L B/R
2241
78

5101/77

1264/26

1848/1978

B/R

2241

1319/81

1297. Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.—

Monday 27th May 1850. } G: D: Drury Esqre Senior Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.—

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract

(1)

from the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th May 1850—No. 462.—

Read the following Extract— from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/ Here enter 8th April 1850 No. 154. /

Para. 1. In the margin of Para 2 of his report the Collector has submitted an abstract Statement comparing the demand for Fusly 12—

and the Collections brought to account in the Books of the Hoozoor Treasury within it with the demand and the Collections similarly brought to account in the preceding Fusly 1257. The comparison made in this Statement is imperfect, in-as-much as the Collections for Fusly 1257 were made during Eleven months only. The Collections in the same Statement for Fusly 1258 are those paid into the Hoozoor Treasury during the space of twelve entire months, or from the 12th July 1848 to 11th July 1849, but these payments seem to include the Collections made in the Talooks during and on account of the last month of Fusly 1257, which did not reach the Hoozoor in time to be credited there within the year. In like manner the Talooks receipts for the last month of the Fusly under review, will appear among the Collections of the Current Fusly and if the same mode be continued, the Collections of each year will come to consist of the receipts actually made during the first Eleven months of the Fusly itself and the last month of that

immediately preceding it. This manner of proceeding is represented by the Collector as rendered necessary by the orders of the Board of Revenue of 15th March 1849, which directed, that the sums brought to account in the Books of the Hoozoor Treasury within the 11th July shall be considered and entered as the Collections, and by the facts that the Salt pans, Sea Custom Chowkies and single inland Chowky of Madura are so far from the Hoozoor Treasury that the latest Collections in the former which can be brought to the latter within the Fusly are those up to the 12th June. To obviate the confusion likely thus to be occasioned, the Board have instructed the Collector, to include hereafter in the demand, all the receipts at the principal and Subordinate Treasuries, but in reporting the Collections to show separately the sums obtained at the Talook Treasuries from the amount carried to account within the Fusly in the Books at the Hoozoor.

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not appreciate the difficulties represented by the Collector as preventing the transmission

transmission from the Talooks, Sea ports or Salt pans to the Hoozoor of the Collections of the closing part of the Fusly- and he is not aware that similar difficulties have been experienced in other Districts- None of the places in question can, it is supposed be so distant from Madura but that remittances might be made from them to the Treasury, in the space of five or six days and all that would seem to be required, is that the Salt Amildar- Sea Custom house Ameens and Thasildars should early in the month of July, send up the balance of Collections in their hands so as to reach the Hoozoor Treasury and be brought to account there before the end of the Fusly. The difference between the Hoozoor and Talook receipts will then be inconsiderable, but some difference there still must be, and the best mode in which the amount of that difference and of the actual receipts of the year can be exhibited, is by the arrangement suggested by the Board, which should be adopted not only in Madura but in all other Districts-

3. The Memorandum entered

+ Note. As regards Stamps there is some difference between the sums shown in the Memo: and those in the enclosure to Collector's letter of 8th March-

in Para 3 of Mr. Parker's letter appears to show the real Collections at the Choukies and Talooks on account of Salt, Land and Sea Customs and Stamps, (in all of which branches the transactions are for ready money) and the demand in the other sources of Abkarry and small Farms for the Fusly 1258 in comparison with Fusly 1257- The total on all these heads for Fusly 1258 is given at Rupees 422,593-13-9- which would seem to represent the true Settlement of the year and falls below the total given in the same Statement for the preceding Fusly by Rupees 29,925-10-7 The decrease is mainly in Salt and Sea Customs and in a minor degree in Sundry small Farms and Licenses- In Abkarry and Stamps there was an increase- In the latter to a considerable extent-

4. The actual Collections on Sales of Salt within the Fusly fell short of the previous year in Rupees 35,034 attributed to diminished exports to the Dindigul Division and to other Zillahs- In Sea Customs the decrease was owing

owing to a diminution in the export of Piece Goods to Ceylon and in the Import of Betel Nut from that Inland as well as to the operation of Act VI of 1848.

5. From increased Competition there was an increase of Rupees 3,120 in Abkarry: and the Stamp revenue likewise improved from the operation of Act XVII of 1848, and from number of Suits for large sums filed in the Civil Court.

6. The balances of Current revenue outstanding at the close of Fusly were on Account of Abkarry and small Farms and stood respectively at Rupees 1956 and Rupees 6,589-11-10. The former had been collected in full when the Collector wrote and the latter reduced to Rupees 87-5-1, which it is stated will be recovered. The large proportion of the demand on account of small Farms outstanding at the close both of the year under review and of that which preceded it has attracted the notice of Government.

In

In Fusly 1258 Rupees 6,589- were due out of a Settlement of Rupees 11,204 or more than ½ in Fusly 1257 Rupees 8,750 were unrealized out of a demand of Rupees 11,404 or above two thirds. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to enquire into the cause of these heavy balances.

7. Under the circumstances explained by the Collector of Madura the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of that District the further sum of Rupees 9-12-5. still outstanding against the Abkarry Renters of the Tandicomboo Talook. It is remarked that there will then be only Rupees 38-1-9. due

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

due an account of old balances which is to be recovered at an early date.

To,
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

1-2

Order thereon - 1.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collector of Madura, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 8th Ultimo.-

2. Further instructions will be furnished to the Collector in respect to Para 2. In the meantime he will be so good as to — furnish the explanation required by Para 6.-

D.G.

Read.-

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 13th May, 1850 No. 471.

(From Board 29th April).

3

From the Secretary to Government -

Resolved that an additional Copy of Clarke's new Code of Regulations and Acts be furnished through the Board of Revenue to the Collector of Tanjore.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

3-4

Order thereon.

Ordered that the additional Copy of Clarke's new Code of Regulations and Acts, received from Government, be forwarded to the Collector of Tanjore for the use of his Special Assistant, with reference to his letter dated 19th Ultimo.-

5

From the Secretary to Government.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 15th May, 1850 No. 490.-

(From Board 29th April)

Ordered that the following Endorsement on Petitions No. 2215 of 1849 and Nos. 759 and 870 of 1850 be communicated to Ranee Ramamonaye.-

"From the reports of the Collector and of the Court of Wards, the Government are satisfied that

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

that the affairs of the Gundracottah Estate are properly administered and the interest of the Minor heir duly secured under the present management, and they therefore cannot accede to the Petitioner's applicat

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.-

5-6

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letter dated 2d. Ultimo.-

7

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th May 1850 No. 487.

(From Bd. 2d. May)

Ordered that the following Endorsement on Petition No. 250 of 1850 be communicated to Coomarasawmy Talaver.-

"The Government having received a full report upon this subject from the acting Collector of Tinnevelly and the Board of Revenue, do not consider themselves called upon to interfere in the case. If Petitioner deems himself to have been aggrieved he must seek redress in the Civil Court."-

(Signed) F. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.-

Ordered

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

Order thereon.

7-8

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 20th Ultimo.-

Read the following Extracts.

No. 483.-

9

From the Secretary to Government.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 15th May 1850.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 18th April 1850 No. 175)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the servants upon the Neilgherries to whom warm Clothing has hitherto been supplied are the Duffadars, Naigues and Peons attached to the Joint Magistrate's Office, those on the Taloor Cutcherry Establish-ment and the Sholay Peons. The present Indent is for 92 yards chiefly for the use of Deloyets, of whom no less than 30 are to be supplied. Before passing orders on this application, the Government would wish to be informed to what establishments the Deloyets are attached, and wherein lies the necessity

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

necessity of providing them, or so many of them with Clothing.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

9-10

Order thereon.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore for report with reference to his letter dated 8th April last.-

In Cons 18th April 50

11

No. 492.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 15th May 1850.-

From the Secretary to Government.- } Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 2d May 1850 No. 202)

Under the explanation afforded by the Collector of Madras and on the understanding that the identity of the applicant has been clearly established, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for disbursing subject to audit, the arrears of

Yeomiah

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Yeomiah recommended by the Board of Revenue to be paid to Fakeer Imaum Shah from 12th January 1848 to 11th April 1850 amounting to Rupees (27) Twenty Seven, and also for the continuance of the allowance from the 12th ultimo.-

2. The Government concur in the remarks contained in para 4 of the Board's proceedings; but as it appears from para 3 that Imaum Shah is not a resident of Madras, but only repairs to the place to draw his Yeomiah, it should be explained to him that he is at liberty to have the payment transferred to the Treasury of the District in which he may usually reside, and on his consenting the Collector should make arrangements for the transfer accordingly. Imaum Shah should also be warned that he should be again allow his Yeomiah to remain undrawn the arrears will not be disbursed to him.-

11-12

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's

7310

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 24th Ultimo

In Cons 2d May 1850.

Mr. Hudleston's attention is called to para 2.-

13

From the Secretary to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th May 1850 No. 488.

From Board 2d May:-

Resolved that the Collector of Madras be authorized to disburse, subjected to Audit, the arrears of Salt Compensation due to Audi Ponnen, the present holder of Certificate No. 39, from 12 July 1845 to 11th January 1850 or 3½ years, amounting to Rupees (70. 3. 0) Seventy, dunas three and pice Six. The Government desire that the identity of the applicant may be clearly ascertained before the payment is made.-

13-14

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 24th Ultimo.

Extract

7311

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

15

From the Secretary to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th May 1850 No. 497.

(From Board 4th April)

Para 2 Of the share valued at Rupees 27. - - 8 held by the late Aulla Vengareddy in these Enams Rupees 21. - 12. - 10. the portion which had been in the uninterrupted enjoyment of the deceased will pass to his son Chengareddy without limitation, and the remainder Rupees 5. - 3. - 10 which was acquired by purchase will be continued for life only.-

3. The Board recommend that the lapsed share of 3½ Rupees be continued to Lagamuckah the widow of the late holder in default of any lineal heir. The Government however observe that the deceased left five Nephews, Sons of his brothers, but whether these brothers were or were not divided does not appear. The Government confirm the grant of the Enam to the widow for her life but direct that the case of the Nephews be considered on her death.-

The

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

4. The Enams must be considered to have reverted to Government by failure of heirs.-

5. There being no near relations to the deceased incumbent, the half share held by him in this Enam will be resumed on account of Government as recommended.-

6. To be continued to Seeta the widow of the late holder subject to reconsideration at her death as suggested by the Board.-

7. The widow and Mother of the late incumbent being the only surviving Members of the family, the share of Rupees 158.9.1. held by the deceased in these Enams will be continued for their joint support and will lapse at the death of the survivor of them.

8. Resolved agreeably to the recommendation of the Board, that the entire Enam held by the late C. Vengummah in the Village of Harevarum be resumed; and that the share of Acres 34.38.9. in the Enam situated at Goondalcoorty which is said to have been alienated 12 years ago under a Deed of gift by the

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

husband of the deceased be continued for life to Bootchee Mullareddy the grand son in the female line, in consideration of his enjoyment of it for some years past.-

9. The half share in this Enam which has lapsed by the death of V. Subbummah will be continued as proposed to the aged Mother of the deceased for her life.-

10. That portion of the Enam Viz^t. Acres 9-10-10½ valued at Rupees 48, which was in the enjoyment of the late Ac^d Kutchummah and was acquired by an irregular transfer to the husband of the deceased, will be resumed in default of issue. But the share of Rupees 4-4-10 obtained by purchase from the deceased will be continued to the present holder Ramummah for his life as recommended.-

11. The late incumbent having left no heirs, this Enam must be regarded as an Escheat to the State.-

12. Ordered that the portion of Acres 11-30-2½ valued at Rupees 34-9-3 held

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

held by late N. Mungummah in these Enams be resumed there being no male issue, and the claims of the other Members of the family being considered inadmissible.-

By Order

(Signed) T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

(15-16)

Resolution thereon-

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing orders of Government and of the Board's Remarks to which they are a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his Statement dated 9th March last.-

(17)

From the Secretary to Government.- } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 16th May, 1850 No. 498. (From Board 22d April)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that sufficient consideration will be shown to the family of the late Raza Hussain Sahb, if a Monthly allowance

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.-

allowance of 5 Rupees each be granted for life to his Widow and two daughters named in the Margin. The pensions will be paid in arrear from the 6th November 1849. the date of Raza Hussain Sahibs death, and distinct intimation should be made to each of the parties, that the pension now continued to her will lapse on her decease.-

Shemsoonissah Begum 28 years
Fatimah Khanum 54 years
Abeedah Begum 45 years.-

By Order

(Signed) T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government

Resolved.

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Resolution thereon.

(17-18)

Resolved that copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's Remarks to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his Statement dated 15th ult. April last.-

Read.

DG.

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Read the undermentioned

(19) Extracts.

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 18th May 1850.— } Granting Special sanction for the charges incurred by the Collector of Vizagapatam in the defence of O. Suit No. 3 of 1848 instituted in the Civil Court of Chiccacole.

(19-20)

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.

From the Secretary to Government
(21) Dated 18th May 1850 } Declining to authorize the increase of Salary to the Cashkeeper of the Collector's Office Vizagapatam proposed by the Rajah of Vizeanagrum.—

(21-22)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded

Read the following Extracts

From the Sect. to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th May 1850. No. 505.

(23)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue:—

/Here enter 6th May 1850. No. 207/

Published at Page 90 of the Compilation of the Civil Service Regulations No. 5.

By the order of Government dated 29th December 1836 No. 1747, the Board of Revenue were required, in recommending the grant of increased rates of Salary to Sheristadars under

7318 Fort St. George 27th May 1850

under the usual Rules, to accompany their recommendation with a brief review of the conduct and general character of the individual on whose behalf the application may be made. Nothing has been said respecting the behaviour and merits of Annajee Row Head Sheristadar of Salem, either by the Sub Collector in charge of the District or the Board, and the Government request that the omission may be supplied.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

23-24
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Officer in charge of the District of Salem with reference to his letter dated 20th ultimo and with a request that he will furnish the required report on the conduct and general character of annajee Row

25.

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th May 1850 No 508.

Read again Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th and recorded in Consultation 7th March 1850

Read

7319 Fort St. George 27th May 1850

Read also the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

/Here enter 6th May 1850 No 531/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the revised Estimate submitted by the Collector of Canara, and recommended by the Board of Revenue, as the standard for regulating the annual disbursements of Saderwared in that District amounting to Rupees (6,282) Six Thousand two hundred and eighty two, apportioned to the following Department.—

Land Revenue	4475.
Magistrate	920.
Layer	58.
Sea Customs	265.
Salt	350
Tobacco	214.
Total Rupees	6282.

The above standard will have effect from the commencement of Fusly 1260.—

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

25-26
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 22d February

In Cons 7th March 1850
Cons 7th Do Do

February and 15th April last.

In Cons 15th April 1850.

Read again letter from the Collector of Nellore, dated 8th December 1849. reporting on the Settlement of that District for Fusly 1258. 1848/49

27

Proceedings thereon.—

Relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Nellore in Fusly 1258.—

In consequence of death of Mr. Smith, the Collector, the Settlement of a considerable portion of the District devolved on Mr. Purvis, the Sub Collector in addition to the Talooks of his own division. Mr. Purvis had charge of the District from 1st June to 18th October 1849. when he was relieved by Mr. White the present Collector. Mr. White took no part in the settlement.

Para 3 & 10.—

2.

The season commenced with much promise; the rains subsequently however were deficient and but for the freshes of the Pennar which sustained the wet Cultivation under its influence and a copious fall of rain in the Northern Talooks during the North East Monsoon, the diminution in the Cultivation would have been great. The Jonna and Wariga crops in the Northern Talooks were injured by the rain. With these exceptions, the outturn of the District generally was fair. Fever and Small pox prevailed to some extent, Cholera appeared partially. Disease among the cattle occasioned losses particularly

particularly in some Villages of the Buddapoo-dy Talook in the Sub Division were from this cause the Wet cultivation was in some degree impeded. Prices had declined and were considerably below the commutation rates.

3. The importance of the Pennar to the stability of the Revenue has been again tested during the year under report.—

Para 14.

4 The engagements / Dittum / for the year consist of Goortoos 129,956 bearing an assessment of Rupees 141,379 being Goortoos 1640 assessed at Rupees 27,956 below the preceding year. The decrease is accounted for partly in consequence of deaths and poverty, and partly as arising from the exclusion, "from various causes" from the Dittum of lands occupied for cultivation, but not cultivated for a considerable number of years. In their Proceedings on the settlement for last year / Para 6 / the Board observed that the exclusion of land left uncultivated for a series of years and land which has become deteriorated "appeared to be considerable in that year as well as in Fusly 1256 and they thought it not improbable 1840/47.

that

Decrease
Dry .... 734.
Wet 783
Mooloom Garden 118
Sarcebundy 4.
1640.

that inferior lands had been given up without sufficient reason The present Collector explains / Para 52 / that the wealthy ryots retain for pasturage more lands than they can cultivate, thus depriving Government of either sist or Pollary and that a rule was introduced by Mr. Bishop whereby land left uncultivated for more than 5 years is deducted from the Ryotwary Pittum and is held available for such persons as may wish to occupy it. This rule has never received the sanction of the Board and is at variance with the provisions of the Hookoommanah of the District which requires the payment of 1/4 of the fixed assessment of such lands in addition to the usual Pollary, but restricts the extent to be held on those terms to 25 per Cent of the land occupied for cultivation, at the same time the full sist is demanded if any other Ryot wishes to take up the land for cultivation. The Board are of opinion that the rule should be immediately discontinued and that the requirements of the Hookoommanah should, as in Fusly 1257 / 1847-48 / guide the Collection in regard to the

Hookoommanah P. 11-12-

Proceedings on Settlement for Fusly 1257 P. 15. 7 Oct / 48.

the occupation of lands included in the cultivable area / Sagoobady Goodyeutt / but not cultivated

5. The particulars of Increase and Decrease in the engagements should have been exhibited in the Report, as was done in the Report / Para 25 / for last year.

Para 15. 6 The assessment of Caboolaty lands left waste and not charged amounted to Rupees 2,13,412 exceeding, as was to be expected from the character of the season, the same item for the preceding year in the sum of Rupees 83,941. The particulars are shown in para 15 of the Report. The Kuysoory Bunjer or waste disallowed under the usual Rules amounted to Rupees 33,734-10-5, being Rupees 5,100-7-10 above the preceding year. This item, the Board are assured, underwent careful enquiry. They observe that in some cases, as noted in the Margin, the waste brought to the Jumma is large in proportion to the land actually cultivated. The demand has doubtless been made in accordance with the rules; but Mr. White will not fail to bear

Statement No. 9.

Land which yielded produce.			Waste land.		
G.	15	15	G.	16	15
1303	12	5/12	634	5	10 3/4
432.	7	14	119	11	7.

Nellore, Tammuchy

bear in mind, that this tax should be made to fall as lightly as possible on the Ryot.—

Extract Minutes Consultation 6th June 1848.
on Settlement of Fusly 1250.
Judl Cons 17th August 1848.

Para 17. 7. Deducting Cabooliaty waste struck off the gross Settlement amounts to Actual Cultivation ——— Rs 11,40,683 — 12 — 8.

Waste for Neglect ——— 33,734 — 10 — 5.

Fusl Jastee. ——— 29,548 — 6 — 11.

Total. — 12,03,966 — 14 — "

Average as corrected 11,32,452 — 3 — "

Fusly 1250 ... 12,25,719 ... " — 7

" 1257 ... 12,80,270 ... 12 ... "

the average of the last 6 years though below the amounts for Fushes $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$ and $\frac{1257}{1847/48}$. Mr White will however observe that the entries in the marginal Statement at the end of Para 17 of his report are not correct. Fussul Jastee having been omitted for the last 3 years of the series on which the comparison is based.—

Para 19 to 25. 8. The Remissions amount to Rupees 23517 — 10 which exceed those of the preceding year in the sum of Rupees 8948 — 4 The sum, Rupees 13575 — 3, allowed for Soohlee or

or Crops dried up is large, particularly as a further sum of Rupees 1039 — 14 — " apparently on the same account appears under the head of "nonasib Cummee" Referring to Statement No 10 indulgence appears to have been liberally given, Strict attention to the rules under which remissions for Soohlee are allowed is necessary; at the same time the Board are aware that while the principle is steadily maintained, circumstances will occur which may justify an indulgent enforcement of it.—

9. The proportion of small landholders, as elsewhere, is large. The rents of about ½ range below Rupees 20 of whom the larger portion occupy farms below Rupees 10. The following is an abstract of the Rent Roll of the District.—

&c

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

10 The total Land Revenue of the District for the year under report was Rupees 1937230-2-7. being Rupees 116134-5-5- below that of the preceding year. The following is a tabular view of the particulars for the two years in comparison-

I truly

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

No. of Ryots paying 1000 Rs and upwards

	No. of Ryots	Rs	A	P
No. from 150 to 100	9	11,612	8	0
No. from 100 to 50	10	8,044	2	9
No. from 50 to 250	38	22,395	8	5
No. from 250 to 500	255	85,702	13	1
No. from 200 to 250	179	39,315	11	8
No. from 150 to 200	404	69,392	15	7
No. from 100 to 150	915	1,02,80	12	0
No. from 75 to 100	1139	96,912	5	8
No. from 50 to 75	2,304	1,40,474	0	0
No. from 40 to 50	1,013	74,402	3	2
No. from 30 to 40	2,285	78,490	1	5
No. from 20 to 30	3,441	84,515	9	1
No. from 10 to 20	5,178	76,223	8	10
Under 10 Rupees.	8,044	40,805	12	8
Total Individual Ryots	20,414	93,0318	4	
Joint Ryots	1,089	24,4095	12	10
Total	30503	11,80,413	13	3

7328.

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

	Fasly 1257			Fasly 1258			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Ditto												
Dry land	4,70,385	11	11	4,67,445	2	4	"	"	"	2,940	9	7
Wet land	7,96,957	7	6	7,76,376	"	7	"	"	"	20,581	6	11
Mooloom Garden land	1,24,316	11	7	12,0607	8	1	"	"	"	3709	3	6
Jarcel Garden lands	53,675	15	9	52,950	14	7	"	"	"	725	1	2
	14,45,335	14	9	14,17,379	9	7	"	"	"	27,956	5	2
Deductions on land left unsown	1,29,485	4	5	2,13,412	11	7	83927	7	2	"	"	"
Gross Settlement	13,15,850	10	4	12,03,966	14	"	"	"	"	11,883	12	4
Deductions on lands Cultivated												
Sookly or Shavy remission	2,059	10	1	13,575	"	3	11,515	6	2	"	"	"
Inundated	3,861	14	4	1,610	5	1	"	"	"	2,251	9	3
Cowle lands	1,291	3	7	1,147	2	11	"	"	"	144	"	8
Tufsul Cummy	2,018	8	5	1,174	11	3	"	"	"	843	13	2
Pantevasy	1,533	9	11	1,698	13	3	165	3	4	"	"	"
Discretionary remission	3,827	14	6	4,311	"	1	483	1	7	"	"	"
Allowances to Curnums &c	"	"	"	36	"	"	36	"	"	"	"	"
	14,592	12	10	23,553	"	10	8960	"	"	"	"	"
	13,01,257	13	6	11,80,413	13	2	"	"	"	120,844	"	4
Add												
Rents for 1 and 3 years	"	"	"	12,230	"	"	12,230	"	"	"	"	"
	13,01,257	13	6	11,92,643	13	2	"	"	"	108,614	"	4
Ready Money Collections	2,28,350	8	1	2,21,772	1	3	"	"	"	6,578	6	10
	15,29,608	5	7	14,14,415	14	5	"	"	"	115,192	7	2
Shrotriems	81,009	8	9	81,009	8	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
Amarums	2637	"	"	2637	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cuttoobadies	327	"	"	327	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Nuzzers and Roofsooms	8,206	4	5	8,206	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Mala Curnums Dº	13,561	4	"	12,619	5	9	"	"	"	941	14	3
Quit Rent	200	8	"	200	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Zemindary Peishcush	4,17,814	9	3	4,17,814	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total Land Revenue	20,53,364	8	"	19,37,230	2	7	"	"	"	116134	5	5

The

Para 32-33.

11. The Collections within the Fusly amounted to Rupees 18,19,907-0-7 the amount subsequently realized according to the latest returns / 31st March / in the Office is Rupees 96,406-15-7 total Rupees 19,16,314-0-2, leaving a balance of Rupees 2,99,162 composed of

	Rs	a	P.
Ryotwar Balance	20,003	13	8
Chittatywar Poligar	485	"	8
Rofsoons &c.	427	4	3

12 The Ryotwar Balance is very large and demands explanation. The Board are quite at a loss to understand how so large a sum as nearly Rupees 12,000 of a current Demand can be said to be due by deceased and poor Ryots and Ryots who have absconded the Balance due by the Poligar of Chittatywar, it is observed / Para 41 / is in course of realization.

13 The Collections within the year, Mr. White observes, exceeds those of the last 21 years, with certain exceptions. To render the comparison true, the Revenue of the Woodiagherry and Sydapoor Properties should have been excluded from the Statement in the Margin of Para 32 from Fusly 1238/39 A.D.

as after this period the annexation at different dates of these lands took place.

Para 34 @ 38.

14 The state of the Shrotriem Villages under attachment is far from satisfactory. The Balance on this account is considerable. The Board desire that their instructions for settling these Villages on rent in preference to dumany may be at once fully carried out. The Rent may resolve itself into an individual Settlement and in this way the losses entailed by the present mode of management will cease. The Board await the report and sentiments of the Collector in regard to the incorporation of these tenures with the Government lands.

Pro: on Settlement for Fusly 1257. 12th March 1849. Para 18.

Para 44 @ 50.

15. Under the explanation afforded by the Collector in these Paras, the Board beg to recommend that the irrecoverable portion of the Balances of Fusly 1257 / 1847/48 may be written off. They amounted to

Ryotwar	Rupees	4,575-9-"
Stala burmums Roofsoons	"	1110-12-5
Woortanar D:	"	30-13-3
Chittatywar D:	"	212-2-4.
Total to be written off		4929-5-"

The

16.

Proceedings on Settlement for Fusly 1256 — 27th April 1848 Para 10. Dº for Fusly 1257 — 12th March 1849 Para 5.

The requisition of the Board for explanation in regard to Lands bearing an excessive assessment has not yet been attended to — They also await the information called for regarding the Shrotriem and other alienated lands in the Hydrafore Estate —

17.

In regard to the remeasurement of lands noticed by the Collector in Para 53 of his Report, the Board would observe that where decrease is the result within the prescribed rule, the Ryot should receive credit for it immediately. It is irregular except when the faithfulness of the measurement is questioned, to postpone the final adjustment of items of this description and to exhibit the amount as an irrecoverable Balance — The Board are of opinion that an annual inspection of lands, as a general measure for the detection of encroachments is vexatious and unnecessary and further places a power in the hands of the Tahsildar likely to be exercised too

Hookommonah 3d Sept. 1842 Para 38.

too frequently to the injury of the Ryots — It is sufficient to limit such a power to the measurement of land contiguous to waste and Poramboke, but only in cases of suspicion, and to fields, of which portions only are cultivated. In no other case should measurement be allowed except with the previous permission of the Collector on sufficient grounds shown —

18 The explanation afforded in Paras 55 and 56 regarding certain discrepancies relative to remissions on account of wells pointed out by the Board in their Proceedings on the Settlement for last year is satisfactory —

19. The Demand on account of all sources, Land and Exctra Revenue amounted to Rupees 2523817-6-6 being Rupees 24,320 — 5 — 5 below the Demand of Fusly 1257 / 1847/48 — The particulars, with the Collections, are as follows —

Demand	Fusly 1257 —	277,0137	11 — 11	
	1258 —	2523,817	6 — 6	
Less		246320	5 — 5	

Demand

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

	Demand of Fusly 1257	Collections Within the Fusly	Collections Subsequently to 31st March 1849	Total	Balance	Demand of Fusly 1258	Collections within the Fusly	Collections Subsequently to 31st March 1850	Total	Balance
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
Land Revenue	20,53,964 8 ~	19,93,805 3 8	46,594 ~ ~	20,40,399 3 8	11,565 4 4	19,37,230 2 7	18,19,907 ~ 7	96,406 15 7	19,16,314 ~ 2	20,916 2 5
Sayer	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Abkarry	29,810 1 4	29,354 12 9	455 4 7	29,810 1 4	~ ~ ~	29,971 5 ~	29,556 6 6	414 14 6	29,971 5 ~	~ ~ ~
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	316 4 4	220 ~ 4	96 4 ~	316 4 4	~ ~ ~	317 4 4	220 ~ 4	97 4 ~	317 4 4	~ ~ ~
Salt	6,46,621 14 7	6,46,621 14 7	~ ~ ~	6,46,621 14 7	~ ~ ~	5,24,230 ~ 8	5,24,230 ~ 8	~ ~ ~	5,24,230 ~ 8	~ ~ ~
Sea Customs	12,855 11 10	12,855 11 10	~ ~ ~	12,855 11 10	~ ~ ~	2,055 ~ 9	2,055 ~ 9	~ ~ ~	2,055 ~ 9	~ ~ ~
Motunpha	17,59 7 10	17,374 ~ 11	167 13 10	17,541 14 9	217 9 1	17,563 9 2	17,083 5 10	262 8 7	17,345 14 5	217 10 9
Stamps	8,809 12 ~	8,809 12 ~	~ ~ ~	8,809 12 ~	~ ~ ~	12,450 ~ ~	12,450 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	12,450 ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Total	27,70137 11 11	27,11041 8 1	47,313 6 5	27,58,354 14 6	11,782 13 5	25,23,817 6 6	24,05,501 12 8	97,181 10 8	25,02,683 9 4	21,133 13 2

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

20. In conclusion the Board would make the following Remarks on the accounts—

21 In para 9 of his report the Collector adverts to an increase in the number of Bullocks. This appears to be a mistake as will be seen by the Memorandum entries in which the numbers of Bullocks and She Buffaloes in Fusly 1258 / 1848/49 apparently are inadvertently entered, one for the other. The decrease in the number of Cows in the Nellore Talook, is large and suggests doubts of the accuracy of the Returns.

	Bullocks	She Buffaloes
Fusly 1255	2014	3984
1256	1981	3854
1257	2008	4028
1258	4035	2008

	Cows
Fusly 1257	23446
1258	19195
Decrease	4251

22. The detailed Statement No. 5 is incomplete in not showing the average Price of Grain for the whole District—

23. It is desirable that a tabular view of the several Descriptions of Settlement prevailing in the District should be embodied in the report as was done last year

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

year / Paras 14 and 15 /—

24. The form of Cowle Account No. 8 does not distinctly show the extent of land which has been brought to the full assessment during the Fusly under report. It is to be gathered from the entries in Cols. 26 to 29 but the item itself should be clearly exhibited under the head of Deductions. By this arrangement, the entries under the first head of the Current years Statement will correspond with the concluding entries of the Statement for the previous year—

25. The Balance on account of Mala Cummums is entered in the Report at Rupees 266–9–7, whereas in Statement No. 21 it is given at Rupees 186–14–5. The difference Rupees 79–11–2 should be accounted for

26. Statement No. 19 shewing the Double Issafa may be discontinued altogether, and the items composing this head will be brought under the head of Levy Summah Statement No. 17.—

27. The items, Nuzzers and Roosooms, and Mala Cummums Roosooms, amounting to Rupees 20825–10–2 are strictly

Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

strictly referrible to the Head of Land Revenue and should be exhibited as such in the accounts generally at present they appear under the head of "Contra

Fasly 1258.

	Rs.	a	p.
Land Revenue as per Report	1937230	2	7
Dº as given in the Demand Collection and Balance Returns	1916404	8	5
Difference	20825	10	2

ordinary" in the Monthly Returns whereas in the Report on the Settlements they are treated as Land Revenue

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Nellore.—

Read the following letter

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

28

From the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

In reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of your Board dated 1st. March last, I have the honor to report that 100000 Indian Maunds of salt

Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

Toomalapenta	10,000
Eskapully	25,000
Gogoolapully	10,000
Verney	15,000
Krishnapatam	40,000
	100000

salt are now available for Exportation to Calcutta on private trade at the Ports in the Margin—

Nellore,
Collectors Cutcherry,
14th. May 1850.

/Signed/ D. White
Collector

Order thereon

28-29

Ordered accordingly that a Statement of the quantity of Salt available in the district

7338. Fort St. George 27th May 1850

district of Nellore for E
=port to Calcutta on pri
=vate trade be publishe
in the Fort St. George
Gazette and that the Co
=llector be requested to fu
=rnish the usual Monthly
Statement as heretofore.

Read the follow
=ing letter

To

7339. Fort St George 27th May 1850.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

Sir,

30

From the Acting Collector of Bellary.. Respecting how the Govert Grazing Farm at Pootlam: poor has been reduced in size and with reference to orders of Board of Revenue under date the 17th December 1849 submits a report of the different descriptions of land included within the new limits of the Farm. Stating that it is necessary to grant other lands in lieu of those Enam Lands only still retained in the new limits that no compensation is necessary beyond that and enclosing a statement in detail of the lands in lieu of which other lands are required with remarks explanatory of the nature of the service required from the owners - Informs that the survey map ordered has not been commenced but recommends that no time should be lost in fixing the limits by Permanent bounds: any stones & enclosing an Estimate for the same Amounting to 425 Rupees.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Extract Proceedings of Board of Revenue dated 17th December 1849 accompanied by Extract Minutes of Consultation under date 11th of same Month on the subject of the Public Cattle Grazing Farm in the District the reduction in the size of which was ordered by the Government in the said Minutes of Consultation and which has now been done.

2. I am required by the instructions of the Board of Revenue contained in 2nd para of their Proceedings under reply to furnish a report containing the information called for in para 2 of the above Minutes Consultation on receipt of the new plan ordered by Government.

3. This plan has not yet been received and there appears to be a difficulty in preparing it. In my letter to the Board of Revenue Department Public

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

See Board's Proceeding D. P. W. 10th January 1850 No. 32.

Public Works under date 3d January I requested that a Surveyor might be instructed to make the survey with as little delay as possible. In reply the Board directed me to apply to the Officer Commanding the Division to have the survey made which I accordingly have done and received General Steel's reply † copy of which is enclosed by which it appears that there is no immediate probability of the Quarter Master General's Department being able to make the survey.

† Enclosure No. 6

4. I purpose therefore not to delay the report called for but at once to inform the Board of what has been done in respect to the orders of Government.

5. In communication with Lieutenant Harris the Deputy Assistant Commissary General at Bellary it was settled that he should proceed to the Grazing Farm accompanied by my Head Assistant Mr. Arbuthnott and there with the aid of the Native Revenue and Village Officers mark out the limits with which it was proposed to confine the Grazing Farm. My instructions to Mr. Arbuthnott were to the effect that should any land be included by the Commissariat

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

Commissariat Officer which the Villagers were anxious to obtain he would confer with Lieutenant Harris on the propriety of excluding it from the Farm. But from the letters received from Mr. Arbuthnott and Lieutenant Harris there appears to have been but one claim of the kind which was at once yielded by Lieutenant Harris. I have the honor to enclose copies of Lieutenant Harris and Mr. Arbuthnott's letter both dated 29th January 1849.

Enclosures Nos. 4 & 5.

6. The limits of the land being thus agreed upon temporary stakes were erected until permanent land marks are put up and I am now able to supply all the information respecting the land retained &c. called for by Government. I am directed to furnish a report of the different descriptions of land included within the new limits of the Grazing Farm and the extent of land for which it will be necessary to grant other lands in exchange.

Enclosure No. 1.

7. I beg to enclose a † statement in detail of all the fields included in the limits of the farm as hitherto held, of the fields now given up as not required, and of those retained. The particulars of these last mentioned lands are given in the Statement from Column 12 to Column 36 and the following is an abstract of the whole.

Names

7342 Fort St. George 7th May 1850.

Year	Names of Villages	Total Quantity of Land hitherto contained in the Farm: Circar Land	Enam Land	Total	Land not required for the Farm	Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Depôt. Circar Land: Serumpoag Payaka and Anadee Dunger	Paramapoke Jeloke Hills Pagoda	Total	Enam Land: Pagoda Yoa Land.	Chauky Land.	Serwee Enams.	Surwa Enams.	Jodee Enams.	Total Enam	Total Circar and Enam
		Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres
1	Wudd	62	"	62	62	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	Saranagull	203	80	283	158	69	11	80	"	"	14	14	"	14	94
3	Ingeleghee	154	112	266	191	75	"	75	"	"	"	"	"	"	75
4	Butteganahall	573	173	746	"	544	29	573	33	"	140	113	60	173	746
5	Antapoor	24	"	24	24	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	Erabanapully	1003	132	1135	811	313	1	314	"	"	11	11	"	11	325
7	Codala	144	37	181	"	118	26	144	21	16	"	37	"	37	181
8	Chicka Antapoor	39	"	39	39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	Lingadahully	39	"	39	"	39	"	39	"	"	"	"	"	"	39
10	Bunnehully	147	7	154	"	148	"	148	"	"	7	7	"	7	155
11	Hosahully	925	194	1119	1118	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	Moovanacknahully	120	"	120	80	40	"	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	40
13	Appalapoor	69	"	69	69	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		3,502	735	4,237	2,582	1,346	67	1413	54	16	172	182	60	242	1,655
	Hills classed as Parampoke	10529	"	10,529	2,265	"	8,264	8,264	"	"	"	"	"	"	8,264
	Acres Total	14031	735	14766	4,847	1346	5,331	9,677	54	16	172	182	60	242	9,919
	Assessment in C. Pagodas	358	116	474	154	252	"	252	11	3	54	48	20	68	320
	Assessment in C. Rupees	1044	338	1,382	449	735	"	735	32	9	157	140	58	198	933

8. The land is all classed as Dry land - There were 14,766 acres hitherto included in the Farm; Of which 4,847 acres have been now relinquished and 9,919 acres retained as comprised within the new limits of the 9,677 acres is Clear land comprising 8,331 acres of Hills classed as Puramboke and 1,346 acres of Cultivable land, but very little of which has ever been cultivated. The Clear land may be retained in the Farm and no land in lieu of it is required to be given to any parties.

9. The Enam land which is still required to be retained in the Farm comprises 242 Acres 68-1-12½ equal to Company's Rupees 198-13-7 Reigt.

	Acres	Assessment G. a. cs. F. a
Pagoda Lands	54-24-11-10-5-4	
Charity Lands	16-2-10-3-2-2	
Service Enam	171-12-8-54-4-6½	

182 Acres assessed at 48 C. Pagodas are Surra Enam paying no Quit Rent; The rest 60 Acres assessed at 20 C. Pagodas have a Quit rent upon them of 1-5-10.

For these retained Enam Lands alone will it be necessary to grant other lands in Exchange.

The

The quantity of Enam land restored as not required for the Farm is 492-21-6½ assessed at 47-3-10 and may be taken possession of by the owners.

11. I am also desired to report "the period "during which the owners of private lands have been "kept out of their possession by the occupation of the "public cattle Establishment, whether any arrange-"ments were made for compensating them, and "if not, what amount it will now be necessary "to grant. In regard also to service Enam lands "the Board will explain what is the nature of the "service required of the proprietors, whether this "service has been regularly rendered and if so "how remunerated". In reply I would observe that the owners of the private lands have for the most part been kept out of possession of their lands during the whole of the Company's Government but they will consider themselves sufficiently compensated now by a restoration of their lands, but for the lands which it is still necessary to keep in the farm other lands should be given.

12. For these still retained Enam lands I have prepared a separate statement + giving every necessary detail respecting those lands in which is also shown the land it is proposed to give in exchange and the other information called for by Government. The following is an abstract.

Enam

+ See Enclosure No 2

7345

Fort St George 27th May 1850

Enam Land.

	Acres	Cs	Gs
Village Service Enam	171	128	
Pagoda Lands	54	241	1
Charity Lands	16	210	3
Total	241	313	6
Rupees			195

Land taken for the Land to be given in exchange.

	Acres	Cs	Gs
Village Service Enam	54	05	19
Pagoda Lands	10	54	8
Charity Lands	3	22	15
Total	68	13½	37
Rupees			180

7346

Fort St George 27th May 1850

13. In the Column of Remarks in the Statement a few particulars respecting each Enam are entered and I would merely observe here that the whole of the service Enam should be restored as proposed; for though the Village of Hentlegenahall in which some is situated is in ruins and there is no special Revenue duties to perform in it, yet there are always the Police duties devolving upon the Meerasdars, who moreover are hereditary Meerasdars and have a claim according to the Custom of the country to their lands, and who are also Meerasdars of and perform duties in another large Village adjoining the one in question. The charity

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

Charity Enam should also be restored.

14 But as respects the Pagoda or Davadayem Enams which are 3 in number amounting to C. Pagodas 10 - 5 - 4 I do not see any necessity for restoring them as there appear to be no services performed for them or apparently legal claimant to them and it will be for the Board to decide whether they should not be resumed entirely.

15. Notwithstanding the survey map ordered by the Government has not yet been commenced there is no reason why the Grazing Farm as now limited and temporarily marked out with stakes should not be defined with permanent boundary stones. Indeed this should be immediately done to prevent any future dispute which by delay might arise.

The

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

The putting up permanent and sufficiently large stones will involve some expence - But as it is the best plan I can devise, I beg permission to submit an Estimate for erecting 250 stones round the Farm, amounting to Rupees 425 - which I hope may receive the sanction of Government at an early period.

+ Enclosure No 3.

Bellary Collectors Office on Circuit at Hospett 24th April 1850

(Signed) C. Pelly.
Acting Collector.

Statement.

7348

For Fort St. George

No. 1. Statement Shewing all the lands Contained in the Farm or Public Cattle Depôt of the Commissariat

Name of Villages.	Name of fields as entered in the survey account.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto Continued in the Farm. Circar: Acres.	Circar: Assessment in Canteroy Pagodas.	Enam: Acres.	Enam: Assessment.	Total: Acres.	Total: Assessment.	Distinct land not now required for the Farm: Acres.	Distinct land not now required for the Farm: Assessment.	Remaining land: Acres.	Remaining land: Assessment.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		"	Cp. Fa	A. G. a	Cp. Fa	A. G. a	Cp. Fa	A. G. a	Cp. Fa	A. G. a	Cp. Fa	A. G. a	Cp. Fa
No. 26. Vadal.	Bhovaradasanustalum	116	" " 8	12 16 8	" 6 3	" " "	" " "	12 16 8	" 6 3	12 16 8	" 6 3	" " "	" " "
	Neerkolladastalam	117	" " 8	20 29 2	1 " 6	" " "	" " "	20 29 2	1 " 6	20 29 2	1 " 6	" " "	" " "
	Bookkana youdanastalum	118	" " 8	28 30 1	1 4 2	" " "	" " "	28 30 1	1 4 2	28 30 1	1 4 2	" " "	" " "
		"	" " "	61 35 11	3 " 11	" " "	" " "	61 35 11	3 " 11	61 35 11	3 " 11	" " "	" " "
No. 30 Pranagudu.	Bevinamaradasetu	14	" " 12	4 23 4	" 3 12	" " "	" " "	4 23 4	" 3 12	4 23 4	" 3 12	" " "	" " "
	Bevenamaradasetu	15	" " 12	17 " "	1 2 12	" " "	" " "	17 " "	1 2 12	17 " "	1 2 12	" " "	" " "
	Salary Canaka Dundada Surva Enam Bevenamarada Setu	16	" " 12	" 4 "	" " "	13 13 8	" 9 15¼	13 17 8	" 9 15¼	13 17 8	" 9 15¼	" " "	" " "
	Addaputtee Setu	17	" " 12	16 1 8	1 2 "	" " "	" " "	16 1 8	1 2 "	16 1 8	1 2 "	" " "	" " "
	Magadacotti Setu Salary Canaca Surva Enam	18	" " 4	" " "	" " "	14 " "	" 3 8	14 " "	" 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Googgurri Chennana Collada Setue	19	" " 12	29 30 "	2 2 "	" " "	" " "	29 30 "	2 2 "	29 30 "	2 2 "	" " "	" " "
	Cullapatti Setu	20	" " 4	6 18 4	" 1 9½	" " "	" " "	6 18 4	" 1 9½	2 33 4	" " 11	" " "	" " "
	D° D°	21	" " 4	7 29 14	" 1 13	" " "	" " "	7 29 14	" 1 13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

27th May 1850.-

Department and the lands not now required and those which it is

Dry land still to be retained for the Depot.-

Land.

Layak Bunjer land classed at the survey as having been Cultivated within 20 years		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the survey as immemorial waste		Parampoke or Hills and Lands classed as unfit for Cultivation		Total		Number of years during which the land has been waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres	Assessment.		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		21
A. G. a	C. P. Fa	A. G. a	C. P. Fa	A. G. a	A. G. a	C. P. Fa		
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
3 22 "	" " 14½	" " "	" " "	" 3 "	3 25 "	" " 14½		16.
7 29 14	" 1 13	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 29 14	" 1 13		Since Pymaish

Fort St. George

27th May 1850.

Still necessary to retain for the Depôt in the Cumply Talook of Bellary District to accompany Report from the Acting Collector of Bellary dated 24th April 1850.—

Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Depôt (Continued).

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Durmadayem or charity lands.		Ooliyyar lands of Village servants.		Sirva Enam		Enam Total		Enam land.				Number of years during which the land has been waste.	Total Circar and Enam			
										Jody Enam		Total.						
Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.		Acres	Assessment.		
22		23		24		25		26		27		28		29	30		31	32		33	34	35		36	
A.	G. a	C.	F. a	A.	G. a	C.	F. a	A.	G. a	C.	F. a	A.	G. a	C.	F. a	A.	G. a	C.	F. a		A.	G. a	C.	F. a
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		"	"	" "	" "		"	"	" "

Fort St. George

Name of Villages. No 330 Toranagullu (Cont.)

Name of fields as entered in the Survey accounts.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto Contained in the Farms. Circar. Acres.	Assessment in Conteroy Pagodas	Enam. Acres.	Assessment.	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farms. Acres.	Assessment.	Remains Dry Circar. Terrimsay or land cultivated at the time of the survey. Acres.	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		C P.	F a	A. G a	C P.	F a	A. G a	C P.	F a	A. G a	C P.	F a	A G a	C P. F a
Boollanagowdana Setu	22	" "	4	17 34 14	"	4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Masaba Setu	27	" "	12	21 39 4	1	6 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Nala Parampôke	28	" "	"	20 "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Anadi Bunjer	29	" "	4	11 37 8	"	2 15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Carnum Vencapah Jody Enam Kenkolluda Setue	30	" "	12	" 5 "	"	" "	14 2 6	1 " 9	14 7 6	1 " 9	14 7 6	1 " 9	" " "	" " " "
Addapuittee Setue	176	"	5 12	8 34 15	5	" 15	" " "	" " "	8 34 15	5 " 15	8 34 15	5 " 15	" " "	" " " "
Talawar Canaca Surva Enam Havalanavunyada Setu	177	"	12	" " "	"	" "	13 26 8	1 " 4	13 26 8	1 " 4	13 26 8	1 " 4	" " "	" " " "
Anadi Bunjer	178	" "	4	6 16 4	"	1 8	" " "	" " "	6 16 4	" 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Venkastala Parampôke	179	" "	"	2 25 "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	2 25 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Nadaguddi Setu	180	" "	12	14 36 8	1	1 3½	" " "	" " "	14 36 8	1 1 3½	14 36 8	1 1 3½	" " "	" " " "
Vadakabenchena Parampoke	181	" "	"	7 32 "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	7 32 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " "
Talawar Canaca Surva Enam Bookanametisetu	182	" "	12	" " "	"	" "	24 32 10½	1 8 9¾	24 32 10½	1 8 9¾	24 32 10½	1 8 9¾	" " "	" " " "
Bookanameti Setu	183	" "	12	19 3 7	1	4 11	" " "	" " "	19 3 7	1 4 11	19 3 7	1 4 11	" " "	" " " "
Susinamarda Setu	184	" "	12	6 7 1	"	4 9	" " "	" " "	6 7 1	" 4 9	6 7 1	" 4 9	" " "	" " " "
Susinamardoo Setu	185	"	2 12	2 33 6	"	8 2	" " "	" " "	2 33 6	" 8 2	2 33 6	" 8 2	" " "	" " " "
		" "	"	202 32 1	16	8 9	79 35½	5 2 14	282 24 1½	22 1 7	188 7 6½	19 " 1½	" " "	" " " "

27th May 1850.

and Still to be retained for the Depôt.

Land.

Layak Bunjer land classed at the survey as having been cultivated within 20 years.		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the Survey as immemorial waste		Paranpoke or Hills and Land classed as unfit for cultivation.	Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
A. g. a	C. R. Fa	A. g. a	C. R. Fa	A. g. a	A. g. a	C. R. Fa	"
17 34 14	" 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 34 14	" 4 4	Since Pymaish
21 37 4	1 6 7	" " "	" " "	" 2 "	21 39 4	1 6 7	Dº
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 20 "	" 20 "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	11 37 8	" 2 15	" " "	11 37 8	" 2 15	Since Pymaish
" " "	" " "	6 16 4	" 1 8	" " "	6 16 4	" 1 8	Since Pymaish
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 25 "	2 25 "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7/32 "	7/32 "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
51 4 "	2 3 6½	18 13 12	" 4 7	11 2 "	80 19 12	2 7 13½	"

Fort St. George

Remains Dry land still to be

27th May 1850.

retained for the Depôt. (contd.)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Poliggy or lands of Villages servants.		Enam Total Survd Enam.		Enam land.		Total.		Number of years during which the land has lain waste	Total Circar and Enam.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Jody Enam Acres.	Jody Enam Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A. G. a	C P. F. a	A. G. a	C P. A. a	A. G. a	C P. F. a	A G. a	C P. F. a	A. G. a	C P. F. a	A. G. a	C P. F. a		A. G. a	C P. F. a
													17 34 14	4 4
													21 39 4	1 6 7
													20	
													11 37 8	2 15
													6 16 4	1 8
													2 25	
													9 32	
14		3 8	14		3 8								94 19 12	3 1 5/2

1352.

Fort St. George

Names of fields entered in the Survey accounts.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto Contained in the Farm. Circar. Acres.	Assessment in Conteroy Pagodas	Enam. Acres.	Assessment.	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Assessment.	Remains Dry. Circar. Terrim sag or land Cultivated at the time of the Survey. Acres.	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8	9	10	11	12	13
	No.	CP. Fa	A. G. a	CP. Fa	A. G. a	CP. Fa	A. G. a	CP. Fa	A. G. a	CP. Fa	A. G. a	CP. Fa
Anjanaya Swamy Surva Enam Nundadeevigy Mannyem	92	" " 8	" " "	" " "	26 27 "	1 2 9	24 27 "	1 2 9	24 27 "	1 2 9	" " "	" "
Chickadeeyavana Kola	93	" " 8	13 29 "	" 6 13½	" " "	" " "	13 29 "	" 6 13½	13 29 "	" 6 13½	" " "	" "
Carekollada anadi	94	" " 8	9 3 8	" 4 8½	" " "	" " "	9 3 8	" 4 8½	9 3 8	" 4 8½	" " "	" "
Joseyam Narasambatta Badapatti Kola	95	" " 8	" " "	" " "	4 39 8	" 2 8	4 39 8	" 2 8	4 39 8	" 2 8	" " "	" "
Curekola Setu Anadi	96	" " 8	12 26 "	" 6 5	" " "	" " "	12 26 "	" 6 5	12 26 "	" 6 5	" " "	" "
Doni Setue Anadi	97	" " 8	17 32 4	" 8 4½	" " "	" " "	17 32 4	" 8 4½	17 32 4	" 8 4½	" " "	" "
Begar Giriya Surva Enam Manneyada Kola	119	" " 8	" " "	" " "	4 37 "	" 2 6	4 37 "	" 2 6	4 37 "	" 2 6	" " "	" "
Goddanalina Setu	120	" " 8	9 16 "	" 4 11¼	" " "	" " "	9 16 "	" 4 11¼	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Alasuba Mokena Koba	121	" " 8	9 36 8	" 4 13¼	" " "	" " "	9 36 8	" 4 13¼	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
Cummar Jody Enam	122	" " 8	" " "	" " "	15 11 "	" 7 11½	15 11 "	" 7 11½	15 11 "	" 7 11½	" " "	" "
Makammana Kalada Kala	123	" " 8	25 32 "	1 2 14	" " "	" " "	25 32 "	1 2 14	25 32 "	1 2 14	" " "	" "
Joseyan Kursam Chetta Surva Enam	124	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	7 15 4	" 7 6	7 15 4	" 7 6	7 15 4	" 7 6	" " "	" "
Sinabhoja Bheemapah Jody Enam Karöra Mokina Kola	128	" 1 8	" " "	" " "	16 23 8	2 4 13	16 23 8	2 4 13	16 23 8	2 4 13	" " "	" "
Talavar Sunnakk Surva Enam	129	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	12 37 "	1 2 15	12 37 "	1 2 15	12 37 "	1 2 15	" " "	" "
D° Enam Surva Manneyam	130	" " 8	" " "	" " "	25 2 8	1 2 2	25 2 8	1 2 2	25 2 8	1 2 2	" " "	" "
[illegible]adi Banyer	131	" " 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11 11 "	" 5 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
D°	132	" " 8	11 11 "	" 5 10	" " "	" " "	44 1 "	2 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
		" " "	44 1 "	2 2 "	" " "	" " "						
		" " "	153 27 4	7 6 12	113 2 12	8 2 6½	265 20 "	15 9 2½	190 35 8	12 1 14	" " "	" "

27th. May 1850.

Lands still to be retained for the Depôt.-

Land.

Layah Bunjer land classed at the survey as having been cultivated within 20 years.		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the survey as immemorial waste.		Perampoke or Hill land classed as unfit for cultivation.		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.			Acres.	Assessment.	
14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
A. G. a	C P. F a	A. G. a	C P. F a	A G a		A. G a	C P. F a	"
" " "	" " " "	" " "	" " " "	" " "		" " "	" " " "	Since Pymash
" " "	" " " "	" " "	" " " "	" " "		" " "	" " " "	"

7353.

Fort St. George

27th May 1850.

Remaining Dry land still to be retained for the Depot. (Contd)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Ooliyy or lands of Villages Servants.		Enam Total Sirkar Enam		Land. Enam land. Jody Enam		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.	Total Cirkar and Enam.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A. G. a	C. P. F. a	A. G. a	C. P. F. a	A. G. a	C. P. F. a	A. G. a	C. P. F. a	A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a		A G a	C P F a

Name of Villages.

No. 232 - Harlegunahalli.

Name of fields as entered in the Survey accounts.	No. of Fields	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land Circar Acres.	Assessment in Cons: terory Pagodas.	land hitherto Contained in the Farm. Enam Acres.	Assessment	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Assessment.	Remains Dry land Circar Terrimsay or land cultivated at the time of the Survey. Acres	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			C P. Fa a	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa	A G a C P. Fa
Boollanagoudana Setue	1	" 4	8 34 8 8	13 6 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	34 8 8	13 6 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Basavanagoudana Jody Manneyem	2	" 4	" " " "	" " "	9 12 8	3 7 4	9 12 8	3 7 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Soogianaputti	3	" 4	16 34 "	6 6 7	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	16 34 "	6 6 7	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Corava Hanooma Vagira Talary Enam Surva Manigem	4	" 4	1 14 4	" " "	32 26 12	13 " 12	34 1 "	13 " 12	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Garasa Setu	5	" 4	13 32 "	5 5 7	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	13 32 "	5 5 7	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Vaddinachennapahna Setue	6	" 4	21 21 "	8 6 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	21 21 "	8 6 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Chennapana Setue	7	" 4	20 6 "	8 " 10	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	20 6 "	8 " 10	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Gulagajee Setue	8	" 4	10 9 4	3 5 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	10 9 4	3 5 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Hoggadamarada Setue	9	" 4	10 27 "	3 7 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	10 27 "	3 7 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Hoggadamarada Setue	10	" 4	11 34 8	4 7 8	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	11 34 8	4 7 8	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Collimarada Setue	11	" 2 8	11 10 "	2 8 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	11 10 "	2 8 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Haggada Setue	12	" 4	27 19 "	10 6 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	27 19 "	10 6 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Lakkimarada Setue	13	" 4	26 17 "	10 " 1	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	26 17 "	10 " 1	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Torreemarada Setue	14	" 4	18 23 "	7 " 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	18 23 "	7 " 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Moorooroodi Pattie Setue	15	" 4	19 20 "	7 8 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	19 20 "	7 8 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Chiccanaroo Setue	16	" 4	4 8 3	1 4 8	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	4 8 8	1 4 3	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "

27th May. 1850.

Land still to be retained for the Depôt.

Land. —

Layak Bunjer land classed at the survey as having been Cultivated within 20 years.		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the survey as immemorial waste.		Perambokes or Hills and Lands classed as unfit for Cultivation.	Total.		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
A. G. a	C. P. F. a	A. G. a	C. P. F. a	A. G. a	A. G. a	C. P. F. a	
33 37 8	18 6 "	" " "	" " "	" 11 "	34 8 8	13 6 "	Since Pymash
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
16 24 "	6 67	" " "	" " "	" 10 "	16 34 "	6 67	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 14 11	1 14 4	" " "	"
13 32 "	5 57	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 32 "	5 57	"
21 21 "	8 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21 21 "	8 6 "	"
20 6 "	8 " 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	20 6 "	8 " 10	"
8 31 12	3 54	" " "	" " "	1 17 8	19 9 4	3 54	"
9 11 8	3 72	" " "	" " "	1 . 15 8	10 27 "	3 72	"
11 34 8	4 78	" " "	" " "	" " "	11 34 8	4 78	"
" " "	" " "	11 10 "	2 82	" " "	10 10 "	2 82	"
26 21 "	10 62	" " "	" " "	" 38 "	27 19 "	10 62	"
25 1 "	10 " 1	" " "	" " "	1 16 "	26 17 "	10 " 1	"
17 21 "	7 " 2	" " "	" " "	1 2 "	18 23 "	7 " 2	"
19 20 "	7 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19 20 "	7 8 "	"
3 21 8	1 43	" " "	" " "	" 27 "	4 8 8	1 43	"

7355.

Fort St. George

27th May 1850

Remains Dry land. Still to be retained for the Depôt (Contd.)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosque		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Oodigy or lands of Village servants.		Sarva Enam.		Dry land Enam Total		Enam land		Jody Enam		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste	Total Circar and Enam	
Acres	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.		Acres	Assessment.
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33		34	35	36			
A. G. a	C. P. T. a	A. G. a	C. P. T. a	A. G. a	C. P. T. a	A. G. a	C. P. T. a	A. G. a	C. P. T. a	A. G. a	C. P. T. a			A. G. a	C. P. T. a			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	34 8 8	13 6 "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 12 8	3 7 4	" " "	" " "	9 12 8	3 7 4	9 12 8	3 7 4		"	9 12 8	3 7 4			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	16 3 4 "	6 6 7			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	32 26 12	13 " 12	32 26 12	13 " 12	" " "	" " "	32 26 12	13 " 12		"	34 1 "	13 " 12			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	13 32 "	5 5 7			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	21 21 "	8 6 "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	20 6 "	8 " 10			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	10 9 4	3 5 4			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	10 27 "	3 7 2			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	11 34 8	4 7 8			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	11 10 "	2 8 2			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	27 19 "	10 6 2			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	26 17 "	10 " 1			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	18 23 "	7 " 2			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	19 20 "	7 8 "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"	4 8 8	1 4 3			

7356

Fort St. Geo.

Names of Fields as entered in the Survey account	No. of Fields	Rate per Acre	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm Circar Acres	Assessment in Canteroy Pagodas	Enam Acres	Assessment	Total Acres	Assessment	Deduct land not now required for the Farm Acres	Assessment	Remarks Circar Farming or land Cultivated at the time of the survey Acres	Assessment
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Sanabhoga Vencapah survan Enam anuvinamarada seta	17		6 P. Fa. 4	A. fa. 5	C P. Fa.	A. fa.	C P. Fa. 20 12	A. fa. 8 1	C P. Fa. 20 12	A. fa. 8 1	C P. Fa.	A. fa.
Carady cullooseta	18		4 1429	5 7 1/4			1429	5 7 1/4				
Benchi seta	19		4 2936	11 3 8			2936	11 3 8				
Bunnehuttydaree Seta	20		28 1120	2 8 1/2			1120	2 8 1/2				
Maridi seta	21		28 5	1 2 8			5	1 2 8				
Paulukkimarda Seta	22		28 3622	9 1 1/4			3622	9 1 1/4				
Nadigara Seta	23		28 2815	6 8 14 1/2			2815	6 8 14 1/2				
Alankida Parumpoke	24		630				630					
Ditto Ditto	25		3244				3244					
Canavee bagul seta	26		28 610	1 5 10			610	1 5 10				
Carana hanooma Vazira Talary Sunnanaunegem Bellary seta	27		4 12				2637	10 7 12	279	10 7 12		
Collada Seta	28		28 1138	2 9 1/2			1138	2 9 1/2				
Calloo seta	29		28 1629	8 3 10			1629	8 3 10				
Linganoodra Seta	30		28 1014	2 5 14 1/2			1014	2 5 14 1/2				
Coradinapitty Seta	31		28 149	3 4 4			149	3 4 4				
Hanoomuntarayana Moky Seta	32		28 1625	3 8 8			1625	3 8 8				

No. 32 Hanoomantarayana Moky Seta

7th Mar 1850.

Lands still to be retained for the Depot.

Lands

Puyak Bunjer Lands classed at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 years		Anadi Bunjer lands classed at the Survey as immemorial waste		Param poke or Hills and Lands classed as unfit for culti-vation		Total		Number of years during which the lands has lain waste
Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres		Acres	Assessment	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		21
A Z a	P F a	A Z a	P F a	A Z a	A Z a	P F a		
" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "		"
14 18 "	5 7 14	" " "		" 1 "	14 29 "	5 7 14		"
28 14 8	11 3 8	" " "		1 2 18	29 36 "	11 3 8		"
" " "	" " "	11 20 "	2 8 12	" " "	11 20 "	2 8 12		"
" " "	" " "	5 " "	1 28	" " "	5 " "	1 28		"
36 28	9 1 4	" " "		" 18	36 22 "	9 1 4		"
27 17 8	6 8 14½	" " "		" 37	8 28 15 "	6 8 14½		"
" " "	" " "	" " "		6 30	6 30 "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "		3 24 4	3 24 4	" " "		"
6 10 "	1 5 10	" " "		" " "	6 10 "	1 5 10		"
" " "	" " "	" " "		" 12 "	" 12 "	" " "		"
4 24 8	2 9½	" " "		" 13	8 11 38 "	2 9½		"
15 22 10	3 8 10	" " "		1 6 4	16 29 8	3 8 10		"
10 14 8	2 5 14½	" " "		" " "	10 14 8	2 5 14½		"
1 3 28 "	3 4 4	" " "		" 21 "	14 9 "	3 4 4		"
15 20 "	3 8 8	" " "		" 15 "	16 25 "	3 8 8		"

Fort St. Geo[rge] 7th May 1850

Remains Dry land &c. to be retained for the Depot (Cont[d])

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques		Durmadayem or charity lands		Poligy or lands of Village Servants		Sirva enam		Enam land Jodi enam		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste	Total Circar and Enam	
Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment	Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment	Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment	Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment	Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment	Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment		Acres	A[s]e[s]=ment
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A ɣ a	C P. ɲ a	A ɣ a	C P ɲ a	A ɣ a	C P ɲ a	A ɣ a	C P ɲ a	A ɣ a	C P ɲ a	A ɣ a	C P. ɲ a		A ɣ a	C P ɲ a

7358

Fort St. George

Name of Villages	No. of Fields	Name of Fields as entered in the Survey Accounts	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm: Circar Acres	Aflefs-ment in con-tery Pagodas	Enam Acres	Aflefs-ment	Total Acres	Aflefs-ment	Deduct land not now required for the Farm Acres	Aflefs-ment	Remains Circar Terrinsag or land cultivated at the time of the survey Acres	Aflefs-ment
1	2			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a
	33	Anjanaya Swamy Surva enam Hanoomappana Monneya Seta		- 1 12	- 12 12	- - -	15 20 4	2 8 12	16 3 -	2 8 12	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	34	Basavanagouda Jody enam Ambaly Monneya Addapuitte Seta		- 4 -	- - -	- - -	27 17 4	10 9 14½	27 17 -	10 9 14½	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	37	Boreemaruda Seta		- 4 -	24 10 -	9 7 -	- - -	- - -	24 10 -	9 7 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	35	Addapuitti Seta		- 4 -	16 39 -	6 6 12	- - -	- - -	16 39 -	6 6 12	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	36	— Ditto — Ditto		- 4 -	19 22 -	7 7 2	- - -	- - -	19 22 -	7 7 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	38	Maradee Stala		- 28	11 11 -	2 8 3	- - -	- - -	11 11 -	2 8 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	39	Potaspana Monneya Surva enam		- 28	- 17 -	- - -	17 31 13	4 4 8	17 33 4	4 4 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	40	Basavana Gouda Joody enam Gowdeky Moneya Stala		- 28	- 4 -	- - -	23 17 4	5 8 9	23 21 4	5 8 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	41	Alaheda Parumpoke		- - -	1 32 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 32 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	42	Nadigara setu		- 4 -	15 29 8	6 - 2	- - -	- - -	15 29 8	6 - 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
		Cavali Setu		- 4 -	16 35 -	6 7 8	- - -	- - -	16 35 -	6 7 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	44	Chickaunana Setu		- 4 -	3 35 8	1 3 5	- - -	- - -	3 35 8	1 3 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	45	Chickaunana Setu Anadi Bunjer		- 28	1 14 -	- 3 6	- - -	- - -	1 14 -	- 3 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
				- - -	573 15 1	190 9 1½	173 14 9	59 8 8½	746 29 10	250 7 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

No. 32 Hatgamall (continued)

7th " May 1850

y lands still to be retained for the Depôt

Land

Bayak Bunjer land classed at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 years		Anadi Bunjer lands classed at the Survey as immemorial waste		Param poke Hilly and land classed as unfit for cultiva-tion		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste
Acres	Assess-ment	Acres	Assess-ment	Acres	Assess-ment	Acres	Assess-ment	
14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
A g a	C P Ra	A g a	C P Ra	A g a		A g a	C P Ra	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	22 12	" 22 12	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	"
24 10 "	9 7 "	" " "	" " "	" "	24	10 "	9 7 "	"
16 27 "	6 6 12	" " "	" " "	"	12 "	16 39 "	6 6 12	"
19 11 "	7 7 2	" " "	" " "	"	11 "	19 22 "	7 7 2	"
" " "	" " "	11 11 "	2 8 3	" "		11 11 "	2 8 3	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	17 "	17	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	4 "	4 "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	132 "	132 "	" " "	"
15 18	6 " 2	" " "	" " "	"	28 "	15 29 8	6 " 2	"
16 35 "	6 7 8	" " "	" " "	" "		16 35 "	6 7 8	"
3 2 3 8	1 3 5	" " "	" " "	"	12 "	3 3 5 8	1 3 5	"
" " "	" " "	1 14 "	" 3 6	" "		1 14 "	" 3 6	"
503 20	14 18 0 8	2½	40 15 "	10 " 15		29 19	3 5 7 3 1 5 1	190 9 1½

The land is bunjer sikar Pymash

7359

Fort St. George

Remains Dry Land

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques		Durmadayem or Charity lands		Poligy or lands of Village servants		Survaenam Total		Jody enam		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste	Total Cowl and enam	
Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment		Acres	Assessment
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A ℔ a	C P Fa	A ℔ a	C P Fa	A ℔ a	C P Fa	A ℔ a	C P Fa	A ℔ a	C P Fa	A ℔ a	C P Fa		A ℔ a	C P Fa
15 20 4	2 8 12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 20 4	2 8 12	" " "	" " "	15 20 4	2 8 12	"	15 3 "	2 8 12
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27 17 "	10 9 14½	27 17 "	10 9 14½	27 17 "	10 9 14½	27 17 "	10 9 14½	"	27 17 "	10 9 14½
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	24 10 "	9 7 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	16 39 "	6 6 12
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	19 22 "	7 7 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	11 11 "	2 8 3
17 31 13	4 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 31 13	4 4 8	" " "	" " "	17 31 13	4 4 8	"	17 33 4	4 4 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 31 13	4 4 8	" " "	" " "	17 31 13	4 4 8	"	23 24 4	5 8 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23 17 4	5 8 9	" " "	" " "	23 17 4	5 8 9	23 17 4	5 8 9	"	1 32 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	15 29 8	6 " 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	16 35 4	6 7 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	3 35 8	1 3 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	1 4 "	" " 3 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
33 12 1	7 3 4	" " "	" " "	140 28	52 5 4½	113 7 13	39 2 13	60 6 12	20 5 11½	173 14 9	59 8 8½	This land is Bunjer since Pymaish	746 2 10	250 7 10

27th May 1850

Still to be retained for the Depot (Contd)

land
Enam land

Fort St. George

Name of Fields as entered in the Survey Account	No. of Fields	Rate per Acre	Total Quantity of Circar: Acres	Circar Assessment in Conteroy Pagodas	Land hitherto contained in the Farm: Enam Acres	Enam Assessment	Total Acres	Total Assessment	Deduct land not now required for the Farm: Acres	Deduct Assessment	Remain Circar: Acres	Remain Circar Assessment
											Terrinsaj or land cultivated at the time of the Survey	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		CP Fa	A f a	CP Fa	A f a	CP Fa	A f a	CP Fa	A f a	CP Fa	A f a	CP Fa
Layak Bunjer stala	74	" " 8	17 28 "	" " 8 13½	" " "	" " "	17 28 "	" " 8 13½	17 28 "	" " 8 13½	" " "	" " "
Anadi Bunjer stala	75	" " 8	6 11 "	" " 32	" " "	" " "	6 11 "	" " 32	6 11 "	" " 32	" " "	" " "
		" " "	23 39 "	1 1 15½	" " "	" " "	23 39 "	1 1 12½	23 39 "	1 1 15½	" " "	" " "
Foommala Chenoe — Part	5	" " 8	60 10 "	3 " 2	" " "	" " "	60 10 "	3 " 2	60 10 "	3 " 2	" " "	" " "
Vunca stalum Anadi Bunjer	6	" " 8	14 30 "	7 1 6	" " "	" " "	14 30 "	7 1 6	14 30 "	7 1 6	" " "	" " "
Anjanaya Swamy Surva Enam	7	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	11 20 "	1 1 8	11 20 "	1 1 8	11 20 "	1 1 8	" " "	" " "
Vunca Anadi stalum — P.	8	" " 8	47 10 "	2 3 10	" " "	" " "	47 10 "	2 3 10	47 10 "	2 3 10	" " "	" " "
Jackummona chenom — P.	14	" 1 8	5 " 4 "	" 7 8	" " "	" " "	5 " 4 "	" 7 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Badavani stala	15	" 1 8	13 39 "	2 1 "	" " "	" " "	13 39 "	2 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Veyapananni stala — P.	16	" 1 8	8 9 "	1 1 2	" " "	" " "	8 9 "	1 1 2	8 9 "	1 1 2	" " "	" " "
Cheemanadoni stalu	18	" 1 "	51 " "	5 1 "	" " "	" " "	51 " "	5 1 "	51 " "	5 1 "	" " "	" " "
Josegam Pudiabhutta Surva enam	21	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	39 8 "	3 9 3	39 8 "	3 9 3	39 8 "	3 9 3	" " "	" " "
Bhatavurty Poornachary Enam	22	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	26 " "	26 " "	26 " "	26 " "	26 " "	26 " "	" " "	" " "
Anady Bunjer	23	" " 8	13 32 8	6 14½	" " "	" " "	13 32 8	6 14½	13 32 8	6 14½	" " "	" " "
Chouta Stala	30	" " 8	45 34 "	4 5 14	" " "	" " "	45 34 "	4 5 14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Hulladanadiny Stala	33	" 1 8	3 6 10 "	4 12	" " "	" " "	3 6 10 "	4 12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Doggalamanni Chenu	37	" 1 "	4 11 "	3 9 4	" " "	" " "	4 11 "	3 9 4	4 11 "	3 9 4	" " "	" " "

27th = May 1850

Dry land still to be retained for the Depôt

Land

Layak Bunjer lands Cleared at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 years		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the Survey as immemorial waste		Parangpoke or Hills and Lands classed as unfit for cultivation		Total		Number of years during which the land has lain waste
Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres		Acres	Assessment	
14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
A g a	C P Rs a	A g a	C P Rs a	A g a		A g a	C P Rs a	

7361

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Remains Dry lands etc to be retained for the Depot (Cont.)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques		Durmadayem or Charity lands		Poligy or lands of Village servants		Enc Fo Survn enam		Enam Land Jody Enam-		Total		Number of years during which the land has been waste-	Total Kiear and Enam	
Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment		Acres	Assessment
22		23		24		25		26		27		28		29
A	G a	C P	F a	A	G a	C P	F a	A	G a	C P	F a	A	G a	P P
30		31		32		33		34		35		36-		
A	G a	C P	F a	A	G a	C P	F a			A	G a	C P	F a	

7362 11

Fort St. George

Names of Villages—

No. 70. Erabanahully (Continued)

Names of the Fields as entered in the Survey accounts.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of Land hitherto contained in the Farm: Circar: Acres.	Circar: Assessment.	Enam: Acres.	Enam: Assessment.	Total: Acres.	Total: Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm: Acres.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm: Assessment.	Terrinsago cultivated at time of the
											Acres.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Toggalimarada Seta	38	8	31 31 10	1 5 4			31 31 10	1 5 4	31 31 10	1 5 4	
Paramposke Vunca Stalum	39		1 26				1 26		1 26		
Anadi Bunjer	40	8	38 34	1 9 7			38 34	1 9 7			
Madukunagi Desayakee Mannaya Stala	41	8	42 23 4	2 1 4½			42 23 4	2 1 4½	42 23 4	2 1 4½	
Gootta Anadi stala	42	1 8	57 38	2 5 16½			57 38	2 5 16½			
Aremarada Hola	43	8	44 3	2 2 1			44 3	2 2 1			
Arigimani puttee	44	1	31 36 4	3 1 3			31 36 4	3 1 3			
Gooddada stala	45	8	117 29 4	5 8 14			117 29 4	5 8 14			31 8 4 3
Giddanagondana Joody ---- Inamneyam	46	1 8	1 15		28 12 6	4 2 7	29 27 6	4 2 7	29 27 6	4 2 7	
Sanabhoga Vencapah Surva Enam stala	47	1 8	23		167	2 4 4	16 30	2 4 4	16 30	2 4 4	
Talee Gidada Anadi	53	8	78 26 10	3 9 5¼			78 26 10	3 9 5¼	78 26 10	3 9 5¼	
Dauriki Bhurma Enam	54	1			10 30	1 12	10 30	1 12			
Anadi Bunjer Stala	60	8	129 30	6 4 4			129 30	6 4 14	129 30	6 4 14	
			1003 18 6	61 12¾	131 37 6	15 4 2	1135 15 12	76 4 11¾	870 39 6	53 6 15¼	31 8 4 3

27th May 1850.—

Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Depôt.—

Circar Land.

