

Vol 38

15410 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 29th October 1849 } G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member.
and
J. Goldingham Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Second Member
Absent on duty. As Commr. Nr. Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

(1)

From the Ag. Secy. to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th October 1849. No. 991.

Read the following letter.

To Sir H. C. Montgomery, Bt.
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 27th June last, No. 606, I am directed to forward original Maps of the Guntoor, Masulipatam and Condapilly Circars therein required, and to request their return when done with.

Fort William, The 29th September 1849 } (Signed) Wm. Grey
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.
Ordered

15410 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 29th October 1849 } G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.
and
J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member
Absent on duty: As Commr. Nr. Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Extracts.—

(1)

From the Aff. Secy to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th October 1849.— No. 991.—

Read the following letter.—

To Sir H. C. Montgomery, Bt.
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 27th June last, No. 606, I am directed to forward original Maps of the Guntoor, Masulipatam and Condapilly Circars therein required, and to request their return when done with.—

Fort William, The 29th September 1849 } (Signed) Wm. Grey
Under Secy to the Govt. of India.

Ordered

15411 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

Ordered that the Maps received [illegible] to [illegible] to [illegible] 14th May 1849 [illegible]

[illegible] Bayley [illegible] to Government

Order [illegible]

Ordered that the foregoing correspondence be communicated to the Collector of Guntoor with reference to paras of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 27th June 1849 which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of 2nd July following.

In Cons 2nd July 1849

2. The two Maps received from the Government of India have been despatched by this day's Bangly and Mr Stokes will be pleased to return them when no longer required.

Read the following letter.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(3)

From the Chief Secy to Government

Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to request that you will forward, as early as practicable, the report called for in the Order of Government in

15412 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

this Department dated the 1st June last. No 216. on the probability or otherwise of a supply of entire Teak Timber from the Annamalee Forests, for the Bombay Dock yard.

Fort St George, 16th October 1849

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary.

Read also the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(4)

From the Agt Collr of Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 22nd January and 5th July 1849.

2. The Board will observe, from previous correspondence that the Teak Forests of Animalli are extensive, and that Timber can be supplied to the required extent.

3. Major Cotton, Civil Engineer of the 7th Division, will have ere this furnished a detailed report on this subject, to the Board of Revenue, embracing all

15413 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

all particulars connected with the working of the Forests and [illegible] their capabilities and the [illegible] good [illegible] available.

4. Copy of Mr. Cotton's letter of the 18th August last is transmitted herewith.

Coimbatore 9th October 1849 } Sign'd E. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector
No. 1.

To E. B. Thomas Esqr.
Acting Principal Collector
of Coimbatore

Sir,

1. In answer to your letter of the 19th July last, No. 88 regarding Teak for the Bombay Dock Yard, I beg to say, that I have no doubt whatever, of the Annamallee Forests supplying all that may be required.—

2. In consequence of its having been determined to substitute the axe for the saw in cutting up the timber in the forest, and the difficulty we shall have in procuring men accustomed to the use of that implement, it is doubtful how much timber we can supply next season,— but each year will add to our means, and I feel sure

15414 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.—

sure that ere long, we shall send to the coast, if necessary, 1,000 trees, containing, at least 4,00,000 Cubic feet of Teak.—

3. I am just closing a report which I shall forward to the Board in a few days, wherein I have entered into many of the same subjects alluded to in the correspondence that accompanied your letter.— A Copy of this report will also be forwarded to your Office, when I have had a Copy made for Captain Williams, but as my people are fully occupied just now, I fear that some little time must elapse, before I am able to send it to you.—

4. I do myself the honor to return the enclosures of your letter.—

(Signed) F. C. Cotton
Civil Engineer 7th Division

Civil Engineer's Office 7th Division
Caroor 18th August 1849.—

(True Copy)
(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government.—

3-5

To the Chief Secy to Government.— } Submitting Correspondence with

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

15415 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

[illegible] Forests of the description and to the extent required by the Bombay Government.

2. From the [illegible] communicated with the orders of Government dated 14th February last it would seem that arrangements have already been made by Captain Williams the Government Timber Agent in Malabar, for obtaining the supply of Plants referred to in the previous orders of 23d December 1848 to meet the wants of the Bombay Dock Yard for 1850/51.

3. With respect to the enquiry contained in the orders of Government of 1st June last as to the probability or otherwise of obtaining a supply of entire Teak Timber from the Animally Forests, the Board desire me to submit the accompanying letters from the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore and Major Cotton Engineers, from which it will be seen that in the opinion of these Officers, Timber may

From Major Cotton 18th August 1849
In From Ag. Pl. Collr. Coimbatore 9th. in Cons 29th October 1849.

15416 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

may be procured from those Forests of the description, and to the extent required by the Bombay Government.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th October 1849. } (Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary.

6

Read the following Extracts.

From the Ag. Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 19th October 1849 No. 1009.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1257. A.D. 1847/48. (Here enter 6th August 1849 No. 359.)

Para 1. The season of Fusly 1257 was very propitious for wet land cultivation and an abundant Nunjah harvest was the result. The Nunjah settlement exhibits an increase of Rupees 118694 compared with that of Fusly 1256. The early rains failing and the latter being "incessant" interfered with the cultivation of the dry crop lands, and the settlement under this head has fallen short of that of Fusly 1256 by Rs. 30,040. The gross charge upon the lands subject to annual settlement exclusive of Peshcush, Shrotrium

Nunjah settlement

END 15416 3X

15.204-7-3.
33.899-2-2.

...iah.
...
430.675-11-2.
400.634-14-6.

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.—

4. The Collections within the Fusly were only short of the demand by Rupees 19.450 and this balance was reduced by the end of October to the very small sum of Rupees 2.849- The Collections of Fusly 1257 within the year exceeded those of Fusly 1256 by Rupees 261.944.—

5. To the 40th Para of his report the Collector has attached a statement exhibiting highly satisfactory results Viz.t the progressive increase in the Nunjah Cultivation of his District, the year under review had which in the reached the highest extent known since 1232.— A progressive improvement in the berize of the District is also shewn concomitant with a marked decrease in the balances outstanding at the close of the latter Fusles of the series.—

6. The Board of Revenue in their Proceedings having noticed the several points in the Collector's report requiring their attention, especially that referred to by the Collector in the 33d. Para, it only remains for the Right Honorable the

15419 Fort St. George 4th October 1849

the Governor in Council [illegible] his approbation of the Shoreline management of the Tinnevelly [illegible] in Fusk 1257

(True Extract)

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

6-7

Order thereon

Signed W. H. Bayley
Ag. Secretary to Government

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 8th August last

8

From the Ag. Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th October 1849 No. 1017

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of Board of Revenue

(Here enter 4th October 1849 No. 435)

Para 1 Under the circumstances urged by Cunniah Chetty the Right Honorable the Governor in Council consents to relinquish the claim of Government to any subsequent increase to the Jodee that may be eventually fixed on the Village of Parumbadoo and the 2 Hamlets, but resolves that it shall be Rupees 1116 per Annum, being calculated on the Gross

15420 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Gross Receipts of the last 10 years.

2. The prospect of the great increase of Revenue that may be realized by bringing Waste Lands into Cultivation ought to be sufficient inducement to Cunniah Chetty to accept the terms now finally determined, and in the event of his refusal the Board will endeavour to find some other Village where the waste land may be less valuable, but which has yielded an average Revenue sufficient to meet the circumstances of the case.

(A True Extract)

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Ag. Secy to Government.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To C. Conniah Chetty

8-9

To C. Conniah Chetty

Sir,

In Cons. 4th Oct. 1849

From Govt. 25th in Cons. 29th Oct. 1849.

With reference to your letter of 29th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council consents to relinquish the claim of Government to any subsequent increase to the Jodee that

15421 Fort St George 29th October 1849

that may be given in the village of Parumbadoo and the two hamlets but resolved that it shall be Rupees 1116 per Annum being calculated on the Gross receipts of the last 10 years.

2. The prospect of the great increase of Revenue that may be realised by bringing waste lands into cultivation should in the opinion of Government be sufficient inducement to you to accept the terms now finally determined upon but in the event of your refusal, it will be necessary that some other Village should be selected, yielding on an average a revenue not below 3500 Rupees, but in which the waste land may be less valuable.

3. The Board beg that you will be so good as to inform them at an early date, whether you will accept the Village of Parumbadoo and its two hamlets on the terms finally proposed by Government that is chargeable with an annual Quit rent of Rupees 1116 and that in the event of your declining you will take speedy measures for selecting some other Village.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
29th October 1849

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

15422. Fort St George 29th October 1849.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

10

From the Actg. Collr. of Bellary — Para 1.

Submitting for the orders of the Board a case of Kyratee Enam of Rupees 37-10-7 held by the late Mahomedan Abdool Cawder in the town of Penacondah of the Bellary District & the claims preferred by Parties for the same accompanied with Statements & Sunnuds having reference to the case.

Sir,

In submitting for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue with reference to Para 5 of their Circular letter bearing date the 27th July 1848 a case of Khyratee Enam of Rupees 37-10-7 held by the late Mahomedan Abdool Cawder, who died about the Month of March 1846 in the town of Penacondah, Talook of Penacondah of this District, I have the honor to state that the deceased usually paid to Government a Quit Rent of Rupees 8-12-a per year on 35-1-9 (Rs a), as will be perceived from the Statement* prepared in the form prescribed by the Board. Another Account is also transcribed to shew the names of Individuals as originally exhibited in the Survey Accounts.

* Vide Enclosure No. 1.

× Vide Do. No. 2.

2. Of the claims to the Enam held by the deceased one Hindoopoor Fuckoordeen whose Statement will hereafter be explained, appeared before the Tasildar of the Talook, and produced Six Tackeeds &ca in support

5. Tackeeds & Sunnud. for Iodee Enam No. 1-2-3-4 & 5.
1 Do. for Survamanneyem Enam No. 6.
6

15423 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

[illegible]

[illegible] Beghals of [illegible] in the names of [illegible] Mohamed accord[illegible] to the former Sunnuds.

No. 2 [illegible] Jetooye [illegible] 1130 of the year [illegible] 1720 [illegible] Beghals of Dry land and [illegible] Beghals of Wet land were granted in lieu of those formerly given in the names of the Persons named in the first document which were supported by Sunnuds (lost during the disturbances of the former Governments)

No. 3. A Persian Tackeed granted on the 10th Shawal Month of the Hizree 1135 in Fusly 1133 stating that 20 Beghals of Enam land were granted according

The corresponding measurement in the Pymash accounts is as follows.
Acres Turm
assess
11-23-2-1-1-10 Dry land
7-2-14-18-8-8 Wet land
18-26-[illegible]-70-3-5 Quit Rent
Rupees 17-8-[illegible]
A moiety is enjoyed by Hunzee & the other by the deceased.

Note
According to Hizree computation the year specified appears to correspond with Fusly 1130. If the Hizree is mentioned is taken for guidance it cor

15424. Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

A.D. 1720 while by the Fusly year it agrees with A.D. 1723.

to the former Sunnud, in the names of the abovenamed Parties.

No. 4. A Tackeed written in Maharatta Character, but Persian language, stated to have been granted by Esmayeel Khan (as appears from the Characters on the seal) dated 9th of Ruzzub of the Hizree 1136 corresponding to A.D. 1721 defining the boundaries of the 10 Beghals of Dry granted and 10 Beghals of Wet land, agreeably to the former Sunnuds, in the names of the abovementioned Persons.

No. 5. A Persian Tackeed dated 27th of Zilhaze Sun 49 Zooloos year, stating that the said extent of Beghal land was granted in the name of the said Persons.

No. 6. A Persian Tackeed issued on the 26th of Zulhaze of Sund Fusly 1146 corresponding to A.D. 1736 that one Erasat, or ½ Toom, of Dry and Wet land was granted in the name of Sanah Woollah.

Note.
The entry in the Pymash accounts shows Dry land only Vizt.
Acres-Turm or assess
2-36-14-2-8-10 Survamanneam Enam
x Survamanneyem Enam.

3. It is impossible from the foregoing Documents to determine with accuracy

Sons Sana Woollah and Matabhoyee, that the former was the Person referred to in the last document, who died Childless: the younger had a son of the same name / Sanah Woollah / whose name was entered in the accounts of the Survamanneyem during the time of Tymash, and was the Father of the deceased Abdool Cawder. This last mentioned Person had a wife and Daughter, but both of them died about the same time. From
Enclosure No 3. the accompanying Genealogical Table of this Family, it would appear that the Enams in question have been regularly inherited by the Members of the Family of the Person in whose name the Summud was granted-

5. Subjoined are the Statements of the Parties claiming the succession-

First- Hindoopoor Tuckoordeen referred to in the 2d Para of this report who claims on the ground that he is the son of the deceased's Sister- He represents that the documents which he produced were obtained by his Grand Mother the Widow of Sanat Woollah, who delivered them

over

in consequence of the orders of the Board dated 21st December 1848, on my 5th Query put to them, as the pledging of Enams must be left to be settled by the parties interested-

Rajahmiah- 7. Besides this a 3d Person has preferred his claim stating that the deceased made over the Survamanne = yem Enam of Rupees 2-8-10 by sale to his Grand Father Oomiah a long time since, and that the latter had dug a Well therein and made a Garden, adding at the same time that he/the claimant/ is not in possession of the Bill of Sale. In the absence of the do= = cument in question and from the demise of both the Seller and Receiver of the Enam I have not thought it proper to further urged his case-

8. Though only 2 Persons near of Kin to the deceased by marriage with the female branch came forward at the time of enquiry, it would appear that there are 3 Sisters of the deceased whose claim is equally good with that of the claimants, and the husband of the 3rd Salbee

15429

15430

Fort St George 29th October 1819

point as the Inams being entered in the Survey accounts and taxed with a Quit Rent show that they have been long possessed and recog-nised by the Company's Government.—

Bellary Collector's Office
24th September 1819 - -

(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector.—

15430 Fort St George 29th October 1819

point as the Inams being entered in the Survey accounts and taxed with a Quit Rent show that they have been long possessed and recog-nised by the Company's Government.—

Bellary Collector's Office
24th September 1819 — }

(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector.—

Report

Names of th holders and relation w which the government to the gran and date of t decease	
8	
Abdoolcau the grea grand s of the origi grantee de about the of March 18	

lation

invariably obtained, and he is to hold them in his possession and enjoy the produce, praying for the prosperity of the State without intervention.—

Dated 8th Rumzan 1132 Fizree

"Beaz" mark fixed to public writing by a principal Officer (meaning) Durgha Coolly Khan.—

"Purport on the back of the Summud"

That 20 Baghals of land (not included in the Circar account) and a Musjid with a garden near Manacapoor and Eslapoor in the Purgunah Penacondah in the Carnatic Circar Darozzuffer Beejapoor granted to Hanzee Son of Syed Mohomed Durvish for his maintenance for offering payers and for sweeping the Musjids in the Durgha Hazrut Babah Fuckrooddeen, under the former Chiefs Summuds with the document of the Cashkeepers signature.—

Explanation or order on this Paper of questions put to Badashah whose orders is written here "Give Summud conformably to the former orders".—

(Signed) A G Tweedie
Assistant Collector.—

Translation.—

15436 Fort St George 29th October 1849

[illegible]

Translation of a Persian Tickeed granted to Hauzee Son of Syed Mohomed Durvaish

Whereas Mortsuddies [illegible] now existing and many [illegible] to the Purgunah Penacondah of the Circar Carnatic Sooba Daroozuffer Beejapoor

Whereas that [illegible] of lands not included in the Circar account) fit for Cultivation and a Musjid with a Garden in the Manacapoor and Islampoor Village were fixed upon Hauzee Son of Syed Mohomed Durvaish under former Sunnuds for offering prayers in a loud voice and for sweeping the Musjid in the Durgha The same is to continue accordingly — You are hereby directed to entrust the said Lands to him conformably to the former practice invariably obtained and he should hold them in his possession, enjoy the produce praying for the prosperity of the State —

Dated 10th Showal 1135 Hizree corresponding to 1133 Fusly

(Signed) A G Tweedie
Assistant Collector

15437 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

No. 4 in No. 1

Translation of a Persian and Maharatta Chuck=namah or the document marking the boundaries of an Estate granted to Hauzee Tuckeer and Syed Mohomed Durvaish. —

Esmayeel Kan Punny Bin Part not visible

Whereas there are 10 Beghals of Wet and 10 Beghals of Dry land (not included in the Circar accounts) fit for Cultivation with a Musjid and Garden under the Villages of Manacapoor and Islampoor - They were granted for the subsistence of the said two Persons in alms in the name of Badashah under the former Sealed Sunnuds issued by Asud Khan — Oomdetool Moolook Moodarool Mahan and Khasimkhan (dead) Amarut Murtabut, Dewans to the Circar of Carnatic. — The 10 — Beghals of Dry land were in dispute for the last 30 years, in consequence of there being no boundary fixed thereto At last, Esmayeel Khan Punny the Naib Killadar Zemindars Coolcaranees and Ryots of Cusbah Penacondah ally jointly ascertained and investigated — the said Dry Enam Land and entrusted them to the two persons, after fixing the Field (Character not visible)

of

15435 Fort St George 29th October 1849

10 Beghals of Dry land is situated near the Cohase
=yah or "Black Hill."—
10 Beghals of Wet land are bounded on the East,
near by Ootacalvah of Colatimmapully, on the
west near Shorekokhakee Pahad on the North,
by Ootacalvah, and on the South by Ootacalvah
communicating the Enam lands of Coolearnee
Thus the boundaries are fixed to the lands.—
Hence you are directed to take possession of the
produce of the lands within the boundaries, every
2 courses of Crops, and every year, and you are
invariably to pray for the prosperity of the State,
and reading Namas without intervention
Dated 23 Runzan sun Fusly 1130.—

(Signed) A.G. Tweedie
Assistant Collector.—

Witnesses.—

(Signed) Syed Muctoom
Thull Nandgond
Purganah Penacondah
Vencojee
Timmajee
Desa Ponday
Purganah Penacondah
Annundarow Desa Ponday
Purganah Pengondah.—
Syed Mohomed
Desh Mouck
Purganah Penacondah.—

Translation

15439

Fort St George 29th October 1819

No 5 in No 1

Sulungeer Mohomed
(Characters in the middle
not visible) at the end
Tuckee saile

Translation of a Persian Chucknamah issued
in the names of Hanzee Tuckeer and Syed Mohomed
Durvaish who are sweeping and making
prayers in the Musjed within the Dargah
of Huzrut Sha Baba Tuckoordeen.—

That, 20 Beghals of waste land (not
included in the Sircar Account) fit for
cultivation with the Musjed and Garden
situated near the channel of the Villages of
Manacapoor and Islampoor, were lately given to
the said Persons in alms in the name of the
Badasha for their subsistence, under the
former

15439

Fort St George 29th October 1819

No 5 in No 1

Ankungeer Mohomed
(Characters in the middle
not visible) at the end
Tuckeer saile

Translation of a Persian Chucknamah issued in the names of Hanzee Tuckeer and Syed Mohomed Durvaish who are sweeping and making prayers in the Musjed within the Durgah of Huzrut Sha Baba Tuckoordeen.—

That 20 Beghals of waste land (not included in the birear Account) fit for cultivation with the Musjed and Garden situated near the channel of the Villages of Manacapoor and Islampoor, were lately given to the said Persons in alms in the name of the Badasha for their subsistence under the former

15441 — Fort St George 29th October 1849

No. 6 in Statement No. 1

Mohomed Sha Bada Sha Gazee Fedence Talib Khan Bahadoor

Mohomed Khan

Translation of Persian Tackeed issued to Sana Woollah —

Knew ye, Amils, Zemeedars now in existence, and who may hereafter be appointed to the Purganah Penacondah of the Carnatic Beejapoor attached to the Soobah or Zillah Darroozuffer Beejapoor — That 5 Coodoo or Tooms of Dry and Wet Land (not included in the Circar account) with a Well were fixed under a Separate Summud, in the name of Sana woolah the servant who takes care of the Durgha Babah Tuckoorodeen said according to the contents on the back of this Tackeed — You are hereby directed, that the said land are to be delivered to the said Servant — He is to receive the produce of the land for his subsistence, and pray for the prosperity of the State —

Dated 28th Zeehujjah Sum in 1146 Fusly

(Signed) A G Tweedie

Assistant Collector —

"Contents"

Five Tooms of Dry and Wet land not included in the Circar account were granted to Sanawoollah the servant who takes care of Durgah under the former chiefs Summuds —

5 Total No. of Tooms

3 In the wet land and the Well is situated within the Ditch —

2 Ditto in the Dry land near Red soil —

5

(Signed) A G Tweedie

Asst Collector —

Statement

Talook of Pencondah in the Bellary District

The remaining portion enjoyed by the deceased		Names of Inamdars as entered in the Survey accounts	
Extent	Assessment	In Pymash accounts	In Tarwar account
11	12	13	14
As G A	Rs A P		
2 36 14	2 8 10	Sana Woollah	Sana Woollah
5 31 9	" 13 5	Hauzee Takeerah	Hauzee Takeerah
1 8 7	10 9 4	Ditto	Ditto
2 12 15	23 11 "	Ditto	Ditto
12 9 13	37 10 7		

(Signed) A G Tweedie
Assistant Collector.

Genealogical

29th October 1849.

No 3

Table

Syed Mohomed
Original Grantee

Dead

Matabhoyee
Dead

Sara Woollah
Dead

This is the Person whose name is entered in the Pymash accounts.—

No 3

Mohadeenabee
Daughter

Present

No 4

Lalbee
Daughter

Living with her husband but no male issue

Fuckooroodeen

1st claimant

(Signed) A G. Tweedie
Assistant Collector.—

Ordered

15444

[illegible]

15445 | Fort St George 29th October 1849

To,

T Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

12

Sir,

From the Ag Collector of Bellary — Submitting case of Bhatwartee Enam of Rupees 50-3-10 in the Raidroog and Gooty Talooks of that District which was lapsed by the death of the last holder Sashadry Soobiah accompanied with Statements &ca.

Para 1. — I have the honor with reference to Para 9 of the Board's Circular dated 27th July 1848 to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue for their consideration and orders, a case of Bhatavurtee Enam land of the value of Rupees 50-3a-10p in the Raidroog and Gooty Talooks, which has lapsed in consequence of the death of the late holder Sashadry Soobaya without issue on the 25th May 1847. —

2 In reference to this case, I have prepared the Statement in the form prescribed by the Board's Circular of the 22d May 1845 and — accompanied it with another to show the entries in the Survey Accounts connected with this Enam and those held by the present claimants - I have also forwarded a Genealogical Table of of the Family of the deceased. —

Enclosure No. 1

Do No. 2

Do No. 3

3 The Enam lands in question have been claimed by one Paulapautee Vencuppah

29th

Bellary

Decision of

Government

14.

Statement

				Acres	Y	A	Cts.	Ds.			
		97	Havelghee	309	18	..	21	..	Sashadry Timmapah	Soobbiah	It appears from the Talook Proceedings, that the deceased Sashadry Soobbiah stood in relationship to Vencata.
	Gooty	105	Paulattoor	422	11	38 15	17	7 5	Do.	Do.	
					29	38 15	38	7 5			
			Grand Total		148	17 15	181	11 6			

E. E. Jr. / Signed / C. Pelly Acting Collector — Genealogical

97	Haveleghee	306	20	5	"	41	1	5	Paulapaty chintama=napah	Do.
105	Paulattoor	376	16	25	12	19	6	4	Do. Ra=mappah	Hanoomun=tapah
114	Vedepanacull	209	15	12	8	8	15	3	Do.	Vencapah
115	Caracamookala	164	4	22	"	1	5	3	Ramanum=bhutloo	Do.
7	Total		98	3	"	131	7	8		
	Grand Total		148	17	15	181	11	6		

Grand Total [illegible] was to Vencatabhutloo whether by son or Daughter. The House name of the deceased Sashadry and that of the Pymash=dar being one and the same, it is pro=bable that the latter was also con=nected with deceased.

Grants now enjoyed by Vencapah and others the Gooty

E. E. Jr. (Signed) C. Pelly Acting Collector. Genealogical

15451 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Proceedings thereon.

(12-13)

The Board of Revenue having duly considered the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector of Bellary in reference to the claims preferred to the succession to the Bhutawurty Enams held by the late Sashadry Soobyah, concur in opinion with Mr. Pelly that the pretensions of the several parties who it is observed from the Genealogical table stood to the deceased only in the relationship of fourth cousins or fourth Cousins once removed are inadmissible.

They therefore direct that the Enams in question be resumed.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(14)

From the Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Statement of certain lands under attachment for arrears of Revenue amounting to Rupees 257 as. 6 p. 4, and request that sanction may be granted for their sale. — This is the first time I have found it necessary to propose such a step, and which generally speaking, I am very averse to, and I trust in the present instance also it may not be found absolutely necessary eventually to enforce the sale; but it is requisite that some such steps should now be taken; and that the sanction of the Board should be obtained to enable the Tahsildars to collect the large outstanding Balances.

