BA2464

270

2p

789

Board of Revenue
Vol No.

2147

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

Diary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 20th July 1848.

C. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre Actg Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

1

From the Secy to Government.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th July 1848.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 30th June 1848 No. 336)

Resolved that the foregoing Communication from the Board of Revenue with Enclosures be forwarded by the first opportunity to the Honorable the Court of Directors with the recommendation of this Government that Captain Auchterlonys request for Hopwoods be Complied with —

The Governor in Council observes with Satisfaction the interest Captain Auchterlony takes

takes in the Subject to which his communications refer —

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H.C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

1-2

Order thereon Ordered to be communicated for the information of Captain Puchterlony with reference to the Boards letter to his address dated 30th Ultimo —

3

From the Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th July 1848.

Read the following letter from the Commissioner of Mysore —

/Here enter 6th July 1848 No 61)

Para 2 The Governor in Council observes that in Minutes of Consultation dated 15th November 1847 No 1250 the Board of Revenue were requested to report to what Districts and in what proportion and manner the half bred Merino Rams referred to by the Commissioner in the Concluding para of his letter of the 30th October last, No 89, might be destributed with advantage; and as no reply has yet been received the Governor in Council resolves to call upon them to furnish the

the required report without delay —

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H.C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence —

To

3-4

To the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore —

The Principal Collector of Coimbatore —

Sir,

In Cons 6th Dec. 1847

The Board of Revenue having been called upon for a reply to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th November last, regarding the distribution of Marino Rams they desire me to draw your attention to their Proceedings of 6th December 1847 and their further letter of 14th February last and to request that you will furnish them with an early reply to enable them to meet the requisition of Government —

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George
20th July 1848

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th July 1848 —

(From Bd 3d July)

5

From the Secretary to Government — Under the explanation furnished in the foregoing letter, the Right Honorable the Governor in

in Council authorizes the disbursement of the
Costs adjudged against the Collector of Tinnevelly
in Original Suit of 1845 amounting, as
Cons 28th March 1848 reported in the letter of the Board of Revenue
No. 51-52 dated 9th March 1848 No. 110 to Rupees 437 11 8/
four hundred and thirty seven annas 11 and pice three

(Signed) H. G. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

5-6

Order thereon Ordered that the communicated for the
information and guidance of the Collector of
Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated
30th May last

Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

7

From the Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa

Sir

I have the honor to forward
copy of a communication from the Civil Auditor
annexing an Extract of an order passed in the
Revenue Department under date the 1st of March 1848
And to observe that up to the
period of the receipt of Mr Bruce's letter
I have not been made acquainted that any
such order had been issued
Under these circumstances
I trust

I trust you will be so good as to procure
for me the sanction of the Revenue Board to
the Bills now in the Civil Auditors possession
to enable him to pass the accounts and for
the future any Batta Bills of this Establish-
ment shall be duly submitted as desired
in the resolution No. 216 of the 1st of March 1848

Camp Berhampore
1st July 1848

(Signed) J. Campbell Lieut. Coll.
Agent in the Hill Tracts
of Orissa

To No. 1
R. A. Bannerman Esqre
Collector of Ganjam

Sir

With reference to the orders
of Government dated 1st March 1848 No. 216
Revenue Department copy of which is
hereunto annexed directing that the Batta
accounts of the Agency Department in the Hill
Tracts of Orissa should be submitted to the Board of
Revenue for examination and check as those of other
Districts, I have the honor to request you will be
good enough to desire the Agent in the Hill Tracts
of Orissa to submit an account of the Batta paid
to his Establishment and obtain their sanction
as soon as practicable as the Audit of the charges of the
Agency

Agency Department is delayed for want of the requisite authority

Fort St. George -
Civil Auditors Office
13th June 1848 -

/ Signed A. F. Bruce
Civil Auditor

Ordered to be communicated to the Offices of account and Audit, and also to the Board of Revenue to whom the Batta accounts of the agency should be submitted for examination and check as those of other Districts

/ Signed A. C. Montgomery
Offg Chief Secretary

7-8

Resolution thereon Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter be furnished to the Civil Auditor and that he be requested to forward at his earliest convenience the Bill therein alluded to for examination in this Office

To Read the following letter
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

9

From the Offg Collr of Guntoor

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward for the inspection of the Board, a Specimen of the Books, which have been prepared for the use of Village Moonsiffs in this District and

Forwarding for the inspection of the Board a specimen of the Books which have

been prepared for the use of the Village Moonsiffs for the Cost of which Rupees 158-8-9 having been advanced in the first instance is to be recovered from the Moonsiffs at the rate of 2-7 per Book

and are now ready for distribution as will be observed, each Book contains in a leather Cover capable of receiving if necessary, additional papers, a printed Teloogoo version of the rules for District Moonsiffs an abstract of the provisions of Regulation XI of 1832 on the subject of hidden treasure, certain rules in force in this District relative to the loss and pasturing of Cattle, the order of the Foujdary Adalut on the subject of Children's ornaments a table of measures, and the rules circulated by the Board under date the 6th April 1848 on the subject of Topes

2 I trust the Board will not disapprove of my having advanced in the 1st instance the sum required for procuring these Books to the amount of Rupees 158-8-9. The Cost for each book being 2-7 will be recovered from the Moonsiffs - It is hoped that this measure will be of use not only in bringing into more extensive operation the rules which are now circulated, but as habituating the people to appreciate the superiority as vehicles of information of printed books, over loose manuscript papers. The table of measures was prepared by Mr Newill to whose aid I am also indebted

for

for having the papers correctly translated and printed in Teloogoo—

Guntoor Collah—
Collectors Cutcheny—
Dundy 23d June 1848—

Signed A. Stokes
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

To

The Collector of
Guntoor

9-10

To the Collector of Guntoor

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23d Ultimo submitting for their inspection a specimen of the Books which have been prepared for the use of the Village Moonsiffs in your District—

In reply I have to inform you that the Book which you have forwarded appears to the Board to be a very useful compilation—They gladly approve the measures which you have taken for the preparation of the Books, and authorize their distribution among the Village Moonsiffs at the price payable by them of 2-7 per Copy—

Inl Cons 28th July 1848

Revenue Board Office—
Fort St. George—
20th July 1848—

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter to

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

11

From the Collr of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Boards Proceedings of the 22d Ultmo calling for an explanation as to the discrepancy in the amount of the Sales of Salt at the Barely Collectorate for the Quarter ending the 30th April 1846 as entered in the Statement forwarded on the 13th May 1846, and the Statement forwarded in the present year— The difference is found to have arisen from an error in the Statement forwarded in 1846 owing to the amount being then made out from an incorrect return from the Salt pans— The correct amount is that entered in the Statement last forwarded as shewn in the Margin—

M. S. Rs. A. P.
1772-36-0 or 1772-14-5

Guntoor Collectors
Office 12th July 1848

Signed A. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon—

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter

11-12

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

13

From the Collr of Nellore—

Forwarding Copy and translation of a letter

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith

Letter from the Zemindar of Vencatagherry requesting to exempt him from the payment of interest on the amount of his Peishcush paying after the dates of instalments

herewith copy and translation of a letter addressed to me by the Zemindar of Vencatagherry under date the 31st May requesting an exemption from the payment of interest on the amount of his Peishcush discharged after the dates prescribed for their payment

2 After the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 17th January last, I of course gave due notice to the whole of the Proprietors of land in the District that in future the levy of interest on arears of Revenue would be strictly enforced and I certainly cannot myself see any cause for exemption in favour of the Vencatagherry Zemindar. The grounds on which the Zemindar founds his claim to exemption from interest are as follows

1st That the Peishcush was fixed on his Zemindary more in the shape of a commutation for a Military Establishment previously kept up by him than on the actual assets of the Zemindary

2nd That the Condition entered into in the Sunnud-i-Milkeut Istimrar granted to his ancestors was that in future of payment of his annual Peishcush his Estate and other properly should be held answerable for its payment

payment but there was no provision that he should be liable to be charged with interest

3d That the Kists fixed for the payment of his Peishcush are three Viz.t December, March and June, which are not in conformity with those of other Estates. The original Sunnud-i-Milkeut Istimrar of this Zemmindary appears to have been issued on the 24th August 1802 subsequent to the promulgation of Regulation XXVIII of 1802 and contains a clause for proceeding according to that Regulation just as much as the Sunnuds of other Estates, none of which I think contain a specification of interest, but this a reference to the Records of the Board will more clearly shew. The Peishcush payable by this Zemmindar is Rupees 38,703 and there are no arrears whatever outstanding and interest has never been charged against this Zemmindary but on two occasions in 1814 and 1843. On the former occasion the amount charged was remitted at the recommendation of the then Collector Mr. Fraser under orders from the Board dated 2d January 1815 & on 2d occasion the sum charged was deducted from the Zemmindar's payments on account of his Kists as noticed

noticed in my letter of the 26th October 1847 —
The amount now under discussion is trifling in
the extreme only Rupees 10-10-8 but it is —
the principles which the Zemindar contends
and which it is of course my duty to submit
to the consideration of the Board with reference
to their orders of the 17th January last on the —
correspondence noted in the Margin —

† From Board's dated 2d September 1847 To Do: 26th Octr. 1847.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry }
12th July 1848 — — — — }

I Signed G. A. Smith
Nos 1 Telugu
No 2.
Collector

G. A. Smith Esqre
Collector of the Zillah
of Nellore

After Compliments

Your favour of the 11th April 1848
informing me that the interest payable on the
Balance of the 2d Kist of my Sammatanum for
Fusly 257 which has been due for 2 days beyond
the stipulated period amounts to Rupees 10-10-8
and desiring me to remit the amount to your —
Hoozoor Cutcherry, has been received and the Contents
thereof understood —

Of Rupees 129570 the second Kist —
payable to the Circar Rupees 105064 were paid into
your Hoozoor Treasury by the 10th March 1848
and a Balance of Rupees 24506 was outstanding

In

In order to liquidate this amount before the —
expiration of the limited period measures were
adopted to dispatch 16000 Rupees from my Talook
Treasury and the remainder Rupees 8506 was
dispatched from my Hoozoor Treasury — The
circumstance of the amount sent from my —
Hoozoor Treasury having been paid into your
Hoozoor Treasury before the expiration of the
period fixed is known to you — The remittance
from the Talook Treasury which was made
so as to arrive at its destination previously to
the expiration of the fixed period was delayed
on the Road from the cattle becoming lame
and unable to stand from an epidemic disease
with which they were attacked — This was the —
occasion of the delay of 2 days beyond the limited
period and nothing else — If for such a circumstance
I am called upon to pay interest for two days how can
I sustain myself — The remittance which was despatched
so as to arrive at its destination previously —
to the expiration of the time limited was accidentally
as above stated delayed 2 days — There was not the least
want of attention on my part and I therefore trust that
you will take this circumstance into your consideration
and get the amount of interest remitted — as I am
prohibited from having any Correspondence with

with the higher authorities and placed under you with instructions that I should make known to you all of my grievances and obtain redress through you. I beg you will take the undermentioned circumstances into your full consideration and such instructions which you may think proper to issue thereupon will be attended to.

In reply to your favor dated 28th January 1808 stating that if the several instalments are not paid up within the limited period interest at the rate of 1 per 100 per will be collected for the instalment that becomes due in accordance to Clause 1st Section VIII Regulation XXVII of 1802 I beg to state that if the Circar were now for the first time to act with such severity towards me I shall not at all be able to bear it. In regard to this therefore I beg to submit my case to the notice of the Circar. In the permanent Sunnud called the Melchent Istemerar which the Circar granted on the 24th August 1802 on account of this Samastanum the condition that if the several instalments are not paid up within the periods limited an interest of 1 per Cent will be

be collected under Section VIII Regulation XXVII of 1802 has been omitted and I beg that I may be protected by placing the same confidence in me still. In the permanent Cowle called Melchent Istemerar dated 24th August 1802 an annual payment of 111058 Star Pagodas is fixed in lieu of Military aid as it would not have been easy to liquidate this large amount at once an understanding was entered into for paying up this amount in three instalments and if the Circar do not consider it unjust to collect interest on such instalments when the fixed period for their payment may have expired it will be very hard upon me and tend to disgrace this large Samastanum which pays a large amount of Peishcush. I am not indifferent because no condition for paying interest is entered in the Melchent Istemerar Sunnud. The money payable to the Circar has been hitherto paid up with great care and attention and during good seasons previously to the expiration of the periods limited. Subsequently the seasons having become unfavorable the Talooks have deteriorated and the income has fallen short. To meet the Circar demands however, the household expenditure and the Establishment

Establishment of the Sunnutannum were reduced and debts incurred and the amount spard up ex with a little delay. The balance of one Fust being invariably paid up before the expiration of the period allowed for the payment of the following Fust. We had not as in other Zemindaries allowed the Balances to outstand for a long period neither have we incurred disgrace by deserving the displeasure of the Circar, the spard formerly an yearly Peishcush of Star Pagodas 21673 to Nabob Wanlanja the then Ruler of the Territories of Deccan and unlike the other Zemindars we obtained Purwannahs from the Emperor and were created Chumwotdars to the rents were certainly and we enjoyed many favours In times of war whenever the authorities required Military aid from us we afforded it In accordance to the Treaty that was entered into between the Nabob and the British Government in the year 1792 we paid yearly to the British Government Star Pagodas 21673 and afforded it Military aid On the 24th of August 1802 a Pottah and Cobooleut was granted by which the Military Sunnud was granted by which the Military aid we were in the habit of affording was discontinued and in lieu thereof the Military expenditure amounting to Star Pagodas 89385 which is four times

time the amount of the annual Peishcush was added to the Peishcush and this enhanced amount of Star Pagodas 111058 we were required to pay, and certain conditions specified in regard to any arrear that we may fall into, but no allusion whatever was made in the Sunnud, that the Balance with interest will be collected under the provisions of Section VIII Regulation 25 of 1802 which was published on the 13th of July 1802 & the permanent Peishcush was enlarged by adding to it the Military expenditure, it was not thought proper to make the provisions of Section VIII Regulation 27 of 1802 which was framed for Collecting interest on the Nuksan Balance applicable to us, but a Condition was entered in the Sunnud by which our private property and the Zemindary are held answerable for the Peishcush if we should in any manner depart from the condition entered into. Should it be questioned whether the condition entered into in the Cabooleut engaging ourselves to submit to the Regulations that were enacted previously to the date of the Sunnud and to those that may be enacted hereafter, will not settle the matter relative to the interest I beg to observe that interest of the Peishcush is not paid up

is

is required to be paid to the Circar in like manner as the Peishcush. Consequently if the Peishcush is not paid and no other conditions relative thereto is made in the Summud the Regulation may to a certain extent be said to apply, but on the contrary in my case there are other conditions entered into, and on a Cession whatever made in regard to interest the principal point in question, that condition in the Cobooleyet should therefore be understood as alluding to Regulations framed for the security of the Country and the Government and not to those relating to interest. I trust therefore that the Circar will put in force that justice which is consistent with the Regulations which unanimously declare that those conditions under which the Cowles and Cobooleyets were entered into should invariably be adhered to. It is proclaimed throughout the Country that the Circar will not under any denomination whatever collect any Money besides that entered in the Cowle and Cobooleyet. The Circar also have forbidden paying Money Cranes will derive no benefit from the interest that may be collected thereafter provided should the interest be generally left unadjusted I will in a manner be protecting the Ryots that were heretofore Conferred on me. I beg that you will be

will either yourself relieve me from the shame of being obliged to pay interest on my Peishcush (which has been so much enhanced by adding to it the Military expenditure) in like manner as Proprietors who pay Peishcush merely on the income of their lands and the petty Renters who are obliged to pay interest for the days that are in excess of the time limited for paying their instalments or otherwise recommend me to the higher Authorities submitting my prayer

31st May 1848. } (L.S.) A true Translation
Signed Lt. A. Smith
Collector

Resolution thereon (13-14) The Board of Revenue quite agree with the Collector that there is no reason for foregoing the demand of interest upon the arrears of Rent due by the Venkatagherry Zemindar.

(15) From the Collector of Nellore. Read Abstract Statement of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the half year ending 30th June last.

(15-16) Order thereon Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Read

Read the following Letter

From the Collr. of North Arcot

(17)

To J. S. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Sana / I have the honor to submit the following explanation of the circumstances stated in Petition 678 of 1818, agreeably to the Board's order endorsed upon it.

Submitting explanation on Petition 678 of 1818 herewith enclosed

2 It appears from the Tahsildar's report that Petitioner made offer in 1839 to cultivate fifty Cawnies of Nunjah land in the Village of Coopum in Cawaripauk Talook, for the irrigation of which he proposed to construct a tank to be held on Duswuntum terms. The Dingabut was sent for the Tahsildar's report but none was made, the cause of which omission is stated by the Tahsildar to be that Petitioner failed to come when sent for several times to accompany him (the Tahsildar) to the Village. In 1839 a Government order was issued prohibiting the grant of Duswuntum Inamiums for the future, and after that Petitioner desisted (as the Tahsildar states) from pursuing his object. The cause of the present petition appears to be the acceptance of the tank and the individual for the cultivation of thirty Cawnies

M. C. January 13-/1st Ft. St.

Cawnies of waste Nunjah in the same Village which land however has no connection with that tendered for by Petitioner.

3 Petitioner states that he commenced the construction of a tank at considerable expense and he urges that as this was done before the issue of the prohibitory order above quoted, that order ought not to apply to him. It appears that a small quantity of earth was thrown up so as to form the small beginning of a bund but the cost cannot have exceeded probably fifteen or twenty Rupees, and it seems very doubtful whether this work was done at Petitioner's expense or by the Village people in shares. Neither Petitioner nor any other party ever received the necessary authority from this Office for constructing a Duswuntum tank; and there is nothing on record to show that even the Tahsildar ever gave encouragement for its commencement. The abstract of contents endorsed on the Petition implies that Petitioner states that he actually began to cultivate the land, this is a mistake, he makes no such statement. The land in question is stated to be incapable of irrigation except by the construction of a tank, and I do not apprehend that the

Board

10635 Fort St. George 20th July 1848

Board will be disposed to recommend a relaxation of the orders of Government above noticed in Petitioner's favour. It does not clearly appear that Petitioner has suffered wrong in any point or has any claim to redress

North Arcot, ——————
Collector's Circuit Cutchery,
Palmanair, 23d June, 1848.

(Signed) J. D. Brandt Esqr
Acting C. Master

Order thereon
17-18

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 78 of 1848.—

"Under the circumstances represented by the Acting C. Master the Board consider that Petitioner has no ground for complaint, his Petition is therefore rejected

(Signed) F. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

19-18

From the Asst Collr of North Arcot

Sir

Para 1 I have now the honor to forward the further information called for in Mr Ronalds' letter of the 31st January 1848 with the return regarding a piece of land the assessment on which was formerly remitted by the late Board. I also return Petition No 2283 of 1847 received

received with that letter

2 In one of the populous villages adjoining Villore is a piece of ground measuring 13 1/4/15 of a Cawny; a moiety of which Cawny 6 3/4/15 is occupied by a rest house for travellers, a Mussulman place of prayer, and a number of tombs; the other half Cawny 6 1/2/15 being planted with 64 trees of different species, including 12 Cocoanut and 4 Tamarind trees; and lately a few bodies have been buried in this latter division of the land, though probably chiefly with the idea of obtaining a plea for relief from taxation. With the exception of the last particular the land has been occupied as above specified for very many years I am unable to say precisely how many, but probably nearly half a century and up to a recent period it was held free of Assessment, though without any formal exemption.

To Board June 3/46 3
From Do Do 11th —
To Do Do 15th —
To Do Do 27th —
From Do July 16 —

The correspondence which formerly passed on the subject informed the Board of the circumstances under which assessment was imposed on the moiety of the land which is planted with trees Viz in consequence of the order marginally noted, which directed that lands in Military Cantonments "appropriated as sites for houses and compounds without Government grants" should be subjected to assessment. The land was therefore taken

From Board Sept 26th 1839 Para 2.

and

and had not been assessed at the Survey, the assessment was therefore to be paid; and on the ground that there was a Well on the land it was entered as total and charged at the rate of Rs 12-8-0 a Candy, being the 3d Class assessment. The tax was not paid and four years arrears being outstanding Mr Lovell obtained permission to sell the land but on proceeding with view to sale being commenced the demand was paid. Two years arrears are now again due

Note
If applicable to all it required the assessment of the whole and not of the planted portion only.

4 It appears to me that the circumstance which this land was assessed, was not at all intended to apply to such a case. I think further that considering the length of time that the land has been held free, and the purposes to which it is said has been and is appropriated, Government would not have thought it expedient to demand taxes on it, if the facts had been brought before them; and I think too that the tax imposed is excessive compared with the present capabilities at least, of the land. On these grounds my answer to the concluding question of the letter under reply is that I consider both the assessment and sale to involve hardship to the proprietor.

North Arcot, Coll.ts Circuit Cutcherry, Palmanair, 24th June 1848

Signed/ S. D. Bourdillon
Jr Collector

Proceedings thereon. (19-20)

On reference to the Petition No. 1229 of 1848 dated 27th August 1848 presented to the Board by Syed Boodeen alias it is observed to be therein stated that the land which forms the subject of the complaint was given by the late Nawaub as Enam to one of the Immediahomed according to a Sunnud which with certain title deeds obtained from the Civil Court and stated to show clearly that the land was rent free, is said to have been entrusted to the Shristadar of the Collectors Cutcherry. The Board therefore resolve to return the Petitions to the Acting Collector who if the circumstances are as represented will be pleased to transmit the documents referred to with translations to this office and to enquire his opinion as to their authenticity and validity. Mr Bourdillon is requested at the same time to state what is the exact amount of arrears due by the Petitioner upon the land.

Read the following letters-

From J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(21)

From the Coll.r of Salem- Submitting for orders translate of an arzee

Sir, I have the honor to enclose Translation of an arzee and Plan received through

an Urzee from one Shengoatta to Gounden soliciting permission to Construct a Chattrum at Peddanuppum putty according to the accompanying Plan

through my Sub Collector from Shengoattavada Gounden Mootahdar of Conaramungalum and other Estates in the Talook of Trichengode, soliciting permission to build a Chattrum at Peddanuppum putty in that Talook at an expence of 3000 Rupees stating that a piece of land consisting of acres 11-11-7 and bearing an assessment of Rupees 7-9- has been purchased for the purpose, the amount of assessment on Acres 11-11-7 Rupees 4-4-6 he begs may also be remitted.

The Applicant has been assessed agreeably to the Board's instructions of the 25th May last that the Chattrum must be so constructed as to provide accommodation for all Classes of Native community.

The proposed site for the Chattrum is situated on the high road from Salem to Trichinopoly having a Chattrum at Namcal 12 Miles to the South, and a Chattrum at Muschalipatty 7 miles towards the North, the latter distance is shorter than the Chattrum Rules direct, but as this rule is conditional I would beg the Board to consider the very oppressive nature of the weather in Salem and its neighbourhood, as well as the distance 19 miles between the two stages above mentioned without any halting places whatever which is felt

felt to be very fatiguing to travellers, and Mr. Maltby considers that the erection of the Chattrum would be very advantageous to them. I therefore beg to recommend that permission may be granted to erect it, and that sanction be obtained for remitting the sum of Rupees 4-4-6 assessed on the land purchased by the Applicant, and for granting an Endowment for the support of the proposed Chattrum, on the completion of which, a Statement of its Cost, and a Plan will be submitted.

Salem District,
Poor Coll.rs Cutchery,
11th July 1818.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

No. 1

Translation of an Urzee presented to F. S. Maltby Esqre Sub Collector by Shengoattavada gounden zemeendar of Conaramungalum &c.a Mootahs in the Trichengode Talook.

With due respect I humbly beg leave to state that on the 26th Pungoony of the year Puraubavah I submitted an Urzee to you requesting permission for constructing a Chattrum in the Poonjah lands held by Chinnanaick and Nauchey Gounden Ryots of Peddanuppumputty attached to the Village of Nawany

* No. 137 & 138 Peddanuppumputty Poonjah

m

10641 Fort St. George 20th July 1848

in the above Taluk, as also on the land called Malaycoil Poonjah No. 416 held by Ramyapa Gounden of Erasumbutty consisting of acres 4 and 2 acres in each of these 2 specified spots which are situated near the high road between Ivancal and Mascharipatty Chuttrum The distance from the former to the latter is 20 Miles the Spots above alluded to are in the Centre & Travellers are put to great inconvenience in travelling 20 Miles from Nawcal to Maschaly patty Chuttrum in consequence of there being no other accommodation for them in the middle of this long Stage I proposed to build a Chuttrum in the above Spots, and begged in the said Enquiry that the case may be recommended to the Board and the usual Grant granted for its support. I subsequently selected a better spot No. 118 near the above land called Attyperam Poonjah consisting of Acres 4-0-0 bearing Assessment Rupees 7-9-0 This is better adapted for the purpose and will produce good water when a Well is sunk in it, and is also situated close to the high road in the Village at the distance of 12 Miles from Trancil It was up to Fasly 1250 held by Mootagoundan Son

10642 Fort St. George 20th July 1848

Son of Putchaiyammah of the above Village (Pedarappumputty) who in Fasly 1257 sold it to my Younger brother Kylasa Goundan for 15 Rupees and tendered a Pattyoy Rajeemamah to that effect when it was entered in my Brothers name who is willing that I should build a Chuttrum thereon, and only prays for an exemption of Peishcash to the extent that may be occupied by the Chuttrum I therefore request that 6 acres in this Spot may be exempted from Peishcash owing to my wishing to build the projected Chuttrum & thereon and the usual Grant in granted. I propose to lay out Rupees 3,000 in the construction of it as also to construct a Well for the benefit of the travellers, consequently I beg that an early permission may be obtained.

19th Day of the Year Pillavengah

Signed Chengottavala Goundan

True Translation

Signed H. Ed. Lockhart
Collector

No. 2 Plan

Resolution thereon

(21-22)

The Board of Revenue authorize Chengottavala Goundan, Mootadar of Comaracoil among them to construct a Choultry at Veddampatty and on its completion will be prepared to recommend to Government that a suitable grant

grant of waste land be made in Enclosure of it. They will also recommend that leave be remitted on so much of the land on which the Choultry is to be erected as may be occupied by it, and by the buildings attached to it.

To J. Lycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(23)

From the Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Joint Magistrate of Salem has requested me in his Official Memorandum under date the 3d Instant to order a Sum of 17-5-8 to be returned to the Tahsildar of the Polak of Paramutty from the amount of fines imposed upon Pichooviengar alias Chinnavasheghary Syengar and 4 others by the Joint Magistrate for having cut and levelled a portion of the bank of the Cavery River and carried on their cultivation thereon which fine was collected and forwarded to the Huzzoor Treasury by the Tahsildar with his remittance for May 1848. For the purpose of enabling the Tahsildar to cause the required repairs to be done to the Bank in question the Joint Magistrate had already stopped his orders to keep a portion of the fine in deposit though

+ 78 Rupees

though the Tahsildar appears to have erroneously forwarded the whole amount of fine to the Huzzoor treasury instead of retaining it till the estimate framed by him for the repairs of the Bank was sanctioned by the Joint Magistrate. The fines in question having been carried to account in my Treasury on the 5th June 1848, I have to solicit the necessary sanction of the Board for the payment from that amount of fine of Rupees 17-5-8 for the purpose above alluded to.

Salem District,
Office of the Collr,
12th July, 1848.

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Resolution thereon

It does not appear to the Board of Revenue to be necessary to apply to Government for a refund of Rupees 17-5-8- referred to in the above letter, the Collector is requested to cause the requisite repairs to be made to the Bank of the Cauvery at an expense not exceeding that sum, and to include the actual charge in his Monthly emergent Statement furnished to the Department of Public Works.

(23-24)

Read the following letter

To J. Lycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(25)

From the Collr of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith a Cowle No

No. 634 of 1848 containing aspersions on the Character of my Head Sheristadar Gondopah charging him with having by dishonest means accumulated no less than 50,000 Rupees by allowing malversation of the public Revenue

The general nature of the charges or the absurdity of some, namely, attributing to the Sheristadar the loss of Revenue occasioned by the freedom from tax of certain trees which have ever been, imagined the sale of a small piece of Sarvanamien land, that he might be free to leave the District ere his misdeeds come to light, when set in opposition to the long tried and well known uprightness of Gondopahs Character, render them in my opinion undeserving of serious attention, I have therefore instituted no enquiry into the Petitioners Statements fully believing them unfounded, and probably concocted by the party who has failed to enlist the Sheristadar's services in his favor when seeking for employment in the Cutchery, the avowed object of his present Charges. The sale of land alluded to took place about a year since in consequence of a doubt being entertained by the Sheristadar whether the possession of such Sarvanamien land was permitted to

to a public Officer in his own District. The Sale took place with my approval and the proceeds invested in the 5 per Cent Loan.—

Petitioner attributes to the Sheristadar the losses which Government may sustain throughout the District, from excess land above the Pymash remaining unassessed a charge equally undeserving of credit as difficult of proof.—I have therefore spared the Sheristadar the humiliation attending public enquiry into vague and I believe utterly groundless charges, and trust the Board will approve of the same.—

Tanjore, Hodycaray 27th June 1848.—

(25-26)

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 634 of 1848.—

"Under circumstances represented by the Collector, the Board Consider this Petition to be unworthy of notice and reject it accordingly."—

To,
F. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(27)

From the Collector of Tanjore. . . .

Sir,

I have the honor to report on Petition No. 212 of 1848, praying that the

the demand on their Village may be reduced in consequence of the resources of the Village not being equal to it. The circumstances Con=nected with this Village have come under re=view with the other long standing many Villages as per instructions of the Board and a considera=ble reduction has been made in the average amount fixed per Valey as well as a portion of worthless Poongy land taken from the dittum extent. Petitioners should therefore comply with the newly fixed Olungoo.—

Tanjore, Kodicaray 10th July, 1848. } (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector.

Resolution thereon.—Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 312 of 1848.—

"From the report received from the Collector on the Subject of this Petition, it appears that the circumstances of Petitioner's Village have been taken into consideration, and a considerable reduction has been made on the average amount fixed per Valey, and a quantity of waste Punjah land struck out of the dittum Petitioner should therefore acquiesce in the arrangement lately concluded by the Collector."—

(27-28)

Read the following letter—

To J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(29)

From the Asst Collr of Trichinopoly—

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 910 of 1848 on the subject of a request for exemption from the payment of tax on certain waste land and beg to state that the Petitioner is one of the Vneraysee Ryots of Munyathadal Vil=lage in the Canaud Talook. The land belongs to the petitioner who is liable to pay the usual fixed tax of the field of which he retains possession, without reference to the Number of Crops raised thereon. There appears no cause for the land being left fallow during the period of the usual 2d Crop save the convenience of the Cultivator. There was sufficient water and the neighbouring fields were cultivated; the request for remission was not therefore granted.—

Returned herewith returned—

Trichinopoly 13th July 1848. } (Signed) W. Elliot Asst Collector

Resolution thereon.—Resolved that the following Endorse=ment be passed on Petition No. 910 of 1848.—

"From the report of the Acting Collector on the subject of this Petition, it appears that there was a sufficiency of water, and that Petitioner had no cause for leaving his field uncultivated, the demand of assessment upon it was therefore proper."—

(29-30)

Read the following Return—

Return

10649- (31)

Fort St. George

20th July 1848

Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Tinnevelly as it stood on the 30th April 1848-

Name and place of Birth	Caste	age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Days	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each Employment.-	Total Length of Service	Remarks
		years		C.Rs. a.p.		years m.d	Year m. d		years m.d	
Annajee Row of Arnee	Nejamsha = yee Bramin	44	Sherenma = davy	2,12,328 10	120	2 24	6 " 2	Goomastah of Munnargoody Talook in the Zillah of South Arcot — 4 " "		
								Javabnevis in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in the Zillah of Tinnevelly — 12 " 3		
								Goomastah in Dº Dº — " 4 6		
								Moozoomdar of Panjamahl Talook in Dº — 1 5 28		
								Acting Nail Sheristadar in the Collector's Cutcherry in Dº — " 1 28		
									18 " 5	
								Sayer Goomastah in the Choukies of Peroovananaly and Pranmalay Pett in the Zillah of South Arcot — 10 3 13	24 " 7	
								Revenue Javabnevis in the Talook of Peroovananaly, Munnarcoil and Peroocaveloor in Dº — 4 1 25		
								Pymashdar of the Villages of Onoottoo = verroo kundayen putty in the Peroovady Talook and in Auvoodiarcoil Mathooca vea Villages in the Puttoottah Talook in the Zillah of Tanjore — 1 5 14		
								Peishcar of Valoor Macanum in the Sheally Talook in Dº — " 5 21		
								Obady ameen in the Veloongaman Talook in Dº — " 3 "		
								Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Office in the Zillah of Tinnevelly — 4 8 11		
								Moozoomdar in the Vullyoor Talook in Dº — 3 7 28		
									25 8 22	
									31 10 14	
Konary Row of Munjacoopem	Nejamsha = yee Bramin	50	Nellum = = balum	2,47,868 9 11	120	2 24	6 1 22			

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10650

Fort St. George

20th July 1848

Name and place of birth	Caste	age	Taluk	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taluk	Total Length of Services as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each Employment.	Total Length of Service	Remarks
		Years		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	years m. d.	years m. d.		years m. d.	
Appavoo Moodeliar of Chengleput	Vengoody Moodelly	53	Vedasgramum	1,22,410 5 3	85	.. 2 22		Moorasnut Sunnyasy in the District of Tinnevelly 4		
							5 2 14	Do. Maistry in Do. of Do. 1 11 2		
								Do. Superintendent in the Gillah of Tanjore 6 10 ..		
								Do. Do. in the Do. of Tinnevelly 12 9 2		
								25 6 4	30 8 18	
Listrapped Iyer of Parsonthoory in the Gillah of Coimbatore	Carnatic Brahmin	50	Dadapoor	1,85,148 15 2	85	1 1 25	1 1 25	Goomastah of Calacaud Taluk in the Gillah of Tinnevelly 1 .. 29		
								Goomastah in the Sub Collector's Office in Do. 14		
								Head Jawabnevees in Do. 19 5 21		
								20 7 4	21 8 29	
Seven Row Maharatah of Trichinopoly	Brahmin	30	Tencausey	2,39,423 13 9	100	.. 4 22		Goomastah of Vedasgramum Taluk in the District of Tinnevelly 3 9 26		
							" 4 22	Revenue and Salt Goomastah at the Kutcherry in Do. 6 .. 20		
								Deputy Jawabnevees in the Do. 2 .. 3		
								11 10 19	12 3 11	

10657.

Fort St. George

20th July 1848

Name and place of birth	Caste	age	Taluk	Amount of Pay Collections	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taluk	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each Employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p. Years m. D.		Years m. D.	Years m. D.	
Dowa Row of Dindigul	Carnatic Bramin	60	Streevelly-poottoor	18,24,939 2	85 .. .. 4 .. 15	11 7 5	Goomastah of Carsar Taluk in the Zillah of Coimbatore — 5		
							Moolsaddee in the Huzzoor Cutcherry ab. Do. — 8		
							Moozoomdar of Melacotah Taluk in the Zilla of Madura — 2		
							Tahsildar of Mayavarum Taluk in the Zillah of Tanjore — 1 4 1		
							Ameen of the attached Zemindary of the Woottoomaty in Tinivelly — 1 .. 27		
							17 4 28		
								29 .. 3	
Narna Row of Madras	Neyanshaw-yee Bramin	34	Sauttoor	1,36,618 2 1	85 .. .. 2 1 ..	2 1 ..	Additional and Acting Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Cutcherry in Tinnevelly — 0 .. 5 27		
							Davastanum Goomastah in Do. — 2 1 14		
							Huzzoor Goomastah in Do. — 12 4 3		
							Moozoomdar of Streevelly-poottoor Taluk in Do. — .. 11 15		
							15 10 29		
								17 4 29	

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10652.

Fort St. George

20th July 1848

Name and place of Birth	Caste	age	Taluk	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taluk	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each Employment.	Total length of Service	Remarks
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	years m. D.	years m. D.		years m. D.	
Soobah Row of Tinnevelly	Malabar Brahmin	41	Shenkerni-nar covil	1,51,442 15 7	85 " "	" " " 3 24	w 7 11	Cutwal, Roysum, at Cusbah Tinnevelly and Police Roysum in the Taluks of Shenkernimaracoil, Calacad, and Brimmadasum, in the District of Tinnevelly	9 1 17	
								Magistrate Roysum in the Sub Collector's Office in the District of Tinnevelly	2 6 5	
								Do. Head Do. in the Do.	3 7 19	
									15 3 11	
										15 1022
Ramier of Malabar	Shreevy =							Magistrates additional Roysum in the Zillah Court at Combaconum	2 " "	
Coombaconum Brahmin	55 = goontum			2,62,505 " 3	120 " "	" " 2 24	" 11 24	Police Roysum of Tirooverloor and Tiruvalloor Taluks in the District of Tanjore	4 3 6	
								Magistrates and Revenue Sarabnevis in the Do.	13 9 "	
								Head Sarabnevis in the District of Tinnevelly	14 4 16	
								Stamp Darogah in the Do.	" 3 24	
									34 8 12	35 8 6
Mahomed Goude Thahara of Madura	Mussulman	41	Attapedarum	94,739 10	85 " "	1 2 8	1 2 8	Salt Shoukeydar of Vizianuthy in the District of Tinnevelly	" 4 15	
								Police ameen of Padookky puttih in Do.	1 2 14	
								Peishcar in the Punjamah Villages in Taluks in Do.	1 1020	
								Sazer in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court in Do.	2 " 11	
									5 6 "	
										6 8 8

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10653-

Fort St. George

20th July 1848

Name and place of Birth	Caste	age	Taloks	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taloks	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each Employment.	Total length of Service	Remarks
		years		CRs a/D	CRs a D	years D m D	years m D	years m D	years m D	
Arundiah Pillay of Aryanaiga poorum in Tinnevelly	Vellala	56	Nangoonary	114264 9	85 " "	" 10 "		Royseem of Alwar tinnevelly Taloks in the Zillah of Tinnevelly 13 " " acting Sumpratthy of Surdysoor Palaiyput and as Do on the Pearl Fishery Establishment " 4 "		
							6 8 11	Police Royseem of Shenkernia-covil Tenkausy and Puryamahl Taloks in Do 2 8 " Salt Choukeydar in the District of Do 6 " " Peishcar of Streevelly poottoor Puryamaud and Streevygoontum Taloks in Do 3 " 5		
									25 " 5	31 8 16
Veerabudradilly of Umbasamoodrum	Vellala	36	Vullyoor	179107 " 4	85 " "	" 4 10	" 4 10	Civil Royseem in the Zillah Court of Madura Sayer Goomastah at the Cutcherry in the District of Tinnevelly 2 3 24 " 6 " Revenue and Sayer Jawabneviss at Do in Do 2 7 10 Magistrate's Head Royseem at Do in Do 8 4 18 Do — Record keeper at Do in Do " 1 2		
									13 10 24	14 3 4
Theroomul Row of Tinnevelly	Maratah Brahmin	41	Punjamahl	108345 9	85 " "	" 14 "	1 4 "	Goomastah in the Collectorate and Shermadavy Taloks in the District of Tinnevelly 13 1 24 Mooroomdar of Streevygoontum Talook in Do 5 4 3 Head Goomastah in Do " 9 25		
									19 3 22	20 7 22

E. E. /Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

Tinnevelly, Tencausey, 11th July 1848

ordered

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

31-32

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following Letters.—

To,

I. Bycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

33

From the Collector of Malabar — Pura 3.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 11th and received with the Board's Proceedings under date the 18th May 1846 I have the honor to enclose for the information of Government Copy of a letter from Sub Collector reporting on the Management of the property belonging to the Minior Moyanmarvittil Coonhy Ramen, and the progress made in his education.—

Dated 22nd Inst.

Calicut, 26th June, 1848

I Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1

To, H. V. Conolly Esqre

Collector of Malabar.—

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 14th Instant regarding the education and property of

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

of the Minor Moyanmarvittil Coonhy Ramen I have the honor to report that his estate has been managed in a satisfactory manner and very favorably to the interests of the Minor. There are at present 95700 Edangalies of paddy in deposit (estimated to be worth about 3,000 Rupees) which at a favorable season of the year — Viz. July or August will be sold and together with 1075 Rupees recovered on account of outstanding debts and Current rent of lands, and at present in the Talook Cutcherry, transferred in the Government Securities.—

In regard to the general education of Coonhy Ramen I am able to speak from personal observation in a satisfactory manner. He has acquired a good and useful knowledge of his native tongue, has busied himself with Sanscrit and made some attempts upon English — In order to make any advance in the latter language he requires a better instructor than he has at present, and as he seems inclined to learn I beg to propose being allowed to provide a Suitable tutor. A Portuguese of respectability who has often acted as Writer in this Office, and possesses a practical knowledge

knowledge of English volunteers his services for a Salary of 15 Rupees per Mensem.—

When I visit Cannanore or &c. Cavey Isam accustomed to see Coonhiy Ramen &c and have reason to speak well of his improvement in mind and body. He makes no complaint and I cannot &c learn that he has reason to make any; &c he seems to be kindly treated, to possess a very tractable disposition, to like and be liked by his immediate attendants. His Constitution does not appear to be strong and his health for a short time during the year was or indifferent, it is now much improved and I hope that as he grows in years he will also grow in Strength.—

Tellicherry,
22nd June,
1848.

/Signed/ R. Chatfield
Sub Collector

Dft.

To.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George—

(34)

From the Collr of Malabar
Dated 30th ultimo

Sir,

Adverting to my letter dated 26th ultimo, I have the honor to forward Copy of a further communication together with its enclosure from my Sub Collector on the subject of the property belonging to the minor Moyanmarvittil Coonjy Ramen, and to state that I have thought it as well to address the Cherical Rajah direct to request the exertion of his best energies in effecting a speedy recovery of the outstanding arrears.—

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Calicut
6th July 1848

No 1.

To

H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 14th Instant, and my reply thereto submitting a report on Moyanmarvittil Coonjy Ramen's estate and education, I have the honor to forward a figured Statement prepared by my Serishtedar which it has struck me you might be glad to possess, as showing more particularly the present position and prospects of the Minor's property.—

Sub Collr's Office
Tellicherry,
30th June 1848

/Signed/ R. Chatfield
Sub Collector.

Statement

ne 20th July 1848.

t of the Estate of the Minor Majanmarvittle Conjee Ramen -

	Disbursements on account of Education &c of the Minor Conjee Ramen -		Do on account of the pay & cloathing of the people employed in & conducting Works and managing the Estate & affairs		Do on account of Station Rent		Do on account of Conjee to be given to the poor from the Choultry in Chintadrepettah		Do on account of the performance of Ramen ceremony for the deceased -	
	12		13		14		15		16	
	Rs	g.p.d	Rs	g.p.d	Rs	g.p.d	Rs	g.p.d	Rs	g.p.d
	112	2 12	111	1 44	5	- -	-	- -	1,433	3 64
	777	- -	398	- 20	35	- -	150	- -	-	- -
	677	- -	348	- -	25	- -	150	- -	-	- -
	677	- -	348	- -	25	- -	150	- -	-	- -
	392	- -	194	- -	-	- -	80	- -	-	- -
	2,635	2 12	1,399	1 64	90	- -	530	- -	1,433	3 64

10659

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

17 Do on account of certain particular ceremonies	18 Do on account of Arrears of Revenue	19 Amount paid on account of Money Bonds &c. Settlements by the do = = covered =	20 Total of Cols. 17 to 19	21 Estimated value of Paddy and Cash on deposit =	22 Amount placed in the Government Co. = = curities =	23 Total of Cols. 20 to 22	24 Amount in Arrears on account of those men- = tioned in Col. 3 of last Statement deducting receipts as specified in Col. 17 of this.	25 Do to be recovered on account on the following debts viz.	26 Total of Cols. 24 & 25.
Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs	Rs grs
17 1 -	347 1 92	- - -	2027 2 12	64 3 48	- -		64 3 48	1511 2 70	42922 1 89 44434 - 59
807 2 53	2533 3 37	- - -	4701 2 10	1478 1 56	- -		1478 1 56	441 1 29	- - - 441 1 29
53 - 87	2546 - 68	- - -	3799 1 55	1036 2 83	- - -		1036 2 83	470 2 77	- - - 470 2 77
31 3 40	2557 1 78	9 1 39	3798 2 57	1474 - 38½			2974 - 38½	288 3 38	- - - 288 3 38
- 2 13	1533 1 16½	282 1 20	2482 - 49½	189 - 82½	2500		1189 - 82½	2414 1 35	- - - 2414 1 35
910 1 93	9518 - 91½	291 2 59	16809 - 83½	4243 1 8	2500 - -		6743 1 8	5126 3 49	42922 1 89 48049 1 38

The only thing particularly noticeable here is the large out- standing balance in favor of the Estate, the arrears have been accumulating for years and in many instances are disputed, and in others declared to have been paid. The Rajah of Chericul has been requested to exert his energies in recovering what is really due, and terminating as soon as possible, all disputed arrears.

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Sub Collector -

In

33-35

Proceedings thereon -

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Malabar on the subject of the Estate of the Minor Moyanmar=-=wittal Coongy Ramen and the progress made in his education with the Board's observations.

Fort St.e George 20th July 1848

In the letter of the Sub Collector of the 22d June last, a favorable account was given of the state of the minor's health, his disposition and the treatment which he receives from those under whose charge he has been placed. This general education also seems to be attended to - "He has acquired a good and useful know=-=ledge of his native tongue has busied himself with sanscrit and made some attempts in English" - In order to make any advance in the latter language, he however requires a better instructor than he has at present; and it is proposed by the Sub Collector to provide a suitable tutor at 15 Rupees per mensem. The Court will instruct the Collector of Malabar to carry out this proposal. -

2- The informations given in the papers before the Court in respect to the management of the minor's property is not equally satisfactory - On examining the account furnished by the Sub Collector on the 30th June last, which exhibits the debts due to the estate with its rent=-=roll receipts and expenditure from the Death of Moyanmar Vittel Parvathy Ammah 1019 or Fusly 1253 to Meenom of Ammah 1023

[10th June 1844]

Fort St.e George 20th July 1848.

or April 1848 it is observed that the amount expended during that period for the maintenance and deduction of the Minor, for the manage=-=ment of the property and usual charges (exclusive of Rupees 1433-3-64 2d Rs spent in Ammah 1019 for the funeral ceremonies of the deceased) amounted to Rupees 5565-1-69 being for four years about Rupees 1391 per annum or 160 Rupees above the estimate Rupees 1231-3-3 submitted by the late Sub Collector Mr. Crozier in his letter to the Collector, of 26th March 1845 - The reason of this excess should be explained. -

3- Again it would seem if the Court understand the account rightly that of the rent in arrears and outstanding debts (Column 4) Rs. 43370-3-25½ due to the deceased on her death corresponding nearly with the balance Rupees 45506-"-99½ of the bonds - Accounts and balances entered in the Statement which accompanied Mr. Sub Collector Chatfield's letter of 22d April 1846, only Rs. 2556-2-5½ (Columns 8, 9, 10) have been recovered of the current revenue (Column 3) of the estate for the same time Viz.t Rupees 26905-2-62 Rs. 19684-2-33 only have been realized - In respect to the first of these balances, it is stated by the Sub Collector "the arrears have been accumulating for years, and in many instances

are

Note originally Rs 1351-3-3 but altd to 1391 at 10 per Month for a Karnadan Manager) was afterwards stated to be unnecessary -

Vide Enclosure 1 from Malabar 19th December 1845 Con.s 8th January 1846 -

Enclosure in a letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 5th in Cons.s 21st July 1845 -

(Column 4)

Enclosure in a letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 24th in Cons.s 30th April 1846 -

(Column 7)

"are disputed, and in others, declared to have been "paid" and that the Cherical Rajah, who has the superintendence of the property, "has been "requested to exert his energies in recovering what "is really due and terminating as soon as possible, "all disputed arrears" allowance is of course to be made for the difficulty of recovering debts disputed, or of long standing. but the Court re=quest that the Collector will inform himself carefully of the nature of these demands and satisfy himself that due measures are being taken for ensuring payment of such as may seem recoverable. The same cause cannot how=ever, be assigned for the non realization of the current income of the Estate; and the Collector is desired to explain why so large a proportion as Rupees 7,221-a-2 is outstanding on this score, and when it will be made good. It is remarked that the net surplus of the property was stated in the Sub Collector's letter of 4th April 1845 at about Rupees 2,329-6-2 per Annum, whereas the total amount in deposit and invested in government securities for the period from Fusly 1253/1019 to Fusly 1257/1023 Aundos embraced by the Sub Collector's Statement is only 6743-1-8.

