BOARD OF REVENUE

VOL. NO. 2254

12342 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 2d. September 1850. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.
F. L. Blane Esqre. and Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member
absent on duty As Commissr.
Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting
read and approved. –

5

From the Secy. to Govt. – } Read the following Resolution
/From Bd. 8th Augt./

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
No. 797.
Under date the 24th August 1850.

Para. 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the continuance of the undermentioned service Jeomiahs to the successors of the late holders from the date of lapse

× × × ×

Jeomiah of Rupees 7–11–2 per mensem enjoyed by the late Mahomed Yacoob for the service of Peish Emaum in the Mosque at Purvapally to his grand son Mahomed Rahamat Oollah in arrear from the 18th October 1846 the date of the Jeomiahdars death the allowance being paid to Coolsoombee the widow of the deceased during the Minority of the present incumbent. –

2 If the Maniem stated to have been granted on account of Lamp Oil for their last Institution, has hitherto been held by the late Mahomed Yacoob it will also be continued in the name of his grandson. –

/Signed/ J. Bycroft
Secy to Govt.

No. 797.
Para. 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the continuance of the undermentioned service Jeomiahs to the successors of the late holders from the date of lapse one half share held by the late Mahomed Yousuff in the Jeomiah of Rupees 7–15–1 per mensem attached to the office of Kateel in the Mosque at Ramapatam to his son Cassim Saheb in arrear from the 24th October 1849 the date of his Father's decease. –

Fort St. George } /Signed/ J. Bycroft Secy to Govt.
26th August 1850 }

12343 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

5-6

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Nellore with reference to his letter dated 17th July last.-

7

From the Secy to Govt.

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 555.

Under date the 30th August 1850

Read again letter from the Magistrate of Malabar dated 5th and recorded in Diary to Consultation 16th August 1850 No. 698.-

Read also the following letters

From the Magistrate of Malabar.-

/Here enter 13th Augt 1850 No. 27/

From the Regr of the Zillah Adalut.-

/Here enter 26th ——— 89

From Mr. J. H. Branson

Attorney for Captn Campbell

/Here enter 28th ——— /

Para 2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants leave of absence from their stations to Mr. Morris and Mr. Conolly respectively for the space of three weeks, to enable them to proceed to the Residency to attend the October sessions of Mr.

12344 Fort St. George 2d September 1850

Mr. Morris will make over charge of his Court to the subordinate Judge and Mr. Conolly will deliver over charge of the District of Malabar to the Sub Collector.-

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ J. Pycroft

Secy to Government

7-8

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

From H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

9

From the Offg Collr of Vizagapatam

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter of the 8th ultimo, together with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th March 1849 which accompanied it and in reply to state that no alteration of the Limits of the Military Cantonments in this District is required for the purposes therein referred to, nor is any inconvenience experienced from the rules and Orders quoted in your Circular.-

Vizagapatam 24th August 1850

Coll's Cir No. 24th

/Signed/ A. S. Matheson

Offg Collector.

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

9-10

Read the following Statement &c

&c

12345

Fort St. George 2nd September 1850.

Dr. Salt Account Current in the Nellore Collectorate from 1st April to 11th July 1850 of fusly 1259 — Cr.

No. 1.

11.

1849
July 12th To Balance of quantity remaining in store at the end of last fusly ——— 1128343 38 u

1850
July 11th To quantity Manufactured & received into store.—

In last Quarter ——— 30936 u u
In this Quarter ——— 6299428 u
——— 6609028 u

To quantity gained by measurement.

In last Quarter ——— 502 158
In this Quarter ——— 113 3574
——— 616 116

To Confiscated Salt—

In last Quarter ——— 5 10 —
In this Quarter ——— 43392
——— 4992

Total Indian Maunds. 1789930 68

1850
July 11th By sales— In land Consumption.

In last Quarter ——— 2215728 u
In this Quarter ——— 5884212 u
——— 28041420 u

Home Consumption.

In last Quarter ——— 1375072 u
In this Quarter ——— 6309972
——— 20066292
——— 48102192

By Exportation.

To Calcutta on Private Trade.

In last Quarter ——— 11121819 14

To Chittagong— In last Quarter — 65000 u u
In this Quarter — 116177 u u
——— 181177 u u
——— 7734629 u

By wastage occasioned in fusly 1258 written off under the sanction of Govt. dated 6th May 1850 ——— 1167039
——— 7850863 29

Balance remaining in store ——— 10048431315

Total Indian Maunds ——— 1789930 68

N. B.
Wastage occasioned in fusly 1259.
In last Quarter — 9585 — 36 — 2
In this Quarter — 6771 — 7 — 8
——— 16357 — 3 — 10

Salt

12316

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Dr. Money Account Current for Salt from 1st April to 11th July 1850 of Fasly 1259 ------ Cr.

1850
July 11th To Sales - In land Consumption
In last Quarter — 21,84223 1
In this Quarter — 5,81424 11
27,65648 -
Home Consumption
In last Quarter — 13,75778 11
In this Quarter — 6,30929
20,06611 8
Total at 1 Rupee Jd. Maund 38,86213 8
" at Rs. 115-4- Jd. 88550 " 3,47,71138

To Exportation.
To Calcutta on Private Trade In last Qr. — 16,82125
To Chittagong - In last Quarter 16,250 " "
In this Quarter 29,044 " 45294-4"
5,39148 4 1

To Fractions gained in retail sales.
In last Quarter — 132 95
In this Quarter — 45 14 9 178 82
To Fines &c. - In last Quarter — 14 5 9
In this Quarter — 8 4 " 22 9 9
To Refunds of Coctra Charges.
In last Quarter — 4 10 2
In this Quarter — 68 " 8 72 10 10
To Recoveries of Advances made for salt Manufacture.
In last Quarter — 7762 "
In this Quarter — 5 8 " 781 10 "
To Refunds of Salt Manufacturer's share. -
In last Quarter — " " 6

Company's Rupees 5,40203 11 4

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry

1850
July 11th By Servants wages In last Quarter 17,664 14 8
In this Quarter 8,870 4 11 26,535 3 7

By Sunderwards including Extra Charges.
In last Quarter 3,027 6 1
In this Quarter 3,044 14 10 6,072 4 11

By Roofsooms
In last Quarter — 759 1 4
In this Quarter — 378 9 8 1,137 11 "

By Salt Manufacturers share.
In last Quarter 1,935 8 3
In this Quarter 39,415 " 4 41,350 9 2

By Advances for Salt Manufacture.
In last Quarter — 490 " "

7,55,857 8

Net Revenue — 4,64,717 4 8

C. Rupees — 5,40,203 11 4

26th August 1850 - E. E. Jd. /Signed/ D. White
Coll.

Ordered

12347 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

Order thereon-

(11-12)

To Ordered to be recorded.-
W. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(13)

From the Coll.r of Nellore } Sir, Fort St. George

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 21st March 1850 forwarding certain papers having reference to an improved System not long since introduced in the Guntoor District of keeping the Village and Talook accounts connected with the settlement of the land Revenue, and requiring me to report the System observed in my District

A. Cadjan receipt
B. Insalnamah or Bill of remittance
C. Particulars of B
D. Chetta Book
To be returned.

2- In reply I have the honor to transmit the accounts noted in the Margin marked A. B. C. D & to state that at the time of distribution of Pattahs it is usual in this District to give each ryot a Cadjan receipt book A. bearing the stamp of the Collectors Seal, in which the several payments made during the year are entered by the Curnums or Village Shroffs with

Reporting on the System of keeping Village & Talook Accounts connected with the Settlement of the land Revenue.-

12348 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

with their signatures.-

3. B is a paper sent from each Village to the Talook in which are entered the original remittance of each Village and the Coins composing it and this is accompanied by C in which are specified the names of the Ryots and the several payments made by them together with their old balances, both bearing the Curnums signature.- D is the Chitta Book kept in the Talooks containing a specification of the sums received from the Villages as per B & C and the disbursements thereof bearing the Collectors seal and signature on every page.-

These documents will I trust afford the Board sufficient explanation in regard to system obtaining in this District, which appears to me well calculated to afford ready monies for the detection of fraud on the part of the native servants.-

Nellore } (Signed) D. White
Coll.r Cutcherry 28th August 1850 Collector

Ordered to lie over

Read

Order thereon

(13-14)

12349 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Read the following letters -

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

15.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Sir,

Fort St. George,

I have the honor to re=
=turn the accompanying Petition re=
=ceived from certain manufacturers of
Vulloor and Vayaloor and to state that
the transfer of those Pans to the Mad=
=ras Collectorate appears to me un=
=advisable, as tending to raise a divided
authority in this District - The Peishcar
of Vulloor and Vayaloor has under his
superintendence a few Villages in their
immediate vicinity, and is likewise
vested with powers as a Sub Officer
of Police, which arrangements the
transfer of those pans would upset,
as the Collector of Madras neither
has himself, or can confer on others
any Magisterial authority, and the
Officer there could not remain
under My Jurisdiction as well
as be under the authority of the
Collector of Madras. -

Reports on Petition of Manufacturers of Vulloor and Vayaloor and States that it would be more advisable to retain for the Emoke & Attepur Pans to this District than to make over the Vulloor & Vayaloor Pans to the Madras Collectorate.

It

12350 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

2. It appears to me also, with a view to check Smuggling, advis=
=able when possible to separate the party manufacturing from the party selling and to have the depôt for sale distinct from the Pans; in lieu therefore of transferring these pans to the Mad=
=ras Collectorate, it seems to me advantageous that the Pans of Ennore and Attepur should be retransferred to this District and that the sales at each of them should cease, the whole of the Salt manufactured being forwarded to Madras for sale to the inland Trade.

3. This would be a great check on smuggling as the new Salt could not be carried off by parties pretending to come for supplies from the old stock in store. In regard to the present Petition, which has been got up by

by a portion of the owners of the Pans resident in Madras, but whose views are opposed by others residing on the spot; the only grievance they allege is the introduction of a system of measuring the Salt by baskets when heaped on the Tenals / or places on the sides of the Canal prior to exportation / in lieu of merely estimating the quantity and which they allege gives them additional trouble. but this plan is a check on frauds and hence their objection to it.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney,
23rd August, 1850.

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(15-16)

The Board resolve to forward to the Collector of Madras. the foregoing letter from the Collector of Chingleput. for any observations which he may wish to offer upon the subject therein discussed.—

To

To,
W. B. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(17)

From the Collector of Chingleput } Sir.

I have the honor to transmit a Statement shewing the wastage of Salt which occured at the several Golaurs in this District for Fusly 1259 a D 1849/50 and to request that sanction may be obtained for writing off in the accounts the amount of the loss Viz.t Garces 148 and Mercals. 160.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney,
27th August, 1850.

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

12354

For St. George

2 September 1850

19

Dr. { Quarterly Salt Account Current in the Southern Division of Arcot from 1st April to 30th June 1850 } Cr.

in Tuesly 1259 A.D. 1849/50

	Mds	S.	Md	S.		Mds	S.	Md	S.
To Balance of Salt on hand on the 1st July 1849			83,786	16	By sale to the end of last Quarter: Inland Consumption	134,860	32		
To Quantity Manufactured to the end of last Quarter	370,535	4			Home Dr.	14505	140		
To — Dr. — Dr. — in this Dr.	23,141	28			Export by Sea	3000			
			393,676	32				152,366	33 40
To Dr. Seized from the Smugglers to the end of last Dr.	162	140			By Dr. Dr. in this Dr.: Inland Consumption	39,837			
To Dr. — Dr. in this Dr.		6			Home Dr.	7591	38		
			162	7 40				47,428	38
To Dr. gained by Measurement to the end of last Dr.			64	32	By loss by Measurement to the end of last Quarter	8263	24		
					By Dr. Dr. in this Dr.	2610	36		
								10,876	20
					By supply to the French Government to the end of last Dr.			13,674	
					By loss sustained in transporting Salt to the French Government to the end of last Dr.			726	
								225,071	11 40
					By Balance on hand			252,618	36
Total Salt			477,690	7 40	Total Salt			477,690	7 40

E. E. Jr. Signed J. Bird
Jr. Collector

Quarterly

12355

Fort St George

2 September 1850

Dr. Quarterly Money account Current of Salt from 1st April to 30th June 1850 in Fusly 1259. A.D. 1849/50 Cr.

		Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c
To sales to the end of last Quarter	Inland Consumption	134860 132	
	Home Do	14505 10	
	Export by Sea	1050 " "	
		150415 14 "	
To Do Do in this Do	Inland Consumption	39837 " "	
	Home Do	7591 158	
		47428 158	
To recoveries from the advances for the Manufacture of Salt to the end of last Quarter		800 " "	
To Do Do in this Do		42 "	
		804 2 "	
To Do from the French Government to the end of last Do on account of Salt supplied from January to December 1849		3299 62	
Charges Collection refunded to the end of last Quarter		11 152	
	Total Company's Rupees	201960 5 "	

		Cr. a/c	Cr. a/c
By Servants wages to the end of last Quarter		3442 148	
By Do Do in this Do		1163 8 "	4606 6 8
By Roosooms & Manufacturers Commuted share to the end of last Do		41423 "	
By Do Do in this Do		785 67	42208 6 8
By Extra Charges including Sadeerwared to the end of last Do		3533 158	
By Do Do in this Do		114 37	3648 33
By one half of the shipping charges incurred on account of Salt supplied to the French Government to the end of last Do			15201 49
By Cash paid to French Government as an indemnification to the proprietors of Salt Pans for the four Instalments of the year 1849 to the end of last Do			14000 " "
By shipping Charges for Exporting Salt to Malay Coast to the end of last Do			137 8 "
By Cash advanced for the Manufacture of Salt to the end of last Do			300 " "
			66421 7 4
By Net Revenue	To the end of last Quarter	90168 142	
	In this Do	45369 156	135538 138
	Total Company's Rupees		201960 5 "

27th August, 1850. E. E. Jr. [signed] J. Bird
Asst Collector

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore,

Quarterly

12356

For St George

Dr. } Quarterly Salt account current in the District in

2d September 1850

of Cuddalore from 1st April to 30th June 1850 } Cr.

Fasly 1259
A.D. 1849/50

	In Md.	S.	In Md.	S.
To Balance of Salt on hand on the 1st July 1849			13558	32
To Quantity Manufactured to the end of last Quarter			23144	4
To Do Do in this Do			11400	
			34544	4
To Do seized from the smugglers to the end of last Do			893	050
To Do Do in this Do			19	70
			91	40
To Do gained by Measurement to the end of last Do			16	32
To Do Do in the Salt supplied to the French Government to the end of last Do			8	16
Total Salt			48219	440

	In Md.	S.	In Md.	S.
By sales to the end of last Quarter: In land consumption			471	
By sales to the end of last Quarter: Home Do			12382	1050
			12853	1050
By Do Do in this Do: In land Consumption			96	
By Do Do in this Do: Home Do			7336	970
			7432	970
By loss by Measurement to the end of last Quarter			925	32
By Do Do in this Do			695	16
			1621	8
By supply to the French Government to the end of last Do			2408	16
			24315	440
Balance of Salt on hand			23904	
Total Salt			48219	440

E. E. Jr. (Signed) J. Bird
Ag. Collector

Quarterly

12c

Fort St. George 2d September 1850

D.o April to 30th June 1850 in Fusly 1259 &c
A.D. 1849/50 / Cont.d /

		C.R. a.o	C.R. a.o
To	Servants wages to the end of last Quarter	801 7 10	
To	D.o D.o in this D.o	271 14 "	
			1,073 5 10
To	Manufacturers share to the end of last D.o	2,426 13	
To	D.o D.o in this D.o	48 1 "	
			2,474 2 3
To	Extra Charges including Sader incurred to the end of last D.o	46 10 "	
To	D.o D.o in this D.o	6 " "	
			52 10 "
To	Cash advanced for the Manufacture of Salt to the end of last D.o	200 " "	
			3,800 21
To	Net Revenue: To the end of last Quarter	10,102 11 9	
	In this D.o	7,106 5 "	
			17,209 " 9
	Total Company's Rupees		21,009 2 10

August, 1850 E.C. J.P. / Signed / I. Bird
Ag.t Collector

Ordered to be recorded.

Read

12358.

Fort St. George 2.d September 1850.

Read the following letters.-

To,
W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

21

From the Collector of Trichinopoly -

Transmitting Extract from the Readit made by the Civil Auditor and requesting that sanction may be obtained for Rupees 120-3-4. objected to by him.-

Sir,

In transmitting the accompanying Extract from the Readit made by the Civil Auditor from the accounts of this Collectorate for Fusly 1257 I have the honor to state that 40 Boxes were prepared for the Conveyance of Treasure to the General Treasury agreeably to the Circular instructions received from the Accountant General under date the 19th May 1847. A Copy of this authority was forwarded to the Auditor in reply to the retrenchment made by him in his first audit, but he still requires the sanction of Government to pass the charge and I therefore submit herewith a Copy of the letter from the Accountant General together with an account Particular of the expenses incurred for making the boxes with a request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the amount or Rupees 120-3-4.-

Trichinopoly, Collector's Office, 15th August, 1850.

/ Signed / E. Maltby.
Collector.

Extract

12360

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

No. 2.

To,

W. Elliot Esqre.

Acting Collector of

Trichinopoly.

Sir,

In consequence of the inconvenience and liability to loss that arise from the practice which occasionally obtains in some of the Districts of shipping Treasure in Boxes of an unwieldy size, the Government have ordered† that all Shipments of Specie should in future be made in Boxes similar to those issued from the General Treasury here, a Memorandum of the dimensions &ca. of these Boxes having on a former occasion been obtained from the Sub Treasurer, I have the honor to annex a Copy thereof and to request you will have the goodness to have a sufficient number of Boxes made according to the dimensions &ca. therein mentioned, and send the Treasure in those Boxes, whenever you shall have an occasion of forwarding it by Sea.

† 12th May 1847.

/Signed/ T. V. Monhouse.
Accountant General.

Memorandum of the dimensions &ca. of a Treasure Box usually called a Six thousand Rupee Box.

Length 1 Foot 10 Inches
Breadth 1 — " — 1 — " —
Depth — " — " — 11 — " —
The thickness of the wood 1¼ —

The Box is made of Teak wood and fastened with large iron screws. It has an iron ring handle on either side.

Account

12359

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

No. 1.

Extract from the Result of the Accounts of the Collector of Trichinopoly from 12th July 1847 to 11th July 1848.

an account of Establishment for July 1847.

Remarks of the Civil Auditor.

No.

Rupees A P

1 Charges of petal &c. 100 " "

2 Paid an Account of Establishment attending the remittance made to the Residency in the month of August 1847 20 3 1

12 Do. on Account of Do. Do. in the month of January 1848.

/True Extract/ E. Maltby.
Collector.

No.

Accountant Particulars of Charges incurred under the head of — Merchandize on Treasure in the Trichinopoly District in Fusly 1257.

	Rupees	A	P
Amount of Escpenses for making 40 boxes to convey Treasure to the General Treasury &c. agreeably to instructions received from the accountat General.			
Cost for making one box Viz.t.			
Length 1 F. 1 — 10 breadth 1 F. 1 — 1 S. height 11 Inches and thickness of Plank 1/4 inch.			
Value of Teakwood	1	10	1
Hire of Sawers	"	4	"
3/4 Seers of Iron for rings &ca.	"	3	6
Glue	"	"	6
24 Screw nails each 2 1/2 inches long	"	6	"
Hire to 2 Carpenters	"	8	"
Total Rupees	3	"	1
For 40 Boxes at the above rate is Rupees	120	3	4

Trichinopoly, 15th August, 1850. E. E. Fr. /Signed/ E. Maltby. Collector.

Resolved

Proceedings (21-22) thereon-1. Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its Enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council-

The amount retrenched Rupees —

2 (120-3-4.) One hundreed and twenty, annas three and pice four, is for the construction of 40 Boxes for conveying Treasure under directions from the Accountant General on the 19th May 1847, but omitted in the Collector's annual Statement of Actual Charges incurred — without Estimate in Fusly 1257 furnished to this Office-

Under this explanation the Board —

3. recommend that sanction be granted for the amount re-trenched Rupees 120-3-4 as per account Particular — enclosed in Collectors' letter-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George-

(23)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir, I have the honor to submit herewith for the consideration of the Board Extract from the Peraudit of the accounts of this Collectorate made by the Civil — Auditor for fusly 1257, and request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for Rupees 85-4-6. being the amount disbursed in that year according to the enclosed account particulars for killing venomous snakes under the Circular orders of the Board dated 1st July 1847-

Trichinopoly Collectors Office 15th August 1850. /Signed/ E. Maltby Collector-

Extract

12363 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

No. 1 Extract from the preaudit of the accounts of the Collector of Trichinopoly from 12th July 1847 to 11th July 1848 on account of Expences for Fusly 1257.

No.	Charges objected to.	Rupees	A.	P.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor.
		Rupees	A.	P.	
	Ordinary General Miscellaneous Charges.				No. 2. The Copy of the authority of the Board of Revenue received with the Collector's reply not being sufficient to enable the Civil Auditor to admit the items under extenchment the Collector is requested to obtain the special sanction of Government to the actual charges incurred
2	Reward paid for killing venomous snakes in fusly 1257	85	4	6.	

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / E: Maltby.
Collector

Statement

12364 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

No. 2. Statement shewing the amount of Reward paid for killing venomous snakes in the Trichinopoly District in Fusly 1257.

		Rupees	A.	P.
1355.	Venomous snakes at 1 Anna Each	84	11	"
3	Do. snakes at 9 Picè Each	"	2	3
1	Do. at 8 Picè Do.	"	"	8
3	Do. at 7 Picè Do.	"	1	9
8	Do. at 6 Picè Do.	"	4	"
2	Do. at 5 Picè Do.	"	"	10
	Total Company's Rupees	85	4	6

Trichinopoly
15th August, 1850.

E: E: Jr. / Signed / E: Maltby.
Collector.

Proceedings thereon - 1 Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council -

23-24

2 The amount retrenched Rupees (85-4-6.) Eighty five, annas four and pice six is for the payment of Rewards for the destruction of Venomous snakes, incurred under the general authority vested in Collectors by Para 3 Extract Minutes of Consultation 14th June 1847 but omitted in the Collector's annual Statement of Actual Charges incurred without Estimate for Fasly 1257 furnished to this Office -

Inclos: 1st July 1847.

3. Under this explanation the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the amount retrenched Rupees 85-4-6 as per account particular enclosed in Collector's letter.

Read the following -

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue -
Fort St. George -

25

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly -

In returning the petition No. 11485 of 1850 upon which my report is called for, I have the honor to state that the Petitioner was head Shroff in my treasury, but that in consequence of a perverse and quarrelsome disposition which

which led him into constant disputes with the Cask keeper and Gollahs, business was so interrupted that I found it necessary to remove him to another appointment -

2- This course was not adopted until I had personally cautioned the petitioner against indulging his temper, and directed him to perform his duties in a proper and quiet manner - My advice and orders were however disregarded, and to prevent the Treasury business coming to a stand still, I sent petitioner to another post - By this arrangement Petitioner was unavoidably a loser, as the pay assigned to the Hoozoor Head Shroff is higher than in the Talook Treasuries -

Trichinopoly Collector's Office, 20th August, 1850.

/Signed/ E: Maltby.
Collector.

Order thereon -

25-26

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 11485 of 1850.

"The Board of Revenue having received a report from the Collector on the subject of this Petition decline to interfere with the arrangement made by the Collector" -

To,

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

27

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Instant and to forward two Statements shewing the lands granted on Cowle for public and private Topes in 1258 A.D. 1848/49 and 1259 1849/50 the two fusles which have elapsed since the promulgation of the standing rules.

Forwarding two Statements shewing the lands granted on Cowle for public and private Topes in Fusles 1258 A.D. 1848/49 and 1259 1849/50.

2. The Board will observe that in the first year only 3 applications were made for land, one for a public, and two for private topes. In the second year 9 applications were made - all for private topes and in every instance the extent of land is insignificant.

3. The result thus far seems to me to shew that the rules are sufficiently favorable to encourage the planting of topes, where the circumstances of the Country are favorable for them, without at the same time affecting injuriously the revenue. But sufficient time has not yet

yet passed for the people to become thoroughly acquainted with the advantages offered by the rules and their full effect cannot therefore be ascertained until after the lapse of more years.

4. It seems to me proper to notice that the rules do not fix any limit to the extent of ground to be granted on Cowle for Topes. In the case of private topes it would perhaps be impossible to fix a limit from the circumstances of different Districts being so varied and as these topes are eventually to pay an assessment to Government it seems advisable to leave a discretionary power to the local authorities. With respect to public topes however which are always to remain rent free, the Board may think it advisable to fix some uniform rule on the subject and it seems to me that in ordinary cases 10 Cawnies would be sufficient for a public tope, 20 being allowed only when the tope is near a town of great traffic and commercial importance.

Trichinopoly, Collector's Office, 27th August 1850.

/Signed/ E. Maltby.
Collector.

Statement

12369

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

No. 1.

Statement shewing the Exctent of land granted for Public and private Topes in fusly 1258 as per Rule dated 6th April 1848 in the District of Trichinopoly:-

	Talook.	Villages.	Name of the Ryots.	Names of the High road.	Discription of the Land.	Exctent of Land.	Amount of eventual Teerwah.	Remarks.
						Cawnies Gs A Rds. As.		
Publick Tope.	Arrealore	Aurungul	Curta pillay & Parathasy	From Tanjore to Veerootachelum.	Parroongadoo or thick Jungle.	6 " " " "		Free of Tax.
Private Tope.	Volicondaporum	Esanay	Jaganada Reddy		Immemorial waste	2 " "	16 2 6	Teerwah to commence in fusly 1278.
	Ditto	Ditto	Vencatachella reddy		Ditto	3 50 "	28 4 5	
					Total	5 50 "	44 6 11	Do. in fusly 1278.

Trichinopoly 27th August 1850. E: E: Jr. / Signed / E: Maltby. Collector.

Statement

12370

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

No. 2.

Statement shewing the exctent of land 8 cawnies granted in fusly 1259 according to the rules laid down in the order of Government dated 6th April 1848 for the purpose of planting private and public Topes in the District of Trichinopoly.-

	Talook.	Village.	Name of the Ryots.	Description of Land.	Exctent of land Granted for the Tope.	The amount of Teerwah.	Remarks.
					C. G A Rs As P		
Private Tope.	Oodiarpolliam	Tuttamputty	Sathoomathava charry	Elengaudoo or thin Jungle	1 12 "	7 7 4	Teerwah to commence in fusly 1279.
	Do.	Do.	Rungacharry	Do.	" 30 14	2 " 4½	
	Do.	Do.	Vencatachallapadiachee	Do.	1 12 "	7 7 6	
	Volicondaroorum	Permbalore	Somasoondra Tambiran	Immemorial waste	" 79 "	3 14 6	
	Do.	Do.	Ristam pillay	Do.	1 12 8	4 10 4	
	Do.	Do.	Mootto Reddy	Do.	1 12 8	4 10 5	
	Do.	Vengalum	Paria Mootto Vejayamachee Reddy	Do.	11 8 "	41 2 "	
	Do.	Keela karay	Aroonachella Reddy	Do.	1 50 "	9 1 9	
	Do.	Mala karay	Varada Reddy	Do.	1 32 "	7 " "	
					19 48 14	86 12 2/12	

Trichinopoly 27th August, 1850. E: E: Jr. / Signed / E: Maltby. Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following Statement.

27-28

Salt

12371 Fort St. George

(29)

Qr. II — { Salt Account Current of Dindigul for the Quarter

2d September 1850

to the Districts of Madura and ending 11th July 1850 } Qr.

			Indian Maunds	Seers	M. Mds	Seers
		Ramnad and Shevagungah.				
1849. July 12th	Balance of Salt on hand in the Commencement of present Fasly	}	"	"	"	182389 32 "
1850. March 31st	Quantity of Salt manufactured up to the end of last Quarter	}	416261-8	"		
July 11th	Do. Do. in this Quarter	}	"	"	"	
			416461	8	"	
March 31st	Gain by Measurement upto the end of the last Quarter	}	1398-12	"		
July 11th	Do. Do. in this Quarter	}	481-20	"		
			1879	32	"	
			418341	"	"	
	Total					600730 32 "

D.S.

			In Mds	Seers	In Mds	Seers
		Ramnad and Shevagungah				
1850 March 31st	Sales upto the end last Quarter	For Inland Consumption	47341	20	"	
		For Home Consumption	19701	"	"	241042 20 "
July 11th	Do. Do. in this Quarter	For Inland Consumption	24175	20	"	
		For Home Consumption	85608	"	"	109783 20 "
						350826 " "
	Wastage			5755	32	"
						355981 32 "
	Balance			244749	"	"
	Total					600730 32 "

12372 Fort St. George

Dr. Money Account Current for the 2d. September 1850.

Quarter ending 11th July 1850.

				Rs. A.P.	Rs. A.P.
1850 March 31st	Sales up to the end of last Quarter	For Inland Consumption	17,341 8 "		
		For Home Consumption	193701 " "		
				241042 8 "	
July 11th	Qrs. in this Quarter	For Inland Consumption	2,175 8 "		
		For Home Consumption	85608 " "	107783 8 "	
	Recovery of advances from the Manufacturers of Salt in this Quarter			" " 11036 11 5	
	Company's Rupees				361862 11 5

N.B.

Balance of Rowanahs as per last Quarter 15,338 " "

Received in this Quarter 15338 " "

Rowanahs issued in this Quarter 3690 " "

Balance on the 11th July 1850 11648 " "

Amount Received into the Treasury

" in the Salt Golahs

Outchery

333748-3-5

28114-8-"

361862-11-5

				Rs. A.P.	Rs. A.P.
1850 March 31st / July 11th	By Servants Wages	To the end of last Quarter		8911 2 8	
		In this Quarter		4453 14 8	13365 1 4
March 31st / July 11th	By Roozoonas and Manufacturers commuted Share	To the end of last Quarter		162 " 1	
		In this Quarter		113 " 5	275 " 6
March 31st / July 11th	By payments to the Manufacturers	To the end of last Quarter		36,440 5 4	
		In this Quarter		" " "	36440 5 4
March 31st / July 11th	By Charges Extraordinary including Saderward	To the end of last Quarter		277 14 "	
		In this Quarter		1146 11 "	1424 9 "
					50,505 " 2
Net Revenue		To the end of last Quarter		20629 13 4	
		In this Quarter		10509 13 11	31,357 11 3
	Company's Rupees				361862 11 5

Madura 11th July 1850. E. E. Wr. / Signed W. E. Cochrane
Dy Sub. Collr. in charge.

Ordered

12373 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Order thereon

(29-30)

To

W.H. Bayley Esqre

Sending to the Bd of Revenue

(31)

From the Collr of Madura

Sir

1. With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to transmit copy of a letter and a Genealogical Table Received from the Acting Sub Collector relative to the succession to the Ediacottah Zemindary -

To Bd of Revenue 19th June 1850.
Board's Proceedings 1st July 1850.

2 The family being of the Cumbalatar Totteyar Caste in which females are excluded from inheritance the right to succeed rests with Mootoo Ventrada three Naiker the brother of the deceased. Represented to be now 22 Years of Age. Although the Sub Collector expresses an opinion that he is unequal to the management of the Estate unaided by others, yet as he hopes that "he will be found fit, at least 2 years "hence to undertake the management himself" it appears to me that there cannot be any such natural infirmity as would justify me to recommend to the Court of

* Paras 5.

12374 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

of Wards to take charge of the Estate - many Zemmindars are wanting in the business habits requisite to an efficient management of their property, yet supply the deficiency by the aid of good Karbars I have the honor therefore to recommend that I may be authorized to recognize Mootoo Ventra-da three Naiker as Zemmindar of Ediacottah after warding a moderate time to ascertain whether the 4th Wife is pregnant. The Peish-cush of the Estate is 7000 Rupees per annum and there are no arrears -

Madura 5th August 1850. (Signed) R.D. Parker

To No. 1. Collector.

R.D. Parker Esqre

Collector of Madura -

Sir,

With reference to my letter to Your address bearing date the 17th Instant No. 72. I have the honor to report the Result of my personal enquiry as to who is the lawful heir to the Estate of Ediacotay and what family the late Zemmindar Coomara Sinnama Naiker had left at his decease.

2 From the Depositions taken before myself and the Shirestadar on the 23d Instant from

from the Mother, wives and step brother of the deceased Zemindar, to infer that he (the deceased Zemindar) left no sons but only two daughters and that his family comprise all of females, except his step brother Mootoo Venkadathree Naiker the present heir to the Estate in question. Vide Memorandum annexed.

3. In the absence of any male issue, the said Mootoo Venkadathree Naiker becomes the lawful heir, according to the usage of that Zemindary. Although Several declare that none of the present four Wives of the late Zemindar are in a State of pregnancy yet when his Mother was interrogated she said that the 1st Wife was two months advanced with child when the Zemindar died but that subsequently she miscarried to ascertain therefore the truth of the matter, it may be necessary to take the Estate under the immediate Management of Government for a short time.

4. The Mother and the 1st or principal wife of the deceased, further depose that as they can place no confidence on the faith of the late Zemindar's Step brother Mootoo Venkadathree Naiker the present heir as regards his protection

of them, they would only consent to his assuming the Estate, if he will execute an agreement through the Agency of the Circar to the effect that he will always provide for their wants and that if this not be done, they would prefer that the Government itself undertake the Management of the Zemmindary, only making a Sufficient provision for their (the Deponents') maintenance.

5. From what I have seen of Mootoo Venkadathree Naiker, I judge his age to be about 22 years, but I do not think him Capable yet of managing the affairs of the Estate unaided by others. He was unable to give his deposition before me without the assistance of the Zemmindar of Cadavoor. I hope he will be found fit, at least two years hence, to undertake the Management himself.

6. The proceedings held in the case and a Genealogical Tree, both in English and Tamil are sent with this as per list accompanying.

(Signed) W. E. Cochrane.
Acting Sub Collector.

Dindigul
27th July 1850.

Memorandum shewing the relatives left by Coomara Sinnama Naiker the late Zemmindar of Eduacotay.

Names	How related
Mootoo Vencadathree Naiker	Step brother
Sinnakummal	Mother.
Ponnammal alias Mootoommal Aged 5 Years	Daughter by 1st Wife
Senthamaraymmal	The 2d Wife
Parayammal	3d Do. Do.
Nallakowyammal alias Ponnammal	4th Do.
Sinnakummal	5th Do.
Velandyammal (Aged 3 Years)	Daughter by 5th Wife
Total 8 Members	

27th July 1850.

(Signed) W. E. Cochrane
Asst Sub Collector.
(True copy)
(Signed) A. D. Parker
Collector
Genealogical

12377

Fort St. George

Genealogical Tree of the Zemindar of Ediacottay

2d September 1850

in Tyempully Talook.

Kottundarama Sawmy Naicker

Dead

Coomara Linnama Naicker

Dead

1	2	3	4	5
Papayee Ammaul	Nachear Ammal	Sinnuck Ammal	Sinnakum Maul	Luckinmmal
Dead	Dead		No issue	
Vellyum Maul	Coomara Linnama Naicker			Thottavenkedatree Naicker
Now dead				Present heir

1	2	3	4	5
Ponnammal	Sendamary Ammal	Parvummal	Nowakary Ammal	Sinnackum Maul
Dead	No issue	No issue	**	
Ponnammal alias Mottummal	Son		Son	Daughter
	Dead		Dead	Dead
				Velandy Ammal

** Said to be with child.

Pondigul 27th July 1850.

Signed R. C. Parker
Collector

Signed W. E. Cochrane
Asst Sub Collector
under

12378 Fort St. George 2d September 1850

(31-32)

Proceedings thereon Under the Circumstances represented in the foregoing letter and its enclosures the Board of Revenue approve of the Course which the Collector proposes to follow in regard to the Zemindary of Ediacottah and will await the Receipt of a further Report from him before passing orders respecting the Succession to this Estate

To W.H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(33)

From the Actg Sub. Collr in charge of Madura

Sir,

Forwarding Copy and translation of Kuraramamah executed by the Renters regarding the liquidation of Arrears due from the Gundamanaickanoor Zemindary.

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 1st Ultimo accompanied by the Honble Consultation of Government of the 18th June last relative to the Zemindary of Gundamanaickanoor I have the honor to state that previous to my taking charge of this District Mr Parker under date the 16th Ultimo directed the attachment of the Estate and after a long discussion with the Zemindar and his Renters he prepared a Kurarramah to be entered into with the latter which being read

12379 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Read over to them they agreed to abide by the Conditions proposed by it but as no provision was made in it regarding the Subsistence of the Pelanguin bearers of the Zemindar as provided for in the Original agreement between him and his Renters Copy and translate of which were forwarded to the Board with Mr Blackburne's letter of the 20th August 1846 it was deemed necessary to supply the omission and it having received the Consent of the Renters the necessary addition was made and their Signatures obtained thereto -

2. It may not be out of place to mention here that the Zemindar objects to this arrangement and still adheres to his former engagement to pay 1500 Rupees per annum towards liquidation of the arrears independent of the Peishcush if the Estate be placed in his possession a Measure which under the Circumstances represented by Mr. Parker in his letter to the Board dated the 2d April last would seem to them to be fully objectionable -

Abg

* Copy and translate herewith sent

3 They therefore to recommend the adoption of the present arrangement as the only means calculated to secure payment of the arrears to Government without injury to the future interests of the proprietor-

Madura ——— } (Signed) W. E. Cochrane
21st August 1850. } A^g. Lt. Coll^o in charge

No. 1

Kararnamah executed by the Renters of Gundama Naikanoor Zemmindary

1. Of the 11 years for which we obtained the Zemmindary on lease from the Proprietors Viz^t from Fasly 1255 to 65 inclusive 5 have elapsed and 6 remain to Complete the term — During the said 6 years we hereby agree to enjoy the net out turn of the Punnay &^c lands belonging to the Zemmindar after deducting in his favor 40 Rupees being the Amount of Enam allowed to him as per Deed of Lease and to continue to pay to the Circar the Amount of Peishcush Viz^t Rupees 13-4-14 13 — 3 per annum — of 2500 Rs being the total of the annual Amount to be paid to the Zemmindar and to be withheld for Maramut Charges respectively we now engage to pay yearly 1500 Rupees in

in Satisfaction of the Balance due to Government for arrears of Peishcush and 500 Rupees to the Zemmindar for his expences — In paying the latter we agree to deduct therefrom the Amount of Jeerayt that may be due on the Zemmindar's Punnay or private lands in each Fusly over and above the said 40 Rupees for Tope and to pay the remainder to the Circar for delivery to him — These two Items make a total of Rupees 2000 leaving a balance of 500 Rupees which we agree to Credit to our accounts as part of payment of 1250 Rupees being the Amount due to us Yearly for the Maramut works we have executed and for the remainder which may be due at the end of the lease, we will settle with the Zemmindar — As the Zemmindar disputes the accuracy of our Maramut Charges we will abide by any decision that may be passed on the subject by 4 arbitrators and the Tahsildar of Tunkurray-

2 We also agree with reference to the mention made in the agreement originally entered into with the Zemmindar to remit

the

12382 — Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Remit the tax on such portion of the 150 Coolies of Poonjah land assigned for his Palanquin bearers as they may cultivate, notwithstanding that we have not hitherto allotted to them any particular land for that purpose and to refrain from demanding tax from them on that account.

(Signed) Ackaya Narken.
Alahalingow Chetty
Renters

(True translation)
(Signed) W. E. Cochrane
A.d Sub Collr in Charge.

(33-34)

Proceedings thereon — The Board approve of the arrangement proposed in the foregoing letter and authorize the Acting Sub Collector in Charge to adopt the same.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Bd. of Revenue

(35)

From the A.d Sub Collr in Charge of Madura — Sir, Fort St. George

I have the honor to forward a Statement of Wastage of Salt for Fasly 1259 A.D. 1849-50. and to request Sanction for writing off Garces 40 and 250 or Bengal Maund 5 & 875/1000.

Madura 27th August 1850

(Signed) W. E. Cochrane
A.d Sub Collr in charge
Wastage

12384 Fort St George 2d September 1850.

35-36

Order thereon Ordered to lie over.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

37

From the Asst Collr in Charge of Madura — Sir,

In answer to your letter of the 19th Instant reminding me of the despatch of a Report upon the operation of the Tope Rules for Fusly 1258 called for in the Circular order of the 6th April 1848 I have the honor to state that on receipt of the Circular order with the Tope Rules the translates of the latter were circulated to the several Talooks of this District.

In advertence to paras 3 and 4 of the Circular there has been nothing to be stated but that in taking advantage of the privilege offered by the Rules the planting of 8 private Topes was proposed in Fusly 1258 and permitted accordingly but a few only of them have been planted.

A Register is kept in this Office of all the applications in respect of planting Topes

Madura 27th August 1850. Signed W. E. Cochrane
Acting Sub Collr in Charge.

Ordered

12385 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Order thereon Ordered to lie over.

37-38

To Read the following letter
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

39

From the Asst Collr of Tinnevelly — Sir,

Submitting explanation regarding certain discrepancies pointed out in the Statement regarding Cultivation and Revenue in this District for ten Years.

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 22d April last returning for correction a Statement regarding Cultivation and Revenue in this District during ten Years I have the honor to explain that with respect to extent of Cultivation the discrepancy pointed out by you arises from the Circumstances of there not being in the Ayacut Accounts of this District any land distinguished as Bagayet in consequence of which a considerable extent of Cultivation which it is presumed ought to be entered in the printed form as Bagayet to answer the intention for which the Statement is required is found in Summabundy Statement B under the Heads of Punjah, Punjah mail Poonjah, and Poonjah the annexed

Table

12386

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Table explains these particulars for July 1254.

	Extent entered in the Summabundy Statement B for July 1254.	Deduct extent of Cultivation made on lands situated on levels too high to be irrigated by water flowing from Channels &c. but included under the head of Punjah, Punjah-mulpoonjah and Poonjah in the Summabundy Statement B in consequence of there being no land entered in the Ayacut accounts of this District termed Bagayat under which head such Cultivation should properly appear as now exhibited in the Printed Form.	Remainder Corresponding with the Printed form.
	Seers Pottahs.		
Punjah	99,881.		
Punjahmailpoonjah and Vanpyre Cultivation	10056		
Total	109937	Seed Pottahs 9,209	Seed Pottahs 100728
	Chains.		
Poonjah			
Ayen Poonjah	145,608		
Manawary Talady	469	Chains	Chains
Total	146077	2521 or Seed Pottahs 5658	143,556
		14867 Seed Pottahs	
Add Bagayat Cultivation in the 4 Settled Villages		49.	
Total extent of Bagayat Cultivation Corresponding with the Printed Form		14916.	

With

12387

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

2 With respect to the discrepancy indicated in the figures relating to Land Revenue I have the honor to observe that it is produced by three things 1st. The Wooden Candy Bariz is always considered as ayen in the accounts of this District and is so treated in the Printed form but is separated in the abstract appended to para 2 of your letter and placed among the "other Sources". 2d. The Revenue derived from Tripany Chuttrums &c. has been added to the "other Sources" in the printed form as not being a part of the Ayen Revenue appertaining to Government whereas in the abstract to para 2 of your letter it is separated from the "other Sources" and added to ayen Bariz 3d. In your letter the Revenue from Topes and Tandbunds is stated at Rs. 137529 omitting to deduct 24067 for Cunams included in Column 6th of Summabundy Statement B, and which having been deducted in the printed form the Tope and Tandbund Bariz for July 1254 is entered as 113462. The annexed Table shows the above differences in one view and it will be perceived that the increase and decrease of Rs. 968 noticed in your letter result

from

12388 — Fort St. George 2d September 1850

from these differences and are not as conjectured the Amount of remissions at the time of Jummabundy.

As per Abstract subjoined to para 2 of the Board's letter dated 22d April 1850.		As per printed form.	Increase	Decrease
			Rs	Rs	Rs
Net Jummabundy Ayen ---- 20,74,150		Total land Revenue including Deposits vide Column 734 of the Jummabundy Statement B 21,01,464.			
Thirpany Chuttrums &c. 27,314.					
21,01,464					
Of which those to be entered in the Column of "other Sources"					
Tope ---- 27,744.					
Palmirahs — 19,785					
Woodengas — 2,278					
Mill Revenue 3083.					
1,42,892					
		Deduct amount of Cuams therein included and in Column 684 of the Statement.			
Remainder Land Revenue ----	19,58,572	B ---- 24,067	1,13,462		
other Sources of Revenue as detailed above ---- 1,42,892.		Mill Revenue — 3084			
Sooy Jummah Collections Twice excepted ---- 3,417.		Thirpany Chuttrums &c. — 27,314.			
	1,46,309.		1,43,860.		
		Remainder Land Revenue — 19,67,604		"	968.
Total —	21,04,881.	Other Sources of Revenue as detailed above — 1,43,860.			
		Sooy Jummah Collections Twice excepted ---- 3,417	1,47,277	968	"
			21,04,881	968.	968.

Errors

12389 — Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

3 After the above explanation the Board may perhaps be satisfied with the Correctness of the Printed form as at first Submitted and I therefore return it, but lest it should be otherwise I have the honor to forward also a revised Statement prepared according to the principles laid down in your letter excluding however the Amount of Cuams under the Head of Topes and Tankunds. The Separate Statement called for in para 3 of your letter is herewith forwarded.

4 In reply to para 4 of your letter I have the honor to State that a Chain of Poonjah land is an area of Square feet 158,404 Equivalent to English Acres 3-64 nearly, and that a Seed Cottah of Punjah land contains Square feet 7,602 and is Equal to about English Acres 1-62.

Tinnevelly
Tencastry
6th August
1850

Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

No 7 Vide No 3257 of 1849.

District

12390 Fort St. George

2d September 1850.

Table exhibiting the Heads of certain No.7 Information of Land in the District of Tinnevelly relating to the extent and nature of the Cultivation

		Extent of Wet Cultivation			Extent of Dry Cultivation		Cultivation		Extent of Baghayet or Garden Cultivation			Grand Total	
		Ordinary Produce	Sugar	Total	Cotton	Indigo	Ordinary Produce	Total	Tobacco	Ordinary Produce	Total	Extent of Wet Cultivation	Extent of Dry Cultivation
		Sq. Cottahs	Sq. Cottahs	Sq. Cottahs	Chains	Chains	Chains	Chains	Sq. Cottahs	Sq. Cottahs	Sq. Cottahs	Sq. Cottahs	Chains
1835	36	100557	26	100583	27711	1	109569	137281	526	11205	11727	112310	137281
1836	37	86519	30	86549	29176	1	110363	139540	568	11709	12277	98826	139540
1837	38	104133	24	104157	30754	2	128080	158836	583	15025	15608	119765	158836
1838	39	102247	19	102266	30641	1	134625	165267	604	11676	12280	114546	165267
1839	40	107490	51	107541	30102	3	132820	162925	674	11776	12450	119991	162925
	Total	500946	150	501096	148384	8	615457	763849	2955	61387	64342	565438	763849
1840	41	105326	52	105378	30568	1	120608	151177	737	14564	15301	120679	151177
1841	42	109834	41	109875	31825	4	119680	151509	496	12036	12532	122407	151509
1842	43	106467	87	106554	35340	2	123935	159277	501	10576	11077	117631	159277
1843	44	106877	71	106948	34277	6	111316	145599	722	10930	11652	118600	145599
1844	45	100684	44	100728	34967	7	108582	143556	787	11429	11916	115644	143556
	Total	529188	295	529483	166977	20	584121	751118	3243	62235	65478	594961	751118
Average of 1st 5 years		100189	30	100219	29677	2	123091	152770	591	12277	12868	113087	152770
Average 2d 5 years		105837	59	105896	33395	4	116824	150223	649	12447	13096	118992	150223

12391

Fort St. George

Land.

Demand for the Year

		Under Permanent Settlement	Under Lease Settlement	Under Annual Settlement	Total	Other Sources of Revenue
		Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees
1835	36.	318738	"	1493926	1812664	112549
1836	37	318738	"	1536329	1855067	113776
1837	38	318738	"	1732772	2051510	113157
1838	39.	318738	"	1702715	2021453	115936
1839	40.	318738	"	1813995	2132733	116952
	Total.	1593690	"	8279737	9873427	572664
1840	41	318738	"	1628113	1946851	118935
1841	42	318738	"	1520126	1841864	125718
1842	43	318738	"	1480033	1798771	122579
1843	44	318738	"	1569419	1888157	126271
1844	45.	318738	"	1663901	1982639	122242
	Total.	1593690	"	7864592	9458282	615745
Average of 1st 5 Years		318738	"	1655947	1974685	114503
Average of 2d 5 Years		318738	"	1572918	1891666	120149

2d September 1850.-

Revenue.-

Collection for the Year Current and Arrears.-

Grand Total	Under Permanent Settlement.	Under Lease Settlement.	Under annual Settlement.	Total.	Other Sources of Revenue.	Grand Total
C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees
1925213	366328	"	1485769	1852097	110560	1962657
1968843	296128	"	1542365	1838493	113775	1952268
2164961	349021	"	1737446	2086467	113122	2199589
2137389	334958	"	1683549	2018507	115660	2134167
2249685	297645	"	1835037	2132682	116894	2249576
1044091	1644080	"	8284166	9928246	570011	10498257
2065786	355185	"	1591550	1946735	118618	2065353
1967582	298298	"	1655298	1953596	125779	2079375
1921350	332427	"	1506904	1839331	122504	1961835
2014428	304775	"	1587375	1892150	126585	2018735
2104881	325848	"	1670729	1996577	122160	2118737
1007402	1616533	"	8011856	9628389	615646	10244035
2089218	328816	"	1656833	1985649	114002	2099651
2014806	323306	"	1602371	1925677	123129	2048806

12392

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Disbursed on Public works.

	Connected with Irrigation.	Connected with Roads, not Trunk Roads.	Other Works.	Total.
	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees
Calendar year 1836	43,187	297	87	43571
1837	56,303	3,047	924	60,274
1838	67,580	981	2,079	70,640
1839	60,243	5,534	126	71,903
1840	72,340	15,649	1,814	89,803
Total	3,05,653	25,508	5,030	3,36,191
1841	53,250	6,740	2,735	62,725
1842	49,468	4,292	113	53,873
1843	43,034	5,301	453	48,789
1844	48,878	12,209	448	61,595
1845	35,654	4,400	2,520	42,580
Total	2,30,284	33,008	6,270	2,69,562
Average of 1st 5 years	61,131	5,101	1,006	67,238
Average of 2d 5 years	46,057	6,601	1,254	53,912

Tinnevelly Tencausey, 6th August 1850.

Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Statement.

12393 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.-

No. 3.-

Statement Shewing each of the Items of Revenue in detail so as to correspond with the Jummabundy Accounts and D. C. B. Sheets ending 11th July Fusly 1254.-

Demand.	Under Permanent Settlement.	Under annual Settlement.	Other Sources of Revenue
	C Rupees	C Rupees	C Rupees
As entered in the Printed Form Particulars thereof as per D. C. and B. Sheets ending 11th July of Fusly 1254.-	318738	163901	122242
Peshcush	318738		
Aumany including rents for one year, Shrotriem, Jodegy &c.	17,5542		
Tripany Revenue	16780		
Chuttrum Revenue	10534		
Total	17,82726		
Of which those to be entered under the Head of "Other Sources." Tope and Tadbund Bariz exclusive of Remissions on account of Enams	113463		
Woodungand Bariz	2279		
Hill rents	3083		
	118825	163901	
Revenue from other Sources as detailed above		118825	
Extra Revenue	7090		
Deduct Amount of Fines	3673	3417	122242

Collections

12394 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

Collections.-	Under permanent settlement.	Under annual settlement.	Other Sources of Revenue.
	C Rupees	C Rs.	C Rs.
As entered in the Printed Form Particulars thereof as per D. C. & B. Sheet ending 11th July of Fusly 1254.	325848	167029	122160
Peshcush	325848		
Aumany including rents for one year Shotriem Jodegy &c.	176260		
Tripany Revenue	16955		
Chuttrum Revenue	10542		
Total		178757	
Add Collections on account of Old balance Vide No. 3 of D. C. and B Sheets Col. 14.-			
Aumany including rents for one year, Shrotriem, Jodegy &c.	1675		
Tripany	2		
Chuttrum	38	1715	
		178,9472	
Of which those to be entered under the Head of "Other Sources"-			
Tope and Tadbund	113463		
Woodungand	2279		
Hill rent	3001		
	118743	167029	
Revenue from Other Sources as detailed above		118743	
Extra Revenue	7090		
Deduct Amount of Fines	3673	3417	122160

Tinnevelly.- Tencasey.- 6th August 1850.-

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Ordered

12395 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Order thereon.— Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To, The Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.

39-40

Sir,

To the Actg. Coll.r of Tinnevelly.— 1

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 6th August 1850, and to inform you that the explanation therein given regarding the discrepancies in the Statement of Cultivation and Revenue of your District is satisfactory.—

2 You can therefore fill up and forward the two remaining Printed forms, to which should be joined a separate Statement shewing the correspondence between them and the Jummabundy accounts and Demand Collection Balance sheets for Fusly 1254.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 2d. September, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read.

D.G.

12396 Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

Read the following letter.—

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

41

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 31st May 1849 on the subject of the Ryri Mangalatha Manical Estate, I have the honor to enclose the accompanying report thereon from my Asst. Collector dated 10th Instant, and to request that I may be favored with the Board's final instructions thereon.

Calicut 12th August 1850.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

No. 1.

To, H. V. Conolly Esqr.
Collector of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to my letter of 13th April 1849, and the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 31st May of the same year, I beg to recall your attention to the subject of the Ryri Mangalatha Manikal Estate— which

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

which, according to the Minutes of Consultation of the 23d. May 1849, was declared an escheat under the provisions of Section VI Regulation VII of 1817, with a view to its being granted de novo to the Niece of the late Ameen, provided no other claimant offers himself.

Upon receipt of these orders I issued a proclamation explanatory of the views of Government and calling upon all parties who had any claims, upon the property to come forward and prove them, if any were able, to contest the pretensions of the present Candidate. I have since the date of this proclamation, been several times in the neighbourhood of the escheated property but have met with no body who offered any opposition to the present Claimant, and it accordingly seems to me that the time has arrived for carrying out the intentions of Government by placing the Niece of the Ameen in possession of the property.

To remove the possibility of any future loss to the Government from the pretensions of unexpected Candidates. I would recommend that Tayakaat Shridaran Teraman

Teramumboo

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Teramumboo the Ameen should be required to give sufficient Security to meet all and any expenses that might be incurred during the next twelve months, and as he is a rich and influential man he would willingly incur the risque.

Sub Collector's Office ... / Signed / R. Chatfield
Tellicherry 10th. August 1850. Sub-Collector.

/ True Copy. /
/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

41-42

To. The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secty. to Government ...

In Cons 31st. May 1850.
In Cons 2d. Sept. 1850.

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23d. May 1849, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of Government, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 12th. August 1850, enclosing Copy of a report from his Sub Collector on the subject of the Estate of Ryri Mangalatha Mamacul, and requesting final instructions thereon.

From

12399

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

2

From this report it will be seen that according to the orders of Government above referred to, this Estate was declared an escheat under the provisions of Section VI Regulation XII of 1817, and a Proclamation made calling upon all Parties who had any claims upon the Property to come forward and prove them & if any were able, to contest the pretensions of the present candidate, the niece of the Ameen, but although the Sub Collector has been several times in the neighbourhood of the Estate, since the Proclamation was made, no one has offered any opposition to the abovementioned claimant, and it is accordingly proposed to place her in possession of the property, on her uncle the Ameen giving sufficient Security to meet any and all expences that may be incurred during the next twelve Months in the event of any other claimants coming forward.

3. The Board beg to recommend that Sanction may be given for the grant of the Estate in question to the niece of the Ameen, on his giving the required bond

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

12400

Fort St. George 2nd September 1850

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(43)

From the Coll. of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings under the 9th May last, desiring me to furnish the information called for in the 6th Para of the orders of Government dated the 2nd Idem, respecting the inland Salt Depots, and to state whether they have, or have not answered the purpose intended, I have the honor to observe, that in the letter I addressed the Board under date the 8th November 1849, I submitted a brief account of the working of the experimental measure, and the cause which defeated the anticipations entertained at the time the Depots were established.

P. 6 & 13.

The Statement No. 1 which accompanied my subsequent communication of the 12th January last shewed the quantity of salt sold at each of the said inland Depots since their establishment and the net gain to Government after deducting all charges.

2. It does not occur to me that I have any further remarks to make, except that the sales during the last three quarters of Fusly 1259 have continued to fall off, owing to the low rates prevailing in the Cochin Territory.

See also letters to the Board dated 23d February and 27th May 1850.

Decrease in 1259. about 4,500 Rs.

3. As directed by Government, I beg to transmit a revised Statement No. 4 for Fusly 1258 in which the transactions at the Inland Depots of Nattapalom, Palghaut and Alatoor are shewn separately from those of Ponany.

Vide to the Extra Revenue Report for Fusly 1258.

Calicut
11th August 1850.

(Signed) A. V. Cousby
Collector

Revised

2401

Fort S. George 2d September 1850.

Revised Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt purchased and sold in the Province of Malabar in Fuslies 1257 and 1258.

Names of the Gollahs	Fusly 1259									Fusly 1258					
	Balance of the previous years	Quantity purchased in 1257	Kuforah fund in 1257	Total		Deduct Wastage		Net Quantity		Sales				Balance of the previous years	Quantity purchased in 1258
										Quantity	Rate per Indian Measure		Value		
	Lbs.	S.	Lbs.	S.	Lbs.	S.	Lbs.	S.	Lbs.	S.	Rs.	A.	P.	Lbs.	S.	Lbs.	S.
Cannanore	32,898	13	7 8¾	56,137	17	40	230	"	"	89,265	31	38¾	71	"	"	89,194	31	38¾	
										58,840	17	40	1	"	"	58,840	7	"	35,084	13	7 8¾	67,874	"	"
Tellicherry	28,321	39	19¾	105,836	"	"	684	"	"	134,841	39	19¾	33	"	"	134,808	39	19¾	
										270	"	"	"	3	5	60	58	8	"					
										93,090	"	"	1	"	"	"	93,090	"	"	40,968	39	19¾	75,296	"	"
Calicut	60,269	20	61¼	39,015	20	"	3,350	"	"	102,635	"	61¼	"	"	"	"	102,635	"	61¼						
										750	"	"	"	3	5	60	19	8	"					
										81,900	20	"	1	"	"	"	81,900	8	"	15,529	20	61¼	73,695	"	"
Ariacode	8,306	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	8,308	"	"	"	"	"	"	8,308	"	"						
										90	"	"	"	3	5	60	3,994	"	"	9,429	"	"	"	"	"
										3,994	"	"	1	"	"	"	3,994	"	"						
Tanany	100,030	39	52¾	62,283	"	"	91	13	"	162,405	12	52¾	84	1)	"	162,320	35	62¾						
										115,319	"	"	1	"	"	"	115,319	"	"	33,321	7	52¾	112,832	"	"
Ottapallam	6649	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,649	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,649	"	"						
										1,898	"	"	1	"	"	"	1,898	"	"	11,385	"	"	"	"	"
Palghaut	4,576	35	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,576	35	"	"	"	"	"	4,576	35	"						
										4,576	14	"	1	"	"	"	4,576	14	"	3923	20	"	"	"	"
Allatoor	2,922	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,922	29	"	"	"	"	"	2,922	29	"						
										2,918	"	"	1	"	"	"	2,918	"	"	3127	37	"	"	"	"
Chowghaut	1,439	10	54¼	1,600	"	"	"	"	"	3,039	10	54¼	35	"	"	3,004	10	54¼						
										2,320	"	"	1	"	"	"	2,320	"	"	684	10	54	1,870	"	"
Cochin	601	39	1¼	"	"	"	"	"	"	601	39	1¼	"	"	"	601	39	1¼						
										591	24	"	1	"	"	"	591	9	7	10	15	1¼	1625	"	"
Confiscated Salt	"	"	"	"	2	"	39	33	"	39	33	"	"	"	"	39	33	"						
										39	33	"	1	"	"	"	39	13	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	246,016	27	28	264,871	37	40	4397	6	"	515,285	30	68	223	17	"	515,062	13	68						
										365,598	9	40	"	"	"	"	365,261	0	6	148,464	4	28	333,192	"	"

12402

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850.

Fusly 1258 (Continued)

Kuffurah found in 1258.	Total	Deduct Wastage	Net Quantity	Quantity	Sales Rate per Indian Maund	Sales Value	Balance at the Close of Fusly 1258	Comparison between the quantity purchased Increase	Comparison between the quantity purchased Decrease
Md. S F	Md. S F	Md. S F.	Md. S F.	Md. S F	Rs A P	Rs A P	Md. S F.	Md. S F.	Md. S F.
171 " "	98129 13 7 3/4	7 " "	98122	13 7 8 3/4		51712 " "	40410	13 7 8 3/4	11736 22 40 " " "
440 " "	116704 39 19 3/4	" " "	116704	39 19 3/4	1 " " "	80617 " "	35287	39 19 3/4 " " "	30540 " "
1700 " "	90924 20 6 1/4	" " "	90924	20 6 1/4	" 4 9 "	2378 "			
					1 " " "	76947 " "	13977	20 6 3/4	34679 20 " " " "
4 " "	9433 " "	" " "	9433	" "	1 " " "	5047 " "	4380	" " "	" " " " "
1022 14 40	147175 22 12 3/4	258 " "	146917	22 12 3/4	1 " " "	129466 " "	17457	22 12 3/4	50549 " " " "
" " "	11385 " "	" " "	11385	" "	1 " " "	9644 " "	1741	" "	" " " "
" " "	392320 " "	" " "	392320	" "	1 " " "	3772 " "	151	20 " "	" " " "
" " "	3127 37 "	" " "	3127	37 "	1 " " "	312397	4	13 " "	" " " " "
" " "	2554 10 54 1/4	28 36 "	2525	14 54 1/4	1 " " "	1498 " "	1027	14 54 1/4	270 " " " "
" " "	1635 15 1 1/4	" " "	1635	15 1 1/4	1 " " "	3232 "	1312	10 1 1/4	1625 " " " "
96 22 60	96 22 60	" " "	96	22 60	1 " " "	87 8 7	"	" "	" " " "
					" 14 6 4				
3433 37	2048390 1 48	293 36 "	484796	5 48	" " "	3024746	1221749	3368	98860 2 40 30540 " "

Deduct Decrease —— 30540 " "

Net Increase —— 68320 2 4.