Layak Bunjer land classed at the survey as having been cultivated within 20 years		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the survey immemorial waste.—		Paramposhe or Hills and lands classed as unfit for cultivation.		Total.		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.		Acres.	Assessment.	
14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
A G a	R P F a	A G a	R P F a	A G a		A G a	R P F a	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	Bunjer since 1210 F.sly.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	Do.
" " "	" " "	51 38 "	2 5 15½	" " "		51 38 "	2 5 15½	Do.
" " "	" " "	44 3 "	2 2 1	" " "		44 3 "	2 2 1	Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	28 "		31 36 4	3 1 3	Do.
" " "	" " "	117 29 4	5 8 14	" " "		117 29 4	5 8 14	Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
67 39 14	7 9 2	213 30 4	10 6 14½	" 28 "		313 26 6	21 7 3½	

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Deposit (Cont.)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Ooliyy or lands of Village Servants.		Sarva Enam		Enam Land.				Total Enam Land.				Number of Years during which the land has lain waste.	Total Circar and Enam		
								Jody Enam.		Total.									
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.				Acres.	Assessment.	
22	23		24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36				
A g a	b P F a	A g a	b P F a	A g a	b P F a	A g a	b P F a	A g a	b P F a	A g a	b P F a	A g a	b P F a				A g a	b P F a	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"			" " "	" " "

7364

Fort St. George

Names of Villages

No. 72. Godalore

Names of fields as entered in the Survey Account.	No. of Fields	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm: Circar. Acres.	Assessment in Canteroy Pagodas.	Enam. Acres.	Assessment.	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Assessment.	Remainder cultivated at time of the Survey. Acres.	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			C P Fa A Ga	C P Fa	A Ga	C P Fa	A Ga	C P Fa	A Ga	C P Fa	A Ga	C P Fa
Vunca stala	26		1 34				1 34					
Goolgirja Inaradee stala	P27	1	1 37	1 13			1 37	1 13				
Vunca Paramsioke	28		2 5 8				2 5 8					
Ausinimarda stala	P29	1	4 2	4			4 2	4				
Arigina Inarda stala	part 30	1	8	12			8	12				
Toranagullo chenoo stala	P31	1	5	5			5	5				
Regadagootta stala	P32	1	9 12 4	9 4			9 12 4	9 4				
Summanarda stala	P33	1	7 27 8	7 5			7 27 8	7 5				
Goolla chenoo stala	34		22 23	1 14			22 23	1 14				
Paramsioke Vunca	35		1 21				1 21					
Carivi chenoo stala	36	1	9 27	9 5			9 27	9 5				
Paramsioke Vunca	37		5 23				5 23					
Carivi chenoo stala	38	1	9 25	1 3 14			9 25	1 3 14				
Paramsioke Wuddoodova	39		37				37					
Carivee Goolla chenoo stala	40		2 34 14	1 8			2 34 14	1 8			7 8 14	
Arina [illegible]arada stala	59	2	7 8 14	1 4 8			7 8 14	1 4 8				
Paramsioke Vunca	60		1 8				1 8					
Ausinarada Gaddu stala	61	1	4 38 4	7 8			4 38 4	7 8				

27th May 1850.—

Remains Dry Land still to be returned for the Depot.—

Circar Land.

Laxak Bunjer land classed at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 Years.		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the Survey as immemorial waste.		Paramposke or Hills and Lands classed as unfit for Cultivation		Total.		Number of Years during which the land has lain waste
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.		Acres.	Assessment.	
14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a	A G a		A G a	C P F a	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 34 "		1 34 "	" " "	
1 37 "	" 1 13	" " "	" " "	" " "		1 37 "	" 1 13	40 Years Bunjer
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 2 5 8		2 5 8 "	" " "	
4 2 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "		4 2 "	" 4 "	35 Do. Do.
8 " "	1 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "		8 " "	1 2 "	38 Do. Do.
5 " "	" 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "		5 " "	" 5 "	25 Do. Do.
9 12 4	" 9 4	" " "	" " "	" " "		9 12 4	" 9 4	40 Do. Do.
7 27 8	" 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "		7 27 8	" 7 5	40 Do. Do.
" " "	" " "	22 23 "	1 1 4	" " "		22 23 "	1 1 4	Since Pymash
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 21 "		1 21 "	" " "	"
9 27 "	" 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "		9 27 "	" 9 5	40 Years Bunjer
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 23 "		5 23 "	" " "	"
9 10 "	1 3 14	" " "	" " "	" 15 "		9 25 "	1 3 14	Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 37 "		37 "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	2 34 14	" 1 8	" " "		2 34 14	" 1 8	Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		7 8 4	" 1 4 8	20 Do.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 " 8		1 " 8	" " "	"
4 38 4	" 7 8	" " "	" " "	" " "		4 38 4	" 7 8	45 Do.

Fort St. George

27th May 1850.

Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Depôt (Contd)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Dharmadayem or Charity lands.		Oodigy or lands of Village Servants.		Sarva Enam		Total Enam Land.		Total.		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.	Total Circar and Enams	
Acres	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36.
A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a	A G a	C P F a		A G a	C P F a
													1 34 -	" " "
													1 37 -	" 1 13
													2 5 8	" " "
													4 2 -	" 4 -
													8 -	1 2 -
													5 " "	" 5 "
													9 12 4	" 9 4
													7 27 8	" 7 5
													22 23 -	1 1 4
													1 21 "	" " "
													9 27 -	" 9 5
													5 23 -	" " "
													9 25 "	1 3 14
													" 37	" " "
													2 34 14	" 1 8
													7 8 4	" 1 4 8
													1 " 8	" " "
													4 38 4	" 7 8

7366

Fort St. George 27

Name of the Village

Number of Fields as entered in the Survey Accounts	No. of Fields	Rate of Acres	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm. Circar. Acres.	Assessment in Canteroy Pagodas	Enam. Acres.	Assessment.	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Assessment.	Remaining or land cultivated at the time of the survey. Acres.	Assessment
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a	A G a cP F a
Paramposke Vunca	62	" 1 "	1 1 " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	1 1 " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Timmarayara Mardee Mala	63	" 3 8	10 21 4 3 6 12	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	10 21 4 3 6 12	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Paramposke Vunca	64	" " "	2 3 4 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	2 3 4 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Chinnamaradi Mala	65	" 2 "	17 8 14 3 2 12	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	17 8 14 3 21 12	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	15 15 14 3 2 12	" " " " " "
Paramposke Vunka	75	" " "	2 19 - " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	2 19 - " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Bavinamarada Malaru	77	" " "	" 26 4 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" 26 4 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Paramposke Vunka	80	" " "	1 27 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	1 27 - " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Anjanayaswamy Enam	81	" 18 "	2 " " " "	21 12 10 3 2 -	21 14 10 3 2 -	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Paramposke Vunka	82	" " "	1 23 12 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	1 23 12 " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Kuddimi Anantabhutla Luswa Enam	83	" 2 "	" 1 " " " "	16 2 10 3 2 2	16 3 10 3 2 2	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Paramposke Vunka	84	" " "	27 " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" 27 " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
		" " "	143 36 8 16 16 13	37 15 4 6 4 2	187 11 2 23 " 15	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	22 24 2 4 7 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Anadi Bunjer Mala	26	" " 8	11 9 4 " 59 3/4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	11 9 4 " 59 3/4	11 9 4 " 59 3/4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Timbanamarada Mala	27	" 1 "	20 11 - 2 " 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	20 11 - 2 " 4	20 11 - 2 " 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Hanoomapana Mala	28	" 3 -	7 30 12 2 3 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	7 30 12 2 3 4	7 30 12 2 3 4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
		" " "	39 11 - 4 9 1 3/4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	39 11 - 4 9 1 3/4	39 11 - 4 9 1 3/4	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Cavalicar Adigamula Beda Mala	72	" " 8	33 16 8 1 6 11	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	33 16 8 1 6 11	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Cavali Gooddadamula Beda Mala	73	" " 8	1 39 8 " 1 11	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	1 39 8 " 1 "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
Gooddadamula Mala	74	" " 8	33 16 8 " 1 11	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	3 16 8 " 1 11	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "
		" " "	38 32 8 1 9 6	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	38 32 8 1 9 6	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "

Coodala

No. 72 Chinka Mahapura

No. 73 Singapalapalle

27th May 1850.

Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Depot.

Circar Land.																					
Layak Bunjer land classed at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 years.				Anadi Bunjer land classed at the Survey as immemorial waste.				Paramputtee or Hills and Lands classed as unfit for Cultivation.				Total.				Number of Years during which the land has lain waste.	
Acres.		Assessment.		Acres.		Assessment.		Acres.				Acres.		Assessment.			
14		15		16		17		18				19		20		21	
A	G a	b P	F a	A	G	b P	F a	A	G a			A	G a	b P	F a		
									1 1			1 1					
									1			10	2	4	3 6 12	45 Years	Bunjer
10	2 0	4	3 6 12						2 3	4		2 3	4				
									1 33	3		17	8	14	3 2 12	24 Years	Bunjer
									2 19			2 19					
									26	4		26	4				
									1 27			1 27					
									2			2					
									1 23	12		1 23	12				
									1			1					
									27	4		27					
70	14 4	10	6 13	25	17 4	1	2 12	25	20	4		114	33	6 8	16 16 3		
				33	16 8	1	6 11					33	16 8	1	6 11	20 Years	Bunjer
1	39 8		1									1	39 8		1		Do.
3	16 8		1 11									3	16 8		1 11		Do.
5	16		2 11	33	16 8	1	0 11					38	32 8	1	9 6		

7367

Fort St. Geor

Remains Dry land stated

Enam Land.

27th May 1850.

retained for the Depôt (Cont.)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and mosques.		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Ooliyy or lands of Village Servants.		Survey Enam Land.		Jody Enam.		Total.		Number of Years during which the land has lain waste.	Total Circar and Enam.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment
22	23	24	25	26	27	28		30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A G a	R P F a	A G a	R P F a	A G a	R P F a	A G a		A G a	R P F a	A G a	R P F a		A G a	R P F a
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	1 1 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	10 21 4	3 6 12
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	2 3 4	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	17 8 14	3 2 12
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	2 19 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" 26 4	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	1 27 "	" " "
21 12 10	3 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	21 12 10	3 2 "	18 Years Buryer	21 14 10	3 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21 12 10	3 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	1 23 12	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 D: D:	16 3 10	3 2 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		16 2 10	3 2 2	" " "	" " "	"	" 27 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	181 11 12	23 " 15
21 12 10	3 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	37 15 4	6 4 2	"	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	33 16 8	1 6 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	139 8 "	1 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	3 16 8	" 1 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	38 32 8	1 9 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"		

D.G.

7368

Fort St. George

Names of Villages.	Names of Fields as entered in the Survey accounts.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm. Circar. Acres.	Assessment in Canteroy Pagodas.	Enam. Acres.	Assessment.	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Assessment.	Terrin laig or land cultivated at the time of the Survey. Acres.	Assessment.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			C. P. F. a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a	A y a	C P F a
Annamalitty.	Anadi Stala	95	" " 12	111 " "	8 3 4	" " "	" " "	111 " "	8 3 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Collada Hondi stala Talawar Podeu Enam	Part of 124	" " 12	" " "	" " "	6 20 "	" 4 14	6 20 "	" 4 14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Antaporada marga stala	P 125	" " 12	13 20 "	1 " 2	" " "	" " "	13 20 "	1 " 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
No. 88.	Nerrocollada Stala	P. 96	" " 12	9 34 "	" " 7 6	" " "	" " "	9 34 "	" " 7 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Caraculloo cheroo Stala	P. 127	" 2 12	5 25 "	1 5 6	" " "	" " "	5 25 "	1 5 6	" " "	" " "	5 25 "	1 5 6
	Ingaleghee Kenchana cheroo	P. 128	" " 12	7 20 "	" 5 6			7 20 "	" 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		4	" " "	147 19 "	12 1 8	6 20 "	" 4 14	153 39 "	12 6 6	" " "	" " "	5 25 "	1 5
	Jackanamatti Setu	10	" 1 "	15 5 11	1 5 2¼	" " "	" " "	15 5 11	1 5 2¼	15 5 11	1 5 2¼	" " "	" " "
	Ditto Ditto	11	" 1 "	10 13 15	1 " 5½	" " "	" " "	10 13 15	1 " 5½	10 13 15	1 " 5½	" " "	" " "
Hosahully.	Joseyen Padmabhutto Surva Enam Regd Statum	12	" " 12	" " "	" " "	18 32 10	1 4 1	18 32 10	1 4 1	18 32 10	1 4 1	" " "	" " "
	Jackanamatti Setu	13	" 1 "	20 30 "	2 " 12	" " "	" " "	20 30 "	2 " 12	20 30 "	2 " 12	" " "	" " "
	Goutta Setu Stala	14	" " 12	45 37 10	3 4 6½	" " "	" " "	45 37 10	3 4 6½	45 37 10	3 4 6½	" " "	" " "
	Hulla Setu Stala	15	" " 12	14 20 "	1 " 14	" " "	" " "	14 20 "	1 " 14	14 20 "	1 " 14	" " "	" " "
	Dove Setu Stala	16	" " 12	47 26 2	3 5 5½	" " "	" " "	47 26 2	3 5 5½	47 26 2	3 5 5½	" " "	" " "
No. 92.	Hullada Setu stala	17	" 1 8	20 4 12	3 " 3	" " "	" " "	20 4 12	3 " 3	20 4 12	3 " 3	" " "	" " "
	Jumbinasy setu	18	" 1 8	20 34 8	3 1 2	" " "	" " "	20 34 8	3 1 2	20 34 8	3 1 2	" " "	" " "
	Alahida Parumpok Goutta setu statum	19	" " "	490 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	490 12 "	" " "	490 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "

27th May 1850.—

Circar Land.

Zayaki Bunjer land classed at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 Years.		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the Survey as immemorial waste		Parasmpoke or Hills and lands classed as unfit for Cultivation.		Total.		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.		Assessment.		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		21
A G a	£ P Fs a	A G a	A G a	A G a	A G a	£ P Fs a		
" " "	" " "	111 " "	8 3 4	" " "	111 " "	8 3 4		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	13 20 "	1 " 2	" " "	13 20 "	1 " 2		"
9 34 "	" 7 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 34 "	" 7 6		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 25 "	1 5 6		"
" " "	" " "	7 20 "	5 6	" " "	7 20 "	" 5 6		"
9 34 "	" 7 6	132 " "	9 8 12	" " "	147 19 "	12 1 8		"

Fort St. George

Remains Dry Land Enam

27th May 1850.

till to be retained for the Depôt (Contd)

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Poligy or lands of Village Servants		Total Dry Land.		Jody Enam.		Total.		Number of Years during which the land has lain waste.	Total Dry and Enam	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.
22 A G a	23 R P F a	24 A G a	25 R P F a	26 A G a	27 R P F a	28 A G a	R P F a	30 A G a	31 R P F a	32 A G a	33 R P F a	34	35 A G a	36 R P F a
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	"	111 " "	8 3 4
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	6 20 "	" 4 14	6 20 "	" 4 14	" " " "	" " " "	6 20 "	" 4 14	"	6 20 "	" 4 14
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	"	13 20 "	1 " 2
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	"	9 34 "	" 7 6
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	"	5 25 "	1 5 6
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	"	7 20 "	" 5 6
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	6 20 "	" 4 14	"	153 39 "	12 6 6
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	"	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

Names of Villages.

Names of Fields as entered in the Survey Accounts.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm. Circar. Assessment in Canteray Pagodas. Acres.	Assessment.	Enam. Acres.	Assessment.	Total. Acres.	Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Assessment.	Remainder as estimated at the time of the Survey. Acres.	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		b P F a	A y a	b P F a	A y a	b P F a	A y a	b P F a	A y a	b P F a	A y a	b P F a
Syngereddy setu Atalum	20	" 1 "	23 37 8	2 3 15	" " "	" " "	23 37 8	2 3 15	23 37 8	2 3 15	" " "	" " "
Talawar Canaka Joody Enam Regud Setu	21	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	44 38 8	4 4 15	44 38 8	4 4 15	44 38 8	4 4 15	" " "	" " "
Iyanagowdana Joody Enam conamaradi Gootta Setu	22	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	56 13 7	5 6 5	56 13 7	5 6 5	56 13 7	5 6 5	" " "	" " "
Nadmala Setu Atala	23	" 1 "	47 3 7	4 7 1½	" " "	" " "	47 3 7	4 7 1½	47 3 7	4 7 1½	" " "	" " "
Sanabhoga Vencatah Surna Enam Hanoomanah Setu atala	39	" 1 "	" " "	" " "	57 11 2	5 4 4½	57 11 2	5 4 4½	57 11 2	5 4 4½	" " "	" " "
Anjanaya Swamy Surna Enam Collada Setu	40	" 1 8	" " "	" " "	19 10 9	2 8 14	19 10 9	2 8 14	19 10 9	2 8 14	" " "	" " "
Alahida Paramsoke Goonta atala	42	" " "	30 17 10	3 " 7	" " "	" " "	30 17 10	3 " 7	30 17 10	3 " 7	" " "	" " "
		" " "	137 28 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	137 28 "	" " "	137 28 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Anadi Bunjer	5	" " 8	924 31 3	28 9 10¼	193 26 4	19 8 7½	1118 17 7	148 8 1¾	1118 17 7	148 8 1¾	" " "	" " "
Alahida Parumpoke Paso chama Ilagondi Setu	21	" 1 "	120 8 8	6 " 5¾	" " "	" " "	120 8 8	6 " 5¾	80 " "	4 " "	" " "	" " "
Ditto Setu	22	" 1 "	38 21 6	3 8 9	" " "	" " "	38 21 6	3 8 9	38 21 6	3 8 9	" " "	" " "
		" " "	30 5 6	3 " 2	" " "	" " "	30 5 6	3 " 2	30 5 6	3 " 2	" " "	" " "
		" " "	68 26 12	6 8 11	" " "	" " "	68 26 12	6 8 11	68 26 12	6 8 11	" " "	" " "

No. 92. Hoodanoor Pully (Contd.)

7370

27th May 1850.—

Remains Dry land still to be retained for the Defrd.

Circar Land.

Layak Burjer land classed at the Survey as having been culti: vated within 20 Years.		Anadi Burjer Land classed at the Survey immemorial waste.		Parmpoke or Hills and lands classed as unfit for Cultivation.		Total.		Number of years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assess: ment	Acres.	Assess: ment.	Acres.		Assess: ments		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		21
A G a	R P F a	A G a	A G a	A G a	A G a	R P F a		
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	40 8 8	2 " 5¾	" " "	40 8 8	2 " 5¾		From the time of Pymash Biswas Anadi Burjit.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		"

Fort St. George

Remains Dry land still

27th May 1850.

to be retained for the Depôt (Contd)

Land.

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Durmadayem or Charity lands.		Oolgy or lands of Village Servants.		Sarva Enam.		Enam Total.		Jody Enam.		Total.		Number of Years during which the land has lain waste.	Total Cercar and Enams	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.		Acres.	Assessment.
22	23		24	25	26		27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A G a	b P	F a	A G a	b P	F a	A G a	b P	F a	A G a	b P	F a	A G a	b P	F a		A G a	b P	F a
" " "	" "	" "	" " "	" "	" "	" " "	" "	" "	" " "	" "	" "	" " "	" "	" "	"	" " "	" "	" "

7372.

Fort St. George

Number of Villages

Names of Fields as entered in the Survey accounts.	No. of Fields.	Rate per Acre.	Total Quantity of land hitherto contained in the Farm. Circar. Acres.	Circar. Assessment in Canteroy Pagodas.	Enam. Acres.	Enam. Assessment	Total. Acres	Total. Assessment.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Acres.	Deduct land not now required for the Farm. Assessment.	Terrin Aag or land cultivated at the time of the survey. Acres.	Terrin Aag or land cultivated at the time of the survey. Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Abstract of Village Total &c.												
26 Wudd	"	"	61 35 11	3 " 11	"	"	61 35 11	3 " 11	61 35 11	3 " 11	"	"
30 Teranagulloo	"	"	202 32 1	16 8 9	79 35 5½	5 2 14	282 27 1½	22 1 7	188 7 5½	19 " 1½	"	"
31 Ingaligher	"	"	153 27 4	7 6 12	111 32 12	8 2 6½	265 20 "	15 9 2½	190 35 8	12 1 14	"	"
32 Hatiganahall	"	"	573 15 1	190 9 1½	173 14 9	59 8 8½	746 29 10	250 7 10	"	"	"	"
60 Antapoora	"	"	23 39 "	1 1 15½	"	"	23 39 "	1 1 15½	23 39 "	1 1 15½	"	"
70 Erabanahully	"	"	1003 18 6	61 " 12¾	131 37 6	15 4 2	1135 15 12	76 4 14¾	810 39 6	53 6 15¼	31 8 4	3 1 5
72 Kodala	"	"	143 36 8	16 6 13	37 15 4	6 4 2	181 11 12	23 " 15	"	"	22 24 2	4 7
73 Chicka Antapoora	"	"	39 11 "	4 9 13¾	"	"	39 11 "	4 9 1½	39 11 "	4 9 1¾	"	"
76 Lingadahully	"	"	38 32 8	1 9 6	"	"	38 32 8	1 9 6	"	"	"	"
88 Bussneehutter	"	"	117 19 "	12 1 8	6 20 "	4 14	153 39 "	12 6 6	"	"	6 25 "	1 5
92 Hosahully	"	"	924 31 3	28 9 10¼	193 26 4	19 8 7½	1118 17 7	148 8 1¾	1118 17 7	148 8 1¾	"	"
93 Moosanakenhully	"	"	120 8 8	6 " 5¾	"	"	120 8 8	6 " 5¾	80 " "	4 " "	"	"
94 Appalapoorum	"	"	68 26 12	6 8 11	"	"	68 26 12	6 8 11	68 26 12	6 8 11		
Total			3,502 12 14	358 3 5½	734 21 3½	115 5 6½	4236 34 1½	473 8 12	2582 12 1½	153 7 7¾	59 17 6	9 3
Hills situated within the limits of the Villages of Arabanahully and Hosahully	"	"	2,265 " "	"	"	"	2265 " "	"	2265 " "	"	"	"
Hills in the limits of the Villages &c. of Kodala and Huttiganahall	"	"	1,291 8 "	"	"	"	1,291 8 "	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto from the Road of Ingiligi called Irickadacotay to Koorracoopah &c. Limits	"	"	3,973 30 "	"	"	"	3,973 30 "	"	"	"	"	"
Total	"	"	10,529 38 "	"	"	"	10,529 38 "	"	2265 " "	"	"	"
Grand Total	"	"	11,032 10 14	358 3 5½	734 21 3½	115 5 6½	14,786 32 1½	473 8 12	4,847 12 1½	153 7 7¾	59 17 6	9 3

Circar 1,354 30 11 106 3 15¾

Enam 492 21 6½

27th May 1850.—

Remains Dry land still to be returned for the Depôt.

Sircar Land.

Layak Bunjer land classed at the Survey as having been cultivated within 20 Years.		Anadi Bunjer land classed at the Survey immemorial waste.		Paramposke or Hills and Lands classed as unfit cultivation.		Total.		Number of Years during which the land has lain waste.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	
14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21
A G a b P K a		b P K a	b P K a	A G a		A G a	b P K a	
" " " " " "		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	
57 4 "	2 3 6½	18 13 12	" 4 7	11 2 "		80 19 12	2 7 13½	
19 12 8	" 9 10½	55 12 "	2 7 10	" " "		74 24 8	3 7 4½	
503 20 14	180 8 2½	40 15 "	10 " 15	29 19 3		573 15 1	190 9 1½	
" " " " " "		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	
57 39 14	7 9 2	213 30 4	10 6 14½	" 28 "		313 26 6	21 7 3½	
6 14 4	10 6 13	25 17 14	1 2 12	25 20 4		143 36 8	16 6 13	
" " " " " "		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	
5 16 "	" 2 11	33 16 8	1 6 11	" " "		38 32 8	1 9 6	
9 34 "	" 7 6	132 " "	9 8 12	" " "		147 19 "	12 1 8	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	40 8 8	2 " 5¾	" " "		40 8 8	2 " 5¾	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
21 8	203 7 3½	558 33 14	38 8 7¼	66 29 7		1412 22 3	251 9 7¾	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4291 8 "		4291 8 "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	39733 0 "		39733 0 "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,264 38 "		8,264 38 "	" " "	
7 21 8	203 7 3½	558 33 14	38 8 7¼	8,331 27 7		9677 20 3	251 9 7¾	

Rupees 734 13 6

7373

Fort St. George

Remains Dry land still to be Enam land

Devadayem or lands attached to Pagodas and Mosques.		Dunnadayem or Charity lands.		Ooliyy or lands of Village Servants		Survey Enam.		Total Enam land				Number of years during which the land has lain waste	Total Arrear and Enam	
								Jody Enam		Total				
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment		Acres	Assessment
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
A g a	c P F a	A g a	c P F a	A g a	c P F a	A g a	c P F a							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14 " "	" 3 8	14 " "	" 3 8							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
33 12 10	7 3 4	" " "	" " "	140 2 8	52 6 4½	113 7 13	39 2 8							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 30 "	1 " 12	10 30 "	1 " 12							
21 12 10	3 2	16 2 10	3 2 2	" " "	" " "	37 15 4	6 4 2							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 20 "	" 4 14	6 20 "	" 4 14							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
54 24 11	10 5 4	16 2 10	3 2 2	171 12 8	54 4 6½	181 33 1	49 2 8							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
54 24 11	10 5 4	16 2 10	3 2 2	171 12 8	54 4 6½	181 33 1	49 2 8							

Bellary District, Collector's Office
on Circuit at Hospett, 24th April, 1850.

D. G.

2nd Book

27th May 1850.

retained for the Depot

" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "							
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14 " "	" 3 8	14 " "	" 3 8						94 19 12	3 1 5½
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						74 24 8	3 7 4½
60 6 12	20 5 11½	173 14 9	59 8 8½	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						746 29 10	250 7 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	10 30 "	1 " 12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						324 16 6	22 7 15½
" " "	" " "	37 15 4	6 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						181 11 12	23 " 15
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						38 32 8	1 9 6
" " "	" " "	6 20 "	" 4 14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						153 39 "	12 6 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						40 8 8	2 " 5¾
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
60 6 12	20 5 11½	241 39 13	68 1 12½	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						1654 22 "	320 1 4¼
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						" " "	" " "
60 6 12	20 5 11½	241 39 13	68 1 12½	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						1654 22 "	320 1 4¼

Signed C. Pelly
Acting Collector
Statement

7374

Fort St. George 2

Statement Shewing the Extent of Enams included in the Public Cattle Depot as now limitte

Nos.	Names of Villages	Names of the fields	Description of Land	Extent of Land No.	Extent of Land Acres	Rate	Assessment C P	Assessment F as	What Description of Enam Jodee Enam C P	What Description of Enam Jodee Enam F as	What Description of Enam Surva Enam Assessment C P	What Description of Enam Surva Enam Assessment F as	Jodee as fixed at the Survey C P	Jodee as fixed at the Survey F as	Names of Persons entered in the Survey accounts	Va
30	Taranagull	Mallada Kotee Saloo	Mixed	18	11	" "	" 11	" 4	" 8	8	" "	" 3	8	" "	Village Talaree Hana	Ha
32	Kuttiganahall	Basavanagowda Maunea Shenoy	Mixed	2	9	12 8	"	4	3	7 4	3	7 4	"	" "	Basa gow	Ba
		Ambalee Shenoy	Do.	34	27	17	"	4	10	9 11½	10	9 14½	"	" "		
		Oorogowda Kee Maney	Do.	40	23	17 4	"	2 8	5	8 9	5	8 9	"	" "		
					10	6 12	"	" "	20	5 11½	20	5 11½	"	" "		
		Subenamanada Shenoy	Mixed	17	20	12	"	4	8	1 1	"	" "	8	1 1	" "	Cura Vem Boy
		Corava Hanoomah Maneyem	Mixed	4	32	26 12	"	4	13	12	"	" "	13	1 12	" "	Cara Hano
		Bellary Shenoy	Do.	27	26	37	"	4	10	7 12	"	" "	10	7 12	" "	
		Total			59	23 12			23	8 8	"	" "	23	8 8	" "	
70	Yarabanahully	Yaragoota Shenoy	Mixed	53	140	2 8			52	5 4½	20	5 11½	31	9 9	1 5	
88	Bunnahutty	Kolalahoudedahola	Mixed	124	10	38	"	1	1	12	"	" "	1	12	" "	Bas Bee
					6	20	"	" "	"	12	"	4 14	"	" "	" "	Oot Pan
	Total Wodeghee				171	12 8			54	4 6½	20	5 11½	33	8 11	1 5	

Wodeghee for the performance of Village duties

4th - e May 1850.

d the Land it is proposed to give in lieu of

imants to the Enams

Names of Persons as entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1234	Names of the present Enamdars	Relationship in which the present Enamdars stood to the Original Grantee &c.	Enams Lands Cultivated and uncultivated: Assessment of Cultivated Land	Assessment of Waste Land
			C P F as	C P F as
His son Rungah Govindah Nephew of Hanakah	Vada Kahit Bheemah Grand Sons	Grand Sons of Pymaycah dr and Sons of the persons entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1234	" " " "	3 8
Basavanagoud.	Basavanagoud	Original Grantee himself	" " "	3 7 4 10 9 14 5 8 9
His Son Timmapah.	Thurnum Veneeta Bee -mapah	Grand Son to the Original Grantee and Son of the person entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1234	" " "	8 1 1
His Sons Ramah and Potvogah	Basavah and Gereyah	Grand Sons of the Original Grantee & Sons of the Persons Entered in the Do Do	" " "	23 8 8
Barakee Beemah	Hoolegadoo	Brother of the Original Grantee	" " "	1 " 12
His Son Fakeerah	Dasah	Son of the Original Grantee & Brother of the person entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1234	" " " "	4 14

7375

Fort St. George

27th May 1850

Thomr Vea in the Rumplee Talook of the Bellary District

Reasons Vea for the Land being uncultivated		Proposed Quantity of Circar In the same Village.				Land to be given in the Public Assessment								Extent of the Land not cultivated
No. of years during which the Lands having been waste	Reasons for being uncultivated	Employment of the Enam-dars	Name of Village	Description of Land	No.	Acres	Rate of Assessment							
							P	F	as	P	F	as		
From the commencement of the Company's Government	In consequence of its having been included in the Public Cattle Depot	Taliar duty	Toranagul	Mixed	83	13 2/3	"	"	4	"	3	6	is a Taliar Enam at ₹ 63-9-5½ - The Enamdar duties at Toranagull	
		D° D° D° Potail of the Village												
		D° D° D° Curnum												
		D° D° D° Talaries												
			Total											
		D° D° D° This duty is to sweep the Choultry	Yaraba Enahully	Mixed	32	20 20								
		D° D° D° Village Talary	Bunnehutty	Mixed	40	7 35								
						41 19					1	9	2	

| | | | | | | | | | | | |

27th May 1850.

District-

Land to be given as Enam in lieu of that now included in the Cattle Farm

In other Villages														Total				Remarks.
Rate		Assess-ment		Name of Village	Description of Land	No.	Acres			Rate		Assess-ment		Acres			Assess-ment	
Ch	Fas	Ch	Fas							Ch	Fas	Ch	Fas				Ch	Fas
"	4	"	36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	2	13	"	36
"	"	"	"	Cooree		104	31	30	2	"	2	6	39	31	30	2	6	39
"	"	"	"	Coopah	Mixed	105	22	17	8	"	2	4	14	22	17	8	4	14
"	"	"	"			88	12	3	8	"	6	7	43	12	3	8	7	43
"	"	"	"			108	12	18	"	"	2	2	13	12	18	"	2	13
							78	29	2	"	"	20	77	78	29	2½	20	77
"	"	"	"	Cooree-coopah	Mixed	81	26	30	"	"	12	2	1	26	30	"	2	1
						87	20	32	"	"	2	4	19	20	32	"	4	19
							47	22	"			6	10	47	22	"	6	10
"	"	"	"	Cooree-coopah	Mixed	84	69	"	12	"	2	13	9	8	69	"	12	13	9	8
						86	31	13	12	"	2	6	2	12	31	13	12	6	2	12
							100	14	8	"	"	20	24	100	14	8	20	24
							226	25	10			47	15	226	25	10	47	15
"	8	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20	22	"	1	4
"	12	"	58	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	35	"	"	58
							226	25	10			47	15	268	57		49	7
						192												

Independent of this there is a Talian Enam belonging to this Village - The Enam is also Potail Meraj Sebbaro of the Village of Cooree and performs the Duties of that Village and resides at Puttaganahalli - The Enam in the Village of Huttaganahalli is lying at present waste but he has been kept out of it for many years standing but he has been kept out of it for many years - The Potail of the Village is in ruins. Yet this Reddy still performs the Duties of the Village & he has a fair opportunity for all Police Matters & he has a favourable for all Police Matters & he has a favourable opportunity which may very advantageously be observed to his Meraj-sey which may very advantageously be observed to his Meraj-sey - He resides at Cooreecoopah where he resides - He resides at Cooreecoopah where he resides -

The above Remark applies to the Curnum

This above Remark is also fully applicable to the Talary Enams. There must be some one resident to prevent Robberies and other Crimes -

This is the whole of the Enam in this Village and resides at Antapoor, and conducts his duties there. He resides at Antapoor and conducts his duties there. to turn -

Independent of this the Enamdar has other Enam to the extent of 30 - 6 - 10 and is doing duty

7376

Fort St. George

Names of Villages	Names of the fields	Description of Land	No.	Extent of Enam included in the Public Extent of Land Acres	Gu as	Cl P	F as	Rate Assessment Cl P	F as	What Description of Enam Todee Enam Assessment Cl P	F as	Survey Enam Assessment Cl P	F as	Todee as fixed at the Survey Cl P	F as	Claimants Names of persons entered in Survey Accounts
Dewadayem or Pagoda Lands																
32 Hullegamahalle	Hanwomapandamaneya	Mixed	33	15 20 4	"	1	8	2 8 12	"	" "		2 8 12	"	" "		Anjanaya Swamy
	Potapah Mooreyem Chenvo	Mixed	39	17 31 13	"	2	8	4 4 8	"	" "		4 4 8	"	" "		Potarazoo
72 Hodalle	Caradecolada Chenvo	Mixed	81	21 12 10	"	1	8	3 2 "	"	" "		3 2 "	"	" "		Anjanaya Swamy
Total Dewadayem				54 24 11	"	"	"	10 5 4	"	" "		10 5 4	"	" "		Woddina Annata Bhuttoo
Charity Lands 72 Hodalle	Basavanagoota Chenvo	Mixed	83	16 2 10	"	2	"	3 2 2	"	" "		3 2 2	"	" "		
Total	Wooleghee for the performance of Village duties			171 12 8	"	"	"	54 4 6/2	20	5 11/2		33 8 11		1 5 "		
	Dewadayem or Pagoda Lands			54 24 11	"	"	"	10 5 4	"	" "		10 5 4	"	" "		
	Charity Lands			16 2 10	"	"	"	3 2 2	"	" "		3 2 2	"	" "		
				224 39 13	"	"	"	68 1 12/2	20	5 11/2		47 6 1		1 5 "		

27th May 1850.

to the Enams

Names of persons as entered in the Enamaty account of Fusly 1234	Names of the present Enamdars	Relationship in which the present Enamdars stood to the Original Grantee if ----	Enam Lands Cultivated and Uncultivated. Assessment of Cultivated Land	Assessment of Waste Land
			C P Fa	C P Fa
Anjanayaswamy Poojaree Jootugah	Anjanaya Swamy	Anjanaya Swamy	" " "	2 8 12
Potarazoo	Potarazoo	Potarazoo	" " "	4 4 8
Anjanaya Swamy	Anjanaya Swamy	Anjanaya Swamy	" " "	3 2 "
Woddena Narasapah	Narasapah	Son of the Original grantee	" " "	3 2 2

7377

Reasons &c. for the Land being uncultivated

Fort St. George

Proposed Quantity of Cir

27th May 1850.

Land thereinen as Enam in lieu of that now included in the

No. of years during which the lands having been waste	Reasons for being uncultivated	Employment of the Enamdars	In the same Village: Name of Village	Description of Land	No.	Acres	Rate	Assessment
Do.	Do.	Do.	The Village is entirely abandoned the Pagoda in ruins & the image removed					
Do.	Do.	Do.	There is no Pagoda & no Village a Stone was worshipped when there was a Village					
Do.	Do.	Do.	There is a Pagoda for Anjanaiya Swamy at Bamaravary in Mysore Territory where the Services are performed	Kodali	Mixed	98	16 29	
Do.	Do.	Do.	Resides at Wudd	Kodali	Mixed	65	15 15	
							41 19	
							16 29	
							15 15	
							73 25	

In other Villages

Name of Village	Description of Land	No.	Acres	Rate	Assessment
Coore-Coopah	Mixed	198	26 19	1	2 6 7
Coore-Coopah	Mixed	77	19 14 8	1	1 9 5
		79	25 15	12	1 9 1
			44 29 8		3 8 6
			71 8 8		6 4 13
		717			
			71 8 8		6 4 13
			226 25 10		47 1 5
			71 8 8		6 4 13
			297 34 2		53 6 2

7378 Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Cattle Farm Total Acres	Assessment			Remarks
				This is the whole of the Enam, One Poojaree Chouti Singa has applied for other Enams for that now included in the Farm with a view of doing the duty at the image at Coreecoopah, But as the Village and Pagoda at Huttegan uhalli are in ruins & abandoned long since, the application need not be attended to.
26 19.	2	6	7	
19 14 8	1	9	5	The Coreecoopah Villagers urge, that if the Enam, now solicited is granted, they will see the usual worship performed to Potalah which is a Stone somewhere, but there being no special claimant, it seems unnecessary to attend to their request.
25 15.	1	9	1	
44 29 8	3	8	6	
71 8 8	6	4	13	
16 29 11	3	3	8	This is the whole of the Enam in this Village of Kodab. It is unknown whether there are other Enams in the Mysore Province for this Enam is granted for performing Worship to Anjaneya Swamy at Baunarcamy in the Monicalmeroo Talook. The Poojaree never comes to the Village. The Villagers suppose that the Enam be granted on account of the image in the Mysore Territory but it seems unnecessary.
87 38 3	9	23	5	
15 15 12	3	12		This is the whole of the Enam at Kodali. The owner has Puncharangum Meraseed at Wadd, and resides in that Village. This Enam should be given as now proposed.
268 5 7	49	7		
87 38 3	9	8	5	
15 15 12	3	12		
371 19 6	61	9	8	

Bellary Collector's Office
on Circuit at Hospett
24th April 1850

/ Signed C. Pelly
Acting Collector

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

No. 3.

Estimate of the Expense of fixing the boundary stones to the Grazing farm attached to the Military Department in the Kumply Talook of Bellary District.

Measurement Length	Breadth	Height	Depth	Price Rs	As	Pie	Amount Rs	As	Pie
Total number of Running yards is 25,000 and of which the boundary stones to be fixed Viz.									
50 large Stones 9 feet long to be fixed each at a distance of 500 yards				2	"	" each	100	"	"
200 Small Do. 4½ feet Do. Do. of 100 yards between the above Stones				1	"	"	200	"	"
							300	"	"
Cutting & Removing the above Stones							75	"	"
Sundries such as Charcoal Steel pieces, Iron &c.							25	"	"
							100	"	"
1	1	1	1	Excavating 50 pits for fixing the Stones					
				1	"	" 10 yds	5	"	"
1	1	½	½	Do. 200 Do. Do. Do.	1	"	" Do. 10	"	"
							15	"	"
Hire for fixing Do. Do.							10	"	"
Total of Estimate Company's Rupees							425	"	"

EE/ / Signed C. Pelly
Acting Collector

To

7379 Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

No. 4.

To.
C. Pelly Esqre
Acting Collector of Bellary
on Circuit.

Sir. I have the honor to inform you, that I accompanied the Honorable D. Arbuthnott, your Head Assistant, to the Farm at Sultanpoore, and found there was only one claim for ground which I immediately disposed of by giving up the pieces of land asked for, it being of no particular value to the Farm. The limits of the Farm are now all exactly and clearly defined by Bamboos with a list of white rag tied in them.

Made by the Tahsildar

2. Your Tasildar proposes to mark the ground at every fifty yards by a square pillar of large Stones substantially built with Mud. He states he can do this at an expense of about 125 Rupees, I much doubt it myself but say, that the distance was increased to 100 yards it would be sufficient for the purpose. I think his suggestion a very good

7380 Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

good one, and would therefore recommend, that he be allowed to commence as soon as you can obtain the requisite Sanction (if such is necessary) of Government.

22 January 1850 3. With reference to your letter, I very much doubt the Deputy Assistant Quarter Master General, having at his disposal the means of performing a Survey of such magnitude, but regarding this, you have doubtless heard from the Brigadier General Steel Commanding the Division.

Comm. Office C. D.
Bellary 29th January 1850

(Signed) F. Harris
Sub Asst. Commissary General

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector

No. 5

To C. Pelly Esqre
Acting Collector of
Bellary.

Sir. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th Instant No. 13, accompanied with

with the Original correspondence respecting the lands at and about the Commissariat Farm, at Soolitanpoor, and to state, that I proceeded to the spot on the 22d idem, with the Sub. Assistant Commissary General, and have personally attended the Marking out the boundaries of the Farm-

During my stay at Soolitanpoor, no Complaints were made to me by the Ryots of the neighbouring Villages in fact almost every piece of ground asked for was excluded from the Farm by Mr. Harris as to permanent land Marks the Amildar proposes to place Stone pillars at a distance of 40 or 50 Yards from each other round the whole of the Farm at a Cost of about 125 Rupees, and I beg that you will take this suggestion into consideration as

as I am not aware that any cheaper or more permanent plan could be adopted.

Bellary Collectors Office 29th January 1850

/Signed D. Arbuthnott
Head Asst. Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed C. Pelly
Asst. Collector

No. 6.

To, C. Pelly Esqre
Acting Collector
of Bellary.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letters Nos. 45, and 100, of the 21st Ultimo, and 12th Instant, respectively, the former of which I received while on my tour of

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

of Inspection, and not having received any orders on the subject of it from His Excellency the Commander in Chief, as I could not give a definite reply, I deferred answering it. I am still without these orders, and I regret that I am, therefore, unable to say positively in reply to your communication of the 12th Instant whether the Surveys referred to can be done by the Quarter Master Generals or other Departments under my control, but on my receiving any instructions relating thereto, I shall not fail to acquaint you with it.

Head Quarters Ceded Districts
Bellary 18th February 1850.

Signed J. M. Steel
Brigr General

(True Copy)
Signed C. Pelly
Sy Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To,
The Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

30-31

To The Secretary to Government

Submitting with reference to previous papers letters from the Acting Collector of Bellary reporting that he has given effect to the orders of Government in respect to the limits of the Public Cattle Grazing Farm and furnishing statements of the lands contained in the Farm. conveying the Board's views thereon and requesting sanction to the proposed arrangement for satisfying the claims of the owners of the Enam lands within the Farm and for permission to erect Boundary stones at the Public Cost.

Agreeable to the orders of Government dated 11th December 1849, the Revenue Board directed the Acting Collector of Bellary to carry into effect the reductions in the limits of the Public Cattle Grazing Farm in that District, proposed in Para. 5 of Lieutenant Harriss's letter dated 16th October last, and to supply a revised map in Duplicate, of the new limits, one Copy for deposit in his own Cutcherry and the other with the Commissariat Officer. The Collector was further requested to furnish the information called for in Para 2 of the said order, respecting the different descriptions of land included within the new limits of the Farm, and the extent for which it will be necessary to grant other land in exchange; also the period during which the owners of private lands included in the limits of the old Farm, have

have been kept out of their possessions; whether any arrangements were made for compensating them; and if not, what amount it will now be necessary to grant; and lastly, the nature of the service required of the Proprietors of Service Enam lands, whether this service has been regularly rendered, and if so, how remunerated.

2. I am now directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, a letter received from the acting Collector of Bellary with six enclosures, dated 24th April last; in which he reports having carried out the orders of Government in this matter, and furnishes statements shewing the whole of the lands hitherto contained in the Farm, those no longer required, and those which have been retained; also of the Enam lands comprised in the present limits and the land it is proposed to give in lieu thereof.

Infos 27th May 1830

3. Of the 14,766 acres at hitherto

hitherto included in the Farm 4,847¼ acres have been given up, is not required for the Farm; of which 4,354¾ acres are Circar and 492½ acres are Enam lands; the former assessed at Canteroy Pagodas 106 and the latter at C. Pagodas 47-4.

*Rs. 309-2-8
°Rs. 137-1-4

4th para 11 of letter from Acting Collector 24th April

The owners of the above Enam lands are stated to have been for the most part kept out of their possessions during the whole of the Company's Government; but it is the acting Collector's belief that they will consider themselves sufficiently compensated now by a restoration of their lands.

5. The new limits of the Farm comprise 9,919 acres, of which 9,677 acres are Circar, and 242 acres Enam Lands. of the former 8,331 acres are Hills classed as Perumbakr and 1,346 acres as cultivable. The Enam lands are as follows.

	acres	G.	A.
Pagoda Lands	54	24	11
Charity D°	16	2	10
Service Enams	171	12	8
Total	241	39	13

bearing an assessment of Canteroy Pagodas

Pagodas 68—1—17½ or Company's Rupees 198—13—7. In exchange for these Enams Lands it is proposed to give other spots to the extent of 371 acres 4 cs 19 — 6 assessed at Rs C. Pagodas 61 — 9 — 8 or Company's Rupees 180 — 11 a — 0 p, as fully detailed in Enclosure No 2 of Mr Pelly's letter.

6. The whole of the Service Enams the Board consider should be made good by other grants as proposed by the acting Collector, as the owners, being hereditary Meerasdars, have a claim according to the custom of the Country to their lands, and perform certain Revenue and Police Duties solely in consideration thereof. The charity Enams should also be restored, but as respects the Pagoda or Davadayem Enams, therein number, the Board concur with the acting Collector that there is no necessity for restoring them; the Pagodas being in ruins and no services performed therein, nor does it appear that there are any legal claimants to them. The Board regret they are

para 13 of acting Collector's letter.

7387 Fort St. George 27th May 1850

unable to submit a Map of the new limits of the Farm, the Survey thereof not having been yet commenced. The duty would appear to devolve upon the Quarter Master General's Department but in the absence of orders from Government, the Brigadier Commanding the ceded Districts does not feel at liberty to comply with the requisition of the acting Collector. The limits have, however, been marked out with Stakes, and it is proposed to define them permanently by boundary stones, for which an Estimate is submitted.

7. In conclusion I am directed by the Board to solicit the sanction of Government to the arrangement proposed for satisfying the claims of the owners of Enam Lands still retained for the Farm, with the exception of that portion belonging to Pagodas, and also permission to erect boundary stones at an outlay of Rupees 425.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following Statement.

Memorials &c. for the Second Quarter of 1849 in the Kurnool Province.

Nature of the grant charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.	Their circumstances and means of subsistence possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
For charity.	Vencata Sastroolu.	Sasha Sastroolu grandson of the grantee died on the 11th July 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	Two widows the 1st named Parummah, aged 72 and the 2d Venummah aged 70 years, and two sons, the elder Nagavadanee 40 years old by the 2d wife and the younger Vencata Sashia 24 years old by the 1st wife.	Subsisting upon the produce of the Enam and alms.	The deceased held Enams in thirteen villages of this Taloolq, besides some in one of the Nizams Villages across the Krishna. The Enam in this village consists of parts of three fields, some of his first cousins being at present in possession of the other parts of the three fields in question, only one is entered in the Land Register. The deceased cultivated the Enam himself and it is clear from the Statements of the villagers, that it is an ancient one which has long been in the deceased's family and has undergone division amongst it's members. Adverting to the absence of any known title, I think the Enam may be confirmed to the younger son of deceased Vencata Sashia, for his life time, should he survive his mother Parummah, and for Parummas life time, should Vencata Sashia die first; I am the more influenced in making this recommendation that 8 years ago, during the life time of deceased, who died at the age of 85 years, this Enam was assigned to the said son as his share of deceased's Enams the other son Nagavadanee taking some others for his portion. The Enam pays the usual tax, not being supported by a Sunnud.	

7388

Fort St. Geo 2

(32) Statement showing the lapses of Enam

Taluk & Village	Extent and Value of the entire Enam or Jeemiah.	When and by whom granted.	Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant
1 2	3	4	5
1 Mundicotcoor Surgamaiswerum	acres @ a Rs. a p Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs 26 6 7 19½ 2 16	Unknown.	No sunnud

Fort St. George

Case.	Taluk and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or Jeemiah.	When and by whom granted.	Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his decease.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Acres C A R P C A P							
		Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 2 15 6							
Capelaiswarum	23 32 4	4 12 6	Unknown	No sunnud	For charity	Vencata Sastrulu	Shaa Sastrulu son of the grantee died on the 11th January 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	Two widows the 1st named Parummah, aged 72, and the 2nd Vencummah aged 70 years, and two sons the elder Nagavadanee 40 years old, by the 2d wife and the younger Vencata sashia 24 years old by the 1st wife.

The other two fields are held under no satisfactory titles, the one which is Dakh

"Danum" by the person in whose name it is entered. I have resumed it, as well as the

		Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 14 8.							
Mandoogola	17 11 1	7 9 4	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.

by

by me,

the ground of

and wife, on the terms sugge

Their circumstances and means of subsistence possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded.	Decision of Government.
11	12	13	14
Subsisting upon the produce of the Enam and alms.	This Enam comprised 4 fields one of which was resumed to the revenue some time ago. Of the remaining three fields, two only are in the Land Register and of these two but one appears in the name of deceased. The circumstances of the said fields (N2 16 - 6 - 15 - 12) are similar as to those as of the above mentioned Enam and consequently make the same recommendation. -		
having, it is alleged, been made over to deceased.			
which is ghair Dakul.			
——— Do. ———	This is Dakul in the name of deceased. It is satisfactorily shown to have been at the least for the last 25 years in his possession and to have been uninterruptedly cultivated by himself. Several 13 Enams above referred to have been resumed commend that this one be continued on being an ancient Enam, to the deceased son in respect of the Enam in the Village Sunganaisvaram		

27th May 1850

Nature of the grant: Charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant:	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.	Their circumstances and means of subsistence possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded:	Decision of Governm
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
For charity	Vencata Sastrolo	Sasha Sastrolo, grandson of the grantee, Died on the 11th January 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	Two widows the 1st named Parumnah aged 72 and the 2nd Venemma aged 70 years and two sons the elder Naga radance 40 years old, by the 2d wife, and the ca younger Vencata sashia 24 years old by the 1st wife.	Subsisting upon the produce of the Enam and alms.	This Enam consists of as c as two fields one measuring 3-11-4 ac c as the other 3-36-3 - Of the first of these the deceased held a moiety, a cousin having the other half - The field first mentioned appears in the Land Register and is said to have been uninterruptedly cultivated by the deceased himself. The second field is lyhair Dakul. The deceased also cultivated it himself and without intermission for many years. Its non-inclusion in the Land Register is alleged to have been consequent on the tax to which it would have been liable if it had been registered - I know that many Enams are now lyhair Dakul & wish to save for the same reason - As the entire Enam seems to be an ancient one, I make in respect of it the recommendation entered above regarding the Enam at Suryanaiswarum.		
For Charity	Pauspa Bhattlo	Samalamba, daughter in law of the grantee, Died on the 10th April 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	A widowed daughter Soobamma aged 35, her daughter Kristnumma	Subsisting upon the produce of the Enam.	This and the next Enam are entered in the Land Register.		

Case	Taloob and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeomiah.	When and by whom granted.	Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Na the Sha sere sere of th pre by t
1	2	3	4	5	
		acres C A Rz A P Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rz 2 15 8			
	Vunnaulpadoo	7 7 7 1 7 4	Unknown	No Sunnud	For
		55 30 1/2 15 14 4			

Atcoor.

2	Arumtapoorum	2 1 8 1/2	Nawab Aluf Sunnud granted Khan in Fizree by Nawab Aluf 1217 A.D. 1801. Khan.	For

Fort St

Case.	Taluk and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or Jeamiah.	Where and by whom granted.	Summud or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grantee's Charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
		Acres c a Rs a p.							
		Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 2 1 8½ " 4 11							
		4 3 1 " 15 1							
			Unknown	No Summud	For charity	Paupa Bhutlo	Sannalamba, daughter in law of the grantee; Died on the 10th April 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	
Streeputty Row Pettah		1 14 10½	Nawab Aleef Khan in H 1217 A.D. 1801	Summud granted by Nawab Aleef Khan	D°	D°	D°	D°	D°
		Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 4 4 " 7 4							
		5 18 10½ 1 15 8	Unknown	No summud	D°	D°	D°	D°	D°
		9 21 11½ 2 14 9							

N. B. The original summuds of this and the preceding Enam having been destroyed by worms transcripts of unattested Copies of them which are in the Agency records are forwarded.

of the

time acknowledged

really held, this circumstance

appearing in the Land Register. The Summud

heirs male the Enams are clearly an escheat to the State

the late Enamdar conferred in gift all her property including Enams

who has been a Member of her family from his youth upwards. This arrangement

circumstances but as I have been under the necessity of resuming the Enams held by the deceased

to acres 44-31-5½ it seems to me that some indulgence may be shown in respect to continuing those

Ameba Lastroolo. I recommend accordingly.

D.G.

27th May 1850.

their circumstances and means of subsistence professed by family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded.	Decision of Government.
11	12	13	14
subsisting from the produce of the Enam.	in the name of Papa an Bhutloo alias Seetaramo an Bhutloo father-in-law of the deceased, parts of it only being supported by a sunnud. There can be no doubt that the whole of it has been held by the family for a long series of years and that it may be considered property ancient Enam &c		
——Do.——	it is known that Nawab Aleef Khan partly carried into effect a plan		
——Do.——	of bestowing, under his own an seal, Sunnuds for all those an Enams on which he proposed		
	to levy Enamity tax, and that the consequence		
	ment was that many Enamdars of that		
	possession of much less Enam Land than they		
	account for the whole of these Enams not a		
	confers no title to succession and by default of		
	is, however, alleged, apparently with truth, that		
	Amba Sastrooloo, the husband of her grand daughter		
	Course cannot hold good under ordinary cir		
	in four other villages, amounting on the aggregate		
	and the next village, at least for the life of		

27th May 1850

Nature of the grant charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.	Their circumstances and means of subsistence. Possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Resolution of Board of Revenue grounds which founded
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
For charity.	Rayapah.	Soobiah, great grandson of the grantee in the male line, died on the 21st March 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased and his brothers jointly.	A widow Ramukka aged 40, a son Sunjeeva Row aged 17 and two younger brothers named Venkia and Ramiah aged 18 and 35 years respectively.	Subsisting upon the produce of this Enam and other Enams in the Gudwal Raja's territory.	No Land Register was ever prepared of this Village but in the Field account drawn up in Fusly 1257 under orders of Mr. Commissioner Blane, the Enams appears in the name of the deceased, who, with his family, was a resident of the Gudwal Rajahs District in the Nizams territory 22½ Miles from the Enam in all important respects except that of possession deceased for many years past according to the Statements of the Villagers. The entire Enam consists of two fields (No. 183 and 46) of which one (No. 46 dems. Cl. as. 4-38-10) has been waste for a long series of years. The other field yields a rent of Rs. a p. 2-7-0- as Enamity and it is said that thirteen annas has heretofore annually been paid by the Cultivating concern in the Enam which a brother of the deceased is said to have had is Established of the Enam, which is the only one that he possessed in the Kurnool Province.
Do.	Doubtful according to the representation of the family it was Narrain Bhuttoo grandfather of the deceased the Villagers assert that Chenna Cristnum Bhuttoo the father of the deceased was original grantee.	Soobunna Sonayajee Died on the 24th September 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only	A Widow Vencamma aged 40, a daughter Parumma 10 years old, a younger brother Narrain Bhuttoo aged 35 his life Soobunnah aged 2 and his three Sons the 1st Pariah aged 7 the 2d Ramasswamy aged 3 and the 3d Chinna Pariah aged 1 year. The deceased was also maintaining his Nephew Venkatachee Streatee aged 8 his Wife & Son.	Subsisting upon the produce of this Enam and a share of the Agrahara of the Capoo service Enam at acs. 44 a. 37/32.	The deceased has Enams in nine adjacent Kurnool Villages including this one, which is entered in the Land List in his name. It pays a Jodee of Rupees 3-10-6 and had been Cultivated by himself up to the period of his demise. Although the origin of the grant cannot be traced it appears to have been long in possession of the family and may, I consider, be deemed an ancient Enam liable to a heavy Jodee. I accordingly recommend its renewal in favor of the widow Vencamma during her life time.	

7392. Fort St. George 27

Case	2 Taluk and Village.	3 Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeomiah.	4 When and by whom granted.	5 Summuds or other documents in support of the grant.
		Acres C A Rs. A P		
3.	Sundicotcoor— Mullalla ——	Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 3 — 10 6. 25 20 1/2 1 3 6	Unknown ——	No Summuds
		I recommend that it be continued to the Widow for her	Pyot to the deceased himself being the	
4.	Paniem. — Doorvasee ——	Value exclusive of Jodhee which is Rs. 3 — 10 6. 5 5 14 2 5 6	—— Do. ——	—— Do. ——

Case	Taluk and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeomiahs-	When and by whom granted-	Summuds or other documents in support of the grant-	Nature of the grant charity or service- If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant-	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise-	Share engaged by the deceased and that held by the other Members-	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the surviving parties-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Acres C As Rs As P Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs 3—0—0							
	Tirreepaud	15 39 14¼ 1 11 "	Unknown	No Summud-	For Charity-	Shemaa Kistnum Bhuttoo-	Soobunna Somayajee son of the grantee Died on the 24th September 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only-	A Widow Veeramma aged 45 Daughter Parvathy 10 years old younger brother Narrain Bhatta aged 35 his wife Soobumah aged 25 and three sons the 1st Parvathy 7 the 2d Ramaswamy aged 3 the 3d Chinna Pariah aged 1 year deceased was also maintaining Nephew Venkatagiree Straten 28 his wife and Son-
	Sundial Kurrumuddala	13 15 2½ " " "	Do	Do	Do	Do	Not known	Do	Do
		3 4 11 Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs 2 13 6-	Do	Do	Do	Do	Liagrahee Sunjeevappa-	Soobumma Somayajee on receiving this Enam as Dhanum from the grantee, Died as above-	Do
		16 19 3½ 9 2 6-							
		37 25 9¾ 13 3 6							

7392.

27th May 1850.

; circumstances means of = istence pos: l by the = ly-	Recommendation and grounds thereof-	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded-	Decision of Government.
11	12	13	14
sting upon the of his Enam share of the ears Capoo = Enam at = acres. c. uddee 14-37/32	The Enam does not appear in the Land Register, but a part of it (No. 42 acres 8-31.7/4) seems to be an old Enam there being evi= =dence to show that it had been Cultivated by deceased himself during the last 34 years. The = other part (No. 74-7-8-7. as c. a.) had also been in possession of deceased = during a long succession of years although ot Cultivated by himself throughout the whole at period- I recommend as above that it lee = ed to the Widow for her life-		
Do. ———	Part of this is Dakil in = deceased's favor and it appears to have been Cultivated by deceased himself for 30 years before his death, paying a Jodee of Rupees 1-10-0- I recommend that it be ontinued as above-		
Do. ———	The other portion is stated to have been given him in "Dhanum" by a person now alive whose own right to the land thus disposed of is not founded en more than alleged possession at the time of Dhanum- This portion (acres 3-4-11-) I have ned-		

Case.	Talook and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeomiah.	When and by whom granted.	Summuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant — Charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Village — originally to the deceased's fa
probably been brought forward by the Vill
Of the land held in this Village one fie
that it was measured out as Enam by the Paymash
family during the last nineteen years or since seve
being adduced of the Enam- Reddy up to Fusly 1258 when deceased took to
to have been in possession of the that it was measured out as Enam by the Paymash
been cultivated for them on Cowle by one Linga family during the last nineteen years or since seve
that the deceased has any valid title to the Enam which was doubtless assigned to him when he became
but as I have been under the necessity of resuming eight of the other Enams standing in his name and the
of those Enams I would suggest the renewal of this one in her favor for her life time.

Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 1-3-6.

Gudeevamala —	5	21	9	11	12	6.	Unknown —	No Summud —	For Charity	Vencata Nursa=vadanee-	Uppiah son of the grantee Died 21st — February 1848.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	A Widow Soobamah 40 and three the 1st Boys Sunkariah 21 the 2d. Chen Sakara aged and the 3d. Duchena aged 6 years

27th May 1850.

Their circumstances and means of subsistence proposed by the family-	Recommendation and grounds thereof-	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded-	Decision of Government-
11	12	13	14
existing upon produce of the and Aluns.	knows nothing, emigrated about years age from the Eastward and settled in this circumstances the alleged grant of the Enam orig= ata Nursavadanee, is not very credible and has trengthen the claim of the family to a renewal o. 18-7-34-3.) was recently resumed on proof rest that is Field No. 130-9-2-4¼ appears s before the assumption of Rusnool, it having tuating it himself, I am not at all satisfied er in the Village by the Pedolies and Cunnums, w has not any recources beyond the produce This appears in the Land Register in favor of the deceased and is said to have been for years= possessed in his possession - But on the= latter point there are some slight= discrepancies in the Statements of his eldest son and those of the Villagers - Admitting the possession, however it is all that can be said favor of a renewal. The title is other wise= lly defective and the tenure of the Enam is open the objections urged against the preceding one- = Nevertheless for the grounds above assigned I= commend that it be continued to the Widow for life-		

7396.

Fort St. G

27th May 1

Case.	Talook and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeamiah.	When and by whom granted.	Summary of other documents in support of the grant	Nature of the grant—Charity or service—If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue as to the grounds on which it is recommended.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	13	14
		Acres C a Rs A P Value exclusive of Enamity which is Rs. 13—					
	Boodarroor —	5 25. . 13.	Unknown —	Do.	For Charity.	Ver save	
	Abdoolaspoorum —	4 17 13¼ " 13.	— Do. —	— Do. —	— Do. —	Un	
		32 20 13½ 4 " 9					

respe
be similarly trea

Kurnool Agent's Office, 1st May, 185

From

y 1850-

Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other co Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.	Their circumstances and means of subsistence passed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded.	Dec of Gover
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Vencata Nur savadanee.	Uppiah, son of the grantee, Died 21st. February 1843.	Enjoyed by the deceased only.	A Widow Soobamah aged 40 and three Sons the 1st. Bavanee Tunkariah aged 21, the 2d. Chendra Sakara aged 12, and the 3d. Duchena Moorty aged 6 years.	Subsisting upon the produce of the Enam and alms.	The Circumstances of this Enam are generally the same as those of preceding one. For similar reasons I recommend that this too be continued to the Widow for her life.		
Unknown	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	This Enam appears in the Land Register in deceased's name and it is said to have been rented by him for Rupees 1-12-0- per Annum to a Ryot who has cultivated it interruptedly for the last 20 years- The Villagers declare that the family have had it in their enjoyment since the days of deceased's father and grand father, an assertion which is wholly at variance with the account of themselves given by deceased's eldest son- On the ground of Possession and for the reasons stated in respect of continuing to the Widow for her life time the three preceding Enams, I recommend that this early treated-		

(Signed) H: D: Phillips.
Agent to the Governor

No. 1.

The seal is not to be decyphered.

From the Cutcherry of Fort Mahomednoor to the Reddies and Ryots of the Gadadeemadoogoo-

In Hizree 1030 (A. D. 1714-) the Budoo Naik head villager informed the Hoozoor that the road leading to the place of residence of Huzrut Babah Fackroodeen is through Gadadeemadoogoo, that Fackeers and other poor people and Moosulmans were halting daily in the said Village and that most of them were becoming sick, that in consequence he (the said head person) had constructed a mosque in the name of God outside of the Fort of the said village and delivered it over to the care of a person named Moollana Shimmun, that the religious services termed Bhaung and Nemazaree performed in the said mosque-

Sahib, If you please to grant the undermentioned lands &c. as Enam, the Fakir

and the poor will secure their food and the services termed Bhaung and Nemaz will be performed in the mosque-

The Enam lands &c. as follows.

