Officers
54 suspended under Regulation VI of 1831 for the
Month of April last.

My. 616
From the Collector of Tanjore — Register of the state of River
55 Causery at the Anicut for the Month of April
last.

My. 619
From the Collector of Chingleput — Indent for Salt Rowannahs
56 required for the use of his District.
Order thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly.
56-57 My. 617
From the Collector of Madras — Acknowledging the
58 receipt of 1,000 Salt Rowannahs.

" Goldingham

" F. Blane

Comm. F. Boyle



Monday 9th June 1851

# No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member

and

F. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner, Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following resolution

No. 463.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 20th May, 1851

/From Board 5th May/

Bd. No. 1176
From the Secretary to Government
1

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charge of Rs. 6-7-" / six, and annas seven, incurred by the Collector of South Arcot for Medicines supplied during the prevalence of Cholera in July 1857. /Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.
Resolution

Bd. No. 1104.
Resolution

(1-2)

thereon— Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Order of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information, and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 18th April 1851.—

---

Read again Extract from Minutes of Consultation 22nd. and entered in Consultation 29th. May, 1851

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.—

Bd. No. 1107.

Circular to all Collectors and Commissioner No. Circars. Madras and Sea Customs

Circular Respecting the Examination of Treasuries & requesting the Collectors to forward information on certain sums kept in deposits

(3)

# Circular.

Sir, I. Having come to the knowledge of the Board of Revenue that in some Districts certain sums are entered as Paid in the Treasury books, whereas they are kept in deposit till a convenient time occurs for their actual Disbursement, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to ascertain from You whether such a custom obtains in



in your Treasury.—

2. The items to which the Board particularly allude are the pay of the Talook Servants, advances for Maramat &c., which it appears are written off the Treasury Books before the parties to whom it is due actually receive the money or before it is despatched for payment in the Talooks, so that weeks may elapse before it is actually disbursed, and meanwhile it is kept in a separate chest† under the responsibility of the Cash Keeper and not considered a portion of the Treasury to be examined with the rest at those times where such examination takes place.—

† Called in some Districts the "Gybut Chest"

3 It may be that no money under the above name is so set apart in your District, but the Board desire to know whether there is any practice at all similar to it, whether under the name of "Moobadla" or otherwise any sum in short struck off the account as paid but kept in deposit under any circumstances

Should

4. Should such be the case you will be good enough to explain the particulars of the nature and amount of such deposits, under whose charge they remain and whether they are counted at the Periodical examinations of the Treasury and generally state your opinion as to how the deposit may be abolished altogether or curtailed to the lowest limit.

These remarks are intended to apply both to Salem and Coimbatore Treasuries.

You will also state what practice is observed with regard to Accountant General's Bills. How they are accepted and paid when you are absent from the Cutcherry and from what date the days sight commences i.e. whether the date of presentation at the Cutcherry or of your acceptance and what portion of the Treasury is left usually in the charge of the Cash keeper to meet these and other demands during your absence.

The



The Board conclude that a certain amount of Cash remains in separate chests under your seal to which the Cashkeeper has not access and the rest under the responsibility of the Cashkeeper as required for daily disbursments, they would wish to know to what amount this is permitted to reach in your District before any is transferred to the separate chests.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
9th June, 1851.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

---

Read the following Extract

Revenue Department

No. 488.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 23d May, 1851.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Here enter 10th April 1851 No. 168

The above proceedings of that

4

Bd. No. 1182

From the Secretary to Government.

Declining for the reasons stated to grant the full extent of land on the Shilgherry Hills applied for by the Revd. Mr. Griffiths calling the Board's attention to the notification in the Fort St. George Gazette of 19th March 1845 prohibiting

Para 4.

Fort St. George 9th June 1831.

prohibiting the holding of Lands for Farming purposes by Company's Servants. Requesting the Board to submit their opinion as to the quantity of land in the Cantonment of Ootacamund and its vicinity which should in future be granted in one lot for building purposes.

the Board of Revenue relate to an application made by the Reverend Mr. Griffiths for a grant of land of the extent of 54-17 6. q. sft. 1708 at Ootacamund upon the Neilgherries in addition and adjacent to the land which he already occupied upon the Hills. The Acting Principal Collector objects to the grant on the ground of the quantity of land applied for, which he regards as greater than should be allowed to one individual in reference to the demand for land on the Neilgherries and the possible applications of other parties

2. The Board of Revenue state that they see no reason why the land should not be made over to Mr Griffiths under the Rules, that is that it be put up to public auction according to the provisions of the Manual &c. that Mr. Griffiths may have an opportunity of acquiring it on Competition.

3. The Government regret that they cannot concur in this view and they are of opinion that sufficient weight has not been given to the objections



Fort St. George 9th June 1831.

objections offered by the Collector. They observe that not only is the extent of ground applied for greater than under the present state of things at Ootacamund it would be proper to allow to a single applicant; but a reference to the plan transmitted with Mr. Thomas' letter of 1st June 1830 shows that the land includes several roads or paths and streams — From the post-script to Mr. Griffiths letter of 17th December 1830 it would also appear to include the "new great road" and the /contemplated/ "great northern water course". The Government must decline to grant this tract to Mr. Griffiths; but they will not object to allow him to hold the small quantity of ground 6. q. 1-20 sft. 2337 referred to in para. 3 of the Board's Proceedings.

4th Mr. Griffiths has not always assigned the same reason for his wish to acquire the land. In his first letter 27th April 1830 he said

said he wanted it to enable him to make roads and distribute water In his last 17th December 1850 he stated that he requires it for a farm. The Board have proposed that he be called upon to state "the nature of the Farm he intends to introduce and at what period his operations will commence" The Government think it necessary to call the attention of the Board of Revenue to the notification published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date 10th March 1846 prohibiting the holding of lands for farming purposes by Company's servants.—

5. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council requests that the Board of Revenue after communicating with the local officers will submit their opinion as to the maximum quantity of land in the cantonment of Ootacamund and its vicinity which should hereafter be granted in one lot for building purposes.

A true Extract
Signed J. Bycroft
Secretary to Government
Revenue



Bd. No. 1095
Resolution thereon (4.5)

In Cons. 11th April 1851.

Resolved that the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter of the 15th March 1851 and its enclosure.—

2. Mr. Thomas will state his opinion of the maximum quantity of land in the Cantonment of Ootacamund and its vicinity which should be granted henceforth in one lot for building purposes— he will likewise communicate to the Reverend Mr. Griffiths the order of Government upon his application.

---

Revenue Department.

Bd. No. 1179
From the Secretary to Government. (6)

No. 485.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,

Under date the 23d May, 1851.

Read the following letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India.—

Sanctioning the grant of a Life Pension of Rs. 70 per mensem to the widow of Ramakrishna Pundit Head Sheristadar of Coimbatore.

Here enter 19th April 1851 No. 512

I Under the authority of

of the Honorable the Court of Directors conveyed in the foregoing Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant a special life Pension of Rupees 1/70 /- / Seventy per Month to Meenatchy Ummal, widow of Rama krishna Pundit, late Head Sheristadar of Coimbatore, to be paid to her in arrear from the 3d† June 1850 the date of her husband's decease, and on the distinct understanding that the Pension will cease absolutely on her death.

† Vide para 2d of the widow's Petition dated 28th June 1850

2. Ordered that Copy of this Resolution be furnished to the Board of Revenue with reference to their letter dated 16th July 1850 No. 300 and for communication to the Collector of Coimbatore.

3. The Board will instruct the Collector to take, for registry in his Office an accurate personal description of the Pensioner, and furnish her with a Certificate in the form prescribed by the orders



of Government in the Revenue Department dated 6th February 1830 No. 132.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1099
Order thereon

6-7

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 29th August 1850.

2. Mr. Thomas will attend to the instructions contained in para 3 of the above Minutes of Consultation.

---

Read the following Resolution.

No. 483.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 23d May 1851.

/ From Board 1st May /

Bd. No. 1209
From the Secretary to Government.

8

Resolved that the Collector of Chingleput be directed to arrange for the future disbursement of Lauder Aubcawn's Gromiah of Rupees /27-7-3/ twenty seven, annas seven and pice three per annum, from the Taluk Treasury of Tirooullore in his District

Commencing

commencing from the period to which the last payment was made by the Collector of Madras.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 1103

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated to the Collectors of Madras and Chingleput respectively, for their information and guidance.—

8-9

2. The attention of those Offices is called to the Circular Orders of the Board of Revenue dated 15th February 1847 and 25th February 1850, respecting the mode of transferring pensions from one district to another.—

C.O. Book pages 415 & 514 para 5.

---

Read the following letter

No. 30.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1144
From the Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

In the Circular letter from your Office dated 24th March 1837

10

2f.



my attention was drawn to the Circular orders of the Board of Revenue of 1st July 1850 on the subject of preparing Price Currents for the Commissariat Department— The practice in this District is to furnish the Commissariat with the Monthly average Prices of the Articles entered in his Lists for two Stations only, Viz. the average Prices at Bellary for the Bellary List, and the average Prices at Gootty for the Gootty List the only two towns where troops are stationed—

2 But it appeared to me (construing the Rule III by the letter of the Honorable Court of Directors 27th March 1850 No. 22, entered in the Circular Order alluded to) that the average whole sale and retail rates, taken from the Sales on Market days at all the principal places in the District should form the basis of the information to be supplied from this Office similar to the price Currents which are forwarded Monthly to the Board— and accordingly I wrote to

Fort St George 9th June 1851

to the Sub Assistant Commissary General at Bellary for his opinion on the subject; and have the honor to submit Copies of my letter to him and his reply from which it would appear that the present mode is the one most useful for his Department Lieutenant Harris will however obtain the orders of the Commissary General on the subject and I shall await the orders of the Board for my guidance—

† 14th April 1851
@ 24th Dr 1851
Enclosures
Nos 1 & 2

3.

It would be impossible, as suggested by Lieutenant Harris, to obtain Correct prices of a great number of the Articles entered in the Commissariat Lists at the principal stations in the District, Bellary only excepted and indeed the expediency of the Collector making returns of so many Articles as are contained in the Commissariat Lists seems very doubtful. The names of many are hardly known to the Native Revenue Officers and it is hardly possible they



Fort St George 9th June 1851.

can obtain such a Correct Price List as can be relied on to form a check and guide for the Commissariat Department. That Department could I think devise means as good and better for obtaining the information required and I would submit that the Collectors should confine themselves to supplying a List of prices for 53 Articles only which I have marked with red ink and enumerated in a list annexed. All other Articles should be subject to the Commissariat check only—

@ No 3.

Bellary Collector's Office
on Circuit at Ramandroog
25th April 1851

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

P.S. I beg to Enclose one of the Commissariat Printed Price † Lists containing no less than 149 Articles the average prices of which the Collector has to report. I have marked with red Ink Numbers of those which I think should alone be priced by the Collector—

† No 4.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

To

Ex. No. 1.

To Lieutenant Harris
Sub Asstt. Commissary Genl.
Ceded Districts.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 363 of 11th instant forwarding printed Lists of Prices to be filled in by me for Bellary and Gootty adverting to the orders of the Revenue Board and minutes of consultation noted in the margin to which my attention has been lately called. I observe that Collectors are directed to "have carefully filled in the Price Currents, the average whole sale and retail rates taken from the sales on market days during the month at the principal places in the District"

Circular Order Board Revenue 1st July 1850 With Exc: Mins Consultation 28th May 1850 Military Department No. 1966

From this I would understand that the average Price of articles at the principal Markets should be entered in the Lists, whether for Bellary or Gootty or any other Garrison and not merely the average monthly rates



rates of those two towns Bellary for the Bellary List and Gootty for the Gootty List and if that is the intention of the order referred to it will be necessary to alter the present plan and to obtain the average of all the principal Markets and enter the average of the whole in the Lists every month instead of the average of a single town. I request you will favor me with your opinion on the matter.

Bellary Collectors Office on Circuit at Hospett 11th April 1851

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

A true Copy
(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

No. 2.

To C. Pelly Esqre
Collector of Bellary
Ramandroog.

Sir,

11th April 1851

I have the honor in reply to your letter to remark that the orders of the Revenue Board are not clear and explicit, and I cannot take upon myself to say whether they mean that the average rates of local Markets during

during the Month are to be given of each principal town where I may have an agent or that the market rates of every principal town are to be taken and a general average struck from them all, the orders can be read either way— I would however remark that as far as I am concerned the general average rates of the whole district would be of little use to me as a Check on my accounts as it is quite impossible for me to say if average rates of the whole district should agree with Bellary market rates, or with those of Gooty— I would also ask you if it is possible that you can prepare correctly general average rates for all the numerous articles mentioned in my price lists the names of many of which are utterly unknown to the Merchants of even this large town and would be a perfect puzzle to the inhabitants of smaller ones— I cannot therefore think that a list so prepared could be



be in any way regarded as a valuable or authentic Check on the accounts of this Department and even allowing its perfect accuracy that it could be taken as a guide for Bellary Market rates, whereas a carefully supervised price list of the local Market rates is useful to me both to Compare with my own, and to check my charges—

Should however you conceive that the orders of Government and the Board of Revenue will only bear on interpretation, Vizt, that of a general average District Market rate, and not that of an average local Market rate, it would be presumption in me requesting you to act contrary to your reading of such order but as it is an important question I will submit Correspondence to the Commissary General who will doubtless favor me with his view of the matter—

Commissariat Office 6 Dn
Bellary 24th April 1851.

/ Signed / F. Harris
Sub Assistt. Commissary Gl.

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / C. Kelly
Collector
List

1632

Fort St. George 9th June 1851

No. 3 List of Articles likely to be purchased by the Department of supply prepared by the Commissariat Officer the wholesale and Retail Prices filled in by the Collector for the station and period given on the endorsement.—

| | Articles | | Wholesale Rupees | a/ | Retail Rupees | a/ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Butter | Mercalls | | | | |
| 2 | Bread | lbs | | | | |
| 3 | Bread white 1st Sort | " | | | | |
| 4 | Bread brown 2d Dr. | " | | | | |
| 5 | Bullock hide tanned | each | | | | |
| 6 | Buffaloe hide tanned | " | | | | |
| 7 | Chickens | " | | | | |
| 8 | Chickens large | " | | | | |
| 9 | Ghee Sp. Gr. | | | | | |
| 10 | Charcoal | parah | | | | |
| 11 | Cloth White, tent | Cubits | | | | |
| 12 | Cloth blue tent | " | | | | |
| 13 | Cloth wax | " | | | | |
| 49 | Toddy | Measures | | | | |
| 50 | Tobacco 1st Sort | Maunds | | | | |
| 51 | Wheat | Gauce | | | | |
| 52 | Wheat 1st Sort | " | | | | |
| 53 | Wheat 2d Dr | " | | | | |

(Signed) C. Pelly Collector

List

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Albanut root | Maund | |
| | Alum | " | |
| | Arrow root | lbs | |
| | Arsenic white | Maund | |
| | Antimoney | " | |
| | Bran | Mercals | |
| | Bran wheat 1st Sort | " | |
| | Bran wheat 2d Sort | " | |
| 1 | Butter | " | |
| 2 | Bread | lbs | |
| 3 | Bread white 1st Sort | " | |
| 4 | Bread brown 2d Sort | " | |
| | Biscuit | " | |
| | Biscuit 1st Sort | " | |

1632 Fort St. George 9th June 1851

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Biscuit 2d. Do. | " |
| | Beef | " |
| | Beef 1st. Sort | " |
| | Beef 2d. Do. | " |
| 5 | Bullock hide tanned | each |
| 6 | Buffaloe hide tanned | " |
| | Bandage Cloth fine | Yards |
| | Bandage Cloth Ordinary | " |
| | Borax | Maunds |
| | Brattics | " |
| 7 | Chickens | each |
| 8 | Chickens large | " |
| 9 | Chickens small | " |
| 10 | Charcoal | Parah |
| | Charcoal gram husk | Maund |
| 11 | Cloth white tent | Cubits |
| 12 | Cloth blue tent | " |
| 13 | Cloth wax | " |
| 14 | Cloth red Carmah | " |
| 15 | Cumblies white | each |
| 16 | Cumblies black 1st. Sort | " |
| 17 | Cumblies black 2d. Do. | " |
| 18 | Cosilly or horse gram | Garce |
| 19 | Channa or Bengal gram | " |
| 20 | Channa or Bengal gram 1st Sort | |
| 22 | Cholum or Kurby | Maund |
| 23 | Chillies | " |
| 24 | Coriander seeds | " |
| | Chalk | " |
| | Candles wax | " |
| | Candles spermacite | lbs. |
| | Camphor 1st. Sort | Maund |
| | Camphor 2d. Do. | " |
| | Cocoanut shell burnt | " |
| | Copperas | Maund |
| | Coir | " |
| 25 | Cotton | " |
| 26 | Chunam | Parah |
| | Dammer | Maund |
| | Dholl | Mercal |
| | Eggs | Dozen |
| | Emery | Maund |
| | Flax | " |
| | Flour wheat | Measures |
| | Flour wheat / Hospl Vcs Victg Depts / | lbs. |
| | Flour rice Do. | " |
| 27 | Firewood | Maunds |
| | Firewood / Hospl Vcs Victg Depts / | lbs. |
| | Fowls | each |

# Fort St. George 9th June 1851

| | | | Rupees a/ | Rupees a/ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Ghee 1st Sort | Maund | | |
| | Ghee 2d Do. | " | | |
| 28 | Gunny puttah 1st sort | bales | | |
| 29 | Gunny puttah 2d Do. | " | | |
| | Gunny bullock bags 1st sort | each | | |
| | Gunny bullock bags Common | " | | |
| | Gall nuts | Maund | | |
| | Ghee | " | | |
| | Gum | " | | |
| | Hay | " | | |
| | Hemp | " | | |
| | Indigo | " | | |
| | Irunk Country | " | | |
| | Liquorice root | lbs. | | |
| | Leeches | per 100 | | |
| | Lac shell | Maund | | |
| | Lac seed | " | | |
| | Lead red | | | |
| | Milk | Measure | | |
| | Mutton fat | lbs. | | |
| | Mutton 1st sort | " | | |
| | Mutton 2d sort | " | | |
| | Mustard seed Country | " | | |
| | Nutmegs | Oz | | |
| | Ochre red | Maund | | |
| | Ochre Yellow | " | | |
| | Oakum | " | | |
| | Oil neats foot | measures | | |
| 30 | Oil gingeley 1st sort | " | | |
| 31 | Oil Cocoanut 1st sort | " | | |
| 32 | Oil lamp 1st Do. | " | | |
| | Oil linseed 1st Do. | " | | |
| | Oil wood 1st Do. | " | | |
| | Oil sweet 1st Do. | " | | |
| | Oil earth 1st Do. | " | | |
| | Oil Castor / Medicinal / 1st sort | " | | |
| | Paddy husk | parah | | |
| | Pipe clay | Maund | | |
| | Pepper | lbs. | | |

1635 Fort St. George 9th June 1851

| | | | Rupees a/ | Rupees a/ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 33 | Paper Country Cartridge brown | Quires | | |
| | Paper Country Cartridge blue | " | | |
| | Paper China | " | | |
| | Paper Goa | " | | |
| 34 | Paddy | Garce | | |
| 35 | Rice 1st Sort | " | | |
| 36 | Rice 2d Sort | " | | |
| 37 | Rice 3d Do. | " | | |
| 38 | Rice 4th Do. | " | | |
| | Rosin | 1 maund | | |
| 39 | Rope white tent | " | | |
| 40 | Rope blue tent 2d size | " | | |
| 41 | Rope gunny | " | | |
| | Rope hemp | " | | |
| 42 | Raggy | Mercal | | |
| 43 | Straw Paddy | maund | | |
| 44 | Straw Raggy | " | | |
| 45 | Salt | Mercals | | |
| | Salt white (Hospital) | lbs. | | |
| | Salt Common (Victualling) | " | | |
| 46 | Salt petre | maund | | |
| 47 | Sugar brown 2d Do. (Victualling) | " | | |
| 48 | Sugar | maund | | |
| 1 | Sugar brown Country | " | | |
| | Sugar Ordinary | " | | |
| | Sheep 1st sort | each | | |
| | Sheep 2d sort | " | | |
| | Solder Copper | maund | | |
| | Solder pewter | " | | |
| | Soap Country | " | | |
| | Soap Europe | Cake | | |
| | Sulphur Country | maund | | |
| | Thread sewing | polls | | |
| | Twine 1st sort | maund | | |
| | Twine 2d Do. | " | | |
| | Twine well twisted | " | | |
| | Twine Bengal | " | | |
| 49 | Toddy | Measures | | |
| 50 | Tobacco 1st sort | maund | | |
| | Vinegar Country | Measures | | |
| 51 | Wheat | Garce | | |
| 52 | Wheat 1st Sort | " | | |
| 53 | Wheat 2d Do. | " | | |

N. B. Such Articles as are not inserted in the Price List but requine to be purchased by the Department might be entered—

Fort St. George 9th. June 1851.

B. No 1101.
Proceedings thereon.-

10-11

1. The Board would wish to be informed of the nature of the reply which Lieutenant Harris may receive to the reference made by him to the Commissary General on the subject of the Price Lists proposed for the Commissariat Department.

2. With respect to the second question they consider that it will suffice if Collectors were to fill in the prices of those Articles only which are sold at the station for which the list is prepared, a blank being left in the price column opposite all other Articles.

J. C. B.

Read



Fort St. George 9th. June 1851.-

Read the following letters.-
No. 30.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bt. No. 966
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.-
Reporting on the Subject of Cotton Cultivation in the District of Cuddapah.

12

Sir,

Para 1.- I have the honor to inform you that the Mexican Cotton seeds supplied by the Board of Revenue with the intention of improving the Cotton grown in this District and thereby benefiting not only Government but also the Cultivators, were distributed throughout the 14 Taloos/Es in this District and was sown in the dry lands of 33 Villages and Garden land of 39 Villages. Poonasah and wet Land of one Village, total 73 Villages. The extent and assessment of the various description of lands appropriated for cultivation of this description of produce together with the weight of seeds sown is particularized in the Margin.+

| Extent A. | C. | a | Assessment Rs. | A. | P. | Weight Seeds Sown. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry Land -- 5 | 17 | 12½ | 10 | 13 | 10 | 592 |
| Garden Land - 2 | 31 | 11¼ | 26 | 6 | 9 | 292 |
| Wet Do. - | - | 1 | 4 - | - | 10 | - 5 |
| Poonasa Do. - | - | 1 | 8 - | - | 2 | 8. 4 |
| Total - 8 | 12 | 3¾ | 38 | 1 | 3 | - 893. |

2. In some Talooks the seeds sown failed to germinate whilst in others after the plants reached to certain height were destroyed by blight. In both Garden, wet, and dry where the plants reached to the extent

extent of flowering the Crops became injured equally on all descriptions of land on which the plants were raised. Whether this failure is to be attributed to the fault of the seed or to the climate I feel unable to give a decided opinion. but the seed collected from that portion which yielded produce was again sown during Current Fasly, and yielded a very unsatisfactory return. On the Dry and Garden lands attached to certain Villages in the Northern Talooks; Coilcoontla, Doonoor, &c. a better Return was yielded than in any of the other Talooks. The accompanying marginal Statement shews the No. of Villages the extent of land sown with mexican Cotton, its assessment and the weight of seed. The Pod of this description of Cotton is much larger than that commonly grown in the country. the fibre is longer and whiter and the thread Manufactured is of softer and of a better quality than

| Description of Land | No. of Villages in which the Cotton produced. | Extent of Land. | Amount of Assessment. | Quantity of Seed sown. | Quantity of Cotton produced |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | A. C. a. Rs. | A. C. | Tolas | Tolas |
| Dry | 14 | 2 10 7½ | 7 6 10 | 456¾ | 3106 |
| Garden | 18 | 1 4 13¾ | 9 15 2 | 185 | 452½ |
| Wet | 1 | " " 8 | " 4 " | 2 | 30 |
| Total | 33 | 3 15 13¾ | 17 10 " | 643¾ | 7665½ |



+ 25 lbs

that of the Cotton indegenous to the Country. Out of one + Maund of Mexican Cotton including both the seed and the fibre the produce realized is 2/3d. seed and 1/3d. Cotton, whilst that of the Country was 1/4 lb. cotton and three fourth seed, shewing a preference in favor of Mexican Cotton. Under these circumstances tho' the Mexican Cotton yields a larger return of Produce and the Ryots are willing to Cultivate knowing it to be of a better quality still they object to sowing the Mexican on the plea that the Return is not sufficiently remunerative for the expence of their labor and industry on account of the liability of the plants to injury from blight and other causes. they therefore give the preference to the Coarser Kind less liable to injury. During the time of the annual settlement the Ryots were persuaded as much as possible to turn their attention to the Cultivation and improvement of the staple article of Cotton and to be more careful both in the picking and cleaning, they were particularly requested to endeavor to acclimatize the Mexcan Cotton to this District which has been already shewn is of a superior quality to that at present produced

3. By the reports received from the Tahsildars as well as from the information

I

I was able to gather from the Ryots themselves I am inclined to believe that the soil or atmosphere in this part of the Country is not applicable for the production of this species of Cotton and I have considerable doubts whether this species of Produce will ever be a staple commodity for export from this District. In order to endeavor to introduce this description of Cotton more universally it might be advisable to supply the Cultivators with seeds produced from the Cotton farm at Coimbatore under the management of Dr. Wight where the seed has been acclimatized for several years this seed might answer better than that formerly sent.-

4 It might not be unadvisable in order to carry out the views of Government and instruct the Cultivators in the Mode of Culture and the peculiar quality of the Soil required for the production of the mexican Cotton to send a Person who has gained experience under Dr. Wight to instruct the Cultivators and I would beg to suggest that an assistant from the Cotton farm at Coimbatore capable of giving the necessary information be requested to visit the District in order to explain the best Method of cultivating Mexican Cotton.-

Cuddapah - - -
Collector's Office,-
21st April, 1851.-

(Signed) J. M. Murray
Acting Collector.



No. 13.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. of R. No. 989.

From the Agent to the Govern-ment of Fort St. George at Kurnool Forwarding the report upon the Cultivation of Cotton in the Kurnool District for Fusly 1259.-

13

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward the report upon the Cultivation of Cotton in the Kurnool District for Fusly 1259 called for in the Circulars of the Board under dates 18th January 1849, and 25th July 1850.-

2. The accounts received from the Talooks appear to show that only 66,656 acres were cultivated with Cotton in Fusly 1259 against 86,368 acres in Fusly 1258. or 19,712 acres less than had been taken up for that cultivation in the previous year.-

The extent of Land on which Cotton was grown may be classified under the two following heads, the details of Circar and Enam land are also shown.

| | acres. |
|---|---|
| Circar land Cultivated with Cotton only | 38,548. |
| Do Do a Mixed crop of Cotton and other produce | 4,023 |
| | 42,571 |
| Enam land Cultivated with Cotton only | 23,118 |
| With a Mixed Crop of Cotton and other produce | 965 |
| | 24,083 |
| | 66,656 |

In

3. In explanation of this very great falling of in the extent of land cultivated with cotton in the last Fusly as compared with the previous one, I am informed that the unusually heavy rains at the commencement of the season for Cotton sowing operations led many Ryots who had usually cultivated Cotton to try some other crops which they considered less likely to suffer injury from the undue excess of rain at that period, and that in many other instances where the Cotton seeds had been planted had been destroyed, the attempt to raise a Cotton crop was abandoned, and other seeds were sown.-

4. The Crops produced were inferior and the price was below that of the previous year, as shown in the Margin. It will be observed that I have entered the prices of cleaned Cotton, by which I merely mean cotton wool separated from the seed. I understand that the cultivators never part with the Cotton until they have separated the fibre from the seed, reserving the latter for their cattle.

Average rate per candy of cleaned Cotton.-

| | Rs. | a | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| " 1259 | 1 | 13 | 10½ |



mention this as I observe that in my predecessor's report the price of uncleaned Cotton was entered last year.-

5. I am not aware on what data the exports from Kurnool during Fusly 1258 were entered, nor did Mr. Phillips express any conviction of their accuracy.- As there is now no available machinery for obtaining any correct details on these points I have not attempted to frame any estimate of the quantity exported from Kurnool in Fusly 1259.-

6. Cotton is grown in this District on two different kinds of soil, the Regur or Black soil, and on the red. The Cotton produced on the former is of a superior description to that grown on the other, and it appears to be the usage of the Country not to mix the two qualities.-

7. About 5 acres of land were planted with the American Cotton seed, but as the season was so much against the indigenous Cotton it could not be expected that a very abundant Crop would be obtained from the American Seed, the produce of which was very

small

small even in the more favorable season which preceded it.-

8. When I received the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 3 September 1850 the rainy season was too far advanced for any attempt to be made to try the mexican Cotton seed, and I therefore abstained from writing to Dr. Wight for a supply of seed.-

9. I have now addressed him on the subject but when I see the apathy and indifference of most of the Ryots of this District in regard to the state of their land, the little care that is taken of the plant in its growth towards maturity, the utter absence of all attempts to clear the ground from weeds and thorny bushes, I am not very sanguine of their being easily induced to exhibit much interest in the experiment. The fault does not arise entirely from indolence of nature, but a bad and oppressive



oppressive system through a long course of years has had the effect of discouraging all energy exerted in the right direction and the habit will not be easily overcome.-

10. I forward the Statement called for by the Board in their letter of the 25th July 1850.-

Kurnool District,
Agent's Office, on-
Circuit, Velgode, . . .
21st April, 1851.-

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Agent to the Governor.-

Statement.

7646

Fort St. Geo

9th June 1851.

No. 1. Statement shewing the total extent of Land

with Cotton in the District of Kurnool in Fusly 1259.

| No. | Talooks. | Circar land. | | | Sirva Enam | | | Enams paying Quit rent. | | | Total. | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Acres. | Assessment | | Acres. | | | Acres. | Quit rent Assessment | | Acres. | | Assessment and Quit rent. | | Remarks. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | 7 | | 8 |
| | | Acres | G | A | Rs. | a | p | Acres | G | A | Acres | G | A | Rs. a p | |
| 1 | Chagalmurry | 8,912 | 27 | 8¼ | 13,765 | 6 | " | 1,737 | 2 | | 3,863 | 5 | 8 | 1,534 7 11 | 14,512 35 6¼ 15,299 13 11 |
| 2 | Sirwell | 14,158 | 30 | 4¼ | 18,667 | 6 | 3 | 2,642 | 18 | | 6,378 | 24 | 6½ | 2,454 10 5 | 23,179 32 15¼ 21,122 " 8 |
| 3 | Kundicab | 8,231 | 35 | 7¼ | 10,339 | 14 | 3 | 1,544 | 10 | | 2,832 | 32 | 1 | 1,341 7 9 | 12,609 4 5 11,681 6 " |
| 4 | Paunicem | 3448 | 15 | 5¼ | 5,721 | 9 | 1 | 1,309 | 4 | | 882 | 14 | 11¾ | 317 5 9 | 5,639 34 8¼ 6,038 14 10 |
| 5 | Dhone | 1,869 | 38 | 9 | 1,813 | 2 | " | 527 | 24 | | 24 | 12 | " | 12 " " | 2,421 35 3½ 1,825 2 " |
| 6 | Goodoor | 2,220 | 35 | 5½ | 2,617 | 9 | 4 | 448 | 4 | | " | " | " | " " " | 2,668 39 12½ 2,617 9 4 |
| 7 | Nundicotcoor | 3081 | 25 | 12¾ | 3,534 | " | 4 | 1,134 | 23 | | 350 | 23 | 6½ | 166 10 " | 4,566 38 1¼ 3,700 10 4 |
| 8 | Ateoor | 647 | 23 | 7½ | 597 | 2 | 1 | 315 | 11 | | 94 | 2 | 4½ | 87 5 2 | 1,057 2 8½ 684 7 3 |
| | | 42,571 | 31 | 11¾ | 57,056 | 1 | 4 | 9,658 | 36 | | 14,425 | 34 | 6¼ | 5,913 15 " | 66,656 22 12½ 62,970 " 4 |
| | Particulars of the above. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Only Cotton Cultivated. | 38,548 | 14 | 10¼ | 52,697 | 8 | " | 8,717 | 40 | | 14,401 | 22 | 6¼ | 5,901 15 " | 61,657 14 10½ 58,680 7 " |
| | Cotton Cultivated together with Gungony | 4023 | 17 | 1½ | 4,358 | 9 | 4 | 941 | 16 | | 24 | 12 | " | 12 " " | 4,999 8 2 4,369 9 4 |

Kurnool District Agent's Office on Circa

Velgoda, 21st April, 1851. / Signed F. D. Lushington

Agent to the Governor

7647 Fort St. George 9th June 1851

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. — Ordered to lie over.

/Vide letter to Govt. 12th June/
(12-14.)

---

Read the following letter. —

To,

W. H. C. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 1263.

Sir,

From the Collector of Chingleput. — I have the honor to

Vide Enclosure A. forward a Statement of Mootah

(15) which have been attached for

arrears of Revenue due upto

30th April of fusly 1260 and

request that the Board will

sanction

D.F.

7648 Fort St. George 9th June 1851. —

Sanction the Establishment proposed for their Management. —

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuttemauk.
31st May 1851

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement C

7649

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

No. 1

# Statement of Estates attached in the Zillah Chingleput for Fusly 1260.

| Names of the Zemindaries or Estates. | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors. | No. of Villages attached to the Zemindary or Estate. | Permanent Jumma of the entire Zemindary or Estate. | Amount of Kistbundy up to April of Fusly 1260. | Amount Collected | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | Rs. As. | Rs. As. | Rs. As. | Rs. |
| 1 Yendutloor = tasa Naik | Saulpettah Venca- | 16 | 42143 5 4 | 3713 .. | 2410 .. | 1303 |
| 2 Keela = caunday | Govinda Row Vu. | 2 | 177 11 3 | 153 .. | 97 8 | 55 |
| | | 18 | 4421 .. 7 | 3868 .. | 2507 8 | 1360 |
| 3 Maum = bankum | S. Ramyah | 1 | 203 10 10 | 180 .. | 77 .. | 103 |
| 4 Velunga = nadr. | N. Anunda Row | 1 | 143 12 9 | 126 .. | 54 11 | 71 |
| 5 Thoryoor | Ramasawmy Naik Vu. | 1 | 147 .. 11 | 129 .. | 55 .. | 74 |
| 6 Vinnia = nellore | C. Apparoo Pillay | 3 | 790 6 6 | 691 .. | 499 .. | 190 |
| | | 6 | 1286 15 .. | 1126 .. | 685 11 | 440 |

| No. of the Villages proposed to be attached. | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached. | Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment. | | Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached. | Date of the attachment. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8 | 9 | 10 | | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | Rs. As. | | Rs. As. | Rs. As. | | |
| | | 1 Ameenah | 8 .. | | | |
| 16 | 4243 5 4 | 1 Moodeliar | 6 .. | | | |
| | | 1 Tarnadar | 5 .. | | | |
| 2 | 177 11 3 | 2 Peons at 3 Rs. each | 6 .. | | | |
| | | Sundry wards | .. 8 .. | | | |
| 18 | 4421 .. 7 | | 23 8 .. | .. 9 3 | 26th May 1851. | |
| 1 | 203 10 10 | 1 Ameenah and | 7 .. | | | |
| 1 | 143 12 9 | 1 Moodeliar | | | | |
| 1 | 147 .. 11 | 2 Peons at 3 Rupees each | 6 .. | | | |
| 3 | 790 6 6 | Sundry wards | .. 8 .. | | | |
| 6 | 1286 15 .. | | 13 8 .. | 1 .. 9 | Ditto. | |

Fort St. George

9 " June 1851.

| Names of the Zemindaris or Estates. | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors. | No. of the Villages in the Zemindary or Estate. | Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate. | Amount of Peishcush due upto April of Fasly | Amount Collected | Balance | No. of the Villages proposed to be attached. | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached. | Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment. | Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached. | Date of the Attachment. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | | | Rs As P | Rs As P | Rs As P | Rs As P | | Rs As P | Rs As P | Rs As P | | |
| 7 Pondoor | Eggea Arnachella Moodelly | 15 | 3693 9 10 | 3231 .. .. | 1385 2 .. | 1845 | 15 | 3693 9 10 | 1 Amenah — 8 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Mooshruff — 7 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Tarufdar — 5 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 2 Peons at 3 Rs each — 6 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sunderwards — .. 8 .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 26 8 .. | .. 11 3 | 26th May 1851. | |
| 8 Paundoar | C. Soudavaroy Moodelly | 1 | 1024 7 7 | 896 .. .. | 384 .. .. | 512 | 1 | 1024 7 7 | .. | .. .. .. | Do | His Moottah was placed under the management of the Establishment lately entertained for the Moottah of Eachercanny attached on the 24th March 1851. |
| 9 Aurumbau Sheno | Ramasawmy Moodely | 12 | 2494 5 7 | 2182 .. .. | 1153 .. .. | 1029 | 12 | 2494 5 7 | 1 Amenah 8 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Mooshruff — 6 .. .. | | | |
| 10 Bomma raja Poorum | Singyengar Dec. | 1 | 409 8 1 | 353 .. .. | 137 4 .. | 220 | 1 | 409 8 1 | 1 Tarufdar — 3 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Peon — 3 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sunderwards — .. 8 .. | | | |
| | | 13 | 2903 13 8 | 2536 .. .. | 1292 4 .. | 1244 | 13 | 2903 13 8 | 22 8 .. | .. 12 4 | Do | |

1851

## Fort St. George

9th June 1851.—

| Names of the Zemindaries or Estates. | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors. | No. of the Villages in the Zemindary or Estate. | Amount Demand Summary of the entire Zemindary or Estate. | Amount of Kist due up to April of Fasly 1260. | Amount Collected | Balance | No. of the Villages proposed to be attached. | Actual or Estimated value of the portions proposed to be attached. | Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment. | Per Centage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached | Date of the attachment. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | | | Rs As | Rs As | Rs As | Rs As | | Rs As | | Rs As | | |
| 11 Pavoonjoor | P. Mauree Chetty— | 1 | 409 52 | 358 " | 299 8 | 178 8 " | 1 | 409 52 | 1 Annanah and Mooshruff | 7 " " | | |
| 12 Eroombah-cherry | C. Soudroy Moodely | 1 | 110 67 | 97 " | 42 " | 55 " | 1 | 110 67 | 1 Peon— | 3 " " | | |
| 13 Allamuttoo | C. Soudroy Moodely | 1 | 108 123 | 95 " | 41 " | 54 " " | 1 | 108 123 | Sanderward | " 8 " | | |
| 14 Annamund-kankum | C. Soudroy Moodely | 1 | 390 128 | 342 " | 189 " | 153 " | 1 | 390 128 | | | | |
| | | 4 | 1019 48 | 892 " | 501 8 | 390 8 | 4 | 1019 48 | | 10 8 | 1 " 6 | 26th May 1851. |
| 15 Vellum | S. Ramyah— | 2 | 1140 38 | 996 " | 713 " | 283 " | 2 | 1140 38 | | | | |
| 16 Mauttoo | Munnaroo Naick Dea | 2 | 2326 110 | 2035 " | 1117 " | 893 " | 2 | 2326 110 | 1 Annanah | 8 " " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Mooshruff | 6 " " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Tarnfdar | 5 " " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Peon— | 3 " " | | |
| | | 4 | 3467 26 | 3031 " | 1855 " | 1176 " | 4 | 3467 26 | Sanderward | " 8 " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 22 8 " | " 104 | Do. |
| 17 Narasinga-poorum | Ellapa Moodely Dea— | 2 | 643 67 | 563 " | 4318 | 131 8 " | 2 | 643 67 | 1 Annanah & Mooshruff | 7 " " | | |
| | | | | | | | 2 | 563 153 | 1 Peon— | 3 " " | | |
| 18 Agaram | I. Jeroomul Row— | 2 | 565 153 | 498 " | 207 173 | 290 39 | | | Sanderward | " 8 " | | |
| | | 4 | 1209 6 | 1061 " | 639 43 | 421 119 | 4 | 1209 6 " | | 10 8 " | " 1311 | Do. |

9th June 1851.

| Names of the Zemindaries Estates. | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors. | No. of Villages in the entire Zemindary or Estate. | Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate. | Amount of Kist due up to 30th April of Fusly 1260. | Amount Collected | Balance | No. of Villages proposed to be attached. | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached. | Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment. | Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached. | Date of the attachment. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | | | Rs As | Rs As | Rs As | Rs As | | Rs As | | Rs As | | |
| 19 Pareantongub | T. Sinna Ramachendra Row. | 2 | 70 10 9 | 60 .. | 25 .. | 2 34 15 10 | 2 | 70 10 9 | ---------- | ---------- | 26th May 1851. | This Mootah was was placed under the Management of the Establishment tabely ascertained for the Mootah of Boomaspoorum attached on the 24th March 1851. |
| 20 Vyaloor | R. Venkatasawmy Naick | 2 | 1083 5 1 | 950 .. | 407 15 11 | 542 .. 1 | 2 | 1083 5 1 | 1 Ameenah & Moosheruff | 7 .. | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | 159 10 .. | 1 Peon | 3 .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sanderward | .. 8 .. | | |
| 21 Selianoor | V. Narsinga Row (died) | 1 | 139 10 .. | 139 .. | 60 .. | 79 .. .. | 3 | 1244 15 1 | | 10 8 .. | .. 136 Do. | |
| | | 3 | 1244 15 1 | 1089 .. | 467 15 11 | 621 .. 1 | | | | | | |
| 22 Keevaloor | T. Ramasawmy Reddy | 2 | 528 13 8 | 460 .. | 198 13 9 | 261 2 3 | 2 | 528 13 8 | 1 Ameenah & Moosheruff | 7 .. | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | 848 1 1 | 1 Peon | 3 .. | | |
| 23 Nemmaleo | T. Basheem Venkata Reddy | 1 | 848 1 1 | 742 .. | 394 .. | 348 .. .. | 1 | 24 12 4 | Sanderward | .. 8 .. | | |
| 24 Sonkuntongub | ——— Do. ——— | 1 | 24 12 4 | 21 .. | 9 .. | 12 .. .. | 4 | 1401 11 1 | | 10 8 .. | .. 12 .. Do. | |
| | | 4 | 1401 11 1 | 1223 .. | 601 13 9 | 621 2 3 | | | | | | |
| 25 Soranjary | Mooneaspa Moodely Vra | 6 | 2188 7 5 | 2677 .. | 1450 .. | 1194 .. .. | 6 | 2188 7 5 | 1 Ameenah | 8 .. .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Moosheruff | 6 .. .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Peon | 3 .. .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sanderward | .. 8 .. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 17 8 .. | .. 113 Do. | |