+ See Columns 3 and 7

+ Columns 21 & 22 of Statement which accompanied the Sub-Collector's letter of 30th June 1848.

It

6 It is observed from Column 22 of the Statement that Rupees 2500 have been placed in Government securities. The Collector is informed that under Clause II, Section XXII, Regulation V of 1804, all bonds which are already, or may hereafter be purchased on behalf of the Estate, should be endorsed in the name of the Secretary to the Court of Wards for the time being, and forwarded to this Office in view to their being deposited with the Government Agency.

Resolved that an Extract of the above Proceedings be forwarded to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th May 1846 No. 528.

Resolved also that a Copy of the Extract of the above Proceedings be sent to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance.

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(36)

From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

My attention has for some time past been attracted to the great necessity which exists

excists of recopying our old Jummahbundy Accounts. They are at present written on ollas a material very subject to decay and injury - and the more so the more it becomes ancient. -

The accounts merge from 69/1763/4 to 984/1805/6 the latest of them being thus 43 years while the earliest are 55 years old. Many have begun to get brittle and hazardous to handle while the writing on others is more or less effacing. I propose with the sanction of the Government at once to copy these valuable writings on which our assessment is generally based, on substantial paper. The cost will be considerable about 3000 Rs for copyists independent of paper. but it will be money well laid out and there will be an additional advantage in spending it at present, that we shall thereby be able to provide for a time for a great part of the servants whom our late Custom House arrangements has thrown out of employ. This advantage is quite a subsidiary tho' a real one. It would under no circumstances be desirable to allow the accounts to remain in their present state. The amount will not be required at once. The accounts of course must be copied with great

care and under watchful examination. I would begin with those which seem most to require renewal and probably complete the whole in 4 years. -

Calicut 8th July 1848

/ Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address. -

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter of the 8th Instant from the Collector of Malabar stating that the old Jamabundy accounts of his districts from 969/1793/4 to 981/1805/6 are written on Cadjans.

36-37

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

In Cons.n 20th July 1848

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

Cadjans which are daily becoming more unserviceable, and recommending that these valuable records be at once transcribled on substantial paper.-

The Board of Revenue beg to submit this proposal for the favorable consideration of Government and in the event of the measure being sanctioned the Collector will be requested to communicate further with this Office upon the arrangements necessary for carrying it out.- It is observed that the expense on account of the pay of the Copyists is estimated at Rupees 3000 in addition to the cost of the paper and that the time required for the completion of the work is calculated at about four years.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th July 1848

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 20th July 1848

Read the following letters

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(38)

From the Collr. of Malabar — I have the honor to annex a Statement of the highest offers made for the Rents of the Alienated Farms in this District for Fusly 1258 (1848/49) and to request the Board will be good enough to confirm the same.- The Renters have all given good and sufficient Security.-

Calicut, 10th July 1848.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Statement

10668

Fort St. George

20th July 1848.

Statement shewing the highest offers that have been made for the rent of the Melvaram Farms in the Province of Malabar for Fasly 1258 / 1848-49 Compared with the Average Amount of the rents for the preceding three years.

Names of the Talooks.	Highest amount bid by public auction: Names of the Bidders.	Amount bids.	Average Amount of the rent for the last three years.	Increase in Fasly 1258.	Decrease in Fasly 1258.	Remarks.
In the Collector's Division: Calicut, Quelandy and Sidparah in Valloovanaad	Kalingnel boorky boro	8000 " "	8000 " "	— " "		Rented for 3 years from Fasly 1257 to 1259.
	Ramasamy Naik	50 " "	50 " "	— " "		
In the Asst. Collector's Do. Canary, Cheriakal, Cotiote, Tellicherry, Chirakal, Kurumbranaad, Wynaad	Krishna Naik & Alwar Naik	3010 " "	2901 " "	109 " "	— " "	
		4900 " "	4720 10 8	179 5 4	— " "	
		6255 " "	5977 5 4	277 10 8	— " "	
		7000 " "	5685 5 4	1314 10 8	— " "	
		2340 " "	2104 10 8	235 5 4	— " "	
		4500 " "	3366 " "	1134 " "	— " "	
In the Asst. & 1st Coll.rs Division: Palghaut	Ramen Kristna Pillay	320 " "	491 10 8	— " "	171 10 8	
	Sow Mootoo Narsen Pillay					
Wallyar	Ramasamy Pillay Sow Nala Pillay Cris Nandala	35 " "	21 12 9	13 3 3	— " "	
	Soopen Nain Gopal Naik					
	Sow Bardas Naik					
In the Joint Magistrate's Division: Cochin	Koonhata Govind Nair	4000 " "	4378 10 8	— " "	378 10 8	
	Son Vengade Shanapoy					
	Madanen					
		40410 " "	37697 2 1	3263 3 3	550 5 4	
			Decrease —	550 5 4		
	Deduct		Rupees —	2712 13 11		
	Net Increase					

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

H.

1870 Fort St. George 20th July 1848

Revolution thereon. To the Board of Revenue Sir

38-39 I am the accountant of the Office for the collection of Land Revenue of the District of Chingleput for Fasly 1258 Reported in the foregoing Letter dated 18th instant in the Appendix to the Report of the Committee on the Land Revenue Administration of the Madras Presidency and forwarded to the Government with their Proceedings of the 20th instant I have the honor to submit the accompanying Statement shewing separately the Collections on account of the several Items included in the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance under the several Heads of Extra Revenue from 12th July 1847 to 30th June 1848 Compared with the average Collections of the same period during the preceding Fasslies—

40

Fasslies	Salt	Abkarry	Sundry small Farms & Licenses	Stamp Papers & Cadjans	Grand Total
	Rupees A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1254	180,936 " 2	68,0650 11 11	11,675 9 10	8,267 14 "	7,81530 3 11
1255	111,383 8 4	54,9140 9 7	11,946 15 8	6,106 9 "	4,31,577 10 7
1256	254,190 " 11	44,4680 12 9	11,919 7 3	6,747 11 6	7,19838 " 5
"	551509 9 5	157,4472 2 3	35512 " 9	21,422 2 6	2,18,321.5 14 11
"	183936 8 6	52,4824 " 9	11,817 5 7	7,140 11 6	7,27718. 10 4
1257	26,9990 " "	5,10,409 3 10	11,542 10 9	9,400 14 6	80,131.2 13 1

From 12th July to 30th June

Average

Actual Collections from 12th July 1847 to 30th June 1848

Madras, 18th July 1848.

E. E. F. (Signed) L. A. Huddleston Coll.r

ordered

(40-41)

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

Ordered to be recorded.

(42)

From the Secry to the Govt. Savings Banks

Read Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of June 1848

(42-43)

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Resolved that the following Proceedings and letter be despatched.

Read endorsement passed by the Collector of Salem on Petition No 847 of 1848.

(44)

[Here enter 11th July 1848]

Proceedings thereon. The Board are desirous of having some more detailed information in respect to the allegations made in the Petition on which the endorsement above recorded was passed. The Collector is requested to submit a Statement shewing the particulars of the balances Rs 131-5-4 and Rs 102-3-5 due for Fasleis 1256 and 1257 respectively by Petitioner and his relatives with the lands on which they have accrued and in whose name entered in the public accounts and to explain who these relatives are and on what grounds Petitioner has been

held

Fort St. George 20th July 1848

held responsible for their arrears. He will further furnish a Statement shewing the extent of lands held by Petitioner in his own name for Fasleis 1256 and 1257 and the demand collection and balance against him for such land on account of those Fasleis and report more fully on all Circumstances connected with the large arrears now charged against Petitioner and his refusal to liquidate them.

Read endorsement from the Collector of South arcot in Petition No 2172 of 1847.

(45)

[Here enter 10th July 1848]

Proceedings thereon. From the endorsement passed by the Collector upon this Petition he seems to be of opinion that the Petitioner's ancestors were the Meerasy Curnums of the three Villages now claimed prior to the year 1805/6. From what reason they ceased to fill the office at that time does not clearly appear. It is however certain that the defendants Grandfather, father and defendant himself have successively been in enjoyment of the appointment from that date or for more than 40 years

and

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

and there is nothing to shew that defend-
-ant is not duly qualified for the office-
Under these Circumstances the Board of
Revenue think that the present arrange-
-ment should not be disturbed-

Ordered that the following
Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 2172
of 1847-

"From the Collector's Report
on the subject of this petition it appears
that neither Petitioner nor his ancestors
have been connected with the Meerasy
now claimed since 1805/6 and that for the
last forty years the office has been succes-
-sively filled by the Grandfather and fa-ther
of the present incumbent and that party
himself. Under these Circumstances the
Board see no reason to disturb the existing
arrangements-

To
The Accountant General
Civil Audition
and
Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing
Contingent Charges
Gentlemen.

46

To the Accountt General
Civil Audition and — —
Sub Treasurer — — —

With reference to the
order

Fort St. George 20th July 1848.

orders of Government of the 1st June 1821
published in the Fort St. George Gazette of
the 5th of that [illegible] I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to forward the accompany-
-ing Bills of Contingent Charges incurred
in this office for the month of June last, -
amounting to Rupees 36-12-6 and to request
that they may be passed and returned-

Revenue Board office,
Fort St. George,
20th July, 1848. — — —

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous letters and Statements-

47
From the Jy Collr
of Northern Circt -

Supplemental Indent for Stationery
required for the use of the Collectors Cutcherry for
the year 1849-

47-48
Order thereon-

Ordered that the original Indent
be passed and transmitted to the Secretary
to the Military Board-

49
From the Collector of Canara-

Statement of Smuggled
Tobacco recommended for confiscation for
the Month of March last-

49-50
Order thereon-

Ordered that the Confiscation
and sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated
in the Statement be authorized-

51
From the Collector of Cuddapah-

Return of persons tried
for offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month
of April last-

52
From the Collector of Cuddapah-

Return of persons suspended
and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for
the Month of April last-

From

53 From the Collector of Cuddapah - Informing that there are no cases of Persons Confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of April last -

54 From the Dy. Collector of Coimbatore - } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last -

55 From the Dy. Collector of Coimbatore - } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of June last -

56 From the Collector of Madras - Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of June last -

57 From the Collector of Madras - Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of June last.

58 From the Collector of Madras - Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 500 Salt Rowannahs -

58-59 Order thereon - Ordered to be supplied -

60 From the Collector of Nellore - Returns of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of June last -

61 From the Sub Treasurer - Indent on the Commissary General for public carriage for conveying from the Beach Treasure Landed from the Hugh Lindsay from Nellore -

12 From the Sub Treasurer - Indent on the Commissary General for Carpenters for opening Boxes of Treasure received from Bellary and Cuddapah -

61-13 Order on the two Abstract Indents } Ordered that the original Indents be passed and returned -

" J. D. Drury.

" Walter Elliot.

" Goldingham

Comp.d D. Gibb.

No Diary -

At a Meeting Present:

Monday - 24th July 1848 } C. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member
and
I. Goldingham Esqre Acting Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved -

Read the following Extracts.

No 691.

1 From the Secy to Government - - - - } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 27th June, 1848 -

Observing that the payment of the several shares in the pension of Pr Cully Jonanna renewed in the name of Hashomes Omer in May 1848 was left to be settled by the Board and requesting further information respecting the share held by that individual and that the collector's attention be called to the irregularity of entering religious service grants under that of charitable allowances -

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 25th April and recorded in Diary to Consultation 9th May 1848 No 477 -

Read also the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Fort St. George 29th May 1848. No 280)

Mins: of Cons:	6th March 1835	No 258 -	Para. From the correspondence noted in the margin, and from the Number of the case given by the Collector of Madura in his original application as well as from the corresponding number in
From Dy Coll.r Madura	12th Octr	- " - " - 28 -	
Order thereon	27th	- " - " - " - 1325	
From Actg Secy Board of Revenue	18th March 1848	- 112	
Order thereon	10th May	- " - 1,614	
From Offg Secy Board of Revenue	25th July	- " - 315 -	
Order thereon	21st Augt	- " - 866 -	

in the Account particular furnished to the Audit Office in support of the Yeomiah payments from that Treasury, it appears that the Service Yeomiah in which the late Goolam Hyssain is said to have enjoyed one Sixth share is the same that was renewed by the Order of Government dated 10th. May 1844 No. 484 in favor of Mahomed Omer in whose name the whole allowance for the Superintendence and charges of the Mosque in the Fort of Madura continues to be paid. In the letter† in which that order was passed the Yeomiah of 2½ Gully fanams per diem was rendered by the Board of Revenue equivalent to Rupees 16-7-4 per Annum and for the payment of this amount sanction was granted. At the above rate the one Sixth share would amount to Rupees 2-11-10 per Annum, which is a more certain datum for the guidance of the Audit Office than that given in the Collectors letter of the 20th. May last.-

†Dated 18th. March 1844 No. 112.

2. The present application appears to be for the renewal of one of the shares referred to in the letter from the Principal Collector of Madura of the 12th. October 1835; but for this the sanction of Government is unnecessary, as the subordinate division of the yeomiah was left by the Order of the 27th. October 1835 to be settled by the Board.-

3. The Governor in Council remarks that

that though Mahomed Omer is the party to whom the yeomiah was continued under the authority of Government of the 10th. May 1844, his name is not entered in the Collector's Statement of the 8th. December 1847 as one of the sharers in the grant. This omission requires some explanation as no report has been received of any lapse since the date of that sanction.-

4. The attention of the present Collector should be called to the Orders communicated in para 17 of the Minutes of Consultation of the 12th. December 1845 No. 1138, and to the irregularity of entering Religious Service grants under the head of "Charitable Allowances" in the Account Particular furnished to the Audit Office.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(1-2)

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. L. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madura with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.-

Board's Proceedings 15th. May 1848 From Coll. 20th. inst. 29th. Do.

2. Mr. Parker's attention is called to paras 3 & 4 of the Minutes of Consultation under transmission. It is observed that the order quoted by Government in para 4th was communicated to the

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

the late Principal Collector of Madura on the 19th January 1846. He will also explain why if Mahomed Omer is still in existence and receives his allowance, his name is not entered in the Collectors Statement of 8th December last, as one of the sharers in the grant. On receipt of this information, instructions will be issued by the Board in respect to the succession to the 1/8th share held by the late Gholam Hussain.-

3

From the Secy. to Govt.

No. 728.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 7th July 1848.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

/Here enter 1st May 1848. No. 229/

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants to the Collector of South Arcot the requisite authority for refunding to the Pundaram of the Muttum, the surplus Proceeds of the Village of Bommiapolliam amounting to Rupees /1475-13-6/ One thousand four hundred and Se: = venty five; Annas thirteen, and Price Six.-

2. But he desires that the Board of Revenue will explain whether any and what ob: = jection exists to the measure contemplated by the Government of India for the actual restora: = tion of the Village which, it is observed, has been ordered to be retained under the Management of the Collector, an arrangement apparently opposed to

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

to the general instructions communicated in para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th April 1846. No. 414 for the transfer of Pagoda lands and other Religious endowments to the trustees of the Institutions.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Govt.

4

Order thereon. Ordered that a copy of Para 1 of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information and guid: = ance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 14th April last.-

Ordered also that the following letter be addressed to Government in reply to para 2 of the above Extract.-

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

3-5

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 7th Instant, No. 728, authorizing the refund to the Pundaram of the Muttum of the surplus proceeds of the Village of Bommiapolliam, amounting to Rupees 1475-13-6. and desiring them to explain whether any and what objection exists to this village, which has been ordered to be retained under the management of the Collector, being actually made

made over to the Pundarum.

2. In reply the Board instruct me to state that the orders of the Supreme Government dated 8th November 1847 regarding the restoration of the Village in question seemed to them to imply that matters should in respect of that endowment be placed on the same footing on which they stood prior to the last lapse in 1844; and as previous to that date the Village had been under the management of the Government Officers and the proceeds only paid to the Pundarum, this practice was ordered to be continued.- At the same time as the other Dhatchanam Villages attached to religious institutions in South Arcot have been directed to be made over to their respective Trustees, there would appear to be no reason why Bommiapollium should be made an exception, and the Board, should the Government concur, will issue instructions for its transfer to the Pundarum accordingly.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 24th July, 1848. } (Signed) I. Pycroft.
Secretary.

From Government 11th December 1847 in Con. 9th March 1848

6

From the Secretary to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date 11th July, 1848. No. 735.

Read the following.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department 30th June 1848. No. 2770

Read the following Paper.

To Lieutenant Colonel C. A. Browne
Secretary to Government, Military Department

Sir,

I have the honor, by order of the

Referring to the Commander in Chief's Relation from the Native Inhabitants of Bellary praying that Vadamalay Pillay 2d Dresser attached to the Dispensary at that Station may not be removed from his present charge on promotion to 1st Dresser.

the Commander in Chief to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 26th Instant No. 2897 and in reply to state that the proposed arrangement, if sanctioned, will involve an additional 1st Dresser to the Establishment and a reduction of one 2d Dresser.-

2- His Excellency however directs me to bring to the notice of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, that applications of a similar nature are frequently made to this Department in behalf of Medical Subordinates removable on Promotion 1st ca., and it is to be expected that compliance with the present request will only lead to other applications and encourage the idea, already prevalent, that when supported by Petitions to which local Signatures are fixed, and which are every where easily attainable, the Regulations of the service may be set aside.-

Adjutant General's Office
Fort St. George, 28th June, 1848. } (Signed) R. Alexander Lt. Colt.
Adjutant General of the Army.-

Ordered to be communicated to the Revenue Department with reference to Minutes of Consultation 24th June 1848. No. 670.-

(Signed) C. A. Browne, Lieut. Colt.
Secretary to Government.-

Ordered that the letter from the Adjutant-General of the Army dated 28th June 1848. be

be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings dated 12th June 1848. with an intimation that under the circumstances stated, the Government decline to comply with the application preferred by the Inhabitants of Bellary on behalf of Vadamalay Pillay.-

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

6-7

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing correspondence be communicated for the information of the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 27th May last.-

8

From the Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 11th July 1848. No. 159.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 26th June 1848. No. 328)

Para. 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Authorizes the disposal of the hidden treasure referred to as cases 1 and 3 in the foregoing letter, in the manner proposed by the Board of Revenue:-

2. In Case No. 2 the Governor in Council is not inclined to advance any claim on the part of Government to the coins found in the Vatty's Enam land and directs that the balance of nine Pieces valued at Rupees (95) Ninety five in deposit on this account be

be made over to the finder according to the provisions of Section VI Regulation XI of 1833.-

3. The Sudr Udawlut to whom a Copy of the foregoing correspondence will be furnished will be pleased to instruct the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput to issue a notice intimating that the amount in deposit in these cases will be paid to the respective finders on their presenting and identifying themselves.-

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

9-9

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be furnished for the information of the Collector of Chingleput.-

Mr. Freese will be pleased to communicate to the Government Vakeel of the Adawlut Court of Chingleput the above orders of Government. It is observed that the necessary instructions will be forwarded to the Principal Sudder Ameen through the Court of Sudder Udawlut.-

No. 764.

10

From the Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 18th July 1848.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 6th July 1848. No. 347)

The Right Honorable the Governor

10685 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the suit No. 65 of 1848 brought by Verruppah Gowndan and others against the Sub Collector of Salem and others in the Subordinate Court at that Station, but will determine when a copy of the Decree is submitted whether the costs if any be adjusted against the Revenue Officers shall be borne by them or by the Government.-

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

(10-11)

Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem, with reference to his letter dated 15th Ultimo.-

The Board have slightly modifi= =ed paras 1. 2 & 7 of the Collector's proposed An= =swer. and they resolve to forward Copy of these paras to Mr. Lockhart, with their Modifica= =tions entered in red ink.-

(12)

From the Secy. to Government } No. 456.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Fort St. George dated the 11th July 1848.

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council, resolves to transmit to the Board of Revenue the following Publication.-

/ Copy of the Acts and Orders for the North Western Provinces from 1840 to 1843.-

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery /
Secretary to Government.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(12-13)

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.

Read

10686 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Read the following Resolution.-

No. 281.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 13th July 1848.-

(14) / From Board 6th July /

From the Chief Secretary to Government.- In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the Peons alluded to in the foregoing Proceedings be made over to the Commissariat Department again required in the Annamalai Forests, should however the Officers employed in conducting those Forests require the use of one of the Animals, the Commissary General will be pleased to comply with the requisition.-

/ Signed / J. F. Thomas.
Chief Secretary.

(14-15)

Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the Board's Pro= =ceedings dated 6th Instant.-

Read the following Resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 13th July, 1848.- No. 749.-

(16) / From Board 19th June /

From the Secretary to Government.- Resolved that the alteration proposed in the Establishment of the Sub Collector of Tanjore be entered in the next Monthly Statement and submitted to the Go= =vernment of India with the recommendation of this Government that the arrangement

arrangement may be sanctioned.-

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Govern.t

(16-17)

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Tanjore, with reference to his letter dated 7th. Ultimo.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 13th. July 1848. No. 750.

/From Board 3d. July/

(18)

From the Secretary to Government. The Right Ho:=norable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the Cost and Charges of the 47 Candies of Coir shipped for Calcutta on board the ship "Tattay Salem" amount=ing to Rupees (1196-2-9) One thousand one hundred and Ninety Six, Annas two and pice nine.-

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

(18-19)

Order thereon Ordered to be communi=cated for the information of the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 20th. Ultimo..

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th. July 1848. No. 756.

/From Board 3d. July/

(20)

From the Secretary to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is satisfied with the explanation afforded by the Collector of Malabar on the

points referred to in the 5th. Para of the Ex=tract Minutes of Consultation of the 23d. May. He observes that the Sub Collector furnished the usual Annual report of the Settlement of his Division of the District which the Collector inadvertently omitted to forward.

The 11th. Para of the Sub Collectors' report requires the attention of Mr. Conolly.

(20-21)

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that Copy of the foregoing order of Government, and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply, be commu=nicated for the information of the Collector of Malabar, with reference to his letter dated 17th. Ultimo.-

Mr. Conolly's attention is called to the 11th. Para of his Sub Collectors' report on the Settlement of Fusly 1256, respecting the outstanding balances in the Sub Division, and he is requested to favor the Board with his opinion on the suggestion con=tained therein..

Read the Undermentioned Statements.-

(22) From the Collector of Cuddapah. Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under Regulation IX of 1831.

(23) From the Collector of Cuddapah. Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under Regulation IX of 1831.

(24) From the Collector of Cuddapah. Application for the continuance

25 continuance or resumption of lapsed Enams falling under Regulation IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance and resumption of lapsed Enam and Poligar Pension falling under Regulation

26 IV of 1831.
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the resumption of a lapsed Enam falling under

27 Regulation IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under Regula-

28 tion IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under

29 Regulation IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under Regulation IV of 1831.-

30
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Memorandum of claims advanced by Parties to certain lapsed Bhuteerthy Enam falling under Regulation

31 IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling

32 under Regulation IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling

33 under Regulation IV of 1831.-
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under Regulation IV of 1831.—
Application

34
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Application for the continuance of a lapsed Enam falling under

35 Regulation IV of 1831.-
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.- Submitting a

36 Statement of two lapsed Enams.-
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.- Submitting a Statement of two lapsed Enams together with four Original documents and English translations of them.-

37
From the Acting Collr of North Arcot.- Submitting a Statement of three lapsed Enams with two original documents and English translations of them.-

38
From the Acting Collr of North Arcot.- Submitting a Statement of a lapsed Enam together with an original document and an English translation.-
From the Acting Collr of North Arcot.- Submitting a Statement of claimants for Succession to the Deshat Enam Village in his District.-

40
From the Acting Collr of North Arcot.- Report of lapses of Maniems in his District.-

41
From the Acting Collr of North Arcot.- Reporting on the lapses of Maniems in his District.-

42
From the Acting Collr of Trichinopoly.- Reporting the death of Beebee Saiba a Petty Enam-
dar of the Village of Pandamungalum in the Trivad Talook and transmitting a

43 Statement of the prescribed form.-
From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly.- Reporting the death of a Petty Enamdar in the a
Tolconda pooram

10691 Fort St. George 24th. July 1818.

(22-44) Folcanda poorum Talook.

Order on the 22 abovementioned Statements & letters } Ordered that the abovementioned Statements and letters be returned to the several Collectors with instructions for their guidance.

Read the following letters.

(45) To. T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From the Collr. of Chingleput } Sir,

On the subject of the Sub-division accounts of the Tindlum Mootah stating that the request of Bashiem Venceta Reddy could not be complied with and suggesting for reasons given to confirm the Sub division accounts already submitted.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 26th. Ultimo, giving cover to a Petition from Bashiem Venceta Reddy, objecting to the data on which the late Sub division accounts of the Tindalum Mootah had been framed; and to state, for their information, that having again called for the parties, Bashiem Venceta Reddy informed me, that his object in petitioning the Board was to obtain their orders to frame the Sub division accounts on the results of the five years preceding the period which formed the basis of the Sub division already proposed in consequence of his believing that during the latter period, the affairs of the Villages allotted to his share had been neglected by the Zemindar in order to injure his interests, but that if his

10692. Fort St. George 24th. July 1818.

his request could not be complied with, he was willing to abide by the arrangement already submitted. In regard to this supposition, I would observe that during the period above alluded to the Zemindar had been disputing in the Civil Court the right of Bashiem Venceta Reddy to any share at all in the Mootah, and was not therefore likely to have adopted any such proceeding intentionally to prejudice the interests of the Minor.

The Zemindar objects to the proposition of adopting the average of the five years selected by Bashiem Venceta Reddy; and as Section XII Regulation XXVI of 1802 provides that sub-divisions of Estates should be framed on the average result of not less than three years immediately preceding the then current year, I do not think the request of Bashiem Venceta Reddy can be acceded to without establishing an inconvenient precedent; and as he is in that case not unwilling to abide by the arrangement proposed, I beg to suggest the subdivision accounts may receive confirmation. I have taken Bashiem Venceta Reddy's Statement to the above effect in writing.

Chingleput District, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Coroor, 15th. July, 1818. } (Signed) A. Freese Collector

The

10693

Fort St. George 24th. July 1848.

45-46

Resolution thereon. The Collector is requested to furnish the Board with copy of the Statement taken by him from Bashiem Venkata Reddy, & also to procure from that individual and forward to this Office the Petition sent to him with the Proceedings of the Board of the 26th. Ultimo.

To, T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

47

From the Collr. of Chingleput.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 10th. Instant, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board that the Annual Rent of the Shrotrium Village of Karoor has been increased since the date of Mr. Clarke's Jaury Summud, from Rupees 350 to Rupees 439—11—9 by the addition of Rupees 89—11—9 to the Beriz on account of resumed Cavel, Cangoe and Dasavantanum Inerah 1st.

The Jaury Summud B is hereby returned.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Karoor, 17th. July, 1848.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Order thereon;

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following Address.

To,

10694

Fort St. George 21st. July 1848.

47-48

To The Chief Secretary to Government — Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Chingleput on the subject of the registry of the Shrotrium Village of Karoor in the Chingleput District.

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the margin, which has passed between this Office and the Collector of Chingleput on the subject of the registry of the Shrotrium Village of Karoor, in the Talook of Kanjeeveram in his District.

Letter from the Collector of Chingleput to the Board of Revenue dated 12th. inConsultation 25th. May 1848.
Proceedings of the Board 25th. Idem.
Letter & Report from the Collr. of Chingleput to the Board 21st. June inCons. 10th. July 1848.
To Collr. 10th. July "
From Collr. 17th. — inCons. 21st. July 1848.

Note. The Rent was Originally 350 Rs. an addition of Rupees 89—11—9 was afterwards made to the Beriz on account of Resumed Cavel Cangoe and Dasavantanum Inerah 1st. From Collr. 17th. In Cons. 21st. July 1848.

2. From this it will be seen that the Shrotrium in question paying an annual Rent of Rupees 439—11—9 is stated to have been Originally granted by the Nabob Anawardy Khan in preference to Venkatavurda Tanta Charry on account of his Literary attainments, and that it was held after his death, by his 3 grand Sons Venkata Vurda Tanta Charry, Comara Tantachary and Veera Ragava Tantachary; that on the demise of the two latter the Village was registered in 1826 in the names of their five Sons and their Brother the Venkata Vurda Charry already named. Of these parties Venkatavurda Charry and three of the Sons have since died and the Village is now held in 12 Shares

Fort St. George 24th July 1818.

shares by the following Members of the family of the original grantee whose relationship to him and to each other is shown in the genealogical Statement forwarded by the Collector, and in whose names it is now requested that the Shrotrium may be registered. Viz.t

	Shares
Veeraragava Pauta Chetty son of Vencata vurda Pauta chetty	3
Vencata Veera Ragava Pauta chetty	1
Iya Dora Iyenghar Do. of Do.	1
Comara Pauta chetty son of Chinna Iyengar	1
Vencatavurda Pauta chetty son of Seenevasa Pauta Chetty	3
Chinna Veera Ragava Pauta Chetty and Comara Pauta Chetty sons of Venkata veera Chetty	3
	12

3. The Original Purwanah of the Nobob Anawurde Khan is lost. The date tenure and purpose of the grant, cannot therefore be conclusively known. It is shown however from the Jaree Summuds which have been produced that the Village has been held on its present tenure from a period antecedent to 1790. Should the Government see fit to acquiesce in the validity of the title of the present holders there would seem to be no objection to its being registered in the manner requested.

Note. It is requested that they may be returned.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 24th July 1818. (Signed) T. Dycroft
Secretary

Fort St. George 24th July 1818.

Read the following letters.

To, T. Dycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(49)

Sir,

From the Actg Collr of North Arcot — Para 1.

I have the honor to report for orders of the Board a case of Palmyrah tope in the Shrotriem Village of Mookoondakoyapooram in the Trivul: Luna Taluk.

the following case for the orders of the Board of Revenue.

2. In 1813 a petition was presented to the Tahsildar of Tiruvallum by a toddy drawer, complaining that the Brahmins of Mookoondakoyapooram had cut down some trees in the Palmyrah tope of 5 fields rented by himself and others. When the accused were questioned on the charge they asserted that the tope was their own being included within the limits of their Shrotriem Village. This assertion turned the investigation into another channel, and the Tahsildar reported to the acting Collector that the claim was true. Mr. Babington however directed some further enquiry, and this having been made, and a second petition presented to Mr. Lovell, the case was again reported by the Tahsildar to the same effect.

3. The village of Mookoondakoyapooram is a Shrotrium in the Taluk of Tiruvallum, and is entered in No. 142 in the Enam Register dated June 13, 1815. Lalapett appears to have been taken out of its area to form a town, and it was at one time a place of considerable

considerable population with walls round it. The lands of Inamdaroyapooram surround it on all sides, but this latter Village is itself without inhabitants, all the people belonging to it residing within the limits of Lalapett.

4 The defendants produced three documents, one a copy of a Sakeed dated in Fusly 1203 (1793) issued by Nazeem Mohdeen Khan to the Amil of Ponnay, and declaring that all the land outside the Lalapett Rampart belongs to Inamdaroyapooram. The other two are Pattahs by Mr. Stratton Collector of this District, for the Shrotriem Ody of Fusslies 1211 and 1212, in which it is declared that the topes &c. within the Village belonging to the Shrotriamdars and such is I believe the universal custom in this district at least, in the case of Shrotriem Villages. In the Pymash accounts of Fusly 1215 there is no Palmyra tope entered in Lalapett, while there is one in Inamdaroyapooram.

5 It does not appear that the Government ever derived a revenue from the tope in question up to Fusly 1230 but from Fusly 1231 to 1253 it was annually rented under the name of Lalapett Tope,

Fusslies	Amount			Fusslies	Amount		
	Pd.	A.	P.		Pd.	A.	P.
1231	43	12	"	1243	28	"	"
1232	35	"	"	1244	28	"	"
1233	45	8	"	1245	"	"	"
1234	45	8	"	1246	"	"	"
1235	30	"	"	1247	15	8	"
1236	50	"	"	1248	12	"	"
1237	50	"	"	1249	4	"	"
1238	28	"	"	1250	6	"	"
1239	28	"	"	1251	6	"	"
1240	28	"	"	1252	6	"	"
1241	28	"	"	1253	6	"	"
1242	28	"	"				

as shewn in the marginal State-ment; and no question appears ever to have been raised about the right of the Government to this revenue, till the complaint of 1843.

How it happened that the Shrotriamdars submitted so long to what must be regarded as an infringement of their rights, I am unable to say; they say that the Toddy men who rented the tope paid them a few Rupees annually as Swamybogum, which the latter deny. Even if this is true, still the amount paid to Government was so much taken from the lawful dues of the Shrotriamdars.

6. But whatever may be the cause of this long silence, the right of the Shrotriamdars seems clearly established, and the tope must therefore be given up to them. - But as it yielded revenue up to a recent time, and probably would have done so still except for

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

for this dispute, I consider that the sanction of higher authority is necessary to my formally making it over to the Shrotreemdars, and en perseverancy all claim on the part of Government to its produce— and I have the honor to request that I may be empowered to do this. The progressive falling off in the Revenue of the Tope of late years, must be ascribed to neglect to preserve the trees, or replace such as died or were cut down.

North Arcot,------
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, 28th June, 1848.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector.

Resolution thereon,
49-50

The Acting Collector is requested to refer to the documents, 6 Enoyetmanahs, and a Bhogarutta Chit entered in Column 11 of Mr. Grames Register of the Village, and to ascertain whether they contain any mention of the Tope, and if so, to forward Copies and translations of such as may throw Light upon the subject. He will also submit Copies and translations of the Takeed and Puttahs alluded to in Para 4 of his letter with an Extract from the Pymash Accounts, and state whether there is at present any other Palmyra Tope in Mookoondaroyapooram besides that now in question.

To

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

To,
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

51

From the Collr. of North Arcot
Sir,
Para 1

I beg to solicit instructions from the Board of Revenue with reference to the levy of Assessment and Quit rent on land occupied as the sites of houses and buildings in Military Cantonments.

Solicit instructions from the Board of Revenue in regard to the levy of Assessment and Quit Rent on land occupied as the sites of houses and buildings in the Military Cantonments of this District.

2 The state of the question in this District appears to be as follows— Prior to the Board's Circular Letter of the 20th February 1839 the Quit rent due under Government Grants was collected, but lands held without Grant were not subjected to any demand. On receipt of that order measures were taken for levying the Assessment on these latter also. But on receipt of the order of Government of the 7th July 1840 the Principal Collector not only put a stop to the demand of Quit rent on land held on Grants but also discontinued the measures in progress for determining the demand on lands held without Grant and occupied as above described in Military Cantonments. On the receipt of the order of Government of

Board's Proceedings August 6/40

of

Proceedings July 8/44

of June 15/44 the Acting Collector Mr. Babington considered as I am informed that the realization of the demands therein prescribed was not the duty of the Civil Authorities; and no steps were taken to put the order in execution.—

3 I entertain no doubt that the execution of the last quoted order of Government rests with the Collector. But as land the sites of Houses and Compounds in the Military Cantonments in this District have either never paid tax or in the case of lands held on grants have been exempted for several years past.— I am desirous of having the special instructions of higher authority before making the demand, to avoid the necessity of a reference in case of its justice being disputed. I beg also to be informed from what period the demand is to be made from the commencement of the approaching Fasly now so near, or for the current Fasly also.

North Arcot Collectorate
Cutcherry Palmanair, ---
29th June, 1848 ---

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

J.

51-52

To The Chief Secy to Government submitting letter from the Acting Collector of North arcot requesting instructions respecting the levy of Quitrent and assessment on land occupied as the sites of Houses within the Military Cantonments in his district with the Board's observations.—

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government—

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter 29th June 1848 from the Acting Collector of North arcot requesting instructions respecting the levy of Quit rent and assessment on land occupied as the sites of houses and buildings within the Military Cantonments in his District.—

2. From this it will be seen that, from some misapprehension apparently on the part of the local authorities, the orders of Government of 15th June 1844 which directed that Quit rent or assessment, according as they might be held with or without grant should be charged on all lands occupied as the sites of houses or compounds within the limits of Military Cantonments have not been carried out in the District of North Arcot. This was undoubtedly irregular and

In Cont. 8th July 1844

and the Acting Collector will be instructed to take immediate measures for giving effect to those orders.

3. Mr. Bourdillon has further referred to the Board for information as to the date from which the charge should be made. On this point the Board remark that in their letter to Government of 15th July 1839 Para 10 they suggested that ground occupied by buildings &c. and held without grant should be charged with the ordinary land assessment or in the case of unassessed land with the teerwah which would be charged on it if taken up for cultivation and on the Government concurring (Extract Minutes Consultation 13th August 1839) orders to that effect were sent by Circular (25th September 1839) to all Collectors which however, it was stated were not intended to have retrospective operation.

Ref. Corr. 26th Sept. 1839

In the same letter of 15th July 1839 the Board also recommended that the collection of arrears of quit rent due upon lands held under grant in the Enclosed District should not be enforced for a longer period than five years or in case of more recent occupation than the time that the present owners had been in

possession. To this also Government were pleased to assent, and the rule was afterwards

Ref. Corr. 4th May 1840

with the concurrence of Government 24th April 1840 made applicable to all Districts. See

Ref. Corr. 27th July 1840

the Extract Minutes Consultation 7th July 1840 the Government directed that lands held on grant within Military Cantonments should not be charged with fees or quit rent and this order remained in force until superseded by those contained in Extract Minutes Consultation, 15th June, 1844.

4. It appears to the Board that the arrears now under reference on lands held under grants cannot in any case be charged from an earlier period than the issue of the Government orders of 15th June 1844, but under all the circumstances and as the omission to give effect to those orders seems to have arisen from misapprehension or oversight on the part of the revenue officers and their assessment retrospectively might now be viewed as a hardship, the Board beg to recommend that the demand for arrears be foregone, and the charge of Quit rent or assessment according as the lands are held with or without Government Grant made only from the Fasly now in Commencing

Commencing—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July 1848.

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Letter—

From J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

53

From J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Acting Collector of Northarcot

Sir,
Para 1.

Reporting on the claim of Zahurodeen Rupain Khan to exemption from assessment for some land at Calwah in Arcot Taluk Enclosures 2 Petitions No. 841 of 1847 & 931 of 1848 with other documents connected therewith—

Note. Petition No. 841 of 1847 received with the letter dated 31st July 1848 Letter dated 3rd July 1848 & the papers connected therewith returned.

Zahurodeen Rupain Khan to exemption from assessment for some land at Calwah in Arcot Taluk, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub-Collector as well as Copy of the argee of the Talook Serista'dar dated 10th October (not September) 1842 as desired by the Board in your letter under reply, and of one from the present Talsildar dated 31st March 1848. I send also with reference to the concluding part of Para 1 of the letter above quoted, an Extract from the Village Survey Account, and another from the Jummabundy Chittah of Fusly 1255.

2 It appears that the land in question is part of the Village Perumbakam, and that at the Survey (Fusly 1236) it was entered in nine numbers each being a Manay or house land. Each of these

these is entered in the name of some member of the Jaghire-dar's family and one (No 179) in that of a Pettimer. From the Survey account it appears that only one of the Manays had a house on it. The land is entered as "rent free Manay" apparently because the former Jaghiredar was resident. But after some time the family ceased to reside at Calwah and the house appears to have gone to decay, and in Fusly 1251 the land, being cultivated, was brought to account in the usual way as total cal. and entered in the second Class, beer wah not having been fixed on it at the Survey. The subsequent proceedings in the case are already before the Board.

3 Referring to Para 3 of your letter I beg to say that according to the customs of this District, Ryots are allowed to hold their backyards free of rent, even though cultivated, as many are with valuable garden produce. But the privilege is subject to two chief regulations to prevent abuse viz. that the backyard shall not exceed 1½ Cawny; and 2nd that the owner shall be resident on the Manay. It was with reference to this latter rule that the Jaghiredar was informed on several occasions that the land would be exempt from demand if he came to reside on it. The former rule has never been very strictly observed; and in the present instance the Petitioner was allowed to hold nearly a whole Cawny free. But this indeed was not as a Ryot but from regard to the position formerly occupied in the

the village by his family.

4 As to the Board's question whether Petitioner possesses documents entitling him to hold the land free, it will be seen from Mr. Binning's letter that there has been no opportunity of ascertaining this point from him. It may safely be stated however that he has none, independently of the consideration urged by Mr. Binning that if he had he would have produced them before now, it may likewise be observed 1st that he himself founds his claim entirely on the custom to which I have already alluded of allowing back-yard lands free; and 2d that had the land been Enam it would have been so entered at the Survey.—

See his Petition 1841 of 1817.

5 On the whole I am of opinion that looking on Petitioner simply as an ordinary person, he has not been harshly dealt with, and that on the contrary the established rules of the District have been very indulgently applied to him, and I do not see how under those rules the land or the whole of it at all events, could be exempted, even though the Petitioner should build a house and reside upon the land. But it appears to me that Yahiruddeen Khan is not to be regarded as an ordinary person or his case as that of a common ryot. He is the descendant of an honorable family which though now reduced to insignificance, and almost to want occupied a high position half a century ago, and he is a relative of the Nabob of the Carnatick, former whose revenue I understand he receives a Monthly Stipend, his only means of subsistence.

6 The family appear to have formerly held a number of Villages as Jaghier including Colmak, but at the time of the assumption of the Government by the English that village alone remained and that too was then or not

not long after resumed. All the remains of the family estate was then the small piece of land now under dispute, and I think this might very properly be allowed to be held free. But for this privilege I apprehend an order of Government is necessary.— I make this recommendation the more willingly because I have reason to believe that the land was originally brought to account by the village Officers only in consequence of a disagreement with Petitioner's family on another matter. I do not apprehend that the land was first cultivated in Fasly 1251 or that the house was standing and inhabited till Fasly 1250, but the Mooniagars then took advantage of the Petitioner's defective title, to enter the land as liable to assessment.—

North Arcot, ——————
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, 6th July, 1818.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector

No. 1

From J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to a Selingee Memorandum

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

Memorandum forwarded by the late acting Collector Mr. Lovell, under date the 29th of February 1848, giving cover to copy of a letter addressed to him by the Secretary of the Board of Revenue dated the 1st of the same Month adverting to the demand of Assessment upon a piece of ground held by Zaherul den Hussain Khan, grandson to the late Sagheer Lar of Calwoy in the Talook of Arcot, I have the honor to inform you, in reply to Para 1 of the Board's letter, that the land in question is entered in the Pymaish accounts as Manoel Pickwaree (Customary backyard) and was held rent free until Fasly 1251, a house which was tenanted by some of this person's relations formerly stood on the Spot.

2. This information was furnished by the Talook Serishtadar in his Urzee dated the 18th of October (not September probably a clerical error in the Copy of the Board's letter) 1842 and which was forwarded to Mr. Goldingham then Collector of this District

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

District by Mr. Hall the acting Sub-Collector together with a Famul Memoran-dum. The abovementioned, are the only available documents connected with this subject

3 It would appear that the spot of land in question had been up to the end of Fasly 1250 considered "backyard ground" as attached to the house which then existed on it, and was exempt from all rent, but in the course of the ensuing Fasly the house having been removed, and the land cultivated with garden produce, a total Assessment was fixed upon it.

4 With reference to the question contained in Para 3 of the Board's letter the Talsildar of Arcot has reported on the 31st of March last that Zaherul deen Hussain Khan has been absent from Calwoy for six Months, and at present resides at Madras. I have consequently had no opportunity of ascertaining whether he possesses any documents testifying to his right to hold this land rent free whether built upon or otherwise, but I should incline

incline to think that no such documents can exist in his possession or they would certainly have been produced before now.

5 Copy of the Tahsildar's argee with accompanying Chittah account and Pymash extract are forwarded enclosed together with Zahiruddeen Hussain Khan's petition to the Board

North Arcot District

Sub Collr's Cutcherry } Signed R. A. Binning
Chittoor, 6th July, 1848. } Ag Sub Collector

(True Copy)
Signed S. D. Bourdillon
Ag Collector

53-54

Proceedings thereon Para. Ordered that the foregoing letter with its enclosures and the previous correspondence upon the same subject as noted in the margin be submitted to the

From Ag Collr 31st Decr 1847
& Ag Collr 3d Feby 1848
To Ag Sub Collr 6th July

the Right Honorable the Governor in Council for his Orders

2 Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector and adverting more particularly to the respectability of the family of which Zoherroddeen Hussain Khan is a descendant and to his present reduced condition, the Board beg to recommend that he may be allowed to hold the land in question

From Ag Collector 31st December 1847 & Ag Collr 3d Feby 1848

on the usual terms of a Pa. at 10½ with a renewal of 9-15-3 free of assessment for his life, any claim to succeed to the enjoyment of it after his death being taken into consideration on that event occurring.

55

From the Ag Collr of North Arcot

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir, I have the honor to return the

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

the Petition received with an extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 1st Instant to be delivered to the writers of it as these persons cannot be found. Two names are appended to the Petition Soobroya Moodely Lutchmee that the signature is not his, and that he knows nothing of the Petition & there is no such person as Gajambra Moodelyar the

North Arcot,
Collr. & Co. Cutcherry
Palmanair, 22d July, 1848

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Order thereon
(55-56)

Ordered to be recorded

Read

DG

Fort St. George. 24th July 1848.

Read the following letter

To,
J. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(57)

From the Collr. of Salem
*Dated 26th June 1848.
+Dated 20th Inst. 1848.

Sir.

I have the honor to forward a copy of a letter from the Civil Judge of Salem and its enclosure requesting the remission of Rupees (55) fifty five being the value of Stamp papers in Appeal Suit No 63 of 1848 authorized by the Judges of the Court of Sudder Adalut to be refunded to the Appellants in the said suit and to request you will have the goodness to obtain the Sanction of Government for paying the amount

Salem District,
Office of the Collector's Cutcherry,
12th July, 1848.

/ Signed / W. E. Lockhart
Collector

No 1

To W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of Salem.

Sir.

With reference to the enclosed copy of a letter from the Register to the Court of Sudr Adalut, I request you will be pleased to remit to this Court the sum of Rupees 55.

Salem Civil Court,
20th June, 1848.

/ Signed / I. G. S. Brueré
Civil Judge.

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

No 2.

To, I. G. S. Brueré Esqre
Civil Judge of Salem

Sir.

In reply to your letter of the 13th Instant

Fort St. George. 24th July 1848.

Instant requesting permission to refund to the Appellants in Appeal No. 13 of 1845, the sum of Rupees (55) Fifty five, being the difference between the amount of Stamp duty ex paid by those individuals on their regulamma Appeal, and that which they would have had to pay had they preferred only a Summary Appeal the Suit in question having been remanded to the Subordinate Judge for re-investigation. I am directed by the Sudr Adalut to convey to you their authority for so doing under the provisions of Section XXV Regulation XIII of 1816.

Sudr Adalut,
Registers Office,
20th May, 1848.

(Signed) J. H. Davidson
Register

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. G. S. Bruere
Civil Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector

57-58

Proceedings thereon, Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that, under the circumstances stated by the Collector, sanction be granted for refunding the above mentioned sum of (55) Fifty five Rupees.

59

From the Collector of Salem. Read letter recommending that the leave of absence requested by his Asst. Collector W. Maltby may be granted. Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read

Fort St. George. 24th July 1848.

Read the following letters.

To J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

61

From the Collr of Southern Arcot. Forwarding explanation on certain Dehat Enam Villages and requesting sanction for the same.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue and the remarks recorded by Government in the Minutes of Consultation which accompanied them, I have the honor to submit the explanations therein called for on certain Enam Dehat Villages.

