Volume

38

1835 Jud Fort St George 12th July 1849

No Diary.

No Meeting Present

Thursday ... 12th July ... 1849 — } G. D. Drury Esqre Senior member.
Walter Elliot Esqre Second member
and
T. Goldingham Esqre Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th July 1849. No 623.

From Board 25th June

(X)

From the Secretary to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctioning an advance of Rupees Two hundred being made to the Villagers of Arumbauthy in the Tirunelvelly Talook of South Arcot, to be repaid in four equal instalments with Faisly 1260 and approved of the proceedings of the Collector

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Asst to Secretary

Order thereon.— Ordered to be commun:
cated for the information and guidance of
the Collector of North Arcot with refere:
to his letter dated 13th ultimo.

1-2

In Cons 25th June 1849.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 4th July 1849. No. 618.

/From Board 18th June/

3

From the Secretary to
Government.— Resolved accordingly that
the sum of Rupees (1—10—8) One, nine
ten and pice Eight per Mensem, being two
thirds of the pension enjoyed by the late
Zainulabdeen be continued to his widow
Ameera Beebee in arrear from the 1st June
1848, the Date on which the one third lapse
by the terms of the grant.—

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Governm

Order thereon.— Ordered to be commun
cated for the information and guidance of
the Collector of Madras with re
ference to his letter dated 23d

3-4

In Cons 18th June 1849

April last.—

Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 4th July 1849. No. 624.—

/From Board 28th June/

5

From the Secretary to Government.— The Right Honble
able the Governor in Council sanctions the
refund of duty recommended in the fore:
going Proceedings amounting to Rupees
/3—8—/ Three, and annas Eight.—

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Govt

5-6

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that copy of
the foregoing order of Government and of
the Board's Proceedings to which it is a
reply be communicated for the information
and guidance of the Collector of Madras
with reference to his letter dated 14th ultimo.

In Cons 25th June 1849.

Resolved also that copy of
the Board's Circular of 3d July 1848, be
communicated to Mr. Maddleston for his
information and future guidance.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under Date the 4th July 1849. No. 622

/From Board 25th June/

7

From the Secy to Government.— Ordered that
the Commissary General be requested

to

to cause the Indent submitted with the foregoing letter to be complied with.—

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secy to Government.

DESTROYED

7. 8.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded

Read the following Extract

9

From the Secy to Government.

Pointing out certain inaccuracies in the application received from the Collector of Cuddapah for an additional Establishment of Gomastahs and resolving to recommend to the Government of India that 6 Gomastahs be employed at a charge of Rupees 60 per mensem for such period within one year as may be found necessary.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 5th July 1849 No. 628.—

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue of 18 January and entered in Diary to Consultation 20th February 1849 No. 84.

Read also the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

Here enter 14th June 1849 No. 863

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes from the application for an increase of Establishment submitted by Mr. Cochrane with his letter dated 31st May 1849 that the estimated receipts of the Cuddapah District of 1849/50 are entered at Rupees

Rupees 23,87,292. the charges at 5,10,108 and the difference which instead of being entered as surplus revenue, is made to appear a deficit of Rupees 18,77,184.— Similar entries appear in the accounts of the previous years and these inaccuracies have apparently escaped the attention of the Board of Revenue they will now be rectified in submitting the application to the Government of India.—

The Governor in Council cannot admit the necessity of two additional Gomastahs for arranging and indexing the Native Records of the Sub Collectorate— considering the short period this Office has been established, the complement of the Sub Collector's Cutchery and the limited nature of the duties devolving on it, that Establishment should in the opinion of Government, find its own means of remedying a neglect arising from itself.—

1829.

Subject.

3. Subject to the above exception the Governor in Council resolves to apply to the Government of India for sanction to entertain 6 Goomastahs as originally proposed by the Board of Revenue at a charge of Rupees 60 per Mensem for such period within one year as may be found necessary.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. B. Montgomery
Secretary to Govt.

To The President and
Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(9-10)

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 31st May last.

In Cons 11th June 1849.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date 6th July 1849. — No 1359.

(From Board 20th June)

(11)

From the Secretary to Government. Ordered that a Duplicate of the Certificate No. 7463 be furnished to the Board of Revenue for delivery to the Pensioner, the Original being

being represented to have been lost.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Acting Deputy
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon:

(11-12)

Ordered to be recorded, that a duplicate Certificate has been delivered to the Pensioner.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(13)

From the Special Agent Vizianagrum.

Nominating M. Inggaram to the office of Sheristadar to the Special Agency with a Testimonials.

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to the Board's Proceedings under date 28th May to submit the Testimonials of M. Inggaram at present Assistant Moonsiff at Vizianagrum, as the person I desire to select as Sheristadar to the Special Agency.

Assistant Sheristadar

2. It will be observed that the Official Revenue services of this Officer are limited to 5 years in that Department; in the Collectorate of Vizagapatam and one year as Head

7

of the Office of Head Assistant to Collector but this is by no means a criterion of his Revenue knowledge and capability.—

3. For many years he successfully, as I am given to understand, and uprightly superintended the extensive important Estates of his Mother-in-law, Widow of the late Sooriah Pracasseram of Vizagapatam and situated in the Southern part of this District.—

4. I am myself but very slightly acquainted with M. Inggaram much personal and local enquiry however, only strengthens and confirms the testimony contained in the accompanying Papers, in praise of his probity, intelligence and independence of character, and these recommendations in a Cutchery with subordinates many from 10—16— and

years

years period of services, I consider qualifications most important and essential.—

5. And the nomination being so particularly agreeable to the Rajah whom, I represent to me, partively, for so brief a time, in the management of his Property and for whose good and welfare, as well as for that of his Country and his people, it is of such infinite importance the System adopted and the Officers employed, should be as far as practicable be continued, without interruption or change will I hope be deemed by the Board of Revenue a strong argument in favor of waiving in this particular case Rules, however desirable and necessary in most.—

6. With reference to the Dewan's Salary—

RECEIVED 27 DEC 1849 ORIGINAL

salary of the appointment I beg to refer to my letter dated 1st February 1849 and shall now confine myself to stating that although M. Inggaram is happily for the present raised above the necessity of Office (more especially of that I now seek for him) and for the future has large Family expectations, still, I hope it may be thought just and expedient as the Rajah would also desire that, either of the Sums* therein specified for selection agreeable to the Board's orders under date 18th January 1849 may be sanctioned.-

7. I am happy by the Minutes of Consultation under date 15th May 1849. to be relieved the necessity of communicating the very serious objections in my

* Pags 60 or 80 per mensem.

opinion, to the situation under reference being filled by the Deputy Sheristadar, in the Office of the Agent to the Governor.

Vezianagrum Zemindary Special agents Circuit Office, at Bimlipatam, 16th June 1849.

(Signed) J. H. Crozier
Special agent.

Proceedings thereon.

13-14

In compliance with the recommendation contained in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue sanction the appointment of M. Inggaram to the Office of Serishtadar to the Special agent at Vizianagrum this salary being fixed at Sixty Pagodas or 210 Rupees per mensem.-

Read the following Application.

Application.

10302.

Application IV of 1831.

15

10th July 1849.

Case No.	Talook Village	Name of the Elder and relation in which he stood to the grantee and of deceased.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the Parties	Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family	Recommendation and the grounds thereof.
1	2	8	9	10	11	12
147.	Moodered Kummata in Pongo Talook	Kummata died in January 1849 leaving Naidoo his Widow Lutchmy Naidoo Husband of deceased Pundaram and Kadamma Naidoo whose names are entered in the previous Columns related as Grandchildren	Share enjoyed by the deceased a 37.2.3.9.5 by one Grandson a 37.2.3.9.5 by Pongro Naidoo Venkata 38.8.5.14.9 Total. 5.32.10.12.137	1 Son in law Bullapahar aged 40 years 1 Daughter Bullukah aged 30 years 1 Candatoor of 8 ages 16 years 1 Venkata Swamy 2d Son of D aged 14 years 1 Pungee Daughter of D aged 2 years Total 4	They are reported to be in indigent circumstances and they have no other means of subsistence.	Recommended to be resumed.

Signed/ J. A. Cochrane.
Collector

Under

Endd June 1849.

Proceedings therein.

(15-16)

Under the re-
presentation made by the Co-
llector in the Statement
above recorded the Board
concur in his proposal
for the resumption of
the shares of the deceased
Mckennah in
the Enams in ques-
tion and direct that
he will take measures
accordingly.

Read

S.R.

Read the following letter.

(17)

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Hurnah

I beg to forward the Statements of Lapsed Enams for the 2d Quarter of 1847 in which with reference to the Order of Government contained in an Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 14th November 1848 No. 1254 para 12 are exhibited such Enams as I am of opinion may be continued to representatives of the deceased holders.

Vernoor,
Agent's Office
26th April, 1849.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Statement.

10365 | S^t George

Statement of Kurnoo[l]

Taluk a[t]

Case. Villages

1	2
1	Gauzoolpet[te]

Mundlaiks Enam appear
the widow of the
husband's
The Villages of
she has held
lidi the Enam
Tala[r] Jodhar of 2 Rs.
ignee of the Enam
tions of three Rya[ts]
ning, as no one
ated from; to
demand, as there
nam for three
neighbouring Vill
tremely probable
ya Rate by the
Village Panj[ay]
be taken of the
edé; widow, as he
ated. I recommend
widow of the decea[sed]

12th July 1849.

Recommendation and grounds thereof.

Further Remarks.

12. Number 13

in the late Mundle land Register prepared about 16 years
deceased alleges that the Enam has been in possession
family for three generations she however speaks from hearsay
parti[cu]lar statement is a modified extent; saying they are
it for 20 years. It seems clear that the deceased himself
formerly and that latterly he has had it cultivated by
being paid to the Cultivators. The above much is in favor of the
But as there are no documentary proof whereby to test the
and a Brahmin Curnum speaking for the cause of a deceased
knows any thing as to the origin of the Enam whether or
when it was first granted or why it was given as there
is nothing to establish the alleged successive enjoyment of
generations; as the deceased had parcels of Enams in favor
ager of the Cailgoontla Taluk, Cuddapah District; I think
that this Enam is one of the numerous grants made under
Reddies and Curnums of the Villages in order to keep up their
the standard amount; and therefore I consider that advantage
present opening to recover and reassert on the demise of the
mother should she survive the widow, what has been merely
its continuance only for the life time of the Mother

10366

Fort St. George

12th Ju

Case.	Taluk and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or Yeamiah.	When and by whom granted.	Summary or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service &c. service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the original grantee.	Name & last h the rel in whos to the and las deceas	Further Remarks.	Summary or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service &c. and nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	further remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		13.	5	6	13.
	Saroalli						Registered Case,		Summary as granted by Enam Rama sastah Khan Fizree 1169 1753.	For administering medicines to the poor.	
	Royalam Pattah no 79 14	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.						
	Grand Total 77 26 13/2										
	Saurisery										
2	Channacapilly no 37 17	Do.	Do.	Do.	Pagoda Establishment		By a convicted Robber to support 16 orphans (now presented) the original Deed Guaranty 4 Aju as Star 12 as in the for Summary as to doubt.		Summary granted by Enam Sheaf Law in as Fizree 1217 as D. 1804.	For Charity.	
	Total 7 14 12 / 8 12 8	Do.	Do.	Do.	Unknown				Summary	Do.	

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Case.	Name of the Original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.	Their Circumstances and the means of subsistance possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Further Remarks.
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13.
1	Natives Doctor Nobbe Lobbe deceased.	By reparum Lobbe Battler Second Cousin of original grantee.	Enjoyed by the deceased and his brother yeneem Bhuttlee as the Enaam has not been divided.	A wife Shasha-mah (40 years old) a son Chellum Bhuttlee (24 years old) and an elder Brother yeneem Bhuttlee (70 years old) who has become a Sunneassee having ceded the first named Lobbe Bhuttlee aged 18 and the deceased Chellum Bhuttlee aged 18 years.	Subsisting on the produce of the Enaam and Charities.	This Enaam appears in the Zemindar's Accounts. It has been in possession of the family for a very long period under the Summud now produced and although the terms of the grant do not shew that it was intended to be hereditary and it has passed out of the line of the Original grantee whose only son died without issue, it strikes us the family are undivided and depend for support upon the produce of this and other Enaams that it may be continued in favour of the deceased eldest son Chellum Bhuttlee for his life.	
2	Venkatasa Bhuttlee is now in the house formerly occupied by the enquiry fully shews that it was previously in possession of the family some time after the Enaam was settled on the grandfather.	By reparum Lobbe Bhuttlee Younger Brother.	Do.	Do.	Do.	This is in the Land Lists. It is stated by the parties examined to be an Enaam of great antiquity, its possession by the family of the late Enamdar going as far back as his great Grand father although the Summud produced is dated only in A. D. 1801 it was an old Enaam at the time of the issue of that document which refers to only a Kadaly part of the field in which the Enamdar's the assessment (Viz. Rs. 31 - 23 - 5) when cultivated, is consequently liable to the payment of Enamdy tax. The family of the deceased lose possession of some of their Enaams under the investigation now made and the rules recently framed by Government but their principal source of subsistence seems to be from this Enaam. I recommend its continuance. I should add that it is well known from information received from respectable servants of the late Ex Nawab that Nawab Aleef Khan made an attempt to diminish the loss of Revenue arising from the extensive Enaams in Kurnool by personal enquiry and in many instances after assembling the Enamdars issued fresh Summuds to those who had none previously. On such occasions it was obviously the aim of the Enamdars to report a less extent of holding than they really held, for fear of being saddled with the Enamdy tax which the said Nawab was the first to impose and realize, and under such circumstances I suppose the Summud now referred to must have been granted.	

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.

10368 Fort St. George 12th

Case.	Taluk and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Cnam or Tyeamiah.	When and by whom granted.	Summuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the Or... grant...	Further Remarks.	When and by whom granted.	Summuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or services. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Other ar...
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	13.	4	6	6	7.
	Sinnaporum	1 8	Nawab Muf Khan.	Summuds granted by Nawab Muf Khan in Hijrea 1217 or A.D. 1801.	For charity	Venkata... Bhut...		D°.	Summuds granted by the said Abdool Wahab Lab in Hijrea 1854.	D°.	
	Total	14 20		No Summuds	D°.	D...		D°.	D°.	D°.	
	Grand Total	65 38 1									
3	Dangutla	Dry land 24 31 14	16 is alleged by Abdool	16 alleged Summud of Abdool Wahab	For charity	Bhas... Bhut...					
		Bagayet 1 24 12	Wahab	Lahib in Hijrea							
		26 16 10	Lahib	1054 or A.D. 1638, the same is not exactly procurable and cannot be done by search.				Variable	No Summuds	D°.	
								Cuja Khan			
		32 27 6	16 is alleged	No Summuds	For Charity	Bhas... Bab...					
	Total	39 4.	by abdool Wahab Lab					D°.	D°.	D°.	

Case.	Name of the original grantee. 7.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise. 8.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members. 9.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. 10.	Their circumstances and the means of subsistance possessed by the family. 11.
1					
3	Subjia ally Lahib	Mahomed Naik son 18th April 1847	Enjoyed by deceased	First son Ally Lahib (60 years old) second son Jamah Lahib (45 years old) Third son Madar Lahib (36 years old) Fourth son Lubjay Ally Lahib (30 years old) Fifth son Korsaim Lahib (28 years old) and a Daughter Bundoonah 40 years old	The eldest son upon the Enam and the profession of a Cirkar Peon the others upon the Enam and the cultivation of Cirkar Lands.

12th July 1849.

Further Remarks.

Recommendation and grounds thereof.

13.

12

The circumstances of this Enam which is in the Land Register are similar to those of the one above. I propose that it be continued as above to the Son of the deceased for his life.

This Enam is in the Zemindah and it is shewn to have been cultivated on account of the deceased Enamdar on gootta as money rents for the last sixteen years. Previously the Enamdar had himself cultivated it. I recommend as above, that it be continued to his son for life.

This Enam appears in the Zemindah in the name of Mahomed Naik, father of the deceased. The family declare that it was bestowed by Nawab Asaf Khan on their grand father Subjay ally Lahib who when a child was attached in some capacity or other to the Nawabs house. It is held. The Villagers have heard to a similar effect. There is no Sunnud forthcoming but the Enam has been cultivated without interruption by the Enamdar himself as far back as the Villagers can remember. The late Enamdar is not of that class of persons whom the Villagers would have found it their interest to conciliate by the unauthorized grant of an Enam. I therefore infer that the original holder must have obtained possession of the Enam with superior sanction as it has been uninterruptedly enjoyed by the family for nearly 40 years and pays an Enamjy tax because it is not supported by a Sunnud. I think it may be continued to the family in the name of the third Son Madar Lahib who is the actual cultivator of the ground and holds a Cirkar Puttah as above.

10370

Fort St. George

Case.	Taloo k and Villages	Extent and value of the entire or Enam or Gomiah.	When and by whom granted.	Summuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Mcoor				
5	Chella Jootoor	5 5 0½	Nawab Mirf. Khan.	Two Summuds granted by Nawab Mirf. Khan Bigarea 1217 A. D. 1804	For charity

Bagayet-
1 30½
Dry land
51 15 5¼
Total- 58 20 10¾

Mr. Known No Summuds ___ &c.

Further Remarks-

13

When and by whom granted.	Summuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or services of service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.
4	5	6
Reddies and Curnums of the Villages.	No Summuds.	For Charity.

Turnool, Agent.

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Case.	Name of the original grantee	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and date of his demise.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties.	Their connection and the means of subsistance possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof	Further remarks.
1	7	8	9.	10	11	12	
5 c	Ramasoobba Sastry.	Singaee Josee son 19th December 1845.	Enjoyed by deceased.	An adopted son Venkatarama Bhuttoo 44 years old/ and two Daughters one named Balamah 35 years old/ and the other named Nagamah 32 years old/	Subsisting upon the produce of the Enam and 27 acres sold the Poorshanti Enam lands in the Village Goontanaula Vallittanaula	This is in the Land Register in the name of the late Enamdar father. The title to it is originally defective as it is acknowledged to have been obtained from the Reddies and Curnums who, whatever they may have done as a temporary means of prospering in their Village Parish or to meet the wishes of a Brahmin Village Astronomer which office the family have filled, had no authority to make any permanent alienation of their Village lands. It is however said to have been in possession of the family for as many as 50 or 60 years and it seems from the enquiry instituted on the spot to have been granted twenty years ago as Dhanum to one Ragoonatha Srothsoo when he married a Daughter of the late Enamdar. If no such arrangement as that had been made the Enam dar having died without issue the Enam would have escheated but as it was transferred under a bonafide gift as a sort of Dower I think it may now be continued to Ragoonadah Srothsoo for his life, the more so, that it pays 2 Rupees Enamity which is about ½ the full assessment it would bear if resumed.	

Office, 26th April, 1849. / Signed /
Fk. D Phillips
Agent to the Govern.

To

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

No 2

Seal of Nawab Ruzmeeta Khan

To the Deshmookies Deshpondies Kandoo Goudoos Reddies and Ryots of Havailly Hummuk Sugur Cirkar Gonjeepoor Soobah Beejapoor the seat of Victory - Whereas a piece of land of 1 Coolee Beejavaree &c situated in the Village of Sagoonalla in the aforementioned Havailly has been graciously given as Enam to the Native Doctor named Sookha Josee who dispenses Medicine to the Sick to enjoy the produce thereof and to dispense the Medicine with assurance to the sickly persons who are in need of - You will cause the said land which is not included in the Cirkar's accounts and fit for cultivation to be measured and have boundaries placed and put him in possession of it, but regarding this as sufficient, You will act conformably to it.

27 Rujub Hejree 1169 A D. 1753.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips

Agent to the Governor

To

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Seal of Nawab Aleef Khan

To the Reddies Curnums Deshpondies Deshmookes and Amildars now present or who may come hereafter. Whereas a piece of dry land of 3 Pylees Beejavaree situated in the Village of Byrapoorum in the Talook of Havailly Hummuk Sugur in the Kurnool Soobah Beejapoor - the seat of victory - has been graciously given to Venoum Bhut son of Rama Bhut to enjoy the produce thereof and reside in the above mentioned Village - You will cause the said land to be measured and put him in possession of it - a new Sunnud is not to be required every year but regarding this as sufficient you will act conformably to it.

3 Pylees of /the field called/ the Raigadee field.

Jamadee Lowell Hejree 1217 A D 1801

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips

Agent to the Governor

From

From the Cutcherry of Killa Mahomed noor to the Seraquts Reddies and Ryots of the Villages belonging to the Killa aforesaid -

In Heigree 1054 (AD 1638) Baskara Bhuttoo Braman son of Tum: mana Bhuttoo residing at Mundicot: coor informed the Hoozoor that he has Rengadee / black soil / Enam lands of 7 Coodoos and 6 Pylees of Beejavaree in the undermentioned Villages Vizt.

Monja Damagutla	Dry land 1 Coodoo and 6 Pylees		
	Wet land "	4	Do.
	Bagayet "	2	Do.
	Total	2	" "

Monja Banpanacooteor	1	6
Do. Muddamanoor	1	"
Do. Bollavarum near Damagutla	1	"
Do. Veepanagundla	1	"
Do. Chantcoor	1	"

which have been entered in the account called Bogotta / the Statement of information / and continued for many years They / aforementioned persons

/ persons / prefs for a new Sunnud to be obtained upon which he addressed: thus - A Saib, be pleased to keep favor on this poor man and bestow a new Sunnud: His request has accordingly been complied with and the said Enam specifying in the former Sunnud given in the Heigree 1029 1st. Rubbee Fowell / AD 1613 / have been given to him exempt from rooseoma in grain or Money and others you will cause the said Lands to be put in his possession after having inspected the accounts up to last year concerning his enjoyment of the same a new Sunnud is not to be required every year and you will return the original sunnud after you have taken a Copy thereof -

2d Jamadee Fowell

/ True Translation /

/ Signed / H. D Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Seal of Nawab Ally Khan

To the Reddies Cutcumma Deshpondies / Deshmookhs

10376 Fort St. George 12th July 1819.

Deshmooks and Amildars now pre-
sent or who may come hereafter
Whereas a piece of dry land of 3
Soleegas Beejawaree situated in
the Village of Jootoor purgana of
Siddakpoor in the Talook of Hum-
mut Nugur ie. Hurnool Sooba
Beejapoor - the seat of victory - has
been graciously given to Cooroovaty
Rama Bhuttoo son of Mulloo Bhuttoo
to enjoy the produce thereof and re-
side in the abovementioned Village.
You will cause the said land to
be measured and put him in
possession of it - a new Sunnud
is not to be required every year,
but regarding this as sufficient, -
you will act conformably to it. -
3 Soleegars of Yapamanee Chanoo
in the Tank -

20. Jamadee Lowell Huzgree 1217.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Seal of Nawab Aleefkhan

To the Reddies Curnums Deshpondies
Deshmooks

10377 Fort St. George 12th July 1819.

Deshmooks and Amildars now
present or who may come hereafter
Whereas a piece of dry land of 3
Soolegas Beejawaree situated in the
Village of Timmapoor in the Talook
of Kavailly Hummut Nugur ie.
Hurnool Sooba Beejapoor - the seat
of victory has been graciously —
given to Venkataramma Bhutoon
of Rungoo Bhut to enjoy the produce
thereof and reside in the above
mentioned Village - You will cause
the said land to be measured
and put him in possession of
it - a new Sunnud is not to be
required every year but regarding
this as sufficient, you will act
conformably to it.

3 Soleegas of /the field called/
the Lekintamanee Chanoo

19" Jamadee Lowell 1217 (A D 1801)

/True Translation/
Signed H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor

To

10378 Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

Seal of Nawab Alaafkhan

To the Reddies Kurnums Deshpondies Deshmookes and Amildars now present or who may come hereafter - Whereas a piece of dry land of 1 Pylee in Beejawaree situated in the Village of Jootoor Purganah of Siddhapoor in the Talook of Kurnool Sugur viz. Kurnool Soobah Beejapoor the seat of victory - has been graciously given to Appa Bhut son of Narsum Bhut to enjoy the produce thereof and reside in the aforementioned Village. You will cause the said land to be measured and put him in possession of it. A new Sunnud is not to be required every year, but regarding this is sufficient you will act conformably to it. -

3 Soleegas of Maureedoe Maneeleharoo
1 Do. of Goonta Meance Cheroo -
4 Do.

20 Jamadee Lowell Heizree 1217

/True Translation/
/Signed/ Hle D Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Proceedings

10379 Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

Proceedings thereon -

Reporting on the Statement of Lapsed Enams of the Kurnool District for 3d Quarter of 1849 -

Nundial			
Gauzeepilly			
Dry land	17	15	4
Wet land		38	13½
	18	13	17½
Sirewell			
Beyalacuntla	4	13	12
	22	26	19½

17-18

These Enams are entered as charitable grants in the late Nawabs Land Registes which was prepared 16 years ago and as no Sunnud is forthcoming it is not known from whom they emanated or for what purpose they were originally granted. The Villagers state that the last incumbent Mowzapilly Nundiack had been in the enjoyment of the lands for 20 years - It seems that he himself cultivated them formerly and that latterly he had them cultivated by others paying a Sodee of Rupees 2 to the Circar. The Agent therefore recommends their continuance from the 14th October 1846 the date of the lapse to Luchmaka the Widow and Luchummack the Mother of the deceased during the period of their respective lives as they are dependent for their support upon the produce of these and other Enams in the Coilcuntla Talook of the Cuddapah District. -

The

Fort St George 12th July 1849.

2

The Board of Revenue beg to support this recommendation.—

3.

Pauriem Chinnasipilly—

as	
"	37-12
"	14-12
	8-12-8

This Enam consists of two fields of which the first Nyt. do 37-12 is said to have been conferred upon Ragava Sastry Grand father of the last Enamdar Byrapoorum Soobaa Buttee for charity by whom or at what period is unknown. Of the origin of the do 7-14-12 nothing can be learnt beyond the circumstance of its having been granted to the last incumbent Byrapoorum Soobaa Buttee about 10 years before the resumption of Kurnool by the Seevanna a Village Curnum, in whose name it is entered in the late Nawabs Register. The two fields are stated to have been for the last 20 years in the late Enamdars' enjoyment. No Sunnud is forthcoming for either of them Sewunnas right to the field do 7-14-12 which he alienated by gift not being shewn the Agent has resumed it and proposes that the field do 37-12 should be con-

Continued

Fort St George 12th July 1849.

continued for life to Shashummah widow of the deceased but as in the next three cases to be noticed and which were held by the same Byrapoorum Soobaa Buttee the Enam is recommended to be continued for life in the name of his Son Chelum Buttee the Board think that the same course should be followed in the present instance.—

Sagoonadu 8-36-.

4.

This Enam was originally granted to Sooba Sasee a native Doctor by Nawab Ramanuja Khan under a Sunnud dated — Bijree 1169 or A. D 1755 for administering medecine to the poor in the District. It was last enjoyed by Byrapoorum Soobaa Buttee, second cousin of the original grantee. The land appears in the Zameen Jhada Accounts and altho the terms of the grant do not shew that it was intended to be hereditary and notwithstanding that it has passed out of the direct line of the original grantee whose only son died without issue, yet as it is an old grant, and as the family of the deceased consisting of a widow a son and a brother are dependent upon the produce of this

	Ac	a-	C
Chenacapilly	"	37-	12-
Byrapoorum	3	8-	3-
Do.	31	23-	6-
Tunapoorum	15	38	"-
	51	27-	5-

this and the other Enams specified in the List the agent recommends the continuance of the same for life to Chellum Buttoo the deceased's Eldest son in which the Board concur.

Byrapoorum 3-8-3
31-23-6
34-31-9

5. This Enam as appears from the accompanying Summud dated Fuslee 1217 or A. D. 1802 was bestowed upon Yanum Bhuttoo for charity by Nowab Ally Khan, but from the enquiries recently instituted by Mr. Phillips, it would appear that it had formerly been in possession of the family from the time of Yanum Buttoo great Grand Father - The extent specified in the Summud is Ac 3-8-3 the excess 31-23-6 when cultivated is therefore liable to the payment of enamity tax - The last incumbent Byrapoorum Soobboo Buttoo was the younger Brother of Yanum Buttoo in whose name the Summud was issued - The lapsed Enam is recommended by the Agent to be renewed in favor of the son of the deceased in which the Board would concur but on the understanding that it

it is to be limited to his life and they would advise that the same course be pursued in respect to the next Enam in the list.-

Timmapoorum 15 38-"

6.

Dayputta	Dry land	24-31-14
Bagayet		1- 24-12
		26-16-10
		32-27-6
		59-4-"

This Enam was originally granted for charity to Baskera Bhuttoo it is alleged by Abdool Wahab Saib under a Summud dated Fuslee 1054 or A. D. 1646 The last holder of the Enam Anadanum Condoo Bhuttoo who died on the 10th January 1847 was the 5th in descent from the Grantee. His family consists of a Widow and a son who derive their support from the produce of the Enam land. Tho' the Summud gives no claim to hereditary succession yet as the field enumerated in the Margin have for many years been in possession of the family being either cultivated for or by the Enamdar himself the Agent recommends their continuance to the late Enamdars son Venkata Soobya for life - The remainder Viz. 17-27-14 is proposed to be resumed on the ground of their having

N. 30 Ac 35-15½
54-" 5-11½
25-" - 28 14/16
W- 16-2

lain

lain waste for many years and the fact of their previous enjoyment by the Enamdar or his Ancestors not being established.

7. The Board recommend that the three fields containing Ac 4-16-2 as suggested be continued to the son of the deceased for life so much as is entered in the Summud free and the remainder subject to the enamity tax.

Wuddamanor Ac 3-17-20-8

8. This Enam is entered in the Land Register and is in every respect similar to that above specified. The Agent proposes to continue it in like manner to the son of the deceased for life. The Board concur in this and in the similar recommendation for the next Enam.

Bumpanacottah Ac 3-16-32-10

9. This Enam it would appear was obtained by Sulja ally Sahib from Nawab Ali Khan for charity at what period is unknown and no summud is forthcoming. It is entered in the Jameenjada in the name of Mahomed Saick father of the last incumbent of the same name and has been cultivated by the Enamdar himself as far back as the Villagers can remember. As it has been uninterruptedly enjoyed by the family for nearly 50 years and payasan enamity tax the Agent is of opinion that it should

Kundial Bendyatcoor	6-5-5
Canhanoor	3-4-7½
	9-9-12½

should be continued to the late Enamdars third son named Madar Sahib who cultivates the ground and holds a Circar Puttah.

10. The Board would as proposed continue it to Mada Sahib on enamity teerwah for his life and on the next lapse would resume it to the full assessment and would adopt the same course with the next Enam.

Canhanoor Ac 3-4-7½

11. Of the Enam land specified in the Margin Ac 5-5-11½ were in Fusly 1217 or A.D. 1802 conferred for charity upon Apparoo Buttoo and Rama Buttoo by Newab Ally khan under two distinct Summuds. It cannot be ascertained when or to whom the remainder of the Enam was granted no document being forthcoming. The land except two fields appear in the Jameenjhada one eighth of the Enam was enjoyed by Apparoo Buttoo who died on the 16th June 1847. He was cousin to Rama Buttoo one of the original grantees and has left a Widow a son and a daughter. The remaining portion of the Enam is in possession of different branches of the family. The terms of the Summud show that the Enam was originally a personal grant but owing to its having for many years been in the enjoyment of the family the Agent proposes to continue the whole of it with the exception of Ac 23-3-14 which having

Meeroor Chettla Sootoor	5-5-11½
Pagayet	1-39-12.
Dry land	51-15-6¼
	58-20-12¼

Fort St George 12th July 1849.

been long lying waste he has resumed.—

12 The Board would advise that of the remainder As 35-16-11 3/4 the share enjoyed by the deceased be continued to his son for life and that the rest be left with the parties now in enjoyment the share of each to lapse on his death.—

Poovoor Chaydoo As 3-19-5

13 No Summud has been produced in support of this Enam. It appears in the Land Register in the name of Rama Soobba Sastry a Village Astronomer who obtained it from the Redolies and Curnums of the Village who had no authority to alienate any portion of their Village lands. The last holder of the Enam Surjee Sosee was the son of the original grantee. The Enam has been in possession of the family for the last 50 or 60 years and from the enquiry instituted by Mr Phillips it appears to have been granted 20 years ago as a dowry to Ragoonada Srothoolee under a bonafide gift. The agent therefore recommends the continuance of the Enam to Ragoonada Srothoolee for his life especially as it pays an Enamity of Rupees 2 being about half the full assessment it would bear if resumed.—

14. The Board of Revenue think that under these circumstances it may be left to Ragoonada Srothoolee on Enamity assessment for his life.—

Read

Fort St George 12th July 1849.