A land of 2 Shawurs (equal to 8 Coodoos) is situated within a place called Ouz.

A garden of 4 Pylees is situated near the mosque-

Oil for lighting is a seer per day.

Yeomiah is allowed daily 12 doo-dees.

Puskee and Pulkee are also allowed-

This request has accordingly been complied with and the said lands &c. have been given to the said Moollana Shimmun as Enam exempt from Roosooms and money (to Curnums and Reddies) that he and his heirs may enjoy them - You shall cause the said lands to be put in possession - Yeomiah and Oil should be furnished from the Cisha money of the said village; and you will return the original Sunnud after you have taken a Copy thereof-

(True translation)

The seal is not to be decyphered.

(Signed) W. D. Phillips

Agent to the Governor

To,

Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

No. 2.

Seal of Mahomed Alif Khan Bahadoor servant of Shah Alum Badshah Ghazee Hijree 1207.

To, The Reddies, Curnums, Deispondies. Deismookees and Amildars both those now present and their successors of the Village of Ammuta=poor, Atcoor Purgunna, Talook Kummur Nuggur, Soobah Beejapoor, seat of Victory.

Understand that one Pylee (Beejavaree) of land situated in the said Village has in accordance with usage been granted as an act of grace in the name of Paupa Bhut, son of Iyem Bhut. You must therefore measure the said piece of land, define its limits, and put it in possession of the grantee. The grantee shall make the produce of the said land his means of subsistence and be present in the said Village. A new Summud is not to be demanded every year, but, deeming this Takeed sufficient, you shall act conformably thereto.

Name of the field
Bavee Bondah Shanoo. / Pylee of Beejavaree land.

(True Translation)

(Signed) H. D. Phillips.
Agent to the Governor

24th. Jamadeelawul, Hijree 1217.

Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

No. 3.

Seal of Mahomed Alif Khan Bahadoor servant of Shah Alum Badshah Ghazee Hijree 1207.

To, The Reddies Curnums, Deispandees Deis mookees and Amildars, both those now present and their successors of the Village of Streeputty Row Pett. Atcoor Purgunna, Kummur Nuggur Talook, Soobah Beejapoor, the seat of Victory.—

Understand that 2 Soleyhee (Beeja=varee) of land situated in the said Village has in accordance with usage been granted as an act of grace in the name of Paupa Bhut son of Iyem Bhut. You must therefore measure the said piece of land define its limits, and put it in possession of the grantee. The grantee shall make the produce of the said land his means of subsistence and be present in the said Village. A new Summud shall not be demanded every year, but deeming this Takeed sufficient you shall act conformably thereto.

Name of the field.
Ooreemoondera Anuntapoorum Toovakhait. 2 Soleega Beejavaree land.

25th. Jumadeelawul, Hijree 1217.

/ True Translation /
/ Signed / H. D. Phillips.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

32-33

To, The Agent to the Governor
Fort St. George, at Kurnool.

Sir,

To the Agent to the Governor Fort St. George at Kurnool. Para 1. In the first case of Lapsed Enams entered in your Report for the 2d. Quarter of 1849 it is observed that the last incumbent, Sasha Sastrulo, left two Widows each of whom has a son, the first widow Parummah

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Parummah aged 72 years and her son Vencata Sashiah aged 24 years, the 2d. widow Vencummah aged 70 years and her son Nagavadanee aged 40 years- and that you recommend the continuance of the Enams in four Villages (with the exception of 3 fields in Capelaiswarum) to Vencatasashiah the younger son for his life should he survive his mother and for her life, if she should survive him-

2- You also state that you are influenced the more in making this recommendation as 8 years ago the Enam in question was assigned to him as his share of deceased's Enams the Elder son Nagavadanee taking some others for his portion, but out of all the Enams held by deceased in the thirteen Villages some of which have been resumed none would appear to have been continued to this individual the Elder son-

3- In reference to this case I am directed to transmit Copies of a letter addressed to the Sudr Udalut and of it's reply respecting the question of hereditary rights of sons of the 1st and subsequent Wives of a Zemindar, and to request that you will state whether, under the answer therein given, you still consider Vencatasashiah the younger son entitled to the Enams in question in preference to the Elder son Nagavadanee-

To Sudr Udalut 26th July 1849. From Sudr Do. 16th inst: 20 August 1849.

4- You will also specify whether any and what portion of deceased's Enams have been continued to the last named individual.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

LC.

Fort St. George 27th May 1850-

Read the following letter

From W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George-

(34)

From the Acting Collr. of Chingleput

Sir,

Para 1- With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department forwarded with your letter of the 4th Ultimo I have the honor to report that the ground close around the Hospital at Wallajabad the extent of which in each side is specified in the margin is the property of Government and that portion situated on the East side bearing a teerva of Rupees 3-4-6 has alone been let out for cultivation for the last 7 years to a Sagawasy Ryot-

	Cs.	As.
West	2	2½
South	1	10½
North	4	12
East	2	5¾

2. The Melitary authorities at that station having about 4 Months ago, represented that Cultivation so close to the Hospital was objectionable it was ordered to be discontinued and the huts alluded to, in the letter

letter of the Superintending Surgeon were at the same time removed.-

Chinglepat District Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Guindy 17th May 1850.

Signed J. Conway
Acting Collector

Order thereon,

Ordered that the forego letter be submitted with the following

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

(34-35)

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Inl. Ltr. 21st March 1850-

Sir,

With reference to an or of Government No. 271 dated 11th March I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Rig Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Chinglepat, dated 17th Inst from which it will be seen that upon representation from the Military au thorities the cultivation close to the Hill bad Hospital has already been dis continued and the huts removed.-

Inl. Ltr. 27th May 1850-

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Signed H. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

Read the following letters

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort St. George

(36)

From the Collector of Tanjore

Reporting on the discrepancy noticed in the Statement forwarded.-

Sir,

With reference to the 6th para of Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards under date 8th April I have the honor to report that the entry in the Statement of Rupees 391 as "not paid" is correct, the Manager ment and receipts from the Punny lands having been made over to the Family in lieu of the ready money payments under the head of Extra Charges. Land under the denomination of Punny is held by the Zemindar himself as a sort of private farm, the whole produce coming to the granary of the Arramanay and not divided between landlord and porracoody as in the other lands. The Cultivation of these Punny lands is carried on by the Zemindars slaves and other dependants whose services are attached to the person of the Zemindar who supports and employs them the year through. When the Estate came under management these Retainers.-

Fort St. George 27th May 1850-

retainers lost their occupation in great part as the system pursued by the Polygar could no longer be maintained, and the slaves were reduced to much distress I therefore acceded to the request of the Widow that the personal farms should be made over to her by which arrangement there will be no actual Extra Charge to the Estate as the amount of revenue derived from the Punny lands was less than the sums sanctioned for extra charges &c.

Tanjore ----
Tranquebar --
3d May 1850. } /Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector-

Order thereon.

(36-37)

The information contained in the foregoing letter appears to the Court to be satisfactory.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(38)

From the Collector of
Tanjore --

Sir;

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings

Fort St. George 27th May 1850-

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 25th April and to report that in the retail sale of Salt at the Pans of this District the Measure is always Struck close to the rim.-

Tanjore, Tranquebar } 3rd May 1850- } /Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector-

Order thereon,

(38-39)

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read the following letters.-

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George-

(40)

From the Collector of
Madura --

Sir;

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 13th July 1846.-

Report on the Boundary dispute between the Keelacotay Zemindary and Mycoody Village in the Trivoy mungalom Talook.--

2. The Extent of land deducted from the Ayacut of the Keelacotay Zemindar in excess of the quantity claimed by the Villages of Mycoody was in dispute with other Villages as will be seen by the following Statement, framed from the accounts of the Keelacotay Zemindary

Ayn-

2407 Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Village of the Reelacotay Zemindary.

Ayen Village.—

		Extent Coolies	As
Mycoody	Cusbah Reelacotay	257	2¾
	2/ Venkataiswara poorum	85	9½
	Coorangolum	18	5¾
		359	2
Arasaputty	2/ Venkataiswara poorum	45	4¾
Virisengolum	Yettenoly	111	2
Gereya Gowndenputty	Reelacotay	10	5½
		106	12¼
	Grand Total	535	14¾

2408 Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

To

The Land which was in dis-
pute with Kraadutty was entered in the
Accounts of Reelacotay only - Nor was
in 1828 by order of the Peter it was found
to comprize 121 ½ Coolies - The dispute
was not settled at that time, but in
March 1837 both parties agreed to a re
Conference, by which 50 Coolies were
entered in the account of the Reela-
cotay Zemindary, and 71 in that of the
Ayen Village of Kraadutty under the Principal
Collector on the 28th March 1837.

The Land also disputed
by the Ayen Village of Veirungalum
is not entered in the account of Reela-
cotay belonging to the Reelacotay
Zemindary - who it has in the water
Shed of the Cherrady Canal it has
never been cultivated and the Vein-
gungalum People gave a Petition re-
linquishing their claim on the 19th
January 1842.

5.

The extent under the
Head of Peria Koundenpuddy has
never been calculated, but is allowed by the
Ayen Villagers to have been always
Reported

Fort St. George 27 May 1850-

possessed by the Zemindar-

6 It seems to me proper that the abovementioned land with the exception of the extent surrendered the Ayn Village of Arasapatty by Rogunnah should be permitted to remain in the possession of the Zemindar.

7. In the Ayacut Abstract of Mycoody for Fusly 1212 a deduction is made in gross and probably on conjecture of 382 3/16 for dispute with the Keelacotay Zemindary- In the detail Cologoo account of that Fusly for the same Village it is not entered. but Thoosawmy Iyen the Nattamgar, a very aged man. has deposed that the Villagers and their Nattamgars himself and another measured it and prepared a separate account which was produced by the Curnum in Fusly 1247- It is interlined in some places and has erasure in other and contains no specification of Turrums or Teerwadies this the total extent of the disputed land is entered at 107 16/16 is shewn in the Tabular Statement attached

Fort St. George 27 May 1850-

to Para 8- In Fusly 1215 Mr. Parish remeasured the Mycoody Village;- and his account which is in the Peyzore records contains an entry as before of 382 3/16 in the gross as deduction for the disputed boundary with Keelacotay without specification of details- He probably copied this amount from the account of Fusly 1212.-

8. The detail of the dispute with each Village in the Zemindary both according to the Zemindary Accounts and the separate Cologoo account of Mycoody is shewn in the subjoined Statement-

× produced in 1847.

Keelacotay

7/411

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Keelacotay Venkataswarapooram Coorangalum

	Land	Taxe.	Land	Taxe.	Land	Taxe.	Total.
	Gools as 6 Rs 4 A		Gools as 6 Rs 4 A		Gools as 6 Rs 4 A		Gools as 6 Rs 4 A
Poonjah	248 1¾	" "	85 9½	" "	16 5¾	" "	" " "
Nunjah	8 15¾	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
	257 1½	" "	85 9½	" "	16 5¾	" "	359 ¾ " "
Deduct Poonjah Ors mem of the barber as entered in the Anjacut of Mycody	4 7¾	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	4 7¾ " "
	252 9½	" "	85 9½	" "	16 5¾	" "	354 9 " "
Deduct Perambokes	89 12	" "	2 8	" "	14 11	" "	106 15 " "
Balance	162 13¾	" "	83 1½	" "	1 10¾	" "	247 10 " "
Deduct waste land	152 14½	" "	64 12¾	" "	1 10¾	" "	219 5½ " "
Balance under Cultivation							
Poonjah	7 14¼	6 22	18 5¼	21 6	" "	" "	26 3½ 27 8 2
Nunjah	2 1	3 10 1	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 1 3 10 1
	9 15¼	9 12 3	18 5¼	21 6	" "	" "	28 4½ 32 2 3
As per Cologoo account of Mycoody recently produced	247 15	" "	139 12¾	" "	19 4½	" "	407 1/16 " "

Mootoosawmy

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.—

9. Mootoosawmy Iyen alluded to above deposed before the Tahsildar that the Zemindar cultivated the land in dispute both before the survey and since; and that the claim and measurement on the part of the Mycoody Villagers took place in consequence of a water dispute.—

10. The Mycoody Villagers assert that the Zemindar having cultivated Fusslies 1211—1212 and 1213, they complained after which he refrained till Fus 1254. The Zemindar however refers to Complaint of cultivation made by the Mycoody Villagers in 1216 and 1217 and to their acknowledgment before the Zemindar on the 29th November 1826, that the lands in dispute with Venkatachoom Poorum belonged to the Zemindar as evidence of his cultivation which they appear to prove.—

11. There is a stone in the North East Corner which both parties acknowledge as a boundary mark. There is another in the South West Corner which by the Mycoody

S.G.B.

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

Mycoody Ryots is alleged to be a boundary mark and denied to be so by the Zemindar who asserts, that it was placed to be a mark of 2 shares of channel close by belonging to Tomliacolum and one share to Mycoody.

12. The Heelacotay Zemindary has separate Fieldwar accounts for the disputed tract and the land which belongs to it without doubt — The extent of the former included in the Heelacotay Village is 257-1/2 and the fields of which it is composed are given in the account and being measured consecutively extend from the stone in the North East along an irregular line to 2 Ponds on the South West, thus including the Manieam land of the Barber of Mycoody which is entered in the detailed Adoogoo Accounts of that Village. The Mycoody Villagers could carry the line in a curved direction from the stone in the North to the other in the South West, thus including some lands in the South West and South under cultivation by the Heelacotay Zemindar — I enclose a sketch Map to explain the matter more clearly.

+ Vide Statement annexed to Para 8

13. Some inhabitants of other Villages whom

Fort St George 27th May 1850

whom I sent to examine the place and me
between the parties proposed to Value the dispu
land between them, to which neither agreed - It
fore necessary to pass a decision leaving the disco
party to sue in the Civil Court.

14th As regards Venkatachwaraporam
Kelacolay the dispute was settled by the Cha
namah in 1825 confirmed by Mr Peter.

15. As regards Cushak Kelacolay
appears to me that the evidence is entirely in
of the Boundary indicated by the accounts of
Kelacolay Zemindary. The accounts of the Myc
Village besides being produced after the expi
many years and invalidated by the testim
of Mootesswamy Iyen are full of erasures an
in detail, disagree in total extent with the ge
deduction in the Adaugoo accounts of the
Village and purport to have been prepared
year afterwards. The circumstance of the Co
of the Barber of Mycoody being situated on
the disputed tract which is the strongest fa
in favor of Mycoody is not a decisive proo

Fort St George 27th May 1850

he is Barber of both Villages and the fact is
candidly shewn in the Kelacolay accounts - On
the other hand the Kelacolay Zemindar has cultivated
in sandy places within the disputed tract, his accounts
have always been clear and full and the Rayeenamah
given by the Mycoody Villagers as regards Venca-
tashwara poroom in 1825 Copy and translate of
which is enclosed equally impugns the correctness
of their alleged boundary with Cushak Kelacolay
in as much as one account contains the line of
division with both and any admission of its being
wrong as regards one must therefore impeach
its trustworthiness as regards the other. -

16. With respect to Coorangolum it
will be seen from the Map that nearly all
the disputed land is north of the stone which
both parties allow to be the boundary. The
decision must necessarily therefore be in favor
of the Kelacolay in this case as well as in the preceding

17. The Survey accounts of Mycoody being
in Cadjan are not enclosed but will be forwarded

I

if the Board still require them.

18. I enclose an account shewing the number of Boundary disputes decided during Blackburne's administration and the number which were left unsettled. Of the latter the greater part have never been made the subject of complaint investigation or brought to notice in any way but are now returned by the Tahsildars in answer to Circular Orders. Attention will be paid to [illegible] many such disputes have been from time to time.

Madura, 26th April, 1850. } /Signed/ R. D. Parker Collector

A. 1 Plan
A. 2

Translate of an Athatchee or Deposition dated 2 November 1825 given to the Circar by Moottoo Iyen and Mooroogappa Moodly Natamagar and Curnum respectively and others of the village of Nyeoody in Tenoonungalum.

The Piece of Poonjah land consisting of 85 9/16 Coolies situated west of the outskirting village of Tombacolem and in dispute between our Village and Veneatashavaraperum, the latter belonging to the Zemindary of Kheleacolay has been measured

and entered in the Survey Accounts of our Village as forming part of its Anyent and deducted as disputed boundary. It has never been enjoyed by us upto the present time but has always been cultivated and enjoyed by the Villagers of Heelacolay &c. In reference to the present order from the Honourable Board directing the incorporation of that land with our Village on making oath to the effect that it belongs to our Village, we beg to state that we are unable to do so, as it is merely indicated by the Survey Accounts of our Village to be a disputed boundary without specification of its position or boundaries and because of the same never having been enjoyed by our Villagers. We therefore bound ourselves voluntarily to abide by any decision of the Circar awarding the land to either of the above Villages

/Signed/ Moottoosawmy Iyen
" Mooroogusspa Moodly Curnom
/marked/ Periaenen
Coopee Naick
Sungelee
Witnesses
/marked/ Soobramanea Pillay
Curnom of Soondrengoondoo
" Soobaraya Iyen Natamgar of Narsothengoody
/Signed/ Veneatanaradiengar Tahsildar
/True translate/
/Signed/ R D Parker
Collector
Boundary

7418

Fort St. Geo[rge]

No 3 Boundary disputes settled during [the]

7th May 1850

late Principal Collector Mr. J. Blackburn's administration

No.	Talooks.	No. Villages	Land involved in dispute.							In favor of the Complainant.				In favor of the Defendant.					
			Poonjah.		Nunjah.		Total.		Poonjah.		Nunjah.		Total.						
			Cawe	Ac	Cawe	Ac	Cawe	Ac	Cawe										
1	Madacolum	13	128	1/4	22	6	150	6 1/4	8	13 1/2	27	9 1/2	109	4 1/4	13	8 1/2	122	12 3/4	
2	Thiroomungalum	5	98	5 1/2	"	-	98	5 1/2	"	"	29	1 1/4	69	4 1/4	"	"	69	4 1/4	
3	Meyloor	25	999	6	18	7 1/4	1017	13 1/4	6	3 3/4	154	6 1/2	687	3 3/4	12	3 1/2	699	7 1/4	Cawe. as 163-13 1/2 to be settled
4	Ramgherry	4	968	15 1/2	"	-	968	13 1/2	"	"	359	15	609	1/2	"	"	609	1/2	
5	Tandicoulloo	12	2238	13 1/2	22	12 3/4	2261	10 1/4	22	12 3/4	2202	7	59	3 1/4	"	"	59	3 1/4	
6	Thenecurray	9	6927	7 3/4	"	"	6927	7 3/4	"	"	3529	14 1/2	3397	9 1/4	"	"	3397	9 1/4	
7	Tyempully	3	177	5 1/4	"	"	177	5 1/4	"	"	163	6 1/4	13	15	"	"	13	15	
8	Nellacottah	3	1824	14 3/4	"	"	1824	14 3/4	"	"	112	14 1/2	1712	1/4	"	"	1712	1/4	
	Total	74	13363	4 1/2	63	10	13426	14 1/2	37	14	6579	10 1/2	6657	8 1/2	25	12	6683	4 1/2	

Madura,
26th April, 1850

E. E. [illegible]

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Boundary

Fort St George 27th May 1850

Boundary disputes to be settled.

No.	Talookes	No. of Villages	Total Poonjah Cawe	Total Poonjah As.	Total Nunjah Cawe	Total Nunjah As.	Total Cawe	Total As.
1	Madacolum	17	169	11¾	34	11½	204	7
2	Sivoomungalom	19	2379	15	9	8½	2389	7½
3	Meyloor	2	27	5½	"	"	27	5½
4	Ramgherry	1	158	4½	"	"	158	4½
5	Sandicoulloo	1	301	14¼	"	"	301	14¼
6	Thencurray	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Syempully	8	1738	4	8	2½	1746	6½
8	Nellacottah	4	1499	7½	"	"	1479	7½
	Total	52	6254	14½	52	6¼	6307	4¾

Madura ....
26th April, 1850. } E. E. Dr /Signed/ R.D. for the Collr

Fort St George 27th May 1850.

Proceedings communicating to the Collector of Madura certain observations respecting the Boundary dispute between the zemindar of Kielacotay and the Ryots of the Myacoody Village in the Sivoomungalam Talook with certain instructions and desiring that he will use his best endeavours to have all other disputes brought to a conclusive settlement.

(40-41 of Gang in Cons 19 July 1850)

Thereon / The first distinct notice the Board had of the Boundary dispute now under consideration was in the Collector's letter noted in the Margin who reported that the Ryots of the Village of Myacoody had charged the zemindar of Kielacotay† with having taken illegal possession of 380 Goolies of land which belonged to their Village. The Collector further reported that on examination made it was found that in the survey accounts of Fusly 1212 the land in question is entered as "disputed boundary" and that with the exception of about 5 Goolies the Curnum and Nattamgar of Myacoody admit that no part of it has ever been cultivated by the Myacoody ryots or taxe paid from the time of survey.

† One of the Polly Polliams of Madura.

From Coll[r] of Madura 2[nd] August 1836 para 15 in Cons 15th Aug[t] 1836

2. He further reports that in the Tucaveey accounts of Fusleo 1211 and 1212 the taxe levied on what was then cultivated is entered under a distinct head from the other items of revenue. Since 1212 it has been cultivated and enjoyed by the Zemindar.

3. The Survey and Settlement accounts of Myacoody and those of the Zemindary, both shew a portion of land set

a side

aside as "disputed," but whilst the ayen Vil
account makes it 382 1/16 Goolies the Zemindars
account enters it 542 5/16 Goolies of Poonjah and 3
Cawnies of Nunjah.

4. With the above letter Mr Blaith
submitted a Statement of the particulars of the
composing the Heelacolay Zemindary taken f
the Peishcush Settlement accounts of Fusly 12
this the extent of disputed land is entered
stated in the close of the preceding para and
deducted from the Gross Ayacut before calcu
the Peishcush of 440-2-8.

Wage	Pans	Cash
125	34	26

5. In their proceedings noted in
13th July 1846. Margin the Board request information as
the extent of disputed land entered in
Zemindary accounts over and above the quantity
entered in the Myacoody accounts and whether
the land cultivated by the Zemindar be really
deducted from the Myacoody ayacut.

26th April in Form
27th May 1850

6. To this Mr Parker replies in the
now before the Board. Hee shews that the extent of
in dispute between the Zemmdary Myacoody is 357
and besides this that the accounts shew 196-12 acres
disp

disputed between 3 Villages of the Zemindary and
3 of the Cirear, making a total of 555-14 1/4 G. A. The
Board in their Proceedings mentioned 546 Goolies.
It should have been more properly 542 1/4 Goolies
of Poonjah and 4 Cawnies of Nunjah, a Cawnie being
nearly 2 1/2 Goolies.

7. As the value of the Zemindar's claim
to the land disputed with Myacoody is affected in
some measure by what has taken place with
regard to the 196-12 A. A. disputed between the other
Villages they will be first noticed.

Cirear	Zemindary
I Arsapully	and Veneatariswarapoorum.
II Verisengolum	and yettinally
III Gounderpully	and Heelacolay;

8. In dispute I the extent was not
entered in the Cirear Accounts at all but according
to the Zemindary accounts it was 75-4 3/4 C. A. When
measured 22 years ago it was found to be nearly
122 Goolies. In 1837 A. D a compromise was made
by which 50 Goolies were given up to the Zemindary.

9. In dispute II The extent is not entered
in the Cirear Accounts at all. It lies in the waterspread
of a Tank belonging to the Zemindary and has never been
cultivated. In 1847 the Cirear Ryots relinquished their
claim in writing.

10. In dispute No III The land has not been cultivated
but the Cirear Ryots allow that possession has always been
with the Zemindar.

To

11. To return to the original dispute with Myacoody, it is found that the claim and which by the Zemindary account is partly in the 3 Villages named in the Margin. The total as it is observed differs from that (380) claimed by the Myacoody ryots in Mr. Blackburne's letter of 2nd August 1836. Mr. Parker refers to "that" in the Myacoody Ayacut Abstract as "of Fusly 1212 a deduction is made in gross "and probably on conjecture of 382 3/16 for the "Heelacottay Zemindary". In the old Aoloogoo account of the same Fusly, it is not entered at all, a Deposition was taken from the old Nattamgar who stated that he and the measured it and prepared a separate account which was produced by the Curnum in 1227 Fasly this makes it out 207- 3/16. Mr. Parker seems to have much doubt of the authenticity of this document as it was probably made in connection with the complaint reported by Mr. Blackburne that same year. Mr. Parker also mentions a measurement of the whole Village by order of Mr. Parish

Circar	Zemindary	G
Myacoody	I Heelacotay	257
	II Venatacowara poorum	85
	III Coorongolum	16
		359

long ago as Fusly 1215. In that account 382-3 are deducted from the gross Ayacut as in the account of Fusly 1212, but he doubts if this part was actually measured.

12. It seems impossible to identify the actual portion of disputed land by the Circar accounts as the Fields are not mentioned but merely a deduction made from the gross Ayacut and in the more detailed Aoloogoo account it is omitted altogether. The extent noted in the Margin of Para 11 of these Proceedings is from the Zemindary accounts which were made out Fieldwar and shew the exact fields claimed.

13. Mr. Parker forwards a Map which though always a valuable assistance might have been of more use to the Board in the present instance had the lands of the various Villages been coloured separately as well as the fields claimed by the Zemindar and the Circar Ryots respectively, neither is there any thing to show which is the SW stone mentioned in para 11.

14. The case however appears to the Board to be this. There is a Stone at the NE acknowledged as a Boundary

Fort St. George 27th May 18

Boundary by both parties and the land no
(Nos III in Margin of para 11) undoubtedly bel
the Zemindar.

15. Mr Parker shows that the fields
in the Zemindar's Account when actually measu
very nearly made up the exact extent claim
C Ac
257 - 2 - That they commenced at a poi
allowed by both parties to be a boundary
were edged on one side by 2 Bunds also all
by both to be boundaries.

16. The Cicar Ryots pointed out
(not specified in the Accounts) which when add
together made up nearly the amount claimed
them but included some lands under cultiv
by the Zemindar and their so called bounda
seems to have been very irregular.

17. It is true the Zemindar's measurement
closed a spot entered in the Myacoody accounts
their Parker's Manem, Mr Parker says but little
on the as the Manicondar is barber to both
leged and the Hereditary accounts do not supp
the fact.

Paras 10 and 15 of Mr Parker's letter

18. So much for the lands referred to in Col
Margin to para 11 [illegible]

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

Encl 2 to Mr Parker's letter.

1825 the Cicar Ryots gave a written acknowledgement that they had but little claim to the land and agreed to leave it to the Collector's decision which was confirmed by Mr Peter against them.

19. It is clear therefore that in 2 cases out of the 3 the Myacoody Ryots are in the wrong. That in the 3 other disputes referred to in para 7 two were settled in favour of the Zemindar and the 3d was settled by division. That the accounts of the Village of Myacoody are only produced after a lapse of many years and invalidated by the testimony of the Natamgar himself whose separate account differs materially. On the other hand the Zemindar has cultivated within the disputed land both before and since the Survey whereas the Cicar Ryots have not cultivated at all. The Zemindar's accounts have been clear and full and contrast strongly with those of Myacoody and altogether it appears to the Board that justice demands that the whole of the land in dispute detailed in para 11 should at once be declared to appertain to the Zemindary.

20. Mr Parker has not however alluded to

to the proposition made by Mr Blackburne
para 4 of his letter of 1st June 1846 and the
point necessary to be taken into consideration
It appears from the Enclosure to that letter the
the Peishcush of Heelacotay was fixed in
reference only to the land concerning which the
was no dispute and exclusive of the lands
tailed in Paras 7 and 11 of these Proceedings;
Total of which is 555 - 14 4/40, corresponding as
observed (Para 6) with the Enclosure of Mr
letter. Some portions of this land are waste but
regards those that have been cultivated by
Zemindar a proportionate enhancement of Peish
should be made - Mr Parker will therefore
passing any final orders report to the Bd
what addition should be made to the Peish
and obtain from the Zemindar his consent
it, in the event of Government permitting the
disputed lands to be made over to him.

21- The Collector will use his best endeavours
to have all other disputes of a like nature brought to
a conclusive settlement.

42

From the Collector of Madura . . .

To,
W. H Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St George -

Sir,

With reference to the Petition addressed to the Court of Sudr Udalut by the Vakeels of Ranee Unganootoo Nachear on the 18th Ultimo forwarded with your Proceedings of the 11th Instant received last night, I have the honor to request the opinion of the Court whether it was competant to me to have attached the Shevagunga Estates previous to the receipt of the authority of the Governor in Council to take it under charge of the Court of Wards, and whether I posses legal power to compel the Servants of the late Zemindar to account for sums received and disbursed during the life time of the late Zemindar and while it was in his possession or subsequently between the date of his death and the date of the assumption of the Estate under charge of the Court of Wards - Section II Regulation V of 1804 appears to me to forbid such interference.

Madura, 26th April, 1850.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Ordered

7429 Fort S. George 27th May 1850

Ordered that the follow
be sent in reply.

(42-43)

To the Collector of
Madura ...

In Cons. 27th May 1850

To,

The Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

In reply to your letter
20th April I am directed by the Court of
to inform you that in their opinion it was
competent for you to have attached the
gunga Estate previous to the receipt of the
rity of the Governor in Council.

2. They also consider that you cannot
compel the Servants of the late Zemindar to ac
for sums received and disbursed during the
life time; but in regard to expenditure made
the interval that elapsed between his death and
assumption of the Estate by the Court of Wards
arise in which it would be incumbent on you to
those who had charge of the property account for
interests of the Zemindary may require it, especially
ference to the correctness of the Inventory which the
is bound to furnish under Clause 2 Section XII Regn
of 1804. This is a point on which you should not fail
yourself.

Revenue Board Office
Fort S. George
27th May, 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

7430. Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Read the following letter

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(44)

From the Collector of Madura ...

Sir,

As the bundle of record connected with the appeal of the Nattamgars of Veerathanoor in Madacolum returned with the Board's Proceedings of the 25th April did not contain the draft of my decision and its Tamil translation the latter bearing my Office Seal and Signature, I have the honor to request that, if forthcoming they may be transmitted to me as soon as convenient.

Madura, 17th May, 1850.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(44-45)

The Board observe that the english document referred to in the foregoing letter is a copy of the Collectors decision, and not the original draft, it has therefore been retained in this Office. The Tamil translation bearing the Collectors Seal and Signature is returned herewith.

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly ...

Sir

With reference to the Board's
Endorsement

Endorsement on Petition No. 94 of 1850 a
with returned, I have the honor to inf
you that the Petitioner is Brother
to Ramaswamy Chinnaya Naicken
Zemindar of Nunnarcottah, that a Mar
of 6 Pons or Rupees 12-11-7 per annum w
granted to the Petitioner for his subsist
by the said Zemindar in Fusly 1254, a
enjoyed by him up to Fusly 1257 and that
there is in support of the grant a Sunnu
issued by the late Zemindar to the Pet
num dated 29th January 1846.

2. The Estate came under
Circar Management in the middle of Fs
1257 when the Collector (Mr. Thomas) ord
the Maniem of that Fusly to be paid to the
Petitioner and that it should be discont
from Fusly 1258 in consequence of it being a
cent grant.

3. In an Urze from Rance Krishnamah
of the late Zemindar and Mother of the present Zem
dated 30th August last she requests that as the Mar
in question was granted by her husband and en
by the Petitioner her Brother up to Fusly 1257 it m
be continued to him. Under these circumstances
objection to the continuance of the Maniem if the Boa
it right.

I am etc.
Trichendoor, 30th April, 1850. (Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(46-47) As the Estate is encumbered with debt, the Court of Wards cannot allow any portion of the property to be disposed of as Maaniem.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 94 of 1850.

"Petitioner is referred to the Acting Collector to whom the orders of the Board on his case have been communicated."

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(48)

From the Collector of Canara ... } Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 22d Ultimo, I have the honor to forward a Statement revised in the manner pointed out in Para 1, together with the separate and detailed Statement of each item for Fusly A.D. 1254 / 1844-45 as called for in 2d Para 2 of your letter.

In the event of the Statement now forwarded being approved of, the two remaining printed forms will be filled up, and I beg to request that another blank form may be transmitted to me in lieu of the one returned to me with the Board's letter under reply.

Collector's Cutcherry, } (Signed) T. L. Blane
Mangalore, 18th May, 1850. } Collector.
District

7433

Fort St. ge

7th May 1850.

Distric Lanara.

	Extent of Wet Cultivation.			Extent of	Cultivation.		Extent of Baghajet or Garden Cultivation.				
	Ordinary Produce.	Sugar.	Total.	Cotton.	Ordinary Produce.	Total.	Tobacco.	Ordinary Produce.	Total.	Extent of Cultivation.	Grand Total.
1835/36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1836/37											
1837/38											
1838/39											
1839/40											
Total											
1840/41											
1841/42											
1842/43											
1843/44											
1844/45											
Total											
Average of 1st. 5 years.	Unknown				Unknown.			Unknown.			
Average 2d. 5 years											

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 7th May 1850.

Land Revenue.

Demand for the year.						Cultivation for the year, Current and arrears.											
Under Permanent Settlement.	Under Lease Settlement.	Under annual Settlement.	Total.	Other sources of Revenue.	Grand Total.	Under Permanent Settlement.		Under Lease Settlement.		Under annual Settlement.		Total.		Other Sources of Revenue.		Grand Total.	
Rs.	a	p															
						Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1835/36 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1777280	11 5	1777280	11 5					4151	3 10	1781431	15 3
1836/37 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1790068	1 2	1790068	1 2					6896	11 10	1796964	13 "
1837/38 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1803556	5 2	1803556	5 2					5207	" 5	1808763	5 7
1838/39 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1808411	3 1	1808411	3 1					5202	13 8	1813614	" 9
1839/40 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1820334	" 4	1820334	" 4					5469	4 5	1826102	8 5
Total ———	"	"	"	"	"	8999949	8 10	8999949	8 10					26927	2 2	9026876	11 "
1840/41 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1832653	1 7	1832653	1 7					5465	11 1	1838018	12 8
1841/42 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1841862	3 2	1841862	3 2					5378	13 4	1847633	2 2
1842/43 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1845144	8 7	1845144	8 7					7218	8 10	1852408	4 9
1843/44 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1852189	9 1	1852189	9 1					6809	13 9	1858861	6 11
1844/45 ———	"	"	"	"	"	1858094	4 10	1858094	4 10					7174	11 5	1865899	3 9
Total ———	"	"	"	"	"	9229544	12 3	9229544	12 3					32047	10 5	9262720	14 3
Average of 1st 5 years.	"	"	"	"	"	1799989	14 6	1799989	14 6					5385	6 10	1805375	5 4
Average of 2nd 5 years.	"	"	"	"	"	1845968	15 3	1845968	15 3					6409	8 6	1852544	2 10

7435

Fort St. George 7th May 1850.

Disbursements Public Works.

	Connected with Irrigation.		Connected Roads and Roads.		Other Works.		Total.	
	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p	Rupees	a p
1835/36			" " "		7,567	4 6	10,503	2 5
1836/37			" " "		8,165	4 10	9,331	1 6
1837/38			" " "		6,912	10 5	11,307	5 "
1838/39			" " "		10,655	14 7	12,191	14 "
1839/40	190	1 7	1,345		13208	11 1	28428	9 2
Total	190	1 7	24922		46,599	13 5	71,762	" 1
1840/41			" " "		13,550	9 9	19,415	5 9
1841/42			" " "		7,867	1 4	11,089	15 5
1842/43			" " "		21,894	12 2	25,736	4 3
1843/44			" " "		7,291	13 9	10,640	9 3
1844/45	230	" "	3,118		10,962	8 4	15,111	5 11
Total	230	" "	3,923		61,566	13 4	81,993	8 7
Average of 1st 5 years	455	10 "	19,971		9,319	15 6	14,352	6 5
Average of 2d 5 years	38	" 4	4,994		12,313	5 10	16,398	11 3
	91	2 "	3,994					

/Signed/ T. L. Blane
Collector.

Detailed

7436

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 7th May 1850.

No. 2. Detailed Statement shewing the par[illegible] of which each of the Items exhibited in the account sent to the Board is composed as corresponding with the Jummabundy accounts and the Demand Collection and Balance Sheets in Cash for Fusly 1254 - A.D. 1844/45.

Items.	Demand of the year. Land Revenue. Under annual settlement. Rs a p	Other sources of Revenue. Rs a p	Collection of the year. Items.	Current and arrears. Land Revenue. Under annual settlement. Rs a p	Other sources of Revenue. Rs a p
Land Revenue and Deva diem as per Statement of D.C. and Balance and the Jumma: bundy accounts 18,57,786-6-13. Add Quit Rent as per Dr Dr 308-8-			Land Revenue including Devadiem as per Statement of D.C. and Balance and the Jummabundy accounts - 18,19,699-6-8 Add Quit Rent as per Ditto. Ditto 308-8-		
Sundry small farms as per accounts and the Statement of D.C. and Balance exclusive of Ferry and ameendevi Revenue.	18,58,094 14 10	" " " 6,312 "		1,82,007 14 8	
Increase of Cultivation as per Statement of Gross Collections and Charges	" " "	1372 "	Arrears of Land Revenue as per Statement of D.C. and Balance and the Jummabundy accounts	47,728 15 2	
Fractions or Gain by Exchange as per Ditto	" " "	25 "	Sundry small farms as per Amanic accounts and the Statement of D.C. and Balance exclusive of Ferry and ameendevi Revenue Viz: Current — 5,483-15-1. arrears — 288-14-1.	" " "	5772 15 2
Sundry Extra farm not included in the Settlement as per Ditto.	" " "	4 "	Increase of Cultivation as per Statement of Gross Collections and Charges	" " "	1,372 3 4
			Fractions or Gain by Exchange as per Dr	" " "	25 1 11
			Sundry Extra farm as per Ditto	" " "	4 9 "
	18,58,094 14 10	7,714 "		18,77,736 13 10	7,174 11 5

/Signed/ T.L. Blane
Collector. Ordered.

7437. Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

Order thereon.

(48-49)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(50)

From the Collector of Malabar. } Sir

The Board of Revenue in their letter of the 1st March 1847 objected to pay the Extra Tent allowance drawn by my late Collector Mr. Chatfield for 8 days at Calicut and 16 days at Drake but under the explanation afforded by that Officer on the 13th Idem, were pleased, under date the 8th April following to pass the charges - from some inadvertence however the number of days at Drake was granted as 12 in place of 16 days. The Civil auditor on having retrenched the amount drawn for the difference of 4 days, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the special sanction of the Board for the same.

I take this opportunity of correcting a clerical mistake in the statement of Extra Tent allowance furnished by me for Fusly 1255 or from 12th July 1845 to 11th July 1846, the number days for which Mr. Cook drew Extra Tent allowance in the Month of February 1846 was 28

a

7438. Fort St. George 27th. May 1850.

as entered in my accounts, in place of 26 as shewn in the Statement above alluded to, and I shall therefore feel obliged by your likewise obtaining the special sanction of the Board for the difference of two days thus omitted -

I have &c.
(Signed) W. T. Connolly
Collector.

Calicut, 11th. May, 1850.

Proceedings thereon.

(50-51)

Under the explanation contained in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue sanction the Extra Tent allowance drawn by Mr. Chatfield during his residence at Drake on the 30th April and 1st to 3rd May 1846 in excess of that sanctioned on the 1st March and 8th April 1847. The total Number of days to which he will be entitled to Extra Tent allowance in Fusly 1255 is 183-

The Board also sanction the Extra Tent allowance drawn by Mr. Cook for 2 days in February 1846 in excess of the 332 days for which sanction has been granted on the 1st March 1847. The total number of days to which he will be entitled to Extra Tent allowance in Fusly 1255 is 334-

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil auditor.

Read the following letter.

To

7439 Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

To

The Secretary of

Revenue Board.

52

From the Secretary to the Military Board.. } Sir,

I have the honor under instructions from the Military Board request you will be good enough to lay their request to the Board of Revenue to favor this Department as early as practicable with the information requested in letter No 1229 of 19th March last, regarding the site for a new Penetentiary in the Tonamalle Bo

Military Board Office, Fort St. George,..... 18th May, 1850.... } I/Signed/ P. Anstruther Secretary

Resolution thereon-

52-53

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madras, with a request that he will expedite the dispatch of his reply to the Board's Proceedings dated 11th Ultimo.

DF

7440 Fort St. George 27th May 1850

Read the following letter

To W.H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

54

From the Superintendent of Stamps..... } Sir

I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the particulars of three Proposals received for the supply of the several articles required for the use of this office pursuant to the notification published in the Official Gazette of the 26th Ultimo from which it will be observed that the Tender of Vanoo Naidoo No 1 is the lowest and would beg leave to recommend that the Contract be given to him for a period of two years commencing from this date, upon his producing adequate security for the fulfilment of the same.

Fort St. George. General Stamp Office. 13th May 1850..... } I/Signed/ A.F. Bruce Supt. of Stamps.

List

7441

Fort St. G. 27th May 1850

List of Sealed proposals received for the [illegible] Gene[illegible] of the undermentioned Articles for the use of the Stamp Office.-

Names	Date	6 Dozen Wooden Chest	4 Dozen Wooden Chest	3 Dozen Wooden Chest	[illegible] for	Gunny per Piece	Tape per 100 Cubits	coarse Country paper per 2 reams	Gunny twine per Viss	Bengal twine per Viss	Mat per Piece	Gunny Rope	Nail per Viss.	Total
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1 P. Vanoo Naidoo —	1850 May 10th	1 15.	1 10.	1 6.	1	- 23 - 8.	- 3 -	- 43 - 6.	- 16 -	26 - 2.				8 7 4
2 Vencatachellum —	May 10th	2 4.	1 8.	1 6.	1	- 26 - 62	23 -	53 - 6.	- 17 -	36 - 2.				8 14 3
3 B. Goveroosawmy Chetty	May 10th	1 14.	1 26	1 6.	1	- 24 - 8.	- 4. -	6. - 12.	- 17 -	2. - 8.				8 10 5
Late Contract —		2 -.	1 12.	1 7.	1	- 25 - 63	24 -	53 - 8.	- 17 -	36 - 2.				8 14 4

Fort St. George General Stamp [illegible]

13th May 1850. (Signed) A. F. Bruce

Superintendent of Stamps

Agreeably

Resolution thereon

(54-55)

agreeably to the recommendation of the Superintendent of Stamps the Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the Tender of P. Vanroi Naick for the supply, on contract, of certain articles for the use of the General Office for a period of two years, commencing from the 13th May 1850 under the usual adequate security.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

(56)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1849 to 31st July 1850 of Fusly 1259 compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1258.-

Abstract

2. Abstract B shews a net decrease in the aggregate of Rupees 2,78,938--7 resulting from a net Decrease of Rupees 5,14,268--7 in the Collections of Land Revenue and a Net Increase of Rupees 2,35,330--- in the Collections from Extra sources of Revenue.-

Decrease in the Collections of Current...	9,82,172-9--
2d Increase in the Collections of arrears.....	4,67,904-8-5
	5,14,268--7

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources in the several Districts.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
27th May 1850.

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary

General

A General Statement shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue in the several Districts

		Fasly 1258			Fasly 1259			Collections of the Revenue	
		Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Zillah of Ganjam	21,756 9 9	7,05,672 9 10	7,27,429 3 7	25,266 5 3	6,14,007 2 3	6,39,273 7 6	3,509 11 6	
2	Vizagapatam	32,668 13 1	7,05,587 . 6	7,38,255 13 7	23,351 4 1	7,69,534 2 10	7,92,885 6 11	" " "	6,394 7
3	Rajahmundry	68,261 14 3	13,44,604 5 7	14,12,866 3 10	84,082 12 6	12,56,318 7 6	13,40,401 4 -	15,820 14 3	
4	Masulipatam	14,7451 8 5	36,1898 . -	50,9349 8 5	11,5311 4 4	2,34,423 7 -	3,49,734 11 4	" " "	
5	Guntoor (including Palnaad)	42,130 2 1	9,33,671 15 10	9,75,802 1 11	2,00,376 2 7	9,05,142 15 3	11,05,519 1 10	158246 - 6	
6	Chingleput	123,641 14 -	2,85,017 13 5	4,08,659 11 5	1,75,662 7 6	2,24,326 9 5	3,99,989 - 11	52,020 9 6	
7	Salem (including Baraghaut)	71,443 13 4	10,56,320 9 6	11,27,764 6 10	51,990 6 11	8,95,692 6 7	9,47,682 13 6	" " "	
8	Madura	153,169 9 6	8,14,915 14 7	9,68,085 8 1	3,22,850 1 8	7,34,419 14 2	10,57,269 15 10	169,680 8 2	
9	Nellore	47,099 4 7	12,71,761 5 6	13,18,860 10 1	94,646 8 1	12,55,825 5 3	13,50,471 13 4	47,547 3 6	
10	North Division of Arcot	72,359 3 7	11,41,164 2 5	12,13,523 6 -	54,189 . 3	9,51,346 7 2	10,05,535 7 5	" " "	
11	South Division of Arcot	2,98,802 4 2	9,54,323 2 8	12,53,125 6 10	3,44,960 3 -	9,45,829 6 10	12,90,789 9 10	46,157 14 10	
12	Tanjore	19,352 3 7	16,78,922 15 9	16,98,275 3 4	15,891 13 -	16,74,044 10 9	16,89,936 7 9	" " "	
13	Trichinopoly	9,421 1 9	10,74,296 2 9	10,83,717 4 6	17,038 2 6	10,06,263 1 9	10,23,301 4 3	7,617 - 9	
14	Tinnevelly	17,160 2 2	12,22,773 9 4	12,39,933 11 6	34,710 3 6	12,07,493 6 11	12,42,203 10 5	17,550 1 4	
15	Bellary	17,246 8 6	16,14,008 2 3	16,31,254 10 9	20,611 3 4	16,10,833 4 11	16,31,444 8 3	3364 10 10	
16	Cuddapah	81,278 13 6	13,68,935 8 5	14,50,214 5 11	1,21,756 7 5	13,43,457 6 5	14,65,213 13 10	40,477 9 11	
17	Coimbatore	11,462 6 6	15,24,510 13 -	15,35,973 3 6	10,717 1 3	15,34,394 12 4	15,45,111 13 7	" " "	9,883 12
18	Canara	21,355 15 4	8,64,292 12 7	8,85,648 11 11	19,254 3 11	8,85,274 8 11	9,04,528 12 10	" " "	20,981 12
19	Malabar	7,061 14 9	10,61,316 9 7	10,68,378 8 4	4,088 7 9	10,51,867 7 6	10,55,955 15 3	" " "	
20	Madras { Land Customs								
	Toll collected on Cochrane's Canal	1,650 15 6	37,569 - 8	39,220 - 2	2,642 12 7	37,316 13 -	39,959 9 7	991 13 1	
	Sea Customs	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
21	Kurnool	2,921 10 11	5,68,779 2 9	5,71,700 13 8	9,379 7 8	4,70,357 7 2	4,79,736 14 10	6,457 12 9	
	Total	12,67,696 13 3	205,90,341 12 11	218,58,038 10 2	17,48,776 7 1	196,08,169 3 11	213,56,945 11 -	5,69,441 14 11	94,812 14
	Add Old Balances	" " "	" " "	48,871 10 6	" " "	" " "	35,696 9 1	" " "	
	Grand Total			219,06,910 4 8			213,92,642 1		
	Deduct Collections to the end of February			165,73,217 11 3			160,29,433 9 4		
	Collections in March			53,33,692 9 5			53,63,208 10 9		

27th May 1850

the Madras Presidency from 12th July 1849 to 31st March 1850

and Current Revenue Comparison

Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Increase	Decrease
10	11	12	13	14	15
3,509 11 6	- - -	91,665 7 7	91,665 7 7	- - -	88,155 12 1
63,947 2 4	9,317 9 -	- - -	9,317 9 -	54,629 9 4	- - -
158,20 14 3	- - -	88,285 14 1	88,285 14 1	- - -	72,464 15 10
- - -	32,140 4 1	127,774 9 -	159,614 13 1	- - -	159,614 13 1
158,246 - 6	- - -	28,529 - 7	28,529 - 7	129,716 15 11	- - -
52,020 9 6	- - -	60,691 4 -	60,691 4 -	- - -	8,670 10 6
- - -	19,453 6 5	160,628 2 11	180,081 9 4	- - -	180,081 9 4
169,680 8 2	- - -	80,496 - 5	80,496 - 5	89,184 7 9	- - -
47,547 3 6	- - -	15,936 - 3	15,936 - 3	31,611 3 3	- - -
- - -	18,170 3 4	189,817 11 3	207,987 14 7	- - -	207,987 14 7
46,157 14 10	- - -	8,493 11 10	8,493 11 10	37,664 3 -	- - -
- - -	3,460 6 7	4,878 5 -	8,338 11 7	- - -	8,338 11 7
7,617 - 9	- - -	68,033 1 -	68,033 1 -	- - -	60,416 - 3
17,550 1 4	- - -	15,280 2 5	15,280 2 5	2,269 14 11	- - -
3,364 10 10	- - -	3,174 13 4	3,174 13 4	189 13 6	- - -
40,477 9 11	- - -	25,478 2 -	25,478 2 -	14,999 7 11	- - -
9,883 15 4	7,455 3	- - -	7,455 3	9,138 10 1	- - -
20,981 12 4	2,101 11 5	- - -	2,101 11 5	18,880 - 11	- - -
- - -	2,973 7 -	9,449 2 1	12,422 9 1	- - -	12,422 9 1
991 13 1	- - -	252 3 8	252 3 8	739 9 5	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
6,457 12 9	- - -	98,421 11 7	98,421 11 7	- - -	91,963 14 10
66,425,412 11	88,362 5 1	1,076,985 7 -	1,165,347 12 1	38,902,4 - -	890,116 15 2
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5,115 10 7	18,290 12 -
				394,139 10 7	908,407 11 2
				2d Increase	394,139 10 7
				Net Decrease	514,268 - 7

7445

Fort St. George 27th May 1850

of Fusly 1259 Compared with the Collections in the Period of Fusly 1258:-

	Salt						Alkarry					
	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
1	132,002 10 .	203,957 5 3	71,954 11 3									
2	76,175 2 .	100,175 7 -	24,000 5 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	" " "	" " "	37869 1 9	38430 1 10	561 - 1	- - -
3	121,385 13 -	140,349 1 -	18,963 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	34,958 11 8	29,458 3 -	- - -	5500 8 8
4	2,704,403 2	2,232,232 2 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	" " "	85 1 10	55,614 10 11	52,946 9 5	- - -	2,668 1 6
5	3,024,501 14 4	3,073,981 2 4	49,479 14 -	38,208 1 2	28,473 1	27,621 -	311 15 2	- - -	24,703 4 3	28,806 2 3	4,102 14 -	- - -
6	171,128 14 5	191,657 8 3	20,528 9 10	- - -	23,438 10	17,118 11	- - -	624 14 9	13,272 14 -	13,229 4 -	- - -	43 10 -
7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	50,452 2 9	55,710 12 -	5,258 9 3	- - -
8	2,455,271 5 3	2,410,428 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	57,097 12 9	55,754 8 -	- - -	1343 4 9
9	372,539 7 10	389,009 8 1	15,470 - 3	4,485 7 3	1021 5 11	962 6 -	- - -	58 15 11	4,619 9 -	33,673 - -	- - -	6946 9 -
10	6,754 8 .	8145 - -	1390 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	20,741 5 11	21,260 7 8	519 1 9	- - -
11	152,060 . 6	162,409 3 4	10,349 2 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	87,294 12 9	82,090 8 -	- - -	5204 4 9
12	324,811 10 6	333,799 15 7	8,988 5 1	- - -	17,313 14 1	33,829 14 -	16,515 12 5	- - -	54,188 9 3	63,606 5 6	9,417 12 3	- - -
13	" - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	9,919 8 1	14,026 8 1	4,107 - 4	- - -	65,704 8 -	71,714 - -	6,009 8 -	- - -
14	215,347 12 10	217,191 - 1	1843 3 3	- - -	38,419 2 7	33,246 2 -	- - -	- - -	15,315 15 2	16,391 15 -	1,075 15 10	- - -
15	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5,242 - 1	4,358 9 -	- - -	- - -	18222 8 -	15,572 - -	- - -	2,650 8 -
16	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5173 - 1	207,616 5 9	208,168 13 8	552 7 11	- - -
17	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	4,412 3 4	7,173 14 -	- - -	883 7 1	72,309 7 11	76,063 1 8	3753 9 9	- - -
18	2,696,858 4	2,800,242 12 9	103,394 5	- - -	85 10 5	130 2 6	- - -	- - -	44,641 13 -	44,370 - -	- - -	271 13 -
19	2,350,281 2 7	2,253,581 1 4	- - -	96,700 1 3	88 8 5	163 6 4	2,761 10 8	- - -	40,992 13 3	45,426 8 1	4,433 10 10	- - -
20	2,736,448 -	2,797,411 4 5	60,976 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	44 8 1	- - -	41,529 15 1	41,753 14 10	223 15 9	- - -
	" - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	74 13 11	- - -	41,760 6 6	44,843 3 10	3,082 13 4	- - -
	" - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	" - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	31,699,831 2 9	33,124,921 3 5	1,948,721 0 4	523,639 8	4,939 8 4	4,064 15 2	- - -	874 8 7	53,417 11 3	50,991 7 3	- - -	2,426 4 -
	" - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	52 4 8	- - -	23,815 12 7	7,700 - 3	14,832 4 6 11	14,602 6 0 14 -	389 91 6 9	270 54 15 8
	31,699,831 2 9	33,124,921 3 5	1,948,721 0 4	523,639 8	112,684 14 10	128,748 6 6	- - -	524 8	259 12 4	68 11 9	14 9 7	205 10 2
		523,639 8	Dr. Decrease				23,815 12 7	7,752 4 11	14,858 4 3 3	14,603 2 9 9	390 06 - 4	272 60 9 10
	27,510,357 10	28,083,809 -					7,752 4 11	Dr. Decrease			272 60 9 10	Dr. Decrease
	4,189,484 11	504,112 4 5					16,063 7 8	Net Increase			117 45 6 6	Net Increase
		142,509 - 8	Net Increase									
					100,966 5 10	110,705 10 8			125,064 2 7	127,041 4 5		
					11,718 9 -	18,042 11 10			197,940 - 8	189,914 11 4		

7445

Fort St. George 29th May 1850

of Fasly 1259 Compared with the Collections in the Period of Fasly 1258.-

	Salt						Alkarry					
	Fasly 1258	Fasly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1258	Fasly	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1258	Fasly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
1	132002 10 -	203957 5 3	71954 11 3									
2	76175 2 -	100175 7 -	24000 5 -						37869 1 9	38430 1 10	561 - 1	
3	121385 13 -	140349 1 -	18963 4 -						34958 11 8	29458 3 -		5500 8 8
4	270440 3 2	232232 2 -			2847 3 1	2763			55614 10 11	52946 9 5		2668 1 6
5	302450 14 4	307398 12 4	4947 14 -	38208 1 2	26000 1 -	26312		85 1 10	24703 4 3	28806 2 3	4102 14 -	
6	171128 14 5	191657 8 3	20528 9 10		2343 8 10	1718	311 15 2		13272 14 -	13229 4 -		43 10 -
7								624 14 9	50452 2 9	55710 12 -	5258 9 3	
8	245527 15 3	241042 8 -							57097 12 9	55754 8 -		1343 4 9
9	37353 9 7 10	38900 9 8 1	1547 0 3	4485 7 3	1021 5 11	962		58 15 11	4061 9 -	33673 - -		6946 9 -
10	6754 8 -	8145 - -	1390 8 -						20741 5 11	21260 7 8	519 1 9	
11	152060 - 6	162409 3 4	10349 2 10						87294 12 9	82090 8 -		5204 4 9
12	32481 10 10 6	33379 9 15 7	898 5 1		1731 3 4 1	3329	16515 12 5		54188 9 3	63606 5 6	9417 12 3	
13							4107 - 4		65704 8 -	71714 - -	6009 8 -	
14	215347 12 10	217191 - 1	1843 3 3		991 9 8 1	1402			15315 15 2	16391 15 -	1075 15 10	
15									18222 8 -	15572 - -		2650 8 -
16								5173 - 1	207616 5 9	208168 13 8	552 7 11	
17					3841 2 7	3324		883 7 1	72309 7 11	76063 1 8	3753 9 9	
18	26968 5 8 4	28002 4 12 9	1033 9 4 5		524 2 - 1	435			44641 13 -	44370 - -		271 13 -
19	23502 8 12 7	22535 8 11 4							40992 13 3	45426 8 1	4433 10 10	
20	2736 4 8 -	2797 4 1 14 5	60 9 7 6 5	967 0 1 3	441 2 3 4	717	2761 10 8		41529 15 1	41753 14 10	223 15 9	
					85 10 5	130	44 8 1		411760 6 6	414843 3 10	3082 13 4	
					88 8 5	163	74 13 11					
21												
	3169983 12 9	3312492 13 5	194872 10 4	52363 9 8	11263 2 10 2	1287	874 8 7		53417 11 3	50991 7 3		2426 4 -
					493 9 8 4	406						
	3169983 12 9	3312492 13 5	194872 10 4	52363 9 8	11268 4 4 10	1287	23815 12 7	7700 - 3	1448324 6 11	1460260 14 -	38991 6 9	27054 15 8
					52 4 8			52 4 8	259 12 4	68 11 9	14 9 7	205 10 2
	3169983 12 9	3312492 13 5	194872 10 4	52363 9 8	11268 4 4 10	1287	23815 12 7	7752 4 11	1448584 3 3	1460329 9 9	39006 - 4	27260 9 10
											27260 9 10	2d Decrease
	2751035 7 10	2808380 9 -									11745 6 6	Net Increase
	418948 4 11	504112 4 5					7752 4 11	2d Decrease				
							16063 7 8	Net Increase				
				52363 9 8	2d Decrease				1250644 2 7	1270414 14 5		
				14250 9 - 8	Net Increase				19794 0 - 8	18991 4 11 4		
						1007665 10	1107050 3					
						11718 9 -	18042 11 4					

7446

Fort St. Geo[rge] 27th May 1850

Sundry small Farms and Licenses.

	Fusly 1258		Fusly 1259		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1258		Fusly 1259	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
1	3,503	11 6	3,226	2 6								
2							277	9 -	6249	6 9	6,421	13
3	7,549	1 -	8,139	2 -	590	1 -			4463	4 6	4,634	2
4	53	10 -	179	6 -	125	12 -			37,992	12 -	42,141	12
5	4,635	13 3	4,503	14 3					8,900	7 -	12,954	10
6	11,390	14 11	8022	5 -			131	15 -	22889	3 9	21,992	8
7	4,213	8 1	4,639	2 5	425	10 4	3,368	9 11	12132	12 1	11,911	11
8	9,362	2 4	6,977	12 10					63,846	5 8	64,129	11
9	293	11 -	651	9 3	357	14 3	2,384	5 6				
10	1,380	12 3	1022	15 4					16385	- 11	16,115	2
11	2,389	2 10	5,258	5 9	2,869	2 11	357	12 11	15344	13 2	15,065	1
12	22,779	2 11	22,133	8 3					21,350	3 2	22,782	5
13	2,793	1 6	2,949	5 3	156	3 9	645	10 8	42,675	- 1	43,223	15
14	26,203	10 7	24,834	6 1					5,601	11 9	5,662	6
15	5,109	3 8	5,278	11 5	169	7 9	1,369	4 6	68,956	1 10	68,012	15
16	4,349	13 -	5,364	11 2	1,014	14 2			2,01137	2 5	2,02,663	11
17	163	8 6	243	10 6	80	2 -			119532	14 -	123,932	10
18	16,985	6 5	9,736	14 3					44369	5 -	43,740	14
19	24,657	1 11	25,229	7 6	572	5 7	7,248	8 2	1,713	13 1	2,106	-
20	8,638	4 9	8,336	7 -					75,586	8 11	75,899	5
	18,629	14 5	18,040	15 2			301	13 9				
							588	15 3				
21	1,323	2 8	1,192	5 7								
	176,404	13 6	165,961	1 6	6,361	9 9	16,805	5 9	812,188	12 6	824,707	9
	176	3 11	336	11 8	252	13 9	926	-	1,030	12 11	333	11
	176,581	1 5	166,297	13 2	6,614	7 6	16,897	11 9	813,219	9 5	825,041	4
					2d Increase		6,614	7 6				
					Net Decrease		10,283	4 3				
	157,631	2 5	143,799	7 9					701,176	7 10	711,991	1 10
	18,949	15 -	22,498	5 5					112,043	1 7	113,050	2 7

Motupha				Sea Customs			
Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
34		35		36	37	38	39
172	6 4			1,229	14 6	2537 5 -	1,307 6 6	- - -
170	14 4			6,190	9 4	3439 12 10	- - -	2,750 10 6
4,149	1 1			23,425	11 -	21,767 1 5	- - -	1,658 9 7
4,054	3 8			2,732	4 11	7,931 7 7	5,199 2 8	- - -
"	"	896	10 11	3,762	2 11	95 7 1	- - -	3,666 11 10
"	"	1,121	- 9	337	2 10	" "	" "	337 2 10
283	4 7			"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	"	"	10,614	15 -	9,960 8 -	- - -	654 7 -
"	"	269	14 4	558	10 -	512 13 5	- - -	45 12 7
"	"	279	11 4	"	"	" "	" "	" "
1,432	2 5	"	"	12,946	- 3	17,334 - -	4,387 15 9	" "
547	15 5	"	"	109,449	3 1	125,076 7 6	15,627 4 5	- - -
60	10 5	-	- -	"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	943	2 10	9,342	14 4	10,051 13 2	708 14 10	- - -
1,526	9 4	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	" "
4,399	12 3	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	629	- 2	"	"	" "	" "	12,941 1 6
392	3 10	-	- -	46,737	12 3	33,796 10 9	- - -	
312	12 9	-	- -	21,286	5 10	22,545 10 -	1,259 4 2	- - -
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	"	"	347,933	4 4	3,54,292 3 10	6,358 15 6	- - -
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "	" "
"	"	843	11 6	"	"	" "	" "	" "
17,502	- 5	4,983	3 10	5,96,546	14 7	6,09,341 6 7	34,848 15 10	22,054 7 10
91	7 -	788	8 7	-	- -	" "	" "	" "
17,593	7 5	5,771	12 5	5,96,546	14 7	6,09,341 6 7	34,848 15 10	22,054 7 10
5,771	12 5	2d Decrease					22,054	7 10 2d Decrease
11,821	11 -	Net Increase					12,794	8 - Net Increase
				4,92,611	6 11	52,4862 2 4		
				103,935	7 8	84,479 4 3		

7447

Fort St. Geo. 27th May 1850

	Tobacco				Stamps			
	Fasly 1258	Fasly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Fasly 1258	Fasly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
1	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7,596 11 6	10,048 3 6	2,451 8 -	- - -
3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	11,064 8 -	11,029 8 -	- - -	35 - -
4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	11,678 8 -	10,086 4 -	- - -	1,592 4 -
5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6,259 4 -	7,833 8 -	1,574 4 -	-
6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6,444 5 6	5,355 4 -	- - -	1,089 1 6
7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	11,378 6 6	10,672 4 6	- - -	706 2 -
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10,413 12 -	14,651 4 -	4,237 8 -	- - -
9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	17,450 - 6	18,889 - -	1,438 15 6	- - -
10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	8,799 12 -	9,080 4 -	280 8 -	- - -
11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	15,290 10 6	17,381 15 6	2,091 5 -	- - -
12	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10,954 1 6	13,397 - 6	2,442 15 -	- - -
13	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	33,838 12 -	40,333 8 -	6,494 12 -	- - -
14	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,027 4 -	13,390 - -	1,362 12 -	- - -
15	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,337 - -	17,288 8 -	4,951 8 -	- - -
16	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7,361 8 -	10,726 12 -	3,365 4 -	- - -
17	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	16,005 - -	18,738 - -	2,733 - -	- - -
18	199,980 13 2	210,211 7 8	10,230 10 6	- - -	12,957 3 3	15,043 4 -	2,086 - 9	- - -
19	376,222 13 7	374,751 14 9	- - -	1,470 14 10	34,392 9 -	37,889 5 6	3,496 12 6	- - -
20	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5,791 10 -	6,514 10 -	723 - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	18,454 -	14,848 -	- - -	360 13 -
	576,203 10 9	584,963 6 5	10,230 10 6	1,470 14 10	286,251 5 3	328,170 12 -	45,702 10 3	3,783 3 6
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	576,203 10 9	584,963 6 5	10,230 10 6	1,470 14 10	286,251 5 3	328,170 12 -	45,702 10 3	3,783 3 6
			1,470 14 10	Dr Decrease				3,783 3 6 Dr Decrease
			8,759 11 8	Net Increase				41,919 6 9 Net Increase
	509,319 4 4	515,031 7 6						
	66,844 6 5	69,931 14 11						
					251,865 6 -	293,662 4 -		
					34,385 15 3	34,508 8 -		

	Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue				Net	
	Fasly 1258	Fasly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	48	49	50	51	52	53
	188,451 8 -	264,620 15 2	76,447 - 2	277 9 -	76,169 7 2	- - -
	132,852 3 6	148,737 3 8	24,171 3 4	8,286 3 2	15,885 - 2	- - -
	260,493 11 -	278,192 - 2	23,702 6 1	6,004 - 11	17,698 5 2	- - -
	339,089 2 4	316,249 4 8	15,368 3 6	38,208 1 2	- - -	22,839 13 8
	355,798 14 7	354,293 12 7	4,947 14 -	6,453 - -	- - -	1,505 2 -
	256,820 5 6	277,074 9 1	25,787 3 1	5,532 15 6	20,254 3 7	- - -
	135,571 6 6	139,174 8 8	4,946 6 11	1,343 4 9	3,603 2 2	- - -
	324,596 - -	311,505 2 10	11,438 15 6	14,529 12 8	- - -	13,090 13 2
	420,317 15 8	436,629 13 -	16,627 8 3	315 10 11	16,311 13 4	- - -
	126,065 8 8	123,705 8 8	3,481 13 -	5,841 13 -	- - -	2,360 - -
	271,201 15 7	318,616 15 2	47,414 15 7	-	47,414 15 7	- - -
	609,177 12 8	650,306 15 3	41,774 13 3	645 10 8	41,129 2 7	- - -
	35,738 - 5	38,393 10 5	2,655 10 -	-	2,655 10 -	- - -
	388,829 2 2	386,196 12 10	7,503 10 1	10,135 15 5	- - -	2,632 5 4
	426,466 3 11	431,196 9 10	5,613 13 -	883 7 1	4,730 5 11	- - -
	212,197 2 11	224,098 7 1	11,901 4 2	-	11,901 4 2	- - -
	102,131 13 9	103,397 3 4	2,166 2 9	900 13 2	1,265 5 7	- - -
	612,873 8 10	626,814 - 11	34,130 1 9	20,189 9 8	13,940 8 1	- - -
	808,789 13 4	803,558 8 1	5,909 10 10	11,141 - 1	- - -	5,231 5 3
	699,923 5 8	709,599 9 7	9,978 1 8	301 13 9	9,676 3 11	- - -
	18,629 14 5	18,040 15 2	- - -	588 15 3	- - -	588 15 3
	347,933 4 4	354,292 3 10	6,358 15 6	- - -	6,358 15 6	- - -
	104,587 8 8	99,951 7 6	- - -	4,636 1 2	- - -	4,636 1 2
	7,178,536 6 5	7,414,646 5 6	372,325 12 5	136,215 13 4	288,994 6 11	52,884 7 10
	1,519 1 10	739 2 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	779 15 1
	7,180,055 8 3	7,415,385 8 3			288,994 6 11	53,664 6 11
					53,664 6 11	Dr Decrease
					235,330 - -	Net Increase
	621,524 9 11	637,884 7 6				
	964,805 12 6	1,036,537 14 9				

