1012210

154 46

13477 Fort St. George 6th September 1849.

Diary.

Read Indent received
from Duplicate from the Collector
of Canara dated the 24th August 1849
for Broad cloth required for making
Duffadars and Deloyets Belts.-

(1) (Here enter
Proceedings thereon. The Collector is informed
that since the 13th June 1849 the date of
the Notification issued in page 640 of
the Fort St. George Gazette, the supply of
Woollens from the Clothing stores for
Belts and Table Covers has ceased.
He will therefore be pleased to
include the value of the cloth
now indented for as well as the
cost for making up the Belts for the
Duffadars and Deloyets in the Esti-
mate of Extra Charges for Fasly 1259.-
of it.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 10th September 1849 —

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.

J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

(2)

From the Secy to Government —

Read the following Extracts—

No. 831.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 3d September 1849.

Read the following.

To Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Secretary to the Government of
Fort St. George.

Sir.

1. I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 631 dated the 5th Ultimo with Enclosures, regarding the further continuance in part of a Yeomiah granted by Tippoo Sultan in the year 1788—

2. The grant in question amounting to Rupees 14— was a year, as it seems renewed in full by the British Government in 1820 to the two sons of the original grantee and

Communicating letter from the Govt of India directing that a moiety of the Yeomiah or Rs 45-15 per Annum enjoyed by the late Yoonda Charloo of Bellary lapse to Govt from the date of his death & that Ragavendra Charlu be informed that the moiety on his enjoyment will be resumed at his death.—

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.—

and one of those sons having recently deceased it is proposed to renew 2/3ds of his full share in favor of his descendants and to continue the other half share in full to the surviving son of the original grantee.—

3. The President in Council is disposed to regard this as a more liberal arrangement than the circumstances of the case demand, he considers that one half of the original grant should now lapse at once, the other half may be continued but on the distinct understanding that it also will lapse on the death of the remaining son of the Original grantee.—

The Original enclosures of your letter are herewith returned.—

Fort William,
The 4th August, 1849.

(Signed) W. Grey
Under Secy to the Govt of India.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue and to the Offices of Account and Audit, with reference to the order of Government dated 5th July 1849. No. 630.—

Under the decision of the Government of India now communicated, the moiety of the

yeomiah

Yeomiah or Rupees 45-15- a per annum enjoyed by the late Goonda Charloo of Bellary will be considered to have lapsed to Government from the 9th June 1847, the date of his decease.-

The Board will cause it to be intimated to Rajavendra Charry, the surviving son of the Original Grantee, who is in receipt of the other half of the Yeomiah, that his share also will be resumed at his demise.-

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

(2-3)

Order thereon.-1. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Bellary with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 16th July last.-

2. Mr. Pelly will intimate to Rajavendra Charry the orders of Government contained in para 2.-

(4)

From the Sec. to Govt. to Govt. Reviewing the proceedings of the several officers of

No. 830.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation.

Under date the 3rd September 1849.-

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated

of Govt. on the subject of the dismissal and imprisonment of Parthasarathy Iyengar formerly Writer in the Sea Custom House at Porto Novo directing that he be reinstated in that situation and that the views of Government be made known to the several officers concerned.-

dated 26th March and recorded in Diary to Consultations 8th May 1849 No. 398.-

Read the following papers.

Letter from the Register of the Foujdaree Adalut. (Here enter 11th July 1849 No. 48)

× × ×

Para 1. The Petitioner Parthasarady Iyengar held the Office of Writer in the Sea Custom House at Porto Novo, and appears from the papers before Government to have been required in May 1846 to repair to Cuddalore and to do duty in the Cutcherry. During his absence from Porto Novo an enquiry into certain charges of fraud imputed to him was prosecuted at that Station by Mr. M. Rodrigues the Acting Superintendent of Sea Customs, whose report was referred to Mr. F. Lushington the Head Assistant Collector for further enquiry and on his report Parthasarady Iengar was dismissed by the Collector from his appointment on the 1st December 1846 by an order bearing date 27th November 1846.- On the appeal of the Petitioner the Board of Revenue alluding to certain irregularities in previous proceedings-

proceedings suggested that a formal trial in strict accordance with Regulation IX of 1822 should be held anew, the Collector however thought proper to proceed under the Customs Act VI of 1844, and Parthasarady Iyengar was arraigned before the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Mc. Dowell. under four charges and having been convicted on one was sentenced under Section 66 - to 3 Months imprisonment, which punishment he has undergone.-

2. Parthasarathy Iyengar appealed to the Government, on whose requisition the Board of Revenue furnished a report on the case in their letter dated 20th March 1849. on a partial consideration of which the Government recorded in Extract Minutes of Consultation 4th May 1849. that the Petitioner was most grievously treated. The proceedings in his case were however ordered to be remitted to the Sessions Judge of Cuddalore for full enquiry and for his opinion as to "the guilt or innocence

innocence of the Petitioner on the different Charges on which he had been tried and punished."-

3. This report has now been furnished in the letter of the Foujdaree Adalut dated 11th July 1849 but the Right Honorable the Governor in Council finds nothing in it or in a further examination of the papers on the subject to induce a modification of the opinion already expressed of the Conduct of the Collector of South Arcot towards the Petitioner, on the contrary he finds strong grounds for recording his unqualified reprehension of that Officer's proceedings which appear to him to have been marked throughout by an absence of correct feeling.-

4. His order to the Petitioner to attend at the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Cuddalore whilst an exparty Inquiry was to be carred on against him /and others/ at Porto Novo is susceptible of no justifiable explanation, and

when

proceedings suggested that a formal trial in strict accordance with Regulation IX of 1822 should be held anew, the Collector however thought proper to proceed under the Customs Act VI of 1844, and Parthasarady Iyengar was arraigned before the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Mc. Dowell. under four charges and having been convicted on one was sentenced under Section 66 - to 3 Months imprisonment, which punishment he has undergone.-

2. Parthasarathy Iyengar appealed to the Government, on whose requisition the Board of Revenue furnished a report on the case in their letter dated 26th March 1849. on a partial consideration of which the Government recorded in Extract Minutes of Consultation 4th May 1849. that the Petitioner was most grievously treated. The proceedings in his case were however ordered to be remitted to the Sessions Judge of Cuddalore for full enquiry and for his opinion as to "the guilt or innocence

innocence of the Petitioner on the different Charges on which he had been tried and punished."-

3. This report has now been furnished in the letter of the Foujdaree Adalut dated 11th July 1849 but the Right Honorable the Governor in Council finds nothing in it or in a further examination of the papers on the subject to induce a modification of the opinion already expressed of the Conduct of the Collector of South Arcot towards the Petitioner, on the contrary he finds strong grounds for recording his unqualified reprehension of that Officer's proceedings which appear to him to have been marked throughout by an absence of correct feeling.-

4. His order to the Petitioner to attend at the Huzzoor Cutchery at Cuddalore whilst an exparty Inquiry was to be carred on against him /and others/ at Porto Novo is susceptible of no justifiable explanation, and

when

when the Serious result of that Inquiry reached Mr. Davies, had he been actuated by that zeal and asiety for the public interests, which he professes, he would have seen an urgent necessity for hastening in person to Porto Novo to follow up the Acting Superintendent's investigation by a formal Trial

5.- Instead of adopting this obvious Course, he referred the Acting Superintendent's investigation to a mock inquiry by the Head Assistant Collector, and on that Gentleman's Report dismissed the Petitioner from the service of Government. When his neglect in this instance was pointed out to him by the Board of Revenue the Collector declared that the investigations were of a "preliminary" or "Summary" character, an explanation, which the Board justly observe, they cannot regard as satisfactory, and which the Government must remark is not borne out by fact, because had the Petitioner not appealed to the Board of Revenue and

and eventually to Government, a step which in no degree rested with the Collector, all connection between Petitioner and the Collector, had absolutely and finally ceased.-

6.- The Board of Revenue observing that the Charges which the Collector brought against Parthasarathy were "of a Special and tangible Character indicating an extensive and long practiced system of fraud and irregularity in the Sea Customs Department and in which the accused acted a prominent part" directed that some of them which the Collector might deem most susceptible of substantiation should be put to the test of a formal trial under Regulation IX of 1822.- This insignificant case was one of those selected by the Collector, and is the only one supported by evidence upon which it was possible to convict the accused.- Of the three other charges preferred against him and upon which, as the Collector states he

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.-

he was put on trial under Act VI of 1844. in one he was preposterously accused of Stealing a Cullumdan Box. It does not appear under what Section of Act VI of 1844 Parthasarathy Iyengar was charged before the Acting Head Assistant with this theft.

7. The Governor in Council noticed a very important alteration in the wording on the 2d Charge in Mr. McDonell's abstract, which if not surreptitiously made by some other person after that Gentleman had signed it must have been made by Mr. McDonell himself. The Governor in Council considers it very remarkable and irregular that an alteration so important as it is, should have been made in what purports to be a copy of the Assistant's Judgment, indicating as it would seem, that he had changed his mind after passing judgment. The Governor in Council desires that the original of this abstract under Mr. McDonell's signature, may be called for by the Foujdaree Adalut and laid before Government with

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.-

with their opinion, whether the theft of the Cullumdan was cognizible under the provisions of Act VI of 1844.-

8. When the Board of Revenue directed the trial of the Petitioner under Regulation IX of 1822 it was the bounden duty of the Collector to have proceeded under that Regulation, and by disobeying those explicit orders, and arraigning him before his Acting Head Assistant, under the Customs Act VI of 1844, he demonstrated although he may have kept within the limits of legality, that he was conscious of having handed up to the Board of Revenue charges, in the first instance in support of his measures against the Petitioner, which could not be established.-

9. The Acting Session Judge reports that upon a review of the depositions taken by the Assistant Magistrate he is of opinion that the evidence against Parthasarady is amply sufficient to warrant his

his conviction upon the charges of having allowed Six Madura cloths to be carried free of duty on board a Country craft in the roads at Porto Novo. The Sessions Judge ought not to have contented himself with the expression of his opinion upon the sufficiency of the evidence, but should have given his sentiments as to the propriety of the sentence by which Parthasarathy was condemned to imprisonment for 3 Months for the said offence, which according to the statement of the Board of Revenue involved a loss of duty to the amount of only Rupees 2-11-3, and taking into consideration the plea of the Defendant, which seems to be supported by the evidence, that the Cloths were sent on board for the private use of the Owner of the Vessel, and not to be disposed of as Merchandize. - The sum of Rupees 2 11-3 is the amount of duty leviable on six cloths valued at 90 Rupees as set forth in the charge, and the Sessions Judge thinks it proved by the evidence that

six Cloths were sent. But the Defendant affirms that the number was only two and the Governor in Council cannot help remarking that according to the Judge's abstract of the evidence, neither of the principal Witnesses, 1st and 3d, one of whom took the Cloths to the Vessel and brought back Rupees 13½ as the price of them while the other was the Peon who passed them after examining the bundle, states definitely the number of Cloths and further that the sum returned by the man who carried the Cloths on board, sent by the owner as payment for them, as evidenced indicates the smaller number as most likely to be correct. - It is to be observed that the Cloths apparently were sent without concealment which seems to favor the Defendant's allegation that it was not usual to levy duty upon a few Cloths taken by Shipers on board their Vessels for private use. -

10. - The Governor in Council remarks

remarks further that the Session Judge treats the case as one tried by the Assistant Magistrate and observes that the inquiry appears to have been conducted "in a Straight forward and regular manner" without noticing that the sentence is subscribed by Mr. McDonell not as Assistant Magistrate but as acting Head Assistant Collector. This is a circumstance which the Sessions Judge ought not to have passed over without remark, and the Governor in Council cannot learn how, with that subscription to the sentence before him, the Judge assumed that Mr. McDonell disposed of the case in the capacity of a Magistrate. It would indeed appear that during the inquiry Mr. McDonell had no precise notion in which capacity he was acting, and it seems that it occurred to him when he came to sign the sentence that it was only as Assistant Collector that he had authority to pass it under the Act.—

11.— But taking it for granted that the case was tried by Mr. McDonell as

as Assistant Magistrate, the Sessions Judge ought to have considered whether it was competent to him to try it under Section 66 Act VI of 1844 which he cited as his authority for the Sentence he passed. Seeing that this Section authorizes a Sentence of imprisonment which may extend to 2. Years, while the extreme power vested in the Magistrate by the general law is limited to a Sentence of imprisonment not exceeding 6 Months. It seems to the Governor in Council that it is not competent to a Magistrate to adjudicate upon a case falling under the Section referred to, and he conceives that where the punishment of an offence is laid down by law, but the jurisdiction is not defined specially, it is to be understood that it is to be exercised by the tribunals which by the general law are competent to inflict a punishment to the extent authorized, and not by any tribunal of inferior power. The Governor in Council desires therefore

to

to call upon the Judges of the Foujdaree Udalut to pronounce upon this question.-

12. The Acting Sessions Judge appears to have been too ready to overlook discrepancies in the testimony of witnesses brought before him where the Petitioner should certainly have had the benefit of the doubt that those discrepancies could not have failed to have excited. Notwithstanding the opinion that the enquiry of the Acting Head Assistant Magistrate was conducted in a straight forward and regular manner the Governor in Council is unable to agree with the Acting Judge either as to the matter or the sentence pronounced which latter in the opinion of Government can only be rightly designated by the terms cruel and vindictive That sentence would appear really to have emanated in the Collector but even did it not do so in the first instance, it was his duty to have modified it in proportion to the really trifling offence of which the Writer had been on very doubtful evidence pronounced

pronounced guilty.-

13. The Governor in Council desires that the sentiments of Government as recorded above be made known to Mr. White and also that Mr. McDowell may be apprized of the view taken of his share in the inquiry and informed that the sentence he passed is considered altogether disproportioned to the offence.-

14. The Collector has set forth that he suspended the Petitioner when he summoned him to Cuddalore to write in the Hoozoor Cutchery and that a moiety of his pay was paid to the person who acted for him at Porto Novo, but from a translation made of the only order apparently sent by the Collector to the Writer, marked No. 1 and attached to his petition, there is nothing except a retrospective intimation that he had been suspended for some time. The writer's assertion that he knew nothing of his alleged suspension until he received this order must therefore

be

be correct, and the Governor in Council is of opinion that he should be considered as in actual employment and receive his pay up to the date of his formal dismissal, and as a moiety of it during a certain period appears to have been paid to another person it seems to be fitting that the Collector should himself make good the difference.

The Governor in Council desires that instructions to this effect be issued by the Board of Revenue and that the Collector be further instructed to reinstate the Writer in his late situation and to exercise over him and all other Public Servants under his authority a more fitting degree of supervision than it would seem he has been in the habit of doing.

Ordered that Copies of the foregoing resolutions be furnished to the Board of Revenue and the Court of Foujdaree Udalut for their information and guidance and

and for communication to the Officers respectively subordinate to their authority.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery

Secretary to Government.

4-5

Order thereon /

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 17th May last.

2. Under the instructions now conveyed the Collector will forthwith reinstate Parthasarathy Iyengar in his former situation of Sea Custom House Writer at Porto Novo, and will make good the full salary due to him up to the date of his formal dismissal. Mr Davis's attention is also called to the remarks of Government in the Extract Minutes Consultation now furnished to him, and he will be careful to exercise a due degree of supervision over this Writer as well as over all other public servants under his authority.

3. The Collector will communicate to

be correct, and the Governor in Council is of opinion that he should be considered as in actual employment and receive his pay up to the date of his formal dismissal, and as a moiety of it during a certain period appears to have been paid to another person it seems to be fitting that the Collector should himself make good the difference.

The Governor in Council desires that instructions to this effect be issued by the Board of Revenue and that the Collector be further instructed to reinstate the Writer in his late situation and to exercise over him and all other Public Servants under his authority a more fitting degree of supervision than it would seem he has been in the habit of doing.

Ordered that Copies of the foregoing resolutions be furnished to the Board of Revenue and the Court of Foujdaree Adalut for their information and guidance and

and for communication to the Officers respectively subordinate to their authority.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

4-5

Order thereon /

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 17th May last.

2. Under the instructions now conveyed the Collector will forthwith reinstate Parthasarathy Iyengar in his former situation of Sea Custom House Writer at Porto Novo, and will make good the full salary due to him up to the date of his formal dismissal. Mr Davis attention is also called to the remarks of Government in the Extract Minutes Consultation now furnished to him, and he will be careful to exercise a due degree of supervision over this Writer as well as over all other public servants under his authority.

3. The Collector will communicate to

Fort St George. 10th September 1849.

to his Assistant Mr. McDonell the views of Government upon his proceedings on the matter of the enquiry made by him into the conduct of the Sea Custom House Writer, unless he has already done so in Compliance with the orders of the Foujdary Udalut.

No. 833.

(6)

From the Secretary to Government

Communicating with reference to previous papers letter from the Honorable Company's Solicitor intimating that he had deferred forwarding the forms of Lease to be granted to individuals taking land in the Neilgherries until he receives the reply of the Military Board on the subject of the form of Leases for Military Cantonments.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 3rd September, 1849.

Read the following

To.

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bt

Secretary to Government.

Fort St George.

Sir,

Para 1. I do myself the honor of acknowledging the receipt of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation noted in the Margin, and of reporting for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that agreeably to the request conveyed to me by the Board of Revenue I prepared 2 forms of Leases to be granted to parties taking Land in the Neilgherry Hills for the purposes of cultivation and building. but I propose to defer

Mins: of Cons: 11th August 1849 No 761. Revenue Department.

Fort St George. 10th September 1849.

forwarding them to the Board of Revenue until after I have received through the Military Board the views of Government on the subject of restraints on Assignment.

2. The provisions which it is desired should be inserted in these forms are of a very special character and such as are never met with in English forms. they therefore required much care and consideration. In such cases where it is practicable I post pone the despatch of a Document in order to admit of a short interval intervening between its preparation and final Settlement; few Documents require much greater care in their preparation than leases of this description which are to be extensively used by non-professional persons and will have to be acted upon for long terms of years in the course of which many of the various parties claiming under them may be likely to raise questions.

3. Subsequently however to

13498 Fort St. George, 18th September 1849.

to receiving the Jurisdictions from the Board of Revenue for the preparation of these forms I received a Letter from the Military Board referring me to the G. O. G of 17th April 1849 No. 71 and transmitting me the form of Lease heretofore used in demising Ground in Military Cantonments, and requesting my advice whether consequent on that G. O. any alterations or additions were necessary to the form. It was in consequence of this communication that I deemed it desirable to solicit further Instructions and to postpone forwarding the forms to the Board of Revenue, with the view of establishing a uniformity in the forms of Leases granted by Government through different Departments.

2. I have addressed the Military Board on the subject and on receiving a reply, no time shall be lost in finally settling the forms of Leases for the Neilgherry Hills, and in forwarding them to the Board of Revenue.

Madras, 24th August, 1849. } (Signed) Clement Dale.
Honorable Comp.ys Solicitor

Ordered to be communicated

13499 Fort St. George, 10th September 1849.

to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 17th August 1849. No. 701. The Government observe that the Honorable Company's Solicitor promises early settling the Forms of leases required.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

6-7

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

8

From the Secretary to Government } Observing that the proposed answer of the Collector of South Arcot in Suit No. 29 of 1849 instituted in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court Cuddalore does not meet the real question and declining to sanction its defence at the public expense.

No. 841.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 4th September 1849.

Read the following Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Dated 20th August 1849. No. 371)

Para. 1. It appears from the Plaint that Annamier the 1st Defendant in the Suit obtained an Durkhast a spot of ground measuring 0 by 240 feet on an annual payment of Rupees 0-1 of which he retained for himself a portion measuring 28 by 240 giving as much to T. Andyappa Odian the second Defendant and the remainder 40 by 240 to Venkatachella Iyen the Plaintiff.

2. The Plaintiff obtained his portion on payment of the Value on

on the execution of a deed of sale, he also paid the proportion of the annual revenue on it, and prepared his land for building; but was prevented from so occupying it by the revenue Officers because, as he understood afterwards that T. Andyappa Odian the second Defendant who is designated in the Collector's answer as the Poligar of Cumarapolyoor, desirous of obtaining the ground for the purpose of building a Chuttrum had complained to the Collector and urged as a reason against the ground being held on Cowle the fact of its being Gramanutham-

3- The Government presume that the 1st Defendant who obtained the land on Durkhast had a regular title for it under the Collector's Cowle to which his seal and signature is affixed, and they think that by the ejectment of the Plaintiff in possession, obtained from the 1st Defendant on strength to the title possessed by him, the Collector totally repudiates his own Cowle-

If

4- If the land was given in Durkhast for Cultivation its application otherwise might have afforded some reason for revoking the grant, but it does not appear that it was taken up for any specific purpose and the Collector's transfer to the Poligar of Cumarapolyoor for the purpose of building would indicate that that was not the ground of objection. If indeed there was reason to revoke the grant it behoved the Collector to have done it independently of other considerations by a formal order, setting forth the grounds thereof and especially stating the collusion now alleged in the Draft answer, but it should not have been revoked merely in order that the Collector might grant the ground to another person. It does not appear however that there is an order of revokation other than the grant to the second Defendant-

5- The answer of the Collector does not meet the real question as to the effect of the

prior

Fort St. George. 10th September 1849.

prior Puttah which it would seem stands unrevoked and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council cannot therefore approve of it, and he cannot accord his sanction to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue for defending this action at the public expence. The Collector of South Arcot shall be left to himself to justify his conduct to the Court.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

8-9

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 21st July last.

Infons: 20th August 1849.

10

From the Secretary to Government.

N° 851.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 5th September 1849.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/ Rec'e enter 20th August 1849. N. 3787 /

The Right Honorable the

Fort St. George. 10th September 1849.

the Governor in Council having had under his consideration a report from the Collector of Cuddapah of the result of his enquiry into the claim preferred by Sultoor Soobanah to a share in the Stala and Dusvendum Enams in the possession of Venkatareddy and Ramreddy, concurs in the opinion expressed by the Board of Revenue on the case, and he accordingly directs that the following Endorsement be communicated to the Petitioner in reply to his address of the 11th June 1848.

Endorsement.

"The Governor in Council does not see any grounds for interfering with the possession of the Stala Enam now in the enjoyment of Venkatareddy and Ramreddy but Petitioner can, if he thinks fit, bring before the Civil Courts his claim to the Dusvendum Enam."

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

10-11

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his Endorsement dated 28th June 1849 on Petition 1050 of 1848.

Read the following Statement.

Quarterly

De Do. ou account-of sale, } 2757 12 s.
vente à Jean Jacques } 230 " "
le 30 Septembre 1848 }
De Do. ou } account of } le 11 July 1849 200 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 630 " "
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

Reçu de Jean Jacques } 1000
le 12 July 1848 }
Reçu de Do. ou as. } account } 1757 12
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 230 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 70 4
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 209 2
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

N° 13

Reçu de Jean Jacques } 1000
le 12 July 1848 }
Reçu de Do. ou as. } account } 1757 12
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 230 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 70 4
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 209 2
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

Jean Jacques } Reçu 1000 francs
le 12 July } 1848 } à Compte
Orléans

Reçu de Jean Jacques } 1000
le 12 July 1848 }
Reçu de Do. ou as. } account } 1757 12
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 230 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 70 4
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 209 2
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

N° 13

Reçu de Jean Jacques } 1000
le 12 July 1848 }
Reçu de Do. ou as. } account } 1757 12
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 230 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 70 4
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 209 2
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

Jean Jacques } Reçu 1000 francs
le 12 July } 1848 } à Compte
Orléans

Reçu de Jean Jacques } 1000
le 12 July 1848 }
Reçu de Do. ou as. } account } 1757 12
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 230 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 70 4
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 209 2
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

N° 13

Reçu de Jean Jacques } 1000
le 12 July 1848 }
Reçu de Do. ou as. } account } 1757 12
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 230 " "
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 70 4
Do. Do. ou as. } account of } Do. Do. 209 2
Total Employés 2757 12 s.

Jean Jacques } Reçu 1000 francs
le 12 July } 1848 } à Compte
Orléans

De Recettes ordinaires 2375 12 u

De Recettes extraordinaires
Vente de Chevaux réformés
Le 30 Septembre 1848 — 230 " "

De Recettes accidentelles
Le 11 Juillet 1849 — 200 " "
De Recettes diverses — 630 " "

De Recettes remboursées
qui le 31 Mars — De — De — 66 4

De Recettes remboursées et soldées
Le 11 Juillet — De — 209 2

— 801 36

Total Général Recettes 2375 12 9 f

ORIGINAL
DESTROYED

N° 13

Remarks on the Account for the 12th July 1848 } 1006
Account of 31st December 2272
Balance 3272

Deduct -
Remarks of 31st March 1849-1120
Do — Do of 11th July Do 518 1038-
Balance — 1318-

Examined, E. E. Yates Inspecting Auditor
11th July, 1849. Collector
Ordered

De De Le De 11th July 1849 — 3180 10 1
De Salaires de Police
31st March 1849 — 200 " "
De Frais d'entretien
Extra Charges Le 31st De — 652 8 8
De De sur Le 11th July 1849 — 428 15 5
De De sur Reliquats
Dans Le De De — 139 8 6
— 12342 9 2

Dépenses Extraordinaires 21324 9 4

Total Gen.l Dépenses 21324 9 6

13505 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Order thereon

(12-13)

Ordered to be recorded -

Read the following letter -

To -

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collector of Ganjam -

(14)

In acknowledging the receipt of the Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 23d July 1849 forwarding Copies of letters from Captain R. R. W. Ellis Political Assistant in Bundelkhund, relative to the Rajput tribes - I have the honor to state that I am not able to obtain any information on the subject, there being no settlement of Rajputs in this District -

Ganjam District, -

Collector's Cutcherry, -

Chatterpore, 31st August, 1849 -

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow

Collr

Order thereon,

(14-15)

Ordered to lie over -

D.R.

13506 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

To The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(16)

From the Asst. Collr and Agent in Ganjam, Madras -

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose you a Medical Certificate and request that in conformity with the same you will have the goodness to make the requisite application to Government for my being permitted to proceed to England for three years on the furlough allowance of £ 250 for annum.

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick

Pe Asst. Collr and Agent in Ganjam

Madras - - - - -

10th September 1849

No. 1.

Charles John Shubrick Esqre C. S. Principal Assistant to the Collector, Magistrate, and Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George, Ganjam, having applied to me for a Certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence - I do hereby Certify from personal observations that he is suffering from Atonic Gastric Dyspepsia with Mal assimilation of Food and diminished nutrition of the body, associated with a Renal and Vesical affection, as is manifested by the urine being loaded with a deposit, ascertained to be by Analysis of a Phosphate of Lime Character, which he states he has suffered from for the last nine years that he has passed calculi on several occasions supposed to be of the same nature that this (Phosphate Diathisis) is indicative of a great want of constitutional Tone of the System generally, and that inconsequence I consider a removal necessary for the benefit of his health, and that he should be permitted to be absent from his duty for the space of three years to proceed to England.

/Signed/ J. Sanderson

Surgeon 3d District

/Signed/ J. Godfrey

Superintending Surgeon

/Signed/ Edwd Currie

Physician General

Madras - - - - -

7th September 1849

(16-17)

Order thereon -

Ordered to be submitted thro the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read the following Statement

Statement

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

13507 Fort St. George

18 Statement Shewing the actual Charges incurred on account of additional Establishment employed in Fasly 1258.

10th September 1849

No.	Description of Servants	Estimates for Fasly 1258. Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated charges	Actual Charges for Fasly 1258	Comparison between Cols 6 and 7. Increase	Decrease	Date of Sanction of Estimate	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
1	Mahoosoledars	8	3	24	72	72					
2	Ditto	11	2½	27½	3	82 8 ..					
						154 8 ..	114 4 7	.. ..	40 3 5	22d Feby 1847.	This decrease is owing to the limited charges incurred.
	Total Land Revenue					154 8 ..	114 4 7	.. ..	40 3 5		
	Salt.										
1	Peon	75	2½	187½	5	937 8 ..	731 7 5	.. ..	206 .. 7		
2	Measurers of Manufacturers	4	2	8	12	96	228 7 6	.. ..	35 8 6	Do.	
3	Ditto	14	2	28	6	168					
						264					
	Total					1201 8 ..	959 14 11	.. ..	241 9 1		
	Grand Totals					1356	1074 3 6	.. ..	281 12 6		

Vizagapatam, Collector's Office 28th August 1849.

E. E. J. Pr. / Signed / P. B. Smollett
Collector

Estimate

13507 Fort St. George

18 Statement Shewing the actual Charges incurred on account of additional Establishment employed in Fusly 1258. 10th September 1849

No.	Description of Servants	Estimates for Fusly 1258. Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated charges	Actual Charges for Fusly 1258	Comparison between Cols 6 and 7. Increase	Decrease	Date of Sanction of Estimate	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
1	Mahoosoledars	8	3	24	72	72 " "					
2	Sillo	11	2½	27½	3	82 8 "					
						154 8 "	114 4 7	" " "	40 3 5	22d Feby 1847.	This decrease is owing to the limited charges incurred.
	Total Land Revenue					154 8 "	114 4 7	" " "	40 3 5		
	Salt.										
1	Peon	75	2½	187½	5	937 8 "	731 7 5	" " "	206 " 7		
2	Measurers of Manufacturers	4	2	8	12	96 " "	228 7 6	" " "	35 8 6	&c.	
3	Sillo	14	2	28	6	168 " "					
						264 " "					
	Total					1201 8 "	959 14 11	" " "	241 9 1		
	Grand Totals					1356 " "	1074 3 6	" " "	281 12 6		

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 28th August 1849.

C. C. J.Pr. / Signed / P. B. Smollett
Collector

Estimate

13508

19

Fort St. George

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly

10th September 1849.

on account of additional Establishment 1259.

No.	Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1259. Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Proposed term of Employment	Estimated Charges.	Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1258	Comparison between Col.s 6 and 7. Increase	Decrease	Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Mahasooldars	8	3	24	3	72 " "	114 4 7	40 3 5	" " "	25th September 1838	
2	Ditto	11	2½	27½	3	82 8 "					
	Total Land Revenue					154 8 "	114 4 7	40 3 5	" " "		
	Salt.										
1	Peons	75	2½	187½	5	937 8 "	731 7 5	206 " 7	" " "		
2	Measurers or Manufacturers	4	2	8	12	96 " "	228 7 6	35 8 6	" " "	16th June 1826	
3	Ditto	14	2	28	6	168 " "					
	Total					264 " "	959 14 11	241 9 1	" " "		
	Grand Total					1201 8 "	1,074 3 6	281 12 6	" " "		
						1356 " "					

Vizagapatam Collectors Office 28th August 1849

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector.

E. E. Fs.

Order on the two foregoing Statements.

Ordered to lie over

Read the following Statement

Statement

18-20

13509 Fort St. George 10th. September 1849.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 31st. August 1849.

21

Name of the Port	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported to 31st. August	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in Store and ready for exportation	Remark
	Imds S	Imds S	Imds S	Imds S	
Coringa as per last report	60,000 ..				
Add further quantity to meet the proposal since received	12,500 ..				
	72,500 ..	54,500 ..	18,000 ..	18,000 ..	

Rajahmundry District ... } E. E. J.r / Signed / J. Prendergast
Samulcottah 1st. September 1849 } Collector

Statement

13510 Fort St. George 10th. September 1849.

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of export in the District of Nellore up to 31st. August 1849.

22

No.	Places at which Salt is shipped	Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1848/49	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in Store and ready to be exported
		Indian Mds.	Indian Mds.	Indian Mds.	Indian Mds.
1	Eskapully	20,000	16,000	4,000	4,000
2	Kristnapatam	20,000		20,000	20,000
		40,000	16,000	24,000	24,000

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry
1st. September 1849 ... } / Signed / A. Purvis
Sub Collector
in charge.

Ordered

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

(21-23)

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(24)

From the Sub-Collector in Charge of Nellore ---

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular communication dated 27th. ultimo and in reply to state that there are no Estates at present under management in this District.

Nellore, Collrs. Cutchery
5th. September 1849

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Sub Collector
in Charge

(24-25.)

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26 Ref 13575)

From the Ag. Collr. of Bellary ----

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849.

*"Clear and detailed Statements of all authorized allowances to the Religious and other institutions of the Natives as well as of service and Charitable Yeomiahs & hereditary stipends and other Pensions paid for the support of ancient Families".

+Enclosure No. 1. 2 & 3

letter of the 9th. October last calling my attention to the Boards Circular under date the 15th. January 1846, and requesting that the transmission of the Marginal Statements may be expedited I have now the honor to forward two sets as therein required, of the Statements in question, as the same stood at the close of the past Fusly ending on the 11th. Ultimo.

2 Adverting to the 2d. Para of your letter now under reference I beg to inform the Board, that the final Adjustment of the Accounts of this Collectorate for Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 was not concluded until the end of April last, so as to admit of the preparation of the lists called for, or I would have furnished them earlier.—

+ See Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th. March recorded in the Board's Proceedings dated 12th. April 1849.

Bellary, Collectors ---
Office on Circuit at ---
Royadroog 20th. Augt. 1849

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Acting Collector

Statement

13573 | Fort St. George 10th. September 1849

No. Statement in detail of all authorized allowances to the Religious and other Institutions of the Natives in the District of Bellary prepared agreeably to the Circular instructions of the Board of Revenue dated 15th. January 1846.

		Date of	Annual or Jusdeek sanc.	Subsequent charges by	Authorized annual	
	Mr. Rhana	Do. — Do.	33 " "	33 " "	" " "	and charitable allowances chargeable on the revenues as per order of Government dated 29th. March 1849.
	Nabeeshaw	Do. — Do. — Do.	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	
			157 8 "	52 8 "	105 " "	
			906 8 "	52 8 "	854 " "	
Humplee.	Pagodas. Amrootasila Ramaswamy in the Custa Humplee	Do. — Do.	105 " "	" " "	105 " "	
	Pagodas. Anjanayaswamy in the Fort	Do. — Do.	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	
	Pagodas. Veroopatchaswamy behind the Fort	Do. — Do.	52 8 "	" " "	52 8 "	
	Somaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	52 8 "	" " "	52 8 "	
			252 " "	" " "	252 " "	
Hurpanhully	Pagodas. Ven Kataswaraswamy at Timlapoor	Do. — Do.	127 12 "	" " "	127 12 "	
	Presanna Venkataswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	152 3 8	" " "	152 3 8	
	Anjanayaswamy in the Fort	Do. — Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	
	Ramaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	
			349 15 8	" " "	349 15 8	

13514

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849

			Cash	as	Cash	as	Cash	as
Ramasawmy at Hoodlighee	Government order 5th July 1847		42 ..	..	..	..	42 ..	..
Hosspanakary Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	42 ..	..	..	..	42 ..	..
Narayanadevavarakary Narayanaswamy & Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	332 14	..	..	..	332 14	..
Pandaghutt Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	7 5	..	..	..	7 5	..
Neelakuntaswaraswamy in the Fort	Do.	Do.	7 5	..	..	..	7 5	..
Hosoortummah at Hosoor	Do.	Do.	84 12	..	..	..	84 12	..
Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	54 11	..	..	..	54 11	..
Bazar Ceasuwaraswamy	Do.	Do.	7 5	..	..	..	7 5	..
Basawaswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	7 5	..	..	..	7 5	..
J.G.B.			585 9	..	..	..	585 9	..
Hoodlighee Pagodas. Streeramasawmy and Vadakaroyaswamy at Hospett	Do.	Do.	162 7	..	..	..	162 7	..
Hoodlighee Pagodas. Stree Jumboonathaswamy	Do.	Do.	77 5	..	..	..	77 5	..
			825 5	..	..	..	825 5	..
Hoovinhadagali Pagodas. Anjanayaswamy at Hoovinhadagali	Do.	Do.	42 ..	..	..	..	42 ..	..
Hullaswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	42 ..	..	..	..	42 ..	..
			84 ..	..	..	..	84 ..	..

1354

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			C.Rs a/P	C.Rs a/P	C.Rs a/P
Vengalapoot Anjana=yaswamy	Government order 5th July 1847		26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Bagumpoot Vanoo=muntaswamy	do	do	26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Munga Vanoomuntasawmy	do	do	29 4 ..	.. .. ..	29 4 ..
Paramundala Vanoomuntaswamy	do	do	26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Aswettacutta Vanoo=muntaswamy	do	do	26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Mallekarjoona and Veerabhadra	do	do	52 8 ..	.. .. ..	52 8 ..
Kutter Ranalin=gaswamy	do	do	17 7 ..	.. .. ..	17 7 ..
Vavanapett Vanoo=muntarayaswamy	do	do	17 7 ..	.. .. ..	17 7 ..
Rosaghee Vanoomuntarayaswamy	do	do	183 12 ..	.. .. ..	183 12 ..

Pagodas.

Fluanie

Kunda Root Rania=lingaswamy	do	do	35	.. .. ..	35 .. ..
Thore Toombala Ra=maswamy	do	do	64 2 8	.. .. ..	64 2 8
Hontal Hasawaswamy	do	do	90 6 8	.. .. ..	90 6 8
Naganathanahully Ven=Kataramanaswamy	do	do	55 6 8	.. .. ..	55 6 8
Hutcholee Hasawa=swamy	do	do	20 8 ..	.. .. ..	20 8 ..
Kapatee Narasim=maswamy	do	do	26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Chick Toombala Narasimmaswamy	do	do	55 6 8	.. .. ..	55 6 8
Moosanahully Soma=swaraswamy	do	do	14 8 ..	.. .. ..	14 8 ..
Vundagul Vanoomun=taswamy	do	do	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
Halavee Siddaswara=swamy	do	do	142 14 8	.. .. ..	142 14 8
Kotacul Hasawaswamy	do	do	64 2 8	.. .. ..	64 2 8
Munchala Stree Raghavendraswamy	do	do	196	.. .. ..	196 .. ..
			1187 10 ..	.. .. ..	1187 10 ..