Calicut 11th July 1849.

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) A. V. Connolly.
Collector

12403 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Resolution thereon.—

43-44

In Cons 9th May 1850.

Resolved that the foregoing letter and Statment be submitted to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d May 1850.—

The Board observe that the subject of inland Salt Depots in Malabar was reported upon in their Secretarys letter to Government dated 1st July 1850. and that the order contained in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st July 1850. have been communicated to the Collector whose reply has not yet been received.—

In Cons 12th augt 1850 Proceds 12th Do.

Read the following letters

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

45

From the Collr of Madras... 27th Augt 1850.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for submission to the Board of Revenue a letter from my Assistant Mr Taylor offering certain observations and suggestions connected with the subjects of Wastage and Rowannahs, and giving his opinion generally o

12404 Fort St. George 2nd September 1850.

generally on the proposed Salt Rules circulated with the Boards Proceedings under date the 15th April last...

Madras, 29th August, 1850.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston Collector.

No. 1

To

J. A. Hudleston Esqre Collector of Madras.

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a Copy of revised rules for the Management of the Salt Department forwarded with Revenue proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th April last requesting to be furnished with any observations that may be suggested for the better security of this branch of Revenue, and desiring especially, an opinion on the subject of Wastage and of Rowannahs.

2. I have carefully perused the proposed rules now circulated by the Board and I am not prepared to suggest any amendment as they appear to me to be well adapted for the security of the Salt Revenue.

With

on account of wastage on salt sold by retail and general experience has likewise shewn this to be the proper average.

7. But independent of the very different results which may be produced by the mere adroitness of the Measure the extent of wastage which occurs in the process of storing must vary in proportion as the Salt is more or less affected by natural causes, the sizes and solidity of its crystals the nature of the atmosphere and the amount of moisture previously contracted.

8. To regulate with any thing like exactness the Wastage of an article of this nature and subject to these influences must be admitted to be impracticable, Even with the most careful observation at the time of storing and the strictest custody after the Salt is thatched the outturn of two heaps will sometimes exhibit very different results and will

will form no guide or criterion for regulating the produce of others.

9. The actual Wastage + that occurs after the Salt is once stored and covered provided the heap is not injured by bad weather arises from moisture at the time of storing and pressure or collapsing of the heap and its extent will be in proportion as the Salt is well dried by exposure to the sun before taken into Store. It is of course objectionable to allow it to remain in the vicinity of the pans for any protracted period on account of the facilities thereby afforded to smuggling and the risk of exposure to the weather. A portion of each plat-form well drained might be allotted as drying ground and as the greater part of the Salt is removed from the pans at the hottest season of the year when rain is least expected it might remain so exposed on the plat form for 7 or 8 days with profit to Government and without

+ Note This wastage is found to occur chiefly during the first Month & after which it is comparatively trifling. The smaller the heap the greater is the proportion of wastage but it would be inconvenient to store in larger quantities than 20 Garces.

without injary to the Manufacturer.

10. This plan is more particularly necessary at Madras where the whole supply of Salt is conveyed from the pans to the Golauns by water but the present platform is quite inadequate for the purposes. I would strongly urge the necessity of its being considerably enlarged without further loss of time..

Rowannahs 11. It is notorious that much abuse is practised under the present Rowannah System and that it does not give that security to the revenue which was contemplated by the framers of Salt Regulation No. 1 of 1805.

Secs 12-14-18 12. This regulation prescribes the issue of Rowannahs from the place of sale, the detention of Salt in transit, the seizure and confiscation of unaccompanied by a Rowannah, or if the quantity be greater than that specified therein. But it does not provide for the return of the Rowannah to

to the District from which it was obtained, nor for its delivery at the place for which it was issued, either of which objects it would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to carry out.. Could their attainment be insured the check might be still further increased by directing that Rowannahs should invariably specify the time allowed for the transport of Salt to the place for which it was purchased at a certain rate of distance, say 10 Miles per diem, that in the event of a Merchant wishing to sell the whole or any part of his Salt at an intermediate Town or Village he should do so only with the knowledge and concurrence of a local public Officer (perhaps Village Moonsiff) and before proceeding with the remainder he should obtain an endorsement on the Rowannah stating what portion has been disposed of and the period of his detention..

13. Supposing the Return of Rowannahs

Romannahs to be impracticable Collectors —
might then without difficulty furnish the
Officer by whom they were issued with a
Monthly return giving the particulars of
each Romannah and shewing whether the Salt
reached its ultimate destination within the
prescribed period or whether it found a market
on the road.—

14— If the rules laid down in
Clauses 3 and 4 of Section XII of the salt Re:
:gulation /1 of 1805/ were strictly enforced and
the additional checks suggested above honestly
carried into effect there can be no question
but that the pass system would prove a
powerful agent for the prevention of fraud
and for the security of the Government —
revenue. It is no less certain however that
by the exercise of such power as the system
would confer on the subordinate public servants

throughout

throughout the Country through whose means
alone it could be efficiently carried out the
evils which led to the abolition of the inland
transit duties would be revived, Merchants
would submit to extortion to avoid vexa:
:tious detention and examination of
their loads, and the impediments thus
offered to the traffic of the fair
trader would increase the temptation
:tion to smuggling.—

15 If it be resolved to continue
the existing system under which it should
be remembered the merchant is liable to —
detention by any police Officer who may
suspect irregularity, the adoption of the above
suggestions in regard to the registery of

intermediate

intermediate sales would scarcely subject the salt trader to further annoyance than he is at present exposed to, and would check abuse to some extent by preventing a Rowannah being made available to cover any further quantity of salt than that for which it was originally issued.

Madras, 27th August, 1850.

(45-46)

/Signed/ G. N. Taylor
Assistant Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

Read

D.S.

Read the following letter.

To W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Madras.

Sir,

(47)

From the Accountant General and Sub Treasurer.

Reporting the investment in the five per Cent Loan of the Interest realized on the Govt Securities on account of the minor Proprietor of Shamattoor and Cottampatty in the District of Coimbatore

1. With reference to our letter of the 7th March last we have the honor to inform you that we have subscribed to the five per cent Loan now open, the Interest realized on the Government Securities in our deposit standing in the name of the Secretary to the Court of Wards Madras for the time being (on account of the minor Proprietor of Shamattoor and Cottampatty in Coimbatore) and obtained a Treasury acknowledgment in the same name Viz. No. 4723 dated 25th July 1850 for Company's Rupees 700. The said acknowledgment has been sent to Calcutta to be exchanged for a Promissory Note, and on the arrival here of the Note, you will be furnished with our receipt in duplicate for the same. Our receipt in duplicate now accompanies for the Promissory Note No. 43882 of 1841/42 for Company's Rupees 700 received from Calcutta in lieu of the acknowledgment No. 4375 referred to in our communication of the 7th March last. We beg to subjoin the usual

usual account particulars connected with the present subscription exhibiting a balance of Company's Rupees 51. 6. 10, for which due credit will be afforded in our next account.

Amount balance at your credit as per account rendered to you on the 7th March 1850 Company's Rupees 24-10-8

Amount of Interest received on your deposited Securities as follows.

5 per Cent Loan Acknowledgment of 30th June 1841 No 4370 dated 30th January 1850 for 700 Cpn Interest from 30th January to 30th June 1850 — 14-9-4

Deduct fee on receiving the Interest at ¼ per Cent — " " 7

Do. on receiving the acknowledgment into deposit — 1-0-0

1-0-7

13-8-9

5 per Cent Loan of 30th June 1841.

No. 20287 of 1841/42 for 16800 Cpn Int. from 31st December 1849 to 30th June 1850 420-0-0

" 23127 — " — 4000 — " — 100-0-0

" 27623 — " — 2500 — " — 62-8-0

" 28522 — " — 900 — " — 22-8-0

" 30013 — " — 600 — " — 15-0-0

" 31409 — " — 2500 — " — 62-8-0

" 32065 — " — 600 — " — 15-0-0

" 39096 — " — 700 — " — 17-8-0

715-0-0

Deduct Fee on receiving the Interest at ¼ per Cent — 1-12-7

713-3-5

726-12-2

Deduct

Amount subscribed to the 5 per Cent Loan of 30th June 1841 & an acknowledgment obtained No 4723 dated 25th July 1850 for Company's Rupees — 700-0-0

751-6-10

Balance Company's Rupees 51-6-10

Fort St. George, 26th August, 1850.

/Signed/ T. T. Stonhouse Accountant General

(" /S. D. Birch Sub Treasurer

Order

Order thereon (47-48)

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 14th March 1850.

(49)

From the Civil Auditor —

Read the following Memo-

Official Memo-

The Civil Auditor has the honor to return the accompanying application for leave of absence from Mr A. Stokes, Collector of Guntoor as the medical Certificate which accompanies it is not in the form prescribed by the Orders of Government dated 6th April 1841 and he is therefore precluded from submitting the application to Government.

Dated 12th Augt. 1850

Fort St. George, Civil Auditor's Office, 31st August, 1850 —

/Signed/ A. F. Bruce Civil Auditor

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.

(49-50)

To the Civil Auditor —

Official Memo

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to return to the Civil Auditor Mr Stokes' application for leave under Medical Certificate as it was preferred through the Commissioner Northern

Northern Circars to whom it should be returned if informal.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2d September, 1850.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(51)

From the Superintendent of Stamps .....

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 8th Instant, communicating the sanction of the Government of India for the entertainment of Seven Individuals and the augmentation of the Salaries of Five existing appointments and to state that the increased rate of Salaries of the four situations noted in the Margin was drawn in accordance with that order Viz.t retrospectively from 1st May 1849. The increased rate of Salary Viz.t Rupees 11. - - per mensem. of the remaining

remaining situation of Writer has not been drawn however, as it was not included in the application from this Office which simply asked for a Writer on Rupees 25. - - per Mensem as a new situation, but as the correspondence was chiefly copied by the Manager assisted by the Copyists already in the Office, I beg the permission of Government to draw the amount and distribute it amongst those who have by their extra exertions at a period when the correspondence was unusually great entitled themselves

* Up to the 9th Instant the new writer on Rs 25 having been entertained from the 1st

12419 Fort St. George 2d September 1850

themselves to a share of

the same.

Fort St. George,
General Stamp Office,
22d August, 1850 –

/Signed/ A. F. Bruce
Superintendent
of Stamps

51-52

Order thereon Ordered that

the foregoing letter be sub-
mitted to Government with
the Board's recommenda-
tion for the sanction of
the application made
therein.

Read

DG.

12420 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Read the following letter

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

53

From Govt Vakeel in the Court of Sudder Udalut

An Arzee from Goolam
Moortooza, Government Vakeel
in the Court of Sudder Udalut.

Herewith enclose for the perusal
of the Board of Revenue true Copies of
arzees Nos 227 and 278 presented to the
Sudder Court on the 12th of this August
by Vizia Ragoonada Ramasawmy Tauer
husband of late Mungalaswara Natchiar
elder daughter of Paramadawurtany Natchiar
the present Zemindar of Ramnad, and by
Vizia Ragoonada Moottoochella Tauer
elder brother of Vizia Ragoonada Ramasaw-
:my Satoopaty the late husband of the
said Paramadawurtany Natchiar respectively,
setting forth that the adoption alleged
to have been made by the said Parama-
:dawurtany Natchiar is not valid, and
that they are the claimants to the Ze-
:mindary and other matters.

15th August 1850.

/Signed/ Goolam Moortooza
Government Vakeel

/A true Translation/
/Signed/ T. Srenevasen
Translator

A

To the Court of Suddr Udalut

An Arzee from
Rozkusty Tirmul Row
Vakeel to Vizia Ragoonada
Ramasaumy Tauer husband
of the deceased Mungalasa:
:ra Natchiar elder daughter
of Puruadaurtany Natchiar
of Madura the Petitioner
in Nos 188 and 14 of the
present year to this Court,
and the rightful claimant
to the Ramnad Zemindary
and its appertenances for
the reasons hereunder
setforth ..

Moottooveeray Natchiar com:
:plainant in Original Suit No. 3 of 1843
on the file of the Civil Court of Ma:
:dura, and Puruadaurdany Natchiar, the
defendant and the mother in law of this
Petitioner, filed a Razeenamah in
the above No. according to Regulations.
It is contended in a Miscellane:
:ous Arzee No. 188 that it was
provided

provided in the said Razeenamah that
subsequent to its date a son should be
adopted to the deceased. Ramasaumy
Satoopathy husband to the said Puruada:
:urtany Natchiar, and father in-law to
Petitioner, and that the said Sathoopathy
gave permission to do so, and therefore
the said Puruadaurtany Natchiar
begs that the adoption of a son said
to have been made by her may be con:
:firmed. It will be evident to this
Court on a consideration of the circum:
:stances hereunder set forth that the Peti:
:tioner himself is the proper claimant to the
Ramnad Zemindary and its appertenances,
and that the adoption by Puruadaurtany
Natchiar is not admissible either ac:
:cording to the Hindoo Law or reason..

2d. Ramasaumy Satoopathy who
had possession of the Ramnad Zemindary
and all other aggests appertaining to it,
on his death bed made over all his
real and personal properties to Munga:
:lasuara Natchear his first born
daughter and wife to this Petitioner, set:
:ting aside, some how, the claim of the said
Puruadaurtany Natchiar his wife, and
submitted an Arzee on the 19th April 1830.
to the then Principal Collector of Madura
with

with the above particulars, and the said Principal Collector also wrote to the Board of Revenue for compliance with the request contained in the said Petition, and the Board thereupon recommended it to the Government, and according to their sanction, the said Mungalaswara Natchiar was properly appointed minor Zemindar of the said Zemindary and it's appertenance. It is clearly and certainly evident from the above Public correspondence that the claim of the said Mungalaswara Natchear is admitted, and therefore the present contentions of the said Parvadavurtany Natchiar alleging that Ramasaumy Satoopaty had permitted her to adopt a son contrary to the above orders will be easily proved to your Court to be fraudulent.

3. Not only was their public correspondence to this effect, but Parvadavurtany Natchiar having represented to the Collector in the year 1847 that she had adopted a son, the Petitioner, on hearing the said circumstances, submitted an Arzee to the Collector setting forth his claim to the Zemendary, and the reasons to shew that

that the adoption was invalid, the Government thereupon on the strength of the Proceedings in the Revenue Department, rejected the alleged adoption of Parvadavurtany Natchiar as invalid. Notwithstanding this the said Parvadavurtany Natchiar, with a view to get her adoption confirmed, brought a Suit in the Subordinate Court of Madura in Original No. 44 of 1848, and that Court gave points to prove the said adoption, the Collector, being aware of these circumstances, caused a Petition to be filed by the Government Vakeel detailing the circumstances of the adoption being rejected by the Government, and the Court thereupon cancelled the point given regarding the adoption. The adoption has been thus rejected at several times as invalid.

4. The right of succession to the Zemindary of Mungalaswara Natchear the deceased Zemindar and the wife of this Petitioner as setforth in the 2d para of this Arzee, descended to her from her father and was admitted by her Mother the said Parvadavurtany Natchear and the other Members of the family, and was accordingly carried

carried into effect. The succession of Mungalasuara Natchear to the Zemindary and its appertenances by right of her father is according to the tenor of Hindoo Durmasastraks to reason, and to the usage of the Country and the said Mungalasuara Natchear brought to bed of a male child having died together with the child, this Petitioner the husband of the said Natchear is the proper claimant to all the properties which were derived by the said Mungalasuara Natchear from her father according to the passage of the Hindoo Durmasastraks that "The property of childless woman belongs to her husband".

5 If your honorable Court take into consideration the arzee from Ramasawmy Satoopaty the proprietor of the Ramnad Zemindary &c. dated 19th April 1830, making over the Zemindary and all other appertenances to Mungalasuara Natchear his first born daughter and wife of the Petitioner as above setforth and the sanction thereof by the authorities, and the enjoyment of the Zemindary &c. by her when a minor; it will be clearly evident to them that the said Mungalasuara Natchear is the only rightful

rightful proprietor to the Ramnad Zemindary and its appertenances and moreover that that the said Natchear having begot a male child, and first the child, and afterwards herself having died, Petitioner himself is the proper claimant to the properties in possession of the said Mungalasuara Natchiar for the reasons above setforth, and according to the tenor of the Hindoo Durmasastraks, as well as to the prevailing usage.

6. On the death of the said Mungalasuara Natchiar the Zemindary was some time held by her minor sister Dora raja Natchiar, but however from the report of Mr. Blackburne the then Collector dated 12th March 1840 to the Board, and from the evidence of eye witnesses who had seen her personally before and after the date of the said report, it was exposed that the said minor was dumb and incompetent to the succession. On the death of the said minor, Puruvadevertary Natchear having usurped the Zemindary &c. this Petitioner was prevented then from prosecuting his claim before competent authorities having been wanting in pecuniary

pecuniary means to carry on the suit himself in consequence of his having been under the control of his father, but is however now prepared to carry on the suit. Perradanayaky Natchiar agitating the subject of her adoption as above setforth, Petitioner begs permission to file to your Court at this juncture the documents in support of his claim as also evidences of certain promises held out to him.

Under these circumstances the Petitioner himself solicits the Court, that in case of their considering and disposing the subject of adoption brought forward by Perradanayaky Natchiar in her miscellaneous Petition No. 188, to take into consideration his claim as above setforth and to render him justice.

12th August, 1850

/Signed/ Firmal Rau
Pleader on behalf of Petr.

/A true translation
/Signed/ T. Seenevasen
Translater
Translation

D.G.

B.

To The Court of Sudr Udalut.

An arzee from Streerungapuntunn Seroomalachary Pleader to Vezia Ragoonada Mootoochella Tayer elder brother of Vezia Ragoonatha Ramasawmy Satoopaty husband of Ranee Purvadavurtany Natchiar the Zemindar of Ramnad and Petitioner in Nos. 14 and 188 of 1850 in your Courts file.

1. About 65 years ago, the authorities, being aware of the illegal acts of Mootooranalinga Satoopaty the then Zemindar of Ramnad confined him and sent him to Madras, after this, the Government gave Milkeyet Sunnud and made over the Zemindary to Ranee Satoopaty Mungalaswera Natchiar his elder sister and grandmother to Petitioner and she, having no issue adopted, Mootoovezia Ragoonada Satoopaty a cousin of her husband Ramasawmy Tayer, and uncle in the mother's side and cousin in the father's side of this Petitioner and he married Mootooveroya Natchiar Petitioner's younger sister, and he also leaving

no

no heir Ramasawmy Satospaty the younger brother of the Petitioner was adopted and thus the said Ramasawmy Satospaty is the natural brother and undivided nearest cousin to Petitioner in the adoptive family.-

2d. According to the decision of the King in Council, the Zemmdary was continued to the said Ramasawmy Satospaty on the 1st May 1829. and during his administration he being attacked with small Pox and considering that he will not survive, wrote an arzee to the Collector on the 19th April 1830 making over his Zemmdary in favor of his two female Children, appointing his adoptive mother Rannee Mootooveeroya Natchiar as their guardian and Petitioner his elder brother as manager

3d. The said Ramasawmy Satospaty permitted this Petitioner, being his natural brother and nearest cousin, to hold and govern the Zemmdary like himself, with all dignity and respect, and to perform his funeral obsequies because he had no male issue, and died on the 21st

of

of the said Month.-

4th. Petitioner not only performed his funeral ceremonies and continue to perform his annual ceremonies according to his permission, but also enjoyed the Zemmdary for 13 years with Kingly ensigns and every degree of respect, and continued in the sole management of it with great honesty as noticed by the authorities from the lowest local Courts to the honorable the Court of Directors upwards.-

5. During his management of the Zemmdary accordingly the Collector having recommended to the higher authorities for the continuance of an allowance to this Petitioner, orders were issued that Petitioner the elder brother of the Zemmdar continuing the management of the Zemmdary according to the proposal of the latter, the Zemmdary should be considered as being in the hand of the proprietor himself, but not under management, and therefore no allowance should be continued to him - and accordingly it was never paid to him during the 13 years in which he held the Zemmdary.-

6. Mungalasvera Natchiar the elder

Child

child of Ramasawmy Satoopaty Rajah died on the 18th. February 1840, and Dorarayas Natchiar the younger on the 25th. September 1845, the said Dorarajah Natchiar one day previous to her death. that is on the 24th. submitted an arzee to the Collector alleging that she had adopted a male child aged 4 years the son of her aunt Sevabogia Natchiar, thereupon the Petitioner sent an arzee to the Collector detailing that the minor being under age is not competent to adopt a son according to the provisions of Section X XV Regulation V of 1804, and the adoption was inconsequence disallowed.

7. The two female children of Ramasawmy Satoopaty Rajah having died the Petitioner being the eldest brother of the Zemindar and an undivided nearest cousin in his adoptive family the said Satoopaty having no children, and the Petitioner himself having performed his funeral obsequies and continuing still to perform his annual ceremonies, he had addressed the Board on the 3d. October 1845, claiming the Zemindary according to the Hindoo

Law

Law and the usage of the people, and they have thereupon granted him an Endorsement stating that they will not pass any final decision in the matter because the Zemindary is under dispute. In the month of September 1846. the Zemindary was continued in the name of Purvadavurtany Natchiar under the orders of the Court, and thereupon Ranee Mootooveeroy Natchiar the adoptive mother of the said Ramasawmy Satoopaty, brought a suit in No. 3 of 1843 for the recovery of the said Zemindary, and its appurtenances joining also Petitioner as a defendant, and in that No. the complainant and Purvadavurtany Natchiar the first defendant and two other supplemental parties, filed Rajenamahs, and to that Rajenamah the Petitioner the 2d. Defendant was no party.

8. Ranee Purvadavurtany Natchiar having submitted an arzee to Mr. Blackburne. the then Collector of Madura on the 5th. August 1846. intimating that she is prepared to adopt a son, that Officer sent an order No. 14 on the 2d. September 1846, stating that her allegation that her husband Ramasawmy Satoopaty

directed

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

directed the adoption of a son in case any casualty occur to his two female children without issues, is not to be credited. She having again addressed another arzee to Mr. Parker the Collector on the 19th May 1847 proposing to adopt the sum of Sevagean and Taven according to the permission of her husband and her relations, Petitioner also represented to the Collector that he is the proper heir to the said Purvadavuntany Natchiar in every respect, and the adoption should not in consequence be admitted, and that Officer thereupon taking the case into consideration, issued an order to the said Purvadavuntany Natchiar in No. 145 on the 21st May 1847 putting forth some objections and stating that as he had some doubts regarding the right of Purvadavuntany Natchiar to do so, he will bring the matter to the notice of the Board.

9. Purvadavuntany Natchiar having appealed to the Board on this matter they have declined to admit the adoption.—

10. The Revenue authorities having disallowed the adoption alleged to have been

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

been made by Purvadavuntany Natchiar she with a view at least to get it confirmed by the Courts fraudulently put forth in the course of the plaint preferred by her to the Civil Judge for the recovery of the properties of one Coottivaga Natchiar Chuckalathy or another wife of the husband of Mootooveroy Natchiar daughter of her aunt and cousin after her death, that her alleged adoptive son had performed the funeral obsequies of the said Coottivaga Natchiar, while they had actually been performed by Sevasawmy Taver the son of the said Mootooveroy Natchiar, and that tribunal being aware of the said circumstances, called for and reviewed the Proceedings regarding the matter of adoption from the Collector's Cutcherry, and understanding that the adoption was contrary to the reason and sastrahs, issued orders rejecting the adoption.—

11. Purvadavuntany Natchiar however with a view to bring the subject of adoption to your Courts notice and get it confirmed on any plea whatever, urged that she had adopted

a

a Son according to the permission of her husband and to the tenor of the Rajenamah above noticed, in an arzee No. 188 of this year presented to your Court on another subject. This is quite false, because, the circumstances of her husband not having permitted her to do so is evident from an arzee dated 19th April 1830 submitted by him to the Collector before his death. Mootoo veeroy Natchiar the complainant and Purvadavurtany Natchiar the first defendant, conferred with each other on the circumstances as they pleased, and tendered the Rajeenamah according to their immediate desires while this Petitioner was their representative and fitted to perform the funeral ceremonies &c. to the said Purvadavurtany Natchiar the provision in the Rajenamah without his consent for an adoption is not valid. On the importunities of Purvadavurtany Natchiar the subject of adoption appears to have been provided for in the said Razenamah and therefore the provision for adoption in the Razeenamah will appear clearly invalid.

12. The Razeenamah alluded to, will only subserve to decide the suit in that No. but cannot be made an instrument to carry out the wishes of two parties as stipulated in the

the Rajeenamah to the prejudice of a third person who did not join in it.

13 The provision in the Rajenamah for Purvadavurtany Natchiar to make an adoption is quite contrary to Laws and usages (Here quotes a passage in Sanscrit) according to this passage woman should not adopt a Son as a heir to family property. It is stated in some passages that she can at least do so if permitted by her husband but it was above set forth in detail that there was no such permission granted to Purvadavurtany Natchiar by her husband.

14. The Government on the death of the daughters of the said Ramasawmy Sastoopaty, appointed the said Purvadavurtany Natchiar as proprietor to the Zemindary and its appurtenances, and continued them accordingly.

15. (Here quoted certain passages from Burmasastrahs)

16. In the decision passed in the original Suit No. 5 of 1841 in the Provincial Court and in the appeal Suit No. 18 of 1842 preferred by Sinnavelly Narain Row against Gunga Boyanmal his undivided cousin, and childless woman, there are some passages which favor

the

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

the opinion that the said Gunga Boy has only a life interest in the property descended to her form her husband, and which is now under dispute and after her the Complainant Narain Row her undivided cousin has a right to it. On the death of the said Gunga Boy annual the said Narain Row having filed a suit in the Zillah Court at Tinnavelly, a decree was passed to the effect that the said Narain Row her divided cousin has only right according to Sastrahs, to the property held by her, and she ought not to have spent the property left to her by her husband in which she had but a life interest as liberally as she pleased against the right of the said Narain Row.

17. This being the tenor of the Sastrahs all the real and personal properties of the said Purvadavurtamy Natchiar should descend after her to the family of her husband according to Dummasastrahs, but they should not be made over by the said Natchiar to another person, and therefore the said property is incapable of descending in any way to the false adopted Son referred to in the Arzee No. 188.

The

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

The said adoption not only being contrary to the Sastrahs and invalid as above stated but the Government to whom the subject of adoption was reported on by the Collector through the Board decided that the adoption should not be admitted.

18. This Petitioner being an undivided cousin of Edumpandul Ramasawmy Iaver the adoptive grand father of the deceased Ramasamy Satoopaty, the Zemindar of Ramnad, and husband to the said Purvadavurtamy Natchiar, the zemindary and all its appurtenances held by the said Ramasawmy Iaver and continued up to Purvadavurtamy Natchiar who had no title whatever to them and only because she was associated with the said family, should properly devolve upon Petitioner and his descendants as proper heirs according to the rules in the Dummasastrahs for the descent of properties without heirs. If the Courts are desirous of ascertaining clearly the said relationship and the said Ramasawmy Satoopaty having obtained the Zemindary, this Petitioner is ready to prove them in every respect to

their

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

their satisfaction

19. According to the provision of Hindoo Law regarding the devision of patrimonial estates, this Petitioner being a near cousin to the said Ramasawmy Satoopaty in his adoptive family having a right by being of one family and the said Ramasawmy Satoopaty having lost all his heirs, and his widow Purvadavurtany Natchiar not being competent to adopt a son hereafter Petitioner himself is the proper heir to them both, and it should not be considered that another has any right.

20 Petitioner in conclusion states that it is competent for your Court to reject without considering the merits of the adoption referred to in the arzee No. 188 presented by Purvadavurtany Natchiar to your Court on another subject, it being against the right of the Petitioner who is a proper heir to the said Purvadavurtany Natchiar. In case your Court are

desirous

Fort St. George 2d. September 1850

desirous of taking into consideration the merits of the disputed adoption which is against his right, Petitioner prays that they will take into consideration the above arguments regarding his right and confirm it and reject the adoption as invalid according to the passages from Dummasastrahs referred to in the 15th Para.

12th August 1850. /Signed/ S. Teroomalachary
Pleader.

Memo. I wish to be heard verbally on the subject of this Petition.

/Signed/ S. Teroomalachary
Pleader.

/A true Translation/
/Signed/ S. Srenevasen
Translator

Ordered

12441 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

53-54

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter and of the papers which accompanied it be furnished to the Collector of Madura for his information.

L.F.B.

12442 Fort St. George 2d September 1850.

Resolved that the following Memorandum be dispatched.—

55

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Official Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request the Chief Secretary to Government, will furnish, for the purpose of being placed on the records of this Office, a copy of the Advocate General's letter to Government dated 2d May 1850, together with the orders of Government thereon, relative to Foreigners Commanding British Ships.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 2d September 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.—

56

To the Collector of North Arcot.

To, The Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition No. 1762 of 1850 with enclosure from Syed Esmall son of Syed Emam claiming the restoration of the Enam enjoyed by his late father and stating that his succession having

+ Note. It is alleged that the Shudee son died during the life time of the father and that therefore the two Grand sons cannot by Mahamedan Law claim succession in preference to Petitioner.

having been set aside because he is a son by a Nikahee Wife and the preference given to the deceased's two Grand sons by the Son+ of a Shadee Wife is not in accordance with Mahamedan Law.

In reference to the Tamil Endorsement passed by you on the Enclosure to the above Petition, the Board observe that it has been decided by the Law Officers of the Sudr Court "that under the Mahomed Law the "Children by a Nikahee Wife are equally "entitled to inherit the real and personal "property of their father with the Children by a "Shadee Wife, and that they are considered in all "respects equally legitimate with the Children by a "Shadee Wife".

From Sudr Court 21st. inst. 25th. August 1847.

Under these circumstances unless there are other objections to Petitioner's claim, of which the Board are not aware, it is requested that you will take the case into reconsideration.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2d. September, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Read Statement of actual Charges on account of Saderwared in the Distric

11th. July in lieu 12th. August 1850.

District of Malabar for Fusly 1258.-

Read Statement of actual Charges without Estimate in the Forest Department in the District of Malabar for Fusly 1258.-

11th. July in lieu 12th. August 1850.

57

Proceedings thereon.- / Here enter /

In the account Particulars No. 2 accompanying the first of the Statements above recorded the Saderwared of the Calicut Talook of which the details are given is stated at Rupees 117-11-1 and the charge for 16 other Talooks at that rate, for which no details are given, is stated at Rupees 1,718-1-7; but it is observed that if the Saderwared for these 16 Talooks is intended to be taken at the same rate as that for Calicut, the correct amount would be Rupees 1,872-1-4- One thousand eight hundred and Seventy two, annas one and pice four,- and the Collector is requested to specify the correct rate or amount.

In reference to the Statement of actual Charges in the Forest Department Mr. Conolly will explain for what purpose the Timber transported from the Government Forests

Forests to the Kallaye River and by backwater to Mamba at was intended, or upon what Indent it was supplied and from what Government Forest. Similar information should invariably be given when such charges are submitted for sanction.

To,
The Barrack Master.

Sir,

58

To Barrack Master. 1. I have the honor to inform you that several of the doors and Windows of the Revenue Board Office require bolts and hooks; The Glass shutters, and the Venetians of others, as well as the Mats also require slight repairs, and other little things require mending or replacing before the setting in of the Monsoons.

2. I shall feel obliged by these matters receiving your early attention.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
2d. September 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Received

Received the undermentioned

Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

59 From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1849/50 at the Ports of his District up to 23d. August 1850.

60 From the Collector of Chingleput. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of July last.

61 From the Acting Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of July last.

62 From the Collector of Canara. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of July last.

From,

12447 Fort St. George 2nd September 1850.

63

From the Superintendent of Stamps- Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of his Department-

63-64

Order thereon- Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.-

" Ignatius Shaw

Comp'd & D. Grib.

" H Deane

12448 Fort St. George 2nd September 1850.-

Diary

3rd September.- Received the following letter.-

1

To The Secretary to the Revenue Board.-

From the Principal Commissary of Ordnance } Sir,

I have the honor under instructions from the Military Board to forward 4 Salt Measures made up by this Department similar to the 12 made up and supplied in March last, and to request that you will have the goodness to favor me with a receipt for the same.-.

Arsenal,---- Fort St. George,- 2d September 1850- } Signed F. F. Whinyates Lieutenant Colonel Principal Commissary of Ordnance.-

1-2

Sent the following Receipt.-

To The Principal Commissary of Ordnance --- } Received from the Principal Commissary of Ordnance four Copper Mercals made up at the

12449 Fort St. George 3d September 1850.—

the Arsenal for the use of the Salt Department.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d Sept. 1850 ——

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Sent the following

(3)

Memorandum.—

Official Memorandum.—

To The Post Master General.—

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Post Master General a letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry, received by this day's Tappal so injured by wet as to be unfit for Record. He begs to bring this circumstance to the notice of the Post Master General in order that he may warn the local authorities to attend to the Security of the Official correspondence whilst in transit to the Presidency.—

24th August 1850—in Cons. 5th Sept. 1850 to be returned.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d September 1850 ——

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary.—

At

12450 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

At a Meeting Present.—

C. Goldingham, Esqre. Second Member

and

F. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Thursday 5th September 1850—

Walter Elliot, Esqre. Senior Member.—

Absent on duty As Commissioner Northern Circars.—

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

(4)

Read the following Resolution.—

From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 24th August 1850.—No. 799.—

/ From Board 13th June /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs in the view taken by the Board of Revenue of the first as well as in their recommendation on the two following cases and he accordingly directs

12451 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

Bhutwurti Enam

1. Muddekerah Acres 41–10–6
assessed at Rupees 96–4–"
2. Paranalee Acres 16–2–12
assessed at Rupees 32–13–.
Naudgowd Enam
3. Chicka Hootoor Share held
by the deceased Rs. 443–—8–

directs that the Enams noted in the Margin be resumed and incorporated with the Ayen Lands.—

Referring to the professed object of the grant "for offering up prayers for the prosperity of the State" and to the circumstances of the late incumbents Family who are said to be in the enjoyment of a Shotrium of the annual value

4 Yeomiah of Rupees 154–14–"
per annum enjoyed by the
late Syed Abdool Lotiff——

of Rupees 104–7–8 the Governor in Council is of opinion that the yeomiah of Rupees 154–"–14– per annum held by Syed abdool Latiff must be considered to have lapsed from the 18th July 1848, the date of his decease. It is observed that Yeomiahs for similar objects have usually been treated as charitable grants and resumable on the death of the holders.—

3 The Governor in Council concurs

12452 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

concurs with the view taken by the Board of the claims of Eshwar-apah Ram Row /Janeecamah's son/ and of Avalamah the 3d Daughter of the deceased Hullee Rowpe which for the reasons stated, he considers to be

5 Bhutwurti Enam				
No. 114	Acres 29–5–8	Rs. 101–15–11		
Despondiah Modalikhana Enam				
No. 186	Acres 84–9–2	Rs. 122–13–3		
" 170—	" —	10–11–2	Rs. 9–12–"	
" 89—	" —	23–10–2	Rs. 40–11–"	
" 171—	" —	39–1–6	Rs. 56–14–7	
44—	" —	16–10–"	Rs. 31–"–5	
Total Acres—		173–2–6	Rs. 261–3–3	

inadmissible and he is also of opinion with the Board that Bhageemmah /the eldest daughter of Hullee Row/ and Peracummah /widow of Ramacharry/ should not be disturbed in their enjoyment of the Bhartwarti Enam No. 114 and Despondia Enam No. 44, which have been in their possession for the last 35 and 30 years respectively, and which may therefore be continued to them for their lives.—

4 The Despondiah Enam No. 171 claimed by Avalummah will be resumed, as recommended by the Board, and the remaining portion No. 186–170–" and 89 consisting of Acres 117–31–" assessed at

12453 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

at Rupees 173-4-3. will be continued to Rajunnah / the widow of Hullee Row's son / for her life agreeably to the Acting Collector's proposition which is supported by the Board.—

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(4-5)

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that copies of the foregoing orders of Government and of the Board's Remarks to which they are a reply be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary with reference to his Tabular report of lapsed Enams dated the 16th April 1850.—

(6)

From the Secy to Government— Read the following Extract—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th August 1850 — No. 800.—

List of Statements of Ordinary Extra charges incurred by the Collectors and Magistrates in Fusly 1258, and of Estimated disbursements for Fusly 1260 submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue on the 29th July 1850.—

Para 1. The Right Honorable the

12454 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

the Governor in Council sanctions the Extra charges incurred in Fusly 1258 in the Districts specified in the foregoing list, amounting to Rupees / 61267-7-9 / Sixty one thousand two hundred and Sixty Seven, annas Seven and Pice Nine, being exclusive of Rupees 531-8-— the value of Stamp paper refunded under the Provisions of the Regulations which the Board of Revenue have properly deducted from the amount of the Statement submitted by the Collector of Canara on the 8th May 1850 These refunds will be admitted upon audit under the orders of Government noted in the margin.—

Statt. No. 1157- Item 25 & 26-

J. Order of Govt. 4th April 1837 No. 335
" —— 26th — " — " — " 393
" —— 28th Novr. — " — " 1119
Rev. Minutes of Cons. 1st June 1850 — " 541 p 2

2. Statement from Guntoor No. 309 Item 6, Rs. 11994-10-2. Wages of Village Servants employed in the purchased Jemindary Estates— This disbursement is admitted under the explanation afforded by the Collector in Paras 5 to 7 of his letter dated 22d June 1850.—

3. From the communication above referred to, the Governor in Council learns that Batta to public Servants in the Guntoor District has heretofore been paid at the rate of 4 Annas per Cooly, while

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

while in other Districts it has been calculated and disbursed at 3 Annas 8 Pice and 80 Cents, equal to 3 fanams the regulated hire in the old Currency. The Governor in Council is of opinion that, with a view to uniformity in the rates and facility of calculation, Batta to Servants on Circuit should be fixed in the present Currency and paid in all the Districts / Guntoor not excepted / at 3 annas and 9 pice per Cooly, and this measure he thinks will entail little, if any, extra expense; as the reduction of 3 pice in the prevailing rate in Guntoor will go to meet the increase arising from commuting the 80 Cents into one Pice.—

4.

Statement from Masulipatam No. 1465

Item 1 Cattoobady to the Zemindars on account of Mocassa Villages reverted to Government Rupees 87-8-0—

Before passing orders in the Board's proposition that the Cattoobady payments to the Zemindars in Masulipatam on account of Mocassa Villages reverted to Government should be excluded from the Collectors' Statement of Extra Charges, and admitted by the Civil Auditor from Fasly 1259 on Audit as a fixed charge, the Governor in Council desires to be informed to

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

to what Zemindars these payments are to be made—whether the Cattoobady is fixed or fluctuating and on what authority payable—He also wishes to know the reason of the difference between the sum for which application is now made / Rupees 87-8-0 / and that Rupees 138-9-4 sanctioned in Fasly 1241 in the letter from Government 26th March 1833—No. 337—

	Per annum
Maduca Rs.	70—0—0
Roodraverum	17—8—0
Rupees	87—8—0

Same Statement No. 1465

Item 5 Wages of Sweeper employed at the Circuit Cutcherry of the Head Assistant Collector Rupees 14-3-4.—

5. This item should have been included in the Statement of Extra Establishments, and the necessity for the charge fully explained. The Board of Revenue will accordingly instruct the Collector to observe this course in future.—

6. The Governor in Council sanctions the Estimated Extra charges, and advances for cultivation and for the manufacture of Salt for Fasly 1260, amounting to Rupees / 119237-2-4 / one lac nineteen thousand two hundred and thirty seven, annas two, and Pice four—The Puccavy for Guntoor, it is observed, includes 700 Rupees proposed to be disbursed to Ryots for building tiled houses.—

The

12457 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

7

The Governor in Council is of opinion that the estimates of sums proposed to be advanced for Tuccavie in the Northern Circars should be forwarded to Government for sanction through the Commissioner, who must be in the best position for judging what amount is really required in the case of each District. The Statements of the disbursements actually made on this account may continue to be furnished to the Board for Audit as heretofore. It is remarked that the Tuccavi proposed to be granted in the current Fusly is high both in Guntoor and Rajahmundry but especially in the latter district.

The Governor in Council directs that a fresh column be entered in all Tuccavi Estimates shewing the last year's revenue.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A True Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

7

Order thereon.—

Ordered that copies of Paras 4 & 5 of the foregoing Extract from Minutes

12458 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guedance.—.

Mr. Porter will be pleased to supply the information called for in para 4.—

Ordered also that the following Circular be despatched.—

Circular.—

6-8

Circular to all Collectors except Madras ——

Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars

24th August in Cons. 5th Sept. 1850.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue under instructions from Government to request that, from and after the present Fusly 1260, the rates of Batta to Huzzoor servants on Circuit may be fixed in the present Currency and paid at the rate of 3 annas and 9 Pice per Cooly.—

I am further directed to request that in all Tuccavy Estimates which may in future be submitted by you an additional Column may be entered shewing the last year's Revenue.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George — 5th September 1850

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

12459 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

9

From the Secy to Govt.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 28th August 1850 No 810.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 8th August 1850 No 330/

In consideration of the indigent circumstances of the Members of the family who have hitherto been in enjoyment of the Shrotrium Village of Thandery, and the little income obtained by them from other sources, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to authorize an allowance calculated on an average of the last five years Collections of the Village, ascertained to amount to Rupees /26-7-6/ Twenty Six, annas Seven and Pice Six, per annum to be made to them in the proportions specified in the Acting Collectors' letter for the period of their respective lives.—

2. The Governor in Council cannot accede to the proposition of the Board of Revenue recommending the grant of an allowance calculated on an

12460 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

an average of the last ten years receipts which would confer on the late holders of the Village an amount of Revenue much beyond what they have been accustomed to collect, or what it is probable the Government may realize for some years to come.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.—
Secretary to Govt.—

9-10

Order thereon.— Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of South arcot with reference to his letter dated 26th July 1850.—

11

In Cons 8th August 1850

From the Secy to Govt.—

Authorizing the discontinuance, on certain conditions of the tolls hitherto levied on the Calye and Pudiangady Ferries in the District of Malabar and desiring the Superintendent of Roads in submitting his

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 28th August 1850 No 809.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 15th July 1850 No 297/

Para 1. Under the circumstances represented

12461

his views upon the general question to notice any cases in which arrangements similar to those sanctioned above might be made.—

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

represented by the Collector of Malabar, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the discontinuance of the tolls hitherto levied on the Cotye and Pudiangady Ferries on condition of sufficient Boats being kept up for the free passage of the public in the former case and of a substantial bridge being erected in the latter.—

2. The Government think it probable that both in Malabar and other Districts there may be other ferries similar to those brought under notice by Mr. Conolly, the farming of which is attended with annoyance to the community and might well be relinquished on such terms as those above sanctioned. The Government have not yet received the report upon the general management of the Ferries under this Presidency called for in

12462

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

in Extract Minutes of Consultation of 6th April 1844 and again in Extract Minutes of Consultation 14th January 1848- and from the last Return of unanswered letters from the Board of works it would appear that the papers connected with the subject have at his request been made over to the Superintendent of Roads- In submitting his views upon the general question, which Captain Best is requested to do, at an early date, he will notice any cases in which arrangements might be made of a like nature to those authorized to the Cotye and Pudiangady Ferries, stating the average revenue derived from every such ferry and the measures which might be taken in

12463 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

in respect to it.—

To The President & Members / A true Extract /
of the Board of Revenue— / Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

(11-12)

Order thereon.— Ordered that copy of
Para 1 of the foregoing Extract from
Minutes of Consultation and of the
Board's letter to which it is a reply
be communicated for the information
and guidance of the Collector
of Malabar with reference
to his letter dated 28th June 1850.—

Ordered also that
copy of the above Minutes of
Consultation be furnished
to the Department of Public
Works with a view that para 2 may
receive attention in that Department.

T. B.

12464 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

(13)

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
under date the 30th August, 1850 No. 821.

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
(Here enter 15th August 1850 No. 343.)

Under the circumstances represented
by the Collector of North Arcot, the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council approves
the arrangement which has been concluded
for the amicable settlement of O.S. No. 186
of 1849 and sanctions payment of the Costs
incurred on account of Government, amounting
to Rupees 10 10 / Sixteen and annas ten.—

To The President and Members / A true Extract /
of the
Board of Revenue. / Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for
the information and guidance of the Collector
(13-14) Sr. Cor. 15th August 1850 of North Arcot. in reference to his letter dated 9th Augt. 1850.

From the Secretary to Government (13)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
under date the 30th August, 1850 No. 820.

(15)

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Here enter 19th August 1850 No. 348.)

Under the circumstances represented
in the above Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue and their accompaniments &
the.

12465 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the refund of the sum of Rupees (161-10-5) one hundred and Sixty one, annas ten and Pice five, carried to account in part payment of the Costs in appeal Suit No. 90 of 1845.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon.

(15-16)

In Con. 19th August 1850.

(17)

From the Secretary to Government.

Order to be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 5th August 1850.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 30th August 1850. No. 818.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 8th August 1850 No. 329)

Para. 1 Under the strong recommendation made by the acting Collector of Tinnevelly the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the proposed arrangement for guarding the Pearl Banks off Nullatanny Tenor for one year at the cost of Rupees (450) Four hundred and fifty. The acting Collector will be required to furnish a report upon the success of

12466 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

of the measure at the expiration of that period and on no account to permit the above sum to be exceeded without further express sanction.

2 The Government decline to authorize the supply of an azimuth Compass for the use of the Master attendant at Tuticorin.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon.

(17-18)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letters dated 8th and 23d July 1850.

In Con. 8th August 1850.

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 3d September 1850 No. 825

(19)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 5th August 1850 No. 324)

1 The Government consider the Decree passed by the acting Subordinate Judge in Original Suit No. 81 of 1848 to be erroneous, but they are nevertheless of

of opinion that the case is not one which it would be advisable to contest further, inasmuch as it appears that the Plaintiff was allowed to go to great expense in exhuming the Stones without objection and even to remove them. It does not appear to be of much importance to appeal for the sake of the principle involved as the decree now appealing will not give to the Decree any greater force beyond the subject actually in litigation, and the same question might be re-agitated on the first opportunity and tried again under more satisfactory circumstances. The Government therefore direct that the proposed appeal be not proceeded with and they authorize the Collector to restore to the Plaintiff the 350 Stones or to pay to him the sum of Rupees (150) One hundred and fifty, as awarded by the Decree. They also sanction payment of the Costs of Suit amounting to Rupees (53-2-) Fifty three and annas two.—

2 The question at issue seems to refer more directly to the rights conferred by the Annual Puttah under a Ryotwary Settlement

Settlement and it occurs to the Government that if the matter were to be again mooted reference might be made with advantage to the Provisions of Regulation XXX of 1802 to shew what a cultivation Puttah is intended to express, and as the Subordinate Judge contends that a man might erect a dwelling House under an annual cultivation Puttah special notice might be directed to Section XV of that Regulation which provides for the granting of building Puttahs as quite distinct. Reference also might be made to the Hokummanah and Extracts given from it to explain the cultivation Puttah, a Copy of which might also be tendered in evidence as shewing by its very terms that it applies to cultivation only.—

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Read also the following letter.

From W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(20)

Sir,

From the Collector
of Salem . . .

With reference to your letter
dated.

dated 5th Instant I have the honor to state that an extension of the period for perfecting an appeal against the decree of the Subordinate Court at Salem in Original Suit No. 61 of 1848 has been applied for and duly obtained. The one Month allowed will expire on the 15th Proximo, I therefore beg to request that the Draft appeal Petition submitted with my communication dated 27th Ultimo, may be returned in time to enable me to present it to the Civil Judge before the abovementioned date.

Salem District.
Sysoor.....
Collector's Cutcherry....
28th August, 1850....

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

Order thereon.

(19-21)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 27th July and 28th August 1850.—

In Con: 5th Augt 1850
In Con: 2d Sept 10: 1850.

(22)

From the Secretary to Government.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 3d September, 1850 Para 1.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Revd enter 19th August 1850 No 354)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in

Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expence of the Suit brought against the Collector of Trichinopoly and others by one Lutchooma Emien on account of a piece of land sold for arrears of Revenue [illegible] but will determine when a Copy of the decree is submitted whether the Costs if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.

2 The Governor in Council is of opinion that the mistake which occurred in regard to the Endorsement passed upon Plaintiffs Petition should be explained in the Answer as the matter is alluded to in the Plaint.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon.

(22-23)

In Con: 8th August 1850
In Con: 19th Do Do

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to his letters dated 30th July and 14th August 1850.—

2. Mr. Maltby's attention is called to para 2 of the above Minutes of Consultation.

Read the following letter.

To W. A. Bayley. Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(24)

From the Collector of Ganjam — submitting suggestions

Sir,

With reference to the orders of the

suggestions and a plan regarding the modification of the boundaries of the Military Cantonment at Berhampore.—

the Board dated 8th July 1850 beg to state that the Cantonment of Berhampore is the only one in the District of Ganjam of which the boundaries seem to require modification.—

The boundaries of the Cantonment in question were originally laid down in a plan which accompanied Mr. Chief Secretary Hill's letter of the 20th July 1822. Besides the Military buildings, Parade ground, Sepoys' lines and a portion of the space occupied by the Bungalows for the most the private property of natives in which the Officers dwell. these boundaries include a quantity of Cumum and Circar land and a large Village belonging to the Zemindary of Mohary.

The outer line of the accompanying sketch exhibits the boundary as laid down in the plan which accompanied Mr. Hill's letter above alluded to. I beg to propose that all the land which is described as Cumum and generally as cultivable and assessed, together with the Village

Village of Bharpoor be excluded from the Cantonment. that portion which being occupied by Officers dwelling houses has hitherto been included in the Cantonment may or may not, as Government think fit to direct, be included (a portion occupied by houses appropriated to the use of Officers never has been included) the Military buildings, Parade Ground, Sepoys lines and a Military Bazar, will of course be excluded.—

I take the liberty of offering a few remarks on the subject of Military Cantonments by the experience I gained in the exercise of the functions of Magistrate at one of our largest Military Stations. Trichinopoly. during about 10 years.—

At Trichinopoly the Police authority which was vested in the Chief Commissariat Officer under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1832 was considered to be confined under a strict application

application of the Regulation, to the limits which were fixed by order of Government plans whereof were deposited with the Magistrate of the district. These limits consisted originally of a street termed the General Bazar, and a space of ground including a Bazar in the vicinity of the European Barracks was latterly added at my recommendation. The Regimental lines, Bazars and parade grounds were also for obvious reasons considered as being strictly within Military limits, tho' they were not included in the express Order of Government. The exercise of Police powers beyond these limits by the Military authorities was permitted as a matter of courtesy and convenience as far as regards the issue of Summonses upon persons connected with the Army and care was taken by the Civil authorities not to serve processes on persons of that description without the Endorsement of the Officer of Police residing beyond the strictly Military limits whenever the removal of a nuisance was required on the part of the Military authorities beyond those limits application was made to the Magistrate

Occasional

Occasional misunderstandings arose upon the relief of the Officer Commanding or the Commissariat Officer from confounding the Military Abkary limits which embrace a Circuit of 4 Miles - but a reference to the records soon set matters right.—

Within such limits as those above described there was little room for complaint against the Military Police for interfering with private property, for the parties who took up their residence within them did so under the full knowledge that they would only be allowed to continue there on condition of strict obedience to Military Rules. But the case is far otherwise in so extensive a space as that which is included in the Bhampore Cantonments where tho' as it is not a "Military Bazar station" there is no Military Police, a system of interference has lately been introduced which is not only felt as irksome and vexatious by the non Military portion of the inhabitants but has called for my interposition to prevent loss and inconvenience to the interests of Government, the Commanding Officer conceiving himself called upon apparently

apparently to give an active operation to the G. O. G. dated 17th April 1829.—

I know not how far it may be consistent with the wishes of Government to include the private dwelling houses of Officers within the limits of Cantonments for being private property they cannot be considered Military buildings; they have in some instances been subject to the Rules in force for regulating the rent to be paid by Officers and it may not be considered desirable by excluding them from Military limits to place them beyond the pale of the rules in question, perhaps however the measure would not affect this question for at Ryselleondah where the rules are in force no limits have been prescribed—

I would suggest then respectfully that the limits of the Military cantonment at Berhampore should be confined to the Military Buildings the Parade ground the Sepoys lines and the Military Bazar the Roads too which intersect the cantonment may continue under the management of the Military Authorities upon the understanding

understanding that any complaint of the Native inhabitants connected with them be immediately referred to the Agent and further that any powers the Officer Commanding may possess should, beyond the limits in question, be restricted to the persons of those subject to his authority. it being of course the duty of the Agent in his capacity of Magistrate to attend to any requisition that may be made for the removal of nuisances &c.—

At Ryselleondah no limits have ever been laid down for the Cantonment, but the same rules perhaps may be applied to that Station.—

I request that the Sketch plan which accompanies this may be returned It was prepared under the permission of Lieutenant Saxton assistant Surveyor General and I have no copy.—

Ganjam District, ...
Coll.r and Govt. Agents Office
Chittoor, 18th August, 1830.

Signed A. C. Onslow
Agent

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

(24-25)

Read the following

Statement.—

Statement

12477 Fort St. George.

26 # Statement of Extra Establishment

Vizagapatam in July. 1759.

Estimate of July 1259.

Number		Servants.	Rate of Pay.	Amount.	Period of employment.	Charges estimated.		Actual charges of July 1259	Comparison between Cols 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Cols 6 and 7. Decrease	Former Sanction of Government for entertaining the Servants	Remarks
1		2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11
			Rupees	Rupees	Months							
	Masooldars	8	3	24	3	72	"	173 12 "	19 4 "	" " "	15th April 1850	It was found necessary to employ the additional Servants some time longer than the period estimated for and hence this increase.
	Do.	11	2½	27½	3	82	8					
	Total Land Revenue	"	"	"	"	154	8	539 7 "	" " "	398 1 "		
1	Peons	75	2½	187½	5	937	8					
2	Doorgapooloo	4	2	8	12	96	"	206 1 8	" " "	57 14 4	15th April 1850	This decrease has arisen from the relinquishment of the manufacture of Salt at the Nellemoor Cotar this July.
	Do.	14	2	28	6	168	"					
	Total	"	"	"	"	1701	8	745 8 8	" " "	455 15 4		
	Grand Total	"	"	"	"	1350	"	919 4 8	19 4 "	455 15 4		

Vizagapatam, ... Collectors Cutcherry, ... 20th August, 1850. ...

/Signed/ A. S. Mathuson
Officiating Collector.

&c. &c. &c.

Estimate

DG

12478 Fort St George

(27) Estimate of Extra Establishment

Zillah of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1260

No.		Estimated for Fusly 1260					Actual charges of Fusly 1259	Comparison between cols 6 and 7		Date of former correspondence regarding this charge	Remarks
		No. of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount	Period of Employment	Estimated Charges		Increase	Decrease		
1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Mucoddams	8	3	24	3	72 " "	173 12 "	28 4 "	" " "	25th September 1838	The Estimate is framed to meet the probable exigencies of the service this Fusly
	Do.	20	2½	50	3	150 " "					
	Total Land Revenue	"	"	"	"	222 " "					
	Salt Revenue										
1	Peons	75	2½	187½	5	937 8 "	539 7 "	398 1 "	" " "	16th June 1826	The increase is owing to a quantity of Salt Virg. Gs 275 Estimated to be manufactured at the Nellemookoo Costar, where none was manufactured last Fusly
		4	2	8	12	96 " "	206 18	57 12 4	" " "		
2	Doorgapoloo	14	2	28	6	168 " "	745 8 8	455 15 4	" " "		
	Total	"	"	"	"	1201 8 "	919 4 8	504 3 4	" " "		
	Grand Total	"	"	"	"	1423 8 "					

Vizagapatam
Collr's Cutcherry
20th August 1850

C. C. Pr.

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements

5th September 1850

proposed to be employed in this

/Signed/ A. S. Mathison
Offg Collector

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following Statement

Statement

(26-28)

12479 Fort St. Geo

(29) Statement Shewing the wastage of the Gillah of Vizagapatam

No	Makams	Fusliee	Quantity Stored: Of what Fusly	Total	Quantity Sold	Wastage: Quantity	Wastage: Per centage	Quantity of wastage fixed by the Collector to each Cotar as per Para 18 of Salt Rules	Remarks
			Gs mds ms a	Gs mds ms a	Gs mds ms a	Gs mds ms a		Gs mds ms a	
1	Carafsah	Fusly 1257	40 " " "	40 " " "	30 3 4 "	3 96 4 "	8 11 2 "	" " " "	The Salt of this Cotar is of very inferior quality and this quantity having been long in Store this wastage occurred. Some Salt heaps in this Cotar were much damaged by the Storm and heavy rain which occurred in October 1849 Fusly 1259. This wastage was verified by a remeasurement of one heap by the Assistant Collector and of the other heaps by Mr. Deputy Sheristadar &c.
						27 185 4 "	11 12 6 7/8	" " " "	
						" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	
2	Nellimoodah	" 1257	248 377 "	248 377 "	221 191 4 "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	
3	Conadah	" 1257	35 187 3 "			8 222 " "	6 193 " 6/8	" " " "	Some heaps of this Cotar were also damaged by the Storm of October 1849.
		" 1258	95 128 6 "			8 2166 "	3 58 1 4/8	" " " "	
		Smuggled Salt	1 70 " "						
				131 386 1 "	123 164 1 "				
4	Coopilly	Fusly 1258	273 376 5 "						
				273 376 5 "	265 129 7 "				
				694 339 6 "	646 89 " "	28 250 6 "	" " " "	" " " "	

Vizagapatam
Collector's Office
27th August 1850

5th September 1850

Salt found on the heaps sold in 1259 Fusly in

(signed) A. S. Mathison
Offg Collector

Ordered

12480 Fort St George 5th September 1850

29-30

Order thereon Ordered to lie over.
Read the following letter-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

31

From the Collr of Rajahmundry Sir,

With reference to the Board's Circular letter of the 21st November 1836, I have the honor to submit a Statement A shewing the storing, sale and the wastage of Salt for the past fusly 1259 / A.D. 1849/50 and I request that sanction may be obtained to write off 1909 Mds as wasted.

Rajahmundry District
Rajahmundry — / Signed J. Prendergast
27th August 1850 — Collector

Statement A

12481 Fort St George 5th September 1850

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold, and the wastage on the Quantity stored in the District of Rajahmundry for fusly 1259 or from 12th July 1849 to 11th July 1850

Names of the Cotaurs or Depots	Fusly in which stored.	Quantity Stored			Quantity Sold.	Amount of Cantage	Per Centage of wastage for each Cotaur fixed by the Collector as per Para 18 of Salt Rules	Explanation of the Causes of wastage
	In each Fusly	Total						
1	2	3			5	6	7	8
Pettasport Cotaurs								
Manufactured Salt	1257	12,030						
Salt	1258	194,999						
Surplus		21,610			2,00,113	1139	22½ at 5 per Cent	Sea Breeze/remarks
Mogultoor Cotaurs								
Manufactured Salt	1257	14,890						
Surplus	1258	66,590						
		72,162			52,732	"	"	"
		288,271			252,844	"	"	"
For Warre Cantonments					179,979	"	"	"
For Inland Do.					18,065	"	"	"
To the Board's Chief Gumastah					1,800	"	"	"
For Exportation by Sea to Calcutta					6,0000	"	"	"
					252,844	"		

Fort Warre Cantonments 27th August 1850 / Signed J. Prendergast
For Inland Do. Collector
To the Board's Chief Gumastah
For Exportation by Sea to Calcutta

Rajahmundry District, Rajahmundry, 27th August 1850

Ordered.

12482 Fort St George 5th September 1850.

Order thereon.

31-32

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

33

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter dated 6th April 1848 accompanied by a set of Rules for the encouragement of the planting of Topes and Trees, and directing that I should report in how many instances advantage has been taken of the privilege offered by those rules, I have the honor to state that the rules in question have been duly published throughout the District, but no applications have been received from any individual wishing to take advantage of the rules.

2. The Rules do not seem to me to require modification or extension, and it has not been brought to my notice that either difficulty or hardship is anticipated in taking advantage of the terms therein held out.

Masulipatam Coll.r Cutcherry
28th August 1850.

/Signed/ R.S. Porter
Coll.r

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

33-34

Read

12483 Fort St George 5th September 1850.

Read the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esq.re

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

35

From the Coll.r of Bellary

Sir,

Stating his opinion that an alteration in the present limits of the Military Cantonment in his District might be very advantageously made.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter dated 6th July 1850, enclosing a copy of paras 6 and 7 of an Contract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th March 1849.

2. In reference to para 6, the Board of Revenue desire to be informed whether "I consider it advisable to alter "the limits of the Military Cantonments "in this District, with a view to carrying "out the intentions of Government."

3 In reply, I have the honor to state, that there is but one Military Cantonment in this District, situated at Bellary, the limits of which are described in the accompanying Sketch. The distance from the Eastern to the Western limits

+ Enclosure No 1.

is

+ Eastward of the Fort:

	Acres	Acres
Mellor Pett	85	
Bruce Pett &c &c	807	
		892
Tank	323	
Total Civil	1215	
Fort	158	
Westward of Fort including Cowle Bazar Barracks &c	3015	
Total purely Military	3,173	
Total acres	4,388	

is 4 miles the Military Cantonment embraces an area of 4,388 acres according to the Survey accounts.

4. Part of this Vizt, from the East wall of the Fort, and thence Westward, is almost entirely occupied by Military lines, buildings Parade Grounds, Military Bazars &c. and may be classed as purely Military, and strictly speaking is all that is really the Military Cantonment.

5 Eastward of the Fort comprises the Bruce Pettah, Civil Courts, Collector's Cutcherry, Civil Houses, and the Houses now occupied by the General Staff of the Division, but a Military Officer belonging exclusively to the Garrison seldom resides on the East side of the Fort. The Bruce Pettah (which is very distant from the Military Lines and Bazars) is entirely occupied by Civil Inhabitants

as distinguished from Military men and camp followers - and I am not aware that there is a single Military Resident in this Pettah. The Sadook Cutcherry is also in this Pettah, and there are Merchants and tradesmen there are entirely Civil who pay taxes of Moturpha to an amount not less than + 7,600 Rupees annually.

+ Ensly 1259 in Enclosure No 2 Moturpha 7,617 ar

5. Lately, since the erection of the new European Barracks on the extreme West of the Cantonment, almost all Military Parades &c are conducted on that side of the Fort. The esplanade on the East side is occasionally used by the artillery, but not always or necessarily so. The artillery Butts which were formerly on the East side, have also been removed to the West side.