2. With regard to the Enam Villages of Thillanchiri &c, Vadugamangalam, and Villa-cammudel, referred to in the Minutes of Consultation, I beg leave to refer the Board to my separate communication of this date.

3. Adverting to the sentiments of Government regarding the Enam Villages of No 1 Nrandevenam and No 2 Ineenamoor, I beg to forward Copies and translations of the Cowannahs as directed, and to state that the grant of the former Village does not appear to be of a hereditary nature, this point however on the death of the present incumbent will come under discussion and then be finally settled, the grant of the latter Village having been recognized as a religious tenure it was delivered over to the Enamdar from the current Fusly 1257, according to the instructions of the Board.

Paras 2 & 3 in Cons. 11th Sept. 1848 No 57

No 142.

22d March 1847.

Of

Fort St. George, 21st July 1818.

4.

Of the two modes of adjustment of the annual payment of these Enam Villages pointed out by Government, being of opinion, that a fixed money allowance calculated on three average net proceeds of the last 10 years, is better calculated to remedy the inconveniences adverted to in my letter to the Board, I have accordingly prepared the enclosed Statement shewing the gross and net average collections of the last 10 years, after deducting the shares reverted to the Government, as well as the sums payable to each Enamdar amounting to Rupees 4,158-7-7 inclusive of the Village of Coolamparty

5.

-tion. I consider it proper to offer some explanation regarding the increased Collections from the Village abovementioned, as noticed in the Minutes of Consult-ation. Formerly the wet lands of this Village were Manavary or dependant on periodical rains, and it was therefore originally classed as a 5th Class Village the sum of 878 Rupees entered in the Register for-warded to the Board, as Estimated revenue was it appears, taken according to the information afforded by the Enamdars, and not upon any fair average. On referring to the grant of the late Nabob it will be found that the Kamil Baroy of this Village is stated to be 632 Chuckras, and 8 annas a Chuckra is supposed to be equal to Rupees 2-2-0 (we have no table by which to ascertain the real value of a

Chuckra)

* Para 15.

† 10th April 1817.

* No 3

† 17th February 1818.

Fort St. George, 21st July 1818.

Chuckra) but in consequence of the extensive improvements in the irrigation works in the two Southern Talooks at a considerable cost to Govern-ment, and considering this Village is situated in the vicinity of the populous Town of Cheltenn-ham the cultivation has been progressively ex-tended, and the land greatly improved. An additional cause of this increase of Revenue is the Kuserteewah imposed on the Man-ja'ah lands of the 5th Class of Villages at the rate sanctioned by the Board. Shaw Enayetool-lah one of the 4 Enamdars enjoying this grant died on the 31st of July 1810. his shares has been added to the credit of Government

† Boards Proceedings 18th October 1838. See Hortemmunah Para 29.

It remains therefore to be

6.

decided whether the profit gained from the improvement in irrigation, should be given to the Enamdar. On considering the subject, I think that they have no right to enjoy the profit derived from this source.

7.

The Government of India in a former case, limitted the Enam to the extent contemplated in the original grant. If in this case a similar course be adopted, the net amount would be Rupees 1029-3-10 as shewn in the Margin, which is a little higher in amount than the sums shewn in the enclose No: 3.

Boards Proceedings 7th August 1818: No. 2 Fasly 1228 Rs 632 Chuckras 8 annas Rs 1358-15-1 deducted sum reverted to the Government leaves the net sum of Rupees 1029-3-10.

8.

This Enam Village was placed under the management of the Collector in Fasly 1249, Con-sequently there are no accounts of the Peroz on record

for

Fort St. George, 21th July 1848.

4.

Of the two modes of adjustment
of the annual payment of these Enam Villages
pointed out by Government, being of opinion, that
a fixed money allowance calculated on the
average net proceeds of the last 10 years, is better
calculated to remedy the inconveniences adverted to
in my letter to the Board, I have accordingly
prepared the enclosed Statement shewing the
gross and net average collections of the last 10
years, after deducting the shares reverted to
Government, as well as the sums payable to
each Enamdar amounting to Rupees 1,358 7 7 on
inclusive of the Village Carnam's pundy
5.

Before recommending this for sanc-
tion, I consider it proper to offer some explanation
regarding the increased Collections from the Village
abovementioned, as noticed in the Minutes of Consult-
ation - Formerly the wet lands of this Village were
Inamvary or dependant on periodical rains, and it was
therefore originally classed as a 5th class Village the
sum of 878 Rupees entered in the Register for
warded to the Board, as Estimated revenue was
it appears, taken according to the information
afforded by the Enamdars, and not upon any fair
average - On referring to the grant of the late Nabob it
will be found that the Kharil Barij of this Village is
stated to be 632 Chuckras and 5 annas a chuckra
is supposed to be equal to Rupees 2-3-0 (we have
no table by which to ascertain the real value of a
Chuckra)

† Para 15.

† 10th April 1847.
† No 3

† 7th February 1848.

Fort St. George, 21th July 1848.

Chuckra) but in consequence of the extensive
improvements in the irrigation works in the two
Southern Talooks at a considerable cost to Govern-
ment, and considering this Village is situated in
the vicinity of the populous Town of Cheltenham
where the cultivation has been progressively exten-
ded, and the land greatly improved. An
additional cause of this increase of Revenue
is the Fusserteerwah imposed on the Nun-
jah lands of the 5th Class of Villages at the
rate sanctioned by the Board. Shaw Enayetool-
lah one of the 4 Enamdars enjoying this grant-
ship died on the 31st of July 1840. His share has been
since carried to the credit of Government.

It remains therefore to be
6.
decided whether the profit gained from the
improvement in irrigation, should be given to
the Enamdar - On considering the subject, I think
that they have no right to enjoy the profit
derived from this source -

The Government of India in a
7.
former case, limited the Enam to the extent
contemplated in the original grant. If in this case a
similar course be adopted, the net Amount would
be Rupees 1029 3-10 as shewn in the Margin, which
is a little higher in amount than the sums shown
in the enclose No: 3.

This Enam Village was placed under
8.
the Management of the Collector in Fusly 1249, con-
sequently there are no Accounts of the Peryzon record
for

† Board's Proceedings 13th October 1838. Fort Commandant Para 29.

Board's Proceedings 7th August 1848: Rs a
632 — 5 gave
Rs 1358 15 1
deducted sum
reverted to
Government
leaves the net
sum of Rupees
1029 3 10.

Fort St. George, 21th July 1818.

4. Of the two modes of adjustment pointed out by Government, being of opinion, that a fixed money allowance calculated on the average net proceeds of the last 10 years, is best calculated to remedy the inconveniences admitted in my letter to the Board, I have accordingly prepared the enclosed Statement shewing the gross and net average collections of the last 10 years, after deducting the shares reverted to Government, as well as the sums payable to each Enamdar amounting to Rupees 4,158-7-7 inclusive of the Village of Coilampundy

5. Before recommending this for sanction, I consider it proper to offer some explanation regarding the increased Collections from the Village abovementioned, as noticed in the Minutes of Consultation - Formerly the wet lands of this Village were Manavary or dependant on periodical rains, and it was therefore originally classed as a 5th class Village the sum of 878 Rupees entered in the Register forwarded to the Board, as Estimated revenue and it appears, taken according to the information afforded by the Enamdars, and not upon any fair average - On referring to the grant of the late Nabob it will be found that the Kanul Barg of this Village is stated to be 622 Chuckrams, and 5 annas a Chuckram is supposed to be equal to Rupees 2-3-11 (we have no table by which to ascertain the real value of a Chuckram)

*Para 15.

†10th April 1817.

‡No 3

†7th February 1815.

Fort St. George, 21th July 1818.

Chuckram) but in consequence of the extensive improvements in the irrigation works in the two Southern Talooks at a considerable cost to Government, and considering this Village is situated in the vicinity of the populous Town of Chellumbrum where the cultivation has been progressively extended, and the land greatly improved. An additional cause of this increase of Revenue is the Ascertainment of the 5th Class of Villages at the Talook Lands of the 5th Class of Villages at the rate sanctioned by the Board. I have Enamdar enjoying this grant

Board's Proceedings 13th October 1838. Southern Enamdars Para 29.

Each one of the 4 Enamdars enjoying this grant died on the 31st of July 1840. his share has been since carried to the credit of Government.

6. It remains therefore to be decided whether the profit gained from the improvement in irrigation, should be given to the Enamdar - On considering the subject, I think that they have no right to enjoy the profit derived from this source -

7. The Government of India in a former case, limitted the Enam to the extent contemplated in the original grant. If in this case a similar course be adopted, the net amount would be Rupees 1029-3-10 as shewn in the Margin, which is a little higher in amount than the sums shewn in the enclose No: 3-

Board's Proceedings 7th August 1818. No 2 a 622 - 0 - 0 gave 622 1358-15-1 deducted sum reverted to Government leaves the net sum of Rupees 1029-3-10-

8. This Enam Village was placed under the Management of the Collector in Fusly 1249, Consequently there are no accounts of the Renzon records for

Fort St. George. 21th July 1818.

4.

Of the two modes of adjustment
of the annual payment of these Enam Villages
pointed out by Government, being of opinion, that
a fixed money allowance calculated on the
average net proceeds of the last 10 years, as
calculated to remedy the inconveniences attached to
the 1st mode, I have accordingly
prepared the enclosed Statement shewing the
gross and net average collections of the last 5-10
years, after deducting the shares reverted to the
Government, as well as the sums payable to
each Enamdar amounting to Rupees 1,185-7-7 1/2
inclusive of the Village Establishment
5.

Before recommending this for sanc-
tion, I consider it proper to offer some explanation
regarding the increased Collections from the Village
abovementioned, as noticed in the Minutes of Consul-
tation. Formerly the wet lands of this Village were
Manavary or dependant on periodical rains, and it was
therefore originally classed as a 5th class Village the
sum of 878 Rupees entered in the Register for-
warded to the Board, as Estimated revenue was
it appears, taken according to the information
afforded by the Enamdars, and not upon any fair
average. On referring to the grant of the late Nabob it
will be found that the Kanvil Barry of this Village is
stated to be 822 Chuttras, and 6 Annas a Chuttra
is supposed to be equal to Rupees 2-2-0 (we have
no table by which to ascertain the real value of a
Chuttra)

* Para 15.

† 10th April 1817.
* No 3

‡ 17th February 1818.

Fort St. George. 21th July 1818.

Chuttra) but in consequence of the extensive
improvements in the irrigation works in the two
Southern Talooks at a considerable cost to Govern-
ment, and considering this Village is situated in
the vicinity of the populous Town of Chellum-
bram the cultivation has been progressively ex-
tended, and the land greatly improved. An
additional cause of this increase of Revenue
is the Assessment which in the Nan-
jy Land of the 5th Class of Villages at the
rate sanctioned by the Board. Shaw Enayetool-
lah one of the 4 Enamdars enjoying this grant
died on the 31st of July 1810. his share has been
since carried to the credit of Government.

It remains therefore to be
6.
decided whether the profit gained from the
improvement in irrigation, should be given to
the Enamdar. On considering the subject, I think
that they have no right to enjoy the profit
derived from this source.

The Government of India in a
7.
former case, limited the Enam to the extent
contemplated in the original grant. If in this case a
similar course be adopted, the net Amount would
be Rupees 1,029-3-10 as shewn in the Margin, which
is a little higher in amount than the sums shewn
in the enclose No. 3.

This Enam Village was placed under
8.
the Management of the Collector in Fusly 1219, Con-
sequently there are no Accounts of the Peryon on record
for

† Board's Proceedings 18th October 1838. See Portsmouth Para 29.

Board's Proceedings 7th August 1818. No. 2 Rupees 1358-15-1 deducted sum reverted to Government leaves the net sum of Rupees 1,029-3-10.

Fort St George. 24th July 1848

+ No 4.

Syed Abdul Hakeem 210 5 5
Syed ally ——— 260 5 5
Kantaraja Pedoo ——— 260 5 5
781 — 3 —

for a longer period than 8 years, the enclosed State-
-ment shows the gross Peiz as well as the
Fusser teerwah inclusive. Should the Board
fix the money allowance exclusive of the
Fusser teerwah, the amount would be Rupees
1041 — 5 — 8, from which the share reverted
to Government being deducted leaves the
net sum payable to the three surviving
Enamdars Rupees 781 — 3 —

9. If the last proposition be ap-
-proved of it will be necessary to procure the
sanction of Government for the annual
payment of Rupees 3933 — 1 — 1 to be paid on
a proper examination of the existence of
the parties entitled to receive the same from
the commencement of the current Fusly
1257 or from 12th July 1847 —

10. With a view of saving a further
reference on the subject, I have the honor to
forward two Statements shewing the amount
paid on account of their Enam Village in
Fusles 1258 and 1257 for Fusles 1258 and
1256, and request that sanction of Govern-
-ment may be procured
for the sum of Rupees
10630 — 5 — 10, thereon men-
-tioned, to enable the Civil Auditor to pass
these charges —

Nos 5 and 6.

	Rs	A	P
Statement No 1 for Fusly 1256	5732	15	10
Ditto No 2 for Fusly 1257	4897	6	0
	10630	5	10

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Vurdoor, 6th May 1848.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Translation

Fort St George. 24th July 1848.

No 1

Translation of Copy of a Perwannah issued by Nobob Ammawarthy Cawn to the present and the succeeding Amildars of Havaly and the succeeding Amildars of Hyderabad Carnatic under Salook, subject to Hyderabad Carnatic under the Seal of Cazy Mohomed Ally dated 7th Rejjub of Higera 1161.

The Village named Crandy, Varum of Veermanamellore Mahanum subject to the said Pinganah, having been granted as per Summud of Nobob Sadoollah Cawn Khan and Abdulla Khan for the maintenance of Selamcathoo Vew the family of Sharacawrlothy it is now also permitted to be enjoyed by them as heretofore and according to the undermentioned Zemorn or Inams; you will therefore deliver over the Village to them, who will live upon its produce, and continue to wish us long life and prosperity —

Abstract of Zemorn or Mentoi:

The Village named Crandy was a Varum yielding a revenue of 175½ Chuckrums as now also to be enjoyed as heretofore by Selamcathoo Vew 70 widows, belonging to the family of Sharakhan lothee agreeably to the Summud of Nabob Sadoollakhan and Abdulla Khan —

Selamcathoo

Fort St. George, 24th July 1818.

Selumeathoo &c. 70 widows belonging to the family of Shanacawn Nothiee represented, in the Unjee that a Village called Cranderaram in a Veeranamahuellore Mahanum of Wavaly Taloob yielding a revenue of 175½ Chuckrums, was granted to them by Nabob Sandoolla Khan & and Mohomed Abdulla Khan & under their Sunnud and requested the same to be now renewed.

Ordered to grant a Sunnud to the said effect.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ W. D. Davis

Collector.

No. 2.

Translation of an Enoytnamah addressed by Nabob Talaza to Rajah Beer Bul Bahader, dated 15th Rujjub of Hegira 1194.

Shaick Ebram the Agent of Nethcawn having represented that 70 Chuckrums or Rupees 191 being half the revenue of Mannoor was granted to him till fusly 1188 for

Fort St. George. 24th July 1818.

for the performance of certain feast at the Mushroothee of Cathgah you will allow him to enjoy half of the Village for Fusly 1189, if his statement be correct, and let me know otherwise.

/True translation/

/Signed/ W. D. Davis

Collector

Statement

George

Ne deduction
ncement

No.	Total	
	11	
1		
1 T	10	12 7
J.		
2 Pa	37	8 5
3 Va	40	13 10
4 { Ak in Ja	169	11 10
5 { Ja	7	6 0
6 Va	11	7 6
7 V.	75	7 1
C.		
Total		
C.	163	.. ..
8 Ch.		
Total		

24th July 1848

of Charges collection Fea. as also the shares reverted to Government of Fusly 1257 in Southern Division of Arcot.

Net Collection	Deduct Amount of reverted Shares carried to the Credit of Govt.	Remaining Amount recommended to the Enamdars.	Remarks.
12	13	14	15
103 15 11	.. .. ..	103 15 11	Ten years average is here entered for 7 of the 8 Villages as regards Coilampondy 8 Years average is only entered there being no account in the public records for a longer period. The Village was placed under the Management of the Collector only from Fusly 1249.
199 9 2	.. .. ..	199 9 2	
199 9 2	.. .. ..	199 9 2	
399 2 4	.. .. ..	399 2 4	
429 8 1	.. .. ..	429 8 1	
788 6 11	.. .. ..	788 6 11	
788 6 11	.. .. ..	788 6 11	
1576 13 10	.. .. ..	1576 13 10	
77 9 3	.. .. ..	77 9 3	
84 12 5	.. .. ..	84 12 5	
80 .. 6	.. .. ..	80 .. 6	
80 .. 6	.. .. ..	80 .. 6	
80 .. 6	.. .. ..	80 .. 6	
80 .. 6	.. .. ..	80 .. 6	
80 .. 6	.. .. ..	80 .. 6	
80 .. 6	.. .. ..	80 .. 6	
80 .. 6	80 .. 6	.. .. ..	
80 .. 7	80 .. 7	.. .. ..	
80 .. 9	80 .. 7	.. .. ..	
80 .. 7	80 .. 7	.. .. ..	Note.
800 5 3	320 2 3	480 3 .	Amount here exhibited 3152 .. 10
3472 3 1	320 2 3	3152 .. 10	Amount as per Separate Statement ----- 781 .. 3
334 7 7	.. .. ..	334 7 7	3933 1 1
334 7 7	.. .. ..	334 7 7	
334 7 7	.. .. ..	334 7 7	
334 7 7	334 7 7	.. .. ..	
1337 14 4	334 7 7	1003 6 9	
4810 1 5	654 9 10	4155 7 7	

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Statement

10724

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the average Beriz and Collection of the deduction of Kuffer teerwah and charges Collection as proposed to be paid to the Cnamdar from the commencement of

Fusly	Total amount of Summah undy Settlement			Deduct Kuffer teerwah on Tolacal on Nunjah and Poonjah lands			Kuffer teerwah on 5th Class Nunjah lands as per Boards order dated 18th October 1838			Total			Net amount		
	CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P
Fusly 1249	1065	2	4	37	12	5	89	13	6	127	9	11	937	8	5
" 1250	1150	13	4	47	4	3	195	"	4	242	4	7	908	8	9
" 1251	1530	10	10	29	2	5	312	"	"	341	2	5	1189	8	5
" 1252	1704	1	3	25	2	6	405	"	3	430	2	9	1273	14	6
" 1253	1646	2	9	20	4	7	378	4	3	398	8	10	1247	9	11
" 1254	1587	13	"	32	3	10	361	15	6	394	3	4	1193	9	8
" 1255	1537	9	6	42	4	6	310	14	11	353	3	5	1184	6	1
" 1256	1917	6	9	50	4	9	393	14	3	444	8	"	1473	3	9
Total	12139	11	9	284	7	3	2446	15	"	2731	6	3	9408	5	6
Average ——	1517	7	5½	35	8	11	305	13	10	341	6	9	1176	"	8

Deduct the amount of share

E. E. Jr.

24th July 1848.

No. 4.

the last 8 years and Dehaut Enam Villages of Coilamboondy as well as the shares reverted to Government and the Net amount Fusly 1257 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Deduction: Proportion of Charges of collection			Deduction: Average charge of Repairs			Total			Net Collection			Remarks.
CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P	CRs	as	P	
72	9	"	"	"	"	72	9	"	864	15	5	
72	8	8	60	11	5	133	4	1	775	4	8	
113	7	"	"	"	"	113	7	"	1076	1	5	
121	"	4	"	"	"	121	"	4	1152	14	2	+ This sum will be
112	12	11	152	5	7	265	2	6	982	7	5	divided into three
110	12	6	23	2	"	133	14	6	1059	11	2	shares as follows.
103	1	3	12	1	3	115	2	6	1069	3	7	Syed Abdul Kader 260.5.5
101	15	6	18	"	9	123	"	3	1350	3	6	Syed ally - - 260.5.5
												Faulmah Beebee 260.5.5
811	3	2	266	5	"	1077	8	2	8330	13	4	781 " 3
101	6	4	33	4	8	134	11	"	1041	5	8	

reverted to Government —— 260 5 5

Net + 781 " 3

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Statement

10725

Fort St. George 14th July 1828.

No. 5.

Statement Shewing the Names of the Dehaut Enamdars, the Amount paid to

Huzaraman										
Hoosain Sahabauny Saibah					61 62		61 62			
Paucha Saib Sahib					61 62		61 62			
Mareyem Saibah					61 62		61 62			
S Kharoon Saibah	Vunnamunga lum Chattput Talook	1828 July 21st			61 62					⊕ This Individual being absent from the Village, this amount is payable hereafter on special sanction.
Mausah Beebee					61 62	61 62				
Sydanee Sahibah					61 62	61 62				
Syed Bader Hussain					61 62	61 62				
Syed Goolam Mahomed					61 62	61 62				
					613	138	245	8 8	306 14 10	306 14 10

10726

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

| | | |

10727

Fort St. George

24th. July 1848.

Statement Shewing the Names of the Dehaut Enamdars the Division of Arcot and the authority for such payments for

No. 6.

amount paid to them during the Fusly 1257 in the Southern which again the sanction of Government is required -

No. of the Villages	Name of the Enamdars.	Names of the Villages.	Date of authority. Of the Board of Revenue	Of the Govern=ment	Balance of Fusly 1255. Amount of Collection	Deduct amount of share reverted to Government	Balance of Fusly 1256. Net Amount of Fusly 1257.	Amount of Collections	Deduct amount of share reverted to Govern=ment.	Net amount paid in Fusly 1257	Total amount requiring Sanction	Remarks.
1	Syed Nizam	Kranderveram in Pindevanum Talook	1834 March 31st	"	"	"	"	118 4 "	"	118 4 "	118 4 "	
2	Emna Bee	Paumboondy in Do.	1837 July 10th	"	60 4 6	"	60 4 6	202 13 6	"	202 13 6		
	Fackera Bee	Do.		"	"	"	"	202 13 6	"	202 13 6		
					60 4 6	"	60 4 6	405 11 "	"	405 11 "	465 15 6	
3	Syed abdul Cawder	Shullam Shirkey in Vallapooram	1805.	1805	"	"	"	920 " 6	"	"		*Pending the reference on the Enam the payment is post poned -
	Shaik Osman Shaw	Do.	June 22d.	June 8th	"	"	"	920 " 6	"	"		
					"	"	"	1840 1 "	"	"	"	
4	Syed Moyenooddeen	Vinnamungalum in Chait Put Talook	1828. July 21st	"	"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		
	Syed Banker Hussain				"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		
	Sahabany Saibah				"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		
	Pancha Saibah				"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		
	Mareyem Saibah				"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		
	Kharoon Saibah				"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		† This individual being absent from the Village, this amount is payable hereafter on Special Sanction -
	Mausah Beebee				"	"	"	83 14 7	"	83 14 7		
	Sydani Sahibah				"	"	"	83 14 7	83 14 7	"		
	Syed Badur Hoosain				"	"	"	83 14 7	83 14 7	"		
	Syed Goolam Mahomed				"	"	"	83 14 7	83 14 7	"		
					"	"	"	839 1 10	335 10 4	419 8 11	419 8 11	

10728.

Fort St. George

24th July 1848.

No. of the Villages	Names of the Enamdars.	Villages.	Date of Authority Of the Board of Revenue	Of the Govern=ment	Amount of Collection	Balance of Fusly Deduct amount of share reverted to Government	1255. Net amount of Fusly 1257.	Balance of Fusly 1256. Amount of Collection	Deduct amount of share reverted to Govern=ment.	Net Amount in Fusly 1257.	Total Amount requiring Sanction	Remarks.
5	Mahomed ally	Moonmoor in Do.	1805. June 22d	1805 June 8th				238 10 10		238 10 10	238 10 10	
6	Kistnamacharry	Vadugamungalum	Do. 22	" 8th				88 15 3				* Pending the reference on the Enam the payment is postponed.
7	Syed Ahmud Khadry	Thanumpakum in Villapooram Talook	1825. Febry 24th	1825 Febry 18th				1479 2 "		1479 2 "	1479 2 "	
8	Moonecherry Ghosayer	Davynundul in Trinomallie Talook	1845 May 21st					59 2 "		59 2 "	59 2 "	
9	Syed abdul Khader, Syed Ally, Fautmah Beebee, * Shaw Enayettoolla	Coilampoondy in Chellumbrum Talook	1839. Decr. 5th					4453 2		4453 2		
								4453 2		4453 2		
								4453 2		4453 2		
								4453 2	4453 2			
								17801 28	4453 2	13359 6	13359 6	
10	Syed Ally	Mawatambady in Chaitput Talook	1836 July 4th / 1840 Novr. 19th	1840 Octr. 31st Supreme Govt. Order				2783 5		2783 5	2783 5	
11	Giafur Hoossain Sait	Vengandoor in Tindevanam Do.					49 "	408 4		408 4	412 9 4	
12	Meer abdul Khasim	Vallacanundel in Trenamalie Do.	1840. Febry 10th	1840 January 21st Supreme Govt. Order				90 4 6		90 4 6	90 4 6	
	Total Company's Rupees				64 13 6			64 13 6 7	6 4 10	780 13 6	48328 6 4	89 7 6

E. E. Jr.

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

To

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(62)

From the Collector of South Arcot

Submitting explanation on Debaut Enam Villages Shullam Shirky and Vadugamungalum and requesting Sanction for the same.

5th July 1847.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter I have the honor to offer the following explanation on the remarks of the Board respecting the Enamdars of Shullam Shirky and Vadugamungalum Debaut Enam Villages.

2. The Enamdars of the former Village appeared before me on the 25th November last, who seem to be extremely old. They both state that their age exceeds 90 years. On looking at the original Purwanah given by the late Nabob Annavurdeen Khan in Hejree 1174 or A.D. 1759 the names of Syed Abdul Cawder and Shaik Osman are distinctly entered as the incumbents, and grandsons of the original Enamdars. They must therefore at the period when the Purwanah was issued, have been very young. It is alleged that on an application made to the Nabob on behalf of the two present incumbents then Minors, the grant was renewed in their names. This Enam appearing to be life tenure, and the

the individuals being of a very advanced age, and not likely to survive any length of time, I think their claim should as heretofore be admitted, they being known to be the persons enjoying the proceeds of the Enam village from the earliest period.

3d. In the year 1830. one Meeba Sahiba, and her husband Mahomed Abdul Khan having given a Petition to the then Collector stating that these incumbents were not the legitimate claimants to the Enam, an enquiry was made by the local Officers as well as at the Huzoor Cutchery, when the Petitioners failing to prove their assertion the annual payment was ordered to be continued in the names of the present incumbents

As.

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

4th As regards one of the Enam=
=dars Shaik Oosman, I beg to State that from
Fusly 1250 the name of thes individual was
erroneously continued to be entered in the
accounts furnished to the Civil Auditor as
Shaik Hussain. This being afterwards dis=
=covered, the name was according to Mr. Hyde's
Register, entered as Shaik Oosman Shaw in
+ No. 243 the Statements with an explanatory note of
this discrepancy. I beg to state that no lapse
did occur, as supposed by the Board, who,
on reference to the above Statement will
find the name of the incumbent
clearly stated as shaik Oosman Shaw

and

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

and not Syed Oosman, as now Styled in their
letter under reference.

5th With regard to the village No. 58
I beg to state that Kistnama achary is the
same person, who was recognized by Mr. C
Ravenshaw as Enamdar in whose name
the grant appears to have been given by the
late Nabob in the year 1797 when he was
Young at the request of his father, who was
astrologer at the Durbar. This person also
appeared before me and stated that he is
now sixty years old, which is correct.

6th On the receipt of a Petition
presented by certain individuals to Government
in No. 610 of 1837, against the right of the above
Enamdar, the late Principal Collector Mr. Dent
+ 2d November 1837 enquired into the matter and passed an en=
=dorsement thereon confirming the right of
the said Enamdar, a Copy of which is enclosed
for the inspection of the Board. From this they
will perceive that any further enquiry respecting
this Enamdar is altogether unnecessary.

7th Adverting to the 2d Para of your
letter under reply I beg to state that on referring
to the Office Copy of the Statement No. 3, I find
the entry No. 13 appears to be the Village of
Vengundoor for which the payment was regularly

made

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

4th As regards one of the Enam=
=dars Shaik Oosman, I beg to State that from
Fusly 1250 the name of this individual was
erroneously continued to be entered in the
accounts furnished to the Civil Auditor as
Shaik Hussain. This being afterwards dis=
=covered, the name was according to Mr. Hyde's
Register, entered as Shaik Oosman Shaw in
+ No. 243 the Statements with an explanatory note of
this discrepancy. I beg to state that no lapse
did occur, as supposed by the Board, who,
on reference to the above Statement will
find the name of the incumbent
clearly stated as Shaik Oosman Shaw

and

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

and not Syed Oosman as now Styled in their
letter under reference.

5th With regard to the village No. 58
I beg to state that Kistnama achary is the
same person who was recognized by Mr.
Ravenshaw as Enamdar in whose name
the grant appears to have been given by the
late Nabob in the year 1797 when he was
young at the request of his father who was
astrologer at the Durbar. This person also
appeared before me and stated that he is
now sixty years old which is correct.

6th On the receipt of a Petition
presented by certain individuals to Government
in No. 610 of 1837, against the right of the above
Enamdar, the late Principal Collector Mr. Dent
+ 2d November 1837 enquired into the matter and passed an en=
=dorsement thereon confirming the right of
the said Enamdar, a Copy of which is enclosed
for the inspection of the Board. From this they
will perceive that any further enquiry respecting
this Enamdar is altogether unnecessary.

7th Adverting to the 2d Para of your
letter under reply I beg to state that on referring
to the Office Copy of the Statement No. 3, I find
the entry No. 13 appears to be the Village of
Vengundoor for which the payment was regularly

made

made but the village Vallacanundel alluded to in Para 14 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th September 1847, appertains to No. 14 in the above Statement to which Number I beg to inform the Board that the name of the Enamdar was correctly mentioned in the Office Copy of Mr. Ashton's letter to them in his own hand writing as meer abdool Hasim, which thro' clerical error might have been entered in the fair Copy as Meer abdool Khan, and in the annual accounts furnished to the Civil Auditor the name of this Enamdar is correctly entered as Meer abdool Khasim, and that no payment was made during Fusly 1253, the Enamdar not having then claimed it in consequence of the Sum realized from

from the said Village in Fusly 1252

Amount paid in Fusly 1252 for Fusly 1251 and passed by the Civil Auditor without objection - 12-6-10

being trifling and which was only in Fusly 1254 as particularized

Do. in Fusly 1254 for Fusly 1252 and stood retrenched - - 4-11-8

in the Margin. I therefore trust

Do. in Do. for Fusly 1253 & Do. Do. 64-8-7.

the above explanation will prove

65-4-3.

Satisfactory to the Board.-

South Arcot, - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry, - - -
Vurdoor 4th May 1848 -

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Extract.

10735 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of November 1837.

Date of the letters received	Petition No. and date	Name of the Petitioners.	Purport	Government Endorsement and date thereof	How disposed of
1837 October 9th	610 April of 1837	From Scenevasa Ragava charee Vur=dcengar and Kistna=engar Merasdars of the Village of Comoorunder in the District of Arcot.	State that the Village called Vadoo=goomungalum was held as a Gift in the time of Nabob by one Kistnamah charier, after whose death it was enjoyed by his Son in the name of the deceased e= without reporting it to the Circar which e=	The Petitioners should bring the subject to the notice of the Collec=tor and the Board of Revenue Fort St. George. 16th May 1837 By order (Signed) H. Chamier Chief Secretary	Kistnama charier the present possessor of the Debut Enam Village of Vadoogamungalum holds the same under a Purwanah granted to him by the late Nabab Pondutoolomra and has been in the enjoy=ment of the said Enam for upwards of 30 years. enquiry was made into the terms upon which the Enam was held in Fusly 1217 soon a= after the e=

were formed so by the intrigues of the Village people therefore prays to punish such a= treacherous people and also beg to add the said Village into the Circars account ber dated 6th and Received from Pr. 22d April 1837.

Delivered to the Petitioner 4th Novem= ber 1837.
(Signed) J. Dent Pl. Collector

Soobah of South arcot came under the Company's Manager=ment by Mr. Ros the Sub Collector under the direction of Mr. Ravenshaw & Kistna=machariyers claim was then established to the satisfaction of those two Gentle==man In 1820 the sub=ject again went further investigation under the direction of Mr. Hyde upon a Petition e= sent to that Gentleman, which led to Kistnamah charier being confirmed in possession and there is nothing in this Petition to induce the Principal Collector to disturb prede=.

Cuddalore 2d November 1837. (Signed) J. Dent Pl. Collector

True Copy
(Signed) W.D. Davis
Collector.

10736 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.-

(61-63)

To the Collr. of South Arcot. In Cons. 24th July 1848.-

To The Collector of South Arcot.

Sir.

In para 3 of your letter of the 4th May last No. 40 it is stated that the grant of Meenanroor "having been recognized as a religious tenure it was delivered over to the "Enamdar from the Current Fusly 1257." on reference to the Copy of the Enoyetnamah (No. 2) for the continuance of this village forwarded in your letter, one half only of it's revenue appears to have been so granted.- You are therefore requested to explain whether the half on the whole of this Enam Village is now in possession of the Enamdar and if the latter, under what circumstances and at what time he become possessed of the remaining Moiety.-

On examining Statement No. 3 accompanied your letter, purporting to shew the Average net revenue of the Dehât enams for the last ten years- and the shares to be disbursed to the Enamdars for the future, it is observed that Thanapauccum (No. 60) Mairasy handy (No. 64) and Valaccamundub are not entered in. You are requested to state the Grounds on which these villages have been excluded from the Arrangement.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 24th July 1848.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary

Read

10735 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.-

10737 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.-

Read the following letters.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(64)

From the Collr. of South Arcot dated 18th January 1842.-

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to obtain the sanction of Government for the payment of the Canungoes allowances as specified in the accompanying Statement, amounting to Company's Rupees 25-15-1-

South Arcot Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 26th June 1848.-

(Signed) W. D. Davis Collector

Statement

10738

Fort St. George

Statement showing the amount of arrears due to South Arcot -

24th July 1848.

the undermentioned Cavilgars in the District of

Number of Certificate	Name of the Pensioner	Amount of Pension Pga per annum	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the cause of Suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3	Peddoo Naik	78 11 7	11th April 1807	Life Pension	From 12th January to 11th June 1847 or 120 days.	Pgas 8 P 25 15 1	The deceased Polygar Peddoo Naik had two sons by his lawful wife, and one by his Concubine, the latter son having laid claim for this sum, an enquiry was instituted, in which the Mother of the Claimant being proved to be a Concubine, and a period of 6 months having escpired pending the enquiry and the term of the grant having been for the life of the late incumbent, the Collector begs the Board will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government for the payment of the arrears only to Seenoo Naik, the Eldest son, who is the head of the family, and entitled to receive the Same.		

[Signed] W. D. Davis

Collector

South Arcot, Coll^{r}'s Cutcherry

Cuddalore, 26th June, 1848.

Proceedings thereon - Resolved that the foregoing letter and the Statement which accompanies it be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, sanction be granted for paying the arrears of pension Rupees 25-15-1 due to the late Poligar Pedda Naick, to his Eldest legitimate son Chinna Naick.

64-65

2. It will be observed that the deceased was one of the extra Honorary Poligars entered as No. 55 in Mr. Ravenshaw's List enclosed in his letter dated 10th May 1806 and enjoyed the one Eighteenth share of the allowance of Star Pagodas 405-37-59 entered therein - This will appear more clear by referring to No. 54 in No. 2 Deputy's list of Poligar Pensioners, dated 19th December 1831 - The date of sanction quoted in Mr. Davis' present statement is that on which the Board communicated to the Collector of South Arcot the order of Government of 10th September 1806:

In Cons 19th May 1806.

Jul Cons 26th Dec. 1831

Jul Cons 22nd Sept. 1806

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

Sir,

66

From the Collr. of South Arcot } Para 1. In returning the Petition

Returning Petition

Petition No. 7 of 1848 From Roya Pilley residing at Mannargoodi Muthum at Tanjore soliciting permission to institute a suit in the Civil Court for the recovery of certain Inamdar lands reporting thereon.

Petition received with the Sub Secretary's letter of the 18th ultimo addressed to Government by one Roya pilley soliciting permission to institute a suit in the Civil Court under Regulation IV of 1831 for the recovery of certain Inamdar lands I have the honor to submit the following circumstances of the case, as required by the Board -

2 By the enclosed Extract from the list of Inamgardens prepared and forwarded to Government by the late Chief and Council at Fort David under date the 22d of October 1795 it appears that one Streeshaila Chetty was at that time possessor of the land in question, having obtained it as a gift from Mr. Thomas Cooke - the extent of this land is stated to be 2 Cawnies and 89/4 Coolies which by the present measurement gives 13 Cawnies and 5/4 annas - In the absence of any original title deed it is impossible to determine the terms or nature of the grant, however grants which do not specify for what particular purpose they may have been intended have hitherto been classed as charitable grants for the support of the then incumbents -

† Proclamation from Board 19th March 1832.

3 It appears there was a Pundaram priest by name Shunmooga Ghanniar Uncle of the present petitioner certain of his desciples purchased the above Garden from one.

one Venkatachelliah the son of the first mentioned Streeshellaputty for the sum of 800 Pagodas in the name of one Annasamy Moodely in the year 1806, and allotted it to the care of certain trustees for the support of a Sunnimadiyer for institution, and a building was erected near the spot where the said priest was buried. One Colundanalee Moodely the son of Streeshella Moodely who was one of the trustees nominated has retained possession of this Garden ever since.

4 The petitioner states that he is possesses the title of sale of this Enam garden and that the defendant Colendanalee Moodely for some years has failed to appropriate the proceeds of the garden for the support of the said institution, and therefore he claims his right to possession as next of kin to the deceased Priest. The Defendant refuses to comply with the request of the petitioner, and denies the charge of misappropriating the proceeds of the Garden.

5. The proceeds of this Inamiem garden were allotted by the purchasers for the support of this institution, without their previously obtaining the sanction of Government, for so doing therefore it appears to me, that this case does not fall within the limits of Regulation

Regulation XII of 1817 as an individual can only dispose of his own right to the Maniem, Government still retaining their right to withdraw as perpetuate to the Grant, should the Government now recognize his as an individual grant coming under Regulation XII of 1817, the grant would become perpetual under Section 15 of the same Regulation, and in such case petitioner might file his Suit in the Court without previously obtaining the sanction of Government.

On the other hand should the Board consider that the present case does not fall within the limits of Regulation XII of 1817 and as all the Enam lands other than those falling under the Regulations quoted in the margin come within the scope of Regulation XI of 1831 and have hitherto been treated of accordingly this Enam would also be classed under those mentioned in Regulation XI of 1831. But the Government of India in a recent letter to the Madras Government having declared that a grant similar to the one now referred to hardly comes within the limits of Regulation XI of 1831, I am at a loss to state what Regulation embraces this case and the decision of Government in this case will serve for my future guidance.

Reg.n XXIX of 1802
" XI of 1831.

11th Dec.r 1847 Board's Proceedings 3d Feb.y 1848.

South Arcot Collr.s Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 29th June, 1848.

(Signed) W.m D. Davies
Collector

Extract

10743

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

Extract from the List of Gardens in the Division of Vellanatam with the yearly Value to the Possessors in 1772.

No. of Gardens	Persons to whom said to be Granted	By whom said to be Granted	No. of years said to be Granted	By whom now enjoyed and to what purpose appropriated	No. of years said to be enjoyed by the Possessors	In what manner said to be obtained by the Possessors	Title Deeds.	Extent of the Land	Yearly value to the Possessors in 1772.
								Canes C	Pagodas fs. C
1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Streets allotted	27	By Gift of Mr. Thomas Cook	None	2 89½	30 " "

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / W. D. Davis
Collector

Note. The land measure is formed by a Rod of 51½ feet which by measurement of 24 feet Rod

Mangae trees - 90
Tamarind Do. - 1
Cocoanut Do. - 23
Palmira Do. - 150
Several Guava trees -

Other Lands are under cultivation partly & also Woody and partly by Tenies canes the present produce 13 Canes and 5½ annas. which is not occupied by trees by the present possessor Colunda others to whom he has rented it.

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Order thereon-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address-

(66-67)

To the Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to the order passed by Government 15th April last upon Petition No. 349 of 1848, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter, 29th June 1848, from the Collector of South Arcot reporting upon the case

2 From this it will appear that the enam garden to which the Petition has reference, is of a similar description to that which has formed the subject of the Correspondence noted in the margin. In the list of Gardens as they stood in 1772 furnished by the late Chief and Council of Fort St. David with their Report of 22nd September 1775 it is entered as held by Streeshellapatty who is said to have at that time been in possession of it for 27 years and to have received it by gift from Mr. Thomas Cooke. Of the granter

Original

Submitting Letter from the Collector of South Arcot reporting on Petition No. 349 of 1848 & stating it is doubtful whether the enam therein claimed falls within the Scope of Regulation VII of 1817 but on adverting to the view taken by the supreme Govt. in a case parallel thereto considering that it cannot be held to be within the provision of that Regulations-

Rec'd 24th July 1848.

To Govt. 4th January 1849
From Govt. 20th Feb.
in Cons 2nd March "
From Govt. 25th Dec.
in Cons 3rd Feb. 1848.

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

original granted, date, terms and purpose of the grant nothing was then known and there were no title deeds — This class of Enam was however stated by the Chief in Council in their report to have been generally regarded as saleable and the land now referred to was accordingly in the year 1806 purchased from Venkatachelliah son of Streeshellapatty for the sum of 800 Pagodas by the disciples of a Pundaram named Shunmoogjaghaniar. The enam was brought in the name of one Annasawmy Moodely and assigned to certain trustees for the support of a Summady or religious institution. One Columdavaloo Moodely was one of the trustees appointed and has retained possession of the garden ever since. The Petitioner is No. 349 of 1848 who is the Nephew of the Pundaram, states that he holds the bill of sale for the Enam, and has preferred his claim to possession of the land, the proceeds of which are as he states misappropriated by Columdavaloo Moodely.

3. As there is nothing whatever to show that the Enam was originally conferred for religious purposes or that it was ever applied to such objects previous to its

purchase

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

purchase by the Pundarums Disciples in 1806
it seems to the Board to be doubtful whether it
can be said to fall within the scope of Regu-
lation VII. 1807. Adverting also to the view taken by
the Supreme Government in Mr. Secretary Bushby's
letter of 11th December 1847 and adopted by the
Madras Government in their order No. 96 of the
25th January last regarding the parallel case of the
Carayaranvillacoopum Enam the Board are of
opinion that it cannot be held to be embraced
by the provisions of Regulation IX of 1831. Con-
sidering the length of time that it has been
enjoyed free of assessment and the high price
(800 Pagodas) at which it was purched in 1806
the Board presume that in this case as in that already
referred to it will not be the intention of Go-
vernment to interfere with the present tenure.
and if not, there would seem to be no objection
to the Petitioners bringing a suit for the recovery
of the Enam in the Civil Court, but the Board
do not think that the permission of Government
is necessary to enable him to do so.

Inform: 3 Feb.y 1848.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Read the following letter.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(68)

From the Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

Repeated complaints being made to me regarding the progressive decay of many of the Pagodas, and the necessity of making the Coomba: Cushagum in several at a large cost, I am in: duced to bring the subject to the notice of the Board with the view of obtaining the orders of Government for my guidance, in replying to the requisition of the Punchayets in charge of the institutions requiring repairs The accompanying Memorandum shews the expenditure by Government from the aggregate surplus of many years, and the balance now available for the purposes solicited by the Punchayets, amounting to Rupees 103832 the property of various Pagodas in the District. That this money might be far more usefully employed no one can gain say, but considering the very little murmuring made by the people at the grant of 40,000 of Rupees to the Education fund, the prospective benefits of which were but dimly apparent to them, I am of opinion that in justice to their rights and in consideration of their feelings attention should be paid to the present subject of the petitioners en prayers by granting which no hindrance whatever will be occasioned to the general conduct of the Pagoda affairs, the money available for Dhara: sum purposes being entirely a separate item.

Tanjore,
Hodikaray,
27th June, 1848.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Memorandum

10748

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

Memorandum

Shewing the amount of Davestanum fund in the Treasury up to the Month of April Fusly 1257-

	Rupees	a.	p.
Money in hand up to the Month of February F. 1256	723647	5	4
Deduct amount carried for Education Fund and the erection of Bridges &c. as per order of the Government	48666	"	"
Remainder	237991	5	4
Since Collected from the Month of March Fusly 1256 up to April Fusly 1257	253896	15	9
Total	491887	5	1
Amount since disbursed up to April Fusly 1257	230054	14	1
Remainder on hand up to April Fusly 1257	261832	7	"
Deduct amount deposited for the future expenses of the Pagodas &c.a	158000	"	"
Remainder amount considered available for the disbursement of the Triparny and Coombabishagum	103832	7	"

26th June 1848

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Order thereon; Ordered that the following Letter be sent in reply.

J.

10749

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

To

The Collector of Tanjore

(68-69)

To the Collr of Tanjore

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th Ultimo on the subject of the application made by the Punchayets of the various Pagodas in your District for the disbursement of the surplus funds in deposit on account of those institutions.

2. On reference to the Memo. which accompanied your letter the Board perceive that, after deduction of the sum Rs. 48,666 appropriated for educational purposes and for public works the remainder of the Davestanum Funds in deposit up to the 30th April last was Rupees 2,61,832 — 7 — which is divided into two items Viz. Rupees 1,58,000 deposited for the future expenses

expenses of the Pagodas" and Rupees —
1,03,832—7—" "considered available for the
disbursement of Tripany and Coomba:
bishagams" The Board wish to be
informed why this distinction has been taken.
what are the "future expences" alluded to—
and why the entire amount Rupees 2,61,832.
7—" should not be paid over to the
several Trustees— the whole of it it is
presumed having accrued since the 31st
March 1846.

3. You are also requested to sub:
mit a Statement shewing the Pagodas on
account of which the surplus has accumu:
lated, the amount is deposit to the credit
of each, and the period on which it has accrued

x Rs. 2,61,832-7—"

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter—

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

70

From the Collector of Tanjore —

Sir,

Appah Pillay a Merasdar of Royanellore Melpady in the Tirapoondy Talook, has erected a commodious Choultry for the accommodation of all classes of Travellers at a cost of 2,700 Rupees on the road between Vedaranem and Tirapoondy where such accommodation was much needed he has endowed the Choultry with sea and odd Valies of land, from the produce of which wayfarers receive refreshment. The land occupied by the building and garden around it amounts to 1 Valey 4 Mawes 28/16 goolies liable to an assessment of Rupees 7 Annas 5, which Appah Pillay has requested may be held free, on condition that he makes up the amount of revenue by bringing under cultivation other lands of equal assessment. I have visited the Choultry and can safely pronounce on the favorableness of its position for the benefit of travellers and beg strongly to recommend the reasonable proposition of the builder may be granted, no loss accruing to Government

Fort St. George 24th. July 1848.

Government while consideration will be shewn to the request of a deserving individual.

Tanjore, Kodikaray, 27th. June 1848. } (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector.

Order thereon; Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(70-71)

To the Chief Secy. to Government } To, The Chief Secretary to Government -

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the favorable consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tanjore in which he intimates that Appiah Pillay, a Meerasidar of Royanellore Melapady in the Pitrapoondy talook, has erected a Choultry at a Cost of 2,700 Rupees with an endowment of 6 wallies of land for the accommodation of all classes of travellers on the road between Vadaraniem and Pitrapoondy and recommends that the land on which the Choultry stands, measuring 1 Wally — Mans 4 — Gullis 28 11/16, and paying an assessment of 7 Rx — 5 A — P, may be exempt from teirvah, the owner of the Choultry having engaged to bring under cultivation other land of an equal assessment.

