2287

Vol. 2287

Contents

Board's No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con. 22d May 1818	
548	From Govt	Pension granted to Mr Gibson	7211
975	From Govt	Mints abolished in alienated Villages	7213
1011	To do	On the above	7214
1092	From Govt	Boundary dispute between the Tinnevelly Circar and the Rance of Ramnad	7215
1003	Pro. to Govt	On the above	7219
1119	From Govt	Petn from Soona Beebee and others of Manargody Talook for the recovery of certain Enam lands &c	7222
1008	Order to Attest	Communicating the above	7224
1126	From Nellore	For disposal of the proceeds of certain lapsed Maniems	7225
1009	Pro. to do	On the above	7226
969	From Bellary	Explanation regarding a discrepancy in the Entries of Salary Enams & Abstr. of the Fasly Accounts	7227
1012	To Govt	Outstanding balances of Land Rev. in Bellary to be written off the accounts, and the disposal of certain Salary Enams	7228
996	From Cuddapah	Explanation regarding certain Pensions	7234
1018	Pro. to Govt	To authorize the Civil Audr to admit the Charges retrenched in the Accounts of Cuddapah	7235
1021/1152	From Bd & Mad.	Acknowledging receipt of Circular Orders	7236
1157	From Madura	Translate of an Urzee from the Guardian of the Minor Zemr of Shivagunga to nominate Mr J. Norton with the Advocate Genl in the pleading	7237
1165	From Govt Tabd	The Advocate Genl declines to interfere in the above suit	7237
7000	To Messrs Oakeley	Employment of English Counsel in the above suit	7238
1001	Pro. to Madura	Forwarding copy of the above	7239
1002	To Madura	Payment of Counsels Retainer	7239
1163	From Malabar	Rajpoot tribes	7241

Board's No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1143	From Post Mr Genl	To be informed of the name of the Sender of a certain Letter	
1006	To do	On the above	
1019	To Govt	On the management of the Salt Rev. Madura	
		Con. 26th May 1835.	
1139	From Govt	Enam and Shrotriam lands alienated by the late Tirmul Row, to be continued to certain parties	
1022	Pro. to Cuddapah	Communicating the above	
1153	From Govt	Observations respecting the Revenue derived from the sale of Stamped papers & Calendars	
1021	Order to Supt Stamps	Comm.g the above	
1172	From Nellore	Reporting on the condition of the Anicut	
1169	From Kurnool	Inundation of the River Tumbudra	
1135	From Salem	Refund of Stamp duty to the Appellant in the Civil Court	
1017	Pro. to Govt	Recom.g the above for Sanction	
1101	From Tanjore	Revenue Servants purchasing lands at public sales	
1013	Pro. to do	On the above	
1065	From Madura	Petitions from the Ryots of Munjanaiken[illegible] complaining of Peons Collections	
1023	Pro. to do	On the above	
1114	From Malabar	Receipts from Bombay, in the case of [illegible]	
1014	Pro. to do	On the above	
1162	From Malabar	Superintendence of the Annamalay [illegible]	
1121	From Madras	Remission to Teddy Mahtayers	
1015	Pro. to do	On the above	
1140	From Asst Engineer	Permission to Enamdars granted to Ryots to Mortgage for a part of Land in Gunt[illegible]	
1050	To Govt	On the above	
1020	To Govt	Broken Pro. of the Commissioners for [illegible]	
	To Civil Auditor	Statement of Pensions unannulled with the [illegible]	
	To do	Statement [illegible]	

Contents

Board's No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con. 29th May 1835.	
1035	From Govt	Observations on the trade carried on between certain districts and the Adjacent French territories	7332
1061	Pro. to Rajahmundry	Forwarding the above	7334
1138	From Govt	Orders on the Settlement report of Salem for F. 1259	7335
1051	Order to Salem	Communicating the above	7340
1170	From Govt	Pension drawn by certain members of the family of the late Meer Fakaruddeen Khan, to be paid to the Eldest Son. Arrears of Pension of the late Meer Mahomed Ally stopped	7342
1058	Order to Masulipatam	Communicating the above	7344
1164	From Govt	Marriage of the Choondee Jemadar with the daughter of the late Rajah Krishnappa Naik	7345
1050	Order to Nellore	Forwarding the above	7349
1175	From Govt	Memorial of Sayah Mahomed Collah transfered to Madras	"
1042	Order to Nellore	Communicating the above	7350
1187	From Govt	Defaleation in the Cuddapah Treasury with certain observations	"
1044	To Govt	Explanation on the System of keeping Cy but Accounts	7384
1056	Pro. to Cuddapah	To State whether the Cashkeeper & Shroff were tried & what Servants should be dismissed as directed by Govt	7386
1057	To Chingleput	To explain by whom the practice of keeping a Cy but Chest was established in Cuddapah & whether it was examined	7387
1111	From Bellary	Report of lapsed Enams	7389
1064	Pro. to do	Lapsed Enam. returned	7390
1052	To North Arcot	To furnish further information respecting certain lapsed Enams	"
1087	From Salem	Survey of lands on the Shervaroy Hills &c	7393
1048	Pro. to do	On the above	7409
1148	From Tanjore	Relative to the late Mr Jobard	7410
1053	Order to Mr Jobard	Forwarding certain correspondence	7412
1147	From Trichy	Resignation of the Tahsildar of Woodiarpollaim	7413

Board's No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1213	From Coimbr	Return of Tahsildars	7414
1151	From Coimbr	Cultivation of Seed wheat	7423
1040	To Govt	On the above	7424
1141	From Regr Gr Grants	Registry of certain Grants in Chingleput	7425
1047	Regr. to Govt	On the above	7428
1045	To Govt	Statements of Collections of Ordinary Rev	7429
1038	To Salem	To reconsider his judgment on the claims of Ackammal in Petn No 1002 of 1851.	7442

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present.—

Thursday 22d. May 1851. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.
and
J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member.—

Absent on duty
As Commissioner
Northern Circars.—

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Extracts

No. 56.

From the Sec. to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department dated 4th. March 1851.—

Granting a superannuation Pension of Rs. 23 per mensem subject to confirmation after 12 months. Requesting the Board after the expiration of this period to place themselves in communication with the Medical Board in order to its being ascertained whether Mr. Gibson is permanently disqualified for service and to forward to the Board the conditional Certificate for transmission to Government.—

1

Bd. No. 548.

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Dated 20th. January 1851.—

Read the following Papers.—

From the Civil Auditor.

Reports on the service of Mr. J. Gibson, an Examiner on the Establishment of the Board of Revenue. /Here enter 20th. February 1851./

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Stating that there are no accurate means of certifying Mr. Gibson's exact age, but from his appearance there is no reason to doubt the correctness of the entry in his application Register. /Here enter 20th. Do. Do./

Resolved that a superannuation

Age	Period of Service	Average salary for the last five years of service	Abstract of the grounds of application
Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Rs. a. P.	
51 — 9 — —	22 3 15	70 — — —	General debility, affection of the chest, deficient power of the right side and defective vision

No. 1522.

To The Board of Revenue.

superannuation Pension of Rupees 23 — — — / Twenty three per Mensem be granted to Mr. J. Gibson and that a Certificate be issued, making the same payable from this date, subject to confirmation after twelve Months on the report of a Medical Committee before whom he will be thereby required to appear, his appointment in the meantime being only temporarily filled up.

2. The Board of Revenue are requested, at the expiration of twelve Months, to place themselves in communication with the Medical Board, in view to its being ascertained and reported, whether Mr. J. Gibson is from age, protracted ill health, loss of sight or other bodily mental infirmity permanently disqualified for service, and forward to that Board the conditional certificate of the party for transmission to Government with the report of the Medical Committee on his case.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / I. D. Sim
Actg. Dy. Secretary to Govt.

Ordered.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded Mr. Gibson's pension Certificate has been duly delivered to him.

(1-2.)

B. No. 975.

From the Secy. to Government.

(3)

No. 401.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 25th April 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 3327.

Territorial Department
Revenue

To,
Sir, H. C. Montgomery, Bt.
Chief Secretary to the
Government of
Fort St. George

Sir,

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has directed me to request that you will, with the permission of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council furnish information as to whether there are any cases under the Government of Fort St. George in which Mints in alienated Villages were abolished, and if so, whether or no compensation was awarded and

on

on what principle such compensation was calculated.

Bombay Castle 24th March 1851. } (Signed) H. E. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government

Resolved that the Board of Revenue the Accountant General and Mint Committee be requested to furnish any information which they can supply on the subject to which the foregoing relates.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

No. 219.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1011.

To The Chief Secretary to Government... } Sir,

(3-4)

With reference to the enquiry contained in the letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay dated 24th March 1851, received with Extract from Minutes of Consultation of 25th April

In Cons 22d May 1851.

following

following. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that they are not aware of any cases in this Presidency in which Mints in alienated Villages were abolished.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George, 22d May 1851.... } (Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 9th April and entered in Consultation 5th May 1851.

Read also the following Memorandum.

Judicial Department.

Memorandum

(5)

Bd. No. 1092.

From the Secretary to Government... } Forwarding Copies of certain papers respecting the boundary dispute between the Tinnevelly, Circar, and the Rance of Ramnad in Madura.

Agreably to the request contained in the Official Memorandum of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 5th Instant, the Secretary to Government has the honor to transmit copy of the Extract Minutes of Consultation 3d May 1851. together with a transcript of the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut therein alluded to, having reference to the boundary dispute between the Tinnevelly, Circar and

the

the Rance of Ramnad in Malabar.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Encl. No. 1.
No. 436

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department dated the 8th June 1841.

Read the following

No. A 46

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 3d. May 1841.

Read Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 13th March 1841 referring for the opinion of the Court of Sudr Udalut a letter dated 10th February 1841 from the Principal Collector and Magistrate of Madura, and the correspondence which accompanied it with the authorities of Tinnevelly relative to a Boundary dispute between two parties residing on the confines of the Districts of Madura and Tinnevelly

1. It appears to the Court of

of Sudr Udalut that the Principal Collector of Madura in settling this dispute as he did acted under a mistaken interpretation of the last parah of this Courts' letter to Government of the 21st April 1835 No. 51 the suggestion of fered in which was sanctioned as the Rule for the guidance of Collectors by the order of Government of the 22d May 1835 No. 358. The Principal Collector seems to have thought that that order empowered him to settle boundary disputes of the kind mentioned in Regulation XII of 1816, generally, in whatever manner he thought fit, if he was the nearest Collector to the Boundary and hence has arisen the anomalous proceeding of a judgment passed by a Collector on an enquiry conducted by two Naib Sheristadars of adjoining Zillahs.

2. The Court of Sudr Udalut observe that the meaning of the Parah of this Courts' letter above referred to is simply this that the nearest Collector should be the person to take cognizance of the boundary dispute in the manner prescribed by Regulation XII of 1816 which is by Punchayet

Punchayet only and if neither party consent, that the matter should be left to the Court.

3. The Court of Sudr Udalut are of opinion that the present case does come under Section IV Regulation XII of 1816 though that Regulation was not framed expressly in order to provide for such cases. It is a claim to lands the validity of which depends on the determination of an uncertain and disputed boundary, it does not alter the nature of the case that the two parties claiming happen to reside in different jurisdictions: both are subjects of the British Government and under the same laws. and the order of Government of the 22d May 1835 supplies the only thing wanting, which was to designate which of two Collectors or Courts should have the jurisdiction

4. The Court of Sudr Udalut observe, for the information of Government, that the Collectors and Magistrates of Madura and Tinnevelly have been instructed to enforce for the present, the arrangement adopted by the Collector of

of the former District for the Settlement of the boundary dispute. But under the circumstances above stated the Sudr Udalut doubt the legality of such proceeding

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Chief Secretary to Government, for the information of Government.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. Douglas.

Register.

It appears to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that the view taken by the Judges of the Sudr Udalut in the foregoing proceedings is correct, provided there were no circumstances to bring the case within the scope of Section XI Regulation V of 1822 which it would appear there were not. His Lordship in Council is therefore of opinion that the Principal Collector should amend his proceeding, acting in accordance with the provisions of Section IV and V of Regulation XII of 1816 and keeping in

Punchayet only and if neither party consent, that the matter should be left to the Court.

3. The Court of Sudr Udalut are of opinion that the present case does come under Section IV Regulation XII of 1816 though that Regulation was not framed expressly in order to provide for such cases. It is a claim to lands the validity of which depends on the determination of an uncertain and disputed boundary, it does not alter the nature of the case that the two parties claiming happen to reside in different jurisdictions: both are subjects of the British Government and under the same laws. and the order of Government of the 22d May 1835 supplies the only thing wanting, which was to designate which of two Collectors or Courts should have the jurisdiction

4. The Court of Sudr Udalut observe, for the information of Government, that the Collectors and Magistrates of Madura and Tinnevelly have been instructed to enforce for the present, the arrangement adopted by the Collector of

of the former District for the Settlement of the boundary dispute. But under the circumstances above stated the Sudr Udalut doubt the legality of such proceeding

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Chief Secretary to Government, for the information of Government.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. Douglas.

Register.

It appears to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that the view taken by the Judges of the Sudr Udalut in the foregoing proceedings is correct, provided there were no circumstances to bring the case within the scope of Section XI. Regulation V of 1822 which it would appear there were not. His Lordship in Council is therefore of opinion that the Principal Collector should amend his proceeding, acting in accordance with the provisions of Section IV and V of Regulation XII of 1816 and keeping in

in mind at the same time that he has no power either to investigate himself or to refer to a Punchayet a suit involving a claim to the proprietary right in land.

The Judges will communicate the foregoing observations for the guidance of the Officers concerned.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

5-6

In Cons. 5th May 1851.
No. 1003.

Proceedings thereon

Requesting further information respecting the land in dispute between the Timevelly Circar and the Rance of Ramnad, and to transmit copies of certain letters &c.

Read letter dated 9th April 1851 from the Collector of Timevelly.

It is observed from the foregoing letter and its enclosures that the

1 Chains 4½ ——— "
2 " old measurement 17½ ——— New measurement including Cooss. 25½
3 " 12½ ——— 14 3/8.
4 " 25 7/32 ——— "

land in dispute with Ramnad consists of the portions noted in the margin but it does not appear what is their value.

2. The Collector is therefore called upon to state the annual Peiz of each portion, the amount of the demand for

In Cons. 3d October 1850

for arrears of each Fusly, and whether the amount which in his letter of the 19th September 1850. he recommends to be held in deposit, was actually realized. also whether the Timevelly Circar has ever had possession of the disputed lands.

3. He will also transmit a copy of Mr. Blackburne's decision of the 9th January 1841. referred to in Mr. Parker's letter to him dated 3d May 1850 also copy of the Rance's letter referred to in Mr. Bird's letter to Mr. Parker dated 6th March.

Encl. 1 in Letter from Timevelly 19th Sept. in Cons. 3d October 1850.

4. The Board observe from the Collector's Jummabundy report for Fusly 1259, that he intends to submit a separate report on all the branches of his District, but they deem it inexpedient to wait for the promised report in reference to the land disputed with Ramnad and request that he will therefore dispose of the present communication at an early date.

Read the following Extract

Extract

B. No. 1119.
from the Secy. to Government

7

No. 441.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation — Revenue Department under date the 12th. May 1851.

Read the following Petition from Sonna Beebee and others, daughters of Hafee Saib of Oodiarcoatty of Munnargoody Talook in South arcot.

/ Here enter 6th. April 1851 No. 143 /

The Right Honorable the Govr. in Council resolves to forward the Petition to the Board of Revenue and to request that they will submit their opinion on the application of the Petitioners for permission to sue in the Civil Court for the recovery of their late Father Hafee Saibs share in the Summud and other Enams now held by Mahomed Yacoob in the Munnargoody Talook of South Arcot as well as upon the title of the present holder to the enjoyment of these Enams. The Board will refer to the Collector for more detailed information upon the subject, obtain, forward the documents on which the Enams are held and state how they are entered in the District

District Accounts.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1008.

Order thereon.—

7-8.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot, who will furnish the information and documents called for by Government.—

Read the following letter.—

No. 43.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.—

B. No. 1126.

From the Collector of Nellore.— Sir,

9

With reference to the resolution of Government, No. 344, dated 8th. Ultimo, communicated with the Boards' Proceedings of the 23d. Idem, authorizing the resumption of certain lapsed Maniems in this District, I have the honor to state that out of the proceeds of the Maniem lands due from the Security since fusly 1245 which I at first thought

thought difficult of realization owing to the length of time that had elapsed, 500 Rupees have been collected and remitted to the Treasury to the end of last month. This has not been carried to the account and I therefore request the Board's orders in regard to its disposal.—

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

No. 1009
Proceedings thereon.—

(9-10)

Government having been pleased in their resolution of the 8th April 1851, to authorize the resumption of the Enams lapsed by the death of B. Sooba Row in Fusly 1243, but which were subsequently continued upon Security to Balaky Ramiah and to forego the demand for the Proceeds of the lands since Fusly 1245 which meanwhile would seem to have been paid into the Collector's Treasury and amount to Rupees 500— the Board of Revenue direct that this sum be repaid to the party from whom it was received.—

Read the following letter.—
No. 25

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

With reference to Extract from

(11)
Ry. No. 969.
From the Collector of Bellary.—

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th October last calling upon me to explain the cause of the difference in the entries given in the 5th para of the said letter respecting Talary Enams to those exhibited in the copy of the Tarwar accounts recorded in the Board's Cutcherry, especially in respect to the Talary Enams of 60 acres, the Jodee on which was stated at Rupees 60-1-4 instead of Rupees 26-4-11, I have the honor to state that some of the entries contained in my former Report appear to have been erroneously made and I beg to subjoin a new Statement exhibiting the Enams according to the Tarwar accounts and those portions thereof which remain to be restored to the Enamdars after deducting those resumed.—

Names—

thought difficult of realization owing to the length of time that had elapsed, 500 Rupees have been collected and remitted to the Treasury to the end of last month - This has not been carried to the account and I therefore request the Board's orders in regard to its disposal. —

/Signed/ D. White
Collector.

No. 1009
Proceedings thereon. —

9-10

Government having been pleased in their resolution of the 8th April 1851, to authorize the resumption of the Enams lapsed by the death of B. Sooba Row in Fusly 1243, but which were subsequently continued upon security to Balaky Ramiah and to forego the demand for the Proceeds of the lands since Fusly 1245 which meanwhile would seem to have been paid into the Collector's Treasury and amount to Rupees 500 - the Board of Revenue direct that this sum be repaid to the party from whom it was received. —

Read the following letter. —
No. 25.

To, W. H. Bayley Esq^re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir

With reference to Extract from

11

Bd^e No. 969.
From the Collector of Bellary. —

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th October last calling upon me to explain the cause of the difference in the entries given in the 5th para of the said letter respecting Talary Enams to those exhibited in the copy of the Tarwar accounts recorded in the Board's Cutcherry, especially in respect to the Talary Enams of 60 Acres, the Jodee on which was stated at Rupees 60-1-4 instead of Rupees 26-4-11, I have the honor to state that some of the entries contained in my former Report appear to have been erroneously made and I beg to subjoin a new statement exhibiting the Enams according to the Tarwar accounts and those portions thereof which remain to be restored to the Enamdars after deducting those resumed. —

Names -

Fort St George

22nd May 1857.

according to the Statement annexed to the Boards' Proceedings of 14th October 1850.

As it ought to be.

Names of Enamdars according to the Sarwar accounts.	according to the Sarwar accounts.				To remain under Registration.				To be restored			
	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Jodee.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Jodee.			Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Jodee.	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	A G M	Rs a p	Rs a p	A G M	Rs a p	Rs a p		A G M	Rs a p	Rs a p	A G M	Rs a p	Rs a p
1 Bhurmah	6 14 4	23 6 6	20 2 11								6 14 4	23 6 6	20 2 11
2 Puttah	51 5	95 13 3	20 2 11								51 5 11	95 13 2	20 2 11
3 Hannah	18 52	56 2 11	39 6 11								18 52	56 3 11	39 6 11
4 Hoodkah	73 29 15	188 11 8	47 11 11	23 2 6	71 7 4	9 11 8	50 27 9	117 4 11	38 10 4				
5 Kurry hulliyah	12 15 6	40 2 3	31 1 11	9 14 1	28 15 5	21 9 4	3 1 5	11 2 7	9 7 8				
6 Nunjah	60 36 8	192 3 4	26 4 11								60 36 8	192 3 4	26 6 4
Total	222 26 3	596 7 11	184 12 4	32 16 7	100 6 9	30 10 11	190 9 12	196 11 7	154 2 4				

Decrease when Boundary stones were placed

Balance to be restored

Particulars

Included in the Coolwar

Waste land

1	155	4	4	10 11	11
188	34 7	491	11 9	154	2 4

of the above

175	19 11	450	13 6	11	11
13	15 7	40	14 3	11	11

The

The slight difference that appears between the entries contained in the copy of the Tarwar accounts annexed to the Board's Proceedings under report and those of foregoing statement cannot be accounted for.—

According to the true accounts.—

	Extent	Assessment	Sodee
	A. ȝ a	Rs as p	Rs as p
*Being at present under segregation to be in future resumed in consequence of Enamdars having demised without issue.—	*32 16 7 100 6 9	30.10 «	
			222.26.3. 596 7 4	184.12.4
*Decrease when placing land marks.	*1 15 5 4 4 10 « « «		
	33 31 12 104 11 ȝ.	30 10 «	Deduct	33.31.12.104.11 ȝ.	30 10 «
@ As shewn in my letter of the 10 September 1850	@ Extent	Assessment	Sodee
	A ȝ a	Rs as p	Rs as p
	188 36 « 49 12 «	166.4 8.	Balance 188.34.7 49.11 9	154 2 4

3 I have the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for the deduction of this land from the Circar Ayacut and for including it in Enam Ayacut to be given to the Talaries as formerly for the performance of Village duties and also that sanction may be obtained for the remission of the balance of Land Revenue for the Fasly 1258 Viz.t Rupees 168-8-11 as also for Fasly 1259 Rupees 137-8-11 as requested in my former report.— The

4 The Sodee on the land proposed to be granted as Enam will be payable by the Enamdars yearly. It may be as well hereto mention that the Enamdars whose names are entered in the Tarwar Accounts are dead, but that their heirs are the present claimants.—

Bellary Collector's Office, / on Circuit at Ramandroog, / 12th April, 1851.— ——— } Signed C. Pelly Collector.—

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous Correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.—

No. 220.—

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.—

(11–12)

Bd. No. 1012.—

To the Chief Secretary to Government } Sir,

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Bellary relative to the outstanding balances of Land Revenue for Fasly 1258 and supporting the Collector's application for their being written off the Accounts recommending the restoration of certain Talaries Enams

In Consn. 10 Sept. 1850

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter dated the 10th September 1850, from the Collector of Bellary upon the balances of Land Revenue in his District for Fasly 1258 / 1848/49 The

Enams to the parties performing the Village service and the remission of the land revenue balance against them for Fasly 1259 also suggesting that parties be nominated to those Taliary Enams to which no Claims have been advanced and that the same course be adopted in respect to certain other Enams which from time to time have been resumed by the local authorities.—

2. The balances in question outstanding on the 31st August 1850. amounted to Rupees 5,348-4-2 of which Rupees 73-11-10 only is reported to be recoverable, and Rupees 5,274-8-4 is considered irrecoverable. Of the last mentioned amount Rupees 1,187-4-9 is stated to be due on account of Vysack Bunjer or extent of 2nd Crop cultivation estimated at the Jamabundy but not afterwards sown from deficiency of water and other causes,— Rupees 130-4-2. by Ryots who have died, Rupees 476-9-5. by those who have emigrated, Rupees 2,321-12-11. by those who are reduced to poverty,— Rupees 436-7-4. by loss of produce,— Rupees 554-5-1 by those whose crops were destroyed by flood. the last item Viz. Rupees 168-4-8 is the balance of demand proposed to be remitted under circumstances stated in detail in paras 4 & 5. of the Collector's letter on land formerly held as Enam by certain Taliaries of the Majalum Village of the Kowvinkidgalee Taluk, which on their desertion into the Nizam's Territories, had been incorporated with the Government lands, but on return of

of their heirs to duty after an absence of many years it is requested may be restored on the usual godee. These balances upon careful enquiry instituted by the Collector and his European Subordinates in their respective Divisions during the Settlement of Fasly 1259 (1849/50) were found to be totally irrecoverable.—

3. Under these circumstances the Board support the application of the Collector for writing off the irrecoverable portion of Balances of Fasly 1258 (1848/49) amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 5,274-8-4 Five thousand Two hundred and seventy four, annas eight and pice four.—

4. No Balance would appear from the Demand Collection and Balance Statement to be outstanding on account of Land Revenue in the Bellary District prior to those of Fasly 1258 (1848/49).—

5. In reference to the Enams, portions of which it is proposed to retransfer to the Village service for which they were originally granted, it was observed by the Board that the entries in para 5 of the Collectors' letter of their extent and value differed

differred from those exhibited in the copy
of the Tarwary Accounts recorded in the
Proceedings to Bellary 4th October 1850. Boards' Cutcherry and the Collector was called
upon to explain the cause of these variations.
Under the explanation afforded in that
In Enams 22d May 1851. Officers' letter of the 12th April 1854 herewith
Submitted, the Board recommend that
Sanction be granted for the deductions from
the Circar Ayacut of 188-34-7 of land
assessed at Rs. 491-11-9 bearing a Jodee of
Rupees 154-2-4. as more particularly
described in Memorandum attached to
para 2 of Mr. Pelly's letter Columns 11-12
& 13, and for the restoration of the same
to the present claimants / who are represented
to be the heirs of the former Paliaries / on
their performing the Village service, - and for
remitting the balance of Land Revenue of Fasly
1259 / 1849/50. on the lands in question amounting to Rs. 137-8-11
6.

Instead of continuing under Sequestration the remaining shares of the Paliary Enams to which no claim have been advanced, the Board consider it advisable for the Collector to nominate such parties as may be fit and willing to undertake the duties efficiently

efficiently, and to submit a State=
=ment of the same in order that
the sanction of Government be
obtained for the deductions of
the land from the Circar
Ayacut and for their restora=
=tion as Enams to the Offices
to which they were origin=
=ally attached, and that
the Collector should
adopt the same course
in respect to the "other
"Enams which from time
"to time have for similar
"reasons been resumed by the
local

differred from those exhibited in the copy of the Tarwary Accounts recorded in the Board's Cutcherry and the Collector was called upon to explain the cause of these variations. Under the explanation afforded in that Officer's letter of the 12th April 1854 herewith submitted, the Board recommend that Sanction be granted for the deduction from the Circar Ayacut of 188-34-7 of land assessed at Rs. 491-11-9 bearing a Jodee of Rupees 154-2-4 as more particularly described in Memorandum attached to para 2 of Mr. Pelly's letter Columns 11-12 & 13, and for the restoration of the same to the present claimants / who are represented to be the heirs of the former Paliaries / on their performing the Village service - and for remitting the balance of Land Revenue of Fasly 1259 / 1849/50 on the lands in question amounting to Rs. 137-8-1 6.

Proceedings to Bellary 9th October 1850.

In Cons 22d May 1851.

Instead of continuing under sequestration the remaining shares of the Paliary Enams to which no claim have been advanced, the Board consider it advisable for the Collector to nominate such parties as may be fit and willing to undertake the duties efficiently,

efficiently and to submit a State= =ment of the same in order that the sanction of Government be obtained for the deductions of the land from the Circar Ayacut and for their restora= =tion as Enams to the Offices to which they were origin= =ally attached, and that the Collector should adopt the same course in respect to the "other "Enams which from time "to time have for similar "reasons been resumed by the Local

Fort St. George 22nd May 1851.

"local authorities," care being taken that in the retransfer of the lands they do not materially vary in extent, value or godee, from the original grants as entered in the Survey or Sarwary Accounts.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22d May, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah dated 14th March and entered in Consultation 7th April 1851.

DG

Read

Fort St. George 22nd May 1851.

Read also the following letter

No. 30.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(13)

Bk. No. 995.

From the Actg. Collector of Cuddapah.

Submitting explanation in respect to the continuance of certain Poligar Pensions as required by the Board in their Extract dated 7th April 1851 and also forwarding copies of Board orders authorizing the revival of certain Pensioners.—

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th April 1851, I have the honor to explain that in case of the Pension originally granted by Government in the name of Mundapelly Moosenl Naid, the amount appears to have been disbursed to two parties under an arrangement entered into by the local authority, viz., to Mundapelly Moosenl Naid and to Pedabbee Naid the latter having died in Fasly 1253. the portion payable to that individual has reverted to Government.—

2 In case of the arrangement appears to have been made in a similar manner—The Pension was originally granted in the name of Chintarazpelly Lemara Bochee Naid Sooba Naid who was in receipt of a portion of that allowance died in Fasly 1252 the amount payable to him reverted to Government.

Government.—

3 These two Pensions having been originally sanctioned by Government to the grantees mentioned above are entered in Mr. Ross's Registers X, Y, Z.—without any authority that they should be portioned out to the Members of the Family in the manner in which they appear to have been by the local authorities.—The Civil Auditor has therefore called upon this Department to furnish the order of Government authorizing the reduction in the sums originally sanctioned which has resulted by a Moiety of the Pensions reverting to Government by the death of the parties adverted to above.—

4 With reference to the copies of the Orders of the Board authorizing the renewal of the Pensions to the present incumbents in Cases 11 and 13 required by the Board, I beg to state that they are not to be found in the Records of this Office although a diligent search has been made for them.

+ 19th August 1828. The + date of authority quoted in Case 11 refers to the father of the present incumbent, Copy of which is herewith sent, and which is the only authority on record, and with regard to

to Case 13 on referring to certain annual statements transmitted to the Office of Audit, the date of authority is therein stated to be 18th November 1820, which might be identified from the records in the Board of Revenue as it is not to be found in those of this Office.—

Cuddapah Collector's Office 25th April 1851.

(Signed) M. Murray
Acting Collector

No. 1.

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Gentlemen,

I am to permission to submit to you the accompanying Petitions from Syyud Mohummud Khan soliciting an increase of Pension.—

2 The Petitioner is the great grandson of Abdool Hullemkhan, the last Nabob of Cuddapah and he enjoys a Pension of Star Pagodas 250 per annum granted consequent upon a recommendation from Mr. Ross contained in a letter to your address under date the 18th of September 1812. The authority of the Government for the grant was conveyed to

Note
Moorat Khatoon had been considered the grand daughter of the Nabob but she seems to have been his daughter and sister to the grand Mother of the Petitioner.—

to you in a letter dated the 17th of November 1812 Moorat Khatoon the daughter of Tullem Khan enjoyed a Pension of Star Pagodas 500. a year and when Mr. Ross proposed that a Separate Pension should be allowed to Syyud Mohummud Khan he suggested that on the death of the former her Pension should be given to the latter, and that the separate allowance he proposed for him should cease on his succeeding to the other. Moorat Khatoon died in the beginning of the year 1816— From Mr. Ross' letter to you of the 20th June 1815 it would seem an improper endeavour has been made to procure an increase of Syyud Mohummud Khan's Pension, but he must at that period have been young for now he is not, I should suppose, above 30 years of age Mr. Newnham who was long Judge at this station appears to think favorably of him and I have myself heard nothing against his behaviour. In your letter to the Government under date the 2d October 1825, you stated that you could not recommend any increase to the allowance of this person and the Government concurred in your view of the Case.

Moorat

3. Moorat Khatoons Pension has reverted to the Government but two Pensions which were paid out of it are still continued. They amount to Pagodas 5 each a Month and were enjoyed by Jubber Khan and Abdool Mohummud Khan Members of the Myanah family in the life time of Moorat Khatoon but are not held by the first of the Original incumbents and Abdool Syyud Khan the son of the other.—

4 The case is one in which there seems to be entire room for the exercise of discretion in disposing of the Petitioners claim and if an increase be made to his Pension it will be derived from the generosity of the Government rather than obtained in acknowledgment of a claim of strict justice.