1354

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849

				Cdv	a/c	Cdv	a/c	Cdv	a/c
Vengalapoot Anjana = yaswamy	Government order 5th. July 1847			26	4 ..	..	..	26	4 ..
Bagumpoot Hanoo: = muntaswamy	do.	do.		26	4 ..	"	"	26	4 ..
Munga Hanoomun: tasawmy	do.	do.		29	4 ..	"	"	29	4 ..
Ramamundala Hanoomuntaswamy	do.	do.		26	4 ..	"	"	26	4 ..
Aswettacutta Hanoo: = muntaswamy	do.	do.		26	4 ..	"	"	26	4 ..
Mullekarjoona and Veerabhadra	do.	do.		52	8 ..	"	"	52	8 ..
Kutter Ramalin: = gaswamy	do.	do.		17	7 ..	"	"	17	7 ..
Wavanapett Hanoo = muntarayaswamy	do.	do.		17	7 ..	"	"	17	7 ..
Kosaghee Hanoomun: tarayaswamy	do.	do.		183	12 ..	"	"	183	12 ..
Kunda Koot Rama = lingaswamy	do.	do.		35	.. ..	"	"	35	.. ..
Thore Toombala Ra = maswamy	do.	do.		64	2 8	"	"	64	2 8
Kowtal Kasawa = swamy	do.	do.		90	6 8	"	"	90	6 8
Naganathanahully Ven = Kataramanaswamy	do.	do.		55	6 8	"	"	55	6 8
Kutcholee Kasawa = swamy	do.	do.		20	8 ..	"	"	20	8 ..
Kapatee Narasim = maswamy	do.	do.		26	4 ..	"	"	26	4 ..
Chick Toombala Narasimmaswamy	do.	do.		55	6 8	"	"	55	6 8
Moosanahully Soma = swaraswamy	do.	do.		14	8 ..	"	"	14	8 ..
Vundagul Hanoomun = taswamy	do.	do.		17	8 ..	"	"	17	8 ..
Halavee Siddaswara = swamy	do.	do.		142	14 8	"	"	142	14 8
Kotacul Kasawa = swamy	do.	do.		64	2 8	"	"	64	2 8
Munchala Stree Raghavendraswamy	do.	do.		196	.. ..	"	"	196	.. ..
				1187	10 ..	"	"	1187	10 ..

Pagodas.

Rupees.

135 & 14

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			C Rs	a/s	P	C Rs	a/s	P	C Rs	a/s	P	
Yoomma Mahazeed in the Fort	Government	order 5th July 1847	127	5	..	..	..	..	127	5	..	
Mahazeed in	Do.	Do.	96	13	..	..	..	..	96	13	..	
Durga Moortoozah Kandary	Do.	Do.	142	4	..	..	..	..	142	4	..	
Mahazeed near the Tank bank	Do.	Do.	21	13	..	..	..	..	21	13	..	
Futta Mahazeed on the Hill	Do.	Do.	30	8	..	..	..	..	30	8	..	
Yoomma Mahazeed at Pett Baubanagur	Do.	Do.	1032	6	..	262	8	..	769	14	..	Retransferred from this to the Head of Pensions & charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 20th March 1849.

Adwanie Con/d/

Mosques.

Durga near the Cul. = loobhavee gate	Do.	Do.	32	8	..	..	..	..	32	8	..	
Maluk Rahemun Durga	Do.	Do.	93	..	..	..	..	..	93	..	..	
Durga of Peer Bau: = lashaw	Do.	Do.	23	12	..	..	..	..	23	12	..	
Durga of Chenda: = shaw	Do.	Do.	81	13	..	..	..	..	81	13	..	
Navab Soojavan Moo: = look Mokabara	Do.	Do.	1032	8	..	..	..	..	1032	8	..	
Ausar Shareef Aushoor Khana near the Cutchery	Do.	Do.	38	12	..	..	..	..	38	12	..	
Ausar Shareef near the Fort gate	Do.	Do.	38	11	..	..	..	..	38	11	..	

135/14

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			C.R.	a.	P.	C.R.	a.	P.	C.R.	a.	P.	
Adwanie Mosques	Nusar Sharuf Moya Moobaruk	Government &c order 5th July 1847	105	..	..	..	..	..	105	..	..	
	Fatmasha Oors	Do. Do.	..	14	..	..	..	..	..	14	..	
	Syed Omer Durga at Pett	Do. Do.	8	12	..	..	..	..	8	12	..	
	Syed Azeemsha Doddaree	Do. Do.	2	10	..	..	..	..	2	10	..	
	Shawally Mustan=shaw in Moharum	Do. Do.	4	6	..	..	..	..	4	6	..	
	Oors of Fareedshaw	Do. Do.	10	8	..	..	..	..	10	8	..	
	Do. of Doolshaw	Do. Do.	4	6	..	..	..	..	4	6	..	
	Woosany Aulum in Moharum	Do. Do.	34	11	..	..	..	..	34	11	..	
	Paza alli Sail in Do.	Do. Do.	175	..	..	..	..	..	175	..	..	
	Hazaruth Sail Oors in Syed Pett	Do. Do.	5	4	..	..	..	..	5	4	..	
	Shaik Hasan Shaik ahomed Durga	Do. Do.	2	4	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	Retransferred from this to the Head of Pensions and Charitable allowances Chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 20th March 1849.
	Nusar Sharuf Moya Moobaruk	Do. Do.	7	7	6	..	..	..	7	7	6	
			3	245	..	..	286	10	2	958	6	..
			4	432	10	..	286	10	4	146	..	..
Goallam Pagodas.	Holalagondy Siddaswa=raswamy	Do. Do.	35	..	..	..	..	..	35	..	..	
	Chippagery Bhogaswa=raswamy	Do. Do.	35	..	..	..	..	..	35	..	..	
	Halahursey Chatragoody Anjanayaswamy	Do. Do.	35	..	..	..	..	..	35	..	..	
	Hatteebalagul Ramaswaraswamy	Do. Do.	35	..	..	..	..	..	35	..	..	
	Joharaupoor Honoo=muntaswamy	Do. Do.	35	..	..	..	..	..	35	..	..	
			175	..	..	..	..	..	175	..	..	

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

		CR. as P	CR. as P	CR. as P
Cuneakapollam Pagodas. Mosques.	Kupputral Kowa Soottaswamy — Government order 5th July 1847	808 "	" "	808 "
	Putticondah Kasa=vaswamy — Do. — Do.	35 "	" "	35 "
	Kupputral Rama=nasamy — Do. — Do.	614 "	" "	614 "
	Parasalu Runga=swamy — Do. — Do.	1228 "	" "	1228 "
	Peapully Kasava=swamy — Do. — Do.	528 "	" "	528 "
	Moonimadoogoo Gopalaswamy — Do. — Do.	35 "	" "	35 "
	Muddikera Kasa=vaswamy — Do. — Do.	178 "	" "	178 "
		4044 "	" "	4044 "
	Kupputral Mahazeed — Do. — Do.	35 "	" "	35 "
		4394 "	" "	4394 "
Gootty Pagodas.	Ramachendraswamy at Cusba Gootty — Do. — Do.	105 "	" "	105 "
	Narasimmaswamy — Do. — Do.	105 "	" "	105 "
	Margootty Anjana=yaswamy — Do. — Do.	108 "	" "	108 "
	Sodanacherla Anja=nayaswamy — Do. — Do.	108 "	" "	108 "
	Gowlamaswer — Do. — Do.	21 "	" "	21 "
	Gramadevata Yel=lummah — Do. — Do.	108 "	" "	108 "
	Bhroogoo Sunga=maswer — Do. — Do.	42 "	" "	42 "
	Neelacuntaswer — Do. — Do.	21 "	" "	21 "
	Gootty Ramaswer — Do. — Do.	21 "	" "	21 "

13514

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849.

		CRs. a/ P	CRs. a/ P	CRs. a/ P
Goolty [Contd.] Pagodas. — Ramaswamy and Veerabhadraswamy	Government order 5th. July 1847	58 4 ..	.. ..	58 4 ..
Nettacul Anjana=yaswamy	Do. Do.	207 2 ..	.. ..	207 2 ..
Amidall Penna-Bhobalaswamy	Do. Do.	350	.. ..	350 .. ..
Penna Rungaswamy	Do. Do.	35	.. ..	35 .. ..
Jarootla Rama=lingaswamy	Do. Do.	35	.. ..	35 .. ..
Havalaghee Anja=nayaswamy	Do. Do.	21	.. ..	21 .. ..
		1052 14 ..	.. ..	1052 14 ..
Goommah Mahajid in the Fort	Do. Do.	158 6 ..	.. ..	158 6 ..
Moolla Hoosain Ma=homed Moollah Mosque at Goolty Pett	Do. 5th. July 1847 and 20th. March 1849	59 2 ..	.. ..	59 2 ..
Mosques. Durgah on the Hill Fort	Do. 5th. July 1847	43 12 ..	.. ..	43 12 ..
Syed Ibroyem Hateeb	Do. 5th. July 1847 and 20th. March 1849	44 4 ..	.. ..	44 4 ..
Kajee Abdool Masood	Do. 5th. July 1847	87 8 ..	.. ..	87 8 ..
		393	.. ..	393 .. ..
		1445 14 ..	.. ..	1445 14 ..
Yadkee Pagodas. Yadkee Rasava=swamy	Do. Do.	87 8 ..	.. ..	87 8 ..
Polamada Rasa=vaswamy	Do. Do.	35	.. ..	35 .. ..
Yootoo Chennaka=savaswamy	Do. Do.	35	.. ..	35 .. ..
Moolakatallah Aununtagiriswamy	Do. Do.	35	.. ..	35 .. ..
		192 8 ..	.. ..	192 8 ..

13514 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Yad Rec/Con Fa/

Mosques.

		Cr. as P	Cr. as P	Cr. as P	
Shah Syed Booran Durvaish Mokan	Government Order 5th July 1847	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	Retransferred from this to the Head of Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 20th March 1849.
		234 8 "	42 " "	192 8 "	
Venkataramanaswamy at Cuba Raydroog	Do. — Do.	1288 " "	" " "	1288 " "	
Madavaroya: swamy	Do. — Do.	217 9 "	" " "	217 9 "	
Vadaanta Dasika	Do. — Do.	23 5 "	" " "	23 5 "	
Ramasawmy	Do. — Do.	109 1 "	" " "	109 1 "	
Gopalakristna swamy	Do. — Do.	96 4 "	" " "	96 4 "	

Raydroog Pagodas.

Annabhavee Narasimhaswamy	Do. — Do.	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	
Cundachar Narasimhaswamy	Do. — Do.	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	
Jumbookeswara swamy	Do. — Do.	165 11 "	" " "	165 11 "	
Yellummah	Do. — Do.	39 6 "	" " "	39 6 "	
Sattyaboda Mutt Anjanayaswamy	Do. — Do.	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	
Sonarpett Anjanayaswamy	Do. — Do.	8 12 "	" " "	8 12 "	
Siddaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	
Chowdaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	8 12 "	" " "	8 12 "	
Vidya Senkar	Do. — Do.	8 12 "	" " "	8 12 "	
Dasabhooja Ganapati	Do. — Do.	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	
Yeppakul Narasimhaswamy	Do. — Do.	84 9 "	" " "	84 9 "	

13574

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			CRs a/c P	CRs a/c P	CRs a/c P	
Yadd Rec/Con Acc	Mosques.	Shah Syed Booran Durvaish Mokan	Government Order 5th July 1847	42 " "	42 " "	Retransferred from this to the Head of Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 20th March 1849.
				234 8 "	42 " " 192 8 "	
	Pagodas.	Venkataramanaswamy at Cuba Raydroog	Do. — Do.	1288 " "	" " 1288 " "	
		Madavoroya: swamy	Do. — Do.	2179 " "	" " 2179 "	
		Vadaunta Dasika	Do. — Do.	235 " "	" " 235 "	
		Ramasawmy	Do. — Do.	1091 " "	" " 1091 "	
		Gopala Kristna: swamy	Do. — Do.	964 " "	" " 964 "	
Raydroog	Pagodas.	Innabhavee Narasimhaswamy	Do. — Do.	4312 " "	" " 4312 "	
		Cundachar Nara: simhaswamy	Do. — Do.	4312 " "	" " 4312 "	
		Jumbookeswara: swamy	Do. — Do.	1651 1 "	" " 1651 1 "	
		Yellummah	Do. — Do.	396 " "	" " 396 "	
		Sathyaboda Mutt Anjanayaswamy	Do. — Do.	178 " "	" " 178 "	
		Sonarpett Anja: nayaswamy	Do. — Do.	812 " "	" " 812 "	
		Siddaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	178 " "	" " 178 "	
		Chowdaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	812 " "	" " 812 "	
		Vidya Sankar	Do. — Do.	812 " "	" " 812 "	
		Dasabhooja Ganapati	Do. — Do.	4312 " "	" " 4312 "	
		Yeppraku Nara: simhaswamy	Do. — Do.	849 " "	" " 849 "	

13514

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849

			CRs as	CRs as	CRs as
Chedumbara = swamy	Government order 5th. July 1847		35	.. .. ..	35 .. ..
Ranghavandraswamy Matt Mookeya = pranaswamy	Do.	Do.	8 12 ..	.. .. ..	8 12 ..
Veyasaroya Mutt = Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
Kanakul Gonaswa = raswamy	Do.	Do.	207 1 ..	.. .. ..	207 1 ..
Nagandkully An = janayaswamy	Do.	Do.	110 13 ..	.. .. ..	110 13 ..
Ratchamurry An = janayaswamy	Do.	Do.	87 8 ..	.. .. ..	87 8 ..
Namkull Anjana = yaswamy	Do.	Do.	67 1 ..	.. .. ..	67 1 ..
Moorooly Anjana = yaswamy	Do.	Do.	70	.. .. ..	70 .. ..
Singanakully Sun = ganaswararaswamy	Do.	Do.	52 8 ..	.. .. ..	52 8 ..
Boodigoomma An = janayaswamy	Do.	Do.	52 8 ..	.. .. ..	52 8 ..
Streerungapoor Rum = gaswamy	Do.	Do.	51 1 ..	.. .. ..	51 1 ..
Veapoolapatree = Rungaswamy	Do.	Do.	52 8 ..	.. .. ..	52 8 ..
Roopanagoody Venka = taramanaswamy	Do.	Do.	294 9 ..	.. .. ..	294 9 ..
Brammasamoodrum = Jaoteee Mahaindraswamy	Do.	Do.	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
Yaradakery Anja = nayaswamy	Do.	Do.	35	.. .. ..	35 .. ..
Nagalapoor Venka = taramanaswamy	Do.	Do.	35	.. .. ..	35 .. ..
Belagooppah Rama = swarswamy	Do.	Do.	26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Gungavur Anjana = yaswamy	Do.	Do.	35	.. .. ..	35 .. ..
Raykutta Rama = swaraswamy	Do.	Do.	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
Honnoor Anjana = yaswamy	Do.	Do.	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
Hoolloor Anjana = yaswamy	Do.	Do.	26 4 ..	.. .. ..	26 4 ..
Dhurmapoory An = janayaswamy	Do.	Do.	11 11 ..	.. .. ..	11 11 ..
Gopalpoor Anjana = yaswamy	Do.	Do.	8 12 ..	.. .. ..	8 12 ..
Bondanakul An = janayaswamy	Do.	Do.	11 11 ..	.. .. ..	11 11 ..
Pabberlakully Cesma = raswamy	Do.	Do.	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
			3582 12 ..	.. .. ..	3582 12 ..

(Contd.)

Rayadroog Pagodas

13514

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			Cr. as P	Cr. as P	Cr. as P
Mosques	Raydroog Mahazid	Government order 5th July 1847	73 8 ..	.. .. ..	73 8 ..
	Konakull Mahazid	Do. — Do.	14 9 ..	.. .. ..	14 9 ..
			88 1 ..	.. .. ..	88 1 ..
Pagodas.	Tandputtry Chintaroyaswamy	Do. — Do.	280	.. .. ..	280 .. ..
	Ramaswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	280	.. .. ..	280 .. ..
	Anjanayaswamy over the bank of the River	Do. — Do.	49 3 ..	.. .. ..	49 3 ..
	Anjanayaswamy at Pett	Do. — Do.	29 9 ..	.. .. ..	29 9 ..
	Mandavaswer Anjanayaswamy	Do. — Do.	21 14 ..	.. .. ..	21 14 ..
	Mulool Kona Runjaswamy	Do. — Do.	105	.. .. ..	105 .. ..
	Yellanoor Venkataramanaswamy	Do. — Do.	178 8 ..	.. .. ..	178 8 ..
	Jagunnadapoot Gopalaswamy	Do. — Do.	21 14 ..	.. .. ..	21 14 ..
	Dandulolak Eeswaraswamy	Do. — Do.	17 8 ..	.. .. ..	17 8 ..
			933 8 ..	.. .. ..	933 8 ..
	Joomnirah Mahazeed	Government order 5th July 1847	309 11 ..	.. .. ..	309 11 ..
	Mahazeed at Fort	Do. — Do.	154 14 ..	.. .. ..	154 14 ..
	Shemshadeen Durgah on the left bank of the River	Do. — Do.	38 12 ..	.. .. ..	38 12 ..
	Singawar Mahazeed	Do. — Do.	2 3 ..	.. .. ..	2 3 ..
Mosques	Tuckeya of Yasin Saib	Do. — Do.	38 11 ..	38 11 ..	.. .. ..
	Shaw Yasin Durgah	Do. — Do.	27 1 ..	27 1 ..	.. .. ..
			571 4 ..	65 12 ..	505 8 ..
			1554 12 ..	65 12 ..	1489 .. ..

Tandputtry Mosques

Retransferred from this to head of Pensions and Charitable allowances and chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 30th March 1849.

13514

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p
Ananta poovien Viroopatcha = swamy	Government order 5th July 1847		151	10	8	..	..	..	151	10	8
Kasawaswamy	Do.	Do.	17	8	..	..	..	..	17	8	..
Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	10	8	..	..	..	..	10	8	..
Pitt Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	42	..	..	..	..	..	42	..	..
Bookrayasamoodrum Kondameedi Royaswamy	Do.	Do.	210	..	..	..	..	..	210	..	..
Koodary Sungama = swaraswamy	Do.	Do.	175	..	..	..	..	..	175	..	..
Nadimeddy Chennakasa = vaswamy	Do.	Do.	56	..	..	..	..	..	56	..	..
Pompanoor Ahobalaswamy	Do.	Do.	61	13	4	..	..	..	61	13	4
Tandmurry Chennakasa = swamy	Do.	Do.	161	..	..	..	..	..	161	..	..
Kaurpoola Chen = noo Kasawa = swamy	Do.	Do.	92	2	8	..	..	..	92	2	8
Toompary Anjanaya = swamy	Do.	Do.	58	10	..	..	..	..	58	10	..
Singanamala Jolav = condarayaswamy	Do.	Do.	80	8	..	..	..	..	80	8	..
Ramaswamy	Do.	Do.	130	1	4	..	..	..	130	1	4
Eeswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	17	8	..	..	..	..	17	8	..
Sodanapully Anjanayas = swamy	Do.	Do.	14	14	..	..	..	..	14	14	..
Panchapully Eeswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	71	2	8	..	..	..	71	2	8
Dumpetta Chenna = kasvaswamy	Do.	Do.	58	..	8	..	..	..	58	..	8
Chigoollare = noo Tanoogo = palaswamy	Do.	Do.	29	2	8	..	..	..	29	2	8
			1437	10	..	..	..	..	1437	10	..

Ananta Poovien
Pagoda

135/4

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Anantapoor Mosques	Taudmurry Zoomnah Mahazeed	Government order 5th July 1847	77 7 -	- - -	77 - -	Retransferred from this to the head of Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 20th March 1849.
	Peer Alleeshaw Tuckeya	Do. Do.	25 6 -	25 6 -	- - -	
	Shareefsha Akhundsha Ebramsha & Ateemsha Tukeyas 4 in Number	Do. Do.	77 7 -	77 7 -	- - -	
	Anantapoor Zoomma Mahazeet	Do. Do.	14 - -	- - -	14 - -	
			194 4 -	102 13 -	91 7 -	
			1631 14 -	102 13 -	1529 1 -	
Penoogonda Pagodas	Penoogonda Ramaswamy	Do. Do.	312 1 -	- - -	312 1 -	
	Ghanagiry Comara Swara Swamy	Do. Do.	35 10 -	- - -	35 10 -	
	Rtt Anjanaya Swamy	Do. Do.	7 - -	- - -	7 - -	
	Venayaka	Do. Do.	3 8 -	- - -	3 8 -	
	Durveeja Anji Nayaswamy	Do. Do.	3 8 -	- - -	3 8 -	
	Pootaputy Yapala Swamy	Do. Do.	42 - -	- - -	42 - -	
	Roddum Roodrapandum	Do. Do.	17 8 -	- - -	17 8 -	
	Potcoor Sungama Swara Swamy	Do. Do.	35 - -	- - -	35 - -	
	Mylavamoodrum Anjanayaswamy	Do. Do.	10 8 -	- - -	10 8 -	
	Bookkaputnum Venkataramana Swamy	Do. Do.	17 8 -	- - -	17 8 -	
	Nangloor Audi Kasavaswamy	Do. Do.	42 - -	- - -	42 - -	
			526 3 -	- - -	526 3 -	
Mosques.	Paumoodoorti Nizamshaes Tuckeyah	Do. Do.	29 1 -	29 1 -	- - -	Retransferred from this to the head of Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenues as per order of Government dated 20th March 1849.
			555 4 -	29 1 -	526 3 -	

1336/1

13514 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

				C.Rs	a.	C.Rs	a.	C.Rs	a.
Suntabodnoor Anjanayaswamy	Government order 5th July 1847			420	-	-	-	420	-
Suttya Varadaswa=my Mutt	Do.	Do.		140	-	-	-	140	-
Hindoopoor Ven=kataramanaswamy	Do.	Do.		29	12	-	-	29	12
Jeenee Anjana=yaswamy	Do.	Do.		26	4	-	-	26	4
Seswaraswamy at Fort	Do.	Do.		24	8	-	-	24	8
Goodada Ven=kataramana=swamy	Do.	Do.		14	-	-	-	14	-
Nadee Anjana=yaswamy	Do.	Do.		14	-	-	-	14	-
Basawaswa=raswamy	Do.	Do.		15	5	-	-	15	5
Sundeeswara=swamy	Do.	Do.		14	-	-	-	14	-
Kodikondah Papalaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	35	-
Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	35	-
Seswaraswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	35	-
Chilamatoor Cunnee Narasim=maswamy	Do.	Do.		175	-	-	-	175	-
Chilamatoor Anjanaya=swamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	17	8
Chilamatoor Seswaraswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	35	-
Choultoor Anja=nayaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	35	-
Goodehal Venkata=daswamy	Do.	Do.		52	8	-	-	52	8

Kodicondah Pagodas

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

			℞ a o	℞ a o	℞ a o
Suntabedroor Anjanayaswamy	Government order 5th July 1847		420 " "	" " "	420 " "
Suttya Varadaswa=my Mutt	Do.	Do.	140 " "	" " "	140 " "
Hindoapoor Ven=kataramanaswamy	Do.	Do.	29 12 "	" " "	29 12 "
Jeenee Anjana=yaswamy	Do.	Do.	26 4 "	" " "	26 4 "
Seswaraswamy at Fort	Do.	Do.	24 8 "	" " "	24 8 "
Goodada Ven=katarama=naswamy	Do.	Do.	14 " "	" " "	14 " "
Nadee Anjana=yaswamy	Do.	Do.	14 " "	" " "	14 " "
Basawaswa=raswamy	Do.	Do.	15 5 "	" " "	15 5 "

Kodiconda Pagoda

Nundeeswara=swamy	Do.	Do.	14 " "	" " "	14 " "
Kodikondah Gopalaswamy	Do.	Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "
Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "
Eeswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "
Chilamatoor Cunvee Naraim=maswamy	Do.	Do.	175 " "	" " "	175 " "
Chilamatoor Anjanaya=swamy	Do.	Do.	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "
Chilamatoor Eeswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "
Chowloor Anja=nayaswamy	Do.	Do.	35 " "	" " "	35 " "
Goodehal Venkata=swamy	Do.	Do.	52 8 "	" " "	52 8 "

13514

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

				C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a p
Dharmaveerum Kasa= =vaswamy	Government order 5th July 1847			157	8	-	-	-	-	157	8 -
Chendra Mouleswara= =swamy	Do.	Do.		10	8	-	-	-	-	10	8 -
Siddaswaraswamy	Do.	Do.		10	8	-	-	-	-	10	8 -
Culleyandroogen Puttabhyramaswamy	Do.	Do.		88	10	8	-	-	-	88	10 8
Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	-	-	17	8 -
Koonoodoor Chen= =nakasavaswamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	-	-	17	8 -
Jettoor Anjanaya= =swamy	Do.	Do.		58	4	-	-	-	-	58	4 -
Apparazchervo Linga= =naswara swamy	Do.	Do.		37	15	-	-	-	-	37	15 -
Rauvalacheryo Codunda Rama= =swamy	Do.	Do.		13	2	-	-	-	-	13	2 -
Nagasamoodraeen Runganathaswamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	-	-	17	8 -
Kasavaswamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	-	-	17	8 -
Koontimuddy Rungaswamy	Do.	Do.		27	11	-	-	-	-	27	11 -
Koondoorpi Adikasavaswamy	Do.	Do.		28	-	-	-	-	-	28	- -
Eeswaraswamy	Do.	Do.		21	-	-	-	-	-	21	- -
Cota Kasavaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	-	-	35	- -
Narasimmaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	-	-	35	- -
Cumbadoor Mullakarjoo= =naswamy	Do.	Do.		42	-	-	-	-	-	42	- -
Adikasavaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	-	-	35	- -
Paroor Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.		35	-	-	-	-	-	35	- -
Timmapoor Narasimhaswamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	-	-	17	8 -
Vuttumpully Kondakinda Royaswamy	Do.	Do.		17	8	-	-	-	-	17	8 -
				740	2	8	-	-	-	740	2 8
Grand Total				19027	14	8	578	12	-	18749	2 8

Bellary District,
Collector's Office on
Circuit at Kadroog,
20th August 1849.

Errors Excepted per (Signed) C Pelly
Acting Collector

Statement

13514

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849.

No. 2.

Statement in detail of all authorized Service and Charitable Yeomiahs in the District of Bellary prepared agreeably to the Circular instructions of the Board of Revenue dated 5th. January 1846.

			Nephew of Do.	Do.	Do.	120	"	"	"	120	"
4		Chinna Bommalakaz	Do.	Do.	Do.	120	"	"	"	120	"
5		Ramaprah Do.				960	"	240	"	720	"
		Adonie Hindoo									
6	40	Vidyapaty Chinna Varaha Acharloo	Do.	Do.		9	10	"	"	9	10
7	14	Treve Krama Vadaveyasa Charry	Do. 30th. May 1823			116	3	"	38 12	77	7 A Shareholder died on the 16th. July 1843.
8	45	Goode Bheemacharry	Do.	Do.		14	8	"	"	14	8
9	5	Pendakuh Gookoo Royacharry	Do. 1st. March 1831.			38	11	"	"	38	11
10	8	Timmakah widow of Huppuh roll Neelakunta Sastrooloo	Do. 17th. August 1827			50	"	"	"	50	" The Payment of this Yeomiah is now made in the Punchapollem Talook
11	9	Moodebhutt Nursumbhutt	Do. 18th. July 1820			57	10	"	25 13	25	13 Shareholder Seenapah having died on the 17th. March 1846 a moiety of the Yeomiah has been discontinued.
12	10	Woomapaty Woorookondabhutt	Do. 17th. August 1827.			38	11	"	"	38	11
13	11	Goddatha Rangavendrapah	Do. 30th. May 1823			154	14	"	"	154	14
14	12	Bharatamah widow of Ragavendracharry	Do.	Do.		77	7	"	"	77	7
						1057	"	"	563 15	489	1

13514

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

				Cr. A. P.	Cr. A. P.	Cr. A. P.	Cr. A. P.	
		Moosulmen						
15	14	Namatoollah	Order of Government dated 28th July 1820	19 5 -	- - -	- - -	19 5 -	
16	15	Shaik Chand	Do. 27th Augt. 1813	103 4 -	- - -	- - -	103 4 -	
17	70	Shaick Hoosain	Do. 20th March 1849	- - -	24 2 -	- - -	24 2 -	Retransferred to this head from Pagoda and Mosque allowances as per Order quoted.
18	51	Syed Abdool Rymar Hadaree	Do. 28 March 1843	154 14 -	- - -	- - -	154 14 -	
19	19	Syed Mohadeen Mostaned	Do. Do.	38 12 -	- - -	- - -	38 12 -	
		Shaw Namatoollah	Do. Do.	77 7 -	- - -	- - -	77 7 -	
20	20	Shaw Namatoollah Meer Saib	Do. 17th Augt. 1829	116 2 -	- - -	- - -	116 2 -	
21	21	Morkatah Meer Saib	Do. Do.	58 1 -	- - -	- - -	58 1 -	
22	22	Syed Rufyoodeen						
23	23	Syed Esmall Shah	Do. 27th Augt. 1813	116 2 -	- - -	- - -	116 2 -	
24	69	Mahomed Hazarub Cattieb or his son the present incumbent Saheb Moodeen Hafiez	Do. 7th Novr. 1834 and 20th March 1849	- - -	262 8 -	- - -	262 8 -	Retransferred to this Head from the Pagoda and Mosque allowances as per Order of Government dated 20th March 1849.
25	-	Padabee transferred from Vellore	Do. 22d July 1841	270 - -	- - -	- - -	270 - -	
26	54	Panchabeebee	Do. 9th March 1844	- - -	77 7 -	- - -	77 7 -	
27	56	Namatoollah	Do. 6th May 1844	- - -	77 7 -	- - -	77 7 -	
28	57	Canderameenshaw	Do. 15th April 1847	- - -	200 - -	- - -	200 - -	
29	59	Sofeesabeebee	Do. 26th Augt. 1848	- - -	18 - -	- - -	18 - -	
30	60	Seekasabeebee	Do. Do.	- - -	18 - -	- - -	18 - -	
				1,612 6 -	754 15 -	658 - -	1,708 14 -	
				2,663 6 -	754 15 -	1,222 6 -	2,195 15 -	

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

					Cfd. A P	Cfd. A P	Cfd. A P	Cfd. A P	
		Punchapollem							
		Hindoo							
31	28	Kasavabhuttoo	Order of Govt. 30th May 1823	18 6	" "	" "	" "	18 6	
	29	Vencatreenevasa Charry	Dec. 2nd Octr 1821	18 6	" "	" "	18 6	" "	Died on the 16th Augt 1849.
	30	Chelumbara Bhuttoo	Dec. 27th Augt 1813	18 6	" "	" "	18 6	" "	Died on the 6th June 1849.
32	50	Mullekarjoona Sastry	Dec. 28th March 1843	18 6	" "	" "	" "	18 6	
33	47	Venkatadeetchetoolu	Dec. 3rd March 1842	36 12	" "	" "	" "	36 12	
34	32	Soobiah grandson of Yacambramah	Dec. 30th May 1823	21 "	" "	" "	" "	21 "	
	33	Lutchmakal Pamplaim wife of Veeranadan	Dec. 21	21 "	" "	" "	21 "	" "	Died on the 21st May 1849.
35	52	Boochennah	Dec. 29th March 1844	" "	36 12	" "	" "	36 12	A Shareholder having died on the 1st September 1844, the allowance was reduced.
36	53	Soobbiah Chinna	Dec.	" "	18 6	9 3	" "	9 3	
				152 4	55 2	66 15	140 7		
		Gooty							
		Hindoo							
37	49	Paupa Naid Family of the late Goorroovappah Naid of Camalapaud	Dec. 23rd June 1815	336 "	" "	" "	" "	336 "	
		Gádaku							
		Moosulmen							
38	71	Meer Muctoomully Shaw	Dec. 20th March 1849	" "	42 "	" "	" "	42 "	Retransferred to this head from Pagoda & Mosque allowances as per order quoted.
		Tandjatry							
		Hindoo							
39	36	Nethanavada Venkatanarasimma Sastry	Dec. 18th July 1820	39 6	" "	" "	" "	39 6	
40	37	Moollagery Streenevasa Charry	Dec. 2 Octr 1821	32 13	" "	" "	" "	32 13	
				529 15	" "	" "	457 12	72 3	

13514

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

				Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	
		Moosulmen						
41	67	Yaseem Saib	Government Order 20th March 1849	" "	38 11	" "	38 11	Retransferred to this head from Pagoda and Mosque allowances under the order quoted.
39		Meer Jeroollabdeen	Do 17th Augt 1827	118 2	" "	118 2	" "	Died on the 22d December 1845.
42	68	Mahomed Saheb Moozaver of Shaw Yaseem Durgah of the present incumbent Moozaver Goolam Nabee	Do 20th March 1849	" "	27 1	" "	27 1	Retransferred to this head from Pagoda and Mosque allowances under the order quoted.
43	58	Kanjabee	Do 21st June 1847	" "	59 1	" "	59 1	"
				118 2 "	124 13 "	118 2 "	124 13 "	
				648 1 "	124 13 "	575 14 "	197 " "	
		Anantapoor Hindoo						
41		Coondachary Son of Chinnaya	Do 18th Augt 1827	91 14 "	" "	91 14 "	" "	Died on the 5th June 1845.
		Moosulmen						
44	66	Nezam Shaw Tuckyah or the present incumbent Gooloo Shaw	Do 20th March 1849	" "	29 1 "	" "	29 1 "	Retransferred to this head from Pagoda & Mosque allowances under the Order quoted Payment is now made in the Pengondah Taboor
46	65	Peer Ally Shaw Tuckyah or the present Incumbent Goolamully shaw	Do	" "	25 6 "	" "	25 6 "	Do ——— Do
		Shareef Shaw Akund Shaw, Ebram Shaw & Yateem Shaw, Tuckyahs in number or the present incumbents Viz	Do	" "	77 7 "	" "	77 7 "	Do ——— Do
46	61	Fasub Shaw ——— Rs 19-6 "						
47	62	Fuckrooddeen ——— 19-6 "						
48	63	Goolzarully Shaw ——— 19-6 "						
49	64	Mustan Emambee ——— 19-5 "						
50	42	Yaseem Ally Shaw Malung	Do 13th January 1846	10 5 "	" "	" "	16 5 "	
				10 5 "	131 14 "	" "	1148 3 "	
				108 3 "	131 14 "	91 14 "	1148 3 "	
		Total Company's Rupees		4937 14 "	1101 4 "	2267 1 "	3832 1 "	

Bellary Collector's Office, on Circuit at Raidroog, 20th August, 1849.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / A Kelly
Acting Collector.

Statement

13514

Fort St. George 10th. September 1849.

No. 3.

Statement in detail of all authorized hereditary Stipends and other Pensions paid for the Support of Ancient Families in the District of Bellary prepared agreeably to the Circular instructions of the Board of Revenue dated 15th. January 1840.

		Humparasaprah ———							
8		Bhagavah another widow of Do. Do. ———	Do. Do.	360	"	"	"	360	"
Samalad	9	Danoonnapah Naid son & heir of the late Siddapa Naid Poligar ———	Do. 11th July	492	3	"	"	492	3
	10	Ramapah Naid and Lutchmapa Naid Grand sons of Hristnummah widow of Immedi Naid Poligar ———	Do. 21st October 1843.	492	3	"	"	492	3
	11	Siddalingapah Naid son of Siddapah Naid Poligar ———	Do. 16th August 1841.	590	10	"	"	590	10
Anantapoor	12	Neelammah widow of Siddapa Naid Poligar ———	Do. 13th January 1846.	437	8	"	"	437	8
	13	Suckrummah widow of the elder brother of Do. ———	Do. Do.	437	8	"	"	437	8
	14	Lulyanummah 2d. widow of the Nephew of Do. ———	Do. Do.	437	8	"	"	437	8

J.G.

Fort St George 10th September 1849.

				CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p	
13574																
		Bhaiyah Sunmah one of the undivided Members of the Family of Poligar	Order of Government 28th March 1803	1077	14	3	"	"	"	1077	14	3	"	"	"	This Pensioner died on the 7th October 1842 & the Pension discontinued ever since.
Raidroog	15	Cond[itio]n[a]l Pension to Said Brother, Ramasamy Said Nephew & Sunmah widow late Ramakistna Said Poligar.	Do 24th December 1839.	"	"	"	116	10	4	"	"	"	116	10	4	Transferred to this Head under the Orders of Government dated 18th May 1843, and of the Board dated 21st August 1845.
	16	Said son of Lees Said late Dalavoy Poligar	Do 17th August 1824 - 5th May 1842 & 8th June 1844.	145	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	145	13	4	
		Do of Do —	Do Do	145	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	145	13	"	
	17	Conatee Nad														The portion of the originally sanctioned amount authorized by the Board on the 5th Inst. to be paid to Veerarayapah Rauz's nephew Ambalapah Rauz has been suspended in consequence of the death of the latter pending a reference to the Board of Revenue.
Padmanabhy	18	Veerarayapah Rauz Poligar —	Do 18th July 1820	700	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	700	"	"	
Nadame Seddhe	19	Rasanapah Naid son of the late Vengunnah Naid Poligar —	Do 21st July 1825	233	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	233	5	"	
Dodacondah	20	Bada Chennamah Naid son of the late Permal Naid Poligar	Do 19th August 1817	525	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	525	"	"	
	21	Vencatapah Naid son of Chota Chennama Naid another son of Do Do	Govt of India 12th June 1847	525	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	525	"	"	
Muddapesah	22	Bozzarah Naid son of Mulle Barjoona Naid Poligar	Order of Govt 25th June 1813	590	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	590	10	"	
	23	Yamana Naid son of Bacdah Bheemapah Naid of the Family of Lutchmapah Naid Poligar	Do 27th October 1815	105	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	105	"	"	
	24	Lutchmapah Naid elder son of Chotah Beemapah Naid of Do Do	Do 12th Feby 1828	105	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	105	"	"	

13574

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

| | | |

Resolution thereon.