Chingleput District,
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry,
Pullecarney. 19th October 1849

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

15452 Fort St. George 19th October 1849

A Statement showing the lands under attachment for sale on account of Current and arrears of Revenue against [illegible]

Taluk	Names of Villages	Name of Ryots						
		Sabaupaty Moodely and Ourvata Ummaul	1256			1	Punjey	4 5/8	7 36
			1257	"	" "	6 2 7	Nunjey	11 10 7/8	85 8 1
			1258	216 1 3		85 6 8			
						91 10 3		16 "	92 11 7
Peddapolliem	Eacaudoo	R. Veerasawmy Moodely	1256	"	" "	1 5 6			
			1257	"	" "	3 10 8	Nunjey	1 6 3/8	17 1 11
			1258	26 8 "		11 1 4			
						16 1 6			
		Veerasawmy Moodely	1255	"	" "	11 1 11			
			1257	"	" "	1 4 "	Punjey	2 14 1/8	4 2 11
			1258	142 3 7		75 1 "	Nunjee	12 8 1/4	85 8 11
						87 6 11		15 6 3/8	89 11 10
		Total				229 5 4	Punjey	7 3 1/4	11 6 5
							Nunjey	29 14 3/4	223 14 9
								37 2	235 5 2
Conjeveram	Coonacarumbaukum	Kristnama Charry	1258	37 1 "		17 1 "	Nunjey	" 13 7/8	17 8 "
	Mahadavemungalum	Do	1258	28 8 4		11 " 4	Nunjey	" 11 5/8	12 " "
		Total				28 1 4		1 9 1/2	29 8 "
		Grand Total				257 6 4		38 11 1/2	264 13 2

Chingleput District, Collrs. Cutcherry, Pullecarney, 19th October 1849 . . . . E. E. Pr. (Signed) A. Freese Collr. under

15454 Fort St. George 29th. October 1849.—

Monthly Cultivation Accounts prepared in the Hoozoor Cutcherry from the foregoing The Talook Cultivation Accounts contain no Villagewar particulars except the april Accounts in some instances - It does not appear that these would ever be used for reference; the same information is afforded with more detail by the Jummabundy Accounts - All the Monthly Cultivation abstracts except those for april are proposed to be destroyed, as these latter contain the information of all the rest, it is not likely that even these will ever be referred to, for the reason above given.—

3. List 2. Item 1. The State-
ment here referred to is the "Jumma-
bundy Nubbergetty tucktah;" it shews the
settlement of each Ryot Bobwary but not
Stullawary - Its use is to aid in making
the Village abstract of the settlement,
shewing the Nunjah, Poonjah, Cultivation,
waste, Shawoy, &c. and to ensure correct-
ness in that abstract - It is useful
for that purpose but useless ever
afterwards.

deducted in the accounts and paid over, and the accounts shewn in this item are the particulars of that settlement and the Receipts taken for the sums paid.— They are useless for all future purposes.—

7. List 2. Nos. 23. 28. 30. 41. 44. 48. 59. The only one of these which appears at all likely to be ever referred to is No. 30 which gives the receipts and disbursements of the Cauvetnuggur Zemindary while under Minor Management; this therefore may be retained. The remainder are for the most part accounts drawn up for temporary purposes, or they contain information procurable in a more useful form elsewhere, or such as is useless; they may safely be destroyed. The same may be said of No. 96. and 100; the former of these is the account Current of the Salt pans cash chest, the latter shows the receipts and sales of Salt; all the same particulars so far as they can be ever required are; contained in other records.—

8. The wishes of the Board with respect to the English Records shall be attended to, and the correspondence referred

15458 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

a Petition No. 531 of 1849 from Teertagherry the Toty of Mokurnum-pully in Tengracottah Talook, and requesting me to submit an application for the grant of land in addition to his present enam, I have the honor to submit a Statement in detail, and request that sanction may be obtained for the grant of land assessed at Rupees 6—6— left waste, in addition to his annual salary of Rupees 2-10—

The Petition No. 531 is herewith returned.

Salem District,
Ossoor,
Collector's Cutcherry,
9th October 1849.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

Statement

29th October 1849.

made to the Emoluments of the undermentioned Toty his in the zillah of Salem for Fusly 1259.—

Addition to be made to his annual salary	Total.	Remarks.
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
6 6 "	9 " "	The Village was formerly in a thriving condition and thickly populated. The present incumbent served as a Toty in it on a receipt of a small Salary of Rupees 2-10-" from the Circar, as also Maira &ca. (profits) given by the Ryots- But they having left the Village for others, his profits &ca. were reduced and his small Salary insufficient. Sanction is therefore requested for granting him some lands assessed at Rupees 6-6-" from those left waste, in addition to his Original allowance-amounting in all to Rupees 9-"-" As a remuneration for his dutees to the Circar.—

Resolved

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector.—

15461 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Read the following letters.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

22

From the Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 20th November 1848 in reply to my communication of the 30th September regarding the transfer of Tanuff lands to the management of the Trustees of the several religious institutions to which they belong, I have the honor to resume the subject and to express my regret that owing to a general disinclination on the part of the Trustees to follow out what at first they seemed favorably inclined to, the plan proposed and approved by the Board, of assigning to the Pagodas "rent free lands in lieu of scattered Tanuff shares," has entirely failed, the main reasons urged against the proposition being that in cases of failure in the produce from drought or other cause the Pagodas would be subject to entire loss of

Resuming the subject of his communication regarding the transfer of Tanuff lands to the management of Trustees to the several Pagodas in Tanjore.

a total of 651 Villages, the entire revenue management of which must be entrusted to Pagoda Punchayets, should the Govern= ment think it expedient to adopt the first of the methods alluded to above.- As regards the interest of the Circar and the Pagodas in a pecuniary point of view with reference to these Villages that of the Circar exceeds by more than four times that of the Pagodas, the revenue derived on an average of 10 years being 164341 Rupees per Annum, and the average amount of Swamy Bhogum or Pagodas share realized on a similar period being but 36323.-

In finally disposing of this question the Circar must be protected from loss, at the same time that the Pagodas and the cultivators are done justice by, and in my opinion neither end will be attained by handing over the villages in question to the management of men in the position of the Punchayets. When first the question of giving up the Tarriff lands was mooted, apprehension was felt lest the Ryots should suffer oppression and trouble at the hands of

a proceeding will be reduced means, and difficulty in meeting the Circar demands in addition to those of the Pagodas and much confusion will, I fear, eventually arise if the Government direct the Tarruff lands to be made over to the several institutions to which the Meerassy right belongs.

The continuance of the Circar superintendance may be looked on as virtually opposing the repeated instructions of the Government that interference in the conduct of the religious institutions of the Country should be wholly and entirely done away with, but this entire separation as regards the institutions in Tanjore can never be considered as accomplished, so long as the ready money allowances allotted to the Pagodas are paid from the Government Treasury. These allowances too exceed by four times the amount drawn annually as Pagoda rent from the Tarruff lands, and, as unfortunately we must make payments to the amount of nearly a lac and a half annually in ready money, my proposition for retaining the Tarruff

[illegible]

15467 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

the assumption of all the lands and the payment of a ready money allowance in lieu of that derived by the Pagodas from them, the amount being calculated on the payments made for a series of years. This arrangement he proceeds to say, if adopted, would reduce the Circar interference with the institutions to a simple periodical payment without the Government running any risk of loss. The payment in lieu of lands resumed, calculated on an average of the payments actually made to the Pogodas after deducting the expences of establishment would, one year with another be attended with little or no loss to Government, and be more to the advantage of the Pagodas than if the management of the lands were transferred to the Trustees, the allowance being certain and no anxiety regarding its regular payment. So far then as patronising the Pagodas, Mr Kindersley's proposition would do so rather in a greater measure than mine, but the people at large would raise objections to the amalgamation of the Pagodas lands with those of the Circar, though they would have

Fort St. George 29th October 1849

toward the continuance of matters in statu quo as regards the Tarufft lands.-

In the Shrotriem and quit rent lands the interest of the Pagoda and the Circar are just opposite to those of the Tarufft, the Pagoda having by far the greater share in the proceeds; consequently I see no objection to the management of lands under this head being made over to the Trustees in like manner as the Survamaniem lands have already been, which by the annexed Statement amount to

Valies 5399.-

Survamaniem

15471 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(23)

Sir,

From the Collector of Tanjore —

With reference to Para 4 of the Board's Proceeding's of the 20th November 1848, and to my reply of the 21st instant I have the honor to enclose herewith the Statement called for, & which, thro' an oversight, was not forwarded with my letter. —

Tanjore, Vellem, 29th September 1849 } (Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement

1547/12

Fort St. George

A Statement Shewing the Shrotriums

| Items | Name of Talooks | Name of Cusbas | Name of Villages | | |

15472

A Statement Shewing

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas
1	2	3
Shrotrium	Puttoocottah	Pariavadiargoody

15473

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Names of Villages	Entire Villages	Village Pogul Do.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Shotrium	Puttoocottah (Contd)	Pariavadiargoody (Contd)	Echengoody	1	"
			Voodiamanium	1	"
			Mannavanellore	1	"
			Caurainahnadel	1	"
			Calacoody	1	"
			Parungaud	1	"
			Poodoovacaud	1	"
			Keelacaud	1	"
			Asamungal	1	"
			Camalagoody	1	"
			Navelcoody	1	"
			Cargoody	1	"
			Keelagoray	1	"
			Mungallum	1	"
			Inarnandel	1	"
			Adayenvayel	1	"
			Calagum	1	"

1547

A

Fort St. George

Standard for Fusly 1256

Items	Total Extent of Nunjah	Extent of Canjah Ydm	Total Extent of Shrotrium Villages	Amount of Quit rent on the land in Column 10 due to Circar			Amount Quit Rent due to Circar.			Amount Sunnuds & Cowle due to Do. Pagoda.			Total			Berij as per average of Tariff Cowl Cowle Land.		
	7		8			9			10			11	12			13			14			15		
	U	m	G	U	m	G	U	m	G	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
1	1	31	9	17	5	16	71	3	5	83	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	675	4	4	"	"	"
	1	12	16	43	"	17	81	13	14	27	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	226	6	5	"	"	"
	1	31	13	22	1	"	7	32	13	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	723	13	2	"	"	"
	1	19	19	19	"	6	20	20	5	39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	392	7	11	"	"	"
	1	21	15	34	11	"	92	35	16	46	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	633	15	7	"	"	"
	1	12	17	2	27	15	40	40	12	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	225	"	11	"	"	"
	1	6	11	8	4	4	"	10	18	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	118	12	1	"	"	"
Shrotrium	1	12	5	1	5	4	92	17	9	93	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	244	26	"	"	"	"
	1	13	1	13	4	13	12	17	14	25	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	300	7	10	"	"	"
	1	4	13	"	"	5	9	4	18	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	75	15	5	"	"	"
	1	10	9	83	11	19	17	22	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	212	10	"	"	"	"
	1	20	2	42	"	"	27	20	2	69	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	350	8	1	"	"	"
	1	7	16	55	9	19	25	17	15	80	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	255	5	3	"	"	"
	1	8	6	34	"	19	13	9	5	47	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	165	13	1	"	"	"
	1	6	19	69	"	16	56	7	16	25	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	65	1	4	"	"	"
	1	7	16	94	"	19	5	8	15	99	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	167	3	8	"	"	"
	1	48	13	7	112	5	78	160	18	85	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	534	13	"	"	"	"

29th October 1849

10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium Vea Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255.

15474

Fort St. George 29th C

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Villa… Entire Villages	Villa… Land	Villages	Entire Villages	Vil… P…
1	2	3	4	5	P	4	5	6
			Palanagarum	1	"	vassel	1	
			Arasalancarumbey	1	"	ellore	1	
			Aunundavelly poorum	1	"	Vayel	1	
			Tuppadanavayel	1	"	etty	1	
			Moolavayel	1	"		1	
Shotrium (Cont^d)	Putloocottah (Cont^d)	Pariavadiargoody (Cont^d)	Paraveemungalum	1	"		1	
			Cheetaravedungum	1	"	ity	1	
			Arayahmungalum	1	"		6	
			Puttamungalum	1	"			
			Paranore	1	"	undoor	1	
			Comarappenvayel	1	"	encaudoo	1	
			Auvarungoody	1	"	roday	1	
			Pelloogoody	1	"	Chetty Voyel	1	
			Maradavaudil	1	"	uycaudoo	1	
			Cauravayel	1	"			
			Total	47			5	

Fort St. George

29th October 1849

10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &ca Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255

Pagodas &ca	Total	Standard for Fusly 1256 Extent of Kunjah		Extent of Poonjah &ca		Total		Amount of Quit Rent on the land in Column 10 due to Circar		Amount Quit Rent due to Circar		Amount Sammy-bogum due to the Pagoda		Total		Beriz as per average of Tariff Pogul Enam Land	
1	7	8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
		V	m g	V	m g	V	m g	Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p
"	1	48	7 18	13	2 98	61	10 16	"	"	"	"	"	"	873	4 5	"	"
"	1	32	16 78	"	17 47	33	14 25	"	"	"	"	"	"	602	7 11	"	"
"	1	5	17 49	"	2 95	6	" 44	"	"	"	"	"	"	53	6 7	"	"
"	1	2	15 50	"	4 44	2	19 94	"	"	"	"	"	"	56	12 1	"	"
Shotrium &c	1	12	14 52	"	10 84	13	5 36	"	"	"	"	"	"	120	2 2	"	"
	1	10	8 39	7	15 53	18	3 92	"	"	"	"	"	"	138	8 8	"	"
"	6	112	19 86	22	14 21	135	14 7	635	8 10	635	8 10	1,209	1 "	1,844	9 10	"	"
"	1	29	11 53	2	" 49	31	12 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	637	2 9	"	"
"	1	11	7 19	6	17 59	18	4 78	"	"	"	"	"	"	304	1 7	"	"
"	1	3	14 13	2	9 9	6	3 22	"	"	"	"	"	"	68	14 5	"	"
"	1	2	7 48	"	" "	2	7 48	"	"	"	"	"	"	42	14 2	"	"
"	1	"	" "	5	12 43	5	12 43	"	"	"	"	"	"	19	9 6	"	"
"	5	47	" 33	16	19 60	63	19 93	332	6 7	332	6 7	740	3 10	1,072	10 5	"	"

15476

Fort St. George

Items	Name of Salooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Entire Villages	Land	Villages	Entire Villages
1	2	3	4	5	5		5
		Cumbumgaudoo Eswarer	Dharama Royer Voyel	1	" "		1
			Auveeranvoil	1	" "	ay	1
			Veellarasenvoil	1	" "	im	1
			Callarappenvoil	1	" "	Voyel	1
							4
				4	" "	ell	1
						Voyel	1
		Attalloor Veeramahacauly	Attalloor	1	" "		2
			Tripoorasoondary poorum	1	" "	Veedady	1
			Tondy Pollycaudoo	1	" "		1
							2
				3	" "		1
		Vellore Peroomaul	Nedoo Voyel		" "	ypoorum	1
			Vallany Voyel		" "	Veedady	1
					" "	Oday	1
					" "		4

Shotrium Puttoocottah (Contd.) (Contd.)

1541

Fort St. George

Villages	Standard for Fusly 1256.				Amount of Said Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.
Items	Total Extent of Nunjah		Extent of Punjah	Total	
1	7	8	9	10	11
		V mG	V mG	V mG	Rs as P
"	1	7 " 27	13 759	20 786	" " "
"	1	18 " 43	11 8 92	29 935	" " "
"	1	2. 723	1 542	3 12.65	" " "
"	1	3 4 22	" 27	3 629	" " "
"	4	30 12.15	26 4 "	56 16 15	177 14 1
Shotrums / Cor					
"	1	8 1541	9 1567	18 11 8	" " "
"	1	2.995	11 787	13 1782	" " "
"	2.	11 536	21 354	32 890	38 6 3
"	1	3 12.93	6 139	10 62	" " "
"	1	2.998	1 12.3	4 21	" " "
"	2.	6 2.91	8 512	14 83	28 7 3
"	1	" 482	" 649	" 1131	" 14 1
"	1	4 1620	1 11 20	6 740	11 10 2.
"	1	" 588	" 1470	1 " 58	1 3 11
"	1	" 12.18	2 197	2.14 15	1 12 8
"	4	5198	4 1436	10 1344	15 98

29th October 1849

10 years average of the actual demand of all shrotrium &c Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255.

Amount Collected Rent due to Circar.	Amount drawn by / Jogum due to the Pagoda.	Total	Berij as per average of Tariff Pogul &c Cram Land
12.	13 =	14	15
Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P
" " "	" " "	162 7 4	" " "
" " "	" " "	393 11 3	" " "
" " "	" " "	70 8 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	82 9 3	" " "
177 14 1	531 65	709 4 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	168 11 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	73 10 2	" " "
38 6 3	203 15 5	242 5 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	101 13 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	86 14 "	" " "
28 7 3	160 4 5	188 11 8	" " "
" 14 1	" " "	6 6 7	" " "
11 10 2	" " "	92 12 9	" " "
1 3 11	" " "	7 11 11	" " "
1 12 8	" " "	11 11 11	" " "
15 9 8	103 1 6	118 11 2	" " "

151.78

Fort St. George 29th O

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Entire Villages	Berizas per Average of Tariff Pogul Enam Land			...ages	Entire Villages
1	2	3	4	5	15 Rs	as	P		5
		Yadayencottay Eswarer	Karengooly Caudoo	1	"	"	"		1
			Veeswanadapoorum	1	"	"	"		1
			Maimungalum	1	"	"	"		1
Shetrum Puttoocottah (Contd.)	(Contd.)	Arandanghy Viswanadasawmy	Veeswanadapoorum	3	"	"	"		1
			Savicotah	1	"	"	"		1
			Somanaudel Poodoova-naudul	1	"	"	"		1
				2	"	"	"	...an	1
				4	"	"	"		1
		Punjaty Eswarer	Maracovil	1	"	"	"	...rum	1
			Moodooka Voyel	1	"	"	"	...m	1
								...ovenyendul	1
				2	"	"	"	...tah Talook	102

1817

Fort St. George

Villages		Standard for Fusly 1256				Amount of Dut Rent on the land in Column 10 due to Circar.
Nams	Cogul 29cs	Total	Extent of Nunjah	Extent of Cogul 14cs	Total	
1	6	7	8	9	10	11
			V m G	V m G	V m G	Rs as P
"	1	12 12 91	38 795	51 " 86		49 " 3
"	1	7 11 75	23 29	30 13 84		29 67
"	1	5 116	15 86	20 9 22		1999
"	1	1 294	2 1773	4 " 67		12 8 2
"	1	1 294	2 1773	4 " 67		12 8 2
Shotrum	1	1 294	2 1773	4 " 67		12 8 2
(Cont)	1	1 294	2 1773	4 " 67		12 8 2
"	1	1 863	13 373	17 12 36		18 4 11
"	1	2 12 6	" 383	2 1589		14 9 11
"	1	11 10 3	18 158	30 511		28 26
"	1	1 722	4 3582	5 13 4		2 " 9
"	1	" 992	1 914	1 196		2 8 "
"	1	4 1148	" 3 "	4 1748		29 15 "
"	1	13 898	" 1693	14 591		38 8 11
"	1	1 451	" " "	1 451		6 " 8
"	107	114 1380	699 1281	1841 661		6749 1 "

J. S. D.

29th October 1849.

10 years average of the actual demand of all Shotrums &c. Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255.

Amount Dut Rentdue to Circar.			Amount Sawwy Jogum due to the Pagoda			Total			Barij at per average of Tariff Pogul Cum Land.		
12			13			14			15		
Rs	as	P	Rs	as	P	Rs	as	P	Rs	as	P
49	"	3	58	14	10	107	15	1	"	"	"
29	6	7	35	3	9	64	10	4	"	"	"
19	99		23	7	11	43	1	8	"	"	"
12	8	2	87	15	6	100	7	8	"	"	"
12	8	2	87	15	6	100	7	8	"	"	"
12	8	2	87	15	6	100	7	8	"	"	"
18	4	11	93	13	6	112	2	5	"	"	"
14	9	11	41	11	1	56	5	"	"	"	"
28	2	6	180	8	"	208	10	6	"	"	"
2	"	9	29	14	9	31	15	6	"	"	"
2	8	"	10	10	11	13	2	11	"	"	"
29	15	"	42	12	7	72	11	7	"	"	"
38	8	11	188	8	5	227	1	4	"	"	"
6	"	8	5	12	7	11	13	3	"	"	"
6749	1	"	1671	9	8	2346	8	9	8	"	"

154,80

Fort St. George

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Terizas per Average of Tariff Pogul Enam Land			ges	Villages: Entire Villages	Villages: Pogul Do.
1	2	3	4	15 Rs	as	P		5	6
Shrotrium	Mannargoody	Paumany Naganada	Vittalacherry	48	9	8	—	1	"
		Poovanoor Eswarer	Poollagarum	8	8	5	—	1	"
		Agarum Eswarer	Maradoor	459	12	6	—	"	1
		Bundaravady Calavelloor Eswarer	Bundarawaday Kalavelloor	47	10	2	look	1	1
			Nelloor	7	12	"			
				55	6	2	—	"	1
		Eswarer	Naravelly Calapaul	51	5	9	—	"	1
			Total Mannargoody Talook	623	10	6	—	"	1
	Trivady	Vadagacoody Eswarer	Toolajendra Maharajapoorum	"	"	"	—	"	3
			Ditto	"	"	"			
		Maracacoor Eswarer					dy —	"	1
			Total Trivady Talook	"	"	"	Talook	"	4

154,80

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of P…
1	2	3
Shrotrium	Mannargoody	Paumany Na…
		Poovanoor E…
		Agarum E…
		Bundarava…
		Calavelloor E…
		Eswarer
	Trivady	Vadagacoody
		Maracacoor

154,81

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Entire Villages	Villages Pogul Do.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Cadavassel	Tricalumboor Eswarer	Porasattengoody	1	"
	Trivalore	Tiagarajahsawmy	Mossacoolum	1	"
		Cooneyore Eswarer	Comul	"	1
			Total Trivaloor Talook	1	1
	Nunnelum	Trichengollangoody & Eswarer	Malattany	"	1
		Ditto	Vadapaudy	"	1
		Ditto	Tauden Kutlay	"	1
			[illegible]	"	3
		Eswarer	Trippayattengoody	"	1
			Total Nunnelum Talook	"	4

154 80

Fort St. George 29th October 1849

Items	Standard for Fusly 1256. Total	Extent of Nunjah	Extent of Poonjah &ca	Total	Amount of Quit Rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &ca Villages From Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount of Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Sammy-bogum due to the Pagoda.	Total	Beriz as per average of Tarruff Pogul Enam Land.
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		V m G	V m G	V m G	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
	1	5 " 62	" 1520	5 15 82	41 2 4	41 2 4	15 5 1	56 7 5	" " "
	1	16 1 21	1 1265	17 13 86	304 14 "	304 14 "	243 1 "	547 15 "	" " "
	1	1 6 20	" " 88	1 7 8	8 8 "	8 8 "	41 8 6	50 " 6	51 2 7
Shrotrium	2	17 7 41	1 1353	19 " 94	313 6 "	313 6 "	84 9 6	597 15 6	51 2 7
	1	" 8 12	" " "	" 8 12	12 12 "	12 12 "	7 14 "	20 10 "	10 8 "
	1	1 5 57	" " "	1 5 57	11 13 "	11 13 "	13 13 7	25 10 7	30 4 11
	1	" 7 97	" " "	" 7 97	11 9 1	11 9 1	6 4 2	17 13 3	4 5 4
	3	2 1 66	" " "	2 1 66	36 2 1	36 2 1	27 15 9	64 1 10	45 2 3
	1	1 19 57	" " "	1 19 57	22 13 6	22 13 6	21 1 5	43 14 11	59 " 11
	4	4 1 23	" " "	4 1 23	58 15 7	58 15 7	49 1 2	108 " 9	104 3 2

15482

Fort St. George 2

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Berizas per average of Tarufff Pogul Enam Land				Villages: Entire Villages	Villages: Pogul Do.
1	2	3	4	15				5	6
				Rs	as	P			
Shrotrium	Keevalore	Nagapatam Soundra rajah Sawmy	Paundy	50	14	9		1	"
	Combaconum	Triboovanum Eswarer	Paundoor	367	11	10		1	"
		Coombasswerer	Paulayanellore	"	"	"		1	"
				367	11	10		1	"
	Coottalum	Eswerer	Shattengoody	7	4	10		1	"
		Trivalapoottoor Eswarer	Videmputty	11	"	7		1	"
				18	5	5		1	"
			Total Shrotrium Tenure	1,216	"	3		1	"
			+ Particulars.					9	"
			Entire Villages	"	"	"		1	"
			Pogul Ditto	1,216	"	3		1	"
	Trivady	Punchanadaswerer	Massapoojacottalay	"	"	"		11	"

15482

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Items	Na Talo	Total	Standard for Fusly 1256. Extent of Nunjah	Extent of Poonjah &ca	Total	Amount of Quit rent on the land in Column 10 due to Circar.	10 years average of the actual demand of all Shrotrium &ca Villages from Fusly 1246 to 1255. Amount of Quit Rent due to Circar.	Amount Sammybogum due to the Pagoda	Total	Berizas per average of Taruff Pogul Enam Land.
1		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			V m G	V m G	V m G	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P
Shrotrium	Flee	1	51 16 81	.. 2 96	51 19 77	822 14 8	822 14 8	987 2 5	1810 1 1	.. .. ..
	Comb	1	24 7 65	1 16 32	26 3 97	624 7 10	624 7 10	404 13 7	1029 5 5	.. .. ..
		1	18 16 3	.. 3 86	18 19 89	309 7 9	309 7 9	408 12 1	718 3 10	.. .. ..
		1	9 5 74	.. 4 91	9 10 65	137 4 1	137 4 1	221 15 9	359 3 10	.. .. ..
	Coo	1	2 19 24	.. 13 74	3 12 98	133 2 5	133 2 5	18 8 9	151 11 2	.. .. ..
		1	3 2 51	.. 4 12	3 6 63	110 13 6	110 13 6	22 12 8	133 10 2	.. .. ..
		1	32 .. 68	.. 10 29	32 10 97	557 9 ..	557 9 ..	627 12 1	1185 5 1	.. .. ..
		1	10 8 61	.. 17 23	11 5 84	102 10 ..	102 10 ..	180 10 3	283 4 3	.. .. ..
		1	24 9 24	.. 10 32	24 19 56	395 11 11	395 11 11	607 .. 5	1002 12 4	.. .. ..
		9	177 6 51	5 3 75	182 10 26	3194 1 9	3194 1 2	3479 8 ..	6673 9 2	.. .. ..
		1	2 11 88	.. .. ..	2 11 88	13 8 ..	13 8 ..	67 11 9	81 3 9	.. .. ..
		1	5 13 34	.. .. ..	5 13 34	31 10 4	31 10 4	137 10 6	169 4 10	.. .. ..
	Triv	11	185 11 73	5 3 75	190 15 48	3239 3 6	3239 3 6	3684 14 3	6924 1 9	.. .. ..