I Signed J. A. Dalzell
Principal Collector

Cuddapah Principal
Collectors Office 18th July 1828

No. 389.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the

Note
Moorat Khatoon had been considered the grand daughter of the Nabob but she seems to have been his daughter and sister to the grand Mother of the Petitioner.—

to you in a letter dated the 17th of November 1812 Moorat Khatoon the daughter of Hallem Khan enjoyed a Pension of Star Pagodas 500. a year and when Mr. Ross proposed that a separate Pension should be allowed to Syyud Mohummud Khan he suggested that on the death of the former her Pension should be given to the latter, and that the separate allowance he proposed for him should cease on his succeeding to the other.— Moorat Khatoon died in the beginning of the year 1816.— From Mr. Ross' letter to you of the 20th June 1815 it would seem an improper endeavour has been made to procure an increase of Syyud Mohummud Khans' Pension, but he must at that period have been young for now he is not, I should suppose, above 30 years of age Mr. Newnham who was long Judge at this station appears to think favorably of him and I have myself heard nothing against his behaviour. In your letter to the Government under date the 2d October 1825. you stated that you could not recommend any increase to the allowance of this person and the Government concurred in your view of the Case.

Moorat

3. Moorat Khatoons Pension has reverted to the Government but two Pensions which were paid out of it are still continued. They amount to Pagodas 5 each a Month and were enjoyed by Jubbeer Khan and Abdool Mohummud Khan Members of the Myanah family in the life time of Moorat Khatoon but are not held by the first of the Original incumbents and Abdool Syyud Khan the son of the other.—

4 The case is one in which there seems to be entire room for the exercise of discretion in disposing of the Petitioners claim and if an increase be made to his Pension it will be derived from the generosity of the Government rather than obtained in acknowledgment of a claim of strict justice.

Signed J. A. Dalzell
Principal Collector

Cuddapah Principal.
Collectors Office 18th July 1828.

No. 389.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the

the Governor in Council the accompanying
letter from the Principal Collector of
18th July 1828. Cuddapah enclosing a Petitions from Syed
Mohummud Khan Great Grandson and
representative of Abdul Kullam Khan the
last Nabob of Cuddapah soliciting an
increase of Pension —

2 The Petitioner enjoys a Pension
From Mr. Ross 18th September 1812 of 250 Pagodas per annum which was granted
To Government 29th October 1812 to him by Government under date the 17th
From Do. 17th November. — November 1812 on the recommendation of Mr.
Ross the Collector of Cuddapah in re-
-commending the Pension Mr. Ross proposed
that it should be continued to Syed Mahum-
-mud Khan during the life of Moorad
Khatoon daughter of Kulleem Khan who
was in the enjoyment of a separate Pension
of 500 Pagodas a year that on her death the
Pension of 500 Pagodas should be given to Syed Mo-
hummud and that his Pension of 250 Pagodas should
then cease This suggestion however was not noticed
To Govt. 2d October 1815 by the Government In reporting on a Petition from
From Govt. 13 Octr 1815 Syed Mohummud Khan in 1815 (Moorad Khatoon
being then alive) the Board stated that they were of opinion
that his Pension of 250 Pagodas was a sufficiently
ample provision and the Government declined
to increase it. —

Moorad

Deduct Pagodas 500
at 10 Pagodas a Month 120.
380

3 . Moorad Khatoon died in 1815
and her Pension deducting an assignment
of 10 Pagodas a Month to two Members of
the family has reverted to Government —
since her death, the Petitioner has become the
head of the Mysna family and the
representation of the last Nabob of Cuddapah.
His situation being thus changed the
Board beg to recommend the Original
suggestion of Mr. Ross to the favorable
consideration of Government that the portion
of the annual Pension of 500 Pagodas enjoyed
by Moorad Khatoon which has reverted to Government
Viz. 380 Pagodas may be granted to Syed
Mohummed Khan for his life to be resumed
entirely on his death or continued in part to
his heirs at the pleasure of Government
and that his own Pension of 250 Pagodas
per annum be resumed — The arrangement to
take effect from the 1st Instant. —

Fort St. George. 11th August 1828.

Signed D Elliott.
Secretary

No. 575.

To, The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen.

I am directed to acknowledge
the

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

the receipt of your Secretary's letter of the 11 Instant, No. 389, and to acquaint you that under the Circumstances therein stated the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased agreeably to your recommendation to order that the Pension of 250 Pagodas a year enjoyed by Syed Mohammud Khan great grandson and representative of the last Nabob of Cuddapah be increased to 380 Pagodas from the 1st. instant.

Fort St. George. ----
19th August 1828 }

/Signed/ J. M. Macleod
Secretary to Government.

/True copies/

/Signed/ D. Elliott
Secretary.

/True copies/

/Signed/ M. Murray.
Acting Collector.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah dated 11th March 1851 forwarding an Extract from the Retrenchment of the Civil auditor for July 1256 and requesting that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the same

(See under No. 19.)

Resolved

In Cl. 7 April 1851

L.P.B

13-14

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

B. No. 1048.

Proceedings Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Cuddapah relative to certain items retrenched from the Accounts of his Collectorate No. 1 for July 1256 and recommending that the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the several Charges.

From Coll. 16th. Sept. Fine to 23d. Sept. 1822.
To D. 16. D. "
" " 23. D. "
No. 294.

No. 3.

Resolved that the foregoing letters with their accompaniments be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the following observations thereon.

2. The original grantee in this case was Ooyalawada Chinna Mulla Reddy Poligar of Ooyalawada, as appears from Mr. Rose's Register No. 4 2d. October 1813 on his decease, the allowance an hereditary one, was by an order of the Board dated 23d. September 1822, continued to his Widow Doddamah, the present incumbent.

3. The correspondence relating to the continuance of these two persons, was laid before Government on the 4th. February 1822 both being entered as hereditary in the Register.

4. On the death of Cumdapah Rauz Rondum rauz the original Grantee, who was a relative of the Rajah of Chittwell No. 39 Register T. the allowance entered also as hereditary was made over to his then surviving brother Bagava Rauz, under an order of Government dated 17th. May 1822 upon

From Coll.r 30th Dec.r 1822 in Cons 6th Jany 1823. To D.o 3d April. No. 5 & 6.

Upon his decease the pension was continued to his Son Venkatarauz under the authority of the Board.

5. The objection of the Civil Auditor in these cases would appear to be from the amounts of pensions paid to the two individuals not corresponding with the standard of Fusly 1228. this however has occurred as explained in the Acting Collector's letter of 25th April 1851. by the portion payable to a Member of each Family, having reverted to Government by the death of the recipient.

No. 748. 6 From Mr. Rose Register to Nos. 43 and 47. Honaree Acharee and Podiatoor Conaree Acharee, one and the same individual entered as two, receiving an allowance each of 29½ Pagodas granted by the Mayana Government as Mudied Maash, but from the correspondence noted in the Margin, it will be seen that the grants tho. entered in separate names, were held by the same party. Upon the death of Honaree Acharee, a petition on behalf of the family was

To Collector	22d. July 1822	
" "	2d. Sept.r — "	
From — " —	30th " September "	
	in Cons 10th October "	
To Collector —	14th October "	
From Do "	19th February 1823	
	in Cons 27th Do — "	
To — " —	6th March — "	

was presented to the Board by Lutchmana Acharee, who stated himself to be the eldest son of the deceased. On the 2d September 1822, the Board in reference to their letter of 22d July 1822 requesting the Collector to report on the above Petition called his attention to the Register forwarded by Mr. Rose, wherein the names of Honaree Acharee and Podiatoor Conaree Acharee are entered, and directed that if the statement advanced by Petitioner proved correct, the two pensions of 29½ Pagodas each or in all 59 Pagodas, should be continued to Bartummah, the widow of the deceased. The Collector in reporting on the above petition stated that as Baratummah, the Mother of the Petitioner had appeared before him he had continued the Pension of 59 Pagodas in the name of the Petitioner for the support of the family.

7. Lutchmana Acharee died soon afterwards / 12th February 1823 / when the allowance was transferred to the name of his Mother Baratumah, under the sanction of the Board, and now continues to be

From Coll.r 19th in Cons 27th Feby 1823.

paid

paid to her.

No. 9. 8 On the death of Vem Ruthutthe the son of the Original Grantee, who is entered in Mr. Ross's Register Z. as No. 61 the yeomiah which was granted for the Ceremonies performed on the birth day of the God Ramen, was, under the Board's sanction, continued to his Son Vencutaramah Ishutt.

From Collector 21st. March 1827.
in Cons 26th Do. —"
"— " — 16th. April —"
in Cons 19th Do. —"
"— " — 17th Do. —"
in Cons 23d Do. —"
To Do. — 23d Do. —"

No. 10. 9 This is a Mudud Maash allowance, and is entered as No. 67 in the Register Z in the name of Huckloot Ramachareo. On his death, his son Kistnacharee presented a Petition claiming the Yeomiah which as it was continued by successive Governments from the time of the Cuddapah Nabobs, and from the Petitioner having no other means of support, the Board Authorized should be made over to him. Kristnacharee having died about a Month after, the Yeomiah was, under the authority of the Board.

From Collector 29th. March 1822.
in Cons 8th April —"
To Do. — 8th April —"
From Do. 31st July —"
in Cons 5th August —"
To Do. — 5th August —"

Board; continued to his widow.

No. 11. 10 On the 11th. August 1828, on the occasion of the Board recommending an increase of Pension to Abdool Mahomed Khan, father of Abdool Syed Khan the pensioner in this case, it will be observed that mention was made of an allowance of 5 Pagodas pr. Mensem being assigned to first named individual by Moorat Khatoon daughter of Abdool Hulleem Khan, the last Nabob of Cuddapah, out of the pension of 500 Pagodas per Annum, enjoyed by her and which allowance descended to his son. The Board cannot trace from their records the date or authority under which this assignment was made and the amount under retrenchment is on account of its continuance to the son.

From Collector 18th July — 28
in Cons 25th Do. —"
To Govt. 11th. August — 28
From — 19th. August — 28
in Cons 21st Do. —"

No. 12 & 13. 11 The names of Noor Oolla Shah and Shaik Meeran appear in Mr. Ross's Register Z. Nos. 73 and 118. the allowance to both being entered as "Telochiragh of a Tomb" but it cannot be ascertained under what authority the pensions were continued to the present incumbents.

i.e. for keeping a Camp burning.

No. 14. 12 The pensioner in this No. would

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

would appear to have originally belonged to the District of North Arcot. On an application made by her and recommended by Mr. Principal Collector. It is but that one half of her allowance should be made payable in Cuddapah, the Board authorized the Collector to enter into arrangements with the Collector of that District for complying with her request and for altering the Grant standing in the name of her brother in law to that of her own.

From Coll.r 23 July/25
inform Dr.
To Coll.r 28th July.

13. Under the explanation afforded above upon each item retrenched by the Civil Auditor, the Board of Revenue solicit that that Officer may be authorized to admit the several charges and that renewed Sanctions may be granted for the continuance of the allowances in the names of the present incumbents.

Read the following letter.
No. 41.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

15

Bd. No. 1151.

From the Acting Collr. of Cuddapah

Sir

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of Four Copies of the

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

the Circular orders of the Board of Revenue which accompanied your letter of the 28th Ultimo.

Cuddapah — Collectors Office — 15th May 1851.

Signed M. Murray
Acting Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

15-16.

Read the following letter.
No. 49.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1152.

From the Collr. of Madura

Sir

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of Five Copies of the Circular Orders of the Board of Revenue as advised in your Circular of the 28th Ultimo.

17

Madura — 14th May 1851

Signed R. D. Parker
Collector.

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded.

17-18.

Read the following letters.

To,

No. 50.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

19
Bd. No. 1154
From the Coll.
of Madura

Sir

With reference to my letter of the 13th Instant, I have the honor to submit Translate of a further Urzee from the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagungah recommending in consideration of the extent of the Proceedings in the case that Mr. John Norton may be associated with the Advocate General in the Pleading to take place after the recess in the Sudr Udalut on the Appeal Suit for the Zemindary.

2 If this desirable to avoid this additional expense if possible and the propriety of adopting the Guardian's suggestion seems very much to depend on the feeling of the Advocate General himself in the matter of which the Court should they communicate with him will be best able to form an opinion.

Madura
15th. May
1851

I have &c.
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector
No. 1.

No. 1.

Translate of an Urzee dated 14th. May 1851 No. 47 from Coety Soseer Guardian to the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga to R. D. Parker Esqre. Collector of Madura

With reference to my Urzee dated the 9th Instant No. 40 bringing to your notice the order of the Sudr Court to the Vakeels concerned in the Appeal Suit connected with the Shevagunga Zemindary to be in attendance on the next Court day after the 1st July following to plead their causes orally and advocating the necessity of procuring the nomination of either Mr. Norton the Barrister of Government or Mr. John Norton for the purpose of pleading on behalf of the Minor Zemindar I beg to state that as the time appointed is approaching, and as the papers connected with the Suit are so voluminous that the perusal of them might occupy their time fully, it is my opinion that in addition to the Government Barrister Mr. Norton, Mr. John Norton also should be nominated to assist the former that they may be enabled to peruse the Proceedings in the Suit.

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

I have therefore represented the circumstances to you, and when I again asked Mr. Norton whether he will become a Barrister in the said Suit if the Court of Wards permit to conduct it thro' the Supreme Court Lawyer he gave as his final answer that as he had once declined to interfere in a Suit he will not again interfere in that Suit on any grounds whatever I therefore submit the circumstances for your information and further beg you will consider on the subject and give me instructions how I am to proceed.

25th. May 1851.

/ Signed Goolam Moortooza
Government Vakeel
/ A true translation /
/ Signed T. Streenevasen
Translator

Ordered that the following Papers be sent in consequence.

No. 1000.

To

Messrs. Dale and Boyson.

Gentlemen,

The Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga having applied to the Court of Wards through his Guardian to authorize the

B. No. 1000
To. Messrs. Dale & Boyson
21

Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

the employed of English Counsel in the appeal Suit now before the Court of Sudr Udalut in which the said Minor is respondent I am desired to inform you that the Government Vakeel will be directed to request your assistance in this Suit and you will of course, should you consider it necessary retain Counsel. The Guardian mentions the names of George Norton Esqre. and J. B Norton Esqre.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d. May 1851.

/ Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

B. No. 1001.
Proceedings thereon.
19-22.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Government Vakeel for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 20th.

In Cons 22d. May 1851.

In Cons 22d. May 1851.

Ordered also that a copy be communicated to the Collector of Madura in reference to his letter of 15th. May 1851.

To.

7255 — Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

To The Collector of Madura.

Bd. No. 1002
To The Collector of Madura

(23)

Sir,

With reference to my letter of 12th. May 1851 and the Board's Proceedings of this days date, I am directed to inform you that as it will be necessary to secure immediate payment of Counsels Retainer and other fees they consider it advisable that you should remit to their Secretary on account of the Estate of Shevaganga, an Accountant Generals Bill for 2000 Rupees from which they will direct the necessary disbursements keeping a regular Account of the same.

2 They are led to adopt this plan because they consider that to leave it with the Government Vakeel to arrange the fees &c. with the European Barrister and Attorney's would be objectionable. you will therefore give the Guardian to understand that the Government Vakeel has no concern in this matter.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. May 1851 } / Signed / W. H. Bayley Secretary.

To.

7256 — Fort St. George 22d. May 1851.

Read letter from the Collector of Coimbatore dated 6th. May and entered in Consultation 12th. May 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 53.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1171
From the Collector of Coimbatore

(24)

Sir,

In reply to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 12th. Instant, I beg to state, that I purpose to proceed to Madras on private affairs, and regret, I omitted before to mention the same. but seeing many leaves in the Gazettee, without such information, I concluded it was not necessary.

Coimbatore Collectors Office, Dotacamund 16th. May 1851 } / Signed E. B. Thomas Collector

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditer for the favorable consideration of Government.

(24–25)

Read.

Fort St. George 22d May 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 50.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1163.
From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 21st. March last with Enclosures, and to state that on the best enquiry I can find no information on the subject submitted for investigation in the Minutes of Consultation of the 3d July 1849.

The Rajputs in Malabar are very few and almost all of those few are foreigners.

Calicut
15th May 1851

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read.

26.

26-27

Fort St. George 22d May 1851

Read the following letter.

No. 634.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1143.
From Post Master General

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to ascertain and inform me from the daily Book of your office the name of the sender of a Post Paid letter despatched from the Post office at Tellicherry on the 25th August 1850 and delivered at your office, on the 31st Idem.

Fort St. George
General Post Office
17th May 1851

/Signed/ C. P. Brown
Post Master General

28

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Post Master General.

Bd. No. 1005
To the Post Master General

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 17th May 1851, I have the honor to inform you that from the Petition Register of this office, it appears that a Manuscript letter was received by Post on the 31st August 1850. Particulars of this paper are not however placed upon record, and from the enquiries which I have made I am led to believe that it must have been subsequently returned to the Post office Peon. It sometimes occurs that

28-29

that native letters, are delivered at this office in the supposition that they are Petitions for the Board of Revenue, but when it is found, upon translation, that they are not intended for this office, they are invariably returned to the Post Peon.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
22d May 1851

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

No 222.

Bd No 1019

30

To the Chief Secy to Government

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Relative to the management of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1259.

Fr. Govt. 14th Septr 1847

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th October 1847 and to copy of the despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 25th August of the same year, communicated therewith, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying abstract, exhibiting in one view, the transactions in the management of the Salt Revenue of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1259.

Stores

15

2. It will be observed from the Statement that the quantity of Salt in store in all the manufacturing Districts at the commencement of the Fusly was Indian Maunds 17,16,148-38-13. which added to that

manufactured during the year Indian maunds 59,79,119-18-4. made a total of Indian maunds 104,14,268-17-1-

Sale.

3 The sale of Salt in the several Districts in Fusly 1259 including Wastage 183,506-11-1 was Indian maunds 54,59,828-32-1- of which the following is an abstract

For consumption within the Madras Territories 33,64,825-38-10

For transport into Native States ——— 14,20,402-22-4

To the French Government ——— 57,938-22-4

For Export on requisition from Bengal Government ——— 181,177-4-4

For Export on private trade to Calcutta and other places ——— 2,51,978-18-6

5,27,322-21-4

Wastage ——— 183,506-11-1

Total ——— 54,59,828-32-1

Balance

4. The Balance of Salt in store at the close of the Fusly was I. maunds 49,54,439-25-4

Gross Revenue charges

5 The Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in Fusly 1259 amounted to Rupees 4,72,1,945-15-11- and the charges of every description exclusive of recoverable advances to Rupees 8,04,885-13-10-

Net Revenue

6- The Net Revenue derived was Rupees 39,17,060-2-1- which compared with results of the previous Fusly (Rupees 38,15,157-7-10) shews a net increase of Rupees 1,01,902-10-3. The Chief increase occurred in the Districts of Rajahmundry Chingleput Nellore and Tanjore.

7 There has been no alteration in the price of Salt during the year and the results do not appear to call for any particular remark, as they have been already reviewed in the Board's Proceedings upon the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in each District for Fusly 1259.

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St George, 22d May 1851

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Statement

Fort St. George

manufactured and

ly sold during

sold	Quantity sold for transport from across the Frontier for the supply of the Native states in the interior

s	d	£		s	d	£		
	6				6			
15	"	150,199	32	8				
39	"	4,436	17	8				
"	"	1013	"	"				
33	"	2,12,372	20	"				
8	"	28,875	28	"				
13	10	1,80,717	"	"				
20	"	"	"	"				
37	2	1,05,900	12	"				
20	"	"	"	"				
9	12	28,309	20	"				
"	"	"	"	"				
4	"	"	"	"				
11	2	1,65,957	12	"				
3	"	52,389	"	"				
16	"	2,33,232	"	"				
98	10	14,20,402	22	"				

22d May 1

Sold during and the Balance in Store at its close with

the year

Quantity Sold to French Government	Quantity Sold to regular Govt	on private account whether to Calcutta or other places	Wastage	Total Columns 5 to 10
7		9	10	11

£	s	d	£	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d
13,486	10	"		"	"	"	11,572	"	"	2,99,632	17	8
"	"	"		"	"	"	9,877	39	8	1,57,526	16	"
1,800	"	"		6,000	"	"	1139	"	"	2,53,983	"	"
"	"	"		"	"	"	39,120	"	"	2,98,957	13	"
"	"	"		"	"	"	13,767	12	"	4,07,299	8	"
"	"	"		"	"	"	21,534	12	"	4,96,662	25	10
"	"	"		"	"	"	4,875	"	"	3,29,677	20	"
"	"	"	18	1,11,218	19	14	11,670	3	9	7,85,086	32	9
"	"	"		"	"	"	2,535	"	"	21,505	20	"
29,652	12	"		3,500	"	"	13,990	32	"	2,71,708	32	12
12,100	"	"		1,920	"	"	3,415	32	"	5,09,329	32	"
"	"	"		"	"	"	612	"	"	3,14,601	24	"
"	"	"		"	"	"	29,142	17	4	4,83,983	10	6
1,500	"	"		"	"	"	356	30	"	3,37,826	33	"
"	"	"		75,839	38	8	19,897	32	12	4,98,053	27	4
57,938	22	"	181	2,51,978	18	6	183,506	11	1	54,59,828	32	1

Read

7262

Fort St George

22d May 1851

the financial results of this Sourcenee for Fusly 1259.

Balance in Store at the close of the year or differ=ence between columns 4 and 11.	Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in column 11	Deduct c of all des. tion excl of recover advanc	Net Revenue Fusly 1259			Fusly 1258			Increase			Decrease			Remarks
12	13	14.	15			15			17			18			19
P Mds	R	a	p.	a	p	R	a	p	R	a	p	R	a	p	
189,463 21 8	288,075 " 3	14,706	368	9	11	263,124	12	"	10,349	13	11	"	"	"	
29,938 20 "	141,653 4 "	13,018	534	14	9	112,075	6	11	16,559	7	10	"	"	"	To French Government at 6 Rs per 12 Maunds For Export to Calcutta at 15 Rs per 100 P Maunds.
34,288 " "	207,160 1 "	13,709	450	12	5	156,533	13	7	29,916	14	10	"	"	"	
246,884 11 8	259,831 5 2	20,998	732	11	11	292737	13	8	"	"	"	53,905	1	9	
586,573 32 "	384,631 14 6	52,668	663	7	9	377,162	14	8	"	"	"	45,199	6	11	
283,375 8 "	317,473 10 2	46,394	779	1	10	176,514	12	10	94,564	5	"	"	"	"	
239,874 " "	329,677 8 "	55,693	883	11	"	278,408	6	7	"	"	"	4,424	11	7	For Coc port to Chittagong at Rs 25 per 100 P Mds For Coc port to Calcutta at Rs 15 per Do.
1004,843 13 15	539,422 1 4	75,095	726	4	8	420,167	10	8	44,158	10	"	"	"	"	
7,223 4 "	18,970 8 "	1652	18	1	3	10,340	"	11	6,978	"	4	"	"	"	To French Government Mus Kassum Rs 14-8-9¼ per Ditto Cuddalore at Rs 8--2 Garce.
286,315 20 "	229,515 13 "	72,683	732	8	11	138,615	9	11							For Coc port by sea at Rs 42 per Garce
393,243 6 "	493,966 " "	57,237	728	5	10	413,296	14	4	18,216	15	"	"	"	"	For Coc port by sea at Rs 42 per Garce To French Government Rs 13 — "
368,428 8 "	313,989 9 9	34,114	875	6	3	267,101	5	1	23,431	7	6	"	"	"	
670,459 17 "	454,175 2 6	14,066	109	2	6	333,563	6	5	12,774	1	2	"	"	"	
215,054 6 13	336,667 1 10	127,416	250	8	10	248,071	"	11	"	"	"	20,454	3	11	
258,475 16 4	413,737 " 5	78,430	306	6	3	327,543	7	4	"	"	"	38,820	8	1	
									7,762	12	11	"	"	"	
4954,439 25 "	4721,925 15 11	804,815	7,460	2	1	3815,157	7	11	264,706	10	6	162,804	"	3	
									162,804	"	3	Dt Decrease			
									101,902	10	3	Net Increase			

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

22d Ma

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April last.

31

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April last.

32

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to lie over.

31-33

Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Collector of Bellary. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April last.

34

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April last.

35

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the months of April last.

36

Register

From the Collector of Malabar. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of April last.

37

Order on the 6 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

35-38

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation

39

on account of Chittagong Indent for 1850/51 upto 8th May 1851.

From the Collector of Bellary. Reporting that the accompanying Supplemental Statement should have been entered in the Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for January last but as it has been then omitted is now forwarded as Supplemental Return.

40

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Months of April last.

41

Reporting

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Reporting that certain
(42) Returns were not sent for the Month of April last.

From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary
(43) General for Carpenters.

From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary
(44) General for Carpenters.

Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents. Ordered that
(43-45) the original Indents be passed and returned.

" Proceedings drawn

T. Pearce

Compd. by J. Boyle

Diary.

23d May. Sent the following letter.-

To, The Collector of
Madura.-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the
receipt, by Bangly of a Volume of printed papers
prepared for the Appeal before the Privy Council in
the matter of the Shevagunga Zemindary.-

Bd. No. 1010.
To the Collector of Madura
(1)

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23d May, 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

At a Meeting Present.-

Monday, 26th May, 1851. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.
and
T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member absent on duty
as Commissioner Ns. Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting
read and approved.-

Read the following Extracts.

Bd. No. 1154
From the Secretary to Government
(2)

No. 459.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
dated 16th May, 1851.-

The Right Honorable the Governor in
Council

Council Sanctions the Saderwared and Extra Charges incurred in Fuslies 1258 and 1259 in the Revenue and Magistrates Departments of the several Districts specified in the foregoing List amounting in the aggregate to Rupees Thirty thousand five hundred and twenty Six, annas three and Pice five.-

Fusly 1258.- Rs. 4311-14-u.
" 1259- " 26214-5-5.
Total- Rs. 30526-3-5.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

To Accountant General and Civil Auditor

Order thereon.-

2-3

Ordered to be recorded- the usual printed letters will be sent to Collectors.-

---

Revenue Department.

No. 455.

B. No. 1139

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 16th May, 1851.-

4

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 3d April 1851 No. 15)

Under the further information now afforded, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the Enam and Shrotriem lands alienated by the late Firmul Row and confirmed by Government for life in the possession of the parties to whom they had been either sold or bestowed in gift by the deceased be continued as recommended by the Board of Revenue, unconditionally and exempt from all interference under

Mins: of Cons: 10th July 1849 No. 675.-

under the provisions of Regulation XXXI of 1802.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 1022.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation, and of the papers noted in the Margin, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to his letter dated 14th March 1851.-

4-5

Bd. Pro: 2d July 1849 Ex: No. 18 in Cons: 23d July. "
Bd. Pro: 3d April 1851.

B. No. 1153.

From the Secretary to Government.- Observations respecting the revenue derived from the sale of Stamped papers and Cadjans in No. 59.-

6

No. 457.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 16th May 1851.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 14th April 1851 No. 172)

Para 1. The Statements furnished in the correspondence accompanying the letter of the Board of Revenue recorded above exhibit the revenue derived from Stampt papers and Cadjans in Fusly 1259 to be as follows.-

Value of paper sold	Rs.	44380-	4- u
Value of Cadjans sold	"	1602	7- u- u
		45997	-4- u
Penalties levied by Civil Courts	Rs.	2196	-u- 3
Amount recovered in Pauper Causes		4545	-8-8.
Sundry other items		406	-6-4
		48055	-3-3.

The

2. The sale of Stamped paper was largest in.-

Tanjore	Rupees 52,088--
Malabar	" 51078-8--
Canara	" 51215-8--
	154,382-- being

in the proportion of nearly 35 per Cent to the sales in all the Districts of the Presidency. The sales in these three Districts amounted in Fusly 1258 to Rupees 133886-4. the increase in their favor in Fusly 1259. is therefore Rupees 20,495-12.-

3. The sale of Cadjans was confined to 9 Districts and was principally in.-

Tanjore	Rs. 3,466-- "
Malabar	" 7,407-8- "
	" 10,873-8- "
in the remaining 7 Districts	" 5,153-8- "
	" 16,027-- "

In Fusly 1258 the sales in Tanjore and Malabar amounted to Rupees 12,112-12,- those of Fusly 1259 have therefore fallen short by Rupees 1,239-4-4.

4. Of the total number of Stampt papers and Stampt Cadjans sold 262,037 rolls or 80 per Cent of the former, and 24,291 rolls or 93 per Cent of the latter, were of the value of one Rupee and under. There were also

also sold of the same value 8,884 rolls of Europe Decree paper.-

5. The charges which appear to the debit of the Stamp Department are.-

General and Contingent, including Establishments, commission to the Superintendent Darogahs, Venders &c.	52,168-2-8.
Refunds under Section IV Act 17 of 1848	24,548---
Repayments to parties in Suits under Orders of the Courts---	3,391-4--
	80,107-6-8.

The General charges are in excess of Fusly 1258 in Rupees 4364-3-3. arising principally from the increased amount paid in Commission to Darogahs and Venders in consequence of a larger sale of Stamps, and to the purchase to a greater extent of Country paper and Cadjans required to meet the demands in the Provinces.-

6. The net revenue of Fusly 1259. Rupees 4,05,947-12-7, is above that of Fusly 1258 in Rupees 4,057-10-10, arising principally from the operation of Act XVII of 1848, which was in force during the whole of the Fusly, but for only about 10 months of Fusly 1258.- It exceeds also

Fusly 1248 Rs. 4,31,734
" 1249 " 4,26,368
" 1250 " 4,41,561
" 1251 " 4,20,277
" 1252 " 4,12,360
" 1253 " 3,74,389
" 1254 " 3,09,358
" 1255 " 1,98,319
" 1256 " 2,35,739
" 1257 " 2,71,406
" 1258 " 3,05,490
" 1259 " 4,05,947

also the net revenue of Fusly 1253 which was brought into comparison in the review of the outturn of the previous Fusly, and is now approaching the revenue of Fusly 1252 or the Fusly preceding the enactment of Act VII 1844 and the consequent decrease in the sale of Stamp Nowannahs.-

7. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction to the Superintendent of Stamps to take credit for 5 Rolls of the value of Rupee 1-8-1 One and Annas Eight reported deficient by the Collectors, and for writing off to Profit and Loss the value of damaged and unserviceable stampt papers and Cadjans amounting to Rupees 6,046-12-6 Six Thousand and Forty Six, Annas Twelve and Pice Six, and authorizes the Superintendent to destroy the same.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.-

B. of W. 1021.
Order thereon
6-7
In Cons. 14th April 1851.-

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Letter to which it is reply, be communicated to the Acting Superintendent of Stamps with reference to Mr. Bruce's 2 letters dated respectively 27th March 1851.-

---

Read the following letter.-

No. 47.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.-

Sir,

B. of W. 1172
From the Collectors of Nellore.- Submitting a Report on the present condition of the Records English and Tamil.-

Para 1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 25th November last, I have the honor to submit the following Report on the present condition of the Records, English and Native, in the Hoozoor Cutcherry and in those of the subordinate Offices.-

Hoozoor English Records 2. These Records which date from the year 1801 are in charge of an English Record Keeper; they are generally in good condition and every precaution being taken to preserve them from injury, no portion of them has been lost. In the correspondence Department the arrangement of these Records is exceedingly defective, no Index has ever been prepared, and consequently when the papers relating to any particular subject are required much time and labor are necessarily expended in searching the various Books before they can be obtained. The subject of an entirely different arrangement of these Records with a view to facilitate reference

8

and

and the preparation of a full and copies Index is now under my consideration.-

Native Records Koozoor Cutcherry.- 3. These Records are contained in 1041 Duffters and are deposited on shelves and wooden Horses in 3 Rooms. The greater portion of them or 9,002 Duffters have been separately registered according to their respective Departments, the Registry of the Remainder will I hope shortly be completed. A small proportion (1/10) of those Records has been destroyed by White ants, the Remainder being in good condition.-

+Cotah. Survapully. Nellore. Talamunchy. Gungum. Calegherry. Woodiagherry. Ravoor Cavelly. Sydapoor. Goondavole. 4. The Reports received with reference to the condition of the Teloogoo Records in the Talooks noted in the + Margin show that the Registry of the Duffters though incomplete is progressing. Orders will be issued to finish the portion still to be done.-

5. The Records are reported to be generally in a good condition, though in only a few instances a Small portion of the Older Duffters is said to be missing.-

Poonmalatul poor 6. The same remarks refer to the condition of the Sub Division Telloogod Records. Reports on which have been received.-

Salt Divisions 7. The Records in these Divisions are few in number, and for the most part reported to be in bad condition. The registry of the Duffters has been

been only partially carried out, while Rats and white ants have been permitted to destroy a great Portion of the remainder. Orders will be issued to enforce greater attention to the State of the Duffters in future.-

Police Division
Dursey. Do.
Jagalapully Do.
Podilay Do.
Choondy Do.
Nellore Bustey
Naidepett Do.
Vencatagherry Do.
Paumbor Do.
Sada Do.