(26-27.)

Resolved that the original Statements No. 1 & 3, received with the foregoing letter, be submitted to Government, with reference to Para 4 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 2d January 1846, and the duplicates of the same be retained for the use of this Office.

In Cons. 12th January 1846.

Read the following letter.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(28)

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 27th ultimo I have the honor to state that as no regular Troops are employed as guards for revenue purposes in this District except in the Town of Cuddapah where they are under the immediate notice of the officer commanding, the entertainment of any Pensioners will not be required.

Cuddapah, Collector's Office, 4th September, 1849.

(Signed) I. D. Cochrane

Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

(28-29) D.G.

Read

Read the following letters

To J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(30)

From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool

Submitting certain information relative to the Statements contained in a Memorial to the Honorable Court of Directors from Syed Budrooddeen & other late holders of the Shrotriem Village of Copulpaud Dhone Talook Kurnool District.

Marzee Sheerestadar Peon late Dewany Dufterdar

Sir,

Adverting to your Board's proceedings dated the 16th July 1849 communicating an Extract Minutes Consultation of the 13th Idem, I have the honor to submit such information as I have been able to procure relative to the Statements contained in a Memorial to the Honorable Court of Directors from Syed Budrooddeen and other late holders of the Shrotriem Village of Copulpaud in Dhone Talook, Kurnool District — premising that those particulars which refer to a period preceding the resumption of this province in October 1839 are mainly gathered from communications with a highly respectable and trustworthy Servant of the late Pathan rulers now in Government employ who has ever proved himself a most competent referee in all that concerns the past Mogulye administration under which he was a principal revenue Officer.—

2 The Copulpaud village has no doubt been held by the Memorialists and their

their ancestors for a long series of years under a Badshaw Summd, the alleged original of which (and I see no reason to question its authenticity) is now in their possession according to the orders of Government a true copy and a translation of it are now forwarded.—

3 I can trace no reference to the affairs of the village in the remnant of Pathan records at present under my charge but it is clear to me from the representation of Mazee Streenevasa Row that no regular revenue demand was ever made on the Syud Enamdars of Ooptulpaud until the time of Nawab Aleef Khan.

4 Aleef Khan's predecessor Summud Khan who died in A.D. 1791 had contented himself with receiving from them an annual Nuzzur of a hundred rupees, in addition to which were of course realized certain Miscellaneous fees which it was customary to exact from every Village in the province partly with and partly without the knowledge and consent of the Nawab.—

5 For a few years after Aleef Khan was seated on the Musnud, he refrained from the imposition of any novel or unusual tax upon lands held rent free or on favorable terms. About A.D. 1799 or 1800 however, he resolved to

to secure for himself an addition to the ordinary revenue of the province by assessing the large extent of lands alienated as Enam and accordingly established the Tenantry tax which though on a reduced scale is now periodically levied. Two or three years later or about the period of the cession to the Honorable Company by the Nizam of the territory south of the Toombooda he first called on the Ooptulpaud people in common with the then holders of three other villages, to pay a fixed Jodhee for their holding. The sum demanded by him from Ooptulpaud was 1500 Gudwal rupees or half the estimated resources of the Shroteum. The Syuds pertinaciously refused to comply with the requisition pleading that they held Ooptulpaud by virtue of a Badshaw Summd and that its produce would not nearly suffice for the maintenance of themselves and families and the discharge of so extravagant an impost. Aleef Khan replied to their representations by pointing to his own tenure of the Kurnool Territory under a similar grant which nevertheless had not saved him from the necessity of paying an annual Peishcush to the British Government

Quit Rents
Fusly 1228

Goottoopully	2000	″
Karevanah	3481 2	″
Sungum	530	″
*Conolly Pd 1718 12		″

Government amounting to a Tax of Gudwal Rs
whereas before the transfer of territory he had
been merely required to send as tribute to
Nizam a horses or two, an Elephant a few co
jewels, and other sundries of little value

6. The Nawab's argument failed
in convincing the Syuds of his justice of the demand
which being enforced against them they withdrew
to Hyderabad in order to obtain redress through
the Agency of the Nizam or the Resident
at the Nizam's Court.

7 They appear to have stayed away
from Kurnool for two or three years. During
their absence Aleef Khan resumed the
village and bestowed it in Jaghee on one
Mudeena Sahib rating it as equivalent to an
annual allowance of 3/ Rupees 3,000, Mudeena
Sahib remained in possession for a few years
after which the Syuds returned and again
brought themselves to the Nawab's notice
Irritated at the Appeal to the Nizam's Court
whereby the Syuds had unsuccessfully
endeavoured to procure the cancelment of the
newly imposed Jodhee, Aleef Khan was
more obstinate than before and would
not accept the apologies they then
tendered to him mainly in consequence

of their skill in rearing fighting Cocks and
their success in the Cockpit. Cockfighting being
a pastime to which the Nawab was much
devoted, they gradually won upon him and
finally he agreed to let them have back
the village, still however insisting on the
payment of 1,500 G. Rs. Jodhee. The Syuds
ultimately consented to give it and
Copulpand was then restored to them.

8. During the remainder of
Aleef Khan's rule during that of his successor
Munwar Khan who ascended the Musnud in
1811 and demised in 1823 as well as during the
Government of the late Ex-Nawab Ghoolam
Russool Khan up to 1839, the Jodhee in question
continued to be imposed and was generally
paid though not without the accruing of
balances. Indeed, during the rule of Ghoolam
Russool Khan an additional tax of 5 percent
on its average revenue was imposed on this
and every other village in the province in
order to realize therewithal to meet
the Government pais kemuk. This had usually
been drawn from the Kists of one par
ticular Talook which on the occasion
in question had been somehow dissipated
in the extravagance although intended as a

more

mere temporary expedient remained in permanent operation for several Years and Oopulpaud paid it.

9 It is fair however to state that the principal Men amongst the Oopulpaud Syuds who were always treated with great consideration by the last Nawab and his predecessor used on the occurrence of marriage and other ceremonies to receive presents and Money assistance from the Nawab's own treasury and I am informed in proof of the interest which he took in them that the late Nawab himself negociated the betrothal and Marriage of the Memorialist Budurooddeen.

10 On the resumption at the close of 1839 of the Kurnool Territory, the Settlement of Oopulpaud for Fusly 1250 was made by Mr. Commissioner Blane at Co.a Rs. 17,18 12 a Rs. 1,500 — and that amount was realized in full.

11 The Settlement of the next Fusly was a trifle less owing to the exclusion from it of Moturpha; but the Memorialists through Budurooddeen, appear even then to have complained that the Sodhee was unjustly exacted from them and to have expressed a desire to resign the Sunnud in

the Village. Mr. Blane is stated to have advised them to do nothing hastily pointing out that if Oopulpaud became incorporated with the Government Village it would be a matter of difficulty to regain possession should they desire to have it back. Mayee Streenevasa Row and Nowmund Khan, the then Amildar of Choolook were further directed to communicate with the Syuds and advise them of the necessity for deliberation on so important a step. They succeeded in inducing them to refrain from relinquishing it, but for the Fusly in question a balance of Rupees 1104 5 6 remained outstanding against the Village.

12 Mr. Commissioner Bayley presided at the Jamabundy of the succeeding Fusly 1252. The usual demand for payment of the above balance having been made, the Syuds through Budurooddeen appear to have again loudly and earnestly declared their inability to bear up against so heavy an amount of Quit Rent or to liquidate the arrears. Their

13523 Fort St George 10th September 1842

Their desire to detail themselves fully on
concern in the Village being repeated they were
allowed to relinquish it and by an order from
Mr Commissioner Bayley dated 11th October
1842 the Village was incorporated with the main
Lands.

Dated 27th August 1842

13 The original Pazeenamah
executed before amildar Nowmund Khan
by Budur oodeen alone, is now in my
records, and no complaint appears to
have been made to Mr Bayley that
the Amildar used undue influence
it although that Gentleman was in the
District for some months subsequent
to the enforcement of the Pazeenamah. The
assertion of this fact and of the invalid-
dity of the document on that account
was first noted before Mr Scott who
succeeded Mr Bayley about a Month
after Mr Scott had taken charge of
the District or about any one subsequent
to the Pazeenamah being carried into effect

a Copy and Translation are forwarded.

14. The subject of the inclusion
of this Village with the main Lands
adverted to in the communication

13571 Fort St George 10th September 1842.

From Mr Commissioner Bayley to Board of Revenue 27th August 1842 No 83

Proceedings of Board of Revenue 20th September 1843 Para 18

Extract Minutes 16th September 1843 No 1007 Para 10.

noted marginally, Copies of which I annex for convenient reference -

15 I also beg to subjoin a Statement exhibiting the Basis Collections and Balances of Fusly 1252 to 1258 inclusive by which it will be observed that the Resources of the Village are improving and that excepting Fusly 1255, which was a very unfavorable season in the Karnool there has been a gradual increase of Revenue from it of late years which has been realized without any known difficulty

Fusslies

13525

Fort St. George 10th September 1819.

To

The Board of Commissioners
for the Ceded Districts.

Serial No.	Name of Village	Name of Talook	Name of District	Jodhee			Peishcush			Remarks
				Pags.	Cash.	Cowries	Pags.	Cash.	Cowries	
12552	Nallacherroo	Madanapilly	Cuddapah	1140	15	0	1339	9	1220	104 112 129
12553	Nagapilly	Ditto	Ditto	953	33	0	1107	5	1083	99 23 117
12554	Nagapilly	Ditto	Ditto	1114	93	0	1203	4	1225	38 38 11
12555	Nagapilly	Ditto	Ditto	1000	3	0	1102	5	1172	2 19 150
12556	Nagapilly	Ditto	Ditto	1115	83	0	1244	9	1244	159 " "
12557	Nagapilly	Ditto	Ditto	1150	13	0	1294	5	1294	11 " "
12558	Nagapilly	Ditto	Ditto	1191	5	0	1333	2	1330	52 2 133

Fort St. George 10th September 1819.

To

The Board of Commissioners
for the Ceded Districts.

13525

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the Statement of the Memorialists that they paid into the Treasury of Gandikotah a portion of the proceeds of their Village in order to its being kept in deposit for their future wants and disbursed to them as necessity arose.

It is also quite untrue that Mr. Commissioner Blane increased the Jodhee of the Village and whatever may have been that Gentleman's opinion of the justness of its original imposition it may be questioned whether with reference to the provision of Section IV. Regulation XXX of 1802, it was competent to him to lower it.

I beg to add in conclusion that to my knowledge the Memorial of the Petitioners, which seems to have been prepared for them at Madras, prays for much more than they expect to obtain, and that they would be delighted to have the Village again in their possession with such an amount of Jodhee imposed for future payment as would admit of their

First Sth George 10th September 1819.

	Villages			Gross Settlement Ayen Demandu Sukkta.	Sulla burthe.	Total.	Rayem Jodee.
1	Pannem	× Goattoopully	M	2228 11 ∞	∞ ∞ ∞	2228 11 ∞	2000 1 ∞ 150
2		× Karevanah	B	727 7 1	10 4 ∞	743 11 1	305 ∞ ∞
3		Kumbalapully	B	150 ∞ ∞	3 4 ∞	159 4 ∞	149 15 11
4	Alcoor	Kistnapoor	B	301 13 6	24 6 ∞	320 3 6	242 ∞ ∞
5		× Sungum	B	666 4 ∞	∞ ∞ ∞	666 4 ∞	550 ∞ ∞ 150
6		Bhuttovanepully	S	105 10 ∞	8 2 ∞	113 12 ∞	113 12 ∞
				1957 2 7	52 ∞ ∞	2009 2 7	1420 11 11
7	Shone	Ossulpand	M	1538 7 6	∞ ∞ ∞	1538 7 6	1189 8 ∞
				5724 5 1	52 ∞ ∞	5775 5 1	4076 4 11

Those marked × have Padshayee Summuds. 807

13529 Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

No. 2 is the only one that affords any profit
No. 1. 5 and 7 have once requested leave
to throw up their connection with their
Villages, and there is now an outstanding
balance against them. These Villages
are held on old Padshagee Summuds
some of which are now forthcoming
but some were seized by the Nawab
It was no doubt originally intended
that they should be a benefit and not
a loss to the holders, but the Jodigy
has been constantly augmented by the late
Pathan Government. They were settled
last fusly at the Blanes order with the
usual stullabarty, but I have taken this
off for the Fusly now commenced, and
have offered to let the Cumdars give
up the Villages on paying up the
Balances. It will remain for the
future consideration of Government whether
they are respectively to be held at
a more favorable rate. Those marked
B are held by Brahmins, H by
Muselmans, and S by Soodras.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Commissioner
for Land

13530 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Extract from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue, Dated 20th February
1843.

Para 18 With regards to the
seven Villages held on fixed Jodee tenure,
the Board agree with the Commissioner
Para 53. that the original intention of the grant
was that it should be favorable to the
persons on whom it was conferred. These
Villages it is observed are held under
old Podshahee summuds, and for 4 of
them the Documents are stated to be
now forthcoming, but "the Jodigy has been
"constantly augmented by the late
"Pathan Government." It would seem probable
that the forthcoming Summuds would
state the Amount of the original Jodee
fixed, and where this is the case due
consideration should be given to the
original favorable rate compared
with the length of time that an
increased demand has been levied.
When Summuds are not forthcoming
accounts of former Collections, if such are to be
found, might aid in ascertaining the original
fixed

13531 Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

fixed Judgy. and the Board would recommend that endeavors be made to this end, and would prefer where these fail that a fair amount should be determined with reference to the rates which may be ultimately determined for the others.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. Bayerost
Acting Sub Secretary

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 10th September, 1849. No. 1007.

Judee Enam and Dut. Rent Villages. Board's Pro. 18th Sept. 18.

Para 10 The Government are of opinion that if the holders of the Judee Enam Villages referred to in Para 53 of the Commissioners report are willing to give up on remission of the balances outstanding against them the surrender ought to be accepted. There can be no necessity for renewing the grants on the original terms which the holders have long since ceased to enjoy.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ C. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

/True Copies/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor.

D.G.

13532 Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

No. 1.

To The Honorable Company

A Razeenamah dated 27th August 1849 corresponding to 8th Stravana Bahoola, year Sobacrootoo, Fusly 1252, given by Syud Budur Oodeen Shahtroodan of the Hayum Jodhee Village of Oopulpaud in the Dhone Taloob.

The Amildar of this Taloob having sent for and explained to me this day the contents of the order issued to him by the Huzoor, that it is not at all proper to keep in arrears 404-6-6, of the Hayum Jodhee of my Village, that no deduction or whatever shall be allowed to be made in the Baraj, that the said Balance must without delay be realized and that if after this intimation I am unable to pay it and am willing to give a Razeenamah resigning my claims to the Village it shall be made Amany like the other Amany Villages the balance entered as suspended and the whole particulars reported to the Huzoor; I beg to state that I am wholly unable to pay the balance of 404-6-6, due by me for my village and thereby give up and deliver to you my Village, and the Circar are the sole Masters of it.

Thus I execute this Razeenamah with my own will and consent.

/Signed/ Budur Oodeen Caudaree.

Witnesses
A Mark of Lutchmuna Sing Taloob Duffadar.
" ------ Do. ------ Govind Sing ------ Do.
" ------ Do. ------ Hasodaraz Do.
" ------ Do. ------ Soorub Sing ------ Do.

written by Hasoona Carnum of Oopulpaud.

/Signed/ Nowmud Khan
Tahsildar.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor
at Kurnool.

13533 | Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

In the Name of Good God
Great God and Almighty God
Firmaun or Grant of Abool
Fullah, Nasser Oodeen Mahomed
Shah Badsha Ghazee.-



On this occasion of pleasure this great and Paramount grant
has been bestowed.-

The entire Village of Vapulpaud in the Rummur Nuggur (Kurnool) Purgunah of Imtiazgud (Adoni) Circar, Soobah Beejapoor, yielding a revenue of 1,10,000 fortieth parts of a rupee or 1171 Rupees is hereby conferred upon Syed Zoohoorollah and others, heirs of Syed Peer Mahomed Caduree in consequence of his death as a means of subsistence from the second crop of the harvest season of the year Fasseel as hereunder detailed.- The grantee shall live upon the revenue of the Village and continue unceasingly praying for the long duration and increase of the Kingly power. Therefore all the Rulers, Amildars, and other Public Servants, Jagheerdars, and Cudodeyan who are now living and their successors shall make over the whole of the said Village to the grantee; and it shall be free from all tax and demands.- No new sunnud shall be demanded for this village every year. In case claims are laid for any other but this village, they shall not be admitted.-

1st Rubeelawul
19th year of the Emperor's reign.-

A.

13533 | Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

In the Name of God the
Great God and Almighty God

Firmaun or Grant of Abool
Futtah, Nasser Oodeen Mahomed
Shah Badsha Ganzee.



On this occasion of pleasure this great and Paramount grant

has been bestowed.-

The entire Village of Copulpaud in the Pummur Nuggur (Kurnool) Purganah of Imtiazgud (Adoni) Circar, Soobah Beejapoor, yielding a revenue of 11,000 fortieth parts of a rupee or 1171 Rupees is hereby conferred upon Syed Zoohoorollah and others, heirs of Syed Peer Mahomed Caduree in consequence of his death as a means of subsistence from the second crop of the harvest season of the year Fasseel as hereunder detailed.- The grantee shall live upon the revenue of the Village and continue unceasingly praying for the long duration and increase of the Kingly power. Therefore all the Rulers, Amildars, and other Public Servants, Jagheerdars, and Cudodeyan who are now living and their successors shall make over the whole of the said Village to the grantee; and it shall be free from all tax and demands.. No new summud shall be demanded for this village every year. In case claims are laid for any other but this village, they shall not be admitted.-

1st Rubeelawul
19th Year of the Emperors reign.-

13534

Sctd. 5 — George IV — 1824.

An Act for more effectually draining and improving certain Lands and Grounds called the Great Level of the Fens, called Bedford Level, and for preserving the Navigation from Erith to Fen Ditton in the County of Cambridge. [11th May 1824.]

Whereas by an Act passed in the Twenty-first Year of the Reign of His late Majesty King Charles the First, intituled "An Act for draining and preserving certain Fens and Lands in the Counties of Cambridge, Huntingdon, Lincoln, Northampton, and Norfolk," certain Persons therein named, and their Heirs and Assigns, were incorporated by the Name of "The Governor, Undertakers, and Participants of the Great Level of the Fens, called Bedford Level," and were thereby empowered to drain, recover, and improve the Fens, Waters, Lands, and Grounds lying within the Limits and Bounds in the said Act mentioned, and to make and maintain Sluices, Bridges, Banks, Ditches, Drains, Rivers, Cuts, Trenches, Mills, Engines, Works, and Conveniences, for the better draining, recovering, and improving the said Fens, Waters, Lands, and Grounds, and for the Navigation of the Rivers and Waters within the said Limits and Bounds, and to make Rules, Orders, and Ordinances for the Government of the said Corporation, and for the Regulation of the Navigation of the said Rivers and Waters, and to impose Rates and Taxes upon the Lands and Grounds within the said Limits and Bounds, for the Purposes in the said Act mentioned: And whereas by an Act passed in the Twenty-fifth Year of the Reign of His late Majesty King Charles the First, intituled "An Act for the better draining of the Great Level of the Fens, called Bedford Level, and for preserving the Navigation from Erith to Fen Ditton in the County of Cambridge," certain Persons therein named, and their Heirs and Assigns, were incorporated by the Name of "The Governor, Undertakers, and Participants of the Great Level of the Fens, called Bedford Level," and were thereby empowered to drain, recover, and improve the Fens, Waters, Lands, and Grounds lying within the Limits and Bounds in the said Act mentioned, and to make and maintain Sluices, Bridges, Banks, Ditches, Drains, Rivers, Cuts, Trenches, Mills, Engines, Works, and Conveniences, for the better draining, recovering, and improving the said Fens, Waters, Lands, and Grounds, and for the Navigation of the Rivers and Waters within the said Limits and Bounds, and to make Rules, Orders, and Ordinances for the Government of the said Corporation, and for the Regulation of the Navigation of the said Rivers and Waters, and to impose Rates and Taxes upon the Lands and Grounds within the said Limits and Bounds, for the Purposes in the said Act mentioned: And whereas by an Act passed in the Fifteenth Year of the Reign of His late Majesty King Charles the Second

13535

Fort S^t George 10^th September 1849.

Ordered to be entered in the Duftar by the great Sirdar, Noble, brave, awe inspiring and dignified Commander, most Noble of the Nobles the gentlest of the gentle, the most deserving, charitable and benevolent; the favorite of Kings and the most trusty of the world, Meer Zoomla, Mozumkhan, Khana, Bahadoor Moozuffer Jung Shurkhan.

Remark of "Whaka Negara Nool or writer of the grand Register." "This is in accordance with the Register".

Remark of the first Minister of the state, of the most dignified of the state, the conductor of all affairs and the most confidential of the Government, Rummur Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noofsurud Jung "Apply for the second time".

Remarks of the supporter of Majesty and dignity, and the most kind and benevolent Ali Ahmed Khan "Ordered to

"apply for the second time to the most sublime and dignified (the Emperor) on the 15^th Rujjub in the 11^th year of the "Emperor's reign".

Remarks of the first Minister of the state, the most dignified of the state, the conductor of all affairs, and the most confidential of the Government Rummur Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noofsurud Jung "Write the great Firmaun or grant".

According to the Remark dated 7^th Rubbeeulwul of the 49^th year in the Register of the late Emperor, application having been made the 2^d time on the 16^th Rujjub of the said year, the Village of Oppulpand in the Hummur Nugur Purgunah of Intiazgud or Circar, Soobah Beejapoor, which had been conferred upon Syed Peer Mahomed Cadiree descendant of Suzzurut Gauze Sukkalane for his maintenance,

by

Copy received in the Antiquary Duftar Revenue Department the 22^d Chandeemaun in the 18^th year of the Empire.

Continued in the next Revenue Department Duftar the 18^th Chandeemaun in the 18^th year of the Empire and entered on the 14^th Rubbeeulwul in the 18^th year of the Reign of Sikkar dated 21^st Jil. 2^d of the 11^th year by Emaun.

According to the details in the Register entered.

Entered in the Foreign Department

The great grand written according the Calary Grants.

by a summud from Syed Ali Audil Khan, has been now granted to his son in consequence of his death.

Remark of the first Minister of the state, the most dignified of the state, the conductor of all affairs, and the Most confidential of the Government Hummun Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noosurud jung, "seen".

Remark of Shurreguth Alla Khan Shurkhan, Sudder Soodoor or head of the Sect "Orders issued the second time "for the continuation of the "said Village to Syed Zoohoo- roollah and others the heirs of Syed "Peer Mahomed Caduree deceased as here- "under detailed" Syed Zoohooroolla and others the sons of the deceased.

Syed Zoohooroolla ---- 1
Hoodurut Alla ------ 1
Hyder ---------- 1
3

Juancha Beebee daughter of the youngest.

* This is not clearly expressed in the Original

Remark of the first Minister of the state, the most dignified of the state, the conductor of all affairs, and the Most confidential of the Government Hummun Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noosurud Jung "seen" An entry in the "Seyah" or account - "The Village of Oopul- "paud in the Hummun Nuggur or "Purganah of Intiazgud Circar, "Soobah Beejapoor yielding a reve- nue of 1,10,000 dams or Rupees 1171- "3 -" has been in conformity with "the great grant * assigned on the "revenues by the Public servants of "the Soobbas of the Dukan in consequence "of the death of Syed Peer Mahomed "Caduree".

Remark of the first Minister of the state, the most dignified of the state, the conductor of all affairs, and the most confidential of the Government Hummun Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noosurud Jung. "According to the Urzee or "dated 5^th Jamadelwul 17^th year. "of the Emperor's reign and the "accounts dated 10^th Shuwal of the "said year from the 2^nd Fusul "of summer year Parsul".

The

13536

Fort S^t. George 10^th. September 1849.

by a summud from Syed Ali Audil Khan; has been now granted to his son in consequence of his death.-

Remark of the first Minister of the state; the most dignified of the state; the conductor of all affairs; and the Most confidential of the Government Hummur Oodeen, Khanheen Bahadoor Noofsurud jung, "Seen."

Remark of Shurreguth Alla Khan Shurkhan, Sudder Soodoor or head of the Sect "Orders issued the second time "for the continuation of the "said Village to Syed Zoohoorollah and others the heirs of Syed "Peer Mahomed Caduree deceased as hereunder detailed." Syed Zoohoorollah and others the sons of the deceased.

Syed Zoohoorollla --- 1
Hoodurub Alla ----- 1
Hyder ---------- 1
3

Juancha Beebee daughter of the youngest.

The great grand written according to Calcary Cyanad.-

This is not clearly expressed in the Original

Remark of the first Minister of the state, the most dignified of the state, the conductor of all affairs, and the Most confidential of the Government Hummur Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noofsurud Jung "seen" An entry in the "Seyah" or account.- "The Village of Oopuli- "paud in the Hummur Nuggur Sk "Purganah of Sultanpur Sirkar, "Soobah Beejapoor yielding a revenue of 1,10000 dams or Rupees 1171- "3 -" has been in conformity with "the great grant * assigned on the "revenues by the Public servants of "the Soobbas of the Dukan in consequence of the death of Syed Peer Mahomed "Caduree."-

Remark of the first Minister of the state; the most dignified of the state; the conductor of all affairs; and the most confidential of the Government Hummur Oodeen Khanheen Bahadoor Noofsurud Jung. "According to the Urzee Sk "dated 5^th. Jamadelwul 17^th. year. "of the Emperors reign and the "accounts dated 10^th. Shuwal of the "said year from the 2^nd. Fusul "of summer year Parsul".

The

13537 | Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

The Village of Oopulpaud Hummur Nuggur Purgana of Looba Beejapoor had been granted to Syed Peer Mahomed as a means of subsistence from the time of the late Emperor, in accordance with his "Thuzveezranmah" or paper of Consultation bearing his seal. The grantee having died leaving a large family, and the burden of keeping up the charitable places on the road between Hindoostan and Arabia devolving upon them - Nizam Ool Moolk Ausufjah taking into consideration the claims of the grantee confirmed the grant to the son. He applies for the admission of his claims and the issue of a fresh grant. Bahadoor Khan the ruler of that place requests that as Syed Zoohooroolla the son of Syed Peer Mahomed has a large family and the burden of keeping up certain Charities, the said Village may be continued to him, as was the case in respect of his father and grands father. The address has been seen by the Emperor and signed.

Hizree 1144. Futtay Sing the humblest servant of Mahomed Shah Badsha Gazee Hizree 1120.

22nd Jamadeesanee in the said year of the Emperors reign.

1122. Seal of Hummum Oodeen Khan Noosrut Jung Cate: madool Mamallie devoted Servant of Mahomed Shah Badsha Gazee 23rd Jamadeesanee

Ikhan Khans the humblest Servant of Mahomed Shah Badsha Gazee Hizree 1132.

15th Rubbeesani in the year of the Emperors reign.

Shureyut Alla Khan Thurkhan devoted Servant of Mahomed Shah Badsha Gazee year 12.

16th Jamadeesanee year 18.

Entered in the Dufter of the great Sirdar Noble, brave, awe inspiring and dignified Commander, most Noble of the Nobles, the gentlest of the gentle, the most deserving, Charitable and benevolent; the favorite of Kings, and the most trusty of the world, Meer Zoomla Mozumkhan, Khan Khana Bahadoor Moozuffer Jung Thurkhan during the Akbarneeseship of Baheroomul the humblest of Servants in the great Court of the Emperor.

/ True Translation /
/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor at Thurnool.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government.

30-31.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting for orders letter from the agent at Kurnool reporting on a Memorial addressed to the Honble Court of Directors by Syud Budrooddeen and others late holders of the Oopulpad Shotriums in that province and conveying the Board's views on the subject.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Agent at Kurnool furnishing a report as required in Extract Minutes Consultation 13th July 1849 upon a Memorial addressed to the Honorable the Court of Directors by Syud Budarooddeen and others late holders of the Oopulpad Shotriums in that Province.

Secd 18th July 1849.

2. From this it will be perceived that the Shotriums in question was conferred upon the ancestors of the Memorialists by the Emperor Mahomed Shah under a Sunnud issued in the 17th year of his reign in A.D. 1737. The Agent has transmitted copy and translation of this instrument; the original has been produced and there seems no reason to suspect its authenticity By it the Village is bestowed free from all taxe and demands upon Syud Zoohoorolah and others heirs of Syud Peer Mahomed Cadree in consequence of

Note. From an endorsement upon the Sunnud it appears that the Village had previously been granted to Syud Peer Mahomed Cadree.

his death and as a means of subsistence. It is not clear whether the Grant was intended to be hereditary, nevertheless the Shotriums has remained in possession of the family till within the last 7 years. It continued to be held free of all payments to the Sircar except an annual Nuzzur of 100 Rupees and occasional fees, until about 1801 or 1802 when Aluf Khan the then Nawaub of Kurnool assessed it with a Quit rent of Gudwal Rupees 1,500 or C. Rupees 1218-12. The Enamdars resisted, but were obliged to submit, and thence forward during the successive Governments of Aluf Khan - Munwar Khan - and the late Exc. Nawaub Gholam Russool Khan to the period of the British assumption the same Sodi continued to be imposed and was generally paid though not without the accruing of balances. Indeed during the rule of Gholam Russool Khan an additional taxe of 5 per % upon the average revenue was imposed on this as upon all other villages in the Province.

3. The settlement of Oopulpad for Fusly 1250 on the first Fusly of the Company's Government was made by Mr. Commissioner Blane at C. Rupees 1,218 — 12 and was realized

realized in full. That of the next Fusly 1251 was a trifle less or Rupees 1,201-11 owing to the exclusion of Moturpha. and a balance of Rupees 404-5-6 remained outstanding at its close. Early in Fusly 1252 or 29th August 1842 Budroodeen one of the Memorialists

From Kurnool 29th August in Consultation 24th November 1842.

executed a Razeenamah relinquishing the Shotriem on condition of being excused payment of the arrears and this resignation was accepted by Mr. Commissioner Bayley in a Sakeed dated 14th October 1842. The outturn of the Village since it was surrendered is shown in the Statement given in Para 13 of the Agents' letter. It will be seen that it has been gradually improving but that it is still but little in excess of the Jodi fixed by the Nawaub Aluf Khan.

4. Mr. Phillips observes that "it is quite untrue that Mr. Commissioner Blane increased the Jodhi of the village and whatever may have been that Gentleman's opinion of the fairness of the original imposition, it may be doubted whether with reference to the provisions of Section IX Regulation

Regulation XXI of 1807 it was competent to him to lower it."

5. The Regulation here referred to applies only to lands held "under Grants not being Budshahie or Royal Grants" whereas the Summud by which the Copulpaud Shotriem was conferred was a Royal Grant issued by the Emperor of Delhi. Still it is evident that Mr. Blane would not have been warranted in disturbing an assessment which he found obtaining on the resumption of the Country and which had prevailed so many years previously.

6. Much of what is stated in the Memorial does not appear to be well as founded. The razeenamah given by Budroodeen does not appear to have been obtained by the Amildar by undue means- nor does the statement of the Memorialists that they paid into the Treasury of the Nawaub Aluf Khan part of the proceeds of their village in view to its being kept in deposit for their future wants, seem worthy of credit- On the contrary the amount fixed by that Prince appears to have been paid as Jodi for some 35 years under the Kurnool Rulers.

His

realized in full. That of the next Fusly 1251 was a trifle less or Rupees 1,201-11 owing to the exclusion of Moturpha and a balance of Rupees 404-5-6 remained outstanding at its close. Early in Fusly 1252 or 29th August 1842 Budroodeen one of the Memorialists

From Kurnool 29th August in Consultation 24th November 1842.

executed a Razeenamah relinquishing the Shotriem on condition of being excused payment of the arrears and this resignation was accepted by Mr. Commissioner Bayley in a Sakeed dated 14th October 1842. The outturn of the Village since it was surrendered is shown in the Statement given in Para 15 of the Agents' letter. It will be seen that it has been gradually improving but that it is still but little in excess of the Jodi fixed by the Nawaub Aluf Khan.

4. Mr. Phillips observes that "it is quite untrue that Mr. Commissioner Blane increased the Jodhi of the village and whatever may have been that Gentleman's opinion of the fairness of the original imposition, it may be doubted whether with reference to the provisions of Section IV Regulation

Regulation XXI of 1807 it was competent to him to lower it.

5. The Regulation here referred to applies only to lands held "under Grants not being Budshahie or Royal Grants" whereas the Sunnud by which the Copulpaud Shotriem was conferred was a Royal Grant issued by the Emperor of Delhi. Still it is evident that Mr. Blane would not have been warranted in disturbing an assessment which he found obtaining on the resumption of the Country and which had prevailed so many years previously.

6. Much of what is stated in the Memorial does not appear to be well as founded. The razeenamah given by Budroodeen does not appear to have been obtained by the amildar by undue means nor does the statement of the Memorialists that they paid into the Treasury of the Nawaub Aluf Khan part of the proceeds of their village in view to it's being kept in deposit for their future wants, seem worthy of credit. On the contrary the amount fixed by that Prince appears to have been paid as Jodi for some 35 years under the Kurnool Rulers, this

tho' probably with less regularity than it would have been under the British Government, and altho' as remarked by the Agent in Para 9 of his report the Memorialists received occasional presents and pecuniary assistance from the Nawaub which of course have now ceased. It will be for Government to determine whether the case of the parties be deserving of consideration, but if adverting to the antiquity and terms of the Original Purwannah the comparatively recent time at which those terms were departed from, and the apparent respectability and present distress of the Memorialists they should seem entitled to indulgence, it might be shown in the manner indicated by the agent; viz. by replacing them in possession of the Shotrium on such reduced rate of Peshcush as would leave them a moderate provision for their support.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th September, 1849

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

D.G.

Read

Read the following letters.

To, T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

32

Sir,

From the Collector of Chingleput — Submitting the information and accounts called for by the Board and Government on reviewing the Extra Revenue report of his district.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 9th July 1849 reviewing the report on the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in this District for Fusly 1257 / 1847/48 as well as a transcript from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th August res marking thereon and now beg to submit the further information and explanation called for.

Para 1

2. In Para 3 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, they request the report for the Fusly since expired may be accompanied by a Statement shewing the extent of Salt sold at each of the Cotaurs during the period under review, distinguishing the sales for Home from those for Inland consumption, which instructions will be attended to, but as it may also be acceptable to the Board to receive a similar account for the period to which these proceedings more particularly refer, the account is herewith submitted.

Encl: A

3. I beg also to forward the account called for by the Government in the 5th Para of the Extract of the Minutes of Consultation above

Encl: B

above quoted shewing the quantity of Salt in store at Vellore, and Semmenjary at the end of Fusles 1256 and 1257 respectively, as well as the Stock in hand in April of those years.

4. I have also added an Abstract Memorandum shewing the stock remaining at the end of Fusly 1255. the manufacture of 1256, sales, balance and Manufacture of 1257 together with the sales of the latter year. The accounts and information alluded to in the 6th Para of the Minutes of Consultation have as the Board are aware, been submitted to them on the date noted in the Margin.

31st March 1849.

5. I now beg to refer to the supposed discrepancies in the accounts of Salt charges as entered in the Statement submitted with the report, and the Quarterly Accounts, and remarked on by the Board in the 4th Para of their Proceedings, and also noticed by the Government in the VIII para of the transcript of Minutes of Consultation, and in elucidation to submit two Statements marked respectively C and D. The Board will observe on referring to these accounts, and to the accounts submitted with the annual report, that the cause of the supposed discrepancies is that Statement No 1 exhibits the actual

† Includes Vellore and Poyelore

actual charges of the Fusly with the Coodevarum calculated on the extent of Salt sold whilst Statement No 7 and the Quarterly accounts shew the Coodevarum for the Salt received into store. The results of these two last Statements again, do not agree because in Col 14 of the former, recoverable advances are excluded.

6. The amount of Salt compensation payable to the Shroffs of Manaly on account of the discontinuance of the Manufacture of Salt at those pans was fixed at the sum of Rupees 3381-15-8 or Rupees 281-13-3 per Mensem, the difference in the amount disbursed in

Fort St George 10th September 1849

in various years arises, from the Shotrium=dar at times allowing the amount payable to remain undemanded, and then re=ceiving the accumulated arrears in one sum.

7. I now beg to state, with re=ference to Para 8 of the Board's Proceedings, that the jungles of Sheekarecottah are not rented, but that the system pur=sued is, for parties to apply for permis=sion to cut wood, which is then granted, and the wood being brought to the places of export either by the Canal or by sea, a certain sum per thousand, according to the size of the wood, is levied - The other Jungle products, such as honey wax, soap nuts, Tamarinds, and cheyroot are collected by the Yanadies, and brought into the Peishcar's Cutcherry, and are occasionally disposed of to the highest bidders - The decrease in the revenue realized from cheyroot arose partly from the

Fort St George 10th September 1849.

the decreased quantity collected, it being of Spontaneous growth, and the decrease in the market price, the pro=duce having been put up to public competition.