15484

Fort St. George

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Entire Villages	Land	s of Villages
1	2	3	4	5	as P	4
Fixed Money Rented Villages	Tritrapoondy	Vadaruyaspoorasawmy	Aundagatoray	1	" "	rappaganadda
		Eswarer	Tritrapoondy abeshaga		9 12 11	n Eswarer
			cuttalay	"	4 8	l cherry
			Arreyellore	"	8 1 7	Tritrapoondy Talook
				"	" "	maul Covel put
		Covilloor Eswarer	Mungalum	1	" "	acoottay Parasapoorum
		Colla Mungalum Eswarer	Viswanadapoorum	1	14 10	ovacalopaul
			Rajacollamungalum	"	14 10	Mannaryoody
		Edumbavanum	Veelangaudoo	1	" "	ian Kuttalay
			Sharabojee Rajipoorum	1	7 2	eyen Carumbay
				"	7 2	garampolliem
		Panataroovoo Eswarer	Panataroovoo		12 9	lloor
		Cutchenum Eswarer	Caughum Paroomode	"	8 6	eally
				"	12 2	
		Yalayettore Eswarer	Yaleyettoor Covil	"	9 9	l Sheally Talook
			Do. Bundaravaday	"	" "	
			Elaseyore		5 11	l fixed Money Rented

Fort St. George

29th. October 1849

10 years average of the actual demand of all shrotriem Villages from Fusly 1246 to 1255

Stamp	Villages	Ordre Villages	Coyal Do.	Total	Extent of Nanjah	Extent of Poonjah Do.	Total	Amount of Quit rent on the Land in Column 10 due to Circar.	Amount Quit Rent due to Circar	Amount Sammylogum due to the Pagoda	Total	Barij as per average of Tarriff Coyal Do. Land.
		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
					V mg	V mg	V mg	R a P	R a P	R a P	R a P	R a P
Fixed In	1	"	1	30 19 18	8 8 92	39 10 10	49 11 ..	49 11 ..	29 2 13 3	34 2 8 3	.. .. ..
Rented Do	..	1	1	2 11 ..	" 4 70	2 15 70	12 8 11	12 8 11	168 9 6	181 2 5	91 5 3
	6	9	15	30 7 6 83	35 " 90	342 7 13	1124 13 10	1110 5 1	355 1 12 3	466 2 1 4	589 8 ..
	1	"	1	22 8 23	36 4 28	59 2 51	79 9 5	79 9 5	95 4 9	174 14 2	.. .. ..
	2	..	2	31 1550	42 8 20	74 3 70	179 1 11	179 1 11	957 " 10	1136 2 9	.. .. ..
	..	1	1	1	" " "	1	11 1 5	11 1 5	13 7 7	24 9 "	32 4 6
	3	1	4	55 3 13	79 2 48	134 6 21	269 12 9	269 12 9	1065 13 2	1335 9 11	32 4 6
	..	1	1	" 16 58	" " 24	" 16 82	4 12 11	4 12 11	70 12 1	75 9 "	43 15 10
	1	"	1	" 5 24	" " "	" 5 24	5 12 7	5 12 7	6 13 9	12 10 4	.. .. ..
	1	"	1	8 12 87	1 8 57	10 1 44	167 12 ..	167 12 ..	657 8 1	825 4 1	.. .. ..
	1	"	1	118 11 52	29 5 54	147 7 6	1112 4 6	1112 4 6	3153 2 11	4265 7 5	.. .. ..
	1	"	1	69 9 86	2 2 33	71 12 9	642 2 11	642 2 11	2599 3 9	3241 6 8	.. .. ..
	2	..	2	188 1 38	31 7 87	219 9 25	1754 7 5	1754 7 5	5752 6 8	7506 14 1	.. .. ..
	25	11	36	747 11 24	152 3 81	899 15 5	6579 3 4	6564 10 7	14890 2 1	21454 12 8	665 12 4

15486

Fort St. George 29th October

Items	Name of Talooks	Name of Pagodas	Name of Villages	Villages: Entire Villages	Villages: Pogul Do.	Villages: Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Particulars	Entire Villages	25	"	25
			Pogul Ditto	"	11	11
			Total	25	11	36
		Sickel Navanitaswarer	Selloor	1	"	1
			Abisakacuttalay	1	"	1
				2	"	2
Grain Rented	Keevalore	Atchayalingasawmy	Keelacandoo Vanacherry	1	"	1
		Tavoordavaporaswarer	Odacherry	1	"	1
		Tricanangoody Permaul	Aredavanapore	1	"	1
		Sembeyamahadava Kylasanader	Abeshake Nelloor	1	"	1
		Sittiacoody Eswarer	Wallalamungalum	1	"	1
		Poodoocherry Nadaswerer	Ackeelandapoorum	1	"	1
			Total Permanently grain Rented Villages	8	"	8
Arda Manium	Tritrapoondy	Vadarneaswerasawmy	Maharajapoorum	1	"	1
	Nunnellum	Sreevanjee Wancheomder	Atchoodamungum	"	1	1
			Total Arda Mannium	1	1	2
			Grand Total Shrotrium &c.	141	27	168

Ordered

154 15487

Fort St. George 29th October

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

22-24

To The Collector of Tanjore.

To the Collector of Tanjore

Sir.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of letter of the 21st Ultimo upon the Subject of the arrangement to be made regarding Turruf lands attached to Pagodas in your District.

Reviewing his letter of the 21st Ultimo on the Subject of the arrangement to be made re: [illegible] to be made re: [illegible] garding the Turruf lands attached to Pagodas in his District and requesting further information.

In Cons 22d January 1849.

In Cons 29th October 1849.

2. In your previous letter of the 5th December last, you mentioned as an objection to the plan for commuting the Meerassi interest possessed by the Pagodas in Turruf lands, for assignments of Surva Maunium lands, or Villages, that the adoption of this measure "would necessarily entail upon the Circar all the duties and liabilities of a Meerassidars in the Management of the said / Turruf / lands, "Poracoodies must be employed and "to them alone must the Circar look "for the payment of its revenue" and that "the number of Villages which "if the proposed Arrangement be carried out

J.G.B.

15488 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

"out / would thus come under Circar "Management would entail much "additional labor on the already sufficiently employed servants" The Board must be indebted to you for detailed explanation as to the mode in which the Pagoda Turruf lands and Villages are at present managed, by whom they are cultivated, and the rights and interests of such parties in the land — in what manner the Sircar and Davustanum dues are respectively realized — and what changes in these several respects would be necessary in the event of the entire property in these lands becoming vested in the Circar. —

3 From your letter now acknowledged it would seem that the Trustees are averse to exchange the Meerassi interest belonging to their respective institutions in the scattered Turruf Villages and lands for concentrated tracts of land on surva Maunium tenure — You will be so good as to state whether the Dhurmacurtahs would

would have the same objection to the partition between their Pagodas and the state of the Turruf lands and Villages, as they now stand in proportions &c. equivalent to the interest now enjoyed by each. Thus for example supposing the Swamy Bogum derived by a Pagoda from any given Turruff Village or portion of a Turruff Village, calculated upon the second best year of the decennial series Fusly 1248 to Fusly 1257 to amount to Rupees 100. land of an equal value might be assigned to the Pagoda as Surva Maunium in the same Village or part of the Village whence its Meerassi dues had previously been drawn, the remainder of the Village or land reverting entirely to Government. Such an arrangement would at least obviate the difficulties raised by the Trustees respecting the former plan founded on considerations of season. The Board would be glad to know your opinion upon this modification of the former scheme, and whether, as far as your inquiries

From Collector 30th September 1848 Para 4 & 6
In Cons 20th Novr 1848
Board's Proceedings 20th November 1848. Para 2.

enable you to ascertain, it would be acceptable to the Davustanum Managers.—

4 In your letter you state that "you "see no choice for the Government but "to give up the Management of "the Turuff Villages to the Trustees to "continue the Management as heretofore, "or to resume the lands making an "annual Money payment to the Pagodas "in lieu thereof." A further expedient has however occurred to the Board Vizt that the Sircar and Pagodas should henceforth be left to realize severally their respective dues derivable from Turuff lands, the Assessment being levied by the Government Officers and the swamy Bogum being collected by the Pagoda Managers. They would beg to be favored with your sentiments upon this suggestion.—also, whether in Pogul Villages, the portion appertaining to Pagodas can be transferred to the Management of the Trustees without inconvenience.—

5 With regard to the Quit Rent &ca Villages attached to the Pagodas, the Board observe from the Statement which accompanied

accompanied your letter of the 29th Ultimo, that the number of Shro=triums is, entire Villages 107, the Pogul or Hamlets 15. The Jodi upon all these appears to be invariable with one exception, and the value of the interest in them possessed by the Pagodas is more than double that of the Sircar. The fixed Money rented Villages are, whole Villages 25. Pogul 11. The demand on them does not seem to vary except in one instance, and the reason of the variation in this case as in that of Paundy above remarked, should

* Paundy Quit Rent		
For Fusly 1256 Colm.	11–19–15–5	
Do. 10 years Average Colm. 12	17–11–8	
* Government Quit Rent	Rs. 7610 a. 7 p. 9	
Swamy Bhoghum to Pagodas	18368–1–3	
Viswanadapoorum Quit Rent for Fusly 1256 Column 11	Rs. 410 A. 14 P. 3	
Do. Average 10 Fuslies Column 12	396–5–6	

should be explained. The Govern=ment revenue in these Villages is Rupees 6564–10–7 that belonging to the Institutions Rupees 14890–2–1. These are 8 grain rented Villages. In these, the Circar demand fluc=tuates according to price. On the 10 Fuslies, 1246 to 1255, it was Rupees 1670–12–6, the average income derived by the Pagodas for the same period being Rupees 3653–6–11. There is also one small Urdamaniem Village and one Hamlet. Thus the total number of Villages under every tenure shared by Govern=ment and the Davustanums, is whole Villages 141, Pogul 27, and the value of the several interest on a ten years average is Rupees 15930–13–1 and Rupees 36977–12–3 respectively. On examining the list of these Villages the Board perceive that altho' almost

15493

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Name of Village	Name of Talook	Date of Last Settlement	Amount of Quit Rent as per Last Settlement	Amount of Quit Rent as per present Statement	Remarks
Attoor	Ayady Talook	Fasly 1250 as per Village Lists	Rupees 264 4 -	Rupees 264 4 -	
Kaimangalum					
Marcaveil					
Kaliyandra Mallay	Ayady Talook	Fasly 1257	Rupees 109 1 3	Rupees 45 2 -	Almost all of them can be traced in the Talookwar Village Lists furnished in Triplicate with your Settlement Reports, the amount of Quit Rent in the few instances in which it is separately exhibited in the present Statement is rarely the same as that shown in the Lists. Those cases in which the difference is striking are noted in the Margin, and you will be pleased to explain the causes of the discrepancies, as also to insert in your Statement, which is herewith returned to you for that purpose, the number which each Village bears in the Talookwar Lists above referred to which accompanied your Summabundy report for Fasly 1257. I have also to request that Columns 11 12 and 13, may be filled up for each Village in your Statement.
Ditto ——— rayapooram	Ayady Talook	Fasly 1250 as per Village Lists	Rupees 14 13 1	Rupees 10 7 10	25 4 11
Ditto ——— Mopleacolum	Nowlur Talook	Fasly 1257	Rupees 143 1 9	Rupees 25 - 3	168 2 -
Ditto ——— Kilvady Pooney Kaddy	Nowlur Talook	Fasly 1250 as per Village Lists	Rupees 371 13 5	Rupees 35 11 5	407 - 10
Kaliyandy Talook Velangadoo	Kaliyandy Talook	Fasly 1257	Rupees 116 10 8	Rupees 32 6 8	149 1 4
Ditto ——— Mallapilloorum	Ditto		Rupees 141 4 -	Rupees 100 6 1	241 10 1

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
29th October 1849

(Signed) S. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

15494 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

Read the following letters

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(25)

Sir,

From E. Maltby Esqr } Paral

Coll. of Trichinopoly

Offering explanation regarding portions of the old balance of the land Revenue Outstanding in the Trichinopoly District.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Boards Proceedings dated the 28th May last, and proceed to offer the explanation therein called for regarding portions of the old balance of Land revenue outstanding in this District.

2 In Para 1 the Board observe that although the Collector Mr Onslow states in one part of his report that he did not intend to recommend for remission the balance of Fasly 1251 amounting to Rupees 1731. 7. 9½, yet a portion of that arrear or Rupees 1307. 12. 3 appears included in the aggregate sum of Rupees 21714. 7. 8 which was finally recommended to be written off. In explanation of this seeming discrepancy I beg to observe that the balance of Fasly 1251 was first alluded to as a distinct or separate arrear from its consisting

15496 Fort St George 29th October 1849

Statement No 1 or Rupees 21,690 - 3 - 11 is correct. The error arose in the following manner - After the draft of the letter was prepared, it was fund necessary to transfer the sum of Rupees 24-3-9 from the column of remissions recommended on account of "deceased ryots" to the head of arrears "recoverable by sale of land" as one party was discovered to have died in possession of a piece of saleable garden land - The necessary correction was accordingly made in the Statement and in Para 2 of the letter, but by an oversight the original sum was left unaltered in the concluding passage.

4. In Para 3 the Board after observing that the largest balance is in the Conand Talook call for information regarding some of the principal defaulters. I therefore enclose 3 Statements for the Conand, Laulgoody and Vittoently Talooks, showing the Puttah Beriz Collections and balance of the different parties with explanatory remarks regarding their circumstances. It appears however to me proper to allude here in detail to the two cases of Nagoovien (No 349) and Vencatnaroyen (No 362) which

he possesses no property from which the old balance can be realized except the remaining portion of his landed estate.-

6. The other defaulter Venkatanarayen (No 362) died in fusly 1249 leaving a son aged only 9 years, and his lands remained in consequence under Ammany management from 1250 to 1254. Scanty produce and low prices are represented as the cause of the arrear which accumulated during that period, and it seems evident that the lands were not profitable as they were put up to public sale for the arrears in 1253 and 1254. without any purchaser coming forward. In fusly 1254 the defaulters son gave a razeenamah for the entire extent of land consisting of nearly 25 Cawnies of Nunjah and 3 1/16 Cawnies of Poonjah assessed at Rupees 294. 9. 7 and its cultivation has since depended on such annual Arrangements as were able to be made.

The details are as follows

Extent of Cultivation

Fusly	Cawnies	Assessment
1255	14 - 13 - 6	125 - 1 - 9
1256	15 - 79 - 14	1144 - 14 "
1257	20 - 58 - 11	277 - 15 "

But

15500 Fort St George 29 October 1849

which remains for future realization,
dependent on the state of the grain
market. The balance for writing off
which Mr Onslow solicited Sanction
is thus reduced to Rupees 17,158-6-0

Trichinopoly
Collectors Office
3d October 1849

I Signed C. Malthy
Collector

Statement

The Defendant died in July 1914
leaving a son aged 9 years and his
estate from July 1850 to 1864 was
therefore held under Chancery
procedure as a stay and prince
has to take Account of
July 1853 and 1854 the Lands rose
and fell a little but found no pur-
chaser in 1857 and 1858 the Land
was offered for Sale by Auction
but no Bidder could be found
Contract of Sale to Arnold
entered into 1859 Purchase
Money £1,248 6s 8d Deposit
£124 16s 8d Balance £1,123 10s
Conveyance executed 1860
Arnold died 1865 leaving
Widow and Children
Widow married 2nd Thomas
Brown 1866 Children
Arnold 1868 Mary 1870
Sarah 1872 Jane 1874
Thomas 1876 Widow died
1880 Children
Mary 1882 Sarah 1884
Jane 1886 Thomas 1888
Thomas died 1890 Mary
1892 Sarah 1894 Jane
1896 Mary married 1898
Sarah married 1900
Jane married 1902
Sarah died 1904 Jane
died 1906 Mary died
1908 Thomas died 1910
Thomas died 1912
Thomas died 1914

1850	329	10	8	91	6	238	4 8
1851	310	7		80	7	230	
1852	317	10	11	82	15	235	11 11
1853	287	11	11	95	3	192	8 10
1854	294	9		90	11	203	11 1
1540	9	1	439	13		1100	4 6

362 Winchcomb - Cranwood -

Thomas Arnold 1859 £1,248 6s 8d
Sarah Arnold 1860 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1865 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1865 £1,123 10s
Thomas Arnold 1865 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1868 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1868 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1870 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1872 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1874 £1,123 10s
Thomas Arnold 1876 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1878 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1880 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1882 £1,123 10s
Thomas Arnold 1884 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1886 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1888 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1890 £1,123 10s
Thomas Arnold 1892 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1894 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1896 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1898 £1,123 10s
Thomas Arnold 1900 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1902 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1904 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1906 £1,123 10s
Thomas Arnold 1908 £1,123 10s
Mary Arnold 1910 £1,123 10s
Sarah Arnold 1912 £1,123 10s
Jane Arnold 1914 £1,123 10s

													No personal property has been found to satisfy the arrear.-
				751	1	2	466	5	3	284	11	11	
351	Vencatasoolien	Seroovialoor	1250	291	13	9	156	7	7	135	6	2	
			1251	293	11	11	188	11	1	105	..		Vide remark to No. 153.
			1252	245	14	5	143	13	.	102	1	5	
				831	7	3	488	15	8	342	7	7	
379	Aghelandamien	Parsoon	1250	304	13	3	120	13	..	184	..	3	This defaulter refused to sign the Jamabundy engagement and his land was kept under Amany. The Assessment was attempted to be realized by the sale of the produce. but the price was so low that a portion remained unpaid. Since prices became better the land has been fully cultivated and their demand paid. Personal property capable of satisfying the arrear has not been found.-
			1251	165	8	11	57	8	.	108	..	11	
			1252	86	3	7	54	..	.	32	3	7	
				556	9	9	232	5	.	324	4	9	

15503															
380	Lingien	Parroor	1250	491	12	5	280	[illegible]	[illegible]						
			1251	505	5	6	287	5	6	219					
			1252	427	5	11	211	3	[illegible]	[illegible]					
				425	[illegible]	[illegible]	279	[illegible]	[illegible]						
381	[illegible]	[illegible]	1250	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]					
			1251	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]					
			1252	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]					
				512	[illegible]	4	279	5	6	232	9	10			
382	Iyahvien and Vencatakistinen	Ditto	1250	214	14	1	109	5	.	105	9	1	Ditto	D°	D°
			1251	190	5	.	126	5	.	64	.	.			
			1252	169	13	.	105	6	.	64	7	.			
				575	.	1	341	.	.	234	.	1			
384	Chinna Venca-takistinen	Ditto	1250	154	8	10	98	9	2	55	15	8	Ditto	D°	D°
			1251	165	13	8	110	13	8	55	.	.			
			1252	190	7	10	71	2	.	119	5	10			
				510	14	4	280	8	10	230	5	6			
387	Peria Aumundier	Ditto	1250	300	4	9	176	15	.	123	5	9	Ditto	D°	D°
			1251	206	4	5	131	4	5	75	.	.			
			1252	129	6	3	120	9	.	8	13	3			
				635	15	5	428	12	5	207	3	.			

15504 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
407 Paremanum Pillay	Keelfort	1249	167	10	4	143	7	4	24	3	-	[illegible]
		1250	162	..	6	113	7	7	48	8	11	
		1251	146	5	1	86	5	1	60	..		
		1252	134	3	2	58	8	1	75	11	1	
			610	3	1	401	12	1	208	7		
408 Ekambarum Pillay	Ditto	1249	221	14	1	198	10	-	23	4	1	Ditto Ditto Ditto
		1250	203	7	10	155	..	2	48	7	8	
		1251	187	..	8	137	..	8	50	..		
		1252	174	5	4	87	9	2	86	12	2	
			786	11	11	578	4	-	208	7	11	
409 Varlaydum Pillay	Ditto	1249	314	7	4	293	5	1	21	4	3	Ditto Ditto Ditto
		1250	284	5	4	210	1	11	74	4	5	
		1251	267	5	-	167	5	-	100	..		
		1252	250	4	2	128	..	2	122	4	..	
			1116	8	10	798	12	2	317	12	8	
453 Naganada-sawmy	Covelkooloo-many	1250	238	15	6	85	5	6	153	10	..	Vide remark to No 379
		1252	211	12	5	158	13	..	52	15	2	
			450	11	11	244	2	6	206	9	5	
454 Annatorayen	Thachengoody	1250	290	9	-	213	15	9	76	9	3	Ditto to No 153
	Moolengoody	1250	499	2	-	399	2	5	99	15	7	
	Kooloomany	1250	430	..		270	7	5	159	8	7	
			1219	11	-	883	9	7	336	1	5	
455 Appatorayen	Thachengoody	1250	244	5	-	173	15	-	70	6	..	Ditto Ditto
	Moolengoody	1250	365	13	..	284	2	11	81	10	1	
	Kooloomany	1250	314	11	3	229	6	5	85	4	10	
			924	13	3	687	8	4	237	4	11	

						319	℥.	234	″ 6	95	₰
Abth. Aerbaum	Aloesaft		1750			138	℔.	91	℔	45	ßℰ
	Zuckerdy		1752			138	″ 3	82	ßl.	55	2 3
						376	℔ 1 3	175	ßℰ	101	19
	Aloes =		1750			34	℥ 8	21	℔.	12	ß 8
	= mann		1752			20	1 7	11	2 3	4	ß 4
						54	7 3	32	ß 3	21	ß.
						66	ß ℰ	442	32	218	34
	Haal Anfang					1035	13 ℔	1892	℥.	803	ß ℰ
	Zurückgesetzt					ℰ	ℰ	℥	Zugang	ℰ	Abgang
	30 October	1849									Abstand

29th October 1849

Collection and Balance of the individuals in the

Balance			Remarks
Rs	a	p	
25	"	"	This Balance accumulated in consequence of the low prices of grain and scanty produce joined to the death of the party. The defaulters son is a minor and no means of recovering this balance exist except by the sale of the land. Since 1254 there has been no balance in consequence of the improved price of grain and the land being fully Cultivated.—
188	10	7	
126	13	3	
94	"	4	
412	8	2	
15	"		
75	9	11	
44	12	1	
21	13	3	
157	3	3	
569	11	5	
119	3	11	This defaulter is dead and has left no relation except an Old grandmother, the low price of grain led to this balance and there is no means of recovering it except by the sale of the land—
162	3	8	
311	7	7	
61	5	2½	
31	8	8	
92	13	10½	
404	5	5½	

29th October 1849

Balance			Remarks
Rs	a	℘	
64	5	..	
140	6	8	
150	.		
81	3	3½	
114	4	4	The rate of assessment is very high in
550	3	3½	this Village, and through its proximity added to
80	..		low prices the Meerasseedars have become im-
87	12	4	poverished, and given up their lands. The
100	..		Village is now Cultivated upon temporary
75	9	10	Cowls or Offers.
349	6	2	
53	9	2	
93	7	.	
35	..		
52	12	8	
234	12	10	
584	3	.	
2108	7	2	

E Maltby
Collector

Statement

9th October 1849

Collection and Balance of the individuals on the

Collection			Balance			Remarks
Rs	a	ps	Rs	a	ps	
574	8	3	50	3	4	
211	3	1/2	31	12	1	
8	8	3/4	17	15	1	
80	5	8	29	1	9	
954	-	9	238	3	-	This balance occasioned in consequence of the low price of grain. The parties have no property except land, from which it can be realized.—
28	7	1/2	32	12	10	
1807	2	3/4	400	-	1	
1503	9	5	353	9	3	
509	1	5	80	1	1	
231	10	4	68	15	3	
116	11	-	21	6	3	
11	-	1/2	5	9	2	
615	13	3	63	1	2	
231	6	3/4	46	-	8	
58	-	3	10	9	9	
3307	6	11 3/4	665	5	5	

29th October 1849

Collection			Balance			Remarks
Rs	a	℘	Rs	a	℘	
1071	12	3	224	4	4	This balance occurred in consequence of the low prices of grain. The parties have no property except land from which it can be realised.
525	13	3	70	1	9	
254	6	9	50	15	8	
36	11	11½	7	13	7	
6	8		8	10	4	
640	11	4	112	14		
230	3	5¾	45	8		
63	4	8	15	5	4	
2829	7	8¼	535	9		
7944	1	13½	1600	14	6	

C. Matthey
Collector

Read

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

and had in fact been realized by the
Collector prior to the despatch of his letter
3 The 7th item in the list Rupees
2,77,97.7.11 said to be "recoverable by sale of lands"
accumulated in Fusilies 1250-1-2 owing to causes
which have been fully explained by the Collector
To Board 22. September 1843. P. 36
Board's Proceedings 6. April 1843 P. 11
E. M. L 22. July 1843 Para 3
To B. 4. October 1845.
in his report, viz. the long
continuance of bad seasons and
unfavorable prices. Mr. Onslow
has represented that adverting to the circumstances
which led to these balances, he is reluctant
"to recommend the adoption of harsh
measures and he therefore proposes to recover
the amount when a rise in the price of
Grain shall afford reasonable grounds for
supposing that it may be paid if not without
inconvenience to the ryots, at least without the
risk of exposing land to sale without a
prospect of finding purchasers, which at
present would be the case."