The Cowle Bazar is chiefly

7. occupied by Military Bazar Followers. The other large Bazar, in the Bruce Pett, being (as before noticed) occupied by Bazarmen and Merchants in no way subject to Military Control. The Revenue of Moturpha derived from Tradesmen &c in the Cowle Bazar is only + Rupees 88.

+ See Enclosure No 2.

From

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

8. From the above observations it will at once be seen, that there is a marked distinction between the Civil and Military limits in the Military Cantonment at Bellary.

9. And in my opinion this distinction should be made complete, by restricting all interference in all matters Judicial and Police on the part of the Military to the bonafide Military limits which is quite practicable.

10 I would propose that the present limits of the Military Cantonment should be "as defined "as to include only those Buildings "Barracks Bagars and Police Esta- "blishments" as well as Parade Grounds "which are purely Military" This is the object the Government have in view and it can be effected without any difficulty and with great convenience to the Civil Department at least, and I should say be not less convenient to the Military

11. The proposed limits I have defined by a yellow dotted line in the accompanying sketch† which I will

† See encls. No 2.

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

will be seen includes in the Military Canton= =ment, the Fort, and all Military works, while it excludes the Bruce Petta, and all Civil Buildings.

See Enclosure No 1.

12. The House Marked * No 15 has been generally (I may say always) occupied by the Commissariat Officer, and is convenient only to the Bruce Petta for Police business, But as almost every offender apprehended in that Bazar, is a Civil and not Military inhabitant, he has generally to be forwarded to the Civil authority but by the proposed measure the Bruce Petta would be transferred to Civil Police control and the removal of the Military Police Office to a more central spot in the Military Cantonment, leaving Bruce Petta entirely to the Civil Police autho= =rities, would be attended with great advan= =tage in the despatch of business.

13 I am decidedly of opinion, that this arrangement, should be carried into effect, and Rules should, at the same time be made for keeping the duties of the Military in the Cantonment quite distinct, and independent in every point, except in matters purely of Revenue of the Collector & Magistrate all

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

all offences committed in the Military Cantonment should be at once, if Petty, punished by the Military Police Officers, under defined laws, and offenders should not be forwarded to the Magistracy because they appear to be non Military Men.* In like manner cases, liable to severer punishment than the law may authorize the Military Police officer to inflict, should be at once sent on to the Subordinate Criminal Court, in all cases as is now done in some cases only.—

* Note Should it be thought expedient to make a distinction in awarding punishment to Military Followers &c.a and non Military Persons this could be provided for—

14. The Military authorities should as far as possible, be made independant of the Magistrate, and vice versa, Parties in any wise aggrieved, should be permitted to find their remedy by appeals to the Civil and Session Courts or to higher authority.—

15. To the foregoing Propositions, I do not think any insurmountable objections can be raised. But possibly the Military authorities will have some objections to bring forward, upon which when I am required so to do, I shall be happy to submit

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

submit my opinion.—

16. In reply to the latter part of the Board's orders in which they desire to know "whether in my opinion the rules "and orders now in force on the subject "of Quit Rent and Grants for Houses "in Military Cantonments require any "further modification so as to prevent "any inconveniences that may now be "experienced" I have only to observe, that I see no necessity for any reference whatever to the Collector respecting such grants in the Military Cantonment at Bellary, as I have proposed to limit it, and therefore para 9, as also the condition III of same para, and Para 12, of the General orders by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council dated 17th April 1849, published in the Gazette 1849 page 439, might be modified.—

Circular 8th July 1844.

17 In making over the Cantonment to the Military authorities, a Statement containing all the lands (and if necessary a map of fields &c.a) might be sent to the Officer for record, and from this document without any reference to the Collector, the Quarter Master General should make such allotments

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

allotments as are required.——

18 But the land in the Canton=
=ment as now proposed to be defined,
is not cultivated except as Gardens
to houses, and one fixed rate for a
limitted number of square feet
might more conveniently be assessed
as the Quit Rent in future. This would be
a simple mode and would further prevent
the necessity of any reference to the Collector.
The Quit Rent at present leviable on
Houses within the purely Military limits,
as above defined, is 388 Rupees which might
be equally adjusted with reference to the
number of square feet occupied by each House &c.

19. The amount of Enam or
Private land within the proposed limits is
also very small, 126 acres assessed at 38 Rupees
and that not cultivated. The owners might have
other land given for it, or a compensation made
them in some other mode, and thus the Military
Cantonment would be entirely the property of
Government and exclusively under Military
Control, and many petty inconveniences and
misunderstanding between the Military and Civil
Authorities would be prevented in future.—

20 A Statement of the Land, Circar and

See Enclosure No 2

Do— Do

Enclosure No 2.

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

and Enam, cultivated and uncultivated,
as contained in the present limits of the
Cantonment, is herewith sent. In Para 3
of this letter, an abstract of the Civil
and Military Portions is given in the

Margin.—

21. The only interference on the part
of the Collector that would still be necessary
would be the Collection of the Revenue—
This at present is confined almost exclusively
=clusively to Quit Rent in that Portion of
the Cantonment which alone, I propose,
should in future form the Military limits.—

The Quit Rent is— 388 Rupees
Moturpha is— 88— " —

Total Revenue 476— " —

The Quit Rent on Houses which are for
the most part occupied by the Military
might very properly be collected by the Quarter
Master General of the Division or other officer,
and the amount transmitted to the Collector.—
The Moturpha and other Revenue would have
to be collected by the Collector.——

Bellary, ——— } /Signed/ C. Pelly Collector.
Collector's Office, }
26th August, 1850 }

No 1. Plan— Particulars—

tte to Board of Revenue Dated 28th August 1850.—

Enam Land										Total Land	
Total		Lag for Fasly 1259		Bunjer		Total					
Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm		
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		
	CRs		CRs		CRs		CRs		CRs	CRs	
79	70	6	6	"	"	6	6	85	76		
762	1,341	15	114	30	73	45	187	807	1528		1,604
158	55	"	"	"	"	"	"	158	55	55	
315	508	"	"	8	14	8	14	323	522		2,162
2,889	2,602	"	"	126	38	126	38	3,015	2,640		
4,203	4,576	21	120	164	125	185	245	4,388	4,821		

12490

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

allotments as are required.—

18 But the land in the Cantonment as now proposed to be defined, is not cultivated except as Gardens to houses, and one fixed rate for a limitted number of square feet might more conveniently be assessed as the Quit Rent in future. This would be a simple mode and would further prevent the necessity of any reference to the Collector. The Quit Rent at present leviable on Houses within the purely Military limits, as above defined, is 388 Rupees which might be equally adjusted with reference to the number of square feet occupied by each House &c.

See Enclosure No 2.

19. The amount of Enam or Private land within the proposed limits is also very small, 126 acres assessed at 38 Rupees and that not cultivated. The owners might have other land given for it, or a compensation made them in some other mode, and thus the Military Cantonment would be entirely the property of Government and exclusively under Military Control, and many petty inconveniences and misunderstanding between the Military and Civil authorities would be prevented in future.—

Do — Do

Enclosure No 2. 20 A Statement of the Land, Circar and

12492

No 2. Particulars of Land in the Cantonment

Divisions of the Cantonment—	Land under Cultivation for Fusly 1259							Land
	Iyen Pattah			Quit Rent				Lo
	Acres	Farm	Cawdies	Acres	Farm	Cawdies	Acres	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
		CRS	CRS		CRS	CRS		
Mellor Pett	17	12	12	"	"	"	17	12
Bruce Pett and other parts East of Fort	17	87	58	126	125	140	143	21
Land within the Fort	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Land occupied by Carekerah Tank	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Land occupied by Barracks Cowle Bazar &c. &c.	"	"	"	308	400	347	308	40
Total	34	99	70	434	525	487	468	6

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

...t of Bellary Fusly 1259 A. D. 1850 to accompany Letter to Board of Revenue dated 28th August 1850.—

	Circar Land												Enam Land										Total Land	
	assessed						Porum poke or Land not assessed at survey.																	
			occupied by Village		Total		Quit Rent fixed on land which was not originally assessed			Land not assessed at all		Total	Total		Sagfor Fusly 1259		Banjer		Total					
	Total		Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Pagodas	Acres	Turm		Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm	Acres	Turm
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		
	Rs	CRs		CRs		CRs		CRs	CRs			CRs		CRs		CRs		CRs		CRs		CRs	CRs	
	12		62	58	79	70	"	"	"	"	"	"	79	70	6	6	"	"	6	6	85	76		
	198		523	1,129	666	1,341	"	"	"				762	1,341	15	114	30	73	45	187	807	1528		
										96	96	"												
	"		"	"	"	"	"	22	55	41	136	158	55	158	55	"	"	"	"	"	"	158	55	55	
			268	508	268	508	"	"	"				315	508	"	"	8	14	8	14	323	522		
										47	47	"											3162	
	0	347	2,542	2,202	2,850	2,602	"	"	"	39	39	"	2,889	2,602	"	"	126	38	126	38	3015	2,640		
	4	557	3,395	3,897	3,863	4,521	22	55	41	318	340	55	4,203	4,576	21	120	164	125	185	245	4,388	4,821		

12493 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

Memorandum of Revenue Collected in the Cantonment

Divisions East and West of Cantonment	Land Revenue: Spec. Land Revenue	Land Revenue: Ist Rent	Land Revenue: Total	Moturpha: Peishcushy	Moturpha: Bughire	Moturpha: Peishcushy	Moturpha: Total	Sundry Sources	Total Revenue Fusly 1259
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
Mellor and Brucepett & all East of Fort	70	140	210	4,089	3,528	7,617	13		7,840
Fort, Cowle Bazar, and West of Fort	"	388	388	"	88	88	"		476
Total	70	528	598	4,089	3,616	7,705	13		8,316

This is the Rent of the Plantation opposite to the Hoozoor Cutcherry.

Bellary Collectors' Office 26th August 1850. } E. E. Per /Signed/ C. Pelly Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

35-36

J.G.R.

12494 Fort St. George 5 September 1850

Read the following letters.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

37

From the Assist. Agent to the Governor in Charge of Kurnool

Sir,

With reference to your Board's Circular dated 5th April 1848 I have the honor to inform you that copies in Telugoo of those Rules were according to the orders of the Board fixed up in each talook Cutcherry, and every endeavour has been made to give them publicity, but I regret to say that in consequence of the poverty and want of enterprize of the people of this District a very few Cowles have as yet been applied for.

2. I have personally communicated with most of the people in different parts of the District whom I thought likely to avail themselves of these rules, but with as yet little success. I am in hopes however that they will gradually become aware of the advantages to be derived by planting topes on such liberal terms and the start made this year in the Dhone and Nundicotcoor talooks, will I have no doubt, should next year be a favorable season, induce a great number to apply for Cowles.

Kurnool Agent's Office 27th August 1850 } /Signed/ J. G. Russell Assist. Agent to the Govt. in Charge

Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon.

37-38

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Assist. Agent to the Governor in charge of Kurnool

Sir,

39

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the Government Agency to A. D. Lushington Esqre whose receipt for the amount now in the Treasury I beg to enclose.

Kurnool Agent's Office 28th August 1850 } /Signed/ J. G. Russell Assist. Agent to the Governor In charge

Received.

12495

Fort St George 5th September 1850.

A

Received from Lieutenant J.G. Russell Assistant Commissioner in charge, the sum of Company's Rupees Three lacs fifty eight thousand seven hundred and thirty, annas two and Pice five as follows.

Species	Description of Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of conversion Wt. of Pieces of Coins	Amount	Total of current Coins	Total of uncurrent Coins	Grand Total
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835	1,71,500	100	1,71,500			
	D^o Halves of D^o	294	50	147			
	D^o Quarters of D^o	842	25	210 8			
	D^o Rupees of 1840	1,64,783	100	1,64,783			
	D^o Halves of D^o	61	50	30 8			
	D^o Quarters of D^o	438	25	109 8			
	D^o Double annas of D^o	27,107	12 8	3388 5			
					340168 14		
	Bombay Rupees	2,357	100	2357			
	D^o Halves	655	50	327 8			
	D^o Quarters	487	25	121 12			
	Old Company's Rupees	8,721	100	8,721			
	D^o Halves	2,580	50	1,290			
	D^o Quarters	1,779	25	444 12			
	D^o Double annas	5,649	12 8	7062			
	D^o Single D^o	2,746	6 4	171 10			14,133 12
						Total Silver Coin	3,54,302 10
Copper	New Half annas	71,116	3 2	2,222 6			
	D^o Quarter D^o	91,058	1 9	14,22 12 5			
	D^o Single Pie Pieces	88,002	84	458 5 5			4,103 8
	Old Half annas	1092	3 2	34 2			
	D^o Quarter D^o	5092	1 9	79 9			
	D^o Single Pie Pieces	1,186	84	5 10 6			
	D^o 4 D^o	1954	2 14	40 11 4			
	D^o 2 D^o	957	1 8	9 15 6			
	D^o 40 Cash D^o	21	4 28	14			
	D^o 20 D^o	4749	2 14	98 15			
	D^o 10 D^o	5176	1 8	53 14 8			
	D^o 5 D^o	53	84	45			324 5
						Total Copper Coins	4,427 8 5
						Total Company's Rupees	358,730 2 5

Kurnool Territory Commissioner's Office 28th August 1850 / Signed / J.D. Lushington
Commr

To

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

(40)

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool

I have the honor to report that I have this day received charge of the Government Agency from Lieutenant J.G. Russell Assistant Agent.

Kurnool, Agents Office 28th August 1850

/Signed/ J.D. Lushington
Agent to the Governor

Order on the two foregoing letters.

(39-41)

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Read the following letters.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(42)

From the Collr of Chinglepute

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board that Chevylimadoo Moottiah Moodally has not only failed to pay one single Rupee of the rent + issued on the garden in his profession at Chevylimadoo for the past year, but on being sent for and warned that it would

From Board 9th Octr 1848
To Dr 29th Novr Dr
From Dr 11th Jany 1849

+ Rs 99-1-5

would in the event of his continuing to withhold payment, be necessary to resume the garden, and let it out to others, stated that he neither could or would pay any thing. I request therefore orders for the resumption of the garden or to put it up to sale for the arrears burdened with the survey assessment.

Chinglepute District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 23rd August 1850

/Signed/ A Freese
Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(42-43)

Moottiah Moodelly having failed to pay the quit rent on his garden at Chevylimadoo as stipulated for in the original grant and in accordance with the orders of Government under date of 23rd December 1848, the Board authorize the sale of the property for the arrears burdened with the survey assessment as suggested by the Collector.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(44)

From the Collr of Chinglepute

Sir

Para 1. Referring to your Circular letter of the 13th June, I have the honor to forward a detailed Statement and an

Submitting the information called for in the Board's letter of the 13th June 1850 regarding

regarding the average cost and quantity of each article of Sunderwared charges for fushies 1257-1258-& 1259- and that proposed to be incurred in fushy 1260 amounting to Rs 3729-6-1-

Fort St George 5th September 1850

an abstract shewing the average cost and quantity of each article included in the Sunderwared charges expended during fushies 1257-58- and 59 in the Hoozoor and Saloor Cutcherries, from which you will observe that in the Hoozoor Cutcherry the sunder-wared charges in the three fushies on account of the Land Revenue, Coctra Sources, and the Magisterial Departments amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 5/48-15-6 being Rs 2-0-6 below the fixed amount sanctioned on the 17th January 1842.

2. No separate accounts of the expendi-ture in the Magisterial Department have been kept, and I am therefore unable to submit distinct details of the disbursements in that department, as a general Estimate however they may be calculated at forming 1/5th of the entire amount Rupees 130 were also sanctioned at the time above mentioned on account of the Customs Department, but since the abolition of the Sayer duties, no expenditure under that head has occurred.

3. The amount sanctioned on the 1st April 1840 on account of the Sunderwared charges in the Saloor Rs amounted exclusive of Customs to Rs 1914 per annum, and this is the amount entered in the Saloor accounts as

Fort St George 5th September 1850

as the expenditure - It is however necessary to explain, as the cause of the apparent expenditure and sanction corresponding so exactly, that it is hardly possible even with the strictest economy to con-fine the Saloor expenditure within the estimated amount, and that the accounts have been reduced to the present amount by the striking off from them monthly all excess of charges.

4 The Sea Customs department in this District has always been of minor importance, and no fixed Sun-derwared charges on account of that branch of Revenue have been sanctioned or applied for, any small expenditure necessary has been included in the general charges of the District, and the late changes in the law do not call for a revision in the practice pursued.

5 In framing the estimate of the charges likely to be incurred hereafter, it is impossible to propose any material reduction either in the Hoozoor or Saloor expenditure, owing to the great increase since the date of the former sanction in the Cultivation and Jummabundy accounts

to

to be prepared, consequent on the introduction of Ryotwar settlements in the numerous Zemindary and Shotriem Villages that have reverted to Government. The amount that I now suggest should receive the sanction of Government is Rupees 5,729-6-1, being Rupees 1,815-6-1 for the Hoozoor and Rupees 3914-- for the Talook Sanderwared.

Chunglepute District --
Collector's Cutcherry --- } (Signed) [illegible]
Covelong 30th August 1850 -- } Collector

Order thereon.

(44-45)

Ordered to lie over.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(46)

From the Collr of Chunglepute

Sir,

Submits his remarks on the proposed revision of salt rules as called for in the Board's Proceedings dated 15th April.

Para 1 Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th April, I have the honor to submit such remarks as have occurred to me on an attentive perusal of the proposed revision of the Salt Rules.

2. The Rules do not greatly differ from the those now in force in this District, and appear generally well adapted to the intended objects, in

in some few instances however, they appear to call for remarks, and I beg therefore to refer the Board to the subjoined paper being notes on the Rules enumerated in the margin.

1,2,7,9,18,20&22

3 It is difficult to offer any precise information on the subject of wastage in Storing Salt, and as to the degree to which it may be affected by time of Standing, as much must depend on the description of the soil on which the Salt has been made, and the nature of the Season during the period of manufacture, as well as at the period when the article is being stored. In some places consequent on the nature of the soil at the Pans the Salt is formed into larger cristals than at neighbouring Pans, and consequently is less likely to be affected by damp weather, or on removal when stored - Salt too made in a very hot season, and stored perfectly dry is less likely to be affected by a succession of damp weather than the same articles made in a less favorable season for the manufacture by solar evaporation - Ice, it is found, does not dissolve equally under an equal degree of heat, but that formed

Fort St George 5th September 1850

formed during a more intense degree of frost, probably through becoming a more compact body requiring a greater degree of heat to dissolve it, in like manner is salt affected by the state of the atmosphere. The probable average wastage of Salt in storing may be considered at 1½ per Cent or 50 Mercals in ten Garce, that is if a Heap of 10 Garce be measured and stored one day, and remeasured the next, the decrease would be about 50 Mercals, arising chiefly from the breakage of the Crystals and digging and treading of the men employed and I should estimate the wastage by the Heap standing for 6 months at a similar quantity or together 100 Mercalls or 2½ per Cent. The probable wastage in a Heap that has stood during one Monsoon I reckon at about 150 Mercalls, or with wastage in storing 5 per Cent. The probable loss on Heaps that have stood two or three monsoons will probably average from 1 to 8 per Cent according as the thatching has been affected by the Monsoons. These estimates have reference however to ordinary seasons, for it is quite impossible to estimate for the effect of storms or extraordinary occurrences. I conceive the proportionate

Fort St George 5th September 1850

proportionate wastage in heaps of 20 Garces may be slightly below that in heaps of 10 Garces from the proportionate surface exposed being smaller, but except where the demand is so great and constant as at the Madras Cotaur, I consider the heap of 10 Garces to be most convenient, not only for examinations, but because a heap of the larger size must be kept open and exposed for some time in disposing of it, the demand not be so great. Whilst on the subject of wastage, I would beg to mention that I have for some time been impressed with the belief that the construction of tiled Godowns for the storing of Salt would be both advisable and convenient, as tending to prevent theft and smuggling and economical as materially lessening the risks of loss and wastage, by which and by the saving of the annual cost of thatching the cost of the Godowns would, I conceive, be recovered within 4 years. I have however given some memoranda on the subject to the Civil Engineer, who has kindly promised to furnish me with Plans and Estimates for the purpose, when, should the result be what I anticipate I will address the Board on the subject.

In regard to Rowanahs, the

4

general

general introduction of the system of returning them to the office where they were issued would be a check on the Salt Superintendents, but it would require the orders of the Board to all Collectors, and which they before declined on a suggestion from me to issue leaving it to me, however, to make such arrangements as I could, by which I have been enabled to obtain the return of some and which to a certain degree enables me to have the sale accounts checked. Probably the most effectual check on smuggling Salt into the interior would be to appoint a sufficient number of stations inland at about 30 miles from the Pans, and that all parties conveying Salt into the inland Provinces should change the Rowanahs received at the Pans at those places for others of a different form, and that the failure to do this should vitiate the original Rowanahs if these posts were sufficiently near, no great detention or loss of time need occur, and ignorance of the rule could not be pleaded were a note to the effect be entered in the original Rowanah. I do not know any other checks that would be effectual, nor do I think that the

the opening of additional columns in the present Rowanahs would have any material effect.

Chunglepet District,
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney, 3rd September 1850

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector.

Memoranda on proposed Salt Rules.

Rule No 1. It is not usual to give advances for the manufacture of Salt in this District except in particular cases, such as the clearing or excavating of a Canal, to facilitate the conveyance of the Salt to the depôt where it is to be stored, and the advances are then recovered from the Goodevarum.

No 2. These precautions where they can be enforced strictly appear well calculated to check smuggling during the period of manufacture, but I fear it will be found impracticable to carry them out fully, the extent of the Pans in some places with the small establishments and the enormous expense of constructing boundary ditches and hedges round the Pans, are the great obstacles to doing so.

No 7 This Rules if enforced will give much extra trouble, and will also be assigned as a cause of wastage of 2 or 3 per Cent.

Cent, for it has been found by experience that there is a loss from 1 to 3 per Cent every time salt is removed. If Salt is to be measured in one particular spot of the plat form, and then removed from thence to the spot where it is to be stored, besides this loss the manufacturers will complain kindly of the additional labor. The plan now pursued is for the manufacture to bring his salt to the platforms, and put down, near the spot where the heap is to be made, and as it is measured the heap is formed.

No 8 Pursued in this District I consider that the space between the lines of Heaps should not be less than two yards, so as to allow

No 9 trenches to be dug for drainage.

No 18 This is I think the lowest quantity that should be sold.

No 20 The latter portion of the provisions of this proposed rule cannot be exactly followed, because the Rawanahs cannot be filled up until it is known on how many carts or on how many head of cattle the consignment

consignment is packed, and the date of the Rowanahs also would be entered on the day the merchant receives the last portion of his supply. The usual mode adopted therefore is for the merchant to deposit a sum of money in the Salt Treasury when the Shroff issues him a Cudjan order for the quantity of Salt corresponding with the money paid, on the presentation of this order, Salt is delivered to the bearer by the Peishkar and his Nemicundlas, and the quantity daily delivered is

12508 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

is entered with a note of the date on the back of it and when the Merchant has received the full quantity he requires his Rowanah is filled up with reference to these entries.

No 22. This Rule would require an entire remodelling of the Moyen Gabitahs, and an increase to the Establishment and I deem it inexpedient to have a divided authority in any Office. It is better to make the head of the Native Establishment the responsible Party.

(46-47)

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

J.G.D.

Read

12509 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

Read the following letter.-

To J. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(48)

From J. D. Bourdillon Esqre Collr of North Arcot

Replies to the Remarks of the Board on the Monthly Returns for July of Asses under Regulation IX of 1822 contained in their Proceedings of the 22d August 1850.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated August 22d, containing the remarks of the Board on my Monthly Returns for July of persons tried and convicted, and of persons convicted and confined under Regulation IX of 1822.-

2. The instructions of the Board respecting the wording of charges entered in the former of these Returns shall be attended to.-

3. With respect to the case of Kistna Reddy in the latter Return, I beg to explain that the Defendant was Mongar of a Village in the Pennurry Talook, and was convicted of cultivating for his own benefit for several years two Cutbady Enams in the Village, the lawful owners of which had left the Village, suppressing the fact of such absence, and entering the parties in the Village Returns as "present"- The amount thus embezzled was Rs a P 73-8-10 being the Government assessment of the land thus cultivated by the Defendant, left the jody which

which had of course been regularly paid, and the sentence was that he should pay this amount, and also fifty Rupees as a fine. -

4. After the sentence, the Defendant pleaded that the other Monigar also had enjoyed a part of the proceeds of the land, and offered proof of the Statement. It was therefore sent for investigation to the Tahsildar, and being found totally unsupported by proof, the original sentence was confirmed in February 1850 The delay from that time to the Day when the Prisoner was placed in confinement arose from the wish to give him every opportunity of paying the Money; but he would not do this, though he is far from being a pauper as the Board appear to infer from the small amount recovered by the sale of the moveable property attached. -

5. Fraud in Cutpady Onams is very general in the Pennursy Talook, and the penalty imposed in this case does not appear to me to have been excessive I think therefore that the Defendant should be allowed to remain in confinement some

some time longer in default of paying it, but I shall of course be prepared to act immediately on any instructions that the Board may send me. -

North Arcot Collectors Crt. Cutcherry Palmanair 30th August 1850 Proceedings thereon, (48-49)

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Collector.

Under the explanation afforded by the Collector the Board consider that it would not be expedient at once to restore Kistna Reddy and the Collector will take the case under consideration at a future opportunity. -

Read the following letters. -

To W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(50)

From the A.g Collector of South Arcot

Submitting a Statement of Revenue due on concealed cultivation discovered in Fuslies 1257/58 and requesting the sanction of the Board for the distribution of Rewards to informers. -

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit statement drawn up in the form prescribed by the Board under date 15th March 1849, exhibiting the amount of land revenue due on concealed cultivation discovered within Fuslies 1257 — 58 / 1847/48 1848/49, and also shewing the rewards payable to the informers through whose

whose instrumentality and agency the fraudulent concealments were brought to light.-

2. The informations were given after the Summa Settlement had been completed and the late Collector directed that a sum equivalent to 25 per Cent of the amount thus brought to the credit of Government should be held in deposit pending a reference to your Board for its ultimate distribution I have therefore to solicit sanction for disbursing the sums entered in Column 13 of the Statement, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 112-10-3, to the several parties to whom it is due for information given, and to which they are entitled under the Circular order issued on the 23d September 1847.-

3. The investigation which brought to light these frauds having been held and terminated prior to the receipt of the Circular of the 27th May last cancelling former orders, and the amounts

of

of revenue in each case, after deduction of reward having been carried already to the credit of Government, I have been induced to make use of the old form of Statement as the best adapted under the circumstances. In all future applications the revised form and instruction shall be strictly attended to.-

South Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore, 13th August 1850

/Signed/ J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Statement

12514 | | Fort St. George

No. 1.

Statement shewing the amount of Rewards due to parties who have given information whereby concealed Cultivation has been detected in South Arcot Submitted for the sanction of the Board of Revenue.-

5th September 1850.

When information of concealed Cultivation was given and whether before or after the Summahbundy	Name of the Talook	Name of the Village	Name of the Informer	When and by whom concealed cultivation was inspected & inspection was made	Extent of concealed Cultivation: Dry	Garden	Wet	Total Land Revenue	Motirpha	Grand Total	Amount collected of Column 11	Amount of reward due to the Informer	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
On the 27th May 1848 after the Summah-bundy of Fasly 1257	Trinamalay	Gengaporam	Govinda Coundery and others	By Assistant Sumastah Venkat Row 16th Octr 1848	285 14 6	A. - - -	54 3 6	348 23 -	14 -	341 - 3	341 - 3	85 4 -	
On the 3rd May 1848 Do Do Do	Do	Cuttampoondy	Seevaramien	By Carkoon Tiagaraja Pillay on the 23rd October 1849	14 6 5	B - - -	5 8 4	19 14 9	- - -	19 14 9	19 14 9	4 15 8	
On the 24th July 1849 after Do of Do 1258	Do	Tullodalum Coonum	Balakistna Reddy	By Peshcar Ramanoojia Moodily 23rd Augt	24 6 5	C - - -	- - -	24 6 5	- - -	24 6 5	24 6 5	6 1 7	
On the 27th June 1848 before Do of Do 1257	Trivandy	Poovarasham-Coopeam	Chinnapilly Coundan	By Soomastah Conary Row 20th July	3 10 1	- - -	6 1 0	6 5 4 1	- - -	6 5 4 1	6 5 4 1	1 6 5 -	
Total					328 5 8	- - -	121 5 0	449 11 6	- 14 -	450 9 6	450 9 6	112 10 3	

A B and C of these sums Rs 72-9-1 are kept up on account of dry lands irrigated with Artfial water.-

South Arcot Cuddalore Collectors Cutcherry 13th August 1850.

/Signed/ J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Tender

12515 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

(50-51)

Proceedings thereon. Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue sanction the payment of Rupees (112-10-3) One hundred and twelve, annas ten and Pice three, being the amount of rewards to informers thro' whose instrumentality, concealed cultivation &c. has been detected in the several instances indi= =cated in the Statement ac= =companying that Communi= =cation. -

The Board observe that future applications should be made in the Form accompanying their Circular of the 27th May 1850, and that the Acting Collector should be guided by the instructions contained in that Circular. -

Read the following Statement

Statement

12515 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

Statement shewing the Actual Charges incurred on account of Additional Establishment employed in Fasly 1259. -

(52)

Estimate for Fasly 1259

Description of Servants	No.	Monthly Pay	Amount	Total Estimated Charges	Actual Charges of Fasly 1259	Date of Sanction	Remarks
						Completion Column 6th	
No. 1 Peter Mountford Collector	1	300	300	3600	1259	Actual	
" of Revenue	1	200	200	2400	"	Estimate	
" of Customs	1	100	100	1200	"	Charges	
" of Salt	1	80	80	960	Increase, Decrease	ment of Establishment has been authorized	
Servants Pay	10						
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Rs As Ps			Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps		
Lands							
Commutation	28	10	280	4 1120	" "	" "	" "
Salt							
Customs	4	5	20	3 60	" "	" "	" "
Peons	14	3	42	3 126	" "	" "	" "
Total	46	"	342	" 1306	" "	" "	" "

Here Commutation were employed for the saving the Com= =mutation Accounts & Salt & Customs under Peons establishment.

Here Servants Pay employed to Peons = =instead the Name = =factor of Salt. -

Received 15th August 1850

Signed J. F. Bruère

Collector.

Estimate

Surveyor-

27th August 1850

12517

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

No. 2.

53 Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishment in Fusly 1260.

Description of Servants	Estimate for Fusly 1260					Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7		Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment was authorized	Remarks
	No. of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Proposed period of Employment	Estimated Charges		Increase	Decrease		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs.	Rs.	Months	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Land.										
Gomastahs	28	10	280	4	1,120 - -	1120 - -	- - -	- - -	1st April 1850	These servants are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under Pycotwar Settlement.
Salt.										
Curnums	4	5	20	3	60 - -	60 - -	- - -	- - -		These servants are required to superintend the Manufacture of Salt.
Peons	12	3	36	3	108 - -	126 - -	18 - -	- - -		
Total	46	-	336	-	1,288 - -	1,306 - -	18 - -	- - -		

Tanjore 27th August 1850.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.

52-54 Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to lie over.

(Rs. again in Cons. 23 Sept. 1850)

12518

From the Collr. of Tanjore
Dated 27th August 1850
Received 5th September 1850
Read the following letter.
To
The Hon. Sir G. Clerk &c &c &c
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

55 Sir,

I have the honor to
from the Collr. of Tanjore
of Tachinology
Tanjore
with reference
to the Board's
Circular of
the 19th August
on the subject
of the Establishment
of 1850 and
of 1850 in
the District.

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of the Establishments for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and the actual Charges of the present Fusly 1259 in conformity to the Circular Order of the Board of Revenue dated 19th August 1850 for the employment of Peons and Curnums on the Salt Farms.

2. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

3. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

4. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

5. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

6. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

7. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

8. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

9. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

10. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

11. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

12. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

13. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

14. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

15. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

16. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

17. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

18. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

19. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

20. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

21. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

22. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

23. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

24. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

25. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

26. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

27. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

28. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

29. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

30. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

31. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

32. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

33. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

34. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

35. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

36. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

37. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

38. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

39. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

40. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

41. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

42. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

43. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

44. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

45. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

46. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

47. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

48. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

49. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

50. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

51. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

52. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

53. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

54. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

55. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

56. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

57. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

58. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

59. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

60. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

61. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

62. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

63. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

64. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

65. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

66. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

67. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

68. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

69. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

70. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

71. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

72. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

73. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

74. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

75. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

76. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

77. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

78. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

79. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

80. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

81. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

82. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

83. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

84. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

85. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

86. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

87. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

88. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

89. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

90. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

91. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

92. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

93. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

94. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

95. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

96. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

97. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

98. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

99. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

100. I beg to state that the Establishments for the Salt Farms are required for the ensuing Fusly 1260 and that the great Majority of the Gomastahs are required for preparing the Summabundy accounts of 8 Talooks under the Pycotwar Settlement.

seemed anxious to enjoy the ad=
=vantages of the act, I expressed
my favorable opinion of their
request and recommended that
the notice of an intention to in=
=troduce the act should be publish
in the prescribed manner.-

3. My support was chiefly
given to the Petitioner in consequence
of the inhabitants seeming
ready and willing to submit
to a house tax for the objects the
had in view. It was not my in=
=tention to propose the restoration
of town Duties and it did not
appear to me that the Comme=
=nity contemplated any such
arrangement when addressing
the Government.-

4. Their petition was found
=ed upon an anxious wish to
increase the safety of the town
by watching the streets and placing
lights

lights at different points in
the principal thorough fares- This
was the chief purpose for which
the introduction of the act was
desired, but it was also contem=
=plated as a subsidiary advantage
to employ the surplus funds which
remained after accomplishing
the main object in cleaning the
streets and making such local
improvements as would add to
the public convenience and health.-

5. If Government allowed
the introduction of the act I
proposed advising the Committee
to commence raising funds by a
light tax on houses not exceeding
perhaps one rupee per annum
for the larger buildings and two
annas for the smaller. To this
taxation I felt confident that
a cheerful assent would be given,
and as unanimity is essential
on the part of the community
to

to render the act successful, I wished to defer any attempt to raise larger funds, until the people experienced practically the advantages of the act and shewed themselves willing to contribute more largely for the benefits of increased security comfort and health. –

6. The District contains no minor towns of sufficient importance for me to recommend the extension of the act to them, at least until encouragement is given by the success of the measure in Trichinopoly itself. In this large Town it appears to me that the act might be introduced under the most favorable circumstances as the readiness of the inhabitants to submit to a house tax removes the fear of irritation being excited by a cess of that description and prevents the necessity of resorting to such a measure as the re-establishment of Town duties. In bringing the act into operation care and conciliation would doubtlessly be required to prevent any ill feeling or ground for complaint but the attention which would be given to this point would, I trust, accomplish its object. –

Trichinopoly - - - - }
Coll.r Office, 30th Aug.t 1850 } /Signed/ C. Maltby
Coll.r

55-56 Order thereon (Rec.d 4 Sept.r Ans.d 23 Sept.r)
Ordered to be sent again in circulation.
Read

Read the following letter

To, W. A. Bayley Esq.r
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

57

Sir,

From the Acting Sub Coll.r in charge of Madura } In order to enable me to submit the Return of cases tried under Regulation IX of 1822 for July last, I have the honor to request whether cases tried under that enactment in a Zemindary under the Court of Wards be embodied in the above Return, and if so, the fines collected carried to the credit of Government

Madura, 23.d August, 1850 } /Signed/ W. E. Cochrane
Acting Sub Collector in charge.

57-58

Proceedings thereon By clause 3 Section XI Regulation V of 1804 the Court of Wards possess authority in cases where Managers of Estates under their management have abused

abused their trust to enforce the penalty of the obligation taken from them, by fining them to the extent of three times the amount of embezzlement or misapplication of property, and by dismissing them from Office; but it is provided that all such amercements shall be carried to the account of the Estate in Trust - By clause 6 of the same Section Subordinate Officers are liable to punishment in the same manner and such Officers would seem to come within the discription given and be liable to trial, under Clause 3, Section II Regulation IX of 1822 and Section II Act XXXVI of 1837, but it is not imperative to try them under these enactments.

The cases adverted to by Mr Cochrane would however seem to have been already tried under Regulation IX of 1822, in which event they should be embodied in the Return, but the fines should not be carried to the credit of Government, but to that of the Estate under management of the Court of Wards - In future, such cases may be summarily disposed of under the Section of Regulation V of 1804 above referred to.

To,
W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

59

From the Agt Sub Collr in Charge of Madura

Forwarding Copy of Plaint and proposed answer with translate in O.S. No 48 of 1850 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to transmit Translation of a Plaint brought against the Collector and others for recovery of a piece of Porumboke land in the Village of Meylore with copy of the Pleading I propose to file in answer.

2. The question involved is the right of the Inhabitants of Villages in which there is no Meerassy to transfer the sites of Houses built on Porumboke land by sale - The Collector made enquiries of those best informed, and had reason to suppose that such right does not exist according to the custom of this District tho' of course such transfers occasionally take place where nothing attracts the attention of the Government Officers to the circumstance.

3. When Mr Blackburne in 1845 caused a portion of the land in question to be included in the Chattrum Compound he verbally desired that a further portion should be

be added when left unoccupied, consequently on the late Possessor quitting it, the Tahsildar directed that it should not be rebuilt upon; and on the Plaintiff running up a hut at night addressed an Arzee to the Collector which produced the order which has led to this Suit. The maintenance of the principle is of more importance than the ground itself. The time limited for filing the answer will expire on the 7th Proximo.

Madura, - - -
29th August, 1850

Signed / W. E. Cochrane
As Sub Coll.r in Charge

No. 1.

Translate of Plaint in O.S. No. 48 of 1850 on the file of the Subordinate Court at Madura.

Plaintiff	Vers	Defendants
Syakkiray Rowten of Cushak Meylore a Merchant aged 25 years.-		1. R. D. Parker Esqr. Collector and Magistrate of Madura 2. Narrain Row Tahsildar & head of Police of the Meylore Talook. 3. Davasikamoni Sylu Kuckdar of the Chuttrum at Meylore 4. Soappa Pillay late of Meylore now residing at Therooathoor in the same Talook.

This is an action for a Decree

establishing

establishing my title to a house ground valued at 20 Rupees in my possession under a Deed of Sale and situated within the undermentioned boundaries. the ground consisting of 2 spots one measuring 48 by 39 English feet and the other measuring 30 by 30 feet, and to a thatched roof raised by me on it valued at Rupees 10, and for prohibition of the interference of the Defendants with the same.

The house ground in Meylore situated west of the backyard of Annajee Row late Tahsildar North of Camatchees, east of Dawoth Khan thumberatta and South of the garden of Vasoodana Row consisting of 2 spots viz. the western piece measuring 60 by 48 3/4 Indian or 48 by 39 English feet, and the Eastern piece measuring 37 1/2 by 37 1/2 Indian or 30 by 30 English feet was the ancestral property of the 4th Defendants four fathers, who and after them, the 4th Defendant enjoyed it by hereditary succession by raising a thatched roof over it. On the thatched roof falling to decay, the 4th Defendant under date the 27th March 1849 or 16th Pungoony of Keelaka sold the ground to me for Rupees 21, and on payment of the money executed a Deed of sale to

to me in his own hand writing on a double leafed plain Cudjan at Meylore and in my Shop, at 10 O'Clock A.M /without specification of its extent in English feet/ stipulating that I should continue to enjoy the same by hereditary succession I then erected a thatched house, on the North facing to the South at a Cost of Rupees 10 and continues to reside in and enjoy the same till now..

2. In 1849 or Soumeyah year /date not recollected/ I endeavoured to erect an entrance on the South side of the ground lying vacant, when the 2d Defendant requested me to give my said house ground in exchange for one situated in the Village to one Vaudoodava Row a Talook Goomastah, it being situated close to his backyard garden which I refused. Provoked at my refusal he ill advised the 3d Defendant the Auckdar of the Chultrum at Meylore and one Shaick Daubo the Melt Peon at that place, the former to prevent me from carrying on the work on the false plea that the ground belonged to the Charity Chultrum now under Government management, and the latter to forward a

a fraudulent report to that effect. Accordingly the 3d Defendant prevented me in 1849 /date not recollected/ from raising any building on the ground. On the Melt Peon reporting to the 2d Defendant that the ground belonged to the Circar; he caused my appearance before him thro' a warrant and an enquiry I deposed to my having purchased the ground from the 4th Defendant, which was supported by him It was also supported by the evidence of the neighbouring house holders- but the 2d Defendant forwarded a fraudulent report, /the particulars of which I do not know/ to the 1st condemning the above Statements..

3 I petitioned the Head Assistant and the Assistant Magistrates respectively in November and December 1849 /dates not recollected/ requesting to enquire into my right of purchase, to the ground in question but without success..

Likewise presented two Petitions to the 1st Defendant in March 1850 /dates not recollected/ requesting the confirmation of my right to the ground in question without giving credit to the misrepresentation of the 2d but

on

on the contrary the 1st Defendant is-
sued an order to the 2d to prohibit my
interference with the ground in question
and to include the same with the ground
of the Chettrum - The 2d Defendant
obeyed, and issued an order to the Mett
Peon to get the ground vacated for the
purpose. In pursuance thereof the Mett
Peon wants me to do so, which being grievous
to me, I brought this action against the
three 1st Defendants who have conducted
the above illegal Proceedings, as well as
the 4th Defendant who sold the ground
in question to me, and pray that my right
to the ground and roof under my occupancy
may be recognized to the exclusion of
any interference on their part.

I beg therefore that a
Decree may be passed confirming my
right to the two pieces of ground
according to the measurement and
subject to the boundaries specified
in Para 1.

/Signed/ Iyatoray Rawten

Note Repetitions omitted.

/True Translate/
/Signed/ W. E. Cochrane
Sub Collector
in charge
Translate

No 2.

Translate of Draft of an answer to OS No 48.
of 1850 on the file of the Subordinate Court
of Madura.

Plaintiff	Defendants
Iyatoray Rawten of Meylore	P. D. Parker Esqr and 3 others

The disputed land is
1 neither ancestral to the 4th Defendant as
stated in the Plaint nor alienable by him -
The alleged purchase of it from him by the
Plaintiff cannot therefore be held valid
for the following reasons.

The Village of Meylore in
2 which the disputed land lies is not divid-
:ed into Kurrays or shares and is therefore,
not a regular Aggraharam, but a Pundara-
:vady or Government Village consequent-
:ly the Poramboke waste to which the
ground in dispute belongs is the pro-
:perty of Government, and though occu-
:pied by the Ryots for building is
delivered to the Circar when required,
after removal of the roof of any
building erected upon them and is
not properly transferable by sale.

The land in dispute in-
3. :clusive of a piece measuring 4 by 2 yards
which

which was incorporated with the back-
-yard ground of the Chattram at Meylor
in 1845 as stated below is entered in
in the Aloogu and Malcuttas accounts
of Fusly 1212 - as Poromboke waste No.
2394 and the Tamarind Trees 14 in
number standing on it are included in
the Puttah of Peria Curpen Fee and
tax levied on the trees. When the 4th
Defendant evacuated the piece of
ground aforementioned measuring 14 by
2 yards after enjoying it till 1845
on plea of his having purchased the
roof of the building from one Coran Shi-
-regar by whom it was before occupied
it was incorporated with the backyard
of the Chattram on the South used
for travellers Bandies and enclosed
with a wall. On this occasion a
claim was preferred by one Murdoo
who accused the Ruckdar of the
Chattram of having unjustly encroached
upon and incorporated them with
his backyard ground, whereupon
the 2d Defendant after enquiry sub-
-mitted a report to the late Principal
Collector with documents in support

on

on the 20th May 1845 and an order was
thereon issued to him (2d Defendant)
under date the 24th Idem directing the re-
-incorporation of the aforementioned - piece
measuring 14 by 2 yards with the back-
-yard ground of the Chattram after en-
-closing it with a wall it being Porom-
-boke land belonging to Government with
which the complainant had nothing to
do, and it was accordingly done.

In 1842-43 & 45, when certain
4. lands belonging to Government which had
been built upon by some of the Ryots
similar to the disputed one were taken
by the Cirkar for the use of the Chattram
the costs of the building removed and
were paid to them. Hence it is evident
that the right of persons occupying those
grounds is by custom confined to the
roof only, and that they are incompe-
-tent to sell the ground so occupied
by them.

The Plaintiff having attempted
5 to raise a thatched building on the
disputed ground during the night
time without the knowledge of the
Cirkar on the false plea of having pur-
-chased it from the 4th Defendant -

the

Fort St. George 5th September 1850

the Mettoo Peon and other Cirkar Servants prevented him and brought the circumstance to the notice of the 2d Defendant on whose report thereon to the 1st Defendant he under date the 9th March 1850 issued an order on the 2d with reference to the former one alluded to in Para 3 as given by his Predecessor to inform the Plaintiff that the ground in question was taken by the Cirkar and attached to the Chattrum for the convenience of travellers Carts &c. the alleged purchase of it by him being annulled for the foregoing reasons leaving him (Plaintiff) to recover the consideration money from the 4th Defendant.

6. And the assertion of the Plaintiff that the disputed ground is ancestral to the 4th Defendant been true he would not have suffered so long a time to elapse without coming forward with his objections when it was incorporated with the land of the Chattrum or without at least having recourse to a law suit. Consideration of this point will satisfy the Court that the right of the Cirkar to the land in question is

unquestionable

Fort St. George 5th September 1850

unquestionable and that the 4th Defendant has nothing to do with the same.

7. I therefore pray that on examination of the proofs which will be adduced in support of the defence the Court will be pleased to nonsuit the Plaintiff with all costs of Suit.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ W. E. Cockburn
Ag. Sub Collector
in Charge.

Order thereon

59-60

To the Ag Sub Collr in Charge of Madura

In Cons: 5th Septr 1850.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:

To, The Acting Sub Collector in Charge of Madura.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 29th August 1850, with its enclosures and in reply to inform you that the sanction of Government will be sought for defending original Suit No 48 of 1850 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura, but in the mean time, they desire that you will apply for an extension of one month of the period allowed

for

12535 Fort St. George 5th September

for putting in an answer.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
5th September, 1850

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter

To, W. E. Cochrane Esqre
Acting Sub Collector
in Charge of Madura

61

From the Ag Sub Collector
in Charge of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will submit my application for leave of absence to the Pulney Hill for one Month under Section XI amended of the absentee Rules.

Madura, - - -
31st August, 1850

(Signed) A. P. Hodgson
Ag Asst Collector

The Acting Sub Collector in Charge recommends that the leave herein requested may be granted to him.

Madura, - - -
31st August, 1850

(Signed) W. E. Cochrane
Acting Sub Collector
in Charge.

Order thereon

61-62

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read the following Letter

To

12536 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Sir, Fort St George.

63

From the Ag Collector of Tinnevelly

Forwarding prepared according to form a Statement with 2 Enclosures showing the receipts disbursements and balance of Revenue derived in his District from Chuttrum Lands during Fusly 1258.

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 14th March last I have the honor to forward prepared according to the form therein prescribed a Statement with 2 Enclosures shewing the receipts disbursements and balance of Revenue derived in this District from Chuttrum Lands during Fusly 1258 and to inform you that it cannot be now ascertained whether any documents ever existed in support of the grants made to the Fouzdar Mahomed Ethar Khan by the Mahomedan Government, none have ever been produced by any party and none are forthcoming from the Records of this Office.

2. The whole of the collections from Chuttrum Lands being credited and debited in the Circar Accounts under the Head of Deposits they are not subject to audit and consequently the application for arrears claimed by the Petitioner Shaick Pouten is not now forwarded in the usual Tabular form.

3. The father of the Petitioner who is one out of 55 Incumbents on the Chuttrum Revenue enjoyed as the Board observe an allowance for the support of a Masque from the Revenue of the Chuttrum Lands granted to the Fouzdar Mahomed Ethar Khan and in case the Board sanction the continuance of the yeomiah to the Petitioner it will be disbursed at the reduced rate in which it was paid at the date of its discontinuance viz in 1838.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

Tinnevelly, Tencandy,
5th August, 1850.

5th September 1850.—

of the Revenue from the assumed Chuttrum Lands in Fusly 1258—

Disbursements—			Total Disburse-ments	Balance in the Treasury on the 12th July or close of Fusly 1258—
On account of Chuttrums as per Account Particulars No. 1—	On account of Individual Grants	On account of Sundry Expenses as per Account Particulars No. 2.—		
11	12	13	14	15
CRs. AP	CRs. AP	CRs. A P	CRs. AP	CRs. AP
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
4,113 9 10	60157	4,188 7 3	9,363 " 8	18,300 8 1

E. E. Fer / Signed / C. S. Bird.—
Ag: Collector
Account.—

12533

Fort St. George 5th September 1850

the Mettoo Peon and other Cirkar Servants prevented him and brought the circumstance to the notice of the 2d Defendant on whose report thereon to the 1st Defendant he under date the 9th March 1850 issued an order on the 2d with reference to the former one alluded to in Para 3 as given by his Predecessor to inform the Plaintiff that the ground in question was taken by the Cirkar and attached to the Chattrum for the convenience of travellers Carts &ca the alleged purchase of it by him being unvalid for the foregoing reasons leaving him (Plaintiff) to recover the consideration money from the 4th Defendant.

6. Had the assertion of the Plaintiff that the disputed ground is ancestral to the 4th Defendant been true he would not have suffered so long a time to elapse without coming forward with his objections when it was incorporated with the land of the Chattrum or without at least having recourse to a law suit. Consideration of this point will satisfy the Court that the right of the Cirkar to the land in question is unquestionable

12537

Statements of Receipts and Disbursements

Names of Taluks.	Collections unappropriated to the end of Fasly 1257	On account of arrears	On account of Current	
1	2	3	4	
	C Rups a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	
Nelliamhalum	" "	19 . 8	49 49 10	"
Vedoogiarrioms	" "	" "	266 12	" "
Brummadasem	" "	" "	1101 8	" "
Treevellypootoor	" "	" "	219 8	" "
Sauttoor	" "	" "	1617 5 11	" "
Ottapédaram	" "	" "	617 1 11	" "
Total	18828 10 8	19 . 8	8772 . 1	8 1

Tinnevelly

Fort St George

at the Auzzoor Treasury of the Tinnevelly District on account

Receipts in Fusly 1258.

Total Collections as per Demand Collection and Balance to 11th July 1849.	Unpaid pay of Sibbendy.	Amount of Extra Revenue.	Amount unexpended on account of Maramut	Total Receipts in Fusly 1258.	Grand Total Receipts including unappropriated collections to Fusly 1257.
5	6	7	8	9	10
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
4968 10 9	" "	" "	" "	4968 10 9	" "
266 12 11	" "	" "	" "	266 12 11	" "
1101 8 11	" "	" "	" "	1101 8 11	" "
219 8 4	" "	" "	" "	219 8 4	" "
1617 5 11	" "	1 "	27 10 "	1645 15 11	" "
617 11 1	13	14 2 1	" "	632 5 3	" "
8791 " 9	1 13	15 2 1	27 10 "	8,834 4 1	27,663 8 9

Tencausey, 5th August, 1850.

5th September 1850.—

of the Revenue from the assumed Chuttrum Lands in Fusly 1258—

Disbursements— On account of Chuttrums as per Account Particulars No 1.—	On account of Individual Grants	On account of Sundry Expenses as per Account Particulars No 2.—	Total Disbursements	Balance in the Treasury on the 12th July or close of Fusly 1258—
11	12	13	14	15
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
4,113 9 10	760 15 7	4,488 7 3	9,363 " 8	18,300 8 1

E. E. Ffez / Signed / C. J. Bird
Ag. Collector
Account—

12538 For't St. George 5th September 1850

No. 1

Account Particulars of Disbursements at the Huzzoor Treasury on account of Chuttrums in Fusly 1258.—

CR. A/C

Paid for the fixed allowances at Sauttoor Chuttrum in the Sauttoor Talook	590	113
Ditto Ditto at Virdoopatty Chuttrum in Ditto	637	12
Ditto Ditto at Edasavel Chuttrum in the Otapedarum Talook	514	158
Ditto Ditto at Pundaracolum Chuttrum in the Nelliambalem Talook	1350	158
Ditto Ditto at Cottoor Chuttrum in Ditto	553	115
Ditto Ditto at Pettah Mosque in Ditto	465	710
Total Company's Rupees	4,113	910

Tinnevelly, Tencansey, 5th August 1850.

E. E. Fer. / Signed / C. J. Bird
Agt. Collector

account

12539 For't St. George 5th September 1850.

Account Particulars of Sundry Disbursements of the Huzzoor Treasury in the Chuttrum Fund in Fusly 1258.—

Paid the usual allowance on account of Buckreeth and Coothbah festivals	32	12 11
Do. Do. on account of Eathramzan Do.	32	12 11
Do. fixed allowance to Ettar Khan's family Maramut	359	5 4
Paid for repairing Thodookarcolum and Karoovelancolum Tanks in the Villages of the same name attached to Nelliambalum Talook	272	1 "
Do. for Do. Savaloorany Tank in the Village of Chuttrum Mailamady in Sauttoor Talook as per Sanction of Government dated 27th November 1847	16	14 "
Do. for repairing the terrace of the Pundaracolum Chuttrum in Nelliambalum Talook	324	13 "
Do. for constructing a Chuttrum at Cusbah Sauttoor as per Sanction of Government dated 6th February 1849	2,556	" "
Do. for Do. Do. at Pazyoor Zemindary	443	12 "
Do. for repairing the room (near the Nelliambalum Talook Cutcherry) in which the convicts working on the road were kept during nights	20	" "
Do. for repairing the road from Cooroomboor to Yaral in the limits of Streevygoontum and Punjahmal Talooks	401	152
		4,035 7 2
Amount of Sawmy bogam over collected and since refunded to the Ryots of Chuttrum Villages		28 " 11
Total		4,488 7 3

Tinnevelly, Tencansey, 5th August 1850.

E. E. Fer. / Signed / C. J. Bird
Agt. Collector

From

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

63-64

Proceedings thereon.—

Communication to the Collector of Tinnevelly certain instructions regarding the revenue derived from Chattrum Lands during Fasly 1258 and requiring him to furnish a Statement shewing the quantity of Land endowed to each Institution, the period at which each building was constructed, by whom and whether at the cost of the Chattrum Fund—the manner in which the disbursements is made and the establishment to be retained—Also desiring him to furnish an Account Particular of the individual grants to the 55 Incumbents alluded to in para 3 of his letter—

From the Statement accompanying the foregoing letter it is observed that the unappropriated collections from Chattrum lands up to the close of Fasly 1257 amounted to Rupees 18,828-10-8 and when added to the Collections of Fasly 1258—Rupees 8,834-14-1 the aggregate amount was Rupees 27,663-8-9—The disbursements of Fasly 1258 amounted to Rupees 9,363-0-8 in the following proportions.—

	Rs	As	Ps
For Chattrums as per Account Particular No. 1	4,113	9	10
For Individual grants for which no Account Particular was forwarded—	760	15	7
For Sundry expences as per Account Particular No. 2 ———	4,488	7	3
Leaving a surplus of Rupees at the close of Fasly 1258.—	18,300	8	1

2. In reference to paras 1 and 2 of the Acting Collector's letter the Board consider the system of keeping the Collections from the Chattrum lands in deposit, and defraying the expences annually without audit, to be objectionable and that the lands hitherto set apart in endowment of the several Chattrums should be incorporated with the Government lands, and fixed allowances should in future be paid from the Collector's Treasury, for the support of the institutions, but that the lands in endowment of the Mosque at Pettah should be made over to the Trustees or parties in charge, and the usual engagement taken from them for the due management of its affairs.—

Before

Fort St. George 5th September 1850

3 Before however directing the Acting Collector to adopt this course, the Board request to be furnished with a Statement in the accompanying form shewing the quantity of land endowed to each Institution, the period at which each building was constructed, by whom, and whether at the cost of the Chattrum Fund—also the manner in which the disbursements of each institution is made and the establishment to be retained which should be fixed on a moderate scale with reference to Grants allowed for the support of Chattries under the orders dated 11th August 1829.—

4. Mr. Bird will at the same time furnish an Account Particular of the individual grants to the 55 incumbents alluded to in para 3 of his letter and will state if they perform any services & the nature of such, but, if not, whether the recipients are descendants of the original Grantees and look upon the allowances as hereditary—It is observed that in para 2 of Mr. Bird's letter of the 20th February 1850 a Hindoovee Register is referred to, prepared at the same time as Mr. Cotton's Register of 1818 in which perhaps some information may be given of the objects for which these grants were made.—

In Cons. 14th March 1850—

Form.—

Statement shewing the Chattrums supported from the Chattrum Funds of the Tinnevelly District the quantity of Land endowed to the Establishment kept up and the amount proposed to be fixed for each Chattrum.—

Where by whom constructed and whether at the Cost of the Chattrum Fund	Particulars of Land endowed to each Chattrum.— Taluk and Village	Total Value	Chattrum Establishment and the designation of each Servant with amount of Salary.—	Amount Proposed for each Chattrum in future	Increase above the limit of 60 Rupees prescribed by the Rules of 11th August 1829	Remarks

Read the following Statement.—

Statement.—

12543

Estimated for July 1849 –

Description of Servants	No. of Servants	Rate of Pay per Month	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimate of Charges
1	2	3	4	5	6
			Rs As P		
Nedumthodi or Moneyars	"	"	" "	_____	" "
	"	"	" "	_____	" "
	"	"	" "	_____	" "
	"	"	" "	_____	" "
	"	"	" "	_____	" "
	8	10	80 " "	From 1st March to 30th April 1850	160 " "
Do Peons	10	3½	35 " "	From Do to Do	70 " "
	18				230 " "
Malpalgie for lighting Sobsheim Mosleard's Bridge over the Tambraparney	2	3½	7 " "	From 1st July 1849 to 30th June 1850	84 " "
Peons	2	3½	7 " "	From Do to Do	84 " "
Sundervared	"	"	" "	For one year	389 10 "
Extra Charges	"	"	" "	Do	10 " "
					567 10 "
					1697 10 "

12542

65 Statement exhibiting the actual charges incurred

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1259								Period of Employment
	No. of Servants to		Rate of Pay per Month		Amount of Pay				
1	2		3		4				5
					Rupees	A	P		
Marattah Additional Gomastahs	14		10		140	"	"	From 16th April to 30th June 1850	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	13		10		130	"	"	From 1st May to 30th June 1850	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	12		10		120	"	"	From 1st May to 15th June 1850	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	11		10		110	"	"	From 1st to 30th June 1850	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	"		"		"	"	"	"	
	50								

Fusly 1259. in the District of Tinnevelly.—

5th September 1850

between Col.s 6 & 11	Decrease.	Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks
13		14	15
Rs A P			
350 " "			
" " "		Vide Estimate of	
" " "		Extra Sibbendy —	Employed in assisting the fixed Establishment in the preparation of the accounts connected with Land and Moturpha Revenue Settlement during Fusly 1259.—
00 " "		Charged dated 31st	
" " "		August 1849 and	
" " "		letter from the	
" " "		Board of Revenue	
20 " "		dated 15th April	
" " "		1850. conveying	
" " "		the Sanction	
" " "		of Government.—	
" "			
" "			
" "			
" "			
" "			
0 " "			
		Vide Estimate of Extra Sibbendy charges dated 31st August 1849 and letter from the Board of Revenue dated 15th April 1850. conveying the Sanction of Government.—	Of the sum of Rupees 230 Sanctioned for this Establishment for Superintending the harvest in the 18 Sumanie Villages in the District Rupees 25 only were Expended the Services of the Servants having been since dispensed with in consequence of the Villages in question having been subsequently rented for the year.—
" "		Vide Boards' Proceedings dated 29th November 1849	The decrease of Rupees 226-15-8- in the actual charges under this Item below the Estimate is owing to the lamps not having been lighted during moon light nights and to no charge having been incurred on account of Extra charges as provided for in the Estimate.—
160 " "			
70 " "			
230 " "			
" "			
216 15 8			
10 " "			
226 15 8			
1126 15 8			
695 " "			
431 15 8			

Signed/ C. J. Bird.
Acting Collector

Estimate

12562

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.—

No. 204

On account of Additional Establishment employed in

Estimate of Charges	No. of Servants	Rate of Pay per month	Amount of Pay per Month	Period of Employment	Actual Charges	Comparison Col. 12 and Increase 2.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs As P			Rs As P		Rs As P	Rs As P
350 " "	"	"	" " "	----------	" " "	" " "
" "	7	10	70 " "	From 25th April to 30th June 1850.—	154 " "	154 " "
" "	2	10	20 " "	From 28th Dec to 2d—	42 " "	42 " "
260 " "	3	10	30 " "	From 1st May to 30th June 1850—	60 " "	" " "
" "	1	10	10 " "	From 2d to 27th Dec—	19 " "	19 " "
" "	5	10	50 " "	From 2d to 21st Dec	85 " "	85 " "
" "	2	10	20 " "	From 2d to 18th Dec	32 " "	32 " "
180 " "	4	10	40 " "	From 2d to 15th Dec	60 " "	" " "
" "	4	10	40 " "	From 2d to 12th Dec	56 " "	56 " "
" "	4	10	40 " "	From 2d to 9th Dec	52 " "	52 " "
" "	11	10	110 " "	From 2d to 6th Dec	132 " "	132 " "
110 " "	15	10	150 " "	From 1st to 30th June 1850	150 " "	40 " "
" "	3	10	30 " "	From 1st to 24th Dec—	24 " "	24 " "
" "	2	10	20 " "	From 1st to 21st Dec—	14 " "	14 " "
" "	4	10	40 " "	From 1st to 15th Dec	20 " "	20 " "
900 " "	67				900 " "	670 " "

12544

Fort St. George

5th September 1850

No. 203.