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir,

19

From the Collr of Chingleput. Para 1.

Submitting Statements shewing the Nyacut or extent of Cultivation and Revenue of Maingaud and other Villages selected by C. Vencaiah Chetty stating his actions to their being given over to him & suggesting that if given they should be assessed with at not less than the average collections of the last 10 years also informing that C. Vencaiah Chetty had expressed his willingness to take Perumbadoo on the terms formerly proposed

Ponamala Talook.	
Mangadoo.	
Melavelegum.	
Cunnumpallee.	
Ragoonatha poorum.	

to forward the accounts of the Demand and Revenue realized from the 4 Villages enumerated in the Margin for the series of years desired in your communication of the 21st ultimo.—

Herewith I have the honor

2. The Merasidar has stated that he has no objection to these Villages being made over to C. V. Cunniah Chetty on the proviso that the Survey assessment rates are adhered to, but to grant these Villages to him on the terms he proposes, appears to me, for many reasons, objectionable.

3. Amongst the more important of them are the inadequate revenue realized during

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

during the first 5 years of the series, arising chiefly from the gross frauds which prevailed during the amany system; — and the vicinity of the Villages to Poonamalee, (a part of the cantonment in fact being situated within their limits). The Villages being also situated under the great Chembrumbaukam Tank on which so large a sum has lately been expended is also a cause of objection, from the difficulty of assessing Shrotriems or Jaghy Zemindary Villages under such a Tank with their due proportion of maramut charges, as likewise the increased difficulty in procuring the aid of the cultivators for slight repairs, turfing banks, clearing channels, and such like works as are usually executed by them — Should notwithstanding these objections, the Villages be given to him, I think they ought to be assessed at least with the averages collections of the last 5 or 10 years. —

I

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

I beg further to mention that C. V. Cunniah Chetty called on me a few days since, and after some conversation regarding these Villages requested me to state for the information of the Board that he was now willing to take Peroombadoo on the terms formerly proposed by me. —

Chinglepat District
Collectors Cutcherry
Pullecarroy 21st June 1849

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Abstract

10390 Fort St. George

A. Abstract Statement shewing the Extent of the Nº 1.

and Cunnumpullee in the

	Wet			Dry.		
	Extent of land.	Assessment.		Extent of land.	Assessment.	
	2	3		4	5	
	cawr	Mrs Rupees	a p	Cs	Ms Rs	a p
Total Extent of Estate	796	9¼	" "	115	1⅛	" "
Deduct Porumboke	77	8¾	" "	68	8	" "
Remaining	719	⅞	7.477	8 "	46 9¼	86 15 2
Deduct Enams	183	4½	1970	15	" " "	" "
Remaining Circar	535	12¾	5506	9 "	46 9⅛	86 15 2
Deduct Cultivable	42	11¾	373	8 8	11 12¾	19 13 7
Waste Immemorial	6	3⅞	50	1 7	8 13	15 6 3
Total	48	15¼	423	10 3	20 9⅜	35 3 10
Remaining Cultivation &c of Fasly 1257	486	13⅛	5082	15 8	25 15⅜	51 11 4

12th July 1849

Villages of Mangadoo, Matavilagum, Ragoonadapooram

Poonamalee Talook Hillah Chingleput.—

Garden.			Total			
Extent of land.	Assessment.		Extent of land.	Assessment.		
6	7		8	9		
Cs	As	Rs a p	Cs	Ms	Rs a p	
92	14⅞	" " "	1004	9¼	" " "	
75	14¾	" " "	221	15⅛	" " "	
17	⅛	235 15 3	782	10⅛	7800 7 4	
"	"	" " "	183	4½	1970 15 "	
"	"	" " "				
17	⅛	235 15 3	599	5⅝	5829 8 4	
16	4½	225 12 6	70	12¼	619 2 9	
"	"	" " "	15	⅞	65 7 10	
16	4½	225 12 6	85	13⅛	684 10 7	
"	11⅝	10 2 9	513	8⅛	5144 13 9	Abstract.

10391. rge

Abstra Villages

12th July 1849.

of Mangadoo, Malavelagum, Ragoonadapooram and Cunnampully

Total.			Extent of Land.		Assessment.			Assessment on additional crop.			Total.		
			Irrigated by the falling rain. 1 Crop.										
10			11		12			13			14		
Rs.	a	p	Cs.	a	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1448	7	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
792	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
702	8	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3443	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2343	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9729	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2567	4	"	3	4 7/8	19	14	11	"	"	"	19	14	11
5273	7	4	2	15	16	11	4	"	"	"	16	11	4
4752	1	8	3	7	29	9	11	"	"	"	20	9	11
4407	"	"	1	4 1/2	6	11	8	"	"	"	6	11	8
3900.	14	2	2	8 7/8	13	6	10	"	"	"	13	6	10
20900.	11	2	13	8 1/4	77	6	8	"	"	"	77	6	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Assessment on additional crop.

10		
Rs.	a	p
117	15	4
54	11	"
"	"	"
18	"	"
357	10	"
748	4	4
"	"	"
220	8	11
445	4	3
294	2	8
231	1	5
283	15	11
475	1	2
"	"	"

Under Ryotwary Settlement.

Under Ryotwary Settlement.

10392

Fort St. George

in the Poonamalee Talook Zillah Chingleput.—

12th July 1849.

Total Irrigation.

2 Crop. Extent of Land.	2 Crop. Assessment.	1 Crop. Extent of Land.	1 Crop. Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total. Extent of Land.	Total. Assessment.	Total. Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Bs. As.	Rs. a p	Bs. As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Bs. As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
" "	" " "	179 11	1330 8 2	117 15 4	1448 7 6	179 11	1330 8 2	117 15 4	1448 7 6
" "	" " "	195 10 7/8	737 14 3	54 11 "	792 9 3	195 10 7/8	737 14 3	54 11 "	792 9 3
" "	" " "	267 12 7/8	702 8 2	" " "	702 8 2	267 12 7/8	702 8 2	" " "	702 8 2
" "	" " "	393 12 5/8	3425 " 5	18 " "	3443 " 5	393 12 5/8	3425 " 5	18 " "	3443 " 5
" "	" " "	523 1/8	2785 6 8	557 10 "	3343 " 8	523 1/8	2785 6 8	557 10 "	3343 " 8
" "	" " "	1559 15 1/2	8981 5 8	748 4 4	9729 10 "	1559 15 1/2	8981 5 8	748 4 4	9729 10 "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
80 8 3/8	982 5 3	141 4 1/8	1384 4 9	220 3 11	1604 13 8	221 12 1/2	2366 10 "	220 3 11	2587 11 9
125 8 1/2	1566 9 8	365 9 5/8	3278 4 9	445 4 3	3723 9 "	491 2 1/8	4844 14 5	445 4 3	5290 2 8
123 14 5/8	1438 5 5	334 2	3040 3 6	294 2 8	3334 6 2	458 5/8	4478 8 11	294 2 8	4772 11 7
119 13 1/8	1410 15 "	307 2 3/8	2771 11 3	231 1 5	3002 12 8	426 15 1/2	4182 10 3	231 1 5	4413 11 8
112 14 5/8	1297 2 1	259 8 3/8	2333 3 "	283 15 11	2617 2 11	372 7	3630 5 1	283 15 11	3914 5 "
562 11 1/4	6696 5 5	1407 10 1/2	12807 11 3	1475 1 2	14282 12 5	1970 5 3/4	19503 " 8	1475 1 2	20978 1 10
" "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "

(Contd)

10393 Forfeited St. George

12th July 1859.

Dry.						Garden.		Total including Wet Dry and Garden.			
Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop	Total.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop	Total.
26	27	28	29	30		31	32		33	34	35
A. R.	R. a. P.	R. a. P.	R. a. P.	A.	R.	R. a. P.	A.	R.	R. a. P.	R. a. P.	R. a. P.
2 15 5/8	20 15 "	" " "	20 15 "	"	"	2 1 1	18 2	12 1/4	13 5 2	11 6	117 15 4	1471. 10 10
12 3	19 6 3	" " "	19 6 3	"	"	" 3 9	20 7	14 1/8	7 5 6	13 6	54 11 "	811 8 5
30 11 1/2	53 3 10	" " "	53 3 10	"	"	" " "	27 8	8 3/8	7 55	12 "	" " "	755 12 "
4 7 3/4	10 13 6	" " "	10 13 6	"	"	" " "	39 8	4 3/8	34 35	13 11	18 " "	3463. 13 11
3 8 3/4	9 13 10	" " "	9 13 10	"	"	" " "	226	8 1/8	279 5	4 6	56 8 10 "	3352 14 6
53 14 5/8	113 4 7	" " "	113 4 7	"	1 7/8	2 13 1	1614	"	9097	4	748 4 4	9845. 11 8
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	" " "
9 15 1/8	22 9 "	" " "	22 9 "	5	14 7/8	7 8 7 8	237	10 1/2	2467	10 8	220 8 11	2688. 3 7
15 9	32 12 11	" " "	32 12 11	"	"	" " "	506	11 1/8	4879	" 4	445 4 3	5324. 15 7
9 11 7/8	23 8 8	" " "	23 8 8	"	"	" " "	467	12 1/2	4502	1 7	294 2 8	4796. 4 3
17 10 1/8	37 12 7	" " "	37 12 7	"	"	" " "	444	9 5/8	4220.	6 10	231 1 5	4451. 8 3
19 7	39 15 2	4 1 2	44 " 4	"	"	" " "	391.	11	3670.	4 3	288 1 1	3958 5 4
72 5 1/2	158 10 4	4 1 2	162 11 6	5	14 7/8	7 8 7 8	2048	9 1/4	19740.	2 8	1479 2 4	21219. 5 "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "	"	" " "	" " "

10394 Fort St. George. 12th July 1849.

Add magadiator Ready money Collection and Tank merah.	Total.	Total amount of Settlement.	Collection.	Balance.
36.	37	38	39	40
Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
50 4 8	1,521 15 6	1,524 " "	1,524 " "	" " "
59 7 1	870 15 6	873 " "	873 " "	" " "
130 4 5	886 " 5	886 8 "	886 8 "	" " "
246 7 7	3,700 5 6	3,700 5 6	3,700 5 6	" " "
201 7 2	3,554 5 8	3,546 4 "	3,546 4 "	" " "
687 14 11	10,533 10 7	10,530 1 6	10,530 1 6	" " "
" " "	2,106 11 8	2,106 " 3	2,006 " 3	" " "
85 14 1	2,774 1 8	1,970 7 9	9,970 7 9	" " "
193 8 7	5518 8 2	5,393 2 7	5,393 2 7	" " "
173 9 "	4,969 13 3	4,652 " 9	4,652 " 9	" " "
181 9 4	4,633 1 7	4,412 3 11	4,412 3 11	" " "
194 15 9	4,153 5 1	3,575 13 6	3,575 13 6	" " "
829 8 9	22,048 13 9	20,003 12 6	20,003 12 6	" " "
" " "	4,409 12 4	4,000 12 1	4,000 12 1	" " "

Ryots.

Resident.	Non Resident	Total.	Number of Ploughs.
41	42	43	44
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
20	250	270	1,000
"	"	"	"
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
4	50	54	200
20	250	270	1,000
"	"	"	"

10395 George

x

12th July 1849.

Irrigated by the falling rain.

1 Crop.

F. w	Total.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.			Assessment on additional Crop.			Total.		
	10		11			12			13			14		
	R.	a	p	R.	a	p			R.	a	p	R.	a	p
1	521	8	10	4,603	"	4	"	11 7/8	3	14	7	"	"	"
1	434	15	8	4,586	4	1	"	7	1	4	10	"	"	"
2	379	2	"	3,344	13	2	2	6 1/8	12	7	"	1	1	6
5	398	15	2	5,197	"	7	1	15 7/8	13	9	5	1	10	8
2	498	5	"	5,552	8	7	4	13 7/8	28	13	7	5	8	10
8	2,232	15	7	23,486	5	3	17	"	99	6	4	8	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	4,456	5	1	54,116	10	5	30	8 1/4	176	13	"	8	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Under Reputation de Allotments (Continued)

Total of

For St. Kts. George T/Kt.

12th July 1849

(Contd)

2 Crop				Total Irrigation.				Total.				
				1 Crop.								
Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.
16		17		18		19	20	21	22		23	24	25
Bs.	As.	Rs.	a p	Bs.	As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Bs.	As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
117	15/4	1,422	5 6	292	8/8	2,665 " 7	521 8 10	3,186 9 5	1,10	8/8	4,087 6 1	521 8 10	4,608 14 11
101	7 5/8	1,506	12 6	293	14 5/8	2,685 " 9	434 15 8	3,120 " 5	39	6/4	4,191 13 3	434 15 8	4,626 12 11
73	3/8	1,074	12 11	228	13 7/8	2,103 6 2	380 3 6	2,483 9 8	301	11 4/4	3,178 3 1	380 3 6	3,558 6 7
118	10/2	1,766	5 9	339	13 7/8	3,046 " 7	1,00 9 10	3,446 9 11	458	8 3/8	4,812 5 10	1,00 9 10	5,212 15 8
126	6 5/8	1,875	1 2	360	6 1/2	3,207 14 7	503 14 9	3,711 13 4	486	13 1/8	5,082 15 9	503 14 9	5,586 14 6
537	8 3/8	7,645	5 10	1,515	9 3/4	13,707 6 2	2,241 4 7	15,948 10 9	2,053	2 1/8	21,352 12 "	2,241 4 7	23,594 " 7
"	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "
1,100	3 5/8	14,340	11 3	4,483	3 3/4	35,496 7 1	4,161 10 1	39,961 1 2	5,583	7 3/8	49,837 2 4	4,464 10 1	54,301 12 5
"	"	"	" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "

10397

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Dry.

Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional crop.	Total.	Extent of Land.	Garden. Assessment.	Total including Wet Dry and Garden. Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional crop.	Total.
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35.
Ac. Cs.	Rs. a ps.	Rs. a ps.	Rs. a ps.	Ac. Cs.	Rs. a ps.	Ac. Cs.	Rs. a ps.	Rs. a ps.	Rs. a ps.
21 7/8	41 14 8	3 11 10	47 10 6	" "	" "	431 9	4,129 4 9	527 4 8	4,656 9 5
28 10 57/8	56 5 2	9 10 11	66 " 2	" 9½	" "	424 10 5/8	4,256 7 6	444 10 7	4,701 2 1
23 2 37/8	46 12 2	10 3 8	56 15 10	1 3 5/8	" "	326 4½	3,242 2 "	390 7 2	3,632 9 2
28 5 1/8	55 4 2	12 " 6	67 4 8	1 5 7/8	" "	488 3 3/8	4,886 12 3	412 10 4	5,299 6 7
25 15 3/4	51 11 4	10 12 4	62 7 8	" 11 5/8	" "	543 8½	5,444 13 10	514 11 1	5,659 8 11
127 3	257 15 7	48 7 3	306 6 10	3 14 5/8	" "	2,184 3 3/4	21,659 8 4	2,289 11 10	23,949 4 2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
253 6 3/4	523 14 6	52 8 5	576 6 11	9 15 3/8	" "	5,846 13½	50,497 2 4	4,517 2 6	55,014 4 10
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

10398

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Add Nagadiat or Ready money collection and Pank merah.	Total.	Total amount of Settlement.	Collection.	Balance.
36.	37	38	39	40
Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
197 3 6	4,853 12 11	4,745 5 4	4,745 5 4	" " "
174 11 2	4,876 13 3	4,645 5 7	4,645 8 7	" " "
111 8 11	3,744 2 1	2,986 9 2	2,986 9 2	" " "
217 12 5	5,514 3 "	5,281 3 2	5,281 3 2	" " "
223 " 3	5,882 9 2	5,725 " 3	5,725 " 3	" " "
924 4 3	24,873 8 5	23,383 7 6	23,383 7 6	" " "
" " "	4,974 11 3	4,676 11 1	4,676 11 1	" " "
2,441 11 11	57,416 " 9	53,917 5 6	53,917 5 6	" " "
" " "	3,830 6 6	3,594 7 10	3,594 7 10	" " "
Total Berij of 10 Rus: -lies from 1243 to 1247 and from 68 to 57	35,407 3 "	33,913 9 "	33,913 9 "	
Average per Rusly	3,540 11 6	3,391 5 8	3,391 5 8	
Total Berij of 10 Rus: -lies from 1248 to 1257	46,922 6 2	43,387 4 "	43,387 4 "	
Average per Rusly	4,692 3 10	4,338 11 7	4,338 11 7	

Chinglepat District Collector's Cutcherry Pallecarney 21st June 1849

Ryots.				
Resident.	Non Resident	Total	Number of Ploughs.	
41	42.	43.	44.	
4	50	54	200	
4	50	54	200	
4	50	54	200	
4	50	54	200	
" "	4	50	54	200
20	250	270	1,000	
60	750	810	3,000	
4	50	54	200	
"	"	"	"	

E. E. Tyre. (Signed) A. Freese Collr.

Statement.

10399

Fort St. Georg 12th July 1849.

B. Statement Shewing the Ayacut of the Village of Manjaud No. 2. in the Poonamallee Talook Zillah Chingleput.-

	Wet.					Dry.					Garden					Total.				
	Extent of Land		Assessment.			Extent of Land.		Assessment.			Extent of Land.		Assessment.			Extent of Land.		Assessment.		
	1		2			3					4					5				
	Cs.	As.	Rs.	a	P.	Cs.	As.	Rs.	a	P.	Cs.	As.	Rs.	a	P.	Cs.	As.	Rs.	a	P.
Total extent of Ayacut	629	9¼	"	"	"	57	11⅛	"	"	"	59	13	"	"	"	746	1¾	"	"	"
Deduct Poromboke	53	1¾	"	"	"	4	14⅜	"	"	"	46	14⅝	"	"	"	140	14¾	"	"	"
Remaining	576	7½	6235	10	6	16	12¾	31	10	7	12	14⅜	180	9	3	606	2⅝	6447	14	4
Deduct Enams	155	3	1732	11	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	155	3	1732	11	11
Remaining Circar	421	4½	4502	14	7	16	12¾	31	10	7	12	14⅜	180	9	3	450	15⅞	4715	2	5
Deduct Waste — Cultivable	28	6¾	271	3	2	3	14¼	6	13	4	12	10	176	12	"	44	15	454	12	6
Deduct Waste — Immemorial	3	11⅝	30	13	11	"	8⅜	"	14	5	"	"	"	"	"	4	4	31	12	4
Remaining Cultivation	32	2¾	302	1	1	4	6⅝	7	11	9	12	10	176	12	"	49	3	486	8	10
ie of Fusly 1257	389	2⅛	4200	13	6	12	6⅛	23	14	10	"	4⅜	3	13	3	401	12⅝	4228	9	7

J.E.R.

10400 orge

Slater's Maun

Wet

12th July 1849.

gaud in the Poonamallee Talook Zillah Chengleput.—

Total Assessment on additional Crops.			Extent of Land.		Irrigated by the falling rain. 1st Crop. Assessment		Assessment on Additional Crop.		Total.		
10					11			12		13	
Rs.	a	p			Rs.	a	p				
109	4	1			1393	13	7				
34	11	"			652	7	8				
"	"	"			607	14	1				
18	"	"			2947	15	6				
531	6	"			2687	15	5				
Under Annaty Assessment. Total	713	5	8		8290	2	2				
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"
200	6	"			2215	13	3				
358	8	1			4370	5	2				
281	14	2			4012	2	10				
207	1	8			3721	1	3				
241	9	9			3321	13	8				
Under Ryotwar Settlements. Total	1289	7	8		17641	4	2				
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"

10L.01

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

2d. Crop. Extent of Land.	2d. Crop. Assessment.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Wet. Total 1st. crop. Assessment on additional Crop.	Total. (Contd.) Irrigation. Total.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Ac. As.	Rs. a p	Ac. As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Ac. As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
" "	" " "	169 5¼	1,284 9 4	109 4 3	1,393 13 7	169 5¼	1,284 9 4	109 4 3	1,393 13 7
" "	" " "	156 11⅞	597 12 8	54 11 "	652 7 8	156 11⅞	597 12 8	54 11 "	652 7 8
" "	" " "	215 7¼	607 14 1	" " "	607 14 1	215 7¼	607 14 1	" " "	607 14 1
" "	" " "	326 5⅜	2929 15 5	18 " "	2,947 15 5	326 5⅜	2929 15 5	18 " "	2,947 15 5
" "	" " "	427 10	2,156 9 5	531 6 "	2,687 15 5	427 10	2,156 9 5	531 6 "	2,687 15 5
" "	" " "	1295 7¾	7,576 12 11	713 5 3	8,290 2 2	1295 7¾	7,576 12 11	713 5 3	8,290 2 2
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
73 9⅜	874 13 5	112 14⅜	1,140 9 10	200 6 "	2,215 13 3	186 7¾	2,015 7 3	200 6 "	2,215 13 3
113 14⅞	1,403 5 4	281 8	2,608 7 9	358 8 1	4,370 5 2	395 6⅞	4,011 13 1	358 8 1	4,370 5 2
112 5	1,299 1 8	258 9½	2,431 3 "	281 14 2	4,012 2 10	370 14½	3,780 4 8	281 14 2	4,012 2 10
108 3½	1,271 11 3	240 15⅜	2,242 4 4	207 1 8	3,721 1 3	349 2⅞	3,518 15 7	207 1 8	3,721 1 3
106 6¼	1,215 3 4	201 12½	1,865 " 7	241 99	8,321 13 8	308 2¼	3,080 8 11	241 99	8,321 13 8
514 7	6,064 3 "	1,095 11¾	10,287 9 6	1,289 7 8	17,641 4 2	1,610 2¾	16,351 12 6	1,289 7 8	17,641 4 2
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

Dry. Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Assessment on Additional crop.	Total.	Garden. Extent of Land.		Total Wet Dry and Garden. Assessment.	Extent of Land.	Assessment on Additional crop.	Total.
26		27	28	29	30		31	32	33	34	35
Ac.	Ac.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Ac.	Ac.	Rs. a p.	Ac.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	169	5¼	1284 9 4	109 4 5	1393 13 7
6	4½	7 7 "	"	7 7 "	"	"	"	163	¾	606 3 8	54 11 "	659 14 8
11	15	20 14 8	"	20 14 3	"	"	"	227	6¼	628 12 4	" " "	628 12 4
1	7⅜	2 9 2	"	2 9 2	"	"	"	327	12¾	2932 8 7	18 " "	2950 8 7
1	7⅜	2 9 "	"	2 9 "	"	"	"	429	1¾	2159 2 5	531 6 "	2690 8 5
21	2¼	38 7 5	"	33 7 5	"	"	"	1316	10	7610 4 4	713 5 8	8323 9 7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	10	12 12 4	"	12 12 4	4	10¼	"	197	11⅞	2093 1 4	200 6 "	2293 7 4
10	6¼	21 12 8	"	21 12 8	"	"	"	405	13⅛	4033 9 9	358 8 1	4392 1 10
4	2⅞	9 13 6	"	9 13 6	"	"	"	375	13⅛	3740 2 2	281 14 2	4022 " 4
9	5⅛	19 4 10	"	19 4 10	"	"	"	358 8		3538 4 5	207 1 8	3740 6 1
11	6¼	21 10 11	4 1 2	25 12 1	"	"	"	319 7		3101 14 10	245 10 11	3347 9 9
41	12½	85 6 3	4 1 2	89 7 5	4	10¼	"	64 13 9	1656 9¾	16602 " 6	1293 8 10	17895 9 4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

10403 Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Add Nagadeyat or ready money Collection of Tank Murah.	Total.	Total amount of Settlement.	Collection.	Balance.
36.	37.	38.	39.	40.
Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
46 8 8	1,440 6 3	1,441 " "	1,441 " "	" " "
49 14 10	709 13 6	710 " "	710 " "	" " "
125 2 5	753 14 9	754 " "	754 " "	" " "
186 12 4	3,137 4 11	3,137 4 11	3,137 4 11	" " "
136 9 "	2,824 1 5	2,820 " "	2,820 " "	" " "
544 15 8	8,868 8 10	8,862 4 11	8,862 4 11	" " "
" " "	1,773 11 4	1,772 7 4	1,772 7 4	" " "
82 6 7	2,373 13 11	1,670 6 11	1,670 6 11	" " "
155 10 8	4,547 12 6	4,485 " 9	4,485 " 9	" " "
136 6 1	4,158 6 5	3,884 15 9	3,884 15 9	" " "
137 9 7	3,847 15 8	3,694 15 1	3,694 15 1	" " "
158 1 8	3,505 11 5	2,938 11 5	2,938 11 5	" " "
670 2 7	18,465 11 11	16,674 1 11	16,674 1 11	" " "
" " "	3,693 2 5	3,334 13 2	3,334 13 2	" " "

P.C.B.

Ryots.

Residents.	Non Residents.	Total.	Number of Ploughs.
41	42	43	44.
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
5	250	255	1000
"	"	"	"
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
1	50	51	200
5	250	255	1,000
"	"	"	"

10L04 George Wet.

12th July 1849.

Families.	Total Assessment on additional Crop.		Total.		Irrigated by the falling rain. 1st Crop. Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Assessment on additional Crop.		Total.	
	1		10		11		12		13		14	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Cs.	as.	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1253	462	1 9	3924.	7 9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1254	353	10 8	3833.	3 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1255	364.	1 4	3020.	1 11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1256	347	6 5	4,407	15 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1257	418	5 9	4,619.	3 4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total of 5 Fa	1,945	9 4	19,804.	15 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Aver	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total of 15 ye	3,948.	6 10	45,736	6 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Aver	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Under Ryotwari Settlement (contd).

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

(Contd.)

Irrigation.

2d Crop.						1st Crop.				Total.					
Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Assessment on additional Crop.		Total.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.
16		17				18		19		20	21		22	23	24	25.
As.	As.	Rs.	a p	As.	As.	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p		Rs.	a p	As. As.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p.
108.	7½	1295	10 6	230.	10¼	2166.	11 6	1462	1 9		2628	13 3.	339. 1¾	3462 6	462 1 9	3924. 7 9
94.	4⅞	1384.	5 4	219	10¼	2095.	3	353	10 8		2448	13 8	313. 15⅛	3479 8 4	353 10 8	3833. 3
65	10	949	2 1	180.	4⅛	1706.	14 6	364	1 4		2070.	15 10	245 14⅛	2656 11 7	364 1 4	3020. 1 11
108.	⅜	1586.	13 11	269.	7⅝	2473	11 4	347	6 5		2821.	1 9	377 8	4060. 9 3	347 6 5	4407 15 8
114.	13.	1680.	5 3	274.	5⅛	2520.	8 4	418	5 9		2938.	11 1	389 2⅛	4200. 13 7	418 5 9	4619 3 4
491.	3¾	6896.	5 1	1174	5⅜	10963.	11 8	1945	9 11		12908.	10 7	1665 9⅛	17859 5 9	1945 9 11	19804. 15 8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	" "	" "	" "	" "
1005.	10¾	12960	8 1	3565.	8⅞	28827	7 1	3948	6 10		32775.	13 11	4571 3⅝	41787 15 2	3948 6 10	45736 6 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	" "	" "	" "	" "

10606

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Dry.				Garden.		Total Wet, Dry and Garden.				
Extent of Land.	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.	
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
Ct. at.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Ct. at.	Rs. a p	Ct. at.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p.	
8 15 7/8	16 13 6	4 6 5	21. 3 11	" "	" "	348 1 5/8	3,479 3 6	466 8 2	3,945. 11 8	
12 2 7/8	23 4 9	6 6 10	29 11 7	"	2 1/4	326 1 1/4	3,504. 12 7	360. 1 6	3,864. 14 1	
14. 13 1/8	28 3 1	10 3 8	38 6 9	"	12 3/8	261. 7 5/8	2,695 " 11	374 5 "	3,069. 5 11	
13 11 1/2	25. 11 8	12 " 6	37 12 2	"	14 5/8	392 2 1/8	4,099 1 8	359 6 11	4,458 8 7	
12 6 1/8	23 14 10	9 10 "	33 8 10	"	14 3/8	1,01 12 5/8	4,228 9 8	427 15 9	4,656. 9 5	
62 1 1/2	117 15 10	42 11 5	160 11 3	2	1 5/8	1729 12 1/4	18,006 12 4	1,988 5 4	19995. 1 8	
" " " " " " " " " " " "										
125 1/4	236 13 6	46 12 7	283 10 1	6	11 3/4	4,702 15 5/8	42,119. 1 2	3,995 3 5	46,114. 4 7	
" " " " " " " " " " " "										

10607

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Add Nagadijat or ready money collection and Tank Merah.	Total.	Total amount of Settlement.	Collection.	Balance.	Ryots. Residents.	Ryots. Non Residents.	Ryots. Total.	Number of Ploughs.
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43.	44.
Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p.				
162 6 9	4,108. 2 5	4,026 8 1	4,126. 8 1	" " "	1	50	51	200
144 11 2	4,009 9 3	3,792 4 1	3,792 4 1	" " "	1	50	51	200
102 4 2	3171. 10 1	2,681. 7 9	2,681. 7 9	" " "	1	50	51	200
176 8 1	4,635 " 8	4,456 9 5	4,456 9 5	" " "	1	50	51	200
181 14 8	4,838 8 1	4,727 3 6	4,727 3 6	" " "	1	50	51	200.
767 12 10	20,762 14 6	19,684 " 10	19,684. " 10	" " "	5.	250	255	1,000.
" " "	4,152 9 3	3936. 12 11	3936 12 11	" " "	"	"	"	"
1,982 14 8	4,809 3 3	45220 7 8	45220 7 8	" " "	15	750.	765.	3,000
" " "	3206 7 8	3,014. 11 2	3,014. 11 2	" " "	1.	50.	51.	200.
Total Berij of 10 Fuslies from 1243 to 1247 & from 1253 to 1257.	29,631 7 4	28,546 5 9	28,546 5 9					
Average per Fusly.	2,963 2 4	2,854 10 2	2,854. 10 2					
Total Berij of 10 Fuslies from 1248 to 1257.	39,228 10 5	36,358 2 9	36,358 2 9					
Average per Fusly.	3922 13 10.	3,635. 13 1.	3,635. 13 1.					

Chingleput District, Coll^{rs} Cutcherry Pullecarney 21st June 1849.

E. E. Pr^{s} (Signed) A. Freese Coll^{r} Statement.

10408. Fort St. George

No. 3.

C. Statement Shewing the Ayacut of the Villages of Matavelangum

12th July 1849.

in the Poonamallee Talook Zillah Chingleput.