9th June 1851.—

| 1 Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.— | 2 Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.— | 3 No. of the Villages situated within the Boundary of the Estate. | 4 Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate. | 5 Amount of Rent due up to April if finally fixed 1260 | 6 Amount Collected. | 7 Balance | 8 No. of the Villages proposed to be attached.— | 9 Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached | 10 Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment.— | 11 Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached. | 12 Date of the Establishment. | 13 Remarks.— |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs As | Rs As | Rs As | Rs As | | Rs As | | Rs As | | |
| 26 Coratoor | T. Ponnattammaih | 1 | 327 12 5 | 390 .. | 216 .. | 174 .. | 1 | 327 12 5 | 1 Ameniah & Mooshruff 7 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | 4 | 1088 11 4 | 1 Peon 3 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sanderward .. 8 | | | |
| 27 Settoocaudoo | M. Pole Naik | 4 | 1088 11 4 | 952 .. | 673 .. | 279 .. | | | | | | |
| | | | 5 | 1410 7 9 | 1212 .. | 889 .. | 5 | 1410 7 9 | | 10 8 .. | 11 11 26 | May 1851. |
| 28 Jeroomamud. | O. Venkiyah | 4 | 1283 9 .. | 1126 .. | 5518 .. | 5748 .. | 4 | 1283 9 .. | 1 Ameniah & Mooshruff 7 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Peon 3 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sanderward .. 8 .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 10 8 .. | 13 | Do. |
| 29 Parum-bookum. | Mowlana Maoftee Syed Mahomed Abdulnadoodeen Nahomed Saib &c. | 1 | 2988 5 3 | 2614 .. | 1376 .. | 1238 .. | | | 1 Ameniah 10 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | 2988 5 3 | 1 Mooshruff 7 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Tarnsdar 5 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 Peon 3 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sanderward .. 8 .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 23 8 .. | 13 7 | Do. |

# Fort St. George

9th June 1851.—

| No. | Names of the Zemindaries or Estates. | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors. | No. of the Village included in the Zemindary or Estate. | Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate. | Amount of Kist due up to April of July 1260. | Amount Collected | Balance. | Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached | Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment. | Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached. | Date of the attachment | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13. |
| | | | | Rs. As. P. | Rs. As. P. | Rs. As. P. | Rs. As. P. | Rs. As. P. | | Rs. As. P. | Rs. As. P. | | |
| 30 | Moopaukum | J. Baboo Row | 2 | 103 7 4 | 90 .. .. | 38 .. .. | 52 .. .. | 2 103 7 4 | | | | | This Mootah was placed under the management of the Establishment lately entertained for the Mootah of Noongumbaukum attached on the 24th March 1851. |
| 31 | Seroovanoor | P. Sittary Vencata Kistna Moodely | 2 | 308 9 3 | 269 .. .. | 115 .. .. | 154 .. .. | 2 308 9 3 | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | 412 .. 7 | 359 .. .. | 153 .. .. | 206 .. .. | 4 412 .. 7 | | | 26th May 1851. | | |
| 32 | Pennary | P. Somasoondara Moodely | 1 | 276 3 .. | 242 .. .. | 87 .. .. | 154 .. .. | 1 276 3 .. | 1 Ameenah | 8 .. .. | | | |
| 33 | Yalumbadoo | P. Venayaka Moodely | 1 | 1086 11 7 | 951 .. .. | 408 .. .. | 543 .. .. | 1 1086 11 7 | 1 Mooshruff | 6 .. .. | | | |
| 34 | Commungalow | N. Kistna Row | 3 | 788 9 .. | 691 .. .. | 243 6 1 | 447 9 11 | 3 788 9 .. | 1 Tarufdar | 5 .. .. | | | |
| 35 | Hutchoor | Rookmooddeen Khan Saib | 2 | 448 13 1 | 392 .. .. | 268 .. .. | 124 .. .. | 2 448 13 1 | 1 Peon | 3 .. .. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Sunderward | .. 8 .. | | | |
| | | | 7 | 2,600 6 8 | 2276 .. .. | 1006 6 1 | 1269 9 11 | 7 2,600 6 8 | | 22 8 .. | .. 1310 | Do. | |
| 36 | Vepputtoor | Mr. J. W. Nailer. | 2 | 367 12 9 | 323 .. .. | 139 .. .. | 184 .. .. | 2 367 12 9 | 1 Ameenah & Mooshruff | 6 .. .. | 1101 | Do. | |

# Fort St. George

9th June 1857.

| | Names of the Zemindaries or Estates. | Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors. | No. of the Villages contained in the Estate | Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate | Amount of Assessment due on the 1st April or 1st July 1856 | Amount Collected | Balance | No. of the Villages proposed to be attached | Actual or Estimated value of the portions proposed to be attached. | Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment. | Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached. | Date of the attachment. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| | | | | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | Rs A P | | Rs A P | | Rs A P | | |
| 37 | Canacum Lankum | P. Apparow Moodely | 2 | 5'27 15'4 | 463 - - | 148 - - | 315 | 2 | 5'27 15'4 | 1 Jemadar<br>1 Moosharuff | 8 - - | | |
| 38 | Colloor | Ditto | 1 | 330 - 11 | 288 - - | 123 - - | 165 | 1 | 330 - 11 | 1 Peon | 3 - - | | |
| 39 | Cundegay | P. Venayka Moodely | 1 | 35' 15'3 | 31 - - | 13 - - | 18 | 1 | 35' 15'3 | Sunderwards | - 8 - | | |
| 40 | Erodaputt | P. Apparow Moodely | 1 | 287 4 11 | 252 - - | 108 - - | 144 | 1 | 287 4 11 | | | | |
| | | | 5 | 1181 4 5 | 1034 - - | 442 - - | 592 | 5 | 1181 4 5 | | 11 8 - - | 15 11 | 26th May 1857 |
| 41 | Maulaloor | S. Venkataragavaloo Reddy | 4 | 2733 4 7 | 1197 - - | 1302 13 10 | 1430 | 4 | 2733 4 7 | 1 Jemadar<br>1 Moosharuff<br>1 Peon<br>Sunderwards | 7 - - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 10 8 - - | 7 6 | Do. |
| | Total | | 112 | 36716 11 2 | 32172 - - | 17508 - - | 14663 | 112 | 36716 11 2 | | 267 - - | 11 7 | |

Chingleput District.
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttewauk 31st May 1857

E. H.

Signed J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

7656 Fort St. George 9th June 1857.

B. No 1094.

Proceedings thereon—

15-16

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter at an aggregate charge of Rupees 267 per Mensem, for the Management of the Estates in the District of Chingleput attached for arrears of Revenue for the Current rent Fasly.—

Read

Fort St. George 9th June 1857.

Read the following letters—

No 61.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

1. I have the honor to furnish the additional information required by your Board's proceedings dated 28th Ultimo, in regard to a claim for arrears of quit-rent for the Ground occupied by the Traveller's Bungalow and other buildings at Iroomuttoor, which has been preferred by the Mootadar of Bumbanettoor.

2. The land thus occupied forms part of a Poonja field measuring 39½ Goontahs, which appears in the Pymash accounts as "Cultivated." The assessment to which the said accounts show it to have been liable is Rupees 10-5-9; and as the extent applied to the purpose of constructing a Bungalow, Soldier's Shed and other out-houses, is found to be acres 2-27-4, the proportionate assessment due thereon is Rupees 3-2-10. Under these circumstances the field in question must, I submit, be considered to

(17)

No 1081-

from the Collector of Salem - - - furnishing additional information required by Board relative to a claim preferred by the Mootahdar of Cumbanelloor to arrears of quit rent on Grounds occupied by the Traveller's Bungalow &c at Iroomuttoor.

Acres 8-29-4.

Fort St. George, 9th June 1857.

to have formed part of the assets by which was regulated the permanent demand on the Mootah, unless indeed it be shown that it had been previously applied to other than cultivation purposes. But such does not seem to have been the case, and it is represented that the ground immediately beyond the Bungalow limits has been uninterruptedly cultivated up to the present time.

3. No trace is discovered of any public correspondence in regard to taking possession of the land for the Bungalow, which by an order dated 7th April 1826 was directed to be built on ground shown to the Carraydar and the Tannah Peon, by the then Sub Collector Mr. Crawley. Neither does it appear that until now any claim has ever been preferred for quit-rent, current or in arrear, by the present proprietor, or previously, by his father. From what I can learn in respect of the disposition and habits of the latter, it is, indeed, highly improbable that he would have made such a demand.

4. In reply to the request conveyed to me in the last para of your Board's proceedings under acknowledgment, I beg to state



Fort St. George, 9th June 1857

state that from some notes in my possession, I observe that on the 3d June 1819, the Sudr Adalut decided that "a Zemindar "has no power to sue for rent on lands "illegally held free of rent previous to his "accession to the Zemindary." These notes were not with me when I formerly addressed the Board, and as I quoted from memory, not having seen them for the last 4 years nearly, it is possible that I may have given the matter too extended an application.

Salem, - - - - }
Collector's Cutcherry, }
7th May 1851. - - }

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

B. No. 1095.

Proceedings thereon.- Resolved that the letter above recorded together with the previous papers on the subject be submitted for the consideration under orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

(17-18)

2- The extent of land at Irroo=
=ruttoor on which the claim to remission of Quit Rent with arrears is preferred by the Mootadar of Cumbanelloor is acres 2-27-4 bearing an assessment of Rupees 3-2-10

per

per annum and is applied to the pur-
=poses of a Bungalow a Soldiers Shed
and out houses.-

3. It appears by the Survey
accounts that the land formed part
of a field under cultivation before the
Mootah was formed, and there as
seems to be no doubt as to its having
been obtained in grant from the ex
father of the present Mootahdar.
In this case the late Mootahdar could not alienate the office
in perpetuity. but his grant could
only be in force during pleasure
and not binding on his successor.
4- Under this view of the case
the Board recommend that sanction
be granted for an annual deduction
of Rupees 3-2-10 in the Pishcash
payable by the Mootahdar of Cun
=nellore to take effect from the com
=mencement of the current Fasly 1267
but the Board are of opinion that the
claims to arrears are under any circum
=stances inadmissible under Clause 2
tion XVIII Regulation II of 1802.

T.R.



To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(19)

B. No. 1104.

From the Collector of Salem. - - - -

Reporting the arrears and condition of the Agra=harum Vil=lages of Vul=liamputty. Dayvaroy Samoodrum and Panda=maingalum, and recom=mending the resump=tion of the Villages by Government: requesting also instruc=tions regard=ing the intent. of Section 32 of the Hoobuno=mana in such cases.-

Ser,

Para 1- I have the honor to address your Board regarding the arrears which have accumulated without any ca= prospect of recovery. against the three Agra= =harum Villages Vulliamputty in the Nam= =cul. and Dayvaroy Samoodrum and Pandamangalum in the Paramutty Talook.

2- Vulliamputty- This Village has = been held on the ordinary Agraharum = tenure paying a quit-rent of Rupees = 23-4-8-9. or one third of the permanent assessment originally imposed on it. It remained in the enjoyment of the = Agraharumdars up to Fasly 1254. and until then would seem to have been free from arrears. the public demands being discharged with regularity. In = that year. however. it fell under seques= =tration for a balance of Rupees 1,908-12-9. which sum was eventually liquidated by Sreenavasien. one of the Brahmin = proprietors

proprietors of the Katoopootoor Estate, who presented himself as the mortgagee of the Village; and the Sub Collector, W. Conway,

February 13-57. has informed me that the conditions of the mortgage were "that the mortgagee should "annually discharge the Jodigy and enjoy "all the profits until the principal was "repaid." the Agraharumdars being "left in "the enjoyment of certain lands, rent-free, "assessed at about 500 Rupees." The Sub Collector adds that Sreenavasien is one of the parties who, as the Board are aware, has forced the attachment of his own, the Katoopootoor Estate, and remarks that "each successive year since this Agraharum "has been assigned to him, it has been "attached on account of arrears of Jodigy." The Agraharum has now been under management by the Government Officers, since the 22d January 1850, when it was attached for a sum of Rupees 591-0-" the amount of arrears due including the last payable on the 11th of that Month.

December 21-50-3. A Notice was issued to the Bramin proprietors intimating to them that measures would be taken for



incorporating the Village with the Government lands, unless the sum standing against it were discharged in full within the 28th January last. This threat produced no result, but on the 30th of that month, Sreenavasien brought to the Talook Treasury, a sum of Rupees 839-15-" in liquidation of the balance due on the Agraharum for the Fusly (1259) which had closed on the previous 11th July.

2. On the date of Sreenavasien's payment, there were still outstanding against the Agraharum, the November, December and January kists, to credit of which was applied the sum of Rupees 377-15-" which had been realized by the Sunneen in charge of the Village; a net balance being thus left of Rupees 331-1-", for the discharge of which no steps have been taken by the parties interested, who appear entirely to depend on Sreenavasien, a person now so embarrassed and involved by the liabilities connected with his own share of the Katoopootoor Mootah, that it is unlikely he will

* Rs 709-"-"

will seriously exert himself to retrieve the fallen condition of the less important of his landed acquisition. when the more valuable one is in jeopardy-

5. Since the payment made by Sreenavasien, I have, however, afford-ed the Agraharamdars another opening for the removal of their difficulties, viz by issuing to them on the 24th of last February a further Notice to the effect of the former one. The result has been similar, and the arrear; which in February was Rupees 806-15-11, has gone on increasing;- as the sums brought to account in February; March and April were only Rupees 370-8-11;- Rupees 5-10-7;- and Rupees 178-7-7. Total Rupees 1075-11-1. The net arrear due at the present time is Rupees 931-4-11

6- Under these circumstances it seems useless to expect any improvement in the irregularity which has characterized the discharge of their liabilities by the Brahmin proprietors of the Village since they alienated the privileges intended for their own benefit; and



I therefore submit that the time has come when this Village should be incorporated with the Government lands. I beg to recommend accordingly-

7- It is proper I should add that I am given to understand that although the ostensible mortgage stands in favor of Sreenavasien, the latter in fusly 1254, executed a further mortgage of the Village to Vencut Row the then Tahsildar of Taloor Namcul; by whom it was thereon rented to Sreenavasien for a yearly payment of Rupees 210- Vencut Row was removed from that to the Darampoory, and thence to the Denkencotta Taloor; where he died last year; and I farther understand that in the division of certain family property, the Bond relating to the Village has fallen to the share of Vencut Row's younger brother.-

8- Dayvaroy Samoodrum- The condition and position of this Village are

February 12th 51. thus described by the Sub Collector-

"The present balances against the Agra-
"harum of Dayvaroy Samoodrum are
"small, amounting only to Rupees 99-6-1;

"but

"but it has been under attachment since
"May 1850. and Rupees 175–11–4 were collec
"ted during that period - The circumstances
"this Agraharam are of an usual charac

*Rs 275-3-6. 8.

"It appears that a balance of
Jodigy was due in July 1230. to realize which
Mr. Cockburn. the then Collector. ordered the
sale of the lands of individual defaulters
which were purchased by certain Soodra
persons; who were to hold on the same terms
as the previous occupants - For many years
the Jodigy has been punctually discharged
the remaining Agraharumdars having exer
cised over the Soodras their wonted power
and influence in making them adjust the
whole Jodigy; either under loan or otherwise
But lately the Soodras have resisted; main
taining that they are only answerable for
their respective portions; the consequence
that the Jodigy has been undischarged
and the Village attached - These lands
were given on favorable tenure, and
part from other disturbing causes the
revenues might be paid with great ease
and punctuality - But owing to causes
the present and that instanced of Vaz



the Government Officers became burthened with
all the details of management; and owing to
the embarrassed condition in which the affairs
of defaulting Estates are generally found when
the necessity for attachment arises, it is never
creditable or successful."

9. Adverting to the sale stated
to have been made under orders from Mr.
Cockburn it has seemed to me necessary,
before bringing the subject of this Village under
your Board's consideration, to trace in the re
records of my Office, the circumstances which
induced the issue of those orders - The
following is a brief abstract of what ap
=pears to have occurred - On the marginal
March 24-20. date Mr. Collector Cockburn instructed the
local Tahsildar to inform the Agraharum
-dars, that he could not recognise a re
request which they had preferred for a
responsibility to be assigned to them in pro
=portion to their respective shares in the re
Agraharum - They were to be told that the
entire Village was answerable for its Jodigy,
even if only a cash arrear should remain
against it - That it was of no importance
whether the Shareholders were absent or

present-

present; that no order would be given for the sale of their lands. but if the balance due on the Village were not paid. these (lands) would be transferred to others.

10. To this order the Thasildar, who appears to have been most pertinacious in his endeavours to effect the sale of these lands of the defaulting shareholders replied March 31st 20. His reply was disposed of by a Takeed in which Mr. Cockburn's objections previously taken, were repeated, with a distinct intimation that the Thasildar's urgent recommendation in regard to the sale of the lands would not be listened to; it being explained that the Agraharumdars in comfortable circumstances, would be made to suffer for the needy brother partners in the Village.

11. The Thasildar having again presed his view of the matter, his pertinacity and stupidity were censured, and his attention drawn to the two orders above quoted from the Collector's refusal to permit a sale being repeated. April 12th 50.

12. After an interval of more than a year and more, I find the Tahsildar reporting that of the Agraharumdars only



are in the Village; that they are poor and have difficulty in procuring their daily meals; - that a trifle has been realized by the sale of their personal property; that they have been warned to the effect ordered by the Collector and have replied that the Sirkar may do as it pleased. - that the Soodra Cultivators of the several shares have declared that if the Brahmin proprietors be ousted, they will retain their lands only on a diminution of its produce, but will not pay the faisul money rates; but that if no more than the Jodiqy rate be demanded of them, they will think about the matter.

13 In the month following the receipt of the above report from the Tahsildar. I observe that a Takeed was sent to that Officer apprizing him that under the circumstances of the arrears, a Notice was sent for service on the defaulters and that in the event of non-payment in full of what was due by them, their houses and appurtenances land, Cattle &c. were to be sold by the sale Commissioner August 15th 21.

and

and the result reported.- The Notice by which the above taheed was accompanied and which was applicable to balances other than those against Dayvaroy Samoodrum also warns the defaulters that "their personal and real property" will be sold-

February 24th 22- 14- It was reported by the Tahsildar a few months afterwards that the lands of the Dayvaroy Samoodrum defaulters had been sold and the sums due by them brought to account-

15- From that time forward the lands so sold have remained in the occupancy of the parties by whom they were then purchased-

16- On further reference to my records, I find that in Fusly 1261. a question arose as to what terms were to be inserted in the Puttahs required for issue to the buyers of the Shares in as much as that those prepared and distributed by Captain Macleod distinctly stipulated that in case a balance accrued against the Agraharam owing to the death, desertion, absence, or indigence of the partners, the remaining Shares would



be answerable for its payment- The question was adjusted by Mr. Cockburn, directing that they should be drawn out in the form which had obtained up to the date of sale-

17- Puttahs of this description were given for that and the following Fusly, but for no longer period, as Mr.

November 10th 50- Cockburn, according to the testimony of the Village Curnum, was of opinion that separate Puttahs need not be issued-

18 Under the above circumstances, I submit that the Soodra purchasers cannot be regarded as having bought any rights other than those notoriously enjoyed by the original Brahmin Shareholders; and as there appears no fair prospect of bringing them to terms of accommodation, I have felt myself bound to support the proposition of the Sub Collector to resume the Village-

19- Pandasamungalum- This Agraharam was attached on the 22d March 1850. on account of the balance of Rents due up to March of Fusly 1259- The arrear, inclusive of Ayen Sibbendy and interest outstanding against the Village at the close of Fusly 1259.

was

Fort St. George, 9th June 1857.

was Rupees 55-10-7. A notice was issued on the 21st December 1850. requiring payment of that sum within the period of one month and explaining to the Agraharamdars that in default the Village would be resumed. Deducting the Collections subsequently made, there remained up to the 31st date of the past month, a balance of Rupees 18-2-2 on account of Fusly 1259 and of Rupees 134 for the Kists payable up to April of Fusly 1260. The Agraharamdars who originally agreed to discharge the arrear before the close of last, have now declared before the Tahsildar, that as certain of the Agraharamdars are absent from the Village they will liquidate the balance only in proportion to their own shares, and have requested that thereupon these shares may be released those only being resumed which belong to the absent Agraharamdars. They have added further that should this proposal not be agreed to, the Cutcherry are at liberty to act as they deem best. The Jodigy of the Agraharam is Rupees 294-4 per annum.



Fort St. George, 9th June 1857.

32. When any Enamdars or their heirs fail in paying their fixed Jodigy, the amount should be collected from the Cultivator of the land if it has been actually Cultivated. In case the land may not have been cultivated, or the amount of Jodigy, not fully paid, the land to be attached for one year; and if the Jodigy is not within that time liquidated from the produce of the land or paid by the Enamdars, the land should be included in the Curkar Annuary land from the following year.

I have brought to notice, the Collector should exercise his own discretion and at "the expiration of one year" include in the Annuary lands Villages on which the fixed favorable quit rent may not be duly discharged. The Section seems to me sufficiently clear on the point, but the practice of the District has, I find, been different from what the Section appears to justify.

20. Adverting to the wording of Section 32 of the Hoobunna-ma now in force, of which, for convenient reference, I beg to enter a marginal Copy, I take this opportunity of soliciting instructions whether it is not intended that in cases such as those

Salem, - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry,
9th May 1857. - -

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

B. N: 1100.

Proceedings thereon. Should the Balances due on the 3 Agraharums not be paid up in full (including current dues) on the last day of the Fusly, the Board will, on application from the Collector recommend their resumption.

(19-20.)

2. No division of shares as proposed by the parties in the agraharum of Dayvaroy Samoodrum can be recognized.

---

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 11th April and entered in Consultation 8th May 1851.

Read also the following letter

No. 85.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(21)

B. N: 1134.

From the Collector of Salem. Sir,

I

Transmitting copies of certain vernacular papers relating to the Sub Division of the Paparaputty Mootah.

Referring to the instructions communicated in your letter dated 8th inst. I have the honor to forward transcripts of the papers noted below.

1. A Mahratta Register of the



division into four shares of the Paparaputty Mootah, dated 24th December 1832, signed by Principal Collector, Mr. I. Orr.

2. Copy of a Mahratta Takeed addressed to the Tahsildar of Talookes Daravapoory, dated 28th December 1832 intimating that the Mootah of Paparaputty has, according to the Board's sanction, been divided into four shares, & that the division Registers have been here distributed to the proper Zemindars, and that a Tristhundee is sent, also, that for the future the collections are to be made from the several proprietors. This document is countersigned by Trimul Row, Head Jawab Nauees.

3. Copy of an Order addressed to the Hoozoor Treasurer, which, mutatis mutandis, agrees with the above, together with a copy of the tristhundee sent to the Treasurer's Office. This latter paper is countersigned by the then Serishta Head Goomasta Venkat Row.

II. It has occurred to me that your Board may desire to see the division registers adverted to in the Takeed to

the

1050 Fort St. George. 9th June 1851.

the Darampoory Tahsildar. I have
therefore called on the Shasildar of the
division to procure and send me one.
I should mention, however, that the
of the four which I have examined,
in Tamil, although purporting to be a co
of that in Mahratta of which a transcr
accompanies this communication. It is
nevertheless countersigned by the then
Sherishtadar Govinda Row and shall be
forwarded to your Board directly it reach
my Office.

Salem. - - - - -
Collectors Cutcherry
14th May 1851. - -

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

---

No. 69

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Sectary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 1197.

From the collector
of Salem. - - -

(22)

Sir.

I have the honor to for-
ward a copy of the Division Regula
mentioned in the closing part of my
letter dated 14th Instant transmitting
copies of papers relative to the Subdiv

1077 Fort St. George. 9th June 1851.

of the Paparaputty Mootah.

Salem District. - -
Tripatoor. - - -
Collectors Cutcherry.
20th May 1851. - -

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

B. No. 1108.

No. 239.

Proceedings thereon.

The Board have on their Pro-

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Salem relative to the circumstances under which the Subdivision of the Paparaputty Mootah took place and recommending for reasons stated, that it be confirmed.

(21-23.)

ceedings of 3rd October 1850 to which the first of the above letters is a reply entered into all the circumstances of the Mootah and the particular objections to the Subdivision therein stated* are by no means removed.

2. At the same time the explanations afforded by Mr. Phillips tend to shew that a repudiation of the Sub Division at this time would be open to still greater objections.

3. It is certain on reference to the Records that Mr. Orr in his Jummabundy Report of 1842 did incidentally mention the Subdivision of Paparaputty with other Mootahs and it escaped the observation of the Board. It is also certain that Two of the Four shares were sold under sanction of the Board in 1836: this sanction must have been given inadvertently probably from these 2 small Mootahs having been recommended for sale in a list comprising several others.

Paras 10, 11 of Mr. Phillips' letter of 14th April 1851.

* Para 15

1078 Fort St George. 9th June 1851

4. These circumstances, together with the fact that the divisions though not compact, have not been such as to cause any dispute for years, or any inconvenience to Government seem to make it incumbent on the Board to confirm the Division rather than allow room for shaking the confidence of land holders in the security of their tenure.

5 The information afforded by Mr Phillips in the 2d of the letters above noted only tends to shew the impropriety of Mr Proceedings in 1832; when finding that the would not sanction the Divisions he had them entered in the Register and Takeds according to the Tahsildars state the Division was made by the Board's sanc omitting what is always usual in such the date of that sanction. This omission have been observed by Trimul Row the naveen who wrote the Takeds, and who Head Serishtadar of the District† but the Board are not disposed to attribute to any connivance at the arrangement, the responsibility of which rested with Mr

6. In order to enable the Collector to pass the Motsuffha Refunds Board resolve with the permission Government to confirm the 4 Divisions the Peparapetty Estate as per Division Register of Mr Phillips' letter of May 1851.

Enclosure 1.

T.R.

† From Collector 11th April paras. 5 & 6.

1079 Fort St George 9th June 1851.

Read the following letter.

No 68

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

From the Collector of Salem

Reporting the presentation of a special Appeal to the Sudr Adalut by Mr Fischer against the decision of the Civil Court of Salem in A.S. No 76 of 1847 and for reasons stated, submitting that an answer to Mr Fischer's pleading seems unnecessary.

Fd by 16th 1851.

Civil 184.

1. Your Board are aware from my letter of the marginal date, that the Court of Sudr Adalut were pleased to admit a Special Appeal on the part of the Collector of this District, against the decision passed by the Civil Judge of Salem in the Suit instituted by Mr Fischer, Mootadar, in regard to three spots of land used for the place of execution, the encampment of troops and the site of the Traveller's Bungalow.

2. I have now the honor to forward transcript of a special Appeal Petition laid before the Sudr Adalut by Mr Fischer on his part, and which from the accompanying copy of the Court's proceedings dated 18th November 1850, you will observe has also been admitted to the File of the Sudr.

3. Adverting to the two reasons by which the Sudr Adalut have been induced to admit Mr Fischer's special Appeal, and to the course of argument held in the Extract Minutes of Consultation which formed the basis of the special Appeal application presented

presented on behalf of the Collector of Sale
I beg to submit that a special appeal answer
to Mr Fischer's pleading, seems unnecessary

Salem District,
Tripatoor,
Collector's Cutcherry,
20th May, 1851.

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector

No 1

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder
Adalut, under date the 18th November, 1850.

Read special appeal Petition
presented by I. Cockterlony Esqre Vakeel on behalf
of G. F. Fischer Esqre praying that a special
appeal may be admitted from the decree of
the Civil Judge in appeal Suits Nos 76 and
84 of 1847.

(Here enter Sp: ap: P. No 122 of 1850)

The Petitioner is the Moota-
dar of Salem and Sued originally to compel the
Collector either to pay rent at the rate of
52-2-3 per annum for 3 lots of ground in the
occupation of Government, or to vacate them
one of the lots is occasionally used as an
encamping ground, another is the place
of execution, on the 3rd the travellers Bun-
galow stands.

The Defendant answered
that the lots in question were deducted
in the Survey accounts of 1801 from the



assessable land as Porumboke - that they were
excluded also from the permanent Bariz
accounts prepared at the formation of
the Moottah, that when the Moottah was
put up to public auction 3 classes of land were
alone advertized for sale Viz:-
1 Land under Cultivation -
2 Waste lands that had formerly been cultivated -
3. Lands immemorially waste but capable
of Cultivation
and he urged from these facts that the
lots in question had been reserved by
Government for public purposes.

The Petitioner replied that
all reservations were distinctly set forth
in the Sunnud - i - Milkeat Istimrar, that
his rights were to be determined with
reference to the terms of that deed and that
upon the Defendant's own shewing two of the
lots must have been included in this purchase,
for that, tho' long waste, they were capable
of Cultivation was clear from the offers made
for them.

The Acting Subordinate Judge
who first heard the case came to the con-
clusion that lands classed as Perambokes
had been reserved by Government and dis-
missed the Petitioner's claim.

The

The case was remanded for revision and the Subordinate Judge came to an opposite conclusion, and adjudged that the Petitioner should receive rent at the rate claimed for the whole of any portion of the encamping ground and place of execution, that the Collector after due notice might determine to retain for public purposes and for the lot on which the Public Bungalow stands. The arrears of rent however and costs were disallowed the former on the ground that the Collector "had reasonable cause for resisting the claim."

From this decree a cross appeal was filed. The Collector in appeal Suit No 76 of 1847 urged that the lots had been reserved for the convenience of the Inhabitants of Salem in accordance with the common custom of India and all other countries and that the Moodeliar had no right whatever to them. The Petitioner in appeal Suit No 84 of 1847 contended that his right to the lands had been admitted arrears of rent and costs ought to have been adjudged to him.

The Civil Judge personally inspected the lands. He found that the



encamping ground and place of execution were surrounded by land under cultivation and as the survey accounts of 1801 did not specify for whom or for what purpose "these lots were entered as rejected," he held that under Section XV, Regulation XXX of 1802 and the principle laid down in a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 8th November 1824, the Petitioner was entitled to them. The Civil Judge confirmed therefore the decree of the Subordinate Judge in this respect, as he did also in regard to the arrears of rent claimed, on the ground that the occasional encamping of Troops or the execution of a criminal could "not be considered as occupancy," and that, as the Collector had refused to accept Puttahs when tendered to him, the Plaintiff might have rented the lands to others. He allowed the Petitioner however costs upon the value of the 2 lots in question.

With respect to the lot on which the public Bungalow stands the Civil Judge observed that it is part of the glacis of the Salem Fort, and consequently as ruled by the Court of Sudr Adalut in Special appeal Suit No 37 of 1847 does

not

not come under the head of waste lan
alluded to under Section XV Regulation
XXX of 1802, and that to allow the appr
priation of the glacis for any private
purposes would interfere with the right
and comforts of the inhabitants of the
Town of Salem, who have made use of
parts of it as washing places and bu
grounds, and he consequently reversed th
decree of the Subordinate Judge in th
particular, and made Petitioner liab
for the costs of the Defendants on th
portion of the claim.

The present applica
for the admission of a special appea
from the decree of the Civil Judge abov
red to, rests on the following grounds.

That the decree of
Civil Judge which adjudges 2 of the lots
for to the Petitioner and yet dismiss
to arrears of rent on the grounds there
advanced are contrary to law, for unde
circumstances the Collector was in unin
possession, and any attempt to rent
land to others would have brought
Petitioner into collision with the Gover



whilst according to all law and usage
by proving his title to the land the Peti
tioner established his right to rent from
the date on which it was formerly demanded
by him - that the decrees of the Civil
Judge in respect to the 3? lot are also
contrary to law, in-as-much as they are
based upon precedent and upon circums
tances not in evidence and quite ex
traneous to the case and because more
over the legal provisions by which at the
outset of the decree it is declared that
the case must be judged, have been alt
together lost sight of and departed from.

On these points it is urged
that in relying upon the precedent estab
lished in special appeal Suit No 37
of 1847, the Civil Judge has based his
Decree upon what the law does not al
low for precedent, is not law in India,
that in fact the said decree is opposed to other
decrees upon the self same point in virtue of which
the Petitioner receives rent from one part of the
Salem Fort Glacis, whilst he is denied the right
of obtaining it in another.

That

That the Civil Judge of
having declared, that under the provisio
of Section XX. Regulation XXX of 1802
the terms of the letter from the Board
Revenue dated 8th November 1824, the qu
"tions to be decided "are whether the lo
for which rents are claimed are waste
and capable of cultivation and not a
"taining to the Town of Salem or of a
"of the Villages" adjacent thereto "has
turned his attention altogether from t
which would seem from this declarat
"to be the proper subject for delibera
Viz: whether the lot is a Kin or forei
to the beds of tanks and the land
within Forts which are in the letter
"ferred to declared to appertain to th
Mootadar, to the consideration of w
other portions of the same land are
occupied and to what purpose they
are devoted - and thus the case has
made to rest, not on the point of
right, but upon a question of mer
"pediency and the Plaintiffs claim h
been negatived because the ascertain
"ment of it might interfere with the
and comforts of others.



It is further urged that
there is no evidence whatever on the record to
shew that the site of the Bungalow has
been reserved for the use of Government or
the Community, but that on the contrary
it is clear from the survey accounts, in
which Fort ground Fort ditches Fort
glacis and Beds of Tanks are all classed
together as Perumboke, that no such
reservation was intended and that
as the Government have declared that
a Mootahdar is of right entitled to
"Beds of Tanks" and ground within
Forts they were bound to prove that
some special exception was made in
respect to "Fort glacis" before they
could have it removed from the cate-
gory of ordinary Perumboke or the ap-
plication of their own admitted principle.

The Court admit a
special appeal.

1st Because it is of opinion that
the grounds urged by the Lower Courts
as bearing them out in their refusal
to award arrears of rent to special
appellant for the two spots of land

decreed

decreed to him are untenable and oppos
usual practice of the Courts

2d That it seems desirable th
the question involved in the special
pellant's claim to a spot of ground, occ
by a Government Bungalow, situat
the glacis of the Salem Fort should
fully and finally disposed of.

They further direct
the Petitioner do on or before the 30th D
ment deposit in the Civil Court of
security for the amount of the costs
of the Respondents.

Ordered that Extract
these proceedings be forwarded to the
Judge of Salem by precept commandi
to call upon the Petitioner for the secu
deposit abovementioned.

Precept returnable
six weeks from and after its receipt

Ordered also that C
from these proceedings be furnished
Petitioner's Vakeel on his application

True Copy of an
unauthenticated copy
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

D.G.



To,
The Judges of the Sudr Adawlut Court.

The respectful Petition of
George Frederick Fischer,
Mootahdar of Salem, Plaintiff
in O.S. No. 274 of 1842 in the
Subordinate Court of Salem
and Appellant in A.S.
No. 84 of 1847, and Respond
ent in A.S. No. 76 of 1847
in the Civil Court of Salem,
by his Vakeel Samee
Ouchterlony.

Sheweth,

That your Petitioner moves to
have a special Appeal admitted on the file
of your Honorable Court from the decrees of the
Civil Court of Salem in the above appeal
suits, on the grounds that the judgment of
that Court is, on the face of it, contrary to
Law and Usage.

The Judgment of the Civil
Court is alone given in detail, in the
Appeal Suit No. 76, and to this therefore
a present reference may be confined.

The Civil Court in the decretal
part of its order sets out by saying down
that

"that it has been ruled in Section XI
"Regulation XXX of 1802, that nothing
"contained in the said Regulation shall
"be construed to prohibit Proprietors
"land from granting puttahs for clearing
"and bringing waste lands into cultiva-
and further adverts to a certain document
filed in the Suit as shewing "that Go-
"vernment declared any Renters under
"a Mootahdar might be able to dispute
"the beds of tanks" and also it should
have been added from Ground within
the Walls of Forts was clearly his
"right." This therefore is to be regarded
as what the Civil Court declares to be
the provisions of law applicable to the
case. It then proceeds to say that
"questions therefore to be decided are
"whether the lands for which the
"rents are claimed are waste, and
"capable of any cultivation and are
"appertaining to the town of Salem or
"of the Villages adjacent thereto; the
"great connection of the latter part
being very obvious, but embraces a
point which will be abundantly
noticed hereafter.



From the foregoing position taken up by the Court, your petitioner conceives, that it is to be peremptorily assumed, that the Civil Judge admits a Mootahdar's right to cultivate waste lands within his Mootah, and to class the quality of land adverted to in the letter of the Board of Revenue of the 8th November 1824; Viz^t, the beds of Tanks, and ground within the Walls of Fort as legally coming within this description and that, in the course to be then pursued, it remained for him to enquire, whether, in the particular suit before him, the land claimed was "waste" in a similar acceptation of the term, and also whether it might not be the property of the town of Salem, or the Villages adjacent thereto.

As a result the Court finds that two out of three portions of land claimed, belonged properly to your petitioner; but it denies, for reasons stated, that your petitioner is entitled to any arrears of rent on the same, dating from the time of his first demanding its payment

Fort St. George 9th June

payment at the hands of the Coll
To this latter reservation your P
:tioner demands, and he confidently
looks to an admission of his speci
Appeal, upon this ground alone, fo
humbly submits that the decision of
Civil Court is directly contrary to

A right of possession of
certain land, which the Civil Cou
decrees to be the property of your P
:titioner, was maintained by the
:lector. Your petitioner demanded
for it. The Collector joined issue
thereupon, and raised no plea of
occupancy; but advanced that of a fa
:ferable title to a proprietory right in
The Civil Court virtually says as
this, that, as the Collector was no
really occupying the lands, in the p
literal sense of the term, your petit
should, on the refusal to admit his de
:mand for rent, have entered upon the
:sion of them himself in plain contra
defiat the Government plea of immem
and made arrangements for collec
the Ground, either to have those arra
with all its consequent loss, by the ou
in the



Fort St. George 9th June 1851

interference of the Revenue authorities
(as in S. A. S. No 37 of 1847 before the
Sudr Court) or to maintain them
by a forcible resistance to the power
of the State. This is but the natural
corollary of the Civil Courts propo-
:sition, and it is unnecessary therefore
to dwell upon the consequent untenable-
:ness of the latter. The claim by Go-
:vernment to hold and appropriate the
land - especially when theretofore
assumed to be held under its own
control, was an unquestionable possession,
and a complete bar to its enjoyment
by your petitioner. According to all
law and usage, from the instant that
your petitioner demanded a rent,
which was refused on such a ground,
and especially as a Suit was in-
:stituted before the regular Court to try
the validity of the tenure which formed
the plea for the refusal, he distinctly
established his title to rent at and
from that date, provided of course the
land should be ultimately decreed as
his property. It is accordingly sub-
:mitted that the decree of the Civil Court

is

is in decided error on this point and that its refusal to allow to your Petitioner any arrears of rent should be overruled.

The other point in the Court Court's decree upon which the admission of a special Appeal is prayed, is the denial of your Petitioner right to the third portion of land contested in the Suit. This denial is based upon the ground, that the land being glacis of the Salem Fort does come under the head of waste land as alluded to in Section XV, Regulation XXX of 1802; and this is determined, first by the decision of the Sudr Adalut Court in concurrence with the Civil Judge in S. A. S. No 37 of 1847, and, secondly by a personal examination on the part of the said Civil Judge, which shews "numerous houses and premises to have been erected in and about the Fort which is thickly populated," and also as an inspection of some other parts of the said Slip, of the glacis shews, that the community of the Fort are also in the habit of using the same as dhurrying and washing grounds, and



and their "appropriation for private purposes would interfere with the rights and comforts of the inhabitants."

Now your Petitioner need only offer a few words on the first of the above reasons for determining that this portion of land does not rank in a class which a Mostahdar is entitled to cultivate. Precedent is not law in the Courts of the East India Company and he must pray your Honorable Courts attention to the fact, that if the final Judgment in S. A. S. No 37 of 1847 before referred to, was adverse to your petitioners claim to a portion of the glacis of the Salem Fort, it was, in such respect directly opposed to other Decrees of the Courts upon the self same point, and in virtue of which in fact your Petitioner now receives rent for one part of the Salem Fort's glacis while he is denied the right of obtaining it for another, a Decree, which bases its decision upon mere precedent, exhibits that which the Law does not sanction

sanction, and exception is there
to be justly taken to it.

But a second reason has been
above adverted to for the determination
by the Civil Court of an absence of
title in your Petitioner to the land in
question. This however when closely
examined, will, it is believed, be
found to have no bearing whatever
upon the case, no legal connection
with it, and in fact to originate
from no evidence before the Court

It is said that a personal
examination has disclosed to the
Civil Judge, that, "in and about the
Fort" (not in the particular ground in
dispute; but it is immaterial to
notice this point at present) "numerous houses and premises have been
erected" and that in some of the
parts of the said slip of the ground
"the land is used by the inhabitants as hanging out and
"washing grounds" & "to appropriate
such land for private purposes
would interfere with the
rights and comforts of those



people, and the Court therefore
considers that your Petitioner has no
more title to the ground whereon
the Public Bungalow, is erected,
or to the rents thereof than he had
to the portion of the land appertaining
to the Fort which was litigated
in S. A. S. No. 37 of 1847.