7448

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 27th May 1850

	Total including Extra Branches of Revenue						Land Revenue				Sayer			
	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67
1	9,15,880 11 7	9,03,894 6 8	76447 - 2	88433 5 1	- - -	11986 14 3	25785 11 6	21920 2 6	- - -	3865 9 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2	87,108 1 1	94,162 2 10 7	78800 12 8	8286 3 2	70514 9 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3	16,73,359 14 10	16,18,563 4 2	23702 6 1	78469 - 9	- - -	54766 11 1	934 14 8	1251 4 3	- - -	809 - 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
4	8,48,438 10 9	6,65,984 - -	15368 3 6	197822 11 3	- - -	182454 14 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	52 4 8	- - -	- - -	52 4 8
5	133160 1 - 6	14,59,812 14 5	134664 13 11	6453 - -	128211 13 11	- - -	8756 7 10	14584 3	- - -	7298 3 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
6	6,65,480 - 11	6,77,063 10 -	25787 3 1	14203 10 -	11583 9 1	- - -	299 5 11	541 3 11	241 14 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
7	12,63,335 13 4	10,86,857 6 2	4946 6 11	181424 14 1	- - -	176478 7 1	1282 8 3	561 12 6	- - -	720 11 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
8	1292681 8 1	1368775 2 8	90623 7 3	2329 12 8	76093 10 7	- - -	1297 - 7	590 11 2	- - -	706 5 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
9	173,9178 9 9	178710 1 4	11758 - 6	315 10 11	47923 - 7	- - -	3896 9 10	570 15 10	- - -	3325 10 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
10	13395881 4 8	1129241 - 1	48238 11 6	213829 11 7	- - -	210347 14 1	446 14 1	3825 12 4	3378 14 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
11	15243276 5	1609406 9 -	3481 13 -	- - -	85079 2 7	- - -	2523 14 6	1929 11 11	- - -	594 2 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
12	2307453 - -	2340243 7 -	41774 13 3	8984 6 3	32790 7 -	- - -	162 15 10	- - -	- - -	162 15 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
13	1119455 4 11	1061694 14 8	2655 10 -	60416 - 3	- - -	57760 6 3	169 - 1	503 7 1	334 7 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
14	16287621 3 8	16284007 3	9773 9 -	101355 5	- - -	36265	240 10 8	849 12 -	609 1 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
15	20577201 4 8	20626412 1	5803 10 6	883 7 1	49203 5	- - -	357 1 6	537 12 2	180 10 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
16	16624118 10	16893124 11	26900 12 1	-	26900 12 1	- - -	104 1 7	104 7 5	- 5 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
17	16381051 3	1648509 - 11	11304 12 10	900 13 2	10403 15 8	- - -	536 13 9	249 12 21	- - -	287 1 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
18	14,98,522 4 9	15,31,342 13 9	53010 2 8	20189 9 8	32820 9 -	- - -	328 2 -	133 3 7	- - -	194 14 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
19	1877168 5 8	1859514 7 4	5909 10 10	23563 9 2	- - -	17653 14 4	1386 12 -	1747 12 3	361 - 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
20	739143 5 10	749559 3 2	10717 11 1	301 13 9	10415 13 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	18629 14 5	18040 15 2	- - -	588 15 3	- - -	588 15 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	3479334 4	3542923 10	6358 15 6	- - -	6358 15 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	6762886 4	5796886 4	- - -	96600 - -	- - -	96600 - -	357 11 10	31 10 5	- - -	326 1 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	29036545 - 7	28771592 - 6	761349 12 5	1026332 12 6	544016 10 3	808999 10 4	48871 10 6	35696 9 1	5115 10 7	18290 12 -	52 4 8	- - -	- - -	52 4 8
	503901 24	364351 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -								
	29086065 12 11	28807127 4	- - -	- - -	5461 6 5	19416 6 11								
					54478 - 8	828416 13								
	22788467 -	22408281 2 10			2d Increase	54478 - 8								
	629849 8 11	639946 9 6			Net Decrease	273938 - 7								

7449

Fort St. Geo. 27th May 1850

	Abkarry				Sundry small Amend Licenses				Motarpha			
	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1258	Fusly	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.	Cs. a. p.
1	237 10 11	54 2 2		183 8 9	43 12 -	1		42 12 -	471 3 9	22 3 2	- - -	449 - 7
2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- 14 -	- - -	16 4 -
4	16 4 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	17 2 -	- - -	- - -	96 15 1
5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	24 2 -	-	- - -	24 2 -	162 11 10	65 12 9	- - -	34 5 5
6	- - -	- - -	- - -	16 4 2	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	49 5 2	14 15 9	- - -	- - -
7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	28 1 3	45 3 6	17 2 3	183 12 4
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	32 13 3	144 8	112 2 4	- - -	249 3 8	65 7 4	- - -	- - -
9	- - -	14 9 7	14 9 7	- - -	- - -	84 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	50 1 8	-	34 7 3	- - -	- - -	- 15 3	- 15 3	- - -
11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5 - 10
12	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	6 2 10	1 2 -	- - -	- - -
13	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	105 12	105 12 2	- - -	1 1 9	23 9 6	22 7 9	- - -
14	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	25 8 -	-	- - -	25 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
15	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
16	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
17	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	8 - -	- - -	42 8 4	48 8 2	5 15 10	- - -
18	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	27 13 1	27 13 1	- - -
19	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	10 10 10	10 10 10	- - -
20	5 13 3	- - -	- - -	5 13 3	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	3 8 -	3 8 -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	3 2 4	- - -	- - -	3 2 4
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

7450

Fort St. Geo. 27th May 1850

Tobacco

	Fusly 1258			Fusly 1259			Increase			Decrease			Fusly 1258			Fusly 1259			Increase			Decrease		
	80			81			82			83			84			85								
	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p						
1																								
2																								
3													26538	6	2	21991								
4																								
5													952	8										
6													255	6	8									
7													8805	13										
8													360	3	5									
9													1531	11	11									
10													54	15	9									
11													1297		7									
12													3902	12	8									
13													447	15	10									
14													2549	6	6									
15													162	15	10									
16													169		1									
17													283	3										
18													357	1	6									
19													104	1	7									
20													536	13	9									
													331	4	4									
													1392	9	3									
21																								
													357	13	10									
													50390	12	4									

Grand Total of Collections

Increase			Decrease			Fusly 1258			Fusly 1259			Increase			Decrease		
86			87			88			89			90			91		
Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p	Cwts	q	p
															16527	3	3
			4540	14	4	942419	1	9	925891	14	6						
						871108	1	1	941622	10	7	70514	9	6			
															55591	15	1
			8254	5		1674311	15	6	1618720		5						
															182644	4	8
			189	9	11	848694	1	5	666049	12	9						
			7332	9		1340406	13	6	1461286	21	5	120879	4	11			
															177382	15	3
371	2	7				665840	4	4	677795			11954	11	8			
			904	8	1	1264867	9	3	1087484	10							
58	6	1				1292736	7	10	1368888	8	6	76152		8			
			705	6	2	1740475	10	4	1787693	4	9	47217	10	5			
															213678	9	5
			3330	10	10	1343491	11	4	1129813	1	11						
3507	2	2				1524775	6	3	1613361	11		88586	4	9			
			619	10	7	2310002	6	6	2342173	2	11	32170	12	5			
															57923	6	1
			162	15	10	1119618	4	9	1061694	14	8						
															27	15	5
334	7					162893	13	9	1628903	14	4						
615	9	2				2058004	1	8	2063539	14	3	5535	12	7			
208	7	9				1662768	10	4	1689877	14	2	27109	3	10			
11		8				1638209	2	10	1648624	3	2	10415		4			
			283	9	7	1499059	2	6	1531596	1	11	32536	15	5			
															17851	15	1
			198		9	1877499	10		1859647	10	11						
												10771		4			
355	3					7405351	5	1	7513061	5	5						
						18629	14	5	18040	15	2				588	15	3
												6358	15	6			
						347933	4	4	3542923		10						
			3233	5		6766464	2		5797239						96923	3	5
5461	6	5	19416	6	11	29086965	12	11	28808027	12	4	540202	6	4	819140	6	11

		Dt Increase	540202 6 4
		Net Decrease	278938 - 7

7451

Fort Sg. 27th May 1850

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing			Balance under 15 years standing			Total			Arrears of Fasly 1258 and Balances of prior date, in train of liquidation. Land Revenue not permanently Settled			Jaury Mootahs			Minn			Sequestered			Total			Rent			Summary			Total Land Revenue		
	92			93			94			95						96			97			98			99			100			101		
	Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p				Cs			Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p	Cs	a	p
1	159	13	9	679,013	7	4	679,173	5	1																			22,77	2	4	5,233	3	
2	"	"	"	110	13	3	110	13	3	2,955	13	11				"			"	"	"	2,955	13	11	"	"	"	10,568	3	2	53,701	13	
3	"	"	"	29,365	4		29,365	4		27,629	2	8				"			15,504	7	2	43,133	9	10	"	"	"	2,649	4	1	2,965	6	7
4	"	"	"	166	4	4	166	4	4	316	2	6				"			"	"	"	316	2	6	"	"	"	3,04,382	11	8	24,94,632	8	9
5	108828	7	3	4,234,96	14	6	532325	5	9	111,2285	11	1	118,027			"			9,59,595	11	5	2189908	12	4	341		9	55,545	12		55,545	12	
6	"	"	"	4,777	8	7	4,777	8	7	3,247	9	3				"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,544	15	9	13,964	12	1
7	"	"	"	20856	15	10	20,856	15	10	6337	1	1	5,172			"			"	"	"	8419	12	4	"	"	"	6,775	2	10	13,112	3	11
8	"	"	"	141	6	10	141	6	10	9,948	7	9	"			"			"	"	"	6337	1	1	"	"	"	151	8	10	61489	8	5
9	3	11	"	12,670	2		12,673	11	2	485		6	33,728			"			17,660	15	10	61337	15	7	"	"	"	168,735	13	8	169,220	4	2
10	"	"	"	1,494	14	8	1,494	14	8	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	485		6	"	"	"	1454	8	2	1454	8	2
11	"	"	"	34452	6	4	34,452	6	4	1125	4	1	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11201	6	9	12,441	14	
12	10024	11	5	110,904		9	120,928	12	2	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	1125	4	1	115	3	2	17	"	3	13,957	10	9
13	26	1		31308	9	4	31,334	10	4	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	13940	10	6	1125	12	4	1125	12	4
14	6215	4		15083		2	21,298	4	2	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,897	12	9	3,501	2	11
15	"	"	"	10			10			"	"	"	"			"			603	6	2	603	6	2	"	"	"	6230	9	10	6230	9	10
16	"	"	"	3025	12	7	3,025	12	7	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,705	13	2	4,705	13	2
17	"	"	"	5710	2	9	5,710	2	9	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3580	12	9	3,580	12	9
18	"	"	"	739	13	4	739	13	4	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	494	6	10	494	6	10
19	"	"	"	1699	11	8	1699	11	8	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	451	4	2	10553	4	3
20	"	"	"	12,674	5	3	12,674	5	3	"	"	"	"			"			"	"	"	"	"	"	10102		1	5438	4	7	5,438	4	7
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	9,676	1	8	9,676	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	125,258		5	13,97,377	9	4	15,22,635	9	9	11,64,330	4	10	156928						9,93,364	8	7	23,14,622	14	4	24,498	14	6	60,1756	11	5	29,40,878	8	3

7452

Fort St. G. 27th May 1850

Balance of Current Demand of Fasly 1259

	Salt			Sayer			Abkarry			Sundry Small Farms and Licenses			Miturpha			Co Revenue			Tobacco			Stamps			Grand Total of Cols 101 to 109.			Land Revenue			Extra Revenue			Total		
	102			103			104			105			106			107			108			109			110			111			112			113		
	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p	Cols	a	p
1	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	85	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,700	10	11	133,125	6	9	7,614	3	4	140,739	10	1
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,382	6	8	-	-	-	7	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53,708	13	9	42,963	1	1	8,493	4	11	51,456	6	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	12	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,980	-	7	126,492	10	6	8,341	9	-	134,834	3	6
4	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,952	57	13	6	176,086	7	11	3,140	12	-	179,227	3	11
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	621	12	9	2	1	-	15	8	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55,561	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	179	8	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,273	14	3	9,834	7	8	1,970	10	3	11,805	1	11
7	-	-	-	-	-	-				129	10	-	167	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132,779	8	6	91,786	5	7	3,728	-	-	95,514	5	7
8	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61,490	15	5	183,952	14	6	3,222	-	-	187,174	14	6
9	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	217	10	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169,438	8	11	220,846	1	1	4,941	9	1	225,787	15	2
10	-	-	-	-	-	-				1	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,556	6	5	151,388	-	-	11,112	-	-	262,508	-	-
11	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	101	14	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,731	5	11	14,291	1	1	9,407	8	7	108,373	8	-
12	-	-	-	-	-	-				106	9	10	182	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,957	10	9	124,371	11	2	7,695	-	-	132,066	11	2
13	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,125	12	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,680	1	4	40,277	10	7	1,389	-	-	41,666	10	7
15	-	-	-	-	-	-				156	-	-	22	14	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,794	2	8	-	-	-	8,849	5	8	8,849	5	8
16	-	-	-	-	-	-				22	8	-	541	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,017	12	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	-	-	-	-	-	-				4	6	-	307	9	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,589	-	3	-	-	-	4,543	-	-	4,543	-	-
18	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	8	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	508	10	10	434,906	13	9	28,492	13	4	463,399	11	1
19	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	14	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,986	1	5	115,781	4	2	1,695	-	1	132,731	4	3
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	350	10	10	80	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,438	4	7	199,761	4	11	3,130	8	9	231,061	3	8
21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,296	3	6	773	4	8	2,135	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	187	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,006	1	5	-	-	-	7,678	4	10	7,678	4	10
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	294,083	-	9	1,434,005	5	9	120,997	9	10	1,555,002	15	7

74 53 Fort St George 27th May 1850

| | | |

7455 Fort St. George 27th May 1850

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the C[ollec]-tions of Land Revenue and Revenue from Extra Sources of R[even]ue for the 31st March 1850

	Land Revenue						Extra Sources of Re					
	Increase			Decrease			Increase			Decr		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Ganjam	"	"	-	92,021	5	1	75,494	1	10	"	"	"
Vizagapatam	54,629	9	4	-	-	-	15,885	-	2	"	"	"
Rajahmundry	"	"	"	73,274	-	3	17,682	1	2	-	-	-
Masulipatam	"	"	"	159,614	13	1	-	-	"	23,124	-	-
Guntoor	122,418	12	4	-	-	-	-	-	"	1539	-	-
Chingleput	"	"	"	8,428	12	6	20,383	8	2	"	"	"
Salem	"	"	"	180,802	5	1	3,419	5	10	"	"	"
Madura	89,193	13	"	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,041	-	-
Nellore	30,904	13	10	-	-	-	16,312	12	7	-	-	-
N[or]th Arcot	-	-	-	211,313	8	7	-	-	-	2365	-	-
S[ou]th Arcot	41,043	1	3	-	-	-	47,543	3	6	-	-	-
Tanjore	-	-	-	8,932	14	2	41,103	10	7	-	-	-
Trichinopoly	-	-	-	60,579	-	1	2,655	10	-	2632	-	-
Tinnevelly	2,604	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellary	798	14	10	-	-	-	4,736	13	9	-	-	-
Cuddapah	15,180	2	7	-	-	-	11,929	1	3	-	-	-
Coimbatore	9,138	15	11	-	-	-	1,276	-	5	-	-	-
Canara	18,592	15	4	-	-	-	13,944	-	1	5,234	1	7
Malabar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madras: Land Customs	1100	9	8	12,617	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madras: Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,670	6	8	585	11	4
Madras: Sea Customs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kurnool	-	-	-	-	-	-	6358	15	6	-	-	-
Total	385,606	2	-	92,290	-	3	-	-	-	4,633	3	11
				89,987	2	7	288,394	11	6	53,064	11	6
	2d Increase			385,606	2	-	53,064	11	6	2d Decrease		
	Net Decrease			514,268	-	7	235,330	-	-	Net Increase		

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

J.G.B.

7456. Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.—

57

To the Collector of Ganjam.

In Cons: 10th December 1849.

To,
The Collector of
Ganjam.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 26th November last, with enclosures, and in reply to state that the revenue from Topes and Trees entered by you under Bagayet or Garden Cultivation must be transferred to the heading of "other sources of Revenue," agreeable to the instructions contained in the Circular from this Office dated 24th September 1849. It will further be necessary for you to furnish a separate Statement shewing the correspondence between the entries made in the Printed Tables and the Immanbundy accounts and the Demand Collection and Balance Sheets, of any one year, Fusly 1254 for instance.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

58

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.

In Cons: 10th January 1850.

To,
The Collector of
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 3d January last,

last, and enclosures, and to observe that in the separate Cultivation Statement submitted by you the land is entered in acres altho other standards of measurement are used in certain Talooks of your District, and in the absence of information which is now required as to the rate at which the latter are converted into acres, it is impossible to compare the Statement in question with the Summabundy accounts after satisfying yourself of the correctness of the Statement, the Printed Tables may be filled up-

2- The entries under the head of Demand and Collection correspond with the Settlement accounts and the monthly Returns, but this should be clearly shown in a separate Statement for any one Fusly, 1254. for instance-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th. May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley-
Secretary-

To,
The Collector of
Rajahmundry.

59

To the Collector of
Rajahmundry.

Inclos: 15th. April 1850.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 8th. of April last, with enclosure, and in reply to state that the entries under the heads of Demand and

and Collection agree in the main with the Summabundy accounts and Demand Collection and Balance Statements, but the particulars do not correspond in all cases- This should be shewn in every particular, in a separate Statement, for any one year, Fusly 1254 for instance-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th. May 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley-
Secretary-

To,
The Collector of
Guntoor.

60

To the Collector of
Guntoor.

Inclos: 7th. March 1850.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 27th. February last, with its enclosures, and to observe that the Cultivation Abstract in the printed Statement does not refer to the Palnaud only, but apparently to the whole District, as will be seen from the comparative Statement in the Margin- The Permanent Peishcush on the Zemindary portion being separately given, and the Proprietors Estates having fallen in to Government only in 1846, it would be preferable to confirm the Cultivation Statement to

Cultivation of Fusly 1254-

	Per Summabundy Returns.		Per Printed Statement.		Excess.	
	A	G	A	G.	A	G.
Poonjah	66,628	13	496,514	38	429,886	25
Nunjah	1,139	15	16,234	37	15,095	22
Garden	471	11	10,702	7	10,230	36
Total	68,238	39	523,452	2	455,213	3

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

to the summary portion of the District, in each year. The entries under the head of Demand and Collection appear to be correct, but their correspondence in every particular with the Summabundy accounts and the Demand Collection and Balance Sheets, for any one year (Fusly 1254 for example) should be shown in a separate Statement.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

61

Ordered that Petition No. 9997 of 1850 be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Chingleput, with instructions to comply with the application of Gopauloo Chetty, with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.-

From Collector 16th in Cons: 23d August 1849.
Proceedings 23d Do.-

62

To the Collector of North Arcot.

To, The Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 29th April 1850, with enclosures, and in reply to inform you that the revised Statement therein handed has on examination been found to be entirely correct.- The remaining Forms can now be filled up and submitted.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary

To,

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

63

To the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore.

To, The Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 28th March last, and enclosures, and with reference to the remarks contained in Para 4 to observe that the Quit Rent on Bungalows occupied by Europeans and the item of Teerwah on Sunstee on Enam Lands, watered from Circar Tanks &c. do not appear to have been brought under the head of Annual Settlement as in accordance with the Board's instructions they should have been- neither do they appear in the Marginal list of "other sources of Revenue."-

+ Rs 3329-14-1.
0 83-13-10.

2. The items composing the amount of Land Revenue Sevay Summa are not particularised in the report, but the annual Statement of Collections and Charges shows that they are as per Margin. The 4th item cannot strictly be said to partake of the nature of Land Revenue whilst there are other items coming under that description which have been excluded.-

1.- Amount not included in the Summabundy	Rs.	740-9-1-
2.- Proceeds of the Teerany on Sibbendy Enam	"	129-14-7-
3. Teerwah on lands attached to Bungalows	"	40-6-5-
4.- Refund of servants Pay	"	42-9-11-
5.- Enam attached to a Flower Garden	"	6-3-3-
Total Rupees		959-11-3.

* Sale proceeds of Sandalwood	Rupees	281-4-7-
Ditto Ditto of Timber	"	165-14-6-
Ditto Ditto of Teakwood	"	648-11-11-
	Rupees	1095-14-1-

3. The Statements should therefore be revised, and also be accompanied with a separate sheet

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

sheet shewing clearly its correspondence with the Summabundy accounts and the Demand Collection and Balance Statements for any one year, Fasly 1254 for example-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

64

To all Districts and Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars

Circular.

Sir,

The Board of Revenue having resolved to cancel their Circulars of 23d September 1847. 15th March 1849, and 1st October 1849 on the subject of rewards to Informers of concealed Cultivation &ca they have directed me to convey to you the following rules in substitution of those contained therein-

1. If any information shall be given at or before the time of Summabundy Settlement, relative to frauds committed by the Curnums in regard to concealed Cultivation, Maturpha, or any item of Land Revenue forming part of the annual Settlement, such as Grazing taxe &ca, such Curnums shall be liable to dismissal and the Collector will recommend, if he thinks proper, for the sanction of the Board of Revenue, that a reward not exceeding 50 per Cent of the assessment of the land or of the demand of Maturpha &ca, be given to the informant- No reward will be sanctioned for information given after the Summabundy of the whole District has closed-

2- The amount recovered shall in all cases

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

cases be held in deposit pending the orders of the Board of Revenue-

3. The above rules will be introduced in the Hookumnamah of your District, and the applications for payment of reward to informers shall be made in the annexed form-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Form.

Talook.	Village.	Name and residence of Informer.	Name and residence of accused.	When and by what Government servant the fraud was investigated.	Nature and Amount of concealed Cultivation,	Amount of concealed Grazing tax.	Maturpha.	Amount of concealed Grazing tax or other Revenue Dues.	Amount recovered.	Reward proposed,	Detailed Remarks &c.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

65

To all Districts except Madras. Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars

Circular.

Sir,

With reference to para 18 of the draft of revised Salt rules circulated under date 15th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to authorize you to carry into effect at once the proposed reduction of the Minimum quantity of Salt allowed to be sold at the Cotaurs of your District from 40 to 20 Measals-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

To

To,

The Accountant General.

Sir,

66 To the Accountant General

1. In para 2 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 7th Instant, the Government observe that "the course to be followed in regard to payments at Talook Treasuries has been laid down by the Accountant General in his Circulars to all Collectors dated 28th October 1845."

2. The Board of Revenue desire me to request that you will favor them with a Copy of this Circular.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th May, 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

67 From the Collector of Nellore- Read Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st March last.

67-68 Order thereon- Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

69 From the Collector of Ganjam- Read the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

70 From the Collector of Ganjam- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

From the Collector of Ganjam- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

Salt

71 From the Collector of Ganjam- Salt Account Current for the quarter ending 31st March last.

72 From the Collector of Rajahmundry- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

73 From the Collector of Rajahmundry- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

74 From the Collector of Guntoor Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

75 From the Collector of Guntoor- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

76 From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for Exportation on account of the Sluttagang Indent for the year 1849/50 at the Ports of his District up to 15th May 1850.

77 From the Acting Collector of Bellary. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

78 From the Acting Collector of Bellary. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

79 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

80 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

From,

7465.

Fort St. George 27th May 1850.

81 From the Collector of Shinglepute — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

82 From the Collector of Shinglepute — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

83 From the Collector of North Arcot — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

84 From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831. for the month of April last.

85 From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Reporting that certain Returns for the month of April last are not sent.

86 From the Collector of Madura — Acknowledging the receipt of 300 Printed forms of Bills of Exchange and 200 of letters of advice.

87 From the Collector of Canara — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

88 From the Collector of Canara — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

Comp.d Don.d Gals

" Goldingham

7466

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 30th May 1850 } G. H. Drury Esqre Senior Member
J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member absent on duty as Commr. Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

1 From the Chief Secy to Government.

Communicating Extract from Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 13th February No. 3 of 1850.

Read the following Extract

Extract from a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 13th February No. 335.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23d April 1850.

Letter dated 10th August No. 18/1847.

13. Also No. 21 of 1847 para 21 & 23 of 1847 paras 3 and 7 & No. 35 of 1848 paras 3 & 5 annual Return for 1846 of patients treated at Civil Dispensaries

The reports of the Surgeons in charge of the twelve Dispensaries now existing under your Presidency are very encouraging with the exception of that

5. Ordered that a copy of these paras be furnished to the Medical Board who will make the necessary arrangements in communication with the Medical Officers at Chinglepute Chittoor Coimbatore Cuddalore Guntoor Royahmundry Cheecacole Cochin and Hamptee, for the Establishment at each of those Stations of a Public Dispensary upon the

Recommending the Establishment of Dispensaries at the following Stations viz.t Chinglepute Chittoor Coimbatore Cuddalore

Guntoor Rajahmundry Chicacole &c which means such Institutions will exist in all the Zillahs of the Presidency. also recommend the Establishment of Dispensaries at Cochin and Rampatee.

that from Cuddapah for the less favorable report from which Station, Special reasons are assigned. With respect to the Cuddapah Dispensary, we observe that on the proposal being made to change the situation of this Institution to a place nearer to the Town "an opulent Native "was very anxious to "erect an Hospital in the "Fort". This the Collector would not permit without the sanction of the Government but we do not find that Government was ever consulted on the subject. at Kurnool it appears that a similar removal of the Dispensary into the Fort

the principle of the Rules sanctioned under date the 20th February 1850. Order that a copy of these papers be also furnished to the Board of Revenue for communication to the Collectors of the districts above named who will report whether any building is available at their respective stations for the purposes of a Dispensary, and if a new Building be required whether any and what sums may be expected to be contributed by the Inhabitants.

No patents - 2015
Not patents - 17258
Total - 19273

Fort has been permitted.

7. The number of patients treated in 1846 is noted in the Margin + The expense during the same period was Rupees 23,285.- giving an average for each patient of Rupees 1-3-4 per annum. This appears to include the expense for the Rent of Dispensary Building and for the extra pay allowed to Surgeons in charge of Dispensaries.

We sanction the Establishment of Dispensaries at the nine other stations proposed by you. But to the Dispensary at Cochin the Travancore and Cochin Sircars should be called upon to contribute their support as it is supposed by the inhabitants of Cochin they would be willing to do. We trust that in some cases public

7469- Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Public Buildings may be available for the reception of these Dispensaries without any expense to Government except for repairs In those cases in which it may be necessary to erect new Buildings, some Assistance may be expected from private subscription At Guntoor we observe that there is already an available Building which was erected from private Funds-

21. We desire to call your attention to the observation we have made in a former Despatch, that if an undue share of the Prizes falls to Europeans a remedy should be sought by dividing the prizes into two classes from one of which Natives alone should compete.—

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue

1. Ordered that a copy of paragraph 21 of the Committee of the Agricultural Society and the Board of Revenue who will in reference to the resolution of Govt. dated 13th Nov. 1849 and in communication with the Committee inform the Govt. whether the views of the Honorable the Court of Directors now recited have been carried out.—

True Extract
(Signed) A. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Circular.—

Spring Field
North Arcot
Coimbatore
South Arcot
Guntoor
Rajahmundry
Ganjam
Malabar—

7470 Fort St. George 30th May 1850

(1.2)

Ordered that copy of Paras 16 and 17 of the Honorable Court's letter and of Paras 5 and 6 of the orders of Government thereon be communicated to the Collectors of the Districts named in the Margin who will respectively submit their report called for by Government in Para 6 to the Department of Public Works to which Department a copy of the abovementioned Paras will be transferred for consideration—

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

-ation and disposal.-

Para 21 of the Honorable Court's Des-
-patch will receive se-
-parate attention.-

(3)

Read also the following Extract-

From the Chief Secy to Govt.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Dated 23d April 1850 No. 334.-

Forwarding the present and last two years Reports of the Committees' proceedings at the annual meeting for awarding prizes for the best agricultural Produce and Cattle & requesting the opinion of the Board on the continuance of these Prizes & on the propriety of making such alterations as will be likely to afford more encouragement to native Cultivators to compete for them.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Committee of the Agri- Horticultural Society at Madras-

To

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

To The Chief Secretary to Government
General Department.

Sir, I have the honor by direction of the Committee of the Madras Agri-Horticultural Society to submit for the information of the Honorable the Governor in Council Extracts from the Proceedings of a Special General Meeting of the Society held at the Society's Garden on the 9th March for the purpose of deciding upon articles sent to Compete for Prizes sanctioned by Government, and in accordance with the resolutions then passed the Committee beg to recommend that the amount of Prizes awarded in the annexed list may be authorized to be disbursed.-

Extr. Min: Con: dated 30th June 1847.

Madras Agri. Hort. Society's Office, 7th April, 1850.-

I have &c.
Signed W. H. Worster, Captain
Secretary

Extracts.

Extracts from the Proceedings of a special General Meeting of the Madras Agri-Horticultural Society held at the Society's Garden on Thursday the 9th of March 1848 to determine on the Merits of Articles sent to Compete for Government and other Prizes for 1847/48.

Present

Sir E. K. Williams Kt. C. B.

J. Onslow Lang Esqre
C. Scott Sam Esqre
W. Haylett Esqre

Coffee. The Coffee of which there were seven samples was generally well cleaned and of good Colour. Prizes of Rupees 300 and 200 being awarded to Nos. 5 and 10 grown respectively on the Estates of Mr. Fischer and Mr. Scott Sam.—

Cotton. There was only one Competitor for the Cotton Prize the sample produced was of the variety from Bourbon seed and a very

very good specimen. It was grown by Messrs. Fischer & Co at Salem and procured an award of Rupees 300.

Silk. The only specimen of the article has been reported on as follows by Messrs. Nelson and Haylett. It is flattering to Major Michie's labours and should prove an incentive to further exertions in the Manufacture.—

The sample Raw Silk shews a decided improvement in the preparation of this article since last year's Exhibition. The silk is more even and is not of that excessive fineness of thread which is considered so objectionable by the throwsters. It is clean and of good bright colour and will bring a good relative price in the London Market. It is much to be regretted that the cultivation has been found unprofitable for the improvement in the Manipulation is so Marked that there is no reason

to

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

to doubt that the best description of Raw Silk can be produced and prepared in this Presidency. For having pursued his experiments to this point Major Minchin is fully entitled to the thanks of all parties interested in the prosperity of Southern India. Recommended for a Prize of 300 Rupees.—

Although there was considerable inequality of staple in the article the Judges deemed it entitled to an award, especially in the way of encouragement as being the first sample brought forward at these exhibitions; the 2d. Prize of Rupees 250 was accordingly given to Mr. Fitz-Gibbon.—

Sugar.

An award of 100 Rupees was recommended to be given for this article the produce of Messrs. Mc. Dowell & Co's plantation.—

M.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

It was the only sample produced and by no means of superior quality

The Prizes awarded on the 21st Ultimo for the best Country bred Cattle were:

To Mr. Dunlop for the best Cow. Rupees 50
Mr. J arathoon " " Heifer " 30
" Do. " " Cow Calf " 15
" Do. " " Bull " 20
Mr. Haylett's Do. " "

(Signed) W. R. Worster Captain
Secretary.

List of Prizes awarded by the Madras agri-Horticultural Society for articles of staple produce Exhibited at the Society's Garden on the 9th March 1848

To Mr. Seth Sam for the 2d. best Coffee Rs. 200
" Fischer " " Cotton " 300
" Major F. Minchin " " Raw Silk " 300
" Mr. Fitz-Gibbon " " Wool " 250
" Messrs. Mr. Dowell & Co. for Sugar " 100

For Cattle of Country breed.

To Mr. Dunlop for the best Cow " 50
" J arathoon " " Heifer " 30
" " Do. " " Cow Calf " 15
" " Do. " " Bull " 20
" " Haylett's " " 1255

Total Rupees

(Signed) W. R. Worster Captain
Secretary. To

7477 Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

To, The Chief Secretary
to Government

Sir

With reference to the Minutes of Consultation No. 409. Public Department dated 28th April I have now the honor by the order of the Committee of the Agri.-Horticultural Society to forward the following Statements for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council Viz.th

1 Report on the mode of Culture, preparation &c.a of Coffee sent by Messrs. Fischer & Co. of Salem and which obtained an award of Rupees 300 payable from the Funds placed at the disposal of the Society by the Commissioner of Mysore.

2 Report on the Mode of Culture preparation &c.a of Cotton sent by Messrs. Fischer and Co. of Salem which

D.S.

7491- Fort St. George 30th May 1850-

=ation and disposal.-

Para 21 of the

Honorable Court's Des=

=patch will receive se=

=parate attention.-

(3)

Read also the following Extract-

From the Chief Secy to Govt. -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Dated 23d April 1850 No. 334.-

Forwarding the present and last two years Re=ports of the Com=mittees proceed=ings at the annual meeting for award=ing prizes for the best agricultural Produce and Cattle & requesting the opinion of the Board on the continuance of these Prizes & on the propriety of making such al=terations as will be likely to afford more encourage=ment to native Cultivators to com=pete for them.-

Read the fol-

=lowing letter from the Secre=

=tary to the Committee of the

Agri- Horticultural Society

at Madras-

To

7472 Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

General Department.

Sir, I have the honor by direction of the Committee of the Madras Agri-Horticultural Society to submit for the information of the Honorable the Governor in Council Extracts from the Proceedings of a Special General Meeting of the Society held at the Society's Garden on the 9th March for the purpose of deciding upon articles sent to Compete for Prizes sanctioned by Government, and in accordance with the resolutions then passed the Committee beg to recommend that the amount of Prizes awarded in the annexed list may be authorized to be disbursed.-

Egte Min: Con: dated 30th June 1847.

Madras, ----- Agri Hort: Society's Office, ----- 7th April, 1850.---

Signed H. H. Worster, Captain Secretary.

Extracts.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

which obtained the Society's Prize of 2d Best. Rupees 300.-

3. Report on the Culture preparation &c. of Coffee Sent by Mr. B A. Daly and which obtained the Society's 2nd Prize of Rupees 200.-

4. A Brief Report on the Origin, mode of treatment with a description of the manner of folding and other Particulars of treatment adopted in the Management of a flock of Sheep to the wool of which a prize of 250 Rupees was awarded.-

5. The letter from Major Minchin giving some account of the Homo Reelo Mulberrys &c. by which his Silk was produced and for which a Society's Prize of Rupees 300 was awarded.-

The Judges also recommend a small pecuniary award of Rupees 100 to Mr. Mc. Dowell for a fair sample of Sugar.-

I am desired to say that the terms proposed in the Society's letter of 23d June 1847, appear to have been fulfilled by the successful candidates.-

The

7479- Fort St. George 30th May 1850-

The Judges on the occasion were

J Auchterlony Esqre
E. S. Sam Esqre
and
W Haylett Esqre
H. Nelson Esqre of the silk only-

It is much to be regretted that the Meeting was not better attended, but the Committee beg respectfully to express their great satisfaction that Government has ordered the Gentlemen of the Revenue Board and Department mentioned in the Minutes of Consultation under reply to give their assistance on future occasions.—

The amount of Prizes to be paid by Government through the Society is as follows.—

Mr. Fisher for Cotton	———	300 " "
E.S. Sam Esqre Coffee	———	200 " "
Mr. Mc. Dowell Sugar	———	100 " "
Mr. Fitz Gibbon Wool	———	250 " "
Major Minchin Raw Silk	———	300 " "
Total Rupees	———	1150 " "

Madras - - - - -
Agri Hortl. Secretary's Office
June 1848 - - -

Signed / F.A. Reid
Secretary

Report—

7480- Fort St. George 30th May 1850-

No 1. Copy of a

Report on the mode of Culture preparation &c. of the Coffee sent by Fisher Esq. of the Salem to the Madras Agri: Horticultural Society's exhibition of the 9th March 1848.—

The sample was taken from a field on the Shervaroy Hills, Salem District of about 30 Acres the major part of which was planted 7 and 6 years ago—

In the original preparation of the ground a large portion was dug from 1½ to 2 feet deep, and well pulverised and the plants planted in holes 9 feet a part and dug about 2 feet deep. This was subsequently found to be too great a distance and intervening pows were then planted. To each plant was given a small basket full of cattle manure. The plants were procured from under old Coffee trees in a private garden and from the neighbouring Jungles, the produce of berries carried off by Jackals &c. and raised from seed. They were from 18 Months to

to 2. years old, and upwards when planted out. The planting usually commences after the rains have set in, in May or June and Continues till the Month of November.-

The trees are manured once a year generally during March, April and May- To apply the manure the earth is carefully removed from around the trees till the upper roots are laid bare, and a trench of 2 to 3 feet broad formed the manure is well broken and spread, and then covered in- One full basket is applied to some trees and half a basket to others according to size and appearance- nearly one hundred head of Cattle are kept for the purpose of supplying the manure.-

From the richness of the soil weeds spring up very freely, when they are removed by men and women with light Manitties and carried to the manure pit- This weeding has to be done 4 and 5 times in the course of the year.-

The

The picking season is from November to the end of February- On being picked the Cherry Coffee was pulped by a common Country pulper consisting of two wooden rollers of 6 inches diameter covered with Coir rope and set up in a frame- On leaving the pulper the berries were put into large baskets where they remained from 16 to 20 hours till well fermented- They were then well washed, and laid out in the sun to dry- when perfectly dry the parchment was removed by a peeler- a wooden wheel 4½ feet high shod with Copper and turned in a Circular trough by Cattle- The Coffee was then winnowed garbled and packed in Boxes and Bags.-

Salem-- 23d May 1848 } / Signed / Fischer Dpt.-

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / F. A. Reid
Secretary

No 2

Report on the mode of culture, preparation &c of Cotton sent

sent by Fischer and Co. of Salem to the Madras Agri. Horticultural Society's exhibition of the 9th March 1848-

The sample of Cotton is of the kind commonly called "Bourbon Seed".-

It was grown in Erode Taloork of the Coimbatore district-

The soil of the field in which it grew is a light red loam-

The field was cultivated the previous year with grain.-

The field was manured with Cattle manure - Thoroughly ploughed and the seed sown in broad cast in April - A month or so, after it was sown, the ground between the plants was ploughed to kill the weeds - about a fortnight after this the plants were thinned to about 3 feet apart - It was again ploughed when the plants were 2 Months old.-

From the failure of the early rains last year the crop was not gathered till December and January.-

The seed was removed from the

pow the cotton by the native hand Churka and then carefully hand picked by women and girls and packed in bags.-

Salem 23d May 1848. } /Signed/ Fischer and Co.-

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ F. A. Reid.
Secretary

No. 3. Copy of an Extract from a letter from Mr. B. A. Maly of the Sherwaroy Hills to Mr. C. Seth Sam at Madras respecting the method of Cultivating Coffee dated 11th May 1848.-

The Coffee sent for exhibition "was gathered from trees of different "ages, from 6 to 3 years old. The ground "was well broken up to the depth of "two feet and the plants put in at "the distance of 9 feet and quincunxed - "The Estate has been constantly kept clear "of weeds ever since it was planted and "the trees manured every other year - The "manure is made in the following manner "Every day a quantity of fern or any "other weeds procured at hand "but principally of the former is thrown into -

"into the Cowshed as bed for the cattle
"consisting of 50 heads. The shed is
"cleaned every third day and the
"manure thus formed thrown into
"a deep pit where it lies till required
"for use. I have never observed any par-
"ticular time as necessary for carrying
"on the manuring but for convenience
"sake generally do such work about
"this time of the year, just immediately
"before the trees open their blossoms,
"or immediately after - I say for convenience
"sake because a few months hence
"the trees will be covered with young
"and tender shoots and the berries
"advancing fast to maturity so that
"such work at that season would be
"attended with loss of both shoots and
"berries - In case I fall short of manure
"I never hesitate giving such trees as
"absolutely require it, at any other time
"of the year; and I have not remarked
"any difference between these and others
"that were manured earlier. In about
"December when the crop begins to ripen
"the berries are taken off ripe and half
ripe -

"ripe indiscriminately stowed in a godown
"for five or six days then thrown out
"in the sun upon mats and put in
"again at night - If misty weather in-
"tervenes the Coffee is not thrown out as usual but kept in the godown and
"stirred three times a day to prevent
"too much fermentation, when the berry
"is thoroughly dried it is stowed in
"large Bamboo Baskets capable of
"holding 800 Madras Measures placed
"upon hampers and covered over with
"a mat till the whole of the
"Crop is gathered then it is prepared
"for the market by being beaten in
"rice pounders, sifted and any white
"red or black beans removed - I have
"only to add that the soil of the Estate
"differs almost every 100 years, being
"in some parts red others black and
"others gravelly but the greatest part
"whether black or red is soil of very
"great depth - Thus much on Coffee" -

/A True Copy/
/Signed/ F. A. Reid
Secretary
A -

Fort St. George 30th May 1850-

No. 4.

Copy of

A Brief Report on the origin, mode of treatment with a description of the manner of folding and other particulars of treatment adopted in the management of a flock of Sheep to the wool of which a prize of 250 Company's Rupees was awarded by the Madras Agri: Horticultural Society at their Show in March 1848.-

Origin-

Para No. 1. In the year 1841 a few rams and ewes of pure merino blood were imported and removed to the Table land of Mysore to the Chenraya patam Taloork and were attached to a flock of white wooled country ewes purchased from country flocks in that and neighbouring taloorks, and in this manner a small experimental flock was formed to ascertain how far the introduction of merino sheep in that part of Mysore would prove successful or otherwise.-

Management

Para No. 2. The flocks from which the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850-

the county ewes were selected were in accordance with the custom of the Country Iraunshumantes and it was not considered necessary to make any alteration in that mode of management, nor has any subsequent change been made in that respect- since their first formation it is however necessary to observe that there was a departure from this mode with reference to the imported merinos & a homestead was provided for them to secure them from the inclemency of the weather and shelter them from the heat, which has on the whole proved their greatest enemy, but this does not appear to affect the lambs born in the County in a much greater degree than the common Country stocks.-

Lapping Season.-

Para No. 3- It is needless to enter into a lengthened detail on this subject, let it suffice to observe that after making several mistakes which greatly retarded

the

the well being of the flock and
caused the loss of a great number of
lambs which loss was caused in my
opinion by endeavouring to follow the
English times and practice, and which
upon a fair trial proved, not adapted
to the Country and subsequent experi-
ence has demonstrated. That March and
July are the best seasons to put the rams
to the ewes and after an experience
of five years, there appears as yet no
reason to question, in the slightest
degree the prudence and good effects
of this practice and as this is a question
that may cause the loss of a flock & destroy
the hopes of many a flock owner, this
Para is worthy of Consideration because
the Country practice of allowing the rams
to run at all seasons with the ewes
will throw little or no light on the
subject, and it was only by observing
closely the time that the Country ewes
yeaned most lambs that at first in-
dicated the course adopted thereby fol-
lowing the leading of nature a sure
guide

J. E. B.

guide generally speaking in such cases.

Folding

Para No. 4. In the folding and selecting
the best kinds of ground for a flock
of sheep whether ranikumantes or estantes
no certain rules can be laid down, it
is in this and some other respects, that
the services of the practical and experienced
man is of use - a Dyer may do well for a
time, for years it may be, but a Months
change of severe weather may and often does
loose a flock: But as a general and as far
as my small portion of present experience
goes, I should recommend to fold in wet weather
on the dry red soils usually found warmer
than any other, or in default of this select as
next best, gravelly or firmest and dryest soils
procurable. In dry weather when cool the cultivated
lands and in the hot seasons the red soils are
to be carefully avoided and sandy mixed
or in short the coolest earths are to be chosen.

Para,

Pasturage..

Para No. 5. Beware at all seasons of poached grounds, they will be found in ninety cases in the hundred to hurt the sheep..

In very wet weather select dry or red soils or even there rot will quickly make its appearance, and if the rains continue for any length of time make the sheep toil for their food, by driving them into stony grounds, it will wear down the hoof, and has as I am inclined to suppose kept them free of foot rot even when other flocks around them were suffering from it, but as before observed no certain rule will ever answer here for a very cold night, a heavy shower or a very hot day, will quickly induce a close observer to change his folding ground, and the practical Shepherd will find that experience in this one branch of his duty alone will often avail him more in the season of epidemic than all the medicine in the Country, and it

it is to be borne in mind that removals or from one place or even from one district to another is a matter very easily accomplished in this country, which would be impracticable in England.. I had nearly forgotten to mention that in selecting pasturage it should never be forgotten to drive the sheep once or twice a month for some days on salt lands, this should always be done especially in a wet season, it will very often check the development of rot, and I have known it even to perfectly cure rot in its incipient state..

Lambing.

Para No. 6. The sheep in this country seldom or never have twins..

If the rules described under the head of management are followed the lambs will fall in September and again in December, and the Country Ewes are not good Milchers and the Merinos are famed all over the world as being the very worst mothers of the grass ewes even on the best English pastures,

and if the best known mode of treating ca diseases was included in a report of this nature it would render it more voluminous than it would conveniently admit of, or the nature of the report requires, the principal ones are as follows.. The rab; Foot rot, Ladavea and an Epedemic or two..

The lambs are sometimes li- able to a kind of low fever that in some seasons take an epedemical form and has caused losses occasionally, the usual ca treatment for fever is totally inapplica- ble.. and is even fatal when persisted in; but when it breaks out either in the ca coronet of the hoof, or in and about the mouth and lips it is very easily managed with care, it is altogether a new thing and what marks its singularity is that the Country Sheep are never troubled with it- the crosses from the Merino stock, being alone subject to it's attacks. In conclusion I may be permitted to observe there appears no natural disadvantages as respects climate, soil, or temperature in this part of Mysore, to

to prevent the rearing of the Merino ca Sheep, the greatest drawbacks are native stubbornes, sloth and ignorance to which may be added a want of experience where every thing was new and untried..

/Signed/ R. A. Fitz Gibbon

I Hungunpully,
5th June, 1848.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ F. A. Reid
Secretary.

To,
The Secretary Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 1st Instant I beg to inform you that the Worms from which the Prize Silk was ob- tained originally came from the large white and yellow species of Worms in the Commis- sioner's Gardens at Mysore, a few Eggs having been originally sent me by Lieute- nant Colonel Clarke.. The finest and largest Coccoons were always selected for seed,

seed, and as in this climate we are always able to have a succession of worms, there is little difficulty in securing a good supply of eggs. The works which I consulted in the treatment of the worms were Count Dandolos Italian work and Mr. F. G. Comstocks American work, the latter a very useful little Book, and well suited for India.

The leaves used were chiefly those of the St. Helena (Species) shining or leaved) and the Perottei Mulberry (Morus Multicaulis) The chief care required is in the first 3 stages of the worms, when fresh young leaves are required for the development and growth of the worms, but in the 4th stage when all the liquid is secreted by the animal, and of which the silk is spun, I found by experience that old leaves should invariably be given and at this stage especially the large leaf of the Morus Multicaulis.

During the S. W. Monsoons when our Plantations were attacked by mildew, I found that the silk was nearly as good as at other seasons, the mildew when examined by a powerful microscope appearing

appearing simply a Fungus growing on the leaf, and did not injure the worms. Both the St. Helena and Morus Multicaulis grow readily from cuttings and nothing can exceed the fineness of the climate of the Neilgherries for the growth of the Mulberry, and my experience here enables me to state with confidence, that silk equal to the Italian might be manufactured here. The Piedmont Reels were latterly entirely used by us, of the muster brought out by Lord Tweedale, but which I found needful to lengthen in the distance between the guide and the arms of the wheel to allow more time for the Silk to dry and thus prevent the defect called glazing.

I instructed the Burghers to reel, and found them apt and willing to learn, and the Prize Silk was chiefly reeled by them. They became fond of the employment, and a promised increase of Pay on superior silk being realized was quite sufficient inducement; and numbers came to learn, and were emulous to reel the best silk.

J.

I need hardly tell the Society how cordially I agree with them in regretting, that it had been found unprofitable, as I had surmounted all the difficulties attending the commencement of such an establishment and had every reason to believe we should obtain sufficient remuneration when the silk in the London Markets fell from 17 – 6 to 10 and 11 Shillings per lb. not only owing to the depreciation of all raw produce in the Home markets but chiefly from the large imports from China. I found by experience that the Silk cost at Coonoor from 6 1/2 to 7 Rupees the Pound, though probably it might have been reduced to 6 rupees or 12 Shillings, to this was to be added the interest of the Capital we had embarked viz. 30,000 Rupees on the Mulberry Plantations including the Worm Houses, heated by Stoves, and the Reeling Houses, whilst on the other hand we have never received any encouragement from the Local Revenue Officer, who charged us Quit Rent not only on the

the land cultivated but on Waste Land we bought of the Burghers in the hope we might one day enter on cultivation.

We have not even had the same liberal encouragement that has been afforded by the Bombay Government to the Silk culture in the Deccan and all the mulberry Plantations on the Neilgherries have now been given up and which is much felt by the Burghers, a large number of whom with their children we had in regular employment.

Coonoor, 6th June, 1848.

/ Signed / Fredk. Minchin

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / F. A. Reid

Secretary

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Committee of the Agri and Horticultural Society of Madras, to request you will be

be good enough to submit to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying Proceedings of the Society at their late annual Exhibition for the distribution of Prizes, and solicit the sanction of Government for the sum as per annexed List to be disbursed, being the amounts awarded from Money placed at the disposal of the Society by the Government of Madras. The accompanying papers would have been laid before Government at an earlier period, but for some delay which has occurred, in consequence of some of the Competitors not having been aware, of the alterations which had taken place in the terms of Competition.

Madras,
Agri-Horticultural
Society's Office,
20th April, 1849.

I Signed I. A. Reid
Secretary

Prizes awarded by the Agri Horticultural Society of Madras at their annual Meeting on the 11th March 1849, from Funds placed at their disposal by the Right Hble the Hon

the Governor in Council.

	Rupees
For the Best Cotton (Imported Seed)	500
Do. 2d Do. Do. Do.	300
Do. Do. Do. Do. Indigenous	200
For the Best Raw Sugar	400
For the 2d Do. Coffee	200
For Staple Produce	1,600
For Cattle	205
Total Rupees	1,805

I Signed I. A. Reid
Secretary.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of date 17th June last, in which, in the last para, the amount of Prizes to be paid by Government through the Society is said to be Rupees 1150 and which has been received - I now beg to inform you that the small Prizes of Rupees 135 for cattle, as per accompanying list were inadvertently omitted - I myself not having been present was not aware at the time, that they had been awarded and the fact of their having been so, has only to day, been pointed out to

to me. I am now desired, by the Committee of the Agri. Horticultural Society to request you will be so good as to obtain the Sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council for this additional Disbursement.

Prizes

For the best country bred Cattle

To. Mr. Dunlop best Cow Rupees ——— 50

" I. Arathoon " Heifer — " ——— 50

" ——— " " Cow Calf — ——— 15

" Haylett ——— " Bull " " ——— 20

Total Company's Rupees ——— 135

. Madras ———
Agri Hort Society's Office,
13th July 1848 ———

Signed F. A. Reid
Secretary.

Proceedings of the annual . Meeting of the Agricultural and Horticultural Society . Madras for the distributions of Prizes placed at its disposal by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council and the Commissioner for the Territories of His Highness the Rajah of . Mysore held at the Society's Gardens on 14th . March 1849.

Agreeably to directions contained in

in a letter from the Society to Government dated 20th January 1849 the following — Gentlemen were present and constituted the Committee of award G. D. Drury Esqre I. Goldingham Esqre Walter Elliot Esqre W. E. Underwood Esqre Sir W. C. Montgomery Bart and T. Pycroft Esqre

In consequence of the arrival of the Steamer, on the . Morning of the . Meeting, the Society were deprived of the valuable assistance usually afforded by the Gentlemen of the . Mercantile . Community in determining on the relative merits of the Samples. In the Cotton Department however the well Known experience of . Mr. Finnie, who Kindly consented to assist in adjudicating, was of great advantage.

The Society have determined for the future to fix, on a day, for the Exhibition, which is not likely to be one, on which any part of the Community may have engagements prohibiting their attendance.

The Samples exhibited for competition were as follows

Cotton

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Cotton

Bourbon	1	Coffee	6
Indigenous	1	Sugar	4
American	2	Wool	1

The Prizes awarded were as follows

To Mr. Fischer Salem

For the Best Cotton, imported Seed ——— Rs 500

Do. 2d Do. Do. Indigenous ——— 200

To Sooba Sing

For the 2d Best Cotton, imported Seed 300

To Mr. M.r Dowell

For the best Raw Sugar ——— 400

To Ramnatha Sing

For the 2d Best Raw Sugar ——— 300

To Mr. J. Goolden

For the best Coffee ——— 300

To Mr. B. A. Daly

For the 2d Best Coffee ——— 200

To Mr. R. A. FitzGibbon

For the best Wool ——— 400

Mr. Fischer of Salem produced the best Cotton from Imported Bourbon Seed as well as a Sample of Cotton from Indigenous Seed for which a second best Prize was awarded. For the cultures of these Cottons - See Appendix

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Appendix A. With regard to the Bourbon Cotton, it was declared to be of long fine staple, and very well cleaned, this operation it appears was done with the Common Churka, but the Committee observe that Cotton grown from Bourbon Seed will always carry the Prize against that grown from American Seed, granting their treatment in all respects to have been the same, and attended with like success, from the fact that it is naturally a Superior Article and fetching more than the American in the Market. it is therefore hardly fair to put the two in competition any more than it would be to place the Indigenous in competition with the American. It is therefore contemplated on another occasion to have separate awards for the several varieties. The Indigenous Cotton was pronounced of good quality and well cleaned.

Sooba Sing's Cotton grown in Coimbatore from American Imported Seed for which the 2d best Prize was awarded was declared to be a good Article of the Kind, but the Staple injured in Gunning.

For cultivation See Appendix B.

Mr.

Mr. McDowell's Sugar which obtained the first prize was considered very good, though of a dark Colour. For culture See Appendix C. The award is held out distinctly for Raw Sugar. that is for Sugar which usually comes under the denomination of Muscavado. but if the planter in order to whiten it more has resorted to clay Sewah or some such auxiliaries, his Sugar is not admissible to compete. On the present occasion there was some diversity of opinion as to the manner in which some of the samples appeared to have been prepared but the committee considered that of Mr. McDowell's to come nearer the description for which the prize was held out than any other.

The Committee are of opinion that the terms of award as they at present stand might be somewhat advantageously modified by admitting Sugars of all denominations to compete but the Quantity of the sample to remain as at present.

Ramnatha Sing of Gimbatore obtained a Prize from the Government of

of Mysore for the Best Sugar. For Culture See Appendix D.

Mr. I. Goolden obtained the first Prize for Coffee awarded by the Government of Mysore. this Coffee is from Wynaud The Samples of Coffee shewn were nearly all of them remarkably good. For Cultivation of this Sample See Appendix E.

The Second Prize for Coffee was awarded to Mr. B. A. Daly for a sample grown on the Shevaroy Hills For Culture See Appendix F

Mr. Fitz Gibbon produced a better sample of Wool than that for which a Prize was awarded last year. Rupees 400 was on this occasion awarded from Funds of the Government of Mysore. The Sample was a good deal mixed. it is believed that its value is to be tested in the English Market.

No difference in the manner of its produce from that of last year concerning which Mr. Fitz Gibbon forwarded a very full report on that occasion.

The

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

The Show in the Cattle department was by no means large nor so good as might have been expected.

The following Prizes were awarded.

Best Bull ... Rupees 70 To. Mr. Cond. Anderson
Best Cow ... " 50 To. Mr. Arathoon
Best Heifer ... " 50 To Revt. Powell
Best Bull Calf. 20. } To R. Hunter Esqr.
Best Cow Calf " 15 }

Signed F. A. Reid
Secretary.

A

Copy of a Letter regarding the Cottons Exhibited by Messrs Fischer & Co. for which the 1st Prize for Foreign and Second for Indigenous Cotton was awarded.

When sending you for exhibition at the late Agricultural Show and for competition for the Prizes offered by the Society two Specimens of Cotton one from Bourbon seed and the other the indigenous Cotton of the Country I was not aware of the new conditions imposed on Competitors but have since been made acquainted with them and

J

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

I now beg to make good the deficiency I have been unwittingly guilty of and to comply with said conditions.

Both Cottons were grown in the Mootah of Salem and on the lands of Mr. Fischer's own private Farm, the first from Bourbon seed and the last from Coyum seed. The first was sown in the Month of April and the last in the month of July. The Cottons exhibited were the first early pickings of the Crop and were picked between the 15th January and 15th February, the first had already given a slight crop in November. The mode of Cultivation was entirely Native. Viz. Broad Cast without any regard to rows the soil is a light calcareous one and by no means rich the fields had been sown with Indigo and Cumboo the year before. The Superiority of the Cotton is, I imagine, owing to the lands having been well manured from the refuse of the Indigo Factory on the Farm and from the ground having been loosened and well weeded by the plough three times during the season

once

once when the plant was about a fort-night old a second time a month after-and a third time a Month later, again-which was one ploughing more than usual in consequence of the nature of the manure used, it being always followed with more weeds than any other class of manure.- This operation thinned the plants also. Both Cottons were freed from the seed by the Common hand Churka and were hand picked afterwards as is usually done with all Cottons. An adjacent-field of the Cotton cultivated at a later period of the season at Dr. Wight's suggestion and with seed supplied by him/ American I believe/ has entirely failed.

/Signed/ A. E. Correa

B.

Copy of a Statement explain-ing the process of Cultivating American Cotton in 1848, as-used in the Village of Ma-ducaray/ in the Cushbah of Talook of Coimbatore in the Zillah of Coimbatore/ by Sooba Sing to whom the first Prize was awarded.

Land

A measure of land sowable with a peck of Seed.

Land of about 20 Vullahs-which was laid waste for the last 7 or 8 years, was selected, the soil was of a black loa partly reddish or intermixed with red sand-. Manure was not used because it will engender worms. The culture began in the month of March by clearing the ground over grown with brush wood. First clear the ground of all the grass and "Conay"/ a species of long grass used in Manufacturing mats/ by ploughing it twice with a-kind of small plough called quarter Callapay and then twice with half Callapay. In the three Months of-April, May and June, they ploughed the ground eight times with a full-plough share, and to a good depth-repeating the process once in 12 or 15 days when the soil is a little wet.- After thus tilling the land 12 times, and from the 1st to 12th July, they-removed the grass, rubbish, and stones from the ground thus prepared and threw them away, keeping the soil in a clean state. They then take 120 Maunds of Cotton Seed

Seed for the above 20 Vullahs, at the rate of 6. Maunds for each Vullah, and rub the seed well with Cowdung and from the 15th. to 26th. July, the Seed is sown in the ground. They began the process of sowing by making parallel lines of 1/20 yard wide in each square of 1/2 yard long by three quarters in breadth. One man goes ploughing the ground with a half plough in the opening made a second man puts the seed and a third covers the seed by passing over them another plough 5 or 6 days after sowing the shrubs begin to shoot, and in 20 days after sowing they rise to the height of a span when we prevent them from crowding each other by eradicating the intermediate ones.

They repeat this process once in each of the three Months of August, September, and October and the trees should be so separated from each other as to leave an interval of a half or three quarters of a yard between each when the produce will be plentiful and of a fine quality. During the above three Months the branches are pruned with

a Mattock once a Month the grass and weeds being removed now and then. In the Month of October the Shrubs are pricked over when the big Cotton pods are selected and dried in the sun.

If the trees are not kept separate from each other by eradicating the intermediate ones, they become stunted and the produce deteriorated in quality.

Such spots should be selected, where the summer periodical rains prevail, the brush wood cleared and the soil prepared. Then the american or Bourbon Cotton may be planted with good effect. But in places where such Rains do not prevail these plantations will not thrive.

From the beginning of November to the end of December, the produce reaped in the above land amounted to 168 Maunds or lbs 4,200 of the first sort which is now in store and a quantity of 200 lbs of the 2d. sort was sold in public auction at the Collector's Office in Coimbatore. More produce will be gathered from the beginning of January to the end of April. These Cotton plants give only a single good Crop.

For

Fort St. George. 30th May 185

For the second time other wastes ought to be cleaned in the above manner.

No. 1 Cotton lbs — 4200.
Seeds thereof — 1700
2. Sort Cotton — 2,000
Seeds thereof — 600

Thus the above Cotton station is conducted in the Gillies Coimbatore.

(Signed) Lt. Lang
C

Copy of a Statement regarding the Cultivation of the Sugarcane and the manufacture of the Sugar exhibited by Mr. Dowell and Turner and to which the 1st Prize awarded.

The ground is first well ploughed and manure then laid on it. It is ploughed into furrows six rows of about a foot and a half from each other. The cane is then cut into pieces of about a foot and a half long, and laid in the furrows each end of the cane being placed over the other, it is then covered with

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850.

with soil about an inch and watered every 3rd or 4th day till it shoots to 6 or 8 inches above the ground: soil is then slightly put round each so as to form a small channel in the centre of each row for the water to run between, and the cane is again watered every 3rd 4th or 5th day according to the nature of the soil, till about 15 days before it is cut to be taken to the Mill. its general growth at the time of cutting being between 13 and 14 feet.

On the Cane being brought to the Mill the juice is expressed by two upright wooden rollers, after which it is put into Iron elliptic boilers (capable of holding between 70 and 80 Gallons of liquid) in quantities varying from 20 to 27 Gallons with about an ounce and a half of lime mixed with water. The boiler is then placed over a strong fire till the juice begins to granulate, the fire is then slackened and the boiler taken off, skimming is kept up all the time it is on the fire. It is then poured into earthen pots to cool.

During

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

during which it is stirred up with a flat stick. When cool it is poured into earthen forms made to order in the shape of Cones taken to the Sugar House plugged and allowed to remain so for three days, when the plugs are withdrawn and the Molasses allowed to run off. It is then allowed to remain in the cones for 4 or 5 Months after which it is taken out sorted and packed according to quality.

(Signed) W. Dowell Pte

D

Copy of a Statement regarding the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane and the manufacture of Sugar from it by Ramnath Sing whom Sugar has received the 2d Prize.

The cuttings of the Mauritius Sugar cane have been furnished to me by the Principal Collector of Coimbatore in the first instance to the extent of 15000 in the year 1847. This as well as those I had in my possession were planted on 6 Cawnies of land

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

in the Village of Cooroochy in the Coimbatore Talook. The soil adopted for its cultivation was somewhat black and red. The ground admitted seeds after it has undergone tillage on 6 different occasion to the depth of 3/4 cubit till the uneven parts are smoothed and all sorts of grass and herbs have been entirely rooted out and then manured with cowding Vizt until it has mutually saluted the earth. The grounds thus chosen are divided into several small Pans and watered throughout and then the cuttings planted in the middle of June 1849. both perpendicularly as well as in lying position. they are watered once a week and fortnight according to the nature of the soil. The canes bud out within the course of Ten days and leaves grow gradually. The first weeding commences after a month and it continues in the 2d 3d and 4th Months successively and in the same instances 6 weedings are necessary as the nature of soil may require.

The canes are left to grow till

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

till 11 Months to Or 7 Cubits in
height great care is taken to prevent
the ravages of Jackals and Wild Beasts
and Guns have been used during nights
for the purpose. Europe process have
been established and the juice taken
in proportionate quantity and boiled
twice a day each four hours after is
mixing in it some powder of Jungle
Benday leaves, Cows Milk, Butter-
-milk, and tender Cocoanuts and
the scumming taken off, which make
it quite Pure. The substance is so
allowed to Cool for 2 hours and subse-
-quently poured in pots of middling
size and placed in a secure place
for 2 days to enable the substance to
become cream and on the 3d they are
put down head having the mouths
filled with straw, and that portion of
the juice not becoming cream ooze
out and then becomes white Sugar of
different qualities and no any other re-
-medy is therefore necessary, but simply
-ing the Pots and filling up in Bags.
The cream on the upper part of the
Pots

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850.

Pots becomes 2d Sort leaving the other
first sort, and no other process has
been established in the District except
mine. The average cost per Cawnie
from beginning to end without the
expence of charges for pressing, manu-
-facturing Sugar is Rupees 81 Eighty
one.

(Signed) M. Ram Nath Sing

E

Copy of a Statement regarding
the Cultivation and preparation of the
Coffee exhibited by J. Goolden Esqre

The Coffee that we sub-
-mitted at the exhibition was taken
indiscriminately from the bulk of the
yield of three Gardens, two in Manan-
-toddy and one about 20 Miles nearer
the Ghats. The oldest of the Trees have
been planted between 5 and 6 years. The
following are the ages of the plants in
our best plantation.

1843 — 1844 — 1845 — 1846 — Total —
16,420 — 48,000 — 50,000 — 26,370 — 1,41,400.

The crop from this Garden
amounted to upwards of 60 Tons or
about

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

about 12 Cwt. per acre-

The following is the proc=ess used on our Estates for curing the Coffee-

First the Cherries are pass=ed through a machine called a Pulper to clean them of the pulpy covering; this process leaves them what is called the parchment, after washing and drying the parchment Coffee is put under the crusher a large wooden wheel weighing about 6 Cwt. re=volving in a trough; by this proc=ess the parchment is broken and the berries separated- the whole is next put through a winnowing machine or Fanner which divides the Coffee from the Chaff-

The last process is separat=ing or assorting the Coffee into dif=ferent sizes this is done by a machine called a Syer which is a series of iron riddles slightly inclined

The whole of the Machin=ery was purchased in Ceylon-

/Signed/ J. Goulden-

Copy

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

F

Copy of a Statement regarding the Cultivation of the Coffee exhibited by Mr. B. A. Daly and to which the 2d Prize was awarded-

The ground to be planted is broken up to the depth of two feet and the plants put in at the distance of six feet in certain parts and nine=e feet in others- The garden is kept tho=roughly clear of Weeds and the plants manured once in two years-

Mode of curing the berry-

The gathering of the crop generally com=mences about the middle of December The berries are collected as fast as they ripen and dried in the sun, when well dried they are beaten in wooden Mortars and sifted- Beaten again till the silver skin is removed & picked and bagged-

/Signed/ B. A. Daly

Copy of a Statement regarding the Wool exhibited by Mr. Fitz Gibbon and which obtained the 1st Prize-

This specimen of Wool has been

Fort St: George, 30th May 1850

been produced from a flock of Sheep located in the Table land of Mysore near Seringapatam-

The parent country stock are Mysore country white bred Ewes of the shortlegged Breed crossed by the pure Merino Ram- the Flock was first located near Bangalore in 1840-1841- The present Wool was shorn partly in July 1848 and partly in the middle of February 1849-

/Signed/ R. A. Fitz Gibbon

/True Copies/

/Signed/ F. A. Reid

Secretary-

The,

Chief Secretary to

Government-

Sir,

I am directed by the Committee of Management of the Agri: Horticultural Society of Madras to forward a copy of their Proceedings at the annual Meeting for the awarding of Prizes for the best Agricultural produce on the 28th Ultimo- The total sum awarded out of the Funds placed at

Fort St: George, 30th May 1850

at the disposal of the Society by the Government of Madras amounts to Rupees (1,712) One Thousand Seven Hundred and Twelve, the disbursement of which the Committee beg you will be good enough to solicit the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to sanction-

Of the Funds placed at the disposal of the Society by the Commissioner for the affairs of His Highness the Rajah of Mysore, Rupees 150 have been awarded-

Madras. - - - - -
Agri Horticultural
Society's Office, - - -
8th April, 1850. - - -

/Signed/ F. A. Reid

Secretary-

Proceedings of the annual Meeting of the Agricultural and Horticultural Society of Madras for the distribution of Prizes placed at its disposal by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council and the Commissioner for the Territories of His Highness the Rajah of Mysore, held as at the Society's Gardens on the 28th March 1850-

The following Gentlemen constituted

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

constituted the Committee of award.

G. D. Drury Esqr. B. Cunliffe Esq
and R. Woosnam Esqr.

The Samples exhibited for competition were as follows.

Bourbon Cotton ——— 1.
Copsum or Indigenous — 1.
Coffee ——— 8.
Sugar ——— 3.

The Prizes awarded were as follows.

To Mr Fischer of Salem, for the best
Bourbon Cotton — Rupees 400.
To Mr Fischer of Salem for the
best Indigenous Cotton. — 300.
To Mr Counsterlony for the best Coffee — 350.
To Mr Fischer for the 2d Do Do — 250
To Mr Groves of the Astagraon
works for the best Sugar
of any description ——— 500.
To Messrs. McDowell & Co of
Venatagherry for the best
Raw Sugar ——— 400

Of Mr Fischer's Cottons the Committee were of opinion that although unfortunately there was no competition, the specimens were of such decidedly good quality as to

DG.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

warrant the awards being granted in full. For the growth of these Cottons See appendix A

Ram Nath King of Coimbatore had 1000 lbs of Cotton within one days Journey of Madras, on the 22nd the papers regarding the growth of which were all received, but strange to say the Peon who brought them on has never seen the Cotton, Cleaning or Cleaningman. Since a further disappointment was experienced in the non-arrival of Captain Lamford's 611 lbs of Cotton assisted in its growth by irregation, this sample left Trichinopoly on the 12th Instant

Dr Wight moreover despatched on the 8th Instant Cotton cleaned by the new American Cottage Saw Gin together with one of the Machines in perfect working order and which he finds works so well as to be capable of cleaning in 2½ or 3 hours an equal quantity to that which it would require 12 looms to turn out by the Charkha. The Society have been much disappointed at the non-arrival of these

samples

samples and Gin.

In the Coffee Department there was a very fine shew indeed, no fewer than eight samples all of them deserving of attention. These samples were exhibited each on a separate plate having a private mark affixed, the specimens were examined by many Coffee Planters present who seemed as well as the Judges to be unanimous in opinion as to the superior excellence of the two samples to which the 1st and 2nd Prizes were awarded.

For growth see appendix B.

It is worthy of remark that the sample which gained the 1st Prize was grown at a considerably greater elevation on the Shevaroy Hills than any other coffee produced.

There was not much competition in Sugar. Mr Groves forwarded 2 samples and Messrs Mc Dowell 1 of the former the sample which obtained the prize was described as a Raw sugar but the Committee although they awarded it the first prize that for any description of Sugar however manufactured was of opinion that

that it could not have been admitted to competition as a Raw Sugar since by Mr Groves own shewing Bone black had been made use of to improve its colour, the Sugar however was considered the best sample exhibited, superior as a Sugar to the refined sample sent by the same Gentleman. For manner of cultivation &c see appendix C.

Messrs Mc Dowell's Sugar was considered very good see appendix D.

The Cattle shew did not exhibit any improvement on former years, and the Society have to regret that amongst the Natives the offering of awards for the best stock seems to have little or no effect.