8 I beg further to take this opportunity of amending the omis=sion in my annual report by Stating that no Sugar is manufactured in this District on European principles.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 18th August, 1849.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

Fort St George 10th September 1849

Sold for Home and Inland Consumption during Fusly 1257.

Mysoore		North Arcot		Consumption Coimbatore		Bellary		Salem	
Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Gs M	Rs a p	Gs M	Rs a p	Gs M	Rs a p	Gs M	Rs a p	Gs M	Rs a p
5,618	2 8	14 380	1794	65 80	7,824			124 75	14,902 8
042,753	" "	30 95	3,628 8	68 60	8178			205 150	24,645 " "
028,041	" "	27 155	3,286 8	" 195	588 8	5 5	601 8	10 280	1,284 " "
022,216	8 "	17 135	2,080 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	6 310	813 " "
027,696	" "	53 270	6441 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	11 370	1431 " "
033,586	8 "	51 115	6,154 8	2 250	313 " "	" "	" "	11 260	1,398 " "
01	174 " "	60 9	7,347 " "	0 150	45 " "	34	198 5/16 4,139 8	" "	" "
522,106	49 8 "	256 40	30,732 " "	136 335	1,642 8 "	39	203 5/16 4,741 " "	370 245	44,473 8 "

13540

Fort St George 10th September 1849

Chingleput.-

Inland Consumption

South arcot.				Trichinopoly.				Cuddapah				(Continued) Nellore				Total.			
Quantity.		Value.		Quantity.		Value.		Quantity.		Value.		Quantity.		Value.		Quantity.		Value.	
14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23	
Gs	M	Rs	A P	Gs	M	Rs	A P	Gs	M	Rs	A P	Gs	M	Rs	A P	Gs	M	Rs	A P
"	40	12	" "	1	230	189	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	674	80	8,094	" "
2	95	268	8 "	3	40	372	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	665	150	79,845	" "
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	1	175	172	8 "	"	"	"	" "	278	280	33444	" "
"	40	12	" "	"	"	"	" "	3	145	403	8 "	"	"	"	" "	212	285	25,525	8 "
1	300	210	" "	"	"	"	" "	2	110	273	" "	"	"	"	" "	300	170	36,051	" "
"	70	21	" "	"	"	"	" "	5	133	640	8 "	"	"	"	" "	350	385	42,115	8 "
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	94	160	11,328	" "	"	"	"	" "	191	378 5/16	23033	8 "
4	145	523	8 "	4	270	561	" "	106	325	12817	8 "	"	"	"	" "	2,674	128 5/16	320,188	" "

Total				
Quantity.		Value.		
24		25		26
Gs	M	Rs	A P	Remarks.
675	200	81,060	" "	
690	345	82,903	8 "	
414	305	49,771	8 "	
236	395	28,438	8 "	
397	35	47,650	8 "	
357	50	42,855	" "	
210	435/10	25,213	" "	
2,982	173 5/10	357,892	" "	

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 18th August, 1849

E. E. Jno

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

13550 Fort St George 10th September 1849

B.

Statement Shewing the quantity of Salt in Store at Tadaverna = meryary and Tellore in Fusly 1256 and 1257.

	Fusly 1256.	Fusly 1257.
	Kds	Kds
Tadaverna	430 346	419 175
Tellore	389 150	180 130

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 18th August 1849

Sd/ Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

Remaining

Ex Ex Ex

13551 Fort St George 10th September 1849

C.

Abstract Statement of Salt charges as entered in Statements 1 and 7 accompanying Extra Revenue Report for Fusly 1257 and Salt account for last Quarter of the same Fusly

	As per Statement No 7.	As per Quarterly Statement.	As per Statement No 1.
	Rs a ⊙	Rs a ⊙	Rs a ⊙
Salt Charges	41024 14 9	45,695 14 9	56,846 5 4
Deduct			
Advance repayable		4,671 " "	
Coodewarum for the actual Amount of Salt Sold in Fusly 1257		" " "	38,863 7 10
Remaining	41,024 14 9	41,024 14 9	17,982 13 6
Coodewarum paid to the Manufacturers for the Salt received in Fusly 1257		" " "	23,042 13 "
Total	41,024 14 9	41,024 14 9	41,024 14 9

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 18th August, 1849

Ex Ex Ex

Sd/ Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

13552

Fort St George 10th September 1849

D.

Statement Shewing the particulars of Charges incurred in the Salt Department for Fusly 1257.

Particulars.	Charges as included in the Statement № 7 which accompanyed the Extra Revenue Report of Fusly 1257.			Charges as included in the last Quarterly Salt accounts for Fusly 1257.			Charges included in the Statement № 1 which accompanied the Extra Revenue Report of Fusly 1257.		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Sibbundy	11,709	10	3	11,709	10	3	11,709	10	3
Sanducrward	349	"	"	349	"	"	349	"	"
	12,058	10	3	12,058	10	3	12,058	10	3
Extra Charges									
Hire for Measuring Salt at Covelong	15	2	2	15	2	2	15	2	2
Compensation to the Manaly Shastriemdar on account of Salt	4,791	1	3	4,791	1	3	4,791	1	3
Making spades	3	"	"	3	"	"	3	"	"
Pay of Temporary Establishment	499	6	3	499	6	3	499	6	3
Repairing Platforms	317	"	"	317	"	"	317	"	"
Making Brass Mercalls	20	14	11	20	14	11	20	14	11
Hire for conveying new Mercals from Pullecarney to Covelong and again from Covelong to Pullecarney	2	2	8	2	2	8	2	2	8
Repairing Roads leading to the Platforms	85	"	"	85	"	"	85	"	"
Earthen embankment to prevent the Salt Platforms from being flooded by water	140	"	"	140	"	"	140	"	"
Repairing the Spring Pond near the Oppoonelvoy Salt Pan	50	"	"	50	"	"	50	"	"
Making wooden Stamps	"	8	"	"	8	"	"	8	"
	5924	3	3	5,924	3	3	5,924	3	3

Pennan Rs

13353

Fort St George 10th September 1849

Particulars.	Charges as included in the Statement No 7 which accompanied the Extra Revenue Report of Fusly 1257.	Charges as included in the last Quarterly Salt accounts for Fusly 1257.	Charges as included in the Statement No 1 which accompanied the Extra Revenue Report of Fusly 1257.	Remarks
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	
Advance repayable				
Repairing Salt Cutcherries	" " "	206 " "	" " "	
Ordinary repairs performed to the Salt Plat forms &c	" " "	180 " "	" " "	
Advanced to the Salt Manufacturers of Tallore for Excavating channel	" " "	4,285 " "	" " "	
	" " "	4,671 " "	" " "	
Paid Coodevaurum to the Salt Manufacturers for Salt received into store	23042 13 3	23042 13 3	" " "	
Coodevarum Calculated on the amount of Salt Sold	" " "	" " "	38,863 7 10	
Total	4,1024 14 9	45,695 14 9	56,846 5 4	

(Signed) A. Treese
Collector.

Vizagapatam District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullicarney, 18th August, 1849.

13354

Fort St George 10th September 1849

Sir

I have the honor to report that the foregoing Statement No 33 exhibits the quantity of Salt and the Statements regulating the quantity of Salt in store at the close of Fusly 1256 and 1257 at the Chittoor and Tadpatry Agency and the Quantity required in the ensuing Fusly as also the Quantity of Salt manufactured in the Fusly 1257 and the Quantity required for the ensuing Fusly: also Estimate for Fusly 1258 and

as gave rise to the call for information on the present occasion, should there be no good reason to the contrary the Collector will therefore request the Shotriemdar to adjust his accounts with greater punctuality in future.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

34

Sir,

From the Collector of Chingleput.

Since the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 23d Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that Messrs Bainbridge & Co and Messrs Hall and Philips who had formerly applied to me for Salt for private trade to Calcutta have informed me that the Vessels on which they had proposed to forward it to Calcutta have sailed and that they neither now require or think they will shortly want Salt for exportation. Under these circumstances and considering the proximity of the rainy season I deem it would be inexpedient at present to remove the Salt from the Coveleng platforms to

DESCD ORIG

to a more exposed situation on the beach.-

Chingleput District,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 5th Septr, 1849.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.

34.35

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

From the Collr of North Arcot.

In reply to your Circular letter of the 27th Ultimo calling upon me to furnish a Statement of the investments made in public securities of Government on account of Estates now under management, I have the honor to inform you that there are no Estates under management in this District.-

36

North Arcot, - - - -
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmaicoir, - - -
2nd September, 1849.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.
Read the following letter.

36. 37.

To

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

Sir,

38
From the Collector of Salem - - - 29th August 1849.

+ I have the honor to forward copy of a letter and of its enclosure from the Civil Judge of this District and request you will have the goodness to obtain the sanction of Government for my remitting to him the sum of 3 Rupees being the fine levied by the late Acting Civil Judge Mr Storey from one Anuntapa Chetty and now ordered by the Court of Sudr Udalut to be refunded to him.

Salem District,
Office Collr's Cutcherry,
4th September, 1849 - - -

/Signed/ W. Ee. Lockhart
Collector.

No 1

To,
W. Ee. Lockhart
Collector of
Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Exctract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 23d Instant and with reference to the concluding part of para three

three request you will remit to this Court the sum of 3 Rupees being the fine levied by the late Acting Civil Judge Mr Storey from the Petitioner Anuntapa Chetty and which was sent to your Treasury on the 27th February last.

Salem Civil Court
29th August, 1849 - - -

/Signed/ J. G. S Bruere
Civil Judge

Exctract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 23d August 1849.

Read again the Miscellaneous Petition presented on the 16th March 1849 by S. Teroomala Charry Vakeel on behalf of Anuntapa Chetty of Zillah Salem -

× × × ×

On perusal of the Proceedings forwarded with the foregoing Return the Court of Sudr Udalut are of opinion that the order of the late Acting Civil Judge from which the petitioner appeals is incorrect and should be annulled.

+ + + +

3rd The Court of Sudr Udalut accordingly resolve to direct that the property in question be released from attachment and that the amount of

13559 Fort St George 10th September 1849.

of the fine imposed by the late Acting Civil Judge upon the Petitioner be refunded to him

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Civil Judge of Salem for his information and guidance by precept returnable within III days from and after its receipt.

× × ×

/True Extract/
/Signed/ A. J. Arbuthnot
Asstt to the Register

(True Extract)
/Signed/ J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge

(True Copy)
/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

38-39.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for refunding the abovementioned sum of Rupees 3.-

D.G.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

13560 Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

40

From the Collector of South Arcot. Read letter forwarding a Tabular report explanatory of certain entries in Yeemiah and requesting its continuance in the name of Shaik Hoosain.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

40-41.

To the Collector of South Arcot
Inform 10th Sept 1849

To The Collector of South Arcot.

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return your letter of the 27th Ultimo together with its Enclosures and to request that it may be revised according to the remarks which you will find entered in red Ink.

2- The Column of "Remarks" when the Collector has occasion to offer any should be placed as No 13 between the two Columns "Recommendation and grounds thereof" and "Boards recommendation and grounds on which it is founded"

3- It was unnecessary to accompany the Tabular report with a separate letter all that is mentioned in that letter might have been stated in the Report in Column 12 or the Column (13) of Remarks.

4- You will be pleased to pay attention to the above observations in your preparation of future Statements of Lapses.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th September 1849.

/Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read.

13559 Fort St George 10th September 1849.

of the fine imposed by the late Acting Civil Judge upon the Petitioner be refunded to him

Ordered that Cextract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Civil Judge of Salem for his information and guidance by precept returnable within III days from and after its receipt.

× × ×

/True Cextract/
/Signed/ A. J. Arbuthnot
H.d Asstt to the Register

(True Cextract)
/Signed/ J.G.S. Bruere
Civil Judge

(True Copy)
/Signed/ W.E. Lockhart
Collector

38-39.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for refunding the abovementioned sum of Rupees 3.-

D.G.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

13560 Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

40

From the Collector of South Arcot. Read letter forwarding a Tabular report explanatory of the entries in the Peermaish and requesting its continuance in the name of Shaik Hoosain.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

40-41.

To the Collector of South Arcot

Fort St. George 10th Sept.r 1849

To The Collector of South Arcot

Sir I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return your letter of the 27th Ultimo together with its Enclosures and to request that it may be resided according to the remarks which you will find entered in red Ink-

2- The Column of "Remarks" when the Collector has occasion to offer any should be pleased as No 13 between the two Columns "Recommendation and grounds thereof" and "Boards recommendation and grounds on which it is founded"

3- It was unnecessary to accompany the Tabular report with a separate letter all that is mentioned in that letter might have been stated in the Report in Column 12 or the Column (13) of Remarks-

4- You will be pleased to pay attention to the above observations in your preparation of future Statements of Lapses-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th September 1849-

/Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read-

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

42.

From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

In reference to your Circular letter of the 27th ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that there being very few small Estates in this District, no investment appears to have been made in the public securities of Government on their account, not in any places under my management.

South Arcot Collr Cuttcherry
Cuddalore
3d September 1849

Signed W. D. Davis
Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over

42-43.

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your Circular letter of the 27th ultimo and to inform you in reply that as there are no Estates under Circar Management in this District no investments have been made in the Public Securities of Govt on such an account.

44

Trichinopoly
Collectors Office
4th September 1849

Signed C. C. Mallett
Collector

Order thereon -

Ordered to lie over -

44-45

Read

Read the following letters

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

46

From the Collector of Madura

Sir,

It was originally intended to make a Permanent Settlement of the whole of Dindigul which for this purpose was divided into 10 Taluks, these however with the exception of the 8 Taluks noted in the Margin, were speedily reverted to Government and their Lands were classed as Ryotwar

2 With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 19th April last, I am required to the information whether the Taluks reverted should be entered in the Statements thereto called for in the Statements thereto called for which in that case will embrace the whole Area of Dindigul

Signed A. D. Parker
Collector

Madura Cutcherry
29th August 1849

Proceedings thereon - The Board of Revenue request that all the 10 Taluks referred to in the above letter may be entered in the Statement called for in the Proceedings of this Office under date 19th April last -

46-47

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that W. Knox Esqr took charge of the Sub Division as acting Sub Collector of Madura on the 27th Instant and that he has given the necessary oath -

48

Signed A. D. Parker
Collector

Madura Cutcherry
30th August 1849

Proceedings thereon - The Board observe that it is not necessary to report them when Officers subordinate to the Collector assume or deliver over charge of their offices it will suffice if intimation of the circumstances be given to the Civil Auditor -

48-49

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Read the following State-

-ments -

Statement -

13563 — Fort St. George — 10th September 1849.

50 Statement exhibiting the Actual Charges incurred on account of Additional Establishments employed in

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1238. Number of Servants	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount per Mensem	Period of Employment	Estimate of Charges	Actual Charges for Fusly 1238. No. of Servants	Rates of Pay for Months	Amount of Pay per Month	Period of Employment	Actual Charges
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
	14	10	140 " "	From 1st April to 15th June 1849	250 " "	"	"	" " "		" " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	7	10	70 " "	From 25th April to 30th June 1849	134 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	3	10	30 " "	From 28th Ditto to 2 Ditto	03 " "
	13	10	130 " "	From 1st May to 30th June 1849	260 " "	7	10	70 " "	From 1st May to 30th June 1849	140 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	1	10	10 " "	From 1st May to 27th June 1849	19 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	1	10	10 " "	From 1st Do to 24th Do	13 " "
	12	10	120 " "	From 1st May to 15th June 1849	180 " "	9	10	90 " "	From 1st Do to 15th Do	135 " "
Marattah Additional Goomastahs	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	10	10	100 " "	From 1st Do to 12th Do	140 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	3	10	30 " "	From 1st Do to 9th Do	39 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	5	10	50 " "	From 1st Do to 6th Do	65 " "
	11	10	110 " "	From 1st to 30th June 1849	110 " "	8	10	80 " "	From 1st to 30th June 1849	80 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	2	10	20 " "	From 1st to 24th Ditto	16 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	8	10	30 " "	From 1st to 21st Ditto	21 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	3	10	30 " "	From 1st to 15th Ditto	15 " "
	30	"	" " "	"	900 " "	62				900 " "
Kesunthas or Manegars	8	10	80 " "	From 1st March to 30th April 1849	160 " "	5	10	50 " "	From 1st March to 30th April 1849	100 " "
Ditto Peons	10	3½	35 " "	Ditto Ditto	70 " "	7	3½	24 8 "	Do Do	49 " "
	18					12				149 " "
	68				230 " "	74				1049 " "
					1130 " "					Deduct Im
										Nett Dem

Tinnevelly 31st August 1849.

E E J2

red.

13563 — Fort St. George — 10th September 1849.

(50) Statement exhibiting the Actual Charges incurred on account of Additional Establishment employed in

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1258 — Number of Servants	Rate of Pay per Month	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimate of Charges	Actual Charges for Fusly 1258 — Number of Servants	Rate of Pay per Month	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Actual Charges
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
	14	10	140 " "	From 1st April to 15th June 1849	230 " "	7	10	70 " "	From 25th April to 30th June 1849	134 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	3	10	30 " "	From 28th Ditto to 30th Ditto	63 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	7	10	70 " "	From 1st May to 30th June 1849	120 " "
	13	10	130 " "	From 1st May to 30th June 1849	260 " "	7	10	70 " "	From 1st May to 27th June 1849	19 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	1	10	10 " "	From 1st Dr to 24th Dr	18 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	1	10	10 " "	From 1st Dr to 16th Dr	13 " "
	12	10	120 " "	From 1st May to 15th June 1849	180 " "	9	10	90 " "	From 1st Dr to 15th Dr	135 " "
Marattah Additional Gomastahs	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	10	10	100 " "	From 1st Dr to 15th Dr	140 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	3	10	30 " "	From 1st Dr to 9th Dr	39 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	5	10	30 " "	From 1st Dr to 6th Dr	60 " "
	11	10	110 " "	From 1st to 30th June 1849	110 " "	8	10	80 " "	From 1st to 30th June 1849	80 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	2	10	20 " "	From 1st to 24th Ditto	16 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	8	10	30 " "	From 1st to 21st Ditto	21 " "
	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	3	10	30 " "	From 1st to 15th Ditto	15 " "
	50				900 " "	62				900 " "
Resunthas or Meonegars	8	10	80 " "	From 1st March to 30th April 1849	160 " "	5	10	50 " "	From 1st March to 30th April 1849	100 " "
Ditto Peons	10	3½	35 " "	Ditto Ditto	70 " "	7	3½	24 8 "	Do Do	49 " "
	18				230 " "	12				149 " "
	68				1130 " "	74				1049 " "
										Deduct 9m
										Net Decd.

Tinnevelly 31st August 1849.

E E 72

Estimate of Charges entertained in Fusly 1259 in the District of Tinnevelly

51

Description of Servants	Date of previous correspondence which the employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks
1	10	11
Maratta Gomastahs	Per Estimate Extraordinary charges dated 9th August 1848 and letter from the Board of Revenue dated 22nd February 49 Conveying the Sanction of Government:	Required for the preparation of the Estates Summarundy Accounts of Land and Assessed Revenues of the different Talooks for Fusly 1259 in conjunction with the fixed Establishment -
Ditto		
Ditto		
Ditto		
Resident Shanars or Vineyard		
Ditto Peons		Ditto Ditto for inspecting the harvest of wet & rain in the Aumanie Villages in the Talooks of Sundarpurnaram & Tenkassey in conjunction with the Talook Servants for the purpose of ascertaining the Circar dues thereon for fusly 1259 -
Grand Total		
Tinnevelly 31st	E. E. Jr.	Signed C. I. Bird Ag. Collector Ordered.

50 Fusly 1258 in the

Comparison between Columns 8 and 11

Increase	Decrease
12	13
Rs	a	c	Rs	a	c
"	"	"	350	"	"
154	"	"	"	"	"
69	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	120	"	"
19	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	45	"	"
140	"	"	"	"	"
39	"	"	"	"	"
60	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	30	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"
545	"	"	545	"	"
"	"	"	60	"	"
"	"	"	21		
"	"	"	81		
545	"	"	626		
crease			545		
crease			81		

13565

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

50 - 52

Dated on the two foregoing Statements - Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter -

To

J. Sycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

53

Sir,

From the Pt. Collector of Coimbatore

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 2d April 1849 requesting to report with such observations as may occur upon the subject of Captain Blakes communication on the Teak of this part of India -

Extract Minute of Consultation Dated 13th March 1849 No 99 -

Dated 10th day 1849 -

2 I beg to forward Major Cotton's reply to whom I furnished a Copy of the Boards Proceedings above quoted -

3 To this report I have only to add that my opinion coincides with Major Cotton's, that not much good Teak will be found in the localities referred to and that what there is, is pretty well known and already taken advantage of -

Dotacamund
May 15th 1849

I have &c
Signed E. B. Thomas
Acting Pt. Collector

No 1

To E. B. Thomas Esqre
Acting Prin. Collector
of Coimbatore.

Sir

I have the honor to return to you Captain Blake's paper on the Teak of this part of India which contains nothing new to us - The existence of

13566

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

of Teak in the [illegible] mentioned [illegible] [illegible] and the [illegible] with

[illegible] Esqre [illegible] to a meeting of the Board of Revenue - The Board on the [illegible] [illegible] continue to [illegible] [illegible] on the report [illegible] the Board is [illegible] [illegible] Commerce [illegible] a letter [illegible]

2 I [illegible] this Report that [illegible] to cut Teak [illegible] the supply of the Government Manufactory at the Gun carriage Manufactory at the Nilgherries [illegible] Forests - There is in those Hills a wealthy [illegible] saving very greatly all that is to be [illegible] the Forests - System of my Captain Blake, and from the variety of soil on which it grows we have every reason to suppose that all the varieties of Teak found [illegible] there - While the position of the Mountains is such that [illegible] very moderate Cost in Carriage [illegible]

Thomas

13567 — Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Bombay, Madras, and Cochin ensure us a supplied as you are aware we are now England wood in the Animallies and Sam Limit to cut upon the Government for the means of opening communications for the working of those forests a detailed report of which will be forwarded to the Board of Revenue when the Season admits of Mr. Michael completing his examination of the Hills, a considerable portion of which he has already reported upon in his diaries —

Civil Engineers Office
Ootacamund
10th October 1849

Signed F. C. Cotton
Civil Engineer 1st Division

(A true Copy)
(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector

To
J. Payroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th Instant desiring me to submit my report on the letter from Captain B. W. Blake, Copy of which was forwarded with the Board's Proceedings of the 2d April last. A constant pressure of other business has hitherto obliged me to defer replying to that communication

Captain

54

From the Collector of Canara
Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 2d April last on the subject of a letter of Captain Blake regarding the Teak forests in Travancore & Cochin & Canara

13568 — Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Civil Engineers Office
Ootacamund
31st August 1849
Para 18 to 49

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th Instant desiring me to submit my report on the letter from Captain B. W. Blake, Copy of which was forwarded with the Board's Proceedings of the 2d April last. A constant pressure of other business has hitherto obliged me to defer replying to that communication

Captain Blake's letter is one which has been received in this office for some years & to that period the Country owes much the best part of the Teak timber of the Province & although this must of course be prohibited a very large part of the Teak has been felled, and is yet to be, yearly removed from the more accessible parts of the Hills that now remains of large & more valuable size is saved from the axe of the sawyers and would be more readily transported to the Coast, but if a supply of timber were urgently required and a selection of such timber being the jungles determined upon I have no doubt that a moderate quantity might yet be obtained and brought down by contract, but generally speaking the trees now remaining are young & require further time to come to maturity

3d. The Teak jungles however within the limits of Coorg & immediately adjoin

13567 — Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Bombay, Madras and Ceylon command the supplies are you are aware we are now preparing wood in the Animallies and I am about to call upon the Government for the means of opening communications for the working of those forests a detailed report of which will be forwarded to the Board of Revenue when the season admits of Mr. Michael completing his examination of the Hills, a considerable portion of which he has already reported upon in his diaries —

Civil Engineers Office
Octacamund
10th May 1849

Signed H. C. Cotton
Civil Engineer 1st Division

A true Copy
Signed C. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

54

From the Collector of Canara
Sir,
I have the honor to
Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 2d April last on the subject of a letter of Captain Blake regarding the Teak forests in Travancore Cochin & Canara

acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th Instant desiring me to submit my report on the letter from Captain H. W. Blake, Copy of which was forwarded with the Board's Proceedings of the 2d April last & constant pressure of other business has hitherto obliged me to defer replying to that communication

Captain

13568 — Fort St. George 10th September 1849

31st August 1847
Para 18 & 20

to the Conservator of those forests to be employed to the Board in which the services of [illegible] time which may be required [illegible] number of years after that period [illegible] Country [illegible] of those [illegible] in any part within the [illegible] seem practicable & very important to the best [illegible] has been settled and explained, for from the more accessible parts to which that now remains of large timber is gathered from the [illegible] the rivers and would be more valuable transported to the Coast, but if a supply of timber were urgently required and a definite time of warning the jungles determined upon I have no doubt that a moderate quantity might yet be obtained and brought down by contract but generally speaking the trees now remaining are young and require further time to come to maturity

3d The teak jungles however within the limits of Coorg and immediately adjoining those

13569 — Fort St. George 10th September 1849

those to which Captain Blake refers would be disposed to think, those from which the largest and best supply could be obtained, for they have never been laid open to the indiscriminate working of private dealers as has been the case in Canara and Travancore. little doubt still contains some fine timber.

There is no other part of Captain Blake's letter which appears to refer to this District, and I am not aware that I can add any thing to what I have stated above which would be of any value. It would occupy considerable time and be attended with some expense to examine the jungles in such a manner as to enable me to furnish an accurate account of the number and quality of the teak trees which would furnish valuable timber.

Collector's Cutcherry
at Mangalore
24th August 1849

Signed J. L. Blane
Collector

To

J. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

55

From the Collector of Malabar, Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

13570 — Fort St. George 10th September 1849

the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 2d Instant directing me to offer such observation as may occur to me on the subject of a communication from Captain Blake regarding Teak Forest.

Para 2 — 2. Captain Blake remarks that the Western slopes of Coorg are thickly wooded with Teak for which the stony River at the foot of the Piggalah Ghaut and the other branch of the Bimbipatam River which runs up to the foot of the Tuckmaad Hills offer easy transit. A considerable quantity of Teak exists in the private forests of Malabar and has long been brought down to the Coast by the rivers mentioned. Whether there is any Teak in Coorg proper which might with profit be brought down by these routes is a point on which I have no information and on which it might be well to refer to the Mysore authorities.

Para 5. — 3d. Captain Blake does not seem to be thoroughly informed on the position of the Nelumbur or Seroped. His peculiar Zemindary descended to him from his Ancestors and was not purchased as Captain Blake supposes from another Zemindar for 30,000 Rupees. An addition to his Zemindary was made by the Seroped in

in 1836 by the purchase, by public Government Auction, of a territory belonging to the Nambalacot Tak Waranoor. This Territory has Teak trees but they are chiefly confined to the neighbourhood of Tippacadoo in Wynaad and could not from the great cost of transit be brought to the Coast about 100 Miles, with any profit, and if they could the profit would of course fall to the Peroopad who as Shane just said purchased the land on which they grow at a Government Sale. It is true that he made a capital bargain. but that was his good fortune. The Peroopad fells and sells the Teak to be found in his own Zemindary near Nelumboor himself, and has never disposed of its Monopoly as imagined by Captain Blake.

A good deal of Gold is found in the rivers in this Zemindary. The Government tax the washing pans, and derive probably more advantage from so doing than they would by taking the washing into their own hands. On this point I may refer the Board to the Proceedings of a Committe who assembled to deliberate on the question in 1833. I do not see any thing else in Captain Blakes Memorandum which would appear to require remark from me.

Calicut 12th April 1849 } Signed H. V. Conolly Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the proceedings.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

53-56

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

In Cons 2d April 1849

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Your Number of Consultation under date 13th March last transmitting copy of a letter from Captain H. V. Blake 25th Regiment N.I. upon the subject of the Teak forests of the Western Coast and desiring them to report for the information of Government.

2. In reply I have to forward for the purpose of their being laid before Government the accompanying communications in Answer to reference

No 1010 From Malabar 2d April in Cons 10th September 1849

" 1323 From Coimbatore 15th May in Cons 10th September 1849

" From Canara 24th August in Cons 10th Sept 1849

made to them upon the subject furnished by the Collectors of Canara and Malabar and by the Acting Provincial Collector of Coimbatore, all to one effect, that from this last Officer going over to

copy of a letter from the Asst Engineer of the 7th Division.

3. From these papers it would seem that Captain Blakes remarks must in some measure have been founded upon observations made by him some years since and when the state of the Forests alluded to differed from that which they now exhibit. It would seem further that the resources of

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

of the Localities mentioned in his letter are already well known and turned to due advantage and that the supply of Teak for the Bombay Government the Gun Carriage Manufactory or the building yards at Cochin should rather be looked for from the two Malla Forests than to those to which Captain Blake's report has reference.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th September 1849.

(Signed) J. Dyroff
Secretary

Read the following Statement:

Statement

57

District of

Orders of

Government

Fort St. George 10th September 1849

of the Localities mentioned in his letter are already well known and turned to due advantage and that the supply of Teak for the Bombay Government the Gun Carriage Manufactory or the building yards at Cochin should rather be looked for from the Travancore Forests than to those to which Captain Blake's report has reference.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th September 1849.

(Signed) J. Dyroft
Secretary

Read the following Statement.

Statement

57

District of

Extract of Consultation.

Orders of

Government

57-58

Proceedings thereon - The period for which the arrears of yeomiah are now claimed by Imaum Shah is 1 year and 6 Months or from 12th January 1848 to 11th July 1849.

2. It is observed that arrears for 2 years ending with the 12th January 1848 was sanctioned by Government on the 8th August 1848 and as the present arrears date only from January 1848 it is to be supposed that those which previously accrued must have been drawn by Imaum Shah.

3. If this individual did then in or subsequent to August 1848 receive the arrears due to him up to January of that year it does not appear why he should not at the same time have drawn the additional half yearly payment up to July 1848 to which he must then have been entitled but this he does not appear to have done.

4. The Collector is requested to explain this circumstance and to state whether he has satisfied himself of the identity of the present applicant and that he is the same person who received the arrears of yeomiah up to January 1848.

Read

In Cons. 4th Aug. 1849

Read the following Letter.

To, T. L. Ryeroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Sir, Fort St. George -

89

From the Collector of Madras - Transmitting Mr. Copleston's application for a Permission Certificate for certain Ground in Pajatsumdry

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue the papers noted in the margin relative to Mr. Copleston's application for a Permission Certificate for certain land situated in the Collectorate of Pajatsumdry.

Explanatory statement from the Register of Government grants

Copy Do. to Do. 4th Do.
Do. from Do. 20th Do.
Application
Bills of sale and
Plan

Madras 28th August 1849.

(Signed) H. Hutcheon
Collector and Register of Government Grants.

No. 1

13577

No. 1. Description of certificate

Name of the Proprietor	Situation of the Ground.	Quit rent proposed per Cawney.	Remarks of the Collector of Madras.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.
J. Huddleston Esq. late acting Subordinate Judge at Rajahmundry.	Within the limits of the old Fort at Rajahmundry N.W. angle in the Collectorate of Rajahmundry.	The Collector of the District recommends that a quit rent of Rupees 9-10-2 should be fixed on the land at the rate of Rupees 1-8-a year Cawney.	It is recommended that the sanction of Government may be procured for the issue of a Permission certificate to the Applicant in the Collectorate in the form A submitted to the Board in a letter from this Office dated 17th October 1834 praying for payment of the quit rent specified in Column 9 viz. the Company's Rupees 9-10-2 per annum.	

(Signed)

J. A. Huddleston
Collector and Register of
Government Grants.

No. 7 of September 1839

from the Honorable Company.

13577 — Fort St. George — 10th September 1849

No. 1. Description of Commission Certificate granted by the Honorable Company.

Name of the Proprietor.	Situation of the Ground.	Amount of Quit Rent proposed per Annum.	Remarks of the Collector of Madras.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
J. Hodgkinson Esq. late acting Subordinate Judge at Rajahmundry.	Within the limits of the old Fort at Rajahmundry N.W. angle in the Collectorate of Rajahmundry.	The Collector of the District recommends that a quit rent of Rupees 9-10-2 should be fixed on the land at the rate of Rupees 1-8-0 per annum.	It is recommended that the sanction of Government may be procured for the issue of a Commission Certificate to the applicant Mr. Hodgkinson in the form A submitted to the Board in a letter from this Office dated 17th October 1834 forwarding for payment of the quit rent specified in Column 9 the Company's Rupees 9-10-2 per annum.		

(Signed)

I. A. Hudleston
Collector and Register of
Government Grants.

13578 Fort St. George 20th September 1819

To J. S. Huddleston Esqre
Collector of Madras and
Registrar of Government

Sir,

Grant &c.

I have the honor to forward an application and a Sketch together with the two original documents received from Mr. Copleston the acting Subordinate Judge at Rajahmundry for a Grant for a piece of ground in the Fort at Rajahmundry purchased by him with the house &c. from Mrs. Dowdeswell the last occupant thereof. The total quantity of the ground is ascertained to be Cawny 7 - 10 - 1.43.

Vide accompanying Plan from the acting Civil Engineer's Office Division No. 14

I have therefore the honor to recommend that a regular Government Grant should be given to him and that a quit Rent of Rupees 9 - 10 - 2 should be fixed thereon at the rate of Rupees 1 - 8 - 0 per Cawny -

Rajahmundry District, - -
Samulcottah, 1st August, 1819. } Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

To T. Prendergast Esqre
Collector of
Rajahmundry -

Sir,

To the Collector of Rajahmundry } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 1st Instant and to request that you will be so good as to state whether Mrs. Copleston's property does not come within the

13579 Fort St. George 20th September 1819

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d December 1819 No. 806 in the Judicial Department Mr. Binds acting Magistrate's Letter to Government dated 11th February 1815 Board's order of 29th December 1815 in the Dept. of P.W. together with Extract Minutes of Consultation of 20th idem. The Civil Engineer's letter to Board dated 31st July 1815 and the Board's letter to Government dated 4th August 1815.

Certain of the encroachments [illegible] the Military premises [illegible] April 1836 [illegible] Copleston's application should be accompanied by the Officer Commanding and submitted to Government through the Military Board -

Madras, 7th August 1819 } Signed J. S. Huddleston
Collector and Registrar of Government Grants -

To J. S. Huddleston Esqre
Registrar of Government Grants
Madras -

Sir,

I do myself to your address of the 7th instant requesting me to inform you whether Mrs. Copleston's property does not come within the intention of the Rule laid down in Para 15 of the Memorandum from your Office dated 2d April 1835 so that he should make an application through the Military Board, I have the honor to state that with reference to the Correspondence noted in the margin the ground is not subject to the Rule in question, Rajahmundry is not a Military Cantonment - The Government Grant may be therefore issued without hesitation -

Rajahmundry District, - -
Samulcottah, 20th August 1819. } Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

13582 Fort St George 10th September 1849

Know all Men by these Presents that I William Dowdeswell Esqre of the Madras Civil Service for and in Consideration of the Sum of Company's Rupees Five Thousand of the lawful Money of Madras to me well and truly paid by Francis Copleston Esqre also of the Madras Civil Service the receipt of which I do hereby acknowledge have granted bargained sold assigned transferred and set over and by these Presents do grant bargain sell assign transfer and set over unto the said Francis Copleston Esqre his Heirs Executors administrators and assigns all that Piece or Parcel of Ground mentioned and comprized in and by the attached Instruments in writing and all the Dwelling Houses out Houses Buildings and other Erections standing and being thereon and their and each of their appurtenances together with the said Instruments in writing and all other writings and Muniments of Title relating thereto

To

13583 Fort St George 10th September 1849

To have and to hold the said Piece or Parcel of ground Dwelling Houses out Houses Buildings and Premises unto the said Francis Copleston Esqre his Executors administrators and assigns for ever - In Witness whereof I have hereunto set my Name and Seal at Madras this Tenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty-

Signed Sealed and Delivered where Stamps to be had of Sir J. S. Lewis Saint & Signed F. Grant, Capt. 41st Regt N.I. — in the presence of

Signed W. Dowdeswell

Know all Men by these Presents that I Quondecotah Venata-:row at present an Inhabitant of Tejaya-:patam for and in Consideration of the sum of ₹700 Seven hundred Company's Rupees Current Money well and truly paid to me at or before the seal-:ing and delivery of these Presents by Alexander Wight Esquire assistant Surgeon at present Stationed at Rajahmundry

13584 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

Rajahmundry the Receipt whereof is
so hereby acknowledged by me have
Bargained Sold assigned and set over
and by these Presents do Bargain Sell
Assign and set over unto the said Al-
exander Right Esqre his Heirs Exec-
cutors Administrators and Assigns a
House and Garden situated on the
Eastern Bank of the River Godav-
ary at Rajahmundry formerly grant-
ed by the Honorable E. M. Lush-
ington to my late Father Nursinga
row Pantalou. The Property consists
of two Houses one having a Hall a
back and front Verandah and two
narrow Verandahs Rooms with a Bath
Room attached. The other one long
Room with Dressing Room Bath
Room and front Verandah attached
to the other by a Covered Verandah pas-
sage, Four Godowns Viz. a Cook Room
Butlers and Mates Godowns and a
Spare Room. The Grounds Measures
besides that on which the buildings
stands 189 one hundred and Eighty nine
feet

13585 Fort St. George 10th September 1849

feet long by eighty four and a
half broad and all situated on the East
bank of the Godavery and on the under
the N. W. Corner of the Fort of
Rajahmundry. Given under the
Seal of Office and Ground and every
part thereof unto the said Alexander
Right Esqre his Heirs Executors Ad-
ministrators and Assigns for ever.
In Witness whereof I have here-
unto set my Hand and Seal in Triplic-
ate this 18th day of June in the year
of the Christian Era one Thousand
Eight Hundred and Forty Nine.