8. The Reports from the Police Divisions enumerated in the Margin show that the Records of only the three first Divisions have been Registered, and that only in part. The records of the remaining Divisions are said to be in good condition, tho' in one of them (the Choondy Division) the Records are reported to be in an incomplete state, the greater portion of the Duffters being lost.-

Post Offices
Nellore
Ongole
Ramiapatam
Naidepet-

9. The Records of the Post Offices in this District are reported to be in good condition while only a portion of the Duffters in the Ramiapatam Office appears to have been registered. A large portion of the Post Office Duffters contain records of no value whatever and which it would be best to destroy.-

Nellore - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry,
21st May 1851.-

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

Order thereon- Ordered to lie over.-

8-9

Read

Read the following letter.

No. 18.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

B. No. 1169.
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool
Reporting on the subject of a sudden Inundation of the River Toombodra on the night of the 6th May 1851.-

10

Para 1. On the 12th Instant I addressed a letter to the Chief Secretary to the Government forwarding a Copy of a communication which I had received from my Assistant Lieutenant Russell, intimating that on the night of the 6th Instant the River Toombodra had risen very suddenly to a height which it has never been known to reach in the rainy season, and that pouring through the breaches in the wall of the Pettah, it flooded a great part of the Town destroying many houses and a great amount of property of various Kinds.-

2. No lives were lost, and this is owing in a great degree to the energetic measures adopted at the time by Lieutenant Russell and the Police Ameen. I was about 60 Miles from Kurnool at the time and came in here on the 10th Instant. The nature of the loss in the Town was not of a character to affect directly the Land Revenue, and I therefore abstained from reporting the matter to the Board until I could obtain

obtain clear accounts of the loss sustained by the Villages bordering the River above and below Kurnool.-

3. In the Rundicootcoor Talook below Kurnool I am happy to say that only one Village has suffered to any considerable extent. In the Goodoor Talook lying above Kurnool many of the Villages on the border of the River appear to have suffered very severely, and the Tahsildar has forwarded to me an account, after himself visiting all the Villages, estimating the destruction of property in 13 Villages in houses grain and Stacks, irrespective of any other losses at Rupees 5,538-0-0.-

4. Several of the stone built reservoirs on the banks of the River with their leading channels have been utterly destroyed.-

5. The Goodoor Talook is the only one of which the settlement has not been yet made, and if the account of the loss sustained is corroborated on further enquiry, some remissions on this account will be necessary.-

6. In writing to the Government I have expressed my hope that for the future safety of the Town the wells of the Pettah on the River

Side

side may be kept in order. Not a drop of water come down the Kindree; but the waters of the Toomboodra flowed about 3 Miles up it's empty bed.-

7. On the day before the River came down the base of the building in the River where the measurements of depth are taken was dry, and I consider that it must have been at least 4 feet above the level of the small stream in the deepest part of the bed. The rise of the water above the base of this building was 29½ feet and I thus calculated the entire rise at about 34 feet.-

8. No Revenue building of any consequence has suffered, a few Sayer Chowkies have been washed away and the miserable Travellers Bungalow at Kurnool is injured to an extent which will I think preclude any attempt to repair it.-

Kurnool, - - -
Agent's Office,
17th. May 1851.-

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Agent to the Governor

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

(10-11)

Read

Read the following letter.-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.-

B. of R. No. 1167.
From the Collector of Chingleput.-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the four Copies of the "Circular orders of the Board of Revenue" forwarded with your letter of the 28th. Ultimo.-

(12)

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney 20th. May 1851.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.-

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

(12-13)

Read the following letter.-

No. 66.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,-
Fort St. George.

B. of R. No. 1135.
From the Collector of Salem.
May 12th. 1851.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter and of it's Enclosure, from the Civil Judge of Salem.- and request you will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government for my remitting to that Officer, the Sum of Rupees Ten/10/ being the amount of Stamp duty refundable to the Appellant in the Appeal suit No. 22 of 1847.-

(14)

Salem Collector's Cutcherry,
14th. May 1851.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector. To

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

To,

H. D. Phillips Esqre
Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Copy of a letter from the Register to the Court of Sudder Udalut, and to request that you will remit to this Court the Stamp duty therein referred to amounting to Rupees 10-0-0-

dated 29th April 1851.

A. S. No. 22 of 1847.

Salem, - - - - -
Civil Court, - - -
12th May 1851.-

(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge.

To, J. G. S. Bruere Esqre
Civil Judge
of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to sanction the refund of Stamp duty applied for in your letter of the 14th instant Viz.t 10/ten Rupees to the Appellant in Appeal Suit No. 22 of 1847 on the file of your Court.-

Sudr Udalut -
Register's Office,
29th April, 1851.-

(Signed) G. F. Beauchamp
Register.-

True Copy
(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge.

True Copies
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

No. 221.

Bd. 1017.

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that Sanction be granted for the payment of the abovementioned Sum of Rupees 10/ Ten, being the amount of Stamp duty refundable to the appellant in appeal Suit No. 22 of 1847 of the Civil Court of Salem.-

14-15

Read the following letter.-

No. 31.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1101.

From the Collr. of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to request the opinion of the Board for my guidance relative to granting permission to a Circar Servant to bid for land put up to public Sale in satisfaction of a decree against a party Cast in a Suit for debt, the Circar Servant wishing to purchase having been the Plaintiff in the Suit.-

an application under the above circumstances has just been made by a Deputy Tahsildar, and as I am somewhat in doubt a reference is made as directed in rule 2 of the Circular regarding the professions of

16

7279 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

To,

H. D. Phillips Esqre
Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the
Copy of a letter from the Register to the Court of
Sudr Udalut, and to request that you will
remit to this Court the Stamp duty therein
referred to amounting to Rupees 10-0-0-

dated 29th April 1851.

A. S. No. 22 of 1847.

Salem, - - - -
Civil Court, - - -
12th May 1851.

(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge.

To, J. G. S. Bruere Esqre
Civil Judge
of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the
Court of Sudr Udalut to sanction the refund
of Stamp duty applied for in your letter of
the 14th instant Viz. Rs. 10/ ten Rupees to the Ap:
=pellant in Appeal Suit No. 22 of 1847 on the file
of your Court.-

Sudr Udalut-
Register's Office,
29th April 1851.

(Signed) G. F. Beauchamp
Register.-

/True Copy/
(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge.

/True Copies/
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Proceedings

7280 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

Bd. 1017.

No. 221.

Proceedings thereon.

(14-15)

Resolved that the letter above
recorded be submitted for the consideration
and orders of the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council with the Board's re-
recommendation that sanction be granted
for the payment of the abovementioned
Sum of Rupees 10/ Ten, being the amount
of Stamp duty refundable to the appellant
in Appeal Suit No. 22 of 1847 of the Civil Court of Salem.-

Read the following letter.-

No. 31.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1101.

From the Collr.
of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to request the opinion of the
Board for my guidance relative to granting permission
to a Circar Servant to bid for land put up to public sale
in satisfaction of a decree against a party Cast in
a suit for debt, the Circar Servant wishing to purchase
having been the Plaintiff in the suit.-

an application under the above
circumstances has just been made by a
Deputy Tahsildar, and as I am somewhat in
doubt a reference is made as directed in rule
2 of the Circular regarding the professions of

(16)

7279 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

To,

H. D. Phillips Esqre
Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Copy of a letter from the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut, and to request that you will remit to this Court the Stamp duty therein referred to amounting to Rupees 10-0-0-

dated 29th April 1851.

A. S. No. 22 of 1847.

Salem, - - - - -
Civil Court, - - -
12th May 1851.

(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge.

To, J. G. S. Bruere Esqre
Civil Judge
of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to sanction the refund of Stamp duty applied for in your letter of the 14th instant Viz. Rs. 10 ten Rupees to the Appellant in Appeal Suit No. 22 of 1847 on the file of your Court.-

Sudr Udalut-
Register's Office,
29th April 1851.

(Signed) G. F. Beauchamp
Register.-

True Copy
(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge.

True Copies
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Proceedings

7280 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

Bd. 1017.

Proceedings thereon.

No. 221.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that Sanction be granted for the payment of the abovementioned Sum of Rupees 10 Ten, being the amount of Stamp duty refundable to the appellant in appeal Suit No. 22 of 1847 of the Civil Court of Salem.-

14-15

Read the following letter.-

No. 31.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1101.

From the Coll. of Tanjore

16

Sir,

I have the honor to request the opinion of the Board for my guidance relative to granting permission to a Circar Servant to bid for land put up to public Sale in satisfaction of a decree against a party Cast in a Suit for debt, the Circar Servant wishing to purchase having been the Plaintiff in the Suit.-

An application under the above circumstances has just been made by a Deputy Tahsildar, and as I am somewhat in doubt a reference is made as directed in rule 2 of the Circular regarding the profession of

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

of land by Circar Servants under date 28th August 1849-Irequest the favor of an early reply as the sale of the land will shortly take place.-

Tanjore, ... } (Signed) J. F. Bishop
Negapatam, 9th May 1851. } Collector.-
Bd. No. 1013.
Proceedings thereon.-

(16-17)

The Board of Revenue observe that Section XX Regulation XXVII of 1802 prohibits Native Revenue Officers from becoming "purchasers of lands sold at public sale in the Zillahs in which they may be respectively employed." By a subsequent Enactment however (Regulation VII. 1822) this prohibition is explained to be applicable "to lands of every description sold at public sale, by or under the orders of a Collector."- It is clear from it's preamble, that the last mentioned Regulation was chiefly intended to declare the former prohibition as not confined to Zemindary lands, but to be applicable to lands of every description, nevertheless the final clause "sold by or under orders of a Collector" does in the Board's opinion, confine the restriction to such sales.-

2. Under this view of the Law, the Board perceive no objection to a Revenue Servant purchasing lands sold by public Auction, such auction not being made through the Collector.-

3. The Board desire that Mr. Bishop will in future, in requesting their opinion upon any a doubtful point, state the grounds of his doubts to enable them the more clearly to understand the Nature of the question placed before him.-

Read

Fort St. George 26th May 1851

Read the following letter.

No. 44.

To,
W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1065.
From the Collector of Madura ... } Sir.
Para 1.

I have the honor to forward a report and enclosures by the Acting Sub-Collector Mr. Levinge on the accompanying Petitions from the Ryots of Munjanaicken-putty and other sequestered Villages attached to the Vailoor Moottah in the Iyempoolly Talook, complaining of excess Collections and praying that increased Peerwa may not be levied on Poonjah land converted to Garden by means of Wells dug at their own expense.-

Reporting upon Petitions	
Nos. 328, 464, 686, 1408 and 2326 of 1850-68 and 462 of 1851 on the subject of excess tax complained of by the Ryots of Munjanaicken-putty &c. Villages.	328 of 1850
	464 of Do.
	686 of Do.
	1408 of Do.
	2326 of Do.
	65 of 1851
	462 of Do.

(18)

2. I regret the delay which has taken place in reporting on these Petitions, but the information first supplied me from the Sub-Division in which the Villages in question are situated being unsatisfactory I found

found it necessary to call for a specific Report from the Acting Sub Collector, which not having been furnished, when Mr Levinge took Charge I requested his immediate attention to the subject. That Gentleman in consequence made a full enquiry the result of which is shewn in his letter.-

3. It will be seen that the whole Vailoor Mootah was originally sequestered for arrears of Revenue; that the greater part of the Villages have been given back and that the 3 Villages, from which the Petitions emanate, have been retained under Sircar Management though there is no balance of Peshcush owing to disputes between the Zemindar, his Renter and the Ryots.-

4. It appears that some Ryots have been made to pay more than was due from them and some less. I have requested Mr Levinge to retain in deposit from the Current Collections an amount equal to what has been over collected and beg

beg to support his recommendation that it may be refunded to the Ryots. Of the propriety of recovering from the Ryots who were under assessed at the time of Summahlundy the decrease below the full teerwa. I entertain considerable doubt and would propose that the failure in demand at the proper time should excuse from responsibility for the past, the full teerwa being taken from them in future.-

5. In some cases it will be observed Mr Levinge has found the complaints of the Ryots to be without foundation, and I consider their main requisition Viz.t the exemption of Poonjah land converted to Garden by wells dug at their own expense from Extra Teerwa on that account to be inadmissable as such exemption is contrary to the practice of the District at large.-

6. To remedy the hardship which the Ryots experience from all land once converted to Garden being charged with a Garden tax though the well affords sufficient water for a portion only, Mr Levinge proposes

proposes that "a certain portion of land round
"each well should be fixed as Garden land
"with a Garden tax and the rest as Poonjah:
"and if they (the Ryots) afterwards obsti-
"-nately alter the arrangement the Poonjah
"land should be taxed as Baghayet and
"no remission allowed on the fixed Garden
"land." It appears to me that this plan
may lead to future dissension between the
Ryots and the Zemindar and that the latter
will obtain all he is entitled to, if, without
fixing the particular land, the extent of
which the Well will irrigate be calculated,
and the demand be limited to the tax on
thereon, whether the particular Spot cultivated
with Garden be changed or not..

As there is no Balance
of Peshcush I concur with Mr. Levinge
in thinking it advisable that the Villages
still remaining in segregation should be
made over to the Mootahdar as soon as
Mr. Levinge has made the personal en-
-quiry he proposes as regards the Wells, Balances
and

and Rates of assessment and I have autho-
-rized him to pursue this Course..

Madura
30th April 1851

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 1.

To
R. D. Parker Esqre.
Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward two
Statements affording the explanations
called for in the 2d Para of your letter
of the 21st June 1850. Statement No. 1
Shews that the sum of Rs. A P 3-2-- should
be refunded. This sum is a Collection
in excess of Puttahs; and was unavoid-
-ably made in consequence of the Collections
having been made with reference to those
of the former year. At the settlement,
I am informed, the Ryot not having been
present, the over Collections could not
be returned to him. This excuse is not
satisfactory

satisfactory: the amounts should be refunded without delay.

2. A. II shews that from two Ryots of Sundulvadompully a higher rate of Teerwah than the Hoolovs to the amount of 24 Rupees /Twenty four/ and 5 Pice has been over-collected in Fuslies 1255 and 1256. The Ryots of that Village complained to Mr. Clarke who ordered an examination to be made. The result was a discovery that in several Cases higher, and in others lower rates were being Collected than those which were entered in the Fysal accounts. Since the examination correct rates have been levied, but the excess which it was discovered by the examination, had been collected in Fuslies 1255 and 1256, was not refunded: and the balance which was outstanding according to those improper rates was not remitted but collected from the Ryots, by attachment, in many Cases of their property; whilst, the amount, was fairly due by those who were assessed too low, was not attempted to be collected. The only reason that I can now obtain through the Putchevy servants for the perpetration

perpetration of such a piece of injustice is that the Summabundy had been made, and that therefore the amount fixed could not be remitted. I recommend that whatever sums have been over collected in consequence of too high an assessment be returned from the present Collections, that any such improper Balance still remaining uncollected, but entered in the accounts, be struck off, and that the amount due by those who were too lowly assessed be collected.

3. According to Statement A. II B Mungalnaikenputty Ryots have no just cause of Complaint. Those Ryots also complained of over assessment, and the Tahsildar was ordered to make an Aymash of the lands one year ago; but it has not been commenced.

4. With reference to the 3d Para of your letter I find that on the 20th November 1845 Mr. Elliot Zufted the Villages of Mungalnaikenputty and Sendelvaidumputty for arrears which the Zemindar was unable to collect

Collect. On the 16th April 1846 the whole Mettah was Zufted for arrears, and in July 1847 Mr. Blackburne when in charge of the Sub Collectorate sent an order to make over to the Zemindar each Village as the balance against it was collected. Accordingly 5 Villages have from time to time been made over and these three Munjalmaikkum Zputty, Sendalvadom putty and Savatory remain in Zufty. There is no arrears of Peshcush, the Zemindar pays it irrespective of these Villages. The cause of the present state of confusion in these Villages is that the Zemindar rented them, and between the Renter the Zemindar and the Ryots a dispute arose concerning the rates of the Coule; the former trying to raise the Seerah and the latter resisting - Since the Villages have come under Circar management. the same rules of assessment have been observed as those in force in Ayen Villages. The Ryots chiefly object to Garden tax being laid on Poonjah lands in which they have dug Wells and Cultivate Garden produce. It seems that they one year cultivate one portion of a

field with Garden crop, and the remainder with Poonjah produce; the next year the order is reversed, the whole field thus becomes saddled with a Garden tax, which they are not able to bear as the water in the Well is not sufficient to cultivate the whole. To remedy this a certain portion of land round each well should be fixed as Garden land with Garden tax and the rest as Poonjah; and if they afterwards obstinately alter the arrangement, the Poonjah land should be taxed as Bagoyet - and no remission allowed on the fixed Garden land. This done and fully explained to the Ryots I think there would be no further difficulty. There is a balance against those Villages amounting to Rupees 2374-11-10. accruing since July 1253 - For this I imagine that the Circar Servants are chiefly to blame - The balance once collected their living is gone; and I do not wonder at the Ryots refusing to pay and making much Takrar; when I see the irregularities that are practised.. Next Month when I go to the Iyempully Talook for Summahundy I propose visiting the Villages, & making a personal enquiry on the spot, examine the Wells, the rates &c and make a settlement & report the result, arrange for the Collection of the arrears and making over the Villages to the Zemindar as it does not appear advisable that they should be held in Zufty. -

5. The Petitions[x] of the Ryots to the Board of Revenue are herewith returned, should you require any other papers relative to this Case, I shall be happy to forward.

[x] No. 328 4/4 486 1408 & 2326 of 1850.

Dindigul, 11th April, 1851.

(signed) V. H. Levinge
Asst Sub Collector

(True Copy
signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

1291

Fort St. George

No. 2 Statement shewing the Particulars of the assessment attached to the Ryots of Munjanaickenputty and other sequestered Villages

No.	Names of Villages.	Names of Ryots.	Fusly
1	2	3	4
1	Munjanaickenputty	Chinna Tavana Gowndew	1255
2	Sindalavadenputty	Poodeocody Sungaragowndew	1255
	Munjanaickenputty	Do	1257
3	Sindalavadenputty	Vunjea Gowndew	1255
4	Munjanaicken Putty	Chinnapuranea Gowndew	1250
5	Do	Do	Do
6	Sindalavadenputty	Manoor Nullea Gowndew	1255
		Patchacal Coppana Gowndew	
7	Do	Koolycoothoo Gowndew	1255
"	Do	His son Chinna Gowndew	1257
8	Do	Chayuckaul	1255
9	Do	Nunjea Gowndew	1253
"	Do	Do	1254
10	Do	Soondaralingum pillay	1253
"	Do	Do	1254

Dindigul, Sub Collectors

26 [illegible] ment Board of Revenue by her rate of [illegible]lly attached the reference to [illegible]

1256.

The amount of the assessment Petition	Amount of Puttah	Hoolcoo rate per Coontah	Extent of Fasl cultivated Land.			Observations
	5	6	7			8
		Terms	Coolz	a	C	Rs as p
Rs						8-9-3
77	d a Balance of 10 Rupees. 8by 1255 and entered in his ction.-	5	10	7½		1 2-10
						9-12-1
2		4	4	4		1-1-8
11	the Sindalavadenputty boundary dispute was settled ding to the settlement for					8-10-5
		"	14	11½		
10	ried to account for arrears					r over
	s/por- nting to Rs 11-13-4 Vide Cur-					Fasles 1255
60		6	15	2		9 annas.
6						Here
9		6	12	12½		3-7.
7	to check which however as p a balances of 12-2 vide					
	w.-	5	10	5		
7						
6						
9	12-13 is the exact amount of Puttah.-	6	1	8½		
9	Ryots Puttah the remainder Rupees to Curnam on the 4th September					
		6	4	3¾		from this Ryot.
	t Rupees 16-7-. as stated					
16	from him he did not produce	"	43	15½		
16	5 Rs 16-7-. he too did not					

Collectors Office

Cule

1291

Fort St. George.

No. 2 Statement of the Assessment Wuran the Hoslos levied on certain Lands in the sequestered Village of Sindalavaden pilly belonging to the Ryot Poonnavanempilly & others in A.D. 1850. as per Petitions presented to the Board of Revenue by them.

No.	Names of the Ryots.	The extent Cultivated.		No. of Fields.	Names of the Fields.	According to examination ordered in Jusly 1257 the entry should be as under. Hoslos rate per Coorlak.	Extent of Fresh Ayacut Land.		Quantity Cultivated.		Remarks.
											Rs. as. p.
1		8		9	10	11	12		13		
		Coolc.	as.			Jan.y	Coolc.	as.	Coolc.	as.	
1	Munjan	5	12¼	178	Anady Taresee Poonjah	2	158	10½	6	10	In Fuslies 1255 & 1256 an excess of Collection was made of 8-9-3
2	Sindala										Do 1-2-10
		1	5								In Fusly 1257 Do Total 9-12-1
	Munjan										
3	Sindal	7	1¼								Fuslies 1258 & 1259 Correct.
4	Mung										Balance against him in Fusly 1256 1-1-8
5											Over Collection from the Ryot 8-10-5
6	Sindala	8	13¾	149	Sinnasiapoon Caroveleno Poonjah	6	9	3¾	1	15	
7		"	11½								According to the examination it appears that an over Collection of 15 Rs. 6 ans. was made from this Ryot in Fuslies 1255 and 1256; In Fusly 1257 to the amount of 1 Rupee 9 annas. The Collection made in Fusly 1258 appears correct - There is a balance against him in Fusly 1259 of Rupees 5-3-7.
"		3	10	150	Anody Taresee Poonjah	5	6	2¼	2	4	
8											In Fuslies 1255 & 1256 Rs. 15-6-a
9											In Fusly 1257 Rupees 1-9-a
		1	8¼	178	Do Do	2	158	10½	9	7¼	16-15-a
"											Balance due in Fusly 1259 Rs. 5-3-7
		"	13¾								
10											Rupees 11-11-5 over Collected from this Ryot.
		15	9¼		Total	"	"	"	13	10½	
"											

" 11th April 1851 E. E. per /Signed/

V. H. Levinge
acting sub Collector
/True Copy/ /signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

No 4. Cheques
Moottah inann

26th May 1851.

tered Village Monjaniackenputty attached to the Vailoor Pillay and others in A.D. 1850.

Subjects com	Rate per goontah.	Total of Colms 9 - 11 - and 13.		Remarks.
	14	15		16
	3/4	Cools	as.	
Sha	"	9	"	At the time of the Fysel this was Poonjah
Field was				and assessed at 4 Janams the goontah, having been converted
goontah; whe	3	22	2 3/4	into Bagayet. The Tax was raised to 10 Janams - In Jusly
Jusly 1256 to	10	9	13 3/4	1255 in consequence of scarity of water the land was cultiv-
1257 to 10 Ja	10	18	3	-vated with a Poonjah crop & the Poonjah Tax ordered to be
		13	14	levied - by mistake the Curnum entered it at only 3 Janams.
C	"			In Jusly 1257 and 1258 as there was a sufficiency of water
Field was a	"	5	10	in the Well the Bagayet Tax was collected, which is correct. -
whereas in Ju	"	7	14	In Fusly 1255 the Zufty Goomastah fixed the Tax (shewn in
to 15 Janam	"	7	6 3/4	Column 12) on the Garden land with reference to the rate observed
the goontah	"	4	12 1/2	for the neighbouring Fysel Bagayet lands, which tax the ryots
		5	9	paid. In 1256 they requested that the rate may be lowered.
				After due consideration of their case, it was accordingly reduced
H	"	2	8 1/2	in Jusly 1256 to 10 Janams the Goontah. -
for this field	"	2	8 1/2	
per Goontah	"	2	8 1/2	
to 12 Janam	"	2	8 1/2	
Janams the	"	2	8 1/2	

Signed/ W. H. Levinge
Jr Sub Collector

True Copy/ Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George 26th May 1851

Bd No 1043
Proceedings thereon.

18-19

From the foregoing communication it appears that the Petitioners' Statements of over-assessment beyond the regular Jyaul rates were in two instances correct and the Board approve of the Collector's suggestions to regulate the proper rates for the future, as well as to refund to the Ryots such sums as may be found to have been over-collected.—

In regard to the tax levied on Poonjah lands which are cultivated with garden produce by means of Wells formed at the expense of the Ryots, the Board think the usage of the District should as far as practicable be followed, and the garden assessment of each well regulated as proposed by the Collector at the Conclusion of Para 6 of his letter.—

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos 328-464-686-1408 & 2326 of 1850 and 65-462 and 959 of 1851.

"Petitioners are referred to the Collector to whom instructions have been sent on

Fort St. George 26th May 1851

on the subject of their Petition."—

Read the following letters.—

No 43.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd No 1114
From the Collector of Malabar ... } Sir,

20

Adverting to the 4th para of the Board's Proceedings dated 17th March last, I have the honor to transmit Copy of a letter from the Collector of Land Revenue at Bombay dated 25th Ultimo containing the information therein desired as to the manner in which the Bombay rate for a Parrah of 2,950 Cubic inches was ascertained.

A measure of the above
2.
capacity has been received from Bombay and will be reserved as a standard in constructing the number required for this District.—

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Calicut, ... }
8th March, 1851. }

No. 1.

To,

Hs. Conolly Esqre.

Collector of Calicut.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 667 dated 28th Ultimo with enclosure, and to transmit the accompanying Extract being Para 3d. from a Report made by Mr. Collector Payne to Government on the 30th November 1840 containing the only information the records of this Office afford, relative to experiments connected with the introduction of a para measure of 2950 Cubic inches, as the equivalent of an Indian maund by weight, in the delivery of Salt from the works on this Island.-

2. I have forwarded, direct to you, per Pattimar Dustgirry, Tindal Goolam Hussen, which sailed on the 23d. Instant, one of the Metres in use in

in this Department - The dimensions are Length 19 inches - Breadth 19 inches Depth 8 1/2 inches - a Bill for the Cost of the measure and freight accompanies amounting to Rupees 5-12-"-

Bombay, Collector's Office, 25th April, 1851. } (Signed) C. G. Prendergast Collr. of Land Revs.

Extract of a Report made by Mr. Collector Payne to Government, No. 190, dated 30th November, 1840.-

Para 3. I am informed by my Assistant Mr. Macleod that "the exportation of Salt "measuring considerably soon after this, the "establishment was unable to meet the de- "mand for this Article owing to the slowness "of the procis of weighing and an attempt "was made with the Collector's sanction to "substitute a measure calculated to hold "3200 tolas which would represent the Bengal "Maund, this was found to answer so well "that it was followed up fully, the scales "and weights being still retained at each "Pan to prove the correctness of the measure "or to be had recourse to when any trader "preferred receiving the article by weight.- "At the commencement of the present year

measures

"measures similar to ours were adopted by the
"Deputy Collector of Continental Customs and
"Excise, on which occasion he advised this
"Department saying I propose to adopt the
"system of obtaining in Bombay subject to
"the sanction of Government, whether he did
"apply to Government for sanction does not
"appear, but the measure is I am told in
"universal use in the Pans under his control."

/True Extract/
/Signed/ C. G. Prendergast
Collector of Land Revenue.

To
The Collector of Malabar.

Dr.

To 1 wooden Salt measure of Salt equal to an Indian Maund together with a wooden Roll — Rs 4 - 8 - 0
Covering Ditto with Gunny — 0 - 4 - 0
Coolay hire and freight on Ditto — 1 - 0 - 0
Rupees - 5 - 12 - 0

Bombay Collector's Office, the 25th April, 1851. Errors Excepted.
/Signed/ C. G. Prendergast
Collector of Land Revenue.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Bd No. 1014
Proceedings thereon.

(20-21)

The Board have already /17th March 1851/ authorized the use of the Purrah of 2950 cubic inches as equivalent to an Indian maund - the present information throw no light on the question as to how this calculation was made, but the Board would wish Mr Conolly to report to them what the Purrah transmitted to him from Bombay does weigh when filled with salt.

Jr

No. 49.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd No. 1162.
From the Collector of Malabar - In reply to their Proc. dated 7th Ultimo.

(22)

Sir,

Mr Thomas has sent me a copy of his report to the Board under date the 23d Ultimo on the subject of the Superintendence of the Anamalla and Walliar forests. These forests, so far as the Government is concerned, are entirely connected with Coimbatore, and I do not think that my local situation gives me any advantage which would enable me to assist the Board or Government in their determination as to the propriety of Mr Thomas' recommendation.-

I have hardly any personal knowledge of Mr Poulton who has for many years past been employed in Canara.-

Calicut, - - 15th May 1851.
(Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.-

Order thereon
Ordered to lie one.

(22-23)

Read the following letters.-

Bd No. 1121.
From the Collector of Madras - Recommending the

(24)

No. 50

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

Para 1.

I have the honor to bring to the notice

the grant of a remission of Rs. 2891-14-4. in favor of the Toddy Shop Keepers in Consequence of the recent bad weather.-

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

notice of the Board of Revenue that on Tuesday the 6th instant, a large body of Toddy Shop Keepers waited upon me personally, and presented a petition soliciting a reduction in the stipulated amount of the daily taxe for some Months to come, in consequence of the damage occasioned to the Cocoanut plantations within the Abkarry limits of Madras, by the drought during some Months of the year, and by the Gale of the 4th and 5th of the current Month.-

2. I informed the Petitioners that it did not appear to me that the damage to the Cocoanut trees, if any was such as to render the grant of any remission at all, on that ground, necessary; but they assured me that their claim was well founded, and requested, accordingly, that due examination of some of the Topes might be made as soon as possible, in order to ascertain the alleged fact.-

3. I deputed, without loss of time, the proper Officers of the Department to make the necessary examination. Their report, which I received on the 9th being fully confirmatory of the impression on my own mind, that the Petitioner's statement was far from being correct, I returned them an immediate answer to that effect, informing them, at the same time, that, if they were desirous of retaining their respective licenses, they should discharge

forthwith

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

forthwith the arrears of revenue which they had withheld from the 5th instant.-

4. On the 8th they assembled within the compound of the Cutcherry in large numbers, and on the 9th they presented themselves before me again, and complained of their inability to pay the full taxe. I then observed to them that, although the trees did not sustain material injury it is within my knowledge that no Toddy had been drawn on the evening of Sunday the 4th nor the morning of the 5th but that I was satisfied that on the evening of that day as well as on the 6th many of them, in the hope of extorting a remission equal to their demand, neglected to cut and prepare the flowers, which, alone, was sufficient to diminish the yield for several days.-

5. Although it was thus evident that they were themselves to blame, to a certain extent, for this untoward state of things, I informed them that I was prepared to recommend to the Board the remission of the whole demand for 5 days, from the 5th instant, provided they should be punctual in the payment of the taxe from the 10th having previously, in order to guard against any disappointment, made an arrangement with

the

the abstract renter of Chingleput to supply 120 Gallons of Toddy daily for the use of the Bathing Ferries.-

6. The Petitioners seemed dissatisfied with my decision, and appeared before me, for the third time, at a late hour in the afternoon of Saturday the 10th instant, repeating their request that they might be allowed a remission of part of the tax, for, at least, the next 6 Months. I observed that I could see no cause to alter the decision come to after due deliberation, on the previous day; but that such of them, however, as were unwilling to adhere to it might give up their licenses.-

7. In the meanwhile, I issued peremptory orders to my Establishment to close the Shop of every man who should offer the least resistance or refuse to pay the demand for the 10th, but on their proceeding to execute the orders, the petitioners came down with the money at once, and have, since, been regular in the fulfilment of their original contract with the Circar. It is due to one of the heads of the Caste and an influential man, Sabapathy Soobroy Grammany - that I should bring prominently to the notice of the Board that, having listened attentively

attentively to all that I could urge in justification of the measure resorted to by me, it was he that set them a good example, by paying the tax in the early part of the day, and refusing to join the refractory party.-

8. As regards the Palmira Toddy shops which are only temporary shops, the holders of them agreed on the 5th instant to pay the tax from the 6th on being allowed a remission for 3 days, Viz.t, from the 3d to the 5th.-

9. Under the foregoing explanation I solicit the necessary sanction for writing off the demand on the Cocoanut Toddy shops from 5th to 9th instant, Rupees 2,694-4-10, and on the Palmira Toddy shops from 3d to 5th instant Rupees 197-9-6, making together, Rupees 2,891-14-4.-

2,694-4-10
197-9-6
2891-14-4

Madras,-
14th May 1851.-
B. No. 1015.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston.
Collector.-

Proceedings thereon.- Before passing final orders on the Subject of this Petition, the Collector will be pleased to explain more fully why he has extended his recommendation for a remission of the Toddy revenue from three to five days, as the former would appear

(24-25)

to

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

to be the actual period during which no Toddy was drawn from the trees.-

---

No. 51.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

B. No. 1140.
From the Coll.r of Madras &
Register of Govt. Grants.
26
Tookg Collector of Guntoor 30th April 1851.
From Do. 10th May-u

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith, for further orders, Copy of a correspondence with the Acting Collector of Guntoor, being observed by him that the application of the Reverend Messrs. Gunn and Mortz was for 2½ Acres of land, and not "2½ Cawnies."-

Note
2½ acres are equal to Cawney 1: 21: 900.-

2. As regards the matter alluded to in Para 2 of Mr. Purvis' letter, I shall draw his attention to the instructions of Government under date the 11th August 1835, which were communicated to the Collector of Guntoor on the 17th of the Month following.-

From Board 24th August 1835.-

Madras,
16th May, 1851.-

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector and Register of
Govt. Grants.