	Wet					Dry					Garden					Total				
	Extent of Land		Assessment			Extent of Land		Assessment			Extent of Land		Assessment			Extent of Land		Assessment		
1	2		3			4		5			6		7			8		9		
	Cs	As	Rs	a	p	Cs	as	Rs	a	p	bs	As	Rs	a	p	bs	As	Rs	a	p
Total Extent or ayacut	47	13 3/4	"	"	"	4	1 3/8	"	"	"	1	5/8	"	"	"	65	15 3/4	"	"	"
Deduct Porumpoke	1.	4 5/8	"	"	"	4	1 3/8	"	"	"	10	8 3/4	"	"	"	15	14 3/4	"	"	"
Remaining	46	9 1/8	376	6	2	"	"	"	"	"	3	7 7/8	48	14	3	350	1	425	4	5
Deduct Enam	10	11	86	12	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	11	86	12	2
Remaining Circar	35.	14 1/8	289	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	7 7/8	48	14	3	39	6	338	8	3
Deduct Cultivable	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	5/8	42	8	9	3	5/8	42	8	9
Waste Immemorial	"	11 1/4	5	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11 1/4	5	5	9
	"	11 1/4	5	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	3	5/8	42	8	9	3	11 7/8	47	14	6
Remaining Cultivation ie of Fasly 1257	35.	2 7/8	284	4	3.	"	"	"	"	"	"	7 1/4	6	5	6	35	10 1/8	290	9	9

10409

№ 3. Illagam

12th July 1819.

in the Poonamallee Talook Hillah Chingleput.—

Assessment additional crop.

10		
Rs.	a	ps.
8	11	1
"	"	"
"	"	"
"	"	"
21	11	1
29	11	1
"	"	"
"	"	"
12	14	11
5	2	3
12	3	2
8	11	2
38	15	6
"	"	"

Summary Drainage: Under Ryotwar Settlement.

Irrigated by the falling rain 1 crop.

Total.			Extent of Land		Assessment.			Assessment on additional crop.			Total.		
11			12		13			14			15		
Rs.	a	ps.	bS.	as.	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	ps.
46	14	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
51	3	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23	13	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
239	4	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"
234	5	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	4
595	10	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
78	1	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
297	3	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
267	8	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
239	14	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
127	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1010	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

2d Crop. Estent of Land.	2d Crop. Assessment.			Estent of Land.		Assessment.			1 Crop. Assessment on additional Crop.			West Total (Contd) Irrigation. Total.	Estent of Land.		Assessment.			Assessment on additional Crop.			Total.		
16	17.			18		19			20			21	22		23			24			25		
Cs	as.	Rs.	as.	p.	Cs.	as.	Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.	Cs.	as.	Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	4	11 7/8	38	3	8	8	11	1	46	14	9	4	11 7/8	38	3	8	8	11	1	46	14	9
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	13	11 3/8	51	3	10	〃	〃	〃	51	3	10	13	11 3/8	51	3	10	〃	〃	〃	51	3	10
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	9	12 1/4	23	13	6	〃	〃	〃	23	13	6	9	12 1/4	23	13	6	〃	〃	〃	23	13	6
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	26	11 1/4	239	4	7	〃	〃	〃	239	4	7	26	11 1/4	239	4	7	〃	〃	〃	239	4	7
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	34	12 1/2	213	5	10	21	〃	〃	234	5	10	34	12 1/2	213	5	10	21	〃	〃	234	5	10
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	89	11 1/4	565	15	5	29	11	1	595	10	6	89	11 1/4	565	15	5	29	11	1	595	10	6
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃
3	14	58	8	3	3	1 1/2	19	9	8	〃	〃	〃	19	9	8	6	15 1/2	78	1	11	〃	〃	〃	78	1	11
3	14	66	1	11	31	4 7/8	218	2	4	12	14	11	231	1	3	35	2 7/8	284	4	3	12	14	11	297	3	2
3	14	53	7	9	29	12 5/8	208	4	1	5	2	3	214	〃	4	33	10 5/8	262	5	10	5	2	3	267	8	1
3	14	53	7	9	25	15 3/8	174	3	9	12	3	2	186	6	11	29	13 3/4	227	11	6	12	3	2	239	14	8
2	8 5/8	38	4	6	12	3 3/4	80	13	8	8	11	2	89	8	10	14	12 3/4	119	2	2	8	11	2	127	13	4
18	5/8	269	14	2	102	6 1/8	701	11	6	38	15	6	740	11	〃	120	6 3/4	971	9	8	38	15	6	1010	9	2
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Dry.						Garden.		Total Wet Dry and Garden.									
Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Assessment on additional Crop.		Total.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.		Assessment on additional Crop.		Total.		
26		27		28		29	30	31	32.		33		34		35		
bs.	cs.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p.	bs.	cs.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Add Nagadiat or ready money Collection and Tank Merah.			Total.			Total amount of Settlement.			Collection.			Balance.			Ryots. Resident.	Ryots. Non Resident.	Ryots. Total.	Number of Ploughs.
36			37			38			39			40.			41	42.	43.	44.
Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p.				
1	8	11	48	7	8	49	"	"	49	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
"	"	"	51	3	10	52	"	"	52	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
"	"	"	23	13	6	24	"	"	24	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
20	6	4	259	10	11	259	10	11	259	10	11	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
12	14	6	247	4	4	247	4	"	247	4	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
34	13	9	630	8	3	631	14	11	631	14	11	"	"	"	5	"	5	"
"	"	"	126	1	8	126	6	2	126	6	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	4	2	79	6	1	67	12	4	67	12	4	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
11	4	9	308	7	11	292	12	10	292	12	10	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
10	1	"	277	9	1	277	9	1	277	9	1	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
11	5	1	251	3	9	217	7	5	217	7	5	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
11	5	1	139	2	5	135	6	11	135	6	11	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
45	4	1	1056	13	3	991	"	7	991	"	7	"	"	"	5	"	5	"
"	"	"	211	2	8	198	3	4	198	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

10413 George Tkt.

12th July 1849.

Under Ryotwar Settlement (Contd).

Total Assessment on additional Crop			Irrigated by the falling rain. 1 Crop.				
	Total.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.
10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs. a p	Rs. a p	bs. as.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
11 12	240	13 1	" "	" "	" "
6 2 11	253	4 2	" "	" "	" "
4 5 8	173	" 1	" "	" "	" "
14 11	304	3 2	" "	" "	" "
10 8 "	294	12 3	" "	" "	" "
Total of 1 55 " 8	1266	" 9	" "	" "	" "
" " " "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total of 2 123 11 3	2872	4 5	" "	" "	" "
" " " "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

2 Crop. Extent of Land.	2 Crop. Assessment	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Total 1 Crop. Assessment on additional Crop.	Irrigation. Total. Extent of Land.	Irrigation. Total. Assessment.	Assessment on additional Crop.	Total.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
bs. ac.	Rs. a p	bs ac.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	bs ac.	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
3 14	53 7 9	26 1 3/8	176 4 2	11 1 2	187 5 4	29 15-3/8	229 11 11	11 1 2	240 13 1
3 14	66 1 11	26 3 1/2	180 15 4	6 2 11	187 2 3	30 1 1/2	247 1 3	6 2 11	253 4 2
3 14	66 1 11	14 11	99 8 6	7 5 8	106 14 2	18 9	165 10 5	7 5 8	173 4 1
3 14	66 1 11	31. 4 7/8	218 2 4	19 14 11	238 1 3	35 2 7/8	284 4 3	19 14 11	304 3 2
3 14	66 1 11	31. 4 7/8	218 2 4.	10 8 "	228 10 4	35 2 7/8	284 4 3	10 8 "	294 12 3
19 6	317 15 5	129 9 5/8	893 " 8	55 " 8	948 1 4	148 15 57/8	1,211. " 1	55 " 8	1,266 " 9
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
37 6 5/8	587 13 7	321. 11	2,160 11 7	123 11 3	2,284. 6 10	359 1 5/8	2,748 9 2	123 11 3	2,872 4 5
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

10415

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Dry.						Garden.	Total of Wet Dry and Garden.			
Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional crop.	Total.	Extent of Land.		Assessment.	Extent of Land.	Assessment.	Assessment on additional crop.	Total.
26	27	28	29	30		31.	32	33	34	35
bs. A.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	bs. A.		Rs. a p.	bs A.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "		" "	29 13 3/4	229 11 11	" 1 2	240 13 1
" "	" "	" "	" "	" 7/4		6 5 6	30 8 3/4	253 6 9	6 2 11	259 9 8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" 7/4		6 5 6	19. 1/4	171 15 4	7 6 8	179 5 7
" "	" "	" "	" "	" 7/4		6 5 6	35 10 1/8	290 9 9	19 14 11	310 8 8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" 7/4		6 5 6	35. 10 1/8	290. 9 9	10. 8 "	301. 1 9
" "	" "	" "	" "	1 13		25 6 "	150 12 5/8	1236 6 1	55 " 8	1291. 6 9
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "		" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" "	" "	" "	1 13		25 6 "	360. 14 5/8	2,773 15 2	123 11 3	2897 10 5
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "		" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "

1046

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Add Nagadeat or Ready money Collection and Tank Meerah.	Total.	Total amount of Settlement.	Collection.	Balance.	Ryots. Resident.	Non Resident.	Total.	Number of Plough.
36	37	38	39	40	41.	42.	43.	44.
Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.				
10. 11 11	251 9 .	251 9 .	251 9 .	" " "	1	"	1	"
10 11 11	270 5 7.	262 11. 11	262 11 11	" " "	1	"	1	"
6 1 7	185 7 2	132 6 6	132 6 6	" " "	1	"	1	"
9 10 1	320 2 9	307 8 7	307 8 7	" " "	1	"	1	"
12 10 1	313 11 10	291 11 2	291. 11 2	" " "	1	"	1	"
49 13 7	1341. 4 4	1245 15 2	1245 15 2	" " "	5	"	5	"
" " "	268 4 1	249 3 .	249 3 .	" " "	"	"	"	"
129 15 5	3027. 9 10	2868 14 8	2868 14 8	" " "	15	"	15	"
" " "	201. 13 4	191. 4 2	191. 4 2	" " "	"	"	"	"
Total Bariz of 10 Fuslies from 1243 to 1247 & from 1253 to 1267.	1971. 12 7	1877 14 1	1877 14 1					
Average per Fusly.	197 2 10	187 12 7	187 12 7					
Total Bariz of 10 Fuslies from 1248 to 1254	2397 1 7	2236 15 9	2236 15 9					
Average per Fusly.	239 11 4.	223 11 2	223 11 2					

The Bloughs & this Village are included in that of Mannay and the Marayadeen being the same as the Ryots.

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry Pallecarney.

21st June 1849. E. E. Wms (Signed) A. Freese
Coll.r
Statement.

10417

Fort St. George

No. 4.

D. Statement shewing the Ayacut of the Village of Cunnum

	Wet.				Dry.			
	Extent of Land		Assessment.		Extent of Land.		Assessment.	
1	2		3		4		5	
	Cs.	as.	Rs. a Ps.		Cs.	as.	Rs. a Ps.	
Total Extent of Ayacut	55	9 5/8	" " "		50	5/8	" " "	
Deduct Porumboke	11	5 1/2	" " "		21	12 3/8	" " "	
Remaining	44	4 1/8	37 9	12 11	28	4 1/4	5 2	10 2
Deduct Enams	4	6	46	8 8	"	"	" "	"
Remaining Circar	39	14 1/8	333	4 3	28	4 1/4	5 2	10 2
Deduct Cultivable	12	13	91	3 11	7	14 1/8	13	" 3
Waste Immemorial	1	2 1/8	8	10 3	8	4 5/8	14	7 10
Total	13	15/8	99	14 2	16	2 3/4	27	8 1
Remaining Cultivation ie of Fusly 1257	25	15	233	6 1	12	1 1/2	25	2 1

J. E. B.

12th July 1849.

fully in the Ponamallee Talook Zillah Chingleput.—

Garden			Total		
Extent of land		Assessment	Extent of land		Assessment
6		7	8		9
Cs.	as.	Rs. a Ps.	Cs.	as.	Rs. a Ps.
15	8/4	" " "	121	2/2	" " "
15	3 5/8	" " "	48	5/2	" " "
"	4 5/8	3 " 7	72	13	435 7 8
"	"	" " "	4	6	46 8 8
"	4 5/8	3 " 7	68	7	388 15 "
"	4 5/8	3 " 7	20	15 3/4	107 4 9
"	"	" " "	9	6 3/4	23 2 1
"	4 5/8	3 " 7	30	6 1/2	130 6 10
"	"	" " "	38	1/2	258 8 2

10418 George

D. S. the Village

12th July 1849

of Cunnumpullee in the Poonamallee Talook Zillah

Wet

10 Total Assessment on additional Crop			Irrigated by the falling rain 1 Crop Extent of land		12 Assessment on additional Crop		13 Total		14 Assessment on additional Crop		15 Total	
Rs	a	P	Cawn		Rs	a P	Rs	a P	Rs	a P	Rs	a P
"	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
28	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
68	7	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
164	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
279	11	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
88	1	2	3	4/8	19	14 11	"	"	"	"	19	14 11
179	10	10	2	15	16	11 4	"	"	"	"	16	11 4
134	11	2	3	7	20	9 11	"	"	"	"	20	9 11
98	12	3	1	4/2	6	11 8	"	"	"	"	6	11 8
144	5	1	2	8/8	13	6 10	"	"	"	"	13	6 10
645	8	6	13	8/4	77	6 8	"	"	"	"	77	6 8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Under Summary of Assessment

1	"	"	"
1	"	"	"
1	"	"	"
1	"	"	"
1	5	4	"
Total	5	4	"

Under Ryotwar Settlement

12	"	"	"
12	"	"	"
12	1	8	1
12	"	"	"
12	"	"	"
Total	1	8	1

10419

Chingleput.-

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

2 Crop		1 Crop		7/8 Total		Irrigation		Total					
Extent of land	Assessment	Extent of land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop		Total	Extent of land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total			
15	17	18	19	20		21	22	23	24	25			
Co a p	Rs a p	Co a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p	Co a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p			
" " "	" " "	1 3/8	" 12 8	" " "		" 12 8	1 3/8	" 12 8	" " "	" 12 8			
" " "	" " "	8 6 1/8	17 12 4	" " "		17 12 4	8 6 1/8	17 12 4	" " "	17 12 4			
" " "	" " "	12 12 1/4	28 10 2	" " "		28 10 2	12 12 1/4	28 10 2	" " "	28 10 2			
" " "	" " "	12 4 5/8	68 7 11	" " "		68 7 11	12 4 5/8	68 7 11	" " "	68 7 11			
" " "	" " "	22 13 1/2	158 12 2	5 4 "		164 " 2	22 13 1/2	158 12 2	5 4 "	164 " 2			
" " "	" " "	57 4 7/8	274 7 3	5 4 "		279 11 3	57 4 7/8	274 7 3	5 4 "	279 11 3			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			
" 7 7/8	4 10 10	13 1/8	103 5 3	" " "		103 5 3	13 7 1/4	108 " 1	" " "	108 " 1			
3 3 5/8	33 14 1	20 6 3/8	162 8 1	" " "		162 8 1	23 10	196 6 2	" " "	196 6 2			
3 3 5/8	33 14 1	14 8 3/4	119 14 11	1 8 1		121 7 "	17 12 3/8	153 13 "	1 8 1	155 5 1			
3 3 5/8	33 14 1	8 8 1/2	71 9 10	" " "		71 9 10	11 12 1/8	105 7 11	" " "	105 7 11			
2 9 7/8	27 7 8	17 6 3/4	130 4 3	" " "		130 4 3	20 5/8	157 11 11	" " "	157 11 11			
12 11 7/8	133 12 9	73 14 1/2	587 10 4	1 8 1		589 2 5	86 10 3/8	721 7 1	1 8 1	722 15 2			
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			

10420

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Extent of land	Dry Assessment	on additional Crop	Total	Extent of land	Garden Assessment	Total including Wet Dry and Garden Assessment	on additional Crops	Total
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
C a.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	C a.	Rs a p	C a.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
2 15 5/8	20 15 "	" " "	20 15 "	" 1 5/8					24 " "
5 14 1/2	10 15 5	" " "	10 15 5	" 1/4	" 8 9	4 1 5/8	24 " "	" " "	29 4 6
18 12 1/2	32 5 7	" " "	32 5 7	" "	" " "	14 4 7/8	29 4 6	" " "	60 15 9
3 3 1/8	8 4 4	" " "	8 4 4	" "	" " "	31 8 3/4	60 15 9	" " "	76 12 3
2 1 3/8	7 4 10	" " "	7 4 10	" "	" " "	15 5	76 12 3	" " "	171 5 "
					" " "	24 14 7/8	100 1 "	5 4 "	362 5 6
32 12 3/8	79 13 2	" " "	79 13 2	" 1 7/8	2 13 1	90 3 1/4	357 16		
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 5 1/8	9 12 8	" " "	9 12 8	" 15 5/8					128 " 10
5 2 3/4	13 " 3	" " "	13 " 3	" "	10 4 1	17 12	128 " 10	" " "	209 6 5
5 9	13 11 2	" " "	13 11 2	" "	" " "	28 12 3/4	209 6 5		
8 5	18 7 9	" " "	18 7 9	" "				1 8 1	109 " 3
6 10 5/8	15 9 10	" " "	15 9 10	" "	" " "	23 5 3/8	167 8 2	" "	123 15 8
29 1/2	70 9 8	" " "	70 9 8	" 15 5/8	" " "	20 1 1/8	123 15 8	" " "	173 5 9
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	26 11 1/4	173 5 9		
					10 4 1	116 10 1/2	802 4 10	1 8 1	803 12 11
					" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "

10421

Fort St. George

12th July 1849

Add Nagadyat or ready Money Collection and Tank Merah	Total	Total Amount of Settlement	Collection	Balance	Ryots Resident	Ryots Non Resident	Ryots Total	Number of Ploughs
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	14
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p				
2 31	26 31	27 " "	27 " "	" " "	1	"	1	"
" 27	29 7 1	30 " "	30 " "	" " "	1	"	1	"
1 2 "	62 1 9	62 " "	62 " "	" " "	1	"	1	"
4 13	80 13 6	80 13 6	80 13 6	" " "	1	"	1	"
8 41	179 9 1	179 " "	179 " "	" " "	1	"	1	"
15 3 "	378 2 6	378 13 6	378 13 6	" " "	5	"	5	"
" " "	75 10 1	75 12 3	75 12 3	" " "	"	"	"	"
1 15 "	129 15 10	109 1 6	109 1 6	" " "	1	"	1	"
6 72	215 13 7	189 3 6	189 3 6	" " "	1	"	1	"
7 " "	176 " 3	170 11 7	170 11 7	" " "	1	"	1	"
7 " "	130 15 8	126 14 11	126 14 11	" " "	1	"	1	"
4 126	178 2 3	171 6 2	171 6 2	" " "	1	"	1	"
27 28	830 15 7	767 5 8	767 5 8	" " "	5	"	5	"
" " "	166 3 1	153 7 6	153 7 6	" " "	"	"	"	"

The Ploughs of this Village are included in that of Mangadoo the Meerasdar being the same in both.

1042 George Wet Tank

Assessment on additional Crop	
10	
Rs	a p
3	8 5
11	" 2
2	14 5
"	" "
7	10 6
25	1 6
"	" "
31	13 7

Under Settlement Rules

12th July 1849

Irrigated by the falling rain

Total	Extent of land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total
11	12	13	14	15
Rs a p	C a	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
93 14 10	" 11 7/8	3 14 7	" " "	3 14 7
145 10 5	7 1/4	40 8 10	" " "	40 8 10
101 8 11	2 6/8	12 7 11	1 1 6	13 9 5
152 4 5	1 15/8	13 9 5	1 10 8	15 4 1
212 3 "	4 13 5/8	28 13 7	5 8 10	34 6 5
705 9 7	17 "	99 6 4	8 5 "	107 11 4
" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1630 13 4	30 8/4	176 13 "	85 "	1852 "

Fort St. George

12th July 1849

(Contd)

Irrigation

2 Crop			1 Crop			Total			Total				
Extent of land		Assessment	Extent of land		Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop			Total	Extent of land		Assessment on additional Crop	Total
16		17	18		19	20			21	22		23	24	25
Co.	as.	Rs. a. p.	Co.	as.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.	Co.	as.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
2	1/4	21 13 2	8	4 7/8	72 7 10	3 8 5			76 " 3	10	6 1/8	94 5 "	3 8 5	97 13 5
1	7/8	16 10 "	20	13 3/4	158 9 1	11 " 2			169 9 3	21	14 5/8	175 3 1	11 " 2	186 3 3
1	7 1/2	23 2 2	11	5 5/8	88 " 3	3 15 11			92 " 2	12	13 1/8	111 2 5	3 15 11	115 2 4
3	3 5/8	50 13 4	12	6 3/4	115 " 6	1 10 8			116 11 2	16	10 3/8	165 13 10	1 10 8	167 8 6
11	7/8	103 4 "	76	10 3/8	616 10 5	33 6 6			650 " 11	87	11 1/4	779 14 5	33 6 6	813 4 11
"	"	" "	"	"	" "	" "			" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "
23	12 3/4	297 " 9	207	13 3/4	1,478 12 "	40 2 7			1,518 14 7	231	10 1/2	1,775 12 9	40 2 7	1,815 15 4

10424

Fort St. George

12th July 1849

Dry

Extent of land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total	Extent of land
26	27	28	29	30
C. a.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	C. a.
10 8/8	22 6 9	" " "	22 6 9	" "
14 15 5/8	30 6 1	3 4 1	33 10 2	" "
6 13 3/8	15 14 8	" " "	15 14 8	" "
13 1/2	26 14 1	" " "	26 14 1	" "
12 1/2	25 2 1	1 2 4	26 4 5	" "
57 8/8	120 11 8	4 6 5	125 2 1	" "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
119 5 3/4	271 2 6	4 6 5	275 8 11	1 1/2

Garden

Extent of land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total	Extent of land
31	32	33	34	35
Rs a p	C. a	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
3 8 5	120 4 2			
" " "	20 15	116 11 9		
" " "	36 14/4	205 9 2	14 4 8	219 13 5
" " "	19 10/2	127 1 1	8 15 11	131 1 "
" " "	29 11/8	192 11 11	1 10 8	194 6 7
" " "	38 1/2	258 8 2	14 5 8	272 13 10
" " "	145 4/8	900 10 1	37 12 11	938 7 "
" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13 1 2	352 13/4	2060 " 5	44 9 "	2104 9 5

Total including Wet Dry and Garden

10425

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

Add Nagadyeat or ready Money Collection and Tank Marah	Total	Total Amount of Settlement	Collection	Balance	Ryots Non Resident	Resident	Total	Number of Ploughs
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P				
4 1 2	124 5 4	111 10 4	111 10 4	" " "		1	1	"
4 1 3	223 14 8	218 5 10	218 5 10	" " "		1	1	"
3 3 2	134 4 2	87 8 10	87 8 10	" " "		1	1	"
7 3 7	201 10 2	173 8 5	173 8 5	" " "		1	1	"
7 5 3	280 3 1	266 7 "	266 7 "	" " "		1	1	"
25 14 5	964 5 5	857 8 5	857 8 5	" " "		5	5	"
" " "	172 13 10	171 8 1	171 8 1	" " "		"	"	"
68 14 1	2,173 7 6	2,003 11 7	2,003 11 7	" " "		15	15	"
	144 14 4	133 9 4	133 9 4					
Total Beriz of 10 Fusliees from 1243 to 1247 inclusive from 53 to 1257	1342 7 11	1,236 5 11	1,236 5 11					
Average per Fusly	134 4 "	123 10 2	123 10 2					
Total Beriz of 10 Fusliees from 1248 to 1257	1795 5 "	1,624 14 1	1,624 14 1					
Average per Fusly	179 8 6	162 7 10	162 7 10					

Chingleput District Collectors

E. E. Vn

Cutcherry, Pullecarney 21st June 1849 [signed] A. Freese

Collector

Statement

10426

Fort St. George

No. 5.

12th July 1849.

E Statement shewing the Ayacut of the Village of Ragoonadha pooram in the Ponamallee Talook Zillah Chinglepat.

	Wet				Dry				Garden			Total		
	Extent of land		Assessment		Extent of land		Assessment		Extent of land	Assessment		Extent of land	Assessment	
1	2 Cs. as		3 Rs a P		4 Cs. as		5 Rs a P		6 Cs. as	7 Rs a P		8 Cs. as	9 Rs a P	
Total Extent or Ayacut	63	8/8	" " "		3	4	" " "		3 9	" " "		70	5/8	" " "
Deduct Brampoke	11	1/2	" " "		1	11/8	" " "		3 3/4	" " "		16	12/8	" " "
Remaining	51	12/8	485	114	1	8/8	2	105	" 5/4	3	7 2	53	9½	491 12 11
Deduct Enam	13	½	104	143	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	13	½	104 14 3
Remaining Circar	38	11/8	380	131	1	8/8	2	105	" 5/4	3	7 2	40	9	386 14 8
Deduct Waste Cultivable	1	7/8	11	17	"	"	"	"	" 5/4	3	7 2	1	12/8	14 8 9
Waste Immemorial	"	10/8	5	38	"	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	10/8	5 3 8
	2	2/2	16	53	"	"	"	"	" 5/4	3	7 2	2	7/4	19 12 5
Remaining Cultivation i.e. of Fasly 1257	36	9/8	364	7 10	1	8/8	2	105	" "	"	" "	38	1/4	367 2 3

Statement

10427 George 12th July 1849

Sd the Village of Ragoonadapooram in the Poonamallee Talook Zillah Chingleput.-

Fusle	Assessment on additional crop		Total Assessment	Irrigated by the falling rain 1 Crop								
				Extent of Land		Assessment		Assessment on additional Crop		Total		
	10			11		12		13		14		15
	Rs	a P		Rs	a P	Cs	av	Rs	a P	Rs	a P	Rs a P
1245	"	" "		6	14 6	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1246	"	" "		71	1 5	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1247	"	" "		42	2 5	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1248	"	" "		187	14 6	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1249	"	" "		256	11 3	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1243	"	" "		564	2 1	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
Total	"	" "		"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
"	"	" "		185	3 8	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1249	20	2 11		426	4 2	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1241	73	13 3		337	11 7	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1235	5	10 2		347	3 10	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " 1
1253	1	12 7		306	14 1	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
1251	33	11 "		1603	5 4	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "
Total	145	1 11										
"	"	" "		"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	" " "

Under Ryotwar Settlement

Under Cumamy Management

10428

Fort St George

12th July 1849

2 Crop				Total Irrigation 1 Crop						Total					
Extent of Land		Assessment		Extent of Land		Assessment		Assessment on additional crop		Total	Extent of Land		Assessment	Assessment on additional crop	Total
16		17		18		19		20		21	22		23	24	25
Cr.	a.	Rs	a. p.	Cr.	a.	Rs	a. p.	Rs	a. p.	Rs a. p.	Cr.	a.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.
"	"	"	"	4	9½	6	14 6	"	"	6 14 6	4	9½	6 14 6	" "	6 14 6
"	"	"	"	16	13½	71	1 5	"	"	71 1 5	16	13½	71 1 5	" "	71 1 5
"	"	"	"	29	13⅛	42	2 5	"	"	42 2 5	29	13⅛	42 2 5	" "	42 2 5
"	"	"	"	28	7⅜	187	4 6	"	"	187 4 6	28	7⅜	187 4 6	" "	187 4 6
"	"	"	"	87	12⅛	256	11 3	"	"	256 11 3	37	12⅛	256 11 3	" "	256 11 3
"	"	"	"	117	7⅝	564	2 1	"	"	564 2 1	117	7⅝	564 2 1	" "	564 2 1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "
2	9⅞	44	4 9	12	4⅛	120	12 -	20	2 11	140 14 11	14	14	165 - 9	20 2 11	185 3 8
4	8	63	4 4	32	6⅜	289	2 7	73	1 3 3	362 15 10	36	14⅜	352 6 11	73 1 3 3	426 4 2
4	8	51	13 11	31	3⅛	280	3 6	5	1 0 2	285 13 8	35	11⅛	332 1 5	5 1 0 2	337 1 7
4	8	51	13 11	31	11⅛	283	9 4	11	1 2 7	295 5 11	36	3⅛	335 7 3	11 1 2 7	347 3 10
1	5⅞	16	2 7	28	1⅜	257	- 6	33	11 -	290 1 1 6	29	7¼	273 3 1	33 11 -	306 14 1
17	7¾	227	7 6	135	10⅛	1230	11 11	145	1 11	1375 13 10	153	1⅞	1458 3 5	145 1 11	1603 5 4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" "	" "

Contd

Fort St. George

12th July 1849

Dry					Garden	Total wet Dry and Garden				
Extent of Land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total	Extent of Land	Assessment	Extent of Land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total	
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
Cs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Cs a p	Rs a p	Cs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 9½	6 14 6	" " "	6 14 6	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	16 13½	71 1 5	" " "	71 1 5	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	29 13¼	42 2 5	" " "	42 2 5	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	28 7⅜	187 4 6	" " "	187 4 6	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	37 12⅛	256 11 3	" " "	256 11 3	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	117 7⅝	564 2 1	" " "	564 2 1	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5⅛	3 5 10	15 3⅛	168 6 7	20 2 11	188 9 6	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	36 14⅜	352 6 11	73 13 3	426 4 2	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	35 11⅛	332 1 5	5 10 2	337 11 7	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	36 3⅛	335 7 0	11 12 7	347 3 10	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	30 15⅜	275 13 6	33 11 4	309 8 6	
1 8⅛	2 10 5	" " "	2 10 5	" "	3 5 10	154 15⅛	1,464 3 8	145 1 11	1,609 5 7	
1 8⅛	2 10 5	" " "	2 10 5	5⅛						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	

10430

Fort St. George

12th July 1849

Add Nagadiet or ready money Collection and Tank Merah	Total	Total Amount of Settle=ment	Collection	Balance
36	37	38	39	40
Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
" " "	6 14 6	7 " "	7 " "	" " "
9 5 8	80 7 1	81 " "	81 " "	" " "
4 " "	46 2 5	46 8 "	46 8 "	" " "
35 3 8	222 8 2	222 8 2	222 8 2	" " "
43 11 7	300 6 10	300 " "	300 " "	" " "
92 4 11	656 7 "	657 " 2	657 " 2	" " "
" " "	131 4 7	131 6 5	131 6 5	" " "
" 4 4	188 13 10	123 3 "	123 3 "	" " "
20 2 "	446 6 2	426 16	426 16	" " "
20 1 11	357 13 6	318 12 4	318 12 4	" " "
25 10 8	372 14 6	372 14 6	372 14 6	" " "
20 12 6	330 5 "	330 5 "	330 5 "	" " "
86 15 5	1696 5 "	1571 44	1571 44	" " "
" " "	339 4 2	314 4 "	314 4 "	" " "

Ryots.

Resident	Non Resident	Total	Number of Ploughs
41	42	43	44
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
5	"	5	
"	"	"	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
1	"	1	
5	"	5	
"	"	"	

The Ploughs of this Village are included in that of Mangadu the Mirasdar having the same in Lotti.

12th July 1849.

Irrigated by the falling rain.
1 Crop.

10431 George Veb.	Total Assessment on additional Crop	Total Extent of Land	Assessment on additional Crop	Total
First	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
1253	44 13 6	345 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1254	64 1 11	354 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1255	4 12 7	250 2 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1256	31 9 10	333 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1257	61 13 8	426 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	207 36	1709 11 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Tota	352 5 5	3877 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

10432

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Wet

(Contd.)

Total Irrigation.