4 The third item Rupees 1731
-13- 5 or "Balance against Defaulters whose

15513 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

take place in the price of agricultural produce. Mr. Maltby has offered no objection. The Board therefore do not recommend the immediate relinquishment of the public demand on these two heads amounting jointly to Rupees 31529. 5. 4. At the same time, considering the period from which these arrears date, and the inexpediency of keeping such balances hanging over the heads of the Ryots, the Board are of opinion that the Collector should furnish a further report upon them after the close of the Current Fusly in order that a final Settlement may be made, and such sums as have not then been collected and cannot be realized without distress to the defaulter, may be written off. The Board have called for information upon the most considerable of the individual balances now in question. and the reply of the Collector leads them to believe that much of these arrears are such as it will not be found practicable or advisable to realize.

Pro. (Collr.) 28 May 1849

3d in Cons 29 October 1849.

5. Of the remaining items mentioned

15515 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

27

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

In submitting herewith for the consideration of the Board on Extract from the retrenchment made by the Civil Auditor from the Accounts of this Collectorate for fusly 1255 (A.F. 1845/46) and an extract from the reandits of the accounts for the same fusly together with my explanations annexed to each of the items and also copy of a letter from that Officer dated the 20th September last I have the honor to request that the confirmation of Government may be obtained to the nominations of the Garrison surgeons J. L. Geddes and W. Burrel to conduct the Civil Medical duties at Trichinopoly as required by the Civil Auditor.

Forwards Extracts from Retrenchments against Garrison Surgeon Mr. J. L. Geddes and Mr. Burell and requests that sanction of Govt. may be obtained for the Charges.

Trichinopoly District Vostalaygoodatore Collr. Cs. Cutcherry 17th October 1849

(Signed) E. Maltby

Collector

Extract

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15510

Fort St. George 29th October 1849

Extract from the reaudit of the Accounts of the Collector of Trichinopoly from 12th July 1845 to 11th July 1846 on account of expences for Fusly 1255.

No.	Charges objected to	Remarks of the Civil auditor	Collectors Explanation
	Medical Judicial Charges 13 Paid to Surgeon J. L. Geddes Acting Garrison Surgeon of Trichinopoly his Zillah allowance from 13th February to 13th April and from 23d April to 30th June 1846 at 100 Rs. per month 430 " "	[illegible] ment of surgeon Burrell to act as garrison surgeon of Trichinopoly which besides being purely a Military appointment does not apply to the appointment of Surgeon Godder to the Civil Department.	No. 13 The Surgeon attached to the Garrison of Trichinopoly being a Military Officer his appointment has been announced by Government from time to time whenever a change took place. No surgeon has ever been appointed exclusively to the Medical duties of the Civil department which have been always discharged by the same Surgeon who is appointed to the Garrison and who has drawn an allowance of Rupees (100) one hundred per mensem under the orders of Government dated 29th December 1829. a copy of which is hereinenlosed see Enclosure No. 5 and also a copy of the Civil Auditors letter dated 15th [illegible]
	Charge of Civil Hospital at Trichinopoly from 13th February to 30th June 1846 at 50 Rs. per Month 230 " "	trenched until the Collector furnishes the authority called for in the remark to the Items No. 13.	

(True Extract)
(Signed) E Maltby
Collector

15517 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

To

E. Maltby Esqre.
Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir With reference to your replies to items N 13 - 14 - and 16 of the reaudit of the accounts of your Collectorate for Jusly 1255 I have the honor to acquisent you that under the rule in the Pay and audit Regulations page 323 head "Civil Medical employment" clause 2 I am unable to admit the allowances disbursed to Surgeons J. L. Gedder and W. Burrel for Civil Medical duties performed by them in your Zillah unless you obtain the Confirmation by Government to their nomination to those duties.

Fort St. George
Civil auditor Office
20th September 1849.

Signed A F Bruce
Civil auditor

Extract

15518 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

Extract from the Retrenchments made from the accounts of the Collector of Trichinopoly from 12th July 1845 to 11 July 1846 on account of expences for Jusly 1255.

February 1840 Rupees 28 9 2 is the correct amount whereas Rupees 30 " " has been disbursed.

True Extract
Signed E. Maltby
Collector

15519 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Extract from G.O.C.C. dated Head Quarters Choultry Plain 25. April 1846

The undermentioned Order is confirmed 13th April 1846. By the Officer Commanding Trichinopoly appointing Surgeon W Burrell to act as Garrison Surgeon of Trichinopoly during the indisposition of acting Garrison Surgeon J L Geddes 2nd C. L. Corps until further Orders.—

(Signed) R Alexander Lieut Colonel
Adjutant General of the Army

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H. Hornsby Major
Acting Fort Adjutant

(True Copy)

(Signed) C. Mathey
Collector

Resolved

15520 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Resolution thereon (27-28)

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of Government.

It will be observed that

2

Surgeon J L Geddes Acting Garrison Surgeon Trichinopoly drew the allowances attached to the Office of Zillah Surgeon together with his Dispensary allowance from 13th February to 30th June 1846 and that Surgeon W. Burrell Acting Garrison Surgeon drew the allowance of Zillah Surgeon from 14th to 22nd April 1846. but that the Civil Auditor objects to pass these allowances to these respective Officers because there was no order from Government appointing them to assume charge of the Medical duties attached to the Civil Department and the dispensary - The Collector however explains that no Surgeon has ever

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15521 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

ever been appointed exclusively to the Medical duties of the Civil Department and dispensary but that these duties have always been discharged and the allowances attached to them have been in consequence drawn by the Officer who has been appointed Garrison Surgeon.

3. Under these circumstances the Board of Revenue beg to recommend that the Civil Auditor may be authorized to admit the charges retrenched by him amounting to Rupees (687 – 2 – 3) Six hundred and Eighty Seven annas two and pice three.

T.R.

Read

15522 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Read the following Report.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

29

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Submits his report on the Settlement of Land Revenue for the past Fusly 1258. A.D. 1848-49.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to submit my report on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of this Collectorate for the past Fusly 1258. A.D. 1848/49, and trust that the late period at which I assumed charge of the District will be accepted as an apology for the delay which has occurred.

2. My predecessor Mr. Onslow made the Jummabundy of the 4 Wet Talooks and reported the result to the Board on the 4th June, before making over charge to me. It will however be proper to allude again briefly to those Talooks, on account of some small remissions which were afterwards necessary and in order that my report may embrace as usual the Settlement of the District, the details of which are shewn in the following accounts herewith submitted.

1. Abstract of Settlement
2. Do. in detail.
3. Comparative Yea. Yea.
4. Price Current of Grain.
5. Statement of Nunjah withered Crops.

Statement

15524

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Talooks.	Settlement of Fusly 1257.			Settlement of Fusly 1258.			Increase.			Decrease		
	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P
Conaud	231758	9	3	233232	3	11	1473	10	8	..	..	..
Vittoocutty	144642	8	3	147014	9	9	2372	1	6	..	..	..
Moosery	116507	10	9	117268	3	2	760	8	5	..	..	..
Caulgoody	276106	14	1	279630	3	5	3523	5	4	..	..	..
Torriore	260440	15	7	261466	4	6	1025	4	11	..	..	..
Codiarpolliem	138631	3	-	136433	6	2	..	..	..	2187	12	10
Arrealore	138512	13	11	140228	1	5	1715	3	6	..	..	..
Volcondapoorum	156822	2	11	157882	15	7	1060	12	8	..	..	..
Total	1463422	13	9	1473165	15	11	11930	15	..	2187	12	10
							Net Increase			9743	2	2

The

The Board will observe that since Fusly 1234 when the land revenue was [illegible] forest [illegible] Rupees 1274,340 the settlements have gradually improved and the present amount exceeds the average of the last five years by Rupees 1345,92-3 [illegible] per Cent. It is also higher than in any fusly since 1231 when the assessment was modified and the ryotwary arrangements carried out, omitting the two Fuslies 1234 and 1235, in which the rates of assessment were erroneously enhanced on the Nunjah lands according to the description of Crop, a system which the Board directed to be discontinued.

6. I regret to state that the prices of grain were very low and unfavorable throughout the year as brought to your notice by Mr. Onslow.* The average price of Sambah paddy was lower by 23½ per Cent than in the previous Fusly, and in the coarser kind of grain or in the Car paddy, the difference was still more unfavorable and amounted to 31 per Cent. The prices

* Letter of 4th June 1839. Para. 3.

prices of other produce fell in proportion and affected the means of the ryots so seriously as to cause difficulty in the realization of the Revenue.

7. The annexed Statement exhibits in the usual form the statistics of the District, and I am inclined to think that the increase which it exhibits over the preceding year under the different heads may be founded less in reality than in difference of care in preparing the accounts. It is however to be presumed that two healthy and fertile seasons have had in some degree a favorable influence on the population of the District and its farming live stock. In explanation of the increase of two Villages I beg to state that one is the enam Village of Cottooput which was incorporated with the Ain under the Board's orders of the 27th January 1847 and the second Abecheragh, or uninhabited Village in Woodiarpolliem Talook recently brought under cultivation. The addition of two hamlets arose from the omission of the Curnum to enter correctly in the accounts of last year the number of hamlets comprized in the Village of Nagamungalum in the Woodiarpolliem Talook.

Statement

15527

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Statement shewing the Villages Population and Cattle &c. in Fuslies 1257 and 1258.

Items		Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
Villages	Original Villages	1196	1198	2	"
	Hamlets	787	789	2	"
	Total	1983	1987	4	"
	Houses	124,578	127409	2831	"
Population	Males	324161	326538	2377	"
	Females	310239	313151	2912	"
	Total	634400	639689	5289	"
Cattle	Cows	128181	130913	2732	"
	Bullocks	92648	94674	2026	"
	He Buffaloes	50054	51099	1045	"
	He Buffaloes	17679	18686	1007	"
	Sheep	563119	567235	4116	"
	Total	851681	862607	10926	"
Irrigation	Tanks	685	690	5	"
	Yendals	3502	3503	1	"
	Nullahs	2001	2001	"	"
	Jungle Streams	232	232	"	"
	Wells	11680	11680	"	"
	Buckets	3028	3028	"	"
	Total	28892	28976	84	"
Cultivators	Fixed Ryots	47073	48208	1135	"
	Foreign Ryots	13874	14357	483	"
	Mahajunums	6155	6163	8	"
	Sookavasies	152	164	12	"
	Total	67254	68892	1638	"
	Partner	4130	5174	1044	"
	Total	71384	74066	2682	"

15528 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

15529 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

the D'Mum to have been made, and the difficulties under which the ryots were laboring from low prices. In pursuing this course I trust I shall be considered to have acted upon the views which the Board have expressed on several occasions and more recently in their proceedings of the 25th April 1848. 10.

My acquaintance with the District is so recent that I can only offer remarks on any general questions which affect it with diffidence, but it seems my duty to describe the effects which a more strict enforcement of the assessment on the D'Mum waste in the present year has produced. I allude particularly to the Poonjah lands in the Dry Villages, as the people acquiesce more readily in the justice of levying the assessment on Nunjah waste in consideration of the expense which Government incurs in the works of irrigation necessary for its cultivation. The ryots are also generally

15530 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

15531 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

12. In illustration of the state of these Talooks I beg to point out that the population is still so inadequate to the area of available Poonjah land that only 116592 Cawnies are cultivated out of 312047 / omitting the Poromboke / or 27/8 per Cent. There being thus more than enough land for all the cultivators, it does not follow that such land as is left waste by one ryot would have been cultivated by another party if it had not been included in a holding and that the Government consequently incurs loss. Abstractedly it is doubtless a proper system that the ryots should specify at the beginning of the year the lands they wish to cultivate & demand that they should be answerable for the assessment of the fields for which they make engagements, but many circumstances

unfortunate in two ways. Firstly it will act as a general discouragement to agri=
=cultural enterprize, and secondly it will lead the ryots to specify at the Dit=
=tum less land than they have the means and intention of ploughing if the season proves favorable. A door would thus be opened to fraud as the lands afterwards cul=
=tivated in excess of the dittum might be left out the accounts with comparatively little fear of de=
=tection, the Curnom and ryot divid=
=ing the profit of the fraudulent concealment.

14. It is however proper that I should abstain from pursuing the subject further at present and these remarks are submitted not with a view of proposing any alteration in the terms of the Hookoommanah, but in the hope that the Board will be pleased to pronounce the local Officers autho=
=rized to use a liberal discretion re=
=garding the Poonjah Dittum waste making in practice the enforced=
=ment of its assessment less a regu=
=lar rule than an exceptional course

to

[illegible] resorted to only in cases where the [illegible] made at the settlement [illegible] that a Ryot has neglected the cultivation of his fields without due cause or improperly held lands to the exclusion of other parties and then left them waste. The experience of the past few years and such observation as I have had time to make impress me with a strong opinion that if the ryots are relieved from the fear of being called on to pay the assessment of land which they have unavoidably left waste, the Poonjah cultivation will spread much in the dry talooks and the interests of Government be proportionally benefitted.

15. I now proceed to advert to the items of increase and decrease which occurred in the Settlement under report, and as the causes are of ordinary incidence it seems unnecessary to enter into much detail. The lands taken up from the waste in lieu of others left fallow give only a small difference in favor of the Settlement of Rupees 190.13.11 and the increase of the year is mainly owing to two causes. an increase in the cultivation of 2 Crop land to the extent of Rs. 4,343-9-4 and of land newly taken from the Forumbokes giving

giving a net increase of Rupees 1,555-9-8.

16. Under the head of decrease the principal items are remissions for withered Crops and for losses caused by inundations. The former head amounted to Rupees 2,323-12-5 being larger than in the preceding year by Rupees 1,763-8-7 but it is much less than in the two previous fuslies 1255 and 1256 in both of which the remission exceeded Rupees 10,000. In the present year the favorable circumstances of the season induced the ryots to extend their cultivation beyond what the supply of water proved ultimately sufficient for the claims for remission which were consequently made on account of withered produce underwent careful scrutiny and none were admitted when the loss fell within 10 per cent of the ryots Puttah Beriz except in one special case. The remissions for loss of produce by inundations amounted to Rupees 1,850-12-6 and were granted principally on account of the Valan Crop in the Vittoocutty and Conand Talooks.

17. The decrease under the head of one Crop land amounting to Rupees 1,577-6-1 arose from these lands being cultivated this year with a second Crop and transferred to that head. In the same way the gross tax was reduced

× Statement No. 3. Col. 84.

Fusly. Rs. A. P.
* 1255-10,201-10-10
1256-10,314-9-"

× Statement No. 3 Col. 85.

Fort St. George 29th October 1849

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

to Rupees 14,73,165-15-11, the sum of Rupees 14,55,002-11-1. was collected within the fusly. The collections which have been since made amount to Rupees 16,081-12-10, leaving a present outstanding balance of only Rupees 2,082-2-4. Under the extremely low prices which have prevailed I trust that this result will be deemed satisfactory.—

20. The explanation called for by the Board regarding the old balances up to the end of fusly 1250 was given in my letter of the 3d Instant. It only remains for me therefore to allude to the balance of Rupees 87-8-0 which remains outstanding for the past fusly 1257. This arrear consists of two items. The first is a sum of Rupees 24-4-4 assessed on some land which formed the subject of a boundary dispute between this Collectorate and South Arcot, in which district the amount has been collected. The second item amounting to Rupees 43-4-0 is the teerwah of some fields which were cut away by the Coolear river in the Village of Sermurdoor in the Saulgoody Talook. Both the items are irrecoverable & I request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Govt for writing them off.—

21. The annexed Statement shows the land and extra sources of Revenue for the fusly under review compared with the preceding year and exhibits a net increase of Rupees 12,748-7-10.—

Item

15539 Fort St. George 29th October 1849.—

The causes which affected the extra sources of revenue were explained in my report of the 27th September last.—

22. According to the instructions given in the Board's Circular of the 8th Instant a

*Statt. A * Statement of the Sevoy Jummah prepared according to the form received from your Office accompanies this report.—

Trichinopoly District,
Voytalay-goodaloor,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
22d October 1849.

Signed E. Maltby
Collector.

Statement

29th October 1849.

Fusly 1258 in the District of Trichinopoly.

	Tancarasoo Villages			Bacherank Villages			Total			Wet		
Total	Mouzahs	Muzrahs	Total	Mouzahs	Muzrahs	Total	Mouzahs	Muzrahs	Total	Mouzahs	Muzrahs	Total
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
"	"	"	"	1	"	1	25	10	35	109	28	137
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	27	"	27	35	69	104
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	8	31	44	75
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	12	182	26	208
"	"	"	"	1	"	1	72	10	82	357	167	524
24	"	"	"	"	25	25	26	37	63	"	"	"
82	"	"	"	8	14	22	84	31	115	"	"	"
63	5	"	5	2	"	2	80	7	87	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	1	8	9	7	8	15	"	"	"
169	5	"	5	11	47	58	197	83	280	"	"	"
169	5	"	5	12	47	59	269	93	362	357	167	524

29th October 1849

Gross extent of Lands or Tarapady			Deduct Poromboke		
Nunjah	Poonjah	Total	Nunjah	Poonjah	Total
36	37	38	39	40	41
43.752 58 4½	86.265 75 14½	130.018 34 13	3.135 84 4½	29.069 92 14½	32.205 77 3
15.096 91 5½	154.513 15 7½	169.610 6 13	535 86 11½	45.202 12 7	45.737 99 2½
12.166 63 ¾	55.818 29 15	67.984 97 15¾	865 99 3	17.815 16 14¼	18.681 16 1¼
32.341 18 8½	66.860 59 8½	99.201 78 1	1.186 76 3½	19.045 18 11½	20.231 94 15
103.357 36 12¼	363.457 80 13½	466.815 17 10¾	5.724 46 6½	111.132 40 15¼	116.856 87 5¾
10.325 11 11½	227.028 85 2½	237.353 96 14	730 29 11	74.153 55 7½	74.883 85 2½
6.492 32 13	163.767 9 6	168.259 42 3	" " "	101.004 29 11	101.004 29 11
4.448 20 5	131.202 93 12	135.651 14 1	" " "	35.541 92 3	35.541 92 3
4.671 60 2½	219.857 4 11	224.469 64 13½	475 45 5	69.005 90 10	69.481 35 15
25.877 25 "	739.856 92 15½	765.734 17 15½	1.205 75 "	279.705 67 15½	280.911 42 15½
129.234 61 13¼	1103.314 73 13	1232.549 35 10¼	6.930 21 6½	390.838 8 14¾	397.768 35 5¼

29th October 1849.—

Deduct Maniem &c.

Alienation with and without authority.

Tarapady maniem.

| Nunjah | Poonjah | | |

29th October 1849.

Maniems
and without authority
Total Sarapady and Summd Maniems.

Nunjah	Igen Poonjah	Bagayet	Amaray	Total	Total Nunjah and Poonjah.
60	61	62	63	64	65
3066 66 11	6690 11 6	189 52 9	387 - 2	7266 64 1	10,333 30 12
230 3 12	14,212 31 9	106 5 8	93 35 4	14411 72 5	14.641 76 1
22 51 -	2199 43 -	106 97 15	" " "	2306 40 15	2328 91 15
189 17 -	3457 66	83 24 6	251 1 12	3791 32 8	3980 49 8
3508 38 7	56,558 92 5	485 80 6	731 37 2	27776 9 13	31,284 48 4
74 31 4	1101 56 4	24 92 3	67 87 8	1194 35 15	1268 67 3
564 25 -	3041 54 11	19 51 9	567 737	3628 79 11	4193 4 11
233 10 15	3475 28 2	1 57 13	274 81 4	3751 67 3	3984 78 2
275 46 1/2	5897 737	51 73 7	198 71 14	6148 18 12	64,23 64 13/2
1147 13 4/2	13516 12 8	97 75 -	1109 14 1	14723 1 9	15870 14 13/2
4655 51 11/2	40,075 4 13	583 55 6	1,840 51 3	42499 11 6	47,154 63 1/2

29th October 1849.

Maniems &c.a
Maniem &c.a

Total Nunjah and Poonjah	Chellara arasaporoopoo or Jodegay. Nunjah	Poonjah. Iyen Poonjah	Poonjah. Bagayet	Poonjah. Total Nunjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah.
73	74	75	76	77	78.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
143 39 1	7 53 2	226 40 10	11 59 6	238 " "	245 53 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
143 39 1	7 53 2	226 40 10	11 59 6	238 " "	245 53 2
143 39 1	7 53 2	226 40 10	11 59 6	238 " "	245 53 2

29th October 1849.

Alienation with and without authority

Punjah	Iyen Poonjah	Total Poroopoo Maniem Poonjah. Bagayet	Total Poonjah	Total Punjah and Poonjah
84	85	86	87	88
633 " 2	766 97 12	9 1 "	775 9812	1,408 9814
195 " 8	4,507 35 11	89 60 13	4,596 998	4,792 " "
213 39 "	1,180 45 1	2 80 5	1,183 250	1,396 840
351 67 12	3 80 1	1 5 12	4 8513	356 539
1,393 70	6,458 58 9	102 50 14	6,561 97	7,954 1613
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
67 89 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	67 89 1
5 21 14	320 10 15	11 59 0	331 705	388 923
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
125 10 15	320 10 15	11 59 0	331 705	456 814
1,518 18 5	6,778 68 8	114 10 4	6,892 712	8,410 981

29th October 1849.

Maniems &ca

Nanul Padoogay.