To

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

No. 1.

To,
A. Purvis Esqre
Acting Collector of
Guntoor.-

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th instant together with the orders of Government therein referred to for the issue of a Permission Certificate to the Reverend Messrs. Gunn and Martz for certain land in your District.-

Cawnies 2½

2. As the land is to be built upon, I beg to observe that the Certificate should be in the form A adverted to in the rules forwarded to your Office on the 2d April 1835, and that the Government fee for a registry in this Office is Rupees 35.-

Madras,
30th April, 1851.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collr. & Regr. Govt. Grants.-

To, J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras and
Register of Govt. Grants.

Sir,

Before preparing the Certificate and

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

and it's counterpart for the grant referred to in your letter of the 30th Ultimo, I have the honor to bring to your notice that the application of Messrs. Gunn and Martz was for 2½ acres assessed at Rupees 6-4-0 per Annum, and not 2½ Cawnies as mentioned in the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d April last; you may perhaps under these circumstances think further reference to Government is necessary.-

2. I take this opportunity of asking for information as to the mode in which the value of the land is to be calculated in order to determining the amount of stamp on which the documents should be drawn out.-

Guntoor Zillah,-
Collector's Office,-
Dindy, 10th May 1851.

(Signed) A. Purvis
Acting Collector.-

(True Copy)
(Signed) G. A. Hudleston
Coll.r and Register of
Govt. Grants.-

Order thereon.-

(26-27)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

No. 229.-

B. of R. No. 1046

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Sec.t to Government.-
In Cons.: 28th April 1851.

With reference to Extract Minutes

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d April 1851, authorizing the issue of a Permission Certificate in the names of the Reverend Messrs. Gunn and Martz, for a spot of land measuring 2½ Cawnies in the Village of Ventapollem in the Baupetlah Taloo.k, of the Guntoor District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras dated 16th May 1851, from which it will be seen that the original application of these Gentlemen, was for 2½ acres instead of 2½ Cawnies, and to request that the orders of Government may be revised accordingly.-

In Cons.: 26th May 1851.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May, 1851.-

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.-

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

No. 224.-

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

B. of R. No. 1020.

To the Chief Sec.t to Government.-

(28)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors the Bro.s Ken Set of the Proceedings of the

7308 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.

The Commissioner in the Northern Circars for the Month of December 1850.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George. 26th May 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

Resolved that the following Letters be despatched.

2d Dft. 1023. To, The Collector of Madras.

To the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to expedite the despatch of your Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta on Private Trade for the Month of April 1851.

29 Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 20th May 1851. (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

2d Dft. 1035. To, The Collecting Chief & Reductor, Northern Circars.

To the Collecting & Reductor, Northern Circars.

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Braes letter of the 5th October 1849, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit according to the form annexed thereto, a Statement of the Uncovenanted Servants holding the Offices of Head or Deputy Sheristadar on the Establishment of the Board of Revenue as they stood on the 1st May 1851.

30 Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1851. (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

7309 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.

No. 1.

Statement of all Uncovenanted Servants holding the Offices of Head or Deputy Sheristadar on the Establishment of the Board of Revenue as they stood on the 1st May 1851.

Names.	Age.	Date and Description of present appointment.	Length of Service.	Total amount of Salary per Mensem.	Total amount of Salary per Mensem.
	Years M d	1835	Years M. D	Rs A P	Rs A P
Kundy Narasinga Row	54 " "	January 21 1849	Head Sheristadar 33 11 "	800 " "	9,600 " "
Royapah	47 " "	February 24	Naib Sheristadar 27 " "	250	3,000 " "
				1,050 " "	12,600 " "

N. B. Royapah is now doing duty with the Commissioner in the Northern Circars.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

To

7308 Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

the Commissioner in the Northern Circars for the Month of December 1850.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1851.- (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

B. of R. No. 1023. To the Collector of Madras.

To, The Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to expedite the despatch of your Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta on private trade for the Month of April 1851.-

(29)

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1851.- (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.-

---

B. of R. No. 1035. To the Acting Civil Auditor.

To, The Acting Civil Auditor.

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Bruce's letter of the 6th October 1846, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit according to the form annexed thereto, a Statement of the Uncovenanted Servants holding the Offices of Head or Deputy Sheristadar on their Establishment as they stood on the 1st May 1851.-

(30)

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1851.- (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.-

Statement-

7309

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

Statement of all Uncovenanted Servants holding the Offices of Head or Deputy Sheristadar on the Establishment of the Board of Revenue as they stood on the 1st May 1851.

Names.	Age.	Present Date and Description of Appointment.	Length of Service.	Total Amount of Salary per Mensem.	Total Amount of Salary per Annum.
			Years M.s d	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Kundy Narasingal Row	54	Head Sheristadar	33 11	800	9,600
Royapah	47	Head Sheristadar	24	250	3,000
					12,600

N.B. Royapah is now doing duty with the Commissioner in the Northern Circars.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May 1851.- (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

To

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.-

To, The Acting Civil
Auditor.

B. No 1036.
To the Actg. Civil
Auditor -

Sir,

With reference to the
notification from your office dated
5th May 1851, published in the Fort
St. George Gazette of the 13 Idem,
I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to transmit the accom:
=panying Statement prepared accord:
=ing to the prescribed form, shewing
the amount of Pensions paid thro'
this Office, during the official
year 1850/51 on certificates to
the families of deceased
public Servants who are
unconnected with the
late

31

Fort St. George 26th May 1851.

late Native Pension Fund.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Statement

26th May 1857.

deceased servants unconnected with the late Native ca-

drawn in each month.

September 1850.	October 1850	November 1850.	December 1850	January 1851.	February 1851	March 1851.	April 1857.
Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P
6 " "	6 " "	6 " "	6 " "	4 13 5	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "
10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "
16 " "	16 " "	16 " "	16 " "	14 13 5	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "

abovementioned Pensioners is alive and upon careful muster

under which the Pensions to the Children of W. Goodman

was originally granted still exist with the exception of

26th May 1857.

deceased servants unconnected with the late Native ...

drawn in each month.

September 1850.	October 1850	November 1850.	December 1850	January 1857.	February 1851	March 1857.	April 1857.
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
6	6	6	6	4 13 5	3	3	3 .. ..
10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10 .. ..
16	16	16	16	14 13 5	13	13	13 .. ..

abovementioned Pensioners is alive and upon careful muster

under which the Pensions to the Children of W. Goodman

was originally granted still exist with the exception of

26th May 1857.

deceased servants unconnected with the late Native ...

drawn in each month.

September 1850.	October 1850	November 1850.	December 1850	January 1851.	February 1851	March 1851.	April 1851.
Rs. A8	Rs. AP	Rs. A8	Rs. A8	Rs. A8	Rs. A8	Rs. A8	Rs. A8
6 ..	6 ..	6 ..	6 ..	4 13 5	3 ..	3 ..	3 ..
10 ..	10 ..	10 ..	10 ..	10 ..	10 ..	10 ..	10 ..
16 ..	16 ..	16 ..	16 ..	14 13 5	13 ..	13 ..	13 ..

abovementioned Pensioners is alive and upon careful muster

under which the Pensions to the Children of W. Goodman

was originally granted still exist with the exception of

Pension Fund in the Official year 1850/51.—

Total for the current Official year 1850/51.	Grand Total including arrears.	Terms of Pensions.	Remarks.
Rs. As	Rs. As		
61 13 5	61 13 5	To be reduced 3 Rupees on each son attaining the age of 18 years and on the Marriage of the daughter.—	G. F. Goodman having attained the age of 18 years on the 19th January 1851 his Pension of 3 Rupees per Mensem ceased from that date.
120 " "	120 " "	To cease in the event of her Marriage or death.—	
181 13 5	181 13 5		

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

To,
The Acting Civil
Auditor.—

Sir,

Bo. No. 1037.

To the Acting Civil Auditor.—

32

With reference to the Notification from your Office dated 5th May 1851 and published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 13 Idem, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Statements prepared according to the prescribed form, One shewing the amount of Pension paid to the relatives of deceased subscribers to the late Native Pension Fund, and the other shewing the Superannuation pensions paid thro' this Office in the Official year 1850/51.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Statement

7315. St. George

No. 1. Statement of

Number of Certificate	Date of Certificate	Name	Amount of arrears disbursed in the course of the years 1850/51.
	1836		
2550	October 10	Jo	" "
2548	Do. "		" "
2549	Do. "		" "
2546	Do. "		" "
2557	Do. "		" "
2553	Do. "		" "
2557	Do. "		" "
2559	Do. "		" "
2561	Do. "		" "
			" "

Pensioners in

26th May 1851.

deceased Subscribers to the late Native Pension Fund in the Official year 1850/51.

Amount drawn in each month.-

May 1850.	June 1850.	July 1850	August 1850.	September 1850.	October 1850.
Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.
20 "	20 "	20 "	20 "	20 "	20 "
10 "	10 "	10 "	10 "	10 "	10 "
7 "	7 "	7 "	7 "	7 "	7 "
5 4	5 4	5 4	5 4	5 4	5 4
7 "	7 "	7 "	7 "	7 "	7 "
3 8	3 8	3 8	3 8	3 8	3 8
5 "	5 "	5 "	5 "	5 "	5 "
4 "	4 "	4 "	4 "	4 "	4 "
5 "	5 "	5 "	5 "	5 "	5 "
66 12	66 12	66 12	66 12	66 12	66 12

alive and upon careful muster and due enquiry has been identified.-

7315. St. George

№ 1. Relatives of

Number of Certificate	Date of Certificate	Name and	Amount of arrears disbursed in the course of the years 1850/51.
1836			
2550	October 10	Ja	" "
2548	Do. "		" "
2549	Do. "	M	" "
2546	Do. "	Mou	" "
2557	Do. "	A	" "
2553	Do. "	Oct	" "
2557	Do. "	A	" "
2559	Do. "	Ja	" "
2561	Do. "	Oct	" "
			" "

Pensioners in

26 4/0 May 1851.

deceased Subscribers to the late Native Pension Fund in the Official year 1850/51.

Amount drawn in each month.-

May 1850.		June 1850.		July 1850		August 1850.		September 1850.		October 1850.	
Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "
10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	10	" "
7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "
5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "
7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "
3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "
5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "
4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	4	" "
5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "
66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "

alive and upon careful muster and due enquiry has been identified.-

Fort St. George

26th May 1851.

November 1850.		December 1850.		January 1851.		February 1851.		March 1851.		April 1851.		Total for the Current Official year 1850/51.		Grand Total including arrears.		Terms of Pension.	Remarks.
Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P		
20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	240	" "	240	" "	During the life of the Widow.	
10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	10	" "	120	" "	120	" "	Do.	
7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	84	" "	84	" "	Do.	
5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	5	4 "	63	" "	63	" "	Do.	
7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	84	" "	84	" "	Do.	
3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	3	8 "	42	" "	42	" "	Do.	
5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	60	" "	60	" "	Do.	
4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	4	" "	48	" "	48	" "	Do.	
5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	60	" "	60	" "	Do.	
66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	66	12 "	801	" "	801	" "		

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May, 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Statement

7317 Fort St. George

No. 2. Sub Revenue

26th May 1857.

for the Official year 1850/51.

Number of Certificate.	Date of Certificate.	Amount of arrears drawn in the course of the years 1850/51.	Amount drawn in each month.											
			May 1850.		June 1850.		July 1850.		August 1850.		September 1850.		October 1850.	
		Rs. A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
	1842													
926	April	20	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "
927	Do.	18	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "
929	Do.	18	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "
426	1836 March	36	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "
428	Do.	1836	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "
1128	1845 May	45	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "
1129	Do.	45	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10
1130	Do.	45	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3
1150	August	45	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "
1163	October	845	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "
1311	1847 October	1847	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4
1339.	1848 May	848	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "
1472	July	50	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "
1522	1851. March	51	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
627	1837 September	37	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3
		Rs.	531	" 8	531	" 8	557	" 8	557	" 8	557	" 8	557	" 8

nd upon careful musters and due enquiry has been identified.—

7318. Fort St. George 26th May 1857.

November 1850.		December 1850.		January 1857.		February 1857.		March 1857.		April 1857.		Total for the Current Official year 1850.- 1857.		Grand Total including arrears.		Terms of Pension.	Remarks.
Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP	Rs.	AP		
5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	5	" "	60	" "	60	" "	For life	
7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	84	" "	84	" "	Do.	
7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	7	" "	84	" "	84	" "	Do.	
25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	300	" "	300	" "	Do.	
16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	16	12 "	201	" "	201	" "	Do.	
25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	25	" "	300	" "	300	" "	Do.	
9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	9	3 10	110	14 "	110	14 "	Do.	
8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	8	2 3	97	11 "	97	11 "	Do.	
17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	17	8 "	210	" "	210	" "	Do.	
63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	63	" "	756	" "	756	" "	Do.	
7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	7	5 4	88	" "	88	" "	Do.	
307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	307	8 "	3690	" "	3690	" "	Do.	
20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	20	" "	200	" "	200	" "	Subject to confirmation after twelve months on the report of the Medical Committee. Do. Do.	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	20	12 4	43	12 4	43	12 4		
32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	32	9 3	390	15 "	390	15 "		
557	" 8	557	" 8	557	" 8	557	" 8	571	13 "	574	" 8	6616	4 4	6616	4 4		

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th May, 1857.

/Signed/ W. A. Bayley Secretary

The

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.-

No. 1096.
From the Collector of Guntoor. Estimate of Exctra Charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1260.-
33

Order thereon.- Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned State=ment and submitted for the sanction of Government.-
33-34.

No. 1173.
From the Collector of Tanjore. Read comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th April last.-
35

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.-
35-36

Recevied the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

No. 560.
From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the
37

pay of the Public servants for the quarter ending 31st March last.-

No. 554.
From the Collector of Tanjore. Salt Account Current
38 for the quarter commencing the 12th July 1850 & ending the 31st March last.-

No. 555
From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the
39 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of February last.-

No. 556.
From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the
40 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of March last.-

No. 559.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Statement shewing
41 the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.-

No. 558.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Abstract of Demand
42 Collection and Balance for the month of April last.-

From

7321.

Fort St. George 26th May 1857.

No 556.

From the Collector of Nellore.

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of Chittagong Indent for 1850/51 up to 15th May 1857.

(43)

" Goldingham

" Blane

Comp.d D. Reid.

7322

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member

and

J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Thursday 29th May, 1851.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

No 413.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 29th April, 1857.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 30th January 1851 No 53)

Under date the 5th December 1845 the Madras Government authorized the exemption from frontier duty of many commodities of trifling value exported from the Company's Districts into the French Territories. They had previously on the revision of the Customs

Bd Rs 1035.

From the Secretary to Government

Delivering with reference to the nature of the trade carried on between the Districts of Rajahmundry South Arcot Tanjore and Malabar and the adjacent French Territories and between those of Madura, Tinnevelly and Travancore, that the Government concur in the Board's views and resolve to lay their report before the Government of India with a recommendation that the reductions advocated by

E. M. C. 5th Augt 1844
From Offg Secy Govt of India 30th Novr 1844.

by the Customs Duties upon the Frontier of the French Indian Settlements and of the Travancore Circar may be carried out in the manner which the Board have suggested..

Customs revenue consequent on the passing of Act VI 1844 acceded to an arrangement proposed by the French Government under which all grain imported by land into any of the French Settlements on the Coromandel or Malabar Coast was allowed to pass free on condition of duty being collected on behalf of the Company on all grain which might be exported from those places by sea. In the year

15th Sept. & 21st Dec. 1849.

1849 the Government of India at the recommend: ation of that of Madras were pleased to comply with an application made by the French Authori: ties for exemption from duty of certain petty articles passing into or out of the Pondicherry Territory

Copper & Brass Utensils & Rings Glass bracelets.

5th July. 1850

A request was subsequently preferred that the same Articles should be similarly exempted on import or export into or from the Settlement of Yanam, and the Governor in Council then determined

E. M. C. 25th July. 1850

to call upon the Board of Revenue for a general report upon the trade between the Company's Districts and the French Settlements on the Malabar and Coromandel Coasts and upon the commodities proper to be relieved from frontier duties. In a subsequent Extract Minutes

of

of Consultation 8th April 1850 the Board were desired to submit with the above a like report upon the trade between the Provinces of Madras and Tinnevelly and the Travancore State. They were also directed to report upon a proposition made by the Collector of Rajah: mundry for farming out the collection of the Customs duties on the Yanam frontier and a report which they made on an application from His Excellency the Governor of the French Settlements in India for a more liberal interpretation of the convention of 26th July

E. M. C. 28th March 1850.

25th July. 1850

1819 excempting from duty articles required for the consumption of the Native popu: lation was ordered to lie over, pending the receipt of the fuller information already called for. The Pro: ceedings of the Board of Revenue alone recorded

+ Note. So termed traite in Captain Lalande's letter of 22d November 1849 but no formal conven: tion seems ever to have been concluded either on this occasion or subsequently in 1844 * relative to the free import of grain under certain conditions His Excellency the Count du Vay and Mr. Dayet in their letter of 20th March 1819 made sundry propositions which were reported on by the Board of Revenue 26th July 19 and orders passed on them by Government 30th August 1819 in a letter to the British Commissioner acceding to some of the proposals made by the French Au: thorities and rejecting others but no con: vention was entered into..

* E. to C. 18th Feby. 1845

recorded furnish information on all the points on which it has been required.-

2. The trade, import and export, with the Settlements of Yanam and Mahi is very inconsiderable the principal traffic being with Carical and Pondicherry.- The subjoined table shows the average import and export duty collected on goods passing into or out of each Settlement, the number of Articles on which it has been levied,

* for Pondicherry and Carical taken from the Ceylon revenue reports of South Arcot for Fusly 1259 and Tanjore for Fusly 1258.

For Yanam taken from the letter of the Collector of Rajahmundry to the Commissioner dated 11th February 1850.

For Mahi taken from the letter of the Collector of Malabar to the Board of Revenue dated 12th July 1850.

the expences of the Frontier establishments, the amount proposed to be foregone by the local Officers and by the Board of Revenue respectively.- It should be premised that in the case of each Settlement the bulk of the duty collected is realized from a few Commodities only and that the amount yielded by the remainder is very insignificant. It should also be remarked that the amount of revenue set down being for the most part calculated on the average of the

a 57 Articles yielding Rs 68,840-12-9

five years, Fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ to $\frac{1258}{1848/49}$, is beyond what may be looked for in future as for a part of the period embraced in the average, the imports and exports were liable to the double duties which have been given up since the passing of Act VI 1848 and some articles e.g. Cotton subject to duties, have since been exempted.-

Note $

Order of Supreme Govt. 21st December 1847 & 4th Ellenborough 5th March 1845

It is however to be remarked that these establishments are not maintained simply for the collection of duties but also to check the entry by land into our Territories of goods imported at the Foreign Free Ports and the consequent injury to our Sea Custom Revenues.

Settlements.	Import. No. of Articles on which import duty has been collected	Import. Average revenue realized.	Export. No. of Articles on which export duty has been collected.	Export. Average revenue realized.	Total of Columns 3 & 5.	$ Expence of Frontier Custom establishments.	Amount proposed by the Collector to be relinquished.	Amount proposed to be given up by the Board of Revenue.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Nos.	Rs a ✠	Nos.	Rs a ✠	Rs a ✠	Rs a ✠	Rs a ✠	Rs a ✠
Yanam	54	197 11 4	98	1,259 3 7	1456 14 11	1548 4 2	11 4 4	121 10 4
Pondicherry	330	8854 1 6	304	39,250 4 6	48104 6 ∞	8552 10 2	3045 2 2	3722 3 6
Carical	216	3657 3 9	188	22169 4 6	25820 8 3	3009 15 9	400 14 7	2849 6 9
Mahi	24 Specified besides sundries	266 2 6	28 Specified besides sundries	30 10 10	296 13 3	2582 ∞ ∞	Trifling amount not specified	157 14 2
								6853 2 9

3. The Board of Revenue state that they are desirous "that the duties on exports should be

recorded furnish information on all the points on which it has been required.

2. The trade, import and export, with the Settlements of Yanam and Mahé is very inconsiderable the principal traffic being with Carical and Pondicherry. The subjoined table shows the average import and export duty collected on goods passing into or out of each Settlement, the number of Articles on which it has been levied, the expence of the Frontier establishments, the amount proposed to be foregone by the local Officers and by the Board of Revenue respectively. It should be premised that in the case of each Settlement the bulk of the duty collected is realized from a few Commodities only and that the amount yielded by the remainder is very insignificant. It should also be remarked that the amount of revenue set down being for the most part calculated on the average of the

For Pondicherry and Carical taken from the Coetna revenue reports of South Areot for Fusly 1259 and Tanjore for Fusly 1258.

For Yanam taken from the letter of the Collector of Rajahmundry to the Commissioner dated 11th February 1850.

For Mahé taken from the letter of the Collector of Malabar to the Board of Revenue dated 12th July 1850.

a 57 Articles yielding Rs 68,640-12-9

five years, Fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ to $\frac{1258}{1848/49}$, is beyond what may be looked for in future as for a part of the period embraced in the average, the imports and exports were liable to the double duties which have been given up since the passing of Act VI 1848 and some Articles Er. g. Cotton subject to duties, have since been exempted.

Note B

Order of Supreme Govt. 13th December 1847 Exr. ellins Govt 5th March 1848

It is however to be remarked that these establishments are not maintained simply for the collection of duties but also to check the entry by land into our Territories of goods imported at the Foreign Free Ports and the consequent injury to our Sea Custom Revenue.

Settlements.	Import. No. of Articles on which import duty is collected.	Import. Average revenue realized.	Export. No. of Articles on which export duty is collected.	Export. Average revenue realized.	Total of Columns 3 & 5.	Expence of Frontier Custom establishments.	Amount proposed by the Collector to be relinquished.	Amount proposed to be given up by the Board of Revenue.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	No.	Rs a p	No.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Yanam	54	197 11 4	98	1,259 3 7	1456 14 11	1548 4 2	11 4 4	121 10 14
Pondicherry	330	8854 1 6	304	39,250 4 6	48104 6 "	8352 10 2	3045 2 2	3722 3 6
Carical	216	3,657 3 9	188	22169 4 6	25820 8 3	3009 15 9	400 14 7	2849 6 9
Mahé	24 Specified besides sundries	266 2 6	28 Specified besides sundries	30 10 10	296 13 3	2562 " "	Trifling amount not specified	159 14 2
								6853 2 9

3. The Board of Revenue state that they are desirous "that the duties on exports should be

"be limited to as few articles as possible both with regard "to the interests of the British Territory and to "the "reclamations" of the French authorities" founded on the proposition acceded by the Madras Govern: : ment in 1819 by which it was agreed that "all commodities intended for the consumption of the Natives of Pondicherry, Carical, Yanam and Mahe, should be exempt from duty". They therefore propose to allow the free export into the French Territories of all the + articles referred to in Captain Lalande's letter of 22nd November last except boiled Areca Nuts when exported from South Arcot the duty on which averaging 1,129 Rupees they do not think it expedient to surrender and further of all other commodities save those entered in the schedules which accompany their report. The articles which will still remain subject to duty on export to all the French Settlements are.-

From His Excellency the Count Du Puy and Mr. Dayot to the British Commissioner 20th March 1819.

From Government to British Commissioner 30th Aug 1819. Paras 13-14.

Rice Betel Nut.
Cloves.
Nutmeg
Pepper.
Pimento
Mustard.
Saffron.
Tamarind.
Ghee.

When exported from the French Ports by Sea according to the arrangement confirmed by the Government of India 30th November 1844 — 1 Paddy, 2 Rice

Exc: Mins: Cons: 3rd August 1844 para 4 . . . . . — 3 Tobacco

Exc: Mins Cons: 13th August 1850 Notification in Calcutta Gazette 27th July 1850 — 4 Sugar, 5th Rum, 6 Piece Goods

To

To which are to be added certain special articles to be taxed only on export from the Districts of South Arcot to Pondicherry and not on entry into the other French Settlements, the duty on these having been retained at the South Arcot Frontier on account of the consiederable revenue yielded by them and because (except Betel Nut) none of them are among the commodities objected to by His Excellency the Governor of the French Indian Settlements in his letter of 22nd November 1850. The amount of export duty which will have to be foregone calculated on the data afforded by the Collections of the 5 Fusles 1254-5-6-7-8 will be Rupees 5,482-15-1.

Bd Proc Para 28

Para 17-

4 With respect to imports it is proposed as a general rule applicable to all four French Settlements that manufactured goods from Europe or as it should rather have been said from places out of India and piece goods produced in the French Settlements shall be liable to duty as also Wines and liquors, Spirits, Paddy and Rice. In the case of South Arcot and Tanjore certain other articles have been

been specified in the Board's Schedules as chargeable with import duty. Among these the Government remark Printed books and Sugar Candy; but the first will be liable to duty under Schedule A annexed to Act VI 1844 only when not printed in the United Kingdom as in any British possession, and the import of Sugar in any shape from a Foreign Territory is prohibited by Act XI 1842. The amount of import revenue which will have to be given up under the reductions recommended by the Board will be Rupees 1,370-3-8 making with that Rupees 5,482-15-1 to be relinquished on export; the total Cost involved in the relief to be afforded to the trade of the two Governments reckoned on the results of the five Fasleis 1264-8, amount to Rupees 6,853-2-9 per annum; but this for the reasons stated above in para 2 must be regarded as an extreme estimate..

Para 18. 5. The Board further advise in reference to the four French Ports being free

free and to the variations which may probably take place in the local trade, that the limited Schedules now submitted by them be revised periodically every five years, observing with much justice; "It is quite possible that many "articles now of very slight traffic and therefore "struck off from the list, may in a short time "become of more importance and vice versâ, and "it will be necessary to protect the import "duties by not encouraging an undue advan- "tage to merchants importing into this Presi- "dency via Pondicherry and other ports."

Para 19-29. 6. The Government approve the proposal of the Board for farming out the Collection of the Sayer duties at the Yanam and if possible the Mahé Frontier, and concur with them that there is no objection to the Collector of South Arcot (or, consequently, the Collectors of any of the other Districts adjoining Foreign Settlements) revising the Tariff on goods subject to an ad valorem duty every half year though it might perhaps be sufficient to revise it annually..

The.

7. The Board of Revenue next review the state of the trade between Travancore and the adjoining British Provinces of Tinnevelly and Madura.

8. The trade between the latter District and Travancore is very trifling: The duty on imports has averaged only Rupees 1,484-4-8 per annum that on exports Rupees 439-5-11; but of the former sum Rupees 1,460-12-1 was paid on boiled Nuts- Of the latter 373-15-7 on piece goods- The Board propose to restrict the import and export duty to these articles respectively for the future and the Government approve the recommendation; but it has occurred to them that if these duties be confined as proposed, inducement may thereby be held out to the export of goods from Travancore Via the Madura District by a circuitous route to the prejudice of the Tinnevelly Chokies- The effect therefore of the relaxation on the Madura Frontier should be carefully watched so that a remedy may be promptly applied in the event of any such result following as above indicated.

The

9. The Commerce between Tinnevelly and Travancore is extensive, the import duty having averaged Rupees 29,933-5-4 and that on exports Rupees 23,025-3-4, total Rupees 52,958-8-8. The Acting Collector proposes to confine the levy of import duty to 21 articles and that of export to 20, relieving from all tax the remaining 575 articles at present subject to it. The duty thus to be relinquished is Rupees 761-14 Viz.t Rupees 462-11-8 on imports and Rupees 299-2-4 on exports. The Board concur with the Collector.

In the table inserted in Para 36 of the Board's Pro: ceedings the difference to be given up between the present and proposed export duty revenue in Tinnevelly is entered at Rupees 3,454-15-2 but this is inclusive of the export duty on Cotton Wool averaging Rupees 3,155 which though included in the abstract Statement in Para 3 of the Acting Collectors report has been relinquished already.

10 The Government sanction the arrange: ment recommended by the Acting Collector and supported by the Board that the property of the Govern: ment of Travancore or its subjects should be allowed to pass the Company's Chokies free when en route from one place to another in Travancore, and they rely upon such pre: cautions being adopted by the Tinnevelly authorities as shall prevent

† Proclamation of Supreme Government 31st December 1847 G. M. C. 5th March 1848

Letter Para 6
Pro: Para 33.

prevent the privilege being abused. Intimation will also be made through the Political Department to the Resident at Travancore that in the event of the Custom duty upon the 575 articles recommended for remission by Mr. Bird being relinquished, it is trusted that the example will be followed at the Travancore Chokies; but under all the circumstances of the case it seems unnecessary to insist upon the reciprocity as an indispensable condition of the arrangement.

11. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs with the Board of Revenue in their views relative to the Custom duties upon the Frontier of the French Indian Settlements and of the Travancore Circar and he resolves to lay their report and the accompanying papers before the Government of India and to recommend strongly that the reductions advocated by the Board may be carried out in the manner which they have suggested. It is observed that the total loss of revenue involved in the adoption of

DF

French Settlement

Export duties to be relinquished	5,482-15-1	
Import	1,370-3-8	
	6,853-2-9	

Travancore

Export	364-8-8	
Import	486-4-3	850-12-11
	Rs.	7,703-15-8

of the Board's revised Schedules calculated on an extreme estimate would only amount to Rupees 7,703—15—8 per annum, while the liberation of so many petty articles of Consumption from Customs duty could not fail to relieve from much vexation and annoyance both the Subjects of the French and Travancore Governments and our own Ryots.—

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.—
Bd. No. 1061
Resolution thereon

(1-2)

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation, and of the Proposed Schedules submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings of 30th January 1851, be forwarded to the Collector of the Districts noted in the Margin for their information and guidance.—

South Arcot
Tanjore
Rajahmundry
Malabar
Madura
Tinnevelly

The Collectors of Malabar and Rajahmundry will respectively make arrangements for farming out the

Fort St. George 29th May 1831

Bd No. 1138
From the Secy to Govt
Observations respecting the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Salem for Fusly 1239.

3

the Collection of the Sayer duties at the Make and Yanam Chokies.

No. 456

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 18th May, 1831

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Here under 7th April 1831. No. 173.)

Para 1. The season of Fusly 1239 and 1829/30 in Salem was promising at its commencement but subsequently proved very unfavorable. The rains both of the South west and North East Monsoons were scanty and partial, and insufficient for the cultivation of both wet and dry lands. This led both to a decrease in the extent of land under tillage, and to a deficiency of produce except as regards the lands under river irrigation and under Wells, in which the crops were "moderately good" the harvest generally is stated to have been scarcely half the average yield.

2. Prices exhibit some improvement above those of the year previous. In the first and second sorts of rice the increase

Fort St. George 29th May 1831

increase is about 7 and 8 per cent respectively. In the principal dry grains the rise varies from 6 to 16 per cent: The rise would have been greater, but the plentiful harvest of the preceding year seems to have kept down the market notwithstanding the unfavorable autturn of the current season.