The

DG.

Fort St. George 24th. July 1848.

The Board beg to support the Collectors recommendation on the understanding that the institution is to be subjected to the supervision provided in Regulation VII of 1817.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 24th. July 1848 } (Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(72)

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly Submitting information respecting the effect of Trees on the Climate and productiveness in the Tinnevelly District.

Sir

Para 1- I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Circular dated 15th. November last, respecting "the effect of Trees on the climate and productiveness of the Country;" and to submit information as regards Tinnevelly - It appears a fact so self evident and so universally admitted, that extensive clearance of Timber must, and always does have a considerable effect on the climate and productiveness of any District, being prejudicial or beneficial as the case may be that I beline there is little new to be said on the general subject - I have however, in obedience to the Boards orders endeavoured to gather all practicable information on the point as regards this District, and

I

10752 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Government while consideration will be shewn to the request of a deserving individual.

Tanjore, Kodekaray, 27th June 1848 } (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector.

Order thereon, Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government -

(70-71)

To the Chief Sec.y to Government } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the favorable consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tanjore in which he intimates that Appiah Pillay, a Meerasidar of Royanellore Melapady in the Pitrapoondy talook, has erected a Choultry at a Cost of 2700 Rupees with an endowment of 6 valies of land for the accommodation of all classes of travellers on the road between Cudaramiem and Pitrapoondy and recommends that the land on which the Choultry stands, measuring Valey 1 — Mows 4 — Gullis 28 11/16, and paying an assessment of Rs. 7 — A. 5 — P. may be exempt from teerwah, the owner of the Choultry having engaged to bring under cultivation other land of an equal assessment.

The

D.G.

10753 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

The Board beg to support the Collectors recommendation on the understanding that the institution is to be subjected to the supervision provided in Regulation VII of 1817.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 24th July 1848 } (Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To. T. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(72)

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly Submitting information respecting the effect of Trees on the Climate and productiveness in the Tinnevelly District.

Sir

Para 1- I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Circular dated 15th November last, respecting "the effect of Trees on the climate and productiveness of the Country," and to submit information as regards Tinnevelly - It appears a fact so self evident and so universally admitted, that extensive clearance of Timber must and always does have a considerable effect on the climate and productiveness of any District, being prejudicial or beneficial as the case may be that I believe there is little new to be said on the general subject - I have however endeavoured to gather all practicable information on the points as regards this District, and

I

I may add that the subject is one which has long and earnestly engaged my attention, and so deeply impressed have I always felt with the great want of useful Trees and Timber in most of our Provinces, especially a dry sandy hot one, like Tinnevelly, that I have never relaxed in strenuous efforts to forward encouraging its growth, by having private Nursery Gardens of my own and stimulating others to take an interest in and to effect the same object.

2 It is open to every days observation to any one giving the slightest attention to it, that the injudicious clearing and destruction of Timber from springs and Hill streams especially near their sources tends materially to affect them. Some such streams are said to have sensibly diminished in this District but as no record is kept of the volume of water in the smaller rivers and springs, it is impossible to do more than adduce the general fact corroborated by my own observation of 4 years in this District. When the lower ranges of Hills found in Districts such as Tinnevelly, Salem, Trichinopoly, South Arcot

Arcot Coimbatore and the like are suffered to be denuded of wood destroyed without restriction by Wood Cutters, timber Merchants, and others the small streams invariably dry up gradually but surely, the effect is not immediately seen but the evil result becomes eventually apparent as these small feeders of the large rivers (on which so much of our cultivation depends) dry up the River itself decreases, the timber which lined its banks and followed its course, decays the Wells and springs on either side of it diminish shade decreases, and heat and absorption from the ground increases in proportion; fertility naturally lessens this is the natural course in each instance on a greater or less scale but the operation and result is alike in all.

3 But I am unwilling to occupy the Boards time on a subject that has always to me appeared so self evident that I have, I believe to the verge of being troublesome, frequently solicited sanction and assistance for encouraging what appeared to me so palpably and undeniably advisable

advisable in this sandy dry hot climate in this District the planting of useful trees for shade fruit, and timber, and as the best means to preserve existing, and to increase future fertility and cultivation (see my letters to the Board of 6th February and 6th June 1843. 15th February, 2d March and 18th May 1844 and 11th February 1846.)

4. To obtain this very desirable and useful object encouragement and assistance by Government, are absolutely necessary. there is little public spirit, properly so called, among the Ryots living too many of them from hand to mouth, with little forethought, and no means to spare, they will not plant for posterity, nor can they be justly expected to do so, if they will lend their aid when encouraged, it is all that can be expected, there are many tracts in this District especially to the South where fine Topes of Timber and fruit bearing trees may be raised an overlay of drifted sand of a poor soil renders the surface barren waste and dry; no Corn Crops will succeed but below, beyond the reach of the Plough, there is often Moisture and a fair soil in which the roots of Trees will penetrate and flourish. In all

all such localities planting is highly desirable, it fixes the drifting sands attracts clouds and moistures, and eventually produces soil by — falling leaves and other vegetable deposits —

5. Curtallum and the western portion of this District is the only locality where any woods or good Timber is found the effect of the South western Monsoon which extends thus far, the Hill streams and Channels which feed 2/3ds of the Kurjah of this District come (with the River "Tambraporney" itself) from the Hills lying between Tinnevelly and Travancore. I found these becoming — gradually denuded to so large an extent that I prohibited about 3 years ago, cutting in certain limits and I am now endeavouring to arrange some further protective measures for the forests and Woods in that direction the main Spring as it were of the District. Low — Jungle is useless except for fire — wood but tree planting for moisture timber and fruit should be encouraged in every direction whether Hill or plain. there is little fear of the plains being overgrown. the Jack, Mango and Tamarind are among the most

useful

useful and the 2 last, most hardy trees, they will grow in almost any soil, red, black or sand, the timbers and fruit of both are highly useful the Jack is less hardy but will grow well in any light red soil or sand with Moisture- its timbers and fruit are each valuable and useful and the leaves a most nutritious food (and sold as such in bundles on the western Coast) for Sheep, Cows, and other Cattle- Teak will not thrive though it may vegetate in so hot and dry a District as Tinnevelly, the Courtallum Hills have some black wood and Sandal but no good teak-

6- To shew the importance I have always attached to the subject of planting and the interest I have endeavoured, under some discouragement to take in the matter, I may refer to my letter of 19th June 1843, in which I requested to be allowed to form at my own risk (with no cost to Government) 3 experimental Cotton Gardens in different parts of the District and in which if sanctioned it was also my intention to have raised useful trees and plants- In my letter of 6th June 1843 I requested only 100 Rupees sanction to try an experimental Spice Garden in

in connexion with those long established at Courtallum, with a view to Acclimatize the Chocolate and other valuable trees to a lower level, the sanction being declined, I did it at my own expence the same year and that Garden now contains Spice plants to Nutmeg Clove and Chocolate Trees to the extent of 1524 trees as an Experiment with 4480 others as a certainty and intended to support the Garden if the Spice plants should fail it has not cost the Government a Rupee- lets now for 70 Rupees a year to Government, and will 10 years hence (when the Jack and Cocoanut come into full bearing) be very much more valuable, even if the Spice plants entirely fail- In my letter of 15th February 1844 I asked sanction to encourage planting, out the destruction Sands- Hills in the Southern part of the District and have renewed my request a few days ago- In my letter of 6th February 1843 I begged, but without success, that I might be allowed to encourage Topes and planting generally on barren lands in reply to a Circular from the Board enquiring "what could be done towards that object" I have since renewed my request

Plants	
Nutmeg	550
Clove	847
Chocolate	75
Cinnamon	57
	1,524
Cocoanut	
Jack	
Coffee	
Orange	
Pimma	
Lime	
Oil Tree	Nos
	4480

under

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

under date the 2d. March and 18th. May 1844, but received no orders or sanction. I have now solicited on the 30th Ultimo to form 3 small Nursery Gardens, for raising and distributing useful trees, at a cost of 7 Pagodas only for the whole District with which small sum if allowed, I will undertake to do much good. I make the above remarks with reference to the Board's 4th Para and the very great necessity for early sanction in this District for encouraging the planting so greatly required.

7. I would remark finally that my own experience and observation in several Districts besides Tinnevelly on a subject always interesting to me, leads me to add my decided testimony and conviction that the clearing of Timber and Forest invariably tends to lessen the Moisture of the atmosphere above and the springs from below increases heat, and evaporation and is followed by proportionate Aridity and barrenness. But timber for various purposes must be cut, the only remedy therefore is replanting, a remedy more especially needfull in Tinnevelly. I would again respectfully request to be allowed to lower the high tax on trees complained of in my letter of 6th February 1843 as being too heavy and tending greatly to check an object which has great need of encouragement Viz. the planting and rearing of useful trees the present loss to Government would be trifling the eventual gain great. A press of other business and waiting to gather information as directed on the subject has prevented an earlier reply.

Tinnevelly / Trichendoor / 4th April 1848

/ Signed E. B. Thomas
Collector

Memo

A Memo of Mr. Finnies is also forwarded at his request

72-73

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over

/ Signed E. B. T.
Colr.

Read.

Fort St. George 24th July 1848

Read the following letters

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

74

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore } Sir.

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board the accompanying Copy of correspondence from Captain Williams the Government Agent of Bombay, requesting to be furnished with a few Planks, in order to test the quality of the Teak growing in the Annamally Forests.

2. Arrangements have been made accordingly and about 20 Planks of the prescribed dimensions will be transmitted Ponnanary as soon as they can be procured, but as it is now impossible to calculate upon the Amount which may be required for this purpose, I propose, with the permission of the Board, disbursing such sums as may be absolutely necessary, debiting the same under the head of "advances" to be eventually adjusted with the Bombay Government.

/ Signed J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collector

Coimbatore
July 7th 1848

No. 1.

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir.

With reference to the accompanying

Extract from Minutes of Board of Revenue dated 30th May 1848

Extract

Copies

Extract Paras 2 and 4 of letter from the Timber Agent to the Collector of Malabar dated 27th November 1847 Extract Para 4 of letter from the Conservator in Charge of the Timber Agency dated 23d June 1848

— copies of correspondence, I do myself the honor to request the favor of your causing me to be supplied with a few Teak Planks cut from the Annamalli Forests, that the same may be forwarded to Bombay at the opening of the fair season in view to their quality and value being tested by the authorities of the Dockyard —

2— I take leave to add that it is desirable these Planks should be cut from the sides of the tree (clear of the middle hollow or heart shake) and that in size they should be from 20 to 30 feet and above in length, about 12 inches in breadth, and about 4 or 5 inches in thickness —

Government Agent's Office, Calicut. 4th July 1848 } Signed A. S. Williams Captain I. S. Govt Agent

No 115 —

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th May 1848.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department —

(Here Enter 11th May 1848 No 167)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers it of importance that the quality and the true value of the Teak of the Animalli Forests should be ascertained, and he resolves therefore to forward a Copy of

Captain

Captain Williams's letter to the Government of Bombay with an expression of the opinion of this Government that it would seem to be advisable that Captain Williams should be instructed to obtain and forward to Bombay some planks of the Teak of the Annimallies in order that its quality may be tested at the Dockyard.

(A true Extract) Signed J. F. Thomas Chief Secretary.

Extract Paras 2 and 4 of letter from the Timber Agent to the Collector of Malabar dated 27th November 1847 —

"In reply I regret to state that I have had no opportunity of personally testing the quality of the Annimalli Teak but that I have every reason to believe it is as generally reported, of the same superior quality as the Timber which I have for some years past been receiving from the immediately adjoining Forest of Collungode indeed I have been given to understand that a portion of the very Forest from which my supplies have been obtained, has very lately been found not to belong to the Collungode Estate but to the a part

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.-

"part of the Government Forest of Animalle"-
4. "I believe it will be found that
"the Character of the Animalle Timber
"has already been ascertained and reported on
"by Dr. Wight of Coimbatore, but should a fur-
"ther opinion be desired, I would beg to suggest
"that a few planks be prepared and submitted
"to the test of experiment by the Officers of
"the Bombay Dock Yard."
Extract Para of letter from the Commodore
Commander in Chief of the I. N. to the Timber Agent dated 23d June 1848.-

"Referring to the concluding para
"of your communication No. 256 of the 27th
"November last, to the address of the Collector
"in Malabar, I am desired by the Com-
"modore Commander in Chief to require
"you to prepare for transmission to the
"Presidency on the opening of the Monsoon
"a few Teak Planks from the Animalle
"Forest in order to their quality and value
being tested in the Dockyard.-

(True Extract)
(Signed) A. D. Williams
Captain I. N. Govt. Agent

74-75

Order thereon.- The Board approve of the proposal of the Principal Collector contained in the foregoing letter.

Read.

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.-

Read the following letters.-

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From ... Civil Judge
of ... Circuit

I have the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of the Board to forward Copies of the correspondence noted in the Margin regarding the Durmakurtaship of the Pagoda of Shree Davaraja Swamy at Conjeveram, which are required for reference in Original Suit No. 5 of 1844 pending before this Court for disposal.-

Chingleput,
12th July 1848

(Signed) W. A. D. Ingles.
Civil Judge

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that copy of the correspondence quoted by the Civil Judge be forwarded to him in compliance with the request contained in the foregoing letter.-

76-77

Read the following letter.-

To

The Secretary of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From Major Hughes Commg. -
13th Regt. N. I. Secundrabad

With reference to your letter

78

letter dated 30th August 1847 regarding a claim
In the right of his Wife Burkoordai noted in the Margin preferred
Begum Sahibah claiming a Pt. by private Sillar Lawn of the
Company's Rupees 46-3-2 on ac= Regiment under my Command.
=count of Manieum Land situated I have the honor to request that
in the Village of Nullor appertain= you will be good enough to inform me if any
=ing to the Cotah Zemindary in the decision has been come to in this matter.-
District of Rajahmundry.-

Secunderabad, } Signed/ L. C. Hughes Major
10th July, 1848.- } Commanding 13th Regiment N.I.

Resolution thereon.- The Board observe that the
(78-79) final orders of Government on the claim ad=
=verted to in the foregoing letter have been
3d July 1848 communicated to the Collector of Rajahmund=
=dry to whom the claimant should apply in
person or by Vakeel.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Proceedings and of Major Hughes's letter to which it is a reply be forwarded for the information of the Collector of Rajahmund=
=dry.-

(80) Read the undermentioned Lists.-
From the Collr. of South Arcot.- List of letters addressed
to the Board and remaining unanswered to 1st
(81) July 1848.-
From the Collr. of South Arcot.- List of letters received
from the Board and remaining unanswered
to 1st July 1848.-

(82) From the Collector of Nellore. List of letters addressed
to the Board and remaining unanswered to
30th June 1848.-

(83) From the Collector of Nellore. List of letters received
from the Board and remaining unanswered
to 30th June 1848.-

(80-84) Order on the four abovementioned Lists.- } Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the undermentioned

(85) From the Collector of Guntoor.- Register of Endorse=
=ments passed by him on Petitions referred
by the Board during the Month of June last.-

(86) From the Collector of Salem.- Register of Endorse=
=ments passed by him on Petitions referred by
the Board during the Month of June last.-

(87) From the Collr. of Tanjore.- Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
during the Month of December last.-

(88) From the Collr. of Tanjore.- Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
during the Months of January, February and March
last.-

(89) From the Acting Collr. of Trichinopoly } Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board
during the Month of June last.- From

90

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

From the Collr of Innevelly — Register of Enc:
: dorsements passed on Petitions referred
by the Board during the month of June last:

85-91

Order on the 6 Abovementioned Registers — Ordered to be
lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following
letters be dispatched.

92

To the Chief Secy to Government

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir

In their letter to Government under
dated 21st October last replying to an Extract from
the Minutes of Consultation of the 28th of July
preceding in which certain information was
called for regarding the cultivation &c., of Cotton
in those districts under this Presidency in which
it was most extensively produced, the Board
took occasion, when submitting the correspond:
: ence which had then passed on the subject,
to observe that the Returns furnished by the
local Authorities exhibited in many instances
such marked discrepancies both with regard
to production and price of the Article that
they deemed it desirable to refer the matter
for the further consideration — the Collectors —

Replies.

Submitting in the reference to Board's Proceedings of the 21st October 1847 the received results exhibited by the Collectors regarding the cultivation of Cotton in their Districts and the Extent of land subjected to that cultivation with the Board's observations.

In Con. 10 June 1847

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Replies having now been received &c:

From Guntoor 19th in Con. 28th February 1848.
From Bellary 6th in Con. 13th April —"—
From Cuddapah 24th February in Con. 2d March —"—
From Kurnool 31st December 1847 in Con. 20th January 1848.
From Tanjore 21st December 1847 in Con. 20th January 1848.
From Madura 10th in Con. 17th January —"—
From Innevelly 24th December 1847 in Con. 20th January 1848.
From Combatore 6th in Con. 20th Janry —"—

Honorable the Governor in Council &c.

2. The Annexed Statement drawn up in the form contained in their Proceedings dated 16th October 1847 + which accompanied the letter to Govern: = ment above adverted to, exhibits in abstract the perused results supplied in these several letters.

Cotton.

+ Copy sub: = mitted to Government 21st October 1847.

directed by the Board of Reve: = nue to forward them for submission to the Right &c

10770

Fort St. George

24th July 1848.

Cotton 1st Sort per 1lb	Tanjore			Guntoor			Coimbatore			Cuddapah		
	A	P	Cents	A	P	C	A	P	C	A	P	C
1. Cost of Cultivation	"	8½	"	"	3	"	"	8 6/16	"	"	3	29
2. Assessment	"	6	"	"	8	"	"	4 13/16	"	"	9	21
3. Ryots profit	"	5	"	"	"	50	"	3 9/16	"	"	2	48
Price obtained by Ryots	1	7½	"	"	11	50	1	4 12/16	"	1	2	98
4. Middlemans profits	"	3 3/8	"	"	"	50	"	6/16	"	"	"	57
5. Cost of Transit	"	1/8	"	"	1	"	"	2 5/16	"	"	2	88
Total Cost and Charges of 1lb of Cotton at Port of Export	1	11	"	1	1	"	1	7 7/16	"	1	6	43

Cotton 1st Sort per 1lb	Bellary			Madura			Tinnevelly			Kurnool		
	A	P	C	A	P	C	A	P	C	A	P	C
1. Cost of Cultivation	"	5	50	"	9	93 34/64	"	11	97	1	"	"
2. Assessment	"	5	90	"	5	90 11/64	"	3	90	"	3/4	"
3. Ryots profit	Loss	11	40	"	"	69 50/64	"	1	14	Loss	3/4	"
		11	45							1	3/4	"
Price obtained by Ryots	1	11	24	1	4	53 61/64	1	5	1	1	"	"
4. Middlemans profits	"	"	61	"	1	20 39/64	"	"	84	"	3	"
5. Cost of Transit	"	6	18	"	2	88 51/64	"	1	61	"	2½	"
Total Cost and Charges of 1lb of Cotton at Port of Export	1	6	4	1	11	63 33/64	1	7	46	1	5½	"

In Acre of land in the undermarked to be capable of producing

		Cleaned. lbs	Uncleaned lbs
In Tanjore	1st Sort	6	240
	2nd Do	50	200
	3d Do	30	120
Guntoor		45	"
Coimbatore		61½	"
Cuddapah		50	"
Bellary	1st Sort	59	9
	2nd Do	46	5
	3d Do	33	1
Madura		316 3/16	
Tinnevelly	1st Sort	106¼	"
	2nd Do	75 3/4	"
	3d Do	43¾	"
Kurnool		Mds lbs	
	1st Sort	9 3	228
	2d Do	6 9¼	159¼
	3d Do	4 8½	108½

Extend of Land under Cotton Culture.

		Acres	Goontahs	Annas
Tanjore	Best Land	327	"	"
	Medium	3,225	"	"
	Inferior	2,142	"	"
		5,694	"	"
Coimbatore		79,260	31	5
Cuddapah	Best land	46,360	"	"
	Medium	21,965	"	"
	Inferior	11,617	"	"
		79,942	"	"
Bellary		209,577	"	"
Tinnevelly	1st Class	36,059	3	11
	2d Do	25,942	18	15
	3d Do	29,666	8	2
		91,667	30	12
Kurnool		11,358	14	1
Guntoor				
Madura				

As per Summabun. dy account

On

10770

Fort St. George

24th July 1848.

Cotton 1st Sort per ℔	Tanjore			Guntoor			Coimbatore			Cuddapah			Bellary			Madura			Tinnevelly			Kurnool		
	A	P	Cents	A	P	b	A	P	b	A	P	b	A	P	b	A	P	b	A	P	b	A	P	b
1. Cost of Cultivation	"	8½	"	"	3	"	"	8 6/16	"	"	3	29	"	5	50	"	9	93 34/64	"	11	97	1	"	"
2. Assessment	"	6	"	"	8	"	"	4 13/16	"	"	9	21	"	5	90	"	5	90 14/64	"	3	90	"	3/4	"
3. Ryots profit	"	5	"	"	"	50	"	3 7/16	"	"	2	48	Loss 11	40		"	"	69 50/64	"	1	14	Loss 1/4	3/4	"
Price obtained by Ryots	1	7½	"	"	11	50	1	4 12/16	"	1	2	98	"	11	24	1	4	53 61/64	1	5	1	1	"	"
4. Middlemans profits	"	3 3/8	"	"	"	50	"	6/16	"	"	"	57	"	"	64	"	4	20 29/64	"	"	84	"	3	"
5. Cost of Transit	"	1/8	"	"	1	"	"	2 5/16	"	"	2	88	"	6	16	"	2	88 51/64	"	1	61	"	2½	"
Total Cost and Charges of 1 ℔ of Cotton at Port of Export	1	11	"	1	1	"	1	7 7/16	"	1	6	43	1	6	4	1	11	63 33/64	1	7	46	1	5½	"

An Acre of land is estimated to be capable of producing

		Cleaned. ℔	Uncleaned ℔
In Tanjore	1st Sort	6	240
	2nd Do	50	200
	3d Do	30	120
Guntoor		45	"
Coimbatore		61½	"
Cuddapah		50	"
Bellary	1st Sort	59	9
	2nd Do	46	5
	3d Do	33	1
Madura		316 3/16	
Tinnevelly	1st Sort	106¼	"
	2nd Do	75¾	"
	3d Do	43¾	"
Kurnool		Mds ℔	
	1st Sort	9 3	228
	2d Do	6 9¼	159¼
	3d Do	4 8½	108½

Extend of Land under Cotton Culture.

		Acres	Goontahs	Annas
Tanjore	Best Land	327	"	"
	Medium	3225	"	"
	Inferior	2142	"	"
		5694	"	"
Coimbatore		79260	31	5
Cuddapah	Best land	46360	"	"
	Medium	21965	"	"
	Inferior	11617	"	"
		79942	"	"
Bellary		209577	"	"
Tinnevelly	1st class	36059	3	11
	2d Do	25942	18	15
	3d Do	29666	8	2
		91667	30	12
Kurnool		11358	14	1
Guntoor				
Madura				

As per Summabun-dy account

On

3. On comparing the former and present Statement it will be seen that in the Districts of Tanjore, Guntoor and Coimbatore no change has been deemed necessary in the results originally furnished, but that in the remaining districts named the fresh returns exhibit modifications which assimilate the general results to a standard which may be received as a fair approximation to the truth.

4. Under the entry of Cost of cultivation the returns still exhibit somewhat anomalous results. The Cost of raising a pound of Cotton in Guntoor is entered at only 3 pice whereas the same quantity raised in Kurnool is estimated to Cost one anna or four times that sum. The data however upon which the agent in Kurnool appears to have based his calculations are stated in his report to be of doubtful accuracy. The Cost of Cultivation in Tinnevelly and Madura form a strong contrast with the same item in Cuddapah. But if to the Cost of cultivation the further element of charge, viz the ratio of Government Assessment, be added, it will be seen that the general result throughout the whole of the District quoted exhibits less discrepancy. The highest rate being that obtaining

	As.	P.	C.
Tanjore	1	2	5½
Guntoor	"	11	"
Coimbatore	1	1	18¾
Cuddapah	1	"	50
Bellary	1	"	40
Madura	1	3	8¼
Tinnevelly	1	3	8¼
Kurnool	1	"	75

in Tinnevelly where the joint cost of cultivation and assessment per lb Amounts to 1 - 3 - 8 7 and the lowest being in Guntoor only 11 Pice.

5. As regards the price obtained by the Ryots the present returns show that in Tanjore, Madura, Coimbatore, Cuddapah and Tinnevelly the average difference is only about 1½ pice and in the remaining districts that difference is a little more than 1 6/8 pice.

6. The prices obtained by the growers in Guntoor and Bellary are nearly on a par, being also the lowest in the scale. The produce of the former district is however represented by the collector as an inferior article unsuited to the European market and consequently commanding no export value, whereas in Bellary the decrease in the value as compared with other Districts would appear as the result of the extensive augmentation of the culture of cotton within the last few years which has produced a corresponding fall in the price.

7. The Estimates of produce per acre now furnished are sufficiently consistent, the highest it will be seen being that quoted for Tinnevelly. As a general average it is stated that the ratio of cleaned to uncleaned produce is about 25 per Cent only of the latter. This would appear to be in some degree caused by the indiscriminate mixture of

all descriptions of Cotton which is so uni=
=versally adopted by the Ryots with a view
to effect the sale of their entire produce. The
several pickings which the crop undergoes
before the whole is gathered produce different
qualities of Cotton, but the advantage
which might be derived from keeping dis=
=tinct the superior from the inferior ar=
=ticle, does not seem from the generality of
the reports now submitted, to receive that
attention from the growers which it is
so desirable to introduce for an Article des=
=tined for the European Market, all dis=
=tinctions as regards the classification of
the Article into different rates of quality
are ultimately lost sight of under this objection=
=onable System. A further deterioration is
represented as taking place from the fre=
=quent practice which obtains among the
Ryots of raising different kinds of produce
on the same ground on which the Cotton is
sown in view to realize a more profit=
=able return from the land. The conse=
=quence of this mode of culture appears
to be that the Cotton is prejudiced both in
Colour and quality.

The extent of land supplied
5. to Cotton cultivation has been added in the
above Statement as far as the present re=
=turns furnish the particulars. As regards the
mode in which Cotton is conveyed to the
Port of Shipment the present information
goes to show that where the roads are
available for Bandies preference is given
to that means of transport over Bullocks
which are more expensive and seem
generally to be employed when the less
costly description of conveyance is not
available. The loss sustained during
transit was noted in the original re=
=turns as ranging from 2 to 8 and 8½
per Cent dependant chiefly on the lia=
=bility to more or less injury from
exposure to rain or damp.

The total cost
9. per ~~lb~~ at the place of Export
in British Currency with the
proportion borne as Land Tax
is exhibited in the subjoined
Statement.

Total

10775. Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

	Total Cost including Land Tax. Pence	Farthings	Land Tax. Pence	Farthings
Tanjore	2	3 50	"	3 "
Guntoor	1	2 50	1	" "
Coimbatore	2	1 7 56/64	"	2 40 4/64
Cuddapah	2	1 21 32/64	1	" 60 32/64
Bellary	2	1 2	"	2 95
Madura	2	3 81 32½/64	"	2 95 20½/64
Tinnevelly	2	1 73	"	1 95
Kurnool	2	" 75	"	" 3 37/64

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary

Dg.

10776 Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

93

Sir,

To the Chief Secretary to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Return of the Sales of Salt for the Quarter ending 30th April 1848 prepared according to the order from the Government of India dated 17th May received with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 7th June 1845.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary

Comparative

10777.

Fort St. George

24th July 1848.

A. Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption its price per Maund and the proceeds realized from its sale in all the Maritime Districts under the Madras Presidency for the Quarter ending 30th April 1846. 1847 and 1848 respectively.

	From 1st February to 30th April 1846			From 1st February to 30th April 1847			From 1st February to 30th April 1848			
	Quantity of Salt	Rate of price per Indian Maund	Amount	Quantity of Salt	Rate of price per Indian Maund	Amount	Quantity of Salt	Rate of price per Indian Maund	Amount	
	In Maunds S.C.	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	In Mds. S.C.	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	In Mds. S.C.	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
Ganjam	123096 30	1 " "	123096 12 "	156101 4 "	1 " "	156101 4 "	185505 22 8	1 " "	185505 9 "	
Vizagapatam	15202 3 8	1 " "	15202 12 "	22410 12 "	1 " "	22410 12 "	16639 14 "	1 " "	16640 13 "	
Rajahmundry	46852 " "	1 " "	46852 " "	61766 " "	1 " "	61766 " "	49514 " "	1 " "	49514 " "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "	600 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	To the French Chief of Yanam
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7200 " "	" " "	2 4 50/100 1080 " "	Export to Calcutta.
Masulipatam	45751 20 "	1 " "	45751 8 "	51168 " "	1 " "	51168 " "	68097 " "	1 " "	68097 " "	
Guntoor	107715 12 "	1 " "	107715 4 8	91977 11 7	1 " "	91977 11 7	59013 " "	1 " "	59013 " 1	
							36000 " "	" " "	15 4 34500 " "	
Nellore	188976 " "	1 " "	188976 " "	134940 10 "	1 " "	134940 10 "	130053 12 "	1 " "	130053 4 9	
							31200 " "	" " "	15 4 29900 " "	
Chingleput	153628 20 "	1 " "	153628 8 "	104811 " "	1 " "	104811 " "	189566 20 "	1 " "	198566 8 "	
Nth Dn of Arcot	6135 " "	1 " "	6135 " "	1096 8 "	1 " "	1096 8 "	7687 20 "	1 " "	7687 8 "	
Sth Dn of Arcot	62080 20 "	1 " "	62080 8 3	19601 6 6	1 " "	19601 6 6	14835 " "	1 " "	14835 " "	
Tanjore	119679 " "	1 " "	119679 " "	131284 8 "	1 " "	131284 8 "	111018 " "	1 " "	111018 " "	
Madura	90139 20 "	1 " "	90139 8 "	87490 8 "	1 " "	87490 8 "	95622 " "	1 " "	95622 " "	
Tinnevelly	68703 " "	1 " "	68703 " "	67465 8 8	1 " "	67465 8 8	70496 34 "	1 " "	70496 13 7	
Canara	156349 32 "	1 " "	156334 15 4	150225 1 7	1 " "	150226 1 7	179566 32 "	1 " "	179567 6 7	
Malabar	97643 31 7	1 " "	97643 12 7	107557 8 "	1 " "	107557 8 "	47468 " "	1 " "	47468 " "	
	300 " "	" " "	3 80/100 57 8 "	87 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	To the French Settlement of Mahi-
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	66854 7 "	" " "	15 11 44/100 66658 5 6	
Madras	26661 " "	1 " "	26661 " "	109987 5 6	1 " "	109987 5 6	44483 " "	1 " "	44483 " "	
Total	13089132815		1308672 " 10	1299183 14 "		1298002 6 10	1419820 1 8		1410706 4 6	

Resolved

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

94

To the Acting Coll.r of Trichinopoly
No 1159 of 1848.

To, The Acting Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Vurdapah Naick of Cannareody in the Talook of your District representing that Shinawanny Pillay the Shasildar of Torriore instead of holding his Cutcherry at the central station of Cannanore, and in the building appropriated for that purpose, has transferred it to a Zemindary Village, and that the Talook Treasure, Records &c.a are lodged in a house belonging to the Zemindar of Torriore; you will be pleased to ascertain without delay the correctness of the Statements made by the Petitioner and report the result of your enquiries for the Board's information.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

95

To the Collector of Canara.
No 1028 of 1848.

To, The Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from Venkataram Padiar, inhabitant of Bapsoonoor of

Fort St. George 24th July 1848.

of Condapoor Talook in your District praying for the refund of the surplus proceeds arising from the sale of his Estate after paying the Government demand and which he alleges has been misappropriated by the Shasildar and to request you will be so good as to report on the circumstances connected with the complaint of the Petitioner.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned.

96

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

Return of persons suspended and dismissed from employment from 1st April to 30th June last.

97

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

98

From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

99

From the Agent at Kurnool.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

From

100 From the Collector of Masulipatam- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last-

101 From the Collector of Nellore- Abstract of Demand &c Collection and Balance for the Month of &c June last-

102 From the Collector of Vizagapatam- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last-

103 From the Collector of Vizagapatam- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last-

104 From the Acting Collector of North Arcot Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last-

105 From the Collector of Cuddapah- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of &c June last-

From

106 From the Agent at Kurnool- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last-

107 From the Collector of Masulipatam- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of &c Grain and Salt for the Month of June last-

108 From the Collector of Nellore- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain &c and Salt for the Month of June last-

109 From the Collector of South Arcot- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last-

110 From the Collector of South Arcot- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX &c of 1822 for the Month of June last-

111 From the Collector of South Arcot- Return of persons

suspended

suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of

112 1831 for the Month of May last.

From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of persons

suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI

113 of 1831 for the Month of June last.

From the Collector of Nellore. Return of persons

suspended and dismissed from 1st April to 30th

114 June last.

From the Collector of Nellore. Return of fines imposed

and Stoppages made from the pay of Public

Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

" J. L. Drury

" Walter Elliot

" Bycraft

Compd
T. L. Boyle

Diary

Sent the following

Memo.

1

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Memo.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Collector of Rajahmundry will have the goodness to favor him with a duplicate of his letter of the 19th August last and of the two Statements which accompanied it, being lists of Estates sold for arrears of revenue and purchased on account of Government.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th July 1848.

Signed J. Bycroft
Secretary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 27th July 1848 — G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member
and
I. Goldingham Esqre Acting Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved

2

From the Sect. to Government — Communicating observations and orders on the Boards Proceedings relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Tanjore for Fasly 1256

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th May 1848 No. 525

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Reporting on the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Tanjore for fasly 1256 / 1846/47

Received 28th February 1848 No. 251

Para 1 The general state of the Season throughout the year under review Continued favorable to Dry and wet Cultivation except in January when an unexpected fall of rain slightly injured the standing Crops of Shemba & Paddy

Paddy The Collector reports that on the whole there was little cause of complaint

2 The results of Land Revenue exhibit a slight Decrease of Rupees 25534 as compared with the preceding fasly inclusive of Cavil fees

Fasly 1255	1,25,3527	
" 1256	1,27,993	
Decrease	25534	

3 The current selling Prices were upwards of 35 per Cent above the Standard, but fell below those of the previous year by 6⅞ per Cent owing to the more favorable nature of the Season in adjoining Districts and to the Import of Arracan Rice into Ceylon, the usual market for the Tanjore Grain

4 There was Consequently a Decrease in the Parcy of the Wolmgan lands as well as in other Settlements including Amany the whole being Rupees 111494 nine elevenths of which however have been covered by the Increase from other sources that was justly to be expected from the Character of the Season above noticed

Increase by	Land	35457	
Dr. by	Produce	17967	
Dr. by	other Causes	7691	
	Total	91335	

Vide Statement No. 3 annexed to Para 10 of Collectors Report

3

The Governor in Council remarks that most part of the Cultivation in excess of the previous year occurred in Villages under fixed Money Settlement where the Government had no share in the profits realizable by a rise in the Prices

6

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council notices with Satisfaction the progressive improvements in the Cultivation and Revenue under the anicut the beneficial effects of which have been so frequently recorded in former Papers

	Extent	Being
Average of 6 years from Fusly 1240 to 1255 previous to Construction of Anicut	Varies 83,581	Rupees 2831440
Do of 10 years from Fusly 1247 to Fusly 1256 after the Construction of Anicut	89,285	2978123
Increase	5704	146683
Cottage	6 53/64	5 4/64

7

The remissions granted for failure of Crops on Nunjah lands were in consequence of the Character of the Season considerably less in Amounts than those granted in the two years preceding

Fusly 1254	90,789 Rs
" 1256	25072
Decrease	65,717
Fusly 1255	58,880
" 1256	25072
Decrease	33808

The

8

The Land Revenue Collections for the Fusly under review were realized upto 30th September 1847 to the amount of Rupees 12,22,125 leaving a Balance of Rupees 5568 of which Rupees 3000 are expected to be collected. The Government notice with Satisfaction the punctual realization of the demand which though attributable in a great degree to the favorable nature of the Year, bears evidence of the exertions and attention of the Collector and his Subordinates

9

As a general rule the early adjustment of outstanding balances with a view to those irrecoverable being struck off the Accounts is highly desirable and it is observed that a general remission of all such arrears upto Fusly 1250 was granted in Tanjore so late as 8th June 1846. The Board now call on the Collector immediately to adopt Steps for a final adjustment of the Balances subsequently accruing. Where, as lately in Tanjore there have been successive Seasons of Prosperity in which the Meerasidars have enjoyed large profits by

by good produce and high prices so combined and thus posess the means of making good the arrears of less favorable years. this speedy adjustment of outstanding balances is not the Govern: ment believe urgently called for and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is inclined to leave the period for reporting on such balances to the discretion of the local authority — In the past year it is worthy of remark, that in addition to the Collection of Rupees 20662 the balance of Fasly 1255, no less than Rupees 10,885 of old arrears were recovered —

Vide Para 11 of the Board's Proceedings

10. The unusual Decrease in the Government Share of the Produce in the Manargoody Talook seems to require explanation, a similar Difference in Tranquebar it is presumed has been occasioned by the usage of that Country where the Ryots are in some cases allowed Coodeewarum as far as 75 per Cent

11 In their Proceedings of the 19th August 1847 the Board submitted a

project for introducing an uniform system of Money Settlement. This proposition involved the following modifications of the existing Systems Viz.t a Remission or other reduction of the Standard Produce in Summary Villages were it was excessive, with a view of thus bringing them back to the Head of the Wolungoo Rents 2nd to bring into operation the principle of a fixed price in these Clases of Villages generally where the Meerasidars may desire it without the enforcement of the Wolungoo Provision for the rise and fall by which their Deriz is now being annually modified and 3d the grant in consequence of a Remission in these Villages contingent on a continued succession of 2 or 3 adverse Seasons the indulgence being intended to embrace the fluctuations of Villages as well as those the Settlement of which is not affected by Prices

12 But it will be seen by reference to the Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th October 1847 that Government were

10790

were not disposed wholly to accede to those propositions. At the same time, the introduction to the full extent recommended by the Board would be incompetent previous to the final sentiments of the Honorable Court of Directors on the comparative merits of the Woolongoo and fixed Money Settlements being known and probably productive of an unnecessary sacrifice of Revenue. The consent of the Government was therefore limited to the first measure recommended Concurring accordingly with the Board "in the expediency of such modifications "being made as were necessary to place "the Villages now under Amany and "which were so returned in Consequence of "the high Standard at which the Woolongoo Pattum was fixed on the Waste upon "a par with other Woolungoo assessed "Villages." They desired the Board to direct "their views on this point to be carried "out without delay." It would appear from the 2d Para of the Proceedings now

Proceedings of Board 28th July 1848

now before Government that "the Collector "has been furnished with instructions "for the general introduction of an uniform "System of Money Settlement founded on "a fixed Grain demand regulated with "reference to the real Capabilities of the "Village and a Standard Commutation rate "with or without the Woolungoo provision for "rise and fall according to the ruling "prices" and that these instructions are applicable not only to those Villages which had been long under Amany to which it was the intention of Government to limit their Concurrence but to all Villages whether Amany or Woolungoo or otherwise in fact, to substitute a new System for that so long in force throughout a large proportion of the District. This the Government did not contemplate and on reconsideration of the subject they adhere to the opinion expressed in Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th October last and in again admitting the necessity for the revision of the Standard produce in

in those Villages which have remained for years under Amany in Consequence of that Standard being excessive - They approve of the Board's proposition being so far carried out with the view of the complete extinction of the Amany System an object equally desired by the Board and Government

13 Including all sorrees of Revenue the aggregate Summa of Fasly 1256 stood at Rs. 5,49,740 being Rupees 38,400 in excess of that of Fasly 1255 -

Fasly 1255 - 5,11,340.
" 1256 - 5,49,740.
Increased 38,400 -

14 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to record his approbation of Mr. Bishop's management of the Province of Tanjore during Fasly 1256 -

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

True Extract
Signed A. C. Montgomery
Sect to Government

3

Ordr thereon - Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tanjore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th Febry last - The Board observe with reference to Para 12 that the Collector has been informed that their orders for the introduction of an uniform System of Settlement in Tanjore were to be limited to a revision of the Woolungoo Standard in the Amany Villages only thus bringing them back to the Head of Woolungoo Rents in conformity with the instructions of Government on the subject -

Mr. Bishop is further requested to report what he has done in prosecution of the preceding orders and he will be so good as to keep the Board informed of his Proceedings in that respect from time to time -

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in Consequence -

To The Chief Secretary to Government

2-4

From the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

In Cons 27th July 1848

With reference to the observations of Government Contained in Paras 11 and 12 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation - dated 16th May 1848 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that in Consequence of a further reference from the Collector of Tanjore, relative to the instructions Contained in their Proceedings of 28th February last he has been informed that the orders of the Board for the introduction of an uniform System of Settlement in Tanjore were to be limited to a revision of the Woolungoo Standard in the Amany Villages only thus bringing them back to the head of Woolungoo Rents in conformity with the instructions of Government on the Subject - The Collector has further been requested to report his Proceedings to this Office from time to time -

From Tanjore 14th in Cons 23d March 1848
To Do 23d March 1848

2. The correspondence adverted to above is herewith submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

the Governor in Council

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

5

From the Secy to Government

Approving the instructions issued by the Board for the guidance of Collectors in dealing with Enams for the future and laying down the course to be observed in respect to Enams alienated without grant

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th July 1848

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George 19th June 1848 No. 3131

Para 1 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the instructions which the Board of Revenue propose to issue for the guidance of Collectors in dealing with Enams for the future as given in the 12th Para of the foregoing Proceedings. These instructions, he observes, are strictly deduced from the orders which have been lately passed by Government on the subject.

2 There is however one question which does not seem to have been fully provided for in the proposed instructions that is, whether the alienation of Enams without grant that the title to which depends entirely on prescriptive possession and specially Enams

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Enams which, from the acknowledged history of them and the signification of the term by which they are distinguished, were undoubtedly given in the first instance for the personal advantage of individuals should be admitted. The Governor in Council is of opinion that in all cases unsupported by grants where possession has passed out of the family to which the Enam was originally assigned — the circumstance of such alienation should form the subject of enquiry and report / without intermediate resumption / the Government reserving to itself the right

to

10796 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

to determine according to the Circumstances of the Case whether the Enam should be Continued or resumed —

3 The Board will cause it to be promulgated in all the Districts that alienations of such Enams from the date of the announcement will be entirely disallowed —

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

A true Extract
Signed H. C. Montgomery
Secty to Government

Ordered that the following Circular be sent in Consequence

Circular

I. G. D.

10797
5-6

(Circular)
To all Collectors except Madras
Furnishing instructions to the several Collectors for their guidance in the treatment of Enams on the occurrence of lapses —

From Govt 9th to Cir. 22d May 1848
From Do 11th to Bds 22d May —"
Bd's Proceedings 16th June "
From Govt to Bd 27th July —"

In Bds Cir 22d July 1848
Vide 3rd Circular 22d July 1848

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Sir

Circular

1 With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 26th April 1845 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information and guidance the accompanying correspondence which has passed between this Office and Government on the subject of the treatment of lapsed Enams —

2 Under the view expressed by Government on this question the Enams in the Madras Presidency may be divided into two grand Classes - the first those held on grant - the second those held without grant and deriving their title from long usage and prescription —

Enams held on grant

3 In respect to Enams held on grant the Government Extract Minutes Consultation 9th May 1848 have ruled that under the due construction of Regulation XXXI 1802 Section II "all rent "free lands held on grant at the date of the British "assumption and not subsequently escheated lapsed "or resumed should continue free provided they "were still at the time of passing Regulation XXXI "1802 held by the same family; and if so held at "that time the lands should not thence-forward "be subject to interference or resumption" —

4 Under this view on the lapse of an Enam held upon grant it will be necessary for you after having

having satisfied yourself of the genuineness of the grant, to determine first whether the Enam has escheated been assessed or resumed since the time when it was originally conferred—

Secondly— whether it had at the passing of Regulation XXXI 1802 or July 1802 been alienated from the family of the original grantee—

Thirdly— whether if a Service grant, the service still continues to be performed—

Fourthly— whether if a personal grant, it be in its terms hereditary or granted for a term, as for the life of the first grantee or that of his [illegible] khan and in the latter case whether such term has expired—

5 If the result of your investigation on these several points be satisfactory you will at once authorize the continuance of the Enam in the case of personal grants to the next lineal male heir of the last holder, (whether of him to the incumbent at the passing of Regulation XXXI 1802 or not) in those of a special or collective description, as when conferred on a service tenure for support of a Muttom &c to the party who may appear to possess the preferable claim. When the required conditions are not found to exist the case should be reported to this Office for orders

As

Enams held without grant

6 As regards the Second Class of Enams or those held without grants and solely in virtue of long undisturbed enjoyment the Govern-

Despatch 13th October 1847 No 19

-ment Extract Minutes Consultation 9th May 1848 Para 7 following the instructions of the Honorable the Court of Directors have decided that such long continued possession shall of itself be held to constitute a valid title. These Enams may be considered under two divisions 1st those held on tenure of service 2d those held solely for the personal benefit of the holder—

7 First Those held for service as for performance of worship or ceremonies in a Mosque or Pagoda for support of a Muttum or religious or Charitable institution and the like On lapse of such Enams it will only be necessary to ascertain that the service for which they appear to have been originally conferred, continues to be duly performed in which case the lands will be at once continued to the party who may seem entitled to succeed to them—

8 You will also observe that in respect to service Aggraram and similar alienations for service held with or without grant the Government Extract Minutes Consultation 9th May 1848 Para 18 Consider that the transfer of such Enams is unobjectionable so long as the transfer carries with it the obligation of service—

Secondly

9 Secondly Enams held for the personal benefit of the holder —

These Enams are also when fairly obtained and regularly transmitted to be continued to the heir of the last incumbent — Those cases are alone to be reported for orders when the enjoyment has passed out of the family of the original grantee — When possession of the Enam has been fraudulently or surreptitiously obtained — When Succession have been transmitted irregularly or may be claimed on invalid grounds as through the female line or by a collateral branch, in virtue of an illegal or suspicious adoption or originally when there be any circumstance affecting the prior transmission of the Enam, on the title of the present claimant —

Extract Minutes Cons. 4th July
In Cons. 27th July 1848

10 You will observe that in no case on the occurrence of a lapse, reversible to the Board under the instructions now communicated, is the Enam to be attached — It is to be left in the enjoyment of the next of kin to the last holder or of the party, in case of grant not personal, whose claim to it may seem preferable, until final orders shall have been received from Government regarding its disposal —

Bd. Proceedings 19th June 1848
Extract Minutes Cons. 4th July Para 2.