2 Crop				1 Crop				Total							
Extent of Land		Assessment		Extent of Land		Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total		Extent of Land		Assessment on additional Crop		Total	
16		17		18		19	20	21		22		23		24.	25
Cs	as	Rs	as P	Cs	as	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs	as P	Cs	as	Rs	as P	Rs as P	Rs as P
3	8½	51	6 1	27	8⅜	249	9 1	294	6	31	⅞	300	15 2	44 13 6	345 12 8
2	3⅞	39	11 3	27	3⅜	250	5 4	314	7 3	29	7	290	" 7	64 1 11	354 2 6
2	⅞	36	6 9	22	9⅛	208	14 11	213	11 6	24	10	245	5 8	4 12 7	250 2 3
3	8½	62	8 7	25	10⅝	239	1 11	270	11 9	29	3⅜	301	10 6	31 9 10	333 4 4
4	8	77	12 8	32	1⅛	286	11 2	348	8 10	36	9⅛	364	7 10	61 13 8	426 5 6
15	13¾	267	13 4	135	⅜	1234	10 5	1441	13 11	150	14⅜	1502	7 9	207 3 6	1709 11 3
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	" " "	" " "
33	5½	495	4 10	388	2⅜	3029	8 5	3381	13 10	421	⅝	3524	13 3	352 5 5	3877 2 8
"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	"	" "	"	"	"	" "	" " "	" " "

10433

Fort St. George

12th July 1849.

Dry				Garden				Total wet Dry and Garden.		
Extent of Land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total	Extent of Land	Assessment	Assessment on additional Crop	Total			
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
Cs as	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Cs as	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	
1 8 1/8	2 10 5	1 5 5	3 15 10	" " "	" " "	32 9	303 9 7	46 2 11	349 12 6	
1 8 1/8	2 10 5	" " "	2 10 5	" " "	" " "	30 15 1/8	292 11 "	64 1 11	356 12 11	
1 8 1/8	2 10 5	" " "	2 10 5	" " "	" " "	26 2/8	248 " 1	4 12 7	252 12 8	
1 8 1/8	2 10 5	" " "	2 10 5	" " "	" " "	30 11/4	304 4 11	31 9 10	335 14 9	
1 8 1/8	2 10 5	" " "	2 10 5	" " "	" " "	38 1/4	367 2 3	61 13 8	428 15 11	
7 8 5/8	13 4 1	1 6 5	14 9 6	" " "	" " "	158 6 3/4	1515 11 10	208 8 11	1724 4 9	
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
9 3/4	15 14 6	1 5 5	17 3 11	" " 5/8	3 15 10	430 13/2	3544 1 7	353 10 10	3897 12 5	
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	

10434

Fort St. George

12th July 1849

Ryots.

Add Nagadiot or ready Money Collection and Tank Merah.	Total	Total amount of Settlement	Collection	Balance	Non Resident	Resident	Total	Number of Ploughs.
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Rs a/s P	Rs a/s P	Rs a/s P	Rs a/s P	Rs a/s P				
19 15 8	369 12 2	355 9 11	355 9 1	" - -		1 "	1	
15 2 10	371 15 9	371 15 9	371 15 9	" " "		1 "	1	
" " "	252 12 8	85 2 1	85 2 1	" " "		1 "	1	
24 6 8	360 5 5	343 8 9	343 8 9	" - -		1 "	1	
21 2 3	450 2 2	439 10 7	439 10 7	" - -		1 "	1	
80 11 5	1805 " 2	1595 15 1	1595 15 1	" " "		5 "	5	
" " "	361 " "	319 3 "	319 3 "	" - -		" "	"	
259 15 9	415 12 2	3824 3 7	3824 3 7	" " "		15 "	15	
" " "	277 3 "	254 15 "	254 15 "	" " "		" "	"	
Total Beriz of 10 Fushlies From 1243 to 1247 and From 53 to 57	1261 7 2	2252 15 3	2252 15 3					
Average per Fusly	246 2 4	225 4 9	225 4 9					
Total Beriz of 10 Fushlies From 1248 to 1257	3501 5 2	3167 3 5	3167 3 5					
Average per Fusly	350 2 1	316 11 6	316 11 6					

Chinglepet District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullicarney 21st June 1849

E. E. excepted

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 12th. July 1849.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To the Collector of Chingleput

(19. 20)

To the Collector of Chingleput } Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 16th. April last, submitting Statements of the Ayacut Vea. of the Village of Parumba:-doo and of two of its dependent hamlets Coopum and Commarapolliem; and, to a communication from C. V. Cunniah Chetty 19th. May last, stating that he is willing to accept Perumbadoo with the first of the hamlets above named, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased to furnish them with an account similar to those already forwarded shewing the Ayacut Cultivation and revenue of Commarapolliem only.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
12th. July 1849. } /Signed/ T. B. Roupell
Sub Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 12th. July 1849.

Read the following letter.

To.
T. Fyfe Croft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(21)

From the Collector of Chingleput } Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of charges incurred on account of Extra Establishment entertained in this District during the Current Fusly 1258 together with an Estimate of similar charges proposed to be incurred in the next Fusly 1259.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry. - - -
Cullearney, 28th. June, 1849. } /Signed/ A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

10437

A. Statement exhibiting the actual charges

Establishment for Fusly 1258.

Description of Servants.	No. of Servants.	Rate of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Period of Employment.
1	2	3 Rs. a p	Rs. 4 a p	5
Revenue. Newsindah	1	5 " "	5 " "	12 Months—
Peons——	10	3 " "	30 " "	5 Ditto—
Peon——	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	28 Days—
Police. Duffadar	1	5 " "	5 " "	15 Days—
Peons——	38	3 8 "	133 " "	15 Ditto—
Total—				

Chinglepat District Collector's Cutcherry

For

incurred ah Chinglepat.

Estimate for Fusly 1

Estimate for Fusly 1				
Rate of Pay.		Amount of Pay.		Period of
3		4		
Rs. a p		Rs. a p		
Solooperoomladoo				nts is
nent subsequent to				Element
nate the pay of the	6 " "	6 " "	12	
se Villages they being				es which
, has caused the	3 " "	45 " "	3	
	3 8 "	3 8 "		
tertained for the	5 " "	5 " "	15	for the
ring the annual fes				the
ndance of the Military	3 8 "	133 " "	15	the at
tinued, as per Estimate				ing ban
May 1843.				ellation

Public

ict, Collector's Office

Estimate

ased

1043 Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

A. Statement of Additional Establishment sanctioned on account of Additional Establishment in Fusly 1259 Zillah of Chingleput.

Description of Service	Proposed period of Employment.	Estimated Charges.	Actual charges in the preceding Fusly.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Decrease.	Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	Remarks.
5		6	7	8		10	11.
Revenue.		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p		
Peons—	60 Months—	60 " "	DESTROYED 60 " "	" " "	" " "	To Board 15th September 1845 From Do 2d April 1826 To Do 28th October " From Do 8th April 1847 From Do 14th February 1848 From Do 22d Do 1849.	The employment of so many servants is not required, the Survey field Settlement being under progress in the Villages which have reverted to Government.
Peons—	135 Months—	129 11 "		" " "	114 11 "		
		" " "	3 2 10	" " "	3 2 10		
	195 " "	312 13 10		" " "	117 13 10		
Police. Peons—	Days—	2 8 "	2 8 "	" " "	" " "	To Board 26th August 1844 From Do 12th June 1845 To Do 15th September " From Do 2d April " To Do 28th October " From Do 8th April 1847 From Do 14th February 1848 From Do 22d Do 1849.	The Establishment is required for the maintenance of the peace during the annual festival at Conjeveram the attendance of the Military guard having been discontinued as per Minutes of Consultation Dated 8th May 1843.
	Ditto—	66 8 "	66 8 "	" " "	" " "		
		69 " "	69 " "	" " "	" " "		
	Total—	264 " "	381 13 10	" " "	117 13 10		

Kerry Fullerarney 28th June, 1849.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / A. Freese.
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon.

21. 22

Ordered to lie over.

To J. Tycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Chingleput ...

23

Sir,

With reference to Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 2d. Instant, I have now the honor to report that C. Casavoolos Moodelly having informed me that he had admitted Chittary Arnaghiry Moodeliar and Mylapoor Kristnasawmy Moodelliar into his Lease of the Alkarry Farm of this District for the three ensuing Fuslies, and the parties having expressed to me their desire to hold the lease for the full period of the three years, and deposited in my hands Blank endorsed Company's Bonds and a Treasury acknowledgement to the amount of 18,500 Rupees, I have issued orders to put them in charge of the Farm from tomorrow.

2. The Board will no doubt approve of the arrangement of admitting other parties to the lease, as affording additional security for the due fulfilment of the contract.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuttemauk 11th July 1849.

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector

The

Proceedings thereon.

23. 24

The Board of Revenue confirm the Collectors proceedings in respect to the Alkarry Farm of his District for the three Fuslies 1259 - 1260 - 1261 reported in the above letter.

Read again the following letter dated 31st August last from the Acting Collector of North Arcot affording the explanation called for in the Boards Proceedings of the 13th December 1847 respecting the Sewoy Jummah balances of his District from Fusly 1231 to 1256 and submitting certain Statements connected with the subject.

In Cons 15th March 1849.

Read also letter from the same officer dated 15th December last furnishing further information regarding certain of the items composing the arrears of the Sewoy Jummah.

In Cons 15th March 1849.

25

Proceedings thereon.

Conveying the Board's views on the several items of Sewoy Jumma Balances of the District of North Arcot from Fusly 1231 to 1256 contained in two Statements submitted by the Collector.

The two Statements of Demand Collection and Balance of Sewoy Jummah furnished by Mr. Bourdillon with the above letter exhibit the following results.

According to the Talook accounts.			Amount found in the Cutcherry accounts above that shewn in the Talook accounts.			Total.		
Demand.	Collection up to the end of Fusly 1267.	Balance.	Demand.	Collection	Balance.	Demand.	Collection	Balance.
Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1153799 8 8	879881	102710 12	10	17221	12	2379042	1343181	8 = 1323214 10	51779 = 4	0522 4 10

From

2. From the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector in the 2d Para of the foregoing letter, the Board perceive that the discrepancies between the Talook and Zoojoor accounts of the Sewoy Summah cannot now be reconciled. they are sometimes in favor of one, sometimes of the other, but looking to the total of each, a considerable balance is exhibited in favor of the Zoojoor accounts.

3. To each item in Statement No 1 the Acting Collector has appended remarks explanatory of its nature, with his opinion as to the disposal of such as call for no further investigation and he has recommended in the Majority of them that the demand should not be enforced.

4. The Board concur generally in the mode of adjustment recommended by the Acting Collector - Mr. Bourdillon, however, has no where stated the exact amount which he considers should be written off. The aggregate of the several sums

sums specified in the Statement as far as can be gathered from the remarks is Rupees 20,041-7-8. On receipt of precise information on this point the Board will be prepared to request the sanction of Government for writing off such amount to profit and loss, leaving the rest to be examined and disposed of hereafter.

5. Among these No 20 is composed of the excess in the Inamiems of Village Officers above the regulated proportion which has been irregularly credited to Sewoy Summah in the Collections not having been rigidly enforced a portion still remaining as a balance. The Board observe that the whole of these items come properly under the head of Land Revenue and should never have been brought to account as Sewoi Summah at all. The same observation applies to all the other items in the Statement (except No 3) which should have been credited to the respective heads of Land Revenue, Moturpha and Sh. Carry at the Settlement under some such general title

title as Enams under Dumanie; the particulars being likewise specified in the accounts. - As regards Rs.1 there is no other mode of crediting the proceeds of Cultivation undertaken subsequent to the settlement. but as Mr. Bourdillon suggests if the Appayesh be made, as it invariably ought to be, before and not subsequent to the Summahlundy, the amount under that head would be comparatively trifling. - It must still continue to be brought to account as heretofore Summah together with the proceeds of all fines, waifs, strays &c. which constitute the legitimate items of that head of account.

6. The item No. 10 not a balance at all.

7. The Board approve of the resumption of the Davalundum land Enams as proposed by Mr. Bourdillon under the orders of Government dated 13th January 1844 all future repairs will be undertaken by the Circar.

In Fasl 25th January 1844.

The

10441 Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

8. The rent of the Chuttram lands constituting item No. 6 should manifestly have been retained in deposit as the Collector observes until the repairs were completed. The delay in excluding these will of course be made the subject of enquiry.

9. The proposal of the Acting Collector to determine at the Summahlundy the propriety or otherwise of crediting certain items, the Board consider to be judicious, but cases of Enams intended to be resumed should be reported as they occur for their orders.

Read the following Statement and letter.

Report

10442 rt St. George 12th July 1849.

26 Coot.

Case.	are joyed the ceased that d by other mbers.	Family by the de: ceased and name and age of the parties.	Their cir: cumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family.	Recommendation and grounds thereof.	Further Remarks.
1	9	10	11	12	13

Moonikaul sold the Manieam Land.

Mudadmash Manieam appears to have been granted to an ancestor of the party named in Column 7 the date and cause of the grant are not known Hyder Beebee sold it to one Doctor Arnachella Moodelly who gave it as dowry to his adopted daughter Moonikaul in Fasly 1243 - Jn 1834 this woman petitioned that the Manieam might be registered in the name of one Moony Reddy to whom she had sold it for 350 Rupees, but before this could be done a complaint was received from another party stating that Moonikaul had no right to the Manieam Hyder Beebee having herself had no title to it and the alleged sale of it by her to Arnachella being a fiction - This complaint was ordered to be investigated and reported it to be totally unsupported by proof - No order was and the Enam which had been attached on the receipt of the not released - In 1836 Moonikaul again repeated her application and she appears to have remained quiet till 1848 when it was re. Enam having all this time remained under attachment. The case but before the complainant produced her proofs she died leaving

Moodelly the Tahsildar passed however complaint was without succes third time, the investigation

No claimant.

ers proper that d her transfer of it July 1848.

A

the Enam should be presumed, it was a Mudadmash grant the title of to another is invalid. The case is reported for the orders of the Board of Revenue under

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

Proceedings

Proceedings thereon.

(26. 27.)

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector regarding the Enam reported on in the foregoing Statement, the Board concur with him that the grant should be resumed and direct him to take measures accordingly.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of North Arcot.

(28)

Sir,

Adverting to the concluding remarks of the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 28th. June, in accepting the offer of Mr. Norman Morison for the Hakary rent of this District for three years, I have the honor to report that Mr. Morison is willing to retain the Paumroe Taluk in his contract for Fusly 1259, and to give it up at the commencement of Fusly 1260, a proportionate deduction being made from the amount of his rent for that and the following year.

North Arcot,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, 5th. July, 1849.

/Signed/ J. D. Boardillon
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(28. 29.)

The Board of Revenue approve of Mr. Morison holding the Paumroe Hakary Farm for this year, and have made the necessary communication to the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore on the subject. Mr. Boardillon will inform the Sub Collector of the amount of

of rent to be apportioned upon the Paumroe Taluk.

Read the following letter.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of South Arcot.

(30)

Reporting on Petition No. 998 of 1849 from Bungaroo Iyengar an Inhabitant of Curumboor in Tirady Taluk.

Sir,

In returning Petition No. 998/1849 received with your letter of the 28th. Ultimo, I have the honor to state that certain Enam Lands to the extent shewn in the Margin were granted in the name of Petitioners ancestors to the family - Ramasawmy Iyengar elder brother to the Petitioner, died some years ago, leaving a widow, a Son and Daughter, the Son having also died, the Petitioner, tho adopted in his Uncles' family, succeeded in the natural order to the entire Estate - By some mistake the Village Puttamoniam Office which was held by his brother, passed into another family, but Petitioner having in 1845 disputed its legality, he was under orders of the Board associated as a Joint Monigar of the Village Curumboor, and thus virtually succeeded to all the privileges appertaining to the family -

	Land	C.	Y.	Value Rs. a p
Curumboor		"	3	1-2-0
Corathee		"	8	3-8-0
Aveganoor		"	8	3-3-14
Pytham bandy		3	"	25-11-6
Total		4	3	38-7-4

Note, With some Lands in Ellavanassoor Vga. Cuddalore Taluk.

Pungamall the widow of Ramasawmy Iyengar also put in her claim to the Puttamoniam office on behalf of another relative in virtue of the same having been held by her late husband, but this was denied her as being contrary to the practice of the country. She thereupon Petitioned for a moiety of the Maniam to which the Tahsildar and Village officers declared her entitled, and had she proved the equal distribution of the Maniam between her husband and the Petitioner, her share would certainly have been allotted to her for life, reverting afterwards to Government, she having however failed in this respect, her claim to a maintenance became a necessary consideration in consequence of her old age and destitution, accordingly I desired the Petitioner to

(See Endorsement dated 12th June 1845 passed on Petition No. 1496-1844.)

to pay her 5 Pagodas annually for her life, from the proceeds of the Enam lands in Trivady and other Taloks, as exhibited in the 1st para of this letter, not entering at all with his allowance as Monigar which amounts to Rupees 35—0—0, and on his declining to do this, I caused the produce of one Caany in the Village of Pythambady valued at about the same amount to be made over, the arrangement is according to local Custom.

This is the decision from which the Petitioner appeals, he has not scrupled to stoop to degrade an aged widow by falsely impugning her chastity, for the allegations put forth by him appear void of all truth.

A maniam forms the bounty of Government a portion of it therefore I submit ought in all propriety to be allotted to the benefit of the surviving members of the family, and specially in the present instance, the sufferer being a helpless decripid old woman.

Ramapetta Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, 11th June, 1849. } (Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

From the above report and the papers which accompanied it, it appears that the Petitioner in No 998 of 1849 has for many years past been in enjoyment of certain Enam lands inherited from his ancestors, and that lately his sister in law Ringanah has preferred a claim to a moiety of those Enams. Her pretensions were disallowed but the Collector considering her entitled to a maintenance ordered the Petitioner to pay her an allowance of 5 Pagodas annually for her life and on his refusal caused the produce of one Cawney in the Village of Pythambady valued at about the same amount to be made over to her. The Petitioner alleges that Ringanah had been absent from that part of the country for 40 years and that she had been excluded from his family for misconduct.

2. It does not appear to the Board that the Collector

30 31.

10445 Fort St George 12th July 1849

Collector was authorized in this proceeding claims to maintenance on the part of any member of a family are cognizable by the Judicial and not by the Revenue Authorities. The order of the Collector must therefore be cancelled, and the land restored to the Petitioner.

The Widow Ringammal being referred to the Court for the substantiation of any any claim which she may have upon him.

Read

Read the following letter.-

To
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

32

From the Actg Collector of Tanjore ----

Sir,

I have the honor to return a Petition No 1102 of 1849 as the subject matter brought to notice is connected with transactions in the Trichinopoly Collectorate and not in this District.-

Tanjore. Kodikarry 3rd July 1849.-

(Signed) J Bird
Acting Collector

32. 33

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded the Petition has been forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly.-

Read

Read the following letter

To
T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

34

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.-

Reporting on the subject of the Streerungam Pagodas.-

Sir,

Para 1 The frequency with which the Board have of late been importuned on the subject of the Pagodas at Seerungam and the absolute necessity of settling the question to prevent the recurrence of the disturbances which agitated the whole place under the administration of the late Acting Collector and of the collisions between the rival religious sects of Vuddagaliar and Thengaliar which at no very remote period were productive of most serious consequences, have determined me to adopt measures at once.-

2- When I returned to the District the excitement occasioned by the exclusion of Avadia Pillay alias Apparoo Pillay from all participation in the Management to which he laid claim in virtue of his deceased's fathers having held the Office of Dharmacurtah had not ceased. That person died, and the claim was renewed on

on behalf of his son by his guardian and relation Seevagoorroonada Pillay who is now considered the representative of the family.— This claim was rejected by the Board as far as Seevagoorroonada Pillay's application to succeed to the management of the Jambookaishwarum Pagoda was concerned. but he has never abandoned it and has continued to seek a share in the Management of that Institution (the keys of the building containing valuable property belonging to which he still retains) and also in that of the large or Ranganada Sawmy's Pagoda.—

3— I am now persuaded that the total rejection of Arradiah Pillay from participation in the Management is regarded by the community in a point of view which deserves serious consideration— and when I speak of the community I must be understood not as alluding to the so called community which gets up meetings and Petitions all which is contrived by persons who either are or have been servants of Government and their dependents while those who really compose the community of the place. the holders of land and individuals connected with the Pagodas for obvious reasons remain in the back ground.—

I

4 I beg then to observe that the greatest dissatisfaction prevails in consequence of the Management of the Pagodas having fallen almost exclusively into the hands of Government officials and parties connected with them. while the independent members of society whose ancestors in many instances contributed largely to the splendour as it is esteemed of the Pagodas by presents of ornaments. jewels &c. are altogether overlooked. Thus the Cashkeeper of the Collector's Cutcherry Ranjamaly Modelliar and the Manager in the Commissariat Office— Veerasawmy Iyer were the principal Managers of the Ranganadaswamy Pagoda. the latter person holding also a similar office in the Jambookaishwarum— Pagoda in conjunction with Tirmul Row a Jawabnevis in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court— and Balasoobramonien Modelliar the Cashkeeper's brother and Security, enjoyed the Management of the Toyman Swamy or Rock Fort Pagoda so that the influence of the Cashkeeper and his brother and the Commissariat Manager assisted by a Court Jewabnevis pervaded the Management of the Pagodas while Arradiah Pillay's family which owns large

large possessions inherited from a long line of ancestry, but is independent of Government situations was considered as having no claim - But it should be observed, notwithstanding the nomination of the above parties to the Office, they have never yet been put in possession of the keys of the buildings &c. in which the Pagoda property is secured -

5 The Board will recollect that I recommended that the person who now represents Avadiah Pillays family (that person having died) should be appointed a Manager in the Jambookaishwarum Pagoda - This however was not considered expedient -

To Board 14th December 1848.

6. The continuance of my Cashkeeper in the Management I considered so highly inexpedient that I directed him to resign the Office, which he did - and his brother was appointed to succeed him by the unanimous vote, it is alleged of a public meeting - I soon found however that unanimity did not prevail among the community and I now altogether suspect the genuineness of the unanimous vote - It has been brought to my notice that by disallowing all the Stallatars to participate in the management by rotation as it had originally been agreed they should do, and

and continuing one only in Office great abuses had arisen - and that the dissensions among these people might be productive of serious disturbances - for the Stallatars have obtained decrees of Court in their favor which clearly establish their superiority in the Pagoda and afford the precedents by which the Magistrate is guided in settling disputes between the rival sects of Vaddagaliars and Tengaliars -

7. Persuaded them that the appointment of Baulasobramaniem the Cashkeepers brother was not in accordance with the wishes of the Community - and having repeatedly failed to bring about a good understanding between his party and Avadiah Pillays - Convinced moreover of the necessity of settling the question I directed the Stallatars in accordance with the system originally established to nominate two persons to the Office - Five of the Six Stallatars agreed in selecting Rungasawmy Iyengar a respectable Braminy whose family was honored with the title of Ryah of the Nizam of Hydrabad, and who

who has large possessions here, and Sevagoorroonadha Pillay who now represents Arudra Pillay's family, and I had no hesitation in confirming their nomination. The remaining Stallater has since given his assent to the appointments.

8. The following are the names of the individuals who are now entrusted with the management of the several Pagodas.

Ranganada Swamy

1- Rungasamy Iyengar

2- Sevagoorroonada Pillay

(Men of large landed property)

and the six Stallatars. one each year in rotation--

Jambookaishwaram

1 Veerasawmy Iyer

Commissariat Manager (not known to possess land)

2 Trimul Row Court Jawabnevis.-

(holds a small extent of land)

Baulasoobramoniem Moodelliar a Merchant and considerable landed Proprietor.-

9 The advantages of this arrangement I consider to be the following

1st The Management is more generally and therefore more fairly distributed instead of

of being confined to a few individuals

2nd It cannot be objected that the management is monopolized by Government Officers.

3rd The contending parties viz t. the Vaddagaliars and Tengaliars in the great or Ranganada Swamy's Pagoda are reconciled at all events for the present. the Vaddagaliars being represented by Rungaswamy Iyengar and the Tengaliars by the Stalattars who have no longer any cause of disagreement. Sevagoorroonada Pillay not belonging to either party.-

10. It cannot of course be expected that all parties should be pleased and the Managers of Ranganada Swamy's Pagoda Veerasawmy Iyer and Baulasoobramony and Moodelliar whom I have just superseded in that Pagoda but who retain their Offices respectively in the two other Pagodas have got up a Petition to the Board praying for a reversal of my orders. of which they have sent me a copy. Nothing can better exemplify the nature of the meeting of the community as they are termed. than the way in which the meetings at which the Petition in question is said to have been

Fort St George 12th July 1849

12 A brief account of the individuals who proposed resolutions at this Meeting may suggest some important consideration.-

1st Resolution proposed by:-
Colanda Valoo. Renter of an Abkarry Godown under the Commissariat.-
Seconded by. Venkatashachiah son of a former Commissariat Manager.-

2nd Moved by Groro-moorthan Chittiar a Merchant seconded by Chedambaram Pillay employed in the General Hospital.-

3rd Moved by Veera Pillay a China Bazar Man in the Trichinopoly Fort & dabbler in Abkarry rents seconded by Comara Pillay not known.

4th The mover of the fourth is supposed to be the son of the seconder a Cloth Merchant now dead.

The Chairman Vegaraugavah Moodelliar enjoys the situation of Conico-pillay in the Commissariat Department.-

13 I will leave it to the Board to judge what the greatest portion of those who signed the memorial is likely to know about a set of English rules drafted most likely by an East Indian a dependent of Baillasoobramonicum Moodelliar.-

In

Fort St George 12th July 1849

1st In connection with this subject I beg to transmit a copy of my Endorsement on the Petition presented to the Board by Seevagorroonada Vakeel No 906 of 1849.-

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office.
18th May 1849.-

(Signed) A. F. Onslow
Collector

No 1.

No 906 of 1849

The Collector has addressed the Board of Revenue on the subject of Seevagorroonada Pillay's exclusive from all participation in the Management of the Seerungam Pagoda. He has also lately sanctioned the selection of Seevagorroonada Pillay by the Stalathars to be a Dharmacurtah of Ranganadaswamy's Pagoda. and he thinks it will be better for all parties that he should confine himself to the Pagoda under the late arrangement the Management of the three principal Pagodas was almost entirely in the hands of the same parties by the arrangements recently made each

party

party who sets up any claim to the management has been allotted a share while no one party is altogether excluded..

Trichinopoly 18th May 1849. } (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector

To.

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

35

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. } In returning the accompanying Petition No 948 of 1849 from certain Hindoo inhabitants of Trichinopoly relative to the affairs of the Pagoda at Serungum I have the honor to request for the Board to my report already submitted under date the 18th Instant.

Trichinopoly. 29th May 1849. } (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector

Proceedings

J. P. Pr.

34-36.

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting letters from the Collector of Trichinopoly on the subject of the Streerangum Pagoda and Changes introduced in the constitution of the Trust bodies charged with their superintendence requesting that the present Collector will ascertain the sentiments of the community upon the recent arrangements and whether or not the parties who now administer for the affairs of the Temples are generally acceptable to the community and considering that these proceedings should be forwarded to Government as Petitions on the questions discussed may be presented and in order to shew the need for some legal provision for regulating the succession to vacant Trusteeship.-

From Collector dtd. 9. m/o 26th August 1841

When measures were taken for the transfer of the Hindoo religious Establishments of the Trichinopoly District to the management of Native Trustees, the arrangements which, after much Correspondence between the Board of Revenue and the Collector, were finally proposed by the latter Officer for the administration of the three principal Temples of Runganadaswamy and Jemboo Eaishwarum in the Island of Streeringham. and of the Toymanswamy or Rock Fort Pagoda were the following.-

2. The Chief of these Temples or that of Runganadaswamy endowed with an annual money Jahitah or money allowance from the Treasury of Rupees 35,000 was to be confided to the charge of Khristna Row formerly a Nazir in the Trichinopoly Zillah Court and of Chendrashakara Pillay not then in Government employ, but whose father under the former Government had held a situation equivalent to that of a Head Sheristadar under

under the Company who had himself been for a long time a Tahsildar under the Native and under the British rule, who was a large Meerasdar in the Trichinopoly and Tanjore Districts and universally regarded as a respectable man. with these were to be associated as Ex-officio Trustees, the Stalutdars or Chief Officers of the Pagoda who were to serve in rotation each for a year with the exception of the Stalutdars, in whose case Succession to the Office carried with it succession to the Trust, Vacancies among the Dharmacurtahs were to be supplied by the remaining members of their body.-

3. The management of the Jumboo Kaishwarum Pagoda allowance Rupees 9,450 and of the Toymanswamy or Rock Fort Pagoda with a Moyen Zabitah of Rupees 7,897-9-11, was to be committed severally to the sole charge of Chundrashacara

Pillay

Pillay, the individual above mentioned, and of Baulasoobramaneyam Moodelly stated to be a well known and respectable Merchant and Land owner. In the event of their deaths, their successor or successors were to be appointed by the hereditary Officers of the respective Institutions.-

4. In submitting these arrangements to Government, the Board, in Proceedings 6th October 1842, remarked that except in the case of the Streeranghum Stalutdars whose successors in that Office would in like manner be Trustees ex-Officio, the provisions made for the appointment of successors to the individual Trustees then nominated were not what the Board could fully approve, "this however" it was observed, "need "be no bar to the immediate execution of the plan as it is expected that the mode of succession will "be settled generally by a legislative "enactment." The Government, Extract

Minutes

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.-

In Cons 10th November 1842.

Minutes Consultation 7th November 1842. approved the arrangements as appearing to be "such as under the "circumstances of the case were most "likely to attain the object in view."-

5. No change seems to have since taken place in the management of the Toyamanswamy Pagoda, the affairs of which are still conducted by Bala=Soobramanegam Moodelly.- The Board may therefore confine their remarks to the Temples of Runganadaswamy and Junkoo Kaishwarum.-

6. Kistna row, one of the Runganadaswamy Trustees, appears to have died soon after his appointment, but no successor was nominated and the management of the institution remained with the Salutdars and Chundrasacara Pillay until the death of this latter individual on 23d September 1845.-

7. Shortly before the deceased of Chundrasacara Pillay complaints had

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.-

had been made of alleged abuses and malversations in the affairs of the Runganadaswamy Pagoda and with the permission of the Magistrate a public meeting was held in the Pagoda on the 24th August 1845 when a Committee was appointed to inquire into the state of the In=stitution.- The Committee made a report to the effect that frauds had been discovered in the expenditure of the Pagoda amounting to Rupees 2,610-11-5¼.-

(Enc. 3 in Pet. No. 948 of 1848.)

In Cons. 4th December 1845.