3 The following shows the Settlement of land revenue for the year.—

Dittum	13,39,417-6-1
Deduct Bunjer	58,822-"-"
Gross Settlement	12,80,895-6-1

Deductions from the above Cowle Village

Sibbendy Shavy Pea	1,46,309-11-8
Remainings	11,34,585-10-5

Add

Ready Money Collections	52,498-2-7
Hill revenue	29,550-1-3
Quit rent on Shotrums	38,027-"-10
Total Amanee	12,54,660-15-1
Permanent Peishcush	4,68,530-3-6
Total Land Revenue	17,23,191-2-7

which falls below the settlement of Fusly 1238 in the sum of Rupees 91,040—1— the decrease being attributable to the season—

The above account has been taken from the

Fort St. George 29th May 1837

the Statement in Para 14 of the Board's Proceedings. This Statement tallies with that A. gives in Para 18 of the Collector's Report.

	Dry, Garden, Wet, wet Garden lands, Gross Settlement.			Deductions.		
Board's Statement in Para 14 of their Proceedings	12,80,895	6	1	1,46,309	11	8
Statement A in Collector's Report Para 18	12,48,859	"	11	114273	6	6
	32,036	5	2	32,036	5	2

in its result, but not in its details, both the gross settlement and the deductions in the former being Rs. 32,036 above the similar items in the latter. The cause of these differences should have been explained.

4. The deductions on cultivated land which on the whole fall Rupees 2,061-9-1 below those of Fusly 1258 present no item requiring special remark except that of remission for shavy or withered crops. The amount given up on this score in Fusly 1258 was 162-"-9 in the year under comment it has been Rupees 8,145-12-2 in consequence of the failure of rain.

5. The value of the Bunjer or waste land struck out in the formation of the gross settlement was Rupees 58,822 that

Fort St. George 29th May 1837

Report Para 32 — that retained and charged against the ryots was Rupees 6,127-12-8. The demand on this account is less than in the previous Fusly, and seems to have been made on sufficient grounds

Rs. 7773-9-1

6. The number of Wells constructed during the year by ryots at their own expense was 93, costing Rupees 5211-10-10, and calculated to water 98 acres-9-3 assessed at Rupees 179-7-9, but of these only 64 were entitled to exemption from additional assessment under the Rules. The total number now enjoying exemption throughout the District is 3711. The Fusul Sastie or extra assessment remitted on the land 3,866 acres-11-8 which they irrigate is Rs. 11,177-5-7 which on an outlay of Rupees 2,39,195-15-4 gives a return of somewhat less than 4½ per cent

Report P. 7-34

7. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will not object to the measure proposed by the Collector[a] and Sub-Collector[b] and supported by the Board of Revenue for modifying the present rule respecting Wells sunk by the ryots before permission has been obtained; but it is to be clearly understood that the relaxation is to have effect in the case of those Wells only

[a] Report [b] Do. P. 13

Fort St. George 29th May 1831.—

only which may prove after due enquiry to have been constructed in unobjectionable sites

8. The Collections of Current Land Revenue within the Fusly were Rupees 15,98,553-12-2- which left a balance of Rupees 1,24,637-6-5. This is heavy, being more than double that of the preceding Fusly, but the seasons were very different. The amount outstanding, on the 31st December last is reported by the Board at Rupees 31,332 Viz.t

Proceedings Para 8

1 Ryotwar ---------- Rs. 19,976-15-9

2 Mootahdarry ---------- 11,355-13-3

but the balance under this last head differs from the Mootahdarry balance reported by the Collector in Para 36 of his letter which is given at Rupees 7,235-13-2. This amount with a further sum Rupees 5,906-2-11 due for previous years is outstanding against a few Mootahs only, and it is trusted that early measures will be taken for the adjustment of the whole

Anundoor Samoodrum Cuddathoor Hanoomunthapoorum Para 18

9 Agreeably with the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for striking out of the public accounts (Rs. 6,438-7-11) Six thousand, four hundred and thirty Eight

Fort St. George 29th May 1831.

Eight, and annas seven, being the irrecoverable Ryotwary balances for Fusly 1238 and previous years

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To
The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon 13th June 1831. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 7th April 1831.—

3-4

The Board observe with reference to Para 8, that the Balance of Current Revenue at the end of December 1830 was

Mootadar ----------	5,473-3-1
Ryotwar ----------	19,976-15-9
Total ----	25,450-4-10

and not Rupees 31,332-13-11 which includes arrears.—

2

13th No. 1174
From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

No. 137

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th May, 1831.—

Read the following letter.

5

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras

Sir,

I am directed by the Deputy

7341

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

Deputy Governor of Bengal to transmit to you, for submission to the Government of Madras, ten Copies of the General Report on Public Instruction in the Lower Provinces of the Bengal Presidency for 1849/50.-

Dated Fort William the 14th April, 1851.

Signed/ W. Seton Karr
Under Secy. to the
Govt. of Bengal

No. 450

Resolved that Four Copies of the Report referred to in the foregoing Letter, be forwarded to the President and Governor of the Madras University, two to the Revenue and Medical Boards respectively, and the remaining two Copies kept in the Government Office

Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary.

5-6

Order thereon

Bd. No. 1168

From the Secy to Govt.

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 470

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 20th May 1851.

Read the following Letter from the

7

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Transmitting 20 printed Copies of No. 1 of the Selections from the Records of the Bengal Government

Order that the following distribution

/Here enter 18th March 1851 No. 463.

7342

Fort St. George 27th May 1851

distribution be made of the 20 Copies of the Pamphlet on the Poppy cultivation, and the Benares Opium Agency received with the foregoing letter.

Board of Revenue ——— 10 Copies
Medical Board ——— 2 — —
Committee of the Agri: Horticultural Gardens ——— 1 — —
Retained in Store ——— 7 — —

/A true Extract/
Signed/ F. Bycroft
Secty to Government

To, The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

7-8

Order thereon.

Bd. No. 1170

From the Secy to Government

Directing that the Pension hitherto drawn by certain Members of the family of the late Meer Saheb-uddeen Khan of the District of Masulipatam be paid to Meer Hyder the eldest son, the others being required to look to him for support. Sanctioning certain payments made in arrear from the date of lapse on account of the Pension of the late Meer Mahomed Ally-

Letter dated 12th March 1851 No. 4.

Ordered to be recorded

No. 469.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, Under date the 20th May, 1851.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

/Here enter 3d April 1851 No. 160/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council learns from the information now furnished by the Collector of Masulipatam that the pension of Rupees 534 — 6 — 4 per Annum

Para 1

Annum entered in the names of Meer Hyder and Meer Fakaruddeen is drawn by five

Meer Hyder	Rs	11	2 Members of
Meer Fakaruddeen	"	11	8 " the family in
Meer Jaffer	"	11	8 " the proportions
Fazela Begum		6	" noted in the
Hafeeja Bebee		5	8 " Margin, and
Rupees		46	3 2 not by three

as stated by the Collector in the Note contained in para 2 of his letter of the 12th August 1850. The Board of Revenue justly consider this Subdivision of the pension to be objectionable, and recommend that the entire amount be paid on the receipt of Meer Hyder the eldest son, the others being required to look to him for support. The Government approving of this arrangement which is conformable to the seventh proposition contained in the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 2d October 1821. No. 716 resolve accordingly to sanction it and direct that effect be given to it from the 1st of the Current Month, if the Collector see no special objection, in which case he will report for further Orders.

2 The case referred to in para 9 of

of the Board's Proceedings of the 19th September last No. 390, in regard to the pension of the late Meer Mahomed Ally, having been disposed of in para 2 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 17th October 1848 No. 1131, sanction is now granted for the payments made under the arrangement then authorized, in arrear from the date of lapse.

3. The explanation furnished by the Collector on the other points in his letter of the 12th March last, calls for no remark.

A true Extract
Signed J. Pycroft
Secy. to Govt.

To The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.
3d No. 1058
Order thereon

9-10

In Con. 3d April 1851.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated to the Collector of Masulipatam, with reference to his letter dated 12th March 1851.

Extract

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Bd No. 1164
From the Secy to Govt. - - -

No. 465.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Revenue Department, Under date the 20th May 1851.

Read the following letter from
the Resident at Hyderabad

No. 254 of 1851.

(11)

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government, of
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit
for the information of the Government of
Fort St. George the accompanying copy
of a letter to my address from Captain Taylor,
on special duty at Shorapore No. 32 under
date the 10th Instant, and request to be
favored with the sentiments of the Govern-
ment on the subject therein referred to.

Hyderabad Residency
16th April, 1851. - - - - - } Signed/ J. S. Fraser.
Resident.

No. 32 of 1851.

To, Major General J. S. Fraser.
Resident

Hyderabad.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to
Your

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

your notice that the Raja has communicated to me personally and by letter on his own part as well as that of Piddama, one of the Ranees of the late Rajah Kirshnappa Naick, that it is desired that the Raja of Choondee near Madras, recently a Ward of the Madras Government, in compliance with his repeated applications, should marry Venkamma the daughter of the late Raja Kirshnappa Naik by Piddama Ranee and consequently the half sister of the Rajah of Shorapore.

2. The Rajah of Choondee was married in 1256 Fusle at Shorapoor to Timmama the youngest daughter of the late Krishnappa Naik, who is consequently his first wife; but as Timmama is as yet of tender age, and Venkama is her Senior by seven years, and has already attained puberty, it is probable that she may have issue before Timmama.

3. Under these circumstances, it appears desirable, that the Government of Madras should be apprized of the proposed new connection in order that the rights of Timmama should be secured

to

to her, in regard to the succession of her male issue, should there eventually be any to the Guddee of the Choondee Principality, and I am induced to bring the subject to your notice in order that timely provision should be made against the possibility of any litigation or dispute hereafter in regard to the succession.

4. The Ranee Piddama herself is willing to abide by the custom of Bedur families in this particular in behalf of her daughter, and a reference to the Government of Fort Saint George seems to be all that is necessary at present to complete the arrangements which the family at Sharapore have themselves approved and decided.

5. It is the wish of the Family that the marriage should not be deferred beyond the present season, and when the preliminaries are arranged, I shall bring to your notice the amount of money that will be necessary for the occasion. The Ranee Piddama has enjoyed ample Jagheers, and will

will be required to bear the greater part of the expences; and this she is willing to do with such necessary assistance from the State as may be decided upon hereafter.

Shorapoor Districts,
Camp Dourghee,
10th April, 1851.

/Signed/ Meadows Taylor
On Special Duty.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. Daniel.
Asstt Resident.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

It does not appear to the Government to fall within their province to express any opinion in respect to the contemplated Marriage of the Choondee Zemindar with Ven Ramma daughter of the late Rajah Kristnappa Naick. The Choondee estate is a permanently settled Zemindary held under a sunnud-i-Milkent Istemrar, and any questions in respect to the inheritance of it which may at any time arise between the issue of Venkammah and Timmama would be for the decision of the Civil Court. If the relatives of Timmama are anxious to secure the

the priority of succession to her Children by the Zemindar it will be for them to take such measures for the purpose as they may think fit, but with such matters Government cannot interfere. They resolve however to furnish copy of the Resident's letter and its enclosure through the Board of Revenue to the Collector of Nellore for his information.

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secy. to Govt.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1050.

Order thereon.—

(11-12)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation, and of the papers which accompanied it, be communicated to the Collector of Nellore, for his information.

Read the following resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 20th May, 1851. No. 462.

/From Bd. 5th May/

Bd. No. 1175.
From the Secy. to Govt.

(13)

Resolved that the Collector of Madras be authorized to disburse in future from his Treasury the yeomiah of Caujah Mahomed Ollah, from the date to which the last payment was made

made by the Collector of Nellore.—

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secy. to Govt.

Bd. No. 1042.

Order thereon.—

(13-14)

COBook p 4.16
Do p 512.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collectors of Madras and Nellore respectively, who will be guided by the instructions contained in the Board's Circular Orders dated 15th February 1847 para 2. and 25th February 1850, para 5. in transferring payment of the Pensioner's allowance from Nellore to Madras.

Read the following Extract

Bd. No. 1181
15

From the Secy. to Government

Observations on the Board's Proceedings, respecting a defalcation in the Treasury of the District of Cuddapah Directing that Mr. Cochrane be called upon to explain when, and by whom a Cyfbut Chest was established in Cuddapah and that it be abolished in all Districts. Requesting the Board to issue Circular instructions regarding the examination of Treasuries. Desiring that Mr. Murray be

No. 478.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department Under date the 22nd May 1851.

Read the following Papers.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 3rd February 1851.—

× × ×

Para 1. In these proceedings the Board of Revenue take into consideration the reports made to them by the Acting Collector of Cuddapah relative to a defalcation in the Treasury of that District, also communications on the subject from the Head Sheristadar and

be asked whether the Cash Keeper & Shroff were brought to trial under Regulation IX of 1822. Ordering the transfer of the Head Seristadar to some other collectorate and the removal and dismissal of other servants.

and a letter from the late Collector Mr. Cochrane.

2. The late Collector of Cuddapah, Mr. Cochrane, having been appointed to the Collectorate of Chingleput, and Mr. Murray the Sub Collector appointed to act as Collector in his stead being absent from the Sudder Station, Mr. Wedderburn the Head Assistant relieved Mr. Cochrane from the charge of the Treasury on the 21st November. Mr. Cochrane states that he accompanied Mr. Wedderburn to the Treasury, but was not present when he examined it. The result of the examination on the 21st was the discovery of a deficiency of 8,000 Rupees which appears to have been immediately reported to the Board of Revenue by Mr. Wedderburn. But a particular chest called the Gybut Chest containing money written off the Treasury Accounts as assigned on for the payment of the Talook Establishments, was not examined. This chest, it seems, was in the sole custody of the Cash Keeper. The Sheristadar states that he received information on the evening of the 21st that there was

Mr. Cochrane's letter 30th Jany. 1857 para 2.

Mr. Murray's letter 7th Dec. 1850 Para 1.

Mr. Murray's letter 18th Jany. 1857 Para 8.

From Hd. Sheristadar to Board 9th Dec. 1850 P 2.

was a deficiency in this Chest which the Cash Keeper was contriving to replace, and that he immediately sent a message to Mr. Wedderburn to communicate this intelligence to him, and to suggest that orders should be given to the guard, to prevent any payment into or out of the Treasury without special authority. Next morning the 22nd he says, he heard that the Cash Keeper had gone to the Treasury by 7 A. M. and had opened the Gybut Cash Chest, which he reported to Mr. Wedderburn, and was directed to go to the Treasury and cause that Cash Chest to be locked up and sealed with the Cash Keeper's seal. In the mean time it appears the Cash Keeper had managed to get the sum of 2,500 Rupees introduced in the Gybut Chest, which on examination afterwards was found to contain including this sum Rupees 5,141-3-5 instead of Rupees 16,641-5-10, the balance by account.

Mr. Murray's letter 8th Dec. 1850 para 2

Do. 18th Jany. 1857 para 6

3. Mr. Murray the Acting Collector says in his letter of the 7th December that he took charge of the Treasury on the 23rd November, and it is to be presumed that the Gybut Chest was then examined, but it is no where distinctly stated when this

was

was done. Adopting the Statement in the first sentence of para 7 of Mr. Murray's report of the 18th January the Government have said that the balance which ought to have been found in the Gybut Chest was Rupees 16641-5-10. But they are perplexed by the details given subsequently in the same para. For it is there written that "In the Cash book of the "26th November (as neither receipts nor disburse- "ments took place between the 23rd and 26th) "were entered the following sums under the head "Gybut Rupees 9641-5-10 received Rupees 7212-4 "making a total of Rupees 16858-9-10; dis- "bursed 2237-10-2 leaving a balance of Rupees "14615-15-8"; with these particulars before them the Government cannot understand how Mr. Murray made out that the balance which ought to have been forthcoming was Rupees 16641-5-10. But this is observed to be the sum stated by the Sheristadar also. Assuming it to be correct the actual deficiency in the Gybut Chest appears to be Rupees 11500-2-5 which with the deficiency of Rupees 8000 in the Ayen discovered on the 21st November makes a total of Rupees 19500-2-5. Adding the sum of Rupees 2500 surreptitiously replaced

Balance by account 16641-5-10
Found on examination 5141-3-5
11500-2-5.

replaced by the Cash Keeper on the morning of the 22nd the whole amount which is concluded to have been deficient on the 21st is Rupees 22000-2-5.

Pro: 3rd Feby P.10. 4. It is stated by the Board of Revenue that the amount found in the Cash Chest corresponds with the balance shewn by account Kept by the Head Shroff. The Government suppose this to be the case, but there is no abstract of that account to prove it. It would appear that on a comparison of the regular cash account of the Treasury with the account of the Head Shroff it was found that the disbursements in the latter exceeded those in the former by Rupees 22000, the amount, omitting fractions, concluded to have been deficient on the 21st November. It is to be presumed that this comparison embraced all the entries in the respective accounts during the period over which it extended, and was not confined as the abstracts submitted by the Acting Collector are confined to the payments made in discharge of Government Bills. It is inferred that in all other entries but the payments on Government

Bills

Bills, excepting one item of pay to Tappal runners / to be more particularly noticed / the two accounts corresponded.

5. The comparisons in all the three Abstracts just referred to, give the same result, a difference of Rupees 22,000. The Abstracts however do not correspond either in the totals or the details. The Abstract A professes to shew "the "number of Bills drawn by the Accountant "General on the Treasury of the Collector "of Cuddapah &ca with a comparison "between Cash book and Shroff's account "of Bills charged on the several dates "therein entered." The dates run from 22nd October to 18th November. The total according to the Cash Book is Rupees 1,23,833—5—4, according to the Shroff's account Rupees 1,43,416—10—8 the latter being in excess by Rupees 19,583—5—4. This is the obvious result of a comparison of the totals and also of the sums representing the amounts of excess and deficiency in the details. But by an adjustment which is quite unintelligible to the Government

1,43,416—10—8		
1,23,833—5—4		
19,583—5—4		
Excess in the Shroff's account	37,000—"—"	
Less in Dr.	17,416—10—8	
	19,583—5—4	

Excess above		37,000
Add less in Shroff's account		
500 x 1,916—10—8	2,416—10—8	
	39,416—10—8	
Deduct total amount less in Shroff's account	17,416—10—8	
	22,000—"—"	

Government the difference ultimately arrived at is Rupees 22,000. This adjustment is effected by first adding to the excess two sums which are items of the deficiency and then sub-tracting the whole deficiency.

6. This Abstract A contains two Bills not entered in either of the other abstracts, No. 1915 and No. 1536 each for Rupees 1,916—10—8 on account of Ab. Parry Rists, drawn in favor of the Collector of Cuddapah at sight the former accepted 4th November, but not paid or carried to the credit of Government till the 8th; the latter accepted 25th September, but not credited till the 4th November: that is one Month and five days after acceptance! The payment of this last Bill it is to be observed though it is entered in the Cash Book is not entered in the Shroff's account, whether it was duly credited to Ab. Parry Rists in the Revenue accounts does not appear.

It

Fort St George 29th May 1857

It is not clear what date is entered or meant to be entered in the first Column of this Abstract. In some instances it agrees with the date of payment, but it is often otherwise. For example, in the instances of No. 1536 above mentioned, the date in this Column is 14th November, the date of acceptance being the 25th September and the date of payment 4th November. Mr. Murray says this statement is very simple, but the Government doubt whether he perfectly understood it, the note is appended to it in red ink is obviously erroneous.

7.

The Abstract B purports to be a "Statement shewing the difference "between the accounts of the Cash Keeper "and that of the Head Shroff on account "of Government Bills." But this is not a correct des-cription, for not all the Bills with respect to which the accounts differ are entered in it. for example No. 1966 upon which Mr. Murray has made remarks is omitted though it is entered in both A and C. The total

Amount

18th Sanf. from 14

Fort St George 29th May 1857

amount of payments entered in this Abstract according to the accounts of the cash keeper is Rupees 80,710, according to the account of the Head Shroff Rupees 1,02,710 the difference being Rupees 22,000. The payments are divided into two periods, the first from 1st to 21st October 1850 stated to be "during the time of Mr. Cochrane," the second from 22d October to 21st November "during the time of Mr. A. Wedderburn and Mr. M. Murray." There is an error here probably a clerical one as Mr. Cochrane resumed charge from Mr. Murray on the 22d October and continued in charge till the 21st November. In the first period the two sets of accounts agree in the totals but differ in the details. There is a remarkable difference under date the 1st October, according to the cash Book two sums, on account of two Bills were paid on that date to Mothial Sashoo, the amount of the two being Rupees 13,000, whereas by the Shroff's account, the sum of Rupees 31,000 is entered as paid to this person on the same date. There is only one other payment of 6,000 Rupees in the Cash book on this date making a total of Rupees 19,000, it

is

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

is palpable, therefore, that if the sum of Rupees 31,000 was really paid away while only 19,000 Rupees was written off, there must have been a deficiency in the Treasury on the 1st October to the amount of the difference. In the total amounts paid for Bills in this period, the two accounts disagree - the amount of the Cash Book being Rupees 47,000, by the Shroffs account Rupees 47,500. This difference is covered by an excess of 500 Rupees in the Cash Book in the entry of a payment to Tappal runners on the 21st October. This is the entry alluded to above as an exception to the inference that the accounts of the Cash keeper and the Shroff were found to agree generally, save under the head of Government Bills. Its introduction in this abstract, intended to shew the difference on Government Bills, is irrelevant. If it be rejected the total of payments on Bills herein exhibited, as entered in the cash book is Rupees 47,000, but as entered in the Shroffs account Rupees

Cash book — 710
Shroffs Account — 210
Difference — 500

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Rupees 102,500 the difference being Rupees 22,500. It must have been easy to ascertain the true amount of the payment to Tappal runners on the 21st October, and thus to test the contradictory accounts: But it does not appear that any inquiry was made on this point, and it is not to be discovered whether Mr. Murray considers the entry in the Cash Book to be true or false. This however is a question of considerable importance. For if in the Cash account Rupees 710 was charged when only Rupees 210 was paid on this particular date, there must have been a special object for the false entry and if as appears by the Shroffs account the actual payment on Bills exceeded the amount entered in the cash book by Rupees 500, it is most likely that it was made to cover this difference, and to bring the balance of Cash in the Treasury into agreement with the balance by account in anticipation of the examination which Mr. Murray states was made by Mr. Cochrane in his presence on the 21st.†

† Reported 22nd.

8. It has been noted that if the entry just considered be rejected from this statement

Statement the result will be a difference of Rupees 22,500. But if on the other hand the Bill No. 1966 which is entered in both the Statements A. and C. be entered also in this, the difference will be reduced to Rupees 2200. and will correspond with that shewn by both the other Statements, the payment on this Bill by the Cash book exceeding the amount entered in the Sheroff's account by Rupees 500.-

9. The abstract C. is more comprehensive than either of the other two comprising payments on Government Bills to the Amount of Rupees 2,41,320 according to the Cash book and of Rupees 2,63,320 according to the Sheroff's account, and it is arranged differently from the others, to shew at once all the sums paid to each separate person who received money from the Treasury upon Bills from 1st. October to 21st. November.-

10. Mr. Murray has entered into an examination of this Statement in a separate paper. In this paper he declares his opinion that the irregularities detected in the late examination of the Treasury

Treasury "have gone on for a length of time, "the Sheroff and Cash keeper managing to make "their accounts agree until some misunder- "standing has arisen, when the accounts "of the Sheroff have been kept according "to the disbursements, while the Cash keeper "has become so much entangled in the net of intrigue "he has been compelled to the course of having "Bills endorsed to fictitious persons or de- "pendants of his own and crediting sums for "one Bill to others in order to make it appear "sums were really in the Treasury which "were actually deficient." Upon this it may be observed that there appears to be no evidence of a misunderstanding between the Sheroff and the Cash keeper which may have led the former to decline to continue the system of management by which it is supposed his accounts were formerly made to agree with the Treasury Cash Book. But assuming that his accounts have latterly been "kept according to the disbursements" the question arises why any disbursements are admitted which are not entered in his accounts. Why for example the sum of Rupees 5,000, entered in the Cash book as

Remarks upon Statement C.

as paid on the 1st November is admitted when there is no corresponding entry in the Shroff's account on that date. The answer is that the Acting Collector thinks he has traced those disbursements and found them included in payments entered by the Shroff on other dates. Thus the sum of Rupees 3,000 entered in the Cash book as paid on the 1st November in discharge of a bill Sa. 1931 endorsed to M. Sashoo is supposed to form part of a sum of Rupees 13,000, entered by the Shroff as paid to that person on the 4th November. The remainder of this sum Rupees 8,000, is assumed to have been paid to M. Sashoo on a bill Sa. 1934 drawn in his favor but endorsed away by him, which bill however appears by the Cash book to have been paid already on the 25th October to the last endorsee one Gowsoomiah. It is said that he gave a receipt for the 3,000 Rupees when it was paid to him, but not for the 8,000 Rupees, whether the date of the receipt is that of the entry of 3,000 Rupees in the Cash book, or of the entry of 13,000 Rupees in the Shroff's account

accounts is not stated. This explanation possibly may be correct but it is obviously liable to great doubt.

para 16

11. Respecting the said bill Sa. 1934 the Board observe that it was payable on the 25th October and is entered in the Cash book as having been paid, on that day, but on the same day the Shroff shews that he paid 10,000 Rupees to Gowsoomiah (the last endorsee on the bill) If therefore Sashoo received 8,000 Rupees on the same bill, on the 4th November there was clearly a bare faced double payment. But whether Gowsoomiah indeed received 8,000 Rupees (part of the 10,000 Rupees entered in his name) on account of the said bill is disputed, the prior endorsee Nursing Row having affirmed solemnly that he — received the money. Mr. Murray says he disbelieves this but as the Board observe "the mode of proceeding is altogether entangled."

Board Para 16 Remarks on Statement C p. 4 and 14

Referring to Statement C in

12. which it is shewn that the payment in the name of M. Sashoo in the Shroff's account under date the 1st October exceeds by 18,000 Rupees the amount entered in the Cash

Fort St. George 29th. May 1851

Remarks para 10

Cash book as paid to the same person on the same date Mr. Murray states his opinion that the excess payment was made to cover some previous fraudulent transactions and he gives his view of the manner in which the excess was afterwards met as follows. "In order to reimburse the amount so taken Rs.

Date in Cash book 21st October

18,000 paid to Mr. Sashoo on the 1st October, Rupees 3,000 belonging to Bill No. 1919 A. endorsed to one Venkatramoodoo believed to be a servant of the Cash keeper, Rupees 6000 belonging to Bill No. 1988 endorsed to Nursing Row another dependant, Rupees 6000 of Bill No. 1722 endorsed to one Goolam Hussain,

Date in Cash book 4th November

Do. 1st October

Do. 4th November

and Rupees 1,00,00 of No. 2005 endorsed to the same person were entered in the Cash book, but not in the Shroff's account; the sum of Rupees 18,000 having been previously entered in that of the Shroff on the 1st October. All this seems to be merely conjectural. It happens that these sums, not entered in the Shroff's account, make up the excess payment in that account on the 1st October, but the supposed connection of the several payments is not made out. It may be that the Cash keeper obtained the command of the bills mentioned by means of his dependants

Fort St. George 29th. May 1851

dependants and entered them as discharged without making an actual payment from the Treasury. But however probable this may appear, it seems to be no more than a probability; it is to be observed indeed with respect to two of the Bills No. 1722 and 2005, that it is not even alleged of the person to whom they were endorsed that he is a dependant of the Cash keeper.

Board's Rc. 3d. Feby. para 11 & 14.

13. The Board remark particularly "on 3 Bills which are mentioned in the "Sheristadar's petition and which formed "the chief subject of Mr. Murray's investiga- "tion in which 22,000 Rupees were overdrawn, "Considering these as the liabilities which the "Cash keeper was not able to meet in time "to save himself" The Bills are No. 1753. 2036 1934.

14. The first of these bills payable to Mr. Saalfelt at sight was accepted on the 8th October, but not presented for payment until 2nd November. In the mean time however it is entered in the Cash book as paid on the 17th October to Goo- soomiah under endorsement first by Mr.

Fort St. George 29th. May 1851

Mr. Saalfelt to Hussain Mahomed and 2 nos. by Hussain Mahomed to Gowsoomiah. It was actually paid on the 2nd. November to Hussain Mahomed for Mr. Saalfelt under the endorsement of the latter. The payment on this date was entered in the Cash book not on account of Rs. 1753 however, but of another bill Rs. 2036 for the same amount drawn by the same party in favor of the same person which had been accepted on the first but was not presented for payment; and was not really discharged till the Sixth November. The payments on the 14th and 2nd. November are both entered in the Shroffs account as well as in the Cash Book. The payment by which the Bill Rs. 2036 was really discharged was not entered in the Cash Book but appears in the Shroffs account. The Endorsement on Rs. 1753 purporting to be by Hussain Mahomed, Mr. Saalfelts servant, to Gowsoomiah and Chatoo Rs. 2036 by Madar Saib to the same Hussain Mahomed, were certainly forged. The conclusion of the Board that "for these Two

Fort St. George 29th. May 1851.

"Two Bills Mr. Saalfelt received his 20,000 "Rupees and Gowsoomiah 10,000 Rupees fraudulently" appears well founded.-

15 Another sum 10,000 Rupees is entered in the Shroffs account as paid to Gowsoomiah on the 22nd. October which the Board observe "is not alluded to at all in the Cash Book" and they remark that "Mr. Murray explains that for this there is no Voucher of any kind, either Bill or Receipt. This payment which obviously depends upon the integrity of the Shroffs account, the Board appear to admit implicitly and they reckon it with the fraudulent payment to Gowsoomiah on the Bill Rs. 1753 as making up 20,000 of the 22,000 Rupees deficient.-

16. The other 2,000 Rupees is attempted to be accounted for in para 16 para 16. of the Boards proceedings but the matter is as they in the end remark "altogether entangled".-

para 14. 17. Mr. Murray says in one place that he is "inclined to believe the 15. "deficiency took place after the 22nd October" and referring to the abstract B which "shews

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

"shews a deficiency in the Aymati Balance
"according to the Shroffs' account of Rupees
"300. On the 21st October" as above noted,
he observes that "whatever may appear
"from the Shroffs' account to be the deficiency
"on that date the whole sum of Aymatis
"was actually present on the above date,
"as it was carefully examined by Mr.
"Cochrane in my presence and his Receipt
"granted for the amount thereon the Treasury
"as shewn by the Cash Book." Upon this it is to
be remarked that Mr. Murray's Explanation
already noticed of the manner in which the
excess payment of 18,000 Rupees to Lasker
on the 1st. October according to the Shroffs
account, was eventually made up by pay-
ments entered in the Cash Book which
do not appear in that kept by the
Shroff militates against the view now
adverted to, for two of the four payments
referred to are entered as having been
made on the 4th November. It would
appear therefore that there must still
have been a deficiency of 11,000 Rupees
in consequence of the said excess payment
not until the date last mentioned.

This

Pl. 6000 Bill 8-1938
— 1000 do. 1722.

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

18. This is a point of importance for
if there was such a deficiency in the
Aymati on the 21st October, it must have
been covered on the occasion of Mr. Cochrane's
Examination when Mr. Murray stated
it was found correct. It might have
been difficult before the late discovery
to conjecture how it could have been
covered, but it is now perceived that the
Gylut money afforded ready means for
this purpose and it is the natural
presumption that, if there was a de-
ficiency on the 21st October it was cover-
ed in the same way as the deficiency
on the 21st November was partially
covered.

19. This presumption would be
rebutted indeed if there were any cer-
tainty of the Gylut Money as well as
the Aymati having been examined
by Mr. Cochrane when he resumed
charge of the Treasury on the 21st October
(or the 22d, according to his Report.) But
although Mr. Cochrane adverts generally
ally in his letter of the 30th January
1861 that it was his custom to examine
the Gylut when he personally exa-
mined the Treasury he does not affirm
positively that he did so on the 21st October

18th Dan'l para 7

and

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

and Mr. Murray who was present and speaks to his careful examination of the Aymate says nothing from which it can be inferred that the Gybut also was examined. In general he says it is not examined, but on the last occasion, it would have been examined had not the lateness of the hour prevented it. The Box was looked into, and again closed. The impression of Government is that the Gybut was not examined on the 21st of [22d] October and therefore they think it possible that there may have been a deficiency on that date although the Aymate was found correct. The Cash Keeper probably calculated upon this Fund being left unexamined. In answer to a question from the Board, Mr. Murray says he is "unable to state from recollection whether the Gybut was examined when the Treasury was examined on the 19th August last by Mr. Smith, and on the 17th September by himself "probably not he adds," as it has not been hitherto the Custom either in this Treasury or Treasuries in other Districts which I had to examine".