Signed Sealed and [illegible] } Nundy Gundecotah Tomenaraw
Delivered in the Presence of }

[illegible]

Secretary

13586 Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

Plan of Ground belonging to Mrs. St. Coghlan's Petition dated 8th April 1840


Esplanade Court
Pandamoonday
Office
Quarters
Office
Quarters
Cook
Stable
Compound
Compound
Compound

3. It should have been explained in what manner that portion of the land which is above the extent entered in Grandrecottah Vencata Rows assignment has been obtained and on what title it rests. It should likewise be stated whether the land for a grant of which the application is made is according to the limits sanctioned by Government in Ecbact Minutes Consultation 20th September 1845 and if there have been any deviations from those limits, of what nature they are, and whether they are altogether free from objection.

In Cons. 29th September 1845. D. P. W.

4. The Register of Government Grants will in communication with the Collector of Rajahmundry afford the necessary explanation at his earliest convenience.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

61

To the Chief Secretary to Government

In conformity with the requisition dated 11th and published in the Fort St. George Gazette of 12th November 1839 page 771 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Return of Books which have either been received from Government and other Public Departments or purchased by the Board during the year 1848.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th September, 1849.

(Signed) S. Pycroft. Secretary.

Return

3. It should have been explained in what manner that portion of the land which is above the extent entered in Cyandreco Utah Venkata Rau's assignment has been obtained and on what title it rests. It should likewise be stated whether the land for a grant of which the application is made is according to the limits sanctioned by Government in Extract Minutes Consultation 20th September 1845 and if there have been any deviations from those limits, of what nature they are, and whether they are altogether free from objection.

In Cons. 29th September 1845. D. P. W.

4. The Register of Government Grants will in communication with the Collector of Rajahmundry afford the necessary explanation at his earliest convenience.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

61

To the Chief Secretary to Government

In conformity with the Resolution dated 11th and published in the Fort St. George Gazette of 12th November 1839 page 771 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit a Return of Books which have either been received from Government and other Public Departments or purchased by the Board during the year 1848.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th September, 1849.

(Signed) S. Sycroft.
Secretary.

Return

13590. Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

Return of Books received from Government and other Public Departments and purchased during the year 1848.

Names of Books.	Books received from January to December 1848.				Books purchased in 1848.	
	Number of Volumes.	Number of Copies.	Number of Copies distributed.	Number of Copies remaining.	Number of Volumes	Number of Copies
Acts and orders for the N. W. Provinces	3	3	"	3	"	"
Acts of the Legislative Council of India	4	4	1	3	"	"
Almanacs for 1848	"	"	"	"	2	2
Army List for 1848	"	"	"	"	1	1
Baynes' Criminal Law	3	3	"	3	"	"
Charters and Statutes relating to the East India Company	"	"	"	"	1	1
Commerce and shipping of Singapore	1	1	"	1	"	"
Code Penal Observations by Sir Lawrence Peel Kt.	6	6	"	6	"	"
Journal of Literature and Science Madras	2	2	"	2	"	"
Law and Customs of Hindoo Castes summary of	"	"	"	"	1	1
Mc Culloch's Commercial Dictionary	"	"	"	"	1	1
Moore's Indian Appeal cases	39	39	34	5	"	"
Memoir of Neilgherry Hills by Captain Ouchterlony &c.	1	1	"	1	"	"
Engineers received from Secretary Department Public Works						
Opium Malwa Report on the Cultivation, preparation and Adulteration of	1	1	"	1	"	"
Report on the legal affairs of Bengal Government for 1846-1847	1	1	"	1	"	"
Do. Do. for 1847-1848	1	1	"	1	"	"

13591

Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

Names of Books.	Books received from January to December 1848.				Books purchased in 1848.	
	Number of Volumes.	Number of Copies.	Number of Copies distributed.	Number of Copies remaining	Number of Volumes	Number of Copies.
Report on the Survey and Assessment of the Bunkapoor Talook in the Dharwah Tillah	24	24	21	3	"	"
Report on a scheme of Pleading and Procedure	6	6	"	6	"	"
Ready Computist Nos 1. 2 and 3	"	"	"	"	"	6 Parts
Selection from Public correspondence Part 3	3	3	1	2	"	"
Ditto from Ditto " 4	3	3	1	2	"	"
Statistics of British East India Sugar	6	6	5	1	"	"
Statement of Import and Export Madras	"	"	"	"	"	10 Nos
Teloogoo translation of certain Rules for distribution to Village Moonsiffs Received from Guntoor	1	1	"	1	"	"
Translation of a Proceeding regarding the Settlement of a Village in the N. W. Provinces	1	2	"	2	"	"
Time Tables Grants	30	30	28	2	"	"
Tabular Statement of the Shipping and Commerce of Singapore 1845-1846	1	1	"	1	"	"
Trade British and Foreign at the Ports of Hong Kong Return of	3	3	"	3	"	"
Trade External Bombay Statement of from January to March	"	3	"	3	"	"
Useful Tables of Coins &c. Part 1st / Presented by Walter Elliot Esqre /	"	1	"	1	"	"

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th September, 1849.

Signed T. Speedy
Secretary.

62

From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Read Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1827 for the half of the year ending 30th June last.

61-63

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an Abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

64

From the Collector of Salem. Read the undermentioned Statements. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 30th June last.

65

From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 30th June last.

66

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 30th June last.

64-67

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

68

From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Read the undermentioned Lists. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to 30th June last.

69

From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. List of Letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to 30th June last.

68-70

Order on the 2 abovementioned Lists. Ordered to be recorded.

71

From the Collector of Salem. Read register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of August last.

71-72

Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

From the Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 31st July last.

73.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

73-74

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector of Shingleput. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

75

From the Collector of Shingleput. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.

76

Abstract

13594. Fort St. George 10th September 1849.

77 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.-

78 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of July last.-

79 From the Accountant General- Indent on the Commissary General for Articles required in the Military Department for September 1849.-

80 From the Sub Treasurer- Indent on the Commissary General for Articles required for the use of this Office.-

79-81 Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents. Ordered that the said Original Indents be passed and returned.-

Comps. Bom. Sirls. " G.D. Drury

" J. Goldingham

13595. Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Diary.

1 From the Collector of Canara. Received letter forwarding an Indent for Printed Forms of Bills and advices.

1-2 Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

At a Meeting present.

Thursday 13th September 1849. } G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member and J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

3 From the Secretary to Government } Read the following Extract. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d August, 1849 No 802.

Directing that the Government take in the Sudr Adalut be instructed to move that Court to reconsider the order for the attachment of the Shevagunga Zemindary in the Madura District and to present an appeal on the grounds within stated.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue. (Rec'd enter 26th July 1849 No 334-)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is much surprized to learn that the Court of Wards which by the order of Government under date the 23d March was duly authorized

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

authorized according to law to take charge of the Zemindary of Shevagunga on behalf of the apparent heir of the late Zemindar, a Minor, has been divested of that charge by an Order of the Sudder Udalut passed ex: parte upon the mere motion of the appellant in appeal No. 7 of 1849 without calling upon the Court of Wards or its Agent the Collector or the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar, to shew cause against the measure.

2. The Governor in Council observes that the appellant in No. 7 of 1849 was a Suitor in the Zillah Court for the Zemindary and that the cause was decided against her. The Judges have not cited the provision of the law under which they have deemed themselves warranted in attaching the property the subject of litigation in the above appeal, at the instance of the unsuccessful Plaintiff in the Zillah Court whose claim so far as it has been adjudicated upon has been judicially pronounced invalid. The Governor in Council apprehends that in general attachment can only be resorted to in default of security for staying the execution of a decree or for the execution thereof

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

thereof when it has passed in favor of the Plaintiff in the original Suit. If the decree in the C.S. had awarded the Zemindary to the present claimant, and the party in possession had moved to stay execution pending his appeal and could not furnish the prescribed security, then the Court might have ordered attachment but it seems to be quite beyond the intention of the law to permit the disturbance of a party in possession of property when the decree which has passed in the cause has for the present confirmed his title. If this be true in general when the property is in the uncontrolled possession of the Respondent it would appear a fortiori that attachment is unwarranted when the property is not under the control of the Respondent but has been taken under the care of the Court of Wards according to law. The Governor in Council is not aware that there is a precedent under any circumstances for removing an Estate from the charge of the Court of Wards pending a litigation regarding it even where in ordinary cases security might

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849.

authorized according to law to take charge of the Zemindary of Sheraguuga on behalf of the apparent heir of the late Zemindar, a Minor, has been divested of that charge by an Order of the Sudder Udalut passed ex: parte upon the mere motion of the appellant in appeal No. 7 of 1849 without calling upon the Court of Wards or its Agent the Collector or the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar, to shew cause against the measure.

2. The Governor in Council observes that the appellant in No. 7 of 1849 was a Suitor in the Zillah Court for the Zemindary and that the cause was decided against her. The Judges have not cited the provision of the law under which they have deemed themselves warranted in attaching the property the subject of litigation in the above appeal, at the instance of the unsuccessful Plaintiff in the Zillah Court whose claim so far as it has been adjudicated upon has been judicially pronounced invalid. The Governor in Council apprehends that in general attachment can only be resorted to in default of security for staying the execution of a decree or for the execution thereof

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849.

thereof when it has passed in favor of the Plaintiff in the original Suit. If the decree in the O.S. had awarded the Zemindary to the present claimant, and the party in possession had moved to stay execution pending his appeal and could not furnish the prescribed security, then the Court might have ordered attachment but it seems to be quite beyond the intention of the law to permit the disturbance of a party in possession of property when the decree which has passed in the cause has for the present confirmed his title. If this be true in general when the property is in the uncontrolled possession of the Respondent it would appear a fortiori that attachment is unwarranted when the property is not under the control of the Respondent but has been taken under the care of the Court of Wards according to law. The Governor in Council is not aware that there is a precedent under any circumstances for removing an Estate from the charge of the Court of Wards pending a litigation regarding it even where in ordinary cases security might

might be demanded. He feels sure that there can be no precedent for doing so in a case probable to the present one.

3. Under the foregoing views the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Court of Wards ought to have appealed against the order and he thinks that this may properly yet be done.

4. With respect to the explanation of the Court of Sudder Udalut that it is not intended that the orders of the Court of Wards passed in conformity with Regulation V of 1804 should be interfered with the Governor in Council does not understand what is meant It is only in order that due provision shall be made for the management of the Estate that Government authorizes the Court of Wards to take upon itself the responsibility of interfering with private property, and when ousted from the management it seems very doubtful whether the Court of Wards can interfere further with the Minor and his affairs. The Courts guardianship of the Minor appears to be an incident of its Trusteeship of the property and as when there is no property paying revenue to Government the Court of

of Wards cannot interfere for the protection of a Minor, so when the Court is deprived by a proceeding of a Court of Justice of the management of an Estate it would seem to follow that its guardianship of the Minor must drop also. The Court of Sudder Udalut tell the Collector who has attached the Estate by their Orders that Suits touching the property "are to be carried on by him or the person he may appoint as his Superintendent or Manager" not, it is to be observed, under the Superintendence of the Court of Wards, as in the case of Estates administered under Regulation V of 1804, at least there is no reference to the authority of the Court in this respect.

5. The present position of the Court of Wards being so anomalous and questionable, it appears to be of importance to move the Sudder Udalut to reconsider the order for the attachment of the Zemindary which in every view appears so exceptionable, and the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Government Vakeel in the Sudder Udalut should be instructed by the Court of Wards directly

or

or through the Collector of Madura as their Agent to present an appeal against it on the grounds here stated enforced by such arguments as they think proper.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To,
The Government Vakeel in the
Sudder Adawlut.

Sir,

3-4

To the Government Vakeel in the Sudr Ddalut ... } You are hereby authorized and directed to present a Motion on behalf of the Court of Wards to the Court of Sudder Ddaulut against the order passed by that Court in their Proceedings of 30th April 1849 directing the attachment of the Zemindary of Shevagunga at that time under care of the Court of Wards under Regulation V 1804 and to request the Court to reconsider that order, to remove

the attachment of the Estate and to allow it to revert to the care of the Court of Wards.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th. September 1849. } / Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.

No. 1.

Motion presented to the Sudr Ddalut by Government Vakeel on behalf of the Court of Wards.

1. Sheweth, that your Court in your Proceedings, bearing date 30th. April 1849, have ordered that the Zemindary of Shevagunga in the District of Madura, at that time under care of the Court of Wards according to the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 for the benefit of the Minor Proprietor Streemathoo Rajah Moottoo Vejia Ragoonada Bodagoorooswamy Parea Oodia Taver should be attached and placed under the management of the Collector of the District.

2. That the order in question was passed by your Court exparte, on a simple motion presented by the Appellant, against whom a Decree had been given in the Zillah

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849.

or through the Collector of Madura as their Agent to present an appeal against it on the grounds here stated enforced by such arguments as they think proper.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

To,
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To,
The Government Vakeel in the
Sudder Adawlut.

Sir,

(3-4)

To the Government Vakeel in the Sudr Ddalut ...

You are hereby authorized and directed to present a Motion on behalf of the Court of Wards to the Court of Sudder Ddaulut against the order passed by that Court in their Proceedings of 30th April 1849 directing the attachment of the Zemindary of Shevagunga at that time under care of the Court of Wards under Regulation V 1804 and to request the Court to reconsider that order, to remove the

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849.

the attachment of the Estate and to allow it to revert to the care of the Court of Wards.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
13th. September 1849.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.

No. 1.

Motion presented to the Sudr Ddalut by Government Vakeel on behalf of the Court of Wards.

1. Sheweth, that your Court in your Proceedings, bearing date 30th. April 1849, have ordered that the Zemindary of Shevagunga in the District of Madura, at that time under care of the Court of Wards according to the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 for the benefit of the Minor Proprietor Streemathoo Rajah Mouttoo Vejia Ragunada Bodagoorooswamy Parea Oodia Taver should be attached and placed under the management of the Collector of the District.

2. That the order in question was passed by your Court exparte, on a simple motion presented by the appellant against whom a Decree had been given in the

Zillah

Zillah Court of Madura, and that neither the Court of Wards nor the Collector of the District as their agent, nor the Minor Zemindar nor his Guardian, were called upon to show cause against the measure.

3. Under these circumstances I shall am Moorteza Government Vakeel appearing on behalf of the Court of Wards and acting under instructions received from them, beg respectfully to move your Court to reconsider your orders given in your Proceedings of the date aforesaid to re: move the attachment of the Sheevagunga Estate, and to allow it to revert as before to the case of the Court of Wards for the following reasons that is to say.

1st. That the party at whose instance the attachment was ordered was the unsuc: cessful Plaintiff before the lower Court, and that her claim so far as it had been judicially determined has been pro: nounced invalid.

2d. That as a general rule the attachment pending the result of an appeal of property under litigation can only

only be resorted to, in default of security for staying the execution of the Decree of the Lower Court, or for the execution thereof, when judgment may have been passed in favor of the Plaintiff in the

Regulation V. 1802 Sec. XII Clause V. VIII IX
Regulation XII 1809. Sec X Clause II. III.

C.S. that is, when in such case neither the Plaintiff nor Defendant can furnish due security for making good the mesne profits accruing from the property, the latter in the event of his being allowed to continue in occupancy and the Decree against him being eventually confirmed. the former, if he be placed in possession and the Decree in his favor be ultimately reversed. Had the Plaintiff in C.S. No 2 of 1846 gained her cause in the Zillah Court, and had the appeal now in pro: gress been preferred by the Defend: ant, in such case, on failure of the latter to furnish the necessary security the Estate might properly have been attached as an act of justice towards the Plaintiff. the successful claimant in the Court below, and presumptive owner

of

of the Property; but where the issue of the suit in the inferior Court has gone altogether to confirm the title of the Defendant, the party hitherto in occupancy, there can, it is submitted, in such circumstances be no ground for disturbing his possession.

3rdly That your Court in your Proceedings of 30th April 1849 have not specified the Regulation under which you have ordered the sequestration of the Zemindary. It is, however, to be presumed that it cannot have been directed in reference to Clause V. VIII IX Section XII Regulation IV of 1802, because these Clauses evidently apply to cases wherein judgment in the Lower Court may have been given in favor of the Plaintiff, and wherein it may be sought either to stay execution of the Decree or to obtain execution thereof; whereas in the present case the Decree having passed in favor of the Defendant. the party already in possession there is no cause or room for such execution. there is in fact no decree which could be executed, and also because the requisition of security or order for attachment to be made under those

Clause 9. 5. Clause, must be issued by the Court "wherein the original judgement in the "cause may have been passed" the power of the appellate Courts under Clause VIII being limited to the exaction of supplemental security after a lapse of time, in the event of the period for which the original securities were given appearing to be insufficient. Neither can the order for the sequestration of the Estate have been given under Section XI Regulation XII of 1809, inasmuch as the provisions of that Section apply only to cases wherein a person "claiming the proprietary right in land "houses or other immoveable property not "in his possession may obtain a Decree "adjudging him to be the Proprietor of "such land, houses or other immoveable "property" and wherein the Appeal may be preferred by the party hitherto in possession. Neither can the order have been passed under Section V Regulation II of 1811 because so long as the Estate may be under the care of the Court of Wards it cannot be said that there is any reason to apprehend that

of the Property; but where the issue of the Suit in the inferior Court has gone altogether to confirm the title of the Defendant, the party hitherto in occupancy, there can, it is submitted, in such circumstances be no ground for disturbing his possession.

3rdly That your Court in your Proceedings of 30th April 1849 have not specified the Regulation under which you have ordered the sequestration of the Zemindary. It is however to be presumed that it cannot have been directed in reference to Clause V. VIII. IX Section XII Regulation IV of 1802, because these Clauses evidently apply to cases wherein judgment in the Lower Court may have been given in favor of the Plaintiff, and wherein it may be sought either to stay execution of the Decree or to obtain execution thereof, whereas in the present case the Decree having passed in favor of the Defendant. the party already in possession there is no cause or room for such execution. there is in fact no decree which could be executed, and also because the requisition of security or order for attachment to be made under those

Clause 9-5. Clause, must be issued by the Court "wherein the original judgement in the "cause may have been passed" the power of the appellate Courts under Clause VIII being limited to the exaction of supplemental security after a lapse of time, in the event of the periods for which the original securities were given appearing to be insufficient. Neither can the order for the sequestration of the Estate have been given under Section XI Regulation XII of 1809, inasmuch as the provisions of that Section apply only to cases wherein a person "claiming the proprietary right in land "houses or other immoveable property not "in his possession may obtain a Decree "adjudging him to be the Proprietor of "such land, houses or other immoveable "property," and wherein the Appeal may be preferred by the party hitherto in possession. Neither can the order have been passed under Section V Regulation II of 1811 because so long as the Estate may be under the care of the Court of Wards it cannot be said that there is any reason to apprehend that

of the Property, but where the issue of the
suit in the inferior Court has gone alto-
gether to confirm the title of the Defend-
ant, the party hitherto in occupancy, there
can, it is submitted, in such circumstances
be no ground for disturbing his possession.

3rdly That your Court in your Proceedings
of 30th April 1849 have not specified the
Regulation under which you have ordered
the sequestration of the Zemindary. It is,
however, to be presumed that it cannot
have been directed in reference to Clause
V. VIII. IX Section XII Regulation IV of 1802,
because these Clauses evidently apply to
cases wherein judgment in the Lower Court
may have been given in favor of the Plaint-
iff, and wherein it may be sought either
to stay execution of the Decree or to obtain
execution thereof; whereas in the present
case the Decree having passed in favor
of the Defendant, the party already in
possession there is no cause or room for
such execution. there is in fact no decree
which could be executed, and also because
the requisition of security or order for
attachment to be made under those

Clauses. Clause, must be issued by the Court
"wherein the original judgement in the
"cause may have been passed" the power of
the appellate Courts under Clause VIII
being limited to the exaction of supple-
mental security after a lapse of time,
in the event of the periods for which the
original securities were given appearing
to be insufficient. Neither can the order
for the sequestration of the Estate have been
given under Section XI Regulation XII of 1809,
inasmuch as the provisions of that Section
apply only to cases wherein a person
"claiming the proprietary right in land,
"houses or other immoveable property not
"in his possession may obtain a Decree
"adjudging him to be the Proprietor of
"such land, houses or other immoveable
"property," and wherein the Appeal may
be preferred by the party hitherto in pos-
session. Neither can the order have been
passed under Section V Regulation II of
1811 because so long as the Estate may be
under the care of the Court of Wards it cannot be
said that there is any reason to apprehend
that

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849.

that the Respondent in the appeal suit No. of 1849 i.e. the Minor or his Guardian will transfer or cause a Public sale, or otherwise dispose of the property under litigation i.e. the Shevagunga Zemindary. Moreover in all the cases contemplated in the Regulation above quoted, attachment can only take place, on default of the production of due security, whereas in the present instance no security has been demanded. The order for sequestration has been passed by your Court without calling upon the Respondent either to furnish security or to shew cause against the attachment.

4thly That if it be true that in ordinary cases, attachment cannot be made of property, the subject of an Appeal Suit where the Decree in the inferior Court has been passed in favor of the party already in possession, much less it is submitted is such procedure authorized when the property, as in the present instance is so administered, as to afford full guarantee against the mis-appropriation or embezzlement of it

D.G.

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Estate having with the sanction of Government been placed for the benefit of the Minor Proprietor under care of the Court of Wards acting under Regulation V of 1804 and of the Collector of the District as their local Agent.

5thly That even under circumstances in which requisitions of security might properly be made there is it is believed no precedent of such security having been required and attachment ordered in default of its production when the property in question was already under the care of the Court of Wards. Still less, it is submitted, is there any case on record wherein as in the present instance the Estate sequestered was not only under the care of that Court but the result of the litigation respecting it as far as it had proceeded had been to confirm and establish the title of the party hitherto in possession.

6thly That the Zillah Judge of Madura after a full enquiry held in pursuance of your Courts instructions of 15th February 1847 declined in his Proceedings under date 2nd August 1847 to order the attachment of the Shevagunga Estate pending the decision of

of the suit No. 2 of 1845 then in progress and that your Court upon appeal being preferred to you against the said order and after perusal of the miscellaneous Petitions presented by the Plaintiff and Defendant in the said Suit and the whole of the papers connected with the case stated in your Proceedings of 14th February 1848 your opinion that it was neither necessary nor expedient to interfere with the Order of the Civil Judge and you accordingly confirmed the same at the time when your aforesaid Proceedings of 14th February 1848 were held, the Shevagunga Estate was in the uncontrolled possession of its then Proprietor the late Zemindar Streemootoo Rajah Mootthoovirjiah Ragoonadha Gowery Vullabah Tarea Vodia Taver and the Suit regarding it had not been decided by the Zillah Court - If under such circumstances the sequestration of the Zemindary was neither necessary nor expedient much less can such a measure be required now that the decree of the Zillah Court has passed in favor of the late Zemindar, and that the Estate

Estate has in consequence of his death been placed under care of the Court of Wards on behalf of his Minor son Streematoo Rajah Moottoo Vejia Ragoonadha Vodagoorooswamy Tarea Vodia Taver -

yth ty That your Court in your Proceedings of the 30th April 1849 have expressed your opinion that the administration of the Zemindary by means of a Manager appointed under Section VIII Regulation V of 1804 does not sufficiently provide for the security of the Property and of its Revenues. It is however submitted that the conditions required from, and restrictions imposed upon the Manager of the Estate of a disqualified Proprietor under the Regulation quoted furnish ample guarantee for the due discharge of his trust. Thus the Manager

See: X is obliged to give security for his personal appearance at any period of his Management to enter into a stipulated form of engagement for the due administration of the Estate under

See: XI certain penalties which the Court of Wards are empowered to enforce, to furnish Lists

of

Sec: XII

Sec: XIV

Sec: XV

Sec: XI XXIV

Sec: XVI

Sec: XVII

of all Property confided to him, to submit annual lists of all receipts and disbursements, to pay Monthly the entire sums received from the Estate to the Collector by whom all authorized charges of Management are to be defrayed. He is further debarred from granting Leases for more than one year without the written consent of the Collector and from disposing of any part of the Property without the permission of the Court of Wards also obtained in writing. He is liable to removal from Office by the Court of Wards and to trial for misconduct. All surplus funds accruing from the Estate are to be invested by the Collector in the purchase of land or in the Public securities of Government and no debts due to private creditors can be discharged without the permission in writing of the Court of Wards. In addition to the above tho' not required by the Regulation, the Collector furnishes an Annual Report upon the Estate to the Court of Wards who submit it with their remarks to Government. This report affords full information respecting the

the revenue settlement of the year, the Collections realized and upon all matters connected with the Property and is accompanied with the Accounts necessary for the elucidation of the subject. Practically at least the surveillance exercised by a Collector as Agent to the Court of wards over Property administered by a Manager is as close and effectual, as if the same were under the Collectors own Management.

5thly That if the arguments explained in the preceding para have been deemed by the Legislature to afford adequate security for the due maintenance of the rights and interests of an incapacitated Proprietor whose title to his Estate is clear and undisputed. Much more must they be considered sufficient to provide for the contingent rights and interests of a mere suitor for the Estate whose claim has not and may never be made good. Had the title of the Minor Zemindar Streematoo Rajah Moottoovejia Ragoonadha Bodagoorooswamy

Parea

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Parea Oodia Tauer remained unquestioned, the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 would have been held to furnish ample means for the proper administration of his Estate - The measures which would have sufficed to protect his interests must surely be enough to guard the interests of the Appellant in No 7 of 1849 a claimant and hitherto an unsuccessful claimant of the Property -

9thly That your Court have indeed stated in your Proceedings of 7th June 1849 that the intent of your orders of the 30th April 1849 was not to interfere with the orders of the Court of Wards passed in conformity with Regulation V of 1804 but it does not appear how, after the Estate has been attached and thereby virtually been taken out of their charge, the Court of Wards can still continue to pass orders under that Regulation or to exercise the powers which devolve upon them in virtue of its provisions -

10th That the primary object of the institution of the Court of Wards as set forth in the preamble of Regulation V 1804 was the "due

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

preservation of the property of incapacitated persons" which was to be attained by the means and thro' the Agency prescribed in the provisions of that enactment, that this is the only purpose for which the Court of Wards is authorized by Government to take upon itself the responsibility of interfering with private property, that if such property be sequestered and removed from the administration of the Manager acting under the provisions of Regulation V 1804 to the immediate charge of the Collector, the Agency for the management of the Estate prescribed by the Regulation becomes altogether changed, the provisions of the Regulation especially — Sections VII - IX - X - XI - XII - XIV - XV - XXII - XXIII - XXIV, XXVI, cease to have effect. An Estate in such case can no longer be said to be under the care of the Court of Wards and the Collector as their agent, but to be under the charge of the Collector by order of the Civil Court subject to the general control of the Board of Revenue -

11. That if the Court of Wards are

Parea Oodia Tauer remained unquestioned, the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 would have been held to furnish ample means for the proper administration of his Estate - The measures which would have sufficed to protect his interests must surely be enough to guard the interests of the Appellant in No 7 of 1849 a claimant and hitherto an unsuccessful claimant of the Property -

9thly That your Court have indeed stated in your Proceedings of 7th June 1849 that the intent of your orders of the 30th April 1849 was not to interfere with the orders of the Court of Wards passed in conformity with Regulation V of 1804 but it does not appear how, after the Estate has been attached and thereby virtually been taken out of their charge, the Court of Wards can still continue to pass orders under that Regulation or to exercise the powers which devolve upon them in virtue of its provisions.

10th That the primary object of the institution of the Court of Wards as set forth in the preamble of Regulation V 1804 was the "due

preservation of the property of incapacitated persons" which was to be attained by the means and thro' the Agency prescribed in the provisions of that enactment, that this is the only purpose for which the Court of Wards is authorized by Government to take upon itself the responsibility of interfering with private property, that if such property be sequestered and removed from the administration of the Manager acting under the provisions of Regulation V 1804 to the immediate charge of the Collector, the Agency for the management of the Estate prescribed by the Regulation becomes altogether changed, the provisions of the Regulation especially — Sections VII - IX - X - XI - XII - XIV - XV - XXII - XXIII - XXIV, XXVI, cease to have effect. An Estate in such case can no longer be said to be under the care of the Court of Wards and the Collector as their agent, but to be under the charge of the Collector by order of the Civil Court subject to the general control of the Board of Revenue -

11. That if the Court of Wards

are

are thus divested of the care of the Estate the exercise of the powers devolving upon them under Regulation V 1804 must be limited to the mere guardianship of the Minor, but the guardianship of the Minor appears to be only an incident of the trusteeship of the property and if the Court of Wards cease to hold that trusteeship, it seems doubtful, whether they could interfere further with the Minor and his affairs and whether on their removal by process of Court of Law from the charge of the Estate their guardianship of the Minor must not drop also-

12. That even supposing the Court of Wards could retain any power over the Shevagunga Zemindary the position of that Estate partly under charge of the Court of Wards and partly under the attachment of a Civil Court is altogether anomalous and likely to lead to Collisions between the judicial and revenue authorities and to the issuing of inconsistent if not contradictory orders- thus to give only one instance by Section XVIII Regulation V 1804 all debts due by

by incapacitated proprietors to private Creditors may be liquidated by Collectors on the permission in writing of the Court of Wards. Now that the Shevagunga Estate is under attachment it is probable that the Court of Sudr — Udalut will require to be consulted before any such claims or at least those to a considerable amount are discharged and it is possible that the two authorities may take different views in regard to the validity of such claims and the mode in which they are to be dealt with.-

4 For the reasons aforesaid I am instructed respectfully to move on behalf of the Court of Wards that your Court on consideration of

of the arguments above advanced and the position of the Shenagunga Zemindary will withdraw the attachment of that property imposed by your Courts orders of 30th April 1849, and will allow that Estate again to pass into the sole and undivided charge of the Court of Wards to be administered by them thro' the Agency and by the means prescribed in Regulation V 1804 and in conformity with the provisions of that enactment, which course it is submitted will fully secure the efficient management of the Estate and amply provide for the rights and interests of the parties now engaged in litigation on account of it.

Read

Read the following resolutions.

No 842.

3

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 4th September, 1849.

/From Board 20th August/

Resolved that the foregoing letter and its Enclosure be transmitted to the Court of Sudr Adalut with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th May 1849 No 465 in view to the Certificates for the refund of Stamp duty being modified in the manner suggested.

/Signed/ H. G. Montgomery.
Secretary to Government

5-6

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that copy of the foregoing Order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information of the Superintendent of Stamps with reference to his letter dated 14th Ultimo.

Extract

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

No 855.-

7

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 7th September, 1849.

/From Board 23d August/

On the ground of losses sustained by the Alkarry Renters by the storms of October and November 1846 the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants the remission of Rupees /7000/ seven thousand solicited by the Collector on their behalf and recommended by the Board of Revenue, but he is not of opinion that the low price of agricultural produce in Fusly 1258 forms a valid reason for such indulgence.

Signed H. le Montgomery
Secretary to Government

7-8

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 1st Ultimo

Read

Read the following Extracts-

9

From the Secy to Government- Containing the observations and orders of Govt on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Madura in Fusly 1257 and desiring that explanation called for regarding the mode of taxing Two Copeland here reported on separately

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 8th September 1849 No 856.

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 30th July 1849 No 343)

Para 1- The Land Revenue Settlement including Peishcush in the District of Madura for Fusly 1257 amounted to Rupees 1859279-1-6. It has exceeded that of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 166910-5-6, the average of the 10 preceding years by Rupees 115158-9-2 and stands the highest on record by Rupees 38812-15-8.

2. The season was peculiarly suited for wet cultivation though not so propitious for dry. Prices though less than in the preceding Fusly were above an average- "On the whole" says the Collector "the year was favorable "both to the Government and to the Ryots "of all the Talooks except perhaps those "of the large Poonjah Talook of Peroomunga "lum. The Kunjah crops were generally

good

13615

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

No 855.-

7

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 7th September, 1849.

/ From Board 23d August /

On the ground of losses sustained by the Shekarry Renters by the storms of October and November 1846 the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants the remission of Rupees /7000/ seven thousand solicited by the Collector on their behalf and recommended by the Board of Revenue, but he is not of opinion that the low price of agricultural produce in Fusly 1258 forms a valid reason for such indulgence.

Signed H. le Montgomery
Secretary to Government

7-8

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Boards letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 1st Ultimo

Read

13617

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Read the following Extracts-

9

From the Secy to Government- Containing the observations and orders of Govt on the Boards Proceedings relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Madura in Fusly 1257 and desiring that explanation called for regarding the mode of taxing Two Crops land be reported on separately

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 8th September 1849. No 856.

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 30th July 1849 No 343)

Para 1- The Land Revenue Settlement including Peishcush in the District of Madura for Fusly 1257 amounted to Rupees 1859279-1-6- It has exceeded that of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 166910-5-6, the average of the 10 preceding years by Rupees 115158-9-2. and stands the highest on record by Rupees 38812-15-8.

2. The season was peculiarly suited for wet cultivation though not so propitious for dry. Prices though less than in the preceding Fusly were above an average- "On the whole" says the Collector "the year was favorable "both to the Government and to the Ryots "of all the Talooks except perhaps those "of the large Poonjah Talook of Terumunga "lum. The Kunjah crops were generally good

"good and these being extensively
"cultivated and the prices high
"corresponding profit must have
"been derived by the Cultivator I have
"no reason to believe that the Pero-
"mungalum Byots suffered considera-
"though the year could not have
"been profitable for them".

3- The chief features of the year 1257 are the decrease in extent of Poonjah cultivation with decreased demand - Increased cultivation of Nunjah especially of a 2d Crop and the absence of remission for withered Crops which in the year preceding amounted to the considerable sum of Rs. 7,6,839 - Excluding ready money collections and Peishcush the Settlement was Rupees 10,40,072-6 or 162725-6 above Fusly 1256 -

Rs. 52,492
7,8,2,8,15

4- The question of the assessment of one and two crop lands as the Board justly observe "involved in some obscurity" and the Governor in Council is only able to understand from the Collector's report that the practice

practice of taxing two Crop land has hitherto differed in the Principal and sub division, and from his subsequent letter to the Board dated 16th June 1849 that certain land in the principal division has been charged as Fusel Jaotee which bore an original assessment as two Crop land - The subject must be fully and carefully investigated and separately reported on and the Board will urge on the Collector its early consideration

5- Of the demand Rupees 18,59,279-1-6 Rupees 17,05,869-2-4 were Collected within the Fusly and subsequently to the end of May last Rupees 15,3171-13-1, leaving at that date the very trifling sum of Rupees 238-2-1 outstanding - adding the Collections of the extra sources of Revenue, of which only Rs. 44-14-5 remains as balance, the total Collections on account of Fusly 1257 amounted to Rupees 22,76,043-14-8, less than the demand by Rupees 283-4-6 only, a result which the Governor

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Fusly
District in 1254 has not yet been brought into complete and successful operation. The Board it is observed in their proceedings point out several alterations and improvements as well as some inaccuracies in the Statements for Fusly 1257, which the Governor in Council doubts not, will meet with Mr. Parker's careful attention when submitting his report on the settlement of his District for the Fusly just expired.

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

9-10

Order thereon - Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 30th July last -

No. 862.

11

From the Secy to Government conveying the views of Government on the correspondence relative to the charges of

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 11th September 1849

Read the following papers

From the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue / Here enter 20th Augt 1849
No. 378).

Para 1 -

× × ×

The Right Honorable

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

of fraud and irregularity brought against the Quit Rent Department in the Collectorate of Madras directing the dismissal of the Surveyor the Amildar and 2 Conicapolies and that the Sr will put a stop to the practice of allowing Quit rent to be Collected under fictitious or unregistered names -

the Governor in Council has had under his serious consideration the correspondence submitted by the Board of Revenue on the charges of fraud and irregularity brought against the Quit rent Department of the Madras Collectorate by Arymah Pillay -

2. A reference to the Resolutions of Government of the dates noted in the Margin shews that the Quit rent Department of the Collectorate has been for years a source of trouble to Government and it is believed of speculation to the Collectors subordinated and when in the face of these facts it is now proved that grounds held by or at all events entered in the Accounts in the Name of Saravana Permaul Moodeliar a Quitrent Accountant on the Collectors Establishment has been exempted for four successive years from payment of the dues of Government under what is attempted to be explained as "inattention on the part of the Surveyor

R 26 Nov. 1845 No. 1365.
16 Sept. 1846 - 920
5 Aug. 1847 - 879
25 Feby 1849 - 199

"Surveyor, and Amildar" who never as it has been established visited either of the localities included in the Certificates Nos. 3261 and 9737, there can be but one conclusion, and that is the reverse of what Mr. Hudleston is stated to have arrived at that "gross neglect was not traceable to any corrupt Motive" in consequence of which opinion he severally fined the above functionaries Rupees 10 and the Conicopoly Veerasawmy Moodeliar only Rupees 3-8-"

3. The features of the case as brought before Government, are altogether unfavorable, for not only was the true state of the case suppressed, but a false report was made which could not have arisen from mistake. Gross neglect was confessed, but there is also what can scarcely be regarded but as a wilful falsification. Whoever applied to S. No. 3261. the description of circumstances belonging to No. 9737 must have done so with the intent to deceive and for no other end than to obtain a remission of the demand upon No. 3261

falsely

falsely represented as unproductive Whoever was the author of the falsification cannot perhaps be ascertained positively. but the Surveyor, the Amildar and the Conicapellay at least adopted it and thereby made themselves party to it and must therefore be held responsible. The Government in Council coincides with the sentiments of the Assistant Collector applied in the 9th para of the Board's letter as to the impossibility of one spot of Ground being mistaken for the other and cannot understand the observation of the Board that fraud has not been proved.