11 You will be pleased to report to this Office those cases only wherein the propriety of

continuing

continuing the Enam seems on any of the grounds above mentioned to be doubtful — When you see cause to continue the Enam no reference is to be made to the Board, but a register of all such cases, held with or without grant, should be drawn up in the form furnished to you on the 3d September 1846 and kept as a record in your office —

12 Your attention is particularly called to the last para of Extract Minutes Consultation 4th July now transmitted — You will be so good as to publish in your District the accompanying Notification prohibiting the alienation of all Enams held without grant and evidently given in the first instance for the personal advantage of the grantee — you will take every means to see that the provisions of this Notification are duly known and strictly enforced throughout your District —

Enclosures 1 and 2 in a letter from North Arcot dated 15th May in Cons. 19th June 1848 —
From North Arcot 24th May in Cons. 19th June —
From North Arcot 20th May in Cons. 24th July —
From Do. 27th May in Cons. — Do.
From Do. 19th June in Cons. — Do.
From Do. 21st June in Cons. — Do.
From Do. 22d June in Cons. — Do.
From Do. 10th July in Cons. — Do.
From Do. 24th July in Cons. — Do.
From Cuddapah 31st March in Cons. 24th July 1848
From Do. — 31st March in Cons. — Do.
From Do. — 3d May in Cons. — Do. —

13 The reports of lapsed Enams which have recently been received from your District are herewith returned and you will be pleased to dispose of them under the instructions now communicated reserving for report such only as from the invalidity of the titles under which they are

10802 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

From Do 3d May inbouns — Do —
From Do 4th May — inbouns — Do
From Do 15th May — inbouns — Do
From Do 4th May — inbouns — Do
From Do 4th May inbouns — Do
From Do 6th May inbouns — Do
From Do 21st May inbouns — Do
From Do 24th May — inbouns — Do
From Cuddapah 24th May inbouns. 21st July 1848
From Cuddapah 24th May inbouns 21st July 48
From Tuchinopoly 23d May inbouns 21st July — Do
From Trichinopoly 25th May inbouns 24th Do
From Bellary 12th inbouns 21st August 1840

are claimed or other circumstance it may be necessary to submit for the orders of Government

Notification

Whereas in the several Districts under this Presidency many Enams unsupported by Sunnud or Purwanah or similar document and held simply on the ground of long possession and prescription are such as from their nature and acknowledged history and the signification of the terms by which they were distinguished are undoubtedly given in the first instance for the personal advantage of the grantee and whereas it is desirable that such lands should not be allowed to pass out of the family of those for whose benefit they were originally bestowed, it is hereby notified under orders of Government for general information that from and after the date of this present announcement no Enams of the nature above described shall under any circumstances be allowed to be alienated by the present holders — nor will any claim to the possession or participation in such Enams founded upon such alienation be in any case recognized by Government. All parties are further warned against purchasing or lending money upon the mortgage of such Enams and are informed that any such transaction will be at their own risk and will not be considered as vesting in them any right or title whatsoever in the Enams which they may have bought or taken on mortgage —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th July 1848

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

10803
7

From the Secy to Government

Directing that the Collector of South Arcot be instructed to inform Mr Bulliard that it is not considered expedient to allow him to dispose of Alcohol manufactured by him and requesting the Board to reconsider their opinion on the proposal to supply Cabinet Makers and others with Alcohol from the Commissariat and report the result of their deliberations

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 6th July, 1848. No. 720.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue. —

/ Here enter 5th June 1848 No. 286. /

To The Right Honorable

Para 1.

the Governor in Council thinks with the Board of Revenue that under the circumstances represented by them in the concluding paragraphs of the foregoing letter, it would not be expedient to grant the permission solicited by Mr. Bulliard to dispose of in the Company's Territories the Alcohol manufactured by him, and he desires the Collector of South Arcot may be instructed to make the necessary intimation to Mr. Bulliard. —

2 With regard to the opinion expressed by the Board on the proposition to supply Cabinet Makers, and other manufacturers, with Alcohol from the Commissariat, that "it would be better to leave such transactions to private interprize" the Governor in Council cannot learn how this is to be — The Establishment

Establishment of Distilleries be understood, can only be permitted under special licence, and there appear to be strong objections to the issue of such license. The fact adverted to by the Board in their Communication to the Collector of Sout= Arcot, respecting the privilege solicited by Mr. Bullivant, that "advantage may be taken of the Concession to the prejudice of the Abkarry revenue, as Alcohol may by being diluted, be reduced in Strength and used by the Native Consumer equally with Arrack or any other Spirit" is of itself a ba= Independent of this circumstance, as it is cheap= =er to distil Spirits, adapted to ordinary Con= =sumption, than of that degree which Consti= =tutes Alcohol, the grant of a license for such a purpose would seem open to abuse difficult of Checks or Control.—

3 That abuse does exist is admitted in the circumstances detailed by the Board and in the representation of the Commissary General, that "Cabinet Makers purchase Alcohol distilled in India 5 by private parties" and it yet remains

6

to be ascertained, whether the Clandestine manufacture of the Article, does not prejudice the Abkarry revenue of the Presidency.—

4. Cabinet makers it is understood require Alcohol of strong degree for their purposes, and the cheapest and best materials from which it can be prepared, are arrack and Rum, but the restrictions against the import of either the one or the other, amount to a prohibi= =tion of the manufacture. even if a Distil= =lery for Alcohol, be permitted, and this = prohibition, it is to be presumed, has led to the Clandestine manufacture, and Sale of the Article by private parties. It was = with the view of providing a Convenience to the public with safety to the Government interests, that it was proposed. the Com= =missariat should supply the Article, and the Governor in Council yet thinks that this is the Safest mode in-asmuch as the Commissary General will only supply those who he feels assured will not abuse the indulgence, and under such Rules and Regulations as he may = think necessary for the Security of the

abkarry

Abkarry.

5. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to reconsider the subject, with reference to the foregoing observations, and Submit the result of their deliberations for the decision of Government.—

A true Extract

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue

(signed) H. E. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

Proceedings thereon.—

8

Ordered that Para 1 of the above Extract and of Paras 4 & 5 of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be Communicated to the Collector of South Arcot in reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin. Mr Davis will be pleased to inform Mr Bullaird of the orders passed by Government upon his application.—

From Govt. 20th November 1847
Encl in From Govt. 29th Novr in Cons. 15th December 1847
From Collr 13th April 1848
From Dy. L. Thiag in Cons. 5th June 1848.

Ordered also that the following letter be addressed to Government.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.—

7-9

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I. Cons. 27th July 1848.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation of the 6th Instant communicating the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council upon

upon the application made by Mr. Bullaird for permission to distil Alcohol for sale within the Madras Territories.

In Para 2 of the extract

2 under acknowledgement, the Government in reference to the opinion expressed by the Board in their letter of the 5th of June last, that it would be better that the Supply of Alcohol for the use of Manufacturers and others should be left to private enterprize, than that it should be provided thro' the Commissariat, have remarked, that they cannot learn how this is to be— the restrictions upon the import of the Materials— Rum and Arrack— from &c which Alcohol is usually prepared, &c amount to a prohibition of the Manufacture even if, which seems doubtful, a distillery of Alcohol could be permitted with security to the Abkarry revenue.—

In reply I am instructed

3 by the Board to state that their Suggestion &c that the Manufacture of Alcohol might preferrably be left to private parties, &c proceeded upon the Supposition, that measures might be taken for controlling the Manufacture so as to prevent its injuriously affecting

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

affecting the Abkarry revenue. After a careful reflection upon the subject they have not however been able to devise arrangements whereby such a due and efficient Supervision over the distillers of Alcohol could be maintained, and they therefore on further Consideration Concur with the Government, that, for the present at least, the Supply of that article may best be vested in the Commissariat. They would consequently recommend that the Commissairy General be instructed to communicate with the Cabinet Makers, Coach makers, Chemists and others, and to provide them under due restrictions with such quantities of alcohol as may be required for the purposes of their several trades- the Spirit being Sold at as small an advance upon the cost of production as possible, to leave no inducement for a resort to the illicit distiller.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th July, 1848 ---

/Signed/ J. Bycroft
Secretary

10

From the Secry to Government

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 18th July, 1848 No. 792.
/From Board 10th July 1848/

The Military Board will be pleased

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

pleased to attend to the requisition in the foregoing letter.-

/Signed/ H. b. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

10-11

Order thereon.-

From the Secretary to Government

12

Ordered to be recorded-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th July, 1848 No. 782.-

/From Board 13th July/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off Profit and loss the value of the unserviceable Barks referred to in the foregoing Proceedings, amounting to Rupees /30/ thirty.-

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

/Signed/ H. b. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

12-13

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Masulipatam, with reference to his letter dated 3d Instant.-

Read the undermentioned Returns.-

14

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Abstract Statement of persons Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1833 for

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June 1848.

15

From the Collector of Guntoor. — Abstract Statement of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June 1848.

16

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Abstract Statement of persons Charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June 1848.

17

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — Abstract Statement of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June 1848.

14-18.

Order on the 4 abovementioned Returns . . . . . Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the following

letter.

To

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19

Sir,

From the Coll. of Masulipatam } I have the honor to forward a list of Establishment deputed by me to take charge of the Estate noted in the Margin, attached for arrears of Revenue, and request the Board's sanction for the same.

Pentapaud Purgunah.

Masulipatam Zillah — Collector's Circuit Cutcherry at Bezowarah 17th July 1848. } Signed R. T. Porter Collector

Statement

10812 — St. George — 27th July 1848.

Statement of Attached Estate attached for Arrears of Revenue in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Name of the Zemindar or Proprietor	Establishment employed in the employment	proposed to be attachment No.	Monthly Pay	Per Centage of the Establishment to the Estimated Annual Value of the portion of the Estate attached	Date of attachment	Remarks
1	12.	13.	14.	15.	16	17
	Tahsildar of Mangly	1	15 0 0			
	Sheristadar	1	20 0 0			
	Mastah	1	8 0 0			
Rajah Sobhanadry Apparow	Cabinviss	1	8 0 0		19th June 1848	
	Peadar at 8 Rupees each	6	48 0 0			
	Sepoys at 3½ Rupees each	7	24 8 0			
		16	123 8 0			
	Saderwared	0	6 0 0			
		Total	129 8 0			
		Per year	1554 0 0	3 0 6		

Cutcherry 19th July 1848 — E. E. 7pt — Signed R. T. Porter Collector

The

10813 Fort St George 27th July 1848

Resolution thereon (19-20)

The Board of Revenue sanction the Employment of the Establishment at a Monthly Charge of Rupees 129-8 entered in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, for the management of the Pentapaud Zemindary attached for Arrears of Revenue

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(21)

Sir,

From the Collr of Guntoor

I have the honor to acknowledge the Receipt of your letter of the 13th March last transmitting the two Petitions noted in the Margin, and conveying the opinion of the Board that Petitioners should not have been saddled with the land which they declined to Cultivate.—

Explaining in reply to letter of the 13th March last that the Tahsildars were directed to limit the time for relinquishment of land to 30th July within which time the Petitioners did not relinquish their lands and stating that the Tahsildar will be directed not to enforce the demand complained of against the Petitioners

Nos 353 355 of 1848.

2 In reply, I have to explain that the Tahsildars were last year directed by a Circular order to limit the time for the relinquishment of land to the 30th July, this being as late as could be safely allowed with a reasonable prospect of the relinquished land being taken up by other parties—

10814 Fort St George 27th July 1848

parties— Neither of the Petitioners gave in their relinquishment within that limit, and the Head Assistant Collector, by whom the settlement of the Kunsanoupett Talook was conducted, did not see reason under the Circumstances of the Case to make an exception from the rule in their favor as however, the rule was recent and may not have been universally understood, and as it would appear that the land referred to was not ploughed, the Tahsildar will be directed not to enforce the demand against the Petitioners on account of it—

The Petitions with their Enclosures are herewith returned—

Guntoor Collectors Office 19th July 1848

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Resolution thereon (21-22)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos 353 & 355 of 1848—

"It appears from the reports of the Collector that the Petitioner has been charged for the land mentioned in his Complaint in Consequence of his having neglected to relinquish it within the due time— The Board of Revenue will not insist upon the demand being enforced on the present occasion, but Petitioner must be careful for the future to give up any land, which he may wish to abandon, within the period fixed by the District authorities"

Read the following letters Statement

10815 (23)

Fort St. Georg

Statement of Arrears due to a Yeomiahdar

No. of Fusly 1256	Name of the Yeomiahdar	Amount of Yeomiah per Annum £ Rs a P	Date of Authority	Period from which arrears are due	Total amount of Arrears due £ Rs a P	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of Payment
129	Besbee Saheba	26 5. 2	22 May 1838	From the Month of July 1847 to the end of June 1848	26 5.	This Yeomiah is paid half yearly and the holder of it was absent from October 1847 to 6th May 1848, when again in consequence of hearing of the illness of her Grandson at Madras, she left Nellore on the 10th May and has only lately returned. As the above facts are Correct and the Individual has been identified as the true Yeomiahdar, the Collector begs to recommend the payment of the Arrears of Yeomiah to her.

Nellore
Collectors Cutcherry
17th July 1848.

27th July 1848

in the Nellore District.

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Resolved

10816 Fort St George 27th July 1848

Proceedings thereon (23-24)

Resolved that the foregoing Statement be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that under the explanation of the Collector sanction be granted for payment of the arrears due to Beebee Saheba Amounting to Rupees twenty six and Annas five, and for Continuance of the Allowance

To I. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(25)

From the Collr of Nellore —

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith an Indent for Broad Cloth for the use of my Office which I request the Board will pass. The last supply having been received so long ago as in October 1839, the Article is now much required, the Cloths on the several Desks &c in the Office being Completly worn out

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry
17th July 1848 — Signed G. A. Smith
Collector

Proceedings thereon (25-26) The quantity of Broad Woollen Emerald Green Cloth now Indented for is 45 Yards while the supply of 1839 does not appear to have exceeded 30 Yards.

The Collector is requested to explain the necessity for the excess now applied for. It is observed that many of the Servants Goomastahs &c Mentioned in the Memo. at the foot of the Indent would not require table Covers.

Read

10817 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Read the following letter

To I. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(27)

Sir,

From the Collector of Bellary — Forwarding for Sanction a Statement of arrears of Pension due to one Ronaty Naid Poligar Pensioner of Raidroog in the Bellary District —

I have the honor to forward a Statement of arrears of Pension due to one Ronatee Naid of Raidroog a Poligar Pensioner in this District (to whom a Pension of Company's Rupees 12 - 2 - 5 - per Mensem was granted by Government under date the 8th June 1846) drawn up according to the Board's form of the 8th May 1846 Amounting to Company's Rupees 109 - 5 - 9 and as the absence of the Pensioner exceeds 6 Months, I request with reference to your Circular letter of the 15th February 1847 that you will obtain for me the Sanction of Government for the disbursement of the Amount and for the Continuance of the Pension for the future.

2 The Pensioner proceeded to Bangalore with my permission on private affairs in October last and returned lately and applied for payment of the arrears, which not having been Charged in the Public Accounts in consequence of his absence is required now to be disbursed —

3 The Tahsildar of Raidroog (where the Pensioner resides) reports his having satisfied himself by personal examination of the identity of the party Claiming the arrears —

Bellary Collr's Office
4th July 1848 — Signed A. Mellor
Collector

Statement

10818

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

Statement Shewing the Amount of Arrears of Pension due to the undermentioned Pensioner in the Bellary District—

Number of Certificate	Name of the Pensioner	Amount of Pension per annum	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which Arrears are due	Total Amount of Arrears	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		£ Rs a P				£ Rs a P			
1	Khonate Naid	114 13 . 8	8th June 1814	Hereditary being Compensation in lieu of Resumed lands Offices and Privileges	For 9 Months or from 1st October 1847 to 30th June 1848 both inclusive	109 5 9 One hundred and Nine Annas five and Pice Nine	Payment suspended in consequence of the Pensioners departure on leave to Bengalore on Private Affairs—		

Bellary Collectors Office
4th July 1848

E. E. Fr

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector

The

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

Resolution thereon—

27-28

The Board observe that under the orders of Government dated 7th January 1847 Commun=icated to the Collector of Bellary in the Board's Circular of 15th February following, he is authorized to disburse Arrears of Allowances due for any particular Mouths at any time within the six Months following the expiration of that period—the sanction of higher authority is therefore unnecessary for pay=ment of the Arrears due to Ronate Naid—

Read the following letter

For

ORIGINAL DEST 30 '48

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

To,
J. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

29

From the Collector of Chingleput
Furnishing the information called for by the Board's Proceedings dated 27th April last regarding certain houses and lands situated within the limits of the Cantonment of Ponamalee—

Sir,

Para 1. In obedience to the instructions of the Board of Revenue conveyed in Extract of Proceedings under date 27th April 1848, I have the honor to forward such information as I have been able together regarding the houses and ground at Ponamalee alluded to in the copies of correspondence which accompanied it and for facility of explanation and reference to submit a correct ground plan. Previous however to enter=ing upon these details I must beg to notice several points brought forward by the Quarter Master General of the Army, from an apparent false impression that all lands situated within the limits of every Cantonment are the property of Government, and that it is necessary for every person holding lands therein to obtain govern=ment grants for the same. Little or none of the ground situated within the limits of the Cantonment of Ponamalee, except such spots as are occupied by Government and Military Officers

Fort St. George 27th July 1818.

Officers and the esplanades are the property of Government; and a few years since when it was considered necessary to assign some additional land for the huts of Sepoys situated there the ground required was purchased from the Merasidars for the purpose. When the new Cantonment of Palaveram was established the entire ground required was purchased from the Zemindar and the Merasidars, the whole therefore became the property of Government, but no such claim ever has been advanced, or could be to the lands of Ponamalee and consequently no encroachment has in the present case been made on the Government property.-

2. In reference to the remarks relative to the vicinity of the buildings to the Fort I would beg further to refer the Board and Government to the Plan of the Cantonment prepared in the Quarter Master Generals Office, I believe in 1822, but copy of which was forwarded with the Chief Secretary's Signature in that year to the Collector of Chingleput under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1821. In that Map, it will be seen that the Fort was then closely

Fort St. George 27th July 1818.

closely surrounded by houses and grounds on 3 sides, the parade ground being on the other, and that no encroachment has been made on what was then considered the Military limits.

3. I beg further to explain to the Board with reference to the ground sketch which accompanied their proceedings that the hedge constructed by Mr. Turner at the points marked in it A and B with the object of shutting up that road and connecting his property on each side of it was the subject matter of a complaint to me in 1842, when I caused the hedge to be removed and prohibited Mr. Turner's attempt to close up the road since which time the passage has been free and open to all.

4. And now as regards the ground sold by Mr. Turner and that the subject of the complaints of Pensioner Deverill and Serjeant T. A Dorsey. The land included in the plan Marked CCCC appears to have originally belonged to the Merasidars of the Village and to have been occupied with huts and was by them sold in 1828 to Major Edwards the Officer Commanding who built a small Bungalow thereon, and he eventually made over the property to one Mrs. Fricker who in 1836 sold it to Mr. Turner by

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

by whom the house was enlarged — —
and an upper story put on it, and rented to Major
Gillespie who was then in Command. For this pro=
perty the usual land tax according to the Survey Assess=
ment has been annually levied on Puttahs

5. The House and ground marked
in the Map DDD appears to have been a
waste spot in 1821 when it was enclosed, and a
small Bungalow erected with the permission of
Major Edwards the Officer Commanding by a per=
son named Carr who sold it to Rector by whom
it was disposed of to Serjeant Dursey and by
him again sold to Sibthorpe, and it has since been
disposed of to a person of the name of Thos:
mas Wright. No grant appears to have been
issued for this spot, or was the land originally
purchased from the Meerasidars. Between this
enclosure and Mr. Turner's property is a —
narrow strip of land also going round Mr
Turner's hedge on the Southern side, and
marked in the Map EEE and painted light
Yellow. This spot of ground has for many
years been occupied by huts, some of the —
occupants being Camp followers, some pensioners
and others of various classes and descriptions, but no
one individual is able to adduce any title to the
ground occupied by him and his family beyond
a

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

a prescriptive right of occupancy for 50 or 60
years. Mr. Turner taking advantage of this fact
obtained in 1842 from three out of four of the Head
Meerasidars of Poonamalee a bill of sale for the
right to the ground occupied by all these parties in=
cluding the ground on which Dorseys house stands.
In this bill of sale it is set forth that the price
of the Meerasi right to the land specified is fixed
at 70 Rs., and that Mr. Turner is only to enter into
possession, as the houses fall to ruin or as he is able
to induce the occupants to give them up. But of the
purchase money 52 Rupees 8 annas only was paid
the 4th Meerasidar not agreeing to the arrange=
ment and refusing to take his portion of the money.

6. A practice prevails in many parts
of the District for the Meerasidars to assign
Village lands for the huts of Poykarrys on the
agreement that should the Poykarries go away,
the land is to revert to the Meerasidars and that
the Poykarry should have no power or right of
sale; and should the Meerasidars require the
land the Poykarry is bound to give it up
and remove else where when it requires re=
thatching. Mr. Turner has endeavoured to avail
himself of this custom and to claim Dorseys'
land

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

land, and the land on which Pensioner Deverill was commencing to construct a hut on the spot marked F. the original hut standing there having been sold to him by a person named Nunapah whose family had been in possession 40 Years.

7 There is this great distinction between the lands claimed by Mr. Turner and the lands held by Peykaarys under the Custom Shanc mentioned Viz.t. that the latter either cultivate the lands of the Meerasidars or enter into agreements with the Villagers and in many instances paying them a quit rent whilst the occupiers of the land at Poonamalee claimed by Mr. Turner have never paid a fraction to or in any way acknowledged the Meerasidars right, and therefore by the statute of limitations no prescriptive right can now be claimed against them. Under these reasons the bill of sale to Mr. Turner for the disputed ground must be considered invalid, and I see no objection to Mr. Dorsey or the present possessor of that property Mr. Wright altering the house as he pleases nor do I see any objection to Pensioner Deverill building the house for himself that he

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

he proposes.

8 With regard to the Fort the Walls appear to have been in a very delapidated state till the present year when extensive repairs have been carrying on under the Chief Engineer. Three sides appear to have been repaired and workmen are now busy on the remaining one.

9 The buildings inside which are extensive are occupied as Military Hospitals and Store rooms and occasionally on the arrival of Troops from England married families are put into them. These buildings are in good order.

Chingleput District,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry,
Ootoor, 20th June, 1848.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

29-30

To the Chief Secy to Govt.

Inl: 27th April 1848.

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 13th April last, transmitting to this Office certain papers relative to the Cantonment of Poonamallee, and directing that the

the Collector of Chingleput be called upon for explanation on the subjects therein alluded to, I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter 20th June 1848 from that Officer, furnishing information upon the several points referred to him.

2 From this it will be seen that no encroachment has been made upon what was considered to be the Military limits of the Cantonment in the year 1822. It will likewise appear that the hedge thrown across the public road by Mr. Turner was removed in 1842, that the land now in his possession is not the property of Government but belonged to the village - that it was sold by the Meerasdars in 1828 to Major Edwards and subsequently passed to Mr. Turner who holds it on Puttah or payment of the usual Survey Assessment. Mr. Freese has likewise explained the present condition and appropriation of the Fort of Bonavarlloo and its buildings; and the nature of the claim advanced by Mr. Turner to the land in the occupation of Mr. Thomas Wright, and to that lately purchased by Pensioner Deverill.

3. It does not occur to the Board that it

is not necessary for them to offer remarks upon any of the questions reported on by the Collector, except in respect to the claim preferred by Mr. Turner to the land of Mr. Wright and to that of the Pensioner. Upon this they would observe that the validity of a bill of sale executed by three only out of the four Merasdars seems to them to be very questionable - and that they are of opinion that the Merasdars do not possess the power of selling land which has never been cultivated and which has for many years been in the occupation of other parties. They therefore consider that Mr. Wright and Pensioner Deverill should be maintained in their respective holdings and Mr. Turner left to prosecute his claim, if he see fit, in a Court of Law.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ...
27th July 1848. ...

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

31

From the Collr of North Arcot. — Sir,

The Zemindar of Cavateemuggur has applied to me to appoint a Commissioner for the sale of property distrained by him for arrears of revenue. On referring to Act VII of 1839 I do not find that any provision is made therein for the sale of such

such distrained property within the limits of a Zemmindary; except on the supposition that Tahsildars may act as Commissioners beyond the limits of their jurisdiction: or that the Tahsildars of Zemmindaries are within the meaning of Section II of the Act; neither of which constructions seems to be the plain meaning of the terms of the Act. No case as yet occurred I find in this district, to bring this point to issue. But the question must I should think, have been raised before this in some of the Zemmindary Districts and the meaning of the law determined; and I do myself the honor to solicit the instructions of the Board of Revenue for my guidance.

North Arcot.....
Coll[r]s Circuit Cutchery....
Palmanair 28th June 1848.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

32

The Board of Revenue do not find that the question referred to by the Acting Collector in the above letter 7th inst. in respect to the party by whom the sale of property within a Zemindary distrained by the proprietor for arrears of revenue should be conducted, has hitherto been submitted for their orders. They resolved therefore to ascertain from the Collectors of those Provinces in which the Permanent Settlement principally prevails, what has been the usual practice in their

their Districts. and on receipt of this information they will communicate further with the Acting Collector upon the subject.

Ordered that the following letter be addressed to the Collectors of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Rajahmundry, Masulipatam and Madura.

31-33

Circular
To the Coll[r]s of Ganjam Vizagapatam Rajahmundry Masulipatam and Madura.

Circular

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will inform them what is the course usually followed in your District in respect to the sale of property within a Zemmindary, not under direct management, distrained by the proprietor on account of balances of revenue due to him by his ryots. and more especially in what parties the powers of Commissioners for conducting the sale of such property have been vested since the passing of Act VII 1839.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th July 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letters.

To T. Pycroft Esq[re]
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

34

From Actg Collector of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the orders of the Board of Revenue a Statement of arrears due to certain Zemmahdars in this District.

North Arcot
Coll[r]s Ct. Cutchy
Palmanair 29th June 1848.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Actg Collr

Statement

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

such distrained property within the limits of a Zemmandary; except on the supposition that Tahsildars may act as Commissioners beyond the limits of their jurisdiction; or that the Tahsildars of Zemmdaries are within the meaning of Section II of the Act; neither of which constructions seems to be the plain meaning of the terms of the Act. No case as yet occurred I find in this district, to bring this point to issue. But the question must. I should think, have been raised before this in some of the Zemmdary Districts and the meaning of the law determined; and I do myself the honor to solicit the instructions of the Board of Revenue for my guidance.

North Arcot.....
Coll^{r} Circuit Cutchery...
Palmanair 28th June 1848.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

32

The Board of Revenue do not find that the question referred to by the Acting Collector in the above letter 7th inst. in respect to the party by whom the sale of property within a Zemmindary distrained by the proprietor for arrears of revenue should be conducted, has hitherto been submitted for their orders. They resolved therefore to ascertain from the Collectors of those Provinces in which the Permanent Settlement principally prevails, what has been the usual practice in their

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

their Districts. and on receipt of this information they will communicate further with the Acting Collector upon the subject.

Ordered that the following letter be addressed to the Collectors of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Rajahmundry, Masulipatam and Madura.

31-33

Circular

To the Coll^{rs} of Ganjam Vizagapatam Rajahmundry Masulipatam and Madura.

Circular

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will inform them what is the course usually followed in your District in respect to the sale of property within a Zemmindary, not under direct management, distrained by the proprietor on account of balances of revenue due to him by his ryots, and more especially in what parties the powers of Commissioners for conducting the sale of such property have been vested since the passing of Act VII 1839.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George..
27th July 1848...

(Signed) J. Lycroft
Secretary

Read the following letters.

34

From Acting Collector of North Arcot - To J. Lycroft Esq^{re} Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir, I have the honor to submit for the orders of the Board of Revenue a Statement of arrears due to certain Zemmindars in this District.

North Arcot
Coll^{rs} Ct Cutchery
Palmanair 29th June 1848

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Coll^{r}

Statement

1831 — Hart — George 27th July 1828

Statement showing the Amount of Sums advanced Remunerations &c &c paid

Statement of Sums advanced & the Remunerations Remunerations &c &c paid

Officers first the Navy who are employed or retired on Full Pay

Names | Rank | Date of Commission | Date of Retirement | Amount of Remuneration | Amount of Advance | Remarks

Captain Hart | Captain | 27th July 1828 | | £ 300 | £ 1000 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Smith | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Brown | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Jones | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Robinson | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Thompson | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Wilson | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Davis | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Evans | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Williams | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Roberts | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Edwards | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Morgan | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Lewis | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Hughes | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Price | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Rees | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Owen | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Griffiths | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Jones | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Williams | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Davies | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Evans | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Thomas | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Lloyd | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Morris | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Phillips | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Rogers | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Cook | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Bell | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Murray | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Reid | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Campbell | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Stewart | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Fraser | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Mackenzie | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Gordon | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macdonald | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macleod | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macpherson | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macintosh | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macgregor | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macfarlane | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macmillan | Lieutenant | 29th June 1828 | | £ 200 | £ 500 | Appointed to the Command of the Expedition

Lieut. Macbeth

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

Resolution thereon.
(34-35)

Resolved that the Statement which accompanies the foregoing Letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that under the explanation of the Acting Collector sanction be granted for payment of the arrears therein mentioned and for continuance of the allowances.

The Board concur with Mr. Bourdillon that the Yeomiahdar No. 5/6 should be required to provide another deputy to perform the service of the Ashaur in lieu of Syed Hupud Ali.

To

To Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(36)

From Actg. Collr. of North Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1

The Petitions noted in the Margin which were sent down to this Office for report, represent that certain parties hold Sumpruthy Mainums in Satghur Talook, although the services for which they were granted have ceased; and the prayer is that these Mainums may be resumed.

2018 and 2019 of 1847 herewith returned

2 From enquiries in this Office and a reference to the records, it appears that these mainums were granted under the Mohomedan Government

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

Government to support "Sumpruthies" who were Curnums, each having the oversight of a dozen or more villages as a check on the Village Curnums In July 1213 Mr. Cockburn charged these Mainums with one fourth of the full assessment and they have continued on this footing ever since. Those in the Talooks South of the Palar however were wholly resumed before those Talooks were transferred to the District North of the Palar these Mainums seem to have been confined to two Talooks. Santghur and Cuddapanutham, and they now amount to 2295 Rupees in the former and to 307 in the latter. The duties of the Sumpruthies ceased on the assumption of the Revenue administration by the English.

3. Had these Mainums been resumed with the other village service Mainums instead of being thus summarily dealt with by Mr. Cockburn they would have come under stricter revenue, and probably the whole or at least a larger proportion would have been resumed. At this time it seems unlikely that such a measure should be adopted even supposing that it

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

it could be legally taken and I therefore do
consider it necessary at present to make a
closer investigation into the subject, but co
myself with laying the general information
before the Board for their orders should
they think proper to require it. I will then
further enquiries and submit a more de
tailed report.

North Arcot
Coll.rs Circuit Cutchery
Palmanair 13th July 1848

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector.

36-37

Resolution thereon

The Board of Revenue are not prepared to interfere with the Comptroller Maniums reported on in the foregoing letter. It may however deserve the consideration of the Acting Collector whether these holders may not be called upon to render service on any occasions on which their assistance would be likely to be of use.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be copied on Petitions Nos. 208 and 209 of 1847

The Board have furnished the acting Collector with instructions on the subject of these Petitions.

Read

J. C. B.

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Read the following letter

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

38

From J. F. Bishop Esqre Sir,
Collector of Tanjore

I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2204 of 1847 Petitioner claims Chukkrums 1640 the amount of principal and Interest lent by his Forefathers to the Pagoda at Vadaraniew as per vouchers granted by former Pundarams in charge of the same -

An Inquiry was instituted into the claims set forth and 3 very ancient bonds were produced, which the present Chumbram and old agents of 50 years connexion with the Pagoda, do not acknowledge, and the Validity of which in the face of this denial on the part of the Pagoda people, Petitioner is unable to establish - Herefers however to an award made by certain Punchayets in the year 1825, but who the parties were or what their decision does not appear by any record in the Kooroor tho' there exists an Arzee from the Tahsildar forwarding certain accounts on the subject of Petitioners claim, but these accounts are unattested by the Signatures of the Punchayet - No final

orders

orders appear to have been passed on the enquiry instituted in 1825, but an endorsement was passed on several petitions in 1822 25 and 26 to the effect that Petitioners Case with others of a similar nature, will come under consideration in due time —

The long standing of the claim in question and the imperfect nature of the evidence in support of it, as apparent on the preliminary enquiry renders a decision on the part of the Collector impracticable, and as the Pagoda in question is under the management of a Pundarum and its property answerable for debts contracted for its support. I see no other course left for petitioner than the Civil Court, whether he would probably long-ere this have carried his case, had there been good prospects of success —

Tanjore — — —
Kodicaray — —
17th July 1848 — —

Signed J. J. Dickinson
Collector

Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 2204 of 1847 —

"The matter of this Petition is not adjudicable by the Collector — Petitioner must have recourse to the Civil Courts"

Read

38-39

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre —
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

40

From R. D. Parker Esqre —
Collector of Madura —

Sir

I have the honor to submit the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector reporting the suspension of the Tahsildar of Nellacottah, and his opinion of his incompetency to fill that office —

I am aware that the incapacity of this Tahsildar has been the source of great trouble to Mr Clarke and I beg to support his recommendation that he may be removed — He was formerly a superintending Mahistry and appears to be wanting in the habits and knowledge of Revenue and Police business requisite to qualify him for the important post of Tahsildar. It is not my wish to prevent his employment in any other office for which he may be thought qualified —

Madura —
18th July 1848 —

Signed R. D. Parker
Collector

No 1

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Collector of Madura

I have the honor to acknowledge the

the receipt this day of your letter of the 21st Instant, calling my attention, with reference to the suspension of the Tahsildar of Kella= =cota to Para 6 of the Government Printed Circular of the 19th May 1835 requiring a report to the Board of Revenue within 14 days of the suspension of a Tahsildar

2 The rule in question had es= =caped my notice, and I regret that the pres= =sure of work owing to the Settlement, in which I am now engaged has caused me to post= =pone my report beyond the prescribed time

3 The Tahsildar whose general inefficiency and neglect and unbusiness like habits render him wholly incompetent, in my opinion to discharge the duties devolving on him, and cause great confusion and delay and danger to the interests of Government, was suspended on Saturday the 10th Inst

4 Soon after assuming charge of the Sub Division, the Conduct of this Tahs= =sildar was prominently brought under my notice by an appeal against his Proceedings in a Police case then pending before him - and which he had prepared for transmission to the Criminal Court - His Judgment in

the case was strongly warped, and there ap= =pears to me to be just grounds for suspecting that the records discovered in the house of Saba= =pathey Iyen the Nattangar of Battagoontah had been placed there at the instigation of the Tahsildar, in order to revenge himself on Sabapathy Iyen for giving evidence against him in a Case of assault, in which Case Mr Elliot fined the Tahsildar 20 Rupees - This fine was confirmed by you in your proceedings of the 30th August 1847

5 The unfavorable impression raised in my mind by these proceedings of the Tahsildar has not been removed by his subsequent Conduct, nor have I perceived any desire on his part to establish a favorable opinion

6 The Diary of the Civil Engineer for the past 2 years shews how supine he has been in this Department of his duties and though I have repeatedly called on him to report on the gravelling of roads Nos 2 and 17 I have not yet been able to obtain an answer from him - I visited these roads myself and pointed out the Tahsildar the necessity of completing the repairs noticed by the Engineer, but

asp

up this date I believe the new gravel has not been placed on Road No. 17 and I almost despair of ever getting Vencataramma Iyer attend to it.

7 In his Police duties his delay and procrastination is most harassing and trying to the people, and his proceedings are marked with the grossest irregularities. Cases which should not occupy him more than 48 hours, and which present no difficulty, are detained for Months and the witness and parties worn out by waiting rather decamp altogether or seek redress in appeal. His judgments too is often at fault as may be seen by the remarks and decision of the Criminal Court.

8 In his Revenue Management, he is equally at fault. Gross and glaring frauds have been detected in Villages which he must have repeatedly visited, and which by the decrease which has taken place in them called for his particular attention and examination. His only excuse for not examining these Villages and for his failure to detect the frauds was that he deputed Servants to examine them and they deceived him. The state of the District generally shews the want of a Head and the Cumums appear to be under no Control. His periodical Accounts are continually transmitted

at periods when they can prove of little use — are often incorrect.

In the Jufted Villages of Ammia 9 Nackoor I have failed to derive any assistance from him.

10 The immediate cause of my suspending Vencataramien was the excessive delay in the examination of the Villages forming the Talook under his charge and the preparation of the required Settlement accounts. Though orders were issued to him in February last to commence the Settlement on the 2d of June, I received a Report that 17 Villages remained unexamined. Despairing therefore of getting the work done under him I felt it necessary to prevent further Confusion to suspend him and to place the Talook under the charge of one of my Hoozoor Servants Tangamoottoo Pillay whose ability and rectitude led me to hope that the work of the Settlement may be completed within the prescribed period, and many of the existing abuses remedied.

11 On Tangamoottoo taking charge of his office a defalcation of 70 Rupees in the Cash Chest was discovered. The Money was removed by the Shroff who has Confessed his guilt. There is no proof that

that the Tahsildar connived at this abstraction, but the whole of the evidence recorded in this Case shews that the same laxity and irregularity prevails in Treasury Management and Accounts, that is observed in the other of the Tahsildars proceedings —

2 It appears that the Acting Tahsildar had issued strict orders that no one should go to the Cutcherry until he had examined the Treasury. In spite of this order, the Shroff, the Moozoomdar and others resorted there, and opened and examined the Treasury which they stated was correct — At 11 the Tahsildar arrived and examined the Chest when the deficiency was discovered, it is attempted to be made to appear that this deficiency was created on the Morning examination. I rather apprehend that the Servants calculated on the Acting Tahsildar overlooking the deficiency —

13 The Treasury it will be seen from the records now sent has been virtually under the Care of the Shroff, who has been permitted access to it uncontrolled, and whose Accounts have been under no kind of check — It is stated that once a Month, the Treasury is counted over, but this can hardly be done correctly or the 14 Rupees to be repaid to the Renter removed from the Box would have been discovered and brought to notice —

The

* To be returned when no longer required

14 The Shroff pleads that a part of the Money was employed in purchases for the Tahsildar and other Cutcherry Servants. The Servants deny this, but it will be observed that the Seal of the packet received from the Cash keeper had been broken by the Shroff and passed unnoticed in the Talook Cutchery — The plea of the Shroff is unsupported by proof, but the careless way in which the Treasury Accounts have been kept and the loose way in which the Money received from the Moozoor Treasury appears to have been deposited in the Chest lead me to suspect that the Talook Servants have been permitted to use the Government Money with them with the understanding that they restore it on the receipt of their Salaries —

Signed J. Clarke
Acting Collector

Polaney
26th June 1848 —

True Copy
Signed R. D. Parker
Collector

(40-41)

Resolution thereon — Under the circumstances represented in the report from the Sub Collector enclosed in the letter above recorded, the Board of Revenue sanction the removal of the Tahsildar of Nellacottay from his Office —

Read

10844 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

To

Read the following letter

I. Bycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(42)

From E.B. Thomas Esqre
Collr of Tinnevelly

Sir

With reference to my letter of 27th July 1844 asking permission to make an advance as loan to a number of inhabitants burnt out of their Houses and in distress. I request the sanction of the Bd for advancing under Regulation similar circumstances Rs 294 at 3 Rupees per Head. The applicants are houseless, their dwellings and other little stores are entirely destroyed and they offer good security to repay the Sum in 18 Months by instalments - I may properly add the larger former Advance of Rupees 2838 to others has been entirely repaid as promised within the period fixed

+ Vide Boards Pros dated 12th September 1844

Tinnevelly
Tencansey
19th June 1848

Signed E.B. Thomas
Collector

Proceedings thereon

(42-43)

The Board observe that the sum of Rupees 500 has been estimated for Fasly 1258 as Necessary to meet Exigencies in the event of Fires or other local or individual Calamities for which it is not usual to apply for funds under Advances, the advances requested by the Collector for the purpose undertaken in his letter above recorded, may therefore be disbursed out of that Amount

DESPATCHED

10845 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Read the following letter

To

I. Bycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenues

(44)

From the Pr. Coll. of Coimbatore

Sir

I have the honor to transmit for the consideration of the Board and for submission to Government, the accompanying Copy of letter from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin bearing date the 8th Ultimo together with its Enclosure claiming the refund of the Sayer duty of 10 per Cent ad valorem paid on Tobacco consigned from this District to the Cochin Circar Rax amounting to Rupees 2421- 5-9

Forwards copy of a letter from the Resident of Cochin requesting sanction for the refund of Rs 2421 5-9 to Cochin State being Sayer duty levied on the Tobacco supplied subsequent to the Abolition of the Frontier Sayer

II The Board will observe with reference to my communication of the 7th September 1846 No 60 that the Sayer stations on the Cochin Frontier were withdrawn in the previous month under the sanction of Government conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated the 29th July 1846 - from that period to the month of April 1847 a sum of Rupees 2421- 5-9 was recovered and credited under the head of Sayer pending the final Orders of Government the repayment of this Amount appearing claimed on sufficient grounds

Fasly 1256	605	5	5
1257	605	5	5
January 1847	96	5	2
April 1847	614	6	9
	2421	6	9

Vide Extract Minutes Consultation 27th April 1847 No 1060

I

10846 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

I request that the sanction Government may be obtained for the same -

III At the same time I would observe that Rupees 8,692 - 6 were received from the Travancore Cutcherry under similar circumstances which will in like manner eventually require to be refunded -

Coimbatore
July 4th 1848 -

/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Collector.

To,

J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward to you copy of a communication to my address No. 171 of the 6th ultimo from the Dewan of Cochin and to request your consideration of the claim advanced by that officer to the remission of Sayer duty from the date of the abolition of the Frontier duties by the Cochin Cutcherry, and to the claim therefore that the Cutcherry would have to any portion of the duties continued to be charged as no liable subsequent to the date of abolition -

Residents Office
Trevandrum
5th June 1848 -

/ Signed / W. Cullen
Resident

To

10847 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

To
Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore & Cochin

Sir,

The Frontier duties of the Cochin on the boundaries of Malabar and Coimbatore were abolished in September 1846 - Between that month and April 1847 four hundred Candies of Tobacco were received by this Cutcherry from Coimbatore for which inclusive of Sayer duty the sum of Rupees 26,636 has been paid making the price per Candy Rupees 66-9-6 now the fixed rate per Candy exclusive of Sayer duty is Rupees 60-8-6 and as I imagine from the whole tenor of the Cochin Minutes of Consultation dated 24th February 1847 forwarded with the Resident's letter No. 466 of the 26th March 1847 that it is not the wish of the Government of Fort St. George to levy the Sayer duty from the date of the abolition of the Frontier duties of the Cochin Government, there is a balance in favor of this Cutcherry in the hands of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore of Rupees 2421-5-8 and I beg to submit to your consideration whether

whether a repayment of the above sum may not with propriety be solicited.

Huzoor Cutcherry,
Ernacollum,
Cochin, 6th May 1848.

/ Signed / Shungra Warrier
Dewan

/ True Copies /
/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(44-45)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit, for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor-in Council, the accompanying letter and its enclosure from the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore requesting the sanction of Government for refunding Company's Rupees 2,421-5-9 claimed by the Cochin Circar as the Frontier duty of 10 per cent ad valorem levied on 400 Candies of Tobacco consigned to their territories from his District.

In Cons 27th July 1848

2. From the above letter, it will be seen that the duty was collected subsequent to the withdrawal of the Company's Custom houses

houses on the Cochin Frontier in August 1846.

2. In the Minutes of Consultation No. 1060 dated 27th September 1847 the Frontier duty on the above article was authorized to be abolished with reference to the removal of the Custom houses.

In Cons 7th Oct. 1849

3. The claim advanced by the Cochin Government appearing to be just, the Board beg that the sanction requested by the Principal Collector may be granted.

4. Adverting to the 2d para of the Principal Collector's letter the Board desire me to observe that should that Officer submit an application from the Travancore Government for the remission of the duty levied from them under similar circumstances it will of course receive due consideration.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th July 1848.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

(46)

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Malabar

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th Ultimo requesting

10850. Fort St. George 27th July 1848

26th July 1821
3d Nov. 1828
22d May 1848

requesting with reference to the Board's letters dated as per Margin, that my Statement of Demand Collection and Balance may be despatched so as to reach that Office by the 20th of each Month.

In reply I would respectfully observe, that this requisition of the Board does not appear to have been ever carried out in this District, nor do I think it practicable to do so now for the reasons given in my letter dated 15th Ultimo. the Statement however for May, to which your letter under acknowledgment has immediate reference was I find despatched on the 22d Ultimo, which appears to be as early as it has been usual to transmit it of late years.

Calicut } /Signed W. V. Conolly
6th July 1848 } Collector

Resolution thereon (46-47) The Collector is informed that the Board of Revenue are expected to submit to Government their General Returns for each Month by the end of the Month following which cannot be effected unless the Demand Collection and Balance Statements are furnished to them from the Provinces so as to reach their Office on or about the 20th of the Month. It is therefore desirable that the Collector should take measures for

10851 Fort St. George 27th July 1848

for the despatch of these Statements at the earliest practicable date.

Read the following letters.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(48)

From the Collr of Madras — Reporting upon two Petitions from one Narrain Moodelly relative to certain alleged embezzlements in the Salt Department

Sir

1— With reference to the Endorsement on the enclosed petitions from Narrain Moodelly Nos. 892 and 936 of 1848, I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, Copy and translation of that and the dual examination as to them before me on the 27th Ultimo.

Answer to the 1st question

2— It will be observed that, as regards his principal charge as to the actual wastage of Salt occasioned by the hurricanes of 1846 having been 200 G only, instead of 900 G. which have been "written off," the petitioner states that, "according to his Estimate, the Wastage in the heaps will not be above 200 G. that is 100 G at Cuvarve and 100 G at the Madras Cotaurs." His answer to the question whether he is able to adduce any proof is as vague as the one quoted above.

Do 2d Do.

Do 3. 4. 5. Do.

3 . Adverting to the alleged conversation with the Sheristadar, I called upon that Officer for his explanation - which, having been furnished to

Enclosure C

is enclosed herein.

4. The Petitioner speaks to the fraudulent sale of an excess of "90 Weights of Salt, and to the payment of the proceeds to the Peishcar, Mooneappah, Moodelly. On this point, he states that "the Merchant who got the excess will not come forward to tell the fact."

Answer to the 6th question

Do. 7th question

5. He further states that his charge that "100 Baskets" of Salt were sold at 1 Rupee each and the value, "180 Rupees", paid to the Peishcar, can be proved only at the Police Office as his Witnesses will not come if he were to call them. When asked to tell me their names so as I might be enabled to procure their attendance without giving him any trouble, he says that he will not do so, nor will he allow their being sent for by me.

Do. 8th 9th Do.

6. In like manner, the alleged embezzlement of 20,000 Rupees in the payment of Coolies and in the purchase of Palmeira leaves he states that he will prove before a Committee should one be appointed by Government, or before the Police Magistrates.

Do. 10th Do.

Do. 11th Do.

7. Neither will he afford any proof here to substantiate his charges that the Shetsdar had employed the Coolies of the old Maistry, Sashoo Moodelly, on receiving from them 6 Rupees

Rupees after dismissing the late Contractor.

8. The only cause for these grave charges being preferred against the Salt Department may doubtless be traced to certain proceedings held against some persons connected in business with the late Cooly Contractor, Parasooram Moodelly, as it will be seen that the petitioner prays for his re-appointment, the petitioner himself having been employed under him in the capacity of a Maistry.

Vide the concluding part of Petition No 936 of 1848.

9. It is, under the circumstances, requisite to explain that a Contract for landing covering and measuring Salt was formed on the 8th March last on one Soobroy Moodelly becoming Security for Parasooram Moodelly; but in the month following, a serious charge having been brought home to their Agent, Appoo Cooty, that he had received 1/2 Rupee from one Chengulvroyen, in the names of the measurers, to get 40 Marcals measured out in such a way as would yield him an excess, and the measurers having declared that the Amount was not paid for them but for Soobroy Moodelly, who employed Appoo Cooty for the express purpose of getting money from the Merchants for his benefit. A declaration which Appoo Cooty himself could not but confirm. I saw the necessity of putting an

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Enclosure C

is enclosed herein.

4. The Petitioner speaks to the fraudulent sale of an excess of "90 Weights of Salt, and to the payment of the proceeds to the Peishcar, Mooneapah Moodelly. On this point, he states that "the Merchant who got the excess will not come forward to tell the fact."

Answer to the 6th question

5. He further states that his charge that "100 Baskets" of Salt were sold at 1 Rupee each and the value "180 Rupees", paid to the Peishcar, can be proved only at the Police Office as his Witnesses will not come if he were to call them. When asked to tell me their names as I might be enabled to procure their attendance without giving him any trouble, he says that he will not do so, nor will he allow their being sent for by me.

Do. 7th Question

Do. 8th & 9th Do.