The Committee awarded the following Prizes.

To A. Hunter Esqre for the best Bull Rupees 50
To Gunesh Gungadar Shastree for the best Cow " 50
To Venkyah for the best Heifer " 35
To Theroovetheyan for the best Bull calf " 20
To Lutchmee Umma for a small but apparently good milch Cow " 7

The Committee did not consider the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

the Bull or Heifer either of them of suffici
excellence to entitle them to the 1st Prize.
For breeding of Prize Cattle see appendix B

The total of Prizes awarded
by the Society Amounts to as follows

For the best Cotton from Imported seed Rs
Do Do Indigenous " 30
For the best Coffee " 250
2nd Do Do " 150
For the best general Specimen
Do Do Raw sugar " 100
For Cattle " 100

Total Rupees 230

Deduct awarded by the Mysore
Government Prize for the best
Coffee " Rupees 300
Do for the best Raw sugar " 350

Total Rupees 650 650

Amount awarded by the Madras
Government " Rupees 171/2

/Signed/ G. D. Drury
President
Mess.rs

DE.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

A

Messrs. Ouchterlony & Co.
Madras.

Gentlemen.-

With reference to my letter of the 6th Instant, I now beg to send you the report therein promised for submission to the agri-Horticultural Society to be held next month for the purpose of awarding Prizes.-

The Cottons which I have sent for exhibition have been grown in some lands attached to the Errode Factory in Coimbatore. The mode of cultivation is precisely the same as that reported last year. The Bourbon Cotton is from a field of second years growth. The Copum from a field which had grown Cholum and Raggee last year.- The Cotton of which the sample gained us the Prize last year and which had been grown in the Salem Mootah realized the Bourbon seed 5 1/4d. and Copum 4 1/2d. per lb in the London Market.-

Of the Coffee the two Boxes No.1 and 2 is a sample from 430 Maunds got from

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

got from a young plantation at Yercaud and Nos. 3 and 4 from the old plantation at the same place, the mode of cultivation is precisely the same as that detailed by Messrs. Fischer & Co. in their letter to the Society of the 23d May 1848. The sample sent to the Society last year was from the last plantation and though it was so unfortunate as to be called trash by the Committee who awarded the Prize it, with the rest of the Crop about 1000 Maunds, sold for 3 3/8 per Cent in the London Market and that before the great rise in the Market.

The requisite certificates of growth are herewith enclosed.

Salem, 20th February 1850.

I have the honor to be
Dear Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) A. E. Correa
Writer to Messrs. Fischer & Co.

Lieutenant Colonel Reid C. B.
Secretary Agri-Horticultural Society

Dear Sir,

We have the pleasure to forward herewith for the exhibition of the 28th Instant.

Bab — 5 Bags Coffee grown on the Shevaroy Hills at our Estate named

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Named "Balmadies" weighing each 1 Cwt.

Yer 4 Boxes Do — Do Do

Estate in Yercaud weighing 1858 lbs.

B 8 Bags Bourbon seed Cotton weighing 1,000 lbs. } from Lands at Errode-

O 8 " — Coopum Cotton weighing 1000 lbs. } at Errode-

The particulars of Culture, and Certificates of growth are sent herewith.

Madras ... March 25th 1850.

(Signed) Ouchterlony & Co.

Report on the mode of Cultivation, preparation &c. of Cotton sent by Fischer & Co. of Salem to the Madras agri-Horticultural Society's exhibition of the 9th March 1848.—

The sample of Cotton is of the kind commonly called "Bombon seed." It was grown in Errode Talook of the Coimbatore district. The soil of the field in which it grows is a light red loam. The field was cultivated the previous year with gram. The field was manured with Cattle manure thoroughly ploughed and the

Seed.

seed sown broad cast in april a
month or so after it was sown the
ground between the plants was plough
:ed to kill the weeds about a fortnight
after this the plants were thinned to
about 3 feet apart. It was again
ploughed when the plants were two
months old.—

From the failure of the early
rains last year the crop was not ga
:thered till December and January.—

The seed was removed from the
Cotton by the native hand Churka
and then carefully hand picked by
women and girls and packed in
bags.—

Salem, ...
23rd May 1848. } D.

/Signed/ Fischer & Co.

A true Copy/
/Signed/ A. E. Correa
Writer to Messrs Fischer & Co

I hereby certify that the accom
:panying samples of Cotton 1000 lbs of
Bourbon seed and 1000 lbs of Cropum Cotton
are the produce of the Lands attached to the
Errode

Errode Factory under my charge.—

Errode, ...
1st February 1850 }

/Signed/ Yelliah
Agent for Messrs Fischer & Co.

B.

Balmadies Coffee, Estate near
Salem, Servaroy Hill, 16th March
1850.—

The Balmadies Estate from
which the 5 Bags sent are taken, is
situated on high land on the Shervaroy
Hills, 4500 feet above the level of the
sea.— It was originally forest land,
the forest was felled and burnt off and
the Estate planted out from Nurseries,
in 1840.— failures in several parts owing
to bad nursery plants have left the trees
of uneven ages and it is in consequence of
this that the present crop from 80 acres,
of Coffee trees is likely only to yield only
about one thousand Maunds of Marketable
Coffee. As a screen against the winds belts of
forest have been left standing in various
parts but none of the Coffee is grown
under—

under shade.

The trees are planted 6 feet by 6 feet making 1210 trees in an acre — no pruning has yet taken place beyond topping the trees at two and a half feet.

The present crop has been gathered during the months of December January, and February the Cherry Coffee was pulped in a machine. then left in a cistern for 48 hours, afterwards washed then dried in the sun and turned over in store and when quite dry peeled in a machine, winnowed and packed in Bags

(Signed) James Johnston
Superintendant.

Memorandum.

The accompanying 5 Bags of Coffee marked Bal are from my Estate 'Balmadies' on the Shevaroy Hills, and the annexed account of the mode of cultivation pursued with the same is written by my superintendant Mr Johnston

Madras ...
25th March 1850.

(Signed) J Auchterlony

I hereby certify that the

accompanying Sample of Coffee in 5 Bags weighing 5 Cwts, is the produce of the Estate called Balmadies in the Salem naad, Shevaroy Hills, under my charge

Balmadies ...
16th February 1850

(Signed) J. Johnston
Manager

Report on the mode of culture preparation &c. of Coffee sent by Fischer and Co. of Salem to the Madras agri. Horticultural Society's Exhibition of the 9th March 1848.

The sample was taken from a field on the Shevaroy Hills Salem — district of about 30 acres the major part of which was planted 7 and 6 years ago.

In the original preparation of the ground a large portion was dug from 1½ to 2 feet deep and well pulverised — and the plants planted in holes 9 feet apart and dug about 2 feet deep. This was subsequently found to be too great a distance and intervening rows were then planted To each plant was given a small basket full of Cattle manure. The plants

were

were procured from under old Coffee trees in a private garden and from the neighbouring Jungles. the produce of berries carried off by Jackals &c and raised from seed. They were from 18 Months to 2 years old and upwards when planted out. The planting usually commences after the rains have set in in May or June and continues till the Month of November. The trees are manured once a year generally during March April and May. To apply the manure the earth is carefully removed from around the tree till the upper roots are laid bare and a trench of 2 to 3 feet broad formed. manure is well broken and spread and then covered in. one full basket is applied to some trees, and a half a basket to others, according to size and appearance. nearly 100 head of Cattle are kept for the purpose of supplying the manure. From the richness of the soil weeds spring up very freely when they are removed by men and women with light Mamuthies and carried

carried to the manure pit. This weeding has to be done 4 and 5 times in the course of the year.

The picking season is from November to the end of February, on being picked the Cherry Coffee was pulped by a common country pulper consisting of 2 wooden rollers of 6 inches dia: meter covered with coir ropes and set up in a frame - on leaving the pulper the berries were put into large Baskets where they remain: ed from 16 to 20 hours till well ferment: ed, they were then well washed and laid out in the sunn to dry when perfectly dry the parchment was removed by a peeler, a wooden wheel 4½ feet high shod with copper, and turned in a circular trough by Cattle. The Coffee was then winnowed garbled and packed in Boxes and Bags.

Salem, ...
23th May, 1848.

/Signed/ Fischer and Coe.
a true copy
/Signed/ A. E. Correa
Writer to Messrs. Fischer & Coe.

7541

Fort St. George 30th May 185

I hereby Certify

that the accompanying

sample of Coffee in 4

Boxes weighing Gross

Casks 3, Pounds 350

is the produce of

the Estate in Yercaud

Shevaroy Hills under

my charge.

Shevaroy Hills,
15th February, 1850.

Signed A. Lawrie

Superintendent.

7542

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

C

To,
Colonel Reid
Secretary to the
Agri Horticultural Society
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose an account of the Cultivation of the Cane from which the Sugar sent by us to the Agri-Horticultural Society has been made together with a brief account of our Manufacture, accompanied by a Certificate from the Collector of Revenue in this District. On the cultivation, I have endeavoured to be a little particular, believing it to be that in which the Society is most interested and in regard to our own manufacture to be more explicit would be merely to communicate which is fully described in works on the Arts and Manufactures of England and known to all parties conversant with the subject. The Acetates of Lead are not used in any shape in any part of the process.

The Sugars sent for the first prize are as follows.

	Cwt.	Qrs	lbs
15 Bags containing 1 Cwt. ea	15	-	-
17 Do — Do — 1½ Do ea	25	2	-
12 Loaves of Sugar weighing	-	2	19½
Total	41	-	19½

The

The raw Sugar sent for the second prize is in 27 Bags containing 1/4 Cwt. each amounting to 40 1/2 Cwt..

Palhully, Seringapatam,
18th March, 1850.. } Signed J. Groves.

On the Cultivation of Cane & the manufacture of Sugar in Mysore..

In communicating such information to the Agri-horticultural Society relative to the Cultivation of Cane and the Manufacture of Sugar as is required, I shall arrange it under the following heads..

1st. The varieties of Cane known or cultivated in Mysore..
2d. The Cultivation of the Cane.
3d. The Manufacture of Goor.
4th. The refining and manufacture of Sugars as carried to the Astogram Sugar works..

1st. The varieties of the Cane..

In the Mysore Country there are several varieties of Cane the following are the principal. The Pottapotta, a Purple ribbed Cane - the Chamos Cane, which grows to a good height, likewise white Cane. The Pootolee which is a small white

white Cane - and the Pottah Rajah likewise white but considerably smaller than the Pootolee..

Of the above varieties the first two are decidedly to be preferred as being more abundant in juice than the other species but they require greater care in the cultivation, particularly the Pottapottah. The Mauritius Cane has been extensively introduced but the Natives say it is not so hardy as the Native Cane and it has nearly gone out of Cultivation

2d. The Cultivation..

The Cane in the various parts of the Mysore Country is cultivated with considerable care, but always on land capable of Artificial irrigation. There is a great difference in the attention given to the Cultivation by different ryots and consequently in the details of the methods pursued in it's culture, but in the following is what is considered by good cultivators as the legitimate mode. The ground is given eight or ten ploughings at different times during the period that the land is under preparation, and when the soil has become sufficiently pulverized, small channels are dug up and down

the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

the fields of about 6 inches deep and 6 wide, and about 3 feet apart, between the channels three rows of seeds are planted in small holes dug about 1½ feet apart. Planting so close as this is however by no means universal - and in some parts of the Country each seed has double or treble the space allowed to it, and the produce per Acre is considerably in favor of wide planting - Into each hole 2 seeds are placed horizontally and lightly covered with mould. The seed thus planted is carefully watered by the hand every other day until the shoots have become about a span in length after which the holes are carefully weeded and the soil loosened around the roots of the plants in order to prepare it for the manure which is now put in the proportion of two hands full to each hole, in the course of a month a second manuring is given and sometimes a third. There is no part of the cultivation of more attended to than proper manuring, Ryots in their eagerness to obtain

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

obtain manure almost vie with the Chinese and no caste consideration seems to stand in their way. The cleaning and sweepings of streets and the contents of drains and sewers are alike removed from the Towns and Villages by the Cane cultivators and often carried many miles to their fields. When the Cane shoots attain the length of two feet the holes are filled up, the channels widened and earth thrown up about the roots of the young Cane, from this time hand watering ceases and water from the irrigating channels is allowed to run freely down the water courses, this is done once every 8 or 10 days till the end of the first 6 or 8 months after which the Cane is only watered twice a month. The Cane fields are so generally kept carefully weeded and the faded leaves of some varieties of the Cane are tied up, generally binding two or three canes together. The object of this is said to be to support those kinds of Cane which would otherwise be in danger of being blown down from their having a less firm hold of the ground than other varieties. The period within which different canes attain maturity

varies

varies from 12 to 18 Months according to the Kind..

3d. The Manufacture of Goor..

When the Cane has arrived at maturity arrangements are made for milling, which in this part of Country is never done by the Cultivators, but by people hired for the purpose who come from a distance bringing their own cattle with them. The Mill consists of two rollers arranged vertically and connected by an endless screw which is said to be a comparatively modern improvement on the pestal and Mortar Mill still in use in many Talooks. This with a large iron pan, a wooden Vessel to receive the concentrated juice as skimmer (which however is seldom used for the purpose its name indicates) and a wooden mould for the Jaggery when used constitute all that is needful to the manufacture. Lime is added to the juice when boiling but in very uncertain quantities..

The Natives seldom get more than three boilings a day and generally not more than two. each boiling produces about 2 1/2 Maunds of Jaggery, a more

more or less juice being added according to its density of the juice which varies according to cultivation, local causes, from 7 to 12 degrees of Beaumier's Saccharometer. The Goor is either made in balls by the hand or in moulds of various sizes cut in a thick plank of wood which generally contains moulds enough to receive the contents of the pan which when sufficiently cooled is turned out on the ground. The produce of good cane in jaggery is calculated by the Natives to be on the average a seer of 24 Rupees weight to each stool, which would give a return of 5 or 6 Tons an Acre. My own experience would however lead me to say that 3 Tons an Acre would be a good average crop and I have repeatedly seen it much less..

4th. Of the Manufacture of Sugar at our Works..

In reference to this there is but little to communicate, as the process followed is pretty much the same as that pursued in England. Our Sugar is manufactured from the Native Goor which after being dissolved in water is

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

is passed through bone blacks by which the Color of the liquor is considerably improved. It is then drawn up into the Vaccuum Pan and when sufficiently concentrated is let down into the heater below and thence put into moulds or tubs in the curing house which is kept at a temperature of from 90 to 95. here the Sugar is allowed to drain a week and which is turned out as raw Sugar, has nothing further done to it but is Knocked out of the moulds broken up and bagged. This is the Sugar sent down to the Society marked P. K.

Refined Sugars has liquor poured on them two or three times according to the Color required which is made by dissolving Sugar in water and which is poured on once a day after the last liquor has been poured on, the Sugar is allowed to stand 2 or 3 days when it is ready to be Knocked out. This is the Sugar sent down under the mark K. P. S.

The Loaf Sugar of which a specimen has been likewise sent is subject to a double process as it is made from Sugar and not direct from the Jaggery in other respects the process is the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

is the same though more care is bestowed on the liquor with which it is whitened. After being Knocked out of the moulds it is prepared and placed in the Bake-house till the loaves have attained the requisite measure of dryness which is generally in about a week.

This is to certify that the 9 14 7/12 tbs of Sugars forwarded by Messrs. Groves and Co residing at Palkully for exhibition at the Agricultural show at Madras is the growth of the Astagram Division of the Mysore Territories.

Superintendents Office
Astagram Division.
Mailcottah in the
Attecoopah Taluk,
14th March, 1850.

(signed) H. Montgomery
Superintendent.

D

To
The Secretary to the Madras
Agri-Horticultural Society.

Sir,

In accordance with the notice dated 30th October last, we send accompanying Two Tons of Raw Sugar marked F. F. X 16 Bags F. F. 16 Bags
Sugar

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Sugar together, with a Certificate of the Systems adopted in the cultivation of the Cane, and the Manufacture of the Sugar, which we request you will receive and place in competition for the Prize at the Produce exhibition on the 28th Instant.-

Madras,
26th March, 1850. } (Signed) Binny & Co.

The following is the mode pursued by Messrs. McDowell and Turner at their Sugar Factory at Vellacherry Cottah for the cultivation of Sugar Cane and the Manufacture of Sugar.

The ground is first well ploughed and Manure then laid on it, it is again ploughed into Furrows in rows of about a foot and a half from each other. The Cane is then cut into pieces of about a foot and a half long and laid into the Furrows each end of the Cane being placed over the other. It is then covered with soil, about an inch, and watered every 3d or 4th day till

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

till it shoots to 6 or 8 inches above the ground, soil is then slightly put round each so as to form a small Channel in the Centre of each row for the water to run between, and the cane is again watered every 3d 4th or 5th Day according to the nature of the soil till about 15 days before it is cut to be taken to the Mill, its general growth at the time of Cutting being between 13 & 14 feet.-

On the Cane being brought to the Mill the juice is expressed by two upright wooden rollers - after which it is put into Iron elliptic Boilers capable of holding between 70 to 80 Gallons of liquid in quantities varying from 20 to 27 Gallons, with about an Ounce and a half of lime mixed with water.- The Boiler is then placed over a strong fire, till the juice begins to granulate, the fire is then slackened and the Boiler taken off, skimming is kept up all the time it is on the fire. It is then poured into earthern pots to cool during which it is stirred up with a flat stick, when cool it is poured into earthern Forms made to order in the shape of Cones taken to the Sugar House, plugged and allowed to remain so

so for 3 days when the Plugs are with=drawn and the Molasses allowed to run off - It is then allowed to remain in the Cones for 4 or 5 Months, after which it is taken out sorted and packed according to quality..

Madras, 23d March, 1850. } /Signed/ McDowell & Turner

We the undersigned do here=by Certify that the Sugar sent by Messrs Binny & Co. to the Agri=Hor=ticultural Society for exhibition, con=tained in the Bags marked "F F X" and "F F" is the Bonafide Produce of our Factory at Vencatagherry Cottah

Madras, 27th March, 1850. } /Signed/ McDowell & Turner

E

Memorandum

A young Nellore Bull born June 1846.

/Signed/ Alexander Hunter.

Memorandum

With reference to the adverties=ment dated 30th October 1849. pub=lished in the Fort Saint George Gazette, the undersigned begs to pre=sent the accompanying Cow at the General Meeting of the Madras Agri=Horticultural Society. Hoping that the

the following Statement which is all that is in his power to offer regarding it, will be deemed satisfactory, and the animal esteemed as a worthy sample for compe=tition by the Committee appointed as Judges on the occasion..

Above three years ago he purchased it, a calf of two years old from I. Goldingham Esqre of the Civil Service, under such conviction as its own appearance at that time, and the pre=sence of its Mother Cow, together with the Bull / both belonging to that Gentle=man and apparently of a superior Nellore breed of which as was then declared it must have been the offspring / conveyed to him in its behalf..

Until about sixteen Months after the above Cow came to his possession its food consisted of green grass and dry straw both generally in equal quantities to the extent of actual consumption with a Madras Measure of bran and as much more of the stuff called Kadalay Poloondoo, and Payaroo Pootto the skin of certain species of pulse, when the Cow got in Calf and after the fifth Month until

until calving half a measure of boiled rice was given in the evening in addition to the other food - since calving the food has been two measures of Ragy, dalay, four measures of bran, and two measures of Calvondoo or Payaroo Poottoo, the same being divided into four measures of food morning and evening. The Cow is generally washed and hand rubbed daily - she has always been kept in her own stall. This cow calved the first time, when about three years and two months old, and produced the present calf, which is the second one and now two months and three days old. Fourteen months afterwards, her present probable age being assumed to be five years and two months. The circumstance of the first calf which had all the appearance of a superior breed and with good health and strength having died when a month old, in consequence of a contageous disease, accounts for the early breeding of the second Calf. The above Cow yields in all four Madras measures of Milk each time, twice

twice a day of which one half is left for the Calf. Owing to a common disease, which came on this Cow on the 19th Instant and affected her tongue until the 21st covering it with rows of painful fleshy thorns or a kind of Chilblains, she refrained from eating any food or drinking any water during those three days and was cured of it by a simple treatment. Viz: rubbing over her tongue, three days three times a day a paste composed of a little common Salt, tamarind and saffron. This however has had the effect of reducing her below her usual appearance.

(Signed) Gunish Gungadee Shastree
Maratta Master
High School.

N.B.
The Cow is perfectly free from all the vices peculiar to her tribe.

Memorandum

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Memorandum.

The accompanying Heifer is of Nellore breed - its mother died when she was 8 months old, she is now fed daily with one measure each of Bengal Gram and Bran and 1¼ annas worth of straw.

Venkayah

Memorandum

The accompanying Calf was born on the 5th February 1849 it is fed daily with one measure of Bran, one measure of Grams and some straw.

Signed Thirouvettiegam
True Copies
Signed H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

DJ

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement of the sums awarded by the Madras Agri-Horticultural Society as prizes for articles of staple produce and for Cattle amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1712/ One Thousand Seven Hundred and Twelve.

2. The Governor in Council regrets that accident prevented the Specimens of Cotton dispatched by Captain Lawford and Ram Nath Sing being exhibited on this occasion, as it would have been very desirable to have had the opinion of the Committee on the quality and value of the produce of the irrigated lands on the river banks of Tanjore compared with those of the growth of Salem and other Districts.

3. The Governor in Council has not failed to observe that competition is still confined to very few parties & that the Prizes have been generally carried off by the same Merchants of European descent both in the provinces and at the Presidency who have been successful in former years and He is inclined to think that very little general benefit is gained by a continuance

J

of the present system. He therefore presses to forward the present and two last years reports to the Board of Revenue, and with reference to the above remarks to request they will fully consider the subject and give the Government their opinion on the continuance of these annual Prizes and if continued on the propriety of making of such alterations in the terms as will be likely to afford more encouragement to Native Cultivators to compete for them Keeping in view the instructions of the Honorable Court contained in para 21 of their despatch No. 3 of 1850. a copy of which will be furnished to the Board with the Minutes of Consultation under this date No. 335.

4. The report of the Committee on the Cattle shew is like former reports so unsatisfactory that the Govern in Council considers it quite useless to allow any further expenditure on this account

5. The Board of Revenue will also be so good as to report what results have followed the sanction for the distribution by the Collectors of prizes for agricultural

Dated 31st July 1845 No. 688.

agricultural produce in the Provinces and will submit a return from each District shewing what may have been done in pursuance of those orders of Government with the opinion of each Collector on the effects.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract) (Signed) H. C. Montgomery Chief Secretary.

(4)

Ordered that the following Circular and Letter be sent in consequence

Circular.-

Circular to all Collectors & Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars

In Con. 30th May 1850.

With reference to the Circular from this Office dated 28th August 1845 I am directed by Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Copy of para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 23rd April 1850. and to request that you will report what has been done in your District in consequence of the orders of Government conveyed to you in the Circular above quoted, and with what results accompanied by your own opinion as to the effects of this or any other mode of encouragement which may occur to you for stimulating Competition

Competition in Agricultural industry;

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th May 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

3-5

To the Secretary Agri. Horticultural Society.

To the Secy. Agri. Horticultural Society
13th Feby. 1850.
No. 3.

Sir;

With reference to para 2 of a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors communicated with para 11 of the Orders of Government dated 23d April 1850 No. 335 and to para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation also dated 23d April 1850 No. 334 the whole of which appear to have been furnished by Government to the Committee of the Agri-Horticultural Society, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will favor them with the sentiments of your Committee as to what plan would be best adapted for at once carrying out the views of the Honorable Court whether by a division of prizes into two classes, for one of which Natives alone should compete or any other arrangement for

In Cons. 30th May 1850 No. 21.

In Cons. 30th May 1850.

for encouraging native competition.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th May 1850.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 17th May 1850 No. 504.

Read the following Papers.

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 4th April 1850. No. 138.)

Extract from the Proceedings of the 2d — 9th —

(Here enter 4th April 1850. No. 146.)

The three Villages of Sittanaindel, Pereyanaindel and Rakanaindel and the two half Villages of Copalacoody and Chatoorvadammigalum referred to in the above communications from the Board of Revenue and accompanying correspondence, appear to have been granted to Rajasawmy Natchyar Mother of the last holder prior to the deed of Permanent Settlement and at no time to have formed part of the

6

From the Secy. to Government

Containing the observations and orders of Government on the subject of the disposal of the Villages lapsed by the death of Sivagamy Nachyar in Madura.

the Zemindary held under that deed
the last holder, Shevacame Natchyar
as she had full power to de, mortgaged
these Villages with an additional 1/4
share of Chatoorvadammungalum which
her Mother had acquired by purchase
to the Cashkeeper Brichajee Row
from whom they passed to Government
as Assignee of the Mortgagee.—

2. The Governor in Council
is of opinion, that the Villages in ques-
tion alienated as they were before
the Permanent settlement should be
regarded as the property of Govern-
ment and dealt with accordingly
and he deems it advisable, that they
should be included among the Govern-
ment Villages, rather than incorpo-
rated with the Zemindary, with an ad-
dition to the Peishcush thereon, as pro-
posed by the Board of Revenue. The
course will therefore be followed un-
less there should be any strong pet-
tion in favor of the incorporation of
the Villages with the Estate, in
which case the Board may again

bring

bring the subject under consideration
of Government.—

3. With regard to the 1/4 share
of Chatoorvadammungalum which the late
Shevagame Natcheyar acquired by pur-
chase, the Governor in Council is of
opinion that this portion should for
the present be managed under Amanie
and the proceeds retained in deposit,
and that the Collector should, after a
reasonable time report whether any
claims have been preferred to the suc-
cession to this part of the property
and if so give his opinion upon the
pretensions of the several claimants
some explanation should at the
same time be furnished in regard
to the title to this share proposed
by the Bramins who sold it to She-
vacamee Natcheyar — It should be
shewn when by whom under what cir-
cumstances and for what purposed
this portion of the Village was con-
ferred upon those parties, what
documents are producible in support
of the grant and what information

can

can be obtained upon the subject from the public accounts or records.-

4. The Government see no grounds for the refund of the sums Rupees 4320-4-11 applied for by the late Rayy Savon and referred to in Para 7 of the Board's Proceedings of 25th March 1850.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon;

(6-7)

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for the information of the Board of Revenue, a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter and Proceedings of 25th March and 4th April last, to which it is a reply, to be communicated to the Collector of Madura, with reference to his letters of †22nd January and *25th March 1850.-

†In Cort. 25th March 1850.
*In Cort. 4th April -11-

2. The Board desire to be favoured with Mr. Parker's opinion upon the point noticed in the latter part of para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation, and his attention is called to the orders contained in the following

para- He will also state where the Villages referred to are situated in respect to the other Villages of the Zemindary.-

(8)

From the Sec.y to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 27th May 1850- No. 521-

Read the following Petition from Arounachellum Pillay son of late Sabapaty Pillay Merasy Curnum of Gunapathy coorchy in Codiarpolliem Taluk.-

Here enter 26th March 1850/-

It would appear from the endorsement of the Collector† and Board* of Revenue in this case that the Petitioner's claim has been rejected on the sole ground of long possession by the present incumbent- If however hereditary right is recognized in the Villages in Codiarpolliem and the Petitioner's father was Merasy Curnum and if the person now enjoying the Office is not a Merasidar, and not entitled to the Curumship by any

†9th Jan.y 1850-
*31st Jan.y 1850 on No. 173-

any right beyond permitted possession during the minority of Petitioner, the latter would seem to have a claim deserving of consideration and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council therefore desires that the Collector may be called upon to report on the above points and if he is still of opinion that the actual incumbent ought not to be divested of the Curnumship in favor of the Petitioner to give explicity his reasons for that opinion with reference to the custom of the District in this respect. He will also state particularly how the present incumbent obtained possession, how long he has held it, how much of that time was in the minority of the Petitioner and when after the attainment of majority the Petitioner first preferred his claim.—

To The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Vizagapatam for report

Read

8-9

Read the following letter.—

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

10

From the Collr of Vizagapatam.

Sir;

With reference to the enclosed Extract from the Audit of the accounts of this Collectorate for Fusly 1256 I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to furnish me with a Copy of the Sanction called for therein as it appears to have been mislaid in my office.—

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector.—

Vizagapatam Collr Cutcherry
18th May 1850.

No 1

Extract of Retrenchments from the accounts of the Collector of Vizagapatam from 12th July 1846 to 11th July 1847 on account of Expences for Fusly 1256.—

Number	Charges Objected to.—		Remarks of the Civil Auditor
	Purchase of Salt..		
10/2	Amount paid for cost of Garce Mangalore 804—05—2 of Salt purchased at 6 Rupees per Garce	4824 15 7	No 10/2 Copy of the sanction of the Board of Revenue dated 26th November 1826 quoted by the Collector in support of this charge, is required.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) P. B Smollett
Collector

The

Proceedings thereon.—

(10-11)

The date of the sanction of the Board in the enclosure to the foregoing letter has apparently been misquoted, 26th November 1826.—

Copy of their letter to the Collector of the 2d idem ordering an adjustment of the purchase price of Sattwithout involving any actual reduction of its price is herewith sent, as this it is concluded is the paper required by the Collector.—

Read the following letter

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(12)

From the Coll.r of Nellore.—

Submitting further information regarding the Shrotrium Village of Murripand in his district on the several points required by the Board.—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 25th Ultimo with its enclosures, requiring further information on the points therein alluded to, regarding the claim of the Petitioners, to which I beg to reply in the order in which they are placed.—

Petitioners' Elder brother whose death appears to have taken place

† Rs. 1380

place in the year Vyaya corresponding with 1826/27 executed an agreement to Petitioner in the same year putting him in possession of his share of the Shrotrium Village and making provision for the maintenance of his family.—There is also another agreement purporting to have been executed by the same individual to Petitioner in Sarana 1824/25 by which it appears that both the brothers had agreed to abide by the decision of the arbitrators awarding the income of the Murripand Village $\frac{2}{3}$ to the Elder brother and $\frac{1}{3}$ to Petitioner after deducting Pagt. 90 being the Amount of Sodee payable to the Circar and other expences the agreement to take effect from Fusly 1234.—These two agreements which prove that both brothers had a joint right to the Village in question, are herewith submitted with translations.—

II The interests of no other parties appear to be involved in the Village as to a share in the Shrotrium.—

III The difference between the sum of Pagodas 345 is apparent from Enclosure No. 4 of my letter and Rupees—

Rupees 800 as represented in the same ac-
-counted for, as follows. The former amount
comprizes Pagodas 161 in cash, and 184 in
kind, at the Cadeem rates which
prevailed in the Jaghire prior to its
assumption and which are only
calculated to swell out the nominal
revenue of the Jaghire The grain re-
-ceivable at the Cadeem rates computed
at Pagodas 10 per Pooty is 18 Candies and
8 tooms which at 5½ Pagodas the Current
price amounts to about Rupees 404-13-
making a total sum of Rupees 1048-13-
including the ready money demand
this there might have been a portion of
the same unrecovered owing to the
nature of the season. The average
revenue of subsequent years since
the assumption of the Jaghire, is
Rupees 800, the highest collection
being Rupees 895-6-2, the demand
upon the total extent of land
classed and assessed is Rupees 938-
15- to which the tax on cattle and
motunpha

motunpha revenue, Viz:, Rupees 223-5-
being added, there remains a total of
Rupees 1159-4- but the whole extent
of land in the Village not being occu-
-pied by the Ryots nor under culti-
-vation the Revenue of the Village
fluctuates according to the actual
cultivation and the nature of the
season.-

IV The amount of Sodee paid before the Jagheerdar rented the Village was Rupees 364-4-2-

V There is nothing on record to shew that similar claims have been made to the other Shrotriem Villages of Pedda Machanoor Goovady &c. The five Villages alluded to in para 20th of Mr. Stonhouse's report dated 24th August 1840 were designated as the Jagheerdar's Shrotriem Villages, four of which were held by the Jagheerdar himself

himself Municipand being the only Village granted to Petitioner's father.

2. The Petition & enclosures are herewith transmitted.—

Nellore.
Coll.rs Cutcherry
17th May 1850.

/Signed/ H. White
Collector.

A

Cararnamah executed to Pasoonoor Lutch-
manursoo by his Elder Brother Rama Row
on the 2d of the increasing moon of the Month
Aushada of the year Veiah. 1526/27

We had had disputes heretofore
between us, and you were in the enjoyment
of your portion of the Village of Immeypand
the property of our Father, but I refused
to take my portion. Hereafter however there
must be no disputes between you and me
I will not live any longer — you will take
possession of my portion of the Village together
with the whole of my property and
perform my funeral rites, as also the an-
nual ceremonies — You will take care
of my daughter Ummayee as if she were
your own daughter, and get her married
The jewels that were heretofore with your

Sisters —

Sister-in-law, must be in her possession —
You will give Ummayee in marriage —
together with the Jewels that I had given her —
Should your Sister in law be desirous of re-
maining with you you will maintain
her without practising deceit but —
should she not be desirous of remaining
with you but returns to her mother's
house you will give her monthly —
Four Rupees — This Cararnamah I have
caused to be written of my own free will

Witnesses — /Signed/ Ramarow.

/Signed/ Tatapully Govindabullo

" Venentrow.

Cararnamah written by Paulapawka Narinapah

(True translation)
(Signed/ H. White/
Collector.

B

Cararnamah executed by Pasanoor
Ramarow to his Younger brother Pasanoor
Sutchmynursoo on the 12th of the increas-
ing moon of the Month Bohya of the year
Saranah. 1824/25

As both of us had had dis-
putes, the arbitrators Bellum Conda
Kristnamacharlo Meerasahib Charala
Seenapah

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Seenapah Puntoolo Vakeel Vencatrow and Nanna Perumal Naidoo hearing what we both had to say have decided that deducting from the Beriz derived in each year from the Shotrium Village of Murrispand that had been procured by our Father Gopaula Royanyaroo, the urgent disbursements required for the Village and the Shrotriums Beriz of 90 Pagodas to be paid to the Cikar the remainder should be enjoyed by both of us - Viz two portions by me and one portion by you, that the Shrotrium Village should be in our joint possession and that the expences required to perform your second marriage should be incurred by me, and as we both have consented to the arbitration, we do hereby agree commencing from this year Fusly 1234 to receive in each year from the yearly produce as follows - Itwo shares and you one share, to which effect I have of my own free will caused this to be

Cararamah -

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Cararamah to be written 2d January 1823

Arbitrators -

(Signed) Bellum Condah Kristnamacharloo
" Mahomed Meera -
" Charala Seenapah Puntoolo
" Vakeel Venkatarow
" Nanna Perumalo
" Pasoonoor Ramarow

(True translation)
(Signed) D. White
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To the Collector of Nellore -

(12-13)

To the Collector of Nellore -

In Cons. 25th May 1850 -
In Cons. 30th " - " -

† Enclosure No 2 in letter of 15th February in which it is stated after paying this amount to the Circar as Shrotriums Beriz, and the 110½ Pags to Luchminarain, Coyle is granted for the remainder as the other brother's portion i.e. 234½ Pags -

Sir With reference to your letter of 15th February and 17th May 1850 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to enquire of you whether the 345 Pagodas Sist on the Shrotrium Village of Murrispand was independent of the 90 Pagodas jodee which it appears the Jageerdar undertook to pay to the Circar (that is to himself) If it had been usual for the Ryots to pay the Sist to the Shrotrumdar it is presumed the amount must

must have been above 345 Pagodas as long as the Shrotriemdar lead to pay the Jodee himself. The system so often referred to of the Jagheerdar renting the Village does not seem consistent with the fact that the Jagheerdar paid nothing to the Shrotriemdar but merely ordered the Ryots to pay the Beriz to him which it is concluded they did before — Nor is it easy to see what was the object of this arrangement.—

You will be good enough to ascertain from the head Ryots of Murrisipand and from the Accounts what was actually paid to the Shrotriemdar on the average whether the Jodee of 90 Pagodas was deducted therefrom.—

The Board also desire to know the age of P. Luchmenarsoo and where he resides and who are his nearest relatives having also how it happened that after he applied to Mr Stonhouse in 1841 he allowed 5 years to elapse before he addressed the Board in

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th May 1850.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read

Read the following letter

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

14

From the A.g Collr of Chingleput

Encl. 1 with Maharatta Statement.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement of further Mootahs which have been attached for arrears of revenue due for Fasly 1259, and request that the Board will sanction the establishment proposed for their management.—

Chingleput District
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Guindy 18th May 1850

/Signed/ T. Conway
Ag Collector

Statement

7579

Fort St. Geo. 30th Jan. 1850

A. Statement of further Estates attached in the Zillah

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jumma of the Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Peishcush due upon the Fusly specified	Date of the attachment	Remarks	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jumma of the Zemindary or Estate
1	2	3	4	5	12	13		
			Rs. a.	Rs. p.				
1 Chunambut	S. Armoga Moodely & Ramasamy Moodely	3	1651 15	1446				
2 Velangaudoo	S. Armoga Moodely	1	297 1	260				
3 Vennaulagarum	Do.	1	102 4	89				
4 Poodoopet	Do.	1	208 15	183				
		6	2260 5	1978 7	13th May 1850	Dutch	1	48.
5 Otteclayum	C. Arsonachella Moodely	5	235 12	205		and Vea	1	14.
6 Caroombaukum	C. Ramasawmy Moodely	2	210 14	184				
7 Poodoocoopum	S. Armoga Moodely	1	46 ..	40		samy	1	20.
8 Tembavoor	Annayemnall	3	821 12	719		d M.		
9 Kynellore	C. Ramasawmy Moodely	1	299 7	262		doo	1	16.
		12.	1612 4	1410 5	Do. Do.	naidoo	1	12.
10 Cheyoor	C. Comarasawmy Moodely	7	1689 9	1478			5	112.
							3	79
							1	20
11 Vichoor	T. Rutna Moodely	3	123 4	109		d	1	13
				1587 14	6 Do. Do.	nd	1	24.
		10	1812 13				6	136

30th May 1850

the Zillah of Chinglepat for fusly 1259..

Amount of Kist due up to April of Fusly 1259			Amount Collected			Balance			No. of Villages Estimates to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached			Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached		Date of the attachment	Remarks
5			6			7			8	9			10	11			12	13
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p		
1446	"	"	819	"	"	627	"	"	3	1651	15	11	1 Ameenah	8	"	"		
260	"	"	112	"	"	148	"	"	1	297	1	10	1 Moodhruff	7	"	"		
89	"	"	38	"	"	51	"	"	1	102	4	2	1 Tarufdar	5	"	"		
183	"	"	79	"	"	104	"	"	1	208	15	10	1 Peon	3	"	"		
														"	8	"		
1978	"	"	1048	"	"	930	"	"	6	2260	5	9	Sanderward	23	8	"	1 " 7	13th May 1850
205	"	"	89	"	"	116	"	"	5	235	1	2	1 Ameenah and Moodhruff	7	"	"		
184	"	"	129	"	"	55	"	"	2	210	14	8	1 Tarufdar	5	"	"		
40	"	"	17	"	"	23	"	"	1	46	"	"	1 Peon	3	"	"		
719	"	"	307	"	"	412	"	"	3	821	12	10						
262	"	"	162	"	"	100	"	"	1	299	7	7	Sanderward	"	8	"		
														15	8	"	" 15	4 Do Do
1410	"	"	704	"	"	706	"	"	12	1613	4	3						
1478	"	"	833	12	8	644	3	4	7	1689	9	10	1 Ameenah & Moodhruff	8	"	"		
													1 Tarufdar	5	"	"		
109	"	"	78	"	9	30	15	3	3	123	4	7	1 Peon	3	"	"		
														"	8	"		
1587	"	"	911	13	5	675	2	7	10	1812	14	5	Sanderward	16	8	"	" 11	6 Do Do

Fort St. George

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Ropietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Dumpah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to April of Fusly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p
12 Pondoor	Y. Arooachella Moodely	15	3,693 9 10	3,231 " "	2,385 " "	846 " "	15	3,693 9 10
13 Perevaly	Venkata Reddy and Lutchmana Reddy	1	488 4 10	427 " "	167 4 9	259 11 3	1	488 4 10
14 Tarayoor	Ramasawmy Naidoo and Pedda Iyoodoo Naidoo Vea	1	147 " 11	129 " "	29 1 5	99 14 7	1	147 " 11
15 Mambaukum	Soobba Reddy and Samy Reddy	1	205 10 10	180 " "	5 4 11	174 11 1	1	205 10 10
16 Chittoor	Narraina Naidoo and M. Moottoosamy Naidoo	1	165 9 4	144 " "	117 8 "	26 8 "	1	165 9 4
17 Culput	Iyoodoo Naidoo & Varaduppa Naidoo	1	121 3 3	106 " "	82 " "	24 " "	1	121 3 3
		5	1,127 13 2	986 " "	401 3 1	584 12 11	5	1,127 13 2
18 Vunnea nellore	C. Appah Pillay	3	790 6 6	691 " "	296 " "	395 " "	3	790 6 6
19 Poroor	C. Sana Row	1	200 15 8	175 " "	75 " "	100 " "	1	200 15 8
20 Colattanellore	Veerasawmy Naidoo and Soobba Naidoo	1	131 15 1	116 " "	90 4 "	25 12 "	1	131 15 1
21 Noombul	Moottoosamy naidoo and Senarana Gounden	1	245 15 8	216 " "	189 8 "	26 8 "	1	245 15 8
		6	1,369 4 11	1198 " "	650 12 "	547 4 "	6	1369 4 11

30th May 1850.

Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment		Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
10		11	12	13
		Rs a P	Rs a P	
1 Ameenah	8 " "			
1 Mooshruff	6 " "			
1 Tarufdar	5 " "			
2 Peons each at 3 Rs	6 " "			
Sunderward	1 " "			
	26 " "	" 11 4	13th May 1850	
1 Ameenah and Mooshruff	7 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sunderward	" 8 "			
	10 8 "	" 14 10	Do Do	
1 Ameenah and Mooshruff	7 " "			
1 Tarufdar	5 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sunderward	" 8 "			
	15 8 "	1 2 2	Do Do	

Names of the Jemindaries or Estates	Names of the Jemindars or Proprietors	No. of the Villages included in the Jemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the entire Jemindary or Estate	
1	2	3	4	
			Rs	a
22 Boodoor	C. Aroomooga Moodely	1	452	7
23 Modeliar coopum	C. Ramasaumy co Moodely	1	97	15
24 Tynar coopum	Do Do	1	79	6
25 Wodeyoor	C. Armooga Moodely	1	43	13
		4	673	11
26 Illodoor	C. Ramasaumy Moodely	1	168	7
27 Cokaruntongul	Do Do	1	49	12
28 Seroomyloor	Vijia Reddy and Streenévas Reddy	1	44	1
29 Seroomyloor	Kistniengar and Briatumby Moodely	1	446	14
		4	709	3
30 Mogayoor	M. Moorooga Pillay and Canacavabay Pillay	11	1752	12

30th May 1850.

Amount of Kist due up to April of Fusly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Percentage of the establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p		
396 " "	170 " "	226 " "	1	452 7 8	1 Ameenah and Moodhruff	6 " "		
85 " "	36 " "	49 " "	1	97 15 4	1 Peon	3 " "		
70 " "	30 " "	40 " "	1	79 6 5				
38 " "	16 " "	22 " "	1	43 13 7				
589 " "	252 " "	337 " "	4	673 11 "		9 " "	1 5 4	13th May 1850
147 " "	113 " "	34 " "	1	168 7 3	1 Ameenah and Moodhruff	6 " "		
48 " "	18 " "	25 " "	1	49 12 4	1 Peon	3 " "		
39 " "	28 " "	11 " "	1	44 1 5				
391 " "	258 " "	133 " "	1	446 14 5				
620 " "	417 " "	203 " "	4	709 3 5		9 " "	1 3 9	Do Do
1534 " "	1,168 1 "	365 15 "	11	1752 13 3	1 Ameenah Moodhruff 1 Peon Saunderward	8 " " 6 " " 3 " " " 8 "		
						17 8 "	" 15 9	Do Do

Fort St. George

	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to April of Fasly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a P
	C. Aroomooga Moodely	1	658 12 3	576 " "	247 " "	329 " "	1	658 12 3
	C. Ramasamy Moodely	1	363 13 5	319 " "	187 " "	132 " "	1	363 13 5
		2	1,022 9 8	895 " "	434 " "	461 " "	2	1,022 9 8
	C. Aroomooga Moodely	5	939 " 2	822 " "	353 " "	469 " "	5	939 " 2
	S. Vencata Soobiah	1	320 5 9	280 " "	219 " "	61 " "	1	320 5 9
		6	1,259 5 11	1,102 " "	572 " "	530 " "	6	1,259 5 11
	M. Pauly Naidoo & Veerabadra Pillay	3	1,926 2 5	1,685 " "	722 " "	963 " "	3	1,926 2 5
	Streenevea Moodely	11	2,678 13 11	2,345 " "	1,015 3 11	1,329 12 1	11	2,678 13 11
	C. Tondroya Moodely	1	1,024 7 7	896 " "	486 " "	410 " "	1	1,024 7 7
	Do. Do.	1	102 " 5	90 " "	38 " "	52 " "	1	102 " 5
	Do. Do.	1	157 10 2	137 " "	10 " "	127 " "	1	157 10 2
		3	1,284 2 2	1,123 " "	534 " "	589 " "	3	1,284 2 2

Fort St. George

the ices es	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to April of Fuddy 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a P
———	C. Aroomooga Moodely ———	1	658 12 3	576 " "	247 " "	329 " "	1	658 12 3
hum ———	C. Ramasamy Moodely ———	1	363 13 5	319 " "	187 " "	132 " "	1	363 13 5
		2	1,022 9 8	895 " "	434 " "	461 " "	2	1,022 9 8
o ———	C Aroomooga Moodely ———	5	939 " 2	822 " "	353 " "	469 " "	5	939 " 2
———	S. Vencata soobiah ———	1	320 5 9	280 " "	219 " "	61 " "	1	320 5 9
		6	1,259 5 11	1,102 " "	572 " "	530 " "	6	1,259 5 11
———	M. Pauly Naidoo S. Pauly Naidoo & Verabadra Pillay ———	3	1,926 2 5	1,685 " "	722 " "	963 " "	3	1,926 2 5
———	Streeneva Moodely ———	11	2,678 13 11	2,345 " "	1,015 3 11	1,329 12 1	11	2,678 13 11
———	C. Tondroya Moodely ———	1	1,024 7 7	896 " "	486 " "	410 " "	1	1,024 7 7
pauram ———	Do ——— Do ———	1	102 " 5	90 " "	38 " "	52 " "	1	102 " 5
eanay ———	Do ——— Do ———	1	157 10 2	137 " "	10 " "	127 " "	1	157 10 2
		3	1,284 2 2	1,123 " "	534 " "	589 " "	3	1,284 2 2

30th in May 1850.

Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment		Percentage of the establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portions of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
10		11	12	13
	Rs a P	Rs a P		
1 Ameenah & Mooshruff	7 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sanderward	" 8 "			
	10 8 "	1 " 5	13th in May 1850	
1 Ameenah & Mooshruff	7 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sanderward	" 8 "			
	10 8 "	" 13 3	Do.	Do.
1 Ameenah and Mooshruff	8 12 "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sanderward	" 8 "			
	12 4 "	" 10 3	Do.	Do.
1 Ameenah	8 " "			
1 Mooshruff	6 " "			
2 Peons at 3 Rs each	6 " "			
Sanderward	" 8 "			
	20 8 "	" 12 3	Do.	Do.
1 Ameenah & Mooshruff	7 " "			
1 Tarufdar	5 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sanderwared	" 8 "			
	15 8 "	1 3 3	Do.	Do.

...y 1850

...nt of ...due to April ...ly 1859	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages Proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment		Percentage of the establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment
5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12
Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a P		Rs a P	Rs a P	
...0 " "	30 " "	40 " "	1	80 " 4	1 Ameenah & Moothruff	7 " "		
...7 " "	33 " "	44 " "	1	88 3 10				
342 " "	257 " "	85 " "	1	390 12 8	1 Ron	3 " "		
431 " "	31 " "	400 " "	1	492 1 10	Sanderwared	" 8 "		
				1051 2 8		10 8 "	" 15 10	13th May 1850
920 " "	351 " "	569 " "	4					
3347 " "	2309 14 2	1037 1 10	21	3825 13 4	1 Ameenah	8 12 "		
				502 6	1 Moothruff	7 " "		
438 " "	307 " "	131 " "	1		1 Tarufdar	5 " "		
					2 Peons at 3 Rs each	6 " "		
					Sanderward	" 8 "		
				4327 13 10		27 4 "	" 10 "	D° D°
3785 " "	2616 14 2	1168 1 10	22					
111 " "	74 " "	37 " "	1	127 12 6	1 Ameenah and Moothruff	7 " "		
237 " "	201 " "	36 " "	2	271 12 6	1 Ron	3 " "		
215 " "	" " "	215 " "	1	245 8 1				
152 " "	108 8 "	43 8 "	1	174 14 11				
				820 " "		10 " "	1 3 6	D° D°
715 " "	383 8 "	331 8 "	5					

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to April of Fusly 1259	Collected	Balance	No. of Villages from which Kists are due	Actual Estimated value of the portion of crop to be attached
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a
50 Vellum	C. Raniah	2	1140 3 8	996 " "	422 15 5	573 - 7	2	1140 3
51 Chittore	C. Vencata Soobummah	1	221 12 "	190 " "	165 " "	25 " "	1	221 12
52 Suntavellore	V. Vencatavoobiah /died/	1	623 2 2	545 " "	70 8 3	474 7 9	1	623 2
53 Carenankyney	N. alamale ummall	2	358 14 4	313 " "	128 14 4	184 1 8	2	358 14
		6	2344 - 2	2044 " "	787 6 "	1256 10 -	6	2344 -
54 Mauttoor	Munnaroo Naidoo & Soobbah Naidoo	2	2326 14 10	2035 " "	1423 " "	612 " "	2	2326 14
55 Sariennor	V. Narasinga Row	1	159 10 -	139 " "	55 " "	84 " "	1	159 10
		3	2486 9 10					
			2486 9 10	2174 " "	1478 " "	696 " "	3	2486 8
56 Tyloor	R. Vencatadamy Naidoo	2	1085 5 1	950 " "	528 " "	422 " "	2	1085 5
57 Beemasporum	T. Ramakistna Puntoolu	1	102 9 6	87 " "	" " "	87 " "	1	102 9
		3	1187 14 7	1037 " "	528 " "	509 " "	3	1187 14
58 Tundlum	Canacavulle Ummalls	2	577 15 11	505 " "	216 2 1	288 13 11	2	577 15
59 Keenulloor	S. Audenarraina Reddy	2	528 13 8	460 " "	31 8 -	428 8 -	2	528 13
60 Beemuntongul	Canacavulle ummall	2	311 3 4	275 " "	124 " "	151 " "	2	311 3
		6	1418 - 11	1240 " "	371 10 1	868 5 11	6	1418 "

30th May 1830.

Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.		Percentage of the estab=lishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
10		11	12	13
	Rs as	Rs as		
1 Ameenah	8 " "			
1 Moodhruff	7 " "			
1 Tarufdar	6 " "			
2 Peons at 3 Rs each	6 " "			
Sunderwards	" 8 "			
	26 8 "	1 2 1	13th May 1830	
1 Ameenah	8 " "			
1 Moodhruff	6 " "			
1 Tarufdar	5 " "			
2 Peons at 3 Rs each	6 " "			
Sunderwards	" 8 "			
	25 8 "	1 " 5	Do	Do
1 Ameenah and Moodhruff	8 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sunderwards	" 8 "			
	11 8 "	" 15 6	Do	Do
1 Ameenah & Moodhruff	7 " "			
1 Tarufdar	5 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sunderwards	" 8 "			
	15 8 "	1 1 6	Do	Do

Fort St. George

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Nos. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate Rd a p
1	2	3	4
61 Kemmaly	S. Bashem Venkata Reddy	1	848 1 1
62 Choukuntongul	Do.	Do.	1	24 12 4
63 Cautagarum	Do.	Do.	2	98 - 7
			4	970 14 -
64 Agharum	T. Veroomul Row A. Venkatachella		2	565 15 5
65 Narasingapooram	Moodely (died) and Yellapa Moodely		2	643 6 7
			4	1209 6 -
66 Mambalum	S. Venkataragava Reddy		4	2233 4 7
67 Saranjary	B. Mooneappa Moodely & C. Chockana Moodely		6	2488 7 5

th May 1850

Amount of List due up to April of Fasly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Percentage of the establish=ment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p	
742 " "	518 15 "	223 1 "	1	848 1 1	1 Ameenah and Moodhruff — 6 " " Ron — 0 " " Sanderward — " 8 "		
21 " "	8 4 "	12 12 "	1	24 12 4			
87 " "	38 " "	49 " "	2	98 " 7			
850 " "	565 3 "	284 13 "	4	970 14 "	9 8 "	" 15 8	13th May 1850
498 " "	240 14 4	257 18	2	565 15 5	1 Ameenah and Moodhruff — 7 " " 3 " " 1 Ron — " 8 "		
563 " "	211 " "	352 " "	2	642 " 7	Sanderward —		
					10 8 "	" 13 11	Do Do
1061 " "	451 14 4	609 18	4	1209 6 "			
1954 " "	1601 10 4	352 5 8	4	2233 " 7	1 Ameenah and Moodhruff — 7 " " 3 " " 1 Ron — " 8 "		
					Sanderward — 10 8 "	" 7 6	Do Do
					8 " "		
					1 Ameenah — 6 " "		
2177 " "	1462 10 8	714 5 4	6	2488 " 5	1 Moodhruff — 3 " " 1 Ron — " 8 "		
					Sanderward —		
					17 8 "	" 11 3	Do Do

Fort St. George

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due upto April of Fusly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p
68 Teroomulrajaporum	C. Venkatacharry	1	2 54 10 10	222 " "	157 " "	65 " "	1	254 10 10
69 Coruttoor	T. Ponnatummall	1	327 12 5	290 " "	126 " "	164 " "	1	327 12 5
		2	582 7 3	512 " "	283 " "	229 " "	2	582 7 3
70 Trooma nuum	M. Venkiah	4	1,285 9 "	1126 " "	471 " "	655 " "	4	1,285 9 "
71 Chamarum	S Canacavullie Temmall	1	604 15 11	530 " "	218 " "	312 " "	1	604 15 11
		5	1,890 8 11	1,656 " "	689 " "	967 " "	5	1,890 8 11
72 Parumbankum	Moulave Mooftee Syed Mahomed Abdool Vadoodeen nacave Saheb & Abdul Gyavah Goolam Yacayen nacavee Saib	1	2,988 5 3	2,614 " "	1,281 5 9	1,332 10 3	1	2,988 5 3
73 Matumcoopum	Moulavee Mooftee Syed Mahomed Abdool vadoodeen nacavee Saib	2	421 15 1	368 " "	176 4 "	191 12 "	2	421 15 1
		3	3,410 4 4	2,982 " "	1,457 9 9	1,524 6 3	3	3,410 4 4
74 Vengul	J. D. Buctavatchella Naidoo	2	2,680 13 "	2,345 " "	1,675 14 "	669 2 "	2	2,680 13 "

30th May 1850

Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Percentage of the establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
10	11	12	13
	Rs a P	Rs a P	
1 Ameenah & Mooshruff	6 " "		
1 Ron	3 " "		
Saunderward	" 8 "		
	9 8 "	1 10	1 13th May 1850
1 Ameenah & Mooshruff	7 " "		
1 Ron	3 " "		
Saunderward	" 8 "		
	10 8 "	" 8 11	Do Do
1 Ameenah	10 " "		
1 Mooshruff	7 " "		
1 Tarufdar	5 " "		
2 Rons at 3 Rs each	6 " "		
Saunderward	" 8 "		
	28 8 "	" 13 4	Do Do
1 Ameenah	8 " "		
Mooshruff	6 " "		
1 Tarufdar	5 " "		
1 Ron	3 " "		
Saunderward	" 8 "		
	28 8 "	" 13 4	Do Do

Fort St. George 30th

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summum of the said Zemindary or Estate
1	2	3	4
75 Cancaloor	M. Condapah Chetty		Rent
76 Colundaloor	P. Vengoo Moodely	1	965 3 7
		1	668 10 8
77 Autoopaukum	T. Bamboo Row	2	1,633 14 3
78 Noongumbaukum	D——Do——	2	103 7 4
		1	540 7 0
79 Pornary	Aroonaghery Moodely /dec/ his Executor C. Veera= =samy Moodely C. T. Veeramy Moodely	3	643 14 11
		1	276 3 -
80 Jalimbadoo	P. Venaika Moodely	1	1,086 11 7
		2	1,362 14 7
81 Pandeyanellare	V. Mootta Pillay	2	600 2 8
82 Geredarapooram	Sawmy Reddy	2	423 2 1
83 Vepputtoor	M. M. T. Naiker	4	1,023 4 9
84 Lembeyee Manaly	V. Veerabadra Pillay	2	367 12 9
		1	212 8 -
		3	580 4 9

30th May 1850.

Amount of Rist due upto April of Fusly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Percentage of the establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps		Rs a ps		Rs a ps		
845 — —	562 — —	283 — —	1	965 3 7	1 Ameenah & Moodhruffs	8 — —		
					1 Ron	3 — —		
585 — —	251 — —	334 — —	1	668 10 8	Sanderward	— 8 —		
1430 — —	813 — —	617 — —	2	1633 14 3		11 8 — —	11 3 13th May 1850	
90 — —	38 — —	52 — —	2	103 7 4	1 Ameenah & Moodhruffs	7 — —		
473 — —	220 — —	253 — —	1	540 7 6	1 Ron	3 — —		
563 — —	258 — —	305 — —	3	643 14 10		10 — —	1 8 10 Do Do	
242 — —	104 — —	138 — —	1	276 3 —	1 Ameenah & Moodhruffs	7 — —		
					1 Ron	3 — —		
					Sanderward	— 8 —		
951 — —	408 — —	543 — —	1	1086 11 7				
1193 — —	512 — —	681 — —	2	1362 14 7		10 8 —	— 124 Do Do	
525 — —	447 — —	78 — —	2	600 2 8	1 Ameenah & Moodhruffs	7 — —		
					1 Ron	3 — —		
368 — —	314 10 —	53 6 —	2	423 2 1	Sanderward	— 8 —		
893 — —	761 10 —	131 6 —	4	1023 4 9		10 8 —	1 — 5 Do Do	
323 — —	— — —	323 — —	2	367 12 9	1 Ameenah & Moodhruffs	7 — —	1 33 Do Do	
187 — —	80 — —	107 — —	1	212 8 —				
510 — —	80 — —	430 — —	3	580 4 9				

588

Fort St George

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or proprietors	No. of Villages viz Cudut in the Zemindary or Estates.	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due upto April of Fusly 1259	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p
85 Lalchmeepooram	Gopaul Row, Govinda Row, Runga Row &c &c	15	2,308 2 10	2,020 " "	1259 11 9	760 4 3	15	2,308 2 10
86 Coormungalum	N. Kistna Row	3	788 9 "	691 " "	298 6 8	392 9 4	3	788 9 "
		18	3,096 11 10	2,711 " "	1558 2 5	1152 13 7	18	3,096 11 10
87 Canacumbaukum	P. Apparow Moodely	2	527 15 4	463 " "	103 " "	360 " "	2	527 15 4
88 Collore	Do Do	1	330 " 11	288 " "	123 " "	165 " "	1	330 " 11
89 Hundegay	P. Venaicka Moodely	1	35 15 3	31 " "	2 " "	29 " "	1	35 15 3
90 Sroolaput	P. Appa Row Moodely	1	287 4 11	252 " "	108 " "	144 " "	1	287 4 11
		5	1,181 4 5	1034 " "	336 " "	698 " "	5	1,181 4 5
	Grand Total	214	61,808 2 "	54,069 " "	30237 2 2	23,831 13 10	214	61,808 2 "

Nellingelput District Collector's Circuit Cutcherry Guindy 18th May 1850. E. E. Fox

30th May 1850.

Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.		Percentage of the establishment to the Estimated annual Value of the portion of the estate attached.	Date of attachment	Remarks
10		11	12	13
	Rs a p	Rs a p		
1 Ameenah	8 " "			
Moosheruff	6 " "			
Sarafdar	5 " "			
1 Peon	3 " "			
Sauderwared	" 8 "			
	22 8 "	" 11 6	13th May 1850	
1 Ameenah and Moosheruff	7 " "			
2 Peons at 3 Rs. each	6 " "			
Sauderwared	" 8 "			
	13 8 "	1 2 4	Do	Do
	54 3 8 "	" 14 1		

1 Signed J. Conway
Acting Collector

The

7589

Fort St George 30th May 1850

Proceedings thereon -

14-15

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of Pagodas 543-8- per Mensem, for the Management of the estates in Chingleput attached, for arrears of Revenue for Fusly 1259.

The Board request that large Statements of this description may in future be submitted in a book form.

S.G.D.

Read

7590.

Fort St George, 30th May 1850

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

16

From the Collector of North Arcot, Sir,

Submits with reference to the Board's Proceedings of January 28th 1850 a revised Cowle for clearing and Cultivating &c certain Jungle Lands in Tripatty Talook.

1. With an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated January 28th 1850. I had the honor to receive the Orders of the Board and of Government on my recommendation of December 15th 1850. that a Cowle on favorable terms should be given to certain Ryots to clear and Cultivate some jungle land in the Tripatty Talook.

2. The terms of my proposed Cowle were objected to on two points. Viz. the fixing the Poonjah teerwah of all the land in the Village at 1 Rupee a Cawny and the Stipulation that if any part should be converted into Punjah by means of Canar waters, that rate should be doubled for the Punjah teerwah. On going to Chandragherry last Month to make the Settlement of the Tripatty Talook, I had up the Cowle Ryots and having explained to them

Fort St. George. 30th May 18

them the objections made to the
Original Cowle. I obtained their co
to revised terms on the two po
above specified, and I have the
to enclose a translation of the new
Cowle for the Board's approval.

3. The lands of Cummu
are entirely over grown with jung
and no part of them has ever b
surveyed or assessed; a survey has
been ordered of this Village in com
=mon with the others
=door Poll
is impossible till the land is clear
The Cowle Ryots were however wi
=tually and properly desirous to e
have the terms of their occupation
settled beforehand, and would
not agree to expend capital and
labour on the land with no s
security that the assessment should
not be exorbitant. The more terms
of the Board's order taken literally
indeed, direct that the assessment
of the land should be fixed on the
expiration of the five years of exemption
but they admit of the construction
which

Order of Govt
March 27th 1849
No 307

January 28th 1850

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

which agrees with the rest of the order,
that it was meant to signify that the
rates should be fixed now, to take
effect at the end of the period of ex=
=emption, and I acted on this construction.

4. In determining the rates of
Teerwah. I was guided partly by the
Turrum rates calculated for the
adjoining Village of Mannamdoor as
compared with the nature of the soil,
and a consideration of the distance from
Market, and other disadvantages noticed
in my former letter. I have fixed
two Classes: the Teerwah of the first
being 1 3 a 3 a Cawny, and that of the
second, 14 Annas, and it is Stipulated
in the Cowle that a certain extent
shall be in the first Class, and the
remainder in the Second. The aver=
=age will be about 1 Rupee a Cawny,
and I do not think it can properly
be higher: the best lands however,
and those first brought into Cul=
=tivation and most constantly culti=
=vated will be in the first class.
These Cowle lands will not be
entitled

Para 3.

Fort St. George. 31th May 1850

entitled to the General Royaut of 12½ per cent.

5. As respects land to be converted into Nunjah, the proviso objected to is omitted: and it is stipulated that such land shall be dealt with under the general provisions of the Bastamindi

Para 5

75

76 Para 2.

Another change in favor of the Government is in making the term of exemption from taxation commence from the first year of cultivation and in requiring that the land shall all be cleared in five years. The exemption from extra demand of land cultivated with Nunjah or total crops by means of rain or private wells is also abrogated. As and the general rules of the District are left to come into operation on these points. I have given a translation with these revisions to the Ryots and beg to enclose a translation of it, and I trust that thus modified, and made even more favorable

Para 4.

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

to Government than was directed, it will receive approval and confirmation. I may add that about 300 Cawnies of jungle has already been cleared by the Cowle Ryots although the season from its extreme dryness and the consequent deficiency of water, even for drinking purposes, has been much against them.—

6. The land on which the jungle has been cut will be sown this year, and will yield some return though not in a condition to bear a full crop, and the Ryots have several years of hard work before them before they will obtain a full return, in consideration of this, and the number of people and Cattle assembled at the work they solicit a little assistance in the way of Tuccavy. and I beg to support their petition. Their request is for 500 Rupees to be advanced now, to be repaid half in June 1853 and half in June 1854. The security which they will give

Fort St. George. 30th May

give will be the usual Ryotw
-rity, and will be a full good
and Strict the request will
complied with.

North Arcot, -
Collectors Cutcherry. -
Chittoor, 23d April, 1850.

/Signed/ J. D. Boun
Collr

No. 1.

Translation of a revised Cowle
issued by J. D. Bourdillon Esqr
Collector of the Northern Divisn
Arcot, to Damarla
Goodavarty Rangavarazoo of Jav
Cawelmugger Samastanum -

You have stated before
and have also given a written
Inoshilkah that the whole of the
Poonjah land, within the limits of
the Village of Cunnapully, attache
to the resumed Inaumdoor Villa
in the Tuppully Talook, being un
cultivated, uninhabited and over grow
with thick Jungle, and the Jungle
infested with Tigers and other wild
animals, and also the resort of
robbers

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

robberis. So that the road from Cuddapah
to Cuddalapah and Bellary passing
through it's limits, is dangerous both
to Travellers and Cattle passing and
repassing, you are willing to engage to
clear and cultivate the whole land
on both sides of the said road amount-
Cs. as.
ing to about 829-4 3/4 and bounded
on the west by Toolacalvoy, in the
limits of Balapully, on the east by
Potacalvoy in the limits of Man=
=mundoor, on the South by Maunum=
=door and on the North by Chuckerlah=
=bandah Goorumpahserry; and that you
will bring ryots and build the Village
and settle in it provided you re=
=ceive a Cowle with terms proporti=
=onate to your trouble and labour.

This offer having been accepted, a
Cowle is hereby given you with the
conditions hereunder specified -

You and your ryots are bound
1. to clear the Jungle on both sides of the
road in order to secure safety of Travellers
and Cattle -

The land cultivated by you
2- and

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

and your ryots shall be free from all Circar demand whatever for a period of five years, that is to say from Fusly 1259 to Fusly 1263 inclusive.

2. The land which shall have been thus cleared of its Jungle and Cultivated shall be surveyed, and 315 Cawnies thereof shall as 1st class land bear an assessment of Rs 2 as 8 per Cawney, and 514 — 13/4 as 2d class Rs as P. "— 14 — " the Cawney:— In the 6th year of your occupation, or Fusly 1264 you shall pay a fourth of the Teerwah of all the land so cleared up to that time, a half of the Teerwah of the same land in the 7th year or Fusly 1265, three quarters in the 8th or Fusly 1266, and full Teerwah in the 9th year or Fusly 1267. All land in your Cowle not cleared by you in or before Fusly 1263 may be given for cultivation by the Circar to any other Ryots not connected with you.

4. No additional Teerwah shall be demanded on account of Nunjah total or any other produce

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

produce raised by means of private wells. unless Puteel Poonjah shall be left waste. in which case the provisions of the District Pookhumnamah as then Current shall be followed.

5. If any land included in your Cowle is converted into Nunjah or Garden by means of tanks &c. constructed by the Circar. or by means of Springs. rain &c. such land shall be dealt with according to the provisions of the General Pookhumnamah of the District.

6. Agreeably to the provisions of Para 3 all Land cleared in or before Fusly 1263 shall pay the Cowle Teerwah therein specified. up to Fusly 1267, from and after the 10th year of your occupation or Fusly 1268 only so much of it shall pay Teerwah every year as is Cultivated in that year.

7. Separate Puttahs for the land above described will be made out in the name of you and your Ryots

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

Ryots agreeably to the extent of
Cultivation by each-

8. In consideration of the
paine and trouble that you have
taken in clearing the Jungle and
cultivating the land you, Pauparayo
and Ragavarayo shall be nomina-
=ted Monigars of the Village of
Cunnapully, and you Pauparayo
will be also the Moondiff, and you
shall be entitled, as Monigars, to
a Maniem in land to the extent
of one tenth of all the land as
brought under cultivation up to the
fifth year. Roodra Pillay the Meerasdar
Curnum of the Village shall be ap-
=pointed Curnum, and another tenth
of the land brought under Cultivation
up to the 5th year shall be assigned
for the Curnums Maniem.

9. As the extent of Mani-
=em will depend on the extent of
land cleared and cultivated up to
the 5th year from the date of this
Cowle and no increase of Maniem
will be allowed for land cultivated after-
=wards brought under cultivation.

You

Fort St. George. 30th May 1850

You must endeavour to clear and
cultivate as much land as possible
within that time. If however it is
found that your Cultivation has
either been small, or that the land
has been only imperfectly cleared with
the view of increasing the extent on which
the extent of Maniem is to be calculat-
=ed, it may be necessary to consider the
propriety of other measures in regard
to the Monigarship and Maniams,
you should therefore use all deligence
in carefully clearing and bringing
under cultivation the whole of the
land in your Cowle before the 5th year.