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of Additional Establishment required to be entertained
66 in Fusly 1260 in the District of Tinnevelly.—

Description of Servants	Estimate for Fusly 1260 — Number of Servants	Rate of Pay per Month	Amount of Pay	Proposed period of employment	Estimated Charges	actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1259	Comparison Columns Increase	Decrease	between 6 and 7 Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs		Rs A D	Rs A D	Rs A D	Rs A D		
Marattah Gomastah	14	10	140	From 16th April to 30th June 1851	350 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " Vide Estimate of Extra Sibbendy Charges dated 31st August 1849 and letter from the Board of Revenue dated 15th April 1850 conveying the Sanction of Government.—	Required for the preparation of the details of Jummabandy accounts of Land and Motorpha Revenue of the different Talooks for Fusly 1260 in Conjunction with the fixed Establishment.—
Ditto	13	10	130	From 1st May to 30th June 1851	260 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Ditto	12	10	120	From 1st May to 15th Do	180 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Ditto	11	10	110	From 1st to 30th June 1851	110 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	50				900 " "	900 " "	" " "	" " "		
Nesinthas or Moneygars	8	10	80	From 1st March to 30th April 1851	160 " "	25 " "	" " "	135 " "		Do Do for inspecting the harvest of wet grain in the Amanie Villages in the Talooks of Sunkerenarcovil and Teneausey (in case they are not rented) in Conjunction with the Talook Servants for the purpose of ascertaining the Circar dues thereon for Fusly 1260.—
Do Peons	10	3½	35	Do — Do	70 " "	" " "	" " "	70 " "	Ditto —	
	18				230 " "	25 " "	" " "	205 " "		
Grand Total	68				1130 " "	925 " "	" " "	205 " "		

Tinnevelly 29th August 1850.—

/Signed/ C. J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Ordered

12545 Fort St. George 5th September 1850

Order on the foregoing Statements — Ordered to lie over.

(65-67)

Read the following letter —

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

68

From the Acting Pt. Collector of Coimbatore — Sir,

Submits explanation called for by the Board relative to the case of the felling of Trees by Mr. Lascelles on the Neilgherries and the levy of a premium —

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 14th February last. —

2 Mr. Lascelles holds, neither Grant nor Puttah, for the land, on which Timber was cut by him, but pays on a Quit rent Bill, at the rates set down in the Advertisement published in the Official Gazette for 1839. Page 60 Many others, European and Native, do the same. In all the old

12546 Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

old Grants issued through the Registrar from the Madras Cutcherry for lands on the Neilgherries, "all Timber and "Timber like Trees, and Trees likely "to become Timber," are distinctly reserved for the use of Government and though the same has not been definitely specified in Puttahs, the old restriction and usage must have been fully known to Mr. Lascelles and others, and Mr. Lascelles has since expressed his willingness to abide by it — in all new Puttahs Grants or Leases, these points will be provided for. —

3 The practice of the hills and the District, does not permit any one, either European or Native, to fell Trees, or cut

cut wood without orders from local authorities, and this practice has further been strengthened by the orders of Government in 1840, for the preservation of Woods on the Neilgherries, as well as by the various and repeated injunctions, from the Board and Govt, to preserve and encourage Timber and planting for the sake of the Springs, and general Moisture.

4. Adverting to the 3d para of the Proceedings under reply, requiring information respecting the levy of a premium of 2 or 3 Rupees a Tree, I beg to explain that the orders of the Board noted in the Margin, with reference to the Teak Forests of "Seegoor" and "Tippacadoo" at the foot of the Ghaut on the Mysore side have been considered

7th July 1842

J.R.

considered applicable to the woods on the Hills also, where the restriction is far more necessary than in the Dense Jungles below, this premium has been paid in many instances without objection and can hardly be called a fine- the demand being in most cases below the real value of the Timber cut, and without such restriction, there would be no practicability of preserving the public Timber at all-

Coimbatore
29th July 1850

(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(68-69)

As Mr Lascelles holds neither Grant nor Puttah for his land containing the condition that he shall not fell trees thereon- the Board do not see how he can be punished for doing so, or be prevented from repeating the act if so disposed-

Bd Mr C 5th Nov 1840
Subsns 29th Dr

The orders of Government referred to in Para 3 of Mr Thomas's letter, were annulled by those dated 20th January 1841, which also cancelled so much of the G.O.G. of 1st June 1832, as constituted Cotacammund a General Bazar Station, and those of the Board quoted in Para 4 applied to the Government Jungles between Seegoor

in Cons 11th Febr 1841

Sort St George 5th September 1850

Segoor and Seppocadoo, and not to land at Octacamund on which dwelling or Houses are situated, the levy therefore of a fine or premium on Trees cut down in private Gardens should be discontinued, but parties holding on leases or Puttahs for their lands in which the right to all Timber growing thereon is especially reserved to Government, are to be required to obtain the sanction of the authorities before felling any of the Timber within the boundaries of their property.

Read the following letters

H. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(70)

From the Collr of Madras

Sir.

To Bd 29th Augt
From 2nd Sept 2d

With reference to the correspondence entered in the Margin, I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that the Salt compensation Certificate thereon alluded to No 58 for an annual allowance of Rupees 103-6-8- in the name of the late Annamala Naik was produced by

Sort St George 5th September 1850

by C Appasawmy Chetty in February 1845 accompanied by a Petition requesting that it might be renewed in his name under a Bill of sale from the Sheriff of Madras dated the 19th of that Month.

2 Having referred the documents to the Law Officers of Government it was the opinion of the Advocate General, that Letters of Administration to the Estate of Annamala Naick or an Order of Court should be obtained before Appasawmy Chetty's title could be recognized.

3 Appasawmy Chetty has now produced such letters of Administration which are submitted herewith I beg leave therefore to recommend that the necessary sanction may be granted for the issue of a fresh Certificate in his name and for the payment to him of the arrears of compensation remaining undrawn from the 12th January 1847 to the 11th July 1850 or for 6½ years Rs 672-3-4- as his case does not appear to me to come within the intention of the orders of Government noted in the Margin.

I Hombles Comps Selected 1st April 1845

Enclosure A

Extract Mo of Cons 19th Sept 1849 No 895

Madras 15th August 1850

/Signed/ J. A. Maddeston
Collector

Resolved

Fort St George 5th September 1850

70-71

Proceedings thereon. 1 Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the margin.

To Govt 15th June 1840
To Dr 4th Sultan 27 July
To Dr 5th Augt 1844
To Dr 2nd & 5th Septr

2 In his letter of the 30th April 1840 the Collector requested authority for granting two certificates in lieu of former numbers noted in the margin; one for Rupees 120-6-- in the name of R. Dasecacharloo and the other for Rupees 103-6-8 in the name of Anamala Naik, for which authority was granted in Contract Minutes of Consultation 4th July 1820.

To Govt 11th June 1840

Numbers in Mr Ellis's list of 1843	Nos Rupees	S.	C.	R.	a	p
Nos 11 —	8	26	60	30	1	3
12 —	5	32	70	20	--	11
13 —	11	20	70	40	2	--
22 —	11	20	70	40	2	--
19 —	5	32	70	20	--	11
21 —	18	4	--	--	65	5 --
½ Part of 18 —	2	38	77	10	--	7
	63	42	17	223	12	8

The last of the above Certificates was produced by one C. Appasawmy Chetty to the Collector in July 1845 stating that he bought it at a Sheriffs sale but it was the opinion of the Advocate General that letters of Administration to the Estate of the late Anamala Naik, or an order of Court should be produced before C. Appasawmy Chetty's title could be

Fort St George 5th September 1850

be recognized. As he has now produced the Letters of Administration the Board of Revenue support the Collectors recommendation that the arrears remaining undrawn for 6½ years or from 12th January 1844 to 11th July 1850 amounting to Rupees 672-3-2 may be disbursed, it not being the intention of Government that their order respecting the period for the admission of claims to contemmance of Salt Compensation should have retrospective effect.

E.M. of Govt 19th in Govt 24th Septr 1849

They also recommend that the Collector be authorized to register the Certificate in question in the name of C. Appasawmy Chetty.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George.

72

From the Collr of Madras

Sir. I have the honor to report that no Endorsement was made on any of the petitions referred to me by the Board, for investigation during last Month.

Madras 2nd September 1850. /Signed/ J. A. Huddleston Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded. Read the following Statement

72-73

Statement

12553

Fort St George

74 Statement shewing separately the Collections the Statement of Demand Collection Balance July 1850 Compared with the average Collections of

	Fusles	Salt
		Rupees A P
From 12th to 31st July	1257	22,191 .. ..
	1258	8,860 .. ..
	1259	25,998 .. ..
		57,049 .. ..
Average Collections		19,016 5 4
Actual Collection from 12th to 31st July 1850	1260	25,971 .. ..

Madras
3rd September 1850

E.

74-75 Order thereon.

5th September 1850

for account of the several Items included in under the several heads of Extra Revenue from 12th to 31st the same period during the preceding Fusles.

Ab Karry	Cochrane's Canal	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	Stamp Paper and Cadjans	Grand Total
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
26,889 3 ..	1,706 15 ..	480 .. 7	350 2 ..	51,617 4 7
28,017 155	1,510 5 4	417 11 1	585 11 ..	39,391 10 10
29,925 9 11	1,687 .. 3	385 12 5	808 11 ..	58,806 1 7
84,833 124	4,904 4 7	1,283 8 1	1,744 8 ..	149,815 1 ..
28,277 14 9	1,634 12 3	427 13 4	581 8 ..	49,938 5 8
25,918 1 5	1,032 11 7	342 11 3	233 11 ..	53,498 3 3

C Ps /Signed/ J A Huddleston
Collector.

Ordered to be recorded

Statement A

12554 Fort St George 5th September 1850.

(76) Statement exhibiting the Quantity of Salt in Store available for Exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of Export in the District of Madras.

Places at which Salt is supplied	Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1850	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready to be exported	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Cuncore	2,10,000	61,500	148,500	89,994 5/20	

Madras
2nd September 1850

/Signed/ G. N. Taylor
Assistant Collector

(76-77) Order thereon. Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St George Gazette.

J. H. D.

12555.

Fort St George

(78) Register of Permits issued for the Exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Beach at Cuncore in the Month of August 1850.

Number of Permit.	Name of the Vessel.	Name of the Commander.	By whom Exported,	To whom consigned.	Quantity of Salts delivered by weights.
1850. August 6.	21 5 Ship "Dauntless"	H. A. Scott.	Beadle and Co & Messrs Bainbridge and Co.	Castle H. A. Scott. (1366) Fanam of Bull.	Fanam Rupees Sum sheet and twenty Indian Maunds.

Madras
2nd September 1850.

/Signed/ G. N. Taylor.
Assistant Collector.

(78-79) Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded.

D.S.

12556

80

Dr.

Fort St. George

Salt Account Current in the Madras Collectorate for the 4th Quarter, from 1st April to 11th July 1850, of Fusly 1259 — Cr.

5th September 1850.

		Quantity of Salt imported on account of the Manufacture of Fusly 1258.			Quantity of Salt imported on account of the Manufacture of Fusly 1259.			Total.		
		Garce	M	Br Indian Mds	Garce	M	Br Indian Mds	Garce	M	Br Indian Mds
1849 July 12th	Balance of Attepat & Co Villages Salt of former Fuslies on hand at the commencement of the present Fusly	1306	48	5 15 6/7 34 12/20	"	"	"	1306	48	5 15 6/7 34 12/20
1850 July 11th	Quantity of Attepat & Co Villages Salt imported to the end of last quarter	560	57	" 67 21/30	95	244	" 11473 4/20	655	301	" 78690 6/20
	Do Do to the end of this quarter	"	"	" 2372 386	"	"	" 284755 16/20	2372	386	" 284755 16/20
	Quantity from Vellore and Vayalore in the District of Chingleput imported to the end of last quarter	886	43	" 106332 12/20	"	"	" "	886	43	" 106332 12/20
	Do Do to the end of this quarter	"	"	" 1070 372	"	"	" 128511 12/20	1070	372	" 128511 12/20
	Gain by measurement to the end of last quarter	10	67	" 1220 2/20	"	"	" "	10	67	" 1220 2/20
	Do Do to the end of this quarter	"	249	" 74 14/20	1	260	" 198	2	109	" 272 14/20
	Salt confiscated to the end of last quarter	"	37	" 11 2/20	"	"	" "			
	Do Do to the end of this quarter	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	"	37	" 11 2/20
	Total	2763	101	5 331590 10/20	3541	62	" 424938 12/20	6304	163	5 756529 2/20

		Quantity of Salt sold being of the Manufacture of Fusly 1258.			Quantity of Salt sold being of the Manufacture of Fusly 1259.			Total.		
		Garce	M	Br Indian Mds	Garce	M	Br Indian Mds	Garce	M	Br Indian Mds
1850 July 11th	Sales of Attepat & Co Villages Salt to the end of last quarter — For Home consumption at 120 Rupees per Garce	659	6	" 79081 16/20						
	For Inland consumption at Do	1618	130	" 194199						
	Exportation to Calcutta at 18 Rupees per Garce	358	133	2½ 43000						
		"	"	" "	2635	269	2½ 316280 16/20			
	For Home consumption at 120 Rupees per Garce	3	280	" 444	270	240	" 32472			
	Do Do to the end of this quarter — For Inland consumption at Do	"	"	" "	800	360	" 96108.			
	Exportation to Calcutta at 18 Rupees per Garce	"	"	" "	273	266	4½ 32840			
					1348	346	4½ 161864			
	Wastage to the end of last quarter	123	315	2½ 14854 12/20	"	"	" "			
	Do to the end of this quarter	"	"	" "	42	10	6 5043 4/20	165	326	½ 19897 16/20
	Sales of confiscated Salt to the end of last quarter	"	37	" 11 2/20	"	"	" "			
	Do Do to the end of this quarter	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	"	37	" 11 2/20
		2763	101	5 331590 10/20	1387	77	2½ 166463 4/20	4150	178	7½ 498053 14/20
	Balance	"	"	" "	2153	384	5½ 258475 8/20	2153	384	5½ 258475 3/20
	Total	2763	101	5 331590 10/20	3541	62	" 424938 12/20	6304	163	5 756529 2/20

Dr.

12557.

Fort St. George

5th September 1850.

Dr. Money Account Current of Salt in the Madras

Collectorate for the above period 6 Mos.

			Rupees	A	P	Rupees	A	P
1850. July 11th	Amount sales of Attepat &c. Villages Salt to the end of last quarter	For Home Consumption at 120 Rupees per Garce	79,081	12	10			
		For Inland consumption at Do.	194199	"	"			
		Exportation at 18 Rupees per Garce	6450	"	"			
						2,79,730	12	10
	Do. Do. to the end of this quarter	For Home Consumption, at 120 Rupees per Garce	32,916	"	"			
		For Inland consumption at Do.	96108	"	"			
		Exportation at 18 Rupees per Garce	4926	"	"			
						133,950	"	"
	Amount sales of confiscated Salt to the end of last quarter					11	17.	
	Do. Do. to the end of this quarter					"	"	"
						11	17	
	Amount of Fine received from the Cooly Contractor, and Bribe offered to a Peon, to the end of last quarter					10	2	"
	Do. Do. to the end of this quarter					"	"	"
						10	2	"
	Total Rupees					4,13,702	"	5.

Madras, 3d September, 1850. E. E. Jr.

			Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P
1850 July 11th	By servants wages to the end of last quarter		3,950	6	5.			
	" Do. Do. to the end of this quarter		1982	13	1	5933	3	6
	" Compensation to the end of last quarter		4,398	4	10.			
	" Do. Do. to the end of this quarter		70	3	6	4,468	8	4
	" Rooposms and Baumiums to the end of last quarter		235	6	6.			
	" Do. Do. to the end of this quarter		119	3	6	354	10	"
	" Sunderward Charges to the end of last quarter		3	4	8.			
	" Do. Do. to the end of this quarter		3	6	10	6	11	6
	" Charges Extraordinary to the end of last quarter		6,444	13	8			
	" Do. Do. to the end of this quarter		17,524	1	11	23,968	15	7
	" Payment made to the Manufactures on account of their share to the end of last quarter		18,326	4	9			
	" Do. Do. to the end of this quarter		25,361	6	7	43,687	11	4
						78,419	12	3
	Net Revenue to the end of this quarter					335,282	4	2.
	Total Rupees					413,702	"	5.

(Signed) G: N: Taylor
Assistant Collector.

Ordered

80-81
Order thereon- Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved that the following Proceedings and Circular be despatched.

Read Return of Trials under Regulation IX of 1822 in the Singleput District for the month of July 1850-

26th in Mgr. Town
29th August 1850.

/ Here enter No 142. /

82
Proceedings thereon- The only case recorded in the foregoing Return is that of Comarasawmy Pillay Curnum, Streenevasa Row Talook Goomastah and Ramasawmy Rajah Zilladar, the first for being privy to, the two last for being accessary in the entry of C. 3—11⅛ as of Cultivated lands assessed at Rupees 8—11—2 as uncultivated. but the Board observe that it is not stated whether the entry was with fraudulent intent, and they request that this specification of the offence may invariably be given in future Returns.

Circular.-

83
Circular to all Collectors except Sea Customs Madras.

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the Memorandum shewing the fluctuations in the revenue which you were instructed by their Circular order of 28th January 1850 to submit with your Demand Collection and Balance Statement to 11th July each year be furnished both

both in the English and Native languages-

Ganjam
Nellore
Kurnool
Singleput
Coimbatore
Canara-

2. You will be so good as to despatch to this Office as early as possible a translate in the Native language of the Memorandum which accompanied your Statement to 11th July 1850-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th September 1850.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

84
From the Sub Treasurer- Read Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the month of July last-

84-85
Order thereon- Ordered to be submitted for the orders of Government with reference to their order dated the 28th July 1848-

86
Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Collector of Singleput- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last-

87
From the Collector of Tanjore- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of June last-

88
From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last-

86-89
Order on the three abovementioned Registers- Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Read

Read the undermentioned Statements.

90 From the Officiating Collector of Vizagapatam— Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 31st July last.

91 From the Collector of Rajahmundry— Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 31st July last.

90-92 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements— Ordered to lie over.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

93 From the Collector of Ganjam— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

94 From the Collector of Ganjam— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

95 From the Collector of Guntoor— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July 1850.

96 From the Collector of Guntoor— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt from 1st to 11th July last.

97 From the Collector of Cuddapah— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July 1850.

98 From the Collector of Cuddapah— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

From

From the Collector of Cuddapah— 99 Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

100 From the Collector of North Arcot— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

101 From the Collector of Salem— Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public servants for the quarter ending 30th June last.

102 From the Collector of Salem— Return of Public servants suspended and dismissed from employment for the quarter ending 30th June last.

103 From the Collector of Tanjore— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

104 From the Collector of Trichinopoly— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

105 From the Collector of Trichinopoly— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

106 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

107 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

From

108 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Reporting that no persons were charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of July last.

109 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly — Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of July last.

110 From the Collector of Canara — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

111 From the Collector of Canara — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

112 From the Collector of Canara — Reporting that no Tobacco was seized during the month of May last.

113 From the Collector of Malabar. — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

114 From the Collector of Malabar. — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt from 1st to 11th July last.

From

115 From the Collector of Madras — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 31st July last.

116 From the Collector of Madras — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

117 From the Collector of Madras — Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1000 Salt Rowamahs.

117-118 Order thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

119 From the Sub Treasurer — Indent on the Commissary General for Artificers required for the use of his Office.

119-120 Order thereon — Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

From

12564. Fort St. George 5th. September 1850.

121

From the Superintendent of Stamps — Indent on the Commissary General for Office furniture required for the use of his Office.

121-122

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted for sanction with reference to the order of Government dated 28th. July 1843.—

" Goodenough

Comp. A. Gibbs

" W. Blacco

12565 Fort St. George — September 1850.

Diary

6th. September. Received the following — Memorandum —

1

Official Memorandum.

From the Secretary to Government — The Secretary to Government has the honor to request that he may be furnished with a copy of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th. April 1849 on the application of the Collector of Cuddapah for the continuance of the Yeomiah enjoyed by the late Venkatarama Bhattoo.

Fort St. George 6th. September 1850 — Signed T. Pycroft, Secretary to Government.

7th. Sept. in reply. — Sent the following Memorandum

1-2

Official Memorandum.

To the Secretary to Government — The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Secretary to Government in compliance with the request contained in his Official Memorandum of 6th. September 1850, a copy of the Board's Proceedings dated 19th. April 1849 on the subject of the Yeomiah of the late Venkatarama Bhattoo.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 7th. Sept. 1850 — Signed W. H. Bayley, Secretary. At.

12566 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 9th September 1850 — J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member and J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member; Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member.

Absent on duty as Comrs & Nl Circars

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

3

Read the following Extracts.

From the Chief Secretary to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th May 1850 No. 172.

Read the following letter from the Advocate General.

To The Secretary to Government in the Marine Department

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 133 dated 8th Ultimo, referring for my report the question submitted by the correspondence therein referred to as to the legality, under the new Navigation Act 12th and 13th Victi C. 29 of a British Ship being Commanded by any other than a Natural Born British Subject.

The

12567 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

2. The opinions of the Law Officers of Government, adverted to by the Collector of Sea Customs had reference only to the legality of British Ships being owned by British subjects by domicile tho' not such by Birth.

3. The new Navigation Act reserved certain departments of trade to British Ships only. And no one can be Master of a British Ship except a natural born British subject. This provision is expressly reserved by the new Navigation Act.

4. The local Act V of 1850 gives liberty of the India coasting trade to other than British Ships and Act XI of 1850 authorizes general trading within the limits of the Charter, (under Act X of 1841) by Vessels of the build of any place.

5. But the restriction on the Navigation by a Master who is a British born subject of a duly registered British Ship, is not repealed consequently, although on a lien may command a Ship confined to the Indian Coasting trade, or one that is registered for the limitted trade under the local Act X of 1841 he cannot command a Ship exercising the privileges confined by the statute to British Ships

Fort St. George 2d May 1850.

Signed Geo. Norton
Advocate General

Ordered

12568 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Sea Customs with reference to his letter of the 18th ult. March last No. 82. at the same time the Governor in Council desires to call the attention of the Collector to Section VIII Cap XXIX 12 and 13 Victoria -

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary -

3-4

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded.

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 21st ult. August 1850. No. 781.

Read the following

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department dated 16th Augt. 1850. No. 714 -

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration a Despatch received from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 3d July No. 20 of 1850.

Direct that in all letters and Collections the stitching Margin be left clear of Marginal Notes and references

2. Ordered to be communicated to the several Departments of the Secretariat for their guidance

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Ordered

12569. Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board in the Revenue Department and Department of Public Works and to the Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Udaulut respectively for their information and guidance -

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

5-6

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded - the instructions of the Honorable Court will be duly attended to -

Read the following Resolution -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 30th ult. August 1850. No. 817 -

7

From Board 19th ult. August

From the Secretary to Government - As the alteration proposed to be made in the line of the new road at Nellore will, it is reported, be an improvement, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the arrangement recommended by the Board of Revenue in the above letter -

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

7-8

Resolution thereon - Resolved that copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated to the Collector of Nellore with reference to his letter dated 9th ult. August 1850.

In Cons 19th Augt 1850.

M.

12570 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Mr. White's attention is called to the last para of the Board's letter to Government.

Read the following Extract.

9

From the Secy. to Government authorizing the Estate of Parevasepolliem in the Coimbatore District being taken under the management of the Court of Wards sanctioning the arrangement made for the care of the property and the education of the heir and requesting to be furnished with a report of all such cases in which the management of Estates have been left to the relatives of the respective Minor proprietors.

Extract Minutes of Consultation dated the 30th August 1850. No. 819.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Court of Wards.

(Here enter 8th Aug.t 1850 No. 327.)

Para 1. Under the circumstances represented by the Court of Wards and by the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council Authorizes the Estate of Parevasepolliem being taken under their management according to the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 and sanctions the arrangement for the care of the property and for the education of the Minor heir specified by the Court in para 5 of their Secretary's letter.

2. The Acting Principal Collector should be instructed in submitting his annual report upon the Polliem at the close of the present Fusly to give a full account of the present condition of the property and the mode and results of its management since the death of the old Poligar in 1844.

The

12571. Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

3. The Government must remark that it was not competent to the Court of Wards to make over the Estate to the care of the Mother and Grand Mother of the Minor of their own authority. On the decease of the former proprietor they should have laid before Government the report upon the Polliem required by Section III Regulation V of 1804 and awaited their orders. It seems that there are two other small Estates in Coimbatore, Ootoocoody and Ramapatam which have in like manner been left in the management of the relatives of the respective Minor proprietors and there are probably similar instances in other Districts. The Court of Wards will be pleased to furnish a report of all such cases shewing the Estates so disposed of the arrangements made for the care of each, and the present condition of the property.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

10

Resolution thereon. Resolved that copy of Paras 1 and 2 of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultations and of the Court's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the

12572 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

In Cons 8th Augt 1850.

the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter dated 5th July 1850.

Ordered also that the following Circular be addressed to the Collectors in the Provinces.

Circular

9-11

Circular to all Colls except Madras

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from this Office dated 5th August 1850, I am directed by the Court of Wards to request you will report whether there are any Estates of incapacitated Proprietors in your District which have been left to the management of the Relatives of the Proprietor or of parties unconnected with the Court of Wards, and if so, that you will state the authority under which such arrangements were made.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 9th Sept. 1850

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following Extracts.

12

From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 3d Sept. 1850 No. 826.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Political Department dated 24th August 1850.

No. 378. To His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor of the Presidency of Fort St. George. Madras

Right Honble Sir,

By letter of the 22d November last,

No.

12573 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

No. 31, the late Governor Mr. De Lalande de Calan had the honor of addressing to you a reclamation relative to the confined interpretation given to the words "Articles of consumption" contained in the treaty of the 26th July 1819. Mr. De Calan requested that these expressions might be interpreted in a more comprehensive manner and more in conformity with the spirit of the treaty. No reply having been received to that communication up to this time, I have the honor to solicit that your Excellency will refer to the above mentioned letter of the 22d November last, and have the goodness to take its object and the fate of our unhappy population into serious consideration. I shall be happy and personally obliged to you should my reclamations in favor of those under my Government be accepted by your Excellency. I make bold to expect it from your high equity.

I take this occasion to recall to your Excellency's mind a letter of the 6th February last No. 2 relative to the duty on Copper Utensil bracelets levied at the frontiers of Yanam.

Pondichery the 21st August 1850

Signed M. Malafosis
Governor P. I.

True Translate
Signed M. Comaretti

Ordered

12574

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Ordered to be communicated to the Revenue Department with reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation in this Department dated the 13th March last — No. 117.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Resolved that the letter from His Excellency the Governor of the French Establishments in India be transmitted to the Board of Revenue with reference to an — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in this Department dated 28th February 1850 No. 220 with a request that they will expedite the submission of the Reports which has been called for upon the nature of the Trade — carried on between the Company's Districts and the adjoining French Settlements.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

12-13

Order thereon:—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with a request that he will furnish with the least practicable delay a reply to Board's Proceedings of 22nd April 1850 until the receipt of which they are unable to submit their report to Government. It is observed that the subject was recalled to Mr Bird's notice on the 11th July 1850.

12575

14

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 3rd September 1850. — No. 827.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue. —

(Here enter 8th August 1850 No. 326)

Para 1. It is observed that the Yeomiah rules of 24th December 1840 para 4 require that all life Certificates shall be attested by two male Yeomiahdars whereas the Certificates hitherto furnished on account of the females — mentioned in the Collector's letter seem to have been signed only by one individual the Secretary to His Highness the Nawab and it does not appear whether that person is himself a Yeomiahdar —

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires that the rules respecting Certificates be rigidly enforced on all occasions and on this being done in the instances under reference, he will not object to the late rule requiring personal appearance being relaxed

Ameer Beebee Alias Unwattal Fauk Beebee wife of Hameed Saheb Boorvol Nijabah.

12574

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Ordered to be communicated to the Revenue Department with reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation in this Department dated the 13th March last — No. 117.

(Signed) W. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Resolved that the letter from His Excellency the Governor of the French Establishments in India be transmitted to the Board of Revenue with reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in this Department dated 28th February 1850 No. 220 with a request that they will expedite the submission of the Reports which has been called for upon the nature of the Trade carried on between the Company's Districts and the adjoining French Settlements.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(12-13)

Order thereon:

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with a request that he will furnish with the least practicable delay a reply to Board's Proceedings of 25th April 1850 until the receipt of which they are unable to submit their report to Government. It is observed that the subject was recalled to Mr. Bird's notice on the 11th July 1850.

12575

(14)

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 3d September 1850.—

No. 327.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 8th August 1850 No. 326)

Para 1. It is observed that the Yeomiah rules of 24th December 1840 para 4 require that all Life Certificates shall be attested by two male Yeomiahdars whereas the Certificates hitherto furnished on account of the females mentioned in the Collector's letter seem to have been signed only by one individual the Secretary to His Highness the Nawab and it does not appear whether that person is himself a Yeomiahdar.

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires that the rules respecting Certificates be rigidly enforced on all occasions and on this being done in the instances under reference, he will not object to the late rule requiring personal appearance being relaxed

Ameer Beebee Alias Unnoottal Fauth wife of Hameed Beeby — dau. of my Sahibah Boowul Nijoah.

12576

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

released in those cases as a special indulgence.—

3— The Collector will however report the alleged age of the parties as some security against fraud.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter

(14-15)

In Cons 8th Augt 1850.

dated 26th July 1850.—

Mr Hudleston will be furnish the report called for in Para 3.—

JR

12577

(16)

From the Secy to Government

Fort St George 9th September 1850.

No 829

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 3d September 1850.

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 28th February and entered in Diary to Consultation 12th March 1850 No 285.

Read also the following letter from the Military Board.

(Here enter 15th July 1850 No 191)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council apprehends that if the land in Chintadrepettah applied for by Mr. Green be granted to him and if he be allowed as he proposes to throw up a wall or dyke by the side of the Cooum river the effect might be to pour a body of water at seasons of high freshes against the opposite bank and thus endanger the Government Bridge. The land in question seems also not unlikely to be required at some future time for public purposes, and its disposal either by sale or on lease to any private individual would therefore on this account

12578 Fort St George 9th September 1850

account alone be objectionable. Under these circumstances, the Governor in Council directs that it be intimated to Mr Green that his application cannot be acceded to.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

16-17

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter dated 15th February 1850

In Cons 28th February /50

Read the following Memo.

18

From the Dy Secy to Government

Official Memorandum.

The acting Deputy Secretary to Government has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will be pleased to forward to this Office for reference, the report of the Collector of North arcot adverted to in the Endorsement of the Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1850 on Petition No 408 of 1850

Fort St George. 6th September 1850 } / Signed / J. D. Sim
Acting Dy Secy to Govt.

Ordered that the following Memo be sent in reply.

To

12579 Fort St George 9th September 1850

18-19

To the Actg Dy Secy to Government }

Official Memorandum.

As requested in the Official Memo from the acting Deputy Secretary to Government of the 6th September 1850 the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward the report of the Collector of North arcot therein alluded to.

From North arcot 2d in Cons 30th May 150

Revenue Board Office. Fort St George 9th September 1850 } / Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following Extract

No 849.

20

From the Secy to Government }

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 7th September 1850

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 19th August 1850 No 352)

From the above letter and its enclosures it appears that Ha Koonny Nair on account of whose property the suit No 1 of 1849 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Calicut has been instituted, died in June or in July 1846 when in default of legal heirs

Fort St George 9th September 1850

heirs his effects were taken possession of by the Collector. Before passing orders upon the application for the defence of the suit, the Government desire to have before them a full report in respect to the Collector's proceedings on the occasion, and to the circumstances and authority under which he assumed charge of the Estate of the deceased. and they also wish to be informed why no reference was made to them upon the subject. It is further requested that Copies of all proceedings which may have been held or orders which may have been passed in the case by the Courts may be submitted.

/ A true Extract /

/ signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

20-21

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 9th August 1850.

Mr Conolly will be pleased to furnish the information and correspondence called for by Government in the above Minutes of Consultation

Fort St George 9th September 1850

Consultation as early as possible.

Read the following letter.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

22

From the Collr of Ganjam

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 19 Instant, I have the honor to state that the Sope rules were inoperative during fusly 1258. and that I have again invited the attention of the inhabitants of the District to the advantages they hold out.

Ganjam District,
Collector's Cutcherry
Chutterpore, 29th August 1850

/ signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

22-23

Order thereon,

Ordered to lie over

Read the following

Statement A.

Statement A.

12582

Fort St George 9th September 1850

24 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the undermentioned Port on the 31st August 1850

Name of the Port	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported up to 31st August	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remarks
	B Mds S	B Mds S	B Mds S	B Mds S	
Cornigo					
As per last report	20,000				
Add further quantity	30,000				
	50,000 "	5,00 "	45,000 "	45,000 "	

Rajahmundry District Rajahmundry 4th September 1850 / Signed T. Prendergast Collector

24-25

Order thereon, Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St George Gazette

Read the following Statement Statement

12583

Fort St George 9th September 1850

26 Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in store available for Exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of export in the District of Nellore up to 31st August 1850

No	Places at which Salt is shipped	Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1850/51	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready to be exported
		Indian Maunds	Indian Maunds	Indian Mds	Indian Mds
1	Toommalapenta	10,000 "		10,000	10,000
2	Eskapully	25,000 "		25,000	25,000
3	Gogoolapully	10,000 "		10,000	10,000
4	Varenty	15,000 "		15,000	15,000
5	Krishnapatam	40,000 "		40,000	40,000
		100,000 "		100,000	100,000

Nellore Collectors Cutcherry 5th September 1850 E E Ps / signed / D. White Collector

26-27

Order thereon Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St George Gazette

Read

12584 Fort St George 9th September 1850

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

28

From the Collr of Bellary

Reporting a Case of Bluteantry Cnam for the disposal of the Board of Revenue.

Sir

1 I have the honor to report the following case of Bluteantee disputed Cnam which does not yet come under the cases of Lapsed Cnams for the Special orders of the Board of Revenue.

2 A female by name Jogamah widow of one Venkataramah Blut brother of Seenavas Blutloo held while she was alive, the under mentioned Bluteantee Cnam lands.

Talook	Village	Description of Lands	No	Extent			Sarum		
				acres	G	as	G	F	as
Punchapollem	Muddekarah	Dry Land	333	23	10	12	18	0	2
Sadputry	Chelamakoor	Wet Dr	17	"	15	1	1	8	4
Dr	Mullagunidah	Dr	78	1	5	9	6	8	4
			3	24	31	5	27	2	10

The said Jogamah having had no issue gave the lands jointly to her brothers two sons namely Raghavendrapah and Sashachellum and

12585 Fort St George 9th September 1850

executed a Deed of Gift to that effect first on plain Paper and subsequently on a Stamp Paper, the latter bearing date the 20th January in the fusly 1232 A.D. 1822/23 the Jogamah lived a few years after this transaction and died 22 years ago.

3 The lands are situated partly at Muddekarah in the Punchapollem Talook and partly at 2 Villages in the Sadputry Talook.

4 The Lands in the Sadputry Talook have been enjoyed by the said two individuals who received them in Gift, namely by Raghavendrapah and Sashachellum, from the time of the Gift. The land at Muddekarah in the Punchapollem Talook was waste until Raghavendrapah brought it under Cultivation by giving Coole in fusly 1248 A.D. 1837/38.

5 But in the year 1843 a Brahmin by name Elapaty Blut came forward and claimed first this Cnam land and subsequently those in Sadputry on the ground that he was a relative to the deceased Jogamah and as she had left no issue, he was heir to her Estate. The Collector Mr Mellor issued orders

Fort St George 9th September 1850

orders to the Tahsildar of Punchapatchem to enquire. On the Tahsildars report it appeared that the said claimant Clapaty Bhut was a relative to the deceased, that he performed the funeral rites of the said Joganmah but on the other hand it is as proved that the Gift was bonafide made to the said Raghavendrapah and Sashachellum the nephews or brothers sons of the deceased unless Joganmah

6 The Collector on the ground that Clapaty Bhut was a relative (tho distant) of the husband of the deceased Joganmah. that he was also in actual possession of another small piece of land at Muddekarah, which in the Survey accounts is entered in the name of Sungeeva Bhut, the father in law of Joganmah and that there were some apparent discrepancies in the Deeds of Gift at first issued orders to put Clapaty Bhut in possession of the Land at Muddekarah, but passed no orders respecting,

Fort St George 9th September 1850

respecting the Lands in the Sadputtry Taluk which were not at first matter of dispute.

7 But immediately after this order it appears that the nephews of Joganmah urged their suit again and Mr Mellor sent fresh orders for a further investigation to the Sadputtry Tahsildar especially regarding the genuineness of the Gift.

8 This disputed case does not properly come under the late orders of Government in as much as the parties in possession have held possession, one or the other, for many years, and were it not that it seems necessary to reverse the former order of the Collector, which Mr Mellor, it is evident did not consider final, I should have disposed of the case at once.

9. On the further examination made it is clearly established that Joganmah, whose husband died in her Youth lived with her own brother and that several years previous to her death gave the lands which she possessed in her husbands right to her brothers sons Raghavendrapah & Sashachellums confirming

confirming the same by a Deed,
who have possessed the lands since
the year 1823.

10 It is true that Claptty
Bhut is a relative, but distant of
Joganmahs husband. But the family
have been long divided and have
held their several portions distinct.

11. I am therefore of opinion
that the nephews of Joganmah who have
been in possession since they received
the Gift in 1823 now upwards of 25 years
until disturbed, as regards the Lands
at Muddekarah only, by the order of
the Collector, should be confirmed in
possession during their joint life time
at least, of the Lands both at Muddekarah
and also of those in the Sadpuntry Talook.
and that Claptty Bhut should be
deprived of the portion at Muddekarah,
into which he was instituted consequent
on the said order of the Collector.

12 It will also be for the Board to order
the entire resumption of the said lands
after the decease of the two brothers
(nephews of Joganmah) or to direct the same

to

to be reported for their orders for other cases
of lapsed Enams when that event taken place.

Bellary Collector's Office
17th August 1850.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector

Proceedings thereon

(28-29)

Under the circumstances stated
the Board Sanction the continuance to
Raghavendrapah and Sadhachalum of the
Enam transmitted to them by the late
Joganmah by deed of Gift in the year 1823
during their joint life time ie on the death
of either the case to be referred for consider-
-ation, and direct that Claptty Bhut be
deprived of that portion of the said Enam
situated at Noreddakarah into which he
was instituted by order of Mr. Mellor in 1843.

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(30)

Sir,

From the Collector of Ishinglesput
Submits the further information called for in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings

Referring to Extract from
the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
under date the 11th February, I have the honor to
inform you that, an examination of the
Village

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

Proceedings dated 14th Feb.y regarding the plunder of the remittance of the Oothumaloor Talook—

Village accounts, and the Statements of the Villagers with their receipts, show that the sums forming the alleged remittance from the Villages named in the Margin, and which was plundered on the 1st June 1849. had been really collected from the Ryots, and that the remittances were accompanied by the undermentioned Village Officers. The Zillahdar had left the party half an hour prior to the attack but there were then present—

Gungum Taliar	} of Erumbrajapooram
Soobboya Pillay Eurnum & Motagar	
Moonean Motagar	} Cavantandlum
Ponnen Taliar	
Cundun Taliar	} Tummanoor
Samun Totty	
Ponnen Taliar of Seroomyloor	
Syed Mahomed Talook Duffadar	
Lutchmana Naick	
Ramanayadoo,	} Talook Peons
Vencatasawmy Naidoo	

The extraordinary facts of no single person being in any way injured or wounded either in the Commission of

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

of the crime or in the defence of the money, they were escorting with the escape and safe arrival of the remittances of 5 Villages, which were with the others; and that no one person of the numerous party present would admit the slightest recognition of any of the offenders, led Mr. Phillips, who immediately proceeded to the spot, to conceive that the matter had been previously arranged by the Village Officers in communication with the Duffadar and others, in which opinion I then agreed, but the subsequent enquiries and proceedings, though they have failed to elicit the actual offenders, has led me to believe the amount was actually carried off by a party of borchawars who were passing from the coast inland—

+ Cavantandlum
Chittattore
Yelayanoor
Vellore
Keyadyvakum
Seroomyloor

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 5th August 1850

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Proceedings thereon. From the above report it appears that the sums forming the remittance

30-31

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

remittance in question were actually collected from the Villages of Tummanoor and Combayapoorum and that no less than seven Village officers accompanied the Talook Duffadar and Peons who escorted the same.

2 The Collection of the money and its despatch from the Village under proper escort, are there satisfactorily proved, but the Board are still unable to understand how the robbery could have taken place in open day, without one single person being in any way wounded or injured, either on the side of the robbers or on that of the escort. The fact also of no one person of the party in charge of the money being able to recognize any of the offenders, is very suspicious.

3. Mr. Freese now states that the subsequent enquiries and Proceedings in this case, though they have failed to

elicit

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

elicit any information as to the actual offenders, have led him to believe the amount was actually carried off by a Party of Korchawars who were passing from the Coast inland. As none of the previous Reports of this case afford any information calculated to establish such a belief, the Board request Mr. Freese will acquaint them with the grounds upon which it was founded, and whether any steps were taken to trace the Party of Korchawars who are supposed to have committed the robbery.

Read

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

32

Sir,

From the Coll.r of North Arcot — Forwards the Proceedings held in the cases of fraud in Cultivation in the Villages of Cotarlah and Venkatapooram as required in the Board's Proceedings dated 8th August 1850.

Pursl. Agreeably to the orders of the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 8th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith all the original Proceedings held in the two cases of fraud in Cultivation accounts in the Villages of Cotarlah and Venkatapooram Pennurry Talook, against my Sentences in which the Convicted parties have appealed to the Board.

2 With reference to the Board's directions that I should report on the allegations contained in the Appeal Petitions I beg to say that those petitions having been returned with my letter of the 18th July, I am unable to do so. So far as those allegations are relevant to the cases in hand, I believe all that I should say in explanation will be found

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

found in the Proceedings and Sentences now forwarded but should the Board desire any further explanation, I beg to request that they will return me the petitions for that purpose. I further beg that they will at the same time specify the points on which they require further information, for as far as I recollect the purport of the petitions, their Statements were very general and vague.

North Arcot
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 13th August 1850

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Nos. 1 to 93. Native Documents
No. 94.

Circar on the information of Venkata Reddy Monigar of Sannumputta in Pennutty Talook Complainant

vers

1 Cougana Paupiencha Chetty
2 Congara Venkatroya Chetty Monigar and
3 Venkatakrishna Pillay Curnum

of Cootarlah in Pennurry Talook Defendants

Defendants are charged under Regulation IX 1822 with concealing Cultivation in their Village to a large Amount for many years past. The charge was first made before the Collector in April

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

April 1849, when at Chendragherry making the Jummabundy of the Talook for Fusly 1258; and it included both Cotarlah and Vencatapoorum. The charge was referred to the Tahsildar for enquiry and report on the 11th April 1849. The Tahsildar however took no steps in the investigation; and on the Collector going to Chendragherry again in March 1850, to make the settlement of Fusly 1259, the Complainant appeared and repeated his charges. From other information then received the Collector had reason to believe that there was truth in them, and that large frauds in the concealment of Cultivation were habitually practised in the Village. He accordingly specially deputed a Gomastah to inspect the lands of the Village in Comparison with the Survey accounts, sending with him at the same time as arbitrators or Assessors a number of the most intelligent and respectable Monigars and Curnums to be found in the Villages in the vicinity. The persons thus deputed proceeded to the Village

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

Village and after much difficulty and loss of time in consequence of the resistance of the Defendants, the examination or Aymaish was completed. The accounts were then brought up to the Collector's Office, and the persons employed in the examination, as well as the Complainant and defendants have been examined before the Collector, and he now proceeds to record his opinion on the case as regards Cotarlah, that of Vencatapoorum is disposed of in separate proceedings.

2 The following is a brief abstract of the result of the examination.

Cultivation not brought to account.

	Pags as	Pags a Rs of
Teerwah of Poonjah land	4-7½	
D° Nunjah D°	218-14	
D° Garden or Totacal D°	8	
	223 13¾	
Add.		
Nunjah Fusuljastee	5 9	
2½ Crop teerwah not brought to account	9)	
Saveelyastee	1 11½	
Cuttbady Jodee	5-13½	
	22 10½	
	246 8.	

Deduct

Boganushty	28-10½	
Keyayet	26-6½	
	55 1	
Net —	191 7	Deduct

Deduct Bunjer land brought to account as Cultivated while it was not the case.

	Pags	Pags	Pds	Ps
Kunjah Teeruah			9	87½
Deduct				
Bogasnushty			2	5¼
Reyayet			1	2¾
Net			3	8¼
			6	
Add Cultady Jodee				15½
			6	15½
Remaining Chapanany			184	7½ = 645 10 3

Thus showing fraud in the concealment of Cultivation in a single year to the Amount of 645 Pds 1 a 6 in a Village of which the whole Beriz of that year, according to the Village accounts, was only 895 Pds 10 a 3

3. The main question in the case was the accuracy of the inspection accounts, the Goomastah deputed on the duty, as well as the persons sent with him, were examined at length in support of them, and were also subjected to the cross examination of the Defendants. Besides the accounts kept by the Goomastah, the two Curnums who went as assessors also kept accounts themselves

themselves of the whole course of the examination; and all these, as well as the other assessors examined, fully maintain the correctness of their measurements and accounts; and neither the questions put by the Collector nor the particular cross examination of the 1st Defendant discovered any inconsistency or discrepancy or inaccuracy.

4. The defence set up by the Defendants is the simple denial of the truth of the inspection accounts, and the allegation that their own adungul accounts are correct. To support this double allegation the 1st Defendant put a great Number of questions to the principal witnesses respecting their measurements of particular Stullums; but this cross examination had no effect whatever in shaking the evidence in support of the inspection, the witnesses (especially the Goomastah Chenchel Row) fully and clearly maintained their Statements. Further to support his allegation that the Agmaish accounts

accounts were erroneous, the 1st Defend-
ant asserted that the Goomastah and
all the asessors were his enemies, the
Collector took pains to question him as
to the grounds of enmity in the case
of each individual, and he could not
state any that were in any degree
plausible; the individuals themselves
were questioned also, and they totally
denied that there was any quarrel
between them and the 1st Defendant,
or that they had ever had any dis-
agreement.

5. The 1st Defendant further put some questions to show that the Goomastah Chenchel Row was not personally present throughout the measurement in consequence of sickness. But it was clearly shown that this absence extended to no more, than his sometimes during the heat of the day sitting down on the bank of a field, while that field was being measured, and so not always accompanying the measurers at every step, but being always within sight and even

even this was only latterly, when he became unwell from fatigue and exposure during this Aymaish, and on all such occasions, all the asessors were present with the measurers as well as, the Monigars and Ryots. The 1st Defendant attempts to show also that the excess exhibited in the Aymaish accounts was only "jareeb jasty" effected by very rigid measurement. But this is absurd where the excess is so large, and in point of fact there was scarcely any Jareeb jasty in the Village, as shown in the Statement in Para 2, almost the whole of the excess discovered being whole Mullums concealed.

6. The 1st Defendant, further begs that the Village may be examined over again by some asessors from the Chittoor Taluck, but the Collector can see no ground for acceding to this request. The examination already made was made carefully and deliberately, in presence of the Defendants and all the Ryots of the Village who chose to attend, and though the Village Officers refused to sign the Accounts, yet it is clearly proved though

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

(though denied by them) that whenever they objected to the measurement of a Mullum, the Gomastah went over it again, and showed them the correctness of his measurements and it was owing to these constant objections and consequent remeasurements, to the resistance of the Village people (and particularly of the 1st Defendant) to the Azmaish being made at all, and to the difficulties raised by them at every step, that the measurement of the two small Villages of Kotarlah and Vencatapooram occupied between five and six weeks.

7. The Collector fully believes that this Azmaish was carefully and correctly made, and that it may be relied on; and that being the case he sees no reason to comply with 1st Defendants application for a re-inspection. Far from showing any disposition to bear hard on the Defendants the inspecting Gomastah showed considerable forbearance, he deducted from the sums charged against them, the Teerwah of 7 Crop on

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

on some concealed two crop land because the Cultivation of two Crops on it was not proved, though strictly, as the land was proved to have been cultivated one, it rented with the Ryot to show that it was not one could not be cultivated with a second crop. He also disallowed the statement of a certain Ryot that a certain piece of land was cultivated this year, because there seemed reason to think the man a prejudiced witness, and he set down a piece of cultivation in the front of the tank at a lower rate of Assessment when some land near bore a higher, and when he was pressed by the Plaintiff to set down that higher rate.

8 The Collector considers the charge to be fully proved, to the Amount set down in the examination account. The 1st Defendant is not a Monigar, but a Ryot he is elder brother of the Monigar 2d Defendant, and 1st Cousin to Karanappen the Monigar of Vencatapooram. In point of fact he manages all the affairs of both Villages, he is a bold clever, active man, the actual Monigars are timid unintelligent and

slow, they were placed in the Office by the 1st Defendant as he preferred to exercise the powers, without the inconveniences, of the Office. The Collector is aware however that he in fact exercises all the powers of Monigar, the same is stated in evidence in the present case, and it is also quite clear in the course of the examination, for the other Defendants had not a single question to put or remark to make, while Paupiens cross examination of the chief Witnesses was long and close. The 1st Defendant therefore comes clearly within the scope of Class 3, Clause third Section II Regulation IX of 1822 and perhaps within class two of the same clause and as well as the 3d Defendant is convicted. Likewise of similar frauds to a large amount in the Village of Vencatapoorum

9. It may seem extraordinary that frauds to so large an amount should have been committed in these two Villages, and continued for many years, as there is no doubt they have been. The cause may be found, the Collector believes, partly in the circumstances of the Talook of Pennaroy and partly in the character of the

the principal culprit Paupia Naick. Originally the tract of country which now forms the Pennaroy Talook consisted of a number of small Polliems, these were sequestered shortly after the British assumption (as soon, that is as their resistance could be put down) and it was then declared to be the intention of Government to resume finally those which had been most contumacious, and to release the others. This intention was not finally carried into effect, however till about 1827, and in the meanwhile these Polliems were not regarded strictly as Government lands, and do not seem to have received the same attention from the Revenue Authorities.

10 The Physical character of the country too is peculiar it consists generally of long narrow valleys divided by low rugged ranges of hills, and with a good deal of low jungle scattered even about the valleys. This Physical Character of the Talook, the fact of its being somewhat out of the way in point of position and its containing no single place that can be called a town, have tended to make the

the Talook less known to the European Revenue officers of the District even since its permanent resumption, than other parts of their charge. There is reason to believe accordingly that fraud in the Cultivation Accounts is more or less — than common in the Villages now under consideration on account of the character of their leading Man Longara Paupiah Naick. This man is of a bold, able, and unscrupulous Character, he is not afraid of crime, and by intimidation, violence, corruption and other means, he has — secured such influence both among the people of that quarter of the District and the public Servants, as to have — hitherto enjoyed impunity —

11. The Collector considers this to be a case which calls for severe notice, and he accordingly passes the following sentence, under clause fourth Section V Regulation IX. of 1822. The 1st. Defendant Paupiah Naick to pay a fine of 500 Rupees or in default to be imprisoned in the Zillah Jail for twelve Months, the 2d. Defendant to pay a fine of 250 Rupees

Rupees or in default to be imprisoned in the Zillah Jail for twelve Months. the 3d. Defendant to pay a fine of 500 Rupees or in default to be confined in the Zillah Jail for twelve Months, the sentence in the case of the 1st. and 3d. Defendants to include their sentence for the frauds in Vencatapooram also. The Assessment of the concealed land and crops will also be levied from the Puttahdars or Ryots. The 2nd. and 3d. Defendants are dismissed from their Offices —

Palmanair }
May 24th. 1850 } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Vercar on the information of Vencata Reddy Monigar of Sanem-putta in Tripatty Talook — Vers. 1. Longara Paupiah Naick Ryot — 2 Longara Naranappen Monigar 3 Vencatakistna Pillay Curnum of Vencatapooram in Vennery Talook —

The Defendants are charged with concealing cultivation to a large amount in their Village, and thereby defrauding the Government. The circumstances of

of this charge are the same as those of the similar charge in Cotarla Village, in which sentence is passed this day. In the original information both Villages were included in one charge, the 1st and 3d Defendants are the same in both and the two Villages were aymaished by the same persons. The proceedings respecting the two villages are kept distinct for the sake of clearness.—

2 The following are the particulars of the frauds discovered in Vencatapoorum in Fusly 1259, cultivation not brought to the Jamabundy Account.—

	Pags as	Pags as
Teerwah of Poonjah land	24 11	
Dº of Nunjah Dº	17 5	42 3
Add.		
Nunjah Fusuljaustiy	5 9	
2nd crop teerwah not brought to account	10 8¼	
Dushundum Jodee	10 ½	21 13
		64 °
Deduct.		
Boganushty	3/4	
Ryayet	6 11¾	
	6 12½	
Net	57 3½	
Deduct		

Deduct Bunjer brought to Jamabundy account as cultivated while it was not the case—

	Pags as	
Teerwah of Nunjah land	7 3	
Dº of 2nd Crop brought to account as Cultivated with 1 Crop while it was Cultivated with one Crop only		14½
	8 1½	

Deduct.

Boganushty	6¼	
Ryayet	15¼	
	1 5½	6 12

Remaining Chapavany 50 7½ or 176 10 3 as a R as

Thus there was concealed Cultivation in a single year to the amount of 176 10 3 in a small Village of which the settled Peiz for that year by the accounts given in by the village officers amounted to only 218 13 10.

3. As in the Cotarlah case the main point at issue was the correctness of the Aymaish Accounts and the Collector considers this to be as satisfactorily established in this case as in the other and on very much the same grounds as are stated in Paras 3 to 6 of the sentence in that case. In his deposition in this case the Aymaishdar Chenchel Row states the information given to him by some of the Ryots of the Village whom he names respecting

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

respecting the system adopted by the 1st & 2nd Defendants in letting out the lands of the Village. There seems no reason to doubt the truth of this information though it is incapable of formal proof, and it shows that the fraud in the cultivation accounts has been systematic and long continued.

4 The Collector's remarks in Paras 7. 8. & 9 of his sentence in the Cotarlah case are equally applicable in the present case. The Collector considers the present charge found to the extent stated above in para 2, and he considers it necessary to pass an exemplary sentence. The 1st & 3rd Defendants are sentenced in that case, the 2nd Defendant is sentenced under Clause fourth, Section V Regulation IX of 1822 to pay a fine of 250 Rupees, or in default to be confined six months in the Zillah Jail. The 2nd & 3rd Defendants are also dismissed from their Offices. The Amount of the frauds stated in Para 2 as discovered in the Azmaish will be levied from the parties respectively who cultivated and enjoyed the land.

Palmanair
May 24th 1850

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Nos 96 to 100 Native Documents.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(32-33)

To the Collr. of North Arcot
Inbons 9th Sept/50

To
The Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th August 1850, and to request that the following accounts may be forwarded to this Office as early as possible as the investigation into the Penamany case is impeded from the want of them.

Adungul Accounts
Summabundy Chittah
Pymash & Tanum Chittah

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
9th September 1850

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(34)

From the Collector of North Arcot.....

Sir,

My Head Assistant Mr. Sullivan has selected a site at this place for building a bungalow and the land being all Enam the owners have agreed to take other land in lieu. I have the honor therefore to enclose a Statement showing the particulars of the land now held by them, and of that proposed to be given them as Enam instead, and I beg to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the exchange.

The land to be given as Enam is immemorial waste, and the Enamdars have agreed to it on Mr. Sullivan's making them a present and paying the expenses of bringing it into a cultivable state.

2 The Peishcush of the Enam land to be resumed will be regularly paid to Government by Mr. Sullivan while that granted as Enam in lieu has never yielded Revenue to the British Government, and it is little likely that under ordinary circumstances it ever would. The exchange is therefore to the advantage of the Government and the Enamdars are also quite satisfied with their bargain.

North Arcot Collectors...
Circuit Cutcherry Palmanair,
27th August 1850.....

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector
Statement.

12612

Fort St. George

9th September 1850.

Statement showing the land proposed to be given in lieu of land surrendered to a Gentleman for building a Bungalow and proposed to be resumed by Government.

Talook of Cuddapanattum in North Arcot in lieu of certain Enam

Name of the party intending to build the Bungalow.	Name of the Village.	Nature of the Enam.	Name of the Enamdar.	Particulars of the Bungalow proposed to be resumed by Government. Description of land.	Nos. Class.	Enam land taken and proposed to be resumed by Government. Extent. Rate per Cawny. Jeerwah.	arable or waste.	Land proposed to be granted in lieu and agreed to by the Enamdars. Description of land. Nos.	Class.	Extent.	Rate per Cawny. Jeerwah.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
A. W. Sullivan Esqr. Collr.	Gongady Gooroovapully.	Stulla Enam	Giddah reddy Mongar	Poonjah	16	2										
		Reddy Enam	Giddahreddy Mongar	Poonjah	17	2										
		Soty Enam	Venkatreyah. Soty.....	Poonjah	28	3										
		Total...														
							A. P. A. P. A. P.									
							" 13 1/4 " 13 1 10 3/4	Immemorial pail waste	Poonjah	32	4	1	3 1/2	" 3 "	3 3/4	The Jeerwah of the land in Col: 8 will be paid every year by Mr Sullivan
							2 8 3/4 " 13 2 1	Ditto	Do.	32	4	3	12 1/2	" 3 "	11 1/4	
							" 1 1/4 " 0 " 3 1/4	Ditto	Do.	31	4	1	12	" 3 "	3 1/4	
							4 4 1/4 " " 3 1 "	"	"	"	"	"	0 12	" "	1 1/4	

North Arcot, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair, 27th August, 1850.

E. C. H.r

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

Order.

Fort St. George 9th September 1830.

Order thereon — Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(34-35)

To the Chief Secy to Government.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter and its Enclosure from the Collector of North Arcot dated 27th August 1830 requesting sanction for the transfer to A. W. Sullivan Esqre Head Assistant Collector by certain Cudamdars of their lands on their receiving other Enams in lieu thereof.—

Encl. to Govt letter 9th Sept 1830.

2. Mr Sullivan has selected the lands in question as a site to build a Bungalow upon and the Cudamdars have consented to give them up for other lands, provided that Gentleman grants them some compensation in money and defrays the expenses of bringing those lands into Cultivation, which he is willing to do. He will also pay to Government the Teerwah amounting to Pagodas 3 — 1 — 4 and the land which it

Fort St. George 9th September 1830.

is proposed to transfer is the Cudamdars have as never yielded revenue, being immemorial waste, nor is it likely that under ordinary circumstances they ever would yield any. The exchange is therefore to the advantage of Government, and the Board beg to recommend the proposal for favorable consideration.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
9th September, 1830.

(Signed) W. A. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter.—

From the Collector of Salem... Furnishing further information in respect to the Claims of the Cadagatoor and Calagatoor inhabitants to certain Pagoda funds

(36)

From, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to be in reply to the instructions contained in your Board's Proceedings dated 8th April last in regard to the claims of certain inhabitants of the Village of Cadagatoor and Calagatoor to share in the funds now in deposit originally granted for celebration of a religious festival.—

I am

Measurement: Contains 189 2/16 Assessment: 17:12:5 Rupees

2. In the Tymash accounts of Cadagatoor a plot of Runjah called Collagara Pooramady is entered as belonging to the Manny Ummal Pagoda, but nothing appears in respect of the Shares to which each of the two abovementioned Villages is entitled. Owing to liability to occasional inundation it used to be Cultivated only every fourth or fifth year and as the produce of the Enam was of itself insufficient for the expenses of the Manny Ummal feast, the people of the two Villages were in the habit of performing it at their own Cost in four years. —

Fasly 1232

3 The above plot of Runjah was subsequently exchanged for another bearing a similar Assessment and it was in regard to the proceeds of the produce of the latter Enam that in A. D. 1839 disputes first arose. These were ordered by the then Principal Collector to be adjusted by Punchayet, but of the decision thereon passed the Calagatoor Ryots declined to accept a Copy. —

4 The Enam was Cultivated by the Villagers of Cadagatoor but on the occurrence of disagreements

disagreements between them and the Colagatoor people it was brought under Amany, the proceeds realised from the Cultivation being retained in deposit. Of the aggregate Amount thus realized a Sum of Rupees 221 was paid to the Ryots of Cadagatoor in accordance with the terms of the Punchayets award, the balance Rs. 40 being held available for the people of the other Village. —

× Rs. 267

5 It would seem that under the circumstances of the Enam being thus superintended by the Officers of Government it was not thought necessary to apply to it, and the funds derived from it, the orders dated 15th June 1820.

28th November 1829.

On the date noted Marginally an order was addressed to the local Tahsildar directing him to make over the land to the Cadagatoor Ryots with an intimation that the produce of the field should be shared in the proportions laid down by the Punchayet and that the party dissatisfied with this arrangement should seek redress

redress by an Action in the Established Courts.—

Salem District,
Ofsoor,
Collector's Cutchery,
21st August 1850.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(36-37)

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on Petition No. 473 of 1850.

"The Board of Revenue observe from a report received from the Collector that the Petitioners have obtained the decision of a Punchayet on their dispute. The Board therefore decline to interfere.—They approve of the local authorities having made over the land to the Cadagatoor Ryots with an intimation that the produce should be shared in the proportions laid down by the Punchayet; and that the party dissatisfied with the arrangement should seek redress by an action in the Civil Courts."—

Read the following letter

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(38)

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly—

Reporting upon the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1259.

Para 1 I have the honor to forward the usual printed Statements in English and Marratteh and to submit my report on the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Trichinopoly Collectorate for the past fusly 1259 A.D. 1849-50.

2. The following Abstract comparative Statement exhibits the different items of Revenue and shows that in the year under review there was an increase of Rupees 1,514-11—

	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Abkarry	22610 " "	24310 " "	1700 " "	" " "
Moturpha	6333 11	2 6444 7 7	108 12 5	" " "
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	4593 13 11	5061 12 6	467 14 7	" " "
Stamp	16105 4 "	18343 4 "	2238 " "	" " "
Total	49644 13 1	54159 8 1	4514 11 "	" " "

The

The average of the 3 Fuslies from 1256 to 1258 is Rupees 47904-9- compared with which the present Bariz exhibits an increase of Rupees 6,254-15-1.