Nunjah	Poonjah: Iyen Poonjah	Poonjah: Bagayet	Poonjah: Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
95	96	97	98	99.
2 30 "	261 494	21 828	283 31 12	285 61 12
47 26 11	1,549 32 12	44 26 10	1,593 59 6	1,640 86 1
165 66 6	367 9 2	" " "	367 9 2	532 75 8
7 8 4	929 34 3	120 17 "	1,049 51 3	1,056 59 7
222 31 5	3,107 25 5	186 26 2	3,293 51 7	3,515 82 12
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
222 31 5	3,107 25 5	186 26 2	3,293 51 7	3,515 82 12

29th October 1849 –

Total Nunjah and Poonjah	Remaining Circar lands after deducting alienation – Poonjah: Nunjah	Iyen Poonjah	Bagayet	Amaray	Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
108	109	110	111	112	113	114.
12,539 4 6 12½	36,906 42 6	44,921 32 7½	3,218 25 2½	227 10 13½	48,366 68 7½	85,273 10 13½
21,555 14 4	14,087 33 11	83,389 69 14½	4,718 45 1	121 44 12	88,229 59 11½	102,316 63 6½
4,636 79 11	10,899 12 7¾	30,462 27 3½	3,196 53 10½	109 8 13¾	33,767 89 11¾	44,667 22½
5,868 79 8	30,604 26 1	36,004 46 4½	6,036 77½	455 54 4	42,496 77 9	73,101 3 10
44,600 20 3½	92,497 14 9¾	194,777 75 14	17,170 " 14½	913 18 11¼	212,860 95 7¾	305,358 10 1½
1,653 87 8	9,511 91 6½	142,132 21 1½	8,206 8 9½	966 3 6	151,304 32 14	160,816 24 3½
4,840 39 1	58,582 3 7	55,508 82 13	7,925 4 11	255 12 8	56,556 50 "	62,414 73 7
4,742 54 11	4,152 " "	89,602 14 1	1,160 34 6	452 18 12	91,214 67 3	95,366 67 3
6,650 57½	3,859 70 5	141,397 17 3	2,238 21 14	843 14 1	144,478 53 2	148,338 23 7
17,886 86 11½	23,381 85 2½	428,640 35 2½	12,397 19 8½	2,516 48 7	443,554 3 2	466,935 88 4½
62,487 6 15	115,878 99 12¼	623,418 11½	29,567 20 7	3,429 67 2¼	656,414 98 9¾	772,293 98 6

15548

6,511 11 8	10,277 7 15	323 40 15	69 74 5½	10,660 23 3½	17,171 34 11½			
1,929 98 13	9,550 20 15	701 13 7	" 50 "	10,251 84 6	12,181 83 3			
704 74 0	3,417 85 15	302 78 1	" " "	3,720 64 "	4,425 38 6			
1,558 01 8	7,499 55 11	617 93 4	58 91 8	8,176 40 7	9,735 1 15			
10,704 46 3	30,744 70 8	1,935 25 11	129 15 13½	32,809 12½	43,513 58 3½			
1,754 40 10	34,239 95 5	1,817 50 "	" " "	36,057 45 5	37,811 85 15			
897 85 15	10,106 1 9	234 84 0	" " "	10,340 85 15	11,238 71 14			
971 60 2½	13,122 86 11½	311 49 3½	" " "	13,434 35 15	14,405 96 1½			
1,226 71 14	28,118 55 7½	469 12 8	" " "	28,587 67 15½	29,814 39 13½			
4,850 58 9½	85,587 39 1	2,832 96 1½	" " "	88,420 35 2½	93,270 93 12			
15,555 4 12½	116,332 9 9	4,768 21 12½	129 15 13½	121,229 47 3	136,784 51 15½			

29th October 1849-

Tursee &ca

Nunjah	Autoo Tursee Poonjah: Iyen Poonjah	Bagayet	Amaray	Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
121	122	123	124	125	126
205 2 "	2,291 14 7½	" " "	" " "	2,291 14 7½	2,496 24 7½
91 81 2	5,846 30 6	35 81 3	" " "	5,882 11 9	5,973 92 11
37 14 13	3,405 84 9	2 29 4	" " "	3,408 13 13	3,445 28 10
1 79 13	1,372 90 6	1 85 8	6 37 14	1,381 13 12	1,382 93 9
335 85 12	12,916 19 12½	39 95 15	6 37 14	12,962 53 9½	13,298 39 5½
99 82 13	6,658 32 13	79 34 6	" " "	6,737 67 2	6,837 50 "
6 14 1	504 25 "	1 39 1	" " "	505 64 1	511 78 2
10 95 5	302 40 10	1 57 13	" " "	203 98 7	314 93 12
76 30 7½	7,655 65 10	20 75 12½	" " "	7,676 41 6½	7,752 71 14
193 22 10½	15,120 64 1	103 7 ½	" " "	15,223 71 1½	15,416 93 12
529 86½	28,036 83 13½	143 2 15½	6 37 14	28,186 24 11	28,715 33 1½

15549

29th October 1849 -

Tursee &c.

Nunjah	Light Jungle Poonjah: Iyen Poonjah	Light Jungle Poonjah: Bagayet	Light Jungle Poonjah: Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
133	134	135	136	137
" " "	606 93 3/2	" " "	606 93 3/2	606 93 3/2
70 60 15	2,318 40 "	" " "	2,318 40 "	2,389 " 15
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	844 87 4	" " "	844 87 4	844 87 4
70 60 15	3,770 20 7/2	" " "	3,770 20 7/2	3,840 81 6/2
28 75 "	10,840 23 7	2 " "	10,842 23 7	10,870 98 7
18 82 13	12,168 21 14	3 93 12	12,172 15 10	12,190 98 7
28 78 2	17,438 28 14/2	" " "	17,438 28 14/2	17,467 7 1/2
" " "	3,042 10 15	" " "	3,042 10 15	3,042 10 15
76 35 15	43,488 85 2/2	5 93 12	43,494 78 14/2	43,571 14 13/2
146 96 14	47,259 5 10	5 93 12	47,264 99 6	47,411 96 4

29 October 1849.

Transcribed year

		Total Amount Brought			
Name of	Name of	Number of	Total	Total	Name of
Family	Person	Children	Amount	Amount	Person
143	Jacob Miller	3	2	5	143
144	Peter Miller	4	3	7	144
145	Mary Miller	2	1	3	145
146	Anna Miller	1	1	2	146
147	Sarah Miller	2	2	4	147
148	Daniel Miller	3	2	5	148
149	Rebecca Miller	2	1	3	149
150	Samuel Miller	4	3	7	150
151	Elizabeth Miller	1	1	2	151
152	Joseph Miller	3	2	5	152
153	Hannah Miller	2	1	3	153
154	Abraham Miller	4	3	7	154
155	Esther Miller	1	1	2	155
156	Isaac Miller	3	2	5	156
157	Leah Miller	2	1	3	157
158	Moses Miller	4	3	7	158
159	Ruth Miller	1	1	2	159
160	Aaron Miller	3	2	5	160
161	Miriam Miller	2	1	3	161
162	Solomon Miller	4	3	7	162
163	Deborah Miller	1	1	2	163
164	Benjamin Miller	3	2	5	164
165	Rachel Miller	2	1	3	165
166	Jonathan Miller	4	3	7	166
167	Naomi Miller	1	1	2	167
168	Caleb Miller	3	2	5	168
169	Dinah Miller	2	1	3	169
170	Reuben Miller	4	3	7	170
171	Simeon Miller	1	1	2	171
172	Levi Miller	3	2	5	172
173	Judah Miller	2	1	3	173
174	Issachar Miller	4	3	7	174
175	Zebulun Miller	1	1	2	175
176	Gad Miller	3	2	5	176
177	Asher Miller	2	1	3	177
178	Naphtali Miller	4	3	7	178
179	Joseph Miller	1	1	2	179
180	Benjamin Miller	3	2	5	180
181	Ephraim Miller	2	1	3	181
182	Manasseh Miller	4	3	7	182
183	Dan Miller	1	1	2	183
184	Gershon Miller	3	2	5	184
185	Kohath Miller	2	1	3	185
186	Merari Miller	4	3	7	186
187	Amram Miller	1	1	2	187
188	Izhar Miller	3	2	5	188
189	Hebron Miller	2	1	3	189
190	Uzziel Miller	4	3	7	190
191	Mishael Miller	1	1	2	191
192	Elzaphan Miller	3	2	5	192
193	Sithri Miller	2	1	3	193
194	Nadab Miller	4	3	7	194
195	Abihu Miller	1	1	2	195
196	Eleazar Miller	3	2	5	196
197	Ithamar Miller	2	1	3	197
198	Phinehas Miller	4	3	7	198
199	Abishua Miller	1	1	2	199
200	Bukki Miller	3	2	5	200
201	Uzzi Miller	2	1	3	201
202	Zerahiah Miller	4	3	7	202
203	Meraioth Miller	1	1	2	203
204	Amariah Miller	3	2	5	204
205	Ahitub Miller	2	1	3	205
206	Zadok Miller	4	3	7	206
207	Ahimaaz Miller	1	1	2	207
208	Azariah Miller	3	2	5	208
209	Johanan Miller	2	1	3	209
210	Amariah Miller	4	3	7	210
211	Ahitub Miller	1	1	2	211
212	Zadok Miller	3	2	5	212
213	Shallum Miller	2	1	3	213
214	Hilkiah Miller	4	3	7	214
215	Azariah Miller	1	1	2	215
216	Seraiah Miller	3	2	5	216
217	Jehozadak Miller	2	1	3	217
218	Jeshua Miller	4	3	7	218
219	Nehemiah Miller	1	1	2	219
220	Ezra Miller	3	2	5	220
221	Amariah Miller	2	1	3	221
222	Malluch Miller	4	3	7	222
223	Hattush Miller	1	1	2	223
224	Shebaniah Miller	3	2	5	224
225	Malluch Miller	2	1	3	225
226	Harim Miller	4	3	7	226
227	Meraioth Miller	1	1	2	227
228	Obadiah Miller	3	2	5	228
229	Joel Miller	2	1	3	229
230	Zechariah Miller	4	3	7	230
231	Meshullam Miller	1	1	2	231
232	Shemaiah Miller	3	2	5	232
233	Eliashib Miller	2	1	3	233
234	Jehohanan Miller	4	3	7	234
235	Hilkiah Miller	1	1	2	235
236	Jedaiah Miller	3	2	5	236
237	Nethaneel Miller	2	1	3	237
238	Amzi Miller	4	3	7	238
239	Pashur Miller	1	1	2	239
240	Malchijah Miller	3	2	5	240
241	Immer Miller	2	1	3	241
242	Pashur Miller	4	3	7	242
243	Harim Miller	1	1	2	243
244	Maaseiah Miller	3	2	5	244
245	Adaiah Miller	2	1	3	245
246	Jeroham Miller	4	3	7	246
247	Elishama Miller	1	1	2	247
248	Ishmael Miller	3	2	5	248
249	Nethaneel Miller	2	1	3	249
250	Jozabad Miller	4	3	7	250
251	Elishama Miller	1	1	2	251
252	Jerimoth Miller	3	2	5	252
253	Shemariah Miller	2	1	3	253
254	Mattaniah Miller	4	3	7	254
255	Zabdi Miller	1	1	2	255
256	Jeremoth Miller	3	2	5	256
257	Elioenai Miller	2	1	3	257
258	Hananiah Miller	4	3	7	258
259	Zebadiah Miller	1	1	2	259
260	Jehohanan Miller	3	2	5	260
261	Hothir Miller	2	1	3	261
262	Mahazioth Miller	4	3	7	262
263	Giddalti Miller	1	1	2	263
264	Romamti-ezer Miller	3	2	5	264
265	Joshbekashah Miller	2	1	3	265
266	Mallothi Miller	4	3	7	266
267	Hothir Miller	1	1	2	267
268	Mahazioth Miller	3	2	5	268
269	Giddalti Miller	2	1	3	269
270	Romamti-ezer Miller	4	3	7	270
271	Joshbekashah Miller	1	1	2	271
272	Mallothi Miller	3	2	5	272
273	Hothir Miller	2	1	3	273
274	Mahazioth Miller	4	3	7	274
275	Giddalti Miller	1	1	2	275
276	Romamti-ezer Miller	3	2	5	276
277	Joshbekashah Miller	2	1	3	277
278	Mallothi Miller	4	3	7	278
279	Hothir Miller	1	1	2	279
280	Mahazioth Miller	3	2	5	280
281	Giddalti Miller	2	1	3	281
282	Romamti-ezer Miller	4	3	7	282
283	Joshbekashah Miller	1	1	2	283
284	Mallothi Miller	3	2	5	284
285	Hothir Miller	2	1	3	285
286	Mahazioth Miller	4	3	7	286
287	Giddalti Miller	1	1	2	287
288	Romamti-ezer Miller	3	2	5	288
289	Joshbekashah Miller	2	1	3	289
290	Mallothi Miller	4	3	7	290
291	Hothir Miller	1	1	2	291
292	Mahazioth Miller	3	2	5	292
293	Giddalti Miller	2	1	3	293
294	Romamti-ezer Miller	4	3	7	294
295	Joshbekashah Miller	1	1	2	295
296	Mallothi Miller	3	2	5	296
297	Hothir Miller	2	1	3	297
298	Mahazioth Miller	4	3	7	298
299	Giddalti Miller	1	1	2	299
300	Romamti-ezer Miller	3	2	5	300

29th October 1849.

	Deduct Enams Village Enams at 5 per Poonjah			
Nunjah	Iyen Poonjah	Bagayet	Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
155	156	157	158	159
79 596	830 51 9	2 955	833 1614	913 64
57 13 4½	824 66 6½	2 846	827 5012½	884 641
136 72 10½	1,655 17 15½	5	7911 1,660 97 10½	1,797 705
136 72 10½	1,655 17 15½	5	7911 1,660 97 10½	1,797 705

29th October 1849

Enams &ca

Astaboghum				
	Poonjah			
Nunjah	Iyen Poonjah	Bagayet	Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
165	166	167	168	169
10 28 2	52 75 "	5 37 8	58 12 8	68 40 10
10 28 2	52 75 "	5 37 8	58 12 8	68 40 10
10 28 2	52 75 "	5 37 8	58 12 8	68 40 10

29th October 1849

Net Settlement of Fusly 1258

Poonjah

Nunjay	Iyen Poonjah	Bagayet	Amaroy	Total Poonjah	Total Nunjah and Poonjah
175	176	177	178	179	180
29,438 61 9	15,703 22 10	2,896 55 9½	154 79 14	18,754 58 1½	48,193 19 10½
11,641 10 12	45,559 2 14	3,980 70 3	120 94 12	49,660 67 13	61,301 78 9
9,935 6¾	22,313 16 10½	2,891 46 5½	84 70 2½	25,289 33 2½	35,224 39 3¼
28,975 3 "	20,951 54 2	5,411 14 8½	352 42 14	26,715 11 8½	55,690 14 8½
79,989 81 5¾	104,526 96 4½	15,179 86 10½	712 87 10½	120,419 70 9½	200,409 51 15¼
7,000 99 3½	54,838 82 ½	6,133 14 13½	" " "	60,971 96 14	67,972 96 1½
4,755 78 2	15,617 3 2	542 85 15	" " "	16,159 89 1	20,915 67 3
2,727 38 4½	15,497 58 9½	826 30 7½	" " "	16,323 89 1	19,051 27 5½
2,385 33 9½	28,705 92 3	1,678 90 10	" " "	30,384 82 13	32,770 16 6½
16,869 49 3½	114,659 35 15	9,181 21 14	" " "	123,840 57 13	140,710 7 ½
96,859 30 9¼	219,186 32 3½	24,361 8 8½	712 87 10½	244,260 28 6½	341,119 58 15¾

29th October 1849

Tanks	Total			By Nullahs One Crop		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
187	188	189	190	191	192
10,116 4 13	4 1 2¼	41,218 12 5	13,419 53 1½	7 1 ½	94,818 15 9
963 86 15	5 2 2½	4,952 15 6	5,345 71 9½	6 3 2½	33,143 12 3
829 34 7½	6 13 5½	5,674 1 10	6,692 18 13¾	7 2 10½	48,046 " "
1,597 86 4	4 3 8	6,756 9 8	15,052 20 14¼	5 14 6½	88,938 3 1
13,507 12 7½	4 5 5	58,602 7 5	40,509 64 8	6 8 7¾	264,946 15 1
4,240 39 1	6 10 "	28,091 1 9	1,985 69 8½	5 9 8	11,126 6 4
3,630 68 12	7 7 3	27,060 3 7	1,123 85 15	5 12 6¼	6,498 11 4
2,654 57 13	11 3 10	29,835 8 8	20 78 14½	10 10 "	220 12 2
2,310 17 15½	12 6 3½	28,631 14 3	36 79 11	7 12 3½	285 13 6
12,835 83 9½	8 13 7½	113,618 12 3	3,167 14 1	5 11 4¾	18,131 11 4
26,342 96 1	6 8 7¼	172,221 3 8	43,676 78 9	6 7 8¼	283,078 14 5

15553

29th October 1849

By Wells One Crop			By Wells Two Crops		
Cultivation per Cawney	Average Amount of Teerwah		Cultivation per Cawney	Average Amount of Teerwah	
199	200	201	202	203	204
			12 85/8	3 10 8	47 22
7 59 8	3 8 6/4	26 133	32 185	6 15 2/2	223 11 2
7 59 8	3 8 6/4	26 133	45 42	6 4 2	270 13 4
31 17 15/2	5 8 3/2	172 159	276 92 15/2	12 4 11	3,408 43
17 4 3 12	8 25	142 23	2 76 9	15 4 9	41 98
48 6 11/2	6 7 9/2	315 2 4	279 69 8/2	12 5 4	3,449 13 11
56 2 13/2	6 1 4	341 153	324 73 10/2	11 7 4	3,720 11 3

5403 1 1/2	12 [illegible]					8	1354 78 9 11
5314 32 1/2	12 2 1/4	44 738	7	1666 3 11	9	2 11 9	882 33
256 7 1/2	12 4 3/4	28,505	3 11	9,059	20 1/4	8	7 2/2 76,551 3 11
12324 9 12 3/4	10 4 3/4	12,386	3 4	27,377	1612	7	1310 215,324 6 5
25909 32 11/2	11 6 6/2	29,600	9	6 66,418	97 3/4	8	7 1/2 560,547 8 7
466 7 9 11	10 1 4	697	3 2	2,452	49 3/2	6	7 2 3/4 15,823 9 0
1 23 7	3 3 9		3 15 11	1,125	9 6	5	12 5 3/4 6,502 11 3
31 8 1 4	17 5 6		551 5 9		52 60 2/2	14	11 4 772 1 11
38 35 15 4	16 7 2/4		631 1 3		75 15 10	12	3 2/2 916 14 9
538 20 5	10 14 11	5,883	10 1	3,705	346	6	7 9/2 24,015 5 5
26,447 53/4	11 6 4/2	30,484	3 7	70,124	31 9/4	8	54/2 584,562 14 4

15551

29th October 1849

Total Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Two Crops Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
265	266	267	268	269	270
			6789 37½	11 15¾	81319 1 7 29438619
12 8513	3 10 8	47 22	6 4 5 6½	6 " 5 37	332 10 9
39 7713	6 4 9	248 5 7	29 5 5 8¼	7 " 3½	51227 9 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	16247 9 10¼	5 11	10 9 3 2 4 6 7 9
52 6310	5 10 3¾	297 10 7	52 3 8 7 15 7½	5 15	5 3 1 2 5 4 6 15
308 1015	11 10 "	3581 4 "	4834 839½	5 4¾	25486 96
" " "	" " "	" " "	4547 3515	6 14 "	31266 79
20 205	9 15	183 11 11	1702 25 "	8 7 9	14426 49
" " "	" " "	" " "	1365 339½	9 1 3¾	12400 4 "
328 314	11 7 6	3764 15 11	1244 9 7 8 2	6 11 5	83579 10 "
380 9414	10 10½	4062 10 6	4836 941½	6 1 9	39612 5 11 5

Total Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
211	212	213
6789 37½	11 15¾	81319 1 7 29438619
5441 6913½	12 " 9	65509 10 2 11636767
2632 7612½	11 13¾	31198 5 1 9928 124¾
12727 935¾	10 2¾	128834 8 4 28975 3 "
27591 57¾	11 1 6	306901 9 2 79978 734¾
2166 1510	10 2¼	22009 5 9 7000 993½
208 423	11 " 3½	2296 7 1 4755 782
1025 134½	15 15 5	16365 1 9 2727 384½
1020 " "	16 13 "	17148 9 " 2385 339½
44197 1½	13 1 4	57819 7 7 1686 9 493½
32011 286¾	11 6 3½	364721 " 9 90848 228¼

Total Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
214	215	216
	8 3 3¾	21200875
	8 13 5½	10288241 1
	8 6 6	82475142
	7 10½	2220811
	7 11 11	6194471 07
	6 12 6½	4749515 3
	7 " 11	3350241 0
	11 4 7½	3079166
	12 6 2¼	2954813 "
	8 6 1½	14139917
	7 13 8¼	76084612 2

| | | |

5733 38 12½	4 "	134,520 15 9	4738 36 1½	99 ½	46540 13 1		
5733 38 12½	4 "	34,520 15 9	4738 36 1½	99 ½	46540 13 1		
1622 43 12	5 3 ½	3926 7 4	5379 2 3	10 3 "	5490 13 7		
" " "							
" " "							
" " "							
1622 43 12	5 3 ½	3926 7 4	5379 2 3	10 3 "	5490 13 7		
7355 82 33½	5 11 6	4347 7 1	5376 43 1½	9 10 10	52031 10 8		
1,517 37 13	13 11 9½	62058 9 "	1132 67 4½	13 9 9	4310512 4		

326 901	3 11 9	2781 3 9	3972-9215/2	6 3 9	2626 3 6						
7184 10 1/2	9 3 4/4	66160 13 3	26052 33 4	6 15 8/4	1818811 2 1						
1271321 3013	7 7 113/4	9627Z9 1 9	1856-23 3/4	6 7 12	1167292 4 5						
2160 351 8	6 19 9:	1417 1 1	3644 2 64	1 2	1 1 1 1 1 1						
6357 19 6/2	9 3 3	63219 4 11	22079 40 4/2	7 1 6	15661 7 3 7						
2281 11 10	6 13 2	1537 13 3	6361 27 3	5 7 1/2	3 1/4 39 10 2						
1139 62 11	9 1 4/2	10586 7 2	2632 56 1/2	7 · 1/2	18381 13 6						
2866 59 ·	11 · 9	3169 2 6	10307 33 2/2	8 3 1/2	34815 2 3						

| | | |

| | | |

1559

29th October 1840

Pamphlet

Cheerwah had Toonjah
Harvel Farum

Average Cheerwah per Cawney	Amount of Cheerwah	Cultivation per Cawney	Amount of Cheerwah
283	284	286	287
29,431 61 9	8 3 3¾ 212,008 7 5		
11,641 10 11	8 13 5 102,885 5 8		
9,935 6 3/4	8 4 11¼ 82,547 4 4		
28,975 3 .	7 10 7½ 222,081 . 1	111 13 8	5 4 1¼ 584 4 .
79,989 81 5¾	7 11 11 619,522 1 6	500 88 .	2 8 2½ 1,258 7 4
7,000 99 3½	6 12 6½ 47,495 15 3	4,247 67 15½	5 6 3½ 14,417 3 3
47,55 78 2	7 . 11 33,562 14 10	2,509 18 12	6 15 8 17,513 4 7
27 27 38 4½	11 4 7½ 30,791 6 6	7,130 42 15½	6 13 1½ 48,629 14 8
23 8 5 33 9½	12 2 ½ 29,548 13 .	5,71 35 2½	6 11 3¾ 3,832 6 7
16,869 49 3½	8 6 1½ 14,1399 1 7	14,458 64 13½	5 13 4¾ 84,392 13 1
96,859 30 9½	7 13 8¼ 76,0921 3 1	14,959 52 13½	5 11 7½ 85,651 4 5
4,133 83 13	3 13 11½ 16,002 12 .	11 8 1	6 11 6 74 6 11

15563

29th October 1849 –

Poonjah

Manul Tarum			Kalur Tarum		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
295	296	297	298	299	300
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4810 33 12	1 1 9½	3352 11 1	58 58 1	" 11 5	27 7 8
1348 85 15	2 6 9½	3270 13 5	1873 57 "	2 " 4	3792 14 1
6159 19 11	1 6 4¾	8623 8 6	1912 15 1	1 15 11¾	3820 5 9
31494 85 2½	2 " 6¼	64016 " 1	5102 63 4½	2 4 3	11573 4 4
13036 96 14	5 8 4	71975 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
7150 26 9	5 3 "	37088 3 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
3361 78 2	3 13 10	12993 13 2	5373 12 8	2 11 1½	14481 15 4
55043 86 11½	3 6 1	186073 9 11	10475 75 12½	2 8 2½	26055 3 8
61203 6 6½	3 2 10¾	194697 2 5	12387 90 13½	2 6 7	29875 9 5

15564

29th October 1849.

Average Teerwah			Total of Teerwah put		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
307	308	309	310	311	312
13460 38 14	" 15 9¼	13271 9 2	13460 28 14	" 15 9¼	13271 9 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	17975 95 8	" 13 10½	15575 7 6
1805 80 15	" 13 4¼	1507 14 4	12644 41 10	1 1 9½	14073 15 8
3015 92 12	2 11 4	8169 " 5	16781 38 "	2 " 3¼	33853 3 2
18282 12 9	1 4 1	22948 7 11	60844 14 "	1 4 2¼	76744 3 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	54838 82½	2 4 10½	126313 1 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	15546 15 10	5 12 1¼	89488 13 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	15497 58 9½	5 12 6½	89618 7 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	28705 92 3	3 9 9¾	103748 12 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	114588 48 7	3 9 1¾	409169 2 1
18282 12 9	1 4 1	22948 7 11	175432 62 7	2 12 3¾	485943 5 7

15565

29th October 1849.