10. In accordance with your
request the Carpenters, Potters, Washer-
=men, Barber, Tolies and Taliaries, &c.
that may be nominated by you, for
the service of the Village shall be
allowed Maniems in the manner
usual in other Villages in the fifth
year from this time, when the Maniems
are to be determined for you and the
Curnums. In selecting persons for these
Offices you will in the first place
enquire for Meerasdars, and entertain
other persons only when well qualified

Meerasdars

1001.

Fort St. George. 30th May

Peons are not to be found. The
-viduals selected shall be produced
you before the Tahsildar of the
and on that Officer enquiring and
ing the matter to the Poogrol, and
tions will be found for their off
ment.

11. Land may be taken for
houses in the Village according to the
of yourselves and your ryots. The
shall be on the two sides of the
leaving a clear width of twelve
the road, and shall be
straight or
scatte
year land attached to the house
be allowed free for backyards and
houses, in accordance with the cust
the Country with reference to the
extent of cultivation and number of
&c. of each ryot.

12. As you Purpanjoo is to be
appointed Inamdar you will take the
care for the prevention of all crime with
the limits of the Village, and for the
apprehension of all Offenders.

13. You must be careful in the
discharge of the duty of preserving
Tranquility regarding the road.

14. You must abide by all orders
may be issued by the Collector from time to time

(Signed) J. D. Boudillon
Collector
True Translate

Chittoor
23d April, 1850

1002. Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

17

From the Collector of North Arcot ... Sir,

Reports on some applications from certain ryots for Cowles to clear and cultivate portions of Jungle land in the Tripetty Talook and forwards a Draft of Cowle for approval.

Para 1. In concluding my letter of the 15th December last No. 132 reporting for approval the terms of a Cowle which I had given for the clearing of a tract of Jungle land in the Tripetty Talook, I observed that the settlement of these cowle Ryots on the spot would probably encourage others to come forward to undertake to clear and cultivate other portions of the same extensive and dense Jungle. accordingly I have received Six applications for cowle to clear different portions of the jungle, the terms agreed to are those of the revised cowle for cummaspully village, reported to the Board in my letter of the 23d ultimo, and I proceed to give a short exposition of the circumstances of the lands thus applied for.

2. The lands are situated in two Villages, Balpully and Mammdoor.

The

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850

The former is a village of small extent adjoining cummapully to the North-west / on the line of the Madras and Cuddapah Road / and lying on the Cuddapah boundary. The total ayacut of this Village according to the accounts, contains 662. Cawnies; of which 17 is Shaicaul. 11 is Podoocaul, 3 immemorial waste, and the remaining 631 dense jungle. The small extent of cultivated land is wholly, or almost wholly, Cultpady Enam, and the only inhabitants of the village are a few wild Cultpady Peons. The people who have offered for this Village, are the same who received the cowle for cummapully.

3. The other five dunghasts are for lands in Mammundoor Village. This village was once the capital of the Pollium of the same name, but it has been abandoned very many years, and almost the whole of the lands have become completely overgrown with dense and strong jungle. The Ayacut of the Village is very extensive, amounting to about 10,000 Cawnies

Fort St. George 30 May 1850.

Cawnies, of which it is not probable that any more than a limited proportion was ever under Cultivation. Of this total extent 50½ Cawnies only of Poonjah is now cultivated, 7½ Cawnies is Podoocaul jungle, and 21 Cawnies is immemorial waste. There is also a large tank in the jungle on which Lieutenant Foord has lately reported as capable of profitable restoration, at present about 2 or 3 Cawnies of the Nunjah under this tank are cultivated, I believe by means of wells. The greater part of the cultivation in the Village is Cultpady Enam, as in Baulpully the only inhabitants are some cultpady Peons, a few tank diggers, and the Pappanl runners of the station.

Diary / Observations / January 31st. 1850

4. With the above trifling exceptions the whole of this village is jungle. a great part of the area is no doubt uncultivable, nullahs, broken ground, or on the slope where the Hills commence, but more than half no doubt is reclaimable land. The five Offers on which I am now reporting include 1,500 Cawnies nominally, but probably more, each offer

is

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

is defined by certain limits, the precise extent of which cannot be exactly ascertained at present. I consider it in every point of view desirable to divide this huge and unwieldy Village, vastly too extensive as it now is for efficient management as a single community. With this view it is proposed that the four parties of Cowldars should build separate cumbo gahs or small Villages along the high road, each on their own lot four of them also will have separate Officers, and be to all intents distinct Villages, the fifth being a small lot will be united with one of the others. I may add that of the five parties of Durghastdars, three are of the same caste as those who have settled at Cummapully, and more or less connected with them.

5. The terms on which I propose to give these cowles as already said are the same as those already submitted for approval in the case of Cummapully. as in that case also the rates upon permanent Teerwah have to be fixed,

the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

the whole of the lands tendered for having never been surveyed or assessed. Both Balpully and Maummdoor indeed contain small portions of regularly cultivated land, but it is obvious that the rates payable by such lands as would be utterly inapplicable to land just reclaimed from Jungle. The two classes of land exhibit all the difference between land in a state of nature, and that on which labour and capital have been regularly expended for ages, in making our settlements indeed, where we find such artificially improved land, it is the practice to tax the improvement as well as the raw material, but it is clear that where we find the raw material we must be content to tax it as it is, we cannot proportion the tax to the possible future state of fertility to which the land may be brought, for the simple reason that by doing so we should at once render its improvement impossible. I have been led into these remarks by the expression used by the Board, "that the existing rates in the

Village

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Village should be maintained though it is probable that they contemplated a very different state of things from that of Mammadoor and Balpully.

6. A survey and assessment have been ordered and have been partially completed in both Villages, the rates for the cultivated and waste land as well as for the Jungle had been calculated before the present Burghasts were received though they had not been finally determined. The rates for the jungle parumboke were settled with reference to the payments in this and adjoining Villages, the state of the land, the distance from Market and other circumstances and I propose to adhere to them as being fair and just. In Mammadoor the three rates are 1 — 5, 15 — 9, and 11 — 4 per Cawny, in Balpully the lands are poorer and the rates are 1 — 3 — 3, 14 — 10 — 8 As a a as a It is impossible to make a regular survey the lands are somewhat cleared but it is proposed that a certain proportion of the land contiguous to the Puttums or Village, and so

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

so possessing advantages as to labour and manure, shall be in the first class, the large body of the land in the 2nd., and that the outlying lands which will generally be both the worst because nearest the hills, and also most exposed to the depredations of wild animals, shall be in the third class. It is also provided in the cowle that if the total extent of the cultivable land within the limits defined in each case, is larger than is now supposed, the extent in the higher classes shall also be increased. The enclosed statement exhibits the names of the applicants, the extent of land applied for, and the proposed rates of Teerwah.—

7. With the foregoing remarks I beg to commend this application to the favorable consideration of the Board. In my letter of the 15th. December I noticed the advantages to be realized by clearing the piece of road covered by this jungle and I will not enlarge on them here. I enclose a draft of the proposed cowle for the Boards' approval. In the present instance I have only agreed on the terms of the cowles, I have not

actually

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

actually given them, preferring to wait for the Board's sanction, and I earnestly hope that this sanction will not be withheld. The terms seem no more than sufficient for the difficulties to be encountered by the Ryots, and the rates of assessment fixed to be by no means too low, the parties tendering for the cowles are numerous and possessed of sufficient means to ensure their success and no Government lands will be given up for the cultivation of the cowle lands.

8. Like the cummapully cowldars the present applicants though possessed of property, especially of cattle and other agricultural stock, yet want the means to maintain themselves and all their Ryots for the year or two which must ensue before they can win even a subsistence from the land, they ask therefore to be assisted with a temporary advance in the form of Tuccavy, to be repaid in two instalments in June 1853 and June 1854, as in the case of Cummapully. I beg to support this request, the security is

of

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850

of the usual kind in the case of tuccavy advances, and better in degree from the substantial Character of the Ryots. I beg to solicit authority to advance 525 Rupees in the sums specified in the enclosed statement to the different parties.—

North Arcot ....
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor ....
4th. May 1850 ....

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

No. 1.

Draft of a cowle proposed to be issued by J. D. Bourdillon Esqre. Collector of North Arcot to Sungamoranj Thimmaraj, and Gungamaraj Kistnamaraj of Thadoscoo in Posthoor Poyaent of the Cavalmggur Samastanum.—

You have stated before me and have also given a written Morchilka that the whole of the Poonjah land situated within the limits of the Village of Maummdoor attached to the resumed Maummdoor Polliem in the Tripetty Talook and bounded on the North by Garoscoo, on the South by Nemmala: gedda canar on the east by Morapoo chanoo and on the west by Cheecutta chanoo

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

chaurs being uncultivated, uninhabited and o
over grown with thick Jungle and the Jungle
infested with Tigers and other wild animals
and also the resort of robbers, so that the
road from Madras to Cuddapah and
Bellary passing through its limits, is
dangerous both to travellers and cattle
passing and repassing; you are willing to
engage to clear and cultivate the whole
land on both sides of the said road
amounting to about 400 Cs — " — " and that
you will bring ryots and settle
with terms proportionate to your trouble and
labour. This Offer having been accepted a
Cowle is hereby given you with the conditions
herein under specified.—

1. You and your ryots are bound to
clear the Jungle on both sides of the road
in order to secure the safety of travellers
and cattle.—

2. The land cultivated by you and
your ryots shall be free from all circar
demand whatever for a period of five
years, that is to say from Fusly 1260 to

Fusly

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Fusly 1264 inclusive.—

3. The land which shall have been
thus cleared of its Jungle and Cultivated
shall be surveyed and 40 Cawnies thereof
shall as 1st class, bear an assessment of
Rs a p
1—5—" per Cawny; 300 as 2d class, " —15—9.
the Cawny, and 60 Cs as 3d class " —11—4 the
Cawny—Provided however that if the actual
extent of Cultivable land within the gene:
ral limits specified in the preamble cowle
is found to be greater than is now Estimat:
:ed, the extent in the first and second class:
:es shall be proportionately increased. In
the 6th year of your occupation, or Fusly 1265
you shall pay a fourth of the Teerwah of
all the land cleared of Jungle up to
that time, a half of the Teerwah of
the same land in the 7th year or Fusly 1266,
three quarters in the 8th or Fusly 1267 and
full Teerwah in the 9th year or Fusly 1268.
all land in your cowle not cleared by
you in or before Fusly 1264 may be given for
cultivation by the Circar to any other Ryots
not connected with you.—

Rs

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

4. No additional teerwah shall be demanded on account of Sunjah tobacco or any other produce raised by means of private Wells, unless Puttah Poonja shall be left waste, in which case the provisions of the District Hookumnamah as then current shall be followed.

5. If Land included in your cowle is converted into Sunjahs or Garden, by means of tanks &c. constructed by the Cinear or by means of Springs, rain &c. such land shall be dealt with, according to the provisions of the general Hookumnamah of the district.

6. Agreeably to the Provisions of Para 3 all Land declared in or before Fusly 1264 shall pay the cowle Teerwah

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Teerwah therein specified, up to Fusly 1268 from and after the 10th year of your occupation or Fusly 1269, only so much of it shall pay teerwah every year as is cultivated in that year.

7. Separate Puttahs for the land, above described, will be made out in the names of you and your Ryots agreeably to the extent of cultivation by each.

8. In consideration of the pains and trouble that you have taken in clearing the Jungle and cultivating the land you shall be nominated Monigars of the village, and one of you will also be appointed Moonsiff, and you shall be entitled, as Monigars, to a maniem in land, to the extent of one tenth of all the land brought under cultivation up to the sixth year; Poodra Pillay the meras Curnum of the village shall also be appointed curnum, and five per Cent of the land brought under cultivation up to the 6th year shall be assigned for the Curnum maniem.

9. As the extent of maniem will depend on the extent of land cleared and cultivated up to the 6th year from the date

date of this Cowle and no increase of maniem will be allowed for lands afterwards brought under cultivation, you must endeavor to clear and cultivate as much land as possible ex within that time. If however it is found that your cultivation has either been small, or that the land has been only imperfectly cleared, with the view of increasing the extent on which the extent of maniem is to be calculated - it may be necessary to consider the propriety of other measures in regard to the monigarship and maniums, you should therefore use all deligence in carefully clearing and bringing under cultivation the whole of the land in your Cowle before the sixth year.

10. In accordance with your request the Carpenters, Potters, Washermen, Barber, Tottis, and Salaries &c. that may be nominated by you, for the service of the village shall be allowed maniems in the manner usual in other villages, in the sixth year from this time, when the maniems are to be determined for you and the Curnum. In selecting persons for these offices you will in the first place enquire for Meerasdars, and entertain other persons only when well qualified Meerasdars are not to

to be found. The individuals selected shall be produced by you before the Tahsildar of the Talook, and on that Officer enquiring and reporting the matter to the Hoozoor, instructions will be issued for their appointment.

11. Land may be taken for building houses in the village according to the wants of yourselves and your ryots. The houses shall be on the two sides of the road, leaving a clear width of twelve yards for the road, and on shall be in regular and straight rows, and shall not be built in a scattered or irregular manner. In the 6th year land attached to the houses will be allowed free for backyards and cowhouses, in accordance with the customs of the Country, with reference to the Beriz, extent of cultivation and number of Ploughs &c. of each ryot.

12. As one of you are to be appointed Moonsiff you will take due care for the prevention of all Crime within the limits of the village, and for the apprehension of all offenders.

13. You must be careful in the regular discharge of the duty of procuring supplies to Troops &c. marching the road.

14. You must abide by all orders that may be issued by the Circar from time to time.

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

North Arcot; - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry - -
Chittoor, 4th May, 1850.

7617

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

No. 2

Statement shewing the extent of dense jungle land applied for to be cleared on Cowle in some villages of the Sirsutty Taluk, with the rate of Teerwah proposed, and the amount of Tuccavy required.

Names of Villages.	Names of the applicants.	Stem.	Land.		class	Teerwah per Cawny.			Amount of Increase.			Tuccavy to be advanced to the Ryots.	Remarks.
			Cs	a		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	
Bandapurlly	Bommarauz Pauparauz.	Poonjah	230	"	3	1	3	3	276	11	6		
	Ottacondagoo Rangavarauzoo		250	"	4	"	14	"	218	12	"	"	The amount of 500 Rupees recommended for the village of Cummapully will be sufficient for this village also.
			150	15 3/4	5	"	10	6	99	1	"		
			630	15 3/4	"	"	"	"	594	8	6		
	Nellore Ramakistniah	Poonjah	20	"	4	1	5	"	26	4	"		
	Durmanarumo Narrainrow		150	"	5	"	15	9	147	10	6	70	
			30	"	6	"	11	4	21	4	"		
			200	"	"	"	"	"	195	2	6		
	Lunguvrauz Shinnarauz.	Poonjah	40	"	4	1	5	"	52	8	"		
	Gungamarauz Kistnamarauz		300	"	5	"	15	9	295	5	"	140	
			60	"	6	"	11	4	42	8	"		
			400	"	"	"	"	"	390	5	"		
	Vasnul Naidoo son of Coorrah	Poonjah	10	"	4	1	5	"	13	2	"		
	Goorooruppah Naidoo		75	"	5	"	15	9	73	13	3	35	
			15	"	6	"	11	4	10	10	"		
			100	"	"	"	"	"	97	9	3		
	Sullattoor Mottapah reddy	Poonjah	40	"	4	1	5	"	52	8	"		
	Andiapah reddy		300	"	5	"	15	9	295	5	"	140	
			60	"	6	"	11	4	42	8	"		
			400	"	"	"	"	"	390	5	"		
	Total of one Village		150	"	4	1	5	"	196	14	"		
			1,125	"	5	"	15	9	1107	6	9		
			225	"	6	"	11	4	159	6	"		
			1,500	"	"	"	"	"	2463	10	9	525	
	Total of two Villages		2,130	15 3/4	"	"	"	"	2058	3	3	525	

Remarks.

North Arcot, - - - - - - -
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor, 4th May, 1850 - -

C. C. Fr. / Signed / I. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(18)

The Collector, from what is stated in para. 3 of the first of these letters seems to have misunderstood the directions of the Board of Revenue as contained in their Proceedings of 28th January 1850, which certainly intended that the full and fixed future assessment of the Cowle Lands should not be irrevocably declared till the expiration of 5 years, by which time the nature of the soil and other circumstances might be better compared as with the adjoining lands which have been cultivated.

2. Considering however the natural desire of the parties undertaking a Cowle cultivation especially one on so large a scale to have the ultimate demand clearly defined beforehand, the Board will not object to the Seerwahs proposed by Mr. Bourdillon since they appear to approximate, as closely as the circumstances of the case, can admit of judging, to the rates fixed by the Survey Assessment now going

on in the neighbouring villages of Balapilly and Maunadoor as shewn in para 6 of the second of the letters above noted.

3. It is observed from para 3 of the Cowle, that 38 per Cent of the Land is to be of the 1st Class Viz. Rs. A. P. 1-3-3 per Cawny, and the rest in the 2d. Class 14 Annas per Cawny, and this will not be liable to the remission of 12¼ per Cent given on the lands of the old assessment.

4. The Board are inclined to believe that these rates are lower than the average Poonjah of the neighbouring villages, and it is only the fact that the clearing of the Jungle is desirable in other than a fiscal point of view that induces their sanctioning Seerwas on certain spots previous to the report on the Survey and assessment of the whole Pillium.

5. The concluding part of para 3 for the Cowle seems to be more in favour of the Durkhastdars than is necessary. Their engagement was that the whole of the Poonjah land i.e about 829-4 3/4 as Cs was to be cleared and cultivated.

If

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

If it is not cleared by July 1853 there should be some penalty. The Collector will therefore be good enough to insert an additional clause making it obligatory to clear the whole extent undertaken.

6. The portion of Land allowed as Maurium to the Monigars and Curnum is approved of, but the conveyance of it must be deferred till Mr. Bourdillon has informed the Board whether there is a Merassee Monigar and Curnum to the village of Cummapully and what old Maurium is attached to it in that or other villages.

7. The Board are not prepared to sanction maurium from the land to Carpenters and other village servants. The object is not to found a new village and arrange for its domestic economy, but to get the Jungle cleared and the evils to which Mr. Bourdillon adverts removed by a liberal Coule.

8. Para 4 of the Coule should be omitted or else expressed in terms similar to para 5 as the subject of private wells is now under consideration.

1/4 In Cons 30th May 1850

9. As Mr. Bourdillon explains in para 8 of his letter, of persons under similar

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Similar circumstances, that though they have stock yet they are short of the means of maintaining themselves whilst the land is being made productive, the Board authorized the advance of 500 Rupees Tuccavy to the Cummapully Durkhastdars on good Security being given.

10. It is desired that the Collector will submit at the earliest period the result of the Survey Assessment of the Village of Cummapully, shewing the nature, extent and tenure of each sort of land, and he will also state on what grounds he assumes the land now under Cowle to be 82 Cs. 9 - 4 3/4 As.

11. The second letter of the Collector under date 4th May 1850 will be submitted for the consideration of Government.

Ordered also that the following letter be sent in consequence.

16-19

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter under date

In Cons 30th May 1850-

date 4th May 1850 from the Collector of North Arcot reporting further proposals for reclaiming the extensive tract of Jungly waste land in the resumed Pollium of Mamundoor.-

2. The Collector urges the expediency of the Measures he proposes to adopt, on the general grounds set forth in my predecessor's letter to you under date 7th January 1850. In that case the Village referred to was Cummapully which was altogether Bickiragh or Deserted. The 2 Villages mentioned in the letter now forwarded are those of Balpilly and Maumundoor both close to Cummapully and in like manner overgrown with jungle, though the sites of the Villages and a few Cawnies of cultivated land remain.-

3. They both impinge on the great high road from Madras to [illegible]dapah, and there is no doubt that the destruction of the Jungle and some inducement for respectable Ryots to settle in these [illegible] high[illegible]

highly desirable objects in more than a fiscal point of view, and it is on these special public grounds alone that the Board feel themselves justified, as in the case of Cummapully, to recommend for the Sanction of Government measures differing from the usual course of Cowle grants.-

4. In Balpilly out of an extent of Ayacut Amounting to 662 Cawnies, 631 are dense Jungle. In Maumundoor where the Ayacut is about 10,000 Cawnies, about 9,920 is Jungle and Perambokes. It is on account of the great extent of the latter Village that the Collector proposes to divide it into 4 Separate Villages. There is a Tank which in the Civil Engineer's diary is reported of profitable restoration, and the Collector appears to be sanguine of the ultimate prosperity of the Settlers, who are stated to be more or less connected with the Durkhastdars of Cummapully.-

5. The terms of the Cowle are much

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

much the same as those in the case of Cummapully which under instructions of Government 24th January 1850 have been revised.. Three rates of teerwah are proposed for these Villages of Maumundoor and Balpilly respectively, for the first Rs. a. p. 1-5-- Rs. a. p. --15.9.-- Rs. a. p. --11-4. for the second- Rs. a. p. 1-3-3, Rs. a. p. --14--, Rs. a. p. --10-8.

In Cons. 28th Jany 1850

These rates the Collector observes were calculated by the persons employed on the new Survey & Assessment previous to the Darkhasts being given- and approximate closely to those that the Board have sanctioned for the Cowle of Cummapully- Though the extent of each offer is defined by certain limits it is impossible to say till the whole land is cleared, how much will come under each rate.-

6. The 5 Darkhasts for the Villages of Balpilly and Maumundoor comprise an extent of about 2131 Cawnies, the Ultimate revenue of which is expected to be about 2,058 Rupees.

K

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

It is proposed to grant Massiums (whether out of this land or not is not stated) to Monigars and Curnams.- Should the Government see fit to sanction the general principle of this Cowle on the same grounds as that of Cummapully, the Board will in communication with the Collector modify the details in such points as may seem desirable.-

I am further directed to state that the Board have passed orders on the revised Cowle for Cummapully and they expect that should these further proposed operations be approved of, the high road will be left clear and there will be no necessity for a more extended departure from the ordinary terms of the Cowlenamah.-

From Chief Sec. to G. 24th Jany 1850.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 30th May 1850.-

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary
Read.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

Read the following letters.-

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

20

From the Collector of North Arcot. - - -
Reporting on Petition No. 468 of 1850 as required in letter from the Bd. of Revn. dated 2d. May 1850.-
Herewith returned with 11 enclosures.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 2d. Instant forwarding Petition 468 of 1850, and directing me by order of the Board of Revenue to ascertain and report what is the nature of the land referred to therein, who is the actual proprietor, whether the holder had power to transfer it, and if the transfer took place with his Consent.-

2. This case came before me in the Magistrate Department last year on a complaint from the present Petitioner, to the same purport as this Petition; and it was then fully investigated, and the complaint being found quite untenable, was dismissed and the endorsement which forms one of the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

the enclosures of the Petition was given to the complainant. The following are the facts.-

3. In the Tamul year Survaa: dary of the cycle last past, correspond: ing to the English year 1769, the Polligar Paukaila granted 6 1½/10 Cawnies of Nunjah land in the Village of Mitta: pollium to form an aggraurum or Bramin endowment, and divided it into ten shares or Swastiams. The Enams thus created were continued after the Paukala Pollium was resumed by the British Government, and in the years 1833 and 1834 the Petitioner's son-in-law Ramasamien bought from the holders at that time, three of the ten Swastiams, as well as the "Yanja Manium" which had been formed by Contributions from these ten Swastiams, for the benefit of a Bramin who had been active in obtaining the grant— the total purchase Money then paid for all these portions was 313½ Rupees.-

Subsequently

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

4.- Subsequently the new possessor fell into difficulties and mortgated the lands acquired as above stated to one Noory Vencata Kristnien for a debt of hundred Pagodas; and this latter not getting payment of his debt filed a Suit in Court for the Amount. He obtained a decree and process of execution issued and Ramasamien being then dead, his Son Shashagherry Row/Grandson of Petitioner/then a lad, in conjunction with his Mother the Petitioner's daughter, sold the Mauniems to one Muddoor Shashagherry for 600 Rupees, the purchaser engaging to settle with that Sum all the demands of the Cretitor, which with interest and Court expenses amounted according to the Court Hook Coonnamah to 558-5-9 Rs a P besides Subsequent interest. The receipt of Vencata Kristnien for this whole sum of 600 Rupees in full of all demands/ he having struck off the Surplus/ is among the papers filed.-

This

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

5. This transaction took place in January 1845. Up to 1849 no complaint appears to have been made; but in that year as already said, the Petitioner came forward on behalf of his grandson to set aside the sale of the land, on the plea of force in obtaining the deed of sale. The facts above detailed were elicited in the investigation; the attempt to prove duress or coercion entirely failed and it was proved on the contrary that the sale was voluntarily made; the price far from being inadequate, appeared high, and it was nearly double what the original purchaser Ramasamien had given for the property. On these grounds the complaint was dismissed.-

6. The foregoing detail contains an answer to the Board's question as to the nature of the Enam; it also explains who is the actual proprietor, if by that term is intended the purchaser and present holder. The Board will perceive also that

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

that the Cham is of that description which under recent Orders of Government is declared to be irresumable under ordinary circumstances and therefore saleable; and they will learn the grounds on which I came to the conclusion that the transfer was volun=tary.-

North Arcot ----
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair, 9th May 1850.-

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.-

Order thereon.-

(20-21)

Endorsement

Ordered that the following be passed on Petitioner's No. 1468 of 1850.-

"The Board of Revenue having received a full report from the Collector on the subject of this Petition do not consider that their interference is called for in the case."-

To, W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(22)

Sir,

From the Coll.r of North Arcot.
Recommends 1100 Rs. as the amount to be deducted from Mr.

In their Proceedings of the 28th June 1849, the Board of Revenue in

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

Mr. Morison's ab.t Karrygretton account of the Paumoor Talook.-

in accepting the tender of Mr. N. Morison for the ab.t Karry of this District for three years, observed with reference to the recent transfer of the Paumoor Talook to Nellore that it would be well if Mr. Morison would hold the whole District for Fusly 1259, a proportionate amount being credited to Nellore on account of the Paumoor Talook; and that for Fusly 1260 and 1261 the rent should be formed by the Collector of Nellore, and suitable deduction made from the rent of this District.-

2. On the receipt of these orders, I requested the Acting Sub. Collector in charge of Nellore to inform me of the amount of the Sublet of the Paumoor Talook for Fusly 1258, and he informed me in reply that it was 873 Rupees. I then applied to Mr. Morison to know whether he had any objection to that being taken as the Standard for the remission to be made to him; and he replied that the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

the renter of the Calastry division, in which Paumoor Talook is included, had told him that in Fusly 1258. he Sublet that Talook for 1120 Rupees, and he Subsequently informed me that the same individual had let out the Paumoor Talook for Fusly 1259 for 1400 Rupees. From subsequent enquiries however, both through the Collector of Nellore and from the Sub renter, I learnt that though the Talook was thus let for 1,400 Rupees, yet the lessee finding he had made a bad bargain relet it for 1,262½ Rupees.

3. Under all the circumstances it appeared to me that 1,100 Rupees would be a fair amount to fix as the value of the Paumoor Talook to the general renter. The facts as to the sum for which it let in Fusly 1258 could not be clearly ascertained, but there was no reason to doubt that the amount above stated Viz.t 1,262½ Rupees was the actual let for Fusly 1259 and 162½ secured a fair allowance for the profit of the general renter and the intermediate Sub renter

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

renter of Calastry. Accordingly communicated again with Mr. Morison proposing that the commutation should be fixed at 1,100 Rupees, and to this proposal he has assented, and I now address the Board to recommend that it should be confirmed. the amount will have to be credited to Nellore for the current Fusly, and for the two remaining years of Mr. Morison's lease it will be deducted from the amount of his contract, the Paumoor Talook being rented out by the Collector of Nellore.-

North Arcot.-
Collector's Cutcherry.-
Palmanair.-
14th May 1850.-

Proceedings thereon.-

(22-23)

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.-

The Board Sanction the arrangement proposed in the foregoing letter, and direct that the amount of Eleven Hundred Rupees be credited by the Collector in his accounts to Nellore, as proportion of Abt Earry Rent for the Current Fusly due on

7634 Fort St. George 30th May 1850.-

on account of Paumoor.-

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.-

24

From the Coll.r of North Arcot.--

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose the sentence passed by me under Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 in the case of Bab Row a Tahsildar of Poloor Talook in appeal from the sentence of the Sub Collector, and for the reasons therein stated, I feel compelled to request the sanction of the Board of Revenue for Bab Row's removal from Office. The Board are aware that he has been some Months under suspension pending the result of these Proceedings.-

Board's Proceedings February 4th 1850.-

North Arcot,---
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair,----
18th May 1850.-

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

D.G.

Sentence.

7635 Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Sentence of the Collector of North Arcot in an appeal preferred by Bab Row Tahsildar of Poloor Talook from a Sentence of the Sub Collector convicting him of various acts of bribery under Regulation IX of 1822, and condemning him to pay a fine of Pr as 333-8.-

Para 1. The first complaint in this case was a Petition received by Tappaul by the Collector in September 1849, this Petition which charged the Tahsildar generally with incapacity, extortion on the occasion of the Adungul Azmaish,- and oppression, was addressed at the top to Mr. Birch late Sub Collector and was dated January 1849; but there was an addition or postscript, which stated that the presentation of the Original Complaint had been delayed by the mediation of friends of the Tahsildar. This Postscript was dated August 29- 1849, and it was below this that the signatures were affixed, they were those of twenty four of the inhabitants of Poloor Talook, chiefly Moniagars.-

2- This Petition was sent by the Collector

Collector to the Sub Collector (on the 20th September 1849) with the request that he would give it the investigation which it might seem to merit; and the Sub Collector summoned the parties whose names were appended to the complaint, and took detailed depositions from all those who appeared, to the number of twenty one. These deponents detailed various instances, alleged to be within their own knowledge respectively in illustration and support of the general charge. The Sub Collector called up and examined the witnesses cited in support of these charges and having completed his proceedings reported by letter to the Collector on the 18th January 1850, stating his opinion that some of the charges were proved against the Tahsildar and recommending that he should be fined the sum of Rupees 333- 8— and removed from his Office. The Collector returned the Proceedings on the 24th January 1850, requesting the Sub Collector to pass sentence under clause first Section VIII Regulation VIII of 1828, and this he did

did accordingly on the 30th January 1850. The Tahsildar then made an appeal to the Collector against the Sub Collector's sentence, and the Collector called up and examined the proceedings; but finding them defective in as much as the accused did not appear to have had that full opportunity of making his defence, cross-examining the evidence for the complaint, and producing evidence in defence which is required by the Circular letter of the Board of Revenue dated April 13. 1826, the Collector returned the Proceedings to the Sub Collector on the 23d February 1850, requesting him to supply these omissions: he received the record again from the Sub Collector with a letter dated April 25th 1850 and he now proceeds to review the proceedings and to record his opinion on the charges.—

3. The Charges before the Sub Collector as extracted from the depositions of those whose Signatures were appended to the Original complaint— Amounted in Number to twenty seven, as herein under detailed.

That

From S^t George 30^th May 1850.

1 Plaintiff Sabalady Mudely Monigar of Velavarya Nellore.

1- That in Fusly 1256 the Tahsildar received 18 Rupees as a bribe at the time of Azmaish in Velvarya Nellore and his son with his Knowledge 1 Rupee

2- That the Tahsildar received 12 Rupees and his son One Rupee in Velva annee on the same occasion.

3- That he received 6 Rupees and his son 1 Rupee in Cutcherry mungalum on the same occasion.

4- That he received 6 Rupees and his son 1 Rupee in Ambalavarum on the same occasion.

5- That at the adungul Azmaish of Fusly 1257, the Tahsildar received 21 Rupees in Velvarya Nellore and his Son 1 Rupee

6- That they received respective-ly 16 Rupees and 1 Rupee, on the same occasion in Velva Annee.

7- That the Tahsildar received 8 Rupees for Ambalavarum on the same occasion.

8- That he received 8 Rupees for Cutcherrimungalum on the same occasion.

9- That in Viausy of Fusly 1257 the

From S^t George 30^th May 1850.

the Tahsildar received a Muslin value four Rupees from this complainant.

10- That the Tahsildar received from him a Muslin value 1 Rupee — when making the Dittum of Fusly 1258.

9^th Plaintiff Moonia Pros dian Monigar of Sholavaram

11- That the Tahsildar and his eldest Son made the Azmaish in Fusly 1256, and the former received seven Rupees.

12- That the Tahsildar and his younger Son made the Azmaish in — Fusly 1257 and the former received 10 Rs.

13- That in Fusly 1258 the Tah-sildar made the Azmaish and received 10 Rupees.

Deposition of 14^th Plaintiff Lutchmunna-diah.

14- That this deponent and his brother Monigars gave 6 Rupees to one Venkataramien, for the Tahsildar, towards building his Shuttrum.

Deposition of 16^th Plaintiff Venkatarama-myen late Monigar of Agraram

15- That this deponent gave 10½ Rs. to the Tahsildar out of the Collections of the Village, as a present, soon after his coming to the Taluk.

16- That in Fusly 1257 the Tahsildars younger son Ramachendra Row Azmaish-ed the Deponents' Village, and that he

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

he then gave the Tahsildar 7 Rupees and his son 3½ Rupees.

Deposition of 17th Plaintiff Mearcasaha-yen.

17. That this Deponent's Uncle the Monigar of Cadalady gave 30 Rupees to the Tahsildar and 5 Rupees to his son at the Azmaish of his Village for Fusly 1256.

18. That this same person gave the Tahsildar 30 Rupees and his son 3½ Rupees at the Azmaish of Fusly 1257.

Deposition of 18th Plaintiff Ettiswara-du Monigar Kowreyen

19. That this Deponent gave the Tahsildar four Rupees at the Azmaish of his Village for Fusly 1256.

Deposition of 19th Plaintiff Mainputt Monigar Pac-radaramien.

20. That the Tahsildar obtained from this Deponent 6 pair of waistcloths value 11½ Rupees at Navarathry feast in Fusly 1256.

21. That in Margaly of the same year the Tahsildar obtained from him 15 Rupees.

22. That at Navarathry feast of Fusly 1257. he obtained from this depo-nent 6 pair of waistcloths value 11½ Rupees.

Deposition of 20 Plaintiff Soob-reyen Monigar of Peraggaum

23. That during the Azmaish of Pottery, made by this Deponent by the Tahsildar

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Tahsildar's Order, the Tahsildar obtained 12 Rupees from the Monigar Kistna Reddy before he would allow him to sign the accounts.

24. That the Tahsildar also received 25 Rupees from Colunda Coundem Monigar of Connatoor on the same occasion: the Azmaish of that Village also having been made by this deponent, by the Tahsildar's Order.

25. That the Monigars of Keelapa-dur agreed to give the Tahsildar 40 Rupees, on the threat that he would report con-cealed cultivation in their Village; and that of this sum they then paid him 20 Rupees, and Goorooswamy Iyen Monigar of Muttavaid paid the remaining 20 Rupees.

26. That the Monigars of Kundi-mungalum in like manner paid the Tahsildar 25 Rs at the Azmaish of the Village in Fusly 1257. as a bribe to hush up their fraudulent concealment of some cultivation and some Tamarind trees.

27. That in Margaly of Fusly 1256 this deponent and the other Monigars of

of his Village were required by the Tahsildar to give him a present, and that they did accordingly pay him 10 Rupees.

There are also a few more allegations, which are not stated here, as charges, because they are made on hearsay & are indefinite.

4. The first ten charges are stated by the 1st Plaintiff, and have reference, either to his own Village, or to three others with whose Monigars he is connected through family influence. The first four refer to the Azmaish of this four Villages for Fusly 1256. This complainant Sabapathy Modely states that in that year these Villages were Azmaished by the Tahsildar's eldest Son Appayya Row but that the Tahsildar came to the Village when the work was completed that a present being required for the Tahsildar, he and his brothers Monigar gave 18 Rupees to the Tahsildar and 1 Rupee to his son for their Village Vilvaroyanelloor; and that the other Villages Vilva Arnee, Cutcherrimungalum and Ambapooram, gave respectively

Note. All these Villages are entered in the Tabok returns as Azmaished by the Tahsildar himself to be in Fusly 1256 & Fusly 1257.

13.

13 Rupees, 7 Rupees, and 7 Rupees; and that he the deponent, received these sums and paid them to Appayya Row for his Father the Tahsildar and then told the Tahsildar that he had done so.

5. The evidence to support these charges is that of the Monigars of the several Villages. The 2d Plaintiff (co-monigar of Vilvaroya nelloor) states that he gave 7 Rupees to 1st Plaintiff to be given to the Tahsildar. The statements bearing on the sum said to have been given in this Fusly from Vilva Arnee, are those of the 3d 4th and 6th Plaintiffs of these the 3d deposes to having given money but he states the Amount differently from the 1st Plaintiff; and varies from him also in some matters of detail; the other two only state what they heard from the 3d Plaintiff.

The evidence in support of the payment from Cutcherrimungalum is that of the Monigar (15th Plaintiff), and respecting Ambapooram it is that of the two Monigars (7th and 8th Plaintiffs); all these deponents say that they gave to the 1st

Plaintiff

Plaintiff Salahadjy. Moodely for the Taksildar the sum specified by him in his charge, and that they saw him enter the house of Anna Goorroo Rul in which Aspanjee Row was.

6. The next four charges contain the details of presents alleged to have been given from the same Villages at the Agmaish of Fusly 1257, which sums are as follows, 22 Rupees from Vilvaroyanelloor, 15 Rupees from Vilva Annee, 8 Rupees from Ambaspooram, and 8 Rupees from Cutcherrimungalum total 53 Rupees. The 1st Plaintiff states that after the Agmaish was completed the Taksildar sent for him to Cachumpoondy where he had put up in the house of Anna Goorroo Rul, and that he went there accordingly, and being required to give a present gave 22 Rupees to Ramachendra Row Viz.t 21 Rupees for the Taksildar and 1 Rupee for himself and them having signed the accounts told the Taksildar what he had given and came away. This story is supported by the other Monigar, the 2d Plaintiff, who deposes

deposes that he went with the 1st Plaintiff and paid the 22 Rupees to Ramachendra Row.

On the 6th Charge the evidence offered is that of the Village Monigars, the 3d 4th and 5th Plaintiffs. The story of the 3d differs from that of the 1st, and the 5th appears irreconcileable with either the Statement of the 4th is only what he heard from the 3d.

On the 7th charge the evidence is that of one of the Monigars, the 8th Plaintiff, who states that he and the other Monigar gave the 8 Rupees to Ellatoor Vencataramien for the Taksildar, the other Monigar however (17th Plaintiff) says nothing of this.

On the 8th Charge the evidence that of the Monigar (15th Plaintiff) as in the preceding year, he stated that Ellatoor Vencataramien asked him for a present for the Taksildar on the Agmaish, and that he gave him 7 Rupees for the Taksildar and 1 Rupee for his Son Ramachendra Row and then signed the Agmaish accounts and came away.

On

7. On reviewing the evidence these eight charges, it is clear that all must be dismissed as unproved except the 6th. On the 1st. 3d, 4th, 7th and 8th charges, the evidence at the most proves no more than that the money stated was paid to a third party for the Tahsildar, there is nothing to trace it further. On the 2d and 6th charges the Statements though some of them more direct vary so much, that the evidence cannot be admitted as sufficient proof. On the 5th charge there is more evidence, but as the Sub Collector has acquitted upon it, it is not necessary that the Collector should go into detail.

8. The 9th and 10th charges are equally unproved; these allege that the Tahsildar obtained two muslins from the complainant Sababady Moodely, at different times, worth respectively 5 and 1½ Rupees. The 2d Witness deposes that he saw both these clothes given one by Sababady himself and the other by 3d. Witness Pariatumby Pillay Curnum of Silvaroyanellore, and the latter part of

of the Statement is confirmed by the Curnum, who says that the Tahsildar told him to ask the 1st Plaintiff for a muslin, and that he went and asked him accordingly and he gave him one which he (deponent) delivered to the Tahsildar. There is however nothing whatever to show positively for what reason or with what object these clothes were given, even admitting the evidence of the fact, and the Curnum stated distinctly that he does not know whether the muslin which he delivered was given freely or sold.

9. The next three charges, 8vo 11, 12, and 13, relate to presents alleged to have been given to the Tahsildar by the Monigars of Sholaveram on occasion of the Agmaish of that Village in Fuslies 1256, 1257, and 1258. The evidence is that of the three Monigars themselves; one of them only (9th Plaintiff) deposes to having actually paid the money to the Tahsildar, the other two say that they gave money to him for the Tahsildar, or that he said he had given

given the money to the Tahsildar. The 14th 15th 16th 17th 18th and 19th Charges are in like manner unsupported by evidence, in the 14th the money (Six Rupees) is alleged to have been given not to the Tahsildar but to Venkatanarien in the 15th 16th and 19th the deponents 16th and 18th Plaintiffs say distinctly that there were no witnesses, in the 17th and 18th Charges, the deponent 17th Plaintiff states that his Uncle Kistnien. Monigar of the Village paid the Tahsildar 30 Rupees on two occasions, and his Son 5 Rupees: he cites no Witnesses but it does not appear why his Uncle was not called and examined.

10. On all the foregoing charges the Sub Collector has acquitted the Tahsildar unless the conviction in the matter of 2-4 as received from Sabapathy Moodely is connected with the Muslim in Charge 9 or 10. On most of the remaining charges the Sub Collector convicts.

11. Charges 20, 21, and 22, are brought forward by the 19th Plaintiff Parasaramien Monigar of Mamput. It is to be remarked that this man was not originally disposed to complain against the Tahsildar; he was one

one of the three persons who are stated by the Plaintiff and apparently with the truth to have come to him and dissuaded him from presenting his complaint. Subsequently however he himself affixed his signature to it, and in his examination before the Sub Collector he states three instances in which he himself gave presents to the Tahsildar. On requisition from him.

12 In Charge 20 this deponent states that immediately before the feast of Navarattry in Fasly 1256, the Tahsildar sent for him and required him to bring him six pair of waistcloths as a present, those Articles being manufactured in Mamput. and he states that he accordingly went to the Village and bought the cloths required from the weavers and brought them and gave them to the Tahsildar. In proof of this Statement he cites three witnesses Venkatarayen late Monigar of the Village, 6th Witness Candapacharry the Village Shroff, 7th Witness & Vailum Taliary 8th Witness these witnesses depose to the same effect.

The 22d Charge is to a similar purport, Viz: that at the Navarattry feast of Fasly 1257. the Tahsildar extorted a

similar

similar present and this is supported by the
Statements of the same Cundapachary
Govinda Chitty [9th Witness] from whom the
cloths were purchased and Arnoogaly
(10th Witness) the Village Curnum.

13. The Tahsildar acknowledges
receiving the Cloths in both instances
but pleads that he paid for them; he pro-
duces two witnesses who depose that they
saw him give the complainant Parasara-
mien ten Rupees as advances for clothes a
few days before the Navaratry feast; of
these witnesses, one is the Taluk Duffe-
dar, and the other is a Cloth merchant of
Polore. Their testimony does not appear
of much value, and in particular it ap-
pears singular that they should remem-
ber so accurately a trivial occurrence in
which they were casual spectators, and
and in which they had no concern or in-
terest. The Collector is constrained to de-
clare however that he considers these
charges unproved. There are many weav-
ers in Mamput: if the Tahsildar had
bought and paid for the cloths through
the Monigar, it is not probable that there
would have been any direct evidence,

written

written or other, to prove the payment of the
price; the allegation that the price was
not paid depends on the assertion of
Parasaramien only, or at most on that of
himself and his co-monigar, who was
afterwards dismissed on the recommend-
ation of the Tahsildar and this evidence
is inadequate to bring conviction.

14. The 21st charge also brought
forward by Parasaramien, is that in the
Month of Margaly of Fusly 1256, (De-
cember 1846), the Tahsildar asked him
for 20 Rupees saying he was in want
of cash; whereupon he [Deponent] re-
fetched 15 Rupees from his Village and
gave it to the Tahsildar. The witnes-
ses cited to prove this are Soobrayen
(20th Plaintiff) and Venkatrayen (14th
Witness) Monigars of Periaggrum, and
the above said Venkatrayen and Cun-
dapacharry, late Monigar and Sheroff
of Mamput. The last two depose that
they accompanied Parasaramien from
the Village to give the money, the first
two, that they were then accidentally

at

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

at the Tahsildar's house and saw it given -

15. The 27th. charge is brought by one of these witnesses, Soobrayen, (20th Plaintiff), who states that in Margaly Month of Fusly 1256 (December 1846) he and his brother Monigar went to see the Tahsildar who then asked for a present, whereupon they went home and fetched ten Rupees and paid to him. This payment is stated to have taken place at the same time with that of the 15 Rupees in charge 22; the witnesses to this charge are the other Monigar of Periagarum, Vencatarayen (14th Witness) and the witnesses to that 22d. charge; they all support it in general terms, but both in this charge and in the former, all those details and particulars are wanting, which are necessary to give credibility to such evidence, and especially under such circumstances: and again, in neither case is a sufficient motive for giving the money apparent -

The

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

The Collector does not consider either of these charges to be proved -

16. There remain four charges to be considered, the 23d. 24th. 25th. and 26th. all of which, like the 27th already disposed of, were brought forward by Soobrayen Monigar of Periaggrum the 20th Plaintiff - This deponent stated that by the Tahsildar's Orders he made the Adungul Azmaish* of the Villages of Potteray and Coonnatoor for Fusly 1257, that while it was in progress or just completed, the Tahsildar came and that he (the Tahsildar) asked and obtained presents from the Monigars in both Villages, Viz. 12 Rupees from Potteray and 25 Rupees from Coonnatoor -

17. In the case of Potteray the only evidence offered is that of the Monigar Kistna Reddy (16th Witness) who deposes to giving 7 Rupees to the Tahsildar in the Village and 5 Rupees some days afterwards at Polore by the hands of Soobroyen, who paid it to the Tahsildar immediately in his presence, on this charge the Sub Collector acquits, probably because there

* Note - In the Taloof returns both these Villages are entered as having been Azmaished by the Tahsildar in Fusly 1257 -

there was only one witness.

18. In the case of Connattoor (Charge 24) the evidence adduced is that of the three Monigars and the Curnum of the Village, Colunda Coumden (5th) Vencata Reddy (17th) Putchana Kinar (18th), and Permal Pilley (19th Witness). Their testimony is to the effect that 21 Rupees (not 25 as stated by Soobroyen) was given by the three Monigars to the Tahsildar on the occasion of the Azmaish of the Village in Fusly 1257, the Statements of the three Monigars is direct and concurrent, but it is uncorroborated by any other evidence, and it was not delivered in presence of the accused or subjected to the test of cross examination, considering how easy it is for three or four persons to make out a consistent story, where their Statements are not thus tried, the Collector finds it impossible to pronounce a conviction on this evidence.

The

19 The 25th charge also brought forward by Soobroyen is that the Tahsildar finding some concealed Cultivation at the Azmaish of Keela Sulvor Village in Fusly 1257, obliged the Monigars, by threats of reporting the concealment to the Sub Collector to agree to pay him a bribe of forty Rupees of which 20 Rupees was actually paid to him, and 20 on his account to one Goorvosamy Iyen Monigar of Muttavaid for paddy delivered to the Tahsildar. In this charge the witnesses are the three Monigars and the two Curnums of the Village. It is clear that supposing the charge to be true, these witnesses would not state the facts clearly and fully because in so doing they must deeply criminate themselves. There is much appearance, accordingly of concealment in their evidence, but there appears good reason to believe the charge to be true, not so much on the ground of their direct Statements, as of their indirect admissions, especially

× Note Entered in the Talook return as Azmaished by the Tahsildar in Fusly 1257.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

especially in the case of the Cur-
-num Appoo Pillay (24th Witness).
There is not sufficient proof how-
-ever to convict the Tahsildar.

20 The 26th charge is to a
similar purport as the last Viz.
that the Tahsildar having detect-
-ed some concealed Cultivation,
and the Teerwah of some Tama-
-rind Trees concealed, in the Azmaish
of Fusly 1257, in the Village of Kunde-
-rum, took a bribe of 25 Rupees
from the Monigars to refrain from
reporting the matter. The remarks
on Charge 25 apply to this also, there
is ground for strong suspicion, but
there is not conclusive proof of the
bribe.

21. In addition to the foregoing
the Sub Collector convicts the
Tahsildar of corruptly receiving
20 Rupees from the Monigars of
Panalapaudy, and 30 Rupees from
the Monigars of Veeramundel,

under

+ Note. Entered in the Talook returns as Az-
-maished by the Tahsildar in Fusly 1257.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

under circumstances somewhat similar
to those of Keelapaloor and Nundimun-
-galum. These charges are not mentioned
in the Statements of any of the com-
-plainants, but are stated in the deposition
of Cauraputt Ramien one of the witnesses.
The Collector cannot think that these
charges were properly before the Sub.
Collector for enquiry and he therefore
makes no remark upon them.

22. The Sub Collector has also
convicted the Tahsildar of receiving
2 Rupees from the Monigar of Oranee.
(3d Plaintiff). The said two Rupees
having been paid as bandy hire for the
conveyance of some Paddy for the Tah-
-sildar. But though it is alleged that
these two Rupees was paid on the Tah-
-sildar's account, and it may probably
have been so, yet there is nothing
whatever to show that the Tahsildar
was cognizant of the transaction,
either at the time or afterwards.

23. The Collector has thus felt
obliged

obliged to reverse the sentences of the Sub Collector in every instance. This has been in part owing to the nature of the evidence obtainable, and to the circumstances of the Case making it necessary to receive all evidence with caution - But it has been owing in part also to the method in which the investigation was conducted by the Sub Collector, and to the number of separate charges accumulated before him and investigated in one case, in such a manner as to be indistinct and confused - Had a few of the charges most capable of proof been selected for investigation and had due care been taken to keep such cases distinct from each other to obtain all the evidence procurable on each, and to sift and examine it thoroughly, had this been done it is not improbable that some of the charges might have been fully established. It appears also to have escaped the

the Sub Collector that under class 3d clause third, Section II of Regulation IX of 1822, the Sons of the Tahsildar, Appajee Row and Ramachendra Row and Ellatoor Venkataramien, all of whom were charged with receiving money for themselves or for the Tahsildar might have here been tried under that Regulation -

24. Though none of the specific charges brought against the Tahsildar are supported by full proof, it is impossible to go carefully over the depositions, without feeling that the Tahsildars conduct and integrity are very seriously compromised by the evidence here collected - It is to be remembered that in the nature of things Eye witnesses are not procurable to acts such as those alleged against the Tahsildar - Where money is corruptly received, it will generally be either in strict secrecy, or by the hands of a third party, and the Collector cannot but consider that there is very

much

much probability in many of the charges that have been considered above, especially the earlier ones. The Tahsildar's reply to all the charges and evidence is that the complainants and witnesses are his enemies, that his activity in enforcing the Collection of balances from them, and his having get a Number of them fined, and some dismissed from the Office of Monigar led them to conspire for his ruin and in proof of this Statement he files a list of fines imposed at his recommendation on these deponents, and some papers shewing the 1st. Plaintiff Sabalady Moodely as a Revenue defaulter. He also produces a number of Witnesses to prove that the complainants entered into a formal league against him, and bound themselves by an oath or solemn engagement in a Pagoda. As respects the three witnesses who speak directly to the latter fact, the Collector has no hesitation

in

× Note. On the same ground also he declines to have the Witnesses reexamined before him or to cross examine them. This the Collector learns from the Sub Col's letter of April 25 it does not appear in any deposition from the Tahsildar

in rejecting their testimony as manifestly false, the rest speak only to having heard a report of such combination.

25. There is no doubt that the complaint was laid against the Tahsildar by concert or combination: it is shown also that many of those who appeared against the Tahsildar had been fined several times during the three years that the Tahsildar has been in the Taluk, some heavily for misconduct in Police matters, the rest in various small sums for slackness or unpunctuality in bringing their Village Lists, or for backwardness in Varaumut work; two or three had also been removed from the Office of Monigar for keeping heavy balances. The question is whether these considerations

are

are of sufficient weight to totally to invalidate their testimony the Collector is of opinion that they are not. There are several of the witnesses indeed on whose Statements he looks with much distrust on account of their manifest bias against the Tahsildar but there are a number of others who display no vindictiveness or ill will, and who profess that they have no complaint about the money they paid, that they paid it willingly and only gave their evidence because called upon to do so.

26. On the whole the Collector is of opinion that there is very strong reason to believe from these

Proceedings

Proceedings, 1st that the Tahsildar committed the serious irregularity of employing his sons and others not in the public service to make the Azmaish of Villages which he professed to have Azmaished himself. 2d that he also extorted presents from the Villages thus Azmaished, and in several instances employed his sons or another person to receive the money for him, and lastly that he took larger bribes to suppress discoveries of fraud in the Adungul accounts brought to light in the Azmaish. Having come to this conclusion after a very careful examination and consideration of all the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

the evidence and circumstances of the case, the Collector thinks it necessary to remove Bab Row from the Office of Tahsildar and he accordingly resolves to submit a copy of this sentence to the Board of Revenue and to solicit their sanction for that measure.

Palmanair
18th May 1850

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(24-25)

Under the circumstances stated in the paper which accompanies the above letter, the Board of Revenue authorize the dismissal from the Public Service of Bab Row Tahsildar of Poloor.

J. G. R.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26)

Sir,

From the Sub Collr in charge of Salem &c

With reference to my letter of the 11th Instant. I have the honor to forward a copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Fouzdary Adawlut under date the 16th April 1850—

Refers to his letter of the 11th Instant regarding the misconduct of Kristna Row Tahsildar of Namkal submits a copy of the Proceedings of the Fouzdary Adawlut declining again to order his dismissal and deeming the Tahsildar unfit for further employment requests instructions as to how he ought to act in this and in future cases.—

2. The tenor of these proceedings obliges me to lay the whole circumstances of the case before the Board of Revenue and to request their instructions as to the course to be adopted in this and in future cases in which Tahsildars may be accused of abuse of authority or other offences in their capacity as Criminal Magistrates.—

3. On the 5th July 1849 Mr. Lockhart had occasion to lay before the Fouzdary Adawlut some very serious charges against the Tahsildar of Athoor.—In answer to his letter Mr. Lockhart received one, appended to this letter, in which the Court declined to interfere, on the ground that the general supervision over the Tahsildars was vested not

*Enclos No 2.

Fort St George 30th May 185

not in their Court but in the Board
Revenue.

4 Mr Lockhart then addr
the Court in the letter appended (No 3)
=ing that as the Board had on a pre
occasion declined to take cognizan
charges of a police nature, he was
=barrassed how to proceed, and sug
that he was perhaps in error in
having submitted the papers to the
Judge.

The Court directed, under
the 19th December 1849 that this course
be pursued.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Fo
Adawlut under date the 19th December

Read letter dated 6th Dec
1849 from the Magistrate of Salem
=ing with reference to the letter of the
Deputy Reguden of the Fouzdary Adaw
dated 12th November 1849, instructin
as to the course he should pursue
reference to Ramakistna Iyah late Hea
Police of Ahtoor, whose dismissal from
the Court has declined to order the Boa
of Revenue having on a former occasi
declined to interfere in a similar matter

See letter from the secretary to the Board dated 6th November 1849.

Fort St George 30th May 1850

The orders of Government dated
19th November 1833 which relieved the Court
of Fouzdary Adawlut from any share in the
Superintendence, control, and revision of the
native Revenue and Police Establishment
were, in the Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation of Government under date 9th
December 1844, declared to be "still in
force," consequently the powers of the Court
of Fouzdary Adawlut are limited to the
dismissal of a Native Officer of Police
upon the report of the Sessions Judge, a
power specially conferred upon them by
Section XXXIX Act VII of 1843.

Ordered that Extract from
these proceedings be sent to the Magistrate
of Salem for his information

(True Extract)

(Signed) G. T. Beauchamp

Regr

6. Acting upon these instruc
=tions the charges against the Tahsildar
of Namul were in the same manner
submitted to the Sessions Judge, as stated
in Mr Lockhart's letter of the 24th De
=cember 1849. The observations of the
Sessions

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Sessions Judge and the answer of the B
of Fourdary were submitted to the Boa
with my letter of the 11th Instant. The
Sessions Judge thought that the Tahsi
=dar might be re-employed in another
talook, and I remonstrated against th
re-employment in so responsible an
of a person in whom it was impossib
to place the slightest confidence, the
answer of the Court is, that any repl
to them is uncalled for, and that the
"Joint Magistrate must use his
discretion in regard to the re-empl
=ment of the Head of Police of Kamu

7 From these communication
it appears that the Court have rule
that although the legislature gives the
the power of compelling the dismiss
of Police Officers whose misconduc
is apparent upon the proceeding of the
Courts, they are not invested with any
general control which is still left in
the hands of the Magistracy, acting
conclude under the orders of the Boa
of Revenue.

8. If such is the case I

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

conclude that any reference to the
Sessions Judge must also be unnecessary,
and the Magistrate has either full authority
to dismiss a Tahsildar for misconduct in
his police duties, or, as I have said above,
the control over his proceedings is vested
in the Board of Revenue.

9. I have the honor to request the
instructions of the Board of Revenue upon
this subject for my guidance in future,
In the mean while I think it right to
submit a Memo: which I forwarded as
* Encl: No. 4. Joint Magistrate to Mr. Lockhart shew
=ing the grounds of charge against Kista
Row, I own it surprises me that the Sessions
Judge should think a person competent
for farther trust who evidently endeavoured
to make the Court the instrument of
every gross act of oppression, and at the
same time to mislead his own immediate
superior Officer, and for many reasons, inclu
=sive of an evidently debilitated state of
health, I consider Kista Row quite unfit
for further employment as a Tahsildar.

Salem District
Womaloor,
Collector's Cutcherry
27th April 1850.

(Signed) T. N. Mallby
Sub Coll. in Charge

No. 1

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

No. 4.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Fouzdaree Udalut date the 16th April

Read letter under date the 4th April 1850 from the Sessions Judge of Salem—

Adverting to the order passed by the Court of Fouzdaree Udalut under date the 19th. Ultimo on a reference made by the Sessions Judge of Salem report-ing certain misconduct on the part of the Head of Police of Kamcul Talook whose dismissal was recommended by the Joint Magistrate of that District, the Sessions Judge has now submitted a further communication from the Joint magis-trate in charge reiterating his opinion of the unfitness of the Head of Police for further Employment in that Capacity and requesting that a further reference should be made to the Fouzdaree Udalut before the Head of Police is again entrust-ed with the authority which the Joint Magistrate considers him to greatly to have abused—

2.

The Court of Fouzdaree Udalut

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Udalut have no authority to direct the dismissal of the Head of Police referred to the Session Judge having declined to recommend the adoption of that measure and the powers vested in the Court of Fouzdaree Udalut in regard to the dismissal of Heads of District Police being, as was observed in their proceed-ings of the 19th Ultimo, confined to the award of that penalty in those cases in which it may have been recommended by the Session Judge—

3.

The Court consider this refer-ence to have been uncalled for, and en-they direct that the same may be inti-mated to the Joint Magistrate in charge who must use his own discretion in regard to the re-employment of the Head of Police of Kamcul—

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Session Judge of Salem for his information and for commu-nication to the Joint Magistrate in charge—

True Extract
(Signed) A. J. Arbuthnot
Ag. Sec. to the Govt.

To The Session Judge of Salem

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. G. S. Brucre
Session Judge

Ordered

Fort St. George 30th May 185[illegible]

Ordered that Copy of aforesaid Extract be sent to the Magistrate in charge of the Salem District for his information.

(Signed) J. G. S. Bruce
Session Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. K. Mallby
Sub Coll[r] in charge of Jud[l] Dep[t]

No. 2.

To
W. C. Lockhart Esq[re]
Magistrate of Salem

Sir,

I am directed by the Joint Court of the Fouzdary Adalut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3rd Inst. last forwarding copies of papers affecting the character of Ramakistna Iyah late Tahsildar and Head of Police of Attoor now under suspension, on the ground that "his complicity with Lawless and of the borowars was so obvious," and recommending that he should be dismissed from his situation and declared incapable of re-employment in the Public

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Public Service.

The Judges observe that upon a mere report and without having before them a Record of the case or cases in which he may have exhibited that misconduct which is considered to render him worthy of removal from his situation, they have no authority to dismiss an head of Police, or to interfere in any way, in regard to the matter. The power to do so is vested in the Board of Revenue alone, to whom, therefore you should report the character and proceedings of the Tahsildar in question.

3. The Judges will be prepared to take into consideration and forward to Government, your application for an increase of the Police Force in the Salem District, but they request that you will submit a separate application for that purpose; explaining generally and fully the reasons for the application, without reference to the particular case of this Head of Police.

4. In doing so, the Judges request you will state in what places you propose

Fort St. George 30th May 18

propose to fix the three additional Pannas suggested in paragraph of your and that you will likewise explain which of those adjoining Districts and the authorities in which you propose co-operate, and furnish a full explan of the particular circumstances render necessary or desirable, comm with those authorities, in regard espe to the Coroners.

5. The Judges request your attention to the Circular Order of the 4th September 1820 which requires the the paragraphs of all letters and Rep to the Sudr and Foujdaree Adalut be numbered.

Foujdaree Udalut,
Registers Office,
12th November 1850

/Signed/ F. Luckington
Senior Deputy Registr

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ F. X. Mallby
Sub Coll. in charge of Sub
No. 3.

To
G. T. Beauchamp Esqr.
Register to the Court of F. Adal
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to a
acknowledge

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

acknowledge the receipt of the Senior De=puty Registers letter of the 12th November in reply to mine of the 15th July, relative to the suspended Head of Police Rama=Kistnah Iyah whom I had recommended to be removed from his situation.

2 I addressed the Court under the impression which I still entertain that the Joint Magistrate Mr. Maltby in his letters of January 17th and June 15th exhibited his conduct in a light that made him undeserving of further em=ployment, and that the papers which I then forwarded, particularly the Memo=randum regarding the arrest of Valoyaden Pillay who was convicted at the Sessions Court of Chenglepet as a receiver of property stolen at the Plunder of the Conjeveram Pagoda, was more than a "mere report" and sufficient to satisfy the Judges, as it did myself, of the com=plicity of the Head of Police with the perpetrators of that daring robbery, and I did not enclose the depositions referred to, as they were required to be translated. I shall now forward all the documents if

if the Judges require it, but as the Court state that they have no authority to dismiss a Head of Police, or interfere any way in regard to the matter, and desire me to report the matter to the Board of Revenue, I have the honor to state that on the receipt of Mr. Maltby's first letter (January 17th.) I reported his suspension to the Board but as their proceedings with regard to a suspended Tahsildar Timmapien whom they refused to punish according to my recommendation as the charges were chiefly for misconduct in his capacity of Head of Police, the Board on that occasion desired me to omit such documents as did not concern the Tahsildar in his Revenue capacity. I beg to refer you to the correspondence on that subject, and beg that the Court will favor me with further instructions how to act, as if they still maintain the same opinion as expressed in the letter under reply, I must refer the matter for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, as I am about to request the

× To Sessions Judge 27th Feby. 1848.
From Dr. 29th Dr.
To — Dr. 10th March
From Dr. 8th April.
To Dr. 29th Dr.
From Dr. Sudr Courts Proceedings of 1st June.

W. E. B.

Kannal Wonaloor

the dismissal of two other Heads of Police and under such conflicting instructions really do not know how to act. I have better to supposed that as the power to dismiss heads of Police was vested in Sessions Judges by Section III Regulation XIII of 1832. the Pouydary Udalut have now the power by Section XXXIX Act VII of 1843, but I believe I have made a mistake in not sending my report through the Sessions Judge, and if the Court will kindly return the papers I formerly sent they shall be submitted in that way together with translations of the other depositions—

Salem Magistrate's Office 6th. December 1849. } (Signed) W. E. Lockhart Magistrate

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. K. Maltby
Sub Coll.r in Charge

No. 4.

To, W. E. Lockhart Esqre.
Magistrate of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to lay before you certain proceedings of — Kristna

* A list of the records is forwarded in Tamil. To be returned

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Kistna Row the Tahsildar of Kameal which render it necessary that I should recommend his dismissal from his Office

2 I have for some time past felt dissatisfied with the state of his Talook, but from circumstances of an indefinite kind - such as the want of confidence the people seemed to evince in his decisions, and their constant appeals to me to make their complaints the subject of personal investigation - I therefore refer them to the Tahsildar - the alleged influence in his Cutcherry of unofficial persons of wealth - and other reports which reached me from time to time

3. The proceedings which I now lay before you evince a degree of injustice and a defiance of all the rules laid down for his guidance so gross that I am satisfied that they could only have been ventured upon from the Tahsildar being completely at the mercy of those around him - He could not, had he been a free agent have so risked his appointment for apparently so paltry an object, and I can only draw the conclusion that

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

that those around him in and out of his Cutcherry have for some reason or other the power of making him pursue what course they chose to dictate - However this may be, the Tahsildar is responsible for the injustice which has been done and has proved himself utterly unfit for the situation which he holds -

4 The case I principally allude to is one most properly brought to notice by the Hindoo Sudr Ameen of the Lowar, and I think - I shall lay the case most clearly before you, by detailing the proceedings in the order in which they came to my notice; and being desirous of showing that the Tahsildar's breach of rules is in defiance of warning and not confined to this case only - I beg to submit the accompanying Memorandum which embraces some other proceedings -

Salem Joint Magistrate's Office, 13th November 1849

/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Joint Magistrate

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Sub Coll.r in Charge

The following Memorandum was recorded by me on the 8th of September the day on which I made over charge of the Office on proceeding on leave -

"A Complaint was made by

"by Mohomed Kawoten on the 16th of July
"1849 stating that a party who had filed
"a Civil Suit against the Petitioner had
"also some seven Months before made a
"complaint before the Police Tahsildar;
"that this Complaint had not been enquired
"into, but that the Tahsildar had for the
"last month kept his brother Kadr Kawotter
"in confinement.—

"An Order was issued to the Tahsil-
=dar on the 18th to report within three days.

"The Tahsildar reported in answer
"that in the Month of January a Com-
=plaint had been made that Kaudir
"Sahib and Mohomed Sahib had removed
"certain valuable property. It appears—
"that no Summons were issued till the
"19th of April."—

"The witnesses appeared on the
"23d of April and their depositions were
"taken. The prosecutrix did not appear
"till the 26th of June—

"The witnesses deposed that the
defendants had removed the property.

"The defendants were summoned on
the 23d of April but as they did not
appear the case lay over."

"On the 1st July another summons was
"issued. the 1st Defendant appeared and
"denied the Charge. as it did not seem to
"be proved the case was dismissed on the 2d July.

"This is the purport of the Tahsildar's
"report of the 21st July. But as the case
"appeared to be extremely irregular reference
"was made to the Monthly reports, and
"it was found that no mention whatever
"is made of this case."—

"The Tahsildar was called upon by
"an order of the 25th July to explain, and
"to send up the petitioner and his brother.
"This order reached him on the 28th but
"no answer was sent till the 6th of August
"when a second takeed had reached him—

"This answer is entirely unsatis-
=factory and indeed false, and does not
"explain why there should be a delay
"of 12 days or what measures he took to
"send up the parties immediately. It
"proves that the Tahsildar's Monthly
"reports were all false"

"The Petitioner and his brother
"both maintain that the latter was
"detained in Custody for about a Month"—

Examination

"Examination has been made
"as to whether the party was really de-
"tained in the Talook kutcherry. The
"witnesses cited by the Complainant
"state that he was, and it seems extra-
"ordinary that if he was released on the
"2nd. July his brother should on the 4th
"have complained of his detention. The
"Joint Magistrate has endeavoured by
"examining other parties to ascertain the
"real facts of the case, and as the Officers
"of the Tahsildar's kutcherry depose on
"oath that he was not detained, and as
"the Original diary states that he was
"released on the 2d. July he does not con-
"sider this very serious charge to be proved.

"But the Tahsildar is still
"guilty of gross irregularity, and of sending
"in false reports and he now alleges false
"reasons for doing so."

"The case was brought forward
"in April and the Tahsildar knows that
"it ought to have been entered in every
"report till it was disposed of. These
"reports are thus all of them falsified.
"The reason assigned is also false. The

"Tahsildar says that as he expected to
"decide it immediatly he did not enter it
"in the report, but he knows that this
"reason is false and insufficient."

"Besides it is stated that the
"defendant did not appear or could not be
"found while he was actually in Harcut
"conducting a Civil Suit."

"The whole case shows gross
"irregularity and that the rules laid down
"to guide the Police Officers and to show
"the work remaining in their Offices
"have been neglected and evaded. Tahsildar
"fined 10 Rupees. His Police Royasem 3½ Rs."

September 8th. 1849.

The Tahsildar was then in attendance
and was made fully aware that the fine
was intended to mark his extreme mis-
conduct and that I only hesitated whether
that punishment was sufficient for an
offence which proved his Monthly reports
to be falsified so as to allow the kutcherry
to take up or suppress a police charge
as they chose.

In consequence of my departure the
Takeed was prepared and sent after
me

me for signature and therefore reached the Tahsildar about the 25th. or 26th. September.

On the 20th. of September a Complaint was made to the Tahsildar by one Dafseny Chetty against the Tannadar of the Cudbah charging him with destroying his hedge and trespasing upon his land.