3. The Abkarry which forms the principal head of Extra Revenue in this Collectorate exceeded the preceding year by Rupees 1700. The principal portion of this increase or Rupees 900 occurred in the rent of the Cusbah Conaud Talook which was taken at an enhanced rate by the person who held the rent within the Military limits. The small increase in the other Talooks may be attributed to the Cheapness of grain which encouraged Competition for the farm—

4 In the Motunpah which consists of two principal items, the loom and Bazar tax, there is a small increase of Rupees 48 in the Bazar and of 60 Rupees in the loom tax in the Torriore and Woodiarpolliem Talooks—

Under

5 Under the head of Sundry small Farms and Licences there is also an increase amounting to Rupees 467-4-7 which occurred in the two principal items the Hill rent and the rent of the grass in the Ditch of the Trichinopoly Fort for which larger Offers were made than in the preceding year under a spirit of competition—

6. The Stamp Revenue exhibits an increase of Rupees 2238 which is attributable to the operation of the New Act XVII of 1848 under which Stamp paper is substituted for an institution fee in the Courts of the District Moonsiffs—The new Act was oly in force for Nine Months in the preceding year while it has been in operation during the whole of the fusly under report—

7. Of the total demand on account of Extra Sources of Revenue for the year under report or Rupees 54,159-8-1 the sum of Rupees 52457-3-8 was collected within

within the Fusly or 11th July leaving a balance of Rupees 1702—4—5 the whole of which has since been realised No old balances are outstanding under any of the heads of revenue embraced in this report

	1258	1259
Fusly		
Commission Rs.	803—11—11	912—8—1
Stamp refund Rs.	222—0—0	970—0—0

Increase in the Commission between 1258 and 1259 — Rupees 108—9—2
Stamp refund in Dr. Increase Rupees 748—0—0
Total Rupees 856—9—2

8 In explanation of the increase in Charges which the accounts now submitted show to the extent of Rupees 856—9—2. I beg to observe that it arises from the 2 following Causes 1st a larger amount Commission has been paid to the Stamp agent in proportion to the increased sale of Stamp papers 2dly. The refund of the value of the Stamp papers used by Plaintiffs before the District Moonsiffs, when the suits were withdrawn or settled upon Razeenamahs—

9 A separate report on the state of the roads in this District will be

be forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works—

10. I beg to send herewith a blank Statement of the Manufacture of Sugar in this District in obedience to the instructions of Government under date 27th January 1818. The reasons stated in Para 10 of my last report Dated 27th September 1849 appear still to prevent the manufacture of this article being attempted—

11. My endeavours have been turned to preventing the clandestine Manufacture of earth Salt in this District and its illicit importation from the Tondiman's Country appears also to be in some degree diminished—

Trichinopoly Collector's Office 27th August 1850

/Signed/ D. Mattby
Collector

Statement

12023

Fort St George 9th September 1850

No 1 Statement shewing the Particulars of the Extra Sources of Revenue for fusly 1259 Compared with those of fusly 1258 in the District of Trichinopoly.

	Beriz of Fusly 1258	Beriz of Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P
Abkarry	22610 " "	24310 " "	1700 " "	" " "
Sundry Small Farms	4593 13 11	5061 12 6	467 14 7	" " "
Moturpha	6335 11 2	6444 7 7	108 12 5	" " "
Stamp	16105 4 "	18343 4 "	2238 " "	" " "
Total	49644 13 1	54159 8 1	4514 11 "	0 " "

Trichinopoly 27th August 1850

E. E. ff. / Signed / E. Maltby Collector

12024

Fort St George 9th September 1850.

No 2 Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for fusly 1259.

Item	Settlement of Fusly 1259	Collection within the Fusly	Balance at the beginning of Fusly 1259	Since Collected	Balance	Remarks.
	Rupees a P	Rup's a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P	Rupees a P	
Abkarry Revenue	24310 " "	23929 12 6	380 3 6	146 3 6	234 " "	
Small Farms and Licences	5061 12 6	4167 13 5	893 15 1	268 12 6	625 2 7	
Moturpha	6444 7 7	6166 9 9	277 13 10	218 9 10	59 4 "	
Stamp Revenue	18343 4 "	18193 " "	150 4 "	150 4 "	" " "	
Total	54159 8 1	52457 3 8	1702 4 5	783 13 10	918 6 7	This Balance has since been fully collected

Trichinopoly 27th August 1850

E. E. ff. / Signed / E. Maltby Collector

Comparative

12625

Fort St. George

No. 3 comparative Statement shewing the Demand Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly

Fusly 1258.

	Settlement of Fusly 1258	Collection to the 11th July 1848	Extra Collection	Total Collection of fusly 1258	Balance of fusly 1258 on the 12th July 1849	Settlement of Fusly 1259
	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/
Salt						
Sayer						
Sea Custom						
Abkarry	22610	22241		22241	185 15	24310
Sundry Small farms & Licences	4593 13 11	3969 3 9		3969 3 9	624 10 2	5061 12 6
Moturpha	633511	2597 6 15		597 6 15	358 12 2	6444 7 7
Stamps	16105 4	16105 4		16105 4		18343 4
Total Company's Rupees	4964 3 1	4847 5 7 9		4847 5 7 9	1169 5 4	54159 8 1

Trichinopoly 27th August 1850.

9th September 1850

Collection and Balance under each Head of Extra for fusleis 1258 and 1259—

	Fusly 1259				Comparison of the Settlement of fusly 1258 with that of fusly 1259		Comparison of total Collection of fusly 1259 with that of fusly 1258.	
	Collection on account of fusly 1259 to the 11th July 1850.	Extra Collection fusly 1259	Total Collection on account of fusly 1259	Balance of current Revenue on the 12th July 1850	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/	Rupees a/
Salt								
Sayer								
Sea Custom								
Abkarry	23929 12 6		23929 12 6	380 3 6	1700		1505 11 6	
Sundry Small farms & Licences	4167 13 5		4167 13 5	893 15 1	467 11 7		198 9 8	
Moturpha	6166 9 9		6166 9 9	277 13 10	108 12 5		189 10 9	
Stamps	18343 4		18193	150 4	2238		2087 12	
Total Company's Rupees	52607 7 8		52457 3 8	1702 4 5	4511 11		3981 11 11	

E. E. Jr. / Signed / E. Maltby
Collector

Statement

12626

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1257-1258 & 1259.*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1257			Fusly 1258			Fusly 1259			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	None in this District															
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase	None in this District															
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection	None in this District															
Charges „ Commission																
Charges „ Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection	None in this District															
Charges „ Commission																
Charges „ Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	20525	"	10	22660	"	2	24115	11	6	1455	11	4	"	"	"	Collection on account of Current Fusly 1259. 23929-12-6
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	Do on account of arrears 185-15-6
Net Revenue	20525	"	10	22660	"	2	24115	11	6	1455	11	4	"	"	"	Total 24115-11-6
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	4810	6	4	4832	2	5	4792	7	7	"	"	"	39	10	10	Collection on account of Current Fusly 1259. 4167-13-5
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	Do on account of arrears 624-10-2
Net Revenue	4810	6	4	4832	2	5	4792	7	7	"			39	10	10	Total 4792-7-7
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamp																
Gross Collections	13963	8	"	16105	4	"	18193	"	"	2087	12	"	"	"	"	
Charges	711	12	9	1025	14	11	1882	8	1	856	9	2	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	13251	11	3	15079	5	1	16310	7	11	1231	2	10	"			
Per Centage of the Charges	5	1	6	6	5	11	10	5	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	The increase of Percentage of the Charges is in consequence of the Refunds of the Value of Stamp Papers under the new act.
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	6249	6	4	6194	"	5	6525	5	11	331	5	6	"	"	"	Collection on account of Current Fusly 1259. 6166-9-9
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	Do on account of arrears 358-12-2
Net Revenue	6249	6	4	6194	"	5	6525	5	11	331	5	6	"	"	"	Total 6525-5-11
Per Centage of the Charges																
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	45548	5	6	49791	7	"	53626	9	"	3835	2	"	"	"	"	
Charges	711	12	9	1025	14	11	1882	8	1	856	9	2	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	44836	8	9	48765	8	1	51744	"	11	2978	8	10				
Per Centage of the Charges	1	9	"	2	"	11	3	8	2	"	"	"				
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco																
Charges after deductions for Do																
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions																

The Chief Poly
27th August 1850

E E Jr

E Heatly

12628

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

40

From the Collector of Sirt.
Trichinopoly ___

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Endorsement on petition No. 1487 of 1850 presented by Goolam Gavoos Saib and 78 others shareers in the Enam Village of Temmor directing me to report on the subject of this petition. In reply I beg to refer the Board to the correspondence noted in the margin from which the Board will perceive that the case has been settled

× To the Board 30th March 1848
From Do. 8th May Do.

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office
29th August 1850

Signed E. Maltby
Collector

Order thereon

40-41

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1487 of 1850.

The Petitioners are referred to the Endorsement passed by the Board on the 8th May 1848 on their Petition No. 1989 of 1847 which they must consider as final.

Read

1259.

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

No. 5. Statement showing the Manufacture of Sugar in the District of Trichinopoly for Fasly 1259 or A. D. 1849/50.

Name of the Village.	Name of the Persons.	Quantity of Sugar	Remarks.
Trichinopoly	None		

29th August 1850

(38-39) Order thereon.

Read 12th Sept.

Signed E. Maltby
Collector

(Ordered to be sent to Board of Revenue for Circulation)

Read again letter from the Collector of Madura dated 29th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 5th Instant

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address-

To The Chief Secretary to Government-

(42)

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Madura, dated 29th August 1850, forwarding copy of a Plaint filed against the Collector and three others by one Iyatooray Boutem of Meyloor in the Subordinate Court of Madura, for the recovery of a piece of Perumbokke land in the Village of Meyloor, and submitting draft of his proposed answer for the approval of Government-

2 The Plaintiff states that he purchased the ground in question from one Sooppia Pillay, in 1849, for Rupees 21 whose ancestral property it was, but it will be seen from the proposed reply, submitted by the Acting Sub

who is the Defendant in the present Suit

Sub Collector, that Meyloor is not a regular aggraharam, but a Pundaravadei or Government Village consequently the Poramboke belongs to the Circar and though occupied by the Ryots for building is delivered up when required, after removal of the roof of any building erected thereon, and is not properly transferable by sale-

In 1845. 3- When Sooppia Pillay quitted the piece of ground in question, it was incorporated with the backyard of the Shuttrum and enclosed with a wall. A claim was on this occasion preferred by one Murdoo to the land but the late Collector, Mr. Blackburne would not entertain it, stating that it was Poramboke belonging to Government-

4- The Plaintiff having attempted to erect a Hut upon the ground during the night, was prevented by the Mettoo Peon and other Circar Servants, and after enquiry, the Collector under date 9th March 1850 issued an order with reference to the former one by his Predecessor, to inform the Plaintiff that the ground was taken by the Circar and attached to the Shuttrum. Hence the present Suit-

The

5. The Board beg to recommend that the Suit may be defended at the public expense on the following grounds. that the sites of Houses built on Perumbokke or Government Villages are not transferable by sale that the land in question belongs to Government and had not been alienated by them to Sooppa Pillay or his ancestors and that consequently the alleged purchase of it from him by the Plaintiff cannot be held valid.

6. As the period allowed for filing the answer will expire on the 17th Current the Acting Sub Collector has been directed to apply for the extension thereof for a nother Month.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
9th September 1850.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letters

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(43)

From the Acting Coll.r of Timmenelly

Sir

In reply to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st Ultimo, I have the honor to state for the

information of the Board that the sum of Rupees 460-7-4 therein referred to forms a part of the amount of Rupees 3,12,438-8-5 entered under the Item of "Short Crops" in Column 2 of the Supplementary Statement No. 9 referred to in Para 32 of Collector Mr. Kindersley's report upon the Settlement of Fasly 1236 dated 10th September 1827 and was remitted under the Sanction of Government of 4th November 1828 Communicated by the Board of Revenue in their Secretary's letter of 10th Idem.

Timmenelly 28th August 1850
In forwd 1st July 1850.

Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

(4344)

Proceedings

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Timmenelly dated the 5th June 1850.

thereon. The sum of Rupees 405-8-" would appear to have been paid into deposit by Sadamoorthey Woodaley the purchaser of the land formerly belonging to the present claimant Puttamady Pillay and forms portion of the Arrears due upon the land previous to its sale to Sadamoorthey Woodeley remitted under orders from Government.

Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector the Board of Revenue grant Authority for the disbursement of the above sum of Rupees 405-8-" to Puttamady Pillay.

To

12633 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(45)

From the Acting Coll.r of Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to paral of the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 31st May last, referred to in Extract from Boards Proceedings dated 24th June last, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the individuals whose huts are to be removed in consequence of their being in dangerous contiguity to the Arsenal at Palamcottah are satisfied with the prices fixed and the Site pointed out to them for building new huts.

Tinnevelly
29th August 1850

/Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Order thereon.

(45-46)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 15th June 1850.

To

12634 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

To

C. J. Bird Esqre
Acting Collector of
Tinnevelly

(47)

From the Asst. Coll.r of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will apply for one Months leave for me under Section XI amended for the absentee Rules to proceed to the Palmeny Hills on private affairs.

Tencasi
Tinnevelly
29th August 1850

/Signed T. Hunter Blair

Recommended for compliance.

Tinnevelly
2d September 1850

/Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Order thereon.

(47-48)

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read

12635 Fort St. George 9th September 1850

49

From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Read letter forwarding two Statements of Extra Tent allowances drawn by himself and his subordinates for Fusly 1259.

49-50

Proceedings thereon

The Board of Revenue — Sanction the Extra Tent allowances drawn by each Officer during the number of days noted in the Margin.

Mr E. B. Thomas	Jr. Pr. Collector	for 1 day
Mr. M. J. Walhouse	Asst. Collr.	for 4 days

For Fusly 1259.

Mr E. B. Thomas	Jr. Pr. Collr.	for 236 days
" B. Cunliffe	Jr. Sub Collr.	for 39 days
" W. M. Molle	Sub Collr.	for 33 days
" M. J. Walhouse	Asst. Collr.	for 80 days
" C. F. Chamier	Do.	for —— 50 days
" J. R. Gardon	Do.	for —— 34 days

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Civil Auditor.

Read

12636 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Read the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

51

From the Collr. of Canara — Requesting sanction for the purchase of 700 Garces of Bombay Salt.

Sir

1. I have the honor to request the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the purchase of 700 Garces of Bombay Salt for sale at the Cotay of Buntwal.

2. The Board are aware that it has long been customary to furnish Bombay Salt to the traders from above the Ghats resorting to Buntwal, an article which is greatly preferred by them to the produce of this district. The above quantity is required to meet the expected demands of the ensuing season.

3. The price paid last year for the supply of Bombay Salt was as high as Rupees 27- and Rupees 26-15-as per Garce. The present contract was put up in two lots of 350 Garce each, and the price at which the present parties engage to deliver the Salt is Rupees 19-12-as for one lot and Rupees 18-12-as for the other, being respectively Rupees 7-4- and Rupees 8-3-as less than the price of last year.

year, the saving on the whole quantity required being Rupees 5,403 — 2 — as

	Per Garce R. a P.
1255	25 — 11 — 11
56	17 — 11 — 11
57	20 — 8 — 11
58	22 — 8 — 11
59	27 — 11 — 4
Average	22 — 7 — 11
Do. of the present contract	19 — 4 — 11
Decrease	3 — 3 — 11

The price of last year was unusually high, but in comparison with the average of former seasons the present agreement is an advantageous one.

5- Of the total Amount of the purchase price Rupees 13,475 I request that sanction may be obtained for paying an advance to the contractors of Rupees 4491-

6- I beg to state for the information of the Board that there has been a very considerable increase in the home manufacture of Salt in the last year as shown in the Margin, and this has rendered as independent of a foreign supply except to the extent of — which I now request sanction- I believe that at the prices above specified — the Bombay Salt will in the present — year be sold to greater advantage than that from our own Salt pans--

	G	M	P
Fasly 1258	2,394	118	5
" 1259	3,408	298	4
Increase	1014	179	3.

7- I shall endeavour to take an early opportunity of reporting more fully than I have now the powers of doing upon the measures taken in conformity with the Board's orders of the 5th September 1849, and their result-

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore
17th August 1850

Signed F. K. Mallby
Collector
Resolved

(51-52)

Proceedings thereon- Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and Orders of Government with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

Submitting a letter from the Collr. of Canara and recommending that sanction be granted for an outlay of Rs. 13476 for the purchase of Bombay Salt to meet the demands of the ensuing seasons for an advance of Rs. 4491- to the contractors also reporting that the Board cannot for reasons stated recommend the substitution of the Tinnevelly Salt for that of Bombay-

Proceedings to Collr. 12th March —
Pros. to Govt. 13th Augt. 1849
To Govt. 28th Augt. in Cons
5. September 1849-

It is observed that there has been an increase of Garce M M 1014 — 179 — 3 in the home Manufacture of Salt in Canara in Fasly 1259 which has rendered it independent of a foreign supply except to the extent of 700 Garce for store at the Depot at Buntwal which it is now proposed to obtain on purchase from Bombay, to meet the demands of the ensuing season from the Traders from above the Ghats who it is stated prefer it to the Salt produced in Canara-

The proposed contract is for the supply of Two lots of 350 Garce each, the one lot at Rupees 19 — 12 — " and the other at Rupees 18 — 12 — " per Garce, which is favorable

year, the saving on the whole quantity required being Rupees 5,403—2—11

	Per Garce 4 M. a P.
1255	25—11—11
56	17—11—11
57	20—8—11
58	22—8—11
59	27—11—11
Average	22—7—11
Do. of the present Contract	19—4—11
Decrease	3—3—11

The price of last year was unusually high, but in comparison with the average of former seasons the present agreement is an advantageous one.

5— Of the total Amount of the purchase price Rupees 13,475 I request that sanction may be obtained for paying an advance to the contractors of Rupees 4491.

6— I beg to state for the information of the Board that there has been a very considerable increase in the home manufacture of Salt in the last year as shown in the Margin, and this has rendered us independent of a foreign supply except to the extent for which I now request sanction. I believe that at the prices above specified the Bombay Salt will in the present year be sold to greater advantage than that from our own Salt pans.

	Garce	M.	P.
Fusly 1258	2,894	118	5
" 1259	3,408	298	11
Increase	1014	179	8

7— I shall endeavour to take an early opportunity of reporting more fully than I have now the powers of doing upon the measures taken in conformity with the Board's orders of the 5th September 1849, and their result.

Collectors Cutcherry, Mangalore, 17th August 1850.

(Signed) F. K. Maltby
Collector

Resolved

(51-52)

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a letter from the Collr. of Canara and recommending that sanction be granted for an outlay of Rs. 13475 for the purchase of Bombay Salt to meet the demands of the ensuing seasons for an advance of Rs. 4491 to the contractors also reporting that the Board cannot for reasons stated recommend the substitution of the Tinnevelly Salt for that of Bombay.

Proceedings to Coll. 12th March—
Pros. to Govt. 13th Augt. 1849
To Govt. 28th Augt. in Cons
3 September 1849.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and Orders of Government with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

It is observed that there has been an increase of 1014—179—3 Garce M. M. in the home Manufacture of Salt in Canara in Fusly 1259 which has rendered it independent of a foreign supply except to the extent of 700 Garce for store at the Depot at Buntwal which it is now proposed to obtain on purchase from Bombay, to meet the demands of the ensuing season from the Traders from above the Ghats who it is stated prefer it to the Salt produced in Canara.

The proposed contract is for the supply of Two lots of 350 Garce each, the one lot at Rupees 19—12—11 and the other at Rupees 18—12—11 per Garce, which is favorable

Fort St. George 9th. September 1850

favorable contrasted with the prices paid in former years and the Board recommend that sanction be granted for an outlay of Rupees 13475 — " — " for the proposed purchase and for an advance of Rupees 4491. to the Contractors.

From Tinnevelly ascertained 25th October in Cons 12th November 1849

The Board have ascertained that salt cannot be supplied to Canara from the Tinnevelly district under 50 to 60 Rupees per Garce and even if procurable at a lower rate until they have seen specimens of the Salt manufactured in Tinnevelly, it would impracticable for them, for the reasons stated by the Collector in his letter of the 1st. August 1849 /Para 8/ to recommend its substitution for the Bombay Salt for which the present Contract is proposed.

Read.

Fort St. George 9th. September 1850.

Read the following letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

53

From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 19th Instant I have the honor to inform you that the Rules for regulating the planting of Topes and Trees received with Mr. Eyerott's letter of the 5th April 1848 were duly circulated throughout the District, but no one has as yet availed himself of the privileges offered by the said Rules.

Calicut . . } 31st August, 1850 }

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector.

53-54

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

55

From the Collector of Madras

Sir,

The items noted in the Margin were debited in my Journals of dates 31st July 1846, 31st

1	For Tax to the Table of the Stamp Agent . . .	Rs. a. p. " — 3 — "	
2.	Repairing the door of the North gate of the Cutcherry . . .	1 — 6 — "	
3.	The old balance standing at the Parthasaraty Permal Pagoda at Triplicane in Fusly 1255 . . .	2358- 2 — "	

31st. May 1847 and 31st. October 1849 respectively and have now been retrenched by the Civil Auditor. The first two will in future be brought to account in the Quarterly Statement of Contingent charges. As they are of a nature not calling for further notice I beg that the necessary sanction may be conveyed to me.

2. With respect to the last Item the Civil Auditor states that he does not feel himself authorized to admit the sum under the sanction communicated in Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 9th October 1845, I have therefore the honor to request that the special sanction of Government may be obtained to enable the Civil Auditor to adjust the Accounts.

Madras, ...
28th August, 1850.

Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(55-56) Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

2- The two first items Annas 3-" "for the tax to the Table of the Stamp Agent" and Rupees 1-6-" for

"for repairing the door of the North Gate of the Cutcherry" were omitted to be embodied in the Collector's Statement of Contingent charges submitted to the Board for sanction, and under this explanation the Board recommend that the Civil Auditor may be authorized to admit the charge

3. Adverting to the remaining sum retrenched in the Collector's account to Rupees 2,558-2-" the Board observe that the disbursement was incurred under an order conveyed in their Proceedings of the 9th October 1845, but that the Civil Auditor does not consider that sufficient authority and the Board resolve therefore to solicit the special sanction of Government for that amount.

4. On the 29th July 1845 the Board

No. 915 of 1845. received a Petition from the Durmacurta of the Pagoda Stree Parthasarady Swamy at Triplicane pointing out the decayed state of the Western Wall of the Pagoda and praying for a sum of Rupees 5,000- from the surplus Pagoda Fundo for its repair, and having ascertained from the Collector that the

amount

amount of surplus Fund then in deposit was only Rupees 5978-10-" and that the state of the Wall was such as to render its repair indispensible directed that such portion of the Wall as was likely to prove dangerous to the Public should be repaired. The Collector thereupon submitted an Estimate Amounting to Rupees 2,558-2-" for the repairs necessary for Public security, which sum the Board authorized him to disburse out of the surplus Davasthanum Fund of the Triplicane Pagoda.

Proceedings 9th October 1845.

5. They now resolve to furnish copies of the Collectors' letter of the 22nd September 1845 and its enclosure together with their order of the 9th October 1845 for the information of Government.

Read the following

57

Memorandum.

From Post Master General

Official Memor.

With reference to the Official Memorandum of the 3rd Instant from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue the Post Master General has

has the honor to state that the attention of Post Masters in the interior has recently been called to the wet state in which the Mails have been received for some weeks past and urged them to have the Packets more securely made up.

The enclosure received with the Memorandum is herewith returned

Fort St. George, General Post Office, 4th September 1850.

/Signed/ C. P. Brown
Post Master.

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

57-58

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

59

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying —

A. General Statement, shewing the Amount of Gross Collections

12645 Fort St. George 9th September 1850

Collections, Charges and net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under the Presidency for Fusly 1258 compared with Fusly 1257.

2. The following abstract Statement exhibits a net Decrease on the Net Revenue from all sources amounting to Rupees 2,33,287-13-6 resulting from a Gross Increase of Rupees 2,78,874-13-1 under the heads of Tobacco, Land Customs, Abkarry and Stamps, and a Gross Decrease in Salt, Sea Customs, Sundry small Farms and Licenses and Moturpha, amounting to Rupees 5,11,162-12-7.

Abstract

Dft

9th September 1850.

	Charges.			Net Revenue.			
	Centage	Fusly 1258.	Centage	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258.	Increase	Decrease
	a° Rupt. a	Rupt a°	Rupt a	Rupt a°	Rupt a°	Rupt a°	Rupt a°
	9	6 13/16	10	11 1/4			
	5	6 2/16	5	13 1/2			
	14	12 15/16	16	11 3/4			
	9	6 13/16	10	11 1/4			
	5	6 2/16	5	13 1/2			
	23	11 14/16	22	1 9/16			
	8	10 1/16	10	12 3/16			
	32	5 15/16	32	13 3/4			
	23	11 14/16	22	1 9/16			
	8	10 1/16	10	12 3/16			
	73	13 10/16	24	1 10/16			
	22	3 6/16	22	7 15/16			
	1	1	3	10 3/16			
	9	3 1/16	11	2 2/16			
	5	9 11/16	6	8 13/16			
	1	14 14/16	2	5 2/16			
	14	5 9/16	13	15 15/16			
	8	13 11/16	7	13 11/16			

1648 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Tobacco amounted to Rupees 11,94,371. 8. 10. The Gross Charges after deducting the purchase and Manufacture of Salt and Tobacco were Rupees 7,83025. 13. 9. exchibiting a Decrease in the former of Rupees 83573. 1. 5 and in the latter of Rupees 1,29,001. 12. 1.

	Gross Charges.	
Fusly 1257	15,77,944	10 3
" 1258	14,94,371.	8 10
Decrease	83573.	1 5
Net Charges		
Fusly 1257	9,20,027.	9 10
" 1258	7,83025.	13 9
Decrease	1,29,001.	12 1.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
9th September 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

General

12061/7

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

3. The Gross receipts from all Sources in Fusly 1258 without deductions of charges of Purchase and manufacture of Salt and Tobacco amounted to Rupees 10,67,6893-8-5 The Gross Receipts deducting those charges were —

Gross Receipts	Rupees 99,65,547-13-4	exhibiting in the
Fusly 1257	109,95,754-9-4	
" 1258.	106,76,893. 8 5	Receipts of Fusly
Decrease	3,18,861. " 11	1258 a Decrease
Net Receipts.		of Rupees 3,18,861.
Fusly 1257	103,29,837 8 11.	-"- 11 in the former
" 1258	99,65,547. 13 4	case and of Rupees
Decrease	3,64,289. 11. 7.	3,64,289. 11. 7 in the latter. —

4. The Gross charges of all Sources in Fusly 1258 including the purchase and Manufacture of Salt and — " Tobacco.

1819.

General Statement of Gross Revenue, Charges and

		Gross Revenue Fusly 1254
1		2 Rupees
1	Zillah of Ganjam	2,33,753
2	" Vizagapatam	1,07,889
3	" Rajahmundry	1,91,350
4	" Masulipatam	2,85,788
5	" Guntoor	3,89,328
6	" Chingleput	3,58,265
7	" Salem	"
8	" Madura	3,18,106
9	" Nellore and Ongole	6,47,391
10	Northern Division of Arcot	15,138
11	Southern Division of Arcot	1,99,764
12	Tanjore	4,84,970
13	Trichinopoly	"
14	Tinnevelly	2,81,813
15	Bellary	"
16	Cuddapah	"
17	Coimbatore	"
18	Canara	3,00,190
19	Malabar	3,65,731
20	Madras	27,362
21	Dº Sea customs	"
22	Kurnool.	"
	Total	47,98,113.

Fort St. George

9th September 1858.

Net Revenues from Salt Tobacco monopoly Land Customs, Sea Customs & Abkarry Sundry Small Farms and Stall.

Before deductions for manufacture & Purchase				Gross Revenues after deductions for manufacture and Purchase.		Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
Fusly 1258.		Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.						
3		4	5	6		7		8		9	
Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p
2,76,599	5 2	"	" "	13,15,7	15 11	2,79,735	5 7	2,68,907	5 4	"	" "
1,26,332	12 "	18,443	1 1	"	" "	1,03,686	9 "	1,18,021	9 3	"	" "
19,1300	8 4	"	" "	50	3 2	1,83,297	10 6	1,70,782	5 8	"	" "
3,09,457	12 7	23,669	9 2	"	" "	2,82,115	7 8	3,01,571	14 10	"	" "
4,07,315	12 2	17,987	8 8	"	" "	3,65,370	12 11	3,90,453	15 2	"	" "
2,50,033	1 10	"	" "	10,8232	7 4	3,19,402	1 4	2,13,304	2 6	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3,23,185	1 11	5,078	5 2	"	" "	2,79,695	14 1	2,92,923	12 5	"	" "
5,25,092	8 4	"	" "	1,22,298	15 6	5,83,719	8 10	4,61,056	9 2	"	" "
11,937	" "	"	" "	3,201	" "	14,158	12 "	10,468	8 3	"	" "
1,87,905	7 5	"	" "	11,358	14 10	1,79,201	10 3	1,64,406	13 5	"	" "
4,66,794	4 6	"	" "	18,176	7 5	4,40,851	2 10	4,27,563	" 1	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3,03,436	11 2	21,622	11 5	"	" "	2,40,037	10 3	2,80,046	5 7	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4,46,316	10 3	"	" "	5,3873	9 "	4,29,344	9 4	3,68,740	4 5	"	" "
3,62,508	11 2	"	" "	3,221	15 6	3,12,168	12 2	2,58,752	12 1	"	" "
3,98,813	10 6	1,25,184	10 6	"	" "	2,46,201	8 "	3,57,192	15 8	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
45,86,027	5 4	2,11,985	14 "	3,34,071	8 8	42,64,287	6 4	40,36,258	5 10		
		Dt. Increase		2,11,985	14 "						
		Net Decrease		1,22,085	10 8						

Deductions for manufacture and Purchase.		Charges for manufacture and Purchase.					
Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase	Decrease		
8	9	10	11	12	13		
Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p
"	" "	10,828	" 3	9,019	15 6	6,689	15 10
14,395	" 3	"	" "	4,203	1 11	8,251	2 9
"	" "	12,515	4 10	8,053	1 "	20,518	2 8
19,456	7 7	"	" "	3,672	12 2	7,385	13 9
25,083	2 3	"	" "	23,957	6 7	16,861	13 "
"	" "	10,609	7 14 10	38,863	7 10	36,728	15 4
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
13,227	11 4	"	" "	38,410	14 8	30,261	5 6
"	" "	1,27,662	15 8	58,671	15 "	64,035	15 2
"	" "	3,690	3 9	979	4 "	1,468	7 9
"	" "	14,791	12 10	20,562	12 "	23,498	10 "
"	" "	13,288	2 9	44,119	9 1	39,231	1 5
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4,0008	11 4	"	" "	41,776	5 6	23,390	5 7
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	60,604	4 11	70,815	9 11	77,576	5 10
"	" "	5,3716	" 11	53,261	14 6	10,375	15 1
1,12,997	7 8	"	" "	27,427	8 "	39,614	10 10
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2,25,168	11 5	4,03,197	11 11	4,43,825	9 8	4,99,768	15 6
Dt. Increase		2,25,168	11 5				
Net Decrease		1,78,029	" 6				

6,67,30	11 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5,0494	" 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12,187	2 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
69,927	5 4	42,983	15 6				
				42,983	15 6	Dt. Decrease	
				53,943	5 10	Net Increase	

12650

For the George

Licenses, Stamps and Motorpha for Fusly 1258.

9th September 1850.

Compared with Fusly 1257.

	Charges for Superintendence.						Salt Total		(Continued) Charges		Net Revenue.			
	Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.
	14		15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
1	6,886	6 3	5,652	9 4	"	" "	1,214	12 11	15,887	5 9	12,342	9 2	"	" "	9,613	3 4
2	5,949	1 10	6,526	2 4	577	" 6	"	" "	10,152	3 9	14,777	5 1	"	" "	"	" "
3	11,971	9 1	12,806	14 5	835	5 4	"	" "	20,024	10 1	33,325	1 1	13,817	15 9	"	" "
4	7,791	5 9	9,833	4 8	2,041	14 11	"	" "	11,164	1 11	17,719	2 5	"	" "	13,350	10 2
5	15,131	2 1	15,009	5 9	"	" "	121	12 4	39,088	8 8	31,871	2 5	"	" "	"	" "
6	17,982	13 6	21,260	9 4	3,277	11 10	"	" "	5,684	5 4	57,989	8 8	"	" "	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
8	26,944	4 10	25,448	3 2	"	" "	1,496	1 8	65,335	3 6	55,709	8 8	"	" "	"	" "
9	43,436	6 2	40,815	" 6	"	" "	2,621	5 8	102,108	5 2	104,850	15 8	14,724	" "	"	" "
10	816	14	870	14 4	33	13 "	"	" "	1,825	5 4	2,348	6 1	"	" "	1,250	41 10 "
11	25,746	4 11	26,473	11 1	727	6 2	"	" "	4,630	" 11	4,997	2 5 1	"	" "	3,724	" 9
12	13,917	6 7	14,188	6 4	270	15 9	"	" "	58,036	15 8	53,419	10 9	"	" "	13,559	2 6
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	12,795	9 8	12,428	13 4	"	" "	366	12 4	54,571	15 2	35,819	2 11	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	31,442	9 10	34,363	8 "	2,920	14 2	"	" "	102,288	3 9	1,11,939	13 10	"	" "	"	" "
19	10,006	4 3	10,647	12 2	641	7 11	"	" "	63,268	2 9	114,403	11 3	"	" "	63,525	3 1
20	22,693	14 4	31,655	8 4	8,962	4 "	"	" "	50,120	12 4	7,1270	3 2	"	" "	54,357	8 "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2,53,521	10 5	2,67,989	11 1	20,288	13 7	5,820	12 11	6,97,347	4 1	7,67,758	10 7				
							5,820	12 11	Dt. Decrease							
							14,468	" 8	Net Increase							
											1,14,189	5 "	1,37,777	" 6	4,010,765	11 11
											13,777	14 6	Dt. Decrease			
											70,111	6 6	Net Increase			

1651 Fort St. George 9th September 1858.

Gross Revenue before deductions for purchase of Tobacco. | Tobacco Monopoly. Gross Revenue after deductions for purchase of Tobacco. | Tobacco Monopoly. charges for purchase.

	Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase.		Decrease.		Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase		Decrease	
	26		27		28		29		30		31		32		33	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	2,14,46	4 4	53,100	6 1	31,654	1 9	"	" "	2,14,46	4 4	53,100	6 1	"	" "	"	" "
18	34,1199	4 11	36,1306	13 10	2,01,078	11	"	" "	2,35,384	14 7	2,32,134	9	2,950	13 10	10,581	14 6
19	5,72,835	10 7	54,3168	2 7	"	" "	29,667	8 -	1,56,538	10	21,60,464	4 1	3,905	9 11	"	" "
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	9,45,281	3 10	9,57,575	6 6	5,17,610	8	29,667	8 -	7,13,389	13 1	7,15,998	10 11	35,559	11 8	2,950	13 10
					29,667	8 - Dt. Decrease							2,22,091	6 9	2,11,576	11 7
					22,091	4 2 8 Net Increase							32,608	13 10 Net Increase		

	Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase		Decrease	
	34		35		36		37.	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	2,950	13 10	10,581	14 6	12,887	13 1	2,306	8 9
19	3,905	9 11	"	" "	1,16,277	4 6	82,703	14 6
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	35,559	11 8	2,950	13 10	2,22,091	6 9	2,11,576	11 7
			2,950	13 10 Dt. Decrease				
			32,608	13 10 Net Increase				

	Increase	Decrease
	23,058	6 9
	Net Decrease	10,511 11 2

Charges for Superintendence &c.

	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
	38 Rs a p	39 Rs a p	40 Rs a p	41 Rs a p
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	54,962 8 2	7,6358 11 6	21,396 3 1	" " "
19	25,778 13 1	26,700 12 1	921 11 9	" " "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	80,741 5 6	10,305 9 7	22,318 2 1	" " "

Tobacco Total Charges.

Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
42 Rs a p	43 Rs a p	44 Rs a p	45 Rs a p
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16,077 6 11	6 20,523 1 8	7 " " "	" " "
11,205 13 9	10,940 10 7	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
30,283 12 3	31,463 6 3	2 " " "	" " "

Monopoly: (contd)

Net Revenue.

Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
46 Rs a p	47 Rs a p	48 Rs a p	49 Rs a p
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21,446 4	53,100 6 1	31,654 1 9	" " "
18,012 2 6	5 15,607 5 3	" " "	24,347 1 2
4,30,779 12	10 4,33,763 8	" 2,983 11 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6,32,648 7 7	6,29,39 3 4	31,637 12 11	24,347 1 2

32,651 3 2 Dt Decrease.

11,803 6 11 Net Increase

24,347 1 2 Dt Decrease

10,290 11 9 Net Increase

Fort St. George

9th September 1850.

	Gross Revenue. Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase.		Decrease.		Land Customs charges. Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.	
	50 Rs.	a p	51 Rs.	a p	52 Rs.	a p	53 Rs.	a p	54 Rs.	a p	55 Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	4,514	9 2	4,558	6 9	13	13 7	"	" "	1,977	9 6	1,991	12 2
4	39,088	13 3	37,886	6 5	"	" "	1,202	6 10	4,601	10 8	4,493	10 5
5	1,009	5 9	3,012	9 4	"	" "	966	12 5	1,980	15 "	2,007	10 8
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
7	70	6 6	"	" "	"	" "	70	6 6	"	" "	"	" "
8	1,490	2 8	1,604	15 "	116	12 4	"	" "	549	" "	549	" "
9	"	" "	4	10 5	4	10 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	31,893	6 9	27,981	6 8	"	" "	6,912	" 1	8,650	9 3	8,482	12 6
12	28,455	11 10	19,827	11 "	"	" "	8,628	" 10	3,027	2 3	3,009	15 9
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	51,131	1 6	51,827	3 11	395	15 5	"	" "	2,994	4 4	2,987	8 11
15	6,711	4 5	6,919	10 "	208	5 7	"	" "	3,258	9 9	3,517	15 4
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	908	" 2	43	15 6	"	" "	864	" 8	"	" "	"	" "
18	11,065	2 6	7,542	12 1	"	" "	3,522	6 5	10,942	3 10	6,395	6 "
19	1,216	5 3	128	12 5	"	" "	87	8 10	2,434	6 10	2,552	7 7
20	398	5 "	118	8 8	"	" "	279	12 4	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22	6,954	11 "	6,918	11 7	"	" "	35	15 5	2,178	13 2	2,179	8 "
	19,023	7 8	9,168	4 11	739	9 4	22,569	6 4	14,049	5 1	7,405	8 9 1 1

Dr. Increase 739 9 4

Net Decrease 21,829 13 "

	Net Revenue. Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase.		Decrease.	
	56 Rs.	a p	57 Rs.	a p	58 Rs.	a p	59 Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	14	2 8	"	" "	2,566	15 8	2,566	10 7
5	"	" "	8	" 3	34,587	2 7	33,392	12 "
6	26	11 8	"	" "	2,028	6 9	1,034	14 8
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
8	"	" "	"	" "	70	6 6	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	941	2 8	10,557	15 "
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4	10 5
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	167	12 9	26,242	13 6	19,498	10 2	"	" "
13	17	2 6	25,428	9 7	16,817	11 3	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
15	6	11 5	4,837	" 2	4,839	11 "	402	10 10
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	259	5 7	"	" "	3,452	10 8	3,401	10 8
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	2421	5 9	"	" "	908	" 2	A 2377	6 3
20	"	" "	102,546	13 10	A 99,837	1 4	1,147	6 1
21	118	" 9	"	" "	A 2218	1 7	A 2123	11 2
22	"	" "	"	" "	398	5 "	118	8 8
23	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
24	"	10 10	"	" "	4,775	13 10	4,739	3 7
					149,837	7 1	132,619	12 1
Deduct sums marked letter A					100,095	2 11	4,801	1 5
	2,840	5 3	102,716	8 9	49,742	4 2	127,818	10 8

Dr. Increase — 2,840 5 3

Net Decrease — 99,906 3 6

	Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase.		Decrease.	
	60 Rs.	a p	61 Rs.	a p				
1	"	" "	"	" "				
2	"	" "	"	" "				
3	"	" "	"	" "				
4	"	" "	"	5 1				
5	"	" "	1,194	6 7				
6	"	" "	993	8 1				
7	"	" "	"	" "				
8	"	" "	70	6 6				
9	116	12 4	"	" "				
10	"	" "	"	" "				
11	"	" "	"	" "				
12	"	" "	6,744	3 4				
13	"	" "	8,610	14 4				
14	"	" "	"	" "				
15	402	10 10	"	" "				
16	"	" "	"	" "				
17	"	" "	51	" "				
18	"	" "	"	" "				
19	"	" "	3285	6 3				
20	"	" "	99,024	7 5				
21	"	" "	2123	11 2				
22	"	" "	279	12 4				
23	"	" "	"	" "				
24	"	" "	36	10 3				
	524	3 7	17,981	2 6				
Deduct sums marked letter A	100,095	2 11	4,801	1 5	99,024	7 5	3,285	6 3
	524	3 7	99,543	9 "	21,472	2 6		

21,472 2 6 Dr. Decrease

730 7 6 6 Net Increase

12654 — Fort St. George — 9th September 1850.

	Gross Revenue. Fusly 1257.	Gross Revenue. Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Less Customs charges. Fusly 1257.	Less Customs charges. Fusly 1258	Net Revenue. Fusly 1257.	Net Revenue. Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
	62 Rs. a p.	63 Rs. a p.	64 Rs. a p.	65 Rs. a p.	66 Rs. a p.	67 Rs. a p.	68 Rs. a p.	69 Rs. a p.	70 Rs. a p.	71 Rs. a p.	72 Rs. a p.	73. Rs. a p.
1	3,349-13-2	1,410-11-7	" " "	29,939-1-7	1,789-6-"	1,720-11-3	" " "	68-10-9	29,560-7-2	A 309-15-8	" " "	29,870-6-10
2	31,069-11-10	8,471-11-7	" " "	22,598-"-3	2,301-"-"	1,834-11-6	" " "	466-4-6	28,768-11-10	6,637-"-1	" " "	22,131-11-9
3	49,770-8-7	35,644-11-3	" " "	14,125-13-4	3,911-"-9	3,449-1-9	" " "	462-"-"	45,859-6-10	32,195-9-6	" " "	13,663-13-4
4	13,344-12-4	7,567-4-6	" " "	5,777-7-10	2,194-12-6	2,202-2-11	" " "	11,149-15-10	5,365-1-7	" " "	5,731-4-3	
5	1,114-13-1	4,438-9-5	3,323-12-4	" " "	503-8-"-	513-13-"-	10	5	" " "	3,611-5-1	6,924-12-5	3,313-7-4
6	295-1-3	337-2-10	42-1-7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	295-1-3	337-2-10	42-1-7	" " "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	19,553-13-11	13,445-3-"-	" " "	6,108-13-8	2,920-14-5	2,717-4-11	" " "	203-9-6	16,632-15-6	10,727-11-4	" " "	5,905-4-2
9	12,999-9-1	2,201-11-1	" " "	10,797-14-"-	2,612-"-"-	2,587-11-11	" " "	54-4-1	10,385-9-1	A 336-10-"-	" " "	10,048-9-11
10	1,268-6-9	" " "	" " "	1,268-6-9	528-"-"-	528-"-"-	" " "	" " "	740-6-9	A 528-"-"-	" " "	1,268-6-9
11	39,841-9-9	25,469-4-9	" " "	14,372-5-"-	4,611-7-6-8	4,613-14-3	" " "	33-8-5	35,194-3-1	20,855-6-6	" " "	14,338-12-7
12	19,699-"-3	17,058-5-14-10	" " "	26,404-15-5	9,763-6-6	9,764-14-"-	1	7-6	" " "	137,227-7-9	160,821-"-10	" " "	26,406-6-11
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	66,776-2-11	13,105-5-8	" " "	53,670-13-3	2,336-7-11	1,357-15-6	" " "	478-8-5	64,439-11-"-	11,247-6-2	" " "	53,192-4-10
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	10,875-11-1	5,941-3-5-10	" " "	49,372-5-3	6,028-7-8-4	2,322-3-13-9	" " "	37,063-10-7	43,498-2-9	36,139-8-1	" " "	12,308-10-8
19	19,598-12-3	2,541-12-10	" " "	9,205-6-15-5	2,527-4-3-1	1,588-5-9-2	" " "	9,388-9-11	9,324-9-2	11,656-3-8	" " "	82,668-5-6
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	5,707-5-9-2	5,529-4-5-2-7	" " "	4,101-3-15-10	1,622-7-8-12-2	1,321-0-3-1-2	" " "	30,175-11-"-	4,084-8-0-6-3	39,764-2-1-5	" " "	10,838-4-10
22	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	12,665-7-8-14-8	9,023-7-7-13-"-	3,365-13-11	3,647-5-6-15-7	2,813-7-8-7-4-"-	2,030-0-2-13-1	19-2-11	7,839-4-13-2-"-	9,857-4-0-7-4	6,993-7-4-15-11	3,355-8-11-"-	2,891-2-1-"-
										Deduct sums marked letter A	7,003-9-9-"- / 1,234-"-6	Add 5,133-2-6

Dt. Increase — 3,365-13-11

Net Decrease — 364-14-1-8

Dt. Increase — 19-2-11

Net Decrease — 7,337-5-10-5

Dt. Increase — 3,355-8-11

Net Decrease — 2,857-6-5-"-

12655 — For Fort St. George — 9th September 1850.

Gross Revenue.

	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.
	74 Rs. a p	75 Rs. a p	76 Rs. a p	77 Rs. a p.	78 Rs. a p	79 Rs. a p
1	59,524 4 11	57,328 7 11	6,304 3 "	" " "	" " "	
2	60,799 10 8	56,092 5 7	" " "	4,707 5 1	" " "	
3	76,525 " 1	87,811 13 7	11,286 13 6	" " "	" " "	
4	4,2,212 11 1	4,526 7 10 3	3,054 15 2	" " "	" " "	
5	20,023 3 8	23,115 4 5	3,092 " 9	" " "	3718 "	228 " "
6	88,880 12 1	73,354 15 8	" " "	15,525 12 5	" " "	" " "
7	78,633 14 6	84,757 12 8	6,123 14 2	" " "	809 3 7	809 3 7
8	52,397 7 6	58,428 4 4	6,030 12 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	29,759 " 4	30,309 7 "	550 6 8	" " "	61 5 10	" " "
10	123,565 6 9	130,259 1 3	6,693 10 6	" " "	336 " "	336 " "
11	78,078 6 4	74,893 14 10	" " "	3184 7 6	" " "	" " "
12	94,640 " 4	98,329 15 8	3,689 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	20,525 " 10	22,660 " 2	2,134 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	26,133 " "	28,804 8 "	2,671 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	30,154 6 12 5	31,070 1 "	915 23 4 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	124,611 3 9	121,258 13 7	" " "	3352 6 2	356 5 1	321 6 3
17	71,099 4 4	73,040 1 3	1,940 12 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	66,775 13 6	67,239 5 1	463 7 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	63,123 14 2	65,854 15 9	2,731 1 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
20	5,32,385 14 6	5,73,707 3 4	41,321 4 10	" " "	82,689 7 5	77,321 " 10
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	80,023 14 1	87,801 5 6	7,777 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
	20,82,264 11 10	21,71,385 6 10	1,15,890 10 2	26,769 15 2	84,623 14 2	79,015 10 8

26,769 15 2 Dc. Decrease

89,120 11 " Net Increase

Abstract Charges.

Net Revenue.

	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.
	80 Rs. a p	81 Rs. a p	82 Rs. a p	83 Rs. a p	84 Rs. a p	85 Rs. a p
	" " "	" " "	50,524 4 11	57,328 7 11	6,304 3 "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	60,799 10 8	56,092 5 7	" " "	4,707 5 1
	" " "	" " "	76,525 " 1	87,811 13 7	11,286 13 6	" " "
	" " "	143 8 3	4,184,12 10	4,503 10 3	319 8 7 5	" " "
	" " "	" " "	20,023 3 8	23,115 4 5	3,092 " 9	" " "
	" " "	" " "	88,071 8 6	72,575 12 1	" " "	15,625 12 5
	" " "	" " "	78,633 14 6	84,757 12 8	6,123 14 2	" " "
	" " "	61 5 10	52,336 1 8	58,428 4 4	6,092 8	" " "
	" " "	" " "	29,423 4	29,973 7	550 6 8	" " "
	" " "	" " "	123,565 6 9	130,259 1 3	6,693 10 6	" " "
	" " "	" " "	78,078 6 4	74,893 14 10	" " "	3,184 7 6
	" " "	" " "	94,640 " 4	98,329 15 8	3,689 15 4	" " "
	" " "	" " "	20,525 " 10	22,660 " 2	2,134 15 4	" " "
	" " "	" " "	26,133 " "	28,804 8 "	2,671 8 "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	30,154 6 12 5	31,070 1 "	915 23 4 7	" " "
	" " "	34 14 10	124,254 14 8	120,937 4 4	" " "	3,317 4 4
	" " "	" " "	71,099 4 4	73,040 1 3	1,940 12 11	" " "
	" " "	" " "	66,775 13 6	67,239 5 1	463 7 7	" " "
	" " "	" " "	63,123 14 2	65,854 15 9	2,731 1 7	" " "
	" " "	5,368 7 4	4,49,696 7 1	4,96,386 2 6	46,689 11 5	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	80,023 14 1	87,801 5 6	7,777 7 5	" " "
	" " "	5,608 3 6	199,640 13 8	209,236 12 2	12,463 14 10	26,735 " 4

26,735 " 4 Dc. Decrease

94,728 14 6 Net Increase

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Gross Revenue. | Sundry small Farms and Licences. Charges. | Net Revenue.

	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.
	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97
	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p	Rh a p
1	3994 3 6	4264 3 9	270 " 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3994 3 6	4264 3 9	270 " 3	" " "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	9019 1 2	8819 2 3	" " "	199 14 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9019 1 2	8819 2 3	" " "	199 14 11
4	182 2 "	171 2 "	" " "	11 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	182 2 "	171 2 "	" " "	11 " "
5	9535 8 11	11345 5 7	1809 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9535 8 11	11345 5 7	1809 12 8	" " "
6	16526 11 7	18251 1 10	1724 6 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	16526 11 7	18251 1 10	1724 6 3	" " "
7	12524 3 11	8619 2 8	" " "	3905 13 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12524 3 11	8619 2 8	" " "	3905 13 "
8	6783 2 11	13405 11 2	6622 8 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6783 2 11	13405 11 2	6622 8 3	" " "
9	316 4 4	316 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	316 4 4	316 4 4	" " "	" " "
10	5934 12 "	6937 2 7	1002 6 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5934 12 "	6937 2 7	1002 6 7	" " "
11	4177 14 7	8759 2 5	4581 3 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4177 14 7	8759 2 5	4581 3 10	" " "
12	26995 5 10	27136 12 9	141 6 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	26995 5 10	27136 12 9	141 6 11	" " "
13	4810 6 4	4832 2 5	21 12 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4810 6 4	4832 2 5	21 12 1	" " "
14	52234 10 4	37145 6 10	" " "	15089 3 6	10741 2 5	10508 9 9	23288	41493 7 11	26636 13 1	" " "	14856 10 10	" " "
15	21085 5 1	19663 15 2	" " "	1421 5 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21085 5 1	19663 15 2	" " "	1421 5 11
16	18854 14 "	15943 14 4	" " "	2910 15 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	18854 14 "	15943 14 4	" " "	2910 15 8
17	13599 2 10	4433 1 5	" " "	9166 1 5	5225 4 4	4602 8 9	622 11 7	8373 14 6	A 169 7 4	" " "	8373 5 10	" " "
18	29651 1 6	26124 9 1	" " "	3526 8 5	8300 13 11	13155 4 "	4854 6 1	" " "	21350 3 7	12969 5 1	" " "	8380 16 4
19	63408 8 5	50652 6 2	" " "	2756 2 3	720 6 "	652 8 "	" " "	67 14 "	5268 2 5	49999 14 2	" " "	268 4 3
20	44903 9 "	42768 12 11	" " "	2134 12 1	6315 12 7	6108 6 11	" " "	207 5 8	38587 12 5	36660 6 "	" " "	1927 6 5
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	6028 3 11	5042 4 8	" " "	985 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6028 3 11	5042 4 8	" " "	985 15 3
	34056 5 4 2	31463 1 12 4	16173 8 10	42107 " 8	31303 7 3	35027 5 5	4854 6 1	1130 7 11	30926 1 12 11	27960 4 6 11	16173 8 10	45830 14 10
		Dt. Increase	16173 8 10				1130 7 11	Dt. Decrease		Dt. Increase	16173 8 10	
		Net Decrease	25933 7 10				3723 1 12	Net Increase		Net Decrease	29657 6 "	

Deduct Sum marked Letter A 24944 " "
Total 8542 5 5 10
37234 9 "

1265 7 — For the Year ended 9th September 1850.

	Gross Revenue.				Stamps.		Charges.		Net Revenue.			
	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.
	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	9,979-10 6	10,541-8 1	561-13 7	" " "	425 12 2	491-13 11	69 1 9	" " "	9,553-14 4	10,046-10 2	492 11 10	" " "
2	14,925 " "	15,415 " "	490 " "	" " "	1,261-14 4	2,260 4 9	1,008 6 5	" " "	13,673 1 8	13,154 11 8	" " "	518 6 5
3	13,841-4 6	15,966 8 "	2,125-3 6	" " "	624 15 8	776 5 8	150 6 "	" " "	13,216 4 10	15,191-2 4	1,974 13 6	" " "
4	8,198-13 10	9,841 5 8	1,647 7 6	" " "	421 2 8	564-12 5	143 10 2	" " "	7,772 11 7	9,276 8 10	1,503-13 3	" " "
5	3,701-14 "	9,552 3 2	5,850 5 2	" " "	237 6 9	701-5 2	463 11 5	" " "	3,464-7 3	8,850 14 "	5,386 6 9	" " "
6	9,908-12 1	17,601-11 11	7,692-15 10	" " "	420 13 "	780 9 6	359 12 6	" " "	9,487 15 1	16,821 2 5	7,333 3 4	" " "
7	10,852 12 11	16,371-9 "	5,518-12 1	" " "	502 13 4	907 10 8	404 13 4	" " "	10,349-15 7	15,463 14 4	5,113 14 9	" " "
8	12,530-" "	23,200-15 "	10,670-15 "	" " "	868 8 8	1,102 8 4	233 10 8	" " "	11,661-7 4	22,097-11 8	10,435 4 4	" " "
9	9,364-4 "	14,171-" "	4,816-12 "	" " "	431-5 "	723-5 "	291 11 5	" " "	8,922 15 "	13,447 15 7	4,525 " 7	" " "
10	16,239 14 "	22,364 10 6	6,124 12 6	" " "	1,071-10 10	1,273 4 5	201 9 7	" " "	15,168-3 2	21,091-6 1	5,923 2 11	" " "
11	11,164-12 "	16,131-3 "	4,966-7 "	" " "	516 13 9	794 14 11	278 1 2	" " "	10,647 14 3	15,336 4 1	4,688 5 10	" " "
12	40,539-3 2	48,749-12 "	8,160-8 10	" " "	1,968 15 11	2,417 9 4	448 9 5	" " "	38,620-3 3	46,332 2 8	7,711-15 5	" " "
13	13,963 8 "	16,105-4 "	2,141-12 "	" " "	711-12 9	1,925-14 11	311 4 2	" " "	13,251 11 3	15,079 5 1	1,827 9 10	" " "
14	19,314-12 8	18,597-5 "	" " "	716 11 10	486 " "	1,857 8 6	1,371 8 6	" " "	18,823 12 8	16,739 7 11	" " "	2,083 4 4
15	5,507 4 "	12,481-12 "	6,974 8 "	" " "	201-5 8	1,582 10 "	1,381 4 9	" " "	5,305-14 9	10,899 2 "	5,593 3 3	" " "
16	12,413 8 "	23,064-12 "	10,651-4 "	" " "	651 4 8	1,130-11 9	479 7 1	" " "	11,762 3 4	21,934-3 "	10,171-12 11	" " "
17	16,563 8 "	18,629 8 "	2,066-" "	" " "	620 6 7	946 6 6	325-15 11	" " "	10,943-1 5	17,683-1 6	6,740 " 1	" " "
18	37,334 15 11	47,868-8 9	10,533-8 10	" " "	1,892 10 5	2,750 " 11	857 6 6	" " "	35,442 5 6	45,118-7 10	9,676 2 4	" " "
19	41,867 6 "	52,179 8 6	10,311-12 6	" " "	2,884 1 8	4,684-1 1	1,799 15 10	" " "	38,483 4 9	47,495-2 5	9,011-12 8	" " "
20	9,556 2 6	8,015-9 6	" " "	1,540 9 "	1,332 9 9	585 " 10	" " "	747 8 11	8,223-8 9	7,430-8 8	" " "	793 " 1
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22	1,763 8 "	2,887 12 "	1,124 4 "	" " "	88 2 9	144 6 2	56 3 5	" " "	1,675-5 3	2,743 5 10	1,068 " 7	" " "
	314,065-12 8	419,536 12 1	107,929 3 3	2,258 4 10	1,761 9 1	2,750 2 11	1,063 9 11	747 8 11	296,455-4 7	392,234 " 11	99,779 7 2	3,400-10 10
				2,258 4 10 Dt. Decrease				747 8 11 Dt. Decrease			3,400 10 10 Dt. Decrease	
				105,670 14 5 Net Increase				939 2 1 Net Increase			95,778 12 4 Net Increase	

12658 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

Gross Revenue. Deductions and

	Fusly 1257		Fusly 1258		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1257		Fusly 1258		Motur pha. charges Increase		Motur pha. charges Decrease		Net Revenue Fusly 1257		Net Revenue Fusly 1258		Net Revenue Increase		Net Revenue Decrease	
	110		111		112		113		114		115		116		117		118		119		120		121	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	8302	13 11	8532	5 5	229	7 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					8302	13 11	8532	5 5	229	7 6	"	" "
2	6311	4 "	6057	13 3	"	" "	252	6 9	1144	" "	1144	" "					6167	4 "	5914	13 3	"	" "	252	6 9
3	5906	9 11	5014	7 4	"	" "	8599	10 11	4859	10 2	4834	6 2					5421	0 1	4635	10 2	"	" "	8574	6 11
4	1806	0 "	2014	6 11	2407	4 3	"	" "	5794	4 11	6907	1 1			25	4 "	12265	11 9	13560	3 10	1294	8 1	"	" "
5	4745	0 7	1884	6 15	1396	7 11	"	" "	305	8 11	334	4 "					1714	14 8	4852	12 1	1367	2 9	"	" "
6	2874	1 6	3063	7 12	1896	8 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					28741	4 6	30637	12 8	1896	8 2	"	" "
7	7427	12 5	7350	3 11	"	" "	1224	" 9	10452	4 6	13874	11 10					17747	6	17261	10 7	"	" "	485	12 11
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					35024	11 9	35677	11 8	652	15 11	"	" "
9	17747	7 6	17261	10 7	"	" "	485	12 11	"	" "	"	" "					37062	15 7	38407	10 4	1344	10 9	"	" "
10	35024	11 9	35677	11 8	652	15 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "			56	2 6	42657	6 "	42990	9 7	333	3 7	"	" "
11	37062	15 7	38407	10 4	1344	10 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					6249	6 4	6194	" 5	"	" "	55	5 11
12	43291	15 1	43569	" 2	277	1 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					93946	11 3	97097	2 4	3150	7 1	"	" "
13	6249	6 4	6194	" 5	"	" "	55	5 11	634	9 1	578	6 7					27357	8 11 2	26839	6 7 10	"	" "	5182	3 4
14	93946	11 3	97097	2 4	3150	7 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					14901	3 15 10	14631	5 14	"	" "	268	1 10
15	27357	8 11 2	26839	6 7 10	"	" "	5182	3 4	"	" "	"	" "					7526	4 2	7718	3 14 5	221	9 14 3	"	" "
16	14901	6 9 10	14631	5 8	"	" "	268	1 10	162	10 "	162	10 "					16074	15 2	16410	8 8	335	9 6	"	" "
17	7526	4 2	7718	3 14 5	221	9 14 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					11707	2 10 4	11611	9 8 8	"	" "	95	3 1 8
18	16074	15 2	16410	8 8	335	9 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	11707	2 10 4	11611	9 8 8	"	" "	95	3 1 8	"	" "	"	" "					"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22	51354	8 "	55138	1 10	3783	9 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "					51354	8 "	55138	1 10	3783	9 10	"	" "
	115850	8 "	511567	5 14 7	17694	" 3	1941	50 12 1	22352	15 6	26839	1 8	4567	8 8	81	6 6	113615	5 11	112991	2 2 11	16608	1 5	22850	15 5
					Dt. Increase		17694	3					61	6 6	Dt. Decrease						Dt. Increase		66608	1 5
					Net Decrease		1756	11 10					1486	2 2	Net Increase						Net Decrease		6242	14 "

12659

Fort St. George

9th September 1850.

Gross Revenue before deductions for manufacture and purchase of Salt and Tobacco.