It will firstly be observed
that the Civil Court has here
abandoned altogether one of the
legal provisions under which it
assumed at the outset that the case
was to be judged Viz^t, the declaration
embodied in the letter of the Board
of Revenue of the 8th November 1824.
It is altogether left out of sight
whether the land claimed is or
is not of the nature of that referred
to by the Board, whether in short
it is a Kiri or foreign to land which
represents the beds of Tanks, and is
situated within the walls of old
Forts. The whole aim of the
Civil Judges enquiry is, to ascertain
how other portions of the same
land are occupied, and to

What

what purposes denoted and to
: termine your Petitioner's right to
Ground he actually claims, by what
finds to be the result of this Sui
a mode of treating the case may be
titely exemplified: A has a piec
of land in which, or (to avoid rais
a new question not at issue in the
let us say in the neighbourhood of
which, B, and numerous others
built and located themselves,
unauthorizedly occupies a stro
corner of its ground. A sues for
from C. or for his ejectment, the
Judge pays a visit to the field, a
finds that B, and the other inh
: bitants are very pleasantly loca
and that they use a portion of it
ground for washing their linen an
burying their dead; and so as to
: knowledge a right in it to claim
of C would involve a contingent
risk of "interference with the
rights and comforts" of B and
his fellow "squatters"; its claim
be at once negatived.

In the above alleged



it is submitted that no exaggeration
is to be found, your Petitioner A,
on the plea of waste land general
: ly within the limits of a Mootah
being conferred upon a Mootahdar
in virtue of his sunnud "and upon
his interpretation given by the Govern
: ment itself" of such waste land
embracing the beds of tanks and Ground
within the walls of old Forts, and
being thus closely applicable to the
glacis of levelled Forts, regards the
glacis of the old Salem Fort as being
his property, B and others locate
themselves "in and about the Fort"
and use parts of the glacis for
various purposes, C. the Government
erects a Building upon a portion,
your Petitioner A claims rent for
this ground, when the Civil Court
takes the simple occupation by B,
and the rent as determining the
validity of your Petitioner A's claim
against the Government, C. Thus the
question upon which all rests, that
of title is dropped out of view, and
the case is made to turn, not upon the

point

point of legal right, but upon a gues
mere expediency. The Civil Court
indeed speak of an interference wit
"rights" as well as the "comforts of t
habitants" in and about the Fort
no value whatever can be attached
the expression when used in this
vague and indefinite manner
Judge does not pretend to expl
what those rights are and not
able appears in evidence regard
your Petitioner moreover was not
the inhabitants of the old Fort,
was prosecuting the Government
land appropriated by it for the
poses of a Travellers Bungalow.
Government had contended that
land was their own, and resis
your Petitioners demand for co
question at issue became therefo
purely one of title and should
been determined upon its own me
as between the two litigant parties
refer to the relation in whi
other occupants of similar
stood to your Petitioner was
nite the suit what had not
way appear in evidence, and was

D.G.



adjudication upon the unproferred and untried claims of third parties.

A perusal of the evidence would explain to your Court the not otherwise understandable reason for the Civil Judge thus travelling out of the record before him. It was deligently and boldly contended by the Collector, that on the formation of moottahs, certain lands were reserved for the use and and convenience of Village Communities or Town ships, and that such was expressly the case in regard to the land now in dispute. To support this, however, neither written or oral evidence was in reality produced. It was left to stand upon the Collector's bare ipse dexisb with such adventitious aid, as certain Ayacut accounts compiled in Doormothee year might chance to give it. But three Ayacut accounts in point of fact give it no support whatever. An inspection of them shews that they commence by resisting what the Village generally consists of, that the measurement of them Salem Village made at the Survey including Pormboke is there set out, that a specification of the quantity of Poonjay and Nunjay land follows, from which Pormboke is deducted, the particulars of this

this being enumerated in detail. But
this "Pernmbroke" appears the very land
in dispute, as Fort Glanis 4/8 and there
Fort 7/16 Ditches 2 5/8, while, immediately
ceding it, is an account given of the
occupied by the town and different Villag
the "Cusbah Village of Salem," and imm
succeeding it, is a quantity of barren gro
and the various Tanks from which curren
ces two deductions are very obvious and
palpable, the one, that, in these Aggrega
(upon which no such steps has been
by your Petitioners opponents) Fort Gla
Fort Ground, and Ditches entirely have
and Tanks, are all viewed and treated
coming under one and the same Categor
the other that, when the Pleadings on the
Defendants side represent the land of
Fort Glanis (thus shown to have been
deducted as Fort Glanis in the gener
deductions for Pernmbroke) to have been
specially reserved for the uses of the
Community or Township they state what
fails altogether to be borne out by the
The unqualified and bold assertions



appear however to have suggested to the Civil
Court, the idea of a title resting in the Town
of Salem and hence the personal visit of the
Judge to ascertain a certain fact of inhabi-
tation and the (it must be said) most ex-
traordinary assumption of that present
occupancy sufficing to determine the question
between your Petitioner and the Government
as to the latter's right to possess a portion of
that same land for the use of a Traveller's
Bungalow.

Your Petitioner submits that the
Judgment of the Civil Court of Salem is
thus shewn to be contrary to law on its face,
in as much as it bases its Decree upon
circumstances not in evidence, and quite ex-
traneous to the case before it, and because
moreover it departs from what it had itself laid
down on the legal provisions under which this should
be viewed upon the present occasion it is unne-
cessary that your Petitioner should go into the
merits of the case as it affects himself as
for this, he trusts he will have a future
opportunity but in concluding he will beg
leave to offer the following few observations
upon it.

Your

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Your Petitioner has in this
claimed from the Government rent for land
ing part of the old Fort Glacis which
cupied by it, and appropriated to the use of
Travellers Bungalow as has been alre
shewn Fort Glacis, Fort Ditches, Barr
Ground, and the Tanks of the Comby
all, without any distinction, deducti
(in respect of the ground they occupy)
from the land computed as productive
the Ayaut accounts of the Sirkar
barren ground no one is likely to dispu
being the property of the Mootadar, the G
vernment has formally and solemnly
nounced that the beds of old Tan
and the ground within walls of old F
belong of right to the Mootadar. Y
Petitioner as the Mootahdar of Salem
for the same in respect of the Glacis of
old Salem Fort. On what plea is this
existed simply upon the pretence that the gla
was especially reserved for the use of the Pub
the creation of the Mootahs and this absurd
be maintained by oral or documentary
of any kind is as your Petitioner submits clear
falsified by the very Ayaut accounts of the



Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Government itself - your Petitioner says that as Mootahdar he has right to the lands deduced as Poramboke when these become waste thro' the privileges of his summud, and thro' the explanation to be read in the public records of the intentions and views of its framers and when this is disputed, he refers to the express declaration of the Government itself which say / vide the Revenue Board's letter of the 8th November 1824 / that to cultivate and derive income from the beds of Tanks, and the Ground within the walls of Forts and which form an integral part of Poramboke lands is the right of Mootadars. The acknowledgment of a right is not a matter of indulgence but the admission of a principle, and the principle made applicable to two important items in the Catalogue of Poramboke must equally extend to all such indeed will be found to have governed all the Courts as your Petitioner fearlessly asserts in all cases involving a question of waste land within Mootah save in the one before alluded to

as

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

as Special appeal Suit No 37 of 184
was incumbent on the Government in
present case to have proved some
exception made in respect of the glass
the Salem Fort, before they could
to have it removed from the Categ
ordinary Pormuhe or the applic
of their own admitted principle, and
they have not merely failed, but
not even attempted to do much
too has been shown in the simultaneous
use of the terms "reserve" and "exclu
they were identical in meaning, whi
difference is really great. In an agri
productive land within a certain area
ple deduction "implies what is non-pro
non-productive at that time." But
reserved, and reserved as the Collector
for a particular purpose, has a mean
and deeper signification a signific
which nothing but the most stiff
convey and which would require
cification of the purpose contem
to make it complete. The Ex
Lan



Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

absence of both from the ayacut
accounts of the Village of Salem
may therefore be held as conclu-
sive proof that no such reserv-
ation was ever either intend-
ed or contemplated by the
Government of that day.—

Your Petitioner has
now only to pray that your
Honorable Court will be pleased
to admit this special appeal
in this Suit.—

And as in duty bound he
will ever humbly pray.—

Madras ....
August 29th 1850.

/Signed/ J. Ouchterlony
Pleader

I certify

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

I certify that have
considered the grounds state
for the admission of this
-al appeal and that I
consider them to be
founded and sufficient.

(Signed) I. Cumberlege

Members

(True Copy of a Copy

(Signed) H. B. Phill

Collect

Orde



Fort St. George 9th Jun 1851.

Ordered that the foregoing letter
be submitted with the following address.

No. 235.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

B. No. 1093.
To the Chief Secty to Government.

Sir,

24-25

To Govt. 20th May 1850
To D: 25th June in Cons 1st July 1850.
Pros. to D: 17th February 1851.

With reference to the papers noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter, dated 20th May 1851. from the Collector of Salem enclosing Copy of a Special appeal Petition by Mr. G. T. Fischer, Mootahdar of Salem laid before the Sudr Udalut against the Decrees of the Civil Judge in A Suits No. 76 & 84 of 1847, together with Extract from Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut, admitting the Special appeal for the following reasons.

Cons 9th June 1851.

1st "Because it is of opinion that the grounds
"urged by the Lower Courts as bearing them
"out in their refusal to award arrears of
"rent to special appellant, for the two spots
"spots of land decreed to him are untenable,

and

"and opposed to the usual practice of
"the Courts."

2d. "That it seems desirable that the ques-
"tion involved in the special appellants
"claim to the spot of ground occupied by
"Government Bungalow situated in the
"Glacis of the Salem Fort should be
"fully and finally disposed of."

2. Adverting to these reasons and to the course of argument which formed the basis of the Collectors special cross appeal application in this case, that Officer considers a Special appeal answer to Mr. Fischers pleading to be unnecessary.—

3. The Board concur in Mr. Phillips' opinion as the Special cross appeal application on his side seems a good and sufficient answer to the Special appeal Petition from Mr. Fischer on the other.—

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St. George,
9th June 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

L.B.



Read the following letter.—

No. 70.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26)
B. No. 1704
From the Coll.
of Salem.
Reporting the death of one Basavummal one of the two parties to whom an allowance of Rs. 69-5-1 was assigned in account of the Kepping of a Mault in Vanimbaddy and stating that the building is in a good state of repair.—

Sir,

Adverting to the resolution contained in para 11 Extract Minutes of Consultation 29th January 1819 in respect to the continuance of certain Rooseums for the lives of the then incumbents, I have the honor to report the demise on the 10th January 1851. of Basavummal, one of the two parties to whom was assigned an allowance of Rupees 5-1 in connection with a Mault in Vanimbaddy kept up for the convenience of the Singabalja caste people.—

The correspondence which has taken place reporting this Mault and its Roosoomdars is noted in the Margin.—

Under the wording of the Government order above quoted, I do not consider myself required to offer any opinion on the subject of renewing the whole allowance in favor of the surviving incumbent and therefore to add only that the building is in a good state of repair.

(Signed) W. D. Phillips.
Collector.—

Statement

To B. No. 11 dated 8th April 1851
From Do. 22d May.

Salem District
Sristadar
Coll.s Cutcherry
21st May 1851.

Fort St. George.

9th June 1831.

of a Roosoom in the Zillah of Salem.

| Nature of Grant & quality or species & Nature & duty annexed to the Grant. | Name of the original Grantee | Name of the last holder and the relation in which he stood to the Grantee and date of his decease. | Shares enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members. | Family left by the deceased & and & name and age of the parties. | Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family | Recommendation and grounds thereof. | Recommendation of the Board of Revenue & grounds on which it is founded | Decision of Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Charity | Sembalinga | 1 Sungum Basamah son of Sidda Nunjiah<br>1 Basavummal daughter in law of Sidda Nunjiah<br>2 | The Roosoom was undivided the whole being enjoyed by the deceased jointly with the surviving meimbers Sunga Basaviah. | Basavummal & the deceased left no issue and the other Sungabasaviah the present Roosoomdar aged 20 years is not married; but he deposes that he has an uncle named Veerapah who is a divided member of the family and a resident of Thimmanpett in the Chittoor District who has no claim whatever to the Roossoom in question. | Has no other means of subsistence but the Roosoom. | The Shaikdar reports that the Gut is in repair & and is frequented by Travellers. | | |

These two individuals were in the enjoyment of the Roossoom in question as per sanction of Government dated 17th May 1845 conveyed in Board's proceedings dated 22d May 1845. The latter died on the 18th January 1851 she was the daughter in law of Sidda Nunjiah the grandson of the original grantee and sister in law to Sungabasaviah the present incumbent.

21st May 1851.

E. E. Fox

Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector

The

7713

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Bd's No. 1105.

Remarks thereon.

+ Rec'd to Government 21st April 1845
From Dc. 17th May 1845.

(26-27)

The Rowcorm in question is entered in the joint names of Lingana Baccoraiah and Baccavamah in entry 7 of Tripatore Taluk Enclist No. 1 enclosed in Mr. Maltby's letter

To Govt. 22 July 1850.
From Dc. 21st Augt.

of the 15 June 1850.—

The Board do not see sufficient grounds for the continuance of the Sowcarry enjoyed by the deceased Baccavamah and recommend its resumption on the ground of its irregular acquirement as shewn in that entry and because she has left no issue, but they consider that the surviving incumbent Baccoraiah should be left in undisturbed enjoyment of his moiety or Rupees 34—10—Pr which should be made subject of report on his death.—

Read

7714

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Read the following letter.—

No. 73.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd's No. 1212

From the Coll. of Salem.

Reporting on a Petition from Venkataramaniah Jaghiredar of Mundoo gondahulley in Denkenkotah Taluk of the Zillah of Salem.

(28)

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the report required by your Board's Endorsement on the Petition Marginally noted.

No. 319 of 1851.

From Venkataramaniah Jaghiredar of Mundoo gondahully in Denkenkotah Taluk in the Zillah of Salem.

Represents that Motasipha is levied on certain items within the limits of the Jaghire, which are not mentioned in the Sunnud given by Government; submits endorsements of the Collector and solicits redress.—

Order of the Board.

Forwarded to the Collector for report.

18th March 1851.

He also complains of the collection of

Endorsement on the Petition Marginally noted.

The Petitioner complains of the levy by the Officers of Government, in his Eleven Jaghire Villages of taxes on pack Bullocks or persons selling Articles in baskets from street to street and on petty Bazars which items he alleges were not reserved to itself by Government in the Sunnud Originally granted for his Jaghire; of the collection of

Sandy-

bandy tax as well from himself as from his ryots.—

3 In regard to the first part of the complaint I beg to state that the wording of the Mahratta Summud issued by Principal Collector Mr. Cockburn to Nunjuppa, the Petitioner's Father seems somewhat deficient in distinctness as respects the reserved items of Motorpha and perhaps, is not co worded so carefully as it might have been, in view entirely to exclude from the rights conferred on the holder of the Jaghire the right of collecting any Motorpha co whatever.—

From Board May 3rd. 21.

4 Under the terms of the document of which I beg to enclose an Extract and its translation bearing on the point to which I refer, the Government has distinctly reserved to itself the privilege of realizing the loom tax in the Villages comprised in Petitioner's Jaghire. Out of the co import designated in the Summud. "the tax on professions" they also retain the right to realize the tax on "shops" on Ironsmiths on carpenters on Goldsmiths and on [illegible] on Oilmen together with

"Kusambvarree"

"Dorians"



with the abkarry tobacco rents &c. and the Jaree Enamo- The Petitioner evidently now desires to take advantage of a want of detailed specification in his summud respecting the numerous petty callings which are at present liable to Mooturpha in excess of the few mentioned in the Summud, and claims a right to collect the tax on pack bullocks on persons selling Sundries from street to street, and on petty Bazars. as stated in paragraph 2.

5 The last of these three items must I think be classed with the reserved tax on Shops- In regard to the other two I beg to add that only such items of Motorpha appear to have been specified in the Summud as were in existence in the Villages at the time the summud was prepared - But the intent of the Summud no doubt was to reserve to Government the right of deriving revenue from all the sources adverted to in Section IV Regulation XXV of 1802 and I submit that the Jaghiredar cannot, any more than a Mootahdar co justly lay claim to any of those sources.

sources. It seems that under this impression Motuphaw has been assessed and levied in the Jaghire Villages as occasion offered in the same manner as in those under Amany.

The Board are aware that the Bandy tax is a purely local tax collected by the Government Officers to be expended on the repairs of public roads and other improvements for the particular benefit of the inhabitants of the District and that no part of it finds its way into the Government Treasuries as affecting the liability of Petitioner and of his ryots to the tax They to submit copies

March 27-51 of a report recently made by me to Government on a somewhat similar address from the Mootaldar of Cummanellore and of the Orders which

April 15-51. were passed thereon.

They also to forward a list of the items of Motuphaw now collected in the Jaghire on account of Government.

Salem Zillah, ----
Triputtoor Collector's Office,
24th May 1851. ---

Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector

The



No. 1

Translation of an Extract of a Summud granted to Ningnappiah for the Jaghire of Mudduvoygondahully.

× × × ×

Sunnadayem, Kuyenbvarce, Dookanvarce — Paragraph I Excluding under the head of ready money collections the loom tax and of those coming under the designation of professional tax the Shop tax the tax on Ironsmiths Carpenters Goldsmiths and Oilmen together with the Jaury Enams, Sayer, Abkarry Tobacco and Betel, the above Eleven Villages are granted to yow Jaury, yielding an average Beriz of Rupees 3793 11 7 according to their Beriz Jucta accounts from the Sagoobady (cultivated) Beedos (cultivated once prior to and waste at the time of Pymash), and Anady Bunjer land as contained in Ayaent inclusive of the Permbokepasumed Dasabundum Enams, and Buttavurthy Enams from all trees and from wax honey &c. items of small farms in Swamps and Hills. You shall pay at once at the end of the year pay into the Huzzoor Treasury a Jumla Jodigy of 10 Rupees.

× × × × × ×

Paragraph II × × × ×

With

With the exception of the Sournadayum the loom tax and the six items abovementioned all profits &c shall be yours by right.—

(True Translation)

(Signed) W. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 2

To Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the report required by the order endorsed on the Petition noted marginally—

No. 1894 of 1850.

From Balajee Row Zemindary of Cumbanellore Tengreetaluk Taluk Salem Zillah.

Prays exemption from tax on his private conveyances.

Order of Government.

Forwarded to the Collector for report as to the alleged exemption of the Government servants from the contributions from Petitioners.

5th November 1850.

2 The tax of one Rupee levied on bullock carts in the Salem District is, as the Government are aware an impost which was established originally enforced mainly by the arrangements and local influence of Mr. Orr a former Principal Collector. It has not yet



however as yet received the formal sanction and support of the legislature.—

3 Although no distinct exemption was declared it has in practice generally been the rule to levy the tax only on bullock Carts and not on Conveyances with springs such as are commonly used by Native Gentlemen, public Servants and others for travelling and going to and from Office. Even on these however, the tax appears to have been paid by the Servants of the Collectors Cutcherry and the Courts at Salem until the year 1847/48.

Nursing Row

when a Sudr Ameen who was afterwards promoted to the Principal Sudr Ameenship of Coimbatore took offence at the advice given him by the Tahsildar of a notice for payment for an unimportant arrear of the tax due for his bullock Carriage instead of the amount being demanded in a less public manner, appealed regarding the slight put upon him through the Civil Judge to the Collector who censured the Tahsildar for having of his own authority taken the strong measure complained against instead of

of reporting the matter and receiving the Collectors instructions how to proceed — On real or pretended disgust at the indignity put on the Subordinate some of the Court Servants subsequently declined to pay the tax and their example would seem to have been employed at intervals by the Cutcherry people. The further result was that the tax on bullock carriages was discontinued, that on bullock carts continuing to be levied as theretofore.

24th March 1837. 4 The Head Assistant Collector has informed me that he directed that Petitioner should be assessed with the tax under the impression that such bullock carts as were in Petitioners possession could not be intended for the domestic use and convenience of the females and family of a person of the rank in native society of the Petitioner and so far as I can judge the justice of the assessment would seem sufficiently clear for it is difficult to suppose that the Mortgagee of an Estate like that of Cumbanellee would condescend to employ for his trade things and near his own estates, so plebeian a conveyance



as a common bullock cart.—

5 In reference to the exemption in his favor for which Petitioner contends because it has been extended to the Cutcherry Servants I would mention that on my first arrival in the District in July of last year I was at once struck with the injustice of the exemption referred to by Petitioner and I thereupon expressed to some of the principal servants of my Cutcherry the opinion that they in particular should set a good example and acknowledge the propriety of the impost by paying it without distinction for all the conveyances which they possessed.—

I am now enabled to state that the tax has been discharged by them for the current year and that it will in future be paid with regularity I would add that I have paid it for my own Conveyances and Carts and that the Head Assistant Collector Mr Dykes has declared his readiness to adopt the same course.—

Salem Coll.rs Cutcherry
27th March 1837.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector
Public

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Public Works Department.

No. 134

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th April 1851.

Read the following Papers—

From Balaje Row ize mindar of Cumbanel- loe Tengre cottah Sa- -look Salem.

(Here enter 22d September 1837)

From the Collector of Salem

(Here enter 27th March 1850)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is surprised to find that the Public Servants have for a long time been exempted from a payment which is required from the inhabitants of the District generally—He is of opinion that not only should the servants of the Courts and the Collectors Cutcherry pay their due quota but that the Europeon Officers of the District should after the example of Mr. Phillips & Mr. Dykes be called on to contribute to the maintenance of the roads &c—a copy of these proceedings will be forwarded to the Civil and Sessions



Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Sessions Judge for communication to the Subordinate Judge.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1894.

"The Government see no reason to exempt Petitioner from contributing in common with the other Inhabitants of of Salem to the local Road Fund."—

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery

Chief Secretary.

To. The Collector of Salem

/ True Copies /

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips

Collector

No. 3.

A list of Collections of Motorpha in the Muddoo- gondahully Jaghire Village for fusly 1258-

Under tax on Trade.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Tax on cloth Shops | 11 7 " | |
| Do. Sundry Do. | 31 15 " | |
| Do. Oil Do. | 1 " " | |
| Do. Sellers of sundry articles in Street | 28 14 " | |
| Do. Shops of Shroffs who give exchange for Coins | 1 " " | |
| | | 72 4 " |
| Under tax on Professions. | | |
| On Goldsmiths | 13 6 " | |
| Do. oilmen | 4 12 " | |
| | | 18 2 " |
| Loom Tax | " " 54 " " | |
| Total Rupees | 144 6 " | |

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips

Collector

The

B.d No. 1102

Proceedings thereon The Government having lately directed that no new items be carried to Moturpha until the general subject of that tax now before them be determined the Collector will be pleased to discontinue collection within the 11 Jaghire Villages of Moturpha under the heads referred to, in the Petition if the demand is of late imposition.

(28-29)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 309 of 1857.

"Petitioner is informed that the Government have the sole right of collecting Moturpha and that he cannot be permitted to collect any such tax on the items referred to by him. The Board are unable to exempt either himself or his people from contributing to the local Road Fund."

From the Collector of South Arcot 3

Read letter stating that no Boards Petitions having been disposed of during the Month of May last, the usual Return for the same is not furnished.

(30)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

(30-31)

---

Read



No. 241

Read again letter from the Collector of Madura dated 7th December 1850 reporting on the settlement of that District for Fusly 1259. 1849/50.

In Con. 28th April 1851

(Here enter No. 1781)

Read also letter from the Collector of Madura dated 30th September 1850 submitting the explanation called for on certain points connected with the settlement of Fusly 1258.

In Con. 2d June 1851.

(Here enter No. 1149)

Read also letter from the Collector of Madura dated 25th March 1851 submitting rules for the levy of Grass Tax.—

In Con. 2 June 1830.

B.d No. 1118

Proceedings thereon. The season of Fusly 1259 1849-50 was unfavorable The rains of the S. West Monsoon were less than usual and the N. E. Monsoon on which the Eastern and Northern Talooks chiefly depend almost entirely failed The effects in the several Talooks according to their position relatively with the western Mountains is apparent— Where proximity to the Hills insured a

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the district of Madura for Fusly 1259.

(32)

a supply of water the result of the year was more favorable than in those parts which do not possess that advantage. The Talooks of Tenkarai and Pellacottai are well situated in this respect and Madacolum is traversed by the River Vigay. The other Talooks are less fortunate while Meyloor is the most remote, where the Tanks with trifling exceptions, are small and must be filled more than once to bring the Crops dependent on them to maturity.

Para 6 2 The Dry Crops were moderately good as also the wet where the irrigation was certain. Under rain fed Tanks they were either scanty or failed entirely. In Meyloor there was a scarcity of even drinking water. Both cholera and Fever were experienced in some parts of the District.

3 Notwithstanding the unfavorable character of the season the Prices of Grain were rather less than in the preceding year attributed by the Collector partly to large stocks in hand and partly to importations of Foreign





| | Settlement rates Fasly 1259. | Survey rates. |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs. as. P | Rs. as. P. |
| 2. Sorts Paddy per bollem. | 1—9—7 | 1—4—4. |
| 3. Do | 1—6—8 | 1—1—0 |

above the Survey rates.

Paras 4 6-47-48- 4. In the year under report there is an increase of 3 Villages and 14 Hamlets, owing mainly to the arrangements for the transfer of the Pagoda lands to the Trustees of the institutions, to be noticed in a separate report. Some Hamlets were formed for the greater accommodation of the Inhabitants.

Para 12- 13- Dittum or Puttadar State-ment No 4 Col. 936.

| | |
|---|---|
| As per Collector's State-ment | Rs 54,031 |
| Deerwa Cummee Rs as per Dittum of Fasly 1258 | 12350 |
| | 66,381 |

5 The engagements of land for the year amount to Rupees 12,04,178 being Rupees 66,381 (not Rupees 54,031 as stated by the Collector) from the neighbouring Districts. It is seen, however, that the prices quoted for Paddy are less than in the preceding year. The deficiency occurred chiefly in the Talooks of Meyloor, Ramgherry and Seroomungalum, and is owing partly to the prospects of the season having been known before the allotments

of

of lands had been finally undone
The Dittum being thus below the
preceding year, the deduction for
land not cultivated / Dittum Buyjee
was also less. The assessment of
the waste struck off out of the
accounts for the two Fasslies
respectively was Rupees 1,28,917 and
Rupees 1,03,133, or a decrease of Rupees
25784. in the Fasly under report.
Deducting this item, the gross settle-
ment or cultivation of the year
amounts to Rupees 11,01,040,
being Rupees 40596 below Fasly
1258 The portion of
this decrease appertaining to wet lands
is Rupees 26477 of which Rupees 11981
occurred in the Talooks of Meyloor

Statement No 5 Col 236, 238 and Col 132, 134, 138.

1258 / 1348/49

As per Para 13 of the Report 1821
Hooloor Map omitted by the Collector 477
7298

The assessment of the
waste included in the
Demand against the Ryots
was Rupees 7299 or Rupee
1 more than
in Fasly 1258 / 1348/49
2384 less than
Repairs to Tanks have been executed
under a presumption of an extension of
the Cultivation and where land
actually occupied with the view of keep
others out, the Board concur in
opinion with the Collector that
parties, as a general rule, should be
held to their engagements, and the



the land, though not cultivated, should
be included in the settlement. In the
present year, under the pressure
occasioned by the failure of the rains,
this rule very properly was not
rigidly enforced.

Paras 15 @ 22

The general Remissions
amount to Rupees 1,30,207 or Rupees 37,260
above the preceding year. The increase
is entirely owing to the losses incurred in
consequence of the failure of the
rains. The deductions on the Survey rates,
denominated Hooloor Map and Mr Peters's

Fasly 1259
Hooloor Map — Rs. 29,907
Mr Peters's remission — 45,913.

Remissions in Pondigul annually
the principal items of
Remission, were less in proportion to
the reduced extent of the cultivation.
The item "Unthrived Crops" was necessarily
large. It amounted to Rupees 43,211 and
exceeded the preceding Fasly in the sum
of Rupees 41,808. The losses after full
examination were found to amount
to Rupees 48,988, but of this Rupees 5774
were disallowed with reference to
the profits afforded by the Market
prices of grain. Where the
Harvest in general was fair
and the loss inconsiderable, no
indulgence was necessary. The suffering
was chiefly in the Meyloor Talooks,

Para 17

where

where the remissions for loss of Crops were as high as Rupees 30298.

8. The Collector had prepared the Board for the Remissions above noted. Under the circumstances of the season, he was permitted to levy one half of the full two crop Assessment for a second Crop when the first had failed, in relaxation of the rule of the District by which the full assessment is leviable if one of the Crops comes to maturity. Remission was also permitted for withered Crops eaten off by the Cattle, provided the European Authority was fully satisfied that the Crops in those cases had actually perished. This indulgence was granted partly in consequence of the extent of the stubbles; and partly because this was almost the only available food in this season of drought. The Remission in both cases was to be given after a due consideration of the general circumstances of the Ryots holding and of the Marshal Power of Grant. The Board are satisfied that their orders have been judiciously carried out, and they confirm the proceedings of the Collector.

From 1st Collr 30th March inclusive 8th April 1850
To do do 8th April "
From do 30th May inclusive 7th June "
To do do 17th June "



Para 25

9 A Remission of Rupees 15-7 was allowed on account of Coule waste in the Sub Division, rendered necessary, the Collector states, in consequence of property no remission for Coule waste is only granted for special reasons, the Sub Collector should have noticed the subject, with explanation of the circumstances under which the indulgence was given.

Para 29
* Perapoo

10 Under the head of Quit rent in whole Villages, there is a decrease of Rupees 5562-11-7, arising from the recent arrangements in connection with the transfer of the Devastanum lands. This reduction of quit rent is made up by an equivalent surrender in other Villages.

Para 36

11 The larger decrease, amounting to nearly Rupees 7,000, under the head of Pasture lands is owing chiefly to the drought and to the unwillingness of the Ryots to retain, in their occupancy, more land than is absolutely required for their Cattle. A portion of the decrease Viz.th Rupees 1,439 is in consequence of the discontinuance of this Fasl, in conformity with the orders of the Board in the Taluks of Tyemputty and

and Tadycamboo in the Subdivision. The demand had been made for the first time in those Talooks in the preceding year, and the Board expressed their disapproval of that measure till more specific information should be laid before them. This has now been done by the Collector in his letter dated 25th March 1851 recorded at the head of these proceedings. In that communication Mr. Parker submits, as a temporary arrangement, rules for the regulation of the Pasture Land based on the principle, recognized in Coimbatore that the Ryot shall enjoy land for Pasturage to the extent of 1/5 of the extent occupied for cultivation on the fixed assessment, and that all the lands in the Village over and above that extent to be thus appropriated, shall be let out on Farm on by auction preference being given to the Inhabitants of the Village if their offer is Mr. Parker also adverts to the fact which prevails in Tinnevelly of making over the entire waste of the Village to the Inhabitants at a low rate and observes that if a similar mode allowed, the system best adapted to the Village should be followed.

12 As a general point the Board deem it desirable that



Pasture lands ordinarily used as such should be parcelled out into tracts of convenient size with defined boundaries, to be held individually or jointly according to the wishes and interests of the inhabitants. Each separate portion will have a distinct assessment, but the proportion thereof to be imposed will be regulated, if necessary, by a rule which allows a certain extent of waste for pasturage on a reduced demand with preference to the cultivated area. The Board are not prepared as at present informed, to desire that the foregoing Principle shall be followed in Madura. They would suggest it for the consideration of the Collector; meanwhile, as "the Ryots have not arrived at any "settled opinion as to the terms on which it "would be most for their interests to take "grass land," they resolve to confirm the proceedings of the Collector as an arrangement for the present only. They will be glad to hear that rules have been definitively fixed, as on the further information afforded in the latter under immediate reference, it appears desirable that

Statement No 2 which accompanied letter from the Collector dated 31st March 1851 - Cols. 16 and 17.

Tyempilly -- 70,453 Cawnies
Tody comboo -- 68,450 — " —
Ramgherry — 60,401 — " —

Extract Minutes 10th inst: 19th Sept. 1850 Para 27.

Para 49.

that the Tax should be brought into operation in Tyempilly and Tody comboo, where, as in Ramgherry the waste or lands fit for Pasturage are extensive, and the breeding of Cattle is much attended to. The Collector will not fail to bear in mind the injunction of Government that the Tax should be so regulated as not to bear hardly on the people or prevent those improvements in the breeding of Cattle which appears much needed in the Madura District.

13. In the Rent Roll there is a decrease of 2965 Puttahs for rates exceeding Rupees 10, and an increase of 2527 Puttahs for rates below Rupees 10 - this arises, as explained in a former Para, from the lands engaged for at the beginning of the year being less in consequence of the unpromising character of the Season. In the Joint Puttahs there is an increase of 910. Land held in partnership is chiefly found in the Dindigul Division, where there is comparatively much Garden and Sugar Cane Cultivation, which, from the number of Cattle required



for raising the water, is expensive, requiring more Capital than frequently a single individual has at his command. The total number of Puttahs, individual and joint, for the two years, respectively is. -

Fasly 1258/1848/49 — 81303.
" 1259/1849/50 — 81775.

Para 50 Sub Collrs Report Para 59.

14. The land taken up for Plantations during the year was inconsiderable, the Assessment of the same being Rupees 49 — 5 — 3, the total extent taken up since the promulgation of the rules on the 11th January 1848 is 51 Gooles assessed at Rupees 73 — 6 — 2.

| | Assessment Rs. a. P. |
|---|---|
| Collectors Division | 4 — 9 — 10 |
| Sub Collectors Do | 44 — 11 — 5 |
| | 49 — 5 — 3 |

Fasly 1258 - 24 — " — 11
" - 1259 - 49 — 5 — 3
73 — 6 — 2

Para 51 & 55 To Govt. 12th May 1851.

15. The Settlement of the 4½ Villages recommended to be incorporated with the Government Villages amounted to Rupees 488 — 10 — 8. The principle of the Settlement consists in a division of the Produce for wet Cultivation and a fixed Money rate for other lands. The Village Establishment is remunerated in kind by a deduction of 4 Cullums per 100 from the Government share in lieu of lands presumed. The Collector will explain at what time this practice originated, and what

the

the extent of the resumed lands is.—

16. The Board wish the extent of land occupied for Cotton and Sugar Cane, with the assessment thereon, to be noticed in the Report on the Settlement, the portions belonging to Zemindary and Mauniem being distinguished from that included in the Government lands. They observe that the extent occupied in the year under consideration was.—

Cotton —— 63545 Acres

Sugar Cane — 254.

In Hindoovee

17. Referring to the Puttah transmitted with the Settlement accounts, it is observed that the particulars of the entire engagements or Kabooleats are not specified, the entry being confined to the portion Cultivated. This should be rectified the words "Cultivated" or "waste" as the case may be, being written against each Field or separate number.—

Proceedings on Settlement for fusly 1258 12th Augt. 1850 Para 21.

18. The Kistbundy submitted in the dated 30th September 1850, recorded at the of these Proceedings, may be brought into operation experimentally. It is observed that the first Kist for the early crops is made payable in November instead of December

JR



before proposed. If this on trial is found to be too early with reference to the time when the produce is brought into the Market it will be better to revert to the original proposition of requiring the first payments to be made in December. Similar remarks apply to the latter Crops, the first Kist on account of these is fixed for January instead of March, as heretofore.—

Proceedings dated 30th July 1849. Extract Minutes Consultation 10th September 1850 Para 8.—

19 The report on one and two crop lands is still due

20 A general view of the settlement as noticed in the foregoing Paras is exhibited in the following Abstract statement in comparison with the results of the preceding year.—

Fusly

Fort St. George 9th June 1851

1739

| | Fusly 1258 | | | Fusly 1258 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Survey Dry | 52,87 | 95 | 12 11 | 50,529 | 53 | " 10 | " | " | " | 23,542 | 12 | 1 |
| Dry Garden | 39,571 | | 8 10 | 38,215 | 3 | 8 | " | " | " | 1,356 | 5 | 2 |
| Wet | 48,078 | | 7 2 | 4,647 | 59 | 9 " | " | " | " | 19,818 | 11 | 2 |
| Do. cultivated with dry produce / Nunjah Mail Poonjah | 12,993 | | 3 7 | 11,887 | 11 | 5 | " | " | " | 1,105 | 8 | 2 |
| Do. — do. Gardens | 9,977 | | 9 2 | 11,155 | 9 | 7 | 1,178 | " | 5 | " | " | " |
| Do. | 93,310 | | 9 1 | 88,277 | 10 | 5 | " | " | " | 5,032 | 11 | 8 |
| Wet | 79,763 | | 2 8 | 76,494 | 1 | 7 | " | " | " | 3,269 | 1 | 1 |
| Garden | 1,218 | | 15 10 | 1,401 | 10 | 8 | 182 | 10 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Wet Garden | 8,500 | | 12 1 | 7,234 | 4 | 9 | " | " | " | 1,266 | 7 | 4 |
| Wet by or Nunjah Mail Poonjah | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 12,58,210 | | 1 4 | 12,04,178 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | 54,031 | 3 | 5 |
| Add Teerwah or Inaam Cummy | 12,350 | | 1 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 12,350 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 12,70,560 | | 2 7 | 12,04,178 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | 66,381 | 4 | 8 |
| Deductions on land left unsown. | 12,8,917 | | " 10 | 10,3,132 | 1 | 3 | " | " | " | 25,784 | 15 | 7 |
| Gross settlement. | 11,41,643 | | 1 9 | 11,01,046 | 12 | 8 | " | " | " | 40,596 | 5 | 1 |
| Shamilat Bunjer or portions of a field left waste | 19 | | 4 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 19 | 4 | 5 |
| Karanmah Bunjer or remission of Tax on land taken up | 881 | | 7 1 | 278 | 8 | 11 | " | " | " | 602 | 11 | 2 |
| Do. Do. Mr. Peters remission | 31,037 | | 11 8 | 29,907 | 1 | 6 | " | " | " | 1130 | 10 | 2 |
| Discretionary or Mr. Peters remission | 46,413 | | 8 5 | 45,913 | 4 | 2 | " | " | " | 500 | 1 | 3 |
| Beetle Garden exhausted | 397 | | 1 " | 149 | 2 | 7 | " | " | " | 247 | 11 | 5 |
| ¼ Tax on Poonjah lands cultivated by Braminis | 686 | | 6 4 | 792 | 8 | 8 | 105 | 2 | 4 | " | " | " |
| Land taken for Road. | " | | 5 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 5 | 3 |
| Teerwah Cummee or land of superior quality cultivated with inferior Produce. | 14,424 | | 13 5 | 17013 | 11 | 3 | " | " | " | 2411 | 2 | 2 |
| Fusly Cummee or deduction for 1 Crop in 2 Crop lands | 2,038 | | 7 2 | 2986 | 6 | 5 | 47 | 15 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Difference between the former and present assessment of land cultivated with Sugar Cane | 11,557 | | 15 " | 391 | 4 | " | 33 | 2 | " | 411 | 4 | 7 |
| Sibbendy Charges for Palaputtaday / Moturpha / Collections | 7,26 | | 1 10 | 2,65 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | " | " | " |
| Withered Wet Crops or Shavy | 1,405 | | 2 11 | 1,321 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1,180 | 7 | 15 | " | " |
| Remissions of the lower of the two rates, Viz. one on Trees and the other on the land where trees and Crops are grown on the same spot. | 16 | | 8 8 | 15 | 7 | 6 | " | " | " | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Remission of 1/10 of Teerwa on Cotton grown on last years Stubble. | 241 | | 4 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 241 | 4 | 7 |

Deductions.

Dillons

Survey Poojah Cultivation and Waste

To the Accountant General

To the Superintendent of Salt Revenue and Abkarry

To the Managing Director of the Nilgiris

To the Board of Revenue

To the Collector of Madura

To the Collector of Tinnevelly

To the Collector of Coimbatore

To the Collector of Salem

To the Collector of Malabar

To the Collector of South Canara

To the Collector of North Canara

To the Collector of Dindigul

To the Collector of Trichinopoly

To the Collector of Tanjore

To the Collector of South Arcot

To the Collector of Chingleput

To the Collector of North Arcot

To the Collector of Cuddapah

To the Collector of Nellore

To the Collector of Kistna

To the Collector of Rajahmundry

To the Collector of Vizagapatam

To the Collector of Ganjam

Sir

I am directed to transmit to you the Statement noted in the margin, exhibiting the Gross Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Fusly year 1258 corresponding with 1848-49 and the Net Settlement of the Fusly year 1258 corresponding with 1849-50 of the Districts under the Collectorate of Madura, and to request that you will be pleased to cause the Statement to be filled up with the Gross and Net Settlement of the Fusly year 1258 corresponding with 1850-51 of the Districts under the Collectorate of [illegible] and return it to this Office as soon as possible.