On the 25th. of September a Counter charge was admitted on the part of the Tannadar.—

The Merits of the case rested upon the question whether there was a right of way thro' the prosecutor's land to the Tannadar's garden or whether the Tannadar was guilty of an act of oppression in cutting down the hedge and insisting on one.—

The point however to which I am desirous of calling the Magistrate's particular attention is that the two charges were suppressed in the Monthly report of September notwithstanding the warning the Tahsildar had just received.—

The following Memorandum recorded on the perusal of the papers will exhibit the irregularity of the whole proceedings. It forms the purport of a Takeed sent on the 30th. Ultimo

The case is still under investigation

"This Complaint was preferred to the Tahsildar on the 20th. of September—

"On the 27th. of September witnesses "were examined—

On the 5th. October a Mahazernamah was recorded—

On the 25th. September a Counter— petition was preferred by the Tannadar—

"Examination was made till the 3rd. "of October when a Mazernamah was recorded—

"The two Cases depended upon the simple fact whether was a right of way through the prosecutor's land to that of the Tannadar and whether the Tannadar cut down the prosecutor's hedge—

"An immediate enquiry would have "placed the last point beyond a doubt "and the first should have been decided "on the spot and at once— whereas numerous papers were recorded only perplexing "the matter and then no decision— "whatever was recorded the prosecutor "wearied out by delay petitioned the— Huzzoor—

The Tahsildar is called upon for explanation on the following points

Why

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

1. Why the papers in the first case are not numbered according to their dates so as to show the progress of the investigation correctly—

2 Why two distinct Mahazernamas were taken as to the right of way over the prosecutors' land instead of a single one from the parties living around—

3. To send in a list shewing what land or houses are held by each of the parties to the two Mazernamas where they reside and what are their occupations, and to state by whom they were named to give their opinions—

4. To send in a correct map of the lands in question shewing the roads and the Pagodas alluded to

"The Joint Magistrate observes that neither of the complaints is entered in the report of the Month of September shewing that the Tahsildar habitually sends in false reports On this subject the Joint Magistrate abstains from passing any order now as he will have occasion to do so hereafter but the Tahsildar will report by whom the account was written in his office—

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

An immediate answer is required to this Order

"Tannadar to be immediately removed "to other employment, and Tahsildar to "report whether he was ever removed from the Talook and if so why and when recalled—

Taheed Sent October 30th

I had on the 25th or 26th October received the Memo: of the Subordinate Court containing the observations of the Sudr Ameen on Case No. 195 [I request the Magistrate will be so good as to peruse the observations]—

I examined the record with reference to these remarks and found that the Case fully warranted the observations made— I was particularly struck with the fact that the Tahsildar had taken no evidence as to the occupation of the land which was the most material point in the case—

I recorded the following Memorandum and issued an order accordingly on the 27th of October—

With

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

"With reference to the Case of Ramasawmy Naik, the Tahsildar is called upon to explain—

1. Why the date of the Offence is not shown in the otavany in English Style

"2 Why no summons or Warrant is appears on the proceedings the date on which the Summons was issued is to be shown

"3 Why nothing appears on his proceedings to show for how long or on what tenure the defendants to hold the land—

"4 Why and on what evidence the defendants were reported to the Court as bad characters—

"5 What is the date in English, on which the Offence charged was committed

"6 Why Section XLIII Regulation XI of 1816 was not attended to—

"7 To state by whom the evidence was recorded—

On the 2nd November the 1st Prisoner Ramasawmy Naicken came to my kutchery as a Complainant in the Civil or Revenue side, and I then became for the first time aware that this person who with his two sons and two Servants had been sent as Criminals to the Court had been for months a petitioner for Justice against those

T. E. B.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

those who were endeavouring to Remove him from possession of the land of which he had held possession for a long series of years—

The following facts appear on record. On the 7th of July Ramasawmy Naick complained against two defendants that they prevented his cultivating or removing the Crop from a land of four Cawnies which he had held for a long period, but which the defendants wished to give to Coopasawmy Naiken* —

*Vide below

The usual endorsement for enquiry and report was given on this petition and on one presented on the 7th of August—

On the 20th of August the Petitioner presented a third petition naming a long list of witnesses to prove his possession of the land and that he had built a bowrie upon it and alleging that the Tahsildar was endeavouring to deprive him of possession—

The Tahsildar was called upon to report—

On the 12th of September the Tahsildar received a Criminal Charge from

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

*Vide above

from Coopsasawmy Naicken* against this Petitioner and others - the charge was that they had filled up a bowrie on this land and on this charge he sent up five persons to the Criminal Court. —

It appears from the record that during the Month of September after the 10th The Criminal Charge and the Civil case were both examined together. —

In the Civil Suit on the 15th the Petitioner was examined - On the 17th the defendant - on the 23d the Carnams

On the 24th and 26th ten of the Petitioner's witnesses were examined in proof of his profession and that he had constructed a bowrie upon the land. —

In the Criminal case on the 12th the Complaint was filed. On the 15th the witnesses were examined and a Summons issued to the defendant [who that day was giving his deposition at the Cutcherry] -

September 17th a Mozernamah was recorded. —

On the 24th the defendants and 7 Witnesses were examined on the 27th a witness was examined and the parties were sent

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

sent up to the Criminal Court. —

By Comparing these dates. it will be seen that when the Tahsildar sent up the case to the Criminal Court the Petitioner had fully proved his occupancy of the land, and that he had himself built a bowrie upon it. yet the Tahsildar sent up the case without one allusion to this proved occupancy. —

The next object was to get the Revenue investigation disposed of - accordingly on the 1st of October the Tahsildar reported the case / see abstract of his report / recommending that the ejectment of the petitioner should be confirmed. But as the Petitioner had proved his right by 10 witnesses their depositions were retained - the three depositions and endorsements were separated, and on the 4th of October the 3d Petition and the depositions were sent up separately with a remark to the same purport as the former report. —

In neither of these Cases was the slightest allusion made to the Criminal prosecution. —

The Tahsildars report of the 1st was

was received by Mr. Dykes who by
the Tahsildar to send up the Petitio
Document. The report of the 1st was
received by me and ordered to lie
till that Document should come
Tahsildar made no answer till the
by which time the Sudr Ameens' not
before me.—

But this is not the whole
of the Tahsildar's falsifications.

He states in his above
to the Court that the parties were not
=mended till the 24th when the Chief Rid
had been for days actually in his Cutchery
demanding justice.—

The Magistrate will obser
that one of my questions to the Tahsildar
reading the Criminal proceedings was why
had not enquired as to the accuracy of the
and even then in his answer he suppressed the
fact of the Revenue enquiry.—

It is also clear that no attempt
made to suppress the Revenue case altogether
that in the Monthly report for August it
entered as reported upon on the 6th of Septembe

and in the following Month it is suppressed
Now on the 6th of September no enquiry had
been made - Still the report has been tampered with
the word September was first written and after=
=wards changed to October - They must have
found that the suppression could not be carried
through, and so the report of the 1st was sent
in but they forget to re-enter the Petitions
in the Monthly Report.—

The Magistrate will not fail
to observe the fact of the parties having been
reported to the Court as bad characters
and that the Tahsildar fails to give
any satisfactory reason for their being so-

The Proceedings were recorded by
Narahurry Row whom I find to be the Tahsil=
=dars' Nephew - The reports were drawn up by
him and the monthly reports were written by him
himself.

It appears to me to be necessary that
he should also be dismissed.—

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby.
Joint Magistrate -

/True Copy/
/Signed/ F. N. Maltby -
Joint Magistrate in
Charge.—

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Inclosure 22d April 1850.

(26-27)

Proceedings informing the Collector of Salem that as the charges preferred against the Tahsildar of Ramnad are not of such a nature as to justify his dismissal from service the Board decline sanctioning the arrangement.

Read also letter from the Collector of Salem dated 11th April 1850—

Here enter No 25.—

thereon.— 1. The Board having taken under their consideration the charges preferred against the Tahsildar of Namcal by Mr. Maltby in his capacity as Sub Collector are of opinion that though the conduct of that functionary has been highly objectionable it has not been of such a flagitious nature as to justify his dismissal from the Public Service.—

2. Such a punishment cannot be sanctioned by this Board of Revenue except in extreme cases, and in the present instance a less severe penalty may, it is hoped, be equally efficacious.—

3. The Board are inclined to take into consideration the long services of the Tahsildar and the probability which Mr. Maltby seems himself to concur in, that he was led away by others in his Cutcherry, and they therefore desire that he may at once be removed to another Talook where the same influence may not be exercised. He has already

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

been some time under suspension, and during that period will have forfeited his salary.—

4. Mr. Maltby will particularly explain to him that a second Offence of the same nature will not be passed over, and should it be the opinion of the Collector after a further period of trial that Kistna Row's "debilitated state of health" as referred to by Mr. Maltby in his letter of 27th April is such, as to incapacitate him, he will take proper means to have this certified.—

5. With respect to the points on which Mr. Maltby (in the second of the letters above recorded) desires the opinion of the Board of Revenue it will be sufficient to state that the Foujdaree Adawlut have already made it clear in †Extract of Proceedings under date 16th April 1850, copy of which is enclosed, that under Section XXXIX of act VI of 1843 they can only deal with those cases where the Session Judge after investigation of a case in which the conduct of a Head of Police is questioned, records it

†Enclosure from Salem 27th April in Cons 30th May 1850.

it as his opinion that he is de-
=serving of dismissal.—

6. Where the Sessions
Judge has either not remarked
on the conduct of a Tahsildar,
or proposed a punishment
short of dismissal the matter
remains entirely with the Magis-
trate. It is at the same time
his duty to report the circum-
=stances to the Board of Revenue
in whom is vested the super-
=tendence and control of the
Native Officers of Police, as
in the case referred to, in the
preceding para.—

7. It was therefore not open
for Mr. Maltby to have addressed the
=sions Judge at all.—

J.G.B.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.—

28

From the Sub Collector in charge of Salem....

Sir,

Forwarding a letter from the Reverend J. M. Lechler regarding the rent paid by him to the Mootahdar of Salem for a portion of the Missionary compound which forms part of the Glacis of the Fort of Salem & requesting instructions on the subject.—

I have the honor to lay before the Board a letter from the Reverend J. M. Lechler having reference to the rent which he now pays to Mr. Fischer the Mootahdar of Salem for a portion of the Missionary compound which forms part of the old glacis of the Fort of Salem.—

2 The Board have now before them the decree passed by the Civil Judge with reference to a portion of the same land and I therefore submit Mr. Lechler's application at once without delay that I may be favored with the instructions of the Board upon both cases at once.—

3 I find that this portion of the land now declared to be the property of the Government has been the subject of previous litigation between the Mootahdar of Salem

and.

and Mr Watton and that it was decided in the year 1837 that Rent was payable by the occupant to the Mootahdar This decision was pleaded by the Mootahdar in the late suit as a Judicial decision in favor of his claim but it was answered that the case was not decided as against the Government and that the decision pronounced from Mr Watton's imperfect acquaintance with the merits of the question. The suit was decided with and has been since enforced and the question now is whether it is desirable that any endeavour should now be made to disturb it.

4 This can be regularly done only by a petition to the Court requesting that as the decision of the Court has been found by the Court itself to have been erroneous and as its tendency is to give to the Mootahdar what belongs to the Government, the Court will remit the former judgment with reference to the late decision of the Court of sudder Adawlut.

But

5 But this is not a course which I think it desirable to pursue. The decree has been enforced for a long series of years, the land has become private property and a portion of it is cultivated garden. I do not think even that it is desirable that the Government should now step in either to claim the rent or to declare the land to be rent free, and I think that the Court would decline to disturb a judgment against which the Government failed to protest when it was first passed. — I do not therefore think it advisable to take any steps without the orders of the Board, but it is necessary that I should receive their instructions before I abandon any of the apparent rights of the Government.

(Signed) F. N. Mattby
Sub Collector in Charge

Salem District
Shevaroy Hills,
Collector's Cutchery,
8th May, 1850.

P.S. As I do not find any authenticated copy of the Court's decision on record and the Civil Court is now closed I beg to forward a transcript belonging to Mr Lechler which I request may soon be

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

be returned to me should the Board deem it necessary that an authenticated Copy be forwarded for record in their Office. I shall apply for one as soon as the Court opens.

I Signed F. N. Maltby
Sub Coll.r in charge

No. 1

To,
F. N. Maltby Esq.r
Collector in Charge of
Salem.

Sir

The late Collector W. Puttle Esq.re having given me notice that a certain portion of the Mofussil Company which is included in the lands lately transferred by a decree of the Court from the Moatah to Government and on which for some years past a yearly rent of Rupees 18 was paid to the Moatahdar Mr. Sivalu Sahib falls off if you will kindly inform me why no Puttah was issued from the Collectorate Cutchery. As the former Collector Gling Esq.re who commenced the suit referred to, had intimated to me the same thing viz.t that the abovesaid of land would come under the Inam

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

assessment of Government it does not appear to me right that the matter should be left in a state of uncertainty

Sheranoy Hills, 3d May, 1850.

I Signed J. McLeahler
I True Copy I
I Signed F. N. Maltby
Sub Coll.r in charge.

No. 2

The Decree of the Zillah Adalut Court of Salem.

Judges Court's Original Suit No. 126 of 1836.

Plaintiff
Mr. G. F. Fischer
Moatahdar of Salem
By Vakeel Doriamarama Sastry. Gorlambavaru Saib

Defendant
Rev.d Mr. G. Watton of Salem
By Vakeel

The Plaintiff sued to recover Rupees 99 As.7 Pice 6 being the amount of the rent of Maulgonzaury Poonja and Jardon lands consisting of 10 13/16 Coolies assessed in the Margin for the year Immuna: Lah 1835 and to order the Defendant to take a Puttah on from him Plaintiff after giving a Moochilkah for the said land or

to

*Viz.t 1 Pariacandos Poonjah consisting of 8 3/8 Coolies annually paying pent 78—11—11.—	lands consisting of 10 13/16 Coolies assessed in the Margin for the year Immuna: Lah 1835 and to order
1 Sootum Poonjah consisting of 2 3/32 Coolies rent 2-3— as well as another land called Puttay Mudoo consisting of 2 1/32 Coolies rent Rs. 18-7-7 were lately added by the Defendant.	the Defendant to take a Puttah on from him Plaintiff after giving a Moochilkah for the said land or

to give it up, and states that he purchased the Salem Mootah from the original owner and got it registered in his name on the 2d June 1836, that according to the accounts of the balance due by the Inhabitants of the Mootah furnished by the Collector he /Plaintiff/ demanded the above balance from the Defendant who agreed to pay it provided the /Plaintiff would prove that the said land is attached to the Mootah and that his /Defendant's/ predecessors had paid rent for it, that thereupon he /Plaintiff/ proved the same by the accounts but as the Defendant neverthe=less failed to pay the rent he /Plaintiff/ attached the Defendants property for the same and reported to the Collector requesting an order for the disposal of the same whereupon him to prefer his suit in the Civil Court and therefore he released the property from attachment and so brought in this action.

The Defendant denies the plaints and states that when the present Government assumed

assumed the country, lands consisting of 11½ Coolies specified in the annexure were waste and were subsequently attached to the Fort and to the Military Department, that in 1804 the then Collector Mr. Hargrave gave the said lands to Mr. Pomney to build a Bungalow thereon, and that the said Gentleman and afterwards many others resided in it, that afterwards Mr. Davis became the proprietory and sold the said Bungalow and land on the 21st December 1827. to the late Mr. Crisp for Rupees 4200 who bought them on account of the London Missionary Society, and afterwards in February 1832 he /Defendant/ succeeded to the occupation of the above Bungalow &c. by orders of the Madras District Committee since which time he has occupied it, after having added a Bungalow &c. to it at a considerable expence by order of the London Missionary Society, that if the said lands had borne a rent the same would have been mentioned in the Deed of Sale, that from 1804 to 1836 neither the Collector nor Mootahdar have

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

have exchanged pottahs for agreements with the complainant and that the Plaintiff's claim is barred with clause 4th section XVIII Regulation II of 1802, that the Principal Collector wrote to him, Defendant, on the 1st October 1808 to the effect that the lands in dispute were excluded from the Mootah Bauj, besides which they were not included in the Government and Village accounts of Fusly 1207, the said lands forming part of the Bungalow Garden were built at an expence of 9000 Rupees on the land in question; that if the Plaintiff wishes to get possession of the said 9000 Rupees, that the Plaintiff he should prefer his claim as for wrote him a letter requiring him to pay the rents of the said Bungalow to which he answered that the said land for the foregoing reasons is not attached to the Mootah, upon which the Plaintiff attached his Defendant's Children's Banian in order to

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

disgrace him, that if the Plaintiff's assertion were true the Collector would have given orders to the Plaintiff to sell the above property according to Regulation V of 1822 and on the above grounds & according to section IX of the above Regulation the Plaintiff should be nonsuited.—

The Reply adds that a Fasul rent was fixed for the land in dispute at the establishment of the Mootah & it was subsequently entered as waste that the Mootahdar allowed part of the said land to the Ryots for Cultivation for Cowle rents; that Mr. Powney obtained a Cowle Puttah from the Mootahdar for the said land after giving a Moochelka in order to build a Bungalow, that the said Gentleman paid Cowle rents from Boodrogary 1803. up to Vebavah 1808 and afterwards full rent for part of the said land up to the year Angeervah 1812, that afterwards Mr. Craig paid full rent thereof on obtaining a Puttah for the same & Mr. Woodcock also paid

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

paid rent, that the above are entered in the Patent accounts & that the Government paid permanent Peiscash the Mootah the waste lands were also included in the Sooloochist as being attached to the Mootahdary, that the late Collector Mr. Hargrave had no authority to give the said land to Mr. Downey in 1802, that although the mention of rent was not made in the sale Deed of the Bungalow in question yet that has nothing to do with the subject that the Law permits a suit for the land as the rent thereof without a suit for the tenement thereon.

The Rejoinder adds that the name of the land specified in the Plaint and that in the answer are different, that the late Mootahdar sued Captain Field in Cause No. 75 of 1828 for the rent of some land attached to her Mootah, that if the land now in dispute is attached to the Mootah bearing a rent the would have claimed it & when the

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Bungalow in question was in the occupancy of late tenants, and that if the Court will peruse the plaint preferred against Captain Field it will be obvious to the Court that such lands are excluded from the Mootahdary.

The Plaintiff filed the Documents marked Nos. 21—22—23—24 and 25 and those in Nos. 15—16—17—18 and 19. were filed by the Defendant.—

Witnesses examined for Plaintiffs

1 Aroonachella Moodely.

2 Veneatta Soobien

3 Pallanyappa Moodelly

The Defendants did not cite Witnesses.—

The Plaintiffs 1st. Witness filed Eight Documents.

Decree of the Court.—

The first question which arises in this case is whether the Plaintiff as undisputed Mootahdar of Salem is entitled to any rent, whether prospectively or retrospectively on the land in question.—

Against the general claim to such rent it is urged firstly, that the land was entered as waste in the accounts on which the permanent tribute payable by the Mootahdar to Government was.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

was calculated but the Court
consider the ground having that
waste as precluding a claim to
when it has ceased to be waste

Against the general
to rent it is urged seriously that
land is attached to the Fort
attached to the Fort is entirely
from the assets of the Govt.
the permanent grant of the Govt
was is such exempted from
disposition produced by
contributed therefore to
ground equally liable to rent
& be attached to the Fort

In regard to the
amount of rent the Court above
as urged by Defendants. the Pl
tiff produces no suitable
in support of his claim to
this according to section IX
V of 1822 is considered to be
against his claim to any
ue to the time of his
obtain an exchange of
Plaintiff has not

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

date of such attempt but he asserts and
it is not denied that he did so before
the institution of the suit, that is
to say, before the 20th August 1836 and
the Court in the absence of any assertion
of a former demand regards the above as
the date from which the Plaintiffs
is entitled to rent.—

The only remaining question is
the rate of annual rent. It is distinctly
proved by the agreement of Wm. Craig No. 36
and otherwise that the annual rent
which though not rigorously demanded
succeeded to the house was contemplated
on what were then the premises, was Rs. 80 0 0 11 P. 11—

But the Mootadar demands an
annual rent of Rs. 99 as. 7 Pice 6 the dif
ference between this rent and the above
Rs. 80—11—11 being on account of additional
ground included by the Defendant with
the aforesaid premises. This addition
the Defendant does not specifically
deny but states in rather vague terms
that the boundaries and designations
of the land alleged by the Plaint
tiff are erroneous. However it is shown
by.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

by an account / No. 24 at the end paid was prepared in the Revenue Office long before the disputes could have been foreseen and which therefore is not liable to any suspicion like the other evidence now produceable that the Defendant has made the alleged additions to the premises, and the Court therefore thinks that the Plaintiff has a right to fix as to discretionary rent thereon and thus the Defendant is fairly liable to the whole rent charged by Plaintiff Viz. Rs. 97 at 7 p.c. from the date on which at the very latest suit could have been demanded Viz. 6th Augt. 1830 and the Court decrees accordingly that the Defendant pay at the above rate of rent from the period now mentioned Viz. 6th August 1830.—

The Plaintiff is to pay one third and the Defendant two thirds of the costs incurred by the Plaintiff.

Value of Stamp Paper		32	8 0
Batta for Summons Peon			4 0
Pleaders fees		9	14 8
		42	11 8
Costs incurred by the Deft.			
Value of Stamp Paper		18	8 —
Pleaders fees		2	14 5
		28	6 5
Total Costs		71	— 10
The amount of 1/3 of costs to be borne by the Plaintiff		23	11 4.5

Costs

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Costs to be paid by the Defendant. to the Plaintiff from the sum of Rs. 47 — 5 — 10 Cash by being the 2/3rd of the Costs to be borne by the Defendant 18 15 5.67

Costs already paid by the Defendant 28 6 5

Given under my hand and the seal of the Zillah Court at Salem this 11th day of February A.D. 1837.

Term for making an appeal expires the 20th May 1837.

(Signed) E. Bannerman
Judge.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

28-29

To the Sub Collector in charge of Salem.

To the Sub Collector in charge of Salem

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 8th Instant with enclosures, and in reply to inform you that the Board cannot advise any steps being taken in the matter of rent levied by Mr. Fischer on the ground upon which the London Mission Premises are built until after the expiry.

7714 Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

copies of the delay for appeal granted by the Civil Court in the late judgment in the suit between Government and the Board

Revenue Board's Office,
Fort St. George,
30th. May, 1850.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Sub Collector
in charge of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward sanction an Indent in Duplicate on the Commissary of Ordnance at Trichinopoly for supply of ammunition required for the use of the Peons employed by the Talook Sheristadar in the District

Salem District
Daramporey
Collector's Cutcherry
10th. May, 1850.

Signed F. N. Maltby
in charge of Salem

Resolution thereon.

30-31

Indent in Duplicate to be submitted to the sanction of Government

D.F.

7715 Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

32

From F. N. Maltby Esqre.
in charge of Salem

Sir

With reference to the proceedings from the Board of Revenue under date the 21st. March 1850 regarding the Tundulcar proposed to be entertained for the Villages of Savaittoor and Goundapanoor in the Talook of Tripatoor, I have the honor to state that agreeably to the System observed in all cases of additional Tundulcars employed in the District of Salem under the Sanction of Government dated 9th. March 1844, waste land to the Value of 12 Rupees will be allotted to the Tundulcar in question, and the Amount entered in the total jumma of the Village and deducted as Village Sibbendy.

A Statement exhibiting the particulars of land proposed to be given to the abovementioned individual is herewith submitted for the Board's Sanction.

Salem District.
Daramporey
Collector's Cutcherry
17th. May 1850

Signed F. N. Maltby
in charge of Salem

Statement

7716

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Statement exhibiting the particulars of the land proposed to be granted to the Tundulcar of Saivaitwor and Gowndapanvor.

No.	Name of the land	Extent	Teerwah	Adjoining or waste for 20 many years
		Acres Gs	Rs as	
150	Jelly goota Poorjee	6 4 12	6 2	
113	Cadamay Paly Poorjee	2 17 2	4 12 2	
		8 21 14	10 14 2	
310	Moongul addy Nunjee	" 11 8	2 12 4	
		8 33 6	13 10 6	
	Deducting		12 "	

Left waste from Fasly 1250 8-

Left waste from Fasly 1250

On account of the Tundul allowance the remainder Rs 1-10-6. will be Collected from the grantee annually

Signed F. N. Maltby
in charge of Salem

Ordered

7717

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

(32-33)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the margin regarding the employment of a Tundulcar for the Villages of Saivatwor and Gowndapanvor in the District of Salem, where the services of such a functionary are essentially necessary for the collection of the Revenue.

From Salem 7th. inCons 21st March 1850
Ex Proc: Bd. of Revr. 21st Do.
From Salem 17th. inCons 30th. May "

The remuneration proposed to be granted to the Tundulcar is the allotment of waste land to the value of Twelve (12) Rupees per Annum, as per Statement handed in the Sub Collector's letter of 17th. May 1850, in accordance with the System observed in all cases of additional Tundulcars employed in the District of Salem, and which met the approval of Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 9th. March 1844.

In Cons 25th. March 1844

The Board beg to recommend the application for the Sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 30th. May 1850.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following Statement

Statement

7718

34

Statement shewing the lapse of Yeomiahs in the Zillah of Salem.

Fort St. George

Cases	Taluk and Villages	Extent and value of the entire Enam or Yeomiah	When and by whom granted	Sunnuds or other Documents in support of the grant	Nature of the grant charity or service If Service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant	Names of the Original Grantee
Yeomiah	Canagaram in the Talook of Ramapuram	Yeomiah 82-5-10.	Granted by Tippoo Sultan to Meer Manoo rally on account of his father Mahomed ally who died in battle But on account of a dissention between the Grantee and his Step Mother Janobee the original allowance was desided into 2 half shares under the orders of Colonel Munro and after the death of Meermono rally only half a share Rupees 82-5-10 was continued to Janobee	A Copy of the Sunnud granted by Colonel Reid to this effect dated 7th June 1792 is among the Records	Granted for service as shown in Column 4. The father of the grantee Mahomed ally was an Officer of Tippoo Sultans Cavalry.	Meer Manoo rally.
Ditto Ditto	Cudlah Salem in Hot Salem Talook	Yeomiah Rs. 10-12-3	Granted by Colonel Macleod after the assumption of the Country in consequence of the Nanah in which the Grantee received his Maintenance having been abolished	No Sunnud was granted to this effect originally	For Charity	Flanders
Ditto Ditto	Cudlah Komgul in Hot Salem Talook	Yeomiah Rs. 5-3-5-	Do	Do	Do	For Charity Enam

Salem Zillah Daxcumpany Collectors Office 14th May 1850. Signed F. [illegible]

30th May 1850.

Name of the last holder and the relation in which he stood to the Grantee and date of his decease	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties	Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family	Recommendation and grounds thereof
Janobee Step Mother of the Original grantee to whom the half share of the allowance Rupees-82-5-10 was continued died on the 16th October 1849 -	From the deposition of Meer Kummurally Son of the deceased Janobee it appears that one third of the allowance was granted by his Mother to his Sister Sue Reenabee and the remaining two thirds were enjoyed by his Mother and himself	Meer Kummurally aged 75 years Sue Reenabee aged 80 years } 2	Meer Kummurally has no other support or livelihood whatever Sue Reenabee who was in the enjoyment of one third of the allowance in question is the widow of one Vujeerodeen who was in the enjoyment of a Jaghire in the Villages of Goondulputty and Chemmandakoopum but after his death the Jaghire in question descended to his Son Meerajakan who lives separately altho it is incumbent on him to see his Mother provided for	The Jemiah should be discontinued from the date of the demise of Janobee as it was only granted for her life
Hayderee himself was in the enjoyment of the allowance in question and died on the 20th January 1850 -	The whole of the allowance was in the enjoyment of the Grantee none of his relatives had any share in it.	Abdulry more Dow by the 1st wife aged 25 years 1 Of 3 daughters by the 2d wife 2 have been married & left the house of their father One of them Hayder thee is yet in the family being unmarried 1 Fathemabeebee Mother of the grantee aged 90 years 1 3	The family of the Grantee have no other support or livelihood	Do Do
Enam Shaha died on the 30th April 1850	Do Do Do	Soultanbee the wife of the grantee 1 Bhattameyan Son of the Do aged 25 years 1 2	Do	Do Do

7719-

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Remarks of the Board

34-35

The cases of the three yeomiahs of Rupees 82-5-10 lapsed by the death of Janoolee Rupees 10-12-3 by the death of Flandaree and Rupees 5-3-5 by the death of Enam Sha respectively, reported in this Statement as submitted for the orders of Government in reference to Para 11 of Extract. Minutes of Consultation 29th January 1849. The Board perceive no necessity for the continuance of these allowances. But should the Go=vernment be disposed to allow a portion of the 1st lapsed yeomiah to Meer Summ ally aged 75 years the son of Janoolee for his life they would propose to limit the sum to Rupees 24 per Annum.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

36

From the Collector Sir.
of Salem

I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the Mootahs and Pollipat Sequestered for arrears of Revenue up to 11th April or Pungoony Fisly of Fasly 1259, and to request the Sanction of the Board for the Establishment employed to take charge of the Estates.

Salem District
Darampoory
Collectors Cutcherry
18th May 1850.

Signed F. N. Maltby
In charge of Salem
Statement.

7720

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

No. 1 Statement Showing the Mouttahs Sequestered for arrears of Revenue to 11th April or Fusly 1259 in the Zillah of Salem, with the Establishment appointed, to their charge requiring the Sanction of the Board of Revenue

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Number of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Summah of the entire Estate	Amount of Peishcush due for the Current Fusly	Amount Collected	Balance	Number of Villages proposed to be attached	Actual Estimated Value of the Portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed in the attachment	Percentage of the Estimated Value of the Portion proposed to be attached	Date of Attachment	Remarks
1 Bangalore Polieputtu in the Ossoor Talook	Puttiam Mary Nunjapa Naick	86	6,370 10	5,414 8	3,148 4	2,266 4	86	6,370 10	Ameen 1 173. Hyndah 1 9 " Sheriff 1 3 8 " Peons 6 18 " 9 48 " Saderwarded " " 8 " 9 48 8 "		12th March 1850.	
Commanulloor Mouttah in the Talook of Tengricottah —	Sashagerry Row 1 Bamlayee Row 1 2	78	8,633 15 3	7,265 14	3,610 4 8	3,675 10 4	78	8,633 15 3	Ameen 1 10 8 " Hyndah 1 7 " " Peons 3 10 8 " 5 28 " "	5 22	22d April 1850	
Vaumiembady Mouttah in the Talook of Tripattoor	Cungoondee Samastanum Commemainee Jevaugee Ravanapah Naick (deceased) 1 His son Soobarayloo Naidoo 1 2	4	2949 12 4	2456 8 8	1474 " "	982 8 8	4	2949 12 4	Ameen 1 10 8 " Peons 2 7 " " 3 17 8 "	9	29th April 1850	
		168	17954 5 7	15156 15 3	8232 8 3	6924 7 "	168	17954 5 7	793 " "			

Salem Zillah, Darampoory — Coll's Cutcherry, 18th May 1850

E. E. R

Signed F. N. Mallby
In charge of Salem

The

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Proceedings thereon - The Board of Revenue sanction the Employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, an aggregate charge of 94 Rupees per Mensem, for the management of the Estate in Salem attached for arrears of Revenue to 11th April 1850 -

(36-37)

† Note The total is erroneously given in the Collectors Statement at 93 Rs.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(38)

Fort St. George

From the Actg. Collr. of South Arcot

Sir.

In obedience to the Board's orders under date 4th Instant on a Petition presented by one Parthasarathy Iyengar to the Right Honorable the Governor, I have the honor to report that from a reference to the records of this Office, and from enquiries amongst the public Servants of the Collectorate, I cannot find that Mr. Davis, the late Collector of this District, did conform to the orders of Government of the 3d September last, as regards the refund to the Petitioner of the balance of Salary claimed by him -

There

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

2 - There are no public Assets from whence to satisfy this claim, and Mr. Davis was directed to make the sum demanded good to the Petitioner personally -

South Arcot
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Villapooram 13th May 1850

Signed J. Bird
Acting Collector -

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address -

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

(38-39)

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir.

With reference to the order of Government of the 23d. April last calling for a report on the † complaint of G. Parthasarady Iyengar regarding the non payment of the arrears of Salary due to him, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of South Arcot, from which it will be observed that Mr. Davis did not in accordance

† Board's No. 21/50
Govt. No. 544/50.

From Collr 13th May 1850.
Rec'd Cons 30th May 1850
1127.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

In Cons 10th Sept. 1849

accordance with the orders of Government of the 5th September last, make good to the Petitioner the balance of Salary that was due to him.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 30th May 1850.

/ Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

40

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Asst. Coll. of South Arcot

Sir

Board's No. 15 of 1850
Govt. No. 534 of "

I am directed by your Board to endorse orders on Petition presented by B. Bachcall late Writer in the Collector's Cutcherry, I have the honor to submit my report of the cause of his removal from the service.

2. Petitioner had given himself up to such bad habits and constant inebriation for years that, he became quite incapacitated for duty, and no admonition or advice having effect upon him, Mr. Davis was constrained to dismiss him.

3. The immediate & proximate cause of his removal being a night brawl in the Streets of Manjacoupam, and consequent confinement in the Cutwal's Choultry, I am told by the servants of the Office that the man is not worthy of consideration, and that Mr. Davis gave his situation to Petitioner's natural brother.

South Arcot
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
Villapooram
15th May 1850.

/ Signed J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Order thereon—
Govt. No. 534 of 1850.

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on Petition No. 15 of 1850.

"It appears from the Acting Collector's Report on the subject of this Petition that he was deprived of his situation by the late Collector in consequence of his loose and irregular habits, and that his case is undeserving of further consideration.

40-41

J. C. C.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Read the following letters

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

42

From the Coll.r of Tanjore

Furnishing further information Regarding the disposal of the Tarruff Pagoda Villages.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Pycroft's letter of the 29th October 1849 in reply to my Communication of the 21st September regarding the disposal of the Tarruff Pagoda Villages, further information regarding which is called for in the letter under reply.

2. The Board required to be informed as to the "mode in which the "Pagoda Tarruff lands and Villages are "at present managed, by whom they are "cultivated and the rights and interests "of such parties in the land, in what "manner the Circar and Devastanum "dues are realized, and what changes "in these several respects would be "necessary in the event of the entire "property in these lands becoming vested in the Circar."

3. The Devastanum Villages are comprehended under the several heads.

heads of Amaany, Rytwar Gross Amt
one year rent, and Woolung. In the
Amaany Villages division in kind
made and after payment of the Cul-
tivators' share and the Sotumtrums the
remainder is converted into money
in payment of the Circar demand
Kist and the dues of the Pagoda and
landlord.-

4. Villages money rented to
individuals, vary as to the period of
the rent from 1 to 3 and 4 years, the
terms of the rent specifying the
amount to be paid as kist, and that
as Swamy bhogum, the former being
an unvarying demand while the
amount of the latter is liable to
variation according to the prospect
of the season at the time of renting
when parties will not consent
to the payment of the full Swamy
bhogum under the prospect of
low prices or bad season, leaving
them no surplus.-

5. The Ryvacoodies or Cul-
tivating Ryots frequently rent their
Villages

Villages and when they do not their
rights as Cultivators are respected
by the Circar or other renters, who
have no power to remove them
except for gross neglect in their
Cultivation or other misconduct
occasioning loss.-

6. When the lands are
rented by the Coodies, they are
responsible for the Circar Kist
and Pagoda Warum which they
make up from the gross proceeds
of the Village by deductions from their
own Warum if necessary. When the lands
are rented by others, they alone are
responsible, the Coodies receiving their
Warum, security being taken from the
renter for the payment of the full
demand irrespective of his losses by
season or price, tho it frequently hap-
pens that arrears of Swamy bhogum
accrue, or the Collection is remitted in
consequence of inability on the part
of the renter to meet heavy losses.-

7. In case of the entire property
of the Devastanum lands being vested
in the Circar, in lieu of an equivalent
for

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

for the swamy bhogum, made over to the Pagodas by survamaniem land the Kist and Swamy bhogum prevent would be amalgamated into one & the renter of the Villages responsible rented and the Circar itself seeing to the realization of its dues, if Cultivated by Poracordies not as renters.-

8. In the Government Surruff Villages the Meerasdar is responsible for the Kist and it is his interest to improve his land so as to ensure by every means in his power a good return as swamy bhogum; in Collecting the Kist the Circar knows nothing of what sum is the Meerasdar is put to, or how much he takes from his own swamy bhogum to make up the Circar Kist when produce is short. but not short enough to allow of a claim to remission. In the Devastanum Villages now under management. the Pagoda Swamy bhogum is made liable for any deficiency in the Circar Collections arising from short produce &c in the same way as the Meerasdar's Warum in

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

in Surruff Villages as explained above, so that if the Pagoda lands be taken by the Circar in lieu of Survamaniem given in exchange the plan of making up any deficiency of Kist from Meerasswarum must be foregone as it would be but robbing the right hand to pay the left. but as the Trustees in a body object to the allotment of Survamaniem in lieu of Pagoda lands it seems unnecessary to cite more objections to the measure.-

9. The suggestions made by the Board in the 3d para of the letter under reply have been Circulated amongst the Durmacurtahs and Trustees of the Institutions to which the Pagoda lands are attached and a disinclination is shown almost universally to come to any other arrangement than the entire relinquishment of the lands to the management of the Trustees or their continuance under the Circar superintendance as heretofore. by either of these arrangements the Pagodas retain their Meerasy rights, and the Villages are immediately under the Circar supervision, the lands are acknowledged as the property

property of the Pagoda, the services of the Coodies being available for such duties in the same manner as those under the Meerasdars of the Surrounding Villages which gratuitous services in case of small portions of survamee niem being accepted would relieve entirely, and hence one of the objections to the exchange in addition to the stronger one of foregoing the many rights and privileges so long attached to the Pagodas as Meerasdars.

With reference to the suggestions in para 4 that the Circar and Pagoda should each realize its respective demand, I fear that the adoption of such a plan would create much confusion and its practice be attended with loss to both parties. The Circar would have only the Cultivator to look to for the realization of its demand instead of as now the Pagoda as Meerasdar to fall back upon, and the Cultivator having two Masters would

would leave the less powerful to struggle for his rights with very little chance of getting more than a moiety if so much.—

11. The annexed Statement explains the difference remarked on in para 5 with reference to the Collection in Fasly 1250 and 10 years average in the Villages of Paundy and Viswanadapooram, the decrease in the average having been caused by the entry of unfavorable years in the series on which it has been framed. The other Villages alluded to in the Margin of the Board's letter as varying from the entries in the Talookwar Village lists and for which explanation is desired are partly held by Pagodas and partly by separate enamdars and the entry in the Statement submitted to the Board contains only the Beriz of quit rent payable to the Pagodas and not the full amount derived from the Village as Quit rent. This division has caused the discrepancy remarked on. The numbers have been attached to the Villages as directed but I am unable to comply with the directions of the Board for

Statement retransmitted.

1731

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

for filling Calls 11—12—and 13. of the Pagodas in the Puttoor Taluk which are endowed with more than one Village particularly in case of Shotriums, paying only a certain amount as the aggregate of Quit rent without any specification of the sum payable in each Village—

12

In my letter of the 21st September I have adverted the Controversy on the part of the Circar and subsequently on the subject as well as from experience of the general Conduct of the Inamdars with reference to the Charge they already lead me more strongly to recommend that no alteration be made in the present system which works best for all parties interested—It may not be out of place here that the Sunger Khanahs at Negapatam as well as the Civil Hospital at Combaconum into which the Sunger Khana has been converted are supported from a part of the Shotrium Collections expended for the feeding and the Contingencies of these Charities

Tanjore
Negapatam
18th April 1850

on the circumstance of the Trustees making the

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop

J.F.B.

1732

Fort St George 30th May 1850.

Statement referred to in para 11 of this report.

Shotrium Village of Paundy Standard Beriz Rupees 19—5—5.						Money rented Village of Viswanadapooram. Standard Beriz Rupees 410—4—3					
Fusly	Collection			Balance			Fusly	Collection			Balance		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1246	19	5	5	"	"	"	1246	265	6	"	145	8	"
1247	"	"	"	19	5	5	1247	410	14	3	"	"	"
1248	4	13	8	14	7	9	1248	410	14	3	"	"	"
1249	19	5	5	"	"	"	1249	410	14	3	"	"	"
1250	19	5	5	"	"	"	1250	410	14	3	"	"	"
1251	19	5	5	"	"	"	1251	410	14	3	"	"	"
1252	19	5	5	"	"	"	1252	410	14	3	"	"	"
1253	19	5	5	"	"	"	1253	410	14	3	"	"	"
1254	19	5	5	"	"	"	1254	410	14	3	"	"	"
1255	19	5	5	"	"	"	1255	410	14	3	"	"	"
10 years	159	9	33	132			10 years	3963	14	58	3		
Average on 9 years	17	11	8				Average on 10 years	396	5	6			

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement

7733

Fort St. George 30th May 1850

A

Statement showing the Shrotrium &c. Villages belonging to the Pagodas as well as their income.

Nos.	Name of the Talook &c.	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages.	Villages			Standard for Fusly 1256.			Amount of Suit rent on the land in Column 10 due to Circar	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255			
				Entire Villages	Pagul &c.	Total	Extent of Manjah	Extent of Poonjah &c.	Total		Amount Suit rent due to Circar.	Amount & Sum-mydogam due to the Pagoda	Total	Deig as per average of Tarriff Pagul Enam Lands.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							V Mg	V Mg	V Mg	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
	Shrotrium		Paryanadiangoody No. 616	1	-	1	288 " 56	" 29	84 829	"	"	"	1043 10	" "
	Circar Mahal		Carpoor 617	1	-	1	25 796	1 994	26 790	"	" "	" "	726 157	" "
			Daradanny 626	1	-	1	13 1138	28 150	41 1288	"	" "	" "	403 66	" "
		Caniadiangoody	Cauragoody 622	1	"	1	19 654	5 661	24 1315	"	" "	" "	481 " 10	" "
			Panavayel 620	1	"	1	20 1184	2 1130	23 314	"	" "	" "	461 210	" "
			Taryavayel 621	1	"	1	6 296	1 " 90	7 386	"	" "	" "	121 153	" "
			Cummiyare 619	1	"	1	17 1425	" 678	18 13	"	" "	" "	354 109	" "
			Palantamaray 618	1	"	1	12 1 11	5 1536	17 1667	"	" "	" "	356 98	" "
			Carungadi 625	1	"	1	" 1632	24 914	25 546	"	" "	" "	331 " 10	" "
			Mauvayel 623	1	"	1	7 1825	2 699	10 524	"	" "	" "	227 125	" "
			Varaudy 627	1	"	1	7 968	" 1580	8 548	"	" "	" "	110 109	" "
			Pandypatanum 628	1	"	1	5 177	12 599	18 36	"	" "	" "	265 16	" "
			Poonniayel 629	1	"	1	65 " 47	16 511	81 558	"	" "	" "	1477 12 "	" "
			Elanootecmungal 630	1	"	1	38 368	1 688	39 1056	"	" "	" "	769 310	" "
			Sarooganayel 632	1	"	1	25 159	1 1740	27 1249	"	" "	" "	489 " 9	" "
			Echengoody 633	1	"	1	31 912	5 1671	37 583	"	" "	" "	675 44	" "

7734

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Sl. No.	Name of Talook	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages Entire Villages	Do. Pagal Do.	Total	Standard Extent of Cowjah	for Fusly 1256. Extent of Cowjah &c.	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the land in Column 10 due to Circar	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c. Villages from Fusly 1240 to 1253: Amount Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount Kamyloogam due to the Pagoda	Total	Cost as per average of Sanctioned Rogue Enam Lands
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							V My	V My	V My	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
			Voodiamaniccum 637	1	.	1	12 1643	" 1784	13 1427				226 65	
			Maunavanellore 636	1	.	1	31 1322	1 " 7	32 1329				723 132	
			Cauraniaknadel 634	1	.	1	19 1919	" 620	20 539				392 711	
			Calacoody 635	1	.	1	21 1554	14 " 92	35 1646				633 157	
			Carungaud 638	1	.	1	12 172	27 1540	40 1242				225 " 11	
			Poodoovacaud 640	1	.	1	6 148	44 "	10 188				118 121	
			Keelacaud 624	1	.	1	12 51	5 492	17 993				244 26	
			Asaurungal 639	1	.	1	13 1/3	4 1312	17 1425				300 710	
			Camalagoody 631	1	.	1	4 13 "	" 59	4 189				75 155	
			Navelcoody 432	1	.	1	10 983	11 1917	229 "				21 210 "	
			Cargoody 427	1	.	1	20 242	" " 27	20 269				350 81	
			Kulagoray 428	1	.	1	7 1655	9 1925	17 1580				255 53	
			Mungallum 429	1	.	1	8 634	" 1913	9 547				165 131	
			Snarnaudel 430	1	.	1	6 1969	" 1656	7 1625				65 14	
			Adayennayel 431	1	.	1	7 1694	" 195	8 1599				167 38	
			Calagum 433	1	.	1	48 137	112 578	160 1885				534 13 "	
			Palanagarum 434	1	.	1	26 1438	13 1892	40 1330				345 8 "	

Shrotrium (Cont.d)

Pallivasa Mark (Cont.d)

Canadiengoody (Cont.d)

7735

Fort St George

30th May 1850

Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Number of Villages			Extent of Cowgul & Co.	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Lands in Column 10 due to Circar	10 years Average of the actual demand of all Shrotriem & Co. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount Sunny Loguem due to the Pagodas	Total	Rents as per average of Sunnuff Poyal Cowm Lands
		Contain Villages	Cowl &c.	Total							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								D M	D M	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Shrotriem / Cont.d	Arasalancarumbey	435	1		1			680	17 126	" " "	" " "	" " "	47 15 10	" " "
	Annundavelly poosum	436	1		1			" " "	1257	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 6 8	" " "
	Tulpadanayel	748	1		1			" " "	7997	" " "	" " "	" " "	98 14 11	" " "
	Moolavayel	749	1		1			" 25	13 452	" " "	" " "	" " "	123 3 11	" " "
	Paraneemungalum	753	1		1			" 195	12 187	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 3 13 "	" " "
	Cheetaraneedungum	755	1		1			" 21	6 1556	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 7 8 "	" " "
	Arayahmungalum	756	1		1			" 836	11 969	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 3 " 7	" " "
	Puttamungalum	757	1		1			" 296	9 734	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 6 11 3	" " "
	Paranore	758	1		1			1 1322	9 1931	" " "	" " "	" " "	25 6 8 10	" " "
	Comaraspennayah	750	1		1			"						
								" 580	7 493	" " "	" " "	" " "	14 5 9 3	" " "
	Auvarungoody	752	1		1									
	Pillogoody	692	1		1			" 588	449	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 9 18	" " "
	Maradavandel	693	1		1			" 452	18 743	" " "	" " "	" " "	44 9 7 9	" " "
	Cauravayel	581	1		1			11 41	16 1226	" " "	" " "	" " "	16 1 15 7	" " "
								5 377	15 173	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 3 2 "	" " "
Shrotriem / Cont.d														
Cuttoocottah / Cont.d														
Cauvadiangoody / Cont.d														
	Total	47	47	728 50				395 1382	1124 8884	648 5 11	4648 5 11	1119 6 1	11 15 8 4 7 10	" " "

7736

Fort St George

Statement of Villages

30th May 1850

for Fusly 1256

Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Entire Villages	Pagul Do.	Total	Extent of Punjah & Sea	Total	Amount of Quit rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years Average of the actual demand of all Shotriem &c Villages from Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Sunny Loguns due to the Pagoda	Total	Deity as per Average of Tariff Pagul Enam Land
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
				V My		V My		V My	V My	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
Shrotriem / Continued / Pettoocottah / Continued /	Trisspanana Vasel 761	1	-	1	48 718	13 298	61 1016	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	873 45	- - -
Trisspana=vasel Eswarer.	Poyadanellore — 762	1	-	1	32 1053	" 1747	33 1425	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	602 711	- - -
	Cadatty Vayel 763	1	-	1	5744	" 295	6 44	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	53 67	- - -
	Keelavelly — 765	1	-	1	2550	" 444	2 1994	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	56 121	- - -
	Yanady — 764	1	-	1	12452	" 1084	13 536	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12022	- - -
	Yettysaity — 766	1	-	1	10830	7 1553	18 392						138 88	- - -
		6	-	6	112 1053	22 1421	135 147	635 810	635 810	1,209 1	1,844 910	- - -
Pare=mendoor Perro=mal=	Paramundoor — 608	1	-	1	29 1152	2 49	31 122	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	637 29	- - -
	Lavendencaudoo — 609	1	-	1	11 710	6 1759	18 478	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	304 17	- - -
	Sodyaroday — 610	1	-	1	3 1153	2 99	6 322	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	68 145	- - -
	Vella Chetty Voyel 611	1	-	1	2748								42 142	- - -
	Pootanycaudoo — 612	1	-	1	" " "	" " "	2 748	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1996	- - -
		5	-	5	47 1053	5 1243	5 1243	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1996	- - -
Cum=bum= =gaudoo Eswarer.	Sharama Royer Voyl 504	1	-	1	9 1215	16 1960	63 1993	332 67	332 67	740 310	1,072 105	- - -
	Auveeranvoil — 505	1	-	1	1498								329 152	- - -
	Veettarasen Voil — 507	1	-	1	1757	13 " 79	22 1294	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5073	- - -
	Callaraspen Voil 506	1	-	1	309	1 1617	3 915	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	43 411	- - -
		4	-	4	13 1239	" 419	1 1886	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	90 139	- - -
						138	3 1147	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5 1491	- - -
						14 1983	31 1242	122 98	122 98	391 155	514 91	- - -

7737

Fort St George

30th May 1850.

for Fusly 1256

Name of Talook &c.	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages Entire	Villages Pgah &c.	Villages Total	Standard Extent of Cowle	Standard Sorgial	Extent of Cowle Sorgial Ps	Extent of Cowle Sorgial Total	Amount of Quit rent in the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount of Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount Sammy Jogum due to the Pagodas	Total	Deity as per Average of Tarriff Pgah Canam Lands
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								V Mg	V Mg	Rs a ℗	Rs a ℗	Rs a ℗	Rs a ℗	Rs a ℗
Shrotrium / Cont.d / Cont.d	Attalloor Veeramaka = cauley	Attalloor	386	/	/	19 44		8 " 87	27 1233	" " "	" " "	" " "	332 " 11	" " "
		Tripoorasoondary poorum	388	/	/	4 123		13 1359	18 562	" " "	" " "	" " "	47 43	" " "
		Sondy Pollycaudoo	387	/	/	" "		8 " "	8 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11 49	" " "
				3	3	24 34		29 1446	53 1795	139 138	139 138	251 63	391 311	" " "
	Vellore Peroomaul	Nedoo Voyel	678	/	/	17 163		" 917	17 1079	" " "	" " "	" " "	302 74	" " "
		Vallary Voyel	679	/	/	11 1063		" 930	11 1993	" " "	" " "	" " "	104 " 2	" " "
				2	2	28 1225		" 1847	29 1072	122 49	122 49	284 29	406 76	" " "
	Yattitaly Aquatic = warer.	Yattitaly	564	/	/	7 127		13 759	20 785	" " "	" " "	" " "	162 74	" " "
		Munja Karay	565	/	/	18 463		11 892	29 935	" " "	" " "	" " "	393 113	" " "
		Catchy Pullum	566	/	/	2 723		1 542	3 1265	" " "	" " "	" " "	70 88	" " "
		Valanatam Voyel	567	/	/	3 422		" 27	3 629	" " "	" " "	" " "	82 93	" " "
				4	4	30 1215		26 4 "	56 1615	177 141	177 141	531 63	709 46	" " "
	Kalany Vaysel Eswarer	Kalany Vaysell	302	/	/	8 454		9 1567	18 118	" " "	" " "	" " "	168 116	" " "
		Sholagana Voyel	301	/	/	2 972		11 787	13 1782	" " "	" " "	" " "	73 102	" " "
				2	2	11 526		21 354	32 890	38 63	38 63	203 153	242 58	" " "

7738

Fort St George.

30th May 1850

for Fusly 1256

Items	Name of Talook &c.	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages Entire Villages	Villages Royal &c.	Villages Total	Monies Extent of Land	Extent of Punjah &c.	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount of Jamabundy due to the Pagoda.	Total.	Deity's as per average of Tariff Royal Canam Lands.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
				V M				V M	V M	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Yarachy Vionana =do.-	Chetumbra Veedady 514			1		1	3 [illegible]	6 13 9	10 6 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	101 13 8	4 " "
	Poyaputty 513			1		1	2 [illegible]	1 12 3	4 2 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	86 11 4	4 " "
				2	"	2	6 2 9	8 5 12	14 8 3	28 7 3	28 7 3	160 4 5	188 11 8	4 " "
Chetumbra Veedady Soobramany Sawmy	Poyaputtly 513			1	"	1	" 4 2	" 6 4 9	" 11 3 1	" 14 11	" 14 11	" " "	6 6 7	4 " "
	Soobbramany poorum 516			1	"	1	4 10 3	1 11 2 0	6 7 4 0	11 10 2	11 10 2	" " "	92 12 9	4 " "
	Chitumbera Veedady 514			1	"	1	" 5 3 [illegible]	1 4 7 0	1 " 5 8	1 3 11	1 3 11	" " "	7 11 11	4 " "
	Karamany Oday 513			1	"	1	" 12 [illegible]	2 1 9 7	2 14 15	1 12 8	1 12 8	" " "	11 11 11	4 " "
				4	"	4	5 7 8	4 14 3 6	10 13 4 4	15 9 8	15 9 8	103 1 6	118 11 2	4 " "
Yadayencottay Coswarer	Karangooly Caudoo 602			1	"	1	14 15 [illegible]	15 5 2 1	30 1 1 3	11 3 5 2	11 3 5 2	" " "	33 2 4 9	4 " "
	Veeswanadapoorum 603			1	"	1	4 5 3 [illegible]	2 11 5 9	6 10 9 5				10 2 9 5	4 " "
	Maimungalum 597			1	"	1	" 9 [illegible]	" 8 5 1	" 18 3 2	3 1 3	3 1 3	" " "	14 5 9	4 " "
				3	"	3	9 11 4	18 5 3 1	37 16 4 0	11 6 6 5	11 6 6 5	332 13 6	449 3 11	4 " "

Shrotrium / Cont.

Pettacottah / Cont.

T.R.

1739 !!

Fort St. George

30th May 1850

Name of Talooq &c.	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages. Entire Villages	Pagul Do.	Total	Shrotriem &c. Entire Villages	Pagul Do.	Total	for Fasly 1256. Extent of Punjah &c.	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c. Villages From Fasly 1246 to 1255. Amount of Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Sammyakgum due to the Pagoda.	Total	Deny. as the average of Fasly Pagul Enam Land.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
								V M G	V M G	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Arandan.	Veeswanada pooram	603	1 " 1					7 433	23 863	35 " 9	35 " 9	" " "	187 36	" " "	
Vyhy Viswanada Sawmy	Lavicotah	491	1 " 1					" 483	6 82	192 "	192 "	" " "	128 " 5	" " "	
	501 Somanadah														
	502 Poodovanandul		2 " 2					" " "	7 86	23 155	23 155	" " "	576 "	" " "	
			4 " 4					7916	37 471	7822	7822	29479	37291	" " "	
Panjaty Eswarer	Maraconil	503	1 " 1					3 1588	4 1772	518	518	" " "	2298	" " "	
	Moodooka Voyel	472	1 " 1					4623	518 "	1244	1244	" " "	40 " "	" " "	
			2 " 2					8211	10 1572	176 "	176 "	4538	6298	" " "	
Pattocottah Eswarer	Madachery	218	1 " 1					38 795	51 " 86	49 " 3	49 " 3	58 1410	10715 1	" " "	
Dr. Perumal	Madachery	218	1 " 1					2329	30 1384	2967	2967	3539	64104	" " "	
Dr. Eswarer	Madachery	218	1 " 1					1586	20922	1999	1999	23711	43718	" " "	
Arandanghy Ramajandra Cholasuan	Sumeedy Voyel	188	1 " 1					2 1773	7 " 67	1282	1282	87156	100 78	" " "	
Dr. Soundrara ja perumal	Dr.	488	1 " 1					2 1773	7 " 67	1282	1282	87156	100 78	" " "	
Dr. Veeramah caully	Dr.	488	1 " 1					2 1773	7 " 67	1282	1282	87156	100 78	" " "	
Arandanghy Anoormudee	Munny Vedan	490	1 " 1					13373	17 1236	1841 1	1841 1	93136	112 25	" " "	

Shrotrium &c. Cont.d

Pattocottah Cont.d

1740

Fort St George

30th May 1850

Name of Talook	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages. Centre Villages.	Royal Do.	Total.	Standard. Extent of Sunjak	Extent of Sunjak	Total.	for Fusly 1256. Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual Demand of all Shrotriem & Villages from Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Summy Jogum due to the Layota.	Total.	Deits as per average of Sanaff Royal Canam Lands.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								V M J	V M J	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Shrotriem (Contd)	Mapoorandan Easwarer	Woogar Voyel — 456	1	"	1	2 12 6								
		Vencatachellaporan — 378	1	"	1	11 10 3								
	Paramagaloor Easwarer	Poolangollay — 328	1	"	1	1 7 2 2								
	Ramanajeldo	Manacaudoo — 519	1	"	1	" 9 9 2								
	Munjacoody Do	Bilvanada pooram — 759	1	"	1	4 4 4 8								
	Do	Permaul Narraina pooram — 760	1	"	1	13 8 9 8								
	Telloor	Permaul Adanettoor Pondoomanjendal 646	1	"	1	1 4 5 1								
		Total Puttoocottah Talook — 102	"	102	1141	1380	699	1281	1841	661	6749 1 "	6749 1 "	16719 8 8	23468 9 8	" " "
Manargoody	Paumanny Naganada Poovanoor Easwarer	Vittalachery — 7	"	1	1	14 "								
		Pollagarum — 124	"	1	1	" 5 "								
	Agarum Easwarer	Maradoor — 1	"	1	1	14 " "								
	Bundaravanaday Canalavettoor Easwarer	Bundaravanaday Kalavettoor 323	"	1	1	1 27								
		Nellcoor — 315	"	1	1	" 5 "								
	Easwarer	Naravelly Calapaul — 274	"	1	1	2 1 5 0								
		Total Manargoody Talook	"	6	6	18 15 7 7								

1741

Fort St George

30th May 1850

Name of Taluk	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages. Enam	Rent	Total	Extent of Punjah &c	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years Average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c Villages from Fasly 1246 to 1255. Amount of Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount of Sunny Jagum due to the Pagoda	Total	Berij as fees average of Land of Ryotwar Enam Lands
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								Ve Mg	Ve Mg	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Trivady	Vadagacoody Eswarer; Maraeaivor Eswarer	Toolajendra Maharaja pooram	1	1	1	1	2795	38	2272	264 "	264 "	80 19	406 39	" " "
		Do.	279	1	4	1	" 1392	4 591	1585	17 1140	17 1140	61 109	79 67	" " "
		Total Trivady Talook	2	4	2		2.4187	4 899	3857	43 1510	43 1510	141 126	185 124	" " "
Trivalore	Trialumboor Eswarer; Tiagarajah Sawmy Cooneyore Eswarer	Parasattengoody	222	1	"	1	5.162	" 1520	5 1582	41 24	41 24	15 51	56 75	" " "
		Mofsacoolum	400	1	4	1	1621	1 1265	17 1386	304 "	304 "	243 1 "	547 15 "	" " "
		Comul	392	"	1	1	1620	" " 88	178	88 "	88 "	41 86	50 " 6	51 27
		Total Trivalore Talook	1	1	2		1774	1 1353	19 " 94	313 6 "	313 6 "	84 96	597 156	51 27
Nunnelum	Trichengallan goody Eswarer	Malattany	199	"	1	1	" 812	" " "	" 812	121 2 "	121 2 "	7 14 4	201 0 "	10 8 "
	Do.	Tadapaudy	198	"	1	1	1557	4 " "	1557	111 3 "	111 3 "	131 37	25 107	30 411
	Do.	Tandenkuttay	200		1	1	" 797	4 " 4	" 797	111 91	111 91	64 2	17 133	4 54
				3	3		2 166	4 " "	2166	362 1	362 1	271 59	641 10	45 23
	Eswarer --	Trisparattengoody	308	1	1		1.1957	4 " "	1957	221 36	221 36	21 15	431 141	59 " 11
		Total Nunnelum Talook		4	4		4123	4 " "	4123	581 57	581 57	491 2	1081 9	1043 2
Veeravanallore	Negapalam Soundrarajah Sawmy	Paundy	89	"	1	1	1161	" " "	1161	195 5	171 18	41 10	211 36	50 149

Shrotrium / Cont.d

1742

Fort St. George

30th May 1850

Shrotrium (Cont.d)

Items-	Name of Labourer-	Name of Pagodas.	Names of Villages-	Villages. Entire Villages.	Pagul Do.	Total.	Extent of Land.	Extent of Cayel &c.	Total.	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c. Villages from Fasly 1246 to 1255. Amount of Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Lamjilogum due to the Pagoda.	Total.	Deys as Net average of Sarsuff Pagul of Exam Land.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							V My	V My		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Combacoonum	Tiluvavanum Eswarer, Combaswarer	Paundoor	242	"	1	1	12 883	" " "	12 883	112 5 "	112 5 "	567 104	679 154	367 1110
		Paulayanellore	405	1	"	1	5 1757	4 1580	6 1337	65 54	65 54	224 " 1	289 55	" " " "
				1	1	2	18 644	4 1580	19 220	177 104	177 104	791 105	969 49	367 11 10
Coottalum	Eswarer	Shattengoody	251	4	1	1	" 432	4 " 4	" 432	114 "	114 "	268	448	74 10
	Tiruvalapoottoor Eswarer	Videemputty	258	4	1	1	" 414	4 " 4	414 "	244	244	922	1166	11 " 7
				4	2	2	" 846	4 " 4	" 846	424	424	1810	1512	1855
Total Shrotrium Tenures—				107	15	122	1210 927		703633	1913 1560	7612 16	7610 79	18368	1325 9789 " 1216 " 3
+ Particulars														
Entire Villages				107	"	107	1171 1278		703545	1874 1823	7204 66	7204 66	17343 114	24548 110 " " "
Pagul Do				"	15	15	38 16 49		" " 88	38 1737	40711 "	406 13	1024 511	14307 2 1216 " 3
Tiruvadi	Panchanadasmerer.....	Massapovja cottalay	283	1	"	1	1 1288	" " "	1 1288	12 84	12 84	100 110	112 102	" " "
Keevalore	Keevalore	Vadacamully	391	1	4	1	51 1681	4 296	51 1977	822 148	822 148	987 235	1810 1 1	" " " "
Atchayas	Atchayas	Malayodayattoor	392	1	4	1	24 7065	1 1632	26 397	624 710	624 710	404 137	1029 55	" " " "
Singas	Singas	Poottamungalum	393	1	4	1	18 163	4 386	18 989	309 79	309 79	408 121	718 310	" " " "
Saumys	Saumys	Coril Moolay Valtoor	394	1	4	1	9 574	4 491	9 1065	137 41	137 41	221 159	359 310	" " " "
		Pullay Chendrums	395	1	4	1	21 924	4 1374	3 1298	133 25	133 25	188 9	151 112	" " " "

1743

Fort St George

30th May 1850.

Name of Talook.	Name of Pagodas.	Names of Villages.	Number of Villages.	Entire Villages. Extent of Land.	Royal Do. Total.	Extent of Land.	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Straticum &c. Villages from Fasly 1246 to 1255. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Sammy Jogam due to the Pagoda.	Total.	Boris as per Average of Land Tax & Royal Canam.
1	2	3	4	5 6	7	8	9 10	11	12	13	14	15
Shotrium (Contd)	Keeva Keevalore alore Atchaya (Contd) Singasawmy (Contd)	Aremoolly Tanee	396	1 a 1		32	V Mg V Mg Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP
		Namely	397	1 a 1		32	4 12 3 663 110 136	110 136	22 128	133 102	a a	
		Anamagrum	398	1 a 1		10 8	a 1029 32 1097 557 9	557 9 a	627 121	1185 51	a a	
		Mary Andy	399	1 a 1		24 92	a 1723 11 584 102 10	102 10 a	180 103	283 43	a a	
				9 a 9		177 62	a 1032 24 1956 395 1111	395 1111	607 a 5	1002 124	a a	
	Nagore Seromaul Do Eswarer	Cottagum	401	1 a 1		2 1188	5 375 182 1026	3194 19	3194 12	3479 8 a	6673 92	a a
		Do	401	1 a 1		5 1334	a a a	2 1188 138	138 a	671 19	813 9	a a
		Total of Keevalore Talook		11 a 11		185 1172	a a a	5 1334 31 104	31 104	137 106	169 410	a a
Fixed Money Rented Villages	Vadarnyaspoo rasawmy Eswarer	Aundagatoray	353	1 a 1		105 732	5 375 190 1548	3239 36	3239 36	3684 143	6924 19	a a
		Tritrapoondy Abshagacuttay	5	a 1 1		7 497	a a a	4 1620 110 356	225 56	225 56	954 126	1180 2 a
Tritrapoondy		Arreyllore	69	a 1 1		2 144	a a a	a a 4	7 497	22 133	22 133	294 910
	Covillvor Eswarer	Mungalum	351	1 a 1		77 13	a a a	a a a	2 144	24 37	24 37	45 15
				2 2		9 641	a a a	a a a	9 641	47 a 10	47 a 10	339 113
	Coollamungau lum Eswarer	Vismanadapooram	349	1 a 1		20 1632	a a a	1624 933 7	2084 1	2084 1	6441 a	8525 1
		Rajacollmungalum	53	a 1 1		1 80	a a a	a 971 21 63	4104 3	3965 6	113 a 3	5095 9
				1 1 1		21 1712	a a a	a a a	1 80	11 a 8	11 a 8	44 a 10
							a a a	a 971 22 683	4211 11	4076 2	157 11	5647 3

1744

Fort St. Geo

30th May 1850.

Name of Talook	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Villages Contributing	Villages Pagodas	Total	Extent of Punjah	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotriem &c. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount Sunny Jogum due to the Pagoda	Total	Brity. as per average of Tariff Puzul Enam Land.
								for Fusly 1256.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								V Mg	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Tripatore	Edumbaravanum	Seelangaudoo	350	1	"	1	5281	3 1171	55 1972	9962	9962	734154	83456	" " "
		Sharabojee Raiporum	307	"	1	1	1081	1 562	1 1581	9126	9126	16 3	25129	2072
				1	2	2	5282	4 1733	57 1553	10928	10928	750157	86023	2072
	Panatarooroo	Panatarooroo												
	Eswerrer		219	"	1	1	1744	" " "	1 1744	1441	1441	97611	111110	6912
	Cutchenum	Caughum Paravmode												
	Eswerrer		157	"	1	1	1527	" " "	1 1527	638	638	63139	70015	3886
	Yalayettoor	Yalayettoor Coil	48	"	1	1	"10	" " "	"10	549	549	1346	1893	1423
	Eswarer	D° Bundaravaday	47	"	1	1	"10	" " "	"10	437	437	253	7210	1799
		Elasegare	348	1	"	1	3382	" " "	3382	2024	2024	6638	866 "	" " "
				1	2	3	4382	" " "	4382	3048	3048	8275	112121	3251
	Eswarer	Haraspaganadacollum												
	D°		Eswarer 350.	1	"	1	3019	8892	39 1010	4911 "	4911 "	292133	34283	" " "
		Sandul cherry	27	"	1	1	211 "	" 470	2 1570	1281	1281	16896	18125	9153
		Total Tretrapoody Talook	6	9	15		30768	35 " 90	342773	1124 1310	1110 51	3551123	4662 14	5898 "

Fixed Money Rented Villages / Cont.d

Tripatoondy / Cont.d

1744.

Fort St George

30th May 1850.

Fixed Money Rented (Contd)

Mans.	Name of Talook &c.	Name of Pagodas.	Name of Villages.	Villages. Entire Villages.	Do. Part Do.	Total.	Manys. Extent of Nanjah Land.	Extent of Punjah Land.	Total.	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	for Fusly 1256. Amount Quit Rent due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotriem &c. Villages from Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Sawmy Togum due to the Pagoda.	Total.	Peris as per average of Sarruff Paul Canam Land.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							V M G	V M G	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Mannargoody	Tricantoopully Permaul	Seroomaul conel pul	368	1 "	1	22 825	36 1428	59 251	79 95	79 95	95 49	174 142	" "	
	Do.													
	Esweren	Paulayacottay Parasapooram	367	2 "	2	31 1550	42 820	74 370	179 111	179 111	957 " 10	1136 29	" " "	
	Nadoovacal = la paul	Nadoovacala paul	270	" "	1	1 "	" "	1 " "	11 15	11 15	13 77	24 9 "	3246	
		Total Mannargoody		3	4	55 375	79 248	134 621	269 129	269 129	1065 132	1335 9 11	32 46	
Shiva = lore	Tricomumgay Esweren	Rajan Kuttalay	111	" "	1	" 658	" " 24	4 1682	4211	4211	70 121	75 9 "	431 570	
Comba = comum	Pulleesva = ram	Waneyen Carumbay	137	1 "	1	" 524	" " "	" 524	5 127	5 127	6 139	12 104	" " "	
Valam = gaman	Alloor Esweren	Seemgaram pollium	280	1 "	1	8 1287	1 857	10 144	16 712 "	16 712 "	65 781	825 41	" " "	
Sheally	Esw-arer	Valloor	271	1 "	1	118 1152	29 554	147 176	1112 46	1112 46	3153 2 11	4265 75	" " "	
Do.	Sullanadar = sawmy	Sheally	1	1 "	1	69 986	2 233	71 129	642 211	642 211	2579 39	3241 68	" " "	
		Total Sheally Talook		2 "	2	188 138	31 787	219 925	1754 75	1754 75	5752 68	7506 141	" " "	
		Total fixed Money Rented		25 11	36	747 124	152 381	899 155	6579 34	6564 107	14890 21	21454 128	665 124	

1744

Fort St George

30th May 1850.

for Fusly 1256.