	Fusly 1257.		Fusly 1258.		Increase.		Decrease.		Gross Revenue after deductions for manufacture & purchase of Salt & Tobacco. Fusly 1257		Grand Total. Fusly 1258.	
	122		123		124		125		126		127	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	3,92,906	3 1	3,57,647	9 11	"	" "	35,231	9 2	3,83,886	3 7	3,50,984	10 1
2	2,20,995	5 5	2,12,370	10 5	"	" "	8,624	11 -	2,16,792	3 6	2,04,119	7 8
3	4,04,120	14 3	3,94,571	2 6	"	" "	9,549	11 9	3,96,067	13 3	3,74,052	15 10
4	4,06,370	8 7	4,30,658	13 11	23,788	5 4	"	" "	4,03,194	12 5	4,22,773	" 2
5	4,78,163	8 5	5,10,656	11 6	32,493	3 1	"	" "	4,54,206	1 10	4,93,794	14 6
6	5,02,618	2 8	3,90,215	14 9	"	" "	112,402	3 11	4,63,754	10 10	3,53,486	15 5
7	1,76,809	2 3	1,83,252	4 -	6,443	1 9	"	" "	1,76,809	2 3	1,83,252	4 -
8	4,10,861	7 9	4,33,271	15 8	22,410	7 11	"	" "	3,72,450	9 1	4,03,910	10 10 2
9	7,17,568	1 1	5,89,357	3 9	"	" "	1,28,210	13 4	6,58,896	2 1	5,25,321	4 7
10	1,97,171	3 3	20,17,510	"	10,004	6 9	"	" "	19,619	1 15 3	20,57,074	2 3
11	4,04,983	7 3	3,79,528	1 3	"	" "	25,435	5 10	3,84,420	11 3	3,56,049	7 5
12	9,15,933	14 5	8,74,993	6 11	"	" "	40,940	7 6	8,71,814	5 4	8,35,762	2 6
13	4,55,548	5 6	4,49,791	7 -	4,243	1 6	"	" "	4,55,548	5 6	4,49,791	7 -
14	5,91,650	9 -	5,50,013	6 4	"	" "	41,637	2 8	5,49,843	6 5	5,26,623	9 -
15	6,08,429	5 1	6,18,531	14 -	10,102	8 11	"	" "	6,08,429	5 1	6,18,531	14 -
16	3,05,056	3 7	3,06,745	15 11	1,689	12 4	"	" "	3,05,056	3 7	3,06,745	15 11
17	1,93,880	3 10	2,26,730	14 8	32,850	10 10	"	" "	1,93,880	3 10	2,26,730	14 8
18	11,11,077	3 10	10,32,222	9 7	"	" "	78,854	10 3	9,34,417	3 7	8,25,773	6 8
19	1,33,353	12 8	12,18,153	9 1	"	" "	115,200	4 7	11,63,814	14 9	10,31,693	11 6
20	3,60,872	15 -	10,23,423	12 11	1,62,550	13 11	"	" "	8,33,445	7 -	9,83,809	2 1
21	5,70,959	2 5	5,29,745	2 7	"	" "	41,013	15 10	5,70,959	2 5	5,29,745	2 7
22	11,6124	13 -	15,7,788	3 7	11,663	6 7	"	" "	11,6124	13 -	15,7,788	3 7
	1,09,95,754	9	1,06,76,893	8 5	3,18,239	14 11	63,7,100	15 10	10,32,937	8 11	9,96,52,713	4

Dr. Increase 3,18,239 14 11

Net Decrease 3,13,861 " 11

Charges before deduction for manufacture & purchase of Salt and Tobacco.

	Fusly 1257		Fusly 1258		Increase.		Decrease.	
	128		129		130		131	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	32,901	9 6	18,102	7 11	14,358	2 4
2	"	" "	12,672	11 10	13,849	2 1	19,016	5 4
3	"	" "	22,014	13 5	31,397	15 2	44,375	10 10
4	19,575	3 9	"	" "	24,747	8 6	32,114	13 3
5	39,588	12 8	"	" "	42,115	15 3	35,428	13 7
6	"	" "	110,267	11 5	58,076	5 11	59,579	5 9
7	6,443	1 9	"	" "	10,955	1 10	14,785	6 6
8	30,560	1 1	"	" "	60,755	" 5	60,073	" 11
9	"	" "	133,574	13 6	105,517	10 2	108,497	12 "
10	9,515	3 "	"	" "	3,425	" 2	4,149	10 6
11	"	" "	283,71	3 10	60,123	14 7	63,863	14 9
12	"	" "	36,052	2 10	73,431	1 5	69,190	8 5
13	4,243	1 6	"	" "	711	12 9	1,025	11 11
14	"	" "	23,251	2 9	71,129	13 10	53,030	13 7
15	10,102	8 11	"	" "	3,459	15 "	5,100	9 4
16	1,689	12 4	"	" "	1,170	3 9	1,614	12 "
17	32,850	10 10	"	" "	5,845	10 11	7,970	5 "
18	"	" "	10,864	3 12 11	4,42,488	6 9	36,269	5 15 1
19	"	" "	132,121	3 3	23,663	1 8	24,582	15 8
20	1,50,363	11 1	"	" "	14,045	8 10 1	13,328	11 9
21	"	" "	41,013	15 10	1,62,278	12 2	1,32,103	1 2
22	11,663	6 7	"	" "	2,266	15 11	2,323	14 2
	3,16,595	9 6	6,80,835	5 11	15,77,944	10 3	14,94,371	8 10

Dr. Increase 3,16,595 9 6

Net Decrease 3,64,289 11 7

	Increase.		Decrease.	
	132		133	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	"	" "	3,544	5 7
2	5,167	3 3	"	" "
3	12,944	11 8	"	" "
4	"	" "	6,684	1 8
5	1,502	15 10	"	" "
6	3,830	4 8	"	" "
7	"	" "	9,676	15 6
8	2,980	1 10	"	" "
9	7,24	10 4	"	" "
10	3,740	" 2	"	" "
11	"	" "	4,240	9 "
12	314	2 2	"	" "
13	"	" "	18,099	" 3
14	1,640	10 4	"	" "
15	444	8 3	"	" "
16	2,124	10 1	"	" "
17	"	" "	29,792	4 8
18	10,945	14 "	"	" "
19	14,826	1 8	"	" "
20	"	" "	30,175	11 "
21	56	14 3	"	" "
	68,643	1 3	1,52,216	2 8

Dr. Increase 68,643 1 3

Net Decrease 83,573 1 5

For St. George

9th September 1850.

Charges after deductions for manufacture & purchase of Sulky Tobacco.

Grand Total Net

	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.
	134 Rs. a p	135 Rs. a p	136 Rs. a p	137 Rs. a p	138 Rs. a p	139 Rs. a p
1	9,082 8 5	7,868 2 6	" " "	1,214 5 11	3,74,803 11 2	3,43,116 7 7
2	9,646 " 2	10,765 2 7	1119 2 5	" " "	20,71,146 3 4	19,33,541 5 1
3	23,344 11 2	23,854 8 2	512 10 "	" " "	3,72,722 15 1	36,019 6 7 8
4	2,10,714 12 4	24,228 15 6	3,154 3 2	" " "	3,82,123 " 1	3,98,324 " 8
5	18,158 8 8	18,567 " 7	408 7 11	" " "	43,604 7 9 2	4,75,227 13 11
6	19,212 14 1	22,850 6 5	3,637 8 4	" " "	4,44,541 12 9	3,39,636 9 "
7	10,955 1 10	14,785 6 6	3,830 4 8	" " "	1,65,854 " 5	1,68,466 13 6
8	31,344 1 9	29,816 11 5	" " "	1,527 6 4	31,1106 7 4	37,3193 14 9
9	4,6845 11 2	44,461 12 10	" " "	2,383 14 4	6,12,050 6 11	4,80,859 7 9
10	2,446 12 2	2,681 2 9	235 6 7	" " "	19,3746 3 1	20,302 5 6
11	30,561 2 4	40,365 4 9	801 2 8	" " "	34,859 8 8	31,568 4 2 8
12	29,311 8 4	29,959 4 "	647 11 8	" " "	8,26,021 3 "	8,05,802 11 6
13	711 12 9	1,025 11 11	314 2 2	" " "	44,836 8 9	1,87,658 1
14	29,353 8 4	29,640 8 "	286 15 8	" " "	5,20,520 11 2	49,69,828 9
15	3,459 15 "	5,100 9 4	1,640 10 4	" " "	60,496 9 6	1,61,343 1 4 8
16	1,170 3 9	1,614 12 "	444 8 3	" " "	30,388 5 15 10	30,513 1 3 11
17	5,845 10 11	7,970 5 "	2,124 10 1	" " "	1,80,348 11	2,18,760 9 8
18	2,65,828 6 6	1,56,246 12 2	" " "	109,581 10 4	6,68,588 13 1	6,69,526 10 6
19	67,098 2 9	61,123 2 1	" " "	5,975 " 8	1,99,671 8 12	97,057 9 5
20	1,13,031 2 1	1,15,670 " 11	2,638 14 10	" " "	7,20,414 4 11	8,68,139 1 2
21	1,62,278 12 2	1,32,103 1 2	" " "	30,176 11 "	4,08,480 6 3	3,97,642 1 5
22	2,266 15 11	2,323 14 2	56 14 3	" " "	1,43,857 13 1	1,55,464 5 5
	9,12,027 9 10	7,83,025 13 9	21,856 4 6	1,50,858 " 7	9,41,809 15 1	9,18,252 15 7

Dr. Increase 21,856 4 6

Net Decrease 1,29,001 12 1

Revenue Board Office, 9th September 1850. E. E.

(Continued) Revenue.

Percentage of Charges on the Gross Revenue before Deductions.

Percentage of Charges on the Gross Revenue after deductions.

Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1257.	Fusly 1258.
		140 Rs. a p	141 Rs. a p	142 Rs. a p	143 Rs. a p	144 Rs. a p	145 Rs. a p
		" " "	31,687 3 7	4 9 9	4 1 2	2 5 10	2 3 10
		" " "	13,791 11 3	6 4 3	8 15 3	4 7 2	5 14 10
		" " "	22,527 7 5	7 12 3	11 3 11	5 11 3	6 6 "
16,421 " 7		" " "	" " "	6 1 4	7 7 3	5 3 7	5 11 8
39,180 4 9		" " "	" " "	8 12 11	6 15 "	4 " "	3 12 2
" " "		1,13,905 3 9	" " "	11 8 10	15 4 3	4 2 3	6 7 5
2,612 13 1		" " "	" " "	6 3 1	8 1 1	6 3 "	8 1 1
32,087 7 5		" " "	" " "	16 15 7	13 13 10	8 6 7	7 6 4
" " "		1,31,190 15 2	" " "	14 11 3	18 6 6	7 1 9	8 7 5
9,279 12 5		" " "	" " "	1 11 10	2 " 1	1 3 11	1 4 10
" " "		29,175 6 "	" " "	14 13 6	16 13 2	10 4 8	11 5 4
" " "		36,699 14 6	" " "	8 " 3	7 14 6	3 6 "	3 9 4
3,928 15 4		" " "	" " "	1 9 "	2 " 11	1 9 "	2 " 11
" " "		23,538 2 5	" " "	12 " 4	9 10 3	5 5 5	5 10 "
8,461 14 7		" " "	" " "	" 9 1	" 13 2	" 9 "	" 13 2
1,245 4 1		" " "	" " "	" 6 2	" 8 "	" 6 2	" 8 5
30,726 " 9		" " "	" " "	3 " 4	3 8 3	3 " 3	3 8 3
9,37,135		" " "	" " "	39 13 2	35 2 2	28 7 2	18 14 8
" " "		12,614 6 7	" " "	17 11 11	20 5 2	5 12 2	5 14 9
1,47,72,412 3		" " "	" " "	16 5 1	15 2 9	13 9 "	11 12 1
" " "		10,838 4 10	" " "	28 6 9	24 14 11	28 6 11	24 14 11
11,606 8 4		" " "	" " "	1 8 10	1 7 6	1 8 10	1 7 6
30,42,121 1 "		5,39,500 10 6		14 5 7	13 15 11	8 13 3	7 [illegible] 9

Dr. Increase 30,42,121 1 "

Net Decrease 2,35,287 15 6

Wm. (Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

Resolved

Resolved that the fol:
lowing letters be dispatched.—

To,

The Collector of

Guntoor.

(60)

To the Collector of Guntoor—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 12th August 1850, and in reply to inform you that on comparing the tripliate Statement of Cultivation &c. forwarded therewith with the Original and Duplicate copies previously submitted, the entries are not found to agree.

In Coin's 22d August 1850.

Dutt rent on Enams 4,969-2-6.
D: on Shrotriems 1,332-6-10.
43351-9-4.

It would appear that the amounts of "Dutt Rent on Enams and Shrotriem Villages" have been transferred from the head of "annual Settlement" to that of "other sources of Revenue" in the Statement now received, the particulars under the head of "Disbursements on Public works" likewise do not correspond with those in the former Copies of the said Returns, all three Copies are therefore returned in order that the Tripliate may be revised so as to agree in all respects with the original and Duplicate Statements.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
9th September, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secy.

DG.

(61)

To,

The Collector of

Salem.

To, The Collector of Salem..

Sir,

In Coins 15th Augt. 1850

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 7th August 1850. and to observe that the entries under the head of cultivation, in the Statement therein submitted, are correct, but those under "Demand and Collection" require revision.

According to these Returns the Demand for Fusly 1254 is

Permanent Settlement Rs.	4,68,489—1—3.	
Annual —— Do. —— "	12,54,695—9—1.	
	17,23,184—10—4.	
Other Sources. ——	1,997—"—"	
	17,25,181—10—4.	

while in conformity to the Circular instructions, the entries should be as follows.—

Permanent Settlement. Rupees	4,68,489—1—3.	
Annual Do. Rs.	12,54,695—9—1.	
Deduct items to be carried to the head of other Sources....	47,149—6—1—	12,07,546—3—"
carried over Rs.		16,76,035—4—3.

Do Tax on Trees —— Rs. 32,613—3—3.
Poolary
Pasture land 9112—12—5
Grazing Tax 4509—15—11 13,622—12—4
Still rent 913—6—5.
Rs. 47149—6—1.

Brought Over Rs. 16,76,035 — 4 — 3.

Other Sources of Revenue

Transferred

from Annual

Settlement Rupees 47,149 — 6 — 1

Small Farms.

Bamboo rent

Rupees — 110 — " — "

Tamarind Do. 2,894 — 8 — "

Giddaca.

vil — 2,497 — 14 — 4.

5,502 — 6 — 4.

Savoy Inma — 1997 — " — "

54,648 — 12 — 5.

Total Rupees 17,30,684 — " — 8.

The demand being thus revised, the head of Collection Current and arrears should be modified accordingly. The Collections entered in the present Statement appear to include those in subsequent Fuslies up to 1256, whereas the heading in the printed Return is "only Collection for the year, current and arrears".

In retransmitting the Statement revised in accordance with

with the above remarks, you will be good enough to accompany it with a separate Statement shewing its correspondence with the Jummabundy accounts and Demand Collection and Balance Sheets, ending 11th July Fusly 1254 together with one of Special Produce as requested in Para 4 of the Board's Circular of 24th September 1849.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
9th September 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

62

To The Collector of Tanjore

To
The Collector of
Tanjore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 16th august 1850. with its enclosures, and to request that you will furnish a Triplicate copy of the cultivation Return for which purpose a blank printed form is now sent to you.—

Inform 22d Augt. 1850.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
9th September 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To

To

The Collector of

Madras.

63

To The Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe, that the Memorandum shewing the fluctuations of the revenue of your district for Fusly 1259, did not accompany your Demand Collection and Balance Statement from 1st to 11th July 1850. as directed in their Circular Order of 28th January 1850, and to request that you will expedite its despatch.

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 9th September 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the undermentioned

64 Statements.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Comparative Statement shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue for Fushies 1258 and 1259.

65

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Comparative Statement shewing the Charges of Ordinary revenue for fushies 1258 and 1259.

64-66

Order on the two abovementioned Statements } Ordered to be recorded.

Read

67

From the Superintendent of Stamps. Read Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the month of August last.

67-68

Order thereon; Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned

69 Registers.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of July last.

70

From the Collector of North arcot. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of august last.

71

From the Acting Collector of South arcot.. } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last.

69-72

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers.. } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

Comparative.

12667 Fort St. George 9th September 1850

From the Collector of Tanjore.— Comparative State-
73 ment shewing the quantity of Salt sold
for Home and Inland Consumption for the
74 Quarter ending 31st July last.—
From the Collector of Malabar.— Comparative State-
ment shewing the quantity of Salt sold
for Home and Inland Consumption
73-75 for the Quarter ending 31st July last.—
Order on the two abovementioned
Statements } Ordered to be
over.—

Received the under-
mentioned Miscellaneous letters and
76 Statements.—
From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Abstract of
Demand Collection and Balance from
77 12th to 31st July last.—
From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Statement
shewing the average Bazar wholesale
prices of Grain and Salt for the Month
78 of July last.—
From the Collector of Nellore.— Requesting to furnish
a supply of blank printed forms of Demand
Collection and Balance Statements.—

Ordered

12668 Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

78-79
Order thereon.— Ordered to be supplied.—

From the Collector of Nellore.— Statement shewing
80 the quantity of Salt in store and ready for
exportation on account of the Chittagong
Indent for 1849/50 at the Ports upto 31st
81 August 1850.
From the Collector of Nellore.— Stating that no sus-
pension or dismissal having taken place
amongst the Village Moonsiffs during the
month of August last.—
82
From the Collector of North Arcot.— Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.—
83
From the Collector of North arcot.— Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
84 Salt for the Month of July last.—
From the Collector of North arcot. Return of Village Officers
suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII
85 of 1831 for the month of July last.—
From the Collector of North arcot. Forwarding a Statment
of Smuggled Salt seized and recommended
85-86 for confiscation.—
Order thereon.— Ordered that the confiscation
and Sale of the Smuggled Salt enumerated in the
abovementioned Statement be authorized.—
87
From the acting Collector of South arcot. Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last

Abstract

Fort St. George 9th September 1850.

88 From the Collector of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

89 From the Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

90 From the Collector of Tanjore. Register of the state of the River Convery for the Month of July 1850.

91 From the Collector of Tanjore. Requesting for certain printed forms.

91-92 Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

93 From the acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

94 From the acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.

95 From the Collector of Canara. Forwarding an Indent for Stationery for the ensuing year 1851.

95-96 Order thereon. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

" Goldingham

" [illegible]

Comp[d] [illegible]

Fort St. George 9th September 1850

Diary

Received the following letter.

1 From the Commr[r] Northern Circars. 10th September. Extract from the Minutes of Commissioner for the Northern Circars dated 3d September 1850.

Read the following letter

To

Walter Elliot Esq[re]

Commissioner for the Northern Circars Vizagapatam

Sir,

I acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Instant. I have the honor to state that it did not reach me in time to allow of the publication of the advertisement a month previously to the day of sale fixed by you and as the provisions of Clause I Section IX Regulation III of 1830 and Section XI Regulation XXVI of 1802 peremptorily require that the publication shall remain fixed up at the places specified not less than one month previously to the day of sale.

I have taken the liberty of altering the day of sale to Monday the

Fort St. George 5th September 1850.

the 7th of Octr. which will give ample time for the due publication of the advertisement.

Ganjam District.
Coll.r & Govt.s Agents Office.
Chatterpore 27th August 1850.

} Signed A.P. Onslow
Coll.r & agent.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the Commissioner's letter of the 17th Ultimo with a request that the day of Sale may be altered accordingly.

True Extract
Signed Walter Elliot
Commissioner

(1-2)

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the date of the advertisement referred to in the foregoing papers published in this Office, be altered to 7th October 1850 as requested by the Commissioner.

Fort St. George 12th September

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 12th Septr 1850 } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member absent on duty As Commissioner Northern Circars

Minutes of the last Meeting

Read and approved.

Read the following Extract

(3)

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th September 1850. No. 8314.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Here under 17th August 1850 No. 346)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Sanctions the following charges incurred in the District of Masulipatam in July 1255. Viz.t.

Rs. 194-8-0 Four hundred and Ninety four and Annas eight for Office Furniture and Peons badges.

Rs. 32-12-0 Thirty two and Annas twelve for batta to

Revenue

12673 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Revenue defaulters and to a peon for serving
Rs. 1-8-0 a warrant at Madras on One and annas
Eight being Refunds of Fines made in Consequence
of a reversal of the decisions under which the sums
were collected.

/Atrue Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secy to Government

To The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(3-4)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated
to the Collector of Masulipatam, with
Dd Date 14th reference to his letters dated 9th and 10th August
Augt 1850. 1850.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 4th September 1850 No. 840.

(5)

/From Bd 19th August/

From the Secy to Government.

Under the explanation of
Forded by the Acting Collector, the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the
Civil Auditor to admit the charges on account
of Compensation in lieu of Resumed land Offices
and privileges, Retrenched from the accounts
of the South Arcot Collectorate for Fasly 1255
Amounting to Rs. /485-3-10/ Four hundred and
Eighty five, annas three and pice ten.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secy to Govt

(5-6)

Order thereon

Ordered to be Communicated for

12674 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

For the information and guidance of the Acting
Collector of South Arcot with reference
to his letter dated 19th August 1850.

Read the following Extract

(7)

From the Chief Secy to Government — Sanctioning a gratuity of Rs 35 per Mensem to Assistant Apothecary Phipps during the period he was employed in inspecting the Vessels passing through the Pamban Channel.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th September 1850 No. 783.

Read the following letter from the Medical Board.

Transmitting a bill and Correspondence relative thereto from Assistant Apothecary Phipps for gratuity allowance for inspecting Vessels at Pumbum from 9th September 1850 for the decision of Government with the Medical Board's observations

/Here enter 18th July 1850/

Para 1. In 1844 and 1845 the Government of India Sanctioned a gratuity of /35/ Thirty five Rupees per Mensem to the Assistant Apothecary employed at the instance of Her Majesty's Government of Ceylon in inspecting Vessels passing thro' the Pamban Channel, to prevent, as far as practicable, the introduction of Cholera into Ceylon and on the Colonial Secretary's application of the 13th August 1849 such inspection was renewed from 19th September following to the 10th January

January last, when it was discontinued under the orders of Government dated 18th December preceding-

2. The Collector of Madura very properly it appears refused to grant a Certificate of the Nature required by the Medical Board to support Assistant Apothecary Phipps's claim to gratuity, and Mr. Elliott the Police Officer would have exercised a wiser discretion had he also declined to Sign a document containing such alarming and exaggerated Statements, though it would appear in the terms prescribed by the Medical Board's Letter to the Collector of Madura dated 28th May last, which in the opinion of the Governor in Council, it would be in the province of a Medical Officer alone to grant. It has not escaped the notice of the Governor in Council, that the Certificate was written at one time and the dates inserted at another-

3. As Assistant Apothecary Phipps performed the extra duty required of him, the Governor in Council will not withhold from him the gratuity passed to his

predecessors under the authority of the Government of India under precisely similar Circumstances, and accordingly Sanctions the disbursement to him of Rupees (35) Thirty five per Mensem from the 9th September 1849. to 10th January 1850 which together with the expenditure for the entertainment of a Boat and four Lascars, Sanctioned under date the 28th December last, will be debited to the Government of Ceylon

(True Extract)
(Signed) H.L. Montgomery
Chief Secretary-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon (7-8)

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Madura, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 29th April 1850.

Read the following Resolution

Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th September 1850 No. 847-

(From Bs. 5th Augt.)

(9) From the Secy. to Government -- The Government are of opinion that under the Circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue and the Collector of Madura the Mailwarum of the whole Village of Attoo column and of Pannies 364 11/16 in

12677 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

in Coodaakumputty should be continued on the terms on which they have hitherto been enjoyed to the heirs of Anthee Iyen and Sobyen Nephews of the Original Grantee Chocumbuttee The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not however disposed to recognize the claim of Sunkariem to one half the Enaam on the ground of the bequest made to him by the last holder Anthee Iyen, as he cannot admit the right of this latter party to make such a bequest, He directs that the Enaam be entered in the joint names of Lutchmanee Iyen Son of Sobyen, and of Lutchmanee Iyen eldest son of the four sons whom that branch of the family may select as their head.

The case of the Coodakumputty Enaam will be reported for orders on the next lapse occurring that of Anthee Coolum may be disposed of by the local authorities under the Enaam Rules of 1848.

By Order
(Signed) S. Pycroft
Sec.y to Government

9-10

Resolution thereon—

Resolved that a Copier of the foregoing order of Government together with the remarks of the Board to which it

12678. Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

it is a reply be forwarded to the Collector of Madura with reference to that Officer's letters of the 24th May and 26th July 1850.

Resolved further that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 707 of 1850.

The Petitioner is referred to the Collector to whom the necessary orders have been issued on the subject of his Petition.

11

Read the following Extract

From the Sec.y to Government stating that it would not be desirable to specify in Section I of the Draft Act for securing the Madras Land Revenue any maximum rate for the assessment of unassessed lands in the Collectorate and approving the introduction of certain words into Sections II of the Draft

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th September 1850 No. 881.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 8th August 1850 No. 3341)

From the above letter and its enclosure from the Collector of Madras it would appear that while the ordinary rate for large tracts of land in the Madras Collectorate is Rupees 5/4 per Cawnie there are some holdings assessed at Rupees 7 and Rupees 8-12-4 and that all Subdivisions of a Cawnie provided there are buildings thereon are liable to be assessed according to their dimensions at from Rupee 1 to Rupees 12-8-4 while in Black Town both the House and land are valued and Quit Rent

12679 Fort St. George 12th September 1850

Read is assessed at 10 Fanams per 100 Pagodas Value:

2. Adverting to the varying Circumstances by which the Rates of Assessment upon Lands in Madras are determined, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is on reconsideration disposed to think that it would not be desirable to specify in Section I of the Draft Act for securing the Madras Land Revenue any Maximum rate for the Assessment of unassessed Land in the Collectorates and that it will be sufficient to provide generally that the Assessment on such Lands shall be regulated in reference to the rates Customarily Charged upon Land of a similar description in the Neighbourhood & according as they may be situated respectively within or without the walls of Black Town.

3. The Government approve the introduction into Section II of the Draft of the words proposed by the Board to be added and with this alteration and that in Section I as advertised in the preceding Para they resolve to Submit the Draft Act and the Correspondence connected with it for the consideration of the Government of India.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

True Extract
Signed J. Dyer
Sec. to Government
Ordered

S.G.B.

12680 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Order thereon (11-12)

In Cons 8th August 1850.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to Government to which it is a reply be Communicated for the information of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 12th July 1850.—

Read the following

Statement.—

Quarterly

12681

For Ft. St. George

13 Dr. { Quarterly Account Current of Salt in 11th July 1850

12th September 1850.

the District Ganjam from 12th July 1849 to } Cr.
or for Fusly 1259.

1849		Bl. Mds.	S.	T.	Bl. Mds.	S	T.
July 12th	To Balance on hand at the Commencement of the current Fusly				2,31,572	21	"
	Dr. Quantity Manufactured to 31st March 1850	46,96	8	"			
	Dr. Dr. Dr. to 11th July 1850	2,10,696	"	"			
	Dr. Dr. gained by Measurement to 31st March Dr.	39	35	"			
	Dr. Dr. Dr. by Dr. 11th July Dr.	32	30	"			
	Dr. Dr. gathered by Manufacturers to 31st March Dr. being Spontaneous				72	25	"
	Dr. Dr. Smuggled to 31st of Dr. Dr.				78	35	"
					579	30	"
	Total Quantity				4,89,095	39	"

1849			Bl. Mds.	S.	T.	Bl. Mds.	S	T.
July 12th	By Total Sales to 31st March 1850.	For Home Consumption	28,368	5	"			
		Dr. Inland Dr.	1,75,574	25	"	2,03,942	30	"
	Dr. Dr. to 11th July	Dr. Home Dr.	7,182	22	40			
		Ditto Inland Dr.	76,935	5	"	84,117	27	40
						2,88,060	17	40
	Dr. Wastage to 31st March 1850		10,094	5	"			
	Dr. Dr. to 11th July	Dr.	1,47,735	35	"	1,15,772	"	"
						2,99,832	17	40
	By Balance on hand					1,89,263	21	40
	Total Quantity					4,89,095	39	"

Dr.

12682 Fort St. George

Dr.s Quarterly Account Current of Money Dr.
District of Ganjam from 12th July 12th September 1850.
Received and paid on account of Salt in the } Dr.
1849 to 11th July 1850 or for Fusly 1259.

1849					
July 12th	To cash received on account of Total Sales to 31st March 1850.	For Home consumption	28368 2 "		
		Ditto			
		Inland Dr.	1,75574 10 "	2,03942 12 "	
	Dr. Dr. on account of Dr.	Dr. Home			
		Dr. — Dr.	7182 9 "		
	Dr. to 11th	— Ditto			
	July Dr.	Inland Dr.	76935 2 "		
				84117 11 "	
	Dr. Dr. on account of advances for Salt Manufactured to 11th July 1850.	—	650 " "		
	Dr. Dr. on account of Fines to 31st March Dr.	—	14 9 3.		
				2,88060 7 "	
				650 " "	
				14 9 3.	
				2,88725. " 3.	

Total Company's Rupees 2,88725. " 3.

N.B.

Rawannahs remaining on the 12th July 1849 —		1368.
Dr. received to 31st March 1850 —		2000
		3368
Deduct.		
Dr. issued to Dr. Dr.	1929.	
Dr. Dr. to 11th July Dr.	380	
		2229.
Balance		1139

1849.

July 12th	By cash paid Servants Wages to 31st March 1850 —	2601 5 11		
	Dr. Dr. Dr. to 11th July Ditto —	1667 1 2	4268 7 1.	
	Dr. Dr. Hire to Manufacturers to 31st March Dr.	2078 10 10.		
	Dr. — Dr. — Dr. to 11th July Dr. —	6787 6 4.	8866 1 2	
	Dr. Advanced to Dr. to 31st March Dr. —	350. " "		
	Dr. — Dr. — to Dr. to 11th July Dr. —	300. " "	650 " "	
	Dr. paid Sanderwards and Extra Charges to 31st March Dr.	737 15 4		
	Dr. Dr. Dr. and Dr. to 11th July Dr. —	501. 2 8.	1239 2 "	
	Dr. Dr. on account of Repairs of Pans to 11th July Dr. —		332 12 1	
			15356 6 4	
	By Net Revenue		27368. 9 11.	
	Total Company's Rupees		2,88725. " 3.	

Ganjam 11th July 1850.
Errors Excepted } Wm. — (Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector
Ordered

Order thereon,

13-14

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George

15.

From the Offg. Collr and Agent to the Govt at Vizagapatam. Forwarding Cultivation Statements prepared under the instructions of the Board of Revenue in their letters dated 24th September 49 and 27th May 1850.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th may last, with the cultivation Statements forwarded from this office on the 3d January 1850, and I beg to retransmit them herewith, as also the other blank Statements duly filled up, after a careful examination of the figures.

2. The mode of measuring lands in the Government Estates in this District is in Honjaram by acres, in Palcondah and Golgondah by Garees, and in Vizianagaram by Coodys and Cottacottah and in the Surva-siddy Talloks by Cutties and Vifsums. There are 16 Vifsums to a Cuttee and one Garee is equivalent to a Vifsum or 53,029 Square feet. It is upon this data that the Casulations in the present accounts have been Computed.

3. It will, however, be found on a Comparison that these and the Summabundy accounts

accounts do not correspond in respect to the extent of Land cultivated; for in the Summabundy account the whole of the Land let out to the ryots is inserted, whereas in the accounts herewith accompanying that actually cultivated is only given.

4. A Separate Statement explanatory of the Demand and Collection for one Fusly Vizt 1254 / 1844/45. is herewith submitted as requested, but as this account has been prepared with reference to the instructions of the Board dated 24th September 1849, a few discrepancies will be apparent on Comparison with those usually submitted from this Department, because

1	Tax upon Fruit Trees	168.	"	"
2	Dr Hill Tracts	135.	14.	"
3	Dr Pasturage	331.	11.	"
4	Dr on Palmira Topes	358.	9.	"
5	Dr Water	20.	8.	3
6	Dr Jungle Tracts	33.	12.	"
	Total Rupees	1043.	6.	3.

the items noted in the margin amounting to Rupees 1,043-6-3, usually included under the head of "annual settlements", as also Rupees 123-12-; amount of "Jungle tax" formerly credited under "Moturpha" have been, under the above orders brought to the head of "other sources" Watta these exceptions the accounts will be found to be correct.

Vizagapatam Collr and Governor's Agents office 29th July 1850.

(Signed) A. S. Mathison
Offg Collr & Agent to the Governor

Statement

12" September 1850.

Vizagapatam.

Cotton and Indigo prepared according to the

Extent of Dry cultivation.					
Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
8.	9	10	11	12	13.
10,469 26 6	23 2 .	753 8 1	" " "	" " "	11,245 36 7
10,386 2 15	8 20 1	822 26 9	" " "	" " "	11,257 9 4
10,466 17 2	14 19 1	912 " 8	" " "	" " "	11,392 37 1
10,721 23 9	8 " 9	896 24 9	" " "	" " "	11,626 8 11
10,531 18 8	28 19 .	901 7 3	" " "	" " "	11,461 4 11
52,575 8 8	82 21 1	4,285 26 14	" " "	" " "	56,943 16 7
10,888 34 5	17 21 10	884 23 15	" " "	" " "	11,790 39 14
11,226 11 12	25 7 4	1,028 12 3	" " "	" " "	12,279 31 3
10,222 16 11	18 6 14	915 39 11	" " "	" " "	11,156 23 4
10,561 34 12	27 16 14	853 16 1	" " "	" " "	11,442 27 11
9,925 35 14	10 14 11	814 24 2	" " "	" " "	10,750 34 11
52,825 13 6	98 27 5	4,496 36 "	" " "	" " "	57,420 36 11
10,515 1 11	16 20 3	857 5 6	" " "	" " "	11,388 27 5
10,565 2 11	19 29 7	899 15 3	" " "	" " "	11,484 7 5

12685

Fort St. George

District of

No. 1 Statement Shewing the extent of Land Cultivated for Sugar,

Firstly.	Extent of wet Cultivation. Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1835/36 or Fasly 1245 —	19679 28 10	214 12 1	3 11 7	" " "	" " "	19897 12 2
1836/37 — " — /46 —	16781 34 7	264 7 3	2 2 13	" " "	" " "	17051 7 7
1837 — " — /47 —	18422 2 13	237 5 13	2 14 15	" " "	" " "	18661 23 9
1838 — " — /48 —	18039 30 7	237 20 3	2 17 7	" " "	" " "	18279 28 1
1839 — " — /49 —	19637 16 13	202 24 1	4 18 2	" " "	" " "	19844 19 3
Total —	92560 36 2	1158 29 8	14 24 12	" " "	" " "	93734 4 6
1840 — " — /50 —	19866 18 13	256 33 11	5 29 3	" " "	" " "	20129 1 11
1841 — " — /51 —	20700 10 15	279 15 8	4 10 "	" " "	" " "	20983 36 7
1842 — " — /52 —	19162 32 6	255 36 10	4 8 10	" " "	" " "	19422 34 10
1843 — " — /53 —	19811 15 9	336 9 5	2 14 15	" " "	" " "	20149 39 13
1844 — " — /54 —	18732 12 10	202 5 11	10 30 "	" " "	" " "	18945 8 5
Total —	97825 10 5	1330 20 13	27 12 12	" " "	" " "	99631 3 14
Average of 1st five years —	18512 7 5	231 29 14	2 36 14	" " "	" " "	18761 34 1
Average of 2d five years —	19654 26 1	266 4 3	5 18 9	" " "	" " "	19926 8 13

12186 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Form received with the Boards circular dated 24th September 1849.

Extent of Bagahayet cultivation.

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
14.	15	16	17	18	19.
12 18 5	1 7 14	" 36 8	" " "	238 19 7	253 2 2
11 17 12	" " "	" 34 5	" " "	264 2 6	276 17 7
15 22 10	" 32 7	1 31 7	" " "	263 24 5	281 30 13
24 21 15	" " "	2 3 9	" " "	242 2 12	268 28 4
39 4 11	1 " 9	3 5 "	" " "	231 7 12	274 18 "
103 5 5	3 " 14	8 33 13	" " "	1239 16 10	1354 16 10
64 29 13	1 " 9	4 18 8	" " "	180 22 5	250 31 3
63 28 5	" 3 11	4 12 1	" " "	301 37 13	370 2 "
66 34 12	" 35 11	5 21 "	" " "	283 34 3	359 5 10
62 15 5	2 36 11	4 9 13	" " "	230 18 2	300 " 2
68 37 12	1 24 15	6 1 14	" " "	218 11 2	294 35 11
326 25 15	6 21 12	24 23 4	" " "	1217 3 11	1574 34 10
20 25 1	" 24 3	1 30 12	" " "	247 35 5	270 35 5
65 13 3	1 12 6	4 36 10	" " "	243 16 12	314 38 15

Vizagapatam 3d January 1850.

Total.

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total
20.	21	22	23.	24	25
30161 33 5	23821 15	757 16 "	" " "	238 19 7	31396 10 11
27179 18 2	2752 24	825 26 11	" " "	264 2 6	28514 34 7
28904 2 9	2521 7 11	916 6 14	" " "	263 24 5	30336 11 7
28785 35 15	2452 0 12	901 5 9	" " "	242 2 12	30174 25 "
30208 " "	2323 13	908 30 5	" " "	231 7 12	31580 1 14
145239 9 15	12441 7 14	309 5 7	" " "	1239 16 10	152032 3 7
30820 2 15	2751 5 14	894 31 10	" " "	180 22 5	32170 32 12
31990 11 "	3012 6 7	1036 34 4	" " "	301 37 15	33633 29 10
29152 3 13	2743 3	925 29 5	" " "	285 34 3	30938 26 8
30435 25 10	3662 31	860 " 13	" " "	230 18 2	31892 27 10
28726 4	214 55	831 16 "	" " "	218 11 2	29990 38 11
151425 9 10	14352 9 14	4561 832 "	" " "	1217 3 11	158626 35 3
29047 34 "	2483 4 5	861 33 1	" " "	247 35 5	30406 18 11
30285 1 15	2876 " 9	09 30 6	" " "	243 16 12	31725 15 1

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Coll.r and agent to the Governor

Statement.

187

Fort St. George

District of

12th September 1850.

Vizagapatam.

No. 2 Statement Shewing the extent of Land Cultivated for Sugar Cotton and Indigo, prepared according to

Fusly.	Extent of wet cultivation. Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.	Extent of dry cultivation. Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
1	2	3	4.	5	6	7.	8	9.	10	11	12.	13.
1835/6 or Fusly 1815	19,679 28 10	214 12 1	3 11 7	" " "	" " "	19,897 12 2	10,469 266	23 2 "	753 8 1	" " "	" " "	11,245.367
1836/7 " /46	16,781.37 7	264 7 3	2 2 13	" " "	" " "	17,051 7 7	10,386 215	8 201	822 269	" " "	" " "	11,217.999
1837 " /47	18,422 2 13	234 5 13	2 11 15	" " "	" " "	18661 23 9	10,466 172	14 197	912 " 8	" " "	" " "	11,392 371
1838 " /48	18039 30 7	234 20 3	2 17 7	" " "	" " "	18,279 28 1	10,721 239	8 " 9	896 24 9	" " "	" " "	11,626 811
1839 " /49	19,637. 16 13	202 24 4	4 18 2	" " "	" " "	19,844 19 3	10,531. 188	28 19 "	901. 73	" " "	" " "	11,461.411
Total	92,560. 362 1,158 29 8		14 24 2	" " "	" " "	93,734 10 6	52,575 888	82 211	4,285 2614	" " "	" " "	56,943 167
1840 " /50	19,866 18 13	256 33 11	5 29 3	" " "	" " "	20,129 1 11	10,888 345	17 210	884 2315	" " "	" " "	11,790 394
1841 " /51	20,100. 10 15	279 15 8	4 10 "	" " "	" " "	20,383 26 7	11,226 11 12	25 7 4	1,028 123	" " "	" " "	12,279 31. 3
1842 " /52	17,162 326	253 36 10	4 8 10	" " "	" " "	19,122 37 10	10,222 16 11	18 6 14	915 39 11	" " "	" " "	11,156. 234
1843 " /53	19,811. 159	336 9 5	2 14 15	" " "	" " "	20,149. 39 13	10,564 34 12	27 16 14	853 16 1	" " "	" " "	11,442 27 11
1844 " /54	18,732 12 10	202 5 11	10 30 "	" " "	" " "	18,925 8 5.	9,925 35 14	10 14 11	814 24 2	" " "	" " "	10,750 34 11
Total	98,273 105	1,330 20 13	27 12 12	" " "	" " "	99,631 3 14	52,825 136	98 274	4,496 36 "	" " "	" " "	57,420 36 11
Average of 1st five years	18,512 7 5	231 29 14	2. 36 14	" " "	" " "	18,746 31 1	10,515 1 11	16 203	854 5 6	" " "	" " "	11,388 245
Average of 2d five years	19,654 261. 266 4 3		5. 18 9	" " "	" " "	19926 8 13	10,565 2 11	19 297	899 153	" " "	" " "	11,484. 7 5

E.16

12688

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

the form received with the Board's Circular dated 24th September 1849.

Extent of Bugahayet cultivation.

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
14.	15	16	17	18.	19.
12 18 5	1 7 14	" 36 8	" " "	238 19 7	253 2 2
11 17 12	" " "	" 34 5	" " "	264. 2 6	276 17 7
15 22 10	" 32 7	1 31 7	" " "	263 24 5	281 30 13
24 21 15	" " "	2 3 9	" " "	242 2 12	268 28 4
39 4 11	1 " 9	3 5 "	" " "	231 7 12	274 18 -
103 5 5	3 " 14	8 33 13	" " "	1239 16 10	1354 16 10
64 29 13	1 " 9	4 18 8	" " "	180 22 5	250 31 3
63 28 5	" 3 11	4 12 1	" " "	301 37 15	370 2 -
66 34 12	" 35 11	5 21 "	" " "	285 34 3	359 5 10
62 15 5	2 36 14	4 9 13	" " "	230 18 2	300 " 2
68 37 12	1 24 15	6 1 14	" " "	218 11 2	294 35 11
326 25 15	6 21 12	24 23 11	" " "	1217 3 11	1574 34 10
20 25 1	" 24 3	1 30 12	" " "	247 35 5	270 35 5
65 13 3	1 12 6	4 36 10	" " "	243 16 12	314 38 15

Vizagapatam 3d January 1850.

Total.

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total
20	21	22	23	24	25
3,01 61 33 5	238 21 15	757 16 "	" " "	238 19 7	3,139 6 10 11
27,179 18 2	275 27 4	825 26 11	" " "	264 2 6	28,544. 34 7
28,904 2 9	252 17 11	916 6 14	" " "	263 24 5	30,336. 11 7
28,785 35 15	245 20 12	901 5 9	" " "	242 2 12	30,174. 25 "
30,208 " "	232 3 13	908 30 5	" " "	231 7 12	31,580. 1 14
14,523 9 9	15,244 11 7	4,309 5 7	" " "	1239 16 10	15,203 2. 3 7
30,820 2 15	275 15 14	894 31 10	" " "	180. 22 5	32,170. 32 12
31,990 11 "	304. 26 7	1,036 34 4	" " "	301. 37 15	33,633 29 10
29,452 3 13	274 39 3	925 29 5	" " "	285. 34 3	30,938. 268
30,435 25 10	366 23 1	860 " 13	" " "	230 18 2	31,892. 27 10
28,727 6 4	214 5 5	831 16 "	" " "	218 11 2	29,990. 38 11
15,142 5 9 10	1435 29 14	4,528 32 "	" " "	1217 3 11	15,826 35 3
29,047 34 "	248 34 5	861 33 1	" " "	245 35 5	30,406. 16 11
30,285 1 15	287 6 "	909 30 6	" " "	243 16 12	31,725. 15 1

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Offg. Coll[r] and Agent to the Governor
Statement

12th September 1850

Vizagapatam.

for Sugar, Cotton and Indigo prepared according

Extent of Dry Cultivation.

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco	Total.
8	9	10	11	12	13
					11,245.36 7
10,469 26 6.	23 2 "	7538 1	" " "	" " "	11,217 9 9
10,386 2 15	8 20 1	822 269	" " "	" " "	11,392.341
10,466 17 2	14 19 7	912 " 8	" " "	" " "	11,626 8 11
10,721 23 9	8 " 9	896 249	" " "	" " "	11,461. 4 11
10,531 18 8	28 19 "	901 73	" " "	" " "	56943 16 7
52,575 8 8	82 21 1	4,285. 26 14	" " "	" " "	11,790. 3914
10,888. 34 5	17 21 10	884 23 15	" " "	" " "	12,279 313
11,226 11 12	25 7 4	1,028. 12 3	" " "	" " "	11156. 234
10,222 16 11	18 6 14	915. 39 11	" " "	" " "	11,442 27 11
10,561. 34 12	27 16 14	853 16 1	" " "	" " "	10,750. 34 11
9,925. 35 14	10 14 11	814 24 2	" " "	" " "	57,420 36 11
52,825. 13 6.	98 27. 5	4,496. 36 "	" " "	" " "	11,388 24 5
10,516. 1 11	16 20 3	857 5 6	" " "	" " "	11,484 7 5
10,565 2 11	19 29 7	899 15 3.	" " "	" " "	

12689

Fort St. George

District of

No. 3. Statement Shewing the extent of Land cultivated &c.

Fusly.	Ordinary Produce.	Extent of wet Cultivation. Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1835/36 or Fusly 1245	19,679 28 10	214 12 1	3 11 7	" " "	" " "	19,897 12 2
1836/37 — " — /46	16,781 34 7	264 7 3	2 2 13	" " "	" " "	17,051 7 7
1837 — " — /47	18,422 2 13	237 5 13	2 14 15	" " "	" " "	18,661 23 9
1838 — " — /48	18,039 30 7	237 20 3	2 17 7	" " "	" " "	18,279 28 1
1839 — " — /49	19,637 16 13	202 24 11	4 18 2	" " "	" " "	19,844 19 3
Total	92,560 362	1,158 29 8	14 24 2	" " "	" " "	93,734 10 6
1840 — " — /50	19,866 18 13	256 33 11	5 29 3	" " "	" " "	20,129 1 11
1841 — " — /51	20,700 10 15	279 15 8	4 10 "	" " "	" " "	20,983 36 7
1842 — " — /52	17,162 32 6	255 36 10	4 8 10	" " "	" " "	19,422 37 10
1843 — " — /53	19,811 15 9	336 9 5	2 14 15	" " "	" " "	20,149 39 13
1844 — " — /54	18,732 12 10	202 5 11	10 30 "	" " "	" " "	18,945 8 5
Total	98,273 105	1,330 20 13	27 12 12	" " "	" " "	99,631 3 14
Average of 1st five years	18,512 7 5	231 29 14	2 36 14	" " "	" " "	18,761 34 1
Average of 2d five years	19,654 26 1	266 4 3	5 18 9	" " "	" " "	19,926 8 13

12690 Fort St. George

to the form received with the Board's Circular

12th September 1850.

dated 24th September 1849.

Extent of Bagahayet cultivation.

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.
12 18 5	1 7 14	" 36 8	" " "	238 19 7	253 2 2
11 17 12	" " "	" 37 5	" " "	264 2 6	276 17 7
15 22 10	" 32 7	1 31 7	" " "	263 24 5	281 30 13
24 21 15	" " "	2 3 9	" " "	242 2 12	268 28 4
39 4 11	1 " 9	3 5 "	" " "	231 7 12	274 18 "
103 5 5	3 " 14	8 33 13	" " "	1,239 16 10	1,354 16 10
64 29 13	1 " 9	4 18 8	" " "	180 22 5	250 31 3
63 28 5	" 3 11	4 12 1	" " "	301 37 15	370 2 "
66 34 12	" 35 11	5 21 "	" " "	285 34 3	359 5 10
62 15 5	2 36 14	4 9 13	" " "	230 18 2	300 " 2
68 37 12	1 24 15	6 1 14	" " "	218 11 2	294 35 11
326 25 15	6 21 12	24 23 4	" " "	1,217 3 11	1,574 34 10
20 25 1	" 24 3	1 30 12	" " "	247 35 5	270 35 5
65 13 3	1 12 6	4 36 10	" " "	243 16 12	314 38 15

Vizagapatam 3d January 1850.

Total

Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Cotton.	Indigo.	Tobacco.	Total.
20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.
30,161 33 5	238 21 15	957 14 "	" " "	238 19 7	31,396 10 11
27,179 18 2	245 27 11	825 26 11	" " "	264 2 6	28,544 34 7
28,904 2 9	252 17 11	916 6 14	" " "	263 24 5	30,336 11 7
28,785 35 15	245 20 12	901 5 9	" " "	242 2 12	30,174 25 "
30,208 " "	232 3 13	908 30 5	" " "	231 7 12	31,576 1 10
145,239 9 15	1,244 11 7	4,309 5 7	" " "	1,239 16 10	152,032 3 7
30,820 2 15	275 15 14	894 31 10	" " "	180 22 5	32,170 32 12
31,990 11 "	304 26 7	1,036 34 4	" " "	301 37 15	33,633 29 10
29,452 3 13	274 39 3	925 29 5	" " "	285 34 3	30,938 26 8
30,435 25 10	366 23 1	860 " 13	" " "	230 18 2	31,892 27 10
28,727 6 4	214 5 5	831 16 "	" " "	218 11 2	29,990 38 11
151,425 9 10	1,435 29 14	4,548 32 "	" " "	1,217 3 11	158,626 35 3
29,047 34 "	248 34 5	861 33 1	" " "	247 35 5	30,406 16 11
30,285 1 15	287 6 "	909 30 6	" " "	243 16 12	31,725 15 1

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
offg. Coll.r and Agent to the Governor

Statements.

12692 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Order thereon - Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Offg Collector of Vizagapatam.

(15-16)

Sir,

To the Offg Collr of Vizagapatam. } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29th July 1850, with enclosures, and to observe that the Printed tables do not contain any particulars of the extent of Cultivation in your District, they are therefore returned with a view to this omission being rectified.

In Cons: 12th Septr 1850

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th September, 1850. } Signed / W. A. Bayley Secretary

Read the following Letter -

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George -

(17)

Sir,

From the Collector of Cuddapah. } Para 1. As required in your letter of the 8th ultimo, I have the honor to forward translate of the Sub Collector's order dispossessing the Petitioners (Defendants) in the case referred to, of certain Mahtaddy Enam

Forwarding translations of an order issued by the Sub Collr and of a Kararnamah or Agreement in Ghumta Pattah Cases

to

12691

For Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Vizagapatam District.

Vizagapatam 29th July 1850.

No 4. Statement shewing the details of Demand Collection and Balance for Asses Fusly 1256.

Sources.	Demand Collection as per former Statement &c. &c.	Increase.	Decrease.
1. Peishcush Ty settled	9,76,845 " "	" "	" "
1. Zeroyetty Tyotuge	1,79,294 2 10	" "	" "
2. Fruit Trees 8 1/2 Small Sources	1043 6 3	" "	1043 6 3
3. Annual Rents	" "	" "	" "
4. Enam Cattobadie	9,439 5 10	" "	" "
5. Agraharam Shotrium	2,314 5 10	" "	" "
6. Mocassah Cuttobadie	4,877 5 1	" "	" "
7. Dorakalawaine. Nuzzer	2,935 13 4	" "	" "
	204 2 1 "	" "	" "
Total	22,104 6 8 2	2,20,009 1 11	" "	1043 6 3

Other Sources.				
1. Fruit Trees 8 1/2 Small Sources	" "	1043 6 3	1043 6 3	" "
2. Jungle Rent	" "	123 12 "	" "	" "
Total	" "	1167 23 "	1167 23	" "
Under Annual Settlement				
Ground Total	" "	1,19,398 8 2	1,19,015 42	1167 23	1043 6 3

Net Increase 123 12 "

(Signed) S. S. Matthison Offg Collr & Agent to the Governor.

Vizagapatam, 29th July 1850

Collected

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

in the case of the Petitioners Chendra Reddy and Goroovaih Reddy and affording further explanation, on that subject as required in the letter from the Board of Revenue dated 8th July 1850.

Translation from Government dated 31st December 1850.

to which they now lay claim and of such Papers which bears on the subject of their Petition No. 557 of 1847 to which any endorsement dated 24th November of that year has reference.

2. I beg to state that on the receipt of your letter under reply the Parties were sent for, and have been examined before me with a view to eliciting the information required by Government, but without any further light being thrown on the subject.

3. The Petitioners are unable to produce any Documents in support of their title, but allege that those which their ancestors possessed have been lost consequent on their house being destroyed by fire, their claim must however it appears to me under any circumstances rest on more valid grounds, as alienation of these service maniems falling under Regulation VII of 1831 is inadmissible and as documents by which such Transfers from the families of original Grantees are effected are invariably cancelled when they do not carry with them the obligation of service, although

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

although no Party may claim the same hereditarily

4 In the present case the Defendant is the Grand-son in the male line of the Grantee, whose name is entered in the Circar accounts, and the service required has all along been performed by him and his ancestors before him.

5. Adverting to Para 3 of your letter I beg to state that there is no proof that the Petitioners or their ancestors were in possession of the Enam at a period antecedent to the preparation of the District accounts their assertions on this point are unsupported by evidence on which sufficient credence can be placed, while the part of the disputed Enam being adjacent to other lands belonging to the Petitioners, as would appear from their own Statements combined with the circumstance of an interchange having been effected, by which Defendants obtained possession of certain Taliary Enam and Puttah lands in lieu

heir of the said Mahtaddy Enam as represented in my Endorsement, would lead to the inference that Petitioners ancestors effected this exchange as a matter of convenience at a date subsequent to 1803-1804 when the Survey of the District was made; and that consequently the original accounts are correct in respect to the entry made, which on inspection appears free from alteration or erasures, but at this lapse of period the circumstances connected with this transaction, if otherwise than hypothetical, could not be satisfactorily explained.

6. Among the Documents transmitted, translate of Kharaarnamah or agreement executed by the Petitioners to the present Incumbent (Defendant) shortly after the disposal of the case by the Sub Collector; this document has been filed by the Defendant to shew that Petitioners at the time relinquished their claims to the Cuttoobady Enam in dispute and such would appear to be the case on the perusal

of

perusal of the said Document which in all respects coincides with the Sub Collectors decision by which the Puttah lands were directed to be restored to the Petitioners, which lands under existing circumstances they then appeared anxious to recover. Mr Ashton having however objected to that part of the Sub Collectors order in reference to the Puttah lands, the Petitioners have since apparently clung to the hope of recovering possession of the Enam and although they acknowledge having executed the Document above referred to, they cannot reconcile their having done so, with the grounds on which they now claim the Mahtaddy Enam.

Cuddapah, Collectors Office, 28th August, 1850. } /Signed/ J. A. Cochrane Collector

No. 1.

Translation of an Order No 238 issued by the late Sub Collector Mr J. S. Cotton to Soobbroo Row late Tahsildar of Cumbum Talook dated 7th February 1843 Fusly 1252.

In a case of complaint preferred at the Roozzoor by Mohammad

Caseem

Cousin Mohataddy of Syaoumpully of Cumbum Taloork to the effect that one Vyja Vencutreddy of the said Village continued to enjoy one of the three numbers of Mahatoddy service Enam entered in the Circar accounts in the name of his father on the plea of its having been included in his Puttah, and shewed him certain land belonging to his Puttah which is unfit for cultivation, saying that it was his Enam and that he refused to make over his Enam land, Your Urzee No. 341 and 4 Kyfeyets or declarations which accompanied it have been perused from which it clearly appears that

	a	c	as	cp	f	as
No. 52	5	35	4	3	5	8
No. 35	4	36	4	4	5	0
No. 38	11	17	4	1	1	7

are entered (in the Circar accounts) in the name of Abba Sahib the Grand father of the Complainant, of which No. 38 has been in the enjoyment of the Defendant Vencutreddy, that in lieu thereof land No. 17 belonging to the Puttah of the Defendant and another Mahatoddy Enam No. 3 to the extent of a c 3-15 assessed

a c as cp f as
3-12-8 11-3-6

assessed at C. Rupees 1-1-2 were enjoyed by the Complainant, that the Defendant paid every year the assessment of his Puttah land enjoyed by the Complainant, that this was ascertained, upon the survey made by Carkoon Sooba Row, and the other Mahatoddy Enam belonging to one Baja Lingadoo and cultivated by the Complainant was transferred to the Party in whose appertaining (Baja Lingadoo the Talaiy) and that upon the Complainant being convinced that the Reddy (Defendant) is enjoying his enam he (Complainant) asked him to surrender it, but he refused. The enjoyment of one's service Enam by another, the occupancy of the Puttah land of one Party by another as Enam, and the payment of Circar Revenue thereof by the Puttahdar being inconsistent with the rules in force, You are on the receipt of this Takeed required to make over to the Complainant his Mahtoddy Enam No. 38 acres 11. 7. 4 assessment C. P. Fa 1-1-7 in possession of Vyja Vencutreddy

12699 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Venkatreddy and to transfer to the Defendant his Puttah land No. 17 - a. c. a. 3:12:8 Assessment ₹: 3: 5- in the enjoyment of the Complainant and report the circumstance to the Koozzoor

/Signed/ J. J. Cotton
Sub Collector

/True Translate/ /Signed/ J. W. Cockrane
Collector

No. 2.

Translation of a Rararnamah or Agreement executed by Vijja Venkatah Missul Reddy of Cottapully a hamlet of Iyanaurepully in the Cumlum Talook to Nallagoontlah Pedda Causim Sahib and Dandabhoyge dated Shobhacroto Year Poorkea Shoodda 10th Corresponding to 31st December 1843.

Whereas the field No. 38 called Muney Mattoo Chenoo attached to the lands of Cottapully entered in the Pymaish accounts (in your ancestors names) being adjacent to that of my (field) I cultivated the same and in lieu thereof, field called Morapackeynoo being the Enam of Bayanadoo and the Circar Puttah land No. 17 called Baro Nackeynoo belonging to Chendroo Gaudoo were transferred to (you) and thees enjoyed the above lands but in last year Bayanadoo having laid claims for the restoration of the land belonging to him

12700. Fort. St. George 12th September 1850

him and obtained possession of the same, you have prepared complaints to the Circar on the subject - Whereupon as orders were issued to the effect that lands belonging to us should be cultivated by each of us respectively Viz: No. 38 by yourself and Puttah land No. 17 by me - you are authorized in consequence to cultivate the lands belonging to you and enjoy the Proceeds thereof - My Circar Puttah land being now left fallow I am voluntarily bound to pay the assessment due thereon - I will not for ever lay claim to the same in future I have thus voluntarily executed this Rararnamah or Agreement.

Mark of Venkatreddy

Witnesses
Mark of Y. Malloogaudoo. Cuttoobady Peen of Comarole
Ditto of Yerrapoto Chinna Chennuppah of Nallagoottah
Note
Written by Chamalapaty appiah Curnum Goomastah of Comarole
(True translate)
/Signed/ J. H. Cockrane
Collector

Order thereon

17-18.

To the Collector of Cuddapah

B. No. 35 of 1850
Govt No. 1609 —

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in consequence.

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Collector of Cuddapah will retransmit the Petition returned to him with the Board's letter of the 8th July 1850 to enable him to submit the same to Government when reporting on the case.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 13th September, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary
Read

From the Coll.r of Chingleput (19) Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by the Collector and his Subordinates for Fusly 1259.

(19-20) Proceedings thereon: The Extra Tent allowance drawn by each Officer for the Number of days noted in the Margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

Mr A. Freese Coll.r for	81 Days
" T. Conway Ag Coll.r for	50 days
" A. W. Phillips Hd Asst Collector for	15 days
" R. R. Cotton Extra Asst Coll.r for	33 days
" G. F. Fullerton Ag Hd Asst Collector for	38 days
" " Asst Collector for	11 days
" A. Ogilvie Asst Collector for	11 days

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor

(21) From the Collector of North Arcot Read Statement shewing the Extra Tent allowance drawn by the Collector and his Subordinates for Fusly 1259 amounting to Rupees 3,050-4-0.

(21-22) Proceedings thereon The Extra Tent allowance

allowance drawn by each Officer for the number of days noted in the Margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

Mr J. D. Bourdillon Coll.r for	138 days
" R. B. M. Binning Ag Coll.r for	191 days
" A. W. Sullivan Ag Hd Asst Coll.r for	126 days
" W. T. Blair Asst Coll.r for	145 days

The Extra Tent allowance drawn by Mr A. W. Sullivan during his residence at Vellore for 233 days is also sanctioned by the Board under the permission granted to him to reside at this station in their orders dated the 15th May 1848.

It is requested that the stages for each day may invariably be specified in future returns.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor

Read

12703 Fort St. George 12th September 1850

Read the following Letter

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

23

From the Collector of Salem.

Referring to the Board's Remarks on the Statement of Charges not estimated but actually incurred in Fasly 1258; I have the honor to inform you that the sum of Rupees 344 - 15 - 6 out standing on account of Advances for Sequestered Zemindaries, has been recovered and credited in the Accounts as shewn in the Margin.

×28th Feby 1850

1849	Rs	a	P
August	90	-	7
September	64	8	6
October	128	3	11
November	62	2	6
	344	15	6

See State of Demand Collection and Balance for these Months.

There are no balances of former years remaining unadjusted.

Salem District,
Ofoor.
Collector's Catchery,
31st August, 1850.

Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded.

23-24

D.G.

Read

12704 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Read the following Letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

25

From the Acting Collector of South Arcot - Para 1.

Transmitting the papers relating to two Suits Nos. 193 and 202 of 1850 and requesting permission to defend them.

Enclosures 1-2.

Sir,

I have the honor to Submit for the consideration of your Board translations of two plaint petitions of Suits Nos. 193 and No. 202 of 1850 filed against me in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Cuddalore for the recovery of certain Nunjey Padoogay lands situated on the banks of the Coleroon river in the limits of the village of Teroocalypalay, Chellumbram Talook, and which lands were made over by Durkhasts to other parties / Defendants in these Suits / in Fasly 1248 and to which the plaintiffs consider they have a claim as the original occupants or Kyputdars

Enclosure 1. 2 In Suit No. 193 Iyasamy Pillay is Plaintiff, and Sues for the recovery of 1/64 Cawnies of Nunjey land assessed at Rupees 11-12-4- While in Suit No. 202

Enclosure 2. Soobier alias Soobroyer is the Plaintiff and has entered his action for the recovery of Cawnies

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

Cawnies 3 2/16 assessed at Rupees 41-4-10.-

3. These suits are precisely similar and are instituted for lands located in the same spot, and alienated under the same circumstances from the respective Plaintiffs.- My proposed draft of answer is likewise the same for each and I solicit your Board's recommendation to have them defended in the first instance at the public expense.-

Enclosures 3-4.

4. An extensive tract of Paddoogay in which the lands now claimed is a component part situated on the bank or in the vicinity of the Coleroon river was held by the Plaintiffs and others on Poonjay assessment up to Fusly 1243.- when it was discovered that the assessment was incorrect and not according to the Pymash accounts; which we exhibited them under Nunjey assessment, & Mr. Dent the Collector ordered that rate of assessment to be levied for the futures. The occupants objected to this demand and ceased to cultivate, on the plea that to make the land fit for wet products protective embankments should be thrown-

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

thrown up, and a channel cut.- In Fusly 1248 estimates for these improvements were framed and sanctioned and the then Collector Mr. Ashton required the Tahsildar to report what lands the Ryots would engage to occupy in the following year, if the improvements were executed. Accordingly tenders were made by certain Ryots for land to be occupied in Fusly 1249.- But Mr. Ashton obtaining a more favorable offer from the second Defendant* and others, also Ryots of the village, to occupy at once and pay the Nunjey tax for Fusly 1248 for the land in its original state without the improvements, accepted the same and made over to the parties 47 10/16 Cawnies.- From that period to the present time the Defendants have been in possession, and I cannot see what title the Plaintiffs can have to the lands, for they ought to have come forward at the time and urged their claims or objections to the renting, and not seek redress at this distant period after the lapse of eleven years.-

* Sellappa Pillay, Moodly and his Brother Valoo Moodly, Nadar, Cavaymoodoo attached to Village of Tiruvaly.