Mr.

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

20. Mr. Murray here speaks as if such a Fund as the Gybut were kept in other Treasuries as well as in that of

Pro: para 3-4. Cuddapah and the Board of Revenue also appear to recognize a separate fund of this description as existing in all District Treasuries. They say when the Barawards of the Moozoor and Talooks have been passed by the Collector as also any advances required for sanctioned Works of Maramut, it is usual to write this sum off the Ayen Accounts, i.e debit it to Government at once, and make it over to the Cash Keeper to disburse it as occasion may offer for sending it into the Talooks. This Money (they observe) no longer forms a portion of the Public Assets, although of course the Cash Keeper is responsible that it is duly applied. The Government are much surprized at these remarks. They should have supposed that the Board would have altogether disapproved of any money being set apart in this way and kept in deposit separate from the Cash Balance, maintaining the principle that no money should be written off the Ayen and so put out of sight until actually paid away. This also is understood

to

to be the principle of the Accountant General's Orders, and notwithstanding the Boards remarks the Government must still doubt whether a contrary course is commonly pursued at the local Treasuries and whether the Custom at Cuddapah should not be regarded as the exception rather than the rule. They desire that Mr Cochrane be called upon to explain when and by whom the practice of keeping a Gyhut Chest was established at Cuddapah and whether he ever examined that Box in any of his examinations of the Treasury.

21. Mr. Murray observes that the Board's instructions do not state that the Gyhut ought to be examined. The Board it is presumed have given no instructions expressly relating to this fund and but for the remarks above quoted the Government would have attributed the absence of such instructions to the Board, not having contemplated the existence of a fund of this nature not included in the Cash Balance. Be this as it may, the Government direct that wherever such a

a fund does exist it be peremptorily abolished forthwith, that no part of the Public Cash be on any pretext whatever written off in the Treasury accounts till it be actually and bonafide paid away and that the periodical Returns to the Board and Accountant General be so prepared as to shew clearly all the Money in the Treasury under whatever denomination or the date on which they are made.

22. The views of Government as to the Examination of the Treasury are set forth in the 18th Para of an Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 12th November 1833 No 1161 which having been circulated for the information and guidance of the Revenue Officers constitutes the standing Order for their governance in this important duty. It is there observed that "the whole Cash in the Treasury under whatever different heads it may be entered, is required to be included in the balance". If this was not positively enjoined by the then existing orders the mind of Government as to what ought to be the practice was not any rate

distinctly

distinctly declared, and so clearly was it intimated that Government intended the whole Cash in the Treasury to be examined Monthly under whatever different heads it might be distributed, that no Officer to whom it might devolve to conduct the examination had reason to doubt that it was his duty to extend his scrutiny to every part of the funds deposited therein whether actually included in the balance or not -

23- It was in consequence of the Government having discovered that the Madura Treasury in which a System of embezzlement had been carried on through a series of years, had in that time been repeatedly taken charge of by the Assistant Collector and reported correct, when, as afterwards appeared from the examination of the Accounts the amount entered in his receipt was less than the amount which ought to have been in the Treasury that it was thought proper to press seriously upon the Revenue Officers, their personal responsibility for the examinations made by

them as well with respect to the correctness of the Accounts, as to the actual existence of the Cash in the Treasury" The Government directed that "they should be instructed to take these opportunities to make themselves thoroughly acquainted with the System of accounts, and all their details" In giving this direction it was observed that "every Collector with a proper sense of his duty and regard for the credit of the service will encourage his Assistant to look in to the Accounts, and will be glad of the checks which their occasional scrutiny will impose upon his Servants." The Government desire that these instructions be reiterated and that Collectors be required to report that they have carried them out -

24- If these instructions had been followed according to their spirit, and if the Sheristadar had been called upon to give his aid to ascertain the correctness of the Accounts of the Treasury at the occasional examination of it by the Collector and his Subordinates the check would probably have proved as effectual as Government expected it.

it would be, for the prevention of irregularities and frauds such as have lately been detected. It was, it is to be presumed, one object of the order of the Board giving to the Sheristadar the same access to and general control over the Treasury Accounts as over the Accounts of every other Department that he might be ready to give this aid, and it is thus he might most effectually act as a check upon the Treasury Servants. Unless the Collector encourages the Sheristadar to look closely into the Accounts of the Treasury, and directly calls upon him occasionally to ascertain their correctness, the order of the Board is likely to be a dead letter, as it appears to have been in Cuddapah. The practice which seems to have crept in to some Districts of withdrawing certain departments of the Cutcherry, Treasury Stamps &c. from the scrutiny and supervision of the Head Sheristadar is very reprehensible. That Officer should be regarded as the Native Collector all Accounts in every branch of the revenue administration of the District should be open to his examination as to that of his

his European Superior. The Board should make known to all Sheristadars that the Government hold them responsible for every department and that it is their duty to place in writing before their superiors, any information they may have of malpractices wherever occurring. As regards that department now more immediately under consideration, the Government desire that on every occasion or when a Treasury may be examined. The Head Sheristadar or in his unavoidable absence, his deputy or at least his Head Goomastah shall before the examination takes place inspect the Treasury Accounts and testify their correctness or point out any errors which he may discover in them when the Treasury is examined in consequence of a change in the Officer in charge of the District the Officer giving over charge no less than the Officer receiving it should be present at the examination.

25. The Board directed the Acting Collector to conduct the investigation of the malversation of the Cash Keeper under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822

It

It appears that he called upon the Cash-Keeper to make good the deficiency in the manner directed by Section VI of that Regulation - But there is nothing to shew that he entered into an investigation according to the Regulation. His reports refer to statement made by the Cash Keeper and the Head Shroff respectively and to examination of other persons. but they have not been submitted. It does not appear that the Cash Keeper was called upon to answer to specific charges and no record appears of conviction or sentence The figured Statements laid before the Board are none of them calculated to give a complete view of the transactions of the Treasury as differently exhibited by the Cash book and the Shroffs account. The abstracts furnished give a partial view of one set of transactions only - The reports of the Acting Collector are extremely confused. With no other information than is contained in them and in the imperfect abstracts it is not surprising that it should be difficult to arrive at satisfactory conclusions upon

P. 3-18

upon the entangled matters to which they refer. The Government in the preceding paras have noticed some of the more striking inconsistencies and obscurities in the reports of the Acting Collector, which it appeared to them should not be allowed to pass wholly without remark. They have not required further explanation on these points because it would merely tend to protract the discussion of the question without answering any good purpose. But it seems to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to be at least indispensably necessary that it should be known whether Mr. Murray did really conduct his investigation under Regulation IX 1822 and if not why he has departed from the Board's instructions in this respect - The Board will be pleased to satisfy themselves on this matter and if it should prove on inquiry that the Cash Keeper and the Head Shroff have not been formally brought to trial it will deserve their serious consideration whether, adverting to the magnitude of the offence and position of the

Fort St. George 29th. March 1851.

the delinquents proceedings should not yet be instituted against them. In such case in place of arranging them on any general or vague charges it will be preferable to select for trial, a few specific instances such for example as the deficiency of 8000 Rupees in the Aymatee Chest on the examination of the 21st. November, the false entries in the Cash books, of the dates of payment of the Accountant Generals Bills No. 1753. and 2036 in favor of C. W. Saalfelt and to put these into such shape as to be more easily disposed of by the Criminal Court to whom it will under Section XVIII of the Regulations, probably be thought advisable to commit the cases. Until he has before him the result of any proceedings which may be adopted under the above suggestion, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council postpones the issue of any final orders touching the Cash Keeper and Head Sheroff observing however that enough is patent on the very face of the Acting Collectors reports.

Fort St. George 29th. May 1851.

reports fully to warrant the Board of Revenue in removing those individuals from the public service.

26. The Government agree with the Board of Revenue that the explanation furnished by the Head Sheristadar in his address of 9th. December 1850 is by no means satisfactory - and looking to his conduct on the present occasion, and to his proceedings relative to the grant of land applied for in endowment of the Baherpett Chaultry, they are of opinion that the further continuance of Appoo Row in Cuddapah will not be to the benefit of the public service. They therefore desire that the Board will arrange for his transfer to some other Collectorate.

27 The proceedings of Mr. Murray in having [as shewn in the opening para of his report of 18th. January 1851] when placed in temporary charge of the District removed the Deputy Sheristadar to the Sub Division and brought down the Sub. Division Sheristadar to the Hoozoor without the sanction or cognizance of the Board of Revenue were altogether irregular.

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

irregular and improper.-

28. The Board /Para 24/ have expressed an opinion that "it would be well either to dismiss or to transfer from the Honzor to the Talooks any of the Subordinates in the Treasury whom the Acting Collector may see reason to believe have withheld information which it was their duty to impart." The Right Honorable the Governor in Council deems it impossible that such practices as have recently been detected in the Cuddapah Treasury should have been perpetrated to an extent and for a period to which it is impossible to assign a limit, without the privity of many servants both in the Treasury and other Departments. He directs that not only all the Treasury Servants but all those employed in other branches of the Cutcherry who from their position and connection with the Treasury may be supposed to have been cognizant of the late frauds, be not allowed to retain their present Offices, but that if not dismissed they be at least removed

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

to the Talooks or transferred to other Districts. The Government likewise desire that all Volunteers, who have been serving in the Collector's Cutcherry in any capacity be compelled to quit it and be prohibited from attending it in future

/A true Extract/

Signed/ T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

To, The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.-

No. 227.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

16

Bd No. 1044.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Explaining with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 22d May 1851 the Boards views as contained in Para 4 of their Proceedings dated 3d February last-regarding the system of keeping Cyphe Accounts in the District Treasuries.-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation under date 22nd May 1851. No. 478, Revenue Department, and to state that the various subjects adverted to by Government shall receive their early attention.-

2. There is however one point in which the Board consider they have been misunderstood

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.-

misunderstood by Government, and that it is due to themselves to offer an explanation which they trust will remove the impressions that seem to have been made on the minds of Government.-

3. It is stated in the Extract Minutes Consultation* that the Board of Revenue "also appear to recognize a separate "fund of this description (Gybut) as existing "in all District Treasuries. The Government "are much surprized at these remarks. "They should have supposed that the "Board would have altogether disapproved "of money being set apart in this manner "notwithstanding the Board's remarks the "Government must still doubt whether "a contrary course (to the principle of the "Accountant General's orders) is commonly "pursued at the local Treasuries."

* para 20

4. The Board direct me to observe that in the 4th Para of their Proceedings their object was simple to explain the term "Gybut" as elicited from the contents of Mr. Murray's letter and the Sheristadar's petitions. They by no means intended to assert that the System

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

system generally prevailed, or that it had their sanction. On the contrary they in the 25th para pointed out that it was objectionable and that it should at once be put a stop to.-

5. The Board cannot undertake to say what practices may have crept into other Districts, and for this reason they did not at once (as shown in the latter part of para 25) issue a Circular Order on the subject. They were desirous to ascertain to what extent and under what circumstances a Gybut account was kept before passing final Orders.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May, 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Ordered also that the following Proceedings and letter be sent in consequence.-

Bd. No. 1036

Order thereon.

(15-17)

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 3d. February 1851

In

Fort St. Goorge 29th. May 1851

In reference to para 25 of the above Minutes of Consultation Mr. Murray will be so good as to state whether the Cash Keeper and Head Shroff have or have not been formally tried under Regulation IX of 1822, and if not; he will explain why he has failed to bring them to trial under that Regulation.—

Further instructions will be forwarded to him in respect to para 26. and his attention is called to para 27. He will likewise Report to the Board as soon as he has arranged what Servants should be dismissed or removed under para 28.—

To,

J. H. Cochrane Esqre.
Collector of
Chingleput.

Bd. No. 1037
To the Collector
of Chingleput.

Sir,

With reference to the annexed Extract Minutes Consultation of Government dated 22nd. May 1851, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to explain when and by whom the practice of keeping a Gybut Chest was established at Reddapah and

(18)

Fort St. George 29th. May 1851.

and whether you ever examined that Box in any of your Examinations of the Treasury.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th. May 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following Report.—

Report

19

7389

Fort St. George

13th Nov. 1111

Report

May 1851.

ular dated 22nd.

May 1848 for the 1st. Quarter ending the 31st. March 1851.

me of the t holder d the re- tion in ch he stood he Grantee dateof his rease.-	share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members.-	Case Talook and Vi			
ttacham- h grand ughter or 's daughter Madhava the party ered in survey counts.	The entire Enam was enjoyed by the deceased.	Raidroog Ja			
relation ut the time the deed the death of Govindappah s one of whom stated and done so he moves inhachary Lutchi Nara- simhachary whoit is ground why it should		Gill Enam	Conakullo.		

Family	Their Cir	Recommendation and grounds thereof.-
ft by the	cumstances	
sed and the		
name means of		
age	Subsistence	
the	possessed	
parties by the family		
10	11	
Deceased - None - has died without issue. There are not any ascendants of the party entered in the survey accounts as living		

Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and the grounds on which it is founded.-

Decision of Government.-

12

1
Bobbrasamah
Bhavarow.

3
Venkappah.
Deceased no issue.

2
Kireedappa.
Removed along with entered in the Cowle-Nama of July 1834

5
Daughter
Bobbrachammah
Last deceased.

4
Son
Govindappah
Died 2 years ago.

The deed of gift (unstamped) (Col: 6) was executed in favour of Hanuman Narasimhachary who is no relation whatever of Govindappah (Col: 5) Hanuman Narasimhachary the present holder (Col: 8) obtained it: the deed of gift was executed soon after the death of Govindappah but the time the deed was executed and the fact of his having been desirous to attach his Bobbrachamah the last holder (Col: 9) obtained it: the deed that beyond the fact of his having been desirous to attach his nothing of it. The present claimant is Lutchmi Narasimhachary Lutchmi Narasimhachary has not the smallest grounds on which to ground his claim. The Enam is still in Dharwar has not claimed the Enam nor stated it to be his wish that it should be granted to him. The orders of the Board ask the disposal

(Signed) C. Pelly Collector.

The

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Bd No. 1064

No. 232.

Remarks thereon.

Dhanum Raidrog Kalook Cuma Kullen 32-10-35-11-8

(19-20)

The deceased Potchumnah left no issue nor near relatives and inherited the Enam in succession to her brother who was the 2nd in lineal descent from Bakasada Madava now named in the Survy Accounts. The deed of gift executed by deceased's brother in 1847 in favor of Hanoman Narasimacharry, who was no relation, cannot be recognized neither can his father's claim be admitted.

Under these circumstances, the Board recommend that the Enam be resumed.

Bd No. 843

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

(21)

Read report of lapses of Manium in his District for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To

The Acting Collector of North Arcot.

(21-22)

Bd No. 1052

To the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Requesting further

Sir,

In returning to you the Report of lapsed Enams in your District

Further information respecting certain lapsed Enam as reported in his Tabular report for the quarter ending 31st March 1851.

To Collector 4th April in Cons. 29th May 1851.

District for the quarter ending 31st March 1851, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to point out that in the first 2 cases there seems to be an error in Column 8. This Column requires only the name of the last Holder whereas there is little doubt that some of the parties therein named are alive. If the Enam has been held in shares it should be stated how long each individual has been in possession and whether their shares have been registered in their respective names. If they are descendants of the holder who has recently died you should state which individual has the best claim, as that any subdivision of the Enams may be obviated.

Column 10 has not been filled up at all.—

The dispute in the 3d case entered in your Tabular report now returned, 2.

It will be seen from the papers noted in the Margin, has been disposed of as regards the Punjab land enjoyed by Hussein Khan. In Column 9 it is however stated that 1/7th of the Poonjab is enjoyed by Soobadar Syed Peer who purchased it; and the remainder only

From Collector 14th October 1850 in Cons. 2nd January 1851.
Board's remarks 2nd January 1851.
From Government 11th in Cons. March 1851

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

only by Munsukram Lalah. If such is the case, and the latter disputes the claim of the former, you will state the date of the sale, the amount of purchase money paid, whether there is any deed conveying the land to Syd Peer, and why no mention is made of this circumstance in the Tabular report of the 14th October 1850, in which the lapse was first reported.-

3. In reference to the last lapse recorded in your Tabular Report, now returned, you are requested to state in whose names the Enams stood in the Survey Accounts, what was the relationship between them and Balaut Kaultom the last holder, who it is observed, died 5 years ago. You will also explain how long the present claimants have been in enjoyment of the Enams particularly Sheik Hamed Subadar who is stated to hold Rs. 142 on mortgage, and whether with the exception of Summuth Beg who is serving as a Sepoy on 7 Rs. per mensem, the sudden resumption of the entire Enams would not be felt as an extreme hardship by the other holders - in which event you will suggest whether one or more portions might not be continued - and will nominate the individual who has the best claim leaving the others to look to him for support.-

4. The Board request that more attention may be paid to the preparation of these statements. In the last named case it is difficult to reconcile the opinion given in Column 10 that no claim can be proved, with the statement in Col. 10 that the various claimants are "entitled for" such and shares.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th May 1851.

Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary
Rad

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 58.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Dt. No. 1087.
From the Collector of Salem - - - -

Sir,

23

1. Having observed from the correspondence on my Office that your Board do not appear to have been informed of the arrangements made for surveying certain parts of the Sherwaroy Hills, I deem it my duty to forward a transcript of the correspondence noted marginally, and at the same time to request I may be instructed as to the extent to which your Board are of opinion that the Survey should be proceeded with-

1. To Sub Coll.	30th May 1849
2. To Do. - - -	18th December "
3. To Secretary Bd. Public Works	12th " "
4. To Sub Coll.	12th June 1850
5. To Civil Engr.	" "
6. From Do.	25th " "
7. To Do.	26th July 1851

2. The person now employed on this Survey is Mr. O'Hara whose monthly salary is Rupees 108-12- adverting to which it has occurred to me that if I be the means of occupying him for any unduly lengthened period, it may hereafter be considered that I have exceeded the bounds of my duty and that I should have caused him to survey only those points which come under the class mentioned in the original application

Vide Sub Collr. Mr. Maltby's letter to Collr. of Salem dated 10th Dec. 1849 - para 2-

7394-

Fort St. George, 29th May 1851-

application for the aid of a professional Surveyor-

3.

Your Board, however, will not fail to remark that when in charge of the District, the Sub Collector took a more comprehensive view of the labors for which the Assistant Surveyor Mr. Bayne was to be made available, and that the duties then prescribed for his performance were materially enlarged beyond what had been first in contemplation-

4.

On further and recent experience of the Shevaroys, I am doubtful whether any material benefit will result from now doing more than ascertaining the real area of the several Coffee plantations held by Europeans and others, for which purpose I have prepared a register Book in which Mr. O'Hara enters their relative bearings, position &c. in the presence of one of the officers of the District, the Malabees, and the neighbouring planters concerned. Adverting, however, to the possibility of your Board's experience inducing you to believe that a more extended topographical survey is advisable, I beg leave to trouble you with the papers now submitted and to solicit instruction-

Vide Sub Coll. in Charge to Sub Coll. Mr Lushington dated 12th June 1850-

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry, 3d May, 1851.

Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector

7395

Fort St. George, 29th May 1851-

No. 1.

To,
F. N. Maltby Esqre
Sub Collector of Salem-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for your perusal a correspondence received from the Board of Revenue regarding the disposal of applications for lands on the Shevaroy Hills, and with reference to the manual submitted to Government in the Board's letter of the 22d January 1849 No. 41. I beg that you will cause all applicants for lands to understand the conditions under which they will be allowed to hold it- I have sent translations of the Forms B and C to the Tahsildar of Salem with instructions to fill them up with great care and to submit them to you, to test their correctness, and I must request of you to forward them to me in English for submission to the Board, and as it is of great importance that they should be as accurate as possible, to obviate future objections and discussion, it would be desirable, when practicable, to communicate with the holders of lands or their agents,

and

* 10th May 1849- to be returned

1394 - Fort St. George, 29th May 1857 -

application for the aid of a professional Surveyor -

3.

Your Board, however, will not fail to remark that when in charge of the District, the Sub Collector took a more comprehensive view of the labors for which the Assistant Surveyor Mr. Bayne was to be made available, and that the duties then prescribed for his performance were materially enlarged beyond what had been first in contemplation -

Vide Sub Collr. in Charge to Sub Collr Mr Lushington dated 12th June 1850 -

4.

On further and recent experience of the Shevaroys. I am doubtful whether any material benefit will result from now doing more than ascertaining the real area of the several Coffee plantations held by Europeans and others, for which purpose I have prepared a register Book in which Mr. O'Hara enters their relative bearings, position &c. in the presence of one of the Officers of the District, the Malalees, and the neighbouring planters concerned. Adverting, however, to the possibility of your Board's experience inducing you to believe that a more extended topographical survey is advisable, I beg leave to trouble you with the papers now submitted and to solicit instructions -

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry 3d May, 1857. -

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector

1395 Fort St. George, 29th May 1857 -

No. 1.

To F. N. Maltby Esqr.
Sub Collector of Salem -

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for your perusal a correspondence received from the Board of Revenue regarding the disposal of applications for lands on the Shevaroy Hills, and with reference to the manual submitted to Government in the Board's letter of the 22d January 1849 No. 41. I beg that you will cause all applicants for lands to understand the conditions under which they will be allowed to hold it - I have sent translations of the Forms B and C to the Tahsildar of Salem with instructions to fill them up with great care and to submit them to you, to test their correctness, and I must request of you to forward them to me in English for submission to the Board, and as it is of great importance that they should be as accurate as possible, to obviate future objections and discussion, it would be desirable, when practicable, to communicate with the holders of lands or their agents,

* 10th May 1849 - to be returned -

and

7394 - Fort St. George, 29th May 1851 -

application for the aid of a professional Surveyor -

3.

Your Board, however, will not fail to remark that when in charge of the District, the Sub Collector took a more comprehensive view of the labors for which the assistant Surveyor Mr. Bayne was to be made available, and that the duties then prescribed for his performance were materially enlarged beyond what had been first in contemplation -

4.

On further and recent experience of the Shervaroys, I am doubtful whether any material benefit will result from now doing more than ascertaining the real area of the several Coffee plantations held by Europeans and others, for which purpose I have prepared a register Book in which Mr. O'Hara enters their relative bearings, position &c. in the presence of one of the officers of the District, the Malabars, and the neighbouring planters concerned. Adverting, however, to the possibility of your Board's experience inducing you to believe that a more extended topographical survey is advisable, I beg leave to trouble you with the papers now submitted and to solicit instructions -

Vide Sub Coll.r in Charge to Sub Coll.r Mr. Lushington dated 12th June 1850 -

Salem, Collector's Cutcherry 3d May, 1851 -

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector

7395 Fort St. George 29th May 1851 -

No. 1.

To, F. N. Maltby Esq.r
Sub Collector of Salem -

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for your perusal a correspondence received from the Board of Revenue regarding the disposal of applications for lands on the Shervaroy Hills, and with reference to the manual submitted to Government in the Board's letter of the 22d January 1849 No. 41. I beg that you will cause all applicants for lands to understand the conditions under which they will be allowed to hold it - I have sent translations of the Forms B and C to the Tahsildar of Salem with instructions to fill them up with great care and to submit them to you, to test their correctness, and I must request of you to forward them to me in English for submission to the Board, and as it is of great importance that they should be as accurate as possible, to obviate future objections and discussion, it would be desirable, when practicable, to communicate with the holders of lands or their agents, and

* 10th May 1849 - to be returned.

Fort St. George. 29th May 1851-

and on an inspection with them of any disputed particular to adjust the matter previous to the returns being submitted -

Salem District.
Daramposry.
Collector's Cutcherry
30th May 1849. -

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector-

To,
W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of Salem-

Sir,

1.

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 31st May last, forwarding the proceedings of Government with reference to the terms upon which grants for land on the Shevaroya Hills are in future to be made, as well as the proceedings of the Board of Revenue, assessing the Farms for a General Registry of the Lands already held by European and other settlers-

2-

In conformity with the instructions contained in your letter I have had the Registry prepared in the Tahsildar's Cutcherry, but it is

Fort St. George. 29th May 1851-

is only lately that I have been able to devote the time necessary for verifying it- On endeavouring to do so, I find that the boundaries assigned to the land are so indistinct and uncertain, and the computed areas are in many cases so obviously incorrect, that I beg very strongly to recommend that a Surveyor should be appointed to survey and map the lands now held, so that the assessment which they ought to bear may be duly confined, and at the same time a careful registry of the boundaries may be formed-

3- Such a measure would be attended with much advantage to the settlers themselves as well as to the Government- It would define the right of each party and at the same time would show what land is available for fresh settlers-

4- I think it is very desirable that this should be done

at

and on an inspection with them of any disputed particular to adjust the matter previous to the returns being submitted -

Salem District.
Daramposory. -
Collector's Cutcherry
30th May 1849. -

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

To,
W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 31st May last, forwarding the proceedings of Government with reference to the terms upon which grants for land on the Sherva-roya Hills are in future to be made, as well as the proceedings of the Board of Revenue, annexing the Forms for a General Registry of the Lands already held by European and other settlers -

2- In conformity with the instructions contained in your letter I have had the Registry prepared in the Tahsildar's Cutcherry, but it is

is only lately that I have been able to devote the time necessary for verifying it. On endeavouring to do so, I find that the boundaries assigned to the land are so indistinct and uncertain, and the computed areas are in many cases so obviously incorrect, that I beg very strongly to recommend that a Surveyor should be appointed to survey and map the lands now held, so that the assessment which they ought to bear may be duly fixed, and at the same time a careful registry of the boundaries may be formed -

3- Such a measure would be attended with much advantage to the Settlers themselves as well as to the Government. It would define the right of each party and at the same time would show what land is available for fresh settlers -

4- I think it is very desirable that this should be done

at

Fort St. George. 29th May 1851.

at the present time when the value of land appears to be rising and new land is much in demand.

5. With a view to test the accuracy of the present accounts I made a rough survey of some of the lands held by Mr Neave several of whose plots happen to lie together in a form convenient for the purpose; and I satisfied myself that the land included in the boundaries pointed out must be about double of the area upon which assessment is paid. The puttahs Mr Neave holds specify 57 acres, the real area must be about 100. The land is near the station and of a valuable description; but from the denseness of the jungle in some parts the native system of measurement is inapplicable, and the area has been merely guessed at.

6. In the meanwhile I am completing the registry of the lands and title deeds, which I hope to

Fort St. George. 29th May 1851.

to complete before very long.

Salem,
Sub Collector's Office,
10th December, 1849.

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

To.
Captain J. H. Bell
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Department of Public Works.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Referring to proceedings of the Board in the Revenue Department, forwarding Extract Minutes of Consultation under date 5th April 1849 No. 355 for the perusal of which I beg to refer you to Mr Pycroft. I have the honor to forward a copy of a letter from the Sub Collector who is preparing the Register of lands taken up for Coffee cultivation by Europeans and other settlers on the Shevaroy Hills, requesting that a professional Surveyor

Fort St. George. 29th May 1851.

Surveyor may be appointed to enable him to make the measurement accurately, I beg to recommend that his application should be acceded to, as from the inequalities of the lands and extent of Jungle, it cannot be done in the native way of measuring; and in almost every instance, when a Surveyor has revised the Tahsildar's measurements, considerable inaccuracies have been found; so I trust the Board will comply with Mr Maltby's request.

Salem. - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry.
12th December, 1849.

/Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Collector

/True copies/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillipps
Collector

DF

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

No. 2.

To, T. D. Lushington Esqre
Sub Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

1 I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 7th Instant reporting the arrival of Mr Boyne deputed by the Board of Revenue for the Survey of the Sherwaroy Hills.

2 The Board have not furnished me with any instructions as to the extent of the survey which they intend shall be made, and it will perhaps be necessary that I should address them on the subject. They mention that Mr Boyne is deputed to survey the Lands taken up for Coffee cultivation; but it appears to me to be desirable that the survey should include not only the Lands already taken up but such tracts as are best suited for this cultivation and are likely to be taken up.

3 In the neighbourhood of Erecaud nearly the whole of the Land is already occupied, and it

it is desirable that a Map of the station should be made, at the same time that the several plots are carefully measured. It should commence I think at the top of the Ghât and be bounded on each side, for the present at least, by the farthest extent of coffee cultivation. The main line will lead through the Assemblea Valley to the Green Hills, branching off on the right to prospect point, and on the left by Kiloor to Putchiatady.

4 It is desirable that the Map should be coloured, so as to exhibit the extent of land covered with forest, as well as such portions as appear adapted to coffee cultivation. All existing streams and roads should be carefully indicated, and it is also desirable that lines should be drawn showing the direction in which roads should be constructed to open out the best lands.

5 The Surveyor's attention should be particularly called to the tracts leading towards Dharampooty, to which place it is in contemplation to

to open out a road.

6 In the neighbourhood of Kiloor several plots of land have been taken up: these should be carefully measured, and I think that what remains might be divided off into convenient plots on the Map, ready for future occupants.

7 Some enquiries recently made into the conduct of the Curnum of the Hills has shown that the absence of any proper principle of measurement has given room for serious abuses and has induced the Taksildar to recommend a Survey of the native cultivation as the only means of checking them. On this subject I shall if possible address the Board of Revenue; but it may probably devolve upon my successor to do so. In the meanwhile the Villages of Assemblea and Kiloor lying directly within the line of Survey, if these are included in the Map and measurement, it will form a standard by which to judge whether a

scientific

Scientific Survey of the cost of the lines is necessary.

8. I shall address the Civil Engineer forwarding a copy of this letter and requesting him to issue such instructions as he may consider necessary to guide the surveyor in his duty; and as I am at a distance from the spot I shall request him to direct the surveyor to refer to you for any instructions he may require in our department; and I shall be obliged if you will consider him as acting under your directions. The Tahsildar of the Taluk will receive instructions to attend to any orders you may give on the subject.

Salem District, —
Denkencottah, —
Collector's Cutchery
22d. June, 1850 —

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
in Charge
of Salem

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. D. Phillips
Collector

To

No. 3.

To Captain T. Smythe
Civil Engineer 5th. Division
Cuddalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copy of a letter addressed by me to the Sub Collector with reference to the proposed measurement of the lands on the Shemaray Hills, and to request that you will be good enough to give direction to Mr. Bayne for his guidance.

Mr Bayne has been deputed in consequence of my pointing out the inaccuracy of the present accounts of the lands taken up for Coffe. How far the survey is to be carried has not been specified, and I am not sufficiently aware of the expense incurred to judge how far it is desirable that it should go. This can perhaps be best determined by the results of the first part: but in the mean while I shall be obliged by any suggestions you may offer.

Salem District,
Denkencottah,
Coll's Cutchery,
12th June, 1850.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
in Charge of
Salem.

To

To, F. N. Maltby Esqre.
In charge of Salem.

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 12th. Instant, I beg to state that the survey of the Shemaray Hills should in my opinion be commenced with a series of Triangles which can be filled in at pleasure, that is, any one of the Triangles can be filled in as seems most needed.

This seems the more desirable since several surveys have already been taken of different portions of the Hills, but from being unconnected must be resurveyed.

Civil Engineer's Deptt.
5th Dn., Salem, 25th June, 1850. } /Signed/ T. Smythe
Civil Engr. 5th Division

To Captain T. Smythe
Civil Engineer 5th Division
Cuddalore.

Sir

1. In reply to your letter dated 10th Instant requesting me to inform you of the extent of land which it may have been in contemplation by my predecessor to have surveyed on the Shemaray Hills, to enable you to

to judge of the time that the completion of the survey will occupy before you communicate on the subject to the Board.

2. I have the honor to state that the only indication of my predecessors intentions in this respect now to be traced in the Salem records is contained in the accompanying

12th Decr 1849. Copy of a letter from the late Mr. Lockhart to the Board of Revenue, 10th — " — " founded on one from the then Sub Collector Mr. Maltby.

3. The latter Gentleman, you will observe, also communicated

June 12th 1850 with you in regard to the extent of the proposed survey, and your reply, Seemark, is dated 25th June last.

4. My own opinion is that the whole of the table land of the Shemaroys should be surveyed in a manner calculated clearly to show the limits of the three Naads, those of the villages belonging to each Naad, those of the land now under cultivation by the Mullecalees or native inhabitants of the Hills, those of the portions

Moottoo Naad
Maha Naad
Salem Naad

portions of the latter on which coffee is grown; those of the ground taken up for Coffee cultivation by European and other settlers on the Hills and so held and cultivated at the present time, together with the limits of ground occupied by Gentlemen and others as gardens belonging to their private residences.