I am Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Secretary to Government

To the Collector of [illegible]

Fort St. George 9th June 1851

7739

| | Fusly 1258 | | | Fusly 1258 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry | 52,87 | 95 | 12 | 50,52 | 53 | " 10 | " | " | " | 23,542 | 12 | 1 |
| Dry Bo. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Half Tax on Cholum grown on Do. | 4 | 12 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 | 12 | 4 |
| Loss by Inundation | 112 | 13 | 7 | 108 | 6 | 3 | 55 | 8 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Cowle Bunjer. | " | " | " | 15 | 7 | " | 15 | 7 | " | " | " | " |
| Cowle Remission. | 681 | 15 | 5 | 1,034 | 15 | 7 | 353 | " | 2 | " | " | " |
| Total Deductions | 98,946 | 8 | 1 | 136,206 | 9 | 7 | 37,260 | 1 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Remainder | 1,42,696 | 9 | 8 | 9,62,840 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | 77,856 | 6 | 7 |
| Add ready Money Collections | 58,337 | 4 | 3 | 44,310 | 8 | " | " | " | " | 14,026 | 12 | 3 |
| Total. | 1,10,033 | 13 | 11 | 10,09,150 | 11 | 1 | " | " | " | 91,883 | 2 | 10 |
| Deduct Charges of Davastanum allowances of Assumed Zemindaries &c. | 17,643 | 5 | 9 | 16,970 | " | 8 | " | " | " | 1,573 | 5 | 1 |
| Net Settlement | 1,08,389 | 8 | 2 | 9,93,080 | 10 | 5 | " | " | " | 90,309 | 13 | 9 |
| Peishcush. | 78,2,815 | 12 | 4 | 78,2,815 | 12 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Grand Total. | 18,86,206 | 4 | 0 | 17,75,896 | 6 | 9 | " | " | " | 90,309 | 13 | 9 |

No. 40

Fort St. George 9 June 1851.

21 The Collector to the end of March amount to Pagodas 12,92,905 — 11 — 2

Deduct Charges of Collection within the Limits of Fusly — " — 7

Fusly — " — 7

Do. subsequently — 90,309 — 9 — 7

The Balance is due almost entirely on account of the Chingleput Balance Pagodas 10 8 7

By remittances of Collections 8,41,158 8

" — " — Chingleput 8,55 2 3

" — " — Kullumukky 8,55 2 3

" — " — under attachment 3,55 8 1

Pagodas 8,51 22

The old Pycarum Pagodas 10 8 7

By remittances of Collections 8,41,158 8

" — " — Chingleput 8,55 2 3

" — " — Kullumukky 8,55 2 3

" — " — under attachment 3,55 8 1

Pagodas 8,51 22

The old Pycarum Pagodas 10 8 7

By remittances of Collections 8,41,158 8

" — " — Chingleput 8,55 2 3

" — " — Kullumukky 8,55 2 3

" — " — under attachment 3,55 8 1

Pagodas 8,51 22

The old Pycarum Pagodas 10 8 7

By remittances of Collections 8,41,158 8

" — " — Chingleput 8,55 2 3

" — " — Kullumukky 8,55 2 3

" — " — under attachment 3,55 8 1

Pagodas 8,51 22

The old Pycarum

Pagodas 34@42 Balances are nevertheless due as shown in the Margin. The portion which is deemed to be recoverable as Arrears of Peishcush Rs. 112 — 9 — 3 is entered to Pycarum in the Collector's Account of the Bank under the explanation of the Collector. The Bank Manager to recommend may be written off. The Collector is requested to furnish particulars of the same.

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| 1257 | 12,551 | 98 | 13 | 1. |
| 1256 | 12,558 | 18 | 3. |
| 1255 | 12,558 | 18 | 3- |
| | 112 | 9 | 3- |

Pagodas 45

23 The old Balances on the Kullumukky Estate amount to Rupees 2,000 as will appear from the Statements of the Tahsildar dated 3rd March 1851. The Collector is requested to furnish Mr. Baker the Statements on the subject.

24 The Collector is desired to forward the Remittances on account of the Chingleput Balance Pagodas 10 8 7 as shown in the Margin.

D. Pycarum do.

1741 | Fort St George | 9th June 1851.

| | Demand of Fusly 1258. | Collections: Within the Fusly | Collections: Subsequently to the end of March 1850 | Collections: Total | Balance | Demand of Fusly 1259 | Collections: within the Fusly | Collections: subsequently to the end of March 1851 | Collections: Total | Balance |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 18,66,206 4 6 | 15,08,869 3 4 | 3,22,858 1 8 | 18,31,719 5 " | 34,485 15 6 | 17,38,896 6 9 | 14,93,869 " 7 | 1,99,086 9 7 | 16,92,955 10 2 | 82,940 12 7 |
| Salt | 3,23,134 15 3 | 3,23,134 15 3 | " " " | 3,23,134 15 3 | " " " | 3,50,826 " " | 3,22,711 8 " | 28,114 8 " | 3,50,826 " " | " " " |
| Sayer | 1,603 13 5 | 1,603 13 5 | " " " | 1,603 13 5 | " " " | 1,553 5 7 | 1,553 5 7 | " " " | 1,553 5 7 | " " " |
| Abkarry | 57,160 " " | 55,244 " " | 1,950 " " | 57,160 " " | " " " | 52,405 " " | 50,079 " " | 2,326 " " | 52,405 " " | " " " |
| Sundry small Farms & licences | 11,204 3 2 | 4,614 7 4 | 6,588 4 10 | 11,202 12 2 | 1 7 " | 9,517 4 6 | 6,189 2 10 | 3,314 15 4 | 9,504 2 2 | 13 2 4 |
| Sea Customs | 13,415 13 9 | 13,415 13 9 | " " " | 13,412 13 9 | " " " | 12,900 11 1 | 12,100 5 2 | 800 5 11 | 12,900 11 1 | " " " |
| Stamps | 23,200 15 " | 23,200 15 " | " " " | 23,200 15 " | " " " | 26,589 9 6 | 26,589 9 6 | " " " | 26,589 9 6 | " " " |
| Total | 22,95,927 1 1 | 19,30,044 4 1 | 3,31,094 6 6 | 22,61,138 10 7 | 34,488 6 6 | 22,29,088 5 5 | 19,13,091 15 8 | 2,33,042 6 10 | 21,46,134 6 6 | 82,953 14 11 |

Ordered

7742 Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Madura.—

---

Read the following letter

No. 61.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

33
B. No. 1192

From the Magistrate of Madura

Sir Fort St. George

With reference to Clause 2 Section XVIII Regulation IX of 1816, I have the honor to report that Anachellum and 4 others of the Ramnad Zemindary charged with the Homicide having absconded, and not appeared within the time fixed in a Proclamation issued under Clause 1 Section XVII of the same Regulation their property as per Margin has been taken under attachment and the Heads of Police have been directed to provide for the cultivation of the lands and care of the crops I am not aware that any further steps are necessary, but will abide by the instructions of the Board thereon.

Madura 19th May 1851.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Magistrate

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| * Cardamums of Sangalums and Undercards | 35 | " | " |
| " Cardamums of Pongal | Do. | Do. | 112 | 14 | " |
| " Sweet Potatoes | Do. | Do. | 25 | 8 | " |
| Jowle Pse and Cash | Do. | Do. | 54 | 12 | " |
| Grain | Do. | Do. | 70 | 9 | " |
| | Total Rupees | | 319 | 11 | " |

7743 Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Bd. No. 1091

Proceedings thereon. The Collector and Magistrate will take the necessary measures for the due care and Management of the property referred to in the foregoing letter and at the expiration of six Months from the date of attachment, if not previously removed, he will report the case for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in conformity with Clause V Section XXIII, Regulation IX of 1816.—

33-34

---

Read the following letters.—

No. 55.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1247.

From the Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 24th March last I have the honor to state for their information that one of the Defaulters referred to in my letter of the 30th December 1850 No. 150 died, and the other Remiss has this day paid into my Office Rupees 187 1 which with the sale proceeds of the field No. 110 Cover the demands for Fusles 1258 and 1259. as shown in the margin, and the

35

* Kanja Fusly 1258 58 8 8
1259 78 " "
Charges for Selling Land " " 51 "
129 " 1

the sale of the land No. 109 may therefore be cancelled.—

Coimbatore Collectors' Office, 29th May 1851 } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas Collector

No. 1097

Proceedings thereon The Board of Revenue confirm the sale of the land adverted to in the foregoing letter and authorize the Collector to carry the proceeds to the credit of Government on account of arrears against the late Proprietors.—

2 Under the circumstances stated the Board direct that the sale of Field No. 109 be cancelled.—

---

No. 57.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Rcd No. 1291 From the Collector of Coimbatore } Sir, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have this day delivered over charge of the Collectorate to W. Mr. Molle Esqre Sub Collector and I beg to enclose the receipt of that Gentleman for balance of cash remaining in the Treasury.

Coimbatore, 2d June 1851. } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas Collector

(35-36)

(37)



Received from E. B. Thomas Esqre. Collector of Coimbatore in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees seven lacs, Ninety five thousand nine hundred and seventy nine, annas seven and pice ten, being the balance of Cash up to this date according to the accounts of the Treasury.—

| Description of Coins | Number of Coins | Rate of conversion for Imperial Coins | Amount | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Silver: Company's Rupees of 1835 1/80 | 5,64,408 | 100 " " | 5,64,408 " " | |
| Do. half Do. | 12,665 4 | 50 " " | 6,332 7 " | |
| Do. Quarter Do. | 3,46,274 | 25 " " | 86,568 8 " | |
| Do. Double annas Do. | 25,3042 | 12 8 " | 31,630 4 " | |
| Company's Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835 | 25,202 | 100 " " | 25,702 " " | |
| Do. half Do. | 6290 | 50 " " | 3145 " " | |
| Do. Quarter Do. | 3261 | 25 " " | 815 4 " | |
| Do. Double annas | 4092 | 12 8 " | 511 8 " | |
| Do. Single Do. | 2,411 | 6 4 " | 150 " " | |
| Bombay Rupees | 14746 | 100 " " | 14,746 " " | |
| Do. half Do. | 1872 | 50 " " | 936 " " | |
| Do. Quarter Do. | 447 | 25 " " | 111 12 " | |
| Company's Rupees Olds | 82 | 100 " " | 82 " " | |
| Company's Rupees Burnt | 1113 | 100 " " | 1113 " " | |
| | | | | 79746. 15. " |
| Copper: New Half annas | 55493 | 3 2 " | 1734 2 5 | |
| Do. Quarter Do. | 58122 | 1 9 " | 908 2 6 | |
| Do. Single pice pieces | 48,558 | " 8 4 | 252 14 6 | |
| Four pice Do. | 485 | 2 14 | 10 1 8 | |
| Two Do. Do. | 214 | 1 " 8 | 2 3 8 | |
| | | | | 2907 8 10 |
| | | | | 79,654 7 10 |
| Amount of Bank Notes | | | | 325 " " |
| | | | Company's Rupees | 79,979 7 10 |

Coimbatore 2d June 1851 } /Signed/ W. M. Molle Sub Collector.

To

1746 Fort St. George 9th June 1857.

No. 58.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 1292.

From the Sub Collector of Coimbatore. } Sir I have the honor to
38 state for the information of the Board
of Revenue that I have this day
received charge of this collectorate
from E. B. Thomas Esqr. and
beg to enclose the duplicate of
the receipt granted by me
to that Gentlemen.—

Coimbatore, ---
2nd June 1857. } I Signed W. Mc. Moodie
Sub Collector

Ordered

1747 Fort St. George 9th June 1857.

No. 233.

Bd. No. 1089.
Order thereon

Ordered that the two foregoing
37-39 letters be submitted for the information of
Government, and that the duplicate receipt
be returned to Mr. E. B. Thomas, in
reference to Section LXIX, Regulation II of 1803.

Read the following Return.

Return

(40)

7748

B.D. No. 1261

# Fort St. George

Return of Talookdars of the Collectorate of

Canara as they stood on the 1st May 1851.

| Name and place of birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collections | Pay | Length of time on the present Talook | Total length of service as Talookdar in the Collectorate | Employment previously to the appointment as Talookdar and length of service in each employment | Total length of service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | Rupees A. P. | Rs. as. | | Years M. D. | | Years M. D. | |
| Eshwantappa of Cadwad | Shenvy | 62 | Mangalore | 34327 6 5 | 170 . . | 9 | 22 7 18 | As Vakeel of the Revenue Armed Peon | 2 1 24 | 10 9 24 |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Gomastah | 6 . | |
| | | | | | | | | Sayer Canamidar | 11 11 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Paishcar | 3 11 27 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 18 7 6 | |
| Shreenwas Row of Kanchy | Deshust Brahmin | 41 | Bekul | 288124 3 4 | 110 . . | 1 | 1 9 6 | As Gomastah in the Head Assistant Collector's Cutcherry | 3 8 . | 25 . 15 |
| | | | | | | | | Goomastah in the Amany Cutcherry | 2 . 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Hazoor Gomastah | 1 6 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | Hazoor 2d. Moonshee | . 11 8 | |
| | | | | | | | | Hazoor Head Moonshee | 11 9 21 | |
| | | | | | | | | Shereastadar of Mangalore Talook | 3 3 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 23 3 9 | |
| Rangappa of Moolky | Sarasooth Brahmin | 47 | Buntwal | 157012 11 3 | 150 . . | 1 | 12 2 6 | As Shanbogue of Khutwals Choultry | 3 10 18 | 25 4 16 |
| | | | | | | | | Extra Monegar of Mangalore | . 1 . | |
| | | | | | | | | Police Moonshee of Ditto | 2 1 . | |
| | | | | | | | | Gomastah of H.a Asst. Coll.rs Cutcherry | 1 5 . | |
| | | | | | | | | Police Moonshee of Ditto | . 6 19 | |
| | | | | | | | | Revenue Ditto of Ditto | . 10 29 | |
| | | | | | | | | Do. Do. of Sub Coll.rs Ditto | 4 3 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 13 2 10 | |

1749

Fort St. George

9th June 1851.

| Name and place of birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collection | Pay | Total Length of Service as Talookdar in the Collectorate | Employment previously to the appointment as Talookdar and length of service in each employment | Total Length of Service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Years m D | Years m D | Years m D | |
| Munguesha Sarasooth of Tonsich Bramin | Sarasooth | 55 | Odipy | 2705166 | 2 100 . . | 3 9 28 | Gomastah in the Mangalore Sea Customs — 3 5 12<br>Sheristadar in the Sea Customs — 16 4 20<br>Gomastah in the Barcoor Talook — " 29<br>Paishcar — 3 4 2<br>Sheristedar in the Talooks — 9 7 20 | 32 10 23 | 36 8 21 | |
| Sheshguery Row Dheshust of Sondah | Bramin | 42 | Barcoor | 2564917 | 120 . . | 1 6 . | As Gomastah of Conservator of Forests in Ankola — 1 1 28<br>Sayer Gomastah in Cundapoor " 6 2<br>Gomastah of Paishcar's Cutcherry in Soonda — 2 8 11<br>Assistant Gomastah of Additional Sub Collector's Cutcherry — 2 7 18<br>Extra Gomastah in the Huzoor Cutcherry — " 10 .<br>Gomastah of Sub Collector's Cutcherry — " 9 18<br>Police Moonshee of Add.l Sub Coll.rs Do — 2 10 7<br>Huzoor Gomastah — " 6 5<br>Huzoor Treasury Gomastah — " 10 11<br>Police Moonshee of Sub Collector's Cutcherry — 6 11 .<br>Revenue Ditto of H.s a/s.t Coll.rs Ditto " 9 6<br>Huzoor Head Moonshee — 3 5 9 | 23 11 28 | | |

1750

Fort St. George

9th June 1851

| Name and place of birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collections | Pay | Total length of service as Talook and length of service in each employment | Total length of service as Talook in the Collectorate | Employment previously to the appointment as Talookdar and length of service in each employment | Total length of service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | Rs. as | Rs. as per | Years M D | Years M D | | Years M D | Years M D |
| Soorappa of Bilgky | Karrade Brahmin | 47 | Coondapoor | 3511528 | 130 | | | 8 4 22 As Gomastah to the Salt Darogah | 4 12 | 23 1 15 |
| | | | | | | | | Hazoor Sayer Gomastah | 1 2 19 | |
| | | | | | | | | Moonshee in the Head Assistant Collector's Cutcherry | 3 7 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto in Sub Collectors' Ditto | 1 9 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | Revenue Ditto in the Hazoor Cutcherry | 1 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | Paishcar of Byndoor and Butcal | 1 12 | |
| | | | | | | | | Tahsildar of Nuggur Division | 2 3 29 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 14 8 23 | |
| Shrenawas Row of Coimbatore | Dhenhiatte Brahmin | 34 | Honore | 3069018 5 | 135 | | | 9 9 As Sayer Gomastah of Buntwal Talook | 1 3 8 | 34 1 |
| | | | | | | | | Gomastah of Mangalore Talook | 11 2 22 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto of Coondapoor Ditto | 6 9 | |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Paishcar | 6 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 24 4 | |
| Antonio Fernandes of Tonseh | Christian | 53 | Ankolah | 2419662 | 110 | | | 2 2 As Salt Mootsuddy of Coondapoor | 5 3 | 26 1 22 |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Paishcar | 5 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | Sea Custom Camavishdar | 12 9 22 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 23 11 22 | |

9751

Fort St. George

9th June 1851.

| Name and place of birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collections | Pay | Length of service as Tahsildar | Employment previously to the appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment | Total Length of service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 11 |
| | | | | Rs as Rs as | Years M.D. | Years M.D. | | Years M.D. | |
| Lingappa of Issaloor | Karrady Brahmin | 49 | Soopah | 1141291 56 | 120 - - | 2 9 | 13 9 M. As Gomastah in the Moondgode Paishcar's Cutcherry — 7 - | 29 5 22 | |
| | | | | | | | Sayer Sherestadar — 1 2 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | Talook Ditto — 8 6 26 | | |
| | | | | | | | Ditto Paishcar — 5 3 27 | | |
| | | | | | | | 15 8 8 | | |
| Annappa of Mangalore | Sarasooth | 53 | Sondah | 1582717 - | 120 - - | 5 9 | 5 9 . As Peon doing duty as Gomastah of Bopenangdy — 2 1 29 | 28 - 17 | |
| | | | | | | | Moonshee of Paishcar of Ditto — 1 7 16 | | |
| | | | | | | | Police Moonshee of Barcoor Talook — 5 3 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | Hazoor Magistrates Moonshee — 2 6 4 | | |
| | | | | | | | Rev.e Moonshee in the Hd. Asst Collector's Cutcherry — 7 1 17 | | |
| | | | | | | | Do. in the add.l Sub Collectors Do. — 3 6 26 | | |
| | | | | | | | 22 3 17 | | |
| Pootappah of Shenoy Nellapoor | 56 | Bilgby | 6690010 - | 100 - - | 2 5 | 14 5 . As Sayer Shanbogue — 4 11 5 | | 32 2 1 | |
| | | | | | | | Sayer Chinkidar — - - 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Sayer Gomastah — 2 3 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | Acting Sayer Sheresh.tedar — - 3 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | Hazoor Gomastah — 1 10 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | Hazoor Treasury Gomastah — - 12 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | Sayer Cumanishdar — 1 4 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | Deputy Hazoor Treasurer — 4 5 - | | |
| | | | | | | | Hazoor Treasurer — 12 4 20 | | |
| | | | | | | | 27 9 1 | | |

Collectors Cutcherry, Mangalore, 28th May 18

7834922120

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Order thereon—

(40-41)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

No. 51.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd. No. 1195
From the Collr. of Malabar
Dated 1st Instant
(42)

I have the honor to enclose copy of a letter from the Joint Magistrate of Cotacamund together with the Original Indent therewith received for ten years of ordinary blue Cloth required for Jackets for the 4 Peons stationed at the Avalanche and Nedawattam Bungalows, and adverting to the approach of the Monsoon, to solicit that as early a sanction as possible may be obtained for the same. I beg at the same time to send in an Indent for clothing for the 4 Peons at Sisparah and Wallagahat on the Koondah Ghat

Calicut
19th May 1851

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector



Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

Encl. No. 1.
No. 153.

To
The Collector of Malabar
Calicut

Sir,

Dated 24th April 1851.

With reference to your communication No. 862. I have the honor to acquaint you that the Peons stationed at the Traveller's Bungalows of Coonoor, Pykarra and Kulhutty have been supplied with warm clothing at the expence of the State on the 15th Ultimo but not to those situated in your District, I have to transmit an Indent herewith for 10 yards of ordinary Blue cloth required for Jakets for the 4 Peons to put them on the same footing as others and request that the necessary steps may be taken for their supply.

Dotacamund
1st May 1851

/ Signed / G. Wright, Major
Joint Magistrate

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

To

7135 Ofort Jft. George 9th June 1831

No. 3 To the Secretary to the Clothing Board Fort Jft. George

Sir, I have to order the delivery of the undermentioned Cloth to the Steamer

Description | Number | Quantity in Office | Quantity required | Remarks

Blue | Short | 10 yards | Christmas | 9 Men

Dungaree Jean

Stoff marking Cards for Jackets

Ft. St. George 9th June 1831 I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant Signed H. St. Lonsdale Colr. Commd. Ft. St. George

7135 Ofort Jft. George 9th June 1831

No. 2 To the Secretary to the Clothing Board Fort Jft. George

Sir, I have to order the delivery of the undermentioned Cloth to the Steamer

Description | Number | Quantity in Office | Quantity required | Remarks

Blue | Short | 10 yards | Christmas | 9 Men

Dungaree Jean

Stoff marking Cards for Jackets

Ft. St. George 9th June 1831 I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant Signed H. St. Lonsdale Colr. Commd. Ft. St. George

B. No. 1096.
Revolution thereon

(42-43)

No. 237.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Boards recommendation that the Clothing Board be authorized to supply the cloth required for Jackets for the Peons stationed at the Avalanche and Neduvattum Bungalows and those at Sispara and Wallaghat on the Koondah Ghaut.

2. The Board also suggest that the supply be renewed every two years as in Coimbatore.

---

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 11th. and entered in Consultation 26th. May 1851.

Read also the following Minute

No. 55.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated

(44)
B. No. 1216
From the Coll. of Madras
Affording the necessary explanation as to why a remission



remission of the Toddy Revenue for 5 instead of 3 days only was recommended on account of the recent storm

dated 26th. Instant, and to explain that in recommending a remission of the Cocoanut Toddy revenue for 5 days I took into consideration the loss to the Shop keepers, not only for the actual period during which no Toddy was drawn, but also for several days subsequently, when the quantity must have been scanty - which induced them to ask for a reduction in the daily tax for "six Months" at least.

2. A few days ago, they presented a further Petition to me, threatening to close the whole of their Shops, if the prayer of their former Petition were not granted. I subjoin Copy of my Endorsement thereon under date the 20th. Instant.

3. The remission recommended in favor of the Palmeira Toddy Shops was for 3 days only and this the parties agreed to readily - for many were about to abandon their contract almost immediately any heavy fall of rain being most injurious to their trade. The entries in the Margin will show that the number of Palmeira Toddy Shops was accordingly reduced from 141 to 68. The tax thereon is besides, light, comparatively with that leviable from the Cocoanut Toddy Shops.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Palmeira Toddy Shops | | | |
| 1st. May 1851 | 141 | | |
| 6th. Do. | 74 | | |
| 27th. Do. | 68 | | |
| Cocoanut Toddy Shops | | | |
| 1st. May 1851 | 301 | | |
| 6th. Do. | 300 | | |
| 27th. Do. | 317 | | |
| Palmeira Toddy Shops | | | |
| 3d. Class 17 fanams per diem | | | |
| 4th. Do. 10 | Do. | Do. | |
| Cocoanut Toddy Shops | | | |
| 1st. Class 36 fanams per diem | | | |
| 2d. Do. 28 | Do. | | |
| 3d. Do. 17 | Do. | | |
| 4th. Do. 10 | Do. | | |

In

1758

Fort St. George 9th June 1857.

In 1836 and 1846 the

To Board 31st October 1836
From Do. 31st Do. —
To — Do. — 12th November —
To — Do. — 14th — Do. —
From — Do. — 17th — Do. —
To — Do. — 8th December —
From — Do. — 12th — Do. —
To — Do. — 28th November 1846
From — Do. — 3d December.
To — Do. — 24th — Do. —
From — Do. — 6th January 1847
To — Do. — 7th April.
From — Do. — 19th — Do. —

tax in similar occasions was foregone altogether for a whole Month, and it was re-assessed afterwards only at reduced rates until the Topes were found to have fully recovered from the effects of the Gale—

5. It therefore, appeared to me that the remission recommended in my letter of the 14th Instant was not, under all circumstances, more than the Shopkeepers might fairly lay claim to—

Madras
27th May 1857 } (Signed) I. A. Huddleston
Collector

Copy of an Endorsement on a Petition from several of the Toddy Shopkeepers dated 12th and presented on the 19th May 1857.

"The Collector does not see the necessity of entering again upon a review the Petitioner's Case as the decision above come to being the result of due enquiry & mature deliberation—

Their statement as set forth in the latter part of Para 3 of this Petition is not

Madras,
20th May 1857 } (Signed) I. A. Huddleston
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

I. G. B.

1759

Fort St. George 9th June 1857.

No. 240.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(44-45)

Bd No. 1109.

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Submitting letters from the Collector of Madras soliciting a remission of the demand on Cocoanut and Palmyra Toddy trees in consequence of the effects of the late gale on these trees and recommending that sanction be granted for writing off the sum Rupees 2891-14-4.

11th Cons 26th May 1857
27th May & 9th June

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letters from the Collector of Madras soliciting a remission of the demand on the Cocoanut Toddy Shops from the 5th to the 9th May 1857 being Rupees 2,694-4-10 and on the Palmyra Toddy Shops Rupees 197-9-6. in consequence of the effects of the late gale on these trees.

2. Although it would appear that the actual period during which no Toddy was drawn was no more than three days the Collector has explained that in suggesting an abandonment of five days tax from the 5th to the 9th May 1857 he was induced to take into consideration the loss that the Shop Keeper suffered from the scanty produce he obtained from the trees in their injured state for some days afterwards.

The

3. He concurrain that Mr. Huddleston has made to the Shop keepers does not appear to the Board greater than the circumstances of the case would warrant and considering that he stands in a manner pledged to the remission which has induced the Shop keepers to renew their licenses which they had threatened to throw up, if no deduction were made, the Board beg to support his recommendation that sanction may be granted for writing off the demand as given in para 1.

Revenue Board Office
Fort S. George
9th June 1857.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read



Read the following Circular

Circular.-

B. No. 1217.

From Barrack Master

The Barrack Master has the honor to circulate for the information of Corps Departments and other Parties in occupation of Public Buildings in Fort S. George the accompanying Extract from a letter received from the Town Major relative to deficient Fixtures and breakage of Glass.

46

Barrack Master's Office
Fort S. George
28th May 1857

/Signed/ W. K. Worster Capt.
Barrack Master.

Extract of a letter from the Town Major to the address of the Barrack Master Fort S. George dated 22d May 1857.

"Attention having been attracted to
"the frequency of the applications for replacing Panes
"of Glass and other deficient Fixtures to the Public
"Buildings in the Fort in the occupation of Civil and Military
"Departments I am directed by the Right Honorable the
"Governor to request you will be so good as circulate a Memo:
"randum to Corps Departments and other Parties in charge

or

"or occupation of Public Buildings to intimate that
"henceforth unless such applications specify that the de-
"fficiencies have arisen from reasonable wear and tear or
"unavoidable accident (of which you will satisfy yourself
"previous to forwarding any application) that such
"damages will come under the provision of Para 8
"Section 8 Garrison Standing Orders as regards Quarters
"for the due recovering of which you will proceed accordingly

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. R. Worster Capt.
Barrack Master

Order thereon.

46-47

Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved that the following Letters be despatched together with the Papers therein alluded to

No. 242.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

B. No. 1114
48

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting letters from the Collectors in the Provinces furnishing the information required by Govern-ment respecting the existing branches of the Rajpoot tribes under this Presidency.

9th Consn. 23 July 1849

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 3d July 1849 forwarding a correspondence from the Political Assistant for Bundlecund requiring information respecting the existing branches of the Rajpoot tribes under this Presidency and deserving that the Collectors



Collectors be called upon to furnish such in-
formation as they are able on the subject.

2. In accordance with the above in-
structions the Board issued a circular to all the
Collectors and herewith transmit their replies.

Ganjam
Vizagapatam
Rajahmundry
Masulipatam
Kurnool
Chingleput
South Arcot
Salem
Trichinopoly
Madura
Coimbatore
Canara
Malabar
Madras.

3. The Collectors of the Districts noted in
the Margin it will be observed are unable to furnish
any information relative to the existing branches of the
Rajpoot tribes.

4. The Collector of Guntoor states
that there are about 27 families comprising 50
individuals calling themselves Rajpoots employed
chiefly as Peons and whose arrival in the District
appears to have been within the last century.
He however adds that those who have been
interrogated are unable to furnish much au-
thentic information. Mr. Stokes encloses a list
of the Divisions of the caste stated to be known
by one Sooyeet Sing who represents himself to have
come from Oude about 30 years ago Mr. Stokes
also suggests that much information may be obtained
thro' Officers Commanding Regiments as many respectable
Rajpoots are to be found in the Native army.

5. The Collector of Nellore
forwards

forwards a Statement of the names residence descent Kurries &c. of the Rayoot tribes in his District furnished to him by the Revenue Salook Officers but is apprehensive that the information afforded will not be considered satisfactory for the purpose in view and attributes this to the paucity of Rayoots to their being illiterate and uninformed and preserving no records of their origin history.

6. The Collectors of Bellary Cuddapah and Tinnevelly have furnished Statements of the Rayoots in their respective Districts with such other information regarding them as they have been able to procure. These Districts appear to contain the greatest number of these people.

7. The Collector of North Arcot in forwarding the names of the few Sub Divisions some Members of which are found in his District states that the parties are in very humble circumstances and are unable to afford any



any information respecting the early history of their tribe or of the separation of their own branches of it from the parent Stock.-

8 The Collector of Tanjore states that there a few Rayoots in his District who are said to be the grand children of those who originally migrated from their native country but are quite ignorant of all matters connected with their descent. He however adds that there are two Soobadars in the Service of His Highness the Rajah of Tanjore who claim descent from the Rajah Prethee of Munapoor

and

and are of the family of Chanan but

it would appear that they also are

ignorant of all matters connected with

the tribe.

9. The above is the only in-

formation which the Board have been

able to collect on the subject the number of

persons comprized in the several returns does

not exceed 1134.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
9th June 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved that the following

letter be despatched.



No. 246.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(1851 49)

No. 1133.

To the Chief Secy. to Government. ...

Submitting a Statement shewing the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Presidency for Fusly 1259.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, A the accompanying General Statement shewing the amount of Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue, on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Presidency for Fusly 1259 Compared with Fusly 1258.

2. The following abstract Statement exhibits a Net Increase on the Net Revenue from all Sources amounting to Rupees 1,89,001-8-8 resulting from a Gross Increase of Rupees 1,96,625-14-1 under the heads of Salt, Land, and Sea Customs, Abkary, Stamps and Moturpha and a Gross Decrease in Tobacco, and Sundry Small Farms and Licenses amounting to Rupees 7,624-5-5.

Abstract.

1768

# Fort St. George

## No. 1. Abstract.

9th June 1851.

| | Gross Revenue. | | | | Charges. | | Net Revenue. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Fusly 1258. | centage | Fusly 1259. | centage | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase | Decrease |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. A. | Rs. a p | Rs. A. | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| | | Purchasers manufacture | 1,99,768 15 6 | 10. 14 1/4 | 5,67,181. 1 4 | 11. 15 14/16 | | | | |
| | | Superintendence | 2,67,919 11 1 | 5. 13 1/2 | 2,55,124. 14 4 | 5 6 5/16 | | | | |
| Salt | 1,58,60,274 5 4 | 1,47,29,325 14 5 | 4,67,758 10 7 | 16. 11 3/4 | 8,22,305. 15 4 | 17 6 3/16 | 1,38,18,268 10 9 | 39,07,019 15 1 | 88,751 4 4 | " " " |
| Deduct Charges of manufacture and purchase | 4,99,768 15 6 | 5,67,181. 1 4 | 4,99,768 15 6 | 10 14 1/4 | 5,67,181. 1 4 | 11. 15 14/16 | | | | |
| | 4,08,625 5 10 | 4,61,144 13 5 | | 5 13 1/2 | 2,55,124. 14 4 | 5 6 5/16 | | | | |
| | | Purchases | 2,11,576 11 7 | 23 13 1/16 | 19,315. 10 9 | 21. 10 5/16 | | | | |
| | | Superintendence | 10,305 9 7 | 10. 12 3/16 | 5,691. 1 5 | 5. 14 11/16 | | | | |
| Tobacco | 9,57,575 6 6 | 8,79,294 " 3 | 3,14,636. 3 2 | 32 13 3/16 | 2,42,004. 12 2 | 27 8 6/16 | 6,12,939 3 4 | 6,37,289. 4 1 | " " " | 5,649 15 3 |
| Deduct Charges for purchase | 2,11,576 11 7 | 19,313. 10 9 | 2,11,576. 11 7 | 22 13 1/16 | 19,315. 10 9 | 21. 10 5/16 | | | | |
| | 7,45,998 10 11 | 6,82,980 5 6 | 1,03,059. 7 7 | 10 12 3/16 | 5,691. 1 5 | 5. 14 11/16 | | | | |
| Land Customs | 1,68,107 11 9 | 1,97,816 9 11 | 40,589 1 1 | 24 17 15/16 | 43,637. 10 3 | 22 1 | 1,27,818 10 8 | 1,54,178. 15 8 | 26,360. 5 " | " " " |
| Sea Customs | 9,02,377 13 . | 9,09,708 15 6 | 2,03,002. 13 1 | 22 7 15/16 | 20,25,528 4 3 | 22 4 3/16 | 6,99,374 15 11 | 7,07,180 11 3 | 7,805 11 4 | " " " |
| Abkarry | 21,71,385. 6 10 | 21,74,777 15 1 | 79,015. 10 8 | 3 10 3/16 | 77,317. 9 1 | 3 8 13/16 | 20,92,369. 12 2 | 21,00,460. 6 " | 8,090 9 10 | " " " |
| Sundry Small Farms and Licenses | 3,14,631. 12 4 | 3,11,361. 13 . | 35,027 5 5 | 11. 2 9/16 | 33,731. 12 3 | 10 13 5/16 | 2,79,604. 6 11 | 2,77,630. " 9 | " " " | 1,974 6 2 |
| Stamps | 4,19,736 12 1 | 4,75,355 2 1 | 27,502 11 2 | 6 8 11/16 | 47,335 5 5 | 9 15 5/16 | 3,92,234 " 11 | 4,28,019. 12 8 | 35,785 11 9 | " " " |
| Motor plan | 1,15,67,571 4 7 | 1,18,08,444 11 1 | 26,839. 1 8 | 2 5 11/16 | 2,1100. 4 4 | 1. 12 9/16 | 1,29,912 2 11 | 1,59,744 6 9 | 29,832 3 10 | " " " |
| Total | 10,67,689 3 8 | 10,86,485 1 4 | 14,94,371. 8 10 | 13. 15 15/16 | 14,89,961. 9 1 | 13 11 7/16 | 9,82,521 15 7 | 9,37,523. 8 3. | 19,625 14 1. | 7,624 5 5 |
| Grand Total after deductions | 99,62,547 13 4 | 10,03,990 5 7 | 7,230 12 9 | 7. 13 1/16 | 7,32,66 13 4 | 7. 3 15/16 | | | 7,624. 5 5 | Dr. Decrease |
| | | | | | | | | | 18,000. 8 8 | Net Increase |

The

3. The Gross receipts from all Sources in Fusly 1259 without deductions of Charges of Purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco amounted to Rupees 10,86,14,86-1-4.

| Gross Receipts. | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 10,67,89,3-8-5 |
| " 1259 | 10,86,14,86-1-4 |
| Increase | 1,31,591-8-11 |

| Net Receipts. | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 99,65,54,7-13-4 |
| " 1259 | 1,01,03,990-5-7 |
| Increase | 1,38,442-8-3 |

The Gross Receipts deducting those Charges were Rupees 1,01,03,990-5-7 exhibiting in the receipts of Fusly 1259 an Increase of Rupees 1,81,591-8-11 in the former Case and of Rupees 1,38,442-8-3, in the latter.

4. The Gross charges of all Sources in Fusly 1259 including the purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco amounted to Rupees 11,89,961-9-1. The Gross Charges after deducting the purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco



| Gross Charges. | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 11,94,371-8-10 |
| " 1259 | 11,89,961-9-1 |
| Decrease | 4,409-15-9 |

| Net Charges. | |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | 7,83,025-13-9 |
| " 1259 | 7,32,466-13-4 |
| Decrease | 50,559-"-5 |

Tobacco were Rupees 7,32,466-13-4, exhibiting a Decrease in the former of Rupees 4,409-15-9 and in the latter of Rupees 50,559-"-5

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

General.

For Pt St George

Revenue, Charges and Net Revenue from Sall Tobacco Monopoly

| Gross Revenue before deductions | | for manufacture and Purchase. | | | | Gross Revenue after deductions | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 | Increase | | Decrease | | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | | 5 | | 6 | 7 |
| Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. | a p | Rs. a p | | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 597. 5 2 | 2,88,725. 3 | 13,127 | 11 1 | " " " | | 2,63,907 5 4 | 2,79,852. 15 1 |
| 6,332 12 " | 14,3883 4 " | 17,550 | 8 " | " " " | | 1,18,081 9 3 | 1,35,130. 12 11 |
| 1,300 8 4 | 2,00,160. 1 " | 8,859 | 8 8 | " " " | | 17,07,825 8 | 19,6597 9 5 |
| 9,457 12 7 | 2,5,39,38 6 " | " | " " | 5,05,24 6 7 | | 3,01,571 14 10 | 2,50,288 3 11 |
| 07,315 12 2 | 3,89,749 6 8 | " | " " | 17,536 5 6 | | 3,90,453 15 2 | 3,50,771 6 " |
| 0033. 1 10 | 3,18,722 " " | 68,694 | 15 10 | " " " | | 2,13,301 2 6 | 2,74,686 14 " |
| " " " | " " " | " | " " | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| 23185. 1 11 | 3,22,767 11 4 | " | " " | 117 9 4 | | 29,2923 12 5 | 2,86,327 3 " |
| 25092 8 4 | 54,0263 8 " | 15,111. 3 " | | " " " | | 4,61,056 9 2 | 4,98,865. 2 " |
| 11937 " " | 18,970. 8 " | 7,033 8 " | | " " " | | 10,168 8 3 | 13,171. 9 " |
| 87905 7 5 | 2,32,532 9 5 | 4,627 1 7 | | " " " | | 1,64,406 13 5 | 1,94,369 6 " |
| 66794 4 6 | 4,93,959 " " | 27,165 " 7 | | " " " | | 4,27,563 " 1 | 4,50,707 7 " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| 9,343 6. 11 2 | 3,14,009 " " | 10,572 7 10 | | " " " | | 2,80,016 5 7 | 2,92,503 " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| 4,46,316 10 3 | 4,56,243 6 3 | 99,26 12 11 | | " " " | | 3,68,740 4 5 | 3,49,547 " " |
| 3,62,508. 11 2 | 3,36,693 " 5 | " " " | | 25,815 4 11 | | 2,58,752 12 1 | 3,19,161. 1 " |
| 3,98,813. 10 6 | 4,13,737 " " | 14,923 5 11 | | " " " | | 3,59,192. 15 8 | 3,65,226 6 " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | | " " " | | " " " | " " " |
| 45,86,027 5 4 | 47,29,325 14 5 | 2,37,592 3 5 | | 94,293 10 4 | | 40,86,258 5 10 | 41,62,144 " " |
| | | 94,293 10 4 | | Dt. Decrease | | | |
| | | 1,43,298 9 1. | | Net Increase | | | |

9th June 1851.