8. On the 25th October 1845 the Collector applied to the Board for instructions as to the course to be taken for filling any vacancies which had or might occur among the Dava=tanum Dhurmacurtahs of his District, and he referred particu=larly to the large Streerungum Pagodas.- He suggested "as a simple "way of arranging matters for the "present to declare the next of kin "of each deceased manager or e= either

"either of his relations who in case
"of his refusal might be willing to
"undertake the Office, his successor, and
"in the event of none of the relations
"consenting to authorize the hereditary
"Officers of the Pagoda to nominate
"a person to the Office." In their reply
8th December 1845, the Board objected
to allow the next of Kin or other rela-
-tive of a deceased Trustee to succeed
to his place in the trust absolutely and
as a matter of right; but stated that
this course might with propriety be
followed in all cases in which such
succession was not generally objected
to by the Community interested in the
Pagoda. Where such might be the
case, when the last Trustee had
left no heirs, or when the heirs might refuse to
act, the person recommended by the general
body of the Worshippers should be appointed.
If there were factions, and two or more
contending parties were put forward,
it would be for the Collector to
determine

determine, as far as he might be able,
the nominee of the majority and to
recognize him as Trustee, referring
all other parties to the Courts. This rule
it was explained, was not to apply
to ex-officio Trustees, in whose case the
Trust would be attached to the
Office and the succession to both, re-
-gulated by the same principle.
These instructions were laid before
Government with the Board's letter
of 16th March 1846.-

(Enc 9 in Pet^n No 948 of 1849.) 9. On the 15th December
1845 an application was made
to the Collector for permission to
hold a meeting for the purpose of electing
Trustees for the Management of the
Runganadasawmy and Jembo-
-Kaswarum Pagodas' in succession to
those Kistna row and Chandra-
-sacara Pillay, originally appointed
and since deceased. The request was
(Enc No 10 in Pet^n No 948 of 1849) granted by Mr. Onslow 17th December
1845, and he at the same time directed
that one Ramasamy Moodelly
who

who had previously been chosen Dur=
=mal Kurtah by the Stalutars in room
of Chendrasacara Pillay should be
removed from the Office as his no=
=mination was objected to by the
Community.- The meeting was conve=
=nied accordingly on the 18th December
(Enc No. 11 in Pet^n No. 948 of 1849) 1845 and elected as Trustees for the
Rungaiadaswamy Pagoda (thase for
Iemboo Easwarum Pagoda will
be noticed in the sequel) the follow=
=ing individuals.-

M. Veerasawmy Iyen.
Commissariat Manager.-

D. Kinjamalay Moodely after=
wards but not then Cash=
=Keeper in the Hoozoor Treasury-

S. Wootamanumbee. one of the Pa=
=goda Stalutdars.-

†Enc. No. 12 in= These nominations were approved by the
Petition No. 948 Collector 24th † December 1845- and the
of 1849. Mohenee or regular Pagoda allowance
*7th December 1846 was ordered to be paid to the nominees.
Enc 13 in Petition a representation was afterwards *
No. 948 of 1849. made by the other stalutdars praying
that

that they might be allowed to succeed
to the Trust in rotation- but the Col=
=lector 11th February 1847 refused to
interfere- thus departing from the
principle upon which the arrangement for the
Management of the Pagoda had been origi=
=nally made, when the transfer was
20th December 1848. effected in 1842- Towards the
(Petition No. 948. end of 1848 Kungamallay Moodelly
of 1849) under orders of the Collector re=
=signed his Trusteeship and his bra=
=ther Baulasoobramoneem Moodelly
was selected by the Community so
called to fill his place. Early in the
3d February 1849. present year the Collector ordered
(Enc 14 in Petition that in conformity with the origi=
No. 948 of 1849) =nal arrangement the Stalutdars
should be admitted to the Trust
in rotation- in supercession of
S. Wootamanumbee who since
December 1845 had been the only
Stalutdar associated with the other
Trustees in the superintendence of
the Temple.-

10. It will now be proper

to

to turn to the Tembo Kaswaramm Pagoda. On the death of its sole Dhurmacurtah Chundrasacara pillay in September 1845, the same Meeting who in December of that year elected Trustees for the Pagoda of Rungana daswamy appointed for the administration of that of Tembo Kaswaramm the undermentioned parties.-

M. Ponnambala Moodely.-
Manager in the Pay Master's Office.-
D. Kunjamala Moodelly.-
M. Veerasawmy Iyen
Commissariat Manager.-

The last named party declined to serve and his place was therefore filled by Appoo Appavoo or Avadya Pillay Son of the late Chendrasacara Pillay. To these proceedings the Collector "made no objection."-

From Collector 14th December 1848. In Cont. 29th January 1849.

11. Appavoo Pillay seems from the very first not to have been satisfied with the arrangement and to have been displeased with the association of other parties

parties with himself in the Trust, the duties of which had been discharged solely by his father.- Matters however went on smoothly for some time and Avadya Pillay signed the receipts for the Pagoda allowance in conjunction with the other Managers upto the 13th July 1846 or the end of Fusly 1255.- About that time having occasion to visit Tanjore he took with him the Key of the building in which most of the ornaments of the Pagoda were deposited, and as the Ceremonies were hindered in consequence, considerable dissatisfaction was created.- From this period it is stated everything went wrong, party spirit sprung up, accusations and recriminations followed.- Kunjamalla Moodelly resigned his Trusteeship in June 1847. and the community, or it may be a Section of them elected one Sambaseva Pillay to serve in his room. Avadya Pillay and Ponnumbala Moodelly objected to this appointment and resigned or were removed from their

From Trichinopoly (14th December 1848) in Cons. 29th January 1849.

Offices

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.-

Office. Sabaputty Moodelly and Sirmul Row a Sawabneevefs in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court being substituted by the Community in their place. In December 1847 Sambasewa Pillay re=signed and Veerasawmy Iyen com=missariat Manager and Trustee of the Runganadaswamy Temple was e= nominated in lieu of him. Thus the parties who were recognized as Trus=tees in the Semboocaswarum Pagoda and to whom the Moyen Zabitah Money was paid when Mr. Onslow e= rejoined the District in 1848 were Veeraswamy Iyen Sirmul Row, and Sabapatty Moodelly. On the 14th December 1848 the Collector of Trichino=poly addressed this Office stating his objections to the above named Dharmakurtahs and intimating his intention to recognize as the Managers of the e= Semboocaswarum Pagoda Avadya Pillay and Ponnem=bala Moodelly the persons originally appointed to the Office after.

From Collector 14th December 1848 in Cons. 29th January 1849 Para 6.

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

From Trichinopoly 18th December in Cons. 29th January 1849.-

after the death of Chendrasacara Pillay but Avadya Pillay died about that time and the Board 29th January 1849. desired that no change should be made in the arrangement which the Collector had found subsisting when he resumed his appointment.-

12.- The Board have in the forego=ing paras succinctly traced the course of events connected with the appoint=ment of Managers to the Runganada=swamy and Semboocaswarum Pagodas from the original transfer of those In=stitutions in 1842 to the early part of the present year. They have now to consi=der the changes in the administration of those Pagodas recently effected by the late Collector Mr. A. P. Onslow as explained in his letter of 18th May last prefixed to these Proceedings.-

In Cons 12th July 1849.-

13.- In this letter Mr. Onslow re=presents that when he returned to the Trichinopoly District "the excitement occasioned by the exclusion of Avadia "Pillay alias Appavoo Pillay from all participation in the management to

which

"which he laid claim in virtue of his
"deceased father having held the
"Office of Dhurmaeurtah had not
"ceased - That person died, and the
"claim was renewed on behalf of his
"son by his guardian and relation
"Seevagooranada Pillay who is now
"considered the representative of
"the family - This claim
"was rejected by the Board
"as far as Seevagooroona-
"da Pillay's application
"to succeed to the manage-
-ment of the Pemboo Rish
"-warum Pagoda was
concerned - but he has
"never abandoned it and
"has continued to seek
"a share in the manage-
"ment of that Institution
(the Keys of the build-
"-ing containing valu-
"able property belonging to which
"he still retains) and also in
"that of the large or Rungana-
"-daswamy's Pagoda". W.m Onslow
adds that "he is now persuaded

Note This is a mistake the Board after they had been informed of the death of Avadia Pillay directed that no change should be made in the management of the Pemboo Rasheed-rum Pagoda but no claim to participate in the superintendence of that institution was ever preferred to them on behalf of Sevagoorconada Pillay nor was any mention made to them of that individual, the Collectors letter of 18th December 1848 being confined to notifying the fact that Avadiah Pillay had died leaving two infant sons -

"that the total rejection of Avadiah-
"Pillay from participation in the ma-
-nagement is regarded by the com-
-munity in a point of view which
"deserves serious consideration. The-
"greatest dissatisfaction prevails in con-
"sequence of the management of the
"Pagodas having fallen almost ex clu-
-sively into the hands of Government
"officials and parties connected with
"them - while the independent members
"of society whose ancestors in many in-
-stances contributed largely to the
"splendour, as it is esteemed of the
"Pagodas by presents of ornaments,
"jewels &c. are altogether overlooked.
"It has been brought to his notice
"that by disallowing all the Stallatars
"to participate in the management by
"rotation, as it had originally been
"agreed they should do, and continuing
"one only in office great abuses had
"arisen, and that the dissensions among
"these people might be productive of
"serious disturbances for the stallatars

have

"have obtained decrees of Court in their
"favor which clearly establish their
"superiority in the Pagoda and afford
"the precedents by which the Magis-
"trate is guided in settling disputes
"between the rival sects of Vuddagaliars
"and Tengaliars"

14. "Persuaded them that the —
"appointment of Baulasoobramanium
"the Cash keepers brother was not in
"accordance with the wishes of the —
"community and having repeatedly failed
"to bring about a good understanding be-
"tween his party and Arwadiah Pillay's
"convinced moreover of the necessity of
"settling the question, the late Collector
"directed the Stallatars in accordance with
"the system originally established to —
nominate two persons to this Office
"i.e. in the Rungamadah Swamy Pagoda
"Piece of the Six stallatars agreed on —
"selecting Rungasawmy Iyengar a
"respectable Brahmin whose family was
"honored with the title of Rajah of the
"Bejam of Hyderabad and who has large
"possessions

"possessions in Triplicane Poly, and Seva-
"gooramadha Pillay who now represents
"Arwadiah Pillay's family and Mr. —
"Onslow had no hesitation in confirm-
"ing their nomination. The remaining
"stallatars has since given his assent
"to the appointments"

15. The individuals who under
the above arrangement are now entrust-
ed with the management of the several
Pagodas are for the —

Rungamada Sawmy Pagoda

1 Rungasawmy Iyengar.

2 Sevagooramada Pillay (Men of large landed property) and the Six Stallatars - one each year in rotation.

For the Jemboo Raishwaram Pagoda -

1 Veerasawmy Iyer.
Commissariat. Manager (not known to possess land)

2 Trimul Rao Court Iravabnevis
(holds a small extent of land)

For the Toyman Sawmy

Baulasoobramonium. Moodelly a Merchant and considerable landed proprietor

The

16. The advantages of the arrangement the late Collector considers to be these.

"1st The Management is more generally and therefore more fairly distributed instead of being confined to a few individuals.

2d It cannot be objected that the management is monopolized by Government Officers.

3d The contending parties, Viz. the Vuddagaliars and Tengaliars in the great or Rungamadasawmy's Pagoda are reconciled at all events for the present the Vuddagaliars being represented by Rungasawmy Iyengar and the Tengaliars by the stallatars who have no longer any cause of disagreement Seevagoranada Pillay not belonging to either party."

17. It cannot of course be expected that all parties should be pleased and the Managers of Rungamadasawmy's Pagoda Veerasawmy Iyer and Baulasoobramaniem Modelliar

who have just been superseded in that Pagoda but who retain their offices respectively in the two other Pagodas have got up a petition to the Board praying for a reversal of the Collectors orders. This Petition (No. 948 of 1849) has been presented to the Board and is that prefixed to these Proceedings. The Collector concludes his letter with some remarks upon the manner in which the meeting at which the Petition is said to have been adopted was arranged and upon the circumstances and position of the parties who took a prominent part in that assembly.

18. In reviewing the proceedings of the Collector, as detailed at large in the Extracts from his letter above quoted the Board of Revenue cannot but commence with the expression of their regret that he should have made such extensive changes in the management of the Streerungham Pagodas without

without previous reference to and approval of higher authority. His conduct in this particular too, seems the more surprising in as much as the orders of the Board upon his previous suggestion relative to the Jemboo Reswaram Pagoda must have shown him how averse they were to interfere in the administration of the Trichinopoly religious institutions except for the most cogent reasons. Nor can the Board perceive that the Collector has shewn any pressing cause for the changes which he has effected especially for those made in the Runganada swamy Pagoda. The Trustees hitherto in charge of that Temple were appointed in December 1845 by a Meeting Sanctioned by himself saving the resignation of Kunjamala Moodelly, a measure ordered by Mr Onslow, and the substitution of his brother Baulasoobramaneya Moodelly the same parties had administered the affairs of the Pagoda from the time of

of their appointment to that of their late removal or for nearly three years and a half. The Board do not understand that any part of the property of that institution was in the custody of Avadya Pillay or his family, and the disturbances excited by that individual and his party during the acting Collectorate of Mr William Elliot were, as far as the Board are informed, confined to the Jemboo Reswaram Pagoda Veerasawmy Iyer one of the Trustees, was, it is true, a Government Servant - but so he was when first elected in 1845. and Kunjamallay Moodelly who had taken Government employ since his election had been succeeded in the Trust by Balasoobramanya Moodelly who was not in the Public Service. The retention of S. Wootamanumbee as sole ex officio Trustee, in place of the associations of the stalutdars in the Trust in rotation was doubtless irregular and a deviation from the principle

principle originally laid down in 1842 but this could have been remedied without displacing the other Members of the Trust body.

19- Again it is not apparent why Avadya Pillay's representative Seeni-goorvada Pillay should have been placed in charge of the Rungavadaswamy Pagoda with which since the death of Chundrasacarra Pillay in 1845, his family seem to have had no concern rather than in charge of that of Sembo Kesavara of which Avadya Pillay was for some time Trustee and of which his family still retain the Keys and much of the property. If a change was required in the arrangements for the administration of the Streerungham Pagodas, the appointment of Avadya Pillays representative to take part in the Superintendence of the Sembo Kesavara Temple seems to have offered the most obvious and least violent mode of effecting it. It is true that the Collectors previous proposal in

in respect to the Pagoda had been overruled by the Board but Avadya Pillay was then dead and no claim had been preferred on behalf of his representatives -

20. The nomination of Rungaswamy Iyengar and Devagoorvada Pillay to be managers of the Rungadaswamy Pagoda by the election of the Stalutters is stated by Mr. Onslow to have been in accordance with the system originally established - But the mode of supplying vacancies among the Trust body by self election was objected to by the Board in their letter to the Collector of 26th August 1841 as tending to invest that body with the character of a close corporation" and in their Proceedings of 6th October 1842 when submitting the Collectors arrangement to Government the Board observe that the Provisions made for regulating the succession to those Trustees, who were not so ex officio, i.e. by election among the other Members of the Trust, were not what they could fully

fully approve. The principle of election by means of the Pagoda Officers was also in some degree departed from in the course recommended by the Collector to the Board in his letter of 25th October 1845, in which he advised that the Trusteeship should be hereditary in the family of the original Trustees and that the servants of the Pagoda should be called on to nominate only in those cases where in the heirs of the deceased Trustees might refuse to act; and it was not recognized by the Board in their instructions of 8th December 1845 which directed that in case of any difference of opinion the party acceptable to the Majority of the community should be appointed. The late Trustees of the Temple of Rungana da Swamy were elected at a public Meeting held apparently in consequence of those instructions and after Mr Onslow had himself set aside the individual chosen by the stallatars. Their nomination was confirmed by the Collector who continued to recognize them as Managers for more than three years. Their late exclusion from Office and

and the substitution of other parties chosen by a totally different Agency is to say the least of it very embarrassing.

21. In withdrawing from all connection with the Native religious establishments of the Country, it has been the anxious desire of the Government and the Board of Revenue that the management of those institutions should devolve upon parties duly qualified by station and character for the Trust, and enjoying the full confidence of the native community. The charges lately introduced by Mr. Onslow in the constitution of the Trust bodies charged with the Superintendence of the Streerunghum Pagodas, appear to possess certain advantages pointed out in Para 9 of his letter, and although they have been carried out without authority, the Board would not object to them if assured that they were urgently called for and that they are satisfactory to the great body of worshippers interested in the institutions. But it appears to them that further enquiry on this point is necessary. They therefore resolve to forward copy of these Proceedings to the present Collector of Trichinopoly. Mr. Maltby and to request that with reference to the observations above recorded he will communicate unreservedly with all classes and will endeavour to learn their sentiments upon the recent arrangement and to ascertain whether the parties to whom

the

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

the administration of the Streerungum Temples is now confided are generally acceptable to the community - They resolve also to furnish copy of these Proceedings to Government both because it is probable that Petitions will be presented to them upon the subject and because the matters discussed in the Proceedings forcibly show the need of some legal provision for regulating the succession to vacant trusteeships in the Native religious establishments for the Country.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 948- 1101 and 1283 of 1849.

"Petitioners are referred to the Collector to whom instructions have been sent in the matter of this Petition

Read.

S.B.

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Read the following letters.-

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

From the Collr of Madura - 1-

Submitting proposed Thoyenzabitah for the Shevagungah Zemindary under attachment.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to submit the Moyenzabitah for the Shevagungah under attachment, and to which the Establishment was for the most part reduced a few days after the receipt of the Courts Receipt.-

To Board	5th	Feb 1849
To Dr	20th	Dr
From Dr	26th	March
To Dr	18th	May
From Dr	23rd	Dr

The total amount is Rupees 2,794-4-- per mensem, exceeding the Cost of the Establishment maintained during the late attachment by Rupees 30-8-- per mensem.-

Establishment mentioned in the late attachment	2,773--
Dr charged under the head of contingent charges	14-4--
Dr newly added Vizt 1 Record keeper	7--
Moganum Sibbendies of Saickullatore	9-4--
	30-8--
	2,803-8--

3.- The particulars of the excess are noted in the Margin.-

The Village Sibbendy amounting to Rupees 14-4-- included therein, was maintained by

by Mr. Blackburne, but debited under "contingent charges". The Record keeper, I propose to keep at Shevagungah to take care of the records which remain there, as I have not considered it expedient to remove from thence the whole mass of Accounts and Urzees, the number of these having naturally much increased since the last attachment. The remaining Rupees 9-4-0 is for the large Village Saikullatton resumed by the Zemindar, since the last Zuft.

4. I have included the pay of the English Writers, 35 Rupees in the mass and request permission to apportion this as I may find it expedient from time to time. The need of writers on this Establishment is chiefly to translate and frame Statements, and a number of lads, of whom there are many very well educated at Madura, are more useful for this purpose than one or two better paid writers.

5. I beg to submit a separate Moyenzabitah for the Villages of the Cuttanoor sub Division lately resumed under a Decree of the Civil Court but the accounts

accounts of which, as it is under appeal, it is expedient to keep separate.

6. The propriety of transferring the Police authority to the Zuft Establishments and discontinuing the present Police Ameens will have to be considered when it is known whether the present attachment is likely to continue for any considerable period.

7. I have the honor to forward copy of my Return to the precept of the Civil Court from which the Board will perceive that instructions were solicited on several points from the Sudr Zedalut without delay. I have not yet received their answer.

Madura
9th June
1849

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Moyenzabitah

10488

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Pattah Mogenzabitah of the Establishment of Shevagungah Zemindary for Fusly 1258.

2d Assistant	1	0 " "	0 " "
Shroff	1	7 " "	7 " "
Duffadar	1	5 " "	5 " "
Peons	25	3 8 "	87 8 "
Massaljee	1	2 " "	2 " "
Maganum Monigars	13	4 " "	52 " "
Do Sumprethies	13	4 " "	52 " "
Thundalgar	13	1 4 "	16 4 "
	74	" " "	330 4 "

Ameen Vattagay and Mallacottay Exp.

Ameen	1	40 " "	40 " "
Moozoomdar	1	17 8 "	17 8 "
Hindooee Goomastah	2	10 8 "	21 " "
Tamil Do	1	10 " "	10 " "
Royasum	1	10 " "	10 " "
Do Assistant	1	5 " "	5 " "
Shroff	1	7 " "	7 " "
Duffadar	1	5 " "	5 " "
Peons	20	3 8 "	70 " "
Massaljee	1	2 " "	2 " "
Maganum Monigars	7	4 " "	28 " "
Sumprethies	7	4 " "	28 " "
Thundalgars	7	1 4 "	8 12 "
Mullacottay Curnum	1	3 8 "	3 8 "
	52	" " "	255 12 "

10489 Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Ameen	1	45			45		
Morzoomdar	1	17	8		17	8	
Hindooee Goomastah	2	10	8		21		
Tamil Dº	1	10			10		
Poyaoum	1	10			10		
Dº Assistant	1	5			5		
Shroff	1	7			7		
Duffadar	1	5			5		
Peons	25	3	8		87	8	
Massalgee	1	2			2		
Maganum Monigars	11	4			44		
Dº Sumprathies	11	4			44		
Nottagars	1	2			2		
Measurer	1	1			1		
Thundulgars	12	1	4		15		
Measurer	2	1			2		
	73				318		

Salt Keys and Amaneatty.

Ameen	1	50			50		
Morzoomdar	1	17	8		17	8	
Hindooee Goomastah	2	10	8		21		
Tamil Dº	2	5			10		
Poyaoum	1	10			10		
Dº Assistant	1	5			5		
Shroff	1	7			7		
Duffadar	1	5			5		
Peons	25	3	8		87	8	
Massalgee	1	2			2		
Moniagars	14	4			56		
Sumprethies	14	4			56		
Thundalgars	14	1	4		17	8	
	78				344	8	

10490 Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Peonnatty and Chowragum Pay

		Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.
Ameen	1	40 " "	40 " "
Moozoondar	1	17 8 "	17 8 "
Hindoovee Goomastah	2	10 8 "	21 " "
Tamil Dº	2	5 " "	10 " "
Royasum	1	10 " "	10 " "
Assistant Dº	1	5 " "	5 " "
Shroff	1	7 " "	7 " "
Duffadar	1	5 " "	5 " "
Peons	20	3 8 "	70 " "
Massalgee	1	2 " "	2 " "
Monigars	12	4 " "	48 " "
Sumpredies	12	4 " "	48 " "
Thundalgars	12	1 4 "	15 " "
	67	" " "	298 8 "

Mungalum and Chowragum Pay

		Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.
Ameen	1	45 " "	45 " "
Moizoondar	1	17 8 "	17 8 "
Hindovee Goomastah	2	10 8 "	21 " "
Tamil Dº	1	6 " "	6 " "
Dº	1	4 " "	4 " "
Royasum	1	10 " "	10 " "
Dº Assistant	1	5 " "	5 " "
Shroff	1	7 " "	7 " "
Duffadar	1	5 " "	5 " "
Peons	25	3 8 "	87 8 "
Massalgee	1	2 " "	2 " "
Watcher of Nanel Grass	½	" 12 "	" 12 "
Moniagars	10	4 " "	40 " "
Sumpethies	10	4 " "	40 " "
Thundalgars	10	1 4 "	12 8 "
Nanel Watcher	1	1 4 "	1 4 "
	68	" " "	304 8 "

10491 Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Abstract and Pattiyam.

		Rs.	As.	Rs.	As.
Ameen	1	50	—	50	—
Mozoomdar	1	17	8	17	8
Hindoovee Goomastah	2	10	8	21	—
Tamil Do.	1	10	—	10	—
Royasum	1	10	—	10	—
Do. Assistant	1	5	—	5	—
Shroff	1	7	—	7	—
Duffadar	1	5	—	5	—
Rond	25	3	8	87	8
Mafsaljee	1	2	—	2	—
Monigars	12	4	—	48	—
Sumprethees	12	4	—	48	—
Thundalgars	12	1	4	15	—
	71	—	—	326	—

Pattiyam.

		Rs.	As.	Rs.	As.
Ameen	1	50	—	50	—
Mozoomdar	1	17	8	17	8
Hindoovee Goomastah	2	10	8	21	—
Tamil Do.	1	10	—	10	—
Royasum	1	10	—	10	—
Do. Assistant	1	5	—	5	—
Shroff	1	7	—	7	—
Duffadar	1	5	—	5	—
Rond	25	3	8	87	8
Mafsaljee	1	2	—	2	—
Monigars	12	4	—	48	—
Sumprethees	12	4	—	48	—
Thundalgars	12	1	4	15	—
	71	—	—	326	—
Total of the 8 Talooks	552	—	—	2503	8
Grand Total	578	—	—	2803	8

Madura
9th June 1849

E. E. Jl. [signed] R. D. Parker
Collector.

Statement

1492 Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

No. 2. Statement shewing the Establishment proposed to be kept for Cuttanoor and 26½ other Villages, forming Sub Division Estate, which was held separately up to Fusly 1257 and subsequently surrendered to the deceased Zemindar of Shevagungah under a Receipt of the Civil Court dated 21st June 1848 with reference to the Decree given in O. S. No. 1 of 1843 on the file of the said Court. -

Talooks	Villages		Dowlor Estimated Beriz of Fusly 1258	Proposed Establishment Monigars	Sumprethies	Thundul-gars	Total
				Numbers as No.	Rs. as No.	Rs. as No.	Rs. as No. Rs. as
Canoor	Moodeecandum	1	1,974 14 6	" "	1 4 "	1 14	2 5 4
Thirrospathoor	Teeraneevayel	½	389 6 11	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
	Soonnamboo Edoopoo	1	2,621 6 10				
	Puttnom Cooroondapuddy	1	3,289 3 3	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
	Saidoo Rayoonadapatam	1	3,175 6 3	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
Amarabady	Cullel	1	2,695 8 "	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
	Seeroovayel	1	2,775 8 "	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
	Total		14935 9 6	4 16 "	4 16 "	4 5 "	12 37 "
Oiroovattee	Minnettangoody	1	583 1 10	" " "	1 4 "	1 14	2 5 4
	Cattanoor &ca	16	2,425 11 "	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
Maurnaud and Parthibanoor	Olagoody	1	1,299 15 5	1 4 "	1 4 "	1 14	3 9 4
	Keelaparoothiyoor	1	642 8 4				
	Mariyoor Sethoraya nendle	2	876 12 1				
	Total		5,244 14 10	2 8 "	2 8 "	2 28	6 18 8
	Grand Total		21,749 6 9	7 2 8 "	9 3 6 "	9 11 4	25 7 5 4

Madura
9th June 1849

E. E. J. Sc. /Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector
Madura

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

No. 3.

Madura Zillah Civil Court.

(Seal)

To

The Collector of

Madura.

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Proceedings of this Court, to the orders contained in which you are required to conform, returning this precept so executed, or good and sufficient reason, why it has not been executed, and what you may have done in pursuance hereof, within ten days from and after its receipt.-

Given under my hand and seal of the Court this 14th day of May 1849.

(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Civil Judge.

In return to the foregoing Precept, the Collector has the honor to state that he has attached the Shevagungah Zemindary and taken it under his management as directed by the Proceedings of the Sudr Zedalut.-

He

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

He has the honor to request instructions on the following points.-

1st Whether it is intended only to secure the direct management of the Collector over the Estate, or to supercede altogether the jurisdiction of the Court of Wards, doing away with the appointment of Guardian and the allowance to the Minor and his relations under clause 2 Section XIV Regulation V of 1804.-

2nd In what manner the Civil Suits, Original and Appeal, in which the late Zemindar was a Party, not privately but as owner of the Estate are to be carried on and the expenses defrayed.-

3rd From what authority the Collector should ask instructions relative to the continuance or resumption of Grants by the Zemindars since the Permanent Assessment.-

4th July Whether the Jewels, Arms, Horses, Cloths, ready money and other moveable property of the late Zemindar should be attached.-

5th July Whether the Houses in which the Minor is now residing, and any others in which

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

which relations may be resident should be attached.-

Given under my hand and seal at Shevagungah in the Collectorate of Madura this 22d day of May A. D. 1849.-

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

To
T. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

38

From the Collector of Madura, submitting a General Statement of the Suits in Court in which the Zemindar of Shevagunga was engaged at the time of his death.

Sir,

In submitting a General Statement of the Suits in Court in which the Zemindar of Shevagunga was engaged at the time of his death, according to the order of the Board in your letter of the 14th May, I have the honor to state, that it appears to me extremely desirable under the present circumstances of the Zemindary, to withdraw O. S. No. 4 of 1848 on the file of the Civil Court, brought by the Zemindar against the Tumberan of the Ramnullay Devastans and in which Plaint and answer have been filed and the Reply of the Plaintiff will be due, at the opening of the Court after the recess.-

2. The object of the suit is not to resume the endowments of the Devastans, to which, being excluded from the Istemerar asserts the

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

the Zemindar has obviously no colour of right but to oust the present Tumberan, & to procure a recognition of the title of the Zemindar to appoint this Functionary.-

3- Success in the suit will at present be productive of nothing but Embarrassment, for who will exercise the acquired power of nominating a new Tumberan?- The Minor is too young even if the Sudr Adalut rule the Estate to be still under the Court of Wards, on which point their decision has not yet reached me. The Collector is an objectionable party and the Guardian, if there be still a Guardian is in my opinion equally so.-

4 I would therefore propose to require the Guardian to withdraw the suit, which the Ultimate Professor of the Estate, if of age can renew at his pleasure.-

5. I feel great difficulty in expressing my concurrence in Readings asserting facts as regards the appointment of Tumberans before the commencement of British Rule, which nothing but considerable leisure and research would enable me to verify.-

6- Expense has already been incurred for institution fees, and further disbursements will have to be made to the Vakeels of the Plaintiff and Defendant but the latter will be nearly if not entirely covered by repayment of part of the Institution Fees.-

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Madura
9th June 1849

List

10497

List of c…ah.-

No.	Courts … resent state are pele suits. numb…
1	Origina… …ns issued for on the … …tne sses. - Court.
2	Originan…s filed but the file … re not yet re= …ved.
3	Origina…ments and list on the fi… …tne sses to be …ed. -
4	Original … …er filed and reply on the fi… …filed.
5	A. S. … Answer already file of … and the suit … ter consideration …sposal. -
6	A. S. No… …al Answer to… file of … …iled.
7	Petition … …petency of the Ru per …Security has been file of … …read but the answer …ppeal has not yet been Addl… …or. -

p R. D. Parker
Collector

10498

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George.

Sir,

39

From the Collector of Madura - } Para 1. With reference to the corres=

Forwarding Copy of the Pro: =ceedings of the Sudr Ud: =alut of the 7th instant and requesting sanction as whether the Guardian may be per: =mitted to give a Vakal: =utnama to the advocate General to defend the Suit against the Shevagunga Zemindary

To the Court of Wards 18th May 1849 No 25
From Do 8th June 1849 No 102

=pondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to transmit the accompanying Copy of the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 7th instant from which the Court will perceive that the Shevagungah Zemindary Remains under their authority notwithstanding the order of the Sudr Udalut for its attachment -

2 - I have perused many of the Recent alienations of the Zemindar and will report on the subject as soon as the necessary enquiries are completed -

3. My attention is now directed to the debts incurred by the late Zemindar, and the Tankas or assignments on the Talooks issued in liquidation of them - Some time will probably elapse before I can obtain Complete information on this matter -

4 I request the instructions of the Court whether the Guardian may be permitted

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

permitted to furnish a Vakalut Namah to the Advocate General to defend the appeal in the Sudr Vedalut brought by Tingoomootoo Nauchear against the decision of the Zeinil Court in favor of the Minor's father, and also, should the Advocate General advise it, employ Junior Counsil and an Attorney - The two latter will I hope be unnecessary but the former step I would strongly advise. The Court are probably aware that European Vakeels supposed to have a pecuniary Interest in the issue of the Suit, are engaged on the other side, and it seems merely prudent to afford the Minor the advantage of the same description of talent. The native Government Vakeel who is Vakeel for the Minor professes himself unable to cope with such antagonists. The Guardian has already proposed to the Advocate General to be his Vakeel but has not yet furnished him with a written authority -

Madura, - -
13th June, 1849.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Since

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

P. S.

Since writing the above it has occurred to me as desirable to ascertain distinctly from the Sudr Vedalut with reference to Para 3 of their Proceedings whether the prosecution and defence of suits in which the Minor is engaged should not be carried on in the name of the Guardian under the Superintendence of the Collector - In regard to the defence of suits Section XXIII of Regulation V of 1804 seems clearly and impératively to demand the adoption of this Course; and tho' the Regulation does not expressly provide for the Conduct of suits in which the Minor may be a Plaintiff it is natural to suppose that the same Rule should be applicable to them - My question to the Court in Return to their Precept for attachment, supposed the contingency of the Estate and Minor being Removed from the authority of the Court of Wards -

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Extract

10301 Fort St. George 12th July 1849

Esctract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Vedalut under date the 7th June 1849.

Read Return dated the 23d Ultimo from the Civil Judge of Madura to the Precept of the Court of Sudr Vedalut under date the 30th April 1849 directing the attachment of the Shenagunga Zemindary.