6. In like manner, the alleged embezzlement of 20,000 Rupees in the payment of Coolies and in the purchase of Palmeira ties he states that he will prove before a Committee should one be appointed by Government, or before the Police Magistrates.

Do. 10th Do.

Do. 11th Do.

7. Neither will he afford any proof here to substantiate his charges that the Sheristadar had employed the Coolies of the old Mastry, Sashoo Moodelly, on receiving from them

Rupees

Fort St. George 27th July 1848

Rupees after dismissing the late Contractor.

8. The only cause for these grave charges being preferred against the Salt Department may doubtless be traced to certain proceedings held against some persons connected in business with the late Coolly Contractor, Parasooram Moodelly, as it will be seen that the petitioner prays for his re-appointment, the petitioner himself having been employed under him in the capacity of a Maistry.

Vide the enclosing part of Petition No 936 of 1848.

9. It is, under the circumstances, requisite to explain that a Contract for landing weighing and measuring Salt was formed on the 8th March last on one Soobroy Moodelly becoming Security for Parasooram Moodelly: but, in the month following, a serious charge having been brought home to their Agent, Appoo Cooty, that he had received 1/2 Rupee from one Shengulroyen, in the names of the measures, to get 40 Mercals measured out in such a way as would yield him an excess, and the measurers having declared that the Amount was not paid for them but for Soobroy Moodelly, who employed Appoo Cooty for the express purpose of getting money from the Merchants for his benefit. a declaration which Appoo Cooty himself could not but confirm. I saw the necessity of putting

an

amends to the Contract, and reverting to the former System of paying the established rates of hire, at once, to the Coolies for the work done by them. The arrangement was carried into effect on the 25th April last.

25th April 1845. 10 To make an example of the guilty parties, I referred them to the Police, but they produced there some Parriahs who stated that it was customary with the Coly. Mastry before the date of the new Contract to receive a fee from the purchasers of Salt for Inland Consumption and to divide it amongst the Salt Servants by the Peishcar, Veneesindah, Duffadar and Peo

2d May 11 The case was, therefore, dismissed, but on the result being communicated to me, I considered due to the Servants to institute further enquiry. I accordingly, examined the wholesale Merchants and found their Statement to meet so fully the allegations against the Peishcar and others that in transmitting it to the Chief Magistrate, I

16th May 11 informed him that it can be proved by the public records that, of the four Witnesses who were examined at the instance of Sooboroy Moodelly &c., three did no work at all at the Madras Colaries, as they represented to have done, since January 1847 the month in which the sale of Salt for Inland consumption commenced while but only

only one acted occasionally as a substitute for any Coly that happened to stay away for a day or two, in 1848. I observed that their evidence as to the alleged fee having been exacted in their presence was, in consequence, unworthy of credit.

11 Having entered thus much on the different points alluded to in Varrain Moodelly's petitions, I have in conclusion, to solicit the Board's attention to the correspondence noted in the Margin, as it will shew that, after each of the Storms in 1846, the Salt heaps both at Ennore and the Madras Colaries were examined by persons competent to form a sufficiently accurate Estimate of the loss, and that, on the whole quantity therefrom sold &c up to the

To B. 27th Octr 1846
From Do 30th Novr "
To Do 1st Decr "
From Do 21st Do "
To Do 25th Septr 1847
From Do 16th March 1848

Vide Statement in letter of 25th Septr 1847.

past Firstly the Wastage was only 17/16 whereas the Wastage occasioned by the Storm of 1836 was 18 56/256 As regards the embezzlement noticed in the 10th question put to the petitioner in his examination on the 27th ultimo, it is sufficient to state that the value of the "excess" in the Salt heaps, if any, is always credited to Government, as the Salt Accounts will shew, and that the average Annual Outlay for Coly hire is Rupees 1452-14-11 and that for the purchase of Palmeira leaves Rupees 815-2-1

making

making a total of Rupees 2268-1-" only — whilst the "embezzlement" is represented by the petitioner to be Rupees 20,000 per Annum.

Madras — 5th July 1848 }

Signed J. A. Budleston
Collector.

Enclosure A Tamil

B.

Translation of the examination of Narrain Moodelly, as taken before J. A. Budleston Esqr. Collector of Madras, on the 27th June 1848

Questions

1st In your Petitions in Tamil and English to the Boards of Revenue dated 10th and 22d May 1848- you have stated that the Wastage of Salt occasioned by the Storms then and according in 1846 was G 200, but that it was entered in the Accounts as 900 G. and the value of 700 G or 84,000 Rupees embezzled by the Servants of the Salt Department In what year and Month was the value of the said

Answers

The embezzlement was committed during the inland Sales between January 1847 and February 1848- I saw the heaps of Salt on the Beach and according to my Estimate, the Wastage in the heaps will not be above 200 Garces that is 100 G at Ennore and 100 G at the Madras Cotaurs-

said 700 G of Salt embezzled? How do you know that the wastage was only 300 G? How much at the Ennore Depot and how much at the Madras Cotaurs?

2d Prove the embezzlement as regards the 700 G. of Salt or Rupees 84,000 stated to have been committed by the Servants of the Salt Department?

There was a heap of 100 G at Ennore which was brought down to the Madras Cotaurs in February of this year and stored there as is the proof I have no other.

3d In your Tamil Petition you have stated that the value of G 700 equal to Rupees 84,000 was embezzled by Bonnapiah Salt Superintendent Mooneap pa Moodelly Paushear Teda gavoy Pillay Sempretty Chennasawmy Chetty Shroff and

I told the Sheristadar that there was a fraud in the heap of 100 G brought from Ennore in the month of February of the present year, but he without making due enquiry allowed the Salt to be Sold.

and Rewanojee Vencatapoorey Peons, subscribers to your English Petition dated 22d May, you have named Wm D Vaz and Venkatasooryah Shericotadar as those who also had combined with the Servants of the Salt Department and shared the said 84,000 Rupees If such be the case why did you not mention the names of the said two Officers in your first Tamil Petition — What induced you to put their names down in your second Petition — which is in English?

4th — In your two Petitions you have stated that there was a Heap of 100 Gar at Ennore which you brought on boats between

sold I therefore mentioned his name and and Mr D'Vaz in my English Petition which I presented the second time —

This Heap was stored in the month of June 1846 there was not a single Palmira leaf blown off from the evening by the Storm but as the

was

J. G. B.

between the 5th and 15th February and Stored at the Madras Cotaurs in three Ambars and a small Ambar. In what year was the Heap of 100 Garce stored at Ennore? Has it not sustained any injury by the Storms in October and November 1846? If so, state what was the wastage in it?

5th You have stated in your two Petitions, that you have removed the 90 Gar: Heap from Ennore to the Madras Cotaurs, and piled 90 Gar: in three Heaps and 10 Gar: in a Small heap, the total being 100 G, whereas you now admit in your answer that there might have been a Wastage of 5 Gar: in the heap. How is that?

6th You have stated in your Tamil Petition that, when the Hoozoor Servants, Mootoosawmy Pillay, and Veerasamiah

were

was a hole in the heap it might have occasioned a Wastage of 5 Garees.

There might have been a Wastage of 5 Gar: in the Heap removed from Ennore but as it was a Heap of 100 Gar: which on being brought down was piled here in three Heaps and a Small one, I stated so as a matter of account.

I do not know how much Salt was purchased by the said Merchants Cafsin Sait, on that day, but having been

informed

were selling the Salt at the Madras Cotaus, where it was piled on being brought from Ennore, an excess of 90 Weights of Salt had been given to a Merchant by name Cassim Saib, and that Peon Ragavaloo was sent to him in the evening, who collected the Money from him, whereas in your English Petition you have stated that, on Tuesday, an excess of 96 Weights of Salt was given to the said Cassim Saib, and that its value, Rupees 288 was collected by the said Peon and delivered to the Peishcar, Moonea pah Moodelly - State what quantity of Salt was purchased by the said Merchant on the day he obtained the excess of 96 Weights? who were the Servants that gave it to him? If that Merchant be sent for and asked he will

informed by those who witnessed the delivery of the excess of 96 Weights of Salt to him, I mention'd it in my Petition, It was Veerasammiah that ordered it to be so weighed. The Merchant who got the excess will not come forward to tell the fact.

will he tell the truth?

7th You have stated that one Asparow took a quantity of Salt in 100 Baskets consisting of 6 Mercalls each, and disposed of the same for 180 Rupees, at one Rupee per Basket, to Salt Women, and paid the Amount to the Peishcar Moonea pah Moodelly Prove this?

8th When I am ready to Examine your Witnesses, why can you not bring them to prove the fact before me?

9th Tell me their names and I will send for them?

10th In your English Petition you have stated that from the excess of 2 or 2½ Garce of Salt, which you say exists in each heap of 20 Garces, and from the purchase of Palmeira leaves and the payment of Coolly hire, the

It is true that he did so but to this case is referred to the Police and my Witnesses are sent for by a summons, I shall be able to prove it there.

If I call the Witnesses, they will not come.

I will not tell their names, nor will I allow them to be sent for here.

I will not prove it here - I have presented a Petition to Government, If they will appoint a Committee or refer it to the Police Magistrates, I will prove it before them.

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

the abovementioned Servants embezzled 20,000 Rupees annually, Prove this. 2

11th In your English Petition you have mentioned that the Sheristadar has employed the Coolies of Sashoo Modelly on receiving 400 Rupees from them, and dismissed the Contractor of Coolies. Prove this. 2

I will not prove it here.

/ Signed / Narrain

Before me

/ Signed / S. A. Hudeston

Collector

/ True translation /

/ Signed / S. A. Hudeston

Collector

To

S. A. Hudeston Esqre

Collector of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your personal order directing me to explain certain passages on the Petitions of one Narrain to the Board of Revenue dated 10th 22d May last I respectfully beg leave to acquaint you that the Petitioner had called on me on one Saturday night at my house as stated in his Petition and told me

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

me at first that he has no employment and requested me to recommend him to the Salt Department, to which I said I don't know who he was and that he may go to the Salt Superintendant and tell him what his wants are. He thereon told me that a Heap of 100 Garees of salt has been recently brought from Ennore to the Madras Cotaurs and measured it there as 63. and odd Mercalls, and piled it in 3 large and small Heaps, whilst its outturns should have been 670 and odd Mercals. On my questioning more particularly, he said that he was informed that Coolly hire for its removal was paid only on 63 and odd Mercals instead of only 670 and odd Mercals. I then told him, if he can make good his Statement that he may come to the Cutcherry on Monday morning the preceding day being Sunday, where I will speak to him. The Petitioner came accordingly on Monday morning and appeared before me at Cutcherry. I immediately called the head Sirrestey, when the Petitioner was standing before me, and asked him whether any account has been received from the Salt Cotaurs regarding the outturns of the Heap brought from Ennore, to which he replied, that some Accounts have been sent in on

Saturday

For't St. George 27th July 1848.

Saturday evening by the Salt Superintendant, and that they are on the Collector's table. I told him that if they are accounts, bring them to me, he accordingly brought and read them, when the Petitioner was by me and which exhibited the returns of the Heap in question, Viz. G 70 M. 170 — The Petitioner on hearing this said that the quantity would be 80 Garces if properly measured. I then observed to him, "you have mentioned on last Saturday night at my house that the Heap should have been G 70 and odd Mercals, whereas the Servants of the Salt Department have shewn it only as 63 and odd Mercals" but now you say that it would be 80 G" and if however you are prepared to stand to your assertions I told him that he is at liberty to present a Petition to the Collector on the subject and who will adopt the necessary measures then. Upon this he said "No I don't wish to present a Petition to the Collector" I told you what I heard and you may do what you like" so saying he left the Cutcherry. Beg to assure you that I had not any further conversation with the Petitioner and did not tell him not to reveal this nor prevent him from presenting a Petition to

For't St. George 27th July 1848.

I did not tell him that I going to employ one Parasooram Moodelly as a Cooly Mastry and that he may work under him as he mentioned in his Petition. I had no any communication with the Servants of the Salt Department nor got the Accounts altered as the Petitioner insinuated in his Petition. The fact of the Account having been received at the Huzoor Cutcherry on Saturday evening and the same night the Petitioner having spoken to me at my house is itself a sufficient proof that I have had no any Communication with the Servants of the Salt Department about the Petitioner's Complaint —.

2. I immediately after the Petitioner left the Cutcherry as explained in the preceding Para, with a view to ascertain the Statement of the Petitioner made to me obtained your orders to send some persons from Huzoor to the Salt Department as Taliard was accordingly issued to the Cash Keeper Mootoosawmy Pillay and Head Sumpretty Veerasawmiah to go to the Salt Colours forthwith and dispose of the 3 large and a small Heap which the Petitioner told me at my House

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

House on Saturday night as having been stored in the Madras Cotaurs as 63 and odd Mercals, they were neither ordered by the said Taheed nor instructed by me not to sell beyond 4 Garces per day. The said two Officers accordingly to the said Taheed went to the Salt Cotaurs the same day Monday the 7th February last and Commenced the sale of Salt which was ended on the 14th and on the following day the 15th February they made a written report stating that the out-turn of the 3 Heaps and a small one was 69-126-54, and that they have sold it with great care and attention.

3. I beg to state that the Accounts will shew that Coolly hire was paid only for 70-170 which was as stated already, the quantity received in store, and not on 63-160 as mentioned by the Petitioner, and that after selling it in the presence of the said Mootoosawmy Pillay and Veerasamiah it was 69-126-54 only, the difference is therefore, usual wastage as explained by the Salt Superintendent in an Urzee dated 8th March last.

4. I further beg leave to state that

Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

I did not tell the Petitioner not to go with the abovementioned two officers who were appointed to dispose of the Salt, to prove the fraud, and that he was already gone long before they were directed to proceed to the Salt Cotaurs to superintend the sale of the Heaps in question.

5. According to the Statements of the Salt Superintendent and Peshcar that the Petitioner was not employed by them in the Salt Cotaur it was Peshcar Mooneappah Modelly had been to Ennore and Superintended the Removal of the 100 Garce Heap from thence to the Madras Cotaurs. The Boat Contractor says that he brought the Salt on his Boats and received Boat hire on 69-170, and the Coolly Mastry also says that he was the person that supplied Coolies for landing the Salt and received hire on the above-mentioned quantity and not on 63 and odd Mercals as stated by the Petitioner. On referring to the accounts that the whole of the Salt has been removed from Ennore before the 5th February last and by the 14th of the same month it has been disposed by the two Sirvants Referred in Para 2. This being the case, I dont know how can the Petitioner assert that he was the person that removed the Salt from Ennore from 5th to 15th February and piled it in the Madras Cotaurs.

Signed D. Venkatasoobiah
Siristadar.

Madras
5th July 1848

It appears to be stated by the Petitioner that a heap of Salt of 100 Garce stored

Proceedings thereon.

(48-49)

10868. Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

Stored at Ennore in June 1846, was brought down to Madras in February last, and stored there as G 63-160. It seems however from the Shoristadar's explanation that the actual outturn of the Salt when sold at Madras was 69G 12M 5M/4. It is not however explained at what amount this quantity of Salt was brought to account, when first delivered into Store at Ennore, and if received as 100 Garee how so large a difference as 30 odd Garee or 30 per Cent could have occurred in little more than 18 months. The Collector will be so good as to explain at what amount the Salt was originally taken into Store, and to account for any difference between it and the actual outturn on sale — referring to Para 9 of his letter he will likewise state whether the Contract for landing, Covering & measuring Salt entered into by Parasooram Moodelly was the first of it's kind formed and if not, what previous Contracts had been given, to what parties, and for what period. Mr. Hudleston is also requested to report more fully upon the transaction refered to in the Conclusion of the same and in the next Para/10/, to furnish the whole proceedings with translations held before him throughout the enquiry with his Correspondence with the Police Office and Copies of the proceedings there held if obtainable.

D.G.

10869. Fort St. George 27th July 1848.

To,
J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

50

From the Collr. of Madras

Sir,

With reference to the Orders of Government under date the 18th January communicated in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th February 1842, I have the honor to submit here, with, for the necessary sanction a Statement of certain Arrears of Jeomiah payable to Imam Shah

Madras, 11th July, 1848.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

Statement

10870.

Fort St. George

27th. July 1848.

Statement shewing the amount of Arrears due to the undermentioned Yeomiahdar in the District of Madras.

No. of Certificate	Name of the Yeomiahdar	Amount of Yeomiah per Annum	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total Amount of Arrears	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of Payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.			
	Imam Shah	12 " "	Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 21st. January 1843 furnished to this Office by the Collector of South Arcot on the 17th. September 1844.	For his Support	From 12th. January 1845 to 11th. January 1848 being 3 Years.	36 " "	It appears by the list of Fakeers transmitted to his Office by the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot that Imam Shah No. 76 is entitled to receive Rupees 12 Pr. Annum. This individual drew the Allowance in his Office up to the 11th. July 1845 and proceeded afterwards to Nagore from whence it would seem by his own Statement and that of the Head of the Fakeers Fazulolish that he was unable to return having been seriously ill there for a length of time. As he has now attended in person it is recommended that the necessary sanction for the payment of the arrears entered in Column 7 may be obtained.		

Madras, 11th. July, 1848

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Resolved

10871. Fort St. George 27th. July 1848.

50-51

Resolution thereon; Resolved that the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that, under the explanation of the Collector, sanction be granted for payment of the arrears due to Imam Shaik Amounting to thirty six Rupees, and for continuance of the allowance.

52

From the Agent at Kurnool. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th. June 1848.

53

From the Agent at Kurnool. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th. June 1848.

54

From the Collector of Madura. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th. June 1848.

55

From the Coll.r of Madura. List of letters received from the Board and remaining answered up to 30th. June 1848.

52-56

Order on the 4 abovementioned Lists. Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved

10872. Fort St. George 27th. July 1848.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched

57

To the chief Sec.y to Government

To, The Chief Secretary to Government-

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th. October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of March last for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th. July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched

58

To the Coll.r of Tanjore The same to Tanjore

To, The Collector of Tanjore-

Your Statement of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last not having yet been received, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite its transmission.

I am also desired to draw your attention to the orders of the Board of the dates noted in

Fort St. George 27th July 1818

26th Feb.y 1821
3d Nov.r 1828
16th Aug.t 1847.

in the Margin, requiring the despatch of their Es
Halennents in such time as to admit of their bea
ing this Office by the 20th of the Month succeed
ing that to which they refer.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
27th July 1818

(Signed) T Byrcroft
Secretary.

59

To the Coll.r of Salem

To the Collector of Salem.

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to observe that your Estimate of Adven
ces for Cultivation for Fasly 1258 has not yet
been received, and to request that you will exe
pedite its transmission.

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
27th July, 1818

(Signed) T Byrcroft
Secretary

60

From the Accountant General.

Received the undermentioned Mis
cellaneous letters and Statements:

60-61

Order thereon,

Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of his office for August 1818.

Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned.

62

From the Coll.r of South Arcot.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

63

From the Coll.r of Bellary.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

Fort St. George 27th July 1818.

64

From the Collector of Canara.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

65

From the Coll.r of Chingleput.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

66

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

67

From the Coll.r of Madura

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

68.

From the Coll.r of Malabar.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

69

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

70

From the Coll.r of Salem.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

71

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

72

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly

Statement of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

73

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.

Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month June last.

74

From the Coll.r of South Arcot.

Statement shewing the average

average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

75 From the Collr. of Bellary. Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

76 From the Collr. of Canara Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

77 From the Collr. of Chingleput Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

78 From the Collr. of Guntoor. Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

79 From the Collr. of Madura Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last

80 From the Collr. of Malabar Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

81 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

82 From the Collr. of Salem. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

83 From the Asst. Collr. of Trichinopoly Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

Return.

84 From the Collr. of Bellary Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX. of 1822 for the Month of April last.

85 From the Collr. of Bellary. Reporting that the Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX. of 1822 for the Month of May last is not sent there being no entry to make.

86 From the Collr. of Guntoor. Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX. of 1822 for the Month of June last.

87 From the Collr. of Guntoor Return of Persons confined in Gaol for the Quarter ending 30th. June last.

88 From the Agent at Rutnagherry Return of Persons suspended and dismissed from the 1st. April to 30th. June last.

89 From the Agent at Rutnagherry. Reporting the non-transmission of certain Periodical Returns for the 2d. Quarter of 1848.

96 From the Collr. of Madras. Acknowledging the receipt of 500 Salt Rowannahs.

91 From the Collr. of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of the Cuttagong Indent for 1847/48 at the Ports in his District up to 15th. July 1848.

92 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Reporting that no persons were charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of June last.

93 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Statement of spurious Liquor recommended for confiscation under Section XIV Regulation I of 1820.

93-94 Order thereon Ordered that the Confiscation and Sale

sale of the Smuggled Liquor enumerated in the

95 the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

From the Asst. Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Reporting that no persons

were tried or confined under Regulation IX of

96 1822. during the Month of June last.

From the Asst. Coll.r of Trichinopoly Reporting that no persons

were suspended or dismissed under Regu-

97 lation VI of 1831 during the Month of June last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of persons sus-

pended and dismissed under Regulation VI of

98 1831 for the Month of June last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Reporting that no person

was charged with Offences under Regulation IX

of 1822 during the Month of June last.

Compd. D. Gils.

" G.D. Drury

" Walter Elliot

" I. Goldingham

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Monday, 31st July 1848 } G.D. Drury Esqr. Senior Member

Walter Elliot Esqr. Second Member

and

I. Goldingham Esqr. Acting Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

1

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 18th July, 1848. No. 475.

Communicating a letter from the Government of India forwarding one it has adopted to the Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa relating to the maintenance and settlement in life of the rescued slaves there under the care of the Agent.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 11th July, 1848. No. 304.

Read the following letter.

No. 457.

To. Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Secretary to Government of
Fort St. George.

Home Departt. Sir,

I am directed to forward for the information of the Honorable the Governor in Council and for communication to the local authorities, the accompanying Copy of a letter this day addressed under the Orders of the Right Honorable the Governor General in Council.

to

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

to the Agent in the Hill Tracts of Orissa.

Fort William,
The 10th June, 1848.

(Signed) G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the Govt of India.

To, Lieutenant-Colonel J. Campbell C.B.
Agent, Hill Tracts of Orissa.

Sir,

1. I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 161 dated the 19th of April last soliciting a reconsideration of the plan proposed in your letter of the 1st of August last, for the maintenance and settlement in life of the rescued Meriahs under your care.

2. The Governor General in Council is fully aware of the difficulty which is opposed to the discharge, in any way which shall not be open to objection, of the obligation which the Government is made to provide for these Children.

3. To constitute them a separate Colony, settled in one place and encouraged to intermarry would, His Lordship in Council is of opinion, be very objectionable, as tending to perpetuate in their own minds the memory of their separation, and to keep alive in the minds

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

minds of the population the recollection of a rite of which it is the earnest desire of the Government to obliterate every trace. On the other hand to commit them exclusively to the charge of Missionaries might lead to misapprehension of the object which the Government has had in view in seeking to recover the Meriah victims.

4. As it is not practicable to restore these unfortunate beings, to persons of their own families or tribes, and as the Governor General in Council cannot consider it expedient, that they should be preserved as a distinct people under the British Government, the plan which seems to be as free from objection as any which presents itself, would be to distribute such as are below a certain age amongst Missionaries and other respectable Europeans at Berhampore, Cuttack, Puree, Balasore &c., provided they will undertake the charge of one or more of them and bring them up to become domestic servants, or apprentices to a trade and employment. His Lordship in Council would leave it to the discretion

of

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

of the Agent to entrust them in a simi-
-lar manner to Natives of undoubted res
-pectability, and whose treatment of the
Children might be open to inspection.

5. Those who cannot be dis-
-posed of in the manner indicated
above, should of course be brought up,
both boys and girls, to provide for
themselves in the ordinary pursuits of
the Country. The boys might be started
in the world on arriving at a certain
age with a pair of bullocks, and the
Girls receive a small sum of money
as a Marriage portion. but it is most essential
that they should not be led to suppose
themselves permanent pensioners on the
Government.

6. Referring to Para 7th of
your letter of the 19th April, it appears
desirable that the married people
amongst rescued Meriahs should be
encouraged to select their own residence
under the observation of your Office
sufficient for their personal protection,
and until they are able to maintain
themselves, a little assistance not more
than sufficient for the purchase of
their daily provisions and for the
maintenance

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

maintenance of their pair of Bullocks
may be allowed for a time, to cease when
their labor or that of their Cattle becomes
productive.

7. I am instructed to add that
His Lordship in Council fully approves
of the steps you have taken in entertaining
some of them in the Sebundy Corps.

Fort William,
The 10th June 1848.

/ Signed / G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the Govt of India.

Ordered that the forego-
-ing letter and its enclosure be communi-
-cated to the Judicial Department.

By Order,
/ Signed / J. J. Thomas
Chief Secretary.

Resolved that the corres-
-pondence referred to in the foregoing Extract,
be transmitted to the Board of Revenue,
for communication to the local authorities
in Ganjam.

/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

(1-2)

Order thereon,

Ordered that the foregoing
correspondence be communicated for the
information of the local authorities in
Ganjam through the Collector and
Agent of the District.

Extract

3

From the Secy. to Government -

Approving the proposition of the Acting Collector of North Arcot for the improvement in the Establishment of Police in the Town of Vellore by rearranging the General Establishment of the district and directing that a revised Moyenzabitah be submitted for sanction - stating that the Judiciary authorities will be called upon to state whether the Establishment attached to the Cantonment ought partly be transferred to the Civil authorities - and that the Judge Advocate will be requested to state whether convicts to the Extent required for cleaning the streets and roads in Vellore can be spared -

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

No. 768.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th July 1848.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 4th July 1848. No. 345.)

Para 1. It appears from the foregoing papers that the population of the Town of Vellore, according to a census recently taken, amounts to at least 130,000 Souls, of which a large portion is composed of Mussulmen of the worst description, being the idle and dissipated dependants of Military and Political Pensioners. To preserve order and discipline among this large multitude, a police force is maintained at a monthly expence of Rupees 174, while a larger force at a charge of Rupees 298-8-0 is maintained to control the population of the Cantonment of Vellore, containing 15,000 inhabitants of which the most part are quiet and orderly characters. Hence it has been shown that persons and property are not adequately protected in the Town, and that the scenes

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

of outrage and violence perpetrated in there, have frequently formed subjects of complaint on the part of the inhabitants.

2. Under these circumstances, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the proposition to re-arrange the general Establishment of the District, without any extra expense to the state, and directs that a revised Moyenzabitah with the necessary alterations be submitted for the sanction of Government.

3. Adverting to the disparity in the population, and to the opposite character of the inhabitants of the Town and Cantonment respectively, the Police Establishment attached to the latter would seem to be disproportionately large, and to admit of a portion being transferred to the Civil authorities in the Town, where their services might be employed to greater advantage. A reference will accordingly be made to the Military Department with a view of ascertaining the extent to which an arrangement of this kind can be carried out, without impairing the efficiency of the Police Force

Fort St. George. 31st July 1848.

Force in the Cantonment.

4. A reference will also be made to the Court of Inquiries Adalut for the purpose of ascertaining whether convicts to the extent required for preserving the cleanliness of the streets and Roads in Vellore can be supplied. Referring to the orders of the Government of India dated 25th July 1840, there would not appear to be any objection to a compliance with the application.

5. The Governor in Council agrees with the Board that it would be objectionable in principle to dispose of Fines levied by the Magistrates on local improvements, and that arrangements for promoting the cleanliness and salubrity of the Town and the repair of the roads in its vicinity should be submitted for consideration through the Department of Public Works.

6. The Government cannot now entertain the proposition to appoint a special Assistant as recommended by the Magistrate, but they are well pleased to receive the high testimony borne to Mr Sullivan's merits, and trust that he

Fort St. George. 31st July 1848.

he will persevere in his laudable exertions for the reform of the Police and the improvement of the large and populous Town of Vellore; where the Government consider it so highly expedient one of the Assistant Magistrates should reside.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon.

(3-4.) Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot, with reference to his letter dated 30th May last.

Mr Bourdillon will be pleased, 2 as directed in para 2 of the above Extract, to submit for the sanction of Government a revised Moyenzabitah of his District with the necessary alterations according to the arrangements exhibited in Statement No 4 which accompanied his letter. His attention is also called to para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation under transmission.

(5) From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 18th July, 1848. No 795.

Read the following letter.

To H. C. Montgomery Esq.
Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

Sir,

Home Departt. Revenue.

I am directed by the Governor General in

force in the Cantonment.

4. A reference will also be made to the Court of Inquiry detailed for the purpose of ascertaining whether convicts to the extent required for preserving the cleanliness of the streets and Roads in Vellore can be supplied. Referring to the orders of the Government of India dated 25th July 1840, there would not appear to be any objection to a compliance with the application.

5. The Governor in Council agrees with the Board that it would be objectionable in principle to dispose of Fines levied by the Magistrates on local improvements, and that arrangements for promoting the cleanliness and salubrity of the Town and the repair of the roads in its vicinity, should be submitted for consideration through the Department of Public Works.

6. The Government cannot now entertain the proposition to appoint a special Assistant as recommended by the Magistrate, but they are well pleased to receive the high testimony borne to Mr. Sullivan's merits, and trust that he

he will persevere in his laudable exertions for the reform of the Police and the improvement of the large and populous Town of Vellore, where the Government consider it highly expedient one of the Assistant Magistrates should reside.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon.

(3-4.) Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot, with reference to his letter dated 30th May last.

Mr. Boundillon will be pleased, 2 as directed in para 2 of the above Extract, to submit for the sanction of Government a revised Moyenzabitah of his District with the necessary alterations according to the arrangements exhibited in Statement No. 4 which accompanied his letter. His attention is also called to para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation under transmission.

5

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 18th July, 1848. No. 795.

Read the following letter.

To Sir H. C. Montgomery Bt.
Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed by the Governor General in

Home Departt. Revenue.

1887

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

in Council to transmit to you the accompanying copy of a Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Financial Department No. 15 of 1848 dated the 4th April, together with 200 printed Copies of the Table therein referred to, and to request that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council of Fort St. George will be pleased to give effect to the Court's Orders.

2. The Collectors should be instructed to fill up the Table as accurately as possible. The Tables after inspection by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council should be forwarded to this Department for transmission to England. It will be convenient if the Return from each District is made in triplicate.

Fort William,
The 1st July, 1848.

/Signed/ G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the Govt. of India

Resolved that the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 5th June 1848 No. 599, and that their attention be called to the further requisitions of the Government of India communicated in the concluding paragraph.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Sen.t to Govt.

Resolved

1888
5-6

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that Copy of the foregoing orders of Government and of the letter from the Secretary to the Government of India which accompanied it, together with three of the printed Tables alluded to, be forwarded to the Collectors and Agents of the several districts under this Presidency in reference to the Board's Circular dated 12th Ultimo.

It is requested that the Tables now furnished be filled up as accurately as possible and returned to this Office at an early date.

The replies already received to the Board's Circular quoted above are herewith returned to enable the Collectors to comply with the present requisition.

No. 784.

7

From the Sec.t to Government

Reviewing the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the Vizagapatam District for Fasly 1258.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th July, 1848.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 17th April 1848 No. 199)

The season of Fasly 1258.

Para 1. in the District of Vizagapatam is represented by the Collector to have been very favorable in all but the Southern parts of the District bordering on Rajahmundry and Goondas depending on Rivers for their supply of water which suffered

Fort St. George, 31st July 1848.

suffered considerably. Prices though somewhat below the preceding year were yet remunerating.

2. The Settlement of the Land revenue amounted to Rupees 12,46,493-14-10 and exceeded that of Fusly 1255 in the sum of Rupees 34,725-4-1. The demands classified below, shews that a small portion of the gross revenue is derived from lands under the immediate management of the Officers of Government, in short only 10 Hoondas in the two talooks of Golgondah and Suryapiddy constituting a portion of the Principal Assistant's charge, and to which must the remarks of the Collector explanatory of the system pursued in forming the settlement be held to apply.

Peishcush on Zemindaries Permanently settled	9,70,845-—-—
Palecondah under Rent	10,908-—-—
Ryotwar	14,141-7-2
Quit rent and rents miscellaneous	1,323-7-8.
Rupees	12,46,493-14-10.

3. Mr. Smallett explains in the 8th Para the measures adopted for ensuring a more correct distribution of lands taken up for cultivation, the system hitherto

D.F.

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

hitherto pursued of fixing the settlement on Estimates of produce having been discontinued. This measure of reform was opposed by the servants, but of its advantages there can be no question. The Atkoobady of Fusly 1256 amounted to Rupees 1,68,042 and exhibited a net decrease of Rupees 7,683 but that of Fusly 1255 having included lands which were never intended for cultivation affords no just test of comparison.

4. In consequence of a more accurate Atkoobady the Remissions in Fusly 1256 were Rupees 43,357 less than in 1255, and amounted to Rupees 26,625 of which Rupees 17,912 were on account of Lands left uncultivated. It is explained that these remissions were given only after a strict examination conducted under the Collector's immediate orders, and Mr. Smallett speaks very confidently of the correctness with which these examinations were conducted.

5. In the Collections in seven of the Eleven Estates which are referred to in Para 27 of the Collector's report, there is an increase over and above the Permanent settlement, but, as observed by the Board of Revenue, the chief portion of this increase appertains to the Palecondah Talook which is no longer under the Collector's management

and

and if this property be excluded from the comparison, these favorable results appear almost counterbalanced by the deficiencies in the remaining Estates. The Peishcush however on the Northern Zemindaries having been fixed at two thirds of the assets, is certainly not a fair standard for comparison, and an approximation to it in the revenue realized at present is not very encouraging, the Government as remarked by the Board have a right to expect an approximation to the assets on which the permanent settlement has been fixed, and they look to Mr. Smollett's active supervision and management for a realization of these expectations.-

6- The proceeding of the Collector in reducing the sist of certain lands in Golconda Velchoor-Codoor, and Rayaveram, however just and proper should not have been carried into effect without the sanction of higher authority, and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the Board of Revenue having called upon that Officer for an explanation of the circumstances which led to the reduction.-

7- The Board will be pleased through the Department of Public Works to direct the attention of the 1st Assistant Civil Engineer lately nominated to the charge of Ganjam and Vizagapatam to the remarks contained in Para 28 of Mr. Smollett's report relating to the declining condition of the Surwasioldy Hoondah from defects in its channel irrigation, and require him to submit on an early date a special report on the subject and the remedial measures which may be necessary.-

8.- Of the total demand for Land revenue the Collections within the year amounted to Rupees 1,43,846-11-1 leaving a balance at its close of Rupees

Rupees 102,647-3-10 reduced by subsequent Collections to 31st January 1848 to Rupees 10,427-8-1, the larger portion of which is against the Zemindaries and is in course of realization.-

9- Under the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue in Paras 12 and 13 of the foregoing Proceedings, and agreeably to their recommendation, the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the Vizagapatam District the old balances of Land revenue outstanding at the close of Fusly 1255, which are reported to be altogether irrecoverable, or doubtful of recovery, amounting to Rupees (22159-9-4) Twenty two thousand One hundred and Fifty Nine, Annas Nine and pice four.-

10 Mr. Smollett's management of the District is considered satisfactory and his successful exertions to introduce reform commendable.-

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon- Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Vizagapatam, with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 17th April last.- (7-8)

Mr. Smollett's attention is called to paras 5 and 6 of the foregoing Extract from minutes of Consultation.-

Dated 28th Octr. 1847.

Ordered also that Para 7 of the above Extract and of Para 28 of Mr. Smollett's settlement Report for Fusly 1256 be communicated to the department of Public Works for disposal in that Department.-

Read

and if this property be excluded from the compa=
=rison, these favorable results appear almost counter=
=balanced by the dificiencies in the remaining Estate.
The Peishcush however on the Northern Zemindaries
having been fixed at two thirds of the assets, is
certainly not a fair standard for comparison,
and an approximation to it in the revenue re=
=alized at present is not very encouraging, the
Government as remarked by the Board have a
right to expect an approximation to the assets on
which the permanent settlement has been fixed,
and they look to Mr. Smollett's active super=
=vision and management for a realization of
these expectations. -

6- The proceeding of the Collector in
reducing the assessment of certain lands in Golconda,
Velchoor-Codoor, and Bayaveram, however just and
proper should not have been carried into effect
without the sanction of higher authority, and the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of
the Board of Revenue having called upon that officer
for an explanation of the circumstances which led
to the reduction. -

7- The Board will be pleased through the
Department of Public Works to direct the attention of
the 1st Assistant Civil Engineer lately nominated to
the charge of Ganjam and Vizagapatam to the remarks
contained in Para 28 of Mr. Smollett's report re=
=lating to the declining condition of the Surwas of Jolly
Goondah from defective sluice channel irrigation, and require
him to submit on an early date a special report
on the subject and the remedial measures which
may be necessary. -

8. - Of the total demands for Land revenue
the Collections within the year amounted to Rupees
1,43,846-11-4 leaving a balance at the close of

Rupees 102,647-3-10 reduced by subsequent
Collections to 31st January 1848 to Rupees
10,427-8-1, the larger portion of which is
against the Zemindaries and is in course
of realization. -

9- Under the circumstances re=
=presented by the Board of Revenue in
Paras 12 and 13 of the foregoing Proceedings, and
agreeably to their recommendation, the
Governor in Council grants authority for writing
off in the accounts of the Vizagapatam District
the old balances of Land revenue outstand=
=ing at the close of Fusly 1255, which are
reported to be altogether irreconcrable,
or doubtful of recovery, amounting to Rupees
(2,159-9-4) Twenty two thousand One hundred
and Fifty Nine, Annas Nine and pice four. -

10 Mr. Smollett's management of the
District is considered satisfactory and
his successful exertions to introduce reform
commendable. -

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon- Ordered to be communicated for the in=
=formation and guidance of the Collector of Vizagapa=
=tam, with reference to the Boards Proceedings
dated 17th April last. -

(7-8)

Mr. Smollett's attention is called to paras 5
and 6 of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation. -

Dated 28th Octr 1847.

Ordered also that Para 7 of the above Extract
and of Para 28 of Mr. Smollett's settlement Report for
Fusly 1256 be communicated to the Department of Public Works
for disposal in that Department. -

Read

10893 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Read the following resolution. -

9 Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th July 1848. No. 775. -

(From Board 6th July 1848)

From the Secretary to Government - Ordered that the following endorsement be communicated to Coopoo Naick in reference to his petitions to Government dated 16th February and 4th April 1848 Nos. 309 and 497. -

By the report from the Board of Revenue it appears Petitioner was restored to his situation, but by subsequent misconduct was discharged. The Government will not order his re employment. -

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government. -

9-10 Order thereon -

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter dated 15th ultimo. -

Read the following letter. -

To
T. Pycroft Esq pe

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

11 Sir,

From the Coll. of Vizagapatam } I have the honor to forward Statement of actual charges incurred for making the 2 Bureaus and 4 Boxes alluded to in your letter of the 4th October 1847. amounting to Rupees 112-8-/ One hundred and twelve and Annas Eight, and request you will obtain the sanction of Government for the same. -

Vizagapatam, Collectors Office 20th July 1848 } (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector

Statement

10894 Fort St. George 31st July 1848. -

No. 1. -

Statement of actual charges incurred for making the undermentioned Furniture as per authority of Government dated 24th September 1847 conveyed by letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 4th October 1847. -

Estimated at Rupees 116 - -

Description &c	Actual Value of articles	Total
2 Teakwood Bureaus		
7 feet by 4 1/2 by 1 1/2		
Teakwood	37 - -	
Hinges Nails &c	14 - -	
Hire to Sawyer	4 8 -	
Glue, Wax &c	2 - -	
Hire to Carpenters	32 - -	89 8 -
4 Teakwood Boxes		
2 feet by 1-2 by 1-2		
Teakwood	8 - -	
Hinges locks &c	8 - -	
Hire to Carpenters	5 - -	
Do to Sawyers	1 - -	
Glue, Wax &c	1 - -	23 - -
Total Company's Rupees One hundred and Twelve and annas Eight.		112 8.

Vizagapatam 20th July 1848 } E. E. Pt. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector

Resolved

1089[illegible]

(11-12)

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the Statement received with the letter above recorded, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for the actual Disbursement made by the Collector of Vizagapatam in making 2 Teak-wood Bureaus and 4 Boxes for the use of his District, amounting to Rupees 112—8—0 / One hundred and twelve and annas eight under the Estimate sanctioned by Government on the 24th September 1847.

In Cons. 4th Oct. 1847.

F.C.B.

Read

1089[illegible]

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

To

J. Pycroft Esq.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(13)

Sir

From the Coll. of Rajahmundry ---

Sending - Copies of 3. Decrees. p.s.d in the case of Polavarapoo Badralingam with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 25th April last.

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 25th conveying one from the Minutes of Consultation of Government of the 13th April last, I have the honor to forward Copies of three Decrees passed by the Moofty Sudder Ameen the late Zillah Court and the late Provincial Court of Appeal in the Northern Division in the case of Polavarapoo Badralingam. The Decree of the Moofty Sudder Ameen being in Telooguo a translation is also sent herewith.-

Rajahmundry District 7 - -
Rajahmundry 15th July 1848.

/Signed/ J. Prendergast
Collector

No. 1.

Decree of the Hajee Moulavy Mohomed Yakoob ally Saheb Moofty Sudr Ameen of the Masulipatam Zillah Court of Udalut.-

Original Suit No. 167 of 1837.

Plaintiff Cotagery Boochiah of Moomedwarum.- Vakeel Chetrapoo Lutchmeah.-

Defendant
1 Polavarapoo Bheemalingum
2 D. Bhadralingum of Moomedwaram
Vakeel Tantah Venkiah

The subject of the plaint is that

that Defendants grand father Veerasah served the Zemindar of Peddapore as a Jeweller and took a piece of land in Moomedavarum Village attached to the Peddapore Zemendary in lieu of his salary, and enjoyed it until his demise, that afterwards another Proprietor levied the produce of that land, that in Fasly 1234 Plaintiffs husband became Proprietor of the Village and collected the Cist of 100 Rupees on the said land, that after the death of her husband she seized the land for the purpose of collecting the Cist thereof, but in the mean time the Amildar of Amalapore Talook by order of the Collector caused that produce to be made over to the defendants, and that as the land is attached to the Proprietary Estate of her husband and as the Defendants have no right to it except on service tenure she prays that the said land now held by the Defendants measuring 3 Pootlees and yielding an annual sum of 100 Rupees may be made over to her upon investigation of the matter.-

The Defendants answer is that the land in question was granted as a gift by the Zemindar of Peddapore to their Grand father 80 years ago with a Puttah but that it was not granted

granted on service tenure that they uninterruptedly enjoyed the land till the Plaintiffs husband became Proprietor, who having seized the land, their father made a complaint to the Collector that the latter authority on enquiry into the matter caused the Plaintiff to restore the land to their father together with its produce amounting to 100 Rupees and that as the Collector directed the Plaintiff not to seize the land any more, through enmity she brought the present false complaint but they were not liable to pay any thing.-

The reply and rejoinder support the plaint and answer. The reply adds that the Enam land was granted on service tenure, but not to be enjoyed in perpetuity by the descendant of the Defendants and that it has not been customary to alienate Enams to people of the Defendants caste. The rejoinder adds that the Puttah was destroyed by fire, and that there were public accounts. Plaintiffs Vakeel presented a list of 10 witnesses with documents as follows.-

10899 Fort S.t George 31.st July 1848

1

A report from the Curnam of Moomedwaram Village drawn on common paper under date 18.th May 1836. 2 Copy of a Decision N.o 1030 of 1830 drawn on two Stampt Papers. 3 a Sunnud executed by the Defendants father to the Plaintiff on a Cadjan under date the 7.th day of Sraivana Soodha, of the year Sarvajetoo. 4 a Sunnud executed on a common Paper by Defendants father to Enoogunty Venkata Row and Row Ramarow under date the 2.nd day of Kartiga Bahoolah of the year Jayah. 5 a Grain Security bond executed by Polisetty Suttanah to the said persons under date the 4.th day of Caartigah Bahoolah of the year Mun=madah, Defendants Vakeel presented a list of the Witnesses with documents as follows. Four Doomlahs for the years Swabhanu Taranah, Verodhy, and Munmadha, drawn on common paper. 5 an Arzee addressed on common paper by the Defendants under date the 14.th September 1837 with the Collectors Endorsement

10900 Fort S.t George 31.st July 1848

Endorsement on the back of it. 6 a Zabitah of the land of Moomedwaram Village. 7 a copy of an order from the Collector dated 12.th September 1826. 8 a copy of the report from the Curnum of the said Village dated 19.th May 1836. 9 a Receipt executed by the Defendants father dated 18.th Novem=ber 1826. for the Sum of Rupees 100 on account of the proceeds of the disputed land received from the Proprietor. and 10 a copy of a report from the Amildar of Amlapoor Talooke dated 19.th November of the said year drawn on Stampt paper under the Seal and Signature of the Collector. With the exception of the two witnesses of the Plaintiff whose evidence is stated by his Vakeel to be unnecessary the other witnesses namely 1 Chaguntty Sarabanah 2 D.o Basavanah Syavaroo. 3 Maucherauze Parrauze. 4 Chaguntty Ameravze, 5 Patanam Papiah 6 Chaguntty Mootana Syaveroo 7 D.o Ameravze and 8 Duntoolooru Venkatapaterauze as well as the Witnesses of the Defendants namely 1 Netalah Brahmanah. 2 Ponnadah Vencanah. 3 Vaudapally Venkatarauze, and 4 Polechetty Ramanoyooloo were examined on Oath. It appears that the principal points to be proved by the Plaintiff are that the disputed

disputed land was granted by the Zemindar of Peddapore to the grand father of the defendants on Veerasah on service tenure; and that she (Plaintiff) is entitled to it, and by the Defendants that the said Enam was granted to them not on service tenure, but to be enjoyed by them from posterity to posterity. The documents produced by the Plaintiff do not prove (as claimed by her) that the Enam was granted on service tenure. After the issue of the said Enam, if the defendants father had entered into an engagement to perform service either by receiving any thing or not, it will not prove that the Enam land was granted on service tenure. One of the documents N: 3 held by the Plaintiff is the principal testimonial to prove her claim. The contents of this document are that the disputed land was granted on service tenure, and there were no more witnesses than one to prove this document, besides the document itself and the evidence of the witness do not appear to be true; for there could be no reason whatever for the father of the Defendants to execute such a Document with the Plaintiff, after

after the husband of the latter had seized the land, and the Collector on investigation had it restored by the Plaintiff to the Defendants father together with Rupees 100 on account of its produce. Moreover if this document were true it would have been alluded to either in the plaint or in the repg. The report of the Curnum not only seems of no avail to prove the claim of the Plaintiff but is not worthy of credit as being contrary to the report formerly written by the same Curnum in favor of the Defendants. The second document or the copy of the decision is produced in a fraudulent manner, for it relates to other land, and shews nothing about the land in dispute. also it was not tried in an appeal, nor does it seem advantageous to the Plaintiff. The 4th and 5th documents also do not shew that the land in dispute was granted on service tenure, or that Plaintiff has any right to it. There are no other documents issued either by the Circar or by the Zemindar of Peddapore Pa: to prove the claim of the Plaintiff. Neither the witnesses nor the documents of the Plaintiff prove that the land

in

in question was sold to her husband. If the Enam land were ever granted on service should be performed to the family of the Zemindar who actually granted the same - but not to others. It does not appear at all that the Zemindar of Peddapore had granted the disputed land on condition that service should be performed to the Plaintiff or her husband. If the land were granted after Plaintiffs husband became proprietor it may be presumed that he Plaintiffs husband would have been entitled to it. It is clear from the Plaintiffs own admission as well as from the documents and evidence of both parties that the Enam was granted many years ago, and as the husband of the Plaintiff became Proprietor 50 years after the issue of the Enam it does not appear that Plaintiff or her husband has been entitled to it - It is also very plain from the Plaint itself, that the land was granted to the father of the defendants as a remuneration for his services to the Zemindar of Peddapore and that no one was entitled to it. It is fully proved by the public documents Nos 7-9- and 10 filed by the defendants as

as well as by the Plaintiffs admission that the Collector enquired into the matter and had the disputed land restored to the Defendants father together with one years produce which was appropriated by the Plaintiff - Under the foregoing circumstances the claim of the Plaintiff is dismissed as being considered illegal and disproved and Plaintiff is directed to pay the charges as follows.-

Charges incurred by the Plaintiff		Charges incurred by the Defendants.	
Value of the Stamp for the Plaint	8----	Fees to the Vakeel	5----
Fees to the Vakeel	5----	Sundries	16----
Sundries	5-12-	Batta to Peons who served Summons	5-10-
Batta to peons who served Summons	3-12-		
Do to Witnesses	88-12-		

Dated 20th February 1838-

The time for appeal will expire on the 22nd March 1838-

(True Translation)

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Masulipatam

Fort St George 31st July 1848

No 2

Masulipatam Zillah Court of Adalut.