5.- In my answers I have endeavored

to

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

to meet the claims set up by the Plaintiffs, and I am of opinion the suits may be successfully defended.-

The period for putting the answers expires on the 26th Septembr.-

South Arcot, -----
Collector's Cutcherry ---
Cuddalore, ----
31st August 1850

(Signed) J. Bird.
(Acting Collector-

No. 1.

To,

The Principal Sudr Ameen
Cuddalore.-

Plaintiffs.	Defendants-
Iyasamy Pillay son of Ramanja Pillay Ryot of Tiroocalypolay of Chelumbrum Talooke residing in Cavaraputtoo of the said Talooke occupation Cultivator	1. Sellapa Moodely elder son of the late Soobroya Moodely residing in Caraymoodoo attached to Tiroocalypalay in the said Talooke.-
	2. Valoo Moodelly undivided younger brother of the said Soobroya Moodelly-
	3. Putchaipillay Curnum of Tiroocalypalay residing in Cottangoody Street

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

Street of the Cusbah Chelumbrum.-

4 & 5. Rajapillay and Ramapillay sons and Curnum Goomastahs of the above individual.-

6. John Bird Esqr Acting Collector of the Zillah.-

Occupation of the 1st and 2d Defendants cultivation, that of the 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants Public Service.-

Issue the Defendants for the recovery of 1 Cawny and 1/4 anna of Nunjah Malgoozaree land bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 11-12-4. which has been usurped by means of Durkhast in the year 1838/39 or Fusly 1248.-

That is to say about 80 Cawnies of Podoogay land of sandy and saltish soil situated within the bed of the Coleroon River in the said village of Tiroocalypolay

having

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

having no channel for irrigation and being liable to flood during the freshes, the Ryots of the village were in the habit of raising Poonjah produce on their respective Kyput lands during the hot seasons when the Coleroon is dry, and Cultivating Tobacco &c on such of the lands as are fit for garden culture by means of Pools dug by themselves. the Government used to grant Puttahs for lands thus cultivated with Poonjah & produce at a Teerwah of Rupees 2-13-9 per Cawny and levied it accordingly- Of these lands 1 Cawny and 1/4 Anna of Nunjah land situated to the North of the Puttah land of Ramalinga pillay, to the South of the land which once belonged to Soobba Moodely but which the 1st & 2d Defendants have now usurped and obtained Puttah for, to the west of the land that belonged to Soobbier or Sooboroyer and to the east of that which belonged to Ramalinga pillay being my paternal Kyput land, my father Ramanoja pillay used to cultivate the same with poonjah produce and receive the Puttah and enjoy the produce paying it's proper Teerwah.-

2. In the month of June 1833/4

or

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

or July 1243 after the Jumabundy was settled and at the time of the distribution of the Puttahs for the said Fusly, the then Collector gave the Ryots to understand that the whole of the said Padoogay lands were entered in the Pymash Accounts as Nunjah Porampoke and that tho' they may be Cultivated with Poonjah produce, Nunjah Teerwah was to be paid thereon. We then represented that the lands were not fit for Nunjah culture unless embankments were thrown up so as to prevent their being flooded and a Channel cut for their irrigation. that they were not capable of so much as paying their present Teerwah of Rupees 2-13-9. under Poonjah Cultivation, and that the excessive rate of Nunjah Assessment could not justly be demanded; before the Authorities had rendered the lands fit for that sort of Cultivation.-

On this the Collector observed that the Sanction of Government was to be obtained for the necessary Maramut and promised to procure the same but directed the Curnam to Assess Nunjah Teerwah on the said lands

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

lands the Maramut might not be executed, consequently the Kyputdars were unable to cultivate their respective holdings and remained in expectation of the execution of the wor[k] is necessary for Nunjah Cultivation until 1838/39 or Fusly 1248. a period of 4 years.-

3. While matters stood thus, after the preparation of the Adungul Accounts for the Jumabundy of 1839 or Fusly 1248, in the month of May when the Collectors Cutcherry was at Tindivanum the 2d Defendant having gone thither on account of his own private affairs learnt that the sanction of the higher Authorities was received for the execution of the required Maramut; but Keeping the matter secret with a view to secure the Padoogay lands for himself to the prejudice of the Kyputdars, presented Durkhast to the then Collector Mr. Ashton praying that they might be made over to him on condition of his paying the Nunjah Teerwah for that very Fusly Viz. 1248.- As that Gentleman was in the habit of making over lands to Durkhastdars without any enquiry or reference to their owners or giving consideration to their remonstrances, he granted Puttah in the Month

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

Month of May or June for the whole of the said lands either in the name of the 2d Defendant or that of Soobroya Moodelly (the elder brother of the 2d Defendant and father of the 1st Defendant) and levied Teerwah accordingly. Consequently from the Months of July and August of 1839 or Fusly 1249, the said Soobroya Moodely and the 2d Defendant took possession of all the lands, notwithstanding the objections made to it by the Kyputdars, and the necessary Maramut works having been subsequently performed by the Circar, they carry on Nunjah Cultivation and are in enjoyment of the same. The lands thus taken possession of on Durkhast included the said 1 Cawny and ¼ Anna of land my paternal property.-

4. The representations of the several Kyputdars to the Authorities on the subject were not noticed; but the numerous instances of similar transfers of land made by Mr. Ashton without any reference to the Kyputdars, having produced great discontent in the District, Government sent out Mr. Dent as Commissioner, who after consideration of all circumstances ruled that all lands obtained on Durkhast from

from Fasly 1248 should be restored to the former Puttadars, and issued proclamations to that effect on the 8th and 16th July 1841. Then Collector Mr. Hallet accordingly sent Tahseeds to prepare accounts of all those lands made over to Durkhastdars from 1248 for which other parties had held Puttahs within the five years immediately preceding, with lists of the Khyputdars thereof, and prohibiting their Cultivation by the Durkhastdars; but the father of the 1st Defendant and the 2d Defendant in collusion with the 3d Defendant, dissuaded him (3d Defendant) from furnishing accounts of my lands viz. 1 Cawny and ¼ Anna and those of other Khyputdars in the Padoogay and have got themselves confirmed in the possession of them.-

5. Our representations to the Tahsildar on the subject not meeting with notice for some reason unknown, we petitioned the Collector from time to time and tho' these were simply referred to the Tahsildar himself he did not restore me to the possession of my land.-

6. I and the other Khyputdars then addressed a Petition to the Board of Revenue on

on the 30th November 1849 which was referred to the 1st Defendant for enquiry; but without any investigation of the facts of the case he passed an Endorsement under date 26th March 1850 stating that as we have left the lands waste ever since the order of the late Collector to impose Nunjah Teerwah upon them we have no title thereto.-

7. Altho' the Collector who was in the District in Fasly 1243 ordered the imposition of Nunjah Teerwah on the said lands tho' Cultivated with Poonjah produce, because he found from the accounts that they were liable to that rate, and was of opinion that he should not omit to enforce it, in the event of their cultivation it was evident that the lands could not be used for Nunjah cultivation before the Circar executed Maramut for their protection against the freshes, and the same opinion having been expressed by the local Revenue Officers to the Collector, we were prevented from cultivating the lands, because the Collector would not agree to their being made use of as Poonjah, and paid for accordingly. So that it was not the fault of the Khyputdars if the lands were left waste. While lands under five years waste in the possession of

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

of Dur Khastdars have been restored to Kyputs
=dars. without any enquiry as to the reason
of the waste, the failure to act up to that
principle in respect to the lands in dispute
the assertion of the 6th Defendant that I
have no title to the land and the omission
to restore my land, is not at all consist=
=ent with the usage of the District and
contrary to justice. Under these circum=
=stances I bring this suit against all the
Defendants for the recovery of my Kyput
land.-

I therefore pray the
Court will cause the Defendants
to give up to me my Kyput land
situated within the bounda=
=ries above specified mea=
=suring 1 Cawny ¼ Anna
and bearing an assessment
of Rupees 11-12-4 per
annum.-

15th May 1850.

(Signed) T. Iyasawmy Pillay

(True translation)

(Signed) J. Bird
Acting Collector.-

D.F.

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

No. 2.

To, the Principal Sudr Ameen
Cuddalore.

Plaintiff	Defendants.
Soobier alias Soobroyer Mahajanum Ryot of Peroocaly palay of Chel= =lumbrum Talook residing in Cavara = =puttoo of the said Talook.-	1. Sellappa Moodely elder Son of the late Soobroya Moodely residing in Caramadoo attached to Peroocaly palay in the said Talook.-

2. Valoomoodaly undivided younger brother of the said Soobroya Moodely.

3. Putchepillay Curnum of Peroocully palay residing in Cot= =tungoody street in the Cusbah Chel= =lumbrum.-

4 and 5. Rayapillay and Ramapillay sons and Curnum Goomastahs of the above in= =dividuals.-

6. John Bird Esquire Acting Collector of this zillah.-

Sue the Defendants for the recovery of Cawnies 3. 9 Annas of Kunjah Malgoo= =zaree land bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 41-4-10. which has been usurpedly by means of Durgast in the year 1839 or fasly 1248.

that

That is to say; about 80 Cawnies of Padoogay land of sandy and saltish soil situated within the bed of the Coleroon River in the said Village of Tiroocaly palay, having no channel for irrigation, and being liable to inundation during the freshes, the Ryots of the Village were in the habit of raising Poonjah Produce, on their respective Kyput lands during the hot seasons when the Coleroon is low, and cultivating Tobacco &c. on such of the lands as are fit for Garden culture by means of Pools dug by themselves.-

The Government used to grant Puttahs for lands thus cultivated with Poonjah Produce at the rate of Rupees 2. 13. 9. and levied Teerwah accordingly. Of these lands 1 Cawny and 15 Annas land situated to the east of that which be=longs to Ramanooja Pillay but which the first and second Defendants have now usurped and obtained Puttah for, to the west of the land which before belonged to Mahalingier Sashier and Sooboo Moodily to the North of the Puttah land of Naraina Pillay and to the South of the land

that

that before belonged to Soobba Moodely 13 annas of land situated to the South of Nar=raina Pillay's Putta land, to the North of the land which before belonged to Appoo=vier to the east of that, which was once the holding of Soobba Moodely and to the west of the waste land. and 13 Annas of land situated to the North of a piece of land which was my paternal property to the South of that which was once held by Pardasee Pillay to the east of his and Naraina Pillay's lands and to the west of the waste land; these three pieces of land making in all 3 Cawnies and 9 annas being my paternal Kyput land, my ances=tors and afterwards myself, Cultivated the same with Poonjah produce, paid its Teerwah and enjoyed the produce up to Fusly 1843.-

2. In the Month of May or June of 1833/34 or Fusly 1243. after the Summa=bundy was settled, and at the time of the distribution of Puttahs for the said Fusly, the then Collector gave the Ryots to under=stand that the whole of the said Padoogay lands were entered in the Pymash accounts as Punjah Porumboke and that though they

they might be cultivated with Poonjah Produce, Runjah Teerwah was to be paid thereon. We then represented that the lands were not fit for Runjah Cultivation unless embankments were thrown up so as to protect them from flood and a channel cut for their irrigation; that the lands could not bear so much as their present Teerwah of Rupees 2-13-9 with their Poonjah Produce, and that the excessive rate of Runjah assessment ought not justly to be demanded before the Circar had rendered the lands fit for that description of cultivation. To this the Collector observed that the necessary Maramut was to be made on the Sanction of Government and that he would endeavor to procure the same; but he directed the Curnum, the third Defendant, to assess Runjah Teerwah on the said land if cultivated, notwithstanding the Maramut might not be done, consequently the Ryputdars were unable to cultivate their respective holdings being in expectation of the execution of the works necessary for Runjah Cultivation until 1838/39 or Fusly 1248 a period of 4 years.-

3. While matters stood thus, after the preparation of the Adungul

account for the Summahbundy of 1839 or Fusly 1248 in the Month of April or May when the Collector's Cutcherry was at Tindivanum, the 2d Defendant having gone thither on account of his own business, learnt that the Sanction of the higher authorities was received for the execution of the required Maramut; but keeping the matter secret with a view to secure the Padoogay lands for himself, to the prejudice of the Ryputdars he 2d Defendant presented a Durquast to the then Collector Mr. Ashton praying that they might be made over to him on condition of his paying Runjah Teerwah for the same for that very Fusly Viz. 1248. as that Gentleman was in the habit of making over lands to Durkastdars without any enquiry or reference to their owners, or giving consideration to their remonstrances he granted Puttah for the whole of the said lands either in the name of the 2d Defendant or that of Soobroya Moodely (elder brother of 2d Defendant and father of 1st Defendant) in the Month of May or June and levied Teerwah accordingly. Consequently from the Months of July and August of 1839/40. or Fusly 1249 the said Soobroya Moodily

and

and the second Defendant took possession of the whole of the lands notwithstanding the objections raised by the Kyputdars, the necessary Maramut works having been subsequently performed by the Circar, they raise Runjah produce. The lands thus fraudulently taken possession of on Dur East by the said 2d Defendant includes the Cawnies 3. and 9 annas, my paternal Kyput land.-

4. The representations of the several Kyputdars to the authorities on this subject were not noticed. - while matters stood thus the numerous instances of similar transfers of lands made by Mr. Ashton without any reference to Kyputdars having produced great discontent in the District Mr. Dent was sent out as Commissioner by Government. That Gentleman, ruled that all lands obtained on Dur East from Fusly 1248 should be restored to their former Puttadars and issued Proclamations to that effect, under dates 8th and 16th July 1841. The then Collector Mr. Hallett sent Tahseeds for the preparation of accounts of all those lands made over to Dur Eastdars from 1248 for which other parties had held Puttahs with details shewing the

names

names of the Kyputdars thereof - and prohibiting their cultivation by the Dur Eastdars.-

Lands were accordingly given back to Kyputdars, but the father of the 1st Defendant and the 2d Defendant in collusion with the 3d Defendant, dissuaded him (3d Defendant) from furnishing Accounts of my land Viz. Cawnies 3-9- Annas and those of other Kyputdars in the Padoogay - and the 1st and 2d Defendants have got themselves confirmed in the possession of the Padoogay Lands inclusive of those in dispute.-

5. Our representations to the Tahsildar on the subject not meeting with any notice for some reason unknown, we petitioned the Collector from time to time; and altho these were simply referred to the Tahsildar I was not put in possession of my land.-

6. A Petition being then addressed to the Board of Revenue by me and the other Kyputdars on this subject, under date 30th November 1849, it was referred to the 1st Defendant for enquiry; but he without investigating the facts of the case passed an Endorsement under date 26th March 1850 stating that as we had left the lands waste ever since the order of the former Collector to impose Runjah Peerwah on them we have no title thereto.-

7. Altho' the Collector that was in the District

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

District in fusly 1243 ordered the imposition of Nunjah Seerwah on the said lands, tho' cultivated with Poonjah produce only, because he had found from the Accounts that they were liable to that rate and was of opinion that he ought to enforce it. Now these lands could not be cultivated with Nunjah products before the Circar executed Mdraimut for their protection against the freshes; and the same opinion having been expressed by the local Officers to the Collector, we were prevented from cultivating the lands only because the Collector would not agree to their being assessed as Poonjah, therefore if the lands were left waste it was not the fault of the Kyputdars. while lands under 5 years waste in the possession of Durkastdars have been taken back and given over to Kyputdars, without any enquiry as to the reason of the waste, the assertion of the 6th Defendant that I have no title to the land and the omission to restore it according to the above principle is not at all consistent with the usage of the District and contrary to justice. Under these circumstances I bring this Suit against all the Defendants for the recovery of my Kyput land.-

I therefore request the Court will cause the Defendants to give up to me my Nunjah Malgoozaree land situated within the boundaries above specified and measuring Cawnies 3 and 9 Annas and bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 4-4-10-

15th June, 1850. —

(Signed) Soobbier alias Soobroyer.-

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. Bird
acting Collector.

DG

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

No. 3.

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed by the Acting Collector of South Arcot, the 6th Defendant in a Suit filed in the Principal Sudr. Ameen's Court of Cuddalore No. 193 of 1850 by Plaintiff Nyah-Sawmy Pillay for the recovery of Cawnies 1 Annas 1/4 of Nunjay land bearing an annual Assessment of Rupees 11-12-4.-

The Plaintiff in his Plaint Petition to the Court has set forth the circumstances under which the land now claimed was alienated from him and others, on the whole truthfully, and the Acting Collector 6th Defendant in this Action would submit to the Principal Sudr Ameen that from this very statement it is evident on the face of it that the Plaintiff has no just or legal claim to the one Cawnie and quarter anna of Nunjay land which has been in the possession of the other Defendants in this Suit without question let or hinderance for the past Eleven years and further the 6th Defendant trusts by a fair Statement of the case to convince the Court that the Plaintiff's

Fort St. George 12th. September 1850.

Plaintiff has suffered no injury to his cause or been in any way deprived of his land by any Official act done by the 6th. Defendant in his Capacity of Collector for the Zillah. and consequently the Plaintiff has no ground of Auction against the the 6th. Defendant.

2. The land now under litigation measuring one Cawnie and a quarter Anna is entered in the Pymash Accounts of the District as Nunjah Porumpoke and is a part of an extensive tract of Puddoogay land lying on the bank or within the vicinity of the Coleroon River. It is true was in the possession of and cultivated by the Plaintiffs father and other ryots of the Village of Trivoocaley up to Fusly 1243 paying the Punjay assessment for dry products raised. At the Annual Jummah settlement of that Fusly 1243. it was discovered that the assessment entered for this very land in the Survey was as Nunjay and consequently the Punjay teerwah hitherto paid was incorrect. The Principal Collector of the

Enclosure No. 1.

District

Fort St. George 12th. September 1850.

District then ordered and notified the same to the occupants of this land, that for the future the Nunjay assessment would be levied. The Plaintiffs father and others objecting to these terms, ceased to cultivate or occupy the lands any longer. And the lands in question remained waste from Fusly 1244 to 1247. In the year 1248 it was determined to construct such works as would render these lands fit for Nunjay Cultivation; Accordingly the Civil Engineer framed and forwarded Estimates for cutting a channel of irrigation and for throwing up embankments to prevent the inundation of these lands by the freshes in the Coleroon. On the receipt of this Estimate the Collector required the Tahsildar to report to what extent the land would be cultivated in the ensuing Fusly of 1249 if the improvements proposed were executed during Fusly 1248. The Thasildar replied that certain ryots of the Village were prepared to take up 60 Cawnies assessed at Rupees 695.-

3. While matters were in this

State

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.—

State, the 2nd Defendant appeared before the Collector in the month of April 1839. and gave a Proposal for 47 10/16 Cawnies of this Puddagay land assessed at Rupees 552 and in which the land now claimed is included offering to pay the teerwah for the then current Fusly 1248. This offer was accepted by the Collector Mr. Ashton and the 2nd Defendant put into possession who defrayed the assessment tho' the land was left wate.—

Enclosure No. 2.

4. The 6th Defendant would here observe that the Plaintiff must at that period have been fully cognizant of the fact that, the Collector had required proposals for this land, and that parties had come forward and tendered such proposals. It was therefore incumbent on him, the Plaintiff, to have been one of the number and given his proposal— or at all events to have protested against the arrangements then entered into by the Principal Collector. But he did not do so, and consequently he has no one to blame but himself.—

Again

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

5. Again Plaintiff by his own shewing proves that this land has not been in the possession of either his family or himself for the past 15 years, that is from Fusly 1244 to the present time and therefore it is difficult to imagine what possible claim he can have to the land which by the Survey Accounts can be proved to be Nunjay Porumpoke.—

6. In the 4th Para of his Plaint Petition Plaintiff has laid some stress on the Commissioner's Order for the restoration to the former occupant of all land given on Dhurkhast during the three years preceding 1841 not having been acted upon in his case. The 6th Defendant admits that such order was issued, and in carrying out this direction of the Commissioner, the late Collector Mr. Hallett fixed the 15th October 1841 as the limited period for parties to send in their claims.—

It remains for the Plaintiff to shew that, he sent in his claim for the restoration of the land now sued for within that period. Other parties however

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

however did send in their claims for the restoration of portions of this Padoogay land in this very village of Teroocalypaly but were rejected on special grounds by an order of the Collector Mr. Hallett
Enclosure No. 3. bearing date 13th October 1841, and these grounds were because these parties had given up their lands in Fusly 1244, on a just demand of Runjay teerwah being required of them - And also because other persons had obtained possession by right of Puttah and occupancy.-

7. By the foregoing Statement of the facts it must be clear to the Court that the Plaintiff was deprived of his land by no one Act of the 6th Defend= =ant. And that the endorsement passed by the 6th Defendant on the Petition to the
No. 4. Board of Revenue No. 2349 of 1849 de= =claring the Petitioners to have "no title whatever" cannot be deemed ground for a Civil Action against him. Accordingly the 6th Defendant requests the Principal Sudr Ameen to dismiss the Suit with all Costs.-

(Signed) J. Bird.
Actg. Collector.

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

No. 1 in No. 3.-

Translation of a Tarkeed No. 81 dated 17th October 1834 issued to Balajee Row Tahsildar of Chellumbrum by J. Dent Esqre. Principal Collector.

In your Urzee of 21st August you state that the Ryots who cultivated the Punjah Porumpoke lands of Teroocally Poly situated within the Coleroon bed, last year object to renew their Cultivation this year on the score, that they had been longe= paying Poonjah Teerwah on them and that as Punjah Teerwah has now been imposed they will be enabled to cultivate the lands if the new rate is to be insisted upon, and that they therefore request to have the usual Poonjah Teerwah charged. You further represented that in consequence of the refusal of the Ryots there will be a decrease of 79 Cawnies or Rupees 224 in this Fusly below the Beriz of the last. The omission to charge Punjah Average Teerwah on Punjah Porumpoke lands Cultivated by the Ryots in former years and the beginning of Poonjah Teerwah upon them instead, was the fault of the Curnum and Monigar.

Should

Fort St. George 12th September 1850—

Should however the Ryots who formerly cultivated any particular land be unwilling to retain it now it is your duty to make it over to other Ryots for Cultivation— your representation therefore that there will be a decrease in the Revenue— because of Ryots refusal to cultivate, leads to the Suspecion that you wish to have the lands continued to them on Poonjah Teerwah contrary to the Rules.— This wish can never be complied with, and it is to be clearly understood that those that cultivate the lands in question must pay teerwah according to the Pymash. If the former holders be unwilling to retain the lands on these terms you will take care to have them cultivated by others and thus prevent decrease in the Revenue.—

(Signed) J. Dent.
Principal Collector

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. Bird.
Asst. Pl. Collector
No. 2. in No. 3.—

Translation of a Tareed No. 516 dated 8th April 1839 issued to Andy Iyen Tasildar of Chellumbrumby W. Ashton Esqr. Principal Collector.

A Separate order you are aware

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.—

is this day addressed to you for making em= =bankments to the Coleroon and cutting a branch channel within the limits of Pura= =chilapoly. The Durgast presented by Valoo Moodelly a ryot of the said Village is here= with forwarded in this he states that there are 60 Cawnies of waste land available for cultivation in consequence of the said Mara= =mut and offers to pay Teerwah on 50 of them for this very year, Viz. Fusly 1248— you will therefore take security for the Teerwah of the said 50 Cawnies and make over the same to the Durgast dar after measuring them Kaitwar and with the specification of their boundaries and direct the Curnum to make out puttah for them in the name of the said individual for the Jummabundy of the Current year— You will likewise report what you may do in the matter as regards the 10 Cawnies which the Durgast dar prays may be assigned to him for cultivation in Fusly 1249 You will inform him that this part of his proposal has been rejected and that a Durgast for the next year cannot be attended to before the close of

the

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

the Summabundy of this fusly.-

(Signed) W. Ashton
Principal Collector.-

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. Bird.
Acting Collector.

No. 3 in No. 3.-

Translation of a Takeed No. 233 dated 13th October 1841 issued to the Tahsildar of Chellumbrum by C. H. Hallett Esqre

Principal Collector.-

With reference to your Urzee No. 198 dated 6th Instant reporting upon the complaint of Iya Pillay Gowraputtoo on behalf of the Mahajemmal ryots of Teeroocollipoly, it does not appear that Iya Pillay acts under Vakaulutnamah, the said Mahajenums have however come forward in person and pleaded that the lands belong to their Kyput

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

Kyput but it is observed that for the particular reasons assigned in your Urzee they abandoned these lands because they were unable to pay the Nunjah Teerwah demanded in Fusly 1248 and that a portion of the land in question was therefore made over on Durgast to Valoo Moodelly and others and the remainder to other parties because of their having cultivated it, you will therefore inform the said Mahajenums that they cannot have the lands, and that the present Puttah holders shall

12735 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.-

shall be allowed to cultivate the same.-

(Signed) C. H. Hallett.
Collector.-

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. Bird.
Acting Collector.

No. 4 in No. 3.

Copy of an Endorsement given on Petition Board's No. 2349 of 1849.

The Petitioners held possession of the land in question up to Fusly 1243, when it was discovered that this land in question was entered as Nunjay in the Pymash Accounts, and consequently it was ordered that the Nunjay Teerwa must be levied. Petitioners then threw up their land as waste and in Fusly 1248 it was granted on Durkast to Valoo Moodelly who has had possession ever since. In Fusly 1258 the said Valoo Moodelly fell into arrear of Kist and the land was attached but not sold, the demand having been satisfied, Petitioners have no title whatever.-

Cuddalore
March 28th, 1850.

(Signed) J. Bird.
Acting Collector

12736. Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

No. 4.

Draft of an Answer proposed to be filed by the Acting Collector of South Arcot the 6th Defendant in a Suit filed in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Cuddalore No. 202 of 1850 by Plaintiff Soobbien alias Soobroyer for the recovery of Cawnies Three annas 9. of Nunjay land bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 41-4-10.

The Plaintiff in his Plaint Petition to the Court has set forth the Circumstances under which the land now claimed was alienated from him and others on the whole truthfully, and the acting Collector 6th Defendant in this action would submit to the Principal Sudr Ameen that from this very Statement it is evident on the face of it that the Plaintiff has no just or legal claim to the three Cawnies and Nine annas of Nunjay land which has been in the possession of the other Defendants in this Suit without question detention hinderance for the space of eleven years. And further the 6th Defendant trusts by a fair Statement of the Case to convince the Court that the

Plaintiff's

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Plaintiff has sufferd no injury to his cause or been in any way deprived of his land by any Official act done by the 6th Defendant in his Capacity of Collector for the Zillah and Consequently the Plaintiff has no ground of action against the 6th Defendant

2 The land now under litigation measuring three Cawnies and nine annas, is entered in the Pymash accounts of the Districts as Nunjay Porempok, and is a part of an extensive tract of Puddoogy land lying on the bank or within the vicinity of the Coleroon river. This land it is true was in the possession of and cultivated by the Plaintiffs father and other Ryots of the Village of Trivocalypolay up to Fusly 1243, it was discovered that the assessment entered for this very land in the Survey was as Nunjay and Consequently the Punjay Teerwah hitherto paid was incorrect. The Principal Collector of the District then Ordered and notified the same to the occupants of this land that for the future the Nunjay assessment would be levied. The Plaintiffs father

Encls. No. 1.

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

father and others objecting to these terms ceased to Cultivate or occupy the lands any longer, and the lands in question remained waste from Fusly 1244 to 1247. In the Year 1248 it was determined to construct such works as would render these lands fit for Nunjay Cultivation accordingly the Civil Engineer framed and forwarded Estimates for Cutting a Channel of irrigation and for throwing up Embankments to prevent the inundation of these lands by the freshes in the Coleroon On the receipt of this Estimate the Collector required the Tahsildar to report to what extent the land would be cultivated in the ensuing Fusly of 1249 if the improvements proposed were executed during Fusly 1248. The Tahsildar replied that certain Ryots of the Village were prepared to take up 60 Cawnies assessed at Rupees 695—

3 While matters were in this state the 2d Defendant appeared before the Collector in the Month of April 1839 and gave a proposal for 47½ Cawnies of

12739

Fort St George 12th September 1850.

of the Pudoogay land aforesaid at Rupees 552 and on which the land now claimed is included and offering to pay the Peishwah for the then Current Fusly 1248. His Offer was accepted by the Collector Mr Ashton and the 2d Defendant put into possession, who defrayed the assessment tho' the land was left waste.

Exhibit No 2

4 The 6th Defendant would here observe that the Plaintiff must at that period have been fully Cognizant of the fact that the Collector had required proposals for this land and that parties had come forward and tendered such proposals. It was therefore incumbent on him the Plaintiff to have been one of the number and to given his proposal, or at all events to have protested against the arrangements then entered into by the Principal Collector. But he did not do so, and consequently he has no one to blame but himself.

5 Again Plaintiff by his own shewing proves that this land has not been in

12740.

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

in the possession of either his family or himself for the past 15 years, that is from Fusly 1244 to the present time and therefore it is difficult to imagine what possible claim he can have to the land which by the Survey accounts can be proved to be Nunjay Paremboke.

6 In the 4th Para of his Plaint Petition Plaintiff has laid some stress on the Commissioners order for the restoration to the former occupants of all land given on Durbhast during the three years preceding 1841 not having been acted upon in his case. The 6th Defendant admits that such order was issued and in carrying out this direction of the Commissioners the late Collector Mr Ballett fixed the 15th October 1841 as the limited period for parties to send in their claims. The Plaintiff and other parties however did send in their claims for the restoration of portions of this Padoogay land, in this very village of Tircoocaly poley but were rejected on special grounds by an Order of the Collector Mr Ballett, bearing date

Exhibit No 3

13th

12741 Fort St George 12th September

13th October 1841 and these grounds were, because the parties had given up their lands on Tuesday 12th on a just demand of Nunjay Teerwah being required of them, and also because other persons had obtained possession by right of Pattah and occupancy.

By the foregoing Statement of the facts, it must be clear to the Court that the Plaintiff was deprived of his land by no one act of the 6th Defendant, and that the Endorsement was passed by the 6th Defendant on the Petition to the Board of Revenue No. 2349 of 1849 declaring the Petitioners to have "no title whatever" cannot be deemed grounds for a Civil action against him accordingly the 6th Defendant requests the Principal Sudr Ameen to dismiss the suit with all Costs.

(Signed) J. Bird
Acting Collector

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.

To

Encls. Nos. 1-4.

J.B.
J.F.B.

12742 Fort St George 12th September

(26)

To The Acting Collector of South Arcot

To the Actg Collr of South Arcot

Fort St George 12th Septr 1850.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 31st August 1850 and in reply to inform you that the sanction of Government will be sought for defending the two suits Nos. 193 and 202 of 1850 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Cuddalore; but in the mean time they desire that you will apply for an extension of one month of the period allowed for putting in an answer.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
12th September 1850

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(25-27)

To the Chief Secty to Government

Submitting a Letter from the Actg Collr of

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor.

of South Arcot reporting the institution of two Suits against himself and others in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court at Cuddalore for the recovery of certain Nunjah Padoogay Lands on the Banks of the Coleroon and recommending that the Suits be defended at the Public expense—

Inclosures to Sec. Letter dated 22nd Sept. 1848

Fort St. George 12th September

Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of South Arcot dated 31st August 1850 transmitting copies of Two Plaints filed against himself and five others in the Court of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Cuddalore by Iyasawmy Pillay and Soolier, alias Soobroyer, Mahajanum Ryots of Tiroocaly Palay of Chellumbrum Taloak, for the Recovery of certain Nunjay Padoogay land on the banks of the River Coleroon, which were made over by Durkhasts to other Parties / Defendants in these Suits / in July 1848, and Submitting for the Approval of Government drafts of the answers he proposes to file—

Both Suits are precisely similar, and are instituted for Lands situated in the same spot Iyasawmy claiming 16½ Cawnies assessed at Rupees 11—12—4 and Soobbier, alias Soobroyer 3 3/16 Cawnies assessed at Rupees 41—4—10—

There

Fort St. George 12th Septr 1850.

These lands form part of an extensive tract of Padoogay in the vicinity of the Coleroon, which was held by the Plaintiffs and others on Poonjah assessment up to Fusly 1243, when it was discovered that the land was exhibited in the Pymash accounts as Nunjah, Pommboke and Mr Dent, the Collr at that time, ordered that if the Ryots would not pay the legal demand they should give up the land— To this they objected and Ceased to cultivate the land on the plea that to make it fit for wet Products embankments should be thrown up and other Maraumut work performed— The land therefore remained waste till Fusly 1248, when extensive for improvements were framed and sanctioned and the then Collector Mr Ashton, directed the Tahsildar to report what land the Ryots would engage to occupy in the following year if the work were executed— Tenders were made by certain Ryots for 60 Cawnies

12745 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Cawnies assessed at Rupees 695 for Fusly 1249. but Mr Ashton obtaining a more favorable offer from Valoomoodly the 2d defendant and others, also Ryots of the Village, to occupy it at once and pay the Nunjah only for the Current Fusly 1248 without waiting for completion of the Maramut improvements accepted the same and made over to the Parties 4/7 10/16 Cawnies from which time they have been in possession, and the Plaintiffs have only now come forward to prove their Claims in the Civil Court -

The Board are of opinion that the suits should be defended at the public expence as Recommended by the Acting Collector, for although the Plaintiffs had the lands in question for a long period, their tenure was under a fraud on the part of the Curnum and Monegar of which they doubtless were Cognizant The Board consider that Mr Ashton should have had more Consideration for the old Occupants, but there was no illegality in his making the land over to those who made higher Durkhast -

As the period allowed for filing the answer will expire on the 26th September 1850 the Acting Collector has been directed to apply for a months extension

Revenue B.O. Office
Fort St. George 12th Septr 1850

(Signed) W. H. Bayley Secy to Bd

12746.

28

From the Acting Collector of South Arcot. Read Statement
Viziapoor 12th Sept 1850 of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself
and his Subordinates for Fusly 1259.

28-29

Proceedings thereon. The extra Tent allowance drawn by each Officer for the number of days noted in the Margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

Mr. J. Bird. Acting Collector for 38 days
" H. Wood Sub. Collector for 167 Days
" M. R. Mc Donell Head Asst. for 91 days
" C. A. Roberts. Asst. Collector 66 days
" B. Pauncefote Ditto for 25 days.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

Read the following letter.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

Sir.

From the Collector of Tanjore

With reference to the Circular from the Office of the Board of Revenue under date the 6th April 1848, I have the honor to report that every attention has been paid to the instructions contained therein,

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

therein, and no occasion omitted of informing the Ryots of the indulgence shewn them by Government with regard to the planting of Topes on waste land &c. but notwithstanding the simplicity of the rules, and the entire freedom from all interference for such a length of time, but little desire has been shewn by individuals to take advantage of such liberal provisions for the encouragement of planting as will be manifest by reference to the accompanying Statement which exhibits the extent of land taken up both from waste and land lately under cultivation, the total extent being under 98 Acres.

2. With regard to the probable effect which these rules will produce on the tope revenues, it seems but natural that a falling off should ensue in the future collections from such sorts of trees in the old Topes as are now allowed to be planted all but rent free for the old Topes will rarely be renewed, unless on the same terms as are allowed for the new ones.

Coll.r 12. 3. The accompanying Statement shews the present Beriz collected on Topes in

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

in general and the amount appertaining to such classes of Trees as in future will be enjoyed rent free for 20 years, and on which the general collections will probably be affected. A doubt has been started whether the vacant places in existing Topes can be filled up with trees under the new rules, It would seem the object being an increase of Timber in the country that the new rules would be applicable and will be allowed unless the Board are of a different opinion.

Tanjore,
Pullum,
9th September
1850.

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement

12749

Fort St. George

12th September 1850.

Statement Shewing the Extent and Beriz Waste in the Province of Tanjore up to Fusly 1259.

Lands Vea granted for plantation of Topes or Trees

Taloks	Why granted	Summalundy: No. of Plantations the Lands were granted	Summalundy: No. of Villages wherein new Topes are planted	Summalundy: Nature of Land	Summalundy: Extent	Dittum: Waste Land: Beriz: Nature of Land	Dittum: Waste Land: Beriz: Extent	Totat: Beriz	Totat: Extent Beriz	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
					V m g		R a p	V m g	R a p	V m g	R a p	
Trivady	For Charity purposes	4	3	"	" " "	" " "	Poonjah	1 13 57	2 13 2	1 13 57	12 13 2	×
	Private benefit	6	3	Poonjah	" 6 8	2 1 1	Poonjah	3 " 68	18 13 5	3 6 76	20 14 6	Besides these orders have been issued to plant 500 Avenue trees on the sides of the public roads in Commalore Pundaravaday Village in Trivadi Taloork as per Extract from Board's Proceedings dated 25th October 1848.
		10	6	"	" 6 8	2 1 1		4 14 25	31 10 7	5 " 33	33 11 8	
Tirtrapoondy	Charity purposes	1	1	Poonjah	" 6 "	1 2 6	Poonjah	" 12 60	2 10 11	" 18 60	3 13 5	
Puttocottah	Private benefit	13	7	Poonjah Bagayet	4 5 90 " 3 48	24 10 8 1 9 9	Poonjah	4 7 83	19 5 3	8 17 16	45 9 8	
Myaveram	Charity purposes	1	1	"	" " "	" " "	Poonjah	" 33	" 9 6	" 33	" 9 6	
Totat	Charity purposes	6	5		" 6 "	1 2 6		2 9 20	16 1 7	2 15 20	17 4 1	
	Private benefit	19	10		4 15 41	28 5 6		7 8 51	38 2 8	12 3 92	66 8 2	
Grand Totat		25	15		5 1 41	29 8 "		9 17 71	54 4 3	14 19 12	83 12 3	

Tanjore, 9th September 1850.

ave 9 8 4 Gooly 35

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Ordered

12750 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

30-31

Order thereon - Ordered to lie over

In cons 9th Sept. 1850.

Read report dated 27th August 1850 from the Collector of Trichinopoly, on the Extra Sources of Revenue in his District for Fusly 1259 1849/50

32

Proceedings thereon - The following Tabular Statement exhibits a comparative view of the Revenue for Fusly 1258 and 1259, shewing an increase more or less in every Item -

Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1259.

	Demand of Fusly 1258	Demand of Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	Rs as Ps as	Rs as Ps as	Rs as Ps as	Rs as Ps as
A.B. Barry	22,610 " "	24,310 " "	1700 " "	" " " "
Motursha	6335 11 2	6444 7 7	108 12 5	" " " "
Sundry Small Farms and Licencees	4593 13 11	5061 12 6	467 14 7	" " " "
Stamps	16,105 4 "	18,343 4 "	2238 " "	" " " "
Total	49,644 13 1	54159 8 1	4514 11 "	" " " "

A.B. Barry Increase Rs 1700 —

2- In the A.B. Barry, which forms the principal source of Extra Revenue in this District there is an increase of Rupees 1700 which occurred chiefly in the rent of the Cuslah Con and Talook, which was taken at an enhanced rate by the Military Renter -

Motursha Increase Rs 108-12-5

3- In this branch of Revenue there was a small increase arising from the taxes on Bazars and Looms in the former to the extent of Rupees 48 - and in the latter to Rupees 60 — " —

Under -

12751. Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Small farms and Licences Increase Rs 467-14-7

4- Under this head there is also an increase, which occurred in the two Sirsi items, Hills Rent and the rent of the grass in the ditch of the Fort at Trichinopoly for which larger offers were obtained -

Stamps Increase Rs 2238

5- In Stamps the increase is attributable to the operation of Act XVIII of 1848, which came into force in the previous Fusly -

Demand Rs 54159 8 1

6- Of the gross Demand the Collections within the Fusly amounted to Rupees 52,457 - 3 - 8, leaving a Balance of Rupees 1702 4 - 5, the whole of which is stated to have been subsequently realized -

7- The Receipts including Arrears, were in the aggregate Rupees 53,626 - 9 - " and deducting the charges, amounting to Rupees 1,882 - 8 - 1, the net Revenue was Rupees 51,744 - 11 - " being Rupees 2978 - 8 - 10 in excess of that of Fusly 1258.

8- The charges appertain to Stamps alone and are Rupees 856 - 9 - 2 in excess of those of the previous year, owing to the larger amount of Commission disbursed to the Stamp Agent in proportion to the increased rate of Stamps, and to the refunds made under the new Act when the parties in a Suit withdrew the same or filed Rageenamahs -

9- There has been no Sugar manufactured in the District during Fusly 1259.

10- The Collectors endeavours to prevent the illicit manufacture of Earth Salt in the District and its importation from the Tondiman's Country have apparently met with some success.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly -

Trichinopoly

Totals	16105	4	"	18198	"	"	2087	12	"	"	"	"
Charges	1025	14	11	1882	8	1	856	9	2	"	"	"
Net Revenues	15079	5	1	16310	7	11	1231	2	10	"	"	"
Demands	6335	11	2	6444	7	7	108	12	5	"	"	"
Balances on the 12th July	388	12	2	277	13	10	"	"	"	80	14	4
Current Collection	5976	15	"	6166	9	9	189	10	9	"	"	"
Extra Ditto	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Metropolis												
Arrears	217	1	5	358	12	2	141	10	9	"	"	"
Totals	6194	"	5	6525	5	11	331	5	6	"	"	"
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Net Revenues	6194	"	5	6525	5	11	331	5	6	"	"	"

12749

Fort St. George

Statement Shewing the Extent and Beriz Waste in the Province of Tanjore up to Fusly 1259.

Summary

Taloks	Why granted	1	2	No. of Pantiettum Lands granted	No. of Villages whose Lands were granted	Nature of Lands	Extent		
				3	4	5	6		
							Y	M	G
Trivady	Charity purposes	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Private benefit	6	3			Poonjah	"	6	8
Tittapoondy	Charity purposes	1	1			Poonjah	"	6	"
Pattocottah	Private benefit	13	7			Poonjah	4	8	90
						Bagayet	"	3	48
Myaveram	Charity purposes	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
Totals	Charity purposes	6	5				"	6	"
	Private benefit	19	10				4	15	48
Grand Total		25	15				5	1	41

Tanjore, 9th September 1850.

12752

Fort St. George

Fusly 1259

Demand
Balance on the 12th July
Current Collections
Extra Ditto
Arrears
Total
Charges
Demand

Amount of Revenue

1258

Ac ad Ac ad Ac ad

| | | | | | | |

12th September 1850.

Lands Granted for plantation of Topes or Trees

Dittum			Waste Land			Total			
Beriz.	Nature of Land	Extent	Beriz	Extent	Beriz	Extent			
		7	8	9	10	11	12		
R	a	p		v m g	R a p	v m g	R a p		
" "	Poonjah	1 13 57			2 13 2	1 13 57	12 13 2	×	
2 1 1	Poonjah	3 " 68			18 13 5	3 6 76	20 14 6		
2 1 1				4 14 25	31 10 7	5 " 33	33 11 8		
1 2 6	Poonjah	" 12 60			2 10 11	" 18 60	3 13 5		
24 10 8 1 9 9	Poonjah	4 7 83		19 5 3		8 17 16	45 9 8		
" "	Poonjah	" 33		" 96		" 33	" 96		
1 2 6				2 9 20	16 1 7	2 15 20	17 4 1		
28 5 6				7 8 51	38 2 8	12 3 92	66 8 2		
29 8 "				9 17 71	54 4 3	14 19 12	83 12 3		

acre 9 84 Gooly 35.

Besides these orders have been issued to plant 500 avenue trees on the sides of the public roads in Co=malwar Pun=daraw a day Village in Tris: Tarapoondy Taloo Kabperi Extract from Boards Proceed=ings dated 23d October 1848.

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Ordered

15	03	"	208	12	"				
1882	8	1	856	9	2				
1031	2	11	1231	2	10				
6344	7	7	108	12	3				
277	13	10	"	"	"	30	14	4	
666	9	9	189	10	9				
3588	12	2	141	10	9				
6525	5	11	331	5	6				
6525	5	11	331	5	6				

Total 16105 4 4

1025	14	11							
1307	5	1							
6333	11	2							
358	12	2							
5946	15	"							
		"							
217	1	5							
6194	"	5							
		"							
6525	5	11							

Signed
Sett Revenue

Demands
Balances on the 12th July
Current Collection
Extra Ditto
Arrears
Total
Charges

12754 Fort St. George 12th September 1850

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

(33)

Sir,

From the Collr of Trichinopoly Reporting upon the claim advanced by Aroonachellum Pillay to be recognized as Meerasy Curnom of Ganapathy Corchy in the Woodiarpolliem Talook.

I have the honor to acknowledge the Board's Proceedings of the 30th May last forwarding Extract from Minutes of Consultation in which I am desired to report upon the claim advanced by Aroonachellum Pillay to be recognized as Meerasy Curnom of Ganapathy Corchy in the Woodiarpolliem Talook.

2. The question here involved is whether a Meerasy, that is a private and indefeasible right to the office of Curnom has been acknowledged in this District, and I beg to refer to the observations which I offered on the subject when reporting upon another similar petition. I do not find that any such right has been admitted in this Collectorate either under this or the preceding Governments, but that on the contrary Curnoms have been continually removed for incapacity or misconduct

Letter to Board 8th Sept. 1849 Para 4.

and

Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

To

| | | |

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

and new parties appointed in their place.
The public accounts contain the record
of no such Meerasy office holders but
show that many parties have held the
appointment at different times in the
different Villages. -

3. Thus in the present instance
it appears that Members of the Petitioners
family enjoyed the Curnomship from
Fusly 1217 to 1241 but the records show
that previously and at the time of
the survey, the Office was held by one
Iyahpillay of a different family since
1241 or during the last 19 years the Cur=
=nomship has been enjoyed by a new
family in succession from father to son.

4. - It has been my Custom to
permit hereditary succession in all
practicable cases, on the occurrence of
vacancies, but I have only pursued
this course from deeming such an ar=
=rangement most expedient for the interests
of Government and the Village Commu=
=nity when no peculiar objections existed.
But to acknowledge now for the first
time that parties have a private or

Meerasy

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

Meerasy right to the Office, independent
of public considerations, would be likely
in my opinion to cause serious embarrass=
=ment to the proper conduct of business
both now and hereafter, and it has ap=
=peared to me that there was no legal
necessity for such a course under the
revenue regulations of 1802, as this is not
a District in which the land assessment
has been permanently settled. -

5. When therefore the present Peti=
=tioner made his application to me I
considered it unadviseable to oust the
present incumbent who has received
the Office in succession to his father
and discharges its duties in a satisfac=
=tory manner. I therefore gave an en=
=dorsement to the effect that though
Petitioners right to the Office could
not be admitted his Claim to hold
it would be taken into consideration
on a vacancy occurring. -

6. It appears that Petitioners father
died in Fusly 1241 when his sons were
quite Children and the Office was therefore
conferred on the best qualified candidate

for

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

for it according to the practice which had always prevailed in the District. The present petitioners first application was made for the Curnomship in 1848 but it is to be observed that he is not the head of his family he having an elder brother living who has advanced no similar Meerassy Claim, and I have already remarked that at the time of the Survey another family held the appointment. -

Trichinopoly Collector's Office 27th August 1850

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector.

Order thereon - Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address. -

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(33-34)

To the Chief Secretary to Government - submitting with reference to Ext. Min. Cons. 27th May 1850 a report from the Coll. of Trichinopoly upon the Claim of Aromachellum Pillay to the Meerassy Curnum of Ganapiatty Coorchy in the Coddiarpollium Talook & forwarding Copy of the B. R. which contain their sentiments on Curnumships generally. - Jud. Cons. 30th May 1850.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1850 to submit the accompanying letter from the Collector

of

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

of Trichinopoly dated 27th August 1850. reporting upon the claim advanced by Aromachellum Pillay, to be recognized as Meerassy Curnum of Ganapatty Coorchy in the Coddiarpollium Talook. -

2. The Collector states that a Meerassy right to the office of Curnum has not been admitted in his Collectorate either under this or the preceding Governments, but that on the contrary Curnums have been continually removed for incapacity or misconduct and new parties appointed in their room. -

That the Petitioners family enjoyed the office of Curnum from Fusly 1217 to 1241. but that previously and at the time of the survey, it was held by one Iyah Pillay, of a different Family - since Fusly 1241. or during 19 years the office has been enjoyed by a new Family in succession from Father to son - That it has been his custom to permit hereditary succession in all practicable

cases

cases, deeming such a course to be most conducive to the interests of Government, and the Village community when no peculiar objections existed but that to acknowledge now for the first time that Parties have a private or Meerasy right to the office independent of public considerations would, in his opinion, be likely to cause serious embarrassment to the proper conduct of business, and that there is no legal necessity for such a course under the Regulations of 1802. as his is not a settled District

3. The Petitioner's father died in Fusly 1241, when his sons were quite children, and the office was therefore conferred on another Party. +1834/32
The Petitioner first applied for the office in 1848, but it is to be observed that he is not the head of his Family, there being an elder Brother living who has advanced no similar Meerasy claim.-

4. The Collector refers to a former

From Principality 8th Jun Cons 26th Sept. 1849. Rec: 24th Sept 1849

former communication on a similar subject which is herewith submitted, together with the Boards proceedings thereon.-

5. The latter contains the Boards sentiments on Curnamships generally and they believe that hereditary claims, other circumstances permitting, are usually recognized both in settled and unsettled Districts.-

6. In the Petitioner's case it is shewn that the Curnamship was not hereditary in his family for previous to Fusly 1217 and at the time of the survey it was held by Iyah Pillay, of a different Family.-

7.- In addition to which the Petitioner has an elder brother living who is the proper person to advance the right of the Family to the office in question.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
12th September 1850

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

12761 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

Read the following letter -

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(35)

Sir,

From the Actg Collr of Tinnevelly

With reference to my letter of 9th ultimo, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that the arrears of Peishcush together with interest due by the Shevagherry Zemindar having been fully paid the Estate was released from attachment on the 12th idem. -

Tinnevelly 3rd September 1850

/Signed/ C. I. Bird

Acting Collector.

(35-36)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read

12762 Fort St. George 12th September 1850

Read the following letter -

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(37)

Sir,

From the Collector of Malabar -

Herewith under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 17th January 1842 paid to the Chief of Mahé a Moiety of the Farm for fusly 1259, amounting to Rupees 6301 six hundred and thirty, the said Ferry having been rented for Rupees 1,260 - I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the same.

Calicut, 29th August, 1850.

/Signed/ H. V. Leonolly

Collector

Resolution thereon

(37-38)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 17th January 1842.

2. The Board beg to recommend that sanction be granted for the disbursement of the abovementioned sum of Rupees 630.

Read

12763 Fort St. George 12th September 1850

Read the following letter-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

39.

From the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudr Udalut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29th August 1850 and to request that with the permission of the Board of Revenue a translation in Tamil or Teloogoo of the question therewith enclosed may be forwarded to this Office for submission to the Pundits of the Court.

Sudr Udalut, Register's Office, 9th September, 1850.

/Signed/ G. T. Beauchamp
Register-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

39-40

To, the Register to the Court of
Sudr Udalut

To the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut
In Consl 12 Sept. 1850

Sir,

In compliance with the request contained in your letter dated the 9th. September 1850

12764 Fort St. George 12th September 1850.

1850. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you a Translation in Tamil of the Query to be submitted to the Hindoo Law Officers of the Sudr Court.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th September, 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Query

A. a Female acquired a separate share of a certain Agraharum by inheritance. Her branch of the family having been long separated from that of the other shareholders she died childless, but her Husband had another Wife through whom he left four sons, the eldest surviving of whom claims the share enjoyed by his step Mother Query- Is such in accordance with the Hindoo Laws?

தமிழ்.

[illegible]

[illegible]

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

41

Circular

To the Collectors of Nellore Chingleput & Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that they have recently had made up at the Arsenal of Fort St. George a few standard Salt Measures of the same dimensions and capacity as those supplied to Salt Districts by the Inspector General of Civil Estimates in 1820. One of these Measures is herewith forwarded for the use of the Salt Department under your charge and you will be good enough to ascertain if those now in use correspond exactly in actual struck contents with

with that now forwarded to you.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th September, 1850. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

42

To the Actg. Collector of South Arcot.

To the Actg. Collector of South Arcot.

In Cons. 15th Augt. 1850.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 10th August 1850 and its enclosures and to observe that on examination of the revised Statements of Cultivation Vea the amount of Jodee on Cultivated Enam's Rupees 3,253—11—5 appears to have been transferred from the head of "annual settlement" to that of "other sources of Revenue."

As per Summary Accounts Column 26.

The Tables are therefore returned for revision & as they have suffered from frequent handling three fresh ones are sent for the purpose of being filled up in lieu thereof.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th Sept. 1850. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley. Secretary

To

To

J. N. Malby Esqre

Collector of Canara.

(43)

To the Collr of Canara

Sir,

1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the enclosed letter was transmitted to them open by the Secretary of the Marine Board

2 Having seen the contents they desire me to mention that your opening an Official Correspondence with a Public functionary of another Presidency without the sanction of Government is irregular. The Board of Revenue is the proper Channel of communication and should you require any information on matters connected with your District it will be readily furnished you from this Office.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 12th September 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary

A

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Bengal.

Sir,

I

In a Minute written by Lord Auckland on the 18th September 1838 on a review of the Assessment

of the N. W. Provinces and of the Madras Ryotwary districts and circulated through the Madras Board of Revenue the following passage occurs.

"In the Canara Collectorate in
"conveniences appear to be experienced similar
"to those which the Bengal Government have recently
"and I hope with success endeavoured to remedy
"in Chittagong. There is no survey record of
"the extent or position of estates of which
"portions are often situated in different Villages
"and much inequality and confusion have
"been produced in consequence. But the attempt
"which was commenced to frame a revenue
"survey of the district seems to have been abandoned
"owing to the discontent and opposition by which
"it was encountered."

2. The state of this District and the measures called for have lately come much under discussion

discussion and it would be exctremely valuable to me to be acquainted with the Measures to which Lord Auckland referred. I shall therefore feel under a great obligation if you will point out to me any sources of information on the subject which are now generally available and still more if so you can supply me with any documents not otherwise accessible to me.

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 29th August, 1850. } (Signed) T. A. Mallby Collector

Read the undermentioned Statements.

44 From the Collector of Chingleput } Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate on account of advances for Cultivation in July 1239.

45 From the Collector of Salem } Statement of Contingent Charges for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

Resolved

Order on the two foregoing Statements } Resolved that the

44-46 necessary orders be passed.

47 From the Collector of Salem } Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred

47-48 by the Board during the month of August last

Order thereon Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned

49 Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Head Asst. Collr in Charge of Guntoor } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

50 From the Head Asst. Collr in Charge of Guntoor } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt from

51 12th to 31st July last.

From the Collector of Nellore } Reporting that no persons were

Fort St. George 12th September 1850

were tried or confined under Regulation IX of
1822 during the month of August last.

52 From the Collector of Bellary. Return of fines imposed
and stoppages made from the pay of the Public
servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

53 From the Collector of Bellary. Return of alterations
that have occurred in the list of fines and stop-
pages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

54 From the Collector of Madras. Acknowledging the
receipt of 1000 Salt Rowannahs.

" Bjoesingham

Comp. Don Gribs.

" J. Blane

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

No Diary.—

At a Meeting Present.—

Monday 16th September 1850. } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member.—

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member Absent
on duty as Commissioner Northern
Circars.—

Minutes of the last Meeting
Read and approved.—

Read the following Extracts

1 From the Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th September 1850 No. 850.

Read the following letter
from the Civil Auditor.—
(Here enter 16th July 1850 No. 23)

"The backward state of the Audito
Para 3. in most cases is attributed by the Civil Auditor
to the Manner in which replies are dent
in by the Local Officers, but it is incumbent
upon that Officer to bring to the notice of
Government

Government any unreasonable delay in furnishing replies to his Auditor especially where it has prevented the final adjustment of the Accounts within the period prescribed by Government as it is to him that the Governor in Council looks for the successful discharge of this duty".

5. The Government has not failed to notice that charges retrenched from the Public accounts are frequently sent up for sanction either from want of strict adherence to the requisition of the Rules of Account and Audit, or from inattention to the orders of Government noted in the Margin which prescribe the mode in which various charges should be submitted for examination and check to the superior Boards and eventually for the sanction of Government. The Rules of Audit and Account, it is observed, were established so far back as January 1811. They have since undergone modification in various particulars, and these alterations do not appear to be embodied in any set of Rules by which Public Officers may be guided in the preparation of their account and other statements of charges which they are required to furnish. The Governor in Council considers it therefore very desirable that

Dated 5th January 1811

Extract Min of Cons 24th Nov 1818
Fr Bd of Revenue 18th February 1819
To Do Do 4th March 1819

that the Rules of 1811 should be carefully revised by the Civil Auditor with reference to the modifications subsequently introduced, and in communication with the Accountant General and the Board of Revenue; and that when completed, they should be printed and a copy furnished for the guidance of every Office of Disbursement.

(A True Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy to Government.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon.

Circular to all Collectors.

1-2

The Board will await the receipt of a communication from the Civil Auditor on the subject of the above Extract Minutes of Consultation, and in the mean time they resolve as directed by Government, to circulate copy of para 3 to all Collectors for their information.

Read the following Resolution.

3

From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 10th September 1850.

(From Board 26th August)

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector of North Arcot, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement to Meer Subdar Hoossain, of the arrears of Pension undrawn by his late father Meer Ghoolam Ally from the 12th July 1843 to 30th July 1846, amounting to Rupees 42-3 Forty two and five three.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy to Government

Ordered.

12775 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Order thereon. — Ordered to be communicated
3-4 to the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his Statement dated 6th August 1850.

From the Secretary to Government. Read Extract Letter
5 limiting the remittance from the reserved Collections of the Vizianagrum Estate for July 1250 of Rupees 4809-12-8 due by the Vizianagrum Zemindar of Hurreeshum Pashadee Gyawall of Gya and authorizing the Collector of Vizagapatam to draw on the Behar Treasury for the sum.

5-6 Order thereon. — Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

7 From the Collector of Masulipatam } Sir. With reference to the Sub Secretary's letter of the 22d July last, I have the honour to inform you that from the enquiries which I have instituted, it does not appear to me that the measure proposed by the Board to reduce the sale of Salt from 20 to one Mercal would be advisable, inasmuch as it would cause great interruption in the measurement of Salt to the wholesale dealers taking large quantities independently of the trouble which would be caused to the present Establishment by having to retail the Salt as low as one mercal to numerous consumers for the fractional parts of a Rupee Viz. Rs. as P. Cents 4-9-60.

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 7th September 1850. } /Signed/ R. T. Porter Collector.

Order thereon. — Ordered to lie over.

7-8

12776 Fort St. George, 16th September 1850.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

9 From the Collector of Masulipatam } Sir. Para. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Pycroft's letter of the 10th January last enclosing copy of rules for the cultivation and settlement, which it is intended shall form a standard manual for all Districts the practice of each District to be recorded separately in a concise form as an appendix to the rules.

2. As it does not occur to me to offer any observations on the rules themselves, which appear sufficiently comprehensive for the objects in view, I have only to request that you will submit to the Board the separate paper, required in Para 2 of the letter under reply, shewing the application of the rules as found in the District under my charge, which I trust will be found to contain the information desired by the Board.

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 10th September, 1850. } /Signed/ R. T. Porter Collector.

Rules

Fort St. George. 16th September 1850.

No. 1.

Rules for cultivation and Settlement.

These rules would seem rather to apply to the Ryotwar Districts where a regular Survey Classification and assessment had been concluded than to those in which the settlement is effected on actual division or Estimate of produce, leases for a term of years or annual joint rent. The last mentioned description of settlement has been introduced in the Government Estates in the District of Masulipatam under the late orders of the Board of Revenue. Under this, in Fusly 1259, the dry lands were rented out at the commencement of the year to the whole body of Ryots of each Village who have been pronounced to be jointly and severally responsible for the aggregate demand, which was determined with reference to the average revenue of former years; and other circumstances. This demand the ryots distributed between themselves on the individual holdings, and Puttahs were accordingly issued to each ryot for his portion of the demand. In the

Fort St. George. 16th September 1850

case of wet lands, a Dittum was effected at the commencement of the year, and Puttahs were afterwards distributed for the demand each had to pay under the settlement formed on the actual cultivation with reference to the extent of irrigation that was available.

Under the system above described all lands under cultivation including waste were assessed in communication with the principal ryots, and the demand of the year was fixed for each portion of land as was actually taken for cultivation, subject however to the condition that, in the event of further land being actually cultivated, the demand thereof should be paid in addition.

Though this mode of settlement would appear to be an approximation to what is now prevalent in the Ryotwar Districts, yet in the absence of a regular Survey and classification of lands and a well defined assessment, it can scarcely be considered as affording sufficient data on which to permanently fix the land tax, and thus to bring the lands within the full scope of the rules. Under the Ryotwar System each ryot is responsible

Fort St. George. 15th September 1850

responsible to Government for his own rent only, while under the joint rent system all ryots are supposed to be jointly and severally answerable for the aggregate demand, notwithstanding that Pattahs were issued to each separately. By this proceeding the Ryot, while on one hand he is stated to be answerable only for the demand against himself, is still made, on the other, a party to the joint agreement, which holds the whole body of ryots responsible for the entire demand. Full information of the details of the system adopted for Fasly 1250 has been laid before the Commissioner for the Northern Circars.

The above is a brief sketch of the mode of the settlement as at present pursued in the District, but the following remarks are appended to shew the application of the rules as it is here found -

Dittum or Engagements of the Ryots. Para 1 @ 3 of the rules - } These rules are applicable to this District under the system above described -

Occupation and relinquishment of land - Para 4 @ 8. - - - - - } These rules though partially acted upon in this District under the explanation given

Fort St. George. 16th September 1850

given above the nature of joint rents are in their general operation applicable principally to Ryotwar Districts only -

Boundaries of Fields Paras 9 and 10 - - - } The Village Accounts of former years give but a very imperfect description of Boundaries of Fields. This can only be remedied by a regular Survey, but to serve a temporary purpose, Accounts are now being prepared shewing the extent and boundaries of fields in each Government Village, as ascertained by a rough measurement. The existing boundaries are not allowed to be altered and when any change is made it is generally by collusion between the parties concerned. Remeasurement of land is seldom resorted to, and only in cases where a dispute may arise between the occupant ryots themselves, or on a complaint of fraud, where a decision is passed after due enquiry according to the merits of the case. -

Transfers of Land Para 11 @ 14 - - - - } Transfers of Land between ryots by sale, gift or mortgage are allowed and the transferees become responsible for the assessment on land

to -

as transferred, as well as for the arrear that may be due thereon unless special terms are entered into. In almost every case lands are entered in the accounts in the names of the bonâfide holders and all transfers are registered in the Village accounts. They consist sometimes of entire fields and sometimes of portions of a field in the state they happen to be in, whether good or bad. They seldom comprise of equal portions of good and bad land. Land left uncultivated is taken up by another person and unless a special condition is entered into, the person thus taking it up is allowed to occupy it perma=nently, and is, in some cases, held res=ponsible for the arrear.