Land Customs Sea Customs Abkarry Sundry Small

Sall

| for manufacture and Purchase. | | Charged for manufacture and Purchase. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 | Increase | Decrease |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 10957 9 9 | " " " | 6,689 15 10 | 8,866 1 2 | 2,176 1 4 | " " " |
| 17,049 1 7 | " " " | 8,251 2 9 | 8,752 9 2 | 501 6 5 | " " " |
| 25,815 5 9 | " " " | 20,518 2 8 | 3,562 7 7 | " " " | 16,955 11 1 |
| " " " | 51,283 10 11 | 7,885 13 9 | 8,645 2 1 | 759 4 4 | " " " |
| " " " | 39,682 9 2 | 1,6861 13 " | 39,008 " 8 | 22,146 3 8 | " " " |
| 61,382 11 10 | " " " | 36,728 15 4 | 44,041 3 4 | 7,312 4 " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 6,596 9 2 | 30,261 5 6 | 36,440 5 4 | 6,178 15 10 | " " " |
| 37,796 9 " | " " " | 64,035 15 2 | 41,350 9 2 | " " " | 22,685 6 " |
| 7,703 1 2 | " " " | 1,468 7 9 | 798 14 7 | " " " | 669 9 2 |
| 29,962 9 6 | " " " | 23,498 10 " | 38,163 2 1 | 14,664. 8 1 | " " " |
| 23,144 7 " | " " " | 39,231 4 5 | 43,251 14 " | 4,020. 9 7 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 12,461 10 9 | " " " | 23,390 5 7 | 21,501. 2 8 | " " " | 1,889 2 11 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 19,193 4 2 | 7,457 6 5 10 | 10,669 6 11 | 29,120. 1 1 | " " " |
| " " " | 39,651 10 5 | 10,3455 15 1 | 1,14,592 4 4 | 13,836 5 6 | " " " |
| 6,027 3 1 | " " " | 39,614. 10 10 | 48,510 13 8 | 8,896 2 10 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 2,32,294 3 5 | 1,66,407 11 10 | 4,99,768. 15 6. | 5,64,181 1 " | 109,611. 14 8 | 42,199 13 2 |
| 1,66,407 11 10 | Dt. Decrease | | | 42,199 13 2 | Dt. Decrease |
| 75,886 7 7 | Net Increase | | | 67,412 1 6 | Net Increase |

For 1st George

Farms and Licenses Stamps and Miscellaneous

Charges for Superintendence.

| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Salt Fusly 1258. | Salt Fusly 1259. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 14 Rs a p | 15 Rs a p | 16 Rs a p | 17 Rs a p | 18 Rs a p | 19 Rs a p |
| 1 | 5,652 9 4 | 6,490.52 | 837 11 10 | " " " | 12,342 9 2 | 16,356.8 4 |
| 2 | 6,526 2 4 | 6,094.2 9 | " " " | 1,431.15 7 | 14,777 5 1 | 14,846.11 11 |
| 3 | 12,806 14 5 | 12,678 1 " | " " " | 128 13 5 | 33,325 1 1 | 16,240.8 7 |
| 4 | 9,833 4 8 | 12,083.9 9 | 2,250.5 1 | " " " | 17,719 2 5 | 20,728.11 11 |
| 5 | 15,009 5 9 | 14,160.6 1 | 2,151.14 | " " " | 31,871 2 9 | 56,168.6 9 |
| 6 | 21,260 9 4 | 16,216.10 3 | " " " | 5,043.15 1 | 57,939 8 8 | 60,257.13 7 |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | 25,448 8 2 | 14,064.10 10 | " " " | 11,383.8 4 | 53,709 8 8 | 50,505.2 " |
| 9 | 49,815.6 | 34,235.3 6 | " " " | 6,579 13. | 10,486 15 7 | 7,555.12 8 |
| 10 | 879 14 4 | 853 8 2 | " " " | 26 6 2 | 2,318 6 1 | 1,652 6 9 |
| 11 | 26,473 11 1 | 35,037 9 2 | 8,563 14 1 | " " " | 19,972 5 1 | 7,320 11 3 |
| 12 | 14,188 6 4 | 13,990.6 5 | " " " | 197 15 11 | 53,419 10 9 | 57,242 5 5 |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 14 | 12,428 13 4 | 12,613.10 | 184.3 6 | " " " | 35,819 2 11 | 34,114.3 6 |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 18 | 34,363 8 " | 34,369.9 1 | 6 1 1 | " " " | 1,11,939 13 10 | 1,41,066 " " |
| 19 | 10,647 12 2 | 9,317.14 10 | " " " | 1,329.13 4 | 1,14,403 11 2 | 1,26,910 3 6 |
| 20 | 31,655 8 4 | 29,919.12 6 | " " " | 1,735.11 10 | 1,27,032 | 1,26,430 10 2 |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |

2,67,939 11 1 2,53,124 14 4 13,993.3 11 26,858 " 8 7,64,758 10 7.8,22,305 15 4

Dt. Increase 13,993.3 11

Net Increase 12,864.12 9

To June 1851

for Fusly 1259 Compared with Fusly 1258.

(Continued.)

| Charges. Increase | Charges. Decrease | Net Revenue. Fusly 1258. | Net Revenue. Fusly 1259. | Net Revenue. Increase | Net Revenue. Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 20 Rs a p | 21 Rs a p | 22 Rs a p | 23 Rs a p | 24 Rs a p | 25 Rs a p |
| 30,13 132 | " " " | 2,63,254 12 " | 27,368.9 11 | 10,113.13 11 | " " " |
| 69 6 10 | " " " | 1,11,555.6 11 | 1,29,036.8 1 | 17,481.1 2 | " " " |
| " " " | 17,084 8 6 | 1,57,975 7 3 | 1,83,919.8 5 | 25,944 1 2 | " " " |
| 3,009 9 5 | " " " | 2,91,738 10 2 | 2,38,204 10 2 | " " " | 53,534 " " |
| 24,297 4 " | " " " | 3,75,444 9 5 | 3,33,610.15 11 | " " " | 41,833.9 6 |
| 2,268 4 11 | " " " | 1,92,043.9 2 | 2,58,470.4 1 | 66,426 10 11 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 5,204.8 6 | 2,67,475 9 3 | 2,72,262 8 5 | 4,786 15 2 | " " " |
| " " " | 29,265 3 " | 4,20,241.8 8 | 4,64,617 14 8 | 44,376 6 " | " " " |
| " " " | 695 15 4 | 95,588.9 11 | 1,17,318.13 " | 21,729.4 4 | " " " |
| 23,228 6 2 | " " " | 1,37,933.2 4 | 1,59,331.13 9 | 21,398 11 5 | " " " |
| 382 9 8 | " " " | 4,13,374.9 9 | 4,36,717.11 8 | 23,342 6 11 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 1,704.15 5 | 2,67,614 8 3 | 2,79,894 15 6 | 12,277 7 3 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 29,126 2 2 | " " " | 3,34,376 12 5 | 3,15,177 7 2 | " " " | 19,199.5 3 |
| 12,506 8 2 | " " " | 2,48,104.15 11 | 2,09,783 2 10 | " " " | 38,321.13 1 |
| 7,160.7 " | " " " | 32,543.7 4 | 3,35,306 6 3 | 7,762.14 11 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |

1,03,502 7 6 53,955 2 9 3,81,268.10 9 39,07,019 - 15 1 2,41,610 " 2,15,2,888.11 10

53,955 2 9 Dt. Decrease

54,547 4 9 Net Increase

1,52,888.11 10 Dt. Decrease

88,951 4 4 Net Increase

Fort St. George.

9th June 1851.

| | Gross Revenue before deductions for purchase of Tobacco. | | | | Gross Revenue after deductions for purchase of Tobacco. | | Monopoly. | | Charges for purchase. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase | Decrease. | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase | Decrease. |
| | 26 Rs. a ℗ | 27 Rs. a ℗ | 28 Rs. a ℗ | 29 Rs. a ℗ | 30 Rs. a ℗ | 31 Rs. a ℗ | 32 Rs. a ℗ | 33 Rs. a ℗ | 34 Rs. a ℗ | 35 Rs. a ℗ | 36 Rs. a ℗ | 37. Rs. a ℗ |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 12 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 14 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | 53100. 6 1 | 33459 13 " | " " " | 19,640 9 1 | 53100. 6 1 | 33459 13 " | " " " | 19,640 9 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 18 | 36,130 6. 13 10 | 31,082 5. 3 8 | " " " | 5,048 1. 10 2 | 2,32,134 " 9 | 2,29,878 2 7 | " " " | 2,555. 14 2 | 1,28,872 13 1 | 80,947 1 1 | " " " | 47,925 12 " |
| 19 | 5,43,168 2 7 | 5,35,008 15 7 | " " " | 8,159 3. 4 | 60,464 4 1 | 1,25,642 6 11 | " " " | 34,821. 14 2 | 82,703. 14 6 | 1,09,366 9 8 | 26,662 11 2 | " " " |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 9,57,575. 6 6 | 8,49,294 " 3 | " " " | 78,281 6 3. | 7,45,998 10 11 | 6,88,930 5 6 | " " " | 57,018 5 5. | 2,11,576. 11 7 | 1,90,313. 10 9 | 26,662 11 2 | 47,925. 12 " |

Dr. Increase 26,662 11 2

Net Decrease 21,263 . 10

Fort St. George

9th June 1851.

| | Charges for Superintendence &c. | | | | Tobacco | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. |
| | 38 Rs. a p. | 39 Rs. a p. | 40 Rs. a p. | 41 Rs. a p. | 42 Rs. a p. | 43 Rs. a p. |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 12 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 14 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 18 | 46,358 11 6 | 28,234 " 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 19 | 26,700. 12 1 | 23,454 " 6 | " " " | 48,121 10 4 | 205231 8 4 | 109,134 2 4 |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 32,46 11 4 | 109,704 10 4 | 132,820 10 2 |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 10,305g. 4 4. | 51,691. 1 5 | " " " | 5,368 6 2 | 3,14,636 3 2 | 2,41,954 12 2 |

| Monopoly (Continued) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Charges. | | Net Revenues. | | | |
| Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase | Decrease. |
| 44 Rs. a p. | 45 Rs. a p. | 46 Rs. a p. | 47 Rs. a p. | 48 Rs. a p. | 49 Rs. a p. |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " "

Fort St. George

Land

Gross Revenue.

| | Fusly 1258. | | Fusly 1259. | | Increase. | | Decrease. | | Fusly 1258. | | Fusly 1259. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 50 | | 51 | | 52 | | 53 | | 54 | | 55 | |
| | Rs. | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ | Rs | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ |
| 1 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 1,741 | 12 2 | " | " " |
| 2 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | [illegible] | [illegible] | " | " " |
| 3 | 4,558 | 6 9 | 5,208 | 4 8 | 649 | 13 11 | " | " " | [illegible] | [illegible] | " | " " |
| 4 | 37,886 | 6 5 | 36,159 | 3 3 | " | " " | 1,727 | 3 2 | 1,991 | 12 2 | 2,026 | 4 9 |
| 5 | 3,012 | 9 4 | 3,439 | 6 7 | 396 | 13 3 | " | " " | 4,493 | 10 5 | 4,502 | 3 7 |
| 6 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 2,097 | 10 8 | 1,990 | 15 2 |
| 7 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | [illegible] | " " | " | " " |
| 8 | 1,606 | 15 " | 1,553 | 5 7 | " | " " | 53 | 9 5 | 549 | " " | 549 | " " |
| 9 | 4 | 10 5 | " | " " | " | " " | 4 | 10 5 | [illegible] | " " | " | " " |
| 10 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | [illegible] | " " | " | " " |
| 11 | 27,981 | 6 8 | 4,076 | 7 " | 19,995 | " 4 | " | " " | 8,482 | 12 6 | 8,532 | 10 2 |
| 12 | 19,827 | 11 " | 22,374 | 15 7 | 2,547 | 4 9 | " | " " | 3,009 | 15 9 | 3,037 | 4 5 |
| 13 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | [illegible] | " " | " | " " |
| 14 | 5,182 | 7 3 | 11 4,544 | 28 6 | " | " " | 6,384 | 11 5 | 2,987 | 8 11 | 3,007 | " 6 |
| 15 | 6,919 | 10 " | 6,152 | 11 4 | " | " " | 766 | 14 8 | 13,517 | 15 4 | 3,265 | 15 7 |
| 16 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | [illegible] | " " | " | " " |
| 17 | 43 | 15 6 | " | " " | " | " " | 43 | 15 6 | 2,421 | 5 9 | " | " " |
| 18 | 7,542 | 12 1 | 23,936 | 3 " | 16,393 | 6 11 | " | " " | 6,395 | 6 " | 11,943 | 6 6 |
| 19 | 128 | 12 5 | 179 | 5 " | 50 | 8 7 | " | " " | 2,552 | 7 7 | 2,549 | " 9 |
| 20 | 118 | 8 8 | 230 | 7 11 | 111 | 15 3 | " | " " | " | " " | 3 | 8 " |
| 21 | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 22 | 6,918 | 11 7 | 6,063 | 11 4 | " | " " | 855 | " 3 | 2,179 | 8 " | 2,180 | 6 10 |

1,68,407 11 9 | 1,97,816 9 11 | 39,244 15 " | 9,836 " 10 | 40,589 1 1 | 43,637 10 2

9,836 " 10 Dt. Decrease

29,408 14 2 Net Increase

Yr. June 1851.

Customs Charges.

| Increase | | Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 56 | | 57 | |
| Rs. | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| 34 | 8 7 | " | " " |
| 8 | 9 2 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 16 | 11 6 |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| 69 | 13 8 | " | " " |
| 27 | 4 8 | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| 19 | 7 7 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 251 | 15 9 |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | 2,421 | 5 9 |
| 5,577 | 14 6 | " | " " |
| " | " " | 3 | 6 10 |
| 3 | 8 " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " |
| " | 14 10 | " | " " |

Net Revenue.

| Fusly 1258. | | Fusly 1259. | | Increase. | | Decrease. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 58 | | 59 | | 60 | | 61 | |
| Rs. | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ | Rs | a ℘ | Rs. | a ℘ |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 2,566 | 10 7 | 3,181 | 15 11 | 615 | 5 4 | " | " " |
| 3,392 | 12 " | 3,165 | 15 8 | " | " " | 1,735 | 12 4 |
| 1,034 | 14 8 | 1,448 | 7 5 | 413 | 8 9 | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 10,547 | 15 " | 1,004 | 5 7 | " | " " | 543 | 9 5 |
| 4 | 10 5 | " | " " | " | " " | 4 | 10 5 |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 19,498 | 10 2 | 38,523 | 12 10 | 19,025 | 2 8 | " | " " |
| 16,817 | 11 3 | 19,337 | 11 4 | 2,520 | " 1 | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 48,839 | 11 " | 42,435 | 8 " | " | " " | 6,404 | 3 " |
| 3,401 | 10 8 | 2,886 | 11 9 | " | " " | 514 | 14 11 |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 1,147 | 6 1 | 11,962 | 14 6 | 10,815 | 8 5 | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 118 | 8 8 | 226 | 15 11 | 108 | 7 3 | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 4,739 | 3 7 | 3,883 | 4 6 | " | " " | 855 | 15 1 |

1,32,619 12 1 | 1,56,548 11 5 | 33,493 " 6

5,742 1 " | 2,693 7 10 | 1,24,818 10 8 | 1,54,178 15 8 | 35,929 6 2 | 9,569 1 2

2,693 7 10 Dt. Decrease

30,48 9 2 Net Increase

9,569 1 2 Dt. Decrease

26,360 5 " Net Increase

Sea Customs Charges.

Gross Revenue.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 62 Rs. a p | 63 Rs. a p | 64 Rs. a p | 65 Rs. a p | 66 Rs. a p | 67 Rs. a p | 68 Rs. a p | 69 Rs. a p | 70 Rs. a p | 71 Rs. a p | 72 Rs. a p | 73. Rs. a p |
| 1 | 1,410. 11 7 | 4,764 11 11 | 3,354 4 | " " " | 1,720 11 3 | 2,106. 5 | 385 9 9 | " " " | | 2,658 6 11 | | " " " |
| 2 | 8,471 11 7 | 4,604. 12 11 | " " " | 3,866 14 8 | 1,834. 11 6 | 1,332 10 | " " " | 502 1 6 | 6,637. " 1 | 3,272 2 11 | " " " | 3,364 12 2 |
| 3 | 35,644 11 3 | 35,424 " " | " " " | 220 11 3 | 3,449 19 | 3,276 11 | 4,27 13 1 | " " " | 32,195 9 6 | 31,547 12 | " " " | 648 8 4 |
| 4 | 7,567 4 6 | 10,218 11 5 | 2,651 6 11 | " " " | 2,202 2 11 | 2,011. 5 | " " " | 187 10 11 | 5,365 1 7 | 8,204 3 5 | 2,839 1 10 | " " " |
| 5 | 7,438 9 5 | 7,355 3 4 | " " " | 6683. 6 1 | 5-13 13. | 4,36. 1 | " " " | 77 6. | 6,924. 12 5 | 318 11 4 | " " " | 6,606 1 1 |
| 6 | 337 2 10 | " " " | " " " | 337 2 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 337 2 10 | " " " | " " " | 337 2 10 |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | 13,445. 3 | 12,317 3 8 | " " " | 1127 12 7 | 2,717 4 11 | 2,494. 4 | 222 6 9 | " " " | 10,727 11 4 | 9,822 5 6 | " " " | 905 5 10 |
| 9 | 2,201. 11 1 | 990 4 9 | " " " | 1,211. 6 4 | 2,587 11 11 | 2,599 5 | 9 9 1 | " " " | | | | |
| 10 | " " " | 30 12. | 30. 12. | " " " | 5-28 " | 5-23 " | " " " | " " " | | | | |
| 11 | 25,469 4 9 | 25,075 11 11 | " " " | 393 7 10 | 4,613 14 3 | 4,612 3 | 9 14 7 | " " " | 1,60,821. " 10 | 1,719 64 13 1 | 11,143. 12 3. | " " " |
| 12 | 1,40,585 14 10 | 1,81,739 9 8 | 1,1153. 10 10 | " " " | 9,764 14. | 9,774 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 295 8 7 | 11,247 6 2 | 13,059 8. | 1,812 1 10 | " " " |
| 14 | 13,105 5 8 | 14,621. 14 11 | 1,516. 9 3 | " " " | 1,857 15 6 | 1,562 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 18 | 594,13 5 10 | 4,858 14 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 591 9. | 36,189 8 1 | 25,952 10. | " " " | 10,236 14 1 |
| 19 | 27,541 12 10 | 33,068 15 2 | " " " | 10831 7 1 | 23,223 13 9 | 22,129 | 1,930 4 7 | " " " | 11,656 3 8 | 15,253 1 5 | 3,596 13 9 | " " " |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | 5,527 2 4 | " " " | 15885 9 | 21,781 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | 5,29,745 2 9 | 5,37,515 1 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 1,356 7 4 | 39,642 1 5 | 1,06,768 7 3 | 9,126 5 10 | " " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | 7,769 14 6 | " " " | 1,32,103 1 2 | 1,30,333 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 9,02,377 13 | 9,09,708 15 6 | 32,003 8 3 | 24,672 5 8 | 2,03,002 13 1 | 2,02,571 | | | 7,00,599 " 5 | 7,09,284. 15 6 | 28,518. 3 6 | 22,490. 11 4 |
| | | | 24,672 5 8 | Dr. Decrease | | | Deduct Sums marked Letter A. | | | | | |
| | | | 7,331. 2 6 | Net Increase. | | | 2763 3 1 | 3,237 11 11 | 6,99,374 15 11 | 7,07,180 11 3. | 31,617 6 1 | 28,711. 10 9 |
| | | | | | | | Dr. Increase | 2763 3 1 | | | 28,711 10 9 | Dr. Decrease |
| | | | | | | | Net Decrease | 474 8 10 | | | 7,805 11 4 | Net Increase. |

J. C. B.

# For Fort George

9th June 1851

| | Gross Revenue Fusly 1258 | | Gross Revenue Fusly 1259 | | Increase | | Decrease | | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 | Abkarry charges Increase | | Abkarry charges Decrease | | Net Revenue Fusly 1258 | Net Revenue Fusly 1259 | Increase | | Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 74 Rs. a. p. | | 75 Rs. a. p. | | 76 Rs. a. p. | | 77 Rs. a. p. | | 78 Rs. a. p. | 79 Rs. a. p. | 80 Rs. a. p. | | 81 Rs. a. p. | | 82 Rs. a. p. | 83 Rs. a. p. | 84 Rs. a. p. | | 85 Rs. a. p. | |
| 1 | 57,328 | 7 | 11 | 58,395 | 7 | 6 | 1,066 | 15 | 7 | " " " | " | " | " | " | 57,328 | 7 11 | 58,395 | 7 6 | 1,066 | 15 7 | " " " |
| 2 | 56,092 | 5 | 7 | 53,639 | 14 | 5 | " | " | " | 2,452 | 7 | 2 | " | " | 56,092 | 5 7 | 53,639 | 14 5 | " " " | | 2,452 7 2 |
| 3 | 87,811 | 13 | 7 | 78,670 | 5 | 10 | " | " | " | 9,141 | 7 | 9 | " | " | 87,811 | 13 7 | 78,670 | 5 10 | " " " | | 9,141 7 9 |
| 4 | 45,269 | 10 | 3 | 45,680 | 5 | 5 | 412 | 11 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | 45,039 | 10 3 | 45,452 | 5 5 | 412 11 2 | | " " " |
| 5 | 23,115 | 4 | 5 | 23,325 | 10 | 2 | 210 | 5 | 9 | " | " | " | 228 | " | 23,115 | 4 5 | 23,324 | 3 2 | 208 | 14 9 | " " " |
| 6 | 73,354 | 15 | 8 | 78,499 | 12 | " | 5,144 | 12 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 72,545 | 12 1 | 77,690 | 8 5 | 5,144 | 12 4 | " " " |
| 7 | 84,757 | 12 | 8 | 85,508 | 8 | 3 | 750 | 11 | 7 | " | " | " | 809 | 3 7 | 84,757 | 12 8 | 85,508 | 8 3 | 750 | 11 7 | " " " |
| 8 | 58,128 | 1 | 4 | 52,051 | 3 | 7 | " | " | " | 6,377 | " | 9 | " | " | 58,128 | 4 4 | 52,051 | 3 7 | " " " | | 6,377 9 |
| 9 | 30,309 | 7 | " | 32,100 | 4 | 5 | 1,790 | 13 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | 29,973 | 7 " | 31,764 | 4 5 | 1,790 | 13 5 | " " " |
| 10 | 130,259 | 1 | 3 | 125,401 | 8 | 6 | " | " | " | 4,857 | 8 | 9 | 336 | " | 130,259 | 1 3 | 125,401 | 8 6 | " " " | | 4,857 8 9 |
| 11 | 74,893 | 14 | 10 | 91,022 | " | 8 | 16,128 | 1 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | 74,893 | 14 10 | 91,022 | " 8 | 16,128 | 1 10 | " " " |
| 12 | 98,329 | 15 | 8 | 106,895 | " | " | 8,565 | " | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 98,329 | 15 8 | 106,895 | " - | 8,565 | " 4 | " " " |
| 13 | 22,660 | " | 2 | 24,115 | 11 | 6 | 1,455 | 11 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | 22,660 | " 2 | 24,115 | 11 6 | 1,455 | 11 4 | " " " |
| 14 | 28,804 | 8 | " | 25,324 | 14 | 1 | " | " | " | 3,479 | 9 | 11 | " | " | 28,804 | 8 " | 25,324 | 14 1 | " " " | | 3,479 9 11 |
| 15 | 31,070 | 1 | " | 30,960 | 4 | 5 | 9 | " | " | 1,465 | 11 | 3 | " | " | 31,070 | 1 " | 30,960 | 4 5 | 9 " " | | 1,465 11 3 |
| 16 | 1,21,258 | 13 | 7 | 1,21,427 | 11 | 11 | 168 | 14 | 4 | " | " | " | 321 | 6 3 | 1,20,937 | 7 4 | 1,21,091 | 3 6 | 153 | 12 2 | " " " |
| 17 | 73,040 | 1 | 3 | 73,356 | 14 | 6 | 316 | 13 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | 73,040 | 1 3 | 73,356 | 14 6 | 316 | 13 3 | " " " |
| 18 | 67,239 | 5 | 1 | 73,329 | 4 | 2 | 6,089 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | 67,239 | 5 1 | 73,329 | 4 2 | 6,089 | 15 1 | " " " |
| 19 | 65,854 | 15 | 9 | 64,989 | 4 | 1 | " | " | " | 865 | 11 | 8 | " | " | 65,854 | 15 9 | 64,989 | 4 1 | " " " | | 865 11 8 |
| 20 | 5,73,707 | 34 | 5 | 5,72,796 | 14 | 5 | " | " | " | 9,104 | 11 | 7 | 7,321 | " 10 | 5,80,611 | 7 | 5,72,796 | 14 5 | " " " | | 7,814 12 7 |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 22 | 87,801 | 5 | 6 | 81,642 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | 6,158 | 7 | 7 | " | " | 87,801 | 5 6 | 81,642 | 13 11 | " " " | | 6,158 7 7 |
| | 21,71,385 | 6 | 10 | 21,77,477 | 15 | 1 | 42,100 | 14 | " | 35,708 | 5 | 9 | 7,901 | 5 10 | 8 | | 77,317 | 9 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 35,708 | 5 | 9 | Dft Decrease | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 6,392 | 8 | 3 | Net Increase | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 | 9 | 2 | 1,71,410 | 9 | 20,92,369 | 12 | 2 | 10,046 | 0 | 6 |
| Dft Increase | | | 16 | 9 | 2 | | | | | |
| Net Decrease | | | 1,69,818 | 7 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 42,888 | 10 | 8 | 34,798 | 10 | | | | | | |
| 34,798 | " | 10 | Dft Decrease | | | | | | | |
| 8090 | | | Net Increase | | | | | | | |

Gross Revenue.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 86 Rs. a p | 87 Rs. a p | 88 Rs. a p | 89 Rs. a p | 90 Rs a p | 91 Rs. a p |
| 1 | 4,264 39 | 3,937 2 | " " " | 327 1 9 | " " " | " " " |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | 8,819 23 | 9,472 7 6 | 653 5 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 4 | 171 2 " | 3,039 7 " | 2,868 5 " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 5 | 11,345 5 7 | 11,169 " 8 | " " " | 176 4 11 | " " " | " " " |
| 6 | 18,251 1 10 | 11,974 10 9 | " " " | 6,276 7 1 | " " " | " " " |
| 7 | 8,619 2 8 | 8,768 3 11 | 149 1 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | 13,405 11 2 | 12,869 7 9 | " " " | 535 3 5 | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | 316 4 4 | 735 3 3 | 418 14 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 10 | 6,937 2 7 | 6,435 1 6 | " " " | 502 1 1 | " " " | " " " |
| 11 | 8,759 2 5 | 10,966 1 6 | 2,206 15 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 12 | 2,713 6 12 9 | 25,833 2 6 | " " " | 1,303 10 3 | " " " | " " " |
| 13 | 4,832 2 5 | 4,792 7 7 | " " " | 39 10 10 | " " " | " " " |
| 14 | 37,145 6 10 | 35,716 9 11 | " " " | 1,428 12 11 | 19,508 9 9 | 10,463 7 1 |
| 15 | 19,663 15 2 | 17,892 6 9 | " " " | 1,771 8 5 | " " " | " " " |
| 16 | 19,943 14 4 | 19,369 13 10 | 3,425 15 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | 4,433 1 5 | 1,460 10 11 | 27 9 6 | " " " | 4,602 8 9 | 4,774 9 5 |
| 18 | 26,124 9 1 | 25,667 13 9 | " " " | 456 11 4 | 13,155 4 " | 11,358 8 9 |
| 19 | 50,652 6 2 | 50,315 10 3 | " " " | 336 11 11 | 6528 " | 6528 " |
| 20 | 42,768 12 11 | 42,905 " 5 | 136 3 6 | " " " | 6,108 6 11 | 6,482 11 " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | 5,042 4 8 | 5,041 " 3 3 | " " " | 1 " 5 | " " " | " " " |
| | 314,631 12 4 | 311,361 " 13 | 9,886 " | 13,156 5 4 | 35,027 5 5 | 33,731 12 3 |
| | | Dc. Increase | 9,886 " | | | |
| | | Net Decrease | 3,269 5 4 | | | |

Sundry small Farms and Licenses.

Charges.

| | Increase. | Decrease. | Net Revenue. Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 92 Rs a p | 93. Rs a p | 94 Rs. a p | 95 Rs. a p | 96 Rs. a p | 97. Rs. a p |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | 4,264 3 9 | 3,937 2 " | " " " | 327 1 9 |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | " " " | " " " | 8,819 2 3 | 9,472 7 6 | 653 5 3 | " " " |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | 171 2 " | 3,039 7 " | 2,868 5 " | " " " |
| 5 | " " " | " " " | 11,345 5 7 | 11,169 " 8 | " " " | 176 4 11 |
| 6 | " " " | " " " | 18,251 1 10 | 11,974 10 9 | " " " | 6,276 7 1 |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | 8,619 2 8 | 8,768 3 11 | 149 1 3 | " " " |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | 13,405 11 2 | 12,869 7 9 | " " " | 535 3 5 |
| 9 | " " " | " " " | 316 4 4 | 735 3 3 | 418 14 11 | " " " |
| 10 | " " " | " " " | 6,937 2 7 | 6,435 1 6 | " " " | 502 1 1 |
| 11 | " " " | " " " | 8,759 2 5 | 10,966 1 6 | 2,206 15 1 | " " " |
| 12 | " " " | " " " | 2,713 6 12 9 | 25,833 2 6 | " " " | 1,303 10 3 |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | 4,832 2 5 | 4,792 7 7 | " " " | 39 10 10 |
| 14 | " " " | 45 2 8 | 26,636 13 1 | 25,253 2 10 | " " " | 1,383 10 3 |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | 19,663 15 2 | 17,892 6 9 | " " " | 1,771 8 5 |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | 15,943 14 4 | 19,369 13 10 | 3,425 15 6 | " " " |
| 17 | 2 " 8 | " " " | A 169 7 4 | A 313 14 6 | " " " | 144 7 2 |
| 18 | " " " | 1,796 11 3 | 12,969 5 1 | 14,309 5 " | 1,339 15 11 | " " " |
| 19 | " " " | " " " | 19,999 14 2 | 19,663 2 3 | " " " | 336 11 11 |
| 20 | 3 7 4 1 | " " " | 36,660 6 " | 36,422 5 5 | " " " | 238 " 7 |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | 5,042 4 8 | 5,041 3 3 | " " " | 1 " 5 |
| | | | 279,773 14 3 A 169 7 4 | 279,948 15 3 313 14 6 | | 12,892 7 11 144 7 2 |
| | 546 4 9 | 1,841 " 13 11 | 279,604 6 11 | 277,630 " 9 | 11,062 8 10 | 13,036 15 1 |
| | | Dc. Increase | 546 4 9 | | Dc. Increase | 11,062 8 11 |
| | | Net Decrease | 1,295 9 2 | | Net Decrease | 1,974 6 2 |

# Fort St. George

9th June 1851.

Stamps.

| | Gross Revenue. | | | | Charges. | | Net Revenue. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease. |
| | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103. | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 109 |
| | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. |
| 1 | 10,541 8 1 | 13,650. 13. | 3,109. 4 11 | " " " | 494 13 11 | 1,417. 4 | 922 2 7 | " " " | 10,016 10 2 | 12,233. 12 6 | 2,187 2 4 | " " " |
| 2 | 15,415 " | 17,380. 12. | 1,965. 12. | " " " | 2,260. 4 9 | 2,481. 3 4 | 220 15 1 | " " " | 13,154 11 3 | 14,899. 8 2 | 1,744 12 11 | " " " |
| 3 | 15,966 8. | 14,871. 12 3 | " " " | 1,094 11 9 | 7,755 8 | 1,193 7 | 418 1 4 | " " " | 15,191 2 4 | 13,678 5 3 | " " " | 1,512 13 1 |
| 4 | 9,841 5 3 | 11,067. 12 3 | 1,226 7. | " " " | 564. 12 5 | 1,130. " " | 565 3 7 | " " " | 9,276 8 10 | 9,937 12 3 | 661 3 5 | " " " |
| 5 | 9,552 3 2 | 9,065. 3 3 | " " " | 486 15 11 | 701 5 2 | 739 1. | 87 11 10 | " " " | 8,850 14. | 8,276 2 3 | " " " | 574 11 9 |
| 6 | 17,601 11 11 | 16,476 9 11 | " " " | 1,125 2. | 780 9 6 | 752. 4 | " " " | 22 9 5 | 16,821 2 5 | 15,718 9 10 | " " " | 1,102 8 7. |
| 7 | 16,371 9. | 20,919. " | 4,547 7. | " " " | 907 10 8 | 1,954. 3 6 | 10,463 10 | " " " | 15,463 14 4 | 18,964 12 6 | 3,500. 14 2 | " " " |
| 8 | 23,200 15. | 26,618 1 6 | 3,417 2 6 | " " " | 1,102 3 4 | 1,218 7 2 | 116 3 10 | " " " | 22,098. 11 8 | 25,399 10 4 | 3,300. 14 8 | " " " |
| 9 | 14,171 " | 13,270. " | " " " | 901. " | 723. 5 | 1,135. 15 2 | 412 14 7 | " " " | 13,447. 15 7 | 12,134 1 " | " " " | 1,313 14 7 |
| 10 | 22,364 10 6 | 23,917 3 6 | 1,552 9. | " " " | 1,273 4 5 | 2,440. 14 11 | 167 10 5 | " " " | 21,091. 6 1 | 21,476 4 8 | 384. 14 7 | " " " |
| 11 | 16,131 3. | 20,835. 13 6 | 4,704 10 6 | " " " | 794 14 11 | 945. 15 4 | 151 " 5 | " " " | 15,336 4 1 | 19,889. 14 2 | 4,553 10 1 | " " " |
| 12 | 4,874 9 12 " | 5,645 6 8 " | 770 6 12 " | " " " | 2,417 9 4 | 3,286 4 11 | 868 14 6 | " " " | 4,633 2 8 | 5,317 0 " 2 | 683 7 13 6 | " " " |
| 13 | 16,105 4. | 18,193. " " | 2,087 12. | " " " | 1,025 14 11 | 1,882 3 1 | 856 9 2 | " " " | 15,079 5 1 | 16,310 7 11 | 1,231 2 10 | " " " |
| 14 | 18,597 " 5 | 24,182 3. | 5,585 2 7 | " " " | 1,857 8 6 | 4,571 4 6 | 2,656 13 6 | " " " | 16,739 7 11 | 19,667 13. | 2,928 5 1 | " " " |
| 15 | 12,481 12 " | 16,644 8 " | 4,162 12. | " " " | 1,582 10 " | 2,315 13 4 | 733 3 4 | " " " | 10,899 2. | 14,328 10 8 | 3,429 8 8 | " " " |
| 16 | 23,064 12 " | 26,141 9 2 | 3,076 13 2 | " " " | 1,130. 11 9 | 1,921 13 9 | 791 2. | " " " | 21,934 " 3 | 24,219 11 5 | 2,285 11 2 | " " " |
| 17 | 13,629 8 " | 22,023. 4 " | 3,393 12. | " " " | 946 6 6 | 2,152 4 2 | 1,205 13 8 | " " " | 17,683 1 6 | 19,870. 15 10 | 2,187. 14 4 | " " " |
| 18 | 47,868 8 9 | 54,120 12 9 | 6,252 4 " | " " " | 2,750 " 11 | 4,287 10 6 | 2,121 9 7 | " " " | 45,118 7 10 | 49,249 2 3 | 4,130. 10 5 | " " " |
| 19 | 52,179 3 6 | 58,597 5 6 | 6,418 2. | " " " | 4,684 1 1 | 8,855. 14 3 | 41 7 1 13 2 | " " " | 47,495 2 5 | 49,741 7 3 | 2,246 4 10 | " " " |
| 20 | 8,015 9 6 | 8,722 6 6 | 706 13. | " " " | 585 " 10 | 1,960 2 4 | 13 7 5 2 1 | " " " | 7,430 8 8 | 6,762 3 7 | " " " | 668 5 1 |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | 2,887 12 " | 2,200. 8. | " " " | 687 4 " | 144 6 2 | 110 " " | " " " | 34 5 10 | 2,743 5 10 | 2,090. 7 8 | " " " | 652 14 2 |
| | 419,736 12 1. | 475,355 2 1. | 59,913 7 8 | 4,295 1 8 | 27,502 11 2 | 47,335 5 7 | 19,889 9 6 | 56 15 3. | 392,234 " 11 | 428,019 12 8 | 41,610 15. | 5,825 3 3 |
| | | | 4,295 1 8 Dt. Decrease | | | | 56 15 3 Dt. Decrease | | | | 5,825 3 3 Dt. Decrease | |
| | | | 55,618 6 " Net Increase | | | | 19,832 10 3 Net Increase | | | | 35,785 11 9 Net Increase. | |

| | Gross Revenue. | | | | Vispabady and Motur pha. Charges. | | Net Revenue. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fasly 1258. | Fasly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fasly 1258. | Fasly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. | Fasly 1258. | Fasly 1259. |
| | 110 Rs. a p | 111 Rs. a p | 112 Rs. a p | 113 Rs. a p | 114 Rs. a p | 115 Rs. a p | 116 Rs. a p | 117 Rs. a p | 118 Rs. a p | 119 Rs. a p |
| 1 | 8532 5 5 | 7690 12 10 | " " " | 841 8 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 8532 5 5 | 7690 12 10 |
| 2 | 6058 13 3 | 6021 7 1 | " " " | 37 6 2 | 1144 " " | 1144 " " | " " " | " " " | 5914 13 3 | 5877 7 1 |
| 3 | 50470 " 4 | 53844 9 9 | 3914 9 5 | " " " | 4834 6 2 | 4834 6 2 | " " " | " " " | 45635 10 2 | 49550 3 7 |
| 4 | 20467 4 11 | 25659 14 9 | 5192 9 10 | " " " | 6907 1 1 | 4568 10 1 | " " " | 2338 7 " | 13560 3 10 | 21091 4 8 |
| 5 | 48846 15 5 | 48518 4 10 | " " " | 328 10 7 | 334 11 " | 322 8 " | " " " | 12 6 " | 48512 1 5 | 48195 12 10 |
| 6 | 30637 12 8 | 27736 1 7 | " " " | 2901 11 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 30637 12 8 | 27736 1 7 |
| 7 | 73503 11 7 | 75044 8 11 | 1500 13 3 | " " " | 1382 7 11 10 | 10 1524 6 | " " " | 3425 7 4 | 59625 13 10 | 64552 4 5 |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | 17261 10 7 | 17150 13 6 | " " " | 110 13 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 17261 10 7 | 17150 13 6 |
| 10 | 35677 11 8 | 40180 5 9 | 4502 10 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 35677 11 8 | 40180 5 9 |
| 11 | 38407 10 4 | 40881 5 2 | 2473 10 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 38407 10 4 | 40881 5 2 |
| 12 | 43569 " 2 | 43929 5 " | 360 4 10 | " " " | 578 6 7 | 615 13 4 | " " " | " " " | 42990 9 7 | 43313 7 5 |
| 13 | 61941 5 | 65525 5 11 | 331 5 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 61941 5 | 65525 5 11 |
| 14 | 97097 24 | 95831 9 7 | " " " | 1265 8 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 97097 24 | 95831 9 7 |
| 15 | 26839 6 10 | 27257 6 8 4 | 4180 " 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 26839 6 10 | 27257 6 8 4 |
| 16 | 14647 8 " | 15360 8 2 9 | 7129 10 9 | " " " | 162 10 " | 162 10 " | " " " | " " " | 14631 14 " | 15345 8 9 |
| 17 | 77483 14 5 | 76844 " 11 | " " " | 639 13 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 77483 14 5 | 76844 " 11 |
| 18 | 16410 8 8 | 16566 11 7 | 156 2 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 16410 8 8 | 16566 11 7 |
| 19 | 116119 8 8 | 115742 8 5 | " " " | 377 " 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 116119 8 8 | 115742 8 5 |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | 553381 10 | 559922 5 | 8547 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 553381 10 | 559922 5 |
| | 1156751 14 7 | 1180844 11 1 | 30595 14 6 | 5028 " | 2683 9 1 | 2110 6 " | 377 " | 5776 14 4 | 1129912 2 11 | 1159744 6 9 |

6502 8. Dt. Decrease

24093. 6 6. Net Increase.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 36322 5 10 | 6490. 2. | |
| | 6490. 2. Dt. Decrease | | |
| | 29832 3 10 Net Increase | | |

Dt. Increase 377.

Net Decrease 5738 13 4

For Fort St. George

9th June 1851.