Original Suit No 167 of 1837

Appeal Cause No 440 of 1838.

Cotagherry Bootcheah.. Plaintiff's Appellant

Versus

1 Polavarapoo Bheema-lingum. } Defendants Respondents

2 " - Bhadralingum. }

This Suit was instituted for the recovery 3 Candies of Sorgy valued at 100 Rupees per Annum.

Plaintiff states the late gave the 3 Candies of land to Defendants Grand father as Service Enam - after the Death of Zemindar. Plaintiff's husband became possessed of the property. The Defendants father executed a Sunnad to her (Plaintiff's) husband agreeing to enjoy the land, and to perform Service after Plaintiff's husband's death the Defendants have refused to perform any

Fort St George 31st July 1848

any service or to give up the land. The Collector directed her to bring a Civil Suit for the recovery of their land and does not require their Service..

Defendants state in answer that the land was bestowed in gift and not for Service to their grand father and this was stated in the Zemindars Puttah.. They have enjoyed the land for 8 years when Defendants mortgaged this land.

Plaintiff's Brother attested the Mortgage Bond..

The Plaintiff in reply states goldsmith caste persons enjoy certain lands for Service and her brother did not sign the Mortgage Deed.

The Defendants state in rejoinder that the Puttah given by

by the Zemindar was burnt with their houses.

Plaintiffs Documents 5
Witnesses . . . 8

1st Witness Chagunty Sarabhana.
2nd D: D: Basavana Iyavar.
3rd D: Maucheraze Parraze.
4th D: Chagunty Ammeraze.
5th D: Patanany Paupiah.
6th D: Chagunty Moottano Iyavar
7th D: Chagunty Ammeraze
8th D: Dantoolooty Venkatapaty Raze.

Defendants Documents 9
Witnesses . . . 4

1st Witness Nittala Bramana.
2nd D: Connada Venkanah
3rd D: Vadapully Venkataraze
4th D: Polesetty Ramanoojoolo.

Plaintiffs 1st No 9 a report of the Curnums shewing the land was given as Service Enam.

Documents 30 Decree of Zillah Court No 1030 1830 in favor of Plaintiff in a similar Suit.

No 51 a Sunnud Executed by Defendants father agreeing to perform since in Plaintiffs house and to enjoy the land dated August 1828.

No

No 52 Another Sunnud not recognized in this Suit.

No 54 Another Sunnud not required in this Suit.

Plaintiffs 1st Witness is a Vermaseder of defendants Village, and knows the land enjoyed by Defendants is Service Enam. Identifies Document 31 as having been executed in his presence by Defendants Father, and which bears Witness attesting Signatures. adds the Amuldar refused to receive his report No 9 and forced him to sign another.

2d Witness States, Plaintiff is now Proprietress of the Estate, and knows the land is disputed as Service Enam.

3d Witness States Defendants Father showed witness father, in Witnesses presence the Puttah which had been given by the late Zemindar. Was been Defendants working in Plaintiffs House adds the Amuldar refused to receive Report No 9 but he signed another.

4th Witness is Curnum proves Plaintiffs right to the land and supports the Plaint generally adds no Seperate list is made for Service Enam Identifies Document No 9 as bearing his Signature.

Witness

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

5th Witness is Cumsum Sames as 4th Witness

6th Witness states the land is Service Enam

Identifies Document 51 as having been executed in his presence. No Seperate list is made for Service Enams

7th Witness same as 5th Witness but did not see Document 51 executed only heard of it.

8th Witness states the land is Service Enam the 2d attesting Witness is dead.

Defendants Documents Nos. 15-16-17 and 18 not required in this Suit.

No. 57 Merely to shew the land is entered as Dumballa for the year 1795

No. 59 Copy of Order of Collector referring Plaintiff to Civil Court before disturbing Defendants possession of the land.

No. 61 Copy of Report taken from the Cumsums by the Sumildar in which they state the land was bestowed in gift.

No. 63 Copy of Sumildars Report to the Collector stating the Defendants had been put in possession.

No. 64 Copy of Defendants receipt for the lands.

Defendants 1st Witness states the value of the land is 100 Pagodas and that the land was rent free.

Witness

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

2d Witness States Plaintiff has no right to the land as he heard from his father the land had been given to Defendants Grandfather as he had made Ornaments for the Zemindar.

3d Witness only knows regarding the land having been given.

4th Witness same as 2d Witness

The Sudr Ameen dismissed Plaintiffs suit on the grounds that the Collector had given a decision and the land had been so long in Defendants possession.

The Plaintiff being dissatisfied appeals and states the Original Puttah has not been produced, and although (Defendants) Respondents, have enjoyed the land so long it is because they have performed Services and satisfied the Zemindar Goldsmiths never received Manieums — Service Enams are always given to Carpenters, Goldsmiths, Tailors, Vea. Ya.

The Respondent in reply state the Collector settled this case and Document 51 is false.

The Acting Judge having carefully perused the whole of the evidence and on reference to the Decree No. 1830, which was confirmed on appeal by the Provincial Court is of opinion that the decision of the Sudr Ameen

Surnagen Mooftee is erroneous - The Respondent in the first instance made no objection to Document 31, which is very important and moreover they have failed to produce the original Puttah, or Copy of it, showing the land to have been bestowed in free gift - On reference to the Circuit Committee Accounts up to the year 1736 these Defendants Grandfather or father's name is not inserted - The Court therefore reverses the Decision of the Sudr Ameen - Mooftee and directs that Plaintiff Appellant shall recover the land sued for - All costs to be paid by Defendants Respondents

3 - Pulla Pullies of land yielding 100 Rupees as claimed annually	}	"
Stamp duty on Institution under Section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816	}	12 "
Fees to Coty - Moorharrow Pleader for appellant under Section XXII Regulation XIV of 1816	}	5 "
Values of Stamp Paper filed by appellant		8 "
Value of Stampt Paper filed by Respondent		11 "
Batta to a Peon for serving notice on the Respondent	}	1 8 "
Costs incurred in the lower Court Viz -		
By Plaintiff appellant — 111 4 -		
By Defendant Respondent — 26 10 -		137 14 "
Deduct Amount paid by Defendants Respondents	}	161 16 " / 32 2 "
Balance Company's Rupees		129 14 "

One

one hundred and twenty nine and Annas twelve together with the land claimed, should be paid to the Plaintiff appellant by the Defendants Respondent -

This Decree contains 3 Sheets - This Decree was signed and Sealed on the 29th May 1840 and the time for Special appeal will expire on the 29th August 1840

/ Signed W. Dowdeswell
Acting Judge

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry this 15th day of November 1839.

/ Signed W. Dowdeswell
Acting Judge

In the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Northern Division.

Original Suit No 167 of 1837 Rajahmundry Zillah Moofty Sudr Ameens file

Appeal Suit No 448 of 1838 Zillah Judges file

Special appeal Suit No 3 of 1842 Provincial Courts file

Special appellant Defendants Special Respondent — Plaintiff -

Polavarapoo Bheemalingum } Versus Cotagery —
Do Badralingum } Bootchah

In Person Separate

/ Signed J. Worsley Acting 1st Judge
Do E. B. Glass
Jg 2d Judge

Opinion of the Acting 1st Judge

1 - The Plaintiff now Special Respondent in

in her plaint states that the Zemindar of Pedda-
-pooram gave three Candies of land to Defendants father
-father in payment for Ornaments made by
Defendants Grandfather for the Zemindary
afterwards the Zemindary came into the pos-
-session of another person, he fixed a rent on the
land in 1824. the Village of Moommidevaram in
which the above three Candies are situated was
transferred to her husband, he fixed a rent of
Rupees 100 on three Candies which he Collected at
the end of fusly 1234 (1824) Plaintiffs husband
died. After this the Defendants father pre-
-sented Arzees to the Collector and Plaintiff
was about to sue the Defendants father
for the land, when he agreed to make Or-
-naments for Plaintiff, and to hold the land
on those terms this he continued to do until
his death. the Defendants have now taken
possession of the three Candies, Plaintiff
therefore sues for the recovery of the land
valued at 100 Rupees per Annum

2 The Defendants in their answer deny the
truth of the Plaint, and state that Plaintiff has not
mentioned any dates in her Plaint they state that 30
years ago the Zemindar Rajah Temmajaga Puttyrauze
gave these three Pottahs to their Grandfather in gift,
and executed a Puttah, and since that time it has
been in the Defendants family the Plaintiffs

husbe

husband purchased the Village eleven years
ago he them presented the Defendants father Cul-
-tivating it the Defendants father on the 1st April
1826 presented an Arzee on the Subject to the Collector of
Rajahmundry who decided that Defendants father
should enjoy the land this decision was made on the
5th April 1826 the Plaintiffs husband took possession of
the produce Rupees 100 on 17th August 1826 the Defendants
father complained to the Collector who on the 12th September
1826 ordered the Rupees 100 to be Collected from Plaintiff-
. After it was collected it was paid to Defendants
father. That four years ago the 1st Defendant bor-
-rowed for his Marriage Rupees 110 from Polesetty-
-Ramamoojoolo and ½ Candy of the land was
Mortgaged to him and a bond was executed, the
Village Moonsiff, the Curnum and Plaintiffs Young-
-er brother who is Plaintiffs Manager attested
the bond if the land had been Jeroyetee it could
not have been Mortgaged.

3. The Sudr Ameen Moofty nonsuited the Plaintiff
on the grounds that the matter had been decided by the
Collector and the Defendants had possession of the
land for fifty years before Plaintiff got possession
of the Village

4. The Plaintiff appealed against this decision.

5. The Acting Judge in his decree states that on
reference to the Decree in 1830 of 1830 Appellants
Document No 30 which was confirmed by the
Provincial Court, he is of opinion that the Sudr

Ameens

is entered as having 10 5/8 Vijoums of Doombalah land and in special Respondents Document No 29 and special Respondents Document No 61 being certificates given by the Villagers, it is stated that Timmajaga puttee Rauze ordered three Candies of land to be given to Veerasem and the Villagers gave 3 1/2 Candies, and as three Vijoums equal one Candy of Pootty the quantity entered in the Doombalah accounts nearly corresponds with what the Villagers say in their certificate: the land being entered in the Doombalah Accounts prior to the permanent settlement as a matter of course was unassessed at that time it is not to be believed that special appellants father having inherited the land from his father and which land this man obtained for personal service to the Zemindar, that the special appellants father would afterwards again burthen the land with service to special Respondents husband. On the above grounds the Acting 1st Judge is of opinion that the decree of the Zillah Judge should be reversed and the Decree of the Sudr Ameen & Moofty confirmed and that special Respondent should pay all costs in original appeal and special Suits.

3rd January 1843. (Signed) I. Horsley
Acting 1st Judge

Opinion of the Acting 2nd Judge
Agreed
(Signed) C. B. Glass
Acting 2nd Judge

The Court are accordingly of opinion that
12. the Decree of the Zillah Judge should be reversed
and the Decree of the Moofty Sudr Ameen confirmed
ed the special Respondents to pay all costs
It is resolved that no private adjustment
13. of this decree shall be allowed or deemed valid un-
less the terms of such adjustment shall have
been previously and reciprocally declared by
all the parties interested herein and made a record
of the Court with their unanimous consent.

Fees to the Pleaders on behalf of the special appellants Calculated on 1000 Rupees the amount of the rent of the Laukheruze land agreeably to Section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816

To Gordee pauty Seetaramadee chatoo being one half of the established fee	25 " "
The said Pleader having died, and the Pleader Ballah Soobbiah having presented a Vakaltnamah as his substitute the other half Payable to him	25 " "
	50 " "

Costs incurred by the special appellants before they were admitted as Paupers

No 1 Value of 3 Stampt Papers of the Petition of Special appeal Viz.

of the 1st Paper	8 " "
of the two additional Papers	8 " "
	16 " "

2 Value of one Stampt Paper for a Va-
-kaltmanah ..... } 1 " "
Costs incurred in the lower Court as per that
Court's Decree in appeal ..... 32-2 " 17 " "
49-2 "
39-2 "

After the Petition of Special Appeal was presented upon a Stampt Paper of the value of 8 Rupees the Court having fixed the amount of rent of the Lan Kherauze land at 1000 Rupees and required the Petition of Appeal to be presented upon a Stampt Paper of the value of 80 Rupees the special appellants being unable to do so were admitted as paupers. Therefore the Stampt duty payable to Government upon the Common Papers subsequently presented is as follows

From the Sum of 80 Rupees being the amount of Stampt duty of the Petition special Appeals the sum of 8 Rupees the Value of the Stampt Papers upon which the same was presented being deducted the remainder is ..... 42 " "

Value of the Paper of application for the special appeal containing the Pleaders endorsement ..... } 4 " "
46 " "
No 6 Of one paper for a Notice ..... 4 " "
8 Of one Do for Do ..... 4 " "
9 Of one Do for Do ..... 4 " "
10 Of one Do for a Vabaltoonamah ..... 1 " "
13 " "

Costs

Costs incurred by the Special Respondents as per Decree of the Gillah Court in the Appeals ..... 129-12 "
287-14 "

Deduct

Already paid by the Special Respondents ..... 129-12 "

Balance Rupees ..... 158-2 "

The above sum of One hundred and fifty-eight Rupees and two Annas should be paid by the Special Respondent

Given under our hands and the Seal of the Court at Vellasulipatam this 6th day of January 1843.

Delivered in Court this 6th day of January 1843.

By Order of the Court
(Signed) E. B. Glass
Ag. Dy. Judge for Registar.
(Signed) T. Boileau
1st Judge
for Register.

(13-14)

Resolution thereon — Resolved that the foregoing letter and its Enclosures be submitted to Government in reference to Extract from In Cons 27th April 1848 Minutes of Consultation dated 13th April last.

Read the following letter

To

2 Value of one Stampt Paper for a Va-
=Kaltmamah ——— } 1 " " 17 " "
Costs incurred in the lower Court as per that
Court's Decree in appeal ——— 32-2 "
49-2 "
57-2 "

After the Petition of Special Appeal was presented upon a Stampt Paper of the value of 8 Rupees the Court having fixed the amount of rent of the Lan K herauzes land at 1000 Rupees and required the Petition of Appeal to be presented upon a Stampt Paper of the value of 50 Rupees the special appellants being unable to do so were admitted as paupers. Therefore the Stampt duty payable to Government upon the Common Papers subsequently presented is as follows

From the Sum of 50 Rupees being the amount of Stampt duty of the Petition special Appeal the sum of 8 Rupees the Value of the Stampt Papers upon which the same was presented being deducted the remainder is ——— 42 " "

Value of the Paper of application for the special appeal containing the Pleaders endorsement ——— } 4 " "
46 " "

No 6 Of one paper for a Motion ——— 4 " "
8 Of one Do for Do ——— 4 " "
9 Of one Do for Do ——— 4 " "
10 Of one Do for a Vabaltoonamah ——— 1 " "
13 " "

Costs

Costs incurred by the Special Respondent as per Decree of the Gillah Court in the Appeal ——— 129-12 "
257-14 "

Deduct

Already paid by the Special Respondents ——— 129-12 "

Balance Rupees ——— 128-2 "

The above sum of One hundred and fifty-eight Rupees and two Annas should be paid by the Special Respondent

Given under our hands and the Seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 6th day of January 1843.

Delivered in Court this 6th day of January 1843

By Order of the Court
(Signed) E. B. Glass
Ag. Dy. Judge for Register

(Signed) T. Boileau
1st Judge
for Register

(13-14)

Resolution thereon — Resolved that the foregoing letter and its Enclosures be submitted to Government in reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 13th April

In Cons 27th April 1848 Cast —

Read the following

Letter —

To

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

15

From the Collector of Masulipatam Requesting sanction for the disbursement of Six thousand Rupees on account of Fee Rawy for fusly 1258 in addition to the Amount recommended in the Statement already forwarded

With reference to the Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of advances for Cultivation &c. in this District during the Current fusly 1258 / A.D. 1848/49 forwarded to the Board under date 14th June last, I have the honor to inform you that, since its transmission, application has been made by some Tahsildars representing that the poorer Ryots in general of the Villages rented for fusly 1258 are in need of immediate assistance to enable them to purchase seed and Cattle for the purpose of Cultivation and requesting that a sum of 6,000 Rupees as noted in the Margin may be advanced on that account.

Government Estates		Rs.
1 Bezgarah		500
1 Valavadum		150
1 Poodjoor		100
1 Chintalapoodi		350
1 Chanoobundah		50
1 Ellore		400
1 Coully		300
1 Padapaud		250
1 Streeramaveram		25
1 Ramasingaveram		25
1 Pyrakaloor		750
1 Bhoojabalapatam		750
1 Cavvacolloo		300
1 Vennadeettah		200
1 Goodewadah		600
		4750
Jaghy Estates		
1 Kundegamah		300
1 Chintalapauty Vuntoo		100
1 Panoogunchepeole		200
1 Batavole		200
1 Immoogoodoo roo		65
1 Pudvolamanaud		35
1 Caldindy		200
1 Cordapilly		150
		1250
Total		6000

Fusly	Amount Rupees
1257	358293
1258	448262
Increase	89969

The sum of Rupees 5800 proposed to be advanced in the Statement already forwarded was intended for distribution to the Ryots engaged in the Cultivation of wet lands retained under Aumany, but the Ryots of the Villages in which both the wet and dry lands have been rented solicit that aid should be afforded to them. The rents here referred to are for a single year, and not for a number of years in which case no advance has hitherto been made, and the principal Estates from which the application for relief has been made are Ryealore, Bhoojabalapatam, Goodewadah, and Ellore, bordering on and situated in the neighbourhood of Colair. The lands are principally cultivated by Paya Ryots, the number of Resident Ryots being few. The amount of increase in the rent of the same description of lands for fusly 1258 / A.D. 1848/49 as of those in fusly 1257 / A.D. 1847/48 is indicated in the Margin and it is essentially necessary that the aid applied for should be afforded to enable the poorer Ryots to extend cultivation in view to the fulfilment of their engagements with Government. I therefore solicit that sanction may be obtained for the disbursement of the Amount, Viz. 6,000 Rupees, in addition to the amount proposed in the Statement already furnished.

Masulipatam Gillah Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
H. Bezgarah 29. July 1848

(Signed) R. T. Potter
Collector

Resolved

(15-16)

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Resolution thereon — Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of Government with Board's recommendation that under the explanation therein contained the Collector of Masulipatam may be authorized to make the proposed disbursement on account of Tuccavy in Fusly 1258 — amounting to Rupees 6,000 — which, added to the Estimate forwarded with the Board's remarks No of the 17th Instant, will amount to Rupees 21031 to be disbursed as advances for Fusly 1258

Read the following letter —

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

17

From the Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin* relative to the suspension of Chenchoramayya Tahsildar of Mangalagherry for culpable

* To B. 1st June 1848 From Dr. 12th Dr.

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

culpable neglect in his investigation of a case of supposed murder, I have the honor to state that as the Sessions Court have acquitted the accused without animadverting upon the conduct of the Tahsildar, and as there is no proof of collusion on his part the his conduct in permitting to be burned without enquiry a Cloth found on the deceased, which is subsequently asserted to belong to the accused was highly reprehensible, yet I consider that it will be a sufficient punishment to degrade him from the first to the second class of Tahsildars, and in the meantime I have appointed him to act in Martoor, and to draw at the rate of 100 Rupees per Mensem, at which rate I beg to be allowed to disburse his pay from the date of his suspension.

Guntoor Collectors Office 21st July 1848

Signed H. Stokes

Collector

Resolution thereon — The Board of Revenue accede to the Collector's proposal to reduce Chenchoramayah from the first to the second class of Tahsildars such reduction to have effect from the date of his suspension or from the 19th. May last —

Read

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(19)

From the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

Not having been favored with the Board's orders in regard to the suggestion made in my letter to the Board dated the 5th August last and the difficulty of obtaining Security from Public Servants on the terms prescribed by the Board increasing, I trust I shall not be considered too importunate in begging the Board to take into consideration the propriety of accepting the security of persons of Known property and Character without a detailed examination of their property according to the forms submitted by me.

Nellore Collectors Cutcherry 27th July 1848

Signed G. A. Smith Collector

Order thereon (19-20) Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read

T. P. R.

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(21)

From the Collector of Cuddapah

Appoo Row Head Sheristadar of this District and recommending his salary may be increased from Rupees 487½ to 532

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from the Board of Revenue dated 6th March 1828, I have the honor to submit for the favorable consideration the case of Appoo Row who completed on the 15th June last his 15 years service as Head Sheristadar of this District, under the Rules therein prescribed.

2 Appoo Row was appointed Head Sheristadar on the 23rd November 1837, and completed his ten years service as Head Sheristadar on the 15th June 1843 under the limitations prescribed, as follows.

One third (⅓) of the Period of his service for Yrs. M. D. 13-3-22 during which he held the situations of Tahasildar and Naib Sheristadar being reckoned as service entitling him under the Rules above referred to an increase of Salary as service actually performed 9-M-Days in the Office of Head Sheristadar — 4 — 5 —

From 23rd Novr 1847 to 15th June 1843 as Head Shrestadar 5—6—23

10—0—0

3. The Services of Appoo Row have been so repeatedly adverted to officially in terms of commendation by my many predecessors and by the Board of Revenue that it is unnecessary for me to dilate on his merits in submitting this my recommendation that the further additional allowance of Rupees 87—8—0 be bestowed on him from the 15th June 1848 thus increasing his salary to Pags. 150 per mensem.

4 Adverting however to the subject of his letter to my address soliciting the indulgence of Government towards the bestowal of the highest rate of salary on the plea of his having been so repeatedly employed on special occasions in matters unconnected with this District as represented by him, and with reference to the precedent he alludes to in the Case of the Head Shrestadar of Canara, on which he partly advances his present claims it

it will remain for the Board to decide whether under all the circumstances he has represented, the high character he has always maintained for integrity, honesty, and activity in the service of Government, the numerous testimonials he has of the high opinion entertained of his conduct as a public Servant from all under whom he has served during the extended period of employment for 41 years without a days interruption, and added to all this, his having been selected by the late Commissioner of Guntoor for the responsible post of Head of his Native Establishment during the Revenue enquiries instituted in that District, whose testimony to his merits elicited the approbation of Government in Minutes of Consultation dated 6th December 1847, his claims to their consideration is sufficiently strong to induce them to recommend his case as a Special one to the favorable consideration of Government.

5. I shall therefore confine myself

myself by stating that after all the opportunity I have had of forming a judgment of his character and of appreciating his valuable services I consider he merits my strongest recommendation in his favor, and that it would afford me the greatest satisfaction to think that any thing I could say would tend to the further advancement of one of the most deserving Servants of Government.

Cuddapah District
Collector's Office on Circuit
Muddenpully "
15th July 1848. "

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Collector

To
J. H. Cochrane Esqre
Collector of Cuddapah.

Honored Sir

I most respectfully beg leave to represent to your goodness that I have in person solicited you at Muddenpully to recommend and obtain the sanction of the Board and Government for the increase of my present salary to 150 Pagodas from 15th June 1848.

I

I am sensible that according to the Provisions of existing Rules I am entitled only to the sum aforementioned but in the event of your goodness being graciously pleased to take into your consideration my past lengthened and laborious services and recommending my claims strongly to the Higher Authorities, I am perfectly confident that sanction will be granted for the increase of my present salary at once to Rupees 700

3. I also beg to detail hereunder the particulars of my servitude in several capacities in this District, the total period of which from 15 February 1807 up to the present time is 41 years 4 Months and 14 days during which time I rendered my services faithfully without a day's interruption.

	Years	Months	Days
Goomastah in the Talook of Chintagoontah from 15th February 1807 to the end of November 1808 at 14 Rupees per Month	1	9	14
Do in Do of Dooboor from 1st December 1808 to 14th Novr 1816 at 14 Rupees per Month	7	11	14
Hoozoor Magistrate's Goomastah from 15th November 1816 to the end of October 1819 at 21 Rupees per Month "	2	11	16

Hoozoor

	Years	Months	Days
Hoozoor Head Magistrates Cavaleries from 1st November 1819 to the end of August 1823 at 28 Rupees per Month	3	10	"
Sheristadar in the Talook of Goorumconda from 1st September 1823 to 31st July 1824 at 70 Rupees per Month	"	11	"
Tahsildar in Dooroor Talook from 1st August 1824 to 20th December 1824 at 150 Rupees per Month	3	4	20
Hoozoor Naib Sheristadar from 21st December 1824 to the end of October 1830 at 245 Rupees per Month	2	10	10
Do. Do. from 1st November 1830 to 25th September 1836 at the reduced rate of 170 Rupees per Do.	5	10	25
Deputy Sheristadar in the Revenue Board Office from 26th September 1836 to 22nd July 1837 at 280 Rupees per Month	"	9	27
Naib Sheristadar of Cuddapah District from 23d July to 22d November 1837 at 175 Rupees per Mensem	"	4	"
Head Sheristadar of Do. from 23d November 1847 to the end of June 1843 at 280 Rs. per Do.	5	7	8
Do. Do. of Do. from 1st July 1843 to the end of June 1848 at 437½ Rupees per Do.	5		
Total	41	4	14

Independent

4. Independent of this, I had also been deputed during the above period to perform special duties in other Districts and the following are the particulars thereof.

5. In the year 1816 Mr. Ros the late Collector of this District, Mr. Oakes the Collector of Guntoor and Mr. Russell the Collector of Masulipatam having been appointed Commissioners to enquire into the Pindary Affairs at Masulipatam One Lingetum Streenevasarow late Head Sheristadar in the Dharwar District and myself accompanied Mr. Ros agreeably to his directions but before the enquiry in question had been completed. Mr. Ros having taken ill at Masulipatam I was ordered to do duty with the late Bellary Collector Mr. Robertson the then Head Assistant Collector of Masulipatam. I accordingly discharged the duties delegated to me with great activity and assiduity, and after the transmission of Pindary Report to Government, I obtained permission from the Commissioners to return to Cuddapah

Cuddapah and those Gentlemen having been pleased to bestow upon me a letter of recommendation to the then Acting Collector Mr. McDonell in this District, I delivered the same over to him Thus I had been engaged in this affair for 8 Months-

6. In the year 1822 some disagreement having arisen between Mr. Carfrey and Buckhee Rama Row and Bheema Row in the Mysore Territory respecting the dispute of 1,00,000 Pagodas Colonel Scott, Mr. Woodcock the late Collector of Salem, Mr. Casamajor the Resident of Mysore, Messrs. Watson and Baker attached to a Regiment, were appointed Commissioners at Bangalore to enquire into the case and Mr. Daniel Elliot one of the Members in Council at present was deputed to examine the Mahrattah accounts of Mysore- When agreeably to the orders of Government the then Collector

Collector Mr. Hanbury directed the Head Sheristadar Buteha Row, Rama Row the late Tahsildar of Sidhout, and myself then Sheristadar of Goorrumconda Talooks, to proceed to Bangalore, and we accordingly did examine the accounts in the Office of Mr. D. Elliot, discovered the discrepancies therein, and not only prepared the accounts required for the Report, which was to be made to the Latter Gentleman, but also gave satisfactory explanations to the Commissioners on the subject- Butcha Row and Rama Row were at first directed to return to Cuddapah and I was ordered by Mr. Elliot to remain some time longer to afford some explanation to him- Accordingly I performed my duties under him until the transmission of the Report in question and then obtaining permission from the Commissioners and Mr. D. Elliot, returned to this place and presented the recommendatory letter which the latter Gentleman were

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

were good enough to give me to the then Collector Mr. Russell who had the kindness to confer upon me immediately the Office of Tahsildar in the Doovoor Taluk. This circumstance is fully manifest to Mr. D Elliot one of the Members in Council Subsequently the late Collector Mr. Harris had the goodness to promote me to the Office of Naib Sheristadar of this District on the 21st December 1827.

Agreeably to the orders of Government I have also in the year 1844 accompanied Mr. Walter Elliot the late Commissioner of Guntoor, to enquire into the Revenue Affairs of that District, and discharged my duties under him to his utmost satisfaction.

8. Mr. Gleig having recommended to the Board that I should be allowed to succeed Butcha Row the late Head Sheristadar of this District in the event of his being permitted to retire after the restoral of Jaghеer, a notification in the

Gazette

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Gazette had been made certifying that it will be accordingly done so, but in the interval, I was appointed as Naib Sheristadar of the Board of Revenue that became vacant, which office I had held for 9 months and 27 days, afterwards the climate in the Presidency not having been agreeable to my constitution and as domestic affairs required my personal attendance at Cuddapah I proceeded thither obtaining leave of absence from the Board of two Months. Subsequently I petitioned the Board soliciting them to allow me to hold my former Office of Naib Sheristadar in this District until Butcha Row may receive orders for the restoration of Jaghеer and my prayers having been complied with, I had held the Office of Naib Sheristadar up to the time, order for Butcharow's retirement was received, and then succeeded him as Head Sheristadar on the 23d November 1837.

9. The documents I am in possession of, on the subject of my past services are as follows.

Letter

were good enough to give me to the then Collector Mr. Russell who had the kindness to confer upon me immediately the Office of Tahsildar in the Doovoor Taluk. This circumstance is fully manifest to Mr. D. Elliot one of the Members in Council Subsequently the late Collector Mr. Harris had the goodness to promote me to the Office of Naib Sherestadar of this District on the 21st December 1827.

7. Agreeably to the orders of Government I have also in the year 1846 accompanied Mr. Walter Elliott the late Commissioner of Guntoor, to enquire into the Revenue Affairs of that District, and discharged my duties under him to his utmost satisfaction.

8. Mr. Gleig having recommended to the Board that I should be allowed to succeed Butcha Row the late Head Sheristadar of this District in the event of his being permitted to retire after the bestowal of Jagheer, a notification in the Gazette

Gazette had been made certifying that it will be accordingly done so, but in the interval, I was appointed as Naib Sheristadar of the Board of Revenue, that became vacant, which office I had held for 9 months and 27 days, afterwards the climate in the Presidency not having been agreeable to my constitution and as domestic affairs required my personal attendance at Cuddapah I proceeded thither obtaining leave of absence from the Board of two Months. Subsequently I petitioned the Board soliciting them to allow me to hold my former Office of Naib Sheristadar in this District until Butcha Row may receive orders for the endowment of Jagheer and my prayers having been complied with, I had held the Office of Naib Sheristadar up to the time, order for Butcharow's retirement was received, and then succeeded him as Head Sheristadar on the 23d November 1837.

9. The documents I am in possession of, on the subject of my past services are as follows.

Letter

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

1 Letter to my address from Mr. McDonell directing me to proceed to Madras for assuming charge of the Nair Shenotadar's appointment in the Board of Revenue

1 Purvanah issued by Mr. Gleig appointing me Nair Shenotadar as before.

1. Letter from D. Elliot Esqre respecting the opinion I have given him on the subject of the Police and other matters.

10. Independent of this I was also directed by Government to accompany the Commissioner Mr. Walter Elliot to Guntoor to enquire into the Revenue matters and the following are the Documents I received on this subject

1. Extract from the Report made by the Commissioner respecting the Settlement made at Guntoor wherein a favorable mention of my name is made-

1 Letter which accompanied the above Extract

1 Extract from Government communicating that his Lordship in Council notices with approbation the favorable mention made by the Commissioner of the conduct of Appavow Head Sheristadar of Cuddapah

1- Letter from Mr. Walter Elliot on the same subject,

Messrs.

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

2 Messrs. Dalzell and Lacon the late Collectors of this District had the goodness to record their sentiments about me as Nair Shenotadar in the Yamabundy reports and to furnish me with Copies thereof which I beg to submit herewith for your perusal

Mr. Ashton not having had an ample opportunity to express his sentiments about me in the Yamabundy Report he had favored me with a Testimonial which also I beg to forward herewith for your perusal Independant of the above documents there are several other Reports of annual Settlement of Revenue wherein many other Collectors had the goodness to record the high Opinions they had entertained of me

11. The arduous duty I have performed and the best exertions I have made during the late disturbances of Narasimha Reddy being fully manifest to your goodness I need not dwell on the subject at length

12 On the kind recommendation of Mr. Gleig I had the fortune of being appointed as Head Sheristadar on the

10939 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

the 23d November 1847 Subsequently on the 17th June 1843 Mr Arbuthnot had the goodness to recommend to the Board for the augmentation of my Salary from 280 to 437½ which was accordingly sanctioned by the Government in their Extract from the Proceedings dated 15th July 1843—

13. I am given to understand that the Salary of the Head Sheristadar of Canara had been, upon some special causes, sanctioned to be increased from Rupees 350 to 700 Rupees upon the strong recommendation of the late Collector of that District tho' he / Head Sheristadar / had held the appointment only 5 years after the increase of his Salary to Rupees 350.—

14. Under these circumstances as I am fully Confident that the bountiful Government will be pleased to take into their favorable and benign consideration the industry and exertions with which I have rendered my service for upwards of 41 years and extend towards me that indulgence which has been enjoyed by multitude of deserving public

10940 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

public Servants, I beg your goodness will have the Mercy to submit my claims to the Board as a special one for the increase of my Salary from Rupees 437½ to Rupees 700 with your kind recommendation and support and thus further my prospects in view—

Cuddapah
Roychaty
6th July 1848

I beg leave to remain &c
Appoo Row
Head Sheristadar

Extract from a Report from Walter Elliot Esqre 3d Member of the Board of Revenue to Government dated 14th April 1846.—

107. It is due to Appooraw Head Sheristadar of Cuddapah of whose services the Most Noble the Governor in Council was pleased to allow me to avail myself to bring to the notice of Government the high opinion I entertain of his conduct while at the head of my Office— I had no personal acquaintance with

Fort St.e George 31.st July 1848

with Appoorow nor indeed ever saw him till the day he joined me at Guntoor. My selection was guided solely by his high public character and I had no cause to regret my choice. To his patience, temper, and industry under every difficulty and discouragement I attribute much of the success that attended our efforts, and I am bound at the same time to express my sense of the honest and straightforward manner in which he aided in the unpleasant duty of exposing individual delinquency. It will be very gratifying to me if this testimony to his merits shall elicit an expression of the approbation of Government to one of their most deserving servants.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Walter Elliot
3.d Member of the Bd. of Revenue

Fort St.e George 31.st July 1848

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6.th December 1847.

Read the following letters—

From W. Elliot Esq.re 3.d Member of the Board of Revenue / Here enter 16.th April 1846 N.o 123 /

From Ditto Do. / Here enter May 1846 N.o 523 /

Para 51. His Lordship in Council notices with approbation the favorable mention made by the Commissioner of the conduct of Appoorow Head Sheristadar of Cuddapah who was selected for the responsible post of Head of the Commissioner's Native Establishment and resolves that this expression of the sentiments of Government be communicated to him—

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H.b. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

Appoo Row

I have received your letter of

of the 21st instant stating that you had received the Extract of the resolutions of Government disposing of our Guntoor proceedings in which the Governor in Council has placed on record the favorable opinion entertained of your conduct and exertions on that occasion, and I beg to add the expression of my satisfaction that your meritorious behaviour has been so fully and justly acknowledged; for myself I shall ever retain an agreeable recollection of the firmness patience and straightforward manner with which you met and overcame the many difficulties that opposed themselves to a right understanding of the condition of that District. The best proof of the success of our enquiry is in the amount of the collections that have subsequently been realized which last year, were upwards of 11 or nearly 12 Lacs in the permanently settled Villages which had not paid much more than 5 Lacs before

we went there.—

× × ×

Believe me &c.

(Signed) Walter Elliot

To

Aspoc Row.

Head Shirastadar

of Cuddapah District

My Dear Sir,

As I have left your District and have not had an opportunity in the Annual reports of recording any testimonial of my good opinion of your excellent character and valuable services. I have the pleasure of assuring you of the great satisfaction I received from your valuable assistance and advice on all points of public duty while I was employed in the Cuddapah District.

I have no doubt that you will continue to maintain a high character for integrity Zeal and activity in the service of the Government and I shall at all times be happy to hear of your welfare—

I remain &c.a

Kotagherry — 29th October 1844 } / Signed / W. Ashton
late Principal Collector of Sendapa

Appoorow

Your letter dated 4th December last I received some time ago with your valuable paper on the subject of the Police and other matters for which I thank you very much—

The paper I received before was the English translation, but to day I have received from Nursingarow the Maharatta also, I shall have much pleasure in showing the paper to the Gentlemen of the Law Commission who will be glad to see the opinions of one of our first and ablest Officers on such important subjects— I am well in health and I hope you also are well—

I remain with a kind regard and high respect for your Character—

Calcutta 5th June 1839. / Signed / D. Elliot

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

(21-22)

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

Sir,

To the Chief Sect.y to Government } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the 15th in Cons.n 31st July 1848 Collector of Cuddapah forwarding an application from Appoo Row Head Sheristadar of his District for an increase of allowances and recommending that his salary may be raised from Rupees 43 1/2 to 525 per Mensem

From this it will appear that on the 15th June last Appoorow completed the term of service required under the rules sanctioned by Government on the 22d March 1816 to enable him to rank and draw pay as a Head Sheristadar of 15 years standing. He is therefore fully entitled to the increased rate of salary of 150 Pagodas per Mensem which the Board beg may be disbursed to him from the above date—

3. It will also be seen from Appoorow's address to the Collector that he has made a further application that in consideration of his having been on various occasions employed on special services unconnected

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

inconnected with the District of Cuddapah or his ordinary duties he may be allowed at once to draw the higher rate of salary attached to his office or Pagodas 200 per Mensem - The Board of Revenue do not feel called upon to express any opinion upon this part of his request but adverting to his long service (41 years) and high character they resolve to submit it for the consideration of Government -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
31st July 1848

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

23

Sir,

From the Collr. of Cuddapah

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 25th May last, I have the honor to forward plans of the two Choultries adverted to in my former letter shewing the extent and nature of the accommodation allotted to the several classes of Travellers -

† 31st March 1848

Cuddapah Collectors Office on Circuit at Muddenpilly 17th July 1848

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Nos 1 and 2 Plans

Resolution thereon -

From the plans which accompany the foregoing letter it appears that the two Choultries for which Endowments are sought, provide accommodation only for Bramins and Soodras, and not for Mussulmen or the lowest classes of Natives Under these circumstances, the institutions would not seem to be entitled under the recent orders of the Honorable Court to Endowment from Government, unless provision be made for accommodating these classes also -

23-24

I. G. B.

Read

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Read the following letter

To,
T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

25

Sir,

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool -

Para 1. I beg to transmit for the consideration and orders of your Board, an application with its translation from Moongamoor Lutchmeursoo &c. Pantoolu, Head Serishtadar of this District, praying for an increase of his Official allowances on the ground of having been employed as a Head Serishtadar for a period exceeding five years. -

2. I do not find in the records of the Agency any Copy of the rules regarding the Salary of Head Serishtadars and do not therefore permit myself to offer an opinion on the admissibility or otherwise of Mr Lutchmeursoo's request. -

Kurnool, Agents Office, 26th June, 1848 -

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor. -

To. -

No. 1

H. D. Phillips Esqre
Agent to the Governor of
Fort St. George in Kurnool.—

Sir,

You are aware that from the 30th October 1847 the date on which you were pleased to confer upon me the situation of Head Sarishtadar I have been conducting the duties of my office ably and attentively.— I formerly held the office of Head Sarishtadar in Nellore, Rajamundry, and some other places, and the details of my Service are as hereunder Specified—

	years	mo.	D.
Acting Head Sarishtadar in the Principal Collector's Cutcherry of Nellore	1	8	16
Head Sarishtadar in the Collector's Cutcherry of Rajamundry.	1	9	"
Do. in Do. of Guntoor	"	3	"
Sarishtadar in the Commissioner's Cutcherry of the Northern Circars	"	11	10
Head Sarishtadar up to this date in your Cutcherry	"	7	25
	5.	3.	21

Thus I was doing the duties of Head Sarishtadar for above five years and have on several occasions contributed to the advantage of Government. According to the rules laid down

down on the Subject of the Salary of Head Sarishtadars Iam entitled to a Salary of 350 Rupees per Mensem from the date of expiration of the 5th year of my Service, and I therefore beg that you will recommend the increase of my pay to the Board of Revenue and get the full amount Sanctioned—

23d June 1848. Kurnool. } /Signed/ M. Lutchmenursoo
Head Sarishtadar

(True Translation)
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address.—

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.—

(25-26)

To the Chief Secretary to Government. }

Sir,

Iam directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will Submit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool forwarding Copy and translation of an application presented to him by his Head Serishtadar Claiming an increase of allowance on

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

on the Score of having Served in that capacity for a period exceeding five years.—

2 It will be Seen that Lutchmursoo's Service as Ag Head Serishtadar and Head Serishtadar amounts only to 4—4—11 falling below the time required to entitle him to an augmentation of Salary. He however claims to reckon as Service the period (11—12) passed by him as Serishtadar to the Commissioner for the Northern Circars—His claim in this respect is of a nature not provided for by the ordinary rules; but if, adverting to the high testimony borne to his merits by the Commissioner, the Government should see cause to admit it, Lutchmursoo will be entitled to the increased rate of allowance of Rupees 350 per Mensem from the 2d March last— On this point I am directed to solicit the orders of Government.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st July, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft—
Secretary.—

Read

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Read the following letter.

To, T. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

Sir,

(27)

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool.....

Reporting that the Jaghеer of Bamaganpilly was transferred to Nawab Hoossain alikhan on the 12th instant Requesting for reasons stated that the Board will recommend to Government that a Sunnud be forwarded for the Nawab and enclosing a Khareeta for transmission to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor—

dated 15th June 1848.

I have the honor to report that in conformity with the instructions conveyed to me by your Board, I transferred the Jaghеer of Bamaganpilly to Nawab Hoossain alikhan on the Morning of the 12th instant.—

The above instructions having reached me at Kurnool only on Saturday the 24th Ultimo, I lost no time in placing myself in communication with Hoossain alikhan— in view to secure his assent to receive possession of his Jaghеer with the restriction in respect of the future grant of Enams which is entered in para 4 of your Board's proceedings—

3 There was some slight demur on the part of the Nawab in signifying his acceptance of the condition in question and as time pressed, I immediately proceeded to Bamaganpilly in order, by personal Communication to remove the objections made, which appeared to me to result more

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

more from the disappointment of interested hangers on than the Nawabs own disinclination to be bound in the manner proposed.—

4 On the afternoon of the 11th instant the last day of the past July, I received the Nawab's written assent to observe the specified stipulations regarding Enams and to make the several periodical remittances required to complete the liquidation of those debts of the Family for which he has become responsible.—

5 The 12th instant being considered an inauspicious date, I presented to him in open Durbar, on the next day, the Khilut and the letter to his address from His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor, which your Board had transmitted and it affords me pleasure to report that the Nawab has expressed to me his intention of discontinuing the levy of Sayer within the limits of the Banaganpilly Jagheer.—

Despatched on 8th July 1848

6 I received on the 15th instant your Board's proceedings of the previous 6th and experienced no difficulty in procuring the Nawab's consent to renew his acceptance of the condition respecting the

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

the grant of Enams first communicated to him by agreeing to the somewhat modified stipulation entered at the close of para 1. Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 4th instant.—

7 I avail myself of the present occasion to state that the Nawab and his family have felt considerable regret that a Sword did not form part of the Khilat which I was deputed to present to him. As your Board are aware they are direct descendants of a race of Soldiers and number amongst their ancestors men who have earned high distinction in the field. I therefore trust it will not be considered apart from my duty that I take the liberty of earnestly requesting your Board to recommend to the Government that an honorary Sword be now forwarded to me for transmission to Hoosain alikhan, to whom I have promised I will use my best endeavors for securing what, amongst Mussulmen is I believe, invariably regarded as part of a Khilut.—

8. In Conclusion I beg to Communicate the request of the Nawab that the enclosed

10955

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

enclosed Kharita may be transmitted to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor.—

Agents Circuit Cutcherry
Pariem .....
21st July 1848 .....

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Agent to Governor

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(27-28)

Sir,

To Chief Sec.y to Govt. } Para 1.
Letter from the Agent in Kurnool reporting the transfer of the Joghire of Bungapilly to Nabob Hoosain ali Khan and supporting that officers request that an honorary Sword may be forwarded to him for transmission to the Nabob as among Mussulmen it is believed to invariably form part of a Khelat also forwarding a Kharita which the Nawab has requested may be transmitted to His Excellency the Governor—

Para 1.

With reference to Extract Minutes of consultation 22d May 1848 —

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Agent in Kurnool dated the 21st Instant, reporting the transfer of the Jaghere of Bungapilly to Nawab Hoosain ali Khan.—

2

From this it will be perceived that the Jaghere was made over on the 12th Instant, and that on the 13th, which was regarded as an auspicious day the Agent in full Durbar presented to the Jaghiredar the Khelut of investiture and the letter to his address from the Right Honorable the Governor. The Government will

10956

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

will have much gratification in learning that the Nawab has given his acquiesce in writing to the condition required of him respecting the granting of Enam, and that he has expressed his intention of discontinuing the levy of Sayer within the limits of his Jaghire.—

3

From Para 7 of the Agents letter it will be observed that the Nawab and his family have experienced considerable regret that a Sword did not accompany the Khelut lately presented to him. Mr. Phillips remarks that "the family are direct des= "cendants of a race of Solders and number "among their ancestors Men who have earned "high distinction in the field," and he has Solicited that an honorary Sword be forwarded to him for transmission to Hoosain ali Khan to whom he has promised to use his best endeavors for Secur= =ing what among Mussulmen is he believes invariably regarded as forming part of a Khelat. Considering the high character of the Jaghiredar and the readiness

Fort St. George 31th July 1848

readiness with which he has co
met the wishes of Government
on all points connected with his
reinstatement in his Jaghire, the
Board beg respectfully to support the
Agents requests.

I have further to for=
=ward the enclosed Kharita which
the Nabob has requested may be
transmitted to His Excellency
the Right Honorable the
Governor.

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St. George
31th July 1848.....

Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

D.G.

Read

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.—

Read the following letter.—

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

Sir,

From the Collector of Chingleput— } I have the honor to —

forward a further Statement of
Mootahs which have been attached
for arrears of Revenue due for fusly
1257. and request that the Board
will sanction the Establishments
proposed for their Management.—

Chingleput District—
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Ooroor 22d July 1848

Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement—

10957

Fort St. George 31th July 1848

readiness with which he has co
met the wishes of Government
on all points connected with his
reinstatement in his Jaghire, the
Board beg respectfully to support the
Agents requests

4 I have further to for=
= ward the enclosed Kharita which
the Nabob has requested may be
transmitted to His Excellency
the Right Honorable the
Governor.-

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St. George
31st July, 1848.....

Signed/ T. Pycroft

Secretary.

DG.