Description of Teerwah			Branch Farm		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Bawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Bawney	Amount of Teerwah
319	320	321	322	323	324
2942 8312	" 6 11¾	976 " 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
27601 7 6	" 6 5¼	11095 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
4170 1 6 2	" 12 10½	3356 3 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
43682 824½	" 7 ¼	19179 2 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	70 8 7 8	2 1 4¼	147 12 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	70 8 7 8	2 1 4¼	147 12 3
43682 824½	" 7 ¼	19179 2 3	70 8 7 8	2 1 4¼	147 12 3

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

1,444,63	"	11 13/16	3932	5 4	20957 572
4424968	"	7 5/8	20633 14 4	10715268	96 4/8
" " "	"	"	"	"	574838 82/8
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	15617 3 2
" "	"	"	"	"	15297 58 9/8
" "	"	"	"	"	28705 923
70878	2	1 4/8	147	123	11,659 33 15
708978	2	1 4/8	147	123	
44320 3370	"	7 6	20781	97	21918 632 3/8
43752 6912/8	2	1 4/8	19326	14 6	566 85 13/8

5567

29th October 1849

Bagayet
Teerwah put
Irrigation of Tank

One crop			Two crops.—		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
343	344	345	346	347	348
389 30 9	1 11 6/4	668 4 7	679 78 4	2 15 8/4	2026 10 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
102 49 11	5 7 8½	561 13 6	57 13 "	9 13 2¾	502 5 9
491 80 4	2 8 "	1236 2 1	730 91 4	3 7 4	2528 15 9
117 95 5	7 " ¾	826 1 9	64 97 10½	11 3 3¾	728 7 6
132 32 13	9 4 1¾	1225 4 1	1 1 9	11 4 "	11 6 10
201 40 10	10 3 4½	2036 9 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
451 62 2	9 2 ½	4107 15 8	65 99 3½	11 3 5	739 14 4
943 49 "	5 10 6/4	5338 1 9	796 90 7½	4 1 7½	3268 14 1

29th October 1849.—

Nullahs

Two Crops				Total			
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah		Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	
355	356	357		358	359	360	
177 82 13	1 15 4	348 4 6		312 21 14	1 12 2	549 10 7	
" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	
77 70 9	6 6 4½	496 1 2		144 76 1	4 12 6	69 11 4	
98 77 14	2 7 10	245 15 10		111 18 12	2 8 11½	284 10 "	
354 30 10	3 1 3	1090 5 6		568 16 11	2 11 "	1525 11 11	
439 88 4½	9 3 3¾	4043 3 11		914 68 12	6 15 2½	6154 " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "		120 82 13	9 5 "	1125 2 7	
" " "	" " "	" " "		68 17 15½	10 5 8½	706 " 6	
" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	
439 88 4½	9 3 3¾	4043 3 11		1103 69 8½	7 6 8	8185 3 1	
794 18 14½	6 7 5	5733 9 5		1671 86 3½	5 12 11¼	9710 15 "	

15509

29th October 1849

Total			Total of Irrigation including Tanks One Crop.		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
367	368	369	370	371	372
" " "	" " "	" " "	523 7 0 4	1 10 6 3/4	869 10 8
1,388 6 1 3	2 15 3 1/4	4,102 9 11	261 22 5	1 11 1	441 15 6
920 7 1 15	6 2 6 3	5671 10 3	438 30 2	4 3 9	1855 14 8
1,503 25 10	6 2 5/4	9,247 14 10	681 2 2	4 4 2 1/2	2,903 2 "
3,812 60 12	4 15 10	19,022 3 "	1,904 24 13	3 3 1/4	6,070 10 16
5,035 53 2	11 4 1 1/2	56,693 11 6	1,654 67 3	5 12 5 1/2	9,562 5 7
288 68 12	10 15 "	3,157 9 4	541 84 6	10 2 7 3/4	5,508 " "
556 7 1 14	10 13 7 1/4	6,075 1 1	826 30 7 1/2	10 11 1 1/2	8,837 11 5
1,678 90 10	9 12 8 3/4	16,446 " 9	423 2 5 1/2	8 " 11	3,408 9 10
7,559 84 6	10 14 4	82,372 6 8	3,445 84 6	7 14 10	27,316 10 10
11,372 45 2	8 14 7 3/4	101,394 9 8	5,350 7 3	6 3 10	33,387 5 8

29th October 1849

Kursel Jarum

One Crop			Two Crops		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney.	Amount of Teerwah.	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney.	Amount of Teerwah
379	380	381	382	383	384
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15 07 2	4 7 3	71 2 "	71 57 15	6 12 9/16	486 12 8
8 904	6 4 9/2	55 14 9	39 34 9	6 15 11/4	275 4 3
24 87 11	5 1 8/2	127 " 9	110 92 10	6 13 11	762 " 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
231 73	10 5 11	2,397 " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
777 55 1/2	10 14 3	3,468 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,008 72 1/2	10 12 4	10,865 10 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
10,339 60 5/2	10 10 1 3/4	10,992 11 1	110 92 10	6 13 11	762 " 11

15571 [illegible] 29th October 1849.

Two Crops. Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Padoogay Tarum. Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Total. Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
391	392	393	394	395	396			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
31 61 14	5 3 8½	165 7 5	33 7 12	5 1 8¼	168 13 11			
66 71 12	6 4 10	420 12 9	90 39 3	5 13 2	526 6 6			
98 33 10	5 15 4¾	586 4 2	123 46 15	5 10 1	695 4 5			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
98 33 10	5 15 4¾	586 4 2	123 46 15	5 10 1	695 4 5			

15572

[illegible] George 29th October 1849

							Total			Manual Tarum One Crop		
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
						403	404	405	406	407	408
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
138 68 3	4 9 3	634 15 "	65 34 5	8 " 1/4	522 13 2	204 3 2	5 10 9/2	1,157 12 2	63 12 15	2 12 7	175 14 10
18 17 5	5 13 5	106 2 "	44 " 3	6 11 9/2	296 6 6	62 17 8	6 7 7	402 8 6	43 6 5	2 15 10/2	128 13 10
156 85 8	4 11 7	741 1 "	109 35 2	7 7 10 3/4	819 3 8	266 20 10	5 13 9/2	1,560 4 8	106 19 4	2 13 11	304 12 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	310 6 7 3	10 " 2 1/4	3,110 15 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	48 7 5 "	7 9 4	369 1 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	359 4 2 3	9 10 11	3,480 1 1
156 85 8	4 11 7	741 1 "	109 35 2	7 7 10 3/4	819 3 8	266 20 10	5 13 9/2	1,560 4 8	465 6 1 7	8 2 3/4	3,784 13 9

15573 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

Two Crops			Fresh			Calur Tarum			Two Crops		
						One Crop					
Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah	Cultivation	Average Teerwah per Cawney	Amount of Teerwah
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	415	416	417	418	419	420
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
108 93 5	5 5 11	584 14 5	172 6 4	4 6 9	760 13 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
22 91 "	6 9 1/2	150 7 9	65 97 5	4 3 9	279 5 7	17 15 5	4 3 8 1/2	72 9 6	9 81 12	5 " 5 3/4	456 12 6
131 84 5	5 9 7	735 6 2	238 3 9	4 5 11	1,040 2 10	17 15 5	4 3 8 1/2	72 9 6	9 81 12	5 " 5 3/4	456 12 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1 1 9	11 4 "	11 6 11	311 68 11	10 " 3/4	3122 6 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	48 75 "	7 9 4	369 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1 1 9	11 4 "	11 6 10	360 43 12	9 11 5	3,491 7 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
132 85 14	5 9 11/2	746 13 "	598 47 5	7 9 1 3/4	4,531 10 9	17 15 5	4 3 8 1/2	72 9 6	9 81 12	5 " 5 3/4	456 12 6

10⁊ 97 ··	4 15 ½	529 6 ··	2979 523 ½	5 7 2 16,201 9 8	8 11#0 ½ 9#4#6 6 #.	
10⁊ 97 ··	4 14 ½	529 6 ··	895 821	3 9 ¼ 3236 103	4 13⅞ ½ 13#16 · 10	
10⁊ 97 ··	4 14 ½	529 6 ··	4#0 7#13	4 4 10 ½ 1897 87	6 12⅔ 6,337 5 11	
2#5 95 11	8 7⅞ ½	2,08# 14·10	439 81 #	6 12⅓ ¼ 1976 1 ··		
1903 80	4 9 6 ½	891 2 2				
1853 67 3	5 125 ½	9,562 57				
4#478 47 10 ½	12 4 7 ½	5,530 15 2	6133 11# 13 ½	10 6 6 ¼ 64,602 #9		
1255 88 #½	10 6 1	13,037 6 11	1678 90 10	9 128 ¼ 16### ·· 9		
423 2 5 ½	8 ·· 11	3,408 9 11				
2,077 698 ½	6 3 10 ¾ 1297 0 15 5					
5,734 35 15·	11 13 11/2 68,077 6 1	7,812 5 7 ½	10 6 ½ 8,048 56.			
7,603 921 5	10 # 6 / 78,262 12 8·	10,577 45 2 ½	8 11#0 ¼ 9#4#6 6 #.			

19 ᵛ October 1849.

| | | |

| | | |

1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18

15581

Fort St George 9th October 1840

Destroyed by water

| | | |

15582

29th October 1849.

Collection.

Remaining Land Revenue	Rentals	Sales	Sales	Sales	Carriage Varies	Carriage Mutual	Total Marah	Carnain Marah	Total	Roofsoom Total including Ready Money Collection	Igen Shrotriem	Amount of Shrotriem payable by the Poligars on account of Istimirar Villages	Total Shrotriem
515	[illegible]	517	518	519	520	521		522	523	524	525	526	527
230080 15 4						122 6		" " "	126 8 "	230216 7 4	500 " "	" " "	500 " "
136008 5 10								" " "	20 12 "	137018 15 10	36 10 2	" " "	36 10 2
112949 11 11								" " "	5 6 11	112755 2 10	1220 3 6	" " "	1220 3 6
276188 3 9								" " "	78 4 8	276266 8 5	560 " "	" " "	560 " "
756026 2 10	" " "	33 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	197 14 7	"	" " "	230 15 7	756257 2 5	2316 13 8	" " "	2316 13 8
237664 13 3	" " "	3047 [illegible]	" "	" "	" "	12498 10 1	" "	" " "	15546 9 "	253211 6 3	250 " "	700 " "	950 " "
128269 6 9	" "	78 [illegible]	" "	4535 8 3	" "	150 2 3		54 4 8	4613 6 6	132883 1 3	1223 8 7	612 8 "	1836 " 7
129127 12 6	" "	50 14 7	" "	3675 12 5	" "	" "		" " "	3931 1 11	133058 14 5	4304 15 5	700 " "	5004 13 5
149619 2 8	3967 4 8	387 8	228 11 7	" "	" "	" "		" " "	6636 8 3	156255 10 11	844 1 8	" " "	844 1 8
644681 3 2	3967 4 8	3564 7 7	2281 11 7	8211 4 10	12498 10 1	150 2 3		54 4 8	30727 12 8	675409 " 10	6622 7 8	2012 8 "	8634 15 8
1400707 6 "	3967 4 8	3597 8 7	2281 11 7	8211 4 10	12498 10 1	150 2 3		54 4 8	30958 13 3	1431666 3 3	8939 5 4	2012 8 "	10954 13 4

2083	2429	7	11	11925	3 7
2114	1210	15	7	7961	30 3
9092	8	1193	11	7771	45 3
9657	7	320	11	3	26
2044	1510	10	2	13615	62
6823	1337	7	7	11	85
835	777	13	11	15	7
9685	10930	13	11	6	8
18	12724	9	10	11	3

6613	4	11	633	3	8	114	3
1183	2	8	1005	8686			
1557	18	16	1558	11			
1	3	9	11	8	4	8

24th October 1849

Population.				Cattle.	
Caste	Males	Female	Total	Description	Number
Bramins	13967	12804	26771	Cows	130913
Soodras	232613	223677	456285	Bullocks	94674
Moosulmen	10112	10673	20813	Buffaloes	51099
Pullers &c	69816	66002	135818	Bull Do	18686
				Sheep &c	567233
				Total	862607
				Total Houses	

326538	313151	639689	127109

29" Oct. 1849

15586

Fort St George 29th October 1849.

Consolidated Account of Gardens

Items		Fusly 1258 Extent of Land	Fusly 1258 Its Teerwah	Increase in Fusly 1258 Increase in land Extent of Land	Increase in Fusly 1258 Increase in land Its Teerwah	Increase in Fusly 1258 Fusly 1258 Fusly 1258	Increase in Fusly 1258 Total Increase	Total Extent of Land	Total Its Teerwah
Stock	1st Crop	904 33 13	5180 11 5	84 33 11½	330 11 10	6 3 1	336 14 11	988 87 8½	5517 10 4
	2d Crop	786 26 2½	3034 13 [illegible]	9 64 7	37 3 1	199 13 6	237 [illegible] 7	795 90 9½	3271 13 9
		1690 17 15½	8215 8 7	93 98 2½	367 14 11	206 [illegible] 7	573 15 0	1784 78 2	8789 8 1
Vacant	1st Crop	5701 52 6½	11376 13 2	148 4 11	350 13 2	15 8 5	366 5 7	5849 57 1½	11743 2 3
	2d Crop	4679 99 14½	13142 15 2	36 36 1	91 10 4	49 3 1	140 13 5	4116 35 15½	13283 12 7
		9781 52 5	24510 12 4	184 40 12	442 7 6	64 11 6	507 3 [illegible]	9965 93 1	25026 15 4
Well	1st Crop	3595 21 14½	22943 15 5	260 95 3½	1389 7 11	108 9 7	1498 1 6	3856 17 2	24452 1 2
	2d Crop	8972 29 5½	79063 2 7	98 94 6	718 12 [illegible]	930 12 2	1649 8 2	9071 23 11½	80712 10 9
		12567 51 4	102017 2 3	359 89 9½	2108 3 11	1039 5 9	3147 9 8	12927 40 13½	105164 11 11
Total	1st Crop	10201 28 2	39511 8	493 33 10	2071 [illegible] 11	130 5 1	2201 6 [illegible]	10694 61 12	41712 14 3
	2d Crop	13838 55 6½	95240 14 11	144 94 14	847 9 5	1179 12 9	2027 6 2	13983 50 4½	97268 5 1
		24039 83 8½	134752 7 2	638 28 8	2918 10 4	1310 1 10	4228 12 2	24678 12 ½	138981 13 4

5	782	34	191	128	133	163	104	709	658	6552	9 3
"	814	8	1	"	74.13	89	11	444	9 b	1/2	5784	1 3
1	0414	13	11	1	53	15	4	80	11	5	2b7	5892	12b6	8
4	1812	9	7	11	15	8	1	75	"	"	321	6810	3641	8 7
"	85 10	3	11	3	11	13	4	71	9	10	789	78 1/2	3464	15 11
"	53 9	1	11	3	"	10	9	3	6	2	31	80 13/4	176	8 8
"	87 8	9	3	"	61	"	"	71	3	"	38	15 10	402	7 2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	6	9	24	4	9	11	6812	212	17 7
3	87 8	9	3	"	37	93	45	17	3	"	16	46	11	189	10 7
3	71	11	15	7	1	51	5	1	67	74	34	9	8	4	2	038	9
"	15 10	1	1	6	35	9	10	36	11	4	130	94	1808	4
3	84	11	4	8	15	11	4	50	9	1	19	71	4	00	4

15590

20th October 1819

| | | |

[redacted]

1593

15594

29th October 1849.

Increase in fusly 1258

Increase in land Extent of Its Land Teerwah	Tussul fusly per	Total Increase	Total Extent of Its Land Teerwah
			12,696 8 8
			150 7 6
			54 8 10
			3877 " 10
			10,931 7 "
			51 4 8
			1470 11 5
			70 12 3
			22 9 "
			6,300 12 8
		Total Ready Money	72,480 5 "
		Total	14,77,420 13 4

Deduction

[illegible]	2272 5 1		
[illegible]	32 5 11		
[illegible]	19 1 5	2,323 12 5	
[illegible]	1848 2 10		
[illegible]	2 9 8	1,850 12 6	
[illegible]	" " "	81 4 6	
		Total Deduction " " "	42,55 13 5
		Balance Bariez	14,73,165 15 11

Further Particulars of the Balance of Bariez

Shrotriem and Mamaporoopoo	18,775 2 10	
Ryotwar Settlement	14,54,390 13 1	
Total Settlement of Fusly 1258		14,73,165 15 11

Demand

| | | |

| | | |

| | | |

| | | |

15599

29th October 1849

Deduction
Zemin Bakhut

Turshy: Amount of Teerwak of waste lands belonging to Ryots who are dead	Turshy: Amount of Teerwak of waste lands belonging to Ryots who deserted	Total Turshy	Amount of land destroyed by river	Amount of land in which channels have been dug	Amount of land taken for building dwelling houses
44	45	46	47	48	49
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
86 13 8	28 12 11	4,517 6 "	15 12 7	11 2 3	1 1 2
15 1 "	28 10 "	2,036 8 10	9 12 9	" " "	" " "
12 " 5	1 7 7	3,019 9 10	" " "	42 6 1	" " "
5 6 7	9 7 2	7,534 15 3	43 4 6	58 4 6	" " "
119 5 8	68 5 8	17,108 7 11	68 13 10	111 12 10	1 1 2
97 10 9	89 11 7	24,464 10 3	" " "	" " "	" 14 4
27 1 2	88 5 8	15,231 " 3	" " "	3 14 2	1 5 10
12 5 9	9 " 3	10,092 3 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
113 2 9	124 13 7	33,053 5 1	" " "	" " "	" " "
250 14 5	311 15 1	82,841 2 8	" " "	3 14 2	2 4 2
370 4 1	380 4 9	99,949 10 7	68 13 10	115 11 "	3 5 4

| | | |

| | | |

| | | |

15603 Port St George 29th October 1849.

Decrease -

91

Do Do

81	4	6	4,255	13	5	121,604	15	6	114,316	5	15	11	930	15

15604

[illegible] George 29th October 1849.

[illegible] Distr[illegible] of Trichinopoly during the Harvest month in Fusly 1258.

[illegible] Price [illegible] Fusly [illegible]

Comparison between fusly 1257/58.

	Increase				Decrease			
	Sumba Paddy		Carr Paddy		Sumba Paddy		Carr Paddy	
	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	Rs	A P	Rupees	A P
1848 October	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 3	" 7 3
November	"	"	"	"	"	"	7 10	" 6 "
December	"	"	"	"	"	"	7 6	" 5 3
1849 January	"	1 5	"	"	"	"	"	" 4 6
February	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 "	" 3 9
March	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 "	" 3 6
April	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 "	" 4 6
May	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 "	" 4 6
Total	"	1 5	"	"	"	"	2 3 7	2 7 3
Average	"	" 2	"	"	"	"	4 5/4	" 4 10 3/4

	Sumba Paddy		Carr Paddy		Sumba Paddy		Carr Paddy	
	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	Rs	A P	Rupees	A P
1848 October	"	8 3	"	7 3	"	"	"	"
November	"	7 10	"	6 "	"	"	"	"
December	"	7 6	"	5 3	"	"	"	"
1849 January	"	1 5	"	4 6	"	"	"	"
February	"	3 "	"	3 9	"	"	"	"
March	"	3 "	"	3 6	"	"	"	"
April	"	3 "	"	4 6	"	"	"	"
May	"	3 "	"	4 6	"	"	"	"
Total	9	3 "	7	14 6	7	" 10	5	7 3
Average	1	24 1/2	"	15 9 3/4	"	14 1 1/4	"	10 11
Decrease per Cent					23	4 "	31	" 0

Trichinopoly, 22d October 1849.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement.

29th October 1859.

5103

Teichong-ioly for Fasly 1858.-

		Shavee on lands the cultivation whereof is uncultivated by the Ryots to receive a subsidy from the Wells Tanks Channels becoming Shavee but not from the negligence of the Ryots.-									
	Name of the Ryot to whom the Remission with the respective fields.-	Amount of Seerwah on the land in which the Crop became Shavee		Lowest		Deduct the amount of Seerwah on fields collected in full without allowing remission on account of Shavee		Remaining sum of Seerwah of the fields for which remission is allowed on account of Shavee		Amount of actual Remission	
				8		9		10		11	
				Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
						1407 5 5		1021 7		366 3 10	366 3 10
						1236 5 6		138 8		1137 13 6	1137 13 6
						326 8 7½		30 10 3		295 12 4½	295 12 4½
						227 11		36 11 1		190 5 10	190 5 10
						98 6 2		1 10 3		96 11 11	96 11 11
						64 3 11½		1 " 7½		63 3 4	63 3 4
						52 7 4½		" 12 10½		51 8 6	51 8 6
						31 15 4		1 " 7½		30 12 8½	30 12 8½
						20 6 7½		" 13 6½		39 9 1	39 9 1
						3524 11 11		1252 6 10		2272 5 1	2272 5 1

15601 [illegible] 29th October 1849

Total.—

Remaining sum of Feerwah of fields to which remission is allowed on account of Shavee	Amount actually Remitted	Centage of the amount inserted in Column 9 when compared with that set forth in Column 18.—
18	19	20
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
366 3 10	* 366 3 10	100 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "
1137 13 6	1137 13 6	100 " "
295 14 4½	295 14 4½	100 " "
190 5 10	190 5 10	100 " "
96 11 11	96 11 11	100 " "
63 3 4	63 3 4	100 " "
51 8 6	51 8 6	100 " "
30 14 8½	30 14 8½	100 " "
" " "	" " "	100 " "
39 9 1	39 9 1	100 " "
2272 5 1	2272 5 1	100 " "
Shawney—	32 5 11	
Money remitted	19 1 5	
Total	2323 12 5	

* This remission was granted by Mr Onslow the late Collector in Cutlay and some other Villages dependant on the Amravatty River in consideration of the poverty of the Ryots which is well known to the Board.—

Poonjah Paddy
Sunnadayum or rent

Trichinopoly 22d October 1849

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement.

15607

29th October 1849.

cultivation in the Trichinopoly District for Fusly 1258.

1258 Comparison of Fusly 1257 and 1258.

	Teerwah	Extent of Land	Teerwah	Extent of Land	Teerwah
			Increase		Decrease
	Rs a P	Cs G a	Rs a P	Cs G a	Rs a P
	332 8	4 34 3	40 2 10	" " "	" " "
	364 15 10	" " "	" " "	9 6 8 12	95 1 4
	285 6 9	9 45 "	158 1 "	" " "	" " "
	5316 15 10	105 56 7	1164 " 3	" " "	" " "
	198 4 5 8	" " "	" " "	72 6 4 1	1032 14 5
	201 0 2 7	68 8 9 9	867 4 3	" " "	" " "
	1181 8 2	14 7 3 7	262 6 2	" " "	" " "
	902 2 8	3 14½	151 6 8	" " "	" " "
Total	1237 7 7 8	211 22 8½	2643 5 2	8 23 2 13	1127 15 9

Deduct Decrease 8 23 2 13 1127 15 9

Increase 128 8 9 11½ 1515 5 5

Trichinopoly, 22d October 1849.

(Signed) E. Matthy
Collector

Register

15 George

29th October 1849.

1258.

Extent of Cowle Land in Fusly 1257 Transferred to full Amount

Land	Assessment	Deduct decrease of Assessment	Net Assessment	Land	Assessment
14	15	16	17	18	19
Cs G a	Bo a c	Bo a c	Bo a c	Cs G a	Bo a c
5 " "	25 4 3	18 15 8½	6 4 6½	" " "	" " "
5 " "	24 9 9	15 6 8½	9 3½	" " "	" " "
5 " "	25 4 3	12 10 11½	12 9 3½	" " "	" " "
8 57 13	43 12 "	10 12 4½	32 15 7½	8 57 13	43 12 "
23 57 13	118 14 3	37 13 9	61 " 6	8 57 13	43 12 "

15609

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

Increase or difference of Feerwah above that of Fusly 1257.	Rate of Assessment	Total Land Assessment				Deduct Decrease of Assessment		Net Assessment as per Cowle.	
26	27	28		29		30		31	
Rs	a	Rs	a	Cs	G a	Rs	a	Rs	a
3	29	3/8	"	5	"	25	4 3	15	12 11/2
3	" 11/2	1/2	"	5	"	24	9 9	12	5 9
6	56	3/4	"	5	"	25	4 3	6	5 5 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	9 2/2	"	"	15	"	75	2 3	34	8 2

Trichinopoly, 22d October 1849.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector
Statement.

1581

Trichinopoly 29th October 1849.

Collection and Balance of Fusly 1258.

	Balance			Collected up to 30th September 1849.			Balance up to October 1849.		
	Rs	d	p	Rs	d	p	Rs	d	p
[illegible]	428	9	10	428	9	10	"	"	"
[illegible]	618	4	7	306	14	5	311	6	2
[illegible]	1,916	"	7	1,916	"	7	"	"	"
[illegible]	3,603	13	8	1,995	"	8	1,608	13	"
[illegible]	5,263	1	5	5,263	1	5	"	"	"
Woodiarpollium	322	1	6	167	"	8	155	"	10
Arrealore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Veliconda poorum	6,011	15	3	6,005	1	3	6	14	"
Total	18,163	14	10	16,081	12	10	2,082	2	"

Trichinopoly 22d October 1849.