4. This instance of fraud, for a gross one it certainly is, promoted by the concurrence in it, whether from connivance or otherwise, of the Officers who ought to have detected and exposed it, greatly discredits the administration of the Quitrent Department. For if this passed without discovery for four years, be it from neglect and inattention according to the light view of the Collector or be it from fraudulent

fraudulent complicity on the part of the Servants concerned, it is not to be supposed that it is a singular one, but is rather the Governor in Council conceives to be regarded as a sample of what is by no means an extraordinary occurrence.

5. Now that such a case has been detected, it seems necessary to make a severe example of it and the Governor in Council resolves accordingly to direct that the Surveyor Mr. Gautz, the Amildar Juggannathan and the two Conicapillay Saravanah Permaul Moodeliar and Veerasawmy Moodeliar be forthwith dismissed from the service of Government and not again employed without special Sanction.

6. The Governor in Council considers the practice of allowing Quit rent to be collected under fictitious or unregistered Names to

to be open to great objections and desires the Board of Revenue will put a stop to it as early as possible by directing that the real owners of lots be called upon to register their Names. Where any doubts exist as to the extent of Ground liable to a demand for Quit rent the Collector should be ordered to insist on it being remeasured.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to
Government

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

11-12

Order thereon

From Coll.r 30th March
In Cons.s 7th May 1849
Proceedings 4 - In Cons.s
7th May
From Coll.r 19th June
In Cons.s 16th Aug.t 1849
To Coll.r 16th Aug.t "
From Coll.r 20th In Cons.s
20th August 1849.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Collector of Madras with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin

Mr.

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

fraudulent complicity on the part of the Servants concerned, it is not to be supposed that it is a singular one, but is rather the Governor in Council conceives to be regarded as a sample of what is by no means an extraordinary occurrence.

5. Now that such a case has been detected, it seems necessary to make a severe example of it and the Governor in Council resolves accordingly to direct that the Surveyor Mr. Gantz, the amildar Juggannathan and the two Conicapillay Saravanah Permaul, Moodeliar and Veerasawmy Moodeliar be forthwith dismissed from the service of Government and not again employed without special sanction.

6. The Governor in Council considers the practice of allowing Quit rent to be collected under fictitious or unregistered Names to

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

to be open to great objections and desires the Board of Revenue will put a stop to it as early as possible by directing that the real owners of lots be called upon to register their Names. Where any doubts exist as to the extent of Ground liable to a demand for Quit rent the Collector should be ordered to insist on it being remeasured.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to
Government

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

11-12

Order thereon

From Coll. 30th March
In Cons 7th May 1849
Proceedings — In Cons
7th May
From Coll. 19th June
In Cons 16th Aug. 1849
To Coll. 16th Aug. —
From Coll. 20th In Cons
20th August 1849.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Collector of Madras with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin

Mr.

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

2— Mr. Huddleston will give immediate effect to the Orders of Government directing the dismissal from the service of the Surveyor Mr. Gautz the Amildar Suggananthan and the Canicapillays Saravanah Permal. Moodeliar and Veerasawmy Moodeliar. His attention is also especially called to the instructions of Government in the concluding para in regard to the registration of the names of Proprietors and the remeasurement of lands on which doubts may exist as to the extent liable to Quit rent.

3— Mr. Huddleston will report to the Board the steps he may have taken to carry out the above orders of Government for the future registration of Proprietors

Read

J. H. B.

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Read the following Letters—

To, J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

13

From the Commissioner Northern Circars.

Dated 22d August in Coss 3d September 1849.

Sir, I have the honor to enclose an Advertisement, for the sale of the Barooah Estate in the Ganjam District, and to request you will have the goodness to affix it in the Office of the Board of Revenue. I shall also feel obliged by your informing me that it has been done in sufficient time, to allow of the sale proceeding on the day fixed by the Collector.

Commissioner's Office,
Chicacole,
31st August, 1849.

I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant,
Signed Walter Elliot
Commissioner

Advertisement

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

2— Mr. Huddleston will give immediate effect to the Orders of Government directing the dismissal from the service of the Surveyor Mr. Gautz the Amildar Juggannatham and the Canicapillays Saravanah Permal. Moodeliar and Veerasawmy Moodeliar. His attention is also especially called to the instructions of Government in the concluding para in regard to the registration of the names of Proprietors and the remeasurement of lands on which doubts may exist as to the extent liable to Quit rent.

3— Mr. Huddleston will report to the Board the steps he may have taken to carry out the above orders of Government for the future registration of Proprietors

Fort St George 13th September 1849

Read the following Letters—

To J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

(13)

From the Commissioner
Northern Circars.
Dated 22d August
in Coss. 3d September
1849.

Sir, I have the honor to enclose an Advertisement for the sale of the Baroovah Estate in the Ganjam District and to request you will have the goodness to affix it in the Office of the Board of Revenue. I shall also feel obliged by your informing me that it has been done in sufficient time to allow of the sale proceeding on the day fixed by the Collector.

Commissioner's Office,
Chicacole,
31st August, 1849.

Signed Walter Elliot
Commissioner

Advertisement

13630

Fort St George 13th September 1849

Advertisement.

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue in shall state, at the time of purchase, the name of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4th The intension of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name the deposit Money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the Talook to be resold.—

5 A Sunnud Imil Ket Estimerar or Deed of Permanent right has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Commissioner Northern Circars at Vallair describing the obligation to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by purchasers of Land under this Advertisement, all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6. The purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Commissioner Northern Circars, but in the meantime 15 per Cent of the purchase Money will be required to be deposited immediately, and security given for the payment of the remainder, on the receipt of the Commissioner's sanction or within one month

13631 Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Month from the date of sale, in default of
the said 1/4 of the first purchasers.-

7. In case of the sale
Circars, the deposit Money will be returned,
all responsibility with regard to the
8. For further particulars
Circars or at the Collectors
10 & 5 Clock except on Sundays
Ganjam District.
Collectors Cutchery.
Chitterpore, 22d August 1849.

Signed A P Anslow
Collector.

Board's Office

13632 Fort St George 13th September 1849

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(14)

From the Commissioner Northern Circars. Dated 28th Augt. in Coms 3d September 1849

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose an Advertisement for the sale of certain Estates in the Rajahmundry District, and to request that you will be good enough to have it put up in the Office of the Board of Revenue, and to inform, if it has been done in time to allow of the sale proceeding on the date specified.-

Commissioner's Office,
Chicacole,
3d September, 1849.

Signed Walter Elliot
Commissioner

Advertisement

13632 Fort St George 13th September 1849

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St George.

14

From the Commissioner Northern Circars. Dated 28th Augt. in Cons 3d September 1849

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose an Advertisement for the sale of certain Estates in the Rajahmundry District, and to request that you will be good enough to have it put up in the Office of the Board of Revenue, and to inform, if it has been done in time to allow of the sale proceeding on the date specified.-

Commissioner's Office, Chicacole, 3d September, 1849.

Signed Walter Elliot

Commissioner

Advertisement

13631 Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Month from the date of sale, in default whereof the Talook will be resold at the risk of the first Purchaser.

7. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Commissioner Northern Circars, the deposit Money will be returned, and persons depositing it released from all responsibility on the regard to the Talook or Talookdar.

8. For further Instructions see those of the Collectors Cuttack &c. Chicacole &c. dated 13th Augt. 1849.

Signed A. P. Onslow

Collector.

Northern Circars excepts on Sunday,

Ganjam District.

Collectors Cutchery.

Chitterpore, 22d August, 1849.

Board

13634 Fort St George 13th September 1849.

2. Persons intending to become purchasers of the ancient Zemindary Estates under this advertisement are all hereby informed that under orders of Government no remission for Motursha shall be granted to any purchaser nor any abatement made in the present assessment of the Estate on that account.

3. The Kists of Permanent Jumma falling due to the date of sale together with Interest Vca are to be paid by the late Proprietor of the Estate and those subsequent to the date of sale together with interest Vca are to be discharged by the Purchasers.

4. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all purchases made by such authorized agents or Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the Principals.

5. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

6. The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion notice is further given that in the event of any purchases being made under a fictitious name the deposit Money made on account of such purchases will [illegible] the estates will be sold for the recovery thereof with the legal interest.

Rajahmundry District.
Samalcottah 28th August 1849.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

13635 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To

The Commissioner
Northern Circars.

13-15

To the Commissioner Northern Circars.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letters dated 31st Ultimo and 3d Instant forwarding for publication in this Office, two Advertisements for the sale of Estates in Ganjam and Rajahmundry, the date of sale being fixed respectively for the 3d and 8th proximo.

Both in Fort 13th September 1849.

2. As the period of the receipt of the Advertisements (12th September 1849) would not admit of their publication for 30 days before the time of sale the Board have this day published, therein altering the date of sale in both cases to 22nd October 1849. and they would suggest your causing a corresponding alteration to be made in the Advertisements

D.G.

13636 Fort St. George 13th September 1849

published in the Districts

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
13th September 1849

Signed/ J. Bycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

16

From the Collr of Ganjam

Forwards for approval statement of offers for Abkarry Farms for Fasly 1259 with certain explanation connected therewith.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the approval of the Board of Revenue the accompanying Statement shewing the Offers received for the Abkarry Farms of this District for Fasly 1259 together with the particulars of the securities and Deposits tendered in each case.

The following

2. Memorandum exhibits a net Increase of Company's Rupees 4691-4-4 in the present tenders compared with the Rents of Fasly 1258.

Memorandum

13637

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Memorandum.-

No.	Names of the Talooks	Rents for Fusly 1258	Rents for Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
		C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
1	Chicacole	9,450 -- --	10,001 -- --	551 -- --	-- -- --
2	Purlakimedy	7,350 -- --	7,150 -- --	-- -- --	200 -- --
3	Turlah	620 -- --	650 -- --	30 -- --	-- -- --
4	Ragoonadpore	1,600 -- --	2,110 -- --	510 -- --	-- -- --
5	Baurvah	805 -- --	905 -- --	100 -- --	-- -- --
6	Mundosah	350 -- --	480 -- --	130 -- --	-- -- --
7	Itchapore	2,800 -- --	3,250 -- --	450 -- --	-- -- --
8	Munteredy	915 -- --	950 -- --	35 -- --	-- -- --
9	Sooranghee	390 -- --	500 -- --	110 -- --	-- -- --
10	Chegutty	1,160 -- --	1,000 -- --	-- -- --	160 -- --
11	Jullumtrah	790 -- --	780 -- --	-- -- --	10 -- --
12	Jaradah	100 -- --	111 -- --	11 -- --	-- -- --
13	Mokerry	8,900 -- --	7,750 -- --	-- -- --	1,150 -- --
14	Vizianagrum	600 -- --	800 -- --	200 -- --	-- -- --
15	Pratapagerry	200 -- --	200 -- --	-- -- --	-- -- --
16	Aska	2,001 -- --	1,620 -- --	619 -- --	-- -- --
17	Munsoorcottah	2,830 -- --	3,010 -- --	180 -- --	-- -- --
18	Cullicote	700 -- --	775 -- --	75 -- --	-- -- --
19	Poobacondah	1,360 -- --	1,100 -- --	-- -- --	260 -- --

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

I do hereby certify that the Rents stated in the annexed Memorandum are correct.-

(Signed) P. Cavelow
Collector.

13637

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Memorandum.-

No.	Names of the Talooks	Rents for Fusly 1258	Rents for Fusly 1259	Increase	Decrease
		C Rs a ps	C Rs a ps	C Rs a ps	C Rs a ps
1	Chicacole	9,450 -- --	10,001 -- --	551 -- --	-- -- --
2	Purlakimedy	7,350 -- --	7,150 -- --	-- -- --	200 -- --
3	Turlah	620 -- --	650 -- --	30 -- --	-- -- --
4	Ragoonadpore	1,600 -- --	2,110 -- --	510 -- --	-- -- --
5	Baurvah	805 -- --	905 -- --	100 -- --	-- -- --
6	Mundosah	350 -- --	480 -- --	130 -- --	-- -- --
7	Itchapore	2,800 -- --	3,250 -- --	450 -- --	-- -- --
8	Munteredy	915 -- --	950 -- --	35 -- --	-- -- --
9	Soorunghee	390 -- --	500 -- --	110 -- --	-- -- --
10	Chegutty	1160 -- --	1,000 -- --	-- -- --	160 -- --
11	Jullumtrah	790 -- --	780 -- --	-- -- --	10 -- --
12	Jaradah	100 -- --	111 -- --	11 -- --	-- -- --
13	Mokerry	8,900 -- --	7,750 -- --	-- -- --	1,150 -- --
14	Vizianagrum	600 -- --	800 -- --	200 -- --	-- -- --
15	Pratapagerry	200 -- --	200 -- --	-- -- --	-- -- --
16	Aska	2,001 -- --	1,620 -- --	619 -- --	-- -- --
17	Munsoorcottah	2,830 -- --	3,010 -- --	180 -- --	-- -- --
18	Cullicote	700 -- --	775 -- --	75 -- --	-- -- --
19	Poobacondah	1,360 -- --	1,100 -- --	-- -- --	260 -- --

Extension 1849

to Rajahmundry

the Superintendent

Canara Collector

Terms as

Ditto.

P. Purvis

Collector.

ments.

136 40 | 20 St. George 13th September 1849.

Statement

Farms in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1259 together with those recommended by the Collector for acceptance.

Tendered for one year for the rent of each Farm for Fusly 1259. — in the Cutcherry of the Collector.

No.	Names of	3d Offer		Highest offer			
		Amount proposed	Names of the Proposers	Amount Proposed	Names of the Proposers	Amount Proposed	Remarks respecting Security and Deposit
1	2	9	10	11	12	13	14
		C Rs a D		C Rs a D		C Rs a D	
	Waddadah, Hoomm = shottapore Kara = Byrea, Nagarecatacum,						
1.	Gopaulpore, Chanke = pore Codoor, Palatala = Chitterpulsah						
2	Purlah kemed						
3	Purlah						
4	Ragoonadapore, d						
5	Itchapore (						
6	Sooranghee						
7	Mohurry Dc exclus						
8	Ratapagerry						
9	Vizayanagh						
10	Kantghur						
11	Seerghur						
12	Baurwah Estate						
13	Bodagadah						
					B. Venkatasurdah Dca	10001	" " Security and Deposit
					Balajee Chowdry Dca	7150	" " Dc and Dc
					Venkunnah Dc Dca	650	" " Deposit
					Dc Dc Dca	2110	" " Security and Deposit
					Sonda Sama Dca	3250	" " Deposit
					B. Juggeah	500	" " Dc
					Balajeeputnaick	7750	" " Security and Deposit
					Vakeel Pagodpundah	200	" " Security
					Jagunnada Baharah	800	" " Security and Deposit
					Sawaka Sooboody	1210	" " Dc and Dc
					Sama Baharah	200	" " Deposit
					M. Goornunnah	905	" " Dc
					Vauroo Chowdry Dca	650	" " Security & Deposit.

13641

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Proposals accepted by the Collector.

Names of the Persons tendering proposals	Amount of Rent	Amount deposit	Names of the Securities &ca	Average of the last 28 years Net Collections Viz. from Fasly 1230 to 1257	Remarks
15	16	17	18	19	20.
				Do do	
C Venkatanurseah &ca	1001	1250 2	Landed Property	7,684 25	
Balajee Choudry &ca	7150	893 12	Chayatennaya Booctah &ca	4,393 11	
Venkunnah Do	650	162 8		471 12 10	
Do Do &ca	2110	263 12	Soudy Dasaradee Choudry	1,160 9 1	
Sonda Samo &ca	3250	812 8		1,814 34	
B. Juggiah	500	125		263	
Balajee Putnaick	7750	968 12	Goodevah Chelmiah	6,072 54	
Vakeel Ragoopundah	200		Jemindar of Pratapagerry	225 7 11	
Jagganada Baharah	800	100	Soudy Rutna Choudry &ca	513 4	
Savaka Sooboodoo	1210	151 4	Chayettennaya Baharah	1,096 148	
Sam Baharah	200	50		205 106	
M. Goorvunnah	905	226 4		413 119	
Tauroo Choudry &ca	650	81 4	Soudy Atchootha Baharah	406 1 5	

13644

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Arrack and Toddy Demand Collection and Balance of former Fasliees

Fasliees.	Rent								
	Amount of Cowles or Puttahs		Collections		Remissions		Balance		
	C Rs	aP	C Rs	aP	C Rs	aP	C Rs	aP	
1230	4,563	28	4,563	28	"	"	"	"	
1231	4,325	7	4,325	7	"	"	"	"	
1232	4,258	9	4,258	9	"	"	"	"	
1233	4,065	8	3,807	5	10	258	7	10	2
1234	3,617	6	3,617	6	"	"	"	"	
1235	3,566	5	3,566	5	"	"	"	"	
1236	3,596	9	3,596	9	"	"	"	"	
1237	3,968	6	3,968	6	"	"	"	"	
1238	4,370	7	4,354	2	"	16	6	14	"
1239	4,432	"	4,261	6	13	11	1	8	6
1240	4,318	8	4,318	8	"	"	"	"	
1241	4,479	0	4,466	3	5	12	6	12	7
1242	3,710	4	3,702	7	5	8	1	14	1
1243	3,280	7	3,280	7	"	"	"	"	
1244	2,972	2	2,972	2	"	"	"	"	
1245	3,070	0	2,999	4	1	1	70	5	14
1246	3,941	1	3,883	2	7	6	57	8	6
1247	3,571	0	3,571	0	"	"	"	"	
1248	3,040	2	3,021	0	3	"	19	1	4
1249	3,105	6	2,850	1	9	3	255	4	9
1250	2,679	8	2,502	6	3	3	177	0	4

13th September 1849

To the Board of Revenue &c

Klanee of the Peons for
ing of the District of
1259 reported in the
Accounting in the Ac-
tees 59,462, and authorize
to place in Possession
the Farm on their several
Month of Trist in ready
risking good Collateral
xtent of three Trists
is depositing in Cash
t security

Read the following
Register -

Statement

13644

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Arrack and Toddy Demand Collection and Balance of former Fasliees

Fasliees.	Rent									
	Amount of Cowles or Puttahs		Collections		Remissions		Balance			
	C Rs	a P	C Rs	a P	C Rs	a P	C Rs	a P		
1230	44563	28	44563	28	"	"	"	"		
1231	43257	"	43257	"	"	"	"	"		
1232	42589	"	42589	"	"	"	"	"		
1233	40658	"	38070	5 10	2587	10 2	"	"		
1234	36176	"	36176	"	"	"	"	"		
1235	35665	"	35665	"	"	"	"	"		
1236	35969	"	35969	"	"	"	"	"		
1237	39686	"	39686	"	"	"	"	"		
1238	43707	"	43540	2 "	166	14 "	"	"		
1239	44432	"	42616	13 11	1816	2 1	"	"		
1240	43188	"	43188	"	"	"	"	"		
1241	44790	"	44663	3 5	126	12 7	"	"		
1242	37109	4 "	37027	5 11	81	14 1	"	"		
1243	32807	"	32807	"	"	"	"	"		
1244	29722	"	29722	"	"	"	"	"		
1245	30700	"	29994	1 1	705	14 11	"	"		
1246	39411	"	38832	7 6	578	8 6	"	"		
1247	35710	"	35710	"	"	"	"	"		
1248	30402	1 "	30210	3 "	191	14 "	"	"		
1249	31056	"	28501	9 3	2554	6 9	"	"		
1250	26796	8 "	25026	3 3	1770	4 9	"	"		

13th September 1849

The Board of Revenue &c

Have the Honor to forward for the information of the Hon'ble the Court of Directors &c a Statement reported in the 1259 Fasli, and exhibiting in the aggregate the Amounts of Rent Collections Remissions and Balances of the Arrack and Toddy Farm on their several Districts for the Month of August 1849 as read over and explained in the margin.

2. The Collections during the Month amounting to Star Pagodas 59462, and authorize the Board to place in deposition the Sum of Star Pagodas 59462 in the Treasury of the Fort St George.

3. The Balances of Rent due on the Farm on the 31st ultimo amounting to Star Pagodas 1770 4 9 are secured by good Collateral Security.

4. The Remissions during the Month amounting to Star Pagodas 2554 6 9 are on account of Three Fasli Rents.

5. The Balance of Rent due on the Farm on the 31st ultimo amounting to Star Pagodas 1770 4 9 is deposited in Cash & Government Security.

Read the following

Register -

Statement

13644

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Arrack and Toddy Demand Collection and Balance of former Fasliees

Fasliees.	Rent Amount of Cowles or Puttahs	Collections	Remissions	Balance
	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P
1230	44563 28	44563 28	" " "	" " "
1231	43257 " "	43257 " "	" " "	" " "
1232	42589 " "	42589 " "	" " "	" " "
1233	40658 " "	38070 5 10	2587 10 2	" " "
1234	36176 " "	36176 " "	" " "	" " "
1235	35665 " "	35665 " "	" " "	" " "
1236	35969 " "	35969 " "	" " "	" " "
1237	39686 " "	39686 " "	" " "	" " "
1238	43707 " "	43540 2 "	166 14 "	" " "
1239	44432 " "	42616 13 11	1816 2 1	" " "
1240	43188 " "	43188 " "	" " "	" " "
1241	44790 " "	44663 3 5	126 12 7	" " "
1242	37109 4 "	37027 5 11	81 14 1	" " "
1243	32807 " "	32807 " "	" " "	" " "
1244	29722 " "	29722 " "	" " "	" " "
1245	30700 " "	29994 11	705 14 11	" " "
1246	39411 " "	38832 7 6	578 8 6	" " "
1247	35710 " "	35710 " "	" " "	" " "
1248	30402 1 "	30210 3 "	191 14 "	" " "
1249	31056 " "	28501 9 3	2554 6 9	" " "
1250	26796 8 "	25026 3 3	1770 4 9	" " "

13th September 1849

To the Board of Revenue &c

Statement of the Arrack & Toddy Rent of the Farm of the District of [illegible] for the Fasli 1259 reported in the Annexing in the Register Nos 59402, and authorized to be placed in Suspension pending the Farmers receiving good Collateral Security to the extent of three Fasli Rents as deposited in Cash &c

Read the following

Register -

Statement

13646 11 St. George

Statem

18

manent Settle

13th "

ment

e Dist of Rajahmundry-

l the Estate a

ees

tendy Total pu

15

Names of the	rietor of the n.	Average Asets on which the Per: manent Settlement was made	ee Ge of the le Amt rec: s being ° es le stioned Perm ietor ‡ernment Peis	Remarks.	a ° C	R° a ° C.
1		9 C R° a °	8 19 C°	20	.. ..	6695 3 3
	tes-				.. ..	18586 8 6
	lic auction				.. ..	13668 14 2
1 Nagg	aru	64753 8 "	for			
2 Cuddaly	chmiah	53777 8 "	5	ruary 1833 19	.. ..	42827 . 3 35
3 Nungaver	ls	2860 " "	44	March 1834 19		
4 Vunnay Ch	ow	2200 " "	36	D° 2	.. ..	54244 3 3 64
5 Undrungy	arow	3528 " "	21	2 June 1837 1		
6 Velasavelly	anah	1297 " "	10	D° 1	.. ..	16690 132 3
7 Gopaveram	ak	8146 " "	2	1 Nov.r 1840 1		
8 Tannalum	adharaug	16798 " "	9	D° 9		
9 Moomed		12175 " "	6	ruary 1842 6	.. ..	14616 9 5 7
10 Rawly	davamah	64253 " "	11	D° 2		
11 Inavilly	Ristum raug	18790 " "	9	1ay 1844 18 D° in Rawly D° -	.. ..	20823 8 11 12
12 Vandesala	iah and ------	56511 " "	4	bruary 1845 5 D° in Amlapoor D° -		
		27879 14 10	12	Ditto 31 D° in Capaveram D°		
13 Vankat	panarain	2316 15 2	38	Ditto 7 D° in Cotta Rama-	.. ..	5923 14 5 5
14 Panoo	pulbbaraug		22			
			1	June 1845 1 chendrapooram D°	.. ..	26747 7 4 1

No. of Village in each Estate.

This Estate is now included in Nagrum Talook under Government. of these 19 Villages, 18 are included in Nagrum Talook and 1. in Amlapoor.

D° 2 } Included in Amlapoor Talook.

2 June 1837 1 }

D° 1 D° in Cocanada Division -

1 Nov.r 1840 1 }

D° 9 } D° in Amlapoor Talook.

ruary 1842 6 }

D° 2 }

13646 11 Fort St. George

Statement of the Permanent Settlements in the District of Rajahmundry.

18

Names of the Proprietors.	Average Assets on which the Perpetual Settlement was made	Amount of Peishcush payable to the Government	Date of the Sunnud	Remarks.	Total Peishcush payable to the Government
				20	
1 Nagaram	64753 8 "	6695 3 3	5 January 1833	19 This Estate is now included in Nagaram Talook under Government.	
2 Cuddaly-chmiah	53777 8 "	18586 8 6	1 March 1834	19 of these 19 Villages, 18 are included in Nagaram Talook and 1. in Amlapoor.	
3 Nungaser[illegible]s	2860 " "	1366 8 14 2	Do.	2 } Included in Amlapoor Talook.	
4 Vunnay Chi[illegible]ow	2200 " "	42827 " 3 35	2 June 1837	1 }	
5 Undrungy[illegible]arow	3528 " "	54244 3 3 64	Do	1 Do. in Cocanada Division -	
6 Velasavelly[illegible]anah	1297 " "	16690 132 3	1 Nov.r 1840	1 }	
7 Gopaveram[illegible]ah	8146 " "		Do.	9 } Do. in Amlapoor Talook.	
8 Tannalum[illegible]adharaw	16798 " "	14616 9 5 70	6 January 1842	6 }	
9 Moomed[illegible]davanah	12175 " "		Do.	2 }	
10 Rawly — Kistumraw	64253 " "	20823 8 11 12	9 May 1844	18 Do. in Rawly Do. -	
11 Inavilly-iah and	18790 " "		4 February 1845	5 Do. in Amlapoor Do. -	
12 Vandesalai - - - - - -	56511 " "	5923 14 5 592	Ditto	31 Do. in Capaveram Do.	
13 Vankatapanarain	27879 14 10		Ditto	7 } Do. in Cotta Rama-	
14 Panoopulbbaraw	2316 15 2	26747 7 4 179	June 1845	1 } chendrapooram Do. -	

Names of the Estates	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Settlement for Fusly 1257	Arrears on account was sold on Principal	Interest	Remarks.
1	8	9	10		11	12	20
		C Rs a/s p	C Rs	a/s p	C Rs	a/s p	C Rs
36 Pedda Condapoody	uttoola Paupoodoo	2995 4 8	2469	12 ..	1685	.. ..	1365 .. 10 4
37 Tuntecondah	welega Venkenah	4608 7 1	3800	4 ..	4100	.. ..	6636 6 10 245
38 Raupaka	cherlacottah Vencatrow	2394 11 1	1974	12 ..	1160	.. ..	1933 12 .. 4 Included in Cottapilly
39 China Condapoody	ullapilly Sashanah	3676 11 11	3031	12 ..	3125	.. ..	2926 14 7 4 Taloo k
40 Naugumpilly	oorjadah Atchena	2596 3 ..	2025	8 ..	1155	.. ..	614 9 4 7
41 Bolleddoopollem	cha Gopalakistamah	2510 3 3	2070	.. ..	1385	.. ..	1410 1 2 6
	Total Nagumpully Estate	18781 9 ..	15372	.. ..	12610	.. ..	14886129 2 6
42 Bondadah	cherlacottah Vencatrow	18410	27121	8 ..	18228	4 ..	28235 9 5 6 cluded in Woondy Taloo k.
43 Tanoo Koo	Ditto	35372 3 ..	33275	1 7	30808	12 ..	25459 104 7 99 .. in Tanoo koo Do.
44 Ragoodavapooram	Ditto	4236 8 11	4152	6 ..	3990	.. ..	2800 12 6 7 5
45 Moonagala	Ditto	3857 .. 2	3780	5 ..	2090	.. ..	3177 13 .. 8 .. in Cottapelly Do.
46 Cotey	Ditto	3012 9 ..	2952	12 ..	1600	.. ..	2903 14 .. 7 6
47 Moggollah	ullapilly Hunmarloo Ramkistamah	2059 4 1	2018	5 ..	2160	.. ..	1912 134 8 11
	Total part of Ragoodavapooram Estate	13165 6 2	12903	12 ..	9840	.. ..	10795 4 10 10 4 9

13050

13th. Septemb

	Names of the Estates	Name of the Talook in which the Estate is situated	Date of reversion to Government	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Remarks.	Date of reverson to Government	Remarks.
	1	2	3	10	20	3	
48	Doovah	Doovah	27th. March 8	CR a 0 22466 8	luded in Tanoo koo	22d. September 1813	
49	Siddantum	Siddantum	23d. November 18	12799 15 2	look.	19th. September 1833	
50	Mullapilly	Moorary	Ditto	6906 8	these 7 Villages, 5 are luded in Caupararam & 2 in Cottapilly Talook.	21st. September 1838	
51	Moorary	Ditto	21st. September 1	11370 8	luded in Caupararam look.	17th. September 1839	
				18277		Ditto	
52	Yanoogavanelunka	Cauza	19th. September 18	8540		12th. August 1844	
53	Cauza	Ditto	12th. August 18	2362 8	luded in Mogultoor look.	23d. September 1840	
54	Dejamurroo	Ditto	20th. September 2	6205 8		20th. September 1841	
			2	17108			

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

No.	Ancient Feminaries	Proprietary Estates	No. of Entire Mootahs included in Estate.	No. of Subdivided Mootahs included in Estate	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Settlement for Fusly 1257.	Arrears on account of which the was sold or surrendered.- Principal	Interest	Charges of Sibbendy.-	Remarks-
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						CRs. a p	6 Rs. A p	CRs. A p	CRs. A p	CRs. A p	CRs. A p	
48	"	1	"	1	Tolaty Venkiah	5348 " "	5,712 " "	2,850 " "	2,744 3 6	61 4 5	" " "	
49	"	1	"	1	Agnegoondala Suttemah - - - -	20685 " "	19,537 " "	16,520 6 "	18,870 6 5	674 12 5	" " "	
50					Total cheyaroo Estate.	26033 " "	25249 " "	18,570 6 "	21,614 9 11	736 " 10	" " "	
	"	1	1	"	Cocherlacottah Lutch= =medavanah. - - -	16216 3 3	16,191 " "	15,290 " "	18,611 4 11	781 11 11	" " "	
51	"	1	1	"	Autcoory Appulrauz	1498 " "	1800 " "	1,215 " "	1,765 4 2	109 6 9	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Chintaputla Vengiah	25814 1 "	22,269 " "	20,722 3 "	26,363 12 7	1,383 15 1	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Cowloory Purdaseranz	4350 8 "	3,672 12 11	3,100 " "	6,210 8 7	313 11 6	" " "	
					Cundemulla Kistiah yea Total part of attaly Estate	30164 9 "	25,941 12 11	23,822 3 "	32,574 5 2	2,097 10 7	" " "	
52	"	1	"	1	Woopalapauty Jogy	12614 " "	11,769 4 "	9,304 12 "	16,124 4 9	2,202 6 5	" " "	
53					Jugganaah rauz and Vencata kistumrauz -							
54	"	1	"	1	Neckunty Schootaroydoo	3490 " "	3,256 4 "	3,300 " "	4,068 14 7	661 10 3	" " "	
					Total Panoomadum Estate - - -	16104 " "	15,025 8 "	12,604 12 "	20,193 3 4	2,864 " 8	" " "	

P.G.B.

Fort St. George

13th September 1849.

No.	Names of Parcees	Ancient or Permanent Proprietary Estates	No. of Entire Mootahs included in Estate.	No. of Subdivided Mootahs included in Estate	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Settlement for Fusly 1257	Arrear on account of which the was sold or surrendered. — Principal	Interest	Charges of Sibbendy. —	Remarks.
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Rs. a p	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
48	"	1	"	1	Polaty Venkiah	5348 " "	5,712 " "	2,850 " "	2,744 3 6	61 4 5	" " "	
49	"	1	"	1	Agnegoondala Suttemah	20685 " "	19,537 " "	16,520 6 "	18,870 6 5	674 12 5	" " "	
					Total cheyaroo Estate.	26033 " "	25249 " "	18,570 6 "	21,614 9 11	736 " 10	" " "	
50	"	1	1	"	Cocherlacottah Lutchmedavamah	16216 3 2	16,191 " "	15,290 " "	18,611 4 11	781 11 11	" " "	
51	"	1	1	"	Autcoory Appulrauz	1498 " "	1800 " "	1215 " "	1765 4 2	109 6 9	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Chintaputla Vengiah	25814 1 "	22269 " "	20,722 3 "	26,363 12 7	1,383 15 1	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Cowloory Purdaserauz Cundemulla Kistah &c.	4350 8 "	3,672 12 11	3,100 " "	6,210 8 7	1313 11 6	" " "	
52					Total part of attely Estate	30164 9 "	25,941 12 11	23,822 3 "	32,574 5 2	2,697 10 7	" " "	
53	"	1	"	1	Woopalapauty Jogy Jugganaah rauz and Venecatakistumrauz.	12614 " "	11769 4 "	9,304 12 "	16,124 4 9	2,202 6 5	" " "	
54	"	1	"	1	Neckunty Achootaroydoo	3490 " "	3,256 4 "	3,300 " "	4,068 14 7	661 10 3	" " "	
					Total Panoomadum Estate	16104 " "	15,025 8 "	12,604 12 "	20,193 3 4	2,864 " 8	" " "	

J.E.B.

Fort St. George

13th September 1849.

Ancient Numbers	Proprietary Estates	No. of Entire Mootahs included in Estate.	No. of Subdivided Mootahs included in Estate	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Settlement for Fusly 1257	Arrears on account of which the was sold or surrendered.- Principal	Interest	Charges of Sibbendy.-	Remarks.-
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
					C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	
48	"	1	"	1 Tolaty Venkiah	5348 " "	5,712 " "	2,850 " "	2,744 3 6	61 4 5	" " "	
49	"	1	"	1 Agnegoondala Suttemah - - - -	20685 " "	19,537 " "	16,520 6 "	18,870 6 5	674 12 5	" " "	
				Total cheyaroo Estate.	26033 " "	25249 " "	18,570 6 "	21,614 9 11	736 " 10	" " "	
50	"	1	1	" Cocherlacottah Lutch= medavamah. - - -	16216 3 13	16,191 " "	15,290 " "	18,611 4 11	781 11 11	" " "	
51	"	1	1	" Autcoory Appulrauz	1498 " "	1800 " "	1,215 " "	1,765 4 2	109 6 9	" " "	
	"	1	"	1 Chintaputla Vengiah	25814 1 "	22,269 " "	20,722 3 "	26,363 12 7	1,383 15 1	" " "	
	"	1	"	1 Cowloory Purdaserauz Cundemulla Kistah ycu	4350 8 "	3,672 12 11	3,100 " "	6,210 8 7	313 11 6	" " "	
52				Total part of attaly Estate	30164 9 "	25,941 12 11	23,822 3 "	32,574 5 2	2,697 10 7	" " "	
	"	1	"	1 Woopalapauty Joggy Jugganaahrauz and Vencata Kistumrauz.	12614 " "	11,769 4 "	9,304 12 "	16,124 4 9	2,202 6 5	" " "	
54		1	"	1 Neckunty Achootaroydoo	3490 " "	3,256 4 "	3,300 " "	4,068 14 7	661 10 3	" " "	
				Total Panoonadum Estate - - -	16104 " "	15,025 8 "	12,604 12 "	20,193 3 4	2,864 " 8	" " "	

J.E.B.

Fort St. George

13th September 1849.

Name	Ancient Pagodas Estates	Proprietary Estates	No. of Entire Mootahs included in Estate	No. of Subdivided Mootahs included in Estate	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Settlement for Fusly 1257	Arrears on account of which the was sold or surrendered.- Principal	Interest	Charges of Sibbendy	Remarks
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P	
48	"	1	"	1	Tolaty Venkiah	5348 " "	5,712 " "	2,850 " "	2,744 3 6	61 4 5	" " "	
49	"	1	"	1	Agnegoondala Suttemah	20685 " "	19,537 " "	16,520 6 "	18,870 6 5	674 12 5	" " "	
50					Total cheyaroo Estate.	26033 " "	25249 " "	18,570 6 "	21,614 9 11	736 " 10	" " "	
51	"	1	1	"	Cocherlacottah Lutchmedavamah	16216 3 3	16,191 " "	15,290 " "	18,611 4 11	781 11 11	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Autcoory Appulrauz	1498 " "	1800 " "	1,215 " "	1,765 4 2	109 6 9	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Chintaputla Vengiah	25814 1 "	22,269 " "	20,722 3 "	26,363 12 7	1,383 15 1	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Cowtoory Purdaserauz Cundemulla Kistiah &ca	4350 8 "	3,672 12 11	3,100 " "	6,210 8 7	313 11 6	" " "	
52					Total part of attaly Estate	30164 9 "	25,941 12 11	23,822 3 "	32,574 5 2	2,097 10 7	" " "	
53	"	1	"	1	Woopalapauty Joggy Jugganaahrauz and Venatakistumrauz	12614 " "	11,769 4 "	9,304 12 "	16,124 4 9	2,202 6 5	" " "	
54	"	1	"	1	Neckunty Achootaroydoo	3490 " "	3,256 4 "	3,300 " "	4,068 14 7	661 10 3	" " "	
					Total Panoomadum Estate	16104 " "	15,025 8 "	12,604 12 "	20,193 3 4	2,864 " 8	" " "	

J.G.B.