Nos.	Name of Salaries.	Name of Pagodas.	Name of Villages.	Villages. Entire Villages.	Pogul Do.	Total.	Extent of Nunjah &c.	Extent of Punjah &c.	Total.	Amount of Suit rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Throtrium &c. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount Suit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Sunnyhogum due to the Pagoda.	Total.	Peris as per Average of Sarruff Vogul Canam Land.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								V Mg	V Mg	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
	Particulars		Entire Villages	25	"	25	728	335	15 0 1325	878 1680	6452 26	6437 99	14060 "	2 20497 911	" " "
			Pogul Do	11	11		197	89	1 1056	20 1825	127 10	127 " 10	830 111	95729	665 124
			Total	25	11	36	747	1124	152 38	899 1555	6579 34	6564 107	14890 21	21454 128	665 124
	Sickel Nana mitaswarer	Sellaar	406	1	"	1	404	99	3 1897	44 390	2742 "	276 151	1049 1410	1326 1311	" " "
		Abesa Raeuttaley	407	1	"	1	172	47	" " "	17247	182 " 7	183 99	537 105	721 42	" " "
				2	"	2	577	146	3 1897	61643	45627	46081	1587 93	2048 21	" " "
	Atchayalim gasawmy	Peelacaudoo Vanacherry	408	1	"	1	415	62	" " "	41562	8553	87 52	1726	104 78	" " "
	Tavor davapa rasawmy	Odacherry	409												
	Tricanangoody Permaul	Aredavanapore	412	1	"	1	258	79	" 1353	26232	36211	37706	6721	1044 135	" " "
	Lembeyamaha dana Kyla sanader	Abeshake Nellaar	410	1	"	1	48	63	" 215	41078	8515 "	8684	5811 "	145 34	" " "
			411						" 1317	27 1110	267 411	2785 "	67991	957 141	" " "
	Suttiacoody Esswerer	Wallalamungalum	414	1	"	1	26	793	" 724	17258	25153	26134	25822	519 56	" " "
				1	"	1	16	1534							

Grain Rented

Pounalore

1144

Fort St George

30th May 1850.

Items.	Name of Talooks &c.	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Villages. Entire Villages.	Pagoda Rs.	Total.	Standard Extent of Nunjah	Extent of Punjah &c.	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &c. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1253. Amount Quit Rent due.	to Circar.	Amount Sunnuds &c. sums due to the Pagoda.	Total.	Berij as per average of Tariff Pagoda Canam Land.
										for Fusly 1256.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		13	14	15
Grain Rented Villages	Poodoocherry Nadasmerer	Acreelandapooram	408	1	" 1	11920	V Mg	V Mg	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		
								2 875	13 181	117 101	119 34	385 2 "	504 54	" " "	
		Total Permanently grain Rented Villages		8	" 8	14733		8381	155 684	1626 8 "	1,670 12	6 3,653	6 11 5,324	35	" " "
Ardamanium	Extra Pomedy	Vadarmasuera Sawmy	Maharajapoorum	358	1 " 1	10183		1423	12 254	165 1310	62 32	44 68	106 910	" " "	
	Nammanelum	Sreevanjee Wanchedhaden	Atchoodamungum	127	" 1 1	" " "		1 " 3	1 " 3	13 86	22 11	21 14	44 65	27 1 "	
		Total Ardamanium		1	1 2	10183		2426	13 257	179 64	84 43	66 2 "	151 " 3	27 1 "	
		Grand Total Shotrums 88as 88as 88as		141	27 168	2116 185		865 1821 2,982 "		6 15,997 32	15,930 131	36,977 123	52,908 94	1,908 137	

(Signed) I. F. Bishop

Collector

42-43

Proceedings Relative to the transfer of the Devastanum landed property in the District of Tanjore to the parties entrusted with the management of the several Native religious Institutions.-

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Read the following letters.

To Officiating Collector of Tanjore 15th March 1849

From Collector of Tanjore 30th Sept. inclos 30th Nov. 1848

Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue 20th November 1848.

From Collector of Tanjore 21st Sept. inclos 29th Oct. 1849

To Collector of Do. 29th October 1849.

Para 1. The above communications have reference to the transfer of Devastanum landed property in the district of Tanjore, to the parties entrusted with the management of the several Native religious Institutions.

2. The lands are composed of Survamanium, Quit rent and Tarnf Villages either held entirely; or jointly with the Circar on each of these tenures.

3. The Survamanium Villages, entire and joint, were directed to be given over to the Trustees of the Pagodas entitled to them, in the first of the communications above recorded, and in the second, the Collector reports them as having been thus given up, the recipients entering into a Bond for the due security of the Government claims, on payment of Maramt shares, and observance of

of ryots privileges and rights.-

4. In Quit rent Villages pay and Jody fixed to the original grantors, and the Devastanum lands in the Tarnf Villages are either in whole Villages in different parts of the District, or in small and scattered portions in several Villages.

5. The interest of the Government however being considerable in the quit rent and in a four fold ratio in the Tarnf Villages, the Board were of opinion that they should still continue under Circar Management, and with the view of severing as much as practicable the Government connection with Pagoda property by concentrating the latter suggested that it should be resumed, and in lieu thereof an assignment of land proportionate to the extent and value given up, be made over to the Trustees on Survamanium or rent free tenure thus leaving them to the enjoyment of their property, without any Government interference whatever.

6. The Devastanum property in Tarnf Villages is entire 357, and Joint 294 the Government

Para 10 from Coll.r 18th April 1850.

alone for its dues instead of falling back as at present on the Proprietor when the Collections are deficient. On the other hand the Pagodas would realize its right with diffeculty as the Cultivator would probably withhold his payments to the utmost extent.

13. Under these conflicting interests the Board see no other alternative than retaining as recommended also by the Collector the Farmed Villages under Government control.

Para 12 from Coll.r 18th April 1850.

14. Among the Quit rent Villages the Shrotriem are entire 187, and Pagul or partly Circar 15, the Jody on them is variable; and the amount of interest in them professed by Pagodas, is more than double that of the Circars

2 R. 76 12 S B 1,886

The fixed Money rented Villages are entire 95 Pagul 11. The Government demand is 6,579 Rs and that of the Pagodas 14,890.

15 The Grain rented Villages are 8, and the Circar dues fluctuate according to price, on the average of 10 Faslies

it

it was 1870 / the quit rent according to standard being 1626 / the Pagoda income being 3,653.

G. S. 84—14—3 P. S. 66—2—

16 There is one adhamanien Vil. Cage, and one hamlet.

17. The total number of Shrotriem and Jody Villages is therefore entire and Jody Villages is therefore entire 141 Pagul 27 and the revenue to each is Rupees 15,930, and Rupees 36,977 respectively. The Board see no objection to the Shrotriem and fixed Money rented Villages, subject to a Jody of 14,191—4—10 being made over to the Trustees of the several Institutions interested in them, the Collector therefore will take measures for effecting the transfer at an early date.

18. It is supposed that in the Grain rented Villages, the amount of produce due to the Circar is fixed, though the revenue from it fluctuates according to

price

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

price these Villages may be transferred on such fixed estimate to be commuted annually, as at present, into a money rate according to the market price.

19. The Ashamanium Village and hamlet may be also placed in the professions of the Trustees at the fixed quit rent of 179-6-4.

20. The Board entered into the Survamanium Villages appears to the Board sufficiently restrictive; the Collector will accordingly adopt that form in the present case, substituting the word "Quit" for "Cavil" rent in Parab.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St. George.

44

From the Collector of Tanjore — Submitting a Statement of Receipts and disbursements on the Cabistalum Estate for 1258.

Sir, I have the honor to submit the annual Statement of receipts and disbursements on the Cabistellum Estate under management for Fusly $\frac{1258}{A.D.\ 1848/49}$.—

2. The total number of villages composing the Estate is 82, of which 72 are Tariff, 9 Survamanium and one permanently grain rented.—

Fusly 57. 32740-
58. 25248
Ded. -- 7492

3. The total demand for the Fusly under review has fallen 7,492 Rupees below that of Fusly 1257 as shewn in the margin, the difference by price being 4,4463 Rupees and by decreased produce 2,528; the remaining 521 Rupees by various inconsiderable Items. The averaged produce throughout the District was much affected by the unfavorable nature of the season as reported in the Settlement for Fusly 1258, and the Marginal Statement

Average produced of Amany Villages per Daily for the whole District

	Dr on Incapable Estate	
	C	M.
Fasly 1257	165.3.	
" 1258	145-11	
Decrease	19-4	
Per centage	11 11/16	
	184	4
	162	9
	21	7
	11 10/16	

Statement exhibiting a comparison of the decrease in the Cabe: Cultivum lands as compared with the average outturn of the Government Amany lands.—

4

Of the total Demand Rupees 21,498- were realized within the Fasly deducting from the remainder the amount of subsequent collections up to February 1850 there is a balance of 258 Rupees of which only 20 are recoverable, the rest being withheld under objections.—

	Collection in Fasly 1258	Remainder.	Collection up to February 1850	Remainder still balance
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Up to Fasly 1255 before the estate came under Circar Management	1	3050	-	3051
For two Faslies 1256 and 1257 Kuttoor in the sale of paddy	7932	1046	487	537
Total	7933	4096	487	3588

Total Balance Rs.	Dr. Under objections.	Remainder to be realized.
Up to Fasly 55. 3050	2526	524
" 56 487.559	552	7
3609	3078	531.

5 The foregoing Statement of old balances collected during the Fasly in which the sum of Rs 3,609 is shewn as still on outstanding. The recoverable part of this balance is about 531 Rupees of which, as will be seen by the marginal Statement only Rupees 7. have accrued during the time the estate has been under attachment.

6. The total Jumma at the close of the Fasly including current and arrears was Rupees 29,431 and the amount in hand for the preceding year Rupees 18,716. making a total of Rupees 48,147 of which Rupees 28,921 were espended during the year as particularized in the margin and 12,000 Rupees invested in the 5 per % Loan. the remainder left in Deposit being 7,226 Rupees

Circar Kists	10,448
Sibbundy charges	2,339
Family feeding & Sibbundy charges	1,157
Extra charges	177
To the adopted son as per Courts decree in arrears	1130
Chuttrum charges & Sibbundies	1886
Pagoda charges	424
Sotuntramaneim hire for carrying part of grain supplied to H. H. the Rajah, Maramut & Tuccavy	5360
	28,921
Invested in the 5 per % Loan	12,000
	40,921

Of this amount Rupees 3,172 have been subsequently

subsequently expended, leaving at the end of February Rupees 4,954 in hand.

7. The Circar kist included in the expenditure is the value of the Railwa: sum portion of the contracted grain amount of rented villages and the ac: tual produce in money at the current prices of the year.—

Items.	Amount sanction: ed.	Actual disburse: ments for Fusly 1258.	Disburse: ments for the last Year.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Sibbundy charges	2,508	2,080	259	2,339
To the Family—	1,615	1,046	111	1,157
For cloths and extra charges—	200	175	2	177
To the adopted Son	298	249	881	1,130
To Chuttrum—	2,160	1,779	107	1,886
Pagoda charges—	434	424	"	424
Total	7,215	5,753	1360	7,113

8 The Mar: ginal Statement shows the amount sanctioned for sibbundy and other charges on the 7th. Inam 1849 and that actually dis: bursed within the Fusly, the difference between the amount sanctioned and that expended as entered in Col: 4 of the detailed Statement has been since disbursed, with the exception of Two

Two Rupees.—

9. The Sotuntra Inamem and other sundry charges comprize the following Items.—

	Rs. As. P.
Sotuntra Inamem usually paid—	234 " "
Hire for conveying paddy to His Highness Tukeera—	1253 " "
Inamund charges—	444 " "
Taccavy—	2182 " "
Loss in Grain heaps—	1037 " "
Sundry Coolies, and presents of spades and other tools to Slaves on Festival days—	194 " "
Amount expended for Law Suits—	16 " "
Total	5360 " "

10. Rupees 2,182 were advanced for Taccavy, 536 of this for Fusly 1259 and a difference of 414 has accrued on in consequence of the decreased value of grain given as Taccavy, making an actual demand for the Fusly under review (including an ad: vance from the previous Fusly of 1406 Rs., of which 1354 have been recovered

recovered leaving a balance of Rs. 52.

11.

The loss to the Estate of the Rupees above alluded to has arisen in consequence of the difference in the value of grain at the time of recovering the advance, as compared with the rate at which it was valued when making the same, a loss to which Inamdars are continually liable in recovering seed grain or other advances made to their Ryots. A further balance of 103 Rupees stands against the Ryots for advances in 1257 and is equally doubtful of recovery, the greater portion being against the village of Veerattanellore in the Tiruvacoonum Talook, the Ryots of which are very poor and the village hitherto much neglected and the produce scanty in proportion.—

12.

Of the Balance of Fasly 52 Rupees, 40 are irrecoverable being due by parties who are too poor to pay and the remainder 12 will be realized

13.

The loss in remeasure-ment of grain from the heaps amounts to 3 3/64 per % as shown in the margin a trifling which is unavoidable.—

Total Candomodub	Culs. 61,200	
Quantity of Paddy in heaps not broken	3,895	
Do. of heaps from which paddy was sold	57,305	
Do. realized at re-measurement	55,560	
Remainder loss	1745	
Per centage	3 3/64	

Tanjore,
Negapatam,
9th. May, 1850.

(Signed) I. F. Bishop
Collector.

Statement

7755

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Statement shewing the Income and charges on account of the Estate of Cabistalum Minor for fusly 1258.

Current and Arrears.	Description of Revenue.	Items	Number of Land.	Number of Villages.	Extent. Nunjah	Punjah	Total.	Actual Demand for fusly 1258.	Amount collected up to the end of Fusly.	Balance.	Actual Demand for fusly 1257.
1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					⅀	⅀	⅀	Rs.			Rs.
Current Fusly 1258	Land Revenue	Rented	52		195	144	339	11112			14358
		Amany	20		164	74	238	9495			12878
		Total Tariff	72		359	218	577	20607			27236
		Paid in grain Rented	1		1		1	89			50
		Surva manium	9		16	6	22	984			1315
	Total including Tariff		82		376	224	600	21630			28601
	Other various Rents	Fish rent, sale of Trees and other Rent						537			554
		Tuccavy advanced to the Ryots for cultivation both in cash and in grain						1406			1671
		Value of Cows and Bucks &c. sold						5			105
		Hire for Paddy being conveyed and measured to the Jakeers of his Highness						1229			1450
		Amount of Expence on account of Maramut received from Circar						137			79
		Puttumanniums wages						270			230
		Revenue of Land mortgaged to them						31			50

		as per Fusilies Accounts up to last Year	Excess added to the Value of Paddy in land in consequence of the prices being higher than in the previous years				
	1257	6,444	——	1,477	——	7,921	7,189	732
Arrears	1256	1,020	——	37	——	1,057	743	314
	1255	3,051	——	"	——	3,051	1	3,050
		10,515		1,514		12,029	7,933	4,096
Total amount of Income including Arrears						37,277	29,431	7,846

Deduct amount collected up to the end of February 1259 3,979

Net balance 3,867

Add Balance of cash brought over from the last year —— 18,716

Total —— 48,147

7750

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Particulars of charges.

Items.	Deduct.					Remainder disbursed in the present Fusly.	Amount paid in liquidation on of the arrears of payment to Sibbendies.	Total Disbursements for the present Fusly.	Charges incurred in fusly 1257.
	Amount sanctioned.	Amount not paid.	Decrease in the payments to servants who receive Paddy in consequence of the fall of price.	Amount to be Disbursed by and by.	Total.				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Kist paid to Government	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16,448	19,663
Salaries paid to Sibbendies in Puzzoor Talook and in Villages	2,508	64	23	341	428	2,080	259	2,339	2,464
Subsistence paid to the Minors family including their Sibbendies wages	1,615	19	42	508	569	1,046	111	1,157	1,222
Amount paid for the annual extraordinary charges of Do.	200	20	5	"	25	175	2	177	216
Allowance paid to the adopted son of the late Minor under the Civil Court's decision	298	"	"	49	49	249	881	1,130	"
Charges incurred in giving meals to the Travellers for charity in the Annachatrum	2,160	22	127	232	381	1,779	107	1,886	2,095
Do. incurred in performing worship to the Pagodas	434	"	8	2	10	424	"	424	398
	7,215	125	205	1,132	1,462	5,753	1,360	7,113	6,375
Payments made to several parties on account of Inamiums	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	234	504
Hire paid for conveying and measuring paddy to Pakeerah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,253	1,490
Charges incurred in performing Maramut works both by Coolly Maramut and by the Sirkar	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	444	311
Tuccavy advanced both in cash & in grain	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,182	1,978
Loss in heaps of grain	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,037	1,187
Charges on sundry items such as payments to the slaves of the Minors family & repairs to the Chuttrum	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	194	519
Charges incurred in moving the Zillah Court	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"
Total Amount of Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,360	6,289
Subscribed to the Loan	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,000	"
Grand Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	40,921	32,327
Balance of Cash in hand	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,226	"

Tanjore, Negapatam, 9th May 1850.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.

7757

(4445)

Order thereon

(Vide Pros. 8th June) Circulations—

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Ordered to be sent again in

Read the following letter

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(46)

From the Collector of Madura—

Reporting that there is no separate sanction for Magisterial Sallerwared charges and for warding a supplemental Statement to that of one sent on the 22d January 1850.

Sir

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Inst.

2 The Magisterial and Police Sallerwared was not included in the Collector's Sallerwared sanctioned on the 18th November 1834. The charges for the former have been yearly submitted in excess of the amount of the latter.

3. The Heading of Column No. 2 was erroneously adopted by my Office in consequence of the Remarks of the Board dated 11th July 1848 on the Statement of charges for Fasly 1257 the Amount therein entered being that of the actual charges of the preceding Fasly—

4. I beg to submit a Supplemental Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in Fasly 1258 and request that it

7758

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

may be filed with the one sent on the 22d Inst. January 1850.—

Madura, 9th May 1850.

Resolution thereon;

(4647)

(Signed) R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Resolved that the Statement received with the foregoing letter be substituted for that received from the Collector on the 28th January 1850 which is cancelled and that the Collector be requested to submit an Estimate for the Sallerwared charges in the Magistrates and Joint Magistrates Departments prepared in the form which accompanied the Board's Circular of the 11th February 1833 and taking for his data the actual charges during the past 3 Years 1257 & 1259 inclusive.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(48)

From the Collector of Madura.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 25th February last I have the

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

the honor to bring to the notice of the Board that the Yeomiah allowances are so much per day, and that consequently the Annual Amount will in Leap year exceed that of other years. I beg to state further that the certificates granted in this District contain entries of the monthly amount calculated for a Month of 31 days which will not be the 12th part of the Annual sum. These apparent discrepancies may give rise to doubt hereafter which though easy of solution by reference to the certificates it may perhaps be as well to preclude. I beg therefore to enquire whether I am at liberty to enter an explanatory note on the face of the new certificates prepared according to the Board's Form.

Madura
10th. May 1850.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Proceedings thereon;

(48-49)

The Board observe that when allowances have been granted at so much per diem the fact should be so stated in Col. 5 of the revised Certificate. This will avoid all misunderstanding of any kind, and Mr. Parker will be so good as to follow this course in issuing the revised Certificates.

DG.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(50)

From the Collector of Madura . . .

To Board 31st May 1847.
From do 22nd July 1847.

Sir

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to state, that the Ramnad Zemindar having introduced the subject of her alleged adoption of a son into a Plaint before the Sub Court for some property, to which Plaint it did not appear to me necessary, and the Sub Court having given the adoption as one of the Points for her to prove, I moved and to omit this one, on the Grounds, that it was not necessary and that the Government being interested it was improper to pass an opinion on a matter of very great importance in a comparatively trifling suit, to which the Government was no party. The Sub Court acceded to this motion and excluded the Point. I have now heard a report that the Ramnad Zemindar has appealed to the Sudr Udalut against the order of the Madura Court and requested that the matter may be determined in a question put to the Pundits at Madras. In that light

light they can have placed the matter so as to give such a request the appearance of reason. I cannot understand, and probably the information given me is in some degree incorrect; but I have the honor to suggest that the Board will desire the Government Vakeel to furnish them with Copies of any motions made on behalf of the Ramnad Zemindar which at all affect the question of adoption and give him such instructions thereon, as will secure a full enquiry into the case.

Madura ... 9
13th May 1850. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(51)

From the Collector of Madura. } Sir

With reference to my letter of yesterday's date I have the honor to forward copy of a motion presented to the Sudr Adalat on behalf of the Zemindar of Ramnad. This is transcribed from a copy received by me in a Petition from Sevacamy Tavar the Athimanathan or as it is translated honorary son of the veroyee Natchear referred to therein. Provided

Provided the adoption by the Zemindar could be subjected to a full and satisfactory investigation in the course pointed out by the Zemindar, the Board would probably not be disposed to object to it, but as the natural consequence of a point of this nature being introduced in a matter comparatively trivial is to prevent its receiving examination commensurate with the Magnitude of the interests it involves, I see no reason to withdraw my suggestion that the Government Vakeel should be furnished with instructions to watch the enquiry on behalf of Government.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Madura ... 9
14th May 1850. } To, The Judges of the Court of
Sudr Adalut

The humble Petition of Paravatha Varthany Natchiar the Zemindar of Ramnad - Appellant in M. P. No 14 of 1850 - on the file of this Honorable Court, presented on her behalf by Varden Seth Sam Pleader.

Respectfully sheweth.

That your Petitioner has already submitted to your Honorable Court in the above M. P. No 14 of 1850 the circumstance of a Razeenamah having been effected between

between your Petitioner and her Mother in-law Mootooveeroyee Natchiar the Respondent in order to determine a suit that was then pending in the Civil Court of Madura N: 3 of 1843 in which amongst other things it was provided that your Petitioner was to adopt a son to her late husband the Zemindar of Ramnad and the son of the said Mootooveeroyee Natchiar according to his dying instructions, and who was to succeed to the Zemindary and all his paternal rights according to the provisions of the Hindoo Law.-

2nd That in conformity to the said instructions of your Petitioners late husband and the provision of the said Razeenamah your Petitioner adopted one Mootooramalingum Sathoopatty in due & the prescribed form according to the Hindoo Laws and intimated the same through the Collector to the Board of Revenue and Government on the 23rd May 1847. whereby the said Mootooramalingum Sathoopatty became the legal Heir and representative of your Petitioners late Husband and the

said Mootooveeroyee Natchiar to succeed to the respective estates and property of both his Grand mother Mootooveeroyee Natchiar and your Petitioner after their respective deaths.-

3rd That although at the time of the execution of the said Razeenamah your Petitioner in consequence of her not having adopted the said Mootooramalingum Sathoopatty as her son as yet might have agreed with the said Mootooveeroyee Natchiar contrary to the Hindoo Laws, in consequence of the latters insisting upon it, that her Abheemanum Puttren or honorary son was to succeed to the Maganum that was allowed her for her maintenance, yet as the said provision is foreign and opposed to the Hindoo Laws, and as the adoption subsequently took place as shown above, that clause or stipulation of the Razeenamah becomes a dead letter in as much as it is contrary to the Hindoo Laws for a stranger to succeed to ones estate in preference to a grand son legally adopted. In fact your Petitioner was incompetent to constitute the said Abheemanum Puttren or Honorary son. Their to the said Mootooveeroyee Natchiar to the prejudice of him that was by Law entitled to the succession and as this point has

Fort St George 30th May 1850.

has already been decided by your Honorable Court in A. S. No 5 of 1824 Para 7, your Petitioner begs only to refer your Honorable Court to the said Decree and to the Pundits of your Honorable Court who will as your Petitioner believes declare that, that provision of the Razeenamah is inconsistent, opposed to, and incompatible with the Hindoo Laws and cannot be maintained to the prejudice of your Petitioner's adopted son who now becomes the actual proprietor of all the deceased's property, as the Lawful Heir thereto, an Abhemanum Putren is a Appellation unknown [illegible] in all the Hindoo Laws and Shastras.-

4th Should your Honorable Court entertain any doubt in the subject your Petitioner is perfectly ready and willing to prove the adoption, and as this matter cannot be decided but by such adoption being proved to the satisfaction of your Honorable Court, your Petitioner respectfully prays that your Honorable Court will be pleased to order your Petitioner to prove the same- your Petitioner moreover prays that your Honorable Court will be pleased to refer this question to the Pundits of

Fort St George 30th May 1850

if the decision of your Honorable Court above quoted will not be deemed sufficient to determine this case upon proof of the adoption.-

5th Your Petitioner finally prays that your Honorable Court will be pleased to reject the Vakalutnamah filed by the Vakeel Terroomalacharry on behalf of the Abheemanum Putren or Honorary son of the late Mootteveerayen Natchiar pending the proof of adoption and the opinion of the pundits as prayed in this Petition being obtained.- And your Petitioner as in duty bound will ever pray

(Signed) V Seth Sam
Pleader

Madras 30th April 1850.

I beg to be heard Viva Voce in support of this Petition.-

(Signed) V. Seth Sam
Pleader

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Order thereon

50-52

To the Government Vakeel

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence:-

To The Government Vakeel

Purvatha Vurdany Natchiar a female Zemindar of Ramnad Appellant in M. S. No 14 of 1850 having presented through Vurden Seth Sam Pleader a Petition to the Judges of the Sudr Adalut Court dated 29th April last, praying that the Court will

To the Government Vakeel 13th in Cont. 30th May 1850.
From Madura 14th in Cont. 30th May 1850.

+ in May 1847.

will be pleased to order her the said Appellant to prove the adoption by her of one Mooddoo Ramalinga Tever, the son of her younger sister Mootoveeryee Natchiar, as Heir to the Ramnad Zemindary and doubts being entertained as to her right to make this adoption (which has never been recognized by Government) I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will watch the enquiry into the said matter and take all necessary measures to insure its being fully investigated as in the event of the adoption being declared illegal, a question will arise whether the Zemindary does not escheat to Government at the death of Parvadah Vurdhany Natchiar.

From Madura (in Dy Cons- 12th March 1849)

The circumstances of the case are as follows- The late Zemindar Ramasawmy Tever Saithoopatty, having no male issue, bequeathed the Zemindary at his last moments to his two Daughters, and appointed his half brother Mootto-chella Tever, manager of the Estate during their Minority.-

Both of these Daughters died minors and childless, and the Zemindary passed into the hand of their Mother the Widow of the late Ramasawmy Sattoopatty Parvatha Vurdhany Natchiar who asserts that her late

late Husband consented in his last moments to her adopting a son, but this Statement is denied by Ramasawmy Tever who married one of the Daughters and also by Mootto-chella Tever the half Brother of her late Husband, and the Board consider it very unlikely that the deceased would have sanctioned such step, seeing that he left two daughters; nor was any mention made thereof in the report of the Principal Collector of Madura Mr Vweash who saw the deceased a short time before his death.- Ramasawmy Saitho-pathy was himself the adopted son of Annasawmy Saitho-pathy and Mootoveeryee Natchiar, and he succeeded to the Zemindary at the death of Annasawmy Mootoveeryee Natchiar, the Widow, is still living, and it may be a question whether the right to the Zemindary will not revert to her, should she survive Parvada Vurdhana Natchiar, but in the opinion of the Board the Estate should revert to Government for Mootoveeryee Natchiars rights passed to Ramasawmy Saithopathy and his heirs, and they will terminate with Parvadah Vurdhana Natchiar if her adoption of Mootoo Rama-linga Tever is declared illegal.-

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary

30th May 1850.

Read

Read the following.

To W.H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(53)

Sir

From the Collector of Madura ... } The Printed forms of Nerickenamahs forwarded with your letter of the 3rd April 1845 having already been expended I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to furnish me with a supply of 250 Printed forms of Nerickenamahs to the Officer Commanding Detachment of Madura and Dindigul according to the enclosed Form now in use.-

Madura ... } (Signed) R. D. Parker
15th May 1850 - } Collector

Order thereon

(53-54) Ordered that the printed forms requested in the foregoing letter be caused to be printed in the Government Gazette Press.-

Read the following letter

(55)

To W.H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the Asst Pl Collr of Coimbatore ... } Sir

With reference to the accompanying Copy of letter from Mr Dwyer and

and to Captain Douglas' position with Government, I beg the Board's orders as to furnishing the Grant required; being an doubt, if Government have a claim on the property or not.-

(Signed) E.B. Thomas
Asst Pl Collector

Ootacamund 8th May 1850 - }

No 1

To The Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir

We have honor to request that you will good enough to furnish us with a Government Grant for the premises at Ootacamund called "Walthamston" belonging to Captain Archibald Douglas, and containing 62-23-550 C 9 feet - The property is bounded on the North by the Hotaghetty Road property of Mr A. Lascelles and of Major F. Mackin, on the East by the Bandy Road to Dodabetta South high Road from Ootacamund to Coonoor.-

West ... D° ... D° ...

accompanying we forward a plan of the Property Certified by the Superintendant of the Neelgherry Survey.- (Signed) Parry & Co

(True Copy)
(Signed) E.B. Thomas
Asst Pl Collector

Madras ... } 30th April 1850 - }

Resolved

Proceedings thereon.

(55-56)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of Government as the Board are not aware what legal claims there may be on Captain Douglas' property in consequence of the Criminal Information filed against him by the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Queen's Bench.

Read the following letter

To,

W. H. Bayley Esq^re

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(57)

Sir,

From the Coll^r of Malabar .....

In reply to your letter of the 11th April last, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Revenue Board, that no grants have been given in this District in endowment of Choultries or Bungalows.—

Tirooranguddy 22nd May 1850

(Signed) H. V. Conolly

Collector

Order thereon.

(57-58)

Ordered to lie over

Resolved that the following Proceedings and Letter be dispatched.—

Proceedings

Ganjam 11th inl^o 23d September 1847.
Rajahmundry 26th October in l^o 4th Nov^r "
Masulipatam 5th inl^o 11th September 1848
Guntoor 14th in l^o 23d August 1847.
Nellore 27th August in l^o 2d Sept^r "
Bellary 17th March in l^o 17th April 1848
Cuddapah 2d in l^o 8th May "
Kurnool 12th in l^o 19th August 1847
Chingleput 28th in l^o 30th Sept^r "
Salem 11th in l^o 18th November "
North Arcot 24th in l^o 29th May 1848
South Arcot 28th March in l^o 3d April "
Tanjore 10th in l^o 20th September 1847
Trichinopoly 6th in l^o 13th Ditto
Madura 10th Jan^y in l^o 4th May 1848.
Tinnevelly 28th Sept^r in l^o 7th Oct^r 1847
Coimbatore 4th in l^o 28th February 1848.
Malabar 27th July in l^o 3d August "

Read replies of the several Collectors to the Board's Proceedings of the 29th July 1847 returning their Indents and requesting that revised Indents be furnished shewing the Regulations and Acts required for the use of their Offices and those of their Subordinates and Assistant Collectors as well as Native Functionaries in the Vernacular language most prevalent in their Districts.—

(Here enter)

A Circular was addressed to the several Collectors in pursuance of the instructions contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation 10th April 1835 and a Memorandum containing an abstract of their replies on the following subjects was submitted to Government with the Board's Letter of the 5th January 1837.

On

(59)

Proceedings thereon;

Relative to the Indents of Collrs for copies of Regulations and Acts required for the use of their Offices and those of their Subordinates & Assistant Collrs as well as for Native functionaries.

* Inl^o 20th April 1835 Circular 27th April 1835.

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

2.

On the state of the English and Vernacular copies of Regulations and Acts.—

3

On the number of Translated Regulations and Acts required for the use of Native functionaries in the District.—

4

On the number of English and Vernacular Regulations and Acts required to completed one or more sets in the Office of every Collector his Sub and Assistant Collectors.

5

And it was then suggested by the Board that each Collector should completed as many sets as possible and reserve one for himself and Two for his Sub and Assistant Collectors, that the remaining number of English Copies should be returned to Government, and if in the Vernacular languages, should be distributed to Native functionaries. Government in concurring in the Board's suggestion in Cotton Minutes of Consultation 1st. February 1837 restricted the number of sets to one copy for each Collector and one copy for his Sub Collector, and required Indents to be submitted for missing

Inform: 13th. Feb 7 1837

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.—

missing copies and separate Indents for Vernacular copies for Native functionaries, and for such Vernacular copies as might be required for sale in the Provinces

Instructions were accordingly

6.

Circular 20th. February 1837

issued to the Collectors for giving effect to the Board's suggestion and to the orders of Government in question, but Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 2d. January 1838 observed that, as Collectors had been furnished with the required number of copies of Campbell's code of Regulations and Acts, it would suffice if they retained one copy of the Original Regulations and Acts in English for every District. They desired that Collectors should be instructed to submit Indents for such Vernacular copies of Regulations and Acts as might be required to complete sets for the use of every Collector his Sub and assistant Collectors and for Vernacular copies for Native functionaries, restricting the latter to the Regulations and Acts which related to the Offices held by them.

Inform: 15th. March 1838.

The Board issued instructions to

7.

Proceedings 15th. March 1838.

to the Collectors to give effect to the wishes
expressed by Government; and, on receipt
of their replies, the Board found it neces-
sary to ascertain whether the several Talook
Cutcherries in each District were supplied
with translations of Regulations and Acts
on matters of Revenue and Police. If not,
Circular 19th January 1846. they directed, that measures should be
adopted for making good the deficiencies
from the Huzzoor Cutcherry, and from
that of the Sub and Assistant Collectors,
or by an interchange of surplus copies
among the Talook Cutcherries. If the
means at the command of each Collector
were inadequate to effect this object
they were desired to submit Indents
for the surplus Vernacular copies required.
8.
Indents were duly received
from the several Collectors in reference
to this Circular, but the Board observed that
many of them had not been confined to the ob-
jects for which the supply was contemplated.
Circular 29th July 1847. They therefore returned the Indents, pointed
out the necessary modifications, and requested
that revised Indents might be submitted, and

and the replies of Collectors to this last
Circular are recorded at the head of these
proceedings.
From these Revised Indents
9.
the Board have caused General Statements
to be prepared shewing the requirements
of each District as well as the aggre-
gate of the supply required for all the
Districts as follows.—
No. 1. Shewing the number of complete
sets of Vernacular copies of Regulations
and Acts required for the use of Collectors
& Sub Collectors and their Assistants en
Cutcherries.
No. 2. Shewing the number of Vernacular
copies of Regulations and Acts required
to complete sets for the use of the
Offices of Collectors, Sub Collectors and their Assistants.
No. 3. Shewing the number of copies of
of Vernacular Regulations and Acts chiefly
relating to matters of Revenue and Police re-
quired for distribution to Native functionaries
in the Talooks of the several Districts.
It will be perceived that
10.
the Collectors have restricted their
Indents

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

Indents to their supply of Regulations and Acts in the Tamil Telugoo and Mulialum languages up to the year 1847-48.—

11. The following List has been also prepared in the Board's Office from the loose copies of Tamil and Telugoo Regulations in the Board's records, which will enable them to comply with a portion of the Indents from Collectors. No. 4 shewing the number of loose copies of Tamil and Telugoo Regulations and Acts available in the Board's Office for distribution in the Provinces the number deficient to meet the requirements of Collectors and the excess in some instances above those indented for.—

12. As much labor has been bestowed and delay has occurred in sorting and arranging the loose copies from which List No. 4 has been prepared the Board trust that the Regulations and acts yet required in that List for Collectors' subordinate Functionaries and to supply deficiencies in sets for Hoogoor Cutcheries may be furnished to this Office by Government in Tamil and Telugoo sorted and arranged from the large number which it is understood is available from the Government Office.—

13. They would recommend that the sets of Tamil Telugoo and Mulialum Regulations and Acts applied for in List No. 1. and the loose copies of Mulialum Regulations and Acts enumerated in List 3 may also be furnished for distribution from those in Government Office available from the

Statement

Fort St. George 30th. May 1850.

No. 1. Statement shewing the number of complete sets of Translated copies of Regulation & Acts required on Indent by Collectors for the use of their Offices as well as those of their Subordinate and Assistant Collectors.—

Districts.	From what period to what period Regulation and Acts are required.—	Number of complete sets required.	Remarks.—
Ganjam	1802 to 1849 (Telugoo) (The period is not entered in the Collector's Indent or letter.—	5	Two for the Collector & Agent. One for the Principal Assistant & Two for the Two Assistant Collectors
Rajahmundry	1834 to 1847 (Do.)	2	One for the Sub-Collector and One for the Head Assistant Collector.—
Guntoor	1802 to 1847 (Do.)	1	One for the Collector - The Collectors Indent has been extended from the year 1843 to 1847.—
Bellary	1802 to 1847 (Do.)	2	One for the Head Assistant & One for the Assistant Collector.
Kurnool	1802 to 1847 (Do.)	2	Two.
Coimbatore	1802 to 1847 (Tamil)	4	For the Principal Collector Sub Collector Head Assistant Collectors.
Malabar	1802 to 1848 (Mulialum)	3	For the Collector and Sub-Collector and Head Assistant Collector.
		12	
	Total Telugoo	4	
	Tamil	3	
	Mulialum		

Statement

1779. Fort St. George

No. 2 Statement shewing the number of Translated copies of Regulation and Acts required on Indents by Collectors to

Districts.	Vernacular.	Regulations of 1802												Regulations of 1803.										Regulation			
		1	4	5	6	7	14	30	32	33	34	35	36	1	7	8	9	11	12	13	14	15	16	1	2	3	4
Rajahmundry	Teloogoo	"	1	1	"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	1	1
Bellary	Teloogoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chingleput	Tamil	1	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"
	Teloogoo	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Madura	Tamil	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total Teloogoo		"	1	1	"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	"	1	1
Do Tamil		1	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"

ge. 5th May 1850.

complete sets required for their own as well as the Offices of Sub

	Regulations of 1804.				Regulations 1806.				Regulation of 1809.			Regulations of 1810.		
6	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	7	10	14	1	2	3
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	5	1	1	1		
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1780 . . . Fort St. George, 10th May 1850.

and Assistant Collectors.

Regulations of 1811.		Regulations of 1812.		Regulations of 1816.						Regulations of 1818.
1	2	6	8	1	2	1@9	12	14	15	16	7
1	1	"	"	1	1	9	1	1	1	1	"
"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	2	"	1	1	9	1	1	1	1	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Regulations of 1823.	Regulations of 1824.	Regulations of 1825.	Regulations of 1826.	Regulations of 1827.	Regulations of 1828.	Regulations of 1829.	Regulations of 1830.	Regulations of 1831.					
						1@4	1@4	1	2	3	4	5	6@11
23	4@6	1	1@5	1@3	4	8	9@8						
							7	4	1	1	1	1	6
3	"	1	5	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	1	5	3	"	2	7	4	1	1	1	1	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

7781 to 83

Fort St. George May 1850.

Regulations of 1832.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	"	1	1	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	"	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1		

Regulations of 1833	2	3	4	5
1	1	1	"	"

Regulations of 1834	1	2	3
1	1	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"

7784

Front St. George 30th May 1850.

Acts of 1837.

5 13 17 18 19 23 30 33 34 35 36

Acts of 1838

14 17 20 21 23 25 26 28 31 2 3 7 11 13 14 15 17 18 22 23 24 29 30 31 32

Acts of 1839

1785

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Acts of 1840.

1 2 5 6 8 9 10 13 14 16 17 22 23 5

" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "

" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "

" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "

1786

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Acts of 1843.

	1	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	12	13	16	17	18	19	21	24	25	1	2	3	5	6	7	31	32	1	8	10	13	26
Acts of 1843	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1844	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14
Acts of 1845	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17
Acts of 1846	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1847	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1848	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1849	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1850	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	"	2	2	1	"	2	2	"	2	2	2	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1851	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1852	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1853	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1854	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1855	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1856	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1857	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1858	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1859	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1860	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1861	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1862	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1863	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1864	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1865	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1866	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1867	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1868	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1869	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1870	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1871	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1872	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1873	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1874	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1875	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1876	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1877	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1878	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1879	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1880	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1881	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1882	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1883	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1884	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1885	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1886	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1887	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1888	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1889	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1890	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1891	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1892	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1893	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1894	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1895	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1896	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1897	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1898	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1899	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1900	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1901	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1902	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1903	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1904	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1905	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1906	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1907	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1908	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1909	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1910	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1911	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1912	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1913	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1914	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1915	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1916	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1917	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1918	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1919	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1920	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1921	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1922	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1923	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1924	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1925	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1926	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1927	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1928	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1929	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1930	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1931	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1932	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1933	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1934	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1935	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1936	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1937	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1938	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1939	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1940	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1941	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1942	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1943	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1944	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1945	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1946	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1947	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1848	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1849	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Acts of 1850	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1787.

Fort St. George 30th

No. 3. Statement shewing the number of Copies of Translated Regulations and Acts required on Indent by Collectors for distribution to Native Functi

Districts	Vernacular	Regulations of 1802.														Regulations of 1803			Regulations of 1804	Regulations of 1805	Regulations of 1806.	Regulations of 180
		7	8	17	19	20	22	23	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	34	1	2	15	5	1	2	2
Ganjam		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Vizagapatam		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Rajahmundry	(Telooguo)	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	18	18	18	18	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	18	18	
Masulipatam	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Guntoor	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	14	14	14	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	14	14	
Nellore	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		17	17	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Bellary	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	17	17	17	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Kurnool	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cuddapah	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chungleput	(Tamil)	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	10	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Salem	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	4	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
North Arcot	(Telooguo)	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	
South Arcot	Tamil	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	
Tanjore	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	13	13	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	13	
Trichinopoly	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Tinnevelly	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Madura	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Coimbatore	Do.	15	"	15	15	15	"	15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	"	"	"	"	8	8	
Malabar	(Malayalum)	5	5	22	5	5	5	5	22	22	15	15	15	15	15	"	15	15	15	15	"	15	
Canara		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22	22	19	22	5	22	"	5	5	5	22	22	22	22
Total Telooguo		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do. Tamil		15	"	15	15	15	"	15	23	31	108	99	80	73	"	"	"	14	18	"	38	32	
Do. Malayalum		5	5	22	5	5	5	5	22	22	81	97	65	40	23	8	15	15	15	15	29	36	
											22	19	22	5	22	"	5	5	5	22	22	22	22

0th May 1850.

...tionaries in their Districts-

Regulations of 1807	Regulations of 1808	Regulations of 1809	Regulations of 1810	Regulations of 1811								Regulations of 1813.
				1	2	6	7	8				1
2	7	4	4	1								

7788

Fort St. George 20th. May 1850.

Regulations of 1816									Regulations of 1817						
4	5	6	7	9	10	11	12	13	2	3	6	7	8	1	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	16	"	"	"	"	18	18	18	"	"	"	18	"	"	"
"	"	"	14	14	"	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
26	26	26	"	"	"	14	14	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	17	17	17	"	"	26	26	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	17	17	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	9	"	"	"	"	6	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	10	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	5	9	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	14	"	"	14	"	14	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	10	"	"	10	"	10	6	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	16	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"	"
18	18	"	"	"	"	18	18	"	"	"	"	13	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	8	"	8	8	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	13	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	8	"	8	14	14	14	8	8	"	14	"	8	"	"	"
15	15	"	15	15	15	15	15	15	"	15	"	15	15	15	"
18	16	"	"	25	5	13	17	21	"	4	19	25	"	5	19
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
87	87	43	31	24	"	117	81	63	14	"	"	38	"	"	"
86	87	"	23	67	45	91	66	36	"	29	"	44	15	15	"
18	16	"	"	25	5	13	17	21	"	4	19	25	"	5	19

Regulations of 1818				Regulations of 1819					Regulations of 1820				Regulations of 1821		
3	4	7	9	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	2	4	5	6	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	18	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	18	"	"	"	16	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	"	"	"	26	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	26	26	"	"	"	17	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	26	"	17	17	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	17	"	"	10	"	"	"	8	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	"	"	"	6	"	
"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"	7	"	"	"	14	"	
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	"	"	"	10	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	14	10	"	"	"	16	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	16	"	"	"	18	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"	18	"	"	"	8	"	
"	"	"	"	13	"	18	"	8	8	"	"	"	13	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	13	"	"	"	14	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	8	"	"	"	15	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	15	15	15	"	"	13	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	15	15	25	19	5	5	"	"	"	
"	"	8	"	15	15	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	4	22	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1789.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

Regulations of 1822							Regulations of 1823		Regulations of 1824	Regulations of 1825		Regulations of 1826		Regulations of 1827.	Regulations of 1828.	Regulations of 1829.			Regulations of 1830.			Regulations of 1831.				
1	2	4	5	6	7	9	1	3	1	1	2	1	3	2	3	6	10	7	8	2	5	8	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	10	11
"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	18	18	18	"	"
"	18	"	18	18	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	18	18	"	"	18	18	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	14	"	14	"	"	14	"	14	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	16	18	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	17	17	"	"	17	"	"	"	"	"	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	9	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	7	"	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	8	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	14	14	14	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	10	10	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	16	13	13	"	"	13	"	13	"	"	"	6	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	8	8	8	8	16	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	14	8	8	14	8	8	"	"	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	15	16	15	15	"	15	15	15	15	15	"	8	14	"	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	5	12	24	5	5	22	23	13	"	5	"	16	4	9	5	5	18	69	58	33	18	"	94	18	"	111	54	32	73	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	53	22	51	"	29	74	30	36	86	50	44	58	23	"	"	"
31	42	37	68	18	"	67	"	14	"	18	"	92	18	"	"	88	14	"	4	"	5	"	5	5	5	17	20	19	26	27	5	4
"	74	58	89	37	8	71	15	28	15	15	15	71	14	"	"	29	67	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	5	12	24	5	5	22	23	13	"	5	"	16	4	9	5	5																

D.G.

1790.

Fort St. George

30th May 1850.

Regulations of 1832.												Regulations of 1833.		Regulations of 1834.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14.	1	2	1.
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"						
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	18	"	18	"	18	"	18	"	18	18	"	"	"
"	"	4	"	"	"	16	"	16	"	16	16	"	"	"
"	"	14	"	"	"	14	"	14	"	14	"	"	"	"
"	"	26	"	"	"	26	"	26	"	26	26	"	26	"
"	"	17	17	"	"	17	"	4	"	17	"	"	"	"
"	"	10	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	11	"	"	"	"
4	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	9	"	12	15	7	7	"	"	"
14	"	14	"	5	"	14	"	14	14	14	5	"	"	"
10	"	10	"	"	"	10	"	10	10	10	"	"	"	"
"	"	13	13	"	"	16	"	"	"	16	"	"	"	"
"	"	4	"	"	"	18	"	18	"	18	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	8	"	8	"	"	"	"
"	"	4	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	8	"	"	14	"	14	"	22	14	"	14	"
"	15	15	15	"	"	15	"	15	"	15	"	"	15	15
17	18	17	17	5	5	25	4	23	5	4	25	5	5	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	95	27	18	"	121	"	84	10	125	60	"	26	"
14	15	42	44	5	"	99	"	91	29	113	26	"	29	15
17	18	17	17	5	5	25	4	23	5	4	25	5	5	4

Acts of the Supreme Government of 1835.							Acts of the Supreme Government of 1836.						
8	9	10	11	17	18	21.	9	11	13	25	26	30	31.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	16	"	"	14	14	14	"	14		
"	"	"	"	14	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	26	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14
"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10
"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"
"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	15	15	15	"	"	5	22	"	5	5	18
8	8	"	"	26	18	4							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	4	8	"	"	"							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

30th May 1850.

Acts of the Supreme Government of 1837.

4	17	18	19	21	22	30	32	33	34	36
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	18	18	"	"	"	18	"	18	"	18
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
"	14	14	"	"	14	14	"	14	14	14
"	"	"	"	"	"	26	"	26	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	1	"	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	14	"	14
"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	10	"	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	16	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	18	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	"	"
"	"	14	14	"	"	14	"	14	"	14
15	15	15	"	"	"	15	"	15	"	14
5	24	5	5	5	"	25	5	25	5	22
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	32	32	"	"	14	68	"	124	14	42
15	15	29	14	"	"	89	"	114	"	53
5	27	5	5	5	"	25	5	25	5	22

Acts of the Supreme Government of 1838.

3	12	20	21	23	25	31
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"

7792 For Ft St George 30th May 1850.

Acts of the Supreme Government of 1840.									Acts of the Supreme Government of 1841.										Acts of the Supreme Government of 1842.						
2	4	5	6	8	16	17	22	23	1	2	5	7	10	11	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	28	29	30	3	4	9	10	11	12	15
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	18	18	"	"	"	18	"	"	18	"	"	"	18	18	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	13	"	15	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	8	8	8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	8	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	22	4	5
"	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	5	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	"	5	5	5	5	10	9	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	33	18	"	30	14	18	"	"	18	28	"	17	5	6	18	"	"	"	"	"	15	8	"	8	8	8	"	"
"	8	"	"	38	"	55	44	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	10	4	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	"	"	5	5	5	5	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5	4	"	"	"	"	22	4	5
															10	9	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

30th May 1850

of 1844	Acts of the Supreme Government of 1845												Act of 1846		Acts of the Supreme Government of 1847			Acts of the Supreme Government 1848.		Number of Complete Copies of Telogue Translations required by the Collector of Ganjam.	Remarks.
22	1	2	9	10	15	16	18	20	21	25	28	30	32	7	9	2	4	13	6	7	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	28	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	The number is taken from the Indent dated 17th ——"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	Inboons 26th March 1846.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	The Collector did not mention the Regulations and Acts required.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1793.

For Ft. St. George

Acts of the Supreme Government of 1813. — Acts of the Supreme Govt. of

1	4	5	7	13	15	18	19	21	24	25	3	5	6	8	14	21
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"						
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	18	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"
"	14	14	14	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	10	13	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	14	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	10	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"	"	"
"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	8	"	"	"
"	"	"	14	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	15	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	8	14	"	"	"	"
22	5	27	24	5	"	5	22	5	5	22	15	15	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5	"	4	4	5
"	14	24	32	"	14	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	18	16	14	"	"	"	33	"	"	"	28	10	"	"	"	"
22	5	27	24	5	"	5	22	5	5	22	45	59	14	"	"	"
										5	5	5	"	4	4	5

J.G.D.

1794

No. 4 Statement Shewing the Number of loose Copies of Tamil and Teloogoo Regulations and Acts

Fort St. George

Regulation of 1802.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Tamil Regulations in Board's Office	22	23	25	25	25	25	26	25	25	26	25	25	26	26	25	25	25	26	26	26	26
Do. as per Statement No. 2.	1	"	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Do. as per Statement No. 3	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	15	15	"
Total	1	"	"	"	"	1	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	15	15	
Surplus in Board's Office	21	23	25	25	25	24	10	25	25	26	25	25	26	26	25	25	10	26	11	11	25
Indented for on Government	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Teloogoo Regulations in Board's Office	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	2	2	3	3
Do. as per Statement No. 2	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Do. as per Statement No. 3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"
Total	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	18	
Surplus in Board's Office	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	4	4	3	2	2	"	3
Indented for on Government	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"

30th May 1850

to . . available in the Boards Office for distribution in the Provinces

	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
	26	27	25	26	25	24	23	24	23	23	23	23	23	22	16
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"
	"	15	"	23	36	81	97	65	40	23	"	"	8	"	"
	"	15	"	23	36	81	97	65	40	23	"	"	8	"	"
	26	12	25	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	23	15	21	16
	"	"	"	"	11	57	74	41	17	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	4	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	1
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	1	1	1	1	
	"	"	"	"	31	108	99	80	73	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	31	108	99	80	74	"	1	1	1	1	1
	3	4	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	29	106	97	78	72	"	"	"	"	"	"

1795 Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

the number deficient to meet the requirements of Collectors and the Excess in some instances above those indented for.

	Regulation of 1803.																Regulation of 1804					Regulation of 1805	Regulation of 1806							Regulation of 1807.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1	2	3	4	5	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	7
	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	"	10	10	10	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	15	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	29	"	36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	15	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	15	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	"	10	"	9	"	7	7	7	7	"	"	6	"	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	"	"
	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	22	"	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	6	8	9	9	9	9	5	9	9	11	8	7	"	10	8	9	5	5	5	5	5	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	"
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	38	"	32	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	1	1	3	1	19	1	1	1	1	1	"	38	1	33	1	1	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
	5	"	8	8	8	8	4	8	8	11	7	6	"	9	"	8	4	4	4	4	5	"	2	"	1	"	2	2	2	3	3	3	"
	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	"	4	4	4	"	"	3	"	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

1796 .. .. Fort. St. George

Regulation of 1808.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
9	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	6
9	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	6
8	6	11	10	10	9	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	12	11
8	6	11	10	10	9	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	12	11

30th May 1850

Regulation of 1809.

16	17	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
9	10	10	10	10	10	8	9	10	7	8	9	9	9	5	10
9	10	10	10	10	"	8	9	10	7	8	9	9	9	5	10
11	11	10	10	10	8	4	10	4	4	4	10	9	8	10	8
11	11	10	10	10	8	4	10	4	4	4	9	8	7	9	7

15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

1797 Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Regulation of 1810	Regulation of 1811.							Regulation of 1812		1813	1814	Regulation of 1816.								
1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	1	1
4	4	4	11	5	5	6	6	5	7	6	12	7	12	11	5	5	5	11	7	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	15	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	15	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4	4	11	5	5	6	6	"	"	"	12	7	12	11	5	5	5	11	7	4
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24	8	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	8	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	11	9	9	7	9	8	2	8	8	3	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	1	1	1	19	"	"	"	20	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1
"	7	9	9	"	10	9	10	"	9	11	8	8	7	9	8	2	8	8	3	1
9	"	"	"	9	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

2	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16			
11	7	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	6	6	3	3	3	3	4	"			
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
"	"	"	"	"	86	87	"	23	"	67	45	91	66	36	"	"	"			
"	"	"	"	"	86	87	"	23	"	67	45	91	66	36	"	"	"			
11	7	4	4	3	"	"	4	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	3	4	"			
"	"	"	"	"	83	83	"	19	"	61	39	88	63	33	"	"	"			
8	3	1	8	3	9	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	"				
"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	1	"	1	1	1					
"	"	"	"	"	87	87	43	31	"	24	"	117	81	63	"	"	"			
"	"	1	1	1	88	88	44	32	1	25	"	117	82	63	1	1	1			
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	3	"	"	"	2	"	"				
8	3	"	7	2	"	"														
"	"	"	"	"	79	85	41	29	"	22	"	114	79	60	"	"	1			

1798 Fort St. George

30th May 1850

Regulation of 1817								Regulation of 1818									Regulation of 1819	1820	1821							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	5	5	6	5	5	7	6	6	6	5	6	5	6	5	5	5	6	7	7	7	6	6	7	5	4	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	29	"	"	"	44	15	15	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	15	28	15	91	"	51	"	"	"	109	19	"
"	"	29	"	"	"	44	15	15	"	"	"	"	"	9	"	15	28	15	91	"	51	"	"	"	109	19	"
7	5	"	5	5	5	"	"	"	6	5	6	5	6	"	5	"	"	"	7	"	6	7	5	"	"	5
"	"	24	"	"	"	37	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	10	22	8	84	"	45	"	"	"	105	14	"
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	14	"	"	"	"	38	"	"	"	18	25	"	"	"	"		"	"	67	"	85	"	"	"	104	"	"
"	14	"	"	"	"	38	"	"	"	18	25	"	"	"	"		"	"	67	"	85	"	"	"	104	"	"
3	"	3	3	3	3	"	3	2	2	"	"	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	"	3	"	3	3	3	"	4
"	11	"	"	"	"	35	"	"	"	16	23	"	"	"	"		3	3	"	3	"	"	"	"	100	"	"
																	"	"	64	"	82	"	"	"			

4	4	4	4	3	4	3	8																			
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"																			
"	"	"	"	"	18	"	109	14	"																	
"	"	"	"	"	18	"	109	14	"																	
4	4	4	"	3	"	"	8																			
"	"	"	14	"	105	11	"																			
			"		"																					

7799 Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Regulation of 1822									Regulation of 1823	1824	1825
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	2	3
4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	1	2
7	7	6	7	5	7	5	7	7	7	7	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	2	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	74	"	58	89	37	8	"	71	15	"	28
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	74	"	58	89	37	8	"	71	15	"	28
2	2	2	15	15	15						
7	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	7	"	"	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	67	"	51	84	30	1	"	64	8	"	21
2	2	2	10	10	10						
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	"	3	4	4	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	5										
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1									
31	42	"	37	68	18	"	"	67	"	"	14
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"										
31	42	"	37	68	18	"	"	67	1	1	15
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	19	1									
"	"	4	"	"	"	4	"	"	3	3	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4											
27	38	"	33	64	14	"	"	64	"	"	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14	"

1826											1827											
1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	3	4	5	1	2	3	1	2	3
4	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	71	"	14	"	"	29	"	"	67	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"
4	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	"	6	"	6	6	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	71	"	14	"	"	29	1	"	67	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"
5	6	"	5	6	"	6	6	6	"	6	5	5	5	"	6	"	6	6	"	5	6	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	66	"	8	"	"	23	"	"	61	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
5	5	5	"	"	"	4	5	5	5	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	92	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	88	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1	93	1	19	"	"	"	"	"	"	88	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	4	4	"	"	"	"	4	5	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	93	1	19	"	"	"	"	"	"	87	"	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

7800 | | Fort. St. George 30th May 1850

Regulation of 1828. 1829. 1830 1831

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
																						1										
								53	22			51						29	74	30	36				86	50	44	58				23	
	6	8	6	6	7	6			6	7		6	6	7	7	7						6	6	6				6	6	6		6
								47	16			45						22	68	24	30				80	45	38	52				17	
				5	5	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
								2		1	1	1	1		1	1		1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
								69	58			33			18				94	18					111	54	32	73					
								69	60		1	34	1	1	18	1	1	1	95	19		1	1	1	112	55	33	74	1	1	1	1	1
				5	5	3			4	2		2	2		2	2		2			2	2	2	2				4	4	4	4	4
								67	56			31			15			2	92	16					107	50	28	69					

1801 Fort St. George

30th May 1850

1832

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1
6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4
1	"	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1
"	"	14	15	42	44	5	99	"	"	91	29	113	26	"
1	"	15	16	44	46	6	100	1	1	93	30	114	27	1
5	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	5	"	"	"	"	3
"	"	9	10	38	40	"	94	"	"	87	24	108	21	"
5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
"	"	10	"	95	27	18	"	121	"	84	10	125	60	"
1	1	11	1	96	28	19	1	122	1	85	11	126	61	1
4	4	"	4	"	"	"	"	4	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	3
"	"	6	"	91	24	14	"	117	"	80	6	122	57	"

Regulation of 1833			Regulation Act of 1834		Act of 1835									
2	3	4	1	1	2	1	2	8	9	10	11	17	18	21
4	4	3	"	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	1	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"
29	"	"	"	15	"	"	"	8	8	"	"	15	73	15
29	"	1	"	16	1	"	"	8	8	"	"	15	74	15
"	4	2	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
25	"	"	"	13	"	"	"	8	8	"	"	15	74	15
4	4	4	"	4	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	3	"
26	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	66	14
27	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	14	69	14
"	1	1	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23	"	"	2	"	"	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	14	69	14

1802

Fort St. George

30th May 1850

Act of 1836								Act of 1837								Act of 1838															
8	9	11	13	25	26	30	31	4	5	13	17	18	19	21	22	23	30	32	33	34	35	36	3	12	14	17	20	21	23	25	26	28	31
1	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	23	14	15	"	"	15	29	14	"	"	"	89	"	114	"	"	53	"	14	"	"	15	15	37	"	"	"	18		
1	"	"	"	1	1	23	14	15	"	"	15	29	14	"	"	"	89	"	115	1	"	53	"	14	1	1	16	16	38	1	1	1	19		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
1	"	"	"	1	1	23	14	15	"	"	15	29	14	"	"	"	89	"	115	1	"	53	"	14	1	1	16	16	38	1	1	1	19		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
3	"	"	2	"	2	"	"	"	2	2	1	1	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
"	"	"	14	14	14	18	24	"	"	"	32	32	"	"	14	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
3	"	"	16	14	16	18	24	"	2	2	33	33	1	"	14	1	68	"	124	14	"	42	14	28	"	"	32	"	10	"	"	"	"		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	69	"	124	14	1	43	14	28	"	"	32	"	10	"	"	"	"		
3	"	"	16	14	16	18	24	"	2	2	33	33	1	"	14	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	69	"	124	14	1	43	14	28	"	"	32	"	10	"	"	"	"		

1803 Fort St. George

Act of 1839.

| | | |

1804 Fort St. George

Acts 1841

1	2	5	7	8	10	11	13	16	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	104	"	"	8
"	"	16	1	1	1	1	1	1	15	1	1	105	1	1	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	16	1	1	1	1	1	1	15	1	1	105	1	1	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
30	14	18	"	"	"	18	"	"	28	"	17	56	18	"	"
30	14	18	"	"	"	18	"	"	28	"	17	56	18	"	"
30	14	18	"	"	"	18	"	"	28	"	17	56	18	"	"

30th May 1850

Act of 1842

25	26	27	28	29	30	2	3	4	8	9	10	11	12	15
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	"	8	8	8	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	15	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1	1	1	16	1	9	1	1	9	8	9	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	1	1	1	1	16	1	9	1	1	9	8	9	1	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	18	18	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	32	"	"	4	"	3	"	18	18	"
"	"	"	"	"	32	"	"	4	"	3	"	18	18	"
"	"	"	"	"	32	"	"	4	"	3	"	18	18	"

7805 Fort St. George 30th May 1850

Act of 1843.

1	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	12	13	15	16	17	18	19	21	24	25	1						
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	"	"	2	3	1	"	3	3	1						
"	"	8	14	44	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	33	"							
1	1	9	15	45	1	3	1	1	3	"	"	2	3	34	"	3	3	1						
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
1	1	9	15	45	1	3	1	1	3	"	"	2	3	34	"	3	3	1						
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	3	"	2	"	"	2	3	"	"							
"	"	14	24	32	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	"	"	18	"	"	"	"							
"	"	14	24	32	"	1	"	"	3	14	2	"	18	2	3	"	"	"							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
"	"	14	24	32	"	1	"	"	3	14	2	"	18	2	3	"	"	"							

Act of 1844

2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	15	16	20	21	22									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
1	"	1	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	"	1	1	1									
"	45	59	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15									
1	45	60	18	2	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	"	1	1	16									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
1	45	60	18	2	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	"	1	1	18									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
2	2	2	"	2	2	2	3	"	2	2	2	2	2	"	1									
"	28	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
2	29	12	"	2	2	2	3	"	2	2	2	2	2	"	1									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"									
2	29	12	"	2	2	2	3	"	2	2	2	2	2	"	1									

1806 Fort St. George

30th May 1850

	Act of 1845													Act of 1846	Act of 1847	Act of 1848
	1	2	6	9	10	13	15	16	18	20	21	25	28	30	31	32	1	7	9	2	4	8	10	13	6	7
			3	1	1	2	1	1	1	2		2		1												
	8	8		23	14																					
	8	8	3	24	15	2	15	15	15	16	14	16	14	15												
	8	8	3	24	15	2	15	15	15	16	14	16	14	15												
			2	3	3			3	3	2		2	2	3												
			2	3	3			3	3	2		2	2	3												
			2	3	3			3	3	2		2	2	3												
																	1	1	1							
																		14								
																	1	15	1							
																	1	15	1							
																	1	3	3			2	2	2		
																	1	3	3			2	2	2		
																	1	3	3			2	2	2		

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

(60)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

To the Chief Secretary to Government. } In conformity with the &c orders of Government conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to Submit the Broken Set of &c their Proceedings for last Month for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 30th May 1850 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To the Collector of Cuddapah

(61)

Sir

To the Collector of Cuddapah. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish the information called for in their Proceedings of the 17th January last, respecting the lands proposed to be granted in Endowment of the Choultry built by Appoo Row Head Sheristadar of your District.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 30th May 1850 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

C. E. B.

Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

(62)

To The Collector of Chingleput

To the Collector of Chingleput. } Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition No. 2276 of 1849 from Kristnah Row Goomastah of Tenion Talook in the district of Trichinopoly on the subject of his claim to a refund of the amount subscribed by his father to the late Native Pension fund, and with reference to your Endorsement of the 27th February last, to request that you will be pleased to report more fully on the circumstances of the case.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 30th May 1850. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

To

7809 Fort St. George. 30th May 1850.

63

To the Collector of Madras. N. 914/50.

To The Collector of Madras

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Petition from Tuckiroo Bee yeomiahdar at Madras, on the subject of her identity and with reference to the correspondence that has passed in the case, to request that you will again take the matter under consideration, and institute a full and particular enquiry into all the circumstances connected with it.

2. As the yeomiahdar is now about 67 years of age, she will not perhaps object to appear at your Cutcherry, or as it appears that she is connected with respectable persons such as the Sobahdar Mahomed Shereef and Mahomed Wyoit, it may not be impracticable to obtain conclusive information as to her identity and you will be pleased therefore to take a deposition from each of these parties as well as from the Yeomiah Writer, and submit them to the Board with a report on the case stating the grounds on which you are of opinion that no imposition has been practised.

Tuckiroo Bee may also be allowed to name some person who will be able to give evidence on her behalf.

In Cons. 20th Dec. — in Cons. 7th Jan. 1850
Pro. 7th Jan. — Do
In Cons. 21st Dec. — in Cons. 31st Dec. — Do
Pro. 31st — Do — Do
In Cons. 7th — in Cons. 25th February 1850.
Pro. 22d Do — Do
In Cons. 28th Feb. — in Cons. 4th March 1850.
Pro. 4th March — Do

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th May 1850

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

7810 Fort St. George. 30th May. 1850.

From the Collector of Chingleput. 64 — Read letter submitting a detailed list of the Tuzzore and District Establishments as they stood on the 30th April 1850.

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon, 64-65 — The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

66 From the Agent at Hill tracts of Orissa. — Statement of actual charges incurred under estimate for Fusly. 1258.

67 From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly. 1259.

68 From the Coll.r of Guntoor. — Statement of actual charges incurred under estimate for Fusly 1258.

69 From the Coll.r of Guntoor. — Statement of actual charges incurred under estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1258.

70 From the Agent at Kurnool. — Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of advances for Cultivation for Fusly 1260.

71 From the Coll.r of Chingleput. — Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of advances for Cultivation for Fusly 1260.

72 From the Collector of Tanjore. — Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of advances for Cultivation for Fusly 1260.

From

73
From the Collector of Madura.— Statement of actual charges
incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1258.—

74.
From the Collr of Madura.— Statement of actual charges
incurred without estimate in the Magisterial
75 Department for Fusly 1258.—

From the Actg Collr of Tinnevelly.— Statement of actual charges
incurred under estimate in the Magisterial
66-76 Department for Fusly 1258.—

Order on the 10 abovementioned Statements.— Ordered that the
necessary remarks of the Board be inserted
in the proper Column of the abovementioned
Statements and submitted for the Orders of
Government.—

From the Sub Collr in charge of Salem.— Read Statement of
77-78 contingent charges incurred for the Quarter
ending 31st March last.—

Order thereon.— Resolved that the necessary orders
be passed.—

79
Read the undermentioned Registers

From the Agent at Kurnool.— Reporting that no Endorse-
ment was made on any of the Petitions during the
80 month of April last.—

From the Sub Collr in charge of Salem.— Registers of Endorse-
ments passed by him on Petitions referred
by the Board during the month of April last.—

From

81
From the Collr of Trichinopoly.— Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
for the Month of April last.—

82
From the Actg Pl. Collector of Coimbatore.— Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
for the Month of February last.—

83
From the Actg Pl. Collr of Coimbatore.— Register of Endorse-
ments passed by him on Petitions referred by
the Board for the month of March last.—

79-84
Order on the 5 abovementioned Registers.— Ordered to be lodged
in the Office.—

Read the undermentioned
Statements.—

85
From the Collr of Ganjam.— Statement shewing the quantity
of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption
for the quarter ending 30th April last.—

86
From the Collr of Vizagapatam.— Statement shewing the quantity
of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consump-
tion for the Quarter ending 30th April last.—

87
From the Collr of Malabar.— Statement Shewing the
quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland
Consumption for the quarter ending 30th April
85-88 last.—

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements.— Ordered to lie over.—

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous letters and Statements.— From

89 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

90 From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

91 From the Coll.r of Tanjore.— Acknowledging the receipt of certain printed forms.

92 From the Coll.r of Madura.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

93 From the Coll.r of Madura.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

94 From the A.g Collector of Tinnevelly.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

95 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of April last.

From the A.g Pl. Collector of Coimbatore.— Return of persons

96 charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of February last.—

97 From the A.g Pl. Collector of Coimbatore. Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the month of February last.—

98 From the A.g Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore.— Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of March last.—

99 From the A.g Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore.— Stating that the Return of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 is not sent for the month of February last.—

100 From the A.g Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore.— Stating that certain Returns for the Month of March last are not sent.—

101 From the A.g Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore.— Statement of smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the month of February last.— Ordered

7815 Fort St. George 30th May 1850.

(101-102)

Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of Smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

(103)

From the Collector of Madras. Acknowledging the receipt of 1,000 Salt Rowannahs.—

"

" Yolland

Comptr. E. F. Boyle