E. E. Fr. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector
Statement

15611

29th October 1849.

and Balance on account of arrears of Land

the District of Trichinopoly.

	during Fusly 1258.			Balance at the close of Fusly 1258.		
	In account of Fusly 1257	Total	Remission	In account of Fuslies previous to 1256.	In account of Fusly 1257	Total Balance
	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
[illegible]	391 9 9	637 14 9	" " "	39177 15 6	" " "	39177 15 6
[illegible]	25 " "	25 " "	" " "	2839 15 10	" " "	2839 15 10
[illegible]	304 8 2	304 8 2	" " "	53 7 5	" " "	53 7 5
[illegible]	1069 14 4	1166 15 1	" " "	6233 7 2	43 4 6	6276 11 8
[illegible]	3122 11 4	3129 " 11	" " "	133 " 1	" " "	133 " 1
[illegible]	507 3 6	507 3 6	" " "	86 7 "	24 4 "	110 11 "
[illegible]	317 2 8	317 2 8	" " "	124 14 11	" " "	124 14 11
[illegible]	3683 " "	3683 " "	" " "	16 11 6	" " "	16 11 6
Total	9421 1 9	9770 13 1	" " "	48665 15 5	67 8 6	48733 7 11

Trichinopoly 22nd October 1849

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector
Statement.

| | | |

1561

29th October 1849.

2 and 3. Land left waste in Cultivating another Land.		Land left waste by Cultivating Crown Lands.		Paloan or 2d Crop waste brought to account.	
Land	Settlement	Land	Settlement	Land	Assessment
20	21	22	23	25	25.
Bs Gs Ds	Bs As Ds	Bs Gs Ds	Bs As Ds	Bs Gs Ds	Bs As Ds
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	537 9 10	2366 " 10
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	278 7 34½	1238 11 4
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	54 5 6	70 12 5	158 8 24½	788 1 10
" 6510	2 13 3.	67 1 7	96 12 5	1746 30 15¾	3815 9 10½
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	7 6 4	21 5 1
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	32 3 8	189 5 1½	" 17 3 "	36
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	13 9 6	81 7 1	1 6 7 3	8 12 6
9 28 2 25 8 3		6 8 5 15	32 8 5	6 2 5½	2 6 7
9 9 31 2 8 5 6.		1 7 4 2 10	4 7 0 13 5½	2 7 3 6	7 4 2½ 8 2 4 1 3 6½

E C Jr. (Signed) E. Maltby
Collector
Paloakwar

| | | |

29th October 1849

and from other Extra Sources of Revenue

Total including Land Revenue or Columns 4 and 9.	Fusly 1258. Land Revenue Under annual Settlement. Ryotwar.	Shrotriem Jodegay &ca	Total Columns 11 and 12.	Abkarry Rented
10	11	12	13	14.
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
2,52,954 1 1	2,31,465 15 11	1,766 4 "	2,33,232 3 11.	5,600 " "
1,47,145 5 5	1,43,916 11 8	3,097 14 1	1,47,014 9 9	2,700 " "
1,19,625 13 6	1,15,184 10 9	2,083 8 5	1,17,268 3 2	2,250 " "
2,80,669 4 2	2,77,483 8 "	2,146 11 5	2,79,630 3 5	5,000 " "
2,66,441 9 7	2,60,516 4 6	950 " "	2,61,466 4 6	3,220 " "
1,42,726 8 6	1,34,399 11 5	2,043 10 9	1,36,443 6 2	1,610 " "
1,40,570 8 11.	1,34,396 6 "	5,831 11 5	1,40,228 1 5	820 " "
1,59,929 2 "	1,57,027 8 10	855 6 9	1,57,882 15 7	1,410 " "
15,10,062 5 2	14,54,390 13 1.	18,775 2 10	14,73,165 15 11.	22,610 " "

29th October 1849.

of Trichinopoly.-

Land Revenue.					
Land Revenue		Shro-triem		Total Land Revenue.	
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
1,99 14 2	" "	" "	26 3 6	1,47 3 10 8	" "
2,368 6 3	" "	3 11 3	" "	2,372 1 6	" "
760 8 5	" "	" "	" "	760 8 5	" "
3523 5 4	" "	" "	" "	3523 5 4	" "
1,025 4 11	" "	" "	" "	1,025 4 11	" "
" "	2,187 12 10	" "	" "	" "	2,187 12 10
1,715 3 6	" "	" "	" "	1,715 3 6	" "
1,060 12 8	" "	" "	" "	1,060 12 8	" "
11,953 7 3	2,187 12 10	3 11 3	26 3 6	11,930 15 "	2,187 12 10

29th October 1849.

Stamps		Total		Grand Total including Land Revenue.	
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
32	33	34	35	36	37.
Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
1553 8 "	" "	2326 2 5 "	" "	3799 13 1 "	" "
" "	6 "	372 2 5 "	" "	2744 3 11 "	" "
7 12 "	" "	341 3 11	419 5 6	" "	" "
137 "	" "	833 8 7 "	" "	4356 13 11 "	" "
1 "	" "	14 9 10 "	8 7 5 10 11	" "	" "
436 8 "	" "	290 12 "	" "	" "	1897 " 10
" 8 "	" "	198 9 "	151 6 10 6	" "	" "
11 8 "	" "	127 3 10	93 2 14 10	" "	" "
2147 12 "	6 "	3822 9 5 8	17 3 9. 14 6 5 8 8	1897 " 10	

E.E.7th /Signed/ E. Malthby
Collector

Statement

| | | |

1562

29-30

Fort St. George 29th October 1819

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at future day.—

Read the following letters.—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort St. George

31

Sir,

From the Collector of Madura

Requesting instructions as to the course to be adopted in respect of realizing a large sum of money and certain jewels in the hands of the Widow of the deceased Zemindar of Shevagungah.

Para 1. The Balance of Cash entered in the accounts given me by the Sunnoguem Peshcar, when I visited Shevagunga immediately after the late Zemindar's death being under Rs 1000. I expressed my surprize and was told by the Sunnoguem Peshcar that the Zemindar was accustomed to place considerable sums in the hands of his Wives and that he would enquire of them. On his return he informed me that all the Wives denied the possession of any Money except Pichemmaul Nachear the Putta Stree and Mother of the Minor who admitted having received 20,000 Rupees—

2. Considering this a deposite in her hands rather than a gift, I have repeatedly demanded the sum from

from her and entertained an expectation that she would pay it into my Treasury. This expectation however I have now abandoned. The motive of the late Zemindar for giving the money was most probably to secure her against poverty in the case of an adverse decision in the suit for the Estate, or to make a sum available for future Law expences; and she very naturally is unwilling to relinquish the provision for future contingencies thus made for herself and children. I cannot find any entry of the sum in the Accounts of the Estate or the Mansion.

3. Under these circumstances I have the honor to request instructions whether a Suit should be filed against Pichemmaul Nachear, in case she still refuses to surrender this sum. I do not recommend this step which I fear would not succeed, as I cannot shew that she obtained the money without the consent of the late Zemindar or after his death and the

Courts

Courts will probably hold that his right to use the income of the Estate as he pleased cannot be questioned.

4. The Accounts shew the payment of the sum Rs 12,499-12-" for purchasing stones and Gold for an Aroonal &ca. some of the articles are said to have been expended in Jewels for the different females of the family. The Aroonal, which is a Gridle of precious stones set in Gold is in the hands of Pichemmaul who declines yielding it up, on the ground that it was given to her. The value is said to be between seven and eight thousand Rupees. The Accounts state that the Gridle was intended for the Zemindar, and I very much doubt its having been made an absolute Gift to Pichemmaul Nachear. I request instructions also how to proceed in regard to this Jewel.

5. Ornaments were delivered to me of the nominal value of 12,6011-3-8 which for the sake of security I have placed in the Madura Treasury. A large stock of Cloths

I

15624 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

I left in possession of the Guardian as they would spoil if locked up, and are useful for the Minor and the family. Fowling pieces and Spears, some of them expensively mounted with Gold are also in the same custody, that they may be kept clean.

Madura
Colliar Covil,
27th September 1849
Proceedings thereon.
31 – 32

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

The Court of Wards concur with the Collector that under the circumstances which he has represented, it would not be advisable to have recourse to legal measures for the recovery of the amount in possession of Pichemmaul Nacheyar, and said to have been given to her by the late Zemindar. The Collector in communication with the Guardian should use his influence to induce her to give up the money in question together with the Arconal mentioned in para 4. Unless this can be effected amicably it will be better to await the issue of the Suit for the Estate now pending before the Sudr Adawlut.

To

15625 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

To
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort St. George.

33

From the Collector of Madura.

Forwarding Copy of Correspondence with Mr. Seth Sam relative to his proposition for a compromise of his suit against the Shevagunga Zemindar in No. 2 of 1848 and of a Decree of the Civil Court of Madura.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit for the purpose of being laid before the Board of Revenue, the accompanying copy of a correspondence with Mr. Seth Sam, relative to his proposition for a compromise of the suit brought by him against the Zemindar of Shevagunga, to recover the amount, with interest, of a Bond obtained by him for intended Services in England towards procuring a favorable decision in the Appeal before the Privy Council, together with a copy of the Decree of the Civil Court at Madura in Mr. Sam's Suit which I was only able to obtain on the 9th Instant.

2. I beg to express my opinion that Mr. Sam's proposition is not deserving of consideration.

Madura
12th October 1849

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

To

15626 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

To

R. D. Parker Esqr
Collector of Madura.

Sir.

As Government have decided upon placing the Zemindaree of Sivagunga under the attachment of the Court of Wards during the disqualification of the sons of the late Zemindar in consequence of their Minority and with reference to Section XVII Regulation V of 1804, I have the honor to address you on the subject of my claim against the said Zemindaree now under litigation, with a view of ascertaining whether you would feel disposed to effect a settlement of the same as empowered by the said Section XVII in preference to continuing the litigation which would only tend to increase the amount of costs as the suit proceeds from Court to Court.—

You may not be unacquainted with the cause and Nature of the claim but in case of your not being truly and properly informed of its exact

Merits

15627 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

merits I beg to submit the following Sketch of it.—

In the year 1843 the Sudr Court Vakeel of the late Zemindar Goolamoortoozah Saib came and prayed my going to England and acting as the private Agent of the late Zemindar in bringing forward the Appeal then pending before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council to a speedy hearing. for which purpose he agreed on behalf of the Zemindar that he the Zemindar would pay me the sum of Rupees 25,000 as remuneration for my Services and the expences that I would incur in the event of the Case being decided in his favor. but he moreover made an express proviso or stipulation that he would not pay me a cash if the same was given against him. I agreed to this condition and taking my chance of it proceeded to England as his private Agent for which purpose I obtained a Power of Attorney from the late Zemindar. In November of the said year I embarked for England

by

by the Steamer Hindostan and reached London in February following and as required of me I called on the parties that had the conduct of the case and did all that was required of me by the Deed of agreement or Kararnamah now on the files of the Madura Civil Court in Original Suit No. 2 of 1848, as evidenced by Mr. R. Clarke his constituted agent in England. The case was brought to a hearing in July 1844, and after 2 days pleadings was decided in the Zemindars favor, whereby his engagement to me by the said Kararnama became a valid demand against him. Upon this intelligence reaching Madras my Brother who has the Kararnamah in his possession and was authorized to demand and receive the amount for and on my behalf called upon the said Vakeel Goolamoortoozah Saib to pay the amount, but as was natural to expect the said Vakeel with a view of promoting litigation objected to its payment on sundry vain and frivolous grounds

grounds contrary to the express conditions and stipulations of the Kararnamah. Upon this my Brother addressed a letter to Zemindar himself from whom he received no answer. On my return from England in March 1845 I employed various persons to speak to the parties and get the claim discharged, for which purpose I waited till the end of the year 1847 when I thought proper of proceeding to Madura and by a direct conference or interview with the late Zemindar settle the matter amicably. On my arrival there in February 1848 I addressed a letter to him by Post intimating my arrival there and the object of my visit but I received no answer whatever. Consequently I was driven most reluctantly to the only alternative left me that of instituting a suit against him in the Civil Court and which I did in O.S. No. 2 of 1848 now pending before the Civil Court of Madura. Here I deem it necessary to observe that I was positively told at Madura that on all the

Fort St George 29th October 1849

the three occasions first of my Brother and my writing to him and the arrears of the summons after the institution of the suit the late Zemindar actuated by a sense of honor, purposed discharging the debt justly due by him, but was hindered from doing so by his followers whose interest it seemed to be to promote this useless litigation.

Having thus much briefly stated the nature of the transaction I now beg to shew the consideration on my part which entitles me to the amount agreed to be paid by the Zemindar - according to the tenor of the agreement or Kararnamah, translated Copy of which I beg to enclose, you will observe that all that was required of me to do was with reference to the Power of Attorney, which I am now unable to produce having lost it, which I have good reason to believe to have been lost together with my Baggage between Alexandria and Suez on my Voyage back) but the stipulations of which were to the effect that

Fort St George 29th October 1849

that I was to consult with the said Mr Richard Clarke and in communication and conference with that Gentleman and with the Lawyers you bring the case to a speedy hearing and that he the Zemindar would admit and acknowledge all communications verbal or written that I might hold with the said Agent Lawyers &c as having been done by himself - That I have accordingly proceeded to England and met the several parties abovementioned and saw the case brought to a hearing and have been present at its trial, have been fully borne evidence to by Mr R Clarke himself in an Extract of a letter written to the Zemindars parties by him & sent to me by himself and which is now filed in the Court at Madura - You will also observe that this Kararnamah which is of later date, entirely supercedes the Power of Attorney by observing or engaging that "Provided I get a "favorable Decree I will not fail "after being put in possession of the said

Zemindaree

"Zemindaree as aforesaid, to pay to you
"the said amount without delay or op-
"position whether the same has been com-
"passed by the means specified in the
"said Power of Attorney or by any other
"means. Whatever your labors may have
"been I engage to pay you the said sum
"only if I get a favorable Decree and
"am put in possession but should I not get
"a favorable Decree I will on no account
"give you even a Cash. Thus have I
"given this agreement of my own free
"will and accord. This is written by the
"Royasum Daseka Iyengar or even should
"the aforesaid suit be compromised I
"engage to pay you the said amount". Hence
you will observe that the Zemindar engaged
to pay the amount without delay or opposi-
-tion provided he got a favorable De-
-cree whatever my labors may have been
and further agreed in case of compromise
being effected between them that the amount
would be paid in full. Hence it is clear that he
engaged

engaged to pay the amount for my troubles
for my troubles in proceeding to England
and that alone he considered a sufficient
and valid consideration for the amount - all
the frivolous objections now raised as to
my having done nothing in England and
not having written to the Zemindar and
not having proceeded to England on
his behalf can avail nothing in the face
of such an obligation freely and with-
-out compulsion given by him - For what
could have been the consideration that
would have entitled me to the amount
if a Razeenamah was effected between
the parties in India when I was in
England? It is evident then that I
could have had no hand in such a Ra-
-zeenamah whereby it is quite manifest
that whilst he fully owned admitted
and acknowledged at the time that I
had proceeded to England on his behalf,
now with a view of getting off the liability
urged these vain arguments as an effective plea
merely

merely to deprive me of my just rights
That I have been to the proper parties in
England conferred with them and have
been present at the trial — a thing not
required of me have been fully admitted
by Mr Richard Clarkes said letter — That
a Decree was passed in his favor and
he put in possession of his Zemindaree
are also quite clear and well known to
you and consequently the amount of the
Kararnamah has been duly and justly
earned by me and I am justly entitled
to the same with Interest from the date
fell due, ie 3 Months after the release
of the Zemindaree on the 16th December 1844
according to Section II Act XXIII of 1839.
Such being the strong grounds of my claim
and such the tenor and nature of his en-
gagement it need hardly be told that I
must succeed in my claim and conse-
quently deferring the adjustment of
the same or continuing the litigation will
only tend to swell the amounts of interests
and

and costs without being at all conducive to
the benefit of the Estate.

I have therefore the honor
to request that you will be pleased to
submit this my letter to the Court of
Wards and by obtaining their sanction
as required by Section XVII Regulation
V of 1804 direct the amount to be paid to
me as claimed.

Madras, 17th August 1849. } (Signed) V. Seth Sam.

Copy of the translation of the Kararnamah.

Agreement written and
given by Streemut Rajah Mootoo Vejia
Ragoonada Gavooree Vallaba Paria Odia
Taver Maharajah the proprietor of the
Shevagunga Zemindaree in the Madura
Zillah and descendant and undivided
younger Brother of the Respondent in
appeal Suit No 13 of 1835 of the Sudr
Court deceased to Varden Seth Sam Esqre of
Madras this the 16th day of March 1846.

Having this day appointed
your private Agent & granted you
Mooktiarnamah or Power of Attorney
to obtain me a favorable Decree in
the

the appeal now pending before the Queen in Council against the Decree passed by the Sudr Court in aforesaid number. For the exertions you shall make in England in that wise on my behalf as specified in said Mooktiarnamah I hereby engage for myself and my heirs to pay you or your Heirs or attorneys appointed by you the sum of 25000 Rupees, within three Months after receiving a favorable Decree from England and the Zemindaree and its appurtenances being released to me. Provided I get a favorable Decree, I will not fail after being put in possession of the said Zemindaree as aforesaid, to pay to you the said amount without delay or opposition, whether the same has been compassed by the means specified in the said Power of Attorney or by any other means. Whatever your labors may have been I engage to pay to you the said sum only if I get a favorable Decree and am put in possession but I should not get a favorable Decree I will on no account give you even

T.R.

even a leash. Thus have I given this agreement of my own free will and accord. This is written by the Royasum Thasaka Iyengar or even should the aforesaid suit be compromised I engage to pay you the said amount.

Signed Rajah Moothoo Vezia
Ragoonada Gowree Vullaba
Paria Odia Taver

Signed Cootty Jossien of Shevagungah
" Moothoosawmy Iyen son of Veencatachel:
:la Iyen of Shevagungah
" Narana Iyengar son of Allaghere
Manavaliengar of Carrioor
" Ramasawmiengar of Shevagungah
" Lutchoomana Iyengar son of Pappen
:golum Narana Iyengar.
Sabapaty Pillay.

R. D. Parker Esqre
Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I had this honor on the 17th Instant regarding my claim against the Shevagungah Zemindaree then (as I supposed) still pending before the Civil Court of Maduru. Yesterday I received the intelligence of the not

15638 Fort St George 29th October 1849

[illegible]

[illegible] Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] continue [illegible]

[illegible] notice [illegible]

[illegible] Court [illegible]

[illegible] to the Sudr [illegible]

[illegible] decision the proposition [illegible]

[illegible] and letter [illegible] still deserving

[illegible] as well as the attention of the

Court of Wards as the additional costs of [illegible]

[illegible] alluded to [illegible] to the [illegible]

charges that would have followed the anticipated

decision of the Madura Court, and not to any

costs that were still incurrable at Madura

where all proceedings were at an end.

I have the honor therefore to request that

you will be pleased to submit this and my former

letter to the Court of Wards and by obtaining their

[illegible]

15639 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

opinion on the subject, communicate it to me as early as possible that I might adopt the needful measures in bringing the case before the Sudr Court, as I am not disposed to defer lodging the appeal until the expiration of the appeal time as is generally done.

Madras
21st August 1849.

(Signed) V. Seth Sam

No. 503

To V. Seth Sam Esqre
Madras

Para 1 In reply to your letter of the 21st August, I have the honor to state that as I have not as yet been able to obtain a copy of the Decree of the Civil Court to which you allude, it is not in my power at present to submit the matter to the Court of Wards.

2. I make this intimation to you that you may use your discretion in regard to preferring your appeal suit, without waiting for a definite answer on your proposition which is thus unavoidably delayed.

Madura
Theroopavanum
12th September 1849.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

(True Copies)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

of Rupees 34,833-3-4 being principal and interest due under a certain agreement bearing date 10th March 1844 and for further interest to date of Decree pet In his Plaint, Plaintiff says that he was engaged by 1st Defendant, thro' the agency of the 2nd, to proceed to England as his private Agent in respect of a certain cause then pending before Her Majesty in Council in which the said 1st Defendant was Appellant, for the purpose of explaining his case clearly to Mr Richard Clarke his public Agent, as also to the Lawyers and Barristers and to await there and to obtain a favorable Decree or Decision in his favor-promising to give him for so doing Rupees 25,000-

That agreeing to these terms, he accordingly went to England at much inconvenience to himself-giving up his business in Madras at the importunity of the 2d Defendant-carrying with him a letter of introduction from the 2d Defendant to Mr Clarke the public Agent of the 1st Defendant which letter he delivered and remained in England till

having done so interest is equitably due under
the provisions of Act XXXII of 1839.

5. 1st Defendant in answer states that
during the pending of the Appeal it was suggested
to him that Plaintiff being about to proceed to
England on his own business might be advantage
ously employed by him as a Private Agent in the
matter that he in consequence negociated with
him thro' the agency of the 2nd Defendant
and on Plaintiff professing that he was able
and willing to render certain various import
ant services in the cause the 1st Defendant
ultimately executed the Power of Attorney and
Bond alluded to in the Plaint.

6. That he did so trusting and believing
that the services of Plaintiff would be useful and
important and that by his means he would obtain an
absolute Decree in his favor but that before the said
documents could be settled the Plaintiff anxious on
account of his own business to proceed to England
left

[illegible]

[illegible] Defendant having received from the [illegible] Agent intimation of the Decree having been passed by Her Majesty in Council 2d Defendant hesitated to deliver the same but finally did so under fear of legal proceedings.

8. That 1st Defendant will prove by the letters of his public Agent that the Plaintiff neither could nor did render any assistance to the cause that he performed none of the acts or conditions requisite to entitle him to the stipulated reward nor moreover was the

the Decree had he done so of the favorable nature contemplated it was a mere direction to the Respondent to commence denovo and no adjudication of right in favor of 1st Defendant.

9. That the 2d Defendant's concern in the matter was limited to being the medium of negociation between 1st Defendant and Plaintiff and that he had no authority and could not have authorized Plaintiff to undertake the case and proceed to England prior to the execution and receipt by Plaintiff of the Power of Attorney and that he Plaintiff had no business so to proceed.

10. That No. 7 of 1833 before the late Provincial Court Southern Division (Teed Vers: the Zemindar of Ramnad) appealed to the Sudr Udalut in No. 5 of 1834 is a case in point which would authorize the non suiting of this Plaintiff. That 2nd Defendant has been included as a party only to prevent his giving evidence. That the claim for

Port St George 29th October 1849

for his trouble and had prepared and gave to 2nd Defendant drafts of the necessary powers and agreement. He 2nd Defendant upon this engaged to write to the 1st Defendant for his consent and forwarded the said Drafts, but prior to the receipt of an answer from the 1st Defendant the Plaintiff informed him that he had urgent occasion to proceed to England on his own account and request-ed that if the 1st Defendant consented to the agreement and executed the papers they should be delivered to his elder Brother to be sent after him to England accordingly this Defendant gave him some information as to the nature of the case and a letter of introduction to the public Agent in England.-

12. Thus it is clear that Plaintiff went to England prior to the completion of the agreement and that this Defendant had no authority to engage him to do so. He gave the Power of Attorney to Plaintiff's elder Brother after Plaintiffs departure but whether it was forwarded to

15648

Fort St George 29th October 1849

15649

Fort St George 29th October 1849

services bargained for which is disproved by a letter from the Public Agent Mr. Clarke to him (Plaintiff) under date 24th July 1848 in which he furnishes him with an Extract or Abstract of Mr. Clarkes letter to the 2d Defendant of the 22d of the same month in which he sets forth and recites what the Plaintiff did do in the matter and he (Plaintiff) argues that he could not as Private Agent have done more.

15. That the payment of the 25,000 Rupees is not conditioned on the performance of any services, that is to say - if he had done nothing and the Decree was in 1st Defendants' favor - he was to take under it - it was to be paid even if the suit was com:promised between the parties. the proposal did not emanate from himself in the first instance as stated but from the 2d Defendant - There was no understanding that an outlay would be ne:cessary in England and that Plaintiff would advance as far as 2000 Rupees - he would

Fort St George 29th October 1849

the Plaintiffs letter to his Brother - so that there was no remissness on Plaintiffs' part - neither does the Bond stipulate that information should from time to time be furnished to Defendants by Plaintiff -

17- The condition of the Bond is such that the amount is to be paid 3 months after the Zemindary was put in possession under the Decree, it does not stipulate that if another suit was brought it should not be paid - the objection that the Decree was not in 4th Defendants favor is submitted to be invalid - The 2d Defendant considering the part he took is - the Plaintiff alledges - properly included as a Defendant - in this suit - Had the Plaintiff been paid when his claim fell due he would have placed it at interest therefore he is entitled to Interest under Act XXXII 1839 and the case of Seed Versus the Ramnad Zemindar quoted by Defendants is not analogous to the present action -

18- The Defendants in a joint -

Rejoinder

15653 Fort St George 29th October 1849

15653 Fort St George 29th October 1849

on the Zemindary case - There is nothing to shew that the 25000 Rupees were intended to cover Plaintiffs travelling charges &c.a - that that sum was fixed in reference to the expenditure of a private nature contemplated as necessary in England. that the wording of the power of attorney is not such as to lead to the supposition that Plaintiff was engaged by Defendants to go to England, but on the contrary it empowered him to do certain things as he had gone to England - had it been otherwise Plaintiff would not have agreed to receive nothing - not even his travelling charges in case of non success - indeed in his reply Plaintiff virtually admits that he had other business in England - The 2.d Defend.ants demand of Rupees 5000 is altogether false - Mr. Clarkes conduct is free from and above the unwarrantable suspicions cast upon it by Plaintiff. Mr. Clarkes letter will shew what the Plaintiff did. The 2.d Defendant had no authority to send Plaintiff to England as it were in advance and before the completion of the Papers and agreement -

The

15654 Fort St George 29th October 1849

15655 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

4 To prove what - if any thing was done by him Plaintiff under and in virtue of the said Power of Attorney and whether the said power was sent to him in England and exhibited to and recognized by the parties there concerned in the case - before the decision of the case -

5 To prove that such favorable Decree as the parties had in view and intention was procured by the means contemplated -

6 To prove that any such Decree has been obtained at all or by any means -

While the Defendants were directed to prove : —

1st That Plaintiff about to proceed to England on his own business undertook in consideration of receiving an agreement for Rupees 25,000 payable on 1st Defendant obtaining a favorable Decree from Her Majesty in Council, to use certain means and exertions to obtain such Decree -

2d That those means and exertions were not used or if they were of what nature they were. -

Plaintiff exhibited 3 documents Viz. - 21-

The

15656 Fort St George 29th October 1849

15657 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

Admission of Plaintiffs Elder brother that he received the agreement from 2nd Defendant 16th August 1844.