Gross Revenue before deductions for Manufacture and purchase of Salt and Tobacco.

| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259 | Increase. | Decrease. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 122 | 123 | 124 | 125 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 3,57,674 9 11 | 3,77,163 15 6 | 19,489 5 7 | " " " |
| 2 | 2,12,370 10 5 | 2,25,530 2 5 | 13,159 8 " | " " " |
| 3 | 3,94,571 2 6 | 3,98,191 9 " | 3,620 6 6 | " " " |
| 4 | 4,30,658 13 11 | 3,90,758 12 1 | " " " | 39,900 1 10 |
| 5 | 5,10,656 11 6 | 4,86,052 3 6 | " " " | 24,604 8 " |
| 6 | 3,90,215 14 9 | 4,53,415 3 11 | 63,199 5 2 | " " " |
| 7 | 1,83,252 4 " | 1,90,200 5 1 | 6,948 1 1 | " " " |
| 8 | 4,33,241 15 8 | 4,28,176 14 8 | " " " | 5,065 1 " |
| 9 | 5,99,357 3 9 | 6,04,450 5 3 | 15,093 1 6 | " " " |
| 10 | 2,07,175 10 " | 2,14,935 7 3 | 7,759 13 3 | " " " |
| 11 | 3,79,548 1 5 | 4,68,390 " 9 | 88,841 15 4 | " " " |
| 12 | 8,74,993 6 11 | 9,31,187 14 " | 56,194 7 1 | " " " |
| 13 | 49,791 7 " | 53,626 9 " | 3,835 2 " | " " " |
| 14 | 5,30,013 6 4 | 5,35,128 15 " | 5,115 8 8 | " " " |
| 15 | 6,18,531 14 " | 6,22,870 8 2 | 4,338 10 2 | " " " |
| 16 | 30,674 15 11 | 3,20,547 5 8 | 13,801 5 9 | " " " |
| 17 | 2,26,730 14 8 | 2,10,144 11 4 | " " " | 16,586 3 4 |
| 18 | 10,32,222 9 7 | 10,09,291 6 10 | " " " | 22,931 2 9 |
| 19 | 12,18,153 9 1 | 11,94,595 6 3 | " " " | 23,557 2 10 |
| 20 | 10,23,423 12 11 | 10,38,391 13 8 | 14,968 " 9 | " " " |
| 21 | 5,29,745 2 7 | 5,34,515 1 1 | 7,769 14 6 | " " " |
| 22 | 1,57,883 7 | 1,50,940 6 11 | " " " | 6,847 12 8 |
| | 10,67,893 8 5 | 10,86,485 1 4 | 32,134 9 4 | 13,543 " 5 |
| | | | 13,543 " 5 | Dt. Decrease |
| | | | 18,591 8 11 | Net Increase. |

Grand Total after deductions.

| | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. |
|---|---|---|
| | 126 | 127 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 3,50,984 10 1 | 3,68,297 14 4 |
| 2 | 2,04,119 7 8 | 2,16,777 9 3 |
| 3 | 3,74,052 15 10 | 3,94,629 1 5 |
| 4 | 4,22,773 " 2 | 3,82,113 10 " |
| 5 | 4,93,794 14 6 | 4,47,044 2 " |
| 6 | 3,53,486 15 5 | 4,09,374 " 7 |
| 7 | 1,83,252 4 " | 1,90,200 5 1 |
| 8 | 4,03,010 10 2 | 3,91,736 9 4 |
| 9 | 5,25,321 4 7 | 5,63,099 12 1 |
| 10 | 2,05,707 23 | 2,14,136 9 3 |
| 11 | 3,56,049 7 5 | 4,30,226 4 " |
| 12 | 8,36,762 2 6 | 8,87,936 " " |
| 13 | 49,791 7 " | 53,626 9 " |
| 14 | 5,26,623 " 9 | 5,33,627 12 1 |
| 15 | 6,18,531 14 " | 6,22,870 8 2 |
| 16 | 30,674 15 11 | 3,20,547 5 8 |
| 17 | 2,26,730 14 8 | 2,10,144 11 4 |
| 18 | 10,09,291 6 10 | 9,92,704 14 10 |
| 19 | 8,25,773 6 8 | 9,21,627 14 10 |
| 20 | 10,31,693 11 6 | 9,64,636 8 " |
| 21 | 9,83,209 2 1 | 9,39,881 " " |
| 22 | 5,29,745 2 7 | 5,37,515 1 1 |
| | 1,57,883 7 | 1,50,940 6 11 |
| | 1,06,893 8 5 | 1,08,614 8 5 |

Total charges before deductions for Manufacture and purchase of Salt & Tobacco.

| Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1258. | Fusly 1259. | Increase. | Decrease. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 128 | 129 | 130 | 131 | 132 | 133 |
| Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 17,313 4 3 | " " " | 14,558 2 4 | 18,879 11 10 | 4,321 9 6 | " " " |
| 12,658 1 7 | " " " | 19,016 5 4 | 18,804 9 9 | " " " | 211 11 7 |
| 20,576 1 7 | " " " | 44,375 10 10 | 28,171 9 4 | " " " | 16,204 1 6 |
| " " " | 40,659 6 2 | 32,114 13 3 | 33,172 1 6 | 1,057 4 3 | " " " |
| " " " | 46,750 11 8 | 35,428 13 7 | 59,708 13 11 | 24,280 " 4 | " " " |
| 53,887 1 2 | " " " | 59,579 5 9 | 61,825 1 3 | 2,245 11 6 | " " " |
| 6,948 1 1 | " " " | 14,785 6 6 | 12,406 8 " | " " " | 2,378 14 6 |
| " " " | 11,274 " 10 | 60,078 " 11 | 54,767 5 6 | " " " | 5,310 11 5 |
| 37,778 7 6 | " " " | 108,197 12 " | 79,655 " 8 | " " " | 28,542 11 4 |
| 8,429 6 5 | " " " | 4,149 10 6 | 4,621 5 7 | 471 11 1 | " " " |
| 74,177 7 3 | " " " | 63,863 14 9 | 87,311 8 2 | 23,447 9 5 | " " " |
| 52,173 13 6 | " " " | 69,190 8 5 | 73,956 10 10 | 4,766 2 5 | " " " |
| 3,835 2 " | " " " | 1,025 14 11 | 1,882 8 1 | 856 9 2 | " " " |
| 7,004 11 7 | " " " | 53,030 13 7 | 53,661 8 " | 630 10 5 | " " " |
| 4,338 10 2 | " " " | 5,100 9 4 | 55,81 12 11 | 481 3 7 | " " " |
| 13,801 5 9 | " " " | 1,614 12 " | 2,421 " 2 | 806 4 2 | " " " |
| " " " | 16,586 3 4 | 7,970 5 " | 6,926 13 7 | " " " | 1,043 7 5 |
| " " " | 4,145 7 10 | 3,62,695 15 1 | 3,01,082 14 6 | " " " | 61,613 " 7 |
| " " " | 64,057 3 6 | 2,47,582 15 8 | 2,89,604 2 4 | 42,021 2 8 | " " " |
| 60,71 13 11 | " " " | 1,55,284 11 9 | 1,62,483 6 2 | 7,198 10 5 | " " " |
| 7,769 14 6 | " " " | 1,32,103 1 2 | 1,30,746 9 10 | " " " | 1,356 7 4 |
| " " " | 6,847 12 8 | 2,323 14 2 | 2,290 7 2 | " " " | 33 7 " |
| 3,28,763 6 3 | 19,032 14 " | 14,94,371 8 10 | 14,89,961 9 1 | 1,12,584 8 11 | 1,16,994 8 8 |
| 19,032 14 " | Dt. Decrease | | | Dt. Increase | 1,12,584 8 11 |
| 1,38,442 8 3 | Net Increase. | | | Net Decrease. | 4,409 15 9 |

9th June 1851.

Charges after deductions for Manufacture & purchase of Salt and Tobacco.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 134 | 135 | 136 | 137 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 7,86,826 | 10,013 10 8 | 2,145 8 2 | " " " |
| 2 | 10,765 2 7 | 10,057 " 7 | " " " | 713 2 " |
| 3 | 23,854 8 2 | 24,609 1 9 | 754 9 7 | " " " |
| 4 | 24,228 15 6 | 24,526 15 5 | 297 15 11 | " " " |
| 5 | 18,567 " 7 | 20,700 13 3 | 2,133 12 8 | " " " |
| 6 | 22,850 6 5 | 17,723 13 11 | " " " | 5,066 8 6 |
| 7 | 14,785 6 6 | 12,406 8 " | " " " | 2,378 14 6 |
| 8 | 29,816 11 5 | 18,327 " 2 | " " " | 11,489 11 3 |
| 9 | 1,44,61 12 10 | 38,301 7 6 | " " " | 6,157 5 4 |
| 10 | 2,681 2 9 | 3,822 7 " | 1,141 4 3 | " " " |
| 11 | 40,365 4 9 | 49,148 6 1 | 8,783 1 4 | " " " |
| 12 | 29,954 " | 30,704 12 10 | 745 8 10 | " " " |
| 13 | 10,25 14 11 | 1,882 8 1 | 856 9 2 | " " " |
| 14 | 29,640 8 " | 32,160 5 4 | 2,519 13 4 | " " " |
| 15 | 5,100 9 4 | 5,581 12 11 | 481 3 7 | " " " |
| 16 | 1,611 12 " | 2,421 " 2 | 806 4 2 | " " " |
| 17 | 7,970 5 " | 6,926 13 7 | " " " | 1,043 7 5 |
| 18 | 15,624 6 12 2 | 1,13,439 6 6 | " " " | 12,807 5 8 |
| 19 | 6,123 2 1 | 6,26,15 4 1 | 1,522 2 " | " " " |
| 20 | 1,15,670 " 11 | 1,13,972 8 6 | " " " | 1,697 8 5 |
| 21 | 1,32,103 12 | 1,30,746 9 10 | " " " | 1,356 7 4 |
| 22 | 2,32,342 | 2,290 7 2 | " " " | 33 7 " |

Grand Total.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 | Net Increase | Net Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 138 | 139 | 140 | 141 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 343,116 7 7 | 358,274 3 7 | 15,157 12 1 | " " " |
| 2 | 19,354 5 1 | 20,647 25 14 | 13,371 3 7 | " " " |
| 3 | 3,50,195 7 8 | 3,70,019 15 1 | 19,824 8 " | " " " |
| 4 | 3,98,544 " 8 | 3,87,686 14 7 | " " " | 40,957 6 1 |
| 5 | 4,75,227 13 11 | 4,26,313 5 7 | " " " | 48,884 8 4 |
| 6 | 330,636 9 " | 3,91,590 " 7 | 60,953 9 8 | " " " |
| 7 | 1,68,466 13 6 | 1,77,793 13 1 | 9,326 15 7 | " " " |
| 8 | 3,73,193 14 9 | 3,73,409 9 2 | 215 10 5 | " " " |
| 9 | 4,80,359 7 9 | 5,24,796 4 7 | 43,935 12 10 | " " " |
| 10 | 20,302 5 15 6 | 2,10,314 13 " | 7,288 2 2 | " " " |
| 11 | 3,15,684 2 8 | 3,81,078 3 " | 65,394 5 11 | " " " |
| 12 | 80,580 2 14 6 | 85,723 1 3 | 5,142 8 4 | " " " |
| 13 | 4,96,982 8 9 | 5,14,467 " 1 | 17,484 8 " | " " " |
| 14 | 6,13,131 4 8 | 6,17,238 " 7 | 4,106 15 11 | " " " |
| 15 | 30,513 3 11 | 3,18,126 " 7 | 15,612 11 11 | " " " |
| 16 | 1,43 7 5 2 | 2,18,760 9 8 | 203,217 " 3 | " " " |
| 17 | 12,80,7 5 8 | 6,69,526 10 6 | 7,08,188 " 2 | " " " |
| 18 | 9,70,570 9 6 | 9,04,991 " 7 | " " " | 65,578 9 5 |
| 19 | 1,69,7 8 5 | 2,68,139 1 2 | 87,690 8 " | " " " |
| 20 | 1,35,6 7 4 | 3,97,642 1 5 | 1,06,768 " 1 | " " " |
| 21 | 33 7 " | 1,55,464 5 5 | 1,55,431 5 5 | " " " |
| 22 | | | | |

Per Centage of Charges on the Gross Revenue before deductions.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 |
|---|---|---|
| | 142 | 143 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 4 1 2 | 5 " 1 |
| 2 | 8 15 3 | 8 5 5 |
| 3 | 11 3 11 | 7 1 3 |
| 4 | 7 7 3 | 8 7 10 |
| 5 | 6 15 " | 12 4 7 |
| 6 | 15 4 3 | 13 10 2 |
| 7 | 8 1 1 | 6 8 4 |
| 8 | 13 13 10 | 12 12 8 |
| 9 | 18 6 6 | 13 2 10 |
| 10 | 2 " 1 | 2 2 5 |
| 11 | 16 13 2 | 18 10 3 |
| 12 | 7 14 6 | 7 15 1 |
| 13 | 2 " 11 | 3 8 2 |
| 14 | 9 10 3 | 9 10 8 |
| 15 | " 13 2 | " 14 4 |
| 16 | " 6 " | " 12 1 |
| 17 | 3 8 3 | 3 4 9 |
| 18 | 35 2 2 | 29 13 3 |
| 19 | 20 5 2 | 24 3 10 |
| 20 | 15 2 9 | 15 10 4 |
| 21 | 24 14 11 | 24 5 2 |
| 22 | 1 7 6 | 1 8 3 |

Per Centage of Charges on the Gross Revenue after deductions.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 |
|---|---|---|
| | 144 | 145 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 2 3 10 | 2 11 6 |
| 2 | 5 14 10 | 4 10 2 |
| 3 | 6 6 " | 6 3 9 |
| 4 | 5 11 8 | 6 6 8 |
| 5 | 3 12 2 | 4 10 1 |
| 6 | 6 7 5 | 4 5 6 |
| 7 | 8 1 1 | 6 8 4 |
| 8 | 7 6 4 | 4 10 10 |
| 9 | 8 7 5 | 6 12 10 |
| 10 | 1 4 10 | 1 12 7 |
| 11 | 11 5 4 | 11 6 9 |
| 12 | 3 9 4 | 3 7 5 |
| 13 | 2 " 11 | 3 8 2 |
| 14 | 5 10 " | 6 " 5 |
| 15 | " 13 2 | " 14 4 |
| 16 | " 8 5 | " 12 1 |
| 17 | 3 8 3 | 3 4 9 |
| 18 | 18 14 8 | 13 12 11 |
| 19 | 5 14 9 | 6 7 7 |
| 20 | 11 12 1 | 11 8 2 |
| 21 | 24 14 11 | 24 5 2 |
| 22 | 1 7 6 | 1 8 3 |

(Continued) Revenue.

| | Fusly 1258 | Fusly 1259 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 146 | 147 | 148 | 149 |
| | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | 7,83,025 13 9 | 7,32,466 13 4 | 22,184 13 " | " " " |
| 2 | 7,27,43 13 5 | 9,18,25 21 15 | 7 9,37,1 5 2 | " " " |

D.° Increase — 22,184 13 "

Net Decrease 50,559 " 5

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 66,779 14 2 | 1,77,778 5 6 | 13 15 11 | 13 11 5 | 7 13 9 | 7 3 11 |

1,77,778 5 6 D.° Decrease

1,89,001 8 8 Net Increase

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secy.

Read.

No. 1259.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot — Read a detailed List of the Revenue and Magisterial Establishments, including Sea Customs Department as they stood on the 30th April 1851. —

50

50-51

Order thereon. — Ordered to be recorded.

No. 1262

From Sub Treasurer of Fort St. George — Read Statement of the Contingent charges incurred for the Month of April last. —

52

Resolution thereon. — Resolved that the necessary orders be passed. —

52-53

Received



Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letter and Statements. —

No. 625.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last. —

54

No. 626.

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last. —

55

No. 624

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot — Reporting that no Village Officers were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of April last. —

56

Frond.

1785

Fort St. George 9th June 1851.

No. 591.

From Accountant General.— Indent on the Commy. General for articles for the Month of June 1851.—

(57)

Order thereon.

(57-58)

Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned.—

" Goldingham

" J. L. Blane

Compd. H. Burke

1786

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

# No. Diary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 12th June 1851.

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member

and

J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.—

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22nd August and entered in Consultation 24th Sept. 1849.—

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in Consequence.

No. 245.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to the instructions of Government contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation 22nd August 1849 and

6

Bd No. 1126

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

From Govt 5th in Cons 12th June 1848
From Do 18th in Cons 31st July —"
To Govt 2d August — — — 1849

In Govt 24th Sept. 1849.

to the previous papers on the subject, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith revised Printed Statements in Triplicate of the extent and nature of the land the demand and Collection of Revenue and the different disbursements on account of Public works during the years 1835/36 to 1844/45 inclusive combined in one view for each District under the Madras Presidency.

2. The revised Printed Statements have been examined in the Board's Cutcherry and found correct.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S. George,
12th June 1857.

(Signed) W. H. Bayly
Secretary.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22nd and entered in Consultation 29th May 1857.

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in consequence.—

Circular.



B. No. 1127.

Circular. To all Collectors and Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars Madras and Sea Customs.

2

Circular.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information and guidance Copy of paras 22, 23 and 24 of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 22nd May 1857.

In Cons. 29th May 1857.

2. You will be pleased to report as required by the latter part of para 23 that you have carried out the orders now conveyed to you, you will also Communicate to your Head Serishtadar the observations of Government contained in para 24 upon the nature of the duties and responsibilities of his Office and you will likewise carry out the further instructions in the same para respecting the examination of the Treasury Accounts by the Serishta Department.—

3— You will observe that on the occasion of the examination of a Treasury in consequence of a change in the Officer in charge of a District both the Officer receiving

receiving and delivering over charge should be present.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S. George,
12th June 1857.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

3

B. No. 1234.

From the Chief Secretary to Government.

Forwarding 5 Copies of the Report of the Committee for enquiring into the means for preventing the extension of small Pox and requesting the Board to obtain the opinion of the Collectors on the proposition for a legislative Enactment prohibiting inoculation in India.

Read the following Extract.

No. 479.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department Dated 27th May 1857.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India.

As the Committee appointed by the Deputy Governor to enquire by what means the extension of small Pox could be prevented or rendered less destructive are in favor of legislation to prohibit the practice of inoculation in India requests the Opinion of the Madras Government regarding it also as to the best means of improving the existing system of Public Vaccination.

Here enter 25th April 1857.

Para 1.

Ordered that the foregoing letter from the Secretary to the Government of India with its enclosure be forwarded to the Medical Board who will be also furnished with five Copies of the



the Report of the Committee for enquiring into the means for preventing the extension of Small Pox which together with the nine Copies previously forwarded to the Medical Board will enable them to arrange for placing in this report in the hands of the Mofussil Medical Officers whose Opinion on the best means of improving the existing system of Public Vaccination the Government of India is desirous of obtaining. The Reports from the Mofussil will be carefully abstracted by the Medical Board whose opinion on this highly important subject will be embodied in a report for submission to the Government of India.

2. Resolved also that five Copies of the Committee's report which with the one formerly supplied make nine be forwarded to the Board of Revenue who will obtain from the Collectors of Revenue their opinions on the proposition for a legislative Enactment prohibiting inoculation in

in India to be accompanied with the Boards
view on the subject.

3. The Government is inclined
to believe that the practice of inoculation is
not prevalent in the Madras Territories
and that the prejudices against vaccination
throughout this Presidency do not oppose
difficulties to its spread similar to those
found to exist in Bengal and the North
West Provinces. The Reports and returns of
the Medical Board for the two last years
it is observed exhibit considerable improve-
ment in the progress of vaccination.

4. Resolved also that Mr. H. Forbes
at present on special duty who has taken
a lively interest in the subject of vaccination
be requested to give his opinion on the question
now before Government.

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. E. Montgomery
Chief Secretary.

Ordered



B. No. 1136.
Circular to all Collrs except Madras & Sea Customs
Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars
3-4

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to all Collectors with a request that they will submit their opinion on the question adverted to in para 2.

Ordered also that a copy of the Report on Vaccination be forwarded to each of the Districts noted in the Margin.

Rajahmundry
Canara
North Arcot
Vizagapatam

Read the following resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 29th May 1851 No. 507.

(From Board 8th May 1851.)

B. No. 1268
From the Secy to Government.
5

Resolved that the above letter and the communications accompanying it be forwarded to the Chief Engineer with a request that he will take the necessary measures for preparing a fresh Copy of the Map of Malabar with the additions required by Mr. Robinson.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1122
Order thereon.
5-6

Ordered to be communicated for the information

information of the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 19th April 1851.-

---

No. 520

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 3d June 1857.-

(From Board 15th May 1851)

B. No. 1273.

From the Secretary to Government.

7

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctioned the Bill submitted with the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees (86-0-0) eighty six.-

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon

7-8

Ordered to be recorded.

---

9

B. No. 1267.

From the Secy. to Government

Directing that the claim preferred by Laul Pee for the profession of an Enam Mortgaged by her father-in-law to Lucha Reddy be formally investigated by the Collector of Nellore under Regulation IV of 1831. Resolving to forward to the Sudder Udalut Court.

Read the following Extracts

No. 537.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 3rd June 1851.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 24th March 1851 No. 1507)

The case reported in the above letter



Court for the opinion of the Judges certain Correspondence respecting the authority of the Collector to take Cognizance of claims to or Compliants connected with Enams in the Venkatagherry Zemindary. C. A. Page 485.

letter is that of a party who seeks to regain profession of an Enam at one time held by her father-in-law but mortgaged by him to another individual who still retains it.

The Board consider that the question at issue between the parties should be settled in the manner indicated in paras 7 and 8 of their Proceedings 19th February 1849 that is that it should be investigated by the Collector and decided on its merits.-

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council doubts the competency of the Collector to dispose of such questions of his own authority except in the way of amicable mediation between the parties When this cannot be accomplished the case should it appears to the Government be laid before them by the Collector through the Board and it will be for them to decide upon the claims advanced after due investigation by such persons as they

they may see fit to appoint whether by the Collector of the District who will often be the most proper person to conduct the inquiry or by any other. In the present instance the Government direct that the claim preferred by Laul Bee be formally investigated by the Collector of Nellore under Regulation IV of 1831 and his proceedings submitted for their orders. Meanwhile Lucha Reddy the Mortgagee and present incumbent will continue in possession.

3. With respect to the second question referred in the Board's letter Viz.t as to the authority to take cognizance of claims to or complaints connected with Enams in the Venkatagherry Zemindary it appears to Government that considering the peculiar nature of the permanent Settlement

In the District of North Arcot. { Venkatagherry in Nellore. / Calastry / Bommauze or / Cavetnugger. } Concluded in the Western Zemindaries.

and the views held by the



the Civil Courts as to the paramount rights of the Zemindars over the Lakheraj lands in those pro: : perties - such lands cannot be regarded as falling under Regulation IV 1831 and Act XXXI 1836 and are not therefore exempt from the jurisdiction of the ordinary Tribunals The Right Honorable the Governor in Council however resolves to for: : ward the Board's letter and its enclosures with the further correspond: : ence bearing upon the subject as noted in the Margin to the Judges

Collector of Nellore to Board 31st January / 23.
Board to Government .... 24th April —— "
Government to Board .... 2d May —— "
From Principal Collector North Arcot to Board ... 4th September / 22
" Board to Government .... 14th November —— "
" Government to Board .... 29th —— " ——— "
" Board to Pl. Collector N. Arcot 2nd December —— "
" Principal Collector to Board 21st January — / 23
" Board to Government ..... 20th February — "
" Government to Board .... 4th March —— "
" Collector of North Arcot to Board .. 30th April — / 47.
" Board to Government ... 21st June ——— "
" Government to Board .... 10th July —— "
" Board to Government ... 11th November —— "
Extract Minutes Consultation 17th December —— "
Draft of Permanent Sunnud for W. Zemindary
Board to Government ..... 4th March —— / 89
Extract Minutes Consultation 9th April —— "

of the Sudr Adalut and to request their opinion.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. Sycroft
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1139.
Order thereon
9-10

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communi: : cated for the information and guidance of the

In Cons. 24th March 1851.

the Collector of Nellore with reference to his letter dated 24th February 1851.

---

B. of Rs. 1266.

From the Secy. to Govern:ment.

11

No. 522.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 3rd June 1857.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 12th May 1851. No. 208).

"Under the circumstances represented by the Collector of Madura in his letter of 1st April last the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the fourth share in the Village of Chatoowada: mungalum acquired by Rajakowany Nateheyar mother of the late Shevacawme Nateheyar by purchase together with the four Villages on which orders have been already passed in Extract Minutes of Consultation 17th May 1850 be incorporated with the Government

Sitanandal
Veyanandal
Kakanandal
Pothalacooly 1/2 share
Chatoo undamungalam 1/4 share.



Government Lands.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

A true Extract
Signed J. Lycroft
Secretary to Government.

B. of Rs. 1124.

Order thereon

11-12

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 1st April 1851.

In Cons. 12th May 1851.

---

No. 521.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd June 1857.

From Board 19th May 1857.

B. of Rs. 1289.

From the Secretary to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of Malabar the wastage of Tobacco in July 1259 amounting to Candies 38—11—31 of the value of Rupees 1,679-13-6 One thousand six hundred and Seventy nine Annas thirteen and Pie six.

13

Signed J. Lycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered.

Fort St. George 12th June 1857.

Bd No. 1123.

Order thereon.

(13-14)

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 9th May 1857.

---

Bd No. 1256.

From the Secy. to Govern: ment

(15)

No. 527.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 3d June 1857.

Read again letter from Mr. Just Gantz late Revenue Surveyor attached to the Collectors Cutcherry dated 8th October and recorded in Diary to Consultation 5th November 1857 No. 1150.

Read also the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 28th April 1857. No. 183/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not think that the various short periods included in the letter of the Board of Revenue of the 28th April 1857 as Mr. Just Gantz's service can be admitted



Fort St. George 12th June 1857.

admitted without an objectionable infringement of the pension Rules and the establishment of an inconvenient precedent but looking to all the circumstances relating to this individual he resolves as a special case to recommend to the Honorable the Court of Directors to allow Mr. Gantz a pension of Rupees fifty per Mensem from the present date but the Pension will not be drawn until the Court's reply has been received.

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ J. Sycroft

Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd No. 1120.

Order thereon.

(15-16)

Ordered to be communicated to Mr. Just Gantz with reference to his Memorial to Government dated 8th October 1850.

---

No. 1297.

From the Secretary to Govt. dated 5th June 1857.

/For information/

(17)

Read Extract of observations respecting the proposal of the Civil Court of Guntoor to sell the Palace Topes and Gardens allowed the Esc. Zemindar Mulrauze Lutchmyah by Government in satisfaction of the debt due to the Begum of Masulipatam.

Ordered.

Order thereon

17-18

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following Memorandum

B. No. 1250.

Official Memorandum

From the Actg. Deputy Secy. to Government ... }

The Acting Deputy Secretary to Government has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to forward to this office for reference the report of the Collector of Nellore adverted to in the Board's Endorsement dated 18th October 1850 on Petition No. 1502 of 1850.-

19

Fort St. George. 6th June 1851. }

/Signed/ J. D. Sim.

Actg. Deputy Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.-

B. No. 1119.

Memorandum.-

To the Actg. Dy. Secy. to Government ... }

With reference to the Memorandum from the Acting Deputy Secretary to Government dated 6th June 1851 the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to him the Report of the Collector of Nellore referred to in the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 1502 of 1850.-

19-20

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 12th June 1851. }

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley

Secretary Board



Read the following

Extract.

No. 244.

B. No. 1289.

From the Commissioner Northern Circars }

Extract from the Proceedings of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars dated Masulipatam 4th June 1851.

21

Read the following letter from the Collector and Agent to the Governor in Ganjam dated 17th May 1851 submitting an advertisement for the sale of certain Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates for arrears of Revenue.-

(Here enter No. 68)

Ordered that copies of the advertisement submitted with the foregoing letter be published in the offices of the Commissioner and the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)

/Signed/ W. Elliot

Commissioner

Advertisement

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | Ella Hawkes Cook | | 16 | 2914 25 | " " " " " " " " | 404 25 | 1 11 " | 405 13 5 | 405 13 5 |
| 18 | Catharine Adelaide Cook | | 1 | 1042 11 9 | " " " " " " " " | 446 12 2 | 6 19 9 | 453 11 11 | 453 11 11 |
| 19 | Isabella Clarence Cook | | 1 | 101 15 1 | " " " " " " " " | 30 15 1 | " 5 7 | 31 2 8 | 31 2 8 |
| 20 | Mabel Young | | 2 | 570 15 1 | " " " " " " " " | 309 7 1 | 5 11 11 | 315 2 11 | 315 2 11 |
| 21 | Elizabeth Cook, executrix of Testament of Thomas Tillery and Martha Cook Letchmere near Malton Lincolnshire deceased | | 15 | 2136 10 9 | 1203 15 11 | " " | 1203 15 11 | 2136 10 9 | 3 147 1 1 |
| 22 | Barnard Cook | | 59 | 1215 | " " " " " " " " | 2945 | " " 6 8 11 | 2101 8 11 | 2101 8 11 |
| | Total | | 928 | " " | 1632 15 11 | 2798 12 2 | 11 | 1132 19 3 | 9 2120 2 8 |

2 Please observe if necessary insurance may include ordinary expenses
Useful for the journeys and purchases made by Bank authority. Useful also all the Bank
notice in the same manner as if the purchase had been made by the journeyman

Fort St George 12th June 1851

3d But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4th The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusions, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the Talook to be resold.

5th A summud Imilkut Istimrar or Deed of Permanent right has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars, describing the obligations to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by purchase of Land under this advertisement, all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6th The purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Commissioner but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Commissioners sanction — or within one Month from the day of sale, in default whereof, the Talook will be sold at the risk of the first purchasers.

7th In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Commissioner, the deposit money will be returned, and person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Talook or Talookie

8th For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Commissioner or at the Collector's Cutcherry at Chetterpore, between 10 and 5 O'Clock except on Sunday.

Ganjam District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chetterpore 17th May 1851

/Signed/ A. O. Onslow
Collector and Agent to the Governor.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. Elliot
Commissioner

Ordered

Fort St George 12th June 1851.

B.C. No 1111

Order thereon.

21-22

Ordered that the advertisement which accompanies the foregoing Proceedings be translated in Telugu and published in the Board's office both in English and Telugu.

The Board request the Commissioner will be good enough to forward in future a copy of the Vernacular advertisement with the English one.

---

No 257.

Dated 19th May 1851.

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 31st October 1850 reporting on the Land Revenue Settlement of that district for

Fusly 1259.

B.C. No 1192

A.D. - 1849/50

Proceedings

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Bellary for Fusly 1259.

23

/ Here enter No 65. /

Para 1.

The season appears to have been unusually wet in Bellary, the abundant fall of rain brought a large supply of water into the tanks, more having received a full supply than in any of the preceding ten years with the exception of Fusly 1253. In comparison with



Fort St George 12th June 1851

Fusly 1258 - 94
Fusly 1259 - 493

Increase acres 17,199.

with the year immediately preceding the tanks filled were as 5 to 1. This had the effect of largely increasing the wet cultivation, and brought an accession of Revenue under that head of Rupees 165,694. The character of the season however was less favourable to the Dry cultivation. The Collector reports that the heavy rains from June to September caused much injury to the early crops, and obliged the ryots to leave uncultivated a large quantity of their Black lands, considerable damage appears also to have been done, as in other parts of the ceded Districts, by the ravages of grasshoppers or locusts and on the whole the outturn of the dry crops is estimated to have been but from one half, to three fourths of a full crop.

Para 5.

2. Notwithstanding this deficiency of produce however the prices of all kinds of grain fell considerably below the average of the preceding 5 years

which

which has caused some difficulty in realizing the Fusly Cotton nearly maintained the high prices of Fusly 1258, and is reported to have risen since the expiration of the Fusly. Disease appears to have been less prevalent than in the year preceding, the number of deaths from Cholera being only 1621 out of a population much exceeding a Million of souls. The sub Collectors Division was unusually free from this visitation, only six Villages having suffered, the deaths being 42 in number.

Paras 3 Deaths by cholera in 1258 – 3,164.

3 There was a net increase in the cultivation of 34,550 acres and in the assessment of Rupees 114,174 arising from a gross increase in Wet lands of Rupees 155694, and a decrease of Rupees 51,520 in Dry lands, as regards the latter the season was more favourable for the cultivation of the



the lowly assessed mixed and red soils which show an increase of 45,305 acres assessed at 4,814 Rupees but there was a large falling off in the cultivation of Black lands amounting to 27,998 acres assessed at Rupees 56,334 which the Collector ascribes partly to the protracted fall of rain during the sowing season preventing the cultivation of these lands and partly to a desire on the part of the ryots to get rid of a part of their holdings.

It is to this latter 4 cause the Board conclude that the large falling off in the Cabooolate, or Dattum of Black lands, is to be attributed. It amounts as shown in the margin to 15,873 acres assessed at Rupees 32,763 of which Rupees 12,638 is in the Godavery

| | Cabooolate Lands | Rupee Land assessment | average |
|---|---|---|---|
| | acres | Rs | Rs |
| Fusly 1258 | 629,511 | 9,53,123 | 1-8-3 |
| " 1259 | 613,638 | 9,20,360 | 1-8-" |
| Decrease | 15,873 | 32,763 | 2-1-" |

Goolum taluk, and from the average of assessment on the Decrease it is apparent that it is the more highly assessed lands which have been given up. This decrease in the Cabooleat is not specially noticed by the Collector in his report.

The same remark as respects the highly assessed lands given up seems also applicable to those that were left waste at



| Lands. | Assessment average. |
|---|---|
| Dittam 1259 | 612,638 2,20,360 1-8-. |
| Cultivated | 553,350 8,13,102 1-7-6 |
| Remaining waste | 60,288 1,07,258 1-12-5 |

of the Cabooleat, the average assessment on the cultivated Black lands in the whole district being as shown in the margin Rupees 1-7-6 per acre while that of the lands remaining waste is Rupees 1-12-5.

5 The net demand exceeded that of Fusly 1258 by Rupees 7,961 as shown in the following comparative Abstract of the Gross settlement, Remissions, and net Demand, the General heads of which are explained in the Collector's Report.

Para 8 et seq

Fusly

7811 Fort St George 12th June 1851

| | | Fasly 1258 | Fasly 1259 | Comparison Increase | Comparison Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Gross assessment | Land paying full rent | 30,50,381 | 30,93,740 | 43,359 | " |
| | Land held at a reduced rent | 34,420 | 35,219 | 799 | " |
| | Cowle land | 17,330 | 22,377 | 5,047 | " |
| | Total | 31,02,131 | 31,51,336 | 49,205 | " |
| Land not liable to assessment | Shamilat | 36,140 | 34,829 | " | 1,311 |
| | Cabooleat | 3,51,363 | 2,87,705 | " | 63,658 |
| | Total | 3,87,503 | 3,22,534 | " | 64,969 |
| Remaining Land liable for revenue | | 27,14,628 | 28,28,802 | 1,14,174 | " |
| New Remissions | | 6,21,039 | 6,46,733 | 25,694 | " |
| Established Remissions to continue for a certain Period | | 34,321 | 35,400 | 1,079 | " |
| Remissions on actual cultivation | Cowle Remissions | 14,492 | 19,099 | 4,607 | " |
| | Temporary remissions for losses of Crops &c | 35,358 | 49,549 | 14,191 | " |
| | Miscellaneous Remissions | 8,111 | 8,041 | " | 70 |
| | Total Remissions on the actual Cultivation | 7,13,301 | 7,58,822 | 45,521 | " |
| Net settlement | Remaining net settlement | 20,01,327 | 20,69,980 | 68,653 | " |
| | Add for suppressed cultivation and Sashee Cowle Savacar | 1,109 | 2,441 | 1,332 | " |
| | Total | 20,02,436 | 20,72,421 | 69,985 | " |
| Tasc on Cham Cultivation including Quit Rent | | 1,79,808 | 1,80,801 | 993 | " |
| Quit Rent on Bungalows | | 723 | 725 | 2 | " |
| | Total Berij | 21,82,967 | 22,53,947 | 70,980 | " |
| Deduct Ready Money allowances | | 1,593 | 1,612 | " | 19 |
| | Total Net Demand | 21,81,374 | 22,52,335 | 70,961 | " |

under

Fort St George 12th June 1851

6

Under the head tem-porary remissions for losses of crop is included a sum of Rupees 21,038. of which Rupees 984 is on account of two crop Land, on which only a single crop was raised. This item would more properly have appeared in a separate Column under the head of Fasul Ummeed" of the remainder viz Rupees 20,054 Rupees 18,130 was apparently all granted on account of failure of crops on dry lands chiefly in the Godavery and Adoni Talooks, and considerably exceeds the remission given on this account in any year since Fasly 1255. The Collector observes that the necessity for this remission was very urgent, and that the actual state of the Crops was fully ascertained and allowance only made for a portion of the loss sustained. The Board have full confidence that due discrimination was used on this occasion, and no more remitted than the

Godavery 7,147
Adoni 6,887



Fort St George 12th June 1851

the necessity of each case demanded.

7. Referring to the observations of the Collector in Paras 21 and 22 regarding the mode of entering the Shamilat and Cabooleat waste in the accounts the Board will not object to his continuing the present mode of making up the statement No 5 but as it is desirable to preserve uniformity as much as possible in the arrangement of the Board's Form No 4 in the several districts, they would prefer that the Statement No 18 now transmitted by the Collector should be substituted for No 4.

8. The quantity of waste taken up on cowl during the year amounted to Rupees 7,252 which added to that held on cowls granted in previous years and not yet expired (Rupees 15,125) makes the entire amount of land held under cowl Rupees +22,377.

Fixed Cowl 17,423
Progressive Do 4,954.

The remission of assessment on this is Rs 19,659. and the net payment on

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

on these lands Rupees 2718. There is a slight increase in the black lands taken up during the Fusly as compared with Fusly 1258 which may be attributable to the relaxation of the rule requiring the land in all cases to be fully cleared. The indulgence shown in this respect in Fusly 1258 was favorably noticed by the Government in reviewing the settlement of that year. The Cowl excepted lands of the 4 years inclusive of Fusly 1259 amounted to 10,205 acres assessed at Rupees 18,125 of which 385 acres assessed at Rupees 941 were struck out of the account, being left uncultivated in consequence, as the Board presume, of ascertained inability on the part of the ryots. The Board take this opportunity of requesting that the Collector will carefully examine the headings of his Statements. In the last Statement No. 9 for instance they observe that columns from 2 to 18 are headed Black lands held under

Fusly 1258- Rs. 1,825
1259- 5,287

Contract Minutes Govt 21 June 1850
Para 5 inform 1st July 1850.

A.G.B.



Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

under permanent Cowl at the commencement of Fusly 1259, but the sum entered includes the lands taken up during that Fusly also, as shown in para 15 of his report. In the same manner Column 16 is headed average amount of Cultivation on each plough, but the figures show that it is the average Collection (after all deductions) which is given. The more useful comparison would be, in accordance with the heading, between the Cultivation in Column 18, and the number of ploughs which gives an average of about 40 Acres to each plough drawn by 12 bullocks.

* Rupees 17,423.

9. The Enam Ayacut shows a Net decrease of 780 Acres assessed at Rupees 1048, the result of resumptions during the years. The entire amount of Enam land Cultivated was Rupees 15,41,907 being Rupees 6,528 in excess of the previous year. Of this Rupees 14,79,043 was in Circar Villages, Rupees 9,33,400 being Cultivated by the owners themselves and Rupees 5,45,643 by others.

Fusly 1258 — Acres. 15,64,796 — Rupees. 20,27,250
Fusly 1259 — Acres. 15,64,016 — Rupees. 20,26,202

The

The taxes on Enam under the different heads noted in the Margin, amounted to Rupees 180801 or about

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Quit rent (including grain fees) | 165711. |
| Rent for Circar waters | 7691- |
| On excess Cultivation of Enam. | 7399- |

per Cent on the Enam assessment. In the abstract appended to Para 25 a discrepancy is observed in separating the heriz of "Dry" from that of "Irrigated" Enam lands for the year reported on. The extent of Dry Enam land Cultivated in Circar Villages ought apparently to be Rupees 11,23,313. instead of Rupees 11,67,381. which latter is the total of Dry Enam both in Circar and Enam Villages. This latter sum has been deducted from the Gross Circar Enam, and the remainder entered as the assessment of the "Irrigated" Enams in Circar Villages. As the abstract shows the particulars only in Circar Villages the correct figured Entry would be—

Dry — 11,67,381-
Irrigated - 3,11,662.
Total - 14,79,043.

| | |
|---|---|
| Gross Circar Enam Column 55 Statement 12 - | 14,79,043- |
| Dry Enam in Circar and Enam Villages — | 11,67,381- |
| | + 3,11,662. |

Circar



| | | Fasly 1258. | | | Fasly 1259. | | | Increase. | | | Decrease. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Circar Villages. | Dry | 11,76,937 | " | " | 11,23,313 | " | " | " | " | " | 53624 | " | " |
| | Irrigated | 2,96823 | " | " | 3,55,730* | " | " | 58907 | " | " | " | " | " |
| | | 14,73,760 | " | " | 14,79,043 | " | " | 5,283 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Enam Villages | | 61,619 | " | " | 62,864 | " | " | 1,245 | " | " | " | " | " |
| | | 15,35,379 | " | " | 15,41,907 | " | " | 6,528 | " | " | " | " | " |

* Garden as per Column 57 Statement 12 — 48,118.
Wet as per Column 53 — 3,07,612.
3,55,730.

10- Considering the unfavorable nature of the season as respects the Dry land Cultivation the Revenue of the year was realized with much promptitude. Out of a total demand on account of Land Revenue of Rupees 22,52,334 Rupees 22,04,106 were collected within the Fasly, and a further sum of Rupees 40,635 up to the date of the Collector's report. The balance at that time remaining was Rupees 7,593 of which Rupees 5,171 is considered to be irrecoverable.—

30th October.

11- The outstanding balance on

on account of Fusly 1258 amounted at the close of that year to Rupees 26,842 which has been realized with the exception of Rupees 5278- There is the small sum of Rupees 3 still to be collected out of this amount and the remainder Viz^t Rupees 5,275 the Collector has requested that he may be permitted to write off in the accounts as irrecoverable- In this request the Board beg to support him, as well as for the remission of the sum of Rupees 48-15-3. shown in para 33 to be the irrecoverable balance on account of Sewoy Jummah-

From Collector 10th September in Cons: 4th October 1850.
To Government 22d May 1857.

12.

The Demand Collection and Balance on account of Sewoy Jumma Current and arrears are given in the abstract appended to Para 33. The monthly returns however exhibit only the total collections which are entered in the Column of Current Revenue. It is desirable that in future the Collections on account of Current and arrear revenue should be distinguished in the Demand Collection and Balance Statements.-

13.

The following abstract of the Revenue from all sources shows a Net increase of Rupees 74,956, or an increase of 2-10 per Cent in favor of the year under report.-

Stone

| Heads. | Collections on account of Jamma Current Collections | | Total | As per Report. | Ex- Demand Collection & Balance Statements. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2316 | 13 9 | | | |
| | 12550 | 1 8 | | | |
| Total | 14866 | 15 5 | 14866 | 15 5 | 15 5 |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " |



Comparison-

| 1819. Heads. | Jamma of Fusly 1259- | Increase. | Decrease. | Per Centage. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 2,52,334 | 10,960 | " | 4 " |
| Sayer | 62,95 | " | 624 | 9 " |
| Abkary | 3,15,536 | " | 1253 | 6 " |
| Sundry Small Farms and Licenses | 19,626 | " | 352 | 12 " |
| Moturpha | 2,73,848 | 2218 | " | 13 " |
| Stamps | 14,884 | 4,00/ | " | 39 6 " |
| Total | 28,82,523 | 74,956 | " | 2 10 " |

1814. Heads. Jamma of Fusly 1258-

| Heads. | Jamma of Fusly 1258- |
|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 2,18,374 |
| Sayer | 6,919 |
| Abkary | 3,16,789 |
| Sundry Small Farms and Licenses | 19,978 |
| Moturpha | 2,71,630 |
| Stamps | 10,817 |
| Total | 28,07,567 |

From

Para 37.