1 The Civil Judge forwards a Return from the Collector of Madura in which that officer certifies that he has attached the Shenagunga Zemindary and taken it under his management and at the same time requests instructions on the following points—

1st "Whether it is intended only to secure the direct management of the Collector over the Estate or to supersede altogether the Jurisdiction of the Court of Wards, doing away with the appointment of Guardian and the allowance to the minor and his relations under clause second, section XIV, Regulation V, of 1804."

2 The Court of Sudr Vedalut observe that the object of the order was to secure the direct management of the Collector. It was not

10302 Fort St. George 12th July 1849

not intended that the orders of the Court of Wards, as passed in conformity with the Regulation cited should be interfered with.

2ndly "In what manner the Civil Suits, original and Appeal in which the late Zemindar was a party, not privately, but as owner of the Estate, are to be carried on and the expenditure defrayed."

3 The Court observe that the Suits are to be carried on under the superintendence of the Collector or the person he may appoint as his Superintendent or Manager. The expences attending the prosecution of such Suits are to be defrayed by the Collector from the Proceeds of the Estate.

3rdly "From what authority the Collector should ask instructions relative to the continuance or resumption of Grants by the Zemindars since the permanent assessment."

4 The Court observe that the practice which obtains in this respect when other Estates are attached under the orders of the Court, should be followed in the present instance—

With

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

5- With regard to the 4th and 5th Questions the Court of Sudr Adalut observe that the personal property indicated in the 4th Question should be attached and that the house in which the Minor is now residing, or any other houses in which relations may be resident (referred to in Question 5) should not be attached-

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Civil Judge of Madura for his information and for Communication to the Collector-

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. H. Davidson
Register

(True Copy)
(Signed) L. R. Bayner
Civil Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George 12th July 1849

37-40

Proceedings thereon / With the first of the letters above recorded the Collector has submitted the proposed Moyenzabitah for the management of the Shevaganga Zemindary taken under attachment in obedience to the orders of the Court of Sudr Adawlut The whole Esta- blishment is at the charge of Rupees 2,803-8 per Mensem Viz. Rs 300 for the Hoozoor and Rupees 2503-8 for the Talooks and is nearly the same as that maintained in the Estate during the last sequestration- The cost for the Talook Sibbendi is slightly (Rupees 79-4) higher than that proposed by the Collector 20th February 1849 when the Estate was to be committed to the care of a Manager, Acting under Regulation V 1804 the pay of the several Talook Ameens having been raised in a small degree probably in consequence of such an Officer being no longer employed to superintend the

Note The Collector in Para 2 of his Letter says Rs 2794-4 but this seems to be a mistake-

Containing the views and orders on the Moyenzabitah for the Shevaganga Zemindary and Statement of Suits against the late Zemindar at his death- as also Proceedings of Sudr Adawlut proposing to secure the services of the Advocate General to defend the appeals against the Minor Zemindar submitted by the Collector of Madura- Jubbs 15th March 1849.

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

the affairs of the property - The same cause however has made the expense of the Hoozoor Establishment fall much below what it would have been under a Manager being as now recommended Rupees 300 per Mensem or Rupees 436 less than that shewn in the Statement submitted on the 20th February last - A separate Moyen Jabitah has been prepared for the Cuttavoor Sub Division 26½ Villages which has lately been resumed under a decision of the Civil Court but the accounts of which as the decree is under appeal it is expedient to keep separate - The expense for these Villages is Rupees 75 - 4 making the total Monthly cost of the Zufty Establishment for the whole Zemindary amount to Rupees 2878 - 12 -

2. This Moyen Jabitah is very reasonable and the Board have no hesitation

Fort St. George 12th July 1849.

hesitation in sanctioning it - Indeed when they advert to the butcherry proposed for the Manager in February last - they are not sure that the present Hoozoor Establishment may not have been framed on too moderate a scale - The remark also that the Court of Sudr Adawlut in their Proceedings of 7th June 1849, Para 3, appear to contemplate the appointment by the Collector of some person as the "Superintendent" or "Manager" of the Zemindary - If Mr. Parker on mature consideration should be of opinion that the employment of an Officer to be of a higher class than the Mere Talook Ameens and to exercise a general Supervision over the affairs and accounts of the whole Estate would be for the benefit of the property - The Board will be prepared on his further report to give the measure all due attention - Such an Officer whose

services

services and qualifications should be fully stated to the Board would be at the head of the Fusly Hoozoor Establishment - (which however need not be of much greater strength than that now sanctioned) but would differ from the Manager nominated under Regulation V 1804 as being more directly and completely under the Collectors' orders -

3. In his letter of 9th June the Collector has forwarded a general Statement of the Suits in which the late Zemindar of Sheivagunga was engaged at the time of his death - Unless Original Suit No. 2 of 1848, brought by Mr. Seth Sam for recovery of three principal and interest Rupees 34,833-5-4, for services to be performed in England relative to the Suit before the Privy Council, should be regarded as of a personal character, and therefore to constitute an exception the

the whole of the actions seemed to have been instituted or defended by the late proprietor in virtue of his position and for the assertion of his rights as Zemindar - The Board agree with the Collector that for the reasons which he has stated it would not be advisable to proceed into Original Suit No. 4 of 1848 against the Tumbe-ram of the Pramalay Davistanums, this action should consequently be withdrawn -

4 In para 3 of their Pro-ceedings of 7th June 1849 the Court of Sudr Adawlut have directed that the Suits in which the late Zemindar was concerned should be carried on under the Superintendence of the Collector or the person he may appoint as his Superintendent or Manager and that the expenses attending the prosecution of such Suits

Suits are to be defrayed by the Collector from the proceeds of the Estate. In a preceding part of the same proceedings they had stated that their late orders for the attachment of the Estate were intended only to secure the direct management of the Collector and not to supersede the Agency of the Court of Wards as exercised in conformity with the provisions of Regulation V 1804—

5 By Section XXIII Regulation V 1804 disqualified persons being in the custody of Guardians are not liable to be sued, otherwise than as under the protection and in the joint name of their Guardians and altho not expressly so stated it is to be presumed that the same course should be pursued in Actions in which the Minor may be Plaintiff as in those in

which he is defendant— There seems therefore to the Board to be little doubt that the prosecution and defence of all the Suits in which the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga is engaged should be carried on in the joint name of himself and his Guardian but as the expressions used by the Sudr in Para 3 of their Proceedings already quoted leave the point in some uncertainty, the Board request that Mr. Parker will, as he has suggested in the postscript to his letter of the 13th Ultimo apply to the Court for more explicit orders upon the subject— meanwhile he will disburse from the funds of the Zemindary any sums which may be required for the immediate expenses of all the Suits No. 4 of 1848 excepted—

6. The Collector has strongly recommended that the Advocate General should be retained to defend the Appeal Suit for recovery of the Zemindary now pending in the Sudr Adawlut and that if necessary a Junior Council

10513.

Statement shewing the Offers received for the rent of Ferry Farms & Collections of former Farms

Names of Talooks.	Proposal for one year tendered to the Tahsildars.				Proposal tender					Average of the last three Years Net Collections.	Remarks.	Management.		As per Cowl or Puttahs.
	Proposal for the rent of Mogannies.		Proposal for the Rent of Talooks.		Propos 1st Offer.							Reduct bundy charges.	Net Collection in Annamie.	
	Number of Ferries.	Highest Amount proposed.	Number of Ferries.	Highest Amount proposed.	Number of Ferries.	Name of the proposer.	Amount proposed.	Kind of security.				Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	24	25	26				
Mangalore	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	The securities are good and unexceptionable.			5577 8 -
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	2948 12 4				6199 - -
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				
	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"				6430 4 -
	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"				
Belcul	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	506 10 8				
Buntwab	"	"	16	470 - -	"	"	"	"	"	647 12 -				
Oodipy	33	937 12 -	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	80 - -				
Barcoor	4	108 - -	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
Baindapoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	195 1 4				
Honore	"	"	4	220 - 8	"	"	"	"	"	126 8 -				
Ankola	2	521 - -	3	115 - -	"	"	"	"	"	483 5 4				
	8	1329 - -	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	906 9 4				
Total	47	2895 12 -	23	805 - 8	16	"	"	"	"	5894 11 -				6068 10 8 3 - -

Cutcherry, Mangalore, 3

The

10513 Fort St. George 12th. July 1849.

Statles-

Comparison of the Rent of Ferry Farms for Fuslies 1258 and 1259.

Talooks.	Rent. Collections.	Balances.	Total Net Collection of Government as per Col. 4 and 6.	Fusly 1258-	Fusly 1259.	Increase-	Decrease-
	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.
Mangalore				3,335 " "	2,983 " "	" "	352 " "
Bakul				464 " "	470 " "	6 " "	" " "
Buntwal	5,329 11 8	247 12 4	5,329 11 8	827 12 -	937 12 -	110 " "	" " "
Codispy				84 " "	108 " "	24 " "	" " "
Barcoor	Mang 5,982 4 -	216 12 -	5,982 4 -	220 " "	220 8 -	" 8 -	" " "
Cundapoor				129 " "	115 " "	" " "	14 " "
Honore	6,372 1 4	58 2 8	6,372 1 4	623 8 -	521 " "	" " "	102 8 -
Ankola	Bakul Bunt. Codisp Barco Cundo. Honor Anko			1,364 " "	1329 " "	" " "	35 " "
Total	5,894 11 -	174 3 8	5,894 11 -	7,047 4 -	6,684 4 "	140 8 -	503 8 "

Net decrease-363 " "

(Signed) J. L. Blane
Collector

Ankola 6th. June, 1849.

The

Proceedings thereon;

(41-42)

The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the offers for the rent of the Ferry Farms of the District of Canara for Fusly 1259 reported in the foregoing letter Amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 6,681-- and authorize the renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms on the terms and conditions specified in the Board's Proceedings of 30th. Ultimo.

To, J. Bycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(43)

From the Collector of Canara, Sir,

With reference to the 2d. para of my letter of the 23d. Instant, I have the honor to submit for the Board's approval tenders for the Abkarry farms of the five remaining talooks Amounting to C. Rupees 29,623--

	Fusly 1258,			Fusly 1259,			Increase.			Decrease		
	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P
Honore	7,912	--	--	8633	--	--	721	--	--	--	--	--
Ankola	3815	--	--	3555	--	--	--	--	--	260	--	--
Soopa	9,225	--	--	9356	--	--	131	--	--	--	--	--
Sonda	5,705	--	--	6629	--	--	924	--	--	--	--	--
Bilghi	1140	--	--	1450	--	--	310	--	--	--	--	--
Total	27,797	--	--	29,623	--	--	2086	--	--	260	--	--

The

The total amount of the abkarry rent for fusly 1259/1849-50 is C. Rupees 7,2,514-10-- being an increase above that of 1258 of C. Rupees 4,010-10--

1259- 7,2,514-10--
1258 68,504--
Increase. 4,010-10--

Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangaloke,
30th. June, 1849.

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(43-44)

On the 30th. Ultimo the Board of Revenue sanctioned the acceptance of the offers for the Abkarry Farms of Six Talooks of the District of Canara and they now authorize the acceptance of the tenders for the remaining 5 Talooks reported in the foregoing letter Amounting to Rupees 29,623--- on the terms and conditions specified in their Proceedings of 30th. Ultimo.

Read the following letter.

To, J. Bycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(45)

From the Collector of Malabar, Sir,

I have the honor to annex a Statement of the highest Offers made for the rent of the Abkarry Farms in this District for fusly 1259/1849-50 and to request the Board will be good enough to confirm the same. The Renters have all given good and sufficient security.

Calicut,
30th. June, 1849.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Statement

10517

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the highest Offers that have been made for the rent of the Abkarry Farms in the Province of Malabar for Fusly $\frac{1259}{1849/50}$ compared with the average Amount of the rents for the preceding three years.

12th July 1849.

Names of the Talooks.	Names of the Bidders.	Highest Amount bid by Public Auction. Amount Bid	Average amount of the rent for the last three Years.	Increase in Fusly 1259.	Decrease in Fusly 1259.	Remarks.
In the Collector's Division. Calicut	Arcot Veerabudra Mondiliar	8,700 - -	8,000 - -	700 - -	" " "	
Avelanche and Sirparrah in Valoowanad	Ramasawmy Naik	50 - -	50 - -	" " "	" " "	Rented for 3 Years from Fusly 1257 to 1259.
In the Sub Collector's Division. Caway	Veramala Pillay	2,720 - -	2,936 10 8	" "	216 10 8	
Cherikul	Chummaneyun Amboolty	4,365 - -	4,746 10 8	" "	381 10 8	
Cotiote	Banjoo Amboo and Coren	5,775 - -	6,021 10 8	" "	246 10 8	
Tellicherry	Corayé Banjoo	6,150 - -	6,085 - -	65 - -	" " "	
Kartenaad	Corayé Banjoo	2,230 - -	2,156 10 8	73 5 4	" " "	
Wynaad	Aluer Naick Kristnien	5,200 - -	3,795 - -	1,405 - -	" " "	
In the Head Assistant Collector's Division. Palghaut	Nethanum Veetil Mydeen Coolly	330 - -	415 - -	" " "	85 - -	
Wallyar	Coopoo Naik Son of Vengatarama Naick	82 - -	26 11 8	55 4 4	" " "	
In the Joint Magistrates Division. Cochin	Coonjathoo Govinnen Nair	4,805 - -	4,217 - -	588 - -	" " "	
		40,407 - -	38,450 6 4	2,886 9 8	930 - -	
			Deduct Decrease	930 - -		
			Net Increase Rupees	1,956 9 8		

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

The

Proceedings thereon.

(45-46)

The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the offers for the Abkarry Farms of the District of Malabar for Fusly 1259 reported in the foregoing letter, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 40,407 — — and authorize the renters being placed in possession of their respective Farms on their severally depositing one months Kist in ready money and furnishing good collateral security to the extent of three Kists more or on their depositing in cash two Kists without security.

Read the following letter

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(47)

From the Collector of Madras.

Reporting upon the Settlement of the Abkarry revenues of Madras for Fusly 1259.

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st May last, I have the honor to report for their information, that the right to vend Arrack by retail, in the several licensed Shops within the Abkarry limits of this Collectorate, for Fusly 1259 was put up to public auction. The result as regards the Columbo

Columbo Arrack Shops.

Gross revenue of 46 Shops Settled at the daily Dowle of Gal 125-20- at the fanams per Gal: for 1 Year	156763 — 7 — 1	
Ditto of 1 Shop remaining unsold the Dowle of which is estimated at Gal: 3 per diem as settled for the current Year.	3747 — 5 — 4	
	160510 — 12 — 5	

Columbo Arrack Shops is exhibited in the margin the Dowle of 46 Shops, at Gal. 125. 20 per Diem, as now settled for them, together with the Dowle for 1 — Shop estimated at the amount of the Settlement for the current year, Viz. 3 — per diem, would yield for the whole year Rupees — 160510 — 12 — 5.

2.

Under this head, 53 Shops were disposed of at a Dowle equal to Gal: 120 — 10 — per diem to which the estimated Dowle of 3 Shops remaining unsold Gal: 6 - 5 being added the aggregate is raised to Gal: 126 — 15 which would yield for the entire current Fusly Rupees 138124 — 5 — 9.

Puttah Arrack Shops within the Supreme Court limits. Gross Revenue of 53 Shops settled at the daily Dowle of Gal: 120 - 10 - at 382 fanams per Gal: for 1 year	131429 - 11 — 6	
Ditto of 3 Shops remaining unsold, the Dowle of which is Estimated at Gal: 6 - 5 per diem as settled for the current Fusly.	6694 - 7 — 3	
	138124 - 5 — 9	

The Dowle settled for the present number of Shops beyond the limits of the Supreme Court, Viz. 37 is Gal: 126 - 15 to which the Dowle settled for 3 Shops at Ennore Gal: 20 - being added, the total is raised to

3.

Puttah Arrack Shops beyond the Supreme Court limits. Gross revenue of 37 Shops settled at the Daily Dowle of Gal: 126 - 15 - at 182 fanams per Gal: for 1 Year	66371 — 7 — 5	
Ditto of 3 Shops at Ennore settled at Gal: 120 per diem	787 — 12 - 8	
	67159 - 4 — 1	

to Gal. 127—35 per diem, the revenue arising from which would amount, for 1 year to Rupees 67,159—4—1. In this Division, the settlement for the current year amounted to Rupees 71,885—15—9 the decrease is chiefly owing to a considerable portion of the troops in the Cantonment at Palaveram having been with-drawn so that the Dowle which, on the 12th July 1848 was Gal. 30—20, is now reduced to Gal. 22. 10, the difference being Gal. 8—10 per diem equal to Rupees 4,332—13—8 for a year.

71885. 15—9
67,159—4—1
4,726—11—8

4. The 3 shops for Ennore referred to in the foregoing Para are to be established in substitution of those which were included in the Abkarry rent of Chingleput as stated in Para 36 of Mr. Robertsons letter of 7th March 1835, but which are to be discontinued from the commencement of the ensuing Fasly in consequence of the Sites occupied by them being within the area, the Abkarry of which was assigned over to this Collectorate in 1816.

5. By adding to the above sum of Rupees 67,159—4—1 certain items of Extra Revenue, besides the Collection from the sale of Brandy for Medicinal purposes Rupees 2,374—7—7 the expected Revenue from the Shops beyond the Supreme Court limits is raised to Rupees 69,533—11—8

Extra Revenue in proportion to the present years collections 946—6—8
Estimated revenue from temporary shops in Do. 449—15—11
Sales of Brandy in Do. 978—1—0
2,374—7—7
Add 67,159—4—1
69,533—11—8

D.J.

Offers

6. Offers such as I could not accept, having been made at Auction for 1 Colombo and 3 Putta Arrack Shops, I caused it to be intimated that I should be prepared to select them, after the sale, to those willing to enter into engagement on reasonable terms. I escpect that they will be settled in a few days at a Dowle fully equal to that for the present year.

7. The aggregate of the various sources of revenue enumerated in Paras 1-2-3 and 5 above is Rupees 3,68,168-13-10, from which the prime Cost of liquor, together with the escpense of Establishment &c. Rupees 74,237-1-10, being deducted the estimated net Revenue is Rupees 2,93,931-12-0

Total of Gross revenue.

Colombo Arrack Shops	1,00,510-12-5	
Putta Do. within the Supreme Court limits	1,38,124-5-9	
Ditto beyond Ditto including Extra Revenue	69,533-11-8	
	3,68,168-13-10	
Prime Cost of Gl. 46,922-20 of Colombo Arrack at Annas 10 per Gl.	29,326-9-10	
Ditto of Gl. 92,969-5 of Putta Arrack at Annas 6-3 per Gl.	36,154-10-7	
Establishment at Rupees 616 per month	7,392-0-0	
Sanderward	120-0-0	
Price of Brandy &c.	1,243-14-3	
	74,237-1-10	
Net Revenue	2,93,931-12-0	

8. The estimated Toddy revenue, according to the Settlement now in force, is Rupees 2,31,257-14-8. From this is to be deducted the escpense of the Establishment &c. Rs. 6,372 which would leave a Net Revenue of Rupees 2,24,885-14-8.

Toddy.

Estimated Revenue	2,31,257-14-8	
Deduct.		
Establishment at Rupees 528-8-0 per month	6,342-0-0	
Sanderward	30-0-0	
	6,372-0-0	
Net Revenue	2,24,885-14-8	

The

9- The Net Abkarry revenue according to the Settlement and Estimates specified above, is Rupees 5,18,817-10-8, which exceeds the amount of the Settlement for the present Fusly by Rupees 21-2-3, and is beyond the actual net Collections, including those which will be made to the end of the year, by Rupees 19,924-11-3.-

Total Estimated Net Revenue-	
Arrack	2,93,931-12-0
Toddy	2,24,885-14-8
	5,18,817-10-8
Amount Settlement of Fusly 1258	5,18,796-8-5
Ditto of present Settlement	5,18,817-10-8
Increase	21-2-3
Net Revenue-	
Actual Collections of Fusly 1258	4,98,892-15-5
Amount of present Settlement	5,18,817-10-8
Increase	19,924-11-3

10- I beg leave therefore, to recommend that the arrangements now made may be confirmed by the Board.-

Madras, 4th July, 1849.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston.
Collector.

Proceedings thereon-1.

(47-48)

With the exception of the three Shops at Emore referred to in para 4, the Board of Revenue sanction the arrangements submitted by the Collector of Madras in the foregoing letter for the Settlement of the Abkarry and Toddy Shops of his District for Fusly 1259, the gross revenue being estimated at Rupees 598,638-15-10.-

2. With respect to the 3 Shops at Emore the Board desire to be informed whether the Single putt Shops have yet been withdrawn, and if so, when and under what

circumstances. They likewise wish to know whether the Collector of that District has raised any, and if so, what objections to the new Shops proposed to be established by the Collector of Madras.- and how the Madras Abkarry limits are to be understood to run- whether according to the transfer of 1816 or according to the usage of late years, as directed by the proceedings of this Office under date 6th July 1843 Para 4.-

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

(49)

To The Acting Collector of Ganjam.-

To the Collectors of Ganjam Bellary and Masulipatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request, that you will submit for their sanction, your report, of the offers received for renting the Abkarry Farms of your District for Fusly 1259, and to call your attention to their standing orders, dated 13th July 1826, which require that these reports should be furnished in sufficient time, to enable the Board, to issue final instructions before the close of the Fusly.-

DESPATCHED ORIGINAL

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 12th July, 1849.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

30th June in Cons:
5th July 1849.-

Read Return of Persons charged with offences and tried under Regulation IX of 1822 in the District of South Arcot during the month of May 1849.-

50

Proceedings thereon-

The Board of Revenue observe that in No. 9 of the above Return Column III the manner in which Government were defrauded, whether by concealing the Cultivation of land, changing its class or other means is not stated.- This specification should always be made in describing the nature of the "class of offence." The attention of the Collector is called to this point in the preparation of his future Returns.-

DECD

Circular.-

51

Circular to all Collectors and Government Agents.

Sir,

I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased in future to furnish with your Summabundy Report a Statement shewing in detail the various items of Sevoy Summah collected in the preceding fusly and the balance.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th July 1849.-

(Signed) F. Pyers Jr.
Secretary.-

From the Collector of Madura.-

52

Read the undermentioned Lists.-

List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered

DESD

53

From the Collector of Madura.- List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1849.

54

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.- List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1849.-

55

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.- List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June 1849.-

52-56

Order on the 4 abovementioned Lists.- Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the undermentioned.-

57.

From the Collector of Guntoor.- Miscellaneous letters and Statements.- Statement of spirituous liquor recommended for confiscation for the month of January last.

57-58

Order thereon.- Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled liquor enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.-

59

From the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore.- Reporting that no person was charged or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last.-

60

From the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore.- Reporting that no persons were confined in nor released from Jail during the quarter ending 30th June last.-

61

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore.- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of May last.-

62

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore.- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last.-

Reporting

(63)

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Reporting that no persons were confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the months of May and June last.

(64)

From the Civil Auditor. Indent on the Commissary General for 3 Table Tr. Pipes required for the use of his Office.

(64. 65.)

Order thereon. Ordered that no original Indent be passed and returned.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Comp. Dn. Civil.

" G. D. Drury

" Goodenough.

Diary

12th July 1849. Received the following Extract

A. 450

(1)

From the Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th July 1849.

Read the following letter from the Register to the Court of Sudder Adalut.

Transmitting a General Statement Shewing the number of Persons Confined in the Civil Department in the Several Jails under the Presidency of Fort St. George and the nature of their debts, and the period they have been in confinement made up to the 31st December 1848.

(Fore under 30th June 1849 No. 751)

2 The persons confined for arrears of Revenue were all in Mangalore, of whom one named Ramiahetty has been more than a year in incarceration for arrears, Amounting only to Rupees 22 — 2 — 8. The case of this individual Seems deserving of consideration and the Board of Revenue are

are requested to give their attention to it

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery

Secretary to Government

Order thereon.

(1. 2.)

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with a request that he will report at an early date upon the case of the defaulter referred to by Government.

14th July 1849.

Received the following letter

To.

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(3)

From H. Stokes Esqre — Collector of Puntoor — } Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated the 5th Instant, requesting me to state whether Mr Newills absence would interfere with the prosecution of his Survey of the Krishna River, and to submit the accompanying copy of a letter from Mr Newill on this subject, from which the Board will observe that the state of the River is not likely for some Months to come, to admit of the boundary line being laid down —

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

In

2 In recommending Mr Newills application, I acted mainly under the conviction that unless leave be granted on private affairs, he might be compelled to go away, for a longer time on sick certificate, as some relief from his heavy work seems very desirable for him, both for the sake of his health, which has for some time been delicate and considerations of a domestic nature, and for these reasons, though Mr Newills Assistance will be greatly missed, I trust the Government will grant the leave solicited.

3 In a separate Communication I shall state the aid which the exigencies of the District seem now to require.

Puntoor Collectors Office.
Hungulagherry —— } /Signed/ H. Stokes
11th July 1849 —— } Collector

No 4

To

H. Stokes Esqre

Collector of Puntoor

Sir,

Referring to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated

dated 5th Instant requesting to be informed whether a compliance with my request for leave of absence would interfere with the prosecution of the work directed in the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th Ultimo I have the honor to state that the River freshes for the next three Months will not admit of my satisfactorily tracing and defining the river boundary between the two Districts in the manner approved by the Government and that it is probable some little will elapse before the information and papers considered desirable for the purpose can be furnished to me and further that I am induced to make this application for leave upon the advice of the Medical Officer here with regard to my own health at this trying season of the year as well as for other private considerations; and I do not think the completion of the duty entrusted to me will be eventually retarded by my absence at the present time.

Mean while the Assistant Surveyor attached to me will be employed in the details of the Survey of the River bank

banks and other preliminary work which can be conducted in communication with me and will facilitate the speedy prosecution of the work after my return.

Pundoor Gillah,
Head Assistant,
Collectors Office,
10th July 1849.

(Signed) W. Newill
Dy. Asstt. Collector

(A true Copy)
(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

14th July 1849.

Proceedings thereon:- Ordered that the foregoing letters be Submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable Consideration of Government.

(3-4)

2 It will be observed that a compliance with Mr. Newills request will not interfere with the prosecution of the Survey of the Kistnah

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

At a Meeting Present

Monday 16th July 1849

J. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member
Waller Elliot Esqre Second Member
and
J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved

Read the following Extract

No. 279.

5

From the Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th May 1849.

Read the following

To H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Secretary to Government
in the Judicial Department

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th March 1849 No. 270 communicating Copy of a Dispatch from the Honorable the Court Directors dated the 29th November 1848 No. 19 on the subject of the prohibition of Native Servants to hold lands in the Districts in which they are employed and requesting the Court of Sudr Udalut to submit for the approval

approval of Government the Draft of a Circular order to that effect having reference to Servants on the Judicial Establishment I am directed by the Judges to submit the accompanying Draft of a Circular order framed in conformity to the wishes of the Honorable Court.

Sudr Udalut
Registers Office
23d April 1849

Signed T. H. Davidson
Register

Ordered to lie over till the receipt of a Similar Circular from the Board of Revenue having reference to Servants on the Revenue Establishments.

Signed H. C. Montgomery
Secy to Government

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in consequence.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

5-6

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

With reference to Para 9. Extract Minutes Consultation 20th March 1849 Communicating Copy of para 20 & 24 of an

in Cons 14th June 1849

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

an Extract from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors under date 29th November 1848. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the approval of Government, the accompanying Draft of a Circular order to be issued to the local Revenue Officers, on the subject of lands held by public Servants in the Revenue Department.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
16th July 1849 ——— } Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

No. 1

Circular to all Collectors.

Para 1. Some Correspondence having lately passed between the Government of this Presidency and the Honorable the Court of Directors respecting the holding or acquisition of landed property by native Revenue Servants in the Districts within which they may be employed, and the Honorable Court having been pleased to permit such holding or acquisition under such restrictions as may be necessary to secure the due efficiency of the public Service, I am directed by the Board

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

Board of Revenue under orders from Government, to forward for your guidance the subjoined instructions to which you are required to pay particular attention, and which are to be received in supercession of all previous regulations upon the subject. The rules are to be regarded as applying to all Sheristadars, Tahsildars, Goomastahs, Jawabnuvises, Peishcars, Carcoons, Rayasums, Sumpratties and generally to all Officers upon Collectors Hoozoor and Talook Revenue Establishments above the rank of Peons.

Rule 1. No Native Revenue Servant shall acquire by private purchase, bargain, mortgage, transfer, or by any means directly or indirectly any landed property or interest in any landed property within the District in which he may be employed until he shall first have communicated to the Collector of his District all particulars connected with such landed property or interest in such landed property and with the proposed mode of acquiring it, and until he shall have obtained the sanction of the Collector for the acquisition.

Rule 2. Where the Collector may entertain

no

no doubt in regard to the propriety of acceding to the Application made to him under the preceding Section he shall at his discretion grant or withhold his assent to such applic= =ation. In cases which seem to him to be doubt= =ful, he shall submit the matter to the Board of Revenue for their orders—

Rule 3 Where Revenue Servants at = present upon a Collector's Hoozoor or Taloot Establish= =ments shall hereafter become possessed of land or of an interest in land by inheritance or as = Members of a family, they shall, immediately = upon such property devolving upon them, communi= =cate all particulars respecting it to the Collector for Registry in his Office.

Rule 4. In like manner when parties holding land or having any interest in land may be ap= =pointed to Revenue employment in a Collectors = Hoozoor or Taloot Establishment, they shall, upon such appointment communicate to the Collector for registry, all particulars connected with the lands which they may possess or in which they may have an interest—

Rule 5 Collectors shall keep a Register in their Office, according to the annexed form,

of all lands referred to in the preceding three paras Viz.t. of such as may have been acquired with their permission. Of such as may have be= =longed to Native Revenue Officers at the = time when they entered the revenue service of Government and of such as may have = devolved upon them after engaging in it. In Submitting to the Board of Revenue his annual Report upon the Settlement of his District, each Collector shall append to it an Extract from such Register shewing the entries made in it = during the Year under review. He shall also certify at the foot of the Extract that the rules prohibiting the acquisition of landed = property by Revenue Servants without permission have been duly enforced throughout his District=

Rule 6. All native revenue servants now upon a Collectors Hoozoor or District Establish= =ment who may be possessed of land, or may hold an interest in land situated within their = respective Districts shall within the period of twelve Months from the date on which these rules may be promulgated in their Districts, deliver in for registry in the Collectors Cutcherry, a = full and Exact return of all the landed property which they may hold or in which they may be interested—

Note—

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

Note. You are perhaps aware that this order is but the revival of one to the same effect issued by the Board of Revenue so far back as 7th August 1826. The requisition however is believed to have been altogether disregarded in some Districts and imperfectly attended to in any. It is now to be rigidly and strictly enforced. You will however be careful to inform all your Revenue Servants that it is not intended to punish them for having hitherto neglected to register their lands or for having acquired land without permission. but that any attempts at evasion or concealment will inevitably be followed by the exclusion of the offenders from the public service. You will state in your Settlement Report for the approaching Fusly 1259, whether a full account of their landed property, has as required, been furnished by your Revenue Servants.

Rule 7. Native Revenue Servants who under the Rules above prescribed may be permitted to acquire or retain lands or interest in lands, shall not under any circumstances take a direct part in the Cultivation of such lands. It will also be the duty of Collectors to make such arrangements as shall as far as possible prevent the employment of their Native Revenue Subordinate Officers in the Talook within which their lands may be situated or in such appointments as may enable them to interfere in the Management of those lands.