No 33. Letter from 1st to 2d Defendants reproving him for giving up said agreement 22d August 1844.

No 34 Letter from 2d to 1st Defendants stating himself to have done so under fear of legal proceedings dated 29th August 1844.

No 35. Letter from R. Clarke Esqre 1st Defendants agent in England to 2d Defendant 30th October 1844.

No 36 Do from Do to Do 19th February 1846

No 37 Do from Do to Do 6th August 1846

No 38 Do from Do to Do 24th July 1848.

23. The Plaintiff put in a motion No 260 of 1849 showing cause for his omission to enter on proof of certain points recorded for that purpose as being needless.

Decree.

24. This appears to be a case of which the Court is called upon to take a comprehensive, not narrowing or confining its consideration to the bare facts as alleged

or

from the date on which 1st Defendant shall be
put in possession of his Estate under such Decree
he shall pay to the Plaintiff Rupees 2,500–2d
that the payment shall not be contingent on the
exact nature or extent of the pains and endea:
:vors used_but that Plaintiff shall be held to
have fulfilled his contract by whatever means
he may obtain or assist in obtaining such Decree
3d That whatever means be used and whatever
trouble be taken by Plaintiff he shall notwith:
:standing go entirely unremunerated if a favor:
:able Decree be not obtained.–

27. To acquire as clear an idea as –:
might be of the nature of the services contemplat:
:ed and bargained for by the contracting parties
the Court desired the Plaintiff to put in the
"Power of Attorney" for acting under which he
claimed the stipulated reward and which is
alluded to in the agreement as more particularly
mentioning them. He has not done so,
alleging it/in his motion No 260 of 1849/
to

take in a book, kept for the purpose, Copies of Powers &c as attested by the Register of Deeds and in such book and in the very un-satisfactory state mentioned in the letter of the Judge now preceding in the Subordinate Court under date 11th August 1849, which ac-companied it, there is what purports to be a Copy of the said Power of attorney, transcript of which the Court has had made and with Copy of the said letter placed with the records of the Suit in the event of any future reference thereto being thought necessary-

28 The Court however has thus men-tioned all that can be ascertained in regard to it rather in order to prevent its being sup-posed to be of any importance than as thinking it can ever be deemed so; the description given therein of the means to be employed by Plaintiff and referred to in the agreement is little if at all more full and explanatory than the agree-ment itself, it professes to appoint the Plaintiff as

immoral or dishonest, they are merely absurd, ridiculous and impossible, there was no room or need for such services, every thing connected with the case was already before the highest tribunal known to the law of this Country-every thing had been sifted and scrutinized by the first talent in England-the Management of the case had been publicly committed under an act of the Imperial Legislature to an authorized and responsible Agent-what new light-what information what assistance could by any possibility have been afforded by the Plaintiffs how could he in this late stage of the proceedings do further the cause as to deserve a fee eight times in value that which the public Agent states himself to have received for his labour in preparing and bringing the case to a hearing? the utter impossibility of such a functionary as a private Agent being of the slightest use is also it may be observed clearly explained in the letter of the public Agent of date 30th October 1844 (Defendants Document No. 35) It is impossible to suppose but that

much as he knew better and was more enlightened, the unfortunate Zemindar - his Agents and advisers were probably in common with the majority of their Countrymen unable to imagine or believe in the immaculate purity which pre: sides in a British Court of Justice - it is impos: sible to say what preposterous ideas the lamentably corrupt state of their own morals on such subjects may have led them to entertain - but there is not even this excuse for the Plaintiffs he must have known that they were deceiving themselves and fostered their delusion for his own ends and advant: age - He must have known that he could not in any way influence the course of justice and that he might as well have taken their money under pretence of altering the course of the heavenly bodies or of obtaining a favorable wind as a favorable Decree, had their credulity chanced to take that shape and to desire those services at his hands -

The

3. The Plaintiff was [illegible] to prove the legality and morality of a consideration for which the assignment was given he has made no attempt to do so or to satisfy the Court either that he was so absurd as really to believe he could do any thing in the matter in the way openly professed or by shewing and explaining what he could so do what information or assistance he did or could give which was not well known before and which could in any way account for the large sum engaged to be paid to him. On the contrary he evades this opportunity of vindicating the honesty of his intentions by merely stating in his motion No. 260 of 1849 "that it is unnecessary "to prove this point because the 1st Defendant "has executed the Document giving his consent "to use such means" does he mean that the na:ture of the means is therefore immaterial? that if the 1st Defendant authorized him to use bribery that

that he might have done so without prejudice to his claim and have come into a Court of Justice to sue for wages of iniquity.

32. But the Plaintiff may say I was ignorant. I did not know how little I could do. I meant to do nothing but what was open and honest and I did all that I could. now what did he do? let him speak for himself. he writes to his Brother (see Defendants Docu:ment No. 31) XXX "tell him (the 2d Defendant) "that the Barristers had a dreadful time of it. "In one place the case seemed doubtful, luckily "I was present and settled the question. The Sudder "Court offers a reward of 3500 Rupees to any one "that would prove the Will to be a forgery. Mr. "Clarke was asked whether any one had come forward. "of course he knew nothing about it. He asked "me. I answered that to the best of my knowledge "no one had availed himself of the reward for "there was no forgery to be proved &ca" This is the

the Plaintiffs Statement of [illegible] important service to the cause now let this be contracted with the Public Agents account of the same transaction as given in his letter 5th October 1849 "On one day of the hearing the Court "enquired whether any proceedings had taken "place in consequence of the proclamation about "the supposed forgeries because the Barristers "on the other side had of course referred to that "proclamation in their arguments I said I had not "heard of any but as Mr Sam was present I asked "him because he had more recently came from "India he said that as far as he knew no proceed-"ings had taken place. This information was of very "little consequence and did not in any way affect "the Decree for if the Court were to attach any "importance to it they must have required copy "of such proceedings and would have called for "them but you will see nothing about it in "the Decree. Mr Sam has therefore rendered no

assistance

assistance nor could he do so" and again in his letter of the 19th February 1846 (Defendants Docu-ment No. 36) the Public Agent says "I sent you "on a former occasion a full detail of the very "useless and trifling doings of Mr Seth Sam in "the Shevagunga case he has no claim whatever "to the remuneration he demands".

and again the same party in his letter of the 6th August 1846 (Defendants Document No. 37) says "I do not fear that Mr Seth Sam will be "of any consequence, if he should be so foolish "as to assert that he has done a great deal here "let him shew what he has done and let a reference "be made to me or to Mr Lawford".

and an exactly similar appreciation and view is taken by the same party of the Plaintiffs services in his letter of the 22d July 1848 Defendants Document No. 38.

33 Now this party it is to be observed is the individual to whose testimony Plaintiff triumphantly

triumphantly refers to prove he did so [illegible] the cause and what he did for [illegible] No 200 of 1849 in reference to the Court having directed him to prove what if any thing was done by him under and by virtue of the said Power of Attorney he says "no further proof is necessary beyond the Clarkes letter which will show what he did in the Appeal case on for Defendants account" indeed he, the Plaintiff, puts in as his Document No 23 copy of the same letter forwarded by Mr Clarke to himself with an original of date the 24th July 1848 - Plaintiffs Document No 23 in which latter he says "I do not know how your conscience can allow you to claim from an improvident Suitor a remuneration eight times as large as that which I received for conducting the whole case in his behalf."

34 . The Court has thus discussed the nature of the consideration in the only two lights in which it can be regarded - If, as it believes, the true consideration is not expressed and an

an immoral one was behind - the Plaintiff cannot claim it - if it be held that notwithstanding the absurdity - the real consideration contemplated by Defendants was that expressed, still, there was deceit on Plaintiffs part, he must have known that he could not have rendered even such services supposing them to have been needed, and he could have been allowed to do so for he was totally ignorant of the whole facts of the case as evidenced by his letter to his brother in which he says in one place "the case seemed doubtful but he settled the question" - and how? by informing the Court that he was not aware - that any success had attended the offer of a reward for the discovery of the perpetrators of certain forgeries!! little could the Plaintiff know of the case if he supposed that it could be placed in doubt or in any way affected by this circumstance - or that if any doubt did arise on a material point that Her Majesty's Privy

Plaintiff ever opened his lips and uttered a single syllable in the capacity however sincere of Defendants private Agent that he is legally entitled to receive the fee promised to him in that capacity however extravagant and enormous. and what then? it is not proved. May by circumstantial evidence and by fair inference is wholly disproved that Plaintiff ever wore that character for an instant, he left India without it. there is no proof that he ever received any Document authorizing him to assume it. there is no evidence that he ever did so assume it. there is the strongest circumstantial evidence that he did not. for we have an account both from the Plaintiff himself and the parties who were concerned in the case in England which make no allusion whatever to the fact of his pretending or claiming to be recognized in any such character. this may arise either from the fact that it never was sent to him at all. never left this Country or

[illegible] Court would [illegible] the question is [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

his motion No. 260 of 1849 that it is unnecessary to prove it because it is not mentioned in the Plaint or the Reply - So be it - then the case is at end - for unless it be pretended that "services were rendered as a private Agent under a power of Attorney" the Agreement which pro: vides a reward for such services and for no others becomes ipso facto a dead letter -

36. It is not necessary to dwell at much length on the various subordinate allegations made and combatted by the Parties - From the Ground taken in the original plaint the Plaintiff has in a great degree receeded - finding it to be, as it is, wholly untenable - and not indeed very ma: terial to the issue thus his undertaking of the voyage at the solicitation and solely for the business of the Defendants - his premature departure without the papers at the earnest in: portunity of the 2nd defendant - his remaining in

made - on the contrary so far from an adjudication of right in his favor the Decree pointed out to a hostile party the proper and only practicable way of attacking that right. the Plaintiff produces a Docu: ment No 3 which he says shews that the Ze: mindary was put in his, 1st Defendants, posession under that Decree - not so - by that it is shown, it is true, that it is in consequence of that Decree the Court of Sudr Adalut in the absence of any Decree or claim against the Defendant allowed him posession till legally disturbed - but that is a very different thing from obtaining posession under a Decree - had the 1st Defendant ever been in posession of the Shevagunga Zemindary under a Decree

of

of Her Majesty in Council adjudging the right thereto to be in him there could not now be pending a [illegible] suit in the Sudr Udalut to dispossess his son and heir of the property

38. For the reasons it has given above and upon a long and careful consideration of the whole merits of the Case the Court dismisses the claim of the Plaintiff who will of course bear his own costs, but in consideration of the foolish not to say worse conduct of the Defendants they will bear their own Costs.

Costs incurred by the Plaintiff.

Value of Stampt paper	825 " "
Peons Batta	1 " "
Total	826 " "

Costs incurred by the Defendants

Value of Stampt paper	99 " "

Given

Given under my Hand in the Civil Court of Madura on the 15th day of August A. D. 1849

The Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby informed that in conformity with the order of Suder Udalut No. 48 no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid unless it first receives the sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed -

This Decree contains (38) Thirty Eight sheets.

Signed and Sealed in open Court this 28th day of September A D. 1849 -

Term of Appeal Expires on the 20th day of December A D 1849.

(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Civil Judge

True Copy of an unauthenticated copy.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

The

15680 Fort St George 29th October 1849

33-34

Proceedings thereon. The Court of Wards concur with the Collector that Mr Seth Swan [illegible] application is [illegible] of consideration. He will be so good as to intimate to Mr Sam that the Court decline to entertain his proposal.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the Court of Wards
Fort St George

35

From the Collector of Madura - } Sara, Sir,

Forwarding Extract from the

I have the honor to forward copies of 2 Extract Proceedings from

15681 Fort St George 29th October 1849

from the Civil Court at Madura with copies of Extracts from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut which accompanied them, by which the Estate of Shevagunga is declared to be relieved from the attachment of the Court and placed under the immediate control of the Court of Wards, and I am referred to that Court for instructions on the question of the mode in which persons who obtained assignments of Grain for money payments or service, previous to the Estate being taken under my charge, should obtain satisfaction of their claims.-

2. As an alteration of the Establishment at the present moment when the accounts of the Jummabundy are under preparation would be attended with considerable inconveniences I beg leave to postpone this step until my Report has been submitted when I will address the Board on the subject.

3. In the mean time I request to

15682 Fort St George 29th October 1849

to be informed whether I am to be guided in the question of assignments by the Opinion expressed by the Court of Wards in their Proceedings of the 20th July last.

Madura 24 October 1849 } Signed R. D. Parker
Collector

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut under date the 9th October 1849.

Read the following letter dated the 28th August 1849 from the Subordinate Judge in charge of the Zillah Court of Madura

The subordinate Judge forwards a letter from the Collector of Madura who at the instance of the Court of Wards requests the instructions of the Sudder Udalut relative to the mode in which persons who obtained assignments of grain for money payments or service in the Shevagungah Zemindary previously it is being taken under charge of the Collector should obtain satisfaction of their claims.—

15683 Fort St George 29th October 1849.

2. The Court of Sudr Udalut observe that on a reference from the Court of Wards they have decided that the Estate of Shevagungah should be relieved from attachment and placed under the immediate control of the Court of Wards, and that Court will of course issue all necessary instructions to the Collector in respect of its Management.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Civil Judge of Madura for his information.

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. H. Davidson
Register

To the Civil Judge of Madura.

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Civil Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector
Extract

15684 Fort St George 29th October 1849

No 2

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura under date the 10th October 1849.

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudder Udalut dated the [illegible] instant instructing the Civil Judge to remove the Shevagungah Estate from attachment and to advise the Collector of Madura that the Estate is placed once more under the exclusive control and management of the Court of Wards.

Ordered that copy of the above Extract be forwarded to the Collector of Madura for his information.

/True Extract

/Signed C. R. Baynes

Civil Judge

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R. D. Parker

Collector

No 3

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut under date the 8th October 1849.

Read Miscellaneous Petition presented on the 27th September 1849 by the Government [illegible]

15685 Fort St George 29th October 1849

Goolam Moorteza on behalf of the Court of Wards.

1. The Court of Wards refer to the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut under date the 30th April 1849 in which it was ordered that the Zemindary of Shevagungah in the District of Madura, at that time under care of the Court of Wards, according to the Provisions of Regulation V of 1804 for the benefit of the Minor Proprietor Streemathoo Rajah Mootloo Vezia Raghoonadah Bodagooroosawmy Paria Oodia Thaver should be attached and placed under the management of the Collector of the District and the Court of Wards enter into a lengthened exposition of the considerations which lead them to view that order as both generally inexpedient and unnecessary and likewise contrary to Law.

2. The Court of Wards conclude by requesting the Sudr Udalut to withdraw the attachment of the property and to allow the Estate again to pass into the sole and undivided charge of the Court of Wards to be administered by them through the agency and by the means prescribed in Regulation V 1804 and in conformity with the provisions of that enactment.

The

3. The Court of Sudder Udalut observe that their orders for the attachment of the property were issued in consequence of a representation made to them that the estate was being wasted and injured while at the same time it did not appear that the control exercised by the Court of Wards was sufficient to preserve it from injury. It now appears that the control exercised by that Court is complete and simply sufficient to preserve the estate from injury or mismanagement and it is possible that the orders of this Court respecting the attachment of the estate may lead to confusion and even to collision between the Revenue and Judicial Authorities. This being the case the Court of Sudder Udalut resolve to recal their order of the 30th April 1849 and to instruct the Civil Judge of Madura to remove the attachment from off the estate and at the same time to advise the Collector of Madura that the estate is placed once more under the exclusive control and

To in Original perhaps for "amply" (Signed) C. R. B.

and management of the Court of Wards.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Civil Judge of Madura for his information and guidance.

Ordered also that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue for the information of the Board.

(True Extract)

(Signed) T. H. Davidson
Register

(True Copy)

(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 4

Extract from Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura under date the 13th October 1849.

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut dated the 9th idem ordering the Estate of Shevagungah to be relieved from attachment

attachment and placed under the immediate control of the Court of Wards and [illegible] that that Court will issue all necessary instructions to the Collector in respect of its management.

Ordered that Copy of the above Extract be forwarded to the Collector of Madura for his information and guidance.

(True Extract)

(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

35-36

Proceedings thereon.

In their Proceedings of 22d Instant the Court of Wards communicated to the Collector their authority for reverting to the Establishment for the management of the Shevagangah Zemindary which had been entertained previous to the sequestration of that Estate. Under the explanation contained in Para 2 of the above letter they however acquiesce in

in Mr Parker's proposal that no alteration be made in the present Establishment pending the receipt of his report.

2. In regard to the Junkas or assignments referred to in Para 3 the Collector will be guided by the instructions conveyed to him in the proceedings of this Office under date 26th July last.

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

37

From the Acting Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore ....

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 27th August last, together with Copy of the Correspondence noted in the Margin+ relative to the case of Ragoonadien of Gopechetty pollien in the Suttiamungalum Talook.

+ From the Board to Government 6th August 1849 No. 352
From Government 20th Do. No. 783.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

2. The matter in dispute has been compromised, Regoonadien having been placed in

15690. Fort St George 29th October 1849

in possession of the land claimed by him and he having withdrawn the [illegible] in the [illegible] Sudr [illegible]

[illegible] also [illegible] to preserve the Established rights of way and water passage through the land alluded to.

Coimbatore 9th October 1849.

Signed E. B. Thomas

Acting Principal Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following Address

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

37-38

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 20th August last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 9th Instant reporting that the plaint preferred by Ragoonadien in the Principal Sudr

In Cons 27th August 1849.

In Cons 29th October 1849.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15691 Fort St George 29th October 1849

Sudr Ameens Court has been withdrawn, that that individual has been placed in possession of the land claimed by him and that measures have been taken to maintain the established rights of way and water passage.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th October 1849.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

39

From the Collector of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that there is at present so large a supply of Ameendevi Coir in the Godowns at Mangalore that I shall experience a great difficulty in finding room to store the further supply which will come in during the present season unless some of it be disposed of. The quantity now on hand is about 1080 Candies - The average quantity sent to Calcutta during the last

15692

Fort St. George 29th October 1849

[illegible] 5 years has been [illegible]

2. [illegible] that information should be obtained from the Marine [illegible] Calcutta as to the probable quantity which will be required during the present season and that the remainder or a portion of it be disposed of here to such persons as may tender for it.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
15th October 1849

Signed T. L. Blane
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

39-40

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Canara dated the 16th Instant requesting instructions respecting the disposal of the Ameendevi Coir now in store at Mangalore.

Mr.

15693

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

2. Mr. Blane anticipates great difficulty in finding room for the further supply of Coir expected to arrive shortly, and accordingly suggests that information be obtained from the Marine Authorities at Calcutta as to the probable quantity of Ameendevi Coir which will be required during the present season.

3. The Board deem it desirable that this information should be obtained from Bengal, and after reserving a sufficiency to meet the wants of that Presidency during the present season, they think with Mr. Blane that the remainder or a portion of it should be disposed of in Canara to such persons as may tender for its purchase.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
29th October 1849

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

41

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

In conformity with the Orders of

15694 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

of Government [illegible] from the Minutes [illegible] the 18th October 1838 [illegible] the Board of Revenue [illegible] Bullion [illegible] the Month of July [illegible] [illegible] the Honourable [illegible] Secretary.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1849

Signed J. Bycroft
Secretary

Resolved that the following Proceedings and letters be dispatched.

Dated 9th in Cons. 15th October 1849

Read List from the Sub-Collector in charge of Tellore, of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to the 30th Ultimo.

42

Proceedings thereon.

(Here enter No.)

The Board observe that the undermentioned papers have not been entered in the above List.

Proceedings 19th April 1849. Respecting the non resumption of certain Enams in the Tummaveram Village.

Proceedings 17th August 1848. Relative

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15695 Fort St. George 29th October 1849

to the Shrotriem Balances, and the Poolery tax of the District.

Proceedings 23d April 1849. Do. Do.

Mr. White is requested to have the calls above referred to entered in his Office arrear List. Where more than one letter is received upon a particular subject, the first should be entered in the body of the Return, and the others or subsequent Reminders noted in the Column of Remarks.

Dated 1st in Cons. 11th October 1849.

Read List, from the Collector of North Arcot, of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to the 30th Ultimo.

(Here enter No.)

43

Proceedings thereon.

It is observed that the Board's Proceedings of the 20th August last calling upon the Collector to report upon certain Petitions from the Mussulman Inhabitants of Pulicat has been omitted in the above List. Mr. Bourdillon is requested to enter those Proceedings in his next List, if they have not been replied to before it is due.

Read

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15696

Fort St George 29th October 1849.

Dated 1st in Cons. 11th October 1849.

Read List [illegible] Collector of Salem, of letters [illegible] the Board and remaining [illegible] up to the 30th Ultimo.

Here enter [illegible]

Proceedings thereon

The Board observe that in the column of remarks in the above Return it is stated that the reply to their Circular of the 19th April last may be expected in April 1850. The Board cannot allow so long a period. It is desired that measures be taken to supply the Return at a much earlier date.—

They also perceive that the papers noted in the Margin have not been included in the Return under notice. Mr Lockhart's attention is directed to this omission. The Board are aware that the Report required in their

Proceedings 10th May 1849 Para 2 To furnish Registers of all lands taken up by Europeans and others for agricultural and other purposes.

Proceedings 5th July 1849 To report upon the results of the Experiments of introducing Merino Sheep into Salem.

Proceedings 13th August 1849 Para 4 To submit a separate Report upon the balances of his District.—

15697

Fort St George 29th October 1849.

Proceedings of 5th July 1849 is not yet due; the call should however be entered in the Collector's Unanswered List, lest it should be lost sight of.—

Read List, from the Collector of Tanjore, of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to the 30th Ultimo

Dated 1st in Cons. 11th October 1849.

(Here enter No.)

45

Proceedings thereon.

The Board observe that in the 1st para, of their Proceedings of the 16th July last, they informed the Collector that he had omitted to enter in his List for the Quarter ending 30th June 1849, their previous call of 3rd May last on the subject of Manally Appoo Row's claim, and they perceive that this requisition has not been attended to in the present Return. Mr Bishop's attention is called to this point, and he is requested to expedite the despatch of the required report.—

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

2. It is also observed that the Statement of Periodical Returns due to 30th Ultimo, has not been entered at the foot of the Collector's Unanswered List,

15698

Fort St George 29th October 1849.

[illegible] as directed [illegible] cular of 30th [illegible]

[illegible] the foot of the [illegible] the Collector [illegible] not necessary [illegible] letters forwarding [illegible] in his arrear List.

To

The Collector of

Madura

46

To the Collector of Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lose no time in forwarding to this Office, the Demand, Collection and Balance Statements of your District from 1st to 11th July from 12th to 31st July, and for the Months of August and September last.

The Board hope that you will endeavour to send these Statements with more punctuality in future as they are unable to submit their usual monthly Statement to G[illegible]

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15699

Fort St George 29th October 1849

they receive the whole of the Provincial Returns.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th October 1849

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To

The Collector of

Malabar.

47

To the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to furnish a reply to their Proceedings of 14th June 1849, calling for information respecting the arrangements that are in force for preventing the illicit transport of Salt from the Cochin State into the Company's Territories.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
29th October 1849.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein

From

15700

48

From the Collector of Rajahmundry } Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1259

49

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1257

50

From the Collector of Nellore Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1259

51

From the acting Collector of Bellary Estimate of sums proposed to be incurred on account of Extra charges in Fusly 1259

52

From the Collector of Trichinopoly } Estimate of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of advances to Ryots whose houses have been burnt by fire

53

From the Collector of Cuddapa Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1258

54

From the Collector of Madras Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1259

Order

15701

Fort St. George 29th October 1849.

48-55

Order on the Several abovementioned Statements } Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the above mentioned Statements and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

56

From the Collector of Trichinopoly } Read Register of Endorsement proposed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of September last.

56-57

Order thereon } Ordered to be lodged in the Office

From

15702 [illegible] 1849

58

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

58-59

Order thereon

Ordered that the [illegible]

Indent be passed and returned -

" [illegible]

" Goodingham

Compd. Thos. Ritchie