Extract Minutes Consultation 24th August 1847

Fasly 1258 - 7,862 acres.
Fasly 1259 - 4,679 -

Per Garee
Fasly 1258 - Rs. 178 -
" 1259 - " - 186 -

11. From the Statement of wheat Cultivation which the Collector has furnished, in accordance with the instructions of Government, there appears a large falling off in the breadth of land cultivated with that grain to the extent of 3,183 acres or nearly one half. This however is in comparison only with the year immediately preceding - It does not appear whether it has diminished, or to what extent, below the average of former years - The Collector is unable to account for this decrease but the improvement in the price may possibly lead to an extension in the Cultivation -

15. The Statement of Sugar Cane Cultivation, forwarded for the first time this year, shows that the entire quantity of land occupied for this purpose is 6053 acres, of which 4,874 acres is in Circar lands, and the remainder Enam - The assessment of the former, has by a clerical error



been set down in the Report at Rupees 858 - instead of Rupees 41,858 - The Board request that in his future reports the Collector will notice the increase or decrease of this species of Cultivation -

16. The only other subject which it appears necessary to notice in the Collectors Report is the representation he has made in Para 2. of the inconvenience which is occasioned by the necessity for making the Settlement of each talook within its own limits under the order of Government dated 12th November 1849. Mr. Pelly states that it causes much delay and extra expense, and observes generally that "the Collector has a much greater facility in Superintending the Settlement if the Summahbundy of two talooks is going on at the same place," and that "it is very desirable that the usual practice in Bellary should be continued". The Board are not prepared to deny that so far as the

In Cons: 22d November 1849.

preparation

preparation of the Summahbundy accounts is concerned they may be got over more expeditiously when those for two or three talooks are prepared at one place, and that some small saving may be effected in the charge for travelling batta, and for the transport of the records by adopting this course, but they are satisfied that the order objected to by Mr. Pelly is a wholesome one — and they do not therefore consider it advisable to recommend its modification in the mode suggested, although in special cases such as that of the Hurpenhully talook which was settled at Coodlagee in consequence of the prevalence of sickness at the former place or where other peculiar local circumstances may exist, the Government will probably give these due weight and consideration. It should be borne in mind that the actual Settlement of the Summahbundy accounts is not the only object of the Collector's annual tour through his district. No such favorable opportunity presents itself for



for ascertaining by personal inspection the state of each talook, for receiving the representations of ryots on subjects of local interest, and investigating and correcting abuses, both Revenue and Police. The ryots are, the Board believe, accustomed to look to this occasion as the proper season for bringing such subjects to the Collector's notice, and feel disappointed if they are not attended to. In the opinion of the Board therefore it is most desirable that this opportunity should be taken by the Collector for visiting each talook in his district, and they think that this can best be accomplished during the period of the Summahbundy Settlement.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Bellary.—

Read

7826

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

allowed to hold the rent of those two Talooks or not. the difference in the offers between Ramasawmy and Sheyshadry being for Mu= dempilly 50 and for Goorrumcondah 10.-

4.

It was entirely owing to the competition of Sheyshadry that the Bareiz for the ensuing Fusly has increased so much above that of the present, and it is doubtful whether Ramasawmy will accept the rent at the last offer made by him should that of Sheyshadry be rejected, or whether he will not demand that the abkarry of these Talooks be again put up to sale, when they will be purchased at a very considerable decrease.-

5.

The Renters are all persons of substance and I beg to recommend that their offers may receive the sanction of the Board of Revenue.-

Cuddapah District,
Collectors Office on Circuit
Kundalore,
2nd June 1851.

/Signed/ M. Murray.
Acting Collector

Statement

and 1261/1851/52

...e Abkarry Rents for several ...

| | Renters. | Fusly 1251. | | Fusly 1252. | | Fusly 1253. | | ...rage of ...ease. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | Rs | A P | A | P |
| | | 7,710 | " " | 7,915 | " " | 7,815 | " " | " | " |
| 1 | | 1,294 | " " | 1,194 | " " | 1,295 | " " | " | " |
| 2 | ...g Veneatah and Goondaloor Ramaniah. | 2,900 | " " | 2,905 | " " | 2,800 | " " | " | " |
| 3 | | 5544 | " " | 5,550 | " " | 5,975 | " " | 14 | 5 |
| 4 | ...ung... | 7,471 | " " | 7,471 | " " | 7,250 | " " | 3 | 3 |
| 5 | ...shatooloo. | 6,315 | " " | 6,336 | " " | 5,955 | " " | " | " |
| 6 | | 6,900 | " " | 6,635 | " " | 6,135 | " " | " | " |
| 7 | | 2,770 | " " | 2,900 | " " | 3,140 | " " | 8 | " |
| 8 | | 17,005 | " " | 17,795 | " " | 21,180 | " " | " | " |
| 9 | | 3,400 | " " | 3,460 | " " | 3,030 | " " | 8 | " |
| 10 | | 7,905 | " " | 8,405 | " " | 10,000 | " " | " | " |
| 11 | | 1855 | " " | 9,855 | " " | 10,950 | " " | " | " |
| 12 | | 12,424 | " " | 12,430 | " " | 11,200 | " " | " | " |
| 13 | | 5800 | " " | 5,240 | " " | 4,890 | " " | " | " |
| 14 | | 5380 | " " | 4,880 | " " | 6,400 | " " | " | " |
| 15. | | 26,673 | " " | 102,971 | " " | 108,015 | " " | 1 | 8 |

Cuddapah District.
Collectors
at Kundlo

The

# Fort St. George

12th June 1851.

## Fuslies in the Zillah of Cuddapahs.

| Fusly 1254. | | | Fusly 1255. | | | Fusly 1256. | | | Fusly 1257. | | | Fusly 1258. | | | Fusly 1259. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P |
| 7,315 | " | " | 7,090 | " | " | 8,050 | " | " | 9,050 | " | " | 9,250 | " | " | 9,990 | " | " |
| 1,100 | " | " | 1,200 | " | " | 1,440 | " | " | 1,800 | " | " | 1,800 | " | " | 1,800 | " | " |
| 2,385 | " | " | 2,790 | " | " | 2,700 | " | " | 3,350 | " | " | 2,760 | " | " | 2,660 | " | " |
| 5,410 | " | " | 6,120 | " | " | 7,250 | " | " | 8,210 | " | " | 7,700 | " | " | 7,150 | " | " |
| 7,310 | " | " | 7,610 | " | " | 7,950 | " | " | 7,750 | " | " | 8,165 | " | " | 7,165 | " | " |
| 6,080 | " | " | 6,440 | " | " | 6,440 | " | " | 7,410 | " | " | 7,505 | " | " | 7,910 | " | " |
| 6,260 | " | " | 6,800 | " | " | 7,410 | " | " | 8,000 | " | " | 7,500 | " | " | 7,001 | " | " |
| 3,290 | " | " | 3,420 | " | " | 3,730 | " | " | 3,820 | " | " | 3,915 | " | " | 3,680 | " | " |
| 21,180 | " | " | 20,520 | " | " | 22,050 | " | " | 23,300 | " | " | 23,350 | " | " | 24,400 | " | " |
| 2,925 | " | " | 3,225 | " | " | 4,085 | " | " | 3,700 | " | " | 3,705 | " | " | 3,705 | " | " |
| 9,520 | " | " | 10,620 | " | " | 8,710 | " | " | 10,001 | " | " | 8,801 | " | " | 9,200 | " | " |
| 11,680 | " | " | 11,500 | " | " | 10,030 | " | " | 11,001 | " | " | 10,201 | " | " | 11,500 | " | " |
| 11,625 | " | " | 11,490 | " | " | 11,700 | " | " | 13,280 | " | " | 11,440 | " | " | 13,750 | " | " |
| 4,510 | " | " | 4,830 | " | " | 5,510 | " | " | 6,020 | " | " | 5,720 | " | " | 5,620 | " | " |
| 5,850 | " | " | 5,870 | " | " | 5,010 | " | " | 7,170 | " | " | 6,170 | " | " | 8,070 | " | " |
| 106,440 | " | " | 109,525 | " | " | 112,065 | " | " | 123,862 | " | " | 120,982 | " | " | 123,601 | " | " |

| Fusly 1260. | | | Total | | | Average of 10 fuslies for each Taluk. | | | Fusly 1261. | | | Comparison between the average of 10 fuslies and of Fusly 1260. Increase. | | | | Decrease. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | Rs. | A | P | | Rs. | A | P |
| 9,100 | " | " | 83,285 | " | " | 8,328 | 8 | " | 8,430 | " | " | 101 | 8 | " | | " | " | " |
| 1,600 | " | " | 14,523 | " | " | 1,452 | 4 | 9 | 2,060 | " | " | 607 | 11 | 3 | | " | " | " |
| 2,850 | " | " | 28,100 | " | " | 2,810 | " | " | 2,970 | " | " | 160 | " | " | | " | " | " |
| 7,100 | " | " | 66,009 | " | " | 6,600 | 14 | 5 | 6,100 | " | " | " | " | " | | 500 | 14 | 5 |
| 7,500 | " | " | 75,642 | " | " | 7,564 | 3 | 3 | 7,001 | " | " | " | " | " | | 563 | 3 | 3 |
| 7,850 | " | " | 68,241 | " | " | 6,824 | 1 | 7 | 7,350 | " | " | 525 | 14 | 5 | | " | " | " |
| 7,001 | " | " | 69,642 | " | " | 6,964 | 3 | 2 | 7,557 | " | " | 586 | 12 | 10 | | " | " | " |
| 3,050 | " | " | 33,715 | " | " | 3,371 | 8 | " | 3,000 | " | " | " | " | " | | 371 | 8 | " |
| 23,000 | " | " | 213,780 | " | " | 21,378 | " | " | 22,210 | " | " | 832 | " | " | | " | " | " |
| 2,900 | " | " | 34,135 | " | " | 3,413 | 8 | " | 3,220 | " | " | " | " | " | | 193 | 8 | " |
| 9,300 | " | " | 94,462 | " | " | 9,446 | 3 | 3 | 11,340 | " | " | 1,893 | 12 | 9 | | " | " | " |
| 12,220 | " | " | 110,792 | " | " | 11,079 | 3 | 2 | 14,950 | " | " | 3,870 | 12 | 10 | | " | " | " |
| 13,600 | " | " | 125,939 | " | " | 12,593 | 14 | 5 | 13,860 | " | " | 1,266 | 1 | 7 | | " | " | " |
| 5,500 | " | " | 53,640 | " | " | 5,364 | " | " | 5,550 | " | " | 186 | " | " | | " | " | " |
| 6,250 | " | " | 61,050 | " | " | 6,105 | " | " | 7,810 | " | " | 1,705 | " | " | | " | " | " |
| 118,821 | " | " | 1,132,955 | " | " | 113,295 | 8 | " | 123,402 | " | " | 11,735 | 9 | 8 | | 1,629 | 1 | 8 |

Net Increase. 10,106 8 "

Cuddapah Collectors Office Circuit at Sundalore 2d June 1851.

/Signed/ Mc: Murray
Acting Collector.

2d

The

Bd No 1112.
Proceedings thereon.

24-25

The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the offers for the Abkarry Farms of the District of Cuddapah for Fusly 1268, reported in the foregoing letter, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1,23,402. and authorize the Renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms, on their making the necessary deposits and giving the requisite security.

C. O. 11th July 1828 Page 79.

2. Under the circumstances stated the Board direct that the rents of the Talooks of Muddenpully and Goorrumcondah be made over to Sheyshadry.

---

Read the following letter.

No. 19.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

26.

Bd No 1242.

From the Agent at Kurnool furnishing explanation with reference to the Remarks in Para 13 of the Board's Proceedings dated

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 1st May 1857 containing their review of my report upon the Land Revenue



dated 1st May 1857 on the Summalundy Report for Fusly 1259.

Settlement of the Kurnool District for Fusly 1259.

2. Information on the various points on which the Board has called for it will be submitted hereafter but there is one passage in my Report which I have since discovered to be in part erroneous and the remarks of the Board show that it has been altogether misunderstood.

3. I allude to Para 16 in which after pointing out that no remissions had been allowed in the Chagulmerry Talook for failure of dry crops in Fusly 1259. I remarked that "the state of the Collections at the close of the Fusly and the quantity of land thrown up by Sycaree Ryots when the Villawarees engagements for the present Fusly were made although the Nair Shariotadar was deputed to the spot to assist in the Villawaree engagements and realizing the Kists" showed that remissions on this head would have been advisable.

4. The Board have supposed the remark.

Fort St. George 12th June 1857

Extract Proceedings para 13.

remark about the deputation of the Nail Sarestadar to apply to the commencement of Fusly 1259 instead of, to that of the Current Fusly 1260 and I beg at once to correct the error as the remarks which follow hinge upon it the Nail Serishtadar was deputed to Chagulmarry by Lieutenant Russell when in charge after Mr. Phillips departure to assist in the Villavaree as after all the acting Tahsildars endeavours it had fallen 13,850 Rupees below that of the previous Fusly The expression "realizing the Pists" as applicable to my assertions in June is perhaps erroneous in itself, and once my report was written I have ascertained that the assertions of the Nail Serishtadar were confined to endeavours to prevent so large a quantity of land from being thrown out of cultivation I understood at the time of writing my report that he had aided in realizing the balance outstanding after the last kist for Fusly 1259 was due, and as my report was fully explained to him before transmission I regret that the



Fort St. George 12th June 1857.

the error was not corrected at an earlier date.

Villavaree
1259-78378-11-10
1260-73661-4-6
Decrease 4717-7-4

5. I will merely add that Villavaree was eventually raised to Rupees 73,661-4-6 or 4717-7-4 below that of the previous year and that I visited the Talook as soon as I possibly could for the Settlement and remained 6 weeks in it. The remissions granted by me this year in that Talook amounted to 2560 Rupees and the arrears which I consider irrecoverable to Rupees 5750 and I have taken every means in my power to ensure the remission thus granted reaching the ryot himself. In their present state of ignorance this is difficult and it is with deep regret that I have obtained abundant proof from long and intricate enquiries that a considerable portion of last years remissions never reached the impoverished Ryots for whose benefit it was granted by Mr. Phillips but filled the pockets of some of the rapacious public servants whoare at once false to the Government, which employs

employs them, and oppressors of the Ryots whom it is their duty to protect. The Board are already aware that one of those against whom this charge lies is Streenevasa Row the suspended Tahsildar of Kundercottoor who is now on trial before me on more serious charges

Kurnool
Agents Office
23d May 1857

/Signed/ T. D. Lushington
Agent.

B. No. 1116

No. 244

Proceedings thereon

26-27

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of Government with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 1st May 1857.

---

Read the following letter.

To

No. 35

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

B. No. 1258.
28

From the Agt. Collr of
North Arcot

Sir,

In the order of Government which accompanied the Board's Proceedings



of the 28th Ultimo authority is given for the transfer to Chingleput of the Goomedypoondy Division alone and no mention is made of Pulicat and its adjacent Hamlets tho' these also were included in Mr. Bourdillon's recommendation I notice too, that in the list published in the Gazette of the 29th Ultimo they are in like manner omitted.

I presume it is not the intention of Government that they should remain attached to this District I therefore beg leave to bring the omission to your notice with a view to its rectification - If the transfer is to be made the places to be mentioned in the Gazette will be.

The Town of Pulicat and the Coopums or Hamlets of:-

Yedamooney
Colacoopum
Virum Coopum
Shattum Coopum
Huddoo Coopum
and
Parindavee Coopum

North Arcot
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Razanager 31st May 1857.

/Signed/ S. N. Ward
Acting Collector

Resolved

B. No. 1115

Resolution thereon.

(28-29)

In Cons. 28th April 1837.

No. 243.

Resolved that the foregoing communication be submitted to Government with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 5th April 1837.

2. As it does not appear to have been the intention of Government to exclude the town of Pulicat and its adjacent hamlets from the transfer sanctioned on the above date the Board beg to recommend that the town of Pulicat and the Coopums and hamlets given in the conclusion of Mr. Ward's letter under submission may be added to the list published in the Gazette of the 29th April 1837.

---

Read the following letter.

No. 77.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S. George.

Sir,

B. No. 1290

30

From the Collr. of Salem

51

Reporting the sale of the Alkarry Farm

I have the honor to report that agreeably to Advertisements previously circulated



of his District for a period of three years and soliciting sanction for putting the Purchasers in possession of the Farm on the 12th Proximo.

the Alkarry Farm of this District was sold on the 2d instant at Darampoory.

2. The arrangement which closes with the Current Fusly of giving the rent for a period of three consecutive years having answered well, I yielded to the requisition of the principal and most respectable of the intending bidders and put up the farms of the entire District in one lot for a similar period. The arrangement was opposed by certain individuals of minor importance who urged the propriety of disposing of each Talook as a separate rent by which means they were strong in the assertions that a considerable enhancement of revenue would be obtained. It appeared to me more advisable to renew if posible the present arrangement and I therefore intimated to the persons interested that provided I saw no reason for suspecting combination between the

the parties desirous of having the District assigned to them as a whole and secure from their competition a reasonable increase of rent. I would close upon their offer and would not put up each Talook separately. They did not hesitate to agree to these terms and the competition at once commenced the result being an offer which gives an increase per Fusly of Rupees 10050 above the sum at which the Alkarry was last sold. The successful competitors are four of the five individuals who were partners in the lease now expiring. They are persons of good character and means of substance. I did not therefore think it necessary to ascertain what would have been bid for the 14 Talooks separately and I now beg to recommend that the offer of the parties Marginally named be accepted.

1 Akloor Kullud Sah
2 Salem Gopala chetty
3 Dowlatabad Arreapa chetty
4 Bengaloor Thoppa Moodelly

3. Adverting to the approaching transfer of the Mootah of Katoopoolloor to the Trichinopoly District which was duly notified to the assembled bidders previous to the sale I have ascertained



the rent of that Estate at Rupees 540 and the remainder of the District at Rupees 9610. In this arrangement I was guided principally by information obtained from the former Alkarry renters in regard to the productive capability of the Mootah in this branch of Revenue.

4. The successful bidders have made a deposit of 25 per cent on their offer or of Rupees 24137-8- of which Rupees 17137-8- were paid in ready money and the remaining Rupees 7000 by three drafts on Binny & Co. It has not therefore been found necessary to require any security from them.

5. It only remains for me to request that I may be favored with the early instructions of the Board to enable me to put the renters in possession of their farm on the 12th Proximo the commencement of the term of their rent.

Salem District
Darampoory
Collrs Cutcherry
5th June 1857.

/Signed/ A. D. Phillips
Collector

Statement

1839

Fort S. George

No 1

# Statement shewing the highest offers that have been made for the Rent of the Alkarry Farms of the District of Salem for three Fuslies 1261 – 1262 and 1263

12th June 1857

compared with the Rents of the preceding five years.

| Division | Talook | Highest amount bid for each Fusly: Name of the Bidder | Amount | Rent of the preceding Fusly 1260 | Difference: Increase | Difference: Decrease | Average Rent of the five preceding Fuslies Vizt 1255 to 1259 | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | C Rs a P | C Rs a P | C Rs a P | C Rs a P | C Rs a P | |
| Collectors Sub Collectors and Head Assistants Division | The 14 Talooks forming the Collectorate of Salem. | Ahtoor Huttud Saib — 1 | | | | | | |
| | | Salem Gopala Chetty — 1 | | | | | | |
| | | Dowlatabad Andeapa Chetty — 1 | | | | | | |
| | | Bengaloor Hoshpay Moodelly — 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | 96p10 " " | 86,500 " " | 10050 " " | " " " | 83316 " " | Vide accompanying letter. |
| Hatoopoolloor Mootah in the Talook of Hameul | | Ditto | 540 " " | | | | | |
| | | Total | 96,550 " " | 86,500 " " | 10050 " " | " " " | 83316 " " | |

Salem Zillah Darampoory
Collectors Office 5th June 1857

E E & P / Signed / H D. Phillips
Collector

The

7840

Fort St George 19th June 1857.

B. No. 1113

Proceedings thereon

30-31

The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the Offers for the Alkarry Farms of the District of Salem for Fuslies 1261-1262 and 1263 reported in the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate (exclusive of the Hatoospoolor Mottah to Rupees 96010 and authorize the renters being placed in possession of the Farms.

Exclusive of Hatoospoolor until further orders.

It is observed that the parties have deposited the amount of three Kists as required by the Board's Circular Order of the 14th July 1828.

Page 79.

Ordered also that copy of the foregoing letter and of its accompaniment together with the Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly with a request that he will state whether he has any objection to the Hatoospoolor Farm being rented for 3 years to the Salem renters on the terms named or whether he is desirous of putting up that farm to sale in his own district.

---

Read the following letter.

No. 39.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

32

B. No. 1308.

From the Collr. of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit a Statement

7841

Fort St George 12th June 1857.

Statement shewing the result of the auction of the Alkarry of the District for the ensuing Fusly 1261

2. In the Sub Division there is an Increase and the same in some Sections of the Principal Division but on the whole a considerable decrease in the latter owing to entire want of competition for the Farm in the Ramnad and Shevagunga Zemmdaries - This is attributed partly to losses in the present Fusly and partly to a combination

| Sub Division Fusly | |
|---|---|
| 1260 | 8845 |
| 1261 | 10600 |
| + Increase | 1755 |
| Principal Division | |
| 1260 | 39770 |
| 1261 | 33455 |
| - Decrease | 6315 |

3. I have omitted the Arrack Farm for the Talook of Madacolum and Town of Madura the person who bid highest for which has forfeited the sum of 20 Rupees deposited as earnest money before he was allowed to bid rather than abide by his Offer - Not having gone so far as to sign the agreement he is not according to the practice of the District and the Act: = nertisement liable to make good any loss which may arise on a resale - I have fixed the resale for the 9th Instant but beg to request orders as regards the other Farms at once in order that there may be no impediment to their being delivered over at the commencement of the ensuing Fusly.

Madura
Oodampully
5th June 1857

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

for July 1861 the names of the Ryots ... their respective ... acceptance in the District of Madura ... Dindigul.

of each Taluk for February 1861.

| | Amount | Amount of Deposit |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs. a P | Rs. |
| ... and 4 others | 5215. " " | 417 |
| | 1370 " " | 114 |
| ... 2 others | 4850 " " | 404 |
| ... yer | 9350 " " | " |
| | 10840 " " | " |
| | 31825 " " | 953 |
| ... pillay | 3400 " " | 283 |
| ... Nadu | 3880 " " | 323 |
| ... Allaghurry | 1670 " " | 139 |
| ... Soolira | 1650 " " | 137 |
| | 1830 " " | 152 |
| | 12430 " " | 1036 |
| ... Total | 44055 " " | 1989 |

| | Average for 3 years Nett Collection | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | a | P |
| | 6075 | " | " |
| | 973 | 5 | 4 |
| | 3,850 | " | " |
| | 10,833 | 5 | 4 |
| | 14,016 | 10 | 8 |
| | 35,748 | 5 | 4 |
| | 2,710 | " | " |
| | 3,890 | " | " |
| | 1,650 | " | " |
| | 1323 | 5 | 4 |
| | 2003 | 5 | 4 |
| | 45,76 | 10 | 8 |
| | 47,325 | " | " |

R D Parker
Collector

A/c Patty Collections of Farmers pundies

Rent

| | Nett Collection | | | Balance | | | Total | | | Total Collections on Columns 2 and 5 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | | 7 | | |
| | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7704 | 9 | 6 | | | | 7704 | 9 | 6 | 7704 | 9 | 6 |
| | 7079 | 1 | " | | | | 7079 | 1 | " | 7079 | 1 | " |
| | 9583 | 2 | 11 | | | | 9583 | 2 | 11 | 9583 | 2 | 11 |
| | 27022 | 4 | 5 | | | | 27022 | 4 | 5 | 27022 | 4 | 5 |
| | 22852 | 3 | 10 | | | | 22852 | 3 | 10 | 22852 | 3 | 10 |
| | 18057 | 9 | 7 | | | | 18057 | 9 | 7 | 18057 | 9 | 7 |
| | 8968 | " | 10 | | | | 8968 | " | 10 | 8968 | " | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Amount Collected upon Demand | | | Balance | | | Total | | | Total Collections on Columns 2 and 5 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | | 7 | | |
| | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P |
| | 7704 | 9 | 6 | | | | 7704 | 9 | 6 | 7704 | 9 | 6 |
| | 6067 | " | " | | | | 6067 | " | " | 6067 | " | " |
| | 9129 | 10 | 6 | | | | 9129 | 10 | 6 | 9129 | 10 | 6 |
| | 22653 | 11 | 8 | | | | 22653 | 11 | 8 | 22653 | 11 | 8 |
| | 22664 | 9 | 7 | | | | 22664 | 9 | 7 | 22664 | 9 | 7 |
| | 18051 | 9 | 7 | | | | 18051 | 9 | 7 | 18051 | 9 | 7 |
| | 27879 | 8 | 4 | | | | 27879 | 8 | 4 | 27879 | 8 | 4 |
| | 13536 | " | 6 | | | | 13536 | " | 6 | 13536 | " | 6 |
| | 29123 | 10 | 1 | | | | 29123 | 10 | 1 | 29123 | 10 | 1 |
| | 13556 | " | 6 | | | | 13556 | " | 6 | 13556 | " | 6 |
| | 11789 | " | 10 | | | | 11789 | " | 10 | 11789 | " | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

1222
1223

7842

Statement shewing ... securities as recon...

Talooks

Madaeolum

Thiroomungalum

Meylore

Rammad Zemind...

Shenaganga Do...

Tandreombroo

Syempully

Shenkurray

Nellacottah

Ramagherry

| | | |

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

Bd. No. 1121.
32-33.

Proceedings thereon

The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the Offers for the Abkarry Farms of the Talooks of the District of Madura for Fusly 1261 mentioned in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1440 5 5- and authorize the Renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms on their making the necessary deposits and giving the required security.

CO 14th July/28 Page 79

2. The proceedings of the Collector respecting the Arrack Farm for the Talooks of Madacolam and Town of Madura reported in para 3 are approved.

3. Mr Parker is requested to submit future Abkarry Statements in the prescribed form.

C.O. Book page 33.



Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

In Con. 13th January 1851.

Bd No 1177

Proceedings thereon.

34

Paras 2 @ 4.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly dated 22nd November 1850, reporting on the Settlement of that District for Fusly 1259/1849-50.

[Here enter Bd No 284]

The season of Fusly 1258/1848-49 was peculiarly unfavorable for Wet lands and the result, compared with Fusly 1257/1847-48 a singularly good year, was a diminution of Revenue amounting to Rs. 3,41,419 in the year now falling under review; the rains were timely throughout, and the irrigation of both kinds, Channel and Tank, was well supplied. Prices fell, partly in consequence of an abundant Harvest and partly as arising from importation of Grain from other places, the produce of Tanjore finding its way into Tinnevelly both through the British and French Ports. The sanitary condition of the District during the period under consideration was favorable.

Agaput. River or Channel Fds. Ponnacolum. Tank &c

Para 11.

Prices.

Fusly 1258. 2:11:11 1/4
" 1259. 2:7:2 1/16
Less " 4:9 3/64

The total number of Villages is 1,298, of which 32 are assessed

Para 5 @ 9.

2

6

to the Moturpha alone. The Collector's Report notices the former only; the latter should also be included in future. The changes during the year consist of the introduction of joint Village rents into 14 summary Villages of the inclusion of 26 favorably assessed Villages under Vadamgoo, and of the transfer of 4 dry land favorably assessed Villages to the head of Wet land favorably assessed in consequence of the conversion of certain of their lands from Dry to Wet. With the exception of Mavadoopunny, in the Streyngoontum Talook, the bulk of the grantees of the favorably assessed lands in the 26 Villages above mentioned still survive, but a portion of the grants having lapsed, the lands to which they refer are assessed with reference to the demand on the neighbouring lands paying full revenue [aim] and hence the Villages in which they are situated are incorporated with the Vadamgoo settled Villages.

Mavadoopunny with an aggregate of seed Cottahs 371, bearing the reduced assessment [Pondoos] of Pr. 634-13-11 fluctuates without reference to the extent of Cultivation

The favorably assessed Villages are termed Pattam or Favorable Assessment in Nainaud Porcopoo or Favorable Assessment in money.



has been resumed in consequence of the inability of the proprietors to discharge the demand of Government.

The number of Polipatlands in Peshcush Villages is 816½ according to the accounts of Fusly 1258 (1848-49) a similar entry is not to be found in the accounts of the year under report. This omission should be supplied in future. In the Number of Hamlets the accounts do not agree with each other; a difference will be found, in the aggregate as well as in the details of each Talook. The attention of the Collector is drawn to these discrepancies and he is requested, as a general rule, where there is a want of correspondence between Statements to explain the cause in a foot note.

Statement A for Fusly 1258 (1848-49) Col: 4.

Statement shewing the Village Population Hamlets &c Col 5 — 2674.
Statt. A Col 3 — 2220.
454.

4 Notwithstanding an extended Cultivation in consequence of the favorable rains, the general result is not much above the preceding year owing to the reduction in the Prices of Grain, the Settlement being

Para 12

| Cultivation Fusly 1258 | S. Cottahs. | acres |
|---|---|---|
| Wet Veg | 111969 | 18,389 3/4 |
| Dry | Chains 139099 | 506,320 1/4 |
| | | 6,87,710. |

Fusly 1259.

| | S. Cottahs. | acres. |
|---|---|---|
| Wet | 121422 | 19,703 5/8 |
| Dry | Chains 146,043 | 531,596 1/2 |
| | | 7,28,3008 |
| Increase | | 40,590 1/8. |

Increase acres.
a Seed Cottah = 1-62
a Chain = 3-64

Note. The land occupied for a 2nd Crop having been already included under the head of one crop land, should not be added again, as appears to have been done.

being made almost entirely under the Olungoo rules, as in Tanjore. The amount of Settlment for the two years was Rs. 2,40,110 and Rupees 2,33,989 respectively, or Rs. 23,879 in favor of the year under report.

The decrease in the Villages / olungoo / on Contingent on the Market rates was Rs. 16,424 the Set off against this occurred chiefly in the following items.

Dry land — — — Rs 16,996
14 amany Villages rented for one year 1572
Less Remission for withered crops. - 7393 - 5

Para 13 @ 18.

5 In the olungoo settled Villages the rate of the Government Share of the produce per Seed Cottah was less than in the preceding year because in the Fusly under review a larger extent of one Crop land had been Cultivated with a 2nd Crop which pays only half the first Crop assessment. The amany Villages, 4 only in number, exhibit an increase from extended Cultivation, though the early Wet Crops / Carr / suffered from a violent wind in august 1849. The Decrease in the Villages paying a favorable assessment arises chiefly from the fall in

Fusly 1258. 5 : 7 : 7 33/64
" 1259. 5 : 5 : 6 57/64

Carr Crops / Amany / Grain Cottah.
Fusly 1258. 12 : 16 : 4 8/32 / 5 Cottah.
" 1259. 9 : 7 : 3 1/32

* Pattam. Poroopoo.



in the Current Prices of Grain, in part also from the transfer of Navadoopunny to the Head of Olungoo, noticed in a former Para of these Proceedings. The entire assessment of this Village Vizt. Rs. 634-13-11 on account of Fusly 1258 / 1848-49 appears as an irrecoverable Balance.

In the Fusly under review 54 Seed Cottahs assessed under olungoo at Rs. 399. 5. 11 was Cultivated

Para 19.

6. Land in Tinnevelly cultivated with Garden and Superior Pro-

Nunjah mel Poonjah and Tanjore.

-ducts, as Betel, Turmaric, Plantain &c. is liable to rates of assessment Varying with the product grown. Under this head there is a decrease of Rs 130-9-1 owing Chiefly to products assessed at a low rate having been more extensively Cultivated than in the preceding year. The total Revenue from this source was Rs. 66,058. Some of these lands which are under wells (Para 53) are beyond the reach of Tanks and Channels and tho' entered as Wet are assessed with the rates, fixed for superior Products. It is Chiefly in unfavorable Seasons that the lands last mentioned are Cultivated.

Paras 20. 21.

7. The Settlment including

Dry

Dry lands of the 4 Villages in which the Survey rates of assessment have been experimentally introduced exhibits an increase of Rs. 152. In the irrigated lands of these Villages there is a falling off of Rs. 2186 be ascribed chiefly to a decrease in the extent of land Cultivated with a second Crop. In the Margin of Para 21. Mr. Bird notices the progressive rise of the Rooms in this Class of Villages from Rs. 7092 in Fusly 1253 / 1843 / to Rupees 7,244 in the year under report, the improvements is satisfactory

| | Rupees |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1259. | 7,244 |
| " 1258. | 7092 |
| Increase | 152. |

| 2nd Crop. | Rs. |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1258. | 1954. |
| " 1259. | 613 |
| Less | 1341. |

Para 20.

In accounting for the decrease in the irrigated lands Mr. Bird observes, as in these Villages the land is not brought to account a second time if cultivated twice in the year as in olungoo Villages, the Deriz has fallen short of that of the preceding year." The Board do not understand the force of this remark as an additional assessment is always imposed on 2nd Crops, and the decrease in the present case is afterwards ascribed by Mr. Bird to diminished two Crop Cultivation. The Board would also remark that the Tindal translation does not correspond with the Report on this point, no mention being made therein



therein of the cause assigned viz. that land was not brought to account a second time if cultivated twice in the year.

Proceedings 23rd May 1850 Para 9.

The Board in their review of last years Settlement expressed a wish that a comparative view of the Cultivation under the three separate heads of Dry, Wet and Garden should be shown. The Collector has misunderstood those instructions and has forwarded a Statement /No. 12/ shewing the different products which are grown in these Villages. This should be discontinued and the information as requested supplied.

Para 25.

10. It is observed that during the year, 5 New Plantations of Trees were formed under the Tope rules of January 1848. The extent and in what Talook they are situated are not known. These particulars should find a place in the accounts and the total extent of land taken up for this purpose, from the first operation of the rules, with the fixed assessment and the Amount to be levied, should be noticed in the report in each year. Sand drifts to the extent

Para 27.

of

Fort St. George 12th June 1851

of 64½ Chains were planted out during the year reported on, comprising 56 Trees of different kinds and 1,74,514 Palmyrahs: also 2 13/16 chains of land by the Banks of Rivers with 46,036 Palmyrahs, a considerable portion of the Palmyrah seed seems however to have failed.

Para 30. 11. The 14 Villages transferred from Amany to Rent exhibit under the favorable circumstances of the season the large increase of Rs. 13672. the total amount of Settlement in the year under report being 42,168. These Villages formerly formed the Zemindary of Chokumpetty. In substitution of the Amany mode of Settlement the Collector was directed to introduce a system of Joint Village Money Rents upon the plan of fixing an aggregate for the whole Village and leaving the apportionment of that amount to the Ryots themselves under the control of the Officers of Government. In furtherance of these intentions the Collector was allowed to grant rents upon the average of the last 5 or 10 years under the hope that the Ryots becoming acquainted with

Proceedings on the Settlement for Fusly 1256. 16th October 1848. Para 14.

From Collector 17th November in Con. 10th Dec. 1849. Board's Proceedings 18th December 1849.



Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

with the advantages of the arrangement would be prepared with better offers in the next Fusly. The experiment, so far as the result of the year under review affords any evidence, has been successful; but Mr. Bird thinks it not improbable that the greater number of the Villages in question may again fall under Amany owing to disputes among the Ryots themselves respecting the rents. The Board would feel much disappointment at such a result. With a little care on the part

Para 9. of the local Officers in adjusting differences, it does not appear why the plan should not be carried out as successfully in Tinnevelly as in other Districts where it has been tried. As Joint rents are a temporary expedient only, the Collector will be pleased to consider whether it would not be better to introduce at once a Ryotwar settlement, the land and assessment of each individual being separately registered. This arrangement would at once secure to each his rights and counteract the influence of the more powerful Inmates of the Villages.

12. The ordinary remissions amounting

amounting to Rs. 139,551 do not call for remark. They are much below the preceding year arising intirely from the sums remitted for the loss of produce and short Crops being less, the amount in this account not exceeding Rs. 6,751 against Rs. 80,684 in Fusly 1258 / 1848-49

Para 32.

Para 45 & 47.

| | Cottahs |
|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 ---- | 81 : 1 : 1/8 |
| 1259 | |
| Open Villages | 58 : : 4/5 |
| Villages remitted the year. | : 13 : 2 3/8 |
| | 58 : 13 : 7 |
| | 22 : 8 : 2 7/8 |

13. In the extent occupied for Sugar Cane there is a decrease of Cottahs 22-8-2 7/8 and not Cottahs 8-3-5 1/2 as stated in the Report. The error has arisen from the inclusion, by the Collector, of the Enam and Zemindary lands for Fusly 1259 / 1849-50 while in the amount entered for Fusly 1258 / 1848-49 they are excluded. In future the comparison should embrace both the Government and alienated lands.

14. A decrease of 786 Puttahs appears in the rent Roll of the District, which is attributed by the Collector to the renting out in this year of the 14 Choltrums pothy Villages. The Board are not prepared to accept this cause as sufficient in explanation of the decrease as they observe inaccuracies in the returns laid before them which should be accounted for. The number of Joint Puttahs is stated



to be 165 in the Rent Roll while in the Returns of the Population it is as high as 4,334. There is also a considerable disparity between the number of Ryots and Puttahs and again between the actual Male Population and the resident Ryots; also Puttahs held jointly exceed in Number the parties who are entered as holding them. The several discrepancies are noticed in the Margin and the Board would call the particular attention of the Collector to the importance of accuracy in these Statistical Returns.-

15. The particulars of the settlement as noticed in the foregoing Paras are brought together under one view in the following tabular Statement.-

Fusly

Population Return.

| | Number | Puttahs. |
|---|---|---|
| Resident Cultivators | 160,859. | 53,904 |
| Payacary Do | 33,870. | 26,790. |
| Joint Do | 7,995. | 4,334 |
| | 202,724 | 85,028 |

Nellimbalum Taloor.

| | | Puttahs |
|---|---|---|
| Resident Ryots | 53,629. | 2,704 |
| Male Population as per Column 3 | 33,773. | |
| Excess | 19,856. | |

| Columns 115 and 118. | Payacarries. | Puttahs. |
|---|---|---|
| Streevycoontum | 2,099. | 2,337. |
| Punjahmahl. | 2,712. | 2,843. |

Sonchee or Joint Column 116 and 120.

| | |
|---|---|
| Sherma dasey Ryots | 542 |
| Puttahs | 1,868 |

Brema dasem.

| | |
|---|---|
| Ryots | 404. |
| Puttahs | 407 |

1855.

# Fort St. George

12th June 1857.

| | Fusly 1258. | | | | | | Fusly 1259. | | | | | | Increase. | | | | | | Decrease. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent of Land. | | | Berij. | | | Extent of Land. | | | Berij. | | | Extent of Land. | | | Berij. | | | Extent of Land. | | | Berij. | | |
| | S.C. | M | M. | Rs | a | p. | S.C. | M | M. | Rupees | a | p. | S.C. | M | M. | Rupees | a | p. | S.C. | M | M. | Rupees | a | p. |
| Calungo | 91,477 | 3 | 6¾ | 12,11,107 | 4 | " | 1,00,983 | 19 | 5 | 11,33,210 | 2 | 5 | 9206 | 15 | 6¼ | " | " | " | " | " | " | 57897 | 1 | 7 |
| Aumany | 327 | 10 | 4⅝ | 4,360 | 5 | 8 | 540 | 7 | 5⅛ | 5045 | 14 | 7 | 212 | 18 | ½ | 685 | 8 | 11 | " | " | " | 7099 | 1 | 8 |
| Pottom or favorable assessment in Grain | 6,261 | 4 | 5¾ | 45,818 | 14 | 3 | 6,410 | 19 | ½ | 38,719 | 12 | 7 | 149 | 14 | 2¾ | " | " | " | 463 | " | 4⅜ | 1764 | 8 | 6 |
| Pomphoor or favorable assessment in Money | 2,547 | 3 | ⅜ | 11,131 | 15 | 1 | 2,084 | 2 | 4 | 9,368 | 6 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | 130 | 9 | 1 |
| Land Cultivated with Superior Produce or Nunjah Mail Poonjah and Vampire | 10,340 | " | 10¾ | 66,188 | 2 | 3 | 10,653 | 5 | 5⅞ | 66,157 | 9 | 2 | 213 | 4 | 2⅞ | " | " | " | " | " | " | 217 | 15 | 5 |
| Survey Villages | 715 | 6 | 6⅛ | 6,192 | 10 | 11 | 749 | " | 4¼ | 39,71 | 11 | 6 | 33 | 14 | 6⅛ | " | " | " | " | " | " | 66,423 | 11 | 4 |
| Poonjah including the 4 Survey Villages | 111,965 | 9 | 2⅜ | 13,44,800 | 4 | 2 | 12,421 | 13 | ½ | 12,78,376 | 8 | 10 | 9453 | 3 | 6⅛ | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Total | 139,099 | 5 | 4½ | 3,86,413 | 6 | 6 | 146,043 | 11 | 3¾ | 4,03,409 | 14 | 3 | 6,944 | 5 | 7¼ | 16,996 | 7 | 9 | " | " | " | 49,427 | 3 | 7 |
| Tax on Bundles of straw in the Calungo Villages or Nelaway | " | " | " | 17,31,213 | 11 | 8 | " | " | " | 16,31,786 | 7 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Tope | " | " | " | 19,975 | 10 | 1 | " | " | " | 21,100 | 13 | 10 | " | " | " | 1125 | 3 | 9 | " | " | " | 979 | 15 | 1 |
| Kadhund or Palmyrah Topes | " | " | " | 26,055 | 6 | 3 | " | " | " | 25,075 | 7 | 2 | " | " | " | 1236 | 5 | 10 | " | " | " | | | |
| Thorn bushes or Woodinyard | " | " | " | 1,08747 | 7 | 10 | " | " | " | 119,984 | 7 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 695 | 14 | 10 |
| Chillara Cutgoottah | " | " | " | 2721 | 7 | 6 | " | " | " | 2,025 | 8 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Settlement of 14 Aumany Villages rented for one Year | " | " | " | 1637 | 13 | 9 | " | " | " | 1,637 | 13 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Total | " | " | " | 26,496 | 5 | 11 | " | " | " | 42,168 | 5 | 10 | " | " | " | 13,671 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | | | |
| Remission granted for Drought and short produce | " | " | " | 19,16,847 | 14 | " | " | " | " | 18,83779 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 33,068 | 14 | " |
| Remission granted on Zabitah for bringing waste into Cultivation | 4189 | 12 | 5¾ | 80,683 | 10 | 7 | 779 | 9 | 7 | 6750 | 10 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 6410 | 2 | 6¾ | 73933 | " | 5 |
| Pagoda Vca. Enams | " | " | " | 7750 | 6 | 2 | " | " | " | 8,504 | 13 | 2 | " | " | " | 754 | 7 | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Total | " | " | " | 122,691 | 11 | 1 | " | " | " | 124275 | 7 | 9 | " | " | " | 1383 | 13 | 8 | " | " | " | | | |
| Add Remission | " | " | " | 214235 | 10 | 10 | " | " | " | 139,530 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " | 38525 | 13 | 9 | " | " | " | 71,594 | 11 | 9 |
| Hill rent | " | " | " | 17,05,722 | 3 | 2 | " | " | " | 1,74,248 | " | 11 | " | " | " | 977 | 11 | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Shrotriem | " | " | " | 2821 | " | " | " | " | " | 3798 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Dassawil or Surva Enam Villages | " | " | " | 34962 | 15 | " | " | " | " | 34,963 | 15 | " | " | " | " | 27 | 2 | 7 | " | " | " | | | |
| Coopchunds Cowle to Mr Hughes | " | " | " | 860 | 3 | 1 | " | " | " | 887 | 5 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |
| Quit Rent | | | | 3,500 | " | " | | | | 3,500 | " | " | | | | " | " | " | | | | | | |
| Permanent Pishcush | | | | 381 | 4 | 8 | | | | 381 | 4 | 8 | | | | " | " | " | | | | | | |
| Extra Revenue | | | | 5,18738 | " | " | | | | 3,18738 | " | " | | | | " | " | " | | | | 13,662 | " | 11 |
| Total | | | | 18,73941 | 11 | 8 | | | | 5067 | 14 | " | | | | 2,5868 | 10 | 5 | | | | | | |
| Tripanyand Chettrum Deposits | | | | 22,85,710 | 11 | 8 | | | | 21,11,585 | 3 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1988 | 11 | 9 |
| Grand Total | | | | 24,393 | 7 | 5 | | | | 22,404 | 12 | 1 | " | " | " | 23,879 | 4 | 8 | " | " | " | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 24,33,989 | 15 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | |

1850

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

16. The Revenue has been realized with punctuality. The Collections to 31st March 1851 amount to Rupees 21,25,103-6-8 being

Paras 41. 42.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Collection within the Fasly | } | 20,84,584-1-11 |
| Do subsequently | | 4,539-4-9 |

which leaves an outstanding Balance of Rupees 5886-8-8

Composed of Remindary under the Collector's Managment 3,934-9-3
Ryotwar 1944-12-4
Extra Revenue 7-3-1

5886-8-8

The Ryotwar Balances are chiefly composed of doubtful items, as Balances due on lands, claims to which have been preferred by Landholders and proprietors in Travancore and Madura.