Rule 8. The above rules are to apply only to lands acquired by private sale, mortgage

you

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

or Section XX Regulation XXVI 1802 prohibits native revenue Officers from becoming purchasers of lands sold at public sale in the Zillahs in which they may be respectively employed or of lands liable to public sale for arrears of Revenue then due. and by Regulation VII 1832 this prohibition is declared to extend to lands of every description sold at public sale by or under the orders of a Collector whether in Settled or in unsettled Districts. These prohibitions still remain in full force.

Para 2. You will be careful to cause the above orders to be generally known throughout your District, will have translations fixed upon in your Hoozoor and Talook Kutcheries and will adopt every means to give the utmost publicity to the rules and to prevent ignorance or imperfect information respecting them being hereafter pleaded in excuse for their being disregarded.

Jo

10541

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

Read the following

Resolution

From the Secty to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th July 1849 No 621.

(From Board 7th June)

A First Dresser having already been appointed at the Request of the Special Agent to afford Medical aid to himself and his Establishment, The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is unwilling to cancel the present arrangement unless after sufficient trial it be found not to answer the purpose intended

Signed H. B. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

Ordered

10540

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

No 3 Register of Lands within the District of Tanjore
Servants of the Honble the English East India Company
Assignments to their Sardeeny &c &c into Pensions

Name of Pensioner	Office	Salary	Lands assigned	By what Authority assigned	When assigned and whether from Sardeeny or Subsistence &c
1	2	3	4	5	6
Tevanayagum	Peon	4	Nativity Lands	Nature of Tenure not known	

N.B. No Return called for in Rule 6 may also be drawn up for this Form

Read.

10542 Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

Order thereon

7-8

Ordered to be communi=
=cated for the information of the Special
Agent at Vizianagram with reference
to his letter dated 17th May last.

Read the following Extract

From the Secy. to Government.

9

Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation dated 4th July 1849 No. 26.

No. 2385.

Extract from the Minutes of Consul=
=tation in the Military Department
dated 23d June 1849.

Read the following Papers-

From His Excellency the
Commander in Chief
Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation in the
Revenue Department.

(Here enter 21st
May 1849)

(Here enter 31st Do.
Do. No. 532.)

Resolved that the foregoing papers
be

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

be forwarded to the Military Board with
instructions, in communication with Major
Cotton and the Acting Principal Collector
of Coimbatore, to make arrangements for
preparing a supply of timber for the pro=
=posed Barracks on the Nailgherries, should
they on investigation find that timber per=
=fectly fit for the purpose can be obtained
on the Hills-

Resolved further, that
2
the necessary steps be taken in the
Revenue Department for obtaining with
the free will and perfect concurrence
of the Burghers, so as to prevent all
future complaints or representations
=sipession of the whole of the ground refer=
=red to in the Quarter Master Generals
letter 23d September 1848 Minutes of
Consultation 26th October 1848 No. 4458. as
required for Military purposes-

The Extract from the
3
Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue
Department above recorded will also be
Communicated to His Excellency the Com=
=mander in Chief-

Order=

10544 Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

4 Orders will be passed hereafter upon the other points which have been noticed by His Excellency the Commander in Chief -

/Signed/ C. A. Browne Lieut. Col.
Secretary to Government

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue -

/Signed/ H.B. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

9-10

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to the Board's letter and Proceedings of 21st May and 11th June respectively -

2 Mr Thomas will observe that the Government are desirous of obtaining with the free will and perfect concurrence of the Burghers, so as to prevent all future complaints or representations, possession of the whole of the ground referred to in the papers forwarded to him with the Board's Proceedings of 13th November 1848.

Read -

10545 Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

11

Read the following Resolution.

From the Secy. to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th July 1849. No. 630.

(From Board 18th June)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves on a Consideration of the papers submitted with the foregoing Proceedings to recommend for the Sanction of the Government of India the continuance of a reduced allowance of Rupees /2-8-0/ Two, and annas eight per mensem to the eldest Son of the late Yeomiahdar as proposed by the Board of Revenue - In the meantime he directs that the moiety of the Yeomiah enjoyed by Ragavendra Chary the brother of the deceased under the Sanction of Government dated 18th July 1820 be continued to him in arrear from the date on which payment appears to have been suspended by the Acting Collector -

/Signed/ H.B. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

11-12

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter

13
From the Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th July 1849 No. 638.

/From Board 28th June/

Resolved accordingly that the Smaller of the two Villages named Tanlackody of the Estimated annual value of x. Pagodas (169-25-40) One hundred and Sixty Nine Fanams Twenty five and Cash forty be continued to the 18 Sharers at present in the enjoyment of the Shrotriem, without any Condition of Service being imposed on the parties and on the understanding that each share will lapse with the life of the incumbent. The Board of Revenue will instruct the Collector of Trichinopoly to have the names of the present holders and their ages carefully registered and to forward a Copy of such List for record in their Office.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

Resolution thereon
13-14

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the previous correspondence

E. B.

To Govt. 31st May 1849.
From Govt. 12th inst. 28th June.
To Govt. 28th June.

correspondence with Government on the subject be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to Mr. Onslow's letter dated 17th May last.

2. Mr. Maltby will be pleased to register the names and ages of the Ferry Emandars furnishing a copy for record in this Office.

15

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 6th July, 1849 - No. 637.

/From Bd. 25th June/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expence of the Suit No. 22 of 1849 instituted against the Collector in the Sub. Court of Madura by Shashummah; but will determine when a copy of the Decree is submitted whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

15. 16

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's

Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madura, with reference to his letter dated 6th Ultimo. Mr. Parker's attention is called to the last para. of the Board's letter now under transmission to him.

17

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th July 1849 - No. 633.

(From Bd. 29th June)

The Board of Revenue will ascertain and state the amount of the yearly in the present currency payable as under the grant submitted by the Collector of Madura, to enable the Government to issue the necessary instructions to the Audit Office. If the yearly is exhibited in the Accounts and brought under Audit, the Board will be pleased to specify the Monthly or annual amount paid to the late incumbent.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

17-18

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura, with reference to his letter dated 6th Ultimo, and with a

A.E.C.S.

request that he will furnish the information called for by Government and that in all future reports or returns respecting the yearly or Cowle of his District, he will state the equivalent in Rupees of the former local currency.

Read the following Extract.

No. 632.

19

From the Secy. to Government containing the observations and orders of Government on the Board's Proceedings reporting on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fusly 1257 and desiring the Collector to ascertain whether the reduction in the price of Tobacco in Cochin has had any considerable effect on the quantity sold where the sales have fallen off, and whether there are other causes for the deficient sales in the chowgaut Talook.

} Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 6th July 1849.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 18th June 1849 No. 259.)

Para 1. In the two most important items of Extra Revenue in Malabar Viz. Salt and Tobacco the Settlement for Fusly 1257 exhibits a considerable increase. In the former the sales exceeded those of Fusly 1256 by 19,007 Rs. and of Fusly 1255 by 63,710 Rs. These increased sales the Governor in Council is glad to observe are attributed by the Collector to the late improvement of the great inland Roads and to the establishment of new depots on the borders of the Cochin Territory. In tobacco the gross increase in the year was Rupees 12,734. The nett Rupees 18,136.

and

and the gross Revenue of Fusly 1257 nearly approached to that of Fusly 1255 when the Tobacco Sales were extraordinarily large.

2. The increase however in these two Sources was more than absorbed by the large decrease in the Sea Customs consequent on the passing of Act VI. of 1848, and the general result of the Settlement of all the branches of the Extra Revenues was Rupees 13,32,665. or Rupees 2,374. less than the demand of Fusly 1256; after deducting the charges the net Revenue was Rupees 13,96,716 less by Rupees 3,918 than that of Fusly 1256.

3. On the 30th April last the balance for the year then outstanding was Rupees 348-13-11. These results are satisfactory to the Government and creditable to the Collector. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the remission of Rupees (104-1-7) One hundred and four anna one, and piee seven the irrecoverable balances appertaining to Fusles 1255 and 1256.

Abharry - 11-9-3.
Moturpha - 88-15-2
Small farms } 8-9-2

4. Referring to Mr. Conolly's letter of the 25th January last and to the Board's observations thereon in the 8th and 9th paras of their Proceedings the Right Honorable the Governor in Council thinks that although it

it would not be expedient in the present state of the question regarding the Tobacco Monopoly to urge on the Cochin Circar any proposition for raising the price of their Tobacco, it would not be objectionable for the Collector of Malabar to ascertain from the Resident whether the reduction of price of which he speaks has had any considerable effect on the quantity sold, especially in the neighbourhood of that part of the Malabar District where the falling off of the Government sales attracted the Collector's attention. The Board, it is observed, seem to think that there may be other causes for the deficient sales in the Chowghaut Talooks, and this also the Collector should ascertain.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

19-20

Order thereon.

1. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabar, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 18th Ultimo.

2. Mr. Conolly will be pleased to address the Resident at Cochin and the subject referred to in para 4 - and his

his attention is called to the closing part of the same para.

21

From the Secretary to Government.

No. 639.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 7th July 1849.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue / Here enter 21st June 1849 No. 263).

Para 4. For the reasons adduced in support of the Board's recommendations in the two cases submitted in the foregoing Proceedings, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the portions of the Enams, Viz^t. A. 20-17-14¼ valued at Rupees 153-9-10- which was enjoyed by the late Makacalá Narsimbutlee be continued to his daughter-in-law Lutchmee Nurrummah for her life; and that the Collector of Cuddapah be instructed to resume the whole of the Enams valued at Rupees 73-5-10. which have lapsed by the death of Seebannah the last incumbent, without issue or any relatives; excepting that one half Share or Rupees 4-6-2. held by C. Nursingarow in the lands at Sanapaula Chevay which will be continued to him for life as recommended by the Board of Revenue.

The

The Governor in Council

2.

is of opinion that the portions alienated as in the first case, being two thirds of the original extent of the Enam, if re-cently transferred to parties who are in no way related to the late Grantee, should not be permitted to continue beyond the lives of the present holders.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery

Secretary to Government.

21-22

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to his Statements dated 31st January and 10th May last. Mr. Cochrane's attention is called to para 2.

Read the following Resolutions.

23

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 7th July, 1849. No. 640.

(From B^d. 28th June.)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be made on Petition No. 1441. of 1848.

The Governor in Council having received through the Board of Revenue a full report from the Collector of Canara

of

of the circumstances stated by Bapah rahb in his Petition entered on the 14th August 1848, sees no valid grounds for disturbing the Collector's decision.—

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

23 - 24

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 26th January last.

25

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 10th July 1849 — No. 642 —

/ From 28th June /

Resolved That the Collector of Rajahmundry be authorized to pay to his Head Sheristadar C. Narasinga Row from the 6th May 1849 the increased Salary of 330 Rupees per mensem to which he became entitled on that date on completing the prescribed period of 5 years service as Sheristadar subject however to verification by the Audit Office under the orders of Government quoted at Page 90 of the Compilation No. 6.

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

25 - 26

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for

the information and guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to his letter dated 14th Ultimo.

Read the following Extract.

No. 645.

27

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 10th July 1849.

Read the following

Directing that the sub Collector in charge of Nellore will resume the Shrotriem and 2 Canarese Enams in his District granted for the maintenance of the Choultry at Rungaraickalapett and the water Pendal at Golgamoody.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 14th June 1849 No. 253. /

Para 1. Without questioning the validity of the grants which seems to be doubtful, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council quite agrees with the Board of Revenue that as the buildings for the maintenance of which the Shrotriem and two Enams were originally granted have been allowed to fall to decay, and the Endowments have since been converted to the private use

* Choultry at Rungaraickalapett and Water Pendal at Golgamoody.

use and benefit of the holders there can be no reason for any longer continuing the grants, especially as the parties have failed after due notice to re establish the charities on their proper footing; and he resolves accordingly to direct that the Shrotriems and Enams be resumed as escheats under Sections IV. to VI of Regulation VII of 1817.

2. As no inconvenience seems to have been hitherto felt from the want of a Choultry at Ruganaikapetta, now the site of a private dwelling, the Government see no necessity for re establishing it, or the Water Pandal at the Village of Golagamoody in the Nellore District.

3. The Government observe that the Board have called for enquiry regarding the Village of Cacootoor enjoyed by Poroshatums Puntulavaru whom

whom they presume to be the late Head Sheristadar of the Nellore District.

(A True Extract)

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Secretary to Government.

27-28.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Sub Collector in Charge of Nellore, with reference to Mr. Smith's Statement dated 28th March last.

Extract

29

No. 643.

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 10th July, 1849.

Communicating the observations and orders of Govt. respecting the Continuance of certain Poligar Pensions to the families of deceased incumbents in the District of Cuddapah.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Vide Para 1. / Here enter 21st June 1849 No. 789. /

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the sum of Rupees 23-6-4. per Mensem proposed by the Board of Revenue to be resumed on the pension enjoyed by the late Comara Mulla Reddy, Poligar of Coyalwada, is the increase sanctioned by the Government of India for the Poligar's life, as recommended by this Government, and must therefore be considered to have ceased from the 10th February 1849, the date of his decease, and the Governor in Council cannot consistently recommend to the Government of India that any portion of this sum which was granted to the deceased expressly "as a mark of approbation for the service rendered by him during the disturbances" in Cuddapah, should now be distributed as proposed by the Collector among the sons of the rebel Narsimmah Reddy who was executed for the prominent part taken by him in those disturbances.

The

† 26th June 1849 No. 551.

2.

The remaining portion of the allowance which was enjoyed by the deceased Poligar under the original† grant will be divided and continued for the support of his family and to the brother that heretofore participated in it, as recommended by the Board. Rupees 11-10-8. per Mensem to Ramma, the widow and Nagummah daughter of the deceased, during their lives. to be paid in arrear from the 10th February 1849 the date of Lapse. Rupees 11-10-8 per Mensem, the share enjoyed by Vurtha Reddy the brother of the Poligar to be continued. to him in arrear from the same date, subject to reconsideration upon his decease under the terms of the Original grant.

† dated 8th Feb. 1809. No. 3 in No. Pol. Register X.

3.

The Governor in Council concurs in the opinions expressed by the Collector and the Board in the other two cases reported in para 7 to 10 of the Proceedings under consideration, and accordingly directs that the

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

the pensions of the deceased incumbents be resumed from the date of lapse Viz.

Rupees 30. per Mensem paid to the late Mullammah, widow of the Poligar of Toomulcoady. from the 25th July 1848, the date of her decease Rupees 35. per Mensem paid to the late ex Doddummah, widow of Chinna Mullah Reddy of Oyalmada. from the 2nd October 1848 the date of her decease.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Secretary to Government

29-30

Order thereon.-

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Cuddapah, with reference to his 3 Statements dated respectively 16th and 22nd May last.-

Read

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th July, 1849 No. 641-

(From Bd. 2d July)

31

From the Secretary to Govt. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Refund of the fines recommended in the foregoing proceedings amounting to Rupees 137 (Thirty seven)

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Secretary to Government

31-32

Order thereon.- Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Salem, with reference to his letter dated 11th Ultimo.-

33

Read the following Extracts-

From the Secy. to Government approving of the arrangements made by the Board and Mr. Elliot respecting the Establishment & Pension for the Mission to the Northern Circars-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th July, 1849 No. 646-

Read the following Papers.

From H. Elliot Esqre. 2d Member Bd. of Revenue (Here enter 7th June 1849 No.)

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue. (Here enter 7th Dec. 1848 No.)

Memo

From the Acting Secretary Marine Board. (Here Enter 9th Dec. 1848 No. 36)

Para 1 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the arrangements made by the Board of Revenue for

Suspending

supplying a portion of their Establishments to accompany the 2d Member in his Mission to the Northern Districts. The persons so selected will still be considered to form part of the Board's fixed establishment, and the Governor in Council readily accedes to the propositions of the Board regarding them, contained in the 2d para of Mr. Bycroft's letter.

2 The Governor in Council also approves and sanctions the scale of allowances proposed by Mr. Elliot in the 17th para of his communication for the Servants forming the fixed Establishment taken from the Office of the Board of Revenue amounting to Rupees 973 Nine hundred and seventy three per Mensem, of which Rupees 638-8-0 being already a charge on the Government, the difference Rupees 355 will be the additional expenditure. He further sanctions the Extra Establishments proposed in the same para to be newly entertained at a Monthly Charge of Rupees 600 Six hundred, thus making on the whole the additional Monthly expenditure Rupees 955 Nine hundred and fifty five.

3 As Recommended by the Board

Board of Revenue the Governor in Council authorizes an advance of Rupees 1821 Five hundred and twenty one to the persons mentioned in the 5th para of their letter, and empowers the Commissioner to arrange after his arrival at Vizagapatam for the payment at the Presidency of a portion of the Salaries assigned to the fixed Establishment.

4 With reference to the question of Batta to the Superior Servants and others which is adverted to by the Board of Revenue in para 4 the Governor in Council is of opinion that when in actual circuit from the Commissioner's Head Quarters travelling batta should be allowed to his Establishment according to the rules sanctioned by Government on the 9th February 1830 No 114.

5 With reference to paras 23 and 24 of Mr. Elliot's communication, the Governor in Council considers it highly expedient that the valuable Records which it will be necessary to take with him be despatched to Vizagapatam in the "Hugh Lindsay". He also thinks it desirable that Mr. Elliot should himself take an early opportunity of

10504 Fort St. George 18th July 1849

of Commencing his duties and referring to the information given in the Memorandum from the Marine Board of the 9th Instant and to the early necessity for the Renewal of the Crew of the "Hugh Lindsay" the Governor in Council desires that the Marine Board will in Communication with Mr. Elliot arrange for that Vessel proceeding at an early date to the Northern Ports and obtain for her all available freight and provide for the execution of any public Service on her return Voyage that may be required.

The remaining subjects noticed by Mr. W. Elliot will be reserved for future Consideration.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded, a Bill will be prepared for the advance to the Establishment proceeding with Mr. Elliot and presented for payment.

Extract

10505 Fort St. George 18th July 1849

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th July 1849 No. 1252.

35

Read the following letter from Syed Budrooddeen and others late Enamdars of Copulpaud in Rurnool submitting a Petition for transmission to the Honble Court stating that under the Nabob's Government no tax was levied on their Village, that they were forced to resign it for the arrears of Quit rent due to the Company's Government and praying that in Consideration of their distressed Condition the Village may be restored to them without arrears.

(Here enter 18th June 1849)

Para 1 Before transmitting to its address the Memorial submitted by Syed Budrooddeen and others late holders of the Shotrium Village of Copulpaud, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to forward one Copy to the Board of Revenue with a request that they will call upon the Agent at Rurnool to furnish for the information of the Honorable Court a full report on the Circumstances set forth by the Memorialists in regard to the tenure on which the Village was originally held and the subsequent imposition

Fort St George 16th July 1849

imposition of a Jodigy during the late Nawab's administration and its enhancement on the assumption of the territory by the British Government - If the original Summud for the Village is forthcoming the Board will instruct the Agent to furnish a Copy and translation of the document with his report

2 The Agent should be required to report at the same time upon the present state and resources of the Village, the Revenue derived from it under the management of the Government Officers since its relinquishment by the Memorialists, and the Jodigy fixed upon it by the late Commissioner in Kurnool -

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H.B. Montgomery
Secy to Govt

To The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue -

Order thereon -

35. 36

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation together with the original Memorial which accompanied it be transmitted to the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool with a request that he will Report fully on the several points adverted to by Government and forwarded at the same time Copy and translation

Fort St George 16th July 1849

of the Summud under which the Village is held should one be forthcoming -

37

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th July, 1849 - No. 650 -

Read the following letter -

from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Recd 28th May 1849 No. 225)

Para 1 Altho' it has not been ascertained on what grounds the party to whom the Board of Revenue propose to restore the Enaum rests his title to the succession, yet as he was in possession at the period they were attached by the acting Collector and seems to have held them for some time previously the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will not now direct any further investigation into the case, and he desires that as recommended by the Board the Collector of Trichinopoly may be instructed to release the lands from attachment and make them over to the late holder, and at the same time to inform the Petitioner, Ayagalick Mahadana Dachadur, that he is permitted

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

permitted by Government to sue in the Civil Court for the recovery of the Enams.

2 The continuance of the Enams beyond the life of either party will be a question for the Consideration of Govern=ment on the occurrence of the next lapse.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) W. C. Montgomery

Secry to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

37. 38

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Con=sultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be Communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to the Board's letter dated 30th April last.

2. Mr. Mattey will inform Agagalick Mahadawa Dachadar of the above decision of Government. The original documents Connected with this case are Returned herewith.

Read

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

Read the following letters.

To T. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

39

From the Collr in charge of Ganjam — Submitting a report on Petitions of Ms 1342 of 1847 and 66 of 1849 addressed to the Board of Revenue by Messrs Madoosoodana Putnaik —

Sir

I have the honor to submit to you a brief report for the information of the Board of Revenue on the Petitions of Ms 1342 of 1847 and 66 of 1849 noted in the Margin / herewith returned / as called for in your letters of the 2d September 1847 and 22d of February last.

2 The Petitioner Madoosoodana Putnaik claims the Curumuship of the Villages of Paikjemoonah Cootajemoonah and Sorejoonioonah Gouindpore in Hautghur Zemindary, as the adopted son of Trelo=chana Putnaik the lineal descendant of Soonamath Putnaik on whom the office was first Conferred by the then Rajah, and in opposition to Hunmo Mahanty the Goomastah of Lodoodajo descended in the female line from the same original Ancestor and whose (Lodoodajo's) father

Dayanady

Forte St. George 15th July 1849

Dayanady Dass was appointed to the office by Petitioner's adoptive father many years ago with the Concurrence of the Rajah on an agreement that he should receive from him Rupees 10 annually and that the Remainder of the Fees derivable from the Villagers should be Dayanady Dass' perquisites for Conducting their affairs, which Petitioner's adoptive father was unable to look after himself owing to his holding a separate Employment in the Rajah's household.

3 The Petitioner's adoptive father and after his death the Petitioner received the above sum of Rupees 10 annually until the death of Dayanady Dass, and in 1845 after being relieved of his office under the Rajah was placed in actual possession of the Curmumship by the Ameen of the Zemindary then under Management, but the opposite party Lodondapa by his Gomastah Hunmoo Ruhunty having addressed the agent, the act of the Ameen was considered unauthorized and on the further ground of long personal enjoyment, the Petitioner was ordered to

Fort St. George 15th July 1849

to be vested and the duties of the Curmumship to be performed as heretofore by Lodondapa's Gomastah Hunmoo Mahunty.

4 The Petitioner is in possession of the original Grant conferring the Curmumship on his ancestor and also of a deed executed by Dayanady Dass agreeing to Conduct the Duties in subordination to him and to give a true account of his Receipts. I would accordingly recommend, as no inquiry testing the validity of this latter Document has yet been instituted though the payments referred to by Petitioner are acknowledged to have been made, that the present holder of the Curmumship should be called on to state whether he admits its genuineness or not, and in case he should do so, or on his declining, sufficient proof to support it be advanced by Petitioner that the latter should be placed in possession and the opposite party instructed to seek redress by Civil process.

5 With regard to Petitioner's allegations against the Revenue Jamabandies it appears unnecessary to refer to them

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

thers as that individual has since died -

Vide Sub Secretary's letter dated 27th February 1849 -

6 The other Petition No. 1341 of 1847 received with your letter first adverted to having been disposed of by the Board does not call for further explanation.

Ganjam District, Collr. & Agent's office, Chittoor, 6th June 1849

(Signed) C. D. Shubrick's
Dy. Asst. Collr. & Agent in Charge of Ganjam.

Proceedings thereon - The Board of Revenue observe that the Villages to the Zemindary of which the Petitioner in No. 1340 of 1847 lays claim are situated in the Hautghur Zemindary and as that Estate is Comprised in the Government Agency the Course suggested by Mr. Shubrick in Para 5 of the above letter might involve a double investigation of the case by the Agent - the first inquiry or that for the purpose of determining the genuineness of the deed executed by Dayadany desposing made by that Officer (apparently) in his Revenue - that which he would have to hold in the event of the present incumbent being ejected and seeking redress by the Civil process, being conducted by him in his Judicial capacity - It appears to the Board to be the more simple course, that the Petitioner

39-40

Fort St. George 16th July 1849 -

Petitioner should be directed to bring a suit at once according to the principle of Section XI Regulation XXV 1802 for the recovery of the Zemindary and that the validity of his documents and the nature of his own title and that of his opponent should be investigated at the same time and definitively settled.

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on Petitions No. 1340 of 1847 & 66 of 1849 -

"Petitioner is referred to Collector to whom instructions have been sent on the subject of Petitioner's Case." -

To F. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

41

From the Asst. Collr. & Agent to the Governor in Ganjam

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to lay before the Board of Revenue, that under the instructions conveyed in their letter of the 22nd April 1844, the Sum of Rupees 2,00,000 Surplus Funds of the Purlah Zemindary was

was invested in a Promissory Note No. 3150 of the 4 per Cent Loan of 1842/43, by the Acting Collector Mr. Anstruther in the name of the Rajah, without taking the necessary precaution to procure the Rajah's endorsement for the accuring Interest being made payable at the General Treasury Fort Saint George, and that the Interest has consequently never been drawn but allowed to accumulate from the date of the Loan acknowledgment the 13th May 1844, up to the present moment (except the amount then drawn in advance) without any measures being adopted for making it payable in this Presidency or having it remitted from Calcutta.-

2. The Sums subsequently subscribed have been invested in the name of "the Collector and Agent to the Governor of Fort Saint George in Ganjam for the time being" and the Interest thereon regularly received from the Government Agents has been carried to the Credit of the Zemindary Inam accordingly beg of you to obtain the Board's permission for

for the Promissory Note in question being transmitted to Calcutta and exchanged for another, made payable as above, which I should think might be done without much difficulty if the circumstances under which the original subscription was effected are explained, as also that the Rajah is himself perfectly unable to make the necessary application.-

3. The interest now due is for nearly five years and if the Suggestion I have offered is not sanctioned, or some better and more regular arrangement authorized, I see no means of preventing its accumulation to an extent, which neither the Board nor the Government could approve of, or I presume have ever contemplated.-

Ganjam Agents Office Chitterpore, 4th July 1849. — } (Signed) H. I. Shubrick Esq. Ag. Coll. & Agent to the Governor.

Proceedings thereon.- The Board of Revenue observe that under Clause II Section XVI Regulation V 1804.- all public Security which may be purchased from the Surplus Funds of estates belonging to disqualified proprietors should be transmitted to the Court of Wards for

41-42

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.-

for the purpose of being deposited in the Government Treasury. The Acting Collector and Agent in Ganjam is therefore requested to forward to this Office the Promissory Note No. 3150 of the 4 per % Loan of 1842/43, and the Board will apply to Government, in order that a fresh note be obtained in the name of the Secretary of the Court of Wards on behalf of the Purlah Kimedy Zemindary or his order, and that on receipt from Calcutta it may be deposited in the Treasury as required by the Regulation, and the Interest be regularly drawn by this Office. A similar course as regards the custody of the Securities should also be adopted in respect to the other bonds referred to in Para 2 of Mr. Shubrick's letter and in respect to any Notes in deposit in the Collector or Agent's Treasury on account of other Estates under management from whatever cause. The securities should be endorsed in the name of "the Secretary to the Court

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.-

Court of Wards" or "Secretary to the Board of Revenue" according to circumstances - "for the time being or his order," and should be transmitted to this Office. the notes being a certificate in half. one set of halves forwarded at once. and the other as soon as intelligence has been received of the safe arrival of the first.-

Read the undermentioned letters:

43 From the Coll.r of Ganjam. Reporting on the results of his Examination into the state of the old balances of land revenue &c. outstanding in the Government Talooks of Ganjam.-

44 From the Coll.r of Ganjam. Submitting the annual accounts of the Purlah Kimedy Zemindary under Management for Fusles 1255-56 and 57 with certain Explanations connected therewith.-

45 From the Collector of Vizagapatam } Reporting on the Minors Estates of his District for Fusly 1257.-

46 From the Agent at Vizagapatam } Remarks upon Mr. Crozier's letter of the 1st Instant on

on the disposal of Balances on hand of the Enam lands in the Vizianagram Zemindary.-

47

From the Agent at Vizagapatam } Forwarding Mr. Crozier's letter on the subject of the Enam Village balances at present under Zeuft.-

48

From the Special Agent at Vizagapatam } In reference to the disposal of balances under the head of Zeuft Enams.-

49

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Submitting report on the settlement of the attached Estates for Fusly 1257.-

50

From the Collr of Masulipatam. Submitting a report on the Subdivision of Nadadavole Zemindary.-

From.

51

From the Collector of Guntoor. Transmitting in English and Telugoo the Statement of the Cowle lands for Guntoor which ought to have been accompanied the Gunnahundy Report dated 31st October 1848.

43. 52

Order on the 9 abovementioned letters. Ordered that the abovementioned letters and reports be transferred to the Commissioner in the Northern Circars for disposal.-

Read the following letters

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

53

From the Collr of Rajahmundry } soliciting permission for the removal of the Amildar of Peddapore Talook.

Sir

With reference to the enclosed Nº1 Copies of letters from the Sub Collector and the 1st Assistant Civil Engineer of this District complaining of the Conduct of Digavelly Rajana the Amildar of the Peddapore talook I have the honor to state that at the time when he represented himself to be too ill to go to the Amount he set out for the hill Country of Guddenghy on duty of a different description

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

description and that when called to account he has completely failed to justify himself In reply to an order from me dated the 7th instant to deliver charge of his Office to a person whom I deputed to act for him he sends me an Urzee dated the 11th instant Copy and translate of which I enclose, stating that he is now at the annicut and awaits the Sub Collector's orders on the subject I forward this Urzee because it is an illustration (of which there are several Specimens on record) of his want of Common Sense. He is afflicted also with a deafness so complete that not more than one person out of 50 can make himself heard by him and an infirmity of temper that makes him reply as an indignity a loud toned complaint from a ryot These two deficts have gradually been increasing and have almost disqualified him for his present Office But his neglect of duty in the two instances relating to the Maramut Department is the point to which I wish especially to call the attention of the Board for I think it my

No 2

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

my duty to ask permission to dismiss him - as he has borne a fair character I intend to provide for him in an Office of less responsibility and to place a more active man in the Amildarship which he vacates -

Rajahmundry District } Signed T. Prendergast
Cocanada 11th June 1849 } Collector

No 1

To
Thomas Prendergast Esqre
Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir,

1. All the Coolies working at the quarry from the Peddapore Taluk having returned to their Villages and the Tahsildar Digagavilli Rayanah showing no disposition whatever to replace them and adopt measures for preventing similar causes of delay in future I ordered him to proceed in person to Dowlaiswarum and there make the requisite arrangements. —

2. In reply he stated he had a Cough and had sent a Madrassee in his place. —

3 As my object in ordering him personally to proceed on this duty was that he

he might make those arrangements which an inferior Officer would not have authority to do and also that he might be personally interested to save himself similar trouble in future I again directed his obedience to my former order which he has again directly refused-

4th Whether he is unable or unwilling to perform his duty, the result to the public Service is the same and I have the honor to bring the subject to your notice in order that you may have an opportunity of adopting such measures as the actual state of the case may render necessary-

Nursapoor. }
24th May 1849- }

Signed J. H. Forbes
Sub Collector

To

T. Prendergast Esqre
Collector-
Rajahmundry District

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 1st Instant I have the honor to inform you that in the Months of April and May the Amildar of Peddapoor

Peddapoor was furnished in all with the Sum of Rupees 286 for the supply of Choonam to the Chintapilly works and that up to the end of last Month some thing less than 20 Garce had been supplied the Cost of which at 9½ Rupees per Garce amounts to 185 Rupees. For the works at Cavoor I desired him to furnish 120 Bandy loads of Limestone at the rate of 8 annas per Bandy load, for which he received Rupees 30 in advance on the 25th April- after having supplied 70 Bandy loads he suddenly ceased to send any more saying he required a further advance but it is usual for Contractors to furnish the whole of their contract before the entire Sum is paid into their hands and as he had already received half the amount it was his duty to have supplied the remainder for had I advanced the whole sum I should have no hold upon the Contractors for the proper fulfilment of the remainder of their contract- as however the Amildar continued to take no-

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

no notice of my repeated orders for the supply of lime stone and as I foresaw that the works must be brought to a close unless some thing were done - I furnished him with another 30 Rupees bringing his Conduct to your notice at the same time However I beg to inform you that he still will not give me the supply which I must have. Viz. 6 Bandy loads per day, and that what he does send is so mixed with earth that there is much loss sustained in burning it - I have therefore to request you will take the requisite measures to obtain for me from this date the aforementioned supply as I am anxious to get the most important part of the work, Viz. the Floor of the Lock finished as speedily as possible -

Conoor
5th June 1849

[Signed] T. H. Rundall
Lieutt. Asstt. Civil Engineer

No. 2.