Fort St. George

13th September 1849.

No.	Ancient Jemin. Varies	Proprietary Estates	No. of Entire Mootahs included in Estate.	No. of Subdivided Mootahs included in Estate	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush.	Settlement for Fusly 1257.	Arrears on account of which the was sold or surrendered.- Principal	Interest	Charges of Sibbendy.	Remarks.
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Rs. a p	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
48	"	1	"	1	Tolaty Venkiah	5348 " "	5,712 " "	2,850 " "	2,744 3 6	61 4 5	" " "	
49	"	1	"	1	Agnegoondala Suttemah	20685 " "	19,537 " "	16,520 6 "	18,870 6 5	674 12 5	" " "	
					Total cheyaroo Estate.	26033 " "	25249 " "	18,570 6 "	21,614 9 11	736 " 10	" " "	
50	"	1	1	"	Cocherlacottah Lutch=medavamah	16216 3 12	16,191 " "	15,290 " "	18,611 4 11	781 11 11	" " "	
51	"	1	1	"	Autcoory Appulrauz	1498 " "	1,800 " "	1,215 " "	1,765 4 2	109 6 9	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Chintaputla Vengiah	25814 1 "	22,269 " "	20,722 3 "	26,363 12 7	1,383 15 1	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Cowtoory Purdaserauz Cundemulla Kistiah &ca	4350 8 "	3,672 12 11	3,100 " "	6,210 8 7	313 11 6	" " "	
52					Total part of attely Estate	30164 9 "	25,941 12 11	23,822 3 "	32,574 5 2	2,097 10 7	" " "	
	"	1	"	1	Woopalapauty Jogy Juggannah rauz and Vencata kistumrauz	12,614 " "	11,769 4 "	9,304 12 "	16,124 4 9	2,202 6 5	" " "	
54	"	1	"	1	Neckunty Achootaroydoo	3490 " "	3,256 4 "	3,300 " "	4,068 14 7	661 10 3	" " "	
					Total Panoomadum Estate	16104 " "	15,025 8 "	12,604 12 "	20,193 3 4	2,864 " 8	" " "	

J.G.B.

13852

Ancient Estate Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Total Amount of Purchase Money	Names of the Estates	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Remarks.	Ancient Proprietary Emindaries. Estates.	Remarks.
4 15	16				4 5	
Rs As	Rs As		Rs As			
48 " 2805 7 11	3 8	63 Achenta	6668 3 7	4620 Included in Mogultoor Talook	Sold under	
					Reverted	
40 " 19545 2 10	9000	64 Laudemullah	9443 12 "	2 } Do in Laudemullah Do	Surrendered	"
22350 10 9	9003			3 5 }	"	"
50 " 19393 " 10	18500	65 Laudooroy	1731 7 "		"	"
				2	"	"
51 " 1874 10 11	1000	66 Cottapilly	6175 3 "		"	"
" 27747 11 8	1000		31257 4	133 Of these 33 Villages 31 are included in Cottapilly and 2 in Caupaveram Taloo[illegible].	"	"
" 75244 1	7524	67 Panoomentra	6191 " 8	212 Of these 12 Villages 10 are included in Panoorool in Woondy & 1 in Mogultoor Taloo[illegible].	"	"
35271 15 9	8524	68 Rajahmundry	84155	51 Included in Rajahmundry Taloo[illegible]	"	"
5		69 Cottah	7462 2	629 Of these 29 Villages, 21 are included in Cotah Ramachendra poorum Taloo[illegible] & 8 in Amalapoor	"	"
" 18326 11 2	1000					"
5		70 Ramachendrapoorum	1461 11 10	637 Included in Cotah Ramachendra poorum Taloo[illegible]		"
5 " 4730 8 10	4600				/ "	"
			7892 14 "	12		"
23057 4 "	5600					"
			5472 " 10	11		"
						"
						"

13655

Fort St. George

13th September 1849.-

Names of the Estates.-	Name of the Taloog in which the Estate is situated.	Date of reversion to Government	Amount of Zemindaries	Proprietory Estates	No. of Pantiee Mootahs included in Estate.	No. of Subdivisions of Mootahs included in Estate	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietor at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Amount of Peishcush	Settlement for Fasly	Arrears on acc the Estate u Surren Principal	Interes
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
								Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A
20 Valumpollem	Valumpollem	"	"	1	1	"	Angara Bramazy Kistna and Row Rama-row Joint Zemindars	18947 12 "	12,632 3 "	12,632 3 "	" " " " " "	
21 Vagayamapettah	Vagayempetta	"	1	"	1	"	Vadravoo Somapah Zemindar	13121 8 "	8,750 " "	8,750 " "	" " " " " "	
22 Paunungepully	Paunungepully	"	1	"	1	"	Subsrivies Jogiah Ventiah Naganah Gopalrow Joint Zemindars	1179 8 "	854 " "	854 " "	" " " " " "	
23 MootEamala	MootEamala	"	1	"	1	"	Doovoory Venkoo Somedalamah Zemindar	588 " "	133 " "	133 " "	" " " " " "	
24 Jaulemoody	Jaulemoody	"	3	"	3	"	Zillalah Bhanajy Ramurs Do	12962 9 5	1,996 1 "	1,996 1 "	" " " " " "	
25 Seerasavilly Saveram	Seerasavilly Saveram	"	1	"	1	"	Zillaha Janeka-ram Mortinjalhand Zemindar	4505 10	300 3 11	300 3 11	" " " "	
26 Hoondaswara poorum	Hoondaswara poorum.	"	2	"	2	"	Gamoy Venkatrama-nah Do	848 " "	837 " "	837 " "	" " " " " "	
27 Talakecharla and Goondapully	Talakecharla	"	2	"	2	"	Cal Eerlapoody Chendriah Do	3426 8 "	1925 " "	1925 " "	" " " " " "	
28 Yalamunchily	Yalamuncholy	"	1	"	1	"	Cundoo Koory Mulle-Kurjoonabhooshana row Zemindar	17920 " "	12,000 " "	12,000 " "	" " " " " "	
29 Vellah	Vellah	"	1	"	1	"	Cousee Meeshum Shuddeen Proprietor	2156 " "	1,445 5 8 "	1,445 5 8 "	" " " " " "	
30 Gootalah	Gootalah	"	"	1	1	"	Munnum Venkatratnum Ditto	74302 " "	39,357 8 "	39,357 8 "	" " " " " "	

13655

Fort St. George

13th September 1849.-

Names of the Estates.-	Name of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Date of reversion to Government	Ancient Zemindaries	Proprietory Estates	No. of Pattis & Mootahs included in Estate.	No. of Subdivisions & Mootahs included in Estate.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
20 Valumpollem	Valumpollem	"	"	1	1	"
21 Vagayamapettah	Vagayempetta	"	1	"	1	"
22 Paunungepully	Paunungepully	"	1	"	1	"
23 Moot Kamala	Moot Kamala	"	1	"	1	"
24 Jaulemoody	Jaulemoody	"	3	"	3	"
25 Seerasavilly = Saveram	Seerasavilly = Saveram	"	1	"	1	"
26 Hoondaswara = poorum	Hoondaswara = poorum.	"	2	"	2	"
27 Tale Kecherla and Goondapully	Tale Kecherla	"	2	"	2	"
28 Yalamunchily	Yalamuncholy	"	1	"	1	"
29 Vellah	Vellah	"	1	"	1	"
30 Gootalah	Gootalah	"	"	1	1	"

Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietor at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Settlement for Fusly 1257		Arrears on acc the Estate u Surren		Rs.
8		9	10	11	12	13	
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A	
Angara Bramazy Kistna and Row Rama- row Joint Zemindars	18947/12 u	12,632 3 u	12,632 3 u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Vadravoo Somapah Zemindar	13121 8 u	8750 u u	8750 u u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Subsivie Jogiah Venkiah Naganah Gopalrow Joint Zemindars	1179 8 u	854 u u	854 u u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Doovoory Venkoo Somedalamah Zemindar	588 u u	133 u u	133 u u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Zillalah Bhanajy Ramars Dc	2962 9 5	1,996 1 u	1,996 1 u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Zillalah Janeko: = ram Moortinjalhand Zemindar	4505 10	300 3 11	300 3 11	u u u	u u u	u u	
Gamoy Vencatrama = nah Dc	848 u u	837 u u	837 u u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Cat Kerlapoody Chendriah Dc	3426 8 u	1925 u u	1925 u u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Cundoor Kooryo Mulle:= = Kerjoonabhooshana row Zemindar	1920 u u	12,000 u u	12,000 u u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Cautee Meeshum = Shuddeen Proprietor	2156 u u	1,445 8 u	1,445 8 u	u u u	u u u	u u	
Munnum Venkutrutnum Ditto	74402 u	39,357 8 u	39,357 8 u	u u u	u u u	u u	

13655

Names of Estate	Amount which was sold or rendered. - Sibbendy Charges.	Total	Amount of Purchase Money.	Allowances granted by Government to the Proprietor	Allowances granted by Government to the relations of the Proprietor	Date of the Sale being Sanctioned by Government	Remarks.	No. of Villages in each Village
	14	15	16	17	18	19		
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs			
20 Valum	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
21 Vagay	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
22 Panun	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
23 Moot	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
24 Jaul	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
25 Seera	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
Sanen	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
26 Koon	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
poon	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
27 Sal								
and	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
28 Yal								
	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
29 V								
	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	"			
30 G								

13656

Names of Estates -	Rs A P
1	14
31 Mooroomun	" " "
32 Hoolcompet Boochempet	" " "
33 Bommaar	" " "
34 Dowlaswer	" " "
	" " "
35 Conelanado	" " "
36 Polacroo	" " "
	" " "
37 Chagulloo	" " "
38 Durmaver	" " "
	" " "
39 Vungalapood	" " "
40 Cantancram	" " "
	" " "

19th September 1849

Total Amount of Purchase Money	Allowances granted by Government to the Proprietor	Allowances granted by Government to the relations of the Proprietor	Date of the Sale being Sanctioned by Government	Remarks.	No. of Villages in each Village
15	16	17	18	19	
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			
" " "	" " "	"			

13657

Fort St. George

13th

Names of the Estates	Name of the Talook in which the Estate is situated	Date of reversion to Government	Inclusive Gomastahs Fees	Proprietary Estate	No. of Gentoo Huts to be included in Estate	No. of Choultries Huts to be included in Estate	No. of Villages in each Estate	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
41 Nadavapilly	Neelapilly	"	"	1	"	1		
42 Goodenadevy	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
43 Mullenveram	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
44 Patavala & Goorjava Pilly	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
45 Neelapilly	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
46 Bheemole	Poleveram	"	"	1	"	1		
47 Annadaverapaudo	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
48 Pruchalumba	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
49 Mulapilly	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
50 Ganapaveram	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
51 Jungareddegoodem	Do	"	"	1	"	1		
								2 Under

Name of sale Proprietors date &c:	Inclusive Gomastahs Fees	Proprietary Estates	No. of Gentoo Huts to be included in Estate	No. of Choultries Huts to be included in Estate	No. of Villages in each Estate	Remarks
	4	5	6	7		
Agnegundy	"					3 Under Managem
Tamadapilly	"					
Polaty		1	"	1	"	Mee
Chinnum	"					
Goondapilly	"					
Joint Do						4 Under Ma
Damaveram	4					
	"					Coch
Moolaveram		"	1	"	1	Me
Chinnum	4					
Total Rent	4					To
Sheeramapilly						by
Sheeraj	"					
approved						768 9 6
Envoguntapilly	4					
Chinnaveram						
	"					
Codavaty Cauvaram	4					
Joint Do						
Cocherlapilly						
Ramadevapilly	4					
Proprietors						
Cocherlapilly						
Machemdapilly	4					
Devarapilly						
Total Sheeramapilly	4					
Total of Cocherlapilly Management	4					
Manapilly						

was sold

Nar

of Estat

x

5

7 a D

aving escheated to G
strict the several Estates
veral persons in whose
been granted to the diffe
eram Reddy Nursimh
his Estates were sold
ears confinement. His
Government

13657

Fort St. George

13th September 1849

Names of the present Proprietors at the date of Estate reversion	Average assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made	Amount of Permanent Peishcush	Arrears on account of which the Estate was sold or Surrendered. Settlement for Fusly 1257-	Principal	Interest	Charges of Sibbandy	Total
1	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
41 Nadavap — ent of the Collector under orders of the Civil							
42 Goodena — rjah Attally Khan — minor Zemindar	2201 8 "	1946 " "	1946 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "
43 Muller							
44 Patavala — nagement of the Collector on account of							
45 Neelap — erla Venkat Jagannadarow — minor Zemindar	20211 3 "	11977 " "	11977 " "	" "	" "	" "	" "
— tal of Estates still held — their Proprietors	9085721 9	620391 6 3	620391 6 2	" "	" "	" "	" "
46 Bheer							
Grand Total	24745451 5 8	1912110 14 11	1875625 12 8	2181030 1 8	652738 7 10	" "	2833768 9 6
47. Annao — Government before the introduction of the Perma							
48 Pru — Rcomprising the Zemindary were put up to public auc							
— ames Permanent Cowles were granted. An Annual							
49. Mut — rents. Members of the family of the original Zemindar							
— Davoo having rebelled against Government and							
50 Ganap — and he was sent as Prisioner to Chingleput							
51 Jungar — Widow Vencayemmah now receives an allowance							

Minority of the Proprietor

13060 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Amount of Allowance allowed by Government to the Purchasers of Sunderkaree Salt

Allowance allowed by Government to the Purchasers of Sunderkaree Salt

Date of issuing the Certificate

Remarks

Rupees 15 17 18 19

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

" 29 6 Bark Venkataswaradoo Chinta Reddy Potanbuttoola Byravadoo } Corunga White 7,500 " 62 200 "

13,500 " 112 200 "

Rajahmundry District, Samulcottah, 4th September 1849.

Signed J. Prendergast Collector

Ordered.

13061

Register of Certificates issued for the Exportation of Salt to Bengal for the month of August 1849. in the District of Rajahmundry.

20

Year and Month	Date	Number of Certificate	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ship Owners	By whom Exported	From whence Exported	Sort	Bengal Maunds	Madras Garces
									B. Mds	S. Mds
1849 Augt.	10	5	Brig Sultelingamoo	Cautandy Nauridoo	Serooty	Serooty Cautiah / Cautiah		Corunga White	6,000 "	50 " "
"	29	6	Bark Venkataswaradoo	Chinta Reddy	Potanbuttoola Byravadoo	Potanbuttoola Byravadoo		Corunga White	7,500 "	62 200 "
									13,500 "	112 200 "

13001

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Register of Certificates issued for the Exportation of Salt to Bengal for the month of August 1849. in the District of Rajahmundry.

20

Year and Month.	Date.	Number of Certificate.	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Commanders	Names of the Ship Owners	By whom Exported	From whence Exported.	Sort	Bengal Maunds.	Madras Garees.
									B. Mds	S. Mds.
1849										
Augt.	16	5	Brig Sultelingum	Santandy Nauridoo.	Serrocotty Santiah	Serrocotty Santiah	Corringa	White	6,000	50
"	29	6	Bark Venkatawaradoo	Chinta Reddy	Potanbuttoola Byravdoo	Potanbuttoolas Byravdoo	Corringa	White	7,500	62 20
									13,500	112 20

Rajahmundry District, Samulcottah, 4th September 1849.

Signed J. Prendergast
Collector

Ordered.

Declaration by Nacoda of Vessel cleared at Coconada the 16th August 1849 for Calcutta.

Order thereon

18-19

Cleared to the above.

13062 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Order thereon.

(20-21)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To, F. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry (22)

Sir, I have reply to your letter of the 27th Ultimo I have the honor to state that no investments to have been made in the public Securities of Government on account of any Estates in this District now under Management.—

Rajahmundry District,
Samulcottah,
5th September, 1849.

Signed J. Prendergast
Collector.

Order thereon.

(22-23)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter

To, F. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Masulipatam (24)

Sir, With reference to your circular letter dated 27th Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that

13063 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

there is no practice of Manufacturing Spirits in this District after the European process of distillation.—

Masulipatam,
Collectors Circuit Kutcherry
at Ellore.
3d September, 1849.

Signed P. F. Porter
Collector

Order thereon.

(24-25)

Ordered to lie over.

To, F. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Masulipatam (26)

Sir, In reply to your circular letter of the 27th Ultimo. I have the honor to inform you that no Money is invested in the public Securities of Government on account of any Estates now under Management in this District.—

Masulipatam
Collectors Circuit Kutcherry
at Ellore,
5th September, 1849.

Signed P. F. Porter
Collector

Order thereon.

(26-27)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following

Statement.—

Names

1366 4 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

This blank form is transmitted with reference to the Board's Circular dated the 28 27th Ultimo.—

Names of the Estate.	Name of Proprietor.	Why Estate under Management.	No. and value of Bonds and of what Loan	When invested.	In whose Name investment Made	Where Bonds are deposited.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

None

Guntoor Collectors Office, 7th September, 1849.

Signed H. Stokes. Collector.

Order thereon.— Ordered to lie over.— Read.

28-29

1365 Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Proceedings. Read letter from the Collector of Guntoor dated the 7th Instant with Statement of Bonds deposited with the Collector by Proprietors of Estates under Management.

Ordered that the Collector be informed that the Bonds must be deposited with the Sub Treasurer.

Extract to Guntoor for Information and Guidance.

30

The Secretary Board of Revenue.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit herewith for the information of the Board a Statement of Bonds deposited with me by Proprietors of Estates under Management.

I have accordingly addressed the Sub Treasurer of Masulipatam to receive the Bonds specified in the Statement from the Collector of Guntoor.

The Board of Revenue will observe that the Bonds for Rupees 1250 Value have been deposited with the Sub Treasurer of Masulipatam instead of the Sub Treasurer of Guntoor, as the Collector of Guntoor has not yet received the Treasury Regulations.

The Sub Treasurer of Masulipatam has also been directed to receive the Bonds for Rupees 2500 Value deposited with the Sub Treasurer of Guntoor, as the Collector of Guntoor has not yet received the Treasury Regulations. The Board will observe that the Bonds for Rupees 2500 Value are not to be endorsed as a Collection but as a Deposit.

I am &c.

Sd/ H. Stokes Collector

Dept. 12th June 1848.

2

13665

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Proceedings.

30

Read letter from the Collector of Cuddapha under date 30th November 1848 submitting explanation on certain points connected with the Settlement of his District for Fusly 1250.

In Cons. 15th March 1849.

(Here enter No. 100.)

The first six Paras of the foregoing letter do not call for remark. Mr. Cochrane has sufficiently shown that the large increase in Fusly 1250 above the revenue of preceding years resulted from the propitious character of the season. — The Government in their Extract Minutes Consultation of 3rd June 1848 have not questioned this fact, on the contrary they expressed themselves "highly satisfied with the favorable aspect of the resources of the District which the Collector's report presents." The remark which follows in the same Para is not to be understood as a reflection but as a Caution and Mr. Cochrane should regard it in that light. —

In Cons. 17th June 1848

2 In Para 6th of his settlement report

report for Fasly 1250 the Collector had stated that the granting of "waste land on the terms of Sir T. "Munro's Cowles had been discon- "tinued, as the parties had invaria- "bly thrown up the lands at the expiration "of their leases", and this latter circumstance was referred to as a proof that the lands were highly assessed, otherwise it was argued the Cowledars would have retained them. In Para 8 of his letter now under review Mr. Cockrane explains that the prohibitory orders in question were not issued by him nor was it his intention in the words above quoted to imply that the prohibition had been direct and absolute. The orders it seems promulgated before Mr. Cockrane joined the District did not altogether prohibit the grant of land on Cowle but debar the parties who might take such lands from relinquishing it at the termi- nation of the Cowle which in fact amounted to a withdrawal of the

privilege, as few or none could be found to avail themselves of it under such restrictions. The Collector states that he did not mean that the literal construction should be put upon his expressions in respect to the withholding of Cowles, but the Board and Government could of course only understand his words in their ordinary acceptation, and as no allu- sion was made to the act of any previous revenue authority they could only suppose that the prohibition alluded to emanated from Mr. Cochrane himself, and that it was absolute in its terms as it practically was in its operation.—

3 In proof however apparently of his statement that the lands taken upon Cowle under the old Cowle system were invariably "or generally" abandoned at the expiration of the Cowle period and that such relinquish- ment again was the consequence of the high assessment which those lands usually bore, the Collector has submitted—

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

submitted an abstract statement C showing for the two principal Talooks — (Summulumdgoo — and Doovoor) in which black cotton land prevails, — the quantity of land taken up on lease for 7 years antecedent to the date of (Fusly 1247 seemingly) on which the restrictive orders were issued, the amount retained by the lessedars or agreed for by other ryots on the expiration of the leases, and that altogether relinquished. —

4 On examining this account the Board find that so far from the lands taken up on lease bearing a high teeruah, the average assessment in the whole quantity Ac 80,129 — 5/4 (inclusive of 675 acres highly assessed land in Doovoor) is only about Rupees 2 per acre. They find also that of the above extent acres 6149 or more than three-fourths including acres 3854 or nearly half the highly rated land in Doovoor, remained in cultivation after the expiration of the leases acres 1935 being retained by the Ryots

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

themselves, and acres 4214 taken by other ryots and that only acres 1921 bearing a teeruah of Rupees 5317 were left waste. — It was to be expected that some part of the land taken up would be ultimately relinquished and that of the land so abandoned some and perhaps a considerable proportion would be of the higher classes. The result however as above shown, of the lease system in Summulumdgoo and Doovoor (from Fusly 1231 to Fusly 1247) cannot be considered disadvantageous either to the Sircar or the Ryots. It is also altogether at variance with the Collector's Statement that the lease land was "generally" or "invariably" thrown up at the end of the lease and proves that Government were warranted in distrusting that statement. —

Ext. Min. Govt. 3 June 1848 Para 11

5 The necessary orders annulling the existing restrictions upon the grant of leases were, it is stated (Para 12) issued on receipt of the Extract

Para 13.

Minutes

Minister be consulted, as Ryots however "by throwing up the lands attained on "lease before the expiration of their "Leases and taking up a new piece of "Waste might convert an indulgence into "an abuse, it has been considered "in order to guard the public interest that "they should be compelled to act up "to their engagements under penalty of "being made to pay the full rent for the "year in which the lands may have "been so previously abandoned. It "has also been stipulated with a "view to inducement being held out "to others to agree for such lands so "abandoned at the expiration of the "lease that the same should be "delivered over in an arable condition "having been ploughed and cultivated "in each year during the period for which "the lease may have been granted."

As regards the first of the orders above mentioned it is to be remarked that a ryot who takes land on lease is bound to pay for it at the lease rates during the whole period for which the lease

extends, one year at full assessment included - he cannot be allowed, as seems to be intended by the Collector, to throw up the land on any year of the lease, paying only full Cowle for that year. Neither secondly, can he be compelled to cultivate it in each year or in any year of the lease or to leave it in an arable condition. He is obliged only to pay the assessment upon it according to the lease tenure and so long as he does so should not be interfered with, whether he cultivate the land or not, which may well be left to his own discretion. The Board cannot perceive the propriety or necessity of either of the stipulations imposed by the Collector, and they desire that they may both be annulled and that Mr. Cockrane will not introduce any such innovations in future without previous sanction. They likewise request that in furnishing his report upon the Settlement

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

Settlement of the Fasly. just expired
the Collector will be so good as
to submit Copies and translations
of all the orders upon the
subject of levels which he may
have passed since the receipt of
the Extract Minutes Consultation
3d June 1848, together with a
Statement shewing the extent of
land taken on levels during
Fasly 1258.

In Para 11 Mr Cockburne
observes that "the Government have
"been pleased to record that he
"has evidently not written from actual
"data in having roundly stated that
"in the 5 Talooks in which Cotton
"is cultivated no less than 10,000
"acres, the total average assess-
"ment of which is Rupees 20,000 has
"remained fallow in consequence of
"the assessment of the land being too
"high, he submits that he never
"meant to imply that the average
"assessment of lands capable
"of Cotton Cultivation is the same

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

"nearly the same in each Talook, as
"he was fully aware that the rates of
"assessment differ even in some
"instances in the same sorts of land
"in different Villages" "that there
"has been some misconception of the
"purport of Para 63 of his report in
"as much as it applied to the total
"average assessment of land left uncult
"rated in the 5 Talooks referred to,
"which was estimated in round
"numbers at the amount specified,
"while the No. of acres for each
"Talook was marginally entered
"shewing a rough calculation of the
"relative proportion of such lands
"in each". In advancing what
he did in respect to the computed
extent of waste lands of the
description specified and the
total average assessment thereon"
he was, he continues "satisfied from
"all enquiries that had been made
"year after year at the time of
"the annual Settlement of those
"Talooks referred to that the

rough

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

"rough calculation given to him by his Sheristadar would prove tolerably c
"Correct, he has since caused detailed
"returns to be submitted from each
"of these Talooks and the result sho
"that the No of acres only fallo
"of that specified by 1010—28—13 whil
"the amount of assessment thereon
"exceeds by Rupees 7259. 5-9 that ascer
"as will appear by the accompanying
"Statement marked D'.

8

In Para 63 of his said Minute
report for Fuoly 1256 the Collector had
represented that in five Talooks of the
District under than 10,000 acres at an
average assessment of Rupees 20,000 were
computed to have laid fallow in
Consequence of the high assessment and
reference was made to a Statement in
the margin purporting to shew the
extent of such land in each Talook
and its average assessment each. The
that statement the average assessment
for each Talook divided by the number
of acres gave the same result viz
Rupees 2 per acre, and whatever may have

D.G.

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

been Mr. Cochrane's meaning, it is difficult
to see what other conclusion could be
drawn from his statement than that
which the Government deduced from
it, viz. that the average assessment of
the uncultivated lands in each of
the 5 Talooks was to be understood
as being the same or nearly the same.
That the Collector further was
"not writing from actual data"
appears from his own admission
that his statement was founded
upon "a rough calculation" given
to him by his Sheristadar. This
calculation moreover proves to be
in excess of the total quantity
of uncultivated land as ascertain
=ed by actual investigation by more
than one tenth, while the details
for each Talook differ remarkably
from those given in the marginal
Statement of Para 63 of the report
for Fuoly 1256 as shewn below

according

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

	according to marginal Statement	As per Statement D in Collector's letter of 30th Novr 1848				
	acres	overact				
Doovoor	5,000	10,000	4,613	17	3	13,108	9	8
Summulmudgoo	2,000	4,000	2,934	9	15¼	10,059	2	2
Calgoontlah	1,000	2,000	583	2	11¼	1,917	-	3
Cumalapoor	1,000	2,000	310	28	9	870	8	4
Poolevandalah	1,000	2,000	548	-	12½	1,304	1	4

9. Statement **D** purports to exhibit the extent of waste land in the 5 Talooks therein mentioned capable of producing Cotton Viz^t. Acres 8989-19-3 assessed at Rupees 27,259-5-9 or at an average at Rupees 3-4-6. To what years the Statement applies is not explained. This is contrasted, in Para 17 of the Collector's letter, with the extent of lands under culture, being acres 84,160. But this last quantity is that under Cotton Cultivation for Fuoly 1255 throughout the

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

whereas as already remarked the waste acres 8989 is that of 5 Talooks only. It does not appear how much of this waste was Circar and how much Enam land (the proportion of the latter to the former in the cultivation of Fuoly 1255 is more than three to five) nor how much was left uncultivated in the other Talooks of the District - nor why these particular Talooks should have been selected as examples - the Cotton Cultivation in one of them, Camalapore being less than that carried on in the Talooks of Cumbum, Doopaud and Budwail. Moreover the rates of assessment on the lands entered in Statement **D** range as high as Rupees 8-12- and some of the higher rates can scarcely have been fixed upon the land as Cotton land or in reference to its outturn under that species of Cultivation.

Circar — 50,533
Enam — 33,627
Para 50 Report on Settlement.

From what has been

10.

remarked

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849

remarked in the preceding para, it is evident that no safe conclusions in respect to the assessment upon the waste Cotton lands of Guntoor-dapah can be arrived at, from Statement **D**.- The Board will not be backward in recommending such reduction of land tax upon the soils fitted for producing Cotton as may be required for the extension of that description of Cultivation. but the question clearly demands more careful inquiry and mature deliberation than Mr. Cockrane has yet bestowed upon it. He will therefore reconsider the subject, and should he still see reason to adhere to his present views, will report upon it as a separate question. He will at the same time submit statements showing for each Village in which there may be Cotton land waste. the entire Cotton land agacut. the extent of Cultivation at each rate of assessment and that left

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849

waste, also Deetwar specifying how much in each is Sircar land and how much is Enam, and in the latter case distinguishing as far as practicable between the Nominal rates and those actually received by the Enamdars.- He will further satisfy himself by personal inspection as far as he may be able by the examination of trust worthy servants that the lands so classed are really Cotton lands.- will state whether any other causes beyond the weight of the assessment, such as absence of demand.- want of population or agricultural stock and Capital have tended to keep the lands out of Cultivation.- when the present rates may seem excessive.- he will also explain distinctly the reductions which he would propose, the data upon which they are recommended and their bearing upon the general assessment of the District.-

In

11

In Para. 20. the Collector explains in reference to Para. 66 of his report and to the remarks made upon it by Government that the centage /41%/ there quoted of the assessment on the lands in proportion to the value of the produce has reference only to the higher assessed lands and not to the average of the whole, which however is the proportion of 32%. This may be so, but it certainly was not to be gathered from the Para. in question nor from that /Para. 50/ of the report on the preceding Fusly /1255/ to which it seems to have allusion. The expressions there used were general, Mr. Cochrane states the market value of cotton at 43 Rupees per "maund" this is probably a clerical error as per Candy 12.

In the concluding Para. of his letter Mr. Cochrane begs that "as the strictures which have been passed upon him in his official

capacity might leave an unfavorable impression on the minds of the Honorable the Court of Directors to whom his report on the settlement of Fusly 1256, and the Government orders thereon have been transmitted, his explanation may also be forwarded to the same authority." This the Board regards as wholly unnecessary - as already observed, the Government in the Extract Minutes Consultation 3d. June 1848 declared themselves highly satisfied with the outturn of the year on which the Collector had reported - They noticed indeed some inconsistencies in the report and some points upon which Mr. Cochrane had ventured statements or opinions without due consideration, as in regard to the general relinquishment of Cowle lands - and the uniform average

13682 Fort St. George 13th September 1849

average assessment of lands left waste in the 5 Talooks - but the Board cannot think that the explanations submitted by the Collector in his letter under review have in any way weakened the force of the remarks expressed by Government on these topics - The Board cannot therefore transmit Mr. Cochrane's letter to Government and he must consider the questions discussed in it as closed - except as regards the subject referred to in Para 10 of these proceedings on which he will be at liberty to submit his views as a distinct question, if he see cause, when he has acquired the necessary degree of information. -

Read the following letter. -

I. G. B. -

To

13683. Fort St. George. 13th September 1849.

To, J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

31

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Runnoor. - - - - -

Reporting the period from which Saderwaree ceased to be paid to the Hindoo and Mahomedan Institutions in his District and the total amount payable on this account to the close of the past Fusly 1268 with certain observations regarding the retrospective disbursement of this allowance -

Sir,

1. In reply to your letter dated 20th Instant requesting me to state the period from which Saderwaree ceased to be paid to the Hindoo and Mahomedan Religious Institutions in this District, and desiring me to furnish a Statement exhibiting the total amount which would be payable on this account to the close of the past Fusly 1268 - should the Government order its disbursement in arrear from the time of last payment -

2- I have the honor to report that the Saderwaree in question was not disbursed after the close of Fusly 1251.

3- The amount now payable under the circumstances above supposed will be Rupees 53,885 - 10 - 1 at the rate of Rupees 1,983 - 10 - 7 for seven

13682 Fort St. George 13th September 1849

average assessment of lands left waste in the 5 Talooks - but the Board cannot think that the explanations submitted by the Collector in his letter under review have in any way weakened the force of the remarks expressed by Government on these topics - The Board cannot therefore transmit Mr. Cochrane's letter to Government and he must consider the questions discussed in it as closed - except as regards the subject referred to in Para 10 of these proceedings on which he will be at liberty to submit his views as a distinct question, if he see cause, when he has acquired the necessary degree of information. -

Read the following letter. -

To

13683. Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

31

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Purnool. - - - -

Reporting the period from which Saderwared ceased to be paid to the Hindoo and Mahomedan Institutions in this District and the total amount payable on this account to the close of the past Fusly 1258 with certain observations regarding the retrospective disbursement of this allowance -

Sir,

1 In reply to your letter dated 20th Instant requesting me to state the period from which Saderwared ceased to be paid to the Hindoo and Mahomedan Religious Institutions in this District, and desiring me to furnish a Statement exhibiting the total amount which would be payable on this account to the close of the past Fusly 1258 - & should the Government order its disbursement in arrear from the time of last payment -

2- I have the honor to report that the Saderwared in question was not disbursed after the close of Fusly 1251.

3- The amount now payable under the circumstances above supposed will be Rupees 55,885 - 10 - 1 at the rate of Rupees 1,983 - 10 - 7 for

Seven

13684- Fort St. George, 13th September 1849.

seven Fusilies or from Fusily 1252 to 1258 both inclusive-

4. I take this opportunity of stating that so far as my observation and communications with the inhabitants of the District enable me to form an opinion, no expectation has been entertained that the Saderwared &c would be again allowed and still less that it would be restored to them retrospectively from the date when it ceased- I would further represent that it will be a matter of extreme difficulty to select persons whose respectability and position in this District will justify my placing in their hands the large sums likely to become due if a retrospective payment be ultimately resolved on-

Turnool, - - - -
Agent's Office,
27th August, 1849-

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Order thereon.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address-

To

13685- Fort St. George, 13th September 1849-

To The Chief Secretary to Government-

Sir,

(31-32)

To the Chief Secretary to Government. - - -

Submitting letter from the Agent at Turnool Enclosing 4 Statements of Pagoda and Mosque allowances service Yeomiahs charitable allowances & Saderwared to Religious Institutions and conveying the views of the Board on the inexpediency of sanctioning the Saderwared to Religious Institutions-

In Cons. 27th August 1849-
In Cons. 20 Sept. 1849-

1. With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 12th April last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward herewith the accompanying letter from the Agent to the Governor at Turnool, dated 2nd Ultimo submitting four Statements exhibiting respectively the sums payable on account of 1st Pagoda and Mosque allowances- 2nd Service Yeomiahs- 3rd Charitable allowances, and 4th Saderwared to Religious Institutions, as per sanction of Government contained in the Minutes of Consultation quoted above. -

2- It will be seen that the aggregate amount entered in the Statements 1- 2 and 3 is less by Rupees 352-3-7 than that sanctioned by Government, which arises, Mr. Phillips explains, from lapses among the Yeomiahdars-

It

13686 — Fort St. George, 13th September 1849.

From Hurnood 27th August in Cons: 13th September 1849 —

3— It will likewise be perceived that the Sanderwared allowances have not been disbursed since the close of Fusly 1251 and that if they are to be made good in arrears the amount which will have to be paid for the seven Fuslies 1252 to 1258 both inclusive at Rupees 7,983–10–7 per annum will be Rupees 55885–10–1. The Agent states that so far as his "observation and communications with "the inhabitants of the District enable "him to form an opinion, no exc= "pectation has been entertained that "the Sanderwared would be again allowed, "and still less that it would be re= "stored them retrospectively from the "date when it ceased." He further represents that "it will be a matter "of extreme difficulty to select persons "whose respectability and position "in this District will justify his "placing in their hands the large= "sums likely to become due if a retros= "pective payment be ultimately resolved on."

Looking at the Sanderwared allowances of Hurnood in the light in

13687 — Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

in which they have hitherto been viewed Viz.t as apparently originating in contributions among the Villagers themselves, and not being of the nature of grants of public revenue — the Board cannot but think that the same reas= =ons which have led to the continu= =ance of the payments for future years would equally require their dis= =bursement in arrears, and that the cir= =cumstances mentioned by Mr. Phillips afford no sufficient cause why this should not be done. It is observed that in a few instances only is the Sanderward so large that the arrears would amount to a considerable sum — The parties to whom the arrears would have to be disbursed would of course be the same as those to whom the future payments will have to be made, that is to those chiefly interest= =ed in the concerns or engaged in the management of the institutions on behalf of which the allowances are payable —

Note — Out of the 1308 institutions to which the Sanderwared allowances are to be disbursed in 9 only does it exceed Rupees 100 per annum in the majority of cases the sums are very small —

Revenue Board Office; Fort St. George 21 — 13th September, 1849. — } (Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary

Read

13688- Fort St George, 13th September 1849-

Read the following letters-

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George-

(33)

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St George at Nurnool. ---

Sir,

In reply to your Circular letter of the 27th ultimo requesting me to furnish a Return of the Distilleries for the manufacture of Spirits after the European process of distillation established in this District, under the provisions of Regulation I. 1820 and Act XXXII 1845-

2- I have the honor to state that distilleries of the kind in question have not yet been established in the Nurnool District-

Nurnool, ---
Agents Office, ---
4th September 1849-

/Signed/ W D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor-

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over-

(33-34)

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George-

(35)

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St George at Nurnool. ---

Sir,

In reply to your Circular letter

13689- Fort St George, 13th September 1849-

letter dated 27th ultimo, requesting me to furnish a Statement shewing the investments which have been made in the public securities of Government on account of any Estates in this District which may now be under management from whatever cause; I have the honor to state that no investments of the kind in question have been made in this District-

Nurnool, ---
Agents Office, ---
6th September 1849-

/Signed/ W D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor-

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over-

Read the following letter-

(35-36)

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(37)

From the Collector of Chingleput ---

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 1st May last, I have the honor to transmit Copy of a communication received

13690- Fort St. George, 13th September 1849-

received this day from the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput, and requesting the enclosed sale Advertisement for the Muppadoo Mootah may be again published in the Office of the Board, the date of sale being fixed for the 17th October-

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry. - - -
Pullecarney. - - - -
6th September 1849. - - -

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector-

A

To,

A. Freese Esqre
Collector of
Chingleput-

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 25th April 1849, requesting to postpone the sale of the Mootah of Muppadoo, I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to order it to be sold, and

13691- Fort St. George, 13th September 1849-

and the proceeds to be forwarded to me free of all Government demand against it-

Chingleput. - -
4th September 1849 }

/ Signed / [illegible]
Principal Sudr Ameen

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector-

Notice

13692. Fort St: George, 13th September 1849.

B

Advertisement.