17. The Board await the report on the old Balances promised in Para 57 of the letter under consideration.

18. The Revenue from all sources amounts to Rupees 26,68,034-4 being Rupees 11,999--5 above the preceding year. The particulars are shewn in the following Abstract Statement.

Land

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

16. The Revenue has been realized with punctuality. The Collections to 31st March 1851 amount to Rupees 21,25,103-6-8 being

| | Rs a p |
|---|---|
| Collection within the Fasly | 20,85,584-1-11 |
| Do Subsequently | 41,539-4-9 |
| which leaves an outstanding Balance of Rupees | 3886-8-8 |
| Composed of Zemindary under the Collector's Management | 3934-9-3 |
| Ryotwar | 1944-12-4 |
| Extra Revenue | 7-3-1 |
| | 5886-8-8 |

The Ryotwar Balances are chiefly composed of doubtful sums, as Balances due on lands, claimed to which have been preferred by landholders and proprietors in Travancore and Madura.

17. The Board await the report on the old Balances promised in Para 5 of the letter under consideration.

18. The Revenue from all sources amounts to Rupees 26,68,034-4 being Rupees 11,999-5 above the preceding year. The particulars are shewn in the following Abstract Statement.

Lands

1857

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

| | Demand of Fasly 1258. | Collection within the Fasly | Collection subsequently to 31st March 1851. | Collection Total. | Balance. | Demand of Fasly 1259. | Collection within the Fasly | Collection subsequently to 31st March 1851. | Collection Total. | B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | R |
| Land Revenue | 21,10,110 - 8 | 20,71,918 " 7 | 35,704 1 5 | 21,06,922 2 " | 3,187 6 8 | 21,33,987 15 4 | 20,85,584 1 11 | 41,539 4 9 | 21,25,103 6 8 | 5,8 |
| Salt | 30,2920 8 - | 30,2920 8 " | " " " | 30,2920 8 - | | 31,3989 9 9 | 31,3989 9 9 | " " " | 31,3989 9 9 | " |
| Sayer | 57,386 15 11 | 57,386 15 11 | " " " | 57,386 15 11 | | 45,346 9 1 | 45,346 9 1 | " " " | 45,346 9 1 | " |
| Abkarry &c. | 28,612 8 " | 28,222 8 - | 390 - - | 28,612 8 " | | 24,932 - - | 24,932 - - | " " " | 24,932 - - | " |
| Sundry &c. | 37,739 9 4 | 18,153 3 5 | 19,400 3 0 | 37,553 9 4 | | 17,267 14 9 | 16,312 4 2 | 884 11 10 | 17,197 - - | " |
| Moturpha | 94,953 3 5 | 94,776 15 9 | 153 5 3 | 94,930 5 " | 14 5 | 95,209 2 2 | 94,575 10 7 | 318 11 5 | 94,894 6 - | " |
| Sea Customs | 12,929 - 3 | 12,929 - 3 | " " " | 12,929 - 3 | | 14,590 - 11 | 14,541 - 11 | " " " | 14,590 - 11 | " |
| Stamps | 17,383 6 - | 17,383 6 - | " " " | 17,383 6 - | | 22,715 4 - | 22,715 4 - | " " " | 22,715 4 - | " |
| Total | 26,56,035 3 7 | 23,77,770 9 11 | 55,947 12 7 | 26,33,718 6 6 | 3,201 12 8 | 26,69,234 4 - | 26,13,225 8 5 | 42,742 12 - | 26,62,188 4 5 | 57,65 |
| | | | | | | Fasly 1 | 26,56,035 3 7 | | | Orde |
| | | | | | | Increase on Fasly | 11,999 - 5 | | | |

1858 Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

Ordered that a copy of the Foregoing Extract be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same, be furnished to the Collector of Tinnevelly.-

D.G.

Read

1859 Fort St. George, 12th June 1851.

Read Endorsement dated 21st May 1851 of the Collector of Tinnevelly on Petition No. 824 of 1848.

/Pereenter/

P.C. No. 1100.

Proceedings thereon - Para 1 - The title under which this Enam is held is apparently prescriptive, founded on long continued possession, it having been originally granted for the personal benefit of the holder, but this is by no means clearly shewn.

2. Mr. Huddleston's alienation of it for service in a Mosque cannot be recognized now, unless good cause be shewn that it was originally granted for such service. If this can be shewn then Petitioner's claim as nephew to the previous holder of the share in question must have preference of the claim of the adopted daughter and he should therefore at once be put in possession of performing the prescribed service.

3. If however there is no evidence that the Original Grant was a Mosque Enam or for services the share of Petitioner's Uncle might be considered an escheat to the State, he having died without issue. The adoption of

Observation on Petition No. 824 of 1848 respecting an Enam Granted for service in a Mosque in the District of Tinnevelly and passing Endorsement on the said Petition referring Petitioner to the Collector for orders.-

35

P.C. 6.8.27. July 1848. Page 457.

Note 1. Page 468.

Vide 15 C.C. Page 411.

of the daughter not being recognized by the Mahomedan Law and Petitioner having himself acquired his Father's share in regular succession and having neglected to lay claim to his Uncle's share until 10 years after the death of the Widow of the latter and very many after the death of that person.

4. The possession of the disputed share by the adopted daughter will in this case be no title and may, if she be allowed to retain it, be made hereafter a plea for its continuance to her heirs on the ground of long undisturbed possession, a measure which should be guarded against.

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on Petition No. 824 of 1848.

"The Petitioner is referred to the Collector to whom orders have been issued on the subject."

---

Read the following letter.

No. 56.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(36)

B. No. 1232.
From the Collector of Madras.
Submitting Estimates

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to Para 8 of my letter under date the 8th March last and to



Estimates of the expense of keeping up a Boat Establishment at the expense of Government for the removal of Salt from the places of manufacture to those of Store as suggested by the Assistant Collector Mr Taylor in his letter of 5th March last.

Enclosures Nos 1, 2 and 3.

to Para 4 of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 13th idem, I have the honor to submit herewith three Estimates marked Nos 1, 2 and 3 shewing the probable result of employing Boats, the property of Government, to be manned by Coolies in the public service, for the conveyance of Salt from the places of manufacture to those of store.

2. The number of Boats required to be built for the purpose is 25, and the estimated cost thereof is, as shewn in Enclosure No. 1, Rupees 6,850. The pay of a full complement of Boatmen and certain incidental charges are estimated at Rupees 3,020 per Annum, or Rupees 24,160 for 8 years, the period during which the Boats may be expected to continue in a serviceable state.

No. 1

No. 2

3. The Comparative Statement No. 3 shews that the estimated expence for the removal of the whole quantity of Salt ordered to be manufactured in the present season which, I presume, will be the stock required hereafter every year is Rupees 6,902-2-3, which for 8 years, will amount to Rupees 55217-2-0.

No. 3.

| | |
|---|---|
| 55217—2—0 | |
| 6850—0—0 | |
| 24160—0—0 | |
| 30810—0—0 | |
| 24407—2—0 | |

This exceeds the aggregate of the sums entered in Estimates Nos 1 and 2 and referred to above by Rupees 24407-2—0—

It

1862. Fort St. George, 12th June 1857.

4. It is thus evident that the proposed arrangement will be advantageous to Government, and I would, therefore beg to recommend its adaption. If it is, however, to be carried into practical effect, I am of opinion that it will be requisite to employ an Overseer on Rupees 14 per mensem, for he will have to take the place of the present Boat-contractor and hold himself responsible that the Boatmen under him are all regular in their attendance and active in the performance of their duty. The additional expense to be incurred on his account will be Rupees 168 per annum or Rupees 1,344 for 8 years, which will reduce the saving referred to in Enclosure No. 3 from Rupees 24,407-2-0 to Rupees 23,063-2-0.

| | |
|---|---|
| 24,407-2-0 | |
| 1,344-0-0 | |
| 23,063-2-0 | |

Madras, 30th May 1857.

/Signed/ J. A. Ruddleston
Collector

Estimate

1863. Fort St. George, 12th June 1857.

No. 1.

Estimate of the expense required for building 25 Boats 42 feet in length by 11¼ feet in breadth for conveying Salt from the different Pans under the Collector of Madras.

| | Rupees | a. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| To 12 Stimbeams at 10½ Rupees each | 126 | " | " |
| " 6 White Teak Planks | 8 | " | " |
| " 7 Ribs or Boat-knees | 21 | " | " |
| " Iron Nails | 21 | " | " |
| " Sawyers and Cart-hire | 8 | " | " |
| " Dammer, Gingely Oil, Cotton &c | 8 | 8 | " |
| " Cooly hire for turning the Boat and launching | 4 | 4 | " |
| " Hire of a Boat Builder | 45 | 8 | " |
| " Do. of an Apprintant Do. | 5 | " | " |
| " Sundries | 1 | 4 | " |
| " Masts. Sails. Ropes. Oars. &c | 17 | 8 | " |
| Total for one Boat | 266 | " | " |
| For 25 Boats at the above rate | 6650 | " | " |

Madras, 30th May 1857.

/Signed/ J. A. Ruddleston
Collector

Complement

## No. 2.

### Complement of Crews —

### Annual Charges —

| | Rupees | a/c |
|---|---|---|
| To wages of 2 Crews required to navigate each Boat at 7 Rupees each per Month | 14 | " " |
| For 25 Boats at the above rate per Mensem | 350 | " " |
| " 7 Months in every year or from March to September | 2450 | " " |
| " 5 Months from October to February during which period no salt is removed, there being no salt remaining at the Pans and 10 Crews will therefore be sufficient to take care of the Boats, their wages at 70 Rupees per Month | 350 | " " |
| " Repairing Sails and Boats and Caulking the same or other incidental charges per annum | 200 | " " |
| " Bamboo Mats to cover the Boats to prevent any damage by the sun during the said 5 Months, when the Boats will be kept in the Canal unemployed | 20 | " " |
| Total Annual Charges | 3020 | " " |
| For 8 years at the above rate | 24160 | " " |

Madras,
30th May, 1857.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector
Comparative



## No. 3.

### Comparative Estimate —

In the present year the charges on the quantity of Salt ordered to be manufactured for Home and Inland Sales and for Exportation to Calcutta will be as follows —

For Home and Inland Sales —

| | | | Rupees | a/c |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Boat hire from Attipett and Ennore to the Madras Golauns | G: 2,632-200 | at Rs. 1-5-6 | 3,536 | 12 " |
| Do. from Cuttowauk to Do. | 807-200 | " " 1-5-6 | 840 | 6 3 |
| Do. from Velloor to Do. | 800 | " " 1-5-6 | 1075 | " " |
| Do. from Voyalore to Do. | 200 | " " 2-1-6 | 418 | 12 " |
| | | | 5870 | 4 3 |

For Exportation —

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Do. from Attipett and Ennore to the Beach Depôt at Ennore | G: 1,000 | at Rs. 8 | 500 | " " |
| Do. from Voyalore to Do. | 500 | " " 1-1 | 531 | 4 " |
| Total Boat hire payable in a year | | | 6902 | 2 3 |
| For 8 years | | | 55217 | 2 " |

Deduct —

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Cost of 25 Boats as per Estimate No. 1 | 5650 | " " |
| Wages for the Crews and other charges as per Do. No. 2 | 24160 | " " |
| | 30810 | " " |
| Saving | 24407 | 2 " |

N.B. If any serious damage should occur to the Boats by a severe Gale, inundation or any other unforeseen cause, they should be either repaired or replaced by others, which the above calculations do not provide for —

Madras,
30th May, 1857.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector —
Ordered —

1856 Fort St. George, 12th June 1857. 1857

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

To, The Collector of Madras.

B. No. 1132.
To the Collector of Madras- (36-37)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to furnish a Copy of Mr. Taylor's letter to you of the 4th March 1857 referred to in para 6 of Enclosure No. 1 of your letter to this Office dated 8th March 1857.

Inform. 13th March 1857.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, - - -
12th June, 1857. - - - - -

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter-

No. 89-

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(38)
B. No. 1294-

Gentlemen.

From the Accountant General Fort St. George - -

In compliance with the request contained in your Secretary's letter dated the 2d Instant, I have the honor to annex a Memorandum explaining the causes of the increase and decrease in the items therein mentioned and a comparison between Fasli 1258 and 1259-

Fort St. George, - - - - -
Accountant General's Office,
7th June, 1857. - - - - -

/Signed/ T. S. Monhouse
Accountant General

Memorandum

...on the year
...e Commission
Board of ...tablishment
Revenue ...e and other

...Fasly 1258 of
Madras ...disburse-
...able within-

...nment viz the
Masulipatam ...of arrears as
...ted 18th April
...e present as

...Eyalore Mootah
D. ...to the Civil
...to Sait Binjee
...otah as per

...the discontinu-
Coimbatore ...tore and as
...s of the order
Tinnevelly ...dated 1st
Madras ...tate of Trade-

...Ordered-

1857 Fort St. George, 12th June 1857.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To, The Collector of Madras.

No. 1132.

Sir,

The Collector, Madras. (36-37)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to furnish a copy of Mr. Taylor's letter to you of the 4th March 1857 referred to in para 5 of Enclosure No. 1 of your letter to this Office dated 8th March 1857.

Ans. 13th March 1857.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th June, 1857. — — — — } (Signed) W. H. Bayley Secretary

Read the following letter.

No. 89.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

(38) No. 1294. From the Accountant General Fort St. George. — In compliance with the request contained in your Secretary's letter dated the 2d Instant, I have the honor to annex a Memorandum explaining the causes of the increase and decrease in the items therein mentioned and a comparison between Fusslies 1258 and 1259.

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office, 7th June, 1857. — — — } (Signed) J. V. Stonhouse Accountant General

Memorandum

1857 Fort St. George, 12th June 1857.

Memorandum.

| | | Fusly 1258. | | | Fusly 1259. | | | Increase. | | | Decrease. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Board of Revenue | Extra charges connected with Revenue but not chargeable to any particular sources of Revenue | 1603 | 10 | 17750 | 1010 | 15 | 2 12 | | | | | | |
| Madras | Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues | 1719 | 5 | 7 | 10105 | 8 | | | | | 1613 | 13 | 7 |
| Masulipatam | Charges on Company's Plantations Purchase of Lands and Batta or loss by Exchange | 14700 | | | | | | | | | 14700 | | |
| D. | Refunds of Revenue | 10 | | | 12593 | | 5 | 12583 | 5 | | | | |
| Combatore | Expences on account of improvements in the Culture of Cotton | 2088 | 12 | 1 | 10952 | 12 | 8 | | | | 9933 | 15 | 5 |
| Tinnivelly | D. D. | 1362 | 8 | 7 | 6056 | | 9 | | | | 7584 | 7 | 10 |
| Madras | Total Sea Customs Collections | 501976 | 15 | 11 | 499181 | 1 | 1 | | | | 2795 | 14 | 10 |

This increase is attributable to the disburs
1849/50 or Fusly 1259 of Deputation allowance
-es in the Northern Circars and of pay to the C
from July 1849 to April 1850 including travelling
contingent charges.

This decrease is owing partly to the paym
the arrears of the previous Fusly and partly to t
-ment within Fusly 1259 of the whole of the sum
this Fusly.

This decrease is owing to the purchase by
post Fusly 1258 of the Nundegamah Estate sold on
of Land Revenue as per sanction of Governm
1849; whereas no such payment was made
Fusly 1259.

This increase in the surplus sale proceeds
belonging to the late Vencatapaty Row, Zemindar,
Judge at Masulipatam for the purpose of being
Meherndas on account of his claim in the sa
Sanction of Government dated 5th February 1850

The decrease in each instance is attributab
-ances of the Experimental Cultivation of Cotton in
Tinnivelly for certain periods in Fusly 1259, in pur
sanctioned in Extract of Minutes of Consulta
June 1849 No. 5411.

The Decrease is chiefly owing to the defray

(Signed) J. V. Stonhouse
Accountant G

Order thereon.

(38-39)

Ordered to be recorded, the necessary additions having been made in the report of Government accompanying the Accountant General's Statement for Fusly 1259.

---

Read the following letter.

No. 3145.

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

B/ No. 1279.
From the Jt. Secretary to the Military Board.

(40.)

Sir,

In reference to your letter of the 16th December 1850 I have the honor by desire of the Military Board to inform you, that twenty one Steel Stamps for the purpose alluded to in Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 627 of 27th June 1850 are now ready in the arsenal and the Principal Commissary of Ordnance has been requested to hold them at your disposal.

Military Board Office,
Fort St. George,
28th May, 1851.

/ Signed / J. H. Hope
Acting Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.



To, The Principal Commissary of Ordnance.

B/ No. 1131.
To the Principal Commissary of Ordnance.
Jnfons. 12th June 1851.

(40-41)

Sir,

The Military Board having in a letter dated 28th May 1851 stated, that the Principal Commissary of Ordnance has been instructed to hold at the disposal of the Board of Revenue Twenty one Steel Stamps, I am directed to request that you will be good enough to forward them to this Office with instructions for their use, as required in Extract Minutes of Consultation &c. dated 27th June 1850.

Jnfons. 4th July 1850.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th June, 1851.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

---

Resolved that the following letter be despatched together with the papers therein alluded to.

No. 247.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

(42)

BP. No. 1137.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.
Relative to the cultivation of Cotton in certain Districts under this Presidency for Fusly 1259.

Sir,

Para. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government the accompanying papers on the subject of the cultivation of Cotton in certain districts in the Madras Presidency during Fusly 1259.

2. A general view of the extent of this species of Cultivation will be obtained from the following Statement.

| Districts | | |
|---|---|---|
| From Guntoor | 19th December 1850 | |
| Jnfons | 2d January | 1851. |
| From Bellary | 27th November | |
| in Cons | 12th December | 1850 |
| From Cuddapah | 21st April | |
| in Cons | 9th June | 1851. |
| From Kurnool | 21st April | |
| Jnfons | 9th June | |
| From Madura | 13th December | 1850 |
| in Cons | 2d January | 1851. |
| From Tinnevelly | 22d November | 1850 |
| Jnfons | 5th December | |
| From Coimbatore | 29th November | 1850. |
| in Cons | 9th December | |

1871. Fort St. George 12th June 1871.

Cotton Land Assessment Exam.

Extent Cultivated — Assessment Collected — Assessments Levied — Total —

| Districts. | Extent Cultivated | Assessment Collected | Assessments Levied | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Guntoor | 12,754 | 14,952 | 2,3995 | 2,5792 |
| Bellary | 13,508 | 17,109 | 2,1955 | 2,2732 |
| Cuddapah | 8,230 | 11,985 | 8235 | 11,985 |
| Trichinopoly | 3,854 | 5,209 | 8717 | 5,926 |
| Tanjore | 4,023 | 4,358 | 941 | 5,299 |
| Madura | 4,257 | 5,050 | 9,058 | 9,930 |
| Tinnevelly | 8,229 | 8,930 | 468 | 9,398 |
| Coimbatore | 8,908 | 10,382 | 3,331 | 13,713 |
| | 10,754 | 12,238 | 5589 | 17,827 |
| | 55,8148 | 70,824 | 34,178 | 104,002 |

1871 Fort St. George 12th June 1871.

3 It will thus been seen that the whole extent of land occupied with Cotton Cultivation including Enam was acres 722911-10-9¾ yielding an assessment of Rupees 737,602-12-4- This result is considerably below the outturn of the preceding season the heavy rains of august, September and October, having it is represented, proved prejudicial in the majority of the Cotton growing districts to an enlarged occupation of land for the purposes of this species of Cultivation On the other hand there was a slight improvement in the Cotton Cultivation of the Southernmost Districts, Tinnevelly and Coimbatore tho' the Revenue from this source, owing to the lands taken up being assessed to lower rates yielded a smaller amount than in the previous Fasly

4 A comparative view of the two years Cultivation will be seen from the appended abstract Statement.-

Districts

1873

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

5. The trial of American seed is still made to a very limited extent, and the ryots are represented as totally disinclined to change their present practice.

6. Mr. Murray's report discusses the subject of Cotton Cultivation only with reference to the new Orleans species, and the conclusion he arrives at is that while experiments in his District have shewn that the American seed yields a larger return than the Country produce the natives are opposed to its introduction generally as the climate of Cuddapah appears to be unfavorable to its growth, and it is liable to be easily injured. He suggests however that the ryots should be supplied with seed from Coimbatore, and should be placed under the instruction of a person who has gained his experience under Dr. Wight. In Madura Mr. De Sinclair appears to have to a small extent prosecuted the Cultivation successfully, while in Tinnevelly a Mr. Lees is represented as having made some successful experiments along the Coast with the American Seed; but as observed by them Proceedings of the 25th July Para 9 the successful Cultivation of the new

1872

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

Tinnevelly 1253.

| Districts. | Sea Island. | Dharwar. |
|---|---|---|
| | Mexican. | Mexican. |
| | Egyptian. | Egyptian. |
| | = mixed. | = mixed. |

| Districts. | Acres Cultd. | Rent per Acre | Amount of Rent | Assessment per Acre | Amount of Assessment | Total Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Guntoor | 15,478 | Rupees 2 | Rupees 30,956 | Rupees 2 | Rupees 30,956 | Rupees 61,912 |
| Bellary | 27,425 | " 2 | " 54,850 | " 2 | " 54,850 | " 109,700 |
| Cuddapah | 5,512 | " 2 | " 11,024 | " 2 | " 11,024 | " 22,048 |
| Carnool | 50,306 | " Exempt | " Exempt | " Exempt | " Exempt | " Exempt |
| Madura | 5,232 | " 2 | " 10,464 | " 2 | " 10,464 | " 20,928 |
| Tinnevelly | 9,457 | " 2 | " 18,914 | " 2 | " 18,914 | " 37,828 |
| Coimbatore | 111,581 | " 2 | " 223,162 | " 2 | " 223,162 | " 446,324 |
| Total | 225,691 | " | " 349,370 | " | " 349,370 | " 698,740 |

7874

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

new Orlean species of Cotton in the Districts under this Presidency must depend on a regular demand and assistance from Capitalists in the shape of advances.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th June, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

---

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

To,

The Collector of Cuddapah.

B.R. No. 1128

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

(44-43)

Sir,

In forwarding to you for report the two petitions noted in the Margin, the Board wish to be informed with reference to No. 1131, whether you have satisfied yourself that the Petitioner received frequent requisitions to nominate a Gomastah and omitted to do so, and with reference to No. 1132 whether any investigation of the charge of the Razeenamah being forcibly taken has been made, and whether the demand for which the lands was sold or about to be sold, was a fair one.-

* Nos. 1131 and 1132 of 1851.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th June, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

7875

Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

To

The Acting Civil Auditor.

B.R. No. 1125

To the Civil Auditor.

(44)

Sir,

With reference to the Notification from your Office dated 5th May 1851 published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 13th Idem, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a detailed list of this Establishment as it stood on the 30th April and a Memorandum of Contingent Charges from 1st May 1850 to 30th April 1851.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th June, 1851.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Lat

7876

Fort St. George 12th June 1851

Statement shewing the detailed List of Establishment of the Board of Revenue as it stood on the 30th April 1851

| Date of Entertainment on the Board's Establishment | Names. | Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 1st September 1848 made up to 30th April 1851. | Employ. | Monthly Pay. | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Years M | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. |
| 16th September 1845 | Mr. John Mastell | | Manager | 210 " " | " " " |
| 1st July 1848 | Mr. J. D. Jordan | | Uncovenanted Assistant | 200 " " | " " " |
| 23d February 1847 | Mr. R. P. Campbell | | Do. Do. | 200 " " | " " " |
| 23d September 1850 | Mr. J. Taylor | 29 2 | Deputy Manager | 125 " " | " " " |
| 27th August 1841 | Mr. F. Recombre | | Indexer | 120 " " | " " " |
| January 1831 | B. Vencatanurzoo Row | | Assistant Manager | 87 8 " | " " " |
| 15th February 1836 | Mr. D. Geils | | Examiner | 70 " " | " " " |
| 1st April 1830 | Mr. J. G. Boyle | | Do. | 70 " " | " " " |
| February 1837 | P. Streenevassah Pillay | | I Class Clerk | 55 " " | " " " |
| August 1822 | C. Parthasaradj Naidoo | | Do. | 55 " " | " " " |
| September 1818 | C. Shunmogaroy Moodelly | | Do. | 50 " " | " " " |
| 21st August 1830 | Mr. John McCarthy | 28 " | Do. | 50 " " | " " " |
| 1st July 1837 | P. V. Kistna Row | | Do. | 50 " " | " " " |
| October 1831 | Mr. M. Caldiera | | II Class Clerk | 45 " " | " " " |
| 16th November 1837 | C. Soobaroy Chitty | | Do. | 45 " " | " " " |
| 15th February 1836 | Mr. J. A. Ridche | | Do. | 45 " " | " " " |
| 1st October 1834 | P. S. Soobramanyah Moodelly | | Do. | 45 " " | " " " |
| September 1824 | Mr. H. C. Boyle | | Do. | 40 " " | " " " |
| 1st May 1829 | T. Ramasawmy Pillay | | Do. | 40 " " | " " " |
| 26th September 1848 | A. Sashiah Brahminy | 22 3 | Do. | 40 " " | " " " |
| 1st July 1850 | Mr. T. D. Jager | 27 1 | Do. | 40 " " | " " " |
| 1st September 1848 | Mr. C. Burton | | Do. | 40 " " | " " " |
| August 1819 | V. Veerasawmy Brahminy | | III Class Clerk | 35 " " | " " " |
| 27th September 1837 | P. Patchapah Moodelly | | Do. | 35 " " | " " " |
| From 1812 to 1814 and from 1821 | S. Tiroovengata Moodelly | | Do. | 35 " " | " " " |
| October 1831 | Mr. J. Bonjour | | Do. | 35 " " | " " " |
| 15th October 1835 | V. Parthasarady Brahminy | | Do. | 35 " " | " " " |
| | | | Carried forward | 1,897 8 " | " " " |

7877

# Fort St. George 12th June 1837.

| Date of Entertainment on the Board's Establishment. | Names. | Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 1st September 1828 made up to 30th April 1837. | Employ. | Monthly Pay. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Years M. | Brought over | 1,897 8 | |
| July 1832 | T. Appasawmy Chitty | | III Class Clerk | 35 | |
| 11th October 1849 | Mr. C. Robinson | 24 5 | Do | 35 | |
| October 1833 | C. Vencatachellum Chitty | | Do | 30 | |
| 1st July 1837 | C. Ramachendriah Bramny | | Do | 30 | |
| 1st November 1823 | V. Talasunguum Naidoo | | Do | 30 | |
| 1st July 1835 | Mr. C. G. Geils | | Do | 30 | |
| 1st July 1837 | C. Govindoo Moodelly | | I Class Copyist | 25 | |
| 1st July 1837 | Mr. J. D'Costa | | Do | 25 | |
| January 1836 | S. Shunmoogum Moodelly | | Do | 25 | |
| 8th February 1838 | Mr. T. H. Willis | | Do | 25 | |
| 1st July 1837 | N. Parthasarady Naidoo | | Do | 20 | |
| 13th June 1836 | S. Veerasawmy Moodelly | | Do | 20 | |
| 13th February 1837 | B. Parthasarady Naidoo | | Do | 20 | |
| March 1836 | P. Kistnah Bramny | | Do | 20 | |
| 18th December 1846 | H. Veerasawmy Naidoo | | Do | 20 | |
| 24th June 1839 | Mr. R. Davis | | Do | 20 | |
| 2d July 1850 | Amoorthy Pillay | 45 | Do | 20 | |
| 1st July 1837 | T. Balakistnamah Moodelly | | Do | 20 | |
| 1st July 1837 | Mr. J. Abraham | | Do | 20 | |
| 1st July 1837 | Mr. Sooba Row | | Do | 20 | |
| 1st July 1837 | H. Venut Row | | II Class Copyist | 17 8 | |
| 1st July 1837 | P. Mooneappah Moodelly | | Do | 17 8 | |
| 11th January 1838 | R. Ellaparauz | | Do | 17 8 | |
| 1st July 1837 | C. Casavaloo Naidoo | | Do | 17 8 | |
| 21st February 1849 | V. Deshaga Charry | 24 | Do | 17 8 | |
| 1st July 1837 | Mr. Sabapathy Moodelly | | Do | 17 8 | |
| 5th August 1847 | C. T. Sedasheva Moodelly | | Do | 17 8 | |
| 1st September 1847 | T. Vencatachellum Moodelly | | Do | 17 8 | |
| 26th May 1841 | A. Cunnyah Pillay | | Do | 15 | |
| 28th March 1844 | P. V. Ragavaloo Naidoo | | Do | 15 | |
| 4th February 1842 | T. Chenniah Moodelly | | Do | 15 | |
| 13th June 1839 | Mr. Vencatarungum Chitty | | Do | 15 | |
| | | | Carried forward | 2,587 8 | |

1878

# Port St. George 12 June 1837.

Date of Entering Vessel

Name

Tons

Where & from.

Master

Owners

Port of Registry

Time of Sailing

Destination

Cargo

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st | March | 1831 | Ship Sarah | 419 | Tons | Wm. Hall | Liverpool | 10th March |
| 7th | March | 1837 | Schooner Charlotte | 91 | " | Wm. Hall | Liverpool | 10th March |
| 12th | June | 1818 | Schooner Charlotte | Cloe | | Wm. Hall | Liverpool | 10th March 1837 8 |
| January | 1818 | Schooner | Caledonia | Cloe | | Wm. Hall | Liverpool | 10th March |
| May | 1821 | Schooner | Hawk | Cloe | | Wm. Hall | Liverpool | 10th March |
| 17th | July | 1836 | Schooner | Adelaide | Cloe | | Jamaica | 17th " 31 8 |
| 20th | May | 1822 | Brig | Wellington | Brig | Packet | Cork | 10th " |
| | | | Schooner | Charlotte | Cloe | | | 1st " |
| | | | Schooner | Hawk | Cloe | | | 1st " |
| | | | Schooner | Caledonia

7879

Fort St. George 12th June 1831.

| Date of Entertainment on the Board's Establishment | Names | Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 11th September 1828 made up to 30th April 1831 | Employ. | Monthly Pay | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs a. p. | Rs a. p. |
| | | | Brought over | 98 " " | 2789 " " |
| | R: Rungiah | | Peon | 7 " " | |
| | A: Chinnatomboo | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Potanah | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Mahomed Hassain | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | M: Govindoo | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Hanays Prasand | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Shaick Ally | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Shaick Hoossain | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Rungiah | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Looba Row | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Narrainsawmy | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | P: Veerasawmy | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | D: Royajee | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Iyaloo | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | S: Chinnatomby | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Coopsing | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Paroomaul | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Punchemsing | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Dubay Ramaprasand | | Peon Acting for Ramaprosand on duty with the Commissioner | 7 " " | |
| | Moonesawmy | | Peon | 7 " " | |
| | Shaick Domer | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Mungaputty | | Record Cleaner | 7 " " | |
| | Ramsing | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Moonesawmy | | Do | 7 " " | |
| | Mootloo | | Lascar | 7 " " | |
| | Pitchee | | Doty | 3 4 " | |
| | Nuny | | Sweeper | 3 8 " | |
| | Nugum | | Do | 3 8 " | 283 4 " |
| | | | Carried forward | | 3074 4 " |

Fort St. George 12th June 1837.



Fort St. George 12th June 1837.

| Date of Entertainment on the Boards Establishment | Names | Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 1st April 1838 made up to 30th April 1837 | Employ | Monthly Pay | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Not Classified** | | | | |
| 13th November 1833 | Mr. J. H. Wilson | | Bungalow Coolie | | 3 4 |
| From 1835 to 1837 & from 5th Mr. 1838 | Mr. R. Newbigging | | Appeal Translator | 110 | |
| 28th March 1838 | Mr. M. G. Plumbe | | Examiner | 110 | |
| 7th May 1838 | Mr. G. Gomperlz | | Do | 120 | |
| September 1806 | Mr. P. Ritchie | | Do | 120 | |
| 1st August 1836 | S. Kistna Row | | Do | 105 | |
| February 1815 | C. Kistnasawmy Brammy | | Record Keeper | 87 8 | |
| 1st July 1837 | C. Soondriah Bramminy | | Accountant | 87 8 | |
| October 1833 | D. Nyneappah Moodelly | | Deputy Do | 32 8 | |
| 1st May 1845 | Mr. R. H. Lyon | | Translator | 52 | |
| March 1836 | Mr. W. Wicker | | Writer | 40 | |
| 24th December 1830 | Vyno Carbdeen | | Do | 27 8 | |
| 12th May 1841 | S. Ammapillay | | Do | 27 | |
| March 1837 | Mr. A. Ritchie | | Do | 22 8 | |
| December 1836 | Mr. Mc. Vancel | | Do | 22 8 | |
| 16th March 1836 | A. Ponnasawmy Moodelly | | Do | 22 8 | |
| 18th January 1837 | M. Annasawmy Moodelly | | Do | 22 | |
| 1st July 1837 | T. Annasawmy Pillay | | Do | 21 | |
| From 13th Sept. 1842 to 31st Jan. 1843 and from 1st September 1843 | C. Sooriahnaraniah Brammy | | Do | 19 | |
| 1st July 1837 | A. Veerasawmy Moodelly | | Do | 19 | |
| 1st July 1837 | M. Balakistniah Naidoo | | Do | 18 | |
| 1st July 1837 | P. Ramasawmy Moodelly | | Do | 18 | |
| 1st July 1837 | T. Sabapulty Moodelly | | Reader | 17 | |
| 1st July 1837 | B. Annuntagherry Row | | Assistant Record Keeper | 16 | |
| 4th October 1837 | D. Audenaraniah Brammy | | Writer | 16 | |
| 23d July 1841 | Arnoogum Pillay | | Do | 14 | |
| October 1840 | T. Veroomull Row | | Reader | 13 8 | |
| From 19th January 1842 to 31st January 1843 and from 1st July 1843 | C. Sabapathy Pillay | | Writer | 13 | |
| 1st July 1837 | Moonesawmy Pillay | | Do | 13 | |
| Do | Ramasawmy Moodelly | | | | 1795 8 |
| | T. Gopaul Kistnah | | Moochee | 8 | |
| | | | Do | 8 | |
| | | | Khursarah | 7 | 16 |
| | | | Carried forward | 7 | 4385 12 |

7881

Fort St. George 12th June 1831.

| Date of Entertainment on the Boards Establishment | Names | Age of Individuals entertained subsequent to 10th September 1828 made up to 30th April 1831 | Employ | Monthly Pay | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Brought over | | 4385 12 |
| | Parthasarady | | Peon | 7 | |
| | Santajee Row | | Do | 7 | |
| | Rajah Gopaul | | Do | 7 | |
| | Rungiah | | Do | 7 | |
| | | | | | 33 |
| | | | | | 4,420 12 |

Deduct Amount of Pay to be drawn at the Commissioners Office of the following Individuals—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| C. Gaonidoo | Writer | 25 | |
| Mr. J. D'Costa | Do | 25 | |
| | | | 50 |
| | Total Company's Rupees | | 4370 12 |

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

7882 Fort St. George 12th June 1831.

No. 2.

Contingent Charges from 1st May 1830 to 30th April 1830 324 10 11

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Statement

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st August 1824 | Hustina Row | 2d | Do | 42 |
| 23d January 1830 | A. Hustina Row | | Gomastah | 34 |
| 1st November 1827 | N. Ram Row | | Do | 53 |
| 30th June 1829 | Dossapah | | Do | 48 |
| 1st May 1830 | Suvvuttama Row | | Do | 42 |
| 1st September 1829 | Conary Row | 24 11 | Do | 35 |
| 26th September 1830 | Venkatachary | | Do | 33 |
| 22d June 1829 | Ram Row | | Do | 25 |
| 1st October 1825 | Coopoo Row | | Do | 17 |
| 1st October 1825 | Lutchmen Row | | Do | 18 |
| 14th " 1824 | Mudua Row | | | |

Read

7881 Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16th November 1848 | Feroomull Row | | Do. | 10 | | 465 |
| 10th July 1849 | A Ramachendra Row | 20 7 | Translator | | | |
| | Narayen Row | | Peon | 7 | | |
| | Rungapah | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Narasan | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Shaick Moodeen | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Hadregand | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Kistnamah | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Rungah | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Goorooruppah | | Do. | 7 | | |
| | Paroomaul | | Dufterbund | 10 | | |
| | P. Ramiah | | Ink Maker | 7 | | |
| | Govindoo | | Majualgee | 7 | | |
| | Palasee | | Sweeper | 3 8 | 27 8 | |
| Deduct amount of Pay to be drawn at the Commissioners | | | | | | 1653 8 |
| office of the following Individuals | | | | | | |
| Rojapah | | | Deputy Shoristadar | 230 | | |
| Dorsapah | | | Gomeastah | 53 | | |
| Ram Row | | | Do. | 35 | | |
| | | | | | 338 | |
| | | | Total Company's Rupees | | | 1315 8 |

7884 Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

No. 3 Contingent Charges incurred in the Office of the Native Establishment attached to the Board of Revenue from 1st May 1850 to 30th April 1851.

| | Rs. | a. | p. | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Contingent Charges from 1st May 1850 to 30th April 1851 | | | | 323 | | |

(Signed) W. St. Bayley
Secretary
Read

7885.

## Fort St. George 12th June 1851.

No. 1286

Read the undermentioned Registers

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

45 — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of April last.

No. 1306

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

46 — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of April last.

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers.

45-47 — Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

No. 628

From the Collector of Nellore.

48 — Reporting that no persons were tried for offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.

No. 634

From the Collector of Bellary.

49 — Reporting that no persons were charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

No. 631

From the Collector of Canara.

50 — Stating that no persons were charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

No. 635

From the Collector of Madura.

51 — Reporting that certain Returns were not sent for the Month of May last.

Return

7886.

## Fort St. George 12th June 1851

No. 632

From the Collector of Salem.

52 — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of April last.

No. 633

From the Collector of Salem.

53 — Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of April last.

No. 629

From the Collector of Nellore.

54 — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for Exportation on account of Chittagong Indent for 1850/51 up to 31st May 1851.

No. 630

From the Collector of South Arcot.

55 — Acknowledging the receipt of 3000 Salt Rowannahs.

No. 622

From the Collector of Canara.

56 — Statement of Smuggled Tobacco recommended for Confiscation for the Month of March last.

Ordered

7887 Fort St. George 12th June 1831

Order thereon

(56-57) Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

No. 623.

From the Accountant General - Indent on the Commissary General, for Sundries required for the Military Department for the month of June 1831.

Order thereon.

(58-59) Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.-

Goldingham

Comp.d D. Grels.

H Stirling