5 Mr. Boyne's labors have not supplied me as yet with data, which, as Collector of the District, it is of importance I should receive - He has ascertained, on their own showing, I have reason to believe, what is the total area of the holding of almost all the Coffee-planters on the Shevaroy; but his information does not specify what parts of those holdings belonging to particular Villages or particular Roads. I am consequently under the impression that Mr O'Hara will have to revise much of Mr Boyne's work, in view to supply the deficiencies abovementioned; but in this respect I submit to your professional knowledge, and shall feel obliged by your instructing Mr O'Hara as you may think fit.

6 In regard to Mr Boyne's acceptance

acceptance of the planters own Statement of their limits, it is due to Mr Boyne that I should mention that he is not to blame, as instructions to that effect appear to have been communicated to him during the course of last year. The point also is perhaps of no great importance, as the planters will necessarily have to pay in arrear from the past July, for whatever extent of land is now ascertained or admitted by them to be in their possession.

Vide from 12th July 1849 to 11th July 1850.

Salem District,
Tharamungalum, —
Collector's Cutcherry
26th February 1851 —

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

(True Copies)
(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Bd No 1048
Proceedings
23-24

The Board consider it thereon would be desirable that the survey of the Shevaroy Hills, should include both the area of present holdings, and of all land available for future settlers.

The plan proposed by Captain Smythe in his letter to the Collector dated 25th June 1850, should, in the Board's opinion, be

Encls: 3 in Fort St. George 3d May 1851

be adapted, by which means, future allotments of lands may be filled in from time to time as may be required.

3. With reference to the order of Government in the Department of Public Works dated 31st January 1851, the Collector is requested to report to the Board in that Department the period within which the survey, as above prescribed, will be completed.

Ordered that a copy of the letter recorded above and of these Proceedings upon it, be communicated to the Department of Public Works for information.

In D. P. W. Cons: 6th Feby 1851

Read the following letter

No. 33

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25
Dt No. 1148
From the Collr of Tanjore — Informing that the records of his office, do not shew the Christian name of the late Mr Sobard.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 28th April together with Copies of correspondence relative to the late Mr Sobard a Foreign Pensioner receiving subsistence allowance from the British

Original forwarded

Government

On referring to the records of this office, I have found a letter addressed by Mr Sobard in 1802 to the then Collector soliciting a continuance of Pension after the restoration of the French Territories in India, but the signature has no initial, and I am unable to find any other clue by which the Christian name of the party can be ascertained. In the list of Pensioners Mr Sobard is entered by his surname only, as are all the other persons included in the list, and his service is merely stated as attached to "the Veterans," there is nothing on my record to shew when Mr Sobard died tho' from the allusion made by the Accountant General to his last pay Certificate, it appears probable that Pondicherry was the place and the time about 1817.

Tanjore, Negapatam, 16th May, 1851.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.

Monsieur

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Monsieur Charles Harris
Collecteur de Tanjore

Monsieur,

J'ai eu agréable L'heureuse nouvelle de la paix étant annoncé, ainsi que la retrocession des possessions Françoises dans L'Inde. J'ai tant de suitte pris le parti de me retirer à Nigapatnam. J'ai deja retenues un Logement; daigné Je vous supplie Monsieur me protéger, me faire obtenire la Continuation de la subsistance dont j'ai joüis jusque ce jour, ne voulant rien demander ni recevoire de la bienfaisance. Dieu vous Benira du Grand service que vous m'aurai rendues.

(Signed) Jobard

Karikal,
26th June, 1802.

Bd. No. 1053.
Order thereon

Ordered that the correspondence noted in the margin which contains all the information the Board have been able to trace respecting the late Monsieur Sobard, be furnished to Mr G. Sobard, with reference to his letter dated 1st April 1851.

The original document submitted by Mr Sobard is herewith returned.

D.f.

From Collr. Tanjore 19th in Cons: 25th June 1801
Board to Govt. 27th June 1801 P 2
From Govt. 4th in Cons: 15th July 1801 P 11
To Collr. Tanjore 16th Do
From Do 15th May 1851 with its enclosure

25-26

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Read the following letter

No. 38.

To W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

Bd. 9th 1147.
From the Collr of Trichinopoly.

I have the honor to report for the information of your Board the resignation on the 15th Instant of Chinsawmy Pillay the Tahsildar of Vadiarpolliam

27

Trichinopoly,
Collector's Office,
16th May, 1851.

(Signed) J. Bird.
Collector.

Ordered to be recorded.—

Order thereon

27-28

Read the following return.—

Return

29

7414

Bd No. 1213

For Ft St George

Return of Tahsildars of the Coimbatore Collectorate as

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.
Vencata Row Born at Vengotattoor in Chittoor District.	Bramin	52.	Tahsildar of Coimbatoor	264,830	211.120	From 1st April 1851.
Sama Row Born at Manargoody	Bramin	38	Acting Tahsildar of Sattiamungalem	24.1959	74.120	From 1st April 1851

1st May 1851.

it stood on the 1st May 1851.

Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.		Total Length of Service.
	As Roysum in the Magistrate's Office at Madura from 1st November 1828 to 27th May 1842.	13 627	
	As Moonshee in the Collector's Office Coimbatore from 28th May 1842 to 31st March 1851.	8103	
		22 5.	22 6.
3 526	As Gomastah in the Coimbatore Talook from 3d July 1835 to 24th November 1837	2422.	
	As Huzoor Gomastah in the Coimbatore District from 25th November 1837 to 10th June 1847.	9616	
	As Sheristadar in the Coimbatore Talook from 11th June to 4th November 1847.	424	
		1242	
			15 928

7415

Fort St. George

29th May 1857

Name and place of Birth	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.
Belegherry Row Born at Arsem-poliem	Bramin	37	Tahsildar of Caroor	2,38,326	62 100	From 17th September 1849.	3 9 23	As Royeum in the Zemindary of Ramnaud, Aungamah Goomastah in the Madura District (Particulars not known) 8 " " As Gomastah in the Naganairy Talook in the Tinnevelly District from 17th October 1840 to 15th August 1843. 2 9 29 As Huzoor Goomastah in the Do from 16th August 1843 to 7th July 1847. 3 10 22 14 8 21	18 6 14
Anniah, Born at Dharapooram	Bramin	48	Tahsildar of Dandaiekom-cottah	70,768	24 85	From 1st August 1845 to 13th October 1846 and from 29th June 1849	13 9 8	As Dariaft Mootsuddy in the Huzoor in the District of Coimbatore from 13th January to 11th February 1827 " 1 " As Tapaul Overseer between Coimbatore and Neelgherries from 12th February to 31st May 1827. " 3 17 As Savastanum Gomastah in the Talook of Coimbatore from 1st June to 31st July 1827. " 2 " As Gomastah in the Tobacco Department of Coimbatore Talook from 1st August to 12th September 1827 " 1 13 As Gomastah in the Coimbatore Talook from 13th September 1827 to 2nd July 1835. 7 9 20 As Bazoor Gomastah in the Coimbatore District from 3rd July 1835 to 10th April 1837. 1 9 9 As Tobacco Superintendent in the Coimbatore District from 11th April to 23rd July 1837 " 3 13 10 6 11	24 3 19

7416

Fort St. George

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.
Rama Row, Born at Chaitput	Bramin	50	Tahsildar of Pullaur dom.	2b 1of	118	100 From 17th November 1848.
Kistnappah, Born at Malavelly	Bramin	41	Tahsildar of Errode.	183 42	113	100 From 29th June 1849.

29th May 1851

Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each employment.		Total Length of Services	Examined
2 5 19	As Talook Goomastah in the District of Madura from December 1820 to November 1827.	7 " "		
	As Ameenah in Punjamaul & Talook in the District of Tinnevelly from 7th April to 8th December 1838	" 8 2		
	As Seristadar in the Talook of Perindoray in Coimbatore District from 18th January 1843 to 7th March 1847	4 1 20		
	As Do in Pulladom Talook from 8th March 1847 to 11th November 1848.	1 8 4		
		13 5 26	15 11 5	
6 6 10	As Gomastah in the Huzoor Cutcherry at Coimbatore from 22nd to 24th November 1837.	4 " 3		
	As Do in the Talook of Coimbatore from 25th November 1837 to 24th October 1842.	4 11 "		
	As Seristadar of Dhevoor Talook for 25th and 26th October 1842.	" " 2		
	As Do of Coimbatore Talook from 27th October 1842 to 20th October 1844	1 11 24		
		6 10 29	13 5 9	

Fort St. George

29th May 1851.

Name and Place of Birth.	Caste.	Appointment.	Amount of Pay.	Collections.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
P. Coopoos-awmy Naick, Born at Madras	Baljavar	48 Tahsildar of Cheyoor	147	659 92 85	From 24th March 1851.		As Davastanum Writer in the Collector's Office Coimbatore from 25th March 1823 to 14th July 1827.	4 3 20	
							As Huzoor Moonshy from 15th July 1827 to 12th July 1830.	2 11 28	
							As Huzoor Writer from 13th July 1830 to 10th April 1840	9 8 28	
							As Deputy Accountant from 11th April 1840 to 5th April 1841.	1 11 25	
							As Acting Head Accountant from 6th April to 18th June 1841.	2 13	
							As Deputy Accountant from 19th June 1841 to 23rd March 1851.	9 9 5	
								27 11 29	28 16
Soobba Row, born at Teroo-vattoor in the District of Arcot	Bramin	54 Tahsildar of Pallacky	203	570	3 100 From 1st August 1849		As Davastanum Ameenah Sheristadar of Dottempolliem, Iyemputty, Moaroonga poory, &c. in the District of Madura Particulars not known	16	
							As Tahsildar of Maroonga-poory Talook in the Do	2	
							As Do in the Bangalore Division.	10	
								28	
									32 83

7418

For St. George

29th May 1851.

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Bheema Row Born at Dindigul	Bramin	53	Tahsildar of Kongiem	14/. 9/13	7/10 85	From 20th June 1838 to 4th December 1840 from 8th April to 28th November 1845 and from 30th July 1846		As Zuilly Ameenah in the Zemindary of Ramnaud in Madura District from 1st April 1817 to 31st December 1821.	4 9 "	
								As Buzoor Gomastah in Madura District from 1st July 1822 to 31st December 1824.	2 6 "	
								As Goomastah in Todecomboo Talook in Dr. from 1st January 1825 to 30th April 1828.	3 4 "	
							14 " 16	As Gomastah in the Talook of Tangara in Dr. from 1st May to 12th November 1828.	" 6 12	
								As Peishcar in the Talook of Errode and Sattimungalem in the District of Caimbatore from 26th February to 3rd December 1833.	" 9 6	
								As Sheristadar in the Talook of Perindaray from 4th December 1833 to 16th January 1834.	" 1 14	
								As Dr. Dr. from 1st April 1834 to 4th April 1835.	1 " 4	
								As Dr. of Danaickencottah from 5th April 1835 to 14th April 1837.	2 " 10	
									15 " 16	
										29 12.

7418

Fort St George

29th May 1851.

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Bheema Row Born at Dindigul	Bramin	53	Tahsildar of Kongiem	14/. 9/13	7/10 85	From 20th June 1838 to 4th December 1840 from 8th April to 28th November 1845 and from 30th July 1846		As Yusty Ameenah in the Zemindary of Ramnaud in Madura District from 1st April 1817 to 31st December 1821.	4 9	
								As Aurzee Gomastah in Madura District from 1st July 1822 to 31st December 1824.	2 6	
								As Goomastah in Todecomboo Talook in Dr. from 1st January 1825 to 30th April 1828.	3 4	
							14 a 16	As Gomastah in the Talook of Langara in Dr. from 1st May to 12th November 1828.	a 6 12	
								As Peishcar in the Talook of Errode and Sattimungalem in the District of Coimbatore from 26th February to 3rd December 1833.	a 9 6	
								As Sheristadar in the Talook of Perindoray from 4th December 1833 to 16th January 1834.	a 1 14	
								As Dr. Dr. from 1st April 1834 to 4th April 1835.	1 a 4	
								As Dr. of Danaickenscottah from 5th April 1835 to 14th April 1837.	2 a 10	
									15 a 16	
									29 12	

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Pattabeeramiah, Born at Ayacoody in Madura District.	Bramin	36	Tahsildar of Chuckra-gherry.	221785	136 85	From 7th April 1831.		As Layer Mooshurf of Sattimungalem Talook from 6th August to 8th September 1843.	13	
								As Dr Dr of Numbeyoor from 9th September to 27th November 1843.	219	
								As Choukeydar at Coimbatore from 28th November 1843 to 8th April 1844	411	
								As Gomastah in the Tobacco Godown from 9th April 1844 to 12th September 1846.	1 54	
								As Moonshee in Dr from 13th September 1845 to 18th February 1846.	56	
								As Peishcar of Sattimungalem Talook from 19th February 1846 to 19th January 1848.	1 111	
								As Tobacco Superintendent from 20th January 1848 to 6th April 1857.	3217	
									7 81	7 825
Sheik Davood, born at Darampoory in Salem District.	Mussulman	55	Tahsildar of Dharapoorum.	160236	3 7 85	From 14th November 1844	13 528	As Cutwall in the Talook of Royacottah in the Salem District from the year 1820 to 1823.	4 " "	
								As Peishcar in the Talook of Darampoory in Dr from 23rd September 1824 to 31st October 1827	318	
								As Cutwall at Cusbah Salem from 27th November 1827 to 22nd June 1833.	5 626	
								As Head Darogah in the Elephant Hunting Establishment at Coimbatore from 27th June 1833 to 2nd November 1837.	4 46	
									17 10	
										30 68

7420.

Fort St. George

29th May 1851

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.		Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Ramasamiah, Born at Paulghaut	Bramin	48	Acting Tahsildar of Andeyoor	87,158	7 9 85	From 25th October 1850.		As Assistant Cournum of Custom Department of Seroomoga 8 Yrs	1 312		
							14 11	As Hungamah Gomastah in the District of Coimbatore from 18th September to 23rd November 1830.	" 2 8		
								As Huzoor Moonshee from 24th November 1830 to October 1831	" 11 7		
								As Huzoor Gomastah from 1st November to 31st December 1831.	" 2 "		
								As Sayer Gomastah in the Huzoor from 1st January 1832 to 17th May 1833.	1 4 7		
								As Huzoor Moonshee from 18th May 1833 to 15th December 1841.	8 6 29		
								As D: from 24th October 1842 to 24th October 1850.	8 " 1		
									20 6 11	21 1028	
Alavadeen, born at Sreerungapatam	Mussulman.	61	Tahsildar of Melghery pies.	46795	3 10 50	From 1st August 1849	17	As Deputy Darogah of the Elephant Hunting Establishment from 8th November 1833 to 10th November 1837.	4 " 3		
								As Head Darogah from 11th November 1837 to 16th October 1846.	8 11 6		
									12 11 9	14 89	

7421

Fort St George

29th May 1851

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service	Remarks.
Bheema Row, Born at Coimbatore.	Bramin	47	Tahsildar of Perindoray	193860	8 11	83	11-1-8	As Huzoor Davastanum Gomastah in the District of Coimbatore from 22d October to 2d December 1825	1 12	
								As Hungamah Gomastah in the Huzoor from 3d December 1825 to 10th July 1826	7 9	
								As Peishcar in the Talooke of Pulladum from 12th January 1827 to 23d March 1829	2 2.13	
								As D: in the Talook of Sattimungalam from 24th March 1829 to 27th October 1830	1 7 5	
								As D: in the Talook of Perindoray from 28th October 1830 to 25th September 1834	3 10 29	
								As D: in the Talook of Pulladum from 26th September to 9th December 1834	2 11	
								As D: in the Talook of Coimbatore from 10th December 1834 to 4th May 1835	1 4 26	
								As Huzoor Gomastah in the Office of the Principal Collector from 2nd March 1840 to 10th January 1842	1 10 10	
									10 10 28	22-6

From 29th June 1849

7422

Fort St. George

29th May 1851.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total Length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks
Varadacharry Born at Aulimpaucum in Chingleput District	Bramin	51	Tahsildar of Collegaul	84697	36 85	From 1st August 1849.		As Peushcar in the Talook of Danaucken-cottah in Coimbatore District from 26th. November 1835 to 20th. August 1838	2 8 25	
							5 7 13	As Do. in the Do. of Errode in Do. from 21st August 1838 to 11th. August 1839	1 1 2	
								As Do. in the Do. of Daunaickencottah from 12th. August 1839 to 18th. December 1840	1 4 7	
								As Do. in the Do. of Pulladum from 19th. December 1840 to 17th. September 1845	4 8 29	
									9 9 22	15 5 5

Coimbatore Collectors Office
1st May 1851

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Ordered

7423 Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

29-30

Read again letter from the Collector of Coimbatore dated 17th April and entered in Consultation 8th May 1851.—

Read also the following letter—

No. 32.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 1161.

From the Collr. of Coimbatore } 1.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 8th Instant, I beg to state, that a supply of Calcutta seed wheat, may be furnished to me for the present.—

2

I would however request also, a supply of English wheat, when practicable.—

Ootacamund 16th May 1851. } Signed E. B. Thomas
Collector

31

Ordered

7424 Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Order thereon

Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted with the following address—

31-32

No. 226.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

B. No. 1040.

To the Chief Secy to Government } Sir,

From Minutes of Consultation dated 5th April 1851, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit, for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the Accompanying letters from the Collector of Coimbatore reporting the result of the experiments made in his District in cultivating, the English seed wheat received from Dr. Royle—

× 17th April in Cons. 8th May 1851.
16th in Cons. 29th May. —— "

The experiments in Coimbatore have proved nearly a failure— Mr. Thomas however requests a further supply of seed wheat both from Bengal and England to continue his experiments. The Board recommend that a similar quantity to that lately applied

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Extract Minutes Cons. 5th instant 10th April 1851.

Applied for on Account of the Collector of Madura, may be obtained from Calcutta for Mr. Thomas, and they also suggest that a further supply may be obtained for him from England when practicable.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th May 1851.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

No. 52.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 1181.
From the Coll. of Madras and Regr. of Govt. Grants
33

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th March last, and to report that I made immediate application to the Collector of Chingleput for information as to what particular Grants were alluded to

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

to in his Summary report of 6th November 1850.

That Officer's reply having just reached me, I submit an Extract from the Register of Government Grants or leases received from the Military Board and returned to them duly registered in which are entered the particulars of the five Grants referred to by him for lands situated in the cantonments of Palaveram and Poonamallee, and liable to an annual assessment of Rupees 17—8— which were registered and returned between the years 1836 and 1843, both inclusive.

Enclosure No. 1.

I beg leave to explain, with reference to the entries in the Extract in question, that it was not customary to give the Collectors in the Provinces intimation of the registry of similar Grants, before the receipt of the orders of Government dated 7th January 1850.

From B. No. 14. Jan. 1850.

Madras
17th May 1851.

Signed J. A. Huddleston
Coll. & Regr. of Govt. Grants

1427. St. George

Extract from Military

29th May 1851.

Board and registered in this office—

Number of the Registry	Date of the Grant	Fine for each renewal	Annual Quit Rent	By whom Registered	Date of the letters received from Military Board accompanying the Grants	Date when the Grants are returned to the Military Board	Remarks
	1835						
48	Augt. 4th		4 02	3 8			
	1839						
60	April 30th			3 8			
	1840						
71	Novr. 20th		7½	3 8			
	1840						
72	Decr. 14th		6 2	3 8			
	1843						
76	Jany 20th			3 8			
		£10 " "		J. A. Hudleston Esqre Acting Collector	Augt. 4th 1839	Augt. 13th 1839.	
		10 " "		A. Robertson Esqre Collector	May 13th 1839	May 17th 1839.	
		10 " "		J. A. Hudleston Esqre Acting Collector	Decr. 4th 1840	Decr. 11th 1840.	
		10 " "		A Robertson Esqre Collector	January 5th 1841	January 6th 1841.	
		" " "		Ditto	February 20th 1843	February 25th 1843	

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector and Regr. of Government Grants
Ordered

7428. Fort St. George 29th. May 1851.

B.d.R. 1047.

No. 230.

Proceedings thereon (33-34) Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of Government in reference to para 10 of the Board's Proceedings dated 10th. March 1851—

2. It is observed that intimation is now given to Collectors, by the Register of Government Grants, of the registry of all Grants of Land in Military Cantonments in accordance with the orders of Government dated 7th. January 1850 No. 27— In Cons. 14th. January 1850.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched—

No. 225.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

B.d.R. 1024.

To the Chief Secy to Government— (35)

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government, conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th. October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken set of their Proceedings for the Month of April 1851. for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th. May 1851. } / Signed / W H. Bayley, Secretary.

To

7429 Fort St. George 29th. May 1851.

No. 228.

B.d. No. 1015.

To the chief Secy. to Government. (36)

A.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly accounts— shewing the Collections of ordinary Revenue from 12th. July 1850 to 31st. March 1851 of Fusly 1260 Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1259.—

2. Abstract B shews a net— Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 366,941.

Increase in the Collections of current—	578460	12	2
Dt. Decrease in the Collections of arrears—	330628	11	5
	247832	—	9

8-1. resulting— from a net Increase of Rupees 247832 —— 9 in the Collections of Land Revenue and a net Increase of Rupees 119109-7-4 in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue.

3. Abstract C Shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue— and the Revenue from Extra Sources in the several Districts.

Board of Revenue, Fort St. George 29th. May 1851.

(Signed) W H. Bayley, Secretary.

General.

1430. 11. George

A. General Accts

Arrears

e

urrent

		9 CRs.	a	p
1.	Zillah of	39,242.	10	5
2		"	"	"
3		24199.	5	8
4		18,968.	15	9
5	Guntr	"	"	"
6	Chingl	56349	12	"
7	Salem	14418	1	6
8	Madura	30063	15	1
9	Nellore	"	"	"
10	Northern D	9088	1	2
11	Southern Dr	44941	10	1
12	Tanjore —	"	"	"
13	Trichinop	2,461	7	5
14	Tinnevelly	"	"	"
15	Bellary —	7,104.	6	3
16	Cudda pa	3892	5	5
17.	Coimbator	"	"	"
18	Canara —	"	"	"
19.	Malabar	"	"	"
20.	Madras — Land Cu	"	"	"
	Toll Collec	"	"	"
	Sea Cus	"	"	"
21.	Kurnool	23503	14	
		84231	2	1
	Add old	"	"	"
		"	"	"

Deduct Collect
Collections u

29th May 1851.

under the Madras Presidency from 12th July 1850 to

and Current.

Land Revenue

Comparison.

Total			Decrease: Arrears			Current			Total			Net: Increase			Decrease		
10 CRs.	a	p	11 CRs.	a	p	12 CRs.	a	p	13 CRs.	a	p	14 CRs.	a	p	15 CRs.	a	p
80780.	2		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	80780.	2		"	"	"
12,539	15	4	"	"	"	32,265	2	11	32,265	2	11	"	"	"	19,725	3	7
100,431	4	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	100,431	4	9	"	"	"
9,5521	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9,5521	14	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	184,689.	9	6	95174	1	6	279,863	10	11	"	"	"	279,863	10	11
56,349	12	"	49,515.	3	7	"	"	"	49,515	3	7	6,834	8	5	"	"	"
109,851	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	109,851	1	4	"	"	"
130063.	15	1	122,906.	5	9	"	"	"	122,906	5	9	7,157	9	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	49,692.	7	1	26,614	4	9	76,308	11	10	"	"	"	76,308	11	10
109,754.	1	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	109,754	1	11	"	"	"
244,971.	10	1	180,931	6	5	"	"	"	180,931.	6	5	64,010.	3	8	"	"	"
33,064.	5	7	"	"	"	62,659	4	4	62,659.	4	"	"	"	"	29,594	4	9
12,461	7	5	6,982	7	2	"	"	"	6,982	7	2	5,479	"	3	"	"	"
7,304.	14	6	"	"	"	37,043	12	4	37,043	12	4	"	"	"	29,738.	13	10
68,910.	3	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	68,910	3	2	"	"	"
73892	5	5	40,981.	8	6	"	"	"	40,981	8	6	32,910	12	11	"	"	"
"	"	"	1614.	7	7	14,584	12	10	19,198.	13	5	"	"	"	16,198.	13	5
"	"	"	1,774.	1	7	35,251.	1	4	37,025	2	11	"	"	"	37,025	2	11
5,626	1	5	"	"	"	1,743.	9	5	1,743.	9	5	3,882	8	"	"	"	"
14	15	4	"	"	"	435	4	6	435	4	6	"	"	"	420.	5	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
40,221	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	40,221	5	5	"	"	"
1181729	4	11	639,081.	2	1	305,743	5	11	944,860	8	"	7,25,744	9	4	438,875	12	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13738	9	8	2,775.	5	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	739483	2	7	491651.	1	10
												491651	1	10	Dr. Decrease.		
												247832	"	9	Net Increase		

1431

For St. George

to 31st March 1851 of Fusly 1260 Compared with the

29th May 1851.

Collections in the same period of Fusly 1259.

	Salt						Sayer		Abkarry			
	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as
1	2,03,957 5 3	1,96,901 6 "	" " "	7,055 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	38,430 1 10	39,874 1 11	1,444 " 1	" " "
2	1,01,175 7 "	94,592 14 6	" " "	5,582 8 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	29,458 3 "	35,195 3 11	5,737 " 11	" " "
3	1,40,349 1 "	1,11,992 " "	" " "	28,357 1 "	2,762 1 3	1,891 9 3	" " "	870 8 "	52,946 9 5	51,886 8 "	" " "	1,060 1 5
4	2,32,232 2 "	2,39,307 9 8	7,075 9 8	" " "	26,312 " 2	32,611 5 7	" " "	" " "	28,806 2 3	26,581 13 1	" " "	2224 5 2
5	3,07,398 12 4	2,85,620 11 9	" " "	21,777 13 7	1,718 10 1	2,113 10 2	395 " 1	" " "	13,229 4 "	12,993 " "	" " "	236 4 "
6	1,91,657 8 3	1,89,558 6 4	" " "	2,099 1 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	55,710 12 "	42,285 1 2	" " "	13,425 10 10
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	55,754 8 "	54,837 3 9	" " "	917 4 3
8	2,41,012 8 "	2,28,256 3 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20 2 "	" " "	33,673 " "	36,245 " "	2,572 " "	" " "
9	3,89,009 8 1	3,27,886 10 4	" " "	12,786 4 9	962 6 "	982 8 "	" " "	" " "	21,260 7 8	18,783 1 1	" " "	2,477 6 7
10	8,145 " "	12,195 10 4	" " "	6,141 13 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	82,090 8 "	78,410 " "	" " "	3,680 8 "
11	1,62,409 3 4	2,19,716 7 6	4,050 10 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,525 3 8	63,606 5 6	44,511 4 5	" " "	19,095 1 1
12	3,33,799 15 7	3,65,302 13 2	31,702 13 7	" " "	33,829 10 6	32,304 6 10	" " "	5659 14 "	71,714 " "	75,570 8 "	3,856 8 "	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14,026 8 5	19,686 6 5	" " "	" " "	16,391 15 "	16,818 3 6	426 4 6	" " "
14	2,17,191 " 1	2,41,086 14 6	23,895 14 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1861 10 "	15,572 " "	15,497 " "	" " "	75 " "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	33,246 26	35,107 12 6	" " "	58 15 11	20,816 8 8	2,13,250 " "	50,812 4	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,358 9 "	4,417 8 11	" " "	" " "	76,063 1 8	73,387 7 8	" " "	2,675 10 "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14,370 " "	47,544 5 9	31,745 9	" " "
18	2,80,024 12 9	2,89,901 4 2	9,876 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9086 12 6	45,426 8 1	43,889 1 1	" " "	1,537 7 "
19	2,25,358 11 4	2,31,669 7 "	6,310 11 8	" " "	7,173 14 "	16,260 10 6	" " "	" " "	1,753 14 10	43,427 14 10	1,674 " "	" " "
20	2,79,741 14 5	3,47,503 8 "	67,761 9 7	" " "	130 2 6	128 5 2	" " "	93 6 2	41,843 3 10	39,239 5 2	" " "	2,248 1 1
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	163 14	70 " 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,061 15 9	3,739 15 8	" " "	325 " 1	50,991 13	46,812 8 11	" " "	4,178 14 4
	33,12,492 12 5	33,81,673 1 6	207,980 14 10	138,800 10 9	128,748 6 6	149,314 3 2	23,381 11 11	2,815 15 3	14,60,260 14 "	14,10,394 9 10	23,965 5 7	7,383 9 9
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	68 11 9	1,361 3 5	1,307 1 3	14 9 7
	33,12,492 13 5	33,81,673 1 6	207,980 14 10	138,800 10 9	128,748 6 6	149,314 3 2	23,381 11 11	2,815 15 3	14,60,329 9 9	14,11,755 13 3	25,272 6 10	7,384 3 4
			138800 " 10 9	Dr. Decrease			2,815 15 3	Dr. Decrease			Dr. Increase	25,272 6 10
			69,180 4 1	Net Increase			20,565 12 8	Net Increase			Net Decrease	4,853 12 6
	28,08,380 9 "	28,82,234 6 1							12,70,414 14 5	12,37,536 5 10		
	5,04,112 4 5	4,93,435 11 5			110,705 10 8	126,748 19			139,911 " 11	4,174,199 7 5		
					18,042 11 11	22,566 1 5						

Fort St. George.

Sundry small Farms and Licenses.

	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.
	28	29	30	31	32	33
	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1	3,226 2 6	4,077 15 "	851 12 6	" " "	6,421 13 1	8,302 11 "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,634 2 10	4,678. 52
3	8,139. 2 "	8,220 7 9	81 5 9	" " "	42,141. 13 1	48,370 " 8
4	179 6 "	1,876 5 7	1,696. 15 7	" " "	12,954 10 8	15,113 62
5	4,503 14 3	4,274 12 "	" " "	229 2 3	21,992 8 10	23,038 68
6	8,022 5 "	8,245 13 7	223 8 7	" " "	110,11. 11 4	12,872 " 10
7	4,639 2 5	4,870 13 1	231 10 8	" " "	64,129. 10 8	64,199 6 11
8	6,977 12 10	4,207 2 6	" " "	2,770 11 4	" " "	" " "
9	651. 9 3	569 11 3	" " "	81 14 "	16115. 2 7	15330. 6 "
10	1,022 15 4	889. 7 7	" " "	133 7 9	15,065 1 10	11,979 12 7
11	5,258 5 9	5,688 11 3	430 8 6	" " "	22,782 3 7	22,769. 4 5
12	22,133. 8 3	21,781 11 "	" " "	348 13 3	43,222 15 6	44,555 " 9
13	2,949 5 3	3,010. 14 9	61 9 6	" " "	5662 6 2	5,967 1 9
14	24,834. 6 1	21,494 8 7	" " "	3,339 13 6	68,012 15 "	67,108 14 10
15	5,278 11 5	8,227 12 7	2,949 1 2	" " "	20,266 11 9	20,999 8 7
16	5,364 11 2	3,437 5 7	" " "	1,927 5 7	1,23,932 10 3	1,21,969. 3 2
17	243 10 6	2,178 4 "	1,934 9 6	" " "	43,740 4 10	46,136. 1 "
18	9,736 14 3	48,074 11 "	38,337 12 9	" " "	2,106. " 11	1,413. 9 3
19	25,229. 7 6	24,363 9 5	" " "	865 14 1	75,899 5 8	75,776 1 "
20	8,336 7 "	8,252 9 2	" " "	83 13 10	" " "	" " "
	180,40 15 2	16,721 4 2	" " "	1,319 11 "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	1,192 5 7	860. 5 8	" " "	331. 15 11	42,218 2 11	42,324 2 2
	1,65961 1 6	20,132 6 6	46,798 14 6	11,432. 9 6	8,24,704 9 1	8,39,902 9 4
	336 11 8	89 6 3	42 8 8	289 14 1	333 11 4	359 5 3
	1,66,297 13 2	20,141 12 9	46,841 7 2.	11,722 7 7	8,25,041 4 5.	8,40,261 14 7
			11,722 7 7 Dr. Decrease			
			35,118 15 7. Net Increase.			
	1,43,799 7 9	164,317 13 5			4,11,991. 1 10	7,24,488 1 "
	22,498. 5 "	5. 37,098 15 4.			1130 5 0. 2 7.	7,15,443 13 7

Moturpha.