1 Notice is hereby given that the Moottah of Muspadoo in the Zillah of Chingleput now under attachment will be sold under instructions of the Zillah Court in satisfaction of a decree of the Supreme Court of Madras by public Auction at the Collectors Office at Pullecarney on the 17th October 1849. at 12 O'Clock P. M.—

No.	Name of Moottah.	No. of Villages Comprised.	Annual Fixt or Peshkush.	Balance. Fixt of Fusly 1258.	Interest.	Sibbendy.	Total.	Amount due on account of Courts Decree.	Total Balance.
			Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.
250	Muspadoo.	2	3180 10 2	229 0 0	11 12 9	98 8 5	369 5 2	3688 10 0	4057 15 2.

Terms of Sale.

2 Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose and the purchase made by such authorized Agents shall be held valid in the same manner as if the purchase had been made by their principals.

3 But it is required that such authorized Vakeel shall state at the time of Purchase the name of the constituent on behalf of whom such purchase may be made.

4 The intention of the above condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion, notice is further given that, in the event of the purchase being made under a fictitious name the deposit Money paid on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the Estate resold.

5 A Sunnud Imilkhut Istimrar or Deed of permanent rights has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector, and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligation to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by the purchase of the land under this Advertisement. All persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.—

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Board of Revenue authorize him to exempt Golam Haufiz Cauzy of Chittoor from attendance to receive the Yeomiah of Rupees 42-0-0 annually payable to him, and direct that payment of the Yeomiah be made to his Vakeel Noor Shah under the provisions contained in para 4 of the Yeomiah Rules sanctioned by Government on the 24th December 1840.—

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

41

From the Collr of North Arcot

Reporting on Petition No. 1315 of 1849.

Sir,

Para 1. Petition 1315 of 1849 bears on it the endorsement of the Board of Revenue directing me to report on the subject of it which I have now accordingly the honour to do & also return the Petition

2 A boundary dispute which had existed for a long time between a Village of the Goodipaty Pollium in the Chittoor Talook, and two Villages of the Satghur Talook, to one of which

Fort St. George 13th September 1849

latter the Petitioner belongs came before me last year. The disputed land amounted to above 700 bawnies, chiefly Jungle. On going into the case I found that no evidence existed for coming to a definite decision as to the precise boundary of each Village. The claim of each party was supported by the Pymash accounts of that Village, because as was common in the careless Pymash of this District, the jungly parts of these Villages were not actually measured, but only loosely set down by guess as so many hundred poles in length and breadth, and thus the Pymash measurements of each extended far into the limits of the other.

3. It was evident from these accounts, taken jointly with certain permanent and unmoveable land marks and with actual enjoyment, that each Village was not entitled to all that it claimed, and it was equally clear that it was entitled to more than was allowed by the other party. But it was absolutely impossible, upon the contradictory and conflicting evidence before me,

me, to fix on any point or line as defining the rights of the contending parties— Arbitration had been tried and failed— I had first caused the Tahsildars of the two Talooks to meet to investigate and adjust the quarrel and finding these Officers unable to effect a settlement, I had afterwards with the consent of both parties, nominated as Arbitrators for the same purpose, but they also failed, dividing into two parties and making two opposite awards, each coincident with the claim of one of the parties—

4. Under these circumstances the only course open to me for the preservation of the Peace, and to put a stop to the long existing quarrels between the parties, appeared to be to make an arbitrary partition of the tract in dispute which should hold good till either party should obtain a decree in a Court of Adalut to set it aside— This was accordingly done by drawing a straight line across the tract between two known points, so as to divide into

two

two parts about equal— This decision did not give satisfaction to either party, but under the acrimonious feelings existing between them any decision approaching to fairness would have failed to do so—

5 The transaction chiefly referred to in the present Petition took place when the award was put in execution— I had deputed my Assistant Mr. Sullivan to fix land marks on the boundary line determined on, and to enable him to do so the Monigars of the three Villages had been directed to clear away the jungle along the line— Petitioner who was Monigar of Cotaurlah one of the Villages, not only omitted and refused to do so, but behaved with insolence to Mr Sullivan, and for this dereliction of duty and misconduct I called him up and fined him five Rupees— He was removed from the Office of Monigar, only because he declared before both Mr Sullivan and myself that he did not wish to retain the Office and would resign it, I shall

therefore

13699

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

therefore be willing to reappoint him on his recalling that declaration, and expressing his willingness to hold the Office and perform its duties.

North Arcot Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Palmanair 31st August 1849. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon Collector

41-42

Order thereon

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1315 of 1849—

"From a report received from the Collector of North Arcot, the Board observe that Petitioner was properly fined and removed from his Office—but as the Collector is disposed to reappoint him upon certain conditions, he is directed to wait upon that Officer and attend to any orders which he may receive"

Read

13700

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

43

From the Collector of South Arcot ... Sir, Paras 1

Reporting on certain Cuttoobady Enam Lands and the Shrotrium Village of Thundery recommending the resumption of the former and continuance for life of the latter, or its substitution by money life pensions to the family of Gholam Hussain Petitioner in Rs 242/49.

In returning Petition No 242/49 and its enclosures received with the Board's Proceedings 26th March last, I have the honor to report on the manium Lands and Shrotrium Village of Thundery referred to in Extract Minutes of Consultation which accompanied them.

2. It appears that 32 Cawnies 7 3/4 Anas of land assessable at Rs 71-12-8 are in the enjoyment of the Petitioners family as Cuttoobady Enam on payment of the half assessment annually upon the extent actually cultivated. The Petitioner Gholam Mohideen is absent from the District and his son declares he has no title deeds in support of the Enam. But the correspondence noted in the Margin will explain its nature; and although the Government in the year 1806, ruled that these Lands should pay full teerwa

From Bd to Govt 25th Sept. 1806.
From Govt 1st November 1806.

on

Fort St. George 13th. September 1819.

on the demise of the then existing enamdars, their order seems to have been overlooked as stated by Mr. Hyde in his letter to the Board dated 26th. September 1816. Para 4.

Encls. Nos. 1.

3. The Enam lands situated in the first two Villages mentioned in the accompanying Memorandums are found to be correctly entered in the Survey as well as in the Enam Jummabundy Accounts prepared in the years 1806 and 7: but the title of the remainder belonging to the Strotrium Villages of Valavetta and Nayerpat is decidedly defective, in as much as it appears from Mr. Cunliffe's Takeed of 14th. November 1827 that they were ordered to be classed as Teerwaputt, and as although the survey Accounts of the Strotrium Villages clearly shew that these Lands were accordingly brought to Ayen account, the Collection of teerwah however seems to have escaped attention probably from the circumstance of their

Fort St. George 13th. September 1819.

their lying in Shrotrium tenures. It is thus evident that the Enam Lands in these two Villages have been for a series of years, held fraudulently, and it will now rest with the Government to order their resumption. The remaining Enam Lands are held on service tenure, but no service is rendered for them, nor does it appear that any is required.

4. As regards the Village of Thundery, I beg to refer the Board to a Register of Shrotrium Villages sent on the 17th. February 1815 item No. 41 as also to the accompanying two Persian Documents which have been translated

Nos. 2. 3 x originals to be returned.

Document No. 2 is said to be the Copy of an Enoyetnamah issued by the Nabobs Wallajah in Fugiri 1202 (1787). From this it appears that the Village of Thundery had been previously held on Jaghire tenure by Syed Meer Semadar - that on his death, the Village was in consideration of his faithful services conferred on his grandson Gholam Woosain Commandant, the father of Petitioner on progressive rent - The deed makes

non

no mention of the Village being a Shrotrium though it is said to be a co-
Copy/ unattested/ of the original sum-
mud taken in 1814-15. It is thought
that the Petitioner kept back this docu-
ment from the Court as it partakes
more of the nature of a lease for rent-
ing the Village than a Shrotrium grant.

5. The other document No. 3 co-
which was procured through the Civil
Court, purports to be the original co-
enyetnamah issued by Nabob Meyson
Mouk Bahadar in Degree 1280/1800/
in favor of Goolam Hoossain Com-
mandant. It will be seen that in
consequence of Goolam Hoossains me-
ritorious services the Village Thundey
is stated to have been continued
to him on Shrotrium tenure from
Fusly 1210 on his paying 45 Pagodas
per annum. This grant is dated short-
ly anterior, and being given by
one of the younger sons of the Nabab
Wallajah it cannot stand good as
the

the Government are obnoxious to the re-
cognition of gifts made by other than
the Native Sovereigns themselves.

6. With the resumption of
the Carnatic the records of the Nabob's
Durbar at Arcot were transferred to
this Cutcherry, in which were included
Pharests or lists of the Shrotrium vil-
lages for Fuslies 1204-6; but the
Village of Thundery does not appear
to be entered therein and this fact induces
the belief that it was not a Shrotrium
previous to the resumption as document
No. 3 would have us believe.

7. A review of the foregoing
observations produces the following co-
viction. That a portion of the
Enam lands in the enjoyment of
the Petitioner's family while it
appears to have been granted for
a particular service is not declared
to be hereditary, and the original
intentions of the Boon are no
longer fulfilled, nor are they required
that the other portion is proved to be
a

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

a decided imposition upon the Government revenue, while the Village of Shundery held on Shrotrium tenure, is found to be of doubtful validity. Under such circumstances, the continuance of these grants or any portion thereof, is no longer obligatory on the Government except as a special favor in consideration of the poverty of the Enamdars. I would therefore recommend the immediate resumption of the Cuttoo baby Enam lands situated in the whole four Villages, leaving the Petitioners family to enjoy for life the Village of Shundery each person's share reverting to the Government after his death, or, if the village is also to be resumed that money Pensions be granted on the same conditions.

South Arcot. ——— }
Collector's Cutcherry, ... }
Cuddalore, 24th August 1849 }

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Memorandum

Memorandum ...een in 4 Villages
... the Ta...

No. V...

... entered
... of 1806 & 7 and
... Poosaiu Com...
1 Puny... that this individual
... which
... filed in
2 Veryoor... Mohodeen

... Villages are
3 Valanet... but a Tabeed
Shrotrium... Cumliffe under
... had not en...
4 Nayerf... entry as Teervaput
Shrotrium... Shrotrium Vil.
... that the entry
... does not appea...

Translation

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

No. 2.

Translation of a Copy of an Enayet-
:nomah of Nabob Wallaja with the
seal of Khaja Mohomedally dated 7th
Ramzaun 1202 Fejeri.-

To Ramasowmy Moostadar.

The Village called Tundey in
the Vettavalum Purgana, attached to Trul-
:lambalapettah Talook of Najaruthgoda
Soobah was granted as Jaghire to
Syed Mera Jamadar, as he was an old
and diligent servant of Circar- Now Com-
:mandant Goolam Hoosein, grandson
of Syed Mera or his daughters son
having proposed to rent the said Village
you are directed to rent it to him at
38 star Pagodas for fusly 1198, at 40
Pagodas for fusly 1199 and at 45 Pa-
:godas for fusly 1202. You are to collect
annually from fusly 1202- 45 Pagodas in-
:cluding the charge for Establishment
&c. &c. No fresh sunnud is to be required
You are to receive this as strict order, and
act accordingly-

(True Translation)
(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

No. 3.

Translation of an Enayetnamah bear-
:ing the Seal and Signature of His Highness
the Nabob Yohoyah Moolook Bahadur dated
14th Rabelavel of the Hijree 1210 addressed
to Moosoom Senga poliadar the Soobadar
of Arcot.-

That Commander Goolam
Hoosain having represented that the
Village of Tundaroy in Millampalapettah
Talook of Vatavalum Purganah attached
to Nazarathcada, yeilding an Annual
Shotrium of 45 Pagodas was conferred on
him, which he continues to enjoy; and
requested that a Jaree Sunnud bearing
your Signature might be granted for
the same, I, in consideration of his
long and faithful service rendered to the
Public, which is recorded in the Office
as also his present regular attendance
to his duties day and night, hereby
confirm the above Shotriem in his
name according to the former Custom
Commencing from Hijree 1210 You

are

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849.

are therefore directed, not only to order the Mootsuddies of Mohomed poroo: scopoliem, not to collect from him any amount in excess of what has already been described, and also re: quested to grant him a Shotriem cs Summd from your Cutcherry to the above effect.

(True Translation.)

(Signed) W. B. Davis,
Collector

(43-44)

Proceedings.

Requesting the Collector of South Arcot to furnish further information on several points connected with Cattoobady lands in the Shotrium Village of Thundery in his District

Sn. Cons. 25th. March 1849

The Board observe that in Extract Minutes of Consultation 5th. March 1849 copy of which was furnished to the Collector the 25th. idem the Government required from that Officer a report not only upon the tenure on which the Shotrium Village and Manien lands are held but also on the circumstances connected with the Suit in which the Petitioner's complaint had originated. The letter above recorded is wholly silent upon this latter point, and the Collector is requested to supply the omission and

Fort St. George 13th. September 1849

and at the same time to state whether the appeal Decree in No. 32 of 1844 has been carried out, by what parties and in what proportions the Shotriem and Enam lands are now enjoyed, and what is the condition of the holders and their means of support a part from their shares in these alienations. He will also report what is the average Beriz of the Thundery Shotriem, and the Net amount left to the Shotriem: dars after defraying Jodi and charges.

2. In reference to the Cattoobady Enam lands. C. 32-73a/1 shown in Statement No. 1 it is stated that only a portion of it (23C) is found entered in the survey and Enamaty accounts, and that the Petitioners title to the remainder seems unfounded inasmuch as a Taked issued by the late Principal Collector Mr. Lemliffe under Date 14th. November 1847 shows that Petitioner had not enjoyed them up to that time and directs their entry as Teerwaputt land in the survey accounts then prepared for the Shotrium Villages, altho' the full Teerwah does not appear to have been

13711

Fort St George 13th September 1849.

been levied. The Collector also states that no title deeds are forthcoming in support of the Enam, but he will observe that Enclosure B No 8 in Petition No 72 of 1848 purports to be copy and translate of a Persian Enayetnamah granted by Ameen Ool Omrah conferring apparently the Cuttoobady lands now under notice upon the Petitioner's father in commutation of his pay as Subadar; Mr Davis will be pleased to enquire into the circumstances connected with this document, and if it really relate to the Manium in question to ascertain where the original Enayetnamah is and if forthcoming to forward it with translation for submission to Government, or if lost to enquire and report what has become of it. He will also submit to the Board copy with translate of Mr Cunliffe's Takeed of the 14th November 1827 above referred to, together with any correspondence on the subject which may have passed at the time.-

3. The Collector will further explain whether the two Villages Velavettee & Royerpet are still held on Shrotriem tenure and if so, whether the revenue of the Cuttoobady lands-9-7¾-situated in them, in the event of their being eventually disallowed as Enam should not belong to the Shrotriemdars rather than to the Circar.-

131712

Fort St George 13th September 1849

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.-

(45)

From the Collector of Tanjore.

Sir,

The Accountant General in a letter of the 13th Instant having called upon this Department to furnish him with the Sanction of Government for the wastage of Spontaneous Salt at Vadarnium entered in the Quarterly Statements submitted to the Board of Revenue from Fusly 1242 to 1245 in order to write off the same in my accounts, I have the honor to forward herewith a Memorandum of the wastage for the above Fuslies and to request that you will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government to enable this Department to give effect to the Accountant General's letter above referred to.

I take this opportunity to state for the information of the Board that no Sanction of Government was obtained on account of the wastage of Salt previous to Fusly 1246 and is merely included in the Statements submitted to the Board. The practice of obtaining Sanction commenced from Fusly 1246 with reference to the Circular letter dated 21st November 1836.

Tanjore,
Negapatam,
25th August 1849.

[Signed] J. F. Bishop
Collector

Memorandum

13713 Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Memorandum of the wastage of Stamp Lands &c. Taxes entered in the Quarterly Account Current from Tanjore for Fasly 1242.

Total Wastage	11 187.
	22 307.

Tanjore, 25th August, 1849.

Signed J. F. A. Bishop
Collector

13713 Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Memorandum of the wastage of Stamp Lands &c. Taxes entered in the Quarterly Account Current from Tanjore for Fasly 1242.

Total Wastage	11 187.
	22 307.

Tanjore, 25th August, 1849.

Signed J. F. A. Bishop
Collector

13714 Fort St George 13th September 1849.

Proceedings thereon

45-46

The items of Wastage entered in the Memorandum received with the letter above recorded have been compared and found to correspond with the entries in the Enclosures to the Account Current received Quarterly from the Tanjore District from Fasly 1242 @ 1245 and the Board are prepared to recommend that the Wastage may receive sanction.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

2. They however first request to be informed whether sanction will be necessary for writing off the Wastage at the other Cutcheries belonging to the Tanjore District which has occurred during the same period and which from para 2 of the Collector's letter it would appear has not been done.

Read the following Statement

13715 George

Dr. Salt A/cy 1849.

(47)

1848.			Total. I. M.	Seer
July	12th	Balance	330,266	4
1849 April	1st	Salt Manufactured	115,486	20
"	30th	Ditto	123,681	36
May	31st	Ditto	66,549	36
June	30th	Ditto	156,195	24
July	11th	Ditto	85,741	8
			547,655	4
April	1st	Gain by	35,623	8
"	30th	Ditto by	353	28
May	31st	Do. by	121	8
June	30th	Do. by	5,382	24
July	11th	Do. by	11,716	20
			53,197	8
			931,118	16

13

Cr. from 12th July

			Total. I. M.	Seer
184	27	"	254,037	24
Apr	3	"	66,516	"
	0	"	8,160	"
	20	"	328,713	24
	8	20	32,259	"
	7	20	5,565	"
"		"	"	"
	16	"	37,824	"
	9	"	33,337	20
Ma	20	"	1,755	"
		"	"	"
	9	"	35,092	20
	8	"	35,475	"
Jun		"	3,862	"
		"	"	"
	8	"	41,337	"
	4	20	21,297	"
Jul		"	6,430	20
		"	"	"
	34	20	27,727	20
	27	"	376,406	4
	0	20	86,128	20
	0	"	8,160	"
	7	20	470,694	24
Ap	0	"	8,280	"
	0	"	1,560	"
Ma		"	"	"
Jun	20	"	1200	"
Jul	20	"	1,200	"
	0	"	12,240	"
		"	451	32
		"	76	32
		"	24	36
		"	69	"
		"	50	4
		"	672	24
	87	20	483,607	8
	61	28	447,511	8
	49	8	931,118	16

Home Consumption
Inland Consumption
Export by Sea

Home Consumption
Inland Consumption
Export by Sea

Home Consumption
Inland Consumption
Export by Sea

Home Consumption
Inland Consumption
Export by Sea

to the end of last M

end of last Month

13688- Fort St. George, 13th September 1849-

Read the following letters-

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George-

(33)

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool. ---

Sir,

1. In reply to your Circular letter of the 27th ultimo requesting me to furnish a Return of the distilleries for the manufacture of spirits after the European process of distillation established in this District, under the provisions of Regulation I. 1820 and Act XXXII 1845.

2. I have the honor to state that distilleries of the kind in question have not yet been established in the Kurnool District-

Kurnool, ------
Agent's Office, ---
4th September 1849.

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over-

(33-34)

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George-

(35)

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool. ---

Sir,

In reply to your Circular letter

13689- Fort St. George, 13th September 1849-

letter dated 27th ultimo, requesting me to furnish a Statement shewing the investments which have been made in the public securities of Government on account of any Estates in this District which may now be under management from whatever cause; I have the honor to state that no investments of the kind in question have been made in this District-

Kurnool, ------
Agent's Office, ---
6th September 1849.

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over-

Read the following letter-

(35-36)

To,
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Chingleput ---

Sir,

(37)

With reference to my letter of the 1st May last, I have the honor to transmit Copy of a communication received

13690. Fort St. George, 13th September 1849.

received this day from the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput, and requesting the enclosed sale Advertisement for the Muppadoo Mootah may be again published in the Office of the Board, the date of sale being fixed for the 17th October.

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry. Pullecarney. 6th September 1849.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

A

To,

A. Freese Esqre
Collector of
Chingleput

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 20th April 1849, requesting to postpone the sale of the Mootah of Muppadoo, I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to order it to be sold, and

13691. Fort St. George, 13th September 1849.

and the proceeds to be forwarded to me free of all Government demand against it.

Chingleput. 4th September, 1849.

/Signed/ [illegible]
Principal Sudr Ameen

/True Copy/

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Notice

13692. Fort St: George, 13th September 1849.

B

Advertisement.

1 Notice is hereby given that the Moottah of Muspadoo in the Zillah of Chingleput now under attachment will be sold under instructions of the Zillah Court in satisfaction of a decree of the Supreme Court of Madras by public Auction at the Collectors Office at Pullecarney on the 17th October 1849. at 12 O'Clock P. M.—

No.	Name of Moottah.	No. of Villages Comprised.	Annual Fist or Peishkush.	Balance.					
				Fist of Fusly 1258.	Interest.	Sibbendy.	Total	Amount due on account of Courts Decree.	Total Balance.
			Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
250.	Muspadoo.	2	3180 10 2	229 0 0	11 12 9	98 8 5	369 5 2	3688 10 0	4057 15 2.

Terms of Sale.

2 Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose and the purchase made by such authorized Agents shall be held valid in the same manner as if the purchase had been made by their principals.

3 But it is required that such authorized Vakeel shall state at the time of Purchase the name of the constituent on behalf of whom such purchase may be made.

4 The intention of the above condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion, notice is further given that, in the event of the purchase being made under a fictitious name the deposit Money paid on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the Estate resold.

5 A Summud Imilkhut Istimrar or Deed of permanent rights has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector, and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligation to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by the purchase of the land under this Advertisement. All persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.—

13692.

Collector.

B

recommend to become recorded to be exempt to the Board

13695 Fort St. George 13th September 1849

Board of Revenue authorize him to exempt Golam Haufiz Cauzy of Chittoor from attendance to receive the Yeomiah of Rupees 42-0-0 annually payable to him, and direct that payment of the Yeomiah be made to his Vakeel Noor Shah under the provisions contained in para 4 of the Yeomiah Rules sanctioned by Government on the 24th December 1840.—

To J. Tycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

41

From the Collr of North Arcot
Reporting on Petition No. 1315 of 1849.

Sir,

Para 1. Petition 1315 of 1849 bears on it the endorsement of the Board of Revenue directing me to report on the subject of it which I have now accordingly the honour to do & also return the Petition

2 A boundary dispute which had existed for a long time between a Village of the Goodipaty Pollium in the Chittoor Talook, and two Villages of the Satghur Talook, to one of which latter

13696 Fort St. George 13th September 1849

latter the Petitioner belongs came before me last year. The disputed land amounted to above 700 bauniers, chiefly Jungle. On going into the case I found that no evidence existed for coming to a definite decision as to the precise boundary of each Village. The claim of each party was supported by the Pymash accounts of that Village, because as was common in the careless Pymash of this District, the jungly parts of these Villages were not actually measured, but only loosely set down by guess as so many hundred poles in length and breadth, and thus the Pymash measurements of each extended far into the limits of the other—

3. It was evident from these accounts, taken jointly with certain permanent and unmoveable land marks and with actual enjoyment, that each Village was not entitled to all that it claimed, and it was equally clear that it was entitled to more than was allowed by the other party. But it was absolutely impossible, upon the contradictory and conflicting evidence before me,

ine, to fix on any point or line as defining the rights of the contending parties. Arbitration had been tried and failed. I had first caused the Tahsildars of the two Talooks to meet to investi=gate and adjust the quarrel and find=ing these Officers unable to effect a settlement, I had afterwards with the consent of both parties, nominated as Arbitrators for the same purpose, but they also failed, dividing into two parties and making two opposite awards, each coincident with the claim of one of the parties—

4. Under these circumstances the only course open to me for the preservation of the Peace, and to put a stop to the long existing quarrels between the parties, appeared to be to make an arbitrary partition of the tract in dispute which should hold good till either party should obtain a decree in a Court of Adalut to set it aside. This was accordingly done by drawing a straight line across the tract between two known points, so as to divide into

two parts about equal. This decision did not give satisfaction to either party, but under the acrimonious feelings ... existing between them any decision approaching to fairness would have failed to do so—

5 The transaction chiefly referred to in the present Petition took place when the award was put in exe=cution. I had deputed my Assistant Mr. Sullivan to fix land marks on the boundary line determined on, and to enable him to do so the Monigars of the three Villages had been directed to clear away the jungle along the line. Petitioner who was Monigar of Cotaurlah one of the Villages, not only omitted and refused to do so, but behaved with insolence to Mr. Sullivan, and for this dereliction of duty and misconduct I called him up and fined him five Rupees. He was removed from the Office of Monigar, only because he declared before both Mr. Sullivan and myself that he did not wish to retain the Office and would resign it. I shall therefore

13699 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

therefore be willing to reappoint him on his recalling that declaration, and expressing his willingness to hold the Office and perform its duties.

North Arcot ——— Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Talnanair, 31st August 1849. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon Collector

41-42

Order thereon

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1315 of 1849—

"From a report received from the Collector of North Arcot, the Board observe that Petitioner was properly fined and removed from his Office—but as the Collector is disposed to reappoint him upon certain conditions, he is directed to wait upon that Officer and attend to any orders which he may receive"

Read

13700 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

43

From the Collector of South Arcot ... Sir, Jamal

Reporting on certain Cuttoobady Enam Lands and the Shrotrium Village of Thundery recommending the resumption of the former and continuance for life of the latter, or its substitution by money life pensions to the family of Gholam Hussain Petitioner in Rs. 242/49.

In returning Petition No. 242/49 and its enclosures received with the on Boards Proceedings 28th March last, I have the honor to report on the Enam Lands and Shrotrium Village of Thundery referred to in Extract Minutes of Consultation which accompanied them.

2. It appears that 32 Cawnies 2 Ds 1 A 2 D 7 3/4 Anas of land assessable at 271 - 12 - 6 are in the enjoyment of the Petitioners family as Cuttoobady Enam on payment of the half assessment annually upon the extent actually cultivated. The Petitioner Gholam Mohideen is absent from the District and his son declares he has no title deeds in support of the Enam. But the correspondence noted in the Margin will explain its nature; and although the Government in the year 1806, ruled that these Lands should pay full teerwa on

From Bd. to Govt. 25th Sept. 1806.
From Govt. 1st November 1806.

Fort St. George 13th. September 1819.

on the demise of the then existing enamdars, their order seems to have been overlooked as stated by Mr. Hyde in his letter to the Board dated 26th. September 1816. Para 4.

Encls: No. 1.

3. The Enam lands situated in the first two Villages mentined in the accompanying memorandums are found to be correctly entered in the Survey as well as in the Enam Jummabundy Accounts prepared in the years 1800 and 1; but the title of the remainder belonging to the Strotrium Villages of Valavetta and Nayerput is decidedly defective, in as much as it appears from Mr. Cunliffe's Takeed of 14th. November 1827 that they were ordered to be lapsed as Teerwaputty and although the survey Accounts of the Strotrium Villages clearly shew that these Lands were accordingly brought to Ayen account, the Collection of teerwah however seems to have escaped attention probably from the circumstance of their

Fort St. George 13th. September 1819.

their lying in Strotrium tenures. It is thus evident that the Enam Lands in these two Villages have been for a series of years, held fraudulently, and it will now rest with the Government to order their resumption. The remaining Enam Lands are held on service tenure, but no service is rendered for them, nor does it appear that any is required.

Nos. 2. 3 x originals to be returned.

4. As regards the Village of Thundery, I beg to refer the Board to the Register of Strotrium Villages sent on the 17th. February 1815 item No. 41. as also to the accompanying two Persian documents which have been translated. Document No. 2 is said to be the Copy of an Enoyetnamah issued by the Nabob Wallajah in Bigiri 1202 (1787). From this it appears that the Village of Thundery had been previously held on Daghire tenure by Syed Meer Semadar - that on his death, the Village was in consideration of his faithful services conferred on his grandson Gholam Hoosain Commandant, the father of Petitioner on progressive rent. The deed makes

no

no mention of the Village being a Shrotrium though it is said to be a co-
Copy/ unattested/ of the original sum-
mud taken in 1814–15. It is thought
that the Petitioner kept back this docu-
ment from the Court as it partakes
more of the nature of a lease for rent-
ing the Village than a Shrotrium grant.

5. The other document No. 3 co-
which was procured through the Civil
Court, purports to be the original co-
enyetnamah issued by Nabob Meyson
Moolk Bahadar in Degree 1260/1800/
in favor of Goolam Hoossain Com-
mandant. It will be seen that in
consequence of Goolam Hoossains me-
ritorious services the Village Thundery
is stated to have been continued
to him on Shrotrium tenure from
Fusly 1210 on his paying 45 Pagodas
per annum. This grant is dated short-
ly anterior, and being given by a
one of the younger sons of the Nabab
Wallajah it cannot stand good as

the

the Government are obnoxious to the re-
cognition of gifts made by other than
the Native Sovereigns themselves.

6. With the resumption of
the Carnatic the records of the Nabob's
Durbar at Arcot were transferred to
this Cutcherry, in which were enclosed
Pharests or lists of the Shrotrium vil-
lages for Fuslies 1204–6; but the
Village of Thundery does not appear
to be entered therein and this fact induces
the belief that it was not a Shrotrium
previous to the resumption as document
No. 3 would have us believe.

7. A review of the foregoing
observations produces the following co-
conviction. That a portion of the co-
Enam lands in the enjoyment of
the Petitioner's family while it
appears to have been granted for
a particular service is not declared
to be hereditary, and the original
intentions of the Boon are no co-
longer fulfilled, nor are they required
that the other portion is proved to be

a

3717 Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

(47-48)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

To,

F. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(49)

From the Collector of Tanjore. . . .

Sir,

In reply to the Circular from the Board of the 27th August. I have the honor to inform you that no distilleries for the Manufacture of Spirituous liquors "after the European process" exist this District.

Tanjore, Vellum, 6th September, 1849. } Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector.

(49-50)

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

D.S.

Read

Fort St George

1848 to 11th July 1849.

13th September

	Tanjore			Nagore and Negapatam			Total				Total		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	As	p		Rs	as	
	185,910	9	7	68,127	"	"	254,037	9	7		8,634	8	3
	66,483	"	"	33	"	"	66,516	"	"				
Total	210	"	"	2,646	"	"	2,856	"	"		1,288	6	"
	252,603	9	7	70,806	"	"	323409	9	7		1,084	12	4
	23,506	8	"	8,758	8	"	32,259	"	"		1,147	12	4
	5,527	8	4	37	8	4	5,565	"	4				
	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	4		1,109	4	"
Total	29,028	"	"	8,796	"	"	37,824	"	"				
	23,998	8	4	9,339	"	4	33,337	8	"		13,264	10	3
	1,665	"	4	90	"	4	1,755	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"				
Total	25,663	8	4	9,429	"	4	35,092	8	"		33,475	6	4
	26,307	"	4	9,168	"	4	35,475	"	"				
	5,862	4	"	4	"	4	5,862	"	"		1,100	"	4
	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	"	"				
Total	32,169	"	4	9,168	"	4	41,337	"	4		1,255	14	5
	15,562	8	4	5,734	"	4	21,297	"	4				
	6,430	8	"	4	"	4	6,430	8	"		1,200	"	4
	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	4				
Total	21,993	"	4	5,734	8	"	27,727	8	"		1,200	"	4
	275,279	1	7	101,127	"	4	376406	1	7				
	85,968	"	"	160	8	"	86128	8	4		38231	4	5
Total	210			2,646	"	4	2856	"	"				
	361,457	1	7	103,933	8	4	465390	9	7		156	6	9
Month	390	"	"	1001	"	4	1391	"	4		45	3	5
	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	4				
	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	4		198	2	10
	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	4				
	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	4		173	7	4
Total	390	"	"	1001	"	4	1391	"	4				
	11	10	9	"	4	8	11	15	5		350	8	3
	"	"	1	"	"	10	"	4	11		923	12	7
	"	"	1	"	"	4	"	1	5		52,419	11	3
	"	"	2	"	"	1	"	1	9				
Total	"	"	3	"	"	11	"	1	2		414,374	3	"
	11	11	4	"	"	94	12	4	8				
Grand Total	361,858	12	11	104,935	1	4	466793	14	3		4,66,793	14	3

Fort St George

1848 to 11th " July 1849.

	Tanjore			Nagore and Negapatam			13th " Septem			Total			Total		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p				Rs	As	p	Rs	as	
	185,910	9	7	68,127	"	"				254037	9	7	8,634	8	3
	66,483	"	"	33	"	"				66,516	"	"			
Total	240	"	"	2,646	"	"				2,856	"	"	1,288	6	"
	252,603	9	7	70,806	"	"				323409	9	7	1,084	12	9
	23,500	8	"	8,758	8	"				32,259	"	"	1,147	12	9
	5,527	8	"	37	8	"				5,565	"	"			
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"	1109	4	"
	29,028	"	"	8,796	"	"				37,824	"	"			
	23,998	8	"	9,339	"	"				33,337	8	"	13,264	10	3
	1,665	"	"	90	"	"				1,755	"	"			
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"			
	25,663	8	"	9,429	"	"				35,092	8	"	33,475	6	9
	26,307	"	"	9,168	"	"				35,475	"	"			
	5,862	"	"	"	"	"				5,862	"	"	1100	"	"
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"			
	32,169	"	"	9,168	"	"				41,337	"	"	1255	14	5
	15,562	8	"	5,734	"	"				21,297	"	"			
	6,430	8	"	"	"	"				6,430	8	"	1,200	"	"
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"			
	21,993	"	"	5,734	8	"				27,727	8	"	1,200	"	"
	275,279	1	7	101127	"	"				376406	1	7	38231	4	5
	85,968	"	"	160	8	"				86,128	8	"			
Total	210			2,646	"	"				2856	"	"			
	361,457	1	7	103,933	8	"				465390	9	7	156	6	9
Month	390	"	"	1001	"	"				1,391	"	"	45	3	5
	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"	198	2	10
	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"			
	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"	173	7	4
Total	"	"	"	"	"	"				"	"	"			
	390	"	"	1001	"	"				1,391	"	"	350	8	3
	11	10	9	"	4	8				11	15	5	923	12	7
	"	"	1	"	"	10				"	"	11	52,419	11	3
	"	"	1	"	1	4				"	1	5			
	"	"	2	"	1	7				"	1	9	11	414,374	3	"
Total	"	"	3	"	"	11				"	1	2			
	11	11	4	"	"	94				12	4	8			
Grand Total	361,858	12	11	104,935	1	4				466793	14	3	4	466,793	14	3

13686.

Fort St. George. 13th September 1849.

From Hurnood
27th August in Cons:
13th September 1849.

3.

It will likewise be perceived that the Sunderwared allowances have not been disbursed since the close of Fusly 1251 and that if they are to be made good in arrears the amount which will have to be paid for the seven Fuslies 1252 to 1258 both inclusive at Rupees 7983-10-7 per annum will be Rupees 55885-10-1. The Agent states that so far as his "observation and communications with "the inhabitants of the District enable "him to form an opinion, no ex= "=pectation has been entertained that "the Sunderwared would be again allowed, "and still less that it would be re= "=stored them retrospectively from the "date when it ceased". He further represents that "it will be a matter "of extreme difficulty to select persons "whose respectability and position "in this District will justify his "placing in their hands the large "sums likely to become due if a retros= "=pective payment be ultimately resolved on"

Looking at the Sunderwared allowances of Hurnood in the light in

13687.

Fort St. George 13th September 1849.

in which they have hitherto been viewed Viz. as apparently originating in contributions among the Villagers themselves, and not being of the nature of grants of public revenue - the Board cannot but think that the same reas= =sons which have led to the continu= =ance of the payments for future years would equally require their dis= =bursement in arrears, and that the cir= =cumstances mentioned by Mr. Phillips afford no sufficient cause why this should not be done. It is observed that in a few instances only is the Sunderward so large that the arrears would amount to a considerable sum - The parties to whom the arrears would have to be disbursed would of course be the same as those to whom the future payments will have to be made, that is to those chiefly interest= =ed in the concerns or engaged in the management of the institutions on behalf of which the allowances are payable -

Note -
Out of the 1308 institutions to which the Sunderwared allowances are to be disbursed in 9 only does it exceed Rupees 100 per annum in the majority of cases the sums are very small -

Revenue Board Office;
Fort St. George; -
13th September, 1849. -

/ Signed / T. Pycroft -
Secretary

Read