To

T. Prendergast Esqre
Collector in the Zillah
of Rajahmundry

An Urzee from Digavilly Rayanah Amildar

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

of Peddapore Talook.

I have on the 8th instant reported to you that I arrived here on the 7th with Coolies for the Annicut as directed by the Sub Collector that upon my visiting the Sub Collector on the 8th he directed me to see the whole of the Annicut business and inform him of the result and that I would accordingly perform it make some arrangement for preventing the escape of the Coolies and return to Peddapore on obtaining leave of that Gentleman, but I have on the night of the 9th Instant received your order of the 7th stating that the Sub Collector informed you that I have neglected to supply Coolies &c. for the work of Annicut according to his Hookoom, that the Assistant Civil Engineer also informed you that I was negligent in daily supplying 6 Cart load of lime stones

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

stones for the construction of the sluices at Covoor and Chintapilly that you have in consequence considered me to be unfit for the discharge of the duties of my situation and appointed Coopooraw as acting Cavalidar with a view to report to the Board of Revenue about me and that I should deliver my charge over to Coopooraw take a receipt from him and attend at your Hoozoor agreeably to the orders of the Sub Collector I have taken care in supplying Coolies &c. but have not neglected at all as I was attacked with severe Cough and flowing blood from the mouth I was unable to follow with the Coolies to Dhavalaswarum as required by his first order but sent my Muddinagar along with the Coolies consequently I did not fail to go myself nor did I detain the Circar business as I subsequently recovered a little health on the 7th Instant took with me some Coolies to complete the number required and make arrangements to prevent them from deserting

I have

Fort St. George 16th July 1849

I have been here from these 5 days & I have not acted contrary to his Commands. Though I received your order regarding my removal I proceeded to the Annicut looked at all the work and I attend here to report to the Sub Collector the result of the same to receive his Commands but I am not living here on any other account. I occasionally reported these circumstances both to you and the Sub Collector agreeably to the Hoo kooms from the Assistant Civil Engineer I sent Chunam to the Sluices at Chintapilly and limestones to those at Covoor but have not neglected at all as that Gentleman lately jailed to send money the watchmen were for a few days unable to supply it for want of other means. If you refer to the account of Chunam and Limestones you will be convinced of the same

I have discharged the Circar duties for a period of 22 years without

without any failure and can now do nothing if removed by you for so trifling a cause since you are fully acquainted with my Circumstances. I never committed irregularity in the Circar duties I have not any interprotectors, or other persons who could recommend me to you — If you think I should be punished for these trifling Cases I trust you will find on considering the above Circumstances that I should only be liable to fine or suspension for some days but that I should not be entirely removed from my situation, I have no other means to subsist upon but service With a view to deliver Charge of my situation immediately obtain a receipt and attend myself at your Koozoor I have this day sent word to the Sub Collector for leave to visit him and give me leave but he did not allow me to present myself before him, I will call on him again tomorrow, report about the amount and return to Peddapore

Peddapore after obtaining his leave I will then deliver my charge, obtain a receipt and attend at your Koozoor Therefore report the above for your information

Signed D. Rajanah
Amildar

Dated Rajahmundry 11th June 1849.

(True Translation)
Signed J. Prendergast
Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(53. 54.)

Under the Circumstances represented by the Collector, the Board of Revenue authorize the removal of Duvvilly Rajanah Amuldar of the Peddapore Taluk, to some less important Situation — It is however understood that Duvvilly Rajanah is to be provided with another appointment immediately on his vacating that which he now holds and that he is not to be kept even temporarily out of employment —

To. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(55)

From the Coll. of Rajahmundry — Sir,

I have received applications from

from Several Merchants for the supply of about 50,000 Bengal Maunds of Salt for exportation to Chittagong but as no Salt has been exported to that place since the year 1824 and there only on one indent from the Bengal Government I have the honor to request I may be favored with the orders of the Board on the subject

2. Should the Board Sanction the exportation I request to be informed at what price the Salt is to be supplied

Rajahmundry District,
Rajahmundry, — —
2d July 1849. — —

/Signed/ T. Rendergast
Collector

Proceedings thereon - Arrangements having already been made with the Collector of Nellore to supply to the Chittagong agency the whole quantity of Salt indented for, for 1848-1849 Viz. 18,800 Maunds, the Board of Revenue are unable to Sanction the sale of any Salt by the Collector of Rajahmundry for Export to Chittagong -

Read

55. 56

12th inCons: 25th December 1848.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Masulipatam containing his report on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District during Fusly 1257-

57

/Here enters No: 88/

Proceedings Reporting on the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Masulipatam during Fusly 1257.

thereon. 1. On a reference to the abstract Comparative Statement annexed to the second para. of the report it will be seen that the total demand on the several items comprehended in the Extra Sources of Revenue in this District amounted during the Fusly under review to Rupees 4,06,942-7-6 which exceeded the demand of the preceding year by Rupees 13,850-7-6.

Demand-
1256 3,93,092-1-10
1257 4,06,942-7-6.
13,850-7-6.

Salt-
Sales
Nos
1256 Home 1,5,338
Inland 2,32,281
2,77,619-
1257 Home 45,857-
Inland 240,107
2,85,964
Excess 8,345
1257.

2. The excess occurred chiefly under the head of Salt, the total sales of which article amounted to Rupees 2,81,094- presenting an advance on the sales of Fusly 1256 of Rupees 8,324-13-3 owing to the larger quantity disposed of for Inland trade at the Pondrada Cotaurs. At the Innoogoodoo Pans there was a slight falling off in the Inland sales of 3,324-8-4 inconsequence,

it

Fort St. George. 16th July 1849.

it is stated, of but few Lumbadies having resorted to this "Cotaur for the purchase of Spontaneous Salt". This remarks would appear to have been an oversight on the part of the Collector as there was an actual increase in the sale of Spontaneous Salt at this Cotaur during Fusly 1257 as shewn in the marginal Statement.

	Quantity of manufactured salt sold.	Quantity of Spontaneous salt sold.	Total.
	Pounds.	Pounds	
Fusly 1256	47,284	9,573	56,857.
Ditto 1257.	42,951	10,150	52,902
Increase.	"	577	"
Decrease.	4,593	"	3,955.

3- Altho' the gross collections from this branch of the Revenue were below those of the years 1252-1254 and 1255 the result of the year under immediate consideration is highly satisfactory when contrasted with the collections of the remaining years quoted in para 6. The first of that series of 32 years is Fusly 1225 when the collections were only Rs. 85361, being the lowest on the scale, the highest being those of Fusly 1255 when the receipts amounted to Rupees 402547, or nearly five times the collections of the

Fort St. George. 16th July 1849.

the former year-

4- It is desirable that a separate Statement in addition to those referred to in para 7, should be furnished in future shewing the quantity of manufactured and Spontaneous Salt at each of the Cotaurs for Home and Inland consumption. The comparison drawn in para 8, it will be observed, is not as stated between the estimated and actual quantity of manufactured and Spontaneous Salt of Fusles 1256 and 1257, but between the estimated and actual quantity of the Fusly under report-

5 The cause of the depression which simultaneously affected the Salt transaction in some of the Northern districts during Fusly 1256 appears still to remain involved in considerable doubt, no new light having been thrown upon it by the enquiries instituted by the Collector of Masulipatam. The check from whatever cause arising appears to have been temporary, judging from

from the general results of the Salt revenue as exhibited in the Extra Revenue report for the past year from these Districts which were influenced by the want of Demand -

Frontier Duty -
Fusly 1256- 39270-8-1.
1257- 39092-12-5
Increase- 177-11-8.

6- The fluctuations under this head call for no particular remark, the Collector has sufficiently adverted to the chief items. The manufacture of Opium at Juggiapetta from the plant grown in Mysore and Cuddapah is stated to have influenced the import of the drug from the Hyderabad Country, the total increase from this item appears however to have been 1930-15-11-

7- With reference to the Collector's remarks in para 15 it is observed that the revised frontier Tariffs for the districts of Guntoor, Masulipatam and Rajahmundry have been assimilated, and sanctioned by the Board under date the 11th of December last.

Sea Customs -
1256- 6246-9-3.
1257- 11866-6-5.
5619-13-2.

8- The improvement in the trade generally at the Ports of this

this District during the past year is exhibited in the excess of Collections realized as shewn in the Margenal entry-

9- The increase was chiefly in the Imports of grain under which item the Collections are entered as having been in excess of the preceding year by Rupees 4.695-8-9-

*Import of Grain
1256- 3497-2-6
1257- 8192-11-3
4695-8-9.

10- The larger revenues obtained from Abkarry calls for no remark, having arisen from the higher rates at which some of the rents were disposed of as contrasted with those obtained in Fusly 1256-

Abkarry -
1256- 42807-2-
1257- 43187-15-
550-13-

11- A similar remark applies to the very trifling revenue raised from the fisheries in this District-

Sundry Small Farms & Licenses -
1256- 197-
1257- 209-8-
12-8-

12- The falling off in the Stamp sales which were less by 794 than those of Fusly 1256- arose from ordinary causes and needs no further notice-

Stamps -
1256- 7080-8-
1257- 7008-8-
794-

13- The only remaining item of Extra Revenue is that Collected on account of Moturpha -

Moturpha -
1256- 19113-3-9.
1257- 19425-7-6.
284-3-9.

which

Fort St. George, 16th July 1849-

which is shewn to have been rather above the Collections of the preceding Fusly as per Marginal entry; which was caused chiefly by the larger number of "individuals who set= =tled themselves in the District "in the year". The accounts of this item furnished with the report not, being sufficiently detailed, the Collector will be pleas= =ed henceforth to submit a Compar= =ative Statement of the several items with explanations of Increase or Decrease which appear to call for remarks.

14- The Statement given in the 24th para of the Collectors report exhibits a comparison of the gross Collections charges and Net Revenue of the year under review with the items in the preceding Fusly. The result being that the gross receipts of 1257 exceeded by Rupees 9354-7-= those of Fusly 1256. There was at the same time a diminished amount of charges in the former year which raised the

Gross Collections
1256. 39,731-6-7.
1257. 40,870-8-7.
Increase 9554-7-=

Charges-
1256. 2557-3-7.
1257. 2147-5-6.
Decrease 826-11-1.

Fort St. George, 16th July 1849-

Net Revenue-
1256- 37,174-14-=
1257- 38,1123-=-1.
Decrease 1038-2-1.

Collections-
3504-3
301-4-8.
3805-10-11.

the net receipts Rupees 1038-2-1 in advance of the Net Revenue of Fusly 1256-

15- Of the balance of cur= =rent demand which was uncol= =lected at the termination of the Fusly Vizt. Rupees 2,954-2-10. it is satisfactory to observe that at the date of the report only Rupees 373-4-6 remained for adjustment.

16- The arrears of former Fuslies amounted to Rupees 6,992- at the commencement of Fusly 1257 which were re= =duced by Collection and remis= =sion during that year to Rupees 2880-5-1- The greater portion of this latter balance was due by the Sayer renter of the Condapully Division for Fusly 1243 and was remitted under

In Cons. 19th February 1849.

under the orders of Government dated 2d. February last. Subsequent collections have reduced the remaining outstanding arrears to Rupees 407—13—5. of which 4 Rupees on account of small Farms was in course of Collection, the balance Viz. 403—13—5 being due under the head of Motumpha and regarding which a separate report is promised by the Collector.

17. A further item now reduced to Rupees 33—1—5 the balance of Inotumpha prior to Fasly 1248 would appear from the remarkins Statement C. to be in course of final

final adjustment.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collection, Charges and Net Revenue, be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance.—

Masulipatam

10600.

Fort St. George. 18th July 1849.

Mazulipatam July 1257-

Abkarry	Extra Ditto	445 9 -	826 8 10	178 15 10	- - -	
	Arrears	428 7 6	603 1 7	234 10 1	- - -	
	Total	428 7 1 4 5	422 12 11	- - -	678 9 4	
	Charges	228 - -	371 8 3	143 8 3	- - -	
	Net Revenue	420 13 4 5	448 4 1 2 10	- - -	822 7 -	
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	Demand	197 - -	209 8 -	12 8 -	- - -	
	Balance on the 12th July	46 8 -	53 10 -	7 2 -	- - -	
	Current Collection	180 8 -	155 14 -	5 6 -	- - -	
	Extra Ditto	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
	Arrears	30 12 -	26 4 -	- - -	4 8 -	
	Total	181 4 -	182 2 -	1 4 -	- - -	
	Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
	Net Revenue	181 4 -	182 2 -	1 4 -	- - -	
Stamps	Demand	7806 8 -	7066 8 -	- - -	794 - -	
	Balance on the 12th July	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
	Current Collection	7806 8 -	7066 8 -	- - -	794 - -	
	Extra Ditto	875 33	1127 5 10	452 2 7	- - -	
	Arrears	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
	Total	8535 11 3	8193 13 10	- - -	341 13 5	
	Charges	398 1 4	421 2 3	23 - 11	- - -	
	Net Revenue	837 9 11	7772 11 7	- - -	564 14 1	

10691.

Fort St. George. 16th July 1849.

Malumphas	Demand	19141 3 9	19425 7 6	284 3 9	" " "
	Balance on the 12th July	721 10 10	2150 6 2	1434 11 4	" " "
	Current Collection	18419 8 11	17269 1 4	" " "	1150 7 7
	Extra Ditto	144 11 7	124 4 11	" " "	20 6 8
	Arrears	285 6 9	666 10 5	381 3 8	" " "
	Total	18849 11 3	18060 " 8	" " "	789 10 7
	Charges	5928 4 "	5794 4 11	" " "	133 15 1
	Net Revenue	12921 7 3	12265 11 9	" " "	655 11 6
Total	Demand	393092 1 10	406942 9 4	13850 7 6	" " "
	Balance on the 12th July	3506 7 2	6954 2 10	3447 11 8	" " "
	Current Collection	389585 10 8	399988 6 8	10402 11 10	" " "
	Extra Ditto	2871 6 3	3377 11 10	506 5 7	" " "
	Arrears	4859 " 8	3504 6 3	" " "	1357 10 5
	Total	397316 1 7	406870 8 7	9554 7 "	" " "
	Charges	28574 3 7	24747 8 6	" " "	826 11 1
	Net Revenue	371741 14 "	382123 " 1	10381 2 1	" " "

Tabular

10692.

Tattoobee Circulars

Case

(50)

1 Talook and Villages

2 Fauklers

Pennards

13.

Tattoobee No. 8.

Guntoor

Read.

17th in Cons: 23d November 1848-

Read the following letter from the Collector of Cuddapah containing his report on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District during Fusly 1257-

60

Here enter No. 991.

Proceedings thereon.

Reporting on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Cuddapah in Fusly 1257 and calling the attention of the Collector to the omission in his report of information respecting Sugar manufactories-

1. From the abstract comparative Statement appended to the second para of the report it will be seen that the Demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Cuddapah District during the year reported on was Rupees 3,02,401-8-7: which exceeded the Demand of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 16,917-14-8-

Fusly 1256- 285543-9-11.

Fusly 1256- 112,068
1257- 123,862
Excess- 11,797-

2- This excess occurred chiefly in the abkarry, the rents having been higher generally throughout the Talooks giving an aggregate increase over Fusly 1256 of Rupees 11,797- The demand from this item was realized with tolerable punctuality, the balance of Rupees 1,961-10-7 outstanding at the close of the Revenue year having been collected in full by the end of the month of October-

Under

Small Farms and Licenses-
1256- 15,538-2-2.
1257- 17,312-11-6.
1,774-9-4.

3- Under the head of small Farms and Licenses there was also an advance on the preceding years revenue of Rupees 1,774-9-4, arising almost entirely from the greater productiveness of the Topes rents throughout the District- The collections under this head also were adjusted by the end of October when a trifling recoverable balance only was due-

Balance- 11-13-6.

Instrpha- Vesabady-
1256- 73,315-1-11.
1257- 73,508-12-5.
Excess- 193-10-6.
Boyya Vesabady-
1256- 73,816-1-10
1257- 75,335-8-8.
1,519-6-10.

4- The principal source of the Extra Revenue of this District is that obtained under the joint heads of Vesabady, and Boyya Vesabady, the former being the tax on the profits of trade, the latter the Instrpha generally which amounted in Fusly 1257 to Rupees 14,8874-5-1. or Rupees 1713-1-4 above the Demand of Fusly 1256- The entry in the Margin shews the proportion applicable to the two heads- The advance on the receipts from Boyya Vesabady is satisfactory as indicating an improvement in the resources from whence the tax is drawn; it is more immediately ascribed by the Collector to the

increase

increase in the looms and stocks &c.-

5. The balance of current demand on account of Moturpha is entered in State=ment para 2. as being at the end of October 371-3-7. and which is given in detail in State=ment No. 5 as this latter account does not shew the amount due under each head, the Collector will be good enough to enter addi=tional Columns with a view to exhibit the amounts separately in future-

6. The Board observes from subsequent Demand Collection Balance= Statements received from Cuddapah &c that the amounts entered as irrecoverable has been in part realized- Exclusive of this current balance the Statement of the old balances outstanding in the District given at the close of the report shews that the only item remaining unadjusted was a sum of Rupees 65-2-2- due for Moturpha, and which on the grounds stated by the Collector the Board beg to recommend for a remission. On future arrears occurring under this head it should be stated what proportion is referable to Vesabady and Boggr Vesabady= respectively-

The

Stamps-
1256- 10779-4-0
1257- 12412-8-0
Excess- 1633-4-0

1256- 283162-1-2.

Charges-
1256- 1012-4-8
1257- 1170-3-9
157-15-1.

Net Revenue-
1256- 282150-7-4.

7. The increase of 1633-4-0 obtained from enhanced sales of Stamps calls for no particular remark-

8. The total collections both current Extra and arrears realized during the year amounted to the sums of Rupees 305056-3-7 being Rupees 21893-7-7 in advance of the gross receipts of the previous year- The Charges were trifling and varying but little from those of Fasly 1256 which being deducted from the Gross receipts left the Net Revenue obtained from the Extra Sources in Cuddapah at= Rupees 303885-15-10 an amount which ex=ceeded the Net Revenue of the year pre=ceding by Rupees 21735-8-6-

9. The Collector has omitted to fur=nish the information in regard to the= Manufacture of Sugar in his District during the period embraced in the present report as required by the Board's Circular of 27th January 1848 an omission to which his= attention is directed-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's= Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collection Charges and= Net Revenue be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be= forwarded to the Collector of Cudd=apah for his information and= guidance-

Cuddapah.

1067 — Fort St. George, 10th July 1849.

Cuddapah.

			Fusly	Fusly		
Stamps	Ditto		"	"	"	"
	Arrears		2744 "	3812 "	235 "	
	Total		11014 8 "	12413 8 "	1399 "	"
	Charges		511 11 1	551 48	139 97	"
	Net Revenue		10502 12 11	11862 0 0	1259 03	"
Motupha	Demand		14,710 13 9	14,887 4 51	1713 14	"
	Balance on the 11th July		335 14 0	328 8 9	"	7 35 9
	Current Collection		14,380 5 3	14,559 12 4	1786 7 1	"
	Extra Ditto		147 3 4	179 11 10	32 8 6	"
	Arrears		1200 2 8	3405 1 8	2204 15 "	"
	Total		14,515 2 11 3	14,917 0 9 10	4023 14 7	"
	Charges		162 10 "	162 10 "	"	"
	Net Revenue		14,499 0 1 3	14,754 13 10	4023 14 7	"
Total	Demand		28,557 3 9 11	30,246 8 7	1691 7 148	"
	Balance on the 11th July		7068 4 0	7117 5 11	67 1 5	"
	Current Collection		27,847 5 5	29,534 4 28	1686 13 3	"
	Extra Ditto		2,236 3 4	2,795 1 13	558 13 11	"
	Arrears		2,489 3 6	9 16 5 8	4406 12 5	"
	Total		28,316 2 12 "	30,505 6 37	2189 7 7	"
	Charges		1012 4 8	1170 3 9	157 15 1	"
	Net Revenue		28,215 0 7 4	30,386 5 15 10	2173 5 8 0	"

Read

10608. Fort St. George. 16th July 1849.

Read the following letter.

To.

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(61)

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Forwarding the original Proceedings in the case of a Petition presented to the Board of Revenue by certain Officers of the Village of Gurramkonda in the Taluk of Poyachaty and submitting a brief report upon the subject.

* See Mad. Rev. Cons. 10th July 1848. Letter referred.

I have the honor to convey to you in a letter from your Office dated 30th August 1847. I have the honor to forward the Original Proceedings in the Case therein referred to, with a brief report on the same. The case to which the Petition refers was entered in the Return submitted from the Month of May 1847.

The cultivation of the Village was examined by Corkoon Streeputty Row on a complaint having been preferred by other Shares of the Meerasjee, of whom one was in Office: when it was discovered that the leviable assessment on lands which had been omitted in the Circar accounts for Fusly 1254 / 1844/45 was no less than Rupees 1,932-2-1. of which the following Items appeared to have been due on Lands appertaining to the

10609 Fort St. George 16th July 1849

the Petitioners Party	Rs a P
Gungadharappah	84 15 9
Vehengal Row	4 14 1
Venkut Reddy	58 7 .
Gummunnah	110 9 3
Veumbereddy	46 7 6
Timma Reddy	19 14 11
Total	324-6

2 It also appeared from the survey made by Corkoon Mencatappah that Rupees 877-9-2 on account of Fusly 1255 / 1845 46 had been similarly embezzled though subsequently to the commencement of the Examination the Petitioners submitted a Memorandum to the Tahsildar in which an entry of Rupees 630 was made under two Items for land cultivated, whereas Rupees 809-4-2 out of the Amount embezzled as above mentioned was shewn to have been on account of the Assessment leviable on Lands the produce of which had actually been reaped.

3 The Parties concerned in these extensive frauds threw every obstacle in the way on both occasions to deter the surveying Officers from performing their duty and

and the Records in the case shew the numerous orders that were issued consequent on the opposition they made to the survey of the lands being duly carried out in consequence of which the accounts for Fusly $\frac{1254}{1844\text{-}45}$ were not submitted until the lapse of 9 Months while otherwise 2 Months would have been amply sufficient - the object of the Parties in behaving in so obstinate and refractory a manner being no other than to occasion delay which might be fatal to the detection of the frauds.

4 Among the attempts used by the Petitioners to cause a diversion in their favor was the two palpable inducement that had been held out by them to the assessors who were present during the examination to depose at the investigation of the former case to the surveying Officer having prepared their accounts at the dictum of the complainant. It appears however too evident that the evidence of two of these parties

on

on this point was not given with much scrupulousness as to truth. from the fact of their having daily as the survey was being conducted affixed their signatures to the Cheringa varesa accounts as well as to that exhibiting the Amount in excess discovered in support of their correctness without ever communicating as was their bounden duty to me or to the Tahsildar the circumstance of the surveying Officer being guilty of such gross dereliction of duty as alleged; while the circumstances of these assessors having so subsequently colluded with the Petitioners fully is also further supported by the latter having presented a native letter addressed by the complainant to one of his friends of which they some how obtained possession and which divested of an additional line artfully introduced at the bottom of the 1st Page contains nothing objectionable or tangible against

the

the writer, but with such addition conveys an acknowledgement that Rupees 100 is to be given to the Karkoon for conducting the survey agreeably to his (complainants) wishes, such addition to the latter in question having been beyond all question of doubt been made by the Petitioners to support their assertions which they advanced of the Karkoon having been bribed by the complainant. Under the above circumstances there appearing no reason whatever to doubt the correctness of the accounts prepared by the surveying Officer in the former case whose evidence supported with that of the 3d Assessor appears to render the matter conclusive in respect to the charges against the Petitioners, while in the latter case they acknowledged that the amount discovered in excess on account of Land had not been entered in their accounts, they were therefore considered to have been clearly detected of having committed extensive frauds

frauds and were accordingly punished as recorded in the Return above alluded to. The Pedalies were also punished and dismissed being considered privy to the fraudulent transaction from the circumstances of their being able to write and understand accounts and of portions of their own lands being included in those the cultivation of which was concealed.

3. It does not appear that any remarks are necessary with reference to the defence given by the Petitioners their arguments being such as are usually advanced in similar circumstances being unworthy of consideration particularly when vitiated by the detection of the plot they had got up against the Karkoon and when it is shewn by the evidence of the Officer and by that of one of the Assessors that entries in the accounts were daily made on the appearance of the land satisfactorily indicating from the presence

presence of stubble and water courses that the same had come under cultivation and that the three assessors daily affixed their signatures to the accounts in support of the same having been so prepared the eliciting of evidence from the cultivating Ryots being quite out of the question under the influence the offending Parties possessed.

Cuddalore District
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Muddenpully 29th May 1849.

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Read again the Petition presented by the Village Officers in question in appeal against the decision of the local authority.

/Here enter Petition No. 149 of 1848/

61-62

Proceedings thereon.

Reviewing the report of the Coll. of Cuddalore on appeal Petitions of certain Curnums and Reddies and the original proceedings in the case and passing endorsement on their Petition confirming the decision of the Collector under Reg. IX of 1822

The defendants in this case consisting of two Curnums and four Ryotwar Reddies of the Village of Gurnemettah in the Poyacottah Talook were charged with having committed extensive frauds in concealing cultivation to the aggregate amount of Rupees 2,309-11-3 of which Rupees 1,432-2-1 belonged

belonged to Fusly 1254, and Rupees 877-9-2 to Fusly 1255.

2. The lands in question were surveyed and examined by a Harkoon deputed with the aid of assessors for that purpose, and there appears from the enquiries that were instituted to be no doubt of the truth of the offences imputed to the accused. In regard to the frauds practised in Fusly 1255 the defendants admitted the omission of the sum of Rupees 23-6-15-2 and altho' they stated in trial they had brought to account a further sum of Rupees 640-14-0 prior to the commencement of the enquiry by the Harkoon it was ascertained that the entry was not made until the survey had actually been commenced.

3. The conduct of the accused in protracting the enquiry by raising various obstacles to its progress and in their attempts to impeach the conduct of the Harkoon entrusted with the

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

the investigation tends to confirm the evidence against them, and the Board therefore approve and confirm the decision of the Collector by which the first defendant Mr: Vroom Gungatharum was fined in the sum of 200 Rupees as the principal in these extensive frauds commutable to 12 Months imprisonment and the second Curnum fined 100 Rupees and the four Peadahs also 100 Rupees each commutable respectively to 6 Months confinement. The Board observe that their letter calling for the Salient report and proceedings held in this case was dated so far back as the 30th August 1847 and that the Collectors reply was only received by them on the 1st of June last.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be recorded on Appeal Petition No. 149 of 1848.

"The Board of Revenue have had before them the original Proceedings connected with the case of the Appellants and have

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

have approved and confirmed the decision passed upon them by the Collector of Cuddapah under the provision of Regulation IX of 1822.

Read the following

Application.

Application

1068.

63

Applica

Cuddalore 16th July 1849.-

Case Number	Taluk and Village	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the Parties	Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family	Recommendation and the grounds thereof
1	2	9	10	11	12
152	Nunjumpatty in Poongavoor Taluk	Stated in the Muchalika of Moonekhah Chamberlain Village Accountant Dayadee Enamdar	Moonekhah widow of the younger Brother of the deceased Enamdar aged 25 years. Mulreddy brother's Son of her Dayadee or distant relation aged 30 years.	They are reported to earn their livelihood moderately. The deceased had also been in the enjoyment of Puttah land amounting to Rupees 2-6-7-	Recommended that the Enam be continued for life to Moonekhah the Widow of the late Enamdar's younger Brother for her life.

The whole Enam was enjoyed by the deceased Enamdar

[Signed] J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

This

(63-64)

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.

Proceedings thereon.

This is a Stala Enam of
As. C. As.
5—30—4. situated in the Hamlet of Murry-
=makoolapully in the Village of that name
valued at Rupees 8—6—7 and paying a cs
Jodi of Rupees 2—14—8. It is not known
when and by whom it was granted or to cs
whom— and no document is forthcoming in
support of the grant—

2

The name of Condareddy cs
Yeroomulreddy the last incumbent was entered
in the Pymash and Enamity accounts and cs
he would seem to have been in the enjoy-
=ment of the entire Enam besides holding
Puttah land in value Rupees 2—6—7— cs
The claimants to the Enam are Moonekah
the widow of the Younger brother of the deceased,
and Mulreddy brother in law of her cs
Dayadee or distant relation who are reported

Fort St. George 16th July 1849.—

to be in moderate circumstances—

The Collector recommends

3.

that the Enam be continued to the above cs
named Moonekah for life, and the Board
beg to support his recommendation—

Application

10621. Fort St. George 4th July 1849.

65 Aspt. Regulation IV of 1831.

Case Number	Talook	Village	1	2	3	Rs.	A.	P.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the Parties. 10	Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by the family. 11	Recommendation and the grounds thereof. 12
			1	Chitwail the dec'd							To thirds of the lapsed Pension of
				daine	Talamah 1st	1767	1	6			Rupees 13253-2-11. or Rupees 8835-7-4.
					6						was on the demise of the late Polygar Venca-
					mah	1767	1	6			-tragavaraiya continued under sanction of
					Pondum	1767	1				Government dated 3d October 1848 for
					mah	1767	1	6			the support of his five widows to be
					mah	1767	1	5			equally distributed among them - Peddaca-
					tal	8835	7	4			-kummah whose demise is now reported
											was one of these widows - Recommended
											that one fifth share of the said reduced
											Pension or Rupees 1767-1-5 3/4 should
											lapse with the said Party lately in the
											enjoyment of it.

1849.-

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane.-
(Collector)

The

10622. Fort St. George 7th July 1849.—

Proceedings thereon.

65-66 Extract Minutes of Consultation 3d October 1848 the Govern-
ment sanctioned the grant of Rupees 8835-4 per annum
to the Five widows of the late Rajah of Shivagiri to be enjoyed
by them in equal proportions and each share to lapse on the
death of the recipient.—

2. The death of the 1st Widow Peddu Chinnamah need not therefore have been reported to this Office it would have been sufficient for the Collector to enlit her name in the list forwarded to the Civil Auditor specifying at the same time the date of her death.—

Read the following letter.—

67 To J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of North Arcot. Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will return to me to be restored to the parties the Six original documents which accompanied the communications from this Office, on the dates Marginally noted, with reports of lapses Enclosed.—

22d July 1847.
6th Septr 1847.
10th Decr Do

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Palmaner 5th July 1849.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon.
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.—

67 68 The two Telugu and two Cadjan documents which accompanied the late Acting Collectors letter of 22d July 1847 No 91 and the Cadjan deed enclosed in his letter of 10th December 1847 No 173 are herewith returned to Mr. Bourdillon in compliance with his request contained in the foregoing communication.

Two letters were received from Mr. Lovell bearing date 6th September 1847, but no original papers appear to have accompanied it