Increase	Decrease.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.
34	35	36	37	38	39
CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1,881. " 11	" " "	2,537 5 "	6,741. 4 2	4,203. 15 2	" " "
44. 2 4	" " "	3,139. 14 10	6,708 " 9	3,268 1 11	" " "
1,223 3 7	" " "	21,767. 1 5	24,002 6 6	2,235 5 1	" " "
2,158 11 6	" " "	7,931. 7 7	3,378 " 9	" " "	4,552. 6 10
10,45. 13 10	" " "	95. 7 1	10,38 14 10	943 7 9	" " "
1,860. 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
30,69. 12 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	99 60. 8 "	11,949. 15 1	1,989 7 1	" " "
" " "	" " "	512 13 5	10 2 5	" " "	502 11 "
" " "	484 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	3085. 5 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	13 1 2	1,733 4 "	24,089 8 10	6,755 8 10	" " "
" " "	" " "	125,070 7 6	1,24,484 " 5	" " "	642 7 1
1,332 1 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
304 11 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	904 " 2	100,51 132	10,458 6 2	406 8 "	" " "
7,334 12 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1,963. 7 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,395 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6858 2 1
" " "	692 7 8	33,796 10 9	26,938 8 8	" " "	2,049. 9 3
" " "	123 4 8	22,545. 10 "	20,196 " 9	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	3,54,292 3 10	3,73,128 3 7	18,835. 15 9	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
105. 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22,761 6 10	7,566. 6 7	6,09,341. 6 7	6,33,378 7 11	38,638 5 7	14,606. 4 3
157 14 6	132 4 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22,919 5 4	7,698. 11 2	6,09,341. 6 7	6,33,378 7 11	38,638 5 7	14,606. 4 3
				14,606. 4 3 Dr. Decrease	
7,698 11 2 Dr. Decrease				24,032 1 4 Net Increase	
15,220. 10 2. Net Increase					
		6,24,862. 2 4	5,48,870. 8 10		
		84,479. 4 3.	84,503. 2 1.		

Fort St. George

29th May 1851.

Tobacco. — Stamps. — Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue. — Net

Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.
1 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10048 3 6	10503 4 "	455 3 6	" " "	264620 15 2	266401 " 1
2 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11029 8 "	11753 " "	723 8 "	" " "	148737 3 8	152927 8 4
3 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10086 4 "	11433 " "	1346 12 "	" " "	27819 2 "	25279 6 " 2
4 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7833 8 "	9719 " "	1885 8 "	" " "	316249 1 8	322587 8 10
5 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5355 4 "	5788 12 "	433 8 "	" " "	354293 12 7	334868 6 5
6 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10672 6 "	11783 12 "	1111 7 6	" " "	27707 9 1	26479 5 11
7 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14651 4 "	16539 " "	1887 12 "	" " "	139174 8 8	143446 7 2
8 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18289 " "	23183 2 "	1594 2 "	" " "	311505 2 10	305123 14 10
9 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9080 4 "	10149 " "	1068 12 "	" " "	43629 13 "	37270 9 15 1
10 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17381 15 6	19528 11 "	2146 11 6	" " "	123705 8 8	123003 9 6
11 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13394 " 6	15606 14 6	2108 14 "	" " "	313616 15 2	364535 12 9
12 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40333 8 "	53869 8 "	13536 " "	" " "	650306 15 3	705402 15 9
13 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13390 " "	14101 15 6	711 15 6	" " "	38393 10 5	39898 3 6
14 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17288 8 "	17258 8 "	" " "	30 " "	386196 12 10	408011 15 7
15 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	107261 2 "	139044 " "	31778 " "	" " "	43119 6 9 10	449798 2 1
16 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18788 " "	19966 8 "	1228 8 "	" " "	22098 7 1	218760 8 5
17 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15043 4 "	15984 " "	940 12 "	" " "	103397 3 4	111842 10 9
18 21021 7 8	19543 2 6 8	" " "	14757 1 "	38337 12 6	38244 8 6	" " "	92 15 "	62614 " " 11	66017 7 " 18
19 37476 1 4 9	35055 6 8 9	" " "	24195 6 "	37889 5 6	42768 8 2	4879 2 6	" " "	303558 8 1	298186 6 11
20 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6514 10 "	7365 3 6	850 9 6	" " "	709599 9 7	755786 7 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18040 15 2	16721 4 2
21 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1484 8 "	1038 12 "	" " "	445 12 "	354292 3 10	373123 3 7
584963 6 5	546010 15 5	" " "	38952 7 "	328170 12 "	370688 11 "	43086 10 "	568 11 "	99951 7 6	94777 6 12 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	105153	528110 4
584963 6 5	546010 15 5	" " "	38952 7 "	328170 12 "	370688 11 "	43086 10 "	568 11 "	744146 6 6	753268 5 " 8
							568 11 "	7392 9	18094 11 11
							42517 15 "	741538 8 3	753449 15 7
							Nt Increase		
515031 7 6	478560 4 6								
69931 14 11	64501 0 11								
				293662 4 "	328828 18 "				
				34503 8 "	41859 14 "				

Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.
50	51			52	53
CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.	CRs. as. p.
8836 " 2	7055 15 3			1780 " 11	" " "
97733 2	55828 6			41900 4 8	" " "
4891 " 10 5	30287 10 5			" " "	25396 " "
19116 " 2	6777 12 "			12338 4 2	" " "
2814 13 8	22243 3 10			" " "	19425 6 2
31965 5 7	15524 12 9			" " "	12329 7 2
5189 2 9	917 4 3			42711 4 6	" " "
91745 11 1	15536 15 1			" " "	6331 4 "
1068 12 "	6498 9 11			" " "	63919 13 11
6197 5 10	6899 5 "			" " "	701 15 2
66602 3 6	20633 5 11			45968 13 7	" " "
56087 4 10	99144			55096 " 6	" " "
1504 9 1	" " "			1504 9 1	" " "
26164 " 5	4348 13 8			21815 2 9	" " "
18601 8 3	" " "			18601 8 3	" " "
12288 "	6866 8			" " "	5337 4 8
84457 5	" " "			84457 5	" " "
57301 " 8	23938 " 9			33362 15 11	" " "
12863 14 2	27235 15 4			" " "	14371 2
68612 3 1	22425 5 1			46186 14 "	" " "
" " "	1319 11 "			" " "	1319 11 "
18835 15 9	" " "			18835 15 9	" " "
105153	52810 4			" " "	51751 11 1
2723971 5 6	2885741 0 1			154359 4 4	
" " "	" " "			10702 12 2	" " "
2734681 1 8	" " "			154359 4 4	
				154359 4 4	Dt Decrease
				11910 7 4	Net Increase
6378847 9 6	6497907 3 5				
1036534 14 9	1036587 12 2				

Fort St. George

2nd May 1850.

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue.

Collections of Old Balances
Land Revenue.

Sayer.

	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67
	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1	9038914 6 8	9864547 9	82616 11	7055 15 3	82560 1 1	" " "								
2	9111822 10 7	9260327 11 8	97721 3 2	25307 12 1	" " "	156341 11 11	21920 2 6	22613 10 10	69384 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	1618593 1 2	1693628 8 11	105322 15 2	30287 10 5	75035 4 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	666984 " "	774381 2 2	114637 14 2	6777 12 "	107860 2 2	" " "	125 14 3	33 7 8	" " "	92 6 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	11459812 14 5	11605723 13 4	2817 13 8	302106 14 9	" " "	299289 1 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	6770663 10 "	6715688 11 3	10029 14 "	15524 12 9	" " "	54914 9	1458 1 3	1029 9 5	" " "	1286 10 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	10856455 14 11	11999768 14 9	115040 14 1	914 4 3	114122 15 10	" " "	514 3 "	1323 9 8	78259 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	13687795 2 8	13695531 8 "	16333 4 5	15556 15 1	776 5 4	" " "	561 12 6	370 6 9	" " "	191 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	17977441 2 6	16572158 8 9	10688 12 "	1412974 5 9	" " "	1402289 9	14 3 14	13 5 9	" " "	" 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	11292411 " 1	12382932 10	115951 7 9	6899 5 "	109062 2 9	" " "	397 12 4	882 2 1	28459 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	16094069 " 1	17193851 0 3	130612 7 2	20633 5 11	109979 1 3	" " "	570 15 10	1199 4 2	" " "	711 18 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12	23402437 " 2	23657441 8 9	56087 4 10	306861 1	250501 1 9	" " "	3825 12 4	3645 6 7	" " "	180 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	10616944 8	10686488 "	69834 " "	" " "	69834 " "	" " "	1929 11 11	1607 4 5	" " "	322 7 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	16284007 3	16204761 2 2	26164 " 5	340871 11 6	" " "	7923 11 1	" " "	688 6 7	68867 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	20626411 2 1	21501521 3 6	87511 11 5	" " "	87511 11 5	" " "	503 7 1	932 3 4	42812 3 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	16893124 11	17168853 2	34139 4 11	65666 8	27572 14 3	" " "	849 12 "	391 1 8	" " "	1581 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	16483509 " 11	16407531 0 11	84575	16198 13 5	" " "	77536 "	537 12 2	668 15 8	131 3 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	15313427 13 9	15276880 9	57301 " 8	609633 8	" " "	36623 "	1011 7 6	4041 "	2999 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	18395141 7 1	18190241 1 2	16746 6 2	272351 5 4	" " "	1018992	249 12 2	165 13 4	" " "	93 11 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20	7149539 3 2	7995325 12 "	68612 3 1	228451 0 3	45766 8 10	" " "	4333 7	10308 4 4	10175 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	180140 15 2	167214 2	" " "	1319 11 "	" " "	1319 11 "	1747 12 3	812 13 8	" " "	934 11 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	3541292 3	10373128 3 7	18835 15 9	" " "	18835 15 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	5796888 6	4644734 " 8	40327418	52810 14	350451 0 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	28480722 7 5	29135629 15	6032357 14	7777450 6 6	846603 8 10	49169 6 9	31 10 5	288 15 2	25749 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	36442 13 "	48476 13 "			14963 12 "	2929 12 "								
	28817165 4 5	29121061 2 6			861364 10	4941625 12 9	35703 10 3	16666 14 1	12738 9 3	2745 5 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
					4941625 12 9	Dr. Decrease								
	22416843 7 8	27784233 2 5			366941 8 1	Net Increase								
	6100321 12 9	6309873 10 1												

Parish of St. George

29th May 1851

	Abkarry.						Sundry Small Farms and Licences.					
	Fusly 1259		Fusly 1260.		Increase	Decrease.	Fusly 1259		Fusly 1260		Increase	Decrease.
	68		69		70	71	72		73		74	75
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1	54	2 2	677	14 10	523 12 8	" " "	1	" "	"	11 "	" " "	5 "
2	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
3	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	2	1 "	" " "	" " "
4	"	" "	389	1 8	389 1 8	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
5	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	108 8 "
6	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	114	11 7	36	6 9	" " "	" " "
7	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
8	11	9 7	"	" "	" " "	11 9 7	34	8 11	1	9 "	" " "	83 1 11
9	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
10	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
11	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	97 7 2
12	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	105	12 2	8	5 "	" " "	" " "
13	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
14	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	12	" "	" " "	" " "
15	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	8 " "
16	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	8	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
17	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	2	6 "	" " "	" " "
18	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
19	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	26	1 8	" " "	" " "
20	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
21	"	" "	394	2 11	394 2 11	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "
	68	11 9	1,361	3 5	1,307 1 3	11 9 7	336	11 8	89	6 3	1,288	289 11 1

	Malt.											
	Fusly 1259		Fusly 1260		Increase	Decrease						
	76		77		78	79						
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p						
1	"	" "	22	3 2	15 8 6	" " "	6	10 8				
2	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "				
3	2	1 "	"	11 "	" 7 "	" " "	"	7 "				
4	"	" "	65	12 9	" " "	" " "	65	12 9				
5	"	" "	14	15 9	1 9 2	" " "	13	6 7				
6	"	" "	45	3 6	32 11 2	" " "	12	8 14				
7	"	" "	65	7 14	45 12 11	" " "	19	10 5				
8	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "				
9	"	" "	"	15 3	12 9 8	11 10 5	"	" "				
10	"	" "	1	2 "	23 " 7	21 14 7	"	" "				
11	"	" "	23	9 6	18 13 11	" " "	14	11 7				
12	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "				
13	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "				
14	12	" "	"	" "	" 14 3	" 14 3	"	" "				
15	"	" "	1,8	8 2	98 13 6	50 5 14	"	" "				
16	2	6 "	27	13 1	56 14 2	28 7 1	"	" "				
17	"	" "	10	10 10	1 9 7	" " "	9	1 3				
18	"	" "	3	8 "	8 10 9	5 2 9	"	" "				
19	26	1 8	"	" "	6 6 14	6 6 14	"	" "				
20	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "				
	"	" "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	"	" "				
21	"	" "	3	" "	36 1 9	33 1 9	"	" "				
	1,428		289	11 1	333 11 14	359 5 3	157	14 6	132	4 7		

J. G. B.

Fort St. George.

29th May 1851

	Tobacco.				Total				Grand Total of Collections.					
	Fusly 1259.		Fusly 1260.		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1259.		Fusly 1260.		Increase		Decrease	
	80		81		82		83		84		85		86		87	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	21997	7 10	23207	13 2				
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "				
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	126	12 3	35	15 8				
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	65	12 9	339	1 8				
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1473	1 "	1031	2 7				
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	731	6 "	1392	11 5				
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	627	3 10	416	3 8				
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	113	5 10	11	12 9				
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	598	11 7	894	11 9				
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	572	1 10	522	4 9				
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3965	2 "	3672	9 6				
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1929	11 11	1807	4 5				
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	686	6 7				
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	503	7 1	941	1 7				
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	898	12 2	1389	15 2				
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	565	9 3	724	9 10				
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	115	2 3	405	10 7				
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	253	4 2	164	8 1				
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1333	7 "	10340	12 4				
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1747	12 3	812	13 8				
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "				
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "				
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	31	10 5	719	3 10				
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	36	14 2 13 "	48	14 6 13 "				

88		89		90		91	
Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
9	25 3 9	1. 14 6	10	09 6 6	2 4 11	83	7 7 0 6 5 " " "
9	41 1 6	22 10 7	9	26 0 8	7 11 8	"	" " 15 6 3 1 14 11
9	0 12 7	16 18 7	20 " 5	16 9 3	6 4 8 7	7	4 9 4 1 4 8 2 " " "
6	6 0 1	9 12 9	7	74 2	3 3 10	10	3 1 8 8 7 1 " " "
1	1 2 1	5 14 6	12 8 2	5 11 6	1 5 3 3 15 11	"	" " 29 9 7 3 1 2 6
6	7 7 9	5 " "	6	72 9	6 1 6 8	4	8 3 3 9 1 4
10	3 6 2	7 2 8 9	12	0 0 1	8 4 8 5	11	3 9 11 15 8 " " "
13	6 8 3	3 8 8 8	13	6 9 5	6 6 4 9	6	7 7 1 2 3 " " "
17	9 8 0	4 2 1 1	1. 16 5	3 1 1 0	4 6	"	" " 13 9 9 3 2 7
11	2 9 8	1 3 "	11	23 3 8	1 5 7	10	9 0 0 2 5 8 " " "
16	1 3 3	6 1 1	11	17 2 3	0 5 8 3 9	10	9 6 9 6 8 9 " " "
23	4 2 1	7 2 2	11	23 6	7 3 5 1 13 2	25	1 4 8 10 3 " " "
10	6 1 6	9 4 14	8	10 6	9 5 6 4 14 7	7	6 6 9 15 11 " " "
16	2 8 9	0 3 14	4	16 2	1 4 2 1 13 9	"	" " 41 8 2 " 7
20	6 3 5	3 9 14	3	21 5 0	6 4 2 12 8	87	1 0 2 14 5 " " "
17	6 1 2	13 " 14	2	17 1	7 6 1 2 13 "	27	3 4 4 14 10 " " "
16	3 6 2	4 3 2	16 4	1 1 6	1 5 6	"	" " 41 6 2 13 8
15	3 1 5	9 6 1	11	15 2	7 3 4 5 2 10	"	" " 3 7 5 0 15 1
18	5 9 6	4 7 10	11	18 5	9 3 6 5 10 6	"	" " 28 2 5
9	3 1 "	7 5 13	6	7 9 6	1 3 9 8	44	8 3 1 10 3 " " "
18	0 4 0	15 2	16	7 2 1	4 2	"	" " 1 3 1 9 11 "
3	5 4 2	9 2 3	10	37 3	1 2 8 3 7	18	8 3 5 15 9 " " "
5	9 9 7	2 3 "	9	6 1	5 4 5 3 4 6	35	7 3 0 3 9 " " "
2	9 2 9	12 "	28	8 1	7 1 6 5 4 5	29	1 3 4 1 0 6 12 6

84,274 15 2 — 48,329 13 1

48,329 13 1 Dr. Decrease

36,944 8 1. Net Increase.

Fort St. George

29th May 1850.

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing.		Balance under 15 years standing.		Particulars of Balances. Arrears of Fasly 1259 and Land Revenue.						Balances of prior date in train of liquidation. Land Revenue not permanently Settled.				Total Land Revenue.		
					Total.		Sairy Mootahs.		Minors.		Sequestered.		Total.		Rented.		Sumary.
	92		93		94		95		96		97		98		99		100		101	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p			CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
1			25	7 10	133353	10 1	133279	1 11	1627	" "			13314	1 2	11941	1 2	"	" "	5837	" 11	207782	1
2			"	" "	114	5 "	114	5 "	1695	5 10			15504	7 2	32460	1 10	"	" "	12866	7 7	45326	9 5
3			"	" "	16468	11 10	16468	11 10	15932	11 "			"	" "	15932	11 "	"	" "	76288	8 7	92221	3 7
4			"	" "	40	8 1	40	8 1	14338446	15 3	130133	11 9	9147240	8 7	2215821	3 7	341	" 9	369152	14 6	25853152	10
5	1337208	8 10	4214075	7 7	5601274	5 "	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	114832	6 3	"	" "
6	"	" "	512533	3 3	542533	3 3	2739	1 6	7760	13 6			"	" "	7024	6 7	32	4 3	56321	1 8	126896	6
7	"	" "	71334	9 "	71334	9 "	8719	2 7	"	" "			"	" "	8719	2 7	"	" "	14893	4 8	236127	3
8	"	" "	24588	11 "	24588	11 "	9157	11 "	81610	15 8			18536	12 1	109335	9 9	"	" "	3677	8 1	1096931	1 10
9	394	2 5	24008	4 8	24402	7 1	9314	9 8	"	" "			"	" "	934	9 8	"	" "	175230	" 2	1761614	9 10
10	"	" "	1238	2 4	1238	2 4	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	888	11 4	888	11 4
11	"	" "	39211	7 7	39211	7 7	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2529	8 5	2529	8 5
12	108514	2 8	121181	10 7	132035	13 3	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	14428	" 2	14428	" 2
13	"	" "	31047	14 10	31047	14 10	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	59381	4 3	12493	4 2	18432	2 5	"	" "	"	" "			39314	9 3	39314	9 3	"	" "	1944	12 4	58795	5 7
15	"	" "	5618	1 7	5618	1 7	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5811	1 3	5811	1 3
16	"	" "	3788	4 2	3788	4 2	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5125	14 4	5125	14 4
17	"	" "	8513	11 4	8513	11 4	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1537	14 1	1537	14 1
18	"	" "	463	11 2	463	11 2	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	519	12 1	519	12 1
19	"	" "	2009	15 2	2009	15 2	10000	" 11	"	" "			"	" "	10000	" 11	63	" "	1265	3 3	11328	4 2
20	33	2 4	16630	5 8	16638	" "	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6329	4 4	6329	4 4
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	9184	5 3	9184	5 3	"	" "	"	" "			"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	18335	7 4	18335	7 4
	1559966	6 4	859580	12 "	1015547	2 4	120204	7 9	2. 2	18525 3 11			9985306	3. 2	4191038	4 4	1365	" "	8338061	0 4	32533467	8.

Fort St. George

29th. May 1851.

Extra Revenue.

	Salt.		Sayer.		Abkarry		Sundry Small Farms Farms and Licenses.		Moturpha.		Sea Customs.		Tobacco.		Stamps.		Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109.		Land Revenue		Extra Revenue.		Balance of Current Demands of Fasly 1260. Total.	
	102		103		104		105		106		107		108		109		110		111		112		113.	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	27	15 "	31	8 "	76	13 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	20914.	6 3	89445	4 1	9697	12 8	99143	" 9
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13	10 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	45340.	4 2	41760	5 6	6827.	8 3	48387	10 9
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	12 "	24	3 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	92246	2 4	133264	1 3	7955.	10 10	141219.	12 1
4	"	" "	"	" "	289	11 4	131	7 6	61	10 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	5 "	2585798	5 2	156376	5 1	6179	6 8	162555	11 9
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4	" "	14	5 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	114849	11 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	667	10 4	262	7 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	18619.	8 7	12676	9 7	10113.	14 11	22790.	8 6
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	45	8 "	201.	14 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	23859	18 6	103309	2 4	5682	" "	108991.	2 4
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13	2 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	109706	4 2	99246	8 11	3978	" "	103324.	8 11
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	107	14 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	176272	8 4	221756	15 3	2792.	4 3	24968.	3 6
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	68	" 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	956	15 1	63989	8 "	22289	16 "	86279.	7 "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	10 "	27	7 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	26547	6 "	5911	4 9	14614.	15 1	15206.	13 10
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	14428.	2 "	178622	11 8	8893	8 "	197516.	3 8
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	39558.	12 6	29848	9 "	1129.	" "	30977.	9 "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	64	10 9	14	12 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	8333.	6 8	"	" "	3992.	11 "	3992.	11 "
16	"	" "	"	" "	2,000	" "	112	5 6	409	15 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5620	15 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	10	" "	485.	" 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1551.	1 9	"	" "	6152	10 3	6152.	10 3
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13	3 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	530	3 1	47796	2 " 8	32504.	10 4	510486.	11 "
19	"	" "	"	" "	5	12 7	321	2 10	88	15 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	11744	3 2	14934	4 2	1575.	4 9	16510.	2 11
20	"	" "	"	" "	129	1 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	64586	7 7	20633	8 9	643	11 "	21277.	3 9
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	135724	" "	"	" "	6823.	15 1	6823.	15 1
	"	" "	"	" "	2,452	8 2	1,398	13 3	2,120	11 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	5 "	32593181	2 14549	37 "	1,51,646	14 1	1606534	5 1

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

	Total Columns 110 and 113		Remission. Total Balance Columns 94 and 114.		Of recent arrears.		Of Old Balances.		Total.	
	114		115		116		117		118	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
1	120057	7 "	253436	8 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2	93728	1 11	93845	6 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	233465	14 8	249934	10 6	"	" "	2052	2 3	2052	2 3
4	2748354	" 11	2748394	9 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5	44849	11 11	674977	10 4	"	" "	4238	14 6	4238	14 6
6	36410	1 1	41835	1 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	132850	15 10	139984	4 7	"	" "	19631	13 11	19631	13 11
8	213030	13 1	213276	6 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	201240	11 10	225643	2 11	"	" "	4764	8 6	4764	8 6
10	87236	6 1	88474	8 5	2273	15 6	73	5 8	2347	5 2
11	14764	14	56975	14 11	"	" "	105	8 6	106	8 6
12	211944	3 10	343980	1 1	87	5 6	153	8 "	240	13 6
13	"	" "	31047	14 10	171	" 4	634	9 10	805	10 2
14	36936	5 6	55368	7 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	12326	1 8	17944	3 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
16	5620	15 "	9409	3 2	"	" "	2341	12 9	2341	12 9
17	7703	12 "	16217	7 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	510996	14 1	511460	9 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	28254	6 1	30264	5 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
20	27735	9 4	44399	1 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	25396	3 1	34580	8 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	4865903	2 3.	5881450	4 7.	2532	5 4.	33996	3 11.	36528	9 3.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secy.

Comparative

7440

B. Comparative Abstract of the Collections of Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Abstract Statement of the Collections of Fusly 1259 and 1260 up to 31st March 1851.

Add Deduct Increase. Decrease.

	Fusly 1259.			Fusly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Lands Revenue	2141719	12	2	764611	12	11	247832	"	"			
Salt	331219	2	13	53786	13	5				277432	"	9
Sayer	128448	6	6	14914	3	2				113534	2	9
Abkarry	1160329	9	9	111455	13	3				48573	12	6
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	168291	13	2	20116	12	9				15173	15	4
Motarpha	225041	4	6	34026	14	9				19115	2	10
Sea Customs	609341	6	9	63393	9	11				54240	10	2
Tobacco	584968	5	5	64804	15	6				52163	14	8
Stamps	328140	12	"	37068	11	"				29111	15	"
Total Collections of Rent	4153258	3	9	434614	15	9				389552	9	"
Grand Total of Land and Sea Customs	2831465	5	2	291406	12	6				254260	10	8
Increase of Revenue												
Decrease												
Portion Carried												
Collections to Head of the Army	2216813	9	8	228423	2	5				198448	2	8
Collections in Malabar	614782	12	9	63093	13	10				55310	14	1

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secy.

7439

For

Colum

110 an

	Co									
1	1200									
2	93 7									
3	2,33 1									
4	27,48									
5	44 8									
6	36 4									
7	1,32									
8	2,13									
9	201									
10	84									
11	17									
12	21									
13										
14	36									
15	12									
16	5									
17	7									
18	51									
19	28									
20	2									
21	2									
	48									

7441 For Ft. St. George 29th May 1851.

C. Abstract Shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenues from Extra sources upto 31st March 1851.

	Land Revenue.				Extra sources of Revenue.			
	Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
Ganjam	31,473	8 6	"	" "	2,296	13 11	"	" "
Vizagapatam	"	" "	19,725	3 7	4,190	4 8	"	" "
Rajahmundry	100,338	14 2	"	" "	"	" "	25,394	6 7
Masulipatam	9,5521	14 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Guntoor	"	" "	2,80,292	5 9	12,661	9 1	"	" "
Chingleput	7,616	14 2	"	" "	"	" "	19,438	12 9
Salem	109,659	11 7	"	" "	"	" "	12,450	7 6
Madura	7,156	11 9	"	" "	4,282	4 4	"	" "
Nellore	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6,478	15 6
N.e Div.n of Arcot	109,682	6 3	76,024	6 1	"	" "	63,908	3 6
S.n Div.n of Arcot	63,829	13 11	"	" "	"	" "	680	" 7
Tanjore	"	" "	"	" "	45866	10 10	"	" "
Trichinopoly	6,165	6 10	29,917	6 3	55096	" 6	"	" "
Tinnevelly	"	" "	"	" "	1501	9 1	"	" "
Bellary	68,451	8 10	29,310	1 7	21828	1 "	"	" "
Cuddapah	33,042	" 5	"	" "	18651	6 7	"	" "
Coimbatore	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5207	1 7
Canara	"	" "	15899	3 10	8436	6 2	"	" "
Malabar	"	" "	37,119	1 9	33368	2 8	"	" "
Madras — Land Customs, Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal, Sea Customs	14,057	8 9	"	" "	"	" "	14339	9 2
Hyderabad	"	" "	1,355	3 9	46,186	14 "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1319	11 "
	"	" "	"	" "	18835	15 9	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4,748	6 5
Total	2,37,475	1 4	4,39,643	" 7	2,73,175	1 4	1,54,065	10 "
	4,39,643	" 7	Dr. Decrease		1,54,065	10 "	Dr. Decrease	
	2,47,832	" 9	Net Increase		1,19,109	7 4	Net Increase	

(Signed) W. H. Bayley Secy.

J. G. R.

7442 Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To

The Collector of Salem

Bd. Jr. 1038.

To the Collector of Salem

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement (37) dated 11th February 1851, on the complaint of Acknowledged that shee claims as the widow of the 14th incumbent of the Curnumship had been set aside in favor of those of the widow of the younger brother and subsequent holder of the Office; Jan- decided to convey the opinion of the Board that to them it appears that Petitioner has the Preferable right to registry and the nomination of a Goomastah, and to request that you will reconsider your Judgment accordingly.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th May, 1851.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

The Board proceeds to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein.-

Statement

No. 913.

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah (38) Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 911

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah (39) Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 910

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah (40) Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 912

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah (41) Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 1093

From the Collector of Tanjore (42) Supplemental Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 825.

From the Collector of Canara (43) Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1259.

Statement.

No. 822.

From the Collector of Canara (44) Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 824

From the Collector of Canara (45) Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1259.

No. 703

From the Collector of Madras (46) Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1259.

No. 704

From the Collector of Madras (47) Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1259.

In Order on the abovementioned (38-48.) 10 Statements } Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Boards be inserted in the proper Columns of the above mentioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.

No. 1214

From the Collector of Tanjore (49) I Read comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April last.

Ordered

Order thereon.

(49-50)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the undermentioned lists.

No. 1210 From the Collector of Canara.

(51) List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 1st April last.

No. 1211 From the Collector of Canara.

(52) List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 1st April last.

Order on the 2 abovementioned lists.

(51-53)

Ordered to be recorded.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.

My. 587 From the Collector of Vizagapatam.

(54) Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 575 From the Collector of Guntoor.

(55) Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 592 From the Collector of Chingleput.

(56) Salt and money account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 576 From the Collector of South Arcot.

(57) Salt and money

money account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 589 From the Collector of Canara.

(58) Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 590 From the Collector of Canara.

(59) Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 588 From the Collector of Canara.

(60) Stating that the return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed was not sent for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

My. 561 From the Collector of Vizagapatam.

(61) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

My. 565 From the Collector of Guntoor.

(62) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

My. 563 From the Collector of Nellore.

(63) Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month

My. 589 Month of April last

From the Acting Collector of
64 Cuddapah } Abstract of
Demand Collection and Balance for the
My. 597 month of April last.

From the Agent at Kurnal. Abstract of De-
65 mand Collection and Balance for the
My 579 month of April last

From the Collector of
66 Chingleput } Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the month of
My. 593 April last.

From the Collector of Salem
67 Abstract of
Demand Collection and Balance for the
My. 595 month of April last.

From the Collector of
South Arcot } Abstract of Demand
68 Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.
My. 577

From the Collector of Madura
69 Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the Month of April
My. 569. last.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.
70 Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the month of April
last.

Abstract

My 581

From the Collector of
Coimbatore } Abstract of Demand
71 Collection and Balance for the month
My 582 of April last.

From the Collector of
72 Vizagapatam } Statement shew-
ing the average Bazar wholesale
prices of Grain and Salt for the month
My 566 of April last.

From the Collector of Guntoor.
73 Statement shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of
My 564 Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

From the Collector of Nellore.
74 Statement shew-
ing the average Bazar wholesale prices
of Grain and Salt for the month of April
My 568 last.

From the Acting Collector of
75 Cuddapah } Statement
shewing the average Bazar wholesale
prices of Grain and Salt for the month of
My 598 April last:-

From the Agent at Kurnool.
76 Statement
shewing the average Bazar wholesale
prices

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

My 580
From the Collector of Chingleput.
(77) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

My 594
From the Collector of Salem.
(78) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

My 596
From the Collector of
(79) South Arcot. } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

My. 578
From the Collector of Madura.
(80) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

My. 570
From the Collector of Tinnevelly.
(81) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

Statement

Fort St. George 29th May 1851

My 582
From the Collector of Coimbatore.
(82) Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

My 574
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.
(83) Reporting that no persons were charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of April last.

My. 585
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.
(84) Return of persons charged before the Assistant Collector under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of April last.

My. 586
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.
(85) Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of April last.

My 572
From the Collector of Madura.
(86) Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of April last.

My 573
From the Collector of Madura.
(87) Stating that the returns of persons charged with offences and confirmed under Regulation IX of 1822 were

1851 Fort St. George 29th May 1851.

Inv. 571 were not sent for the month of April last.
88
From the Collector of South Arcot.
(Vide letter to Coll.r 31st May Dy. in Cons.ns 2 Lane)
Indent for the printed forms required for the year 1851.

Inv. 583 89
From the Collector of Madras.
Requesting for a further supply of 1000 Salt Powannahs.

89.90
Order on the abovementioned Indent and letter.
Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

Comp.d W. Gale.

Wyatt Wingham

H. Lane