Assessment
Para 15. — Specific rates of assessment were formerly defined in this District for lands cultivated with Garden or some other valuable dry crops, but as regards dry and wet lands hitherto settled on a division of produce, the gross demand of the Village rented or Annamie has been of late years apportioned on each field with reference to the estimated extent thereof.

The

Para 16.

The amount expended on account of repair to works of irrigation is charged to the ryot in this District in the following proportions Viz.t-

1st. Maramut Nisf or moiety of expences incurred.

2nd. Ghuttoo Toomostoo at 1 Toom or 1/20 of a Candy.

3rd. Dasabhagam or two tenths of a Candy.

The two latter are charged annually whether repairs are made or not.

In some Talooks no charge is made at all against the ryot on account of repairs.

Large works of irrigation are executed at the expence of Government only.

Para 17.

In some Talooks the par=ticular Crop produced has hitherto re=gulated the assessment, whereas in others the assessment is levied without reference to the article grown. In Fusly 1259 the assess=ment was determined on some lands, except Bagayet, without reference to the crop, and in some cases it had reference to the rent paid on the particular produce in the preceding years.

In

Fort St George. 16th September 1850

Paras 18 to 22-

In this District dry lands are cultivated with two crops at the discretion of the ryot Viz: with black Paddy or other dry grain, as well as Ryra. During the Annamic system, the assessment on both and in particular cases (1½) one and a half of the assessment was collected. Under the joint rents, the assessment on these lands is determined with reference to the former years average revenue, be it of one or two Crop. In some places it is also assessed at two thirds of these assessment. On the other hand wet lands, except in Moonigal where irrigation is obtained from wells for hot weather cultivation, yield but a single Crop.

Additional Assessment Para 23 @ 29-

Dry and Garden land converted into wet is generally liable to the assessment fixed for irrigated lands, the existing rent on the dry being deducted therefrom.

30 & 31. Pasture lands-

In some Talooks lands of this description had hitherto been let out for a fixed sum with reference to the extent of land, quantity of Pasturage or the number and description of Cattle grazing thereon. In other places the ryot was allowed according

Fort St George. 16th September 1850

according to local usage to leave follow free of assessment, a portion of land not exceeding one fourth of the cutty as a pasturage for the ploughing cattle, but should the same be brought in the succeeding years under cultivation the land would be again charged with the full assessment. Under the system now introduced all land not taken up for cultivation is kept separate and assessed when cultivated. Pasture lands continue to be separately assessed with a fixed rent as heretofore-

33 @ 37-

Under the system heretofore in force, whether under Annamic or rent remission is granted annually on account of loss sustained by failure of rain Viz: balances remaining due for a number of years, the recovery of which may appear hopeless, are recommended for remission. Under the system of Dithum for wet lands it is provided in the agreement that such portion of land as may not be brought under cultivation from failure of rain, would on proof thereof be deducted in the accounts and the assessment levied for actual cultivation only. Dry land taken for cultivation,

or

Fort St. George, 15th. September 1850

or any portion thereof if left uncultivated is liable to assessment whether it may be owing to the neglect of the ryot to cultivate the same or to other cause.—

38. No assessment is levied on land used as seed beds; but when a crop is grown on such land, it is of course liable to assessment as other lands.—

40. Tundra is allowed according to custom to the bonâ fide privileged classes of Cultivators.—

42 & 47. The rules for the cultivation of waste lands are generally applicable to this District, except that the terms of Pottah here are extended to waste of 3 years and upwards. Waste lands are assessed with reference to lands of similar quality.—

49. Back yards of Ryots are generally liable to assessment if cultivated with garden or particular species of Dry crops and even with vegetables. There are however exceptions to this rule according to the usage of each Taluk.—

50. Canaums are not subject to a specific demand for appropriating Circar water, but Circar lands have generally the preference for the supply.—

51 & 52. These are applicable to this District.—

D.C.

The

Fort St. George 16th. September 1850.

53. The rules for rewards for information of Concialed Cultivation are superseded by those contained in the Board's Circular dated 27th. May 1850.—

54.

55 & 61. Applicable to the District.

These rules are applicable to this District. The only exception now in practice being the omission of the entry of arrears in the Puttah and of the receipts on the black of the Puttahs.—

(Signed) R. T. Porter.
Collector

(9-10)

Order thereon,

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following

Statement.

Statement.

1787

Fort St. George

16th September 1850.

(11) Statement exhibiting the Actual charges employed in Fusly

incurred on account of additional Establishment 1259 in the Nellore District.—

Description of Servants.	Estimated for fasly 1259. Number of Servants	Rate of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Period of Employment	Estimated Charges.	Actual Charges for fasly 1259.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Decrease	Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			C Rs.		6 Rs. a p	6 Rs. a p				
Goomastahs—	6	Rs. 15 each.	90	4 Months.	360	395-12 9	35-12 9		Estimate submitted on 11th September 1849 and sanctioned by Government as stated in Board's letter of the 15th April 1850.	This Establishment was employed in preparing the Summahbundy accounts for Fusly 1259. In the actual charges is included Rupees 40-10-4 the balance of the amount sanctioned for fusly 1258 and paid in fusly 1259 and Rupees 4-13-7 of the amount sanctioned for fusly 1259 was not required to be charged which causes the increase.
Sweepers at the Salt Cottaurs—	1	2	2	12 Months.	24	24				
Do—	6	1	6	12 Do	72	72				These sweepers were employed to keep the different Salt butcherries at the Cottaurs clean.
Do—	6	8	3	12 Do	36	36				
					132	132				
					492	527-12 9	35-12 9			

Nellore Collectors Cutcherry 10th September 1850.

Errors Excepted. Yours &c. (Signed) D. White
Collector.

Estimate,

12788 Fort St. George

16th September 1850.

(12) Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of Additional Establishment in Fusly 1260 in the Nellore District.

Description of Servants.	Estimated for Fusly 1260. Number of Servants.	Rate of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Proposed Period of Employment.	Estimated Charges.	Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1259.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Increase.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Decrease.	Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			C Rs.		C Rs. a p.	C Rs. a p.	C Rs. a p.	C Rs. a p.		
Goamastahs	6	15 Rupees each Month.	90	4 Months.	360 " "	395 12 9			Estimate of Fusly 1259 sanctioned by Government as stated in Board's letter of the 15th April 1850.	The Establishment is required to prepare the Summah Bundy accounts for Fusly 1260. The decrease arises from Rupees 4.0.10.4 of the sanctioned amount for fusly 1258 having been Charged in fusly 1259 and Rupees 4.13.7 the amount Sanctioned for fusly 1259 not having been disbursed.
Sweepers at the Salt Cottaurs	1	2 " "	2 " "	12 Months.	24 " "	24 " "			" " "	These Sweepers are required to keep Clean the Cutcherries of the different Salt Cottaurs.
Do ____ Do	6	1 " "	6 " "	12 Do	72 " "	72 " "			" " "	
Do ____ Do	6	" 8 "	3 " "	12 Do	36 " "	36 " "			" " "	
					132 " "	132 " "				
					492 " "	527 12 9			" " "	
									" " "	
									" " "	

12789 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to lie over.

11-13

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George

14

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Nellore ... } In reference to your letter of the 9th Instant I have the honor to inform you that there are no Estates of incapacitated Proprietors in this District which have been left to the management of the relatives of the Proprietor or of Parties unconnected with the Court of Wards.

Nellore .....
Collector's Cutcherry
13th September 1850 } (Signed) D. White
Collector.

Order thereon,

Ordered to lie over.

14-15

Read.

12790 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Read the following letter.—

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

16

Sir,

From the Collector of Cuddapah ... } Forwarding as required in the Board's letter dated 15th Ultimo Copy of a Letter from the 1st Assistant Civil Engineer together with the Maps which accompanied it exhibiting the situation of the Land applied for by the Head Pharistadar Appoorow of the District as Endowment for the Choultry constructed by him and also affording further explanation on the subject.—

Para 1- In reference to your letter of the 15th Ultimo I have the honor to forward the accompanying Copy of a letter from the 1st Assistant Civil Engineer together with the Maps which accompanied it. I beg to state that I have not had an opportunity of personally inspecting the Spot until lately since my return to Head Quarters after the completion of the Revenue Settlement, and therefore was not aware that the land applied for was contiguous to the Gooroovereddy Tank and capable of being irrigated from this Source on the construction of an additional Sluice, or that the Cultivation under the said Tank might be otherwise extended by improvements which appear feasible and advisable, and I trust this circumstance will satisfactorily account for the

Board

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

Board of Revenue not having been made acquainted with the particulars now elicited, at least so far as my report to are concerned.-

2. Adverting to the Remarks contained in Para 6 of Lieutenant Kemery's letter, that "the land might be immediately brought under Cultivation" on the construction of a Sluice, it is necessary here to explain that the land has already been levelled at a Cost stated to be Rupees 145-12-3½ and that on my questioning the Head Sheristadar on this point he informs me that the work had been undertaken at the time the application was made and subsequently completed in anticipation of such being acceded to.-

3. I have only further to add that the Head Sheristadar still entertains a hope that the Board of Revenue and Government will take a favorable view of the matter under the circumstances of the land in question having hitherto remained fallow and in consideration of the further expense to be incurred in the permanent

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

permanent construction of the Anicut referred to and the channel leading therefrom by which it is proposed the land should be irrigated. and he begs me to represent that he is willing to pay a share of whatever expences may hereafter be incurred towards improvements effected to the Tank in the event of the Sluice being constructed in proportion as the land applied for in Endowment bears to the extent of land that may thus be brought additionally under irrigation, the favorable assessment of Teervajasty being conceded in respect to the former.-

Cuddapah-
Collector's Office
23rd August 1850

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

No. 1.-

To, J. H. Cochrane Esqre
Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward the Surveys called for in your letter No. 322 of the 27th Ultimo.-

2. Survey No. 1 shews on a large scale the land under the Village of Chinna Chowkee lately brought under wet cultivation

and

Fort St. George 26th September 1850.

and the land applied for by the Sheristadar.-

3. Survey No. 2 includes the same on a smaller scale together with the lands under the Panta Cuddapah Tank on which the favorable Teerwajasty of 1 P. 3 F. is levied.

4. A portion of the land under the Village of Chinna Chowkee marked No. 1 in the map is irrigated by a Channel from the Jungle Stream which flows close by it. The portion No. 2 is watered by means of wells.-

5. The area of these two pieces of land is upwards 14½ acres not 10-17-13 as mentioned in your letter.-

6. The Sheristadar proposes to irrigate the land he solicits by building an annicut across a Jungle Stream marked in the plan and conveying the water from thence across the Madras and Cuddapah high road to the land in question. He has already constructed a rough stone annicut and partly dug the Channel but when I observed to him that the supply of water thus obtained would be insufficient he said that he also intended digging two wells under the bank of the Goorewareddy Tank for I must here observe

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

observe that the land he has applied for is immediately under that Tank, so much so, that by constructing a new sluice the land might immediately be brought under wet cultivation and is assessed at the fixed Teerwajasty rate of 3 Pagodas per acre would add more than one hundred Rupees to the Revenue now derived from the Tank.-

7. Under these Circumstances the Board of Revenue will most probably not be inclined to accede to the Sheristadar's original request, but judging from a Conversation

Fort St. George 18th September 1850.

conversation I had with him on the spot I think he will be willing to take the land for the support of his Choultry on any terms proposed by the Board, even to one paying the usual Teerwajasty rate of 3 Pagodas per dere.-

In conclusion I beg to observe that the Goovereddy Tank is about 3 Miles from Cuddapah and 8 Miles from the Sheristadar's new Choultry at Bakrapett.-

1st Assistant Civil.
Engineer's Office.
2d Division Cuddapah,
14th August, 1850.

(Signed) E. Hemery
1st Assistant Civil Engr.
2d Division.

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Enclosures Nos 2 & 3 are Survey Maps.-

Fort St. George 18th September 1850.

Proceedings Submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Cuddapah relative to certain land applied for as an endowment to a Choultry to be built by Appoo Row Head Sheristadar of that District and recommending that the land be made over on the Sheristadar paying the full Teerwajasty less the usual endowment of 60 Rupees or that he be required to select other land the assessment of which does not exceed 60 Rupees per annum.

(Mr. Cor. Secretary 1849)

16-17

thereon.1.

The correspondence before the Board though it has been productive of some delay is now sufficiently complete to enable them to offer full explanation upon every point required in the letter of the Acting Secretary to Government dated 25th October 1849.

2.

Appoo Row Head Sheristadar of Cuddapah stated in his application for an Endowment of land for his newly constructed Choultry at Bakrapett that all the cultivable land of that Village was occupied and there remained only some portion of land in the Ayacut Bunjer unfit and inelligible. He therefore requested he might have a spot of 15¾ Acres waste Poonjah land in Ichinna Ichowke a hamlet of Pauta Cuddapah a short distance from the Choultry the original assessment of which was 3-9-6 (11-7-10) cp. F. & Rs. a. p.

* a Canteroy Pagoda (of 10 fanams) = 2-14-8

but which he intended to irrigate if he might be allowed to do so on paying the favorable rate of Teerwajasty which obtained in the neighbouring lands of Pauta Cuddapah. This favorable rate being 1-3-11 = (3-12-8) per Acre cp. F. & Rs. a. p. (which would include the original Poonjah Assessment) would

+ Enclosure in letter from Collector 12th in Cow 24th September 1849

would amount on the 15 3/4 Acres to 59-11-6 or 4 1/2 Annas below the value allowed by the Boards Order sanctioned by Government 11th August 1829 and published in the Gazette of 1832 page 125.

In Cons 13th Augt 1849

3. The usual rate of Teerwajasty for the District is shewn to be 3 Canteroy Pagodas or 8-12-" per Acre. This includes the Original Poonjah Assessment so that if a Poonjah field have a registered Teerwa of 1-12-" per Acre the actual Teerwa Jasty would be 7 Rupees. The term thus slightly differs from what is meant in those Districts where it is the excess /Kuper/ that is added to the Survey Rate

4. In urging this request the Serishtadar states that there are "no means of irrigation either from a Circar or Duomundum* Tank" That the water he would use would be from jungle streams and surplus of neighbouring Tanks running to waste. That he would have to build a Stone anicut and incur sundry expenses in levelling and preparing the land for Wet cultivation.

5. Mr. Cochrane recommended the request

and

*A Duomundum tank is one constructed by private individuals who are allowed a certain portion of the land irrigated by it free of assessment.

and the Board on the assurance that the Statements

To Govt 27th Sept 1849. furnished were correct supported the application.

6. The Government however saw reason to make further investigation (I) as to the extent of Lake Bunjer in Bakerpett (II) what portion of it has been under cultivation within the last 3 years (III) the real distance of that place from Tekinna Chowk (IV) The authority under which a reduced rate of Teerwajasty was allowed for the lands adjoining those asked for (V) Whether the minimum of 3 Canteroy Pagodas was sanctioned by the Board and VI the length of time the lands applied for had been waste- A reference was accordingly made to Cuddapah which has given rise to a correspondence more prolonged than was anticipated

7. The Board will first proceed to notice the question of Teerwajasty. The rate of 3 Canteroy Pagodas /8-12-" / was fixed by the then Collector Mr. Lacon about 1833/34 as the standard rate of the District. It was not reported to the Board at the time but Mr. Ashton 8 or 9 years after made

furnished

* 11th Oct. in Cons 28th Nov. 1842.

furnished the Board with a copy of his Hookumnamah in which the Rule appears.

8. Three Pagodas is the minimum assessment of the highest rated lands (Wet or Dry) in any Village is above 3 Rs. Pagodas the Teerwa jastee is raised to the amount of those lands. The Board did not consider it necessary to alter the Rule which had so long existed. It will however be taken into consideration when the present Hookumnamah of Cuddapah is forwarded to the Board for general revision with those of other Districts. The strict Teerwa jastee of the District averages 5-12-11 on acre, the average Poonjah teerwa of those Dry lands now cultivated with Nunjah produce being 3 Rupees. The average Kuffer or Teerwa jastee distinct from the original assessment (which is paid also) in other Districts is about 3 Rupees an acre.

	Rs. a. p.
3 C.P.	8-12-11
Deduct the different assessments	3-11-11
	5-12-11

Average Teerwa jastee on Poonjah converted into Nunjah per acre.

Bellary	2	13	4
Cuddapah	5	12	11
North Arcot	2	8	5
South Arcot	2	8	4
Chingleput	2	2	2
Tinnevelly	2	8	8
Madura	2	3	3
Salem	2	14	11
Coimbatore	2	14	11

9. As to the favorable rate on certain lands regarding which the Government require information it appears that it was allowed in particular cases before any

any regular rules for Teerwajeety were at all arranged.

10. The rate of 1-3-11 (3-12-8) favourable in comparison with the subsequent general rate seems to have been fixed first about 1825 on certain lands such as those of Panta Cudalapah and allowed from time to time) in different parts of the District by subsequent Collectors up to the year 1833, but not after that is, no new lands have been allowed to be taken on these rates. From what is stated in the Serishtadar's application (para 4) as well as the Collectors letter the Board infer that it was originally intended as a kind of Cowle rate to encourage the Ryots to undertake the first expences of levelling the land and making small channels of supply from some more extensive source: To continue these rates as permanent seems objectionable and the system is altogether liable to abuse. The subject will be taken into consideration together with the Hookumnamah. The Hamlet of Chinnachouk is 7½ Miles from Bakerpett and 2½ from the Town of Cuddapah.

The

To Coll.r 17th Jany. 1850
From Coll.r 8th Dec.r 1849 para 4 in Cons 17th Jany 1850 & 13th June 1850 para 7 Encls: C in Cons 15th Jany 1850

13th June 1850 para 9.

From Coll.r 8th Dec.r 1849 Para 2. and 13th June 1850 Para 3.

11. The extent of Lalk Bunjer in Bakerspett as per Survey Accounts is 1067 3/4 Acres assessed on an average at 7 1/2 As. an Acre. Out of this 76 1/2 Acres assessed on an average at nearly 1 Rupee an Acre has been brought under cultivation within the last 3 years. 9 1/4 Acres have been since left waste, making at present Lalk Bunjer of 1000 Acres, the average assessment of which is 7 As. an Acre. The Collector explains that all this is swampy land and impregnated with Soda but that the Engineer Officer has prepared a Plan by which they may be advantageously irrigated and that this has partly induced the Serishtadar to prefer Dry land situated elsewhere.

12. Of the 15 3/4 Acres of land applied for in Chinna Chowk 11 1/2 have been waste for 16 years 3/4 for 5 years and 1 Acre for one year.

13th Sept.r 1849
8th Dec.r 1849
13th June 1850

13. The whole of the above explanation has been derived from Mr. Cochranes 3 first letters but it still appeared to the Board that the information was incomplete and

15th July 1850 and requisition was made for a Sketch map of the land applied for and its position with reference to the neighbouring land allowed a low rate of Peermayshee

14. It is clear from the Collectors reply to this and the Engineers Report enclosed that the land is so situated as to render it inexpedient to comply with the Serishtadars request and had Mr. Cochrane in the first instance inspected it for it is close to the town of Cudalapah, the Board believe he would hardly have ventured to urge its being given up at a valuation below its worth as he has done.

23rd Aug.t 1850

15. Independent however of these facts the Board cannot but express their surprise and displeasure at the manner in which the Head Native Officer of the District has endeavoured apparently to conceal the real state of the case.

16. He stated that there were no waste lands fit for cultivation at Bakerspett whereas there are 1000 Acres assessed as low as 7 annas

Annas per acre it is said indeed that the whole of this is swampy Wd. Lieut. Coll. Cochrane admits that the Serishtadar objected to the land partly because it was likely to come under irrigation from a Circar tank and thus liable to the usual rate of Teerua jasty.

From Chief Secy. 25th July in Cons 6th March 1837.

17. Though Government have directed that the land sanctioned for Endowment to a Choultry be selected in the Village where the land lies is situated and as far as practicable from the waste, yet had the case been at once fairly stated, the Board might have recommended an application for land elsewhere.

18. Again the situation and capabilities of the land applied for were not fairly represented. It was stated that there were no means of irrigation from a Circar tank but that a jungle stream was to be made use of. The Shenstadar must have known that in the Fookummanah of 1832 para 8 land irrigated by

by "Hill streams or which other lands do not depend for irrigation" is mentioned as subject to the usual Teerua jasty and moreover it is extraordinary that he should have overlooked the circumstance that it could be watered by a Circar Tank seeing that it lies under the very bund of one and as the Engineer Officer shews, can most easily be supplied. It also appears that it was Appoo Row's intention to sink wells near this bund, an actual infringement of the standing rules of every district.

From Lieut. Flemery para 6. Encloe. in letter from Coll. 23rd Augt 1850.

19. The Shenstadar has also endeavoured to make it appear that the low rate of 1-3-11 / 3-12-8 (C.P. Fs. Rs.-a-p.) Teerua jasty applies to the land he has asked for almost as a matter of course whereas the Board believe that rate granted so long back as 1825 (without sanction) to have been merely an inducement to the Ryots of Pauta Cudalapah to undertake some wet cultivation and to have been of the nature of a Casile and therefore should have been temporary

temporary. No such rates have been permitted for the last 17 years and the circumstance of Appoo Row having expended Rupees 145-12-3½ in levelling the land does not the Board think furnish grounds for a compliance with his request.

20. Lastly the lands immediately adjoining (Vide Lieutenant Henery's Plan) so far from paying a reduced Teermajasty are actually charged more than the 3 Pagoda i.e. 9 Rupees an acre as is evident from Columns 16-17 of Enclosure B in Collector's letter of 13th June. They are also capable of producing 2 crops for which they pay Fusul jasty besides at 4 Rupees an acre.

21. The Board will take measures to rectify the irregularities of the Teermajasty Rules and must express their surprise that Mr. Cochrane should still recommend the application, and their dissatisfaction at the manner in which the Serishtadar has acted. The position he holds requires this reprehension as his conduct could not have been the result of ignorance or inadvertence.

22. The Board would now recommend that if Appoo Row cultivates the land which he has ventured to assume without sanction he be required to pay the full teermajasty, the usual Endowment of Rupees 60 being deducted or that he be required to select land of equivalent for his Choultry either from the cultivated or waste of Bakerpett, the assessment of which does not exceed 60 Rupees per annum.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government.

Read

Read the following letters.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

18

From the Collector of North Arcot, Sir,

Submits proposals respecting the Lungerkhanas at Vellore and Arcot. Para 1.

I have the honor to submit herewith a Statement noted in the margin

To Dc Nov. 14/46 with a Statement	
From Dc Dec. 17th /46.	
To Dc March 8/47	
From Dc April 8/47	
To Dc May 10/47	
From Dc May 31/47	
To Dc Sept. 6/47	
From Dc Octr. 25/47	
From Dc March 8/50.	

of some obstacles which it was thought not easy to get over. The Correspondence having come to my knowledge, it occurred to me that the idea might very beneficially be carried out in the case of the Lungurkhanas at Vellore and Arcot, both of which are endowed with large funds, but which are productive of little or no real good and in some particulars of positive evil, with the view of bringing the Subject before the Board and Government I caused classified lists to be made out of the Recipients

for converting the Brahmin feeding Chuttrum at Sholinghur into a dispensary and hospital. This project was ultimately abandoned,

for converting the Brahmin feeding Chuttrum at Sholinghur into a dispensary and hospital. This project was ultimately abandoned, presented themselves

recipients of the bounty of Govern-
-ment at both institutions, which
lists were verified respectively by the
actual personal inspection of my Sub.
Collector at Arcot, and my Head Assist
-ant at Vellore. The Statements were
prepared last year but other business
has prevented my taking them up till
the present time. I now beg to submit
them with the following observations-

2 Both these Lunkurkhanas were established under the Nabob's though I am unable to say at what particular time, and they were continued in operation by the British Government - I cannot say what was the particular object of their institution, but I think I may assume that the only legitimate objects of such establishments are 1st the relief of actual want in persons incapable of earning their own living 2d Through that the prevention or diminution of mendicancy, and 3d it follows from the 2d that the relief should be restricted locally for if it is not numbers of applicants will

will flock in from a distance beyond the means of relief and so increase mendicancy - All these objects have been entirely lost sight of in practice, in the management of the two Lungkurkhanas now under reference, and the expenditure has been and is much larger than would have been necessary if those objects had been duly attended to. My proposal is to reduce the Charges by restricting the Charity to its proper objects if it is still thought expedient to continue it at all, and out of the funds thereby saved to establish a hospital and dispensary at one or both of the two towns -

3. Enclosure 1 is the classified Statement for the Vellore Lungurkhanah - The number of recipients when that Statement was drawn up was 442 Viz. 129 Males and 313 Females, receiving allowances of raw rice, varying from a quarter of a measure to 2¾ measures daily, and Amounting on the whole to 264 measures daily - Of the whole number 196 were disabled by bodily or mental infirmity

Note - It may be assumed that in all cases where the allowance is above one measure, to the recipients has one or more persons dependent on him -

Fort St. George 16th September 1850

infirmity from earning their living and 246 were not so disabled 35 of these latter number being males and 211 females. — again it will be seen that of the whole number Vizt. 115 Males and 298 Females either had houses of their own or lived with their friends while only 29 Vizt 14 Males and 15 Females lived in Choultries &c having no place of residence of their own, and no friends to shelter them. Again 62 of the whole number Vizt 34 Males and 28 Females were in the habit of begging in addition to their allowance from the Sunkhurkhanah while 95 Males and 288 Females did not beg Lastly 185 of the whole number Vizt 66 Males and 119 Females were persons born within ten Miles of Vellore and 257 Vizt 63 Males and 194 Females were persons born at a greater distance —

4 At the Arcot Sungerkhanah (Statement 2) the total number receiving relief was 147 of whom 27 were males, and 120 females, receiving allowances varying from half a measure to two measures daily

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

of rice & amounting on the whole to 109 measures daily — Of the whole number 111 are stated to be disabled from earning their own subsistence by bodily or mental infirmity and 36 Vizt 3 Males and 33 females to be not so disabled; again of the whole number 133 Vizt. 25 Males and 108 females, had houses of their own or lived with their friends, while 14 Vizt 2 Males and 12 females lived in Choultries Thirdly 132 of the whole number 21 Males and 111 females begged, while only 15 Vizt 6 Males and 9 females did not beg — Lastly 88 of the whole Vizt 14 Males and 74 females were persons born within ten Miles of Arcot 79 Vizt 13 Males and 66 females were persons born at a greater distance —

5 From the foregoing Examination of the Statements it will appear that if the principles stated above in Para 4 were to be adopted and acted on a large proportion of the persons at present receiving relief from the Sunkhurkhanah would be struck off as unfit objects of the Charity, Vizt to take the case of

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

of Vellore a large proportion of the 257 persons born at a distance; and of the remaining 185 all the 97 not disabled by bodily infirmity from gaining a living and since even of those stated to be so disabled who have friends capable of maintaining them-

6 But I cannot but say that I think it would be a wise and beneficial measure to abolish these institutions entirely my reasons for this opinion are the following

1st They have not been found necessary in other places: there are a vast number of Towns in the Country larger than Arcot, if not so large as Vellore where there is no Government distribution of alms and where yet neither pauperism nor mendicancy is prominently observable—

2d They congregate paupers and so increase the local evil at least it will be seen from the analysis of the returns given in Para 3 and it shows how large a proportion of the recipients are from a distance—

Iam

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

I am informed by Mr Sullivan that there is one man receiving relief at Vellore who comes from Jaggarnaut, and who as he says came to Vellore on the report that food was distributed there—

3d They discourage the natural and customary efforts of the people to help their poor relatives—In this country it is almost universally felt as a duty to afford this aid, and very laudably so, the discouragement of that feeling is itself an evil and it adds also to the number of applicants for the public Charity

4th They do not put a stop to mendicancy I am informed by the Gentleman above named that at Vellore, on the days when the Officers receive their pay, their Compounds are thronged with wretched looking creatures, pensioners of the Lungerkhanah and that they are also to be met with begging all over the Town—

5th They afford scope for fraud which practically cannot be prevented

It.

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

It has been usual for the same persons surreptitiously to be in the receipt of allowances from the Lungurkhanahs at both Presidency and Vellore. It has been common also for the ticket of a deceased pensioner to be retained by some friend, and for pensioners leaving the place permanently or for a time to sell or lend their tickets. Both these descriptions of imposture would be put a stop to, or greatly diminished by the enforcement of the daily personal appearance of recipients and under an honest and vigilant supervision. But such supervision is not to be calculated upon always, and even if these grosser frauds are put a stop to, there are others which it seems impossible to get rid of, particularly such as relate to the condition in life of the applicant or recipients and his friends and their means of supporting him.

6th They afford occasion to much malversation and fraud on the part of the public servants and have a demoralizing

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

demoralizing effect on all connected with them. Even Officers of high station have sometimes been infected. I am credibly informed that twenty years ago before the Lungurkhanahs had received much attention from the Collector a large part of the funds never reached the indigent objects, being diverted to friends and relatives of public servants. There has been a gradual and very material improvement since that time but my observation has satisfied me that there is still some room for improvement.

7th They encourage idleness and even vice, any man who prefers sloth to honest exertion will have little difficulty in becoming a pauper and so qualifying himself to be a pensioner of the Lungurkhanah, and all experience shows that the existence of such public almsgiving institutions removes an incentive to industry. There is no doubt too, that many of the pensioners live in low and miserable vice, their principal enjoyment being the eating or smoking of Bang or Ganja.

Alms giving, in short, is not one of the legitimate functions of Government and

Fort St George 16th September 1850.

and it cannot perform it well. Judicious individual charity is a blessing; so each individual charity if judicious and discriminating may be so too. but it may well be doubted whether alms giving by a Government does not always do more harm than good.

8. For the foregoing reasons I think that the abolition of the Lingumkhanahs is very advisable. but as the sudden cessation of relief would no doubt cause some temporary suffering I would propose that the abolition should be gradual, and I think the best way of effecting it would be as follows. In the first place the proviso appended to the first of the rules given below in Para. 9 should at once be put in force, whereby the pensioners from a distance would be got rid of. For the gradual and certain reduction of the remainder, I think the best means would be to strike off annually one fifth of the actual charge then remaining for the succeeding five years, leaving to the local Officers the task of selecting those pensioners who should be struck off the list on each occasion making new ones of course being admissible.

9 But as it is possible that the total abolition of the Lungumkhanahs may not be approved I proceed to detail the measures which I would propose in the event of their being continued. The first requisite is to limit their operation by the adoption of sound principles; and with

that

Fort St George 16th September 1850.

that view I beg to propose the following rules for their administration.

1st No person shall be capable of receiving relief from the Lungerkhanah except those who were born or who have been resident not in the receipt of relief, for at least two years at Vellore or within ten miles of it, or who are the wives or widows or dependent Children of such persons.

+ Note or arrest

N.B. Persons now receiving relief but excluded by this rule, shall receive a certain sum of money proportioned to the distance but in no case to exceed 2 Rupees to enable them to reach their native places, provided they bonâ fide choose to go thither and not to remain at Vellore.

2 The following persons shall be admissible to relief. Viz. such as are disabled by bodily or mental infirmity permanent or temporary from earning their living, and, whose have no friends able to maintain them and the wives and dependent Children of such persons.

3d The allowance shall be 70 Rupees weight of raw rice daily to adult Males, 60 Rupees weight to adult females, and from 35 to 45 Rs weight for persons under eighteen Years of age. It shall be distributed daily a cloth shall also be given to each adult male Pensioner in March, & a Cumbly in September and to each female pensioner a Common Cloth in March, and another in September. Smaller Cloths shall also be given to persons under age according to their size.

4th Every person of whatever age for whom an allowance is drawn shall be registered

with

12 Vide for Aug 1850 Pol

Fort St. George 16th September 1850-

5th With the exception in Rule 6 all registered recipients shall appear daily at the place of distribution and receive their allowance in person, and no person under any circumstances shall be allowed to receive more than one days allowance on one day.

6th Persons absolutely incapable of locomotion through severe bodily disease or accident, shall be exempted from daily personal attendance on Provided that all such persons shall appear to muster once in each month on a Sat day.

7th The following persons will be deprived of their allowance- any person recovering from his disabling ailment, or ceasing to be in the indigent or friendless state on the score of which he was admitted- any person coming under the exception failing to appear to receive his allowance for five successive days on repeated or for shorter periods without unavoidable cause- any person detected selling or bartering his allowance of rice or his cloth or Cumbly any one using bang or other intoxicating substances or liquors- any one stealing or committing disorder or conversing with dishonest or disorderly characters, any female living a loose or disreputable life- any person selling or mortgaging or lending his ticket, any one defrauding the institution or aiding in any attempt to defraud it, or knowing of fraud or attempt to

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

at fraud without reporting it to the officers in charge of the institution and to the Police Ameen.-

10 These rules it will be seen do not prohibit mendicancy and in fact do not enable the receipients to live without some extraneous aid; and so in a manner render begging necessary to a considerable number of them. I considered the practicability of forbidding the receipients to beg but to do this it would be necessary either to provide them with firewood and the little et ceteras required for a meal, or to give them a money allowance to purchase them. Each of these two plans is open to objections peculiar to itself; the most obvious are that in the latter case the money given would often be spent in other than the intended manner; and in the former that so much detail dividing all the necessaries of a meal into minute daily portions would throw a vast deal of labour on the Officers of the institution, and at the same time would open a wide door to fraud and abuse.- I think however that the whole plan of furnishing these subordinate necessaries is open to a prior objection. Viz. that in the case

case of those who have friends able to do a little for them, this aid would be superfluous, and that in the case of the others who now beg they would beg still. I think therefore that the charity in food should still be confined to raw rice only.-

11- Before quitting the subject of these rules, I should observe that Rule 2 cuts off a class of persons who have been hitherto considered worthy of relief. Viz.t widows above the lowest rank, and precluded from earning a living not by natural infirmity but by the prejudices of their caste or station. I purpose to exclude this class because I think their admission opens a door to a great deal of fraud and because I believe there are very few of the number who are really without friends capable of maintaining them.-

12. The next thing is to fix a limit on the expenditure with reference to the more narrow scope of these rules, and first of Vellore. The sanctioned charge for the institution there is a trifle over 20 Rupees a day, or on an average something more than 600 Rupees Monthly of which only 18¼ Rs is spent on the Establishment as noted in the Margin. Of this sanctioned sum about Sixty Rupees is now saved every Month.- Referring to Para 6, I think it may be fairly assumed that not more than one

1 Goomastah	7-0-0
2 Peons at 3½ Rs each	7-0-0
1 Measurer	3-0-0
1 Sweeper	0-8-0
	17-8-0
Sundries	0-12-0
	18-4-0

third of the pensioners born at a distance are entitled to relief under Rule First, the remainder to be struck off under this head will then be 172, to which must be added the 97 not disabled from earning a living, making a total of 269 or 40 percent of the whole Number of recipients; so that assuming the rate of allowance of those struck off to be equal on an average to that of those retained, less than one half of the present disbursement and a still smaller proportion of the sanctioned amount would be sufficient. I would propose therefore that at Vellore the sanctioned limit of expenditure for the Lunger Khanah should be reduced to 250 Rupees a month including the servants' pay. To this must be added about 240 Rupees annually for clothing under the new rules, or altogether 270 Rupees Monthly.-

13. At Arcot the sanctioned sum is 192 Rs as Monthly and the amount now spent is about 175 of which 12 Rupees is for the Establishment as noted in the Margin. The number of pensioners to be struck off under the rules stated in the preceding paragraph would be 60, Viz.t 2/3 of the 59 born at a distance being 40 and the

1 Dazogah	7-0-0
1 Peon	3-0-0
1 Measurer	2-0-0
	12-0-0

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

the 20 not bodily incapable of earning a living.- The proportion here to be struck off is less than at Vellore, but perhaps a more rigid examination of the facts might increase it. In particular the proportion of persons stated to be incapable of earning a living is much greater than at Vellore. With reference to the population of Arcot and its vicinity as compared with that of Vellore and its vicinity, I think the expenditure of the Lunger Kanals may be properly limited to 90 Rupees a month, and adding 84 Rupees a year for clothing, the total sanctioned amount should be 97 Rupees monthly.-

14- It is next to be considered what amount of expenditure would be required to maintain the Hospitals and Dispensaries which I propose to substitute for the Lunger Khanals, and for this the Establishment recently sanctioned for similar institutions at Chittoor and other places afford a guide. The following is the scale of those Establishments.-

	Rs.	as.	P.
One second Dresser	24	8	0
One Cook			
One Waterman			
One Male ward cooly			
One female Do. do.			
One Toty			

I do not know what is the pay of

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

of any but the dresser, but the whole including him is not likely to exceed 50 Rupees, there is also 50 Rupees the allowance of the Medical Officer in Charge, the rent of premisses the feeding of the patients and incidental expenses.-

15- The following therefore may be taken as a probable Estimate of the cost of the proposed institutions.-

At Vellore additional allowance to

	Rs.	as.	P.
the Medical Officer in Charge	50	0	0
One 2nd Dresser	24	8	0
Servants about	25	8	0
Two† Peons	8	0	0
Rent of a suitable building (about)	30	0	0
The feeding of (say 30 in patients about	60	0	0
Cost of Medicines, dressings and sundries perhaps	50	0	0
Total	248	0	0

So that if this Estimate is near the truth the institution could be fully supported out of the funds to be saved by remodelling the Vellore Lunger Khanah.

16- At Rancepett there is now no resident Commissioned Medical Officer; and it is perhaps unlikely that any will be stationed there at present. There is however an Apothecary in Medical Charge of the Station and

† Stated by Dr. Chinnow to be absolutely necessary to take care of the Premises and the Govt. property in them and to keep order among applicants for relief.-

* Note. At Vellore Lunger Khanah rice is distributed in a small mean building the property of the institution which may be worth perhaps 20 Rs. it is utterly unsuited to the purposes of a hospital however respectable otherwise the mean building the rice being distributed in part of the Taluk Cutcherry.-

12823 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

and he might receive an allowance of 25 Rupees for attending to the hospital and dispensary. It would be in every point of view better that this should be at Ranipett instead of on the other side of the River at Arcot, where the Lunger Khanah is. In the first place the population is much larger, the population of Arcot and its environs is about 15,000 that of Ranipett and adjoining Villages with Wallajahpett, (only two Miles off) cannot be taken at less than 50,000. 2nd The institution will so be within the oversight of the Medical Officer of Ranipett, as well as to some extent within the knowledge of the European Residents; and 3rd a much better accommodation may be had for the hospital, a convinient bungalow might be hired certainly for 20 Rupees a month, or might be purchased for a moderate sum. Thus in the item of Medical Superintendence and in house rent the expenses at Ranipett would be less than at Vellore, and possibly as the population is smaller the Current expenses

12824 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

proper-
expenses might be less too.—
I assume the saving however at on the
28 Rupees, and that will make
the Monthly cost of the Ranipett
hospital 220 Rupees. The savings ex-
pected from only the remodelling of
the Lunger Khanah at the Station would
not cover this; and I do not know what
may be the sentiments of Government
as to establishing another public
hospital so near the new one
at Chittoor, and that now
proposed at Vellore.—

17 I will now however
conclude this letter for the length
of which I must apologize.—I
consider the abolition of the
Lunger Khanahs, or if that is
not approved, their reformation
at least, in the mode I have proposed,
to be very desirable in itself, without
reference either to any saving thereby to
accrue to Government, or to the
establishment of the dispensaries.
Besides that benefit, however there
will

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.—

will be the additional and incidental
advantage of effecting a considerable
reduction of useless outlay: or that
the Government may not make
the measure merely a source of
profit to themselves. I propose a
hospital and dispensary on the
plan approved both by the
Government and the Court of
Directors, at one or both of the sites
of the Lunger Khanahs. I will only
make one more suggestion and that
is that in case the Lunger Khanahs
are remodelled only, and not abolished
it might be expedient to appoint
a Committee of European residents
to visit and supervise each one: that

D.S.

of

12826 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.—

of Arcot granted them proper-
ly be transferred across the
River to Ramapett.—

North Arcot——————
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry—
Palmanair 9th August—
1850.—

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

P. S.

I have prohibited
the admission of any fresh
pensioners at the Lunger=
Khanahs pending the
receipt of orders on this
Communication.—

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector—

Abstract—

1827

Abstract of Persons now in the receipt of Allowance in the Fort St. George No. 1.

		Born at or within 10 Begging in addition to the allowance - Having no houses but living with their friends or relations. —						
		Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total
Persons disabled by infirmity &c.a	Male	"	"	1	1	"	"	9	9
	Female							3	3
	Total	"	"	1	1	"	"	12	12
Do. not disabled &c.a	Male	"	1	1	2	"	"	2	2
	Female	"	"	2	2	"	"	2	2
	Total	"	1	3	4	"	"	4	4
Total	Male	"	1	2	3	"	"	11	11
	Female	"	"	2	2	"	"	5	5
Grand Total		"	1	4	5	"	"	16	16

12826

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

of Arcot would then properly be transferred across the River to Ramjett.—

North Arcot ——————
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 9th August
1850.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

P. S.

I have prohibited the admission of any fresh pensioners at the Lunger Khanahs, pending the receipt of orders on this Communication.—

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Abstract

16th September 1850.—

Lungerkhanah at Vellore in the District of North Arcot.—

Miles of Vellore

Having no lodgings but living in Choultries						Not begging in addition to the allowance			
Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Total	Having Houses				
					Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total
"	"	1	1	11	"	2	10	12
"	"	"	"	3	"	"	6	6
"	"	1	1	14	"	2	16	18
"	"	"	"	4	"	1	4	5
"	"	"	"	4	2	1	23	26
"	"	"	"	8	2	2	27	31
"	"	1	1	15	"	3	14	17
"	"	"	"	7	2	1	29	32
"	"	1	1	22	2	4	43	49

12828 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Born at or within 10 Miles of Vellore /Cont.d/

Not begging in addition to the allowance /Cont.d/

Having no houses but living with their friends or relations.—

Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total
Having no lodgings but living in Choultries.—							
"	"	19	19	"	"	4	4
"	"	31	31	"	"	2	2
"	"	50	50	"	"	6	6
"	1	7	8	"	"	3	3
2	"	43	45	"	"	2	2
2	1	50	53	"	"	5	5
"	1	26	27	"	"	7	7
2	"	74	76	"	"	4	4
2	1	100	103	"	"	11	11

Born at a greater distance

Begging in addition to the allowance.

Total	Total of persons begging & not begging	Having Houses: Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Having no houses but living with their friends or relations: Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total
35	46	"	"	1	1	"	"	13	13
39	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5
74	88	"	4	1	1	"	"	18	18
16	12	"	"	1	1	"	"	3	3
93	77	"	"	2	2	"	"	14	14
89	94	"	"	3	3	"	"	14	17
51	66	"	"	2	2	"	"	16	16
112	119	"	"	2	2	"	"	19	19
363	485	"	4	4	4	"	"	35	35

12829 Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Born at a greater distance (Cont.d)

Begging in addition to the allowance. Having no lodgings but living in choultries. Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Total	Houses. Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Having friends to support them	Not begging in addition to the allowance. Having no lodgings but living in choultries. Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither means nor friends	Total	Total	Total Persons begging and not begging	Grand Total
"	"	1	1	15	"	"	6	6	"	"	23	23	"	"	4	4	33	48	94
"	"	"	"	5	"	"	6	6	"	"	41	41	"	"	8	8	55	60	102
"	"	1	1	20	"	"	12	12	"	"	64	64	"	"	12	12	88	108	196
"	"	"	"	4	"	"	3	3	"	"	7	7	"	"	1	1	11	15	35
"	"	"	"	16	"	1	25	26	"	"	89	89	"	"	3	3	118	134	211
"	"	"	"	20	"	1	28	29	"	"	96	96	"	"	4	4	129	149	246
"	"	1	1	19	"	"	9	9	"	"	30	30	"	"	5	5	44	63	129
"	"	"	"	21	"	1	31	32	"	"	130	130	"	"	11	11	173	194	313
"	"	1	1	40	"	1	40	41	"	"	160	160	"	"	16	16	217	257	442

North Arcot Collector's Cut. Cutcherry Palmaner 9th August 1850. E.E.J.Hx (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector
Abstract.

12830

Fort St. George.

No 2.

16th September 1850.

Abstract of persons now in the receipt of allowance in the Lunger Kanah at Arcot in the District of North Arcot.

		Born at or within 10 Miles of Arcot.								Not begging in addition to the allowance.			
		Begging in addition to the allowance						Having no lodgings but living in Choultries.					
		Having Houses			Having no houses but living with their friends or relations.					Having Houses			
		Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total
Persons disabled by infirmity &c.a	Male	"	"	4	4	"	"	3	3	"	"	"	1	1
	Female	"	"	19	19	1	"	24	25	"	"	"	1	1
Total		"	"	23	23	1	"	27	28	"	"	"	2	2
Do. Not disabled &c.a	Male	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Female	"	"	10	10	"	"	6	6	"	"	"	"	"
Total		"	"	10	10	"	"	6	6	"	"	"	"	"
Total	Male	"	"	4	4	"	"	3	3	"	"	"	1	1
	Female	"	"	29	29	1	"	30	31	"	"	"	1	1
Grand Total		"	"	33	33	1	"	33	34	"	"	"	2	2

		Having no lodgings but living in Choultries.				Not begging in addition to the allowance.			
						Having Houses			
		Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total
Persons disabled by infirmity &c.a	Male	"	"	1	1	"	"	1	1
	Female	"	"	6	6	"	"	1	1
Total		"	"	7	7	"	"	2	2
Do. Not disabled &c.a	Male	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1
	Female	"	"	16	16	"	"	"	"
Total		"	"	16	16	"	1	"	1
Total	Male	"	"	1	1	"	1	1	2
	Female	"	"	6	6	"	"	1	1
Grand Total		"	"	7	7	"	1	2	3

1831 Fort St George

16th September 1850.—

Born at or within 10 Miles of Asst / Cont.d /

Not begging in addition to the allowance / Cont.d /

Having no Houses but living with their friends or relations				Having no lodgings but living in Chantries				
Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Total
"	"	3	3	"	"	"	"	4
"	2	3	5	"	"	"	"	6
"	2	6	8	"	"	"	"	10
1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	2
"	"	2	2	"	"	"	"	2
1	"	2	3	"	"	"	"	4
1	"	3	4	"	"	"	"	6
"	2	5	7	"	"	"	"	8
1	2	8	11	"	"	"	"	14

Born at a greater distance.—

Begging in addition to the allowance

Total of persons begging and not begging	Having Houses: Having friends to support them	Having Houses: Having any of their own means	Having Houses: Having neither friends nor means	Having Houses: Total	Having no houses but living with their friends or relations: Having friends to support them	Having no houses but living with their friends or relations: Having any of their own means	Having no houses but living with their friends or relations: Having neither friends nor means	Having no houses but living with their friends or relations: Total
12	"	"	4	4	"	"	7	7
36	"	"	7	7	"	"	20	20
68	"	"	11	11	"	"	27	27
2	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	6	6	"	"	6	6
20	"	"	7	7	"	"	6	6
14	"	"	5	5	"	"	7	7
74	"	"	13	13	"	"	26	26
88	"	"	18	18	"	"	33	33

12832 Fort St. George

16th September 1850.

Begging in addition to the allowance / Cont.d / Having no lodgings but living in Choultries.

Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Total
"	"	1	1	12
"	"	4	4	31
"	"	5	5	43
"	"	"	"	1
"	"	2	2	14
"	"	2	2	15
"	"	1	1	13
"	"	6	6	45
"	"	7	7	58

Born at a greater distance Not begging in addition Having Houses

Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Having friends to support them
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"

/ Cont.d / to the allowance

Having no houses but living with their friends or relations. Having no lodgings but living in Choultries.

Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Having friends to support them	Having any of their own means	Having neither friends nor means	Total	Total	Total of persons begging and not begging	Grand Total
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	24
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	31	87
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	43	111
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	3
"	1	1	"	"	"	"	1	15	33
"	1	1	"	"	"	"	1	16	36
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	27
"	1	1	"	"	"	"	1	46	120
"	1	1	"	"	"	"	1	59	147

North Arcot Collectors Cte Cutcherry Palmaniury 9th August 1850 E. E. Fox / Signed / J. D. Bourdillon Collector.

12833 Fort St. George 16th Sept.r 1860.

Proceedings thereon.—

(18-19)

The Board approve generally of the principles on which Mr. Bourdillon proposes to re-organize the Lungerkhana Establishments at Vellore & Arcot, but they would wish to see these principles carried out for a time with regard to the Rules for the qualification of individuals to receive assistance, before they recommend to Government the plan for disposing of the surplus that may be obtained.—

2 The Board are anxious that in carrying out these Rules consideration should be paid to the distressed condition of the female portion of decayed Mahomedan families who though not in the strict sense of the word destitute are yet fair objects of the charity of Government. Their usual pittance should not be reduced without real cause. In order that all the reductions (for some are absolutely required) may be made with due care and consideration, the Board hope that the Collector will issue instructions that it be done under the immediate supervision of

E.B.

12834 Fort St George 16th Sept.r

of his European Subordinates resi Vellore and Arcot, and this will be a Security to those to whom the propo measures may appear obnoxious; each individuals case has been fairly considered. In doubtful cases it would be better to let those have usually received relief, have benefit of the doubt.—

3. Under these arrangemen for a gradual enforcement of the ru laid down, it is possible the immedia saving may not be so great as the Col lector has anticipated. The prese expenditure is below the amount san cd and the Board are not informed whether the surplus accumulates or refunded to Government.—

4. With reference to the conclu ing para of the Collector's letter it Seems unnecessary at all events till t Lunger Khanas are eventually fully brought under a new system, to appoint a Committee of management. There are Europ Subordinates both Vellore and Arcot a entitled will be

Proce

(18-1

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.-

person as their claim is admitted.
The Board will however give due consideration
to the formation of such a Committee
if the Collector considers it will be of
assistance in the investigation of claims
under the new Rules and he is expected
to report hereafter as to the effect of
the introduction of the rules he has
laid down.-

Read the following letter.-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

(20

In the Collr of
Arcot

Para 1- I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that Syed Cawder Hoossain of Arcot, in the receipt of a Jaghire Pension of 175 Rupees monthly, has applied for fifteen Months leave to go to Hydrabad to be married, and also that his pension may be paid during his absence to his Vakeel at Arcot although this allowance is not strictly speaking a Yeomiah, I conceive that the application should be reported for the orders of Government under the orders quoted in the Margin.

Order of Govt 1st May 1849 Circulated by Board of Revenue in Proceedings dated May 7th 1849.

2. The Pension is granted for a period not exceeding the term of Co

12836 Fort St. George 16th Sept to 6th Sep

that it should continue to be dra- be comm
the absence of the applicant it seem
that arrangements should be made for my receiving monthly Certs from
being alive from the authorities at Tanjo

(Signed) J. D. Bourdi
Collector.-

North Arcot,
Collr's Cutcherry,
Palmanair,
3d September 1850.-

Proceedings thereon

(20-21

Resolved that the letter
above recorded be submitted for the
orders of the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council with reference
to para 2 of their order of the 1st
May 1849.-

Inform 7th May 1849.-

The Board recommend that
the application on behalf of Syed Cawder
Hossain be complied with on his appo
a Vakeel, in accordance with para 4 of t
Yeomiah Rules, to draw his pension durin
absence and on his giving security to refu
any sum that may be overpaid in the
event of his death.-

Tanjo
Cullu
6th Sept

Read the followi

Statement.- Statem

12838

Fort St. George 16th Sep

Order thereon.- Ordered to be comm=
=cated to the chamber of Comme
with reference to Esetract Min
of Consultation dated 28th Janu
1847.-

(22-23)

In Cons. 15th February 1847.

Read the following
letters.-

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(24)

From the Coll.r of Tanjore - - -

Sir,

With reference to the
Esetract from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue under date the
22d Ultimo I have the honor to
submit Copy of an Advertise=
=ment published in this District
together with its translation
regarding the sale of lands in
Munnargoody Taloog for arrears
of Revenue to be published in the
Board's Office.-

Tanjore - - - - -
Cullum - - - -
6th September 1850.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.

12833

Proce

(18-1:

1 Copy of Sale Proclamation in Tamil
2 Statement shewing the Extent of Lands Cultivated with Indigo Estimated quantity of produce Paid to Land holders
Zillah Tanjore with the estimated quantity of produce Paid to Land holders
for grounds during Fasly 1259 in the District of South Arcot.-

Extent of Land from which Indigo are Paid to Land holders reaped.	Estimated quantity of dry Leaves Produced thereon	Remarks.-
Extent of Ryots Cultivated with Indigo Plant Fixed Notified.	Ryots Cultivated Indigo Plant Fixed Notified.	
Cannia'l Ryots 2,40,0	Cannia'l Ryots 2,40,0	Note- 100 Colls are equal to a Madras Garce. About 800 Colls of leaves are sufficient to yield one Candy of Indigo. At this rate to the quantity of Leaves shewn in produced 2,40,0 Colls Candies will be produced
Fasly 1259	3/30/99 10/8 1927 7 9 3/4	3/30/13 1/8 1384 2 9 7 3/4

Fasly 1259

South Arcot.
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore
1850.

Collector of Tanjore

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Fort St. George 16th Septem[illegible] [illegible]

Pace 18-1 1283

A

I. F. Bishop Esqre. Collector of Tanjore hereby no[illegible]

That the undermentioned Lands will be sold in auction to the highest bidders on the 21st October next at the Hoozoor Cutcherry for the liquidation of the Balance outstanding against the possessors of those lands whose Names are entered in the Statement below. The conditions proposed are that the purchasers should deposit 25 per Cent of the Value of the land purchased and pay the remainder within twenty days after the purchase either in the Collector's or Talook Treasury failing which the lands will be again brought to auction and the first purchasers made answerable for any loss that the Second Sale many occasion to Government.

The Lands purchased under the above conditions will be made over to the [illegible]rs after the Sale is approved of by the Board of Revenue.

2841

Name of the Talook which the Land is situated	Names of the holders of the Land.	Total amount of balance for Fasly 1259.	Names of the Villages where the lands are situated	Particulars of the land to be Sold. Cuttays.	Nunjah Extent.	Poonjah Extent.	The Irrumbundy assessment fixed thereon.	Date on which the lands are to be sold
		Rupees a P			V M G	V M G		
Mannargoody	Ramien	329 8 7	Ramapooram	12	15 11 12	1 12 96	330 a 7	21st October 1850.
	Soobbien	223 13	Jointly enjoyed in the same Village.					
	Audemoorty Deetchitur	37 2 11		12	13 18 76	1 9 78	295 11 4	
	Rama Deetchetur	37 2 11						
		297 71						
Total Persons 4		626 158			29 9 88	3 2 74	625 11 11	

Tanjore Vellum 7th September 1850.

(Signed) I. F. Bishop &c

12833

Proce

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

(18- dings thereon -

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of Public Revenue of the lands entered in the advertisement which accompanied the foregoing letter and direct the publication of the advertisement in their Office, the day of sale being the 21st October 1850 as fixed by the Collector. Mr. Bishop will be careful to sell such portion only of the land attached as may be necessary to cover the amount due, unless from peculiar circumstances the sale of the whole extent in one lot is rendered imperative -

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(25)

(26)

From the Collr of Tanjore -

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 5th Instant I have the honor to forward a Statement in Hindoostanee Corresponding with that in English submitted on the 31st Ultimo as called for in the Circular of the 28th January 1850. -

Tanjore, 11th September, 1850. (Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector. -

(26-27) Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.
Rep. the following letters
To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

28

From the Dy Sub Collr in charge of Madura -

Sir,

In reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th Instant, I have the honor to state that as the Civil Auditor still continues to object to the charge of the yeomiah allowance granted to Emam Ally Shah and Syed Ally Shah in his Rowaud for Fusly 1256, Copy of which is enclosed, I request that you will be good enough to procure the sanction of Government to enable me to state to the Civil Auditor on that head. -

Madura 4th August, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Cochrane
Acting Sub Collr

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

Extract from the Remark of the Accountant of the Collector of Madura and Dindigul on the Account from the 12th July 1846 to the 11th July 1847 transmitted for Examination and Report.

No 5

Charges objected to -

Pensions and Charitable Allowances Chargeable on the Revenues. -

59- Amount paid to Emam Ally Shaw and Syed Ally Shaw in Fusly 1256.

Remarks of the Civil Auditor -

Will be submitted for the Sanction of Government through the proper Channels.

77 69

Dy to favorably Shaw in Fusly 1256.

77 69
154 136

(True Extract)
(Signed) W. H. Cochrane
Acting Sub Collector in Charge.

Madura 31st August 1850.

For

Fort St. George 16th September 1850.

ngs th
8-29

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that copies

of the letters above recorded,

From Madura and of the previous papers on
1st in Cons 19th
August/50 with
Enclosures 1 only
Proceedings of 2d
19th August/50.

noted in the Margin, be

forwarded to the Civil Auditor

and that he be requested

to state concisely the

grounds of his objecting

admit the payments in

question with reference

paras 3 & 4 of the Board's

Proceedings to the Collr

under date the 19th August 1850

12843

Fort St. George 16th September

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

38

From the Asst Sub Collr in charge of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this delivered over charge of the District to Mr. R. D. Parker Collector and enclose his receipt for the cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 3,90,885—12—7.—

Madura 11th September 1850.

/ Signed / W. E. Cochrane
Asst Sub Collr in charge.—

In Cash 3,82,185—12—7
Current Stamps &c 8,700—"—"
3,90,885—12—7

No 1

Duplicate.

Received from Mr W. E. Cochrane Acting Sub Collector of Madura the sum of Company's Rupees (3,82,185—12—7) Three lacs Eighty two thousand one hundred and eighty five, Annas twelve and Pice Seven, being the amount in the Treasury on the 11th September 1850.—

Deposits of the late Zillah Court of Madura	5,500	"—"—"
Deposits of the Civil and Sessions Court of Madura	2,500	"—"—"
Deposits of the Subordinate Court of Madura	700	"—"—"
	8,700	"—"—"

Madura 11th September 1850.

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector.—

To

12833

Proce

18 dem

25 ff

Fort St. George 18th September 1850.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

31

From the Coll. of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day resumed charge of the District of Madura and Dindigul from Mr. W. E. Cochrane Acting Sub Collector together with the amount of Cash in the Treasury as prescribed by Section L. XIX Regulation II of 1803 for which my Receipt has been passed.

Madura 11th September 1850

(Signed) R. B. Parker

Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

32

The Board observe that the receipt forwarded by the Acting Sub Collector late in charge of the Madura District, is not in the form prescribed by their Circular order of 16th January 1834; they resolve therefore to return it to him, with a request that he will procure from the Collector, and forward to this Office, a revised receipt in the proper form: the meantime however the Board will submit for the information of Government the above letters intimating the fact of the Collector having taken charge of his district.

Fort St. George 18th September 18

12845

30-33

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Acting Sub Collr. in charge of Madura.

Read the following letter.

34

From the Secy. Marine Board.

Official Memorandum.

Under directions from Government the Secretary to the Marine Board has the honor to forward to the Board of Revenue one Copy of the Map of Arabia in two Sheets.

Madras Marine Board Office 12th September 1850.

(Signed) J. Franklin

Secretary.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

34-35

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Collector of Masulipatam.

36

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 29th August 1850, I am directed by the Board of Revenue again to request, that you will expedite the despatch of the Demand Collection and Balance Statement of your District, from 1st to 11th July.

The same to Salem and Madura.

The Board desire me to remind you, at the same time, that your Demand Collection and Balance Statement from 12th to 31st July 1850 ha

Proc.s Fort S.t George 16.th September 1850

been long due.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S.t George,
16.th September 1850. } Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

To The Collector of
Bellary.—

37

To the Coll.rs of Bellary ... and Cuddapahs. } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request, that you will be so good as to furnish the information called for in their Proceedings of 8.th November 1849, respecting the manufacture of Earth Salt in your District.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S.t George,
16.th September 1850... } Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.—

38

Circular.

Circular to all Coll.rs &c... Copy to Commr.s N.th Circars } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that your Quinquennial Report now due as well as all future ones, may be transmitted in Mahratta (Warkdooree) as well as in English.— It will thus form a valuable record in your Office for your Native Cutcherry and the Board will receive the Translation with the great-

Fort S.t George 16.th September 18..

greater confidence as being under the Signature of the Serishtadar of the District.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort S.t George,
16.th September 1850.— } Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.—

39

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement of actual Charges incurred on account of advances for Cultivation and for the Manufacture of Salt for Fasly 1259.

40

From the Coll.r of Bellary.— Statement of Contingent charges

39-41

incurred for the Quarter ending 30.th June last.—

Order, on the above Statements.— Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

42

Read the undermentioned Registers.—

From the Collector of Nellore.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of August last.

43

From the Collector of Bellary.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of August last.—

44

From the A.g Sub Coll.r in charge of Madura.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of August last.—

42-45

Order on the 3 above Registers.— Ordered to be lodged in the Office.—

Received the undermentioned Letters and Statments.—

46

From the Coll.r of Salem } Informing that no person belong-

12833

Pd 8 Fort St. George 16th September 1850

holding Village Offices were suspended or
dismissed during the month of July last.

47 From the Act. Coll.r of South Arcot. Abstract of Demand
48 Collection and Balance from 1st to 31st July last.
From the Ag. Coll.r of South Arcot. Statement shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
49 and Salt from 1st to 31st July last.
From the Ag. Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Forwarding an additional
49-50 Indent for Salt Rowanmahs.
Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.
51 From the Coll.r of Malabar. Abstract of Demand Col-
52 lection and Balance from 1st to 31st July last.
From the Coll.r of Malabar. Statement shewing the quan-
tity of Smuggled Tobacco seized and
52-53 recommended for confiscation for the month
of July last.
Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation
and sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated
in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

a Pykeroft

a J. H. Maw

a