Read

10958

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

Read the following letter.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

Sir,

From the Collector of Chingleput ---

I have the honor to --
forward a further Statement of
Mootahs which have been attached
for arrears of Revenue due for fasly
1257 and request that the Board
will sanction the Establishments
proposed for their Management.--

Chingleput District --
Collectors' Circuit Cutcherry
Ooroor 22d July 1848

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement-

10959

Fort St. George

Statement of Estates attached in the Zillah of Chingleput for Fusly 1257.— 31st July 1848.—

1 Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	2 Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	3 No. of the Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	4 Permanent Amount of the entire Zemindary or Estate.—	5 Amount of Kist due up to June 1848.—	6 Amount Collected	7 Balance	8 No. of the Villages proposed to be attached.—	9 Amount or estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached.—	10 Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment	11 Percentage of the establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached.—	12 Date of the attachment.— Remarks.—
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P		Rs A P	
1 Vatoor	Singapulla Vencata Soobah	1	320 5 9	320 5 9	284 4 "	36 1 9	1	320 5 9	1 Ameenah and 2 Mooshuruff }	8 " "	
2 Seroovambaukum	Peroomal Reddy	1	228 11 8	228 11 8	200 " "	28 11 8	1	228 11 8			
3 Vaumbanoor	Ammyummal (living) and Ramasawmy Moodely died	3	821 12 10	821 12 10	719 " "	102 12 10	3	821 12 10	1 Peon — — —	3 " "	
									Sanderward	" 8 "	
		5	1,370 14 3	1,370 14 3	1,203 4 "	167 10 3	5	1,370 14 3		11 8 "	" 135 17th July 1848
4 Pondoor	Y. Aromachella Moodelly	15	3,693 9 10	3,693 9 10	3,085 " "	608 9 10	15	3,693 9 10	1 Ameenah	10 8 "	
									1 Mooshuruff	8 " "	
									1 Peon — —	3 " "	
									Sanderward —	1 " "	
										22 8 "	" 99 Ds. Ds.
5 Cheyoor	C. Comarasamy Moodelly	7	1,689 9 10	1,689 9 10	1,105 8 9	584 1 1	7	1,689 9 10	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff }	8 " "	
6 Paniyoor	S. Auroonooga Moodely	1	244 5 1	244 5 1	214 " "	30 5 1	1	244 5 1	1 Tarufdar —	5 " "	
									1 Peon — — —	3 " "	
									Sanderward —	" 8 "	
		8	1,933 14 11	1,933 14 11	1,319 8 9	614 6 2	8	1,933 14 11		16 8 "	" 138 Ds. Ds.

10960

Fort St.e George

31.st July 1848.—

	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate		Amount of Rent due upto June 1848		Amount Collected		No. of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment		Percentage of the establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate to be attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
	1	2	3	4		5		6		7	8	9	10		11	12	
				Rs	AP	Rs	AP	Rs	AP		Rs AP						
7	Thoryoor	Ramaswamy Naik Pedda Iyaloo Naik and Chinna Iyaloo Naik	1	147	11	147	11	129	18 11	1	147 11	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff	7				
8	Seroomyloor	Kristna Iyengar and Pareatumby Moodely	1	446	14 5	446	14 5	391	55 14 5	1	446 14 5	1 Peon	3				
9	Settoor	Narrayana Naik and M. Moottoosawmy Naik	1	165	9 4	165	9 4	128	37 9 4	1	165 9 4						
10	Thoondum Vellary	T. Ramasawmy Naik Pedda Iyaloo Naik and Chinna Iyaloo Naik	1	117	3 6	117	3 6	102	15 3 6	1	117 3 6						
11	Maumbaukum	Soobba Reddy and Sawmy Reddy	1	205	10 10	205	10 10	153	15 51 11 10	1	205 10 10						
12	Culput	Jaloo Naik and Varathappa Naik	5	1,082	7	1,082	7	903	15 178 8	5	1,082 7		10		14 9	17.th July 1848	
13	Coomooly	Auroomooga Moodely	1	121	3 3	121	3 3	80	41 3 3	1	121 3 3						
14	Nochoombul	Moottoosamy Naik and Serarama Gavoondun	1	102	1 7	102	1 7	78	24 1 7	1	102 1 7	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff	7				
15	Pereacalacandy	T. Runyapa Reddy and N.a Venkatasawn Naik	1	245	15 8	245	15 8	220	14 7 25 1 1	1	245 15 8	1 Peon	3				
16	Seromagur	Naranappa Naik and Vaddagherry Moodely	1	357	7 10	357	7 10	313	44 7 10	1	357 7 10						
17	Colluttanellore	Veerasawmy Naikand Soobba Naik	1	130	1 7	130	1 7	89	2 41 1 5	1	130 1 7						
			1	131	15 1	131	15 1	116	15 15 1	1	131 15 1						
			6	1,088	13	1,088	13	896	149 191 14 3	6	1,088 13		10		14 8	Do.—	Do.—

10961

Fort St. George

31st July 1848.—

	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to June 1848.—	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.—	Percentage of the establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
				Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P						
18	Attanttum	C. Tondavaroya Moodelly	1	108 12 3	108 12 3	95 . .	13 12 3	1	108 12 3	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff — 8 . .			
19	Paundoor	Do.	1	1024 7 7	1024 7 7	834 . .	190 7 7	1	1024 7 7	1 Tarufdar — 5 . .			
20	Agarum	Do.	1	80 . 4	80 . 4	68 12 10	11 3 6	1	80 . 4	2 Peons — 6 . .			
21	Eachengaranay	C. Ramalinga Moodely	1	157 10 2	157 10 2	137 . .	20 10 2	1	157 10 2	Sanderward — 1 . .			
22	Bommaraja pooram	Sengiengar Sashadry Iyengar and Rayava Charry	1	409 8 1	409 8 1	364 . 1	45 8 . .	1	409 8 1				
23	Eroombalacherry	C. Tondavaroya Moodelly	1	110 6 7	110 6 7	97 . .	13 6 7	1	110 6 7				
24	Eeromaura poukum	C. Aaroomoya Moodely	1	102 . 5	102 . 5	90 . .	12 . 5	1	102 . 5				
			7	1992 13 5	1992 13 5	1685 12 11	307 . 6	7	1992 13 5	20 . .	1 . .	17th July 1848	
25	Vittapooram	Ganaputy Moodelly	21	3825 13 4	3825 13 4	3199 . .	626 13 4	21	3825 13 4	1 Ameenah 10 8 . .			
26	Coonattoor	Ramanattan / died /	1	502 . 6	502 . 6	413 13 3	88 3 3	1	502 . 6	1 Mooshuruff 7 . .			
										1 Tarufdar 5 . .			
			22	4327 13 10	4327 13 10	3612 13 3	715 . 7	22	4327 13 10	2 Peons 6 . .			
										Sanderward — . 8 . .			
										29 . .		10 8 Ds — Ds	
27	Neroomboor	Streenevasa Moodelly	11	2678 13 11	2678 13 11	2364 . .	314 13 11	11	2678 13 11	1 Ameenah 8 . . .			
										1 Mooshuruff 6 . . .			
										2 Peons 6 . . .			
										Sanderward . . 8 . .			
										20 8 .		12 3 Ds — Ds	
28	Thuttambutt	Seenya Reddy	2	271 12 6	271 12 6	232 8 . .	39 4 6	2	271 12 6				
29	Nakoonnapat	Vencatarama and Abboo reddy	1	504 8 3	504 8 3	435 2 7	69 5 8	1	504 8 3	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff 8 . .			
										1 Peon 3 . .			
30	Thondamonellore	Rama Reddy	1	174 14 11	174 14 11	151 7 3	23 7 8	1	174 14 11	Sanderward — . . 8 . .			
31	Coluttoor	Ramanjooloo Reddy deed	2	109 11 7	109 11 7	85 11 5	24 . 2	2	109 11 7				
			6	1060 15 3	1060 15 3	904 13 3	156 2 .	6	1060 15 3	11 8 .	1	14 Ds — Ds	

10962

Fort St. George

31st July 1848.—

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jumma of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due upto June 1848	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Proportion of the establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached	Date of the attachment = ment Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs ᴀᴘ	Rs ᴀᴘ	Rs ᴀᴘ	Rs ᴀᴘ		Rs ᴀᴘ		Rs ᴀᴘ	
32 Cotoor	M. Pattipa Naiken J. Pattipa Naiken and Veerabuddra Pillay	3	1,926 2 5	1,926 2 5	1,685 " "	241 2 5	3	1,926 2 5	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff 1 Peon Sanderward	10 " " 3 " " " 8 "	" 11 3 17th July 1848
Thandlum	S. Venkatakistna Reddy	10	2,388 11 11	2,388 11 11	1,744 12 "	644 2 11	10	2,388 11 11	1 Ameenah — 1 Mooshuruff — 1 Peon — Sanderward	8 " " 6 " " 3 " " " 8 "	
Chembrumbaukum	S. Casawooloo Reddy	1	604 15 11	604 15 11	528 5 3	76 10 8	1	604 15 11			
		11	2,993 14 10	2993 14 11	2,273 13 7	720 13 7	11	2,993 14 10		17 8 "	" 94 Dz Ds
35 Manttoor	Munnaroo Naik and Soobba Naik	2	2,326 14 10	2,326 14 11	1,877 8 "	449 6 10	2	2,326 14 "	1 Ameenah 1 Mooshuruff 1 Peon — Sanderward	8 " " 6 " " 3 " " " 8 "	
										17 8 "	" 12 Dz Ds
36 Muppaidoo	T.B. Narasinga Row	2	3,180 10 2	3,180 10 2	2224 " "	956 10 2	2	3,180 10 2	1 Ameenah — 1 Mooshuruff 1 Peon — Sanderward	10 " " 7 " " 3 " " " 8 "	
										208 "	" 104 Dz Ds
37 Malayarum	T.T.C. Soondara Chetty	1	195 1 "	195 1 "	176 9 "	18 8 "	1	195 1 "	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff	7 " "	
38 Cauckaloor	M. Cundapa Chitty	1	965 3 7	965 3 7	912 " "	53 3 7	1	965 3 7	1 Tarufdar —	5 " "	
39 Chuttry	Venkatanarrarayaningar and Sambasiva Moodely and Sabapathy Moodely (died)	1	1,197 2 1	1,197 2 1	1040 " "	157 2 1	1	1,197 2 1	1 Peon — Sanderward	3 " " " 8 "	
		3	2,357 6 8	2,357 6 8	2128 9 "	228 13 8	3	2357 6 8		15 8 "	" 10 6 Dz Ds

10963

Fort St. George

31st July 1848.—

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.—	Permanent Jumma of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to June 1848.	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.—		Percentage of the establishment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached.—	Date of the attachment	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12	
			Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P		Rs As P		Rs As P			
40 Vialoor	T. Paria Ramachendra Row (died)	2	1,085 5 1	1,085 5 1	766 10 6	318 10 7	2	1,085 5 1	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff	8 . .			
41 Beemapooram	T. Ramakistna Pundit	1	102 9 6	102 9 6	87 4 .	15 5 6	1	102 9 6	1 Tarafdar	5 . .			
42 Cottyoor	T. Veerarayva Row	1	840 3 5	840 3 5	612 . .	228 3 5	1	840 3 5	1 Peon	3 . .			
									Sanderward	. 8 .			
		4	2,028 2 .	2,028 2 .	1,465 14 6	562 3 6	4	2,028 2 .		16 8 .	. 13 .	17th July 1848	
43 Sittoocandoo	M. Pole Naik	4	1,088 11 4	1,088 11 4	1810 . .	78 11 4	4	1,088 11 4	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff	8 . .			
44 Coruttoor	T. Ponnattummaul	1	327 12 5	327 12 5	277 2 11	50 9 6	1	327 12 5	1 Peon	3 . .			
									Sanderward	. 8 .			
		5	1,416 7 9	1,416 7 9	1287 2 11	129 4 10	5	1,416 7 9		11 8 .	. 13 .	Do.	
45 Teroomanum	O. Venkiah	4	1,285 9 .	1,285 9 .	1,013 . 8	272 8 4	4	1,285 9 .	1 Ameenah and Mooshuruff	8 . .			
									1 Peon	3 . .			
									Sanderward	. 8 .			
										11 8 .	. 14 3	Do.	
46 Parambaukum	Moonalany Mooftey Syed Mahomed Abdul Vadoodeen Nakavee Saib and Abdul Ayash Goolam yagayen Nakvee Saib	1	2,988 5 3	2,988 5 3	1,736 3 3	1,252 2 .	1	2,988 5 3	1 Ameenah	10 . .			
									1 Mooshuruff	7 . .			
									1 Tarafdar	5 . .			
									2 Peons	6 . .			
47 Matam Cooppum	Moovaly Mooftey Syed Mahomed Abdul Vadoodeen Nakavee Saib	2	421 15 1	421 15 1	291 14 11	130 . 2	2	421 15 1	Sanderward	. 8 .			
		3	3,410 4 4	3,410 4 4	2028 2 2	1382 2 2	3	3,410 4 4		28 8 .	. 13 4	Do.	

1964

Fort St. George

31st July 1848.—

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate	Amount of Kist due up to June 1848.	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or estimated annual value of the proportion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment		Percentage of the collection = ment to the estimated annual value of the portion of the estate attached.—	Date of the attachment & Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12
				Rs ᴀ P	Rs ᴀ P	Rs ᴀ P	Rs ᴀ P		Rs ᴀ P		Rs ᴀ P		
48	Sengee -----	Rayaveingar ---	1	1027 2 1	1027 2 1	898 " "	129 2 1	1	1027 2 1	1 Ameenah and Mooharuff —	7 " "		
										1 Peon	3 " "		
										Sunderward	" 8 "		
											10 8 "	1 - 4	17th July 1848
49	Pandianelloor	V. Motta Pillay-	2	600 2 8	600 2 8	488 14 "	111 48 2		600 2 8	The balance on these Mootahs being small they have been placed under the Superintendence of the Ameenahs employed for the Mootahs of Sotoo = peroombudoo and Pattammungy respectively which were attached and entered in the Statement dated 22d May 1848.—			
50	Canjevaryel --	Seelummall -----	1	226.146	226 14 6	156 " "	70 146 1		226 146				
			3	827 1 2	827 1 2	644 14 "	182 3 2	3	827 1 2				
51	Mambalum -	Venkatrayava Reddy-	4	2233 4 7	2233 4 7	1235 11 7	997 9 "	4	2233 4 7	1 Ameenah and Mooharuff —	37 " "		
										1 Peon —	3 " "		
										Sunderward —	" 8 "		
											10 8 "	" 7 10	Do.
	Total		130	44243 3 3	44243 3 3	34737 2 "	9506 13	130	44243 3 3		325 " "		
	Chingleput District — Collector's Circuit Cutcherry — Oroor 22d July 1848 —			E. E.		Do.				/Signed/ A. Freese Collector			

1965 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.—

(29-30)

Resolution thereon.— The Board of Revenue sanction the Employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of 325 Rupees per Mensem for the management of the further Estates in Chingleput attached for arrears of Revenue.—

Read—

1966 Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(31)

Sir,

From the Collr. of Salem ——— I have the honor to transmit an Extract from the Requisite of the accounts for Fasly 1254, and to request you will be obtain the sanction of Government for Rupees 252—4 objected to by the Civil Auditor, and with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin I have also to request you will obtain the early sanction of Govern-ment for the sums exhibited in the Statements for the same Fasly A & B which accompanied my letter of the 17th September 1846, as the Civil auditor declines to pass the Charges incurred on account of Pagodas and Mosque allowances, and other Charitable allowances for Fasly 1252, and the subsequent ones, for the want of Sanction—

From Collector dated 12th June 1845

To do dated 9th Octr. 1845

From do dated 17th Sept. 1846

Salem Collrs Cutcherry 15th June 1848.—

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

Extract

10967 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Extract from the Readit of the Amounts of the Collector of Salem, from the 12th July 1844 to the 11th July 1845, on account of Expences for Fusly 1254 - A.D. 1844/45

No.	Charges objected to.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor.	Collector's explanation	Orders of Government.
	Refunds of Revenue Charges.			
8	Amount paid to Nunjundiah of Samrutty in the Deukencotta Taloob on account of Ellaramunt as per Sanction of Government dated 11th Jany 1845	76 3 6	The Collector is requested to state the grounds on which the sanction of Government for the amount attached to No. 8 was requested.	The special sanction of Government for the refund of these charges was not requested.
	Refunds of Sayer Revenue.			
9	Amount repaid being duty levied on certain articles as per sanction of Government dated 30th Decr. 1844	113 1 10		
10	Amount repaid being duty levied on certain articles as per sanction of Government dated 30th Decr. 1844 and 8th March 1845	72 2 1		

Do.

(A True Extract)
(Signed) A. F. Bruce
Civil Auditor

(Signed) W. C. Lee Harb
Coll.r

The

10968 (31-32)

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Proceedings Submitting letter from the Collector of Salem with Extract from a Retrenchment in his Accounts for Fusly 1254 with the Board's observations on each item of Charges.

The Retrenchment would appear thereon to consist of three Items.

No. 1 Refunds of Revenue Charges Rupees 76-3-6 From Coll.r 20th Inst.o on Cash 12th Decr. 1844 To Govt. 12th Decr. 1844 From Do. 11th on Cash 16th January 1845

2 From the Correspondence noted in the Margin the Board observe that the amount sanctioned to be paid from Fusly 1252 to Nunjoondiah is Rupees 42-11-1 being the Dusamundum remission of 1/4th of the Assessment leviable on the extent of land cultivated from the Channel of the Anicut erected by him across the Southern Coomara river near the village of Chinnapetty in the Taloob of Deukencotta - so much of this charge appears admissible and the Collector is requested to afford further explanation on the remaining sums of Rupees 33-8-5.

No. 2 Refunds of Sayer Revenue Rs. 113-1-10.

3 This Charge would appear to consist of sums refunded to parties on goods on which duty had been levied in the Salem district, but for which Certificates had afterwards been obtained from the Collector of Sea Customs at Madras to pass them free, and is Recommended

Recommended by the Board for the sanction of Government with reference to the papers noted in the Margin-

From Collr 19th June in Cons. 5th Decr 1844-
Proceedings 5th Decr 1844
From Govt 30th Decr 1844 in Cons. 6th Jany 1845

4 This charge appears similar to Nos 2 and 3 portion thereof rupees 62-11- having been incurred under the same authority as the above is recommended by the Board with reference thereto- The remaining sum of Rupees 9-7-1 is recommended by the Board for the sanction of Government with reference to the papers noted in the Margin-

Nos 3 Refunds of Sayer Revenue Rs 72-2-1.

From Collr 30th January in Cons. 20th Feby 1845
Proc. 20th Feby 1845
From Govt 8th in Cons. 10th March 1845-

5 Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings be submitted to Government and that Copy of the same be furnished to the Collector for his information and guidance and that he be informed that the requisition contained in the concluding part of his letter recorded at the head of these proceedings has been met with attention-

Read the following letter

To

To J. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George-

(33)

Sir,

From the Collr of South Arcot- } With reference to the Extract
18th January 1842- } from the Minutes of Consultation I have
the honor to request you will have the
goodness to obtain the Sanction of Govern-
ment for the payment of the Yeomiah
allowance as specified in the accompanying
Statement, amounting to Company's
Rupees 19-14-9-

South arcot,
Collrs Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
26th June, 1848.-

I have &c
(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Statement

10971 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Yeamiadars in the District of South Arcot.

Number of Certificate	Name of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension Rs. per Annum	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which the arrears are due:	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of Payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.			
48	Goolam Mustapha	3 3 "	2d June 1826	Hereditary	From 12th January 1817 to 11th April 1848	3 15 9	The Statement of the first Yeamiadar that he was ignorant of the rules requiring the attendance of the Pensioners to draw their allowance within 6 Months from the date of the Commencement of arrears, and that of the 2d Yeamiadar that he was prevented by indisposition from attending to draw his allowance being verified by the report of the Tahsildar, the Collector begs the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government both for the payment of the arrears as well as for the continuance of the allowance: the parties have been duly cautioned to draw their allowances regularly in future.		
94	Abdul Leander	12 12 "	2d October 1806	Ditto	From Do. to Do.	15 15 "			
	Total Company's Rupees					19 14 9			

South Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Cuddalore 26th June, 1848. (Signed) W. D. Davis Coll.

Resolved

10972 Fort St. George 31st July 1848

(33-34)

Proceedings thereon- Resolved that the foregoing letter and the Statement which accompanies it be submitted to Government, with the Board's Recommendation, that under the explanation of the Collector, sanction be granted for payment of the arrears due to Goolam Mustapha and Abdool Cauder, amounting to Rupees 3-15-9 and 15-5 respectively, and for continuance of the allow-ances.-

The Board observe that the Yeomiah of Abdool Cauder

O.G. was

10973 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

was continued to him under the orders of Government dated 11th

In Cons 10th Augt 1846 July 1846 para 1- Case No. 300 Mr.

David will be requested for the future to quote the last order of Government under which al-lowances are paid instead of referring to the general sanction of 1806.-

Read the following.

Annual.

10974 (35) Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

Annual Return of Grants of waste lands for the =dation of travellers in the Province of

Support of Chuttrums built by Individuals for accommo: Madura and Dindigul for fasly 1257 A.D. 1847/1848.

Names of Talooks	Names of Villages where Choultry is Situated.-	By whom built	Quantity of land granted for its support- In Nunjah	In Nunjah		Value of land granted for its Support	Date of Authority for grants	Remarks-
			Cawnies	Coontas	as	Rs a p		
Madacolam	Ain Papayoody 4 Miles south of Ma: =dura Fort Road No. 16 from Madura to Rennad Via Therocholi.....	Ramasawmiah former Head Sheristadar of Madura	17 3/4	"	"	60 " "	9th July 1829	A terraced substantial building. Food is furnished to Bramins and Conjee water to Soodras. It has private funds meeting the expense exceeding the fixed amount granted by Government.-
	Thainoor on Road No. 5 from Ram: =nad thro' Madura to Dindigul 9 Miles north of Madura called also Duffadar's Choultry or Therovanelloor Choultry from the hamlet in which it is	Ibrahim Ali	3 Its value 19-1-5	51 Its value 40-14-7	3/4	60 " "	Ditto	Small terraced building where water is supplied to travellers useful only for Carts and bullock men.-
	Cushab Madura on the south bank of the Vighey river on = Road No. 4.....	Shoolaja Rama Row	63/4 Its value 39-9-3	36 Its value 20-6-9	15	60 " "	30th Dec. 1844	A handsome and very useful terraced building barely completed in the lower story and requiring the erection of upper rooms towards it's perfection-
Maylore	Chittumpatty on Road No. 4 from Trichinopoly thro' Madura to Palam: =cotay 9 Miles North east of = Madura.....	Gavoo Khan Appoo Rao	13-13 1/2 Its value 54-5-3	6 Its value 5-10-9	11	60 " "	8th July 1836 21st Dec. 1840	A terraced substantial building. Food fur: -nished from the private funds of the owner- It still wants a Cooking room - an outer building for lower Caste as also a well or tank of good water have been added since last years report
Tadicombao	Vailamputty hamlet of Yamookalapoo: =ram on Road No. 13 from Tondy by Nattom to Dindigul and Caroor 10 Miles South East of Dindigul.....	Ramloon	"	49	2/4	40 " "	8th July 1836	Terraced - water supplied to Travellers - Present state not yet reported on by Sub Collector
	Nattomputty on the said road No. 13 and between Dindigul and Caroor being 20 Miles north of Dindigul..	Arunachellampulley Pullia panaickwar	"	63	9	49 15 8	28th July 1837	Do Do
Shenkurray	Alagaposy hamlet of Davadanaputty on Road No. 18 from Madura to Periacolum and about 7 Miles North by east from that Cushab.....	Terovatoor annajee Rao	8-8/4	"	"	49 15 "	Do	A good terraced building Do Do but Still incomplete-

Names of Taloos	Names of Villages where Choultry is Situated	By whom built	Quantity of land granted for its support: In Nunjah Cawnies	In Nunjah Cawnies	Value of land granted for its support: Rs.	a.	p.	Date of authority for grants	Remarks.
	Putchamalaycotay on Road No. 17 from Madura Via Cunnevady to Pulney about 8 Miles South east of Cunnevady and about 7, South west of Dindigul.	Tl. Kamapillay	"	44	12	40	"	"	30th May 1834	Terraced building. Insufficient in size and accommodation. Requires a Compound wall. Present state not reported by Sub Collector.-
Nellacotay	Pul Kinooth at the junction of road No. 17 from Madura Via Cunnevady to Palney with No. 2 from Trichinopoly Via Dindigul and Pulney to Palghat and 14 Miles North west of Dindigul.	Ovendee ammaul &c. Wife of the Ayagoody zemindar Dy. Sh. Van Zeet Row and other subscribers	"	52	1	60	"	"	29th June 1832	The Manium land of Oopalipatty Chuttrum has been transferred from default to this excellent substantial terraced building at the better point of the same road much frequented by travellers. Vide Remarks Oopilipatty on the 17th July 1840. It is still incomplete wanting Varandahs on three sides but there is still some money and materials on hand-
Iyampully	Chuttrapatty on Road No. 2 from Trichinopoly to Palghat after junction of Road No. 17 from Madura Via Pulney to Palghat It is 24 Miles west and by North of Dindigul and 22 Miles east and by South of Voylor Via Pulney.	Moottoosawmy Naick Mootadar	"	62	6½	60	"	"	14th Dec. 1841	Terraced- Useful to travellers- present state not reported by the Sub Collector.-
	Valoor hamlet of Poopathoor on above road No. 2 after junction of above road No. 17. It is 11 Miles east and by South of Oodamalaicotay.	Ayacoody zemindar Comara Condapa Naick	"	125	9¼	60	"	"	23 Nov. 1839	A Substancial terraced building water supplied to travellers and very useful building by the Ayagoody zemindar Present state not yet reported by Sub Collector.-
	Coothampoondy on road No. 1 from Trichinopoly via Dindigul to Dharapooram and Palghat It is 12 Miles east and by South of Dharapooram and 34 Miles North half west from Dindigul.	Collama Naick of Nayakarenputty	"	141	6¾	60	"	"	28th Feb. 1843	A Substantial terraced building built by Coollama Naick of Pulney aided by a Small Subscription. Present state not yet reported by Sub Collector -
Ramapharry	Maravanoor on road No. 1 and 2 from Trichinopoly by Dindigul to Dharapooram from Trichinopoly by Pulney to Palghat It is 20 Miles North west of Trichinopoly and 16 Miles North east of Vyrumpultty.	Nullanaikam Dancing woman	10-10½ Rs. value 48-11-4	14 Rs. value 11-4-8	10¾	60	"	"	19th May 1831	Substantial terraced building- water supplied to travellers- very useful.-
	Vyrumpultty Do. D. It is 16 Miles South west of Marcoanoor and 25 Miles North east of Dindigul	Shabash Khan Jemadar Subadar of Trichinopoly	"	87	2½	59	15	10	6th May 1830-	Terraced- Unfit for accommodation of respectable travellers, delapidated- Bullochmen and poor Merchants only halt in it.-
	Covilputty on road No. 24 from Trichinopoly thro Madras to Palamcotay is 30 Miles South west of Trichinopoly Fort and 12 Miles North east of Thovarincoorchy the next stage with private Chuttrums &c. towards Palamcotay.	Subscription	10-13-¾ Rs. value 39-2-11	30 Rs. value 20-13-1	15¾	60	"	"	30th June 1835.	Substantial terraced useful building- water supplied to travellers- Much of the funds formerly appropriated from Theroomangalum to Theroopareingoondum Chuttrum have been transferred & incorporated with this- Outer Verandahs for the lower Castes have been added during the year 1845 to it & greatly increased accommodation.-
		Total	70-2¼ Rs. value 310-13-2	766-6¼ Rs. value 529-1-4		839	14	6		

Madura, 11th July 1848- E.E. Jr. (signed) R. D. Parker Coll.r

Ordered

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

35-36

Order thereon- Ordered to be recorded.-

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-
Fort St. George.-

37.

From the Collr of Madura -

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of one Copy of Mr. W. Grant's Tables for determining time, transmitted with the Board's Proceeding of the 13th Instant.-

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Madura Thiroomungalam 26th July 1848.-----

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

37-38

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following letters.-

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

39

From the Prin. Collr of Coimbatore

Forwards for the consideration and decision of the Board Copy of letter from Lt. Col. Lawe and of a correspondence relative to the payment of quit rent on certain land at Potacamand-

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward for the consideration and decision of the Board the accompanying Copy of letter from Lieutenant Colonel A. Lawe of the Engineers relative to the payment of quite rent on certain land at Potacamond.-

2. A reference to the records of

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

of the retransferred portion of the Neilgherries shews that an application was received from that Gentleman for the occupancy of ground within the Cantonment of Potacamand on the 9th August 1841. with reference to which, the Collector of Malabar furnished Colonel Lawe with a form in which the said application was to be drawn up and at the same time pointed out that it should be accompanied by a plan the formal document was received in May 1842 and forwarded to the Collector and Register of Government Grants in the month of August following. Subsequently Colonel Lawe having transferred the Property to Mr. Groves, a lease was issued in the name of the latter Gentleman by whom the quite rent is paid from 1843.-

3. The question which requires to be disposed of by the Board is whether quit rent is leviable from the date of application Viz. 9th August 1841 to 31st December 1842 or otherwise, it appearing from Colonel Lawe's Communication that the house on the ground alluded to was completed about the

the latter period.-

4. With adverteence to the Register of quit rent received from Malabar, I find that Colonel Lawe has been charged from 9th August to 31st December 1841 for 39 Cawnies 2 Grounds, and 900 Square feet this demand was continued in my Office up to the month of April 1842 inclusive and from the 1st of May to 31st December 1842, 18 Cawnies 15 Grounds, and 1008 Square feet are entered; Colonel Lawe having applied only for that extent.-

5. I am unable to gather upon what grounds, the amount of quit rent was charged by the Malabar Officials from the date of application but it is possible that Colonel Lawe may have Commenced enclosing the land from that period, as that Gentleman himself admits that he began to do so in September 1841.-

6. Referring to Colonel Lawe's remarks that Mr. Conolly assisted him Officially "Stating that he still "Considered the ground public Property and

"and at the same time authorized Lieut. "Barton in Charge of roads to dig up the "Compound to obtain gravel to cover the "Public Roads &c." I beg leave to enclose Copy of that Communication. It appears that when this letter was written, the House was under Construction, and that it refers to the ground in front of the House and not the whole Compound. this ground in my opinion being probably the extent forming the difference between the quantity Originally charged, and that subsequently applied for.-

7. Should the question touching the levy of quit rent be determined in the affirmative, due attention will be paid to the distribution of the Amount between Colonel Lawe and Mr. Groves.-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Collector.-

Coimbatore, July 10th, 1848.

No. 1.-

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your

the latter period.-

4. With advertence to the Register of quit rent received from Malabar, I find that Colonel Lawe has been charged from 9th August to 31st December 1841 for 39 Cawnies 2 Grounds, and 900 Square feet this demand was continued in my Office up to the month of April 1842 inclusive and from the 1st of May to 31st December 1842, 18 Cawnies 15 Grounds, and 1008 Square feet are entered; Colonel Lawe having applied only for that extent.-

5. I am unable to gather upon what grounds, the amount of quit rent was charged by the Malabar Officials from the date of application but it is possible that Colonel Lawe may have Commenced enclosing the land from that period, as that Gentleman himself admits that he began to do so in September 1841.-

6. Referring to Colonel Lawe's remarks that Mr. Conolly addressed him Officially "stating that he still "Considered the ground public Property and

"and at the same time authorized Lieut. "Burton in Charge of roads to dig up the "Compound to obtain gravel to cover the "Public Roads &c." I beg leave to enclose Copy of that Communication. It appears that when this letter was written, the House was under Construction, and that it refers to the ground in front of the House and not the whole Compound. this ground in my opinion being probably the extent forming the difference between the quantity Originally charged, and that subsequently applied for.-

7. Should the question touching the levy of quit rent be determined in the affirmative, due attention will be paid to the distribution of the Amount between Colonel Lawe and Mr. Groves.-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Collector.-

Coimbatore,
July 10th, 1848.

No. 1.-

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

. . .

your letter of the 2d May forwarding to me a Bill for quit Rent Amounting to Rupees 47. 9. 7. for a Compound at Ootacamund, I have the honor to inform you that I am ready to pay into the Treasury here or to any one you may appoint to receive it whatever money is due by me for ground at Ootacamund- But as I made over the said ground in June 1842 to the present incumbent he is the person Chargeable for the rent from June to December 1842.-

I beg further to state that as the ground in question did not finally come into my hands until the end of 1842 about which time the Government Grant making it over to me is dated it seems to me but reasonable that the rent should not be charged until the date of that Grant, and I request that you will submit the matter for the decision of the Revenue Board- For whose information I beg to submit the following Circumstances.

I applied for permission to enclose ground in August 1841- and began to enclose it about September 1841, but did not complete any enclosure in consequence of

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

of the Tadawars saying I had no right to the ground, I accordingly waited until Mr. Conolly Collector came up to the Hills, and decided by a personal examination that the ground was within the limits of the Cantonment and therefore that I might enclose it.- I therefore completed the enclosure by the end of February 1842 (as can be ascertained by a reference to the Register in the Collector's Office at Madras) and had scarcely done so when Mr. Conolly Collector of Malabar addressed me Officially stating that he still considered the ground public property and at the same time authorized Lieutenant Burton in charge of roads, to dig up the Compound to obtain gravel to cover the Public Roads- which he continued to do during April and May 1842.- I then applied to Government for a Grant for the land which grant is dated by Government the end of 1842 by which time the building of the House on the ground was completed a reference to the Public Regester in the Collector's Office at Madras will shew

shew the date of the grant and Mr. Conolly Collector of Malabar will I have no doubt give every information in corroboration of this statement.-

Madras ... }
15th July 1845. }

(Signed) A. Lawe

N. B. My former letter in reply to your Communication of 19th Septe: tember has as yet not been re: plied to.-

(Signed) A. L.

A true Copy.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

No. 2.-

To

The Collector of
Malabar.-

Sir,

I have the honor to request permission to Enclose the piece of ground situated within the Canton: ment of Ootacamand and adjoining the Western boundary of Southdames, bounded on the North by the high road running round the Lake, on the South by the Nullah which

which forms part of the Southern Boundary of the Cantonment on the Western extremity of the ground in question. I pro: pose running a ditch beginning at the main Road three hundred and fifty yards from Southdames western gate and running across to join the before mentioned Nullah.

Ootacamund, }
9th August, 1841 }

(Signed) A. Lawe Major
Engineers.-

No. 3

To, Major A. Lawe
Ootacamund.-

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 9th Instant I have the honor to enclose a form of application for grounds on the Neilgherries. which after being filled up should be accompanied by a Plan with a Scale of measurement attached.-

Calicut, ... }
12th August 1841. }

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 4

To, Major Lawe

Sir, The Superintendent of Roads has brought

brought to my notice the necessity of reserving a small portion of the Hill in front of your new house and immediately adjoining the main road with the view of digging gravel from it for the Public thoroughfares I must decline therefore permitting you to appropriate this ground tho' I will take care no damage is done to your pro=perty by confining the reserved portions con=siderably below the brow of the Hill and di=recting that when gravel is taken the excavations made be duly filled up.-

Dotacamund, 9th May 1842.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

No 5

Extract of a letter from the Collector of Malabar to Captain Burton Superintendent of Roads, dated the 20th September 1842.-

"Has the gravel land in Major Lawe's Compound been reserved I wrote pub=licly to and to tell him it must be as you may remember"

(True Copies)
(Signed) I. C. Wroughton.
Principal Collector.

39-49

Resolution thereon.- The Board of Revenue are of opinion that the demand for quit rent upon

upon the land taken up by Colonel Lawe at Dotacamund should be made from the date on which the Collector of Malabar, as stated in Para 2 of Colonel Lawe's letter, after a per=sonal examination of the ground, decided that it might be enclosed; and that the charge should be limitted to the extent e= actually occupied; exclusive of that part of the land originally applied for, which was af=terwards reserved for public purposes as a gravel pit.-

To,
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

41

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore.

Sir.

Adverting to para 5 of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 15th Instant, I have the honor to state for their information that the dispute regarding the watercourse alluded to, between General Gibson and Captain Ouchterlony has been amicably adjusted.-

Coimbatore, 20th July 1848.

(Signed) I. C. Wroughton
Princl Collr.
Under

10986 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

(41-42)

Resolution thereon.- Under the report above received from the Principal Collector no further measures seem called for in respect to the water course supply:=ing the grounds of General Gibson and Captain Ouchterlony at Kotagher:=ry: It is desirable however that if he has not already done so, Mr. Wroughton should ascertain from those Gentlemen the conditions of the adjustment effected between them and that the terms of their agreement should be recorded in his Office for reference, in the event of any differ:=ence hereafter arising upon the question.-

Read

10987 Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Read the following letter

To, J. Tyroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(43)

From the Coll.r of Madura — Requesting under circumstances as stated that the Sheristadar attached to the Sub Collector of this District may be permitted to draw the same Salary as is enjoyed by the Military Government Servants in other Provinces Rpees 175 Rupees per Mensem.-

Sir,

Para 1 The pay of the Seristadar attached to the Sub Collector of Malabar was originally fixed at 100 Rupees a month. In 1842 under my strong representation of the inadequacy of this Salary, an increase was made to it of 32 Rupees per Mensem, which however was deduct:=ed from the pay of the Cavye Tahsildar-

2 The Government, on their attention bei:=ng attracted to the subject, disapproved of the reduction of the Tahsildar's pay. They observed that they saw no reason why the Seristadar of Malabar should have less pay than Serista:=dars in other Provinces, and that they would move the Supreme Government to increase it. The motion was accordingly made, but the affirmati:=ve sanction which was received merely advanced the pay to 132 (the amount to which, that rais:=ed it by the process above alluded to) and not to 175. the Salary of all other Sub Collectors' Seristadars except that of Malabar and to which, as it seems clear from what is written above,

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

above the Madras Government had the intention of raising it. Some confusion it is probable arose in the transmission or comprehension of the recommendation.-

I was unwilling at the time to trouble the Government further, but nearly six years having now elapsed, and the Seristadar's claim being strengthened instead of weakened by time, I trust to be excused in once more bringing his case to notice, and requesting that he may be permitted to draw the full salary of his rank, i.e. 175 Rupees per mensem in place of 132.

3. The Seristadar is a hard working Government servant who has always enjoyed the confidence and approbation of his immediate superiors. His services could be ill spared from a post with the duties of which an experience of 15 years has made him well acquainted but as it is natural enough he is not contented to remain there on what he deems inadequate pay, and would gladly take any similar but better paid seristadarship in any other County.

4. The Original arrangement by which his pay was fixed so much lower than those of men of an equal position elsewhere was simply

Fort St. George 31st July 1848

simply an error. The Gentleman who proposed it in 1833 was afraid that if he asked too much pay he would not get the servant, the season being an unfavorable one for an increase of expenditure. But he certainly mistook the feelings of Government who do not wish onerous and responsible duties to be performed on inadequate salaries.-

Collectd. 11th July 1848.

/ Signd H. V. Conolly
Collector

Proceedings thereon.

In Cons 8th May 1843.

43-44

Extract Minutes Consultation 2d May 1843 that it was the intention of Government in addressing the Supreme Government on the subject of the salary of the Sub division Sheristadar in Malabar that the pay of that Officer should be raised to the rate usual in other Districts Viz. 175 Rupees per Mensem and as the duties of the Sub Collectors sheristadar in Malabar are not of a less onerous and responsible nature than those of revenue servants of this grade in other Provinces, the Board beg to recommend Mr. Conolly's application in his behalf to the favorable consideration of Government and, in the event of its being admitted, they will desire the Collector to

DESPATCH

to

be any balance outstanding at the close of the
Fasly, such as is therein stated in Col 9 of the
foregoing Memorandum this Office will be en-
titled to deduct from the Deposit made
by the Shopkeepers on the formation of the
annual Settlement.

Madras 30th June 1848. (Signed) J. A Huddleston
45-46 Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

47 Read the Undermentioned Lists.

From the Collr of Malabar. List of letters addressed to
the Board and remaining unanswered upto
48 30th June last

From the Collr of Malabar. List of letters received from
the Board and remaining unanswered upto
47-49 30th June last.

Order on the 2 above Lists.

50 Ordered to be recorded.

From the Collr of Rajahmundry. Register of Indorse-
ments passed by the Board on Petitions referred by
50-51 the Board during the Month of June last.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be lodged in the Office

Resolved that the following Letter
52 be dispatched.

To the Collr of Vizagapatam

Official Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of
Revenue has the honor to request that the
Collector

Collector of Vizagapatam will be pleased to ca-
cause the following correction to be made in Pa-
ra 10 of the Boards Proceedings dated 17th April
1848 on the Settlement of his District for Fasly 1256.

For

"It will be seen that the latter fall below the
former in the large ratio of about 75 per Cent."

Substitute

"It will be seen that the latter bear to the former
only a ratio of about 75 per Cent."

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 31st July 1848. (Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary.

Received the undermentioned
53 Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Collr of South Arcot. Return of fines imposed
and Stoppages made from the pay of Public
54 Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

From the Collr of South Arcot. Return of persons suspend-
ed and dismissed for the Quarter Ending 30th June last.
55

From the Collr of Madura. Return of persons charged with
offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month
56 of June last.

From the Collr of Madura. Return of Village Officers Sus-
pended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831
for the Month of June last.
57

From the Collr of Madura. Return of fines imposed and
Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants
for the Quarter ending 30th June last.
58

From the Collr of Madura Return of persons suspended
and

1965 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.—

(29-30)

Resolution thereon.— The Board of Revenue sanction the Employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of 325 Rupees per Mensem for the management of the further Estates in Chingleput attached for arrears of Revenue.—

Read—

1966 Fort St. George 31st July 1848

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(31)

Sir,

From the Collr. of Salem ——— I have the honor to transmit an Extract from the Requisite of the accounts for Fasly 1254, and to request you will be obtain the sanction of Government for Rupees 252—4 objected to by the Civil Auditor, and with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin I have also to request you will obtain the early sanction of Govern-ment for the sums exhibited in the Statements for the same Fasly A & B which accompanied my letter of the 17th September 1846, as the Civil auditor declines to pass the Charges incurred on account of Pagodas and Mosque allowances, and other Charitable allowances for Fasly 1252, and the subsequent ones, for the want of Sanction—

From Collector dated 12th June 1845

To do dated 9th Octr. 1845

From do dated 17th Sept. 1846

Salem Collrs Cutcherry 15th June 1848.—

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

Extract

10967 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Extract from the Readit of the Amounts of the Collector of Salem, from the 12th July 1844 to the 11th July 1845, on account of Expences for Fusly 1254 - A.D. 1844/45

No.	Charges objected to.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor.	Collector's explanation	Orders of Government.
	Refunds of Revenue Charges.			
8	Amount paid to Nunjundiah of Samrutty in the Deukencotta Taloob on account of Ellaramunt as per Sanction of Government dated 11th Jany 1845	76 3 6	The Collector is requested to state the grounds on which the sanction of Government for the amount attached to No. 8 was requested.	The special sanction of Government for the refund of these charges was not requested.
	Refunds of Sayer Revenue.			
9	Amount repaid being duty levied on certain articles as per sanction of Government dated 30th Decr. 1844	113 1 10		
10	Amount repaid being duty levied on certain articles as per sanction of Government dated 30th Decr. 1844 and 8th March 1845	72 2 1		

Do.

(A True Extract)
(Signed) A. F. Bruce
Civil Auditor

(Signed) W. C. Lee Harb
Coll.r

The

10968 (31-32)

Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Proceedings Submitting letter from the Collector of Salem with Extract from a Retrenchment in his Accounts for Fusly 1254 with the Board's observations on each item of Charges.

The Retrenchment would appear thereon to consist of three Items.

No. 1 Refunds of Revenue Charges Rupees 76-3-6 From Coll.r 20th Inst.o on Cash 12th Decr. 1844 To Govt. 12th Decr. 1844 From Do. 11th on Cash 16th January 1845

2 From the Correspondence noted in the Margin the Board observe that the amount sanctioned to be paid from Fusly 1252 to Nunjoondiah is Rupees 42-11-1 being the Dusamundum remission of 1/4th of the Assessment leviable on the extent of land cultivated from the Channel of the Anicut erected by him across the Southern Coomara river near the village of Chinnapetty in the Taloob of Deukencotta - so much of this charge appears admissible and the Collector is requested to afford further explanation on the remaining sums of Rupees 33-8-5.

No. 2 Refunds of Sayer Revenue Rs. 113-1-10.

3 This Charge would appear to consist of sums refunded to parties on goods on which duty had been levied in the Salem district, but for which Certificates had afterwards been obtained from the Collector of Sea Customs at Madras to pass them free, and is Recommended

Recommended by the Board for the sanction of Government with reference to the papers noted in the Margin-

From Collr 19th June in Cons. 5th Decr 1844-
Proceedings 5th Decr 1844
From Govt 30th Decr 1844 in Cons. 6th Jany 1845

4 This charge appears similar to Nos 2 and 3 portion thereof rupees 62-11- having been incurred under the same authority as the above is recommended by the Board with reference thereto- The remaining sum of Rupees 9-7-1 is recommended by the Board for the sanction of Government with reference to the papers noted in the Margin-

Nos 3 Refunds of Sayer Revenue Rs 72-2-1.

From Collr 30th January in Cons. 20th Feby 1845
Proc. 20th Feby 1845
From Govt 8th in Cons. 10th March 1845-

5 Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings be submitted to Government and that Copy of the same be furnished to the Collector for his information and guidance and that he be informed that the requisition contained in the concluding part of his letter recorded at the head of these proceedings has been met with attention-

Read the following letter

To

To J. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George-

(33)

Sir,

From the Collr of South Arcot- } With reference to the Extract
18th January 1842- } from the Minutes of Consultation I have
the honor to request you will have the
goodness to obtain the Sanction of Govern-
ment for the payment of the Yeomiah
allowance as specified in the accompanying
Statement, amounting to Company's
Rupees 19-14-9-

South arcot,
Collrs Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
26th June, 1848.-

I have &c
(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Statement

10971 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Yeamiadars in the District of South Arcot.

Number of Certificate	Name of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension Rs. per Annum	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which the arrears are due:	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of Payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. A. P.				Rs. A. P.			
48	Goolam Mustapha	3 3 "	2d June 1826	Hereditary	From 12th January 1817 to 11th April 1848	3 15 9	The Statement of the first Yeamiadar that he was ignorant of the rules requiring the attendance of the Pensioners to draw their allowance within 6 Months from the date of the Commencement of arrears, and that of the 2d Yeamiadar that he was prevented by indisposition from attending to draw his allowance being verified by the report of the Tahsildar, the Collector begs the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government both for the payment of the arrears as well as for the continuance of the allowance: the parties have been duly cautioned to draw their allowances regularly in future.		
94	Abdul Leander	12 12 "	2d October 1806	Ditto	From Do. to Do.	15 15 "			
	Total Company's Rupees					19 14 9			

South Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Cuddalore 26th June, 1848. (Signed) W. D. Davis Coll.

Resolved

10972 Fort St. George 31st July 1848

(33-34)

Proceedings thereon- Resolved that the foregoing letter and the Statement which accompanies it be submitted to Government, with the Board's Recommendation, that under the explanation of the Collector, sanction be granted for payment of the arrears due to Goolam Mustapha and Abdool Cauder, amounting to Rupees 3-15-9 and 15-5 respectively, and for continuance of the allow-ances.-

The Board observe that the Yeomiah of Abdool Cauder

O.G. was

10973 Fort St. George 31st July 1848.-

was continued to him under the orders of Government dated 11th

In Cons 10th Augt 1846 July 1846 para 1- Case No. 300 Mr.

David will be requested for the future to quote the last order of Government under which al-lowances are paid instead of referring to the general sanction of 1806.-

Read the following.

Annual.

and dismissed for the Quarter Ending 30th June last.

59 From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary General for carriage for conveying to the Beach 192 Empty Treasure Boxes shipped on the Hugh Lindsay for Madura and Tinnevelly

60 From the Sub Treasurer Indent on the Commissary General for carriage for conveying from the Beach to the General Treasury 135 Boxes of Treasure landed from the Hugh Lindsay.

59-61 Order on the two above mentioned Indents. } Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and returned.

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly. Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last

63 From the Collr. of Tinnevelly Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and Stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

" G. T. Drury

" Walter Ellis

" Goldingham

Compd. J. C. Boyle